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Abstract

The Liouville problem for the stationary Navier-Stokes equations on the whole space is a challenging open problem who has known several recent contributions. We prove here some Liouville type theorems for these equations provided the velocity field belongs to some Lorentz spaces and then in the more general setting of Morrey spaces. Our theorems correspond to an improvement of some recent results on this problem and contain some well-known results as a particular case.
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1 Introduction

In this article we review some recent results on the Liouville problem for the stationary and incompressible Navier-Stokes equations in the whole space $\mathbb{R}^3$:

$$
- \Delta \bar{U} + (\bar{U} \cdot \nabla) \bar{U} + \nabla P = 0, \quad \text{div}(\bar{U}) = 0,
$$

where $\bar{U} : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ is the velocity and $P : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$ is the pressure. Recall that a weak solution of these equations is a couple $(\bar{U}, P) \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3) \times D'(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Moreover, since the pressure $P$ is always related to the velocity $\bar{U}$ by the identity $P = \frac{1}{2\lambda} \left( \text{div}((\bar{U} \cdot \nabla)\bar{U}) \right)$ then we can concentrate our study in the variable $\bar{U}$.

The classical Liouville problem for the stationary Navier-Stokes equations states that the unique solution of equations (1) which verifies

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \otimes \bar{U}(x)|^2 dx < +\infty,
$$

and

$$
|\bar{U}(x)| \to 0, \quad \text{as} \quad |x| \to +\infty,
$$

is the trivial solution $\bar{U} = 0$, see the book [8], the PhD thesis [10] and the articles [3, 4, 5, 16, 17] for more references. Even though an answer to this question is not yet available, great efforts have been invested to understand this open problem. More precisely, the main idea is to give some \textit{a priori} conditions on the decaying of solution $\bar{U}$ which allow us to prove that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \otimes \bar{U}(x)|^2 dx < +\infty$, and with this information at
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hand, and sometimes with supplementary hypothesis on the solution \( \bar{U} \), we look for the identity \( \bar{U} = 0 \).

In this setting, one of the first results is due to G. Galdi, see Theorem X.9.5 (page 729) of the book [8], where it is proven that if \( \bar{U} \in L^{\frac{9}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3) \) then we have \( \int_{R^3} |\nabla \otimes \bar{U}|^2 dx \leq c\|\bar{U}\|_{L^3}^3 \), and moreover, it is proven the following local estimate for all \( R > 0 \) and \( c > 0 \) a constant independent of \( R \):

\[
\int_{B_{R/2}} |\nabla \otimes \bar{U}|^2 dx \leq c\|\bar{U}\|_{L^2}^3 \left(\mathcal{C}(R/2, R)\right),
\]

where \( B_R = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |x| < R \} \) and \( \mathcal{C}(R/2, R) = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : R/2 \leq |x| \leq R \} \), which yields the identity \( \bar{U} = 0 \) provided that \( \bar{U} \in L^\frac{9}{2}(\mathbb{R}^3) \).

Galdi’s result was thereafter extend to the Lorentz space \( L^{\frac{9}{2}, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^3) \) by H. Kozono et. al. in [13], but in this more general space some supplementary hypothesis were needed to obtain \( \bar{U} = 0 \). Indeed, in Theorem 1.2 of the article [13] it is proven that if \( \bar{U} \in L^{\frac{9}{2}, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^3) \) then we have the estimate \( \int_{R^3} |\nabla \otimes \bar{U}(x)|^2 dx \leq c\|\bar{U}\|_{L^\frac{9}{2}, \infty}^3 \) and the desired identity \( \bar{U} = 0 \) is then obtained under the hypothesis

\[
\|\bar{U}\|_{L^{\frac{9}{2}, \infty}}^3 \leq \delta \int_{R^3} |\nabla \otimes \bar{U}(x)|^2 dx,
\]

with \( \delta > 0 \) small enough. Although this supplementary hypothesis allow us to prove that \( \bar{U} = 0 \) we may observe that it is a quite strong hypothesis and one of the aims of the article [13] by G. Seregin & W. Wang is to relax the restriction imposed on the quantity \( \|\bar{U}\|_{L^{\frac{9}{2}, \infty}} \). For this purpose in Theorem 1.1 of the article [13] the following result is proven: if \( \bar{U} \) is a smooth solution of equations (1) and if for a parameter \( 3 < r < +\infty \) we have

\[
M(r) = \sup_{R > 1} R^{\frac{9}{2} - \frac{3}{r}} \|\bar{U}\|_{L^r(\mathcal{C}(R/2, R))} < +\infty,
\]

then we get the estimate \( \int_{R^3} |\nabla \otimes \bar{U}(x)|^2 dx \leq cM^3(r) \), and moreover, if for \( \delta > 0 \) small enough we have the supplementary a priori control

\[
M^3(r) \leq \delta \int_{R^3} |\nabla \otimes \bar{U}(x)|^2 dx,
\]

then we get \( \bar{U} = 0 \). Remark that for the value \( r = \frac{9}{2} \) the condition \( M^3(9/2) \leq \delta \int_{R^3} |\nabla \otimes \bar{U}(x)|^2 dx \) can be regarded as a relaxation of the condition (2) given in [13].

The first purpose of this article is to review these results on the Liouville problem for stationary Navier-Stokes equations in the Lorentz space \( L^{\frac{9}{2}, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^3) \). More precisely, we will prove that the information \( \bar{U} \in L^{\frac{9}{2}, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^3) \) allow us to derive the identity \( \bar{U} = 0 \) without any additional control on the quantity \( \|\bar{U}\|_{L^{\frac{9}{2}, \infty}} \) and moreover, we will see that the space \( L^{\frac{9}{2}, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^3) \) seems to be a critical space to obtain the uniqueness of trivial solution in the sens that if we have the information \( \bar{U} \in L^{r, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^3) \) for the values \( \frac{9}{2} < r < +\infty \) then a faster decay condition on the solution \( \bar{U} \) is required to obtain \( \bar{U} = 0 \).

Our methods are based on a local estimate on the quantity \( \int_{B_{R/2}} |\nabla \otimes \bar{U}|^2 dx \) and this approach allows us to consider more general spaces than the Lorentz spaces. Thus, the second purpose of this article is to study the identity \( \bar{U} = 0 \) in a the framework of the Morrey spaces \( M^{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^3) \) with \( 3 \leq p < r < +\infty \), generalizing in this way some recent results.

