DEVD-Based Hydrogelator Minimizes Cellular Apoptosis Induction
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Herein, we report the rational design of a DEVD-based heptapeptide hydrogelator 1 which is susceptible to caspase-3 (CASP3), and its isomeric control hydrogelator 2 with a DEDV-based heptapeptide sequence. Self-assembly of 1 in water results in flexuous, long nanofibers to form supramolecular hydrogel I with higher mechanical strength than that of hydrogel II which is composed of rigid, short nanofibers of 2. In vitro enzymatic analysis indicated that 1 is susceptible to CASP3 while 2 is not. 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl) 2,5 diphenyl tetrazolium bromide (MTT) and Western blot analyses indicated that DEDV-based hydrogelator 2 induces cell death via apoptotic pathway while the DEVD-based hydrogelator 1 minimizes cellular apoptosis induction.

Supramolecular hydrogels, composed of small amount of (normally less than 5%) three-dimensional (3D) networks (e.g., nanofibers) which gel large amount of water (more than 95%), have attracted broad research interest and been extensively explored in recent years due to their inherent properties such as biocompatibility and biodegradability²⁰. As one type of biomaterials, supramolecular hydrogels have exhibited promising applications for controlled drug release and delivery, biosensing, tissue engineering, and wound healing²¹⁻²⁴. An important feature of supramolecular hydrogels is that their components (e.g., nanofibers) are resulted from the self-assembly of the building blocks (i.e., small molecules) via non-covalent interactions upon external perturbations. Compared with physical or chemical perturbations (e.g., pH, temperature, ionic strength, ligand-receptor interactions, et al) for initiating the process of self-assembly²⁵⁻²⁸, enzymatic regulations (e.g., assembly or disassembly) associating with hydrogelation have drawn increasing attention because they integrate the processes with biological events²⁹,³⁰. There were a lot of examples of using enzymes to trigger the hydrogelations. For examples, Xu and co-workers have incorporated phosphatase/esterase with the intracellular hydrogelation to control the fate of cells³¹,³². Ulijn et al used thermolysin to catalyze self-assembly of nanofibers for immobilizing laminin to treat extracellular matrix (ECM) diseases³³. However, only a few examples utilized enzymes to regulate the premade hydrogels for follow-up applications. Yang et al used phosphatase/kinese as an enzymatic switch to manipulate the gel/sol transition³⁴. McNeil and Soellner designed thrombin-triggered gelation for protease detection and artificially mimicking blood clotting³⁵. Tang and co-workers used caspase-3 (CASP3) to assist photolithography for spatial functionalization of hydrogels³⁶.

Recently, researchers in the fields of tissue engineering and regeneration medicine have been particularly interested in 3D cell culture. Supramolecular hydrogels were widely chosen as the scaffolds to culture cells in three dimensions due to their biocompatibilities and biodegradabilities. CASP3, an important protease of caspase family, is tightly associated with cell apoptosis. A peptide sequence, DEVD, is a specific substrate for CASP3 cleavage³⁷⁻³⁹. Therefore, we envisioned that hydrogels formed with DEVD-based molecular hydrogelators would probably exhibit better property for 3D cell culture than other supramolecular hydrogels because the DEVD-based hydrogelators might interact with CASP3 in cells and minimize cellular apoptosis induction which is very crucial for parent cells’ passage on the scaffolds. To test this hypothesis, we designed several DEVD-based amphiphiles and identified a heptapeptide derivative Acetyl-Asp-Glu-Val-Asp-Gly-Gly-EDA-Fmoc (1), together with its isomeric control Acetyl-Asp-Glu-Asp-Val-Gly-Gly-EDA-Fmoc (2), could self-assemble into nanofibers and form supramolecular hydrogels in water thereafter. In contrast to the 49% of viability of HepG2 cells incubated with 2 at 400 µM for 3 days, 86% of HepG2 cells treated with 1 at the same conditions survived. Western blot analysis indicated that the cells treated with 2 underwent apoptotic pathway while the apoptosis induction of those cells treated with 1 was minimized. We chose fluorenylmethyloxycarbonyl (Fmoc) as the hydrophobic part of the hydrogelators because many Fmoc-based amphiphiles including anti-inflammatory...
agent NPC 15199 have been proven prone to self-assembling in water and resulting in supramolecular hydrogels.31.

