No Significant Change in MRI Abnormalities or Back Pain Prevalence in the Thoraco-Lumbar Spine of Young Elite Skiers Over a 2-Year Follow-Up
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Background: Young athletes are at increasing risk for spinal column injuries due to overloading the spine with excessive sports activities, with potential development of complications later in life.

Purpose: The purpose of this 2-year follow-up study of young elite skiers and non-athletes was to investigate any potential change in the thoraco-lumbar findings on MRI and to outline any change in back pain prevalence with continuing sporting activity and age.

Study Design: Longitudinal cross-sectional study.

Methods: MRI of the thoraco-lumbar spine was performed on 30 skiers (mean age 20 years, female 43%) and 16 non-athletes (mean age 19, female 75%), available for the 2-year follow-up. The intervertebral discs were evaluated for signal, height, bulge/herniation, and additionally according to Pfirrmann classification, and the endplates were graded according to endplate defect score. Any of the following disc findings was defined as disc degenerative change: reduced signal, reduced height, bulge, or herniation. All participants answered a specific back pain questionnaire.

Results: No significant difference in spinal column abnormalities, nor back pain, was found between baseline and 2-year follow-up in neither skiers nor controls. There was significantly higher prevalence of disc degenerative changes in skiers (73%) than in non-athletes (44%, p=0.05). Skiers (63%) had significantly more Pfirrmann grade ≥3 discs compared to non-athletes (25%) (p=0.03). There was no significant difference in number of endplates with score ≥4 between skiers and non-athletes (50% vs 38%, p=0.40) nor in lifetime prevalence of back pain between skiers (46%) and non-athletes (40%).

Conclusion: There was no significant change over time of the spinal column MRI abnormalities, nor back pain prevalence, during a 2-year follow-up of skiers and non-athletes. Young skiers had significantly higher prevalence of spine abnormalities compared with non-athletes. There was no significant difference of the back pain lifetime prevalence in skiers compared with non-athletes.
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Introduction
There has been a growing interest in competitive sports among young individuals in recent decades.1,2 It is a common belief that excessive training at a very young age is necessary to succeed as an athlete. This is based on the idea that athletic training actually improves physical conditioning, coordination, and balance.3–5

Excessive training often involves monotonous movements with repeated heavy loads and spinal column bending that can increase the potential risk for injuries.6 Overload injuries have become a growing concern and received increased attention particularly in youth sports in recent years. These injuries may lead to disturbed growth, altered morphology, and increased morbidity, which may lead to an early cessation of an athlete’s sporting career.2,7–11 Endplate modic changes can also develop in children with potential association with prolonged symptoms.12
Spinal column abnormalities, such as disc degeneration, and Schmorl’s nodes have been described in prior studies involving sports such as wrestling, gymnastics, tennis, and weight-lifting (26–90%). Variable results of back pain prevalence in young athletes have previously been reported, ranging between 1% and 94% with different sporting disciplines, with rowing and cross-country skiing both having a high lifetime prevalence of LBP.

The change of spinal column findings and back pain over time is not thoroughly studied. Certain studies report that most spinal column abnormalities in young athletes develop during growth spurt. Moreover, there is a need for follow-up studies to investigate if any complications develop later in life. The present study is a longitudinal follow-up of a previously published baseline study of the same participants. The baseline study showed significantly higher prevalence of spinal column abnormalities in skiers (82%) compared with non-athletes (54%), while the lifetime prevalence of back pain was not statistically different between skiers (50%) and non-athletes (44%). Developing a better understanding on how these variables may change over time is important as such understanding may play a role in prevention as well as development of rehabilitation programs and treatment.

This 2-year follow-up study of young elite skiers and non-athletes aims to investigate and characterize any potential MRI changes in the thoraco-lumbar spine and back pain prevalence with continuing sporting activity and age.

**Materials and Methods**

**Subjects**

All participants in the baseline study, including 65 young elite skiers and 26 non-athletes, were asked to undergo a follow-up MRI of the thoraco-lumbar spine and answer follow-up questionnaires approximately 2 years after baseline examinations.

A total of 35 skiers and 10 non-athletes were not followed up from the baseline study two years earlier due to random dropouts, travel commitments, lack of interest to participate in more studies, and failure to attend the appointments. Eventually, there was a total of 30 young elite alpine and mogul skiers and 16 non-athletes available for the 2-year follow-up examination.

All skiers were practicing at Åre High School Ski Academy in Östersund, Sweden, and all non-athletic controls were high school students in Järpen and Östersund, Sweden. The inclusion criteria for the skiers were training and competing at elite level within the high school competitions. Inclusion criteria for the control group were no previous nor present participation in any organized sport activities, and no physical activity of more than 2 hours on a weekly basis.