This article is organized as follows: in Section [2] we state all the results obtained. In Section [3] we prove a local estimate on the quantity above from which we will able to study the Liouville problem in the setting of Lorentz space and this will be done in Section [4] Finally, in Section [5] we extend our study to the setting of Morrey spaces.
2 Statement of the results

Recall that for \( 1 \leq r < +\infty \) the Lorentz space \( L^{r,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3) \) is the space of measurable functions \( f : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R} \) such that

\[
\|f\|_{L^{r,\infty}} = \sup_{\alpha > 0} \alpha d_f^l(\alpha) < +\infty,
\]

where the distribution function \( d_f(\alpha) \) is defined as

\[
d_f(\alpha) = dx \left( \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |f(x)| > \alpha \} \right),
\]

and \( dx \) denotes the Lebesgue measure. This space is a homogeneous space of degree \(-\frac{3}{r}\) and we have the embedding

\[
L^r(\mathbb{R}^3) \subset L^{r,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3).
\]

In the framework of Lorentz spaces our first result is stated as follows:

**Theorem 1** Let \( \bar{U} \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3) \) be a weak solution of the stationary Navier-Stokes equations (1). Suppose that \( \bar{U} \in L^{r,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3) \) with \( \frac{9}{2} \leq r < +\infty \).

1) If \( \bar{U} \in L^{\frac{9}{2},\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3) \) then we have \( \bar{U} = 0 \).

2) For the values \( \frac{9}{2} < r < +\infty \), if moreover

\[
\sup_{R>1} R^{2 - \frac{2}{r}} \|\bar{U}\|_{L^{r,\infty}(C(R/4,2R))} < +\infty,
\]

then we have \( \bar{U} = 0 \).

Several remarks follow from this result. First, as mentioned in the introduction, the result given in point 1) is of particular interest since this result can be regarded as an improvement of the results given in [13] and [18]. Moreover, due to the embedding \( L^\frac{2}{3}(\mathbb{R}^3) \subset L^\frac{2}{9,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3) \), Galdi’s result follows from this theorem.

Now, in point 2) we may observe that for the values \( \frac{9}{2} < r < +\infty \) the information \( \bar{U} \in L^{r,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3) \) seems to be not enough to prove that \( \bar{U} = 0 \) and then it is necessary a faster decay of the solution which is given in expression (4). In this expression we may observe that as long as the parameter \( r \) is larger than the critical value \( \frac{9}{2} \) the solution must have a faster decaying at infinity.

As pointed out in the introduction, we also generalizes our results to the framework of Morrey spaces and we start by recalling their definition. For \( 1 < p < r < +\infty \) the homogeneous Morrey space \( M^{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^3) \) is the set of functions \( f \in L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3) \) such that

\[
\|f\|_{M^{p,r}} = \sup_{R>0, x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3} R^\frac{3}{r} \left( \int_{B(x_0,R)} |f(x)|^p \, dx \right)^\frac{1}{p} < +\infty,
\]

where \( B(x_0,R) \) denotes the ball centered at \( x_0 \) and with radio \( R \). This is a homogeneous space of degree \(-\frac{3}{r}\) and moreover we have the following chain of embeddings \( L^r(\mathbb{R}^3) \subset L^{r,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3) \subset M^{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^3) \).

In the framework of Morrey spaces our second result is the following:

**Theorem 2** Let \( \bar{U} \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3) \) be a weak solution of the stationary Navier-Stokes equations (1). If \( \bar{U} \in M^{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^3) \) with \( 3 \leq p < r < \frac{9}{2} \), then \( \bar{U} = 0 \).

Observe that this result contains as particular case the uniqueness of the trivial solution of equations (1) in the setting of Lebesgue spaces \( L^r(\mathbb{R}^3) \) and Lorentz spaces \( L^{r,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3) \) with the values \( 3 < r < \frac{9}{2} \), and this fact extend to a more general framework some recent results obtained in the article [7].

3
Now, it is natural to ask what happens for the values $\frac{n}{2} \leq r < +\infty$. Following ideas of the articles [13] and [18] exposed in the introduction, in our third result we prove some estimates of the quantity $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \otimes \vec{U}(x)|^p dx$ by means of the quantity $\|\vec{U}\|_{M^{p,r}}$.

Comparing the following result with the results obtained in [13] and [18] (in the setting of Lorentz spaces) we may observe that point 1) below generalizes to Morrey spaces of the result given in [13], whereas if we compare the expression (3) with the expression (6) below we may see that point 2) is in a certain sense a generalization to Morrey spaces of the result given in [18].

**Theorem 3** Let $\vec{U} \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ be a weak solution of the stationary Navier-Stokes equations [1]. Suppose that $\vec{U} \in M^{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $3 \leq p < r$ and $\frac{n}{2} \leq r < +\infty$.

1) For the limit value $r = \frac{n}{2}$ we have $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \otimes \vec{U}(x)|^2 dx \leq c \|\vec{U}\|_{M^{p,\frac{n}{2}}}^3$.

2) For the values $\frac{n}{2} < r < +\infty$, if moreover

$$N(r) := \sup_{R > 1} \frac{1}{R^2} \left( R^{\frac{n}{2} - \frac{n}{p}} \left( \int_{C(R/2, R)} |\vec{U}(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right) < +\infty,$$

then we have $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \otimes \vec{U}(x)|^2 dx \leq c \|\vec{U}\|_{M^{p,r}, N(r)}^2$.

In order to obtain the desired identity $\vec{U} = 0$ in the framework of this result, and to the best of our knowledge, it is still necessary to make supplementary hypothesis on the solution $\vec{U}$. Following always the ideas of [13] and [18] we could suppose an additional control on the quantities $\|\vec{U}\|_{M^{p,\frac{n}{2}}}$ and $N(r)$ by means of $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \otimes \vec{U}(x)|^2 dx$, however we will use here a different approach.

**Corollary 1** Within the framework of Theorem 3. If $\vec{U} \in \dot{B}^{-1,\infty}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ then we have $\vec{U} = 0$.

Recall that the Besov space $\dot{B}^{-1,\infty}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, which is characterized as the set of distributions $f \in S'(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $\|f\|_{\dot{B}^{-1,\infty}_{\infty}} = \sup_{t > 0} t^{\frac{n}{2}} \|h_t * f\|_{L^\infty} < +\infty$ and where $h_t$ denotes the heat kernel, plays a very important role in the analysis on the Navier-Stokes equations (stationary and non stationary) since this is the largest space which is invariant under scaling properties of these equations (see the article [1] and the books [14] and [15] for more references). Thus, in order obtain the identity $\vec{U} = 0$, we have supposed $\vec{U} \in \dot{B}^{-1,\infty}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ which is a condition on $\vec{U}$ less restrictive compared to those made in [13] and [18].

