Transcriptome Profile Analysis of Arabidopsis Reveals the Drought Stress-Induced Long Non-coding RNAs Associated With Photosynthesis, Chlorophyll Synthesis, Fatty Acid Synthesis and Degradation

Kang Chen1,2†, Yang Huang2,3†, Chunni Liu2, Yu Liang2* and Maoteng Li1*

1 Department of Biotechnology, College of Life Science and Technology, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China, 2 Key Laboratory of Ecology of Rare and Endangered Species and Environmental Protection, College of Life Science, Guangxi Normal University, Guilin, China, 3 School of Mechanical and Electrical Engineering, Guilin University of Electronic Technology, Guilin, China

Long non-coding RNAs (IncRNAs) play an important role in the response of plants to drought stress. The previous studies have reported that overexpression of LEA3 and VOC could enhance drought tolerance and improve the oil content in Brassica napus and Arabidopsis thaliana, and most of the efforts have been invested in the gene function analysis, there is little understanding of how genes that involved in these important pathways are regulated. In the present study, the transcriptomic results of LEA3 and VOC over-expressed (OE) lines were compared with the RNAi lines, mutant lines and control lines under long-term and short-term drought treatment, a series of differentially expressed IncRNAs were identified, and their regulation patterns in mRNA were also investigated in above mentioned materials. The regulation of the target genes of differentially expressed IncRNAs on plant biological functions was studied. It was revealed that the mutant lines had less drought-response related IncRNAs than that of the OE lines. Functional analysis demonstrated that multiple genes were involved in the carbon-fixing and chlorophyll metabolism, such as CDR1, CHLM, and CH1, were regulated by the upregulated IncRNA in OE lines. In LEA-OE, AT4G13180 that promotes the fatty acid synthesis was regulated by five IncRNAs that were upregulated under both long-term and short-term drought treatments. The key genes, including of SHM1, GOX2, and GS2, in the methylglyoxal synthesis pathway were all regulated by a number of down-regulated IncRNAs in OE lines, thereby reducing the content of such harmful compounds produced under stress in plants. This study identified a series of
InNCRNAs related to the pathways that affect photosynthesis, chlorophyll synthesis, fatty acid synthesis, degradation, and other important effects on drought resistance and oil content. The present study provided a series of IncRNAs for further improvement of crop varieties, especially drought resistant and oil content traits.

**Keywords**: fatty acid synthesis, chlorophyll synthesis, IncRNAs, VOC, LEA3

**BACKGROUND**

Drought is one of the most common abiotic stresses that plants face, and which is a leading cause of crop failure globally (Lesk et al., 2016). In recent years, various studies have focussed on the biology of drought tolerance and laid some foundations on the mechanism underlying the drought resistance of plants. Some important genes and pathways that related to drought stress have been identified, such as the dehydration response element binding proteins (DREBs) (Agarwal et al., 2006) and abscisic acid signal transduction system (Cai et al., 2017). Consequently, these multiple genes involved in a complex regulatory networks related to drought tolerance have posed challenges in the selection of drought tolerance traits in plant breeding. _Late embryogenesis abundant group 3 (LEA3)_ genes are part of a category that could function in the protection of membranes and proteins. _Viscinal oxygen chelate (VOC)_ proteins are members of an enzyme superfamily with a common mechanistic attribute enabled by conserved active site residues. In our previous study, we found that overexpression of LEA3 and VOC genes could increase the drought resistance and oil content in _Arabidopsis_ and _B. napus_ (Liang et al., 2019).

