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Abstract

On-line social networks are complex ensembles of inter-linked communities that interact on different topics. Some communities are characterized by what are usually referred to as deviant behaviors, conducts that are commonly considered inappropriate with respect to the society’s norms or moral standards. Eating disorders, drug use, and adult content consumption are just a few examples. We refer to such communities as deviant networks. It is commonly believed that such deviant networks are niche, isolated social groups, whose activity is well separated from the mainstream social-media life. According to this assumption, research studies have mostly considered them in isolation. In this work we focused on adult content consumption networks, which are present in many on-line social media and in the Web in general. We found that few small and densely connected communities are responsible for most of the content production. Differently from previous work, we studied how such communities interact with the whole social network. We found that the produced content flows to the rest of the network mostly directly or through bridge-communities, reaching at least 450 times more users. We also show that a large fraction of the users can be inadvertently exposed to such content through indirect content resharing. We also discuss a demographic analysis of the producers and consumers networks. Finally, we show that it is easily possible to identify a few core users to radically uproot the diffusion process. We aim at setting the basis to study deviant communities in context.

1 Introduction

The structure of a social network is fundamentally related to the interests of its members. People assort spontaneously based on the topics that are relevant to them, forming social groups that revolve around different subjects. This tendency has been observed with quantitative studies in several online social media (Leskovec and Horvitz 2008, Aiello et al. 2012). In the past, researchers have explored the relationship between information diffusion and network structure (Barbieri, Bonchi, and Manco 2013), focusing on the structural and dynamical properties of specific topical communities such as groups supporting political parties (Conover et al. 2011), or discussion groups about rumors, hoaxes (Ratkiewicz et al. 2011) and conspiracy theories (Bessi et al. 2015).

Online social media are also favorable ecosystems for the formation of topical communities centered on matters that are not commonly taken up by the general public because of the embarrassment, discomfort, or shock they may cause. Those are communities that depict or discuss what are usually referred to as deviant behaviors (Clairand and Meier 2015), conducts that are commonly considered inappropriate because they are somehow violative of society’s norms or moral standards. Pornography consumption, drug use, excessive drinking, eating disorders, or any self-harming or addictive practice are all examples of deviant behaviors. Many of them are represented, to different extents, on social media (Haas et al. 2010, Morgan, Snelson, and Elison-Bowers 2010, De Choudhury 2015). However, since all these topics touch upon different societal taboos, the common-sense assumption is that they are embodied either in niche, isolated social groups or in communities that might be quite numerous but whose activity runs separately from the mainstream social media life. In line with this belief, research has mostly considered those groups in isolation, focusing predominantly on the patterns of communications among community members (Tyson et al. 2015) or, from a sociological perspective, on the motivations that make people join such groups (Attwood 2005).

In reality, people who are involved in deviant practices are not segregated outcasts, but are part of the fabric of the global society. As such, they can be members of multiple communities and interact with very diverse sets of people, possibly exposing their deviant behavior to the public. In this work we aim to go beyond previous studies that looked at deviant groups in isolation by observing them in context. In particular, we want to shed light on three matters that are relevant to both network science and social sciences: i) how much deviant groups are structurally secluded from the rest of the social network, and what are the characteristics of their sub-groups who build ties with the external world; ii) the extent to which content produced by a deviant community spreads and is accessed (voluntarily or inadvertently) by people outside its boundaries; and iii) what is the demo-
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graphic composition of producers and consumers of deviant content and what is the potential risk that young boys and girls are exposed to it.

In this initial study we undertake to answer those questions focusing on the behavior of adult content consumption. Public depiction of pornographic material is considered inappropriate in most cultures, yet the number of consumers is strikingly high (Sabina, Wolak, and Finkelhor 2008). Despite that, we are not aware of any study about the interface between adult content communities and the rest of the social network. We study this phenomenon on a large dataset from Tumblr, considering big samples of the follow reblog networks for a total of more than 130 million nodes and almost 7 billion directed dyadic interactions. To spot the community that generated adult content, we also recur to a large sample of 146 million queries from a 7-month query log from a very popular search engine (Section 3), out of which we build an extensive dictionary of terms related to adult content that we make publicly available.

Results show that:

- The deviant network is a tightly connected community structured in subgroups, but it is linked with the rest of the network with a very high number of ties (Section 4.1).
- The vastest amount of information originating in the deviant network is produced from a very small core of nodes but spreads widely across the whole social graph, potentially reaching a large audience of people who might see that type of content unwillingly. Although the consumption of deviant content remains a minority behavior, the average local perception of users is that neighboring nodes reblog more deviant content than they do (Section 4.2).
- There are clear differences in the age and gender distributions between producers and consumers of adult content. The differences we found are compatible with previous literature on adult material consumption: producers are older and more predominantly male and age greatly affects the consumption habit, strengthening it in males and weakening it in females (Section 4.3).

2 Related Work

Groups in online social media.

