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ABSTRACT

A total of six German shepherd dogs were selected for Breeding Soundness Examination (BSE). Body condition score was evaluated on a scale of 1-9 and Testicular volume was measured by Vernier Caliper before semen collection. The method of semen collection was by digital manipulation. The biophysical and biochemical attributes of semen for GSD dogs was characterized. In GSD dogs, the testes were firm in texture and no other scrotal abnormality was noticed. The body condition score, testicular volume, volume of semen, colour, pH, mass activity, initial motility, sperm concentration, live spermatozoa, total sperm abnormality, Intact acrosome and positive for HOS were 4.66±0.07, 44.2±1.10 cm³, 8.68±0.47 ml, Opalescent, 6.25±0.04, 3.16±0.06%, 83.3±0.79%, 376±13.6 millions/ml, 86.3±0.78%, 7.25±0.86%, 79.0±0.83% and 74.8±0.72%, respectively. The total protein, albumin, globulin, ALP and ACP were 2.98± 0.23 g/dl, 0.35± 0.04 g/dl, 2.66± 0.22 g/dl, 7422±520 IU/L and 1264±33.0 IU/L, respectively. In the present study, the biophysical and biochemical attributes of semen for GSD was characterized and compared with standard reference value for fertile dog semen. In the present study all the German Shepherd Dogs were fertile.
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Introduction

The key aspects of Breeding Soundness Evaluation are body condition score, testicular volume and semen evaluation. Body condition score was used to assess the general health of dogs on a scale of 1-9, where 1 refers to emaciated animal and 9 indicates grossly obese dog (Edney and Smith, 1986). Testicular biometry was calculated by measuring testicular length and width by using vernier caliper (Harriet et al., 2002). The most commonly used method for semen collection in dogs is by digital manipulation (Kutzler, 2005). Semen parameters such as semen volume, sperm concentration, motility, live and dead spermatozoa and sperm morphology in the whole ejaculate are frequently examined to assess the quality of semen (Gunzel-Apel, 1994). The functional integrity of the sperm plasma membrane is crucial for the viability and fertilizing ability of spermatozoa (Hafez, 1993). The Hypo-Osmotic swelling test by using distilled water has been effectively used to assess the functional integrity of sperm plasma membrane (Lomeo and Giambersio, 1991). The determination of intact acrosome is another essential tool to evaluate indirectly the
fertilizing capacity of spermatozoa (Wells and Awa, 1970). The seminal plasma enzyme, alkaline phosphatase is primarily of testicular and epididymal origin. Another seminal plasma enzyme, the acid phosphatase is a biochemical marker, primarily related to the metabolic functions of spermatozoa and prostate diseases in dogs (King and Macpherson, 1966). Alkaline and acid phosphatases are good indicators of the secretary functions of the epididymis and prostate gland respectively (Tannenbaum, 1982). The objective of this study was to evaluate the biophysical and biochemical characteristics of semen and compare the semen quality with that of standard reference value of fertile dog semen.

**Materials and Methods**

**Experimental animals**

The experiment was performed on apparently healthy six GSD aged between 2 to 4 years maintained by pet owners of Puducherry. Body condition score on a scale of 1 to 9 was assessed before semen collection as per the method described by Laflamme (1997). The testicular volume was measured as per Vernier Caliper method and as per the formula adopted by Harriet et al., (2002). Semen from dog was collected by digital manipulation and stimulation of the Bulbus glandis (Linde-Forsbrg, 1991). A total of 36 ejaculates from six GSD were subjected to macroscopic and microscopic analysis.

**Experimental procedure**

**Volume and colour of the ejaculate**

A glass funnel fitted in a graduated measuring plastic tube was used to collect the ejaculate and the volume was directly measured on the graduated marks in the measuring tube (Linde-Forsberg, 1995). The colour was visually noticed as per the method described by (Feldman et al., 2004).

