Chemoselective Solution- and Solid-Phase Synthesis of Disulfide-Linked Glycopeptides
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ABSTRACT: Glycosylation of peptides and proteins is a widely employed strategy to mimic important post-translational modifications or to modulate the physicochemical properties of peptides to enhance their delivery. Furthermore, glycosylation via a sulfur atom imparts increased chemical and metabolic stability to the resulting glycoconjugates. Herein, we report a simple and chemoselective procedure to prepare disulfide-linked glycopeptides. Acetate-protected glycosylsulfenyl hydrazines are shown to be highly reactive with the thiol group of cysteine residues within peptides, both in solution and as part of conventional solid-phase peptide synthesis protocols. The efficiency of this glycosylation methodology with unprotected carbohydrates is also demonstrated, which avoids the need for deprotection steps and further extends its utility, with disulfide-linked glycopeptides produced in excellent yields. Given the importance of glycosylated peptides in structural glycobiology, pharmacology, and therapeutics, the methodology outlined provides easy access to disulfide-linked glycopeptides as molecules with multiple biological applications.

INTRODUCTION

The therapeutic potential of peptides has gained much interest within the drug discovery community since the first significant application, that of the use of insulin in the 1920s for the treatment of diabetes mellitus.1,2 Since then, there have been various strategies over recent decades to develop therapeutic peptides, particularly for the treatment of metabolic diseases and cancer, which have led to a cumulative increase in the number of peptides entering clinical trials per year since 1980.3−5 This interest is accelerating such that there are currently over 150 therapeutic peptides undergoing various stages of clinical trial. Despite the enthusiasm surrounding peptide drug development, the clinical success of these agents has been relatively limited compared to the volume of research reported in this field. Of the approximately 500 clinically evaluated therapeutic peptides since 1980, it is perhaps surprising that only 60 have been approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the treatment of various diseases.6 This has been attributed to various factors, including poor pharmacokinetics resulting from poor metabolic stability, rapid elimination, and low bioavailability.7 Several strategies have therefore been developed to mitigate some of these limitations. One strategy that is often employed to improve the proteolytic stability of peptides is the capping of their N- and/or C-terminus using chemical modifications, including N-acetylation, N-amidation, and small molecule N- or C-terminal conjugation.8 Despite the improvement in metabolic stability, these modifications can increase overall hydrophobicity; however, it exacerbates the often already poor aqueous solubility exhibited by some peptides.

Glycosylation has been used as a strategy to improve the aqueous solubility of hydrophobic peptides,9 though this type of modification can potentially change the three-dimensional (3D) structure of the molecule and thus its biological function.10 Naturally occurring N- and O-linked glycosylated proteins are common examples of post-translational modifications,11 and several synthetic efforts have been made to mimic these transformations. Although rare, glycans connected to peptides via a cysteine residue have also been identified in nature,9,10 and synthetic S-glycosylation is a well-accepted strategy to mimic O-glycosylated congeners as it can confer resistance to glycosidase enzymes.11,12 Additionally, a disulfide bond at the anomeric carbon has drawn interest as an alternative tether in glycobiology.13−15 In glycochemistry, glycosyl disulfides have been explored either as glycosyl donors16−20 or as a way to access glycoproteins21,22 and vaccines.23 The growth of interest in glycosyl disulfides prompted the development of several methods for their synthesis,24−27 and albeit less well explored, some efforts have also been dedicated to the preparation of glycopeptides since
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the disulfide linkage allows for chemoselective modification of peptides and/or proteins. Also, as disulfides are flexible and can adopt conformations imposed by natural amide bonds at glycosylation sites, the glycosylation of peptides via disulfide bonds can be considered as a route to structural mimics of natural N-linked glycoproteins.

The synthesis of disulfide-linked glycopeptides generally focuses on the reaction of a cysteine residue with an electrophilic thiol-specific carbohydrate reagent. The use of glycoselenylsulfides as sulfur transfer reagents allows the quantitative glycosylation of proteins via a disulfide linkage with either protected or unprotected mono- and oligosaccharides. Glycosyl thiosulfonate esters have also been used to glycosylate proteins, but the efficiency of their preparation can be dramatically affected by the synthetic conditions, often being contaminated with the respective glycosyl dithiosulfonate ester, and they are additionally unstable under basic conditions.

Alternative, it was also claimed that glycosyl sulfoxides. Also, the use of these thiol-reactive intermediates as sulfur transfer reagents allows the glycosylation of peptides via a disulfide linkage with either protected or unprotected mono- and oligosaccharides.

We used an amine-protected tripeptide (Fmoc-βAla-Cys-Leu-OH) as a simple model peptide to investigate the glycosylation of cysteine using per-O-acetylated-1-thioglucose (1) as a representative monosaccharide. Progress of the reactions was monitored by liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry (LC/MS) for qualitative/quantitative analyses. In our previous work, unsymmetrical glycosyl disulfides were easily obtained in excellent yields when thioglucose 1 was slowly added to a solution of azo compound (e.g., diethyl azodicarboxylate, DEAD), followed by an excess of reacting thiol, and the reactions were completed within 2 h in excellent yields. In this work, we decided to use thioglucose in excess. For this purpose, Fmoc-βAla-Cys-Leu-OH (2a) (1 equiv) was added to a previously mixed solution of per-O-acetylated thioglucose 1 (5 equiv) with DEAD (10 equiv). An excess of DEAD was used to avoid the formation of the symmetrical glycosyl disulfide. LC/MS analysis indicated that the reaction was complete after just 10 min: complete conversion of the peptide was observed. However, it was found that despite the glycosyl sulfenylhydrazine intermediate being in excess (5 equiv), the peptide cysteine was highly reactive with the excess DEAD so that in addition to the desired disulfide-linked glucopeptide 3a, the cysteiny1 analogue 4 was also observed in a 1:1 ratio (Scheme 1).

