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Evaluation of phenotypic and biochemical responses of *Pteris vittata* during growth in arsenic contaminated soil and its effect on selected soil enzymes activity
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Experiments were conducted with arsenic-hyperaccumulator, *Pteris vittata*, and non-hyperaccumulator, *Pteris ensiformis*, subjected to various arsenic toxicity levels (0 to 1500 mg As kg⁻¹) in peat-moss or soil for up to 14 weeks, to evaluate phenotypic responses and total lipid with fatty acid profiles, and *P. vittata* influence on rhizosphere enzyme activities. *P. ensiformis* exhibited significant phenotypic toxicity response compared to *P. vittata*. *P. vittata* sequestered significantly (p<0.5) higher arsenic (5,160.6 mg As kg⁻¹) than *P. ensiformis* (313.4 mg As kg⁻¹). Cellular damage and physiological death occurred in *P. ensiformis* with alteration in fatty acids and lipid compositions but no significant changes in *P. vittata*. Both showed no detectable C16:3 but a decrease in C18:3, which was more pronounced in *P. ensiformis* (51.2%); hence, are reported as C₁₈:₃ plants for the first time. Acid phosphatase, β-glucosidase, allysulphatase, and N-acetyl-β-D-glucosaminidase activities were inhibited by As and significantly (p<0.5) negatively correlated to As concentration. Enzyme inhibition range from 6% in β-glucosidase to 67% in N-acetyl-β-D-glucosaminidase. These results revealed *P. vittata* phenotypic tolerance to As stress was mediated through metabolic readjustment, especially its fatty acid and lipid compositions. Additionally, *P. vittata* rhizospheric events modulate soil enzyme activities in the As-contaminated soil.
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INTRODUCTION

Heavy metals and metalloids contamination have received considerable attention in the past decades due to increasing environmental contamination from anthropogenic activities: industrial and municipal waste, as well as agrochemicals (Khan et al., 2017). Some heavy metals and metalloids (Cu, Fe, Zn, Se, Si, Ni, Mo, Co) are essential elements required by plants in traceamounts for cellular metabolic processes but are
toxic at high concentrations. On the other hand, non-essential metals or metalloids (As, Al, Cr, Pb, Cd, Hg) are toxic for plants even in trace amounts. Under heavy metals and metalloids stress, plant growth and performance are impacted, triggering complex adaptive responses such as membrane lipid and fatty acid modifications, alteration of root architecture, and plant physiological processes at the root-soil interphase that can influence major enzymes that are involved in nutrient cycling and availability. Assessing these responses may provide better understanding of tolerance and nutrient management for plants in heavy metal-contaminated soil and provide insights into use of adapted plants for bioremediation.

Plants, as sessile organisms, are generally exposed to a plethora of abiotic (drought, salinity, nutrient deficiency, heavy metals and metalloids contamination, etc.) and biotic stresses in their environment, which influence their growth and development, survival, and productivity (Upchurch, 2008; Ramakrishna, 2014; Bhalerao et al., 2015; Goyal et al., 2020). Plants, thus, have evolved varied mechanisms of agronomic and biochemical adaptations under extreme ecological and stress conditions. Common phenotypic responses to heavy metals and metalloids toxicity in plants include growth retardation, leaf chlorosis and necrosis, impaired photosynthesis, respiration, mineral nutrition uptake, gene expression, initiation of senescence and program cell death (Caille et al., 2004; Shaibur et al., 2008; Steiner et al., 2012). Some of these symptoms are similar to those observed in nutrient deficient plants. For example, aluminum (Al) toxicity phenotype is similar to phosphorus deficiency phenotype, which is purple discoloration on stems and leaves, followed by leaf veins yellowing and dead leaf tips. Aluminum toxicity may mimic P deficiency phenotype and calcium deficiency mimicking drought or root damage stress (Bojórquez-Quintal et al., 2017).

At the cellular levels, abiotic stress such as heavy metals and metalloids exposure elicits metabolic disruption and cell membranes are generally the first targets of such perturbations. Therefore, responses mediated through the membrane structure usually involve induced alteration in lipids concentration and membrane fatty acids composition (Peixoto et al., 2001; Farid et al., 2013). This change in fatty acids composition may result in remodeling of the plasma membrane fluidity leading to increased membrane permeability (Cumming and Taylor, 1990; Kabala et al., 2008), especially to reactive oxygen species (ROS) molecules, and other molecules.

In heavy metal contaminated soil, through association with rhizospheric microbes and arbuscular mycorrhizal, plants can effect changes in metal speciation, toxicity, mobility, extracellular enzyme activities and nutrient mineralization, solubilization, and bioavailability (Gadd, 2010; Kim et al., 2010; Shahid et al., 2014). Furthermore, these microbes play a critical role in plant nutrients cycling, degradation of xenobiotics, plant pathogens control, maintenance of soil biotics, and plant growth promotion (Hassan et al., 2019). In agricultural soil, the rhizosphere, a region of soil immediately surrounding plant roots and nutrient-rich, is the main site of these biochemical activities, nutrients mineralization, and the complex ecological interactions between plant, soil, and microorganisms. Extracellular enzymes produced and released here mediate reactions of biogeochemical importance especially nutrients release for plant growth. Some key enzymes of agronomic importance include arylsulfatase (SUL) that catalyzes the hydrolysis of organic sulfur with aromatic radical (Tabatabai and Bremner, 1970); β-glucosidase (GLU) involved in carbon cycling and energy release for microbial growth (Deng and Popova, 2011) acid phosphatase (PHOS6), predominant in acid soils, catalyzes the hydrolysis of phosphomonoesters to release inorganic phosphorus (Eivazi and Tabatabai, 1977); and N-acetyl-β-D-glucosaminidase (NAG) critical to N mineralization in soils (Ekenler and Tabatabai, 2002). Soil enzyme activities are measured as a good indicator of soil health because of their sensitivity to ecological disturbance which is easily detected in fast changes in the activities associated with them such as mineralization, soil physical properties, microbial growth, etc. (Alkorta et al., 2003; Dick and Kandeler, 2005). They can therefore serve as good predictors of effects of perturbations on soil quality and availability of mineralized nutrients and help in determining the state of health and performance of the plants, especially in contaminated environment. Studies have shown that these activities may be inhibited by environmental contaminants, especially heavy metals and metalloids such as arsenic (As); hence, affecting plants access to nutrients needed for growth and development. Plants in response may alter the soil chemistry through rhizosphere activities such as root exudations that form organic complexes with nutrients, chelate heavy metals and metalloids and may enhance nutrient access and uptake (Nguyen, 2003). Although there are several literature on the impact of heavy metals and metalloids on soil enzymes (Tabatabai, 1977; Karaca et al., 2010; Angelovićová et al., 2014), little is however known about extracellular enzyme activities in the root zone of hyperaccumulators in contaminated soil and the influence of the plant rhizodeposition. Plant produce higher amount of root exudates in response to stress such as heavy metals (Wenzel et al., 2003; Fu et al., 2017; Luo et al., 2017). Organic compounds contained in these exudates have been shown to cause changes in soil redox potential, reducing soil pH, metals mobilization by forming soluble chelate complexes with heavy metals (Montiel-Rozas et al., 2016) thus influencing both plant roots interact with different microorganisms and rhizosphere enzymes activity and metal uptake by the root. Heavy metals and metalloids are also known to depress soil enzyme activities (Wang et al., 2007). In a
time-series experiment, Gao et al. (2010) reported an increase in inhibition of phosphatase, urease and dehydrogenase activity with increasing Cd, Cu, Zn, Pb and As concentrations over time.

