Production and Evaluation of the Quality of Pearl Millet-based Fura (A Northern Nigerian Cereal-based Spiced Steamed Dough) as Affected by Bambara Groundnut Flour Supplementation
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ABSTRACT

Pearl millet flour was substituted with Bambara groundnut flour (0-20%) to form blends and used in fura preparation. Functional and microbiological profiles of the flours and food samples respectively as well as proximate and sensory properties were evaluated. Supplementation had raised the nutritional value of the foods. Swelling power and water absorption capacity had increased with an increase in the level of Bambara groundnut flour addition whereas bulk density and viscosity had decreased; the results were as follows-swelling power (23.616-24.203%), water absorption capacity (3.350-3.650g/g), bulk density (0.791-0.785g/cm3), and viscosity (35.425-35.825mPa-s). The moisture content, ash, fat, protein, fibre, carbohydrate and total energy level were found as follows- 42.730-45.500%, 0.510-0.850%, 3.275-7.955%, 3.750-9.375%, 0.775-0.900%, 35.425-48.960% and 24.315-250.750kcal/100g respectively. Concentration of anti-nutritional factors, alkaloids, flavonoids, phytic acids and tannins ranged from 0.09 to 0.15g/100g, 0.28 to 0.35g/100g, 7.31 to 9.83g/100g and 0.04 to 0.16g/100g respectively. The minerals contents were found to be Ca (11.55 to 24.35 mg/100g), Fe (2.71 to 7.95 mg/100g), Zn (1.58 to 1.83 mg/100g), Na (4.08 to 8.59 mg/100g). In vitro protein digestibility at 1h ranged between 66.83 to 70.25%. Microbial evaluation revealed moderate counts with the total microorganisms number of 3.350x10³ to 3.650x10³ cfu/g (Table 5) in the food formulation F0MfB (100:00). Salmonella and Escherichia coli were isolated with the following numbers: 0.785, 3.650 in 80:20% millet-bambara nut flour blend. Staphylococcus aureus, Staphylococcus epidemidis, Salmonella spp, Klebsiella spp, Pseudomonas and Escherichia coli were isolated with the following percentage of occurrences 32.28%, 25.00%, 3.48%, 16.46%, 19.62% and 3.16% respectively. Sensory evaluation results revealed fura from 80:20% millet-bambara nut flour blend had the best score, therefore the most preferred by the respondents.
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1. Introduction

Fura is a local food of the Hausa/Fulani tribe and is most commonly in the whole of Northern Nigeria. Millet is a general term used for a wide range of cereals that describe several taxonomically divergent species of grass. Millet is thought to be among the first cultivated crops and has been a staple food ingredient in Central and Eastern Asia, Europe (mainly Russia), China, India, and some parts of Africa since ancient times [1]. It is an important food in many developing countries because of its ability to grow under adverse weather conditions such as limited rainfall. In addition, millet has many nutritious and medicinal properties [2]. Pearl millet is an important food for millions of people inhabiting the semi-arid tropics and is a major source of calories and vital component of food security in the semi-arid areas in the developing world [3]. The grain is processed in so many ways for preparation of various food products. Some of the products include, cooked whole grain, thin and thick porridges, steamed cooked grits (couscous, burabusu), Kunun zaki, Tuwo and Fura [4, 5, 6] Other traditionally processed pearl millet based foods in North-Eastern Nigeria include Yartsala, Shinkafan tudu (dehulled boiled millet), Fura, Gumba, Kunun tsamiya, Tuwo, and Nyauri etc. Enrichment of cereal-based food with other protein source has received considerable attention. Fura is solely a cereal-based food which will require the use of a grain legume as a supplement in order to complement the limiting nutrients in millet. Addition of Bambara nut will help to increase in quantity and quality of nutrients, and as well provide good quality essential amino acids in the supplemented fura because Bambara...
groundnut is a good source of protein high quality. It will also help to reduce the incidence of protein-energy malnutrition in people who consume this product as a staple. Bambara nut (Vigna subterranea (L.) Verdc.) is an African leguminous plant species, the cultivation of which predates that of groundnut.

Although occasionally grown in Asia and elsewhere, its cultivation is rare outside the African continent. The distribution of wild Bambara groundnut is also known to extend from Jos Plateau and Yola in Nigeria, to Garoua in Cameroon [7]. It is in West Africa that most of the world’s Bambara groundnut is grown and where the crop is most prominent in the traditional cuisines of rural communities. Bambara nut plays a key role in the traditional food and culture of peoples in the North-Eastern part of Nigeria. Bambara nut is now widely distributed in the semi-arid zone of sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) and is the third most important food legume after cowpea (Vigna unguiculata) and groundnut [8].

Consumption of a single cereal may cause deficiency diseases because of the lower concentration of essential amino acids, minerals and vitamins. Therefore value addition for millet grains is another strategic approach followed to enhance nutritive value of these crops. Nutritive value and potential health benefits of millet grains were found comparable to major cereals such as wheat, rice, and maize though utilization of millet grain processing technologies such as fermentation, soaking/malting, and fortification/supplementation to improve the nutritional characteristics of millet grain is limited and is still mainly limited to populations in rural areas at the household level. This is due to lack of innovative millet processing technologies to provide easy-to-handle, ready-to-cook or ready-to-eat safe products and meals at a commercial scale that can be used to feed large populations in urban areas.

Legumes are good sources of complex carbohydrates including fibre, both soluble and insoluble, legumes are a low-fat, high protein, source of vitamins, minerals and antioxidant, and highly satiating. It is important to note that multi grain blends are nutritionally superior to single grain flour [9]. Supplementing millet flour with Bambara nut flour which is a good source of fibre and low glycemic index levels would result to nutritionally enhanced composites flour which will be used for the production of nutritionally enhanced foods such as Fura.

