Domestic injuries among geriatric population: a study in a rural community of Bankura district
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ABSTRACT

Background: Domestic injury is an injury, which takes place in the home or in its immediate surroundings and more generally, all injury not connected with traffic, vehicles or sport. It is a worldwide public health problem. Geriatric population is more vulnerable to domestic injury. Objectives of this study are to estimate the incidence and to identify the correlates, if any, of domestic injuries among geriatric population and to study the consequences of domestic injuries among study subjects.

Methods: Community-based descriptive study with longitudinal design. Multistage random sampling was adopted in the study. One block was selected by simple random sampling method then cluster sampling method (30/7) was used considering village as cluster. Three cross-sectional surveys were conducted in study subjects. Data was collected with the help of pre-designed, pre-tested, semi-structured schedule by paying house-to-house visits and review of records.

Results: The subjects under study comprised of 210 elderly individuals, out of which 27 faced domestic injuries and three study subjects faced injury twice in study period. So, total number of injured was 30. Incidence rate was calculated to be 142.85 injuries per thousand persons per year. Fall was most common type of domestic injury. According to the consequence of injury, impairment was found in 13 cases out of them two injured cases were suffered from permanent disability.

Conclusions: Incidence was estimated to be higher than what was found in other studies, Fall was the most common type of domestic injury. Marital status, use of central nervous system depressant drugs and co-morbidities were found to have positive association with injury.
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INTRODUCTION

Domestic injury is an injury that takes place at home or in its immediate surroundings, and, more generally, all injuries not connected with traffic, vehicles or sports.1 Domestic injury is worldwide public health problem. In some European countries, injury at home kills more people than road injury, in spite of strict safety regulations and laws regarding buildings and living areas.2 Recent progress in industrialization forced increased number of people living in crowded and unsafe area.3 The problem is graver in developing countries, particularly in rural areas.4 Geriatric population is more vulnerable to domestic injury due to unplanned home
arrangement. The growth rate of the older population (1.9 percent) is significantly higher than that of the total population (1.2 percent). Between 1996 and 2016, Indian population above 60 years of age will increase from 62.3 million to 112.9 million. Every domestic injury brings a varying degree of distress to the victim as well as the family members. With this backdrop, the present study was conducted to assess the incidence of domestic injury and related risk factors in a rural community. The study was conducted to achieve the following objectives were to estimate the incidence of domestic injuries among geriatric population in rural community of Bankura district and to identify the correlates of domestic injuries. Also study the consequences of domestic injuries among study subjects.

METHODS

A community-based descriptive study with longitudinal design was conducted in Gangajalghati Block of Bankura District, West Bengal. The population of Gangajalghati block was 186937 (2011 census) under the jurisdiction of ten Gram Panchayats (GPs) and catered by 30 sub centers, two Primary Health Centers and one Community Health Center. Total duration of the study was of 1 year from 1st January 2014 to 31st January 2015. Three cross-sectional surveys were conducted in study period with two follow ups in the form of cross-sectional survey were done in six-month interval. First cross-sectional survey was conducted on July 2014, the second on first week of January 2015 and third on last week of January 2015. Information was collected through six-month recall. People in the age group of 60 years and above are taken as study population as on 1st January 2014. People in the age group of 60 years and above on 1st January 2014 who was residing in the area for more than one year and given consent were included in the study. Critically ill and bed ridden patient were excluded from the study. In Gangajalghati block there were 171 villages. Cluster sampling method was used considering village as cluster. From each of 30 clusters 7 study subjects were selected. The sample size estimation was done by N=Zα/2t², where Z at 95 percent Confidence Interval (CI) is 1.96 and allowable error l=20 percent. Then, N=1.96*1.96/0.2*0.2=96. Considering design effect of 2 the sample size N was 96*2=192. After allowing 10 percent dropout the sample size was estimated to be 211. For a 30 cluster sampling the number per cluster was calculated to: 30/7 cluster sampling procedure, the final sample size was 210. Pre-designed, pre-tested, Semi-structured schedule were used as study tools. Semi-structured schedule was used to collect information on socio-demographic profile of study subjects and Economic dependency. Time of occurrence of injuries, Place of injury, Co-morbidities, if any, use of hypnotic drugs and Care seeking behavior information were collected by medical records and recall method. Types of domestic injuries, frequency of injuries, and nature of injury and its consequences were taken as outcome variables in our study.

