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Seroprevalence of Q Fever and Brucellosis in Domestic and Imported Cattle of Southeastern Iran
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INTRODUCTION  
About 60 to 75% of infectious diseases in humans are zoonotic. These diseases are of great concern worldwide due to their increasing public health threat and their negative impact on livestock production, trade, travel and economy [1-3].

Q fever is one of the controversial zoonotic diseases with a global distribution. The causative agent, *Coxiella burnetii*, occurs in a wide range of domestic and wild animals [4, 5]. This bacteria is relatively resistant to environmental conditions and can spread by wind [6]. In nature, livestock (cattle, goats, sheep, and camels) are the primary reservoir of this bacteria [7]. This pathogen occurs with high concentrations in the placenta, amniotic fluid and birth products of infected animals [8]. Infection with this agent in animals can lead to abortion and stillbirth, infertility, the birth of weak calves and pneumonia [8, 9]. The primary route of human infection by this organism is via aerosols, i.e., inhalation of aerosols. Also, the disease transmission can occur by consumption of the contaminated foods including dairy products, eggs, and other livestock products, and rarely by tick bites [6]. This disease is regarded as an occupational disease of the ranchers, farmers, veterinarians and slaughterhouse workers [8].

The bacterium, *C. burnetii*, is a highly infectious pathogen of humans and infection with it appears in two forms of the disease, acute and chronic. Acute Q fever is a self-limiting disease with manifestations of fever, chills, headache, muscle aches and weakness. It is mostly characterized by pneumonia, hepatitis, prolonged fever and meningoencephalitis [8]. Chronic Q fever is mainly associated with endocarditis causing 6 to 65% mortality [6, 8]. Both forms of acute and chronic, are recognized by detection of IgGs developed against the bacterial antigens in phase I and II of the disease [4, 10].

Q fever is endemic to Iran, and there are reports of both forms of the disease, chronic and acute Q fever, among humans [11]. Moreover, there are many reports on livestock infections from different parts of the country [11-18].

Brucella spp. are gram-negative intracellular bacteria [19]. The incidence of brucellosis has significantly declined
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in industrialized countries, but in most developing countries the disease remains a significant public health concern [20, 21]. The human infections exhibit various symptoms whereas in livestock the infection mainly results in abortion in late pregnancy, infertility, testicular swelling and impaired fertility [10, 22]. *Brucella abortus* is the primary cause of abortion in cattle, and the material from ruminant's miscarriages are the primary source of bacteria for humans and animals infection [7, 23].

Annually, more than 500 thousand human infections of brucellosis are reported worldwide, mostly from developing countries [24]. This infection can be transmitted through consumption of contaminated foods, direct contact with broken skin and mucosal membranes (including conjunctivitis), and inhalation of aerosols (in slaughterhouse and laboratories) [19, 21]. In humans, the disease causes a wide range of symptoms including the typical undulant fever. The most common manifestation in humans is a flu-like illness appearing as atypical pneumonia [7, 23]. This bacterial infection causes a very severe debilitating disease, with clinical presentations like fever, sweats, weakness, weight loss, headache, and continuous joint pain lasting few weeks to years. Neurological complications, endocarditis, and testis infection or bone abscesses can also occur [22]. The disease has substantial economic impacts on animal productivity in the affected countries [15, 25, 26]. In Iran, brucellosis is a significant infectious disease affecting more than 20 thousand people annually, which reflect the high burden of the disease in the country [27].

Brucellosis is endemic to the neighboring countries, e.g., Afghanistan and Pakistan in the east of Iran [28]. In Afghanistan, brucellosis was reported among 1.3% of livestock (1.4% in sheep, 1.5% in goats, 0.3% in cattle) by ELISA methods and Q fever exhibited a prevalence of 41.3% among these animals (43.4% in sheep, 52.7% in goats, and 5.2% in cattle) [29]. In Pakistan, Q fever infection was detected in 17.9% of the sheep and 16.4% of goats by ELISA methods, and brucellosis was reported in 6% of livestock [30, 31].

Many livestock from Afghanistan and Pakistan are imported to Iran via the borders of Sistan and Baluchistan province, and Zabol city in the north of this province is one of the main transit points for the importation of the livestock. Regarding the possibility of the introduction of Q fever and brucellosis-infected livestock to Iran through Zabol city, we prompted to investigate the seroprevalence of these diseases in domestic and imported cattle in this region.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Sample collection.** Samples were collected during 2011-2012 from the industrial slaughterhouse of Zabol city. Blood samples were obtained from the jugular vein using Venoject tubes before slaughtering of the animals. A questionnaire was filled containing the information about age, gender, history of abortion and illness of the animals. Blood samples were transferred to the laboratory at the ambient temperature and were centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 min to separate the sera. The sera were kept at -20°C until they were transferred to Pasteur Institute of Iran.

