Structural tuning of heterogeneous molecular catalysts for electrochemical energy conversion
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Heterogeneous molecular catalysts based on transition metal complexes have received increasing attention for their potential application in electrochemical energy conversion. The structural tuning of first and second coordination spheres of complexes provides versatile strategies for optimizing the activities of heterogeneous molecular catalysts and appropriate model systems for investigating the mechanism of structural variations on the activity. In this review, we first discuss the variation of first spheres by tuning ligated atoms; afterward, the structural tuning of second spheres by appending adjacent metal centers, pendant groups, electron withdrawing/donating, and conjugating moieties on the ligands is elaborated. Overall, these structural tuning resulted in different impacts on the geometric and electronic configurations of complexes, and the improved activity is achieved through tuning the stability of chemisorbed reactants and the redox behaviors of immobilized complexes.

INTRODUCTION

Electrochemical energy conversion represents a promising approach to alleviate our dependence on fossil fuels, as it allows the utilization of renewable energy sources, which are subject to intermittence and geographic dependence, and stores in the form of chemical fuels (1–4). In recent years, various electrochemical conversion processes, such as H₂O splitting and CO₂ reduction, have been intensively studied, in which the key barrier lies in the development of efficient and low-cost catalysts (5–10). Among various types of catalysts, heterogeneous molecular catalysts, which are commonly obtained from immobilizing free-standing transition metal (TM) complexes onto solid supports (11, 12), exhibit great potential. The active sites of such catalysts are ultimately downsized, which can afford high turnover frequencies (TOFs) for catalysis (13–16). Moreover, their heterogeneous structures could also avoid the solvent-dependent issue of molecular catalysis (17–19), increase the turnover numbers of active sites in aqueous solutions (20), and thus are suitable for integrating into devices for practical applications (11, 20–23).

For a cycle of heterogeneous molecular catalysis, it usually takes place on (or with) the metal centers of the immobilized complexes. The geometric and electronic configurations of the complexes determine the chemisorption states of reactants/derived intermediates on the metal centers and the redox properties of the complexes, which govern the catalytic kinetics. Nevertheless, the comprehensive correlations between the structure and activity still have not been well clarified, and the quest for such knowledge has been the focus of many investigations. On the single molecular level, the structures of complexes on solid supports are well defined and can be altered through ligand control, with structural tuning realized in their first or second coordination spheres. In these variations, the mechanistic insights into the structural impacts on the geometric and electronic configurations of active sites are gained from molecularly discrete metal centers, which is relatively systematic and distinct from the insights from the studies of bulk or micro/nanosized metal catalysts focusing on their surfaces and interfaces made up of continuous metal centers (24). This not only derives a variety of novel catalysts but also provides the appropriate model platforms to approach the intrinsic structure-activity correlations of catalysts (25–27).

Here, we summarize recent progress in the structural tuning of TM complexes and present the inherent impacts of the structural variations on the activity in the context of heterogeneous molecular electrocatalysis. As far as we know, such perspective has not been discussed in other reviews recently reported. Specifically, the structural tuning is realized from first to second coordination spheres of complexes as presented in Fig. 1. The first spheres are directly bonded with metal centers, which could be tuned by changing the ligated atoms or introducing ancillary ligands at the axial coordination planes. The structural variations lead to direct impacts on the filling of electron orbitals in metal centers and the redox currents of heterogenized complexes. From another aspect, the second spheres refer to the functional groups appended on the ligands, which include adjacent metal centers, pendant groups, electron-donating/ withdrawing, and conjugating moieties. Correspondingly, their modification allows the tuned chemisorption of reactants, shift of redox potentials of complexes, and even distinct catalytic cycles from traditional molecular catalytic cycles. Their impacts on key electrochemical reactions are discussed. These electrochemical reactions include the oxygen evolution reaction (OER), CO₂ reduction reaction (CO₂RR), and hydrogen evolution reaction (HER), which typically involve multiple protons and electrons transfer. Noting that the structures of molecular catalysts have the myriads of changes, we do not intend to provide a comprehensive summary but to
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TUNING OF LIGATED ATOMS IN FIRST COORDINATION SPHERE
From N to non-N atom ligation
In the first spheres of TM complexes, ligated atoms have direct impacts on the electronic structures of metal centers. The common ligated atoms are N atoms for a variety of molecular catalysts based on TM complexes. Toward the structural tuning of first spheres, neutral S, P, O, and C atom–based coordination can also be used to synthesize alternative complexes, which exhibit tunable activity because of the following two aspects: (i) These alternative atoms have different Lewis basicity from N as determined by atomic sizes and polarities; (ii) atoms such as S and P have larger sizes to generated prolonged coordination bonds with less steric effects. These two aspects allow the modulation of the interacting strength between ligands and metal centers, as well as the geometries of complexes.

