Biometric indicators of Eyes with Occult Lens Subluxation inducing Secondary Acute Angle Closure
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Abstract

Background: To compare the anterior biometry of eyes with secondary acute angle closure induced by occult lens subluxation (LS), misdiagnosed as acute primary angle closure (APAC) at the first visit, APAC, chronic primary angle closure glaucoma (CPACG), cataract.

Methods: This retrospective case study included 17 eyes with PAC due to occult LS, who were misdiagnosed as APAC on their first visit, 56 eyes diagnosed as APAC, 54 eyes diagnosed as CPACG, and 56 eyes diagnosed as cataract. Axial length (AL), Central corneal thickness (CCT), anterior chamber depth (ACD) and lens thickness (LT) were recorded. Lens position (LP), relative lens position (RLP), corrected lens position (CLP) were calculated. Quantitative data were subject to one-way analysis of variance and correlation analysis. Categorical data were analyzed using the chi-squared test. Results: The patients in the LS group had a longer ocular axial length than those with acute angle closure and CPACG. Corneal thickness in the LS group was not significantly different from that in the APAC group, but was significantly different from those of the CPACG and cataract group. The APAC group had the smallest ACD, while the LS group had the smallest AD. The LS group exhibited significantly shallower ACD (P<0.01). The LS group had the largest lens thickness. Conclusions: This study revealed that LS secondary PAC patients had a shallower ACD, thicker CCT and lens thickness comparing to those of APAC, CPACG and cataract patients. A short depth (< 1.25 mm) and a thick lens thickness (> 5.13 mm) are crisis of lens subluxation in our data. LP and CLP can be helpful for differential diagnosis. Trial registration: NCT03752710, retrospectively registered.

Introduction

Lens subluxation (LS) refers to a common malposition of lens, whose pathological mechanism involves partial zonular dehiscence of lens, causing partial deviation of the lens from its original position. LS is a common cause of acute secondary angle closure. Acute angle closure is an ophthalmic emergency that can often lead to irreversible optic nerve damage and requires timely treatment to counteract elevated intraocular pressure (IOP) [1]. Acute secondary angle closure induced by LS (ASAC-LS) is very similar to acute primary angle closure (APAC). They both manifest as acute attacks including severe pain in the eye, headache, ocular hypertension, and shallow anterior chamber. Nonetheless, the clinical manifestations of occult LS are atypical, with insignificant signs of iridodonesis and phacodonesis. When acute secondary angle closure is misdiagnosed as APAC, miotic agents would be administered, and trabeculectomy may even be performed. However, if correct diagnosis can be made before the surgery, appropriate treatments would be applied according to the patients’ condition, thereby increasing the success rate of the surgery and the recovery of visual function [2]. The biometric characteristics of patients with APAC include small corneal diameter, short ocular axial length, shallow central and peripheral anterior chamber[3, 4], lens thickening [5], and anterior displacement of the lens. To this end, we analyzed anterior segment biometric characteristics in a group of patients with ASAC-LS, and compared these biometric characteristics with the patients with APAC and chronic primary angle closure glaucoma (CPACG), in the hope that this study may contribute to improving the diagnostics and differential diagnostics to these ocular diseases.

Methods

This retrospective case study included 17 eyes of 17 patients with ASAC-LS, who were misdiagnosed with APAC on their first visit. In addition, 56 eyes of 56 patients diagnosed with APAC, 54 eyes of 54 patients diagnosed with CPACG, and 56 eyes of 56 patients diagnosed with cataract were also included. All of the patients were admitted from Jan 10, 2016 to Dec 28, 2017 in Tianjin Medical University Hospital. This study was conducted in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration and was approved by the Ethics Committee of Tianjin Medical University Eye Hospital. Written consent was obtained from the participants.

ASAC-LS was diagnosed according to the following criteria, including sudden pain in the eye, decreased vision with or without nausea and vomiting. Slit lamp microscopy reveals phacodonesis, lens inclination or vitreous hemiation into the anterior chamber, central and peripheral shallow anterior chamber, and asymmetric iris bulge. All patients were confirmed during the surgery to have LS.

