Simultaneous RNA quantification of human and retroviral genomes reveals intact interferon signaling in HTLV-1-infected CD4+ T cell lines
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Abstract

Background: IFN-α contributes extensively to host immune response upon viral infection through antiviral, pro-apoptotic, antiproliferative and immunomodulatory activities. Although extensively documented in various types of human cancers and viral infections, controversy exists in the exact mechanism of action of IFN-α in human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) and human T-lymphotropic virus type 1 (HTLV-1) retroviral infections.

Results: IFN-α displayed strong anti-HIV-1 effects in HIV-1/HTLV-1 co-infected MT-4 cells in vitro, demonstrated by the dose-dependent inhibition of the HIV-1-induced cytopathic effect (IC₅₀ = 83.5 IU/ml, p < 0.0001) and p24 levels in cell-free supernatant (IC₅₀ = 1.2 IU/ml, p < 0.0001). In contrast, IFN-α treatment did not affect cell viability or HTLV-1 viral mRNA levels in HTLV-1 mono-infected cell lines, based on flow cytometry and nCounter analysis, respectively. However, we were able to confirm the previously described post-transcriptional inhibition of HTLV-1 p19 secretion by IFN-α in cell lines (p = 0.0045), and extend this finding to primary Adult T cell Leukemia patient samples (p = 0.031). In addition, through microarray and nCounter analysis, we performed the first genome-wide simultaneous quantification of complete human and retroviral transcriptomes, demonstrating significant transcriptional activation of interferon-stimulated genes without concomitant decrease of HTLV-1 mRNA levels.

Conclusions: Taken together, our results indicate that both the absence of in vitro antiproliferative and pro-apoptotic activity as well as the modest post-transcriptional antiviral activity of IFN-α against HTLV-1, were not due to a cell-intrinsic defect in IFN-α signalling, but rather represents a retrovirus-specific phenomenon, considering the strong HIV-1 inhibition in co-infected cells.
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Background

The two pathogenic human retroviruses, human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) and human T-lymphotropic virus type 1 (HTLV-1), remain responsible for significant morbidity and mortality worldwide. At present, 33 million people are estimated to be infected with HIV-1 and 10 to 30 million people with HTLV-1 [1,2]. Although both retroviruses share similarities in routes of transmission and in vivo tropism for CD4+ T cells, HIV-1 and HTLV-1 significantly differ in pathogenicity, disease progression and treatment outcome. Current treatment of HIV-1-infected individuals is based on highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART), combining HIV-1 reverse transcriptase, protease, integrase and/or entry inhibitors [3]. HAART reduces HIV-1 plasma viral loads to undetectable levels in the majority of patients, hereby slowing down clinical progression to the acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS). HTLV-1, on the other hand, is able to cause adult T cell leukemia/lymphoma (ATLL), as well as HTLV-1 associated myelopathy/tropical spastic paraparesis (HAM/
ATLL is an aggressive T cell malignancy of mainly CD4+ CD25+ T cells, affecting up to 6% of HTLV-1-infected individuals [5]. The diversity of patient symptoms together with their prognosis has led to the classification of ATLL into four clinical subtypes: acute, lymphoma, smouldering and chronic ATLL. Recently, consensus guidelines have been drafted for ATLL treatment with interferon-α (IFN-α) combination therapy for treatment of acute, smouldering and chronic ATLL patients [6,7]. Up to 5% of HTLV-1-infected individuals may develop HAM/TSP, a neuro-inflammatory disease characterized by demyelinating lesions and lymphocytic activation and infiltration into the central nervous system [8,9]. Treatment of HAM/TSP patients consists of mainly symptomatic (antispasmodics) and empirical strategies such as the use of immunosuppressive drugs (corticosteroids), IFN-α or vitamin C [10]. However, consensus guidelines for HAM/TSP treatment are missing due to the lack of randomized controlled clinical trials.

Although recent genetic evidence has implicated IFN/STAT1/FAS signaling in both HAM/TSP and ATL pathogenesis [11,12], therapeutic options include IFN-α for both diseases. Initially, IFN-α was implemented in anti-HTLV-1 treatment because of its effect in HIV-1/AIDS treatment [13]. IFN-α was one of the first cytokines to be discovered and contributes extensively to the host immune response upon viral infection. Type I interferons (IFN-α/β) are expressed and secreted by various cell types in response to viral infection or recognition of pathogens [14-16]. IFN-α signaling pathways are initiated by binding of IFN-α to the heterodimeric interferon-α/β receptor, consecutively activating JAK/STAT pathways. Phosphorylated STAT1 homodimers and/or the interferon-stimulated gene factor 3 (ISGF3) complex, comprising phosphorylated STAT1, phosphorylated STAT2 and interferon regulatory factor 9 (IRF9), are formed, leading to the transcriptional activation of interferon-stimulated genes (ISGs) [17,18]. Classical well-characterized ISGs include 2′,5′-oligoadenylate synthetase (OAS), protein kinase R (PKR), interferon regulatory factors (IRFs) and myxovirus resistance (Mx) genes [15,17,19]. Although the precise function of several other ISGs remains poorly understood, expression of ISGs inhibits replication of various types of viruses [19-23]. In addition to antiviral activity, IFN-α has profound effects on apoptosis and immune response in several types of human cancer and viral infections [16,24-31].

