High-Performance A-Site Deficient Perovskite Electrocatalyst for Rechargeable Zn–Air Battery
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Abstract: Zinc–air batteries are one of the most excellent of the next generation energy devices. However, their application is greatly hampered by the slow kinetics of oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) and oxygen evolution reaction (OER) of air electrode. It is of great importance to develop good oxygen electrocatalysts with long durability as well as low cost. Here, A-site deficient (SmSr)0.95Co0.9Pt0.1O3 perovskites have been studied as potential OER electrocatalysts prepared by EDTA–citrate acid complexing method. OER electrocatalytic performance of (SmSr)0.95Co0.9Pt0.1O3 was also evaluated. (SmSr)0.95Co0.9Pt0.1O3 electrocatalysts exhibited good OER activities in 0.1 M KOH with onset potential and Tafel slope of 1.50 V and 87 mV dec−1, similar to that of Ba0.5Sr0.5Co0.8Fe0.2O3 (BSCF-5582). Assembled rechargeable Zn–air batteries exhibited good discharge potential and charge potential with high stability, respectively. Overall, all results illustrated that (SmSr)0.95Co0.9Pt0.1O3 is an excellent OER electrocatalyst for zinc–air batteries. Additionally, this work opens a good way to synthesize highly efficient electrocatalysts from A-site deficient perovskites.
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1. Introduction

Rechargeable zinc–air battery has been paid much more attention due to its excellent energy density, safety, and economic costs [1–4]. OER is restricted due to slow kinetics as well as high overpotential [5]. Until now, IrO2 and RuO2 have been regarded as efficient commercial OER electrocatalysts. However, the high cost and scarcity greatly restricted the wide commercialization of rechargeable zinc–air batteries [6]. Hence, it is urgent to develop non-platinum substances to replace RuO2 and IrO2 electrocatalysts. Among what has been mentioned above, perovskite electrocatalysts have been considered practicable electrocatalysts because of low cost, high electronic conductivity, and electrocatalytic activity [7–12].

Perovskite oxides (ABO3) are able to show good OER activities because of increasing ionic, electronic conductivities and flexible compositional diversification properties. Suntivich et al. [13] studied ABO3 electrocatalysts with OER activity and concluded that the increasing OER activity was due to, e.g., orbital of first-row transition metal. Ba0.5Sr0.5Co0.8Fe0.2O3 (BSCF-5582) perovskites [13] have been reported to be highly active catalysts, which could be comparable to IrO2, however, BSCF-5582 perovskite structure could easily become amorphous after a long-time test. Therefore, a lot of perovskite oxides have been studied as potential OER electrocatalysts, such as SrNb0.1Co0.9Fe0.2O3 [14], SrCo0.9Ti0.1O3-δ [15], BaCo0.94Fe3Sn0.1O3-δ [16], La0.3(Ba0.5Sr0.5)0.7Co0.8Fe0.2O3 [17], and
SrCo$_{0.95}$P$_{0.05}$O$_3$ (SCP) [18]. Great efforts could be made to develop perovskite OER catalysts with a good activity as well as stability.

There have been many reports regarding tuning A-site deficient perovskites by yielding positive effects on the electrocatalytic activity toward OER [19–28]. Zhu YL et al. [19] reported that La$_{0.95}$FeO$_3$-delta (L$_{0.95}$F) demonstrated the highest OER activity due to surface oxygen vacancies, highlighting the importance of cation deficiency in perovskites by enhancing OER activity. Moreover, Wu XY et al. [20] reported A-site deficient BSCF nanofibers (300 nm diameter) prepared by electrospinning and found OER potential of optimized BSCF, which was stable after long-time tests. It was also reported that it had a great potential in the field of aqueous and flexible zinc–air batteries. However, the universality of deficient effects and mechanisms on ABO$_3$ perovskite were ambiguous and needed to be clarified.

In this paper, A-site deficient (SmSr)$_{0.95}$Co$_{0.9}$Pt$_{0.1}$O$_3$ perovskites with OER performance under alkaline condition in the application of rechargeable zinc–air batteries were studied in detail. (SmSr)$_{0.95}$Co$_{0.9}$Pt$_{0.1}$O$_3$ delivered good OER activity and stability. Assembled initial Zn–air battery by (SmSr)$_{0.95}$Co$_{0.9}$Pt$_{0.1}$O$_3$ exhibited good cycling stability. This work sheds light on a facile method to prepare (SmSr)$_{0.95}$Co$_{0.9}$Pt$_{0.1}$O$_3$ perovskite electrocatalyst and enhance its potential application of rechargeable zinc–air battery.

