A Pilot Study Evaluating Novel Urinary Biomarkers for Crohn’s Disease
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**Abstract**

**Introduction:** Although collecting faeces and blood samples are considered non-invasive methods of monitoring Crohn’s disease (CD), these methods are less preferred by some patients. This study utilized urine as an alternative to evaluate four disease biomarkers in young adults with active CD before and after exclusive enteral nutrition (EEN) therapy.

**Methods:** Urine samples collected at baseline (W0) and after 8 weeks (W8) of EEN therapy were assayed by ELISA for levels of intestinal fatty acid-binding protein (I-FABP), liver fatty acid-binding protein (L-FABP), claudin-3, and calprotectin. Levels of each biomarker were also compared with standard clinical parameters, including disease indexes, nutrient, and inflammatory markers.

**Results:** Of the paired urine samples from 14 patients, 10 were female and 12 were newly diagnosed with CD. Urinary I-FABP:Cr (standardized to urine Cr) levels were significantly reduced, while urinary L-FABP:Cr levels increased following EEN therapy. Urinary CLND3:Cr and calprotectin:Cr levels were not significantly different after treatment.

**Conclusion:** I-FABP is a potential urinary biomarker of disease activity in adults with CD, while urinary L-FABP may be an indirect marker of nutritional status in adults with CD. CLND3 and calprotectin do not appear to have roles as urinary biomarkers in CD. These findings warrant further investigations using a larger sample size. © 2020 The Author(s) Published by S. Karger AG, Basel

**Introduction**

Crohn’s disease (CD), one of the main types of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), is a life-long relapsing-remitting condition characterized by inflammation of the gastrointestinal tract (GIT), most commonly involving the ileum and colon [1]. After diagnosis, the initial treatment goal is to induce remission, followed by maintenance of disease remission and the prevention of complications. Exclusive enteral nutrition (EEN) is commonly used to induce remission in children with CD [2].
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therapy, which typically involves solely drinking a nutritionally complete liquid formula for up to 8 weeks, also has the potential to treat CD in young adults [3].

The current treat-to-target goal for CD is to achieve endoscopic resolution of mucosal ulceration, termed mucosal healing [4], which is associated with sustained clinical remission and reduced hospitalization and surgery [5–7]. However, repeat endoscopy is limited by invasiveness, cost, and patient preference [8]. Less invasive tests such as serum C-reactive protein (CRP) and faecal calprotectin (FC) have been validated against endoscopic assessment with modest sensitivities and specificities [9, 10]. The collection of urine samples is reportedly more convenient and more acceptable to patients than faeces collection [11]. To date, however, little consideration has been given to the measurement of biomarkers in urine as an alternative way to monitor CD non-invasively. The current pilot study aimed to assess the utility of four candidate biomarkers (intestinal fatty acid-binding protein [I-FABP, also known as FABP2], liver fatty acid-binding protein [L-FABP, also known as FABP1], claudin-3 [CLDN3], and calprotectin) in urine samples previously collected from young adults with active CD before and after EEN therapy.

Materials and Methods

Participants

This was a sub-study of a previously reported prospective clinical trial involving young adults aged between 16 and 40 years with active CD from Christchurch Hospital, New Zealand, treated with EEN [3]. Diagnosis of CD was based on the Montreal classification [12], with eligible patients required to have at least ileal disease. Active disease was defined as disease visible endoscopically or noted radiologically. Study exclusion criteria were as reported previously [3]. Concomitant use of 5-aminosalicylic acid, biologic agents, or immunomodulators was allowed as long as the dosage remained the same throughout the study period. Participants were allowed to drink water and/or unsweetened black tea, coffee or herbal tea, as long as their nutritional requirements were met. Additional fluids, such as water and/or unsweetened black tea, coffee or herbal tea, were allowed.

EEN Treatment

As previously described, the patients were required to drink only polymeric nutritional formula (Ensure® Plus; Abbott Laboratories, The Netherlands) for 8 weeks. A dietitian regularly reviewed participants’ nutritional requirements throughout the treatment and adjusted their intake accordingly. Additional fluids, such as water and/or unsweetened black tea, coffee or herbal tea, were allowed.

Urine Samples

Urine samples were collected at W0 and W8. Prior to storage at −80 Celsius, 100 μL of sodium azide 0.02% was added per 10 mL of urine. Thawed urines were centrifuged at 1,000 g for 20 min prior to testing and the supernatant used for the specific biomarker ELISAs. Cr levels in each supernatant were measured by a reference laboratory (using Abbott reagent on the chemistry analyzer; Abbott Architect c16000 Analyzer, Abbott Park, IL, USA), as a means to standardize urine concentrations [13].