Adu-Dapaah and Sangwan, [10] reported that Bambara nut seed is regarded as a complete food because it is rich in iron 4.9-48 mg/100g, compared to a range of 2.0-10.0 mg/100g for most food legumes, protein 18.0-24.0% with high lysine and methionine contents, ash 3.0-5.0%, fat 5.0-7.0%, fibre 5.0-12.0%, potassium 1144-1935 mg/100 g, sodium 2.9-12.0 mg/100 g, calcium 95.8-99 mg/ 100 g, carbohydrate 51-70%, oil 6-12% and energy 367-414 kcal/100 mg. Due to the high price of meat and fish, much importance is now placed on grain legumes as a source of protein in all the developing countries . Legumes are rich not only in proteins, but in other nutrients such as starch [11].

Fura is a semi-solid dumpling cereal based food used mostly together with the boiled milk sold by Fulani women in many cities of Northern Nigeria. It is produced mainly from pearl millet (Pennisetum glaucum) flour, blended with spices and made into balls and boiled. While still hot the cooked dough is pounded thoroughly in the mortar with the pestle (with addition of hot water) until a smooth, slightly elastic and cohesive lump, fura is formed and later re-steamed. The aim of this study was to investigate the effect of Bambara nut flour inclusion on the quality and acceptability of fura, steamed dough consumed in Northern Nigeria.
2.0 Materials and methods

2.1. Collection of Materials

Spices (cloves, ginger), Bambara groundnut grains for this research purchased at Wudil main market, Kano State Nigeria while Pearl millet grains (var SOSSAT C88) were obtained from Lake Chad Research Institute Maiduguri, Nigeria; materials were conveyed to Food Processing Laboratory of Kano State University of Science and Technology (KUST) Wudil for raw material preparation in the month of January, 2020.

2.2. Processing of Bambara groundnut

The method of Nkama[12] was used for Bambara groundnut flour production with a slight modification as shown in Figure 1 below.

2.3. Blend Formulation and Fura Preparation

The Pearl millet (M) and Bambara groundnut (B) flours were blended in the ratios of 90:10 and 80:20, while 100% Pearl millet served as the control. The blends were thoroughly blended in a kitchen mixer and used to prepare the fura using the traditional method as shown below.

![Flowchart for the production of PM-Fura](image)}
Table 1. Formulation for Millet-Bambara Groundnut *Fura* (F) blends

| Ingredients          | $F_0M_f$(100:00) | $F_1M_fB$(90:10) | $F_2M_fB$(80:20) |
|----------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Millet flour (%)     | 100              | 90               | 80               |
| Bambara nut flour (%)| -                | 10               | 20               |
| Cloves (g)           | 0.6              | 0.6              | 0.6              |
| Ginger (g)           | 1.5              | 1.5              | 1.5              |
| Water (ml)           | 60               | 60               | 60               |

$F_0M_f$ = 100% millet flour, $F_1M_fB$ = Millet flour (90%) & Bambara groundnut(10%),
$F_2M_fB$ = Millet flour (80%) & Bambara groundnut(20%)

Three formulations of Fura blends were produced after using sound pearl millet grains, these were first cleaned to remove debris and sorted to remove unsound grains and other foreign matter, the grains were then de-hulled, and later washed, then sun-dried which reduced moisture content and spices added to improve nutrition and sensory property (Figure 1).

3. Analysis

3.1. Functional Properties

**Determination of water absorption capacity**

A gram (1g) of the sample was placed into graduated centrifuge tube and 10ml of distilled water was added and mixed thoroughly for 30 seconds. The sample was allowed to stand for 30 minutes at room temperature and then centrifuged at 5,000 rpm for 30 minutes. The volume of the free water was read directly from the graduated centrifuge tube. The water absorption capacity was expressed as grams of water absorbed per gram of sample [13].

**Determination of bulk density**

This was determined according to the method of Onwuka[13]. A 10ml capacity measuring cylinder was weighed. The cylinder was gently filled with the sample. The bottom of the cylinder was gently tapped on the laboratory bench several times until there was no further diminution of the sample level after filling to the 10ml mark.

**Determination of solubility and swelling power (Sp)**

This was determined according to the method of AOAC[14]. 1g of the sample was placed into a cleaned weighed graduated centrifuge tube and 40ml of distilled water added and mixed thoroughly. The mixture was placed on a water bath thermostatically controlled at 85 °C with continuous stirring for 30 minutes. The sample was allowed to cool to room temperature and then centrifuged at 2,200 rpm for 15 minutes. The supernatant was poured into a pre-weighed crucible and then placed in an oven to evaporate.

The solid residue in the crucible was weighed again and the difference in weight calculated as percentage solubility. The paste in the tube was then weighed and the swelling power (SP) determined by the following equation:
\[
\%Sp = \frac{\text{Weight of paste}}{\text{Weight of sample}} \times 100
\]  

(1)

**Viscosity**

NDJ-5S Digital Rotary Viscometer equipped with set of spindles 1-4, beakers and samples. About 100ml of the flour suspension (10% w/v) for the different Fura flour blends was put in a glass beaker. The rotor protection bracket was mounted on the instrument, turning right for mounting and left for removing. The selected rotor was screwed into connecting bolt rod, taking care while slightly lifting the connecting rod when mounting or removing rotors. The rotor was put into the measured liquid till the level mark on the rotor reached the liquid surface. The samples were allowed to stand for two minutes under stable temperature before taking result. After putting on the machine the rotor was selected by pressing left and right while velocity was selected by pressing the down key. The return key was then pressed and the measurement started and finally the result was recorded when the data was within 10% - 100% of the chosen scale ensuring the given precision, taking care of the appropriate unit, results expressed in milliPascal second (mPa-s) [14].

