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Abstract. We show that the Siegel upper half space \( \Sigma_d \) is identified with the Marsden–Weinstein quotient obtained by symplectic reduction of the cotangent bundle \( T^* \mathbb{R}^{2d^2} \) with \( O(2d) \)-symmetry. The reduced symplectic form on \( \Sigma_d \) corresponding to the standard symplectic form on \( T^* \mathbb{R}^{2d^2} \) turns out to be a constant multiple of the symplectic form on \( \Sigma_d \) obtained by Siegel. Our motivation is to understand the geometry behind two different formulations of the Gaussian wave packet dynamics commonly used in semiclassical mechanics. Specifically, we show that the two formulations are related via the symplectic reduction.

1. Introduction

1.1. The Siegel Upper Half Space. The set \( \Sigma_d \) of symmetric \( d \times d \) complex matrices (symmetric in the real sense) with positive-definite imaginary parts, i.e.,

\[
\Sigma_d := \{ A + iB \in M_d(\mathbb{C}) \mid A^T = A, B^T = B, B > 0 \},
\]

is called the Siegel upper half space. For \( d = 1 \), one easily sees that \( \Sigma_1 \) is the upper half plane \( \mathbb{H} := \{ a + ib \in \mathbb{C} \mid b > 0 \} \) of the complex plane \( \mathbb{C} \), and hence one may think of \( \Sigma_d \) as a generalization of the upper half plane \( \mathbb{H} \) to higher dimensions. It is well known that the upper half plane \( \mathbb{H} \) may be identified with the homogeneous space \( \text{Sp}(2, \mathbb{R})/U(1) \) via the linear fractional or Möbius transformation \( z \mapsto (az + b)/(cz + d)^{-1} \). The Siegel upper half space \( \Sigma_d \) is a natural generalization of \( \mathbb{H} \) to higher dimensions in the sense that \( \Sigma_d \) is identified with the homogeneous space \( \text{Sp}(2d, \mathbb{R})/U(d) \) via a generalized linear fractional transformation; see Section 2 for details.

1.2. Motivation: Gaussian Wave Packet Dynamics. Our motivation for studying the geometry of the Siegel upper half space \( \Sigma_d \) is to better understand the underlying geometry for the dynamics of the Gaussian wave packet

\[
\chi(x) = \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} \left[ \frac{1}{2}(x - q)^T (A + iB)(x - q) + p \cdot (x - q) + (\phi + i\delta) \right] \right\},
\]

which is widely used in the study of the semiclassical limit of the Schrödinger equation. It is parametrized by \( (q, p) \in T^* \mathbb{R}^d \), \( A + iB \in \Sigma_d \), \( \phi \in S^1 \), and \( \delta \in \mathbb{R} \), and it is well known (see Heller [6, 7] and Hagedorn [4, 5]) that (1) is an exact solution of the time-dependent Schrödinger equation with quadratic potentials if these parameters, as functions of the time, satisfy a certain set of ODEs.

The geometry of \( \Sigma_d \)—particularly the fact that \( \Sigma_d \) is a symplectic manifold—becomes important when one tries to understand the set of ODEs as a Hamiltonian system on a symplectic manifold; see Ohsawa and Leok [19] and Ohsawa [18].
There are, however, two different ways of describing the dynamics. In the formulation originally due to Heller [6, 7], elements in $\Sigma_d$ are written as is, i.e., one writes down ODEs for $A$ and $B$, whereas Hagedorn [4, 5] replaces $A + iB$ by $PQ^{-1}$ with $d \times d$ complex matrices $Q$ and $P$ that satisfy certain relationships, and the corresponding dynamics is written in terms of $Q$ and $P$. The geometry behind the two different parametrizations turns out to be precisely the fact that $\Sigma_d$ is the homogeneous space $\text{Sp}(2d, \mathbb{R})/U(d)$, i.e., the set of variables $(Q, P)$ naturally lives in the symplectic group $\text{Sp}(2d, \mathbb{R})$ and $A + iB$ is its projection to $\text{Sp}(2d, \mathbb{R})/U(d)$; see Sections 2 and 4.2, and also Ohsawa [18].

As simple as the correspondence sounds, one encounters an obstacle when trying to interpret the two formulations from the symplectic/Hamiltonian point of view. On one hand, it is fairly straightforward to formulate Heller’s dynamics with $A$ and $B$ from the symplectic/Hamiltonian point of view because $\Sigma_d$ is a symplectic manifold; see Ohsawa and Leok [19]. On the other hand, it is not so simple to do the same with the parameters $Q$ and $P$ of Hagedorn because the symplectic group $\text{Sp}(2d, \mathbb{R})$ is clearly not a symplectic manifold in general: Its dimension is $d(2d + 1)$, which is odd when $d$ is odd.

1.3. Main Results and Outline. The main result of the paper is Theorem 3.1, which is stated at the beginning of Section 3: In short, we show that the Siegel upper half space $\Sigma_d$ is identified with the Marsden–Weinstein quotient arising from the cotangent bundle $T^*\mathbb{R}^{2d}$ with symmetry group $O(2d)$. Specifically, a certain level set of the momentum map and the corresponding isotropy group are identified with $\text{Sp}(2d, \mathbb{R})$ and $U(d)$, respectively, thereby giving rise to the homogeneous space $\text{Sp}(2d, \mathbb{R})/U(d)$ in the context of symplectic reduction.

The theorem also gives a clear symplectic/Hamiltonian picture of the connection between the two different parametrizations of the Gaussian wave packet dynamics by showing that one is a Hamiltonian system on $T^*\mathbb{R}^d \times T^*\mathbb{R}^{2d}$ with $O(2d)$-symmetry and the other is its reduced Hamiltonian system on $T^*\mathbb{R}^d \times \Sigma_d$.

The outline of the paper is as follows: We first review, in Section 2, the geometry of the Siegel upper half space $\Sigma_d$ going through its realization as a homogeneous space; some of the results there will be later referred to when proving the main theorem. Section 3 states and proves the main result of this paper, Theorem 3.1. Finally, in Section 4, we apply the theorem to the Gaussian wave packet dynamics to interpret the correspondence between two different formulations from the symplectic/Hamiltonian point of view. We also exploit the Hamiltonian nature of the problem to derive a semiclassical angular momentum by applying Noether’s theorem to semiclassical systems with rotational symmetry; this complements our earlier work on semiclassical angular momentum [18].

2. The Siegel Upper Half Space $\Sigma_d$

2.1. Geometry of the Siegel Upper Half Space. We first briefly review the well-known treatment of the Siegel upper half space $\Sigma_d$ as a homogeneous space (see Siegel [20] and also Folland [3, Section 4.5] and McDuff and Salamon [16, Exercise 2.28 on p. 48]). Specifically, we show the following identification alluded above:

$$\Sigma_d \cong \text{Sp}(2d, \mathbb{R})/U(d),$$

where $\text{Sp}(2d, \mathbb{R})$ is the symplectic group of degree $2d$ over real numbers, i.e.,

$$\text{Sp}(2d, \mathbb{R}) := \left\{ S \in M_{2d}(\mathbb{R}) \mid S^TJS = J \right\} \quad \text{with} \quad J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_d \\ -I_d & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$
or equivalently, written as block matrices consisting of \(d \times d\) submatrices,

\[
\text{Sp}(2d, \mathbb{R}) := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \in M_{2d}(\mathbb{R}) \mid A^T C = C^T A, B^T D = D^T B, A^T D - C^T B = I_d \right\},
\]

and \(U(d)\) is the unitary group of degree \(d\).

