Epidermal growth factor receptor activation in androgen-independent but not androgen-stimulated growth of human prostatic carcinoma cells
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Summary These studies were undertaken to assess the relative expression and autocrine activation of the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) in normal and transformed prostatic epithelial cells and to determine whether EGFR activation plays a functional role in androgen-stimulated growth of prostate cancer cells in vitro. EGFR expression was determined by Western blot analysis and ELISA immunocassays. Immunoprecipitation of radiophosphorylated EGFR and evaluation of tyrosine phosphorylation was used to assess EGFR activation. The human androgen-independent prostate cancer cell lines PC3 and DU145 exhibited higher levels of EGFR expression and autocrine phosphorylation than normal human prostatic epithelial cells or the human androgen-responsive prostate cancer cell line LNCaP. PC3 and DU145 cells also showed higher levels of autonomous growth under serum-free defined conditions. Normal prostatic epithelial cells expressed EGFR but did not exhibit detectable levels of EGFR phosphorylation when cultured in the presence of exogenous EGF. Addition of EGF stimulated EGFR phosphorylation and induced proliferation of normal cells. LNCaP cells exhibited autocrine phosphorylation of EGFR but did not undergo significant proliferation when cultured in the absence of exogenous growth factors. A biphasic growth curve was observed when LNCaP cells were cultured with dihydrotestosterone (DHT). Maximum proliferation occurred at 1 nm DHT with regression of the growth response at DHT concentrations greater than 1 nm. However, neither EGFR expression nor phosphorylation was altered in LNCaP cells after androgen stimulation. In addition, DHT-stimulated growth of LNCaP cells was not inhibited by anti-EGFR. These studies show that autocrine activation of EGFR is a common feature of prostatic carcinoma cells in contrast to normal epithelial cells. However, EGFR activation does not appear to play a functional role in androgen-stimulated growth of LNCaP cells in vitro.

Keywords: prostate cancer; androgen; epidermal growth factor receptors

The prostate gland is dependent on the presence of circulating androgens to maintain its normal structure and function (Butler and Schade, 1958; Lee and Sensibar, 1978; Isaacs, 1984). Likewise, prostatic neoplasms are androgen-sensitive tumours that undergo regression after chemical or surgical androgen ablation (Scott et al, 1980; Geller et al, 1988; Deneshagari and Crawford, 1993). However, the vast majority of disseminated prostatic adenocarcinomas recur and progress in the androgen-depleted environment (Lepor et al, 1982). Although new and more sophisticated methods of inducing androgen ablation have been developed in recent years, the basic premise of anti-androgen therapy in the treatment of prostate cancer has remained largely unchanged since the pioneering work of Huggins and Hodges in the 1940s (Huggins and Hodges, 1941). After recurrence in the androgen-depleted host, most disseminated, androgen-independent prostatic tumours are resistant to conventional chemotherapy and radiation treatment. Therefore, understanding of the mechanisms of androgen-independent growth of prostate cancers with the hope of developing effective interventions against metastatic androgen-independent tumours is a major area of interest in the field of prostate cancer research.

The epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) is a 170-kDa transmembrane glycoprotein that has been identified in normal, hyperplastic and malignant prostatic epithelium (Maygarden et al, 1992; Ching et al, 1993; Ibrahim et al, 1993). The binding of EGF, TGF-α or amphiregulin results in tyrosine phosphorylation of EGFR and activation of downstream signal transduction pathways (Aaronson, 1991; Pellicci et al, 1992; Leevers et al, 1994). Results of several recent investigations have demonstrated increased TGF-α expression and activation of EGFR in human and non-human prostatic carcinomas (Hofer et al, 1991; Fong et al, 1992; Kaplan et al, 1996). Autocrine activation of EGFR has been proposed as a mechanism to support neoplastic growth and tissue invasiveness of transformed prostatic epithelial cells (Hofer et al, 1991; Fong et al, 1992; Jarrard et al, 1994; Turner et al, 1996). However, the relative level of EGFR expression in normal prostatic epithelial cells as well as in androgen-responsive and androgen-independent prostatic tumour cells has not been clearly defined. In addition, the functional role of EGFR activation in androgen-stimulated growth of prostate cancers has not been determined. The present studies were undertaken to define the relative expression and activation of EGFR in normal and malignant prostatic epithelial cells. In addition, EGFR expression and autocrine phosphorylation was determined in androgen-responsive and androgen-independent prostate cancer cells. Further studies were undertaken to determine the functional role of EGFR activation in androgen-stimulated growth of LNCaP cells in vitro.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell lines

