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Targeting of renin-angiotensin system in COVID-19 patients affected by stroke: Emerging concerns about detrimental vs. benefit effect

ABSTRACT

Objective: The present short report summarizes some clinical characteristics of six patients affected by stroke while being on angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE)2 inhibitors and angiotensin II receptor blockers (ARBs) before and during COVID-19.

Methods: Medical charts and images of six patients affected by stroke while being on ACE-Is and ARBs therapy before and during COVID-19 outbreak in Lombardy region, Italy, were reviewed.

Results: Three patients had a dural sinus thrombosis, whereas the remaining suffered by an arterial ischemia, which was a middle cerebral artery occlusion in one case, and a posterior-inferior cerebellar artery occlusion in the remaining two. All patients showed clinical features typical of SARS-CoV-2 infection and positive chest CT scan, and were treated with ACE-Is as needed. Hypercoagulability panel was negative in any case. A recovery was achieved in all cases, although in a variable manner.

Conclusions: Whether or not and in which manner the pharmacomodulation of the renin-angiotensin system may have affected the clinical course of the reported six COVID-19 patients affected by stroke has to be still clarified. An urgent need of randomized clinical trials aimed to assess the safety profile and neuroprotective properties of ACE-Is and ARBs in COVID-19 patients diagnosed with stroke does exists.

1. Background

Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome - Coronavirus – 2 (SARS-CoV-2) is the seventh identified betacoronavirus proved to be able to infect humans causing COronaVirus Disease-2019 (COVID-19). Evidence exists about the neurovirulence of SARS-CoV-2, which penetrates into the central nervous system (CNS) via three different ways, namely a hematogenous route, neuronal retrograde dissemination and transcribal route [1,2]. In a study from Wuhan, China, about a third of the patients diagnosed with COVID-19 had clinical signs of CNS involvement of various degree [3].

Concerns have been raised about a potential increase in mortality rate of the infected patients on therapy with angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACE-Is) and angiotensin II receptor blockers (ARBs), both modulating ACE-2 expression.

This short report is targeted to briefly summarize some interesting, although empirical, observations on six neurosurgical patients affected by stroke, which were managed in Lombardy region, north of Italy, during the COVID-19 outbreak. The pathophysiological mechanisms at the base of these emerging arguments are also discussed.

2. Case series

The first patient was diagnosed with a spontaneous thrombosis of the right transverse and sigmoid sinuses, and jugular bulb (Fig. 1a). The patient was comatose, had a GCS of 6, and also developed a late symptomatic and came to our attention because of a minor head trauma. MRI was performed because of persistent postural imbalance and dizziness. The clinical course was uneventful and the symptoms disappeared after warfarin anticoagulant therapy.

The second patient, admitted comatose, had a spontaneous thrombosis of the M2 segment of the right middle cerebral artery (Fig. 1b). The patient was outside the window for mechanical thrombectomy or thrombolysis. His best neurological exam showed bilateral mydriatic pupils with a sluggish reaction to light. Flexion/extension movements and brainstem reflexes were present. He underwent a fronto-temporo-parietal decompressive craniectomy and, after 27 days, his exam improved to a left facio-brachio-cranial hemiplegia and was able to be discharged to an acute rehabilitation unit.

The third patient suffered by a left posterior inferior cerebellar artery (PICA) stroke (Fig. 1c) which occurred spontaneously causing coma and non-reactive pupils. Cough reflex was present at admission and the patient underwent a posterior fossa decompressive craniectomy as in our experience young patients with a stunned brainstem tend to recover quite well postoperatively despite the grim neurological exam at presentation. Two weeks later he woke up and progressively became able to swallowing and interact but still weak in his extremities, requiring sub-acute rehab. This patient had a heterozygous mutation of methyltetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR).

The fourth and fifth patient were diagnosed with a right and left transverse sinus thrombosis, respectively (Fig. 1d, e). They were panceymatous and came to our attention because of a minor head trauma. MRI was performed because of persistent postural imbalance and dizziness. The clinical course was uneventful and the symptoms disappeared after warfarin anticoagulant therapy.

The sixth patient was admitted comatose (GCS 5). MRI revealed a left cerebellar hemispheric ischemia secondary to a spontaneous and complete PICA occlusion (Fig. 1f). The patient underwent a posterior 46 days later.