### 3.2. Proximate composition of Raw materials, flour blends and Fura Samples

For the determination of proximate composition of *fura*, raw material (millet, bambara groundnut nut and flour blends of raw materials were determined using procedures of AOAC [14]. Moisture content was determined by drying the sample at 105°C for about 3 hours. Ash content was determined by introducing the samples in the crucibles, using a pair of tongs into a muffle furnace at 500°C until fully ash. (Grey color ash). Protein content (%N x 6.25) was determined by the Kjeldhal method. Crude fat was determined using the Soxhlet extraction with petroleum ether. Crude fibre was determined using 5 grams of the defatted sample involving alternate digestion with dilute acid and alkali and ashing. Carbohydrate was determined by ‘difference’. Energy (E) values (Kcal) was determined according to the method described by Chinma and Gernah [15]. Energy values: \{4x(protein) + 4x(carbohydrate) + 9x(fat)\}.

### 3.3. Microbial Analysis

The total bacterial count, the serial dilution method as described by the American Public Health Association (APHA) [16] was employed; 5g of the sample was mixed with 225 ml of 0.1% peptone water. The sample was shaken thoroughly to make a homogenate solution, this gave the dilution of \(10^{-1}\). 1ml of this prepared solution was transferred to 9ml of the diluent (0.1% peptone water), this gave the dilution of \(10^{-2}\). This procedure was repeated up to the fifth dilution which gave the dilution of \(10^{-5}\).

Common bacterial pathogens associated with the foods are *Escherichia coli* - Eosin Methylene Blue (EMB), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* - MacConkey (MA), *Klebsiella spp* - MacConkey (MA), *salmonella spp* - De-oxycitrate Agar (DCA), *Staphylococcus epidermidis* and *Staphylococcus aureu* - Mannitol Salt Agar (MSA). These organisms were isolated from the prepared foods and identified by colony morphology on selective media shown as paired with the organism. Gram-staining and standard biochemical tests were carried out for identification. Colonies were enumerated using digital colony counter and results expressed in colony forming unit per gram (cfu/g).
3.4. Sensory Evaluation

The sensory evaluations of Fura samples were carried out by 20 respondents which comprised of students from the Department of Food Science and Technology, Kano University of Food Science and Technology (KUST) Wudil who are very familiar with the product, some of them are residents of the North-East sub region of Nigeria. The samples were ranked on a 9 point hedonic scale with 1 representing dislike extremely and 9 like extremely as described by [17]. The samples were prepared and served immediately after preparation. Individual samples were presented in a random pattern and judged based on attributes such as: aroma, texture, taste, appearance, and overall acceptability. A glass of clean water was given to the respondents to rinse their mouth in between each determination to avoid discrepancies in taste.

3.5. Statistical analysis

Determinations were done in duplicates except otherwise stated and the data generated were subjected to one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) at 5% (p<0.05) and the means separated using the Least Significance Difference (LSD) method [18]. The statistical analysis was carried out using MINI TAB version 17.

4. Results and Discussion

There was a significant variation (p<0.05) in the functional properties of the flours and blends (Table 2). The values of the functional properties generally decreased as pearl millet flour was substituted with bambara groundnut flour. Bulk density ranged from 0.779 to 0.791 g/cm$^3$, pearl millet flour had the highest bulk density and bambara groundnut whole grain flour, the least, the results were significantly different (p<0.05) between all the flour samples. The decrease might be attributed to the lower density of bambara groundnut flour compared with millet flour. Plaami et al.,[19] reported that bulk density is influenced by the structure of the starch polymers and loose structure of the starch polymers could result in low bulk density. The results obtained in this study was higher when compared with the report of James et al. [20] (2018) who reported a bulk density range of 0.33 to 0.43 g/cm$^3$ for bambara groundnut-millet-based infant formula, and was also higher than the report of Adebayo-oyetoro et al[21]. on millet-based Fura powder supplemented with bambara nut. Bulk density is the measure of the heaviness of flour and is important in determining the packaging requirement and material handling [22]. Greater quantity of flour in a unit volume translate to greater nutritive value in terms of calorific value. For the water absorption capacity (WAC), the results ranged from 3.300 to 4.650g/g, bambara groundnut flour had the highest water absorption capacity and the least was in whole millet flour. WAC of fine millet and whole millet flours were not significantly different (p>0.05) but were significantly different from the rest of the formulations. The high water absorption capacity might be attributed to the higher dry matter content of Bf (100:00).

The water absorption capacity in this study increased with an increase in the level of bambara groundnut flour inclusion (0-20%) and this implies addition of bambara groundnut increased the water absorption capacity of the resulting blends which indicated that higher protein content was responsible for higher water absorption capacity (WAC) of bambara groundnut flour. Dietary fibres are known to have higher water holding capacity as seen in Bf (100:00). Low water absorption capacity could be an advantage in storage stability as the rate of moisture
absorption could be relatively low hence reduced moisture availability for microbial growth and deleterious biochemical reactions. Proper mixing, consistency of *fura* requires higher water absorption of the blends which impact positively to the *fura* sensory attributes.

Swelling power values ranged from 23.512% in millet whole flour to 27.654% in bambara groundnut whole flour. There was no significant difference between results obtained for fine millet and whole grain flours. The swelling power results increased with an increase in the level of bambara groundnut inclusion in the pearl millet flour. The swelling power results agreed with the report by Agbara *et al.*[22] on millet-bambara bean blends for yartsala production.

The viscosity of the flours ranged from 48.625mPa-s in bambara groundnut flour, and was highest in millet whole grain flour with 56.555mPa-s. The result in this study revealed that increasing the level of bambara groundnut inclusion marginally reduced the viscosity of the flours for the *fura* production because starch (millet flour) is a better thickener than protein (Bambara groundnut flour)

As observed from the results in Table 2, all the parameters determined were related to one another, the lower the bulk density with addition of bambara groundnut, the higher the flours ability to absorb water and the more the swelling power as well as the less the viscosity of the flours. Agbara *et al.*[23] similarly observed for blends of *Yartsala* production.