HIV-1 replication can be inhibited in vitro by IFN-α in both macrophages as well as T cells, affecting both early and late stages of the viral replication cycle [20,32-38]. Antiviral activity of IFN-α was characterized by the expression of numerous ISGs. However, the in vivo antiviral activity of IFN-α and its contribution to HIV-1 disease progression remain controversial and need to be further elucidated. Higher levels of serum IFN-α, IFN-α-induced immune activation and higher transcriptional levels of ISGs are paradoxically correlated with higher HIV-1 viral load in HIV-1-infected individuals [39-43], as well as disease progression, questioning its antiviral activity in vivo. Nevertheless, clinical trials have reported modest but significant decreases in HIV-1 viral load after IFN-α treatment [13,44-46].

In HTLV-1-infected cells, in vitro antiviral activity has been reported for IFN-α by a post-transcriptional mechanism impairing viral assembly. Hereby, IFN-α had no effect on viral protein synthesis, but inhibited virion release through the inhibition of Gag protein association with lipid rafts [47]. In addition, IFN-α stimulation induced transcriptional activation of ISGs in HTLV-1-infected cells, reflecting intact IFN-α signaling pathways [48]. However, HTLV-1 expression has been reported to blunt IFN-α signaling pathways in vitro via HTLV-1 Tax and suppressor of cytokine signaling 1 (SOCS1) expression [48-50]. Such inhibition could impair antiviral, immunomodulatory and antiproliferative activities of IFN-α in vivo. Nevertheless, significant clinical benefit has been demonstrated for ATLL patients treated with AZT and IFN-α combination therapy and HAM/TSP patients treated with IFN-α monotherapy [7,51,52]. In conclusion, although IFN-α signaling pathways contribute extensively to the host immune and antiviral responses and therefore significantly determine the therapeutic success of IFN-α treatment, controversy exists in the exact mechanism of action of IFN-α in retroviral infections.

In the present study, we address the possible antiviral, antiproliferative and/or pro-apoptotic effects of IFN-α in HTLV-1 mono-infected and HIV-1/HTLV-1 co-infected CD4+ T cell lines in vitro. We demonstrate that IFN-α exerts pronounced anti-HIV-1 effects in HTLV-1 co-infected cells, but has a limited, post-transcriptional, antiviral effect upon HTLV-1 in mono-infected cells. As demonstrated by gene expression profiling, both STAT1/STAT2-mediated antiviral signaling pathways and broad ISGs induction were fully activated by IFN-α in HTLV-1-infected cells. However, IFN-α failed to inhibit mRNA levels of any of the known HTLV-1 genes or to induce significant pro-apoptotic or antiproliferative activity in HTLV-1-infected cells. In conclusion, the apparent absence of IFN-α antiretroviral activity is selective towards HTLV-1, since a significant anti-HIV-1 effect can be observed in co-infected cells.
Results
IFN-α selectively affects cell viability of HIV-1/HTLV-1 co-infected but not HTLV-1 mono-infected cells

To assess the effects of IFN-α on cell viability of HTLV-1-infected cell lines, we quantified pro-apoptotic (active-caspase 3) and proliferation-associated (PCNA) markers via flow cytometry after IFN-α treatment (10 - 10³ IU/ml) at different time points. As illustrated in Figure 1, IFN-α had no marked effect upon active-caspase 3-positive cells in three different HTLV-1-infected CD4+ T cell lines (C8166, MT-2 and MT-4) at 24, 48 and 72 hours of treatment. C8166 cells showed a spontaneous decline in proliferation (Figure 1A), reflected by pronounced time-dependent reduction in the percentage of PCNA-positive cells, making them unsuitable for long-term experiments (> 72 hours), which were further on carried out only with MT-2 and MT-4 cells. We observed a modest, but significant, decrease in the percentage of PCNA-positive MT-4 cells by 18.7 ± 5.4% (n = 6, t-test, p = 0.006), after 48 hours of treatment (Figure 1C–D).

Quantification of additional cell death-associated markers (DNA fragmentation, surface Fas) revealed no pro-apoptotic activity of IFN-α in MT-4 cells after 48 hours of treatment (Figure 1D). Nevertheless, both MT-2 and MT-4 cells were resistant to IFN-α after 72 hours (Figure 1B–C) and even in long-term culture (15 days, data not shown), with similar cell viability in comparison to untreated cells.

Using an established MTT assay [53], we assessed the effects of IFN-α on cell viability of HIV-1 IIIB/HTLV-1 co-infected MT-4 cells and HTLV-1 mono-infected MT-4 cells (HIV-1 negative, HTLV-1 positive). After 5 days of in vitro stimulation with varying concentrations of IFN-α (10 - 10³ IU/ml), inhibition of the HIV-1-induced cytopathic effect (CPE) on MT-4 cells was measured and inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀) was calculated. IFN-α strongly inhibited the HIV-1-induced CPE on the co-infected MT-4 cells with a mean IC₅₀ of ± 83.5 IU/ml (n = 3, area under curve, p < 0.0001, 2519 ± 91.22 versus 7316 ± 115.2, Figure 2A). In contrast, cell viability of

![Figure 1 Flow cytometric analysis of cell death- and cell proliferation-associated markers of HTLV-1-infected cell lines.](http://www.virologyj.com/content/9/1/171)
HTLV-1 mono-infected MT-4 cells was not affected by IFN-α treatment as demonstrated by a mean cytotoxic concentration (CC50) higher than 10^4 IU/ml (highest concentration tested, n = 3, Figure 2A), supporting flow cytometric observations in MT-4 cells.

