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Inside out: efflux of carbon dioxide from leaves represents more than leaf metabolism
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Abstract

High concentrations of inorganic carbon in the xylem, produced from root, stem, and branch respiration, travel via the transpiration stream and eventually exit the plant through distant tissues as CO2. Unlike previous studies that focused on the efflux of CO2 from roots and woody tissues, we focus on efflux from leaves and the potential effect on leaf respiration measurements. We labeled transported inorganic carbon, spanning reported xylem concentrations, with 13C and then manipulated transpiration rates in the dark in order to vary the rates of inorganic carbon supply to cut leaves from Brassica napus and Populus deltoides. We used tunable diode laser absorbance spectroscopy to directly measure the rate of gross 13CO2 efflux, derived from inorganic carbon supplied from outside of the leaf, relative to gross 12CO2 efflux generated from leaf cells. These experiments showed that 13CO2 efflux was dependent upon the rate of inorganic carbon supply to the leaf and the rate of transpiration. Our data show that the gross leaf efflux of xylem-transported CO2 is likely small in the dark when rates of transpiration are low. However, gross leaf efflux of xylem-transported CO2 could approach half the rate of leaf respiration in the light when transpiration rates and branch inorganic carbon concentrations are high, irrespective of the grossly different petiole morphologies in our experiment.
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Introduction

Environmental control of leaf-level respiration is poorly understood and the global flux of respired CO2 from leaves is massive. Current estimates indicate that global leaf respiration is 21–28 Pg C yr−1, which is approximately 3–4 times larger than all emissions from fossil fuel burning globally (Atkin et al., 2007; Atkin et al., 2014). The combination of uncertainty and small errors in the measurement of respiration can have large consequences (Hanson et al., 2016). For example, a 1% error in predictions of leaf respiration, i.e. 0.21–0.28 Pg C yr−1, would account for about a third of the 0.7 Pg C yr−1 uncertainty in global carbon models (Canadell et al., 2007). Such a large role of leaf respiration is consistent with analyses showing that behavior of the carbon cycle is the second greatest source of uncertainty in climate model predictions of global temperature. Over 15% of this uncertainty can be attributed to temperature feedbacks on respiration and net primary productivity (Bodman et al., 2013). Coupled climate Earth System Models and Terrestrial Biosphere Models have made some advances that improve the modeling of respiration (King et al., 2006; Atkin et al., 2008; Wythers et al.,...
2013; Atkin et al., 2015; Wullschleger et al., 2015), while also highlighting the need for more data (King et al., 2006; Atkin et al., 2008; Reich, 2010; Atkin et al., 2015; Wullschleger et al., 2015).

