Mobility of kinetochore proteins measured by FRAP analysis in living cells
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Abstract The kinetochore is essential for faithful chromosome segregation during mitosis and is assembled through dynamic processes involving numerous kinetochore proteins. Various experimental strategies have been used to understand kinetochore assembly processes. Fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) analysis is also a useful strategy for revealing the dynamics of kinetochore assembly. In this study, we introduced fluorescence protein-tagged kinetochore protein cDNAs into each endogenous locus and performed FRAP analyses in chicken DT40 cells. Centromeric protein (CENP)-C was highly mobile in interphase, but immobile during mitosis. CENP-C mutants lacking the CENP-A-binding domain became mobile during mitosis. In contrast to CENP-C, CENP-T and CENP-H were immobile during both interphase and mitosis. The mobility of Dsn1, which is a component of the Mis12 complex and directly binds to CENP-C, depended on CENP-C mobility during mitosis. Thus, our FRAP assays provide dynamic aspects of how the kinetochore is assembled.
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Abbreviations
FRAP Fluorescence recovery after photobleaching
CENP Centromere protein
CCAN Constitutive-Centromere-Associated Network
KMN Knl1, Mis12, Ndc80 complexes
CRISPR Clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeat
Cas9 CRISPR-associated protein 9

Introduction

Chromosomes harboring all the genetic information are duplicated during the S-phase and segregated into daughter cells during mitosis. Chromosome segregation is achieved via the attachment of sister chromatids to the bipolar mitotic spindle. The kinetochore, which is formed on the centromere of each sister chromatid, binds to the spindle microtubules to ensure faithful chromosome segregation (Fukagawa and Earnshaw 2014; McKinley and Cheeseman 2016; Hara and Fukagawa 2017, 2018, 2020).
The kinetochore contains numerous proteins that are divided into two major groups. One group is known as the constitutive centromere-associated network (CCAN), which consists of 16 components (centromere protein (CENP)-C, -H, -I, -K, -L, -M, -N, -O, -P, -Q, -R, -S, -T, -U, -W, and -X) and localizes to the centromere throughout the cell cycle (Okada et al. 2006; Foltz et al. 2006; Izuta et al. 2006; Hori et al. 2008; Amano et al. 2009; Nishino et al. 2012). The other group is the KMN (Knl1, Mis12, Ndc80 complex) network, which is recruited to the CCAN during mitosis and directly binds to the spindle microtubules (McKinley and Cheeseman 2016; Pesenti et al. 2016; Nagpal and Fukagawa 2017; Cheeseman et al. 2006; DeLuca et al. 2006; Alushin et al. 2010; Hara and Fukagawa 2020).

CENP-C is a key CCAN component for kinetochore assembly (Saitoh et al. 1992; Fukagawa and Brown 1997; Fukagawa et al. 1999; Kwon et al. 2007; Klare et al. 2015; Weir et al. 2016). As CENP-C binds to both the CENP-A nucleosome in centromeric chromatin (Fachinetti et al. 2013; Kato et al. 2013; Falk et al. 2015; Guo et al. 2017; Watanabe et al. 2019; Ariyoshi et al. 2021) and the Mis12 complex of the KMN network at the outer kinetochores (Klare et al. 2015; Hara and Fukagawa 2017; Hara et al. 2018), CENP-C bridges the centromeric chromatin and outer kinetochore, which is associated with spindle microtubules. Because CENP-C functions as a base for kinetochore assembly, it appears to be stable in kinetochores. However, we previously showed that CENP-C dynamically changes its binding partners during cell cycle progression (Fukagawa et al. 2001; Kwon et al. 2007; Nagpal et al. 2015), suggesting that the CENP-A binding domain in the CENP-C C-terminal region is not used during interphase but rather that CENP-C binds to the CENP-A nucleosome during mitosis (Nagpal et al. 2015). We also demonstrated that CENP-C phosphorylation by cyclin-dependent kinase 1 (CDK1) facilitates the binding of CENP-C to the CENP-A nucleosome during mitosis (Watanabe et al. 2019; Ariyoshi et al. 2021).