Consider the (left) action of \(\text{Sp}(2d, \mathbb{R})\) on \(\Sigma_d\) defined by the generalized linear fractional transformation

\[
\Psi: \text{Sp}(2d, \mathbb{R}) \times \Sigma_d \to \Sigma_d; \quad \left( \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{X} \right) \mapsto (C + D\mathcal{X})(A + B\mathcal{X})^{-1}.
\]

This action is transitive: By choosing

\[
X := \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I_d & 0 \\ A & I_d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B^{-1/2} & 0 \\ 0 & B^{1/2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} B^{-1/2} & 0 \\ A\bar{B}^{-1/2} & B^{1/2} \end{bmatrix},
\]

which is easily shown to be symplectic, we have

\[
\Psi_X(iI_d) = A + iB.
\]

The isotropy subgroup of the element \(iI_d \in \Sigma_d\) is given by

\[
\text{Sp}(2d, \mathbb{R})iI_d = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} U & V \\ -V & U \end{bmatrix} \in M_{2d}(\mathbb{R}) \mid U^T U + V^T V = I_d, U^T V = V^T U \right\} = \text{Sp}(2d, \mathbb{R}) \cap \text{O}(2d),
\]

where \(\text{O}(2d)\) is the orthogonal group of degree \(2d\); however \(\text{Sp}(2d, \mathbb{R}) \cap \text{O}(2d)\) is identified with \(U(d)\) as follows:

\[
\text{Sp}(2d, \mathbb{R}) \cap \text{O}(2d) \to U(d); \quad \begin{bmatrix} U & V \\ -V & U \end{bmatrix} \mapsto U + iv.
\]

Hence \(\text{Sp}(2d, \mathbb{R})iI_d \cong U(d)\) and thus \(\Sigma_d \cong \text{Sp}(2d, \mathbb{R})/U(d)\). Indeed, we may identify \(\text{Sp}(2d, \mathbb{R})/U(d)\) with \(\Sigma_d\) by the following map:

\[
\Psi_U/Y(iI_d)\] denotes a left coset of \(U(d)\) in \(\text{Sp}(2d, \mathbb{R})\); then this gives rise to the explicit construction of the quotient map

\[
\pi_{U(d)}: \text{Sp}(2d, \mathbb{R}) \to \text{Sp}(2d, \mathbb{R})/U(d) \cong \Sigma_d; \quad Y \mapsto \Psi_{U/Y}(iI_d),
\]

or more explicitly,

\[
\pi_{U(d)} \left( \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \right) = (C + iD)(A + iB)^{-1},
\]

where \(A + iB\) can be shown to be invertible if \(\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \in \text{Sp}(2d, \mathbb{R})\).

As shown by Siegel \[20\], \(\Sigma_d\) is equipped with the Hermitian metric

\[
g_{\Sigma_d} := \text{tr} \left( B^{-1} \mathcal{C} B^{-1} \mathcal{C} \right) = B^{-1}_{jl} B^{-1}_{mk} \mathcal{C}_{lm} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{jk}
\]

and hence its imaginary part

\[
\Omega_{\Sigma_d} := \text{Im} g_{\Sigma_d} = B^{-1}_{jl} B^{-1}_{mk} \mathcal{B}_{lm} \wedge \mathcal{A}_{jk} = -\mathcal{B}^{-1}_{jk} \wedge \mathcal{A}_{jk}
\]

defines a symplectic form on \(\Sigma_d\).
2.2. The Iwasawa Decomposition and the Siegel Upper Half Space. We may make the above geometric structure more explicit by making use of the so-called Iwasawa decomposition of symplectic matrices (see, e.g., de Gosson [2, Section 2.2.2]). The Iwasawa decomposition renders any symplectic matrix $X_1 := \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \in \text{Sp}(2d, \mathbb{R})$ into the following factorization of symplectic matrices:
\[
X_1 = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I_d & 0 \\ P & I_d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} L & 0 \\ 0 & L^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U & V \\ -V & U \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} L & 0 \\ PL & L^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U & V \\ -V & U \end{bmatrix} = X_2 U,
\]
where $P$ and $L$ are symmetric $d \times d$ matrices given by
\[
P = (CA^T + DB^T)(AA^T + BB^T)^{-1}, \quad L = (AA^T + BB^T)^{1/2},
\]
and $X_2$ and $U \in \text{U}(d)$ are defined by
\[
X_2 := \begin{bmatrix} L & 0 \\ PL & L^{-1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad U := U + iV = (AA^T + BB^T)^{-1/2}(A + iB).
\]

Then we see that the matrices $X_1$ and $X_2$ define the same coset in $\text{Sp}(2d, \mathbb{R})/\text{U}(d)$, i.e., $[X_1]_{\text{U}(d)} = [X_2]_{\text{U}(d)}$ because $\Psi_{X_1}(iI_d) = \Psi_{X_2} \circ \Psi_{U}(iI_d) = \Psi_{X_1}(iI_d)$.

Now, take any element $\mathcal{A} + i\mathcal{B}$ in the Siegel upper half space $\Sigma_d$ and suppose that $\pi_{\text{U}(d)}(X_1) = \mathcal{A} + i\mathcal{B}$. Then $\pi_{\text{U}(d)}(X_1) = \pi_{\text{U}(d)}(X_2) = P + iL^{-2}$ using (8) and so $P = \mathcal{A}$ and $L = \mathcal{B}^{-1/2}$. This observation leads to the following expression for those elements in $\text{Sp}(2d, \mathbb{R})$ that project to $\mathcal{A} + i\mathcal{B} \in \Sigma_d$ via $\pi_{\text{U}(d)}$:
\[
\pi_{\text{U}(d)}^{-1}(\mathcal{A} + i\mathcal{B}) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} B^{-1/2} & 0 \\ AB^{-1/2} & B^{1/2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U & V \\ -V & U \end{bmatrix} \in \text{Sp}(2d, \mathbb{R}) \mid U + iV \in \text{U}(d) \right\} \quad (8).
\]

This expression will be later useful in coordinate calculations.

3. The Siegel Upper Half Space $\Sigma_d$ and Symplectic Reduction

In this section, we prove our main result, that the Siegel upper half space $\Sigma_d$ is identified with a reduced symplectic manifold in the Marsden–Weinstein [14] sense. More specifically:

**Theorem 3.1.** Let $Z_d := T^* \mathbb{R}^{2d^2}$ be the cotangent bundle of the vector space $\mathbb{R}^{2d^2}$ with the standard symplectic form $\Omega_{Z_d}$, where we identify $\mathbb{R}^{2d^2}$ with the set $M_{d \times 2d}(\mathbb{R})$ of $d \times 2d$ real matrices and $Z_d$ with the set $M_{2d}(\mathbb{R})$ of $2d \times 2d$ real matrices. Consider the action of the orthogonal group $O(2d)$ on $Z_d$ defined by matrix multiplication from the right, and let $\mathbf{M}: Z_d \to \mathfrak{o}(2d)^*$ be the corresponding momentum map. Then the Marsden–Weinstein quotient $\overline{Z}_d := \mathbf{M}^{-1}(J)/O(2d)_J$ at $J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_d \\ -I_d & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{o}(2d)^*$ is the Siegel upper half space $\Sigma_d$ with symplectic form $\overline{\Omega}_J = -\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{Z_d}$, i.e.,
\[
\pi_J^* \overline{\Omega}_J = i_J^* \Omega_{Z_d},
\]
where $i_J: \mathbf{M}^{-1}(J) \hookrightarrow Z_d$ is the inclusion and $\pi_J: \mathbf{M}^{-1}(J) \to \overline{Z}_d$ is the projection to the quotient.

