I. INTRODUCTION

One of the most remarkable achievements of the past decade concerns the advent of experimental studies in ultracold fermionic atom gases of the crossover from the regime of Bardeen-Cooper-Schriffer (BCS) weakly bound Cooper pairs to the regime of Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC) in ultracold fermionic atom gases. We show that when applied to the Fermi case at zero temperature, the leading-order auxiliary field (LOAF) approximation gives the same equations as those obtained in the standard BCS variational picture. At finite temperature, LOAF leads to the theory discussed by by Sá de Melo, Randeria, and Engelbrecht [Phys. Rev. Lett. 71, 3202(1993); Phys. Rev. B 55, 15153(1997)]. As such, LOAF provides a unified framework to study the interacting Fermi gas. The mean-field results discussed here can be systematically improved upon by calculating the one-particle irreducible (1-PI) action corrections, order by order.

The above momentum integral is performed over the interior volume of the Fermi sphere and $\sigma$ denotes the spin component of the fermion, i.e. $\sigma = \pm 1/2$. Then, the only independent dimensionless variable in the problem is $a k_F$. Thus, this description is only applicable to dilute systems like the ultracold fermionic atom gases, not the high-density regime found in conventional superconductors [16]. The potential supports a 2-body bound state for $(a k_F)^{-1} > 0$, but this molecular state passes through zero energy and vanishes into the continuum at $(a k_F)^{-1} = 0$, the position of the Feshbach resonance. Then, the BCS and BEC limits correspond to $(a k_F)^{-1} \rightarrow -\infty$ and $(a k_F)^{-1} \rightarrow +\infty$, respectively, whereas the unitarity limit is defined as the limit near Feshbach resonances where $a$ is much larger than the inter-particle distance $(|a| k_F \gg 1)$ and corresponds to the BCS to BEC crossover at the singularity of the scattering length. This limit is the same when approached with positive or negative scattering length. In the unitarity limit, the correlations are deemed to be significant and the system attains universal behavior, independent of the shape of the potential and dependent only on the particle density and the system dimensionality [17–19].

The importance of correlations in the ground state of dilute fermionic matter in the unitarity limit is measured by the numerical value of the ratio, $\varepsilon/\varepsilon_0$, where $\varepsilon$ and $\varepsilon_0$ denote the ground-state energies per particle of the interacting and noninteracting systems, respectively. We recall the noninteracting energy density is defined as

$$
\varepsilon_0 = \frac{1}{\rho} \sum_{\sigma} \int_{0}^{k_F} \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\epsilon_k}{\epsilon_F} = \frac{3}{5} \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_0},
$$

where we introduced the notation, $\epsilon_k = \gamma k^2$ with $\gamma = \hbar^2/(2m)$, and $\epsilon_F = \gamma k_F^2$ is the Fermi energy.

An upper bound to the value of $\varepsilon/\varepsilon_0$ at zero temperature was set by the quantum Monte Carlo (QMC) study...
performed by Carlson et al. [20–23] that gave the value \((\epsilon/\epsilon_0)_{\text{QMC}} = 0.40(01)\). Instead, the “universal” curve describing the BCS to BEC crossover in the standard BCS variational picture [24, 25] derived by Leggett [14] gives the BCS value of the chemical potential to the Fermi energy ratio, \((\mu/\epsilon_F)_{\text{BCS}} = 0.59\). Other theoretical and experimental values for \(\epsilon/\epsilon_0\) are summarized elsewhere [26–29].

Recently we introduced a new theoretical framework for a dilute gas of Bose particles with tunable interactions [30], the bosonic counterpart of the system of fermions discussed in this paper. For the Bose system, our theoretical description is based on a loop expansion of the one-particle irreducible (1-PI) effective action in terms of composite-field propagators by rewriting the Lagrangian in terms of auxiliary fields. In Sec. III we discuss rewriting the Lagrangian in terms of auxiliary fields.

In this paper we will derive the corresponding auxiliary field formalism for a dilute fermionic atom gas with tunable interactions, thus establishing the generality of our auxiliary field formalism. We will show that the LOAF approximation in the fermionic case corresponds to the BCS ansatz. At zero temperature the fermionic LOAF equations are the same as the equations derived by Leggett [14], whereas the finite-temperature results are summarized elsewhere [26–29].

This paper is organized as follows: In Sec. II we discuss the partition function for an infinite homogeneous system of spin-1/2 fermions with arbitrary populations of spin-up and spin-down fermions. In Sec. III we discuss rewriting the Lagrangian in terms of auxiliary fields. The corresponding properties of an uniform system in equilibrium are derived in Sec. V. In Sec. VI, we specialize to the case of systems with equal populations of spin-up and spin-down fermions. We summarize our findings in Sec. VII.

II. THE PARTITION FUNCTION AND PATH INTEGRALS

For a grand canonical ensemble, the partition function for a collection of interacting Fermi particles can be written as

\[
Z[T, \mu, V] = e^{-\beta \Omega[T, \mu, V]} = \text{Tr}[e^{-\beta (\hat{H} - \mu N)}], \tag{1}
\]

where \(\Omega[T, \mu, V] \) is the grand potential and we have set \(\beta = 1/T\), with the temperature measured in \(k_B\) units. Here \(T\) is the temperature, \(\mu\) the chemical potential, and \(V\) the volume. Using the second law of thermodynamics, we find

\[
N[T, \mu, V] = -\left(\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \mu}\right)_{T,V},
\]

\[
p[T, \mu, V] = -\left(\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial V}\right)_{T,\mu},
\]

\[
S[T, \mu, V] = -\left(\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial T}\right)_{\mu,V},
\]

and the energy \(E\) is given by

\[
E = \Omega + TS + \mu N. \tag{3}
\]