**Table 2.** Functional properties of the Millet-Bambara groundnut blends for Fura preparation

| Sample code  | Bulk density (g/cm³) | Water absorption (g/g) | Swelling power (%) | Viscosity (mPa/s) |
|--------------|----------------------|------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| M₀(100:00)  | 0.791±0.000^a        | 3.350±0.071^e          | 23.616±0.187^c     | 52.965±0.290^b   |
| Mₘ(100:00)  | 0.787±0.000^b        | 3.300±0.000^e          | 23.512±0.021^c     | 56.555±0.629^a   |
| B₀(100:00)  | 0.782±0.000^d        | 4.650±0.071^a          | 27.589±0.713^a     | 48.625±0.884^d   |
| Bₘ(100:00)  | 0.779±0.001^e        | 4.450±0.071^b          | 27.654±0.066^a     | 50.175±0.050^c   |
| M₀B₀(90:10) | 0.787±0.000^b        | 3.500±0.000^d          | 23.783±0.033^bc    | 51.315±0.205^c   |
| M₀Bₘ(80:20) | 0.785±0.000^c        | 3.650±0.071^c          | 24.203±0.301^b     | 50.210±0.028^c   |

Values are mean±SD of duplicate determinations; means in a column bearing different supercripts are significantly different(p<.05). M₀=Fine millet flour B₀= Bambara groundnut fineflour. Mₘ=whole millet flour Bₘ=Bambara groundnut whole flour.

**Table 3** shows the proximate composition of millet and bambara groundnut whole grain, the raw material flours and the blends used for the preparation of millet-bambara groundnut fura production. Ash, protein and crude fibre contents increased as the level of Bambara groundnut flour increased (0-20%). This redefines the true state of
legume grains being good sources of protein, fat, ash and crude fibre than the cereals [24]. Bambara groundnut has been reported by several authors as being a complete food. The results obtained in this study were found to be higher than the report by Olaleye et al [25] regarding the chemical composition of bambara groundnut (2.46-4.36%, 15.2-22.2%, 2.47-6.99% and 51.66-61.9% for ash, protein, fat and carbohydrate respectively). The high content of ash, protein and fibre are important because protein is an important nutrient that plays a role in human metabolism, the fibre which indicates the indigestible cellulose was higher in Bambara groundnut. Also fibre is needed for bowel health and play vital role in metabolism of glucose and fat for healthy adults, 38g for men and 25g for women are recommended, 30 and 21g are recommended for adults over the age of 50 [26]. The moisture, ash, fat, protein, crude fibre and carbohydrate contents of different samples of the processed fura are shown in Table 4 as follows: 42.73-45.50%, 0.51-0.85%, 3.275-7.95%, 0.78-0.90% and 35.425-48.96% respectively. There was a general increase in all the parameters determined other than carbohydrate which decreased as millet flour was increasingly substituted with bambara groundnut flour, the trend for the increase in ash, fat, protein and crude fibre level was expected as bambara groundnut was reported to contain high level of ash, protein and crude fibre [27]. High moisture content levels in the food samples might be due to nature of fura processing such as double steaming coupled with the high water absorption capacity of the bambara groundnut flour. This high moisture level indicates higher chance of exposure to attack by micro-organisms therefore its storage potential might be low.

Table 3. Proximate composition (%) of the Millet, Bambara groundnut flours and the blends

| Sample code | Moisture | Ash | Fat | Protein | Crude fibre | Carbohydrate | Energy (Kcal) |
|-------------|---------|-----|-----|---------|-------------|--------------|---------------|
| M (100:00)  | 12.120±0.057<sup>b</sup> | 1.090±0.071<sup>c</sup> | 5.800±0.354<sup>d</sup> | 11.880±0.000<sup>c</sup> | 1.060±0.071<sup>d</sup> | 68.055±0.438<sup>a</sup> | 371.920±1.43<sup>d</sup> |
| M (100:00)  | 13.140±0.099<sup>e</sup> | 1.250±0.014<sup>de</sup> | 4.350±0.071<sup>i</sup> | 11.407±0.663<sup>c</sup> | 1.225±0.035<sup>bc</sup> | 66.829±0.599<sup>e</sup> | 359.290±0.382<sup>e</sup> |
| B (80:20)   | 7.610±0.099<sup>i</sup> | 3.090±0.127<sup>b</sup> | 11.725±0.247<sup>a</sup> | 15.314±0.001<sup>a</sup> | 1.310±0.014<sup>b</sup> | 60.951±0.461<sup>d</sup> | 409.370±2.11<sup>i</sup> |
| B (80:20)   | 6.630±0.806<sup>e</sup> | 4.400±0.057<sup>a</sup> | 9.550±0.212<sup>b</sup> | 16.410±0.000<sup>d</sup> | 1.460±0.028<sup>b</sup> | 61.554±0.622<sup>c</sup> | 397.790±4.40<sup>b</sup> |
| M, B(90:10) | 10.210±0.042<sup>c</sup> | 1.300±0.028<sup>d</sup> | 6.900±0.141<sup>c</sup> | 12.500±0.884<sup>b</sup> | 1.135±0.021<sup>cd</sup> | 67.955±0.778<sup>ab</sup> | 383.920±0.849<sup>c</sup> |
| M, B(80:20) | 9.620±0.085<sup>c</sup> | 1.640±0.028<sup>c</sup> | 7.350±0.071<sup>c</sup> | 13.688±0.795<sup>b</sup> | 1.205±0.078<sup>bc</sup> | 66.498±0.746<sup>b</sup> | 386.890±0.438<sup>c</sup> |

