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**Abstract**

The mirid bugs *Adelphocoris suturalis* (Jakovlev), *Adelphocoris lineolatus* (Goeze) and *Adelphocoris fasciaticollis* (Reuter) (Hemiptera: Miridae) are common pests of several agricultural crops. These three species have vastly different geographical distributions, phenologies and abundances, all of which are linked to their reliance on local plants. Previous work has shown notable differences in *Adelphocoris* spp. host use for overwintering. In this study, we assessed the extent to which each of the *Adelphocoris* spp. relies on one of its major overwinter hosts for spring development. Over the course of four consecutive years (2009–2012), we conducted population surveys on 77 different plant species from 39 families. During the spring, *A. fasciaticollis* used the broadest range of hosts, as it was found on 35 plant species, followed by *A. suturalis* (15 species) and *A. lineolatus* (7 species). Abundances of the species greatly differed between host plants, with *A. fasciaticollis* reaching the highest abundance on Chinese date (*Ziziphus jujuba* Mill.), whereas both *A. suturalis* and *A. lineolatus* preferred alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L.). The host breadths of the three *Adelphocoris* spp. differed greatly between subsequent spring and winter seasons. The generalist species exhibited the least host fidelity, with *A. suturalis* and *A. lineolatus* using 8 of 22 and 4 of 12 overwinter host species for spring development, respectively. By contrast, the comparative specialist *A. fasciaticollis* relied on 9 of its 11 overwinter plants as early-season hosts. We highlight important seasonal changes in host breadth and interspecific differences in the extent of host switching behavior between the winter and spring seasons. These findings benefit our understanding of the evolutionary interactions between mirid bugs and their host plants and can be used to guide early-season population management.

**Introduction**

Host plant use forms the basis of niche breadth and the evolutionary success of herbivores [1]. Depending upon individual host breadth and other ecological particularities, herbivorous insects transfer between host plant species to differing extents to locate suitable host foods for their offspring and themselves [2,3,4,5]. For generalist herbivores, a mixing of diets can produce substantial benefits, and a selective intake of food items or host plant species can redress or prevent nutritional imbalances [6,7]. Subsequent host switching can be employed as an adaptation to restricted food sources, and eventually result in improved fitness or subsequent population build-up [3,8]. In addition to revealing key aspects of the evolution of plant-animal systems, a knowledge of host breadth and host switching behavior can help to understand the source-sink dynamics of agricultural pests.

In China, *Adelphocoris suturalis* (Jakovlev), *A. lineolatus* (Goeze) and *A. fasciaticollis* (Reuter) are three common pest species on cotton, alfalfa and many other crops [9,10]. Both adults and nymphs feed on the vegetative and reproductive organs of their host plants, causing stunted growth and the abscission or malformation of leaves, flowers and fruits [11]. Over the past 15 years, an increased adoption of transgenic Bt (*Bacillus thuringiensis*) cotton and the subsequent reduction in insecticide use in this crop have increased *Adelphocoris* spp. infestation levels [12].

The three *Adelphocoris* spp. have different geographical distributions, seasonal occurrences and infestation levels. *A. suturalis* is mainly found in temperate areas, such as the Yangtze River Region and the southern part of the Yellow River Region, whereas *A. lineolatus* and *A. fasciaticollis* are usually confined to colder regions, namely certain parts of the Yellow River Region [10,13]. Local agro-landscape composition and the phenology and abundance of suitable host plants are thought to determine *Adelphocoris* spp. population abundances in each of these regions [9,14]. Each *Adelphocoris* species has a specific range of overwintering host plants that it uses, largely consistent with each species’ distribution and phenology [15].

On these winter hosts, the different *Adelphocoris* species overwinter as eggs. Some insect species rely on a wide range of plant species for overwintering, whereas others have a far more restricted host range. The eggs of *A. suturalis* have successfully eclosed from 115 plant species, whereas *A. lineolatus* and *A. fasciaticollis* have overwintered on 40 and 35 plant species, respectively [15]. The following spring, the overwintering eggs hatch, and newly emerged nymphs begin feeding on several plant species for one generation; then, the adults subsequently move onto summer host plants. The presence of suitable host plants in or
near *Adelphocoris* spp. overwintering sites is particularly important given the limited dispersal capacities of the newly emerged nymphs [11]. It is unknown to what extent the different *Adelphocoris* spp. rely on overwinter hosts for spring development and whether early-season host use relates to the dietary breadth of a given species.

