DEFORMATIONS OF AFFINE VARIETIES AND THE DELIGNE CROSSED GROUPOID

AMNON YEKUTIELI

Abstract. Let $X$ be a smooth affine algebraic variety over a field $K$ of characteristic 0, and let $R$ be a complete parameter $K$-algebra (e.g. $R = K[[\hbar]]$). We consider associative (resp. Poisson) $R$-deformations of the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X$. The set of $R$-deformations has a crossed groupoid (i.e. strict 2-groupoid) structure. Our main result is that there is a canonical equivalence of crossed groupoids from the Deligne crossed groupoid of normalized polydifferential operators (resp. polyderivations) of $X$ to the crossed groupoid of associative (resp. Poisson) $R$-deformations of $\mathcal{O}_X$. The proof relies on a careful study of adically complete sheaves. In the associative case we also have to use ring theory (Ore localizations) and the properties of the Hochschild cochain complex.

The results of this paper extend previous work by various authors. They are needed for our work on twisted deformation quantization of algebraic varieties.

0. Introduction

A crossed groupoid (or strict 2-groupoid)

$$\mathcal{P} = (P_1, P_2, \text{Ad}_{P_1 \rightarrow P_2}, D)$$

consists of groupoids $P_1$ and $P_2$, such that $\text{Ob}(P_1) = \text{Ob}(P_2)$, and $P_2$ is totally disconnected; an action $\text{Ad}_{P_1 \rightarrow P_2}$ of $P_1$ on $P_2$ called the twisting; and a morphism of groupoids (i.e. a functor) $D : P_2 \to P_1$ called the feedback. There are certain conditions – see Definition 1.2 for full details. If $\mathcal{P}$ has only one object, then it is a crossed module. The morphisms in the groupoid $P_i$ are called $i$-morphisms.
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Suppose $P'$ is another crossed groupoid. A morphism of crossed groupoids $\Phi : P \to P'$ is a pair of groupoid morphisms $\Phi_i : P_i \to P'_i$, $i = 1, 2$, that are equal on objects, and respect the twistings and the feedbacks. We say that $\Phi$ is an equivalence if $\Phi_1$ is an equivalence (in the usual sense: essentially surjective on objects and fully faithful), and $\Phi_2$ is fully faithful.

Let $\mathbb{K}$ be a field of characteristic 0. A parameter $\mathbb{K}$-algebra is a complete local noetherian commutative $\mathbb{K}$-algebra $R$, with maximal ideal $m$ and residue field $R/m = \mathbb{K}$. The important example is $R = \mathbb{K}[[h]]$, the ring of formal power series in a variable $h$. For $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we let $R_i := R/m^{i+1}$. So $R_0 = \mathbb{K}$.

Let $X$ be a smooth algebraic variety over $\mathbb{K}$. An associative $R$-deformation of $\mathcal{O}_X$ is a sheaf $\mathcal{A}$ of flat $m$-adically complete associative unital $R$-algebras on $X$, with an isomorphism $\mathbb{K} \otimes_R \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{O}_X$ of $\mathbb{K}$-algebras, called an augmentation. A Poisson $R$-deformation of $\mathcal{O}_X$ is a sheaf $\mathcal{A}$ of flat $m$-adically complete Poisson commutative $R$-algebras on $X$, with an augmentation $\mathbb{K} \otimes_R \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{O}_X$. A gauge transformation $g : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'$ between $R$-deformations (of the same kind) is an isomorphism of $R$-algebras (associative or Poisson) that commutes with the augmentations. Similarly, for a commutative $\mathbb{K}$-algebra $C$ we consider associative and Poisson $R$-deformations of $C$.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an $R$-deformation of $\mathcal{O}_X$. The sheaf $m \mathcal{A}$ is an $m$-adically complete sheaf of pronilpotent Lie $R$-algebras (cf. Theorem 12.3 below). The Lie bracket is either the associative commutator, or the Poisson bracket, as the case may be. There is an associated sheaf of pronilpotent groups $IG(\mathcal{A}) := \exp(m \mathcal{A})$.

We denote by $AssDef(R, \mathcal{O}_X)$ the set of all associative $R$-deformations of $\mathcal{O}_X$, and by $PoisDef(R, \mathcal{O}_X)$ the set of all Poisson $R$-deformations of $\mathcal{O}_X$. These sets have crossed groupoid structures on them, where the 1-morphisms are the gauge transformations $g : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'$, and the 2-morphisms are the elements of the groups $\Gamma(X, IG(\mathcal{A}))$. See Proposition 5.7. For an open set $U \subset X$ and a homomorphism $R \to R'$ of parameter algebras there is a morphism of crossed groupoids

$$AssDef(R, \mathcal{O}_X) \to AssDef(R', \mathcal{O}_U), \mathcal{A} \mapsto (R' \otimes_R \mathcal{A})|_U$$

and likewise for Poisson deformations.

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a quantum type DG Lie $\mathbb{K}$-algebra, i.e. $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{i \geq -1} \mathfrak{g}^i$. There is an induced Lie $R$-algebra $m \mathfrak{g} := \bigoplus_{i \geq -1} m \mathfrak{g}^i$. We denote by $MC(m \mathfrak{g})$ the set of solutions of the Maurer-Cartan equation in $m \mathfrak{g}$. The Deligne crossed groupoid $\operatorname{Del}(\mathfrak{g}, R)$ has set of objects $MC(m \mathfrak{g})$, its 1-morphisms are the elements of the gauge group $\exp(m \mathfrak{g}^0)$, and its 2-morphisms are the elements of the groups $\exp(m \mathfrak{g}^0)$. For full details see Definition 6.7.

On the variety $X$ there are sheaves of quantum type DG Lie algebras $\mathcal{T}_{\text{poly},X}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\text{nor},\text{poly},X}$, called the sheaves of polyderivations and normalized polydifferential operators respectively. Given an affine open set $U \subset X$ we obtain quantum type DG Lie algebras $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{T}_{\text{poly},X})$ and $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{D}_{\text{nor},\text{poly},X})$, to which we can apply the Deligne crossed groupoid construction.

The purpose of this paper is to prove:

**Theorem 0.1.** Let $\mathbb{K}$ be a field of characteristic 0, $X$ a smooth algebraic variety over $\mathbb{K}$, $R$ a parameter algebra over $\mathbb{K}$, and $U$ an affine open set in $X$. There are equivalences of crossed groupoids

$$\operatorname{geo} : \operatorname{Del}(\Gamma(U, \mathcal{D}_{\text{nor},\text{poly},X}), R) \to AssDef(R, \mathcal{O}_U)$$

and

$$\operatorname{geo} : \operatorname{Del}(\Gamma(U, \mathcal{T}_{\text{poly},X}), R) \to PoisDef(R, \mathcal{O}_U)$$

which we call geometrization. The equivalences $\operatorname{geo}$ commute with homomorphisms $R \to R'$ of parameter algebras, and with inclusions of affine open sets $U' \to U$. 


Theorem 0.2. Let $X$ be a topological space, $U \subset X$ an open set, $\mathcal{K}$ a field, $(R, m)$ a parameter $\mathcal{K}$-algebra, and $\mathcal{M}$ a sheaf of $R$-modules on $X$. Define $M := \Gamma(U, \mathcal{M})$ and $M_0 := \mathcal{K} \otimes_R M$. Assume that $\mathcal{M}$ is flat over $R$ and $m$-adically complete, $X$ has enough $M_0$-acyclic open sets, and $U$ is $M_0$-acyclic. Then:

1. The $R$-module $M$ is flat and $m$-adically complete.
2. Take any $i \in \mathbb{N}$.
   
   (a) The canonical homomorphism $R_i \otimes_R M \to \Gamma(U, R_i \otimes_R M)$ is bijective.
   (b) The $R$-module $m^i M$ is $m$-adically complete.
   (c) The sheaf of $R$-modules $m^i M$ is $m$-adically complete.
   (d) The canonical homomorphism $m^i M \to \Gamma(U, m^i M)$ is bijective.

This is a combination of Theorem 3.3 and Corollaries 5.6 and 8.8 in the body of the paper. The proofs use results from Ye4.

The second intermediate result also does not require characteristic 0. It is a statement about sheaves of noncommutative rings on algebraic varieties. Suppose $A$ is an $R$-deformation of $\mathcal{O}_X$, and $U \subset X$ is an affine open set. Let $A := \Gamma(U, A)$ and $C := \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X)$. According to Theorem 0.2, the $R$-module $A$ is flat and complete, and $\mathcal{K} \otimes_R A \cong C$; so $A$ is an $R$-deformation of $C$.

Theorem 0.3. Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a field, $X$ an algebraic variety over $\mathcal{K}$, $U$ an affine open set of $X$, and $C := \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$.

1. Let $A$ be an associative $R$-deformation of $C$. Then there exists an associative $R$-deformation $\mathcal{A}_U$ of $\mathcal{O}_U$, together with a gauge transformation of deformations $g : A \to \Gamma(U, A)$.
2. Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}'$ be associative $R$-deformations of $\mathcal{O}_U$, and let $g : \Gamma(U, \mathcal{A}) \to \Gamma(U, \mathcal{A}')$ gauge transformation of deformations of $C$. Then there is a unique gauge transformation of deformations $\tilde{g} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'$ such that $\Gamma(U, \tilde{g}) = g$.

Observe that part (2) implies that the deformation $\mathcal{A}$ in part (1) is unique up to a unique isomorphism.

This result is repeated as Theorem 1.7 in the body of the paper, and proved there. The proof relies on a detailed study of the Ore localizations that are related to associative deformations.

For the third intermediate result we again assume that the base field $\mathcal{K}$ has characteristic 0. Let $C$ be a smooth commutative $\mathcal{K}$ algebra (namely $U := \text{Spec } C$ is a smooth algebraic variety over $\mathcal{K}$). We consider $A := \hat{R} \otimes C$ as an $R$-module, equipped with a distinguished element $1_A := 1_R \otimes 1_C$ and an augmentation $A \to C$. A star product on $A$ is an $R$-bilinear unital associative multiplication $*$, with unit $1_A$, that lifts the original multiplication $c_1 \cdot c_2$ of $C$. Thus $(A, *)$ is an associative $R$-deformation of $C$.

By gauge transformation of the augmented $R$-module $A$ we mean an isomorphism of $R$-modules $g : A \to A$, that commutes with the augmentation to $C$ and fixes
1. Suppose \( \star \) and \( \star' \) are two star products on \( A \). We say that \( g \) is a gauge transformation from \( \star \) to \( \star' \) if

\[
g(a_1 \star a_2) = g(a_1) \star' g(a_2)
\]

for all \( a_1, a_2 \in A \).

A star product \( \star \) is called differential if there is a (unique) element \( \omega \in MC(m \otimes D^{\text{nor}}_{\text{poly}}(C)) \) such that \( c_1 \star c_2 = c_1 \cdot c_2 + \omega(c_1, c_2) \) for all \( c_1, c_2 \in C \). A gauge transformation \( g : A \to A \) is called differential if \( g = \exp(\gamma) \) for some (unique) \( \gamma \in m \otimes D^{\text{nor},0}_{\text{poly}}(C) \). Here \( D^{\text{nor}}_{\text{poly}}(C) := \Gamma(U, D^{\text{nor}}_{\text{poly},U}) \).

**Theorem 0.5.** Let \( \mathbb{K} \) be a field of characteristic 0, \( C \) a smooth \( \mathbb{K} \)-algebra, and \( R \) a parameter \( \mathbb{K} \)-algebra. Consider the augmented \( R \)-module \( A := R \otimes C \) with distinguished element \( 1_A \).

1. Any star product on \( A \) is gauge equivalent to a differential star product. Namely, given a star product \( \star \) on \( A \), there exists a differential star product \( \star' \), and a gauge transformation \( g : A \to A \), such that equation (0.4) holds.

2. Let \( \star \) and \( \star' \) be star products on \( A \), and let \( g \) be a gauge transformation of \( A \) satisfying (0.4). Assume that \( \star \) is a differential star product. The following conditions are equivalent:
   (i) The star product \( \star' \) is also differential.
   (ii) The gauge transformation \( g \) is differential.

This is a combination of Theorems 8.2 and 8.4 in Section 8. It relies on results from [Ye2] on the structure of the DG Lie algebra \( D^{\text{nor}}_{\text{poly}}(C) \). Part (2) was communicated to us by P. Etingof; it is similar to [KS, Proposition 2.2.3].

Let us now discuss how this paper relates to other work in this field. The role of the DG Lie algebras \( T_{\text{poly}} \) and \( D_{\text{poly}} \) in deformation quantization goes back a long time; most notably it figured in the groundbreaking paper [Ko] of M. Kontsevich from 1997. See also the papers [Ko1, CKTB, BGMT, Ye1, VdB] and the references therein.

Complete deformations (i.e. \( R \)-deformations where \( R \) is a complete ring) were not treated properly before, with the exception of the work of M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira, who considered \( R = \mathbb{K}[[h]] \) (see [KS] and other papers). Most authors just dealt with nilpotent deformations (i.e. \( R \) is an artinian ring). Our own work in [Ye1] was flawed in this respect – see [Ye5, Remark 8.14]. Indeed the papers [Ye1] and [Ye5] came into existence to remedy this flaw! The present paper and [Ye6] attempt to provide a correct treatment of complete deformations and their twisted versions.

The differential aspect of associative deformations of smooth affine varieties (Theorem 0.5) was not well-understood previously. In our paper [Ye1] we demanded as a condition that associative deformations should be locally differential (cf. [Ye1, Definition 1.6]). Due to Theorems 0.2 and 0.5 we now know that this condition is redundant. It is interesting to note that in the complex analytic case the question is still open (see [KS, Remark 2.2.7]).

Crossed groupoids (or 2-groupoids) appeared in this subject already in 1994 – see P. Deligne’s letter to L. Breen [De], and Breen’s classification of gerbes in terms of crossed modules [Br]. A more recent use of crossed groupoids to classify stacks on a topological space can be found in [DP]. The papers [DP, G2, BGMT] only treated the Deligne crossed groupoid of a DG Lie algebra. As far as we know there is nothing in prior literature resembling Theorem 0.1, namely giving the equivalence from the Deligne crossed groupoid to the crossed groupoid of geometric origin \( \text{AssDef}(R, \mathcal{O}_X) \) (resp. \( \text{PoisDef}(R, \mathcal{O}_X) \)) – even for nilpotent parameters. As already mentioned, this equivalence is crucial for proving twisted deformation quantization in [Ye6].
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1. Crossed Groupoids

Let $G$ be a groupoid (i.e. a category in which all morphisms are invertible), with a set of objects $\text{Ob}(G)$. Given $\omega, \omega' \in \text{Ob}(G)$ we denote by $G(\omega, \omega) := \text{Hom}_G(\omega, \omega')$, the set of morphisms. We also write $G(\omega) := G(\omega, \omega)$, the automorphism group of the object $\omega$. For $g \in G(\omega, \omega')$ and $h \in G(\omega)$ we let

$$\text{Ad}_G(g)(h) := g \circ h \circ g^{-1} \in G(\omega').$$

Suppose $N$ is another groupoid, such that $\text{Ob}(N) = \text{Ob}(G)$. An action $\Psi$ of $G$ on $N$ is a collection of group isomorphisms $\Psi(g) : N(\omega) \xrightarrow{\sim} N(\omega')$ for all $\omega, \omega' \in \text{Ob}(G)$ and $g \in G(\omega, \omega')$, such that $\Psi(h \circ g) = \Psi(h) \circ \Psi(g)$ whenever $g$ and $h$ are composable, and $\Psi(1_\omega)$ is the identity automorphism of $N(\omega)$. For instance, there is the action $\text{Ad}_G$ of $G$ on itself, described in equation (1.1).

