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Pain is a hallmark of sickle cell disease (SCD) and its treatment remains challenging. Opioids are the major family of analgesics that are commonly used for treating severe pain. However, these are not always effective and are associated with the liabilities of their own. The pharmacology and multiorgan side effects of opioids are rapidly emerging areas of investigation, but there remains a scarcity of clinical studies. Due to opioid-induced endothelial-, mast cell-, renal mesangial-, and epithelial-cell-specific effects and proinflammatory as well as growth influencing signaling, it is likely that when used for analgesia, opioids may have organ specific pathological effects. Experimental and clinical studies, even though extremely few, suggest that opioids may exacerbate existent organ damage and also stimulate pathologies of their own. Because of the recurrent and/or chronic use of large doses of opioids in SCD, it is critical to evaluate the role and contribution of opioids in many complications of SCD. The aim of this review is to initiate inquiry to develop strategies that may prevent the inadvertent effect of opioids on organ function in SCD, should it occur, without compromising analgesia.

1. Introduction and Background

Sickle cell disease (SCD) continues to afflict millions of people worldwide and the disease is on the rise [1]. Pain is a hallmark feature of SCD that can begin in infancy and increase in severity throughout life. Severe pain is the most common clinical manifestation of SCD, leading to hospitalization, opioid consumption, and increased risk of shorter survival [2]. However, investigation on pain and its treatment in SCD remained underexplored until recently. An area that still remains unaddressed is the consequence of frequent high doses of opioids in sickle patients. On the whole, side effects of opioids are poorly understood and opioid-induced hyperalgesia (OIH) is beginning to be appreciated.

Long-term opioid use is associated with undesirable consequences including physiologic tolerance, hyperalgesia, and respiratory depression [3–5]. Available data suggest that opioids influence vascular [6], pulmonary [7, 8], and renal function [9, 10] and cancer progression [6]. Our group (Gupta et al.) found that higher opioid requirement was independently associated with shorter survival in patients with advanced prostate cancer [11] and lung cancer [12]. However, it remains to be determined whether high opioid use is a cause or consequence of this phenomenon. Heroin, which metabolizes to morphine in vivo, is associated with nephropathy in humans [13]. Because of shorter lifespan and multiorgan complications including renal, pulmonary, and vascular function in SCD, it is critical to understand if opioids exacerbate organ damage in SCD and concurrently introduce serious complications and comorbidities of their own. We review the critical findings on opioid-induced adverse effects and associations of opioid use in experimental and clinical studies. Because of lack of experimental and clinical data on opioid side effects, this review is intended to raise awareness of this issue so that experimental and clinical studies can be undertaken in near future.
2. Complex Pathophysiology of SCD Is Intertwined with Pain

In SCD, clustering of sickle red blood cells (RBCs) leads to vascular occlusion, impairment of oxygen supply to the tissues leading to organ damage, and acute painful episodes called vasoocclusive crises (VOCs) [2, 14]. Characterized by enormous complexity and phenotypic variability, SCD is associated with unpredictable, recurrent, and acute VOC, in addition to chronic pain and ischaemic organ damage [2, 14]. Pain can begin in infancy and recur throughout adult life, causing frequent hospitalizations, impairment of quality of life, and reducing survival [2, 14, 15]. Some sickle pain can be acute, recurrent, persistent, chronic, or mixed and could be due at least in part to OIH. The lifelong, progressive nature of pain in SCD necessitates chronic opioid use, resulting in suboptimal analgesia and contributing to poor quality of life [2]. Patients with SCD often remain undertreated due to opioid inefficacy and providers’ fear (opioid phobia) of addiction potential. Conversely, some patients may be overtreated because of subjective measures of pain. A recent study to evaluate pain and opioid treatment in SCD had to be concluded prematurely because of poor enrollment and multiple challenges [16]. However, it is known that both acute and chronic pain require relatively higher doses of opioids for longer durations in SCD than in many other chronic pain conditions [2, 17, 18]. Clearance of morphine was found to be almost twofold in sickle patients as compared to normal subjects, which argue for the higher opioid dose administration to achieve pharmacologically therapeutic levels [19, 20].

