Genetic Analysis of Resistance to Multiple Isolates of Phytophthora capsici and Linkage to Horticultural Traits in Bell Pepper
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Abstract. Phytophthora capsici is one of the major pathogens found in pepper production, especially in bell pepper. Due to the high level of genetic diversity of the pathogen, bell pepper varieties with broad genetic resistance are essential for disease management. Criollo de Morelos – 334 (CM334), a landrace that has a high level of genetic resistance to P. capsici, has been used as the resistant source for P. capsici to generate a recombinant inbred line (RIL) population with the susceptible bell pepper cultivar Maor. From the resulting population, quantitative trait locus (QTL) models explaining resistance to each of four isolates of P. capsici were derived from QTL regions on three chromosomes using stepwise qtl in R/qtl. A single region of chromosome 5 contained major QTL for resistance to isolates PWB53 and PWB106 were located on chromosomes 10 and 11, respectively. Both isolate-specific QTL had epistatic interactions with a major QTL on chromosome 5. Using the pepper reference genome and gene annotation, candidate genes for P. capsici resistance within 1.5-logarithm of odds (LOD) interval were identified. Based on functional annotations derived from Arabidopsis thaliana and solanaceous crop databases, multiple candidate genes related to resistance (R) gene complexes or to plant immune system were found under the QTL on all three chromosomes. A comparison of the locations of resistance QTL and previously identified horticultural QTL using the same population revealed tight linkage between resistance to P. capsici and a stem pubescence QTL on chromosome 10. Both candidate genes for P. capsici resistance and the linkages between resistance and horticultural traits could be applied for selection to broaden resistance to P. capsici in bell pepper–breeding programs.

Phytophthora blight, caused by P. capsici, is one of the most economically destructive diseases affecting pepper production in many areas in the United States and other countries around the world (Barksdale et al., 1984; Hausbeck and Lamour, 2004). P. capsici has high genetic diversity in the field because this pathogen is polycyclic and can undergo both sexual reproduction, with different mating types, and asexual reproduction (Erwin and Ribeiro, 1996; Walker and Bosland, 1999).
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cultures were incubated at 4 °C for 45 min followed by room temperature for another 45 min. After incubation, zoospores were counted with a hemocytometer under a light microscope and the concentration was adjusted to 2000 zoospores per milliliter. Five milliliters per plant of zoospore inoculum were delivered to the roots of each plant by submersion of the seedling trays in inoculum. Plants were grown under water saturation conditions by keeping the seedling trays immersed in the water for the duration of the experiment.

Plants were first evaluated for disease severity on a 0 to 5 scale from healthy to dead plant. (Fig. 1) when the susceptible control (Maor) showed a disease symptom of three or more, usually 5 to 10 d after inoculation based on the virulence of each isolate. Subsequently, each plant was observed and data collected every 2 d to calculate an area under disease progress curve (AUDPC) until the symptom progress was unchanged (Das et al., 1992). The number of days plants were scored depended on the virulence of each isolate. AUDPC was calculated from

\[
\text{AUDPC} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{y_i + y_{i+1}}{2} (t_{i+1} - t_i)
\]

where \(y_i\) is score of plants at day \(i\)th observation; \(t_i\) is a number of days at the \(i\)th observation; and \(n\) is a number of observation.

**Data analyses**

**Correlations among traits.** *P. capsici* virulence data from greenhouse experiments were used for statistical analyzes in R [version 3.2.0 (R Development Core Team, 2005)]. Descriptive statistical analyzes and analysis of variance were performed. Virulence data and horticultural data from Chunthawodtiporn et al. (2018) were used to calculate Pearson pairwise correlations among traits.

**QTL analyses and Gene Ontology annotation.** Resistance QTL were determined based on AUDPC data using the R package qtl with scantwo and stepwiseqtl functions. Using the stepwiseqtl functions, QTL models with the maximal penalized LOD score and having 5% or more of phenotypic variation explained were chosen (Broman and Sen, 2009; Chunthawodtiporn et al., 2018; R Development Core Team, 2005). A summary of individual terms in the QTL models were calculated from the makeqtl and fittest function. Genomic regions from QTL intervals were identified by the physical position of the closet single-nucleotide polymorphism flanking the intervals on the reference genome. Candidate genes were determined based on the method of Chunthawodtiporn et al. (2018), with their physical or biological functions, that have been reported in databases from *Arabidopsis thaliana* and solanaceous crops.