Some plants are however, tolerant to heavy metal and metalloid stresses. These plants have evolved mechanisms to withstand heavy metals and metalloids toxicity, which mostly involve avoiding metal uptake through metal-cell wall binding, active metal ions efflux, metal immobilization through chelation by organic acids produced by the root, mycorrhization, etc. Once in the plant, however, heavy metals and metalloids toxicity is reduced by the production of antioxidant enzymes, production of ROS as signaling molecules, and metal chelating followed by sequestration of the metals in vacuoles. Under normal conditions, ROS production and destruction are controlled through cellular homeostasis (Raja et al., 2017) and involves signaling molecules derived from fatty acids (De Carvalho and Caramujo, 2018). However, during stress such as exposure to heavy metals and metalloids, oxidative burst may occur in which the production of ROS supersedes the scavenging ability of the cell. The ROS are cytotoxic, and their cellular effects include lipid peroxidation, proteins denaturation and nucleotide degradation (Raja et al., 2017) disrupting cellular functions of fatty acids, among others. Fatty acids are structural components of the cell membrane and precursors of signaling molecules (e.g. jasmonates) involved in response to ROS production. Linolenic acid (C18:3), for example, is involved in stress response and is released from the membrane lipids as precursor of jasmonates (JAs) and phyto-oxylipin biosynthesis in response to abiotic (e.g. freeze-thawing) or biotic (insects or pathogens) stresses (Bléé, 2002; Upchurch, 2008); oleic acid (C18:1) is involved in pathogen defense, for instance in Arabidopsis sp. (Kachroo et al., 2001); oleic (C18:1) and linoleic (C18:2) acids modulate the growth, development, and mycotoxin production in Aspergillus spp. (Wilson et al., 2004). Investigations have shown compositional change in fatty acids of different plant species following heavy metal stress. In tomato plant, Verdoni et al. (2001) reported overall changes in leaf fatty acids composition with a decrease in the percentage of tri-unsaturated (mainly C18:3) fatty acids in the leaves and increased production of C18:2, C18:0 and C16:0 in plant exposed to cadmium stress. Comparing the cadmium (Cd) stress in Brassica napus (rapeseed), a non-hyperaccumulator and a well-known Cd-hyperaccumulator plant, Brassica juncea (Indian mustard), Nouairi et al. (2006) observed a 52% decrease in leaf total lipids in B. napus whereas B. juncea had a 19% compared to the control plant. Nouairi et al. (2006) also reported a decline in polyunsaturated fatty acids (mainly linolenic acid [C18:3] and hexadecatrienoic acid [C16:3]), and increase in the level of saturated fatty acids (C18:0 and C16:0) with B. juncea (the Cd-hyperaccumulator) showing more stability in the cellular membrane compared to B. napus (a non-hyperaccumulator of Cd).

Plants that can tolerate and hyperaccumulate heavy metals and metalloids have been suggested as good candidates for remediation of heavy metals and metalloids polluted soils. Such plants can prevent ROS damage and hyperaccumulate heavy metals and metalloids from the contaminated environment in their tissues. Many plant species, including the ferns (Pteris spp., Brassica juncea, Salix spp., (willow), Populus spp. (poplar), etc. are examples of tolerant and hyperaccumulators of heavy metals and metalloids (Nouairi et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2018). The knowledge of the mechanisms that differentiate tolerant species from non-tolerant ones is still limited. Pteris vittata is the first identified hyperaccumulator of arsenic (As), a ubiquitous metalloid known to be carcinogenic (Ma et al., 2001). P. vittata can tolerate and sequester exceptionally high amounts of As in its aboveground tissue, making it a suitable candidate for remediation of As contaminated environment. Although the mechanism of As uptake as well as antioxidant activities have been described in P. vittata, changes in fatty acid profiles due to As exposure in soil remains unknown, and how this may differ in its close relative, non-arsenic tolerant, and non-hyperaccumulators like P. ensiformis (slender brake) is yet to be explored. Furthermore, information on the influence of As-challenged P. vittata on soil enzyme activity is limited. Knowledge of this is important for understanding of tolerance of plants to heavy metals and metalloid stress and may provide information developing strategies for enhancing efficiency of hyperaccumulator for more cost-effective remediation. The objectives of this study were to: i) screen phenotypic response of P. vittata and P. ensiformis to As toxicity, ii) evaluate the effects of As on the ferns total lipids and fatty acids composition, and iii) assess the influence of P. vittata on soil phosphatase, β-glucosidase, alysurphatase, N-acetyl-β-D-glucosaminidase activities in As-contaminated soil.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant and soil materials

Two fern species, the arsenic hyperaccumulator and tolerant P. vittata (Chinese brake fern) and the non-hyperaccumulator and non-tolerant Pteris ensiformis (slender brake fern) seedlings were obtained from ARC Ferns LLC (Apopka, FL) at five-leaf stage. The plants were transplanted into peat moss potting material for acclimatization for four weeks.