We prove the above theorem in the rest of the section.

---

1The matrix $AA^T + BB^T$ is always invertible if $X_1$ is in $\text{Sp}(2d, \mathbb{R})$ and hence so is $L$; see, e.g., de Gosson [2, Section 2.1.2].

2Note that, in the above expression $X_2 U$, the matrix $U$ is seen as an element in $\text{Sp}(2d, \mathbb{R}) \cap \mathcal{O}(2d)$ via (9).
3.1. Basic Setup. Consider the real vector space \( \mathbb{R}^{2d^2} \); we prefer to write each element in \( \mathbb{R}^{2d^2} \) as a \( d \times 2d \) block matrix consisting of two \( d \times d \) submatrices, i.e.,

\[
\mathbb{R}^{2d^2} \cong M_{d \times 2d}(\mathbb{R}) := \{ [Q_1 \quad Q_2] \mid Q_1, Q_2 \in M_d(\mathbb{R}) \}
\]

Let \( Z_d := T^* \mathbb{R}^{2d^2} \) be the cotangent bundle of \( \mathbb{R}^{2d^2} \). Then each element \( Z \) in \( Z_d \) is identified with a \( 2d \times 2d \) block matrix consisting of four \( d \times d \) submatrices, i.e.,

\[
Z_d := T^* \mathbb{R}^{2d^2} \cong M_{2d}(\mathbb{R}) = \left\{ Z := \begin{bmatrix} Q_1 & Q_2 \\ P_1 & P_2 \end{bmatrix} \mid Q_1, Q_2, P_1, P_2 \in M_d(\mathbb{R}) \right\}.
\]

The standard symplectic structure on \( Z_d \) is given by

\[
\Omega_{Z_d} := dQ_1 \wedge dP_1 + dQ_2 \wedge dP_2 = dQ_1^{jk} \wedge dP_{1,jk} + dQ_2^{jk} \wedge dP_{2,jk},
\]

where \( j \) and \( k \) run from 1 to \( d \), and \( Q_l^{jk} \) and \( P_{l,jk} \) stand for the \((j, k)\)-entries of the matrices \( Q_l \) and \( P_l \), respectively, for \( l = 1, 2 \). With the canonical one-form \( \Theta_{Z_d} \) on \( Z_d \) defined by

\[
\Theta_{Z_d} := \text{tr}(P_1^T dQ_1) + \text{tr}(P_2^T dQ_2) = P_{1,jk} dQ_1^{jk} + P_{2,jk} dQ_2^{jk},
\]

one can write the symplectic form \( \Omega_{Z_d} \) as

\[
\Omega_{Z_d} = -d\Theta_{Z_d}.
\]

3.2. \( O(2d) \)-action and Momentum Map. Consider the action of the orthogonal group \( O(2d) \) on \( Z_d \) defined by matrix multiplication from the right, i.e.,

\[
\Phi : O(2d) \times Z_d \to Z_d; \quad (R, Z) \mapsto ZR.
\]

It is a straightforward calculation to see that \( \Phi \) is a symplectic action with respect to the symplectic form \( \Omega_{Z_d} \) defined in \((10)\), i.e., \( \Phi^* R \Omega_{Z_d} = \Omega_{Z_d} \) for any \( R \in O(2d) \).

What is the momentum map corresponding to the \( O(2d) \)-action \( \Phi \)? Let \( \mathfrak{o}(2d) \) be the Lie algebra of \( O(2d) \) and \( \mathfrak{o}(2d)^* \) be the dual of \( \mathfrak{o}(2d) \). For any \( \xi \in \mathfrak{o}(2d) \), the corresponding infinitesimal generator \( \xi_{Z_d} \) is given by

\[
\xi_{Z_d}(Z) := \frac{d}{de} \Phi_{\exp(e\xi)}(Z) \bigg|_{e=0} = Z\xi,
\]

where \( Z\xi \) stands for the standard matrix multiplication. Then, since \( Z_d \) is an exact symplectic manifold with the symplectic structure \( \Omega_{Z_d} = -d\Theta_{Z_d} \), the corresponding momentum map \( M : Z_d \to \mathfrak{o}(2d)^* \) may be defined as follows (see, e.g., Abraham and Marsden [3, Theorem 4.2.10 on p. 282]): For any \( \xi \in \mathfrak{o}(2d) \),

\[
\langle M(Z), \xi \rangle = \Theta_{Z_d}(\xi_{Z_d}(Z)) \quad \text{or} \quad \langle M(\cdot), \xi \rangle = i_{\xi_{Z_d}} \Theta_{Z_d}.
\]

We equip the Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{o}(2d) \) with the inner product

\[
\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathfrak{o}(2d) \times \mathfrak{o}(2d) \to \mathbb{R}; \quad (\xi, \eta) \mapsto \langle \xi, \eta \rangle := \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\xi^T \eta).
\]

Then we may identify the dual \( \mathfrak{o}(2d)^* \) with \( \mathfrak{o}(2d) \) itself via the inner product. So we may write the components of the momentum map \( M \) as follows:

\[
M : Z_d \to \mathfrak{o}(2d)^*; \quad Z = \begin{bmatrix} Q_1 & Q_2 \\ P_1 & P_2 \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} M_{11} & M_{12} \\ -M_{12}^T & M_{22} \end{bmatrix},
\]

where both \( M_{11} \) and \( M_{22} \) are skew-symmetric \( d \times d \) matrices and \( M_{12} \) is a \( d \times d \) matrix, i.e., \( M_{11}, M_{22} \in \mathfrak{o}(d) \) and \( M_{12} \in M_d(\mathbb{R}) \).
Let us first find $M_{11}$. Pick $\xi = \begin{bmatrix} \xi_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{o}(2d)$ with $\xi_{11} \in \mathfrak{o}(d)$; then

$$
\langle M(Z), \xi \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left( \begin{bmatrix} M_{11}^T \xi_{11} & 0 \\ M_{12}^T \xi_{11} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} (M_{11}^T \xi_{11}).
$$

On the other hand, the corresponding infinitesimal generator is given by

$$
\xi_{Z_d}(Z) = \begin{bmatrix} Q_1 & Q_2 \\ P_1 & P_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \xi_{11} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Q_1 \xi_{11} & 0 \\ P_1 \xi_{11} & 0 \end{bmatrix}
$$

and hence

$$
\Theta_{Z_d}(\xi_{Z_d}(Z)) = \text{tr}(P_1^T Q_1 \xi_{11}) = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left( [Q_1^T P_1 - P_1^T Q_1]^T \xi_{11} \right).
$$

Since $\xi_{11} \in \mathfrak{o}(d)$ is arbitrary, we find $M_{11} = Q_1^T P_1 - P_1^T Q_1$.

