New Methods in the Diagnosis of Prosthetic Joint Infection
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Abstract

A timely and accurate diagnosis of periprosthetic joint infection (PJI) is crucial to plan adequate treatment. **Purpose of the study.** To evaluate the performance of new diagnostic tests for the diagnosis of PJI. **Material and Methods.** The performance of novel biomarkers in synovial fluid (i.e. D-lactate and alfa-defensin), molecular test (i.e. PCR of synovial fluid and sonication fluid), new methods to dislodge biofilm from implant surface (i.e. MicroDTTect) and sonication of explanted prosthesis were investigated in patients with PJI and aseptic loosening of the prosthesis. **Results.** D-lactate showed better sensitivity for the diagnosis of PJI compared to leukocyte count (86% and 80%, respectively). The optimal D-lactate cut-off value was calculated at 1.26 mmol/l. The ADLF test showed sensitivity of 84%, 67% and to 54% depending on classification criteria used for the diagnosis of PJI (Musculoskeletal Infection Society (MSIS), The Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) and proposed European Bone and Joint Infection Society (EBJIS) criteria, respectively). Using the proposed EBJIS definition criteria, the sensitivity of the leukocyte count was significantly higher than that of the ADLF test (86% compared with 54%; p<0,001), particularly in chronic PJI (81% compared with 44%, respectively; p=0,001). The sensitivity of synovial fluid PCR was 60% and herewith comparable with synovial fluid culture (52%, p = 0,259). The sensitivity and specificity of sonication fluid culture were 58% and 100%, which was comparable to sonication fluid PCR 51% and 94%, respectively. DTT-based method showed low sensitivity for diagnosis of PJI (40%) compared to sonication (80%, p<0,01). Conclusion. Synovial fluid D-lactate demonstrated good analytical performance and diagnostic value for the diagnosis of PJI. In particular, the high sensitivity for diagnosing infection and rapid availability of the test result make synovial fluid D-lactate suitable as screening test, whereas ADLF had limited sensitivity (54%) but high specificity (>95%) and it should therefore not be used for screening, but rather as a confirmatory test for PJI. Multiplex PCR of synovial fluid and sonication fluid has similar sensitivity and specificity compared to synovial fluid culture, having the advantage of rapid availability of results (within 5 hours) and fully automated process. With further improvement of its performance and inclusion of additional primers, multiplex PCR may complement conventional cultures, especially for rapid and accurate diagnosis of low-grade PJI. Culture of samples obtained by sonication of prostheses showed better sensitivity for the microbiologic diagnosis of prosthetic hip and knee infection compared to chemical based dislodgement such as MicroDTTect.
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Infections of prosthetic joints represent a serious complication, which is associated with high morbidity, prolonged treatment, and considerable health-care expenses [1, 2]. A timely and accurate diagnosis of infection is crucial to plan adequate treatment. Currently used preoperative diagnostic tests of synovial fluid lack both, high sensitivity and high specificity for infection [3, 4, 5]. Synovial fluid culture requires time and has limited sensitivity and specificity in particular in chronic, low-grade PJI.

The synovial fluid leukocyte count and differential (i.e. percentage of granulocytes) has high sensitivity, but may be increased without infection in case of underlying rheumatic joint disease, dislocations, periprosthetic fracture or within the first 6 weeks after surgery due to physiologic inflammatory healing process [6, 7]. Novel biomarkers in synovial fluid (i.e. D-lactate and alfa-defensin) and molecular test (i.e. PCR) may improve diagnosis of PJI [8, 9, 10].

A major problem of intraoperative microbiological diagnosis of prosthetic joint infection (PJI) is that biofilm-embedded bacteria are aggregated each other and attach on the surface of the implant, and therefore, they are difficult to detect without previous dislodgment and dispersion of biofilms [11, 12]. False negative PJI cases range from 7% to 20% using periprosthetic tissue cultures [13]. To improve biofilm removal from implant surface, different dislodgement approaches such as mechanical (sonication) or chemical (MicroDTTect) dislodgement were investigated.

Material and Methods

1. Preoperative diagnostic tests

To evaluate the performance of D-lactate, as a bacterial specific marker for the diagnosis of PJI, patients aged ≥18 years diagnosed with prosthetic joint infections were prospectively included. Patients with aseptic pathology prosthetic joint (i.e. aseptic failure), in whom diagnostic aspiration was performed in the same aforementioned period, were included as a control group. Painful joints were aspirated as part of the routine diagnostic procedure in the emergency room, outpatient clinic or before incision of the joint capsule in the operating theatre. Synovial fluid D-lactate, leukocyte count and granulocyte percentage were determined. The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis was performed to assess the diagnostic performance of D-lactate, leukocyte count and percentage of granulocytes.