Personal Interview by using predesigned, pretested semi structured schedule through house to house visit and review of medical records were used as study techniques.

Methods of data collection

Ethical clearance was obtained from Institutional Ethics Committee. Informed consent was taken from all participants before conducting interview. In each selected cluster, a random direction was chosen from the mid-point of the village and households were chosen consecutively along the road from the centre to the edge of the village until seven eligible study subjects were found. If seven eligible study subjects could not be found in a road then the road in another direction was selected randomly again from the mid-point of the village. The information pertaining to socio-demographics, injuries sustained during last six months was gathered through interview of the study subjects and relevant medical records were also examined using the pre-designed questionnaire by paying house-to-house visits. In the second visit consequences of domestic injury of previous visit and information regarding the occurrence of domestic injury in last six months was collected. In third visit information regarding consequences of domestic injury was collected only. In second visit all domestic injuries were minor in type so information regarding consequences of domestic injury was collected after one month.

Statistical framework

After collection, the data were entered in excel spread sheet and then analyzed by standard statistical techniques like percentage, mean, standard deviation; different charts e.g., bar diagram, pie-chart etc. along with chi-square test for statistical inference. For this purpose, free SPSS software version 20.0 was utilized.

Operational definition

Domestic injury is an injury, which takes place in the home or in its immediate surroundings and more generally, all injury not connected with traffic, vehicles or sports.

Geriatric age group: people in the age group of 60 years and above was consider as geriatric age group

Recall period for domestic injuries in this study was considered as six months from the date on which the interview was conducted.

RESULTS

The subjects under study comprised of 210 elderly individuals, out of which 120 (57.1%) were male and 90 (42.9%) were female. Majority of study participants was in age group of 60-69 years with average age of 68.8±6.7 (mean±SD) and a range of 60-92 years (Table 1).
Among the participants 60% were illiterate; 23% had primary education and remaining 17% studied up to secondary level and above. Analysis showed 94.3% of respondents were Hindu and rest was Muslim. Majority of the study subjects were married (58%) and most of them lived in joint family (82%). 60% of the study population were APL category and 40% were BPL. Among the participants 10.5% were retired, 39.5% were housewife and 40.5% were farmer (Table 2).

Out of 210 subjects 27 faced domestic injuries. Three study subjects faced injury twice in study period. So, total number of injuries was 30. Incidence rate was calculated to be 142.85 injuries per thousand persons per year. Females (14.3%) met with more domestic accidents as compared to males (11.7%) and this difference was not significant statistically.

According to mode of injury fall was maximum (70.0%) followed by burn (10.0%), cut (10.0%), blunt trauma (6.67%) and animal bite (3.33%). As per the place of occurrence of injury, maximum number of accidents (43.33%) occurred in the yard followed by 23.33% in the living room. Twenty percent accidents occurred in the kitchen whereas only 13.3% accident occurred in the bathroom. The most frequent type of injury observed in victims was laceration (33.33%) followed by fracture (26.67%), abrasion (20.0%), cut injury (10.0%) and burn (10.0%). As per time of occurrence of injury, maximum injury occurred (43.33%) at afternoon then morning (30.0%), noon (16.67%) and evening (10.0%) (Table 3).

So far as the care seeking behavior is concerned it was revealed that home remedy, care from formal health facility and care from unauthorized health care provider were utilized by 10%, 55.3% and 36.7% of respondents respectively. More than half (56.25%) attended government health facility. 50.0% reached health facility within 1 day and 75% was treated at OPD (Table 4).

Almost two-third of study subjects was free from any co-morbidity. According to the consequence of injury, impairment was found in 13 cases out of them two injured cases were suffered from permanent disability.

Proportion of domestic injury among respondents age group 60-69 years was significantly more than the people of other age groups (Table 5).

