Determination of monosaccharide composition in human serum by an improved HPLC method and its application as candidate biomarkers for endometrial cancer
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Altered glycan levels in serum have been associated with increased risk of cancer. In this study, we have developed and validated a HPLC-based method to analyze monosaccharide composition (D-mannose, Glucosamine, Galactosamine, Glucuronic acid, D-glucose, D-galactose, D-xylose, L-fucose) in human serum, with L-rhamnose, being used as internal standard. Monosaccharides obtained from hydrolyzed serum samples were derivatized by 1-Phenyl-3-methyl-5-pyrazolone. A ZORBAX XDB-C18 column(150×4.6mm) was used for chromatographic separation with 100 mM ammonium acetate buffer (NH₄Ac-HAc, PH=5.5, solvent A), acetonitrile (ACN, solvent B) as a mobile phase. The calibration standard curves for the eight monosaccharides showed good linearity over the range of 2.5-500 µg/mL with R² > 0.995. The relative standard deviation values for intra-day and inter-day precision were ≤ 5.49%. Recovery was 69.01-108.96%. We observed that this column exhibited high specificity and selectivity to separate monosaccharides from serum. This method was then applied to quantitatively analyze the serum monosaccharide levels in 30 patients with endometrial cancer and 30 matched healthy controls. Statistical analysis indicated that the serum monosaccharide levels were significantly higher in patients compared with healthy controls (P value< 0.0001). Overall, we report here a simple, reliable, low-cost, and reproducible HPLC method for the separation and quantification monosaccharides in the human serum, which has potential value to serve as a screening marker for endometrial cancer.
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Introduction

The American Cancer Society estimates that 65,950 new endometrial cancer (EC) cases will be diagnosed in 2022 and 12,550 will succumb to this dreadful disease. It is estimated that by 2030, EC will be the third most common cancer affecting American women (1). The main clinical symptom of EC is postmenopausal bleeding (PMB), but only about 5%–10% of PMB cases are diagnosed as EC (2). Premenopausal and perimenopausal women may also present with uncommon symptoms such as increased vaginal discharge and hematuria, which are often ignored by patients thereby significantly reducing the chance of early diagnosis (3). The 5-year survival rate has been reported to be about 74%–91% in early stage (stage I-II) and 57%–66% and 20%–26% in late stage (stage III and IV), respectively (4). It has been reported that early diagnosis can markedly improve the therapeutic effect and prognosis (5). Currently, EC is diagnosed by a combination of transvaginal ultrasound scans (TVUS) and endometrial biopsies, which can cause invasiveness and discomfort in postmenopausal women with a visual analogue scale (VAS) pain score of 6.5 (6, 7). In addition, many technical problems (12–23%) and insufficient tissue quantity (16–68%) in obtaining an endometrial biopsy have also been reported (8). Therefore, it is necessary to develop novel non-invasive blood-based biomarkers, which can significantly improve early diagnosis and outcomes, including survival of EC patients (9).

There is currently no routinely used biomarker in EC patients for diagnostic or prognostic purposes (10). The application of CA125 for recurrent EC is largely limited to late detection, as only 10–20% of women with stage I EC and 25% of women with asymptomatic recurrence display elevated serum CA125 levels (11). In addition, there are number of studies supporting the potential clinical application of Human epididymis protein 4 (HE4) in the diagnosis, prognosis, and monitoring of EC. However, current challenges associated with its application include finding the most appropriate serum cutoff as well as changes in serum HE4 based on the various physiological factors, including age and renal function (12). Although the diagnosis and treatment of EC has now shifted from histological typing to molecular typing, the TransPORTEC and ProMisE systems can stratify the risk based on abnormalities in the POLE and p53 genes in patients, both of which show potential implementation as standard practice for the risk stratification in EC patients. However, both these methods remain underdeveloped, and their potential clinical relevance needs to be further confirmed and validated (13). The above genetic classification is rather complex, and with the development of glycomics technology, glycoprotein biomarkers carrying certain specific glycans have shown better clinical potential, as even small changes in glycosylation might contribute to the tumor development and progression given the widespread nature of glycosylation modifications (14).