The partition function can be written as a path integral,

\[
Z[T, \mu, V] = N \int \int D\psi D\psi^* e^{-S[\psi, \psi^*; T, \mu, V]}, \tag{4}
\]

where \(S[\psi, \psi^*; T, \mu, V]\) the negative of the Euclidian (thermal) action obtained by mapping the physical action to imaginary time, \(t \mapsto -i\hbar t\). We consider here the action \(S\) for a collection of fermions interacting by means of a short-range contact potential \(V(r) = \lambda_0 \delta(r)\) is given by

\[
S[\psi, \psi^*] = \int [dx] \mathcal{L}[\psi, \psi^*], \tag{5}
\]

where we have put \(\int d^3x \int_0^\beta d\tau\), and where

\[
\mathcal{L}[\psi, \psi^*] = \sum_\sigma \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[ \psi^*_\sigma(x) \frac{\partial \psi_\sigma(x)}{\partial \tau} \right] \right. + \psi_\sigma(x) \left[ -\gamma \nabla^2 - \mu_\sigma \right] \psi^*_\sigma(x) + \frac{\lambda_0}{2} \left[ \psi^*_\sigma(x) \psi^*_\sigma(x) \psi^-_\sigma(x) \psi^-_\sigma(x) \right] \psi_\sigma(x) \right\}. \tag{6}
\]

We have suppressed the dependence of quantities on the thermodynamic variables \((T, \mu, V)\). The fields are described by two-component complex anticommuting Grassmann fields \(\psi_\sigma(x)\) which obey the algebra,

\[
\{ \psi_\sigma(x), \psi^*_\sigma(x') \} = \{ \psi_\sigma(x), \psi_\sigma(x') \} = 0 \tag{7}
\]

\[
= \{ \psi^*_\sigma(x), \psi^*_\sigma(x') \} = 0 ,
\]

with \(x \equiv (r, \tau)\), and \(\sigma = \pm 1\) correspond to the usual spin-up (\(\uparrow\)) and spin-down (\(\downarrow\)) fermions. Using a left derivative convention for Grassmann derivatives, variation of the action with respect to \(\psi^*_\sigma(x)\) leads to thermal equations of motion,

\[
\left\{ -\gamma \nabla^2 + \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} - \mu_\sigma + \lambda_0 \left[ \psi^*_\sigma \psi^-_\sigma \right] \right\} \psi^*_\sigma(x) = 0 .
\]

(No sum over \(\sigma\) here.) Particle densities \(\rho_\sigma(x)\) and \(\kappa_\sigma(x)\) are defined by

\[
\rho_\sigma(x) = \psi^*_\sigma(x)^2 \rho_\sigma(x) = \rho^*_\sigma(x) , \tag{8a}
\]

\[
\kappa_\sigma(x) = \psi^-_\sigma(x) \psi^-_\sigma(x) = -\kappa^-_\sigma(x) . \tag{8b}
\]
which have the property that

\[
\sum_{\sigma} \rho_\sigma(x) \rho^*_{-\sigma}(x) = \sum_{\sigma} \rho^*_\sigma(x) \rho_{-\sigma}(x) = \rho^b(x) \rho^b(x),
\]

(9a)

\[
\sum_{\sigma} \kappa_\sigma(x) \kappa^*_{-\sigma}(x) = \sum_{\sigma} \kappa^*_\sigma(x) \kappa_{-\sigma}(x) = -\rho_\sigma(x) \rho^*_{-\sigma}(x),
\]

(9b)

We define \( \kappa(x) \equiv \kappa_+ - \kappa_-(x) \). The densities \( \rho_\pm(x) \) are real and independent whereas \( \kappa(x) \) is complex. Introducing four component basis vectors \( \psi^\sigma(x) \) and \( \psi_a(x) \),

\[
\psi^\sigma(x) = \{ \psi_+(x), \psi_-(x), \psi^*_+(x), \psi^*_-(x) \},
\]

(10)

\[
\psi_a(x) = \{ \psi^*_+(x), \psi^*_-(x), \psi_+(x), \psi_-(x) \},
\]

the density matrix can then be written as

\[
\rho^b(x) = \psi_a(x) \psi^b(x) = \begin{pmatrix}
\rho_+(x) & 0 & 0 & -\kappa^*(x) \\
0 & \rho_-(x) & \kappa^*(x) & 0 \\
0 & \kappa(x) & -\rho_+(x) & 0 \\
-\kappa(x) & 0 & 0 & -\rho_-(x)
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

(11)

III. AUXILIARY FIELDS

Following the Bose case [30], we use the Hubbard-Stratonovitch transformation [35] to introduce auxiliary fields for each of the densities described above in order to eliminate the quadratic interaction term in the Lagrangian in favor of cubic interactions between the Fermi field and the auxiliary fields. There are six independent auxiliary fields possible in our case, two real fields \( \chi^\sigma(x) \), and two complex fields \( \Delta^\sigma_+(x) \), corresponding to the densities \( \rho^\sigma(x) \) and \( \kappa_\sigma(x) \) respectively. The auxiliary Lagrangian is defined by

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\text{aux}}[\psi, \chi, \Delta] = -\mathcal{L}_\chi[\psi, \chi] + \mathcal{L}_\Delta[\psi, \Delta],
\]

(12)

where

\[
\mathcal{L}_\chi[\psi, \chi] = \frac{1}{2\lambda_0} \sum_{\sigma} \left[ \chi^\sigma(x) - \lambda_0 \rho^\sigma(x) \sin \theta \right] \times \left[ \chi_{-\sigma}(x) - \lambda_0 \rho_{-\sigma}(x) \sin \theta \right]
\]

(13a)

\[
= \frac{1}{2\lambda_0} \sum_{\sigma} \chi^\sigma(x) \chi_{-\sigma}(x)
- \sin \theta \left[ \sum_{\sigma} \chi^\sigma(x) \rho_{-\sigma}(x) + \rho^\sigma(x) \chi_{-\sigma}(x) \right]
+ \frac{\lambda_0 \sin^2 \theta}{2} \sum_{\sigma} \psi^\sigma_\sigma(x) \psi^*_{-\sigma}(x) \psi_{-\sigma}(x) \psi_\sigma(x),
\]

(13b)

and

\[
\mathcal{L}_\Delta[\psi, \Delta] = \frac{1}{2\lambda_0} \sum_{\sigma} \left[ \Delta^\sigma_+(x) - \lambda_0 \kappa^\sigma_+(x) \cos \theta \right] \times \left[ \Delta^*_{-\sigma}(x) - \lambda_0 \kappa^*_{-\sigma}(x) \cos \theta \right]
\]

(13c)

\[
= \frac{1}{\lambda_0} \sum_{\sigma} \Delta^\sigma_+(x) \Delta^*_{-\sigma}(x)
- \cos \theta \left[ \sum_{\sigma} \left[ \Delta^\sigma_+(x) \kappa^*_{-\sigma}(x) + \kappa^\sigma_+(x) \Delta^*_{-\sigma}(x) \right] \right]
- \frac{\lambda_0 \cos^2 \theta}{2} \sum_{\sigma} \psi^\sigma_\sigma(x) \psi^*_{-\sigma}(x) \psi_{-\sigma}(x) \psi_\sigma(x).
\]

