Seebeck Coefficients in Nanoscale Junctions: Effects of Electron-vibration Scattering and Local Heating.
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We report first-principles calculations of inelastic Seebeck coefficients in an aluminum monatomic junction. We compare the elastic and inelastic Seebeck coefficients with and without local heating.

In the low temperature regime, the signature of normal modes in the profiles of the inelastic Seebeck effects is salient. The inelastic Seebeck effects are enhanced by the normal modes, and further magnified by local heating. In the high temperature regime, the inelastic Seebeck effects are weakly suppressed due to the quasi-ballistic transport.

The electron-vibration interaction plays an important role in molecular electronics. Electrons flowing in nanojunctions are characterized by quasi-ballistic electron transport [1]. Only a small fraction of electrons experience the inelastic scattering. Electron-vibration interactions cause discontinuities in the current-voltage (I-V) characteristics known as the inelastic current tunneling spectroscopy (IETS) [2]. The IETS can provide information on the underlying atomic structures of junctions [3]. It also gives important signals to the molecular junction characterization [4].

Electrons that travel with energies larger than the energy of normal modes can excite corresponding vibrations in the nano-structure anchoring the electrodes. This effect causes local heating in the nano-structure [2]. Heating occurs when electrons exchange energy with the excitation and relaxation of the energy levels of the vibration of the nano-structured object that anchors the electrodes. The heating power is typically within 15% of the electric power (IV) supplied by a battery even at ambient temperatures because of the quasi-ballistic transport. The heat generated in the central wire region is dissipated to the bulk electrodes via phonon-phonon interactions. The heat generation eventually equilibrates the heat dissipation, where the wire region reaches an effective local temperature $T_w$ higher than the electrode temperature $T_e$. Local temperature depends on several factors: the strength of coupling between electrons and the vibrations, the background temperature, and the thermal current which dissipates heat.

In the last decade, remarkable progress has been achieved in measuring the Seebeck coefficients in nanojunctions [8–11]. These experiments have shed light on the design of possible energy-conversion nano-devices, such as nanoscale refrigerators and power generators [12]. These experiments have also inspired rapid development in the theory of thermoelectric nanojunctions [13, 22]. In bulk systems, diffused electrons scattered by phonons can significantly affect the Seebeck coefficient. However, the effects of the quasi-ballistic electrons scattered by vibrations, the background temperature, and the thermal dissipation, where the wire region reaches an effective local temperature $T_w$ higher than the electrode temperature $T_e$.

The heat generation eventually equilibrates the heat dissipation, where the wire region reaches an effective local temperature $T_w$ higher than the electrode temperature $T_e$. Local temperature depends on several factors: the strength of coupling between electrons and the vibrations, the background temperature, and the thermal current which dissipates heat.

In the last decade, remarkable progress has been achieved in measuring the Seebeck coefficients in nanojunctions [8–11]. These experiments have shed light on the design of possible energy-conversion nano-devices, such as nanoscale refrigerators and power generators [12]. These experiments have also inspired rapid development in the theory of thermoelectric nanojunctions [13, 22]. In bulk systems, diffused electrons scattered by phonons can significantly affect the Seebeck coefficient. However, the effects of the quasi-ballistic electrons scattered by vibrations, the background temperature, and the thermal dissipation, where the wire region reaches an effective local temperature $T_w$ higher than the electrode temperature $T_e$.
work of DET combined with the Lippmann-Schwinger equation [24],

\[ \Psi^{E}_{\alpha K j}(r) = \Psi^{\prime}_{0, E K j}(r) + \int dr_1 \int dr_2 G(r, r_1) V(r_1, r_2) \Psi^{E}_{\alpha K j}(r_2), \]

where \( G \) is the Green’s function of the biased bimetallic electrodes with \( V_B = (\mu_R - \mu_L)/e \), where \( \mu_R(\mu_L) \) is the chemical potentials deep in the right (left) electrode, respectively; the wave function of the bimetallic junction, \( \Psi^{\prime}_{0, E K j}(r) \), is calculated by solving a combination of the Poisson and Schrödinger equations until self-consistency is achieved, where the boundary conditions are given by the electrons deep inside the biased electrodes. The inclusion of a single molecule bridging the bimetallic electrodes is considered as the scattering center, described by the potential \( V \).