Glycosylation is an important form of post-translational modifications (PTMs) found in the proteins (15). The variations in protein glycosylation have been associated with regulation of the formal physiological processes such as aging or further linked with various human diseases, including autoimmune diseases (16, 17), infectious diseases (bacteria, viruses, and parasites) (18–20), and malignancies (21). Aberrant glycosylation is a common phenomenon reported in cellular deterioration and tumor development, and this change often precedes glycoprotein expression (22). For instance, the levels of serum O- or N-glycans are different among the healthy individuals and patients suffering from the different type of cancers (23–26). In addition, different N-glycan structures in serum IgGs that have no direct relationships with cancer cells can be useful distinguish healthy individuals from patients with lung, gastric, prostate, ovarian, and breast cancers (27–31). Moreover, several glycan structural changes have been identified on specific proteins in different types of cancer, such as gastric, nasopharyngeal or endometrial cancer (32), and immunoglobulins or glycoregulatory proteins are aberrantly expressed in EC (33, 34). These published reports clearly indicate the diverse glycan structures, abundantly present in the human blood circulation and tissues, and can potentially serve as promising biomolecules for biomarker discovery (35). However, glycan structure-based biomarkers are difficult to translate into clinical use due to the structural heterogeneity found among patients with different blood types and other technical as well as time-consuming issues (36–38).

Even though human serum glycan structures have been found to be difficult to characterize (39), they consist of 9 distinct monosaccharides, i.e., D-Glucose, D-galactose, N-Acetyl-D-glucosamine, N-Acetylated-galactosamine, L-Fucose, D-Mannose, D-Xylose, D-Glucuronic acid, and N-Acetyleneuraminic acid or sialic acid (40). However, few methods have been developed to quantify monosaccharide compositions in the human sera or plasmas for biomarker development. For example, Honda et al. (41, 42) described that commercially available oligosaccharides or glycoprotein could be hydrolyzed to obtain the various component monosaccharides by using an optimized method, which were subsequently labeled with 1-Phenyl-3-methyl-5-pyrazolone (PMP). Based on this, we have developed a reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) method for the analysis monosaccharides in the blood of cancer patients. We subsequently found that plasma
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monosaccharide composition differed in 11 different types of cancer and have successfully applied for a Chinese invention patent (43). In this study, we aimed to develop a simple, reliable, low-cost, and reproducible HPLC method for the separation as well as quantification of monosaccharides in human serum. This method was applied to an exploratory analysis of the serum samples obtained from EC and matched healthy controls to further evaluate its potential role as a biomarker for screening and diagnosis of EC (see Figure 1).

Materials and methods

Reagents and materials

Monosaccharide standards (≥99.5% purity, Sigma Aldrich, USA), including D-mannose (Man), Glucosamine (GlcN), L-rhamnose (Rha, internal standard, IS), Galactosamine (GalN), Glucuronic acid (GlcA), D-glucose (Glc), D-galactose (Gal), D-xylose (Xyl), L-fucose (Fuc), 1-Phenyl-3-methyl-5-pyrazolone (PMP, Sigma Aldrich, USA) were used for experiments. Hydrochloric acid, Sodium hydroxide, Ammonium acetate, Acetic acid, trifluoroacetic acid (TFA), Ammonia and CHCl₃ were obtained from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent (Shanghai, China). HPLC-grade acetonitrile and methanol were purchased from Merck, Germany. MilliQ water was used from AQUELIX system (MILLIPORE, Germany). All other chemicals and reagents were of analytical grade and were commercially procured.

Standard solutions and quality controls

The standard stock solutions of eight monosaccharides (Man, GlcN, GalN, GlcA, Glc, Gal, Xyl and Fuc, 10mg/mL) were
prepared respectively by dissolving each monosaccharide in water. Standard stock working solution was prepared by mixing the eight monosaccharide stock solutions and diluting them with water to 1 mg/mL. The standard curve working solutions were then obtained by making appropriate dilutions of the stock working solution at the concentrations of 500, 250, 100, 50, 25, 10, 5, 2.5, 1, 0.5 µg/mL. The low, medium, and high concentration levels of quality control (QC) samples were prepared by applying the same method as the standards at 2.5, 25 and 250 µg/mL, respectively. An internal standard (IS) solution was prepared by mixing the different reagents consisting of 1 mg/L Rha in 2 mol/L TFA as hydrolysis reagent. All standards and samples were filtered through a 0.22 µm PVDF syringe filter (Membrane Solutions, TX, USA) before being injected into HPLC system.

