Laparoscopic Varicocelectomy - Initial Experience at Our Tertiary Care Centre
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Abstract

Object: To evaluate the outcome of laparoscopic varicocelectomy in terms of perioperative and postoperative parameters with special emphasis on improvement in symptoms, semen analysis and restoration of fertility.

Background: Varicoceles demanding treatment can be managed by various options like open varicocelectomy, laparoscopic varicocelectomy or by embolisation. Laparoscopic varicocelectomy confers minimum morbidity, shorter hospital stay and early return to work with the advantage of treating bilateral varicoceles without any additional incisions hence can be considered as a preferable surgical technique in the management of symptomatic varicoceles.

Material and Methods: This prospective study was conducted in the department of General surgery, GMC, Srinagar over a period of two years spanning June 2010 to May 2012. All the patients with clinically significant varicoceles were included in this study. The patients underwent transperitoneal 3-port laparoscopic high ligation of testicular vein.

Results: A total of 39 patients underwent laparoscopic varicocelectomy without any morbidity or conversion to open. The mean operating time to complete the procedure was 48.4 min. There was no significant blood loss with minimal need of analgesic in the postoperative period. There were no major postoperative complications. The mean hospital stay was 1.65 days. Most of the patients resumed their routine work within 3 days of surgery. Only one patient developed recurrence. Semen analysis showed improvement in terms of improved sperm concentration (69.4%) and improved motility (55.5%).

Conclusion: Our study concludes that Laparoscopic varicocelectomy is a safe, feasible and effective procedure for varicoceles meriting treatment with favorable outcomes in terms of cosmesis, pain, improvement of symptoms and restoration of semen parameters and fertility henceforth.
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Introduction

Varicocele is defined as an abnormal dilatation and tortuosity of veins of pampiniform plexus, found in approximately 15% of male adolescents, with a marked left sided predominance [1]. Varicocele is associated with a time dependent testicular growth arrest in adolescents and adult males [1]. There is a clear association between varicocele, infertility, and testicular growth arrest [2]. It is also known that varicocele can reverse growth arrest in adolescents and show improvement in semen quality. Most of the adolescent varicoceles are asymptomatic and are discovered on routine physical examination. Some cases present with inguinal or scrotal aching discomfort or dragging pain. Classical description of varices is the consistency of "Bag of Worms" that decompresses when patient is in supine position [3]. Varicocele is a clinical diagnosis established by physical examination.

Patients with varicocele undergo a detailed medical and reproductive history, semen analysis and radiographic tests such as real time scrotal ultrasonography and color Doppler ultrasonography, spermatic venography, and thermography. Typically, a Doppler ultrasound examination demonstrating veins 3.5 mm or larger in diameter with reversal of venous flow with Valsalva maneuver is consistent with diagnosis of varicocele [4]. If varicocele is not causing any symptoms of pain and infertility is not an issue, no treatment is warranted. Mild discomfort can be managed by wearing an athletic supporter or snug-fitting underwear during strenuous activity or exercise.
Treatment of varicocele is indicated in following conditions:

i. Adolescent [age 12-18 years] with large varicocele and evidence of decreased testicular size.

ii. Any person with varicocele induced testicular pain

iii. Varicocele in men who are sub fertile or infertile.

Various treatment modalities for varicoceles are:

a) Open surgical procedures: Three open surgical approaches are currently used- Subinguinal [Marmar], Inguinal [Ivanissevich], and Retroperitoneal [Palomo].

b) Laparoscopic varicoceletomy [5]

c) Percutaneous embolization [6]

Methodology

This study was conducted in post graduate department of surgery, Govt. Medical College Srinagar for a period of 2 years spanning June 2010 to May 2012. This was a prospective study and a total of 39 patients with clinically significant varicocele were included in this study. All the patients were analyzed as follows:

a. History taking

b. General physical examination

c. Systemic examination

d. Local examination

e. Baseline investigation

Special investigations like:

a. Scrotal color Doppler ultrasound

b. Semen analysis

i. Operative findings

ii. Operative time

iii. Post operative observations and complications

Patients were followed up on weekly/fortnightly and monthly basis in the follow-up clinic up to a period of 3 months. All the patients in our study were treated by laparoscopic varicocelectomy and outcome of the technique was analysed in terms of operating time, semen analysis results, and complications.