2. Results and Discussions

2.1. Phase and Microstructure Characterization

Figure 1 illustrates the XRD patterns of (SmSr)$_{0.95}$Co$_{0.9}$Pt$_{0.1}$O$_3$ sample sintered at 850 °C for 3 h. The sample showed perovskite structure, which was similar to that of published results regarding Sm$_{0.5}$Sr$_{0.5}$CoO$_3$. The inverted triangles in the XRD pattern referred to different crystal planes of perovskite structure [29]. ICP-OES test results can indicate that Co was 25.4290 wt%, Pt was 7.2015 wt%, Sm was 22.2669 wt%, Sr was 19.7917 wt%, and O was 25.3109 wt%. Figure 2a shows SEM images of the catalyst for (SmSr)$_{0.95}$Co$_{0.9}$Pt$_{0.1}$O$_3$ sample. Nanoparticles were columnar and the average size was around 150–250 nm. TEM images for (SmSr)$_{0.95}$Co$_{0.9}$Pt$_{0.1}$O$_3$ catalysts are also shown in Figure 2b. The large size was probably due to the sintering and aggregation during heat treatment. As shown in the HADDF images (Figure 3), homogeneity features were found in (SmSr)$_{0.95}$Co$_{0.9}$Pt$_{0.1}$O$_3$, which suggested the distributing of particle sizes in TEM. Sm, Sr, Co, Pt, and O elements were overlapped well and distributed homogeneously within the structure according to the element mapping result. Figure 2c,d demonstrate that the SAED pattern of (SmSr)$_{0.95}$Co$_{0.9}$Pt$_{0.1}$O$_3$ gave Debye–Scherrer rings, confirming the crystalline nature. The d-spacing of 0.297 nm was indexed to the (110) plane of ABO$_3$ perovskites, as shown in Figure 2c. The selected-area electron diffraction (SAED) pattern of nanoparticles is shown in Figure 2d, imparting a crystalline nature.

![Figure 1](image-url)  
**Figure 1.** XRD patterns of as-prepared (SmSr)$_{0.95}$Co$_{0.9}$Pt$_{0.1}$O$_3$. 

The electrocatalytic behavior of perovskites was strongly relied on the valence state of transition metal as well as O anion ordering on catalysts’ surface [30–33]. Figure 4a shows the survey scan of the catalysts for (SmSr)₀.₉₅Co₀.₉Pt₀.₁O₃ electrocatalysts, indicating the presence of Sm, Sr, Co, and O elements. Moreover, some unknown elements? were also detected, which might be due to the contamination of tests. Deconvoluted Co 2p and Pt 4f, O1s spectra of the electrocatalyst are shown in Figure 4b,c. Upon deconvolution, Co2p₃/₂ (about 780.0 eV) and Co2p₁/₂ (about 795.2 eV) peaks corresponding to Co³⁺ were observed. Besides, O 1s spectra (Figure 4c) was deconvoluted into two peaks [31]. The first one was a highly oxidative oxygen species (530.4 eV for O₂⁰⁻/O⁻) and the second one was hydroxyl group or the surface-adsorbed oxygen (531.4 eV for OH⁻ or O₂) [34]. From what has been mentioned in the paper, good OER activity in an alkaline solution might be attributed to O₂⁰⁻/O⁻ on the surface of catalysts [17,35].
The electrocatalytic behavior of perovskites was strongly relied on the valence state of transition metal as well as O anion ordering on catalysts’ surface [30–33]. Figure 4a shows the survey scan of the catalysts for (SmSr)0.95Co0.9Pt0.1O3 electrocatalysts, indicating the presence of Sm, Sr, Co, and O elements. Moreover, some unknown elements were also detected, which might be due to the contamination of tests. Deconvoluted Co 2p and Pt 4f, O1s spectra of the electrocatalyst are shown in Figure 4b,c. Upon deconvolution, Co2p3/2 (about 780.0 eV) and Co2p 1/2 (about 795.2 eV) peaks corresponding to Co 3+ were observed. Besides, O 1s spectra (Figure 4c) was deconvoluted into two peaks [31]. The first one was a highly oxidative oxygen species (530.4 eV for O2−/O−) and the second one was hydroxyl group or the surface-adsorbed oxygen (531.4 eV for OH− or O2) [34]. From what has been mentioned in the paper, good OER activity in an alkaline solution might be attributed to O2−/O− on the surface of catalysts [17,35].