In this study, we contrasted the early-season host plant range of the three *Adelphocoris* species with previously reported winter host use patterns. The results may help explain interspecific differences in the distributions and phenologies of *Adelphocoris* spp. Additionally, a sound understanding of early-season host switching and population buildup could ultimately help predict *Adelphocoris* spp. infestation levels in summer crops such as cotton and alfalfa.

**Materials and Methods**

**Ethics Statement**

No specific permits were required for the described field studies.

**Field Trials**

Field surveys were conducted from mid-April to mid-June of the year 2009–2012 at the natural areas and agricultural fields near the Langfang Experiment Station, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences (CAAS) (116.4°E, 39.3°N), in Hebei Province, China. Here, all three *Adelphocoris* spp. have similarly low population levels [10,15].

Each year, we sampled various plant species (including weeds, fruit trees, economic trees, pastures, and agricultural crops) that are common and widely distributed in the agroecosystems of northern China based on information from local plant guides. A total of 77 plant species from 39 families were sampled, including 53 weeds, 20 trees, 2 pasture crops and 2 agricultural crops. We sampled 65 plant species covering 10,790 m² (in 2009), 67 species covering 11,769 m² (2010), 43 species covering 8,417 m² (2011) and 56 species covering 4,345 m² (2012) (Tables 1 and 2).

The sampling protocol was adapted from an existing one [16]. In brief, the *Adelphocoris* spp. abundance on different plants was assessed using a standard white pan beating method. For herbaceous plants, we examined the entire plant; whereas for tree crops, we sampled the young branches. Sampling was performed every 3–5 days, the plant material was shaken over a 40 cm × 26 cm × 11 cm white pan, and the dislodged *Adelphocoris* individuals (adults and nymphs) were counted [17]. Identification of *Adelphocoris* species was based on morphological features [18]. Per year, a total of 10–16 sampling events were conducted, with 10–20 random samples taken per plant species and event. For common plant species, a single sample consisted of a total area of 2–20 m², whereas for uncommon species, all of the plants at a given site were sampled. At each event, we determined the exact area covered by each plant species (i.e., sampling area) and recorded the plant growth stage. To correctly identify the associations of a particular *Adelphocoris* sp. with a given plant species, we only selected uniform patches or carefully chose single stems of a given plant species for sampling. Plant species were identified using regional weed guides [19] or with the assistance of CAAS plant taxonomists. Plant species on which individuals of each *Adelphocoris* sp. were found were defined as ‘host plants’ of the respective species [16,20], and those host plants that had a wide distribution and supported high densities of *Adelphocoris* sp. were regarded as the species’ ‘dominant hosts’ [16].

**Statistical Analysis**

For each *Adelphocoris* sp., the average abundance on each plant species was computed on a yearly basis, i.e., by dividing the total number of captured individuals on one plant species by the total area covered by this respective plant throughout the entire sampling period [16]. As the field survey generally started before overwintering eggs had begun to hatch, survey data were not included in the analyses before the appearance of the first individuals of *Adelphocoris* spp. The abundance of each *Adelphocoris* sp. was compared between different dominant plant species using a two-way un-replicated ANOVA with a Friedman’s test, with years and plant species as fixed factors. A Chi-square test was performed to compare the rate at which overwinter host plants were also used as spring hosts between the three *Adelphocoris* species. All of the statistical analyses were performed using SAS software [21].

**Results**

For *A. suturalis*, 15 species of host plants were found in the spring (Tables 1 and 2), but no significant difference was found for its population abundance on any of the host species (χ² = 9.21, df = 14, P = 0.8176). From the analyses of plant distribution and *A. suturalis* abundance on these 15 plant species, alfalfa *Medicago sativa* L. (0.22 individuals per m²), and four weeds *Cnidosium monnieri* (L.) Cuss. (0.17), *Kochia scoparia* (L.) Schrad (0.07), *Humulus scandens* (Lour.) Merr. (0.02), and *Chenopodium album* L. (0.02) were regarded as the major spring host plants. From a total of 22 *A. suturalis* overwinter hosts, 8 species were confirmed as spring host plants, including *C. album*, *H. scandens*, *K. scoparia*, *M. sativa*, *Punus armeniaca* L., *P. persica* (L.) Batsch, *Salsola collina* Pall., and *Vitis vinifera* L. (Figure 1a).

