Development and Application of a Method to Detect 27 Respiratory Pathogens Using Multiplex RT-PCR Combined with MassARRAY Technology
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Abstract

Background: Respiratory tract infections are the most common infections that lead to morbidity and mortality worldwide. Early recognition and precise diagnosis of microbial etiology is important to treat LRTIs promptly, specifically and effectively.

Objectives: To establish a method based on multiplex reverse transcription (MRT)-PCR and MassARRAY technology for the simultaneous detection of 27 respiratory pathogens and explore its clinical application value.

Methods: Analytical sensitivity and specificity of the MRT-PCR-MassARRAY system were validated using inactivated bacterial and viral strains. Also we analyzed samples from 207 patients by MassARRAY methods and compared the results with consensus PCR/reverse transcription (RT)-PCR.

Results: The minimum detection limit of our MRT-PCR-MassARRAY method for pathogens was 10–100 copies/μl, with high specificity. Comparison test with consensus PCR/RT-PCR on 207 clinical samples, the positive, negative, and total correlation rates were 100%, 98.68%, and 99.03%, respectively. There was a high degree of agreement between the test results of the two methods (P < 0.01 by McNemar’s test).

Conclusion: Our detection system of 27 respiratory pathogens based on MassARRAY technology has high sensitivity and specificity, high throughput, and is simple to operate. It provides diagnostic value for the clinical diagnosis of respiratory pathogens and is of great significance in the screening of respiratory pathogens.

1. Introduction

Respiratory tract infections are common infectious diseases in clinics. They are highly prevalent in infants, the elderly and immunocompromised patients, often leading to severe symptoms or mortality [1]. According to the WHO, approximately 3 million people died from lower respiratory tract infections in 2016 [2]. Common pathogens of respiratory tract infections include viruses, bacteria, mycoplasmas, chlamydiae, and other microorganisms [3]. Viral pathogens mainly include influenza A virus, influenza B virus, parainfluenza virus (PIV), respiratory syncytial virus, rhinovirus, human metapneumovirus (HMPV), and adenovirus [4]. Bacterial pathogens include Streptococcus pneumoniae, Haemophilus influenzae, and Moraxella catarrhalis [5]. Most respiratory tract infections have similar clinical symptoms, making their identification difficult for clinicians. Thus, the rapid identification of pathogens of respiratory tract infections is of great significance for accurate clinical diagnosis and the administration of appropriate medication. Over the past few years, the rapid development of molecular detection technology has largely compensated for the shortcomings of traditional culture and immunoassay methodologies used to detect respiratory pathogens, substantially improving the speed, sensitivity, and specificity of the detection and identification of respiratory pathogens. In particular, the development of pathogen detection systems based on multiplex PCR allows the simultaneous detection of many different pathogens for use in clinical applications [6–9]. Multiplex real-time quantitative fluorescence PCR detection has relatively high sensitivity and specificity but is limited by the number of fluorescence types in each reaction. Generally, only 3–4 pathogens can be detected in one reaction, and if more pathogens need to be detected, more reactions are required, substantially reducing the convenience of detection and throughput. Luminex’s NxTAG Respiratory Viral Panel is based on liquid chip technology and can simultaneously detect 20 pathogens, including 18 viruses and subtypes, as well as Mycoplasma pneumoniae and Chlamydia pneumoniae [10]. However, this commercial kit has not been widely used in clinics due to the high cost of its reagents.

Agena Bioscience’s MassARRAY System integrates the sensitivity of PCR technology and the high throughput of mass spectrometry detection technology. One reaction can achieve up to 40 gene amplifications that can be applied to single nucleotide polymorphism analysis, mutant detection, DNA methylation analysis, gene copy number identification, and other research areas [11]. Currently, the main applications of MassARRAY in clinics are deafness gene detection, epidermal growth factor receptor mutation detection, liquid biopsy, and hypertension gene detection [12–15]. In this study, we developed a new method based on multiplex reverse transcriptase (MRT)-PCR combined with MassARRAY technology to detect 27 common pathogens causing respiratory tract infections including several influenza A virus (Flu-A H1N1, H3, H5, H7, H3N2 seasonal type), influenza B virus (Flu-B), parainfluenza virus types 1 to 4 (PIV1 to 4), four human coronaviruses (HCoV-OC43, 229E, NL63, and HKU1), respiratory syncytial viruses (RSV), human metapneumoviruses (HMPV), human bocavirus (HBoV), human rhinovirus (HRV), human enterovirus (HEV), adenovirus (ADV), Moraxella catarrhalis (M. catarrhalis), Mycoplasma pneumoniae (MP), Chlamydia pneumonia (CP), Bordetella pertussis (B. pertussis), Haemophilus influenzae (H. influenzae), Streptococcus pyogenes (S. pyogenes), Streptococcus agalactiae (S. agalactiae) and Legionella pneumophila (L. pneumophila). We also evaluated its application value in clinics in the diagnosis of pathogens of respiratory tract infections.