To assess the efficacy of alfa-defensin (Alpha Defensin Lateral Flow Test — ADLF) for the diagnosis of PJI, patients in whom aspiration of a prosthetic hip or knee joint was performed before revision...
arthroplasty were prospectively included. In addition to standard diagnostic tests, the ADLF test was performed in synovial fluid. Patients were classified as having PJI or aseptic failure according to the definition criteria of the Musculoskeletal Infection Society (MSIS), the Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA), and the proposed criteria of the European Bone and Joint Infection Society (EBJIS). The performance of the ADLF test and the leukocyte count was compared using the McNemar $\chi^2$-square test.

For the evaluation of molecular method in the diagnosis of infection, patients in whom aspiration of the prosthetic hip or knee joint was performed before revision arthroplasty were prospectively included in the study. Synovial fluid obtained by aspiration of prosthetic hip or knee joints was analyzed by conventional diagnostic methods and by multiplex PCR. A multiplex PCR device (Unyvero, Curetis, Holzgerlingen, Germany) was used, allowing a semiquantitative DNA determination by eight multiplex PCR reactions in parallel.

2. Intraoperative diagnostic tests

To evaluate sonication method for the microbiologic diagnosis of PJI, we performed a prospective trial comparing culture of samples obtained by sonication (40 kHz, 0.1 W/cm², BactoSonic, Bandelin electronic, Berlin, Germany) of explanted hip and knee prostheses with conventional culture of periprosthetic tissue among patients undergoing hip or knee revision or resection arthroplasty. For the PCR assessment, explanted joint prostheses were investigated by sonication and the resulting sonication fluid was analyzed by culture and multiplex PCR.

The performance of chemical dislodgement for the diagnosis of PJI was assessed on consecutive two groups of the patients with explanted knee and hip prosthesis due to PJI or aseptic failure (AF). The explanted prostheses were first investigated by using sonication, followed in the second group by using DTT-based (MicroDTTect, 4i for infection, Monza, Italy). For all patients of both groups synovial fluid leukocyte count, histopathology and culture of periprosthetic tissue samples were investigated.

Results

1. Preoperative diagnostic tests

1.1. D-lactate

Synovial fluid was analyzed from 148 prosthetic joints including 103 (70%) cases with knee, 43 (29%) with hip and 2 (1%) — with shoulder prosthesis. Forty-four patients (30%) were diagnosed with PJI and 104 (70%) with aseptic prosthetic failure. The majority of patients ($n = 102$, 69%) underwent revision surgery, 62 of them with aseptic failures and 40 with PJI. The optimal D-lactate cut-off value was calculated at 1.26 mmol/l. The sensitivity and specificity of the D-lactate test were 86% and 82%, respectively.

The synovial fluid leukocyte count showed a sensitivity of 80%. However, in 12 patients the absolute or relative leukocyte count was elevated due to aseptic conditions, including rheumatologic joint disease ($n = 3$), recurrent dislocation ($n = 2$), early postoperative status ($n = 2$), trauma ($n = 2$), crystal arthropathy ($n = 1$), periprosthetic fracture ($n = 1$), and metallosis with crystals ($n = 1$) (Fig. 1).

No significant differences were observed between any pairwise comparisons of AUCs between investigated synovial fluid biomarkers (AUCD-lactate vs AUC WBC $p = 0.8$) (Fig. 2).
1.2. Alpha-defensin

Of 212 included patients, 151 (71%) had a knee prosthesis and 61 (29%) had a hip prosthesis. PJI was diagnosed in 45 patients (21%) using the MSIS criteria, in 55 patients (26%) using the IDSA criteria and in 79 patients (37%) using the proposed EBJIS criteria. The sensitivity of the ADLF test was 84% with the MSIS criteria, 67% with the IDSA criteria, and 54% with the proposed EBJIS criteria. The ADLF test showed high specificity using all classification criteria (96% to 99%) and represented the most specific preoperative test for PJI, especially in the early postoperative period (91%; 95% CI, 59% to 100%). Using the proposed EBJIS definition criteria, the sensitivity of the leukocyte count was significantly higher than that of the ADLF test (86% [95% CI, 76% to 93%] compared with 54% [95% CI, 45% to 66%]; p < 0.001), particularly in chronic PJI (81% compared with 44%, respectively; p < 0.001) (Fig. 3).

