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ABSTRACT
A set of thirty-nine hybrids of maize were developed to estimate heterosis. These hybrids were evaluated along with their respective parents and three standard checks namely, Pratap Hybrid Maize-3(PHM-3), PMH-3 and PM-9, were evaluated during Kharif 2017 for 14 characters at instructional farm Rajasthan College of Agriculture, Udaipur, Rajasthan. The mean sum of squares for hybrids, inbred lines and testers, was significant for all the traits except for days to 75 per cent brown husk of inbred lines. A perusal of estimates of economic heterosis for grain yield per plant revealed that five hybrids L7 x T2 (14.47%), L3 x T3 (11.19%), L6 x T2 (10.44%), L6 x T1 (9.93%), and L9 x T3 (9.88%) depicted positive significant economic heterosis for grain yield per plant over the best check Pratap Hybrid Maize-3. Hybrid (L6 x T2) also exhibited significant positive economic heterosis for oil content. These crosses will be considered for finding transgressive segregants in segregating generation to develop a maize variety with quality improvement.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In India, maize is third most important cereal crop after rice and wheat that provides food, feed, fodder and serves as a source of raw material for developing thousands of industrial products viz., starch, protein oil, alcoholic beverages, food sweeteners, pharma, cosmetics, and bio-fuel, etc. The commercial maize varieties usually contain 9-12% protein which is enough to meet the physiological need of the human body [1].

The concept of heterosis is practically exploited to develop hybrid varieties. Heterosis may be defined as the increase in size, vigor, fertility, and overall productivity of a hybrid plant, over the mid parent value (average performance of the two parents) and over the performance of best parent. It is occurred when two inbred lines of out bred species are crossed, as much as when crosses are made between pure lines [2]. The magnitude of heterosis provides information an extent of genetic diversity of parents used in developing superior F₁ hybrids. The high magnitude of heterosis in an early generation will help in selecting inbred lines with high yield potential so that these can be focused in subsequent generations. The increased vigour of F₁ over the mid parent, better parent and best commercial variety is designated as relative heterosis, heterobeltiosis and standard heterosis, respectively. All these are important to analyze and identify superior hybrids. Mid-parent heterosis and better-parent heterosis are important parameters as they provide information about the presence of dominance and over dominance type of gene action in the expression of various characters. The magnitude of heterosis depends on the relative performance of parental inbred lines and the corresponding hybrids crosses. The heterosis has been extensively used in maize by several workers like [3,4 and 5] and continue to be applied in quantitative genetic studies. The present investigation was therefore undertaken to determine the extent of relative heterosis, heterobeltiosis and standard heterosis in maize to identify maize hybrids that express high heterosis.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experimental material generated using thirteen inbred lines crossed with three testers (Table 1) to generate 39 experimental hybrids during Rabi 2016-17. These 39 F₁ experimental hybrids along with 16 parents and three checks viz., Pratap Hybrid Maize-3 (PHM-3), PMH-3 and PM-9 were evaluated in a randomized complete block design with three replications with a single row plot of four-meter length, maintaining crop geometry of 60 x 25 cm. The experimental material was planted at Instructional farm, Rajasthan College of Agriculture, Maharana Pratap University of Agriculture and Technology, Udaipur, India during Kharif, 2017. The observations were recorded on randomly selected five competitive plants of each entry in each replication for grain yield, starch, oil and protein content. The starch content was estimated by using anthrone reagent method [6], while oil was estimated by using Soxhlet method developed by [7] and protein content was estimated by using [8] method and the value of nitrogen content was multiplied by a factor of 6.25 and averaged and their mean values were subjected to various statistical analyses. Computation of relative heterosis, heterobeltiosis and economic heterosis for all characters was carried out as per procedure suggested by [9,10 and 11] respectively.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The analysis of variance revealed highly significant differences among the genotypes, parental inbred lines and experimental hybrids for all the traits, whereas parental inbred lines vs experimental hybrids also exhibited highly significant differences for all the traits except for oil content (Table 2). The estimates of relative heterosis for grain yield per plant revealed that out of 39 hybrids, thirty hybrids depicted positive significant relative heterosis for this trait with the magnitude ranging from 28.33 (L₁₀ x T₃) to 91.72 per cent (L₇ x T₂) (Table 3). Twenty seven hybrids exhibited positive significant heterobeltiosis ranging from 26.46 (L₁₀ x T) to 82.22 per cent (L₇ x T₂). Five hybrids depicted positive significant economic heterosis for grain yield varied from 9.88 (L₉ x T₃) to 14.67 (L₇ x T₂) over the best check Pratap Hybrid Maize-3. The maximum positive significant economic heterosis was depicted by hybrid L₇ x T₂ followed by hybrid L₂ x T₃ and L₃ x T₃ for grain yield per plant. These findings are in accordance with [12,13,14,15,3,16,17,5,18 and 19].
Twelve experimental hybrids exhibited positive significant relative heterosis for oil content with the magnitude ranged from 6.50 (L₉ x T₃) to 29.79 percent (L₄ x T₃). Seven hybrids showed significant positive heterobeltiosis for oil content and its ranged from 4.05 (L₆ x T₁) to 16.53 percent (L₄ x T₃). Six hybrids exhibited positive significant economic heterosis with magnitude ranged from 3.98 (L₆ x T₁) to 12.72 percent (L₄ x T₃) over the best check Pratap Hybrid Maize-3. The maximum positive significant economic heterosis for oil content L₂ x T₃ followed by hybrid L₂ x T₁ and hybrid L₄ x T₂ [17, 18 and 19] also reported similar findings.

