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Abstract. Living organisms are the most complex, interesting and significant objects regarding all substructures of the universe. Life science is regarded as a science of the 21st century and one can expect great new discoveries in the near futures. This article contains an introductory brief review of genetic information, its coding and translation of genes to proteins through the genetic code. Some theoretical approaches to the modelling of the genetic code are presented. In particular, connection of the genetic code with number theory is considered and the role of p-adic numbers is underlined.

Key words: genetic code, p-adic numbers, p-adic genetic code, ultrametrics

1. INTRODUCTION

Francis Crick (1916–2004), who together with James Watson (1928–) discovered double helicoidal structure of DNA, in 1953 announced “We have discovered the secret of life” [1]. However, if it was a secret of life, then life has still many secrets. One of them is the genetic code. Although genetic code was finally experimentally deciphered in 1966, its theoretical understanding has remained unsatisfactory and
new models have been offered from time to time. The genetic code is still a subject of more or less intensive investigation from mathematical, physical, chemical, biological and bioinformation point of view.

It is worth recalling the emergence of special theory of relativity and quantum mechanics. They both appeared as a result of unsatisfactory attempts to extend classical theory to new physical phenomena, invention of appropriate new physical concepts and use of suitable new mathematical methods. Although far from everyday experience these two new theories describe physical reality quite successfully. We believe that a similar situation should happen in theoretical description of living processes in biological organisms. To this end, ultrametric and $p$-adic methods seem to be very promising tools in further investigation of life.

Here we want to emphasize the role of ultrametric distance, and in particular, $p$-adic one. Namely, some parts of a biological system can be considered simultaneously with respect to different metrics – the usual Euclidean metric, which measures spatial distances, and some other metrics, which measure nearness related to some bioinformation (or other) properties.

The general notion of metric space $(M, d)$ is introduced in 1906 by Maurice Fréchet (1878–1973), where $M$ is a set and $d$ is a distance function. Distance $d$ is a real-valued function of any two elements $x, y \in M$ which must satisfy the following three properties:

(i) $d(x, y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = y$, \hspace{1cm} (1)

(ii) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$, \hspace{1cm} (2)

(iii) $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$, \hspace{1cm} (3)

where last property is called triangle inequality. An ultrametric space is a metric space which satisfies strong triangle inequality, i.e.

$$d(x, y) \leq \max\{d(x, z), d(z, y)\}. \hspace{1cm} (4)$$

Word ultrametric is introduced in 1944 by Marc Krasner (1912–1985), although examples of ultrametric spaces have been known earlier under different names. An important class of ultrametric spaces contains fields of $p$-adic numbers, which are introduced in 1897 by Kurt Hensel (1861–1941). Taxonomy, which started 1735 by Carl Linné (1707–1778) as biological classification with hierarchical structure, is another significant example of ultrametricity [2].

In this article we consider some aspects of the genetic code using an ultrametric space, which elements are codons presented with some natural numbers and the distance between them is the $p$-adic one. However, to have a self-contained and comprehensible exposition of the genetic code and its connection with number theory, we shall first briefly review some basic notions from molecular biology.

2. Some Notions of Molecular Biology

One of the essential characteristics that differentiate a living organism from all other material systems is related to its genome. The genome of an organism is its
entire hereditary information encoded in the desoxyribonucleic acid (DNA), and contains both genes and non-coding sequences. In some viruses genetic material is encoded in the ribonucleic acid (RNA). Investigation of the entire genome is the subject of genomics.

The DNA is a macromolecule composed of two polynucleotide chains with a double-helical structure. Nucleotides consist of a base, a sugar and a phosphate group. Helical backbone is a result of the sugar and phosphate groups. There are four bases and they are building blocks of the genetic information. They are called adenine (A), guanine (G), cytosine (C) and thymine (T). Adenine and guanine are derived from purine, while cytosine and thymine from pyrimidine. In the sense of information, the nucleotide and its base represent the same object. Nucleotides are arranged along chains of double helix through base pairs A-T and C-G bonded by 2 and 3 hydrogen bonds, respectively. As a consequence of this pairing there is an equal number of cytosine and guanine as well as the equal rate of adenine and thymine. DNA is packaged in chromosomes, which are localized in the nucleus of the eukaryotic cells.

The main role of DNA is to store genetic information and there are two main processes to exploit this information. The first one is replication, in which DNA duplicates giving two new DNA containing the same information as the original one. This is possible owing to the fact that each of two chains contains complementary bases of the other one. The second process is related to the gene expression, i.e. the passage of DNA gene information to proteins. It is performed by the messenger ribonucleic acid (mRNA), which is usually a single polynucleotide chain. The mRNA is synthesized during the first part of this process, known as transcription, when nucleotides C, A, T, G from DNA are respectively transcribed into their complements G, U, A, C in mRNA, where T is replaced by U (U is the uracil, which is a pyrimidine). The next step in gene expression is translation, when the information coded by codons in the mRNA is translated into proteins. In this process transfer tRNA and ribosomal rRNA also participate.