In this work, compound 4 was completely converted into desired glucopeptide 3a when additional thiosugar 1 was added to the reaction.

This observation prompted us to next try the glycosylation of the protected tripeptide inversely through the in situ activation of the cysteine followed by the conjugation of the per-O-acetylated thioglucose 1 under the following conditions (1.0 equiv peptide; 3.0 equiv DEAD; 5.0 equiv thiosugar; tetrahydrofuran (THF), room temperature (RT)). Despite the excess of thioglucose, we found the nucleophilicity of the cysteine residue of tripeptide 2a to be higher than thioglucose 1 and that as a result of its reaction with the rapidly formed electrophilic thiol-specific intermediate 4, a symmetric disulfide-linked peptide homodimer was always obtained in addition to desired glucopeptide 3a. The kinetics of this

---

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

We used an amine-protected tripeptide (Fmoc-βAla-Cys-Leu-OH) as a simple model peptide to investigate the glycosylation of cysteine using per-O-acetylated-1-thioglucose (1) as a representative monosaccharide. Progress of the reactions was monitored by liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry (LC/MS) for qualitative/quantitative analyses. In our previous work, unsymmetrical glycosyl disulfides were easily obtained in excellent yields when thioglucose 1 was slowly added to a solution of azo compound (e.g., diethyl azodicarboxylate, DEAD), followed by an excess of reacting thiol, and the reactions were completed within 2 h in excellent yields. In this work, we decided to use thioglucose in excess. For this purpose, Fmoc-βAla-Cys-Leu-OH (2a) (1 equiv) was added to a previously mixed solution of per-O-acetylated thioglucose 1 (5 equiv) with DEAD (10 equiv). An excess of DEAD was used to avoid the formation of the symmetrical glycosyl disulfide. LC/MS analysis indicated that the reaction was complete after just 10 min: complete conversion of the peptide was observed. However, it was found that despite the glycosyl sulfenylhydrazine intermediate being in excess (5 equiv), the peptide cysteine was highly reactive with the excess DEAD so that in addition to the desired disulfide-linked glucopeptide 3a, the cysteiny1 analogue 4 was also observed in a 1:1 ratio (Scheme 1). In turn, compound 4 was completely converted into desired glucopeptide 3a when additional thiosugar 1 was added to the reaction.

This observation prompted us to next try the glycosylation of the protected tripeptide inversely through the in situ activation of the cysteine followed by the conjugation of the per-O-acetylated thioglucose 1 under the following conditions (1.0 equiv peptide; 3.0 equiv DEAD; 5.0 equiv thiosugar; tetrahydrofuran (THF), room temperature (RT)). Despite the excess of thioglucose, we found the nucleophilicity of the cysteine residue of tripeptide 2a to be higher than thioglucose 1 and that as a result of its reaction with the rapidly formed electrophilic thiol-specific intermediate 4, a symmetric disulfide-linked peptide homodimer was always obtained in addition to desired glucopeptide 3a. The kinetics of this
reaction were difficult to control, and unsuccessful attempts to decrease the formation of the undesired cystine peptide dimer only led to unreacted tripeptide 2a and poor yields of desired glucopptide 3a.

The equal reactivity of cysteine and thioglucose toward the hydrazine intermediate 4 (they have similar pKₐ values—cysteine pKₐ 8.2, thioglucose theoretical pKₐ 8.4) constituted a setback to the establishment of a one-pot protocol to access disulfide-linked glycopeptides via oxidation with azodicarboxylates. Consequently, we decided to isolate the glucosulfényl hydrazine intermediate 5 instead, which was prepared in excellent yields (85%) (Scheme 2) and was found to be highly stable to storage over time at RT. Dropwise addition of a diluted solution of per-O-acetylated thioglycoside (1) (1.0 equiv) to a solution of DEAD (2.0 equiv) in THF allowed complete kinetic control of the reaction, which was complete instantly, and prevented the formation of the symmetric glycosyl disulfide. Having purified glucosulfényl 5, we reacted it (5.0 equiv) with tripeptide 2a (1.0 equiv) in THF at RT (Table 1, entry 1). LC/MS analysis of the reaction after 30 min indicated full conversion of the peptide into desired disulfide-linked thioglucoseptide 3a. The equivalent electrophilic thiol-specific glucosyl intermediate using diisopropyl azodicarboxylate (DIAD) as the azo source was found to be similarly susceptible to nucleophilic substitution by the cysteine of peptide 2a and efficiently gave access to glucopptide 3a as the sole product cleanly within 30 min.

This two-pot reaction for glycopeptides is in contrast with our original report, however, in which a one-pot reaction proved successful for the synthesis of aliphatic and aromatic asymmetric glycosyl disulfides.³⁴ It proved to be the best approach to obtain the desired disulfide-linked glucopptide in a straightforward manner with excellent control of the product and no peptide-related byproducts.

