Recent advances in the nucleic acid-based diagnostic tool for coronavirus
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Abstract
Recently in China, a novel coronavirus outbreak took place which caused pneumonia-like symptoms. This coronavirus belongs to the family of SARS and MERS and causes respiratory system disease known as COVID-19. At present we use polymerase chain reaction (PCR) based molecular biology methods for the detection of coronavirus. Other than these PCR based methods, some improved methods also exist such as microarray-based techniques, Real time-quantitative PCR, CRISPR-Cas13 based tools but almost all of the available methods have advantages and disadvantages. There are many limitations associated with this method and hence there is a need for a fast, more sensitive, and specific diagnostic tool which can detect a greater number of samples in less time. Here we have summarised currently available nucleic acid-based diagnostic methods for the detection of coronavirus and the need for developing a better technique for a fast and sensitive detection of coronavirus infections.

Graphic abstract
Nucleic acid based detection tool for SARS-CoV-2.
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Introduction
Coronaviruses, a member of the coronaviridae virus family causes a simple cough cold to much more severe and complicated disease such as Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS-CoV), Middle East Respiratory Syndrome
The genetic material of SARS-CoV-2 is a positive-sense single-stranded RNA of 26-32 kb enclosed in an envelope [1]. Till now four different genera of coronavirus have been discovered including alpha, beta, gamma, and delta. A total of six human infecting coronaviruses have been discovered which belongs to alpha (HCoV-229E and HCoV-NL63) and beta (MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV, HCoV-OC43, and HCoV-HKU1) genera [2, 3]. Out of these six coronaviruses, MERS-CoV, and SARS-CoV resulted in pandemic [4].

Recently, in December 2019, a novel coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2) emerged in Wuhan city of China and then subsequently spread throughout the world with reported cases in 150 countries. Early reports suggested the onset of a potential coronavirus outbreak which now has been named as COVID-19 by WHO on 11th February 2020 [5]. As of 18th September 2020, the total confirmed cases of COVID-19 is 3,02,39,914 and a total of 948,382 death (https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/). The immunity against viral infections remains a challenge and never-ending task despite huge efforts from the researchers and scientists. WHO has declared the COVID-19 as pandemic on 11th March 2020. The laboratory diagnosis of coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2) depends on the epidemiological history of patients, signs, and symptoms, and some specific laboratory tests such as nucleic acid-based detection, CT scanning, some immunological identification technologies of immunoglobulin M/G, ELISA and blood cultures. The sign and symptoms in the case of COVID-19 are very atypical (includes, high fever, cough, dyspnea, pneumonia-like symptoms, and respiratory problems) and hence the role of the laboratory-based diagnostic methodologies is significant.

In the current epidemic time, the detection and identification of SARS-CoV2 RNA genome are one of the useful tools in the diagnosis, which is very helpful for the management of infection source as well as to help patients for better recovery from the illness. Along with the growth of molecular biology and genetic engineering techniques, the nucleic acid-based identification and detection methodologies is a revolution especially for virus detection (Fig. 1).
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In the current epidemic time, the detection and identification of SARS-CoV2 RNA genome are one of the useful tools in the diagnosis, which is very helpful for the management of infection source as well as to help patients for better recovery from the illness. Along with the growth of molecular biology and genetic engineering techniques, the nucleic acid-based identification and detection methodologies is a revolution especially for virus detection (Fig. 1).

One of the qualitative and quantitative SARS-CoV2 detection method is Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) based method where IgM or IgG antibody produced against the spikes protein of SARS-CoV2 is measured. This is having high sensitivity and throughput. The important sample used during ELISA is blood, plasma or serum from the suspect and introduced into the microtiter multi well plate coated with viral spikes proteins. After incubation secondary antibodies labelled with enzymes is used and signal generated is measured. The assay format can be adapted for different detection modalities, including colorimetric, fluorescent, and electrochemical methods.

Among all the nucleic acid-based methods, the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) based methodologies are very much accurate, having high specificity and sensitivity, and very fast. Due to these reasons the PCR is a monetary standard method for virus detection. Along with PCR based methods, several non-PCR based methodologies also exist such as loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) and nucleic acid sequence-based amplification. These are isothermal nucleic acid amplification methods developed for the spotting and identification of COVID-19 RNA genetic material. In this manuscript, coronavirus detection methodologies are reviewed for the benefit of the scientific community in fast and accurate detection of viral RNA.