APAC was diagnosed with the following criteria[6-8], including substantially elevated IOP and closed angle, acute eye pain, blurred vision, or nausea and vomiting. More importantly, ischemic injury caused by acute ocular hypertension, ciliary or mixed congestion, corneal edema, and glaucoma flecks should be detected.

The diagnostic criteria of CPACG included narrow angle with anterior synechiae of varying widths, IOP > 22 mmHg, and glaucomatous optic disc damage and visual field shrinkage [9-11]. The angle closure should be more than two quadrants, yet there was no ischemic injury in the anterior segment caused by acute ocular hypertension.

Exclusion criteria were history of laser peripheral iridotomy or peripheral iridectomy, glaucoma filtration surgery, angle closure caused by ocular trauma, uveitis, neovascularization or lens swelling or hypermature lens. The patients with acute angle closure in both eyes were
excluded. The subjects in which LS900 examination could not be performed because of severe lens opacity or corneal edema were also not included in the study.

All patients underwent visual acuity and slit lamp examinations, Goldmann IOP measurements, fundus examinations, Goldmann gonioscopy, Lenstar LS900 biometric measurements. Ocular biometric measurements included ocular axial length (AL), central corneal thickness (CCT), aqueous depth (AD), anterior chamber depth (ACD), and lens thickness (LT). Ultrasound biomicroscope (UBM) examinations were did by an experienced ophthalmologist as described in the literature to evaluate the lens position. The range of lens zonula dehiscence in the ASAC-LS group was recorded during the ensuing surgery. The following formulas were used to assess the biometric chataracters of the patients. Lens position (LP)= ACD+¹⁄LT. Corrected anterior chamber depth (CLP)= CLP (AD+1/2LT). Relative lens position (RLP)= [ACD +¹⁄LT] / AL ×10 [12].

Statistical analysis
Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 18.0 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA). Quantitative data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Quantitative data were subject to one-way analysis of variance and correlation analysis. Categorical data were analyzed using the Chi-squared test, and P<0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

Results
There was a significant difference in the ocular axial length among the four groups of patients (P=0.000). A pair-wise comparison revealed that the patients with ASAC-LS had a longer ocular axial length than those with APAC and CPACG (P=0.002, 0.016, respectively). There were no significant differences in the axial length between the ASAC-LS group and the cataract group (P =0.902).

Table 1. Biometry parameters in groups

| Parameters | ASAC-LS | APAC | CPACG | Cataract |
|------------|---------|------|-------|----------|
| Gender     |         |      |       |          |
| Male       | 11      | 12   | 16    | 20       |
| Female     | 7       | 44   | 38    | 36       |
| Age (y)    | 64.47±7.82 | 66.05±8.41 | 67.44±7.97 | 67.61±11.14 |
| AL (mm)    | 23.23±0.68 | 22.42±0.77 | 22.56±0.92 | 23.47±1.30  |
| CCT (μm)   | 569.00±91.66 | 552.98±40.29 | 527.57±39.24 | 536.46±37.29 |
| AD (mm)    | 1.25±0.35  | 1.64±0.26  | 1.77±0.22  | 2.59±0.39   |
| ACD (mm)   | 2.49±0.56  | 2.21±0.26  | 2.33±0.32  | 3.13±0.39   |
| LT (mm)    | 5.13±0.41  | 4.97±0.30  | 4.92±0.30  | 4.48±0.41   |
| LP         | 4.39±0.32  | 4.69±0.21  | 4.79±0.33  | 5.37±0.27   |
| RLP        | 1.89±0.14  | 2.09±0.09  | 2.12±0.16  | 2.29±0.12   |
| CLP        | 3.82±0.33  | 4.13±0.21  | 4.23±0.19  | 4.83±0.28   |

Corneal thickness was significantly different among the four groups (0.002). Corneal thickness in the ASAC-LS group was not significantly different from that in the APAC group, but was significantly different from those in the CPACG and cataract groups (Table 1).

There was a significant difference in anterior chamber depth and aqueous depth (AD) among the four groups (P=0.000 both). The APAC group had the smallest anterior chamber depth among the four groups, while the ASAC-LS group had the smallest AD among the four groups. The ASAC-LS group exhibited significantly shallower anterior chamber depth than the other three groups (P=0.000). (Figure1).