Next, we focused our attention on the glycosylation of more complex peptides with unprotected amino acid side-chain functionality, such as the phenol of Tyr (Table 1, entry 2) and the primary amine of Lys (Table 1, entry 4). When glucosulfényl hydrazine 5 was reacted with Fmoc-β-Ala-Cys-Tyr-Leu-OH (2b), no product was observed, while the reaction of 5 with Fmoc-β-Ala-Cys-Lys-Leu-OH (2c) showed complete conversion into the desired disulfide-linked gluco-

### Table 1. Substrate Scope for the Synthesis of Disulfide-Linked Glycopeptides (with Per-O-Acetylated Sugars)

| entry | sugar | peptide | product (%) | ES MS found (calcd) |
|-------|-------|---------|-------------|--------------------|
| 1     | 5     | 2a (Fmoc-β-Ala-Cys-Leu-OH) | 3a⁺ (>95%) | 890.3 [M + H]⁺ (889.28) |
| 2     | 5     | 2b (Fmoc-β-Ala-Cys-Tyr-Leu-OH) | 3b⁺ (>95%) | 1053.3 [M + H]⁺ (1052.34) |
| 3     | 5     | 2b (Fmoc-β-Ala-Cys-Tyr-Leu-OH) | 3b⁺ (>95%) | 1018.4 [M + H]⁺ (1017.37) |
| 4     | 5     | 2c (Fmoc-β-Ala-Cys-Lys-Leu-OH) | 3c⁺ (>95%) | 1001.3 [M + H]⁺ (1000.38) |
| 5     | 5     | 2d (H,N-β-Ala-Gly-Cys-Leu-Tyr-Leu-OH) | 3d⁺ (>95%) | 1065.3 [M + H]⁺ (1064.37) |
| 6     | 5     | 2e (H,N-Tyr-Thr-Gly-Phe-Leu-Cys-OH) | 3e⁺ (>95%) | 890.3 [M + H]⁺ (890.30) |
| 7     | 5     | 2f (H,N-Tyr-Thr-Gly-Phe-Leu-Cys-OH) | 3e⁺ (>95%) | 619.7 [M + 2H]⁺ (618.36) |
| 8     | 5     | 2g (Fmoc-Met-Pro-Ala-Cys-Gly-Ser-Ser-OH) | 3g⁺ (>95%) | 713.7 [M + H]⁺ (712.58) |
| 9     | 5     | 2h (Fmoc-β-Gly-Cys-Ala-Cit-Leu-His-Leu-OH) | 3h⁺ (>95%) | 699.5 [M + 2H]⁺ (698.12) |
| 10    | 5     | 2i (Fmoc-β-Ala-Arg-β-Gly-Asn-Leu-OH) | 3i⁺ (>95%) | 1236.34 |
| 11    | 5     | 2j (Fmoc-β-Ala-Arg-β-Gly-Asn-Leu-OH) | 3j⁺ (>95%) | 1217.32 |
| 12    | 5     | 2l (H,N-β-Ala-Gly-Asn-Cys-Pro-Ary-Gly-CONH₂) | 3l⁺ (>95%) | 906.6 [M + H]⁺ (905.49) |
| 13    | 7     | 2e (H,N-Tyr-Thr-Gly-Phe-Leu-Cys-OH) | 3e⁺ (>95%) | 677.7 [M + 2H]⁺ (676.32) |
| 14    | 7     | 2f (Fmoc-Met-Pro-Ala-Cys-Gly-Ser-Ser-OH) | 3f⁺ (>95%) | 762.9 [M + 2H]⁺ (761.68) |
| 15    | 7     | 2g (Fmoc-β-Gly-Cys-Ala-Cit-Leu-His-Leu-OH) | 3g⁺ (>95%) | 858.0 [M + 2H]⁺ (856.73) |
| 16    | 7     | 2h (Fmoc-β-Ala-Cys-Gly-Asn-Leu-OH) | 3h⁺ (>95%) | 753.6 [M + 2H]⁺ (752.32) |
| 17    | 7     | 2i (Fmoc-β-Ala-Arg-β-Gly-Asn-Leu-OH) | 3i⁺ (>95%) | 798.9 [M + 3H]⁺ (797.23) |
| 18    | 7     | 2j (H,N-β-Ala-Gly-Asn-Cys-Pro-Ary-Gly-CONH₂) | 3j⁺ (>95%) | 1236.34 |
| 19    | 10    | 2c (Fmoc-β-Ala-Cys-Lys-Leu-OH) | 3c⁺ (>95%) | 1018.2 [M + H]⁺ (1017.32) |
| 20    | 10    | 2g (Fmoc-Met-Pro-Ala-Cys-Gly-Ser-Ser-OH) | 3g⁺ (>95%) | 619.3 [M + 2H]⁺ (618.01) |
| 21    | 10    | 2h (Fmoc-β-Ala-Cys-Gly-Asn-Leu-OH) | 3h⁺ (>95%) | 1217.32 |
| 22    | 10    | 2i (Fmoc-β-Ala-Arg-β-Gly-Asn-Leu-OH) | 3i⁺ (>95%) | 1425.58 |
| 23    | 13    | 2g (Fmoc-Met-Pro-Ala-Cys-Gly-Ser-Ser-OH) | 3g⁺ (>95%) | 1236.34 |

*Conversion determined by LC/MS. Reaction in THF. *1.0 equiv DIPEA added to the reaction. Reaction in DMF. *10 equiv of 13.
peptide 3c within 30 min. We think that the reduced nucleophilicity of the cysteine residue in peptide 2b may result from intramolecular hydrogen bonding between the phenol and thiol groups. Indeed the pKₐ of a given cysteine residue can vary in proteins, ranging from 7.4 to 9.2. When DIPEA (1 equiv) was added to the reaction, however, peptide Fmoc-β-Ala-Cys-Thr-Leu-OH (2b) was completely converted into glucopeptide 3b within 5 min. (Table 1, entry 3). This is a clear indication that the pKₐ of the cysteine residue in peptide Fmoc-β-Ala-Cys-Leu-OH (2b) is higher than in 2a.