Soil was collected from Tuskegee University’s George Washington Carver Agricultural Experiment Station located in Tuskegee, Alabama (32° 26’ 15.59” N 85° 44’ 0.87” W). The soil is a Marvyn soil with taxonomic classification fine-loamy, kaolinitic, thermic Typic Kanhapludults (sandy loam at 0-15 cm). The primary land use of the area is foraging and has not received any soil amendment in the 5 years prior to soil collection. The top (0-15 cm) soil was collected, sieved to pass through a 2 mm mesh sieve to obtain a homogenous soil sample then air-dried. The soil baseline physiochemical parameters (total C, total N, pH, organic matter, CEC, texture, and elemental concentrations) were determined at
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the Soil, Forage and Water Testing Lab at Auburn University, Auburn, AL (Table 1).

**Experimental designs**

Two subsequent experiments were set up in a complete randomized design with a factorial arrangement and each treatment replicated three times. The As treatment included control (no As) and increasing rate of As (As₂O₃, 99.99% trace metal basis; Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Company, St. Louis, MO, product number 202673) spiked in two planting medium types. The peat moss treatments (0, 50, 250, and 500 mg As kg⁻¹) were done under laboratory conditions by placing the peat moss-potted plants directly in an appropriate volume of As containing Hoagland solution (25%) needed to attain 60% water holding capacity, such that the peat moss imbibed the solution completely. Also, As-spiked soil mixtures (0, 50, 100, 150, 200, 500, 1000, and 1500 mg As kg⁻¹ soil) were cured first under fume hood for 12 weeks before ferns transplantation. Transplanted ferns were then transferred to the greenhouse and maintained under natural photoperiod, ambient temperature and humidity conditions.

**Phenotypic screening (visual observation) in Arsenic contamination soil and peat moss**

The four-weeks-old acclimatized ferns were subjected to treatments either. As-spiked peat moss or As-spiked soil for up to 10 days or 14 weeks, respectively. Phenotypic evaluations of plants under As toxicity were performed at days 0, 5, and 10 for plants in As-amended peat moss in a lab setting, and days 0, 1, 2, 3, 7, and 10, and week 14 in As-spiked soil in the greenhouse. The phenotypic responses were assessed by visual observation of the plants for signs of As stress such as chlorosis, necrosis, wilting (decrease water potential), etc. and scored using a rubric of 0-10 (where 0 = tolerant or no toxicity effect; 1-2 = tolerant/no toxicity (nt), less than 30% of fronds affected, primarily with chlorosis; 3-5 = slight to moderate toxicity (st), up to 60% of fronds showing signs of chlorosis, wilting, and edge browning; 6-7 = severe toxicity (st), over 60% of frond affected with systemic necrosis, all fronds showed signs of wilting, browning and curling, fronds with a metallic wet look, 8-9 = very severe toxicity to physiological death (vst), completely browned with burnt and dry appearance of foliage; 10 = plant death).

**Sample preparation for total elemental, lipid and fatty acid composition profiles**

Each treated and control plant was carefully removed from the pot on day 10 (in peat moss) and week 14 (in soil), the roots (and rhizomes) were gently shaken to remove adhering peat moss or soil and rinsed thoroughly with deionized water. The plant was separated into aboveground (fronds/leaves) and belowground (roots and rhizomes) tissues then air-dried for 72 h followed by oven drying at 60°C for 48 h. The samples were ground to pass 0.5 mm mesh and store at 4°C until utilized for total elemental and fatty acids methyl esters (FAMES) analyses. Total lipids extraction and FAMES analyses, with C19:0 (Nu-Chek Prep Inc., Elysian, Minnesota) as internal standard, were performed according to Folch et al. (1957) and modified according to Abugri et al. (2013). FAMES evaluation was performed using Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) using a 1 µl splitless injection on an Agilent 6890 GC with a 5975 MS equipped with a Restek Stabilwax-DA column 30 m x 0.25 mm i.d and 0.25 µm film thickness. A GLC 463

### Table 1. Some physical and chemical properties.

| Property          | Soil 1  | Soil 2  |
|-------------------|---------|---------|
| Total C, %        | 1.1     | 1.1     |
| Total N, %        | 0.1     | 0.1     |
| C/N               | 11      | 11      |
| pH†               | 6       | 6       |
| Organic matter, % | 1.9     | 1.9     |
| CEC               | 4.17    | 4.17    |
| Texture:          |         |         |
| Clay, g kg⁻¹      | 137.5   | 137.5   |
| Silt, g kg⁻¹      | 12.5    | 12.5    |
| Sand, g kg⁻¹      | 850     | 850     |

Trace elements concentration†† (ppm)  

| Element | Soil Total |  
|---------|------------|
| Al      | 2789       |
| As      | <0.1       |
| Cr      | 10         |
| Fe      | 10632      |
| Ni      | <1.0       |
| Zn      | 78         |

† Soil: water ratio, 1:2.5; †† Trace elements in soil: Total by acid digest, extractable by Mehlich I and analyzed by ICP-MS; CEC is the cation exchange capacity.
Fatty acid analysis was done on D. Statistical analysis of variance according to the General Linear Model of SAS was utilized for treatments effects and means were separated by Tukey's multiple comparison test using p<0.05 level of significance.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
This study concomitantly evaluated several characteristics (phenotype observations, lipid profiles, soil hydrolytic enzyme activities) in P. vittata and P. ensiformis during growth and development in As-spiked peat moss and soil at different concentrations. Both Pteris spp. responded differentially to As concentration as indicated by the phenotypic response and fatty acids changes, as well as inhibition of major soil enzymes described in this study.