Serum samples

Fasting venous blood was collected from EC patients in the morning before surgery and placed in a sterile procoagulant tube. The serum specimens were collected by centrifugation at 1000 g for 10 min after incubating for coagulation, and then the supernatants were aliquoted and stored at -80°C for further analysis. The serum samples from the matched healthy people were collected in the same way as EC group.

Monosaccharides preparation

1-Phenyl-3-methyl-5-pyrazolone (PMP)-derivatized monosaccharides were prepared by the method described previously (42) with minor modifications. Briefly, an aliquot (10 µL) of serum, standard solution or QCs were mixed with 1 mL of the internal standard solution, respectively. The mixed solution was then incubated at 105°C for 6 h. The resulting reaction solutions were cooled to room temperature and then evaporated to dryness. The residual TFA in the dried samples was further removed in the centrifugal concentrator by adding 200 µL methanol to each sample for three times.

Each dried standard, QCs or serum was derivatized with PMP by adding 60 µL PMP solution (0.5 mM dissolved in methanol) and 40 µL of 0.3 M sodium hydroxide solution, followed by vortexing, and incubation at 70°C for 90 min. The reaction was neutralized by adding 40 µL hydrochloric acid (0.3 M), and vortexing for at least 5 s. The mixtures were then dried in the centrifugal concentrator at 50°C for 30 min. The dried residues were reconstituted in water (700 µL) and CHCl₃ (500 µL) and then shaken vigorously. The mixture was then centrifuged at 12,380 g for 10 min, and then the chloroform layer was discarded. This extraction process was performed three times to ensure the complete removal of PMP. Finally, the supernatant was centrifuged at 12,380 g for 10 min and the supernatant was collected for HPLC analysis.

Chromatographic conditions

The chromatographic fractionation was accomplished by using an Agilent 1260 infinity HPLC system (quaternary pump G1311C, high-performance auto-sampler G1367E, thermostatic column compartment G1316A, UV detector DAD, G1315D, Germany) equipped with ZORBAX XDB-C18 column (150×4.6 mm, 5 µm particle size, Agilent) (44). The separations were performed at 37°C with gradient elution at a flow rate of 1 mL/min for 55 min using 100 mM ammonium acetate buffer (NH₄Ac-HAc, pH=5.5, solvent A), acetonitrile (ACN, solvent B). The volume of each specimen was 20 µL. The applied gradient was then initiated with 0 → 40 → 40.1 → 55 min, corresponding to the concentration gradient of B, 15% → 22% → 15% → 15% and detected at λ=245 nm. The peaks were assigned by comparing to a standard mixture of the monosaccharide standards. The relative quantification was conducted using the open-source Agilent Chem Station software Edition B.04.02 SP1 (Agilent, Germany).

Method validation

Assay validation was conducted according to the FDA guidelines for bioanalytical method validation guidance for industry (45–47). Assay validation was performed with respect to the linearity, limit of detection (LOD), and limit of quantification (LOQ), recovery, precision, and stability.

Specificity

Specificity refers to the ability of the analytical method used to correctly determine the analyte in the presence of other components (e.g., endogenous substances, degradation products, etc.). A standard concentration of 250 µg/mL internal standard solution and six samples from EC patients and normal serum were mixed and homogenized. Thereafter, the chromatograms of the three groups of samples were compared after HPLC analysis according to the monosaccharides preparation method.

