Prevalence of depression in patients with sarcopenia and correlation between the two diseases: systematic review and meta-analysis
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Abstract

Background Depression may be the most common cause of emotional distress later in life and can significantly reduce the quality of life in elderly individuals. Sarcopenia is a syndrome characterized by the continuous loss of skeletal muscle mass and decreased strength and function. In recent years, many studies have shown a correlation between sarcopenia and depression. The present study aimed to investigate the prevalence of depression among individuals with sarcopenia and to ascertain whether sarcopenia is independently associated with depression.

Methods We systematically searched the PubMed, Embase, and Google Scholar databases for papers on sarcopenia published up to 31 August 2021. We reviewed the literature on the number of individuals with sarcopenia, the number of individuals with both sarcopenia and depression, and the odds ratio (OR) of sarcopenia to depression. Statistical analyses were performed using Meta-DiSc 1.4 software and Stata version 12.0.

Results Nineteen articles met the inclusion criteria for review: nine reported both prevalence and ORs, four described prevalence only, and six detailed the ORs only. Regarding prevalence, there were 1476 cases of sarcopenia and 364 of depression in the selected studies; the mean age of the patients was 75.5 years, and the overall prevalence of depression was 0.28 [95% confidence interval (CI): 0.21–0.36]. Significant heterogeneity was noted (P < 0.001; I² = 92.2%). In the case of ORs, there were 16 869 subjects with a mean age of 73 years; the overall adjusted OR between sarcopenia and depression was 1.57 (95% CI: 1.32–1.86). Significant heterogeneity was noted in the adjusted ORs (P < 0.001; I² = 75.1%).

Conclusions The prevalence of depression in patients with sarcopenia was high relatively, and there was a correlation between sarcopenia and depression.
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Introduction

Depression may be the most common cause of emotional distress later in life; it affects work, significantly reduces the quality of life, increases mortality and cardiovascular disease risk, and is related to poor health and suicide in older people.1,2 Suicide due to depression is a major public health problem; every year, more than 800 000 people worldwide die by suicide.3 The prevalence of clinically relevant depressive symptoms in the elderly population in Asia ranges from 8% to 13%.4 Major depressive disorder (MDD) is a common chronic disease that affects more than 300 million people;
it is the main cause of disability worldwide and the main factor contributing to the overall global burden of disease. In the United States, approximately 10.4% of adults have had MDD in the past year, and 20.6% of adults have experienced MDD in their lifetime. In particular, depression in the elderly has become a major problem, with high prevalence and significant relationships to other adverse health events. In countries of all income levels, people with depression are often diagnosed late or incorrectly; therefore, it is important to screen early and intervene before the disease becomes serious. Many studies have found that depression is related to body composition, as well as to skeletal muscle mass, strength, and function, which are important to vitality and well-being; relatedly, patients with sarcopenia are more likely to suffer from depression and have a higher mortality rate.

Sarcopenia, defined as age-related loss of muscle mass, muscle strength, and/or physical function, can easily lead to fractures and joint damage, affect organ function, and progress to cardiopulmonary failure and even death. It is an important contributor to dysfunction and disability, affecting quality of life and increasing morbidity and mortality. The prevalence of sarcopenia is 5–13% in individuals aged 60–70 years, while it varies from 11% to 50% in those over 80 years old. A conservative estimate of the prevalence of clinically relevant sarcopenia is that the syndrome currently affects more than 50 million individuals, and this number is expected to surpass 200 million in the next 40 years. Sarcopenia is associated with several major economic and social impacts, and the direct cost of sarcopenia has accounted for 1.5% of total healthcare expenditure in recent years. Effective treatments for sarcopenia include physical exercise, nutritional intervention, and hormone therapy. Early and timely treatment can alleviate the condition and reduce complications.

As two common diseases in the elderly, sarcopenia and depression have some similarities in clinical, aetiology, and prognosis. In recent years, a number of studies have shown a correlation between sarcopenia and depression. There are several common risk factors for both, such as lack of exercise, upregulation of inflammatory factors, and hormonal disorders of the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal axis. By calculating the prevalence and correlation of depression in sarcopenia, we can calculate the sample size in scientific research and provide a basis for future prospective research. In clinical practice, this knowledge can increase the vigilance of clinicians on depression in patients with sarcopenia so that the disease can be diagnosed and treated at its earliest stages, prevent disease progression and complications, improve the quality of life of patients, and reduce the economic burden on society.

However, the latest studies, based on observational research data, have demonstrated wide-ranging and inconsistent results. Studies of depressive symptoms in older people with sarcopenia have reported a prevalence of 8% to 87%. Furthermore, the association between sarcopenia and depression is inconsistent. Some studies have reported that sarcopenia is positively associated with depression (odds ratio (OR) 6.87, 95% confidence interval (CI): 2.06–22.96). Others have reported an OR of 0.82 (95% CI: 0.5–1.36), showing no statistically significant association between sarcopenia and depression. There is no systematic review of the prevalence of depression in sarcopenia and only one meta-analysis on the correlation between them, but that meta-analysis confused pre-sarcopenia and sarcopenia because the diagnosis of sarcopenia was inconsistent in the included studies. All studies included in the present meta-analysis were published before 2016. Thus, most research on the correlation between sarcopenia and depression has been conducted in the past 3 years. This meta-analysis aimed to explore the prevalence of depression in patients with sarcopenia and to ascertain whether sarcopenia is independently associated with depression.