For starch content, six hybrids exhibited significant relative heterosis in positive direction with the range varied from 4.70 (L₇ x T₃) to 8.33 percent (L₇ x T₁). In case of heterobeltiosis, four hybrids exhibited positive significant heterobeltiosis with magnitude ranged from 4.76 (L₆ x T₁) to 7.93 percent (L₇ x T₁). The result is in general agreement with the findings of [20, 3 and 16].

Out of 39 hybrids, twenty hybrids exhibited positive significant relative heterosis for protein content with the magnitude range from 4.95 (L₁₃ x T₂, L₅ x T₃) to 22.40 per cent (L₁₂ x T₃) (Table 4). Fourteen hybrids exhibited positive significant heterobeltiosis for this trait with the magnitude ranged from 6.49 (L₈ x T₁) to 21.17 per cent (L₁₂ x T₂). Similar results are obtained in the studies of [21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 20, 3 and 16]. From the above result, L₇ x T₂ for grain yield per plant and hybrid L₄ x T₃ oil content exhibited significant high relative heterosis, heterobeltiosis and standard heterosis.

Table 2. Analysis of variance for grain yield and quality traits in maize

| Source of variation | Df | Mean Squares |
|---------------------|----|--------------|
|                     |    | Grain yield/ plant | Oil content | Starch content | Protein content |
| Replications        | 2  | 122.30        | 0.04        | 5.45           | 0.05            |
| Genotypes           | 57 | 2386.08       | 1.52        | 10.46          | 1.57**          |
| Parents             | 15 | 263.14**      | 1.31**      | 7.12**         | 1.36**          |
| Hybrids             | 38 | 1916.14**     | 1.69**      | 12.68**        | 1.71**          |
| Parent v/s          | 1  | 54347.79**    | 0.35**      | 0.02           | 0.85**          |
| Hybrids             |    | 131.88        | 0.01        | 3.51           | 0.06            |

* ** Significant at 5 % and 1 % level of significance, respectively
### Table 3. Extent of heterosis for grain yield per plant and oil content

