On the link between rotation, chromospheric activity and Li abundance in subgiant stars
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Abstract. The connection rotation–CaII emission flux–lithium abundance is analyzed for a sample of bona fide subgiant stars, with evolutionary status determined from HIPPARCOS trigonometric parallax measurements and from the Toulouse–Geneva code. The distribution of rotation and CaII emission flux as a function of effective temperature shows a discontinuity located rather around the same spectral type, F8IV. Blueward of this spectral type subgiants have a large spread of values of rotation and CaII flux, whereas stars redward of F8IV show essentially low rotation and low CaII flux. The strength of these declines depends clearly on stellar mass. The abundance of lithium also shows a sudden decrease. For subgiants with mass lower than about 1.2 M☉ the decrease is located later than that in rotation and CaII flux, whereas for masses higher than 1.2 M☉ the decrease in lithium abundance is located around the spectral type F8IV. The discrepancy between the location of the discontinuities of rotation and CaII emission flux and log n(Li) for stars with masses lower than 1.2 M☉ seems to reflect the sensitivity of these phenomena to the mass of the convective envelope. The drop in rotation, which results mostly from a magnetic braking, requires an increase in the mass of the convective envelope less than that required for the decrease in log n(Li). The location of the discontinuity in log n(Li) for stars with masses higher than 1.2 M☉, in the same region of the discontinuities in rotation and CaII emission flux, may also be explained by the behavior of the deepening of the convective envelope. The more massive the star is, the earlier is the increase of the convective envelope. In contrast to the relationship between rotation and CaII flux, which is fairly linear, the relationship between lithium abundance and rotation shows no clear tendency toward linear behavior. Similarly, no clear linear trend is observed in the relationship between lithium abundance and CaII flux. In spite of these facts, subgiants with high lithium content also have high rotation and high CaII emission flux.
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1. Introduction

The study of the influence of stellar rotation on chromospheric activity and on the mixing of light elements in evolved stars has undergone some important advances during the past decade. Several authors have reported a rotation-activity relation for evolved stars based on the linear behavior of the chromospheric flux with stellar rotation (e.g.: Rutten 1987; Rutten and Pylyser 1988; Simon and Drake 1989; Strassmeier et al. 1994; Gunn et al. 1998; Pasquini et al. 2000). For a given spectral type, however, a large spread in the rotation-activity relation is observed, which suggests that rotation might not be the only relevant parameter controlling stellar activity. Indeed, results from Pasquini and Brocato (1992) and Pasquini et al. (2000) have shown that chromospheric activity depends on stellar effective temperature and mass.

A possible connection between rotation and abundance of lithium in evolved stars has also been reported in the literature (e.g.: De Medeiros et al. 1997; do Nascimento et al. 2000; De Medeiros et al. 2000). Subgiant and giant stars with enhanced lithium abundance show also enhanced rotation, in spite of a large spread in the abundances of lithium among the slow rotators. In addition, do Nascimento et al. (2000) have pointed to a discontinuity in the distribution of Li abundances as a function of effective temperature later than the discontinuity in rotation (e.g.: De Medeiros and Mayor 1990). Concerning the link between chromospheric activity and light element abundances, Duncan (1981) and Pasquini et al. (1994) have found a clear tendency of solar G-type stars with enhanced CaII surface flux F(CaII) to have a higher
lithium content. This is consistent with the predictions of standard evolutionary models, according to which, activity and abundance of light elements should depend on stellar surface temperature, metallicity and age. In spite of these important studies showing evidence of a connection between abundance of lithium and rotation and in between chromospheric activity and rotation, in practice, for evolved stars, the mechanisms controlling such connections and their dependence on different stellar parameters like metallicity, mass and age are not yet well established. In this paper, we analyze in parallel the behavior of the chromospheric activity, stellar rotation and lithium abundance along the subgiant branch. In the present approach, the stars are placed in the HR diagram to determine more clearly the location of the discontinuities for these three stellar parameters based on a sample of bona fide subgiants.

