Multi-omics analysis of early leaf development in *Arabidopsis thaliana*

Highlights

- Untargeted metabolomics and proteomics characterization of early leaf growth
- Translation is the primary determiner of protein abundance during early leaf growth
- 12-OPDA accumulation coincides with meristem arrest

In brief

Plants are the primary source of food and feed. Given limited land and water resources to feed the world but with increasing human population, we need to increase plant yields. To achieve this, we need to understand how plant growth and plant yield are regulated. In this study, we measured proteins and metabolites involved in early leaf growth to be explored by us and others for novel plant-growth regulators.
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SUMMARY

The growth of plant organs is driven by cell division and subsequent cell expansion. The transition from proliferation to expansion is critical for the final organ size and plant yield. Exit from proliferation and onset of expansion is accompanied by major metabolic reprogramming, and in leaves with the establishment of photosynthesis. To learn more about the molecular mechanisms underlying the developmental and metabolic transitions important for plant growth, we used untargeted proteomics and metabolomics analyses to profile young leaves of a model plant Arabidopsis thaliana representing proliferation, transition, and expansion stages. The dataset presented represents a unique resource comprising approximately 4,000 proteins and 300 annotated small-molecular compounds, measured across early leaf development spanning major developmental transitions. As such, the work provides a blueprint for studies aimed at better defining the interface between metabolism and development, an appreciated yet understudied research frontier across all kingdoms of life.

INTRODUCTION

Plant growth is an important component of plant yield and thus has been extensively studied, revealing evolutionary conservation of cellular and molecular pathways driving growth in model and crop plant species.1 Growth of plant organs depends on the integration of cell division and cell expansion; the transition from proliferation to expansion (referred to as cellular differentiation) being a critical point which affects cell number and, thus, organ size.1 Importantly, cellular differentiation is accompanied by major metabolic reprogramming.2-4 In fact extensive cross-regulation between development and metabolism is not unique to plants and has been widely reported across eukaryotes.5 Despite this fact, multi-omic datasets encompassing broad measurements at multiple layers of the molecular hierarchy at important developmental transitions remain rare, even though they are often critical in determining such important applications as crop yield, biotechnological efficiency, and the relative efficacy of medicines. As such we are certain that the data described herein will prove an invaluable resource providing deep kinetic resolution of a key biological process. We anticipate it will not only be invaluable for advancing progress in this field.
but also as a case study, which can be used for the development of sophisticated modeling tools that can interrogate metabolic and signaling aspects of cellular development.

Here, to learn more about the molecular mechanisms underlying developmental and metabolic transitions important for plant growth, we used untargeted proteomics and metabolomics analyses to profile young leaves of a model plant *Arabidopsis thaliana* at the proliferation, transition, and expansion stages. *Arabidopsis* leaves initiate at the flank of the shoot apical meristem. Initially, the growth of a new leaf is driven by rapid cell proliferation with cells doubling in mass before a mitotic division. In approximately 5–6 days following primordium initiation, and starting from the leaf tip, cells exit mitosis and start expanding concomitantly with an increase of the central vacuole and onset of endoreduplication. The timing of the transition from proliferation to expansion is critical for the final leaf size, with premature and delayed differentiation resulting in smaller and larger leaves, respectively. Importantly, the transition from proliferation to expansion is accompanied by significant rewiring of metabolism. While cell division is characterized by rapid metabolic rates to sustain membrane, nucleic acid, and protein synthesis, the transition from proliferation to expansion is accompanied by chloroplast maturation and establishment of photosynthesis. Notably, it was previously demonstrated that mature and photosynthetically active chloroplasts are important for the exit from proliferation. However, the exact molecular mechanisms driving differentiation of the leaf meristem, and particularly how these relate to the differentiation of the photosynthetic metabolism, are currently not well understood.