Recent studies in Berkley sickle mice have provided critical insights into the pathobiology of pain. The skin of these mice shows abnormal peripheral nerve fiber architecture, which may underlie the observed activation of nociceptors and increased hyperalgesia [21]. Indeed, TRPV1 channels were activated on the nociceptors of peripheral nerve fiber in these sickle mice [21, 22]. These mice also exhibit characteristics of pain observed in SCD, including musculoskeletal pain and increased sensitivity to mechanical, heat, and cold stimuli, which are further exacerbated by hypoxia/reoxygenation (that simulates VOC) [21, 23]. Peripheral nociceptor activation appears to be mediated by mast cell activation and neurogenic inflammation because treatment of sickle mice with the mast cell inhibitor imatinib reduced neurogenic inflammation and hyperalgesia and reduced the requirement of morphine dose [24]. It is hypothesized that mast cell activation results in release of inflammatory cytokines and neuropeptides, which promote nociceptor activation and enhance neuropeptide release from peripheral nerve terminals, thus contributing to continued pain. The mechanism of mast cell’s role in sustained hyperalgesia is based on the studies in sickle mice. However, two separate clinical studies on sickle patients show that the use of imatinib, a known mast cell inhibitor, significantly reduced painful episodes in patients with SCD [25, 26]. Another case report showed that a sickle patient who died following an overdose of fentanyl was on fentanyl for more than 18 months and had pruritis and sickle crises type of pain for 2 days as well as ACS and respiratory depression secondary to fentanyl overdose [27].

His blood showed significantly high blood concentration of mast cell tryptase (76 microg/L as compared to the normal value of 2–4 microg/L). These correlative mouse and human studies suggest that therapies based on the inhibition of mast cell activity need to be investigated in a larger clinical trial in sickle patients.

3. Molecular and Cellular Effects of Opioids

3.1. Pharmacological Aspects of Opioids and Opioid Receptors Relevant to SCD. Morphine is absorbed from the gastrointestinal tract [28, 29] and metabolized in the liver, gastrointestinal tract, and kidneys [30]. The major pathway for the metabolism of morphine is conjugation with glucuronic acid and liver is the main metabolic site but it can also be metabolized in the brain and kidney [28]. Elimination is through bile or urine [31]. M3G and M6G are major metabolites [28]. M6G has pharmacological actions that are indistinguishable from morphine. The interaction with opioid receptors by the glucuronides may thus contribute to the pharmacological and/or toxicological effects of morphine. M3G has no analgesic effects but may cause some of the side effects of morphine.

Morphine and its congeners (hydromorphone, fentanyl, etc.) act via G-protein coupled opioid receptors (ORs) [32]. Four different ORs have been identified, namely, mu-, delta-, kappa-, and nociceptin-OR (MOR, DOR, KOR, and NOP/OR, resp.), but the analgesic activity of opioids is mediated via the MOR. ORs undergo phosphorylation by G-protein coupled receptor kinases and subsequent β-arrestin recruitment, thus uncoupling the receptor from its G protein, followed by endocytosis, degradation, and downregulation [33]. However, MORs are recycled back to the cell membrane following endocytosis. The “net signal” for relative activity of the receptor versus endocytosis, termed “relative activity versus endocytosis” (RAVE), is the ability of an opioid agonist to induce signaling and to promote endocytosis. Morphine has a high RAVE value as a consequence of its inability to promote receptor desensitization and endocytosis [34]. Additionally, MOR can be constitutively activated and/or can display elevated constitutive activity following prolonged agonist treatment [33, 35]. The implication is that short-term, repeated, or chronic morphine treatment may lead to sustained effects on target tissues. Therefore, recurrent opioid use during VOC may lead to continued opioid activity in the target tissues in the intermittent period between VOC episodes and/or after opioids are discontinued.