M<sub>i</sub>=Fine millet flour B<sub>i</sub>= Bambara groundnut flour M<sub>wi</sub>=whole millet flour B<sub>wi</sub>=Bambara groundnut whole flour. Mean values in the column bearing the identical superscripts are significantly not different (P>0.05)

Table 4. Proximate Composition (%) of Fura Supplemented with Bambara Groundnut Flour and the Control

| Sample | Moisture | Ash | Fat | Protein | Crude fibre | Carbohydrate | Energy (Kcal) |
|--------|---------|-----|-----|---------|-------------|--------------|---------------|
| F<sub>0</sub>M (100:00) | 42.730±0.099<sup>i</sup> | 0.510±0.014<sup>c</sup> | 3.275±0.177<sup>c</sup> | 3.750±0.000<sup>i</sup> | 0.775±0.106<sup>i</sup> | 48.960±0.198<sup>c</sup> | 240.315±0.799<sup>n</sup> |
| F<sub>0</sub>M, B(90:10) | 43.640±0.170<sup>i</sup> | 0.550±0.071<sup>i</sup> | 4.600±0.283<sup>b</sup> | 6.016±0.774<sup>b</sup> | 0.825±0.035<sup>bc</sup> | 44.369±0.767<sup>b</sup> | 242.940±2.520<sup>i</sup> |
| F<sub>0</sub>M, B(80:20) | 45.500±0.170<sup>i</sup> | 0.850±0.042<sup>e</sup> | 7.950±0.071<sup>c</sup> | 9.375±0.884<sup>d</sup> | 0.900±0.000<sup>c</sup> | 35.425±1.082<sup>c</sup> | 250.750±0.156<sup>c</sup> |

F= Fura Subscripts 1 and 2 denote Bambara groundnut flour levels 10% & 20%, Fo represents the control, M= Millet flour, B=Bambara groundnut flour. Mean values in the column bearing the identical superscripts are significantly not different (P>0.05) of duplicate determinations.
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Mineral Contents of the Flours and Blends

The mineral contents of the *fura* flour and the blends are presented in Table 5a. All the elements (Mg, K, and Na) other than Ca and Fe were found to have decreased in concentration in the raw material flour blends. The variations were significant as follows, Ca (17.80 to 23.00 mg/100g), Mg (220.25 to 379.00 mg/100g), Fe (7.65 to 17.00 mg/100g), Na (21.45 to 38.25 mg/100g). The highest concentrations of Ca, Fe, were in the flour blends MfB(80:20), and that of Mg, K and Na have been found to be highest in Mf(100:00). The high content of Ca and Fe in Bf(100:00) might be attributed to the grain’s own composition, being a calcium rich grain, Amarteifio *et al.*, [28] reported that Ca levels ranged from 95.8 to 99.0 mg/100g. Fe result was higher than the report of Léder [29](2004) who reported Fe content of Pearl millet as 7.49mg/100g and the report of Kulthe *et al.* [30] on millet cultivars who reported iron (Fe) content in millets (2.08 to 8.12 mg/100g). Ca and P are very important in bones and teeth formation, growth, blood clothing, heart function and cell metabolism [31, 32]. Inadequate Ca intake results in rickets and risk of osteoporosis as well as hypertension and stroke. Fe values were found higher in this study than earlier reported. Fe is required for oxygen carrying capacity of haemoglobin and myoglobin and as a co-factor for several enzymes (NHS Direct online [33]. Deficiency in Fe may lead to anaemia. Ca and Fe levels increased with an increase in the levels of bambara groundnut inclusion.

The high content of Mg, K and Na could be linked to the high contents of these minerals in millets. Ca and Mg both plays important role in bone structure, muscles contraction, nerve impulse contraction, blood clothing, cell signaling [34]. Mg has been linked to meeting lower risk of type 2 diabetes. Potassium (K) is important in nerves and muscles function, it helps to offset some of the sodium harmful effects on blood pressure. Sodium is added to foods to maintain water balance in cells and its results were in agreement with the report of Nkama, and Malleshi[35] that reported Na contents of millet flour as 1596ppm (159.6 mg/100g) and the report of Hillrocks *et al.* [36] on bambara groundnut utilization.

| Sample code | Ca     | Mg      | Fe      | K       | Na      |
|-------------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Mf(100:00)  | 17.80±0.28d | 271.75±0.07b | 7.65±0.00d | 178.85±0.07a | 38.25±0.21a |
| Bf(100:00)  | 22.30±0.14b | 379.00±0.14a | 11.00±0.14c | 86.75±0.21d | 29.35±0.21b |
| MfB(90:10)  | 18.50±0.00c | 263.45±0.07c | 15.05±0.07b | 140.30±0.14b | 28.70±0.14c |
| MfB(80:20)  | 23.00±0.14a | 220.25±0.21d | 17.00±0.00d | 123.15±0.21c | 21.45±0.21d |

Mf=Fine millet flour Bf= Bambara groundnut flour

Mean values in the column bearing the identical superscripts are significantly not different (P>0.05)