IFN-α induces a 1000-fold greater reduction of HIV-1 p24 vs. HTLV-1 p19 protein levels
Although IFN-α strongly induced anti-HIV-1 activity in MT-4 cells, we observed no “anti-HTLV-1” activity of IFN-α in MT-4 cells based on cell viability data (MTT reduction and flow cytometry). Since HIV-1 p24 and HTLV-1 p19 levels are bona fide readouts of virion production, we quantified these viral proteins in cell-free supernatant of HIV-1 IIIb/HTLV-1 co-infected and HTLV-1 mono-infected MT-4 cells, respectively, treated with increasing concentrations of IFN-α for 48 hours (n = 3). IFN-α dose-dependently reduced HIV-1 p24 levels (ANOVA, p < 0.0001, Bonferroni post-test, p < 0.05, significant from 0.64 IU/ml), with a mean IC50 of 1.2 IU/ml.

Figure 2 Cell viability, HIV-1 p24 and HTLV-1 p19 levels of HTLV-1 co- and mono-infected cells. HIV-1 IIIb/HTLV-1 co-infected and HTLV-1 mono-infected MT-4 cells were cultured in the absence or presence of varying concentrations of IFN-α (0 - 10⁴ IU/ml). (A) Cell viability was determined after 5 days of culture, based on reduction of MTT and expressed in optical density (OD). In parallel, (B) HIV-1 p24 protein and (C) HTLV-1 p19 protein concentrations (pg/ml), were determined in cell-free supernatant of HIV-1/HTLV-1 co-infected and HTLV-1 mono-infected MT-4 cells, respectively, after 48 hours of culture. In addition, (D) HTLV-1 positive MT-2 cells were treated for 48 hours with no treatment (NT) or a fixed concentration of 1000 IU/ml of IFN-α, followed by quantification of HTLV-1 p19 protein levels in cell-free supernatant. (E) HTLV-1 p19 protein levels were also determined in cell-free supernatant of PBMCs of HAM/TSP (n = 5) and ATLL (n = 6) patients, after 48-72 hours of culture in the absence or presence of IFN-α (1000 IU/ml). Parametric t-tests were used with p-values indicated by asterisks (* < 0.05, ** < 0.01 and *** < 0.001).
and thus reflecting strong inhibition of HIV-1 p24 production and/or secretion in HIV-1/HTLV-1 co-infected MT-4 cells (Figure 2B). In parallel, IFN-α did not markedly affect HTLV-1 p19 levels in cell-free supernatant of HTLV-1 mono-infected MT-4 cells (ANOVA, p = 0.96), even at high doses (Figure 2C). In addition, HTLV-1 p19 protein levels were also determined in cell-free supernatant of the HTLV-1 positive and virion-producing MT-2 cell line. To obtain maximum biological activity, MT-2 were treated for 48 hours with a fixed concentration of 1000 IU/ml of IFN-α. IFN-α significantly reduced p19 levels in cell-free supernatant of MT-2 cells by 31% (n = 5, t-test, p = 0.0045, Figure 2D), confirming the results of Feng et al. [47]. Nevertheless, IFN-α was 1,000-fold more active against HIV-1 than HTLV-1, when judged according to the inhibition of Gag protein levels (p24 for HIV-1 and p19 for HTLV-1). In HIV-1 IIIb/HTLV-1 co-infected MT-4 cells, 1.2 IU/ml of IFN-α inhibited 50% of HIV-1 p24 levels, whereas 1000 IU/ml of IFN-α was not even sufficient to inhibit 50% of HTLV-1 p19 levels in HTLV-1 mono-infected cells.

To evaluate the potential clinical relevance of this observation, we quantified HTLV-1 p19 levels in cell-free supernatant of HAM/TSP (n = 5) and ATLL (n = 6) PBMCs. IFN-α exerted no significant effect upon p19 levels of HAM/TSP PBMCs (t-test, p = 0.63), in agreement to our recent demonstration of a lack of ex vivo antiviral, pro-apoptotic and antiproliferative effects of IFN-α in HAM/TSP patients [54]. However, IFN-α significantly decreased p19 levels of ATLL PBMCs with 26% (t-test, p = 0.031) (Figure 2E). This modest reduction of p19 levels observed in ATLL PBMCs was highly similar to the IFN-α-induced reduction observed in MT-2 cells (Figure 2D) and to recent findings in larger cohorts of HTLV-1-infected individuals [55] as well as ATL patients (Khourri et al., unpublished).