| SN. | Crosses | Grain yield per plant | Oil content |
|-----|---------|-----------------------|-------------|
|     |         | RH                    | HB          | EH | RH | HB | EH |
| 1.  | L1 x T1 | -23.07**              | -           | 14.05** | - | - | - |
| 2.  | L2 x T1 | -16.84                | -           | -1.14   | - | - | - |
| 3.  | L3 x T1 | 46.82**               | 41.90**     | 3.10    | - | - | - |
| 4.  | L4 x T1 | 59.89**               | 39.96**     | -9.36**  | - | - | - |
| 5.  | L5 x T1 | 87.05**               | 64.60**     | 2.64    | 1.00 | 0.92 | |
| 6.  | L6 x T1 | 73.10**               | 66.93**     | 9.93**  | 10.08** | 4.05* | 3.98* |
| 7.  | L7 x T1 | 54.31**               | 43.47**     | 19.07** | 9.25** | 9.17** | |
| 8.  | L8 x T1 | 66.43**               | 44.44**     | 8.23**  | - | - | - |
| 9.  | L9 x T1 | 18.50                 | 8.46       | -35.73** | - | - | - |
| 10. | L10 x T1| 33.54**               | 26.46**     | -5.67**  | - | - | - |
| 11. | L11 x T1| 33.76**               | 31.37**     | -32.20** | - | - | - |
| 12. | L12 x T1| 62.04**               | 52.84**     | 0.65    | 19.39** | - | - |
| 13. | L13 x T1| 15.17                 | 2.51       | -24.50** | - | - | - |
| 14. | L1 x T2 | 75.67**               | 72.12**     | 8.13    | 29.94** | 8.58** | 3.48 |
| 15. | L2 x T2 | 4.83                  | 2.28       | -17.43** | - | - | - |
| 16. | L3 x T2 | 36.88**               | 35.38**     | -3.32   | - | - | - |
| 17. | L4 x T2 | 60.99**               | 43.85**     | -15.68** | - | - | - |
| 18. | L5 x T2 | 43.59**               | 28.99**     | -26.57** | - | - | - |
| 19. | L6 x T2 | 78.15**               | 75.81**     | 10.44** | 16.62** | 12.75** | 7.46** |
| 20. | L7 x T2 | 91.72**               | 82.22**     | 14.47** | -4.97** | - | - |
| 21. | L8 x T2 | 36.99**               | 21.33      | -0.96   | - | - | - |
| 22. | L9 x T2 | 45.35**               | 35.94**     | -37.77** | - | - | - |
| 23. | L10 x T2| -10.40                | -          | -0.33   | - | - | - |
| 24. | L11 x T2| 60.20**               | 53.78**     | 5.02    | 10.04** | 3.36 | - |
| 25. | L12 x T2| 75.95**               | 69.73**     | 6.62    | -11.66** | - | - |
| 26. | L13 x T2| 11.03                 | 0.92       | -22.95** | - | - | - |
| 27. | L14 x T2| 51.21**               | 50.25**     | 15.88** | - | - | - |
| 28. | L2 x T3 | 74.83**               | 72.97**     | 5.62    | -19.78** | - | - |
| 29. | L3 x T3 | 81.52**               | 80.95**     | 11.19** | 26.36** | 8.67** | 5.12** |
| 30. | L4 x T3 | 65.00**               | 49.30**     | -       | 29.79** | 16.53** | 12.72** |
| 31. | L5 x T3 | 91.79**               | 74.50**     | 6.56    | 1.76   | 1.76 | - |
| 32. | L6 x T3 | 76.93**               | 76.78**     | 8.13    | -29.58** | - | - |
| 33. | L7 x T3 | 1.32                  | -          | 8.56**  | 1.10 | - | - |
| 34. | L8 x T3 | 34.92**               | 20.99      | -5.19*  | - | - | - |
| 35. | L9 x T3 | 89.86**               | 79.95**     | 9.88**  | 6.50** | 4.76* | 4.76* |
| 36. | L10 x T3| 28.23**               | 17.27      | -2.66   | - | - | - |
| 37. | L11 x T3| 68.89**               | 59.96**     | 9.23    | -29.89** | - | - |
| 38. | L12 x T3| 59.67**               | 56.16**     | -20.07** | - | - | - |
| 39. | L13 x T3| -1.72                 | -          | -2.24   | - | - | - |

* **Significant at 5% and 1% level of significance, respectively.

### Table 4. Extent of heterosis for starch content and protein content

| SN. | Crosses | Starch content | Protein content |
|-----|---------|----------------|---------------|
|     |         | RH     | HB | EH | RH     | HB | EH |
| 1.  | L1 x T1 | 0.89   | 0.86 | -  | 6.39** | 2.23 | - |
| 2.  | L2 x T1 | -0.12  | -   | -  | 1.50   | -   | - |
| 3.  | L3 x T1 | -1.31  | -   | -  | 5.43*  | 1.00 | - |
| 4.  | L4 x T1 | -2.49  | -   | -  | -10.89** | - | - |
| 5.  | L5 x T1 | 1.87   | 1.82 | -  | -11.43** | - | - |
| 6.  | L6 x T1 | 6.17** | 4.76* | -  | 16.78** | 16.66** | - |
| 7.  | L7 x T1 | 8.33** | 7.93** | 1.65 | -9.49** | - | - |
| 8.  | L8 x T1 | -3.23  | -   | -  | 1.35   | 1.01 | - |
| 9.  | L9 x T1 | -5.19* | -   | -  | 8.64** | 6.49** | - |
| 10. | L10 x T1| -5.83** | -   | 0.89 | - | - | - |
4. CONCLUSION

This article may be useful for scholars and researchers who want to work in maize and develop high yielding varieties. The Crosses integration of population improvement with inbreed line development may be provided new superior lines for single cross hybrids breeding and other option for hybrid development. The superior hybrids for various traits like grain yield, oil content, and protein content can be exploited further in breeding programs for improvement various quantitative and qualitative characters.
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