## 3 A local estimate

From now on $\vec{U} \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ will be a weak solution of the stationary Navier-Stokes equations [1]. Our results deeply relies on the following technical estimate (also known as a Caccioppoli type inequality):

**Proposition 3.1** If the solution $\vec{U}$ verifies $\vec{U} \in L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\nabla \otimes \vec{U} \in L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $3 \leq p < +\infty$, then for all $R > 1$ we have

$$\int_{B_{R/2}} |\nabla \otimes \vec{U}|^2 dx \leq c \left( \left( \int_{C(R/2, R)} |\nabla \otimes \vec{U}|^p dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} + \left( \int_{C(R/2, R)} |\vec{U}|^p dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \right) \times R^{2 - \frac{2}{p}} \left( \int_{C(R/2, R)} |\vec{U}|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \tag{7}$$
Proof. We start by introducing the test functions $\varphi_R$ and $\tilde{W}_R$ as follows: for a fixed $R > 1$, we define first the function $\varphi_R \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ by $0 \leq \varphi_R \leq 1$ such that for $|x| \leq \frac{R}{2}$ we have $\varphi_R(x) = 1$, for $|x| \geq R$ we have $\varphi_R(x) = 0$, and

$$||\nabla \varphi_R||_{L^\infty} \leq \frac{c}{R}. $$

Next we define the function $\tilde{W}_R$ as the solution of the problem

$$\text{div}(\tilde{W}_R) = \nabla \varphi_R \cdot \tilde{U}, \text{ over } B_R, \text{ and } \tilde{W}_R = 0 \text{ over } \partial B_R \cup \partial B_{R/2},$$

where $\partial B_R = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |x| = R\}$. Existence of such function $\tilde{W}_R$ is assured by Lemma III.3.1 (page 162) of the book [8] and where it is proven that for $1 < q < +\infty$ we have $\tilde{W}_R \in W^{1,q}(B_R)$ with $\text{supp}(\tilde{W}_R) \subset \mathcal{C}(R/2, R)$ (the function $\tilde{W}_R$ is extended by zero outside the set $\mathcal{C}(R/2, R)$)

$$||\nabla \tilde{W}_R||_{L^q(\mathcal{C}(R/2, R))} \leq c||\nabla \varphi_R \cdot \tilde{U}||_{L^q(\mathcal{C}(R/2, R))}. $$

Once we have defined the functions $\varphi_R$ and $\tilde{W}_R$ above, we consider now the function $\varphi_R \tilde{U} - \tilde{W}_R$ and we write

$$\int_{B_R} \left( -\Delta \tilde{U} + (\tilde{U} \cdot \nabla) \tilde{U} + \nabla P \right) \cdot (\varphi_R \tilde{U} - \tilde{W}_R) \, dx = 0. $$

Remark that as $\tilde{U} \in L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ (with $3 \leq p < +\infty$) then $\tilde{U} \in L^3_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and by Theorem X.1.1 (page 658) of the book [8] we have $\tilde{U} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $P \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$, thus every term in the last identity above is well defined.

In identity (11), we start by studying the third term in the left-hand side and integrating by parts we obtain

$$\int_{B_R} \nabla P \cdot (\varphi_R \tilde{U} - \tilde{W}_R) \, dx = -\int_{B_R} P \left( \nabla \varphi_R \cdot \tilde{U} + \varphi_R \text{div}(\tilde{U}) - \text{div}(\tilde{W}_R) \right) \, dx,$$

but since $\tilde{W}_R$ is a solution of problem (9) and since $\text{div}(\tilde{U}) = 0$ we can write

$$\int_{B_R} \nabla P \cdot (\varphi_R \tilde{U} - \tilde{W}_R) \, dx = 0,$$

and thus identity (11) can be written as

$$\int_{B_R} \left( -\Delta \tilde{U} \right) \cdot (\varphi_R \tilde{U} - \tilde{W}_R) \, dx + \int_{B_R} \left( (\tilde{U} \cdot \nabla) \tilde{U} \right) \cdot (\varphi_R \tilde{U} - \tilde{W}_R) \, dx = 0. $$

In this identity we study now the first term in the left-hand side and always by integration by parts we have

$$\int_{B_R} \left( -\Delta \tilde{U} \right) \cdot (\varphi_R \tilde{U} - \tilde{W}_R) \, dx = \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \int_{B_R} (\partial_j U_i)(\partial_j \varphi_R) U_i \, dx - \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \int_{B_R} \varphi_R (\partial_j U_i)^2 \, dx + \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \int_{B_R} (\partial_j U_i) \partial_j (\varphi_R U_i) \, dx$$

$$= \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \int_{B_R} (\partial_j U_i)(\partial_j \varphi_R) U_i \, dx + \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \int_{B_R} \varphi_R (\partial_j U_i)^2 \, dx - \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \int_{B_R} (\partial_j U_i) \partial_j (\varphi_R U_i) \, dx$$

$$= \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \int_{B_R} (\partial_j U_i)(\partial_j \varphi_R) U_i \, dx + \int_{B_R} \varphi_R |\nabla \tilde{U}|^2 \, dx - \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \int_{B_R} (\partial_j U_i) \partial_j (\varphi_R U_i) \, dx.$$

With this identity at hand, we get back to equation (12) and we can write

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \int_{B_R} (\partial_j U_i)(\partial_j \varphi_R) U_i \, dx + \int_{B_R} \varphi_R |\nabla \tilde{U}|^2 \, dx - \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \int_{B_R} (\partial_j U_i) \partial_j (\varphi_R U_i) \, dx$$

$$+ \int_{B_R} \left( (\tilde{U} \cdot \nabla) \tilde{U} \right) \cdot (\varphi_R \tilde{U} - \tilde{W}_R) \, dx = 0.$$
and thus we can write

\[ \int_{B_R} |\nabla \otimes \bar{U}|^2 \, dx = -\sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \int_{B_R} (\partial_j U_i)(\partial_j \varphi_R)U_i \, dx + \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \int_{B_R} (\partial_j U_i)\partial_j (W_R)_i \, dx + \int_{B_R} \left((\bar{U} \cdot \nabla)\bar{U}\right) \cdot (\varphi_R \bar{U} - \bar{W}_R) \, dx.\]