**Gene expression profiling of IFN-α-treated HTLV-1-infected cell lines**

While HIV-1 replication was extremely sensitive to IFN-α antiviral activity in HIV-1/HTLV-1 co-infected MT-4 cells, anti-HTLV-1 activity remains questionable since we did not detect an effect of IFN-α on cell viability of HTLV-1 mono-infected cells. However, we could measure a modest inhibitory effect of IFN-α on HTLV-1 p19 protein levels in MT-2 cells. To clarify whether IFN-α signaling is blunted in HTLV-1-infected cell lines, possibly explaining the lack of IFN-α responsiveness, we performed gene expression profiling of MT-2 and MT-4 cells after 6 hours of IFN-α stimulation. Agilent Whole Humane Genome microarray analysis was performed in two separate microarray experiments with duplicate samples for both cell lines. Gene expression profiling of MT-2 revealed 77 genes significantly regulated by IFN-α, of which 64 were up- and 13 down-regulated. Gene expression profiling of MT-4 revealed 284 genes significantly regulated by IFN-α, of which 262 were up- and 22 down-regulated. The top 20 of the most significant up-regulated genes are shown in Table 1 for MT-2 and Table 2 for MT-4 cells, and included classical ISGs such as Mx, OAS and IRFs genes. We found no common down-regulated genes for MT-2 and MT-4, while 69% (44/64) of up-regulated genes of MT-2 were also up-regulated in MT-4 cells. Altogether, gene expression profiling demonstrated significant activation of ISGs and thus intact IFN-α signaling in HTLV-1-infected MT-2 and MT-4 cells.

**Pathway analysis confirms intact IFN-α antiviral signaling pathways in HTLV-1-infected cell lines**

In order to characterize possible antiviral, pro-apoptotic and/or antiproliferative pathways represented by the respective IFN-α up- and down-regulated genes, which were identified via microarray analysis described above, Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) was performed for both cell lines. Genes were sorted into molecular gene networks and canonical pathways, of which significantly overrepresented networks/pathways were identified. In the case of MT-2, seven molecular networks were identified as significantly modulated by IFN-α treatment. The principal network contained 25 genes, all up-regulated, representing antimicrobial and inflammatory responses. In the case of MT-4, 12 molecular networks could be identified as significantly modulated by IFN-α treatment. The principal network contained 26 genes, all up-regulated, representing infection mechanism. The principal IFN-α-modulated canonical pathway was identical for both cell lines, i.e. interferon signaling (Figure 3), although subtle differences were observed (IFN-γ, MX1, up-regulated only in MT-2 and JAK2, SOCS1, IRF1, TAPI, OAS1, up-regulated only in MT-4). Thus, IPA illustrated IFN-α-induced modulation of molecular networks and pathways implicated in viral infection and/or IFN signaling in both cell lines. Moreover, no apoptotic, cell cycle-related or antiproliferative pathways were identified, corroborating our flow cytometry findings that IFN-α had no effect on cell viability of HTLV-1-infected cell lines.

**Lack of antiviral activity of IFN-α in HTLV-infected cell lines**

To assess the possible antiviral activity of IFN-α against HTLV-1 and to validate cellular genes identified through microarray analysis, nCounter analysis was performed [56]. All known HTLV-1 viral genes, including the antisense HBZ, and a selection of specific cellular genes, i.e. STAT1, STAT2, STAT3 and CD69, an IFN-induced early activation marker of T lymphocytes, were included for...
nCounter analysis, mRNA levels of selected genes were quantified and normalized to a cellular housekeeping gene (hypoxanthine phosphoribosyl-transferase 1). IFN-α significantly increased mRNA levels of STAT1 and STAT2 genes in MT-2 and MT-4 cells (n = 4, t-test, p = 0.0024, p = 0.010 and p = 0.0023, p = 0.032, respectively, Figure 4), confirming functional activation of JAK/STAT pathways and IFN-α signaling. Moreover, mRNA levels of cellular STAT3 and CD69 genes over, mRNA levels of cellular STAT3 and CD69 genes were also significantly increased in MT-4 cells, confirming our microarray results (n = 4, t-test, p = 0.0041, p = 0.0018, respectively, data not shown). As indicated by pathway analysis (Figure 5A-B), IFN-α predominantly activated STAT1 and STAT2 signaling and downstream genes, representing main antiviral pathways, rather than STAT3 signaling, representing inflammatory and carcinogenic pathways. HTLV-1 viral mRNA levels were ±10-fold higher in MT-2 cells, in comparison to MT-4 cells, in agreement with the superior virion production by MT-2 cells (Figure 2C-D). Surprisingly, after 6 hours, IFN-α treatment had no effect on mRNA levels of any of the HTLV-1 viral genes in both cell lines (n = 4, t-test, Figure 6A-B). Due to the surprising absence of an antiviral effect at 6 hours, we also performed nCounter analysis after 2 and 48 hours of IFN-α treatment of MT-2 and MT-4 cells to verify whether “early” or “late” inhibition of HTLV-1 viral gene transcription might occur. At 2 hours, IFN-α again had no effect on viral gene mRNA levels in both cell lines (n = 4, t-test, data not shown). However, IFN-α significantly increased mRNA levels of STAT1 and STAT2 genes in MT-4 cells after 2 hours (n = 4, t-test, p = 0.023 and p = 0.029, respectively, Additional file 1), illustrating early activation of JAK/STAT pathways and IFN-α signaling. At 48 hours, IFN-α again failed to considerably down-regulate HTLV-1 viral gene transcription (Additional file 1) although IFN-α signaling was sustained in both MT-2 and MT-4 cells, demonstrated by increased STAT1 and STAT2 mRNA.

