Successful Use of Nivolumab in a Patient with Head and Neck Cancer After Allogeneic Bone Marrow Transplantation
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Abstract: Recently, programmed cell death 1 (PD-1) inhibitors have shown a significant curative effect in the treatment of most solid cancers and some hematological malignancies. The effects of PD-1 inhibitors in recurrent head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) have also been confirmed. However, there is a lack of reliable clinical evidence to confirm the safety and efficacy of PD-1 inhibitors in patients after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation, especially when the patient has a second primary cancer. Generally, graft-versus-host disease (GVHD) is unpredictable among these patients. Here we report the case of a patient who successfully used nivolumab without any GVHD or other immune-related adverse events for HNSCC after allogeneic bone marrow transplantation because of the Philadelphia chromosome-positive T cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia.
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Introduction

Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) is one of the major causes of cancer-associated illness and death, with more than 600,000 newly diagnosed cases worldwide each year and a continuously increasing incidence rate. HNSCC includes cancers of the oral cavity, pharynx, and larynx. The anatomical structures of the head and neck can be damaged by the tumor itself or treatments such as surgical resection and chemoradiotherapy, which sometimes cause speech, swallowing, and breathing impairments. Patients with HNSCC have been shown to bear greater psychological distress than those with other types of cancer.

Despite the currently available therapies, patients with advanced HNSCC still experience poor outcomes. For example, >50% of patients with locoregionally advanced HNSCC experience recurrence or metastases development within 3 years of treatment. Treatment options for patients with the recurrent and metastatic disease following progression after a platinum-based regimen are limited, and the median overall survival of such patients is less than 7 months.

The recurrence and metastasis of HNSCC are facilitated by immune evasion; therefore, as one of the methods to inhibit immune evasion, the use of programmed death 1 (PD-1)/programmed death ligand 1 (PD-L1) pathway inhibitors is considered effective in the treatment of recurrent HNSCC. Nivolumab, a fully human IgG4 anti–PD-1 monoclonal antibody, has shown remarkable antitumor efficacy...
and safety when administered to patients with recurrent HNSCC whose disease had progressed within 6 months of platinum-based chemotherapy. Furthermore, nivolumab treatment has been shown to improve the quality of life of these patients. However, PD-1 inhibitors can upregulate T cells in vivo, which may lead to the development of graft-versus-host disease (GVHD) in patients after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (allo-HSCT). To the best of the authors’ knowledge, no studies have investigated the safety and efficacy of nivolumab in patients with HNSCC after allo-HSCT. Here, we report the case of a patient who experienced excellent control of left buccal squamous cell carcinoma with nivolumab after the failure of platinum-based chemotherapy despite receiving allogeneic bone marrow transplantation.

Case Presentation
Without any family history of tumor, a 33-year-old man was diagnosed with Philadelphia chromosome-positive T cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia on March 19, 2014. He received one course of vincristine and prednisone therapy and four courses of vincristine, daunorubicin, cyclophosphamide, and prednisone therapy. He was in complete remission at the end of therapy. Subsequently, allogeneic bone marrow transplantation was performed; the donor was his human leukocyte antigen (HLA)-haploidentical sibling (sister). He experienced chronic GVHD (c GVHD) of the oral cavity and skin 3 months after transplantation, for which he was treated with steroid hormone- and cyclosporine-based therapies. Skin rejection lasted for more than 3 years. Imatinib mesylate was administered for 2 years after transplantation, and his leukemia was well controlled.

