Transient photothermal inactivation of *Escherichia coli* stained with visible dyes by using a nanosecond pulsed laser
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Efficient inactivation of *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) under visible (532 nm) pulsed light irradiation was achieved by fusion of a visible light-absorbing dye with *E. coli*. Inactivation experiments showed that 3-log inactivation of *E. coli* was obtained within 20 min under a 50 kJ/cm² dose. This treatment time and dose magnitude were 10 times faster and 100 times lower, respectively, than the values previously obtained by using a visible femtosecond laser. The mechanism of bacterial death was modeled based on a transient photothermal evaporation effect, where a quantitative evaluation of the temperature increase was given based on the heat transfer equation. As a result of this theoretical analysis, the maximum temperature of the bacteria was correlated with the absorption ratio, pulse energy, and surface-to-volume ratio. An increase in the surface-to-volume ratio with the decreasing size of organic structures leads to the possibility of efficient inactivation of viruses and bacteria under low-dose and non-harmful-visible pulsed light irradiation. Hence, this method can be applied in many fields, such as the instantaneous inactivation of pathogenic viruses and bacteria in a safe and simple manner without damaging large organic structures.

Inactivation with ultraviolet (UV) radiation is a well-established technique that has been used widely, including in the purification of water¹⁻³, room decontamination⁴,⁵, and air purification. The wavelength of UV radiation used is generally shorter than 280 nm, which places it in the UVC region (the wavelength region from 250 to 280 nm is typically used); this wavelength is selected because UVC radiation inactivates pathogenic bacteria, viruses and other microorganisms⁶⁻¹⁰. Inactivation is believed to occur via the formation of thymine dimers in deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) by the absorption of UVC photons; the dimers prevent further replication of the DNA strains¹¹⁻¹³. However, it is generally known that many types of viruses and bacteria are resistant to UVC radiation, for example, blood-borne pathogens such as human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)¹⁴⁻¹⁶. Moreover, UVC is strongly absorbed by human cells and protein components; therefore, it raises concerns about damaging plasma components¹⁷ and causing platelet aggregation¹⁸. Thus, inactivation with UVC radiation lacks safety when applied in irradiation to the human body to inactivate pathogenic bacteria, viruses and other microorganisms attached to the skin or inside the human body.

To avoid the above problems related to the human body, many alternative methods have been studied such as inactivation by using cold plasma¹⁹,²⁰, far-UV light (200–220 nm region)²¹⁻²³, and plasmonic effects²⁴,²⁵. However, these methods are still based on high-energy photons or plasma jets, and their effects on the human body have not yet been clarified. On the other hand, inactivation using continuous wave (CW) mode-locked femtosecond (fs: 10⁻¹⁵ s) lasers has attracted special interest as a potential alternative to UV irradiation²⁶⁻²⁸ because this method is based on low-energy photons in the visible or near-infrared region (400–800 nm). The inactivation mechanism is reported as impulsive stimulated Raman scattering of an ultrashort fs visible/near-infrared (NIR) laser pulse. The fs laser pulse coherently excites the mechanical vibrations⁴,⁵ of the protein capsid of target viral particles, leading to damage and inactivation of a broad spectrum of viruses and bacteria²⁶⁻²⁸ without using toxic or carcinogenic chemicals. This method seems to offer minimal concern of adverse effects to the human body³¹.

However, fs laser inactivation methods have the following disadvantages: (1) a fs laser system is very expensive and cannot be easily obtained by everybody, (2) the inactivation efficiency is low thus it requires a long treatment time.
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Culturing, staining and enumeration of microorganisms. A pure culture of *E. coli* strain DH5α was incubated in nutrient broth (E-MC63; EIKEN Chemical Co., Tokyo, Japan) at 37 °C for 20 h. A concentration of 10^9–10^11 colony forming units (CFU)/mL was achieved and used for the experiments. As shown in Fig. 1a, 0.5 mL of *E. coli* suspension was centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 5 min to separate the solution and bacterial cells. The supernatant was removed, and 0.5 mL normal saline solution was added to the cells (Fig. 1b). Then, the cells in saline solution were stained with a droplet of safranin dye solution (30 µL, Hayashi Pure Chemical Industry Limited Corporation, Japan), as shown in Fig. 1c. The stained cells and safranin dye solution were then separated by centrifugation (Fig. 1d). The stained bacterial cells were dissolved in saline solution at a density of 10^10 CFU/mL, as shown in Fig. 1e. *E. coli* stained with rhodamine B dye (Hayashi Pure Chemical Industry Limited Corporation, Japan) were also produced in the same manner. It should be noted that the reduction behavior (aging) of stained *E. coli* is almost the same as that of unstained *E. coli*; for example, both stained and unstained *E. coli* showed an approximately 10% reduction in CFU after one hour of reduction in CFU after one hour of experiments.

