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ABSTRACT

Background: soluble transferrin receptor is an early marker of tissue iron deficiency before onset of anaemia. sTfR is one of the diagnostic markers for iron deficiency anaemia. Iron deficiency anaemia is one of the most prevalent causes of anaemia in our environment. However, there is no established reference range for this diagnostic marker sTfR in our environment.

Objectives: To determine the soluble transferrin receptor levels in apparently normal adults in Port Harcourt Nigeria, determine the reference value of sTfR in the study population.

Methods: This is a descriptive cross sectional study conducted at the university of Port Harcourt Teaching Hospital. One hundred and fifty participants who satisfied the inclusion criteria were enrolled for this study. Full blood count and sTfR concentration were assayed on anticoagulated blood samples using a 3-part auto analyzer (Sysmex –KX21N®) and Human sTfR ELISA kit by BioVendor respectively. The results were analysed using SPSS version 21. P value <0.05 was considered significant.

Results: The mean sTfR concentration of the study population was 0.89±0.46ug/mL with a range of 0.3– 3.05ug/ml. The mean value of sTfR for males and females were 0.92±0.49ug/ml and 0.82±0.37ug/ml and the mean sTfR levels did not differ significantly for age and sex.

Conclusion: The reference value of sTfR concentration in healthy adults in Port Harcourt was established as 0.3-3.05ug/ml. This study found no statistically significant relationship of sTfR between the different sexes and ages.
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Introduction

Iron deficiency anaemia (IDA) is the most common anaemia in the world despite abundance of iron in the environment. The examination of bone marrow aspirate, stained with Prussian blue stain for iron, is still considered as the best method for evaluating iron status in patients with indeterminate laboratory findings. Its draw backs are that it is invasive, expensive, the results may be operator dependent and the aspirate obtained may not be adequate. It has also been shown to be uncomfortable and therefore not practical for routine use. [1,2] Due to the high global burden of iron deficiency anaemia which has made it a public health issue, there is a need to devise a screening tool that can be used to detect iron deficiency before onset of anaemia to aid early intervention and reduce the mortality and morbidity associated with IDA. This necessitates the need for a less invasive, specific and sensitive means of detecting tissue iron deficiency before onset of anaemia. Soluble transferrin receptor (sTfR) assay is a feasible option.[3]

Transferrin receptors are expressed on all dividing cells and its rate of synthesis is related to the cell’s iron requirement.[4] There are are up to 10,000 – 100,000 sTfR molecules per cell in actively dividing cells especially in erythroid precursors in the bone marrow and placental syncytiotrophoblast. sTfR concentration increases up to two folds in iron deficiency, making it an important tool for detecting early tissue iron deficiency before onset of anaemia. The other assays for determining iron deficiency, like serum iron, ferritin, total iron binding capacity, etc, are affected by inflammatory changes. [5] sTfR is not affected by inflammationmaking it superior to these other assays. [6] Sweet et al reported that sTfR measurement could replace other assays for iron deficiency state especially in subclinical iron deficiency present in their study population which was undetected by these other assays. [8]

There is no difference between sTfR concentrations in healthy males and females, [9,10] but the values are higher in children compared to adults.[11] However, Blacks have a higher sTfR concentration than non- blacks.[12] This difference may be related to the well-known but unexplained difference in haemoglobin concentrations in Blacks and Caucasians. Therefore, misdiagnosis of
iron deficiency can result if Caucasian values are used for blacks.

Some studies have also shown that sTfR is increased in blood donors, during physical exercise, cigarette smoking, and high altitude where reduced oxygen tension necessitates increased erythropoiesis but is reduced by ascorbic acid administration and iron supplementation.

A blood donor loses about 200-250 mg of iron with every unit of 450mls of blood donated. Some blood donors, especially commercial donors might donate more than three times a year for financial reasons, putting more pressure on their iron stores.

Several studies have provided reference ranges for soluble transferrin receptor concentration in the white population. There is paucity of studies on sTfR in our environment hence this study was aimed to determine reference value of sTfR in our environment.

A study done in the United States of America showed that the reference range of sTfR receptor is 10.3-29.1nmol/l. Another study done in Kansas showed a reference value of 2.8-8.5mg/L, while one done on Arabs in the Sultanate of Oman showed a reference value of 10.7-38.7nmol/l while another study showed 2.9-8.3mg/l.

The reference interval of serum transferrin receptor varies between different assay systems, depending on the choice of calibrators. It was proposed that recombinant serum transferrin receptor (rsTfR) preparation 07/202, may be designated a WHO Reference Reagent with assigned values of 21.7 mg/L and 30.3 nmol/L (when reconstituted with 0.50 mL distilled or deionized water).