In August 2018, the patient developed an ulcer of approximately 0.5 × 0.5 cm size in the left buccal mucosa; the ulcer was slightly painful and covered with white moss. In September 2018, the patient was admitted to Peking University Stomatological Hospital, where a biopsy of the buccal mucosa was performed. The pathology results showed the presence of squamous cell carcinoma in the left cheek. Unfortunately, this patient was not a right candidate for HNSCC in terms of exposure to risk factors, such as long terms of smoking and drinking. On October 10, 2018, 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose–positron emission tomography/computed tomography (CT) showed that the mass in the left cheek was metabolically active, which is consistent with the activity of a malignant tumor. One course of an adjuvant therapy regimen (nimotuzumab [200 mg d0] + docetaxel [60 mg d1, 8]+ nedaplatin [60 mg d2, 3]) was administered on October 26, 2018. Following this, the patient developed degree II thrombocytopenia and redness, swelling, and ulceration of the cheek, which had discharge with a peculiar smell. On November 29, 2018, a head and neck CT scan showed a left buccal malignant tumor with the destruction of the neighboring mandibular bone and lymph node enlargement in the left submaxillary region and right carotid sheath. The CT examination revealed disease progression. Following a multidisciplinary consultation in our hospital, surgery was not recommended; instead, a chemotherapy-based comprehensive treatment was recommended as a better option for the patient. The patient received chemotherapy with albumin paclitaxel (200 mg d1, 8)+ bleomycin (15,000 units d2, 9) from November 30, 2018 to January 9, 2019. On another CT scan, the curative effect was evaluated as partial remission (shown in Video 1, Figure 1A); subsequently, two courses of a chemotherapy regimen comprising nivolumab (140 mg d1) + albumin paclitaxel (200 mg d1, d8) were administered. A CT examination showed stable disease (SD) on March 12, 2019, following which the patient was administered 120 mg of nivolumab once every 2 weeks from March 15 to May 23, 2019. Another CT examination was performed on May 28, 2019 (shown in Video 2, Figure 1B). During the therapy course, the related tumor markers showed an overall downward trend, the new metastases did not appear, the patient’s status became better than before. Subsequently, another CT examination performed in August 02, 2019 showed the extent of the tumor was obvious reduction than before (shown in video 3, Figure 1C). And the corresponding CT report in August 02, 2019 was described as follows — Compared with the CT on 28 May, 2019, the extent of the tumor in the left cheek became obviously smaller, the tubercle in the left submandibular and the lymph nodes in the left neck also became smaller. There were no other significant changes in this image. Most importantly, the patient did not develop any form of GVHD following nivolumab administration.

Discussion and Conclusion
Nivolumab Treatment for Patients with HNSCC
Reliable data on the clinical safety and efficacy of nivolumab in the treatment of recurrent or metastatic HNSCC have been obtained in a Phase III randomized clinical trial (CheckMate...
In this trial, 361 patients with recurrent HNSCC for whom disease had progressed within 6 months after platinum-based chemotherapy were enrolled between May 29, 2014, and July 31, 2015. The median follow-up duration for overall survival (OS) was 5.1 months (range, 0–16.8 months). OS was significantly greater in patients randomized to receive nivolumab than in those who received standard second-line, single-agent systemic therapy with either methotrexate, docetaxel, or cetuximab (hazard ratio, 0.70; 97.73% confidence interval (CI), 0.51–0.96; P = 0.01). The median OS was 7.5 months (95% CI, 5.5–9.1) in the nivolumab group versus 5.1 months (95% CI, 4.0–6.0) in the standard therapy group. The one-year survival was also greater in patients who received nivolumab than in those who received standard therapy (36.0% vs. 16.6%). Furthermore, the response rate was higher in those who received nivolumab than in those who received standard therapy (13.3% vs 5.8%); however, the median progression-free survival was not significantly different between the groups (2.0 vs 2.3 months; P=0.32). In this study, patients who were treated with nivolumab had a longer OS than those treated with standard therapy, regardless of tumor PD-L1.
expression or p16 status. Grade 3 or 4 treatment-related adverse events occurred in 13.1% of patients who received nivolumab and 35.1% of those who received standard therapy. Physical function, role functioning, and social functioning were stable in the nivolumab group, whereas they were substantially worse in the standard therapy group. Moreover, among Asian patients, the survival benefits were consistent with the global group.24

Safety and Efficacy of Nivolumab After Allo-HSCT

It was unclear whether nivolumab could be used in patients with recurrent HNSCC after allo-HSCT, though Khaddour et al proved the efficacy and safety of Pembrolizumab in patients who underwent allo-HSCT after relapsed and refractory Sézary Syndrome and cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma.25 However, some case reports (Table 1) and clinical trials (Table 2) have reported the efficacy and safety of nivolumab when administered to patients with recurrent hematological malignancies (mostly Hodgkin’s lymphoma) after allo-HSCT.