But recall the fact that test function \( \varphi_R \) verifies \( \varphi_R(x) = 1 \) if \( |x| < \frac{R}{2} \), and then we have

\[ \int_{B_{R/2}} |\nabla \otimes \bar{U}|^2 \, dx \leq \int_{B_R} \varphi_R |\nabla \otimes \bar{U}|^2 \, dx.\]

Thus by this inequality and the identity above we can write the following estimate:

\[ \int_{B_{R/2}} |\nabla \otimes \bar{U}|^2 \, dx \leq -\sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \int_{B_R} (\partial_j U_i)(\partial_j \varphi_R)U_i \, dx + \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \int_{B_R} (\partial_j U_i)\partial_j (W_R)_i \, dx + \int_{B_R} \left((\bar{U} \cdot \nabla)\bar{U}\right) \cdot (\varphi_R \bar{U} - \bar{W}_R) \, dx = I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \tag{13} \]

We study now these three terms above. In term \( I_1 \) remark that we have the function \( \partial_i \varphi_R \), but since the test function \( \varphi_R \) verifies \( \varphi_R(1) \) if \( |x| < \frac{R}{2} \) and \( \varphi_R(x) = 0 \) if \( |x| > R \) then we have \( \text{supp}(\nabla \varphi_R) \subset C(R/2, R) \), and thus we can write

\[ I_1 = -\sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \int_{C(R/2, R)} \partial_j U_i(\partial_j \varphi_R)U_i \, dx. \]

Then, applying the H"{o}lder inequalities with the relation \( 1 = \frac{2}{p} + \frac{1}{q} \) we write

\[ I_1 \leq c \left( \int_{C(R/2, R)} |\nabla \otimes \bar{U}|^\frac{2}{p} \, dx \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \left( \int_{C(R/2, R)} |(\partial_j \varphi_R)U_i|^q \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq c \left( \int_{C(R/2, R)} |\nabla \otimes \bar{U}|^\frac{2}{p} \, dx \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \left( \int_{C(R/2, R)} |\bar{U}|^q \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \tag{14} \]

where the last estimate is due to \( \text{(S)} \). We need to study now the term \( (a) \). Remark the fact that as \( 3 \leq p < +\infty \) and by the relation \( 1 = \frac{2}{p} + \frac{1}{q} \) then we have \( q \leq 3 \leq p \), and thus we can write

\[ (a) \leq \frac{R^{3(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})}}{R} \left( \int_{C(R/2, R)} |\bar{U}|^p \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \frac{R^{3(1-\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p})}}{R} \left( \int_{C(R/2, R)} |\bar{U}|^p \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq R^{2-\frac{q}{p}} \left( \int_{C(R/2, R)} |\bar{U}|^q \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \tag{15} \]

With this estimate at hand we write

\[ I_1 \leq c \left( \int_{C(R/2, R)} |\nabla \otimes \bar{U}|^\frac{2}{p} \, dx \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} R^{2-\frac{q}{p}} \left( \int_{C(R/2, R)} |\bar{U}|^q \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \tag{16} \]
In order to study the term $I_2$ in (13), recall that the have $\text{supp}(\vec{W}_R) \subset \mathcal{C}(R/2, 2)$, hence we get $\text{supp}(\vec{\nabla} \otimes \vec{W}_R) \subset \mathcal{C}(R/2, R)$ and then we can write

$$I_2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \int_{B_R} (\partial_j U_i)(\partial_j(W_R))_i dx = \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \int_{\mathcal{C}(R/2, R)} (\partial_j U_i)(\partial_j(W_R))_i dx.$$  

Now, we apply the H"older inequalities always with the relation $1 = \frac{2}{p} + \frac{1}{q}$ and we write

$$I_2 \leq c \left( \int_{\mathcal{C}(R/2, R)} |\vec{\nabla} \otimes \vec{U}|^{\frac{q}{p}} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} \left( \int_{\mathcal{C}(R/2, R)} |\vec{\nabla} \otimes \vec{W}_R|^{q} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

where it remains to study the second term in the right-hand. For this, applying first the estimate (8) and finally by estimate (15) we can write

$$\left( \int_{\mathcal{C}(R/2, R)} |\vec{\nabla} \otimes \vec{W}_R|^{q} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq c \left( \int_{\mathcal{C}(R/2, R)} |\vec{\nabla} \varphi_R \cdot \vec{U}|^{q} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \|\vec{\nabla} \varphi_R\|_{L^\infty} \left( \int_{\mathcal{C}(R/2, R)} |\vec{U}|^{q} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq c R^{2-\frac{q}{p}} \left( \int_{\mathcal{C}(R/2, R)} |\vec{U}|^{q} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

and thus we have

$$I_2 \leq c \left( \int_{\mathcal{C}(R/2, R)} |\vec{\nabla} \otimes \vec{U}|^{\frac{q}{p}} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} R^{2-\frac{q}{p}} \left( \int_{\mathcal{C}(R/2, R)} |\vec{U}|^{q} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \quad (17)$$

Finally we study the term $I_3$ in (13). As we have $\text{div}(\vec{U}) = 0$ then in this term we write $(\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{U})\vec{U} = \text{div}(\vec{\nabla} \otimes \vec{U})$ and we obtain

$$I_3 = \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \int_{B_R} \partial_j(U_iU_j)(\varphi_R U_i - (W_R)_i) dx,$$

integrating by parts we write

$$I_3 = - \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \int_{B_R} (U_iU_j)((\partial_j\varphi_R)U_i + \varphi_R(\partial_j U_i) - \partial_j(W_R)_i) dx$$

$$= - \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \int_{B_R} (U_iU_j)((\partial_j\varphi_R)U_i dx - \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \int_{B_R} (U_iU_j)\varphi_R(\partial_j U_i) dx + \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \int_{B_R} (U_iU_j)\partial_j(W_R)_i dx$$

$$= I_{3,a} + I_{3,b} + I_{3,c}, \quad (18)$$

where we will study these three terms separately. In term $I_{3,a}$, as we have $\text{supp}(\vec{\nabla} \varphi_R) \subset \mathcal{C}(R/2, R)$ then we write

$$I_{3,a} = - \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \int_{\mathcal{C}(R/2, R)} (U_iU_j)((\partial_j\varphi_R)U_i dx,$$

then, applying first the H"older inequalities (with the same relation $1 = \frac{2}{p} + \frac{1}{q}$) and thereafter, applying first estimate (14) and then estimate (15) we have

