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Abstract. The mass loss from the Greenland Ice Sheet has increased over the past 2 decades. Marine-terminating glaciers contribute significantly to this mass loss due to increased melting and ice discharge. Periods of rapid retreat of these tidewater glaciers have been linked to the concurrent inflow of warm Atlantic-sourced waters. However, little is known about the variability of these Atlantic-derived waters within the fjords, due to a lack of multi-annual in situ measurements. Thus, to better understand the potential role of ocean warming on glacier retreat, reconstructions that characterize the variability of Atlantic water inflow to the fjords are required. Here, we investigate foraminiferal assemblages in a sediment core from Upernavik Fjord, West Greenland, in which the major ice stream Upernavik Isstrøm terminates. We conclude that the foraminiferal assemblage is predominantly controlled by changes in bottom water composition and provide a reconstruction of Atlantic water inflow to Upernavik Fjord, spanning the period 1925–2012. This reconstruction reveals peak Atlantic water influx during the 1930s and again after 2000, a pattern that is comparable to the Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation (AMO). The comparison of these results to historical observations of front positions of Upernavik Isstrøm reveals that inflow of warm Atlantic-derived waters likely contributed to high retreat rates in the 1930s and after 2000. However, moderate retreat rates of Upernavik Isstrøm also prevailed in the 1960s and 1970s, showing that glacier retreat continued despite a reduced Atlantic water inflow, albeit at a lower rate. Considering the link between bottom water variability and the AMO in Upernavik Fjord, and the fact that a persistent negative phase of the AMO is expected for the next decade, Atlantic water inflow into the fjord may decrease in the coming decade, potentially minimizing or stabilizing the retreat of Upernavik Isstrøm during this time interval.

1 Introduction

Mass loss from the Greenland Ice Sheet (GrIS) has accelerated over the two most recent decades, raising its contribution to the ongoing global sea-level rise. Currently, about one-third of the mass loss is attributed to dynamic loss in the form of ice discharge from large tidewater glaciers (van den Broeke et al., 2016). The processes controlling the instability of these glaciers are, however, still not well understood, and, therefore, the capability of computational models to predict the rate of future mass loss remains limited. During the early 2000s a rapid retreat of tidewater glaciers along the southeastern (SE) sector (Rignot et al., 2004), central west sector (Holland et al., 2008), and northwest (NW) sector (Bjørk et al., 2012; Joughin et al., 2013; Khan et al., 2010) was observed. This retreat coincided with a warming of the ocean waters in southeastern and western Greenland and led to the hypothesis that shifting ocean currents exert a major control...
on the dynamic behaviour of these glaciers (Holland et al., 2008; Straneo et al., 2013). Several studies have examined past ice–ocean interactions to investigate the importance of ocean forcing on a longer timescale (Andresen et al., 2010, 2011, 2017; Dyke et al., 2017; Lloyd et al., 2011; Wangner et al., 2018), but few have the temporal resolution needed for an in-depth study of (sub-)decadal dynamics (Andresen et al., 2012b; Drinkwater et al., 2014). In West Greenland specifically, only a few observations or detailed reconstructions of bottom water temperatures throughout the 20th century exist (Lloyd et al., 2011; Ribergaard et al., 2008). Sediment records in Greenlandic fjords with marine-terminating glaciers are usually characterized by relatively high sedimentation rates (Dowdeswell et al., 1998), thus allowing environmental reconstructions with a high temporal resolution. Benthic foraminiferal communities are particularly sensitive to environmental conditions, and shifts in species composition can be used to reconstruct relative changes of bottom water masses (Murray, 2006).

Here, we investigate foraminiferal assemblages in a marine sediment core from Upernavik Fjord, northwestern Greenland. We evaluate which environmental factors influence the foraminiferal assemblages and reconstruct Atlantic water inflow to Upernavik Isstrøm since 1925. These results are compared to historical records of the Upernavik Isstrøm ice-front retreat in order to evaluate whether periods of increased Atlantic water inflow in the fjord are associated with glacier retreat.

2 Study area and previous research

Upernavik Fjord has a length of ~60 km and is 5–7 km wide. The fjord floor has been mapped with a Multibeam Echo Sounder System (MBES) as part of NASA’s Oceans Melting Greenland mission (NASA OMG Mission, 2016). The outer part of the fjord is characterized by steep sided walls and a flat fjord floor at ~900 m water depth (Fig. 1). Recent CTD measurements have revealed a stratified water column in Upernavik Isfjord with evidence for warm-water entrainment at depth near the glacier front (below ~250 m) (Andresen et al., 2014; Fenty et al., 2016). This warm-water layer flows below a colder and fresher surface water layer. The stratification is the result of two ocean currents that influence the hydrography of the fjord (Fig. 1). The West Greenland Current (WGC) flows northward as a subsurface current along the West Greenland margin and transports relatively warm, saline waters (mean temperature > 4°C, mean salinity > 34.9 PSU; Myers et al., 2007). The West Greenland Current originates from the Irminger Current (IC) in the Atlantic Ocean (Fig. 1). Along its path from east to west, the Irminger Current (and subsequently the West Greenland Current) mixes with colder and fresher waters of the East Greenland Current, which transports cold and less saline waters from the Arctic Ocean as a near-surface current (< 1°C, < 34.9 PSU; Sutherland and Pickart, 2008). Local waters, heavily influenced by meltwater from the Greenland Ice Sheet, are found at the very surface of the West Greenland Current. The West Greenland Current reaches Upernavik Fjord via a deep trough (~700 m) (Fig. 1).
Upernavik Isstrøm is a major ice stream in northwestern Greenland, draining a significant part of the Greenland Ice sheet (∼65,000 km²) (Haubner et al., 2018) (Fig. 1). In 1886, Upernavik Isstrøm was characterized by a single glacier front, but since then it has retreated into different branches of the fjord (Weidick et al., 1958). Currently, four glaciers calve into the fjord waters (named Upernavik 1 to 4, Fig. 2).

