An Efficient HAPS Cross-Layer Design to Mitigate COVID-19 Consequences
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Abstract: This paper proposes a new cross-layer communication system for the provision of Internet services and applications to mitigate the negative impacts of COVID-19, due to which the massive online demands are affecting the current communication systems’ infrastructures and capabilities. The system requirements and model are investigated where it utilizes high-altitude platform (HAP) for fast and efficient connectivity provision to bridge the communication infrastructure gap in the current pandemic. The HAP is linked to the main server or gateway station located on ground and can provide communication narrow beams towards isolated areas which suffer from poor terrestrial radio coverage or lack of communication infrastructure. The vital e-learning applications using Internet services provision from the proposed HAP system are described and modelled including system adaptation parameters such as the application and physical layers to control the data rates of different e-learning applications and the overall cell data rate. On the other hand, the provision of high-speed Internet services from the proposed system is supported by using adaptive antenna arrays onboard HAP which provides high-gain beams to achieve the required high-quality transmission data rates at the student premises and provides the capability of coverage cell area adaptation for load balancing. The concentric circular antenna arrays with tapered feeding are proposed in this adaptive antenna system to control the cell mainlobe gain and reduce the out-of-coverage radiation as well. In addition, the system feasibility has been proved in two coverage scenarios including single-beam and multibeam HAP communications.
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1 Introduction

1.1 Background

Virus outbreaks seriously affect all aspects of life and lead to increasing infection and toll rates. COVID-19 has a very high infection rate, and it has spread to almost all the territories of the world [1–3]. Unfortunately, this virus is genetically variant and may last for a few years. Governments and health organizations recommend quarantine and increased social distance to reduce the infection rate and mitigate the other consequences. The quarantine means that we should rely mainly on online services and
that these should be provided to as many people as possible worldwide. Many services such as e-commerce and e-learning services are used extensively and require a supporting communication network infrastructure as many employees, trainees, and students work from home [4,5].

Despite the high demand on the Internet during this pandemic, some regions in some countries suffer from weak or even a lack of communication network infrastructure, and this affects the countries’ capability to support their population with e-services such as e-learning. Deploying terrestrial networks for these regions within a short period of time is impossible or requires very costly infrastructure. Therefore, governments can utilize satellite systems to provide extensive coverage instead [6]. However, the considerable distance from which satellites operate and the special terrestrial transceivers and installation requirements are barriers to the use of such systems. Besides, satellite systems provide low data rates, which may not suit the current package of Internet services that is used to meet people’s requirements [7].

Another difficulty in Internet provision through satellites is the high rates of service provision, which is not suitable for the current economic situation. Therefore, a new communication system infrastructure should provide compatible, high-speed Internet at a low cost. Alternatively, high-altitude platforms (HAPs) can be employed to provide superior, comprehensive coverage and high-quality communication performance [8]. HAPs are airborne balloons, airships, or unmanned aircraft that operate in the stratosphere; these can provide coverage to an area of up to 1000 km in diameter and have a communication channel performance like that of satellite systems but at very low relative altitudes.

Students in remote rural areas that suffer from a lack of communication services or inadequate cellular coverage and minimal Internet rates are unable to continue their distance or e-learning. Flying airborne systems and moving them to such inaccessible areas will provide instant wireless communication infrastructure, thereby relieving students [9]. In this paper, we consider a HAP to provide an efficient solution for the rapid establishment of the communication network to help students access e-learning services remotely [10]. The development of efficient antenna techniques at the HAP will improve data rate provision to support Internet services and the number of users.

1.2 Contribution

The main objective of this paper is to solve the problem of Internet service provision in remote areas with undeveloped communication infrastructure and those where such infrastructure is impossible to develop. Therefore, we propose and analyze a new end-to-end model for the emergency and fast deployment of a communication system using a novel cross-layer between the adaptive antenna, MAC layer, and application layer; the system’s mathematical and geometrical models are also investigated along with the practical applicability and feasibility of the system.

1.3 The Paper’s Organization

The paper is arranged as follows: Section 2 discusses the related cross-layer optimization techniques for the current systems and HAPs. It also details the current e-learning systems and their requirements, while Section 3 introduces the proposed HAP e-learning system model. In Section 4, we demonstrate the adaptive cellular e-learning HAP system using adaptive antenna arrays onboard HAP. Section 5 explores the role of adaptive antenna arrays in coverage cells’ formation and adaptation. Section 6 presents the communication performance evaluation, and finally, Section 7 concludes the paper.