Results

Synthesis. We began the study with the syntheses of hydrogelators 1 and 2. The syntheses of 1 and 2 are facile and straightforward as follows (Fig. 1 & Supplementary Information): heptapeptides (Ac-DEVDGGG-OH (1b) for 1, or Ac-DEDVGGG-OH (2b) for 2) were synthesized with solid phase peptide synthesis (SPPS), then coupled with fluorenylmethyloxycarbonyl ethylenediamine (Fmoc-EDA), purified with high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) to yield 1a or 2a respectively. Deprotection of 1a or 2a with 95% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) in dichloromethane yields 1 or 2 after HPLC purification.

pH-controlled gelation of 1 & 2, and rheological characterizations of Gel I & Gel II. After obtaining 1 and 2, we tested their gelation abilities. In brief, 3 mg of 1 or 2 was suspended in 300 μL of water (10.5 mM). Adjustment of the pH values of above suspensions to 11 with solid sodium carbonate resulted in clear solutions (upper panels of the insets in Fig. 2a & b). Carefully adjusting the pH values of above solutions to 1 with 10 M HCl afforded opaque Gel I (lower panel of the insets in Fig. 2a) or Gel II (lower panel of the insets in Fig. 2b) respectively. To evaluate the viscoelastic properties of the gels, we firstly used dynamic strain sweep to determine the proper condition for the dynamic frequency sweep of Gel I and Gel II. As shown in Fig. S2 in Supplementary Information, the values of the storage modulus (G’) and the loss modulus (G’’) of Gel I and Gel II exhibit a weak dependence from 0.1 to 1.0% of strain (with G’ dominating G’’), indicating that the samples are hydrogels. After setting the strain amplitude at 1.0% (within the linear response regime of strain amplitude), we used dynamic frequency sweep to study Gel I and Gel II. Fig. 2a shows that G’ and G’’ of Gel I slightly increase with the increase of frequency from 0.1 to 100 rad·s⁻¹. The values of G’ are five times larger than those of G’’ in the whole range (0.1–100 rad·s⁻¹), suggesting that Gel I is fairly tolerant to external force. For comparison, Fig. 2b shows the G’ values of Gel II are five times larger than that of G’’ in the whole range (0.1–100 rad·s⁻¹), suggesting that Gel II is also tolerant to external force. Both G’ and G’’ values of Gel II are less than half of those of Gel I respectively, indicating that Gel I is elastically stronger than Gel II.

Fluorescence studies. Participation of the fluorenyl moiety of the Fmoc group in the gelation was investigated by measuring the fluorescence spectra of 1 and 2 with varying pH in water (Figure S3). The molecules were excited at 265 nm. At dilute concentration (1 mM), 1 and 2 were found to remain at the molecular state at pH values of 11, 7, and 4, proven by the emission peaks at 313.5 nm. When the pH values were lowered down to 1, for both hygelators, obvious redshifted emissions were observed at λem 317 nm, which confirms the self-assembly of the fluorenyl moiety with their aromatic rings being overlapped in parallel orientation.
Morphological studies of Gel I & Gel II, and proposed molecular arrangement for Gel I

Cryo transmission electron microscopy (cryo-TEM) and atomic force microscopy (AFM) were employed to investigate the morphologies of Gel I and Gel II. The microscopic structure of Gel I under cryo-TEM exhibited flexuous, long fibers with an average width of 6.1 ± 1.2 nm (Fig. 3b). AFM image of Gel I revealed that two or more fibers twist together during water evaporation at room temperature, resulting in bigger nanofibers with an average width of 23.7 ± 4.1 nm (Supplementary Fig. S4a). Cryo-TEM image of Gel II showed rigid, short nanofibers with an average width of 7.7 ± 2.2 nm (Fig. 3c). Its AFM image consistently showed short, rigid nanofibers with an average width of 41.1 ± 12.8 nm (Supplementary Fig. S4b). According to the amphiphilic structure of the Fmoc-heptapeptide hydrogelator 1, a possible molecular arrangement was proposed for the nanofibers in Gel I obtained during gelation process in water. As shown in Fig. 3a, from top view, the nanofiber of Gel I is proposed to be cylindrical micellar structure formed by the self-assembly of 1. In this model, molecules of 1 centripetally stack to form the hydrophobic inner tubular layer due to the strong π-π interactions and the peptide segments of 1 stretch to the surrounding water to form the hydrophilic outer layer. Each molecule of 1 is placed under and between two molecules of 1 on the above layer with a layer distance of 0.45 nm. Five molecules of 1 constitute one repeating unit of the tubular structure. Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR) analysis of Gel I indicates that the gel has an amide absorption at 1655 cm⁻¹ redshifted from that of 1 at 1647 cm⁻¹, suggesting the existence of large loop or α-helical structures (Supplementary Fig. S5a). This is consistent with the AFM observation of Gel I (Supplementary Fig. S4a). For Gel II, the amide absorption at 1643 cm⁻¹ remains unchanged compared with that of 2, suggesting the structure of the nanofibers in Gel II is unordered (Supplementary Fig. S5b). This probably due to the continuous DED peptide sequence of 2 breaking down α-helical structure, which is also consistent with the AFM observation of Gel II (Supplementary Fig. S4b). These findings satisfactorily explained why Gel I has better mechanical strength than that of Gel II (Fig. 2).