The compatibility of the Lys side chain in assembling the disulfide-linked glycopeptide under these conditions encouraged us to react intermediate 5 with peptide H₂N-β-Ala-GlyCys-Leu-Tyr-Leu-OH (2d) (Table 1, entry 5), in which the N-terminal is not protected. Despite the apparent need for a base to allow disulfide-glycosylation of peptides containing Tyr residues, we reasoned that the N-terminal amine might act as a base and promote the reaction. Indeed, within 30 min, glycosylation of 2d in the absence of DIPEA led to 50% conversion of peptide 2d into desired glucopeptide 3d, as indicated by LC/MS analysis (Table 1, entry 5). Repetition of the reaction for the same length of time but with DIPEA promoted complete conversion of 2d into disulfide-linked glucopeptide 3d. This indicates that it is preferable to perform the glycosylation in the presence of a base to ensure complete and efficient conversion. While THF was a suitable solvent, DMF, which is the routine solvent of choice to dissolve longer amino acids in the peptide sequences such as His, Cit (citrulline), Asn, and Arg (Table 1, entries 10 and 11) was found to be similarly compatible with the glycosylation conditions using sulfenyl hydrazine intermediate 5. Peptides 3h and 3i were obtained efficiently and in high purity. We confirmed that no product was formed when we attempted the glycosylation with peptides 2f (H₂N-Tyr-Thr-Gly-Phe-Leu-Leu-OH) and 2j (Fmoc-β-Ala-Arg-Gly-Asn-Leu-OH) (Table 1, entries 8 and 12) that lack Cys residues in their sequence. This demonstrates the chemoselectivity of glucosyl sulfenyl hydrazine 5 for thiol-containing residues and the compatibility to glycosylate peptides containing unprotected functional groups that range from carboxylic acids, amines, thioethers, phenols, alcohols, ureidoguanidines, and guanidines.

To further demonstrate the versatility of this approach, we glycosylated peptide 2l (Table 1, entry 13), which contains two unprotected Cys residues. Likewise, the respective disulfide-linked di-glucopeptide 3l was obtained as the sole product within 30 min. Furthermore, we have shown that the reaction is not limited to monosaccharides; disaccharides could also glycosylate peptides via this method, and glycopeptides 8e–8l were efficiently obtained when per-O-acetylated thiomaltose derivative 7 was used as the glycosulfonyl transfer agent (Table 1, entries 14–18). This protocol is not restricted to sugars from the glucopyranoside series, as demonstrated when galactosyl analogue 10 is used for the glycosylation of peptides 2c, 2g–2l (Table 1, entries 19–22). However, the glycosylation with mannosulfenyl hydrazine 13 was less efficient. While glycopeptide 14g was obtained in high yield (>90%) (Table 1, entry 23), the reaction with peptides 2c, 2h, and 2l led to significant amounts of the cystine peptide dimer (approx. 40–50%), which is reflected in poor yields of respective glycosylated products. When compared to sulfenyl hydrazines 5, 7, and 10, two-fold higher equivalents of 13 were necessary to fully convert 2g into 14g.

Next, we set out to investigate the feasibility of this approach to glycosylate resin-bound substrates (Scheme 3), since the ability to complete these as part of conventional solid-phase peptide synthesis protocols would be a significant advantage. The peptides were synthesized using Mmt-protected Cys residues. Selection of this orthogonal acid-labile protective group allows for selective cleavage while the peptide remains bound to the resin. We selected two model peptides (Fmoc-Met-Pro-Ala-Cys(Mmt)-Gly-Ser(tBu)-Ser(tBu)-OH and H₂N-Tyr(tBu)-Thr(tBu)-Gly-Phe-Leu-Cys(Mmt)-Leu-OH), each loaded onto a Wang resin to react with both protected sulfenylhydrazine derivatives, glucose (5) and maltose (7),...
Selective deprotection of the Mmt group to expose the thiol of the Cys residue while on the resin was achieved using very mild acidic conditions (TFA/CH₂Cl₂/TIS 2:95:3), and complete glycosylation with the electrophilic thiol-specific glycosyl (5 or 7) (2.5 equiv) was accomplished using 2 repetitions of a 30 min reaction. Acidic hydrolysis of the peptide from the resin, with simultaneous deprotection of the amino acid side-chain protective groups, yielded the desired disulfide-linked glycopeptides, fully acetylated (Scheme 4). Both activated mono- and disaccharides proved to be equally effective in glycosylating peptides on solid phase. It was important to establish that the newly formed glycosyl disulfide linkages were stable to standard cleavage conditions required to hydrolyze the peptide from the resin (i.e., strongly acidic—TFA/TIS/water 95:2.5:2.5). This proved to be so, with disulfide-linked glycopeptides 3g, 3m, 8g, and 8m (Scheme 4) being efficiently produced. The compatibility of this method of glycosylation with conventional solid-phase peptide synthesis will be extremely useful for site-specific mono-glycosylation of peptides containing two or more Cys residues.

The use of glycosulfenyl reagents 5 and 7 required subsequent sugar protective group hydrolysis, which was easily achieved by treating the per-O-acetylated glycopeptides with dilute basic conditions, followed by neutralization with an acidic resin. The disulfide bond was found to be stable under these conditions. In a search for an even simpler procedure to avoid the need for a postmodification step, we decided to investigate the possibility of preparing the glycosyl reagent in an unprotected form. In this case, more polar and protic solvents were necessary, as unprotected thioglucose 15 was not sufficiently soluble in THF.