**Definition 1.2.** A crossed groupoid is a structure $G = (G_1, G_2, \text{Ad}_{G_1 \bowtie G_2}, D)$ consisting of:

- Groupoids $G_1$ and $G_2$, such that $G_2$ is totally disconnected, and $\text{Ob}(G_1) = \text{Ob}(G_2)$. We write $\text{Ob}(G) := \text{Ob}(G_1)$.
- An action $\text{Ad}_{G_1 \bowtie G_2}$ of $G_1$ on $G_2$, called the twisting.
- A morphism of groupoids (i.e. a functor) $D : G_2 \to G_1$ called the feedback, which is the identity on objects.

These are the conditions:

(i) The morphism $D$ is $G_1$-equivariant with respect to the actions $\text{Ad}_{G_1 \bowtie G_2}$ and $\text{Ad}_{G_1}$. Namely

$$D(\text{Ad}_{G_1 \bowtie G_2}(g)(a)) = \text{Ad}_{G_1}(g)(D(a))$$

in the group $G_1(\omega')$, for any $\omega, \omega' \in \text{Ob}(G)$, $g \in G_1(\omega, \omega')$ and $a \in G_2(\omega)$.

(ii) For any $\omega \in \text{Ob}(G)$ and $a \in G_2(\omega)$ there is equality

$$\text{Ad}_{G_1 \bowtie G_2}(D(a)) = \text{Ad}_{G_2}(\omega)(a),$$

as automorphisms of the group $G_2(\omega)$.

We sometimes refer to morphisms in the groupoid $G_1$ as 1-morphisms, or as gauge transformations. For an object $\omega \in \text{Ob}(G)$, elements of the group $G_2(\omega)$ are sometimes called 2-morphisms or inner gauge transformations. The groupoid $G_1$ is called the 1-truncation of the crossed groupoid $G$.

**Example 1.3.** Consider any groupoid $G_1$, and let $G_2$ be the associated totally disconnected groupoid (gotten by removing all morphisms between distinct objects) (More generally one can take a normal subgroupoid $N \subset G$, in the sense of Ye3, Definition 3.1), and define $G_2 := N$.) Define the twisting $\text{Ad}_{G_1 \bowtie G_2} := \text{Ad}_{G_1}$, and the feedback $D$ is the inclusion. This is easily seen to be a crossed groupoid.
Let $\text{Grp}$ be the category of groups. For a groupoid $G$ there is a functor
\[(1.4) \quad \text{Aut}_G : G \to \text{Grp}\]
which on objects is $\text{Aut}_G(\omega) := G(\omega)$. For a morphism $g : \omega \to \omega'$ in $G$ the group isomorphism $\text{Aut}_G(g) : \text{Aut}_G(\omega) \to \text{Aut}_G(\omega')$ is $\text{Aut}_G(g) := \text{Ad}_G(g)$, cf. (1.1).

**Proposition 1.5.** Let $G = (G_1, G_2, \text{Ad}_{G_1 \rtimes G_2}, D)$ be a crossed groupoid.

1. For $i \in \text{Ob}(G)$ let $\text{IG}(i) := G_2(i)$, and for $g \in G_1(i,j)$ let $\text{IG}(g) := \text{Ad}_{G_1 \rtimes G_2}(g)$. Then
   \[\text{IG} : G_1 \to \text{Grp}\]
   is a functor.
2. For $i \in \text{Ob}(G)$ and $a \in \text{IG}(i)$ let $\text{ig}(a) := D(a) \in G_1(i)$. Then
   \[\text{ig} : \text{IG} \to \text{Aut}_{G_1}\]
   is a natural transformation of functors $G_1 \to \text{Grp}$.
3. The data $(G_1, G_2, \text{Ad}_{G_1 \rtimes G_2}, D)$ can be recovered from the groupoid $G_1$, the functor $\text{IG} : G_1 \to \text{Grp}$ and the natural transformation $\text{ig} : \text{IG} \to \text{Aut}_{G_1}$.

**Proof.** This is immediate from the definitions. \qed

**Definition 1.6.** Suppose $H = (H_1, H_2, \text{Ad}_{H_1 \rtimes H_2}, D)$ is another crossed groupoid. A morphism of crossed groupoids $\Phi : G \to H$ is a pair of morphisms of groupoids $\Phi_i : G_i \to H_i$, $i = 1, 2$, that are equal on objects, and respect the twistings and the feedbacks.

**Definition 1.7.** A morphism of crossed groupoids $\Phi : G \to H$ is called an equivalence if it satisfies these conditions:

1. $\Phi_1 : G_1 \to H_1$ is an equivalence of groupoids; namely it is essentially surjective on objects, and for every $\omega \in \text{Ob}(G)$ the group homomorphism $\Phi_1(\omega) : G_1(\omega) \to H_1(\Phi(\omega))$ is bijective.
2. For any $\omega \in \text{Ob}(G)$ the group homomorphism $\Phi_2 : G_2(\omega) \to H_2(\Phi(\omega))$ is bijective.

It is easy to see that an equivalence of crossed groupoids $\Phi : G \to H$ admits a quasi-inverse $H \to G$ (we leave it to the reader to spell out what this means).

**Remark 1.8.** A crossed groupoid is better known as a strict 2-groupoid, or a crossed module over a groupoid, or a 2-truncated crossed complex; see [Bw, Ye3]. When $\text{Ob}(G)$ is a singleton then $G$ is just a crossed module.

Traditionally papers used 2-groupoid language to discuss descent (cf. [De] and [BGNT]). In our work we realized that the crossed groupoid language is more effective and natural in this context.

**Remark 1.9.** We ignore issue of set theory (like the size of the set of objects $\text{Ob}(G)$ of a groupoid $G$). The blanket assumptions we rely on are explained in [Ye3, Section 1].

2. Deformations of Algebras

In this section we give the basic definitions and a few initial results.

Here, and in the rest of the paper, we work over a base field $\mathbb{K}$. All algebras are by default $\mathbb{K}$-algebras, and all homomorphism between algebras are over $\mathbb{K}$. For $\mathbb{K}$-modules $M, N$ we write $M \otimes N := M \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} N$ and $\text{Hom}(M, N) := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(M, N)$. By default, associative algebras are assumed to be unital, and commutative algebras are assumed to be associative (and unital). Homomorphisms between unital algebras always preserve units.
Definition 2.1. A parameter $\mathbb{k}$-algebra is a complete local noetherian commutative $\mathbb{k}$-algebra $R$, with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ and residue field $R/\mathfrak{m} = \mathbb{k}$. We sometimes say that $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ is a parameter $\mathbb{k}$-algebra. For $i \geq 0$ we let $R_i := R/\mathfrak{m}^{i+1}$. The $\mathbb{k}$-algebra homomorphism $R \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ is called the augmentation of $R$.

Suppose $(R', \mathfrak{m}')$ is another parameter $\mathbb{k}$-algebra. By homomorphism of parameter algebras we mean a $\mathbb{k}$-algebra homomorphism $\sigma : R \rightarrow R'$.

Note that $R$ can be recovered from $\mathfrak{m}$, since $R = \mathbb{k} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$ as $\mathbb{k}$-modules, with the obvious multiplication. A homomorphism $\sigma : R \rightarrow R'$ necessarily satisfies $\sigma(\mathfrak{m}) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}'$; so letting $R'_i := R'/\mathfrak{m}'^{i+1}$, there is an induced homomorphism $R_i \rightarrow R'_i$.

Example 2.2. The most important parameter algebra in deformation theory is $\mathbb{k}[\![h]\!]$, the ring of formal power series in the variable $h$. A $\mathbb{k}[\![h]\!]$-deformation (see below) is sometimes called a “1-parameter formal deformation”.

Let $M$ be an $R$-module. For any $i \geq 0$ there is a canonical bijection $R_i \otimes_R M \cong M/\mathfrak{m}^{i+1}M$. The $\mathfrak{m}$-adic completion of $M$ is the $R$-module $\hat{M} := \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (R_i \otimes_R M)$. The module $M$ is called $\mathfrak{m}$-adically complete if the canonical homomorphism $M \rightarrow \hat{M}$ is bijective. (Some texts, including [Bo1], would say that “$M$ is separated and complete”.) Since $R$ is noetherian, the $\mathfrak{m}$-adic completion of any $R$-module is $\mathfrak{m}$-adically complete; see [Ye4] Corollary 3.5. (This may be false when $R$ is not noetherian.)

Given a $\mathbb{k}$-module $V$ and an $R$-module $M$, we let $\hat{M} \otimes V := \hat{M} \otimes \hat{V}$, the $\mathfrak{m}$-adic completion of the $R$-module $M \otimes V$.

Definition 2.3. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a parameter $\mathbb{k}$-algebra. An $\mathfrak{m}$-adic system of $R$-modules is a collection $\{M_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $R$-modules, together with a collection $\{\psi_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of homomorphisms $\psi_i : M_{i+1} \rightarrow M_i$.

(i) For every $i$ one has $\mathfrak{m}^{i+1} M_i = 0$. Thus $M_i$ is an $R_i$-module.

(ii) For every $i$ the $R_i$-linear homomorphism $R_i \otimes_{R_{i+1}} M_{i+1} \rightarrow M_i$ induced by $\psi_i$ is an isomorphism.

The following (not so well known) facts will be important for us.

Proposition 2.4. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a parameter $\mathbb{k}$-algebra, and let $M$ be an $R$-module. Define $M_i := R_i \otimes_R M$. The following conditions are equivalent:

(i) The $R$-module $M$ is flat and $\mathfrak{m}$-adically complete.

(ii) There is an $\mathfrak{m}$-adic system of $R$-modules $\{N_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, such that each $N_i$ is flat over $R_i$, and an isomorphism of $R$-modules $M \cong \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} N_i$.

(iii) There is an $\mathfrak{m}$-adic system of $R$-modules $M \cong R \otimes V$ for some $\mathbb{k}$-module $V$.

(iv) The $R$-module $M$ is $\mathfrak{m}$-adically complete, and for every $\mathbb{k}$-linear homomorphism $M_0 \rightarrow M$ splitting the canonical surjection $M \rightarrow M_0$, the induced $R$-linear homomorphism $R \otimes M_0 \rightarrow M$ is bijective.

Moreover, when these conditions hold, the induced homomorphisms $R_i \otimes V \rightarrow M_i \rightarrow N_i$ are bijective for every $i$.

Proof. When $M$ is finitely generated or $\mathfrak{m}$ is nilpotent, the equivalence of conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) is [Bo1] Corollary II.3.2. For the general case we need the results of [Ye4]. The module $R \otimes V$ is the $\mathfrak{m}$-adic completion of the free $R$-module $R \otimes V$; so by [Ye4] Proposition 3.13 the module $R \otimes V$ is $\mathfrak{m}$-adically free [Ye4] Definition 3.11]. Now [Ye4] Corollary 4.5] says that conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) are equivalent.

Since $\mathbb{k}$-linear splittings $M_0 \rightarrow M$ exist, condition (iv) directly implies condition (iii), with $V := M_0$. As for the converse, assume that $M$ is $\mathfrak{m}$-adically free, and take any splitting $M_0 \rightarrow M$. We get an $R$-linear homomorphism $\phi : R \otimes M_0 \rightarrow M$ lifting $1_{M_0}$. By the Complete Nakayama [Ye4 Theorem 2.11], $\phi$ is surjective. Since $M$ is
m-adically free, there is a homomorphism $\psi : M \to R \hat{\otimes} M_0$ that’s a right inverse to $\phi$, i.e. $\phi \circ \psi = 1_M$. But $\psi$ also lifts $1_{M_0}$, so $\psi$ is surjective. We see that $\psi$ is bijective and $\phi = \psi^{-1}$.

The last assertion is a consequence of [Ye4, Theorem 4.3]. □

Suppose $A$ is an $R$-algebra. We say $A$ is m-adically complete, or flat, if it is so as an $R$-module.

**Definition 2.5.** Let $\mathbb{K}$ be a field, $(R, m)$ a parameter $\mathbb{K}$-algebra, and $C$ a commutative $\mathbb{K}$-algebra. An associative $R$-deformation of $C$ is a flat $m$-adically complete associative $R$-algebra $A$, together with a $\mathbb{K}$-algebra isomorphism $\psi : \mathbb{K} \otimes_R A \to C$, called an augmentation.

Given another such deformation $A'$, a gauge transformation $g : A \to A'$ is an $R$-algebra isomorphism that commutes with the augmentations to $C$.

We denote by $\text{AssDef}(R, C)$ the groupoid of associative $R$-deformations of $C$, and gauge transformations between them.

Note that an associative $R$-deformation $A$ of $C$ is a unital algebra (by our conventions), and a gauge transformation $g : A \to A'$ sends the unit $1_A$ to the unit $1_{A'}$.

Due to Proposition 2.4, for any associative $R$-deformation $A$ of $C$ there exists an isomorphism of augmented $R$-modules $R \hat{\otimes} C \xrightarrow{\cong} A$, sending $1_R \otimes 1_C \mapsto 1_A$.

Let $A$ be a commutative $R$-algebra. An $R$-bilinear Poisson bracket on $A$ is an $R$-bilinear function $\{ - , - \} : A \times A \to A$ which is a Lie bracket (i.e. it is antisymmetric and satisfies the Jacobi identity), and also is a derivation in each of its arguments. The pair $(A, \{ - , - \})$ is called a Poisson $R$-algebra. A homomorphism of Poisson $R$-algebras $f : A \to A'$ is an algebra homomorphism that respects the Poisson brackets.

**Definition 2.6.** Let $\mathbb{K}$ be a field, $(R, m)$ a parameter $\mathbb{K}$-algebra, and $C$ a commutative $\mathbb{K}$-algebra. We consider $C$ as a Poisson $\mathbb{K}$-algebra with the zero bracket. A Poisson $R$-deformation of $C$ is a flat $m$-adically complete Poisson $R$-algebra $A$, together with an isomorphism of Poisson $\mathbb{K}$-algebras $\psi : \mathbb{K} \otimes_R A \to C$, called an augmentation.

Given another such deformation $A'$, a gauge transformation $g : A \to A'$ is an $R$-algebra isomorphism that respects the Poisson brackets and commutes with the augmentations to $C$.

We denote by $\text{PoisDef}(R, C)$ the groupoid of Poisson $R$-deformations of $C$, and gauge transformations between them.

**Remark 2.7.** If the ring $C$ is noetherian, then any Poisson or associative $R$-deformation of $C$ is also a (left and right) noetherian ring. See [KS] or [Bo1]. We are not going to need this fact.

Suppose $(R', m')$ is another parameter $\mathbb{K}$-algebra, and $\sigma : R \to R'$ is a $\mathbb{K}$-algebra homomorphism. Given an $R$-module $M$ we let

$$R' \hat{\otimes}_R M := \lim_{\leftarrow i} (R'_i \otimes_R M).$$

This is the $m'$-adic completion of the $R'$-module $R' \otimes_R M$.