Phenotypic response of P. ensiformis and P. vittata to Arsenic toxicity

Both Pteris species in As-spiked peat moss and the As-spiked soil showed phenotypical responses to As toxicity during the 14 week-experiment. The visual severity of toxicity effect increased with increase in As treatment and duration of treatment. Phenotypically, As toxicity response was more severe in P. ensiformis than P. vittata in both As-spiked peat moss (Table 2) and soil (Table 3). In the As-spiked peat moss, P. ensiformis showed severe phenotypic responses (wilting, lodging, and frond rolling) within 24 h of As treatments. This was followed by progressive wilting, with significant foliar chlorosis, general browning, necrotic lesions, as well as frond curling and lodging. At 50 mg As kg⁻¹, moderate frond chlorosis, wilting, and frond edge browning was observed in up to 40% of the plant at 5-day post-treatment and this increased to 60% at 10 days post-treatment compared to 0% for P. vittata. Moreover, at 5-days post-treatment in 500 mg As kg⁻¹ peat moss, over 70% of P. ensiformis fronds showed browning, wilting and curling, as well as frond edge necrosis. The fronds also exhibited a metallic wet look, suggesting electrolyte leaking, and there was physiological death at 10-days post-treatment (Figure 1). P. vittata, on the other hand, only showed signs of frond edge and tip necrosis on older fronds and slight chlorosis in less than 30% of its fronds 10-days post-treatment in 500 mg As kg⁻¹ peat moss but no observable toxicity response in the 50 mg As treatment.

Similar severe phenotypic response to heavy metal toxicity has been reported in physic nut (Jatropha curcas L.) exposed to Al for 75 days and was described as having chlorotic leaves with small necrotic spots on the edge and also wounding in the young leaves while the older leaves had marginal chlorosis that progressed to the center of the frond (Steiner et al., 2012). Leaf rolling and small necrotic spotting have been reported in other heavy metal toxicity, including nickel (Ni) (Rahman et al., 2005) and Zn (Sagardoy et al., 2009). Symptoms recorded in this study were similar to that reported for fenugreek (Trigonella foenum-graecum L. cv. Azad) exposed to As treatment (Talukdar, 2013) which included biomass reduction, (wilting), chlorosis, reduction of photosynthetic activity, lipid peroxidation, low yield, and accelerated ageing. This was also observed for beans (Phaseolus vulgaris L.) (Stoeva et al., 2005), tomato (Solanum lycopersicum) (Miteva, 2002), rice (Oryza
Figure 1. Phenotypic response of *P. ensiformis* and *P. vittata* in As-spiked peat moss 10 days post-treatment in 0, 50, and 500 mg As kg\(^{-1}\) peat moss. Plants were grown in peat moss before treatment with arsenic and evaluated for phenotypic response at 0 day, 5 days and 10 days. Left to right: top panel, *P. ensiform* and bottom panel, *P. vittata*, at 10 days in 0, 50, and 500 mg As kg\(^{-1}\) peat moss. Moderate toxicity responses in *P. ensiformis* in 50 mg As kg\(^{-1}\) and sever to physiological death in 500 mg As kg\(^{-1}\). Only minimal response in *P. vittata* in both 50 and 500 mg As treatment.

Table 2. Phenotypic scoring (visual evaluation) of *P. ensiformis* and *P. vittata* response to As treatment in peat moss in lab. condition\(^1\).

| Days | 0  | 50 | 500 | 0  | 50 | 500 |
|------|----|----|-----|----|----|-----|
|      | *P. ensiformis* |     |     | *P. vittata* |     |     |
| 5    | 0  | 3  | 6   | 0  | 0  | 2   |
| 10   | 0  | 5  | 8   | 0  | 0  | 4   |

\(^1\) *P. vittata* and *P. ensiformis* were assessed for phenotypic changes and scored at 5 and 10 days. Scoring were done as described below: 0 = No Toxicity (NT); 1-2 = Tolerant (T), less than 30% of fronds affected, primarily with chlorosis; 3-5 = Slight to Moderate Toxicity (S-MT), up to 60% of fronds showing signs of chlorosis, wilting, and edge browning; 6-7 = Severe Toxicity (ST), over 60% of fronds affected with systemic necrosis, all fronds showed signs of wilting, browning and curling, fronds with metallic wet look, maybe due to electrolyte leaking; 8-9 = Very Severe Toxicity to physiological death (VST). Completely browned with burnt and dry appearance of foliage. 10 = Pant death (PD).
Table 3. Phenotypic scoring (visual evaluation) of *P. ensiformis* and *P. vittata* responses to As treatment in soil under the greenhouse conditions.

| Days | As concentration (mg As kg\(^{-1}\) soil) |
|------|----------------------------------------|
|      | 0 | 50 | 100 | 150 | 200 | 500 | 1000 | 1500 |
| *Pteris ensiformis* | | | | | | | | |
| 0    | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1    | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 2.5 | 3.8 | 3.5 |
| 2    | 0.0 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 1.3 | 3.5 | 5.8 | 7.0 |
| 3    | 0.0 | 1.7 | 2.2 | 2.8 | 3.3 | 7.3 | 7.7 | 9.0 |
| 7    | 0.0 | 8.0 | 9.8 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| 10*  | 0.0 | 9.2 | 9.7 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| 2 Wks| 0.0 | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  |
| *Pteris vittata* | | | | | | | | |
| 0    | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1    | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 4.0 |
| 2    | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 2.3 | 5.3 |
| 3    | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 6.5 |
| 7    | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 2.8 | 8.0 |
| 10   | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 3.0 | 7.8 |
| 2 Wks| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 3.2 | 8.0 |
| 14 Wks| 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 3.2 | -  | -  |

*The evaluation was ended at 2 weeks after *P. ensiformis* plants were lost to As toxicity. *P. vittata* was scored again at the end of the experiment at 14 weeks. *P. vittata* on 1000 and 1500 mg As kg\(^{-1}\) were both lost to toxicity by 14 weeks. Scoring was done as described as follows: 0 = No Toxicity (NT); 1 = Slight Toxicity (ST); 2 = Tolerant (T), less than 30% of fronds affected, primarily with chlorosis; 3-5 = Slight to Moderate Toxicity (S-MT), up to 60% of fronds showing signs of chlorosis, wilting, and edge browning; 6-7 = Severe Toxicity (ST), over 60% of fronds affected with systemic necrosis, all fronds showed signs of wilting, browning, and curling. Also, fronds had metallic wet look, maybe due to electrolyte leaking; 6-9 = Very Severe Toxicity to physiological death (VST). Completely browned with burnt and dry appearance of foliage; 10 = Plant death (PD). At 10 days, all *P. ensiformis* on As-spiked soil were dead and excluded from further scoring and analyses.*

*sativa* (Rahman et al., 2008) and in sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*) (Shaibur et al., 2008).