Upernavik Isstrøm is one of few outlet glaciers in Greenland with historical observations of its ice-front position throughout the 20th century. A compilation of these shows retreat of Upernavik Isstrøm starting in 1930 (Khan et al., 2013; Weidick, 1958). These observations were used to prescribe a 3-D model that estimated mass loss between 1849 and 2012 (Haubner et al., 2018). This revealed a period with near zero mass loss between 1849 and 1932, mass loss dominated by ice dynamical flow between 1932 and 1998, and finally a total mass loss due to an increased negative surface mass balance, as well as increased ice dynamical loss, with a magnitude twice that of any earlier period between 1998 and 2012. A study of aerial photographs revealed a shift from mass loss dominated by thinning of the southern glaciers between 1985 and 2005 to mass loss mainly due to thinning of the northern glaciers between 2005 and 2010 (Kjær et al., 2012). Asynchronous behaviour of the different glaciers after 2005 was also described by Larsen et al. (2016); this study showed an acceleration of Upernavik 1 and Upernavik 2 in 2006 and 2009, respectively, while the southern glaciers Upernavik 3 and 4 remained stable. Sediment cores from Upernavik fjord were investigated for their ice-rafted debris (IRD) content and compared to historical glacier front observations (Vermassen et al., 2019a). This study demonstrated that the spatial variability of IRD patterns are high and that randomness inherent to ice-rafting largely overprints the glaciological signal (i.e. iceberg calving) within this fjord. Their use as an indicator of glacier stability was improved by producing a composite record based on multiple cores, but due to the complexities associated with the interpretation of the IRD record we focus on comparing the results of the benthic foraminifera analysis with historical observations of glacier margins in this study.

3 Methods

3.1 Core collection

Sediment core POR13-05 (172 cm, 72.945° N, 55.620° W) was collected from 900 m water depth in August 2013 during a cruise with R/V Porsild. Coring was undertaken with a Rumohr corer (Meischner and Ruhnhold, 1974). This type of device is specifically designed to avoid sediment disturbance during coring, thereby ensuring preservation of the core top. This study focuses on the top 50 cm of the core.

3.2 Grain-size analysis

The core was sampled continuously every centimetre for grain-size analysis. In order to calculate the water content, sample weight was measured before and after freeze-drying. Wet-sieving was performed on all samples, separating them into three grain-size fractions (< 63, 63–125, and > 125 µm). For the > 125 µm fraction, singular pebbles > 0.01 g were discarded from the measurements to avoid distortion of the analysis due to the occurrence of an individual large grain (Wangner et al., 2018). The different fractions were weighed and the individual percentages were calculated relative to the total dry weight. A detailed discussion and methodology of IRD variability can be found in Vermassen et al. (2019a).

3.3 Age model

Sediment ages were determined with $^{210}\text{Pb}$ dating. Seven samples, each obtained from a 1 cm interval, were freeze-dried, and 4–6 g of dried sediment was then conditioned in sealed vials. A well-type gamma detector Cryocycle-I (Canberra) at the laboratory UMR5805 EPOC (University of Bordeaux, France) was used to measure $^{210}\text{Pb}$, $^{226}\text{Ra}$, and $^{137}\text{Cs}$. Estimated errors of radionuclide activities are based on 1 standard deviation counting statistics. Excess $^{210}\text{Pb}$ ($^{210}\text{Pb}_{ex}$) is calculated by subtracting the activity supported by its parent isotope, $^{226}\text{Ra}$, from the total $^{210}\text{Pb}$ activity in the sediment. The CF-CS (constant flux, constant sedimentation) model was applied to calculate a sedimentation rate. The sedimentation rate was then used to calculate sediment ages. The sediment surface was assumed to represent the year of core acquisition (2013).
3.4 Foraminifera analysis