Kinetochore dynamics have also been studied using microscopic observations. Fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) experiments for green fluorescent protein (GFP)-tagged human kinetochore proteins revealed the dynamics of kinetochore assembly based on the exchange rates of kinetochore proteins (Hemmerich et al. 2008; Hellwig et al. 2008; Dornblut et al. 2014). FRAP analyses suggested that CENP-C is relatively dynamic during interphase compared with other CCAN proteins such as CENP-I, in human cells (Hemmerich et al. 2008). However, the protein mobility data obtained in these analyses might not be accurate, as GFP-tagged proteins were expressed transiently or their expression was controlled by exogenous promoters. Although it was difficult to generate vertebrate cells in which GFP-fused proteins were expressed under the control of an endogenous promoter more than 10 years ago, we can now use CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing, which enables the introduction of GFP-fused cDNAs into an endogenous locus. Thus, the exchange rates of proteins could be examined more accurately.

Using FRAP analyses, we examined the mobility of kinetochore proteins in interphase and mitotic cells by replacing endogenous proteins with fluorescently tagged proteins that are functional at the native expression level and demonstrated various mobilities of each kinetochore component, which provides dynamic information of how the kinetochore is assembled.

Materials and methods

DT40 cell culture

Various chicken DT40 cells were cultured at 38.5 °C in DMEM medium (Nacalai Tesque) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Sigma), 1% chicken serum (Thermo Fisher), 10 μM 2-mercaptoethanol (Sigma), and Penicillin-Streptomycin (Thermo Fisher).

Plasmid constructions

To express mScarlet-fused CENP-T under control of CENP-T endogenous promoter in DT40 cells, mScarlet-CENP-T sequence was integrated into an endogenous CENP-T locus using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing. The single guide RNA (sgRNAs for CENP-T) against genomic sequence around the start codon of CENP-T was designed (Optimized CRISPR Design) (Hsu et al. 2013) and cloned into the pX330 plasmid containing SpCas9 (Addgene,
42330; pX330-CENP-T). mScarlet-CENP-T cDNA containing full length CENP-T fused with mScarlet and a drug resistance gene (blasticidin<sup>R</sup> or EcoGPT) expressed under control of PGK promoter were inserted between ~1 kb homology arms around the start site of CENP-T gene, using the pGEM®-T Easy Vector (mScarlet-CENP-T bs<sup>R</sup> or EcoGPT).

To express mScarlet-fused CENP-H under control of CENP-H endogenous promoter in DT40 cells, the mScarlet sequence was integrated just before stop codon of an endogenous CENP-H gene using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing. The single guide RNA (sgRNA for CENP-H) against genomic sequence around the stop codon of CENP-H was designed (Optimized CRISPR Design) (Hsu et al. 2013) and cloned into pX330 plasmid (Addgene, 42330; pX330-CENP-H). mScarlet and EcoGPT expressed under control of PGK promoter were inserted between ~1 kb homology arms flanking the stop codon of CENP-H gene, using the pGEM®-T Easy Vector (for CENP-H-mScarlet EcoGPT).

To express mScarlet-fused Dsn1 under control of Dsn1 endogenous promoter in DT40 cells, the mScarlet-Dsn1 sequence was integrated into an endogenous Dsn1 locus using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing. The single guide RNA (sgRNA for Dsn1) against genomic sequence around the start codon of Dsn1 was designed (Optimized CRISPR Design) (Hsu et al. 2013) and cloned into pX330 plasmid (Addgene, 42330; pX330-Dsn1). mScarlet-Dsn1 cDNA containing full-length Dsn1 fused with mScarlet and a drug resistance gene (puro<sup>R</sup> or EcoGPT) expressed under control of PGK promoter were inserted between ~1 kb homology arms flanking the start of Dsn1, using the pGEM®-T Easy Vector (mScarlet-Dsn1 Puro<sup>R</sup> or EcoGPT).