Participants (skiers and non-athletes) were excluded in case of previous surgery or significant traumatic injury of the thoraco-lumbar spine, pelvis, or hip joints. In addition, the exclusion criteria included pregnancy and any history of systemic disease such as inflammatory arthritis. The date range for participants' enrollment in the study was between 2013 and 2017.

**MRI Examinations**

Similar to the baseline study, all MRI examinations were performed at the Department of Radiology at Östersund Hospital, Sweden. Images of the thoraco-lumbar spine T5 to S3 were obtained on 1.5 tesla MRI scanners (General Electric HDxt signa echo speed). The total examination time was about 10–15 minutes per individual.

The MRI protocol included sagittal T1 (TR560/TE20.8) weighted sequences and T2 (TR4463/TE110), with slice thickness 4 mm. An experienced radiologist performed the evaluation of the MRI examinations. MRI examinations were evaluated according to a standardized protocol as specified in Table 1. Intervertebral discs (T5/T6–L5/S1) were also graded according to Pfirrmann classification. Disc degeneration was defined as any of the following findings: disc signal loss, disc height loss, disc bulge, or disc herniation. The endplates were evaluated according to endplate defect score. The last-mentioned was not implemented in the baseline study.

The data analysis included total number of discs graded Pfirrmann ≥3 and additionally the number of participants with one or more disc graded Pfirrmann ≥3. The total number of discs with MRI changes and additionally the number of individuals with at least one abnormality were registered.
Intra-observer agreement was analyzed after repeating all MRIs evaluation 6 months later. Inter-observer agreement measurements were performed in the baseline study, involving the same radiologists as in this follow-up, demonstrating high agreement.\textsuperscript{19}

**Questionnaire – Back Pain**
All skiers and non-athletes answered a questionnaire developed by Swärd et al\textsuperscript{15} and Baranto et al.\textsuperscript{13} All details were specified in the baseline study.\textsuperscript{19} Back pain was self-assessed. Physical activity was investigated through questions about present and previous activity level.

**Ethics Considerations**
The study was approved, in keeping with the declaration of Helsinki, by the Regional Ethical Review Board, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden (ID number: 692–13). Informed consents were obtained from all participants and parents of those younger than 18 years prior to study commencement.

**Statistical Analysis**
The data were analysed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 27 (Armonk, NY; IBM Corp.). The description of data was expressed in terms of mean, standard deviation for continuous data, and frequencies and percentages for categorical data. For comparison of continuous variables, the Student independent \textit{t}-test was used. Pearson’s chi-square test was used to evaluate associations between groups. McNemar test was used for comparison between baseline and follow-up for categorical variables.

The intra-observer reliability of the measurements was determined with the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC, 2,1) (2-way random model, absolute agreement, single measures). To categorize the level of agreement among ICC values, we used the classification system proposed by Landis and Koch.\textsuperscript{33} All tests were two-tailed, and alpha was set at 0.05.

**Results**
**Group Demographic Characteristics**
The skiers' (\textit{n}=30) and non-athletes' (\textit{n}=16) mean age was 20 (SD 0.6) and 19 (SD 0.5) years, respectively. The mean body mass index was 22 for both groups; 43% of skiers were females compared with 75% of non-athletes.

| Table 1 | The Standardized Protocol Used to Evaluate MRI Findings in the Thoraco-Lumbar Spine |
|---------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Disc Signal | 0 = Normal | 1 = Moderately Reduced | 2 = Severely Reduced |
| Disc height | 0 = Normal | 1 = Reduction ≤50% | 2 = Reduction 50–90% | 3 = Reduction >90% |
| Disc herniation | 0 = Normal | 1 = Disc extrusion |
| Disc bulging | 0 = Normal | 1 = Bulging disc |
| Schmorl’s nodes | 0 = Normal | 1 = Mild | 2 = Moderate/severe |
| Vertebral body configuration | 0 = Normal | 1 = Wedging | 2 = Flattening | 3 = Increased AP diameter |
| Apophyseal injuries | 0 = Normal | 1 = Slight | 2 = Moderate/severe |
| Stress fractures | 0 = Absent | 1 = Present |
| High-intensity zone (HIZ) | 0 = Absent | 1 = Present |
| Spondylolisthesis | 0 = Absent | 1 = Present |
Follow-Up of the Skiers and Non-Athletes

There was no significant change over time of the spine MRI findings, nor back pain prevalence, during a 2-year follow-up period of skiers and non-athletes. The findings at baseline and follow-up MRI studies are summarized in Tables 2 and 3. There were minimal findings, with no significant change over time, regarding stress fractures, apophyseal injuries, spondylolisthesis, high-intensity zone (HIZ), and vertebral abnormalities.