Sediment slices (1 cm) were sub-sampled for foraminiferal studies (6–13 g of wet sediment), so that they each contained an estimated amount of ∼ 300 to ∼ 500 tests. Foraminiferal assemblage analysis was performed on 26 samples from the top 50 cm of the core. This corresponds to a time resolution of ∼ 4 years between samples. The fresh samples were soaked overnight in a light alkaline solution (Na$_2$CO$_3$, 15 g L$^{-1}$) to disintegrate silt and clay clumps in the samples. Subsequently, they were wet-sieved with a 63µm sieve and stored with a storage solution, consisting of distilled water, ethanol, and sodium carbonate. In order to preserve the most fragile species, the foraminifera were wet-counted (Bergsten, 1994) together with foraminiferal organic linings. Partially dissolved tests were counted as organic linings if the tests did not display enough features to allow species identification. A minimum of 300 tests were counted in each sample. Based on previous research, species were categorized into three groups: (chilled) Atlantic water indicators, Arctic water indicators, and those with no apparent specific environmental preference (“indifferent”). We use these previously proposed categorizations to explore whether these groupings are also evident in our dataset (i.e. whether species with similar environmental preferences display similar variability in Upernavik Fjord). It should be noted that for some species, different environmental preferences have been suggested in the literature (Table 1). In those cases, we categorize the species according to the most recent literature and in accordance with sites most comparable to our study but also list the contradicting references (Table 1). A principal component analysis (PCA) of species abundances $> 0.5 \%$ was performed with the software PAST (Hammer et al., 2001). This was done to simplify analysis and visualize variation within the dataset. Abundances were normalized before PCA analysis by calculating z scores to avoid skewing of the data by species with large abundances. Correlations of time series were calculated in Microsoft Excel using the Pearson correlation function.

4 Results

4.1 Core lithology and sedimentology

Visual observations of the core section reveal a brown mud (code 10 R 5/6, Munsell, 1912) with sub-angular to sub-rounded pebbles interspersed throughout the core (Fig. 3). The split core surface shows no sign of bioturbation, as also confirmed by the X-ray image and the age model. Grain-size measurements and the X-ray image show that the lower part (172–90 cm) of core POR13-05 is characterized by an alternation of mud-supported diamicton vs. homogeneous mud without pebbles. The mid-section of the core contains a thick, sandy turbidite, characterized by a fining-upwards trend (90–70 cm), capped by a muddy, pebble-free unit at 68–53 cm (Fig. 3). The upper-part of the core (53–0 cm) is composed of massive, mud-supported diamicton, predominantly comprised of clay (> 90 %) interspersed with larger clasts up to pebble size.

4.2 Age model

The 50 cm displays a logarithmic decay of $^{210}$Pb, indicating continuous sedimentation (Fig. 4). Based on the CF–CS model a sedimentation rate of 0.58 cm yr$^{-1}$ was derived. This corresponds to an age of ±1925 yr at 50 cm depth. The turbidite at 92–65 cm hinders dating further downcore.

4.3 Foraminifera assemblage variability

A total of 35 benthic foraminiferal species were identified, of which 16 species are calcareous and 19 agglutinated (see Table 1 for full species names). The planktonic foraminifera *Neogloboquadrina pachyderma* (sinistral) is only present in two distinct intervals, between 1–8 and 44–50 cm, albeit at very low abundances (< 5 counts g$^{-1}$).

Due to relatively low counts, the percentage distribution of each species is calculated relative to the total benthic assemblage, i.e. both calcareous and agglutinated specimens (Fig. 5). The species representing $> 0.5 \%$ of the total assemblage are presented. However, the counts and percentages of
Table 1. Overview of environmental preferences of the benthic foraminiferal species identified in this study. The original references and descriptions of the taxa can be found in Ellis and Messina (1949, updated up to 2007).

