A Visible-Light Promoted Amine Oxidation Catalyzed by a Cp*Ir Complex
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Through a rapid screening of Cp*Ir complexes based on a turn-on type fluorescence readout, a [Cp*Ir(dipyrido[3,2-a:2',3'-c]phenazine)Cl] complex was found to catalyze the blue-light promoted dehydrogenation of N-heterocycles under physiological conditions. In the dehydrogenation of tetrahydroisoquinolines, the catalyst preferentially yielded the monodehydrogenated product, accompanying H$_2$O$_2$ generation. We surmise that this mechanism may be reminiscent of flavin-dependent oxidases.

Monoamine oxidase (MAO) is a flavin-dependent enzyme that catalyzes the oxidation of a wide range of amines, including N-heterocycles, using molecular oxygen as a terminal oxidant (Scheme 1a). N-heterocycles are ubiquitous compounds widely represented in active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs). Hence, MAOs have been engineered to improve their substrate scope, selectivity, and stability for industrial purposes.[1]

To complement enzymes, organometallic catalysts have been developed for the dehydrogenation of N-heterocycles.[2–3]

To drive the oxidation of amines to completion, most organometallic catalysts require a sacrificial hydrogen acceptor, an undesirable feature from an atom-economical perspective.[4]

Pioneering efforts in catalytic acceptorless dehydrogenation (CAD) have been reported by Yamaguchi and Fujita,[5–7] Xiao,[8,9] and Crabtree[10] using Cp*Ir(III) pianostool- and cationic iridium complexes (Scheme 1b). CAD requires no external sacrificial oxidant and releases dihydrogen as sole by-product. Although great progress has been achieved[11–18] most of the CADs are carried out in organic solvent or under strongly alkaline conditions at high temperature. Noteworthy exceptions include work by Li[19] and Kanai[20,21] tandem catalysis as well as Crabtree’s[22] electrocatalysis. In the context of H$_2$-storage, it may be advantageous to fully dehydrogenate the N-heterocycles, thus maximizing the hydrogen-gravimetric capacity. For API synthesis however, the perdehydrogenation may be a drawback (Scheme 1b). For example, tetrahydroharmine, harmaline, and harmine, are all naturally occurring N-heterocyclic alkaloids sharing the β-carboline structure, differing only in their degree of saturation but displaying different pharmacological properties.[23] MAO selectively catalyzes only the first oxidation step, whereas CAD generally results in the fully perdehydrogenated product.[11–22]

From the viewpoint of sustainable chemistry, a synthetic catalyst working in water under mild conditions is in high demand to reduce its environmental impact.[24] Moreover, such a synthetic catalyst is also applicable to chemoenzymatic multistep transformation enabled by concurrent use of synthetic catalysts and enzymes.[25] Here, we report on our efforts to develop an organometallic catalyst for the selective monodehydrogenation of N-heterocycles under mild conditions, comparable to those of typical enzymatic transformations.

As MAO plays an important role in maintaining homeostasis of neurotransmitters, the pro-fluorescent substrate 1 has been widely used to monitor its activity (Scheme 2a).[26] We were pleased to find that the caged umbelliferone substrate 1 can be also used as a turn-on probe to the catalyst’s dehydrogenation activity. Upon dehydrogenation of the N-heterocycle, the
Scheme 2. (a) A turn-on type fluorescent probe 1 to detect MAO activity. Screening of catalytic activities of in situ formed complexes comprised of (b) [Cp*IrCl₂]₂, 3, and (c) bidentate ligands 4–21, (d) relying on the uncaging of the probe 1 upon amine oxidation. Reaction conditions: 50 μM [Cp*IrCl₂]₂, 3, 110 μM Ligand 4–21 and 2 mM fluorescent probe 1 in PBS at 37 °C for 24 h. [a] An isolated [Cp*Ir(L’-L’ 17)]Cl(CF₃SO₃) (100 μM) was used. Fluorescence intensities (excitation at 320 nm and emission at 450 nm) of reaction mixtures in a 96-well plate are measured by a plate reader. Each value and average values of duplicate (entries 1–15, 19–22) and triplicate (entries 16–18) are displayed as dots and bars respectively.