**Proposition 2.8.** Let $A$ be an associative (resp. Poisson) $R$-deformation of $C$, let $R'$ be another parameter $\mathbb{K}$-algebra, let $\sigma : R \to R'$ be a $\mathbb{K}$-algebra homomorphism, and let $A' := R' \hat{\otimes}_R A$. Then $A'$ has a unique structure of associative (resp. Poisson) $R'$-deformation of $C$, such that the canonical homomorphism $A \to A'$ is a homomorphism of $R$-algebras (resp. Poisson $R$-algebras).
The elements of $S$ augmentations

In the limit, the $R'$-module $A' = \lim_{\to} A'_i$ has an induced $R'$-bilinear multiplication (resp. Poisson bracket). Thus $A'_i$ is an $R'_i$-deformation of $C$. In the limit, the $R'$-module $A' = \lim_{\to} A'_i$ has an induced $R'$-bilinear multiplication (resp. Poisson bracket). By Proposition 2.4 the $R'$-module $A'$ is flat and $m'$-adically complete; so $A'$ is an $R'$-deformation of $C$. □

Let $C'$ be another commutative $\mathbb{K}$-algebra, and let $\tau : C \to C'$ be a homomorphism. We say that $C'$ is a principal localization of $C$ if there is a $C$-algebra isomorphism $C' \cong C_s = C[s^{-1}]$ for some element $s \in C$.

**Theorem 2.9.** Let $\mathbb{K}$ be a field, $R$ a parameter $\mathbb{K}$-algebra, $C$ a commutative $\mathbb{K}$-algebra, and $A$ a Poisson (resp. associative) $R$-deformation of $C$. Suppose $\tau : C \to C'$ is a principal localization. Then:

1. There exists a Poisson (resp. associative) $R$-deformation $A'$ of $C'$, together with a homomorphism $g : A \to A'$ of Poisson (resp. associative) $R$-algebras which lifts $\tau : C \to C'$.
2. Suppose $\tau' : C' \to C''$ is a homomorphism of commutative $\mathbb{K}$-algebras, $A''$ is a Poisson (resp. associative) $R$-deformation of $C''$, and $h : A \to A''$ is a homomorphism of Poisson (resp. associative) $R$-algebras which lifts $\tau' \circ \tau : C \to C''$. Then there is a unique homomorphism of Poisson (resp. associative) $R$-algebras $g' : A' \to A''$ such that $h = g' \circ g$.

When we say that $g : A \to A'$ lifts $\tau : C \to C'$, we mean relative to the augmentations $A \to C$ and $A' \to C'$. Observe that by part (2), the pair $(A', g)$ in part (1) is unique up to a unique gauge transformation.

For the proof we need the next lemma on Ore localization of noncommutative rings [MR]. Recall that a subset $S$ of a ring $A$ is called a denominator set if it is multiplicatively closed, and satisfies the left and right torsion and Ore conditions. If $S$ is a denominator set, then $A$ can be localized with respect to $S$. Namely there is a ring $A_S$, called the ring of fractions, with a ring homomorphism $A \to A_S$. The elements of $S$ become invertible in $A_S$, and $A_S$ is universal for this property: every element $b \in A_S$ can be written as $b = a_1 s_1^{-1} = s_2^{-1} a_2$, with $a_1, a_2 \in A$ and $s_1, s_2 \in S$; and $A_S$ is flat over $A$ (on both sides).

**Lemma 2.10.** Let $A$ be a ring, with nilpotent two-sided ideal $\mathfrak{a}$. Assume the ring $\text{gr}_s(A) = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathfrak{a}^i/\mathfrak{a}^{i+1}$ is commutative. Let $s$ be some element of $\mathfrak{a}$.

1. The set $\{s^j\}_{j \geq 0}$ is a denominator set in $A$. We denote by $A_s$ the resulting ring of fractions.
2. Let $A := A/\mathfrak{a} = \text{gr}_s^0(A)$, let $\bar{s}$ be the image of $s$ in $\bar{A}$, and let $\mathfrak{a}_s$ be the kernel of the canonical ring surjection $A_s \to A_{\bar{s}}$. Then $\mathfrak{a}_s = aA_s = A_s a$, and this is a nilpotent ideal.
3. Let $a$ be any element of $A$, with image $\bar{a} \in \bar{A}$. Then $a$ is invertible in $A_s$ if and only if $\bar{a}$ is invertible in $A_{\bar{s}}$.

**Proof.** (1) This is a variant of [YZ Corollary 5.18]. We view $A$ as a bimodule over the ring $\mathbb{Z}[s]$. Since the $\mathfrak{a}$-adic filtration is finite, and $\text{gr}_s(A)$ is commutative, it follows from [YZ Lemma 5.9] that $A$ is evenly localizable to $\mathbb{Z}[s, s^{-1}]$. According to [YZ Theorem 5.11] the set $\{s^j\}_{j \geq 0}$ is a denominator set in $A$. Moreover, $A_s \cong A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[s]} \mathbb{Z}[s, s^{-1}]$ as left $A$-modules.

(2) Since $A \to A_s$ is flat it follows that $a_s = aA_s = A_s a$. By induction on $i$ one then shows that $(a_s)^i = a^i A_s$; and hence $a_s$ is nilpotent.

(3) We prove only the nontrivial part. Suppose $\bar{a}$ is invertible in $A_{\bar{s}}$. So $\bar{a} \bar{b} = 1$ for some $b \in A_{\bar{s}}$. Thus $ab = 1 - \epsilon$ in $A_s$, where $\epsilon \in a_s$. Since the ideal $a_s$ is nilpotent,
the element $1 - \epsilon$ is invertible in $A_s$. This proves that $a$ has a right inverse. Similarly for a left inverse.

\textit{Proof of Theorem 2.9} The proof is in several steps.

Step 1. Consider the associative case, and assume $R$ is artinian (i.e. $m$ is nilpotent). Take an element $s \in C$ such that $C' \cong C_s$. Choose some lifting $\tilde{s} \in A$ of $s$. According to Lemma 2.10 there is a ring of fractions $A_\tilde{s}$ of $A$, gotten by inverting $\tilde{s}$ on one side, and $\mathbb{K} \otimes_R A_\tilde{s} \cong C'$. Since $R$ is central in $A$, it is also central in $A_\tilde{s}$. And since $A_\tilde{s}$ is flat over $A$, it is also flat over $R$. We see that $A_\tilde{s}$ is an associative $R$-deformation of $C'$, and the homomorphism $g : A \to A_\tilde{s} \cong C_\tilde{s}$.

Now suppose we are in the situation of part (2). Since $(\tau' \circ \tau)(s)$ is invertible in $C''$, Lemma 2.10(3) says that the element $h(\tilde{s})$ is invertible in $A''$. Therefore there is a unique $A$-ring homomorphism $g' : A_\tilde{s} \to A''$ such that $h = g' \circ g$.

Step 2. $R$ is still artinian, but now we are in the Poisson case. So $A$ is a Poisson $R$-deformation of $C$. From the previous step we obtain a flat commutative $R$-algebra $A'$, such that $\mathbb{K} \otimes_R A' \cong C'$, together with a homomorphism $g : A \to A'$. The pair $(A', g)$ is unique for this property. We have to address the Poisson bracket.

Take an element $\tilde{s} \in A$ like in Step 1; so $A' \cong A_\tilde{s}$. There is a unique biderivation on the commutative ring $A'$ that extends the given Poisson bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ on $A$; it has the usual explicit formula for the derivative of a fraction. And it is straightforward to check that this biderivation is anti-symmetric and satisfies the Jacobi identity. Hence $A'$ becomes a Poisson $R$-deformation of $C'$, uniquely.

In the situation of part (2), we know (from step 1) that there is a unique $A$-algebra homomorphism $g' : A' \to A''$ such that $h = g' \circ g$. The formula for the Poisson bracket on $A'$ shows that $g'$ is a homomorphism of Poisson algebras.

Step 3. Finally we allow $R$ to be noetherian, and look at both cases together. Then $R \cong \lim_{i \to \infty} R_i$, and, letting $A_i := R_i \otimes_R A$, we have $A \cong \lim_{i \to \infty} A_i$. By the previous steps for every $i$ there is an $R_i$-deformation $A'_i$ of $C'$. Due to uniqueness these form an inverse system, and we take $A' := \lim_{i \to \infty} A'_i$. By Proposition 2.4 this is an $R$-deformation of $C'$.

Part (2) is proved similarly by nilpotent approximations. \hfill $\Box$

3. Sheaves of Complete Modules

In this section we present a few results on sheaves of $m$-adically complete $R$-modules on a topological space $X$.

Suppose $U = \{U_k\}_{k \in K}$ is a collection of open sets in $X$. For $k_0, \ldots, k_m \in K$ we write $U_{k_0, \ldots, k_m} := U_{k_0} \cap \cdots \cap U_{k_m}$.

\textbf{Definition 3.1.} Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a sheaf of abelian groups on the topological space $X$.

1. An open set $U \subset X$ will be called $\mathcal{N}$-acyclic if the derived functor sheaf cohomology satisfies $H^i(U, \mathcal{N}) = 0$ for all $i > 0$.

2. Now suppose $U = \{U_k\}_{k \in K}$ is a collection of open sets in $X$. We say that the collection $U$ is $\mathcal{N}$-acyclic if all the finite intersections $U_{k_0, \ldots, k_m}$ are $\mathcal{N}$-acyclic.

3. We say that there are enough $\mathcal{N}$-acyclic open sets if for any open set $U \subset X$, and any open covering $U$ of $U$, there exists an $\mathcal{N}$-acyclic open covering $U'$ of $U$ which refines $U$.

\textbf{Example 3.2.} Here are a few typical examples of a topological space $X$, and a sheaf $\mathcal{N}$, such that there are enough $\mathcal{N}$-acyclic open sets.

1. $X$ is an algebraic variety over a field $\mathbb{K}$ (i.e. an integral finite type separated $\mathbb{K}$-scheme), with structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X$, and $\mathcal{N}$ is a coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-module. Then any collection of affine open sets is $\mathcal{N}$-acyclic.
(2) $X$ is a complex analytic manifold, with structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X$, and $\mathcal{N}$ is a coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-module. Then any collection of Stein open sets is $\mathcal{N}$-acyclic.

(3) $X$ is a differentiable manifold, with structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X$, and $\mathcal{N}$ is any $\mathcal{O}_X$-module. Then any open set is $\mathcal{N}$-acyclic.

(4) $X$ is a differentiable manifold, and $\mathcal{N}$ is a locally constant sheaf of abelian groups. Then any sufficiently small simply connected open set $U$ is $\mathcal{N}$-acyclic.

**Remark 3.3.** For the purposes of this section it suffices to require only the vanishing of $H^1(U, \mathcal{N})$. But considering the examples above, we see that the stronger requirement of acyclicity is not too restrictive. Cf. also [KS].

Let $\mathbb{K}$ be a field and $(R, m)$ a parameter $\mathbb{K}$-algebra. Recall that for $i \geq 0$ we write $R_i := R/m^{i+1}$.

Consider a sheaf $\mathcal{M}$ of $R$-modules on a topological space $X$. Given a ring homomorphism $R \to R'$, the sheaf $R' \otimes_R \mathcal{M}$ is the sheaf associated to the presheaf $U \mapsto R' \otimes_R \Gamma(U, \mathcal{M})$, for open sets $U \subset X$. If $(\mathcal{M}_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an inverse system of sheaves on $X$, then $\lim_{\leftarrow} \mathcal{M}_i$ is the sheaf $U \mapsto \lim_{\leftarrow} \Gamma(U, \mathcal{M}_i)$.

By combining the operations above one defines the $m$-adic completion of the sheaf of $R$-modules $\mathcal{M}$ to be $\widehat{\mathcal{M}} := \lim_{\leftarrow} (R_i \otimes_R \mathcal{M})$. The sheaf $\mathcal{M}$ is called $m$-adically complete if the canonical sheaf homomorphism $\mathcal{M} \to \widehat{\mathcal{M}}$ is an isomorphism.

We define $m^i \mathcal{M}$ to be the sheaf associated to the presheaf $U \mapsto m^i \Gamma(U, \mathcal{M})$ for open sets $U \subset X$; it is a subsheaf of $\mathcal{M}$. Next we define $gr^i_m(\mathcal{M}) := m^i \mathcal{M}/m^{i+1} \mathcal{M}$ and $gr_m(\mathcal{M}) := \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} gr^i_m(\mathcal{M})$. The latter is a sheaf of $gr_m(R)$-modules.

The sheaf $\mathcal{M}$ is called flat if for every point $x \in X$ the stalk $\mathcal{M}_x$ is a flat $R$-module. If $\mathcal{M}$ is flat over $R$, then the canonical sheaf homomorphism $gr_m(R) \otimes gr_m(\mathcal{M}) \to gr_m(\mathcal{M})$ is an isomorphism (cf. [Bo1, Theorem III.5.1]). Note that $gr_m^i(R) = \mathbb{K} \otimes_R \mathcal{M}$.

The reason we need acyclic open sets is this:

**Theorem 3.4** ([Ye4, Theorem 5.6]). Let $\mathbb{K}$ be a field, $(R, m)$ a parameter $\mathbb{K}$-algebra, $X$ a topological space, $U \subset X$ an open set, and $\mathcal{M}$ a sheaf $R$-modules on $X$. Define $\mathcal{M}_i := R_i \otimes_R \mathcal{M}$. We assume that $\mathcal{M}$ is flat over $R$ and $m$-adically complete, and that $U$ is an $\mathcal{M}_0$-acyclic open set. Then the $R$-module $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{M})$ is flat and $m$-adically complete, and for every $i$ the canonical homomorphism

$$R_i \otimes_R \Gamma(U, \mathcal{M}) \to \Gamma(U, \mathcal{M}_i)$$

is bijective.

**Corollary 3.5.** Let $(R, m)$ be a parameter $\mathbb{K}$-algebra, $X$ a topological space, and $\mathcal{N}_0$ a sheaf of $\mathbb{K}$-modules on $X$. Assume that $X$ has enough $\mathcal{N}_0$-acyclic open coverings.

1. The sheaf of $R$-modules $\mathcal{N} := R \otimes \mathcal{N}_0$ is flat and $m$-adically complete.

2. Let $U$ be an $\mathcal{N}_0$-acyclic open set of $X$. Then the canonical homomorphism $R \otimes \Gamma(U, \mathcal{N}_0) \to \Gamma(U, \mathcal{N})$ is bijective.

**Proof.** (1) Since $\mathcal{N} = \lim_{\leftarrow} (R_i \otimes \mathcal{N}_0)$, this follows from [Ye4, Corollary 5.10].

(2) By Theorem 3.4 we know that $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{N})$ is flat and $m$-adically complete, and $\mathbb{K} \otimes_R \Gamma(U, \mathcal{N}) \cong \Gamma(U, \mathcal{N}_0)$. Now use the implication (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) in Proposition 2.3.

