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Abstract

Agricultural entrepreneurship especially among youth is essential for employment creation. Entrepreneurial attitude is identified as a key driver to agricultural entrepreneurship and it seems to be associated with demographic factors. Research results on demographic factors still require further research which necessarily add more insight to the area of study. Hence the present study is aimed at evaluating the entrepreneurial attitude of youth in Lesotho and demographic factors that could have an influence on such attitude. A quota convenience sampling technique was used to select a sample from the National University of Lesotho final year undergraduates which resulted in attaining 78.8 percent response rate. A structured questionnaire was used for data collection while descriptive statistics was used for data analysis. The results demonstrated that the majority of youth exhibited a negative attitude towards agricultural entrepreneurship thereby signifying the need for interventions that would ignite positive attitude to motivate agricultural entrepreneurial activity in the country. All demographic factors with the exception of one were found to be significant predictors of entrepreneurial attitude inherently useful in segmenting youth market if entrepreneurial interventions are implemented.
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1. Introduction

The economic output in Lesotho has been strongly supported by the tertiary sector followed by secondary sector over the years as reflected in Table 1. On the other hand, the primary sector especially agriculture sector has not been performing well despite a significant growth of 17.1 in 2013 as shown in Table 1.
Table 1: Domestic Economic Outlook

|                          | Actuals | 2013 | 2014* | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |
|--------------------------|---------|------|-------|------|------|------|
| Economic growth          | 5.0     | 4.6  | 3.8   | 3.3  | 3.2  | 5.7  |
| Primary sector           | 0.5     | 6.3  | 2.9   | 0.6  | 8.8  | 10.4 |
| Agriculture and related  | -13.8   | 17.1 | 0.7   | 1.8  | 2.2  | 2.6  |
| Mining and quarrying     | 22.6    | -5.3 | 5.8   | -1.1 | 17.5 | 19.2 |
| Secondary sector         | 4.7     | 1.6  | 0.8   | 0.8  | -3.4 | 5.0  |
| Textile and clothing     | -5.6    | -13.9| 6.9   | -6.4 | -6.3 | -6.2 |
| Building and construction| 24.6    | 21.5 | 10.7  | 3.4  | -8.8 | 13.2 |
| Tertiary/Services sector | 5.9     | 5.4  | 5.3   | 5.0  | 4.6  | 4.8  |
| Wholesale and retail     | 12.5    | 10.2 | 8.2   | 10.4 | 6.7  | 7.5  |
| Transport and communication| 5.5   | 5.6  | 6.3   | 8.4  | 8.6  | 8.7  |
| Financial services       | 7.1     | 16.1 | 6.3   | 9.0  | 7.6  | 7.5  |
| Real estate and Business | 4.4     | 2.1  | 2.9   | 2.1  | 3.1  | 3.1  |

*Estimate  
Source: Central Bank. (2015)

The contribution of Agriculture, Forestry and Fishing (AFF) to the Gross Domestic Product (GDP) gradually continues to decline since 1995 from 12 percent to 7 percent of GDP in the period 2010-2014 (Table 2).

Table 2: Agriculture, Forestry and Fishing (AFF), GDP, 5-Year averages, 1995-2014

| Industry                                         | 1995-1999 | 2000-2004 | 2005-2009 | 2010-2014 |
|--------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Agriculture, forestry and fishing (% of total GDP)| 775 (12)  | 785 (10)  | 683 (8)   | 808 (7)   |
| Growing of crops; horticulture (% of AFF GDP)     | 303 (39)  | 285 (36)  | 176 (26)  | 207 (26)  |
| Farming of animals (% of AFF GDP)                 | 352 (45)  | 390 (50)  | 398 (58)  | 423 (52)  |
| Agricultural service activities (% of AFF GDP)    | 68 (9)    | 54 (7)    | 41 (6)    | 44 (5)    |
| Forestry (% of AFF GDP)                           | 52 (7)    | 55 (7)    | 68 (10)   | 133 (16)  |
| GDP at Purchaser’s prices                         | 6,500     | 7,544     | 8,982     | 11,274    |

Source: United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). (2017).