Measurement of Urinary Biomarkers

Urinary levels of each biomarker were determined (in duplicate) using commercially available ELISA kits that detect human I-FABP (Hycult®Biotech, Uden, The Netherlands), L-FABP (Hycult®Biotech), CLDN3 (MyBioSource.com, San Diego, CA, USA), and calprotectin (Immundiagnostik, Bensheim, Germany). Assays were performed according to each manufacturer’s instructions.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 8 version 8.3.0 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA). Descriptive results are presented with median (interquartile range, [IQR]), with continuous variables analyzed using Wilcoxon matched pairs signed rank test and Spearman’s r for correlations. p < 0.05 was assumed to be statistically significant.

Results

Characteristics of Participants

Fourteen patients completed 8 weeks of EEN treatment with paired urine at W0 and W8 (Table 1). Ten of these patients were female and 12 were newly diagnosed with CD. Nine patients had ileocolonic involvement and five had isolated terminal ileal disease. Two also had upper gastrointestinal involvement. One patient had multiple GIT strictures. The remaining 13 patients had inflammatory disease only.

Median (IQR) of CDAI and HBI clinical disease activity indexes reduced significantly with EEN treatment (W0 vs. W8), 137.5 (100.8–176.0) versus 53.5 (35.3–76.5) and 4 (2.8–6.0) versus 1 (0.0–2.0), respectively (p < 0.01 for each comparison) (Table 2). In contrast, median serum IGF-1 levels increased significantly post-treatment (156.0 [138.8–174.0] versus 180.0 [154.5–...
225.3 μg/L, p = 0.01). Inflammatory markers including FC, CRP, and ESR were not statistically different, whereas median weight and BMI reduced over the 8 weeks (Table 2). Inflammatory markers including FC, CRP, and ESR were not statistically different, whereas median weight and BMI reduced over the 8 weeks (Table 2).
FABP:Cr and standard clinical parameters (HBI, CDAI, serum IGF-1, CRP, ESR, and FC) were found (data not shown).

**Urinary L-FABP**

Lack of samples meant that urinary L-FABP was only able to be measured in samples from 7 of the 14 patients (Table 1). The results showed that urinary L-FABP:Cr levels increased significantly following EEN treatment (median 6.6 [3.8–14.6] versus 11.1 [6.1–22.0] μg/g, \( p = 0.03 \)) (Fig. 1b). L-FABP:Cr levels in urine correlated positively with serum IGF-1 levels (W0 and W8), \( r = 0.60, p = 0.02 \) (Fig. 2). Apart from IGF-1, no other correlations between urine L-FABP:Cr and standard clinical parameters (HBI, CDAI, CRP, ESR, and FC) were found (data not shown).

**Urinary CLDN-3**

Following Cr standardization, urine CLN3:Cr levels were not significantly different following treatment (me-
Median 1.4 [1.1–2.7] versus 1.3 [0.8–2.1] μg/g, \( p > 0.05 \) (Fig. 1c). In addition, there were no significant correlations with standard clinical parameters (HBI, CDAI, serum IGF-1, CRP, ESR, and FC) (data not shown). This did not change when sub-analysis of those patients with L3 disease phenotype (\( N = 9 \)) was performed to take into account that CLDN3 is predominantly expressed in the large intestine [14] (data not shown).

**Urinary Calprotectin**

Urinary calprotectin standardized to Cr did not change significantly after EEN treatment (median 615.0 [194.9–1,535] versus 591.1 [125.9–1,811] μg/g, \( p > 0.05 \)) (Fig. 1d). For comparison, urinary calprotectin:Cr levels were compared to FC levels in the same patients. Although urinary calprotectin:Cr values showed a similar trend to FC, these 2 biomarkers were not significantly correlated (Fig. 3). Further, there was no significant correlation between urinary calprotectin:Cr and other clinical parameters (HBI, CDAI, serum IGF-1, CRP, ESR, and FC) (data not shown).

**Discussion**

The present study provides a proof of concept that urine, when standardized with Cr to take into account variations in protein concentration, can potentially be used as an alternative non-invasive biological fluid to measure disease biomarkers in patients with CD. Moreover, the observed reduction in I-FABP levels and concomitant elevation of L-FABP in urine following EEN therapy may each have a different role in monitoring the disease. In contrast, urinary CLDN3 and calprotectin were not useful in this cohort and do not appear to be useful as urinary biomarkers.

I-FABP and L-FABP (13–14 kDa) are 2 of the 9 known cytoplasmic proteins that regulate long-chain fatty acid transportation intracellularly, as well as having their own tissue-specific functions [15]. Both are expressed along the GIT, with mature enterocytes in the jejunal villi generating higher levels than colonocytes [16]. In contrast to I-FABP, which is only expressed in intestinal tissue, L-FABP is also found in hepatocytes, renal tubular cells, pancreas, and lung [17]. When enterocytes are damaged, L-FABP is released into the blood circulation and cleared rapidly by the kidneys (plasma half-life of 11 min) [18]. Given the close molecular resemblance of I-FABP to L-FABP [19], it is presumed both proteins have similar circulating half-lives.

