MyD88 and STING Signaling Pathways Are Required for IRF3-Mediated IFN-β Induction in Response to Brucella abortus Infection
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Abstract

Type I interferons (IFNs) are cytokines that orchestrate diverse immune responses to viral and bacterial infections. Although typically considered to be most important molecules in response to viruses, type I IFNs are also induced by most, if not all, bacterial pathogens. In this study, we addressed the role of type I IFN signaling during Brucella abortus infection, a facultative intracellular bacterial pathogen that causes abortion in domestic animals and undulant fever in humans. Herein, we have shown that B. abortus induced IFN-β in macrophages and splenocytes. Further, IFN-β induction by Brucella was mediated by IRF3 signaling pathway and activates IFN-stimulated genes via STAT1 phosphorylation. In addition, IFN-β expression induced by Brucella is independent of TLRs and TRIF signaling but MyD88-dependent, a pathway not yet described for Gram-negative bacteria. Furthermore, we have identified Brucella DNA as the major bacterial component to induce IFN-β and our study revealed that this molecule operates through a mechanism dependent on RNA polymerase III to be sensed probably by an unknown receptor via the adaptor molecule STING. Finally, we have demonstrated that IFN-α/βR KO mice are more resistant to infection suggesting that type I IFN signaling is detrimental to host control of Brucella. This resistance phenotype is accompanied by increased IFN-γ and NO production by IFN-αβR KO spleen cells and reduced apoptosis.

Citation: de Almeida LA, Carvalho NB, Oliveira FS, Lacerda TLS, Vasconcelos AC, et al. (2011) MyD88 and STING Signaling Pathways Are Required for IRF3-Mediated IFN-β Induction in Response to Brucella abortus Infection. PLoS ONE 6(8): e23135. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0023135

Introduction

Brucella abortus is a Gram-negative, facultative intracellular coccobacillus which causes brucellosis in humans and in cattle. In humans B. abortus causes undulant fever, endocarditis, arthritis and osteomyelitis and, in animals, it leads to abortion and infertility resulting in serious economic losses [1,2]. The protective response against B. abortus infection requires CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T lymphocytes, Th1-type cytokines such as interferon-gamma (IFN-γ) and tumor necrosis factor (TNF-α), and activated macrophages and dendritic cells [3–5]. The innate immune system is the first line of defense mechanisms that protect hosts from invading Brucella. It begins with the recognition of pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) by pattern recognition receptors (PRRs). The best characterized PRRs known to recognize Brucella PAMPs are the Toll-like receptors (TLRs), which are transmembrane receptors that sense lipids, lipoproteins, proteins and nucleic acids [6–8]. This recognition activates intracellular signaling pathways that culminate in the induction of inflammatory cytokines, chemokines, interferons and upregulation of co-stimulatory molecules [9]. It has been shown that Brucella is recognized by several TLR-associated pathways triggering proinflammatory responses that impact both the nature and the intensity of the immune response [10].

Since their discovery nearly 50 years ago, IFNs have been described as products of virus-infected cells capable of inducing an antiviral state in neighbouring cells through a paracrine signaling [11]. Conversely, type I IFNs have been traditionally assigned a minor role in antibacterial host defenses [12]. Recently, however, conserved bacterial products, such as LPS and DNA, were found to activate distinctive signal transduction pathways compared to those activated by viruses and lead to high levels of type I IFN production [13]. Moreover, the role of type I IFN in antibacterial defenses is still controversial. The induction of type I IFN during some intracellular Gram-positive bacterial pathogens infection appears to be detrimental to the host. In IFN-α/β-receptor KO mice infected with Listeria monocytogenes the titer of bacteria recovered from the liver and spleen was 10³–10⁵-fold lower than in the wild type mice [14,15]. Another intracellular bacterium that induces high levels of type I IFN is a hypervirulent
strain of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. It was showed that mice infected with this *M. tuberculosis* strain died at faster rate due to failure to induce Th1 cellular mediated immunity [16]. The lack of development of Th1 immunity in response to this bacterium appears to be associated with increased induction of type I IFNs. In the *Chlamydia muridarum* infection model, IFN-α/β-receptor KO mice were found to be more resistant to infection than wild type mice [17]. The observed susceptibility of the wild type mice to *C. muridarum* was due to the induction of type I IFNs resulting in enhanced apoptosis of lung infiltrated macrophages. However, there are other bacterial infection models such as *Legionella pneumophila*, *Bacillus anthracis* and Group B *Streptococcus* where the function of type I IFNs are protective for host suppressing bacterial invasion due the enhancement of IFN-γ/NO production [18–20].

Although TLRs are the best characterized innate receptors related to recognize and to trigger a signaling for an efficient response against pathogens, they are only a piece of a broad repertoire of molecules capable to induce cellular signaling to fight against a microbial infection. Among them, the cytosolic receptors which are capable of sensing pathogen nucleic acids released from lysed bacteria or from bacterial secretion system during infection have been intensively studied [13]. Regarding that, retinoic acid inducible gene I (RIG-I), melanoma differentiation associated gene 5 (MDA5) and laboratory of genetics and physiology 2 (LGP2), members of RLR family were reported to recognize viral RNA and trigger an anti-viral response inducing type I IFN [21]. Few reports are available describing the role of RLR receptors recognizing bacterial RNAs. Since bacterial mRNAs are not capped and can contain 5′ triphosphate, they can induce type I interferon throughout RIG-I signaling [22]. In independent studies, Ablasser and colleagues [23], and Chiu et al. [24] demonstrated that RNA polymerase III transcribes highly AT-rich bacterial DNA present in the host cell cytosol to 5′ triphosphate RNA which is preferentially recognized by RIG-I [25]. The downstream signaling mediated by RIG-I requires the protein adaptor IPS-1 (MAVS, Cardif or VISA), which coordinates the activation of IRF3/7, NF-κB and MAP kinases [21]. In addition to IPS-1, another molecule termed stimulator of interferon genes (STING) has been identified as an adaptor required by RIG-I to induce type I IFN in response to *Listeria monocytogenes* and *C. muridarum* [26,27]. Furthermore, other groups have attempted to find a yet undefined bacterial DNA sensor that can signal through a TLR9-independent and RLR-independent pathways [28,29].