In Herbaux et al, nivolumab (3 mg/kg, once every 2 weeks) was administered to 20 patients with Hodgkin’s lymphoma who experienced relapse after allo-HSCT. The overall response rate was 95%, the 1-year progression-free survival rate was 58.2%, and the 1-year OS rate was 78.8%.26 Compared with other treatment options, nivolumab was more effective in these patients.27-30 Haverkos et al reported results after a median follow-up duration was 428 days (range, 133–833 days). After treatment with PD-1 inhibitors [nivolumab 3 mg/kg, once every 2 weeks (n = 28) and pembrolizumab (n=3)], the overall response rate of 31 patients with relapsed lymphoma after allo-HSCT was 77%, the median progression-free survival was 591 days (range,400–644 days), and 68% of the patients survived to the end of the study.23 These two studies showed that nivolumab is effective when administered to patients with recurrent blood cancers after allo-HSCT, which is consistent with the results of several other case reports31-34 and case series.35,36 The PD-1/PD-L1 pathway plays a key role in the regulation of the balance among T cell activation, T-cell tolerance, and immune-mediated tissue damage. This pathway protects healthy cells from excessive inflammatory or autoimmune responses.37,38 Some studies have shown that the activation of the PD-1/PD-L1 pathway can reduce acute and

| Study          | Dose (mg/kg) | Primary Disease (n) | Prior GVHD (Grade) | Interval | Response | GVHD (Grade) | Other Outcomes (n) |
|----------------|--------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------|----------|--------------|-------------------|
| Angenerdt et al,21 2016 | 3            | HL (1)              | None               | 1.5 years | CR       | None         | Mild fever        |
| Yared et al,32 2016 | 0.5–3        | HL (1)              | None               | 7 months  | PR       | None         | Pneumonitis, hepatitis |
|                | 0.5–2        | HL (1)              | aGVHD (3)          | 1.1 years | PR       | cGVHD        | None              |
| Onizuka et al,33 2017 | 3            | HL (3)              | None               | 1.2 years | CR       | None         | None              |
| Shad et al,34 2016 | 3            | HL (3)              | None               | 8 m/NA/5m | CR/S/SD/PD | aGVHD (2)/none/none | Pancytopenia/myalgias/pancytopenic |
| Albring et al,43 2016 | **           | AML (3)             | None/none/none     | ✱NA      | PR/PR/PR | None         | Keratoconjunctivatis (2)/ rash (1) |
| Godfrey et al,35 2017 | 3            | HL (3)              | None/cGVHD/cGVHD   | ✱NA      | PR/PR/PR | None         | Keratoconjunctivatis (2)/ rash (1) |
| Cheikh et al,36 2017 | 3            | HL (2)              | None (2)           | NA       | CR/CR    | GVHD (3)/GVHD (3) | Death due to fungal infection (1) |
| Covut et al,44 2017 | NA           | HL (2)              | None/GVHD (2)      | 12 m/NA  | CR/PD    | None/none    | Death due to hepatic failure (1) |

Notes: **One patient received a single dose of 100 mg, one received a low-dose regimen of 0.3–1 mg nivolumab per kg body weight weekly for a total of five infusions, and one received 2 injections (100 mg each). ✱Disease relapse occurred at an average of 1008 days from allo-HSCT (181, 389, and 2456 days).

Abbreviations: HL, Hodgkin’s lymphoma; AML, acute myelocytic leukemia; aGVHD, acute graft-versus-host disease; cGVHD, chronic graft-versus-host disease; interval, the interval between allo-HSCT and nivolumab administration; CR, complete remission; PR, partial remission; SD, disease stabilization; NA, not available.
Table 2 Studies on Nivolumab Use After Allo-HSCT

| Study           | N, n1, n2 | Dose (mg/kg) | Interval (m) | Median Follow-Up (m) | ORR (%) | Response (%) | aGVHD, % | cGVHD, % | Prior GVHD, %& |
|-----------------|-----------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|--------------|---------|---------|---------------|
| Herbaux et al.45 2015 | 12, 12, 8 | 3            | 11           | 2                    | 58      | CR (25) PR (33) | 17      | 0       | 100           |
| Schoch et al.46 2016 | 9, 6, NA  | NA           | 14.4         | 24                   | NA      | NA           | 0       | 0       | NA            |
| Herbaux et al.26 2017 | 20,20,19 | 3            | 8.5/28.5*    | 12.3                 | 95      | CR (42) PR (52) | 30      | 0       | 100           |
| Haverkos et al.23 2017 | 31,28,30 | 3            | ≥24          | 14                   | 77      | CR (50) PR (27) | 19      | 32 ±6  | 39            |
| Davids et al.47 2017 | 8, 8, 8  | 1.0,5%       | NA           | 8                    | 17      | PR (17)      | 0       | 13      | NA            |

Notes: a Patients who had nivolumab-related GVHD. b Patients who had nivolumab-induced GVHD vs. no nivolumab-induced GVHD (median, 8.5 months vs median, 28.5 months). c Four patients had overlap GVHD. d Six patients received 1 mg/kg and two patients received 0.5 mg/kg.