**CRISPR/Cas9-mediated homologous recombination**

Each targeting construct and the pX330 containing each sgRNA were transfected into various CENP-C-GFP cell lines (Watanabe et al. 2019), and cells containing target integrations were isolated using CRISPR/Cas9 system-mediated homologous recombination (see Plasmid constructions and Supplemental Fig. 1). Since the mScarlet-CENP-T- or mScarlet-Dsn1-targeting constructs contain drug resistance genes, the targeted cells were selected in the DT40 culture medium containing appropriate drugs.

**Genotyping PCR**

DT40 cells were harvested, spun down, resuspended in 0.05 M NaOH, and heated for 10 min at 95 °C. Then, Tris-HCl (pH 8.0) was added to samples at final concentration 10% (v/v%) the solution. The genome was amplified by Tks Gflex<sup>TM</sup> DNA Polymerase (TaKaRa). PCR primers are followings: For the mScarlet-CENP-T-bs<sup>R</sup> locus, forward primer CAT TGGGATTGTTAGTGCGTTTCG, reverse primer GAACGTCTGAAGTGCTAG AGG. For the mScarlet-CENP-T-EcoGPT locus, forward primer ATATGG GCCTCTTTGTCTGC, reverse primer GAACGT TCTGAAGTGCTAG AGG. For the CENP-H-mScarlet-EcoGPT locus, forward primer ATATGGGCG TCCTATTCTGCC, reverse primer GAAGAGG AGCTTCAACCCTTGAGGT. For the mScarlet-Dsn1-EcoGPT locus, forward primer ATATGGGC GCCTCTTTGTCTGC, reverse primer CTCTCCAGGC GTCAAGTCTG. For the mScarlet-Dsn1-puro<sup>R</sup> locus, forward primer CTCCCCCTCTACAGGCCG CTC, reverse primer CTCTCCAGGC GTCAAGTCTG.

**Immunoblotting**

For whole cell samples, DT40 cells were harvested, washed with PBS, and suspended in 1xLSB (Laemmli sample buffer) (final 1 × 10⁴ cells/μl), followed by sonication and heating for 5 min at 96 °C. Proteins were separated on SuperSep Ace, 5–20% (Wako) and transferred to Immobilon-P (Merck) using HorizeBLOT (ATTO). Primary antibodies used in this study were rabbit anti-chicken CENP-T (Hori et al. 2008), rabbit anti-chicken CENP-H (Fukagawa et al. 2001), rabbit anti-chicken Dsn1 (Hara et al. 2018), rabbit anti-GFP (MBL), rat anti-RFP (Chromotek), and mouse anti-α-tubulin (Sigma). Secondary antibodies were HRP-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG (Jackson ImmunoResearch), HRP-conjugated anti-mouse IgG (Jackson ImmunoResearch), and HRP-conjugated anti-rat IgG (Jackson ImmunoResearch). To increase sensitivity and specificity, Signal Enhancer Hikari (Nacalai Tesque) was used for all antibodies. The antibodies were incubated with the blotted membranes for 1 h at room temperature or for overnight at 4 °C. Proteins reacting with antibodies were detected with ECL Prime (GE Springer)
Healthcare) and visualized with ChemiDoc Touch (Bio-Rad). Acquired images were processed using Image Lab 5.2.1 (Bio-Rad) and Photoshop CC (Adobe).

Microscopy observation

DT40 cells were cytopun onto glass slides. The cells were fixed with 3% paraformaldehyde (PFA)
in 250 mM HEPES-NaOH pH 7.4 for 15 min. After slides were washed with PBS and DNA was stained with 1 μg/ml DAPI in PBS for 10 min, the stained samples were washed with PBS and mounted with VECTASHIELD Mounting Medium (Vector Laboratories). Fluorescence images were acquired at 0.2-μm intervals in the z-axis using a Zyla 4.2 sCMOS camera (Andor) mounted on a Nikon Ti inverted microscope with an objective lens (Nikon; Plan Apo lambda 100x/1.45 NA) with a spinning disk confocal unit (CSU-W1, Yokogawa) controlled with NIS-elements (Nikon). The images in figures are the maximum intensity projection of the Z-stack generated with Fiji (Schindelin et al. 2012). Acquired images were processed using Fiji (Schindelin et al. 2012) and Photoshop CC (Adobe).