**pH and mass activity**

The pH of dog semen was measured by pH paper in the pH range from 5.0-8.0 (Freshman, 2002). The mass activity of spermatozoa was recorded immediately after semen collection by examining a drop of semen on a clean glass slide at 100x magnification without cover slip. Mass activity was evaluated on a scale of 0-5 as per the method described by Evans and Maxwell (1987).

**Initial motility**

The initial motility of spermatozoa was recorded immediately after semen collection by examining a drop of semen on a clean glass slide and diluted with one drop of Tris buffer kept at 37ºc at 400x magnification with a coverslip. The initial motility was evaluated as per the method described by Gunzel-Apel (1994) and Feldman and Nelson (1996).

**Sperm concentration and sperm morphology**

Sperm concentration was calculated by haemocytometric method (Sorenson, 1976) and expressed in millions of spermatozoa per milliliter of semen. The sperm morphology was studied using nigrosin-eosin stained semen smear.

Nigrosin-eosin staining technique was adopted to identify the live and the dead spermatozoa. A total of 200 sperms will be observed in not less than 10 randomly selected microscopic fields per sample under 1000x magnification (Hancock and Rowlands, 1949). The percentage of abnormal sperm with head abnormality, proximal and distal protoplasmic droplet, deformed tail and total number of abnormal sperm were calculated.
Sperm membrane integrity

Hypo osmotic swelling test (HOST)

The sperm plasma membrane integrity was assessed by a volume of 10.0 µl of semen was added to 1 ml of mono distilled water and incubated at 37°C for 5 min. After incubation, 1 drop of the semen was placed on a glass slide covered with cover slip and evaluated under 1000x magnification. A total of 200 sperms were evaluated and percentages of swollen tail spermatozoa were calculated as per the method described by Lomeo and Giambersio (1991).

Acrosome membrane integrity

Acrosome cap integrity by using Giemsa stain was studied as per the method adopted by Watson (1975). The acrosome morphology was classified as intact, partial and completely lost acrosome as per the classification of Blom (1972).

Seminal plasma separation

The semen collected was centrifuged at 1000x g for 15 min at room temperature. The separated seminal plasma was centrifuged at 10,000x g for 10 min at room temperature and stored at -80°C (Strzezek et al., 2015). The separated seminal plasma was used for estimation of biochemical constituents. The total protein, albumin, Alkaline and acid phosphatase in the seminal plasma were estimated by colorimetric method.

Results and Discussion

Body Condition Score

The Body condition score was between 4.00 ± 0.00 and 5.00 ± 0.00 for German shepherd dogs. The BCS was 4.66 ± 0.07 for GSD (Table 1). In the present study, the mean body condition score was ideal and optimum for a breeding dog.

Testicular Volume and Seminal attributes

Testicular volume and volume of the ejaculate

The testicular volume ranged between 33.7.00 ± 0.00 and 52.6.00 ± 0.00 cm³ in GSD. The mean testicular volume was 44.2 ± 1.10 cm³ for GSD. The volume of the ejaculate for German shepherd dogs was between 6.91±1.05 to 12.3 ± 0.98. The mean volume of the ejaculate was 8.68 ± 0.47 ml (6.9-9.3 ml) for GSD (Table 1). The difference between GSD in testicular volume and volume of the ejaculate could be due to age and body size of the dog.

Colour and pH of the semen

The colour of the semen was uniformly opalescent in GSD. The pH of semen ranged between 6.00 ±.00 to 6.50 ± 0.00 in GSD. The mean pH of semen in GSD was 6.25 ± 0.04 (Table 1). Meyers-Wallen (1991) observed that good quality semen always slightly acidic and poor quality is generally neutral or slightly alkaline.

Mass activity

The mass activity of spermatozoa was ranged between 3.00 ± 0.00 to 4.00 ± 0.00 in GSD. The mean mass activity of spermatozoa for GSD was 3.16 ± 0.06 (Table 1). Santos et al., (2007) observed 4.57 ± 0.11 and Quintela et al., (2010) found 5.00 ± 0.00 on a scale of 0-5 in fresh dog semen.