2 Related Works

Cross-layer design is one of the most interesting end-to-end system models, and it is extensively discussed in the literature. It is a set of methodologies for manipulating various parameters across the
network layers, from the physical to the application layers. The proposed e-learning solution for Internet services provisioning over HAP considers an end-to-end model that addresses all network layers. Therefore, here we review the related works that focus mainly on the cross-layer models and address models that associate adaptive antenna and MAC and application layers for multi-users. For instance, an author [11] designed a sub-optimal power control for the physical layer of uplink multiple-antenna non-orthogonal multiple access (NOMA) model to improve the overall data rates. The proposed method’s complexity was tested, and it was found that it is slightly better than that of the physical layer method [12]. A study [13] proposed a cloud radio access network (C-RAN) to enhance the strides range and vitality effectiveness of remote systems through the relocation of routinely disseminated BS capabilities into a centralized cloud baseband unit (BBU) pool. Another paper [14] proposed a cross-layer cooperative beamforming system with an ideal weight plan. The paper proposed two imperfect weight plans for multibeam beamforming to choose the weights that maximize ghostly productivity.

Another author [15] discussed a cross-layer design method for cross resource allocation and routing for the physical (PHY) and MAC layers in multi-hop wireless backbone communications. They furnished the base stations (BSs) with a smart antenna that could receive and transmit adaptive beams. A nonlinear optimization model was derived, which improved the throughput of the BSs under the PHY/MAC and routing assumptions, and the results verified the effectiveness of the proposed cross-layer model.

Another work [16] suggested a superimposed pilot (SiP) sequence-based channel estimation strategy for beamforming to help multi-antenna HAP arrive versatile radio communication frameworks [17,18]. The proposed strategy misused the initially accessible data of users’ spatial area and thickness and a beamwidth of HAP directional receiving wire. Particularly, the author proposed area data-supported and low power control SiP sequence-based stagewise orthogonal match pursuit (StOMP) calculation to estimate channels, from single-antenna client terminals to beamforming, to help expansive-scale multiple-antenna HAP [19].

Another study [20] proposed a modern cross-layer method that included a concurrent shrewd smart antenna at the physical layer and arbitrary network coding at the network layer to enhance the overall network’s performance. The simulation results showed the effectiveness of the proposed method. Some researchers [21] investigated the long-term renewable smart energy-disbursement reduction issue and expressed it as a stochastic optimization scheme. Simulation results showed that the proposed successive approximation smart antenna (SABF) [22] scheme outperforms the zero-forcing smart antenna scheme (ZFBF) [23] in both smart grid disbursement and packet loss. The smart grid disbursement enhanced the packet loss under the proposed model by altering a control coefficient.

Other researchers [24] evaluated the performance of dynamic minimum variance distortionless response (MVDR) and least squares (LS) smart antenna on the downlink (DL) channel from HAP to high mobility train. They assumed that the channel was flat-fading and time-varying Rician (TVR). The dynamic MVDR smart antenna is more effective for the Rician fading channel. The results verified that by developing the LS smart antenna, the receiver can dynamically cope better than the Rayleigh fading channel.

3 The System’s Requirements

The rapid advancement of distance learning (DL) technologies has created more chances to bail out the educational systems affected by the pandemic. Indeed, communication technologies help web-based DL thrive and grant fair educational opportunities to a large population of students [25]. As a consequence of these innovations and other web development, universities and schools started using web-based DL platforms to provide flexible education regardless of temporal and spatial differences. Besides, the technical advancement in learning management systems (LMSs), such as Moodle, Blackboard, Claroline, ATutor, Desire2Learn (D2L), Dokeos, OLAT, eFront, etc., is the driving force for the modern
implementation strategies. Moodle and Blackboard are the two most recognized web-based LMSs that are progressively used as platforms in general and higher education. With different types of DL in concept, practice, and experience, these platforms are advancing rapidly to deliver a comfortable educational system [26].