Forward and reverse genetics remain presiding strategies by which to learn about mechanisms underlying leaf growth. However, despite being very powerful, genetic approaches are limited to genes which when affected significantly alter leaf size. Omics profiling constitutes an alternative strategy to identify important regulators based on their differential behavior across the dataset of interest and may thereby reveal finer levels of regulation. In the past, detailed growth and cellular analysis of developing *Arabidopsis* leaves were combined with transcriptomics. Here, we performed similar leaf-development time-course experiments, but rather than at transcripts we focused on proteins and metabolites, which change during early leaf growth. The presented multi-omics dataset can now be mined for novel protein and small-molecule growth regulators.

**RESULTS**

The third true leaves of the *in vitro* grown *Arabidopsis* seedlings were harvested daily from day 8 to day 13 after stratification (8–13 DAS) (Figure 1). In the past, cellular and transcriptomics
analysis of the analogous leaf-development experiments demonstrated that the “8 to 13 time course” is well suited to study the transition from proliferation to expansion-driven growth. While leaves at days 8 and 9 are mainly proliferating, leaves at days 10 and 11 correspond to the transition phase, and leaves at days 12 and 13 are mainly expanding. To investigate how well our experiment matches the developmental trajectory reported by the previous study, we measured leaf area (Figures 1Ba n d1 C )a n d expression of the selected transcripts (Figures 1D–1K) in the leaves harvested from day 9 to day 13. Comparison of growth and expression data revealed good correspondence between the previously published and the current experiment.

Proteomics analysis of the early leaf development reveals major reprogramming at the transition from cell proliferation to cell expansion

Untargeted proteomics analysis of developing Arabidopsis leaves resulted in a dataset comprising 4,189 proteins (Data S1). Statistical analysis using one-way ANOVA retrieved a list of 797 proteins characterized by a differential accumulation pattern across the six leaf developmental time points (Bonferroni corrected p < 0.05) (Data S1). Differential proteins were also delineated using absence and presence criteria in the young, mainly proliferating (day 8 and day 9) versus older, mainly expanding (day 12 and day 13) leaves. In this manner we identified a further 418 proteins, specific for either proliferation or expansion (Data S1). Clustering analysis of the 1,215 differential proteins distinguished two major groups (Figure 2A). The first group of 583 proteins was associated with cell proliferation while the second group of 632 proteins was related to cell expansion. The average accumulation pattern of both groups crossed over between day 10 and day 11, reflected by the behavior of mitotic cell-cycle marker protein cyclin-dependent kinase B (CDKB2;1), and a vacuolar growth-associated protein aquaporin TIP1;1 (Figures 2B and 2C). Consistently, comparison of the protein abundance between each pair of consecutive days yielded the highest number of differential proteins (unpaired t test, p < 0.05, or absence/presence criteria) between day 10 and day 11 (Figure 2D). In comparison with the previous benchmark study of Andriankaja et al., the majority of the transcriptional changes occurred earlier between day 9 and day 10. The discrepancy can be explained by either the difference in growth, which would not be unexpected considering that the experiments were performed independently, and/or an expected time delay between transcription and translation.

Seventy-two percent of the proliferation-specific proteins peaked at day 8, their levels remaining high at day 9 and 10 and dropping sharply at day 11 (Figure 2D). Functional analysis revealed over-representation of the cytosolic and nuclear proteins (Figure 2E), associated with cell division and cytoplasmic growth. These included subunits of the minichromosome maintenance (MCM) complex involved in replication, RNA polymerase complex and mRNA-processing enzymes, ribosomal proteins (60S and 40S subunits) elongation initiation factors, chaperones, proteasome subunits, proteins associated with chromatin organization, mitotic
markers such as CDKB2;1, and PROLIFERATING CELLULAR NUCLEAR ANTIGEN 1 and 2 (PCNA1 and PCNA2) (Figure 3). By contrast, expansion-specific proteins were characterized by a sharp increase at day 11 and an overall highest abundance at day 12. Functional analysis revealed an over-representation of plastidial proteins involved in protein translation, photosynthesis (both light and dark reactions), starch and sucrose metabolism, and the oxidative stress response (Figures 2E and 3).