In this study, we have shown that *B. abortus* induced IFN-β in macrophages and splenocytes. To gain insights into the molecules involved in IFN-β synthesis we defined that *Brucella* DNA is a potent stimulus for this cytokine expression. In addition, IFN-β induced by *Brucella* requires IRF3 and STAT1 phosphorylation at tyrosine 701. Further, *Brucella* or its DNA induces IFN-β independent of TLRs and TRIF signaling but dependent on MyD88. Regarding, the putative cytosolic sensors involved in *Brucella* DNA recognition, we demonstrated here that RNA polymerase III and the adaptor molecule STING are critical components required for IFN-β signaling pathway. Additionally, we have demonstrated that IFN-αβR KO mice are more resistant to infection suggesting that type I IFN signaling is detrimental to host control of *Brucella*. This resistance phenotype seems to be related to increased IFN-γ and NO production by IFN-αβR KO spleen cells and reduced apoptotic profile. In summary, these findings propose a novel IFN-β pathway induced by a Gram-negative bacterial DNA that requires the engagement of RNA polymerase III and STING.

**Results**

*Brucella* induces IFN-β in macrophages and splenocytes

To investigate whether *B. abortus* induces type I IFN, macrophages or spleen cells were exposed to bacteria and IFN-β was measured at several time points. Wild type (129Sv/Ev) or IFN-αβR KO macrophages as well as splenocytes infected with *B. abortus* strain 2308 displayed increased expression of IFN-β as measured by ELISA or real-time RT-PCR, respectively. In infected BMDM, maximal IFN-β responses were observed at 72 hrs post-infection (Fig. 1A). Curiously, IFN-αβR KO macrophages produced greater amounts of IFN-β compared to the wild type cells. This process is probably a compensatory mechanism due to the lack of the type I IFN receptor. Furthermore, fifteen days after infection IFN-β transcripts were measured in splenocytes by real-time RT-PCR (Fig. 1B) and IFN-β production by ELISA (Fig. 1C). Similarly to what was observed in macrophages, IFN-β was higher in IFN-αβR KO spleen cells compared to 129Sv/Ev mice. These findings demonstrate that *B. abortus* induces IFN-β production in immune cells.

Absence of type I IFN signaling enhances host protection to *Brucella* infection

To study the role of type I IFN in host response against *Brucella* infection, we infected IFN-αβR KO and 129Sv/Ev mice with 1 × 10⁶ *B. abortus* strain 2308. Comparison of colony-forming units (cfu) recovered from the spleens of IFN-αβR KO and 129Sv/Ev mice fifteen days post-infection showed reduced bacterial numbers in type I IFN receptor deficient mice (Figure 2A). Similar findings demonstrating the detrimental role of type I IFN signaling to host control of bacterial infection were also observed for *L. monocytogenes*, *Tropheryma whipplei* and *C. muridarum* [14,15,17,30]. Since *Brucella* mutant to the type IV secretion system (*T4SS, virB* mutant) fails to elicit IFN-γ induced gene expression during early infection [31], we decided to also evaluate the role of *T4SS* during *Brucella* infection in IFN-αβR KO mice. As observed in *Brucella* strain S2308 expressing *T4SS* infection, *virB* mutant showed reduced cfu counts in type I IFN receptor deficient mice compared to the wild type (Figure 2A). However, the reduced numbers of *virB* mutant cfu found in both mouse strains compared to parental strain 2308 is probably due to already described reduced virulence of this mutant [32]. These results demonstrated that *T4SS* plays no role in resistance of IFN-αβR KO mice to *B. abortus* infection in vivo.

To address whether increased resistance observed in IFN-αβR KO mice was associated with pro-inflammatory mediators, we measured IFN-γ, IL-17 and NO in spleen cells of type I receptor deficient mice and control animals. Elevated production of IFN-γ, IL-17 and NO in spleen cells of type I receptor deficient mice and control animals. Elevated production of IFN-γ and NO in *Brucella*-primed splenocytes in vitro stimulated with live strain 2308 was observed in receptor-deficient mice compared to the wild type (Figure 2B and 2C). Additionally, no difference in IL-17 production was observed in IFN-αβR KO and 129Sv/Ev mice during infection in vivo.

*Brucella abortus*-induced splenic apoptosis is dependent on type I IFN signaling

One of the hallmark effects mediated by type I IFNs is the induction of apoptosis in infected cells which has been shown in the *L. monocytogenes* model to be associated with enhanced infection [14]. To assess whether *B. abortus* can also induce apoptosis, mice were infected and splenocyte apoptosis was determined by TUNEL assay and by flow cytometry using annexin V. We have observed increased apoptosis in the splenic tissue of 129Sv/Ev
Annexin V+/7-AAD− cells compared to uninfected controls; however, it was observed in 129Sv/Ev mice (Figure 3C). In order to detect the type I IFN-stimulated genes (ISGs) up-regulated in spleens during Brucella infection, we measured the expression of TRAIL, IP-10 and IRF7 by real-time RT-PCR. Figure 3D shows that only the proapoptotic gene TRAIL was significantly up-regulated in Brucella-infected splenocytes from wild type mice and it was highly suppressed in IFN-αβR KO mouse spleens. For IP-10 and IRF7, we did not observe statistically significant difference in gene expression in receptor-deficient mice compared to the wild type (data not shown). These results demonstrate that B. abortus-induced apoptosis in vivo is largely dependent on type I IFN signaling.

**Induction of IFN-β and TRAIL expression requires IRF3**

The transcription factor IRF3 has been shown to mediate the induction of IFN-β gene in response to pathogens and TLR signaling [33]. To determine whether induction of IFN-β by B. abortus also involves IRF3, Raw 264.7 macrophages were treated with siRNA 1075 specific for IRF3 and the cells infected with Brucella S2308 or stimulated with poly I:C as positive control. Transfection of IRF3-specific siRNA in Raw 264.7 cells resulted in a dramatic reduction of IFN-β expression levels (90%) as determined by real-time RT-PCR (Figure 4A). Inhibition of IRF3 led to a profound reduction of IFN-β transcripts following B. abortus or poly I:C stimulation, compared to siRNA non-treated cells. This result identifies IRF3 as a component of the signaling pathway leading to type I IFN induction by B. abortus-infected macrophages. Similarly to what was observed for IFN-β, IRF3 inhibition resulted in a dramatic reduction in TRAIL expression (95%) in Brucella-infected macrophages (Figure 4B). Taken together, these findings demonstrated that IRF3 is a critical transcriptional regulator of IFN-β and TRAIL gene expression during B. abortus infection.