2. Working Sample

For this study we have selected a large sample of 121 single stars classified as subgiants in the literature, along the spectral region F, G and K, with rotational velocity, flux of CaII and log \( n(\text{Li}) \) now available. The rotational velocities \( v \sin i \) were taken from De Medeiros and Mayor (1999). By using the CORAVEL spectrometer (Baranne et al. 1979) these authors have determined the projected rotational velocity \( v \sin i \) for a large sample of subgiant and giant stars with a precision of about 1 km s\(^{-1}\) for stars with \( v \sin i \) lower than about 30 km s\(^{-1}\). For higher rotators, the estimations indicate an uncertainty of about 10%. The \( F(\text{CaII}) \) was determined from the CaII H and K line–core emission index \( S_1 \) and \( S_2 \) listed by Rutten (1987), using the procedure of conversion from the emission index \( S_1 \) to flux at the stellar surface \( F(\text{CaII}) \) given by Rutten (1984). The values of log \( n(\text{Li}) \) were taken from Lèbre et al. (1999) and Randich et al. (1999). Readers are referred to these works for discussion on the observational procedure, data reduction and error analysis. Stellar luminosities were determined as follows. First, the apparent visual magnitudes \( m_v \) and trigonometric parallaxes, both taken from Hipparcos catalogue (ESA 1997), were combined to yield the absolute visual magnitude \( M_v \). Bolometric correction \( B_C \), computed from Flower (1996) calibration, was applied giving the bolometric magnitude which was finally converted into stellar luminosity. The effective temperature was computed using Flower (1996) \( (B-V) \) versus \( T_{\text{eff}} \) calibration. The rotational velocity \( v \sin i \), stellar surface flux \( F(\text{CaII}) \), abundance of lithium log \( n(\text{Li}) \)
and stellar parameters of the entire sample are presented in Table 1.

3. Results

3.1. The discontinuity in Rotation, CaII emission Flux and Li abundance

As a first step, the stellar luminosity and the effective temperature listed in Table 1 were used to construct the HR diagram to better locate the evolutionary stage of the stars in the sample. In fact, such a procedure seems important because in preceding studies on the link between rotation and chromospheric activity in subgiant stars, only the spectral type was used as a criterion for identifying the stars. Evolutionary tracks were computed from the Toulouse–Geneva code for stellar masses between 1 and 4 M☉, for metallicity consistent with solar–type subgiant stars (see do Nascimento et al 2000 for a more detailed description). Here, in particular, we use the evolutionary tracks computed with solar metallicity because most of the stars in the present sample have [F e/H] ≈ 0. The HR diagram with the evolutionary tracks is displayed in Figs. 1, 2 and 3, which in addition show the behavior of the rotational velocity $v\sin i$, surface flux CaII and log n(Li) abundance respectively. In these diagrams the dashed line indicates the evolutionary region where the subgiant branch starts, corresponding to hydrogen exhaustion in stellar central regions, whereas the dotted line represents the beginning of the ascent of the red giant branch. One observes, clearly, that most of the stars in the present sample are effectively subgiants. Nevertheless a small number of stars located in particular on the cool side of the diagrams are rather stars evolving along the red giant branch. In this context, for the purpose of the present analysis, these deviating stars will not be considered as subgiants, in spite of the spectral types assigned in the literature.

Figure 1 shows the well established rotational discontinuity around the spectral type F8IV (e.g.: De Medeiros and Mayor 1990), corresponding to $(B - V) \approx 0.55$ (log $T_{\text{eff}}$ $\approx$ 3.78). As shown by these authors, single subgiants blueward of this spectral type show a wide range of rotational velocities from a few km s$^{-1}$ to about one hundred times the solar rotation, whereas subgiants redward of F8IV are essentially slow rotators, except for the synchronized binary systems. Fig. 1 shows clearly that single subgiants redward of the discontinuity with high $v\sin i$ are unusual. The root cause for such a discontinuity seems to be a strong magnetic braking associated with the rapid increase of the moment of inertia, due to evolutionary expansion, once the star evolves along the late F
spectral region (e.g. Gray and Nagar 1985; De Medeiros and Mayor 1990).