2. Material And Methods
2.1 Sample collection

We collected nasopharyngeal aspirate samples from 207 patients with suspected respiratory tract infection who were submitted to the sixth people's hospital of Nantong from September 2019 to March 2020. The patients were aged 1–76 years only and comprised 112 males and 95 females.

The collection of all NPA samples was approved by the ethics committee of the sixth people's hospital of Nantong. And informed consent was obtained from all subjects.

We confirmed that all methods in this study were carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations.

2.2 Primers used for Multiplex PCR and Extension Reaction

Specific amplification primers and extension primers for all targets were designed by MassARRAY Assay Design software using published sequences from Gene Bank (Table 1). All primers were synthesized by Invitrogen Corp (Shanghai, China)
Table 1
Sequences of amplification primers for 27 pathogens

| Pathogen          | Forward Primer (5→3)                                                                 | Reverse primer (5→3)                                                                 |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| M.catarrhalis     | ACGTTGGATGTGACGGGACGCTGTTTGTTGTGTT                                              | ACGTTGGATGTGACGGGACGCTGTTTGTTGTGTT                                              |
| HRV               | ACGTTGGATGAACAGTGTCCAGCGCTGCGT                                                  | ACGTTGGATGAACAGTGTCCAGCGCTGCGT                                                  |
| MP                | ACGTTGGATGCCGGAGAAATAGTGTTGATGTT                                                | ACGTTGGATGCCGGAGAAATAGTGTTGATGTT                                                |
| HCoV              | ACGTTGGATGAACAGTGGTGCCAGCTGCGT                                                  | ACGTTGGATGAACAGTGGTGCCAGCTGCGT                                                  |
| Flu-A             | ACGTTGGATGAACAGTGGTGCCAGCTGCGT                                                  | ACGTTGGATGAACAGTGGTGCCAGCTGCGT                                                  |
| CP                | ACGTTGGATGCAGGAGGGGTTAGTGAGTGC                                                  | ACGTTGGATGCAGGAGGGGTTAGTGAGTGC                                                  |
| Flu-B             | ACGTTGGATGCAGGAGGGGTTAGTGAGTGC                                                  | ACGTTGGATGCAGGAGGGGTTAGTGAGTGC                                                  |
| HMPV              | ACGTTGGATGAACAGTGGTGCCAGCTGCGT                                                  | ACGTTGGATGAACAGTGGTGCCAGCTGCGT                                                  |
| B. pertussis      | ACGTTGGATGAACAGTGGTGCCAGCTGCGT                                                  | ACGTTGGATGAACAGTGGTGCCAGCTGCGT                                                  |
| H. influenzae     | ACGTTGGATGAACAGTGGTGCCAGCTGCGT                                                  | ACGTTGGATGAACAGTGGTGCCAGCTGCGT                                                  |
| H5                | ACGTTGGATGAACAGTGGTGCCAGCTGCGT                                                  | ACGTTGGATGAACAGTGGTGCCAGCTGCGT                                                  |
| S.pyogenes        | ACGTTGGATGAACAGTGGTGCCAGCTGCGT                                                  | ACGTTGGATGAACAGTGGTGCCAGCTGCGT                                                  |
| H1N1              | ACGTTGGATGAACAGTGGTGCCAGCTGCGT                                                  | ACGTTGGATGAACAGTGGTGCCAGCTGCGT                                                  |
| S.agalactiae      | ACGTTGGATGAACAGTGGTGCCAGCTGCGT                                                  | ACGTTGGATGAACAGTGGTGCCAGCTGCGT                                                  |
| PIV3              | ACGTTGGATGAACAGTGGTGCCAGCTGCGT                                                  | ACGTTGGATGAACAGTGGTGCCAGCTGCGT                                                  |
| PIV2              | ACGTTGGATGAACAGTGGTGCCAGCTGCGT                                                  | ACGTTGGATGAACAGTGGTGCCAGCTGCGT                                                  |
| ADV               | ACGTTGGATGAACAGTGGTGCCAGCTGCGT                                                  | ACGTTGGATGAACAGTGGTGCCAGCTGCGT                                                  |
| RSV               | ACGTTGGATGAACAGTGGTGCCAGCTGCGT                                                  | ACGTTGGATGAACAGTGGTGCCAGCTGCGT                                                  |
| H3                | ACGTTGGATGAACAGTGGTGCCAGCTGCGT                                                  | ACGTTGGATGAACAGTGGTGCCAGCTGCGT                                                  |
| L. pneumophila    | ACGTTGGATGAACAGTGGTGCCAGCTGCGT                                                  | ACGTTGGATGAACAGTGGTGCCAGCTGCGT                                                  |
| PIV1              | ACGTTGGATGAACAGTGGTGCCAGCTGCGT                                                  | ACGTTGGATGAACAGTGGTGCCAGCTGCGT                                                  |
| HEV               | ACGTTGGATGAACAGTGGTGCCAGCTGCGT                                                  | ACGTTGGATGAACAGTGGTGCCAGCTGCGT                                                  |
| H7                | ACGTTGGATGAACAGTGGTGCCAGCTGCGT                                                  | ACGTTGGATGAACAGTGGTGCCAGCTGCGT                                                  |
| H3N2 seasonal     | ACGTTGGATGAACAGTGGTGCCAGCTGCGT                                                  | ACGTTGGATGAACAGTGGTGCCAGCTGCGT                                                  |
| HBoV              | ACGTTGGATGAACAGTGGTGCCAGCTGCGT                                                  | ACGTTGGATGAACAGTGGTGCCAGCTGCGT                                                  |
| GAPDH             | ACGTTGGATGAACAGTGGTGCCAGCTGCGT                                                  | ACGTTGGATGAACAGTGGTGCCAGCTGCGT                                                  |