As a typical example, Pd complexes with triphosphine ligands have been known as homogeneous catalysts for CO$_2$-to-CO conversion in CH$_3$CN or N,N’-dimethylformamide (DMF) (28). When the ligated P atoms were replaced by N atoms (or C, O, S, and As atoms; Fig. 2A), the resultant Pd complexes turned to be catalytically inert (29). The redox characterizations indicated that the Pd triphosphine complexes exhibited two-electron reduction, while it changed to one-electron reduction with N (or C, O, S, and As) ligation in the same potential window. This implied a notable change in the electronic configurations of the complexes by the ligated atoms, which subsequently determined the catalytic activity. Ni bisdiphosphine complexes function as highly active catalysts for both HER and hydrogen oxidation reaction. With the formation of Ni-P coordination bonds, in the context of hydrogen oxidation, it provides appropriate pockets above the Ni-P coordination plane for the chemisorption of H$_2$ and heterolytic cleavage of H–H bonding between Ni center and the pendant group (30, 31). Containing TM-S coordination bond, Co dithiolene complexes represent another type of typical HER catalysts (32–34). The complexes have different resonance forms (35). The first one contains ededithiolate and dithioketone, and the second one exhibits diradical character, where the ligated S atoms contain unpaired electrons (Fig. 2B). Theoretical studies indicated that, when the S atoms were substituted with N (or O) atoms, the participation probability of second resonance form increased. Correspondingly, there is a substantial drop of HER activity on the N (or O) atom coordinated complexes with analogous dithiolene structure.

The Ni centers embedded in graphene have been shown active for the conversion of CO$_2$ to CO, by which the maximum Faradic efficiency (FE) reached 99% at moderate overpotential ($\eta$) values in aqueous solutions (36, 37). The embedded Ni centers can be ligated with N or C atoms to contain various possible active sites (38, 39). Through density functional theory (DFT) calculations, Zhao and Liu (38) constructed a series of Ni-N$_x$C$_y$ coordination moieties embedded into a molecularly sized graphitic cluster, i.e., Ni-C$_3$, Ni-C$_4$, Ni-N$_x$C$_3$, Ni-N$_x$C$_4$, Ni-N$_x$C$_5$, Ni-N$_x$C$_6$, and Ni-N$_x$C$_7$, as the model system (Fig. 2C). In particular, the authors showed that the charge capacity of Ni moieties was critical to activity. From N to C atom ligation, the Fermi energy level ($E_F$) of Ni moieties decreased under the charge-neutral condition. Namely, at the same $E_F$ (i.e., same reduction potentials), the C atom ligation made the Ni moiety carry...
more amounts of negative charges, and the charge capacity reached optimal on the Ni-N$_2$C$_3$ moiety for deriving the highest activity (Fig. 2D). On this moiety, both the formation of H-bonds between CO$_2$ and solvent H$_2$O molecules and the interfacial electron transfer (IET) were facilitated, which promoted the chemisorption of CO$_2$ and generation of $^\ast$COOH intermediate, as the key elemental steps in the CO$_2$RR cycle. This should intrinsically result from the different Lewis basicity between the ligated N and C atoms toward Ni centers.

Toward heterogeneous molecular catalysis, Ni bisdiphosphine complexes have been grafted onto carbon nanotubes (CNTs) through amide-based linkages. The resultant catalysts exhibited comparable HER activity to Pt catalysts in aqueous solutions (40–42). The amide-based linkages were constructed at the edge of the molecular plane of the complexes without affecting the Ni-P cores. The non-N ligation effect should be well maintained, with the mechanism being the same as that on the free-standing complexes. This linking strategy is common to the heterogenization of the active non-N ligated complexes onto graphitic carbon surfaces. Distinctively, Wang et al. (11) constructed the non–N atom–doped graphene, which was directly applied for ligating Co$_2^+$ ions. As shown in Fig. 3A, through hydrothermal synthesis, graphene was doped with various heteroatoms (i.e., O, N, and S atoms) in the forms of hydroxyl (HO$^-$), carboxylic (COO$^-$), pyridinic (N$^-$), and sulfoxide (SO$^-$) groups, respectively. These doped groups were verified to result in an enhanced ORR activity of FePc. Similarly, the axial coordination by pyridine (py) ligands rearranged the electron orbitals of complexes to decrease their spin states, which resulted in an enhanced ORR activity of FePc. Axial coordination with N atoms also improved the ORR activity of the Fe-N$_4$ coordination moieties embedded in graphene (45, 46). Jia et al. (47) suggested that the axial coordination reduced the filled electron numbers in $e_g$ orbitals of Fe centers, which led to an appropriate end-on bonding between O$_2$ and Fe centers, facilitating the cleavage of O$_2$.