Although Internet penetration is expected to surpass 50 percent of the world population by the end of 2020, more considerable broadband penetration and telecommunications services are needed for impoverished people and remote rural areas. Due to adequate infrastructures, distance education and e-exam can be affordable in metropolises and cities. However, the currently available broadband access technologies are still not mature enough in remote regions. Qualified rural broadband applications at minimal cost are required to increase Internet accessibility. This can be achieved through the wide spectrum of accessible and scalable wireless technologies [27]. Therefore, the traditional DL system essentially requires a highly secure wireless communication platform with affordable prices. Such a platform has certain criteria such as two-way communications, real-time video streaming, file sharing, and deliberations [28]. Broadband access should involve efficient, cost-effective, and fast-deployment technologies to improve accessibility. Economic and technological considerations are the critical challenges of delivering broadband networks in remote areas [29]. For instance, the configuration of fiber optic backhauls connectivity or 4G deployment in rural counties remains an expensive option. Network operators should develop a new broadband wireless access technology to overcome the mentioned challenges. The new technology possesses the following properties: (1) visible enough to operate with low power; (2) have low provisioning cost; (3) be a rigid type of climate; (4) have fading channels technology. Although the researchers have already extensively investigated this subject, such challenges still need to be considered with regard to e-learning in remote territories. These challenges range from the physical to the network layers and include the quality of the services for real-time, delay-sensitive applications, offline storage spaces, recording, and data retrieval.

Wireless HAP communication is an alternative compromising solution for supplying DL [30]. Network operators can utilize HAP systems to provide fixed broadband connectivity to end-users in remote areas, which include mountainous, coastal, and desert areas. HAP needs lower venture and operation costs to a certain extent and provides high-quality services and enough capacity for e-learning. In pandemic situations like the COVID-19 one, HAP can be rapidly deployed for DL because it allows for the establishment of services with minimal ground network infrastructure. HAP can also easily be reallocated to different locations at minimum cost [31].

4 Adaptive E-Learning Provision from HAPS

4.1 System Architecture

Fig. 1a shows e-learning services provision from HAP by adaptive antenna arrays system onboard HAP fed by the coverage information from the university site’s ground station. The beamforming information is defined according to the registered report of the students on the university e-learning database—such as home locations, academic schedule, the number of students in a common remote region, the minimum services bit rate, etc. This information is extracted at the HAP beamformer input to direct suitable beams for students’ locations. The HAP acts as a repeater in this case and can be considered as a fixed “stratospheric satellite” [32].

The beamforming can be done through many suitable planner antenna structures, such as two-dimensional or concentric arrays. This paper focuses on concentric arrays due to their performance superiority and azimuth-independent beam-generation property. The taper profile function feeds the array to improve the sidelobe performance and reduce the co-channel interference if frequency reuse is applied.

Fig. 1b shows the distribution for HAP coverage cells. The system splits the coverage into area coverage (residential area) and antenna spot beams within the residential area. We assume that we have N residential
areas, M spot beams, and P applications and services within each beam. The proposed model for managing applications is based on socket and port numbers, and the network administrator identifies the privilege for each application. The beam data rate is \( C_m \), while the network operation center (NOC) data rate is \( C_T \). The beams and the associated data rates are distributed based on users’ activities and application priorities.

The system starts by allocating the total data rate (\( C_T \)) at NOC, applying policies and limitations, and calculates the antenna array feeding currents required to form a coverage beam. Then, it receives the requester’s order and verifies that the beam covers it. If the requester is out of beam coverage, the system forms a new beam and allocates resources to the MAC. Then, it calculates the data rate in the formed beam to allocate more resources to it. Once the beam array is created with the corresponding data rate and the resources are assigned, the system checks whether the requested application is open. If the application is open, the system applies for limitation roles, receives more applications, and checks their types. It gives the best allowed resources to the application within the schedule, and then it is ready to welcome new requests. But if the application is not in the plan, it verifies if the application is permitted to give the best-effort resources. If it is not, it should be blocked. If the application is originally not open, the program calls the application layer for data rate adaptation. The flowchart of this plan is shown in Fig. 2.