Here we have introduced an angle \( \theta \). The auxiliary fields obey the same properties as the corresponding densities, so we define \( \Delta(x) \equiv \Delta_+ - \Delta_-(x) \). So adding \( \mathcal{L}_{\text{aux}} \) to \( \mathcal{L} \) given in Eq. (6), eliminates the four-point Fermi interaction. Using the basis vectors given in Eq. (10), the action can be written in a compact way as

\[
\mathcal{S}[\psi, J] = \frac{1}{2} \int [dx] [dx'] \psi_a(x) G^{-1} a_b[\phi](x, x') \psi^b(x')
+ \int [dx] \left[ \frac{-(\phi_1(x) + \mu_1) \gamma^1_1 \theta (\phi^+_1(x) + \mu^+_1)}{2\lambda_0}
+ \psi_a(x) g_{a'b}^\sigma h^b(x) + \phi_1(x) S^a(x) \right],
\]

(14)

where the inverse Green function is given by

\[
G^{-1}_0 a_b[\phi](x, x') = \delta(x, x') \begin{pmatrix}
G^{-1}_0 a_b[\phi] + \mathcal{V}^a_b[\phi](x) \\
\end{pmatrix},
\]

(15)

with

\[
G^{-1}_0 a_b[\phi] = \begin{pmatrix}
h_+ & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & h_+ & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -h_- & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -h_- \\
\end{pmatrix},
\]

(16)

where we have defined \( h_\pm \) as the operators

\[
h_\pm = -\gamma^2 \pm \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1},
\]

(17)

and

\[
\mathcal{V}^a_b[\phi](x) = \begin{pmatrix}
\chi'_1(x) & 0 & 0 & -\Delta'_1(x) \\
0 & \chi'_+ (x) & \Delta'_1(x) & 0 \\
0 & \Delta'_*(x) & -\chi'_- (x) & 0 \\
-\Delta'_*(x) & 0 & 0 & -\chi'_+(x)
\end{pmatrix},
\]

(18)

Here we have redefined the anomalous fields by setting

\[
\chi_ \pm^1(x) \equiv \chi_ \pm^1(x) \sin \theta - \mu^1, \\
\Delta'_1(x) \equiv \Delta_+ (x) \cos \theta = -\Delta_-(x) \cos \theta,
\]

(19)

and introduced four component anomalous fields \( \phi^i_1(x) \), a constant vector \( \mu^i \), and currents \( S^i(x) \) by the definitions

\[
\phi^i_1(x) = \begin{pmatrix}
\chi'^+_i (x), \chi^i_0 (x), \Delta'_i (x), \Delta'^*_i (x)
\end{pmatrix},
\]

(20)

\[
\mu^i_0 = \begin{pmatrix}
\mu_-, \mu_+, 0, 0
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
S^i(x) = \begin{pmatrix}
s_+ (x), s_- (x), S(x), S^* (x)
\end{pmatrix},
\]

(21)
\[ \phi_i(x) = (\chi_-(x), \chi_+(x), \Delta^*(x), \Delta'(x)), \]  
\[ \mu_0 = (\mu_+, \mu_-, 0, 0), \]  
\[ S_i(x) = (s_-(x), s_+(x), S^*(x), S(x)). \]

The tensors \( g^{ab}_b \) and \( g^{ij}_j(\theta) \) are defined by
\[ g^{ab}_b = \text{diag}(1, 1, -1, -1), \]  
\[ g^{ij}_j(\theta) = \text{diag}(1/\sin^2 \theta, 1/\sin^2 \theta, 1/\cos^2 \theta, 1/\cos^2 \theta). \]

The Grassmann fields \( \psi^a(x) \) and \( \psi_a(x) \) are defined as in Eq. (10). It will be useful for notational purposes to also define ten component fields and currents using Greek indices as
\[ \Psi^a(x) = (\psi^a(x), \phi^i(x)), \]
\[ J^a(x) = (j^a(x), S^i(x)). \]

Note that the \( \psi^a(x) \) fields are Grassmann fields whereas the \( \phi^i(x) \) fields are commuting fields, so the vectors \( \Psi^a(x) \) and \( J^a(x) \) are superfields.

Setting \( \chi^i = 0 \) and then \( \theta = 0 \) so that only \( \Delta \) survives, recovers the first order Sá de Melo-Randeria-Engelbrecht theory[31].

**IV. EFFECTIVE ACTION**

The partition function is now given by a path integral over all fields,
\[ Z[J] = e^{-\beta \Omega[J]} \]
\[ = N \prod \int \mathcal{D}\psi^a \mathcal{D}\psi_a \prod \int \mathcal{D}\phi^i \mathcal{D}\phi_i e^{-S[\Psi, J]}, \]
where \( S[\Psi, J] \) is given in Eq. (14) and again we have suppressed the dependence of \( Z, \Omega, \) and \( S \) on the thermodynamic variables. The thermodynamic partition function is found by setting the currents to zero. Thermal average values of the fields are given by
\[ \langle \psi^a(x) \rangle = -\frac{1}{Z} \frac{\delta Z}{\delta \psi_a(x)} \bigg|_{j=S=0} = \beta \frac{\delta \Omega}{\delta j_a(x)} \bigg|_{j=S=0}, \]
\[ \langle \phi^i(x) \rangle = -\frac{1}{Z} \frac{\delta Z}{\delta S_i(x)} \bigg|_{j=S=0} = \beta \frac{\delta \Omega}{\delta S_i(x)} \bigg|_{j=S=0}. \]

Since the action is now quadratic in the fields \( \psi_a(x) \), we can integrate these out and obtain an effective action,
\[ Z[J] = N \prod \int \mathcal{D}\phi^i \mathcal{D}\phi_i e^{-\mathcal{S}_{\text{eff}}[\phi, J]}, \]
where the effective action \( \mathcal{S}_{\text{eff}}[\phi, J] \) is given by
\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{S}_{\text{eff}}[\phi, J] &= \frac{1}{2} \int [dx] [dx'] \int j_a(x) g^{ab}_b \mathcal{G}^{bc}_a(\phi)(x, x') j^c(x') \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int [dx] \text{Tr} \left[ \ln \mathcal{G}^{-1} \phi(x, x) \right] \\
&\quad + \int [dx] \left[ -\frac{(\phi_i(x) + \mu_0 i) g^{ij}_j(\phi^j(x) + \mu_0 j)}{2 \lambda_0} + \phi_i(x) S^i(x) \right].
\end{align*}
\]

