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Abstract

This work presents ‘BanglaNLG,’ a comprehensive benchmark for evaluating natural language generation (NLG) models in Bangla, a widely spoken yet low-resource language in the web domain. We aggregate three challenging conditional text generation tasks under the BanglaNLG benchmark. Then, using a clean corpus of 27.5 GB of Bangla data, we pretrain BanglaT5, a sequence-to-sequence Transformer model for Bangla. BanglaT5 achieves state-of-the-art performance in all of these tasks, outperforming mT5 (base) by up to 5.4%. We are making the BanglaT5 language model and a leaderboard publicly available in the hope of advancing future research and evaluation on Bangla NLG. The resources can be found at https://github.com/csebuetnlp/BanglaNLG.

1 Introduction

The emergence of pretrained language models (Devlin et al., 2019; Radford et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2019) has brought about a revolutionary change in natural language processing. With little task-specific fine-tuning, these models can achieve state-of-the-art results on many natural language processing tasks (Wang et al., 2018; Rajpurkar et al., 2016; Tjong Kim Sang and De Meulder, 2003). However, most of the focus of these pretrained models has been on natural language understanding (NLU). Even models pretrained with generative objectives (Raffel et al., 2020) concern themselves with NLU tasks more than natural language generation (NLG) tasks. Although there have been recent efforts to uplift NLG (Gehrmann et al., 2021), they are primarily geared towards high- and mid-resource languages. For example, despite being the sixth most spoken language in the world with over 300 million native speakers comprising 4% of the world’s total population,¹ there has not been any comprehensive study on Bangla NLG. This can be attributed to the lack of a diverse set of NLG tasks under a single benchmark and strong pretrained Bangla NLG models.

To overcome these deficiencies, we present ‘BanglaNLG’, a benchmark for Bangla language generation comprising three representative tasks on machine translation, abstractive text summarization and question answering. BanglaNLG is the first-ever NLG benchmark for a low-resource, to the best of our knowledge.

To establish a strong baseline for this benchmark, we pretrain BanglaT5 – a sequence-to-sequence Transformer model (Vaswani et al., 2017) pretrained on a 27.5 GB clean Bangla text corpus covering a broad range of domains. In summary:

- We develop the BanglaNLG benchmark bringing together three NLG tasks.
- We pretrain BanglaT5 and evaluate it on NLG tasks, showing strong results.

BanglaT5 outperforms its multilingual counterpart mT5 (base), achieving new state-of-the-art results on three tasks with a 5.4% average gain over mT5. We are releasing the BanglaT5 model and a live leaderboard to promote future research on Bangla NLG.

2 The Bangla Natural Language Generation (BNLG) Benchmark

There have been prior sporadic works on Bangla NLG, mostly catered to Machine Translation (Hasan et al., 2020a; Mumin et al., 2019a,b) and Text Summarization (Bhattacharjee et al., 2020; Dhar et al., 2021). However, Bangla NLG still lacks a unified study comprising diverse and challenging tasks. To this end, we establish the first-ever Bangla Natural Language Generation Benchmark (BNLG). When selecting the evaluation tasks for BNLG, we take the following considerations into account:

¹https://w.wiki/Psq
Task diversity The tasks should focus on evaluating the generalization capabilities of an NLG model. Therefore, they should vary in task nature – the length of input and output, the type of generated text, target domain, and the size of the dataset.

Task difficulty The tasks should be reasonably challenging while not being unsolvable. In addition, models evaluated on these tasks should be able to compete with human performance.

Reliable evaluation The selected tasks should have reliable automated evaluation metrics to assess the quality of the generated text. Therefore, we do not consider weakly conditioned tasks such as answer-agnostic question generation, where there are many plausible outputs for a given input.

Quality and availability The selected datasets for these tasks should meet the minimum quality standards and be accessible to encourage researchers to design better NLG models.

Considering the above, we design BNLG as an aggregation of three tasks, namely, Machine Translation, Abstractive Text Summarization, and Question Answering. We briefly describe them below:

1. Machine Translation. Machine translation is perhaps the most studied NLG task in Bangla and the most commonly benchmarked NLG task in general. For this task, we use the BanglaNMT parallel corpus introduced by (Hasan et al., 2020a). It is the largest Bangla-English machine translation dataset curated, with 2.75 million parallel pairs as the training data. The sentence pairs originate from various domains such as Wikipedia, news articles, religious and law documents, etc. We evaluate the NLG models in both directions on this dataset, i.e., English to Bangla and Bangla to English. This is particularly challenging since it assesses an NLG model’s bilingual generation capabilities. Following standard practice, we use detokenized SacreBLEU (Post, 2018) as the evaluation metric for this task.