The absence of chromophores in both the relatively polar thioglucose and DEAD reagents made following the reaction for this alternative approach more challenging either by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) or LC/MS. The reaction was thus monitored by NMR analysis. To enable this, a deuterated methanolic solution of thioglucose 15 (1 equiv) was added dropwise to a solution of DEAD (2 equiv) in CD₃OD at room temperature (Scheme 5). At the end of the addition period, the signal corresponding to C1 of thioglucose (Figure 1A,B) had shifted completely to low field, which indicated that a chemical modification occurred at that carbon (i.e., at the thiol group). The reaction of unprotected thioglucose with azo reagent was instant, and similarly to the synthesis of acetate-protected reagents 5 and 7, we assumed the product to be the unprotected glucosulfenyl hydrazine. To rule out the potential reaction with unprotected hydroxyl groups, since azodicarboxylate reagents can be used to oxidize alcohols to ketones in the presence of a Lewis acid, we also reacted unprotected glucose with DEAD under the same conditions. No change in the ¹³C NMR spectrum was observed when compared to the ¹³C NMR spectrum of glucose. This finding confirms that the reaction of thioglucose 15 with azodicarboxylate is equally reactive with thiols to produce directly unprotected glycosyl disulfides.

Despite these initially encouraging data, further NMR analysis of the pure product from the reaction of thioglucose 15 with DEAD (achieved by precipitation from diethyl ether) indicated an absence of the expected proton signals for the ethyl ester group, as well as the carbon signals for the ethyl ester group, typical of a glucosulfenyl hydrazine dicarboxylate...
diethyl intermediate. Instead, NMR analysis of the pure product from the reaction of 15 with DEAD indicated the presence of the unprotected symmetrical glucosyl disulfide, which was apparently formed instantly as the sole product despite the slow addition of the diluted methanolic solution of sugar to a solution of excess DEAD (2 equiv). To confirm this finding, unprotected symmetrical glucosyl disulfide 17 was intentionally prepared using an alternative route, specifically by oxidation of the unprotected thiosugar with iodine (Scheme 6).\(^{37}\)

Comparison of the NMR spectra \((\text{H}/\text{C})\) revealed the same compound as previously obtained with the reaction of 15 with DEAD. This was a surprising observation since these were the same stoichiometric conditions as employed previously for the formation of protected glucosyl sulfenyl hydrazine 5.

To establish whether the formation of the symmetrical glycosyl disulfide is the result of using a protic solvent (the reaction of the protected 1-thioglucose was performed in THF) or if it was a structural effect (due to the acetate

Scheme 6. Synthesis of Symmetrical Dithiosaccharides
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Figure 1. \(^{13}\text{C}\) NMR spectra recorded in CD\(_2\)OD. (A) \(^{13}\text{C}\) NMR spectrum of D-thioglucose sodium salt; (B) \(^{13}\text{C}\) NMR spectrum of reaction of D-thioglucose sodium salt with dilute deuterated methanolic DEAD (2.0 equiv) (instant reaction); (C) \(^{13}\text{C}\) NMR spectrum after addition of PhSH (2.0 equiv) (1 h).
protecting groups or their absence), we reacted per-O-acetylated thioglucose 1 with DEAD (2 equiv) in methanol under the same conditions as for unprotected thioglucose 15. In this case, the glucosylsulfonyl hydrazine 5 was again the main product, albeit with the residual formation of the per-O-acetylated symmetrical glucosyl disulfide. We speculated that potential hydrogen bonding between the thiol group with the neighboring acetate in compound 1, which is absent in thioglucose 15, might stabilize the thiol, and thus decrease its reactivity toward the electrophilic sulfur of intermediate 5. Also, and perhaps more significantly, the sulfur in compound 15 is in the thiolate form, which is a much better nucleophile. Despite the formation of the symmetrical glucosyl disulfide as the sole product when unprotected thioglucose 15 was reacted with azo reagent, synthesis of the desired unprotected phenyl glycosyl disulfide 16 was nevertheless achieved efficiently through a subsequent thiol−disulfide exchange reaction, instead of nucleophilic attack to the electrophilic unprotected glucosyl sulfenyl intermediate.

Following these findings, the reactivity of symmetrical disulfide 17 with model peptides 2c, 2g, 2h, and 2i was explored (Table 2, entries 1−4). As shown in Figure 2 for peptide 2g, symmetrical dithiodiglucoside (17) was equally susceptible to thiol−disulfide exchange by the cysteine—the starting peptide was fully converted into disulfide-linked glucopeptide 23g within 30 min. Similarly, unprotected symmetrical dithiodimaltopyranoside (19) and dithiogalactopyranoside (21), which were prepared via acetate hydrolysis of the respective per-O-acetylated precursors 18 and 20 (Scheme 6), were found to be suitable for the glycosylation of peptides (Table 2, entries 5−12). Furthermore, we prepared the fully per-O-acetylated symmetrical dithiodiglucoside and reacted it