### Table 1 Overview of the 20 most significant up-regulated genes by IFN-α treatment in MT-2 cells

| Symbol | GAN   | Gene Name                                      |
|--------|-------|-----------------------------------------------|
| MX1    | NM_002462 | myxovirus (influenza virus) resistance 1 (mouse) |
| DTX3L  | NM_138287 | deltex 3-like (Drosophila)                   |
| IFIT5  | NM_012420 | interferon-induced protein with tetratricopeptide repeats 5 |
| TMEM140| NM_018295 | transmembrane protein 140                    |
| BCL2L14| NM_030766 | BCL2-like 14 (apoptosis facilitator)         |
| EIF2AK2| NM_002759 | eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2-alpha kinase 2 |
| SP100  | NM_00108391 | SP100 nuclear antigen                      |
| EPST11 | AL831953  | epithelial stromal interaction 1 (breast)    |
| RTP4   | NM_022147 | receptor (chemosensory) transporter protein 4 |
| RSAD2  | NM_080657 | radical S-adenosyl methionine domain containing 2 |
| IRF7   | NM_004031 | interferon regulatory factor 7               |
| TRIM21 | NM_003141 | tripartite motif-containing 21              |
| IFIH1  | NM_022168 | interferon induced with helicase C domain 1  |
| TRIM25 | NM_005082 | tripartite motif-containing 25              |
| C19orf66| NM_018381 | chromosome 19 open reading frame 66         |
| PARP9  | NM_031458 | poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase family, member 9 |
| PML    | NM_033244 | promyelocytic leukemia                      |
| OAS3   | NM_006187 | 2’-5’-oligoadenylate synthetase 3, 100 kDa   |
| IFI44  | NM_006417 | interferon-induced protein 44                |
| XAF1   | NM_017525 | XIAP associated factor 1                     |

GAN: Genbank Accession Number.

### Table 2 Overview of the 20 most significant up-regulated genes by IFN-α treatment in MT-4 cells

| Symbol | GAN   | Gene Name                                      |
|--------|-------|-----------------------------------------------|
| IFIT1  | NM_001548 | interferon-induced protein with tetratricopeptide repeats 1 |
| STAT1  | NM_007315 | signal transducer and activator of transcription 1, 91 kDa |
| ADAR   | NM_001111 | adenosine deaminase, RNA-specific              |
| IFIT5  | NM_012420 | interferon-induced protein with tetratricopeptide repeats 5 |
| IRF1   | NM_002198 | interferon regulatory factor 1                |
| APOL6  | NM_030641 | apolipoprotein L, 6                           |
| MX2    | NM_002463 | myxovirus (influenza virus) resistance 2 (mouse) |
| HAPLN3 | NM_178232 | hyaluronan and proteoglycan link protein 3    |
| EIF2AK2| NM_002759 | eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2-alpha kinase 2 |
| BCL2L14| NM_030766 | BCL2-like 14 (apoptosis facilitator)          |
| LAP3   | NM_015907 | leucine aminopeptidase 3                      |
| IRF7   | NM_004029 | interferon regulatory factor 7                |
| ZBTB32 | NM_014383 | zinc finger and BTB domain containing 33      |
| SP100  | NM_00108391 | SP100 nuclear antigen                      |
| EPST11 | NM_033255 | epithelial stromal interaction 1 (breast)    |
| TBX21  | NM_013351 | T-box 21                                      |
| SAMD9L | NM_033255 | sterile alpha motif domain containing 9-like  |
| IFI27  | NM_005322 | interferon, alpha-inducible protein 27        |
| TAP1   | NM_00593 | transporter 1, ATP-binding cassette, subfamily B (MDR/TAP) |
| TNFSF13B| NM_006573 | tumor necrosis factor (ligand) superfamly, member 13b |

GAN: Genbank Accession Number.
levels (Additional file 1). Altogether, IFN-α treatment induced intact and sustained IFN-α signaling in HTLV-1-infected cell lines, without any antiviral activity at the transcriptional level, as all HTLV-1 viral mRNA levels were unaffected after 2, 6 and 48 hours of IFN-α treatment.

**Discussion**

IFN-α treatment exerted a strong in vitro anti-HIV-1 effect in HIV-1/HTLV-1 co-infected MT-4 cells, demonstrated by the dose-dependent inhibition of the HIV-1-induced CPE and p24 secreted levels upon HIV-1...
Infection. In contrast, IFN-α treatment did not affect cell viability of HTLV-1-infected cell lines C8166, MT-2 nor MT-4. Furthermore, we demonstrate for the first time that IFN-α treatment did not affect HTLV-1 viral mRNA levels in MT-2 and MT-4 cells, demonstrated by nCounter analysis at various time points. Although these observations suggest the lack of biological activity of IFN-α against HTLV-1, we were able to confirm the previously described post-transcriptional inhibition of HTLV-1 p19 secretion by IFN-α [47], both in cell lines as well as in ATL patient samples. However, in comparison to the strong dose-dependent inhibition of HIV-1 p24 levels, IFN-α only modestly reduced p19 levels in cell-free supernatant of MT-2 cells and ATL PBMCs. Despite the absence of pronounced antiviral, pro-apoptotic and anti-proliferative activity of IFN-α, microarray and nCounter analysis revealed significant transcriptional activation of ISGs and intact IFN-α signaling in MT-2 and MT-4 cells. MT-4 cells appeared to be more responsive to IFN-α treatment in comparison to MT-2 cells, demonstrated by significant up- or down-regulation of 284 vs. 77 genes, respectively, and the early activation of STAT1 and STAT2 genes. Nevertheless, approximately 70% of the IFN-α-up-regulated genes in MT-2 were identical of those up-regulated in MT-4 cells, indicating the similarity and reliability of both cell lines as HTLV-1 in vitro models.