1.3. PCR of synovial fluid

Synovial fluid leukocytes (absolute leukocyte count or percentage of granulocytes) was the most sensitive diagnostic criterion for the diagnosis of PJI (86%). The sensitivity of synovial fluid PCR was 60% and herewith comparable with synovial fluid culture (52%, p = 0.239) (Fig. 4).

In 116 of 142 patients (82%), synovial fluid culture and PCR showed concordant results, including 58 PJI and 58 aseptic cases. In patients with PJI, PCR missed 6 where cultures grew the pathogen, including *S. aureus* (2 isolates), *S. mitis/oralis* (2 isolates), *E. coli* and *E. faecalis* (1 isolate each), most of them being classified as high-virulent microorganisms. For *S. mitis/oralis*, no primers are included in the PCR test kit and therefore, in 2 cases the causative pathogen was not detectable by this method. In contrast, synovial fluid cultures missed 13 pathogens.

2. Intraoperative diagnostic tests

2.1. Sonication

We studied 331 patients with total knee prostheses (207 patients) or hip prostheses (124 patients); 252 patients had aseptic failure, and 79 had prosthetic-joint infection. With the use of standardized criteria to define PJI, the sensitivities of periprosthetic-tissue and sonicate-fluid cultures were 60.8% and 78.5% (p < 0.001), respectively, and the specificities were 99.2% and 98.8%, respectively.

Fig. 2. The ROC curve of synovial fluid biomarkers for PJI. The AUC of D-lactate, leukocyte count and percentage of granulocytes are 0.903, 0.910 and 0.861, respectively

Fig. 3. The performance of the ADLF test according to the three classification systems for the diagnosis of PJI: the Musculoskeletal Infection Society (MSIS) criteria, the Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) criteria, and the proposed European Bone and Joint Infection Society (EBJIS) criteria

Fig. 4. Performance of intraoperative diagnostic tests
2.2. PCR of sonication fluid

Among 111 patients, PJI was diagnosed in 78 (70%) and aseptic failure in 33 (30%). For the diagnosis of PJI, the sensitivity and specificity of periprosthetic tissue culture was 51% and 100%, of sonication fluid culture 58% and 100%, and of sonication fluid PCR 51% and 94%, respectively. Among 70 microorganisms, periprosthetic tissue culture grew 52 (74%), sonication fluid culture grew 50 (71%) and sonication fluid PCR detected 37 pathogens (53%). If only organisms are considered, for which primers are included in the test panel, the PCR detected 37 of 58 pathogens (64%). The sonication fluid PCR missed 19 isolates, but detected 7 additional microorganisms where cultures were negative.

Table

| Microbiological test                      | PJI (n = 78) | AF (n = 33) | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | Positive predictive value (%) | Negative predictive value (%) |
|------------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Periprosthetic tissue culture            | 40           | 0           | 51 (40-65)     | 100 (89-100)   | 100 (91-100)                 | 46 (35-59)                  |
| Sonication fluid culture                 | 45           | 0           | 58 (46-69)     | 100 (89-100)   | 100 (-)                      | 50 (44-56)                  |
| Sonication fluid PCR (clinical performance) | 40          | 2           | 51 (40-65)     | 94 (80-99)     | 95 (84-99)                   | 45 (39-51)                  |
| Sonication fluid PCR (analytical performance) | 40/75       | 2           | 53 (41-65)     | 94 (80-99)     | 95 (84-99)                   | 47 (41-53)                  |

2.3. Chemical biofilm dislodgement methods

In the sonication group, 102 patients (37 with PJI and 65 with aseptic failure (AF)) were included. Sonication had significantly higher sensitivity for the diagnosis of PJI as compared to tissue culture (80% vs. 58%, \( p<0.01 \)) and showed comparable results in comparison with histopathology and SF leukocytes (89% and 89%, respectively, \( p>0.05 \)) (Fig. 5 a).

In the DTT-based method, 95 patients were included (35 with PJI and 58 with AF). DTT-based method showed low sensitivity for diagnosis of PJI (40%) compared to all other used diagnostic tests \( (p<0.01) \) (Fig. 5 b). In 12 patients with culture positive PJI, MicroDTTect was false negative. In 4 patients with clinical signs of infection but culture negative, MicroDTTect showed positive results.

Fig. 5. Sensitivity of the tests in sonication group (a) and in MicroDTTect (b)
Discussion

The synovial fluid D-lactate in our cohort showed better sensitivity than synovial fluid leukocyte count and percentage of granulocytes to diagnose septic arthritis and PJI. The concentration of D-lactate reflects the virulence of the bacterial species and its microbial burden, which explains the observed differences. Furthermore, it is important to recognize uncommon disorders which cause D-lactate acidosis and increase D-lactate in blood and body fluids, namely in the setting of short bowel syndrome. Concomitant severe, uncontrolled diabetes mellitus with insulin deficiency may also cause increased D-lactate levels in plasma and urine [14]. Further studies need to explore underlying conditions potentially influencing the D-lactate concentration, which may elucidate the limited specificity of the test.