Table 2. Synthesis of Fully Deprotected Disulfide-Linked Glycopeptides via Disulfide Exchange Reaction

| entry | glyco  | peptide | product (%) | ES MS found (calcd) |
|-------|--------|---------|-------------|---------------------|
| 1     | 17     | 2c (Fmoc-β-Ala-Cys-Lys-Leu-OH) | 23c (>90%) | 850.0 [M + H]+ (849.00) |
| 2     | 17     | 2g (Fmoc-Met-Pro-Ala-Cys-Gly-Ser-Ser-OH) | 23g (>90%) | 1058.5 [M + H]+ (1067.18) |
| 3     | 17     | 2h (Fmoc-β-Ala-Gly-Cys-Ala-Cit-Leu-His-Leu-OH) | 23h (>90%) | 629.4 [M + 2H]+ (1256.51) |
| 4     | 17     | 2i (Fmoc-β-Ala-Arg-Cys-Gly-Asn-Leu-OH) | 23i (>90%) | 1049.6 [M + H]+ (1048.39) |
| 5     | 19     | 2c (Fmoc-β-Ala-Cys-Lys-Leu-OH) | 24c (>90%) | 1012.5 [M + H]+ (1011.38) |
| 6     | 19     | 2g (Fmoc-Met-Pro-Ala-Cys-Gly-Ser-Ser-OH) | 24g (>90%) | 637.7 [M + 2Na]+ (1229.38) |
| 7     | 19     | 2h (Fmoc-β-Ala-Gly-Cys-Ala-Cit-Leu-His-Leu-OH) | 24h (>90%) | 710.6 [M + 2H]+ (1418.57) |
| 8     | 19     | 2i (Fmoc-β-Ala-Arg-Cys-Gly-Asn-Leu-OH) | 24i (>90%) | 617.3 [M + H + Na]+ (1210.45) |
| 9     | 21     | 2c (Fmoc-β-Ala-Cys-Lys-Leu-OH) | 25c (>90%) | 850.3 [M + H]+ (849.00) |
| 10    | 21     | 2g (Fmoc-Met-Pro-Ala-Cys-Gly-Ser-Ser-OH) | 25g (>90%) | 1068.2 [M + H]+ (1067.18) |
| 11    | 21     | 2h (Fmoc-β-Ala-Gly-Cys-Ala-Cit-Leu-His-Leu-OH) | 25h (>90%) | 629.5 [M + 2H]+ (1256.51) |
| 12    | 21     | 2i (Fmoc-β-Ala-Arg-Cys-Gly-Asn-Leu-OH) | 25i (>90%) | 1049.3 [M + H]+ (1048.39) |
| 13    | 22     | 2c (Fmoc-β-Ala-Cys-Lys-Leu-OH) | 26c (>90%) | 1018.3 [M + H]+ (1017.37) |
| 14    | 22     | 2g (Fmoc-Met-Pro-Ala-Cys-Gly-Ser-Ser-OH) | 26g (>90%) | 619.7 [M + 2H]+ (1236.34) |
| 15    | 22     | 2i (Fmoc-β-Ala-Arg-Cys-Gly-Asn-Leu-OH) | 26i (~80%) | 609.3 [M + 2H]+ (1217.32) |

Figure 2. High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) chromatogram (λ = 254 nm) of peptide 2g (green), and crude reaction mixtures after reaction with symmetrical glycosyl disulfide 17 (yielding compound 23g, purple), and 19 (yielding compound 24g, black), respectively.
with peptide 2c. This was shown to be similarly efficient to glycosylate peptides via a disulfide bond (data not shown). While glycosylation of peptide 2g with both mannosylenyl transfer reagents 13 and 22 was equally efficient (Table 1, entry 23; Table 2, entry 14), for peptides 2c and 2i, the results were clearly superior when symmetrical dithiodimannopyranoside 22 was used, with excellent conversion to the desired product within 30 min, and insignificant formation of the cystine peptide dimer (Table 2, entries 13 and 15). Previously, we reported the synthesis of glycosyl disulfides via the exchange of thioglycosyl with symmetrical alkyl and aryl disulfides, however, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of a thiol–disulfide exchange reaction that utilizes symmetrical glycosyl (mono- and disaccharide) disulfides for the synthesis of disulfide-linked glycopeptides. Moreover, the facile synthesis of the unprotected symmetrical glycosyl disulfides and their stability for long-term storage prove to be superior to glycosylate peptides than the use of other glycosulfenyl transfer agents such as the glycosulfenic acid or glycosulfenamides. In addition to a more elaborate synthesis, glycosulfenic acids are unstable and were only shown to glycosylate fully protected cysteine, while glycosulfenamide was only used as fully per-O-acetylated.

**CONCLUSIONS**

We have presented efficient new routes to the synthesis of disulfide-linked glycopeptides that allow introduction of both protected and fully deprotected carbohydrates and conditions that are compatible with both solution-phase chemistry and solid-phase peptide synthesis. The use of azo compounds for the synthesis of disulfides is well established, and herein, we have expanded their scope, through a glycosyl–DEAD intermediate, to the synthesis of disulfide-linked glycopeptides, both with mono- and disaccharides. For this application, it was found to be advantageous to isolate the protected glycosyl sulfonylhydrazine reagent, which can be synthesized in a rapid and facile manner—this can be conveniently stored as a reactive building block ready for future use. This then provides instant access to the desired glycopeptides, with full site-specific control within the peptide to cysteine residues through a disulfide bond. We have shown this methodology to be a highly versatile strategy that does not require prior amino acid side-chain protection within the peptide, a significant advantage since this is a common drawback of existing methods. In addition, exploitation of the glycosyl–DEAD reagents allows access to disulfide-linked glycopeptides either in solution phase, or with peptides immobilized on a solid support, as is typically the case in solid-phase peptide synthesis. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of methodology for glycosylation of peptides linked through a disulfide bond at the anomeric carbon suitable for solid-phase peptide synthesis.

Further application of the methodology to unprotected sugars demonstrated that in this case, symmetrical diathiosaccharides were instead responsible for glycosylation of the peptides via a thiol–disulfide reaction. The simplicity of utilizing glycosyl disulfide exchange to prepare either protected or unprotected glycosyl disulfides, including disulfide-linked glycopeptides, is very attractive. Moreover, this thiol–disulfide exchange offers the advantage to glycosylate peptides with fully unprotected glycosyl reagents, with no need for a post-modification deprotection step. Equally importantly, the compatibility of this methodology with aqueous conditions additionally opens up the possibility for use in the glycosylation of proteins.