Greenhouse experiment for both *P. vittata* and *P. ensiformis* in As-spiked soil showed signs of chlorosis and browning homogeneously over the fronds, and frond necrosis at very high As concentrations (1,000 and 1,500 mg As kg\(^{-1}\) after 48 h of treatment. These effects progressed rapidly in *P. ensiformis* at all As treatment levels, resulting in physiological death at 10-days post-treatment. The symptoms were similar to those described above for As-spiked peat moss but more severe. In *P. vittata*, there was initial uniform chlorosis in old fronds, followed by frond edges and tips browning and necrosis at 500 mg As kg\(^{-1}\) and above. These symptoms stabilized at 10-days post-treatment in *P. vittata* exposed to 500 mg As kg\(^{-1}\) soil, but symptoms progression continued in the 1,000 and 1,500 mg As kg\(^{-1}\) soil. After 24 days, there was 100% leaf browning with a metallic hue. New fronds emerged from the rhizome in these treatments (1,000 and 1,500 mg As kg\(^{-1}\) soil) after 48 h, but these fronds quickly developed chlorosis, browning, wilting, edge rolling and senescence. Singh et al., (2006) also reported chlorosis and necrosis in *P. ensiformis* after As treatment (in hydroponic system) compared to no effect in *P. vittata* at the same treatment levels (0, 133, 267 µM As, which are equivalent to 0, 10, and 20 mg As kg\(^{-1}\) in this study). The response in *P. vittata* at the very high As concentrations (1000 and 1500 mg kg\(^{-1}\) soil) observed in this study was equally reported by Caille et al. (2004) in *P. vittata* grown on soil with an average As concentration of 4550 mg As kg\(^{-1}\). They reported chlorosis and necrosis in the fronds of the fern after 198 days and were not able to collect any root sample for analysis. Similarly, fresh plant tissues harvested from very high As treatments in this study were insufficient for the necessary downstream analyses. Only 0-150 mg As treated *P. vittata* harvested at 14 weeks post treatment were therefore utilized for further analyses. Moreover, all As treated *P. ensiformis* died within 2 weeks of As treatment, therefore, excluded.

The phenotypic responses of both *Pteris* spp. to As toxicity reported in this study are similar to responses described in other plants under heavy metal toxicity stress (Rahman et al., 2005; Steiner et al., 2012; Talukdar, 2013). The phenotypic observations resemble symptoms of other abiotic stresses-nutrient deficiency. The similarity in symptoms suggests disruption in the cell
membrane, primary site of nutrient transport. This disruption may deplete or remodel cell membrane lipid and fatty acid concentrations and composition resulting in the phenotypic changes observed (chlorosis, wilting, etc.). The chloroplasts are plants sites of light-harvesting for sugar production-photosynthesis. It is also an important site of ROS production (as is mitochondria and the peroxisomes). The fronds chlorosis may be due to inhibition of photosynthetic enzymes and chloroplast membrane lipid/fatty acids remodeling leading to membrane permeability to more radicals or more seriously, gene expression reprogramming. These alterations may induce a response from other thylakoid membrane proteins resulting in impaired/inhibition of photosynthetic pigments production (Kleine and Leister, 2016; Paunov et al., 2018).

A direct effect of As that may explain the observed necrosis and browning is the potential participation of As in biological reactions replacing P and imparting the cellular energy currency, ATP, producing ADP-AsV instead thus uncoupling oxidative phosphorylation. The toxicity at high As treatment (500 mg As kg\(^{-1}\) in peat moss and above 1000 mg As in soil) as observed in phenotype changes in \emph{P. vittata}, a known As-tolerant and hyperaccumulator, suggest a possible As toxicity tolerance threshold for the fern. Ma et al. (2001) reported \emph{P. vittata} tolerant to soil spiked with 1,500 ppm As and accumulating 15,861 ppm As in 2 weeks. In this study, while the \emph{P. vittata} was able to tolerate the As treatment, plants in soil spiked with greater than 500 mg As showed toxicity visual symptoms which resulted in the loss of biomass and growth retardation. The difference in threshold may be due to the fern ecotypes, \emph{P. vittata} obtained from around a contaminated site (up to 1,603 mg As kg\(^{-1}\) As) were used in Ma et al. (2001) study, while in this study plants were obtained from a nursery. As-spiked peat moss material was more potent than the As-spiked soil; due to higher bioavailability of As in the peat moss compared to the soil. However, \emph{P. vittata} phytoextraction and sequestration of As was at a magnitude many orders higher than in \emph{P. ensiformis} which died after few days due to high As toxicity.

**Arsenic and total elemental concentrations of \emph{P. ensiformis} and \emph{P. vittata}**

Arsenic accumulation was several order of magnitudes higher in \emph{P. vittata} fronds compared to \emph{P. ensiformis} in similar As-spiked peat moss at 3-days post-treatment (Table 4). However, \emph{P. ensiformis} had higher P concentration compared to \emph{P. vittata}. In both ferns, As treatment enhanced P uptake with a 49.4% increase in \emph{P. ensiformis} and only 7.0% in \emph{P. vittata} compared to their respective controls. These observations are contrary to those reported by Huang et al. (2006) in \emph{P. vittata} grown on acid-washed quartz sand and supplemented with half-strength Hoagland nutrient. However, in other studies (Liao et al., 2004; Lessl et al., 2015) in which \emph{P. vittata} was grown on As-contaminated soil, results obtained in present study are consistent with their observations. The results showed positive correlations between plant tissue As and P concentrations, as well as between soil As treatments and plant tissue As concentrations.

