Emergence of extended-spectrum β-lactamase (ESBL) and/or carbapenemase producing Enterobacteriaceae (CPE) and their antimicrobial resistance
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ABSTRACT
OBJECTIVES: We focused on detecting the most frequent resistance mechanisms in selected multidrug-resistant (MDR) strains and determining their antimicrobial resistance.

BACKGROUND: MDR pathogens pose urgent public health threat due to limited treatment options, rigorous control measures and significant mortality.

METHODS: We confirmed extended-spectrum β-lactam (ESBL) and carbapenemase producing Enterobacteriaceae through guidelines, as well following β-lactamas: AmpC by cloxacillin, class A carbapenemase with phenylboronic acid, class B metallo-β-lactamase with ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid. Multi locus sequence typing was used to investigate 20 Escherichia coli strains.

RESULTS: Overall 205 mostly ESBL Escherichia coli demonstrated resistance against amikacin (4.7 %), tigecycline (1.2 %), and no resistance to cefazidime/avibactam, meropenem, nitrofurantoin and fosfomycin. Out of 41 Klebsiella species (spp.), 37 (90.2 %) showed carbapenemase activity, 13 (35.1 %) of class A and 24 (64.9 %) of class B. Resistance was following: meropenem 66.7 %, tigecyclin 10.2 % and colistin 0 %. From Enterobacter spp. 21 strains, 14 (66.7 %) were ESBL, 5 produced ESBL and/or AmpC and 2 were MDR. We ascertained 14 (70 %) E. coli sequence type – ST131.

CONCLUSIONS: The study revealed various resistance mechanisms in concert with different agents and association of specific ST131 within E. coli. These characteristics considerably contribute to emergence of antimicrobial resistance (Tab. 4, Ref. 30). Text in PDF www.elis.sk.
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Introduction

Extended-spectrum β-lactamase (ESBL) and carbapenemase producing Enterobacteriales/Enterobacteriaceae (CPE) pose the highest priority in combating global antibiotic resistance. Their steady rise with escalating frequency account for concern in healthcare system (1). Carriage and infections caused by ESBL and CPE strains might be the result of inadequate empiric therapy related with mortality of critical ill patients (2). ESBL producing pathogens i. e. Escherichia coli, Klebsiella spp. or Enterobacter spp. are mostly co-resistant to β-lactams (penicillins, cephalosporins and monobactams) including non-β-lactam antimicrobial agents – aminoglycosides, fluoroquinolones, tetracyclines and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (3). If aforementioned microorganisms produce AmpC β-lactamase they are resistant to the above β-lactams (except 4th generation of cephalosporins), but include cephamycins and inhibitors of β-lactamase (clavulanic acid, sulbactam and tazobactam) (4). Accordingly, they are multidrug-resistant (MDR; resistance to ≥ 3 classes of antibiotics) (5). Carbapenems are generally considered as the drugs of choice for the treatment of ESBL and/or AmpC pathogens causing infections, while the other β-lactams and non-β-lactams are in vitro efficacious as well. This injudicious carbapenems overuse is giving rise to unpredictable emergence of carbapenem resistant Enterobacteriaceae (CRE) (6). Carbapenemase producing Klebsiella spp. strains are actually extensively drug-resistant (XDR; sensitive to ≤ 2 classes of antibiotics) (7) etiological agents with high requirement for infection control measures, limited antimicrobial treatment options associated with significant morbidity and mortality rates (8, 9). Currently molecular typing – multilocus sequence typing (MLST) is referred as an important approach in infection control that facilitates the detection of nosocomial transmission of pathogens as well as enables prove of source and route of spreading in epidemiological nosocomial environment (10).
The aim of our study was to detect the most frequent mechanisms of resistance in selected ESBL and/or AmpC and CPE strains as well as to evaluate the antimicrobial resistance rate in these investigated pathogens.

Materials and methods

Specimens and isolates
Overall biological samples were consecutively recovered from different body sites of patients hospitalized in University Hospital Bratislava (UHB) – Old Town and Specialized geriatric hospital from January 2017 through May 2019. Further examination was performed in our Institute of Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, Comenius University and UHB. Following primary cultivation and isolation, identification of the strains was carried out biochemically onto: Escherichia coli, Klebsiella pneumoniae and Klebsiella oxytoca (Klebsiella spp.) or Enterobacter cloacae and Enterobacter aerogenes (Enterobacter spp.). Only one non-repetitive isolate per patient was included in the study.

