Oral azacitidine preserves favorable level of fatigue and health-related quality of life for patients with acute myeloid leukemia in remission: results from the phase III, placebo-controlled QUAZAR AML-001 trial

Despite relatively high remission rates with intensive chemotherapy (IC), most patients with acute myeloid leukemia (AML) will relapse, and overall survival (OS) in relapsed AML is dismal. In the phase III, placebo-controlled QUAZAR AML-001 trial, oral azacitidine (Oral-AZA [CC-486]) significantly prolonged OS versus placebo (P=0.0009; median 24.7 vs. 14.8 months from randomization) and relapse-free survival (RFS) (P=0.0001; 10.2 vs. 4.8 months) as maintenance therapy for patients with AML in first remission after intensive chemotherapy (IC), and was associated with a manageable safety profile.2 Health-related quality of life (HRQoL) and fatigue generally improve over time for patients with AML in remission; an ideal maintenance treatment should prolong survival without compromising HRQoL.3,4

The impact of Oral-AZA on patient-reported fatigue and HRQoL, a key secondary endpoint in QUAZAR AML-001, was assessed using the self-administered Functional Assessment of Chronic Illness Therapy (FACTIT)-Fatigue Scale and EuroQol EQ-5D-3L instruments. We hypothesized that Oral-AZA treatment would not meaningfully worsen fatigue or overall HRQoL from baseline, and that mean changes from baseline in fatigue and HRQoL scores in the Oral-AZA arm would be comparable (i.e., not inferior) to those in the placebo arm. Topline HRQoL outcomes of this study are described briefly elsewhere.2 At study entry, patients reported generally favorable levels of fatigue and overall HRQoL. Mean FACTIT-Fatigue and EQ-5D-3L health utility index (HUI) scores remained similar to baseline over time during Oral-AZA treatment, with similar changes between the Oral-AZA and placebo arms.2 We describe previously unreported HRQoL results from QUAZAR AML-001, including longitudinal analyses using linear mixed-effect models for repeated measures (MMRM), outcomes in patient subgroups defined by prognostic baseline characteristics, and rates of clinically meaningful deterioration in HRQoL scores.

QUAZAR AML-001 was a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase III trial. Study design and endpoints are reported in detail elsewhere.2 Briefly, patients aged ≥55 years, with intermediate- or poor-risk cytogenetics at diagnosis, ECOG PS ≤3, and ineligible for transplant, were randomized to Oral-AZA 300 mg or placebo once-daily for 14 days/28-day cycle within 4 months after achieving first CR or CR with incomplete hematologic recovery (CRI) with IC (induction ± consolidation). Patients who relapsed on-study with 5-15% blasts could receive an escalated 21-days/cycle dosing schedule at the discretion of the treating investigator.

The FACIT-Fatigue Scale is a 13-item questionnaire that measures an individual’s level of fatigue during daily activities over the previous week. The EQ-5D-3L is a generic instrument that includes a descriptive questionnaire that assesses impairment across five dimensions (mobility, self-care, pain/discomfort, usual activities, anxiety/depression) at three severity levels (none, moderate, severe), and a visual analogue scale (VAS) that asks patients to rate their perceived HRQoL from 0-100. Higher scores indicate lower fatigue (FACIT-Fatigue) and better health state (EQ-5D-3L HUI;0.008–0.10 on the EQ-5D-3L HUI;0.08–0.11 on the EQ-5D VAS).5

MMRM models were performed to confirm the hypothesized non-inferiority of Oral-AZA and placebo; these models used an unstructured covariance matrix and included the intercept and visit as random effects, and treatment arm, randomization stratification factors,2 baseline HRQoL score, visit, baseline-by-visit interaction, and treatment-group-by-visit interaction as fixed effects. The dependent variable was change in HRQoL score from baseline. Non-inferiority of Oral-AZA versus placebo was demonstrated if the lower bound of the two-sided 95% confidence interval (CI) of the between-group difference in the overall least-squares (LS) mean change from baseline was greater than the MID for deterioration at each assessment.3,5

Empirical cumulative distribution frequency (eCDF) curves were generated showing FACIT-Fatigue score changes from baseline for individual patients within each treatment arm at cycles 3, 6, 12, and 24, using the predefined RD for clinically meaningful improvement and deterioration (+3/–3 points). Time to confirmed deterioration was assessed for each patient from the time of randomization until the first of ≥2 consecutive visits with a change from baseline surpassing the RD for clinically meaningful deterioration, or until death. Time to confirmed deterioration was estimated using Kaplan-Meier product-limit methods and compared between treatment arms using a stratified Cox proportional hazards regression model with treatment group and baseline score as covariates.

