Activation of Platelet-Derived Growth Factor Receptor Alpha Contributes to Liver Fibrosis
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Abstract

Chronic liver injury leads to fibrosis, cirrhosis, and loss of liver function. Liver cirrhosis is the 12th leading cause of death in the United States, and it is the primary risk factor for developing liver cancer. Fibrosis and cirrhosis result from activation of hepatic stellate cells (HSCs), which are the primary collagen producing cell type in the liver. Here, we show that platelet-derived growth factor receptor α (PDGFRα) is expressed by human HSCs, and PDGFRα expression is elevated in human liver disease. Using a green fluorescent protein (GFP) reporter mouse strain, we evaluated the role of PDGFRα in liver disease in mice and found that mouse HSCs express PDGFRα and expression is upregulated during carbon tetrachloride (CCL4) induced liver injury and fibrosis injection. This fibrotic response is reduced in Pdgfrα heterozygous mice, consistent with the hypothesis that liver fibrosis requires upregulation and activation of PDGFRα. These results indicate that Pdgfrα expression is important in the fibrotic response to liver injury in humans and mice, and suggest that blocking PDGFRα-specific signaling pathways in HSCs may provide therapeutic benefit for patients with chronic liver disease.
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Introduction

Chronic liver injury is a major cause of morbidity and mortality in the US and worldwide, due to complications of liver fibrosis, cirrhosis, and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) [1]. To date, there are no effective treatments for patients with liver fibrosis, so a better understanding of pathways that regulate fibrosis has great clinical potential [2]. Many inflammatory cytokines and growth factors are released during liver injury, including platelet derived growth factors (PDGFs), which are potent mitogens for hepatic stellate cells [HSCs] [2,3]. The PDGF family of ligands and receptors plays a central role in repair after injury, and are key regulators of the formation of connective tissue [4,5]. Elevated platelet-derived growth factor receptor (PDGFR) expression is detected in human heart disease, pulmonary fibrosis, and kidney fibrosis [6-8], and blocking PDGFR signaling decreases collagen deposition after myocardial infarct, in pulmonary fibrosis, and in kidney fibrosis [9–11]. Thus, targeting the PDGF pathway may modulate liver fibrosis.

There are five known functional ligand dimers in the PDGF family, -AA, -AB, -BB, -CC, and -DD, which bind cell surface receptor tyrosine kinases comprised of PDGFRα and PDGFRβ subunits [12]. PDGFs stimulate the migration and proliferation of mesenchymal cells during development [13]. Loss of PDGFRs leads to significant abnormalities in mice [14,15]. PDGFRβ is critical to vascular and hematopoietic development, and cell specific deletion or activation of PDGFRβ results in failure or increased pericyte and vascular smooth muscle cell coverage of blood vessels in mice [14,16,17]. PDGFRα is required for migration and survival of neural crest cells and for skeletal development, and cell specific deletion of PDGFRα decreases β-cell proliferation in the pancreas and ventricular septation of the heart [15,18,19]. Constitutive activation of PDGFRα causes fibrosis that is particularly noticeable in intestine, skin, muscle and heart, but activation has to be conditionally induced in late prenatal or adult animals, as constitutive PDGFRα activation causes lethality [5]. Deleting one allele of Pdgfrα in mice does not affect development, unlike the observed phenotype in homozygous knockout mice [15,20]. PDGFR signal transduction pathways play a prominent role in fibrosis [21]. It has been suggested that PDGFRα signaling is more likely to induce fibrosis than PDGFRβ [22], however this notion has not been conclusively demonstrated in the liver. In summary, PDGFR signaling is tightly regulated by abundance and degree of signal transduction, and perturbing either results in developmental defects and organ dysfunction.

In the present study we analyzed PDGFR in human liver disease, human liver cell lines, and a mouse model of liver injury and fibrosis. We found increased PDGFRα in human liver specimens...
with fibrosis and cirrhosis. PDGFRα is primarily expressed in HSCs, and Pdgfrα expression increased in injured mouse livers. We investigated the role of PDGFRα in liver fibrosis using mice with only one allele of Pdgfrα, and found that reducing Pdgfrα copy number inhibits liver fibrosis in mice. Together our data suggest that PDGFRα inhibitors could be an effective means to reduce liver fibrosis in patients.