The effect of axial coordination was also verified in the investigation of CoPc complexes toward CO$_2$RR electrocatalysis. According to the study of Han et al. (47), the axial coordination of CoPc with
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**Fig. 3.** A heterogenous molecular Co catalyst with tunable ligated atoms. (A) Immobilization of Co(acac)$_2$ onto S, N, O atom–doped graphene using a coordination tether. (B) Cyclic voltammograms of Co$_2^+$ ions on various graphene in 1 M KOH. (C) TOFs for OER. (D) A proposed OER cycle on the Co center. Reproduced with permission from (11). Copyright 2017 American Chemical Society. SNG, S/N/O-doped graphene; SG, S/O-doped graphene; NG, N/O-doped graphene; OG, O-doped graphene.
The increased TOFs could be attributed to the fact that (i) the axial −SO had a different charge transfer ability from NapCo compared to other cases and increased the intrinsic activity of Co centers (Fig. 5C) and (ii) the coordination promoted the IET efficiency between NapCo and graphene (Fig. 5D), which was likely because the axial coordination increased the electron coupling degrees between graphene and NapCo. The IET efficiency primarily determines whether the complexes can be redox active to mediate the catalysis. To further verify the axial coordination effect on IET, a series of S atom–based ligands (i.e., phenyl sulfoxide, phenyl sulfide, and their derivatives) were axially coordinated with the Co centers of PorCo complexes (52). Indeed, it reflected the variation of IET efficiency between complexes and graphene with the change of ligated atoms. It was found that the sulfide-based axial coordination was even more efficient in improving the IET efficiency on graphene surfaces than the case using sulfoxide-based axial coordination, and the IET efficiency was positively correlated to the apparent TOFs of Co centers for CO₂RR catalysis.

STRUCTURAL TUNING OF SECOND COORDINATION SPHERE

Dinuclear metal centers

The second spheres of complexes are outside the first spheres. Although they are relatively distant from metal centers without having chemical bonds, the structural tuning of second spheres can influence the catalytic activity of the complexes by appending various functional groups onto the ligands. One important tuning strategy is conversion of mononuclear metal center into dinuclear metal center, which was inspired by the metal centers of many natural metalloenzymes, such as hydrogenases with [Ni-Fe]/[Fe-Fe] centers.

Fig. 4. Axial ligand effect. (A) Axial coordination by a py ligand on CoPc. (B) Co 3d orbital splitting by the axial coordination determined from multiplet fitting of Co L-edge absorption. (C) The free energy diagram for CO₂-to-CO conversion by CoPc with/without the py axial ligand. (D) A proposed cycle for CO₂-to-CO conversion by CoPc. (A to C) Reproduced with permission from (47). Copyright 2019 Wiley-VCH. (D) Reproduced with permission from (49). Copyright 2019 Nature Publishing Group.
and Cyt c oxidase with [Fe-Cu] centers (53–55). The dinuclear metal centers can coordinate with reactants through bridge geometry, distinct from mononuclear cases. Through such a configuration, reactants were electronically activated by both metal centers, or the chemisorbed intermediates were stabilized to facilitate subsequent elemental steps; thus, the enhanced activity can be achieved. The dinuclear Cu complexes with two (2-[bis(2-pyridinylmethyl)amino]ethyl)-ethane-1,2-diamine ligands have been reported for OER electrocatalysis (56). In an OER cycle, the dinuclear Cu centers had the bridge coordination geometry with –OH– species originating from activation of H₂O. Then, both Cu centers were oxidized from 2+ to 3+ state, resulting in the formation of O–O bonds between the bridge –OH– and one additional H₂O molecule, which was the RDS. The step was demonstrated to be more energetically favored on the dinuclear Cu centers compared to the mononuclear Cu centers, which can only have the linear coordination geometry with the oxygen species. The dinuclear Cu complexes with polypyridine-polyamide ligands are active ORR catalysts in acidic and neutral solutions (57). The study showed that at the reduction potentials, only one Cu center in the complex was reduced from 2+ to 1+ state to realize electron transfer with O₂. The other Cu center kept 2+ state, which should function to stabilize the chemisorption states of O₂ or the derived intermediates chemisorbed between Cu centers. Similarly, Ahmed et al. (58) synthesized a dinuclear Ni-Fe complex immobilized onto graphite through simple physisorption and tested it for HER catalysis. It also indicated that the HER was mediated through reduction of Ni²⁺ to Ni¹⁻ center, while the Fe center stayed as 2+ state in the whole catalytic cycle (Fig. 6A).

Besides, other nontraditional synthetic strategies have been reported recently to achieve dinuclear centers with heterogeneous structures toward OER catalysis. Wang et al. (59) immobilized Ni²⁺ ions onto graphene through the ligation of SO dopants. The Ni centers were redox active with a pair of quasi-reversible Ni²⁺/Ni³⁺ redox peaks but were not intrinsically OER active. During the redox process, it was shown that free Fe⁵⁺ ions, which were intentionally introduced into the electrolyte, connected with the Ni centers through oxygen ligation and directly generated dinuclear Ni-Fe centers on the graphene surface. Correspondingly, the OER catalytic currents sharply increased by the formation of dinuclear Ni-Fe centers (Fig. 6B). The TOFs of Ni-Fe centers were even about two times higher than those of heterogeneous molecular Co centers reported previously (e.g., 0.53 s⁻¹ versus 0.27 s⁻¹ at η = 0.35 V) (11). The Pourbaix diagram analysis confirmed the typical cooperative catalysis on the dinuclear Ni-Fe centers. For the mononuclear Ni center, only one HO⁻ ion, as the reactant, was linearly coordinated, which did not provide sufficient chemisorbed reactants for OER. In contrast, each dinuclear Ni-Fe center linearly coordinated with two HO⁻ ions, and it contained one additional chemisorbed HO⁻ ion as the bridge ligand between the Ni and Fe centers (Fig. 6C). This coordination state in commencing the catalysis was analogous to those in natural hydrogenases, as well as the above stated dinuclear Cu complexes. More recently, Bai et al. (60) reported the synthesis of dinuclear Co-Fe centers based on a similar strategy, which were also intrinsically more active than corresponding mononuclear Co centers. Briefly, they synthesized single Co atoms within graphene through the pyrolysis of Co salts and 1,10-phenanthroline. The ligands of single Co centers mainly included the N and C atoms of graphene. During the redox processes, it was suggested that parts of N and C ligated atoms were labile and replaceable by HO⁻ ions in alkaline electrolytes. The as-ligated HO⁻ ions functioned as the ligands to further connect with Fe⁵⁺ ions, thus generating the dinuclear Co-Fe centers.