2.3 Nucleic acids Extraction

Extraction of nucleic acids (NA) from 200 µl of NPA was performed by MagNA Pure LC extraction using the total nucleic acid extraction kit (Roche Diagnostics, Penzberg, Germany), and the operation steps were performed in strict accordance with the instructions of the kit. Sample quality control was evaluated by detection of the glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) gene as an internal control.

2.4 Multiplex MRT-PCR and MassARRAY detection

First, we performed MRT-PCR using a 40 µl reaction mix contained 4 µl 10 xPCR buffer (Takara, Japan), 0.25 mM dNTP (Takara), 4 mM MgCl2, 0.5 µM of each amplification primer, 20 u PrimeScript ™ II reverse transcriptase (Takara), 1u TaKaRa Hot start-Taq polymerase. The MRT-PCR was carried out on AB 2720 PCR instrument (AB, USA) for thermocycling and the cycling conditions were 50°C 25 min, 95°C 5 min, followed by 45 cycles of (94°C 15 s, 55°C 30 s, 68°C 20 s). Second, we used shrimp alkaline phosphatase to remove dNTPs from the PCR product (dephosphorylation), 5 µl RT-PCR product from the first step was taken off and mixed with 2 µl shrimp alkaline phosphatase.
(Agena, USA), incubated at 45°C for 25 min, 80°C for 2 min. Third, we added 2 µl of single-base extension solution (Agena Bioscience) to the product of the second step, and then performed single-base extension as follows: 94°C for 30 s, followed by 30 cycles of (94°C for 5 s, five cycles of [52°C for 5 s, 80°C for 5 s]), and 72°C for 3 min. After resin desalination, 10 µl of the extended PCR product was loaded onto the MassARRAY chip (Agena Bioscience), and the mass spectrometry results were analyzed with Typer 4.0 software (Agena Bioscience).