| Agglutinated species | First description | Atlantic influenced | References | Arctic References | Indifferent References | Productivity References |
|----------------------|-------------------|---------------------|------------|------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| Adercotryma glomerata | Brady (1878)      | x                   | Lloyd (2006), Lloyd et al. (2011), Perner et al. (2013), Sheldon et al. (2016), Wangner et al. (2018) |
| Cribrostomoides crassimargo | Norman (1858)     | x                   | Sheldon et al. (2016) |
| Cuneata arctica      | Brady (1881)      | x                   | Lloyd, (2006), Lloyd et al. (2011), Sheldon et al. (2016) |
| Portatrochammina bipolaris (often described as Portatrochammina karica) | Brönnimann and Whittaker (1980) | x | Jennings et al. (2017) |
| Reophax catella      | Höglund (1947)    | x                   | Jennings et al. (2017), Sheldon et al. (2016) |
| Reophax catenata     | Höglund (1947)    | x                   | Jennings et al. (2017) |
| Reophax subfusiformis| Earland (1933)    | x                   | Jennings et al. (2017), Wangner et al. (2018) |
| Lagenammina difficagiformis (Reophax difficagiformis) | Brady (1879) | x | Perter et al. (2013), Jennings et al. (2017), Sheldon et al. (2016), Wangner et al. (2018) |
| Spiroplectammina biformis | Parker and Jones (1865) | x | Lloyd (2006), Lloyd et al. (2011), Perner et al. (2011, 2013), Jennings et al. (2017), Wangner et al. (2018) |
| Agglutinated species | First description | Atlantic influenced | References       | Arctic References | Indifferent References | Productivity References |}
|----------------------|-------------------|---------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| *Textularia earlandi*| Parker (1952)     | x                   | Jennings et al. (2017) | x                 | Lloyd (2006), Lloyd et al. (2011), Jennings et al. (2017), Sheldon et al. (2016), Wangner et al. (2018) |
| *Cuneata arctica*    | Brady (1881)      | x                   |                  |                   | Lloyd (2006), Lloyd et al. (2011), Perner et al. (2011, 2013), Jennings et al. (2017), Wangner et al. (2018) |
| *Textularia torquata*| Parker (1952)     | x                   |                  | Perner et al. (2013), Sheldon et al. (2016), Wangner et al. (2018) | x Lloyd (2006), Lloyd et al. (2011), Perner et al. (2011) |
| *Bolivina pseudopunctata* | Höglund (1947) | x                   | Lloyd (2006)     | x                  | Lloyd et al. (2011), Perner et al. (2011, 2013) | x Sheldon et al. (2016) |
| *Buccella frigida*   | Cushman (1922)    | x                   | Jennings et al. (2017) | x                 | Lloyd et al. (2011), Perner et al. (2011, 2013) | x Jennings et al. (2017) |
| *Cassidulina reniforme* | Cushman (1930) | x                   | Lloyd et al. (2011, 2013), Jennings et al. (2017) | x Sheldon et al. (2016) |
| *Cibicides lobatulus*| Walker and Jacob (1798) | x                   |                  |                   | x Lloyd (2006), Lloyd et al. (2011), Perner et al. (2011, 2013) |
| *Elphidium clavatum* | Cushman (1930)    | x                   | Lloyd et al. (2011, 2013), Jennings et al. (2017), Sheldon et al. (2016) | x Wangner et al. (2018) |
| *Epistominella takayanagi* | Iwasa (1955) |                        |                  |                   |                        |
| *Globobulimina auriculata arctica* | Höglund (1947) | x                   |                  | Perner et al. (2013) |

Table 1. Continued.
Table 1. Continued.

| Agglutinated species | First description | Atlantic influenced | References | Arctic References | Indifferent References | Productivity References | References |
|----------------------|-------------------|---------------------|------------|------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------|
| Islandiella helenae  | Feyling-Hanssen and Buzas (1976) | x | Lloyd (2006), Perner et al. (2011, 2013), Jennings et al. (2017), Wangner et al. (2018) | x | Jennings et al. (2017) |
| Islandiella norcrossi | Cushman (1933) | x | Perner et al. (2011, 2013), Jennings et al. (2017), Sheldon et al. (2016), Wangner et al. (2018) | x | Lloyd (2006) |
| Melonis barleeanus   | Williamson (1858) | x | Lloyd (2006), Jennings et al. (2017), Sheldon et al. (2016), Wangner et al. (2018) | x | Perner et al. (2013) | x | Jennings et al. (2017), Sheldon et al. (2016) |
| Nonionellina auricula | Heron-Allen and Earland (1930) | Lloyd et al. (2006), Wangner et al. (2018) | x | Jennings et al. (2017), Sheldon et al. (2016) |
| Nonionellina labradorica | Dawson (1860) | x | Lloyd (2006), Wangner et al. (2018) | x | Lloyd et al. (2011), Perner et al. (2011, 2013) | x | Jennings et al. (2017), Sheldon et al. (2016) |
| Nonionellina turgida | Williamson (1858) | x | Jennings et al. (2017) | x | Jennings et al. (2017) |
| Pullenia osloensis   | Feyling-Hanssen (1954) | x | Lloyd et al. (2011), Perner et al. (2011, 2013), Sheldon et al. (2016) | x | Sheldon et al. (2016) |
| Reussoolina laevis    | Montagut (1803) | x | Lloyd (2006), Jennings et al. (2017) | x | Jennings et al. (2017), Sheldon et al. (2016) |
| Silicosigmoilina groenlandica | Loeblich and Tappan (1953) | x | Lloyd (2006), Lloyd et al. (2011), Perner et al. (2011, 2013), Jennings et al. (2017), Wangner et al. (2018) | x | Jennings et al. (2017), Sheldon et al. (2016) |
| Stainforthia concava  | Höglund (1947) | x | Lloyd (2006), Jennings et al. (2017) | x | Jennings et al. (2017), Sheldon et al. (2016) |
| Stainforthia feylingi | Knudsen and Seidenkrantz (1994) | x | Lloyd (2006), Lloyd et al. (2011), Perner et al. (2011, 2013), Jennings et al. (2017), Wangner et al. (2018) | x | Jennings et al. (2017), Sheldon et al. (2016) |
| Trifarina fluens      | Todd (1948) | x | Lloyd (2006), Wangner et al. (2018) | x | Perner et al. (2013) |
species with lower abundances can be found in the supplementary material (Table S2). As the calcareous vs. agglutinated foraminiferal concentrations may also be affected by dissolution of calcareous tests and post-mortem degradation of agglutinated specimens, we also show percentage data of calcareous species relative to only the calcareous fauna and agglutinated species only relative to the agglutinated assemblages in the supplementary material (Fig. S1). Samples with < 70 specimens are excluded from these calculations due to the high uncertainty related to low count numbers (Fig. S1).

