Quantitative and Qualitative Assessment of Mycological Air Pollution in a Dormitory Bathroom with High Humidity and Fungal Stains on the Ceiling a Case Study
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Abstract

The development of indoor molds is mainly connected to the room conditions, like air humidity, temperature or pH. The sanitary rooms, usually with elevated dampness, are a good environment for fungal growth and should be monitored for fungal concentration due to the risk of an elevated exposure to fungal allergens and mycotoxins. In the present work the diversity and the concentrations of fungal pollutants in the dormitory bathroom of Polish University was evaluated for both the ceiling and the air. The results showed that Cladosporium cladosporioides was the only species found on the dark stains on the ceiling, but in the air the variety of fungi was higher, with a total of 6 species: Aspergillus flavus, A. niger, C. cladosporioides, Fusarium poae, Penicillium chrysogenum and Rhizopus nigricans. The increased concentration of A. niger and C. cladosporioides in the air suggests further control of the air pollution in order to avoid excessive exposure to mycotoxins and allergens. Moreover, the concentration of airborne fungi inside the facility exceeded some standards of fungal air contamination stated as dangerous for human health. Our study allows for unambiguously identifying isolated fungi and develop recommendations, to prevent the present outbreak of fungi as well as in the future.
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Introduction

Bathrooms, as sanitary rooms with high air humidity, have perfect conditions for microbial growth [1]. The ambient temperature and the level of dampness promote the development of microscopic fungi, especially molds. The higher humidity is often caused by lower air flow due to airproof windows, impaired ventilation, or thermo modernization, which leads to the development of fungal stains on walls but also to the elevated amount of fungal particles in the air [2]. The indoor molds found in bathrooms not only are a cause of fungal decay of the building but also may be connected to the serious health issues [3]. It should be recalled that already Hippocrates mentioned in the
Corpus Hippocraticum manuscript that some of the air components can be the cause of human illness [4]. Therefore, fungal spores, as a part of bioaerosols, play a role in respiratory diseases but their pathological effects in humans may also be connected with the production of mycotoxins. Currently known mycotoxins are classified into groups based on their activity: mutagenic, carcinogenic and teratogenic, and are produced by molds commonly found outdoors and indoors, like Aspergillus sp., Penicillium sp. or Fusarium sp. [3].

Another clinical aspect of indoor fungi are allergic reactions to fungal particles in bio-aerosol. Allergens produced by various molds (Alternaria, Aspergillus, Cladosporium, Mucor, Penicillium, Rhizopus) are of great medical significance since they can cause fungal sensitization (elevated IgE), but some of them (mainly Aspergillus) might be the cause of fungal allergy, resulting in severe fungal asthma [5]. The exposure to the fungal particles (mycotoxins and allergens) is dependent on the fungal concentration in the facility, thus the number of fungi should be monitored [1].

Therefore, poor indoor air quality of buildings can affect the deterioration of the health of residents such as skin and respiratory problems, poisoning, malaise and general weakness [6]. It is estimated that this problem can affect up to 3 billion people worldwide, and in 1983 the term “sick building syndrome” (SBS) was introduced to describe the situation where a building affects human health [7]. Mould growth and its secondary metabolites is the phenomena which can cause SBS, and their spores can constitute up to 70% of all bioaerosol pollution of indoor air [8, 9].

The aim of this work was to evaluate fungal pollution both on the ceiling and in the air in the dormitory bathroom in Wrocław, Poland, as an example of a public sanitary room with high air humidity. The study allowed us to identify fungal isolates and to quantify their number in the air.

**Experimental**

**Fungal Isolation**

The samples were collected from the dormitory bathroom in Wrocław (University of Wrocław), Poland (3.6 m²) with no windows or air-conditioning (only with ventilation grating) in the spring. The ceiling, covered with white, acrylic paint, displayed visible black spots (Fig. 1). Paint fragments with hyphae were scraped with a sterile scalpel or swabbed with a sterile cotton swab and placed on PDA (Potato Dextrose Agar, Biocorp) for 2-14 days at 24±0.5°C and colony forming units in 1 m³ of air (CFU / m³) was estimated. Fungi were inoculated on fresh PDA for further identification.