Our starting point is the inelastic current when considering electron-vibration interactions,

\[ I(\mu_L, T_L; \mu_R, T_R; T_w) = \frac{2e}{h} \int dE \int dE \left[ (f_E^{L} - f_E^{R}) - (\hat{B}^{L} - \hat{B}^{R}) \right] \tau(E), \]

where \( f_E^{L(R)} = 1/\{\exp[(E - \mu_L(R))/k_B T_L(R)] + 1\} \) is the Fermi-Dirac distribution function describing the statistic of electrons deep in the left (right) electrode with temperature \( T_L(R) \) and chemical potential \( \mu_L(R) \); the transmission function \( \tau(E) = \frac{\pi^2}{m^*} \int dR \int dK \langle \Psi_{\alpha K j}^{R} | \nabla \Psi_{\alpha K j}^{E} \rangle^2 \) is calculated from the electronic part of the wave functions \( \Psi_{\alpha K j}^{R} \). The terms \( \hat{B}^{L(R)} \) represent the corrections to the elastic current considering the eight first-order scattering processes depicted in Fig. 1.

\[ \hat{B}^{\alpha} = \sum_{j} \left[ (|B_{j,k}^{\alpha}|^2 f_{E}^{\alpha}(1 - f_{E}^{\alpha}) - |B_{j,k}^{\alpha}|^2 f_{E}^{\alpha}(1 - f_{E}^{\alpha}) \right], \]

where \( \alpha, \beta = \{L, R\} \) and \( \alpha \neq \beta \). The parameters \( B^{R \alpha}_{j,1(2)} \) and \( B^{L \alpha}_{j,1(2)} \) denoted in Eq. (4) are,

\[ B^{R \alpha}_{j,1(2)} = i\pi \sum_{\mu} \left[ \frac{\hbar}{2\omega_j} A_{\mu, j, \alpha \mu}^{R \alpha} D_{E \pm \hbar \omega_j}^{\alpha} \right] \delta + \langle n_j \rangle, \]

where \( \alpha = \{L, R\} \); \( \delta = 0 \) (1) represents the process of phonon emission (absorption); the other two parameters in Eq. (4) can be obtained by the relations \( B^{L \alpha}_{j,1(2)} = -B^{R \alpha}_{j,1(2)} \); the average number of local phonons is \( \langle n_j \rangle = 1/\{\exp[\hbar \omega_j/(k_B T_w)] - 1\} \), where \( T_w \) is the effective wire temperature.

The rate of energy absorbed (emitted) by the anchored nano-structures due to incident electrons from the \( \beta = \{L, R\} \) electrode and scattered to the \( \alpha = \{L, R\} \) electrode via a vibrational mode \( j \) is denoted by \( \hat{W}^{\alpha \beta}_{j} \). The total thermal power generated in the junction \( P \), calculated from the Fermi golden rule, can be written as the sum of all the vibrational modes of eight scattering processes shown in Fig. 1.

\[ P = \sum_{j \in vib} \sum_{\alpha = \{L, R\}} \sum_{\beta = \{L, R\}} (W^{\alpha \beta}_{j} - W^{\alpha \beta}_{j}). \]

The rate of heat dissipated to electrodes via phonon-phonon interactions is calculated using the weak link model,

\[ J_{ph} = 2\pi K^2 \int_{0}^{\infty} dE E \left[ (n_{L}(E) - n_{R}(E)) \right], \]

where \( K = 1.59 \text{ eV}/\text{Å}^2 \) is the stiffness of the 4-Al atom chain connected to the electrodes obtained from the total energy calculation [25]; \( N_{L(R)}(E) \) is the local phonon DOS at the left (right) electrode surface from first-principles calculations [26]; and \( n_{L(R)} = 1/(e^{E/k_B T_{L(R)}} - 1) \) is the Bose-Einstein distribution function. The effective local temperature \( T_w \) is obtained when heat generation in the nano-structure and heat dissipation into the bulk electrodes reach balance.

We calculate the inelastic Seebeck coefficient based on the inelastic current described in Eq. (3), which is a function of \( T_L, T_R, T_w \), and \( V_B = (\mu_R - \mu_L)/e \). We consider an extra current induced by an infinitesimal temperature difference \( \Delta T \) across the junction. This current is counterbalanced by an extra current driven by a voltage \( \Delta V \), which is induced by \( \Delta T \) via the Seebeck effect, i.e.,

\[ I(\mu_L, T_L; \mu_R, T_R) = [I(\mu_L, T_L - \Delta T/2; \mu_R, T_R + \Delta T/2) + I(\mu_L - \Delta V/2, T_L; \mu_R + \Delta V/2, T_R)]/2. \]

After expanding the above equation to the first order in \( \Delta T \) and \( \Delta V \), we obtain the inelastic Seebeck coefficient (defined as \( S_{el \rightarrow vib} = \Delta V/\Delta T \)),

\[ S_{el \rightarrow vib} = \frac{1}{e} \left[ \frac{\partial J_{ph}^{\alpha \beta}_{j} / \partial E}{J_{ph}^{\alpha \beta}_{j}} \right], \]

where \( \partial J_{ph}^{\alpha \beta}_{j} / \partial E = \sum_{j \in vib, k=1,2} (C_{j, \mu, j}^{R \alpha} + C_{j, \mu, j}^{L \alpha}); \)
can be performed with a high level of accuracy. It, therefore, serves as an ideal testbed for comparing the predictions of theory and measurements in experiments. We compare the elastic and inelastic Seebeck coefficients assuming that the left and right electrodes share the same temperature $T_e$. In order to qualitatively show to what extent local heating affects the inelastic Seebeck coefficient, we choose to display inelastic Seebeck coefficients with and without local heating.