2.5 Sensitivity and specificity assessment of MRT-PCR-MassARRAY

The sensitivity of the MRT-PCR-MassARRAY for pathogen detection was studied using inactivated bacterial and viral strains with a quantitative certification, which were purchased from National Institutes for Food and Drug Control, China. The strains underwent serial dilution to obtain 10,000, 1000, 100, and 10 copies/µl. The detection results were automatically interpreted by the instrument. A positive result was indicated by a reduced or totally consumed extension primer peak and the extension product peak amplifying one base at the corresponding position. The extension primer peak sequence, molecular mass of each pathogen, and the extension product sequence and molecular mass are shown in Table 2. Each diluted pathogen was tested in triplicate, and the lowest dilution concentration that was positive in all three replicates was defined as the limit of detection. We investigated the specificity of the detection system by performing MRT-PCR on 10,000 copies/µl of each pathogen. If each pathogen extension product peak occurred at a specific location and there was no cross-overlap between each pathogen extension product peak, the specificity of the detection system was considered to be good.
### Table 2
Sequence and molecular mass of extension primer and extension product

| Pathogen species | Extension Primer Sequence | Molecular mass of extension primer | Extended base | Extension Product Sequence | Elongation Product Molecular Mass |
|------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|
| M. catarrhalis   | TCAACGCCACATTT            | 4471.9                            | G            | TCAACGCCACATTTG           | 4759.1                           |
| HRV              | CTTTGAGTCTCCTCCGGC        | 4824.1                            | C            | CTTTGAGTCTCCTCCGGCC       | 5071.3                           |
| MP               | AAAGCCACCTCTGATCAC        | 5108.4                            | C            | AAAGCCACCTCTGATCAC        | 5355.5                           |
| HCoV             | ACGGCTGTGTAAGA            | 5234.4                            | T            | ACGGCTGTGTAAGACTG         | 5561.5                           |
| Flu-A            | CACGCTGCAGTCCTCGCT        | 5411.5                            | C            | CACGCTGCAGTCCTCGCTG       | 5658.7                           |
| CP               | TTCTCAACCGAAAGGTG         | 5443.6                            | C            | TTCTCAACCGAAAGGTCC        | 5690.7                           |
| Flu-B            | AAAGGCCCATGGGAATG         | 5596.7                            | C            | AAAGGCCCATGGGAATGTG       | 5843.9                           |
| HMPV             | ACGCGGCAGTTTTCAGACA       | 5812.8                            | A            | ACGCGGCAGTTTTCAGACAGAA   | 6084                             |
| B. pertussis     | CTTCGCCGCCAGACCAAT        | 5966.9                            | G            | CTTCGCCGCCAGACCAATG       | 6254.1                           |
| H. influenzae    | GATGCACTTCCACCATATTAT     | 6051                              | T            | GATGCACTTCCACCATATT       | 6378.1                           |
| H5               | GTAATACGTGGCGATGCTCCGT    | 6166                              | G            | GTAATACGTGGCGATGCTCCGT    | 6413.2                           |
| S. pyogenes      | CCCCTCCAGGAGCACTTGAG      | 6336.1                            | C            | CCCCTCCAGGAGCACTTGAG      | 6623.3                           |
| S. pneumoniae    | GTATCAGATGAAAGCAGGTTG     | 6525.3                            | C            | GTATCAGATGAAAGCAGGTTG     | 6772.4                           |
| PIV4             | GTGTAGTATGCCCCTTGGTTA     | 6725.4                            | T            | GTGTAGTATGCCCCTTGGTTA     | 7052.5                           |
| H1N1             | CTGTACAGTCAGTGGTCTCCGT    | 6732.4                            | G            | CTGTACAGTCAGTGGTCTCCGT    | 7019.6                           |
| S. agalactiae    | CCAGGCCCCCCAGGACACCATG    | 6914.5                            | C            | CCAGGCCCCCCAGGACACCATG    | 7161.7                           |
| PIV3             | GTATCTCGAGGATACAGATGA     | 7111.7                            | G            | GTATCTCGAGGATACAGATGA     | 7398.9                           |
| PIV2             | CTCCTGTATTTCTACTCTATCTAT | 7194.7                            | G            | CTCCTGTATTTCTACTCTATCTAT | 7481.9                           |
| ADV              | CCCCCAAGTGACGTGCGTGGGCACG | 7314.7                            | G            | CCCCCAAGTGACGTGCGTGGGCACG | 7602                             |
| RSV              | CTATCTCCTCTACTATGAGATCA   | 7525.9                            | G            | CTATCTCCTCTACTATGAGATCA   | 7813.1                           |
| H3               | CGCTAAATAATAATGAGATCGAGTC | 7683                             | C            | CGCTAAATAATAATGAGATCGAGTC | 7930.2                           |
| L. pneumophila   | GTATTTTTAAAAATTTGCCCAATGC | 7854.1                            | C            | GTATTTTTAAAAATTTGCCCAATGC | 8141.3                           |
| PIV1             | GGAGCAATAGCAGATATGCCATCA | 8003.2                            | G            | GGAGCAATAGCAGATATGCCATCA | 8250.4                           |
| HEV              | AAAGGAAACACGGACA          | 4941.3                            | G            | AAAGGAAACACGGACA          | 5188.4                           |
| H7               | ATGGCAAAACTGATCATG        | 5227.4                            | A            | ATGGCAAAACTGATCATG        | 5498.6                           |
| H3N2 seasonal    | AATCTCTCTGTATGCTCA        | 5424.5                            | A            | AATCTCTCTGTATGCTCA        | 5695.8                           |
| HBoV             | CTCTATATTTGGAAGGATCTGCTA | 6724.4                            | C            | CTCTATATTTGGAAGGATCTGCTA | 7011.6                           |
| GAPDH            | ATACGACCAATCTAAGAGAC      | 6416.3                            | G            | ATACGACCAATCTAAGAGAC      | 6769.6                           |