Fig. 1 Commonly available test for the detection of SARS-CoV2
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**Nucleic acid detection-based technology**

Two commonly preferred nucleic acid-based detection methodology is available for the detection of coronavirus COVID-19 are high throughput genome sequencing and PCR based method, real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) [6].

**High throughput sequencing**

As the cost of high throughput sequencing of genome is very high and it also depends on the availability of sequencer and hence its applicability in the clinical diagnostic methodologies is very limited and restricted. The dependency on the RT-qPCR based methodology is very high and it is one of the best methods to detect coronavirus with huge sensitivity.

**PCR based methods**

Due to the limited application of genome sequencing-based methodologies, the significance of PCR based method is very high. Among PCR based methods, RT-qPCR is a commonly used method which is a very easy, effective, and straightforward method for the identification and detection of pathogenic coronavirus from the respiratory swabs and blood samples [7]. PCR is a molecular technique for the amplification of the copies number of gene fragments through the thermostable DNA polymerase reactions. In the PCR, first the double helix DNA is separated into two single-strand DNA in the denaturing stage and then a sequence-specific primer is ligated to both the strands in annealing steps and finally both the primer is elongated by a thermostable DNA polymerase using single-stranded DNA as the template in extending stage. These cycles are repeated multiple times to get multiple copies of DNA from a single copy of DNA. This method increases the sample DNA to get a sufficient quantity of genetic material for laboratory analysis. For COVID-19 detection this sequence-specific method is highly utilized [8, 9]. The genome of SARS-CoV2 is single-stranded RNA which is first converted into complementary DNA (cDNA) through the reverse transcriptase-based process, cDNA amplified through PCR followed by and quantification and detection through specific methods. Conventional detection methods for the PCR products are visualization on an agarose gel and DNA sequencing [10, 11]. Time-consuming, sequencing cost, and instrument dependency this detection method is not preferred for the clinical samples.

Currently, real-time reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) is the most commonly used and preferred method for the coronavirus due to its sensitivity, specificity, and simple quantitative methods [12, 13]. The RT-PCR is used for the detection of almost all the coronavirus including SARS-CoV2 [7, 14, 15]. Even so, the RT-PCR is continuously improving to increase its specificity and sensitivity. Due to the enhanced time consumption in the sample preparation, sensitivity towards contaminations, issues in handling and analysis, an improved TaqMan based RT-PCR method has been introduced by van Elden et al., for the routine diagnosis of HCoV [16]. Also, to increase the sensitivity, Yip and their team structured an ongoing RT-qPCR examine for SARS-CoV by using 2 TaqMan tests, instead of 1 probe [17]. This straightforward alteration utilizing double TaqMan tests for evaluation has huge applications in regions in which ultra-sensitivity is fundamentally required, with the SARS-CoV identification limit of 1 copy RNA per cycle.

In clinical identification, the absence of protected and stable outer positive controls (OPC) could turn into a difficult issue in the identification of SARS-CoV-2 and a lot of consideration has been engaged to address this issue. However, these issues can be very well avoided in the case of real-time RT-PCR where OPC is a significant element. Yu et al. have developed an RT-PCR based assay in which the defensively covered RNA was utilized as OPC to identify the SARS-CoV-2, with a discovery cut-off value of 10 duplicates/μL [18]. The fast mutating behavior of coronavirus increases the requirement for a sensitive and specific method for the detection and recognition of genetic variants of coronaviruses. Hence, to ameliorate the ability to detect and identify coronavirus correctly with reduced risk of false-negative results (which occur due to genetic variability in the sequences), scientists have developed multiplex real-time RT-PCR protocol with high sensitivity for the multtarget detection of CoV. Hadjinicolaou et al. built up a constant RT-PCR measure utilizing befuddle tolerant sub-atomic signals to recognize disease-causing and non-disease causing strains [19]. The test joined four reference points, focusing on four qualities notwithstanding an inside positive control. It was approved utilizing clinical examples, which showed target recognition capacity and explicitness with the identification breaking point of 5 duplicates for each response.