The ASAC-LS group had the largest lens thickness, followed by the APAC group; however, the difference between these two groups was not statistically significant. Compared with the other two groups, lens thickness in the ASAC-LS group was significantly different (P=0.000). There was a statistical difference among the four groups in terms of each of the above-mentioned indices. The ASAC-LS group had the smallest value for each of the above-mentioned indices, which are significantly different from other three groups. The factors in APAC and CPACG had no statistical differences: P=0.323(LP), 0.058 (CLP), 0.678 (RLP) while other groups did have. (Table2).
The range of lens zonula dehiscence were recorded during subsequent surgery, with the average of 5 ± 2.24 o'clock lens zonula dehiscence. The AD correlated with the range of lens zonula dehiscence (P=0.034).

**Discussion**

The main mechanism of PACG is considered as pupillary block. Increased resistance of aqueous humor flow between the iris and anterior lens surface leads to angle closure. A short axial length (AL) of the globe, thicker lens, anteriorly placed lens, are the main dangerous factors[13].

Traumatic or spontaneous lens dislocation can cause acute angle closure. The features of zonular instability include iridodonesis, decentration of the nucleus, phacodonesis, the lens equator exposure, and vitreous prolapse in the AC. In clinic, due to the risk of iatrogenic angle-narrowing and elevated intraocular pressure, angle-closed eyes usually do not undergo pharmacologic pupil dilation[14]. Due to relaxation or lens zonula dehiscence, the anterior capsule of the lens can attach or adhere to the posterior surface of the iris [1]. The lens and/or vitreous hernia can cause pupil block, leading to an increase in posterior chamber pressure; consequently, the iris is pushed against and closes the anterior angle, resulting in increased IOP. Its clinical manifestations are very similar to those of APAC and, thus, is prone to misdiagnosis. The literature also suggested that the major form of the secondary glaucoma associated with lens subluxation was the open-angle type[15].

We analyzed the clinical features of a group of patients with acute secondary angle closure due to lens dislocation, monocular onset, and acute anterior chamber shallowing (AD<1.25 mm). Compared with the APAC, CPACG, and cataract groups, the anterior chamber depth of patients with acute angle closure due to lens dislocation was significantly smaller, even less than 0.66mm. Therefore, whenever small anterior chamber depth is observed during clinical diagnosis of patients with APAC, it is necessary to devote attention to acute secondary angle closure caused by lens factors. It has been reported that the anterior chamber depth is significantly different between the involved eye and the contralateral eye in patients with acute angle closure due to LS16. In this study, data from the contralateral eyes were incomplete; therefore, anterior chamber depth was not compared between the two eyes.

Patients with angle-closure glaucoma usually exhibit a shorter ocular axial length. However, the ocular axial length in the group of patients with acute angle closure caused by ASAC-LS was not significantly different from that in the cataract group, but was longer than that in the APAC and CPACG groups. It has been reported that LS patients have the longest ocular axial length among the population with acute angle closure. Other causes of acute angle closure include iris bombe, pupil block, and plateau iris [17-18].

Among the four groups of patients, lens thickness in the ASAC-LS group was the greatest, and was significantly different from that in the cataract and the CPACG groups; therefore, lens thickness was not sufficient to diagnose the four diseases. As a result, the parameter of lens position (LP) (defined as the sum of anterior chamber depth and \( \frac{1}{2} \) lens thickness) was introduced in this study. Calculations indicated that there was significant difference between any two groups. Some studies[18] in the literature used lens vault (defined as the perpendicular distance between the anterior lens pole and the horizontal line joining the two scleral spurs) measured using UBM as an indicator of lens morphology and found that lens vault increases in patients with unstable suspensory ligaments of the lens.

When the lens is subluxated, the lens zonule dehisence has a large effect on the position of the lens. In this group, the dehisence was recorded during surgery and was found to correlate with AD. Therefore, for occult LS, which does not have clear clinical manifestations and does not have a very high UBM diagnosis rate, AD can be used as one of the indirect determinant indicators.