![Figure 3. HADDF image and EDS element mappings of Sm, Sr, Co, Pt, and O.](image)

![Figure 4. (a) Survey scan of (SmSr)0.95Co0.9Pt0.1O3, XPS spectra of (b) the deconvolution result of Co 2p. (c) The deconvolution result of O 1 s. (d) The deconvolution result of Pt 4f.](image)
2.2. Electrocatalytic Performance

Figure 5a shows OER activity of \((\text{SmSr})_{0.95}\text{Co}_{0.9}\text{Pt}_{0.1}\text{O}_3\) electrodes under \(\text{O}_2\)–0.1 M KOH at 5 mV/s at 1600 rpm. \((\text{SmSr})_{0.95}\text{Co}_{0.9}\text{Pt}_{0.1}\text{O}_3\) showed good OER activity with onset potential of \(~1.58\) V, which was similar to that of BSCF (1.61 V). The maximum current density measured at 2.0 V was 27 mA cm\(^{-2}\) for \((\text{SmSr})_{0.95}\text{Co}_{0.9}\text{Pt}_{0.1}\text{O}_3\), which was similar to that of BSCF (26.7 mA cm\(^{-2}\)). Table 1 lists the OER activity of \((\text{SmSr})_{0.95}\text{Co}_{0.9}\text{Pt}_{0.1}\text{O}_3\) with BSCF perovskite-based catalysts in 0.1 M KOH. The results showed its great potential as a highly efficient OER electrocatalyst. Nitrogen adsorption/desorption isotherm patterns of \((\text{SmSr})_{0.95}\text{Co}_{0.9}\text{Pt}_{0.1}\text{O}_3\) and BSCF-5582 are also shown in Figure 6.

Table 1. Physical and electrocatalytic properties of the perovskite-based catalyst materials studied in 0.1 M KOH including \(\text{Ba}_{0.5}\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{Co}_{0.8}\text{Fe}_{0.2}\text{O}_3\) and \((\text{SmSr})_{0.95}\text{Co}_{0.9}\text{Pt}_{0.1}\text{O}_3\).

| Sample               | \(\text{Ba}_{0.5}\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{Co}_{0.8}\text{Fe}_{0.2}\text{O}_3\) | \((\text{SmSr})_{0.95}\text{Co}_{0.9}\text{Pt}_{0.1}\text{O}_3\) |
|----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|
| BET surface area (m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\)) | 10.84 ± 0.02                                                            | 14.77 ± 0.03                                                     |
| ECSA (m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\))               | 1.79 ± 0.01                                                             | 1.56 ± 0.02                                                     |
| Onset potential (V)  | 1.61 V                                                                   | \(~1.58\) V                                                     |
| \(I_{\text{max}}\) (mA cm\(^{-2}\)) (\(\eta = 0.77\) V) | 26.7                                                                    | 27                                                              |
| Tafel slope (mV dec\(^{-1}\))           | 80                                                                       | 82                                                              |

Figure 5. (a) LSV curves of \((\text{SmSr})_{0.95}\text{Co}_{0.9}\text{Pt}_{0.1}\text{O}_3\), \(\text{Ba}_{0.5}\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{Co}_{0.8}\text{Fe}_{0.2}\text{O}_3\) catalysts for OER at 5 mV s\(^{-1}\) scan rate. (b) Tafel plots and corresponding fitted slopes at 1 mV s\(^{-1}\). (c) MA comparison.
Anodic charging currents measured at 0.01 V plotted as a function of scan rate for (SmSr)0.95Co0.9Pt0.1O3 and Ba0.5Sr0.5Co0.8Fe0.2O3 powders in 0.1M KOH solution. Figure 5b shows Tafel slope plots for (SmSr)0.95Co0.9Pt0.1O3 and BSCF, measured in 0.1 M KOH solution at 1 mV/s. Tafel slope of (SmSr)0.95Co0.9Pt0.1O3 was 82 mV dec−1, which was similar to that of 80 mV dec−1 obtained on BSCF. Zhu et al. reported Tafel slope of 76 and 94 mV dec−1 at low overpotentials for SrNb0.1Co0.7Fe0.2O3 and BSCF [14]. Figure 5c further compares the mass activity of (SmSr)0.95Co0.9Pt0.1O3 and BSCF catalysts. Mass activity for (SmSr)0.95Co0.9Pt0.1O3 at (η = 0.77 V) was 66 A g−1, while for BSCF was 65 A g−1. According to the results, the electrochemical activity of (SmSr)0.95Co0.9Pt0.1O3 catalysts was also comparable with that of BSCF. The BET surface area of (SmSr)0.95Co0.9Pt0.1O3 sample is shown in Figure 6. Relatively lower Tafel slope might indicate higher increase of current density, lower overpotential, faster reaction coefficient, which can indicate the relatively higher electrochemical performance.