Analysis of root and fronds elemental concentrations in \emph{P. vittata} in the greenhouse study revealed a similar trend observed in the As-spiked peat moss study. The frond and root As concentrations increased with increasing soil As concentration, with a 10-fold higher As in the fronds compared to the roots (Table 5). The bioaccumulation factor (BF), a ratio of plant tissue As to soil As averaged 30.9 in the fronds but just 3.0 in the root. The translocation factor (TF), ratio of frond As to root As average was 10.4 for \emph{P. vittata} grown on As-spiked soil. These values are similar to those reported by Lessl et al. (2015) who observed a BF of 26 for frond and 3.5 for root, and TF of 7.8 for \emph{P. vittata} cultivated on As-contaminated soil (0.83 to 96.7 mg As kg\(^{-1}\) soil). However, they reported a much higher BF and TF for naturally growing \emph{P. vittata} in the same soil (BF: of 25 for root, 232 for fronds, and TFs of 17). Phosphorus, an analog of As, showed only slightly increase at 50 mg As kg\(^{-1}\) soil (1.6%) compared to the control, however there was no significant difference among treatments (p<0.5). The P content was otherwise stable (692±9.7 mg As kg\(^{-1}\) in the fronds; 359.5±8.9 mg As kg\(^{-1}\) in the roots).

### Table 4. Total elemental concentration in \emph{P. vittata} and \emph{P. ensiformis} aboveground tissue at three days post-treatment on arsenic-spiked peat moss material in lab conditions.

| Plant          | As rate | P    | Ca   | Al   | Cr   | Fe   | Zn   | As   |
|----------------|---------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
|                | (mg kg\(^{-1}\)) |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| \emph{P. ensiformis} | 0      | 3046 | 1652 | 88.3 | 2.7  | 165.5| 15.0 | 3.6  |
|                | 250    | 4552 | 2258 | 41.8 | 1.2  | 94.7 | 19.7 | 313  |
| \emph{P. vittata} | 0      | 2626 | 3041 | 13.4 | 1.2  | 133.5| 16.4 | 3.9  |
|                | 250    | 2811 | 2621 | 15.8 | 1.1  | 65.2 | 16.6 | 5161 |

Arsenic challenged plants in peat moss were air-dried, finished off by oven-drying at 60 °C overnight and analyzed for total heavy metal content with Inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) after digestion in HNO\(_3\) according to the USEPA method 3050.
compared to As (Tables 4 to 6). There was a strong correlation between the soil As and plant tissue As in both root and frond ($r^2 = 0.97$ and 0.99 respectively).

### Effect of As stress on lipid and fatty acids concentrations

The frond is the primary site of As sequestration in these ferns, the effect of As on lipid and fatty acid compositions in the frond was therefore evaluated in this study. The total lipid composition of *P. vittata* and *P. ensiformis* challenged on A-spiked peat moss is shown in Figure 2. There was an overall increase in total lipids concentration at higher As concentration in both fern species, on the average, 36.8% more in *P. ensiformis* and 10.4% in *P. vittata* compared to their respective controls. *P. ensiformis* generally has more total lipids concentration than *P. vittata* both in control and As-spiked peat moss (Figure 2). *P. vittata* in As-spiked soil also showed higher total lipids concentration compared to the control, 21.4, 48.4, and 3.9% in 50 mg As, 100 mg As and 150 mg As respectively for 14 weeks, showed a decrease in total frond lipid concentration (Figure 3). This result is contrary to data reported by Nouairi et al. (2006) in comparing a Cd hyperaccumulating *Brassica juncea* and non-hyperaccumulating *Brassica napus*. They reported a decrease in total lipid concentrations in the non-hyperaccumulator but increase in the lipid concentration of the hyperaccumulator with increase in Cd treatment similar to data reported in this study.

Table 5. Total elemental concentration in *P. vittata* aboveground tissue at fourteen weeks post-treatment in arsenic-spiked soil under the greenhouse conditions.

| As rate | P   | Ca   | Al   | Cr   | Fe   | Zn   | As |
|---------|-----|------|------|------|------|------|----|
| 0       | 692 | 2549 | 23.8 | 1.0  | 44.4 | 19.0 | 6.3 |
| 50      | 703 | 2203 | 38.4 | 1.5  | 46.0 | 20.0 | 1594 |
| 100     | 680 | 2395 | 29.7 | 1.3  | 40.5 | 17.0 | 2944 |
| 150     | 696 | 2344 | 32.2 | 1.0  | 51.5 | 23.4 | 4738 |

Mean values in a column followed by different letters indicate significant difference at $p<0.05$. Aboveground tissue of arsenic challenged plants in soil were air-dried, finished off by oven-drying at 60 °C overnight and analyzed for total heavy metal content with Inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) after digestion in HNO$_3$ according to the USEPA method 3050.

Table 6. Total elemental concentration in *P. vittata* belowground tissue at 14 weeks post-treatment in arsenic-spiked soil under the greenhouse conditions weeks post-treatment in arsenic-spiked soil under the greenhouse conditions.

| As rate | P   | Ca   | Al   | Cr   | Fe   | Zn   | As |
|---------|-----|------|------|------|------|------|----|
| 0       | 348 | 1515 | 772  | 30.0 | 728.3| 15.7 | 2.0 |
| 50      | 360 | 1557 | 888  | 27.4 | 820.2| 15.1 | 175 |
| 100     | 361 | 1567 | 810  | 24.2 | 740.3| 14.7 | 286 |
| 150     | 369 | 1787 | 865  | 19.0 | 787.6| 14.6 | 402 |

Mean values in a column followed by different letters indicate significant difference at $p<0.05$. Belowground tissue of arsenic-challenged plants in soil were air-dried, finished off by oven-drying at 60 °C overnight and analyzed for total heavy metal content with Inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) after digestion in HNO$_3$ according to the USEPA method 3050.
Figure 2. Total lipids concentration of *P. vittata* and *P. ensiformis* fronds. Each bar is average of triplicates total lipids extracted using modified Folch et al. (1957) method from aboveground tissue of *P. vittata* (PVL) and *P. ensiformis* (PEL) grown in 0 or 250 mgAs amended peat moss 3-days post-treatment.