We base our habitat categorization on previous studies from Disko Bugt (Lloyd et al., 2007, 2011; Perner et al., 2011, 2013; Wangner et al., 2018), the central West Greenland slope (Jennings et al., 2017), and the continental shelf (Sheldon et al., 2016). The agglutinated assemblage > 0.5 % is comprised of one species that is indicative of Atlantic waters (**A. glomerata**); the others are indicative of Arctic waters or are non-indicative (Fig. 5, Table 1). Within the calcareous assemblage (> 0.5 %), two species are indicative of (chilled) Atlantic waters (**N. labradorica**, **N. auricula**), three are indicative of Arctic water conditions (**S. feylingi**, **S. concava**, **E. clavatum**), and two are non-indicative (**C. reniforme**, **B. pseudopunctata**) (Fig. 5, Table 1).

Agglutinated species generally dominate the assemblage and range between 45 and 99 % of the total assemblage. Among these, **T. earlandi** and **S. biformis** are the most abundant species with a median of 17 % and 14 %, respectively. The other agglutinated species are markedly less abundant with medians < 5 %. The most abundant calcareous species are **S. feylingi** and **E. clavatum**, with a median of 16 % and 4 % of the total count, respectively. The median percentage of other calcareous species is lower than 2 %.

The variability in species assemblage is broadly characterized by three intervals. Between 50 and 25 cm, the percentage of calcareous species is high, varying between 40 % and 70 % (Fig. 5). Between 25 and 12 cm, calcareous abundances are low (< 20 %) with almost none present between 16 and 12 cm. Between 12 and 0 cm, the amount of calcareous species increases again up to 40 %, and high values of 40–50 % occur between 6 and 0 cm. The concentration of foraminifera, expressed as counts per gram (g) of wet sediment, varies generally between 60 and 150 counts g⁻¹. Outliers with anomalously low and high counts per gram are present at 45 cm (21 counts g⁻¹) and 1 cm (308 counts g⁻¹), respectively. The amount of organic linings per gram shows a trend that is inversely proportional to the percentage of calcareous species. Since very few calcareous species are present between 7 and 22 cm, this interval does not allow potential changes in bottom waters masses to be estimated from the calcareous assemblage. Within the agglutinated fauna (> 0.5 %), there is only one Atlantic water indicator, which also questions whether shifts within the agglutinated assemblage alone can be used as a reliable reconstruction of Atlantic vs. Arctic water masses. Also, ecological preferences of agglutinated species have received less regard than those of calcareous fauna.

### 4.4 PCA analysis

Principal components 1 and 2 (PC1 and PC2) explain 28.6 % and 16.8 % of the variance in the dataset, respectively. The loadings plot reveals a clustering of three main groups of foraminifer species (Fig. 6). The first group is characterized by strongly negative PC1 loadings (group 1). This group consists of calcareous species, both (chilled) Atlantic water and Arctic indicators. The second group consists of cold-water agglutinated species, characterized by strongly positive PC1 loadings (group 2). The third group consists mostly of species that are not indicative of a specific water mass (group 3). These species have low loadings for PC1 and strongly negative loadings for PC2. These results show that variability within the dataset can be predominantly explained by shifts between calcareous vs. agglutinated species (i.e. PC1). However, to investigate relative shifts within the respective assemblages, we also show abundances and PCA analysis relative to wall composition (Figs. S2 and S3).
5 Discussion

5.1 Environmental controls on species abundance in Upernavik Fjord

Foraminifera are sensitive to changes in water depth, substrate, bottom water characteristics (temperature, salinity), and nutrient flux (Lloyd, 2006b; Murray, 2006). Species displaying the same pattern of variability respond to similar environmental changes. To determine which environmental parameters control species abundances in Upernavik Fjord, we compare our PCA results with the ecological preferences of species as suggested in the literature.

PC1 is dominated by the opposing trends observed in the abundance of agglutinated and calcareous species (Fig. 6). The fact that the agglutinated species T. torquata, S. biformis, P. bipolaris, and R. turbinatus show similar trends is expected since the literature suggests they thrive in similar environmental conditions, i.e. cold Arctic waters (Table 1). It should be noted that even though previous studies attributed these species to Arctic waters, they have also been identified in sediments in Gullmar Fjord, southeastern Sweden (Höglund, 1947; Polovodova Asteman et al., 2013). Therefore, we add a cautionary note that these species could in fact be more cosmopolitan than commonly assumed. Nevertheless, to remain consistent with recent studies in West Greenland we consider them here indicative of Arctic waters.

In the calcareous assemblage the Arctic water indicators S. concava and S. feylingi display similar trends as the (chilled) Atlantic water indicators N. labradorica and N. auricula (Lloyd, 2006b; Lloyd et al., 2007; Wangner et al., 2018). Similar trends in these species could also be because they have all (except N. auricula) been suggested as indicators of high-productivity environments; i.e. they thrive when the flux of organic material from surface waters is high (Jennings et al., 2017, and references therein). Similarity with the pattern of abundance of B. pseudopunctata, a species that is considered indifferent to changes in Atlantic or Arctic waters but sensitive to surface water productivity (Sheldon et al., 2016), further supports this interpretation. Thus, the PCA results suggest that the assemblage diversity is influenced both by environmental factors that control the ratio of agglutinated/calcareous species, as well as by changes of the primary productivity in the fjord.