Long non-coding RNA (lncRNA) refers to the RNA that is longer than 200 nucleotides and is not capable of translation into protein. Some IncRNAs can take part in the regulation of response to abiotic stresses with genes (Liu et al., 2013). A large number of IncRNAs have been identified in multiple species with the rapid development of high-throughput sequencing technology, and some of them could be classified as polyadenyllic acid and non-polyadenyllic acid (Liu et al., 2012, 2013). The IncRNA plays an important role in response to abiotic stress in plants. Previous studies have shown that the regulatory mechanism of IncRNA is very complex. For example, IncRNA could regulate the expression of protein-coding genes in cis or trans format and plays a regulatory role in modification of histones (Wang et al., 2011; Kung et al., 2013). In cassava, 124 IncRNAs under drought treatment that exhibited significant effects on carbohydrate metabolism, the Calvin cycle, light response, and light signalling, have been identified (Ding et al., 2019). Xiao et al. (2019) have identified two IncRNAs (LNC_001148 and LNC_000160) that related to drought resistance of tetraploid cassava, which mediate drought tolerance by regulating the stomatal density (Xiao et al., 2019). Drought-responsive IncRNA has been discovered to play a role as a transcription regulator in tomato, and it has a certain regulatory effect on tolerance-related genes such as stimuli, signalling, and response to transporter activity (Eom et al., 2019). A recent study in _Arabidopsis thaliana_ also identified an important drought-induced IncRNA (IncRNA-DRIR) which had the key role in regulating plant tolerance to drought and salt stress (Qin et al., 2017). In addition, a large number of IncRNAs related to stress have been identified in corn, grape, Tibetan wild barley and pepper (Fei et al., 2019; Pang et al., 2019; Qiu et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2019).

*B. napus* (AACC, 2n = 38) is an allotetraploid species with a triplicated genome structure and many duplicated genes (Chalhoub et al., 2014). Rapeseed is the third largest oil seed crop in the world, and many areas where it is planted are affected by drought. Thus, it is important to further study the exact roles of genes involved in oil accumulation and response to drought stress conditions.

LEA genes are part of a category that could function in the protection of membranes and proteins. Some studies have suggested that LEA-type proteins could act as water-binding molecules, playing important roles in macromolecule and membrane stabilisation and in ion sequestration (Wang et al., 2003; Chakrabortee et al., 2012). These structural characteristics are related to the prediction of their function in response to desiccation stress (Chakrabortee et al., 2007; Candat et al., 2014). VOC proteins are members of an enzyme superfamily with a common mechanistic attribute enabled by conserved active site residues. Glyoxalase I (GLYI) is a major member of the VOC family. It is a metalloenzyme that participates in the glyoxalase system, which has been reported to be a major pathway for the detoxification of methylglyoxal (MG) in living organisms. GLYI can use one molecule of glutathione (GSH) to convert MG to S-D-lactoylglutathione and functions in abiotic stress response (Singla-Pareek et al., 2003; Mustafiz et al., 2014).

In our previous study, it was revealed that the LEA and VOC proteins were highly expressed in _B. napus_ plants that had high oil content by proteomics analysis (Gan et al., 2013). We also found that most of the _BnLEA_ and _BnVOC_ genes had higher expression levels under drought stress in _B. napus_ (Liang et al., 2016, 2017). The overexpression (OE) of different copies of the drought response genes _LEA3_ and _VOC_ enhanced both drought tolerance and oil content in _B. napus_ and _Arabidopsis_. In contrast, oil content and drought tolerance were decreased in the _AtLEA3_ mutant (atlea3) and _AtVOC-RNAi_ of _Arabidopsis_ and in both _BnLEA-RNAi_ and _BnVOC-RNAi_ _B. napus_ RNAi lines. Hybrids between two lines with increased or reduced expression (LEA3-OE with VOC-OE, atlea3 with AtVOC-RNAi) showed corresponding stronger trends in drought tolerance (Liang et al., 2019).