Codons are ordered trinucleotides composed of C, A, U (T) and G. Each of them presents information which controls use of one of the 20 standard amino acids or stop signal in synthesis of proteins.

Protein synthesis in all eukaryotic cells is performed in the ribosomes of the cytoplasm. Proteins [3] are organic macromolecules composed of amino acids arranged in a linear chain. Amino acids [4] are molecules that consist of amino, carboxyl and R (side chain) groups. Depending on R group there are 20 standard amino acids. These amino acids are joined together by a peptide bond. Proteins are substantial ingredients of all living organisms participating in various processes in cells and determining the phenotype of an organism. The study of proteins, especially their structure and functions, is called proteomics. The proteome is the entire set of proteins in an organism.

The human genome, which presents all genetic information of the Homo sapiens, is composed of about $3 \cdot 10^9$ DNA base pairs and contains about 20,000 genes. In the human body there may be about 2 million different proteins. The sequence of
amino acids in a protein is determined by the sequence of codons contained in the corresponding DNA gene. After transcription of a gene from DNA to mRNA there is a maturation of the primary sequence of codons to the final one which determine primary structure of the corresponding protein. Thus not only DNA but also RNA play important role in the gene expression. For more detailed and comprehensive information on molecular biology and the genetic code one can refer to [5, 6].

3. Genetic Code

The relation between codons and amino acids is known as the genetic code [7]. From mathematical point of view, the genetic code is a map from the set of 64 codons to the set of 20 amino acids and one stop signal.

So far there are about 20 known versions of the genetic code (see, e.g. [8]), but the most important are two of them: the standard code and the vertebrate mitochondrial code.

In the sequel we shall mainly have in mind the vertebrate mitochondrial code, because it is a simple one and the others may be regarded as its slight modifications. There are \(4 \times 4 \times 4 = 64\) codons. In the vertebrate mitochondrial code, 60 of codons are related to the 20 different amino acids and 4 stop codons make termination signals. According to experimental observations, two amino acids are coded by six codons, six amino acids by four codons, and twelve amino acids by two codons. This property that some amino acids are coded by more than one codon is known as genetic code degeneracy. This degeneracy is a very important property of the genetic code and gives an efficient way to minimize errors caused by mutations and translation.

There is in principle up to \(2^{64}\) of all possible mappings from 64 codons to 20 amino acids and one stop signal. It is obvious that some of them cannot ply role of the genetic code. Since there is still a huge number of possibilities for genetic codes and only a very small number of them is represented in living cells, it has been a persistent theoretical challenge to find an appropriate approach explaining about 20 contemporary genetic codes.

The first genetic model was proposed in 1954 by physicist George Gamow (1904–1968), which he called the diamond code. In his model codons are composed of three nucleotides and proteins are directly synthesized at DNA: each cavity at DNA attracts one of 20 amino acids. This is an overlapping code and was ruled out by analysis of correlations between amino acids in proteins. The next model of the genetic code was proposed in 1957 by Crick, and is known as the comma-free code. This model was so elegant that it was almost universally accepted. However, an experiment in 1961 demonstrated that UUU codon codes amino acid phenylalanine, while by the comma-free code it codes nothing. Gamow’s and Crick’s models are very pretty but wrong – living world prefers actual codes, which are more stable with respect to possible errors (for a popular review of the early models, see [1]).

An intensive study of the connection between ordering of nucleotides in DNA (and RNA) and ordering of amino acids in proteins led to the experimental decipher-
ing of the standard genetic code in the mid-1960s. The genetic code is understood as a dictionary for translation of information from DNA (through RNA) to synthesis of proteins by amino acids. The information on amino acids is contained in codons: each codon codes either an amino acid or termination signal (see, e.g., a table of the vertebrate mitochondrial code). To the sequence of codons in RNA corresponds quite definite sequence of amino acids in a protein, and this sequence of amino acids determines primary structure of the protein.

At the time of deciphering, it was mainly believed that the standard code is unique, result of a chance and fixed a long time ago. Crick [9] expressed such belief in his "frozen accident" hypothesis, which has not been supported by later observations. Moreover, so far at least 20 different codes have been discovered and some general regularities found. At first glance the genetic code looks rather arbitrary, but it is not. Namely, mutations between synonymous codons give the same amino acid. When mutation alters an amino acid then it is like substitution of the original by a similar one. In this respect the code is almost optimal.