All methods for measuring or modeling the rate of gross non-photorespiratory CO₂ efflux, i.e. respiration, from leaves implicitly assume that all CO₂ efflux is derived from metabolism occurring in leaf cells (e.g. Farquhar et al., 1980). Similarly, it has often been assumed that in roots, internally generated CO₂ diffuses into the soil before diffusing into the atmosphere (Kuzyakov, 2006). However, studies with woody plants show that a large fraction of CO₂ generated by root and stem respiration can be transported through the xylem, with efflux from the plant to the atmosphere through the stem and branches at a point remote from its production (Levy et al., 1999; McGuire and Teskey, 2002; McGuire et al., 2009; Aubrey and Teskey, 2009; Bloemen et al., 2013a,b; Bloemen et al., 2015a; Steppe et al., 2015) (Fig. 1). The efflux of xylem-transported CO₂ can be large because the total inorganic carbon concentration ([CO₂*], the sum of [CO₂]_{aq}, [H₂CO₃], [HCO₃⁻] and [CO₃²⁻]) in the xylem ranges from ~0.05 mmol l⁻¹ to ~13 mmol l⁻¹ - levels that are ~30–750 times higher than if equilibrated with current atmospheric [CO₂] (Teskey et al., 2008). Previous studies have found xylem-transported CO₂* is recycled by photosynthesis in corticular and woody stem tissue (Teskey et al., 2008), branch tissue (McGuire and Teskey, 2002; McGuire et al., 2009; Bloemen et al., 2013a,b) and even leaves (Stringer and Kimmer, 1993; McGuire et al., 2009; Bloemen et al., 2013a,b; Bloemen et al., 2015a). The relative magnitude of this recycling in each part of the tree was demonstrated by Bloemen et al. (2013b). They added ¹³CO₂* to the stems of Populus deltoides in the field under low (1.4 mmol l⁻¹ [¹³CO₂*]) and high (12 mmol l⁻¹ [¹³CO₂*]) treatments and used destructive sampling for mass balance calculations to track the fate of the labeled carbon. They concluded that 82.6% and 94.4% of ¹³CO₂* added to the stems of under low and high treatments, respectively, was transported through the transpiration stream and diffused into the atmosphere through some combination of the stem and branches over a period of two days. Most of the ¹³CO₂* retained by the plant was recycled by photosynthesis in the stem and branches, with a small fraction recycled by leaves; 2.7% and 0.5% under the low- and high-labels, respectively (Bloemen et al., 2013b). Very little data exist to assess if the low rates of recapture of xylem-transported CO₂ by leaves is the result of little xylem-transported CO₂* reaching the leaves or because only a small amount of what reaches the leaves is captured before diffusing away. When supplying a solution of H¹⁴CO₃⁻ in water to excised leaves, Stringer and Kimmerer (1993) estimated that 0.14% and 0.38% diffused out of the leaf as ¹⁴CO₂ under light intensities of 660 μmol quanta m⁻² s⁻¹ and 70 μmol quanta m⁻² s⁻¹, respectively, and about 83% diffused out in the dark. The large fraction exiting leaves in the dark would appear as leaf respiration but would be under the control of transpiration and rates of respiration in the stem and roots. In the day, the gross flux would be much higher, so a substantial amount of CO₂ derived from root and stem respiration could be used by leaves for photosynthesis or exit leaves and confound the measurement of leaf respiration in the light.

 Unlike stem and root respiration, leaf respiration is thought to differ between the day and night because of a reduction in the cyclic nature of the Krebs Cycle when leaves are illuminated (Tcherkez et al., 2009). However, this has only been demonstrated in Xanthium strumarium, so its application across species is uncertain. Measurements of the inhibition of leaf respiration in the light range widely from 16–77% (Atkin et al., 2000; Hurry et al., 2005; Ayub et al., 2011).

 Additionally, rates of leaf day and night respiration can respond differently to sustained drought, with day respiration inhibited by drought more than night respiration (Ayub et al., 2011). In some cases the ratio of light to dark respiration increases with rising leaf temperature, through a reduction in the light suppression of respiration at high temperatures (Way et al., 2015).

 The objective of this study is to test the dynamic relationship among the [CO₂*] in the xylem, the rate of transpiration and the rate of gross CO₂ efflux in the woody plant P. deltoides and the herbaceous plant Brassica napus. We hypothesize that the efflux of xylem-transported CO₂ from leaves could be large relative to respiration and will be controlled by [CO₂*] and transpiration rate, with some
effect of leaf and petiole morphology. Additionally, data on these fluxes should facilitate future efforts to create mechanistic models of the gross CO₂ efflux from leaves in response to prevailing and predicted environmental conditions, filling in the gaps left by previous studies that have not looked at the efflux of xylem-transported CO₂ from the leaves.

Materials and Methods

Growth conditions and plant propagation

**Brassica napus** (L. stellar DH GT060615) and **Populus deltoideus** (W. Bartram ex Marshall) were grown under natural light in an unshaded greenhouse in February and March 2013 and 2014, with mid-day photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) at pot level of 1200 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ at the University of New Mexico in Albuquerque, NM, under ambient CO₂, 21°C/18°C and 24°C/21 °C day/night for **B. napus** and **P. deltoideus**, respectively. **B. napus** was started from seed and **P. deltoideus** was cloned by cuttings. **B. napus** was sown in 500 mL pots with Metro-Mix 300 potting soil (Sun Gro Horticulture, Seba Beach, AB, Canada). **P. deltoideus** cuttings were initially placed in containers filled with Metro-Mix 300 potting soil and allowed to grow for approximately two months before the soil was washed off the roots and cuttings were transplanted into 3.8 L pots with a mixture of approximately two parts vermiculite (Therm-O-Rock West Inc., Chandler, AZ, USA) to one part perlite (Therm-O-Rock West Inc., Chandler, AZ, USA) and less than 5% Agrosoke mixture of approximately two parts vermiculite (Agrosoke International Arlington, TX, USA). **B. napus** and **P. deltoideus** were fertilized twice weekly with Peters 20-20-20 fertilizer (Scotts Miracle-Gro, Marysville, OH, USA) and once weekly with chelated liquid iron (ferti-lome, Bonham, TX, USA). **B. napus** plants were measured between 14 and 25 days after germinating.