**Brucella DNA induces IFN-β and TRAIL expression in macrophages**

Recent evidences suggest that induction of type I IFN by bacteria is mediated by nucleic acids from different pathogens [34]. In order to identify specific Brucella components involved in IFN-β expression, we have tested Brucella DNA, Omp19 (outer-membrane lipoprotein) or LPS. As observed in Figures 5A and 5B, Brucella DNA induces high levels of IFN-β and TRAIL expression in 129Sv/Ev BMDM. In contrast, reduced transcripts for TRAIL but not for IFN-β were observed in DNA-exposed macrophages from IFN-αβR KO mice. These results lead us to conclude that IFN-β expression induced by Brucella or its DNA occurs independently of type I IFN receptor signaling. Brucella Omp19 did not induce the expression of IFN-β and Brucella LPS induced very low levels of this cytokine mRNA (Figure S2). Treatment of Brucella DNA with DNase I abolished the stimulatory activity, a result suggesting that the DNA is the main ligand for an unknown receptor responsible for triggering the IFN-β response.

**STAT1 phosphorylation induced by Brucella or its DNA is dependent on type I IFN signaling**

Once produced type I IFNs can signal in an autocrine and paracrine manner leading to the phosphorylation-dependent activation of STAT1 through type I IFN receptor [35]. To determine whether B. abortus or its DNA induces STAT1 activation, we performed western blot analysis using specific antibodies targeting STAT1 phosphorylated at tyrosine 701 in infected mice compared to uninfected controls as indicated by TUNEL+ cells (Figure 3A and 3B). However, Brucella-infected IFN-αβR KO mouse spleens exhibited a drastic reduction in splenic apoptosis compared to wild type controls. The results presented in Figure 3B as % of TUNEL-positive cells were determined by counting TUNEL+ cells in mouse spleen sections. These results were also confirmed by the percentage of Annexin V+/7-AAD− cells detected in spleens. IFN-αβR KO splenocytes infected with Brucella did not show enhancement in the number of
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**Figure 1. Brucella induces IFN-β in macrophages and spleen cells.** (A) BMDM from 129Sv/Ev or IFN-αβR KO mice were infected with B. abortus strain S2308 (10^6 bact/cell) and IFN-β was measured by ELISA at 24, 48 and 72 hours. (B) Spleen cells from B. abortus infected mice at fifteen days post-infection were isolated and total RNA was harvested and mRNA levels of IFN-β were determined by real-time RT-PCR and normalized to β-actin. (C) Spleen cells from B. abortus infected mice at fifteen days post-infection were cultured with 10^6 bacteria/cell for 48 hrs and IFN-β was detected by ELISA. Error bars represent the mean ±SD. Similar results were obtained in two-independent experiments. Statistically significant difference of IFN-β levels from IFN-αβR KO mice compared to wild type is denoted by an asterisk (p<0.05). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0023135.g001
BMDM. As shown in Figure 6, an increased STAT1 Tyr701 phosphorylation after B. abortus or its DNA stimulation was evidenced at 6 hrs but not at 12 hrs in 129Sv/Ev mice. In contrast, STAT1 phosphorylation was completely absent when IFN-αβR KO BMDM were infected with Brucella or activated with its DNA.

**Brucella-induced IFN-β expression is TLR- and TRIF-independent but MyD88-dependent**

To determine the role of TLRs, TRIF and MyD88 signaling in IFN-β expression elicited by Brucella or its DNA, real-time RT-PCR was performed in TLR2, TLR4, TLR9, TRIF and MyD88 KO BMDM. As shown in Figure 7A and Figure 8A, IFN-β expression by B. abortus strain 2308 or its DNA is independent of TLR recognition and the adaptor molecule TRIF but dependent on MyD88 signaling. Our results demonstrated that in contrast to BMDM from wild type, TLRs KO or TRIF KO, IFN-β expression was totally abrogated in MyD88 BMDM infected with Brucella or stimulated with its DNA.

**Brucella-induced IFN-β is dependent on RNA Polymerase III and STING**

In addition to surface- or endosome-localized innate receptors, host cells also express several cytosolic sensors that induce type I IFN in response to nucleic acid ligands such as RNA and DNA [13]. However, the mechanisms by which bacteria stimulate cytosolic sensors are under investigation. Recently, Chiu et al. [24] reported that DNA could stimulate a RNA sensor. In this mechanism, RNA polymerase III transcribes cytosolic DNA thereby generating RNA ligands for RIG-I like receptors (RLR). In addition to the RNA polymerase III pathway, mouse and human cells have other cytosolic DNA sensors driving the transcriptional activation of type I IFN [29]. Further, STING (stimulator of interferon genes) was recently identified as a downstream signaling adaptor required for IFN-β induction in response to cytosolic DNA [26]. In order to address whether B. abortus- or bacterial DNA-induced IFN-β expression in Raw 264.7 macrophages is dependent on RNA polymerase III or STING, we decided to determine the function of this adaptor molecule in host control of this bacterial infection. As shown in Figure 8C, fifteen days following infection with 1×10^6 cfu of B. abortus strain 2308, bacterial numbers were similar in spleens of wild type and TRIF KO mice. Therefore, these results suggest that TRIF is not involved in the host control of B. abortus infection.
pharmacological inhibitor of RNA polymerase III or siRNA technology for STING was used. As demonstrated in Figure 9, the use of RNA polymerase III inhibitor (ML-60218) at 0.1 or 1.0 mM of concentration dramatically reduced IFN-β mRNA during *Brucella* infection of macrophages (83%) or during stimulation with its DNA (100%). This finding demonstrates that RNA polymerase III-dependent pathway plays an important role in IFN-β induction by *Brucella*, probably transcribing bacterial DNA to be recognized by a yet unknown receptor. Furthermore, we tested the role of STING in *Brucella*-induced IFN-β expression. By real-time RT-PCR analysis, it can be observed that by silencing STING resulted in a significant decrease in IFN-β mRNA levels during *B. abortus* infection of macrophages (55%) or upon macrophage stimulation with bacterial DNA (93%) compared to siRNA non-treated cells. This result demonstrates that STING is a crucial adaptor molecule of host cell involved in IFN-β induction by *Brucella*.