Zhao et al. (61, 62) reported a photochemical synthetic route to achieve Ir dinuclear centers on various metal oxides. The precursors of free-standing dinuclear Ir complexes can interact with Fe₂O₃, TiO₂, CeO₂, and WO₃ surfaces through the coordination between the terminal O atoms of metal oxides and Ir centers. However, the as-derived heterogeneous dinuclear Ir complexes were not catalytically active. The complexes were further treated with oxygen radicals, which were generated through ultraviolet beam, to remove the organic parts of the complexes. It resulted in a dinuclear Ir center interconnected by O atoms, as proposed in Fig. 6D (top). The structure was analogous to an atomic unit of IrO₂ (61). The dinuclear Ir center turned to be active for water oxidation, and the TOF at 1.23 V (versus reversible hydrogen electrode) was 2.6 times higher than the mononuclear Ir center synthesized following the same route. The DFT calculations suggested that both Ir centers were converted into highly oxidized Ir⁵⁺ states. Before binding to water, it underwent three proton-coupled electron transfer (PCET) steps to derive dinuclear moieties, which were sufficiently energetic to

Fig. 5. Tuned electron transfer by axial ligands. (A) Charge density difference of NapCo axially bonded with the SO dopants of graphene. (B) TOFs of Co centers for CO₂-to-CO conversion on various NapCo. (C) Calculated overpotentials of CO₂RR on various NapCo as a function of ΔG°_COOH. (D) Differential pulse voltammograms of various NapCo. Reproduced with permission from (12). Copyright 2019 Wiley-VCH.
oxidize H₂O. For comparison, the mononuclear Ir centers only underwent two PCET steps to derive moieties that were less energetic. Besides, through controlling the distance among terminal O ligands or their surface amounts, the dinuclear Ir centers on metal oxides were turned from side-on to end-on immobilization geometry (Fig. 6D, bottom) (62). The centers with the end-on immobilization were geometrically more flexible than the ones with side-on immobilization because only one of the two Ir centers was bonded with the solid supports. This would decrease the steric effect of dinuclear Ir centers for interacting with reactants. The authors indicated that in the OER cycle, the side-on dinuclear Ir centers had the lower barriers both for chemisorption of H₂O and O₂ releasing compared to the end-on case.

In addition, molecular dinuclear metal sites have been incorporated into metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) (63, 64). The continuous MOF-based structures grant the incorporated metal sites heterogeneous nature. Zhao et al. (63) showed that in ultrathin MOF nanosheets, Ni²⁺ and Co²⁺ ions were interconnected by O ligands provided by benzenedicarboxylic acids. Both the metal centers have open sites for the chemisorption of reactants and were applied as the OER catalyst. Upon applying potentials, a dinuclear center was successively converted into hydroxide [Ni₀.₅Co₀.₅(OH)₂] before the redox potential of metal centers and oxyhydroxide carrying oxygen vacancy (Vₒ⁻⁻Ni₀.₅Co₀.₅OOH₀.₇₅) after metal redox and at OER potentials. The oxygen vacancy upshifted the antibonding states in Vₒ⁻⁻Ni₀.₅Co₀.₅OOH₀.₇₅ to promote the chemisorption of oxygen species on the metal sites. The contained Ni site remained more OER active than the Co site. Compared to the case of single Ni site, the introduction of Co sites facilitates the generation of high-valence Ni site in oxyhydroxide, which served as the actual OER active site in catalytic turnovers.