The ratio between calcareous and agglutinated foraminifera is often used as a proxy for environmental change, as these two groups have different environmental preferences and preservation potential; large shifts between agglutinated and calcareous species are commonly seen in
Arctic records (Andresen et al., 2012a; Seidenkrantz et al., 2007). An important factor controlling the abundance of calcareous foraminifera is the concentration of \( \text{HCO}_3^- \) in the ambient water. In cold waters the calcification process may be limited by a lack of \( \text{HCO}_3^- \), while warmer waters promote calcification. In addition, and perhaps more importantly, the preservation of calcareous specimens can be influenced by post-mortem dissolution. Colder waters contain more \( \text{CO}_2 \) and are thus less alkaline than warmer waters; this promotes dissolution of calcareous tests. Test dissolution is common in Arctic waters and appears similarly important in Upernavik Fjord, implied by the strong variation in the total calcareous abundance and an interval dominated by agglutinated fauna (7–22 cm). The fact that post-mortem dissolution plays an important role in explaining the patterns of our dataset is further supported by the inverse relationship between the percentage of calcareous species and foraminiferal test linings (Fig. 6). Organic linings are less susceptible to dissolution than calcareous tests and remain better preserved under corrosive conditions. Hence, during periods with relatively warm waters entering the fjord, carbonate tests are better preserved, whereas colder water conditions will result in a higher abundance of organic linings. Warm subsurface (bottom) waters prevail in the fjord when the Atlantic-derived portion of the West Greenland Current is high. Conversely, when the Atlantic portion of the West Greenland Current is low and contribution of Arctic-sourced waters (i.e. East Greenland Current) is high, colder bottom waters will corrode calcareous benthic foraminiferal tests. Therefore, we suggest that the abundance of calcareous foraminifera here represents a proxy for the inflow of warm Atlantic-derived waters to the fjord. This interpretation is strengthened by a similar study in Ameralik Fjord (southwestern Greenland), where the percentage of calcareous foraminifera was used as a proxy for the influx of warm Atlantic-derived waters (Seidenkrantz et al., 2007; Seidenkrantz, 2013). In that study, it was suggested that calcification of benthic foraminiferal tests is prevented at times of sea-ice growth due to the associated formation of \( \text{CO}_2 \)-rich brine waters. In Upernavik Fjord we also suggest an influence of bottom water alkalinity on foraminifer assemblage, but it should be noted that the mechanism by which corrosive cold water currents circulate in the fjord is probably different. In contrast to Ameralik Fjord, the absence of a sill separating Upernavik Fjord from Baffin Bay allows a more direct connection to the open ocean. This permits shelf currents to enter the fjord, and together with outflowing surface meltwater this results in a strong circulation in the fjord (buoyancy-driven circulation; Cowton et al., 2016). Thus, bottom waters are likely well ventilated and influenced directly by inflowing bottom waters rather than by the sinking of brine waters. It is important to note, however, that high sedimentation rates may shield calcareous fauna from dissolution; fast burial of benthic fauna limits the time available for dissolution by bottom waters and this has been observed in the glaciomarine environment (Lloyd,
2006a; Lloyd et al., 2005). Since the age model of core POR13-05 reveals a constant sedimentation rate, variations in sedimentation rate probably do not affect preservation of the calcareous fauna in this study.

Furthermore, we propose a possible influence of Atlantic waters on the nutrient level in the fjord, which in turn affects the benthic calcareous species assemblage. Recent research showed that primary productivity in a fjord with a marine-terminating glacier is predominantly controlled by rising subsurface meltwater plumes entraining ambient nutrient-rich deep water to the surface (Meire et al., 2017). Productivity in a fjord with a land-terminating glacier (Young, northeastern Greenland) and one with marine-terminating glaciers (Godthåbsfjord, southwestern Greenland) were compared, revealing that the limiting amount of nutrients available for phytoplankton in these fjords is predominantly delivered through upwelling of Atlantic-derived waters (Meire et al., 2017). Periods with more Atlantic water inflow would thus lead to a higher primary productivity, which in turn would favour mesotrophic and eutrophic benthic species at the seafloor. Such a mechanism might explain the similar abundance trends of the Atlantic indicators N. labradorica and N. auricula, together with the cold-water productivity indicators S.feylingi and S. concava and the mesotrophic indicator B. pseudopunctata, all species here essentially responding to variations in bottom-water nutrient levels (Figs. 5 and 6). However, these inferences with regard to nutrient level in the fjord and its relation to benthic fauna should be substantiated with additional proxies that reconstruct primary productivity, and future research in glacial fjords is required to confirm this interpretation.