Tunable diode laser absorbance spectroscopy and leaf gas exchange measurements

A LI-6400 (LI-COR Biosciences, Lincoln, NE, USA) was coupled to a tunable diode laser (TDL) absorbance spectrometer (model TGA 100; Campbell Scientific, Inc., Logan, UT, USA) to measure online ¹³CO₂ and ¹²CO₂ exchange. Isotope calibration consisted of a high and a low CO₂ tank that spanned the expected range of [CO₂] of each isotopologue for the LI-COR reference and sample ([Harbour et al., 2007]). The TDL cycled between calibrations of the high and low CO₂ tank along with the LI-COR reference, with sample line measuring for one minute at each site. However, only the last 10 seconds of data from each site were used for calculations via the TDL LI-COR processing package (Erhardt and Hanson, 2013) in R (R Core Development Team, 2011). The TDL measures [¹²CO₂] and [¹³CO₂], so the net fluxes of each were calculated as in normal gas exchange, where total [CO₂] is measured in the air supplied to the leaf chamber and within the well-mixed leaf chamber. All data presented reflects the [¹²CO₂] and [¹³CO₂] effluxes measured with the TDL.

The entire, highest, fully expanded **B. napus** leaf, with a petiole length between 6.5 cm and 8.5 cm, or a fully expanded **P. deltoideus** leaf, with a petiole length between 4 cm and 7 cm, was placed in a large 80 cm² custom clear topped chamber attached to a LI-6400 (LI-COR Biosciences, Lincoln, NE, USA). An RGB LED light source (LI-COR Biosciences) set at 1200 μmol quanta m⁻² s⁻¹ or 1500 μmol quanta m⁻² s⁻¹ for **B. napus** and **P. deltoideus**, respectively, was placed over the custom LI-6400 leaf chamber with a leaf temperature of 25°C and 3.8 Pa (380 μmol mol⁻¹) CO₂ reference. The leaves were photographed and the projected leaf area was estimated using ImageJ (US National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA).

Once photosynthesis reached a steady state, the leaf was detached from the plant and the petiole was placed in a 40 mmol l⁻¹ KCl solution. The detached leaf remained in the KCl solution for ~10 minutes while rates of transpiration and photosynthesis were monitored. Photosynthesis did not change when the leaf was cut, while transpiration increased by ~0.2 mmol H₂O m⁻² s⁻¹. The KCl solution was swapped for a solution containing 99 atom % ¹³C sodium bicarbonate dissolved in 40 mmol l⁻¹ KCl at one of three [¹³CO₂*: 1.19, 5.95, or 11.9 mmol l⁻¹]. Individual leaves were only provided a single [¹³CO₂*]. These concentrations span the range of observed values from previous field studies for tree xylem [CO₂*]. Approximately 90 seconds after adding the [¹³CO₂*] solution, a sharp increase in [¹³CO₂] efflux started and the light on the LI-6400 was turned off. Throughout the measurement period, the rate of transpiration was manipulated by switching the LI-COR desiccant between full scrub, i.e. high vapour pressure deficit (VPD) and higher rates of transpiration, and full bypass, i.e. low VPD and lower rates of transpiration. To further increase the relative humidity when the desiccant was on full bypass, a condensing tube in a water bath (WVR Scientific products, West Chester, PA, USA) was attached to the LI-COR inlet to decrease VPD to 0.5 kPa or less.

The desiccant was left on full scrub or full bypass for approximately one hour. The measurement cycle consisted of approximately 3–4 alternating high and low VPD periods. This procedure was used to gain the widest range of transpiration values possible in the dark for comparisons of transpiration and ¹³CO₂ efflux. Data consist of four replicates for each bicarbonate concentration from four different individuals for each species.