Because of the intact transcriptional activation of ISGs, the absence of distinct antiviral activity of IFN-α against HTLV-1 was not due to a general defect in IFN-α signaling pathways in MT-2 or MT-4 cells. In agreement with our findings, over-expression of a subset of ISGs in chronic HTLV-1 infection has recently been shown to fail to constitute an efficient antiviral host response, but might instead contribute to HAM/TSP pathogenesis [55]. We speculate that IFN-α fails to decrease HTLV-1 mRNA levels due to highly virus-specific retroviral restriction factors, as IFN-α exerted strong anti-HIV-1 yet weak anti-HTLV-1 effects in HIV-1/HTLV-1 co-infected MT-4 cells. Since all known HTLV-1 mRNAs, including antisense HBZ, remain unchanged upon IFN treatment, defective RNAseL activity, downstream of OAS gene activation (reviewed in [57]), might be hypothesized as a possible HTLV-1 escape mechanism. Although several OAS family members are IFN-inducible in both HTLV-1 infection (this study, Table I and results not shown) and HIV-1 infection [40], little is known of downstream RNAse L activation, which occurs at the protein level [57]. In addition, blunting of IFN-α biological activity has been mainly addressed in HTLV-1 mono-infection, but not in HIV-1/HTLV-1 coinfection. HTLV-1 expression has been reported to up-regulate SOCS1 expression, inducing ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation of IRF3, leading to the inhibition of type I interferon production and thus inhibiting activation of IFN-α signaling pathways [50]. Still, up-regulation of SOCS1 mRNA levels was shown in CD4+ T cells isolated from HAM/TSP patients and asymptomatic carriers, but not from ATLL patients [50]. Furthermore, HTLV-1 Tax has been shown to induce SOCS1 expression, leading to the inhibition of RIG-I-dependent antiviral signaling, but not the JAK/STAT signaling pathways [58]. Inhibition of cytoplasmatic pattern recognition receptors such as RIG-I, has been associated with IRF3 inhibition and thus subsequent inhibition of type I interferon production. Consequently, HTLV-1-induced SOCS1 expression could counteract activation of IFN-α signaling via reduced type I interferon production. However,
Figure 5 (See legend on next page.)
whereas exogenous IFN-α has been shown to increase SOCS1 expression in HeLa-cells, HTLV-1 expressed from an infectious molecular clone reduced IFN-α-induced up-regulation of SOCS1 mRNA levels [48].

Taken together, the precise correlation between HTLV-1 and SOCS1 expression and its effect on IFN-α signaling, remains unclear. Our microarray analysis revealed significant IFN-α-induced up-regulation of suppressors of cytokine signaling SOCS1, SOCS2 and SOCS3 levels in MT-4 cells, but not in MT-2 cells, although IPA pathway analysis revealed strikingly similar IFN signaling in both cell lines. Therefore, an IFN-α-induced increased SOCS1 level is not a generalized finding in HTLV-1 infection in vitro and is not by itself sufficient to define the blunted biological activity of IFN-α. HTLV-1 expression has also been reported to up-regulate IRF4 levels in HTLV-1-transformed cell lines and PBMCs of ATLL patients [59,60]. IRF4 was shown to negatively regulate type I interferon production and appeared to be associated with AZT + IFN-α antiviral resistance in ATLL patients [59-61]. Our microarray results showed no effect of IFN-α on IRF4 expression in MT-2 or MT-4 cells, although more sensitive nCounter analysis revealed slight IFN-α-induced up-regulation of IRF4 levels, which was significant in MT-4 cells, but not in MT-2 cells (p = 0.049 and p = 0.65, respectively, data not shown). Furthermore, treatment with exogenous IFN-α was able to activate IFN-α signalling to a similar extent in both cell lines (Figure 6A-B and Tables 1–2), although SOCS1 and IRF4 were significantly up-regulated in MT-4 cells only.

Nevertheless, our study was limited to the broad antiviral, pro-apoptotic and antiproliferative activities of IFN-α, as well as IFN-α signaling in HTLV-1-infected cells, whereas the precise contribution of cellular factors such as SOCS1 or IRF4 has been investigated in detail in previous studies [50,58-61]. However, it should be stated that some of these studies [48,50] investigate de novo infection with HTLV-1 molecular clones, in contrast to stable HTLV-1 infection (this study). The latter might be closer to the in vivo situation, considering the latency of the virus in vivo and its slow molecular evolution, pointing at limited de novo infection [1]. In addition, there is increasing evidence that activation of multiple IFN-α signaling pathways is required to generate the antiviral, pro-apoptotic and immunomodulatory effects of IFN-α [16]. Antiviral and antiproliferative activities of IFN-α have been reported to depend on both STAT- and p38-signaling pathways [62]. Although we observed transcriptional activation of STAT1, STAT2 and downstream ISGs in both MT-2 and MT-4 cells, other important IFN-α signaling pathways, such as p38-signaling, could be affected by HTLV-1 replication, possibly explaining the absence of explicit antiviral or antiproliferative activity against HTLV-1 in vitro.