Initial motility

The Initial motility of spermatozoa was ranged between 80.0 ± 0.00 to 90.0 ± 0.00 % in GSD. The mean Initial motility was 83.3 ± 0.79%
for GSD (Table 1). Gunay et al., (2003) reported the initial motility of first ejaculate was 84.42 ± 5.3% and second ejaculate was 82.42 ± 4.7% in German shepherd dogs.

**Sperm concentration**

The concentration of the spermatozoa ranged between 290 ± 25.91 to 446 ± 14.06 millions and ml in fertile dogs. The sperm concentration was 376 ± 13.6 millions / ml for GSD (Table 1). The sperm concentration in the ejaculate is closely related to age of the animal, body weight, testicular weight and sexual activity (Amann, 1986).

**Sperm morphology**

The percentage of incidence of live spermatozoa ranged between 82.3 ± 1.85 to 91.1±1.72 % in GSD. The percentage of live spermatozoa was 86.3 ± 0.78% for GSD. Kurien et al., (2012) reported that the mean live sperm percentage recorded in dog semen was 85.67 ± 0.81%. The percentage of incidence of total sperm abnormality ranged between 3.83 ± 0.70 to 14.6 ± 3.11% in GSD. The total sperm abnormality was 7.25 ± 0.86% for GSD (Table 1; Fig. 1). Kurien et al., (2012) recorded the total abnormality of spermatozoa between 7-10% in dog semen.

**Fig.1** Incidence of Live spermatozoa, total sperm abnormality, intact acrosome and HOS (+) sperms between GSD

**Fig.2** Alkaline and Acid phosphatase between GSD
Table 1: BCS, Testicular volume and semen characteristics for German shepherd dogs

| SI. No | Parameters                          | G1 | G2 | G3 | G4 | G5 | G6 |
|--------|------------------------------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|
|        |                                    | n=6| n=6| n=6| n=6| n=6| n=6|
| 1      | Body Condition Score               | 5.00±0.00 | 4.00±0.00 | 4.00±0.00 | 5.00±0.00 | 5.00±0.00 | 5.00±0.00 |
| 2      | Testicular Volume (cm³)            | 47.2±0.00 | 33.7±0.00 | 38.4±0.00 | 50.1±0.00 | 52.6±0.00 | 43.4±0.00 |
| 3      | Volume of semen (ml)               | 8.91±1.03<sup>b</sup> | 12.3±0.98<sup>a</sup> | 7.66±0.42<sup>b</sup> | 9.33±0.96<sup>ab</sup> | 6.91±1.05<sup>b</sup> | 6.91±1.05<sup>b</sup> |
| 4      | Colour of semen                    | Opalescent | Opalescent | Opalescent | Opalescent | Opalescent | Opalescent |
| 5      | pH of semen                        | 6.50±0.00 | 6.50±0.00 | 6.00±0.00 | 6.50±0.00 | 6.00±0.00 | 6.00±0.00 |
| 6      | Mass Activity of spermatozoa       | 3.00±0.00 | 3.00±0.00 | 3.00±0.00 | 3.00±0.00 | 3.00±0.00 | 3.00±0.00 |
| 7      | Initial Motility of spermatozoa (%)| 90.0±0.00 | 90.0±0.00 | 80.0±0.00 | 80.0±0.00 | 80.0±0.00 | 80.0±0.00 |
| 8      | Sperm Concentration (millions/ml)  | 420±31.94<sup>b</sup> | 388±46.93<sup>ab</sup> | 380±18.80<sup>ab</sup> | 290±25.91<sup>a</sup> | 333±10.72<sup>ab</sup> | 446±14.06<sup>b</sup> |
| 9      | Live Spermatozoa (%)               | 89.1±1.85<sup>bc</sup> | 91.1±1.72<sup>ac</sup> | 86.5±1.05<sup>c</sup> | 82.3±1.85<sup>ab</sup> | 85.1±1.24<sup>ac</sup> | 83.5±1.52<sup>ad</sup> |
| 10     | Total Sperm Abnormality (%)        | 9.83±1.35<sup>bcde</sup> | 14.6±3.11<sup>a</sup> | 5.50±0.61<sup>c</sup> | 3.83±0.70<sup>c</sup> | 4.00±0.44<sup>cd</sup> | 5.66±0.84<sup>ce</sup> |
| 11     | Intact Acrosome (%)                | 84.5±0.99<sup>c</sup> | 80.0±1.65<sup>abcd</sup> | 76.5±2.26<sup>bc</sup> | 75.3±1.80<sup>c</sup> | 80.7±1.77<sup>c</sup> | 77.0±1.46<sup>cd</sup> |
| 12     | HOS (+) (%)                        | 80.6±0.76<sup>c</sup> | 76.9±1.91<sup>ad</sup> | 73.8±0.90<sup>bdef</sup> | 70.1±0.84<sup>d</sup> | 73.5±1.36<sup>cd</sup> | 73.7±1.37<sup>ef</sup> |