For *A. lineolatus*, 7 species of spring host plants were found (Tables 1 and 2). On alfalfa, *M. sativa*, the average abundance of *A. lineolatus* was 2.77 ± 1.21 individuals per m², which was significantly higher than on any other plant (χ² = 13.16, df = 4, P = 0.0405). The second highest abundance was 0.34 individuals per m² on another pasture crop *Méliétus suaveolens* Ledeb, and those on all of the other host species were less than 0.01. From a total of 12 overwinter host plants, 4 species (incl. the above two pasture crops, and *H. scandens*, *Ziziphus jujuba* Mill.) were found to be *A. lineolatus*’ spring host plants (Figure 1b).

For *A. fasciaticollis*, 35 species of early-season host plants were found (Table 1 and 2), with no significant difference in population abundance (χ² = 42.33, df = 34, P = 0.1545). Chinese date, *Z. jujuba*, was considered a key spring host plant because of its large growing area and the high abundance of *A. fasciaticollis* (0.40 ± 0.04 individuals per m²), and the population abundance on 4 host species, including *Morus alba* L., *P. armeniaca*, *Crataegus pannatifolia* Bge., and *Pyrus bretschneideri* Rehd, was less than 0.01. Among 11 *A. fasciaticollis* winter hosts, 9 species were regarded as its spring hosts, including *Artemisia argyi* Lev., *V. sinica*, and *Z. jujuba* (Figure 1c).

During the spring, the outbreak generalist *A. suturalis* and *A. lineolatus* were found on 36.4% (8/22) and 33.3% (4/12) of their overwintering hosts. However, for *A. fasciaticollis*, 81.8% (9/11) of overwintering hosts were also used as early-season hosts. The extent of using overwintering plants as early-season hosts significantly differed between the three *Adelphocoris* spp. (χ² = 7.26, df = 2, P = 0.0267). Additionally, 5 plant species, including *Cirsium setosum* (Willd.) MB., *H. scandens*, *Lepidium sativum* L., *M. sativa*, and *S. collina*, were shared as early-season host plants by all three mirid bug species (Table 3).
### Table 1. Weedy host plants of *Adelphocoris* spp. in the spring and the winter during 2009–2012 at Langfang, Hebei Province, China.