Likewise, $\xi = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \xi_{22} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{o}(2d)$ with $\xi_{22} \in \mathfrak{o}(d)$ yields $M_{22} = Q_2^T P_2 - P_2^T Q_2$.

Finally, taking $\xi = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \xi_{12} \\ -\xi_{12}^T & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{o}(2d)$ with $\xi_{12} \in \mathfrak{m}_d(\mathbb{R})$, we have

$$
\langle M(Z), \xi \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left( \begin{bmatrix} M_{12}^T \xi_{12} & M_{11}^T \xi_{12} \\ -M_{22}^T \xi_{12} & M_{12}^T \xi_{12} \end{bmatrix} \right) = \text{tr} (M_{12}^T \xi_{12}),
$$

whereas the corresponding infinitesimal generator is

$$
\xi_{Z_d}(Z) = \begin{bmatrix} Q_1 & Q_2 \\ P_1 & P_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \xi_{12} \\ -\xi_{12}^T & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -Q_2 \xi_{12}^T & Q_1 \xi_{12} \\ -P_2 \xi_{12}^T & P_1 \xi_{12} \end{bmatrix}
$$

and so

$$
\Theta_{Z_d}(\xi_{Z_d}(Z)) = \text{tr}(-P_1^T Q_2 \xi_{12}^T) + \text{tr}(P_2^T Q_1 \xi_{12}) = \text{tr} \left( [Q_1^T P_1 - P_1^T Q_2]^T \xi_{12} \right).
$$

Again, since $\xi_{12} \in \mathfrak{m}_d(\mathbb{R})$ is arbitrary, we find $M_{12} = Q_1^T P_2 - P_1^T Q_2$.

As a result, we have the momentum map

$$
M: Z_d \to \mathfrak{o}(2d)^*; \quad Z = \begin{bmatrix} Q_1 & Q_2 \\ P_1 & P_2 \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} Q_1^T P_1 - P_1^T Q_1 & Q_1^T P_2 - P_1^T Q_2 \\ -(Q_1^T P_2 - P_1^T Q_2)^T & Q_2^T P_2 - P_2^T Q_2 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

It is a straightforward calculation to check that $M$ is equivariant, i.e.,

$$
M \circ \Phi_{\mathcal{R}} = \text{Ad}_{\mathcal{R}} \circ M.
$$

3.3. Momentum Level Set and Reduced Space. Now let us look at the level set of the momentum map $M$ at $J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_d \\ -I_d & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{o}(2d)^*$, i.e.,

$$
M^{-1}(J) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} Q_1 & Q_2 \\ P_1 & P_2 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{m}_{2d}(\mathbb{R}) \mid Q_1^T P_1 = P_1^T Q_1, Q_2^T P_2 = P_2^T Q_2, Q_1^T P_2 - P_1^T Q_2 = I_d \right\}.
$$

This is precisely the definition of the symplectic group $\text{Sp}(2d, \mathbb{R})$ in terms of block matrices shown in (2), i.e., $M^{-1}(J) = \text{Sp}(2d, \mathbb{R})$.

Moreover, the coadjoint isotropy subgroup $\text{O}(2d)_J$ of $J \in \mathfrak{o}(2d)^*$ is easily identified as

$$
\text{O}(2d)_J = \{ \mathcal{R} \in \text{O}(2d) \mid \text{Ad}_{\mathcal{R}} J = \mathcal{R}^T J \mathcal{R} = J \}
$$

$$
= \text{Sp}(2d, \mathbb{R}) \cap \text{O}(2d) \cong \text{U}(d),
$$

which is precisely the isotropy subgroup $\text{Sp}(2d, \mathbb{R})_{I_d}$ of the action of $\text{Sp}(2d, \mathbb{R})$ on the Siegel upper half space $\Sigma_d$ shown in (4). The action of the coadjoint isotropy subgroup $\text{O}(2d)_J$ on the momentum level set $M^{-1}(J) = \text{Sp}(2d, \mathbb{R})$ is free, as $S \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R} S$ for $S \in \text{Sp}(2d, \mathbb{R})$ and $\mathcal{R} \in \text{O}(2d)$ implies $\mathcal{R} = I_{2d}$; the action is also proper as well because $\text{U}(d)$ is compact.
So we may now invoke the Marsden–Weinstein reduction \cite{14} (see also Meyer \cite{17} and Marsden et al. \cite[Sections 1.1 & 1.2]{15}) to conclude that the reduced space or the Marsden–Weinstein quotient \( \mathcal{Z}_d^f := M^{-1}(J)/O(2d)_J \) is a symplectic manifold, but then this quotient coincides with the Siegel upper half space \( \Sigma_d \cong \text{Sp}(2d, \mathbb{R})/U(d) \), i.e.,

\[
\mathcal{Z}_d^f := M^{-1}(J)/O(2d)_J = \text{Sp}(2d, \mathbb{R})/U(d) \cong \Sigma_d.
\]

### 3.4. Reduced Symplectic Form.

Let us define the following inclusion and projection maps:

\[
i_J : M^{-1}(J) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_d, \quad \pi_J : M^{-1}(J) \to M^{-1}(J)/O(2d)_J =: \mathcal{Z}_d^f.
\]

As shown in Marsden and Weinstein \cite{14}, the symplectic form \( \pi \) and hence we have, from (14) and (7),

\[
\pi^J \Omega_J = i^*_J \Omega_{\mathcal{Z}_d}.
\]

We would like to find an expression for \( \Omega_J \). Let \( A + iB \) be an arbitrary element in \( \mathcal{Z}_d^f \cong \Sigma_d \). Since \( \pi_{U(d)} \) in (6) and \( \pi_J \) are identical, those elements in \( \text{Sp}(2d, \mathbb{R}) \) that project to \( A + iB \) are written as in (8). But then this implies that any element in \( \text{Sp}(2d, \mathbb{R}) \) is written as

\[
\left[ \begin{array}{cc} B^{-1/2} & 0 \\ AB^{-1/2} & B^{1/2} \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{cc} U & V \\ -V & U \end{array} \right] = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} B^{-1/2}U & B^{-1/2}V \\ AB^{-1/2}U - B^{1/2}V & AB^{-1/2}V + B^{1/2}U \end{array} \right],
\]

with some \( A + iB \in \Sigma_d \) and \( U + iV \in U(d) \), i.e., \( U^T U + V^T V = I_d \) and \( U^T V = V^T U \). In other words, the above expression gives an expression for the injection \( i_J : M^{-1}(J) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_d \) in terms of the coordinates adapted to the horizontal and vertical directions of the principal bundle \( \pi_J : M^{-1}(J) \to M^{-1}(J)/U(d) \). Then the pull-back by \( i_J \) of the one-form \( \Theta_{\mathcal{Z}_d} \) is written as

\[
i^*_J \Theta_{\mathcal{Z}_d} = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(A dB^{-1}) + \text{tr}(U^T dV - V^T dU),
\]

and so, taking into account the relationships between \( U \) and \( V \),

\[
i^*_J \Omega_{\mathcal{Z}_d} = -d(i^*_J \Theta_{\mathcal{Z}_d}) = \frac{1}{2} dB^{-1}_{jk} \wedge dA_{kj},
\]

and hence we have, from (14) and (7), \( i^*_J \Theta_J = -\frac{i}{2} \pi^*_J \Omega_{\Sigma_d} \). Since \( \pi_J \) is a surjective submersion, \( \pi^*_J \) is injective, and thus we obtain \( \Omega_J = -\frac{i}{2} \Omega_{\Sigma_d} \). This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