Table 1. Mixed-effect models for repeated measures analyses: overall least-squares mean changes from baseline within each arm, between-group differences in overall least-squares mean changes, and prespecified minimally important differences for each assessment.

| Assessment                  | Overall LS mean [95%CI] change from baseline | Difference in overall LS mean change, Oral-AZA vs. placebo, mean [95%CI] | Prespecified MID for clinically meaningful worsening |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| FACIT-Fatigue scale         | −0.60 [−2.19, 0.99]                           | −0.89 [−2.37, 0.59]                                                     | −3                                                  |
| EQ-5D-3L health utility index | −0.01 [−0.03, 0.01]                           | −0.01 [−0.03, 0.01]                                                     | −0.10                                               |
| EQ-5D visual analogue scale | 2.64 [0.59, 8.91]                             | 0.95 [−0.48, 2.47]                                                      | −11                                                 |

* Mixed-effect models for repeated measures (MMRM) analyses confirmed the non-inferiority of Oral-AZA effects on fatigue and overall HRQoL vs placebo as the lower bounds of the 95% confidence interval (CI) for between-group differences in least-square (LS) mean changes from baseline did not exceed the predefined minimally important difference (MID) for worsening on any assessment. AZA: azacitidine; FACIT: functional assessment of chronic illness therapy.
Figure 1. Empirical cumulative distribution frequency curves of observed changes from baseline on FACIT-Fatigue scores for individual patients in the oral azacitidine and placebo arms at cycles 3, 6, 12 and 24. A positive change score includes an improvement from baseline. A change from baseline $\geq 3$ was used to define clinically meaningful improvement and worsening. Odds ratio, 95% confidence interval (CI), and $P$ values were estimated using Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel test, stratified by randomization stratification factors. ECDF: empirical cumulative distribution frequency; AZA: azacitidine; FACIT: functional assessment of chronic illness therapy; RD: responder definition.
The FACIT-Fatigue-evaluable population comprised 225 of 238 patients (94.5%) randomized to Oral-AZA and 219 of 234 patients (93.6%) randomized to placebo, and the EQ-5D-3L–evaluable population included 225 and 217 patients, respectively. Baseline demographic and disease characteristics of HRQoL-evaluable patients were balanced between treatment arms (Online Supplementary Table S1). FACIT-Fatigue and EQ-5D-3L compliance rates were >95% in both treatment arms at baseline and remained high (>85%) across postbaseline visits except at EOT (<65%), suggesting that HRQoL endpoints were unlikely to be confounded by missing data. Patient-reported FACIT-Fatigue, EQ-5D-3L HUI, and EQ-5D VAS scores were comparable between treatment groups at baseline and similar to reference values from general populations in the United States (FACIT-Fatigue) and

Figure 2. Kaplan-Meier estimated times to confirmed deterioration from baseline. (A) FACIT-Fatigue scale. (B) EQ-5D-3L health utility index. (C) EQ-5D visual analogue scale scores. Time to definitive deterioration was defined as time from randomization to clinically meaningful deterioration sustained from ≥2 consecutive assessment visits. cAZA: azacitidine; FACIT: functional assessment of chronic illness therapy; CI: confidence interval; HR: hazard ratio.
Germany (EQ-SD-3L) (Online Supplementary Table S2).11,12 Median treatment durations for HRQoL-evaluable patients were 12 cycles and 7 cycles in the Oral-AZA and placebo arms, respectively.