Although agriculture sector is not performing well it remains an important livelihood activity to the significant proportion of Lesotho population especially in the rural areas (UNDP, 2017). According to Sullivan (2017) agriculture sector is twice as effective in reducing poverty as does any other sector because as productivity increases in the sector, food prices will correspondingly reduce and the disadvantage people will then benefit. In agreement, Sichone and Kwenye (2018) note that agriculture plays a major role in both the economic growth and development of most countries, and therefore interest has to be developed around the sector especially among the youth. According to the aforementioned authors the young population is the ideal catalysts for agriculture development because of their resilience, perseverance, willingness to adapt to new ideas, willingness to adapt to new technologies which are the essential attributes necessary to transform agriculture sector.

Problem statement

Lesotho has a high unemployment rate averaged at 25.3 percent but youth category defined as persons aged between 15 and 35 years is the hardest hit by the scourge where unemployment ranges between 30 and 33 percent (UNDP, 2012; Shale, 2013; UNDP, 2017). According to Ekphob and Edet (2011) university graduates are not immune from the problem of unemployment and this is all the more so because the period between graduation and employment dates is increasingly becoming longer. According to Ridha, Wahyu, B and Wahyu, B.P (2017) on a yearly basis, colleges inject graduates into the labor market and due to lack of employment opportunities the very positive contribution of colleges is translated into an increase in unemployment rate. The challenge of unemployment can be tackled by growing the economy and generating employment. Entrepreneurship is considered a suitable vehicle for both economic and job growth (Aaijaz& Ibrahim, 2013; Azila-Gbettor& Harrison, 2013; Radipere, 2012; Nieman&Nieuwenhuizen, 2009;
Agricultural entrepreneurship is regarded beneficial to the youth because it can be a means of employment creation that can also benefit a country as a whole by improving food supply, overcome poverty and reduce the country’s reliance on food imports and ensure food security (Nor, Masdek & Maidin, 2015; Ekpoh & Edet, 2011; Gwary et al, 2011). However, agriculture sector is still considered secondary to other sectors for self-employment, especially among the youth and persons with tertiary education, as it is regarded a rough job, less rewarding and with few future prospects (Abdullah, A.A. & Sulaiman, 2013).

Over and above that, agriculture sector in some countries including Lesotho are faced with numerous challenges, such as, unfavourable weather conditions and limited access to finance, to mention but a few, that weigh heavily on production in the sector (Central Bank of Lesotho, 2015). Furthermore, arable land in Lesotho is only 10 percent as the country is mostly mountainous and the arable land gradually continues to decline due to the increasing tendency of using arable land for non-agricultural purpose (UNDP, 2017; Damane & Sekantsi, 2018, Central Bank of Lesotho, 2015). Generally, also there is a noticeable continual decline of youth interest in agricultural sector where youngsters, even those who are agriculture specialists, prefer jobs in other sectors (Abdullah, A.A. & Sulaiman, 2013; Ridha, R.N. et al, 2017). A number of factors that influence youth interest to become agriculture entrepreneurs have been identified. According to Oyewumi and Olufemi (2013) attitude is assumed to be a better explanatory factor for a career choice, thus, a positive entrepreneurial attitude towards agriculture is a reliable predictor that one is likely to choose to be an agriculture entrepreneur.

Baliyan, S.P and Baliyan, P.S (2018) indicate that the most important step to identify hindrances to entrepreneurial interest among youth is to understand the factors influencing attitude towards entrepreneurship. According to the authors understanding factors influencing attitude will uncover barriers to entrepreneurship and self-employment. As Lesotho is faced with the declining agriculture entrepreneurship interest among youth, it is important to assess their attitude and factors influencing such attitude. Demographic factors (e.g. gender, age, income, marital status, work experience, locality, family background and ethnicity) have been studied to determine whether they have an impact on attitude (Nishantha, 2009; D’Silva et al, 2010; Baliyan, S.P & Baliyan, P.S., 2018; Tamizharasi & Panchanatham, 2010). The findings regarding the influence of demographic factors to attitude give varying results, hence the study is aimed at evaluating the entrepreneurial attitude of youth in Lesotho and factors that have an influence on such attitude. The study will therefore answer the following research questions:

- What is the attitude of Lesotho’s youth towards agriculture entrepreneurship?
- What is the association of the selected demographic factors to the attitude of Lesotho’s youth towards agriculture entrepreneurship?