Patients and Methods

Study Design and Source: This is a descriptive study done at the University of Port Harcourt Teaching Hospital (UPTH), Rivers State, Nigeria, from September 2013 to November 2013.

Study Population: A total of 150 participants, healthy non-fasting, males and females, within the age range of 17-60 years were selected by simple random sampling method. A research questionnaire was used to obtain basic information from participants including age, sex, tribe, occupation, level of education, history of chronic illness, nutritional history, history of smoking, menopausal status, blood donation history. Blood samples were collected afterwards for determination of full blood count and sTfR levels.

Three milliliters of venous blood was collected by venipuncture into ethylene diamine tetra-acetic acid (EDTA) bottles for each participant. Samples to be analyzed for full blood count were run immediately. Plasma samples were obtained after centrifugation of anticoagulated blood at 2500 revolutions per minute for 2 minutes. Plasma samples of subjects that met the FBC inclusion criteria and were then stored at a temperature of -70 °C. The full blood count was performed using 3 part autoanalyser (Sysmex®-KX21IN®).

Soluble transferrin receptor concentration was determined by an enzyme immunoassay (EIA) monoclonal antibody technique using Human sTfR ELISA kit (BioVendor® Research and Diagnostic Products D-69120 Heidleberg Germany). LOT-E13-012.

Inclusion criteria: PCV for healthy males =39-50%, (haemoglobin concentration= 13.0-16.5g/dL) and normal reference valve for PCV for healthy females = 33 - 40% (haemoglobin concentration=12.0-15.0g/dL). The total white cell count was 2.5x10⁹/L-11x10⁹/L. MCV, MCH and MCHC were 80.0-92.0fl, 24.6 – 30.2pg, 31.2 – 34.6g/dL respectively. Platelet count was 90-350x10⁹/l. Subjects with values outside these ranges were excluded.

Excluded were subjects with febrile illness within 4 weeks prior to the study, pregnant and presently menstruating females, persons on iron supplements 3 months prior to study, subjects with haematological parameters outside the ranges stated above.

Ethical Considerations: Ethical approval was obtained from the Research Ethical Committee of University of Port Harcourt Teaching Hospital (UPTH). A signed consent form was obtained from each participant before recruitment.

Statistical Analysis: Data was analyzed using SPSS 21.0. Descriptive statistics such as means and standard deviations were calculated for quantitative variables like haematological indices. Tables were generated according to objectives. Statistical tools such as students t test, analysis of variances (ANOVA), were used as appropriate to make statistical inferences about population parameters. Pearson’s correlation test was employed to determine the strength of association between haematological indices and sTfR levels. A p-value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Demographics A total of 150 respondents participated in the study consisting of 107 (71.3%) males and 43 (28.7%) females. The ages of the respondents were grouped as:
21-30 which had 42 respondents (28.0%), 31-40 had 75 respondents (50%), 41-50 had 28 respondents (18.7%), 51-60 had 5 respondents (3.3%). Thirty six out of the 43 females were premenopausal, while 7 were postmenopausal. Majority of the respondents (64%) came from the south-south geopolitical region. Details of general characteristics of the study is shown in table 1.

**Haematological Parameters:** The mean PCV of the study population was 44.15±3.96. The mean PCV for males was significantly higher than that of females 45.79±3.06 vs 40.06±2.84; p<0.001. The mean haemoglobin concentration of the study population was 14.18±1.38g/dL. The mean haemoglobin for males and females were 14.75±1.03g/dL and 14.05±1.60g/dL respectively p value= <0.001.

The mean MCV, MCH, MCHC of the study population were 93.11±3.44fl, 29.84±0.06pg and 32.09±0.87g/dL respectively. No statistically significant difference was found in red cell indices of males and females. The difference in the total white cell and platelet counts of males and females were statistically significant. Details are shown in table 2.

**Soluble Transferrin Receptor Levels:** The total mean value of soluble transferrin receptor in the study population was 0.89±0.46ug/ml, with a range of 0.3-3.05ug/ml. Males had a higher sTfR level than females 0.92±0.49ug/ml vs 0.82±0.37ug/ml. However, the difference in the mean is not statistically significant (p value = 0.204) as shown in table 3. There was no significant difference of sTfR amongst different age groups.