In the case of “without local heating”, we mean that the heat generated in the wire region is perfectly dissipated to electrodes such that $T_w = T_e$. When including “local heating”, the effective local wire temperature $T_w$ is higher than the electrode temperature $T_e$. We note that three jumps occur at $V_B = 2.5$, 20, and 40 mV, corresponding to the energies of the normal modes. The sharp increase in $T_w$ at $V_B = 20$ mV corresponds to the first longitudinal vibrational mode. Two degenerate transverse modes are present in the $x$- and $y$-directions at $V_B = 25$ mV, and we show the representative one in Fig. 2. Due to the selection rule, the contributions to local heating from modes with vibrational components perpendicular to the direction of electron transport ($z$-direction) are unimportant. For $T_e = 0$, 4, and 10 K, $T_w$ displays larger jumps at $V_B = 20$ mV, where $eV_B$ is the energy of the first longitudinal vibrational mode. For $T_e = 50$ K, the signatures of normal modes in $T_w$ are wiped out by high temperatures. The increase in local temperature is less significant at higher $T_e$. This is due to increasingly efficient heat dissipation caused by the increase of phonon population in the electrodes, as shown in Eq. (7). Fig. 2(b) shows the inelastic profile of the conductance ($G = dI/dV$) and derivative of conductance ($d^2I/dV^2$) as a function of bias with and without local heating. Local heating enhances the effects of the electron-vibration interactions on the inelastic current because of increased average number of local phonons.
Figure 3(a) shows Seebeck coefficients as a function of the applied bias $V_B$ for various $T_e$. For each temperature, we calculate Seebeck coefficients in three cases: elastic Seebeck coefficients $S_0$, inelastic Seebeck coefficients without local heating $S_1$, and inelastic Seebeck coefficients with local heating $S_2$. The difference between the elastic and inelastic Seebeck effects is more salient in the low temperature regime around $V_B = 20 \text{ mV}$ [see the cases of 4, 8, and 12 K in the upper panel of Fig. 3(a)]. The profile of inelastic Seebeck coefficients vs. $V_B$ displays a strong signature corresponding to the longitudinal vibrational mode at $V_B = 20 \text{ mV}$, where the magnitude of the Seebeck coefficients is increased. This feature is related to the suppression of the inelastic current around $V_B = 20 \text{ mV}$ [Fig. 3(b)], where the transmission function effectively decreases. This leads to larger magnitudes of Seebeck coefficients because $S \propto -\tau'(\mu)/\tau(\mu)$.

The inclusion of local heating enhances the effect of electron-vibration on Seebeck coefficients further. In the low temperature regime, the upper panel of Fig. 3(a) shows that $S_1$ (without local heating) significantly differs from $S_2$ (with local heating). This is because of the large difference between $T_w$ and $T_e$, as shown in Fig. 3(a). For $V_B < 30 \text{ mV}$, $T_w$ and $T_e$ become almost identical when the $T_e$ is large. Consequently, the difference between $S_1$ and $S_2$ becomes small [see cases of $T_e = 50 \text{ K}$ in the lower panel of Fig. 3(a)]. In all cases, the transverse modes are negligible to the inelastic Seebeck coefficients. Fig. 3(b) shows Seebeck coefficients as a function of $T_e$ for $V_B = 0$ and $30 \text{ mV}$ in three cases: $S_0$, $S_1$, and $S_2$. In the high temperature regime ($T_e > 50 \text{ K}$), the magnitudes of inelastic Seebeck coefficients ($S_1$ and $S_2$) are slightly decreased compared with the elastic Seebeck coefficients ($S_0$) due to small probability of electron-vibration scattering.

In summary, we investigated the elastic and inelastic Seebeck coefficients with and without local heating in the 4-Al atomic junction using first-principles calculations. In the low temperature regime, the signature of normal modes in the profiles of inelastic Seebeck effects is salient. The inelastic Seebeck effects are enhanced by electron-vibration interactions due to the drastic suppression of the inelastic current at the bias corresponding to the normal mode with longitudinal vibrational character. Local heating enhances the inelastic Seebeck effects further due to increased average number of local phonons. In the high temperature regime, the inelastic Seebeck effects are slightly suppressed by electron-vibration interactions due to quasi-ballistic electron transport in nanojunctions. The signature of normal modes in inelastic Seebeck coefficients and local temperatures is wiped out by the tail of the Fermi-Dirac distribution.
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