#### 2.6 Clinical application of respiratory pathogen detection (MRT-PCR-MassARRAY)

The collected nasopharyngeal swab samples were tested with MRT-PCR-MassARRAY, as described above. All target respiratory viruses were screened using consensus PCR/reverse transcription (RT)-PCR assays, according to previous reports [16–25]. PCR was performed using the FastStart high-fidelity PCR system (Roche Molecular Systems, Inc., Pleasanton, CA, USA). RT-PCR was performed using the One-Step RT-PCR kit (Invitrogen). Amplified DNA was purified using a QIAquick gel extraction kit, according to the manufacturer's protocol (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA) and sequenced on an ABI3730 automated sequencer (Applied Biosciences, Foster City, CA, USA). Differences between the detection rates of the two methods were tested using the McNemar's test. A P value of < 0.01 was considered statistically significant.
3. Results

3.1 Sensitivity and specificity of MRT-PCR-MassARRAY for respiratory pathogen Detection

For the negative control and pathogens below the detection limit, only the extension primer peak was detected but no extension product peak, while at dilutions above the detection limit, the extension primer is consumed, and only the specific extension product peak can be seen (Fig. 1). The analysis of the detected peaks of 27 pathogens showed only a single extension product for each pathogen, indicating that the specificity of the MRT-PCR-MassARRAY detection system was good. And the detection limit of all pathogens was between 10 copies/µl to 100 copies/µl (Table 3).

| Pathogens      | LOD (copies/ul) | Pathogens   | LOD (copies/ul) |
|----------------|-----------------|-------------|-----------------|
| M.catarrhalis  | 100             | H1N1        | 100             |
| HRV            | 100             | S.agalactiae| 10              |
| MP             | 100             | PIV3        | 100             |
| HCoV           | 100             | PIV2        | 100             |
| Flu-A          | 100             | ADV         | 100             |
| CP             | 10              | RSV         | 1000            |
| Flu-B          | 1000            | H-3         | 100             |
| HMPV           | 100             | L. pneumophila| 100             |
| B.pertussis    | 100             | PIV1        | 10              |
| H. influenzae  | 100             | HEV         | 10              |
| H-5            | 10              | H-7         | 10              |
| S.pyogenes     | 100             | H3N2 seasonal| 100             |
| S.pneumoniae   | 100             | HBoV        | 10              |
| PIV4           | 10              |             |                 |

3.2 Clinical performance of the MRT-PCR-MassARRAY detection system

Of the 207 clinical samples that were detected and analyzed using the MRT-PCR-MassARRAY detection system, 57 (27.54%) cases were positive, of which 33 cases were infected with a single pathogen, 19 cases with two pathogens, and five cases with three to four pathogens. S. pneumoniae was detected in 20 cases (9.66%), M. catarrhalis in 12 (5.80%), M. pneumoniae in 11 (5.31%), and H. influenzae in 1 (5.31%), PIV in ten (4.83%; including types 1–4 types), HMPV in seven (3.38%), and influenza virus type A in 6 (2.90%). The details of other detected pathogens are presented in Table 4.
### Table 4
Detection of Each Pathogen