**Abbreviations**: IncRNAs, Long non-coding RNAs; OE, over-expressed; DREBs, dehydration response element binding proteins; CNCI, coding-non-coding index; CPC, coding potential calculator; CPAT, coding potential assessment tool; JS, Jensen-Shannon; WTLL, wild type long-term treatment samples; WTLS, wild type short-term treatment samples.
All these results showed that LEA3 and VOC genes can play an important role in drought response (not only AtLEA and AtVOC genes, but also BnLEA and BnVOC genes). And different transgenic plants lines which can be used for further study, including the OE lines, RNAi lines, knock-out mutants and hybrids. In the previous study, we have demonstrated the differential expression patterns of protein-coding genes and their corresponding effects in important pathways (Liang et al., 2019). In the present study, the expression pattern of IncRNA in each sample, and their effect on the regulation of target genes on plant drought resistance and key pathways related to lipid synthesis were analysed in order to investigate the role of LEA and VOC genes in long-term and short-term drought stress. 2744 known IncRNAs and 1113 new IncRNAs in 56 samples were identified, and a large number of genes, especially in the metabolism of carbon and chlorophyll, that are regulated by IncRNA were observed. Among which, some single genes are regulated by multiple IncRNAs, such as CRD1 are trans-regulated by AT1G07993, AT1G09513, AT5G15845, AT2G08665, AT1G05207, AT3G08795, AT5G02095, and AT2G23672. The current study revealed that IncRNA plays an important role in the regulation of abiotic stress and enhances oil accumulation in Arabidopsis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Data Sources
The RNA-seq data of 40 samples from leaf and silique tissues of multi genotypes of Arabidopsis in the present study were from our previous study, including the overexpression of AtLEA, AtVOC, RNAi of AtVOC, and AtLEA mutant (Liang et al., 2019). Detailed sample information is listed in Table 1. Based on these data, we explored the expression levels of known and newly predicted IncRNA, and the expression patterns of IncRNA in transgenic, overexpression, mutation, and RNAi-treated samples under long-term drought treatment and short-term drought treatment conditions were studied, as well as the regulation of the expression of protein-coding genes.

Data Processing
After removing sequencing adaptors and low-quality sequences using Cutadapt (Martin, 2011), the RNA-seq clean data of each sample was aligned to the Arabidopsis reference genome using HISAT2 (Kim et al., 2015). RNA-seq alignment results of each sample were further assembled and merged by StringTie (Pertea et al., 2015).

Identification of IncRNAs
To obtain qualified IncRNA candidates, we performed a preliminary filtering of transcripts and predicted the coding potential of the screened transcripts. Four principles were employed in the filtering step (Jia et al., 2020): (1) Transcript length and exon number should be above 200 bp and 2, respectively. (2) Transcripts should be covered by at least five reads in all samples. (3) Transcripts aligned to known mRNAs and other non-coding RNAs (such as rRNA, tRNA, snoRNA, snRNA) of this species should be discarded. (4) The potential IncRNA, intronic IncRNA, and anti-sense IncRNA were identified according to class_code information (“u,” “i,” “x”). Lacking coding ability, the candidate IncRNA transcripts were further measured on coding potential using four methods. The coding-non-coding index (CNCI) (Sun et al., 2013), coding potential calculator (CPC) (Kong et al., 2007), and coding potential assessment tool (CPAT) (Wang et al., 2013) were utilised to calculate the encoding and non-coding capabilities of transcripts. In addition, the protein domains in HMM library were searched in each candidate sequence using pfamscan1 tool to screen out sequences with known protein domains.

Joint Analysis of IncRNA and mRNA
The DESeq (Anders and Huber, 2010) was used for differential expression analysis, and genes with \( |\log_2 \text{ratio}| \geq 1 \) and \( q < 0.05 \) as a cut-off for significant differential expression were selected. The IncRNA mainly binds to regulatory regions of protein-coding genes and regulates gene expression in cis or trans formations. For differentially expressed IncRNAs, cis and trans regulation analyses were performed, and their function was indirectly predicted by the target genes. Cis regulation refers to the function of IncRNA that is related to the protein-encoding genes adjacent to it at the genomic locus. Therefore, the prediction method of the target gene for cis regulation is to screen out protein-encoding genes adjacent to IncRNA (upstream and downstream 50 Kb) as target genes. The trans-regulated genes were recognised according to the correlation coefficient of IncRNA and mRNA expression values (pearson correlation

---

1https://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/pfa/pfamscan/
Function Analysis of Differentially Expressed lncRNA Target Genes

The target genes were analysed for enriched GO (Harris et al., 2004) and KEGG categories (Kanehisa and Goto, 2000) by applying hypergeometric tests. An adjusted $P$-value of 0.05 was used as a threshold for significant enrichment.

