A meta-analysis to assess usefulness of procalcitonin-guided antibiotic usage for decision making
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Background & objectives: Development of antibacterial resistance and its association with antibiotic overuse makes it necessary to identify a specific and sensitive biomarker for the diagnosis of bacterial infection and guiding antibiotic therapy. Procalcitonin (PCT), as a sepsis biomarker, may play a role in guiding antibiotics treatment in hospital settings. The aim of the current meta-analysis was to analyze the utility of PCT on various outcomes of interest in inpatients.

Methods: Different databases were searched for randomized controlled trials comparing PCT-guided therapy with standard therapy in admitted patients with bacterial infections. Twenty six articles were found suitable for full text search and of these, 16 studies were considered finally for data extraction.

Results: There were no significant differences found in total mortality [pooled odds ratio (OR) 1.04, 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.89-1.22, \( P=0.63 \)], 28-day mortality (pooled OR 0.97, 95% CI 0.80-1.19, \( P=0.79 \)), need of Intensive Care Unit admission (OR=0.80, 95% CI 0.59-1.09, \( P=0.16 \)) and duration of stay in hospital (pooled mean difference −0.01, 95% CI −0.50-0.49, \( P=0.98 \)) between treatment and control groups. PCT-guided treatment significantly decreased the duration of antibiotic treatment (pooled mean difference −2.79, 95% CI −3.52−−2.06, \( P<0.00001 \)).

Interpretation & conclusions: PCT-guided therapy significantly decreased antibiotics exposure and thus treatment cost. However, the hard endpoints did not demonstrate any significant benefits, possibly due to low power to detect differences and/or the presence of comorbidities.
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In-hospital settings such as Intensive Care Units (ICUs), inpatient wards and emergency room witness heterogeneous group of infections of different aetiologies comprising bacterial, viral, fungal or combined infections. Antibiotic prescriptions face several challenges such as deciding the duration of treatment, choice of empiric antibiotics and de-escalation as important considerations. The importance of this decision-making is more paramount keeping in mind the variable severity of presentations in different settings within a hospital. Overprescription or overuse of antibiotics leading to increased cost to patients’
treatment and care, ineffectiveness of antibacterial due to emergence of resistance, toxicity and adverse effects are frequently encountered. Hence, use of biomarkers to guide antibiotic therapy in the management of nosocomial infections was considered. Previously, used biomarker such as C-reactive protein, erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) and total leucocyte count (TLC) lack specificity. Procalcitonin (PCT) has been found to be a promising biomarker with the high sensitivity (85%) and specificity (91%) for differentiating patients with infective aetiology from those with non-infective inflammatory or autoimmune diseases.

PCT is a peptide precursor of calcitonin (CALC-1) secreted from C-cells of thyroid and the parenchymal cells of lung, liver, kidney, adipocytes and muscles. In case of infection, PCT level correlates directly with the level of the microbial toxins (e.g. endotoxins) or indirectly to the host immune response and level of different cytokines [interleukin (IL)-6, IL-1β, tumour-necrosis-factor-α (TNF-α)]1. Bacterial infections induce an increase of CALC-1 gene expression, which surges PCT in the serum within 3-6 h of exposure of the pathogen2. In vivo half-life of PCT is 20-24 h with high stability in serum or plasma ex-vivo3. In the healthy individuals, PCT level is usually <0.05 ng/ml4. Cut-off values have been described ranging from 0.5 to 2 ng/ml and these are dependent on several factors5. Hence, an informed decision with respect to severity of illness, patient population and comorbidities must be made for considering an appropriate cut-off. Numerous randomized control trials (RCTs) have been carried out to determine the role of PCT in early assessment and prediction of the severity of infection to guide effective antibiotic treatment and management. In view of the variable conclusion of these trials, we aimed at reviewing the literature systematically with meta-analytic approach to evaluate the effect of PCT-based antibiotic administration in the management of infections, only in the inpatient settings. Most of the reviews done earlier are based on particular diagnosis (acute respiratory tract infections)7-8, infections in autoimmune disease9 or in febrile neutropenic episodes in cancer patients10 or have considered certain group of population (age-specific11 or ICU patients12). Our objective was to know the clinical utility of PCT-guided therapy particularly in inpatient management.