Figure 3. Total lipids concentration of *P. vittata* fronds. Each bar is average triplicates of total lipids extracted using modified Folch et al. (1957) method from aboveground tissue of the plant grown in soil spiked with different concentrations of arsenic 14-weeks post-treatment.
Table 7. Major fatty acids concentrations (%) in *P. vittata* and *P. ensiformis* in As-spiked peat moss.

| Plants     | Arsenic Treatment (mg) | Palmitic acid (C16:0) | Palmitoleic acid (C16:1) | Stearic acid (C18:0) | Linolenic acid (C18:3) |
|------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| *P. ensiformis* | 0 | 17.3 | 2.8 | 33.0 | 16.4 |
|             | 250 | 14.1 | 2.0 | 47.2 | 8.4 |
| *P. vittata*   | 0 | 21.0 | 0.7 | 13.8 | 18.7 |
|             | 250 | 20.4 | 1.9 | 23.9 | 17.1 |

Major fatty acids: Fatty Acids Methyl Esters, extracted according to Folch et al. (1957) and modified according to Abugri et al. (2013).

Table 8. Major fatty acids concentrations (%) of *P. vittata* aboveground tissue 14 weeks post-treatment in arsenic spiked soil under greenhouse conditions.

| Arsenic Treatment | Palmitic acid (C16:0) | Palmitoleic acid (C16:1) | Stearic acid (C18:0) | Oleic acid (C18:1) | Linoleic acid (C18:2) | Linolenic acid (C18:3) |
|-------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| 0 mgAs            | 19.0                   | 0.6                      | 14.3                 | 10.5              | 3.3                  | 9.0                   |
| 50 mgAs           | 22.7                   | 1.3                      | 15.1                 | 10.1              | 3.3                  | 5.7                   |
| 100 mgAs          | 27.8                   | 0.6                      | 17.7                 | 8.2               | 3.8                  | 5.3                   |
| 150 mgAs          | 21.9                   | 0.5                      | 15.5                 | 6.6               | 3.1                  | 6.8                   |

Major fatty acids, Fatty Acids Methyl Esters, extracted according to Folch et al. (1957) and modified according to Abugri et al. (2013).

Although there was no known literature on the fatty acid composition of *P. vittata* to compare this study’s fatty acids data to, lipid peroxidation, which results in fatty acid compositional changes have been reported in plants under stress (Srivasavata et al., 2005; Watanabe et al., 2014; Casado et al., 2015). The reported increase in lipid peroxidation with the increase in As stress is consistent with the increase in overall fatty acid saturation (with increase in As concentration) that is reported here. The differences observed in the level of severity of the As treatments between *P. vittata* and *P. ensiformis* confirmed that the degree of As toxicity is dependent on the plant species, exposure time, source of contamination, media, bioavailable As, etc. as suggested by Pourrut et al. (2011).

**Soil enzyme activity**

Arsenic treatments significantly (p<0.0001) affected all the rhizosphere enzyme (arylsulfatase, β-glucosidase, acid phosphatase, and N-acetyl-β-D-glucosaminidase) activities studied. Enzyme activities ranged from 6.2% in GLU in 50 mg As treatment to 69.8% in NAG at 500 mg As treatment. In general, enzyme activities significantly decreased in soil treated with higher than 50 mg As and there was no significant difference in the inhibition within each enzyme in 100 mg As to 500 mg As soil. However there was general trend of decrease in all enzyme activities with increase in As concentration except in acid phosphatase (Figure 4). The highest average activities were recorded for acid phosphatase (up to 1.42 µmol g soil⁻¹ h⁻¹ in 500 mg As) and the lowest in SUL (0.12 µmol g soil⁻¹ h⁻¹ in 150 mg As). Juma and Tabatabai (1977) identified As among the most effective inhibitors of phosphatase with >50% average inhibition in three soils treated with 25 µM trace element g⁻¹ soil, and Karaca et al. (2002) reported inhibition of GLU activity by Cd in soil amended with Cd and sewage. Das et al. (2017) similarly reported a 2.5% decrease in GLU activity in *P. vittata* rhizosphere spiked with 100 mg As compared to control. In a time-series experiment, Pan and Yu (2011) reported inhibition of 21.3 to 30.0% in acid phosphatase in soil treated with a combination of Cd and Pb after two weeks. Acid phosphatase activity inhibition by other heavy metals has been reported in other studies such as by antimony (An and Kim, 2009), and Cd (Karaca et al., 2002). In soil, As toxicity is known to inhibit soil enzymes and disrupt plant growth-promoting bacteria especially those involved in nutrient cycling (e.g. nitrogen fixation), and nutrient supply to plant (Porter and Sheridan, 1981).
In this study, all the *P. ensiformis* grown on the As-spiked soil were all lost after 10 days, due to a combination of aboveground ROS induce events and belowground root damage. In a study by Pajuelo et al. (2008), alfalfa grown on As-contaminated soil were lost as a result of root necrosis, root hair damage, and infection susceptibility. They postulated that the loss of the root prevented the plant access to nutrient needed for cellular activity and maintenance. In this study, there was evidence of root necrosis in *P. ensiformis* (data not shown) with no sign of new root growth upon examination of the dead plants. The fronds also had a metallic wet look, as a result of leakage of cellular contents due to possible membrane disruption and damage.

Enzyme activity results from this study is consistent with observations from other studies such as Lorenz et al. (2006) who reported inhibition of alkaline phosphatase, arylsulfatase, protease, and invertase in soil contaminated with As and Cd. Investigating the effect of As fractions on β-glucosidase, urease, acid/alkaline phosphatase, and arylsulfatase activities, Bhattacharyya et al. (2008) reported significant differences in the enzyme activities in the studied soil, but, all the enzyme activities were inhibited compared to in the control soils. They demonstrated a strong negative correlation between the enzyme activities and As concentration, similar to the observed results in this study.