Domestic injury was significantly associated with marital status, use of hypnotic drugs and co-morbidity but was not found to be associated with gender, socio economic status and type of family (Table 6).

| Age (in years) | Male | Female | Total |
|----------------|------|--------|-------|
| 60-69          | 53 (25.2) | 55 (26.1) | 108 (51.3) |
| 70-79          | 55 (26.1) | 28 (13.3) | 83 (39.4) |
| ≥80            | 12 (5.7) | 7 (3.3) | 19 (9.0) |
| Total          | 120 (57.1) | 90 (42.9) | 210 |

| Attribute       | Frequency | % |
|-----------------|-----------|---|
| Religion        |           |   |
| Hindu           | 198       | 94.30 |
| Muslim          | 12        | 05.7 |
| Caste           |           |   |
| General         | 121       | 57.6 |
| Scheduled caste | 36        | 17.1 |
| Scheduled tribe | 23        | 11.0 |
| Other backward  | 30        | 14.3 |
| Educational status |       |   |
| Illiterate      | 126       | 60.0 |
| Primary         | 49        | 23.3 |
| Secondary       | 21        | 10.0 |
| Higher secondary| 11        | 5.2 |
| Graduate and above | 3    | 1.4 |
| Occupation      |           |   |
| Retired         | 22        | 10.5 |
| House wife      | 83        | 39.5 |
| Business-man    | 11        | 5.2 |
| Labourer        | 6         | 2.9 |
| Farmer          | 85        | 40.5 |
| Other           | 3         | 1.4 |
| Socio-economic class |     |   |
| APL             | 125       | 59.5 |
| BPL             | 85        | 40.5 |

Continued.
### Table 3: Distribution of domestic injury events according to mode, nature, place and time of injury (n=30).

| Attributes               | Number | %     |
|--------------------------|--------|-------|
| **Mode of injury**       |        |       |
| Fall                     | 21     | 70.0  |
| Burn                     | 3      | 10.0  |
| Cut                      | 3      | 10.0  |
| Blunt trauma             | 2      | 6.67  |
| Animal bite              | 1      | 3.33  |
| **Nature of injury**     |        |       |
| Abrasion and bruise      | 6      | 20.0  |
| Laceration               | 10     | 33.33 |
| Fracture                 | 8      | 26.67 |
| Cut                      | 3      | 10.0  |
| Burn                     | 3      | 10.0  |
| **Place of injury**      |        |       |
| Yard                     | 13     | 43.33 |
| Kitchen                  | 6      | 20.0  |
| Living room              | 7      | 23.33 |
| Bathroom                 | 4      | 13.33 |
| **Time of injury**       |        |       |
| Morning                  | 9      | 30.0  |
| Noon                     | 5      | 16.67 |
| Afternoon                | 13     | 43.33 |
| Evening                  | 3      | 10.0  |

### Table 4: Distribution of domestic injury events according to care seeking behaviour (n=30)

| Attributes                              | Frequency | %     |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------|-------|
| **First treatment received**            |           |       |
| Home remedy                             | 3         | 10.0  |
| Formal health facility                   | 16        | 53.3  |
| Unauthorized health care provider        | 11        | 36.7  |
| **Treatment from formal health facility**|           |       |
| Government                              | 09        | 56.2  |
| Private                                 | 07        | 43.8  |
| **Time interval (day) of care seeking**|           |       |
| 0                                       | 17        | 56.7  |
| 1-7                                     | 10        | 33.3  |
| **From formal facility**                |           |       |
| OPD                                     | 12        | 75.0  |
| Indoor                                  | 04        | 25.0  |

### Table 5: Distribution of study participants according to their age and history of domestic injury (n=210).

| Age (yrs) | H/O domestic injury | Total, N (%) | Statistics, p value | RR at 95% CI |
|-----------|---------------------|--------------|---------------------|--------------|
|           | Present, N (%)      | Absent, N (%)|                    |              |
| 60-69     | 23 (21.3)           | 85 (78.7)    | 108(100.0)          | 5.89 (1.83-18.96) |
| 70-79*    | 3 (3.6)             | 80 (96.4)    | 83(100.0)           | *            |
| ≥80       | 1 (5.3)             | 18(94.7)     | 19(100.0)           | 0.56 (Fisher exact) | 1.48(0.16-13.29) |
| Total     | 27                  | 183          | 210(100.0)          |              |

* Reference group.
Table 6: Distribution of study subjects according to history of domestic injury and few socio-demographics and co-morbidity (n=210).