The electrochemically active surface area (ECSA) of (SmSr)0.95Co0.9Pt0.1O3 and BSCF was calculated from CDL of catalytic surface (a general specific capacitance Cs = 0.040 mF cm−2 was used to estimate ESA for carbon proposed by McCrory et al. [36]). Figure 7 shows that based on the slopes, ECSA was 1.79, and 1.56 m2 g−1 for BSCF-5582 and (SmSr)0.95Co0.9Pt0.1O3, respectively. The ECSA of catalysts was smaller than BET surface area.

Figure 5. (a) LSV curves of (SmSr)0.95Co0.9Pt0.1O3, Ba0.5Sr0.5Co0.8Fe0.2O3, and BSCF-5582 (b).

Figure 6. Nitrogen adsorption/desorption isotherm patterns of (SmSr)0.95Co0.9Pt0.1O3 (a) and Ba0.5Sr0.5Co0.8Fe0.2O3 (BSCF-5582) (b).

Figure 7. Anodic charging currents measured at 0.01 V plotted as a function of scan rate for (SmSr)0.95Co0.9Pt0.1O3 and Ba0.5Sr0.5Co0.8Fe0.2O3 powders in 0.1M KOH solution.
Figure 8a shows chronopotentiometry results at different current densities for BSCF and (SmSr)0.95Co0.9Pt0.1O3 catalysts measured in 0.1 M KOH. Potential to achieve 2, 5, and 10 mA/cm² for (SmSr)0.95Co0.9Pt0.1O3, which was fired at 850 °C, was 1.534, 1.568, and 1.590 V, respectively. The potential of BSCF was 1.630, 1.646, and 1.694 V, which was higher than (SmSr)0.95Co0.9Pt0.1O3. The durability of (SmSr)0.95Co0.9Pt0.1O3 fired at 850 °C was studied (Figure 8b), and the potential under 10 mA/cm² was 1.605 V, and the potential was around 1.615 V after 10 h. The schematic diagram of (SmSr)0.95Co0.9Pt0.1O3 catalysts for OER in alkaline solution can be seen in Figure 9. Therefore, (SmSr)0.95Co0.9Pt0.1O3 is a good OER catalyst in alkaline condition.

Figure 8. Chronopotentiometry of (SmSr)0.95Co0.9Pt0.1O3 and Ba0.5Sr0.5Co0.8Fe0.2O3 (a). Stability plots for (SmSr)0.95Co0.9Pt0.1O3 and Ba0.5Sr0.5Co0.8Fe0.2O3, measured at different current densities. (b) Chronopotentiometry responses at 10 mA cm⁻² of (SmSr)0.95Co0.9Pt0.1O3. The measurements were carried out in 0.1 M KOH solution and the rotating rate of 1600 rpm. Note: testing time from 0 to 1200 s, current density was 2 mA cm⁻²; testing time from 1200 to 2400 s, current density was 5 mA cm⁻²; testing time from 2400 to 3600 s, current density was 10 mA cm⁻².

Figure 9. The galvanostatic charge–discharge profiles of rechargeable Zn–air batteries incorporated with catalyst (SmSr)0.95Co0.9Pt0.1O3 mixture at 5 mA cm⁻².
2.3. Cell Performance

The practical applicability of \((\text{SmSr})_{0.95}\text{Co}_{0.9}\text{Pt}_{0.1}\text{O}_3\) electrocatalyst was tested in aqueous rechargeable Zn–air battery. Figure 9 shows the stability of Zn–air battery, which was evaluated by charging for 10 min and discharging for 10 min over repeated cycles at 5 mA cm\(^{-2}\) for nearly 100 h. Initially, it showed the discharge potential and charge potential of 1.10 V and 2.02 V. Therefore, it could be calculated that the voltage gap (\(\Delta\eta\)) was 0.92 V. After 100 h, voltage gap increased to 1.0 V, respectively. Such a phenomenon was probably due to irreversible Zn plating–stripping process. It can be seen that \((\text{SmSr})_{0.95}\text{Co}_{0.9}\text{Pt}_{0.1}\text{O}_3\)-Zn–air battery had potential recharge ability. Moreover, \((\text{SmSr})_{0.95}\text{Co}_{0.9}\text{Pt}_{0.1}\text{O}_3\) is cheaper than commercial IrO\(_2\), which shows its high economic potential.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Powder Synthesis