Next, and in order to look for the correlation between previously published transcript and protein expression profiles across early leaf development reported here, we filtered our proteomics dataset for proteins reproducibly detected in all six developmental time points (from day 8 to day 13). We then queried the list against the transcriptomics dataset of Andriankaja et al.3 The resulting list contained 2,562 transcript-protein pairs (referred to as the true dataset) used to calculate Pearson’s correlation between transcript and protein expression profiles (Data S2). Randomly shuffled protein and transcript profiles (referred to as the random dataset) were used to test whether the degree of correlation calculated for the true dataset differs from the random dataset. Indeed, this was the case. The Pearson correlation distribution shifted toward higher values when using the true in comparison with the random dataset; the median Pearson correlation of the true dataset was 0.62 while that of the random dataset was −0.1 (Figures 4A and 4B). Such good correlation points to protein translation being the primary determinant of protein abundance and composition during early leaf development. Consistent with this, 999 of the 1,192 differential proteins identified in our study and present in the transcriptome dataset were previously classified as differentially expressed (Data S2). As expected, however, considering that the data come from two independent studies, and considering a time delay between transcription and translation, transcript and protein levels, though highly correlated, were not necessarily identical. For instance, transcripts encoding photosynthetic proteins first started to accumulate between day 9 and day 10,11 while corresponding proteins accumulated between day 10 and day 11. Moreover, analysis of the 999 protein-transcript pairs identified 54 for which protein and transcript levels were behaving in a dissimilar manner (Data S2). A good example is a magnesium-chelatase subunit, GUN5, involved in chlorophyll synthesis, but also plastid-to-nucleus retrograde signaling.13 While the GUN5 transcript level increased gradually during leaf development, the GUN5 protein was characterized by maximum abundance at day 10 (Figure 4C) just before the onset of expansion. Considering the GUN5 protein accumulation pattern and its known involvement in the coordination of plastid-to-nucleus communication, GUN5 constitutes an interesting candidate to be characterized with respect to its role in linking differentiation with photosynthetic metabolism. Finally we looked into the differential proteins, whose transcripts did not change across the leaf developmental time series (69 proliferation- and 124 expansion-specific proteins) (Data S2). While proteins associated with gene expression such as eukaryotic translation initiation factors and polymerases were enriched among proliferation-specific proteins (Figure 4D), the expansion-specific list contained enzymes involved in starch metabolism, photosystem subunits, and multiple components of the ATPase synthase complex (Figure 4E).

Metabolomics analysis of early leaf development reveals major reprogramming at the transition from cell proliferation to cell expansion

Untargeted liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS)-based metabolomics analysis of developing Arabidopsis leaves resulted in a dataset comprising 285 putatively annotated small molecules covering major lipid classes, primary and specialized metabolites, and dipeptides (Data S3). Statistical analysis using one-way ANOVA retrieved a list of 145 compounds characterized by a differential accumulation pattern across the six leaf developmental time points (Bonferroni corrected p < 0.05) (Data S3). One more compound, camalexin, was assigned as differential based on presence/absence criteria. Analogously to the protein data, the majority of the differential compounds displayed either proliferation- or expansion-specific accumulation patterns with a marked shift notable between day 10 and day 11 (Figures 5 and S1A). Similarity between metabolite and protein accumulation patterns was also visualized using correlation matrix calculated between all differential proteins and metabolites (Data S4 and Figure S2).

The majority of the proliferation-specific compounds peaked at day 8 (Figure S1B), their levels remaining high at day 9 and 10 and dropping sharply at day 11. These included phosphatidylcholines, phosphatidylserines, phosphatidylethanolamines, triacylglycerols, diacylglycerols, unsaturated fatty acids, amino acids
and their derivatives, nicotinic acid, nucleotides, glucosinolates, flavonoids, sinapol glucose, and trehalose (Figure 5). By contrast, expansion-specific metabolites increased at day 11 and peaked at day 12 (Figure S1 B). These were thylakoid membrane lipids (monogalactosyldiacylglycerols, digalactosyldiacylglycerols, sulfoquinovosyldiacylglycerols, and phosphatidylglycerols), intermediates of the tricarboxylic acid cycle, numerous amino acid derivatives, proline, porphobilinogen, and pantothenic acid (Figure 5). Of the 45 proteinogenic dipeptides present in the data-set, four were proliferation specific while five were expansion specific (Figure 5).