$$I_{3,a} \leq c \left( \int_{\mathcal{C}(R/2, R)} |\vec{U} \otimes \vec{U}|^{\frac{q}{p}} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} \left( \int_{\mathcal{C}(R/2, R)} |\vec{\nabla} \varphi_R \cdot \vec{U}|^{q} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq c \left( \int_{\mathcal{C}(R/2, R)} |\vec{U} \otimes \vec{U}|^{\frac{q}{p}} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} R^{2-\frac{q}{p}} \left( \int_{\mathcal{C}(R/2, R)} |\vec{U}|^{q} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \quad (19)$$
In order to estimate the term $I_{3,b}$ we write

$$I_{3,b} = -\sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \int_{B_R} (U_i U_j) \phi_R (\partial_j U_i) dx = -\sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \int_{B_R} U_j \phi_R ((\partial_j U_i) U_i) dx = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \int_{B_R} U_j \phi_R \partial_j (U_i^2) dx,$$

then, by integration by parts, and moreover, using the fact that $\text{div}(\vec{U}) = 0$ and since the function $\vec{V} \phi_R$ is localized at the set $\mathcal{C}(R/2, R)$, then we get:

$$-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \int_{B_R} U_j \phi_R \partial_j (U_i^2) dx = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \int_{B_R} \partial_j (U_j \phi_R) U_i^2 dx = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \int_{B_R} (\partial_j U_j) \phi_R U_i^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \int_{\mathcal{C}(R/2, R)} U_j (\partial_j \phi_R) U_i^2 dx = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \int_{\mathcal{C}(R/2, R)} U_j (\partial_j \phi_R) U_i^2 dx.$$

With this identity at hand and following the same estimates done for the term $I_{3,a}$ in (19) we have

$$I_{3,b} \leq c \left( \int_{\mathcal{C}(R/2, R)} |\vec{U} \otimes \vec{U}|^\frac{2}{p+2} dx \right)^\frac{p}{2} \left( \int_{\mathcal{C}(R/2, R)} |\vec{U}|^p dx \right)^\frac{1}{p}. \quad (20)$$

Now, in order to study term $I_{3,c}$ remark that using the inequality (10) and following always the estimates done for term $I_{3,a}$ (see (19)) we have

$$I_{3,c} \leq c \left( \int_{\mathcal{C}(R/2, R)} |\vec{U} \otimes \vec{U}|^\frac{2}{p+2} dx \right)^\frac{p}{2} \left( \int_{\mathcal{C}(R/2, R)} |\vec{U}|^p dx \right)^\frac{1}{p}. \quad (21)$$

With estimates (19), (20) and (21) we get back to identity (18) hence we have

$$I_3 \leq c \left( \int_{\mathcal{C}(R/2, R)} |\vec{U} \otimes \vec{U}|^\frac{2}{p+2} dx \right)^\frac{p}{2} \left( \int_{\mathcal{C}(R/2, R)} |\vec{U}|^p dx \right)^\frac{1}{p}. \quad (22)$$

Finally, once we dispose of estimates (15), (17) and (22), applying these estimates in each term in the right-hand side of (13) we obtain the desired estimate (7).

4 The Lorentz spaces: proof of Theorem 1

Suppose the solution $\vec{U} \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ of equations (11) verifies $\vec{U} \in L^r_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $\frac{9}{2} \leq r < +\infty$. The first thing to do is to prove that $\vec{U}$ verifies the hypothesis of Proposition 3.1 and for this recall the following estimate: for $1 < p < r < +\infty$ and for $R > 1$ we have

$$\int_{B_R} |\vec{U}|^p dx \leq c R^{3(1-\frac{p}{r})} ||\vec{U}||_{L^r_{\infty}}^p,$$ \quad (23)

see Proposition 1.1.10, page 22 of the book [6] for a proof of this fact. From this estimate we have $\vec{U} \in L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and then it remains to prove that $\vec{V} \otimes \vec{U} \in L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for $3 \leq p < +\infty$. Indeed, since $\vec{U}$ verifies the
equations (11) and since $\text{div}(\bar{U}) = 0$ then this solution can be written as follows
\[
\bar{U} = -\frac{1}{\Delta} \left( \mathbb{P} \left( (\bar{U} \cdot \nabla)\bar{U} \right) \right) = \sum_{j=1}^{3} -\frac{1}{\Delta} \left( \mathbb{P} \left( \partial_j(U_j\bar{U}) \right) \right),
\]
where $\mathbb{P}$ is the Leray’s projector. Then, for $i = 1, 2, 3$ we have
\[
\partial_i\bar{U} = -\sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{1}{\Delta} \left( \mathbb{P} \left( \partial_i\partial_j(U_j\bar{U}) \right) \right) = \sum_{j=1}^{3} \mathbb{P} \left( \mathcal{R}_i\mathcal{R}_j(U_j\bar{U}) \right),
\]
(23) where recall that $\mathcal{R}_i = \nabla x^i \cdot \nabla$ denotes the $i$-th Riesz transform. Thus, by continuity of the operator $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{R}_i\mathcal{R}_j)$ on Lorentz spaces $L^{r,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for the values $1 < r < +\infty$ (see the article [2]) and applying the Hölder inequalities we obtain the following estimate:
\[
\|\nabla \otimes \bar{U}\|_{L^{r,\infty}} \leq c \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \|\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{R}_i\mathcal{R}_j(U_j\bar{U}))\|_{L^{r,\infty}} \leq c\|\bar{U} \otimes \bar{U}\|_{L^{r,\infty}} \leq c\|\bar{U}\|_{L^{r,\infty}}.
\]
With this estimate at hand we can use now the estimate (23) (with $1 < \frac{p}{2} < \frac{r}{2} < +\infty$) to write
\[
\int_{B_R} |\nabla \otimes U|_{\frac{p}{2}}^p \, dx \leq c R^{3(1-\frac{p}{2})} \|\nabla \otimes \bar{U}\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2},\infty}}^p,
\]
(25) hence we obtain $\nabla \otimes \bar{U} \in L^{\frac{p}{2}}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Thus, by Proposition 3.1 the solution $\bar{U}$ verifies (7) and by this estimate we can write for all $R > 1$
\[
\int_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}} |\nabla \otimes \bar{U}|^2 \, dx \leq \left( \int_{C(R/2, R)} |\nabla \otimes \bar{U}|_{\frac{p}{2}}^p \, dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} + \left( \int_{C(R/2, R)} |\bar{U} \otimes \bar{U}|_{\frac{p}{2}}^p \, dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \leq \left( \frac{1}{R^3} \int_{C(R/2, R)} |\nabla \otimes \bar{U}|_{\frac{p}{2}}^p \, dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} + \left( \frac{1}{R^3} \int_{C(R/2, R)} |\bar{U} \otimes \bar{U}|_{\frac{p}{2}}^p \, dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \leq c \left( \frac{1}{R^3} \int_{C(R/2, R)} |\nabla \otimes \bar{U}|_{\frac{p}{2}}^p \, dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} + \left( \frac{1}{R^3} \int_{C(R/2, R)} |\bar{U} \otimes \bar{U}|_{\frac{p}{2}}^p \, dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}},
\]
(26)
\[
\int_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}} |\nabla \otimes \bar{U}|^2 \, dx \leq c \left( R^{2-\frac{2}{p}} \left( \frac{1}{R^3} \int_{C(R/2, R)} |\nabla \otimes \bar{U}|_{\frac{p}{2}}^p \, dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} + \left( \frac{1}{R^3} \int_{C(R/2, R)} |\bar{U} \otimes \bar{U}|_{\frac{p}{2}}^p \, dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \right).
\]
(27)