**Detection of anti-*C. burnetii* IgGs.** Sera were tested to detect IgG antibodies against *C. burnetii* using a commercial ELISA kit (US, IDEXX) according to the manufacturer's recommendations. The optical density at 450 nm (OD450) was measured by an ELISA reader. A cutoff was defined as specified by the kit manufacturer and the positive, negative and suspicious samples were identified accordingly.

**Detection of anti-*Brucella* IgGs.** A commercial ELISA kit (US IDEXX) was used to detect *Brucella* spp antibodies. The ELISA was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The optical density of the samples at 450 nm (OD450) was measured by an ELISA reader. Based on a cut-off specified by the kit manufacturer, the positive, negative and suspicious samples were identified.

**Statistical analysis of data.** Stata software version 11 was used for data analysis. For comparison of the results, chi-square and Fisher's exact test were used and P-values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

In this study, sera of 165 cattle (103 imported and 62 domestic) were tested; 80.6% belonged to male animals, and 43% were over two years old.

Out of 165 livestock, only one imported cattle (0.97%) had anti-*Brucella* IgGs, and the rest of the animals were negative. Our results also showed that in domestic animals, two cases (3.23%) were positive and four (6.45%) had antibodies at borderline for Q fever. No positive Q fever livestock was observed among the imported animals, but four cases (3.88%) had IgG antibodies at borderline.

There were no significant correlations between the type of cattle (domestic and imported) and the infection rate. Also, between gender and age and the prevalence of diseases, no significant correlation was observed (Table 1). While 3.23% of the domestic cattle and none of the imported animals were seropositive for brucellosis, and also no domestic cattle and 0.97% of imported cattle were seropositive for Q fever, these difference were not statistically significant.

**DISCUSSION**

This study aimed to investigate the seroprevalence of two major zoonotic bacterial diseases, brucellosis and Q fever among the slaughtered cattle in Zabol city. The seroprevalence of Q fever and brucellosis was 1.27% and 0.61%, respectively. There was no significant relationship between age, gender, and the animal species with the prevalence of the two infections.

This finding was consistent with other similar studies in Iran and the world [32, 33]. In some studies, age showed to be as risk factor for contraction of infectious diseases [22, 34].

Several studies conducted in different countries showed that gender was not a risk factor for Q fever, which is in...
parallel to the results obtained in our study [22, 32]. Some other studies considered the gender as a risk factor that dairy cows aged three years had the highest seroprevalence [35].

In this study, no significant correlation was observed between age and seroprevalence of brucellosis, which was consistent with similar studies in Iran [36]. However, in some studies, age showed to be a risk factor and rate of infection increased with the age [37, 38].

In this study, there was no significant association (p=0.35) between Brucella seropositivity and the animals’ gender, while the rate of infection in the females was higher than males, which matched the results of a similar study in Zimbabwe [38], but in contrast to a study in Nigeria [39].

The seroprevalence rate of Q fever (1.27%) and brucellosis (0.61%) obtained in the present study was much lower than the similar studies in Iran and neighboring countries (Table 2). The low prevalence of Q fever and Brucellosis in both the imported and domestic cattle in this study was surprising and unexpected and demands further studies in this region to gain a better insight of the status of these diseases.

### Table 2. The results of studies on the prevalence of Q fever and brucellosis among cattle in Iran and neighboring countries

| Location     | Time  | Number of studied cattle | Prevalence of infection (%) | Reference |
|--------------|-------|--------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|
|              |       |                          | Q fever  | Brucellosis |          |
| Iran         | 2008  | 102                      | 0        | -           | [40]     |
|              | 2009  | 93                       | 10.75    | -           | [41]     |
|              | 2015  | 120                      | 0.83     | -           | [32]     |
|              | 2011  | 247                      | 3.2      | -           | [42]     |
|              | 2000  | 416                      | 5.8      | -           | [43]     |
| Afghanistan  | 2015  | 334                      | 5.2      | 0.3         | [29]     |
| Iran         | 2011  | 600                      | -        | 3.66        | [44]     |
|              | 2010  | 256                      | -        | 1.77        | [45]     |
|              | 2013  | 50                       | -        | 10          | [46]     |
| Pakistan     | 2013  | 1168                     | -        | 6.9         | [47]     |
| Pakistan     | 2013  | 275                      | -        | 4.7         | [48]     |
| Afghanistan  | 2013  | 5.2                      | 0.29     |             | [49]     |

One of the flaws of this study was the lack of access to the information on the slaughtered cattle such as the parturitions, history of abortion, and vaccination. This limitation was because the purchasers of the cows were not aware of the history of the animals.

Further studies on the other domestic and imported livestock such as sheep and goat in southeastern provinces would provide a better insight into the epidemiological status of these diseases in this area and the possibility of their importation to Iran from neighboring countries.
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