### Methods

#### Study selection

We followed the principles of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses 2020 statement (PRISMA 2020). Two reviewers searched PubMed, Embase, and Google Scholar for meta-analyses related to the prevalence of depression in sarcopenia, but no such articles were found. A more comprehensive search was conducted in the same three electronic databases to identify eligible studies published up to August 31, 2021. The following search terms were used: ‘sarcopenia’ OR ‘muscle loss’ OR ‘grip strength’ OR ‘gait speed’ AND ‘depression’ OR ‘depressive symptom.’ Retrieve the formula: (((sarcopenia) OR (muscle loss)) OR (grip strength)) OR (gait speed)) AND (depression) OR (depressive symptom). We also screened the reference lists of all retrieved articles to identify other relevant research.

#### Inclusion and exclusion criteria

All included studies met the following criteria: (1) cross-sectional, cohort study design; (2) study population involving individuals with sarcopenia, defined as the presence of low muscle mass (LMM), low muscle strength (LMS), and/or low physical performance (LPP). All studies calculating prevalence included patients with sarcopenia, while all those calculating the correlation included a case group of patients with both sarcopenia and depression and a control group of patients with sarcopenia, but no depression (3) clear diagnostic criteria for depression; (3) outcomes of prevalence of depression and ORs. The exclusion criteria were as follows:
Data extraction

Two reviewers independently assessed the studies’ eligibility according to the inclusion/exclusion criteria. In cases of disagreement, they consulted until a resolution was reached. A standard procedure was performed to extract the data from the studies, including the first author, country/region, publication year, study type, sample size, age, number of patients with sarcopenia, number of patients with depression among those with sarcopenia, methods of evaluating sarcopenia and depression, including diagnostic items, techniques for measurement, and cut-off values, and all pertinent covariates modifying the relationship between sarcopenia and depression. The main results of interest were the prevalence of depression in sarcopenia and the rough and adjusted association between sarcopenia and depression, expressed in odds ratios (ORs) and 95% CIs. Results were adjusted for different confounding factors; if multiple logistic regression models were produced, the most common one was chosen.

Research quality assessment

The quality of each study was independently scored by the two researchers and assessed using the Newcastle–Ottawa Scale, which is widely used to evaluate cross-sectional studies. The highest score for cohort or case–control studies was 9 points, and the highest score for cross-sectional studies was 6 points. A higher score indicates a better quality method. Disagreements between the two researchers were resolved through discussion.

Statistical analyses

We followed the statistical analysis methods of Tong et al.25 All data analyses were performed using STATA 12.0 (StataCorp LLC, Texas, USA, http://www.stata.com/). After proper conversion, we chose random effects analysis because it is considered more conservative, combines better interstudy variance terms, produces a lower Type I error rate, has a wider CI than the fixed-effects model, and summarizes the effect estimates.26 Heterogeneity between studies was investigated using the Q test, based on chi-square ($\chi^2$) and the statistical test of $I^2$. A P value of less than 0.10 or an $I^2$ value greater than 50% indicated statistical heterogeneity.

Subgroup analysis

To investigate the possible reasons for heterogeneity, we performed subgroup analyses on the diagnostic criteria for sarcopenia, the diagnostic items of sarcopenia and depression, body mass index (BMI), ethnicity, and study site. A BMI of $\geq 25$ was defined as overweight, while one $\geq 30$ was considered obese.27

Sensitivity analysis

If a sufficient number of studies were found, we intended to evaluate the robustness of the results by sensitivity analysis, excluding studies conducted in special populations.

Results

Search process

In all, 2215 articles were collated from the database search. After removing duplicates, 1352 titles and abstracts were screened. Forty-one relevant studies were submitted for full-text screening, and 22 of these were excluded. The reasons for excluding some articles after full-text screening are shown in the flow chart and Table S1. Ultimately, 19 publications21,22,28–44 met the inclusion criteria for review: nine28,29,34–37,39,40,43 investigated both prevalence and ORs, four21,33,41,42 involved prevalence only, and six21,22,30–32,38 reported ORs only. Figure 1 is a flowchart of the literature selection process.

Study characteristics

The characteristics of the included studies investigating prevalence are summarized in Table 1. The 13 studies enrolled 1476 individuals; the mean age of the study participants ranged from 61 to 87 years. One study29 was separated according to sex, and one40 involved two separate populations (sarcopenia with obesity and sarcopenia without obesity). The characteristics of the studies reporting ORs are summarized in Table 2. The 15 studies enrolled 16 869 individuals. The mean age of the study participants ranged from 61 to 82.7 years. Two studies29,39 were separated according to sex, one study40 involved two separate populations (sarcopenia with obesity and sarcopenia without obesity).

Most of the included studies were conducted in the community and Asia, and all studies adopted a cross-sectional design. Almost all studies defined sarcopenia as the presence of LMM, LMS, and low physical fitness (LPP). To diagnose depression, two studies used the Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS), and nine used the GDS, 15-item version (GDS-15),...
two used the Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale (CES-D), two used the Beck Depression Inventory-II, one study used the Zung Self-rating Depression Scale (SDS), one used the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, and one used the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale.

**Diagnostic method for sarcopenia**

The details of the diagnostic criteria and cut-off points for sarcopenia in each study are listed in Table 3. Sixteen studies defined sarcopenia by combining LMM, LMS, and LPP, while three used LMM and LMS. Different measurement methods and cut-off values were utilized to confirm LMM, LMS, and LPP in these studies. Muscle mass was assessed using bioelectrical impedance analysis (13 studies), dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (three studies), calf circumference (two studies), and mid-arm muscle circumference (one study). Muscle strength was detected using handgrip dynamometry, and physical performance was detected using the 2.4, 4, 5, and 6 m walk test, gait speed, or timed up-and-go test.

**Meta-analysis results**

**Overall results of prevalence**

There were 1476 cases of sarcopenia and 364 of depression in the selected studies; the patients’ mean age was 75.5 years. The meta-analysis showed that the overall prevalence of depression in patients with sarcopenia was 0.28 (95% CI: 0.21–0.36). (Figure 2) A significant heterogeneity was noted ($P < 0.001; I^2 = 92.2\%$).