Materials and Methods

Animals

Mice were housed in a specific pathogen-free environment overseen by the Department of Comparative Medicine at the University of Washington with IACUC approval under protocol #4295-01. Mice that express nuclear localized green fluorescent

Figure 1. Perisinusoidal PDGFRα expression is localized to fibrotic or cirrhotic areas in tumor specimens by IHC. A) Uninjured (non-diseased) human liver demonstrate focal PDGFRα immunoreactivity (arrow) in NPCs but not hepatocytes. Resected tumor specimens show PDGFRα positive cells within fibrotic areas (arrow) (B), and cirrhotic areas (C), with sinusoidal PDGFRα immunoreactivity (arrows) within fibrotic septa (D). E and F) A resected tumor specimen shows stromal PDGFRα immunoreactivity (arrows). All scale bars are 50 μm. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0092925.g001
protein (GFP) driven by the endogenous \textit{Pdgfr}a promoter, \textit{Pdgfr}a\textsuperscript{GFP}, were purchased from the Jackson Laboratory (007669) [20]. Either wild type (WT) littermates that retain both \textit{Pdgfr}a alleles, or control mice, \textit{i.e.} male C57BL/6 mice purchased from the Jackson Laboratory (000664), were used as experimental controls. To induce fibrosis, mice were injected (i.p.) with 10 \(\mu\)l/g body weight CCl\(_4\) (Sigma-Aldrich) diluted in olive oil 10% (v/v), either one time (acute injury) or twice weekly for four or six weeks (chronic injury). Olive oil-injected animals served as controls for CCl\(_4\)-injected mice. Animals were sacrificed using CO\(_2\) inhalation. The Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of Washington, which is certified by the Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care International, approved all experiments.

**Human Liver Samples**

Human liver and HCC specimens were obtained from the University of Washington Medical Center after IRB-approval. IHC was performed on liver specimens from patients with cirrhosis who underwent liver transplantation surgery at the University of Washington Medical Center from 1989 to 2002, HSD \#23602 (MMY) [23]. Immunoblot analysis was performed on resected liver specimens collected after receiving informed consent IRB \#31281 (RSY). All samples were de-identified of any patient information. Specimens were either fixed in formalin or frozen at \(-80°C\) until use.

**Immunohistochemistry (IHC) and Histological Staining**

Formalin-fixed liver tissue was processed and embedded in paraffin using standard protocols, and IHC was performed as previously described [24], using the primary antibodies listed in Table S1. A board-certified clinical liver pathologist (MMY)
reviewed all human samples and determined the presence of cirrhosis and/or tumor and assessed for PDGFRα and PDGFRβ immunoreactivity. To quantify fibrosis, formalin-fixed liver tissue was stained with picrosirius red. For morphometric analysis, picrosirius red area was imaged under polarized light [25]. Images were analyzed using NIH image J software to convert pixels to binary values and determine the relative number of positive and negative pixels.

Immunoblotting
Tissues were homogenized in a 1% Triton-x 100 lysis buffer and processed as described [26]. Membranes were incubated with primary antibodies overnight at 4°C, and then with the appropriate horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibodies. Primary antibodies used in this study are listed in Table S1.

Immunofluorescence (IF) and ex-vivo Imaging
Livers were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde overnight, and tissues were frozen in optimum cutting temperature compound for cryosectioning. IF was performed using standard techniques, with liver sections incubated overnight with the primary antibodies listed in Table S1. Immune complexes were detected with goat Alexa 633 conjugated anti-rat IgG (A-21094, Life Technologies) and goat Alexa 546 conjugated anti-rabbit IgG (A-11010, Life Technologies) antibodies. Sections were mounted with SlowFade Gold (S36936, Life Technologies) and imaged with a Leica SL confocal microscope (Leica Microsystems, Keck Center UW). For ex-vivo imaging, freshly harvested livers were analyzed as previously described [27]. Images were captured using a Zeiss 510 Meta confocal microscope. In PdgfrαWT/nGFP mice, GFP fluorescence was used to report PDGFRα positive cells [20].