Material & Methods

Search strategy: MEDLINE, EMBASE, Cochrane Library and Ovid database were searched from their inception to July 2013 to identify suitable RCTs. MeSH terms used for searches were ‘PCT’, ‘Pro-CT’, ‘procalcitonin’, ‘PCT precursor’, ‘antibiotic therapy’, ‘antimicrobial therapy’, separately and in combination. Studies considered to be eligible were RCTs comparing PCT-guided therapy with standard therapy in admitted patients with bacterial infections. No language restriction was applied. Searches were limited to human studies. The titles and abstract were screened for studies. Potentially relevant studies were retrieved and reviewed by two reviewers. A final list of selected studies was obtained.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria: All RCTs based on PCT-guided therapy and done in ICU, wards and emergency settings were included in this analysis.

Data extraction: Two of the researchers independently searched and assessed all RCTs related to PCT-guided management and standard of care treatment. Full-text articles were retrieved and examined by two of them separately, and then, data extraction was performed; Tables were constructed, in which characteristics of the studies were evaluated based on randomization, allocation concealment, blinding, intention to treat analysis, lost to follow up, inclusion criteria, control group (antibiotic usages as per the standard guideline in that setting) and PCT group (test arm), and outcomes. Pooled data were reviewed by the third researcher to resolve all discrepancies.

Quality assessment: The quality of the study was evaluated by the methods described in Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews12. This was assessed under different aspects: random sequence generation (selection bias), allocation concealment (selection bias), blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias), blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias), incomplete outcome data (attrition bias), selective reporting (reporting bias) and other bias as well.

Results

Various outcomes evaluated were total mortality, 28-day mortality, need for stay in ICU, duration of stay in hospital, proportion of patients treated with antibiotics, duration of antibiotic treatment and antibiotic use per 1000 day of follow up. The comparison was between PCT-guided treatment and standard of care (without PCT observation) in inpatients. In keeping with the objectives of the study, three subgroups were considered important - ICU, ward and emergency.
**Analysis:** For each individual study, the data were expressed as ‘n’ and percentage (%) for dichotomous variables, and for continuous variables, mean and standard deviations were obtained. If parameters were not reported in the required format, then those were derived if possible. For example, standard deviation was derived using standard error and sample size. The data were pooled by random effects model in case of significant heterogeneity; otherwise, fixed-effect model was used. Heterogeneity was calculated using Chi-square statistics. Pooled odds ratio (OR) with 95 per cent confidence interval (CI) and mean difference with 95 per cent CI were the pooled outcome for dichotomous and continuous variables, respectively. Mantel-Haenzel (M-H) method was used for calculation of pooled OR and \( P < 0.05 \) was considered significant.

**Outcomes:** A total of 145 hits were obtained after combining search of all selected databases; 75 articles remained after excluding the duplicate articles. After abstracts and searching of cross-references, 26 studies were found suitable for full-text search. Of these, 16 studies were considered for data extraction and quality assessment. Ten studies were excluded for the following reasons: one was pilot study\(^29\), five studies did not fulfil the inclusion criteria\(^6,30-33\), two were study protocols\(^34,35\) and in two studies, randomization was not done\(^36,37\) (Fig. 1).

**Quality of study:** The studies largely had low risk of bias. Appropriate performance of randomization was reported in 16 studies\(^13-28\), allocation concealment (investigator blinding) was reported in 10 studies\(^13-15,17,21,23-26,28\), blinding of both participants and personnel was performed in nine trials\(^13-15,17,21,24-26,28\) with blinded outcome assessment observed in one trial\(^15\) and attrition was reported in 12 studies\(^13-17,20,21,23,24,26-28\).

**Outcomes:**

**Total mortality:** Twelve studies\(^13-18,20-23,26,27\) including 2325 patients in PCT-guided and 2340 patients in control groups were included for this analysis. There was no significant difference in incidence of total mortality between PCT-guided and control group (pooled OR 1.04, 95% CI 0.89-1.22, \( P=0.63 \)). Similar results were found on subgroup analysis (Fig. 2).

**28-day mortality:** Five ICU-based studies\(^14,15,17,26,27\) including 1095 patients in PCT-guided and 1100 patients in control groups were included for analysis. There was no significant difference in incidence of 28-day mortality between PCT-guided and control group (pooled OR 0.97, 95% CI 0.80-1.19, \( P=0.79 \)).

**Need of ICU admission:** Five studies\(^15,16,20,21,23\) including 1151 patients in PCT-guided and 1171 patients in control groups were included for analysis. There was no significant difference between PCT-guided and control group (pooled OR 0.80, 95% CI 0.59-1.09, \( P=0.16 \)).