Enzymatic studies. After the characterizations of the hydrogels, we studied the susceptibility of hydrogelators 1 and 2 to CASP3. In principle, cleavage of the DEVD peptide sequence from 1 by CASP3 yields compound 3 and 4, as shown in Fig. 4a. After 100 min incubation of 0.28 mM of 1 or 2 with CASP3 at 3.36 nmol/U and 37°C, the incubation mixtures were directly injected into a HPLC system for analysis. HPLC trace of the incubation mixture of 1 clearly showed the disappearance of 1 at retention time of 15.3 min (1.8%) and the appearance of one new peak at retention time of 14.7 min (98.2%, Fig. 4b). Electrospray ionization mass spectroscopic (ESI-MS) analysis indicated that the new peak at 14.7 min is the enzymatic product of 1 which has characteristic absorbance at 300 nm (i.e., 4) after CASP3 cleavage (Supplementary Fig. S6). HPLC trace of the incubation mixture of 2 only exhibited one peak which has the same retention time of 15.5 min as that of 2 (Fig. 4c). ESI-MS analysis of the peak indicated that it is 2, suggesting that 2 is not susceptible to CASP3 (Supplementary Fig. S7).

MTT assays and Western blot analyses. Prior to MTT assays, cell permeability of 1 and 2 were studied. As shown in Figure S8–10, after 2 h incubation with 200 μM of 1 or 2 at 37°C, HepG2 cells were lysed and injected into HPLC for analysis. The results indicated that 1 was

Figure 3 | Proposed molecular arrangement of 1 in Gel I and morphological studies of Gel I and Gel II. (a) Hierarchical self-assembly of 1 into a five-membered supermacrocycle and resulting in nanofiber in Gel I, top (left) and side (right) views (VMD). (b) Cryo-TEM image of Gel I. (c) Cryo-TEM image of Gel II.
uptaken by the cells at a final concentration of 0.40 fmol per cell with 48.7% of 1 being metabolized and 51.3% of 1 not. As to 2, it was uptaken by the cells at a final concentration of 0.27 fmol per cell with 37.1% of 2 being metabolized and 62.9% of 2 not. After the validation that 1 is susceptible to CASP3 while 2 is not and both of these two hydrogelators have good cell permeability, we examined the cell viabilities of HepG2 cells in the presence of hydrogelator 1 or 2 at different concentrations using 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl) 2,5 diphényl tetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay. As shown in Fig. 5a&b, 1 obviously shows higher cellular viability than that of 2. Detailedly, cells incubated with 200 μM of 1 for 3 days exhibit 96.3% of viability (Fig. 5a). In contrast, only 82.0% of cells treated with 200 μM of 2 survived at day 3 (Fig. 5b). At 400 μM, 1 still did not exhibit obvious cytotoxicity to the cells at day 3 (85.9% of cell viability, Fig. 5a) while less than half (49.1%) of the cells incubated with 2 survived (Fig. 5b). MTT assays of 1 and 2 on HeLa and MDA-MB-468 cells indicated that these two hydrogelators have cellular viabilities consistent with those on HepG2 cells (i.e., 1 has higher cellular viabilities than those of 2) (Supplementary Fig. S11&12). To study the mechanism of the cell-viability difference between the cells treated with 1 and 2, we harvested the cells after 3-day incubation with 400 μM of 1 or 2 and quantified the expressions of pro-caspase 3, activated CASP3 (i.e., cleaved-caspase 3), and cleaved poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) using Western blot34–36. As shown in Fig. 5C, there was no obvious expression of cleaved-PARP in the cells treated with 1. Moreover, these cells also did not show obvious expression of cleaved-caspase 3 but the expression of pro-caspase 3 in these cells was comparable to that in cells treated with 1% DMSO in culture medium (NC group). This indicates that these cells have not launched cell apoptosis after being incubated with 400 μM of 1 for 3 days. In contrast, cells treated with 2 exhibited obvious activation of CASP3 (i.e., bands of cleaved-caspase 3 and cleaved PARP on the blots) and died via the apoptotic pathway, similar to that of a well-established, camptothecin (CPT)-induced cell apoptotic model37.