Live cell imaging for FRAP analysis

DT40 cells were cultured at 38.5 °C for at least 2 h in DMEM medium without phenol red (Nacalai Tesque) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Sigma), 1% chicken serum (Thermo Fisher), 10 μM 2-mercaptoethanol (Sigma), Penicillin-Streptomycin (Thermo Fisher), and 0.04% (v/v%) NucSpot™ Live 650 Nuclear Stain (Bio- tium) to image DNA for live cell imaging (DT40 culture medium for live cell imaging). The grass bottom dish was treated by 0.5 mg/ml Concanavalin-A for 15 min at room temperature and washed by water. DT40 cells were cultured on Concanavalin-A coated glass bottom dish (IWAKI) at 37 °C for at least 15 min to attach cell to grass bottom dish. The mobilities of GFP and mScarlet-tagged kinetochore proteins and its mutants were analyzed using a confocal microscope (LSM780, Carl Zeiss) equipped with a 25× multi-immersion objective lens (NA = 0.8) to bleach the region of interest through the chromosome. Two images were collected before bleaching (approximately 0.1, 0.04 and 0.1% transmission of a 488-, 561-, and 647-nm laser, 968 ms/frame with 12-s interval, average 1256 × 128 pixels, 2.5 airy unit pinhole for three colors, 10 × zoom, 9.29 μm z-stack with 1.16-μm interval); the kinetochore signals were bleached simultaneously using 100% of a 488-nm laser, followed by the capture of a further 70 images, using the original setting. To assess the mitotic dynamics of kinetochore proteins, we treated the DT40 cells with APC/C inhibitors (25 μM of Apcin and 20 μM of proTAME) (Sackton et al. 2014) for 2 h before FRAP analysis to prevent chromosome segregation and minimize chromosome movement and arrested the cells at metaphase in mitosis. The fluorescence intensity in the bleached region was quantified using Fiji software (Schindelin et al. 2012) after projecting the z-stacks to cancel the kinetochore movement along with z-axis and subtracting background signal. An image of which the unbleached kinetochore came into the bleached region during imaging was removed from the following analysis. Photobleaching during imaging was monitored and normalized before drawing the recovery curve. The recovery curve was plotted as a relative value set the fluorescence intensities before and after bleaching as 1 and 0, respectively, so that the difference of the protein expression level and the bleaching as 1 and 0, respectively, so that the difference of the protein expression level and the bleaching efficiency between samples are normalized. The recovery curve showing mitotic dynamics of CENP-C-GFP and its mutants were fitted in Origin 8.0 (OriginLab Corp., Northampton, MA, USA) as follow:

\[ I(t) = I_0 + I_{\text{max}} e^{-\kappa_{\text{off}} t} \]

where \( I(t) \) is the intensity at time point \( t \), \( I_0 \) is the base line intensity, \( I_{\text{max}} \) is the maximum intensity
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|                      | CENP-C-GFP in mitosis | CENP-C-GFP in interphase |
|----------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
|                      | WT         | Δ647-676    | T651         | WT         | Δ647-676    | T651         |
| $I_{\text{max}}$     | 0.620      | 0.815       | 0.799        | 0.748      | 0.590       | 0.618        |
| $k_{\text{off}}$ ($\times 10^{-3}$/sec) | 2.4        | 18.6        | 11.9         | 2.6        | 8.3         | 7.1          |
| Resident time (sec)  | 416.7      | 53.7        | 84.0         | 392.2      | 120.8       | 141.1        |
| $t_{1/2}$ (sec)      | 246.9      | 35.3        | 41.1         | 184.4      | 74.3        | 79.0         |
The residence time at the kinetochores was calculated as the inverse of the residence constant. These values were calculated through curve fitting. The residence time at the kinetochores was calculated as the inverse of the residence constant. The residence time was calculated as the inverse of the residence constant. All acquired images were processed using Fiji (Schindelin et al. 2012) and Photoshop CC (Adobe) and changed contrast that remains gamma correction.