2. Literature review

Entrepreneurship has become one of the main alternatives for students after they graduate (Ekpoh&Edet, as cited in Abebe, 2015). However, there is no consensus on the potential of agriculture entrepreneurship among youth. Aman et al (2017) are of the view that agriculture sector has the prospects to be the most dominant sector of employment for the youth for some decades to come. Nor, et al, (2015) are of the view that agricultural entrepreneurship has the potential to be developed among youth. On the other hand, Amadi (2012) indicates that the youth have negative perceptions about agriculture and would rather migrate to urban cities for white color jobs that are regarded less laborious and hygienic and opt to leave agriculture in the hands of the old illiterate parents. Even for the youth that engage in agriculture sector, they consider it as a temporary solution for unemployment problem while they pursue other better alternatives (Abdullah, A.A.&Sulaiman, 2013).

Given that it is not easy to attract youth to become agriculture entrepreneurs it is important to determine factors that influence youth to opt for that career path. A number of factors were identified as shown in Table 3.
Table 3: Factors Influencing Inclination towards Agriculture Entrepreneurship

| #  | Factor                          | Authors                                                                 |
|----|--------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1  | Attitude                        | Sa’adiah, Mahshar, Sulai, Rosli & Hamzah (2019); Abdullah, F.A.& Samah (2014); Tshikovhi & Shambare (2015); Uli, D’Silva, Shaffril & Samah (2010); Abdullah, A.A. & Sulaiman (2013) |
| 2  | Subjective norms                | Tshikovhi & Shambare (2015); Abdullah, F.A. & Samah (2014); Ridha, R.N.,Burhanuddin & Wahyu (2017) |
| 3  | Behavioural control             | Tshikovhi & Shambare (2015)                                          |
| 4  | Entrepreneurial knowledge       | Tshikovhi & Shambare (2015); Uli et al (2010); Abdullah, A.A. & Sulaiman (2013) |
| 5  | Support                         | Uli et al (2010);                                                    |
| 6  | Belief                          | Uli et al (2010);                                                    |
| 7  | Social valuation                | Abdullah, F.A. & Samah (2014)                                       |
| 8  | Acceptance                      | Abdullah, A.A. & Sulaiman (2013)                                     |
| 9  | Personality traits (risk taking and innovativeness) | Aman, Rahim, Kushairi & Fansuri (2017) |

Source: Authors compilation

All authors agree on personal attitude as a factor that influences inclination or interest towards agriculture entrepreneurship. According to Oyewumi and Olufemi (2018) and Abdullah, A.A. and Sulaiman’s (2013) the interest of youth to become agriculture entrepreneurs is based predominantly on their attitude and voluntary acceptance without coercion from any party. Attitude refers to an individual’s degree of like or dislike for something (D’Silva, et al, 2010). According to Uli, et al (2010), a positive attitude towards an activity results in an individual’s willingness to engage in such an activity.

In order to influence positive entrepreneurial attitudes, it is important to understand factors that contribute to the development of the attitudes (Baliyan, S.P & Baliyan, P.S. 2018). Socio-economicdemographic and personality traits have been found to be the predictors of entrepreneurial attitude. Studies, however, provide varying results as shown in Table 4.