The androgen-independent prostatic carcinoma cell lines PC3 and DU145 were generously provided by Drs E Kaighn (Experimental Carcinogenesis Laboratory, NIH) and D Mickey (Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC, USA). Stock cultures of the respective cell lines were maintained in RPMI-1640 medium containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and penicillin (100 U ml⁻¹) streptomycin (100 μg ml⁻¹) (P/S). Normal prostatic epithelial cells were isolated from fresh prostatic tissue obtained from organ donors of less than 35 years of age and established in primary culture. Serial sections of prostatic tissue were examined microscopically after staining with haematoxylin and eosin to assure the absence of carcinoma or benign hyperplasia. Epithelial cells were isolated by enzymatic and mechanical dissociation of prostatic tissue followed by Percoll gradient centrifugation of the resulting cell suspension as previously described (Kozlowski et al., 1988; Sherwood et al., 1989). Isolated epithelial cells were cultivated in complete WJAC 404 medium which consisted of WJAC 404 medium supplemented with bovine pituitary extract (30 μg ml⁻¹), Upstate Biotechnology, Lake Placid, NY, USA), EGF (10 ng ml⁻¹), Upstate Biotechnology), cholera toxin (10 ng ml⁻¹, Sigma Chemical, St Louis, MO, USA), prolactin (3 ng ml⁻¹, Sigma), Redu-Ser II (insulin 5 μg ml⁻¹, transferrin 5 μg ml⁻¹, sodium selenite 5 ng ml⁻¹, bovine serum albumin 500 μg ml⁻¹, oleic acid 4.3 μg ml⁻¹, Upstate Biotechnology) and P/S. Normal epithelial cells were used in experiments after one or two serial passages. Primary cultures of prostatic epithelial cells are designated as E1 throughout the text.

Antibodies and growth factors

Monoclonal antibodies 225 and 528 raised against the human epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) were generously provided by Dr J Mendelsohn (Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center) and were prepared as previously outlined (Kawamoto et al., 1983; Gill et al., 1984). Anti-EGFR clone LA22, monoclonal anti-phosphotyrosine clone 4G10 and EGF were purchased from Upstate Biotechnology. Dihydrotestosterone (DHT) was purchased from Sigma.

Assessment of cell numbers

Cells (1–2 × 10⁶ per well) were plated in 24-well plates and allowed to adhere overnight (16–18 h). The following day, cultures were washed three times with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.4) and experimental samples were added to respective wells. Day 0 cell counts were performed to determine plating efficiency. Cells were cultured (37°C, 5% carbon dioxide) for 6 days and media were replaced on days 2 and 4. The cells were harvested using 0.25% trypsin/0.1% EDTA (Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY, USA) and counted using a Coulter counter (Coulter Electronics, Hialeah, FL, USA).

