Rosacea and *Helicobacter pylori*: links and risks
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Abstract: Rosacea is a chronic skin disease characterized by facial erythema and telangiectasia. Despite the fact that many hypotheses have been proposed, its etiology remains unknown. In the present review, the possible link and clinical significance of *Helicobacter pylori* in the pathogenesis of rosacea are being sought. A PubMed and Google Scholar search was performed using the terms “rosacea”, “*H.pylori*”, “gastrointestinal disorders and *H.pylori*”, “microorganisms and rosacea”, “pathogenesis and treatment of rosacea”, and “risk factors of rosacea”, and selected publications were studied and referenced in text. Although a possible pathogenetic link between *H. pylori* and rosacea is advocated by many authors, evidence is still interpreted differently by others. We conclude that further studies are needed in order to fully elucidate the pathogenesis of rosacea.
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Introduction

Rosacea is a chronic inflammatory skin disorder characterized by erythema and telangiectasia, affecting predominantly the central part of the face, accounting for almost 1% of all skin disorders diagnosed by dermatologists.1 It is more common in Northern and Western Europe. It has been nicknamed as the “curse of the Celts” although such claim has been disputed.2 Caucasians are more commonly affected by rosacea,3 which also concerns women between the ages 30 and 60, almost three times as much as men. The diagnosis of rosacea is based on specific clinical features as there is no laboratory test to confirm the diagnosis. It is divided into four different subtypes, erythematotelangiectatic, papulopustular, phymatous, and ocular. Four stages of the disease have been described. First, frequent flushing; second, persistent facial erythema with telangiectasias and skin sensitivity; third, papules, pustules, with ocular changes (blepharoconjunctivitis, meibomitis, iritis, burning, itching, and photophobia);4–7 and fourth, tissue hyperplasia and rhinophyma. Besides cutaneous symptoms, some studies concluded that rosacea is a disorder with various upper gastrointestinal symptoms.8–10 The classification of rosacea is important because both the pathogenesis and the treatment correspond to the specific form of the disease. It is likely that distinct subtypes respond differently to treatment. The causes and pathophysiology of the disease are not yet clear, since it is a multifactorial disorder.11

In the present review, the search terms which were used were “rosacea”, “*H.pylori*”, “gastrointestinal disorders and *H.pylori*”, “microorganisms and rosacea”, “pathogenesis and treatment of rosacea”, “risk factors of rosacea”. Our research was based on...
peer-reviewed publications found through the PubMed and Google Scholar literature databases, as well as the Google search engine. The articles refer to clinical trials, cohort studies, reviews, and epidemiological studies. Both old and recent articles were selected in order to study the evolution in prevalence of the search terms. Even though the total number of papers is limited, an attempt to include both small-scale and larger studies was made. Reviews from both dermatological and gastroenterological sources were included, in order to achieve a more integrated approach.

Pathogenesis of rosacea

The factors implicated in the pathogenesis of rosacea are endocrinological, pharmacological, immunological, climatical, dietary habits, free radicals, ultraviolet radiation, microbial agents,4,12,13 inheritance,14 inherent cutaneous vascular abnormalities,4,15 pilosebaceous unit abnormalities,16 and matrix degeneration.17 The nosological subtypes of rosacea probably represent different response to a combination of these factors.

Flushing appears as an inappropriate response to hyperthermia.4,15 Chronic sun exposure is responsible for the destruction of cutaneous blood vessels and dermal connective tissue4,15,18,19 and as a consequence, elastotic granuloma is a common histological finding, linked to disease development.19 Matrix degeneration has also been suggested as a possible factor.4 The pathophysiological association of rosacea with alimentary tract disorders is not clear.4,15 The association of alcohol consumption and caffeine intake with skin changes is not fully established even in the case of rhinophyma and alcohol.20 The inheritance of rosacea has not been thoroughly investigated. GSTM1 and GSTT1 null genotypes have been shown to be associated with an increased risk of rosacea and BsmI polymorphism of the VDR gene has been correlated with fulminant rosacea.14,21 Abram et al concluded that the strongest risk factor in their study was the presence of family history. In the same study, the risk of developing rosacea was higher among ex-smokers than among current smokers or lifelong non-smokers, being in dissonance with previous studies.22

Microorganisms have been addressed in a variety of studies as pathogenic factors. Mite-related bacteria,23 staphylococcus epidermidis,24 chlamydia pneumonia,25 bacterial toxins,24 and antimicrobial peptides26–28 have been addressed as potential trigger agents for rosacea. Demodex folliculorum, the small intestinal bacterial overgrowth (SIBO) and Helicobacter pylori are the most investigated, studied but also debated regarding their contribution in the pathogenesis of rosacea.