Table 4: Factors that Influence Entrepreneurial Attitude

| #  | Authors                  | Factors studied                                                                 | Factors confirmed to be a predictor of attitude                                                        |
|----|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1  | Baliyan, S.P & Baliyan, P.S. (2018) | gender, program of study, year of study, mother’s level of education, student career ambition after completion of degree program | program of study, year of study, mothers level of education, student career ambition after completion of degree program |
|    |                          | family income, study career ambition after completion of degree program         |                                                                                                        |
|    |                          | parents career ambition after completion of degree program                      |                                                                                                        |
| 2  | D’Silva et al (2010)     | gender, locality, agriculture background, information in agriculture, type of courses taken, the university where one is studying, age | information, type of courses taken, the university where one is studying                                |
|    |                          | monthly expenditure                                                             |                                                                                                        |
| 3  | Tamizharasi & Panchanatham (2010) | age, income, marital status                                                     | age, income                                                                                           |
| 4 | Nor et al (2015) | type of ownership of the enterprise | Nor et al (2015) | type of ownership of the enterprise |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 4 | gender | gender | locality | father occupation (self-employment significant) |
| 4 | locality | agriculture background | courses studied (particularly entrepreneurship) | courses studied (in particular having studied entrepreneurship course) |
| 4 | marital status | marital status (single individuals found to have high attitude towards entrepreneurship) | knowledge in the agriculture sector | knowledge in the agriculture sector |
| 4 | courses studied | the role of government in promoting the agriculture sector | facilities provided by government | the role of government in promoting the agriculture sector |
| 4 | (particularly entrepreneurship) | risks in agricultural enterprises | the role of government in promoting the agriculture sector | risks in agricultural enterprises |

Source: Authors compilation

Literature as shown in Table 4 reflects the inconsistencies with regards to demographic variables that influence entrepreneurial attitude. Different studies have focused on different variables and as such, providing varying results and even for common variables the studies have come up with different results. For instance, age was found to be significant in Tamizharasi and Panchanatham (2010)’s study while it was insignificant in D’Silva et al (2010). Based on the literature review, the following research hypotheses were formulated for the following demographic variables, gender, agriculture background, location, age and program of study:

H1o: The attitude of Lesotho’s youth towards agriculture entrepreneurship is not associated to gender  
H1a: The attitude of youth towards agriculture entrepreneurship is associated to gender  
H2o: The attitude of Lesotho’s youth towards agriculture entrepreneurship is not associated to having agriculture background  
H2a: The attitude of youth towards agriculture entrepreneurship is associated to having agriculture background  
H3o: The attitude of Lesotho’s youth towards agriculture entrepreneurship is not associated to the locality one grew at  
H3a: The attitude of youth towards agriculture entrepreneurship is associated to the locality one grew at  
H4o: The attitude of Lesotho’s youth towards agriculture entrepreneurship is not associated to age  
H4a: The attitude of youth towards agriculture entrepreneurship is associated to age  
H5o: The attitude of Lesotho’s youth towards agriculture entrepreneurship is not associated to program of study  
H5a: The attitude of youth towards agriculture entrepreneurship is associated to program of study

3. Methodology

The paper adopted a descriptive research design following a quantitative approach. It aimed to determine the attitude of youth towards agriculture entrepreneurship and the demographic factors that influence that level of attitude. The study setting of the National University of Lesotho (NUL) was chosen because universities are regarded as places where entrepreneurial cultures and aspirations are shaped (Baliyan, S.P &Baliyan, P.S 2018). A non-probability quota sampling was adopted that set a proportion for the final year registered students in each faculty to determine the target sample. There were 1427 final year registered students as per the records of the university. Sekaran and Bougie’s (2013) table of sample size was used and a sample of 306 for the population size of 1427 was adopted. There were 241 respondents that provided useable responses translating into 78.8 percent response rate.