The planktonic foraminifera N. pachyderma (sinistral) occurs concurrently with high abundances of benthic calcareous species in POR13-05 and also simultaneously with the highest temperatures observed in the West Greenland Current (Ribergaard et al., 2008), i.e. during 1925–1935 CE and after 2000 CE (Fig. 8). N. pachyderma is a polar species that typically dominates the planktonic assemblage high-latitude environments (Schiebel et al., 2017). However, planktonic foraminifera in general do not tolerate reduced salinities and the Arctic types of N. pachyderma seems to avoid salinities < 32 PSU (Carstens et al., 1997). The presence of planktonic foraminifera may thus be considered a proxy for the influx of oceanic water. In Upernavik Fjord, the upper water layer is enriched by glacial meltwater, leading to a relatively fresh upper water layer (Fenty et al., 2016) and to generally unfavourable conditions for planktonic foraminifera. Hence, we suggest that when the Atlantic-derived water layer increases and thickens, reaching shallower depths and increasing salinity levels, this may allow N. pachyderma (sinistral) to inhabit Upernavik Fjord. A similar scenario has been described in northern Baffin Bay, where changes in the abundance of N. pachyderma (sinistral) has been linked to variable freshwater flux and associated salinity changes (Knudsen et al., 2008).

In summary, we suggest that the dominant control on the composition of the foraminiferal assemblage essentially relates to (post-mortem) dissolution of calcareous species, controlled by variations in the alkalinity of the West Greenland Current and associated contribution of Atlantic water. As a potential second control, an increased inflow of Atlantic waters is associated with upwelling of nutrients and thus higher primary productivity, which could favour benthic species that thrive under a high supply of organic matter from surface waters. The latter control should be confirmed in future research. Based on these results, we use total calcareous foraminiferal concentration as a proxy for Atlantic water inflow to Upernavik Fjord. The presence of N. pachyderma (sinistral) associated with the maximal West Greenland Current temperatures may also be used to reconstruct the inflow of Atlantic-sourced water.

5.2 Comparison of Atlantic water inflow with climatic records

The foraminiferal record indicates cold bottom water masses in the early 1920s, followed by a rapid warming that peaks in the mid-1930s, and subsequently cooling during the 1940s (Fig. 7). After the 1940s, relatively warm bottom water masses prevail, followed by a strong cooling that commences in the mid-1960s and reaches minimal values between the mid-1970s and 1990. In the early 1990s a rapid warming occurs, reaching peak values after 2005. Our reconstructed record is supported by the measured bottom water temperatures at Fylla Banke (400–600 m), located offshore from Nuuk in southwestern Greenland (Figs. 1 and 7; Ribergaard et al., 2008), which shows a similar pattern. This gives confidence in our reconstruction and confirms that bottom water changes in Upernavik Fjord are linked to the variability of the West Greenland Current. The presence of N. pachyderma (sinistral) during 1920s–mid-1930s and after 2000 could suggest that the inflow of Atlantic water into Upernavik Fjord was more intense during these periods than during 1950–1965, when a relatively high amount of calcareous species is present but N. pachyderma (sinistral) is absent.

A previous foraminifera-based reconstruction of Atlantic water influx in Disko Bugt (Lloyd et al., 2011) shows an overall comparable Atlantic water inflow pattern, except for the period 1950–1975, during which our reconstruction of warm bottom water masses in Upernavik contrasts with the colder conditions in Disko Bugt (Fig. 7). Potentially, this is related due to age uncertainties in both records, or subtle differences in the sensitivity of the foraminiferous assemblages to the prevailing bottom water mass.

The pattern of bottom water variations reconstructed here is also similar to the pattern of the Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation (NOAA ESRL, 2018; Enfield et al., 2001), with a Pearson’s correlation coefficient $r = 0.42$ and significant at $p = 0.05$. The Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation (AMO) is a 55–70-year cyclical pattern in Atlantic water tempera-
Figure 7. Comparison of results from this study of core POR13-05 (a–d) with climate records (e, f) and reconstructions from West Greenland (g) and the Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation (h). (a) Abundance of *N. pachyderma* (sinistral) (counts g\(^{-1}\)). (b) Number of organic linings per gram. (c) Scores of PC1 based on analysis of species abundances. (d) Percentage of calcareous foraminifera. (e) Water temperatures (0–40 m, June) measured from trawl surveys at Fylla Banke; data before 1950 were extended back to 1861, based on observations from a wider area (Ribegaard et al., 2008). Dark blue line indicates the 3-year running average. (f) Measured temperatures at Fylla Banke (400–600 m) (Ribegaard et al., 2008). Dark blue line indicates the 3-year running average. (g) Percentage of Atlantic water indicators from a benthic foraminifera study in Disko Bugt, West Greenland (Lloyd et al., 2011). (h) The AMO index is based on the definition by Enfield et al. (2001). Stippled lines are a visual aid for comparing the different records.