Discussion

Interestingly, formation of Gel I was completed within 5 min while that of Gel II took more than 10 min. This suggests that 1 is more prone to self-assembling than 2, proven by the nanofibers in Gel I having narrower size-distribution than the nanofibers in Gel II. Both G' and G" values of Gel I are twofold more of those of Gel II respectively. This also suggests that the Gel I composed of flexuous, long nanofibers of 1 has higher mechanical strength than that of Gel II which is composed of rigid, short nanofibers of 2.

From the molecular arrangement model we proposed, the nanofiber in Gel I has a calculated width of 7.0 nm, which fits well with our cryo-TEM observation (i.e., 6.1 nm). FT-IR analysis of Gel I after water evaporation at room temperature indicates the existence of large loop or α-helical structures which is consistent with the AFM image of Gel I clearly showing two or more fibers of 1 twist together resulting in bigger nanofibers at the same condition. In contrast, both FT-IR and AFM analyses of Gel II after water evaporation indicate that fibers in the gel are unordered structures. These also
satisfactorily explain why Gel I has higher mechanical strength than that of Gel II.

Enzymatic study of 1 incubated with CASP3 indicated that CASP3 has a twofold faster cleavage speed for 1 (2 nmol/U per hour) than for catalog substrate DEVD-pNA (1 nmol/U per hour), suggesting the DEVD-G substrate designed herein is more efficient for CASP3 cleavage. In contrast, the control 2, isomer of 1 with VD being switched to DV, is indeed inactive to CASP3.

The big difference of cell viabilities between the cells treated with 1 and those with 2 could be ascribed to the structural difference between 1 and 2. Detailedly, since 1 has a DEVD peptide sequence for CASP3 cleavage, long time of incubation of the cells with 1 at high concentration might affect the activation or activity of CASP3 which is responsible for cell apoptosis. In contrast, the DEDV peptide sequence of 2 is irresponsive to CASP3. Thus, 2 should not affect the apoptotic pathway induced by CASP3. Quantifications of the expression of cleaved-PARP in the Western blot indicated that cells treated with 1 only had a relative apoptotic level of 14% while that of cells treated with 2 was 58%, suggesting that the cells treated with 1 or 2 are subjected to a caspase-guided apoptotic pathway.

In conclusion, by rational design of a DEVD-based, heptapeptide hydrogelator 1 and its isomeric control 2, we successfully obtained two supramolecular hydrogels Gel I and Gel II. Gel I is composed of flexible, long nanofibers whose structure could be well simulated by a model of molecular arrangement of 1. Gel II is composed of short, rigid nanofibers and its mechanical strength is weaker than that of Gel I. Enzymatic tests indicated that 1 is susceptible to CASP3 while 2 is not. MTT and Western blot analyses indicated 2 induces cell death via apoptotic pathway while 1 minimizes the cellular apoptosis induction. Structural optimization of 1 to form hydrogel at physiological condition for potential 3D cell culture and tissue engineering, this work is underway.