where we will estimate the terms (a) and (b). For this we introduce the cut-off function $\theta_R \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $\theta_R = 1$ on $C(R/2, R)$, supp $(\theta_R) \subset C(R/4, 2R)$ and $\|\nabla \theta_R\|_{L^\infty} \leq \frac{c}{R^2}$; and we consider the localized functions $\theta_R \bar{U}$ and $\theta_R (\nabla \otimes \bar{U})$.

Now, as we have $\theta_R = 1$ on the set $C(R/2, R)$ then for the first term in (a) we can write
\[ \int_{\mathcal{C}(R/2,R)} |\nabla \otimes \vec{U}|^2 \, dx = \int_{\mathcal{C}(R/2,R)} |\theta_R(\nabla \otimes \vec{U})|^2 \, dx \leq \int_{B_{2R}} |\theta_R(\nabla \otimes \vec{U})|^2 \, dx \]

and applying estimate (25) with the function \( \theta_R(\nabla \otimes \vec{U}) \) (and with \( 1 < \frac{p}{2} < \frac{r}{2} < +\infty \)) we have

\[ \int_{B_{2R}} |\theta_R(\nabla \otimes \vec{U})|^2 \, dx \leq c R^{3(1-\frac{p}{2})} \|\theta_R(\nabla \otimes \vec{U})\|_{L^{\frac{r}{2},\infty}}, \]

hence the first term in expression (a) is estimated as

\[ R^\frac{p}{2} \left( \frac{1}{R^3} \int_{\mathcal{C}(R/2,R)} |\nabla \otimes \vec{U}|^2 \, dx \right) \leq c \|\theta_R(\nabla \otimes \vec{U})\|_{L^{\frac{r}{2},\infty}}. \]

The second term in (a) treated in a similar way: first we write

\[ \int_{\mathcal{C}(R/2,R)} |\vec{U} \otimes \vec{U}|^2 \, dx = \int_{\mathcal{C}(R/2,R)} |(\theta_R \vec{U}) \otimes (\theta_R \vec{U})|^2 \, dx \leq \int_{B_{2R}} |(\theta_R \vec{U}) \otimes (\theta_R \vec{U})|^2 \, dx, \]

then we apply estimate (25) with the function \((\theta_R \vec{U}) \otimes (\theta_R \vec{U})\) (always with \( 1 < \frac{p}{2} < \frac{r}{2} < +\infty \)) and by the Hölder inequalities we have

\[ \int_{B_{2R}} |(\theta_R \vec{U}) \otimes (\theta_R \vec{U})|^2 \, dx \leq c R^{3(1-\frac{p}{2})} \|(\theta_R \vec{U}) \otimes (\theta_R \vec{U})\|_{L^{\frac{r}{2},\infty}} \leq c R^{3(1-\frac{p}{2})} \|\theta_R \vec{U}\|_{L^{2,\infty}}, \]

hence we can write

\[ R^\frac{p}{2} \left( \frac{1}{R^3} \int_{\mathcal{C}(R/2,R)} |\nabla \otimes \vec{U}|^2 \, dx \right) \leq c \|\theta_R \vec{U}\|_{L^{2,\infty}}^2. \]

With these inequalities the term (a) above is estimated as follows:

\[ (a) \leq c \left( \|\theta_R(\nabla \otimes \vec{U})\|_{L^{\frac{r}{2},\infty}} + \|\theta_R \vec{U}\|_{L^{2,\infty}}^2 \right). \quad (28) \]

We study now the term (b). Following similar estimates done for term (a): applying always estimate (25) and as \( \text{supp} (\theta_R) \subset \mathcal{C}(R/4,2R) \), we can write

\[ \int_{\mathcal{C}(R/2,R)} |\vec{U}|^p \, dx \leq c \int_{B_{2R}} |\theta_R \vec{U}|^p \, dx \leq c R^{3(1-\frac{p}{2})} \|\theta_R \vec{U}\|_{L^{2,\infty}}^p \leq c R^{3(1-\frac{p}{2})} \|\vec{U}|_{L^{2,\infty}(\mathcal{C}(R/4,R))}^p, \]

hence we obtain

\[ (b) \leq R^\frac{p}{2} \left( \frac{1}{R^3} \int_{\mathcal{C}(R/2,R)} |\vec{U}|^p \, dx \right) \leq c \|\vec{U}\|_{L^{2,\infty}(\mathcal{C}(R/4,R))}. \quad (29) \]

Once we dispose of estimates (28) and (29) we get back to (27) and we write

\[ \int_{B_{\frac{3}{4}}} |\nabla \otimes \vec{U}|^2 \, dx \leq c \left( \|\theta_R(\nabla \otimes \vec{U})\|_{L^{\frac{r}{2},\infty}} + \|\theta_R \vec{U}\|_{L^{2,\infty}}^2 \right) R^\frac{2}{r} \|\vec{U}\|_{L^{2,\infty}(\mathcal{C}(R/4,R))}, \quad (30) \]