3.2. Molecular and Cellular Effects of Opioids That May Influence SCD Pathophysiology. In addition to analgesia induction, opioids activate growth, survival, and cytoprotection via opioid receptors in multiple cell types in the peripheral organs and in the central nervous system [6, 36]. Morphine stimulates diverse neural and nonneural molecular targets. Morphine induces expression of platelet-derived growth factor-BB (PDGF-BB) in human brain- and umbilical vein-endothelial cells and PDGF receptor-β (PDGFR-β) expression in pericytes and increases vascular permeability [37, 38].
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3.3. Activation of TLR4. Morphine binds myeloid differentiation protein-2 (MD-2) for vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-2 (VEGFR2), PDGFR-β, spherins 1 phosphate receptor 3 (SIP3R), mitogen activated protein kinase/extracellular signal related kinase (MAPK/ERK), and cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) in endothelial cells and the central nervous system [6, 39–42]. Several cytokines including PDGF and VEGF that stimulate RTKs are elevated in SCD [43–45]. Morphine stimulates the expression of PDGF-BB in endothelial cells, known to increase vascular permeability [38]. Levels of several cytokines including PDGF and VEGF that stimulate RTKs are elevated in patients with SCD [45]. Thus, morphine administration may amplify endothelial activation and promote organ dysfunction such as endothelial dysfunction and associated retinopathy, lung injury, pulmonary arterial hypertension, and stroke; and contribute to renal dysfunction in sickle cell disease.

Morphine also transactivates receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs) for vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-2 (VEGFR2), PDGFR-β, sphingosine 1 phosphate receptor 3 (SIP3R), mitogen activated protein kinase/extracellular signal related kinase (MAPK/ERK), and cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) in endothelial cells and the central nervous system [6, 39–42]. Several cytokines including PDGF and VEGF that stimulate RTKs are elevated in SCD [43–45]. Morphine stimulates the expression of PDGF-BB in endothelial cells, known to increase vascular permeability [38]. Levels of several cytokines including PDGF and VEGF that stimulate RTKs are elevated in patients with SCD [45]. Thus, morphine administration may amplify endothelial activation and promote organ dysfunction such as endothelial dysfunction and associated retinopathy, strokes, and pulmonary hypertension, in SCD, as discussed below (Figure 1).

4. Implications of Opioid Exposure for Organ Dysfunction in SCD

4.1. Renal Disease. Renal complications that start early in age and may progress to end-stage renal disease (ESRD) are a leading cause of morbidity and mortality in adults with SCD [51, 52]. Survival is estimated to be 4 years following the onset of ESRD even when receiving dialysis. The pathophysiology of sickle nephropathy is not clearly understood but it involves both glomerular and tubular injury accompanied by proteinuria, hyperfiltration, increased glomerular filtration rate (GFR), blood flow and tubular resorption, and glomerulosclerosis. Renal microenvironment in SCD is attended by oxidative stress, iron deposition, ischemia/reperfusion injury and pulmonary hypertension, and altered hemodynamics with increased hemoglobinase-1 and COX-2 and reduced NO bioavailability. Early renal disease includes glomerular hyperfiltration, increased proximal tubular function, and hematuria. Subsequently the concentrating ability is reduced; there is focal segmental glomerulosclerosis with proteinuria, papillary necrosis, and reduced glomerular filtration [53].

4.2. Influence of Opioids on Renal Disease. Clinical and experimental studies have demonstrated the toxic effects of the chronic use of opioids on the kidney. We and others have observed that clinical doses of morphine and hydromorphone incite kidney pathology, glomerular enlargement, and albuminuria in wild type and transgenic sickle mice [10, 54, 55]. Opioids cause renal damage as evidenced by renal tubular vacuolization, mononuclear cell infiltration, and focal necrosis in rats receiving morphine or levo-alpha-noracetylmethadol, a metabolite of levo-alpha-acetylmethadol, a long-acting MOR agonist [56, 57]. Morphine and opioid peptides have direct effects on mesangial and glomerular epithelial cells, kidney fibroblast, and the interaction of mesangial cells with circulating macrophages and PMNs via the production of superoxide [58, 59]. Through this interaction, morphine has the potential to directly impair slit diaphragm cell membranes in podocytes, contributing to kidney injury. Morphine-induced generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and production of superoxide by macrophages and mesangial cells induce podocyte DNA damage [58]. Morphine-induced podocyte injury leads to albuminaria in wild type mice [10]. Morphine treatment led to albuminuria and podocyte injury as well as diminished expression of podocyte markers, synaptopodin, and nephrin, in wild type FVBN mice [10], and increased podocyte foot process effacement accompanied by albuminuria in sickle...
Figure 2: Proposed model of morphine activity in the kidney. Morphine stimulates cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2), heme oxygenase-1 (HO-1), inducible/endothelial nitric oxide synthase (iNOS), oxidative stress via reactive oxygen species/peroxynitrite, mitogen activated protein kinase/extracellular signal regulated kinase (MAPK/ERK), platelet-derived growth factor receptor-β (PDGFR-β), and platelet-derived growth factor-BB (PDGF-BB). These molecular changes are accompanied by albuminuria and glomerular pathology in morphine treated mice. Together, these morphine-induced cellular, molecular, and pathological effects may stimulate and exacerbate existent renal damage in sickle cell disease.