Figure 2 shows clear evidence of a discontinuity in the surface flux $F(CaII)$ paralleling the one observed in rotational velocity. In fact, such a sudden decrease in CaII flux of subgiants also parallels that in CIV emission flux found by Simon and Drake (1989). Stars with typical subgiant masses showing the highest CaII flux are located blueward of this discontinuity. Such a drop in the surface chromospheric flux is interpreted by Simon and Drake (1989) as the result of the drop in rotation near the spectral type G0IV. According to these authors, there is a development of a dynamo in late F stars, which induces a strong magnetic braking in a preexisting wind that acts on the outermost layers of the stellar surface. As a consequence the stellar surface will spin down.

Figure 3 shows the behavior of the lithium abundance, with a sudden decrease in $\log n(Li)$ subgiant stars with mass lower than about $1.2 \, M_\odot$, located a somewhat later than the discontinuity in rotation and in surface $F(CaII)$. Evidence for this decrease in $\log n(Li)$ was first pointed out by do Nascimento et al. (2000). According to these authors, such a drop in $\log n(Li)$ abundances of subgiants seems to result from the rapid increase of the convective envelope at the late F evolutionary stage. Due to the convective mixing process, Li–rich surface material is diluted towards the stellar interior. For higher masses, the drop in $\log n(Li)$ shows a tendency to parallel the discontinuities in $v\sin i$ and $F(CaII)$, near F8IV, corresponding to $(B - V) \approx 0.55$ ($T_{eff} \sim 3.78$).

An additional trend is present in Figs. 1 and 2, which show that the fastest rotators and those subgiants with the highest CaII emission flux, namely the stars bluward of F8IV, are mostly stars with mass higher than about 1.2 $M_\odot$. Subgiants with mass lower than about 1.2 $M_\odot$ show moderate to low rotation as well as moderate to low surface $F(CaII)$. In the region blueward of F8IV, the abundances of lithium show a more complex behavior for stars with masses between 1.2 and 1.5 $M_\odot$. Fig. 3 shows a number of stars in this mass interval with low to moderate $\log n(Li)$. Such a fact appears to reflect the so-called dip region observed by Boesgaard & Tripicco (1986).

3.2. The relation $Rotation - F(CaII) - \log n(Li)$

As a second step of this study we have analyzed the direct relationship between rotation, $F(CaII)$ and $\log n(Li)$ for the stars of the sample. Figure 4 shows the surface $F(CaII)$ versus the rotational velocity $v\sin i$, where stars are separated by intervals of $(B - V)$. Stars earlier than the rotational discontinuity, typically those with $(B - V) \leq 0.55$, are represented by open circles, solid circles stand for stars with $0.55 < (B - V) \leq 0.75$, triangles stand for stars with $0.75 < (B - V) \leq 0.95$ and squares represent stars with $(B - V) > 0.95$. The well established correlation between rotation and chromospheric emission flux (e.g. Simon and Drake 1989), here represented by the
Figure 7. The $F(CaII)$ versus the Rossby number $R_0$. The Symbols are defined as in Fig. 4.

The level of dilution of lithium depends strongly on the level of convection. In this context it sounds interesting to analyse the behavior of lithium abundance as a function of the deepening of the convective zone for the present sample of stars. For this purpose we have estimated the mass of the convective zone $M_{CZ}$ from an iterated function $M_{CZ} = M_\ast \left( \frac{T_{eff}}{40000} \right)^{0.5}$, with two clear different features. For stars with $(B-V) > 0.55$ the correlation of chromospheric activity, given by $F(CaII)$, with $R_0$ is significantly better than with rotational velocity, whereas stars with $(B-V) \leq 0.55$ show $F(CaII)$ rather uniformly high and independent of the $R_0$. A similar result was found by Simon and Drake (1989), by analysing the $F(CIV)$ versus $R_0$ relation.