As soon as the outbreak of COVID-19 occurs in China in December 2019, several industries launched the qRT-PCR based diagnostic kit for the CoV. The Chinese Centre for Disease Control and Prevention (China CDC) has commended to use the sequence-specific probes as well as primers in the “ORF1ab” and “N-gene region of SARS-CoV-2” through the qRT-PCR process. Theo separate gene locus (ORF1ab and N) on the SARS-CoV-2 gene has been detected by one-step RT-qPCR reported by Bustin and Nolan [20]. In this described method, the negative control was affirmed as negative and the test sample of two patients having COVID-19 was affirmed as positive. These RT-qPCR based methods have shown high sensitivity and specificity for SARS and MERS group of coronavirus [21].
Five patients showed a negative SARS-CoV2 result through RT-qPCR but showed positive results through a chest CT scan. These patients were tested again by taking a swab sample and RT-qPCR has been performed and confirmed as infected with SARS-CoV-2 [22]. Based on the protocol used for the RT-qPCR and the total number of samples collected, this shows a sensitivity of 50–79% for the detection of SARS-CoV [23]. And hence there is the scope of improvement in the detection rate of RT-qPCR for SARS-CoV2 disease. Furthermore, RT-qPCR has drawbacks which include the biological hazards, cumbersome nucleic detection operations, and long sitting tight time for results.

Microarrays based methodologies

Microarray technology is a rapid and high throughput molecular biology detection tool which is very capable of quantifying thousands of gene transcript from the provided test cell or tissue at one moment. The microarray has a huge number of gene fragments (in picomoles) of already known sequences arrayed in a known sequence (called as probes) of rows and columns on a glass microscopic slide. In this method of coronavirus detection, the coronavirus RNA genome is first converted to a specific probe labeled cDNA by the reverse transcription process. These labeled cDNA will be charged into each well of the microarray plate containing prefixed oligonucleotides on solid phase and allowed to hybridize. Free unbound DNA is then washed out in a series of washing steps. The hybridized sequence is detected and due to the transcrancy, the microarray-based methods are highly used protocol for the detection of coronavirus [24]. A group of researched lead by Shi et al. has designed and developed a microarray of 60 mer oligonucleotides as per the sequence of phosphatidylinositol kinase-related protein kinase (TOR2) and succeeded in the detection of coronavirus of SARS family from an isolated clinical sample. They created a thirty 60mer TOR2 sequence-specific oligo which covers the whole genome of the first submitted sequence of coronavirus strain [25]. But after the consideration of high and fast mutation rates in SARS-CoV, another group of scientists leads by Guo have designed a microarray for the detection of 24 single nucleotide polymorphism in the spike gene with 100% accuracy [26]. Due to the sudden outbreak of SARS-CoV2, it will be of great significance to design a diagnostic assay tool to detect a wide range of coronavirus and it may be placed at the Point of Care (POC) center. Accordance with this, Luna and their team have developed a cost-effective, nonfluorescent based low-density oligonucleotide assay tool for the detection of complete coronavirus genome with the same sensitivity as to real-time PCR. The limit of detection was 15.7 copies per reaction [27]. And also, Hardick et al. have also developed a microarray-based diagnostic tool, called Mobile Analysis Platform, with an acceptable detection limit and shown good performance in the detection [28].

Isothermal nucleic acid-based amplification

Isothermal amplification of nucleic acid is a tool for the rapid and efficient accumulation of nucleic acid at a specific constant temperature. Isothermal amplification is an alternative to the PCR technique which is used for various biosensing targets like nucleic acids (DNA and RNA), proteins and peptides, and ions. The amplicons of these isothermal amplification techniques have been used for the generation of various nucleic acid-based nanomaterials for their application in the field of biomaterials, biosensors, and biomedicines [29]. The association of the isothermal nucleic acid amplification and the microsystem on any portable device increases its utility in the on-site nucleic acid-based diagnostic assays and also delivers high sensitivity (Fig. 2).

Regular loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) based methods

“Loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP)” is a low-cost method for the amplification of DNA in a single tube and mainly done for the detection of specific disease [30]. In this case, the target DNA sequence is amplified at a specific temperature of 60–65 °C by using 2–3 sets of primers and a DNA polymerase of large DNA strand displacement activity as well as DNA replication activity. Commonly four different primers are used to amplify 6-different regions of the target DNA sequences and it induces sensitivity of the process. Along with the four specific primers, a pair of loop primer is also used to increase the speed of the process [31]. The amount of amplified DNA produced by LAMP is high compared to the conventional PCR process. The amplified product can be detected and evaluated through a photometric reaction where turbidity caused due to magnesium pyrophosphate precipitate or fluorescence dye is measured [32]. LAMP is having advantages over other DNA amplifying methods due to its simplicity, cost efficiency, and toughness. It can be used for both screening purposes as well as for the point of care by diagnostic technicians. As the process is isothermal and takes place at a specific temperature which removes the requirement of costly thermocyclers. LAMP is already used for the diagnosis of a large number of different diseases such as malaria [33], tuberculosis [34], sleeping sickness [35] etc. This might be one of the cost-effective diagnostic tests for the coronavirus diagnosis [36]. There are many LAMP-based detection methods that have been designed and developed for the detection of coronavirus.

