Crystal structure of the superconducting phase of sulfur hydride
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A superconducting critical temperature above 200 K has recently been discovered in H2S (or D2S) under high hydrostatic pressure1,2. These measurements were interpreted in terms of a decomposition of these materials into elemental sulfur and a hydrogen-rich hydride that is responsible for the superconductivity, although direct experimental evidence for this mechanism has so far been lacking. Here we report the crystal structure of the superconducting phase of hydrogen sulfide (and deuterium sulfide) in the normal and superconducting states obtained by means of synchrotron X-ray diffraction measurements, combined with electrical resistance measurements at both room and low temperatures. We find that the superconducting phase is mostly in good agreement with the theoretically predicted body-centred cubic (bcc) structure for H2S2. The presence of elemental sulfur is also manifest in the X-ray diffraction patterns, thus proving the decomposition mechanism of H2S to H2S + S under pressure4–6.

Recently, a very high Tc of 200 K has been discovered in the hydrogen sulfide system1,3. This work was initiated by the prediction of a substantial superconductivity in H2S (ref. 7), which in turn arises from the idea that hydrogen-dominant metallic alloys might be superconductors with high critical temperature, similar to pure metallic hydrogen8.

The superconducting transition was proved by the sharp drop of the resistance to zero, a strong isotope effect in a study of D2S, a shift of the superconducting transition with magnetic field, and finally by measuring the magnetic susceptibility and magnetization. As a likely explanation, the authors9,10 suggested that H2S decomposes under pressure (with the assistance of temperature) to pure sulfur and some sulfur hydride with a higher content of hydrogen (such as SH or similar). At the same time, a theoretical work appeared which considered a different starting material (H3S), H2 (stoichiometry H:S), and found R3m and Im-3m structures under pressure above 111 GPa and 180 GPa, respectively9. These structures and other stoichiometric compounds were further carefully studied theoretically by different groups in numerous works9,10,25 and Tc ~ 200 K was consistently obtained for the Im-3m structure. The calculated Tc, as well as its pressure dependence9, are close to the experimental data1,2. This suggests that the high Tc observed in the experiments relates not to H2S, but to the H2S in the Im-3m structure. Later calculations supported this idea: H2S is indeed unstable at high pressures and should decompose to sulfur and higher hydrides, most likely to H3S9,12. The goal of the present work is to check experimentally the structure of the superconducting hydrogen sulfide and compare it with the theoretically predicted structure.

Samples were prepared in the same way as described in refs 1, 2 — H2S was loaded at temperatures of ~200 K, then the pressure was increased to ~150–170 GPa and the sample was annealed at room temperature. Typical X-ray diffraction (XRD) images of sulfur hydride and sulfur deuteride pressurized to 150–173 GPa are shown in Fig. 1. The XRD patterns of sulfur hydride and sulfur deuteride samples do not differ from each other. The diffraction patterns seem to be produced by two major phases. This clearly follows from the different pressure dependence of the peaks (Fig. 2 and Supplementary Fig. 3) and different variation of intensities while scanning the sample over its diameter (Supplementary Fig. 1): one group is fitted by elemental sulfur of the β-Po structure20 and another group is described by the bcc structure of H2S from the theoretical work1. We can conclude that H2S (D2S) solid most likely decomposes under pressure via the route: 3H2S → 2H2S + S.

The pressure dependence of the atomic volume, Vatm, of sulfur hydride and sulfur deuteride are shown in Fig. 2c. It is fitted by a first-order Birch equation of state21 with the bulk modulus B0 = 506 (30) GPa, and its pressure derivative B’0 = 6 (fixed). The value of the experimentally observed Vatm is slightly larger, but the compressibility is in good agreement with Duan’s calculation1. The pressure dependence of the normalized atomic volume V/V0 of elemental sulfur in the β-Po structure is shown in Supplementary Fig. 3. It is in a good agreement with the experimental data of ref. 26 at high pressures P > 170 GPa, and with our density functional theory calculations (see Methods).

Our powder XRD measurements do not allow us to distinguish between the predicted bcc structures: Im-3m and R3m. In these structures the positions of the sulfur atoms are the same and the only difference is the position of the hydrogen atoms: hydrogen atoms are situated symmetrically between neighbouring sulfur atoms in the Im-3m structure and slightly asymmetrically in the R3m structure (Supplementary Fig. 2). However, the position of the hydrogen atoms cannot be determined from the powder measurements, as hydrogen atoms are extremely weak scatterers.