3.4. The behavior of $\log n(Li)$ as a function of the deepening of the convective envelope

The level of convection was estimated indirectly from the rotational period $P_{\text{rot}}$. The convective turnover time $\tau_{\text{conv}}$ was estimated from the relation (Simon and Drake 1989), by analysing the $F(CIV)$ versus rotation. A similar result was found by Simon and Drake (1989), by analysing the $F(CIV)$ versus $R_0$ relation.
The observed discrepancy in the location of the discontinuity in \( \log n(Li) \) in relation to the one for \( v\sin i \) and \( F(CaII) \), as observed from Figs. 1 to 3. The fact that a magnetic braking might operate with very small changes in the mass of the convective envelope is further reinforced by the location of the discontinuity in the \( F(CaII) \) flux at the late F spectral region. Previous studies (e.g.: do Nascimento et al. 2000) show that the development of the convective envelope towards the stellar interior starts at this spectral region, reaching a maximum within the middle to late G spectral region. In short, the drop in \( v\sin i \) and \( F(CaII) \) is earlier than that in \( \log n(Li) \) because, in contrast to the former, this latter requires a large increase in the mass of the convective envelope. Figure 8 shows that Li dilution increases abruptly with the deepening of the convective envelope. In fact, the observed discontinuity in \( \log n(Li) \) seems to be controlled directly by the increasing of the deepening of the convective envelope.

The observed trend for a same location, of the discontinuities in \( v\sin i \) and \( \log n(Li) \) for stars with masses larger than about 1.2 \( M_\odot \) may also be explained by following the behavior of the deepening of the convective envelope. As shown by do Nascimento et al. (2000, see their Fig. 4), the changes in the mass of the convective envelope at a given effective temperature in the range from \( \log T_{eff} \sim 3.75 \) to \( \log T_{eff} \sim 3.68 \), are more important for stars with masses in the increasing sequence of masses from 1.0 \( M_\odot \) to 2.5 \( M_\odot \). The more massive the star is, in this range of masses, the earlier is the increasing of the convective envelope. In this context, a sudden decrease in \( \log n(Li) \) of stars with masses larger than about 1.2 \( M_\odot \), paralleling the rotational discontinuity, should be expected.

The relationship between \( v\sin i \) and surface \( F(CaII) \), as presented in Fig. 4, confirms the results found by other authors for subgiant stars (e.g.: Strassmeier et al. 1994) and for other luminosity classes (Strassmeier et al. 1994; Pasquini et al. 2000). In addition, one observes a trend of increasing scattering in the \( v\sin i \) versus \( F(CaII) \) relation, confirming previous claims that rotation might not be the only relevant parameter controlling chromospheric activity. In this context, Pasquini et al. (2000) have found for giant stars a clear dependence of \( F(CaII) \) flux with a high power of stellar effective temperature, whereas Strassmeier et al. (1994) have found that the CaII flux from the cooler evolved stars depends more strongly upon rotation than the CaII flux from the hotter evolved stars. The behavior of \( F(CaII) \) as a function of the Rossby number \( R_0 \), presented in Fig. 7, shows two clear trends: For stars with \( (B-V) \) larger than about 0.55 the \( F(CaII) \) tends towards a linear correlation with \( R_0 \); stars with \( (B-V) \) lower than about 0.55 show \( F(CaII) \) rather uniformly high and independent of \( R_0 \), pointing for a component of chromospheric activity independent of rotation. Different authors (e.g.: Wolff et al. 1986) suggest that the chromospheres of early F stars may be heated by the shock dissipation of sound waves, rather than by the dynamo process that control the chromospheric activity in G– and K–type stars.
The dependence of lithium abundance upon rotation observed in Fig. 5 exists in the sense that the fastest rotators also have the highest lithium content. Nevertheless, there is no clear linear relation between these two parameters. Fig. 5 also shows a large spread in the Li content at a given $v\sin i$ value, covering at least 2 magnitudes in log $n(Li)$. Such a spread shows a clear tendency to increase with rotation and effective temperature. For $v\sin i$ lower than about 10 km s$^{-1}$, in particular, the log $n(Li)$ values range from about 0.0 to about 3.0. Such a spread was also observed by De Medeiros et al. (1997) and do Nascimento et al. (2000). Finally, the behavior of log $n(Li)$ as a function of CaII emission flux presented in Fig. 6 seems to follow roughly the same trend observed for the relation $v\sin i$ versus log $n(Li)$. Subgiants with high lithium content also show high $F(CaII)$, but there is no clear linear relation between these two parameters.