fluorescent coumarin moiety 2 is uncaged, allowing the evaluation of catalytic activity. This strategy enabled us to conveniently screen Cp*Ir-based catalysts for the dehydrogenation of substrate 1. Commercially-available bidentate ligands L’L 4–21 (5.5 mol%) were screened in the presence of [Cp*IrCl₂]₂, 3 (2.5 mol%) in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.4) containing 5% DMSO at 37 °C (Scheme 2b, c). After 24 h, an aliquot (2 μl) was diluted with PBS (198 μl) in a 96-well plate, and the corresponding catalytic activity was determined by fluorescence (excitation at 320 nm and emission at 450 nm). Unexpectedly, none of the ligands displayed a significant increase in fluorescence compared to the negative control (Scheme 2d, grey bars). Inspired by Miller’s work on the photo-acceleration of CAD catalyzed by [Cp*Ir(L’-L’ 8)]Cl⁺ and [Cp*Ir(L’-L’ 10)]Cl⁺,[27] we repeated the screening in a photoreactor equipped with ca. 0.25 W blue LEDs (Scheme 2d, blue bars). Gratifyingly, the dipyrido[3,2-a:2’,3’-c]phenazine 17 (Scheme 2d, entry 16) yielded the highest activity under photo-irradiation. Increased fluorescence was also observed for ligands L’L 10, 11, 14, and 18 (Scheme 2d, entries 9, 10, 13, and 19). Almost no enhancement was observed for either [Cp*IrCl₂]₂ or L’L 17 alone, suggesting that the [Cp*Ir(L’-L’ 17)]Cl⁺ complex, formed in situ, is the active catalyst (Scheme 2d, entries 2, 17, and 16). To determine its catalytic performance, complex [Cp*Ir(L’-L’ 17)]Cl⁺ was synthesized (Figure S1).[28,29] Compared to the in situ-formed complex, the isolated complex displayed slightly improved activity (Scheme 2d, entries 16 and 18), which suggests that the in situ complexation is nearly quantitative.

As a benchmark reaction, we selected the dehydrogenation of salsolidine 22 (1-methyl-6,7-dimethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline, Scheme 3a), a natural alkaloid. While no conversion was observed under dark conditions, photo-irradiation promoted the reaction, leading to nearly quantitative consumption of the starting material 22 after 48 h, (Scheme 3b and Figure S2). The dehydrogenated product 23 and the perdehydrogenated product 24 were formed in 87% and 8% yield respectively. It was again confirmed that L’L 17 alone does not catalyze this reaction. As H-abstraction from the amine can occur from either of the 1 or 3-position of amine 22. Abstraction at the N-α benzylc site, 1-position, will generate a significantly more stable radical than that at the competing 3-position, leading to the observed major product 23. The generation of 24, on the other hand, can proceed via two pathways: either via the less favourable abstraction at the alternative site, tautomeration and a second, favored, dehydrogenation event, or from direct tautomeration from 23 before the second dehydrogenation. Potential interconversion of imine 23 and its isomer 1,4-dihydrosquinolines is proposed to be mediated by a transient Cp*Ir–H species (Figure S3).[10] As the ratio 23:24 does not vary over the course of the reaction, this suggests that [Cp*Ir(L’-L’ 17)]Cl⁺ cannot reversibly convert 23 and 1,4-dihydrosquinoline. We thus hypothesize that the commonly observed Cp*Ir–H species is not formed during this catalytic cycle.[5,8,30,31] The limited formation of the perdehydrogenated product 24 may arise from the disfavored H-abstraction at the N-adjacent 3-position or benzyl 4-position of amine 22. This is supported...
Scheme 3. Time-course evolution of (a, b) the dehydrogenation of salsolidine 22 and (c) H$_2$O$_2$ catalyzed by [Cp*Ir(L$^\text{17}$)Cl]$^+$

[Experimental conditions: 100 μM [Cp*Ir(L$^\text{17}$)Cl]$^+$, 20 mM salsolidine 22 in 100 mM NaPi (pH 7.0) at 37°C under single blue LED irradiation. Concentrations of 22–24 were determined by $^1$H-NMR spectroscopy by using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as an internal standard. H$_2$O$_2$ was quantitated by HRP colorimetric assay. Average values and standard deviation for triplicate reactions are displayed.]

Table 1. Photocatalytic dehydrogenation of salsolidine 22.$^{[a]}$

| Entry | TEMPO | Under Air/N$_2$ | 23 [%] | 24 [%] |
|-------|-------|-----------------|--------|--------|
| 1     | 40 mM | Air             | 78     | 8      |
| 2     | –     | N$_2$           | 30     | 3      |

[a] Experimental details as listed in Scheme 3, with a reaction time = 45 h.

To confirm the involvement of oxygen in the mechanism, an anaerobic reaction was performed. Unexpectedly, conversion was observed under these conditions, albeit to a lesser extent: ~38% conversion under aerobic conditions (Table 1). We hypothesized that the cosolvent, DMSO present in 5% v/v may act as the oxidizing agent in the absence of dioxygen as observed by Das and coworkers.$^{[31]}$ Substituting DMSO with DMF however, led to conversion both with and without dioxygen (Table S7). We assume that, in the absence of oxygen, the reaction proceeds via an alternative mechanism. One of the possible explanations is generation of H$_2$O$_2$, like a typical CAD-type pathway. However, the unambiguous detection of H$_2$ under our experimental set-up proved challenging.

Substoichiometric amounts of TEMPO shut down the reactivity of Ir–H species, and/or radical species may be involved. This conclusion is reached because TEMPO is known to mediate the conversion of the superoxide radical anion to H$_2$O$_2$ but also to trap radical species and react with O$_2$, which would unproductively deplete the finite oxygen supply. Accordingly, experiments carried out in the presence of 9,10-dimethylanthracene, as a O$_2$ trap, suggest that [Cp*Ir(L$^\text{17}$)X]$^+$ may sensitize O$_2$, likely due to the extended aromatic nature of L$^\text{17}$ and the generated O$_2$ may play a role as an active oxidizing species (Table S5 and path1 in Scheme 4b).