| Attributes             | H/o domestic injury |        | Total N (%) | DF | P value |
|------------------------|---------------------|--------|-------------|----|---------|
|                        | Present (n1=27)     | Absent (n2=183) |              |    |         |
| Marital status         |                     |        |             |    |         |
| Married                | 21 (17.2)           | 101 (82.8) | 122 (100)   | 1  | 0.02    |
| Single                 | 6 (6.8)             | 82 (93.2) | 88 (100)    |    |         |
| Type of family         |                     |        |             |    |         |
| Joint                  | 22 (12.7)           | 151 (87.3) | 173 (100)   | 1  | 0.89    |
| Nuclear                | 5 (13.5)            | 32 (86.5) | 37 (100)    |    |         |
| Socio-economic status  |                     |        |             |    |         |
| BPL Category           | 10 (11.8)           | 75 (88.2) | 85 (100)    | 1  | 0.83    |
| APL Category           | 17 (13.6)           | 108 (86.4) | 125 (100)  |    |         |
| Consumption of CNS depressant |       |        |             |    |         |
| Consumed               | 14 (33.3)           | 28 (66.6) | 42 (100)    | 1  | 0.00    |
| Not consumed           | 13 (7.7)            | 155 (92.3) | 168 9100   |    |         |
| Presence of co-morbidity |                   |        |             |    |         |
| Yes                    | 21 (28.4)           | 53 (71.6) | 74 (100)    | 1  | 0.00    |
| No                     | 6 (4.4)             | 130 (95.6) | 135 (100)  |    |         |

DF=degree of freedom.

**DISCUSSION**

Present study revealed an overall incidence of 142.85 domestic accidents /1000 elderly population /year in the study area, which was comparable to the study in an air force community in India where incidence was estimated to be 110/1000/ per year and also in a study in Delhi where the incidence was found 111 events/1000 population at risk/year. But Thein et al observed higher incidence of 195 events/1000 population at risk/year in a study in Singapore. Lower incidence of domestic injury was found in Pakistan (45) Tanzania (32) Vietnam (76) and Sri-Lanka (82). This can be explained by the fact that in this study all injuries regardless their severities were included. In this study, females (14.3%) came across more domestic accidents as compared to males (11.7%) but the difference was not statistically robust. Bhandari et al. mentioned that female gender was found to be a significant predictor of domestic accidents. This might be because in our study females in the rural study area spent more time at home and are actively involved in household and kitchen work. It was also observed in the present study that maximum number of domestic accidents occurred in the 60-69 year age-group than other age groups and the difference was statistically significant (P 0.001). This could be explained by the fact that this age group was relatively active than other.

Fall was found to be the commonest type of domestic accidents (70.0%) followed by cut injuries and burns. This was consistent findings of Bhandari et al. Other studies carried out in India and abroad also reported falls as the commonest mode of injury. Chaurasia et al observed a higher proportion of burns and scalds in their study. In the present study, it was observed that majority 43.3% of the injuries occurred in the yard. Similar pattern has been reported by Mukhopadhyya at el. and Aggarwal et al. in their study. About 43.3% accidents occurred at afternoon in contrast to the observation made by Bhanderi et al that 46.4% of accidents occurred during the morning hours. Most frequent nature of domestic injury was laceration (33.3%) followed by fracture. But Misthi et al reported abrasion was most common type and fracture only 3.0%.

As far as treatment-seeking pattern was concerned, received first treatment from formal health facility and 56.7% reached health facility within the day of injury. Slightly higher than half (56.3%) utilized government health facility and almost three-fourth (75.0%) of them got OPD services. This result corroborated with Bhanderi et al. Impairment was observed in 48.1% cases of domestic injury cases and out of them almost 15.0% suffered from permanent disability. Similar result was found in the study conducted by Bhanderi et al. Domestic injury was significantly found to be associated with marital status, use of hypnotic drugs and co-morbidity but was not with gender, socio economic status and type of family in present study.

**CONCLUSION**

Incidence was estimated to be higher than what was found in other studies. Domestic accidents are more common in 60-69 age groups i.e., amongst the young old who were comparatively more mobile. Fall was the most common type of domestic injury. Marital status, use of CNS depressant drugs and co-morbidities were found to have positive association with injury.
Limitations

The follow-up period was long and thereby recall bias especially in geriatric population with reference to minor injuries could not be ruled out. As only one block was chosen, the findings had limited external validity for the whole Bankura district.