| Plant species | Sampling area (m²) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---------------|--------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|               | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | Winter | Spring | Winter | Spring | Winter | Spring |
| **Amaranthaceae** | | | | | | | | | | | |
| *Amaranthus retroflexus* L. | 16 | 93 | 42 | + | - | + | - | - | - | - |
| **Asclepiadaceae** | | | | | | | | | | | |
| *Cynanchum chinense* R. Br. | 65 | 8 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| *Cynanchum thesioides* (Freyn) K. Schum. | 10 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| *Metaplexis japonica* (Thunb.) Makino | 8 | 20 | 6 | - | - | - | + | - | - | - |
| **Boraginaceae** | | | | | | | | | | | |
| *Bothriospermum chinense* Bge. | 150 | 5 | 8 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| *Lycopsis orientalis* L. | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Brassicaceae** | | | | | | | | | | | |
| *Descurainia sophia* (Linn.) Webb ex Prantl | 19 | 16 | 49 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| *Lepidium sativum* L. | 110 | 53 | 83 | 4 | + | + | + | + | + | - |
| **Chenopodiaceae** | | | | | | | | | | | |
| *Chenopodium album* L. | 284 | 115 | 15 | 5 | + | + | + | - | - | - |
| *Salsola collaris* Pall. | 424 | 239 | 285 | 20 | + | + | - | + | + | + |
| *Kochia scoparia* (L.) Schrad. | 9 | 7 | - | + | + | + | - | + | + | + |
| *Chenopodium glaucum* L. | 1 | 4 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| *Chenopodium serotinum* L. | 31 | 3 | 16 | 12 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Compositae** | | | | | | | | | | | |
| *Artemisia argyi* Levl. et Vant. | 12 | 4 | 10 | + | - | - | - | + | + | + |
| *Xanthium sibiricum* Patrin ex Widder | 16 | 3 | 10 | + | - | - | - | - | - | + |
| *Artemisia annua* L. | 90 | 4 | 23 | 24 | + | - | + | - | - | + |
| *Lactuca indica* L. | 320 | 46 | 81 | + | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| *Artemisia lavandulaefolia* DC. Prodr. | 41 | 7 | 40 | 40 | + | - | + | - | - | + |
| *Artemisia scoparia* Waldst. et Kit. | 340 | 36 | 18 | 22 | + | - | - | + | + | + |
| *Taraxacum mongolicum* Hand.-Mazz. | 4 | 6 | 9 | + | - | + | - | - | - | + |
| *Bident pilosa* L. | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| *Carduus crispus* L. | 10 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| *Cephalanoplos setosum* (Willd.) Kitam. | 1 | 1 | 24 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | + |
| *Cirsium setosum* (Willd.) MB. | 103 | 159 | 30 | 8 | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| *Conyza canadensis* (L.) Cronq. | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| *Hemistepta lyrata* Bunge | 72 | 9 | 5 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| *Heteropappus altaicus* (Willd.) Novopokr | 20 | 7 | 15 | 12 | - | - | - | - | + | + |
| *Inula japonica* Thunb. | 9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| *Sonchus oleraceus* L. | 1 | 1 | 10 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Convolvulaceae** | | | | | | | | | | | |
| *Calystegia hederacea* Wall. | 64 | 89 | 11 | + | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| *Convolvulus arvensis* L. | 3 | 26 | 51 | - | - | + | - | - | - | - |
| **Cruciferae** | | | | | | | | | | | |
| *Capsella bursa-pastoris* (L.) Medic. | 13 | 18 | 2 | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Equisetaceae** | | | | | | | | | | | |
| *Equisetum ramosissimum* Desf. | 5 | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Euphorbiaceae** | | | | | | | | | | | |
| *Euphorbia esula* L. | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Gramineae** | | | | | | | | | | | |
| *Setaria viridis* (L.) Beauv. | 9 | 17 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| *Echinochloa crusgalli* (L.) Beauv. | 3 | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
Discussion

For *Adelphocoris* spp., early-season host plants are the key source for future colonization or the exploitation of summer hosts such as cotton. To date, the host plant ranges of various mirid bugs (e.g., *Lygus rugulipennis* Poppius, *Lygus lineolaris* (Palisot de Beauvois), *Lygus hesperus* Knight, *Apolygus lucorum* (Meyer-Dür)) in the spring have been determined [16,20,22,23,24,25]. This survey determined that there are 15 species of early-season host plants for *A. suturalis*, 7 species for *A. lineolatus*, and 35 species for *A. fasciaticollis* in northern China. Several early-season host plants had been previously identified for these *Adelphocoris* spp. in China [9,14,26,27,28]. However, because these studies were conducted at different locations with differing species compositions and differing abundances of *Adelphocoris* spp. and plants, their results cannot be used to explore between-species differences in distribution and seasonal occurrence. Our present study effectively complements previous work because all three *Adelphocoris* spp. coexist at similar population levels at the study site [15].

In 2008, literature reviews and exploratory host range trials indicated that there was a total of 116, 125 and 30 host plant species for *A. suturalis*, *A. lineolatus* and *A. fasciaticollis*, respectively [11]. Novel work brought the respective host plant range of *A. suturalis*, *A. lineolatus* and *A. fasciaticollis* to 270, 245 and 127 species, maintaining the previous interspecific differences in host breadth (Lu YH, unpublished data; Table 3). Because of the limited abundance/cover at sampling sites, certain plant species were only sampled in 1–2 m² in this study. Although limited sampling might lead to underestimates of the host range of a given *Adelphocoris* spp., plant species with low abundance/cover in natural and agricultural habitats will only play a minor role in the population dynamics of the different mirid bugs. Hence, the updated results presented here provide a comprehensive set of information on year-round host plant range for future research on the interactions.