The current study determined that urinary I-FABP levels reduced following 8 weeks of EEN therapy in young adults with active CD, suggesting this may be a sensitive indicator of disease activity. In contrast to these findings, another study evaluating urinary and plasma I-FABP levels in 5 children with CD before and after EEN treatment did not show any differences from levels measured in control children [20]. The reason for this difference is not clear.

Although urinary I-FABP did not correlate with any of the standard clinical CD markers in the current study, other studies have shown that serum I-FABP levels correlate with CDAI, CRP [21], and tumour necrosis factor-α levels [22], but not endoscopic disease activity [23]. Collectively, these findings suggest that I-FABP may reflect disease activity in patients with CD. Future studies should include direct comparison of urinary I-FABP to endoscopic disease activity.

Another key finding from the present study is the elevation of urinary L-FABP and positive correlation with IGF-1 levels following EEN treatment. There is evidence that IGF-1 levels are low in patients with IBD, reportedly attributed to malnutrition and/or GIT inflammation [24–26]. Furthermore, levels of IGF-1 increase within 1–2 weeks in children and adults with active CD following EEN therapy [3, 27, 28]. Moreover, this increase in IGF-1 levels is concomitant with reduced inflammatory mark-
ers (including CRP, ESR, and FC levels) and improved nutritional status. IGF-1 and L-FABP are both expressed by the liver; thus, the correlation seen in the present study between increased levels of urinary L-FABP and serum levels of IGF-1 suggests a potential role for urinary L-FABP levels as a non-invasive, indirect marker of nutritional status. However, this is tempered by the finding that L-FABP is also considered a potential diagnostic marker in other conditions that include non-alcoholic fatty liver disease [29] and various renal diseases [30].

Hence, future research is needed to more fully interpret urinary L-FABP levels in the context of a patient’s response to EEN.

CLDN3 is a 22-kDa transmembrane protein that forms part of the complex intestinal tight junctions between epithelial cells. Expressed predominately in the colon [14], CLDN3 levels are reduced in colonocytes of patients with active CD and when colonocytes are exposed to tumour necrosis factor-α [31, 32]. Thuijls et al. [32] also correlated loss of CLDN3 in the GIT to increased CLDN3 lev-

Fig. 3. Comparison between faecal and urinary calprotectin. a, b Faecal and urinary calprotectin levels (the latter standardized to Cr), measured in 14 patients, were unchanged after EEN treatment (assessed using Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test). c No correlation between urine calprotectin:Cr and FC at W0, Spearman’s $r = 0.002, p = 0.99$ was observed. EEN, exclusive enteral nutrition; FC, faecal calprotectin.
els in urine, suggesting that CLDN3 released into the circulation during active CD is cleared by the kidneys. The present study, however, found low urinary CLDN3 levels at baseline that did not change following EEN therapy. This finding was retained despite further analysis of urinary CLDN3:Cr levels in the patients with ileocolonic phenotype. These variations may reflect inter-study differences in the choice of assay used to measure CLDN3.

Calprotectin is a 36-kDa heterodimer of the S100A8 and S100A9 proteins, both members of the S100 calcium-binding family. It is predominantly expressed in neutrophils, to a lesser extent in monocytes and macrophages and found in various body fluids [33, 34]. Typically, calprotectin is released in response to infection or inflammation. Calprotectin in faeces has been studied extensively in the setting of IBD. A meta-analysis evaluated 13 studies, including 744 patients with UC and 727 with CD and found that FC had a higher area under the curve for UC than CD, 0.93 (95% confident interval [CI] 0.89–0.97) and 0.88 (95% CI 0.83–0.93), respectively [35]. However, while serum calprotectin levels in patients with IBD, in particular CD, correlate with CRP, this does not extend to a correlation with FC levels and/or active endoscopic disease [36–38]. This suggests that serum (and consequently urinary) levels of calprotectin may be more useful as biomarkers of systemic inflammation than specifically of GIT inflammation. Although there are few supportive data, 1 study does report no difference in urinary calprotectin levels in children suspected of having appendicitis (including those with gangrenous or perforated appendicitis) and a control group without appendicitis [39].

The current study has several limitations. First, the study sample size is small, and the cohort presented with relatively mild disease activity. The inclusion of more subjects with a wider range of disease activity would be helpful. Second, the same urinary biomarkers were not measured in urine samples from age-matched healthy controls, so no comparison can be made between levels of each protein in health and disease. Third, this study measured the novel biomarker levels in urine, without comparing to serum or plasma levels. In addition, W8 mucosal healing data were not available for direct comparison to the urinary biomarkers at that time. Finally, the study findings may not be able to be extrapolated to older adults or to individuals with renal conditions, prolonged fasting, or excessive meat consumption where Cr may be elevated or reduced. In contrast, the inclusion criteria of the study ensured selection of young adults with no significant past medical history, including liver disease, thereby reducing additional confounding factors.

In conclusion, this pilot study provides preliminary data on the utility of specific urinary biomarkers in the setting of CD. I-FABP is a potential marker of disease activity and L-FABP may be an indirect nutritional marker. These findings warrant further studies involving larger populations to further explore these biomarkers.
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