MRI Findings

The MRI findings are listed in Table 4. Intervertebral discs graded Pfirrmann ≥3 were seen in 63% of skiers compared to 25% of non-athletes (p=0.03). The number of discs graded Pfirrmann ≥3 was 1.1 on average (range 1–4) per skier and 0.3 (range 0–1) per non-athlete (Figure 1). Disc degenerative changes were more common in skiers than in non-athletes (73% vs 44%, respectively, p=0.05), when any of the following findings was considered as disc degenerative change: reduced signal, reduced height, disc bulge, or herniation.

Back Pain

There was no statistically significant difference of the back pain lifetime prevalence in skiers (46%) compared with non-athletes (40%). There was no significant worsening over time. Back pain was associated with disc abnormalities in skiers (p=0.04) but not in non-athletes (p=0.70).

| Table 2 The Findings on MRI at Baseline and 2-Year Follow-Up Based on Number of Discs |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Skiers (360 Discs)             | Non-Athletes (192 Discs)        |                                  |                                  |
| Pfirrmann grade ≥3             | Baseline % (n)                  | Follow-Up % (n)                  | P-value                          | Baseline % (n)                  | Follow-Up % (n)                  | P-value                          |
|                                 | 8% (29)                         | 9% (33)                          | 0.60                             | 5% (9)                          | 2% (4)                          | 0.10                             |
| Disc height loss               | 4% (16)                         | 5% (18)                          | 0.50                             | 4% (8)                          | 2% (4)                          | 0.25                             |
| Disc signal loss               | 12% (42)                        | 11% (38)                         | 0.70                             | 7% (13)                         | 2% (3)                          | 0.02                             |
| Disc bulge                     | 9% (34)                         | 7% (26)                          | 0.30                             | 8% (15)                         | 2% (3)                          | 0.007                            |
| Schmorl's nodes                | 8% (27)                         | 5% (17)                          | 0.10                             | 3% (6)                          | 7% (14)                         | 0.07                             |
| Degenerated discs              | 7% (26)                         | 7% (26)                          | 1.00                             | 5% (10)                         | 3% (6)                          | 0.30                             |
| Stress fractures, apophyseal injuries, spondylolisthesis, HIZ, and vertebral body configuration | N/A |                                  |                                  |

Note: P-values from the McNemar test.

| Table 3 The Findings on MRI at Baseline and 2-Year Follow-Up When Analyzed per Individual |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Skiers (n=30)                   | Non-Athletes (n=16)             |                                  |                                  |
| Pfirrmann grade ≥3              | Baseline % (n)                  | Follow-Up % (n)                  | P-value                          | Baseline % (n)                  | Follow-Up % (n)                  | P-value                          |
|                                 | 53% (16)                        | 63% (19)                        | 0.50                             | 31% (5)                        | 25% (4)                        | 0.60                             |
| Disc height loss                | 33% (10)                        | 37% (11)                        | 0.70                             | 0                              | 13% (2)                        | -                                |
| Disc signal loss                | 68% (20)                        | 68% (20)                        | 1.00                             | 44% (7)                        | 25% (4)                        | 0.08                             |
| Disc bulge                      | 60% (18)                        | 57% (17)                        | 0.70                             | 50% (8)                        | 31% (5)                        | 0.30                             |
| Schmorl's nodes                 | 40% (12)                        | 23% (7)                         | 0.03                             | 25% (4)                        | 38% (6)                        | 0.60                             |
| Stress fractures, apophyseal injuries, spondylolisthesis, HIZ, and vertebral body configuration | N/A |                                  |                                  |

Note: P-values from the McNemar test.
Table 4 The Findings on MRI in Skiers versus Non-Athletes When Analyzed as Groups of Individuals and When Analyzed According to the Total Number of Discs

|                  | Skiers (n=30) | Non-Athletes (n=16) | P-value | Skiers (360 Discs) | Non-Athletes (192 Discs) | P-value |
|------------------|---------------|---------------------|---------|--------------------|--------------------------|---------|
|                  | % (n)         | % (n)               |         | % (n) Mean (SD)    | % (n) Mean (SD)          |         |
| Pfirrmann grade ≥3 | 63% (19)      | 25% (4)             | 0.03    | 9% (33) 1.1 (1.2)  | 2% (4) 0.25 (0.4)        | 0.001   |
| Disc height loss | 37% (11)      | 13% (2)             | 0.10    | 11% (38) 1.3 (1.4) | 1.5% (3) 0.2 (0.4)       | 0.001   |
| Disc signal loss | 68% (20)      | 25% (4)             | 0.01    | 5% (18) 0.6 (0.9)  | 2% (4) 0.25 (0.8)        | 0.08    |
| Disc bulge       | 57% (17)      | 31% (5)             | 0.10    | 7% (26) 0.9 (0.9)  | 3% (5) 0.25 (0.5)        | 0.01    |
| Schmorl's nodes  | 23% (7)       | 38% (6)             | 0.30    | 5% (17) 0.6 (1)    | 7% (14) 0.9 (1.3)        | 0.20    |
| Disc endplate grade ≥4 | 50% (15) | 38% (6)             | 0.40    | 9% (32) -         | 5.7% (11) -              | 0.20    |
| Disc degenerative changes | 73% (22) | 44% (7)             | 0.05    | 7% -            | 3% -                      | 0.001   |

Notes: Bold style indicating statistical significance. Numbers are % (n) per individual and total discs. A chi-square test was used for the comparison of two proportions.