Anaplerotic reaction calculations

The average natural abundance of [¹³CO₂] in the atmosphere is ~1.1% ([Griffis et al., 2004]) and we assumed the background rate of ¹³C leaf respiration, i.e. the rate of ¹³CO₂ respiration from an unlabeled leaf (¹³CRL), would be proportional to the rate of ¹²CO₂ respiration (¹²CRL) according to the natural abundance for each isotopologue. Therefore, we approximated ¹³CRL as 1.1% of the total respiration rate (¹³CRL+¹²CRL) and used the measured ¹²CRL as the basis for calculations. This approach gave data consistent with ¹²CO₂ and ¹³CO₂ respiration measured from an unlabeled leaf. We calculated an expected ¹³CO₂ efflux (¹³Cefflux) that would occur if all the ¹³CO₂ added to the cut leaf exited the leaf as ¹³CO₂. This was accomplished by multiplying the [¹³CO₂*] in the solution fed to the leaves by the rate of transpiration and adding it to the background ¹²CRL. After supplying ¹³CO₂ to the leaf, we used the ratio of observed ¹²CO₂ efflux (¹²Cefflux) to ¹³Cefflux to determine the fraction of supplied ¹³CO₂ exiting the leaf and expressed it at a percentage. We estimated the rate of anaplerotic reactions by taking the difference between ¹³Cefflux and observed ¹²Cefflux. For these calculations we assumed the transpiration rate, along with the rate of ¹²C and ¹³C effluxes were constant across one TDL/LI-COR cycle i.e. 4 or 6 minutes. We excluded the first 30 minutes after the light was turned off for these calculations to avoid potential complications from light enhanced dark respiration (LEDR) and to allow the water in the leaf to be replaced with the water supplied to the petiole.

Stem [CO₂*]

In situ stem CO₂ concentration (stem [CO₂]*) and pH were measured according to [McGuire and Teskey, 2002]. To calibrate the CO₂ microelectrode (GS-136CO-1 Micro Carbon dioxide electrode, Lazar Research Laboratories Inc., Los Angeles, CA, USA), four calibration tanks of 0, 0.33, 2.10, and 7.0% [CO₂] were dissolved into Milli-Q water with a glass bubbler for at least one hour. Percent CO₂ gas by volume in stems is the value calculated in the airspace around the xylem tissue that would exist when it is equilibrated with the total dissolved inorganic carbon in xylem sap ([CO₂*]=[CO₂]ₐq+[H₂CO₃]+[HCO₃⁻]+[CO₃²⁻]). The pH of the calibration water in the glass bubblers was measured in order to calculate all forms of inorganic carbon in solution.
Insertion of the CO₂ microelectrode was accomplished by making a hole slightly larger than the microelectrode diameter in plant stems using a drill bit. For B. napus a hole ~1.5 cm in diameter was drilled into the main stem with well-developed secondary tissue growth. The drill tip was inserted into the first layer of the stem, i.e. at ~0.25 cm, which was assumed to be phloem tissue, and hit a stiff inner section at ~0.50 cm, which was assumed to be the secondary xylem tissue. For P. deltoides the drill was placed in a woody stem that was approximately 5 cm in diameter and was drilled until it reached woody tissue at ~1 cm into the stem. For both plants, a micro pH electrode (0.1 mm immersion depth—PHR-1465 micro pH electrode, Lazar Research Laboratories Inc., Los Angeles, CA, USA) was placed in the hole in the stem and the pH was measured prior to insertion of the CO₂ microelectrode. Measurements with the CO₂ microelectrode were recorded every minute until the mV reading was stable for 10 minutes. Following the measurement with the CO₂ microelectrode, the pH was again measured to see if the stem sap pH had changed. Stem measurements were collected to provide data on the range of values to expect in small potted plants grown in a greenhouse. We believe these represent a minimum value for what would be found in branch tips throughout a tree canopy, if no xylem-transported CO₂ from roots and stems arrived from outside the branch, and should be roughly similar to stem [CO₂] in a field-grown B. napus. The stem [CO₂] data were collected separately from the detached leaf measurements, using the same P. deltoides individuals and a separate cohort of B. napus plants.

Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were performed using R. Linear regression models were produced for rates of ¹³Cefflux and ¹²CRL for all [¹³CO₂] and both species. ANOVAs were performed to detect any significant difference among [¹³CO₂] treatments for the rates of ¹²CRL and ¹³Cefflux for both species. Two-way ANOVAs were used for percentage of ¹³CO₂ exiting the leaf, estimated rate of anaplerotic reactions and ¹³Cefflux at a given rate of transpiration for both [¹³CO₂] and species. Post-hoc analysis was performed using a Tukey HSD test.