**Results**

**Correlation among traits.** To explore relationships among traits, correlations between horticultural traits previously measured for the RIL population and levels of resistance to *P. capsici* were calculated. The traits could be separated into different groups including fruit traits, leaf traits, stem pubescence, anthocyanin accumulation in young fruit, and resistance to *P. capsici*. Generally within their respective groups, fruit traits and leaf traits had positive, significant correlation with each other (Fig. 2). The AUDPC measures of resistance to *P. capsici* were also positively, significantly correlated between all isolates but not correlated with any fruit or leaf traits. Stem pubescence was negatively correlated with all of the leaf traits and the AUDPC of PWB53, but not to AUDPC of the other isolates. Purple stripes from anthocyanin on immature fruit were negatively correlated with susceptibility to all *P. capsici* isolates.

**QTL analyses and Gene Ontology annotation.** There were three resistance QTL regions identified from the four isolate studied. All QTL had the resistance effect coming from the CM334 alleles. Across all four isolates, resistance QTL were located to on three chromosomes (Table 1, Fig. 3). The major QTL for all isolates was found on chromosome 5, which had the percentages of phenotypic variation explained ranging from 39.0% to 72.5%.

There were two isolate-specific QTL detected with PWB53 and PWB106 on chromosomes 10 and 11, respectively. The individual QTL on chromosome 10 for PWB53 explained 42.6%, whereas QTL on chromosome 11 for PWB106 explained 19.2% of phenotypic variation. In addition, these QTL had epistatic interactions with the major QTL on chromosome 5, accounting for 23.3% and 11.8% of the phenotypic variation with PWB53 and PWB106, respectively (Fig. 4).

To understand the resistance mechanism to *P. capsici*, all the resistance QTL were investigated by determining the functions of
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Fig. 1. *P. capsici* disease rating scale from 0 to 5: 0 = no symptoms/healthy plant (A); 1 = leaf yellowing or curling but no stem discoloration or necrosis (B); 2 = minor stem girdling at the base of stem (C); 3 = moderate stem necrosis and wilting (D); 4 = severe stem girdling and wilting with leaf necrosis (E); 5 = dead plant (F). Top pictures show actual inoculated plants with representative diagrams shown at the bottom.

1144 HORTSCIENCE Vol. 54(7) JULY 2019
underlying genes based on their homologs present in the *A. thaliana* and solanaceous crops’ databases. The potential candidate genes for resistance to *P. capsici* are shown in Supplemental Table 1. Candidate genes were placed into different groups, based on their inferred biological functions. The number of candidate genes that were found under QTL from four isolates were 37, 22, and 11 under chromosomes 5, 10, and 11, respectively.

### Discussion

Phytophthora blight from *P. capsici* was discovered and has been studied since 1922 (Lefebvre and Palloix, 1996; Leonian, 1922; Kim et al., 2008; Minamiyama et al., 2007; Ogundiwin et al., 2005; Ortega et al., 1991; Quirin et al., 2005; Rehrig et al., 2014; Reifschneider et al., 1992; Sugita et al., 2006; Thabuis et al., 2003; Truong et al., 2012; Walker and Bosland, 1999). However, the mechanism of disease resistance has been difficult to unravel. In this study, RILs from Chanthawodtiporn et al. (2018) were assayed with multiple *P. capsici* isolates that had been tested previously in other pepper populations (Rehrig et al., 2014) to identify loci conferring resistance to Phytophthora root rot. Use of several isolates of *P. capsici* with different levels of virulence is a key to breeding for broad spectrum resistance. Broad resistance is necessary for this disease because *P. capsici* has been shown to exist as multiple physiological races and two different mating types of sexual spores in a single field, leading to the continual emergence of new races (Oelke et al., 2003; Polach and Webster, 1972; Reifschneider et al., 1992). Use of broad resistant peppers reduces the chance of this pathogen break out in the field.