LAMP-based SARS assay has been developed by Poon and his team and also demonstrated its feasibility in the diagnosis of SARS-CoV [37]. ORF1b region of SARS-CoV
was amplified through a LAMP based amplification reaction by using 6-primer. The amplified product was assayed through gel electrophoresis with detection rate and sensitivity of LAMP-based amplification similar to the conventional PCR based amplification. Another group of scientists led by Pyrc developed a LAMP-based amplification method for the detection of HCoV-NL63 on agarose gel electrophoresis with desirable sensitivity and specificity [38].

A valuable RT-LAMP test for the conclusion and epidemiologic reconnaissance of human MERS-CoV was created by Shirato et al. [39], which is fit for identifying as not many as 3.4 duplicates of MERS-CoV RNA and is exceptionally explicit, with no cross-response with other respiratory infections. Thai et al. [40] built an one-advance single-tube continuous quantitative RT-LAMP test checked by ongoing estimation of turbidity in a photometer for the early and fast analysis of SARS-CoV. When compared with clinical samples the detection was reported to be 100-fold more sensitive than that of conventional polymerase chain reaction-based methods with a detection limit of 0.01 plaque-forming units (PFU).

**Sequence-specific loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) methods**

Turbidity generation due to the production of pyrophosphates at the time of polymerizing reaction or intercalation of fluorescence dyes inside the dsDNA amplicons possibility that the signal may come from primer dimerization or non-primer interactions [41]. This issue can be resolved by the use of a sequence-specific supervising of LAMP and some other temperature specific DNA amplification methods which produce true signal that can be differentiated from nonspecific signals. Shirato and his team had modified and improved the RT-LAMP detection tool by adding quenching probes (QProbe) to detect, and supervise true signal and at the same time it has a similar performance as the normal standard RT-PCR assays for the diagnosis of MERS-CoV [42]. A more developed nucleic acid visualization tool has been reported by combining RT-LAMP and a vertical flow visualization strip (RT-LAMP-VF) for the detection and diagnosis of MERS-CoV [3]. The optimum temperature for the LAMP is near to 65 °C which is a limiting factor for its applicability. To overcome this, Cai et al., has developed a modified version of LAMP by using phosphorothioated primers (PS-LAMP), which increases the efficient hairpin creation and elongation at the terminus of concatamers and it works at much low temperature than the optimum temperature of LAMP [43]. A better specificity and sensitivity was observed with the performance of PS-LAMP at 40 °C when comparable with the conventional LAMP at 65 °C.

**CRISPR based newly developed methods**

CRISPR (clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats)-Cas is a genome-editing tool which allows scientist to add, remove or modify the genome at a desired specific site in the DNA. Recently, RNA targeting CRISPR-Cas13 has been reported for the fast and portable nucleic acid detection process [44, 45]. A group of scientists leads by Zhang reported the use of Cas13 to detect and destroy different mammalian viruses’ single-stranded RNA genome [46].
This group has created a specific platform-specific high-sensitivity enzymatic reporter unlocking (SHERLOCK) by combining two different tools, isothermal preamplification with Cas13 for the detection and digestion of ssRNA or ssDNA [47]. This has already been used for the detection of dengue and Zika virus ssRNA genome and also in the liquid biopsy sample of some patients. Their recent protocol for the detection and diagnosis of COVID-19 is published on a website (https://broad.io/sherlockprotocol) with the title, “A protocol for detection of COVID-19 using CRISPR diagnostics”. This online protocol may provide some reference points to the researchers for the rapid, quantitative detection of COVID-19.