Results

Magnitude of xylem derived inorganic carbon efflux and retention in leaves

The gross rate of ¹³Cefflux was dependent on the [¹³CO₂] supplied to the leaf when compared with a transpiration rate of 0.5 mmol H₂O m⁻² s⁻¹ in both B. napus (P<0.001) and P. deltoides (P<0.001) (Fig. 2). Overall ¹³Cefflux was significantly higher in P. deltoides than B. napus (P=0.03) but not for pairwise comparisons at each [CO₂]. The gross rate of ¹²CRL was not significantly affected by [¹³CO₂] (Fig. 2B) in either B. napus (P=0.80) or P. deltoides (P=0.72).

The ¹³CO₂ provided to the petioles entered the darkened leaves through the xylem via the transpiration stream and exited the leaves as ¹³CO₂ (Fig. 3A, B). Approximately 50% of ¹³CO₂ at 1.19 mmol l⁻¹ and 5.95 mmol l⁻¹ and ~70% at 11.9 mmol l⁻¹ exited the leaf as ¹³CO₂ in B. napus. In B. napus the percent of ¹³CO₂ exiting the leaf at 11.9 mmol l⁻¹ was significantly higher than at both 1.19 mmol l⁻¹ (P<0.001) and 5.95 mmol l⁻¹ (P<0.01) (Fig. 3A). The percentage of ¹³CO₂ exiting the leaf as ¹³CO₂ for P. deltoides was ~80% across all bicarbonate concentrations (Fig. 3B). It was significantly different between 1.19 mmol l⁻¹ and 5.95 mmol l⁻¹ (P<0.05) but not between other concentrations (Fig. 3B). The percent efflux was higher overall in P. deltoides than B. napus (P=0.001), though not for the pairwise comparison at a high [CO₂] (P=0.43) (Fig. 3A, B).

The estimated retention rate of ¹³CO₂ by an individual leaf was similar across the higher [¹³CO₂] in B. napus (~0.05 µmol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹) and was slightly lower in the lower [¹³CO₂] (~0.02 µmol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹) (Fig. 3C). The estimated retention rate was significantly different between 1.19 mmol l⁻¹ and 5.95 mmol l⁻¹ (P<0.05) and 11.9 mmol l⁻¹ (P<0.01) in B. napus. The retention rate increased between the lowest and highest [¹³CO₂] for P. deltoides (~0.01 µmol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹ to ~0.03 µmol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹) (P<0.01) (Fig. 3D); however, differences between the other concentrations were not significant. The retention rate differed overall between species (P<0.001) but did not show any consistent differences with respect to [CO₂]. They were only significantly different at 5.95 mmol l⁻¹ (P<0.005, using pairwise comparisons).

Transpiration decreased rapidly after turning off the light. This generated a range of transpiration rates where we could examine the relative magnitudes of ¹²CRL and ¹³Cefflux (Fig. 4, 5). The gross rate of ¹³Cefflux was dependent on both the [¹³CO₂] supplied to the xylem (P<0.005) (Fig. 4) and transpiration rate (P<0.005) (Fig. 4, 5). In contrast, gross ¹²CRL did not significantly correlate with the transpiration rate in B. napus (P=0.54) or P. deltoides (P=0.74) (Fig. 5). Gross ¹²CRL was significantly higher during the first 30 minutes of the dark period where LEDR occurs in both B. napus and P. deltoides.
When leaf respiration is not derived from leaf metabolism

(2853) (Fig. 5) (P < 0.005). As expected, the LEDR response did not affect the gross 13C efflux in either B. napus or P. deltoides (P < 0.0001) (Fig. 5). We also found that 13C efflux approached half that of 12C efflux in both species during our experiments.

The rate of dark respiration when excluding LEDR was 1.26 ± 0.23 μmol CO2 m⁻² s⁻¹ and 1.33 ± 0.21 μmol CO2 m⁻² s⁻¹ in B. napus and P. deltoides, respectively. Linear regressions, R² = 0.97 for B. napus and R² = 0.93 for P. deltoides, show that for leaves supplied with 11.9 mmol l⁻¹ [13CO2*], the gross efflux of xylem-transported CO2 will equal ½Rd at a transpiration rate of 4 mmol H₂O m⁻² s⁻¹ and 3.69 mmol H₂O m⁻² s⁻¹ for B. napus and P. deltoides, respectively (Fig. 5). At all [13CO2*] for both species, gross 13C efflux was undetectable when transpiration was <0.1 mmol H₂O m⁻² s⁻¹.