### 4. Application to Gaussian Wave Packet Dynamics

#### 4.1. The Gaussian Wave Packet Dynamics.

Consider the time-dependent Schrödinger equation

\[
i \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi(x, t) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \Delta \psi(x, t) + V(x) \psi(x, t)
\]

for the wave function \( \psi(x, t) \) under the potential \( V(x) \), where \( \hbar > 0 \) is Planck’s constant, \( t \in \mathbb{R} \) is the time, \( x \in \mathbb{R}^d \) is the position in the physical space \( \mathbb{R}^d \), and \( \Delta \) stands for the Laplacian in \( \mathbb{R}^d \). Our motivation for identifying the Siegel upper half space \( \Sigma_d \) as a Marsden–Weinstein quotient comes from a geometric description of the dynamics of the Gaussian wave packet ansatz

\[
\psi_0 := \left( \frac{\det B}{(\pi \hbar)^{d/2}} \right)^{1/4} \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} \frac{1}{2} (x - q)^T (A + iB)(x - q) + p \cdot (x - q) + \phi \right\}
\]

for (15); the factor in front of the exponential normalizes the wave function, i.e., \( \| \psi_0 \| = 1 \) as an element in \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \), and \( \psi_0 \) is parametrized by \( (q, p) \in T^* \mathbb{R}^d, C := A + iB \in \Sigma_d, \) and \( \phi \in \mathbb{S}^1 \). It is
well known (see Heller [6, 7]) that, when $V$ is quadratic, the Gaussian wave packet (16) is an exact solution of the Schrödinger equation (15) if the parameters $(q, p, A, B)$ satisfy the set of ODEs

$$
\dot{q} = \frac{p}{m}, \quad \dot{p} = -\nabla V(q),
\dot{A} = -\frac{1}{m}(A^2 - B^2) - \nabla^2 V(q), \quad \dot{B} = -\frac{1}{m}(AB + BA),
$$

(17)

where $\nabla^2 V$ is the Hessian matrix of $V$, and the phase $\phi(t)$ is determined by

$$
\phi(t) = \phi(0) + \int_0^t \left[ \frac{p(s)^2}{2m} - V(q(s)) - \frac{\hbar}{2m} \text{tr}(B(s)) \right] ds.
$$

Hagedorn [4, 5], on the other hand, has a slightly different parametrization of the Gaussian wave packet (16):

$$
\psi_0 = (\pi \hbar)^{-d/4}(\det Q)^{-1/2} \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (x - q)^T P Q^{-1} (x - q) + p \cdot (x - q) + S \right] \right\},
$$

(18)

where $Q$ and $P$ are complex $d \times d$ matrices, i.e., $Q, P \in M_d(\mathbb{C})$, that satisfy

$$
Q^T P - P^T Q = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad Q^* P - P^* Q = 2i I_d,
$$

(19)

and an appropriate branch cut is taken for $(\det Q)^{1/2}$; also the new parameter $S$ is defined as

$$
S := \phi - \frac{\hbar}{2} \arg(\det Q).
$$

Hagedorn [4, 5] showed that (18) is an exact solution of the Schrödinger equation if the potential $V$ is quadratic and also the parameters $(q, p, Q, P)$ satisfy

$$
\dot{q} = \frac{p}{m}, \quad \dot{p} = -\nabla V(q), \quad \dot{Q} = \frac{P}{m}, \quad \dot{P} = -\nabla^2 V(q) Q,
$$

(20)

and the quantity $S(t)$ is the classical action integral evaluated along the solution $(q(t), p(t))$, i.e.,

$$
S(t) = S(0) + \int_0^t \left( \frac{p(s)^2}{2m} - V(q(s)) \right) ds.
$$

It is also shown by Hagedorn [4, 5] that (18) with (20) gives an $O(t/\hbar)$ approximation when the potential $V$ is not quadratic as long as it satisfies some regularity assumptions.

4.2. Parametrization of the Siegel Upper Half Space. The replacement of $A + iB \in \Sigma_d$ in (16) by $PQ^{-1}$ in (18) has a simple geometric interpretation. Lubich [12, Section V.1] (see also Ohsawa [18]) pointed out that the conditions (19) for the matrices $Q$ and $P$ are precisely the conditions for the matrix

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
\text{Re} Q & \text{Im} Q \\
\text{Re} P & \text{Im} P
\end{bmatrix}
$$

to be symplectic, i.e.,

$$
\text{Sp}(2d, \mathbb{R}) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix}
\text{Re} Q & \text{Im} Q \\
\text{Re} P & \text{Im} P
\end{bmatrix} \mid Q, P \in M_d(\mathbb{C}), Q^T P - P^T Q = 0, Q^* P - P^* Q = 2i I_d \right\}.
$$

In fact, the projection of these elements to $\Sigma_d$ by $\pi_{U(d)} : \text{Sp}(2d, \mathbb{R}) \to \Sigma_d$ in (6) gives

$$
\pi_{U(d)} \begin{bmatrix}
\text{Re} Q & \text{Im} Q \\
\text{Re} P & \text{Im} P
\end{bmatrix} = PQ^{-1}.
$$

(21)

It is also easy to show that the dynamics of $A$ and $B$ defined in (17) is the projection to $\Sigma_d$ by $\pi_{U(d)}$ of the dynamics of $Q$ and $P$ in (20); conversely, the dynamics (20) is a proper lift to $\text{Sp}(2d, \mathbb{R})$ of the dynamics (17) in some appropriate sense; see Ohsawa [18].
4.3. Interpretation as a Hamiltonian Reduction. Theorem 3.1 sheds a new perspective on the above connection between the equations (17) and (20) of Heller and Hagedorn, respectively, in terms of Hamiltonian reduction.

Let us first introduce a new parametrization of the space $Z_d$: The observation (21) from the previous subsection motivates us to rewrite the definition (9) of the space $Z_d$ as follows:

$$Z_d := \{ Z = \begin{bmatrix} \text{Re} Q & \text{Im} Q \\ \text{Re} P & \text{Im} P \end{bmatrix} | Q, P \in \mathbb{M}_d(\mathbb{C}) \},$$

i.e., $Q_1 + iQ_2 = Q$ and $P_1 + iP_2 = P$.

