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Abstract

Background
Exposure to air pollution is one of the primary global health risk factors, yet individuals lack the knowledge to engage in individual risk mitigation and the skills to mobilize for change necessary to reduce such risks. The news media are an important tool for influencing individual actions and support for public policies to reduce environmental threats; thus, a lack of news coverage of such issues may exacerbate knowledge deficits. This study examines the reporting of health risk and precautionary measures regarding air pollution in national and regional print news using an environmental health literacy perspective.

Methods
We conducted a content analysis of two national (New York Times and Washington Post) and two local newspapers (Fresno Bee and Bakersfield Californian) newspapers covering the country’s most polluted region, California’s San Joaquin Valley, during a 5-year period (2011-2015). Using a constructed week sampling approach, 276 newspaper articles were coded for information on threat, efficacy information, and information sources.

Results
News coverage of air pollution mostly failed to mention human health risks. Moreover, fewer than 10 percent of news stories about air pollution provided information on the precautionary measures necessary for individuals to take action to mitigate their risk. Despite being located in one of the most polluted areas in the US, local newspapers did not report significantly more threat and efficacy information. News coverage of air pollution consistently missed opportunities to raise environmental health literacy.

Conclusions
Although air pollution levels are high and continue to rise at alarming rates, our findings suggest that news media reporting is not conducive to raising environmental health literacy. Public health advocates and health promotion experts must develop more effective strategies for disseminating information about the health risks of air pollution, balancing the need for recognizing the structural causes of poor air quality and the actions individuals and communities can take to reduce air pollution related morbidity and mortality. National and local news media may be useful partners for such dissemination.
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**Background**

Air pollution is the single largest environmental health risk and one of the largest global risk factors [1, 2], with outdoor air pollution estimated to be responsible for almost 8 percent of total global deaths [3]. To manage individual risk from air pollution, individuals need to be aware when air quality is poor [4–6]. The primary official forms of communication about air pollution to achieve this goal are air quality advisories; however, the information environment is much broader than targeted campaigns [7]. The broad public information environment is an important determinant of knowledge, attitudes, and other cognitive and emotional determinants of behavior [8–10] and should be investigated beyond air quality advisories. Especially since awareness of air quality advisories often does not lead to behavior change, and air quality advisories are among the least reported sources of information for air pollution [4, 11]. Research has found that media, together with sensory and health cures, are the primary sources of information in air polluted regions [11, 12]. Information found in the media can increase awareness environmental risks, such as air pollution, and help individuals with processes that lead to risk reducing behavior [13, 14]. Moreover, consistent with an ecological approach to health [2], recent research in environmental health literacy argues that messaging must move beyond exclusively focusing on individual behavior change to include strategies that empower individuals to mobilize for the control of environmental exposures [15–17]. Media influence which issues the public are exposed to and thereby set the public agenda [18]. Agenda-setting research has furthermore shown that news coverage plays a role in shaping public opinion and the local policy agenda, and that this role is more prominent for local level news [19].

The current research explores how air pollution is covered in news media. The extended parallel process model (EPPM) [20] that is commonly used to explain how individuals process
health messages, proposes that for an individual to accept a message and change behavior, two appraisal steps are necessary. First, an individual needs to perceive a threat to themselves that warrants action, and second an individual needs to perceive themselves able to avert the threat [20]. For an individual to take risk reducing action, they need to know both about the risk as well as effective actions they can take to reduce risk. We therefore conducted a content analysis of newspapers and examined how individuals might process media messages by analyzing how much health risk (threat) and information about precautionary measures (efficacy information) air pollution coverage contains. Effective precautionary measures individuals can take are: staying indoors, limiting physical activity, or using air filters to clean indoor air during severe air pollution days [6]. In addition to examining health risk and information about precautionary measures, we examined the potential influence of journalists’ information sources on the framing of air pollution. The manner in which issues are presented or framed in the media affects the perceptions of the public [21]. Media coverage of environmental issues has been critiqued for lacking substance, adequate coverage, and lack of potential solutions [22, 23]. The choice of source for a story influences how a story is framed, the substance that is included, and which solutions are provided [24].