RESULTS

The Identification of lncRNAs and Their Expression Patterns

A total of 2,744 known lncRNAs were identified in 40 samples, 1,751, 2,344, 2,203, and 1,631 novel lncRNAs were identified by using CNCI, CPC, and CPAT software, and pfam database, respectively. Among these lncRNAs, 1113 new lncRNAs were shared in the results of all four methods. Compared to lncRNA, the mRNAs presented a larger exon number and a longer gene length (Figures 1, 2A,B).

The FPKM of transcripts for mRNA and lncRNA in Arabidopsis were calculated in order to explore the expression patterns of identified lncRNAs, and a lower expression level of lncRNA (Wilcoxon rank sum test $P < 0.001$) than protein-coding genes were observed (Figure 2D). To quantitatively evaluate the expression specificity of each transcript, an entropy-based metric relying on the Jensen-Shannon (JS) distance was employed to calculate the expression-specific score for each transcript. For each transcript, the maximum JS score of each RNA was considered to be tissue-specific. A large proportion of lncRNA exhibited a higher maximal JS score (Figure 2C), indicating that the identified lncRNA carries a stronger tissue-specificity of expression.

Expression Level of lncRNA in Siliques and Leaves Under Long-Term Drought Treatment

For leaf samples focussing on VOC, 19 and 2 differentially expressed lncRNAs were identified from AtVOCLL and AtVOCRNAiLL under short-term drought treatment conditions compared with WTLL, respectively. Of these, only one lncRNA was shared. Under long-term drought treatment, 82 and 48 differentially expressed lncRNAs for AtVOCLL and mLEALL under long-term treatment conditions were also detected (Figures 3A,B, 4A). The present results indicated that the AtLEA over-expressed lines could induce more changes of lncRNA expression levels than mutant lines under both long-term and short-term drought treatment conditions.

For siliques, the sequencing sample data of AtLEASL, AtVOCRNAiSL, AtVOCSL, mLEASL, ATLEASS, AtVOCRNAiSS, AtVOCSS, and mLEASS were further analysed. For the LEA gene of siliques under short-term treatment, 55 and 51 differentially expressed lncRNAs in samples ATLEASS and mLEASS were detected compared with WTSS, respectively. For the LEA gene of siliques under short-term treatment, 55 and 51 differentially expressed lncRNAs in samples ATLEASS and mLEASS were detected compared with WTSS, respectively. Of which, 16 lncRNAs were shared (Figures 3C,D, 4B). The results indicated that the changes of target genes of lncRNAs that effected by VOC was mainly occurred from long-term drought treatment.

In silique samples focussing on the VOC gene under short-term treatment 22 and 8 differentially expressed lncRNAs for samples AtVOCRNAiSS and AtVOCSS were detected compared with WTSS, respectively. 175 and 342 differentially expressed lncRNAs in AtVOCRNAiSL and AtVOCSL under long-term treatment conditions were detected (Figures 3G,H, 4D).

Effects of lncRNA Expression Level on Lipid Metabolism and Photosynthetic Carbon Sequestration

It would be enhanced the biosynthesis and attenuated degradation of fatty acid (FA) in the LEA overexpression lines as revealed in our previous study (Liang et al., 2019). KEGG pathway analysis showed that a number of key enzyme
genes that involved in FA synthesis and degradation pathways were differentially expressed in these LEA-overexpressing plants. Interestingly, based on IncRNA target gene prediction results, we also discovered that several genes that differentially expressed in the FA synthesis and degradation pathways were regulated by IncRNAs (Figure 5A). These IncRNAs were also differentially expressed in LEA-overexpressing plants. For example, AT4G13180 [NAD (P) -binding Rossmann-fold superfamily protein] was regulated by five differentially expressed IncRNAs.