### Pendant group effect

The second spheres of complexes can be modified by appending various pendant groups above the metal centers. This establishes “reaction pockets” to stabilize the chemisorption states of reactants on metal centers or provide H⁺-donor environments depending on the acidity of pendant groups. The strategy is best exemplified by tetraphenylporphyrin (TPP)–based complexes (65, 66). In the investigation of CO₂RR on FeTPP, it was shown that the pendant group effect was influenced by pKₐ (where Kₐ is the acid dissociation constant) values, relative positions, and numbers of the
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**Fig. 6. Dinuclear metal centers.** (A) A proposed HER cycle on LNi²⁺Fe²⁺Cp complexes. (B) Steady cyclic voltammograms suggested the gradual formation of Ni-Fe centers on graphene [heterogeneous Ni-Fe centers on heteroatoms doped graphene (HG-NiFe)] in 1 M KOH containing various contents of FeCl₃. (C) Pourbaix diagram of HG-NiFe. (D) Synthesis of side-on and end-on dinuclear Ir sites on metal oxides. (A) Reproduced with permission from (58). Copyright 2018 Wiley-VCH. (B and C) Reproduced with permission from (59). Copyright 2018 The American Association for the Advancement of Science. (D) Reproduced with permission from (62). Copyright 2018 American Chemical Society.
Appended groups (67–70). Margarit et al. (67) tailor-designed the pendant groups of FeTPP from phenol (PhOH), guanidinium (Gnd), to phenylsulfonic acid (3SA) groups with large difference in pK_a. As learned from the DFT calculations, with CO_2 chemisorbed onto the Fe centers, the pendant PhOH or Gnd groups also simultaneously interacted with CO_2 to form the intramolecular H-bonds (Fig. 7A). This stabilized the systems with the Gibbs free energy change (∆G_HH) of −6.62 and −2.13 kcal/mol, respectively, compared to the ones without pendant groups. Such intramolecular H-bonds did not form on the 3SA pendant groups. Because, in the used electrolyte (PhOH, pK_a of 18), the 3SA groups (pK_a = 3) were highly deprotonated to be negatively charged, it resulted in the electrostatic repulsion between the 3SA ions and TPP rings (or the anionic form of CO_2) negatively charged at the CO_2RR potentials. This destabilized the CO_2 adduct on the Fe centers. Accordingly, it derived the activity trend of CO_2-to-CO conversion: FeTPP-PhOH > FeTPP-Gnd > FeTPP-3SA (Fig. 7B). Although not favoring CO_2RR, the strong H⁺ donor ability of 3SA groups was used to promote HER on FeTPP (71). The same authors also examined the impact of negative charges in the second sphere on the CO_2RR (70). They appended two pendant carboxylic groups, each located at one side of a FeTPP molecular plane. Both the pendant groups were deprotonated in the electrolyte of PhOH, and the resulting negative charges decreased the stability of chemisorbed CO_2 adducts, which were also negatively charged uncooperatively bonded to TFE.

Nichols et al. (68) showed that the relative positions of pendant amines on FeTPP were correlated to the stabilization of CO_2 adducts on Fe centers. Apparently, the relative positions of pendant groups should be neither too distant from nor too close to the metal centers. Among various analogous samples, the amines at the ortho positions of phenyl groups resulted in the stabilized CO_2 adducts and achieved the highest TOFs. Moreover, the authors simply added an electron-deficient molecule of bis(3,5-trifluoromethyl)-phenylurea into the electrolytes, and it also functioned as the pendant group for nickel cyclam in the CO_2RR catalysis (72). Despite the fact that the molecule was not appended on the cyclam ligand, its double amine sites still provided the H-bonding sites to the CO_2 adducts on the Ni centers and promoted the CO_2RR kinetics. Adversely, inappropriate positions of pendant groups could slow down the catalytic reaction. Chapovetsky et al. (73) investigated the Co complexes with aminopyridine ligands for CO_2 reduction. In the complexes, the H-bonding between the pendant amines and CO_2 adduct was energetically uphill (10.3 kcal mol⁻¹), involving a barrier of 15.2 kcal mol⁻¹. Instead, it was found that an intramolecular H-bond can be used to enhance the CO_2RR catalysis (Fig. 7C). In the selected electrolyte, 2,2,2-trifluoroethanol (TFE) was used as the proton donor for the protonation of CO_2. This acid also functioned as a bridge molecule connecting between the CO_2 adduct and pendant amines, with process downhill by −4.3 kcal mol⁻¹ without barriers. In the CO_2RR cycle, such an intermediate state was proposed to be further protonated to generate CO_2, and this step was RDS. In addition, the intramolecular H-bonds were quantitatively controlled through increasing the number of pendant amines (Fig. 7D). In this process, the pK_a values of appended amines gradually increased and each amine was noncooperatively bonded to TFE. This further stabilized the CO_2 adducts and increased the local concentration of TFE above the Co centers, thus promoting the protonation of CO_2 adducts.

The pendant group effect can also change the CO_2RR cycle as reported by Ronne et al. (74) in the investigation of manganese bipyridine complexes containing pendant tertiary amines. Originally, on the pristine Mn centers without pendant amines, CO_2 was first chemisorbed followed by the formation of *COOH intermediate. This eventually led to the formation of CO product. In the presence of pendant tertiary amines, rapid delivery of H⁺ near the Mn centers occurred since the pendant groups were considered as a Brønsted base having the protonation ability. Accordingly, the chemisorption of H⁺ on the Mn centers turned to be facilitated compared to CO_2, and it primarily generated [Mn-H] sites. The chemisorption
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**Fig. 7. Pendant group effect.** (A) FeTPP-Gnd (left) and FeTPP-PhOH (right) with CO_2 adduct. (B) Plateau analysis of CO_2RR by FeTPP-PhOH, FeTPP-Gnd, and FeTPP-3SA. (C) The intermolecular H-bonds between TFE and Co complexes. The number of pendant amines in complexes was tuned. (D) The dependence of k_0ds on the number of pendant amines in the Co complexes. (A and B) Reproduced with permission from (67). Copyright 2019 American Chemical Society. (C and D) Reproduced with permission from (73). Copyright 2018 American Chemical Society.
of CO₂ was then realized through interacting with the [Mn-H] sites to derive ─OCHO on the Mn centers, which is the precursor of HCOOH. Thus, the catalytic product was tuned from CO to HCOOH. We noted that the pendant group effect in TPP-based or analogous complexes were mainly revealed in the investigations of homogeneous catalysis (75), while they have been rarely reported in the heterogeneous states. Indeed, the complexes with pendant groups are structurally complicated or have large steric effects to hinder the realization of their heterogeneous structures. Among the limited examples, Maurin and Robert (76) replaced one phenyl group in FeTPP with a pyrene unit and successfully immobilized the complex onto CNT through pyrene moiety based on π-π interactions. In the CoPc/polymer systems proposed by McCrory and colleagues (48, 49), it suggested the possible involvement of pendant group effect; namely, some random py groups on polymer chains could be located above the equatorial plane of CoPc, which facilitated the chemisorption of CO₂ and H⁺ transfer toward the CO₂ adducts.