Despite of remarkable experimental successes, there is no simple and generally accepted theoretical understanding of the genetic code. There are many papers in this direction, scattered in various journals, with theoretical approaches based more or less on chemical, physical, biological and mathematical aspects of the genetic code. However, the foundation of biological coding is still an open problem. In particular, it is not clear why genetic code exists just in few known ways and not in many other possible ones. What is a principle (or principles) employed in establishment of a basic (vertebrate mitochondrial) code? What are properties of codons connecting them into definite multiplets which code the same amino acid or termination signal?

Let us mention some models of the genetic code after deciphering standard code. In 1966 physicist Yuri Rumer (1901–1985) emphasized the role of the first two nucleotides in the codons [10]. There are models which are based on chemical properties of amino acids (see, e.g., [11]). In some models connections between number of constituents of amino acids and nucleotides and some properties of natural numbers are investigated (see [12, 13] and references therein). A model based on the quantum algebra $\mathcal{U}_q(sl(2) \oplus sl(2))$ in the $q \to 0$ limit was proposed as a symmetry algebra for the genetic code (see [14] and references therein). In a sense this approach mimics quark model of baryons. Besides some successes of this approach, there is a problem with rather many parameters. There are also papers (see, e.g., [15], [16] and [17]) starting with 64-dimensional irreducible representation of a Lie (super)algebra and trying to connect multiplicity of codons with irreducible representations of subalgebras arising in a chain of symmetry breaking. Although interesting as an attempt to describe evolution of the genetic code these Lie algebra approaches did not progress further. For a very brief review of these and some other theoretical approaches to the genetic code one can see [14]. There is still no generally accepted explanation of the genetic code.
4. SOME MATHEMATICAL PRELIMINARIES AND $p$-ADIC CODON SPACE

As a new tool to study the Diophantine equations, $p$-adic numbers are introduced by German mathematician Kurt Hensel in 1897. They are involved in many branches of modern mathematics. An elementary introduction to $p$-adic numbers can be found in the book [18]. However, for our purposes we will use here only a small portion of $p$-adics, mainly some finite sets of integers and ultrametric distances between them.

Let us introduce the set of natural numbers

$$C_5[64] = \{ n_0 + n_1 5 + n_2 5^2 : n_i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \},$$

where $n_i$ are digits related to nucleotides by the following assignments: C (cytosine) = 1, A (adenine) = 2, T (thymine) = U (uracil) = 3, G (guanine) = 4. This is a finite expansion to the base 5. It is obvious that 5 is a prime number and that the set $C_5[64]$ contains 64 numbers – between 31 and 124 in the usual base 10. In the sequel we shall often denote elements of $C_5[64]$ by their digits to the base 5 in the following way: $n_0 + n_1 5 + n_2 5^2 \equiv n_0 n_1 n_2$. Note that here ordering of digits is the same as in the expansion, i.e, this ordering is opposite to the usual one. There is now an evident one-to-one correspondence between codons in three-letter notation and number $n_0 n_1 n_2$ representation.

There is no summation, subtraction, multiplication and division on the codon space. A mapping of codons to codons is possible by replacement of a nucleotide by another. In other words, there is a sense interchange of digits on the space $C_5[64]$, but not standard arithmetic operations (summation, subtraction, multiplication and division).

It is also often important to know a distance between numbers. Distance can be defined by a norm. On the set $\mathbb{Z}$ of integers there are two kinds of nontrivial norm: usual absolute value $| \cdot |_{\infty}$ and $p$-adic absolute value $| \cdot |_p$, where $p$ is any prime number. The usual absolute value is well known from elementary mathematics and the corresponding distance between two numbers $x$ and $y$ is $d_\infty(x, y) = |x - y|_{\infty}$.

The $p$-adic absolute value is related to the divisibility of integers by prime number $p$. Difference of two integers can be understood as a measure of divisibility by $p$ of their difference (the more divisible, the shorter). By definition, $p$-adic norm of an integer $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, is $|m|_p = p^{-k}$, where $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ is degree of divisibility of $m$ by prime $p$ (i.e. $m = p^k m'$, where $m'$ is not divisible by $p$) and $|0|_p = 0$. $\mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{Z}$ are the set of natural numbers and the set of integers, respectively. This norm is a mapping from $\mathbb{Z}$ into non-negative rational numbers and has the following properties:

(i) $|x|_p \geq 0$, $|x|_p = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$,
(ii) $|xy|_p = |x|_p |y|_p$,
(iii) $|x + y|_p \leq \max\{|x|_p, |y|_p\}$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Because of the strong triangle inequality $|x + y|_p \leq \max\{|x|_p, |y|_p\}$, $p$-adic absolute
value belongs to non-Archimedean (ultrametric) norm. One can easily conclude that $0 \leq |n|_p \leq 1$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and any prime $p$.