Linearity of calibration curve

Linearity indicates a proportional relationship between the detection response value and monosaccharide concentration in the sample within the concentration range. The standard curve working solutions mixed with 1 mL of the internal standard solution were measured. Y represented the ratio of monosaccharide peak area A_i to IS peak area A_IS, X represented the ratio of monosaccharide concentration C_i to IS concentration C_IS, and regression calculation IS was carried out by the least square method of “1/X^2 weighting”. Linear equation and correlation coefficient were recorded. The linearity was considered...
satisfactory when the correlation coefficients ($R^2$) were above 0.99 over the concentration range, linear concentration range should cover the expected concentration range.

**LOD, LOQ and recovery rate**

Limit of Detection (LOD) refers to the minimum amount of monosaccharide that can be detected in the sample, Limit of quantification (LOQ) refers to the minimum amount of monosaccharide to be measured in the sample that can be quantitatively detected. Generally, LOD and LOQ are determined by the corresponding concentration when signal-to-noise (S/N) ratio of 3 and 10 respectively has been reached.

To examine the recovery rate, six different batches of QC samples, mixed serum (six patients and healthy controls) and QC samples added into the mixed serum were prepared, respectively. The three group samples were analyzed according to the monosaccharide preparation method, and the mean peak areas of each monosaccharide in the three groups were labeled as A, B, and C, respectively. According to the mean peak area, the recovery of Man, GlcN, GalN, GlcA, Glc, Gal, Xyl and Fuc was calculated according to the formula:

$$\text{Recovery rate} \% = \frac{C}{(A + B)} \times 100 \%$$

**Precision**

Quality control samples 2.5, 25 and 250µg/mL were treated according to the monosaccharide preparation method. Each concentration was analyzed in parallel for five different times. The actual concentration of the quality control samples was calculated according to the mean standard curve on that very day. During the three days of methodological investigation, the above procedures were performed every day. The precision RSD of the assay was calculated, the intra-day precision was obtained finally the inter-day precision and accuracy were calculated.

**Stability**

**Stock solution stability.** To determine the stability of the stock solution, the stock solutions of different dates were also compared: one was freshly prepared whereas the other was stored at 4°C for two months. The experiment was carried out in triplicates.

**Freeze–thaw stability.** QC samples prepared in mixed human serum after 3 cycles of freezing (-80°C) and thawing (room temperature) were compared with the freshly prepared samples at the same concentration. The experiment was carried out in triplicates.

**Short-term (bench-top) stability.** QC samples in the mixed human serum were freshly prepared and left on the bench-top at room temperature for 24 h. All the samples were compared with the freshly prepared samples at the same concentrations. Experiments were conducted in three times.

**Processed samples stability.** The stability of the treated samples was determined by comparing the freshly obtained serum extracts with the remaining serum extracts in the automatic sampler at the room temperature for 24 h. The experiment was carried out in triplicates.

**Long-term storage stability.** QC samples of the monosaccharide in mixed human serum were prepared and stored at -80°C for 2 weeks. All the samples were compared with the freshly prepared samples of the same concentration. The experiment was carried out in triplicates.

**Assay application in quantification of clinical human serum samples**

This validated HPLC method was applied to quantify the monosaccharide concentrations in a panel of 60 serum samples from EC patients (n = 30) and matched healthy controls (n = 30). Informed consent was obtained from each patient included in the study. The study protocol conforms to the ethical guidelines of the 1975 Declaration of Helsinki and was conducted in accordance with the guidelines set by the Ethics Committee of the Affiliated Hospital of Qingdao University and the samples were subjected to the informed consent procedure before collection and signed a written informed consent form with the patients.

Inclusion criteria: All the participants were those who visited the Affiliated Hospital of Qingdao University. All EC patients included in the study group were subjected to the clinical and pathological review, from first onset of symptoms to hospitalization in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology who had not received chemotherapy or radiotherapy before recruitment. During the same period, healthy individuals who matched age and menopausal status of the study group, displayed no signs or symptoms of endometrial disease and normal pelvic color ultrasound findings served as the control group. Exclusion criteria (1): Diagnosed with other benign and malignant tumors; (2) Preoperative chemoradiotherapy and targeted therapy; (3) anemia patients with blood transfusion therapy and oral iron therapy; (4) Diagnosed with other systemic diseases, with incomplete medical records; (5) Preoperative signs of acute and chronic infection; (6) Diagnosed with other gynecological diseases, pregnancy diseases, lactating pregnant women.