A handful of compounds displayed a different accumulation pattern. Camalexin, for instance, was found almost exclusively at day 8, while 12-oxophytodienoic acid (12-OPDA), unlike any other compound, accumulated specifically at day 11. In contrast to 12-OPDA, N-acetylglutamic acid levels, high in the proliferating leaves, decreased sharply at day 12 and stayed low in the expanding leaves, while sedoheptulose peaked at day 10 just before the onset of expansion and remained high in the expanding leaves (Figure 5).

To complement the metabolite analysis, we measured selected plant hormones in four of the six leaf developmental time points (day 10 to day 13) (Data S5). While the levels of abscisic acid (ABA) increased significantly at the transition from proliferation to expansion and stayed high in expanding leaves (day 11 to day 13) (Figure 6), the levels of indole-3-acetic acid (IAA), salicylic acid, jasmonate, and jasmonate-Ile were unchanged at the time points measured (Figure 6). Interestingly, indole-3-carboxylic acid (ICA), similarly to N-acetylglutamic acid, decreased sharply at the transition from day 11 to day 12 and remained low in the expanding leaves (Figure 6).

**DISCUSSION**

While extensively studied, the molecular mechanisms underlying the transition from proliferation to expansion and how these are
Figure 5. Accumulation of differential (ANOVA, Bonferroni corrected \( p < 0.05 \)) metabolites across leaf developmental time series

Data were normalized to the maximal intensity across the developmental time series. Data for metabolites, dipeptides, and lipids are from seven to eight replicates. Data for abscisic acid and indole-3-carboxylic acid are from three replicates. All metabolomics data can be found in Data S4.
linked to metabolism are not well understood. To address the existing knowledge gap, we extended previous transcriptomics analysis of the early Arabidopsis leaf growth with proteomics and metabolomics analyses. The below examples are provided to demonstrate how a presented dataset can be mined for novel biological insight into molecular networks important for plant growth, with particular emphasis on the regulatory interplay between development and metabolism.

While the majority of the metabolites reported in our study were either proliferation or expansion specific, a handful of compounds displayed a different accumulation pattern. Most conspicuously, we report a major peak of 12-OPDA accumulation. 12-OPDA is an evolutionary conserved plant signaling small molecule and a precursor of a plant hormone, jasmonate. Markedly, accumulation of 12-OPDA was not accompanied by changes in either jasmonate or jasmonate-Ile. Our results are not without precedent. Accumulation of 12-OPDA, but not of jasmonate, was for instance reported during tendril coiling and in response to drought. Documented 12-OPDA-dependent, but jasmonate-independent, responses include seed germination, stomatal closure, expression of wounding-responsive genes, thermotolerance, and embryo development. 12-OPDA treatment was also shown to inhibit plant growth and promote mitotic arrest. Specifically, exogenous application of 12-OPDA reduced leaf area and root length of wild-type Arabidopsis plants. An important characteristic of the peak of 12-OPDA, reported here, is its short-lived nature. The increase in the 12-OPDA accumulation measured between day 10 and day 11 can be attributed to the concomitant maturation of plastids whereby 12-OPDA is synthesized. What the biological significance of the 12-OPDA accumulation would be remains to be investigated, but we speculate that it may be involved in the previously reported coordination of plastidial maturation with the leaf meristem arrest. It is worth mentioning that expression of the LOX2 gene encoding lipoxygenase that catalyzes the first committed step in OPDA and jasmonate synthesis is under the control of TCP transcription factors involved in the regulation of the early leaf development and cell-cycle arrest. Finally, similarly to 12-OPDA, ABA synthesis is also associated with plastids, which may explain ABA accumulation in the expanding versus proliferating leaves and shedding new light onto ABA regulation of plant growth.