5. Summary and conclusions

In the search for a better understanding of the influence of stellar rotation on chromospheric activity and lithium dilution, we have analyzed the relationship rotation–CaII emission flux–Li abundance along the subgiant branch, on the basis of a sample of bona fide subgiants, reclassified from HIPPARCOS data. The evolutionary status of all the stars was determined from trigonometric parallax taken from this data base and evolutionary tracks computed from the Geneva–Toulouse code. The distributions of the rotational velocity and of the CaII emission flux show similar behavior. For both parameters we observe a sudden decrease around the spectral type F8IV, confirming previous studies. Nevertheless, the extent of these discontinuities depends on the stellar mass. Stars with masses around 1.5 $M_\odot$ show a more important decrease in rotation and CaII emission flux, than stars with masses lower than about 1.2 $M_\odot$. Clearly, stars blueward of F8IV, with masses higher than 1.2 $M_\odot$, rotate faster and are more active than those with masses lower than about 1.2 $M_\odot$. The distribution of Li abundance versus effective temperature, in spite of a sudden decrease in the late–F region shows a trend for a more complex behavior. First, stars with masses lower than about 1.2 $M_\odot$ show a discontinuity in log $n(Li)$ somewhat later than the discontinuities in rotation and CaII emission flux, whereas stars with higher masses present a decline in log $n(Li)$ rather around the spectral type F8IV. In addition, a group of stars blueward of F8IV with masses between 1.2 and 1.5 $M_\odot$ shows moderate to low log $n(Li)$, which seems to reflect the effects of the so-called Boesgaard–Tipico dip region. The discrepancy in the location of the discontinuities of rotation–CaII emission flux and log $n(Li)$ for stars with masses lower than 1.2 $M_\odot$, seems to be the result of the sensitivity of these phenomena to the mass of the convective envelope. The drop in rotation, resulting mostly from a magnetic braking, requires an increase in the mass of the convective envelope less than that required for the sudden decrease in log $n(Li)$, this later resulting from the dilution due to the rapid increase of the convective envelope. The location of the discontinuity in log $n(Li)$ for stars with masses higher than 1.2 $M_\odot$, in the same region of the discontinuities in rotation and CaII emission flux, may also be explained by following the behavior of the deepening of the convective envelope. The more massive the star is, the earlier is the increase of the convective envelope. The present work confirms that the dilution of Li depends strongly on the deepening of the convective envelope.

The relationship between rotation and CaII emission flux confirms previous results found by other authors. CaII emission flux shows a correlation with rotation. Nevertheless, the large spread in the CaII flux–$v\sin i$ relation reinforces previous suggestions that rotation might not be the only relevant parameter controlling stellar chromospheric activity. In fact, the relation $F(CaII)$ versus Rossby number confirms that chromospheric activity of subgiant stars with (B–V) larger than about 0.55 depends rather linearly on rotation, whereas for stars with (B–V) lower than about 0.55 activity is rather independent of rotation. The relationship between log $n(Li)$ and rotation shows a behavior less clear than that between CaII flux and rotation. Of course the present study confirms a dependence of lithium abundance upon rotation, in the sense that stars with the high rotation have also high lithium content. In spite of this fact, there is no clear linear relationship between these two parameters, with a spread more important than that observed in the $F(CaII)$–$v\sin i$ relation. The behavior of the relationship between lithium abundance and CaII emission flux seems to follow that observed for log $n(Li)$–$v\sin i$. Stars with the high activity also show high lithium content. In both cases there is a remarkable increase in scattering in the log $n(Li)$–$v\sin i$ and log $n(Li)$–CaII flux relations with increasing $v\sin i$ and CaII flux, respectively. Such a fact appears to indicate that the influence of rotation on stellar activity is greater than on lithium dilution. Finally, the present study point to a pressing need for new measurements of chromospheric emission flux and lithium abundance for an homogeneous and larger sample of bona fide subgiant stars, with a larger range of metallicities, than that analyzed here. With these additional data it will be possible to analyze the influence of rotation upon activity and lithium dilution on a more solid basis, taking into account the stellar age and metallicity.
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Table 1. The stars of the present working sample with their physical parameters