**Resistance loci to *P. capsici***. Most published QTL analyzes for resistance to *P. capsici* in pepper populations, including this study, have reported a QTL mapped to chromosome 5 even though different resistant sources, pepper populations, and disease assays have been used (Bonnet et al., 2007; Kim et al., 2002, 2008; Mallard et al., 2013; Minamiyama et al., 2007; Ogundiwin et al., 2005; Quirin et al., 2005; Rehrig et al., 2014; Sy et al., 2008; Thabuis et al., 2003; Truong et al., 2012). In a recent study, Mallard et al. (2013) examined different sources of *P. capsici* resistance and conducted a meta-analysis of QTL studies and found three QTL on chromosome 5: *Pc5.1*, *Pc5.2*, and *Pc5.3*, with *Pc5.1* representing the major QTL conferring resistance to multiple isolates. However, the only one locus at 14.0 cM on chromosome 5 was detected in the current study, possibly due to the limited usable number of single-nucleotide polymorphism markers from genotype-by-sequencing and the fact that only CM334 was used as the source of *P. capsici* resistance. Thus, the major QTL in this study may correspond to *Pc5.1*, based on its broad genetic resistance across multiple isolates.

Isolate-specific or race-specific resistance is consistent with a gene-for-gene theory in the relationship between plant host and pathogen when each gene in the host corresponds to an effector gene in the pathogen that conditions pathogenicity (Flor, 1971). These interactions between *P. capsici* and *C. annuum* for Phytophthora rot was confirmed with an F2 population to locate the resistance loci for different *P. capsici* isolates (Monroy-Barbosa and Bosland, 2008). This was in agreement with subsequent studies that used multiple isolate assays as Truong et al. (2012) and this study. We found two isolate-specific QTL, on chromosomes 10 and 11, associated with two isolates of *P. capsici*, PWB53 and PWB106, respectively (Table 1). Recently, an isolate-specific single, dominant gene conferring resistance to *P. capsici* was identified using bulk segregant analysis in a backcross population from CM334 as a resistant source (Xu et al., 2016). This locus was located at the end of long arm of chromosome 10, in a similar location as the QTL from PWB53. However, this backcross population did not detect the major QTL on chromosome 5 found in other studies (Kim et al., 2008; Mallard et al., 2013; Ogundiwin et al., 2005; Quirin et al., 2005; Rehrig et al., 2014; Thabuis et al., 2003). Our isolate-specific QTL was consistent with previous studies that found these two QTL from several resistant sources (Kim et al., 2008; Ogundiwin et al., 2005; Thabuis et al., 2003; Truong et al., 2012). In addition, our study also found the epistatic interactions between two *P. capsici* resistance loci (Table 1, Fig. 4) that have been reported in the previous studies (Bartual et al., 1991; Lefebvre and Palloix, 1996; Ogundiwin et al., 2005; Thabuis et al., 2003). The interaction between the resistance loci from QTL on chromosomes 5 and 10 that has been published by Thabuis et al. (2003) was consistent with our result for isolate
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**Table 1. QTL for *P. capsici* resistance using stepwiseqtl from R/qtl in RIL population for a cross between Maor and CM334.**

| Trait       | Model | LOD | %Var | QTL | Chrom. | G. peak | P. peak | LOD | %Var | Est. | Start (cM) | End (cM) |
|-------------|-------|-----|------|-----|--------|---------|---------|-----|------|-----|-----------|----------|
| ISO7        | y ~ Q1| 33.6| 72.5 | 7.5 | 14.0   | 27,156,466 | 33.6 | 72.5 | 10.8 | 22.7 | 10.8      | 22.7     |
| PWB53       | y ~ Q1 + Q2 + Q1:Q2 | 28.8 | 66.9 | 53  | 14.0   | 27,156,466 | 53.4 | 10.8 | 22.7 | 22.7 | 13.9      | 22.7     |
| PWB106      | y ~ Q1 + Q2 + Q1:Q2 | 17.2 | 48.3 | 106 | 14.0   | 27,156,466 | 14.3 | 39.0 | 6.7  | 22.7 | 6.6       | 22.7     |
| PWB128      | y ~ Q1 | 31.5 | 70.2 | 106 | 14.0   | 27,156,466 | 31.5 | 70.2 | 4.0  | 28.3 | 21.2      | 28.3     |