Liįń’s (2004) questionnaire was adopted and modified to fit the agriculture context. The instrument was divided into two sections where the first sections focused on demographic questions and the second section was centred on questions meant to reveal the attitude of the respondents towards agriculture career. The attitude scale was measured on a five-point likert scale.
4. Findings and Discussion

4.1. Demographic analysis

Table 5 reflects the demographic data of the respondents that were studied. It is shown in the results that the female respondents are slightly over half of the respondents (58.7 per cent) with the reminder of 41.1 percent attributable to male respondents. The results portray the situation at higher institutions in Lesotho as there are more females enrolled than males. According to Council on Higher Education (CHE) (2017), in the period 2014-2015, 59.8 per cent of students enrolled in higher institutions in Lesotho were females and 40.2 per cent were males. In the same period gender proportions at the NUL was 62.9 per cent females and males was 37.1 per cent. The majority of students are in the category of 18-25 years of age (64.7 per cent). The results also portray the status at the university where CHE (2017) shows that the largest category at the NUL in 2014/2015 was between 20 and 24 years.

Regarding the faculties, it is noted that the majority of students are from the Faculty of Social Sciences (39.4 per cent). The study adopted quota sampling as a result the bigger the faculty the larger was its proportion to the sample. The smallest faculties are Health and Law in that order unfortunately the researcher attained zero response from the Faculty of Health. The large percentage of respondents (61.0 per cent) indicated that they had agriculture background.

According to Rocchi and Del Sette (2016) agriculture is the way of life for the majority of Basotho where around 70 per cent of the population relies on farming of which 90 percent are subsistence farmers. It explains why most students have agriculture background as possibly they were exposed to farming from their family setting. The large percentage of respondents (54.4 per cent) is born in the rural areas. However, the number of respondents that grew up in the rural areas is 41.5 per cent and this is no surprise as commonly countries experience mass migration of youth to urban centres (Amadi, 2012). The question on parent’s occupation was not well responded to as 29.9 percent was left blank, but the majority of the responses showed that parents are mostly self-employed and for parents of muscular gender that will duly contribute towards a positive influence towards entrepreneurship attitude.

Table 5: Demographic Results of Respondents

| Variables                      | Frequency | Percentage |
|-------------------------------|-----------|------------|
| Gender                        |           |            |
| Male                          | 99        | 41.1       |
| Female                        | 142       | 58.9       |
| Age                           |           |            |
| 18-25                         | 156       | 64.7       |
| 26-30                         | 64        | 26.6       |
| 31-35                         | 20        | 8.3        |
| 36 and above                  | 1         | .4         |
| Faculty                       |           |            |
| Social Sciences               | 95        | 39.4       |
| Education                     | 20        | 8.3        |
| Agriculture                   | 29        | 12.0       |
| Humanities                    | 55        | 22.8       |
| Science and Technology        | 30        | 12.4       |
| Law                           | 12        | 5.0        |
| Health Sciences               | -         | -          |
| Agriculture Background        |           |            |
| Have                          | 147       | 61.0       |
| Do not have                   | 93        | 38.1       |
| Missing                       | 1         | .4         |
| Region one is born in         |           |            |
| Rural                         | 131       | 54.4       |
| Urban                         | 106       | 43.9       |
| Missing                       | 4         | 1.7        |
| Region one mostly grew in     |           |            |
| Rural                         | 100       | 41.5       |
| Urban                         | 136       | 56.4       |
| Missing                       | 5         | 2.1        |
Entrepreneurial attitude was measured using three items as shown in Table 6. The results indicate that 35.3 per cent of the respondents agreed that their prospects of being agriculture entrepreneurs against being employees is high, while 30 per cent of the respondents agreed that agriculture entrepreneurship is their preferred career option immediately after completing their studies. The results further reveal that 23 per cent of the respondents agreed that agriculture is their preferred career of all times (Table 6). Considering the responses, it is obvious that the majority of the respondents seem not to agree with these three items. The pooled value of the mean and standard deviations for entrepreneurial attitude indicate that the respondents had negative attitude (mean=2.84, SD=1.157) towards agriculture entrepreneurship. This result shows that there is dire need for a lot of effort focused on youth to change the negative entrepreneurial attitude.