The mean sTfR levels of male and female participants for various age groups is shown in table 3. For those in the age range of 21-30 yrs, sTfR was 0.88±0.48ug/dl and 0.80±0.47ug/dl for males and females respectively and for those aged 51-60, 0.98±0.53ug/dl and 0.97±0.13ug/dl for males and females respectively. The difference in mean sTfR across the various age groups were not statistically significant.

**sTfR Levels and Menopausal Status:** Most of the participants of this study were males 107 (71.3) with a mean sTfR of 0.92 ± 0.49ug/dl. The females comprised 28.7% of the participants. Majority of the females were premenopausal 36 (24.0), while 4.7% of them were postmenopausal. The mean sTfR levels of females was 0.82 ± 0.37ug/dl. The sTfR level did not differ significantly between pre and post-menopausal women in the study population as seen in table 4.

**Correlation Between sTfR and Haematological Parameters:** There was a weak positive correlation between sTfR concentration and some haematological parameters in the study group. The r values for PCV, Hb, WBC, Platelet, and MCHC were: 0.033, 0.032, 0.093, 0.092, and 0.002 respectively but these were not statistically significant. A weak negative correlation was observed between MCV and MCH with sTfR r= -0.042 and -0.035 respectively which were not statistically significant as shown in table 5.

### Table 1: Sociodemographic Variables

| Variables                  | Frequency (%) N = 150 |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|
| **Age group (years)**      |                       |
| 21-30                      | 42 (28.0)             |
| 31-40                      | 75 (50.0)             |
| 41-50                      | 28 (18.7)             |
| 51-60                      | 5 (3.3)               |
| **Sex**                    |                       |
| Males                      | 107 (71.3)            |
| Females                    | 43 (28.7)             |
| Premenopausal              | 36 (24.0)             |
| Postmenopausal             | 7 (4.7)               |
| **Regions**                |                       |
| South South                | 96 (64.0)             |
| South East                 | 47 (31.3)             |
| Others                     | 7 (4.7)               |
| **Level of Education**     |                       |
| Primary                    | 4 (2.7)               |
| Secondary                  | 13 (8.7)              |
| Tertiary                   | 133 (88.7)            |
| **Occupation**             |                       |
| Civil servants             | 31 (20.7)             |
| Healthcare Professionals   | 85 (56.7)             |
| Students                   | 19 (12.7)             |
| Others                     | 15 (10.0)             |

Key: N indicates number of variables.
Table 2: sTfR and Haematological Parameters in the Study Population.

| Variables       | Total Mean±SD N=150 | Male Mean±SD N=107 | Female Mean±SD N=43 | t     | P Value |
|-----------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------|---------|
| sTfR (ug/ml)    | 0.89±0.46           | 0.92±0.49          | 0.82±0.37           | 1.275 | 0.204   |
| PCV (%)         | 44.15±3.96          | 45.79±3.06         | 40.06±2.84          | 10.576| <0.001  |
| Hb (g/dl)       | 14.18±1.38          | 14.75±1.03         | 12.75±1.06          | 10.725| <0.001  |
| WBCx10^9/l      | 7.40±1.56           | 7.21±1.52          | 7.86±1.57           | -2.334| 0.021   |
| PLATELETx10^9/l | 241.77±45.76        | 233.31±43.16       | 262.81±45.74        | -3.722| <0.001  |
| MCV(femtolitres)| 93.11±3.44          | 92.83±3.26         | 93.82±3.82          | -1.608| 0.110   |
| MCH(picograms)  | 29.84±0.06          | 29.87±0.73         | 29.80±0.91          | 0.516 | 0.607   |
| MCHC (g/dl)     | 32.09±0.87          | 32.22±0.87         | 31.79±0.80          | 2.794 | 0.006   |

Key: SD- standard deviation. N-number of variables. sTfR- soluble transferrin receptor. PCV- packed cell volume. Hb- haemoglobin. WBC- white blood cells. MCV- mean cell volume. MCH- mean cell haemoglobin. MCHC- mean cell haemoglobin concentration.

Table 3: sTfR Levels by Age Group and Sex of the Study Population.

| Age groups | Sex    | FREQUENCY(%) | Mean±SD | t     | P Value |
|------------|--------|--------------|---------|-------|---------|
| 21-30yrs   | Male   | 33(22.0)     | 0.88±0.48| 0.428 | 0.671   |
|            | Female | 9(6.0)       | 0.80±0.47|       |         |
| 31-40yrs   | Male   | 52(34.7)     | 0.94±0.52| 1.272 | 0.207   |
|            | Female | 23(15.3)     | 0.79±0.33|       |         |
| 41-50yrs   | Male   | 20(13.4)     | 0.95±0.43| 0.478 | 0.636   |
|            | Female | 8(5.3)       | 0.86±0.45|       |         |
| 51-60yrs   | Male   | 2(1.3)       | 0.98±0.53| 0.028 | 0.979   |
|            | Female | 3(2.0)       | 0.97±0.13|       |         |