Cell Culture and Proliferation Assay
Liver cell lines (Table S2) were grown in a 37°C incubator with 95% humidity and 5% CO2 in DMEM (Life Technologies) with 10% FBS. Confluent cells were split and allowed to attach to plates as described [28]. Cells were serum-starved for 24 hours then stimulated with 10 ng/ml PDGF-AA, -AB, -BB, or –CC (R&D systems) for 24 hours. [3H]Thymidine (1 μCi/ml final concentration) was added to the media for the final 3 hours of stimulation. Unincorporated [3H] thymidine was removed from the cells, and trichloroacetic acid was used to precipitate protein-bound DNA. DNA was solubilized in NaOH, quantified using a scintillation counter, and measured in triplicate.

RNA Expression Analysis
RNA was extracted from cells or liver tissue using Trizol (15596-018, Life Technologies) as described by the manufacturer. Reactions contained cDNA synthesized from 0.5 μg RNA using MMLV (28025-013, Life Technologies), and Taqman Universal Mastermix II (4440040, Life Technologies). Cycling conditions were 95°C for 10 min, and 49 cycles of 95°C for 15 sec, 60°C for 60 sec with a final extension at 72°C for 1 min. Data are represented as delta delta Ct values after normalization to Gapdh mRNA levels. Primers used in this experiment are listed in Table S3.
Statistical Analysis
Statistical significance was analyzed using Prism software (Graphpad), either with Kruskal-Wallis non-parametric ANOVA with significance \( p < 0.05 \), or Mann-Whitney U test with significance \( p < 0.05 \), as indicated in the figure legends.

Results
Expression of PDGFRα in Human Cirrhosis and HCC

Previous studies have demonstrated that over expression of PDGF ligands induces fibrosis in mice [29–31], and elevated expression of PDGFRβ in chronic liver disease has been reported [32–34]. As PDGF ligands can activate both PDGFRα and PDGFRβ, we sought to investigate the role of PDGFRα in chronic liver injury. 80–90% of human HCC arise in the setting of a cirrhotic liver, in which HSCs have been activated [35], so we first performed IHC analysis to determine whether PDGFRα and PDGFRβ levels are elevated in human cirrhosis and HCC. Fibrotic and cirrhotic livers had focal perisinusoidal immunoreactivity for PDGFRα, which was stronger in steatotic and cirrhotic livers, while normal adult liver had relatively little PDGFRα immunoreactivity (Figure 1 A–D). PDGFRβ immunoreactivity was also increased in the fibrotic and cirrhotic areas compared to un-injured liver (data not shown). Table S4 summarizes PDGFRα and PDGFRβ immunoreactivity in diseased human liver specimens, 77% of which demonstrated increased PDGFRα immunoreactivity and 56% of which demonstrated increased PDGFRβ immunoreactivity. Using a separate set of specimens, we compared PDGFR protein levels in grossly dissected HCC tumors to those of adjacent non-tumor livers from the same patients by immunoblot analysis. PDGFRα protein was frequently detected in the non-tumor tissue (Figure S1, Table S5). One specimen, patient 5, had detectable PDGFRα protein by immunoblot in the tumor (Table S5). IHC analysis of this specimen demonstrated PDGFRα immunoreactive cells within the tumor (Figure 1 E, F), but these cells did not have the histological appearance of hepatocytes, suggesting that non-parenchymal cells (NPCs) had invaded the parenchymal tumor and account for the PDGFRα immunoreactivity observed in this tumor by immunoblot analysis. Taken together, our data suggest that PDGFRα is expressed primarily in fibrotic and cirrhotic livers, predominantly in NPCs.