Altogether, one can assume that the in vitro antiretroviral activity and, possibly, the in vivo therapeutic success of IFN-α for both HIV-1 and HTLV-1 is determined by virus-specific factors including viral life cycle-related factors (replication, virion production) and the balance between factors blunting or stimulating IFN-α signaling pathways. For example, inhibition of HIV-1 assembly and release of virions by IFN-α has been described through the induction of ISG15, an ubiquitin-like protein [34]. Through ISG15 up-regulation, IFN-α could affect HTLV-1 assembly, which could explain the post-transcriptional inhibition of p19 secretion. On the other hand, a recent systematic screen for antiviral activity of 389 ISGs, revealed the ability of ADAR to enhance HIV-1 replication [31]. Unfortunately, no data are available on IFN signaling and/or specific ISGs levels in HIV-1-HTLV-1 co-infection, which is of significant clinical importance since several cohort studies have revealed accelerated clinical progression to AIDS and/or increased mortality in HIV-1-HTLV-1 co-infected versus HIV-1 mono-infected individuals [63-65].

**Conclusion**

We found that IFN-α treatment of retrovirus-infected CD4+ T cells revealed strong anti-HIV-1 but limited anti-HTLV-1 effects. We demonstrate intact early as well as sustained IFN-α signaling in HTLV-1-infected cells, despite various reports of SOCS1- and HTLV-1 Tax-induced blunting of IFN-α signaling. We speculate that qualitative rather than quantitative differences in IFN-α signaling and downstream ISGs could determine the therapeutic success of IFN-α in vivo, depending on both host genetics and disease context. Therefore, large cohorts with long-term clinical follow-up of ATLL and HAM/TSP patients, as well as HIV-1-HTLV-1 co-infected individuals are required to identify viral and host factors specifically limiting antiretroviral activity of IFN-α against HTLV-1.
Materials and methods

Reagents
IFN-α2A (3×10^6 IU/ml, a gift of Blausiegel Farmacêutica, São Paulo, Brazil) stock solutions were prepared in normal saline and working solutions in RPMI 1640 medium, supplemented with 10% heat inactivated foetal calf serum, 20 μg/ml gentamicin and 75 mM NaHCO₃ (GIBCO Invitrogen, Belgium). Antiviral activity of IFN-α was confirmed in a Vesicular Stomatitis Virus/WISH bioassay [66].

Patients samples and cell lines
Diagnosis of HTLV-1 infection, HAM/TSP and ATLL was made according to published criteria [67], combining ELISA (Murex), Western blot, INNO-LIA (Innogenetics) and clinical data. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants and this study was approved by the Ethics Committees of CpqGM-FIOCRUZ and HUPES/UFBA (Salvador-Bahia, Brazil) and the Universidad Peruana Cayetano Heredia (Lima, Peru). PBMCs of 5 HAM/TSP and 6 ATLL patients (4 acute, 2 chronic) were isolated by Ficoll-Hypaque density gradient centrifugation (Sigma-Aldrich).

PBMCs and chronically HTLV-1-infected cell lines, C8166, MT-2 and MT-4 [68-70], were cultured in supplemented RPMI 1640 medium. C8166 cells were obtained from the Medical Research Council, UK), MT-2 and MT-4 cells were from Harada et al. [71]. For varying time spans, PBMCs (2 × 10^6 cells/ml) and HTLV-1-infected cell lines (2 × 10^5 cells/ml) were treated in the absence or presence of IFN-α (10 - 10^4 IU/ml) followed by flow cytometric analysis of HTLV-1-infected cell lines. Given that MT-4 cells are highly susceptible to HIV-1 infection and have been successfully used as a drug screening model for the detection of anti-HIV compounds [53], MT-4 cells (6 × 10^5 cells/ml) were infected with HIV-1 IIIb strain [72] and cultured for 5 days in the absence or presence of varying concentrations of IFN-α (0.01 - 10^4 IU/ml). Hereby, antiviral activity of IFN-α was measured via the 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-

Figure 6 Quantification of HTLV-1 viral mRNA levels after 6 hours of IFN-α treatment. HTLV-1-infected CD4+ T cell lines (A) MT-2 and (B) MT-4 were treated for 6 hours with no treatment (NT) or IFN-α (1000 IU/ml). mRNA levels (fM) of all known HTLV-1 viral genes were assessed via nCounter analysis and shown in the y-axis, whereas the treatment conditions are shown in the x-axis, represented for each of the viral genes. No statistical significance was observed for any gene (t-test).
diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) cell viability staining assay [53,73]. In parallel, HTLV-1-mono-infected MT-4 cells (6 x 10^5 cells/ml) were cultured for 5 days in the absence or presence of varying concentrations of IFN-α (10 - 10^4 IU/ml). Cell viability was quantified by both MTT assay and trypsin blue dye exclusion protocols.