**Proportion of patients treated with antibiotics:** Eight studies\(^10,17,19-21,25,27,28\) including 989 patients in PCT-guided group and 999 patients in control group were included for analysis. The PCT-guided patients had a lower antibiotic exposure overall (pooled OR 0.28, 95% CI 0.13-0.57, \( P<0.0006 \)). All the three subgroups of ICU, emergency departments and ward settings showed a significant reduction in incidence of antibiotic use (Fig. 3).

**Duration of antibiotic treatment:** Ten studies\(^14,16-18,20-23,26,28\) including 1594 patients in PCT-guided group and 1614 patients in control group were included for analysis. There was significant decrease in duration of antibiotic treatment in PCT-guided group as compared to control group (pooled mean difference \(-2.79, 95\%\, CI\, -3.52-\,-2.06, P<0.00001\)) (Fig. 4).

**Antibiotic use per 1000 days of follow up:** Two emergency-based studies\(^20,21\) including 275 patients in PCT-guided group and 270 patients in control group were included for analysis. The pooled mean difference of antibiotic use per 1000 days of follow up was \(-248.29\) (95% CI \(-386.14-\,-110.44, P<0.00004\)), which was significant in favour of PCT-guided group (Fig. 5).

![Flow chart showing selection process of the studies.](image)
Duration of stay in hospital: Five studies including 1151 patients in PCT-guided group and 1168 patients in control group were included for this analysis. There was no significant difference in duration of hospital stay between PCT-guided group and control group (pooled mean difference −0.01, 95% CI −0.50–0.49, \(P=0.98\)). Similar results were observed on subgroup analysis.

**Discussion**

The present meta-analysis analyzed the utility of PCT on various outcomes of interest in inpatients. Inpatient setting (ICU, ward and emergency) was chosen for utilization of PCT-guided antibiotic therapy. The results of our meta-analysis indicated that the hard endpoints of mortality and need for ICU admissions were unaffected by the use of PCT-guided therapy. This would be expected since both the groups were given antibiotics as necessary. Further, these hard endpoints would be determined by several other factors such as disease severity, admission criteria, associated comorbid conditions such as diabetes, hypertension and immunocompromised state.

Furthermore, different protocols for PCT estimation were used in different trials. For example, in emergency settings, Schuetz et al. used a protocol of encouraging initiation or continuation of antibiotic treatment at PCT levels >0.5 µg/l, encouraged use of antibiotics when PCT levels were >0.25 µg/l and...
strongly discouraged its use when PCT levels were <0.1 µg/l. Two studies\textsuperscript{20,21} considered different protocols and considered PCT concentration 0.1 µg/l or less as indicative of the absence of infection; 0.1-0.25 µg/l as unlikely to have bacterial infection and discouraged use of antibiotics, and 0.25-0.5 µg/l was treated as possible infection and antibacterial treatment was started. On the contrary, the study by Maravic-Stojkovic \textit{et al}\textsuperscript{27} used a protocol based on PCT of 0.5 ng/ml or less as an indicative of the absence of bacterial infection and antibiotic usage was discouraged. Further, in this study, a final decision to initiate antibacterial therapy was left at the discretion of the doctor in-charge. Hence, there was no standardization in serum PCT levels for initiation or discontinuation of antibiotic therapy, which could lead to inconsistencies in results.

A significant decrease in antibiotic prescription was demonstrated in PCT group as compared to the control group\textsuperscript{13,20,21,25}. This is an important outcome considering the fact that antibiotics constitute an important cost-driver of treatment in in-hospital setting\textsuperscript{38}. While applying measurement of serum PCT at a tertiary care hospital in ICU, wards or emergency settings, certain factors should be taken into account. First is the evaluation of cost-effectiveness. This parameter was not evaluated in our meta-analysis as the perspective differs considerably in setups in developing countries. For instance, in India, the majority of payment is out-of-pocket money. Second, decreased use of antibacterials has favourable implications in retarding development of resistance. Practical issues such as measuring serum PCT levels could be possible only in tertiary care hospitals and further time taken for reports to be available and start of antibiotic therapy have to be taken into account. Jensen \textit{et al}\textsuperscript{15} reported increased usage of antibiotic in PCT-guided therapy group as compared to the control group. The most likely reason was inclusion