Figure 5. PDGFRα-positive cells co-localize with PDGFRβ-positive cells in chronic CCl4 injured liver. Pdgfrα\(^{WT/nGFP}\) mice were injected with CCl4 twice weekly for six weeks. PDGFRα-expressing cells are identified by nuclear-localized GFP (green). PDGFRβ-expressing cells are identified by IF (PDGFRβ, magenta). A) PDGFRα-positive cells are aligned between portal veins (PV). B) PDGFRβ is expressed in the same periportal area as PDGFRα-positive cells, as shown in the merged image (C). A–C) Scale bars are 100 μm. D–F) Higher magnification shows that PDGFRα and PDGFRβ co-localize in the same cell, based upon co-localization of the GFP and PDGFRβ signal. Scale bars are 10 μm.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0092925.g005
Expression of PDGFRα and PDGFRβ in Human Liver and Stellate Cell Lines

We next analyzed mRNA transcripts from human liver cell lines, and found that both PDGFRα and PDGFRβ mRNA are expressed in non-diseased human liver (Figure 2), but that the human HSC line LX-1 has a significantly higher relative expression of PDGFRα (Figure 2A). LX-2 cells, a LX-1 subclone, express PDGFRβ, albeit to variable levels, and LX-1 cells express little to no PDGFRβ (Figure 2B). Transcription of both PDGFRs is reduced in human hepatoma cell lines compared to whole liver, suggesting that PDGFRs are predominantly expressed in NPCs.

We next stimulated various cell lines with PDGF ligands, and found that PDGF-AA, -AB, -BB, and –CC lead to robust proliferation in stellate cells, but these ligands had little effect on the hepatocyte or hepatoma cell lines tested (Table S6).

Increased Expression of Pdgfra and Pdgfrb in Mice after CCl4 induced Hepatocyte Injury

To investigate the role of PDGFRα in liver injury and fibrosis, we used the well-established model of CCl4 injection, in which HSCs are activated in response to necroinflammatory injury to hepatocytes [36]. CCl4 injury to rats has been shown to induce Pdgfrs mRNA in the liver [37]. To determine whether Pdgfr expression is induced after liver injury in mice, WT mice were injected with a single dose of CCl4. We found that Pdgfrα expression increased during 72 hours after injury (Figure 3A). CCl4 injection also induced expression of Pdgfrβ, although to a differing extent and with a different time course than Pdgfrα (Figure 3B). Thus, acute CCl4 exposure induces Pdgfr expression in the liver.

Cells Expressing Pdgfra and Pdgfrb Respond to CCl4 Injury

To determine the liver cell type that expresses PDGFRα in response to liver injury, we used transgenic PdgfraWT/nGFP mice, in
which the endogenous Pdgfra promoter initiates transcription of nuclear-restricted GFP reporter in place of the Pdgfra gene [20]. These mice have one copy of Pdgfra replaced by GFP and are thus heterozygous for Pdgfra. While a single injection of CCl4 induces necrosis and injury that is repaired within seven days, repeated injection of CCl4 induces liver fibrosis [36]. Vehicle-injected Pdgfra WT/nGFP mice have histologically normal liver (Figure 4A). A single CCl4 injection increases the density of small cells with a high nuclear to cytoplasmic ratio, suggestive of inflammatory cells, around central veins at 72 hours, while chronic injection increases the density of cells between portal veins (Figure 4B, C). As shown in Figure 4D, vehicle-injected Pdgfra WT/nGFP mice have an even distribution of PDGFRα-positive cells throughout the liver lobule. 72 hours after a single injection of CCl4, however, PDGFRα-positive cells have a higher density around central veins at areas of hepatocyte injury (Figure 4E). We found that after six weeks of twice weekly CCl4 injections, PDGFRα-positive cells are detected around and between portal veins, where fibrotic bands form (Figure 4F). As PDGFRβ is expressed in quiescent and activated HSCs [38], we next determined whether both PDGFRs are expressed in the same cell type. PDGFRα positive cells in chronic CCl4 injected Pdgfra WT/nGFP mouse livers (Figure 5A, D) co-localize with PDGFRβ immunoreactive cells (Figure 5B, E), indicating that activated HSCs express both receptors (Figure 5C, F) after chronic CCl4 injection.