**Corollary 3.6.** In the situation of Theorem 3.4, let $\mathcal{M} := \Gamma(U, \mathcal{M})$. Then for any $j \geq 0$ the $R$-module $m^j \mathcal{M}$ is $m$-adically complete, and the canonical homomorphism $m^j \mathcal{M} \to \Gamma(U, m^j \mathcal{M})$ is bijective.

Note that $m^j \mathcal{M}$ is usually not flat over $R$ for $j \geq 1$ (because $m^j$ is usually not a flat $R$-module).
Proof. Let $N_0 := \Gamma(U, \mathcal{M}_0)$. By Theorem 3.4 we know that $M$ is a flat $m$-adically complete $R$-module, and $\mathbb{K} \otimes_R M \cong N_0$. Hence by Proposition 2.4 there is an isomorphism of $R$-modules $M \cong R \otimes N_0$. Under this isomorphism the $R$-module $m^j M$ goes to $m^j \otimes N_0$, which is $m$-adically complete.

For any $i \in \mathbb{N}$ define $M_i := R_i \otimes_R M$. Consider the commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \rightarrow & m^j M \\
\alpha & \downarrow & \downarrow \beta \\
0 & \rightarrow & \Gamma(U, m^j \mathcal{M}) \\
\end{array}
\quad (3.7)
$$

The top row is trivially exact, and the bottom row is exact since $\Gamma(U, -)$ is left exact. The arrow $\beta$ is bijective by Theorem 3.4. We conclude that $\alpha$ is bijective. \qed

Corollary 3.8. In the situation of Theorem 3.4 assume that $X$ has enough $\mathcal{M}_0$-acyclic open sets. Then for any $j \geq 0$ the sheaf of $R$-modules $m^j \mathcal{M}$ is $m$-adically complete.

Proof. Since the $\mathcal{M}_0$-acyclic open sets form a basis of the topology of $X$, it is enough to prove that the canonical homomorphism

$$\Gamma(V, m^j \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \lim_{\leftarrow i} \Gamma(V, R_i \otimes_R m^j \mathcal{M})$$

is bijective for any $\mathcal{M}_0$-acyclic open set $V$.

Define $M := \Gamma(V, M)$ and $M_i := R_i \otimes_R M$. Now $R_i \otimes_R m^j \mathcal{M} \cong m^j M_i$, and by Corollary 3.6 applied to $R_i$ instead of $R$, we know that $\Gamma(V, m^j \mathcal{M}_i) \cong m^j M_i$. But $m^j M_i \cong R_i \otimes_R m^j M$. Since $m^j M$ is $m$-adically complete, it follows that $m^j M \rightarrow \lim_{\leftarrow i} m^j M_i$ is bijective. \qed

An $m$-adic system of $R$-modules on $X$ is the sheaf version of what we have in Definition 2.6.

Proposition 3.9 (Ye1 Corollary 5.10]). Let $\{\mathcal{M}_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an $m$-adic system of $R$-modules on $X$. Assume that $X$ has enough $\mathcal{M}_0$-acyclic open coverings, and that each $\mathcal{M}_i$ is flat over $R_i$. Then $\mathcal{M} := \lim_{\leftarrow i} \mathcal{M}_i$ is a flat and $m$-adically complete sheaf of $R$-modules, and the canonical homomorphisms $R_i \otimes_R \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_i$ are isomorphisms.

Suppose $(R', m')$ is another parameter algebra, and $\sigma : R \rightarrow R'$ is a homomorphism. For a sheaf $\mathcal{M}$ of $R$-modules on $X$ we let

$$R' \otimes_R \mathcal{M} := \lim_{\leftarrow i} (R'_i \otimes_R \mathcal{M}),$$

where $R'_i := R'/m'^{i+1}$.

Corollary 3.11. Let $R$, $X$ and $\mathcal{M}$ be as in Theorem 3.4. Assume that $X$ has enough $\mathcal{M}_0$-acyclic open coverings. Let $R'$ be another parameter algebra, and $R \rightarrow R'$ a homomorphism. Define $\mathcal{M}' := R' \otimes_R \mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}' := R' \otimes_R \mathcal{M}$. Then $\mathcal{M}'$ is a flat and $m'$-adically complete sheaf of $R'$-modules, the canonical homomorphisms $R'_i \otimes_R \mathcal{M}' \rightarrow \mathcal{M}'_i$ are isomorphisms, and $X$ has enough $\mathcal{M}'_0$-acyclic open coverings.

Proof. Apply Proposition 3.9 to the $m'$-adic system of $R'$-modules $\{\mathcal{M}'_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, noting that $\mathcal{M}'_0 = \mathcal{M}_0$ (since $R'_0 = R_0 = \mathbb{K}$). \qed

4. Deformations of Sheaves of Algebras

Let $X$ be a topological space, and $\mathcal{O}_X$ a sheaf of commutative $\mathbb{K}$-algebras on $X$. In this section we define the notions of associative and Poisson $R$-deformations of the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X$, and we establish some properties. We work in the following setup:
Setup 4.1. $\mathbb{K}$ is a field; $(R,m)$ is a parameter $\mathbb{K}$-algebra; $X$ is a topological space; and $\mathcal{O}_X$ is a sheaf of commutative $\mathbb{K}$-algebras on $X$. The assumption is that $X$ has enough $\mathcal{O}_X$-acyclic open sets (see Definition 3.1).

Recall our convention that associative algebras are unital, and commutative algebras are associative (and unital).

Definition 4.2. Assume Setup 4.1. An associative $R$-deformation of $\mathcal{O}_X$ is a sheaf $\mathcal{A}$ of flat $m$-adically complete associative $R$-algebras on $X$, together with an isomorphism of sheaves of $\mathbb{K}$-algebras $\psi : \mathbb{K} \otimes_R A \to \mathcal{O}_X$, called an augmentation.

Suppose $\mathcal{A}'$ is another associative $R$-deformation of $\mathcal{O}_X$. A gauge transformation $g : A \to A'$ is an isomorphism of sheaves of $R$-algebras that commutes with the augmentations to $\mathcal{O}_X$.

We denote by $\text{AssDef}(R, \mathcal{O}_X)$ the groupoid whose objects are the associative $R$-deformations of $\mathcal{O}_X$, and the morphisms are the gauge transformations.

Remark 4.3. Suppose $\text{char}\mathbb{K} = 0$, $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is a smooth algebraic variety over $\mathbb{K}$, and $R = \mathbb{K}[[h]]$. In our earlier paper [Ye1] we referred to an associative $R$-deformation of $\mathcal{O}_X$ as a “deformation quantization of $\mathcal{O}_X$”. In retrospect this name seems inappropriate, and hence the new name used here.

Another, more substantial, change is that in [Ye1] Definition 1.6] we required that the associative deformation $\mathcal{A}$ shall be endowed with a differential structure. This turns out to be redundant – see Remark 9.7.

Definition 4.4. Assume Setup 4.1. We view $\mathcal{O}_X$ as a sheaf of Poisson $\mathbb{K}$-algebras with the zero bracket. A Poisson $R$-deformation of $\mathcal{O}_X$ is a sheaf $\mathcal{A}$ of flat $m$-adically complete commutative Poisson $R$-algebras on $X$, together with an isomorphism of Poisson $\mathbb{K}$-algebras $\psi : \mathbb{K} \otimes_R A \to \mathcal{O}_X$, called an augmentation.

Suppose $\mathcal{A}'$ is another Poisson $R$-deformation of $\mathcal{O}_X$. A gauge transformation $g : A \to A'$ is an isomorphism of sheaves of Poisson $R$-algebras that commutes with the augmentations to $\mathcal{O}_X$.

We denote by $\text{PoisDef}(R, \mathcal{O}_X)$ the groupoid whose objects are the Poisson $R$-deformations of $\mathcal{O}_X$, and the morphisms are the gauge transformations.

Proposition 4.5. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Poisson (resp. associative) $R$-deformation of $\mathcal{O}_X$, and let $U$ be an $\mathcal{O}_X$-acyclic open set of $X$. Then $A := \Gamma(U, \mathcal{A})$ is a Poisson (resp. associative) $R$-deformation of $C := \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X)$.

Proof. By Theorem 3.4 $A$ is a flat $m$-adically complete $R$-algebra, and the homomorphism $\mathbb{K} \otimes_R A \to C$ is bijective. □

Proposition 4.6. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Poisson (resp. associative) $R$-deformation of $\mathcal{O}_X$, let $R'$ be another parameter $\mathbb{K}$-algebra, and let $\sigma : R \to R'$ a $\mathbb{K}$-algebra homomorphism. Define $\mathcal{A}' := R' \otimes_R A$. Then $\mathcal{A}'$ is a Poisson (resp. associative) $R'$-deformation of $\mathcal{O}_X$.

Proof. The sheaf $\mathcal{A}'$ has an induced $R'$-bilinear Poisson bracket (resp. multiplication). By Corollary 3.11 the sheaf of $R'$-modules $\mathcal{A}'$ is flat and $m'$-adically complete, and the the canonical homomorphism $\mathbb{K} \otimes_{R'} \mathcal{A}' \to \mathcal{O}_X$ is an isomorphism. □

Here is a converse to Proposition 4.5 in the affine algebro-geometric setting.

Theorem 4.7. Let $X$ be a smooth algebraic variety over $\mathbb{K}$, let $U$ be an affine open set of $X$, and let $C := \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$.

(1) Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Poisson (resp. associative) $R$-deformation of $C$. Then there exists a Poisson (resp. associative) $R$-deformation $\mathcal{A}$ of $\mathcal{O}_U$, together with a gauge transformation of deformations $g : A \to \Gamma(U, \mathcal{A})$. 


(2) Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}'$ be Poisson (resp. associative) $R$-deformations of $\mathcal{O}_U$, and let $h : \Gamma(U, \mathcal{A}) \to \Gamma(U, \mathcal{A}')$ be a gauge transformation of deformations. Then there is a unique gauge transformation of deformations $h : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'$ such that $\Gamma(U, \tilde{h}) = h$.

Note that part (2) implies that the pair $(\mathcal{A}, g)$ of part (1) is unique up to a unique gauge transformation.

**Proof.** The proof is in several steps.

Step 1. Assume $R$ is artinian. For an element $s \in C$ we denote by $U_s$ the affine open set $\{ x \in U \mid s(x) \neq 0 \}$, and call it a principal open set. Note that $\Gamma(U_s, \mathcal{O}_U) \cong C_s$. By Theorem 2.9 there is a deformation $A_s$ of $C_s$ unique up to a unique gauge transformation.

Now suppose $t$ is another element of $C$, and $U_t \subset U_s$. Then we have $\mathbb{K}$-algebra homomorphisms $C \to C_s \to C_t$. Again by Theorem 2.9 there is a unique homomorphism of Poisson (resp. associative) $R$-algebras $A_s \to A_t$ that’s compatible with the homomorphisms from $A$.

By this process we obtain a presheaf of Poisson (resp. associative) $R$-algebras on the principal open sets of $U$. Since these open sets are a basis of the topology of $U$, according to [EGA I, Chapter 0, Section 3.2.1] this gives rise to a presheaf $\mathcal{A}$ of Poisson (resp. associative) $R$-algebras on $U$, such that $\Gamma(U_s, \mathcal{A}) = A_s$ for every principal open set $U_s$.

In order to show that $\mathcal{A}$ is a sheaf, it suffices (by [EGA I, Chapter 0, Section 3.2.2]) to prove that for any principal open set $U_s$, and any finite covering $U_s = \bigcup_{k \in \mathcal{K}} U_{t_k}$ of $U_s$ by principal open sets, the sequence of $R$-modules

\[(4.8) \quad 0 \to A_s \to \prod_{k_0 \in \mathcal{K}} A_{t_{k_0}} \to \prod_{k_0, k_1 \in \mathcal{K}} A_{t_{k_0} t_{k_1}} \]

is exact. (Note that $U_{t_{k_0}} \cap U_{t_{k_1}} = U_{t_{k_0} t_{k_1}}$.) Let us write $R_i := R/m^{i+1}$ as usual; so $R_0 = \mathbb{K}$, and $R_i = R$ for sufficiently large $i$. We will prove that the sequence gotten from (4.8) by the operation $R_i \otimes_R -$ is exact, by induction on $i$. For $i = 0$ we have $R_0 \otimes_R A_t = C_t = \Gamma(U_t, \mathcal{O}_X)$ for any $t \in C$; so the exactness of (4.8) for $R_0$ is true because $\mathcal{O}_X$ is a sheaf. Now assume $i > 0$, and the sequence is exact for all $R_j$, $j < i$. There is an exact sequence of $R$-modules

\[(4.9) \quad 0 \to m^i R_i \to R_i \to R_{i-1} \to 0,
\]

and $m^i R_i \cong m^i/m^{i+1}$, so this is an $R_0$-module. All the $R$-modules in (4.8) are flat, and hence when we tensor with the exact sequence with the exact sequence (4.9), written vertically, we get a commutative diagram with exact columns. By assumption the rows corresponding to $m^i R_i$ and $R_{i-1}$ are exact; and therefore the row in between, the one corresponding to $R_i$, is also exact.

Step 2. $R$ is still artinian. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the sheaf of algebras from the first step. Take a point $x \in U$. Then the stalk $\mathcal{A}_x \cong \lim_{\leftarrow s} A_s$, the limit taken over the elements $s \in C$ such that $x \in U_s$. This shows that $\mathcal{A}_x$ is a flat $R$-module; and hence the sheaf $\mathcal{A}$ is flat. The construction of $\mathcal{A}$ endows it with an augmentation to $\mathcal{O}_X$. We conclude that $\mathcal{A}$ is an $R$-deformation of $\mathcal{O}_U$.

Now look at the $R$-algebra homomorphism $g : A \to \Gamma(U, \mathcal{A})$. Since both are flat $R$-algebras augmented to $C$, it follows that $g$ is an isomorphism.

Step 3. Here we handle part (2), still with $R$ artinian. Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}'$ are two $R$-deformations of $\mathcal{O}_U$. Write $A := \Gamma(U, \mathcal{A})$ and $A' := \Gamma(U, \mathcal{A}')$. We are given a gauge transformation $h : A \to A'$. Take $s \in C$. Since $C \to C_s$ is a principal localization, and both $\Gamma(U_s, \mathcal{A})$ and $\Gamma(U_s, \mathcal{A}')$ are $R$-deformations of $C_s$, Theorem 2.9 (2) says that there is a unique gauge transformation $\Gamma(U_s, \mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma(U_s, \mathcal{A}')$ that’s
Corollary 4.10. Let \( R \) be an \( \mathbb{A} \)-compatible with the homomorphisms from \( R \). Step 4. Finally we allow \( R \) to be noetherian. Then \( R \cong \lim_{\to} A_i \), and, letting \( A_i := R_i \otimes_R A \), we have \( A \cong \lim_{\to} A_i \). By the previous steps for every \( i \) there is an \( R_i \)-deformation \( A_i \). Due to uniqueness these form an inverse system, and we take \( A := \lim_{\to} A_i \). By Proposition 3.9 this is an \( R \)-deformation of \( O_U \).

Part (2) is also proved by nilpotent approximation.

\[ \square \]

Corollary 4.10. Let \( X \) be a smooth algebraic variety over \( \mathbb{K} \), let \( U \) be an affine open set of \( X \), and let \( C := \Gamma(X, O_X) \). Then the morphisms of groupoids

\[ \Gamma(U, -) : \text{AssDef}(R, O_X) \to \text{AssDef}(R, C) \]

and

\[ \Gamma(U, -) : \text{PoisDef}(R, O_X) \to \text{PoisDef}(R, C) \]

are equivalences.