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
Study or subgroup & Experimental & Control & Weight % M-H, Random, 95% CI & M-H, Random, 95% CI \\
& Events & Total & Events & Total & \\
\hline
\textbf{ICU} & & & & & \\
Bouadma \textit{et al}, 2010 & 193 & 213 & 207 222 & 15.0 & 0.70 [0.35, 1.40] & \\
Stocker \textit{et al}, 2010 & 33 & 60 & 50 61 & 14.2 & 0.27 [0.12, 0.62] & \\
Maravic-Stojkovic \textit{et al}, 2011 & 19 & 102 & 48 103 & 15.4 & 0.26 [0.14, 0.49] & \\
Subtotal (95% CI) & 375 & 386 & 44.6 & 0.37 [0.19, 0.70] & \\
Total events & 245 & 305 & & & \\
Heterogeneity: \textit{I}² = 0.19; \textit{Chi}² = 4.91, df = 2 (\textit{P} = 0.09); \textit{I}² = 59\%
Test for overall effect: \textit{Z} = 3.02 (\textit{P} = 0.002) & & & & & \\
\textbf{Emergency department} & & & & & \\
Christ-Crain \textit{et al}, 2004 & 55 & 124 & 99 119 & 15.6 & 0.16 [0.09, 0.29] & \\
Christ-Crain \textit{et al}, 2006 & 128 & 151 & 149 151 & 10.3 & 0.07 [0.02, 0.32] & \\
Subtotal (95% CI) & 275 & 270 & 25.9 & 0.14 [0.08, 0.25] & \\
Total events & 183 & 248 & & & \\
Heterogeneity: \textit{I}² = 0.00; \textit{Chi}² = 0.95, df = 1 (\textit{P} = 0.33); \textit{I}² = 0\%
Test for overall effect: \textit{Z} = 6.86 (\textit{P} < 0.00001) & & & & & \\
\textbf{Ward based} & & & & & \\
Kristoffersen \textit{et al}, 2008 & 88 & 103 & 85 107 & 14.9 & 1.52 [0.74, 3.12] & \\
Esposito \textit{et al}, 2011 & 131 & 155 & 155 155 & 4.9 & 0.02 [0.00, 0.29] & \\
Long \textit{et al}, 2011 & 72 & 81 & 79 81 & 9.7 & 0.20 [0.04, 0.97] & \\
Subtotal (95% CI) & 339 & 343 & 28.5 & 0.23 [0.02, 0.32] & \\
Total events & 291 & 319 & & & \\
Heterogeneity: \textit{I}² = 4.43; \textit{Chi}² = 16.97, df = 2 (\textit{P} = 0.0002); \textit{I}² = 88\%
Test for overall effect: \textit{Z} = 1.12 (\textit{P} = 0.26) & & & & & \\
Total (95% CI) & 989 & 999 & 100.0 & 0.28 [0.13, 0.57] & \\
Total events & 719 & 872 & & & \\
Heterogeneity: \textit{I}² = 0.82; \textit{Chi}² = 37.27, df = 7 (\textit{P} < 0.00001); \textit{I}² = 81\%
Test for overall effect: \textit{Z} = 3.43 (\textit{P} = 0.0006) & & & & & \\
Test for subgroup differences: \textit{Chi}² = 4.68, df = 2 (\textit{P} = 0.10), \textit{I}² = 57.2\% & & & & & \\
M-H, Mantel Haenzel method & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Proportion of patients treated with antibiotics. M-H, Mantel Haenzel method.}
\end{table}
of severely ill patients with chronic infections and underlying bloodstream infections. In this study, on the basis of serum PCT, antibiotics were started even before the actual culture reports were available. Another study done in severely ill patients showed no significant increase in antibiotic usage.

In the emergency setting trials, Kristoffersen et al reported increased antibiotic usage in PCT group as compared to control group. Reduction in the number of days (mean difference=3.95) exceeded the duration of stay in most emergencies. Despite a favourable outcome of this aspect, an important consideration would be evaluation of readmission rates, referrals for admissions, which was not undertaken.

In ward-based trials, Kristoffersen et al reported reduced antibiotic usage as compared to the control group. Reduction in the number of days (mean difference=3.95) exceeded the duration of stay in most emergencies. Despite a favourable outcome of this aspect, an important consideration would be evaluation of readmission rates, referrals for admissions, which was not undertaken.