$p$-Adic distance between two integers $x$ and $y$ is

$$d_p(x, y) = |x - y|_p.$$ 

Since $p$-adic absolute value is ultrametric, the $p$-adic distance (6) is also ultrametric, i.e. it satisfies

$$d_p(x, y) \leq \max \{d_p(x, z), d_p(z, y)\},$$

where $x$, $y$, and $z$ are any three integers.

The above introduced set $C_5[64]$ endowed by $p$-adic distance we shall call $p$-adic codon space, i.e. elements of $C_5[64]$ are codons denoted by $n_0n_1n_2$. $5$-Adic distance between two codons $a, b \in C_5[64]$ is

$$d_5(a, b) = |a_0 + a_15 + a_25^2 - b_0 - b_15 - b_25^2|_5,$$

where $a_i, b_i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. When $a \neq b$ then $d_5(a, b)$ may have three different values:

- $d_5(a, b) = 1$ if $a_0 \neq b_0$,
- $d_5(a, b) = 1/5$ if $a_0 = b_0$ and $a_1 \neq b_1$,
- $d_5(a, b) = 1/5^2$ if $a_0 = b_0, a_1 = b_1$ and $a_2 \neq b_2$.

We see that the largest $5$-adic distance between codons is $1$ and it is the maximum $p$-adic distance on $\mathbb{Z}$. The smallest $5$-adic distance on the codon space is $5^{-2}$.

If we apply real (standard) distance $d_\infty(a, b) = |a_0 + a_15 + a_25^2 - b_0 - b_15 - b_25^2|_\infty$, then third nucleotides $a_2$ and $b_2$ would play more important role than those at the second position (i.e $a_1$ and $b_1$), and nucleotides $a_0$ and $b_0$ are of the smallest importance. In real $C_5[64]$ space distances are also discrete, but take values $1, 2, \cdots, 93$. The smallest real and the largest $5$-adic distance are equal to $1$. While real distance describes spatial separation, this $p$-adic one serves to describe information nearness on the codon space.

It is worth emphasizing that the metric role of digits depends on their position in number expansion and it is quite opposite in real and $p$-adic cases. We shall see later that the first two nucleotides in a codon are more important than the third one and that $p$-adic distance between codons is a natural one in description of their information content (the nearer, the more similar meaning).

5. $p$-Adic Genetic Code

Modeling of the genetic code, the genome and proteins is a challenge as well as an opportunity for application of $p$-adic distances. Recently [19, 20, 21], it was introduced and considered a $p$-adic approach to DNA and RNA sequences, genome
Table I. The vertebrate mitochondrial code in the 5-adic and three-letter notation.

| 111  | CCC  | Pro | 211  | ACC  | Thr | 311  | UCC  | Ser | 411  | GCC  | Ala |
|------|------|-----|------|------|-----|------|------|-----|------|------|-----|
| 112  | CCA  | Pro | 212  | ACA  | Thr | 312  | UCA  | Ser | 412  | GCA  | Ala |
| 113  | CCU  | Pro | 213  | ACU  | Thr | 313  | UCU  | Ser | 413  | GCU  | Ala |
| 114  | CCG  | Pro | 214  | ACG  | Thr | 314  | UCG  | Ser | 414  | GCC  | Ala |
|      |      |     |      |      |     |      |      |     |      |      |     |
| 121  | CAC  | His | 221  | AAC  | Asn | 321  | UAC  | Tyr | 421  | GAC  | Asp |
| 122  | CAA  | Gln | 222  | AAA  | Lys | 322  | UAA  | Ter | 422  | GAA  | Gln |
| 123  | CAU  | His | 223  | AUA  | Asn | 323  | UAU  | Tyr | 423  | GAU  | Asp |
| 124  | CAG  | Gln | 224  | AAG  | Lys | 324  | UAG  | Ter | 424  | GAG  | Gln |
|      |      |     |      |      |     |      |      |     |      |      |     |
| 131  | CUC  | Leu | 231  | AUC  | Ile | 331  | UUC  | Phe | 431  | GUC  | Val |
| 132  | CUA  | Leu | 232  | AUA  | Met | 332  | UUA  | Leu | 432  | GUA  | Val |
| 133  | CUU  | Leu | 233  | AUU  | Ile | 333  | UUU  | Phe | 433  | GUU  | Val |
| 134  | CUG  | Leu | 234  | AUG  | Met | 334  | UUG  | Leu | 434  | GUG  | Val |
|      |      |     |      |      |     |      |      |     |      |      |     |
| 141  | CGC  | Arg | 241  | AGC  | Ser | 341  | UGC  | Cys | 441  | GGC  | Gly |
| 142  | CGA  | Arg | 242  | AGA  | Ter | 342  | UGA  | Trp | 442  | GGA  | Gly |
| 143  | CGU  | Arg | 243  | AGU  | Ser | 343  | UGU  | Cys | 443  | GGU  | Gly |
| 144  | CGG  | Arg | 244  | AGG  | Ter | 344  | UGG  | Trp | 444  | GGG  | Gly |