Abbreviations: N, total number of patients; n1, patients using nivolumab; n2, evaluable patients for the response; Interval, interval between allo-HSCT and nivolumab administration; ORR, objective response rate; CR, complete remission; PR, partial remission; allo-HSCT, allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation.

chronic GVHD, whereas its blockade can accelerate the graft-versus-host response and increase the associated mortality.21,22,30 It is unclear whether the PD-1 inhibitor nivolumab increases the risk of GVHD and the associated mortality in patients after allo-HSCT.23,26 Some clinical studies and case reports have shown that nivolumab treatment-related GVHD and consequent death in patients after allo-HSCT might be affected by the following factors. First, GVHD after anti–PD-1 treatment has been observed most frequently in matched sibling donor transplants; for which Haverkos et al reported an incidence of 75%.23 In a Phase I pilot study, without GVHD or G3/G4 immune toxicity after receiving multiple doses of nivolumab was only among one patient whose donor source was Haploidentical-cord blood Fludarabine.40 Second, a history of GVHD, especially for the acute GVHD, may lead to an increased risk of nivolumab treatment-related GVHD after allo-HSCT. In a French cohort, all patients who presented with acute GVHD after nivolumab treatment had a prior history of acute GVHD, among which three patients presented with steroid-refractory nivolumab-induced GVHD, and GVHD was not observed among patients without a history of GVHD.26 This phenomenon was also observed in Steinerova’s medical report.41 In the study by Haverkos et al, 63% of patients with a history of GVHD prior to anti–PD-1 treatment developed treatment-emergent GVHD after receiving anti–PD-1.23 Third, the shorter the interval between transplantation and nivolumab use, the greater the risk of GVHD. In the study by Herbaux et al, the median intervals between transplantation and nivolumab use in cases with the presence and absence of GVHD were 8.5 months and 28.5 months, respectively.26 In another study by Wang et al, the reported four patients all experienced immune-related adverse events following nivolumab treatment and the median time from transplantation to nivolumab use was 7.8 months.40 Fourth, dose is a risk factor for nivolumab treatment-related GVHD. In a case report, chronic skin GVHD was observed when the dose of nivolumab was adjusted from 0.5 mg/kg to 2 mg/kg.33 Other factors, such as immunosuppressive therapy at the time of nivolumab administration, may also influence nivolumab treatment-related GVHD. Recently, a comprehensive literature review was launched by Awais et al to assess the safety and efficacy of the use of checkpoint inhibitors (ipilimumab, nivolumab and pembrolizumab) in blood cancers before and after allo-HSCT. Collective data showed that checkpoint inhibitors use after allo-HSCT for post-transplant relapse had higher efficacy but the risk of GVHD was significant. Moreover, the investigation indicated that higher drug doses, shorter intervals between checkpoint inhibitors exposure and allo-HSCT and prior history of GVHD had a positive correlation with the risk of GVHD.42

Nivolumab in Our Case Report

In the present case, HNSCC was effectively controlled without any nivolumab treatment-related acute or chronic
GVHD after nivolumab administration, while the weight loss being the only adverse event. After comprehensive analysis, we found that many factors may impede the development of nivolumab treatment-related GVHD in our patient. On one hand, the appropriate donor, no use of checkpoint inhibitors prior to allo-HSCT, the long interval between nivolumab administration and allo-HSCT (36 months) and the standard dose use of nivolumab were the negative factors for GVHD development. On the other hand, the chronic GVHD of the oral cavity and skin before nivolumab use might lead to the development of GVHD. However, it remained unknown what role the immunosuppressant therapy played in the occurrence of GVHD, though we definitely known that immunosuppressant was administered more than 2 years after allo-HSCT and discontinued for 2 years before treatment with nivolumab in our patient. Finally, whether the two primary cancers in our case affected the efficacy and safety of nivolumab by some unknown pathways were unclear, which needed further exploration.

Nivolumab has been shown to be effective in patients with HNSCC for whom platinum-based therapy has failed. However, little is known about the efficacy and safety of nivolumab in patients with HNSCC who have undergone allo-HSCT. Our case report shows that nivolumab could be used effectively and safely in such patients, however, more clinical trials are required to confirm these results.
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