Figure 1f shows the optical absorption spectrum (absorbance) of the *E. coli* solutions stained with safranin dye (red line), rhodamine B dye (orange line) and without any dye (blue line). The absorbance of *E. coli* at the laser excitation wavelength (532 nm) was 0.084 for the unstained sample, 0.2 for the safranin-stained sample, and 0.38 for the rhodamine B-stained sample. Therefore, as shown in Fig. 1g, *E. coli* stained with safranin or rhodamine B dye efficiently absorbed 532 nm laser radiation from the second harmonics (SH) of the yttrium aluminium garnet (YAG) laser, increasing the temperature of *E. coli*. In this case, when a high-intensity laser pulse with the duration of 10 ns irradiates the *E. coli*, the temperature instantaneously increases beyond the evaporation point as shown by the blue line (theoretical plot) of Fig. 1g, leading to destruction of cell structure. On the other hand, with the same dose using CW laser irradiation, instantaneous thermal heating does not occur as shown by the red line (theoretical plot) of Fig. 1g. Thus, pulse irradiation seems to be promising for achieving a much higher inactivation rate than that obtained by CW irradiation at the same dose. We note here that the temperature increase of *E. coli* solution at steady state is the same magnitude between CW and pulsed laser irradiations, because we put the same energy into the solution. The detail of the thermal heating mechanism is described in the discussion section. To perform the inactivation experiments by using the SH of the YAG laser, 600 µL of the stained bacterial cells was taken. Colonies were counted after incubation for 24 h at 37 °C. Plates yielding 1–1000 CFU were considered for analysis. All experiments were performed at least three times independently.

**Inactivation by SH of CW or Q-switched pulsed YAG laser.** Figure 2a shows the optical setup for the inactivation system by using the SH of a CW (100 mW) or pulsed YAG laser (pulse duration: 10 ns, repetition rate: 10 Hz, pulse energy: 10 mJ). A laser beam was guided to a microtube made of borosilicate glass with 5.7 mm φ × 50 mm, which contained a suspension of *E. coli* (600 µL). The focusing beam was made by using a convex lens with a focal length of 200 mm, and the center of the suspension was irradiated by the SH of the YAG laser, as shown in Fig. 2b. The power of both the CW and pulsed YAG laser beams was maintained at 100 mW, and the corresponding power density was 50 W/cm². The inactivation reaction occurred at the central spot of the glass microtube, where the diameter of the focused beam was approximately 0.5 mm, and the irradiated region was approximately 4 µL (0.5φ × 20 mm). The suspension in the tube was homogeneously diffused by using an ultrasonic bath with a frequency of 46 kHz. The temperature of the ultrasonic bath was maintained at 23 °C by using a heat exchanger, where the heat exchanger played a role in inhibiting a temperature increase due to 60 min of ultrasonic operation. (We note here that the temperature of the microtube will rise to approximately 50 °C for 60 min of ultrasonic operation without the heat exchanger). The control suspension, which was not subjected to laser irradiation, was also placed in the ultrasonic bath to precisely distinguish the inactivation caused by the ultrasonic effect from that of laser irradiation. However, it should be noted that the CFU reduction by the ultrasonic treatment was less than 10% of the CFU of the initial control sample; therefore, we used the *E. coli* CFU from the suspension in the ultrasonic bath as the control sample.