QTL = quantitative trait locus; 1.5-LOD = 1.5-LOD interval of the QTL; LOD = logarithm of odds; %Var = the percentage of phenotypic variance explained by QTLs; Chrom. = chromosome; G. peak = genetic position at the peak of QTL (cM); P. peak = physical position of the peak of QTL; Est. = estimate effect of QTL. The positive value of estimated effect (susceptibility) came from Maor alleles; Start = starting position for 1.5-LOD interval; End = ending position for 1.5-LOD interval; Q1, Q2 = each QTL on a trait such as PWB53 has 2 QTL = Q1 and Q2; Q1:Q2 = epistatic interaction between Q1 and Q2.
Moreover, our study were able to detect an interaction with isolate PWB106 between resistance loci on chromosomes 5 and 11. For both interactions, we were able to evaluate additive-by-additive epistatic interactions but could not calculate dominant effect of the interactions due to the type of plant material (RIL).

Candidate genes for *P. capsici* under QTL. Potential candidate genes associated with QTL for resistance to *P. capsici* were identified by comparing protein sequences and annotations with known disease resistance genes from *A. thaliana* and other crops in Solanaceous databases (Chunthawodtiporn et al., 2018). Several R-gene clusters were found under all QTL (Supplemental Table 1). R proteins are classified into several subclasses that are defined by the presence of specific protein domains including the leucine rich repeat domain, nucleotide-binding site domain, and an amino acid terminal (N-terminal) domain with homology to a toll-interleukin-1-receptor domain (Lawrence et al., 1995). R proteins act as receptors in pathogen recognition and signaling for disease resistance mechanisms (Ellis et al., 2000; Jones and Dangl, 2006; Meyers et al., 2002; Tan and Wu, 2012). One of the several resistance genes under the major QTL on chromosome 5 were R2 late blight resistance genes, which were CA05g05390, CA05g05440, and CA05g05720 (Supplemental Table 1). These genes belonged to NB-ARC-domain containing disease resistance gene encoding one of the domains of resistance gene in potato (Ballvora et al., 2002; Park et al., 2005).

Several putative R proteins including plant cell receptor, receptor-like protein, and receptor-like protein kinase genes are annotated under the QTL regions. Some annotated genes have been studied, for example, pathogen-associated molecular pattern recognition receptors such as the
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**Fig. 3.** Quantitative trait locus (QTL) map of *P. capsici* resistance and horticultural traits (Chunthawodtiporn et al., 2018) on chromosomes 5, 10, and 11. Genetic distance (cM) is on the left side of chromosomes and markers are on the right side. QTL are presented as the bars on the right of chromosomes. The length of each bar shows 1.5-logarithm of odds interval of QTL. For resistance to *P. capsici* QTL, ISO7 QTL is represented as a pink bar; PWB53 QTL are orange bars; PWB106 QTL are blue bars; and PWB128 QTL is a black bar. For horticultural traits, fruit QTL are illustrated as red bars; stem pubescence QTL are gray bars; and anthocyanin stripes in young fruit QTL as a purple bar (Chunthawodtiporn et al., 2018).

