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Introduction:
In this paper we introduce a novel algebraic LAR-SURF (Linear Algebraic Representation Surface extraction) filter, well founded on algebraic topology methods, to extract and smooth the boundary surface of any subset of voxels arising from the segmentation of a 3D medical image.

Isosurface extraction to produce geometric models of surfaces from volumetric data is often used for indirect visualization of the medical data or for flow modeling. Here we discuss an approach based on basic algebraic topology and linear algebra, using linear spaces $C_p$ of chains (of cells) of dimension $0 \leq p \leq 3$ and the boundary matrix $[\partial_3] : C_3 \rightarrow C_2$.

Input volumetric data are represented by a 3D voxel array and can be generated by segmentation computed tomography (Fig. 2 left) and they are defined as a chain, i.e. as a vector from a linear space $C_3$ of 3-chains, represented in coordinates as a sparse binary vector.

A decomposition of the input volumetric data into small submatrices called “bricks” is performed, then the binary coordinate vector of each interesting chain of voxels is generated, and its boundary is computed by matrix multiplication times the boundary matrix producing the binary representation of the boundary surface (surface which define boundary). The output is produced by a linear mapping between spaces of 3- and 2-chains through the boundary operator $\partial_3 : C_3 \rightarrow C_2$. In particular, when the input set of voxels is either not (4-)connected, or contains one or more empty regions inside, LAR-SURF generates a non-connected set of closed surfaces, i.e. a triangle faces (Fig. 3).

Parallel data decomposition is used to compute the boundary surface patches within each of the bricks, that are finally joined and smoothed via the Taubin algorithm [9] This work is based on LAR (Linear Algebraic Representation) methods [3, 4], and is implemented in Julia language, natively supporting parallel computing on hybrid hardware architectures.

Representation Scheme:
With Boundary representations (‘B-reps’), where the solid model is represented through a representation of its boundary elements, i.e. faces, edges and vertices; decompositional enumerative representations [7], are a decomposition of either the object or the embedding space, respectively, into a well-defined cellular complex. In particular, a boundary representation provides a cellular decomposition of the object’s boundary into cells of dimension zero (vertices), one (edges), and two (faces). Medical imaging can be classified as the enumerative representation of cellular decompositions of organs and tissues of interest [5], in particular, as subsets of 3D volume elements (voxels) from the 3D image.
define Julia sparse matrices employs three arrays $F$, $C$, spaces The vertex indices for 0-, 1-, 2-, and 3-cells of a small ($3x2x1$) cubic grid follow. The function $K$ transforms the arrays of arrays $W, E, F, V$ into sparse binary characteristic matrices $M_0, M_1, M_2, M_3$. Note that to define Julia sparse matrices employs three arrays $I, J, X$ of non-zeros for rows, columns, values.

$LAR$ aims to represent the chain complex [6] generated by a piecewise-linear geometric complex embedded either in 2D or in 3D. In a few words, it gets a minimal characterization of geometry and topology of a cellular complex, i.e. the embedding mapping $\mu : C_0 \rightarrow E^d$ of 0-cells (vertices), as well a description of $(d - 1)$-cells as subsets of vertices, and is able to return the whole chain complex

$C_\bullet = (C_p, \partial_p) := C_3 \overset{\delta_2}{\rightarrow} C_2 \overset{\delta_1}{\rightarrow} C_1 \overset{\delta_0}{\rightarrow} C_0.$

and, in particular, any basis for linear chain spaces $C_p$, and any linear boundary/coboundary map $\partial_p$ and $\delta_p = \partial_{p-1}^\top$ between them. The domain of LAR is the set of chain complexes generated by cell $d$-complexes ($2 \leq d \leq 3$). In algebraic topology a $p$-chain is defined as a linear combination of $p$-cells with scalars from a field. We may get the $(p-1)$-boundary $\partial_p c_p$ of any $p$-chain $c_p$, by multiplication of the coordinate representation $[\partial_p]$ of the boundary operator times the coordinate representation $[c_p]$ of the chain in terms of such scalars, i.e. by a matrix-vector product $[\partial_p][c_p]$.