**Species Identification**

Macroscopic and microscopic appearance, as well as growth rate, were used for identification of collected fungi according to diagnostic monographs [11-14]. Additionally, the phenotypes of fungi were compared with the strains from Ogórek’s collection (Department of Mycology and Genetics, Institute of Genetics and Microbiology, University of Wrocław, Wrocław, Poland), which were identified using phenotypic and molecular studies and their internal transcribed spacer sequences were identified by the National Center for Biotechnology Information (Bethesda, Rockville, MD, USA).

Fungal colonies on PDA were photographed with a Nikon coolpix S3700. Fungal samples (14-day old on PDA) were stained with LPCB (Lactophenol Cotton Blue, Sigma-Aldrich) and observed in the Axio Image. M1 (Zeiss) microscope.

Fig. 1. The dark stains covering bathroom ceiling in the studied facility – the samples were collected from the paint scrapings.
Data Analyses

The data were subjected to statistical analysis using the Statistica 13.3 package (StatSoft Polska Sp. z o.o., Kraków, Poland). For this purpose, we used one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and the Tukey HSD (honest significant difference) test at α≤0.05.

Results and Discussion

We used the most popular method to determine the microbiological quality of the air, which is the culture-based analysis. In this method, the type of culture medium and technique (passive or active) used to collect biological material from the air are very important [15]. According to literature, Sabouraud agar medium is most suitable for isolation of a large spectrum of fungal species from the environment, and PDA medium demonstrates comparable efficacy as Sabouraud agar [16-18]. Therefore, we used this medium in our study. On the other hand, the passive technique so-called the Koch sedimentation method was used to catch fungal spores and their other propagation structures from the air. This technique, compared to the active methods, involving the mechanical catching of airborne microorganisms (filtration, collision, centrifugal) has several disadvantages and advantages. For many particles, the assumption of this method does not work, because the rate of deposition of particles depends on many factors, such as size, weight, electrostatic charge, humidity, air movement. Therefore, the smallest components of bioaerosol are not detected that settle very slowly or do not sediment at all. The sedimentation method can only be used in facilities where there is no air movement [19]. On the other hand, this method is simple, fast and low cost, due to the lack of specialized air samplers that are used in the collision method [15].

Fungal development inside the buildings depends on various factors, such as air humidity, temperature, ventilation or type and properties of building materials [20]. Sanitary rooms exhibit higher humidity, which facilitates growth of fungi. The samples collected from paint scrapings and facility air contained 1 and 6 isolates respectively, which differed in the colony morphology on PDA (Fig. 2). Microscopic analysis confirmed that isolated fungi belonged to 5 different genera: *Aspergillus*, *Cladosporium*, *Fusarium*, *Penicillium* and *Rhizopus* (Fig. 2a-2f).

Paint scrapings contained fungi belonging only to the *C. cladosporioides*. Thus fungi are reported as the most frequent isolates from building interiors, including bathrooms. Their natural environment are plant remains, but once in the building these fungi might secondarily colonize paint, wood or wallpapers [1, 21]. *C. cladosporioides* is a heterogenous complex of many distinct species, including strains of clinical relevance (e.g., *C. anthropophilum*). Fungi from this genus are mostly associated with allergies and superficial lessions, rarely with invasive infections. The latter include central nervous system and intrabronchial infections, mainly in immunocompromised patients [22, 23].

Small rooms, usually like bathroom, often without windows, drying rooms where a lot of wet laundry is dried, are particularly exposed to the development of fungi and moulds. This is usually accompanied by an unpleasant smell. The main reason for this is usually the excessive humidity in such rooms [6]. The maximum dampness is usually found in buildings without proper thermal insulation or in newly constructed facilities, or poor or lack of ventilation [2]. Therefore, first of all, it is necessary to find the source of the dampness and decide how to remove the excess of it. According to the literature, definitely, we should mainly focus on the use of an efficient ventilation system and the frequent airing of the rooms. Dehumidifiers can also be of assistance here, especially in smaller rooms [24]. People who cannot prevent the occurrence of the mould problem, they need to resort to chemical agents, special plasters and anti mould paint or major flat renovation. Because fungi are very difficult to get rid of if they have grown deep into various materials, including plasters on the walls and ceilings of the rooms [25, 26]. It is also possible to use physical methods to preventing and combating attacks of fungus as well as other harmful living organisms (e.g. longicorn beetles and wood worm) in existing building structures, e.g. by using lectromagnetic energy which involves heating the attacked areas to temperatures lethal to living organisms [27].