Recommendations

Increasing awareness of family members is to be emphasized through IEC so that each member of the family may play a proactive role to reduce the incidence and its consequences (e.g., proper designing, lighting arrangement of house, early care seeking after injury etc.). The senior citizens may also be sensitized to take precautionary measure to avoid injuries.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank the HOD, faculty members of the Department of Community Medicine, B.S. Medical College, and B.M.O.H. of Gangajalghati block and all the participants of this study.

Funding: No funding sources
Conflict of interest: None declared
Ethical approval: The study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee

REFERENCES

1. Park K. Accidents and injuries-Domestic accidents. Text Book of Preventive and Social Medicine. 22th edition. Jabalpur: Banarasidas Bhanot; 2013: 378.
2. Review of evidence on housing and health: Background document, Fourth Ministerial Conference on Environment and Health Budapest, Hungary, 23-25 June 2004, WHO-EURO.
3. Olawale OA, Owaje ET. Incidence and pattern of injuries among residents of a rural area in South-Western Nigeria: a community-based study. BMC Public Health; 2007;7:246.
4. Galal S. Working with families to reduce the risk of home accidents in children. East Mediterr Health J. 1999;5:572-82.
5. World Population Ageing 1950-2050. Available at http://www.un.org/esa/population/publications/worldageing19502050/pdf/80/chapterii. Accessed on 22nd September 2019.
6. National Commission on Popln - Govt of India. Available from http://populationcommission.nic.in/facts1.htm. Accessed on 22nd September 2019.
7. Ramesh Masthi NR, Kishore SG, Gangaboriah. Prevalence of domestic injury in the rural field practice area of a medical college in Bangalore, Karnataka. Indian J Public Health. 2012;56:235-75.
8. Lwanga SK, Lemeshow S. Sample size determination in health study. 1st ed. Geneva: World Health Organization; 1991: 17.
9. Mukhopadhyya J. A study of domestic accidents in an air force community. Med J Armed Forces India. 1998;54(3):219-21.
10. Verma PK, Tewari KN. Injury Prevention and Control, An epidemiological study of injuries in the area of Municipal Corporation of Delhi. World Health Organization, 2003. Available at http://www.searo.who.int/LinkFiles/whd04_Documents_Accidents-7.pdf. Accessed on 22nd September 2019.
11. Thein MM, Lee BW, Bun PY. Childhood injuries in Singapore: A community nationwide study. Singapore Med J. 2005;46:116-21.
12. Zafar F, Hadden WC, Junaid AR. Incidence, patterns and severity of reported unintentional injuries in Pakistan for persons five years and older: results of the National Health Survey of Pakistan 1990–94. BMC Public Health. 2007;7:152.
13. Moshiro C, Ivar H, Anne NA, Philip S, Yusuf H, Gunnar K. Injury morbidity in an urban and a rural area in Tanzania: an epidemiological survey. BMC Public Health. 2005;5:11.
14. Hang HM, Ekman R, Bach TT, Byass P, Svanson L. Community-based assessment of unintentional injuries: a pilot study in rural Vietnam. Scand J Public Health. 2003;31:38-44.
15. Lamawansa MD, Piyathilake A. Incidence of physical injuries in a rural community in Sri Lanka: Results of the first community survey in Sri Lanka. Indian J Community Med. 2008;33:238-42.
16. Bhanderi DJ, Choudhary S. A study of occurrence of domestic accidents in semi-urban community. Indian J Community Med. 2008;33:104-6.
17. Omoniyi AO, Eme TO. Incidence and pattern of injuries among residents of a rural area in South-Western Nigeria: a community-based study. BMC Public Health 2007;7:246.
18. Aggarwal R, Singh G, Aditya K. Pattern of Domestic Injuries in a Rural Area of India. Internet J Health. 2009;11(2):1-6.
19. Chaurasia R, Shukul M. Home - is it the safest place? J Soc Sci. 2006;12:171-6.
20. Ramesh Masthi NR, Kishore SG, Gangaboriah. Prevalence of domestic accidents in the rural field practice area of a medical college in Bangalore, Karnataka. Indian J Public Health. 2012;56(3):235-7.

Cite this article as: De A, Padmey I, Halder D, Gazi E, Sarkar AP, Basu SS. Domestic injuries among geriatric population: a study in a rural community of Bankura district. Int J Community Med Public Health 2019;6:5100-5.