Surgical technique

Laparoscopic varicocelectomy: Patients were operated in supine position under general anesthesia. A urinary catheter was inserted after the induction of anesthesia to evacuate the bladder or the patient was asked to void just before shifting to operation room. Post induction naso gastric tube was passed to decompress the stomach. A vares needle for creation of pneumoperitoneum was introduced through a small infra umbilical incision. Then, the abdomen was inflated with CO2 gas, pressure maintained between 12 to 14 mm Hg. The head end of bed was lowered 150 to 300 to displace the bowel away from the lower quadrants of abdomen. Veress needle was replaced by 10 mm trocar and cannula after enlarging the skin incision.

10mm telescope was inserted through the 10 mm trocar. Under direct vision 2nd and 3rd trocars (10mm and 5mm) were bilaterally introduced through the incisions located in the 2/3rd distance from umbilicus to anterior superior iliac spine. Grasper and scissors were used to put two perpendicular incisions into the peritoneum overlaying the internal spermatic veins. The vascular mass was lifted to separate arterial and lymphatic components from the veins. Then the veins were ligated by clips or by intracorporeal knotting. After verifying the hemostasis, trocars were removed and incision sutured. Antiseptic laparoscopic dressings were applied.

Results

A total of 39 patients were included in the study with symptomatic varicoceles demanding surgery. Majority of patients were in 2nd and 3rd decade of life. Most of the patients were having swelling and dragging sensation of testis on affected side. Majority of patients were having grade III and grade II varicocele at the time of surgery. All the patients underwent laparoscopic varicocelectomy with a mean operative time of 48.4 minutes with most of the patients having minimal pain, no major post operative complications. Average hospital stay was 1.65 days and mean time to resume normal activities was 4.4 days (Table 1). Patients were followed up on weekly/fortnightly and monthly basis in the follow-up clinic up to a period of 3 months.

Table 1: Average hospital stay was 1.65 days and mean time to resume normal activities was 4.4 days.

| AGE (years) | Range | Mean |
|-------------|-------|------|
| No. of Patients (%) |       |
| Presentation |       |
| Scrotal Pain | 33(84.6) |
| Testicular Swelling | 34(87.1) |
| Infertility | 5(12.8) |
| Successful Laparoscopy |       |
| Unilateral | 32(82) |
| Right | 30(76.9) |
| Left | 2(5.1) |
| Bilateral | 7(17.9) |
However, it has a prevalence of 34-40% in infertile males. Most

**Discussion**

The incidences of varicoceles are 15% in normal population. However, it has a prevalence of 34-40% in infertile males. Most
varicocelectomy. In the present series we found that the mean improvement in sperm concentration was 8.9 million/ml. The mean percentage of improvement in sperm motility was approx. 5.5%. Al-Kandhari AM et al. [14] found that improvement in sperm motility and/or concentration was comparable and observed in 65%, 67%, and 76% of the open, laparoscopic, and micro-surgical groups, respectively. Also, the pregnancy rate at 1 year was not significantly different and was 28%, 30%, and 40% in three groups, respectively. Similar results are supported by various relevant studies [2,15]. Laparoscopic approach carries lesser post-operative morbidity; less post-operative pain with early return to work and in case of bilateral varicocele opposite side is dealt through the same ports. Therefore if facilities are available for this procedure and once perfection occurs in this minimally invasive technique, this is the procedure that gives lot of satisfaction to the patients as well as the operating surgeon.

Conclusion

Analyzing various parameters of these 39 patients and post-operative interaction with them over a period of time, it was observed that the results of laparoscopic varicocelectomy were comparable to open technique with minimum morbidity, shorter hospital stay and early return to work with the advantage of treating bilateral varicoceles without any additional incisions. Also laparoscopic varicocelectomy produces better overall patient satisfaction and hence can be considered as a preferable surgical technique in the management of symptomatic varicoceles.
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