**Table 1** Comparison of various detection techniques for SARS-CoV2

| Detection methods | Detecting material | Advantages | Disadvantages |
|-------------------|-------------------|------------|---------------|
| **A. Nucleic acid detection-based technology** | | | |
| High throughput sequencing | Nucleic acid | • Precise and sensitive  
• Not subject to cross-hybridization, and hence high accuracy  
• Larger dynamic range (> 10^5) | • High cost  
• Require sequencer |
| PCR based methods (RT-PCR; RT-qPCR) | Viral RNA/mRNA | • Detect virus directly  
• Highly accurate and sensitive  
• RT-qPCR is gold standard (96–100% specificity)  
• Time required: 2–4 h | • High cost  
• False positive result possible |
| **B. Microarrays based methodologies** | | | |
| Microarray | | • Relatively low cost  
• Well defined protocol and SOP | • Small dynamic range (10^2)  
• Relies on hybridization which is non specific |
| **C. Isothermal nucleic acid-based amplification** | | | |
| Regular loop-mediated isothermal amplification-based methods | DNA/RNA | • High amount of DNA produced compared to PCR  
• Simple, Low cost  
• No requirement of thermocyclers  
• 99% specificity, Time required 15–60 min | • Detect total DNA amplification in a reaction and thus limited to detection in a single target |
| Sequence-specific loop-mediated isothermal amplification methods | DNA/RNA | • High amount of DNA produced compared to PCR  
• Simple, Low cost  
• High sensitive  
• No requirement of thermocyclers | • Detect total DNA amplification in a reaction and thus limited to detection in a single target |
| **D. CRISPR based methods** | | | |
| CRISPR based technology | ssDNA/ssRNA | • Rapid and quantitative detection of SARS-CoV2 | • Off target effect and imprecise effect |
| **E. Antigen–antibody based methods** | | | |
| Rapid antigen test (RAT) | Nucleocapsid protein as antigen | • Sensitive and specific  
• Easy handling  
• No requirement of any sophisticated instruments  
• Rapid detection efficacy  
• Cost-effective | • Can be detected only after 7–9 days of infection  
• Antigenic variations make it difficult to generate similar antibodies |
| **F. CT scan and other diagnostic methodology** | | | |
| CT scan | NA | • Detect the severity of the COVID-19 | • Not specific but sensitive  
• Not a confirmatory test  
• Can be auxiliary test |

**CT scan and other diagnostic methodology**

Although the RT-qPCR is a sensitive and specific tool for the detection of coronavirus, but its false-positive rate could not be ignored. Hence, some clinicians have suggested that CT scanning can be one of the compulsory auxiliary diagnostic tools due to its sensitivity. Combination of the repetition of RT-qPCR along with the chest CT scanning should be performed in the patients with negative RT-PCR but symptoms of SARS-CoV2. The high-resolution CT scanning of the chest (HRCT) is necessary for the preliminary diagnosis of the severity of COVID-19 in the patients infected with SARS-CoV2 [48]. There are many pieces of
literature already available for the use of CT scanning in the case of COVID-19 [49, 50]. A common visualization of CT scanning shows the bilateral pulmonary parenchymal ground-glass and consolidative pulmonary opacities, sometimes with a rounded morphology and a peripheral lung distribution. Lung affected in the patients with SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV and the CT scanning of the chest reveals that the abnormalities progress along with the ground-glass opacities in the lung. A similar type of results is also seen in the case of SARS-CoV2 infections [51, 52]. These findings suggest that chest CT scanning can be a great help in the diagnosis of COVID-19 in the area with high prevalence. One of the major abnormalities of CT scanning is that it cannot differentiate between pneumonia caused due to other viruses and hysteresis of abnormal CT imaging.

Summary and future prospects

Presently the detection and diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2 mainly work through the viral ssRNA genome detection of coronavirus. In the diagnosis of a disease, the selection of a diagnostic method plays a very significant role. All the methods and tools discussed have their advantages and disadvantages (Table 1). A very high specific and sensitive method for the detection of the virus is through PCR methods, but its drawback is it needs a sophisticated instrument, costly reagents, well-established laboratory, and trained analysts. LAMP is another ultrasensitive amplification method for a nucleic acid that can detect a very small amount of DNA or RNA in less than an hour time but its drawback is the requirement of high temperature. In the case of microarray, its cost limits its application commonly in the detection of SARS-CoV-2. Hence there is still a requirement of cost-efficient COVID-19 detection method which can be used very easily in a cost-efficient and less time along with high sensitivity and specificity.
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