Western blotting and ELISA immunoassays

For Western blotting, cells were plated (2 × 10⁶ per well) in six-well plates and grown to 80–90% confluency. The cells were harvested using 1.5 mM EDTA in PBS followed by gentle scraping with the plunger of a 1-ml syringe and were counted using a haemocytometer. The cells were washed (3×) with cold PBS, disrupted using lysis buffer (1% Triton X-100, 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.0), 1.5 mM EDTA, 1 mM phenylmethylsulphonyl fluoride (PMSF), 1 mM sodium vanadate, 1 μg ml⁻¹ leupeptin, pepstatin and aprotinin) at a concentration of 1 × 10⁶ cells per 0.5 ml of lysis buffer, and the Triton-soluble supernatant was harvested after centrifugation at 14 000 g for 10 min. Protein concentration was determined using the Coomassie protein assay (Fierce Chemical, Rockford, IL, USA). Proteins (200 μg per lane) were separated by SDS-PAGE and electrophoretically transferred to nitrocellulose membranes (0.2 μm, Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) using a transfer buffer consisting of 192 mM glycine, 25 mM Tris-HCl and 20% methanol. Blots were blocked for 2–4 h in 5% non-fat dry milk and incubated with monoclonal antibodies 225 and 528. After washing, blots were incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies (1:1000) and visualized with enhanced chemoluminescence (Amersham, Arlington Heights, IL, USA).

ELISA immunoassays

The protein concentration of WJAC 404 supernatants obtained after 24 h of growth of the indicated cell lines were determined by ELISA immunoassays as described previously (Pucher et al., 1989). Supernatants were prepared in complete WJAC 404 medium and were serially diluted from 100 to 10⁻⁶. Triplicate 25 μl of each dilution were added to 24-well plates and incubated with the monoclonal antibody clones 4G10 and EGF at the concentrations indicated in the figure. After incubation for 1 h, the plates were washed three times with PBS and incubated with secondary antibodies (1:1000) for 1 h. After washing, the plates were incubated with 100 μl of 1 mg ml⁻¹ o-phenylenediamine dihydrochloride (OPD, Sigma) in 0.1 M citrate buffer for 1 h. The reaction was stopped by adding 100 μl of 2 M H₂SO₄ and the absorbance at 490 nm was measured using a Titertek Multiscan spectrophotometer (Flow Laboratories, McLean, VA, USA). The concentration of EGF was calculated using the standard curve plotted from the known concentration of EGF (Sigma) using the cell line LNCaP as a standard.

Table 1 Proliferation of normal and transformed prostatic epithelial cells in the presence and absence of EGF

| Cell line | Day 0 | No EGF | EGF | Complete media |
|-----------|-------|--------|-----|----------------|
| E1        | 1.2 ± 0.2 | 1.4 ± 0.3 | 3.6 ± 0.4* | 6.0 ± 0.4* |
| LNCaP     | 1.1 ± 0.1 | 1.2 ± 0.4 | 2.5 ± 0.4* | 6.1 ± 0.5* |
| DU145     | 1.5 ± 0.3 | 4.2 ± 0.3* | 6.5 ± 0.7* | 13.9 ± 2.6* |
| PC3       | 1.0 ± 0.2 | 8.3 ± 1.2* | 9.9 ± 0.7* | 12.2 ± 1.8* |

Cells were cultured under defined conditions in 24-well plates for 6 days and counted with a Coulter counter. Day 0 cell counts were performed to determine plating efficiency and provide a reference point for assessing cellular proliferation. In additional wells, cells were cultured in defined EGF-free medium or with EGF (20 ng ml⁻¹) to assess EGF-induced proliferation.

As a positive control, cells were cultured with RPMI-1640 media containing 10% FBS (PC3, LNCaP) or complete WJAC 404 (normal epithelial cells). E1 refers to primary cultures of normal prostatic epithelial cells. n = 8–12 per group. *P < 0.05 compared with Day 0 control.

Figure 1 Effect of DHT on the proliferation of LNCaP cells. LNCaP cells (2 × 10⁶ per well) were plated in 24-well plates and allowed to adhere overnight. Cells were cultured for 6 days in RPMI-1640 media supplemented with 10% charcoal-stripped FBS and varying concentrations of DHT as indicated. Anti-EGFR clone 528 (20 μM) was added to additional wells to determine the effect of anti-EGFR on DHT-stimulated growth of LNCaP cells. Non-specific IgG served as a control. Cells were detached from the culture surface with trypsin/EDTA and counted with a Coulter counter to determine cell numbers in each group. Values represent the mean ± s.e.m. n = 8–12 per group.
milk reconstituted in PBS and incubated at 25°C with primary antibody for 16–18 h. The blots were washed (3×) with TTBS (0.05% Tween 20, 50 mM Tris-HCl, 200 mM sodium chloride) and incubated at 25°C with horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibody for 1 h. Immunoreactive proteins were visualized using the enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) system (Amersham, Arlington Heights, IL, USA). Band intensities were quantitated using the Ambis Image Acquisition and Analysis System (Ambis, San Diego, CA, USA).