VOC genes were actively involved in FA degradation and FA elongation, and multiple genes related to FA degradation were downregulated in VOC over-expressed samples (Liang et al., 2019). The IncRNA analysis revealed that only few FA-related genes were regulated by IncRNA in VOC over-expressed samples (Figure 5B). Ten differentially expressed IncRNAs exhibited a regulatory function on AT4G13180. Moreover, the regulation patterns of these IncRNAs on AT4G13180 were not consistent. The IncRNAs AT1G09627, AT3G24518, and AT2G35945 were downregulated in AtVOCSL, and IncRNA MSTRG.35793 was upregulated in AtVOCSL.

The KEGG pathway analysis of the IncRNA target genes also showed that the genes involved in carbon fixation and chlorophyll metabolism of photosynthetic organisms could be regulated by multiple IncRNAs. Most of the IncRNAs that regulate these genes were upregulated in LEA-overexpressing samples (Figure 5C). However, the expression level of IncRNAs in samples did not exhibit a significant difference under short-term drought conditions. In long-term drought conditions, IncRNAs in AtLEA overexpressing samples tended to play a regulatory role (Figure 5C) to assist the plants to obtain a stronger photosynthetic capacity and thus stronger drought resistance.

Metabolism of glyoxylic acid and dicarboxylic acid as well as plant hormone signal transduction, was vigorous in the leaves of transgenic rapeseed VOC gene overexpression samples. Additionally, the results of IncRNA target genes analysis indicated that the extensively downregulated IncRNAs were involved in the regulation of genes involved in the metabolism of glyoxylate, dicarboxylic acid, and transduction of plant hormone signals (Figure 5D). In the short-term drought treatment, AGT, GOX1, GOX2, and PMDH2 genes were regulated by several upregulated IncRNAs, which further revealed that
glyoxylate metabolism and oxygen-related metabolic processes were affected by VOC genes (Figure 5D).

**GO Enrichment of Target Genes of Differentially Expressed lncRNAs**

GO analysis was performed on the target genes of differentially expressed lncRNAs. In the silique of LEA-overexpressing plants, genes regulated by upregulated lncRNA under short-term or long-term drought treatment were significantly enriched in transferase activity, transferring acyl groups, and acyl groups converted into alkyl groups on transfer (Figures 6C,D). Moreover, under short-term drought treatment, downregulated lncRNA also affected the genes that related to the regulation of endosperm development, lipid transport, and lipid localisation in LEA-overexpressing plants. In contrast, lncRNA of the mutant plants under long-term treatment did not exhibit a significant effect on the functions of these genes, but under short-term treatment, a downregulating effect on genes with functions such as regulation of endosperm development was observed.

In leaves, overexpression of LEA affected multiple genes that related to photosynthesis and stress resistance (Figures 6A,B). Under short-term and long-term treatment conditions, the target genes of up-regulated lncRNA in the chloroplast envelope, chloroplast, photosynthetic membrane and chloroplast were enriched. Moreover, additional functions such as response to stress and oxygen-containing compounds were also regulated by lncRNA during short-term treatment. These results suggested that a number of genes related to stress resistance are rapidly regulated by lncRNA in Arabidopsis in early stage of treatment under the condition of LEA overexpression, while stress responses that are based on the lncRNA regulation are fewer in the mutant plants under short-term drought treatment.

Compared with LEA, the overexpression of VOC genes induced more lncRNAs in leaves (especially upregulated lncRNA) to participate in the regulation of photosynthesis and stress-related genes (Figures 6E,F). Under short-term treatment, the target genes in AtVOC over-expressing plants were mainly participated in the regulation of photosynthesis. Notably, in AtVOCRNAi plants, short-term treatment did not stimulate the regulation of stress and photosynthesis by lncRNA, but was consistent with AtVOCLS under long-term conditions (Figures 6G,H).

AtVOC over-expressing plants produced upregulated lncRNA mostly under long-term drought treatment, which significantly
regulated the carbohydrate biosynthetic process, response to salicylic acid, and other functions. For AtVOCRNAi-treated plants, regardless of short-term or long-term drought treatment, down-regulated lncRNA were produced in response to some of the above functions, indicating that the suppression of the VOC gene had a negative effect on short-term stress and long-term adaptation of plants, which was not conducive to plant resistance to stress.