**Subgroup analyses**

The subgroup analysis showed that the prevalence of depression in patients with sarcopenia was 0.31 (95% CI: 0.20–0.43) in the group diagnosed with sarcopenia according to the Asian Working Group for Sarcopenia (AWGS), 0.29 (95% CI: 0.18–0.39) in the group diagnosed according to the European Working Group on Sarcopenia in Older People (EWGSOP), 0.32 (95% CI: 0.22–0.41) in the group diagnosed using bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA), 0.28 (95% CI 0.20–0.36) in the group diagnosed using the GDS-15, 0.36 (95% CI: –0.18–0.90) in those with overweight, 0.32 (95% CI:
| First author and year | Country and region | Study region | Study site | Study design | BMI (mean) | Sample size | No. of depression | Prevalence | Mean age (years) | Sarcopenia diagnosis standard | Criteria (assessment method to detect sarcopenia) | Depression diagnosis standard | Cut-off value for depression |
|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------|------------|--------------|------------|-------------|-------------------|------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Endo (2021)           | Japan              | Asia         | Community  | Cross-sectional | 20.1       | 30          | 12                | 0.4         | 80              | AWGS 2014                         | LMM (BIA) + LMS (HGS)            | GDS-15                      | 5                           |
| Kitamura (2021)       | Japan              | Asia         | Community  | Cross-sectional | 21.4       | 105         | 31                | 0.295       | 78              | AWGS (2019)                       | LMM (BIA) + LMS (HGS)            | GDS-15                      | 5                           |
| Kitamura (2021)       | Japan              | Asia         | Community  | Cross-sectional | 21.4       | 156         | 66                | 0.425       | 78              | AWGS (2019)                       | LMM (BIA) + LMS (HGS)            | GDS-15                      | 5                           |
| Olgun Yazar (2019)    | Turkey             | Asia         | Community  | Cross-sectional | 27.5       | 50          | 32                | 0.64        | 75              | EWGSOP (2010)                    | LMM (BIA) + LMS (HGS)            | GDS-15                      | 11                          |
| Klavuz (2018)         | Turkey             | Asia         | Community  | Cross-sectional | 21.4       | 156         | 66                | 0.425       | 78              | AWGS (2019)                       | LMM (BIA) + LMS (HGS)            | GDS-15                      | 11                          |
| Szlejó (2018)         | Brazil             | America      | Community  | Cross-sectional | 27         | 114         | 10                | 0.087       | 61              | FNIH Sarcopenia Project criteria | LMM (BIA) + LMS (HGS)            | B-CIS-R                     | Unknown                     |
| Hayashi (2019)        | Japan              | Asia         | Community  | Cross-sectional | 21         | 41          | 18                | 0.439       | 72.5            | AWGS (2014)                       | LMM (BIA) + LMS (HGS)            | GDS-15                      | 6                           |
| Wang (2018)           | China              | Asia         | Community  | Cross-sectional | 23.9       | 61          | 11                | 0.18        | 69              | AWGS (2014)                       | LMM (BIA) + LMS (HGS)            | GDS-15                      | 5                           |
| Sugimoto (2016)       | Japan              | Asia         | Clinic     | Cross-sectional | 19.6       | 88          | 36                | 0.409       | 80              | AWGS (2014)                       | LMM (BIA) + LMS (HGS)            | GDS-15                      | 6                           |
| Ishii (2016)          | Japan              | Asia         | Community  | Cross-sectional | 26         | 17          | 4                | 0.5          | 77              | EWGSOP (2010)                    | LMM (BIA) + LMS (HGS)            | GDS-15                      | 6                           |
| Ishii (2016)          | Japan              | Asia         | Community  | Cross-sectional | 23.6       | 26          | 26                | 0.11        | 77              | EWGSOP (2010)                    | LMM (BIA) + LMS (HGS)            | GDS-15                      | 6                           |
| Huang (2015)          | Taiwan, China      | Asia         | Community  | Cross-sectional | 24.7       | 50          | 4                 | 0.08        | 77              | AWGS (2014)                       | LMM (DEXA) + LMS (HGS)           | CES-D                       | 16                          |
| Alexandre (2014)      | Brazil             | America      | Community  | Cross-sectional | 21         | 266         | 36                | 0.135       | 70              | EWGSOP (2010)                    | LMM (DEXA) + LMS (HGS)           | GDS-15                      | 6                           |
| Hsu (2014)            | Taiwan, China      | Asia         | Community  | Cross-sectional | 20.9       | 109         | 32                | 0.298       | 84              | EWGSOP (2010)                    | LMM (BIA) + LMS (HGS)            | GDS-15                      | 6                           |
| Landi (2012)          | Europe             | Italy        | Community  | Cross-sectional | 23.8       | 66          | 20                | 0.3         | 87              | EWGSOP (2010)                    | LMM (MC) + LMS (HGS)             | DSM                         | unknown                      |