Our data from human tissue using IHC (Figure 1) and from mice using a GFP reporter (Figure 5) indicated that HSCs are the predominant liver cell type that expresses PDGFRα. In order to confirm these findings, we stained for specific liver cell epitopes using IF in combination with nuclear-GFP expression in Pdgfra WT/nGFP mice [20]. Images of livers from Pdgfra WT/nGFP mice indicate that PDGFRα and PDGFRβ co-localize in the same cells (Figure 5, Figure S2A), and that these cells also express desmin (Figure S2B) and cellular retinol binding protein 1 (CRBP-1, Figure S2C), proteins expressed in HSCs. Furthermore, GFP is not detected in Kupffer cells that express F4/80 expression (Figure S2D), or endothelial cells as identified by CD31 expression (Figure S2E). Hepatocytes, identified morphologically by fluorescence as described [39], were also negative for GFP (Figure S2F).
together, these data suggest that HSCs are the primary liver cell type which express PDGFRα and PDGFRβ.

Reducing PDGFRα Expression Reduces Fibrosis in Mice

After confirming that Pdgfrα expression increases with CCl₄-induced liver injury, and that PDGFRα-positive HSCs are activated by CCl₄ exposure, we utilized PdgfrαWT/+/GFP mice to evaluate the functional significance of Pdgfrα expression during liver fibrosis. PdgfrαWT/+/GFP mice, which are heterozygous for Pdgfrα expression, are phenotypically normal [20] and have normal liver architecture (Figure 4A). Uninjured and CCl₄-injected PdgfrαWT/+/GFP and C57BL/6 mice were analyzed for transcriptional changes in genes associated with chronic liver injury. At baseline and after 4 weeks of twice weekly injections of CCl₄, Pdgfrα expression is decreased in PdgfrαWT/+/GFP mice compared to C57BL/6 mice (Figure 6A). Pdgfrβ expression was equivalently decreased in both genotypes of uninjured and chronic CCl₄ injected mice (Figure 6B). Expression of smooth muscle α-actin (Acta2), an epitope that is upregulated when HSCs are activated [40,41], increased when C57BL/6 mice were injected with CCl₄ for 4 weeks, but PdgfrαWT/+/GFP mice had greatly reduced Acta2 expression after chronic liver injury (Figure 6C). Fibrillar collagen 1α1 (Col1α1) expression was equivalent in uninjured mice of the two genotypes, but significantly reduced in chronically injured PdgfrαWT/+/GFP mice (Figure 6D). Conversely, collagen 4 (Col4, Figure 6E) and tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase 1 (Timp1, Figure 6F) expression was increased after chronic CCl₄ exposure, but was not significantly reduced in PdgfrαWT/+/GFP mice compared to wild type mice.

Reduced mRNA expression of Col1α1 in PdgfrαWT/+/GFP mice after chronic CCl₄ injection was accompanied by a reduction in liver fibrosis, as assessed by picrosirius red staining, a histoch- emical assay for tissue fibrosis. C57BL/6 mice injected with vehicle for 4 weeks had little to no fibrosis (Figure 7A, D), but developed periporal fibrosis after 4 weeks of twice weekly CCl₄ injections (Figure 7B, D). Significantly less collagen was deposited in chronically injured PdgfrαWT/+/GFP mice (Figure 7C, D). These results demonstrate that liver fibrosis in response to chronic CCl₄ injection is dependent on normal expression of PDGFRα, and are consistent with the hypothesis that liver fibrosis is regulated in part by PDGFRα ligands.

Discussion

PDGFRs stimulate proliferation, migration, and survival of mesenchymal cells, and increased activation of PDGFRs leads to organ fibrosis [21,42]. Elevated expression of PDGFRs is associated with liver fibrosis and cirrhosis, so we sought to determine whether PDGFRα regulates liver fibrogenesis using mice that have one allele of Pdgfrα (PdgfrαWT/+/GFP). We found that mice with decreased Pdgfrα expression have less liver fibrosis after chronic CCl₄ injury. In addition, and consistent with the notion that PDGFRα regulates the liver’s response to injury, patients with liver disease have elevated expression of PDGFRα and PDGFRβ. In conjunction, GFP localization in PdgfrαWT/+/GFP mice indicates that PDGFRα-positive HSCs migrate to sites of injury following CCl₄ injection. These data all suggest that PDGFRα is involved in the activation of HSCs after hepatocyte injury.