We evaluate the remaining path integral by expanding the effective action about a point \( \phi_0 \),
\[ \mathcal{S}_{\text{eff}}[\phi, J] = \mathcal{S}_{\text{eff}}[\phi_0, J] + \int [dx] \frac{\delta \mathcal{S}_{\text{eff}}[\phi, J]}{\delta \phi^i(x)} \bigg|_{\phi_0} (\phi^i(x) - \phi_0^i(x)) \]
\[ + \frac{1}{2} \int [dx] [dx'] \frac{\delta^2 \mathcal{S}_{\text{eff}}[\phi, J]}{\delta \phi^i(x) \delta \phi^j(x')} \bigg|_{\phi_0} (\phi^j(x') - \phi_0^j(x')). \]

and evaluating the path integral by the method of steepest descent. The vanishing of the first derivatives define the saddle point \( \phi_0 \), which gives
\[ g^{ij}_j(\phi_0 + \mu^j) = \rho_0^i(\phi_0, J)(x) \]
\[ = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{V}^i \Phi'[\phi_0][x, x] \right] + \mathcal{S}^i(x). \]

Here we have used
\[ \int [dx'] \mathcal{G}^{-1} \phi(x, x') \mathcal{G}^{bc}_a[\phi](x', x'') \]
\[ = g^{bc}_a(x, x') \mathcal{G}^{bc}_a \delta(x - x''), \]
so that
\[ \frac{\delta \mathcal{G}^{bc}_a[\phi][x_1, x_2]}{\delta \phi_i(x)} = -\int [dx_3] [dx_4] g^{ab}_b \mathcal{G}^{bc}_a[\phi](x_1, x_2) \]
\[ \times \frac{\delta \mathcal{G}^{-1} \phi(x_2, x_3)}{\delta \phi_i(x)} \mathcal{G}^{cd}_e \delta(x_3, x_4), \]
and defined the constant matrices \( \mathcal{V}^{ia}_b \) by
\[ \frac{\delta \mathcal{G}^{-1} \phi(x_2, x_3)}{\delta \phi_i(x)} = \mathcal{V}^{ia}_b \delta(x_2 - x_3) \delta(x - x_2). \]

The densities \( \rho^i_0[\phi_0, J](x) \) are given by the equation,
\[ \rho^i_0[\phi_0, J](x) = \frac{1}{2} \psi^a(x)[\phi_0, J] \mathcal{V}^{ia}_b \psi^b_0[\phi_0, J](x), \]
with Grassmann fields \( \psi^a_0[j, \phi_0](x) \) given by
\[ \psi^a_0[j, \phi_0](x) = \int [dx'] \mathcal{G}^{ab}_b[\phi_0(x, x') \mathcal{G}^{bc}_a[\phi](x', x''). \]

The densities \( \rho^i_0[\phi_0, J](x) \) and fields \( \psi^a_0[\phi_0, J](x) \) are functionals of both \( \phi_0^i(x) \) and all the currents \( J^a(x) \). We define the fluctuation inverse Green function \( 6 \times 6 \) matrix \( \mathcal{D}^{-1}_{ij} \phi_0(x, x') \) by the second-order derivatives evaluated at the stationary points,
\[ \mathcal{D}^{-1}_{ij} \phi_0(x, x') = \frac{\delta^2 \mathcal{S}_{\text{eff}}[\phi]}{\delta \phi^i(x) \delta \phi^j(x')} \bigg|_{\phi_0} \]
\[ = -\frac{g_{ij}}{\lambda_0} \delta(x, x') + \Pi_{ij}[\phi_0](x, x'), \]
where the polarization tensor $\Pi^i_j[\phi_0](x, x')$ is given by

$$\Pi^i_j[\phi_0](x, x') = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{V}^i \mathcal{G}[\phi_0](x, x') \mathcal{V}^j \mathcal{G}[\phi_0](x', x) \right].$$

So inserting these results into the path integral (26) and integrating over the $\phi^i$ fields, the grand potential function is given by

$$\beta \Omega[J] = \beta \Omega_0 + S_{eff}[\phi_0, J] - \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left[ \ln \mathcal{D}^{-1}[\phi_0](x, x) \right] + \cdots$$

$$= \beta \Omega_0 - \frac{1}{2} \int [dx'] \left[ -\frac{(\phi_i(x) + \mu_i) g^i_j (\phi^i(x) + \mu^i)}{2 \lambda_0} + \phi_i(x) S^i(x) \right]$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \int [dx] \left[ -\frac{(\phi_i(x) + \mu_i) g^i_j (\phi^i(x) + \mu^j)}{2 \lambda_0} + \phi_i(x) S^i(x) \right] + \cdots,$$

where $\Omega_0$ is an integration constant to be determined. Instead of writing the thermodynamic potential in terms of the currents $J^a(x)$, we can write them in terms of fields $\Psi^a(x)$ by Legendre transforming to the thermal vertex potential $\Gamma[\Psi]$.

$$\beta \Gamma[\Psi] \equiv \beta \Omega[J] - \int [dx] \left\{ \psi_a(x) g^a_b j^b(x) + \phi_i(x) S^i(x) \right\}$$

$$= \beta \Omega_0 + \frac{1}{2} \int [dx] \int [dx'] \psi_a(x) \mathcal{G}^{-1}[\phi^a_b(x, x')] \psi^b(x')$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left[ \ln \mathcal{G}^{-1}[\phi_0] \right] + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left[ \ln \mathcal{D}^{-1}[\phi_0] \right] + \cdots,$$

which is the classical action plus the trace-log terms. Currents are given by functional derivatives of $\Gamma[\psi, \phi]$ with respect to the fields,

$$\frac{\delta \Gamma[\Psi]}{\delta \psi_a(x)} = -g^a_b j^b(x), \quad \frac{\delta \Gamma[\Psi]}{\delta \phi_i(x)} = -S^i(x).$$