### Table 1. Cont.

| Plant species                  | Sampling area (m²) | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | A. suturalis | A. lineolatus | A. fasciaticollis |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|------|------|------|------|--------------|--------------|------------------|
|                               |                    |      |      |      |      | Winter       | Spring       | Winter           | Spring          |
| Imperata cylindrica (L.) Beauv.|                   | 17   | 109  | 2    |      |              |              |                  |                  |
| Phragmites communis Trin.     |                   | 55   | 28   | 8    |      |              |              |                  |                  |
| Poa annua L.                  |                   | 30   |      | 2    |      |              |              |                  |                  |
| Labiatae                      |                   |      |      |      |      |              |              |                  |                  |
| Lagopsis supina (Steph.) Ik.-Gal. ex Knorr. | 890 | 228  | 103  | 9    | +   |              |              |                  |                  |
| Leonurus sibiricus L.         |                   | 4    | 2    | 4    | +   |              |              |                  |                  |
| Lamiaeae                      |                   |      |      |      |      |              |              |                  |                  |
| Salvia plebeia R. Br.         |                   | 11   | 3    | 9    |      |              |              |                  |                  |
| Leguminosae                   |                   |      |      |      |      |              |              |                  |                  |
| Gueldenstaedtia multiflora Bunge. | 7         | 7    |      |      |      |              |              |                  |                  |
| Malvaceae                     |                   |      |      |      |      |              |              |                  |                  |
| Abutilon theophrasti Medic.   |                   | 10   | 13   | 1    | 20  | +            |              |                  |                  |
| Humulus scandens (Lour.) Merr. |                   | 109  | 182  | 153  | 42  | +            | +            | +                | +                |
| Plantaginaceae                |                   |      |      |      |      |              |              |                  |                  |
| Plantago depressa Willd.      |                   | 28   | 18   | 24   | 2   |              |              |                  |                  |
| Polygonaceae                  |                   |      |      |      |      |              |              |                  |                  |
| Polygonon aviculare L.        |                   | 14   | 10   | 1    |      |              |              |                  |                  |
| Portulacaceae                 |                   |      |      |      |      |              |              |                  |                  |
| Portulaca oleracea L.         |                   | 1    | 8    | 25   |      |              |              |                  |                  |
| Rosaceae                      |                   |      |      |      |      |              |              |                  |                  |
| Potentilla supina L.          |                   | 1    | 1    | 1    |      |              |              |                  |                  |
| Rubiaceae                     |                   |      |      |      |      |              |              |                  |                  |
| Rubia cordifolia L.           |                   | 17   | 15   | 3    | 9   |              |              |                  |                  |
| Scrophulariaceae              |                   |      |      |      |      |              |              |                  |                  |
| Rehmannia glutinosa Libosch.  |                   | 17   | 25   | 14   |      |              |              |                  |                  |
| Umbelliferae                  |                   |      |      |      |      |              |              |                  |                  |
| Cnidium monnieri (L.) Cuss.    |                   | 1    | 2    | 3    | +   |              |              |                  |                  |
| Violaceae                     |                   |      |      |      |      |              |              |                  |                  |
| Viola prionantha Bunge.       |                   | 1    | 1    |      |      |              |              |                  |                  |

Sampling area refers to the combined area covered by each sampled plant species in the respective year. The information of overwinter host ranges of *Adelphocoris* spp. is cited from [15]. The signs “+” and “−” indicate that the associated plant species is a host plant or non-host plant, respectively. A blank space indicates that this species was not surveyed. Plant species highlighted in bold were included in the host plant surveys during the winter [15] and the spring (present study).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0059000.t001
Table 2. Cultivated host plants of *Adelphocoris* spp. in the spring and the winter during 2009–2012 at Langfang, Hebei Province, China.