**Flow cytometry assay**

At different time points after IFN-α treatment, cell lines were collected and DNA content (Hoechst 33342), cell death-associated (active-caspase-3, surface Fas) and cell proliferation-associated (PCNA) markers were quantified by flow cytometry (BD Biosciences). Briefly, cells were fixed in Cytofix buffer (BD Biosciences) for 10 minutes at 37°C. Cell pellets were permeabilized in 100% ice-cold methanol for 30 minutes. Cells were then washed twice in 1x PBA (phosphate-buffered saline + bovine serum albumin + NaN₃) and incubated with fluorescence-labelled monoclonal antibodies at room temperature (APC mouse IgG1, FITC mouse IgG2a, PE mouse IgG2a, APC anti-Fas, FITC anti-PCNA, PE anti-active Caspase-3). After 30 minutes, cells were washed twice in 1x PBA and stained with Hoechst 33342. Cell populations and debris were defined based on morphology via forward- versus side-scatter plots and 10,000-100,000 events were acquired per sample.

**HIV-1 p24 and HTLV-1 p19 quantification**

HIV-1 capsid protein p24 and HTLV-1 matrix protein p19 were quantified in cell-free supernatant of MT-4 and MT-2 cells, using HIV-1 p24 Core Profile ELISA kit (PerkinElmer) and RetroTek HTLV-I/II p19 Antigen ELISA kit (ZeptoMetrix), respectively. After 48–72 hours of IFN-α treatment (1000 IU/ml), HTLV-1 p19 levels were quantified in cell-free supernatant of HAM/TSP and ATLL PBMCs.

**Microarray analysis**

Total RNA was extracted from MT-2 and MT-4 treated in the absence or presence of IFN-α (1000 IU/ml) at 2, 6 and 48 hours, using RNAeasy kit according to the manufacturer’s protocol (QIAgen Benelux B.V., Venlo, the Netherlands). nCounter™ (NanoString Technologies, Seattle, United States) analysis was performed at the VIB MicroArray Facility (Leuven, Belgium), based on direct molecular bar-coding of target RNA transcripts and digital detection [56]. Through the use of colour-coded probe pairs, without the use of reverse transcriptase nor amplification, mRNA transcripts of HTLV-1 viral genes and specific cellular genes, including housekeeping genes for normalization, were quantified in MT-2 and MT-4 cells after 2, 6 and 48 hours of IFN-α treatment (HTLV-1 Tax/Rex, Gag, protease, polymerase, gp46, gp21, NC_001436.1; HTLV-1 p13, p12, p30, ABS51314; HTLV-1 HBZ, DQ273132; STAT1, NM_007315.2; STAT2, NM_005419.2; STAT3, NM_139276.2; CD69, NM_001781.1).

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed with GraphPad Prism 5 software. Parametric t-tests were used and p < 0.05 was considered significant.

In microarray analysis, a moderated t-test was used, as implemented in the Limma package, to test whether a contrast was significantly different from zero. To verify the false discovery rate, p-values were corrected for multiple testing with Benjamini–Hochberg.

**Ingenuity pathway analysis**

The Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) program was used to perform a pathway/function level analysis on genes resulting from the microarray analysis for both MT-2 and MT-4 (IPA version 9.0, Build 116623, Content version 3211, Ingenuity Systems, Red Wood City, CA). Hereby, uncorrected p-values and absolute fold-changes were used with cut-offs of p < 0.001 and fold-change value of 2 (up or down), respectively. Based on a scientific literature database, genes were sorted into gene networks and canonical pathways and significantly overrepresented pathways were identified. The maximum number of networks to be generated was set to 25, with a maximum number of 35 molecules per network. The signaling pathways and networks in Figure 3 were generated through the use of IPA (Ingenuity Systems, www.ingenuity.com).

nCounter analysis

Total RNA was extracted from MT-2 and MT-4 treated in the absence and presence of IFN-α (1000 IU/ml) at 2, 6 and 48 hours, using RNAeasy kit according to the manufacturer’s protocol (QIAgen Benelux B.V., Venlo, the Netherlands). nCounter™ (NanoString Technologies, Seattle, United States) analysis was performed at the VIB MicroArray Facility (Leuven, Belgium), based on direct molecular bar-coding of target RNA transcripts and digital detection [56]. Through the use of colour-coded probe pairs, without the use of reverse transcriptase nor amplification, mRNA transcripts of HTLV-1 viral genes and specific cellular genes, including housekeeping genes for normalization, were quantified in MT-2 and MT-4 cells after 2, 6 and 48 hours of IFN-α treatment (HTLV-1 Tax/Rex, Gag, protease, polymerase, gp46, gp21, NC_001436.1; HTLV-1 p13, p12, p30, ABS51314; HTLV-1 HBZ, DQ273132; STAT1, NM_007315.2; STAT2, NM_005419.2; STAT3, NM_139276.2; CD69, NM_001781.1).
Additional files

Additional file 1: Early and late effects of IFN-α on mRNA levels of STAT and HTLV-1 genes. The HTLV-1-infected CD4+ T cell line MT-4 was treated for 2 and 48 hours with no treatment (NT) or IFN-α (1000 IU/ml). mRNA levels of cellular and viral genes were assessed via nCounter analysis. (A) STAT1 and STAT2 mRNA levels are represented for MT-4 cells after 2 hours of treatment. mRNA levels of (B) HTLV-1 viral genes and (C) STAT1 and STAT2 cellular genes are represented after 48 hours of treatment. mRNA levels (fm) are shown in the y-axis, whereas the treatment conditions are shown in the x-axis. Parametric t-tests were used with p-values indicated by asterisks (* < 0.05, ** < 0.01 and *** < 0.001).

Additional file 2: GEO submission microarray data.doc.
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