**Discussion**

Although type I IFNs are well known to induce a robust antiviral host response, the role of type I IFNs in response to bacterial infection is variable and even it is sometimes detrimental to the host. For instance, IFN-αβR KO mice exhibit lower *L. monocytogenes* burdens in the liver and spleen as compared with wild type mice [14,15,36]. Type I IFN signaling is also detrimental to the clearance of *M. tuberculosis* infection from the spleen [37] and the lung [38]. Furthermore, type I IFN impairs clearance of *Chlamydia* from the genital tract and lungs [17,39], and it is detrimental to the host during infection with *T. whipplei* [30]. In contrast, type I IFN is crucial for host resistance to some bacterial infections. For example, IFN-αβR KO mice exhibit decreased survival and increased bacterial burdens upon infection with Group B *Streptococcus*, *Streptococcus pneumoniae* and *Escherichia coli* [20]. Additionally, type I IFN also plays a role in restricting *L.*
pneumophila replication in macrophages [40]. The susceptibility of IFN-αβR KO mice to these infections has been correlated with reduced TNF-α and IFN-γ production. In response to Francisella tularensis, type I IFN signaling has also been observed to induce the expression of inflammasome components such as IL-1β and IL-18 [41]. Due to the complex cytokine circuit involving type I IFNs, the mechanisms by which the immunomodulatory effects of these IFNs are regulated are only beginning to be understood [42].

Regarding type I IFNs induced by B. abortus infection, the first demonstration that Brucella induces IFN-α was reported by Huang et al [8] using heat-killed bacteria (HKBa). IFN-α was detected in serum of wild type mice injected with HKBa and the level was markedly reduced in the TLR9 KO mice serum, demonstrating that HKBa induces IFN-α in a TLR9-dependent manner. Additionally, Salcedo et al [43] have shown that Brucella is able to induce IFN-β in dendritic cells. In this study, we have demonstrated that Brucella induces IFN-β in BMDM and splenocytes from wild type and IFN-αβR KO mice. Furthermore, we demonstrated that type I IFN signaling is detrimental to host control of B. abortus infection since low numbers of bacterial cfu

Figure 4. IRF3-dependent expression of IFN-β and TRAIL upon Brucella abortus infection. Raw 264.7 macrophages were treated with nontargeting control siRNA, a specific-targeting IRF3 (si1075) or non-treated control (NT). Total mRNA was obtained after 24 hrs stimulation with B. abortus S2308 (10^7 bact/cell) or poly I:C (10 μg/ml) as positive control. Next, IFN-β (A) and TRAIL (B) transcript levels were measured by real-time RT-PCR. Error bars represent the mean ±SD of samples assayed in triplicate. A representation of at least three experiments is shown. Statistically significant difference from cells treated with si1075 versus non-treated cells is denoted by an asterisk, from Brucella-infected cells treated with si1075 versus non-treated (NT) cells by two asterisks and from poly I:C stimulated cells treated with si1075 versus non-treated cells by three asterisks (p<0.05).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0023135.g004
were found in IFN-αβR KO mice when compared to the wild type control animals. In contrast to our findings, Roux et al [31] have shown that Brucella cfu recovered from IFN-αβR KO mice compared to parental BALB/c mice showed no significant differences during the first 4 weeks of infection. The differences observed in these studies may be related to the mouse strain used, since we have worked with 129Sv/Ev background and Roux et al [31] have used BALB/c mice. Further, the virB T4SS plays a crucial role in Brucella intracellular replication [32]. Since the virB T4SS system secretes effector proteins able to modify host cell functions, we also investigated whether virB was involved in Brucella host control in IFN-αβR KO mice. As demonstrated in Figure 2, similarly to observed to virulent strain 2308, IFN-αβR KO mice infected with Brucella DvirB mutant showed reduced bacterial burden compared to wild type mice.

The in vivo mechanisms by which type I IFN signaling increases host susceptibility to bacterial infection remain unclear. One possible mechanism is that induction of type I IFN by Listeria was shown to suppress macrophage activation by reducing the ability to respond to IFN-γ down-regulating IFN-γR expression [44]. Mice lacking IFN-αβR have increased expression of IFN-γR and their reduced susceptibility to L. monocytogenes infection is dependent on IFN-γ. Herein, we observed that splenocytes from Brucella-infected IFN-αβR KO mice produced higher amounts of IFN-γ and NO, two critical components to host control of this bacterial infection [45]. Therefore, this mechanism of antagonistic cross-talk between type I and II IFNs might be an important aspect involved in IFN-αβR KO mice resistance to Brucella infection. Additionally, abundant type I IFN predisposes lymphocytes to apoptosis during Listeria infection and it has a negative effect on bacterial handling in the mouse [46]. In this study, we have observed that Brucella-infected 129Sv/Ev mice induced higher percentage of apoptotic spleen cells as demonstrated by

---

**Figure 5.** Brucella DNA is the major component responsible for IFN-β and TRAIL expression in macrophages. BMDM from 129Sv/Ev or IFN-αβR KO mice were infected with B. abortus strain S2308 (10^5 bact/cell), stimulated with Brucella DNA (1 μg/5 x 10^5 cells), Brucella DNA treated with DNAse (0.2 U/μg DNA), poly I:C (10 μg/ml) or medium alone. After that, total RNA was harvested and mRNA levels of IFN-β (A) and TRAIL (B) were determined by real-time RT-PCR and normalized to β-actin. Error bars represent the mean ± SD of samples assayed in triplicate. Similar results were obtained in two-independent experiments. Statistically significant difference of IFN-β or TRAIL levels from stimulated macrophages versus non-stimulated cells is denoted by an asterisk, from IFN-αβR KO mouse macrophages compared to wild type is denoted by two asterisks and from Brucella DNA compared to Brucella DNA treated with DNAse with three asterisks (p<0.05).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0023135.g005