and the genetic code. The central point of this approach is an appropriate identification of four nucleotides with digits 1, 2, 3, 4 of 5-adic representation of some positive integers and application of p-adic distances between obtained numbers. 5-Adic numbers with three digits form 64 integers which correspond to 64 codons. It is inappropriate to use the digit 0 for a nucleotide because it leads to non-uniqueness in representation of the codons by natural numbers. For example, 123 = 123000 as numbers, but 123 would represent one and 123000 two codons. This is also a reason why we do not use 4-adic representation for codons, since it would contain a nucleotide presented by digit 0. One can use 0 as a digit to denote absence of any nucleotide. As one of the main results that we have obtained is explanation of the structure of the genetic code degeneracy using p-adic distance between codons. A similar approach to the genetic code was later considered on diadic plane [22], and recently [23] 2-adic distance was applied to the PAM matrix in bioinformatics.

Let us mention that p-adic models in mathematical physics have been actively considered since 1987 (see [24], [25] for early reviews and [26, 27, 28] for some recent reviews). It is worth noting that p-adic models with pseudodifferential operators have been successfully applied to interbasin kinetics of proteins [29]. Some p-adic aspects of cognitive, psychological and social phenomena have been also considered [30].
Let us now turn to Table I. We observe that this table can be regarded as a big rectangle divided into 16 equal smaller rectangles: 8 of them are quadruplets which one-to-one correspond to 8 amino acids, and another 8 rectangles are divided into 16 doublets coding 14 amino acids and termination (stop) signal (by two doublets at different places). There is a manifest symmetry in distribution of these quadruplets and doublets. Namely, quadruplets and doublets form separately two figures, which are symmetric with respect to the mid vertical line (a left-right symmetry), i.e. they are invariant under interchange $C \leftrightarrow G$ ($1 \leftrightarrow 4$) and $A \leftrightarrow U$ ($2 \leftrightarrow 3$) at the first position in codons at all horizontal lines. In other words, at each horizontal line one can perform doublet $\leftrightarrow$ doublet and quadruplet $\leftrightarrow$ quadruplet interchange around vertical midline. Recall that also DNA is symmetric under simultaneous interchange of complementary nucleotides $C \leftrightarrow G$ and $A \leftrightarrow T$ between its strands.

All doublets in this table form a nice figure which looks like letter $T$.

It is worth noting that the above invariance leaves also unchanged polarity and hydrophobicity of the corresponding amino acids in all but three cases: Asn $\leftrightarrow$ Tyr, Arg $\leftrightarrow$ Gly, and Ser $\leftrightarrow$ Cys.

5.1. Degeneracy of the genetic code

Let us now explore distances between codons and their role in formation of the genetic code degeneration.

To this end let us again turn to Table I as a representation of the $C_5[64]$ codon space. Namely, we observe that there are 16 quadruplets such that each of them has the same first two digits. Hence 5-adic distance between any two different codons within a quadruplet is

$$d_5(a, b) = |a_0 + a_1 5 + a_2 5^2 - a_0 - a_1 5 - b_2 5^2|_5$$

$$= |(a_2 - b_2) 5^2|_5 = |(a_2 - b_2)|_5|5^2|_5 = 5^{-2},$$

(9)

because $a_0 = b_0$, $a_1 = b_1$ and $|a_2 - b_2|_5 = 1$. According to (9) codons within every quadruplet are at the smallest distance, i.e. they are nearest compared to all other codons.

Since codons are composed of three arranged nucleotides, each of which is either a purine or a pyrimidine, it is natural to try to quantify nearness inside purines and pyrimidines, as well as distance between elements from these two groups of nucleotides. Fortunately there is a tool, which is again related to the p-adics, and now it is 2-adic distance. One can easily see that 2-adic distance between pyrimidines C and U is $d_2(1, 3) = |3 - 1|_2 = 1/2$ as the distance between purines A and G, namely $d_2(2, 4) = |4 - 2|_2 = 1/2$. However 2-adic distance between C and A or G as well as distance between U and A or G is 1 (i.e. maximum).

