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Introduction

The increasing energy demand has motivated the research for sustainable sources such as solar energy and dye sensitized solar cell is a promising device. This has motivated the research for renewable sources. Among the many ways of convert the sun light into electricity the Dye Sensitized Solar Cell (DSSC) is a promising alternative. This device is considered as one of the types of third-generation solar cells. The DSSC was developed in 1991 by Gratzel with an efficiency of conversion of 7.9% [1]. Nowadays, the DSSC prepared using TiO$_2$ as photoanode has achieved the maximum efficiency of 13% [2]. The DSSC that used ZnO as photoanode achieved an efficiency of 8.28% [3,4].

Recent studies showed that the ZnO is a great candidate for use in these devices due to its characteristics. The ZnO is an interesting alternative due to its physics characteristics such as band gap of 3.7eV at room temperature and electron mobility of 200cm$^2$/V·s [5]. The low efficiency of DSSC that uses ZnO sensitized with the ruthenium dyes as photoanode can be attributed to complex formation of ZnO with the dye [6].

The use of metal-free organic dyes is an attractive alternative, once there is no formation of complexes when these dyes are used to sensitize the ZnO [7-12]. Among the dyes studied, Eosin Y presents promising results, besides providing the manufacture of a translucent orange DSSC with potential use in architectural elements [11-15].

The Eosin Y can be found in both salt and acid form. The Eosin Y salt is soluble in water and ethanol while the acid form shows low solubility in water [16]. Adsorption occurs by the bonding of carboxylic or hydroxyl groups, in the case of ZnO the bonding preferably occurs between the carboxylic group and the surface (10T0) [17].

The immersion of the semiconductor oxide in the dye for 24h is the most common method of sensitization. However, this method is very expensive to manufacture DSSC due to the long time required for sensitization, [18-21]. In addition to the economic aspect, the sensitization time has a great influence on short-circuit current density and open circuit voltage [22-25].

In this work, electrophoresis was used to adsorb the Eosin Y on the surface of the photoanodes of ZnO of distinct morphologies obtained by the Chemical Bath Deposition method (CBD).
Materials and Methods

The ZnO nanostructures were grown onto a glass substrate coated with Fluorine Doped Tin Oxide (FTO). The ZnO seeds were prepared using the Successive Ionic Layer Adsorption and Reaction (SILAR) method, reported in a previous work [26].

The nanostructures were grown by CBD from 0.02M of distinct zinc salts: zinc acetate dihydrate (Zn(CH$_3$COO)$_2$.2H$_2$O), zinc chloride (ZnCl$_2$), zinc nitrate hexahydrate (Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$). The Ethylenediaminetetraacetic Acid (EDTA) 0.002M as complex agent and ammonium hydroxide (NH$_4$OH) in the molar proportion Zn$^{2+}$ to NH$_3$ of 1:20 were used to prepare the complex [Zn(NH$_3$)$_4$]$^{2+}$. The samples were named E-Ac, E-Cl and E-Nit respectively. A solution containing NH$_4$Cl in proportion Zn$^{2+}$:NH$_3$ of 1:30 and zinc sulfate (ZnSO$_4$) 0.01M as counter-ion was used to prepare the nanoparticles from a sulfate solution. The pH of the last solution was adjusted for pH=11 using NaOH 5M. This sample was named E-Sulf. The substrates were immersed in the solutions at 358K for 12h.

Figure 1 shows the classical schematic diagram for the adsorption of the dye through electrophoresis method. The Eosin Y salt 1.0x10$^{-3}$M aqueous solution was used. The electrophoresis was carried for 900s at a current of 1.0x10$^{-3}$A. The dye was desorbed by immersing the film on a NaOH 0.1M in ethanol 50% solution.

Results and Discussion

Figure 2 shows the SEM imagens of the ZnO grown nanostructures. It can be noted that the nanostructures show distinct structures according to the zinc salt used. Figure 2a shows the nanostructures E-Ac, which presents nanorods like structures. Figure 2b indicates the rosebud morphology of the nanostructures E-Cl. Figure 2c exposes the film E-Nit, in this case, the film exhibits a heterogeneous morphology, composed of distinct nanorods and plate like structures. Figure 2d depict the structure E-Sulf, which was formed by nanorods-like structures of distinct orientation.

Figure 3 shows the x-ray diffraction patterns of the ZnO nanostructures. It revealed that all the precursors solutions have produced nanostructures of ZnO (and not Zn(OH)$_2$). Furthermore,
from the relative intensity of the peaks was also inferred that the film prepared using ZnAc showed higher preferred crystallographic orientation than the others nanostructured ZnO layers.

Figure 3: X-ray diffraction patterns of ZnO nanostructures prepared using the precursors: (a) Zn(CH$_3$COO)$_2$,2H$_2$O, (b) ZnCl$_2$, (c) Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$2H$_2$O, (d) ZnSO$_4$.

The thickness of the ZnO nanostructured layer measured using XRF is shown in Table 1. The values are given in mass per area unit. Thus, it was possible to evaluate the compactness of the samples by comparing the results with the morphology.

Figure 4 shows the electrophoresis curves. It can be seen that the sample E-Sulf presents a rising voltage curve, which indicates that the Eosin Y do not access easily the (1010) surface of the non-ortogonal nanorods. The samples E-Cl and E-Nit, which exhibit heterogeneous morphologies composed by plates of lower superficial area, showed a constant deposition rate. The behavior of the voltage curve of the film E-Ac suggests that the adsorption mechanism (with long and orthogonal nanorods) occurred initially in most internal layer next to the seed and later in lateral faces of the nanorods. The amount of dye desorbed (Table 1) on the nanostructure E-Ac, with the highest amount of dye adsorbed, corroborates with this Figure 5 shows the UV-Vis spectra of the desorbed Eosin Y salt in a NaOH solution. The centered band at 515nm indicates preferential formation of monomers. It can also be observed that the spectra of the desorbed Eosin Y is shifted when compared to the spectrum of pure Eosin Y. This can be attributed to an interaction between the dye and the atoms of Zn and the formation of dimers. The dye absorbed on the films surface measured by UV-vis spectrometry is showed in Table 1.

Figure 4: V(t) x t (mm:ss) electrophoresis data for Eosin Y adsorption.

Figure 5: UV-Vis spectra of eosin Y solution desorbed of ZnO nanostructured film.
The amount of dye adsorbed on the semiconductor oxide is a function of the porosity and superficial area. Das et al. [27] reported that the saturation of the Eosin Y adsorption on a thickness ZnO film approximately 8μm (prepared by doctor blade method) occurs at in an interval of 12h. In this case the amount dye adsorbed was 0.191mMcm². The influence of the porosity was studied by Gan et al. [28] and the maximum adsorption did not occur at the higher thickness, the dye loaded only was 5.53x10⁻⁶ M cm² on 2.6μm thickness. In the present work the amount of dye was higher than the values found on the literature. Also, the amount of dye loading showed to be dependent on the ZnO morphology and the degree of compactness. The maximum dye load occurred in the E-Ac sample, which showed a nanorod with a higher preferred crystallographic orientation and with a large surface in the (0001) face.

Conclusion

The amount of dye adsorbed is dependent to the morphology of the ZnO films. The nanostructure formed by nanorods showed the higher amount of dye adsorbed. Considering this is the kind of morphology that presents the higher electronic mobility, then it is the best option for DSSC manufacturing. The electrophoresis proved to be an efficiency and fast method to dye adsorption for DSSC. However, the process parameters may be influenced by the morphology.
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