**Fig. 4.** Effect plots that exhibit the epistatic interactions between two quantitative trait locus (QTL) for each *P. capsici* isolate. On the left graph, PWB53 had the additive interaction between QTL on chromosome 5 at 14.0 cM and QTL on chromosome 10 at 84.0 cM. On the right graph, PWB106 had the additive interaction between QTL on chromosome 5 at 12.0 cM and QTL on chromosome 11 at 26.0 cM. The AA allele came from the resistant parent ‘CM334’, and BB allele was from susceptible bell pepper parent ‘Maor’. Scale on y-axis shows areas under the disease progress curve data which represent the level of susceptibility. The positions next to AA and BB alleles are the positions and chromosome numbers of the peaks of QTL.
mitogen-activated protein kinase under chromosome 11 (Hein et al., 2009). Not only plant cell receptors were classified as the candidate genes for plant disease resistance, physical barriers such as the plant cell wall were considered. Cell wall strength is a defense mechanism mediated by lignification from enzyme cell wall peroxidase (Bechinger et al., 1999). CanPO2 and CanPOD, two peroxidase proteins, have been studied in pepper and found to be involved in up-regulating P. capsici resistance after treatments with biotic and abiotic stresses (Choi and Hwang, 2012; Wang et al., 2013). We postulate that the resistance against multiple isolates conferred by the chromosome 5 QTL in this experiment and the many publications could be due to several active genes clustered in this region. For example, CaDMR1, although not segregating in our population, was proposed as a candidate gene under the QTL on chromosome 5 (Rehrig et al., 2014). Fine mapping in several populations, functional analyzes, complementation, and allelic tests are required to determine which genes confer resistance to P. capsici under this QTL and others identified. Combined evidence suggests that a different combination of alleles and genes may be responsible for broad genetic resistance to P. capsici in the gene cluster found under the major QTL on chromosome 5 in different genetic backgrounds. Linkages of QTL between PC resistance and horticultural traits. There have been several studies investigating sources of resistance to P. capsici in pepper. However, not many studies have reported the relationship between undesirable traits, which are common traits in resistant sources such as CM334, and the desirable resistance traits for plant breeding programs. In this study, the major resistance QTL for all isolates was located on chromosome 5, whereas isolate-specific QTL were located on chromosomes 10 and 11 (Fig. 3). Alleles for horticultural trait QTL from Chunthawodtiporn et al. (2018) were linked in repulsion to QTL for resistance to P. capsici on chromosomes 10 and 11 (Fig. 3). On chromosome 10, the QTL for PWB53 resistance was located at the same chromosome as the QTL for anthocyanin accumulation in young fruit and was linked (23.0 cM) to a minor QTL for fruit size. Moreover, it was tightly linked (1.6 cM) to QTL for stem pubescence. This result also was observed by Kim et al. (2010). They reported the fine mapping of the pepper trichome loci that controlled trichome formation in C. annuum ‘CM334’ and was tightly linked to resistance to pepper mottle virus (PepMoV). On chromosome 11, the QTL for PWB106 resistance was linked in repulsion to two minor horticultural QTL, fruit size and stem pubescence QTL (5.7 and 7.0 cM, respectively, Chunthawodtiporn et al., 2018). In conclusion, this study supports the multiple gene resistance for P. capsici in pepper with the major QTL located on chromosome 5 and identified isolate-specific resistance loci having epistatic interactions with the major QTL. Comparisons with horticultural QTL identified in the same population showed linkage between resistance and horticultural traits in repulsion, i.e., unfavorable for commercial pepper types. Leveraging the genotype-by-sequencing method and the availability of a pepper reference genome with annotated gene models, we were able to identify a number of candidate genes based on the physical positions of QTL markers and predicted protein functions of the underlying genes. Several genes encoding R proteins were found.
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Supplemental Table 1. Susceptibility data for *P. capsici*. Area under disease progress curve (AUDPC) data determining susceptibility of each RIL for each *P. capsici* isolate. AUDPC data of each isolate.