| Pathogen | Single infection (n = 33) | Dual infections (n = 19) | Multiple infections (n = 5) | Total |
|----------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|
| ADV      | 0                        | 1 (0.48%)               | 0                           | 1 (0.48%) |
| BP       | 3 (1.45%)                | 3 (1.45%)               | 1 (0.48%)                   | 7 (3.38%) |
| FluA     | 2 (0.97%)                | 3 (1.45%)               | 1 (0.48%)                   | 6 (2.90%) |
| Hin      | 4 (1.93%)                | 5 (2.41%)               | 2 (0.97%)                   | 11 (5.31%) |
| HCOV     | 0                        | 1 (0.48%)               | 0                           | 1 (0.48%) |
| HMPV     | 3 (1.45%)                | 1 (0.48%)               | 3 (1.45%)                   | 7 (3.38%) |
| MC       | 3 (1.45%)                | 8 (3.86%)               | 1 (0.48%)                   | 12 (5.80%) |
| MP       | 3 (1.45%)                | 4 (1.93%)               | 4 (1.93%)                   | 11 (5.31%) |
| PIV-1    | 1 (0.48%)                | 0                       | 1 (0.48%)                   | 2 (0.97%) |
| PIV-2    | 0                        | 1 (0.48%)               | 2 (0.97%)                   | 3 (1.45%) |
| PIV-3    | 1 (0.48%)                | 1 (0.48%)               | 0                           | 2 (0.97%) |
| PIV-4    | 2 (0.97%)                | 0                       | 1 (0.48%)                   | 3 (1.45%) |
| RSV      | 1 (0.48%)                | 1 (0.48%)               | 0                           | 2 (0.97%) |
| SP       | 9 (4.35%)                | 9 (4.35%)               | 2 (0.97%)                   | 20 (9.66%) |
| GAS      | 1 (0.48%)                | 0                       | 0                           | 1 (0.48%) |

### 3.3 Comparison of MRT-PCR MassARRAY and the consensus PCR/RT-PCR method

There were only two sample discrepancies in the test results between the two assays, both of which were positive by MassARRAY but negative by consensus PCR/RT-PCR (Table 5). The positive, negative, and total correlation rates were 100%, 98.68%, and 99.03%, respectively. There was a high degree of agreement between the test results of the two methods (P < 0.01 by McNemar’s test).

#### Table 5
Comparison of RT-PCR MassARRAY method and consensus PCR/RT-PCR method

| RT-PCR MassARRAY | Consensus PCR/RT-PCR | Total |
|------------------|----------------------|-------|
|                  | Positive | Negative |       |
| Positive         | 55       | 2        | 57    |
| Negative         | 0        | 150      | 150   |
| Total            | 55       | 152      | 207   |

### 4. Discussion

The global incidence of respiratory tract infections is high, leading to millions of deaths annually due to respiratory tract infections. Respiratory tract infections are becoming one of the major infectious diseases threatening human health and are particularly harmful to the frail elderly population and immunocompromised children. In one hospital, 36.7% of deaths in patients <12 years old were due to pneumonia caused by respiratory tract infections [24]. The wide variety of pathogens and similar clinical symptoms of respiratory tract infections pose great difficulties to clinicians for diagnosis and treatment. Although isolation and culture are the gold standards for pathogen diagnosis, the positive detection rate is low because of the cumbersome methods involved and the long turnaround time. Furthermore, some microorganisms (such as mycoplasmas, chlamydiae, and viruses) have a low success rate of in vitro culture. Although there is a corresponding window period for serum immunological examination, the effect of the body’s ability to produce antibodies production ability is of little significance for the early diagnosis of pathogens. Multiplex PCR technology is simple, rapid, and highly sensitive...
and has been gradually applied to respiratory pathogen detection in clinical practice [25]. Due to the limitation of fluorescence types in each reaction, multiplex real-time PCR can only detect 3–4 pathogens in a single well. If there are many types of pathogens, multi-well detection is bound to be required, making the operation is more complex, requiring more nucleic acid samples, and reducing the throughput. MassARRAY combined with multiplex PCR and mass spectrometry can provide high throughput detection using 96- or 384-well plates with one reaction capable of achieving 40 gene amplifications.