12-OPDA and ABA accumulation coincides with the meristem disappearance. In comparison, N-acetylglutamate (NAG) and ICA stand out as unlike other proliferation-specific metabolites, whose levels dropped at day 11. NAG and ICA abundance decreases at day 12, which makes them interesting candidates in the context of leaf meristem maintenance. NAG is the first intermediate in the biosynthesis of arginine. In fact arginine was also high in the proliferating leaves, but unlike NAG arginine levels dropped at day 11. Indeed, a role of NAG in this scenario is not without precedence, since the extracellular NAG produced by Rhizobium was shown to stimulate mitosis in undifferentiated cells found on the outermost cell layer of clover seedling roots. ICA is an indole derivative known for its role in the pathogen response.

Another interesting group of compounds found in our analysis were proteinogenic dipeptides. In the past proteogenic
dipeptides have been considered exclusively as products of protein turnover on the way to further proteolysis. However, this view has been challenged by a number of recent studies reporting dipeptides emerging as novel small-molecule regulators at the interface of protein degradation and metabolism. Consistent with such a role, we report a number of dipeptides whose accumulation changes across early leaf development and are either proliferation or expansion specific, making them intriguing targets for detailed functional characterization.

Analogously to dipeptides, gluconeogenesis is also evolutionarily conserved across living organisms. The principal role of gluconeogenesis is to produce sugars from non-carbohydrate stores such as lipids and proteins. The majority of the eukaryotes rely on a single gluconeogenic route operated by phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (PCK). However, a recent study of Arabidopsis demonstrated the existence of an alternative route depending on the activity of the orthophosphate dikinase (PPDK). Originally described during seed germination, both enzymes operate together; PCK is involved in lipid while PPDK is involved in protein mobilization. By contrast, our analysis suggests that unlike during seed germination, the two gluconeogenic routes are separated during leaf development. Specifically, we found that PCK is expressed in the proliferating leaves while PPDK is expressed in the expanding leaves, indicating that the proliferation-to-expansion transition is accompanied by a metabolic switch from cells using lipids to using proteins to fuel gluconeogenesis.

The above examples demonstrate how the datasets presented here can be mined to uncover novel biological insight into how development and metabolism are integrated during plant growth. Over the long term such understanding is in our opinion essential for developing strategies to enhance plant yield. Over the long term such understanding is in our opinion essential for developing strategies to enhance plant yield. Over the long term such understanding is in our opinion essential for developing strategies to enhance plant yield. Over the long term such understanding is in our opinion essential for developing strategies to enhance plant yield. Over the long term such understanding is in our opinion essential for developing strategies to enhance plant yield. Over the long term such understanding is in our opinion essential for developing strategies to enhance plant yield. Over the long term such understanding is in our opinion essential for developing strategies to enhance plant yield. Over the long term such understanding is in our opinion essential for developing strategies to enhance plant yield. Over the long term such understanding is in our opinion essential for developing strategies to enhance plant yield. Over the long term such understanding is in our opinion essential for developing strategies to enhance plant yield. Over the long term such understanding is in our opinion essential for developing strategies to enhance plant yield. Over the long term such understanding is in our opinion essential for developing strategies to enhance plant yield. Over the long term such understanding is in our opinion essential for developing strategies to enhance plant yield. Over the long term such understanding is in our opinion essential for developing strategies to enhance plant yield. Over the long term such understanding is in our opinion essential for developing strategies to enhance plant yield. Over the long term such understanding is in our opinion essential for developing strategies to enhance plant yield. Over the long term such understanding is in our opinion essential for developing strategies to enhance plant yield. Over the long term such understanding is in our opinion essential for developing strategies to enhance plant yield.
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Plant growth

Seeds of the Arabidopsis thaliana (L.) Heynh., accession Columbia-0 (Col-0), were sterilized and sown as described previously. In brief, Col-0 seeds were surface sterilized for 5 min in 70% (v/v) ethanol and then in sterilization solution (8% [w/v] sodium hypochlorite) for 5 min. After discarding the solution, seeds were washed three times with autoclaved double-distilled water (ddH2O). Seeds were then sown on half-strength Murashige and Skoog medium supplemented with 1% (w/v) sucrose, and solidified with 0.7% (w/v) phytoagar. Homogeneous germination was achieved by keeping the plates in darkness at 4°C for 3 days. Seedlings were grown in controlled conditions, in a growth chamber (Percival) with 16-h day length provided by fluorescent light at 120 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹, at constant temperature of 21°C.