Proof. According to Theorem 4.7(1) we have essential surjectivity on objects. And Theorem 4.7(2) says that the functors \( \Gamma(U, -) \) are fully faithful.

\[ \square \]

5. The Crossed Groupoid of Deformations

Here we assume this setup:

Setup 5.1. \( \mathbb{K} \) is a field of characteristic 0; \( (R, \mathfrak{m}) \) is a parameter \( \mathbb{K} \)-algebra ; \( X \) is a topological space; and \( O_X \) is a sheaf of commutative \( \mathbb{K} \)-algebras on \( X \). The assumption is that \( X \) has enough \( O_X \)-acyclic open sets.

This is setup 4.1 plus the condition \( \text{char} \mathbb{K} = 0 \).

Let us say a few words on sheaves of pronilpotent groups. Consider a sheaf of groups \( \mathcal{G} \) on \( X \). A central filtration of \( \mathcal{G} \) is a descending filtration \( \{N^j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \) by normal subgroups, such that \( N^0 = \mathcal{G}, \bigcap N^j = 1, \) and \( N^j/N^{j+1} \) is central in \( \mathcal{G}/N^{j+1} \) for every \( j \). Thus \( \mathcal{G}/N^j \) is nilpotent. The sheaf \( \mathcal{G} \) is said to be complete with respect to the filtration \( \{N^j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \) if the canonical group homomorphism \( \mathcal{G} \to \lim_{\to} (\mathcal{G}/N^j) \) is an isomorphism. The sheaf of groups \( \mathcal{G} \) is called pronilpotent if it is complete with respect to some central filtration.

Next consider a sheaf \( \mathcal{A} \) of Lie \( R \)-algebras on \( X \), such that for every \( j \in \mathbb{N} \) the sheaf \( B^j := m^j \mathcal{A} \) is \( m \)-adically complete, and such that \( \{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}\} \subset m \mathcal{A} \).

Now \( B^j/B^{j+i} \) is a sheaf of nilpotent Lie \( \mathbb{K} \)-algebras, and so there is an associated sheaf of nilpotent groups \( \exp(B^j/B^{j+i}) \), and an isomorphism of sheaves of sets \( \exp_{B^j/B^{j+i}} : B^j/B^{j+i} \to \exp(B^j/B^{j+i}) \). Passing to the inverse limit we obtain a sheaf of groups \( \exp(B^j) := \lim_{\to}(B^j/B^{j+i}) \), and an isomorphism of sheaves of sets \( \exp_{B^j} : B^j \to \exp(B^j) \). The sheaf of groups \( \exp(m^j \mathcal{A}) = \exp(B^j) \) is pronilpotent; indeed, \( \{\exp(B^j/B^{j+i})\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \) is a central filtration of \( \exp(B^j) \), and \( \exp(B^j) \) is complete with respect to this filtration. This construction is functorial: if \( \mathcal{A}' \) is another such sheaf of Lie \( R \)-algebras, and \( \phi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}' \) is an \( R \)-linear Lie homomorphism, then there is a group homomorphism \( \exp(\phi) : \exp(m^j \mathcal{A}) \to \exp(m^j \mathcal{A}') \), and \( \exp(\phi) \circ \exp_{m^j \mathcal{A}} = \exp_{m^j \mathcal{A}'} \circ \phi \).

Let \( \alpha \in \mathcal{A} \) be a local section, defined on some open set \( U \). There is an \( R \)-linear endomorphism \( \text{ad}_{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha) \) of \( \mathcal{A}|_U \) whose formula is

\[ \text{(5.2) } \text{ad}_{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha)(\alpha') := [\alpha, \alpha'], \]
where $[-,-]$ is the Lie bracket of $\mathcal{A}$. Let us denote by $\mathcal{E}nd_R(\mathcal{A})$ the sheaf of $R$-module endomorphisms of $\mathcal{A}$. Then $\text{ad}_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{E}nd_R(\mathcal{A})$ is a Lie algebra homomorphism. In this way we get a homomorphism of sheaves of groups

$$\text{Ad}_{\mathcal{A}} : \exp(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathcal{A}ut_R(\mathcal{A}),$$

(5.3) \[ \text{Ad}_{\mathcal{A}}(\exp_{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha)) := \exp(\text{ad}_{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha)) = \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{\text{ad}_{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha) \circ \cdots \circ \text{ad}_{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha)}{i!}. \]

Cf. [Hu, Section 2.3]. Note that this series converges $m$-adically, since $\text{ad}_{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha)^i(\mathcal{A}) \subset m^i\mathcal{A}$.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an associative (resp. Poisson) $R$-deformation of $\mathcal{O}_X$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ has an $R$-linear Lie bracket on it; in the associative case it is the Poisson bracket.

**Proposition 5.4.** Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an associative (resp. Poisson) $R$-deformation of $\mathcal{O}_X$. For a section $\alpha \in \Gamma(U, m\mathcal{A})$ the $R$-linear automorphism $g := \exp(\text{ad}_{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha))$ of $\mathcal{A}_{|U}$ from (5.3) is a gauge transformation of $R$-deformations of $\mathcal{O}_U$.

**Proof.** In the associative case $\text{ad}_{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha)$ is a derivation of the algebra $\mathcal{A}_{|U}$; so according to [Hu, Section 2.3], $g$ is an automorphism the algebra $\mathcal{A}_{|U}$.

In the Poisson case $\text{ad}_{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha)$ is a derivation both of the commutative algebra $\mathcal{A}_{|U}$ and of its Poisson bracket. Hence $g$ is a Poisson automorphism of $\mathcal{A}_{|U}$.

Since $\text{ad}_{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha) \equiv 0$ modulo $m$, it follows that $g$ commutes with the augmentation $\mathcal{A}_{|U} \to \mathcal{O}_U$. \hfill $\square$

**Definition 5.5.** Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an associative (resp. Poisson) $R$-deformation of $\mathcal{O}_X$.

1. Define the sheaf of groups

$$\text{IG}(\mathcal{A}) := \exp(m\mathcal{A}).$$

It is called the sheaf of inner gauge group of $\mathcal{A}$.

2. For a local section $\alpha = \exp(\alpha) \in \Gamma(U, \text{IG}(\mathcal{A}))$ we define the gauge transformation $\text{ig}(\alpha)$ of $\mathcal{A}_{|U}$ to be

$$\text{ig}(\alpha) := \exp(\text{ad}_{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha)).$$

If $g : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'$ is a gauge transformation, then there is an induced isomorphism of sheaves of Lie algebras $g : m\mathcal{A} \to m\mathcal{A}'$, and, by taking exponentials, an induced isomorphism of sheaves of groups

(5.6) \[ \text{IG}(g) : \text{IG}(\mathcal{A}) \to \text{IG}(\mathcal{A}'). \]

**Proposition 5.7.** The groupoid $\text{AssDef}(R, \mathcal{O}_X)$ (resp. $\text{PoisDef}(R, \mathcal{O}_X)$) is the 1-truncation of a crossed groupoid, where:

- The 2-morphisms are the inner gauge transformations, namely the elements of the groups $\Gamma(X, \text{IG}(\mathcal{A}))$.
- The twisting by a gauge transformation $g : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'$ is the group isomorphism $\text{IG}(g)$ from (5.6).
- The feedback $\text{D}(\alpha)$, for $\alpha \in \Gamma(X, \text{IG}(\mathcal{A}))$, is the group isomorphism $\text{ig}(\alpha)$ from Definition 5.5(2).

**Proof.** We must verify the conditions in Definition 1.2. Take a gauge transformation $g : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'$. The diagram of Lie algebra homomorphisms

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{g} & \mathcal{A}' \\
\text{ad} \downarrow & & \text{ad} \\
\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{\text{Ad}(g)} & \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{A}')
\end{array}
$$
is commutative. Taking the exponentials we see that \( ig \circ \text{IG}(g) = \text{Ad}(g) \circ ig \).

Next let us look at \( a = \exp(\alpha) \in \text{IG}(\mathcal{A}) \). Then \( ig(a) = \exp(\text{ad}(\alpha)) : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A} \), and \( \text{IG}(ig(a)) : \text{IG}(\mathcal{A}) \to \text{IG}(\mathcal{A}) \) is the restriction of \( \exp(\text{ad}(\alpha)) \) to \( \mathfrak{m} \mathcal{A} \). This says that \( \text{IG}(ig(a)) \) is conjugation by \( a \) in the group \( \text{IG}(\mathcal{A}) \).

**Proposition 5.8.** Let \( U \subset X \) be an open set and \( R \to R' \) a homomorphism of parameter algebras. Then the formula \( \mathcal{A} \mapsto (R' \otimes R \mathcal{A})|_U \) gives rise to morphisms of crossed groupoids

\[
\text{AssDef}(R, \mathcal{O}_X) \to \text{AssDef}(R', \mathcal{O}_U)
\]

and

\[
\text{PoisDef}(R, \mathcal{O}_X) \to \text{PoisDef}(R', \mathcal{O}_U).
\]

**Proof.** For restriction to \( U' \) this is clear. As for \( R \to R' \), this is Proposition 4.6. \( \square \)

**Proposition 5.9.** Let \( \mathcal{A} \) be an associative \( R \)-deformation of \( \mathcal{O}_X \), with augmentation \( \psi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{O}_X \). There is a canonical isomorphism of sheaves of groups

\[
\text{IG}(\mathcal{A}) \cong \ker(\psi : \mathcal{A}^\times \to \mathcal{O}_X^\times).
\]

Under this isomorphism the inner action \( ig(a) \) is sent to the conjugation action by the invertible element \( a \).

**Proof.** Let \( U \subset X \) be an affine open set. According to Proposition 4.5 \( A := \Gamma(U, \mathcal{A}) \) is an \( R \)-deformation of \( C := \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X) \). Also, by Corollary 3.6 we have \( \Gamma(U, \text{IG}(\mathcal{A})) \cong \exp(\mathfrak{m} \mathcal{A}) \) as groups. By Proposition 2.4 there is an isomorphism \( A \xrightarrow{\cong} R \otimes C \) of augmented \( R \)-modules such that \( 1_A = 1_R \otimes 1_C \). Thus \( \ker(\psi : \mathcal{A}^\times \to \mathcal{C}^\times) = 1 + \mathfrak{m} \mathcal{A} \).

Since the Lie bracket on \( \mathfrak{m} \mathcal{A} \) is the associative commutator, it follows that

\[
\exp(\alpha) \mapsto \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{1}{i!} \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_i
\]

is a group isomorphism from the abstract pronilpotent group \( \exp(\mathfrak{m} \mathcal{A}) \) to the multiplicative group \( 1 + \mathfrak{m} \mathcal{A} \subset A^\times \). The action \( \exp(\text{ad}(\alpha)) \) of \( \exp(\alpha) \in \exp(\mathfrak{m} \mathcal{A}) \) goes to the conjugation action \( \text{Ad}(\exp(\alpha)) \) in the ring \( \mathcal{A} \).

Finally, since the affine open sets are a basis of the topology, we get the statement on the sheaf level. \( \square \)

All the above holds of course for \( R \)-deformations of a commutative \( K \)-algebra \( C \). Thus there are crossed groupoids \( \text{AssDef}(R, C) \) and \( \text{PoisDef}(R, C) \), where the 1-morphisms are the gauge transformations, and the 2-morphisms are the elements of the groups \( \text{IG}(\mathcal{A}) = \exp(\mathfrak{m} \mathcal{A}) \).

### 6. The Deligne Crossed Groupoid

Here the base field \( K \) has characteristic 0. Let \( \mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}^p \) be a DG (differential graded) Lie algebra over \( K \), with differential \( d \) and Lie bracket \( \{-, -\} \). We define the pronilpotent DG Lie \( R \)-algebra \( m \otimes \mathfrak{g} \) as follows. For every \( p \) we let \( m \otimes \mathfrak{g}^p := m \otimes \mathfrak{g}^p \), the \( m \)-adic completion of the \( R \)-module \( m \otimes \mathfrak{g}^p \) (cf. Proposition 2.3). Then

\[
m \otimes \mathfrak{g} := \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} m \otimes \mathfrak{g}^p.
\]

The differential \( d \) and Lie bracket \( \{-, -\} \) of \( m \otimes \mathfrak{g} \) are the \( R \)-multilinear extensions of those of \( \mathfrak{g} \).

In degree 0 we have a pronilpotent Lie algebra \( m \otimes \mathfrak{g}^0 \), and we denote by \( \exp(m \otimes \mathfrak{g}^0) \) the associated pronilpotent group. There is a canonical bijection of sets \( \exp : m \otimes \mathfrak{g}^0 \to \exp(m \otimes \mathfrak{g}^0) \). We call \( \exp(m \otimes \mathfrak{g}^0) \) the "gauge group" of \( m \otimes \mathfrak{g} \).

As usual, for any element \( \gamma \in m \otimes \mathfrak{g} \), we denote by \( \text{ad}(\gamma) \) the \( R \)-linear operator on \( m \otimes \mathfrak{g} \) with formula \( \text{ad}(\gamma)(\beta) := [\gamma, \beta] \). If \( \gamma \in m \otimes \mathfrak{g}^0 \), and we write \( g := \exp(\gamma) \in \exp(m \otimes \mathfrak{g}^0) \),
$\exp(m \otimes g^0)$, then we obtain an $R$-linear automorphism $\text{Ad}(g) := \exp(\text{ad}(\gamma))$ of the graded Lie algebra $m \otimes g$ (that usually does not commute with $d$).

An MC element in $m \otimes g$ is an element $\omega \in m \otimes g^1$ which satisfies the Maurer-Cartan equation $d(\omega) + \frac{1}{2} [\omega, \omega] = 0$. We denote by $\text{MC}(m \otimes g)$ the set of MC elements.

The Lie algebra $m \otimes g^0$ acts on the $R$-module $m \otimes g^1$ also by the affine transformations

$$(6.1) \quad \text{af}(\gamma)(\omega) := d(\gamma) - \text{ad}(\gamma)(\omega) = d(\gamma) - [\gamma, \omega],$$

for $\gamma \in m \otimes g^0$ and $\omega \in m \otimes g^1$. This action integrates to an affine transformation $\text{Af}(g) := \exp(\text{af}(\gamma))$ of $m \otimes g^1$, for $g := \exp(\gamma)$. The action $\text{Af}$ of the group $\exp(m \otimes g^0)$ on $m \otimes g^1$ preserves the set $\text{MC}(m \otimes g)$, and we write $\text{MC}(m \otimes g)$ for the quotient set by this action.

Suppose $h$ is another DG Lie algebra, and $\phi : g \to h$ is a homomorphism of DG Lie algebras. There is an induced $R$-linear homomorphism $1_m \otimes \phi : m \otimes g \to m \otimes h$ of DG Lie algebras, and an induced function

$$\text{MC}(1_m \otimes \phi) : \text{MC}(m \otimes g) \to \text{MC}(m \otimes h).$$

If $\phi$ is a quasi-isomorphism then so is $1_m \otimes \phi$, and on gauge equivalence classes of MC elements we get a bijection

$$(6.2) \quad \overline{\text{MC}(1_m \otimes \phi)} : \overline{\text{MC}(m \otimes g)} \to \overline{\text{MC}(m \otimes h)}.$$ 

This is [Ye5] Theorem 4.2. (The nilpotent case, i.e. $R$ artinian, was known before of course; see [CM] and [CKTB, Section I.3.4].)