With respect to 2-adic distance, the above quadruplets may be regarded as composed of two doublets: $a = a_0 a_1 1$ and $b = a_0 a_1 3$ make the first doublet, and
$c = a_0 a_1 2$ and $d = a_0 a_1 4$ form the second one. 2-Adic distance between codons within each of these doublets is $\frac{1}{2}$, i.e.

$$d_2(a, b) = |(3 - 1)5^2|_2 = \frac{1}{2}, \quad d_2(c, d) = |(4 - 2)5^2|_2 = \frac{1}{2},$$

(10)
because $3 - 1 = 4 - 2 = 2$.

One can now look at Table I as a system of 32 doublets. Thus 64 codons are clustered by a very regular way into 32 doublets. Each of 21 subjects (20 amino acids and 1 termination signal) is coded by one, two or three doublets. In fact, there are two, six and twelve amino acids coded by three, two and one doublet, respectively. Residual two doublets code termination signal.

Note that 2 of 16 doublets code 2 amino acids (Ser and Leu) which are already coded by 2 quadruplets, thus amino acids Serine and Leucine are coded by 6 codons (3 doublets).

To have a more complete picture on the genetic code it is useful to consider possible distances between codons of different quadruplets as well as between different doublets. Also, we introduce distance between quadruplets or between doublets, especially when distances between their codons have the same value. Thus 5-adic distance between any two quadruplets in the same column is $1/5$, while such distance between other quadruplets is 1. 5-Adic distance between doublets coincides with 5-adic distance between quadruplets, and this distance is $\frac{1}{5}$ when doublets are within the same quadruplet.

The 2-adic distances between codons, doublets and quadruplets are more complex. There are three basic cases:

- codons differ only in one digit,
- codons differ in two digits,
- codons differ in all three digits.

In the first case, 2-adic distance can be $\frac{1}{2}$ or 1 depending whether difference between digits is 2 or not, respectively.

Let us now look at 2-adic distances between doublets coding leucine and also between doublets coding serine. These are two cases of amino acids coded by three doublets. One has the following distances:

- $d_2(332, 132) = d_2(334, 134) = \frac{1}{2}$ for leucine,
- $d_2(311, 241) = d_2(313, 243) = \frac{1}{2}$ for serine.

If we use usual distance between codons, instead of $p$-adic one, then we would observe that two synonymous codons are very far, and that those which are close code different amino acids. Thus we conclude that not usual metric but ultrametric is inherent to codons.
How is degeneracy of the genetic code related to $p$-adic distances between codons? The answer is in the following \textit{$p$-adic degeneracy principle}: \textit{Two codons have the same meaning with respect to amino acids if they are at smallest $5$-adic and $1/2$ $2$-adic distance.} Here $p$-adic distance plays a role of similarity: the closer, the more similar. Taking into account all known codes (see the next subsection) there is a slight violation of this principle. Now it is worth noting that in modern particle physics just broken fundamental gauge symmetry gives its standard model. There is a sense to introduce a new principle (let us call it \textbf{reality principle}): \textit{Reality is realization of some broken fundamental principles.} It seems that this principle is valid not only in physics but also in all sciences. In this context modern genetic code is an evolutionary broken the above $p$-adic degeneracy principle.

\subsection*{5.2. Evolution of the genetic code}

The origin and early evolution of the genetic code are among the most interesting and important investigations related to the origin and evolution of the life. However, since there are no fossils of organisms from that very early period of life, it gives rise to many speculations. Nevertheless, one can hope that some of the hypotheses may be tested looking for their traces in the contemporary genomes.

It seems natural to consider biological evolution as an adaptive development of simpler living systems to more complex ones. Namely, living organisms are open systems in permanent interaction with environment. Thus the evolution can be modelled by a system with given initial conditions and guided by some internal rules taking into account environmental factors.

We are going now to conjecture on the evolution of the genetic code using our $p$-adic approach to the genomic space, and assuming that preceding codes used simpler codons and older amino acids.