National news was compared with local news from California’s San Joaquin Valley (SJV). The SJV is a rural and economically disadvantaged region that lacks resources and access to address environmental and public health threats [25, 26]. Moreover, this region is one of the worst air polluted areas in the US [27]. Latino, low-income, and less-educated populations - which are overrepresented in the SJV- have less access to health information [28, 29]. For minorities that suffer from this lack of access, news media are the primary and trusted sources of health information [30]. News media, especially local news media, may be a particularly
important source of information for residents of the SJV, since the lack of resources and the geographically-dispersed nature of rural areas such as the SJV make it hard to reach the population through other channels.

By assessing which essential risk reducing information is missing in newspaper coverage, and which messages individuals are exposed to, health promotion efforts can be tailored to take the revealed information into account, creating more effective campaigns.

**Methods**

**Study sample**

Two national newspapers, the *New York Times* and the *Washington Post*, were selected to represent the national-level discourse of air pollution in the media. Two newspapers from the SJV, the *Fresno Bee* and the *Bakersfield Californian*, represented local news about air pollution. The *New York Times* and the *Washington Post* have high circulation and influential status and are considered to be agenda setters for other media in the US [18]. Both the *Fresno Bee* and the *Bakersfield Californian* are among the highest circulating papers in California’s air polluted San Joaquin Valley and are the hometown papers of the two most polluted cities in the US [27].

**News coverage selection**

The data for this study were news stories about air pollution published in the four newspapers during the five-year period 2011-2015. News stories were obtained from the Lexis-Nexis database for the two national newspapers and the Newsbank World News database for the two local newspapers. Following procedures described by Stryker and colleagues [31], a search term was constructed. News stories about air pollution were operationalized as needing to include air
pollution content in the title and/or first three paragraphs. The following search term was used to collect the sample: ATLEAST1(air quality or air pollution) AND (air pollution or air quality or clean air or dirty air or polluted air or smok! or fume! or cloud or gas! or exhaust! or vapor or inhale! or breathe! or respir! or emission! or smog or ozone) in any of the first three paragraphs (HLEAD was used in Lexis-Nexis to automate this process). To keep our sample size manageable while obtaining an accurate estimate of the population, a constructed week sampling approach was used. Constructed week sampling is a stratified random sampling technique that is preferred to simple random sampling as it accounts for variation of news content over a seven-day news week [32]. The current study sampled 6 constructed weeks for each of the five years in which news stories (both national as well as local) were collected for a total of 30 constructed weeks, yielding a total of 276 articles.

Measures

We measured threat, efficacy information, and information sources. All measures were dichotomous items. Stories were coded a threat if an article included any information about air pollution being adverse to health. Efficacy was coded if the article included any information about the precautionary measures an individual can take to reduce risk from air pollution. The coding of efficacy information included an additional stage. If an article included efficacy information, the nature of the efficacy information was investigated to see if the efficacy information included any of the effective precautionary measures individuals can take: staying indoors, limiting physical activity, or using air filters to clean indoor air during severe air pollution days [6]. To examine which sources were utilized in the articles about air pollution, 5 types of sources were coded. The source typology was based on work by Brossard and
colleagues [33] and included: academics and scientists, non-expert/citizen, business/industry groups, governmental sources and health, and environmental advocacy groups. All articles were analyzed to see if any of these sources were utilized. It was possible to code for multiple sources per article.

Once the coding instrument was developed, two coders were randomly assigned three sections (N = 109, 39.5% of total sample) to code. Cohen’s kappa showed substantial agreement (mean k = .68) [34]. Initial interrater reliability was below the threshold set a priori (k < .7) for three codes classifying cited sources: “non-expert/citizen sources”, “business/industry groups”, and “health and advocacy groups”. To achieve a higher level of reliability, the two coders double coded all articles for these codes and conducted consensus meetings afterwards. As a result, the final average Cohen’s kappa increased to high agreement (mean k = .85) [34]. The remaining years of air pollution news articles were randomly distributed and coded independently by the two coders.