2. Abstractive Text Summarization. This task aims to generate a short and fluent summary given a long text document. We chose the Bangla portion of XL-Sum (Hasan et al., 2021b) for this task. XL-Sum is a large comprehensive dataset for abstractive text summarization where the article and summaries are annotated by professional annotators of BBC. The news articles cover various topics such as entertainment, science, technology, sports, etc. For this task, we use ROUGE-2 (Lin, 2004) as the evaluation metric.

3. Question Answering. This is a fundamental NLP task that can be modeled as both an NLU and NLG task. For this task, we use the BQA (Bhattacharjee et al., 2022) dataset. The training data of BQA is machine translated, while the validation and test data come from the human-annotated question-answer pairs of TyDi-QA (Clark et al., 2020) secondary Gold passage task. Although the TyDi-QA task only contains answerable questions, BQA introduced unanswerable questions to the task to make it more challenging. Following SQuAD 2.0 (Rajpurkar et al., 2018), we use Exact Match (EM) and F1 as the evaluation metrics.

We present detailed statistics of the BNLG benchmark in Table 1.

| Task                                   | Corpus            | |Train| |Dev| |Test| |Metric| |Domain|
|----------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Machine Translation (bn ↔ en)          | BanglaNMT         | 2,751,315       | 598             | 1,000           | SacreBLEU       | Misc.            |
| Abstractive Text Summarization         | XL-Sum            | 8,102           | 1,012           | 1,012           | ROUGE-2         | BBC             |
| Question Answering                     | TyDiQA            | 127,771         | 2,502           | 2,504           | F1/EM           | Wiki.           |

Table 1: Dataset statistics and basic characteristics of BNLG

3 BanglaT5

In this section, we describe the pretraining data, objectives, and model architecture of BanglaT5.

3.1 Pretraining Data

We chose Bangla2B+ (Bhattacharjee et al., 2022) as the pretraining data for BanglaT5. This is a 27.5 GB dataset containing 5.25 million documents collected from a meticulously selected list of web sources. While larger sources of Bangla data dumps like CCNet (Wenzek et al., 2020) and mC4 (Xue et al., 2021) are available, these contain a lot of noise and offensive texts that are difficult to remove. For a generative model, even small amounts of unwanted texts in pretraining could lead to potentially dangerous biases in generated text (Luccioni and Viviano, 2021). Therefore, we opted not to use them.

---

2 We use the rouge implementation of https://github.com/csebuetnlp/xl-sum/tree/master/multilingual_rouge_scoring, since it supports Bangla stemming
Table 2: Performance comparison on different downstream tasks

| Model       | Params. | MT     | ATS   | QA     | BNLG Score |
|-------------|---------|--------|-------|--------|------------|
| mT5 (base)  | 582M    | 36.6/22.5 | 10.27 | 58.95/65.32 | 38.73     |
| BanglaT5    | 247M    | 38.8/25.2 | 13.66 | 68.49/74.77 | 44.18     |

3.2 Pre-processing

Following Hasan et al. (2020b), we preprocessed the text using their normalization pipeline. We trained a SentencePiece (Kudo and Richardson, 2018) vocabulary of 32000 subword tokens on the normalized corpus with a character coverage of 0.99995. While creating a training sample, we limited the maximum sequence length to 512 tokens for both input and output. After tokenization, we had 4.8 million data points with an average sequence length of 402.32 tokens.

3.3 Pretraining Objective

For generative language modeling, two standard choices are decoder-only language models (Mikolov et al., 2010) and encoder-decoder models (Sutskever et al., 2014). (Radford et al., 2019) train a decoder-only left-to-right Transformer language model pretrained on the conditional continuation objective. However, to provide more flexibility on generation and possible usage on understanding tasks, we only consider encoder-decoder models following the original design of Transformer (Vaswani et al., 2017). These models are trained typically by maximizing the likelihood of the target output given an input. To increase the capacity of both the encoder and decoder, they are generally trained with different denoising objectives. For instance, BART (Lewis et al., 2020b), and mBART (Liu et al., 2020) use a text infilling based denoising objective, whereas MARGE (Lewis et al., 2020a) is a multilingual encoder-decoder model that is trained to reconstruct a document in one language by retrieving documents in other languages.

Following (Raffel et al., 2020), we pretrain BanglaT5 using a masked language modeling "span-correction" denoising objective, which has been empirically shown to be an optimal choice for encoder-decoder models. In this objective, consecutive spans of input tokens are replaced with a mask token, and the model is trained to reconstruct the masked-out tokens.