| HD    | ST   | log(L/Lo) | $T_{\text{eff}}$ | $v\sin i$ | $F(\text{CaII})$ | log $n(\text{Li})$ |
|-------|------|-----------|------------------|-----------|------------------|------------------|
| 400   | F8IV | 0.45      | 6265             | 5.6       | 6.635            | 2.30$^a$         |
| 645   | K0IV | 1.33      | 4844             | 1.8       | 5.551            | 0.50$^a$         |
| 905   | F0IV | 0.68      | 7059             | 31.6      | 7.137            |                  |
| 3229  | F5IV | 1.00      | 6524             | 5.0       | 6.932            | 1.30$^a$         |
| 4744  | G8IV | 1.49      | 4724             | 3.4       | 5.326            |                  |
| 4813  | F7IV-V| 0.21     | 6223             | 3.9       | 6.658            | 2.80$^a$         |
| 5268  | G5IV | 1.68      | 5024             | 1.9       | 5.646            | 0.40$^a$         |
| 5286  | K1IV | 1.04      | 4821             | 1.6       | 5.573            |                  |
| 6301  | F7IV-V| 0.65     | 6528             | 20.3      | 6.829            | 1.00$^a$         |
| 6680  | G1IV | 0.63      | 6735             | 36.4      | 7.086            |                  |
| 8799  | F5IV | 0.85      | 6628             | 65.9      | 6.934            |                  |
| 9562  | G2IV | 0.57      | 5755             | 4.2       | 6.327            | 2.40$^a$         |
| 11151 | F5IV | 0.80      | 6637             | 34.0      | 6.834            |                  |
| 12235 | G2IV | 0.54      | 5855             | 5.2       | 6.423            | 1.30$^a$         |
| 13421 | G0IV | 0.91      | 6006             | 9.9       | 6.415            | 1.30$^a$         |
| 13871 | F6IV-V| 0.77     | 6546             | 9.1       | 6.763            |                  |
| 16141 | G5IV | 0.31      | 5653             | 2.3       | 6.269            |                  |
| 18262 | F7IV | 0.80      | 6375             | 9.9       | 6.621            | 2.10$^b$         |
| 18404 | F5IV | 0.57      | 6656             | 24.7      | 6.902            |                  |
| 20618 | G8IV | 1.22      | 5137             | 1.0       | 5.984            |                  |
| 23249 | K0IV | 0.51      | 5015             | 1.0       | 5.770            | 0.90$^b$         |
| 25621 | F6IV | 0.83      | 6261             | 15.3      | 6.758            | 3.01$^b$         |
| 26913 | G5IV | -0.20     | 5621             | 3.9       | 6.646            | 2.20$^a$         |
| 26923 | G0IV | 0.03      | 6002             | 4.3       | 6.712            | 2.80$^a$         |
| 29859 | F7IV-V| 0.83     | 6103             | 9.0       | 6.457            |                  |
| 30912 | F2IV | 1.56      | 6877             | 155$^f$   | 6.914            |                  |
| 33021 | G1IV | 0.36      | 5803             | 2.0       | 6.357            | 2.00$^a$         |
| 34180 | F0IV | 0.74      | 6721             | 80$^f$    | 7.015            |                  |
| 34411 | G2IV-V| 0.25     | 5785             | 1.9       | 6.360            | 2.00$^a$         |
| 37788 | F0IV | 0.92      | 7160             | 31.2      | 7.196            |                  |
| 38981 | G5IV | 0.18      | 5718             | 1.4       | 6.329            |                  |
| 43386 | F3IV-V| 0.45     | 6582             | 18.8      | 6.927            | 2.30$^b$         |
| 53329 | G8IV | 1.73      | 5028             | 1.3       | 5.702            |                  |
| 57749 | F3IV | 2.43      | 6955             | 40$^f$    | 6.759            |                  |
| 60532 | F6IV | 0.94      | 6195             | 8.1       | 6.590            | 1.60$^a$         |
| 64685 | F2IV | 0.70      | 6873             | 67.2      | 7.087            |                  |
| 66011 | G0IV | 0.97      | 6002             | 13.6      | 6.489            | 1.20$^a$         |
| 71952 | K0IV | 1.11      | 4828             | 1.0       | 5.520            |                  |
| 73017 | G8IV | 1.50      | 4915             | 1.2       | 5.618            |                  |
| 73593 | G0IV | 1.38      | 4857             | 1.0       | 5.561            |                  |
| 76291 | K1IV | 1.50      | 4614             | 1.2       | 5.282            |                  |
| 78154 | F7IV-V| 0.59     | 6328             | 5.8       | 6.600            | 1.10$^a$         |
| 81937 | F0IV | 1.15      | 6916             | 145$^f$   | 7.084            |                  |
| 82074 | G6IV | 0.95      | 5188             | 2.1       | 5.951            | 0.30$^a$         |
| 82328 | F6IV | 0.88      | 6388             | 8.3       | 6.751            | 3.30$^a$         |
| 82734 | K0IV | 2.06      | 4800             | 3.8       | 5.413            | 1.10$^a$         |
| 84117 | F9IV | 0.27      | 6142             | 5.6       | 6.627            | 2.50$^b$         |
| 89449 | F6IV | 0.63      | 6488             | 17.3      | 6.763            | 1.30$^a$         |
| 92588 | K1IV | 0.57      | 5091             | 1.0       | 5.863            | 1.00$^a$         |
| 94386 | K3IV | 1.36      | 4525             | 1.0       | 5.133            | 0.20$^a$         |
| 99028 | F2IV | 1.05      | 6619             | 16.0      | 7.015            | 3.25$^b$         |
| 99329 | F3IV | 0.91      | 6989             | 130$^f$   | 7.186            |                  |
| 99491 | K0IV | -0.14     | 5338             | 2.6       | 6.206            | 1.40$^a$         |