**Figure 1:** Adaptive antenna array onboard HAP: (a) System architecture for e-learning services provision (b) Modelling of physical distribution of HAP coverage beams

4.2 System Adaptation Parameters

This section demonstrates and discusses the system adaptation parameters for HAP e-learning services. These parameters include the operation on both the application and physical layers to control the data rates of different e-learning applications and the overall cell data rate. Eq. (1) shows the total NOC link capacity:

\[
C_T = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{p=0}^{P} C_m(n, p)
\]

(1)

where \( C_m \) is calculated based on the cross-layer model for the physical and application layers’ data rates for each beam in a specific area. Simply, \( C_m \) can be controlled as follows:
where $R_m$ and $A_m$ are the rate adaptation parameters of the physical and application layers, respectively. In an e-learning communication system, students need to access a limited number of applications; these can be classified into three main categories: e-learning platforms such as Blackboard, video streams, and general web access. The data rate for each type depends on the number of students in each area, and the one with a large student population is expected to get a fair share from the total data rate, $C_T$. To equitably distribute $C_T$, we use the same-level source utilization approach for managing resources proportionately in relation to the student population in each coverage area.

\begin{equation}
C_m(n,p) = \varnothing(R_m, A_m)
\end{equation}

**Figure 2:** The algorithm of resources distribution in HAPS
Proportional utilization coefficient, $K_u$, is the result of the division of the student population in each area, $S_i$, by the total number of students included by coverage, $S_{total}$, i.e.,

$$K_u = \frac{S_i}{S_{total}} \quad (3)$$

Then, the total data rate designated for the $i$ area with multiple beams, $B_i$, is given as follows:

$$B_i = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{p=1}^{P} C_m(i,p) \quad (4)$$

which can also be expressed as

$$B_i = K_u C_T \quad (5)$$

The NOC can dedicate $\eta$ of the data rate in the $i^{th}$ area to the e-learning platform, $EL_i$, because of students’ need to attend classes, upload assignments, and take exams. On the other hand, students might need to watch some supplementary tutorials via different video streaming platforms, $VS_i$, which is $\zeta$ of the data rate and may be smaller than $\eta$ to avoid the abuse of data consumption. Finally, $\delta$ of data rate in a specific area is devoted to web browsing, $WB_i$, to help students search or find written tutorials. Eqs. (6) to (8) depict these distributions mathematically:

$$EL_i = \eta B_i \quad (6)$$

$$VS_i = \zeta B_i \quad (7)$$

$$WB_i = \delta B_i \quad (8)$$

To find the data rate for each student in the same area for a specific service such as e-learning, we divide $EL_i$ by the number of students in the area, as follows:

$$EL_{Si} = \frac{EL_i}{S_i} \quad (9)$$

Eventually, we express the application layer data rate adaptation parameter for the $i^{th}$ beam, $A_m$, as follows:

$$A_m = \frac{EL_i + VS_i + WB_i}{M} \quad (10)$$

This scheme is compared with some typical e-learning services in terms of data rates in Tab. 1.

| E-learning services                  | Data rate |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|
| Standard definition (SD) video      | 2 Mbps    |
| High definition (HD) video          | 5 Mbps    |
| E-mail and web browsing             | 500 kbps  |
| Downloading e-books                 | 2 Mbps    |
| Online exam and assessment          | 100 kbps  |
The other physical-layer adaptation factor for \( C_m \) and \( R_m \) represents the capability to control the \( m \)th cell’s gross data rate, which should be balanced according to the number of students in each cell. Therefore, we can consider \( R_m \) as the cell radius that should be controlled to accommodate a suitable number of students in the cell, which effectively controls the required gross data rate for that cell, i.e., \( C_m \). The next section presents the implementation of this adaptation through the harnessing of adaptive antenna arrays onboard HAP.

5 HAP Cell Coverage Adaptation Using Onboard Antenna Arrays

This section demonstrates the physical coverage cells’ formation and adaptation. First, it illustrates the coverage area and cell geometry and shows the controlling parameters. Second, it shows how to link the coverage cell area with the HAP antenna array system to achieve the required adaptation process.

5.1 HAP Coverage Cell Formation and Control

We can address the problem of delivering local e-learning services by incorporating the e-learning management system into the communication facility to bridge the information gap in distant or isolated regions. Consider a HAP station located at an altitude of \( h \) km in the stratosphere, as shown in Fig. 3. The maximum coverage diameter can be obtained by the following equation:

\[
\rho_0 = r \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{r}{r+h} \right)
\]

where \( r \) is the earth radius. The maximum diameter of HAP coverage can extend to about 1000 km for a HAP located at the height of 20 km. However, due to shadowing effects, the coverage diameter is lowered to a few hundreds of kilometers. The coverage zone may be much less wide for local regions corresponding to the main university campus and could extend to 300 kilometers based on the district distribution. Therefore, such a system delivers interactive e-learning services to students in remote locations that suffer from low or limited connectivity. The controlling parameters for the system design can be defined as student density in remote areas, minimum bit rate requirements for each student, communication channel capacity \( (C_m) \), HAP altitude \( (h) \).