For the ELISA assay, samples were prepared as outlined above for Western blotting except that manufacturer-supplied antigen extraction buffer was used to prepare cell lysates. Cells (2 × 10^7 per 0.5 ml of antigen extraction buffer) were lysed, centrifuged (14 000 g for 10 min) and the supernatant was harvested. Samples were diluted with antigen extraction buffer to achieve protein concentrations of 0.4–50 μg ml⁻¹. The human EGFR ELISA was purchased from Oncogene Science (Uniondale, NY, USA) and the assay was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, samples (0.4–50 μg of protein ml⁻¹) were added to 96-well microtiter wells precoated with monoclonal anti-EGFR and incubated at 37°C for 3 h. EGFR standards of 0–80 fmol ml⁻¹ as provided by the manufacturer were added to wells in duplicate for development of a standard curve. The wells were washed six times using a plate washer (Bio-Rad Model 1250) followed by addition of rabbit anti-EGFR and incubation at 25°C for 1 h. After washing, HRP-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG was added and plates were incubated at 25°C for 1 h. A sulphuric acid solution (2.5 N) was added to each well to stop the peroxidase reaction, and samples were analysed with a microplate reader (Bio-Rad Model 3550) at 490 nm.

**Labelling of proteins with ^32P**

Cells were plated (3 × 10^5 cells per well) in six-well plates and allowed to adhere overnight. The following day, cells were washed three times with PBS and cultured in serum-free, growth factor-free media for 24 h. Cells were then washed three times with phosphate-free Dulbecco’s modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) and cultured in phosphate-free DMEM containing ^32P (0.4 μCi per well, Amersham) and experimental additives for 24 h. Cells were then washed three times with PBS and 2 ml of lysis buffer was added to each well. Samples were harvested and cleared by centrifugation (2000 g for 10 min). In addition, wells, cells were detached from the culture surface using 0.25% trypsin/0.1% EDTA and counted with a Coulter counter to quantitate cell numbers in each group. Immunoprecipitation of radiophosphorylated EGFR was performed using 500 μg of protein from each group.

**Immunoprecipitation**

Protein A Sepharose 4B Fast Flow beads (Sigma Chemical) were combined with anti-EGFR 528 by incubating 100 μl of 20% (v/v) beads with 2 μg of antibody at 4°C for 16–18 h. Beads were washed three times with cold PBS and added to cell lysates (1 × 10^5 cells per sample). Samples were incubated at 4°C for 16–18 h with shaking, and beads were washed three times with cold Triton-free lysis buffer followed by elution of proteins with Laemmli buffer (0.5 M Tris-HCl, 2% sodium dodecyl sulphate) and boiling. Immunoprecipitated proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE.

**Statistics**

Statistical analyses were performed using one-way analysis of variance followed by Student’s t-test. A value of P < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

**Autonomous and EGF-stimulated growth of benign and malignant prostatic epithelial cells**

The proliferation of benign and malignant prostatic epithelial cells was evaluated in the presence and absence of EGF (Table 1). Normal prostatic epithelial cells established in primary culture (E1) did not exhibit significant proliferation when cultured in serum-free WAJC 404 medium devoid of EGF. Cultivation of normal cells in defined media supplemented with EGF or in complete WAJC 404 medium resulted in twofold and fivefold (P < 0.05) increases in cell number, respectively, compared with EGF-free controls. LNCaP cells did not exhibit growth when cultured in EGF-free medium. However, addition of EGF more than doubled (P < 0.05) LNCaP proliferation compared with EGF-free controls and a greater than fourfold increase in LNCaP proliferation was observed when cells were cultured with medium containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS). DU145 and PC3 cells exhibited significant...
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buffer). Cells were harvested, quantitated and lysed (1 × 10⁶ per 0.5 ml of lysis buffer). Proteins (200 µg per lane) were separated by SDS-PAGE and detected by Western blotting with anti-EGFR clone LA22.