| RIL number | Iso7   | PWB53 | PWB106 | PWB128 |
|------------|--------|-------|--------|--------|
| 519        | 45.48  | 6.72  | 24.15  | 13.67  |
| 521        | 11.00  | 7.63  | 14.17  | 8.61   |
| 524        | 14.98  | 7.94  | 4.11   | 13.11  |
| 525        | 77.83  | 6.53  | 19.64  | 30.89  |
| 526        | 78.31  | 12.78 | 8.11   | 30.06  |
| 535        | 21.98  | 10.06 | 10.48  | 9.83   |
| 537        | 81.83  | 31.56 | 15.33  | 30.00  |
| 538        | 42.88  | 9.14  | 15.25  | 16.06  |
| 540        | 79.82  | 7.75  | 30.56  | 28.50  |
| 547        | 41.03  | 3.39  | 10.94  | 13.00  |
| 550        | 68.81  | 23.47 | 13.25  | 30.72  |
| 552        | 72.00  | 14.03 | 15.03  | 30.72  |
| 555        | 64.83  | 33.85 | 9.17   | 26.06  |
| 556        | 79.49  | 44.56 | 22.49  | 34.89  |
| 558        | 70.59  | 10.28 | 23.08  | 26.78  |
| 559        | 33.18  | 7.00  | 8.28   | 11.17  |
| 560        | 78.33  | 5.22  | 7.56   | 28.44  |
| 561        | 72.00  | 10.33 | 28.86  | 27.78  |
| 562        | 83.83  | 40.67 | 12.31  | 32.44  |
| 564        | 25.90  | 7.67  | 9.17   | 15.50  |
| 566        | 12.48  | 12.42 | 6.83   | 11.17  |
| 568        | 81.00  | 9.14  | 35.28  | 29.72  |
| 569        | 82.08  | 47.58 | 24.19  | 29.56  |
| 573        | 31.38  | 3.58  | 8.53   | 10.44  |
| 578        | 36.44  | 7.39  | 13.25  | 20.33  |
| 581        | 81.11  | 19.34 | 14.08  | 31.22  |
| 583        | 83.50  | 16.25 | 14.36  | 31.44  |
| 585        | 50.75  | 4.72  | 13.89  | 19.61  |
| 589        | 20.69  | 13.29 | 12.14  | 12.72  |
| 590        | 83.61  | 61.80 | 40.92  | 31.94  |
| 591        | 79.09  | 52.67 | 45.39  | 30.06  |
| 592        | 78.00  | 47.33 | 30.39  | 30.56  |
| 597        | 78.78  | 13.36 | 7.22   | 28.72  |
| 599        | 78.17  | 17.64 | 20.47  | 32.28  |
| 600        | 66.19  | 8.72  | 18.68  | 26.39  |
| 602        | 80.89  | 33.94 | 22.81  | 31.28  |
| 606        | 77.25  | 12.36 | 31.38  | 25.73  |
| 610        | 23.63  | 10.64 | 13.57  | 14.56  |
| 611        | 52.19  | 5.47  | 8.38   | 27.11  |
| 613        | 45.24  | 4.64  | 12.89  | 20.11  |
| 616        | 7.97   | 1.70  | 4.42   | 13.11  |
| 621        | 77.49  | 44.28 | 14.42  | 24.44  |
| 628        | 26.00  | 5.50  | 8.67   | 11.83  |
| 631        | 35.64  | 11.36 | 9.19   | 21.44  |
| 632        | 31.14  | 4.22  | 9.28   | 16.28  |
| 635        | 19.29  | 5.64  | 2.83   | 12.11  |
| 637        | 72.01  | 58.69 | 23.92  | 30.77  |
| 640        | 64.66  | 7.89  | 15.86  | 24.97  |
| 641        | 50.90  | 5.33  | 13.06  | 23.50  |
| 645        | 62.22  | 7.22  | 7.81   | 27.67  |
| 649        | 45.92  | 14.14 | 14.58  | 18.11  |
| 654        | 15.90  | 11.44 | 11.00  | 7.17   |
| 655        | 17.88  | 7.43  | 8.50   | 18.39  |
| 656        | 30.86  | 12.86 | 7.69   | 18.39  |
| 658        | 28.81  | 3.33  | 6.69   | 15.11  |
| 663        | 80.72  | 10.04 | 16.94  | 32.17  |
| 664        | 68.33  | 0.08  | 35.04  | 28.67  |
| 666        | 39.56  | 17.10 | 10.61  | 17.61  |
| 669        | 80.08  | 34.17 | 19.17  | 32.44  |
| 670        | 8.43   | 5.33  | 9.36   | 6.67   |
| 671        | 37.67  | 7.06  | 6.33   | 15.42  |
| 673        | 77.72  | 36.17 | 19.89  | 30.33  |
| 674        | 77.76  | 54.06 | 28.53  | 19.44  |
| 675        | 37.67  | 5.50  | 8.33   | 14.22  |
| 676        | 53.81  | 7.03  | 27.04  | 32.17  |
| 687        | 75.75  | 58.28 | 16.65  | 32.33  |
| 688        | 11.70  | 2.69  | 5.28   | 6.61   |
| 689        | 79.61  | 25.89 | 60.22  | 30.50  |
| 691        | 12.98  | 4.58  | 9.97   | 8.56   |
| 692        | 71.78  | 5.75  | 31.31  | 30.56  |
| 697        | 66.26  | 7.96  | 29.79  | 10.33  |
| 700        | 4.90   | 5.83  | 2.61   | 8.11   |
| 705        | 8.04   | 3.33  | 4.78   | 10.33  |