D. folliculorum is a mite, found almost in everyone but its density is higher in rosacea patients18,19 mainly in the papulopustular type.4 Five mites per follicle or five per cm² are thought to be pathogenic.29 However, the dissenting opinion alleges that the fact that the prevalence of Demodex reaches almost 100% in healthy adults does not imply an etiologic relation to rosacea.30,31

SIBO is defined as unexpected microbial concentration in jejunal aspirate culture. In the general population the prevalence of SIBO is estimated to be 2.5%–22%,32 while in rosacea patients it reaches the rate of 46%.33 The association between SIBO and rosacea is not well-established yet. There are some controversial studies on the subject. Parodi et al contend that eradication of SIBO has been associated with a significant improvement in skin.33 However, Gravina et al concluded that SIBO does not seem to play a role in rosacea.9

Clinical significance of H. pylori in rosacea

H. pylori are gram-negative bacteria which comprise a large number of different strains. The term “Helicobacter pylori species-complex” has been proposed, due to the genetic diversity of H. pylori.34 The genomes of several strains have been completely sequenced.35–39

The detection of H. pylori infection is accomplished with several methods. Blood antibody test, stool antigen test or carbon urea breath test – which is metabolized by the bacterium producing detectable carbon dioxide in the breath – are all available.40 Also urine ELISA test and endoscopic biopsy comprise further options.

Since its discovery, H. Pylori has been associated with many diseases, not only gastrointestinal but also hematological, cardiopulmonary, metabolic, neurological, and dermatological. As far as it concerns the skin, there are reports for its implication in the pathogenesis of chronic spontaneous urticaria, Sjögren’s syndrome, and Henoch-Schönlein purpura.41

Many studies have been performed in order to evaluate the connection between H. pylori infection and rosacea, however there is still controversy around the matter. There is a lack of information regarding the genetic features and the biology of H. pylori. It is proposed that the bacterium produces specific cytotoxins which lead to the release of histamine, prostaglandins, leukotrienes, and cytokines. Inflammatory mediators from an altered innate immune response lead to higher levels of ROS,42–44 whereas in treated rosacea the aforementioned level is lower.45 However, there are no distinct histological features in rosacea patients positive for H. pylori infection.19
One basic subject of studies is whether *H. pylori* prevalence is higher in patients with rosacea compared to the general population. Powel et al, in 1992, found that anti-*H. pylori* antibody levels were higher in rosacea patients. This result was duplicated in 1995 by Rebora et al. The higher prevalence of *H. pylori* has also been shown by Szlachcic et al’s study in which 67% of rosacea patients had CagA positive strains, while only 32% of patients with non-ulcer dyspepsia were positive in this strain. The same investigator in 2002 found that there was a higher prevalence of *H. pylori* in patients with rosacea. In 2003 Argenziano et al demonstrated that anti-CagA antibodies were present in 75% of patients suffering both from rosacea and gastrointestinal symptoms, and in 81% of patients with rosacea and dyspepsia the serum anti-*Hp* IgG antibodies were detected. In the same year a pilot study conducted by Diaz et al concluded that rosacea is closely related to *H. pylori* infection, especially in patients suffering from an inflammatory subtype of the disease. One year later, in 2004, another study by Baz et al found that anti-*Hp* IgM was higher and IgG was lower in rosacea patients compared to healthy controls. In the same study the malondialdehyde level was increased and the antioxidant potential level was decreased. The authors concluded that rosacea is an oxidative stress condition, with increased ROS, regardless of *H. pylori* infection.