Specific Expression Pattern of lncRNA Trans-Regulatory Target Genes

The trans-regulatory target genes of the differentially expressed lncRNAs were predicted by the absolute values of correlation coefficient between lncRNA and mRNA expression values. A heatmap was used to show the expression pattern of differentially expressed lncRNAs and its target genes (Figure 7). The figure indicates that the expression pattern of these target
genes were line-specific. The trans-regulatory target genes were almost all high expressed in WTLS, mLEALS, AtVOCRNAiLS, and WTSS (Figures 7A, C, D). Meanwhile, as Figure 7 shows, the expression level of IncRNAs were lower than its trans-regulatory target genes.

DISCUSSION

The stomata of LEA and VOC-OE transgenic lines were regularly closed under drought conditions, which could maintain their normal shape and function, while the leaf surface of the wide type were possessed more wrinkles and tended to dry up under drought stress. Previous studies have shown that a number of drought marker genes, including RD29A, SnRK2.6, and RbohD, were highly expressed in the OE line (Liang et al., 2019). However, it was mainly focussed on the changes in the expression of coding genes and essential pathways, such as ABA signalling and photosynthesis pathways. In the present study, we focussed on the changes of IncRNA expression and the effects of these differentially expressed IncRNAs on the regulation of coding genes against drought. The previous study showed that VOC genes were able to affect late silique development under drought conditions (Liang et al., 2019). The present results
further suggest that lncRNA is more likely to participate in the regulation of \textit{VOC} genes during late silique development. At the same time, the present results shown that compared to the lncRNA, the mRNAs had a larger exon number and a longer gene length (Figures 1, 2A,B), which concurs with a previous study (Lagarde et al., 2017). An expression difference between mRNA and lncRNA is also consistent with previous reports (Deng et al., 2018). The identified lncRNA carries a stronger tissue-specificity of expression (Figure 2C), which is consistent with previous findings that the expression pattern is heterogeneous between lncRNA and mRNA (Chen et al., 2018).