Abbreviations: AWGS, Asian Working Group for Sarcopenia; B-CIS-R, Brazilian version of the Clinical Interview Scheduled Revised; BIA, bioelectrical impedance analysis; CES-D, Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale; DSM, Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders; DXA, dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry; EWGSOP, European Working Group on Sarcopenia in Older People; FNIH, Foundation for the National Institutes of Health; GDS, Geriatric Depression Scale; GS: gait speed; HGS, handgrip strength; LMM, lower muscle mass; LMS, lower muscle strength; LPP, lower physical performance; MC, muscle circumference; TUG, timed up and go test; SDS, Self-rating Depression Scale.
| First author and year | Country and region | Study region | Study site | Study design | Sample size | Mean age (years) | BMI (mean) | OR (95% CI) adjusted | OR (95% CI) | Sarcopenia diagnosis standard | Criteria (assessment method to detect sarcopenia) | Depression diagnosis standard | Cut-off value for depression |
|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------|------------|--------------|-------------|------------------|------------|-----------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Endo (2021)           | Japan              | Asia         | Community  | Cross-sectional | 155         | 80               | 22.8       | 1.05 (0.99–1.11)       |             | AWGS 2014                  | LMM (BIA) + LMS (HGS) + LPP (5mGS) | SDS                                      | 40                           |
| Kitamura (2021)       | Japan              | Asia         | Community  | Cross-sectional | 917         | 78               | 23.4       | 1.2 (0.7–2.1)          |             | AWGS 2019                  | LMM (BIA) + LMS (HGS) + LPP (5mGS) | GDS                                      | 5                            |
| Kitamura (2021)       | Japan              | Asia         | Community  | Cross-sectional | 934         | 78               | 23         | 2.4 (1.6–3.6)          |             | AWGS 2019                  | LMM (BIA) + LMS (HGS) + LPP (5mGS) | GDS                                      | 5                            |
| Yuenyongchaiwat (2021)| Thailand            | Asia         | Hospital   | Cross-sectional | 104         | 60               | 23.48      | 3.229 (1.139–9.157)    |             | AWGS 2019                  | LMM (BIA) + LMS (HGS) + LPP (6mGS) | BDI-II Cutoff-value for depression: unknown |
| Fabregas-Cuadros (2020)| Spain              | Europe       | Community  | Cross-sectional | 304         | 72               | 29.14      | 1.10 (1.02–1.19)       |             | EWGSOP 2019                | LMM (BIA) + LMS (HGS) + LPP (5mGS) | HADS Cutoff-value for depression: unknown |
| Yuenyongchaiwat (2020)| Thailand            | Asia         | Community  | Cross-sectional | 330         | 67               | 25.55      | 2.335 (1.224–4.453)    |             | AWGS 2014                  | LMM (BIA) + LMS (HGS) + LPP (6mGS) | GDS                                      | 12                           |
| Kilavuz (2018)        | Turkey              | Asia         | Community  | Cross-sectional | 861         | 72.2             | Unknown    | 2.55 (1.11–5.88)       |             | EWGSOP 2010                | LMM (BIA) + LMS (HGS) + LPP (5mGS) | GDS                                      | 5                            |
| Szleif (2018)         | Brazil              | America      | Community  | Cross-sectional | 5927        | 61               | 27         | 2.3 (1.19–4.46)        |             | FNIH Sarcopenia Project criteria | LMM (BIA) + LMS (HGS) | B-CIS-R                                   | unknown                      |

(Continues)
| First author and year | Country and region | Study region | Study site | Study design | Sample size | Mean age (years) | BMI (mean) | OR (95% CI) adjusted | Sarcopenia diagnosis standard | Criteria (assessment method to detect sarcopenia) | Depression diagnosis standard | Cut-off value for depression |
|------------------------|-------------------|-------------|------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|
| Hayashi (2019)         | Japan             | Asia        | Community  | Cross-sectional | 432         | 72.5            | 22.9       | 2.31 (1.2–4.46)     | 2.38 (1.18–4.81)                | AWGS 2014                        | LMM (BIA) + LMS (HGS) + LPP (5mGS) | GDS                        | 6                        |
| Wang (2018)            | China             | Asia        | Community  | Cross-sectional | 865         | 69              | 23.9       | 2.73 (1.35–5.11)    | 2.45 (1.12–5.34)                | AWGS 2014                        | LMM (BIA) + LMS (HGS) + LPP (6mGS) | GDS-15                     | 5                        |
| Lee (2018)             | Korea             | Asia        | Community  | Cross-sectional | 201         | 74.3            | 25         | 5.493 (1.854–16.27) | 5.448 (1.063–27.92)             | AWGS 2014                        | LMM (DEXA) + LMS (HGS) + LPP (6mGS) | CES-D                     | 16                       |
| Patino-Hernandez (2017)| USA               | America     | Community  | Cross-sectional | 1509        | 76              | Unknown   | 1.25 (0.81–1.94)    | 0.82 (0.5–1.36)                 | EWGSOP 2010                      | LMM (MC) + LMS (HGS) + LPP (2.4mGS) | GDS-15                     | 6                        |
| Sugimoto (2016)        | Japan             | Asia        | Clinic     | Cross-sectional | 139         | 77              | 21.8       | 2.11 (0.90–4.93)    |                                 | AWGS 2014                        | LMM (BIA) + LMS (HGS) + LPP (TUG) | GDS-15                     | 6                        |

(Continued)
| First author and year | Country and region | Study region | Study site | Study design | Sample size | Mean age (years) | BMI (mean) | OR (95% CI) adjusted | Sarcopenia diagnosis standard | Depression diagnosis standard | Cut-off value for depression |
|-----------------------|-------------------|--------------|------------|--------------|-------------|----------------|------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Sugimoto (2016)       | Japan Asia Clinic | Cross-sectional | 279 | 77 | 21.8 | 1.24 (0.66–2.32) | Age, education, Mini-Mental State Examination, vitality index, depressive mood, body mass index, 25(OH)D, serum albumin, eGFR, smoking status (only men), drinking status and no. of comorbidities; number of comorbidities (diabetes mellitus, hypertension, stroke, cardiac disease, cancer and pulmonary disease). |
| Ishii (2016)          | Japan Asia Community | Cross-sectional | 1732 | 77 | Unknown | 2.79 (1.43–5.43) | Age, sex, food intake, poor sleep, physical activity, education level, social isolation, living alone, neighbourhood ties, chronic comorbidity burden, use of antidepressant, and use of statin |
| Ishii (2016)          | Japan Asia Community | Cross-sectional | 1732 | 77 | Unknown | 0.93 (0.55–1.60) | Age, sex, food intake, poor sleep, physical activity, education level, social isolation, living alone, neighbourhood ties, chronic comorbidity burden, use of antidepressant, and use of statin |
| Hsu (2014)            | Taiwan, China Asia Community | Cross-sectional | 353 | 82.7 | 23 | 2.55 (1.41–4.6) | 2.25 (1.03–4.89) | Age, body mass index, physical function, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, cognitive impairment Age, gender, BMI, diabetes |
| Kim (2013)            | Korea Asia Hospital | Cross-sectional | 95 | 63.9 | 22.3 | 8.75 (2.74–27.9) | 6.87 (2.06–22.96) | Age, gender, BMI, diabetes |