**Electron withdrawing and donating moieties**

Learning from the natural oxygen-evolving complex (OEC) of photosystem II, the second spheres can be appended with electron-withdrawing/donating moieties to improve catalytic activity. The OEC is made up of manganese-calcium-oxygen cluster (Mn₄CaO₅). The Ca²⁺ ion is redox inactive but has certain Lewis acidity to optimize the redox potentials of the adjacent Mn-oxo active sites, which is thus critical for catalytic activity. This has been verified by various studies in which various synthetic complexes are modified through incorporating redox-inactive metal ions with various Lewis acidities to mimic the OEC (77–79). A typical example is the complex of [(TMC)Fe³⁺]²⁺ (TMC = 1,4,8,11-tetramethyl-1,4,8,11-tetraazacyclotetradecane) (77), which was bonded to O₂ under photoirradiation in the presence of M⁺⁺ (M⁺⁺ = Sr²⁺, Ca²⁺, Zn²⁺, Lu³⁺, Y³⁺, and Sc³⁺, respectively) to derive the M⁺⁺ and peroxo bound Fe³⁺ complexes (i.e., [(TMC)Fe³⁺(μ-η²:η²-O₂)]⁻⁻M⁺⁺, 1-M⁺⁺; Fig. 8A). The peroxo species was further either reduced to O²⁻ species or oxidized to O₂ for realizing ORR and OER, respectively. With increasing the Lewis acidities of M⁺⁺, which can draw more electron density from the active sites, the oxidation potential of peroxo species of 1-M⁺⁺ increased, while the reduction potential decreased (Fig. 8B). This indicated the opposite impacts of electron withdrawing/donating on the catalysis of OER and ORR. In addition, Fukushima et al. (80) investigated the same effects in the heterogeneous molecular catalysis for ORR. They condensed o-phenylenediamine derivatives with o-quinone moieties at graphene edges to derive a phenylenediamine moiety as the active sites (Fig. 8C). The electron withdrawing (i.e., -fluoro and -fluoro-connected pyridinium) and donating (i.e., -methyl) groups were appended onto the phenylenediamine moiety, respectively. The electron donation clearly led to high TOFs of active sites, which followed the same trend of ORR activity for those above 1-M⁺⁺ complexes (Fig. 8D).
By anchoring NiPc onto CNT via π-π stacking, Zhang et al. (81) found that appending cyano (-CN) groups, as the strong electron-withdrawing groups, onto Pc ligands decreased the η for catalyzing CO$_2$ to CO (Fig. 9, A and B). Mukerjee and colleagues (45, 82) suggested that in such a variation, the ϵ$_g$ energy levels of metal centers of complexes downshift with electron withdrawing, accompanied with anodic shifts of redox potentials of metal centers. As the redox of metal centers mediated the catalysis, the η decreased accordingly (83). This could be feasible for both CO$_2$RR and ORR, as well as other reduction processes [we noted that in some cases, the coordination of CO, H$_2^+$, or/and intermediates/products is related to the electron density of metal centers, which could affect the kinetics of CO$_2$RR, as discussed by Barlow and Yang (84); this complicates the redox-mediated mechanism but does not conflict with the above general correlation between electron density of metal centers and η]. In Liang’s work, it is indeed observed that the redox potentials of NiPc anodically shifted to high potentials with appending the -CN groups. The redox of NiPc occurred before CO$_2$RR potentials, suggesting the redox-mediated catalytic mechanism. This similar phenomenon was also observed in CoPc/CoPc-CN–based heterogeneous CO$_2$RR catalysis (85). Unfortunately, NiPc and NiPc-CN on CNT exhibited poor durability, especially at the high reduction potentials (Fig. 9C). Even the classical CoPc/CNT system was reported to be catalytically durable for only about 1 hour (86). Structural analysis showed that the Pc ligands were hydrogenated under the reduction environments, leading to demetallization of complexes and loss of molecular active sites with the formation of metals and/or metal oxides (81, 86). The issue was addressed by appending electron-donating groups, methoxy (-OMe), onto the Pc ligands, at the cost of increase overpotential compared to that on pristine NiPc/CNT. As gained from x-ray absorption spectroscopy data, the appended -OMe moieties could increase the reduction potentials of NiPc, which could hinder the hydrogenation of Pc ligands and increase the structural stability of NiPc. The NiPc-OMe/CNT can be kept durable at 150 mA cm$^{-2}$ for 40 hours in an assembled gas diffusion layer electrode. Appending of amine groups (-NH$_2$) onto the Pc ligands of CoPc for electron donating also improved the catalytic durability of CO$_2$ to methanol conversion, which lasted for 12 hours without a clear drop of catalytic selectivity (86). Such an electron donating effect thus shed light on the practical application of heterogeneous molecular catalysis based on the Pc complexes.