**Figure 6.** STAT1 phosphorylation induced by B. abortus or its DNA is impaired in mice lacking IFN-αβ receptor. BMDMs from wild type (129Sv/Ev) and IFN-αβR KO mice were stimulated for 6 and 12 hrs with B. abortus S2308 (10^5 bact/cell) or Brucella DNA (1 μg/5 x 10^5 cells). Cell lysates were analyzed by immunoblotting using anti-STAT1 and anti-phospho-STAT1 at tyrosine 701 residue. One experiment is shown representative of three.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0023135.g006
TUNEL assay and by flow cytometry using annexinV+/7-AAD-. Conversely, mice lacking IFN-αβR were more resistant to Brucella infection and displayed less apoptotic lesions as well as reduced expression of pro-apoptotic gene TRAIL than their wild type counterparts. We speculate here that type I IFN signaling enhances immune cells apoptosis; therefore, causing the increased susceptibility to Brucella. However, further experiments are required to support this hypothesis. Another possible mechanism by which type I IFN enhances host susceptibility to bacterial infection, relates to the observation that these cytokines stimulate the production of IL-27, a molecule that strongly suppresses IL-17A production [47]. IL-17A produced by γδ T cells appears to play an important role in restricting Listeria replication by orchestrating neutrophil responses [48]. In fact, IFN-αβR KO mice induced more IL-17A in response to Francisella and Listeria infections [49]. We have investigated here whether Brucella regulates IL-17A production and we found that in bacteria-infected splenocytes the levels of IL-17A in IFN-αβR KO mice were similar to wild type spleen cells (Figure S1). This result ruled out the possibility that lack of type I IFN signaling could enhance IL-17A production and host resistance to Brucella infection.

Following stimulation with bacterial components, the constitutively expressed IRF3 is phosphorylated in the cytoplasm, dimerizes and then translocates into the nucleus to induce the transcription of type I IFN [50]. We therefore, determined the role of IRF3 in IFN-β and TRAIL expression in Raw 264.7 macrophages using siRNA technology. IFN-β and TRAIL expression were dramatically reduced when IRF3 transcription factor was silenced, identifying IRF3 as an important component of the signaling pathway leading to type I IFN induction by Brucella.
Brucella-infected macrophages. Next, we reasoned whether *B. abortus* induces IFN-inducible genes via a type I IFN autocrine loop after engagement of the type I receptor. Thus, we monitored STAT1 activation, one outcome of IFN secretion and type I IFN receptor engagement. *Brucella*-infected wild type BMDM showed an increased in STAT1 tyrosine 701 at 6 hrs post-infection. In contrast, STAT1 tyrosine 701 phosphorylation was totally abrogated in IFN-αβR KO macrophages infected with *Brucella*. Collectively, these findings demonstrate that *B. abortus* induction of IFN-β occurs through an IRF3-dependent pathway and that subsequent STAT1 activation via IFN-αβR leads to a positive feedback loop involved in BMDM response to *Brucella*.

**Figure 8. TRIF-mediated signaling is dispensable for IFN-β expression induced by *B. abortus* or its DNA.** (A) BMDMs from TRIF KO and wild type (129Sv/Ev) mice were infected with *B. abortus* strain S2308 (10⁵ bact/cell), stimulated with *Brucella* DNA (1 µg/5 × 10⁵ cells), *Brucella* DNA treated with DNase (0.2 U/µg DNA), poly I:C (10 µg/ml) or medium alone. After 24 hrs, total RNA was harvested and mRNA levels of IFN-β were determined by real-time PCR and normalized to β-actin. Error bars represent the mean ± SD of samples assayed in triplicate. Similar results were obtained in three-independent experiments. Statistically significant difference of IFN-β levels from stimulated macrophages versus non-stimulated cells is denoted by an asterisk, from wild type mice to TRIF KO with two asterisks and from *Brucella* DNA compared to *Brucella* DNA treated with DNase with three asterisks (p < 0.05). (B) BMDM cells from TRIF KO and wild type (129Sv/Ev) were infected with *B. abortus* strain S2308 (10⁵ bact/cell), stimulated with *Brucella* DNA (1 µg/5 × 10⁵ cells), poly I:C (10 µg/ml) or medium alone were cultured for 24 hrs and IFN-β was detected by ELISA. Statistically significant difference of IFN-β levels from stimulated macrophages versus non-stimulated cells is denoted by an asterisk, from TRIF KO compared to the wild type mice by two asterisks. Error bars represent the mean ± SD of samples assayed in triplicate. (C) Five 129Sv/Ev and TRIF KO mice were infected i.p. with a dose of 10⁶ CFU of *B. abortus* strain S2308. Spleens were harvested at fifteen days post-infection, and the number of CFU in disrupted tissue was determined by 10-fold serial dilution and plating. Similar results were obtained in three-independent experiments.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0023135.g008
Furthermore, we have compared the IFN-β expression induced by Brucella DNA, the lipoprotein Omp19 and its LPS. Our data supports that Brucella DNA is a major bacterial component to induce IFN-β in BMDM. Regarding TLR recognition of Brucella, we and others have shown previously that TLR2, TLR4 and TLR9 recognize bacterial components and induce pro-inflammatory cytokine production [6-8]. More recently, we have shown that the MyD88 adaptor molecule is crucial to host control against Brucella infection [9]. In this study, we aimed to examine the role of TLRs and their contribution to the Brucella-induced IFN-β response. Overall, the fact that expression of IFN-β was unimpaired in TLR2, TLR4 and TLR9 KO BMDM during infection strongly indicated that Brucella- or its DNA-induced IFN-β expression occurs mostly independent of TLRs. Surprisingly, type I IFN expression by Brucella or its DNA was dependent on MyD88 in BMDM. A pathway involving MyD88 and an unknown PRR recognizing various Gram-positive bacteria such as Streptococcus and Bacillus anthracis has been previously reported [51,52]. However, to the best of our knowledge, activation of a MyD88-dependent, TLR2/TLR4/TLR9-independent signaling pathway is quite unique in Gram-negative bacteria. Recently, Lapaque et al [53] have reported that Brucella-induced IRGs expression (Irgα and Irgm3) expression by B. abortus is MyD88-dependent but TLR2/TLR4/TLR5/TLR9-independent. Since the adaptor molecule TRIF is involved in type I IFN production, we also decided to investigate whether Brucella could induce IFN-β expression through TRIF [54]. As shown in Figure 8A, Brucella or its DNA induces IFN-β expression in a TRIF-independent manner. Additionally, we addressed whether the adaptor molecule TRIF was involved in Brucella infection in vivo. Our results demonstrated that TRIF played no role in host control of B. abortus.