| Study or subgroup | Experimental | Control | Mean difference | Mean difference |
|-------------------|--------------|---------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                   | Mean SD Total | Mean SD Total | IV, Random, 95% CI | IV, Random, 95% CI |
| ICU               |              |          |                 |                 |
| Stolz et al, 2009 | 10.5 5.2 51  | 15.75 7.25 50  | 5.4 | -5.25 [-7.71, -2.79] |
| Boudma et al, 2010 | 10.3 7.7 307 | 13.3 7.6 314  | 10.0 | -3.00 [-4.20, -1.80] |
| Hochreiter et al, 2009 | 5.9 1.7 57  | 7.9 0.5 53  | 13.0 | -2.00 [-2.46, -1.54] |
| Schroeder et al, 2009 | 6.6 1.1 14  | 8.3 0.7 13  | 12.2 | -1.70 [-2.39, -1.01] |
| Nobre et al, 2007 | 12.25 10.14 39 | 13.5 11.49 40 | 2.0 | -1.25 [-6.03, 3.53] |
| Subtotal (95% CI) | 468          | 470      | 42.5           | -2.36 [-3.14, -1.58] |

Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.37; Chi² = 9.99, df = 4 (P = 0.04); I² = 60%
Test for overall effect: Z = 5.94 (P < 0.00001)

**Fig. 4.** Duration of antibiotic treatment. IV, interval variable

| Study or subgroup | Experimental | Control | Mean difference | Mean difference |
|-------------------|--------------|---------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                   | Mean SD Total | Mean SD Total | IV, Random, 95% CI | IV, Random, 95% CI |
| ICU               |              |          |                 |                 |
| Stolz et al, 2009 | 10.5 5.2 51  | 15.75 7.25 50  | 5.4 | -5.25 [-7.71, -2.79] |
| Boudma et al, 2010 | 10.3 7.7 307 | 13.3 7.6 314  | 10.0 | -3.00 [-4.20, -1.80] |
| Hochreiter et al, 2009 | 5.9 1.7 57  | 7.9 0.5 53  | 13.0 | -2.00 [-2.46, -1.54] |
| Schroeder et al, 2009 | 6.6 1.1 14  | 8.3 0.7 13  | 12.2 | -1.70 [-2.39, -1.01] |
| Nobre et al, 2007 | 12.25 10.14 39 | 13.5 11.49 40 | 2.0 | -1.25 [-6.03, 3.53] |
| Subtotal (95% CI) | 468          | 470      | 42.5           | -2.36 [-3.14, -1.58] |

Heterogeneity: Tau² = 3.93; Chi² = 39.07, df = 2 (P < 0.00001); I² = 95%
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.34 (P = 0.0009)

**Fig. 5.** Antibiotic use per 1000 days of follow up. IV, interval variable
control group. The reason was that antibiotics were still prescribed despite levels of PCT were <0.25 µg/l which were in variance with the study design. A meta-analysis conducted by Schuetz et al. in patients with lower respiratory tract infections favoured PCT therapy in terms of decreased antibiotic prescription and duration of the drug use.

Antibiotic use per 1000 days of follow up favoured significantly PCT group as compared to the control group. This result was based on the two trials conducted in emergency department in which patients with respiratory tract infections were evaluated. Pooled analysis also showed significant heterogeneity pointing towards the variations in the diseases ranging from community-acquired pneumonia to asthma to bronchitis in these patients. This would imply its application to various respiratory conditions, for which patients report in emergency setting.

Duration of the stay in all the three settings, i.e., ICU, emergency and ward, did not show significant difference between the PCT-guided therapy and standard treatment. While PCT-guided therapy did not add any extra day for patient stay, it also did not lead to reduction in the number of days. A hospital stay could be an important factor in determining newer and more likely hospital-acquired infection. A significant effect on this outcome if proven would be of immense benefit.

Limitation of our meta-analysis included that not all the trials included were blinded in allocating treatment. Another important limitation was the discretion of doctor in-charge to the final decision to initiate antibiotic therapy, which would imply that a different set of doctors may not necessarily replicate the findings. Further, potential publication bias could not be ruled out. PCT represents a novel approach as a diagnostics for bacterial infection but is also limited by both false-negative and false-positive results like, after trauma and major surgeries. Hence, highly sensitive assays would be needed and also there is a need to standardize the disease-specific cut-off values.

In conclusion, application of PCT-guided antibiotic therapy should be based on analysis of cost and logistics of investigation when weighed against the benefits offered. Further, it is important to evaluate the data of the strategy when applied to real-life setting. Importantly, data regarding its effect on antibiotic resistance would need to be looked into before making it a usual practice. Though PCT-guided therapy has the potential for reducing antibiotic exposure and treatment cost, its usefulness on hard endpoints such as total mortality, 28-day mortality, need of ICU stay and resistance pattern will need to be seen. The real usefulness of this strategy would come if the test becomes available as point of care test.
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