Proximal Hamstring Repair - Surgical Pearls for the Novice
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Learning Point of the Article:
Early repair of proximal hamstring tears while respecting the anatomical landmarks give predictable surgical and functional outcomes.

Abstract

Introduction: Proximal hamstring injuries are rarely encountered sport injuries which cause great functional impairment in the activities of performance. Since these injuries are rarely encountered in orthopedic training, many young surgeons find it challenging to explore and successfully perform the required repairs. The technical demands of tendon retraction, scar tissue formation along with a great possibility of nerve injury during surgical dissection make these procedures a nightmare for young surgeons.

Results: Between January 2020 and December 2021, 11 patients underwent a proximal hamstring repair at our practice. All cases were of acute hamstring tears and diagnosed on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) evaluation post-injury. No repeat MRI was performed but the patients outcomes were judged based on clinical outcomes such as return to sport or the presence of residual pain. All patients reached their pre-injury level of activity within 6 months of surgical repair.

Conclusion: This technical note describes pearls of surgical repair of these injuries that help in better execution of such injuries with minimal soft tissue damage and complications.
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Introduction
As a trainee in orthopedics, I received a lot of advice while developing my surgical acumen. Most of it in the form of harsh past experiences of my senior colleagues while learning their own trades. One of my earliest lesson while assisting a senior surgeon was in the art of soft tissue handling with his exact words being, “Treat all soft tissues like you would treat your family.” That one statement developed a paranoia in me to be meticulous but also question myself thoroughly before each case to deliberate on all details and plan for contingency- incase I go wrong. In many ways, this one position of paranoia and self-doubt defines the success of my surgery.

Proximal hamstring repairs make me nervous especially after reading Cvetanovich et al. [1] on neural structures around the proximal hamstrings origin. To add further, I like many of my contemporaries do not see these injuries commonly during our orthopaedic residency. Compounded by the constant hammering of “Avoid going too far back, there’s danger lurking there!” during each of our initiating years in learning a conventional posterior hip replacement approach. I write this article with an experience of 11 acute proximal hamstring tears to clarify the risks involved in surgery and technical tips on avoiding them.

Technical Update

The proximal hamstrings attach to the ischial tuberosity (Figs. 1-
3), a bony surface with ample soft tissue protection for the long hours of sitting that most of us employ in our current virtual learnings. Directly under the skin lies a thick layer of fat, separated by fibrous bands. The gluteal cutaneous branch of Sciatic nerve and perforating nerve run along this layer which adds to the trickery of the approach. However, the bane of all of us novices attempting to reach the tear lies in this soft tissue-Gluteus Maximus. Multiple approaches including transgluteal, infragluteal, and the endoscopic approach [2-4] using the longitudinal or transverse incision across the gluteal crease have been described [5] by the need of visualization and chronicity of tears. Regardless of the approach, the golden point in my learning experience has been to mobilize the Gluteus maximus tendon and safely retract it supero-laterally using a blunt Hohmann retractor. This step almost guarantees success of surgery as long as you are ablated identify the relevant anatomy of the region [6]. This is particularly challenging in cases of chronic retraction of tendons where everything appears as a mushy lump of scar tissue adjacent to the ischium (Fig. 4, 5).

Usually, I would try to trace the lateral edge of the ischium (after dissecting through the scar tissue) before palpating the Sciatic nerve which lies lateral to the ischium [7]. Safely retract the Sciatic nerve away to proceed with the surgical approach. More commonly known yet uncommonly recognized, Sciatic nerve injuries have been underreported yet widely seen in post-surgical visits. Wilson et al. [8] reported nearly 28% Sciatic nerve injuries (motor and sensory) in a cohort of 162 patients porting post-operatively on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) evaluation.

A newer source of complication (injury to Pudendal nerve) has been identified in recent literature [1] usually by the placement of retractors supers-medially to the ischium. With the Pudendal nerve lying 2–3 cm medial to the ischial tuberosity, a number of cases of transient Pudendal neuropraxia after surgery have been reported [9]. Avoid placing the retractors superomedial to the ischial tuberosity particularly as a tendency during suture anchor placement. The timing of surgery of these tendon repairs also contributes to the likelihood of nerve injuries. Subbu et al. [10] reported higher chances of nerve injury after 6 weeks of tendon rupture compared to repairs performed within 6 weeks. It is expected, greater scarring in prolonged delay in surgical management would increase chances of handling of neurological structures, thereby increasing rate of nerve damage during dissection.

Once exposed, the suture anchor placement on the ischium should be relatively straight forward. Start off by preparing the surface of the ischium by freshening with a corrugated curette or rongeur for the correct healing of tendons. Transosseous reinsertion of tendons is thereafter performed by first drilling 3-4 tunnels using an awl followed by suture anchor placement (Fig. 6, 7). Modified Mason-Allen stitches are then performed to secure the tendon using the sutures from anchors. The tendons are then pulled to the ischium using the free end of the sutures and tied down with the hip extended and knee flexed to
Results

Between January 2020 and December 2021, 11 patients underwent a proximal hamstring repair at our practice. All cases were of acute hamstring tears and diagnosed on MRI evaluation post-injury. No repeat MRI was performed but the patients’ outcomes were judged based on clinical outcomes such as return to sport or the presence of residual pain (Table 1, 2). All patients reached their pre-injury level of activity within 6 months of surgical repair.

Although described previously, these pearls of my own experience have heightened my pre-operative preparation for all repairs ultimately resulting in safer surgery and predictable outcomes.

Conclusion

Surgical repair of proximal hamstring tears has significantly improved functional outcomes when performed correctly. However, the rare presentation of the cases and limited knowledge of the anatomy around the ischium make it a difficult operation to perform.

Clinical Message

Given the positive outcomes noted in previous literature, we recommend repair of avulsion injuries to the proximal hamstring. In this Technical Note, we present our approach for an anatomic proximal hamstring repair. This technique has been validated biomechanically, and we believe it provides a strong repair that can tolerate early rehabilitation, give good long-term results, and avoids the potential debilitating neurological problem of gluteal sciatica.
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Table 1: Steps of proximal hamstring repairs

1. Select your approach- Longitudinal (for scarred chronic tendon tears with retraction >6 cm) or Transverse gluteal crease (cosmetic or fresh tears)
2. Retract Gluteus Maximus supero-laterally
3. Find the lateral border of ischial tuberosity (dissect through scar tissue)
4. Palpate and retract Sciatic nerve lateral to the ischial tuberosity
5. Avoid supero-medial retraction of tissue to avoid injury to Pudendal nerve
6. Time the surgery- early repairs yield safer results
7. Prepare the ischium, pass sutures in tendon after anchor placement
8. Plan for contingency- Allograft in case tendons cannot be retracted back to position

Table 2: Results of case series of acute Hamstring tears

| Age (Range)         | 19–47 years |
|---------------------|-------------|
| Sex                 | 8 Males, 3 Females |
| Pre-injury level of sporting activity | No sport: 1  Recreational sport: 8  Competitive sport: 2 |
| Duration (range) to surgery from injury (days) | 3–41 |
| Number of tendons torn | Three: 6  Two: 4 |
| Mean tendon retraction(cm) | 6.2±2.4 |
| Return to sport | All at appropriate preoperative level |
| Adverse events during follow up | Re-tear: 0  Pain: 0  Sciatic nerve paralysis: 0 |
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