Validity

The intra-observer reliability was high, with Cohen’s kappa coefficient ranging between $\kappa = 0.85$ and $\kappa = 0.95$ for all parameters (Table 5).

Figure 1 MRI T2-weighted images of the thoraco-lumbar spine. (A) Athlete with disc signal and height loss at L4–L5 and L5–S1 (arrows). (B) Non-athlete without abnormalities.
Discussion
In this longitudinal study, examining the interval change over time of spinal column abnormalities and back pain over a 2-year follow-up period, the occurrence of new MRI abnormalities was found to be rare and the change in back pain prevalence over time was not significant.

In contrast to the present study, prior longitudinal studies of athletes have reported a wide degree of deterioration of spine abnormalities on long-term follow-up. This could be related to the longer duration of overloading the spine with continuous sporting activity in addition to normal aging.24,34,35

Most spinal abnormalities were reported to occur during a growth spurt when the spine is most sensitive to injuries in young individuals.20,36,37 However, the average age of the participants at both baseline and follow-up was beyond the growth spurt age, 18 and 20 years, respectively. This could potentially explain the rare occurrence of new abnormalities in the present study.

The current findings of higher prevalence of spinal column abnormalities in young skiers compared with non-athletes are in concordance with several prior studies of young athletes such as wrestlers, gymnasts, weight-lifters, divers, skiers, long-distance runners, ice-hockey players, football players, and tennis players.11,15,19,24 This higher prevalence in athletes, with excessive and lengthy physical training at a very young age, is believed to be due to overloading the skeletally immature spine.20,36,37 Repetitive microtraumas of the discs and vertebrae are considered to be the main culprit for the reported abnormalities.11,15,19 It is suggested that frontal and lateral bending of the spine associated with skiing in addition to axial overload predisposes the discs to overuse injuries, which may eventually lead to back pain.6

There was association between back pain and disc abnormalities in skiers in the present study. Prior studies, including the baseline study, reported no such association.19,38,39 These findings suggest that spinal abnormalities may lead to complications like back pain later in life. Nonetheless, back pain could also be due to additional extra-spinal factors such as muscle strain and ligament sprain.22,27,40

There was no significant difference of the back pain lifetime prevalence in skiers compared with non-athletes. This could be a true representation of the general population, both athletes and non-athletes. However, alternative factors may include recall bias and the small size of the groups. A wide range of back pain prevalence was previously reported in young athletes.13,15,17,20,21,26 A 1-year prevalence of back pain was reported to range between 26% and 76% in a recent literature review of 43 papers.27

Further long-term follow-up projects with prospective design and with larger population groups are warranted. Incorporation of new imaging methods such as functional MRI and mapping analysis can be useful to achieve more objective quantitative estimates and to detect subtle abnormalities.41–43

Strengths and Limitations
The skiers and controls were within the same age-range and considered a valid representation for the adolescent population. The height and weight were very similar between the groups.

| Variable                                      | Cohen’s Kappa | 95% CI        |
|-----------------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Pfirrmann grad                                | 0.95          | 0.88 to 1     |
| Endplate score                                | 0.88          | 0.74 to 1     |
| Disc signal                                  | 0.89          | 0.78 to 0.97  |
| Disc bulging                                 | 0.85          | 0.67 to 1     |
| Disc height                                  | 0.92          | 0.84 to 1     |
| Schmorl’s nodes, stress fractures, apophyseal injuries, spondylolisthesis, HIZ, and vertebral body configuration | N/A due to small data |
The small sample size and large number of dropouts on follow-up are the main limitations in the present study. All available age-matched athletes were invited to participate in the baseline study and to undergo follow-up 2 years later. However, some participants were travelling abroad or unable to attend the appointments. Another limitation is gender inequality as females constituted 43% of skiers and 75% of non-athletes; this is a potential confounder, although gender did not appear to significantly affect the results in prior studies. As this was an observational study, other unknown confounders such as nutrition, smoking, and participants’ hobbies could have also biased the outcome. The back pain questionnaires are subject-reported information and may be affected by recall bias and difficult to measure objectively.

Conclusion
There was no significant change over time of the spinal column MRI abnormalities, nor back pain prevalence, during a 2-year follow-up of skiers and non-athletes. Young skiers had significantly higher prevalence of spine abnormalities compared with non-athletes. There was no significant difference of the back pain lifetime prevalence in skiers compared with non-athletes.
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