Sources: a – Lèbre et al. (1999); b – De Medeiros et al. (1997); c – Randich et al. (1999); f – Uesugi and Fukuda (1982);
Table 1. Continued. The stars of the present working sample with their physical parameters

| HD     | ST     | log(L/Lo) | T$_{eff}$ | v sin i | F(CaII) | log n(Li) |
|--------|--------|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|-----------|
| 104055 | K2IV   | 2.22      | 4388      | 2.0     | 5.003   | 0.20$^a$  |
| 104304 | K0IV   | -0.04     | 5387      | 2.0     | 6.127   | 0.90$^a$  |
| 105678 | F6IV   | 1.08      | 6236      | 29.6    | 6.766   | 1.60$^a$  |
| 107326 | F0IV   | 0.98      | 7185      | 120     | 7.191   |           |
| 110834 | F6IV   | 1.27      | 6414      | 145     | 6.880   |           |
| 117361 | F0IV   | 1.09      | 6707      | 85$^f$  | 6.973   |           |
| 119992 | F7IV-V | 0.36      | 6341      | 8.3     | 6.624   | 2.70$^a$  |
| 121146 | K2IV   | 1.52      | 4520      | 1.0     | 5.116   |           |
| 123255 | F2IV   | 1.17      | 6980      | 140$^f$ | 7.191   |           |
| 124570 | F6IV   | 0.73      | 6130      | 5.6     | 6.494   | 2.80$^a$  |
| 125111 | F2IV   | 0.69      | 6839      | 9.3     | 7.075   |           |
| 125184 | F6IV   | 0.73      | 6130      | 5.6     | 6.494   | 2.80$^a$  |
| 125538 | G9IV   | 1.79      | 4731      | 1.0     | 5.363   |           |
| 126934 | F1IV   | 0.99      | 6873      | 80$^f$  | 7.078   |           |
| 127243 | G3IV   | 1.71      | 5128      | 3.6     | 5.802   | 0.60$^a$  |
| 127739 | F2IV   | 0.94      | 6706      | 68.0    | 6.991   |           |
| 130945 | F7IV-V | 0.45      | 6596      | 45.5    | 6.954   |           |
| 133484 | F6IV   | 0.77      | 6502      | 21.2    | 6.786   | 2.70$^a$  |
| 136064 | F9IV   | 0.65      | 6079      | 5.0     | 6.511   | 2.00$^a$  |
| 145148 | K0IV   | 0.72      | 6592      | 85$^f$  | 6.943   |           |
| 150012 | F5IV   | 1.05      | 6732      | 37.0    | 6.968   | 2.50$^a$  |
| 154160 | G5IV   | 0.47      | 5360      | 1.2     | 5.856   | 1.60$^a$  |
| 154417 | F8.5IV-V | 0.13   | 5972      | 5.9     | 6.723   |           |
| 156997 | F0-2IV-Vn | 1.56  | 6782      | 160$^f$ | 6.931   |           |
| 157347 | G3IV   | 0.69      | 5972      | 4.9     | 6.468   | 0.80$^a$  |
| 157853 | F8IV   | 0.79      | 5511      | 3.2     | 6.488   | 2.20$^a$  |
| 158170 | F5IV   | 1.28      | 6002      | 8.0     | 6.587   | 1.20$^a$  |
| 161797 | G5IV   | 0.43      | 5414      | 1.7     | 6.109   | 1.10$^a$  |