Key: N= number of participants, SD- standard deviation, sTfR unit =ug/ml

Table 4: sTfR Levels by Sex and Menopausal Status

| Sex       | Frequency (%) | Mean ± SD (ug/ml) | t     | p value |
|-----------|---------------|-------------------|-------|---------|
| Males     | 107 (71.3)    | 0.92 ± 0.49       |       |         |
| Females   | 43 (28.7)     | 0.82 ± 0.37       | 1.275 | 0.204   |
| Premenopausal | 36 (24.0) | 0.82 ± 0.39 | | |
| Postmenopausal | 7 (4.7) | 0.86 ± 0.20 | 0.758 | 0.470   |

Table 5: Correlation Between sTfR and Haematological Parameters in the Study Participants

| PCV (%) | HB (g/dl) | WBCx10^9/l | PLTEx10^9/l | MCV(fl) | MCH(pg) | MCHC(g/dl) |
|---------|-----------|------------|-------------|---------|---------|------------|
| R       | 0.033     | 0.032      | 0.093       | 0.092   | -0.042  | -0.035     |
| P value | 0.691     | 0.701      | 0.259       | 0.263   | 0.608   | 0.671      |

Key: SD- standard deviation. sTfR- soluble transferrin receptor. PCV- packed cell volume. Hb- haemoglobin. WBC- white blood cell count. MCV- mean cell volume. MCH- mean cell haemoglobin. MCHC- mean cell haemoglobin concentration.

Discussion

Various authors have reported varying reference values for sTfR depending on the study population. This study on apparently healthy Nigerians found the reference range of sTfR to be 0.3-3.05ug/ml. The upper limit is higher than those reported in some non-African based studies. [12, 20,24] Van den Bosch G et al [24] reported lower values of 0.58±0.26mg/l and 0.5-2.7mg/l respectively. Allen and Knox-Macaulay obtained a marginally higher mean in their studies of 1.4±0.3mg/l and 1.5±0.5mg/l respectively. Similarly, Simek M and his colleagues in another related study found mean values higher than that reported in the study.
This is probably because the study population, not significantly impact on males and females. Menstrual status in healthy females did differ significantly across various age groups and between adult population in Port Harcourt Nigeria. sTfR did not reference range for sTfR to be 0.3-3.05ug/ml in healthy adults. This observation was affirmed by Allen and blood loss of iron does not significantly affect sTfR levels in menopausal women (.P=0.470), suggesting that menstrual differences cannot be readily explained, however, this might be due to environmental, racial, dietary and genetic factors and also assay methods used.

The sTfR levels did not differ with age,(F= 0.160, p=0.923) Von Schmiesing et al[27] in a similar study which though found relatively higher ranges for each age group when compared to the index study, did not also observe any significant difference in the mean sTfR across the various age groups which is similar to our observations in this study. This was affirmed by Raya et al[28] and other authors. This probably is because the study population, being all adults, have all attained adult levels of sTfR. Contrary to this, Jong Weon Choi et al[30] observed age related differences in sTfR levels. This difference might be due to the fact that the study participants used by Jong Weon Choi and his friends were not limited to adults alone, unlike our study and other studies.[10, 11, 19, 24]

We observed that the mean sTfR levels for both sexes were not significantly different though males had a slightly higher mean sTfR. Kohgo et al and Allen et al[9, 12] in their respective study, even though the former reported lower values and the latter higher sTfR values for each sex, did not find any significant difference in their mean sTfR as also seen in other related studies.[31, 32] On the contrary Knox- macaulay and co suggested otherwise from their work, stating that there was a statistically significant difference of sTfR values in men and women.[20] Another study also noted a higher sTfR levels in females compared to males.[29] The reason for these differences cannot be readily explained, however, this might require confirmation using a larger study population. There was no significant difference in sTfR with pre and post-menopausal women (.P=0.470), suggesting that menstrual blood loss of iron does not significantly affect sTfR levels in normal adults. This observation was affirmed by Allen and his colleagues.[12]

Conclusion:
This study using immunological assay has established reference range for sTfR to be 0.3-3.05ug/ml in heathy adult population in Port Harcourt Nigeria. sTfR did not differ significantly across various age groups and between males and females. Menstrual status in healthy females did not significantly impact on the sTfR level.
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