Mean value having different super script within same row differ significantly (P<0.05).

Table 2: Seminal plasma biochemical parameters for German shepherd dogs

| SI. No | Parameters            | G1 | G2 | G3 | G4 | G5 | G6 |
|--------|-----------------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|
|        |                       | n=6| n=6| n=6| n=6| n=6| n=6|
| 1      | Total Protein (g/dl)  | 2.56±0.14 | 3.41±0.17 | 2.15±0.47 | 3.10±0.81 | 4.08±0.90 | 2.60±0.38 |
| 2      | Albumin (g/dl)        | 0.23±0.02<sup>ac</sup> | 0.35±0.08<sup>ac</sup> | 0.16±0.03<sup>a</sup> | 0.33±0.09<sup>ac</sup> | 0.66±0.19<sup>bc</sup> | 0.35±0.09<sup>ac</sup> |
| 3      | Globulin (g/dl)       | 2.33±0.14 | 3.06±0.23 | 1.98±0.46 | 2.76±0.81 | 3.41±0.82 | 2.41±0.33 |
| 4      | ALP (IU/L)            | 6489±391.7<sup>a</sup> | 6542±272.6<sup>ac</sup> | 6361±342.6<sup>ad</sup> | 11780±1133<sup>b</sup> | 6390±2208<sup>ae</sup> | 6971±516.8<sup>af</sup> |
| 5      | ACP (IU/L)            | 1227±30.01<sup>a</sup> | 1254±64.72<sup>ab</sup> | 1130±16.39<sup>ac</sup> | 1108±70.45<sup>ad</sup> | 1513±112.6<sup>b</sup> | 1353±14.50<sup>ab</sup> |

Mean value having different super script within row differ significantly (P<0.05).
### Table 3: Characterization of biophysical and biochemical parameters in fertile dogs

| SI. No | Semen parameters                  | Fertile dogs (Mean ± SE) | Reference values |
|--------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| 1      | Volume (ml)                       | 8.68 ± 0.47              | 1.91-8.68       |
| 2      | Colour                            | Opalescent               | Opalescent      |
| 3      | pH                                | 6.25 ± 0.04              | 6.0-6.5         |
| 4      | Mass activity                     | 3-4                      | 3 – 4           |
| 5      | Initial motility (%)              | 83.3 ± 0.79              | 78.30-85.00     |
| 6      | Sperm concentration (millions/ml) | 376 ± 13.60              | 273-598         |
| 7      | Live spermatozoa (%)              | 86.3 ± 0.78              | 82.20-93.10     |
| 8      | Total sperm abnormality (%)       | 7.25 ± 0.86              | 5.60-11.50      |

**Sperm membrane integrity of spermatozoa**

| SI. No | Parameter                        | Value (Mean ± SE) | Reference range |
|--------|----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 9      | Intact acrosome (%)              | 79.0 ± 0.83      | 75 - 85.10      |
| 10     | HOS positive spermatozoa (%)     | 74.8 ± 0.72      | 70 - 82.10      |