Abbreviations: AWGS, Asian Working Group for Sarcopenia; B-CIS-R, Brazilian version of the Clinical Interview Schedule Revised; BDHI-II, Beck Depression Inventory-II; BIA, bioelectrical impedance analysis; BMI, body mass index; CES-D, Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale; DXA, dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry; EWGSOP, European Working Group on Sarcopenia in Older People; FNIH, Foundation for the National Institutes of Health; GDS, Geriatric Depression Scale; GS, gait speed; HADS, Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; HGS, handgrip strength; LMM, lower muscle mass; LMS, lower muscle strength; LPP, lower physical performance; MC, muscle circumference; SDS, Self-rating Depression Scale; TUG, timed up and go test.
0.22–0.41) in Asia, and 0.27 (95% CI: 0.20–0.35) in the community (Table 4).

**Sensitivity analysis**

Sugimoto et al.\(^\text{39}\) conducted a study in patients with amnestic mild cognitive impairment or Alzheimer’s disease. Hsu\(^\text{43}\) recruited veterans for their study involving all male participants living in the same retirement community and sharing a similar life course from China to Taiwan during the Chinese Civil War. Therefore, we performed a sensitivity analysis excluding those two studies to investigate the impact of these studies on the aggregate results. The sensitivity analysis found that the combined prevalence did not change substantially, indicating that our meta-analysis was stable (Figure S1).

**Overall results of odds ratios**

There were 16,869 subjects, with a mean age of 73 years. The overall adjusted OR between sarcopenia and depression was...
Figure 2  Forest plot of prevalence of depression in sarcopenia. CI, confidence interval, OR, odds ratio.

Table 4  The results of subgroup analysis in prevalence of depression in sarcopenia

| Outcomes                                      | Numbers of studies | Meta-analysis results   | I² (%) | P       |
|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------|---------|
| Diagnostic criteria for sarcopenia           |                    |                        |        |         |
| AWGS                                          | 6                  | 0.31 (0.20-0.43)       | 89.4   | <0.001  |
| EWGSOP                                        | 6                  | 0.29 (0.18-0.39)       | 92.5   | <0.001  |
| FNIH                                          | 1                  | 0.09 (0.04-0.14)       | /      | /       |
| Measurement method for LMM                   |                    |                        |        |         |
| BIA                                           | 9                  | 0.32 (0.22-0.41)       | 93.4   | <0.001  |
| DEXA                                          | 2                  | 0.12 (0.07-0.17)       | 36.8   | 0.208   |
| Calf circumference                            | 1                  | 0.32 (0.18-0.47)       | /      | /       |
| Mid-arm muscle circumference                  | 1                  | 0.30 (0.19-0.41)       | /      | /       |
| Measurement method for depression            |                    |                        |        |         |
| GDS-15                                        | 8                  | 0.28 (0.20-0.36)       | 91.1   | <0.001  |
| GDS                                           | 1                  | 0.64 (0.51-0.77)       | /      | /       |
| CISR-B                                        | 1                  | 0.09 (0.04-0.14)       | /      | /       |
| CES-D                                         | 1                  | 0.08 (0.00-0.16)       | /      | /       |
| SDS                                           | 1                  | 0.40 (0.22-0.58)       | /      | /       |
| DSM                                           | 1                  | 0.30 (0.19-0.41)       | /      | /       |
| BMI                                           |                    |                        |        |         |
| Overweight                                     | 2                  | 0.36 (0.18-0.59)       | 98.3   | <0.001  |
| Normal                                         | 9                  | 0.29 (0.20-0.38)       | 90.0   | <0.001  |
| Unknown                                       | 2                  | 0.22 (0.08-0.36)       | 85.3   | 0.001   |
| Ethnicity                                      |                    |                        |        |         |
| Asia                                          | 10                 | 0.32 (0.22-0.41)       | 92.2   | <0.001  |
| America                                       | 2                  | 0.11 (0.07-0.16)       | 50.7   | 0.154   |
| Europe                                        | 1                  | 0.30 (0.19-0.41)       | /      | /       |
| Site                                          |                    |                        |        |         |
| Community                                     | 12                 | 0.27 (0.20-0.35)       | 91.9   | <0.001  |
| Clinic                                        | 1                  | 0.41 (0.31-0.51)       | /      | /       |

Abbreviations: BIA, bioelectrical impedance analysis; DEXA, dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry; GDS, Geriatric Depression Scale; GDS-15, 15-item Geriatric Depression Scale; CES-D, Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale; SDS, Self-rating Depression Scale; CISR-B, Brazilian version of the Clinical Interview Scheduled Revised; DSM, Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders.

DOI: 10.1002/jcsm.12908
1.57 (95% CI: 1.32–1.86; Figure 3). Moderate heterogeneity in both adjusted ORs ($P < 0.001; I^2 = 75.1\%$) was noted across the studies.