Studies on various plants, such as rice and cotton have shown that lncRNAs are essential to the resistance of plants to drought stress (Chen et al., 2018; Deng et al., 2018). Predicting the function of lncRNA target genes could accelerate the study of lncRNAs. The predicated possible target genes of differentially expressed lncRNAs revealed that some target genes were significantly enriched in different pathways. Photosynthesis is fundamental in the synthesis of carbohydrates, which is a critical raw material for plants to further synthesise fatty acids. The photosynthesis-related genes analysis results indicated that the carbon fixation and chlorophyll metabolism of photosynthetic organisms were upregulated in LEA overexpression lines, and LEA was able to activate plants to improve photosynthesis capacity under drought stress (Liang et al., 2019). Furthermore, under adverse environments, lncRNA participates in regulating photosynthetic pathways, which are essential for plants to resist stress (Scherer et al., 1984; Colom and Vazana, 2003; Xu et al., 2019; Zeng et al., 2019). When the genes are far away from lncRNAs, regulation occurs through trans-action, and then the expression levels of target genes were changed. These trans-action lncRNAs can guide ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complexes to specific genome locations or recruit chromatin-modifying enzymes to target genes (Wu et al., 2020). This study revealed that, a large number of up-regulated lncRNAs were involved in trans-regulation of target genes involved in photosynthesis and chlorophyll synthesis pathways in \textit{LEA}-overexpressing plants, among which, some genes were trans-regulated by multiple lncRNAs. \textit{CRD1} and \textit{CHLM} are essential genes in the process of chlorophyll synthesis (Kobayashi and Masuda, 2016; Herbst et al., 2018; Zubo et al., 2018), the present study revealed that the \textit{CRD1} was trans-regulated by eight lncRNAs including AT1G07993, AT1G09513, AT5G15845, AT2G08665, AT1G05207, AT3G08795, AT5G02095, and AT2G23672; and the \textit{CHLM} was trans-regulated by six lncRNAs including AT1G07693, AT1G09657, AT5G07885, AT1G09927, AT5G092095, and AT2G23672. In \textit{Cucumis}, the \textit{CHLM} was regulated by LINC-chc01G00070-1 when the plant response to allopolyploidyization, indicating that \textit{CHLM} is involved in the lncRNA-mediated regulatory mechanisms (Wang et al., 2020). The fact that a large number of upregulated lncRNAs were involved in the regulation of these genes, further reveals that lncRNA regulation of the photosynthesis and chlorophyll synthesis pathways is a key factor in triggering the stress response in plants. Pearson correlation analysis also showed that these lncRNAs were positively correlated with \textit{CRD1} and \textit{CHLM}. In addition, \textit{CRD1} and \textit{CHLM} were significantly upregulated in \textit{LEA}-overexpressing lines. The maintenance of chlorophyll synthesis in leaves may assist plants to enhance photosynthesis in arid environments, thereby further promoting the accumulation of FA. The lncRNA in \textit{LEA-OE} and \textit{VOC-OE} strains exhibited a positive regulatory effect on photosynthetic efficiency, which could further enhance the drought resistance and promote lipid accumulation.
In the leaves of VOC-overexpressing plants, lncRNA also showed very interesting results in the regulation of methylglyoxal (MG). MG is a highly cytotoxic and mutagenic compound. Under normal growth conditions, the basal level of MG in plants is low, and it acts as an important signalling molecule. However, when the plant is subjected to abiotic stress, MG can accumulate, and acts as a toxic molecule under this situations, inhibiting different developmental processes, including seed germination, photosynthesis, and root growth (Hoque et al., 2016; Li et al., 2017), a large number of down-regulated lncRNAs in VOC-OE were involved in the regulation of the MG synthesis pathway were observed. The key genes, including SHM1, GOX2, and GS2, involved in the methylglyoxal synthesis pathway, were all regulated by multiple down-regulated lncRNAs, which were positively correlated with the expression levels of SHM1, GOX2, and GS2. Through such regulation, the accumulation of MG was reduced. In another study, the GOX2 was trans-regulated by lncRNA MSTRG.14375 under infection of downy mildew in Chinese cabbage (Zhang et al., 2021). That study shows that GOX2 is the target of lncRNA regulation in response to stress. These results indicated that when VOC is overexpressed in Arabidopsis, lncRNAs produced under drought stress were able to regulate the glyoxylate enzyme system to a certain
extent, and thus could be a factor in the response to drought stress.

Previous studies (Liang et al., 2019) have demonstrated that the oil content of LEA-OE and VOC-OE lines has increased. Under drought stress conditions, LEA-OE would drive seeds to form a stable and thick cell membrane to protect lipid synthesis in plants. In the siliques of the VOC and LEA overexpression lines, IncRNAs were not intensively involved in the regulation of the fatty acid synthesis pathway. However, but a few genes were still regulated by multiple differentially expressed IncRNAs, for example in LEA-OE, AT4G13180 was regulated by seven IncRNAs, of which five were upregulated, both under long-term and short-term drought treatments, and this promoted fatty acid synthesis.

CONCLUSION

In summary, the changes in lncRNA caused by the expression of LEA and VOC genes may be related to the drought tolerance and oil content of Arabidopsis thaliana, and the lines that overexpressed these two genes showed strong drought resistance and oil production. LEA and VOC increase photosynthetic efficiency and reduce reactive oxygen species under drought stress. For future breeding purposes, one of the regulatory methods to achieve this goal is to regulate the key genes involved in these two pathways through up-regulation and down-regulation of the related IncRNAs. Consequently, these drought-responsive IncRNAs not only played a role in the drought stress, but also promote oil accumulation, which requires further investigation. In short, this study provides a novel perspective for the study of the relationship between oil accumulation and drought resistance from the regulatory relationship between IncRNA and mRNA, offering a new approach to further promote the breeding of oil crops.
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