Computer science research has dealt extensively with the problem of classification of groups among structural, temporal, behavioral, and topical dimensions (Negoescu and Gatica-Perez 2008). Grabowicz et al. (2013) and Aiello (2015). The relationship between group connectivity and shape of information cascades has also been explored, revealing an intertwinement between community boundaries and cascade reach that is particularly tight in communities built upon a common theme shared by all of their members (Easley and Kleinberg 2010; Romero, Tan, and Ugander 2013; Barbieri, Bonchi, and Manco 2013; Martin-Borregón et al. 2013). The degree of inter-community interaction has been analyzed mostly in the context of heavily polarized networks, the most classical example being online discussions between two opposing political views (Adamic and Glance 2005, Conover et al. 2011, Feller et al. 2011). These studies explored methods to quantify segregation (Guerra et al. 2013), but mainly focus on networks formed by two main divergent clusters.

Deviant communities.

Deviant networks have been analyzed mostly in isolation. Studies about the depiction of drug and alcohol use in social media adopted mainly the content perspective. Researchers aimed at identifying the elements that boost content popularity, investigated the effect of gender on engagement, and studied the perceptions that deviant content arises in the young public (Morgan, Snelson, and Elison-Bowers 2010). Research has been conducted around anorexia-centered online communities (Gavin, Rodham, and Poyer 2008; Ramos, Pereira Neto, and Bagrichesvky 2011; Boero and Pascoe 2012), also on Tumblr (De Choudhury 2015), investigating a wide range of aspects including the construction and management of member identities, the processes of social recognition, the emergence of group norms, and the use of linguistic style markers. Similar studies have been published over the years on communities of self-injurers and negative-enabling support groups, in which members encourage negative or harmful behaviors (Haas et al. 2010). Fewer studies touch upon network-related aspects. One notable example is the work by Gareth et al. (2015) that provides an overview of behavioral aspects of users in the PornHub social network, with particular focus on the role of sexuality and gender. More loosely related are studies on the so-called dark networks, mostly motivated by the need of finding effective methods to disrupt criminal or terrorist organizations (Xu and Chen 2008). The study by Christakis et al. (2008) about the communication network between smokers and non-smokers is one of the few quantitative studies that addresses the interaction between the social network and one of its sub-groups, but it strongly focuses on the phenomenon of contagion.

Adult content consumption.

In the context of internet pornography consumption, computer science literature studied the categorization of content and frequency of use (Schubmacher, Zirn, and Völker 2013; Tyson et al. 2013; Hald and Stulhofer 2015). A wider corpus of research has been produced by social and behavioral scientists by means of surveys administered to relatively small groups. Special attention has been given to the relationship between age or gender and the exposure (voluntary or unwanted) to internet porn (Sabina, Wolak, and Finkelhor 2008; Ybarra and Mitchell 2005; Buzell 2005; Mitchell, Finkelhor, and Wolak 2003; Chen et al. 2013), with particular interest to the age band of young teens (Mitchell, Finkelhor, and Wolak 2003; Chen et al. 2013; Wolak, Mitchell, and Finkelhor 2007). Numbers vary substantially between studies, but clearly men are more exposed than women (approximately 75%-95% vs. 30%-60%), with men exposed more frequently (Hald 2006) and women more often involuntarily. It is estimated that young teens that are often exposed accidentally (roughly 25% to 66% of the times) and are also exposed to violent or degrading pornography (20%
This study uses data collected from Tumblr, a popular microblogging platform and social networking website. The dynamics of the Tumblr community are based mostly on three possible actions. Users can post new entries on their blogs usually containing multimedia content, repost on their blogs any post previously published by others (similarly to Twitter retweets), and follow other users to receive updates from their blogs in a stream-like fashion. Users might own multiple blogs, but for the purpose of this study we consider blogs as users, and we will use the two terms interchangeably.

We consider as deviant nodes those users who post content about a given deviant topic. To identify deviant nodes we resort to data from search logs. As shown in other studies (Lee and Chen 2011), if a deviant query hits (i.e., leads to the click of) a Tumblr blog URL, then the blog is a candidate deviant node.

In our analysis we use a seven-month long query log (from Jan. to Jul. 2015) of a major search engine, from which we collected a random sample of 146M query log entries whose clicked URL belongs to the tumblir.com domain. We limit our study to queries that were submitted from the United States. After a simple query normalization process involving lowercasing and the removal of numbers, additional spaces, and of the word “tumblr” with its most common misspellings (as observed from the term distribution) we obtained about 26M unique queries that hit a total of 2.7M unique Tumblr blogs. As expected, the distribution of number of queries hitting a blog is very skewed, with most popular blogs being reached by hundreds of thousands of clicks originating from search queries (Figure 1). In the remainder of this work, we focus on adult content, this being a very common deviant topic on the Web. The same kind of analysis could be conducted on any other deviant topic.

To maximize the accuracy and coverage of the set of discovered deviant nodes, we devise an iterative semi-supervised Deviant Graph Extraction procedure. Given a query log \( Q \), and a set \( K_i \) of deviant keywords (possibly multi-grams), we define as \( Q(K_i) \) the set of queries in \( Q \) that exactly match any of the keywords in \( K_i \). Based on the query log information, the set \( Q(K_i) \) yields a collection of clicked URLs from which we selected those corresponding to blogs in the Tumblr domain. We denote such set of blogs as \( B(K_i) \). To reduce data sparsity, we filter out the blogs in \( B(K_i) \) with less than two unique incoming queries in \( Q(K_i) \) or less than 3 clicks originated by them.