Phenotypic proof of resistance mechanisms
Concerning primary cultivation, samples were inoculated on chromogenic ESBL culture medium (11) and after 18 – 24 hours grown strains underwent further confirmatory tests. ESBL – combination disk diffusion test with cefotaxime (30 μg) and ceftazidime (30 μg) ± clavulanic acid (10 μg) proved ESBL production if at least in one of 3rd generation cephalosporin (3GC) in the presence of β-lactamase inhibitor, the size of inhibition zone (IZ) was ≥ 5 mm greater compared to IZ of 3GC alone (12). Evidence of AmpC β-lactamase was carried out by cefoxitin (30 μg) disk ± 200 μg cloxacinill as inhibitor of AmpC β-lactamase. Positivity was demonstrated in IZ size of ≥ 4 mm greater for the disk with added inhibitor than for the cefoxitin disk alone (13). Carbapenemase activity confirmation was provided by chromogenic pH metric Carba NP test discovered by Nordman et al (2012) (14). In order to achieve precise phenotypic detection of carbapenemase classes in accordance with Ambler, we used two inhibitors: family of serine class A (most common in Slovak republic is KPC – Klebsiella pneumoniae carbapenemase) was determined by meropenem (10 μg) ± 400 μg phenylborononic acid, and assessment of the zinc-dependent class B, so called metallo-β-lactamase (MBL; most frequent in our country is NDM – New Delhi metallo-β-lactamase) was achieved by meropenem (10 μg) ± 292 μg ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA) (1, 12, 15).

Genotypic proof of sequence types
We randomly chose 20 ESBL producing Escherichia coli strains for the (seven-locus) multilocus sequence typing (MLST), including subtyping or two-locus typing method (C-H typing) according to the alleles fumC (fumarate hydratase C) and fimH (internal fragment of the type 1 fimbrial adhesion H) – encoding genes (16, 17).

Tab. 1. Characteristics of patients, healthcare setting and specimens.

| Gender          | Escherichia coli n 205 | Klebsiella spp. n 41 | Enterobacter spp. n 21 |
|-----------------|------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
|                 | n   | %    | n   | %    | n   | %    |
| Gender          |     |      |     |      |     |      |
| male            | 68  | 33.2 | 17  | 41.5 | 11  | 52.4 |
| female          | 137 | 66.8 | 24  | 58.5 | 10  | 47.6 |
| Age (years)     |     |      |     |      |     |      |
| < 60            | 12  | 5.9  | 4   | 9.8  | 1   | 4.8  |
| ≥ 60            | 193 | 94.1 | 37  | 90.2 | 20  | 95.2 |
| mean (range)    | 78.9 | (9–98) | 74.4 | (25–96) | 74.9 | (58–96) |
| Setting         |     |      |     |      |     |      |
| 1st internal clinic | 113 | 55.1 | 23  | 56.1 | 10  | 47.6 |
| Surgical clinic | 14  | 6.8  | 2   | 4.9  | 3   | 14.3 |
| Dermatovenerological clinic | 4  | 2    | 2   | 4.9  | 2   | 9.5 |
| Neurological clinic | 4  | 2    | 1   | 2.4  | –   | –    |
| Psychiatric clinic | 3  | 1.5  | –   | –    | –   | –    |
| Geriatric clinic | 45  | 21.9 | 5   | 12.2 | 3   | 14.3 |
| Long-term care department | 9  | 4.4  | 3   | 7.3  | 1   | 4.8  |
| Aftercare department | 5  | 2.4  | 2   | 4.9  | 2   | 9.5  |
| Outpatient offices | 6  | 2.9  | –   | –    | –   | –    |
| Institute of Pathological anatomy | 2  | 1    | 3   | 7.3  | –   | –    |
| Type of specimen |     |      |     |      |     |      |
| rectal swab or stool | 3  | 1.5  | 23  | 56.1 | –   | –    |
| hemoculture     | 15  | 7.3  | –   | –    | –   | –    |
| wound, abscess or organ swab | 28 | 13.7 | 5   | 12.2 | 5   | 23.8 |
| urine           | 140 | 68.3 | 8   | 19.5 | 5   | 23.8 |
| tonsillar swab or sputum | 13 | 6.3  | 5   | 12.2 | 10  | 47.6 |
| catheter related sample | 6  | 2.9  | –   | –    | 1   | 4.8  |

n – number of patients, cases or specimens
Antibiotic susceptibility testing

Respecting the criteria of European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST) we performed colorimetric micro-method for automated susceptibility testing to determine sensitivity of Escherichia coli, Klebsiella spp. and Enterobacter spp. against 16 antimicrobial agents (18). Additional examination of susceptibility testing strains was possible only by means of available antimicrobial agents and disk diffusion methods as well as consistent with EUCAST breakpoint interpretation of zone diameter (19).