In summary, we retrospectively analyzed biometric characteristics of the anterior segment of patients with acute angle closure secondary to occult LS. Several points should be addressed during diagnosis and treatment. For younger patients with acute angle-closure glaucoma, it is necessary to exclude lens zonula relaxation caused by abnormal lens development; otherwise, the patients would be misdiagnosed with APAC rather than acute secondary angle-closure glaucoma due to lens dislocation and undergo peripheral iridotomy or glaucoma filtering surgery, which not only increases the risk for complications, such as intraoperative vitreous hemiation, postoperative shallow anterior chamber and even malignant glaucoma, but also reduces the success rate of the operation. When applicable, UBM should be used to observe whether the suspensory ligament of the lens is severed or simply relaxed. The anterior chamber depth should be measured: a short depth (< 1.25 mm) is highly indicative of abnormality in the lens zonula dehiscence or relaxation, and the depth should be compared with that of the contralateral eye. Lens thickness should be measured and, if it is > 5.13 mm, abnormal suspensory ligament of the lens should be suspected. Meanwhile, LP and CLP can be calculated for differential diagnosis.

Limitations of the present study include the absence of a biometric comparison of the lateral eyes in each group of patients. LS900 can only be used to measure the patients with no serious opacity of cataracts.
Conclusions

For younger patients with acute angle-closure glaucoma, it is necessary to exclude lens zonula relaxation. A short depth (< 1.25 mm) and a thick lens thickness (> 5.13 mm) are crisis of lens subluxation in our data. LP and CLP can be helpful for differential diagnosis.
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List Of Abbreviations

LS: lens subluxation
IOP: intraocular pressure (IOP)
ASAC-LS: acute secondary angle closure induced by lens subluxation
APAC: acute primary angle closure
CPACG: chronic primary angle closed glaucoma
CCT: central corneal thickness
AD: aqueous depth
ACD: anterior chamber depth
LT: lens thickness
UBM: ultrasound biomicroscope
LP: lens position
CLP: corrected anterior chamber depth
RLP: relative lens position
AL: axial length
CATA: cataract
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**Table 2**

*Table 2: Comparison of biometry parameters in different groups*

|               | APAC vs ASAC-LS | CATA vs ASAC-LS | CPACG vs ASAC-LS | CATA vs APAC | APAC vs CPACG | CATA vs CPACG |
|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|
| **Mean**      |                 |                 |                  |              |                |              |
| **difference**|                 |                 |                  |              |                |              |
| **(P-value)** |                 |                 |                  |              |                |              |
| **AL**        | -0.80827(0.002) | 0.23638(0.902) | -0.66763(0.016) | 1.044643(0.000) | -0.140642(0.945) | 0.904001(0.000) |
| **CCT**       | 16.01786(0.212) | 32.53571(0.012) | -41.42593(0.001) | -16.517857(0.147) | 25.408069(0.007) | 8.890212(0.780) |
| **ACD**       | 0.388887 (0.001) | 1.31764(0.000) * | 0.51779(0.000) * | 0.928750(0.000) * | -0.128902(0.127) | 0.799848(0.000*) |
| **AD**        | 0.398697 (0.002) | 1.346555 (0.000) | 0.52756(0.000) * | 0.947857(0.000) * | -0.128862(0.035) | 0.818995(0.000) |
| **LT**        | -0.17181(0.526) | -0.65895(0.000) * | -0.22342(0.256) | -0.487143(0.000) | 0.051614(0.936) | -0.435529(0.000) |
| **LP**        | 0.305305 (0.009) | 0.98816(0.000) * | 0.40608(0.001) * | 0.682857(0.000) * | -0.100774(0.323) | 0.582083(0.000) |
| **CLP**       | 0.31512(0.009) * | 1.01708(0.000) * | 0.41585(0.001) * | 0.701964(0.000) * | -0.100734(0.058) | 0.601230(0.000) |
| **RLP**       | 0.20384(0.000) * | 0.4047594(0.000) | 0.23708(0.000) * | 0.200923(0.000) * | -0.033242(0.678) | 0.167681(0.000) |

**CATA**: cataract
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AD of 4 Groups