To assess the performance of alpha defensin lateral flow (ADLF) test for the diagnosis of PJI three classification systems were used [15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20]. When the proposed EBJS criteria were used, the ADLF test performed worse, especially for chronic infections. Whereas the MSIS and IDSA criteria may miss some patients with PJI (false negative), the proposed EBJS criteria may be prone to misdiagnose patients who are aseptic as having PJI (false positive), leading to unnecessary surgical interventions and antimicrobial treatments. The leukocyte count in synovial fluid may be elevated (false positive) because of aseptic inflammatory pathologies, such as rheumatic joint disease, periprosthetic fracture, or dislocation, and in the early postoperative period. In these situations, the proposed EBJS criteria require an additional confirmatory criterion to be fulfilled, as the leukocyte count is not applicable. The leukocyte count in synovial fluid can be falsely negative if a sinus tract is present, as the inflammatory fluid is drained. However, in the presence of a sinus tract, the diagnosis of PJI is confirmed and additional tests are not needed.

Among the evaluated microbiological tests, synovial fluid multiplex PCR showed a comparable sensitivity to synovial fluid culture (60% vs. 52%). While the overall performance was similar, synovial fluid PCR was superior to culture for detection of low-virulent bacteria. In addition, culture of synovial fluid required several days for growth, whereas multiplex PCR provided results within 5 hours in an automated manner. However, the relevance of positive PCR results remains unknown and demonstrated the difficulty discriminating between false-positive from true-positive findings.

The results of our study show that sonication of removed orthopedic implants is more sensitive than tissue culture. Our results emphasize the importance of performing both aerobic and anaerobic sonicate-fluid culture; 11% of positive cultures were obtained only on anaerobic plates and 5% of positive cultures only on aerobic plates. Improved detection of polymicrobial prosthetic-joint infection appears to be another advantage of sonicate-fluid culture. The sensitivity of sonication fluid multiplex PCR in our study (51%) was comparable with the sensitivity of periprosthetic tissue culture (51%) and sonication fluid culture (58%). Newer PCR systems were extended to include additional primers, to detect most commonly involved microorganisms in PJI [21, 22, 23, 24].

In our study, we investigated the ability of sonication and DTT-based method, MicroDTTect to dislodge biofilm from implant surface and therefore improve microbiological diagnosis of PJI. MicroDTTect showed low sensitivity for diagnosis of PJI (40%) compared to all other used diagnostic tests (p<0.01). Our results were discordant to those showed by Drago et al. [25]. They showed that DTT had better sensitivity (85.7%) compared to sonication (71.4%) for the diagnosis of PJI.

Several new preoperative and intraoperative diagnostic tests were recently evaluated. Among preoperative tests, synovial fluid D-lactate demonstrated good analytical performance and diagnostic value for the diagnosis of septic arthritis and PJI. In particular, the high sensitivity for diagnosing infection and rapid availability of the test result make synovial fluid D-lactate suitable as screening test, whereas ADLF had limited sensitivity (54%) but high specificity (>95%) and it should therefore not be used for screening, but rather as a confirmatory test for PJI. Multiplex PCR of synovial fluid has similar sensitivity and specificity to synovial fluid culture, having the advantage of rapid availability of results (within 5 hours) and fully automated process. With further improvement of its performance and inclusion of additional primers (e.g. for streptococci), multiplex PCR may complement conventional cultures, especially for rapid and accurate diagnosis of low-grade PJI. However, it cannot yet replace the culture technique due to low sensitivity and the need of reliable susceptibility testing.

Among intraoperative tests, culture of samples obtained by sonication of prostheses showed the best sensitivity for the microbiologic diagnosis of prosthetic hip and knee infection compared to conventional periprosthetic-tissue culture. Whvrreras, the performance of sonication fluid PCR is comparable to periprosthetic tissue or sonication fluid cultures. The only advantage of PCR is short processing time (5 hours) and fully automated procedure. Chemical based dislodgement such as MicroDTTect has low analytical performance for the diagnosis of PJI and is less sensitive than
sonication method. Further investigations need to evaluate the stability of microorganisms after adding DTT – a possible reason for lower performance of MicroDDTect and potential improvement of its performance.
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