4.3. Pulmonary Disease. Pulmonary disease is another major cause of morbidity and mortality in adults with SCD but its etiology is not well understood. In sickle patients, morphine is associated with an increased risk of developing acute chest syndrome (ACS) [7, 65]. In a retrospective analysis of children with SCD, the frequency of ACS was significantly higher in the morphine treated group (29%) as compared to 12% in those treated with Nubain, a synthetic opioid antagonist/agonist related to naloxone and oxymorphine [7]. Causes of ACS include pneumonia, bone marrow fat embolism, pulmonary infarct due to in situ sickling, rib/sternal infarction, infection, and pulmonary embolism (PE) [18, 66–68]. Approximately 50% of patients with ACS have no identifiable etiology [18, 69]. Acute chest syndrome is closely associated with VOCs, especially in adults [18, 69, 70]. It occurs in approximately 50% of hospitalized patients with SS for VOC [18, 69, 71–73]. These hospitalized patients were given opioids, mostly morphine for pain management. This sequence of events suggests that opioids including morphine may have been instrumental in causing ACS especially in the 50% of patients on whom no identifiable cause was found. This is further supported by three observational reports showing that the use of morphine in patients with SCD seems to be associated with acute chest syndrome [7, 74, 75].

As discussed above, morphine stimulates TLR4 activity, and TLR4 has been implicated in acute lung injury and vasoocclusion in sickle mice [48, 49]. Moreover, the patient discussed above who died of fentanyl toxicity may have had fentanyl-related ACS, although the autopsy findings were not entirely convincing [27]. The association of morphine with increased frequency of ACS, therefore, merits further investigation.

4.4. Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension (PAH). PAH is a major cause of morbidity and mortality in adults with SCD and may be associated with ESRD [76]. Right heart catheterization is the gold standard for the diagnosis of pulmonary hypertension [77, 78]. It is well known that people with SCD are at increased risk of PAH and PAH is a poor prognostic indicator. Yet, the pathogenesis of pulmonary hypertension in patients with SCD is not known. Several mechanisms have been proposed including hemolysis leading to nitric oxide (NO) deficiency, interstitial fibrosis secondary to ACS and vasculopathy characterized by endothelial dysfunction,
increased vascular tone, inflammation, hypercoagulability, and vascular remodeling and destruction of pulmonary vasculature [79–81]. Increased plasma PDGF-BB concentrations were associated with increased odds of TRV in patients with SCD [45]. Morphine stimulates PDGF-BB expression in human brain- and umbilical vein-endothelial cells [37, 38]. Morphine may therefore influence PAH by augmenting PDGF-BB concentration.

In pulmonary hypertension, the initial apoptotic injury of pulmonary endothelial cells followed by hyperproliferation of apoptosis-resistant cells is believed to be one of the causes. Morphine has been implicated in simian immunodeficiency virus- (SIV-) induced PAH. Morphine treatment led to pulmonary vascular remodeling caused by enhanced apoptosis and endothelial proliferation in SIV-infected macaques [8]. It is noteworthy that morphine did not stimulate vascular remodeling in uninfected macaques. This clearly indicates that vascular responsiveness to morphine is distinct in a proinflammatory microenvironment, as compared to normal conditions. Similarly, in a tumor microenvironment replete with inflammatory cytokines, morphine promotes angiogenesis [6, 82]. It is therefore possible that the vasculopathic effects of morphine may contribute to development of PAH in an inflammatory microenvironment encountered in SCD.