and at this point we will consider two cases for the value of parameter \( r \):
1) For \( r = \frac{9}{2} \). By \((30)\) we can write
\[
\int_{B_{\frac{3}{2}}} |\nabla \otimes \bar{U}|^2 \, dx \leq c \left( \|\theta_R(\nabla \otimes \bar{U})\|_{L^{\frac{2}{2},\infty}} + \|\theta_R \bar{U}\|_{L^{\frac{2}{2},\infty}}^2 \right) \|\bar{U}\|_{L^{\frac{2}{2},\infty}}.
\]
Now, recall that we have \( \text{supp} \theta_R \subset C(R/4,2R) \) and then we obtain \( \lim_{R \to +\infty} \theta_R \bar{U} = 0 \) a.e. in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) and by the dominated convergence theorem in Lorentz spaces (see Theorem 1.2.8, page 74 of the book [6]) we have \( \lim_{R \to +\infty} \|\theta_R(\nabla \otimes \bar{U})\|_{L^{9/4,\infty}} = 0 \) and \( \lim_{R \to +\infty} \|\theta_R \bar{U}\|_{L^{9/2,\infty}} = 0 \). Thus, taking the limit \( \lim_{R \to +\infty} \) in the estimate above we obtain \( \|\nabla \otimes \bar{U}\|_{L^{2,\infty}} = 0 \). Moreover, by the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev we also have \( \|\bar{U}\|_{L^6} \leq c \|\nabla \otimes \bar{U}\|_{L^2} \), hence we get the desired identity \( \bar{U} = 0 \).

2) For \( \frac{9}{2} < r < +\infty \). In this case by estimate \((30)\) we have
\[
\int_{B_{\frac{3}{2}}} |\nabla \otimes \bar{U}|^2 \, dx \leq c \left( \sup_{R>1} R^{2-\frac{2}{r}} \|\bar{U}\|_{L^{r,\infty}(C(R/4,2R))} \right) \left( \|\theta_R(\nabla \otimes \bar{U})\|_{L^{\frac{2}{2},\infty}} + \|\theta_R \bar{U}\|_{L^{\frac{2}{2},\infty}}^2 \right),
\]
where by formula \((1)\) we know that the last term in the right-hand side is bounded. Thus, always by the fact that \( \lim_{R \to +\infty} \|\theta_R(\nabla \otimes \bar{U})\|_{L^{\frac{2}{2},\infty}} = 0 \) and \( \lim_{R \to +\infty} \|\theta_R \bar{U}\|_{L^{\frac{2}{2},\infty}} = 0 \) and taking the limit \( \lim_{R \to +\infty} \) in this estimate we obtain the identity \( \bar{U} = 0 \). Theorem 1 is proven. \( \blacksquare \)

5 The Morrey spaces

Suppose now the solution \( \bar{U} \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3) \) of equations \((1)\) verifies \( \bar{U} \in \dot{M}^{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^3) \) with \( 3 \leq p < r < +\infty \). Before to prove our results we need to verify that the solution \( \bar{U} \) satisfies the hypothesis of Proposition 3.1 \( \bar{U} \in L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3) \) and \( \nabla \otimes \bar{U} \in L^\frac{2}{2}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3) \) with \( 3 \leq p < +\infty \), but, as \( \bar{U} \in \dot{M}^{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^3) \) then we have \( \bar{U} \in L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3) \) (see Definition 3 of Morrey spaces) so it remains to verify that \( \nabla \otimes \bar{U} \in L^\frac{2}{2}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3) \) and for this we will prove that \( \nabla \otimes \bar{U} \in \dot{M}^{\frac{2}{2},\frac{2}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3) \). Indeed, by identity \((24)\), the continuity of the operator \( P(R_i R_j) \) on the Morrey spaces \( \dot{M}^{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^3) \) with the values \( 1 < p < r < +\infty \) (see Lemma 4.2 of the article [11]) and applying the Hölder inequalities we can write
\[
\|\nabla \otimes \bar{U}\|_{\dot{M}^{\frac{2}{2},\frac{2}{2}}} \leq c \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \|P(R_i R_j(U_j \bar{U}))\|_{\dot{M}^{\frac{2}{2},\frac{2}{2}}} \leq c \|\bar{U} \otimes \bar{U}\|_{\dot{M}^{\frac{2}{2},\frac{2}{2}}} \leq c \|\bar{U}\|_{\dot{M}^{p,r}}^2. \tag{31}
\]

Once we have the information \( \bar{U} \in L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3) \) and \( \nabla \otimes \bar{U} \in L^\frac{2}{2}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3) \), by Proposition 3.1 we dispose of the inequality \((7)\) and with this estimate at hand we will consider the following cases of the values of parameters \( p \) and \( r \).
5.1 Proof of Theorem 2

We consider here the values $3 \leq p < r < \frac{9}{2}$. By estimate (7) and following the same computations done in estimate (20) we can write

$$\int_{B_{R}} |\nabla \otimes \bar{U}|^2 dx \leq c \left( \left( \frac{1}{R^3} \int_{C(R/2,R)} |\nabla \otimes \bar{U}|^\frac{p}{2} dx \right)^\frac{2}{p} + \left( \frac{1}{R^3} \int_{C(R/2,R)} |\bar{U} \otimes \bar{U}|^\frac{p}{2} dx \right)^\frac{2}{p} \right) \times R^2 \left( \frac{1}{R^3} \int_{C(R/2,R)} |\bar{U}|^p dx \right)^\frac{1}{p}$$

(6)

where will estimate the terms (a) and (b). In term (a) remark that as $\bar{U} \in \dot{M}^{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ then by (31) we have $\nabla \otimes \bar{U} \in \dot{M}^{\frac{p}{2},\frac{9}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and moreover by the Hölder inequalities we have $\bar{U} \otimes \bar{U} \in \dot{M}^{\frac{p}{2},\frac{9}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Thus, by definition of Morrey spaces (see (3)) we can write

$$(a) \leq c \left( \|\nabla \otimes \bar{U}\|_{\dot{M}^{\frac{p}{2},\frac{9}{2}}} + \|\bar{U} \otimes \bar{U}\|_{\dot{M}^{\frac{p}{2},\frac{9}{2}}} \right) R^{-\frac{p}{2}}.$$

moreover, always by the fact that $\bar{U} \in \dot{M}^{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for term (b) we write

$$(b) \leq R^2 \left( \|\bar{U}\|_{\dot{M}^{p,r}} R^{-\frac{p}{2}} \right) \leq \|\bar{U}\|_{\dot{M}^{p,r}} R^{2-\frac{p}{2}},$$

and with this estimates on terms (a) and (b) we obtain

$$\int_{B_{R}} |\nabla \otimes \bar{U}|^2 dx \leq c \left( \|\nabla \otimes \bar{U}\|_{\dot{M}^{\frac{p}{2},\frac{9}{2}}} + \|\bar{U} \otimes \bar{U}\|_{\dot{M}^{\frac{p}{2},\frac{9}{2}}} \right) \|\bar{U}\|_{\dot{M}^{p,r}} R^{2-\frac{p}{2}}.$$