V. UNIFORM SYSTEM IN THERMAL EQUILIBRIUM

For the case of a uniform sample and thermal equilibrium, the fields $\psi^a$ and $\phi^i$ are independent of $x \equiv (r, \tau)$. In addition since the Green functions are periodic or anti-periodic in $\tau$, we can expand them in a Fourier series.

$$\mathcal{G}[\phi](x, x') = \frac{1}{B} \sum_{k,n} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}[\phi](k, n) e^{i(k \cdot (r-r') - \omega_n(\tau-\tau'))},$$

where $\omega_n = (2n+1)/\beta$ are the Fermi-Matsubara frequencies. So using $\int [dx] = \beta V$, at thermal equilibrium and for uniform systems, the thermal effective potential from Eq. (37) is given by

$$V_{eff}[\Psi] \equiv \Gamma[\Psi]/V = V_0 + \frac{1}{2} \psi_a \mathcal{V}[\phi]^a_b \psi^b$$

$$- \frac{\phi_i + \mu_i) g^i_j (\phi^i + \mu^j)}{2 \lambda_0} - \frac{1}{2} \int [dx] \left[ \ln \mathcal{G}^{-1}[\phi](k, n) \right] + \cdots,$$

Here the matrix $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}^{-1}[\phi](k, n)$ is given by

$$\tilde{\mathcal{G}}^{-1}[\phi](k, n) = \begin{pmatrix} A(k, n) & B(k, n) \\ -B^*(k, n) & -A^*(k, n) \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$A(k, n) = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_k + \chi'_- - i \omega_n & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon_k + \chi'_+ - i \omega_n \end{pmatrix},$$

$$-A^*(k, n) = \begin{pmatrix} -\epsilon_k - \chi'_- - i \omega_n & 0 \\ 0 & -\epsilon_k - \chi'_+ - i \omega_n \end{pmatrix},$$

$$B(k, n) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\Delta' \\ -\Delta' & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$-B^*(k, n) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\Delta^* \\ -\Delta^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
The grand potential per unit volume is the value of the effective potential evaluated at zero current, or when
\[ \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}_{\text{eff}}[\Psi]}{\partial \Psi_\alpha} = 0, \]
for all values of \( \alpha \). From Eq. (44), we find
\[ \frac{1}{2} \psi_\alpha V[\phi] \psi \psi^b = \chi'_{\rho_+} + \chi'_{\rho_-} + \Delta' \kappa^* + \Delta' \kappa, \]
and from Eq. (45), we get
\[ (\phi_i + \mu_i) g_j(\theta) (\phi^i + \mu^i) = (\chi'_{\rho_+} + \chi'_{\rho_-} + \mu_+ - \mu_-) + \frac{\Delta^2}{2 \lambda_0} \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{\lambda_0 \cos^2 \theta}. \]
In order to compute \( \text{Tr}[\ln(\tilde{G}^{-1}[\phi](k, n))] \), it is simpler to interchange rows and columns of the \( \tilde{G}^{-1}[\phi] \) matrix so as to bring it into block diagonal form. To do this, we redefine the fields \( \psi(x) \) in the following way:
\[ \psi(x) \rightarrow (\psi^r(x), \psi^\imath(x), \psi_{\pi}(x), \psi_{\pi'}(x)), \]
\[ \psi_a(x) \rightarrow (\psi^a(\pi), \psi^a_{\pi}(x), \psi_{a\pi}(x), \psi_{a\pi'}(x)). \]
Then from Eqs. (41) and (42), the Fourier transform of the inverse \( \tilde{G} \)-matrix is of the form,
\[ \tilde{G}^{-1}(k, n) = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{G}^{-1}(k, n) & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{G}^{-1}(k, n) \end{pmatrix} \]
where
\[ \tilde{G}^{-1}(k, n) = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_k + \chi'_{\rho_+} - i \omega_n & -\Delta' \\ -\Delta^* & \epsilon_k - \chi'_{\rho_-} - i \omega_n \end{pmatrix}. \]
After some algebra, we find
\[ \det[\tilde{G}^{-1}(k, n)] = -(\alpha_1 + i \alpha_2), \]
\[ \det[\tilde{G}^{-1}(k, n)] = -(\alpha_1 - i \alpha_2), \]
where
\[ \alpha_1 = (\epsilon_k + \chi'_{\rho_+})(\epsilon_k + \chi'_{\rho_-}) + \Delta'^2 + \omega_n^2, \]
\[ \alpha_2 = (\chi'_{\rho_-} - \chi'_{\rho_+}) \omega_n. \]
So we find
\[ \text{Tr}[\ln(\tilde{G}^{-1}(k, n))] = \ln[\det(\tilde{G}^{-1}(k, n))] = \ln[\det(\tilde{G}^{-1}(k, n))] \]
\[ = \ln[\det(\tilde{G}^{-1}(k, n))] \]
\[ = \ln[\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2] = \ln[\omega_n^2 + 2 b \omega_n^2 + c] \]
\[ = \ln[\omega_n^2 + \omega_1^2] = \ln[\omega_n^2 + \omega_2^2], \]
where \( \omega_1^2 = (\Delta^2 - 2 b \omega_n^2 + c) \), \( \omega_2^2 = b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - c} \).
where the coupling constant is related to the s-wave scattering length, \( a \), i.e. \( \lambda = 8\pi \gamma a / \). Recall that \( \rho_\pm = \psi_\pm \psi_\pm \) and \( \kappa = \psi_+ \psi_- \).

We recover the thermodynamic grand potential by evaluating \( \mathcal{V}_{\text{eff}}[\Psi] \) at the minimum of the potential when
\[
\frac{\partial \mathcal{V}_{\text{eff}}[\Psi]}{\partial \psi_a} = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}_{\text{eff}}[\Psi]}{\partial \phi_i} = 0 , \quad (63)
\]
for all values of \( a \) and \( i \). For the Grassmann \( \psi_a \) fields, derivatives of the effective potential \( (62) \) with respect to \( \psi_\pm \) give
\[
\left[ \chi_+ \chi_- + |\Delta'|^2 \right] \psi_\pm = 0 . \quad (64)
\]
The above can be satisfied only if \( \psi_\pm = 0 \).