**Data analysis**

To compare differences between local and national newspapers, chi-square independence tests were conducted. Fisher’s exact test was used in case the expected cell count was less than 5. All descriptive statistics, reliability, and chi-square tests and were performed with IBM SPSS Statistics 24.0.

**Results**

A total of 276 articles met our selection criteria and were read and analyzed: 162 national newspaper articles and 114 local newspaper articles. The *New York Times* (n = 98) accounted for
the majority of the coverage, followed by the *Washington Post* (*n* = 64), the *Bakersfield Californian* (*n* = 61), and the *Fresno Bee* (*n* = 53). There was no significant difference in the number of articles reporting about air pollution between local and national newspapers (*X*² = 3.732, *P* = .053).

**Threat and efficacy**

Threat information (39.9%) was reported more than efficacy information (7.6%) in the combined sample (*X*² = 34.626, *P* = .001). Threat was reported more frequently compared to efficacy in both local newspapers (*X*² = 15.039, *P* < .001) and national newspapers (*X*² = 18.935, *P* < .001).

No newspaper reported efficacy information without reporting threat information (Fig. 1). Table 1 compares threat and efficacy information for local and national newspapers. When comparing local newspapers with national newspapers, local newspapers reported more threat information (44.7%) compared to national newspapers (36.4%). However, this difference was not statistically significant (*X*² = 1.931, *P* = .165). Similarly, no significant difference (*X*² = 1.118, *P* = .209) was found for the reporting of efficacy information in local newspapers (13.0%) compared to national newspapers (9.6%). When reporting recommended efficacy information, no significant differences were found for the individual risk reducing behavior “stay indoors” (*X*² = .885, *P* = .347), and “use of air filters” (*X*² = .953, *P* = .652). However, local newspapers did report more on “limiting physical activity” compared to national newspapers (*X*² = 5.105, *P* = .036).

**Information sources**

Reporters primarily used governmental sources, followed by business/industry groups, health and environmental advocacy groups, academics and scientist, and non-expert/citizen sources (Fig. 2). A similar order was found for national newspapers. Local newspapers also used
governmental sources primarily, followed by business/industry sources, and health and environmental advocacy groups. Although they used more non-expert citizen sources compared to academic sources. National newspapers used disproportionally more information sources in their articles compared to local newspapers. As can be seen in Table 2, national newspapers utilized significantly more academic and scientist sources ($X^2 = 21.881, P < .001$), business/industry groups ($X^2 = 28.189, P < .001$), governmental sources ($X^2 = 26.089, P < .001$), and health and environmental advocacy groups ($X^2 = 16.680, P < .001$). No significant differences were found in the use of non-expert/citizen as information sources for reporters ($X^2 = .004, P = .950$).

**Discussion**

Although exposure to air pollution is one of the largest global risk factors [1], and rising at alarming rates [2], it is often overlooked in health promotion research [35] and practice. The present study is the first to look at the nature of air pollution reporting in the media, exploring factors in news reporting about air pollution that might affect individual risk reducing behavior. Similarly to other content analyses analyzing newspaper reporting of other health issues [36–39], we found that air pollution stories contained more threat information than efficacy information. It is important that newspapers report about the threat of air pollution on health, as this informs the public on the need for action. However, by not providing any information on what to do to reduce the introduced threat, undesirable side effects can happen. The EPPM [20] posits that when threat information is high and efficacy information is low, individuals will manifest a maladaptive coping response such as denial and avoidance of information [40]. While results can differ for individuals, as individual differences including prior experiences, culture, and
personality influence appraisal of threat and efficacy [20], our results suggest that current reporting about air pollution in newspapers is not conducive to promotion of risk reducing behavior.