**Results and discussion**

CENP-C is mobile independent of its CENP-A binding in interphase cells

To evaluate the natural molecular dynamics of kinetochores in living cells, we introduced complementary DNAs (cDNAs) of kinetochore proteins fused with a fluorescent protein downstream of the endogenous promoter for each gene, using the CRISPR/Cas9 system in chicken DT40 cells. We previously generated chicken DT40 cell lines in which endogenous CENP-C was replaced with GFP-fused wild-type CENP-C (CENP-CWT) or CENP-C mutants: CENP-C lacking the CENP-A binding motif (CENP-CΔ648-676) or CENP-C bearing a mutation at the CDK1 phosphorylation site (CENP-CT651A), both of which do not properly bind to the CENP-A nucleosome (Watanabe et al. 2019). Using these cell lines, we introduced mScarlet-fused CENP-T cDNA downstream of the endogenous CENP-T promoter using the CRISPR/Cas9 system (Fig. S1A). The C-terminal tag of endogenous genes might be more suitable for our purpose than this strategy. However, we already know that the C-terminal tag of CENP-T does not work properly, because there is a histone fold domain in the CENP-T C-terminal end. Therefore, we used an N-terminal tag for CENP-T. After isolating colonies showing targeted integration of mScarlet-CENP-T cDNA, we confirmed that the expression of CENP-T was replaced with that of mScarlet-CENP-T by immunoblot analysis (Fig. 1A). We also confirmed the proper localization of mScarlet-CENP-T to kinetochores using fluorescence microscopy (Fig. 1B). Adequate expression and localization of CENP-C and CENP-T indicated that these cells enable us to assess the natural dynamics of CENP-C and CENP-T. We noted that the amount of mScarlet CENP-T was slightly higher than that of endogenous CENP-T (Fig. 1A). Tagged proteins might increase protein stability. Alternatively, the SV40 polyA signal sequence used for knock-In might increase mRNA stability. However, we would like to emphasize that the expression levels of mScarlet-CENP-T are appropriate to reproduce the functional kinetochores that are assembled in wild-type cells, because the growth of cells in which the essential CENP-T gene was replaced with tagged CENP-T cDNA was comparable to that of wild-type cells.

Using these lines, we performed FRAP analysis and compared the mobility of CENP-C and CENP-T at interphase (Fig. 1C–E). In each cell line, we bleached one kinetochore signal of GFP and mScarlet in interphase nuclei using a 488 nm laser and observed the recovery of fluorescent signals. We noted that mScarlet had a weak absorption spectrum at 488 nm, and a 488 nm laser bleaches both signals (Bindels et al. 2017). In cells expressing GFP-fused CENP-CWT, approximately 60% of GFP signals were recovered within 300 s after photobleaching (see WT in Fig. 1D). In contrast, the recovery rate of mScarlet-CENP-T signals was slower than that of CENP-C-GFP, and most mScarlet-CENP-T signals did not recover within 300 s (compare magenta curves in Fig. 1D and E), indicating that CENP-C is more mobile than CENP-T in interphase cells.

An analysis of cells expressing CENP-CΔ648-676 or CENP-CΔ651A showed that the recovery rates of CENP-CΔ648-676 or CENP-CΔ651A were similar to those of CENP-CWT (Fig. 1C and D). CENP-T mobilities in cells expressing CENP-CΔ648-676 or CENP-CΔ651A were comparable to those in cells expressing
CENP-C\textsuperscript{WT} (Fig. 1E). The results of FRAP analyses were consistent with our previous model showing that CENP-C is not stably associated with the CENP-A nucleosome in interphase and that CENP-C is associated with the CENP-A nucleosome at mitotic kinetochores (Watanabe et al. 2019; Ariyoshi et al. 2021). We also demonstrated that the CENP-C C-terminal fragment containing the CENP-A-binding domain localizes to kinetochores only during mitosis but not to the interphase centromeres (Watanabe et al. 2019). These data suggest that centromere localization of CENP-C in interphase cells does not depend on the CENP-A-binding domain. Therefore, the CENP-A-binding domain of CENP-C does not strongly affect CENP-C mobility in interphase cells.