The second patient, admitted comatose, had a spontaneous thrombosis of the right middle cerebral artery (Fig. 1b). The patient was outside the window for mechanical thrombectomy or thrombolysis. His best neurological exam showed bilateral mydriatic pupils with a sluggish reaction to light. Flexion/extension movements and brainstem reflexes were present. He underwent a fronto-temporo-parietal decompressive craniectomy and, after 27 days, his exam improved to a left facio-brachio-cranial hemiplegia and was able to be discharged to an acute rehabilitation unit.

The third patient suffered by a left posterior inferior cerebellar artery (PICA) stroke (Fig. 1c) which occurred spontaneously causing coma and non-reactive pupils. Cough reflex was present at admission and the patient underwent a posterior fossa decompressive craniectomy as in our experience young patients with a stunned brainstem tend to recover quite well postoperatively despite the grim neurological exam at presentation. Two weeks later he woke up and progressively became able to swallowing and interact but still weak in his extremities, requiring sub-acute rehab. This patient had a heterozygous mutation of methyltetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR).

The fourth and fifth patient were diagnosed with a right and left transverse sinus thrombosis, respectively (Fig. 1d, e). They were panceymatous and came to our attention because of a minor head trauma. MRI was performed because of persistent postural imbalance and dizziness. The clinical course was uneventful and the symptoms disappeared after warfarin anticoagulant therapy.

The sixth patient was admitted comatose (GCS 5). MRI revealed a left cerebellar hemispheric ischemia secondary to a spontaneous and complete PICA occlusion (Fig. 1f). The patient underwent a posterior
fossa decompressive craniectomy after which, 42 days later, he progressively recovered the vigilance, becoming able to interact. He was designated for sub-acute neurorehabilitation.

All of them, but one, were on chronic therapy with ACE-Is because of systemic hypertension.

All patients tested negative for the viral nucleic acid on the reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR) of the nasopharyngeal swab. Nevertheless, all patients showed clinical features typical of SARS-CoV-2 infection consisting in cough, fever, ground-glass opacities and interlobular septal thickening on CT chest, and laboratory tests consistent with a hypercoagulability state.

Interestingly, the pooled cohort was treated with ramipril as needed in order to manage critical hypertension reactions along their hospital stay or during the perioperative period.

Interstitial pneumonia progressively recovered in 5 cases, despite none of them underwent any therapy, specifically intended as anti-COVID-19 in the light of the negativity of the nasopharyngeal swab. Furthermore, during the hospital stay, they were all treated with enoxaparin, at the prophylactic dose or 40 mg SC daily, or also oral warfarin anticoagulant therapy (target International Normalized Ratio values ranging between 2.0 and 3.0). In all of these patients the angiotensinogen, angiotensin converting enzyme, apolipoprotein E, factor XIII, plasminogen activator inhibitor-1, hemochromatosis gene, and beta-fibrinogen were normal. The search for the mutation of factor V (Leiden), prothrombin and methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase were also negative.

Table 1 summarizes the main demographic and clinical data of the patients’ series here reported (Table 1).

3. Discussion

Despite a very frequent negativity of SARS-CoV-2 polymerase chain reaction (PCR) exam of the cerebrospinal fluid, the neurological findings of the infection entail impairment or loss of smell and taste, Guillain-Barré syndrome, cranial neuropathies, meningitis, encephalitis, impaired consciousness, myopathies, but especially acute cerebrovascular complications, with an incidence ranging between 2 and 6% [2,3]. In the Wuhan series, acute cerebrovascular complications have been reported to have a higher incidence in more severe forms of COVID-19, also characterized by the so-called “cytokine storm”, the reasons for this being however still unknown [3].