In 2006, Boixeda de Miquel et al studied the effect of *H. pylori* eradication therapy in rosacea patients. They detected *H. pylori* using C13-urea breath test, IgG antibodies using ELISA, as well as upper gastrointestinal endoscopy with biopsy. All the infected patients, even those with no gastrointestinal symptoms, were treated until successful eradication was obtained. In this study, *H. pylori* was found in 84.1%, and 61.4% of patients who presented with digestive symptoms, which denotes the relation between rosacea and digestive problems which confirms the study results of Sharma et al. Three months after eradication most of the patients had a complete regression of gastrointestinal symptoms and total or partial improvement of rosacea signs. The evolution of the disorder was independent of gender. Patients with papulopustular rosacea had a better response than those with erythematous, which confirmed the results from previous studies. All of them found clinical improvement of rosacea after eradication of the bacteria. Another study supporting the link between *H. pylori* and rosacea is the one conducted by Dakovic et al. They surveyed the improvement of ocular rosacea after treating the infection in a small number (seven) of patients with concomitant clinical and serological *H. pylori* infection. In these case series the ocular signs and symptoms showed more amelioration than the cutaneous ones. All the participants suffered from the erythematotelangiectatic subtype. A study in 2012 was performed in order to identify the role of *H. pylori* in patients with rosacea and dyspeptic symptoms. Three different types of the bacteria (cagA, iceA, vacA) were evaluated. It was stated that vacA genotype is more common in papulopustular rosacea with significant elevation of cagA/vacA s1m1 positivity in this rosacea subtype. The gastric biopsy showed that gastric ulceration was more frequent in patients with papulopustular rosacea and the eradication therapy was especially effective in those patients. They concluded that *H. pylori* plays an important role in rosacea patients with concomitant dyspeptic problems, especially in the papulopustular subtype. Gravina et al also, not only found that the prevalence of bacteria is higher in rosacea patients but also the eradication of it improves skin signs. They observed that only the successful eradication of *H. pylori* led to the total or partial regression of the skin lesions. Most of the patients with unsuccessful treatment of the infection did not show any improvement. Rosacea symptoms improved only when second-line therapy for *H. pylori* was given. The most notable amelioration of skin symptoms was observed in stages II and III of the disease. A recent clinical trial was performed by Saleh et al. The authors concluded that the eradication of *H. pylori* with standard protocol is effective for rosacea symptoms. The telangiectasias and phymatous signs did not improve significantly.

Some authors support the pathogenetic role of *H. pylori* in rosacea because of the bacteria’s involvement in the oxidative stress. *H. pylori*-infected patients have been reported to exhibit increased ROS activity and decreased plasma antioxidant factors such as ascorbic acid. However, Gürer et al, having studied the seroprevalence of *H. pylori* and nitric oxide (NO) in rosacea, proposed that NO, which is thought to be associated with *H. pylori*, plays no role in the inflammation process of the disease.

In spite of all previous studies, there are some authors who dispute the link between rosacea and *H. pylori*. In 1992 Schneider et al, and in 1999 Son et al failed to establish a role of *H. pylori* in rosacea patients. Herr and You concluded that the relationship between rosacea and *H. pylori* may be a myth. They studied both the prevalence of *H. pylori* and the effect on rosacea after the eradication treatment. Even in papulopustular subtype they noticed no significant improvement of skin lesions compared to placebo group. Although Bonamigo et al did not find any difference in prevalence of *H. pylori* in rosacea patients, they marked that the interference of previous use of systemic antibiotics could lead to incorrect
conclusions. Another study which supports that there is no strong pathogenic contribution of *H. pylori* in rosacea is the study of Gürer et al, in which there was a higher proportion of anti-Hp antibodies in rosacea patients, but the levels of NO serum were normal.

Taking into consideration the fact that rosacea is a multifactorial disease, Abram et al concomitantly evaluated the risk factors of the disease. In this study, *H. pylori* rate was lower in flushers and higher in the papulopustular subtype. As far as it concerns the prevalence of bacteria in reference to age, it was significantly higher in the group of over 50 years of age, but this difference disappeared after age adjustment. This effect explains that the temporary signs of rosacea are due to younger age but not due to the lower seroprevalence. Another remarkable point was that rosacea patients who had taken systematic antibiotics did not have any statistical difference in *H. pylori* seropositivity compared to those who had used only topical treatment. According to this study no association between rosacea and *H. pylori* was found.

A clinical and laboratory study of rosacea in Northern Greece took place in our department with the objective of assessing the pathogenetic factors. Among them *H. pylori* was estimated but anti-Hp antibodies were almost at the same level between patients and controls, even when they were divided into two different age groups. Another result was that gender had no contribution to the etiology of the disease. Finally in the patients with no previous use of antibiotics, a strong correlation with *H. Pylori* was detected. However, the extended use of antibiotics may weaken the antigenic effect of *H. pylori* without eradicating it completely.