CENP-C is more immobile during mitosis than interphase based on its CENP-A-binding

Next, we examined the mobility of CENP-C in mitotic cells. We noted that the cells were treated with APC/C inhibitors to prevent chromosome segregation and minimize chromosome movement during FRAP analysis without affecting spindle attachment (see Materials and methods). Thus, we observed mitotic CENP-C mobility for a long time. In contrast to the mobility of CENP-C\textsuperscript{WT} in interphase cells, the recovery rate of CENP-C in mitotic cells became slow; approximately 30% of the signals were recovered within 300 s (WT in Fig. 2A and B, compared with the magenta curve in Fig. 1D), whereas the mobility of CENP-T was unchanged (Fig. 2C, compared with the magenta curve in Fig. 1E). During mitosis, CENP-C localizes to kinetochores by binding to other kinetochore proteins, including CENP-N/L (Nagpal et al. 2015), CENP-H/I/K (Klare et al. 2015), and the CENP-A nucleosome (Kato et al. 2013; Falk et al. 2016; Watanabe et al. 2019; Ariyoshi et al. 2021). The multiple binding of CENP-C to various kinetochore proteins likely contributes to its stability during mitosis.

Strikingly, the recovery rates of GFP-fused CENP-C\textsuperscript{Δ648-676} and CENP-C\textsuperscript{T651A} were faster than those of CENP-C\textsuperscript{WT} (Fig. 2A and B), indicating that CENP-C\textsuperscript{Δ648-676} and CENP-C\textsuperscript{T651A} are not immobilized even in mitotic cells. We previously demonstrated that the CENP-C motif region including aa 648–676 contributes to binding to the CENP-A nucleosome during mitosis (Watanabe et al. 2019; Ariyoshi et al. 2021). These results indicate that CENP-A binding through the CENP-C motif largely contributes to the slow mobility of CENP-C during mitosis.

To extract parameters of the dynamics of CENP-C in mitosis and interphase, the recovery curves of CENP-C\textsuperscript{WT} and its mutants were fitted as a single exponential decay (Fig. 2D, detailed method is described in the Methods section). The residence time at the kinetochore was calculated as the inverse of the dissociation constant value ($k_{\text{on}}$). We also calculated the half-time of recovery ($t_{1/2}$) of the mobile fraction for each CENP-C during mitosis and interphase (Fig. 2D). During mitosis, the resident time of CENP-C\textsuperscript{WT} (more than 400 s) was approximately 5–8 times longer than that of CENP-C\textsuperscript{Δ648-676} and CENP-C\textsuperscript{T651A} mutants (50–80 s), suggesting that CENP-C\textsuperscript{WT} is tightly associated with the kinetochore through CENP-A binding during mitosis, which supports our previous results (Watanabe et al. 2019; Ariyoshi et al. 2021). In interphase, although recovery curves of CENP-C\textsuperscript{Δ648-676} and CENP-C\textsuperscript{T651A} mutants appeared to be similar to those of CENP-C\textsuperscript{WT} (Fig. 1D), resident times of mutant CENP-C (120–140 s) were shorter than those of wild type (~ 390 s). This suggests that weak CENP-C–CENP-A nucleosome interactions might occur in interphase cells. In addition, resident times of mutant CENP-C (50–80 s) during mitosis were shorter than those during interphase (120–140 s). This could be interpreted as a difference in the binding affinity of CENP-C to the CENP-H complex. Since CENP-C mutants do not bind to the CENP-A nucleosome in both interphase and mitosis, but bind to the CENP-H complex, FRAP data suggested that the interaction of CENP-H–CENP-C mutants was also decreased during mitosis, which is consistent with our previous results (Nagpal et al. 2015).