Stem [CO2]

In greenhouse grown plants, the average [13CO2*] in the stem was 0.7 ± 0.2 mmol l⁻¹ and 2.8 ± 3.5 mmol l⁻¹ for B. napus and P. deltoides, respectively. Stem pH in P. deltoides ranged between 5.1 and 6.4, with an average of 5.9 ± 0.3. Stem pH in B. napus ranged between 5.3 and 6.1, with an average of 5.7 ± 0.3.

Discussion

Magnitude of xylem derived inorganic carbon efflux

Our experimental approach allows simultaneous measurements of the gross efflux of xylem-transported 13CO2* exiting leaves as 13CO2 with transpiration, as well as the efflux of 13CO2 from leaf respiration in real time. We applied this approach to excised leaves in order to eliminate complications from radial diffusion through stems and branches. We also focused our data collection on the dark conditions immediately after the light was turned off, in order to compare CO2 efflux with a wide range of transpiration rates, from typical night-time rates through to the low end of typical daytime rates. We demonstrated that transport of labeled 13CO2* in xylem was highest when both [13CO2*] (Fig. 2) and transpiration (Fig. 4) were high. The broad range of transpiration...
Values also allowed us to generate a robust relationship between gross efflux of xylem-transported $^{13}$CO$_2^\ast$ ($^{13}$C$_\text{flux}$) and transpiration that can be used to predict $^{13}$C$_\text{efflux}$ in conditions where transpiration rate and xylem [CO$_2^\ast$] are known. Considering the very different petiole and leaf anatomies of _P. deltoides_ and _B. napus_, we were surprised at how similar this relationship was between species (Fig. 4).

When [CO$_2^\ast$] in stems is high, i.e. 11.9 mmol l$^{-1}$, and when transpiration rates are high, i.e. $>$ 3 mmol H$_2$O m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, our estimates indicate the gross efflux of xylem-transported CO$_2^\ast$ approaches half the rate of leaf respiration (Fig. 5). This efflux could therefore account for some of the variation observed in measurements of leaf respiration in the light. Measurements of stem [CO$_2^\ast$] in field grown _P. deltoides_ have been reported to range from the low end, between 2.8–9.5 mmol l$^{-1}$ (Saveyn _et al._, 2008), to the high end, between 20 and 35 mmol l$^{-1}$ (Aubrey and Teskey, 2009), while reported transpiration rates in _P. deltoides_ ranged from 3.4 mmol H$_2$O m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ (Bassman and Zwier, 1991) to 4.5 mmol H$_2$O m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ (Barron-Gafford _et al._, 2007). This indicates our selection of [CO$_2^\ast$] were within the range of available data for _P. deltoides_. However, it should be noted that measurements of [CO$_2^\ast$] in _P. deltoides_ are on the high end of reported tree values (Teskey _et al._, 2008).

Most leaves are attached to small branches on trees or to the relatively small stems and branches of herbaceous plants, where [CO$_2^\ast$] has not been well characterized. Models of [CO$_2^\ast$] distribution throughout trees predict it increases with distance from the ground since CO$_2$ is generated at higher rates than it diffuses out of a tree or shrub (Hölttä and Kolari, 2009). These predictions were supported by measurements in _Quercus pyreanica_ (Salomon _et al._, 2016a). Hölttä and Kolari (2009) also modeled that [CO$_2^\ast$] declines as the stem tapers towards the top of the tree and may only end up at around 5% of the basal [CO$_2^\ast$] at the leaf level. Surprisingly, no study has measured [CO$_2^\ast$] in the main stem near tree bases simultaneously with terminal branch data in order to validate these model predictions. Furthermore, there is almost no information on xylem [CO$_2^\ast$] in small tree branches or herbaceous plants. Stringer and Kimmerer (1993) found that terminal branch measurements of xylem [CO$_2^\ast$] in large _P. deltoides_ trees were between 0.5 mmol l$^{-1}$ and 0.9 mmol l$^{-1}$ when growing in well drained soils and up to 9.4 mmol l$^{-1}$ in water logged soils. Our small potted plants grown in a greenhouse contained 0.7 ± 0.2 mmol l$^{-1}$ and 2.8 ± 3.5 mmol l$^{-1}$ [CO$_2^\ast$] for _B. napus_ and _P. deltoides_, respectively. This is on the low end of our experimental design and may be a reasonable value for similarly sized plants in the field. However, terminal branches of mature trees would also contain high [CO$_2^\ast$] transported from the respiration of larger branches, stem, and even roots. Modeling the effect of the rest of the tree is not currently possible because there is very little data on long-term, namely diurnal and seasonal, variation in stem [CO$_2^\ast$] in mature trees. What data do exist show high variability (Etzold _et al._, 2013; Salomón _et al._, 2016b). Using our approach to calculate gross efflux rates of xylem-transported [CO$_2^\ast$] arriving at a leaf would therefore also require measurement of the [CO$_2^\ast$] in the branches near the leaf, as well as the rate of transpiration.