Overall, the concentration of fungal spores in the tested room was of 715.7 CFU/m³. According to some standards of fungal air contamination (the World Health Organization or the European Confederation Commission) the mycological quality of air in the investigated sites does not pose a threat to people with an unimpaired immune system [24]. However, according

| Fungal culture              | Fungal concentration (CFU/m³) |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
| *Aspergillus flavus* Link   | 8.7                          |
| *Aspergillus niger* Tiegh.  | 273.3                        |
| *Cladosporium cladosporioides* (Fresen.) G.A. de Vries* | 392.1 |
| *Fusarium poae* (Peck) Wollenw. | 6.1                      |
| *Penicillium chrysogenum* Thom | 28.4                        |
| *Rhizopus nigricans* Ehrenb. | 7.0                        |
| **Total**                   | **715.7**                    |

*species isolated also from paint samples
** assignment of the same letter means lack of statistical significance (Tukey‘a HSD test, α≤0.05)
Fig. 2. Macroscopic (panel 1 and 2) and microscopic (panel 3 and 4) images of fungi isolated from the facility after 14 days of incubation at 24±0.5°C on PDA medium: a) A. flavus, b) A. niger, c) C. cladosporioides, d) F. poae, e) P. chrysogenum, f) R. nigricans.
to the American Industrial Hygiene Association and Swedish requirements, the concentration of airborne fungi should not be higher than 500 and 300 spores per m³ for residential buildings, respectively [28, 29]. The fungal CFU number in the studied facility significantly exceeded those values (p<0.05), thus should be further monitored to avoid exposure to mycotoxins produced by molds.

Among the six airborne fungal species, C. cladosporioides dominated in the air samples (P. chrysogenum, A. niger = 0.000159) at the concentration of 392.1 CFU/m³. On the other hand, species such as A. flavus, F. poae, P. chrysogenum and R. nigricans were the least isolated airborne fungi (P. niger, P. chrysogenum = 0.000162) and their spores did not exceed 10 CFU/m³ with the exception of P. chrysogenum, which CFU/m³ number was 28.4 (Table 1, Fig. 2a-2f). Aspergillus, Cladosporium and Penicillium are the most common components of bio-aerosols [30]. Some of these genera are known producers of various mycotoxins (e.g., ochratoxin A or patulin) and elevated exposure to such compounds might constitute a significant health issue (like nephrotoxicity or mutagenicity) [31]. Moreover, the inhalation of airborne particles containing conidia of these fungi especially in room with greater dampness, can result in various respiratory symptoms e.g., asthma, bronchitis or allergic rhinitis [32]. It should be also stressed out that Cladosporium sp. are classified as the inducers of IgE-mediated sensitization and the source of allergic rhinitis or asthma. However, ca. 2800 spores per liter is necessary to induce symptoms of allergic respiratory system disease in most patients with hypersensitivity to these allergens [33, 34]. Thus, the amount of Cladosporium fungi in studied bathroom does not constitute a significant allergic risk to residents. Nevertheless, the control of the concentration of indoor molds is very important and should be systematically conducted [1].

Conclusions

In summary, elevated amount of molds were found in the dormitory bathroom in Wroclaw (Poland). The visible black spots on the ceiling were produced by Cladosporium cladosporioides. In turn, the concentration level of fungal spores did exceed some standards of fungal air contamination stated as dangerous to the human health. Overall, due to the high air humidity caused by the poor ventilation, the amount of the fungi should be monitored to prevent excessive exposure to the spores and the mycotoxins produced by indoor molds. Moreover, we recommend removing the surface layer of plaster, cleaning the surfaces and carrying out the disinfection process three times using commercially available fungicides, with intervals of several days between individual treatments, which will ensure that even the most resistant mycelium structures (e.g., spores, conidia, chlamydospores or arthrospores) have been eliminated. Then, we recommend using plaster with increased alkalinity and anti mold paint. In order to avoid a similar problem in the future, it was also recommended to apply the forced air circulation in the room by using a fan for ventilation. Finally, our recommendations allowed us to resolve a pressing problem and effectively secure the dormitory bathroom for the future.
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