PDGFRs are thought to play a central role in activating HSCs and promoting liver fibrosis and cirrhosis [33,34,43]; whether PDGFRα and PDGFRβ play independent roles in fibrogenesis is not known. We and others observe that Pdgfrα expression increases in WT mice after acute liver injury by CCl₄, implicating PDGFRβ in HSC activation. Thus it is surprising that mice which systemically express a hyperactive PDGFRβ allele do not develop more liver fibrosis than WT mice after 4 weeks of CCl₄ injections [44]. Our data indicate that hepatocyte injury induces Pdgfrα expression above uninjured liver in both mice and humans, corroborating previously published studies [34,37]. Our results demonstrate that expression of Pdgfrα and Pdgfrβ are both increased after chronic CCl₄ liver injury, while reducing Pdgfrα copy number reduces Pdgfrα expression but not Pdgfrβ expression in PdgfrαWT/+/GFP mice. Reduced Pdgfrα expression in PdgfrαWT/+/GFP mice correlates with significantly reduced Col1α1 and Acta2 expression, as well as reduced picrosirius red staining, even though Pdgfrβ expression remains elevated. PDGFRα and PDGFRβ appear to affect HSCs differentially, despite being co-localized in the same liver cell type. Further studies will be necessary to dissect the receptor-specific contributions of PDGF signaling pathways in HSCs and in liver fibrosis.

Small perturbations in the PDGF signaling pathway, whether due to changes in expression of ligand or receptor, appear to have a large impact on specific diseases. Support for this notion is found in genetic evidence from rodents, which suggests that small changes in PDGF activity in vivo are capable of significantly affecting a cell’s function. For example in development, chimerism studies show that both Pdgfrα/-/- and Pdgfrβ/-/- embryonic stem cells are deficient in contributing cells to the embryo [15,45], and adult mice have a decreased number of progenitor cells in mice heterozygous Pdgfrα [46,47]. Heterozygous Pdgfrα mice have been bred to mice with mutations in PDGF ligands [48] or mutations in immediate early genes directly downstream of PDGFRs [49], resulting in additive effects. However, deletion of one allele of Pdgfrα and the resultant heterozygosity does not affect development [15,20]. These studies suggest that a single copy of Pdgfrα is usually sufficient for development, although under certain circumstances two alleles of Pdgfrα are required. In the current study, we found that PdgfrαWT/+/GFP mice have reduced fibrosis and reduced expression of the profibrotic genes Acta2 and Col1α1 after chronic CCl₄ injury. Our data indicate that in chronic liver injury, PDGFRα plays a critical role in the development of fibrosis, but that other pathways also contribute to fibrogenesis. Expression of Col4 and Timp1 were reduced in PdgfrαWT/+/GFP mice but not to a significant extent, suggesting that expression of these genes could be more reliant on PDGFRα independent pathways, or heterogeneity in populations of HSCs.

We also sought to better define the role of PDGFRα in liver fibrosis by utilizing both human specimens and mouse models. Using a variety of experimental approaches, increased PDGFRα was seen in cirrhotic human livers and in mice with chemically-induced liver fibrosis. Although no preclinical rodent model fully recapitulates human liver fibrosis, there appears to be comparable molecular pathophysiology between humans and mice. We chose to utilize a knock-in mouse model expressing nuclear-GFP driven by the Pdgfrα promoter in order to discriminate between cells located in close proximity to each other, specifically different NPC populations in liver sinusoids [20]. We did not observe nuclear-GFP expression in hepatocytes, Kupffer cells, or LSECs, thus we conclude that the majority of PDGFRα is expressed in HSCs in the mouse liver, consistent with our observation that human liver specimens express PDGFRα primarily in NPCs. Our IHC data are further supported by data from the Human Protein Atlas (http://www.proteinatlas.org/ENSG00000134853/cancer), which demonstrates that NPCs are positive for PDGFRα by IHC in both normal liver and HCC [50].