Hemin-induced acute lung injury in sickle mice is mediated by TLR4 [49]. Since morphine activates TLR4 signaling, it may induce the pulmonary complications seen in SCD. Endothelial TLR4 signaling is also associated with hemolysis-induced VOC in sickle mice [48]. TLR4 gene expression is upregulated severalfold in cutaneous mast cells from sickle mice as compared to control mice. Morphine activated the release of tryptase and neuropeptides from mast cells from both control and sickle mice [24]. Mast cell proliferation and activation may contribute to PAH in humans [83]. In sickle mice, mast cell inhibitors reduce inflammation and improve morphine analgesia [24]. Thus, whether morphine may contribute to PAH in SCD via activation of TLR4 and mast cells is unknown at present. These hypotheses are speculative, but emerging mechanisms of morphine’s role in vascular biology and the known role of vascular dysfunction and inflammation in sickle pathobiology provide a compelling rationale to pursue experimental and clinical studies to evaluate the role of morphine in PAH.

4.5. Other Organ Systems. We speculate that the activity of morphine on the vasculature may exacerbate preexisting endothelial vasculopathy and multiorgan dysfunction leading to devastating complications such as retinopathy and cerebral strokes in SCD. Proangiogenic signaling and angiogenesis stimulated by morphine may promote proliferative sickle retinopathy and collateralization in ischemic strokes, while morphine-induced vascular permeability may contribute to hemorrhagic strokes in SCD. Morphine use was associated with a 4.24- and 2.90-fold higher risk of hemorrhagic and ischemic stroke in prostate cancer patients and the risk increased with increase in morphine dosage [84].

Morphine-induced pruritis is another common feature in SCD patients [85]. Significantly less itching was observed with controlled release oxycodones as compared to controlled release morphine in cancer patients with pain [86]. The contribution of mast cell activation described above, therefore, deserves consideration in morphine-induced pruritis.

Opioid-induced clinical manifestations of the gastrointestinal system have been well known. Opioid-induced constipation (OIC) is reported in almost 35–70% of patients using opioids (including morphine, oxycodone, fentanyl, and others) [87, 88]. A peripherally acting OR antagonist with high affinity to MOR has been shown to reduce opioid-induced OIC [89]. Another side effect induced by opioid analgesia in patients is vomiting, which persists upon intrathecal delivery as well [90, 91]. Both constipation and nausea appear to be mediated by MOR in the GI as well as the CNS. Therefore, careful usage of MOR antagonists needs to be explored for these side effects while using opioids.

4.6. Effect on Red Blood Cells. Morphine directly diminishes normal RBC deformability in rats with morphine dependence [92]. Morphine treatment led to a decrease in RBC membrane fluidity and alterations in the secondary structure of membrane proteins. This would be expected to further exacerbate any problems with microvascular flow for sickle RBCs. We observed increased vascular congestion in kidneys of morphine treated sickle and wild type mice [54, 60], possibly due in part to a morphine-induced alteration in the rheological properties of RBCs. Increased iron deposits have been reported in the kidney of sickle patients by magnetic resonance imaging [93]. The mechanism by which iron is deposited is unclear, but it is almost certainly due to filtered iron from intravascular hemolysis [93]. Complementary to these in vitro and experimental observations, opioid drugs have been shown to influence whole blood rheology and cause morphometric and hematometric alterations in erythrocytes in drug users [94], including high incidence of anemia amongst heroin users [95]. MOR is expressed on human RBCs and its expression is increased in chronic opioid users [96]. In this study, RBCs with increased MOR expression also showed higher deformability indices and dehydration. Additionally morphine inhibited the activity of glutathione reductase purified from human erythrocytes in vitro [97]. This may in turn exacerbate existent oxidative stress, existent in SCD.