**Results**

**Inactivation of unstained *E. coli* by CW or pulsed laser treatments.** The results of the efficacy of inactivation by using the SH of the CW- or Q-switched pulsed YAG laser system are shown in Fig. 3a–f, where Fig. 3a is the control plate (without laser irradiation) of the CW YAG laser experiments and Fig. 3d is the control plate (without pulsed laser irradiation) of the pulsed YAG laser experiments. Figure 3b is the inactivated plate subjected to a 180 kJ/cm² dose (50 W/cm² and 1 h irradiation) from the SH of the CW YAG laser, and
Fig. 3e is the inactivated plate subjected to the same dose but using the SH of the pulsed YAG laser. Despite the use of an identical dose, inactivation by pulse irradiation was considerably higher compared to that observed for CW operation. For example, the number of colonies was not reduced by using the CW laser, which was 192 ± 11 CFU (Fig. 3a) for the control plate and 184 ± 13 CFU (Fig. 3b) for that subjected to the 180 kJ/cm² dose of the CW YAG laser. However, when the same dose was implemented with a pulsed laser, the number of colonies was reduced from 182 ± 12 CFU (Fig. 3d) for the control plate to 13 ± 2 CFU (Fig. 3e). The CFU reductions for the CW or pulsed laser experiments are shown in the bar graph of Fig. 3c,f, respectively. Here, error bars for the graphs were calculated and plotted based on the standard deviation. These results clearly showed that a transient heat reaction occurred in E. coli due to instantaneous pulse irradiation, whereas thermal heating...
Figure 2. (a) Optical setup of the visible laser inactivation system. (b) Photograph of an *E. coli* bacterial sample irradiated by the second harmonics of a YAG laser in an ultrasonic bath. An *E. coli* bacterial sample without laser irradiation was also placed in the ultrasonic bath as a control sample to take into account the inactivation caused by ultrasonic vibrations.

Figure 3. The results of the efficacy of inactivation by using the SH of a CW or Q-switched pulsed YAG laser; (a) control plate for the CW YAG laser experiment, (b) inactivated plate subjected to 180 kJ/cm² from a 532 nm CW YAG laser, and (c) the number of CFU on the control plate (192 ± 11 CFU) and treated plate (184 ± 13 CFU) after treatment with a 532 nm CW YAG laser. (d) Control plate of the 532 nm pulsed YAG laser, (e) inactivated plate subjected to 180 kJ/cm² from a 532 nm pulsed YAG laser, and (f) the number of CFU on the control plate (182 ± 12 CFU) and treated plate (13 ± 2 CFU) after treatment with a 532 nm pulsed YAG laser.
did not occur with CW irradiation. Thus, pulse irradiation seems to be promising for achieving a much higher inactivation rate than that obtained by CW irradiation at the same dose. However, inactivation caused by visible pulse laser irradiation was not sufficient or effective. This was because of the low absorbance of the bacteria, as shown in Fig. 1f.

Figure 4. The results of the efficacy of inactivation for safranin-stained *E. coli* obtained by CW YAG laser irradiation: (a) control plate, (b) inactivated plate subjected to 180 kJ/cm² irradiation, and (c) a bar graph showing the number of CFU for the control plate (845 ± 61 CFU) and inactivated plate (530 ± 116 CFU). The results of the efficacy of inactivation for safranin-stained *E. coli* obtained by pulsed YAG laser irradiation: (d) control plate, (e) inactivated plate subjected to 180 kJ/cm² irradiation, and (f) a bar graph showing the number of CFU on the control plate (446 ± 30 CFU) and inactivated plate (2 ± 1 CFU). The results of the efficacy of inactivation for rhodamine B dye-stained *E. coli* obtained by pulsed YAG laser; (g) control plate, (h) inactivated plate subjected to 45 kJ/cm² irradiation, and (i) a bar graph showing the number of CFU for the control plate (831 ± 39 CFU) and inactivated plate (5 ± 1.1 CFU).

Inactivation of stained *E. coli* by CW or pulsed laser treatments. The results of the efficacy of inactivation by using the SH of a CW or Q-switched pulsed YAG laser for *E. coli* stained with safranin dye (Fig. 4a–f) and rhodamine B dye (Fig. 4g–i) are shown in Fig. 4, where Fig. 4a–c were obtained with the CW YAG laser, and Fig. 4d–i were obtained with the pulsed YAG laser. When we used the CW laser, we did not observe a significant reduction in the CFU after staining treatment when comparing Fig. 4a with Fig. 4b; for example, the number of colonies was not reduced by using the CW laser. 845 ± 61 CFU for the control plate and 530 ± 116 CFU for the 180 kJ/cm² dose given by a CW YAG laser, as shown in the bar graph of Fig. 4c. However, by applying the same dose with a pulsed laser, reductions in CFU were clearly observed for both safranin- (Fig. 4d,e) and rhodamine
B-dyed E. coli (Fig. 4g,h). Furthermore, it was clearly observed that the reduction behaviors were strongly correlated with the magnitude of absorbance. For example, the number of colonies was reduced from 446 ± 30 CFU to 2 ± 1 CFU for safranin-dyed E. coli (OD: 0.2) with a 180 kJ/cm2 dose, as shown in the bar graph of Fig. 4f, and the relatively large absorbance of the rhodamine B-dyed E. coli (OD: 0.38) showed a relatively large inactivation rate. For example, almost the same CFU reduction was obtained with the relatively low dose of 45 kJ/cm2 for the rhodamine B-dyed sample, such as the reduction from 831 ± 39 CFU to 5 ± 1.1 CFU, as shown in the bar graph of Fig. 4i. Thus, it was clearly shown that the inactivation rate was correlated with the magnitudes of both the absorbance and the irradiation dose.