But recall that we have $3 < r < \frac{9}{2}$ hence we get $-1 < 2 - \frac{9}{2} < 0$ and then, taking the limit $\lim_{R \to +\infty}$ we have $\|\nabla \otimes \bar{U}\|_{L^2} = 0$ hence we obtain the identity $\bar{U} = 0$. Theorem 2 is now proven. ■

5.2 Proof of Theorem 3

1) For the values $3 \leq p < \frac{9}{2}$ and $r = \frac{9}{2}$. In this case we have $\bar{U} \in \dot{M}^{p,\frac{9}{2}}$. Following the same computations done in estimate (21) and moreover, always by definition of the Morrey spaces given in (5) we get the uniform bound

$$\int_{B_{R}} |\nabla \otimes \bar{U}|^2 dx \leq c \left( R^2 \left( \frac{1}{R^3} \int_{C(R/2,R)} |\nabla \otimes \bar{U}|^\frac{p}{2} dx \right)^\frac{2}{p} + R^2 \left( \frac{1}{R^3} \int_{C(R/2,R)} |\bar{U} \otimes \bar{U}|^\frac{p}{2} dx \right)^\frac{2}{p} \right) \times \left( \frac{1}{R^3} \int_{C(R/2,R)} |\bar{U}|^p dx \right)^\frac{1}{p}$$

$$\leq c \left( \|\nabla \otimes \bar{U}\|_{\dot{M}^{\frac{p}{2},\frac{9}{2}}} + \|\bar{U} \otimes \bar{U}\|_{\dot{M}^{\frac{p}{2},\frac{9}{2}}} \right) \|\bar{U}\|_{\dot{M}^{p,\frac{9}{2}}} \leq c \|\bar{U}\|^3_{\dot{M}^{p,\frac{9}{2}}},$$

and taking the limit $\lim_{R \to +\infty}$ we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \otimes \bar{U}|^2 dx \leq c \|\bar{U}\|^3_{\dot{M}^{p,\frac{9}{2}}}.$$
2) For the values $3 \leq p \leq \frac{9}{2}$ and $\frac{9}{2} < r < +\infty$. Always by estimate (27) for all $R > 1$ we write

$$\int_{B_{R/2}} |\nabla \otimes \tilde{U}|^2 dx \leq c \left( R^2 \left( \frac{1}{R^3} \int_{C(R/2,R)} |\nabla \otimes \tilde{U}|^\frac{r}{2} dx \right)^\frac{r}{p} + R^2 \left( \frac{1}{R^3} \int_{C(R/2,R)} |\tilde{U} \otimes \tilde{U}|^\frac{p}{2} dx \right)^\frac{p}{r} \right)$$

(a)

$$\times R^{2-\frac{2}{r}} \left( \frac{1}{R^3} \int_{C(R/2,R)} |\tilde{U}|^p dx \right)^\frac{1}{r}$$

(b)

where, as we have $\tilde{U} \in M^{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ then the term $(a)$ is uniformly bounded as follows:

$$(a) \leq c\|\nabla \otimes \tilde{U}\|_{M^{p,r}} + \|\tilde{U} \otimes \tilde{U}\|_{M^{p,r}} \leq c\|\tilde{U}\|_{M^{p,r}}^2,$$

moreover, the term $(b)$ is uniformly bounded as

$$(b) \leq R^{2-\frac{2}{r}} \left( \frac{1}{R^3} \int_{C(R/2,R)} |\tilde{U}|^p dx \right)^\frac{1}{r} \leq N(r),$$

where the quantity $N(r) < +\infty$ is defined in formula (3).

With these estimates we can write

$$\int_{B_{R/2}} |\nabla \otimes \tilde{U}|^2 dx \leq c\|\tilde{U}\|_{M^{p,r}}^2 N(r),$$

and taking the limit $\lim_{R \to +\infty}$ we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \otimes \tilde{U}|^2 dx \leq c\|\tilde{U}\|_{M^{p,r}}^2 N(r).$$

Theorem 3 is proven.

5.3 Proof of Corollary 1

As $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \otimes \tilde{U}|^2 dx < +\infty$ we get $\tilde{U} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, and with the information $\tilde{U} \in B_{\infty,\infty}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ we can apply the improved Sobolev inequalities (see the article [9] for a proof of these inequalities) and we write $\|\tilde{U}\|_{L^4} \leq c\|\tilde{U}\|_{H^1}^\frac{1}{2} \|\tilde{U}\|_{B_{\infty,\infty}^{-1}}^\frac{1}{2}$. Once we dispose of the information $\tilde{U} \in L^4(\mathbb{R}^3)$ we can derive now the identity $\tilde{U} = 0$ as follows: multiplying equation (11) by $\tilde{U}$ and integrating on the whole space $\mathbb{R}^3$ we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (-\Delta \tilde{U}) \cdot \tilde{U} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\tilde{U} \cdot \nabla \tilde{U}) \cdot \tilde{U} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla P \cdot \tilde{U} dx,$$

where due to the fact $\tilde{U} \in H^1 \cap L^4(\mathbb{R}^3)$ each term in this identity is well-defined. Indeed, for the term in the left-hand side remark that as $\tilde{U} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ then we have $-\Delta \tilde{U} \in H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Then, for the first term in the right-hand side, as $\text{div}(\tilde{U}) = 0$ we write $(\tilde{U} \cdot \nabla)\tilde{U} = \text{div}(\tilde{U} \otimes \tilde{U})$ where, as $\tilde{U} \in L^4(\mathbb{R}^3)$ by the Hölder inequalities we have $\tilde{U} \otimes \tilde{U} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and then $\text{div}(\tilde{U} \otimes \tilde{U}) \in H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Finally, in order to study the second term in the right-hand side we compute the pressure $P$ as

$$P = \frac{1}{\Delta} \text{div}(\text{div}(\tilde{U} \otimes \tilde{U}))$$

hence we get $P \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ (since we have $\tilde{U} \otimes \tilde{U} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$) and then $\nabla P \in H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Now, integrating by parts each term in the identity above we have that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (-\Delta \tilde{U}) \cdot \tilde{U} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \otimes \tilde{U}|^2 dx,$$

and moreover

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} ((\tilde{U} \cdot \nabla)\tilde{U}) \cdot \tilde{U} dx = 0$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla P \cdot \tilde{U} dx = 0.$$
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