4.7. Therapeutic Benefit of Topical Morphine Treatment in Leg Ulcers in SCD. Like pain, leg ulcers in SCD are a debilitating condition causing more pain and contribute to the poor quality of life [18, 98]. This has been a neglected complication, which has recently gained attention from several groups worldwide [98–103]. Since morphine promotes angiogenesis, it can promote healing. We found that topically applied MOR agonist opioids, morphine, hydromorphone, and fentanyl accelerated closure of ischemic open wounds in normal Fischer 344 rats [104]. Similarly, in leptin receptor mutant Zucker diabetic fatty rats, fentanyl accelerated wound closure as compared to PBS treated wounds [105]. Morphine stimulated angiogenesis, lymphangiogenesis, and nerve fiber density in the wounds and increased endothelial and inducible nitric oxide synthase, NO, and phosphorylation of PDGFR-β [104, 105]. Pain was not examined in these studies. On
Figure 3: Proposed model of mast cell mediated as well as direct effects of morphine on vascular dysfunction and organ damage. Directly as well as via mast cell activation morphine may augment vascular dysfunction and inflammation. Through its multicellular and organ specific activities, morphine may influence hyperalgesia (pain), retinopathy, pruritis, stroke, pulmonary arterial hypertension, and nephropathy in SCD.

On the basis of several clinical studies, a comprehensive review described that opioids applied topically significantly reduced pain in chronic wounds due to multiple pathologies, without any adverse effects [106]. However, when opioids were given systemically by other routes such as subcutaneous, intravenous, or orally, they did not ameliorate wound pain. Similarly, in our studies on Fischer 344 rats, morphine delivered via osmotic pumps implanted subcutaneously away from the wound site did not have any effect on wound closure, but topically applied opioids on the wound accelerated closure [104]. MOR signaling has been shown to heal the intestinal injury in mice [107]. Deletion of MOR resulted in thinner epidermis in mice [108]. Previous studies from our laboratory showed that sickle mice have significantly thinner epidermis and reduced MOR expression in the skin as compared to control mice [21]. Thus, examination of the opioid/opioid receptor system and therapeutic potential of topically applied opioids to reduce pain and promote healing of leg ulcers in SCD deserves consideration.

5. Conclusions and Future Directions

Overall the side effects of opioids remain poorly defined in clinical studies with a few exceptions. There are no controlled trials to compare the safety and efficacy of different opioids in the management of acute sickle cell crises. Patient safety can be maximized by obtaining a detailed history; understanding opioid pharmacology, mechanism of action, and side effects; carefully monitoring patients; and individualizing care.

**In vitro** and preclinical studies raise awareness about the possible adverse and/or beneficial effects of opioids in the pathophysiological setting of SCD (Figure 3). Therefore, simultaneous strategies to ameliorate the adverse side effects need consideration. Cannabinoids have shown efficacy in treating chronic, inflammatory, and hypoxia/reoxygenation-induced acute pain in sickle mice [21, 23], offering an alternative (or adjunct) to opioid treatment if their efficacy is confirmed in human trials. Targeting TLR4 or mast cells offers the advantage of reducing pain and bypassing morphine tolerance. Clinically available drugs such as imatinib may target several key mechanisms including inhibition of PDGFR-β and mast cells as well as reduction in morphine tolerance [24, 55]. Another strategy may be coadministration of COX-2 inhibitors which may have an opioid sparing effect [109] and simultaneously inhibit the adverse effects of opioids on renal hemodynamics. Opioid-induced peripheral effects can also be antagonized by coadministration of peripherally selective opioid receptor antagonists [110]. Before advancing to clinical use, however, newer strategies need to be tested for potential adverse effects on the pathophysiology of SCD using validated transgenic mouse models of SCD.

**Abbreviations**

| Abbreviation | Definition |
|--------------|------------|
| ACS:         | Acute chest syndrome |
| COX-2:       | Cyclooxygenase-2 |
| DOR:         | Delta opioid receptor |
| ESRD:        | End-stage renal disease |
| GAG:         | Guanine-adenine-guanine |
| GTG:         | Guanine-thymine-guanine |
| HbS:         | Sickle hemoglobin |
| GFR:         | Glomerular filtration rate |
| HO-1:        | Hemoxygenase-1 |
| KOR:         | Kappa opioid receptor |
| M3G:         | Morphine-3-glucuronide |
| M6G:         | Morphine-6-glucuronide |
| MAPK/ERK:    | Mitogen activated protein kinase/extracellular signal regulated kinase |
| MOR:         | Mu opioid receptor |
| NO:          | Nitric oxide |
| NOS:         | Nitric oxide synthase |
| OIH:         | Opioid-induced hyperalgesia |
| PAH:         | Pulmonary arterial hypertension |
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