Of the 27 pathogens in our study, only influenza B virus and respiratory syncytial virus had a detection sensitivity of 1000 copies/µl, and the remainder was detected at a sensitivity of 100 copies/µl. Thus, the RT-PCR MassARRAY method established in this study had high detection sensitivity. In the analysis of 207 clinical samples for the detection of respiratory tract pathogens, the positive detection rate was 27.54% (57/207). S. pneumoniae, H. influenzae, M. pneumoniae, and M. catarrhalis are the main pathogens of community-acquired pneumonia and acute bacterial infections [26], and the detection rates of these four pathogens were found to be higher than those of other bacteria, such as Bordetella pertussis and Legionella pneumoniae, in this study. It was also found that among the 20 cases of S. pneumoniae infection, there were only 9 (45.0%) cases of single pathogen infection, and among 11 cases of dual and multiple infections, there were five cases of M. catarrhalis co-infection and four of H. influenzae co-infection, which indicated that co-infections between bacteria were relatively common. Furthermore, the antibiotic resistance of these three bacteria is different [27]. Comprehensive and detailed pathogen diagnosis is of great significance in guiding the rational use of antibiotics to avoid the inappropriate prescription of antibiotics. There were 21/207 (10.1%) samples that tested positive for viruses (note: there were two samples co-infected by three viruses). In China, viral infections are highly prevalent in autumn and winter and relatively low in spring and summer [28]. The samples in this study were collected from clinical patients from April to July (during spring and summer), which may be one of the reasons for the low positive rate of viral respiratory tract infections. PIV was detected in ten cases, including two cases of PIV1, three of PIV2, two of PIV3, and three of PIV4. There was no significant difference in the number of subtypes, which was different from the positive detection rates of PIV types 1, 2, 3, and 4 reported by Wang et al. (2019) in Shanghai, of 2.74%, 0.62%, 8.59%, and 3.40%, respectively [29]. This may be because that Wang et al.'s study population was mainly children, and PIV3 is one of the leading causes of lower respiratory tract infections in infants as well as immunocompromised people [30]. In this study, seven cases (3.38%) of HMPV were detected, which was close to the positive detection rate of HMPV of 3.53% reported in 2018 by Zhong et al. (2019) [31]. We also detected six (2.90%) cases of influenza A virus, all of which were H1N1. Additionally, respiratory syncytial virus was detected in two cases and adenovirus and human coronavirus in one case each. Due to the limited number of samples and sample types, some subtypes of human bocavirus; human enterovirus; influenza B; and influenza A H3, H3N2 seasonal, H5, and H7 were not detected in this study.

The MRT-MassARRAY respiratory pathogen detection system established in this study detected 27 respiratory pathogens and showed sensitivity and specificity similar to the results obtained using consensus PCR/RT-PCR. The advantage over real-time PCR is mainly reflected in the multiplicity of nucleic acid mass spectrometry amplification. In this study, a 27-plex one tube amplification mode was used, and the test cost was as low as 15 dollars per sample. MassARRAY detection uses 96/384 chips, with a high throughput of up to 384 samples. Based on this feature, our detection system is highly suited to early clinical pathogen screening and regional respiratory pathogen epidemiological investigations.

**Declarations**

**Ethics approval and consent to participate**

The collection of all NPA samples was approved by the ethics committee of the sixth people's hospital of Nantong. An informed consent was obtained from all subjects including minors (participants aged less than 18) who was obtained from parents or legal guardians.

**Consent for publication**

Not applicable.

**Availability of data and materials**

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author, upon request.

**Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

**Funding**
No funds were received for the realisation of this work.

Authors’ contributors

Conceptualization: WC, XYR.

Data curation: YCY, HZ.

Formal analysis: YCY, HZ.

Investigation: HZ, JFL.

Methodology: YCY, JFL.

Project administration: WC, XYR.

Resources: HZ, JFL.

Software: YCY.

Supervision: XYR.

Validation: HZ, JFL.

Writing – original draft: HZ.

Writing – review & editing: WC, XYR.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Enago (http://www.enago.jp) for the English language review.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

Statement

All methods in this study were carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations.

References

1. GBD. Lower Respiratory Infections Collaborators. Estimates of the global, regional, and national morbidity, mortality, and aetiologies of lower respiratory infections in 195 countries, 1990–2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. Lancet Infect Dis. 2018; 1191–1210.

2. World Health Organization. Global health estimates 2016: deaths by cause, age, sex, by country and by region, 2000–2016. Geneva: World Health Organization. 2018.

3. Liapikou A, Torres A. Emerging drugs for nosocomial pneumonia. Expert Opin Emerg Drugs. 2016; 21(3):331–41.

4. Boncristiani HF, Criado MF, Arruda E. Respiratory viruses. Encyclopedia of microbiology. 2009; 500–518.

5. Hendaus MA, Jomha FA, Alhammadi AH. Virus-induced secondary bacterial infection: a concise review. Ther Clin Risk Manag. 2015; 11:1265–1271.