For an element $\omega \in \text{MC}(m \otimes g)$ we let $d_\omega := d + \text{ad}(\omega)$, which is a derivation of degree 1 and square 0 of the graded Lie algebra $m \otimes g$. Note that for $\alpha \in m \otimes g$ one has $d_\omega(\alpha) = d(\alpha) + [\omega, \alpha]$, and for $\gamma \in m \otimes g^0$ one has $d_\omega(\gamma) = \text{af}(\gamma)(\omega)$.

**Definition 6.3.** We say $g = \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} g^p$ is a quantum type DG Lie algebra if $g^0 = 0$ for all $p < -1$.

Suppose $g$ is a quantum type DG Lie algebra. Take any $\omega \in \text{MC}(m \otimes g)$. The formula $[\alpha_1, \alpha_2]_\omega := [d_\omega(\alpha_1), \alpha_2]$ defines an $R$-bilinear Lie bracket on $m \otimes g^{-1}$. We denote the resulting pronilpotent Lie algebra by $(m \otimes g^{-1})_\omega$, and the associated pronilpotent group is denoted by

$$(6.4) \quad N_\omega := \exp(m \otimes g^{-1})_\omega.$$ 

The function $d_\omega : (m \otimes g^{-1})_\omega \to m \otimes g^0$ is an $R$-linear Lie algebra homomorphism, so it induces a group homomorphism

$$(6.5) \quad D_\omega : N_\omega \to \exp(m \otimes g^0), \quad D_\omega := \exp(d_\omega).$$ 

Now take $g \in \exp(m \otimes g^0)$, and let $\omega' := \text{Af}(g)(\omega) \in \text{MC}(m \otimes g)$. According to [Ye5] Corollary 6.9 there is a group isomorphism

$$(6.6) \quad \text{Ad}(g) : N_\omega \xrightarrow{\cong} N_{\omega'},$$

which is functorial in $g$, and the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
N_\omega & \xrightarrow{D_{\omega}} & \exp(m \otimes g^0) \\
\text{Ad}(g) \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Ad}(g) \\
N_{\omega'} & \xrightarrow{D_{\omega'}} & \exp(m \otimes g^0)
\end{array}$$
is commutative. By definition of the bracket $[-,-]_\omega$, the adjoint action in the Lie algebra $(m \otimes g^{-1})$ is $\text{ad}(\alpha_1)\alpha_2 = \text{ad}(d_\omega(\alpha_1))(\alpha_2)$; hence, by exponentiating this equation, we see that conjugation in the group $N_\omega$ is $\text{Ad}_{N_\omega}(a_1)(a_2) = \text{Ad}(D_\omega(a_1))(a_2)$ for $a_i \in N_\omega$.

Crossed groupoids were introduced in Definition 4.2. The considerations above justify the next definition.

**Definition 6.7.** Let $k$ be a field of characteristic 0, let $g$ be a quantum type DG Lie $k$-algebra, and let $(R, m)$ be a parameter $k$-algebra. The Deligne crossed groupoid is the crossed groupoid $Del(g, R)$ with these components:

- The groupoid $Del_1(g, R)$ is the transformation groupoid associated to the action $\Lambda f$ of the gauge group $\exp(m \otimes g^0)$ on the set $MC(m \otimes g)$. (This is the usual Deligne groupoid of $m \otimes g$.)
- The groupoid $Del_2(g, R)$ is the totally disconnected groupoid with set of objects $MC(m \otimes g)$, and automorphism groups $N_\omega$ from formula (6.7).
- The twisting $\text{Ad}$ is the group isomorphism in formula (6.9).
- The feedback $D$ is the group homomorphism in formula (6.9).

It is obvious from the construction that $Del(g, R)$ is functorial in both $g$ and $R$.

**Remark 6.8.** In the nilpotent case (i.e. when the ring $R$ is artinian) the Deligne crossed groupoid was introduced by Deligne in a letter to Breen from 1994; see also [Ge].

A more general construction than Definition 6.7 (for unbounded DG Lie algebras) can be found in [Ye5].

7. **DG Lie Algebras and Deformations**

In this section we recall the role of DG Lie algebras in deformation quantization, and prove a few basic results. For more details see [CM] Section 1, [Ge] Section 2.3], [CKTB] Section I.3] or [Ye5] Section 1]. We assume here that the base field $k$ has characteristic 0.

Let $V$ be a $k$-module. Then $R \otimes V$ is an $m$-adically complete $R$-module, with an augmentation $R \otimes V \to V$ induced from the augmentation $R \to k$. By gauge transformation of $R \otimes V$ we mean an $R$-linear automorphism that commutes with the augmentation.

For $\gamma \in m \otimes \text{End}(V)$ we let

$$\exp(\gamma) = \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{1}{i!} \underbrace{\gamma \circ \cdots \circ \gamma}_{i} \in \text{End}_R(R \otimes V).$$

(7.1)

This is a gauge transformation of $R \otimes V$.

**Lemma 7.2.** Let $V$ be a $k$-module. Every gauge transformation $g$ of the augmented $R$-module $R \otimes V$ is uniquely of the form $g = \exp(\gamma)$, for $\gamma \in m \otimes \text{End}(V)$.

**Proof.** Take any gauge transformation $g$ of the augmented $R$-module $R \otimes V$. So $g : R \otimes V \to R \otimes V$ lifts $1_V$, the identity of $V$. According to Proposition 2.3 we have $g = 1_V + \phi$, where $\phi : V \to m \otimes V$ is an arbitrary $k$-linear homomorphism. Since $m : = m / m^{i+1}$ is a finitely generated $k$-module, we have

$$\text{Hom}(V, m \otimes V) \cong \lim_{\leftarrow i} \text{Hom}(V, m, \otimes V) \cong \lim_{\leftarrow i} \text{Hom}(m, \otimes \text{End}(V)) \cong m \otimes \text{End}(V).$$

We see that $\phi \in m \otimes \text{End}(V)$. But then $g = \exp(\gamma)$ for a unique $\gamma \in m \otimes \text{End}(V)$, namely $\gamma = \log(1_V + \phi)$. 

\[ \square \]
Sometimes it is convenient to have a more explicit (but less canonical) way of describing the \( R \)-module \( m \otimes V \). This is done via choice of filtered \( K \)-basis of \( m \).

A filtered \( K \)-basis of a finitely generated \( R \)-module \( M \) is a sequence \( \{ m_j \}_{j \geq 0} \) of elements of \( M \) (finite if \( M \) has finite length, and countable otherwise) whose symbols form a \( K \)-basis of the graded \( K \)-module \( \text{gr}_m(M) \). It is easy to find such bases: simply choose a \( K \)-basis of \( \text{gr}_m(M) \) consisting of homogeneous elements, and lift it to \( M \). Once such a filtered basis is chosen, any element \( m \in M \) has a unique convergent power series expansion \( m = \sum_{j \geq 0} \lambda_j m_j \), with \( \lambda_j \in K \).

Let us choose a filtered \( K \)-basis \( \{ r_j \}_{j \geq 0} \) of \( R \), such that \( r_0 = 1 \). Then the sequence \( \{ r_j \}_{j \geq 1} \) is a filtered \( K \)-basis of \( m \).

**Example 7.3.** For the power series ring \( R = K[[\hbar]] \) the obvious filtered basis is \( r_j := \hbar^j \).

**Setup 7.4.** \( K \) is a field of characteristic 0; \( (R, m) \) is a parameter \( K \)-algebra (see Definition 2.1); and \( C \) is a smooth integral commutative \( K \)-algebra (i.e. Spec \( C \) is a smooth affine algebraic variety over \( K \)).

For Poisson deformations the relevant DG Lie algebra is the algebra of poly-derivations

\[
\mathcal{T}_{\text{poly}}(C) = \bigoplus_{p=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{T}^p_{\text{poly}}(C)
\]

of \( C \) relative to \( K \), where \( n := \dim C \). It is the exterior algebra over \( C \) of the module of derivations \( \mathcal{T}(C) \), but with a shift in degrees: \( \mathcal{T}^p_{\text{poly}}(C) := \Lambda_C^{p+1} \mathcal{T}(C) \). The differential is zero, and the Lie bracket is the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket, that extends the usual Lie bracket on \( \mathcal{T}(C) = \mathcal{T}^0_{\text{poly}}(C) \), and its canonical action \( \text{ad}_C \) on \( C = \mathcal{T}^{-1}_{\text{poly}}(C) \) by derivations. The DG Lie algebra \( \mathcal{T}_{\text{poly}}(C) \) is of course of quantum type.

Passing to the DG Lie \( R \)-algebra \( m \otimes \mathcal{T}_{\text{poly}}(C) \), we have an action of the Lie algebra \( m \otimes \mathcal{T}^0_{\text{poly}}(C) \) on the commutative algebra \( A := R \otimes C \) by \( R \)-linear derivations, which we denote by \( \text{ad}_A \). If we choose a filtered \( K \)-basis \( \{ r_j \}_{j \geq 1} \) of \( m \), then for \( \gamma = \sum_{j \geq 1} r_j \otimes \gamma_j \) and \( c \in C \) this action becomes

\[
\text{ad}_A(\gamma)(c) = \sum_{j \geq 1} r_j \otimes \text{ad}_C(\gamma_j)(c) \in R \otimes C.
\]

Here we identify the element \( c \in C \) with the tensor \( 1_R \otimes c \in A = R \otimes C \). The exponential of \( \text{ad}_A(\gamma) \) is an automorphism \( \exp(\text{ad}_A(\gamma)) \) of the \( R \)-module \( A = R \otimes C \), as in (2.1).

An element \( \omega \in \mathcal{T}^1_{\text{poly}}(C) \) determines an antisymmetric bilinear function \( \{-,\} \omega \) on \( C \). The formula for \( \omega = \gamma_1 \wedge \gamma_2 \) is

\[
\{ c_1, c_2 \} \omega := \frac{1}{2} (\alpha_1(c_1) \alpha_2(c_2) - \alpha_1(c_2) \alpha_2(c_1))
\]

for \( c_1, c_2 \in C \). Now take an element \( \omega \in m \otimes \mathcal{T}^1_{\text{poly}}(C) \). By extending (7.5) \( R \)-linearly we get an antisymmetric \( R \)-bilinear function \( \{-,\} \omega \) on \( R \otimes C \). If the expansion of \( \omega \) is \( \omega = \sum_{j \geq 1} r_j \otimes \omega_j \), then

\[
\{ c_1, c_2 \} \omega := \sum_{j \geq 1} r_j \otimes \{ c_1, c_2 \} \omega_j \in R \otimes C.
\]

**Definition 7.7.** Consider the commutative \( R \)-algebra \( A := R \otimes C \), with the obvious augmentation \( \psi : K \otimes_R A \xrightarrow{\sim} C \).

1. A formal Poisson bracket on \( A \) is an \( R \)-bilinear Poisson bracket that vanishes modulo \( m \).
2. A gauge transformation of \( A \) (as \( R \)-algebra) is an \( R \)-algebra automorphism that commutes with the augmentation to \( C \).
According to Proposition 2.4.1, the commutative R-algebra $A := R \otimes C$ is flat and $m$-adically complete. Therefore, by endowing it with a formal Poisson bracket $\omega$, we obtain a Poisson R-deformation of $C$, and we denote this deformation by $A_\omega$.

The next result summarizes the role of $T_{\text{poly}}(C)$ in controlling formal Poisson brackets.

**Proposition 7.8.** Consider the augmented commutative R-algebra $A := R \otimes C$.

1. The formula $\exp(\gamma) \mapsto \exp(\text{ad}_A(\gamma))$ determines a group isomorphism from $\exp(m \otimes T^0_{\text{poly}}(C))$ to the group of gauge transformations of $A$ (as augmented R-algebra).

2. The formula $\omega \mapsto \{-,-\}_\omega$ determines a bijection from $\text{MC}(m \otimes T^0_{\text{poly}}(C))$ to the set of formal Poisson brackets on $A$. For such $\omega$ we denote by $A_\omega$ the corresponding Poisson algebra.

3. Let $\omega, \omega' \in \text{MC}(m \otimes T^0_{\text{poly}}(C))$, and let $\gamma \in m \otimes T^0_{\text{poly}}(C)$. Then $\omega' = \exp(\text{ad}_A(\gamma))(\omega)$ if and only if $\exp(\text{ad}_A(\gamma)) : A_\omega \to A_{\omega'}$ is a gauge transformation of Poisson deformations.

4. For $\omega \in \text{MC}(m \otimes T_{\text{poly}}(C))$, one has equality of groups

$$\text{IG}(A_\omega) = \exp(m \otimes T^{-1}_{\text{poly}}(C))_{\omega}.$$  

**Proof.** (1) By definition the operator $\text{ad}_A(\gamma)$ is a prounipotent derivation of the R-algebra $A$. According to [Hm, Section 2.3] the operator $g := \exp(\text{ad}_A(\gamma))$ is an $R$-algebra automorphism of $A$. Since $\text{ad}_C : T(C) \to \text{End}(C)$ is an injective Lie algebra homomorphism, it follows that

$$\exp(\text{ad}_A(-)) : \exp(m \otimes T(C)) \to \exp(m \otimes \text{End}(C))$$

is an injective group homomorphism.

Now suppose $g$ is a gauge transformation of $A$ as augmented R-algebra. Lemma 7.2 says we can view $g$ as an element of $R \otimes \text{End}(C)$. We will produce a sequence $\gamma_i \in m \otimes T(C)$ such that $g \equiv \exp(\text{ad}_A(\gamma_i))$ modulo $m^{i+1}$. Then for $\gamma := \lim_{i \to \infty} \gamma_i$ we will have $g = \exp(\text{ad}_A(\gamma))$. Here is the construction. We start with $\gamma_0 := 0$ of course. Next assume that we have $\gamma_i$. There is a unique element $\delta_{i+1} \in (m^{i+1}/m^{i+2}) \otimes \text{End}(C)$ such that $g \equiv \exp(\text{ad}_A(\gamma_i))^{-1} = 1 + \delta_{i+1}$ as automorphisms of the $R_{i+1}$-algebra $A_{i+1} := R_{i+1} \otimes C$. The usual calculation shows that $\delta_{i+1}$ is a derivation, i.e. $\delta_{i+1} \in (m^{i+1}/m^{i+2}) \otimes T(C)$. Choose some lifting $\tilde{\delta}_{i+1} \in m^{i+1} \otimes T(C)$ of $\delta_{i+1}$, and define $\gamma_{i+1} := \gamma_i + \tilde{\delta}_{i+1}$.

(2, 3) See [Ko1, paragraph 4.6.2] or [CKTB, paragraph 3.5.3].

(4) By definition $\text{IG}(A_\omega) = \exp(mA_\omega)$.  

The associative case is much more difficult. When dealing with associative deformations we view $A := R \otimes C$ as an R-module. The augmentation $A \to C$ is viewed as a homomorphism of R-modules, and there is a distinguished element $1_A := 1_R \otimes 1_C \in A$.