Densitometric analyses showed that PC3 and DU145 expressed 3.7-fold and 5.0-fold higher levels of EGFR than LNCaP respectively. Compared with normal epithelial cells, PC3 and DU145 expressed 2.9-fold and 3.8-fold greater levels of EGFR. Comparison of EGFR expression between LNCaP and normal epithelial cells did not show a statistically significant difference.

The relative level of EGFR expression in prostatic cells was also quantitated by ELISA (Table 2). The level of EGFR expression in PC3 and DU145, cells was 3.3-fold and 4.2-fold greater, respectively, than that observed in LNCaP. Compared with normal epithelial cells, 2.6-fold and 3.3-fold greater levels of EGFR expression were observed in PC3 and DU145 cells, respectively.

**Effect of DHT and EGF on EGFR expression in prostatic tumour cells**

Examination of EGFR expression in the presence of exogenous EGF or DHT showed that EGF down-regulated EGFR expression in LNCaP and PC3 cells while DHT at 1 nm had no effect (Figure 3). Dose–response studies showed that DHT at concentrations of 0.001–10 nm did not alter EGFR expression in androgen-responsive LNCaP cells (Figure 4). For comparison, PC3 and DU145 cells were run in parallel lanes. As previously shown, PC3 and DU145 cells expressed higher levels of EGFR than LNCaP. The quantitative analysis of EGFR bands on Western blots by densitometry or assessment of EGFR content by ELISA also showed that DHT at 0.001–10 nm did not significantly alter EGFR expression in androgen-responsive LNCaP cells (Table 3).

**Phosphorylation of EGFR in benign and malignant prostatic epithelial cells**

Immunoprecipitation studies were conducted after labelling of EGFR with ³²P, under serum-free, growth factor-free conditions (Figure 5A). The prostatic tumour cell lines PC3, DU145 and LNCaP exhibited autocrine phosphorylation of the EGFR (Figure 5A). Densitometric analysis showed that DU145 (212 c.p.m.) and PC3 (182 c.p.m.) possessed higher levels of autocrine EGFR phosphorylation than LNCaP (72 c.p.m.). The relative tyrosine phosphorylation of EGFR in LNCaP, PC3 and DU145 cells was

| DHT (nm) | Densitometry (c.p.m.) | ELISA (receptors per cell × 10⁻⁶) |
|----------|------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 0        | 92 ± 5                 | 4.6 ± 0.6                        |
| 0.001    | 80 ± 6                 | 4.2 ± 0.8                        |
| 0.01     | 86 ± 8                 | 5.6 ± 0.5                        |
| 1        | 90 ± 11                | 4.3 ± 1.1                        |
| 10       | 98 ± 12                | 4.0 ± 0.8                        |

Table 3 Relative expression of EGFR in LNCaP cells incubated with DHT

LNCaP cells were cultured in the presence of DHT at concentrations of 0–10 nm for 24 h. Cells were harvested, counted and lysates were prepared. Proteins (200 µg per lane) were separated by SDS-PAGE, and EGFR bands were visualized by immunoblotting with anti-EGFR. EGFR expression was quantitated by densitometric analysis of Western blots. EGFR in cell lysates was also quantitated by ELISA. ELISA and Western blot results represent data from at least three separate determinations for each group. Values are expressed as the mean ± s.e.m.

**EGF receptor expression in normal and transformed prostatic epithelial cells**

The relative expression of EGFR in different prostatic cells was determined by Western blot analysis (Figure 2). The androgen-independent cell lines PC3 and DU145 showed a higher level of EGFR expression than normal epithelial cells (E1) or LNCaP cells. Band intensity of Western blots were quantitated by densitometry (Table 2). Densitometric analysis showed that PC3 and DU145 expressed 3.7-fold and 5.0-fold higher levels of EGFR than LNCaP respectively. Compared with normal epithelial cells, PC3 and DU145 expressed 2.9-fold and 3.8-fold greater levels of EGFR. Comparison of EGFR expression between LNCaP and normal epithelial cells did not show a statistically significant difference.