6. Buchan BW, Ledeboer NA. Emerging technologies for the clinical microbiology laboratory. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2014; 27(4):783–822.

7. Zhang H, Morrison S, Tang YW. Multiplex polymerase chain reaction tests for detection of pathogens associated with gastroenteritis. Clin Lab Med. 2015;35(2):461–486.

8. Salez N, Vabret A, Leruez-Ville M, Andreoletti L, Carrat F, Renois F, Lamballerie X. Evaluation of four commercial multiplex molecular tests for the diagnosis of acute respiratory infections. PLOS ONE. 2015; 10(6):e0130378.

9. Poritz MA, Blaschke AJ, Byington CL, Meyers L, Nilsson K, Jones D, Thatcher S, Robbins T, Lingenfelter B, Amiott E, Herbener A, Daly J, Dobrowolski S, Teng D, Ririe K. FilmArray, an automated nested multiplex PCR system for multi-pathogen detection: development and
application to respiratory tract infection. PLOS ONE. 2011; 6(10):e26047.

10. Chen JHK, Lam HY, Yip CCY, Wong SCY, Chan JFW, Ma ESK, Cheng VCC, Tang BSF, Yuen KY. Clinical evaluation of the new high-throughput Luminex NxTAG respiratory pathogen panel assay for multiplex respiratory pathogen detection. J Clin Microbiol. 2016; 54(7):1820–1825.

11. Millis MP. Medium-throughput SNP genotyping using mass spectrometry: multiplex SNP genotyping using the iPLEX® gold assay. Methods Mol Biol. 2011; 700:61–76.

12. Svidnicki MC, Silva-Costa SM, Ramos PZ, dos Santos NZP, Martins FTA, Castilho AM, Sartorato EL. Screening of genetic alterations related to non-syndromic hearing loss using MassARRAY iPLEX® technology. BMC Med Genet. 2015; 16:85.

13. Chen HY, Liu CH, Chang YH, Yu SL, Ho BC, Hsu CP, Yang TY, Chen KC, Hsu KH, Tseng JS, Hsia JY, Chuang CY, Chang CS, Li YC, Li KC, Chang GC, Yang PC. EGFR-activating mutations, DNA copy number abundance of ErbB family, and prognosis in lung adenocarcinoma. Oncotarget. 2016; 7(8):9017–9025.

14. Yin L, Cai WJ, Liu CX, Chen YZ, Hu JM, Jiang JF, Li HA, Cui XB, Chang XY, Zhang WJ, Sun K, Li F. Analysis of PTEN methylation patterns in soft tissue sarcomas by MassARRAY spectrometry. PLOS ONE. 2013; 8(5):e62971.

15. Weber S, Spiegl B, Perakis SO, Ulz CM, Abuja PM. Technical evaluation of commercial mutation analysis platforms and reference materials for liquid biopsy profiling. Cancers (Basel). 2020; 12(6):1588.

16. Coiras MT, Aguilar JC, García ML, Casas I, Pérez-Breña P. Simultaneous detection of fourteen respiratory viruses in clinical specimens by two multiplex reverse transcription nested-PCR assays. J Med Virol. 2004; 72(3):484–495.

17. Pretorius MA, Madhi SA, Cohen C, Naidoo D, Groome M. Respiratory viral coinfections identified by a 10-plex real-time reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction assay in patients hospitalized with severe acute respiratory illness—South Africa, 2009–2010. J Infect Dis. 2012; 206;Suppl 1:S159–165.

18. Bellau-Pujol S, Vabret A, Legrand L, Dina J, Gouarin S. Development of three multiplex RT-PCR assays for the detection of 12 respiratory RNA viruses. J Virol Methods. 2005; 126(1–2):53–63.

19. Chun W, Fen P, Yingying S. Epidemic characteristics and clinical symptoms of parainfluenza virus in hospitalized children with acute lower respiratory tract infection. Int J Virol. 2019; 8(26):26–31.
31. Jiayu Z, Weiying C, Jiahui X. Epidemiological analysis of Metapneumovirus, bocavirus and adenovirus in acute respiratory infection in Guangzhou from 2016 to 2018. Chin Biomed Eng. 2019; 25(2):237–9.