Recall that $p$-adic codon space $C_p [(p-1)^m]$ has two parameters: $p$ – related to $p-1$ building blocks, and $m$ – multiplicity of the building blocks (nucleotides) in space elements (codons).

\begin{itemize}
  \item Case $C_2 [1]$ is a trivial one and useless for a primitive code.
  \item Case $C_3 [2^m]$ with $m = 1, 2, 3$ does not seem to be realistic.
  \item Case $C_5 [4^m]$ with $m = 1, 2, 3$ offers a possible pattern to consider evolution of the genetic code. Namely, the codon space could evolve in the following way: $C_5 [4] \rightarrow C_5 [4^2] \rightarrow C_5 [4^3] = C_5 [64]$.
\end{itemize}

The primary code, containing codons in the single nucleotide form (C, A, U, G), encoded temporally appeared the first four amino acids [31]: Gly, Ala, Asp and Val (see Table II). From the last column of Table I we conclude that the connection between digits and amino acids is: $1 = \text{Ala}$, $2 = \text{Asp}$, $3 = \text{Val}$, $4 = \text{Gly}$. In the primary code these digits occupied the first position in the 5-adic expansion, and
at the next step, i.e. $C_5^4 \rightarrow C_5^4^2$, they moved to the second position adding digits 1, 2, 3, 4 in front of each of them.

It is worth noting that traces of some early peptides composed of the first four amino acids $G, A, D,$ and $V$ have been found recently [34] in the form of three motifs containing $DGD$ submotif in some present-day proteins. This is in agreement with our conjecture on existence of the single nucleotide primary code at the very beginning of life.

In $C_5^4^2$ one has 16 dinucleotide codons which can code up to 16 amino acids. Addition of the digit 4 in front of already existing codons 1, 2, 3, 4 leaves their meaning unchanged, i.e. 41 = Ala, 42 = Asp, 43 = Val, and 44 = Gly. Adding digits 3, 2, 1 in front of the primary 1, 2, 3, 4 codons one obtains 12 possibilities for coding some new amino acids. To decide which amino acid was encoded by which of 12 dinucleotide codons, we use as a criterion their immutability in the trinucleotide coding on the $C_5^4^3$ space. This criterion assumes that amino acids encoded earlier have more stable place in the genetic code table than those encoded later. According to this criterion we decide in favor of the first row in each rectangle of Table I and result is presented in Table III.

Transition from dinucleotide to trinucleotide codons occurred by attaching nucleotides 1, 2, 3, 4 at the third position, i.e. behind each dinucleotide. By this way one obtains new codon space $C_5^4^3 = C_5^6|4$, which is significantly enlarged and provides a pattern to generate known contemporary genetic codes. This codon space $C_5^6|4$ gives possibility to realize at least three general properties of the modern code:

(i) encoding of more than 16 amino acids,

(ii) diversity of codes,

(iii) stability of the gene expression.

Let us give some relevant clarifications.
(i) For functioning of contemporary living organisms it is necessary to code at least 20 standard (Table II) and 2 non-standard amino acids (selenocysteine and pyrrolysine). Probably these 22 amino acids are also sufficient building units for biosynthesis of all necessary contemporary proteins. While $C_5^{[4^2]}$ is insufficient, the genomic space $C_5^{[4^3]}$ offers approximately three codons per one amino acid.

(ii) The standard (often called universal) code was established around 1966 and was thought to be universal, i.e., common to all organisms. When the vertebrate mitochondrial code was discovered in 1979, it gave rise to belief that the code is not frozen and that there are also some other codes which are mutually different. According to later evidence, one can say that there are at least 20 slightly different mitochondrial and nuclear codes (for a review, see [7, 8, 32] and references therein). Different codes have some codons with different meaning. So, in the standard code there are the following changes in Table I:

- 232 (AUA): Met $\rightarrow$ Ile,
- 242 (AGA) and 244 (AGG): Ter $\rightarrow$ Arg,
- 342 (UGA): Trp $\rightarrow$ Ter.

Modifications in 20 known codes are not homogeneously distributed on 16 rectangles of Table I. For instance, in all 20 codes codons $41^i$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) have the same meaning.

(iii) Each of the 20 codes is degenerate and degeneration provides their stability against possible mutations. In other words, degeneration helps to minimize codon errors.

Genetic codes based on single nucleotide and dinucleotide codons were mainly directed to code amino acids with rather different properties. This may be the reason why amino acids Glu and Gln are not coded in dinucleotide code (Table II), since they are similar to Asp and Asn, respectively. However, to become almost optimal, trinucleotide codes have taken into account structural and functional similarities of amino acids.

We presented here a hypothesis on the genetic code evolution taking into account possible codon evolution, from 1-nucleotide to 3-nucleotide, and amino acids temporal appearance. This scenario may be extended to cell evolution, which probably should be considered as a coevolution of all its main ingredients (for an early idea of the coevolution, see [33]).
Table III. The dinucleotide genetic code based on the \( p \)-adic genomic space \( C_5 \). Note that it encodes 15 amino acids without stop codon, but encoding serine twice.

| 11 | CC | Pro | 21 | AC | Thr | 31 | UC | Ser | 41 | GC | Ala |
| 12 | CA | His | 22 | AA | Asn | 32 | UA | Tyr | 42 | GA | Asp |
| 13 | CU | Leu | 23 | AU | Ile | 33 | UU | Phe | 43 | GU | Val |
| 14 | CG | Arg | 24 | AG | Ser | 34 | UG | Cys | 44 | GG | Gly |

6. **Concluding Remarks**

There are two important aspects of the genetic code which are related to:

(i) multiplicity of codons which code the same amino acid,

(ii) assignment of codon multiplets to specific amino acids.