The geometry and topology required to display (Fig. 2) a triangulation of boundary faces is contained in the geometric chain complex $(\text{geom}, \text{top}) = (V, (EV, FE, CF))$, where the geometry $\text{geom}$ is given by the embedding matrix $V$ of vertices ($0$-cells), and topology $\text{top}$ by the three sparse matrices $(EV, FE, CF)$ of coboundaries $(\delta_0, \delta_1, \delta_2)$ of the chain complex (Fig. 1). The ordered pairs of letters from $V, E, F, C$, correspond to $\text{Vertices} \rightarrow \text{Edges} \rightarrow \text{Faces} \rightarrow \text{Cells}$ into the $\text{Column} \rightarrow \text{Row}$ order of matrix maps of operators.

Construction of boundary matrix $\partial_d$:

First, let us fix an ordering for all the cells of a partition of input data (with vertices $V$, edges $E$, pixels $F$, and voxels $C$) i.e. for each 0-, 1-, 2-, and 3-cell. These orderings define the $p$-bases for the linear spaces $C_p$ of $p$-chains ($0 \leq p \leq 3$). We call $M_p = (m_{i,j})$ the binary characteristic matrix of the $p$-basis, expressed as subsets so that $m_{i,j} = 1$ if and only if the $j$-th 0-cell $c_0^j$ belongs to the boundary of $i$-th $p$-cell $m_{i,j}$, and $m_{i,j} = 0$ otherwise.

The product of binary matrices is not binary. In a 3D image, with cubic 3-cells and squared 2-cells in-between, we have exactly six rows where $m_{i,j} = 4$, since a cube (3-chain) has six boundary faces (2-chains). The unit incidence coefficients in $[\partial_3]$ are found by filtering with value 4.

Characteristic matrices:
The vertex indices for 0-, 1-, 2-, and 3-cells of a small ($3x2x1$) cubic grid follow. The function $K$ transforms the arrays of arrays $W, V, E, F, V$ into sparse binary characteristic matrices $M_0, M_1, M_2, M_3$. Note that to define Julia sparse matrices employs three arrays $I, J, X$ of non-zeros for rows, columns, values.
Fig. 2: The left image shows one slice with segmented organs from the Ircad dataset [8]. The other three images show the surface of the liver and portal vein generated by lar-surf.jl package.

\[ VV = \{ \{ v \} \text{ for } v = 1: \text{size}(V, 2) \}; \]
\[ CV = \{ [1,2,3,4,7,8,9,10], [3,4,5,6,9,10,11,12], [7,8,9,10,13,14,15,16], [9,10,11,12,15,16,17,18], [13,14,15,16,19,20,21,22], [15,16,17,18,21,22,23,24] \}; \]
\[ FV = \text{collect}(\text{Set}(\text{Array}(\text{Int64},1))(\text{cat}(\text{map}(\text{CV2FV}, CV)))) \]
\[ EV = \text{collect}(\text{Set}(\text{Array}(\text{Int64},1))(\text{cat}(\text{map}(\text{CV2EV}, CV)))) \]

\[ \text{function } K(CV) \]
\[ I = \text{vcat}(\{ k \text{ for } h \text{ in } CV[k]\} \text{ for } k = 1: \text{length}(CV)) \ldots \]
\[ J = \text{vcat}(CV \ldots) \]
\[ X = \text{Int8}[1 \text{ for } k = 1: \text{length}(I)] \]
\[ \text{return } \text{SparseArrays.sparse}(I,J,X) \]

\[ M_0 = K(VV); M_1 = K(EV); M_2 = K(FV); M_3 = K(CV) \]

Boundary matrices:

Boundary matrices between chain spaces are computed by sparse matrix multiplication of characteristic matrices and by matrix filtering, produced in Julia via broadcast of vectorized integer division (\(\div\)):

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_1 &= M_0 \ast M_1' = M_1'' \\
\partial_2 &= (M_1 \ast M_0') \div \text{sum}(M_1, \text{dims} = 2) \\
\partial_3 &= (M_2 \ast M_0') \div \text{sum}(M_2, \text{dims} = 2)
\end{align*}
\]

Brick-level parallelism:

Let us assume that medical devices produce 3D images with lateral dimensions that are integer multiples of some powers of two, like 256, 512, etc. Any cuboidal portion of the image \(B\), called brick, is completely determined by highest and lowest Cartesian indices of its voxels (Fig. 1 right). We assume \(B\) as a function of its element of the lowest brick coordinates \(i, j, k \in [1 : n]\) and the brick lateral size \(n \in \mathbb{N}\):

\[ B(i, j, k, n) := \text{image}([in : in + n, jn : jn + n, kn : kn + n]) \]

The granularity of parallelism, depending on the block size \(n\), is further enforced by the computation of a single boundary matrix \([\partial_d(n)]\) depending on \(n\).
Workflow of LAR-SURF algorithm

Discussion of method

The greatest amount of other methods for extraction of boundary surfaces from 3D data arrays—including [10] and [11]—use implicit functions, defined by first averaging upon 3D mesh vertices the lighting or brightness of incident voxels, and then by applying some marching cube algorithm in order to traverse and to triangulate the iso-valued boundary patches.

Conversely, we use a binary labeling of the voxel sets of interested image segment, and the standard medical 3D image array as solid representation. The set of boundary facets is extracted through spMV (sparse matrix vector multiplication) of the \(\partial_3\) matrix times the binary vector labeling the voxels of the segment. The boundary matrix is computed once and for all, sent to all workers (cores or nodes), then used in parallel for all image brick extractions. This algebraic method can be immediately extended to multi-material processing, as well as to design multi-material tissue layering for 3D printing.

In particular, we might organize a multi-material (or multi-organ) extraction, simply by multiplication of the boundary matrix \(\partial_3\) : \(C_3 \rightarrow C_2\) times a binary matrix where the non-zeros elements on each column represents either one of the materials, or one of the organs to be algebraically extracted by the filter. The two surface patches common to two adjacent segments will be exactly coincident, and share the same geometry and topology, with the only exception of each patch contours, where the influence of adjacent patches induces each instance to be separated and round-off.

The main advantage of the approach discussed in this paper is given by its very algebraic nature; our implementation does not need any kind of algorithmic graph traversal, of difficult parallelization, but only the execution of standard algebraic computing kernels, and in particular the spMV and the spMspM (sparse matrix–sparse matrix multiplication) kernels, nowadays efficiently implemented by tensor processors on Nvidia hardware. As we have shown, the parallelization, both shared-memory and distributed, is also fairly easy and with good speed-up.

Conclusion:

We introduced a Julia implementation of an algebraic filter to extract from 3D medical images the boundary surface of some specific image segment, described as a 3-chain of voxels. Translations from Cartesian indices of cells to linearized indices, the computation of the sparse boundary matrices, and the sparse matrix-vector multiplication are the main computational kernels of this approach.

We may show a good speed-up over marching-cubes algorithms. The current implementation employs Julia’s channels for multiprocessing. Parallelization makes a large portion of spared computational cost. Moreover, we expect additional improvement in the future because our approach is appropriate for SIMD (Single Instruction, Multiple Data) hybrid architectures of CPUs and GPUs, since only the initial block setup of boundary matrix and image slices, as well the final collection of computed surface portions, require inter-process communication. Currently, the software is being improved to gain a greater
speed-up using native Julia implementation CUDA.j1 of Nvidia programming platform [1], and Julia’s SuiteSparseGraphBLAS.j1 framework [2] for graph algorithms with the language of linear algebra.
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