**Definition 7.9.** Consider the augmented R-module $A := R \otimes C$, with distinguished element $1_A$.

1. A **star product** on $A$ is an $R$-bilinear function $* : A \times A \to A$ that makes $A$ into an associative $R$-algebra, with unit $1_A$, such that $c_1 \ast c_2 \equiv c_1c_2 \mod m$ for $c_1, c_2 \in C$.

2. A **gauge transformation** of $A$ (as $R$-module) is an $R$-module automorphism that commutes with the augmentation to $C$ and fixes the element $1_A$. 


Given a star product $\ast$ on $A$, we have an associative $R$-deformation of $C$. If we choose a filtered $K$-basis $\{r_j\}_{j \geq 1}$ of $m$, then we can express $\ast$ as a power series

$$c_1 \ast c_2 = c_1 c_2 + \sum_{j \geq 1} r_j \omega_j(c_1, c_2) \in A,$$

where $\omega_j \in \text{Hom}(C \otimes C, C)$.

Star products are controlled by a DG Lie algebra too. It is the \textit{shifted Hochschild cochain complex}

$$C_{\text{shc}}(C) = \bigoplus_{p \geq -1} C^p_{\text{shc}}(C),$$

where

$$C^p_{\text{shc}}(C) := \text{Hom}(C \otimes \cdots \otimes C, C)$$

for $p \geq 0$, and $C^{-1}_{\text{shc}}(C) := C$. The differential is the shift of the Hochschild differential, and the Lie bracket is the Gerstenhaber bracket. (In our earlier paper [Ye2] we used the notation $p$ for $C^p_{\text{shc}}(C)$.) Inside $C_{\text{shc}}(C)$ there is a sub DG Lie algebra $C^0_{\text{shc}}(C)$, consisting of the normalized cochains. By definition a cochain $\phi \in C^p_{\text{shc}}(C)$ is normalized if either $p = -1$, or $p \geq 0$ and $\phi(c_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes c_{p+1}) = 0$ whenever $c_i = 1$ for some index $i$.

Given $\omega \in m \hat{\otimes} C^\text{nor,0}_{\text{shc}}(C)$ we denote by $\ast_{\omega}$ the $R$-bilinear function on the $R$-module $A := R \hat{\otimes} C$ with formula

$$c_1 \ast_{\omega} c_2 := c_1 c_2 + \omega(c_1, c_2)$$

for $c_1, c_2 \in C$. And for $\gamma \in m \hat{\otimes} C^0_{\text{shc}}(C)$ we denote by $\text{ad}_A$ the $R$-linear function on $A$ such that $\text{ad}_A(c) := [\gamma, c] = \gamma(c)$ for $c \in C$.

According to Proposition 7.13, any associative $R$-deformation $A$ of $C$ is isomorphic, as augmented $R$-module with distinguished element $1_A$, to $R \hat{\otimes} C$ with its distinguished element $1_R \otimes 1_C$. Like Proposition 7.8 we have:

**Proposition 7.13.** Consider the augmented $R$-module $A := R \hat{\otimes} C$ with distinguished element $1_A := 1_R \otimes 1_C$.

1. The formula $\exp(\gamma) \mapsto \exp(\text{ad}_A(\gamma))$ determines a group isomorphism from $
\exp(m \hat{\otimes} C^0_{\text{shc}}(C))$ to the group of gauge transformations of the augmented $R$-module $A$ that preserve $1_A$.

2. The formula $\omega \mapsto \ast_{\omega}$ determines a bijection from $\text{MC}(m \hat{\otimes} C^\text{nor,1}_{\text{shc}}(C))$ to the set of star products on $A$. For such $\omega$ we denote by $A_{\omega}$ the resulting associative $R$-algebra.

3. Let $\omega, \omega' \in \text{MC}(m \hat{\otimes} C^\text{nor,1}_{\text{shc}}(C))$, and let $\gamma \in m \hat{\otimes} C^0_{\text{shc}}(C)$. Then $\omega' = \exp(\text{ad}_A(\gamma))(\omega)$ if and only if $\exp(\text{ad}_A(\gamma)) : A_{\omega} \to A_{\omega'}$ is a gauge transformation of associative $R$-deformations of $C$.

4. For $\omega \in \text{MC}(m \hat{\otimes} C^\text{nor,1}_{\text{shc}}(C))$, there is a canonical isomorphism of groups $IG(A_{\omega}) \cong \exp(m \hat{\otimes} C^{-1}_{\text{shc}}(C))_{\omega}$.

**Proof.** (1) Combine Lemma 7.2 with the observation that the automorphism $\exp(\text{ad}_A(\gamma))$, for $\gamma \in m \hat{\otimes} C^0_{\text{shc}}(C) = m \hat{\otimes} \text{End}(C)$, fixes the element $1_A$ if and only if $\gamma$ is normalized.

(2, 3) See [Ko1] paragraphs 3.4.2 and 4.6.2 or [CKTB, Section 3.3]. Cf. also [Ye1, Propositions 3.20 and 3.21].

(4) By definition $IG(A_{\omega}) = \exp(m A_{\omega})$. \qed
8. Polydifferential Operators

We continue with Setup [7.4] In this section we prove that associatiave deformations are actually controlled by a sub DG Lie algebra $D_{\text{poly}}^{\text{nor}}(C)$ of $C_{\text{shc}}^{\text{nor}}(C)$, which has better behavior.

Take a Hochschild cochain $\phi \in C_{\text{shc}}^p(C)$ for some $p \geq 0$. The function $\phi$ is called a polydifferential operator if there is a number $m \in \mathbb{N}$, such that for every $i$ and every $c_1, \ldots, c_p+1 \in C$, the function $c \mapsto \phi(c_1, \ldots, c_{i-1}, c, c_{i+1}, \ldots, c_{p+1})$ is a differential operator $C \to C$ of order $\leq m$. We denote by $D_{\text{poly}}^p(C)$ the set of these polydifferential operators. And we let $D_{\text{poly}}^{-1}(C) := C$. Then $D_{\text{poly}}(C)$ is a sub DG Lie algebra of the shifted Hochschild cochain complex $C_{\text{shc}}(C)$. We define a yet smaller DG Lie algebra

$$D_{\text{poly}}^{\text{nor}}(C) := D_{\text{poly}}(C) \cap C_{\text{shc}}^{\text{nor}}(C),$$

whose elements are the normalized polydifferential operators.

**Definition 8.1.** Consider the augmented $R$-module $A := R \hat{\otimes} C$, with distinguished element $1_A$. Recall the bijections of Proposition [7.13]-1-2).

1. A **formal polydifferential operator** on $A$ is an element $\phi \in m \hat{\otimes} D_{\text{poly}}^p(C)$ for some $p \geq 0$.
2. A gauge transformation $g : A \to A$ is called a differential gauge transformation if $\gamma := \log(g)$ is a formal differential operator, i.e. $\gamma \in m \hat{\otimes} D_{\text{poly}}^{\text{nor}, 0}(C)$.
3. A star product $*$ on $A$ is called a differential star product if the corresponding MC element $\omega$ is a formal bidifferential operator, i.e. $\omega \in m \hat{\otimes} D_{\text{poly}}^{\text{nor}, 1}(C)$.

**Theorem 8.2.** Assume $R$ and $C$ are as in Setup [7.4]. Then any star product on the $R$-module $A := R \hat{\otimes} C$ is gauge equivalent to a differential star product. Namely, given a star product $*$ on $A$, there exists a gauge transformation $g : A \to A$, and a differential star product $*$', such that

$$g(a_1 * a_2) = g(a_1) *' g(a_2)$$

for any $a_1, a_2 \in A$.

**Proof.** This is a mild generalization of [Ye1] Proposition 8.1], which refers to $R = K[[h]]$. According to [Ye2] Corollary 4.12], the inclusion $D_{\text{poly}}^{\text{nor}}(C) \to C_{\text{shc}}^{\text{nor}}(C)$ is a quasi-isomorphism. Therefore, by [Ye2] Theorem 4.2], we get a bijection

$$\text{MC}(m \hat{\otimes} D_{\text{poly}}^{\text{nor}}(C)) \to \text{MC}(m \hat{\otimes} C_{\text{shc}}^{\text{nor}}(C)).$$

Let $\omega \in \text{MC}(m \hat{\otimes} C_{\text{shc}}^{\text{nor}}(C))$ be the element representing $*$; see Proposition [7.13]-2). Next let $\omega' \in \text{MC}(m \hat{\otimes} D_{\text{poly}}^{\text{nor}}(C))$ be an element that’s gauge equivalent to $\omega$. By Proposition [7.13]-3) there is a gauge transformation $g := \exp(\text{ad}_A(\gamma))$ which satisfies equation (8.3). 

□

**Remark 8.4.** It should be noted that the proof of [Ye2] Corollary 4.12] relies on the fact that $C$ is a smooth $K$-algebra and char $K = 0$. The result is most likely false otherwise.

We learned the next result from P. Etingof. It is very similar to [KS] Proposition 2.2.3).

**Theorem 8.5.** Assume $R$ and $C$ are as in Setup [7.4]. Let $*$ and $*' be two star products on the augmented $R$-module $A := C \hat{\otimes} R$, and let $g$ be a gauge transformation of $A$ satisfying (8.3). Assume that $*$ is a differential star product. The following conditions are equivalent:

[Note: The rest of the text contains more detailed mathematical content that cannot be translated due to its complexity and specialized nature.]
(i) The star product \(*'\) is also differential.

(ii) The gauge transformation \(g\) is differential.

**Proof.** The implication (ii) ⇒ (i) is easy: the subgroup \(\exp(\mathfrak{m} \otimes \mathcal{D}_{\text{poly}}^{\text{nor},0}(C))\) of \(\exp(\mathfrak{m} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{\text{shc}}^{\text{nor}}(C))\) acts on the subset \(\text{MC}(\mathfrak{m} \otimes \mathcal{D}_{\text{poly}}^{\text{nor}}(C))\) of \(\text{MC}(\mathfrak{m} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{\text{shc}}^{\text{nor}}(C))\).

We now consider (i) ⇒ (ii); so \(*'\) is differential. Let us choose a filtered \(K\)-basis \(\{r_i\}_{i \geq 0}\) of \(R\), such that \(r_0 = 1\), and \(\text{ord}_R(r_i) \leq \text{ord}_R(r_{i+1})\). Denote by \(\{\mu_{i,j;k}\}_{i,j,k \geq 0}\) the multiplication constants of the basis \(\{r_i\}_{i \geq 0}\), i.e. the collection of elements of \(K\) such that \(r_i r_j = \sum_k \mu_{i,j;k} r_k\). Note that \(\mu_{0,0;0} = 1\), \(\mu_{0,i;0} = 1\), and \(\mu_{i,j;k} = 0\) if \(i + j > k\).

The gauge transformation \(g\) has an expansion \(g = \sum_{i \geq 0} r_i \otimes \gamma_i\), with \(\gamma_0 = 1\) and \(\gamma_i \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{shc}}^{\text{nor},0}(C) \subset \text{End}(C)\) for \(i \geq 1\). We will begin by showing that \(\gamma_i\) are differential operators. Let us denote by \(\omega_i, \omega_i' \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{poly}}^1(C)\) the bidifferential operators such that

\[\star = \sum_{i \geq 0} r_i \otimes \omega_i(c,d)\]

and

\[\star' = \sum_{i \geq 0} r_i \otimes \omega_i'(c,d)\]

for all \(c, d \in C\). Thus \(\omega_0(c,d) = \omega_0'(c,d) = cd\), and \(\omega_i, \omega_i' \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{poly}}^{i+1}(C)\) for \(i \geq 1\). By expanding the two sides of (8.3) we get

\[g(\star) = \sum_{i \geq 0} r_i \otimes \left( \sum_{j+k \leq i} \mu_{j,k;0} \gamma_k(\omega_j(c,d)) \right)\]

and

\[g(\star') g(d) = \sum_{i \geq 0} r_i \otimes \left( \sum_{m+l \leq i} \sum_{j+k \leq m} \mu_{j,k;0} \mu_{m,l;0} \omega_i'(\gamma_j(c), \gamma_k(d)) \right)\].

Now we compare the coefficients of \(r_i\), for \(i \geq 1\), in these last two equations:

(8.6) \[\sum_{j+k \leq i} \mu_{j,k;0} \gamma_k(\omega_j(c,d)) = \sum_{m+l \leq i} \sum_{j+k \leq m} \mu_{j,k;0} \mu_{m,l;0} \omega_i'(\gamma_j(c), \gamma_k(d)).\]

We take the summand with \(k = i\) (and \(j = 0\)) in the left side of (8.6), and subtract from it the summand with \(j = m = i\) (and \(k = 0\)) in the right side of that equation. This yields

\[\mu_{0,0;0} \gamma_i(\omega_0(c,d)) = \mu_{i,0;0} \mu_{0,0;0} \omega_i'(\gamma_i(c), \gamma_0(d)) = \phi_i(c,d),\]

where \(\phi_i(c,d)\) involves the bidifferential operators \(\omega_k, \omega_k'\) and the operators \(\gamma_j\) for \(j < i\), which are differential by the induction hypothesis. We see that \(\phi_i(c,d)\) itself is a bidifferential operator, say of order \(\leq m_i\) in each argument. And since \(\mu_{0,0;0} = 1\) etc., we have \(\gamma_i(cd) - \gamma_i(c)d = \phi_i(c,d)\).

Now, letting \(c\) vary, the last equation reads \([\gamma_i, d] = \phi_i(-, d) \in \text{End}(C)\). Hence \([\gamma_i, d]\) is a differential operator, also of order \(\leq m_i\). This is true for every \(d \in C\). By Grothendieck's characterization of differential operators, it follows that \(\gamma_i\) is a differential operator (of order \(\leq m_i + 1\)).

Finally let us consider \(\log(g)\). We know that \(r_i \otimes \gamma_i \in \mathfrak{m} \otimes \mathcal{D}_{\text{poly}}^{\text{nor},0}(C)\) for \(i \geq 1\). And \(\mathfrak{m} \otimes \mathcal{D}_{\text{poly}}^{\text{nor},0}(C)\) is a closed (nonunital) subalgebra of the ring \(R \otimes \text{End}(C)\). By plugging \(x := \sum_{i \geq 1} r_i \otimes \gamma_i\) into the usual power series \(\log(1 + x) = x - \frac{1}{2} x^2 + \cdots\), we conclude that \(\log(g) \in \mathfrak{m} \otimes \mathcal{D}_{\text{poly}}^{\text{nor},0}(C)\). \(\square\)
9. Deformations of Affine Varieties

In this section we assume the following setup (a special case of Setup 5.1):

Setup 9.1. \( \mathbb{K} \) is a field of characteristic 0; \((R, m)\) is a parameter algebra over \( \mathbb{K} \); and \( X \) is a smooth algebraic variety over \( \mathbb{K} \), with structure sheaf \( O_X \).