![Figure 3: Maximum HAP coverage area and radius](image)

Some beaming techniques, such as directional spot-beam antennas or antenna arrays, provide service beam from HAP. The spot-beam directional antennas are more suitable for fixed service applications in which the coverage area per beam is constant. On the other hand, adaptive antenna arrays adapt to
varying system conditions such as university schedule, student density, services bit rates, etc. The beam shape and gain vary with these parameters. The system can harness several antenna array structures such as two-dimensional arrays and concentric circular arrays (CCA), which are used in this work for HAP communications.

Fig. 4 shows concentric arrays with uniform element separation at the half-wavelength distance point between elements in the same ring and between concentric rings. The taper profile is applied centrically on the rings, and the highest feeding amplitude feeds the innermost ring elements. The phase responses of the elements are adjusted to direct the main lobe toward the desired region. Also, the beam width can be adjusted by controlling either the taper profile or the number of utilized rings in the array [33].

Therefore, the array power gain can be demonstrated as follows:

$$G_t(\theta, \varnothing) = |W(\theta_o, \varnothing_o)^H S_{CA}(\theta, \varnothing)|^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

where, $W(\theta_o, \varnothing_o)$ is the weighting vector of the array, $S_{CA}(\theta, \varnothing)$ is the array steering vector, and $H$ is the Hermitian operator. If the number of elements in the $k^{th}$ ring in the concentric array is $L_k$ and $K$ rings form the array, the weighting vector is given as follows:

$$W(\theta_o, \varnothing_o) = \Gamma \odot S_{CA}(\theta_o, \varnothing_o)$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

Where $\Gamma$ is the subarrays weighting coefficients, $\odot$ is the Hadamard product, and $S_{CA}(\theta_o, \varnothing_o)$ is the array steering vector that corresponds to the main-lobe direction. Finally, $\Gamma$ is expressed as follows:

$$\Gamma^T = [x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m, \ldots, x_M]$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

where $x_k$ is a colon sub-vector of $L_k$ elements of the same amplitude coefficient value $x_m$.

The $k^{th}$ subarray amplitude coefficient $x_k$ is proposed to be as follows:

$$x_k = \left(\cos\left(\frac{(k - 1)\pi}{2K}\right)\right)^2, k = 1, 2, \ldots, K$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

Generally, as shown in Fig. 5, the $m^{th}$ service beam from HAP can cover an area, $a_m$, which is given by:

$$a_m = \pi R_m^2 \cos(\theta_m)$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)
where, $R_m$ is the footprint half-major axis in $\theta_m$ direction and is given by

$$R_m = h \left( \tan(\theta_m) - \tan\left(\theta_m - 0.5 B^0\right) \right)$$

and $B^0$ is the beamwidth in the $\theta_m$ direction. Therefore, the cell area can be related directly to the beamwidth and direction as follows:

$$a_m = \pi h^2 (\tan(\theta_m) - \tan(\theta_m - 0.5 B^0))^2 \cos(\theta_m)$$

Fig. 5 displays the cell area’s variation with the beam direction at different beamwidth values. As shown in this figure, for beam directions up to 40°, especially for small beamwidths, the beamwidth mainly controls the cell area where the effect of the beam direction is negligible. Increasing the beam direction beyond this limit results in a rapid increase in the cell area due to projection on the earth’s surface, where the cells become more elliptical.

Fig. 6 shows the cell area’s variation with the beam direction at different beamwidth values. As shown in this figure, for beam directions up to 40°, especially for small beamwidths, the beamwidth mainly controls the cell area where the effect of the beam direction is negligible. Increasing the beam direction beyond this limit results in a rapid increase in the cell area due to projection on the earth’s surface, where the cells become more elliptical.
The system links the total number of students located in a cell with the cell area from the following relation:

\[ S_m = D_s a_m \]  \hspace{1cm} (19)

where \( D_s \) is the student density in the remote or isolated area. On the other hand, we can design the coverage beam from the following relation:

\[ a_m = \frac{S_m}{D_s} \]  \hspace{1cm} (20)

Therefore, we can design the required coverage beam for a specific number of students and density for this area.