5.3 Retreat of Upernavik Isstrøm and ocean forcing
The retreat history of Upernavik Isstrøm is relatively well constrained according to historical observations (Fig. 2), although some care should be taken in interpreting this record because of the relatively low temporal resolution of the older parts of the record. By comparing this record to our reconstruction of bottom water variability, we evaluate whether ocean warming was concurrent with periods of retreat throughout the 20th and 21st centuries (Fig. 7).
Despite differences in the timing and magnitude of the retreat of the different glaciers, they broadly share the same retreat history. High retreat rates occurred between the mid-1930s and mid-1940s (400–800 m yr$^{-1}$), moderate retreat rates between 1965 and 1985 (∼200 m yr$^{-1}$, except for Upernavik 3) and high retreat rates again after 2000 (>200 m yr$^{-1}$) (Fig. 8). The largest difference between individual glaciers occurred after 2005, when the northern glaciers Upernavik 1 and Upernavik 2 retreated and thinned quickly, but the southern glaciers Upernavik 3 and Upernavik 4 remained relatively stable (Kjær et al., 2012; Larsen et al., 2016) (Fig. 8).

Our reconstruction reveals that relatively warm Atlantic-derived bottom waters prevailed in Upernavik Fjord in the 1930s and after 1995. Warm-water inflow may have triggered the substantial retreat that was observed during these periods. However, the cause of these periods of retreat cannot be attributed solely to ocean forcing since air temperatures mostly co-vary with the reconstructed bottom water variability (Fig. 8). Disentangling the relative importance between oceanic and atmospheric forcing remains challenging (Straneo and Heimbach, 2013). Both processes are inherently linked not only due to a common regional forcing of both (AMO) but also because increased glacier run-off (due to warmer air temperatures) can strengthen fjord circulation and thus increase inflow of Atlantic waters (Carroll et al., 2016; Christoffersen et al., 2011). Moderate retreat rates during 1960–1985 coincided with cooling of bottom waters, showing that retreat occurred despite a stabilizing effect from decreasing ocean water temperatures. Modelling of the ice thickness and velocity changes of Upernavik Isstrøm showed that retreat during this period was most likely perpetuated by dynamic ice discharge (Haubner et al., 2018), which is in part the result of changes in glacier dynamics due to variations in bed topography. Bed topography can play indeed a major role in glacier retreat; on reverse bed slopes glaciers are considered unstable because of the increased ice discharge associated with retreat into deeper bedrock (Jamieson et al., 2012; Nick et al., 2013). A conclusive evaluation of the role of bedrock topography during this timeframe would require a higher temporal resolution of glacier front observations, however, and is not within the scope of this study.

Altogether, our findings emphasize that the timing and magnitude of the retreat of Upernavik Isstrøm throughout the 20th and 21st centuries is not simply a function of bottom water temperature variability but reflects a complex response to multiple mechanisms.

Finally, we note that even when the inflow of Atlantic water to the fjord is high, variations in fjord bathymetry can determine whether the warm waters are able to reach the glacier front(s). This could, for example, explain the differential response of the northern glaciers (Upernavik 1 and 2) vs. the southern glaciers (Upernavik 3 and 4) after 2000 CE (Andresen et al., 2014). The northern glaciers (Upernavik 1 and 2) are positioned on a deep bed (∼900 m), whereas the southern glaciers (Upernavik 3 and 4) are positioned on a shallower bed (<400 m water depth). Because the Atlantic water layer flows at 400–900 m depth, the southern glaciers would not have been affected by warming of the Atlantic layer, in contrast to the northern glaciers.

6 Conclusions

In this study, we show that the abundance and diversity of foraminiferal species in the outer region of Upernavik Fjord is predominantly controlled by the preservation potential of calcareous species, depending on the alkalinity of the prevailing bottom water mass, which is in turn related to variations in water temperature. Therefore, we use the percentage of calcareous species as a proxy for the inflow of the warm Atlantic component of the West Greenland Current. This reconstruction spans the period 1925–2012 and broadly displays the same pattern of variability as the Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation. Comparison of our bottom water record with historical observations of glacier front positions reveals that warm subsurface waters were associated with periods of increased retreat rates of Upernavik Isstrøm during the 1930s and after 1995 CE. Conversely, moderate retreat rates of Upernavik Isstrøm during 1960–1985 were associated with cooling bottom waters, showing that this retreat was not simply a function of bottom water variability. Thus, our study shows that while warming of ocean waters in Upernavik fjord likely contributed to the retreat phases during the 1930s and early 2000s, ocean warming is not a prerequisite for retreat of Upernavik Isstrøm. The similar pattern of our bottom water reconstruction and the Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation shows that ocean currents interacting with Upernavik Isstrøm depend on ocean circulation changes originating in the North Atlantic, a finding that is consistent with a study in Disko Bugt, located ∼450 km further south of our study site (Lloyd et al., 2011). This is important since it implies that the future potential oceanic forcing of Upernavik Isstrøm can be assessed from observed circulation changes in the North Atlantic. Since the Atlantic meridional overturning circulation strength and associated heat transport are currently declining (Frajka-Williams et al., 2017), this may lead to cooling of the West Greenland Current during the next decade. As a result, this cooling could potentially and temporarily minimize the retreat of Upernavik Isstrøm and other marine-terminating glaciers along the western Greenland coast, as has been recently observed for Jakobshavn Isbræ (Khazendar et al., 2019).
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