Here we assume that the potential $V$ is quadratic for simplicity, and will consider the general case in the next subsection. If $V$ is quadratic then the Hessian $\nabla^2 V$ is a constant matrix; as a result, the system (17) decouples into the classical Hamiltonian system in $T^* \mathbb{R}^d = \{(q,p)\}$ and

$$\dot{A} = -\frac{1}{m} (A^2 - B^2) - \nabla^2 V(q), \quad \dot{B} = -\frac{1}{m} (AB + BA),$$

(22)

in $\Sigma_d = \{(A,B)\}$, and similarly, (20) decouples into the classical Hamiltonian system in $T^* \mathbb{R}^d = \{(q,p)\}$ and

$$\dot{Q} = \frac{P}{m}, \quad \dot{P} = -\nabla^2 V Q,$$

(23)

in $Z_d = \{(Q,P)\}$. It turns out that the dynamics (22) in $\Sigma_d$ is obtained by Hamiltonian reduction of the dynamics (23) in $Z_d$:

**Proposition 4.1** (Reduction of Gaussian wave packet dynamics—quadratic potentials). Suppose that the potential $V$ is quadratic, and let $H_{Z_d}: Z_d \to \mathbb{R}$ be the Hamiltonian defined by

$$H_{Z_d}(Z) := \frac{1}{2m} \text{tr}(P^*P) + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(Q^* \nabla^2 V Q).$$

(24)

Then:

(i) The Hamiltonian vector field $X_{H_{Z_d}}$ on $Z_d$ defined by the Hamiltonian system

$$i_{X_{H_{Z_d}}} \Omega_{Z_d} = dH_{Z_d}$$

(25)

gives the equations (23) of Hagedorn.

(ii) The Hamiltonian $H_{Z_d}$ is invariant under the $\mathbb{O}(2d)$-action $\Phi$ defined in (11), i.e., $H_{Z_d} \circ \Phi_R = H_{Z_d}$ for any $R \in \mathbb{O}(2d)$, and hence the Hamiltonian system (25) conserves the corresponding momentum map $M$ in (13); particularly, $M^{-1}(J) = \mathbb{Sp}(2d, \mathbb{R})$ is an invariant manifold of the system (25).

(iii) The reduced Hamiltonian $\overline{H}_J: \overline{Z_d} \to \mathbb{R}$, i.e., the function $\overline{H}_J$ uniquely characterized by

$$\overline{H}_J \circ \pi_J = H_{Z_d} \circ i_J,$$

(26)

takes the form

$$\overline{H}_J(A, B) = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ B^{-1} \left( \frac{A^2 + B^2}{m} + \nabla^2 V \right) \right].$$

(27)

(iv) The Hamiltonian vector field $X_{\overline{H}_J}$ on the reduced space $\overline{Z_d} \cong \Sigma_d$ defined by the Hamiltonian system

$$i_{X_{\overline{H}_J}} \overline{H}_J = dH_{\overline{H}_J}$$

(28)

gives the equations (22) of Heller.
Proof. The first assertion follows from straightforward calculations; so is the $O(2d)$-invariance of the Hamiltonian \((22)\).

The remaining assertions follow easily from Theorem 3.1: By Noether’s Theorem (see, e.g., Marsden and Ratiu [13, Theorem 11.4.1 on p. 372]), the $O(2d)$-invariance of the Hamiltonian $H_{Z_d}$ implies that the momentum map $\mathbf{M}$ is conserved along the flow defined by $X_{H_{Z_d}}$; we note that this result is observed by Lubich [12, Lemma V.1.4 on p. 126] via direct calculations. We have already shown in Section 3.3 that $\mathbf{M}^{-1}(J) = \text{Sp}(2d, \mathbb{R})$.

Finally, that the reduced Hamiltonian system is given by \((28)\) is standard in symplectic reduction [14], and straightforward calculations yield the last assertion.

Remark 4.2. For the Hamiltonian dynamics \((25)\) to be interpreted as the dynamics of the Gaussian wave packet \((18)\), one needs to restrict the initial condition to the invariant manifold $\mathbf{M}^{-1}(J) = \text{Sp}(2d, \mathbb{R}) \subset Z_d$, which is equivalent to \((19)\) as mentioned above. This guarantees that $Q$ is invertible and also that $PQ^{-1} \in \Sigma_d$, and hence $\psi_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Remark 4.3. Setting $C := A + iB$, \((22)\) is written as a matrix Riccati equation, i.e.,

$$\dot{C} = -\frac{1}{m}C^2 - \nabla^2 V.$$

Its Hamiltonian lift \((23)\) to $\text{Sp}(2d, \mathbb{R}) \subset Z_d$ is linear in $Q$ and $P$, and may be considered as an example of the Hirota bilinearization of the matrix Riccati equation; see, e.g., Hirota [8, 9, 10].

4.4. Full Dynamics as Hamiltonian Systems. What if the potential $V$ is not quadratic? It turns out that, with a slight modification in the equations \((17)\) and \((20)\), these systems may also be rendered Hamiltonian as well, and again one is the reduced version of the other.

Let $\Omega_{T^*\mathbb{R}^d} := dq^i \wedge dp_i$ be the standard symplectic form on $T^*\mathbb{R}^d$, $\text{pr}_1: T^*\mathbb{R}^d \times Z_d \to T^*\mathbb{R}^d$ and $\text{pr}_2: T^*\mathbb{R}^d \times Z_d \to Z_d$ be the projections, and define a symplectic form $\Omega$ on $T^*\mathbb{R}^d \times Z_d$ by

$$\Omega := \text{pr}_1^* \Omega_{T^*\mathbb{R}^d} + \frac{\hbar}{2} \text{pr}_2^* \Omega_{Z_d}$$

and a Hamiltonian $H: T^*\mathbb{R}^d \times Z_d \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$H = \frac{p^2}{2m} + V(q) + \frac{\hbar}{2} H_{Z_d}$$

$$= \frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{\hbar}{4m} \text{tr}(P^*P) + V(q) + \frac{\hbar}{4} \text{tr}(Q^* \nabla^2 V Q).$$

(30)

Proposition 4.4 (Reduction of Gaussian wave packet dynamics—general potentials). Let $V \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $H: T^*\mathbb{R}^d \times Z_d \to \mathbb{R}$ be the Hamiltonian defined in \((30)\).

(i) The Hamiltonian system

$$iX_H \Omega = dH$$

gives the system

$$\dot{q} = \frac{p}{m}, \quad \dot{p} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial q} \left\{ V(q) + \frac{\hbar}{4} \text{tr}(Q^* \nabla^2 V Q) \right\},$$

$$\dot{Q} = \frac{P}{m}, \quad \dot{P} = -\nabla^2 V(q) Q.$$
(ii) The Hamiltonian (30) is $O(2d)$-invariant under the $O(2d)$-action \( \text{id}_{T^*\mathbb{R}^d} \times \Phi \) on $T^*\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{Z}_d$ and hence the corresponding momentum map $\tilde{M} : T^*\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{Z}_d \to \mathfrak{o}(2d)^*$, which is given by $\tilde{M} = M \circ \text{pr}_2$, is conserved along the flow of the system (31). In particular, $\tilde{M}^{-1}(J) = T^*\mathbb{R}^d \times \text{Sp}(2d, \mathbb{R})$ is an invariant manifold of (31).