**Retention of xylem-transported CO$_2$ in darkened leaves**

At high [CO$_2^\ast$], the percentage of xylem-transported $^{13}$CO$_2^\ast$ exiting the leaf was fairly high for both species (Fig. 3) and near the 83% Stringer and Kimmerer (1993) observed when they supplied 1 mmol l$^{-1}$ $^{14}$CO$_2^\ast$ to _P. deltoides_ leaves. However, at lower [CO$_2^\ast$] the percentage $^{13}$CO$_2^\ast$ exiting the leaf was reduced in _B. napus_ ($P$=0.001). As these results show, all $^{13}$CO$_2^\ast$ entering the leaf did not exit as $^{13}$CO$_2$ during labeling. We therefore used a mass balance approach to determine the rate of retention of $^{13}$CO$_2^\ast$ supplied to the leaf to facilitate comparison with other fluxes. Interestingly, the retention rate only gradually increases with higher [CO$_2^\ast$] supplied (Fig. 3C, D). We hypothesize that this retention represents the rate that anaplerotic reactions consumed the supplied $^{13}$CO$_2^\ast$. Our estimated rate of retention by anaplerotic reactions for _P. deltoides_ at 1.19 mmol l$^{-1}$ [CO$_2^\ast$], 0.003 mmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, is similar to the rate (0.002 mmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) calculated from data by Stringer and Kimmerer (1993) for
leaves supplied with 1 mmol l⁻¹ [¹³CO₂*]. However, our estimated rate of anaplerotic reactions is only about 1% of the rate of phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase (PEPC) activity in previously published studies with *P. deltoides* plants exposed to ozone or varying light treatments (Loreto et al., 2007).

We also calculated a significantly higher overall apparent rate of retention in *B. napus* than in *P. deltoides* (P<0.001), though not for pairwise comparisons (Fig. 3). It is possible that the thick, green petioles and the blade of the *B. napus* leaf have slightly higher rates of anaplerotic respiration and that *B. napus* petioles have little higher radial efflux of CO₂ than the thin, waxy petioles of *P. deltoides*. Measuring how much of the retained [¹³C] is acid stable, which parts of the leaf have label and what compounds are labeled will be necessary to fully determine the fate of xylem-transported CO₂*. If petiole efflux of [¹³CO₂] is large, we would expect that the percentage of [¹³CO₂] exiting the leaf would decrease with increasing [¹³CO₂*] due to a higher concentration gradient between the petiole and the atmosphere. However, the percentage of [¹³CO₂] exiting the leaf does not show consistent patterns with the [¹³CO₂*] supplied to the leaf.

**Implications for understanding leaf and stem carbon fluxes**

Leaf efflux of xylem-transported CO₂* is controlled by the rate of transpiration and the xylem [CO₂*] (Fig. 4) but this is not accounted for when characterizing leaf respiration. We believe a significant amount of the uncertainty in plant respiration could be due to limited efforts to quantify the effects of xylem-transported CO₂*. There is potential for further characterization to improve our understanding of a wide range of leaf-level processes that have been hard to quantify such as: gross photosynthesis, the extent to which day respiration is down regulated in the light (Atkin et al., 2000; Hurry et al., 2005; Ayub et al., 2011), the response of respiration to stressors such as drought (Ayub et al., 2011; Rowland et al., 2015), the acclimation to environmental conditions such as temperature (Vanderwel et al., 2015), night respiration for species whose night-time transpiration is high and/or variable (Snyder et al., 2003; Resco de Dios et al., 2015), variation in the acclimation rates of respiration and photosynthesis to temperature between plant functional types (Campbell et al., 2007), the amount of CO₂ recycling in leaves including the potential recycling of xylem-transported CO₂* (Busch et al., 2013), the diffusion of CO₂ in leaves (Evans and von Caemmerer, 2013), and the activity of alternative decarboxylations associated with photorespiration (Cousins et al., 2008; Cousins et al., 2011).