Given the importance of glycosylated peptides in structural glycochemistry, pharmacology, and therapeutics, the methodology outlined provides easy access to disulfide-linked glycopeptides as molecules with multiple biological applications.

**EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

**General Information.** NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AMX 400 NMR spectrometer and are reported in parts per million (ppm) on the δ scale relative to residual CDCl3 (δ 7.25 or δ 77.0), CD2OD (δ 3.31 or δ 49.00), or D2O (δ 4.79). Spectral assignments were accomplished using two-dimensional (2D) COSY and HSQC experiments. The progress of the reactions was monitored by analytical thin-layer chromatography (Merck, TLC 60 F254 plates) and/or by LC/MS using Waters Alliance 2695 Separations Module, Waters 996 PDA Detector, and Waters Micromass ZQ Mass Detector. TLC plates were visualized first with UV (254 nm) and then illuminated by sulfuric acid solution (10% sulfuric acid in ethanol), followed by heating. High-resolution mass spectra were recorded using Thermo scientific, LTQ Orbitrap no. 012898B. Column chromatography was performed using silica gel (230–400 mesh). The solvent compositions for all separations are on a volume/volume (v/v) basis. All solvents were of reagent grade. 1-Thio-β-α-glucose tetraacetate, diisopropyl azodicarboxylate, α-(+)-maltose, and 1-thio-β-α-glucose sodium salt were purchased from Aldrich. Diethyl azodicarboxylate solution 40 wt % in toluene was purchased from Dyhrs. 1-Thio-β-α-maltose heptacetate was synthesized from α-(+)-maltose in the following steps: (1) per-O-acetylation using Ac2O, 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP), pyridine, (2) bromination of the anomeric carbon using 33% HBr/CH3COOH, and (3) reaction of the brominated with thiourea followed by basic hydrolysis. Peptides were synthesized using standard Fmoc solid-phase peptide synthesis. Fmoc-Leu-Wang resin was used for the synthesis of peptides 2a, 2b, 2c, 2d, 2f, 2h, and 2i; H-Cys(Trt)-2-chlorotriyl resin was used for the synthesis of peptide 2e; and Fmoc-Ser(2Bu)-Wang resin was used for the synthesis of peptide 2g. Peptide 2l was synthesized after loading of Fmoc-Gly-OH onto Rink Amide MBHA. All amino acids and resins were purchased from Novabiochem. LC/MS details: column: Hichrom RPB microbore column (150 × 2.1 mm²); mobile phase A: 90% water, 10% MeOH, 0.1% formic acid; mobile phase B: 90% MeOH, 10% water, 0.1% formic acid; flow: 0.25 mL/min; gradient:

| time (min) | mobile phase A (%) | mobile phase B (%) |
|-----------|--------------------|--------------------|
| 0         | 95                 | 5                  |
| 5         | 50                 | 50                 |
| 28        | 0                  | 100                |
| 30        | 0                  | 100                |
| 35        | 95                 | 5                  |

**Synthesis of β-α-Glucopyranosyl, 4-O,(2,3,4,6-Tetra-O-acetyl-α-β-glucoxyopyranosyl)-1-thio-2,3,6-Triacetate (6).** To a solution of β-α-(+)-maltose (10.0 g, 29.2 mmol) in pyridine (55 mL) and acetic anhydride (35 mL) was added DMAP (340 mg, 2.8 mmol), and the reaction mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. This was then diluted with ethyl acetate (180 mL) and extracted with 1 M HCl (5 × 100 mL), NH4Cl (100 mL), and brine (100 mL). The organic phase was dried over MgSO4 and filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated under vacuum to afford β-α-maltose octacetate (quantitative). Then, β-α-maltose octacetate (5.13 g, 7.5 mmol) was dissolved in CH3Cl (5 mL) and stirred with 48% HBr/acetic acid (15.5 mL). After 30 min, the reaction mixture was diluted with CH3Cl (150 mL) and was extracted with sat NaHCO3 (3 × 100 mL). The organic phase was dried over MgSO4 and after evaporation of the filtrate, the resulting solid was refluxed with acetone (12 mL) and thiourea (2.56 g, 33.6 mmol) for 2 h. The solvent was evaporated, and the residue was redissolved in dichloroethane (21 mL) and refluxed with water (25 mL) and sodium metabisulfite (1.5 g). After
20 min, the reaction mixture was extracted with DCM (100 mL). The organic phase was dried over MgSO₄ and filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated under vacuum. Column chromatography on silica gel (hexane/ethyl acetate 1:1 → 1:5) afforded product 7 as a white solid (6.9 g, 56%); 1H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 6.5 (1H, J₂, 3 = 7.2 Hz, H2), 3.70 (1H, J₂, 3 = 7.2 Hz, H1), 3.56 (1H, J₂, 3 = 7.2 Hz, H4), 2.54 (dd, 1H, J₂, 3 = 9.6 Hz, J₃, 4 = 10.5 Hz, H3), 2.54 (dd, 1H, J₂, 3 = 9.6 Hz, J₃, 4 = 9.6 Hz, H4), 2.04 (dd, 1H, J₂, 3 = 9.6 Hz, J₃, 4 = 7.2 Hz, H2), 1.98 (dd, 1H, J₂, 3 = 9.6 Hz, J₃, 4 = 7.2 Hz, H1), 1.93 (dd, 1H, J₂, 3 = 9.6 Hz, J₃, 4 = 7.2 Hz, H4). No further purification was carried out—1H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 4.43 (1H, J₂, 3 = 9.6 Hz, H1), 3.89 (dd, 1H, J₂, 3 = 12.7 Hz, J₃, 4 = 9.6 Hz, H4), 3.51 (dd, 1H, J₂, 3 = 9.6 Hz, J₃, 4 = 7.2 Hz, H2), 1.79 (m, 2H, H6a, H6b), 1.27 (m, 2H, H5a, H5b), 1.24 (m, 2H, H7a, H7b), 1.22 (m, 1H, H3), 1.13 (m, 1H, H3). Column chromatography on silica gel (hexane/ethyl acetate 1:1) was performed to provide desired compound 8.