### Table 6: Distribution of the Perceptions of Respondents on Entrepreneurial attitude

| Items                  | N     | Strongly disagree | Disagree | Moderate | Agree | Strongly agree | Mean | SD    |
|------------------------|-------|-------------------|----------|----------|-------|----------------|------|-------|
| Prospects              | 238   | 11.3              | 14.7     | 38.7     | 14.3  | 21.0           | 3.19 | 1.247 |
| Career after studies   | 240   | 22.5              | 23.3     | 24.2     | 13.3  | 16.7           | 2.78 | 1.376 |
| Career all times       | 239   | 26.4              | 29.3     | 20.5     | 10.0  | 13.8           | 2.56 | 1.346 |

Source: Authors compilation

The t-test was conducted to make comparisons between entrepreneurial attitude and selected demographic variables, namely, gender, agriculture background, locality of respondents, age and program of study. The results in Table 7 indicated a statistically significant difference between males and females where females show a lower attitude (mean=2.54, SD=1.03) compared with males (mean=3.27, SD=1.20). Therefore, null hypothesis ($H_{0}$) is rejected. Similarly, the results show a statistically significant difference between respondents with agricultural background and those without agricultural background on their entrepreneurial attitude. The respondents with agricultural background had higher attitude (mean=3.12, SD=1.12) compared to those with no agricultural background (mean=2.40, SD=0.96). Therefore, null hypothesis ($H_{0}$) is rejected. The findings in this study are in line with other studies such as Nishantha (2009) and Nor et al (2015) who found significant results with respect to gender. Nor et al (2015) also found a relationship between entrepreneurial attitude and agriculture background.

On the other hand, the mean score of entrepreneurial attitude for respondents who grew up in the rural area (mean=3.13, SD=1.21) was found to be significantly different from the mean score of the respondent who grew up in the urban area (mean=2.61, SD=1.06) ($t$=2.345, p<0.05). This finding is not in line with findings in D’Silva (2015), and Nor et al (2015) that found locality to be insignificant to entrepreneurial attitude. Given the t-test results for locality null hypotheses ($H_{3a}$) is rejected.

### Table 7: Comparison of selected demographic variables to entrepreneurial attitude

| Factors     | Mean | SD  | t-value | p-value |
|-------------|------|-----|---------|---------|
| Attitude    |      |     |         |         |
| Male        | 3.27 | 1.20| 4.917   | 0.000*  |
| Female      | 2.54 | 1.03|         |         |
| Attitude    |      |     |         |         |
| Have background | 3.12 | 1.12| 5.163   | 0.000*  |
| No background | 2.40 | 0.96|         |         |
| Attitude    |      |     |         |         |
| Rural       | 3.13 | 1.21| 2.345   | 0.020*  |
| Urban       | 2.61 | 1.06|         |         |

Note: * significant at 0.05.

Source: Authors compilation
The results in Table 8 show that the entrepreneurial attitude of respondents aged 36+ had the highest mean score (4.33) followed by those aged 31-35 (3.26) while those aged 18-25 came last with mean score of 2.73. A statistically insignificant differences between age and attitude (F=2.177, p<0.05) is observed (Table 9). These results indicate that age does not have a bearing on entrepreneurial attitude of respondents, therefore null hypotheses ($H_{0a}$) is not rejected. Tamizharasi and Panchanatham (2010) found age to be a significant factor towards entrepreneurial attitude while D'Silva et al (2010) and Nishantha (2009) found the same results where age is insignificant to entrepreneurial attitude.

### Table 8: Means comparing ages of the respondents and entrepreneurial attitude

| Age      | N   | Mean | SD  |
|----------|-----|------|-----|
| 18-25    | 156 | 2.73 | 1.11|
| 26-30    | 63  | 2.96 | 1.19|
| 31-35    | 20  | 3.26 | 1.28|
| 36+      | 1   | 4.33 | -   |

Source: Authors compilation

### Table 9: Analysis of Variance comparing ages of the respondents and entrepreneurial attitude

| Source            | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F    | P-value |
|-------------------|----------------|----|-------------|------|---------|
| Between Groups    | 8.617          | 3  | 2.872       | 2.177| 0.091   |
| Within Groups     | 311.341        | 236| 1.319       |      |         |
| Total             | 319.958        | 239|             |      |         |

Source: Authors compilation

Finally, the results in Table 10 show the mean scores of the respondents’ entrepreneurial attitude in the different faculties. The mean score of entrepreneurial attitude of respondents for the Faculty of Agriculture is the highest (4.39) followed by Education (3.13) while Social Sciences came last with 2.38.