| Plant species | Sampling area (m2) | A. suturalis | A. lineolatus | A. fasciaticollis |
|---------------|--------------------|--------------|---------------|------------------|
|               | 2009   | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | Winter | Spring | Winter | Spring | Winter | Spring |
| Fruit tree    |         |      |      |      |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| Begoniaceae   |         |      |      |      |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| *Begonia grandis* Dry. | 1      |      |      |      | +      |        | +      |        | +      | +      |
| Ebenaceae     |         |      |      |      |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| *Diospyros kaki* Thunb. | 3 265   |      |      |      |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| Moraceae      |         |      |      |      |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| *Morus alba* L. | 254 1876 | 293 61 |      |      |        |        | +      |        |        |        |
| Juglandaceae  |         |      |      |      |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| *Juglans regia* L. | 1 136  |      |      |      |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| Rhamnaceae    |         |      |      |      |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| *Ziziphus jujuba* Mill. | 1060 1048 | 670 562 | +    | +    | +      | +      | +      | +      | +      | +      |
| Rosaceae      |         |      |      |      |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| *Pyrus bretschneideri* Rehd. | 1437 2422 | 1318 593 |        | +    |        |        | +      |        | +      | +      |
| *Malus domestica* Borkh. | 3 69  |      |      |      | +      | +      |        | +      |        | +      |
| *Prunus persica* (L.) Batsch | 2169 1930 | 1574 591 | +    | +    |        |        | +      |        | +      | +      |
| *Prunus armeniaca* L. | 964 1648 | 876 335 | +    | +    |        |        | +      |        | +      | +      |
| *Cerasus pseudocerasus* (Lindl.) G. Don | 28  |      |      |      |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| *Crataegus pinnatifida* Bge. | 16 74  |      |      |      |        |        | +      |        |        |        |
| *Prunus salicina* Lindl. | 623 504 | 1103 413 |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| Vitaceae      |         |      |      |      |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| *Vitis vinifera* L. | 422 254 | 730 284 | +    | +    | +      | +      | +      | +      |        |        |
| Economic tree |         |      |      |      |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| Leguminosae   |         |      |      |      |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| *Amorpha fruticosa* L. | 27 4 67 |      |      |      | +      |        |        |        |        |        |
| *Robinia pseudoacacia* L. | 21 11 44 |      |      |      |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| Rutaceae      |         |      |      |      |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| *Zanthoxylum bungeanum* Maxim. | 3 127  |      |      |      |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| Salicaceae    |         |      |      |      |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| *Salix matsudana* Koidz. | 18 25 53 |      |      |      |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| *Populus tomentosa* Carr. | 40 16 694 99 |      |      |      |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| Simaroubaceae |         |      |      |      |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| *Alanthus altissima* Swingle | 55 1  |      |      |      |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| Ulmaceae      |         |      |      |      |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| *Ulmus pumila* L. | 59 43 18 81 |      |      |      |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| Pasture       |         |      |      |      |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| Leguminosae   |         |      |      |      |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| *Melilotus suaveolens* Ledeb. | 10 11 3 |      |      |      | +      |        |        |        | +      | +      |
| *Medicago sativa* L. | 81 50  |      |      |      | +      | +      |        |        | +      | +      |
| Agricultural crop |         |      |      |      |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| Agrostidoideae |         |      |      |      |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| *Triticum aestivum* L. | 74 225 29 50 |      |      |      |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| Liliaceae     |         |      |      |      |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| *Allium fistulosum* L. | 2 1  |      |      |      |        |        |        |        |        |        |

Sampling area refers to the combined area covered by each sampled plant species in the respective year. The information of overwinter host ranges of *Adelphocoris* spp. is cited from [15]. The signs “+” and “−” indicate that the associated plant species is a host plant or non-host plant, respectively. A blank space indicates that this plant was not surveyed. Plant species highlighted in bold were included in the host plant surveys during the winter [15] and the spring (present study).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0059000.t002
**Adelphocoris species on different host plants.** Data are shown as mean ± SE. Different letters denote significant differences between plant species. The gray arrows indicate that the plant species are both mean.

Figure 1. Comparison of the population density of each *Adelphocoris* species on different plant species. Data are shown as mean ± SE. Different letters denote significant differences between plant species. The gray arrows indicate that the plant species are both mean.

between *Adelphocoris* spp. and its host plants and the regional management of these polyphagous pests.

Stark differences were found between the host breadth of the three *Adelphocoris* spp. during the winter and spring season. For *A. suturalis*, a limited set of host plants was found during the spring compared to their overall host range and overwinter host range of 270 and 115 species, respectively (Table 3). In the Yangtze River region, where *A. suturalis* is dominant, several important host plants such as horse bean (*Vicia faba* L.), carrot (*Daucus carota* L.), garland chrysanthemum (*Chrysanthemum coronarium* L.), celery (*Apium graveolens* L.), alfalfa and hairy vetch (*Vicia villosa* Roth), are cultivated to a large extent [9,27]. The fact that the above host plants are grown to a lesser extent in northern China may partly explain the relatively low population levels of this pest locally.