**Statistical analysis**

All the data was analyzed with SPSS statistical software (version 23.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA), GraphPad Prism 8.0 (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). We
used the multi-sample Shapiro-Wilk test to examine the normality of continuous variables. The continuous variables have been presented as mean ± standard deviation or median (25th percentile, 75th percentile). Student’s t test (normal distribution) or Mann-Whitney U test (skew distribution) was used to compare the difference of continuous variables and p-values < 0.05 were considered as statistically significant.

Results and discussion

Chromatography

An equal concentration mixture of eight different monosaccharides (Man, GlcN, GalN, GlcA, Glc, Gal, Xyl, and Fuc) were labeled with PMP and separated by reverse-phase HPLC. All the PMP-labeled monosaccharides were separated with a baseline retention time of at least 1 min. Inter-run reproducibility regarding retention times was observed to be very good for all the monosaccharides. The peaks of all monosaccharides were sharp and symmetric, resolutions >1.5. Since Rha is not reported to be present in the glycoproteins and other glycoconjugates, it was included in the mixture as an internal standard to facilitate the sample quantification. During acid hydrolysis, N-acetylglicosamine and N-acetyl galactosamine were found to lose their acetyl groups, generating glucosamine and galactosamine, Glucuronic acid and xylose were destroyed to varying degrees (42). Sialic acid is an acid-labile ketose that was destroyed during hydrolysis and its content was not detected.

In addition, the comparison of Figures 2A–D revealed that no distinct interfering peaks appeared at the corresponding retention times of the eight monosaccharides and the internal standard, thus indicating that the endogenous substances of the serum species did not directly interfere with the determination of the eight monosaccharides and the internal standard rhamnose. Figure 2 shows the chromatogram representatives from: (A) Monosaccharide standards (250 μg/mL); (B) Internal standard; (C) Healthy control and (D) EC patient serum sample with the internal standard.

Linearity, LOD and LOQ

The linearity of the calibration curves was established over the concentration range of 2.5–500 μg/mL with the correlation coefficient values $R^2 > 0.995$. The LOD and LOQ for each of the eight monosaccharides were in the range of 0.74–1.24 μg/mL and 1.98–3.74 μg/mL, respectively. The linear range, correlation
Precision and recovery rate

The intra- and inter-day precision and relative recovery of eight monosaccharides at three concentration levels have been shown in Table 2. The intra-day precision (coefficient of variation, CV) of the developed method was calculated and found to be over the range of 0.01−5.49%, whereas the inter-day precision (CV) ranged between 0.0007 and 4.18%. These results demonstrated the acceptable reproducibility of the absolute peak area, thus indicating that the precision of the method was satisfactory. The method also depicted acceptable accuracy with the recovery values of three concentration levels of QC samples ranged from 69.01−108.96% for all the eight monosaccharides. Precision and recovery were all found to be within the acceptable range, thereby indicating that the current method was reliable and reproducible.

Stability

Stock solution stability. It was found that compared to the samples obtained from freshly prepared stock solution, those obtained from the stock solution stored at 4°C for 2 months displayed 81.88−100.01% (Table 3), thus indicating that the monosaccharides stock solution was stable at 4°C for a period of at least 2 months.

Freeze-thaw stability. This group of QC samples prepared in the combined human serum showed an average of eight monosaccharides level of 97.89-105.77%, thus indicating that eight monosaccharides in the human serum were stable even after three freeze-thaw cycles.

Short-term (bench-top) stability. The stability of eight monosaccharides of the three groups of QC samples in the mixed human serum was 97.77-102.92%, respectively. This data indicated that eight monosaccharides in the human serum could be stabilized at room temperature for at least 24 h on the laboratory bench.

Processed samples stability. The serum extracts left on the workbench at room temperature for 24 h showed an average of 100.03-126.71% eight monosaccharides compared to the freshly prepared serum extracts, thus indicating that treated monosaccharides serum extracts were stable at the room temperature for at least 24 h.

Long term storage stability. QC samples from this group of mixed human serum showed an average remaining monosaccharides level of 81.24-103.69%, thus indicating that monosaccharides in the human serum was stable for at least 14 days at -80°C.