To gain further mechanistic insight, we carried out the dehydrogenation of substrate 22 in the presence of TEMPO (Table 1). Addition of excess TEMPO decreased the conversion by a factor of three. Taken together with the fact that by the fact that the dehydrogenation of imine 23 gave 24 with 12% yield, comparable to the yield of perdehydrogenated product 24 obtained upon dehydrogenation of amine 22 (8%, Scheme 3b). Indeed, scrutiny of the $^1$H-NMR spectrum (Figure S4), revealed no hydride signal (typically found around −11 to −15 ppm). This is consistent with the preferential formation of the mono-dehydrogenated product 23. We thus hypothesize that the reaction proceeds instead via a radical-based mechanism.

To probe whether atmospheric oxygen may be the terminal hydrogen acceptor, the presence of hydrogen peroxide was investigated. For this purpose, H$_2$O$_2$ was quantified using horseradish peroxidase (HRP) and 2,2'-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) (ABTS) to afford a characteristic increase in absorbance at 405 nm.

As highlighted in Scheme 4, the generation of H$_2$O$_2$, neatly reflects the oxidation of salsolidine 22 when the reaction is performed under air.

To confirm the involvement of oxygen in the mechanism, an anaerobic reaction was performed. Unexpectedly, conversion was observed under these conditions, albeit to a lesser extent: ~38% conversion under aerobic conditions (Table 1). We hypothesized that the cosolvent, DMSO present in 5% v/v may act as the oxidizing agent in the absence of dioxygen as observed by Das and coworkers.$^{[31]}$ Substituting DMSO with DMF however, led to conversion both with and without dioxygen (Table S7). We assume that, in the absence of oxygen, the reaction proceeds via an alternative mechanism. One of the possible explanations is generation of H$_2$O$_2$, like a typical CAD-type pathway. However, the unambiguous detection of H$_2$ under our experimental set-up proved challenging.

Table 1. Photocatalytic dehydrogenation of salsolidine 22.$^{[a]}$

| Entry | TEMPO | Under Air/N$_2$ | 23 [%] | 24 [%] |
|-------|-------|-----------------|--------|--------|
| 1     | 40 mM | Air             | 78     | 8      |
| 2     | –     | N$_2$           | 30     | 3      |

[a] Experimental details as listed in Scheme 3, with a reaction time = 45 h.
Under anaerobic conditions, no resonance in the iridium hydride region was observed by 1H-NMR spectrum, despite repeated efforts (Figure S4). While this does not preclude the possibility of a transient Ir–H species, the marked preference of mono- vs. perdehydrogenation suggests an alternative pathway. During these investigations, an air-sensitive blue complex was observed, which was scrutinized by UV-vis and NMR spectroscopy (Scheme 4a and see discussion in the page S23 for the emission studies).

Upon photoirradiation under anaerobic conditions, absorption from 435 to 700 nm increased and the 1H-NMR resonances for L′17 were shifted upfield, leading us to suggest that this species is [Cp*Ir(L′17)H]X^++, whereby the ligand 17 has been reduced across the heteroaromatic ring (Scheme 4b, Figure S5 and S7–S10). The blue complex remains moderately stable under nitrogen for > 1 week without an increase in consumption of amine 22. This leads us to suggest that intermediate [Cp*Ir(L′17)H]X^+ requires photoexcitation to be turned over (Figure S6).

When exposed to air, [Cp*Ir(L′17)H]X^+ produced a single species. Based on 1H-NMR spectra, we conclude it most resembles the [Cp*Ir(L′17)2]^2+ (Figure S5). This suggests that the catalyst reduction is caused by an unbound equivalent of the amine substrate (Table S9). The differential spectrum (E–C, Figure S6) resembles the [Cp*Ir(L′17)H]^+ species. Based on H-NMR spectra, we conclude it most resembles a 2e– donor/guest complex, thus it may act as a 2e–/2H+ donor in the reduction of O2 to produce H2O2 (path 2 in Scheme 4b). This mechanism is reminiscent of flavin-dependent oxidases. We thus tentatively propose an aerobic mechanism mediated by singlet oxygen, or a mechanism involving L′17 reduction.

Next, [Cp*Ir(L′17)Cl]^+ was tested for the reduction of N-heterocycles, derived either from tetrahydropyrimidinone or indoline 25–31 (Figure 1). Higher TON and selectivity correlate well with the stability of the C-centered radical with a modest contribution from steric hindrance, rendering the H atom less accessible for abstraction. The acyclic substrate 32 was also oxidized and subsequently hydrolyzed. In analogy to substrates 25 and 27, the amine oxidation seems to proceed at the less hindered N-α position. In all cases, however, the dehydrogenation product was formed preferentially over the perdehydrogenation product.

In summary, we have identified a visible light-promoted dehydrogenation catalyst, [Cp*Ir(L′17)Cl]^+, which is active under physiological conditions. Depending on the presence or absence of O2, we surmise that the reaction proceeds via two competing reaction pathways. Detailed mechanistic studies are underway alongside the introduction of the reaction into a chemoenzymatic cascade.[23]
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