In the DFT study, the theoretical η values on NiPc and its derivatives, however, were inversely correlated with the experimental results (81). Such a contradiction might be attributed to the structural variation of NiPc during the redox of NiPc. Indeed, the structure of the reduced NiPc could contain different hydrogenated and charged states (87). Besides, in the heterogeneous states, NiPc can be conjugated with graphitic carbon-based supports. This further complicates the molecular structures of reduced NiPc (details are discussed in the following part), which currently might be difficult to be fully reflected by current DFT models.

**Electron conjugation effect**

To support free complexes, graphitic carbon has been widely used because of its high supporting capacity, good conductivity, and structural stability (88, 89). Notably, graphitic carbon contains highly conjugated π electrons, which can stabilize additional electrons introduced externally. This feature can change the redox behaviors of the supported complexes and allow the tuning of their catalytic properties. Garrido-Barros et al. synthesized [([L(1,2-phenylenebis(oxamide))]Cu(2+)]$^{2−}$ [L = 2-pyrene-1,2-phenylenebis(oxamide)] complexes, 1$^{2−}$, which emerged as a representative molecular catalyst for OER in alkaline solutions (Fig. 10A). In an OER cycle, 1$^{2−}$ was oxidized to [(L$^+$Cu)Cu(2+)]$^{1−}$ (1$^{1−}$), followed by the generation of [(L$^+$Cu)Cu]OH$^−$ as the active site to commence the catalysis. In these redox steps, it involved the oxidation of phenyl rings of 1$^{2−}$, which was reversed, to determine the generation of active site. On the phenyl groups of 1$^{2−}$, the authors appended pyrene groups to derive the [(L$^{py}$Cu)Cu(2+)]$^{1−}$ [L = 4-pyrenyl-1,2-phenylenebis(oxamide)], 2$^{2−}$. Compared to the 1$^{2−}$/1$^{1−}$ couple, the redox potential of 2$^{2−}$ to 2$^{1−}$ was cathodically shifted by 130 mV, which was realized through the oxidation of pyrene groups (i.e., the generation of [(L$^{py}$Cu)$^{3+}$]Cu(2+)]$^{1−}$ (10B). This verified that the conjugated π electrons of pyrene moiety stabilized the introduced electrons. Afterward, the active sites of [(L$^{py}$Cu)Cu(3+)]$^{1−}$ were derived to oxidize HO$^-$ ions. The TOF$_{max}$, based on the foot of the wave analysis, achieved 128 s$^{-1}$ on 2$^{2−}$ versus 6.2 s$^{-1}$ on 1$^{1−}$ (Fig. 10C), demonstrating the significant impacts of electron-conjugated effects on the OER catalysis. Taking advantage of the effect, 2$^{2−}$ was immobilized onto graphene (G) by π-π stacking. The π conjugation degree in graphene was much higher than that in pyrene moiety. Accordingly, the TOF$_{max}$ further increased to 540 s$^{-1}$ on G-2$^{2−}$, and even in the case of G-1$^{2−}$, the TOF$_{max}$ increased to 320 s$^{-1}$. In a series of work of Surendranath and colleagues (80, 91, 92), the pyrazine moieties...
served as the covalent linkages between graphene edges and complexes [e.g., CoTPP; Fig. 10D (91)]. The electrons between these moieties are highly conjugated to derive graphite-conjugated catalyst-CoTPP (GCC-CoTPP). For comparison, a control sample was included where CoTPP complexes were attached to graphene edges through nonconjugated amide linkages (Amide-CoTPP). Toward ORR, the TOFs of Co centers in GCC-CoTPP were almost one order of magnitude higher than those in Amide-CoTPP (Fig. 10E). The result again confirmed the \( \pi \) conjugation effect in the improvement of catalytic activity.

It is accepted that the catalysis on complexes commonly adopts the redox-mediated mechanism. It contains multiple elemental steps, which proceed depending on the redox of complexes (Fig. 11A). In the work of Jackson \textit{et al.} (93), it is suggested that the electron conjugation effect can intrinsically change the mechanism of molecular catalysis on graphene by eliminating access to stepwise redox mediation pathways. Because of the strong interfacial electron conjugation established by pyrazine linkages, the energy difference between complexes and graphene was eliminated; thus, the redox of metal complex never occurred. This is analogous to that on metallic catalysts, where the redox of surface atoms usually does not occur. Instead, the IET, mediated by graphene and complexes, is directly realized on the reactants once they chemisorb on the complexes at applied potentials (Fig. 11B) (22, 93). The concerted chemisorption of reactants and IET can avoid some elemental steps in molecular catalysis, some of which would have been RDSs with high barriers otherwise.