Methods

General methods. All the starting materials were obtained from Adams or Sangon Biotech. Commercially available reagents were used without further purification, unless noted otherwise. All other chemicals were reagent grade or better. Active recombinant mouse CASP3 was obtained from Biovision as lyophilized powder (~300,000 U/mg. 1 U corresponds to the amount of enzyme which cleaves 1 nmol of the caspase substrate DEVD-pNA per hour at 37°C in a reaction solution containing 50 mM HEPES, pH 7.2, 50 mM NaCl, 0.1% Chaps, 10 mM EDTA, 5% Glycerol, and 10 mM TCEP). All the enzymatic studies were performed in CASP3 reaction solution described above. HPLC analyses were performed on an Agilent 1200 HPLC system equipped with a G1322A pump and an in-line diode array UV detector using an Agilent Zorbax 300SB-C18 RP column or an Extend-C18 RP column with CH3OH (0.1% of TFA) or CH3CN (0.1% of TFA) and ultrapure water (0.1% of TFA) as the mobile phase.
eluent. The spectra of electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) were recorded on a LCQ Advantage MAX ion trap mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher). 1H NMR and 13C NMR spectra were obtained on a 300 MHz Bruker AV 300. AFM images were recorded on a Veeco multimode V system with the tip of Veeco RTESP. Rheology tests were operated on an AR 2000 (TA instrument) system, 40 mm parallel plates were used during the experiment at the gap of 300 μm. UV–vis absorption spectra were recorded on a U-2910 UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Hitachi High-Technologies Corporation, Japan) at room temperature, pH-dependent fluorescence emissions of 1 or 2 were recorded on an F-2500 fluorescence spectrophotometer (Hitachi High-Technologies Corporation, Japan) at room temperature with excitation wavelength at 265 nm. Cryo transmission electron microscopy (Cryo-TEM) were obtained on a Tecnai F20 transmission electron microscope (FEI), operating at 100 kV. The cryo-samples were prepared as following: a special copper grid coated with carbon was put into Gatan SOLARUSTM plasma-cleaning system to remove hydrocarbon contamination on the sample holder, then the sample was dropped on the copper grid in FEI VITrobot™ sample plunger. The sample preparation was completed in the plunger. MTT assays were carried out on a Varioskan Flash Spectral Scanning Multimode Reader (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Finland) using the absorbance at 570 nm and 680 nm.

Cell uptake. The hepatocellular carcinoma HepG2 cells were incubated with 200 μM of 1 or 2 in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) (HyClone, Thermo Fisher Scientific, United States) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Sijiqing Biological Engineering, Hangzhou, China) at 37°C for 2 h. Then the cells were harvested, lysed, and injected into HPLC system for analysis.

Cytotoxicity test. The hepatocellular carcinoma HepG2 cells and cervical cancer HeLa cells were obtained from Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Anhui Medical University. The Human Breast cancer MDA-MB-468 cells were obtained from the Cancer Center of Sun Yat-sen University. HepG2 cells, HeLa cells, or MDA-MB-468 cells were seeded into a 96-well plate (HyClone, Thermo Fisher Scientific, United States) at a density of 3 × 103 per well in 100 μL DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum. After the cells were incubated at 37°C and 5% CO2 for 24 h, 1 or 2 was added at final concentrations of 50, 100, 200, or 400 μM respectively. Then the cells were incubated for another 24, 48, or 72 h, 10 μl per well of MTT solution (5 mg ml–1 PBS, Sangon Biotech, Shanghai, China) were added and incubated for 4 h. Then the formazan crystals were dissolved with 100 μl of 10% SDS in PBS. The optical density (OD) of the dissolved formazan crystals was measured at wavelengths of 570 nm and 680 nm. The percentage of cell viability was calculated using the following equation: viability (%) = ODtreatment group × 100/ODcontrol group where OD = OD570–680 nm.

Western blot analysis. Western blot was performed as described by Mei et al.27,28 HepG2 cells were treated with 400 μM of 1 or 2 for 72 h, or camptothecin (CPT) for 6 h. The following antibodies were used from the indicated sources: the primary antibody against glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) (FL-335, Santa Cruz) is a rabbit polyclonal antibody raised against amino acids 1–335 representing full length GAPDH of human origin, primary antibody against polyclonal antibody against ribose polymerase (PARP) (F-2, Santa Cruz) is a mouse monoclonal antibody raised against amino acids 764–1014 mapping the C-terminus of PARP of human origin, the primary antibody against Caspase-3 (p-20) (Stressgen) is a rabbit polyclonal antibody against recombinant human Caspase-3 protein (full length), Alkaline phosphatase (AP)-conjugated secondary antibodies against mouse and rabbit IgG (Promega). Blots were developed by Thermo Western Blot stabilized substrate and images of the blots were obtained using Image Quant LAS-4000 (Fujiﬁlm, Japan).
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