| 162917 | F5IV-V | 0.74      | 6568      | 12.9    | 6.795   | 2.60$^a$  |
| 162917 | F5IV-V | 0.74      | 6568      | 12.9    | 6.795   | 2.60$^a$  |
| 162917 | F5IV-V | 0.74      | 6568      | 12.9    | 6.795   | 2.60$^a$  |
| 162917 | F5IV-V | 0.74      | 6568      | 12.9    | 6.795   | 2.60$^a$  |
| 162917 | F5IV-V | 0.74      | 6568      | 12.9    | 6.795   | 2.60$^a$  |
| 162917 | F5IV-V | 0.74      | 6568      | 12.9    | 6.795   | 2.60$^a$  |
| 162917 | F5IV-V | 0.74      | 6568      | 12.9    | 6.795   | 2.60$^a$  |
| 162917 | F5IV-V | 0.74      | 6568      | 12.9    | 6.795   | 2.60$^a$  |
| 162917 | F5IV-V | 0.74      | 6568      | 12.9    | 6.795   | 2.60$^a$  |
| 162917 | F5IV-V | 0.74      | 6568      | 12.9    | 6.795   | 2.60$^a$  |
| 162917 | F5IV-V | 0.74      | 6568      | 12.9    | 6.795   | 2.60$^a$  |
| 162917 | F5IV-V | 0.74      | 6568      | 12.9    | 6.795   | 2.60$^a$  |

Sources: $^a$ – Lèbre et al. (1999); $^b$ – De Medeiros et al. (1997); $^c$ – Randich et al. (1999); $^f$ – Uesugi and Fukuda (1982);
Table 1. Continued. The stars of the present working sample with their physical parameters

| HD     | ST    | log(L/Lo) | $T_{\text{eff}}$ | $v \sin i$ | $F(\text{CaII})$ | log $n(\text{Li})$ |
|--------|-------|-----------|------------------|------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| 205852 | F1IV  | 1.68      | 7109            | 180        |                   | 7.127             |
| 205878 | F6IV-Vvw | 0.56   | 6605           | 7.2        |                   | 6.770             |
| 208703 | F5IV  | 0.84      | 6829           | 15.4       |                   | 7.078             |
| 210210 | F1IV  | 1.31      | 7160           | 80         |                   | 7.089             |
| 212487 | F5IV  | 0.85      | 6345           | 8.8        |                   | 6.582             |
| 216385 | F7IV  | 0.68      | 6336           | 5.9        |                   | 6.610             |
| 218101 | G8IV  | 0.64      | 5078           | 1.1        |                   | 6.096             |
| 219291 | F6IVw | 1.43      | 6506           | 53.1       |                   | 6.944             |
| 223421 | F2IV  | 1.11      | 6688           | 66.6       |                   | 7.001             |
| 224617 | F4IV  | 1.29      | 6637           | 49.9       |                   | 6.913             |

Sources: a – Lèbre et al. (1999); b – De Medeiros et al. (1997); c – Randich et al. (1999); f – Uesugi and Fukuda (1982);