3.4 Model Architecture & Hyperparameters

We pretrained the base variant of the T5 model (12 layers, 12 attention heads, 768 hidden size, 2048 feed-forward size with GEGLU activation (Shazeer, 2020) in the feed-forward layer for both the encoder and decoder) with a batch size of 65536 tokens for 3 million steps on a v3-8 TPU instance on GCP. We used the Adam (Kingma and Ba, 2015) optimizer with a 3e-4 learning rate and linear warmup of 10k steps, and ‘inverse square root’ learning rate decay.

4 Experiments & Results

We fine-tuned BanglaT5 on the selected tasks of BNLG and compared it with its multilingual counterpart mT5 (base) (Xue et al., 2021) trained on 101 languages.

All pretrained models were fine-tuned for 3-15 epochs on each task with batch size 32-128. We used linear warmup with a ratio of 0.1, label smoothing of 0.1, and weight decay of 1e-6 with the Adam optimizer. The best model was evaluated based on the validation performance after each epoch.

During inference, we used beam-search (Och and Ney, 2004) with beam size 5 (on all tasks except QA), removed duplicated trigrams during beam search (Fan et al., 2018) and used a length penalty (Wu et al., 2016) of 0.6. For QA, we use greedy decoding, i.e., picking the most probable token during each decoding step.

The results on different downstream tasks are detailed in Table 2. In all the tasks, BanglaT5 outperformed mT5 by a considerable margin of 5.45%, achieving an average score of 44.18. In monolingual tasks, as expected, BanglaT5 achieves a big performance gain over mT5 (up to 9.54% in the QA task), which can be attributed to the quality of the pretraining data. However, we find the Machine Translation results particularly interesting, where BanglaT5 outperforms the multilingual mT5 in both (bn→en) and (en→bn) by 2.2% and 2.7%, respectively. This suggests that despite having very little English data in the pretraining corpus, BanglaT5 can generalize well to a new translation language, given quality fine-tuning data. Furthermore, BanglaT5 is superior in performance and substantially compute- and memory-efficient due to its smaller size (less than half the parameters of mT5). In practice, we observe 2-2.5x faster train-
ing and inference times with BanglaT5 compared to mT5.

5 Related Works

Pretrained models Natural language processing has witnessed a sea of change with the advent of pretrained language models like ULMfit (Howard and Ruder, 2018), ELMo (Peters et al., 2018), and most notably BERT (Devlin et al., 2019). These models achieved state-of-the-art results in many NLU benchmarks. Besides these NLU models, more and more pretrained-based models designed for NLG tasks have been proposed. (Rothe et al., 2020) adopt pretrained NLU model checkpoints for generative tasks. GPT-2 (Radford et al., 2019) and later GPT-3 (Brown et al., 2020) show that pretrained generative language models can perform remarkably well in zero-shot transfer tasks. More recently, Qi et al. (2020) proposed ProphetNet, which introduces the future n-gram prediction mechanism for language generation. Dabre et al. (2022) introduced IndicBART, which is pretrained on 11 Indic languages, including Bangla and English.

NLG Benchmarks Recently, there have been a lot of multi-task NLG benchmarks proposed to drive the progress of generalizable models. Moussallem et al. (2020) proposed BENG as a benchmarking platform for natural language generation and knowledge extraction system. GLGE (Liu et al., 2021) is a similar benchmark with a different set of tasks and difficulty levels. However, these benchmarks are limited to English data only. Gehrmann et al. (2021) introduced the GEM benchmark for various tasks such as summarization (Hasan et al., 2021b), data-to-text generation (Nan et al., 2021) across different languages. Cahyawijaya et al. (2021) introduced different tasks and baseline models for 3 Indonesian languages. More recently, (Kumar et al., 2022) introduced Indic-NLG, a benchmark with five tasks spanning 11 Indic languages, including Bangla.

6 Conclusion & Future Works

A detailed review of recent literature reveals that NLP research in low-resource is lagging behind due to the lack of reliable benchmarks and datasets. To facilitate the development, evaluation, and comparison of new NLG models, we introduced a multi-task evaluation benchmark for Bangla NLG, a widely spoken yet low-resource language. We presented BanglaT5, a generalizable NLG model in Bangla, setting new state-of-the-art results on all tasks with BanglaT5. We strongly believe that our contributions in this work will help Bangla NLP community benchmark NLG tasks more easily under a unified setup.

In future work, we plan to introduce new tasks to BNLG, such as personalized dialogue generation (Zhang et al., 2018), conversational question-answering (Reddy et al., 2019), cross-lingual summarization (Hasan et al., 2021a). We will also add more recent multilingual models to our comparison to BanglaT5 such as mBART-50 (Tang et al., 2020) and DeltaLM (Ma et al., 2021).
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