Brucella enters the host cell, prevents fusion of the phagosome with the lysosome by altering the intracellular traffic of the early phagosome vesicle [55] being located in structures that resemble the endoplasmic reticulum [56]. Therefore, DNA from dead Brucella is available in this endoplasmic reticulum-like organelle and/or escape to the cytosol compartment being available to bind to a cytosolic DNA sensor. Additionally, Brucella abortus expresses the virB T4SS that plays a crucial role in the bacterial replication [32]. Bacteria use type IV secretion systems for genetic exchange and to deliver effector molecules to eukaryotic target cells. In addition to DNA from dead Brucella that can be released in the BCV (Brucella-containing vacuole) and/or escape to the cytosol compartment being available to bind to a cytosolic DNA sensor, there is the possibility of the Brucella DNA be released by the translocation through the type IV secretion system. Even though, the virB was not involved in host control of Brucella in IFN-βR KO mice, the role of the type IV secretion system in releasing bacterial DNA in the cytosol remains to be fully characterized during B. abortus infection. Furthermore, the cellular sensors that detect DNA and triggers type I IFN production have remained largely unknown. The cytosolic receptors which are capable of sensing pathogen nucleic acids released from lysed bacteria or from bacterial secretion system during infection are now under intensive investigation [13]. Regarding that, retinoic acid inducible gene 1 (RIG-I), melanoma differentiation associated gene 5 (MDA5) and laboratory of genetics and physiology 2 (LGP2), members of RLR family were reported to recognize viral RNA and trigger an anti-viral response inducing type I IFN [21]. In this study, our findings are consistent with the hypothesis that RNA polymerase III detects some segments of Brucella DNA and transcribes them into RNA ligands that induce IFN-β possibly through the RIG-I pathway. Since, inhibition of RNA polymerase III enzymatic activity totally abrogated IFN-β expression induced by Brucella DNA. These results strongly suggest that RNA polymerase III plays a key role in sensing bacterial DNA during B. abortus infection. Furthermore, an endoplasmic reticulum resident transmembrane protein termed STING [stimulator of interferon genes] has been identified as an adaptor required by RIG-I to induce type I IFN in response to L. monocytogenes and C. muridarum [26,27]. In order to determine whether STING plays any role in Brucella sensing, we have used siRNA technology. siRNA silencing demonstrated that STING is also an important mediator of IFN-β induced by Brucella or its DNA functioning.

Figure 9. IFN-β expression induced by B. abortus or its DNA requires RNA polymerase III and the adaptor molecule STING. Raw 264.7 macrophages were treated with ML-60218 (RNA polymerase III inhibitor) for 10 hours and stimulated with B. abortus strain S2308 (10^5 bact/cell), with Brucella DNA (1 μg/5x10^5 cells), Brucella DNA treated with DNAase (0.2 U/μg DNA), poly I:C (10 μg/ml) and medium alone. For STING knockdown, macrophages were treated with non-targeting control siRNA, a specific-targeting STING or non-treated control. After three hours of stimulation total RNA was harvested and mRNA levels of IFN-β or its DNA-stimulated cells compared to unstimulated cells is denoted by two asterisks (p<0.05).

**Medium**, **B. abortus**, **DNA** and **Poly I:C**
probably downstream of the RIG-I pathway or of an unknown receptor. Since STING was found to basally reside in the endoplasmic reticulum, similarly to 

Brucella, this cell compartment would be an important site that facilitates STING to signal during this bacterial infection. A recent study demonstrated that the IFN-β response to L. monocytogenes was lost in STING KO mouse embryonic fibroblasts [26], and in mouse oviduct epithelial cells and human cells during C. muridarum infection when siRNA was used [27]. These findings reinforce STING as an important component of the TLR-independent interferon response during bacterial infection.

In summary, our study demonstrated that 

Brucella induces IFN-β through IRF3 signaling pathway and activates IFN-stimulated genes via STAT1 phosphorylation. Additionally, IFN-β expression induced by 

Brucella is independent of TLRs but MyD88-dependent, pathway not yet described for Gram-negative bacteria. Further, being 

Brucella DNA a major bacterial component to induce IFN-β, our study suggests that this molecule is transcribed by RNA polymerase III to be sensed by an unknown receptor that signals via STING. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report demonstrating that bacterial DNA can be sensed by RNA polymerase III/STING pathway to coordinate type I IFN response, demonstrating that bacterial DNA can be sensed by RNA polymerase III to be sensed by an unknown receptor that signals via STING. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report demonstrating that bacterial DNA can be sensed by RNA polymerase III/STING pathway to coordinate type I IFN production. Finally, type I IFN signaling enhances host susceptibility to B. abortus infection independently of bacterial T4SS. The mechanism involved in IFN-βR KO mice resistance is unknown but seems to involve enhanced IFN-γ and NO production and reduced apoptosis.

Materials and Methods

Ethics Statement

This study was carried out in strict accordance with the Brazilian laws 6638 and 9605 in Animal Experimentation. The protocol was approved by the Committee on the Ethics of Animal Experiments of the Federal University of Minas Gerais (Permission Number: CETEA89/2008).