**Subgroup analyses**

Results of the subgroup analysis showed that the adjusted OR between depression and sarcopenia was 1.85 (95% CI: 1.30–2.63) in the group diagnosed with sarcopenia according to the AWGS, 1.60 (95% CI: 1.07, 2.40) in those diagnosed according to the EWGSOP, 1.58 (95% CI: 1.32, 1.89) in the group diagnosed using BIA, 1.54 (95% CI: 1.04–2.29) in the group diagnosed using GDS-15, 1.81 (95% CI: 1.01, 3.25) in those with overweight, 1.97 (95% CI: 1.45, 2.67) in Asia, and 1.47 (95% CI: 1.24, 1.75) in the community (Table 5).

**Sensitivity analysis**

Similar to the sensitivity analysis for prevalence, a sensitivity analysis was conducted excluding four of the OR studies: Yuenyongchaiwat, which was conducted in patients with chronic renal failure undergoing haemodialysis; Sugimoto, which was carried out in patients with amnestic mild cognitive impairment or Alzheimer’s disease; Hsu, which involved veterans; Kim, which was performed in patients with end-stage renal disease. The sensitivity analysis found that the combined adjusted OR did not change substantially, indicating that our meta-analysis was stable (Figure S2).

**Overall assessment of evidence quality**

The overall quality of each study was relatively high (Table S2).

**Discussion**

**Summary of main results**

The present study aimed to integrate the latest evidence in a study of the prevalence of depression in patients with sarcopenia and to assess the association between sarcopenia and depression. The results showed that the prevalence of depression was high in patients with sarcopenia and that there was a positive correlation between sarcopenia and depression. This relationship was not affected by adjustment for related covariates.
Subgroup analysis according to different muscle mass measurement methods

In the meta-analysis conducted in subgroups according to ethnicity, research locations, muscle mass and depression measurement methods, diagnostic criteria for sarcopenia, and BMI, the differences between the subgroups were also significant. Dual X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) diagnosis resulted in a lower prevalence of depression than BIA, and the correlation between depression and sarcopenia was more marked using the former. This showed that different sarcopenia diagnostic methods significantly affect the prevalence and ORs of depression in patients with sarcopenia; however, because most of the included studies involved healthy elderly people in the community, almost all were conducted using BIA to measure muscle mass. Thus, more research using DXA is needed to confirm the impact of different measurement methods. DXA and BIA are two commonly used techniques for evaluating body composition. DXA can quickly and non-invasively evaluate fat mass, fat-free mass, and bone mineral density, but it requires specialized radiological equipment and is expensive.58 BIA is regarded as a portable alternative to DXA and is more widely used in clinical practice.59,60 A retrospective study comparing DXA and BIA found that the BIA and DXA methods are interchangeable at the population level.61 So BIA is recommended for future sarcopenia research because it is non-invasive, economical, convenient and easier to popularize.

Subgroup analysis according to different depression screening tools

Similarly, differences in the depression scales used also affected the prevalence of depression in patients with sarcopenia, as well as the correlation between the two; moreover, the difference between subgroups was significant. However, most studies used GDS or GDS-15, with fewer studies using other scales. The prevalence of depression was higher when diagnosed using the GDS than when using the GDS-15, and the correlation between depression and sarcopenia was more marked using the former. The meta-analysis showed that the pooled sensitivity and specificity of GDS were 82% and 76%, respectively, with a near higher diagnostic accuracy (area under the curve = 0.85). GDS-15 had pooled sensitivity and specificity values of 86% and 79%, respectively, with higher diagnostic accuracy (area under the curve = 0.90). The diagnostic performance of the GDS-15 was much better than that of the GDS.62 So GDS-15 is recommended for future depression screening tool.

**Table 5** The results of subgroup analysis for ORs between sarcopenia and depression

| Outcomes                              | Numbers of studies | Meta-analysis results | $I^2$ (%) | $P$   |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-------|
| Diagnostic criteria for sarcopenia    |                    |                       |           |       |
| AWGS                                  | 8                  | 1.85 (1.30–2.63)      | 77.0      | <0.001|
| EWGSOP                                | 6                  | 1.60 (1.07–2.40)      | 75.9      | <0.001|
| FNH                                   | 1                  | 2.23 (1.11–4.48)      | /         | /     |
| Measurement method for LMM            |                    |                       |           |       |
| BIA                                   | 12                 | 1.58 (1.32–1.89)      | 76.4      | <0.001|
| DEXA                                  | 1                  | 5.45 (1.06–27.92)     | /         | /     |
| Calf circumference                     | 2                  | 1.37 (0.45–4.16)      | 80.9      | 0.022 |
| Measurement method for depression     |                    |                       |           |       |
| GDS-15                                | 5                  | 1.54 (1.04–2.29)      | 60.5      | 0.019 |
| GDS                                   | 4                  | 2.02 (1.52–2.69)      | 14.3      | 0.323 |
| CISR-B                                | 1                  | 2.23 (1.11–4.48)      | /         | /     |
| CES-D                                 | 1                  | 5.45 (1.06–27.92)     | /         | /     |
| SDS                                   | 1                  | 1.05 (0.99–1.11)      | /         | /     |
| HADS                                  | 1                  | 1.10 (1.02–1.19)      | /         | /     |
| BDI-II                                | 2                  | 4.46 (2.03–9.81)      | 0         | 0.353 |
| BMI                                   |                    |                       |           |       |
| Overweight                            | 4                  | 1.81 (1.01–3.25)      | 72.1      | 0.013 |
| Normal                                | 8                  | 1.95 (1.34–2.82)      | 79.6      | <0.001|
| Unknown                               | 3                  | 1.45 (0.78–2.71)      | 75.6      | 0.006 |
| Ethnicity                             |                    |                       |           |       |
| Asia                                  | 12                 | 1.97 (1.45–2.67)      | 77.7      | <0.001|
| America                               | 2                  | 1.31 (0.49–3.49)      | 80.8      | 0.022 |
| Europe                                | 1                  | 1.10 (1.02–1.19)      | /         | /     |
| Site                                  |                    |                       |           |       |
| Community                             | 12                 | 1.47 (1.24–1.75)      | 75.4      | <0.001|
| Clinic                                | 1                  | 1.50 (0.90–2.48)      | /         | /     |
| Hospital                              | 2                  | 4.46 (2.03–9.81)      | 0         | 0.353 |