Egeberg et al in 2017 performed a nationwide cohort study in order to investigate the links between rosacea and other gastrointestinal disorders (celiac disease, Crohn’s disease, ulcerative colitis, *H. pylori* infection, SIBO, and irritable bowel syndrome). They established the prevalence of the disorders using nationwide administrative registers. They observed an increased frequency of *H. pylori* in rosacea patients but the risk of new-onset infection was not increased in patients with rosacea signs. They concluded that the prevalence of these gastrointestinal diseases is higher in patients with rosacea, and they found significant association between rosacea and celiac disease, Crohn’s disease, ulcerative colitis, and irritable bowel syndrome, but not *H. pylori* infection and SIBO. They finally concluded that gastrointestinal complaints in rosacea patients justify clinical suspicion of disease.

**Discussion**

A lot of research has been carried out regarding the correlation of *H. pylori* with other diseases and its pathogenetic role. *H. pylori* infection is a very common chronic disease in humans. It has been linked with a multitude of gastrointestinal and extraintestinal diseases. It is implicated in hemato logic, cardiopulmonary, metabolic, neurologic, and dermatologic manifestations, with iron deficiency anemia and immune thrombocytopenic purpura being the most well-established. *H. pylori* infection has been associated with several conditions affecting the skin, such as chronic urticaria, atopic dermatitis, Henoch-Schonlein purpura, Sweet’s syndrome, psoriasis, alopecia areata, apthous stomatitis, and lichen planus. Many recent studies have sought to identify the link of *H. pylori* to rosacea. These studies reached controversial conclusions and as a result, its role still remains ambiguous. Some authors believe that *H. pylori* indeed plays a pathogenetic role in rosacea, and others contradict any implication or accept it only as an aggravating factor rather than an etiological one. Another question is whether the eradication of bacteria improves rosacea and whether there is any association between *H. pylori* and rosacea subtype, given that some authors have identified that, in papulopustular subtype of rosacea, the prevalence of *H. pylori* and dyspeptic symptoms are increased. In addition, there are many distinct strains with different virulence, which may explain the different associations of *H. pylori* in these studies. Rosacea is a multifactorial disease which further complicates the evaluation of each risk factor alone, and conceals whether each factor acts separately or synergistically with the others. This probably explains the discrepancy between the different studies. Additionally, rosacea itself is a disorder with four different subtypes, each of which could have a diverse pathogenetic pathway. Moreover, *H. pylori* has been evaluated with various methods, such as C13-urea breath test, *H. pylori* stool antigen test, serological test using ELISA IgG test kit, and gastrointestinal endoscopy with biopsy or microbiologic culture. The studies used different evaluation methods, so this could be one of the reasons for the discrepancy between them regarding the prevalence of *H. pylori* in rosacea patients. The most precise method is biopsy, but its accuracy depends on the location of the specimen, requiring samples from five different sites. Furthermore, it is not very explicit whether *H. pylori* is the only gastric microorganism which plays a role, alone or in combination with SIBO or other gut microbiota, in the pathogenesis of rosacea.

Another important parameter is the role of antibiotics. Their previous use in participants may affect the results.
Some authors advocate that they act by eradicating \textit{H. pylori}, whereas others highlight a non-specific direct benefit from the use of antibiotics as the cause of clinical improvement. The confusion is further enhanced by the fact that some of the antibiotics known for their anti-inflammatory properties in rosacea, such as tetracyclines, metronidazole or macrolides, are also used to treat \textit{H. pylori} infection. Moreover, different studies have used different statistical methods which could constitute a further explanation for the controversial conclusions, taking also into account that much evidence is derived from case series with a small number of participants.

**Conclusion**

Rosacea is a skin disease with an obscure and complicated pathogenesis. Many mechanisms have been described but its etiology remains an enigma. There is not sufficient evidence regarding how determinant the role of \textit{H. pylori} is. According to some authors, rosacea is correlated with gastrointestinal disorders which justifies further clinical and laboratory examination. Based on the fact that the studies were not extensive, controlled studies are required. Additional research is mandatory in the field of the microbiology of \textit{H. pylori} as there are subtypes with different levels of virulence. Further studies are warranted to delve into the multifactorial nature of rosacea in order to elucidate the role of each factor in the pathogenesis of the disease.
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