Results

Isolates, patients, setting and specimens

A total of 205 Escherichia coli, 41 Klebsiella spp. (K. pneumoniae 39 and K. oxytoca 2) as well as 21 Enterobacter spp. (E. cloacae 13, E. aerogenes 5 and Enterobacter spp. 3 – not determined) strains were isolated and included in this survey.

Relating to the patients, mostly strains were isolated from females in the cases of mined) strains were isolated and included in this survey. Additional examination of susceptibility testing strains was possible only by means of available antimicrobial agents and disk diffusion methods as well as consistent with EUCAST breakpoint interpretation of zone diameter (19).

Out of all (from 90.2 – 95.2 %) were the individuals over 60 years with mean age from 74.4 – 78.9 % years old. In terms of the hospital setting, the samples were collected from the patients hospitalized particularly at 1st internal clinic (from 47.6 – 56.1 %), then on Geriatric clinic (from 12.2 – 21.9 %), Surgical clinic (from 14.3 – 7.3 %), Dermatovenerological clinic (from 2.0 – 56.1 %), Long-term care department (from 4.4 – 7.3 %), Aftercare department (from 2.4 – 9.5 %). Few samples (5) were taken into consideration from the patients post mortem from the Institute of Pathological anatomy (expressed in table 1.).

Considering the type of specimen, Escherichia coli was isolated mainly from the 140 (68.3 %) urine samples, then from the skin and soft tissues infections (wounds, abscesses, visceral organs) 28 times (13.7 %), 15 (7.3 %) hemocultures, 13 (6.3 %) samples from tonsillar swabs or sputum and so on. Klebsiella spp 23 (56.1 %) strains resulted from faecal carriage, 8 (19.5 %) were isolated from urine, and for 5 times (12.2 %) from soft tissue infections, as well as from upper and lower respiratory tract. Enterobacter spp. originated from almost half of the samples – 10 (47.6 %) from respiratory tract, quarter samples – 5 (23.8 %) were from urine and the equal number from the skin and soft tissue infections, and last one was related to a catheter (but not mentioned which kind), illustrated in Table 1.

Resistance mechanisms

Evidence of ESBL in Escherichia coli strain was the highest. Out of the total of 205 pathogens, 202 (98.5 %) were ESBL positive and other are presented in table 2. Majority of Klebsiella spp. strains were carbapenemase producers, 37 strains (90.2 %). From these carbapenemase producing, 24 (64.9 %) were assigned to the metallo-β-lactamase, Ambler class B and 13 (35.1 %) belonged to the serine class A carbapenemase. Rest of 41 Klebsiella spp. strains were 2 (4.9 %) ESBL strains and 2 (4.9 %) ESBL/AmpC producers. Enterobacter spp. pathogens were particularly ESBL positive isolates, except for 2 times (9.5 %) MDR strains, as well ESBL/AmpC combined producers, and 3 (14.3 %) only AmpC producing strains (Tab. 2).

Molecular sequence typing

MLST of randomly chosen 20 ESBL Escherichia coli strains showed that sequence type – ST131 and C-H type 40 – 30 was detected in 14 (70 %) investigated strains. Escherichia coli ST131

Tab. 2. Phenotypically detected resistance mechanisms in Enterobacterales/Enterobacteriaceae strains.

| Etiological agents | total n of strains | MDR n | ESBL n | ESBL + AmpC n | AmpC n | ESBL + AmpC + carbapenemase n | carbapenemase n |
|--------------------|--------------------|-------|--------|---------------|--------|-------------------------------|----------------|
| Escherichia coli    | 205                | –     | 202    | 98.5 %        | 2      | 1                             | 0.5            |
| Klebsiella spp.     | 41                 | –     | 2      | 4.9 %         | –      | –                             | –              |
| Enterobacter spp.   | 21                 | 2     | 9.5 %  | 14            | 66.7   | 2                             | 9.5            |

n – number of evaluated strains; % – percentage of strains; MDR – multidrug-resistance = resistance to ≥ 3 drugs from different antimicrobial classes; ESBL – extended-spectrum β-lactamase; AmpC – type of β-lactamase

Tab. 3. MLST and C-H typing of randomly chosen 20 ESBL Escherichia coli strains.

| ST | n  | %  | gender | C-H type | specimen | setting          | Outpatient office |
|----|----|----|--------|----------|----------|------------------|------------------|
| 73 | 1  | 5  | female | 1  | 24-184    | 1                | –                |
| 131| 14 | 70 | male   | 1  | 40-30     | 8+1              | 1                |
| 405| 1  | 5  |    | 1  | 37-27     | 1                | –                |
| 636| 1  | 5  |    | 1  | 108-0     | 1                | –                |
| 648| 1  | 5  |    | 1  | 4-0       | 1                | –                |
| 1193| 1| 5  |    | 1  | 14-64     | 1                | –                |