Abbreviations: BIA, bioelectrical impedance analysis; CES-D, Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale; DEXA, dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry; GDS, Geriatric Depression Scale; GDS-15, 15-item Geriatric Depression Scale; SDS, Self-rating Depression Scale; CISR-B, Brazilian version of the Clinical Interview Scheduled Revised; HADS, Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale.
Reasons for the heterogeneity

Although subgroup and sensitivity analyses were performed, heterogeneity was still very large in the meta-analysis, perhaps for the following reasons: First, although sarcopenia was diagnosed based on LMM and LMS in the included studies, and most measured muscle function, the degree of sarcopenia was not graded, so the severity of sarcopenia may not have been consistent across studies. Second, most of the included studies used the GDS or GDS-15 to determine depressive symptoms. The GDS is an effective measurement method for assessing depressive symptoms, satisfactory psychometric properties and broad clinical use to evaluate elderly people in various environments. However, it is only a depression screening tool, not a diagnostic tool, and depressive symptoms associated with GDS may be related to physical illness. In addition, the severity of depression in the included study subjects was not the same; the diagnosis of depression used questionnaires (such as GDS, BDI-II, and SDS), so self-report bias and recall bias were inevitable. This may have affected the prevalence of sarcopenia and depressive symptoms to varying degrees. Third, although the ORs were adjusted for demographic data, including age, sex, BMI, education, and many confounding factors, such as disability, weakness, physical activity, and sex hormones, may have affected the association between sarcopenia and depression. The present study could not unify all confounding factors. Fourth, the subjects in the included studies were older people; most of them had multiple comorbidities, each of which affected the others. Higher comorbidity index was associated with higher prevalence of depression. There were also differences in comorbidities among the included studies. Finally, differences in race, region, and quality control of the research process between studies may lead to greater heterogeneity.

Mechanism basis

Recently, researchers have recognized the relationship between depressive symptoms and sarcopenia; there are multiple mechanisms that interact with both sarcopenia and depression, including neurotrophins, oxidative stress, inflammation, and the regulation of lifestyle behaviours. Brain-derived neurotrophic factor is one of the most explored biomarkers of depression; it is produced in various tissues, including skeletal muscle, acts on neurons in the central nervous and peripheral nervous systems, and drives hippocampal neurogenesis, the hippocampus being a key area of the brain that is related to mental illness. Age-related chronic low-grade inflammation is an important cause of both sarcopenia and depression. It is characterized by elevated levels of tumour necrosis factor-alpha, C-reactive protein, and interleukin-6. These inflammatory cytokines can increase the interstitial concentrations of norepinephrine, dopamine, serotonin, and their metabolites in the hypothalamus and hippocampus, which are related to mental illness. Sarcopenia may adversely affect mental function through metabolic and endocrine mechanisms. Disability and insufficient physical activity due to decreased muscle strength and muscle mass may cause depression. Conversely, depression leads to a decline in social activity and can lead to sarcopenia. Our findings are consistent with this mechanism, indicating that there is indeed a correlation between sarcopenia and depression, but almost all selected articles had a cross-sectional design and could not confirm causality between the analysed factors. Chen et al. performed a year-long cohort study and showed that sarcopenia is an independent risk factor for depressive symptoms, but their study had many limitations. In particular, their study population may not have been comprehensive enough, as all participants were relatively healthy, and the follow-up time was short. Therefore, a rigorous, large-sample, long-term prospective cohort study will be necessary to confirm the causal relationship between sarcopenia and depression.

New discoveries and trends

In one study, the prevalence and OR of depression in the sarcopenia with obesity group were significantly higher than those in the sarcopenia without obesity group, indicating that obesity can increase the risk of depression. Obesity is an established risk factor for mental disorders and depression, obesity and depression have mutual influence, and an activated hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal axis and inflammation are considered common mediating pathways for depression and obesity. Because sarcopenia and obesity both promote depression, patients with both sarcopenia and obesity are at higher risk of depression than those with sarcopenia alone. However, it remains unclear whether the combination of sarcopenia and obesity has an additive or a synergistic effect. BMI is currently the most useful obesity measurement index at the population level, but the association between BMI and depression is inconclusive. Some studies have indicated that an increase in BMI can reduce the risk of depression, while other studies have shown that patients with a higher BMI are more likely to suffer from depression. A large nationally representative study in the United States showed a U-shaped relationship between the prevalence of lifetime-diagnosed depression and BMI: people with a BMI lower than 18.5 kg/m² or higher than 30 kg/m² have a higher risk of depression than those with a normal BMI (18.5–24.9 kg/m²). This shows that both increasing and decreasing BMI can increase the risk of depression. Many previous studies have used BMI to define obesity; however, it cannot distinguish between muscle and fat, so it is not a standardized indicator to determine overweight. Lower BMI does not indicate good health, because the respective
individual may have more fat; conversely, a higher BMI may
not denote obesity, but it may mean more muscle mass. Previ-
ous studies have shown that the severity of sarcopenia
decreases linearly with BMI, and a higher BMI may reflect
better nutrition and regular physical activity, including exer-
cise, which is conducive to increased muscle mass and helps
prevent or delay sarcopenia. Therefore, it is difficult to ac-
curately evaluate health risks using BMI to assess obesity.
Muscles play an important role in human bodily functions
and diseases; therefore, body composition analysis using
percentage body fat to evaluate body adiposity should be
used to distinguish between muscle mass and body fat mass.
In addition, Kitamura calculated the prevalence of depres-
sion in patients with sarcopenia of each sex. The findings
showed that the prevalence and OR of depression in women
with sarcopenia were higher than those in men with sarcope-
nia, perhaps because women are more likely to suffer from
sarcopenia and depression than men, or because of a demo-
graphic bias. Yazar’s research divided men and women into
pre-sarcopenia, sarcopenia, and severe sarcopenia groups ac-
cording to the degree of the disease; the degree of sarcope-
nia was determined by muscle mass, muscle strength, and
physical function, and the prevalence of depression was not
significantly different between groups, indicating that depres-
sion may be more related to muscle mass than to muscle
strength and physical function. Byeon’s study calculated
the association between LMM and depression. Patients with
depression were classified as either those diagnosed with de-
pression or those with depressive symptoms. The depression
group consisted of patients who had been clinically diag-
nosed with depression, while the depressed group consisted
of patients who had specific depressive symptoms in the past
year. The results showed that the association between de-
pression and low skeletal muscle mass was not significantly
different between the two groups. This indicates that the
specific disease state of patients with depression does not
affect the correlation between depression and sarcopenia.
Another report showed that increased depression severity
was associated with sarcopenia, and that sarcopenia stages
varied according to the severity of depression. The relation-
ship between depression severity and the stages of sarcope-
nia remains unclear.