Inactivation rate constants of stained or unstained E. coli by CW or pulsed laser treatments. To quantitatively investigate the reduction in inactivation rates as a function of the 532 nm laser irradiation dose, we plotted the CFU response to the 532 nm laser irradiation dose (inactivated CFU by 532 nm irradiation, N(D)), divided by the control CFU (N0), caused by the CW laser or pulsed laser treatments, as shown in Fig. 5. Here, D is the magnitude of the dose (kJ/cm2), N0 is the number of CFU in the unirradiated control (CFU/mL), and N(D) is the number of CFU at a given irradiation dose D. Blue squares are the inactivation rates of unstained E. coli obtained with CW laser treatment, red squares are the rates of inactivation of safranin dye-stained E. coli obtained with CW laser treatment, blue circles are the rates of inactivation of unstained E. coli obtained with pulsed laser treatment, red circles are the rates of inactivation of safranin-stained E. coli obtained with pulsed laser treatment, and orange circles are the rates of inactivation of rhodamine B dye-stained E. coli obtained with pulsed laser treatment. It was clearly observed that CW laser irradiation did not reduce the CFU; that is, the inactivation rate constant was almost equal to 0 for both unstained- or stained E. coli, which are denoted by dashed blue or red lines shown in Fig. 5, respectively, while pulsed laser irradiation at the same dose showed a significant reduction in the CFU. Furthermore, an increase in the absorbance (from 0.1 for unstained E. coli to 0.38 for rhodamine B-stained E. coli) obtained with pulsed laser treatment, and orange circles are the rates of inactivation of rhodamine B dye-stained E. coli obtained with pulsed laser treatment. It was clearly observed that CW laser irradiation did not reduce the CFU; that is, the inactivation rate constant was almost equal to 0 for both unstained- or stained E. coli, which are denoted by dashed blue or red lines shown in Fig. 5, respectively, while pulsed laser irradiation at the same dose showed a significant reduction in the CFU. Furthermore, an increase in the absorbance (from 0.1 for unstained E. coli to 0.38 for rhodamine B-stained E. coli) by staining exhibited an additional reduction rate of CFU to the pulsed laser irradiation rates. Based on the above experimentally observed inactivation rates as a function of dose D (kJ/cm2), the dose-based inactivation rate constant κ (cm2/kJ) determined by −κD = log[N(D)/N0], and κ = 6.95 × 10^{-3} for unstained E. coli (solid blue line), κ = 1.17 × 10^{-2} for safranin-stained E. coli (solid red line), and κ = 4.91 × 10^{-2} for rhodamine B-stained E. coli (solid orange line).

**Figure 5.** 532 nm dose response of stained or unstained E. coli inactivated by CW or pulsed YAG laser treatment. Blue squares are the inactivation rates of unstained E. coli obtained by CW laser treatment, red squares are the inactivation rates of safranin dye-stained E. coli obtained with CW laser treatment, blue circles are the inactivation rates of unstained E. coli obtained with pulsed laser treatment, red circles are the inactivation rates of safranin dye-stained E. coli obtained with pulsed laser treatment, and orange circles are the inactivation rates of rhodamine B dye-stained E. coli obtained with pulsed laser treatment. The dose (D)-based inactivation rate constant κ (cm2/kJ) obtained for the pulsed laser was determined by −κD = log[N(D)/N0], and κ = 6.95 × 10^{-3} for unstained E. coli (solid blue line), κ = 1.17 × 10^{-2} for safranin-stained E. coli (solid red line), and κ = 4.91 × 10^{-2} for rhodamine B-stained E. coli (solid orange line).
Discussion