Mice, cell culture and bacteria

IFN-βR KO mice were gifted by Dr. Luiz F. Reis (Ludwig Institute, São Paulo, Brazil). TLR2, TLR4, TLR9 or TRIF KO mice were kindly provided by Shizuo Akira from Osaka University in Japan. The wild-type strains 129Sv/Ev or C57BL/6 mice were purchased from the Federal University of Minas Gerais animal facility (UFMG, Belo Horizonte, Brazil). Genetically deficient and control mice were maintained at UFMG and used at 6–8 week of age. Mouse RAW 264.7 macrophages (American Type Culture Collection, ATCC Nu TIB-71) were grown in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) high glucose containing 10% FCS and 1% penicillin G sodium (100 U/ml), and streptomycin sulfate (100 μg/ml). Bone marrow cells were obtained from femora and tibia of mice and they were derived in macrophages (BMDMs) as previously described [57]. B. abortus virulent strain 2308 was obtained from our own laboratory collection. The 230B mutant strain was kindly provided by Dr. Renato de Lima Santos (UFMG, Belo Horizonte, Brazil). They were grown in Brucella broth medium (BD-Pharmingen, San Diego, CA) for 3 days at 37°C.

Purification of genomic DNA from bacterial culture

To purify 

Brucella genomic DNA, a modification of the method described by Wilson [58] was used. Briefly, cells were resuspended in 0.5 ml of TE buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0), heat killed at 80°C for 15 min, and incubated at 37°C for 1 h with 0.5% sodium dodecyl sulfate and proteinase K (200 mg/ml). Cell wall debris, denatured proteins, and polysaccharides were removed by precipitation of the lysate with 5 M NaCl and CTAB-NaCl solutions at 65°C for 10 min. DNA was extracted by a standard protocol with phenol-chloroform-isoamyl alcohol, precipitated with isopropanol, washed with 70% ethanol, and dried. The DNA-containing pellet was reconstituted in 100 μl of nuclease-free water containing RNase (50 μg/mL). The concentration and purity of the DNA were determined spectrophotometrically.

Infection and Brucella counts in spleens

Mice were infected i.p. with 10⁶ CFU of B. abortus strain 2308. To determine residual 

Brucella CFU in the spleens of mice, five animals from each group were examined at 2 weeks after infection. Spleens from individual animals were homogenized in PBS, 10-fold serially diluted, and plated on Brucella broth agar (Difco, BD-Pharmingen, San Diego, CA). Plates were incubated at 37°C and the number of CFU was counted after 3 days as previously described [57].

IFN-γ, IFN-β, IL-17 and NO production by splenocytes

Splenocyte cultures from 129Sv/Ev or IFN-βR KO mice were stimulated by addition of 10⁵ live B. abortus strain S2308 per cell or 1 μg/ml Escherichia coli LPS in a total volume of 200 μl of medium/well. Unstimulated cells were used as a negative control, and cells stimulated with Con A (5 μg/ml; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) were used as a T cell-activating control. Spleen cells were incubated at 37°C with 5% CO₂. Levels of IFN-γ or IL-17 in the supernatants were measured using a commercially available ELISA DuoSet kit (R&D Systems, Minnesota, MN). IFN-β levels were measured by Mouse Interferon-β ELISA Kit (PBL Biomedical Laboratories, Piscataway, NJ). To assess the amount of NO produced, spleen cell culture supernatants from IFN-βR KO or 129 Sv/Ev mice stimulated with the same antigens described above were assayed for accumulation of the stable final product of NO, NO₂ which was determined by the Griess reaction. Briefly, culture supernatants (50 μl) were mixed with 50 μl of Griess reagent (1% sulfanilamide, 0.1% naphthylethylenediamine dihydrochloride and 2.5% phosphoric acid) in triplicate in 96-well plates at room temperature for 5 min. The OD at 550 nm was then measured. NO₂ concentration was determined by comparison with NaNO₂ (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) as a standard.

IFN-β production by BMDM and immunoblotting

BMDM cells from 129Sv/Ev, IFN-βR or TRIF KO and C57BL/6, MyD88 or TLR9 KO animals completely differentiated into macrophages on day 10 of culture were stimulated with 10⁵ B. abortus strain S2308 bacteria per macrophage. The levels of IFN-β in the supernatants of 24 hrs stimulated BMDMs were measured by Mouse Interferon-beta ELISA Kit (PBL Biomedical Laboratories, Piscataway, NJ). For immunoblotting analysis, BMDM cells from IFN-βR KO or 129 Sv/Ev mice were stimulated either with live B. abortus at 10⁵ bacteria/cell or 1 μg purified 

Brucella DNA (1 μg/5 x 10⁵ cells). After 6 or 12 hours, cells were lysed with M-PER extraction buffer (Pierce, Rockford, IL) containing a phosphatase inhibitor mixture (Calbiochem, Darmstadt, Germany). Protein extracts (30 μg) were then separated by SDS-PAGE/NuPage system (Invitrogen Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA), electrophoretosed onto a nitrocellulose membrane, and immunoblotted with specific antibodies for phospho-STAT1 (Y701) (1:1000; Cell Signaling, Boston, MA) or for total STAT1 (1:1000; Cell Signaling, Boston, MA). Antibody binding was detected with HRP-conjugated anti-IgG (1:2000; Cell Signaling,
Real-Time RT-PCR

Splenocytes from *Brucella* infected and non-infected 129Sv/Ev and IFN-γBR KO mice or BMDM cells from 129Sv/Ev, IFN-γBR KO, C57BL/6, TL2R KO, TL4 KO, TLK9 KO, MyD88 KO and TRIF KO mice were snap-frozen and stored in −80°C freezer until RNA isolation. Cells were homogenized in 350 μL of RAI buffer and 3.5 μL of β-mercaptoethanol (Illuma™ RNA spin Mini RNA Isolation Kit – GE Healthcare, Buckinghamshire, UK) and total RNA was isolated according to the manufacturer’s instruction. Reverse transcription of 1 μg from total RNA was performed using Illuma™ Ready-To-Go RT-PCR Beads (GE Healthcare, Buckinghamshire, UK). Real-Time RT-PCR was conducted in a final volume of 10 μL containing the following: SYBR® Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA), oligo-dT cDNA as the PCR template and 20 μM of primers. The PCR reaction was performed with ABI 7900 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA), using the following cycling parameters: 60°C for 10 min, 95°C for 10 min, 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 sec and 60°C for 1 min, and a dissociation stage of 95°C for 15 sec, 60°C for 1 min, 95°C for 15 sec, 60°C for 15 sec. Primers were used to amplify a specific 100–120-bp fragment corresponding to specific genes targets as follows: IFN-β F: 5’-AGCTCCAGAAGGACGACAT-3’, IFN-β R: 5’-GCGCTGTAGGTTGAGCTT-3’, TRAIL F: 5’-ACCTCAGCTTGAGCTCAGT-3’, TRAIL R: 5’-TGATGATCAAGCCAGCAGACAG-3’, β-Actin F: 5’-AGGTTGTCAGCATTCTT-3’, β-Actin R: 5’-TGATGATAGTGGTGTGTGG-3’. The PCR was performed in triplicate.