**Agreements and disagreements with other studies or reviews**

Our research results are consistent with the results of a pre-
vious meta-analysis on the correlation between sarcopenia
and depression. Both studies demonstrated that sarcopenia
has a significant positive association with depression. In the
present meta-analysis, only eight of the 15 included studies used muscle mass combined with muscle
strength to diagnose sarcopenia; six of them met our inclu-
sion criteria and were included in our analysis, while three
other studies diagnosed sarcopenia based on measured
muscle mass only: two used the DXA and one used the BIA. Four studies used decreased grip strength as a
measure of sarcopenia. Older people can be classified into
three stages: robust, pre-sarcopenia, and sarcopenia; pre-
sarcopenia describes the transition stage from robust to
sarcopenia; it is characterized by LMM and normal muscle
strength. Muscle mass, grip strength, and gait speed are the
three elements used to assess sarcopenia; one study on the
risk of death from disability related to sarcopenia pointed
out that, in the absence of low grip strength and slow gait,
LMM does not significantly increase the risk of death and ac-
cidental disability; at the same time, in the absence of LMM,
low grip strength and/or slow gait do not increase the risk of
all-cause mortality. This suggests that the current major sar-
copenia algorithms, EWGSOP2 and AWGS, are effective, and
that sarcopenia can be diagnosed based on the presence of
LMM together with LMM, according to given cut-off points.
The included studies in our analysis met the criteria and de-
 fined sarcopenia as the presence of LMM, LMS, and/or LPP,
but there were no strict diagnostic criteria in the previous
meta-analysis. Moreover, few studies have evaluated the as-
sociation between depression and sarcopenia defined as
LMM and low muscle function and/or poor physical perfor-
ance, so few met the inclusion criteria of our meta-analysis.
As a result, our subgroup analysis was limited and showed
broad heterogeneity.

**Strengths and weaknesses**

In the current meta-analysis, we feel confident that our liter-
ature search was thorough and that no relevant trials were
missed. We conducted trial selection, data extraction, and
quality assessments to minimize bias and transcription errors.
We used standard scales to evaluate the quality of the in-
cluded literature, repeatedly confirmed the meta-results,
and reviewed a large number of manuscripts to study the
mechanism of the results.

Although the results are encouraging, the study had sev-
eral limitations. First, the meta-analysis included only
English-language studies identified in a few databases, which
may have led to the exclusion of high-quality, non-English
studies. Second, all selected articles had cross-sectional
design, so we could not establish a causal relationship be-
 tween sarcopenia and depression. Third, there was significant
heterogeneity among the included studies in terms of the di-
agnostic methods, measurement approaches, and diagnostic
thresholds; moreover, the adjusted variables differed among
the retrieved studies. For these reasons, residual bias and
confounding remained a possibility. Fourth, no subgroup
analysis was performed based on the severity of sarcopenia
and depression. In addition, limited by the inclusion criteria,
the number of studies was small, the study population mainly included Asians, the locations were mostly in communities, and most studies used BIA and/or the GDS as measurement approaches, which limit global adaptability.

**Conclusion**

**Implications for clinical practice**

The prevalence of depression in patients with sarcopenia is higher than in the general population, and there is a significant association between sarcopenia and depression. In future clinical work, attention should be paid to screening for depression in patients with sarcopenia, and the mutual influence of sarcopenia and depression should be recognized; in the management of sarcopenia, attention should be paid to the management of depression. Such measures will control the development of the disease, reduce complications, improve quality of life, and alleviate the social and economic burden.

**Implications for research**

The present systematic review has the following implications for future research: First, the current studies mainly included Asians and healthy people in the community, so the association between sarcopenia and depression should be verified in different races and in older people with comorbidities, such as diabetes, hypertension, and other common diseases. Second, a variety of measurement methods were used to diagnose sarcopenia, so unified measurement tools should be used to diagnose sarcopenia in the future. Third, sarcopenia and depression should be classified to verify the impact of disease severity on the prevalence of the other disease. Fourth, we will perform a large-scale, prospective cohort study stratified based on the population, region, age, and sex to assess the prevalence of depression in patients with sarcopenia and the relationship between depression and sarcopenia. Finally, in addition to studying the effect of sarcopenia on depression, the effect of depression on sarcopenia must also be studied.
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