Based on the model described in Fig. 1g, we evaluated the temperature increase of a single *E. coli* cell caused by CW or pulsed laser irradiation based on the following heat transfer equation41:

$$\rho_{cv} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (T - T_0) = \alpha S I(t) - \gamma S(T - T_0) - \varepsilon \sigma S(T^4 - T_0^4), \tag{1}$$

where $\alpha = 5.67 \times 10^{-12} \, (J/s \, cm^2 \, K^4)$ is the Stefan-Boltzmann constant41, $\rho$ is the density (g/cm$^3$), $c$ is the specific heat (J/g K), $v$ is the volume (cm$^3$), $T$ is the time-dependent temperature due to the absorption of CW or pulsed laser radiation ($K$), $T_0$ is the temperature before irradiation ($K$), $\alpha$ is the absorption ratio of laser radiation (dimensionless), $S$ is the surface (cm$^2$), $\gamma$ is the convective heat transfer coefficient (J/s cm$^2$ K), and $\varepsilon$ is the emissivity (dimensionless), of *E. coli*, respectively. In Eq. (1), $I(t)$ is the laser-intensity-pulse-profile as a function of time (J/cm$^2$); for CW excitation, $I(t)$ takes a constant value as $I(t) = I_0$, and for pulse excitation, $I(t)$ is expressed, using the Dirac delta function, as $I(t) = E_p \delta(t)$, where $E_p$ is the pulse energy (J/cm$^2$) and the delta function has the dimension of s$^{-1}$. As a form of energy dissipation from *E. coli*, we ignored the thermal radiation heat transfer component [$\varepsilon \sigma (T^4 - T_0^4)$] due to its contribution being more than 10 times smaller than that of convection loss [$\gamma S(T - T_0)$] at the considered temperature ($T = 1000$ K, and $T_0 = 300$ K). Because the energy dissipation terms can be calculated as (i) the convection term, $\gamma S(T - T_0)$ [$= 5.6 \times 10^{-6}$ W] and (ii) the thermal radiation term, $\varepsilon \sigma (T^4 - T_0^4) = 4.5 \times 10^{-7}$ W, where we use $\varepsilon = 0.8$ for *E. coli* obtained in the CW laser experiments [see Eq. (2)], and the maximum emissivity $\varepsilon = 1$ is assumed.

For CW irradiation, Eq. (1) can be solved as a steady-state equation. In this case, the temperature increase of the *E. coli* by laser irradiation was given by the following simple equation:

$$\left( T - T_0 \right) = \frac{\alpha S}{\gamma} I_0. \tag{2}$$

Here, $\alpha$ could be determined from the absorbance spectrum shown in Fig. 1f and the Beer–Lambert law42, and the result was $\alpha = 2.0 \times 10^{-3}$ for an unstained *E. coli*, $\alpha = 4.0 \times 10^{-3}$ for a safranin-stained *E. coli*, and $\alpha = 1.8 \times 10^{-2}$ for a rhodamine B-stained *E. coli*. When the irradiation intensity of the CW laser was $50 \, W/cm^2$, the temperature of the safranin-stained *E. coli* solution was measured by a thermocouple and increased to $2^\circ$C from the base temperature ($T_0$). Therefore, by using Eq. (2), the corresponding convective heat transfer coefficient $\gamma$ was determined to be 0.1 (J/s cm$^2$ K). The magnitude of this convective heat transfer coefficient was large; therefore, the temperature of the bacterial cell could not be increased by CW excitation.

On the other hand, for pulse irradiation, the transient response of Eq. (1) can be solved by putting $I(t) = E_p \delta(t)$ into it as:

$$\left( T - T_0 \right) = \frac{\alpha S}{\rho_{cv}} E_p \exp(-\gamma S T / \rho_{cv}), \tag{3}$$

and the maximum temperature of *E. coli* can be determined by the absorption ratio ($\alpha$), pulse energy ($E_p$), and surface-to-volume ratio ($S/v$). By utilizing the parameters of *E. coli*, such as $\rho = 1.0 \, g/cm^3$, $v = 4.2 \, J/g$ K (Here we assume that $c$ is equal to the value of water because almost 80% of cells are water43), $v = 1 \times 10^{-12} \, cm^2$, $S = 8 \times 10^{-8} \, cm^2$, and $E_p = 5 \, J/cm^2$, the theoretically calculated maximum temperature ($T - T_0$), and the time constant of heat dissipation could be obtained. The temperatures [(T - T0)$_{190}$] were 190 K for unstained *E. coli*, 380 K for safranin-stained *E. coli*, and 1710 K for rhodamine B-stained *E. coli*. The time constant $\gamma S/\rho_{cv}$ for these samples was 1.9 $\times$ 10$^3$ Hz. These results are summarized in Table 2. (We note here that the theoretical plot of the temperature rise by pulsed irradiation (blue line) shown in Fig. 1g was obtained by $\alpha = 2.1 \times 10^{-2}$).