For *A. lineolatus*, alfalfa was the principal early-season host plant and is also an important overwinter host for this species [15]. Large areas of alfalfa, cultivated as a pasture crop, could explain the relatively high population levels of *A. lineolatus* in one of China’s key cattle growing areas (i.e., Cangzhou, Hebei Province) [11,29]. Indeed, *A. lineolatus* adults greatly prefer alfalfa to other host plants [29], but periodic rotation of alfalfa fields can cause adults to disperse to cotton, sunflower and other crops. As new alfalfa fields are established, *A. lineolatus* adults gradually migrate back to the alfalfa fields [29]. These phenomena indicate that alfalfa is the most important host plant for *A. lineolatus*, which greatly affects its distribution and phenology.

For *A. fasciaticollis*, the early-season host range was similar to the overwinter host range, with 35 plant species reported as overwinter hosts [15]. Chinese date was the most important overwinter and early-season host plant. It was previously thought that trees were significant hosts for *A. fasciaticollis*, but because no individuals were found on other fruit trees, such as *P. persica* and *Malus domestica* Borkh., the *A. fasciaticollis* life cycle may be mainly restricted to Chinese date [11,26]. Chinese date is primarily grown in northern China [30], which could explain why *A. fasciaticollis* is mainly confined to this part of the country [10,18].

During the host-plant selection process of phytophagous insects, the successful colonization of suitable host plants is pivotal for their individual survival and population build-up. For specialist insect species, it may be more difficult and dangerous to change food plants and seek a new host than for generalists [31]. Hence, in general, the degree of host fidelity of comparative specialists tends to be higher than for generalists [32]. In our study, the different *Adelphocoris* species exhibited varying levels of fidelity to their overwinter host plants, with the (comparative) specialist *A. fasciaticollis* exhibiting the greatest extent of host fidelity. This finding supports the above general viewpoint on host fidelity of phytophagous insects.

Host fidelity does not necessarily imply increased survival because host switching can cause additional mortality. Even for species that use overwinter host plants for spring development, survival rates can be as low as 30% [33]. For species such as *A. suturalis* and *A. lineolatus*, that use an entirely new set of plants for early-season development, host switching could constitute an additional mortality factor [34]. Consequently, it is expected that host switching leads to a fitness increase that effectively compensates for this additional mortality. In addition to host plant ranges, the fitness of *Adelphocoris* spp. on different hosts can thus help explain between-population differences in many life-history traits.

Our work shows large seasonal variability in host usage patterns. For *A. suturalis* and *A. lineolatus*, a relatively small set of host plants was recorded during the spring compared to their overall host range, which comprises 270 and 245 species, respectively (Table 3). *A. fasciaticollis* adopted a fairly similar host range in spring and winter seasons but exhibited the broadest host range in the spring season, being a comparative specialist. Seasonal differences in host usage likely relate to the nutritional profile of a given plant species for (spring) nymphal development versus physical attributes that provide shelter for winter eggs. Nevertheless, the large differences
in host plant ranges of both *A. suturealis* and *A. lineolatus* between subsequent seasons must be further analyzed. More precisely, the relationship between (autumn) adult oviposition preference and offspring performance merits further study [35,36]. As both of the populations appear to experience a ‘bottleneck’ in the spring, important opportunities for population management could be identified [37].

Because *Adelphocoris* spp. complete their first generation on early-season host plants, these plants act as important sources for subsequent infestation of cotton and other summer agricultural crops. Hence, strategic management of early-season host plants could lead to important reductions of those summer populations. For instance, in the United State, broadleaf weeds are the main early-season host plants of the tarnished plant bug *L. lineolaris* before its movement into cotton fields [38]. Systematic removal of stands of broadleaf weeds near cotton plantings effectively reduced subsequent *L. lineolaris* numbers in cotton fields [39,40]. Our work provides the basis for similar tactics for the suppression of early-season populations of *Adelphocoris* spp. in cotton agroecosystems in China.
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