5.2 Communication Link Performance at the Student Premises

The signal level and probability of error at the student premises should be acceptable to achieve a specific communication link performance. The received signal power level at the student e-learning receiver input, \( P_s \), can be written as follows:

\[ P_s = P_t G_s G_t(\theta, \varnothing) \left( \frac{\lambda}{4\pi d_s} \right)^2 \left( \frac{1}{\zeta} \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (21)

where, \( P_t \) is the HAP transmitted power; \( G_s \) is the receiver antenna power gain; \( G_t(\theta, \varnothing) \) is the HAP transmitting antenna gain; \( \lambda \) is the signal wavelength; \( d_s \) is the slant distance between the HAP and the student receiver; \( \zeta \) is an excess attenuation due to atmospheric losses, shadowing, fading, and extra margin for the communication link. Assuming the QPSK modulation scheme, the probability of error at the student receiver is given by [34]

\[ p_e = \frac{1}{2} \text{erfc} \left( \sqrt{\frac{P_s}{N_0 B_s}} \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (22)

where, \( N_0 \) is the noise power spectral density, \( \text{erfc} \) is the complementary error function, and \( B_s \) is the student accessible bit rate. Therefore, the signal strength and the communication link’s quality depend mainly on the received signal power from HAP and the required bit rate. Larger bit rates require more substantial received power to maintain the probability of error within an acceptable range. Therefore, the antenna gain at HAP plays an essential role in the achievement of the required e-learning service quality for students in remote areas. We can manage the beam downlink capacity from HAP toward a specific area by adapting students’ schedules to deliver the highest possible data rate for them.

For example, suppose that the maximum beam downlink speed of the overall e-learning channel is 1 Gbps, and each student can be assigned 2 Mbps. In this case, the total number of simultaneously served students is less than or equal to 500. The service beam from HAP can be split into multibeam when the number of simultaneously served students is less than the beam capacity, and the coverage footprint can be extended to cover other remote regions. In the next section, we demonstrate the adaptive array structure at HAP that achieves the objective of adapting both the beam power gain and coverage area according to the remote area’s location and the number of students.

6 Numerical Results and Discussions

This experiment validates and tests the system’s capability to provide communication links with feasible performance and requirements. The system simulation parameters as well as physical and application settings are listed in Tab. 2. The onboard antenna array structure includes the number of elements and their
distributions, interelement separation, operating frequency, bandwidth, HAP height, application data rates, percentages, etc. The test is in two scenarios: the formation of single-beam coverage and the capability to provide multibeam coverage based on the same weights or coefficients.

### Table 2: Simulation parameters and their values

| Simulation parameter                              | Value                                      |
|---------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| Antenna elements type                             | Isotropic radiators                       |
| Number of rings, $K$                               | 10 rings                                   |
| Innermost ring size                               | 5 elements                                 |
| Interelement spacing                              | $\lambda/2$                               |
| Inter-ring spacing                                | $\lambda/2$                               |
| Number of elements in each ring, $L_K$             | 5, 11, 17, 23, 29, 35, 41, 47, 53, and 59 |
| Outermost ring size                               | 59 elements                                |
| HAP altitude                                      | 20 km                                      |
| Total HAP coverage zone                           | 80 km X 80 km                              |
| Main-lobe direction for single beam               | (50°,45°)                                  |
| Main-lobe directions for multibeams               | (50°,45°), (45°,135°), and (45°,300°)      |
| Beam carrier frequency                            | 5 GHz                                      |
| Channel bandwidth                                 | 20 MHz                                     |
| Beam-transmitted power                            | 30 Watts                                   |
| Maximum free-space loss                           | 142 dB                                     |
| Noise power spectral density, $N_o$ in dBm/Hz      | −174 dBm/Hz                                |
| Minimum received signal power in dBm              | −70 dBm                                    |
| Percentage of e-learning data rate, $\eta$        | 50%                                        |
| Percentage of video streaming data rate, $\zeta$  | 20%                                        |
| Percentage of web browsing data rate, $\delta$    | 30%                                        |

### 6.1 Single-Beam Scenario

In this scenario, the concentric rings are weighted using Eq. (15), in which the maximum weight is assigned to the innermost ring, while the outermost ring has the lowest weight value. This tapered profile is proposed mainly for sidelobe reduction, which is essential for reducing the unwanted radiation toward other co-channel beams. We examined the radio coverage from HAP for a single beam generated toward an arbitrary direction of (50°, 45°). The center of the cell is located approximately 35 km from the sub-HAP point, while the end-of-coverage extends to about 56 km. The footprint shown in Fig. 7a represents the array power gain for a concentric array of 10 rings with 5 antenna elements in the innermost ring. The antenna elements are considered isotropic radiators, and the peak power gain of the array is 40 dB as per the weighting profile in Eq. (15).