MLST – multilocus sequence typing; C-H typing – according to fimC [fumarate hydratase C] and fimH [fimbrial adhesin H]; ESBL – extended-spectrum β-lactamase; ST – sequence type; n – number of isolates
was isolated in urine specimen 8 times and from urinary catheter once, from skin and soft tissues 3 times and twice from respiratory tract. According to hospital setting this most frequent ST131 had source on 1st internal clinic 12 times (mainly in females) and for once on Surgical and Neurological clinic. Remainder of the sporadic sequence types or C-H types of ESBL Escherichia coli had origin also on 1st internal clinic and other healthcare providing facilities (Tab. 3).

Antibiotic resistance

Resistance rate in ESBL Escherichia coli evaluated strains was highest against unprotected cephalosporins including fluoroquinolone ciprofloxacin, followed by protected ampicillin/sulbactam and trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole (Tab. 4). The highest prevalence of resistance to aminoglycosides was against tobramycin and the lowest to amikacin. Lower resistance rate was registered also against ceftazidime/avibactam, tigecycline and temocillin. No recorded resistance in Escherichia coli ESBL producing strains against: ceftazidime/avibactam, ertapenem, meropenem, colistin and fosfomycin. Most of the times, in Klebsiella spp. mainly carbapenemase producing strains resistance toward penicillins and unprotected cephalosporins including ciprofloxacin was 100 %. Against cephalosporins with inhibitor of β-lactamase, the lowest resistance rate was to ceftazidime/avibactam. Actually, 13 Klebsiella spp. strains from serine class A carbapenemase (probably KPC) were completely sensitive to ceftazidime/avibactam (0 % resistance), but from class B metallo-β-lactamase 24 strains were fully resistant (100 % resistance) to this drug (data not shown). Resistance to meropenem and amikacin was lower, but the lowest was recorded to colistin, tigecycline and temocillin. In Enterobacter spp. ESBL producing strains, antibiotic resistance was of the highest degree against β-lactams and ciprofloxacin. Exception was ceftazidime/avibactam and ceftolozane/tazobactam, to them resistance was not marked or detected up to 10.5 %, respectively. Amikacin, meropenem, colistin and temocillin resistance was not demonstrated in ESBL Enterobacter spp. strains (Tab. 4).

Discussion

In an attempt to investigate occurrence of ESBL and CPE including their resistance for the recent years, we found out that certain pathogens from normal flora might be more related to the gender, age, as well as to healthcare setting, colonization and diseases (or comorbidities). These pathogens are mostly associated with many other risk factors for the transmission and acquisition of MDR strains (2). Authors Cano et al (2018) published lower median age (59 years) as well as lower incidence of male gender (33.3 %) with carbapenemase producing Klebsiella pneumoniae in their study compared to our findings. As opposed to our results, in this Spanish survey one half of the patients had a surgery intervention with hospitalization at intensive care unit and also bacteraemia (8). In accordance with our records was public data of Han et al (2017) for the median age (73 years) of the patients with

### Tab. 4. Antimicrobial resistance of Escherichia coli, Klebsiella spp. and Enterobacter spp. strains.

| Antibiotics                | Escherichia coli | Klebsiella spp. | Enterobacter spp. |
|----------------------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
|                            | overall n of     | overall n of    | overall n of      |
|                            | resistant        | resistant       | resistant         |
|                            | isolates %       | isolates %      | isolates %        |
| ampicillin/sulbactam       | 194/151          | 39/39           | 10/10             |
| piperacillin/tazobactam    | 190/33           | 39/39           | 18/12             |
| cefuroxime                 | 193/189          | 39/39           | 18/17             |
| cefotaxime                 | 191/186          | 39/39           | 18/17             |
| ceftazidime                | 193/151          | 39/39           | 18/16             |
| ceftazidime/avibactam      | 177/0            | 41/24           | 19/0              |
| cefoperazone/sulbactam     | 166/6            | 39/35           | 10/5              |
| cefepime                   | 166/136          | 39/39           | 10/6              |
| ceflozoloxide/tazobactam   | 177/4            | 41/40           | 19/2              |
| ertapenem                  | 192/0            | 39/36           | 10/0              |
| meropenem                  | 192/0            | 39/34           | 18/0              |
| gentamicin                 | 192/50           | 39/38           | 18/10             |
| tobramycin                 | 166/96           | 39/29           | 10/6              |
| amikacin                   | 193/9            | 39/29           | 18/0              |
| ciprofloxacin              | 194/182          | 39/39           | 18/11             |
| tigecycline                | 166/2            | 39/4            | –                 |
| trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole | 192/146      | 39/31           | 18/9              |
| colistin                   | 164/0            | 38/0            | 10/0              |
| temocillin                 | 177/1            | 32/4            | 14/0              |
| nitrofurantoin             | 15/0             | –               | –                 |
| fosfomycin                 | 15/0             | –               | –                 |