The maximum intensity after recovery ($I_{\text{max}}$) indicates the ratio of the mobile and immobile molecules. Thus, we compared the $I_{\text{max}}$ of CENP-C\textsuperscript{WT} and its mutants during mitosis and interphase. The $I_{\text{max}}$ of CENP-C\textsuperscript{Δ648-676} and CENP-C\textsuperscript{T651A} mutants (0.815 and 0.799, respectively) was higher than that of WT (0.620) during mitosis (Fig. 2D). Conversely, the $I_{\text{max}}$ of CENP-C\textsuperscript{WT} in mitosis decreased compared to that in interphase (from 0.748 to 0.620). However, the $I_{\text{max}}$ of mutant CENP-C in mitosis increased compared to
that in interphase (from ~ 0.6 to ~ 0.8). These results indicate that the lack of CENP-A binding of CENP-C increases the ratio of mobile molecules of CENP-C in mitosis; however, this is not the case in interphase. Together with these results, we propose that CENP-C more stably associates with centromeric chromatin via its CENP-A binding, this stable connection with centromeric chromatin is critical for linking it with outer kinetochores, because CENP-C also binds to the outer kinetochore KMN complex (Klare et al. 2015; Hara and Fukagawa 2017; Hara et al. 2018).
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CENP-H is immobilized in both interphase and mitotic cells

Our FRAP analyses showed that CENP-C is mobile in interphase and becomes immobilized during mitosis, whereas CENP-T is less mobile than CENP-C throughout the cell cycle (Figs. 1 and 2). Thus, CENP-C might not properly bind to the CENP-A nucleosome in the interphase, and the CENP-C–CENP-A interaction mainly occurs during mitosis. The mobility change in CENP-C between interphase and mitosis could be explained by the difference in the CENP-A-binding activity of CENP-C. In contrast, CENP-T is a DNA-binding protein, and DNA binding is critical for its function (Hori et al. 2008), and CENP-T must remain immobile, as observed for the centromeric histone CENP-A (Hemmerich et al. 2008). Thus, the mobility of other kinetochore proteins should be evaluated. CENP-H was selected because it is related to CENP-T (Hori et al. 2008), and CENP-H localization occurs upstream of CENP-C in interphase DT40 cells (Fukagawa et al. 2001).

To test CENP-H mobility, we introduced mScarlet at the C-terminal end of the endogenous CENP-H locus using the CRISPR/Cas9 system in cells expressing CENP-C-GFP (Figure S1B). After confirming the expression and localization of CENP-H-mScarlet by immunoblot analysis (Fig. 3A) and microscopy (Fig. 3B), respectively, we performed FRAP analysis for CENP-H-mScarlet. As shown in Fig. 3C and D, signals of bleached CENP-H-mScarlet area were not recovered within 500 s in both interphase and mitotic cells, indicating that CENP-H, like CENP-T, was immobilized at least at 500 s.

Our FRAP data using chicken DT40 cells were slightly different from those obtained using FRAP analyses of kinetochore proteins in human cells (Hemmerich et al. 2008). A previous study of human proteins suggested that most interphase CENP-C is mobile, whereas mitotic CENP-C is immobile, which is similar to our results obtained using chicken proteins (Figs. 1 and 2); however, the dynamics of human CENP-H were different from our analyses of chicken CENP-H (Fig. 3). In human cells, most interphase CENP-H was dynamic, and most mitotic fractions were immobile, whereas chicken CENP-H was immobile throughout the cell cycle (Fig. 3).

There are at least two possible explanations for this difference. First, this difference might be related to the different structures of chicken and human kinetochores. Most components are common, although some protein organizations differ between human and chicken kinetochores. For example, CENP-C localization occurs downstream of CENP-H in chicken interphase cells (Fukagawa et al. 2001), but localization of the CENP-H-associated protein CENP-T disappeared in human CENP-C-depleted interphase cells (Watanabe et al. 2019), suggesting that CENP-H localization might occur downstream of CENP-C or the localization of CENP-H associated with the Mis12 complex. Second, there is a difference in the expression levels of CENP-C in DT40 cells compared to the expression levels in human DT40 cells (Fukagawa et al. 2001).