VI. EQUAL CHEMICAL POTENTIALS

In this section we set \( \mu_+ = \mu_- \equiv \mu \) and \( \chi'_+ = \chi'_- \equiv \chi' \), so that only the total particle density is fixed. For this case the only possible solution for the Grassmann fields is the first case above where \( \psi_\pm = 0 \). The frequency spectrum is given by
\[
\omega_k' \equiv \omega_k^2 = \omega_k^2 = (\epsilon_k + \chi')^2 + |\Delta'|^2 , \quad (65)
\]
and from \( (62) \), the effective potential for this case is given by
\[
\mathcal{V}_{\text{eff}}[\Psi] = -\frac{(\chi' + \mu)^2}{\lambda \sin^2 \theta} - \frac{|\Delta'|^2}{\lambda \cos^2 \theta} \quad (66)
\]
\[
-2 \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[ \omega_k - \epsilon_k - \chi' - |\Delta'|^2 / 2\epsilon_k \right] + \frac{1}{\beta} \ln \left[ 1 + e^{-\beta \omega_k} \right] \right\} ,
\]
The gap equation for the \( \chi' \) field is now,
\[
\frac{\chi' + \mu}{\lambda \sin^2 \theta} = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \left\{ \left( \frac{\partial \omega_k}{\partial \chi'} \right) \left[ 2n(\beta \omega_k) - 1 \right] + 1 \right\} \quad (67)
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \left\{ \frac{\epsilon_k + \chi'}{\omega_k} \left[ 2n(\beta \omega_k) - 1 \right] + 1 \right\} ,
\]
where the non-interacting Fermi particle number factor \( n(x) \) is defined by
\[
n(x) = 1 / [e^x + 1] . \quad (68)
\]
The gap equation for \( \Delta' \) is
\[
\frac{\Delta'}{\lambda \cos^2 \theta} = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \left\{ \left( \frac{\partial \omega_k}{\partial \Delta'} \right) \left[ 2n(\beta \omega_k) - 1 \right] + \Delta' / 2\epsilon_k \right\} \quad (69)
\]
\[
= \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \left\{ \frac{\Delta'}{2\omega_k} \left[ 2n(\beta \omega_k) - 1 \right] + \Delta' / 2\epsilon_k \right\} .
\]
Again, the factor of \( \Delta' \) cancels, and we get for the \( \Delta' \) gap equation
\[
\frac{1}{\lambda \cos^2 \theta} = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \left\{ \frac{1}{\omega_k} \left[ 2n(\beta \omega_k) - 1 \right] + \frac{1}{\epsilon_k} \right\} . \quad (70)
\]
The total particle density is given by
\[
\rho = \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}_{\text{eff}}[\Psi]}{\partial \mu} = 2 \chi' + \mu \quad (71)
\]
\[
= \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \left\{ \frac{\epsilon_k + \chi'}{\omega_k} \left[ 2n(\beta \omega_k) - 1 \right] + 1 \right\} .
\]
It is convenient to scale momenta and energies in terms of the Fermi momentum, \( k_F \), and Fermi energy, \( \epsilon_F = \gamma k_F^2 \), respectively. We introduce
\[
\tilde{k} = k / k_F , \quad \bar{\mu} = \mu / \epsilon_F , \quad \bar{\Delta} = \Delta / \epsilon_F , \quad (72)
\]
\[
\bar{\omega}_k = \omega_k / \epsilon_F = \sqrt{(\tilde{k}^2 + \chi')^2 + \Delta^2} ,
\]
\[
\bar{T} = T / \epsilon_F = T / T_F , \quad \bar{\beta} = \epsilon_F \beta = T_F / T = 1 / \bar{T} .
\]
Then, the rescaled equations are
\[
\frac{1}{\xi \cos^2 \theta} = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \bar{k}^2 d\bar{k} \left\{ \frac{1 - 2}{\bar{k}^2 - 2 n(\bar{\beta} \bar{\omega}_k) / \bar{\omega}_k} \right\} , \quad (73a)
\]
\[
1 = \frac{3}{2} \int_0^\infty \bar{k}^2 d\bar{k} \left\{ 1 - \frac{\bar{k}^2 + \chi'}{\bar{\omega}_k} \left[ 1 - 2 n(\bar{\beta} \bar{\omega}_k) / \bar{\omega}_k \right] \right\} , \quad (73b)
\]
\[
\chi' = \frac{4}{3\pi} \xi \sin^2 \theta - \bar{\mu} , \quad (73c)
\]
where now \( \bar{\omega}_k^2 = (\tilde{k}^2 + \chi')^2 + |\Delta|^2 \) and we introduced \( \xi = k_F a \). Eqs. \((73)\) are to be solved selfconsistently for \( \bar{\mu} \) and \( |\Delta'| \).

A. Zero temperature \((T = 0)\)

At zero temperature so that \( n(\bar{\beta} \bar{\omega}_k) = 0 \), Eqs. \((73)\) reduce to
\[
\frac{1}{\xi \cos^2 \theta} = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \bar{k}^2 d\bar{k} \left\{ 1 - \frac{\bar{k}^2}{\bar{\omega}_k} \right\} , \quad (74)
\]
\[
1 = \frac{3}{2} \int_0^\infty \bar{k}^2 d\bar{k} \left\{ 1 - \frac{\bar{k}^2 + \chi'}{\bar{\omega}_k} \right\} ,
\]
\[
\chi' = \frac{4}{3\pi} \xi \sin^2 \theta - \bar{\mu} .
\]
In Fig. 1 we illustrate the solutions of the gap equations \((74)\) for \( \Delta' \) and \( \mu \) in reduced units as a function of \( 1 / \xi \) for values of \( \theta = 0, \pi / 12, \pi / 6 \) and \( \pi / 4 \). For \( \theta = 0 \), our results reduce to the variational equations discussed by Leggett [14]. In the unitarity limit (i.e. for \( 1 / \xi = 0 \)) one obtains \( \mu / \epsilon_F = 0.59 \) and \( \Delta / \epsilon_F = 0.69 \).

For \( \theta \neq 0 \), the chemical potential has a singularity at \( 1 / \xi = 0 \). This indicates that the only physical theory corresponds to choosing \( \theta = 0 \). Hence, the BCS theory is the only relevant auxiliary field theory for a dilute gas of fermions.