The relative level of EGFR expression in prostatic cells was also quantitated by ELISA (Table 2). The level of EGFR expression in PC3 and DU145, cells was 3.3-fold and 4.2-fold greater, respectively, than that observed in LNCaP. Compared with normal epithelial cells, 2.6-fold and 3.3-fold greater levels of EGFR expression were observed in PC3 and DU145 cells, respectively.
also assessed by immunoprecipitation of EGFR followed by Western blotting with anti-phosphotyrosine. PC3 (75 c.p.m.) and DU145 (81 c.p.m.) showed higher levels of EGFR tyrosine phosphorylation than LNCaP (52 c.p.m.) (Figure 5B).

Analysis of EGFR activation in normal prostatic epithelial cells showed a lack of EGFR phosphorylation when normal cells were cultured in the absence of exogenous growth factors but showed that addition of EGF stimulated EGFR phosphorylation (Figure 6). For comparison, parallel studies were performed using DU145 cells. DU145 cells exhibited autocrine activation of EGFR which was further enhanced by EGF. Anti-EGFR reduced autocrine activation of EGFR. As a control, non-specific IgG did not alter EGFR activation compared with serum-free, antibody-free controls (Figure 6).

**Effect of DHT on EGFR phosphorylation**

The effect of DHT (0–10 nM) on total EGFR phosphorylation in LNCaP cells was determined by immunoprecipitation of radio-phosphorylated EGFR (Figure 7A). Densitometric analysis of three separate runs showed no change in total EGFR phosphorylation when DHT was added to the culture media. The effect of DHT on EGFR tyrosine phosphorylation in LNCaP cells was studied by immunoprecipitation of EGFR followed by Western blotting with anti-phosphotyrosine (Figure 7B). No difference in the level of EGFR tyrosine phosphorylation was observed when LNCaP cells were cultured in the presence of 0–10 nM DHT as determined by densitometry for three separate runs.

**DISCUSSION**

The treatment of metastatic prostate cancer is complicated by the ability of prostatic tumour cells to escape conventional anti-androgen therapy. Most disseminated prostatic tumours undergo regression after surgical or chemical androgen ablation. However, tumour relapse and progression occurs in the vast majority of cases. Tumour regrowth is mediated through androgen-independent mechanisms, which are currently poorly understood. Therefore, understanding of the cellular and molecular mechanisms involved in androgen-independent growth and progression of prostate cancers is a key step in developing rational treatment approaches to this disease.

The EGFR and its ligands, EGF and TGF-α, have been identified in benign and malignant prostatic tissues and cells (Wilding et al, 1989; Connolly and Rose, 1991; Hofer et al, 1991; Fong et al, 1992; Maygardien et al, 1992; Ching et al, 1993; Ibrahim et al, 1993). The functional role of the TGF-α-EGFR interaction has been demonstrated in cultured prostate cancer cells (Hofer et al, 1991; Fong et al, 1992). Results from the present study demonstrated the autocrine activation of the EGFR in all of the prostatic tumour cell lines studied. In contrast, normal prostatic epithelial cells did not exhibit autocrine activation of the EGFR. These results indicate that autocrine phosphorylation of EGFR is a common finding in cultured prostatic cancer cells and appears to be a defining characteristic of the transformed phenotype. In addition, the androgen-independent cell lines PC3 and DU145 exhibited higher levels of EGFR expression and phosphorylation than LNCaP cells. PC3 and DU145 cells also demonstrated higher levels of autonomous growth than LNCaP cells. Previous studies have shown that the autonomous growth of DU145 and PC3 cells is inhibited by anti-EGFR (Connolly and Rose, 1991; Hofer et al,
1991; Fong et al 1992). We have demonstrated that TGF-α is the primary ligand mediating autocrine activation of EGFR. TGF-α is present in conditioned media from PC3 and DU145 cultures and anti-TGF-α, but not anti-EGF, will inhibit the autonomous growth of PC3 and DU145 cells (Hofer et al, 1991). Taken together, these results demonstrate that autocrine activation of the EGFR is a common feature of transformed prostastic epithelial cells and plays a functional role in androgen-independent growth in vitro.