The sheaf \( R \otimes O_X \) is viewed either as a sheaf of commutative \( R \)-algebras, or as a sheaf of \( R \)-modules with distinguished global section \( 1_R \otimes 1_{O_X} \), depending on whether we are dealing with the Poisson case or the associative case. In both cases there is an augmentation \( R \otimes O_X \to O_X \). Thanks to Corollary 3.5, we know that \( R \otimes O_X \) is flat over \( R \) and \( m \)-adically complete. Also for every affine open set \( U \subset X \) the canonical homomorphism

\[
R \otimes \Gamma(U, O_X) \to \Gamma(U, R \otimes O_X)
\]

is bijective.

Let \( U \subset X \) be an affine open set and \( C := \Gamma(U, O_X) \). Recall that an element of \( m \otimes \mathcal{D}_{poly}^{\text{nor}}(C) \) is called a formal differential operator of \( R \otimes C \), and an element of \( m \otimes \mathcal{T}_{poly}(C) \) is called a formal derivation. A differential gauge transformation of \( R \otimes C \) is an \( R \)-linear automorphism of the form \( \exp(\gamma) \), for some formal differential operator \( \gamma \). Note that \( \mathcal{T}_{poly}(C) \) can be viewed as a submodule of \( \mathcal{D}_{poly}^{\text{nor}}(C) \). According to Proposition 7.8(1), the differential gauge transformation \( \exp(\gamma) \) preserves the commutative multiplication of \( R \otimes C \) if and only if \( \gamma \) is a formal derivation.

Differential star products and formal Poisson brackets on \( R \otimes C \) were introduced in Definitions 8.1 and 7.7 respectively. By abuse of notation, given \( \omega \in M C(m \otimes \mathcal{D}_{poly}^{\text{nor}}(C)) \), we call \( \omega \) a differential star product, even though the actual star product is \( \ast_\omega \), as in (7.12).

We now go to sheaves. A differential gauge transformation \( g \) of \( R \otimes O_X \) is an \( R \)-linear sheaf automorphism, such that for every affine open set \( U \subset X \), the automorphism of \( R \otimes \Gamma(U, O_X) \) induced by \( g \) through the canonical isomorphism \( \ast \) is a differential gauge transformation. Similarly, a differential star product (resp. formal Poisson bracket) on \( R \otimes O_X \) is an \( R \)-bilinear pairing \( \omega \), such that for every affine open set \( U \subset X \), the pairing on \( R \otimes \Gamma(U, O_X) \) induced by \( \omega \) through the canonical isomorphism \( \ast \) is a differential star product (resp. formal Poisson bracket).

Lemma 9.3. Let \( U \subset X \) be an affine open set and \( C := \Gamma(U, O_X) \).

1. Let \( g \) be a differential gauge transformation of the augmented \( R \)-module \( R \otimes C \). Then \( g \) extends uniquely to a differential gauge transformation \( \tilde{g} \) of the sheaf of \( R \)-modules \( R \otimes O_U \).

2. Let \( \omega \) be a differential star product (resp. formal Poisson bracket) on \( R \otimes C \). Then \( \omega \) extends uniquely to a differential star product (resp. formal Poisson bracket) \( \tilde{\omega} \) on \( R \otimes O_U \). We denote by \( (R \otimes O_U)_\omega \) the resulting \( R \)-deformation of \( O_U \).

3. Suppose \( \omega \) and \( \omega' \) are differential star products (resp. formal Poisson brackets) on \( R \otimes C \), and \( g \) is a differential gauge transformation of the augmented \( R \)-module \( R \otimes C \), which is also a gauge transformation \( g : (R \otimes C)_\omega \to (R \otimes C)_{\omega'} \) of \( R \)-deformations of \( C \). Then

\[
\tilde{g} : (R \otimes O_U)_\omega \to (R \otimes O_U)_{\omega'}
\]

is a gauge transformation of \( R \)-deformations of \( O_U \).

Proof. (1)-(2). Both assertions follow from the fact that \( \mathcal{D}_{poly,X} \) is a sheaf of DG Lie algebras on \( X \), and each \( \mathcal{D}_{poly}^p \) is a quasi-coherent sheaf. To be more precise, consider a formal polydifferential operator \( \omega \) on \( R \otimes C \) (see Definition 5.11(1); for
Proof. Let \( \omega \) be a Poisson \( R \)-algebra homomorphism, so \( \omega \) extends uniquely to a polydifferential operator \( \omega_k \) on \( R \otimes C_k \); cf. [Ye, Proposition 2.7]. Uniqueness implies that \( \omega_k \) has the same algebraic properties (Lie bracket, star product) as \( \omega \). Since the collection of open sets \( \{U_k\}_{k \in K} \) is a basis of the topology of \( U \), the collection of operators \( \{\omega_k\}_{k \in K} \) determines an operator \( \tilde{\omega} \) on the sheaf \( R \otimes \mathcal{O}_U \), whose restriction to \( \Gamma(U_k, R \otimes \mathcal{O}_U) \) is \( \omega_k \). So \( \tilde{\omega} \) is a formal polydifferential operator on \( R \otimes \mathcal{O}_U \). 

(3) Take any affine open set \( U_k \subset U \), and let \( C_k \) be as above. We have to prove that for any \( c_1, c_2 \in C_k \) there is equality \( g_k(\omega_k(c_1, c_2)) = \omega_k'(g_k(c_1), g_k(c_2)) \) in \( R \hat{\otimes} C_k \). But both sides are formal bidifferential operators applied to the pair \((c_1, c_2)\); so this is also a consequence of the uniqueness of extension of formal polydifferential operators mentioned above. \( \square \)

**Lemma 9.4.** Let \( U \subset X \) be an affine open set and \( C := \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X) \). Suppose \( A \) is a Poisson \( R \)-deformation of \( C \). Then there is an isomorphism of augmented commutative \( R \)-algebras \( R \hat{\otimes} C \cong A \).

**Proof.** We write \( R_i := R/m^i \), for \( i \geq 0 \). Since \( C \) is formally smooth over \( K \), we can find a compatible family of \( K \)-algebra liftings \( C \rightarrow R_i \otimes_R A \) of the augmentation. Due to flatness the induced \( R_i \)-algebra homomorphisms \( R_i \otimes C \rightarrow R_i \otimes_R A \) are bijective. And because \( A \) is complete we get an isomorphism of augmented \( R \)-algebras \( R \hat{\otimes} C \cong A \) in the limit. \( \square \)

**Lemma 9.5.** Let \( R \) be a parameter algebra over \( K \). Take an affine open set \( U \subset X \), and let \( C := \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X) \).

1. Let \( A \) be a Poisson (resp. associative) \( R \)-deformation of \( \mathcal{O}_X \). Then there is a formal Poisson bracket (resp. differential star product) \( \omega \) on \( R \hat{\otimes} C \), and a gauge transformation \( \tilde{g} : (R \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_U)_{\omega} \rightarrow A|_U \) between Poisson (resp. associative) \( R \)-deformations of \( \mathcal{O}_U \).

2. Let \( \omega \) and \( \omega' \) be formal Poisson brackets (resp. differential star products) on \( R \hat{\otimes} C \), and let \( \tilde{g} : (R \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_U)_{\omega} \rightarrow (R \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_U)_{\omega'} \) be a gauge transformation between Poisson (resp. associative) \( R \)-deformations of \( \mathcal{O}_U \). Then \( \tilde{g} \) is the extension of the gauge transformation \( g = \exp(\gamma) \), for a unique formal derivation (resp. formal differential operator) \( \gamma \) of \( R \hat{\otimes} C \).

**Proof.** (1) Let \( A := \Gamma(U, A) \), which by Proposition 4.3 is a Poisson (resp. associative) \( R \)-deformation of \( C \). In the Poisson case there is an isomorphism \( g : R \hat{\otimes} C \rightarrow A \) of augmented \( R \)-algebras, by Lemma 9.4. According to Proposition 7.2 there is a formal Poisson bracket \( \omega \), such that \( g : (R \hat{\otimes} C)_{\omega} \rightarrow A \) is a gauge transformation of Poisson deformations of \( C \).

In the associative case we know from Proposition 4.4 that there is an isomorphism \( g' : R \hat{\otimes} C \rightarrow A \) of augmented \( R \)-modules, sending \( 1_R \otimes 1_C \mapsto 1_A \). By Theorem 5.2 we can change \( g' \) to another isomorphism \( R \hat{\otimes} C \rightarrow A \), such that \( g : (R \hat{\otimes} C)_{\omega} \rightarrow A \) is a gauge transformation of associative \( R \)-deformations of \( C \), for some differential star product \( \omega \).

In both cases we now use Lemma 9.3(2) to deduce that the deformation \( (R \hat{\otimes} C)_{\omega} \) of \( C \) extends to a deformation \( (R \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_U)_{\omega} \) of \( \mathcal{O}_U \). There is a gauge transformation \( g : \Gamma(U, (R \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_U)_{\omega}) \rightarrow \Gamma(U, A) \) of deformations of \( C \). According to Theorem 4.7(2),
this extends to a gauge transformation \( g : (R \otimes O_U)_\omega \to A|_U \) of deformations of \( O_U \).

(2) The delicate issue here is that a priori we don’t know that \( \tilde{g} \) is a differential gauge transformation.

Applying \( \Gamma(U, -) \) to \( \tilde{g} \) we get a gauge transformation \( g : (R \otimes C)_\omega \to (R_\otimes \gamma \otimes C)_\omega \) between \( R \)-deformations of \( C \). In the Poisson case we know from Proposition \( \ref{prop:main} \) that \( g = \exp(\gamma) \), for a unique formal derivation \( \gamma \). In the associative case, Theorem \( \ref{thm:main} \) says that \( g = \exp(\gamma) \), for a unique formal differential operator \( \gamma \). Next, in both cases, using Lemma \( \ref{lem:main} \), we see that \( g \) extends uniquely to a differential gauge transformation \( \tilde{g}' : (R \otimes O_U)_\omega \to (R_\otimes \gamma \otimes O_U)_\omega \) between \( R \)-deformations of \( O_U \).

To finish the proof, the uniqueness in Theorem \( \ref{thm:main} \) tells us that \( \tilde{g}' = \tilde{g} \).

\( \square \)

The notion of equivalence of crossed groupoids was defined in Definition \( \ref{def:equivalence} \). The dependence of \( \text{AssDef}(R, O_U) \) and \( \text{PoisDef}(R, O_U) \) on \( U \) and \( R \) was explained in Proposition \( \ref{prop:dependence} \). Here is the main result of the paper.

**Theorem 9.6.** Let \( \mathbb{K} \) be a field of characteristic 0, \( X \) a smooth algebraic variety over \( \mathbb{K} \), \( R \) a parameter algebra over \( \mathbb{K} \), and \( U \) an affine open set in \( X \). There are equivalences of crossed groupoids

\[
\text{geo} : \text{Del}(\Gamma(U, D^{\text{nor}}_{\text{poly}, X}), R) \to \text{AssDef}(R, O_U)
\]

and

\[
\text{geo} : \text{Del}(\Gamma(U, T_{\text{poly}, X}), R) \to \text{PoisDef}(R, O_U)
\]

which we call geometrization. The equivalences \( \text{geo} \) commute with homomorphisms \( R \to R' \) of parameter algebras, and with inclusions of affine open sets \( U' \to U \).

**Proof.** Let us write \( g(U) \) for either \( \Gamma(U, D^{\text{nor}}_{\text{poly}, X}) \) or \( \Gamma(U, T_{\text{poly}, X}) \). Likewise we write \( P(R, U) \) for either \( \text{AssDef}(R, O_U) \) or \( \text{PoisDef}(R, O_U) \).

Take an object

\[
\omega \in \text{MC}(m \otimes g(U)) = \text{Ob}(\text{Del}(g(U), R)).
\]

According to Lemma \( \ref{lem:geo} \) there is an \( R \)-deformation \( \text{geo}(\omega) := (R \otimes O_U)_\omega \) of \( O_U \).

By Lemma \( \ref{lem:geo} \) a gauge transformation \( g : \omega \to \omega' \) in \( \text{Del}_1(g(U), R) \) induces to a unique gauge transformation of deformations \( \text{geo}_1(g) = g : \text{geo}(\omega) \to \text{geo}(\omega') \) in \( P(R, U) \). Thus we get a morphism of groupoids

\[
\text{geo}_1 : \text{Del}_1(g(U), R) \to P_1(R, U).
\]

Lemma \( \ref{lem:geo} \) says that \( \text{geo}_1 \) is essentially surjective on objects. Lemma \( \ref{lem:geo} \) tells us that \( \text{geo}_1 \) is bijective on gauge transformations (1-morphisms). So \( \text{geo}_1 \) is an equivalence.

Let \( \omega \in \text{Ob}(\text{Del}(g(U), R)) \). By Propositions \( \ref{prop:geo} \) and \( \ref{prop:geo} \) we have a group isomorphism

\[
\text{Del}_2(g(U), R)(\omega) = \exp(m \otimes g^{-1}(U))_{\omega} \cong \text{IG}((R \otimes C)_{\omega}),
\]

where \( C := \Gamma(U, O_X) \). And by Corollary \( \ref{cor:geo} \) there is a group isomorphism

\[
\text{IG}((R \otimes C)_{\omega}) \cong \Gamma(U, \text{IG}((R \otimes O_U)_\omega)) = P_2(R, U)(\text{geo}(\omega)).
\]

In this way we get a fully faithful morphism of groupoids

\[
\text{geo}_2 : \text{Del}_2(g(U), R) \to P_2(R, U).
\]

The fact that the pair of morphisms \( \text{geo} := (\text{geo}_1, \text{geo}_2) \) respects the twistings and the feedbacks is immediate from the definitions (cf. Proposition \( \ref{prop:feedback} \) and Definition \( \ref{def:feedback} \)). So \( \text{geo} \) is an equivalence of crossed groupoids.

Finally it is clear from the construction that \( \text{geo} \) is functorial in \( U \) and \( R \).
Remark 9.7. In [Ye1] Definitions 1.4 and 1.8 we introduced the notion of a differential structure on an associative $R$-deformation $A$ of $O_X$. We said there that one must stipulate the existence of such a differential structure, and uniqueness was not clear. Now, having Theorem 9.6 at our disposal, we know that any associative $R$-deformation $A$ of $O_X$ admits a differential structure. Moreover, any two such differential structures are equivalent.

Here is a similar theorem (but much easier to prove).

Theorem 9.8. Let $K$ be a field of characteristic 0, $X$ a smooth algebraic variety over $K$, $R$ a parameter algebra over $K$, and $U$ an affine open set in $X$. Write $C := \Gamma(U, O_X)$. There are equivalences of crossed groupoids

$$\Gamma(U, -) : \text{AssDef}(R, O_U) \to \text{AssDef}(R, C)$$

and

$$\Gamma(U, -) : \text{PoisDef}(R, O_U) \to \text{PoisDef}(R, C),$$

that commute with homomorphisms $R \to R'$ of parameter algebras.

Proof. It is clear that $\Gamma(U, -)$ is a morphism of crossed groupoids. By Corollary 4.10 we know that there is an equivalence of groupoids on the 1-truncations (i.e. forgetting 2-morphisms). Corollary 5.6 implies that the group homomorphism $IG(\Gamma(U, A)) \to \Gamma(U, IG(A))$ is bijective. □

Remark 9.9. The associative case of Theorem 9.8 is valid in arbitrary characteristic, since $IG(A)$ can be described without exponential maps (See Proposition 5.7).
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