Essentially, any measurement of leaf respiration that induces or includes changes in transpiration is susceptible to this error for species where xylem [CO₂*] is high (Fig. 1). For example, the efflux of xylem-transported CO₂* may interfere with methods for measuring day respiration in leaves. The two most common methods require varying photosynthesis at low light or low [CO₂] (Kok, 1949; Laisk, 1977). These measurements are time consuming and require highly accurate measurements of small fluxes that would be compromised if transpiration varies during the measurement, for example due to light or CO₂ affecting stomatal aperture. Measurements of leaf photosynthesis are also directly affected since some of the xylem-transported CO₂* is recycled by leaves (Stringer and Kimmerer, 1993; McGuire and Teskey, 2002; Bloemen et al., 2013a,b; Bloemen et al., 2015b) and model assumptions would be violated if some escaped the leaf without passing through chloroplasts (von Caemmerer, 2013).

In addition, any changes in root, stem, or branch respiration occurring during the measurement of small leaf fluxes would cause errors in leaf respiration when transpiration is sufficient. There are significant uncertainties surrounding the efflux of CO₂ from stems, as well as the rate of anaplerotic reactions, and the effects of both on the measurement of stem respiration (Teskey et al., 2008; Bloemen et al., 2015a). These uncertainties include diurnal variation in stem and root respiration, which is well documented but poorly understood, showing some linkage to diurnal patterns of carbon supply from shoots as well as other drivers (Bloemen et al., 2015b; Snell et al., 2015; Steppe et al., 2015). Our method of adding labeled bicarbonate to leaves could be applied to stems to improve estimates of the gross efflux of CO₂ and the rates of gross stem photosynthesis in real time, by helping to partition the rates of radial efflux through the stem relative to the vertical transport of CO₂* away from the site of respiration.

**What would it take for this flux to be significant globally?**

Although we have point data on stem [CO₂*] for over two dozen tree species (Teskey et al., 2008), we still need more data on the relationship between stem and branch tip [CO₂*] as well as their diurnal and seasonal patterns before we can make a solid prediction of what the contribution is from the ~3 trillion trees on Earth (Crowther et al., 2015). However, if [CO₂*] averages near 1 mmol l⁻¹ at the point where petioles attach to the stem or branch, which is a common value on the low end reported for tree stems (Teskey et al., 2008), and if average transpiration rates are around 0.5 mmol H₂O m⁻² s⁻¹ i.e. much closer to night-time than daytime rates (see Rescos de Dios et al. 2015 for regulation of night-time transpiration), then our data (Fig. 2) and those from Stringer and Kimmerer (1993) predict the efflux to be just over 1.5% of respiration. At the global scale, an error in respiration this size would account for a large portion of the error in global carbon cycle models (Hanson et al., 2016).

**Conclusions**

Using our novel online TDL gas exchange method paired with [¹³CO₂*] labeling, we found that when xylem [CO₂*] and transpiration are high, the efflux of xylem-transported CO₂* could cause large errors in observed leaf respiration. This would be most likely in the daytime when stomata are open, complicating the measurement of day respiration. We observed a fairly similar efflux of xylem-transported CO₂* from *P. deltoides* and *B. napus* leaves, which suggests the
effect of leaf and petiole morphology on the efflux is modest. However, data from many more species that include the diurnal and seasonal patterns of xylem [CO₂] in branch tips, where leaves attach, are needed to ascertain how often xylem-transported CO₂ interferes with measurements of leaf respiration or estimations of regional or global carbon fluxes. Future work with this approach will allow examination of the dynamics of the rate of xylem [CO₂] transport, radial efflux and internal re-fixation by stem, branches and leaves, relative to rates of respiration and photosynthesis occurring in each organ.
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