TdT-mediated dUTP Nick-End Labeling (TUNEL) and Annexin V assays

Splenic tissue from infected and non-infected IFN-γBR KO or 129 Sv/Ev mice were removed and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde. Four μm sections were cut and mounted onto poly-L-lysine–coated slides. The samples were permeabilized using 0.1% Triton and 0.1% sodium citrate, and then double stranded DNA breaks were labeled using an in situ FragEL™ DNA Fragmentation Detection Kit, Colorimetric - TdT Enzyme (Calbiochem, Darmstadt, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. KS300 software contained in Carl Zeiss image analyzer was used for image acquisition of 50 images randomly chosen from each lamina at a 6 objective lens and scanned through microcamera JVC TK-1270/RGB. Then, the percentage of TUNEL positive cells was determined. For annexin V+ staining, splenocytes from *Brucella* infected and non-infected IFN-γBR KO or 129 Sv/Ev mice were obtained 2 weeks after infection and they were washed twice with cold PBS and then resuspended in 1x Binding Buffer (BD-Pharmingen, San Diego, CA). Cells were stained with PE-annexin V7-AAD™ as recommended by the manufacturer’s protocol (BD-Pharmingen, San Diego, CA). A minimum of 10,000 splenocyte-gated events were acquired using a FACSscan apparatus (BD-Pharmingen, San Diego, CA). FACS results were analyzed using the FlowJo Software (Tree Star, Ashland, OR).

siRNA and RNA Polymerase III inhibition

Primer sequences for silencing mouse IRF3 (accession number BC050882.1) were predicted with siRNA Target Finder and siRNA Converter (Ambion® - Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) and further prepared with the Ambion Silencer™ siRNA kit. The primer sequences used were: AS-mIRF3-1075: 5’-AAGGGTTGTTGCTCTACATGGTCTCCTGTTC-3’, SENSE-mIRF3-1075 5’-AAAAGACATGGAGAACACCCCGTGTC-3’. For siRNA transfections, RAW 264.7 cells were cultured in 12-well plates, and transfections were performed using FuGENE 6 (Roche Applied Science, Indianapolis, IN) in mixture with 2 μg of siRNA per well. After 72 hours, the cells were stimulated with 10⁷ bacteria per cell or with 10 μg of polyIC InvivoGen, San Diego, CA). Accell SMARTpool siRNA duplexes targeting mouse STING (catalog no E-055328), and Accell siRNA delivery media were purchased from Dharmaco (Thermo Fischer Scientific, Lafayette, CO). For STING siRNA-mediated knockdown, RAW 264.7 cells were first pretreated with the indicated siRNA or control duplexes for 72 hours in Accell siRNA media. Then the culture medium was replaced and the cells stimulated with 10⁴ bacteria/cell, DNA (1 μg/well) or poly I:C (10 μg/mL; InvivoGen, San Diego, CA). Messenger RNA silencing was confirmed by quantitative SYBR-Green RT-PCR. Briefly, total RNA was extracted with TRIzol (GIBCO BRL) and further reverse-transcribed into cDNA with Ready-To-Go RT-PCR Beads (GE Healthcare, Buckinghamshire, UK) and real-time PCR was performed as described. For RNA polymerase III studies, Raw 264.7 cells were pretreated with the Pol-III inhibitor, ML-60218 (Calbiochem, Darmstadt, Germany), at 0.1 mM or 1.0 mM for 10 hours and then stimulated with 10³ bacteria/cell, 1 μg of purified *Brucella* DNA, or 10 μg/mL of poly I:C (InvivoGen, San Diego, CA) for 3 hours. The supernatants were collected and the total RNA was extracted from the cells to access the expression of IFN-β by real-time RT-PCR as described above.

Statistical analysis

The differences in IFN-β, IFN-γ, IL-17 and NO levels between wild type and IFN-γBR KO mice were analyzed by Student’s t test using two-tail distribution. The differences in the relative expression of target genes were analyzed by Student’s t test, using one tail distribution and heteroscedastic variance.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Lack of type I IFN signaling is not related to IL-17A production in *Brucella*-infected splenocytes. Spleen cells from *B. abortus* S2308 infected 129Sv/Ev or IFN-γBR KO mice after two-weeks of infection were cultured with 10⁵ bacteria/cell, ConA (5 μg/ml) or medium alone for 72 hrs and IL-17A was detected by ELISA. Error bars represent the mean ±SD. Similar results were obtained in two-independent experiments. Statistically significant difference from medium alone compared to bacteria or ConA is denoted by an asterisk (p<0.05). (TIF)

Figure S2 *Brucella* DNA but not its LPS or lipiddated-Omp19 induces IFN-β expression in macrophages. BMDM from C57BL/6, TL2R or TL4 KO mice were infected with *B. abortus* strain S2308 (10⁵ bact/cell), stimulated with *Brucella* DNA (1 μg/5x10⁷ cells), *Brucella* DNA treated with DNase (0.2 U/μg DNA), poly I:C (10 μg/ml), *Brucella* LPS (10 μg/ml), *Brucella* L-Omp19 (25 μg/ml) or medium alone. Twenty four hours after stimulation of the cells, total RNA was harvested and mRNA levels of IFN-β was determined by real-time RT-PCR and normalized to β-actin. Error bars represent the mean ±SD of
samples assayed in triplicate. Similar results were obtained in two independent experiments. Statistically significant difference of INF-β levels from stimulated macrophages versus non-stimulated cells is denoted by an asterisk and from Brucella DNA compared to Brucella DNA treated with DNase with two asterisks (p<0.05) (TIF).
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