We and others posit that selectively targeting PDGFRα in liver fibrosis and cirrhosis could reduce the proliferation, migration, and survival of the activated HSCs that contribute to collagen...
Deposition. Therapeutic blockade of PDGFRα signaling may have a broad impact in the treatment of liver fibrosis, as four of the five PDGF ligand dimers, PDGF-AA, -AB, -BB, and -CC, bind and activate PDGFRα [12]. Targeting PDGFRβ, on the other hand, would completely inhibit only signal transduction induced by PDGF-DD, and could disrupt necessary functions of PDGFRβ in the liver. Targeting both PDGFRs with multi-kinase inhibitors, such as imatinib or sorafenib, leads to severe off-target effects [51,52]. The breadth of multi-kinase inhibitor activity thus likely leads to inhibition of beneficial signal transduction, either via PDGFRβ or other kinases. In summary, our data suggest that PDGFRα has a specific role in liver fibrosis in mice and in humans, and suggest that further mechanistic evaluation of PDGFRα function in the liver has the potential to uncover new anti-fibrotic therapies.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Expression of PDGFrs in human liver tumor and non-tumor tissue. An immunoblot of PDGFRα detects PDGFRα in non-tumor tissue while an immunoblot for PDGFRβ shows protein in both tumor and surrounding tissue. Albumin was used as a loading control. (TIFF)

Figure S2 HSCs express PDGFRα. PDGFRα-driven nuclear GFP is expressed in liver cells that are immuno-reactive for common HSC markers: A) PDGFRβ (red), B) Desmin (red), and C) cellular retinol binding protein 1 (CRBP-1) (red). PDGFRα and CRBP-1 are not expressed in cells that stain for D) the Kupffer cell marker F4/80 (blue) or E) the endothelial cell marker CD31 (blue). F) PDGFRα positive cells (green) are distinct from hepatocytes (yellow). Scale bars are 10 μm. (TIFF)

Table S1 Antibodies used in this study. (DOCX)

Table S2 Human and mouse hepatocyte and stellate cell lines used in this study. *references as PMID number. (DOCX)

Table S3 Primers used for real time analysis. (DOCX)

Table S4 Summary of PDGFRα and PDGFRβ immunoreactivity in human liver specimens. Resected liver specimens with HCCs were formalin-fixed, paraffin embedded, and evaluated for the presence of cirrhosis and HCC. IHC for PDGFRα and PDGFRβ was performed as described in Materials and Methods. Relative staining intensity is indicated as weak (+), moderate (++), strong (+++) or absent (0).

(DOCX)

Table S5 Immunoblot detection of PDGFR expression in macroscopically dissected human tumors and surrounding liver. HCCs (Tumor) and surrounding liver (Non-Tumor) were macrodissected from patients, frozen, and processed for immunoblot analysis as described in Materials and Methods. Intensity is indicated as present (+) or absent (0). (DOCX)

Table S6 PDGF stimulates proliferation1 in stellate cell lines, but not primary hepatocytes or hepatoma cell lines. 1Cell proliferation was measured by DNA synthesis using tritiated thymidine incorporation [28]. The data is the average of three different experiments that were each done in triplicates. Fold change represents the increase when compared to unstimulated cells for each cell line. 2Positive control” indicates that DNA synthesis was stimulated in each cell line or primary culture with a growth factor previously reported to stimulate proliferation. Growth factors used for each cell and the concentrations are as follows: mouse hepatocytes, EGF (20 ng/mL); AML12 cells, EGF (20 ng/mL); NHM cells, HB-EGF (20 ng/mL); rat stellate cells (2G), 1% fetal calf serum; human stellate cells (LX-2), 1% FCS; SK-Hep (human hepatoma cells of endothelial origin), 10% fetal calf sera. (DOCX)
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