All validation results demonstrated that the established method was sufficiently linear, sensitive, precise, recovery and stability for the intended monosaccharide analysis.

Application in Serum of Endometrial cancer patients and healthy controls

In this study, we have analyzed the serum monosaccharide composition of 30 primary EC patients and 30 matched healthy controls using the developed method (Table 4). We observed that Man, GlcN, Glc, and Gal were the four most abundant structures and effectively constituted most of the monosaccharide pool. The four monosaccharides GalN, GlcA, Xyl, and Fuc were detected in small amounts in the serum samples. GlcA was destroyed to varying degrees below the limit of quantitation during the hydrolysis procedure. The serum expression levels of these six monosaccharides (Man, GlcN, GalN, Glc, Gal, and Fuc) were found to be significantly higher in cases in comparison to controls. Fuc was expressed at 3.6-fold higher levels in EC patients than in controls, about 3.0-fold in Man and Gal, and about 2.0-fold in GlcN and GalN and Glc, whereas only Xyl expression levels were significantly lower in EC patients than in controls (P value<0.0001), respectively.

| Monosaccharide | Retention Time (min) | Correlation coefficient $R^2$ | Concentration range (µg/mL) | LOD (µg/mL) | LOQ (µg/mL) |
|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Man            | 15.37 ± 0.05         | 0.9999                       | 2.5-500                     | 0.85        | 1.98        |
| GlcN           | 17.15 ± 0.04         | 0.9995                       | 2.5-500                     | 0.88        | 2.19        |
| GalN           | 22.08 ± 0.06         | 0.9998                       | 5-500                       | 0.91        | 2.79        |
| GlcA           | 24.27 ± 0.05         | 0.9994                       | 5-500                       | 1.24        | 3.74        |
| Glc            | 29.53 ± 0.06         | 0.9998                       | 2.5-500                     | 0.86        | 2.26        |
| Gal            | 31.25 ± 0.07         | 0.9991                       | 2.5-500                     | 0.87        | 2.27        |
| Xyl            | 33.33 ± 0.07         | 0.9982                       | 2.5-500                     | 0.78        | 2.10        |
| Fuc            | 36.83 ± 0.07         | 0.9993                       | 2.5-500                     | 0.74        | 2.14        |
Discussion

The process of finding confounder insensitive and diagnostically reliable biomarkers of EC cancer is of huge importance to clinical care. Glycans are the most abundant and structurally dynamic biomolecules, which have been found to be structurally different in physiological and pathological conditions (48). Thus, serum glycans have been recognized as attractive biomolecules for biomarker discovery. However, over 30 clinically used serum cancer biomarkers have relatively low sensitivity and specificity due to their limited glycan information contents (39, 49). Currently, nearly all prior studies related to the serum glycans as possible cancer biomarkers have been primarily focused on resolving complicated serum glycan structures by using complicated preparation procedures and expensive instrumentations, such as LC-MS, which makes it difficult to translate promising research results into clinical applications. Thus, we adopted a novel approach to simplify the serum glycan information into quantitative monosaccharide compositions.

The bottleneck of our approach was to optimize the serum glycan hydrolysis conditions. In our previous study, we have used a Picotag station to optimize acid hydrolysis conditions for glycosaminoglycans (50–52). By using the Picotag station-based hydrolysis condition, we found that the serum GlcN and GalN concentrations in patients with lung cancers were significantly higher as compared to the healthy individuals and the same assay was also used to detect the presence of contaminant in heparin (50). Since no Picotag station was available in China, we have optimized a workable hydrolysis condition for analyzing the serum or plasma samples. Moreover, even after using the optimized acidolysis condition, we found that Sialic acid was destroyed, followed by varying degrees of loss of GlcA and Xyl. Thus, further optimizing acid hydrolysis condition is desirable for further clinical applications.