\((\text{SmSr})_{0.95}\text{Co}_{0.9}\text{Pt}_{0.1}\text{O}_3\) powders were synthesized by EDTA–citrate acid complexing method. Stoichiometric mole amounts of \(\text{Sm(NO}_3\text{)}_3\cdot6\text{H}_2\text{O}\), \(\text{Sr(NO}_3\text{)}_2\), \(\text{Co(NO}_3\text{)}_3\cdot9\text{H}_2\text{O}\), and \(\text{H}_2\text{PtClO}_4\) (CAS: 26023-84-7) were mixed in distilled water with calculated molar ratios of total metal ions/citric acid/EDTA(1:1.5:1). Sol was prepared after mixing and stirring at 80 °C for several hours, and then gel was put into an oven for 12 h at 200 °C to form precursor. Then, the precursor was calcined in air for 3 h at 850 °C. BSCF-5582 powders were prepared as mentioned in our previous paper [37].

3.2. Catalysts Characterization

XRD (Bruker, D8 Advances) was used to test the prepared samples’ phase in the range of 5–80° (2θ). \(\text{N}_2\) adsorption/desorption isotherms were tested by Micromeritics TriStar II instrument at P/P\(_0\) from 0.05 to 0.35. The samples were analyzed by XPS (Thermo Fisher company, ESCALAB 250Xi instrument). SEM from ZEISS was used to characterize the prepared powders’ morphology. TEM and EDS were conducted by Titan G2 60–300 microscope.

3.3. Electrochemical Tests

\((\text{SmSr})_{0.95}\text{Co}_{0.9}\text{Pt}_{0.1}\text{O}_3\) (4 mg) was mixed with 1 mL of an ethanol–Nafion mixture (with ethanol:Nafion = 9:1) to form suspension. Pt wire was used as a counter electrode, and Hg/HgO electrode was used as a reference electrode. Suspension (10 µL) was dipped into glassy carbon rotating disk electrode (GC-RDE, 0.196 cm\(^2\), Pine Research Instrumentation, USA). The catalyst loading was 0.2 mg/cm\(^2\). CV measurements [36] were tested as mentioned in the procedure, which was the same as our previous published paper [37]. The flow rate of oxygen supply was 10 mL/min. Before starting the electrochemical test, oxygen was flowed for 30 min to fill the reaction tank with saturated oxygen. CV was conducted at a 5 mV/s between −1 and 1 V for 5 cycles to obtain stable data. LSV was conducted at a 5 mV/s between 0 and 1 V. Tafel plots were tested at 1 mV/s with rotating speed of 1600 rpm. Chronopotentiometry was conducted at different current densities.

3.4. Battery Assembly and Test

Catalyst inks were prepared as mentioned above and then it was distributed uniformly on the carbon cloth with Ni-foam (current collector). Then, carbon cloth (cathode) and polished zinc plate (anode) were assembled in rechargeable Zn air battery by 6M KOH including 0.2 M ZnCl\(_2\). Aqueous Zn–air battery tests were carried out by a LAND CT2001A testing device. Charge and discharge data were obtained at 5 mA cm\(^{-2}\).

4. Conclusions

In conclusion, A-site efficient \((\text{SmSr})_{0.95}\text{Co}_{0.9}\text{Pt}_{0.1}\text{O}_3\) oxygen electrocatalysts were successfully prepared by EDTA–citrate complexing sol-gel approach and adopted as a potential OER electrode in Zn–air battery. Optimized \((\text{SmSr})_{0.95}\text{Co}_{0.9}\text{Pt}_{0.1}\text{O}_3\) electrocatalysts showed a higher OER intrinsic activity and durability, which was comparable with that of BSCF.
Moreover, it had a high durability, good rechargeable Zn–air battery discharge performance and high cycle stability, which could be due to the efficient mass transferring and higher active sites. The results clarified a facile way to prepare non-platinum electrocatalysts for energy storage devices.
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