This study found that news reporting about air pollution lacked information about effective precautionary measures that individuals can take. Local newspapers in the SJV did not reported significantly more about air pollution, threat, and efficacy compared to national newspapers, despite being located in one of the worst air polluted areas in the US, and despite the fact that air pollution is a major concern for residents of the valley [41, 42]. The results are not entirely surprising, as there is research suggesting that news stories about health are scarce [43]. In addition, this relative absence of news stories about air pollution is in line with a recent study analyzing local news reporting about health in the SJV, which also found limited coverage of air pollution [28]. However, the lack of efficacy in local publications is alarming as public information sources in the region have a similar deficiency [17], making it plausible that residents in the SJV have insufficient information available necessary to protect themselves from the adverse effects of air pollution. Public health advocates and health promotion experts must recognize the need to balance the structural causes of poor air quality and the actions individuals and communities can take to reduce air pollution related morbidity and mortality. It is necessary to develop more effective strategies for disseminating information about the health risks of air pollution. National and local news media may be useful partners for such dissemination, as media play a vital role in public understanding of environmental risks [44].

Similar to content analyses of other environmental issues [23, 33], both local and national newspapers over-relied on governmental sources. The high reliance on governmental sources is concerning as they are likely to present established views [23]. Moreover, the high reliance on
governmental sources is particularly concerning in the current political climate as governmental agencies are acting in conflict with their goals. For example, the agency in charge of mitigating air pollution, is advocating for relaxation of the Clean Air Act legislation [45]. The relative lack of sources that might present unconventional views limits the range of concerns and solutions presented in the news. This has implications for the policy changes necessary to reduce air pollution (health risk). For instance, changes in tobacco policy benefited from the voices of diverse groups and organizations to established public perceptions necessary to mobilize change. Collaboration in news media campaigns to increase media attention to diverse voices is therefore recommended [46]. Non-governmental groups such as health and environmental groups and academics and scientists should consider similar tactics to voice their concerns about air pollution. Academics and scientist sources were present in less than a quarter of the articles. The primary reliance of air pollution reporting on sources that might not be impartial and lack expertise might not be conductive to the understanding of air pollution by the general audience. Future studies should investigate if these sources cause environmental health literacy misinformation and misperceptions.

Despite the strengths, this study suffers from some limitations. To begin, only a select number of newspapers were included in the current study. It is possible that a selection of different newspapers would reveal different patterns. Similarly, a selection of different news sources (e.g., online news or broadcast) could show different results. However, we are reasonably confident that this is unlikely because newspapers -and in particular widespread national newspapers such as the national newspapers (i.e. New York Times and Washington Post) utilized in this content analysis- are agenda setters for other media sources [9]. Additionally, our coding for threat and efficacy information were simple binary codes. Coding therefore ignores
any nuanced tones and implications that potentially exist in the news story. Lastly, coverage patterns could have changed since the time of the study (2011-2015), as developments such as the WHO campaign [47] to mobilize people to bring air pollution to safe levels or the 2016 presidential election and resulting changes at the EPA could have influenced the coverage. For example, the salient new efforts made by the WHO to convince the public and policy makers of the disastrous effects of air pollution by branding it “the silent killer” might have increased the amount of threat reporting in newspapers.

Conclusions

The findings of this study suggest that reporting about air pollution in newspapers is not conducive to risk reducing behavior. Newspapers mostly fail to report on the health impacts air pollution can have. Moreover, there needs to be a better balance between threat and efficacy information -especially effective precautionary measures that individuals can take- in the reporting about air pollution. Given the large impact air pollution has on the SJV and the importance of local news on public opinion and the local policy agenda, more health promoting news stories about air pollution would be beneficial. In addition, health promotion efforts should consider the information in the media environment and develop strategies to enhance the air pollution information environment. Health promotion efforts, such as the breath air campaign by the WHO, might mobilize people into action by increasing the amount of threat information available. However, to neutralize potential undesirable effects, campaigns would do well to provide efficacy information on how to reduce individual risk from air pollution. The current reliance on conventional sources of information by journalists might forestall the understanding of complex issues such as air pollution. Air pollution reporting would benefit from more diverse,
expert, and impartial sources. News coverage of air pollution consistently missed opportunities
to raise environmental health literacy. Health promotion efforts should consider using news
media strategically to increase environmental health literacy.
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