The above presented \( p \)-adic approach gives quite satisfactory description of the aspect (i). Ultrametric behavior of \( p \)-adic distances between elements of the \( C_5 \) codon space radically differs from the usual ones. Quadruplets and doublets of codons have a natural explanation within 5-adic and 2-adic nearness. Degeneracy of the genetic code in the form of doublets, quadruplets and sextuplets is a direct consequence of \( p \)-adic ultrametricity between codons. \( p \)-Adic \( C_5 \) codon space is our theoretical pattern to consider all variants of the genetic code: some codes are direct representation of \( C_5 \) and the others are its slight evolutional modifications.

(ii) Which amino acid corresponds to which multiplet of codons? An answer to this question should be expected from connections between physicochemical properties of amino acids and anticodons. Namely, enzyme aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase links specific tRNA anticodon and related amino acid. Thus there is no direct interaction between amino acids and codons, as it was believed in Gamow’s time.

Note that there are in general \( 4! \) ways to assign digits 1, 2, 3, 4 to nucleotides C, A, U, G. After an analysis of all 24 possibilities, we have taken C = 1, A = 2, U = T = 3, G = 4 as a quite appropriate choice. In addition to various properties already presented in this paper, the DNA base pairs exhibit relation \( C + G = A + T = 5 \).

One can express many of the above considerations on \( p \)-adic information theory in linguistic terms and investigate possible linguistic applications.

In this paper we have employed \( p \)-adic distances to measure nearness between codons, which have been used to describe degeneracy of the genetic code. It is worth noting that in other contexts \( p \)-adic distances can be interpreted in quite
different meanings. For example, 3-adic distance between cytosine and guanine is 
\[ d_3(1, 4) = \frac{1}{3}, \]  
and between adenine and thymine \[ d_3(2, 3) = 1. \]  
This 3-adic distance seems to be natural to relate to hydrogen bonds between complements in DNA double helix: the smaller the distance, the stronger the hydrogen bond. Recall that C-G and A-T are bonded by 3 and 2 hydrogen bonds, respectively.

The translation of codon sequences into proteins is highly information-processing phenomenon. \( p \)-Adic information modelling presented in this paper offers a new approach to systematic investigation of ultrametric aspects of DNA and RNA sequences, the genetic code and the world of proteins. It can be embedded in computer programs to explore the \( p \)-adic side of the genome and related subjects.

The above considerations and obtained results may be regarded as contributions towards foundations of (i) \( p \)-adic theory of information and (ii) \( p \)-adic theory of the genetic code.

(i) Contributions to \( p \)-adic theory of information contain:

- formulation of \( p \)-adic genomic space (whose examples are spaces of nucleotides, dinucleotides and trinucleotides),
- relation between building blocks of information spaces and some prime numbers;

(ii) Contributions to \( p \)-adic theory of the genetic code include:

- description of codon quadruplets and doublets by 5-adic and 2-adic distances,
- observation of a symmetry between quadruplets as well as between doublets at our table of codons,
- formulation of degeneracy principle,
- formulation of hypothesis on codon evolution.

Many problems remain to be explored in the future on the above \( p \)-adic approach to genomics. Among the most attractive and important themes are:

- elaboration of the \( p \)-adic theory of information towards genomics and proteomics,
- evolution of the genome and the genetic code,
- structure and function of non-coding DNA,
- creation of the corresponding computer programs.
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**GENETSKI KOD I TEORIJA BROJEVA**

Živi organizmi su najslожноji, najinteresantniji i najznačajniji objekti u odnosu na sve substrukture vasione. Nauka o životu se smatra naukom 21-stog veka i mogu se očekivati nova velika otkrića u bliskoj budućnosti. Ovaj članak sadrži kratki uvodni pregled genetske informacije, njenog kodiranja i prevodjenja gena u proteine preko genetskog koda. Predstavljeni su neki teorijski pristupi modeliranju genetskog koda. Naričito je razmatrana veza genetskog koda sa teorijom brojeva i istaknuta uloga p-adičkih brojeva.

**Ključne reči:** genetski kod, p-adički brojevi, p-adički genetski kod, ultrametrika