SARS-CoV-2 harbours a spike protein that binds the angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE)-2 receptor expressed on the surface of pulmonary, cardiac, renal, intestinal and endothelial cells. Since 2002, several studies have reported the existence of ACE-2 mRNA also in the glial cells and neurons. Endothelial surface and arterial smooth muscle cells of the cerebral vasculature are additional sites [4-6]. Further proof of the SARS-CoV neurotropism came from the detection of the virus in
the brain of the infected patients, especially in neurons and endothelium [7,8]. Accordingly, during the still ongoing COVID-19 outbreak, several concerns have been raised about the safety of ACE inhibitors (ACE-Is) and angiotensin II receptor blockers (ARBs), both routinely used for the treatment of systemic hypertension, because of their well-known modulatory effects on the ACE-2 expression. ACE-Is and ARBs have been indicated as responsible for a facilitation of the infection spreading during COVID-19, since ACE-2 acts as SARS-CoV-2 receptor. However, contradictory evidences exist about this topic. Chronic use of ACE-Is and ARBs has been reported to increase ACE-2 expression in in-vitro and in-vivo studies [6,9]. By contrast, a down-regulation of the ACE-2 mRNA has been demonstrated in the same group, at least in the kidney and cardiomyocytes [10]. Recently, Mehra et al. have exonerated ACE-Is and ARBs from the accuse of being potentially harmful for SARS-CoV-2 infection on the base of the results of an observational multicenter study involving 169 hospitals in Asia, Europe, and North America where they disavowed an increased in-hospital death for COVID-19 patients [11]. While assuming a theoretical role of chronic use of ACE-Is and ARBs in facilitating the CNS spread of SARS-CoV-2, the question is whether the known protective effect of these classes of drugs on the ischemic brain may paradoxically have a therapeutic role in COVID-19 patients affected by stroke. In fact, the protective role of ACE-2 expression after brain ischemic events has been widely proven in the past [9,12,13]. The neuroprotection coming from the ACE-2 expression is mediated by the ACE2/Ang-(1–7)/Mas axis able to balance the detrimental effect of the hyperactivation of the ACE/Ang-II/AT1R system characterizing the stroke [6,14,15]. The management of these particular cases raises some important and still unsolved questions. Should we consider safe or even beneficial the use of ACE-Is and ARBs in COVID-19 patients affected by stroke? Could these drugs really affect the clinical course of these patients? Could have expected a faster recovery in these patients if ACE-Is and ARBs were used as therapy for neuroprotective purposes instead of as needed? Should we consider valid those doses commonly used for the treatment of systemic hypertension also with the aim of neuroprotection? We think that there is an urgent need to address all of these issues with the implementation of randomized clinical trials aimed at assessing the safety profile and the neuroprotective properties of ACE-Is and ARBs in COVID-19 patients diagnosed with stroke.

### Table 1

| Parameters Patient 1 | Patient 2 | Patient 3 | Patient 4 | Patient 5 | Patient 6 |
|----------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Diagnosis            | Thrombosis of the transverse and sigmoid sinus, and jugular bulb | MCA occlusion | Hemispheric cerebellar ischemia | Transverse sinus thrombosis | Transverse sinus thrombosis | Hemispheric cerebellar ischemia |
| Site                 | Right     | Right     | Left      | Right     | Left      | Left      |
| Plts (×10³/μL)       | 139       | 336       | 246       | 158       | 349       | 288       |
| Prothrombin (%)      | 111       | 65        | 82        | 107       | 81        | 98        |
| INR                  | 0,94      | 1,26      | 1,09      | 0,96      | 1,11      | 1,25      |
| aPTT (s)             | 0,76      | 0,61      | 0,78      | 0,92      | 0,87      | 0,83      |
| Fibrinogen (mg/dL)   | 21,8      | 17,3      | 22,2      | 26,1      | 24,9      | 23,5      |
| D-Dimer (µg/L)       | 500       | 358       | 694       | 470       | 520       | 427       |
| LDH (mU/mL)          | 3218      | 4581      | 3106      | 3467      | 4213      | 4432      |
| Ferritin (ng/ml)     | 625       | 694       | 589       | 523       | 612       | 617       |
| Transferase (mg/dL)  | 997       | 1087      | 957       | 967       | 1012      | 903       |
| Serum Iron (mcg/dL)  | 121       | 150       | 113       | 134       | 147       | 1003      |
| Protein C (%)        | 134       | 112       | 84        | 64        | 81        | 94        |

aPTT: activated Partial Thromboplastin Time; INR: International Normalized Ratio; LDH, Lactatedehydrogenase; MCA: Middle Cerebral Artery; NA: Not Available; Plts: Platelets; PTT: Partial Thromboplastin Time.
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