It was clearly seen that the inactivation rate constant $\kappa$ (cm$^2$/kJ) obtained with the results shown in Fig. 5 exhibited a correlation with the maximum temperature ($T - T_0$)$_{190}$, with the linearly proportional relation $\kappa = (T - T_0)_{190} / \xi$, where the parameter $\xi$ (kJ K/cm$^2$) is characterized by the temperature and dose of inactivation. When we assumed the parameter $\xi = 1.27 \times 10^3$ (kJ K/cm$^2$), we could evaluate the theoretical values of $\kappa$. The calculated values were $\kappa = 6.51 \times 10^{-3}$ for unstained, $\kappa = 1.30 \times 10^{-2}$ for safranin-stained, and $\kappa = 5.65 \times 10^{-2}$ for rhodamine B-stained *E. coli*. The results are summarized in Table 1. The theoretically obtained inactivation rate constants for unstained, safranin dye-stained, and rhodamine B dye-stained *E. coli* agreed well with the experimentally obtained constants. This agreement strongly suggested that the mechanism of inactivation obtained by visible pulse laser irradiation originated from the transient photothermal evaporation effect, which is quantitatively described by $\kappa = (T - T_0)_{190} / \xi$.

It should be noted that due to the factor of the surface-to-volume ratio ($S/v$) in Eq. (3), it is possible for relatively small organic structures, such as viruses, to undergo transient photothermal inactivation without any staining treatments. For example, when we irradiated human red blood cells (HRBCs) and viruses at the same

| Inactivation rate constant | Non-stained and pulse | Safranin and pulse | Rhodamine B and pulse | Non-stained and CW | Safranin and CW |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| **\(\kappa\) (Experiment)** | 6.95 $\times$ 10$^{-3}$ | 1.17 $\times$ 10$^{-3}$ | 4.91 $\times$ 10$^{-3}$ | 0 | 0 |
| **\(\kappa\) (Theory)** | 6.51 $\times$ 10$^{-3}$ | 1.30 $\times$ 10$^{-2}$ | 5.65 $\times$ 10$^{-2}$ | 0 | 0 |

Table 1. Inactivation rate constants $\kappa$ (cm$^2$/kJ) obtained experimentally (first line) or theoretically (second line) for unstained, safranin-stained, and rhodamine B-stained *E. coli* irradiated by a CW or pulsed YAG laser.
time, the wavelength of the pulsed laser was selected to be in a low-absorption region for HRBCs, such as 600 nm or more\textsuperscript{44,45}, and the other conditions, such as the density and the specific heat, were assumed to be the same as described above (almost 80% of HRBCs are water\textsuperscript{46,47}), the temperature of the HRBCs did not increase and remained at approximately 50 K. On the other hand, the temperature of viruses with structures on the order of 10–100 nm significantly increased up to 1800 K.

It is difficult to directly measure the temperature of the above small organic structures. However, this analysis can be applied not only to small organic structures but also to inorganic nanoparticles whose thermodynamic and thermophysical properties are well established. Here, we quantitatively analyse the temperature of gold (Au) nanoparticles by using Eq. (3) and compare the results with those obtained in previous studies\textsuperscript{48–51}. The red and blue lines in Fig. 6 show the theoretically calculated maximum temperatures of Au nanoparticles with diameters of 60 nm (red line) and 100 nm (blue line). The solid red triangle is the temperature obtained by Ref.\textsuperscript{48}, the solid red rhombus is the temperature obtained by Ref.\textsuperscript{49}, the solid red circles are the temperatures obtained by Ref.\textsuperscript{50}, the solid blue circles are the temperatures obtained by Ref.\textsuperscript{49}, and the solid blue rhombi are the temperatures obtained by Ref.\textsuperscript{51}. The theoretically calculated maximum temperatures obtained by Eq. (3) agree well with these previously reported temperature values.