**Mineral Contents of Bambara Groundnut Supplemented Pearl Millet-Based Fura and Control**

Mineral contents of bambara groundnut supplemented pearl millet-based *Fura* and control are presented in Table 5b, all the elements (Ca, Fe, Zn and P) analyzed were found to have increased in the *Fura* products with bambara...
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groundnut flour supplementation. The variations were found to be significant as follows Ca (11.55 to 24.35 mg/100g), Fe (2.71 to 5.72 mg/100g), Zn (1.58 to 1.83 mg/100g), P (46.12 to 96.37 mg/100g) whereas Na, K and Mg were found to have decreased in concentration as bambara groundnut was added, Mg (0.63 to 0.77 mg/100g), K (36.19 to 76.89 mg/100g), Na (4.08 to 8.59 mg/100g), this was attributed to millet grain being a good source of these minerals over bambara groundnut grain. Millet flour was reported to contain more K levels than bambara groundnut. Millet flour was reported to contain more K levels than bambara groundnut. K was reported to be in the range of 11.44 to 19.35mg/100g [28, 37]. Potas- 
sium (K) is important in nerves and muscles function, it helps to offset some of the sodium harmful effects on blood pressure. The high Mg content might be linked to higher Mg in foods made from millet than in bambara groundnut. Ayo and Aba[38] reported that millet based Madidi decreased in Mg content as more bambara groundnut was added. Magnesium is important for healthy bone. Higher intake of magnesium results in higher bone mineral density which is important in reducing the risk of bone fractures and osteoporosis. Olaleye et al. [25] to range between 11.2 to 40.2 mg/100g higher than the concentration of zinc in pearl millet that is 46.9ppm equivalent to 4.69 mg/100g as reported by Nkama, and Malleshi [35] on Masa production. This might be the reason for the increased zinc content within the foods as Bambara groundnut was added. Zinc is important for the body’s defense/immune system and is important in the synthesis, storage and secretion of insulin [39].

Table 5b. Mineral Contents of Bambara Groundnut Supplemented Pearl Millet-Based Fura and Control (mg/100g)

| Sample code | Ca       | Fe       | Na     | K       | Zn       | Mg       | P       |
|------------|----------|----------|--------|---------|----------|----------|---------|
| F0Mf (100:00) | 11.55±2.36a | 2.71±0.55c | 8.59±0.83a | 76.89±4.56c | 1.58±0.03c | 0.77±0.04c | 46.12±4.30c |
| F1MfB (90:10) | 20.18±2.36b | 4.74±0.55b | 8.04±0.24a | 60.88±7.39b | 1.70±0.16ac | 0.68±0.10b | 77.05±2.88b |
| F2MfB (80:20) | 24.35±2.36a | 5.72±0.55a | 4.08±0.83b | 36.19±4.16c | 1.83±0.08a | 0.63±0.07b | 96.37±10.18a |

F= Fura Subscripts 1 and 2 denote Bambara groundnut flour levels 10% & 20%, Fo represents the control, M=Millet flour, B=Bambara groundnut flour. Mean values in column bearing the identical superscripts are significantly not different (P>0.05) of duplicate determinations.

The whole investigation as shown in Table 6a, on the microbiological investigation generally revealed moderate counts. The extent to which a product is contaminated by microorganisms depends on the level of hygiene and sanitary status of handlers and material used in the production chain. Also the degree of humidity of a food material is responsible for the growth or inhibition of microorganisms. Low moisture foods contain no more than 25% moisture and water activity of 0.00 to 0.60 [40]. Bacteria require relatively high level of moisture for growth. This is the reason for low level of counts despite high moisture content.

Microbial findings revealed the total microbial load of 1.23x10³ cfu/g (Table 6b), showed that Salmonella and Escherichia coli were not detected in fura made from 80:20 millet-Bambara nut flour blend. Staphylococcus aureus, Staphylococcus epidemidis, Salmonella spp, Klebsiella spp, Pseudomonas and Escherichia coli were isolated with the following percentage of occurrences 32.28%, 25.00%, 3.48%, 16.46%, 19.62% and 3.16% respectively. The occurrence of such bacteria as Staphylococcus aureus and E. coli in the fura samples investigated
in this study should be of public health concerns because these two have implicated in various diseases of man [41, 42, 43, 44]. The percentage occurrences of the bacteria identified in this study showed that *Staphylococcus aureus* recorded the highest frequency in the *fura* samples. Moreover, the total bacterial counts recorded from the different *fura* food samples did not exceed the limits for ready-to-eat foods of 10^2 to < 10^4 cfu/g prescribed by the Food Standards[45] and Centre for Food Safety[46]. The low microbial load recorded in this study is in agreement with the observations by Nester et al.[47] and Adam and Moss[48], who attributed their observations to the low water activity (aw) values of dehydrated foods which are usually below 0.6.

**Table 6a.** Total bacterial count of the processed Fura food samples under a 3-day room temperature

| Formulation       | TVC x 10^3 (cfu/g) |
|-------------------|--------------------|
| F₀M₀ (100:00)     | 1.23±0.00          |
| F₁M₁B (90:10)     | 1.17±0.00          |
| F₂M₂B (80:20)     | 1.09±0.00          |

F= Fura Subscripts 1 and 2 denote Bambara groundnut flour levels 10% & 20%, Fo represents the control, Mf= Millet flour, Bf=Bambara groundnut flour. Mean values in the column bearing the identical superscripts are significantly not different (P>0.05) of duplicate determinations.

TVC=Total viable count, cfu/g= coliform forming unit per gram, of the food sample

**Table 6b.** Prevalence of bacteria related to Bambara groundnut supplemented Pearl millet-based *fura* and Control.

| Sample code       | Bacterial isolates of the foods |
|-------------------|---------------------------------|
|                   | *S. aureus* | *S. epidemidis* | *Salmonella spp* | *Klebsiella spp* | *Pseudomonas spp* | *E. coli* |
| F₀M₀ (100:00)     | 28          | 34          | 7            | 26            | 24          | 7          |
| F₁M₁B (90:10)     | 39          | 17          | 4            | 10            | 12          | 3          |
| F₂M₂B (80:20)     | 35          | 28          | ND          | 16            | 26          | ND         |
| **Total**         | **102**     | **79**      | **11**       | **52**        | **62**      | **10**     |
| **Mean**          | **34.00**   | **26.33**   | **3.67**     | **17.33**     | **20.67**   | **3.33**   |
| **% Occurrence**  | **32.28**   | **25.00**   | **3.48**     | **16.46**     | **19.62**   | **3.16**   |

F= Fura Subscripts 1 and 2 denote Bambara groundnut flour levels 10% & 20%, Fo represents the control, Mf= Millet flour, Bf=Bambara groundnut flour.