(iii) Symplectic reduction by the $O(2d)$-symmetry at the value $J \in \mathfrak{o}(2d)^*$ yields the reduced symplectic manifold $T^*\mathbb{R}^d \times \Sigma_d$ with symplectic form

\[
\Omega := \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p_i \partial p_j} + \frac{\hbar}{4} \frac{\partial B^{-1} \cdot \partial^2 + B^2 \cdot \partial}{\partial m} + \nabla^2 V(q) \]

and the reduced Hamiltonian

\[
\Pi = \frac{p^2}{2m} + V(q) + \frac{\hbar}{4} \text{tr} \left[ B^{-1} \left( \frac{A^2 + B^2}{m} + \nabla^2 V(q) \right) \right],
\]

i.e., they are uniquely determined by $\Omega = \Pi_i \Omega$ and $H \circ I = \Pi \circ I$, where $I : \tilde{M}^{-1}(J) \to T^*\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{Z}_d$ and $\Pi : \tilde{M}^{-1}(J) \to T^*\mathbb{R}^d \times \Sigma_d$ are the inclusion and projection, respectively, defined by

\[
I_J := \text{id}_{T^*\mathbb{R}^d} \times i_J, \quad \Pi_J := \text{id}_{T^*\mathbb{R}^d} \times \pi_J.
\]

(iv) The reduced Hamiltonian system

\[i_{\pi_J^* \bar{\Omega}} = d\bar{\Pi}\]

gives

\[
\dot{q} = \frac{p}{m}, \quad \dot{p} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial q} \left[ V(q) + \frac{\hbar}{4} \text{tr} \left( B^{-1} \nabla^2 V(q) \right) \right],
\]

\[
\dot{A} = -\frac{1}{m} (A^2 - B^2) - \nabla^2 V(q), \quad \dot{B} = -\frac{1}{m} (AB + BA).
\]

(v) Particularly, when the potential $V$ is quadratic, the systems (31) and (32) recover (20) and (17), respectively.

Proof. Most of the assertions are straightforward generalizations of those in Proposition 4.1 and so are proved in a similar way. The last assertion follows because the Hessian $\nabla^2 V$ becomes constant when the potential $V$ is quadratic. \hfill \square

Remark 4.5. The above symplectic form $\bar{\Omega}$ and Hamiltonian $\bar{\Pi}$ are exactly those that appeared in Ohsawa and Leok [19] (see $\bar{\Omega}_h$ in (17) of Theorem 4.1 and also $\bar{\Pi}_1$ in Section 7.1 there; see also Ohsawa [18, Section II.C]), but are derived from a different point of view. It was shown in Ohsawa and Leok [19] that the symplectic form $\bar{\Omega}$ in (32) is a pull-back of the symplectic form on the projective Hilbert space $\mathbb{P}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ induced by the symplectic form

\[
\Omega(\psi_1, \psi_2) = 2\hbar \text{Im} \langle \psi_1, \psi_2 \rangle
\]

on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$—the Schrödinger equation (15) is written as a Hamiltonian system on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ or $\mathbb{P}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ in terms of those symplectic forms; see, e.g., Marsden and Ratiu [13, Chapter 2]. As for the Hamiltonian $\bar{\Pi}$ in (33), with a certain decay property assumed for the potential $V$, one can show that $\bar{\Pi}$ is an $O(h^2)$ approximation to the expectation value of the Hamiltonian operator

\[
\hat{H} := -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \Delta + V(x)
\]
with respect to the Gaussian (16), i.e.,
\[ \langle \psi_0, \hat{H} \psi_0 \rangle = \mathcal{H} + O(h^2), \]
where \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \) stands for the inner product on \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \); see Ohsawa and Leok [19, Proposition 7.1].

4.5. **Rotational Symmetry and Conservation Law.** The \( O(2d) \) symmetry exploited above is rather an intrinsic symmetry of the Hamiltonian system (31). Here we would like to see what happens if the system has a symmetry in its physical configuration, particularly when the potential \( V \) has a rotational symmetry. This leads to the semiclassical angular momentum for the semiclassical system (31), which corresponds to the one for the reduced system (34) found in Ohsawa [18]:

**Proposition 4.6** (Rotational symmetry and semiclassical angular momentum). Let \( \varphi : SO(d) \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d \) be the natural action of the rotation group \( SO(d) \) on the configuration space \( \mathbb{R}^d \), i.e., for any \( R \in SO(d) \),

\[ \varphi_R : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d; \quad q \mapsto Rq, \]

and suppose that the potential \( V \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \) is invariant under the \( SO(d) \)-action, i.e.,

\[ V \circ \varphi_R = V. \]

Also let \( \Upsilon : SO(d) \times (T^* \mathbb{R}^d \times Z_d) \to T^* \mathbb{R}^d \times Z_d \) be the \( SO(d) \)-action on \( T^* \mathbb{R}^d \times Z_d \) defined as follows: For any \( R \in SO(d) \),

\[ \Upsilon_R : T^* \mathbb{R}^d \times Z_d \to T^* \mathbb{R}^d \times Z_d; \quad (q, p, Q, P) \mapsto (Rq, Rp, RQ, RP). \]

Then:

(i) \( \Upsilon \) is a symplectic action with respect to the symplectic form (29), i.e., \( \Upsilon_R^* \Omega = \Omega \) for any \( R \in SO(d) \).

(ii) The Hamiltonian (31) is invariant under the action, i.e., \( H \circ \Upsilon_R = H \) for any \( R \in SO(d) \).

(iii) The semiclassical system (31) conserves the semiclassical angular momentum \( J : T^* \mathbb{R}^d \times Z_d \to so(d)^* \) defined by

\[ J(q, p, Q, P) = q \odot p + \frac{\hbar}{2}(P_1 Q_1^T + P_2 Q_2^T - Q_1 P_1^T - Q_2 P_2^T), \]

where \( q \odot p \) denotes the \( d \times d \) matrix defined by \( (q \odot p)_{ij} := q_i p_i - q_i p_j \); see, e.g., Holm [11, Remark 6.3.3 on p. 150]. The angular momentum map \( J \) is equivariant as well, i.e., for any \( R \in SO(d) \),

\[ J \circ \Upsilon_R = Ad_R^* J. \]

**Proof.** It is straightforward computations to see that \( \Upsilon_R^* \Omega = \Omega \) and \( H \circ \Upsilon_R = H \) for any \( R \in SO(d) \). For the symplecticity of \( \Upsilon \), one may alternatively identify \( T^* \mathbb{R}^d \times Z_d \) with the cotangent bundle \( T^*(\mathbb{R}^d \times M_{d \times 2d}(\mathbb{R})) \) and then see that \( \Upsilon \) is the cotangent lift of the action

\[ SO(d) \times (\mathbb{R}^d \times M_{d \times 2d}) \to (\mathbb{R}^d \times M_{d \times 2d}); \quad (R, (q, Q_1, Q_2)) \mapsto (Rq, RQ_1, RQ_2); \]