**Biochemical constituents in the seminal plasma**

| SI. No | Parameter                  | Value (Mean ± SE) | Reference range |
|--------|----------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 11     | Total protein (g/dl)       | 2.98 ± 0.23      | 1.21-2.98       |
| 12     | Albumin (g/dl)             | 0.35 ± 0.04      | 0.17-0.35       |
| 13     | Globulin (g/dl)            | 2.66 ± 0.22      | 0.98-2.66       |
| 14     | Alkaline phosphatase (IU/L)| 7422 ± 520       | 5758-8767       |
| 15     | Acid phosphatase (IU/L)    | 1264 ± 33.00     | 871-1367        |

**Sperm membrane integrity**

The percentage of incidence of intact acrosome ranged between 75.3 ± 1.80 to 84.5 ± 0.99% in GSD. The intact acrosome was 79.0 ± 0.83% (75-85%) for GSD (Table 1; Fig. 1). The results are closely related to Umamageswari et al., (2012) who observed 76.83 ± 2.63% in fresh dog semen. The incidence of HOS positive spermatozoa was between 70.1 ± 0.84 to 80.6 ± 0.76 in GSD. The HOS positive spermatozoa were 74.8 ± 0.72% (70 to 80%) for GSD (Table 1; Fig. 1). Paulo (2011) reported 88-97% HOS positive sperms in fresh dog semen.

**Biochemical constituents in the seminal plasma**

**Total protein, albumin and globulin**

The total protein level in seminal plasma was between 2.15 ± 0.47 to 4.08 ± 0.90 g/dl for GSD. The total protein level was 2.98 ± 0.23 g/dl for GSD. The total protein concentration in the seminal plasma of mixed breeds (GSD and Rottweiler) was between 1.88 to 2.3 g/dl (Aquino cortez, 2003 and Motheo, 2014) which was in close agreement with the present study. The albumin level in seminal plasma was between 0.16 ± 0.03 to 0.66 ±
0.19 g/dl in GSD. The albumin level was 0.35 ± 0.04 g/dl for GSD. The globulin level in seminal plasma was between 1.98 ± 0.46 to 3.06 ± 0.23 g/dl in GSD. The globulin level was 2.66 ± 0.22 g/dl for GSD (Table 2).

Alkaline and Acid phosphatase

The alkaline phosphatase level in seminal plasma was between 6361 ± 342.6 to 11780 ±1133 IU/L in GSD. The ALP level was 7422 ± 520 IU/L for GSD. The acid phosphatase level in seminal plasma ranged between 1108 ± 70.45 to 1513 ± 112.6 IU/L in GSD. The ACP level was 1264 ± 33.0 IU/L for GSD (Table 2; Fig. 2). Gunay et al., (2003) reported that the alkaline phosphatase level in seminal plasma was 4189 ± 526.1 IU/L in first ejaculate and 3521 ± 414.0 in second ejaculate. Strzezek et al., (2015) reported that the acid phosphatase level in the seminal plasma was 811.06 ± 141.64 IU/L in autumn and 1774.05 ± 171.08 IU/L in summer for fertile dogs.

The high levels of ALP and ACP in the present study indicate that the epididymal and prostate gland functional integrity was good. The alkaline and acid phosphatase level in the seminal plasma reflected the physiological function of epididymal and prostate gland. However the level of alkaline and acid phosphatase in the seminal plasma was high in fertile dog semen comparable to that of reference value for fertile dog semen (Johnston, 1991).

The biophysical and biochemical characteristics of all fertile dog semen mentioned in Table 3 were comparable to that of previous reports on fertile dogs semen (Guney et al., 2003, Domoslawska et al., 2013 and Strzezek et al., 2015).

In the present study, the biophysical and biochemical attributes of semen for GSD was characterized and compared with that of reference value of fertile dog semen. Significant difference was recorded in volume of the ejaculate, sperm concentration, live spermatozoa, total sperm abnormality, percentage of intact acrosome and HOS positive sperms, albumin, alkaline and acid phosphatase within the same breed of GSD. It can be concluded that the difference between biophysical and biochemical characteristics of GSD semen could be due to age, body weight and nutritional management. However there is a difference between GSD, the biophysical and biochemical characteristics of GSD semen were fertile.
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