Leaf sample collection

Third leaves were harvested from almost uniformly developed seedlings (from 8 days after stratification [DAS] until 13 DAS) grown on multiple plates, from independent experiments (approximately 5,000 leaves for 8- and 9-DAS seedlings and 1,500 leaves for 12- and 13-DAS seedlings). The selection of developmental timeline was guided by Andriankaja et al. and Omidbakhshfard et al. Dissection of small leaves was done under a stereomicroscope. Each day the harvest started 2 h into the day and lasted for 6–7 h. Leaves were harvested directly into eppies prefilled with liquid nitrogen, and never more than one plate was taken out of the growth chamber. For older leaves, a replica represents leaves harvested from one or two independent experiments to ensure sufficient leaf material for multi-omics analysis. Frozen leaves from each replica were pulverized separately using 4-mm glass beads (Merck, Germany) in a mixer mill MM 400 (Retsch, Germany).

RNA extraction and qRT-PCR

Leaves were collected across five developmental stages (i.e., 9, 10, 11, 12, and 13 DAS), snap frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at −80°C. Leaves were ground using a mixer mill MM 400 (Retsch), and RNA extraction was performed using an RNA purification kit ( Macherey-Nagel) with DNase treatment. RNA quality and concentration were checked on 1% agarose gel and using a spectrophotometer (NanoDrop OneC, Thermo Scientific). cDNA was

### Table: Primer sequences for qRT-PCR

| Gene name | Gene ID  | Forward sequence | Reverse sequence |
|-----------|----------|------------------|------------------|
| TIP1;1    | AT2G36830| GCC TCT GGC ATG GCT TTC AAC AAG | TGA AAG CAC CGA AAG TGA CGG CAG |
| GAPDH     | AT1G13440| TTG GTG ACA ACA GGT CAA GCA | AAA CTT GTC GCT CAA TGC AAT C |
| LOX2      | AT3G45140| TGA CAT TGC TGA TAT CGG CGG CAG | TCA GGC ATC TCA AAG TCG CAC TCG |
| CTKB2;1   | AT1G76540| TGA AGA AAT GTG GCC AGG AGT GAG G | CTT TGC TGA CAT TGC TTT CGC TGG C |
| GUN5      | AT5G13630| AGT GCC TGA AGT TGG TGG TCA TGA | TGC TGC GTG TGT GGG AAT AGC CTG |
| PCNA1     | AT1G07370| TGG ATT CGA GTC AGG TTG TCT TGG | TCT CGG CGC ATT TQA GCA TCT TCG |
| PCNA2     | AT2G29570| ACT GCT CAG GCA GAA TAC TAC CGT CG | CCA CGA CGG GTA ACT CAG AGG |
| ABA1      | AT5G67030| GGG TTT AGG AGC CGT CGA GCT TTG C | TCT CGG TCA CGG CCG TCT CTC T |
| PRL       | AT4G02060| AGC ACT GGT GCT GGC TGA CAT GG | TGG ATT GGC TGC AGC AAG AAC AGC |
synthesized using the PrimerScript RT reagent kit with gDNA Eraser (Takara), diluted (1:10) by adding ddH$_2$O, and stored at −80°C. Primers for qRT-PCR were designed using the Primer3 (v0.4.0) tool, based on the gene coding sequences. GAPDH (housekeeping gene) primers were used for gene-expression normalization. When possible, primers spanning two consecutive exons were designed. Only those primers that specifically blunted (Primer-Blast tool; NCBI) on the corresponding target gene were retained for the qRT-PCR. The amplification efficiency of the primers was checked by amplification of serial dilution (1:10) of the same cDNA. qRT-PCR was performed on four replicates per developmental stage. The Ct values (threshold cycle) of the candidate genes were normalized against the Ct values of the housekeeping gene in order to obtain the ΔCt normalized expression values (i.e., Ct$_{\text{candidate}}$ − Ct$_{\text{housekeeping}}$). Here, the housekeepers showed higher expression than the candidates, and as the lower the Ct value the higher the gene expression, bigger and positive ΔCt values were obtained when candidate genes had lower expression: for this reason, for the graphical representation of the gene expression (see Figure 1) the “10 − ΔCt” transformed values were used.49