Our study has several advantages for blood circulation-based biomarker development. First, our method included a simple PMP-labeling procedure, a clean chromatograph with baseline separation of all the monosaccharides, good linearity, precision, recovery, and stability. Second, this method can be readily

| Monosaccharide | Stock solution stability | Freeze-thaw stability/% | Short-term (bench-top) stability/% | Processed sample stability/% | Long-term storage stability/% |
|---------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Man           | 89.84                  | 97.29                  | 88.85                         | 101.21                      | 100.05                        |
| GlnN          | 86.95                  | 91.85                  | 100.97                        | 100.86                      | 99.75                         |
| GalN          | 91.21                  | 102.65                 | 101.15                        | 99.94                       | 99.86                         |
| GlcA          | 100.01                 | 100.86                 | 92.26                         | 103.30                      | 92.83                         |
| Glc           | 91.26                  | 94.21                  | 103.16                        | 101.32                      | 98.60                         |
| Gal           | 89.21                  | 97.50                  | 99.98                         | 101.13                      | 101.36                        |
| Xyl           | 84.24                  | 103.43                 | 115.00                        | 98.89                       | 105.21                        |
| Fuc           | 81.88                  | 101.43                 | 113.55                        | 99.40                       | 108.26                        |

The Results of Stability study of monosaccharides in human spiked serum:

| Monosaccharide | Stock solution stability | Freeze-thaw stability/% | Short-term (bench-top) stability/% | Processed sample stability/% | Long-term storage stability/% |
|---------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Man           | 89.84                  | 97.29                  | 88.85                         | 101.21                      | 100.05                        |
| GlnN          | 86.95                  | 91.85                  | 100.97                        | 100.86                      | 99.75                         |
| GalN          | 91.21                  | 102.65                 | 101.15                        | 99.94                       | 99.86                         |
| GlcA          | 100.01                 | 100.86                 | 92.26                         | 103.30                      | 92.83                         |
| Glc           | 91.26                  | 94.21                  | 103.16                        | 101.32                      | 98.60                         |
| Gal           | 89.21                  | 97.50                  | 99.98                         | 101.13                      | 101.36                        |
| Xyl           | 84.24                  | 103.43                 | 115.00                        | 98.89                       | 105.21                        |
| Fuc           | 81.88                  | 101.43                 | 113.55                        | 99.40                       | 108.26                        |
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implemented in any laboratory with a typical HPLC equipment. Third, only 10 μL of serum from either EC patients or healthy individuals were used for the assay without any other manipulations. Fourth, the data of eight monosaccharides from the serum sample were obtained simultaneously from a single HPLC profile, which can effectively generate quantitative data report for each patient. Moreover, we have found based on the results of our prior studies that monosaccharide composition of hydrolyzed serum glycans could serve as biomarkers for colorectal cancer (53). Thus, to further understand the molecular mechanisms, we have employed tumor and non-tumor mouse models to identify the potential source of abnormal serum glycans in different diseases (54). We found that livers and spleens but not tumors in the mouse model were primarily responsible for the increased monosaccharide concentrations of hydrolyzed plasma glycans (55).

In conclusion, we have developed a simple, reliable, low-cost, and reproducible HPLC method for the separation and quantification monosaccharides in the human serum, which may be suitable for clinical specimen analysis. To our knowledge, this is the first individual HPLC method developed and applied to quantify the concentration levels of eight monosaccharide compositions in the clinical human serum samples. The findings of this study provided preliminary evidence that EC patients have significantly elevated levels of monosaccharides in addition to xylose in comparison to the healthy controls, thereby suggesting that the serum levels of monosaccharide composition might prove to be useful markers for conducting the screening and diagnosing the disease. These results are promising, but further validation studies in larger and independent series of patient samples are warranted and are currently ongoing.
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### TABLE 4 Monosaccharide concentrations in endometrial cancer patients.

| Monosaccharide | Healthy (n=30, Mean (SD), μg/mL) | EC (n=30, Mean (SD), μg/mL) | P-value |
|----------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| Man            | 42.85 (8.45)                    | 127.7 (33.52)               | <0.0001 |
| GlcN           | 112.6 (14.30)                   | 220.6 (47.35)               | <0.0001 |
| GalN           | 7.81 (1.48)                     | 13.48 (1.85)                | <0.0001 |
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