B. Critical temperature \((\Delta' = 0)\)

At finite temperature, the critical temperature and critical chemical potential correspond to the point where
the gap $\Delta = 0$. At the critical point, the spectrum becomes $\omega_k = \epsilon_k + \chi'$. For this case, Eqs. (73) become

$$\frac{1}{\xi} \cos^2 \theta = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty k^2 \, dk \left\{ \frac{1}{k^2} - \frac{\tanh(\beta \omega_k/2)}{\omega_k} \right\},$$

$$1 = \frac{3}{2} \int_0^\infty k^2 \, dk \left\{ 1 - \text{sgn}[k^2 + \chi'] \tanh(\beta \bar{\omega}_k/2) \right\},$$

$$\chi' = \frac{4}{3\pi} \xi \sin^2 \theta - \bar{\mu}.$$  

Solutions of Eqs. (75) for various values of $\theta$ are shown in Fig. 2. For $\theta = 0$, our results are the same as those discussed extensively by Sá de Melo, Randeria and Engelbrecht in Refs. 24 and 31. For $\theta \neq 0$, the chemical potential at the critical temperature has a singularity in the unitarity limit, again indicating that the case of $\theta = 0$ corresponds to the only physical theory for a dilute gas of fermions in the auxiliary field formalism.

### C. Thermodynamics

From Eq. (66), the particle number density is

$$\rho = \frac{N}{V} = -\frac{1}{V} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \mu} \bigg|_{T,V} = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{V}_{\text{eff}}}{\partial \mu} = 2 \frac{\chi' + \mu}{\lambda \sin^2 \theta}$$

$$= 2 \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \rho(k),$$

where

$$\rho(k) = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ 1 - \frac{\epsilon_k + \chi'}{\omega_k} \left[ 1 - 2n(\beta \omega_k) \right] \right\}. \quad (77)$$

The zero-temperature momentum distribution of the particle distribution function, $\rho(k)$, is shown in Fig. 3 for several values of the parameter $\xi$. For completeness, we also depict the momentum dependence of the dispersion relations, $\omega_k$, for $\theta = 0$ and the same values of the parameter $\xi$. We note that the location of the minimum in the dispersion relation shifts smoothly to zero momentum and disappears for $\xi > \xi_c \approx 0.55$, indicative of the crossover character of the BCS to BEC transition [25].

The pressure is also obtained from Eq. (66), as

$$p = -\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial V} \bigg|_{T,\mu} = -\mathcal{V}_{\text{eff}} = \frac{(\chi' + \mu)^2}{\lambda \sin^2 \theta} + \frac{|\Delta'|^2}{\lambda \cos^2 \theta}$$

$$+ 2 \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[ \omega_k - \epsilon_k - \chi' - \frac{|\Delta'|^2}{2 \epsilon_k} \right] + \frac{1}{\beta} \ln[1 + e^{-\beta \omega_k}] \right\}, \quad (78)$$
In scaled variables, the pressure is given by

\[
\frac{p}{\rho e_F} = \frac{2}{3\pi} \xi \sin^2 \theta + \frac{3\pi}{8} \frac{\left|\Delta^\prime\right|^2}{\xi \cos^2 \theta} + \frac{3}{2} \int_0^\infty k^2 \, dk \left\{ \tilde{\omega}_k - \tilde{k}^2 - \tilde{\chi}' - \frac{\left|\Delta^\prime\right|^2}{2k^2} \right\} + \frac{2}{\beta} \ln \left[ 1 + e^{-\tilde{\omega}_k} \right],
\]

(79)

From Eq. (2), the entropy per unit volume, \(s\), is given by

\[
s = \frac{S}{V} = -\frac{1}{V} \left( \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial T} \right)_{\mu, V} = \frac{\beta^2}{V} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \beta} = \beta^2 \frac{\partial V_{\text{eff}}}{\partial \beta}
\]

\[
= 2\beta \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \left\{ n(\beta \omega_k) \omega_k + \frac{1}{\beta} \ln \left[ 1 + e^{-\beta \omega_k} \right] \right\}
\]

\[
= -2 \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \left\{ n(\beta \omega_k) \ln \left[ n(\beta \omega_k) \right] + \left[ 1 - n(\beta \omega_k) \right] \ln \left[ 1 - n(\beta \omega_k) \right] \right\},
\]

or, in scaled units,

\[
\frac{s}{\rho e_F} = \frac{3}{T} \int_0^\infty k^2 \, dk \left\{ n(\beta \tilde{\omega}_k) \tilde{\omega}_k + \frac{1}{\beta} \ln \left[ 1 + e^{-\beta \tilde{\omega}_k} \right] \right\},
\]

(81)

From Eq. (3), the energy per unit volume, \(e\), is given by

\[
e = \frac{E}{V} = V_{\text{eff}} + T \, s + \mu \, \rho
\]

\[
= -\frac{\chi'^2 - \mu^2}{\lambda \sin^2 \theta} - \frac{\left|\Delta^\prime\right|^2}{\lambda \cos^2 \theta}
\]

\[
+ \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \left\{ \left[ 2n(\beta \omega_k) - 1 \right] \omega_k + \epsilon_k + \chi' + \frac{\left|\Delta^\prime\right|^2}{2\epsilon_k} \right\},
\]

or, in scaled units,

\[
\frac{e}{\rho e_F} = -\frac{p}{\rho e_F} + T \frac{s}{\rho e_F} + \frac{\mu}{\rho e_F}
\]

\[
= -\frac{2}{3\pi} \xi \sin^2 \theta + \mu - \frac{3\pi}{8} \frac{\left|\Delta^\prime\right|^2}{\xi \cos^2 \theta}
\]

\[
-\frac{3}{2} \int_0^\infty k^2 \, dk \left\{ \tilde{\omega}_k \left[ 1 - 2n(\beta \tilde{\omega}_k) \right] - \tilde{k}^2 - \tilde{\chi}' - \frac{\left|\Delta^\prime\right|^2}{2k^2} \right\}.
\]

Here \(\tilde{\chi}'\) and \(\Delta^\prime\) solutions of Eqs. (73). Comparing Eqs. (79) and (83), we see that at \(T = 0\),

\[
e = -p + \mu \rho.
\]

(84)