Among different soil enzymes, β-glucosidase, *N*-acetyl-β-D-glucosaminidase, acid phosphatase, and arylsulfatase are critically important in mineralization of key plant nutrients (C, N, P, and S respectively). Heavy metals and metalloids inhibit these soil enzyme activities directly by binding enzyme active site or complexing the substrate (Juma and Tabatabai, 1977), and indirectly by influencing microbial community growth, structure, and enzyme production (Lorenz et al., 2006; Gao et al., 2010). The soil surrounding plant roots presents a different interface for complex interactions between the plant, microbes, and soil with opportunity for influence by the plant on events in the rhizosphere. *P. vittata* is known to produce exudates high in dissolved organic carbon and phytate, especially in contaminated soil (Tu et al., 2003).
The production of a higher amount of exudate under As stress suggests changes in physiological activities in the above-ground tissue in response to the soil As concentration. The exudates provide nutrients for promotion of soil microbial growth, thus enhance extracellular enzyme production, hence higher enzyme activities in the rhizosphere and rhizoplane (Smalla et al., 2001).

Activities of GLU, NAG, SUL, and PHO6 were inhibited with increase in As concentration, but there was no significant difference in inhibition in As concentration 100 mg As and higher. This suggests influence from other factors such as the plants' aboveground events influencing exudates production and modulation of rhizospheric events. Increase inhibition of enzyme activities with the increase in As concentration is due to enhanced bioavailability of As caused by desorption of As from As-spiked soil by phytic acid and oxalic acid found in the root exudate of P. vittata (Tu et al., 2003; Bhattacharyya et al., 2008; Fu et al., 2017). The desorbed As is therefore available to form complexes with enzymes (or their substrates) and inhibit their activities. The inhibition of enzyme activity did not seem to affect the uptake of some nutrients such a P, which was higher in plants grown in As-spiked soil compared to the control. This suggests P. vittata is capable of adequate nutrients uptake and utilization in the As contaminated soil. It has been shown that the bioavailability rather than total heavy metal or metalloid concentrations in the media determines toxicity on biological systems (Olaniran et al., 2013). Moreover, heavy metals and metalloids show different reactions and mobility between the bulk and rhizosphere soils (and rhizoplane soil) and along concentration gradients in the soil. This concentration gradients are influenced by root exudates, the composition of which depends on the plant's biology (species, nutrient status, growth stage, etc.) and environmental factors (including soil physiochemical properties) (Gregory and Atwell, 1991). Exudates production and their effect on heavy metals and metalloids are, however, dependent on the roles of heavy metals and metalloids in the plant metabolism. For example, heavy metals such as Zn and Cu are essential for plant growth (photosynthesis and protein synthesis), while non-essential elements like Cd and As have toxic effects on plant growth (membrane damage and ROS stress) (Raja et al., 2017). Plants, therefore, may develop strategies to prevent or modulate bioavailability and uptake of these toxic non-essential heavy metals or metalloids by producing exudates which form complexes and regulate heavy metals solubility, hence, the bioavailability of the metal ions (Wenzel et al., 2003; Guo et al., 2006; Luo et al., 2017). Soil enzymes, the primary mediator of soil biochemical processes is also inhibited by heavy metal and metalloid too and influenced by rhizospheric events modulating heavy metal and metalloid bioavailability (Karaca et al., 2010).

The combination of detailed physiological and biochemical results in this study with P. vittata under As stress has added to our understanding of the interrelatedness of plant response to heavy metals and metalloids. Using a principal component analysis (PCA) biplot to reduce the dimensionality of the data while retaining the variability in the data set, on Figure 5 shows the correlation between the belowground (enzyme activities, root P and As concentrations) and aboveground parameters (total lipids, fatty acids, P, and As). Total of 65.5% of the variations are explained by PC1 and PC2 (or belowground and aboveground events) ;43% of the total variations is explained by PC1 and 22% by PC2. The multivariate analysis showed that P. vittata response to As toxicity are mediated by both below- and above-ground events and are influenced by the concentration of As in the medium. The higher As treatments promoted fatty acids desaturation and higher lipid production, and positively correlated with As sequestration by P. vittata. All enzyme activities and polyunsaturated fatty acids clustered with lower As treatment while the saturated fatty acids and As are associated with higher As concentration. The strong negative correlation between total As (and P) accumulated by P. vittata and soil enzymes activity indicate an efficient nutrient uptake and utilization mechanism that may confer tolerance and ability to thrive in As-contaminated soil. The remaining, approximately 35%, variations are explained by residual PCs, suggesting more confounding factors contributing to response of P. vittata to As contamination.

Conclusion

This study evaluated comparatively phenotypic and biochemical responses of As non-hyperaccumulator, P. ensiformis and the As hyperaccumulator, P. vittata in As-spiked peat moss and soil. Additionally, the research focused on providing an integrative understanding of the relationship between Pteris spp. responses and its complex interactions with, and influence on rhizosphere associated hydrolytic enzyme activities in As-spiked soil. Our data showed that P. vittata critical threshold for arsenic tolerance in As-spiked soil under greenhouse conditions was 500 mg As kg⁻¹ soil while P. ensiformis was not tolerance to all concentrations tested (50 mgAs to 1500 mg As kg⁻¹ soil) in both peat moss and soil. Overall, fatty acid unsaturation declined with increase in As concentration. The decline was more dramatic in P. ensiformis compared to P. vittata. This difference could be related to the difference in As tolerance between the ferns and also explain the severe phenotypic responses displayed by P. ensiformis compared to P. vittata.

This study demonstrated that P. vittata has minimal morphological change compared to P. ensiformis in response to As treatment. Furthermore, P. vittata, in
As-spiked soil, influenced soil enzyme activities, possibly through its association with soil microorganisms, for release and uptake of needed nutrients in the As-spiked soil. The results from this study added important dimensions to our knowledge of *P. vittata* response to As stress and emphasizes the need for exploring integrated system approach in the study to provide better understanding of mechanism of heavy metals/metalloids tolerance and enhancing phytoremediation efficiency as well as consideration of native non-hyperaccumulator engineering for phytoremediation.
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