(Continued on next page)
Supplemental Table 1. (Continued) Susceptibility data for *P. capsici*. Area under disease progress curve (AUDPC) data determining susceptibility of each RIL for each *P. capsici* isolate AUDPC data of each isolate.

| RIL number | Iso7  | PWB53 | PWB106 | PWB128 |
|------------|-------|-------|--------|--------|
| 722        | 49.02 | 7.08  | 8.19   | 20.54  |
| 723        | 79.61 | 20.61 | 42.72  | 30.66  |
| 728        | 22.11 | 6.53  | 8.59   | 14.94  |
| 734        | 40.93 | 1.14  | 10.33  | 11.61  |
| 737        | 25.75 | 6.47  | 18.81  | 18.83  |
| 747        | 18.89 | 4.44  | 7.56   | 9.94   |
| 749        | 83.67 | 9.53  | 39.19  | 32.78  |
| 750        | 18.60 | 8.28  | 36.33  | 8.78   |
| 755        | 20.73 | 7.81  | 10.27  | 8.83   |
| 758        | 43.19 | 6.61  | 16.08  | 18.78  |
| 768        | 11.86 | 6.14  | 3.86   | 15.94  |
| 769        | 75.92 | 18.58 | 14.67  | 29.94  |
| 771        | 61.78 | 71.14 | 52.08  | 31.03  |
| 772        | 15.64 | 3.61  | 6.58   | 12.08  |
| 773        | 14.73 | 6.54  | 17.22  | 9.39   |
| 777        | 9.00  | 6.75  | 15.69  | 6.83   |
| 780        | 14.31 | 6.61  | 8.19   | 8.39   |
| 785        | 32.08 | 2.33  | 3.61   | 16.67  |
| 786        | 24.07 | 18.61 | 12.53  | 20.11  |
| 787        | 18.14 | 3.83  | 5.89   | 18.39  |
| 795        | 65.44 | 22.03 | 11.53  | 22.94  |
| 805        | 24.13 | 8.47  | 13.28  | 16.50  |
| 809        | 41.63 | 10.17 | 15.36  | 21.78  |
| 810        | 12.76 | 8.83  | 4.97   | 9.06   |
| 811        | 14.19 | 5.00  | 5.91   | 15.22  |
| 812        | 41.85 | 8.31  | 6.17   | 14.94  |
| 817        | 58.25 | 22.47 | 34.44  | 31.78  |
| 819        | 12.08 | 8.00  | 3.17   | 11.00  |
| 822        | 36.14 | 4.83  | 14.36  | 10.06  |
| 827        | 23.47 | 2.92  | 5.50   | 14.78  |
| 829        | 23.39 | 4.92  | 8.69   | 14.11  |
| 832        | 17.97 | 3.44  | 8.30   | 10.37  |
| 838        | 80.03 | 10.81 | 14.53  | 29.89  |
| 840        | 21.13 | 25.56 | 6.56   | 19.44  |
| 841        | 13.92 | 8.11  | 14.31  | 13.94  |
| 847        | 75.74 | 64.81 | 66.76  | 30.94  |
| 868        | 78.22 | 21.94 | 39.25  | 30.72  |
| 870        | 14.67 | 7.17  | 10.33  | 11.44  |
| 872        | 13.49 | 4.69  | 4.89   | 12.33  |
| 876        | 84.78 | 10.00 | 31.97  | 29.11  |
| 877        | 85.44 | 52.58 | 40.93  | 31.78  |
| 879        | 16.75 | 12.31 | 13.44  | 9.89   |
| 881        | 24.97 | 8.44  | 9.86   | 17.83  |
| 887        | 75.79 | 64.69 | 38.94  | 28.11  |
| 889        | 57.22 | 15.50 | 11.83  | 24.06  |
| 890        | 81.06 | 58.21 | 33.86  | 29.33  |
| 891        | 78.33 | 4.89  | 28.59  | 29.83  |