Some studies have shown that EGFR expression is modestly increased in LNCaP cells and the androgen-responsive ALVA-101 cell line after androgen stimulation (Schuurmans et al, 1988; Liu et al, 1993). ALVA-101 cells also exhibited a small increase in TGF-α expression after incubation with DHT (Liu et al, 1993). Rukstalis and colleagues (Brass et al, 1995) observed up-regulation of EGFR expression and binding affinity after androgen stimulation of PC3 cells transfected with an androgen receptor expression vector. However, other investigators have shown that TGF-α (Wilding et al, 1989; Connolly and Rose, 1990) and EGF (Connolly and Rose, 1990) expression are not increased in LNCaP cells after androgen stimulation. Results from additional studies have shown that EGFR expression was unchanged by androgen stimulation of LNCaP cells (McDonald and Habib, 1992) or the Dunning rat model of prostatic carcinoma (Damber et al, 1995). In the present study, we confirm and extend these latter observations by showing that EGFR phosphorylation is not altered by the presence of DHT. We examined EGFR phosphorylation in LNCaP cells over a wide range of DHT concentrations and observed that DHT does not alter EGFR phosphorylation. We also found that DHT-induced proliferation of LNCaP cells is not inhibited by anti-EGFR 225. Our previous studies (Hofer et al, 1991; Fong et al, 1992) demonstrated that PC3 and DU145 proliferation is inhibited by anti-EGFR 225. These findings indicate that EGFR activation is not likely to play a role in DHT-induced growth of LNCaP cells. This is in contrast to the findings of Liu and co-workers (1993) who have reported that androgen-stimulated growth of ALVA 101 cells is inhibited by anti-EGFR.

The relative level of EGFR expression in prostate cancer and in normal prostatic epithelium remains controversial. Several reports have demonstrated higher levels of EGFR expression in prostate cancer (Morris and Dodd, 1990; Ching et al, 1993; Montone and Tomaszewski, 1993; Glynn-Jones et al, 1996) compared with normal prostate. In some studies, the level of EGFR expression correlated with increased nuclear size (Montone and Tomaszewski, 1993) and cellular dedifferentiation (Morris and Dodd, 1990; Glynn-Jones et al, 1996). EGFR expression has also been correlated with higher histological grade, increased S-phase fraction and poorer prognosis of prostate cancers (Visacorpi et al, 1992). However, other immunohistochemical investigations have shown greater EGFR expression in normal and hyperplastic prostate compared with prostate cancer (Maygarde et al, 1992; Mellon et al, 1992; Ibrahim et al, 1993). Our study demonstrated a higher level of EGFR expression in the androgen-independent PC3 and DU145 cell lines than in LNCaP cells or in normal epithelial cells. Comparison of LNCaP and in normal epithelia showed almost equivalent levels of EGFR expression. Higher levels of EGFR expression in DU145 and PC3 compared with LNCaP have been reported at the transcriptional level (Morris and Dodd, 1990; Ching et al, 1993) and by ligand binding (Davies and Eaton, 1989). Our results extended those findings by showing increased EGFR expression at the translational level in PC3 and DU145 cells. In addition, our studies demonstrated that androgen-independent but not androgen-sensitive, prostatic tumour cell lines express higher levels of EGFR than normal prostatic epithelial cells. None of the in vivo studies have adequately addressed the issue of relative EGFR expression in androgen-sensitive and androgen-independent prostate cancer, although Davies and Eaton (1989) have reported an inverse relationship between EGF binding and androgen receptor expression in homogenized specimens of prostatic carcinoma. Results of the present study showed that elevated expression and activation of EGFR were associated with the androgen-independent phenotype.
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