1,2-Hydrazinedicarboxylic Acid, 1-(2′,3′,4′,6′-Tetra-O-acetyl-1-thio-β-D-glucopyranosyl)-1,2-diethyl Ester (5). δ = 84% (450 mg); white solid.

Bis[3,4,6-tri-O-acetyl-α-D-glucopyranosyl]-1,1-dithio-β-D-galactopyranosyl]-1,2-diethyl Ester (7). δ = 80% (660 mg); white solid.

Bis[3,4,6-tri-O-acetyl-α-D-glucopyranosyl]-1,1-dithio-β-D-galactopyranosyl]-1,2-diethyl Ester (7). δ = 90% (340 mg); white solid.

1,2-Hydrazinedicarboxylic Acid, 1-(2′,3′,4′,6′-Tetra-O-acetyl-1-thio-β-D-glucopyranosyl)-1,2-diethyl Ester (5). δ = 84% (450 mg); white solid.

Bis[3,4,6-tri-O-acetyl-α-D-glucopyranosyl]-1,1-dithio-β-D-galactopyranosyl]-1,2-diethyl Ester (7). δ = 80% (660 mg); white solid.
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1,1′-Dithio-β-dimaltopyranoside (19). Amorphous solid; 1H NMR (D$_2$O, 400 MHz) δ 5.42 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ = 4.0 Hz, H1'), 4.61 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ = 9.6 Hz, H1), 4.01–4.05 (m, 1H), 3.56–3.87 (m, 10H), 3.42 (dd, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ = 9.6 Hz, $J_{1,2}$ = 9.6 Hz); 13C NMR (D$_2$O, 100 MHz) δ 95.9, 89.1, 78.8, 77.4, 76.1, 72.8, 72.6, 71.6, 71.0, 69.2, 60.7, 60.4; HRMS (ESI) [M + H]$^+$ calcd for C$_{12}$H$_{30}$O$_5$S$_2$: 715.1789; found 715.1813.

1,1′-Dithio-β-digalactopyranoside (21). Amorphous solid; 1H NMR (D$_2$O, 400 MHz) δ 4.64 (d, 2H, $J_{1,2}$ = 9.6 Hz), 4.06 (d, 2H, $J_{1,2}$ = 4.0 Hz, H4), 3.92 (dd, 2H, $J_{1,2}$ = 9.6 Hz), 3.77–3.88 (m, 8H, H3, H5, H6a, H6b); 13C NMR (D$_2$O, 100 MHz) δ 89.9, 79.4, 73.8, 68.7, 68.6, 61.1; HRMS (ESI) [M + H]$^+$ calcd for C$_{21}$H$_{41}$O$_{22}$S$_2$: 389.0576; found 389.0607.

General Procedure for the Glycosylation of Peptides with Per-Acetylated Saccharides in Solution. To a solution of cysteine-containing peptide (0.1 mmol) in DMF (2 mL) was added glycosyl sulfenylhydrazine 5, 7, 10, or 13 (0.5 mmol) and DIPEA (0.1 mmol), and the reaction was stirred at RT. After 30 min, diethyl ether was added, which led to the formation of a solid. Filtration of the precipitate afforded the desired disulfide-linked glycopeptides as a white solid in 90–95% purity as determined by LC/MS. No further purification was carried out.

General Procedure for Deacetylation of Glycopeptides. Fully acetylated disulfide-linked glycopeptide (15 mg) was suspended in water (15 mL), and 0.5 M NaOH (0.5 mL) was added at RT. After 2 h, a clear solution was developed, Amberlite IR-120 was added until pH 7, filtered, and the aqueous solution was lyophilized to provide fully deprotected disulfide-linked glycopeptide in 90–95% purity.

General Procedure for the Glycosylation of Peptides with Per-Acetylated Saccharides on Solid Phase. Dry resin (100 mg, 0.7 mmol, 0.7 mmol/g) was washed with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (1 × 20 min). Deprotection of the MMt was achieved by treatment with CH$_2$Cl$_2$/TFA/TIS/H$_2$O (95:2.5:2.5) for 4 h at RT. The resin was filtered, and the aqueous solution was lyophilized to provide desired disulfide-linked glycopeptide in 90–95% purity.

General Procedure for the Glycosylation of Peptides with Unprotected Symmetrical Dithiodisaccharides. To a solution of cysteine-containing peptide (0.1 mmol) in DMF (1.2 mL) and water (0.3 mL) was added dithiodisaccharides 17, 19, 21, or 22 (0.5 mmol) and DIPEA (0.1 mmol), and the reaction was stirred at RT. After 30 min, methanol and diethyl ether were added, which led to the formation of a solid. Filtration of the precipitate afforded desired unprotected disulfide-linked glycopeptides as a white solid in 90–95% purity. No further purification was carried out.
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