As reported previously, there were no clinically meaningful differences in observed mean changes from baseline FACIT-Fatigue or EQ-SD-3L HUI scores within treatment arms, or between the Oral-AZA and placebo arms, at any postbaseline visit.2 Longitudinal MMRM analyses confirmed the non-inferiority of Oral-AZA effects on fatigue and overall HRQoL relative to placebo, as the lower bounds of the 95% CI for between-group differences in LS mean changes from baseline did not exceed the predefined MID for worsening on any instrument (Table 1).

In subgroup analyses, observed mean HRQoL scores generally remained similar to baseline over time within each arm. Mean changes in FACIT-Fatigue, EQ-SD-3L HUI, and EQ-SD VAS scores were comparable between treatment arms, although patient subgroups defined by cytogenetic risk at diagnosis (intermediate/ poor), response after induction (CR/CRi), receipt of consolidation chemotherapy (yes/no), ECOG PS score (0-1/2-3), age (<65/65-74/>75 years), and HRQoL domain score (25%/75%/75%/45%/75% percentile). Overall, 45 HRQoL-evaluable patients experienced relapse with 5-15% blasts and received Oral-AZA for 21 days/cycle. Escalated Oral-AZA dosing was not associated with clinically meaningful differences in changes from baseline in mean FACIT-Fatigue, EQ-SD-3L HUI, or EQ-SD VAS scores at any visit compared with 14-day Oral-AZA dosing.

cCDF curves detailing individual FACIT-Fatigue changes from baseline in the Oral-AZA and placebo arms at cycles 3, 6, 12, and 24 generally overlapped, with similar proportions of patients reporting clinically meaningful improvement or deterioration at each visit (Figure 1). Proportions of patients with clinically meaningful deterioration for each measure were low in both treatment arms, and rates were similar between arms on each instrument at almost all post-baseline visits (Online Supplementary Figure S1); deterioration rates were significantly higher in the Oral-AZA arm at cycle 19 (EQ-SD VAS) and cycle 29 (FACIT-Fatigue), but these may have occurred by chance as these analyses did not include any adjustments for multiple testing. Times to confirmed deterioration were similar between the Oral-AZA and placebo arms on each instrument (Figure 2). Estimated median times to confirmed deterioration were 41 weeks for Oral-AZA and 44 weeks for placebo on the FACIT-Fatigue (hazard ratio [HR]: 1.06; 95% CI: 0.80-1.40); 200 and 164 weeks, respectively, on the EQ-SD-3L HUI (HR: 0.91; 95% CI: 0.62-1.34); and not reached versus 136 weeks on the EQ-SD VAS (HR: 0.86; 95% CI: 0.61-1.22). Similar findings were observed when censoring patients at the time of death.

While improving survival is the primary goal of AML treatment, systematic evaluation of the impact of treatment on HRQoL is essential because prolonged survival may be less meaningful if accompanied by drug-related HRQoL decrements. To our knowledge, QUAZAR AML-001 is the first placebo-controlled study to prospectively investigate the impact of long-term maintenance therapy on HRQoL for patients with AML in remission post-IC. At study entry, these older patients (median age 68 years) reported generally favorable levels of fatigue and overall HRQoL that were comparable to levels in general populations.11,12 Mean FACIT-Fatigue and EQ-SD-3L scores during Oral-AZA treatment remained at or above baseline levels at almost all post-baseline assessments, and longitudinal MMRM analyses confirmed the non-inferiority of Oral-AZA relative to placebo for preserving HRQoL. These HRQoL data are also consistent with the reported manageable safety profile and acceptable tolerability of Oral-AZA in QUAZAR AML-001.2

A potential limitation of this study was that HRQoL assessments were conducted on day 1 of each 28-day treatment cycle, allowing for 14 days of recovery after each 14-day dosing period. Additionally, patients in both arms had to undergo routine hospital visits, testing, and marrow collections, which could potentially negatively affect HRQoL outcomes compared with an “observation-only” approach during AML remission.

Oral-AZA administration offers a number of potential benefits, including optimal convenience for patients, no injection-site reactions, fewer clinic visits and lower associated costs, and treatment flexibility for long-term use. Findings from QUAZAR AML-001 show that Oral-AZA significantly improves OS and RFS without compromising fatigue or overall HRQoL for patients with AML in remission.
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