Fig. 7b shows the free-space path loss investigation; here, it ranges from −132.5 at the sub-HAP point to −142 dB at the end of coverage, and the maximum line-of-sight distance at the end of coverage is 60 km. In this case, the coverage area is considered a square of 80 km side length, which is suitable for local university
coverage. The free-space loss affects the student premises’ received signal level and should be considered in the link budget calculations. Also, for remote regions that are far from the university campus, coverage can be secured by locating the HAP at a suitable location that can be directly seen from the university site. Consequently, higher-gain directional backhaul antennas are required at the university site to boost signals to/from the HAP.

If we consider 30 Watts of transmitted HAP beam power with a 10-dB extra attenuation margin, the resulting received power in dBm over the beam coverage region is as shown in Fig. 8a; the main-lobe spot is shown in dark red and has power levels of more than -50 dBm. For a channel bandwidth of 20 MHz, the probability of error for QPSK-modulated digital signals for the coverage region is as shown in Fig. 8b, in which the brown ellipse represents the area that has an error of less than $10^{-13}$, which fits the required link quality and assures stable transmission for most communication services.

6.2 Multibeam Scenario

In this scenario, the antenna array beamforming system is examined for the formation of multibeams using the same elements and weighting function for feeding these elements as listed in Tab. 2. The weights in this figure are very similar to those in the single-beam scenario. They can be considered the sum of three individual weighting vectors corresponding to the individual beams in the multibeam structure. Generally, for $M$ spot beams, the array weighting function can be written as follows:

$$WM = \sum_{m=1}^{M} W(\theta_{om}, \phi_{om})$$  \hspace{1cm} (23)

For example, as shown in Fig. 9a, three arbitrary beams directed at $(50^\circ, 45^\circ)$, $(45^\circ, 135^\circ)$, and $(45^\circ, 300^\circ)$ can be formed using Eq. (23). The system can control the HAP transmitted power to provide higher values of received signal powers at the student premises, especially at the end-of-coverage regions. The red-colored parts represent the regions with acceptable levels of received power, which are almost
higher than $-70 \text{ dBm}$. If the student receiver is equipped with directional antennas, the received power can be improved further.

**Figure 8:** (a) Received signal strength (in dBm) and footprint; (b) Probability of error footprint for the single-beam coverage scenario from HAP. The brown region has $p_e < 10^{-13}$

**Figure 9:** (a) Beam received signal strength (in dBm) and footprint for multibeam coverage scenario from HAP; (b) Probability of error footprint for the multibeam coverage scenario from HAP. The brown region has $p_e < 10^{-13}$
The received signal quality for the described multibeam scenario is depicted in Fig. 9b, in which the brown regions with a probability of error less than $10^{-13}$ meet the required service quality. A 20-MHz channel capacity at 5 GHz is approximately 380 Mbps, which can roughly serve about 190 students simultaneously over the covered spot areas, with 2 Mbps delivered to each student. Several channels or wider channel bandwidths, along with suitable multiple access schemes, can be utilized by using the 5G spectrum at higher frequencies such as 6 GHz with bandwidths more than 100 MHz to provide data rates of more than 1 Gbps, which can accommodate a larger number of students in the remote areas.

7 Conclusion

This paper proposed a novel end-to-end communication network for robust, fast deployment of efficient e-learning services in remote areas using a high-altitude platform (HAP) system. The network design was examined and investigated, and we classified the data from the server into three categories corresponding to different services: e-learning activities, video streaming, and web browsing. The highest priority was given to online classes and discussion applications, while the other applications were assigned lower priorities. Adaptive antenna arrays were proposed for coverage beam adaptation and distribution to ensure efficient resource management and improve the overall throughput entering the HAP link. These beams were manipulated and dynamically adapted using MAC and application layers parameters such as the services’ data rates. The proposed model was simulated. The system’s performance was analyzed, and the results showed that using an adaptive antenna array can help us secure high quality and high rate of transmission data at the student premises, and the requirements for both single-beam and multibeam coverage scenarios are feasible.
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