see, e.g., Marsden and Ratiu [13, Proposition 6.3.2 on p. 170].
Let us find the corresponding momentum map, i.e., the angular momentum for the semiclassical system \((31)\). For any \(\xi \in \mathfrak{so}(d)\), its infinitesimal generator on \(T^*\mathbb{R}^d \times \Sigma_d\) is given by
\[
\xi_{T^*\mathbb{R}^d \times \Sigma_d}(q, p, Q, P) := \left. \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \Upsilon(\exp(\varepsilon \xi))(q, p, Q, P) \right|_{\varepsilon=0}
\]
\[
= (\xi q)^j \frac{\partial}{\partial q^j} + (\xi p)^j \frac{\partial}{\partial p^j} + \sum_{l=1}^2 \left( (\xi Q_l)^k \frac{\partial}{\partial Q_{l,k}} + (\xi P_l)^k \frac{\partial}{\partial P_{l,k}} \right),
\]
where the indices \(j\) and \(k\) run from 1 to \(d\). Since \(T^*\mathbb{R}^d \times \Sigma_d\) is an exact symplectic manifold with symplectic form \(\Omega = -d\Theta\) with
\[
\Theta := \text{pr}^*_1 \Theta_{T^*\mathbb{R}^d} + \frac{\hbar}{2} \text{pr}^*_2 \Theta_{\Sigma_d} = p_j \, dq^j + \frac{\hbar}{2} \left[ \text{tr}(P_1^T dQ_1) + \text{tr}(P_2^T dQ_2) \right],
\]
the corresponding angular momentum \(J : T^*\mathbb{R}^d \times \Sigma_d \to \mathfrak{so}(d)^*\) is given by
\[
\langle J(q, p, Q, P), \xi \rangle = \Theta(\xi_{T^*\mathbb{R}^d \times \Sigma_d}(q, p, Q, P)) \quad \text{or} \quad \langle J(\cdot), \xi \rangle = i_{\xi_{T^*\mathbb{R}^d \times \Sigma_d}} \Theta,
\]
and straightforward computations yield
\[
\langle J(q, p, Q, P), \xi \rangle = p \cdot \xi q + \frac{\hbar}{2} \text{tr}(P_1^T \xi Q_1 + P_2^T \xi Q_2)
\]
\[
= \left\langle \left. \bigg( q \odot p + \frac{\hbar}{2} (P_1 Q_1^T + P_2 Q_2^T - Q_1 P_1^T - Q_2 P_2^T) \bigg), \xi \right. \right\rangle,
\]
where we identified \(\mathfrak{so}(d)^*\) with \(\mathfrak{so}(d)\) via the inner product as in \((12)\):
\[
\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathfrak{so}(d) \times \mathfrak{so}(d) \to \mathbb{R}; \quad (\xi, \eta) \mapsto \langle \xi, \eta \rangle := \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\xi^T \eta).
\]
So we obtain \((35)\): it is a conserved quantity of the system \((31)\) due to the \(\text{SO}(d)\)-invariance of the Hamiltonian \(H\) and Noether’s Theorem (see, e.g., Marsden and Ratiu \cite{13}, Theorem 11.4.1 on p. 372).

The equivariance of \(J\) is easy to show by direct calculations:
\[
J(Rq, Rp, RQ, RP) = R J(q, p, Q, P) R^T.
\]
The equivariance also follows from the fact that \(\Upsilon\) is a cotangent lift as mentioned earlier; see, e.g., Marsden and Ratiu \cite{13}, Theorem 12.1.4 on p. 386. \(\square\)

Assuming that \((q, p, Q, P)\) is in the invariant manifold \(\mathbf{M}^{-1}(J) = T^*\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathfrak{sp}(2d, \mathbb{R})\), i.e., \([\text{Re} Q \text{ Im} P \text{ Re} P \text{ Im} P^T] \in \mathfrak{sp}(2d, \mathbb{R})\) or equivalently \((19)\), the setup and result in the above proposition descend from \(\mathbf{M}^{-1}(J)\) to \(T^*\mathbb{R}^d \times \Sigma_d\) and recover the semiclassical angular momentum found in Ohsawa \cite{18}. In fact, the action \(\Upsilon\) induces an \(\text{SO}(d)\)-action \(\Gamma : \text{SO}(d) \times (T^*\mathbb{R}^d \times \Sigma_d) \to T^*\mathbb{R}^d \times \Sigma_d\) so that the diagram
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
T^*\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathfrak{sp}(2d, \mathbb{R}) & \xrightarrow{\Upsilon \mid_{\mathbf{M}^{-1}(J)}} & T^*\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathfrak{sp}(2d, \mathbb{R}) \\
\Pi_J \downarrow & & \downarrow \Pi_J \\
T^*\mathbb{R}^d \times \Sigma_d & \xrightarrow{\Gamma_R} & T^*\mathbb{R}^d \times \Sigma_d
\end{array}
\]
commutes: For any \(R \in \text{SO}(d)\), we have
\[
\Gamma_R : T^*\mathbb{R}^d \times \Sigma_d \to T^*\mathbb{R}^d \times \Sigma_d; \quad (q, p, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \mapsto (Rq, Rp, R\mathcal{A}R^T, R\mathcal{B}R^T). \quad (36)
\]
This coincides with (26) in Ohsawa [18]; in fact $\Gamma$ is a symplectic action with respect to the symplectic form (32), i.e., $\Gamma^*_R \Omega = \Omega$ for any $R \in \text{SO}(d)$.

Notice that $\text{Re}(PQ^* - QP^*) = P_1Q_1^* + P_2Q_2^* - Q_1P_1^* - Q_2P_2^*$ with $Q = Q_1 + iQ_2$ and $P = P_1 + iP_2$ as defined above. Then (19) and (21) give (recall that $\pi_U(d) = \pi_J$)

$$\pi_J(Q, P) = PQ^{-1} = A + iB$$

as well as $QQ^* = B^{-1}$ because (Lubich [12, Lemma V.1.1 on p. 124])

$$BQQ^* = \text{Im}(PQ^{-1})QQ^*$$

$$= \frac{1}{2i} (PQ^{-1} - (Q^*)^{-1}P^*)QQ^*$$

$$= \frac{1}{2i} (PQ^* - (Q^*)^{-1}P^*QQ^*)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2i} [PQ^* - (Q^*)^{-1}(Q^*P - 2iI_d)Q^*] = I_d,$$

where we used the second equality of (19). Therefore,

$$PQ^* - QP^* = PQ^{-1}(QQ^*) - Q(P^*Q)Q^{-1}$$

$$= PQ^{-1}(QQ^*) - Q(Q^*P - 2iI_d)Q^{-1}$$

$$= (A + iB)B^{-1} - B^{-1}(A + iB) + 2iI_d$$

$$= [A, B^{-1}] + 2iI_d,$$

and hence we have $J_{|T^*\mathbb{R}^d \times Sp(2d, \mathbb{R})} = \mathcal{J} \circ \Pi_J$ with $\mathcal{J} : T^*\mathbb{R}^d \times \Sigma_d \to \mathfrak{so}(d)^*$ defined by

$$\mathcal{J}(q, p, A, B) = q \circ p - \frac{\hbar}{2} [B^{-1}, A].$$

This is exactly the semiclassical angular momentum for (31) derived via the action (36) in Ohsawa [18, Theorem 3.1].

The above semiclassical angular momentum is a natural one in the quantum mechanical sense as well, as mentioned in Ohsawa [18, Section III.B]. In fact, set $d = 3$ and let $\hat{x}$ and $\hat{p} := -i\hbar \nabla$ be the position and angular operators; then one can show that, again assuming $(q, p, Q, P) \in M^{-1}(J)$,

$$J(q, p, Q, P) = \mathcal{J}(q, p, A, B) = \langle \psi_0, (\hat{x} \times \hat{p})\psi_0 \rangle,$$

where $\psi_0$ is either (16) or (18).
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