For illustrative purposes in Fig. 4 we depict the zero-temperature pressure and energy per unit volume as a function of \(1/\xi\), for several values of \(\theta\). The pressure and density have singularities in the unitarity limit, consistent with our previous results that the case of \(\theta = 0\) corresponds to the only physical theory for a dilute gas of fermions in the auxiliary field formalism. In Fig. 5, we illustrate the equation of state, \(E/pV\), vs. \(1/\xi\) for \(\theta = 0\).
We will show here that the LOAF approximation satisfies these two Tan relations: First, from Eq. (77), we find that indeed
\[ \rho(k) = \frac{C_{\text{LOAF}}}{k^4} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{k^6}\right), \]  
with the LOAF contact density
\[ C_{\text{LOAF}} = \frac{\Delta^2}{4\gamma^2}. \]  
Second, we take the derivative of the energy per unit volume, \( e \), given in Eq. (82) with respect to the inverse scattering length. Using Eq. (82) and recalling that at the minimum we have \( \partial V_{\text{eff}}/\partial \gamma = 0, \partial V_{\text{eff}}/\partial \Delta = 0, \) and \( \partial V_{\text{eff}}/\partial \mu = -\rho, \) we find that
\[ \frac{de}{da^{-1}} = -\frac{\Delta^2}{8\pi \gamma} = -\frac{\gamma}{2\pi} C_{\text{LOAF}}, \]
as indicated by Tan’s relation (86).

E. Unitarity limit

From Eqs. (73), we see that in the unitarity limit, \( 1/\xi \to 0 \), the gap equations can only be satisfied if \( \theta = 0, \)

\[ p = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty dk \left\{ 1 - \frac{k^2}{\omega_k} \left[ 1 - 2n(\beta \omega_k) \right] \right\}, \]

(90a)

\[ 1 = \frac{3}{2} \int_0^\infty k^2 dk \left\{ 1 - \frac{k^2 - \mu}{\omega_k} \left[ 1 - 2n(\beta \omega_k) \right] \right\}, \]

(90b)

where now \( \omega_k^2 = (k^2 - \mu)^2 + |\Delta|^2 \), and we have dropped the bar notation. The pressure and energy per unit volume are now given by

\[ \frac{p}{\rho \epsilon_F} = \frac{3}{2} \int_0^\infty k^2 dk \left\{ \omega_k - k^2 + \mu - \frac{|\Delta|^2}{2k^2} \right\} \]

(91a)

\[ + \frac{2}{\beta} \ln[1 + e^{-\beta \omega_k} ] \}

By parts integration, we have
\[ \int_0^\infty k^2 dk \frac{1}{\beta} \ln[1 + e^{-\beta \omega_k} ] \]

(92)

\[ = \frac{2}{3} \int_0^\infty k^2 dk \frac{k^2 (k^2 - \mu)}{\omega_k} n(\beta \omega_k). \]

Substituting this into Eq. (91a), the pressure can be written as
\[ \frac{p}{\rho \epsilon_F} = \int_0^\infty k^2 dk \left\{ \frac{3}{2} \left[ \omega_k - k^2 + \mu - \frac{|\Delta|^2}{2k^2} \right] \right\} \]

(93)

\[ + 2 \frac{k^2 (k^2 - \mu)}{\omega_k} n(\beta \omega_k) \right\}. \]
For the energy expression, multiply Eq. (90b) by $\mu$ and substitute the result into Eq. (91b). This gives for the energy

$$\frac{e}{\rho \epsilon_f} = \frac{3}{2} \int_0^\infty k^2 \, dk \left\{ -\frac{\omega_k^2 + \mu(k^2 - \mu)}{\omega_k} \left[ 1 - 2n(\beta \omega_k) \right] \right. + \left. k^2 + \frac{\Delta^2}{2 k^2} \right\}.$$  

(94)

Now form the quantity

$$\frac{2e - 3p}{\rho \epsilon_f} = 3 \int_0^\infty k^2 \, dk \left\{ -\frac{\omega_k^2 + \mu(k^2 - \mu)}{\omega_k} + k^2 + \frac{\Delta^2}{2 k^2} \right. - \left. \frac{3}{2} \left[ \omega_k - k^2 + \mu - \frac{\Delta^2}{2 k^2} \right] + \frac{2\Delta^2}{\omega_k} n(\beta \omega_k) \right\}.$$  

(95)

Now integrate by parts,

$$\int_0^\infty k^2 \, dk \left[ \omega_k - k^2 + \mu - \frac{\Delta^2}{2 k^2} \right] = \frac{2}{3} \int_0^\infty k^2 \, dk \left[ k^2 - \frac{k^2(2k^2 - \mu)}{\omega_k} - \frac{\Delta^2}{2 k^2} \right].$$  

(96)

Inserting this into (95) gives

$$\frac{2e - 3p}{\rho \epsilon_f} = 3 |\Delta|^2 \int_0^\infty dk \left\{ 1 - \frac{k^2[1 - 2n(\beta \omega_k)]}{\omega_k} \right\} = 0,$$

(97)

where we have used the gap equation (90a). So this shows that at the unitary limit,

$$e = \frac{3}{2} p,$$

(98)

for all temperatures $T$ (see e.g. Ref. 41). In Fig. 5 we show numerically that this relation holds for $T = 0$.

Using Eqs. (84) and (98), we find the unitarity limit results at zero temperature,

$$\frac{e}{\rho \epsilon_f} = \frac{3}{5} \bar{\mu},$$  

(99)

in reduced units. But, at $T = 0$, we have $\mu/\epsilon_f = 0.59$. Therefore, introducing the energy per particle

$$\bar{\epsilon} = \frac{E}{N} = \frac{e}{\rho},$$  

(100)

we obtain that at zero temperature, in the unitarity limit, we have

$$\bar{\epsilon}/\epsilon_0 = 0.59.$$  

(101)

VII. CONCLUSIONS

To summarize, in this paper we derived the auxiliary field formalism for a dilute fermionic atom gas with tunable interactions. This formalism represents the fermionic counterpart of a similar auxiliary field formalism introduced recently to describe the properties of a dilute gas of Bose particles [30]. Here we demonstrate that at zero temperature, the fermionic LOAF equations are the same as the equations derived by Leggett [14], whereas the finite-temperature results correspond to those discussed earlier by Sá de Melo, Randeria, and Engelbrecht [24, 31]. The LOAF formalism shows that the BCS ansatz represents the only physical auxiliary field theory for a dilute Fermi gas. Furthermore, we showed that LOAF satisfies Tan’s relation regarding the momentum distribution of fermions at asymptotically large momenta and Tan’s “adiabatic sweep” theorem. Just like in the Bose case, the auxiliary field approach for fermions provides a systematic framework that allows one to improve the LOAF results by calculating the 1-PI action corrections, order by order.
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