n – number of strains; % – percentage of resistance
carbapenem resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, but the proportion of female gender (50.7 %) was slightly lower. In this American study related to the patients from long-term acute care hospitals, carbapenem resistant *K. pneumoniae* strains were mostly isolated from respiratory source (59.2 %), urinary tract (37 %) and from blood (9.4 %) (20). That was different from our types of specimens from where we isolated carbapenemase producing *Klebsiella* spp. Strains, mainly from gastrointestinal tract and less from other locations (table 1.). Barbier et al (2016) and Lafolie et al (2014) presented results of slightly lower levels of *Escherichia coli* ESBL production in urine (60 %) (2, 21) and in agreement with our data in hemoculture (8 %) (21). In this French study 17.2 % prevalence of ST131 of 261 *E. coli* strains was found (21). In our much lower number of evaluated strains, 14 (70 %) were determined as ST131. In addition, C-H typing detected ST131 clonal subgroup H30 that is responsible in an important way for the *Klebsiella* spp. strain in Slovak republic is metallo-β-lactamase NDM (9) and this is in line with our findings, according to inhibition of MBL by EDTA in our assays.

Owing to the most prevalent sequence type – ST131, the outcomes of MacFadden et al (2019) about resistance within ST131 *E. coli* strains were higher than in susceptible strains, but different comparing to our overall *E. coli* resistance. In this Canadian re-

search, resistance against ceftriaxone, ciprofloxacin and gentamicin ranged from 41 – 54 % (26). Our susceptibility testing results of *E. coli* against 3GS and ciprofloxacin recorded 2-times higher values with exception of gentamicin with 2-times lower rate. Consistent with our data were Lafolie et al (2014) results from French hospital of 261 *E. coli* ESBL producers, in particular 45 ST131 strains were resistant to cefotaxime (93.3 %), ceftazidime (84.4 %), ofloxacin (91.1 %), amikacin (8.9 %) and carbapenems (0 %) (21). Limitation of our resistance results in particular of ST131 *E. coli* strains was lower number of susceptibility results.

Antibiotic susceptibility profile of carbapenem resistant *K. pneumoniae* from long-term acute care hospitals from the work of Han et al (2017) was lower against amikacin (59.2 %) and mainly tigecycline (0.7 %), in line with ciprofloxacin (98.1 %), tobramycin (97.8 %), but higher than gentamicin (97.8 %) and especially than colistin (16.1 %) (20), as were our results. Differences in resistance rate can be explained by variety of their carbapenem resistant *K. pneumoniae* strains and our carbapenemase producing pathogens, other antibiotic pressure, distinction of geographical localisation and many other risk factors.

To our knowledge, empirical therapy of *E. coli* and *Enterobacter* spp. ESBL infections might comprise of non-carbapenem β-lactams: ceftazidime/avibactam, cefotolozane/tazobactam, amikacin, tigecycline, temocillin, nitrofurantoin and fosfomycin (27, 28). On the other hand, carbapenems are preferred initially for severely ill patients, if the source of possible bacteraemia is different than urinary or biliary tract, with high bacterial load and until final results become available (6). In terms of our data carbapenemase producing *Klebsiella* spp. is sensitive to colistin that is possible to combine with tigecycline in initial therapy. Efficacious in treatment of serine group A carbapenemase (KPC) *Klebsiella* spp. infection is for the time being ceftazidime/avibactam that demonstrated no resistance in our findings. For the therapy of MBL a few new coming agents like ceferodol, aztreonam/avibactam, eravacycline and cefepime/zidebactam might be efficient (29, 30).

In conclusion, we have shown that multidrug-resistant, ESBL and CPE strain carriage and infections are related to various risk factors like age, hospitalization, healthcare setting, disease and many others. Mechanisms of resistance are most likely associated with particular infectious agent as well as sequence type, that might be highly specific and can have epidemic relationship and lead to nosocomial outbreak of infections. Consequently, prevalence of antimicrobial resistance is often in agreement with previous phenotypic and genotypic properties of pathogen, critical factors of the patients and surrounding hospital environment characteristics.
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