**CONCLUSION AND PERSPECTIVE**

This review summarized various strategies on structurally tuning of TM complex–based heterogeneous molecular catalysts for efficient electrocatalytic applications such as \( \text{H}_2 \text{O} \) splitting and \( \text{O}_2 /\text{CO}_2 \) reduction. The tunable structures of molecular catalysts also provide appropriate model systems to investigate the structure-activity correlations of catalysts through a combination of activity measurement, operando structural analysis, and DFT calculations. Through these insights, it is expected that the application of these structural tuning strategies can be extended to discover more active and stable heterogeneous molecular catalysts.

On the basis of tailored design from first coordination sphere to second coordination sphere, it revealed different electronic and geometric impacts on the redox of complexes, chemisorption of reactants, and catalytic cycles toward achieving high catalytic activity. For the first spheres, their structural variations were realized by varying ligated atoms or appending ancillary ligands. This directly changed the electron filling states in metal centers and subsequently modulated the intrinsic activities. In parallel, it was suggested that
the ligated atoms on the solid supports served as the linkages for complexes and determined the IET efficiency between the immobilized complexes and electrodes. Adjacent to the first spheres, the tuning of second spheres of complexes have been shown to optimize the catalytic activity of complexes through the following effects: (i) to stabilize the chemisorbed reactants on metal centers through bridge binding with appended pendant groups or adjacent metal centers; (ii) to facilitate the H+ transfer by providing local H+ sources; and (iii) to change the redox properties of metal centers with electron withdrawing, donating, and conjugating to effectively decrease χ values of catalysis.

Despite the above progress, there are still challenges remaining to be addressed, and they can basically be converged to three aspects. First, the above-stated structural tuning strategies still have not been readily used for practical heterogeneous molecular catalysts, especially in the cases of constructing the dinuclear metal centers and pendant groups, because of the relatively complicated structures of such coordination spheres with large steric effects for the heterogenization processes. For instance, to append pendant groups onto complexes, it needs to control the molecular linking between complexes and solid supports simultaneously, which require multiple synthetic steps and is difficult (94). One possible way to address this challenge is to modify solid supports, as proposed above (11, 12, 48, 49), so that they could directly function as parts of ligands with linking ability or pendant moieties toward the immobilized complexes. This might save some synthetic steps for appending multiple functional groups onto complexes and simplify their structures in achieving the stable heterogeneous configurations. Besides, it might be promising to explore electrochemical and photochemical methods to achieve such active heterogeneous molecular catalysts with complicated and novel structures, which can be more convenient compared to traditional synthetic strategies (59–62).

The second issue is the low loading of complexes on solid supports, which was reported at the magnitude of 2 to 20 nmol cm−2 (20, 91), although the high TOFs have been achieved. This can be resolved by activation of the supports or enlarging specific surface area through introducing structural defects, as well as developing more efficient molecular linkages between complexes and supports. In addition, another resolution that might ultimately resolve the loading issue is the construction of interconnected multiple dimensional networks or multiple layers of complexes, such as MOF- and covalent organic framework (COF)–based solid supports (95–97). Following this strategy, Chang and colleagues (96, 97) reported that the surface loading amount of CoTPP on electrodes reached up to 250 nmol cm−2, which was about one to two magnitude higher than the ones of discrete complexes. However, it brought up an issue of reduced IET efficiency concurrently. For CoTPP embedded in COFs (96), only 4% of Co centers exhibited the redox features on the electrode. The other Co centers were redox inactive, suggesting that they did not have the electron transfer ability with the electrode and cannot serve as the efficient active sites. This is likely because the constructed networks either increased the electron transfer distance or decreased the electron coupling degree between complexes and electrodes. It is essential to develop conductive COFs/MOFs for supporting complexes, such as those networks interconnected with the linkers containing π-conjugated structures or reversible redox couples as the mediators for IET (98–100). Last, it is important to identify the structural durability of molecular active centers under turnover conditions. The applied potentials are commonly not moderate, which could reduce or oxidize some vulnerable ligands and damage the whole molecular catalytic structures. This could significantly decrease TOFs or lead to false structure-activity correlations of molecular catalysis. For instance, cobalt diamine-dioxide complexes were reported as active HER catalysts in acidic aqueous solutions (101), while Kaefler et al. (102) showed that, for such complexes in the free-standing state, the imine-based ligands were decomposed at reduction potentials and the Co ions were aggregated into nanoparticles on the electrode surface, which actually functioned as the HER active sites. Note that the as-derived nanoparticles were metastable, which can be reconstituted or desorbed during rinsing of the electrodes. Some metal complexes were even reported to have reversible structural transformation into metals or metal oxides/hydroxides during catalysis (64, 103). Therefore, identifying the structural decomposition of molecular might not be easy. To promote the structural durability of molecular catalysis, it is important to (i) select ligands with robust backbones (e.g., ligands with conjugated backbones) or change the redox potentials of ligands based on appending electron donating/withdrawing moieties to not overlap with catalytic potentials and (ii) strengthen the immobilization force between solid supports and complexes. If these challenges are successfully addressed, then heterogeneous molecular catalysts will see real potential for practical applications in electrochemical energy conversion.
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