**Leaf area measurements**

Plants were grown under controlled conditions as described above. Three leaves were harvested from seedlings between 9 and 14 DAS. Harvested leaves were blanched in 70% ethanol overnight, after which the leaves were washed twice in deionized water and mounted on a microscope slide in 85% lactic acid. Images were acquired using a Leica MZ 12.5 stereomicroscope with trinocular tubes and integrated digital Leica camera. Leaf area was calculated using ImageJ software.51

**MTBE extraction**

A three-in-one methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE) extraction protocol42 was used to extract leaf samples (13 ± 1 mg per sample). While lipids separated into upper organic phase, polar and semipolar metabolites remained in the lower polar phase, and proteins could be found in the pellet. Metabolite data are from seven to eight replicates (see above). Protein data are from four replicates (see above).

**Proteomics**

Protein pellets obtained after MTBE extraction were resuspended in 50 μL of denaturation buffer (6 M urea, 2 M thiourea in 40 mM ammonium bicarbonate). Reduction of cysteines, alkylation, and enzymatic digestion using LysC/Trypsin Mix (Promega, Fitchburg, WI) followed by desalting of a digested peptide was performed according to the protocol described in Sokolowska et al.43 Dried peptides were resuspended in Murashige-Skoog loading buffer (3% acetonitrile [can], 0.1% formic acid) and measured with Q Exactive HF (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Hennigsdorf, Germany) coupled to an orbitrap mass spectrometer. The instrument was equipped with an HSS T3 C18 reverse-phase column (100 x 2.1 mm internal diameter, 1.8 μm particle size; Waters) that was operated at a temperature of 40°C. The mobile phases consisted of 0.1% formic acid in water (solvent A) and 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile (solvent B). The flow rate of the mobile phase was 400 μL/min, and 2 μL of sample was loaded per injection. The UPLC instrument was connected to an Exacte Orbitrap-focus (Thermo Fisher Scientific) via a heated electrospray source (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The spectra were recorded using full-scan positive and negative ion-detection mode, covering a mass range from m/z 100 to 1,500. The resolution was set to 70,000, and the mass scan time was set to 250 ms. The sheath gas was set to a value of 60 while the auxiliary gas was set to 35. The transfer capillary temperature was set to 150°C while the heater temperature was adjusted to 350°C. The spray voltage was fixed at 3 kV, with a capillary voltage and a skimmer voltage of 25 V and 15 V, respectively. MS spectra were recorded from minutes 0 to 19 of the UPLC gradient. Processing of chromatograms, peak detection, and integration were performed using RefinerMS (version 5.3; GeneData). Metabolite identification and annotation were performed using standard compounds, tandem MS (MS/MS) fragmentation, and metabolomics databases.52 When using the in-house reference compound library we allowed for 10 ppm mass error, and a dynamic retention-time shift of 0.1. For more details, see Data S6. Log$_2$ normalized raw intensities were used for further analysis.