### Table 10: Means and Standard Deviations comparing entrepreneurial attitude for six Faculties.

| Faculty                  | N   | Mean | SD  |
|--------------------------|-----|------|-----|
| Social Sciences          | 95  | 2.38 | 0.98|
| Education                | 20  | 3.13 | 1.22|
| Agriculture              | 29  | 4.39 | 0.82|
| Humanities               | 55  | 2.87 | 1.01|
| Science and Technology   | 29  | 2.50 | 0.97|
| Law                      | 12  | 2.84 | 0.25|

Source: Authors compilation

A statistically significant difference was found among the six faculties in terms of entrepreneurial attitude (F=18.990, p<0.05) (Table 11). These results indicate that students from different faculties differed in terms of attitude towards agriculture entrepreneurship.

### Table 11: Analysis of variance comparing six faculties in terms of entrepreneurial attitude

| Source            | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F    | P-value |
|-------------------|----------------|----|-------------|------|---------|
| Between Groups    | 92.356         | 5  | 14.741      | 18.990| 0.000   |
| Within Groups     | 227.602        | 234| 0.973       |      |         |
| Total             | 319.958        | 239|             |      |         |

Source: Authors compilation

Having found statistically significant evidence that the mean scores of the respondents differ in the six faculties in terms of attitude towards agriculture entrepreneurship, Tukey HSD test was conducted to explore the differences among the six faculties. The results indicate that the significant statistical difference exist between the mean scores of
students from the faculty of agriculture and the faculties of Law, Social Sciences, Science and Technology, Education and Humanities (Table 12). Baliyan, S.P and Baliyan, P.S (2018) in their study found a relationship between entrepreneurial attitude and program of study meaning that the results of this study are consistent with some previous studies.

Table 12: Comparisons of mean scores for Six Faculties in terms of entrepreneurial attitude

| Faculty                      | N  | Subset for Alpha = 0.05 |
|------------------------------|----|------------------------|
|                              |    | 1                      | 2                      |
| **Attitude**                 |    |                        |                        |
| Social Sciences              | 95 | 2.38                   |                        |
| Law                          | 12 | 2.50                   |                        |
| Science and Technology       | 29 | 2.70                   |                        |
| Humanities                   | 55 | 2.87                   |                        |
| Education                    | 20 | 3.13                   |                        |
| Agriculture                  | 29 | 4.38                   |                        |
| **P-value**                  |    | 0.071                  | 1.00                   |

Source: Authors compilation

The findings show that majority of respondents have a negative entrepreneurial attitude towards agriculture entrepreneurship. The negative entrepreneurial attitude towards agriculture entrepreneurship exhibited by youth in Lesotho will influence entrepreneurial intentions negatively. Consequently, there will be fewer chances of agriculture entrepreneurs emerging among youth in Lesotho unless some measures are put in place to influence their attitude. On the other hand, the study has provided factors that contribute to the development of entrepreneurial attitude and this will be useful to policy makers as they would be able to use the variables for segmenting the youth market in Lesotho when they plan entrepreneurial interventions meant to influence entrepreneurial attitude.

5. Conclusion

The study revealed that entrepreneurial attitude significantly differs in accordance with gender, agriculture background, program studied, and locality, but not for age. Additionally, the study shows that Lesotho youth in general have a negative entrepreneurial attitude towards agriculture entrepreneurship. The negative attitude of Lesotho youth will affect the likelihood of youth starting own business in the sector. It is therefore recommended that programs that can ignite positive attitude among youth be put in place in order to motivate entrepreneurial activity in the country. The sector can also be promoted to encourage participation of youth and assistance be provided for those who opt to enter into the sector.
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