The low-temperature data help with further analysis. We measured simultaneously the XRD and electrical resistance in the same set-up9 (Fig. 3). The transition to the superconducting state was determined from the sharp drop of the resistance (Fig. 3a,b). We found that the normal and the superconducting state have the same structure, as the XRD patterns are the same.
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**Figure 1** | XRD of the sulfur hydride and sulfur deuteride samples. a. Unrolled powder diffraction image of sulfur hydride at 150 GPa at room temperature recorded on the imaging plate. b,c. Integrated XRD patterns obtained with subtraction of the background for sulfur hydride (b) and sulfur deuteride (c). The patterns of bcc $H_3S$ and $\beta$-Po elemental sulfur at 150 GPa and 170 GPa calculated according to refs 5,26 are shown beneath the experimentally obtained patterns. Stars indicate peaks that do not belong to the sample, as follows from the scan over the sample (Supplementary Fig. 1): these peaks remain unchanged, whereas the sample peaks change with the radius of the sample both in position and intensity. Open circles indicate a reflection from the high-pressure phase IV of elemental sulfur (incommensurately modulated body-centred monoclinic structure). d. XRD patterns of sulfur deuteride at 173 GPa at 300 K and 13 K. The peaks marked by stars are not reflections from the sample. The results of analyses are shown in Supplementary Table 1.

**Figure 2** | Pressure dependence of XRD in sulfur hydride and sulfur deuteride samples. a,b. XRD patterns taken at room temperature and different pressures for sulfur hydride (a) and sulfur deuteride (b). Upper (red) and lower (green) ticks indicate the peak positions of the predicted bcc structure of $H_3S$ and $\beta$-Po elemental sulfur, respectively. The peaks marked with stars do not belong to the sample, as follows from Supplementary Fig. 1. On decreasing the pressure in sulfur hydride, the phase transition of elemental sulfur is clearly observed—the peak from $\beta$-Po sulfur gradually disappears and that from phase IV (open circle) is enhanced. c. Pressure dependence of the atomic volume of sulfur hydride and sulfur deuteride. The experimental data were obtained with increasing pressure and are fitted with a first-order Birch equation of state (black solid line). The volumes of hexagonal ($R3m$) and bcc ($Im-3m$) phases obtained from the theoretical work$^5$ are shown as filled squares and filled triangles, respectively, connected with broken lines. The estimated standard deviations are smaller than the size of the symbols.

at room and low temperatures (Fig. 1d). Moreover, the structure of the sample does not change visibly over the pressure range 92–173 GPa. This is in contrast to the dependence of the critical temperature on pressure, which has a pronounced kink at 150 GPa for $H_3S$ and 160 GPa for $D_3S$ (Fig. 3c). This kink finds a natural explanation in the theoretical predictions$^{23}$: the pressure dependence of the critical superconducting temperature is different in the $R3m$ phase at lower pressures and in the $Im-3m$ phase at higher pressures. Our XRD measurements support this interpretation, as $R3m$ and $Im-3m$ phases differ only in the ordering of the hydrogen atoms, and the same XRD patterns should be the same in the both pressure domains. Thus, one can conclude that the highest critical temperature of 203 K (ref. 2) corresponds to the $Im-3m$ phase.
Figure 3 | Pressure dependence of the superconducting transition in sulfur hydride and sulfur deuteride. a,b. Temperature dependence of resistance in sulfur hydride (on decreasing pressure) (a) and sulfur deuteride (on increasing pressure) (b). c. Pressure dependence of critical temperature of superconductivity $T_c$ of sulfur hydride (black points) and sulfur deuteride (red points). Open circles and squares are taken from ref. 2. The points marked with filled symbols are from the present work: the circles represent data on decreasing pressure, the squares and triangles on increasing pressure. Broken lines (black for sulfur hydride and red for sulfur deuteride) indicate the phase boundary between the R̅m3̅m and Im-3̅m structural phases. The error bars indicate the difference between the onset temperature $T_c$ and the zero-resistance temperature at each pressure in a and b.
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Methods
The sample and electrical probes were prepared by similar method to ref. 2. Angle-dispersive powder XRD measurements were carried out at SPring-8 (beamline BL10XU) with a monochromatic beam of energy ~30.0 keV (λ ~ 0.412–0.414 Å). XRD and electrical resistance were measured simultaneously with the aid of a cryostat28. The diffraction patterns were recorded using an imaging plate with an exposure time between 120 and 300 s. Four-probe electrical measurements were performed with an a.c.-resistance bridge (Linear Research, LR-700). We determined the pressure–volume dependence of β-Po sulfur by means of first-principles calculations based on density functional theory. The Quantum ESPRESSO code29 was used for the calculations, in which the Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof generalized gradient approximation30 and the Vanderbilt ultrasoft pseudopotential31 were employed. The $k$-space integration over the Brillouin zone was performed on a $24 \times 24 \times 24$ grid, and the energy cutoff of the plane wave basis was set at 80 Ry.

Data availability. Raw data were generated at the SPring-8 synchrotron radiation facility (beamline BL10XU). Derived data supporting the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author on request.
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