Mean values in column bearing the identical superscripts are significantly not different (P>0.05) of duplicate determinations. ND= Not determined.

Millet flour, Bf=Bambara groundnut flour, S.=Staphylococcus, Spp=Specie, E= Escherichia, ND= Not Detected,
Anti-nutrients Composition of the Flours and the Blends

The anti-nutritional factors of the raw material flours and the blends for *fura* formulations are presented in Table 7a. Tannin, Alkaloid and Phytic acids contents ranged from 0.18 to 0.25g/100g, 2.75 to 3.50g/100g, and 8.93 to 13.81mg/100g respectively. All the parameters, tannin, alkaloid and phytic acids as anti-nutritional factors in this study decreased with bambara groundnut flour inclusion (0-20%). This is as a result of the low content of anti-nutrients in the bambara groundnut and to its high concentration in the millet flour. The decrease in the tannin content might be attributed to the low content of tannin in bambara groundnut as compared to that of millets. The results obtained agreed with the report of Kulthe *et al.* [30] who characterized millet cultivars on the basis of some physical and chemical properties, and the report of Gull *et al.* [49] on Fura evaluation. Tannin binds to both exogenous and endogenous proteins including enzymes of the digestive tract affecting the utilization of proteins [50](Griffiths, 1985). Alkaloids are secondary metabolites and show strong effects on animal and human organism on very small dozes. Alkaloids are not only present in daily human life in food but also as stimulant drugs, they show anti-inflammatory, anti-cancer, analgesics etc. The phytic acids content were lower when compared with the report of Kulthe *et al.*[30] on anti-nutritional contents of some pearl millet cultivars and the work of Florence and Asna[51] on influence of germination on bio-accessible iron and calcium in pearl millet. Kheterpaul and Chauhan [52] reported pearl millet with a value of 990 mg/100g of phytic acid, Kumar and Chauhan [53] reported value of 825.7 mg/100g, while El-Hag *et al.* [54] reported a values of 943 and 1076 mg/100g of phytic acid in two Sudanese wheat cultivars. The low phytic acid content could be due to varietal differences and also the effect of processing. Many processing techniques such as cooking, germination, soaking reduces the contents of anti-nutrients.

### Table 7a. Anti-nutrients Composition of the Flours and the Blends

| Formulation | Tannin (g/100g) | Alkaloid(g/100g) | Phytic Acid (mg/100g) |
|-------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| Mf(100:00)  | 0.25±0.01abc  | 3.50±0.28a      | 13.81±0.16a           |
| Bf(100:00)  | 0.18±0.00c    | 2.75±0.07b      | 8.93±0.16c            |
| MfB(90:10)  | 0.21±0.01bc   | 2.92±0.02b      | 12.65±0.16b           |
| MfB(80:20)  | 0.20±0.01bc   | 2.86±0.01b      | 12.18±0.16b           |

Mf=Fine millet flour Bf= Bambara groundnut flour

Mean values in the column bearing the identical superscripts are significantly not different (P>0.05)

Anti-nutrients Contents of the Bambara groundnut supplemented Pearl Millet-Based Fura and Control

The anti-nutritional contents of the bambara groundnut supplemented pearl millet-based *Fura* is presented in Table 7b. The concentration of alkaloids, flavonoids, phytic acids and tannins ranged from 0.09 to 0.15g/100g, 0.28 to 0.35g/100g, 7.31 to 9.83g/100g and 0.04 to 0.16g/100g. The decrease in the anti-nutritional factors as bambara groundnut was added, was also recorded at products as was seen in the raw materials anti-nutrients analysis. Alkaloid are active components of stimulants, tranquilizers and are not only in food systems but in also in medicine because they can act quickly in specific areas of the nervous system. The results obtained in this study may be
explained that the foods made from millet may have medicinal property. The flavonoids, phytic acid and tannins contents were similar to the report of Owheruo et al. [55] on the physicochemical properties of malted finger and pearl millet where he reported phytic acid content of pearl millet as 910mg/100g, tannin content of pearl millet as 0.10g/100g. Tannin have been reported to lower digestibility of most nutrients especially protein [56]. Flavonoid has been reported to exhibit anti-oxidant activity, the presence of flavonoid in foods, a phenolic compound may contribute to health potential of the food. There was a general decrease in the anti-nutritional content of treated fura when compared with their levels in the raw materials. This might be attributed to the method of processing which the flours were subjected to; soaking, de-hulling, cooking etc. The outlined processing methods have been found to reduce anti-nutrient levels for many seeds.

Table 7b. Anti-nutrients Contents of the Bambara Groundnut Supplemented Pearl Millet-Based Fura and Control

| Sample code | Alkaloid (g/100g) | Flavonoid (g/100g) | Phytic acid (mg/100g) | Tannin (g/100g) |
|-------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| F_0M_(100:00) | 0.15±0.01^a       | 0.35±0.01^a       | 9.83±0.12^a          | 0.16±0.00^a     |
| F_1M_B(90:10) | 0.12±0.00^b       | 0.30±0.00^b       | 9.17±0.16^a          | 0.15±0.01^a     |
| F_2M_B(80:20) | 0.09±0.07^c       | 0.28±0.01^c       | 7.31±0.49^b          | 0.04±0.01^b     |

F= Fura Subscripts 1 and 2 denote Bambara groundnut flour levels 10% & 20%, Fo represents the control, M=f=Millet flour, B=b=Bambara groundnut flour.

Mean values in the column bearing the identical superscripts are significantly not different (P>0.05) of duplicate determinations.