Table 2. Theoretically calculated values of the surface-to-volume ratio ($S/v$), absorption ratio ($\alpha$), temperature increase ($T - T_0$), and time constant ($\gamma S/\rho c v$) for viruses, bacteria (unstained-, safranin-stained and rhodamine B-stained E. coli), and human red blood cells. To obtain a quantitative comparison, the laser intensity was assumed to be 50 W/cm$^2$; repetition rate, 10 Hz; density 1.0 g/cm$^3$; and specific heat, 4.2 J/g K, for all the organic structures.

|                  | Virus       | E. coli unstained | E. coli safranin | E. coli rhodamine | Red blood cells |
|------------------|-------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| $S/v$ (cm$^{-1}$)| 1.5 × 10$^6$| 8 × 10$^4$        | 8 × 10$^4$       | 8 × 10$^4$       | 2 × 10$^4$      |
| $\alpha$         | 0.001 (650 nm) | 0.002         | 0.004           | 0.018           | 0.001 (650 nm)  |
| Temp. increase (K) | 1780        | 190              | 380             | 1710             | 24              |
| Time constant (Hz) | 36,000      | 1900            | 1900            | 1900             | 475             |

Figure 6. Maximum temperature of a single Au nanoparticle versus absorbed fluence of a pulsed laser. Red and blue lines are the theoretically calculated temperatures of Au nanoparticles with diameters of 60 nm (red line) and 100 nm (blue line). The solid red triangle is the temperature obtained by Ref.\textsuperscript{48}, the solid red rhombus is the temperature obtained by Ref.\textsuperscript{49}, the solid red circles are the temperatures obtained by Ref.\textsuperscript{50}, the solid blue circles are the temperatures obtained by Ref.\textsuperscript{49}, and the solid blue rhombi are the temperatures obtained by Ref.\textsuperscript{51}. The theoretically calculated maximum temperatures obtained by Eq. (3) agree well with these previously reported temperature values.

Table 2. Theoretically calculated values of the surface-to-volume ratio ($S/v$), absorption ratio ($\alpha$), temperature increase ($T - T_0$), and time constant ($\gamma S/\rho c v$) for viruses, bacteria (unstained-, safranin-stained and rhodamine B-stained E. coli), and human red blood cells. To obtain a quantitative comparison, the laser intensity was assumed to be 50 W/cm$^2$; repetition rate, 10 Hz; density 1.0 g/cm$^3$; and specific heat, 4.2 J/g K, for all the organic structures.
Conclusions

In this study, we demonstrated the efficient inactivation of *E. coli* stained with safranin or rhodamine B dyes by using a low-power and easily available nanosecond visible pulse laser and obtained 3-log inactivation of *E. coli* in a short period of treatment time, on the order of 10 min, with a relatively low irradiation dose on the order of 50 kJ/cm². The treatment time and dose magnitude was much faster and much lower, respectively, than those obtained with a fs laser.

We used a staining treatment for the inactivation of *E. coli* because, as shown in Fig. 1f, *E. coli* has no absorption band in the visible region. However, there are many bacteria that have inherent absorption bands in the visible region, such as *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (*P. aeruginosa*), *Staphylococcus aureus* (*S. aureus*), *Micrococcus luteus* (*M. luteus*), and *Kocuria oceani* (*K. oceani*). We consider that by choosing the wavelength of pulsed laser to match the absorption bands, the transient photothermal inactivation of these pathogenic bacteria can be made without the staining treatment. We have some promising results confirming the excitation wavelength dependence of the inactivation efficacy for *M. luteus* and *K. oceani*. These results will be reported elsewhere.

A qualitative model based on the transient photothermal evaporation effect was discussed, and a quantitative evaluation of the temperature increase based on the heat transfer equation was made. As a result of this theoretical analysis, the maximum temperature of bacteria or viruses was correlated with the absorption ratio (*α*), pulse energy (*Eₚ*), and surface-to-volume ratio (*S/V*). The importance of the surface-to-volume ratio leads to the selectivity of inactivation of viruses and bacteria without damaging or heating large organic structures, such as human blood cells and stem cells. We consider that the proposed transient photothermal evaporation method can be applied to many fields such as a sterilization technique of skin and intraoral organ in a convenient manner. To confirm the validity of this method to these practical applications, it is necessary to evaluate the threshold temperature to induce the photothermal evaporation effect for various bacteria and viruses under practical conditions as well as to reduce the irradiation pulse energy.
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