Mobility of the outer kinetochore Mis12 complex depends on CENP-C dynamics

Finally, we examined the mobility of the outer kinetochore Mis12 complex, which directly binds to CENP-C. We selected Dsn1, a component of the Mis12 complex, and introduced mScarlet-Dsn1 into the endogenous Dsn1 locus (Fig. S1C) in each cell line.
expressing various CENP-C-GFPs (CENP-C\textsuperscript{WT}, CENP-C\textsuperscript{Δ648-676}, or CENP-C\textsuperscript{T651A}).

The Dsn1 C-terminal end is responsible for binding to the Ndc80 complex (Petrovic et al. 2016; Hara et al. 2018), and it is possible that the C-terminal tag loses its Ndc80C binding function. Thus, we used an N-terminal tag for Dsn1. We confirmed the expression and localization of mScarlet-Dsn1 using immunoblot analysis (Fig. 4A) and microscopy observations (Fig. 4B), respectively. Although Dsn1 levels at the kinetochores were significantly reduced in cells expressing mutant CENP-C lacking CENP-A binding (Fig. 4B), mScarlet signals were clearly detected. We previously showed that levels of CENP-C\textsuperscript{Δ648-676} or CENP-C\textsuperscript{T651A} at kinetochores were reduced, compared with those of CENP-C\textsuperscript{WT} (Watanabe et al. 2019), and the reduction of Dsn1 could be explained by the CENP-C reduction at the kinetochore. However, as the Dsn1-binding site is distinct from the CENP-A-binding site, the CENP-C–Dsn1 interaction should not be affected. We then performed FRAP analysis for mScarlet-Dsn1 in each cell line (Fig. 4C and D). Dsn1 starts to localize to kinetochores during G2, and kinetochore localization is maintained until the end of mitosis (Kline et al. 2006). We focused on Dsn1 mobility during mitosis. In cells expressing CENP-C\textsuperscript{WT}, only 20% of Dsn1 was recovered within 300 s (Fig. 4C and D), indicating that Dsn1 is relatively stable in cells expressing CENP-C\textsuperscript{WT}, which is similar to the data from a previous study on the human Mis12 complex (Hemmerich et al. 2008). In contrast, in cells expressing either CENP-C\textsuperscript{Δ648-676} or CENP-C\textsuperscript{T651A}, 60% of Dsn1 was recovered in 300 s (Fig. 4C and D), indicating that more Dsn1 is mobile in cells expressing either CENP-C\textsuperscript{Δ648-676} or CENP-C\textsuperscript{T651A}. CENP-C was mobilized with these mutations during mitosis (Fig. 2). Therefore, the mobility of Dsn1 depends on the mobility of CENP-C. As described, Dsn1 forms a complex with CENP-C mutants lacking CENP-A binding (CENP-C\textsuperscript{Δ648-676} or CENP-C\textsuperscript{T651A}), and we suggested that Dsn1 mobility depends on CENP-C mobility during mitosis (Fig. 5).

We previously showed that deletion of the CENP-A-binding domain of CENP-C is still viable (Watanabe et al. 2019). The use of this cell line is a great advantage for analyzing the mobility of kinetochore proteins. Here, we showed that CENP-C is more interphase cells. In contrast to CENP-C, CENP-T and CENP-H are immobilized during both interphase and mitosis. CENP-T remains immobilized in mitotic cells expressing the CENP-C mutant lacking the CENP-A nucleosome-binding region (right two illustrations). Dsn1 mobility during mitosis depends on the mobility of CENP-C.
The mobility of kinetochore proteins measured by FRAP analysis in living cells

In this study, through genome editing, we introduced GFP or mScarlet-fused kinetochore-protein cDNAs into endogenous loci and performed a FRAP assay. We found that CENP-C is mobile in the interphase but becomes immobile during mitosis. CENP-C mutants lacking CENP-A binding affected the mitotic mobility of CENP-C but not its mobility during interphase. In contrast to CENP-C, CENP-T and CENP-H were immobilized during both interphase and mitosis. The mobility of Dsn1 during mitosis depends on the mobility of CENP-C (Fig. 5). Our FRAP assay provided useful information for understanding how the kinetochore is assembled.
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