In-vitro Protein and Starch Digestibility of the Bambara Groundnut Supplemented Pearl Millet-Based Fura and the Control

The in-vitro protein and starch digestibility of the bambara groundnut supplemented pearl millet fura and the control are presented in Table 4.8. At 1hr the in-vitro protein digestibility ranged from 72.67 to 75.43% with F_2M_B (80:20) being the highly digestible greater than the other food formulations and F_0M_(100:00) being the least digestible with 72.67%. At 6hrs the same formulations (F_2M_B (80:20) and F_0M_(100:00) maintained being the highest and least digestible. In-vitro-starch digestibility ranged from 66.83 to 70.25% with F_0M_(100:00) being the highly digestible, greater than the other food formulations and F_2M_B (80:20) being the least. In-vitro protein digestibility increased with an increase in bambara groundnut grain flour inclusion (0-20%) to the pearl millet flour. The increase in in-vitro protein digestibility at 1h & 6h might be attributed to the bambara groundnut grain flour inclusion as it is a grain rich in protein. Mohammed et al., [57] (2018) reported that supplementation of millet flour with 15% moringa seed flour significantly (p<0.05) increased the in-vitro protein digestibility of the supplemented flour up to 79.42%. The increment in in-vitro protein digestibility could also be due to anti-nutrients degradation by microorganisms and to partial degradation of complex storage proteins into simple and soluble products. Boiling was also found to improve in-vitro protein digestibility (Aremu et al.,[58] (2016)), they worked on the effects of
processing on protein digestibility of underutilized grain legumes in Nigeria. The present study tallies with the report of El Hag et al. [54] and Taylor and Taylor [59], they observed that combination of fermentation and cooking significantly improved the digestibility of sorghum. The improvement of protein digestibility after boiling was due to the reduction in anti-nutritional factors such as saponins, alkaloids, tannins and cyanide. The starch digestibility decreased with an increase in the level of bambara groundnut flour inclusion into the pearl millet fura. This might be attributed to the high amylase content since high amylase content may reduce starch digestibility [60]. In general, millet protein digestibility can be reduced by several factors, most notably the presence of tannin and dietary fibre (Annor et al., [60], these foods are found to be low in dietary fibre.

Table 8. In-vitro Protein Digestibility of Bambara Groundnut Supplemented Pearl Millet-Based Foods, Controls

| Sample code | Protein Digestibility @1hr | Protein Digestibility @6hrs | Starch Digestibility |
|-------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| F₀ M₀(100:00) | 72.67±0.01<sup>c</sup> | 73.95±0.01<sup>c</sup> | 70.25±0.01<sup>a</sup> |
| F₁ M₀ B(90:10) | 73.16±0.01<sup>b</sup> | 76.45±0.01<sup>b</sup> | 68.37±0.01<sup>b</sup> |
| F₂ M₀ B(80:20) | 75.43±0.01<sup>a</sup> | 79.13±0.01<sup>a</sup> | 66.83±0.01<sup>c</sup> |

F= Fura Subscripts 1 and 2 denote Bambara groundnut flour levels 10% & 20%, Fo represents the control, M₀=Millet flour, B₀=Bambara groundnut flour.

Mean values in the column bearing the identical superscripts are significantly not different (P>0.05) of duplicate determinations.

Table 9 shows the sensory properties of the bambara groundnut supplemented millet-based fura samples. There was no significant difference (p<0.05) in the sensory properties of fura from 100% millet and 90:10% millet-bambara nut fura in appearance, aroma and general acceptability, but the fura samples varied as further level of bambara groundnut (0-20%) was increasingly added except for the general acceptability which maintained no significant difference. Fura from 80:20 (millet-bambara bean) flour blend received best score and most preferred.

Table 9. Sensory properties of pearl millet-Bambara-groundnut first

| Sample code | Appearance | Aroma | Texture | Mouth feel | General acceptability |
|-------------|------------|-------|---------|------------|----------------------|
| F₀ M₀(100:00) | 6.400±0.699<sup>b</sup> | 6.500±0.827<sup>a</sup> | 6.200±0.768<sup>a</sup> | 6.200±1.105<sup>a</sup> | 5.300±0.923<sup>b</sup> |
| F₁ M₀ B(90:10) | 5.900±0.718<sup>b</sup> | 7.200±0.768<sup>a</sup> | 4.500±1.051<sup>b</sup> | 4.400±0.821<sup>b</sup> | 6.200±1.105<sup>ab</sup> |
| F₂ M₀ B(80:20) | 7.600±0.821<sup>a</sup> | 4.300±1.301<sup>b</sup> | 5.700±0.657<sup>a</sup> | 5.800±1.005<sup>a</sup> | 6.800±0.894<sup>ab</sup> |

F= Fura Subscripts 1 and 2 denote Bambara groundnut flour levels 10% & 20%, Fo represents the control, M₀=Millet flour, B₀=Bambara groundnut flour.

Mean values in the column bearing the identical superscripts are significantly not different (p>0.05) of twenty (20) respondents.
5. Conclusion

In conclusion, fortification of the millet-based Fura, with Bambara groundnut flour (0-20%) had improved the ash, fat, protein and energy contents of fura. The microbial load in the processed fura samples revealed moderate counts which mean that the foods were safe for consumption. Finally the study had succeeded in improving the functional, nutritional quality as well as sensory properties of the millet-bambara groundnut fura. The food can be considered an alternative source of protein and fat not only to inhabitants of the North-Eastern Nigeria but also to any who considers it a food item worthy for consumption. It is recommended that further studies on the modified fura should be based on formulating shelf-stable fura flour as will facilitate the acceptability of fura in other regions of the world. The amino acid profile of the processed fura needs also to be investigated in order to re-assure its nutrient-density to fura consumer.
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