**Lipid profiling**

Vacuum-dried organic phases (550 μL) resulted from MTBE extraction resuspended in 100 μL of LC grade water. After sonication of samples for 10 min in an ice-cooled sonicator bath, tubes were centrifuged for 15 min at full speed (>12,000 rpm). Supernatant (100 μL)—without any sediment from the bottom of the tube—was transferred to LC tubes for analysis. The samples were run on a UPLC-LC-MS machine as described previously.40 Briefly, the UPLC system was equipped with an HSS T3 C18 reverse-phase column (100 x 2.1 mm internal diameter, 1.8 μm particle size; Waters) that was operated at a temperature of 40°C. The mobile phases consisted of 0.1% formic acid in water (solvent A) and 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile (solvent B). The flow rate of the mobile phase was 400 μL/min, and 2 μL of sample was loaded per injection. The UPLC instrument was connected to an Exacte Orbitrap-focus (Thermo Fisher Scientific) via a heated electrospray source (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The spectra were recorded using full-scan positive and negative ion-detection mode, covering a mass range from m/z 100 to 1,500. The resolution was set to 70,000, and the mass scan time was set to 250 ms. The sheath gas was set to a value of 60 while the auxiliary gas was set to 35. The transfer capillary temperature was set to 150°C while the heater temperature was adjusted to 350°C. The spray voltage was fixed at 3 kV, with a capillary voltage and a skimmer voltage of 25 V and 15 V, respectively. MS spectra were recorded from minutes 0 to 19 of the UPLC gradient. Processing of chromatograms, peak detection, and integration were performed using RefinerMS (version 5.3; GeneData). Metabolite identification and annotation were performed using standard compounds, tandem MS (MS/MS) fragmentation, and metabolomics databases.52 When using the in-house reference compound library we allowed for 10 ppm mass error, and a dynamic retention-time shift of 0.1. For more details, see Data S6. Log$_2$ normalized raw intensities were used for further analysis.

**Hormone profiling**

The levels of selected plant hormones (see Data S4) were quantified as follows. Fifty milligrams of plant sample was extracted with 1 mL of precooled (−20°C) extraction MTBE/MeOH solvent. After mixing by vortexing, samples were kept on an orbital shaker for 30 min at 4°C followed by a 15-min sonication step in an ice-cooled bath. Thereafter, the samples were kept on an orbital shaker for an additional 30 min at 4°C. The samples were centrifuged at a speed of 10,000 × g for 10 min at 4°C. A fixed volume (0.5 mL) of upper supernatant (MTBE phase) was collected into a 1.5-mL microcentrifuge tube and dried down using a SpeedVac concentrator at room temperature (samples take up to 1 h at 30°C). The dried pellets were resuspended in 100 μL of water/methanol (50:50) solution, and the resuspended samples were subjected to UPLC-electrospray ionization (ESI)-MS/MS hormonal analysis. Separation was achieved using a UPLC system (e.g., Waters Acquity UPLC system; Waters, Manchester, UK) consisting of autoinjector, column oven, two binary pumps, degasser, and low-volume mixer. Analytical UPLC separation was achieved on a reverse-phase C18 column. A high-strength silica (HSS) T3 column (100 x 2.1 mm containing 1.8-μm diameter particles; Waters) fitted with a 2.1 x 10 mm guard column (Acquity UPLC HSS T3 VanGuard Pre-column, 100 Å, 1.8 μm; Waters) was used for this method. A UPLC separation method performed using a binary solvent system consists of water containing 0.1% (v/v) formic acid (solvent A) and methanol containing 0.1% (v/v) formic acid (solvent B). The gradient parameters for reverse-phase UPLC separation in negative ionization mode were as follows: 62% eluent A for 65 min; 45% B from 65 to 7 min; 10% A from 7 to 7.1 min; held at 0% A from 7.1 to 8.1 min; returned to initial conditions by 8.1 min. From 8.1 to 10 min, the column was re-equilibrated and conditioned to 62% A. The analysis in the positive mode was performed using the same gradient but starting with 80% A instead of 62% A. The
column temperature was set at 40°C. The flow rate was 0.4 mL/min. The autosampler temperature was set at 10°C. Injection volume was 7.5 μL. The detection was determined by MS/MS analysis using a 4000 Triple Quad mass analyzer (e.g., 4000 QTRAP, AB Sciex Germany, Darmstadt, Germany) in multiple reaction monitoring scan type equipped with an ESI source (e.g., Turbo V Ion Source; AB Sciex) and attached to the UPLC system. Analyst software (version 1.6.2, AB Sciex) was used for instrument control and data acquisition, processing, and analysis. The operating parameters for MS/MS analysis were as follows: ion polarity, positive or negative; ion source, Turbo spray; capillary ion spray voltage, 5.5 kV or −4.5 kV for positive or negative ion polarity, respectively; probe tip position, 3 mm; source temperature, 500°C; gas, nitrogen; curtain gas, 25 psi; nebulizing gas and focusing gas, 40 psi; interface heater, on; collision activated dissociation gas pressure, medium.
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