The set of queries hitting \( B(K_i) \) is used to create a new set of keywords \( K_{i+1} \) and to re-iterate the procedure. Given the current set of deviant nodes \( B(K_i) \) we identify the 10% of blogs with highest proportion of query hits that match words in \( K_i \); those are the blogs that are hit mostly by deviant queries compared to other query types. We select all the unique queries that hit those blogs and merge them with \( K_i \), thus obtaining a new set of keywords \( K_{i+1} \), which is used to feed the next iteration of the algorithm. The procedure is repeated until the sizes of both \( K_i \) and \( B(K_i) \) converge.

The initial set \( K_0 \) is obtained as follows. We first create a keyword set as the union of the search keywords from professional adult websites along with the list of adult performers published by movie production companies. To extend the coverage also to blogs that are reached predominantly by Spanish queries (the second most used language in US), we also translated to Spanish the initial set of keywords. From this initial set we manually extracted two dictionaries of respectively 5,152 and 5,283 search keywords (mono-grams, bi-grams, multi-grams), which were used to filter queries in the query log following two strategies: 1) exact match, selecting those queries in the query log which match exactly one search keywords in the first dictionary, 2) containment, selecting those queries subsuming any search keywords term in the second dictionary. For instance, the word porn is not included in the containment dictionary because queries like food porn should not to be detected as adult. The union of the queries detected by the two strategies hits a set of blogs, whose most frequent incoming queries were manually inspected to detect further 351 search keywords. The union of these terms with the exact match dictionary leads to a set of 5,503 deviant queries (5,152 + 351) which is used as the seed set \( K_0 \) to bootstrap the deviant graph extraction.

The above algorithm is biased towards the query log data, and on the popularity of blogs measured through the volume of search queries. On the other hand, this method allows to identify very quickly nodes that are likely to be relevant in the network as they produce the most interesting content to Web users. Also, as the procedure is network-oblivious (the graph structure is not exploited), no bias is introduced in our analysis of the network.

Figure 2 shows that the Deviant Graph Extraction procedure converges quickly. We stop after 6 steps with 198K nodes hit by 4.2M unique queries. The final vocabulary containing 7,361 words is made publicly available to the re-
In Figure 3 we report the distribution of the deviant query volume ratio for the deviant nodes detected. The distribution is skewed, showing that about 30% of the nodes are hit by a majority of deviant queries.

To study the interaction of deviant nodes with the rest of the social network, we extracted a subset of the Tumblr follower and reblog networks with a snowball expansion starting from the 198K identified deviant nodes up to 3-hops away. The follower is a snapshot of the graph done in December 2015; the reblog network was built from the reblog activity happened in the same month. Statistics about the resulting networks are reported in Table 1.

We also obtained information about self-declared age and gender for about 1.7M Tumblr users and, in particular, for about 10% of the detected deviant nodes. The datasets include exclusively interactions between users who voluntarily opted-in for such studies. All the analysis we report next has been performed in aggregate and on anonymized data.

4 Deviant graph in context

The availability of data about the interaction between deviant nodes and the social network that surrounds them provides the unique opportunity to study the structure and dynamics of a deviant network within its context. We first analyze the shape of the deviant network and measure its connectivity with the rest of the social graph (Section 4.1). We then look into how the information originating from deviant networks spreads across the boundaries of the deviant group (Section 4.2). Last, we study some demographic properties that characterize producers and consumers (Section 4.3).

Table 1: Network statistics for the reblog (R) and follow (F) networks of the full graph sample (All), the deviant graph (Deviant), and the four communities that compose it (Producers1,2 and Bridge1,2). All the statistics are about the giant weakly connected components and count only links whose both endpoints are in the considered node subset. \( \langle k \rangle \) = average degree, \( D \) = density, \( \rho \) = reciprocity, \( C \) = clustering, \( s_{\text{pl}} \) = average shortest path length, \( d \) = diameter.

Q1) Are deviant nodes organized in a community?

We consider the deviant networks as the subgraphs of the follow and reblog Tumblr networks induced by the deviant nodes. A directional link in the follow (reblog) network from node \( i \) to node \( j \) exists if \( i \) follows (or reblogs the posts of) \( j \), meaning that the information flows from \( j \) to \( i \). Basic network statistics on such subgraphs reveal that the deviant networks are quite dense, yet they have a high diameter (Table 1). Similar statistics have been observed before in other social networks (Aiello et al. 2010) and might be an indication of the presence of strong subgroups patterns, as well as a signal of the absence of a community structure.

To better determine the reason for such elongated shape, we run the Louvain community detection algorithm (Blondel et al. 2008) on the deviant network. Four clusters emerge, whose network statistics are summarized in the bottom lines of Table 1. To determine their nature, we manually inspected the content of 250 blogs in each of them. More than 90% of all the blogs in the two largest clusters contain blogs that exclusively produce explicit adult content, aimed at a heterosexual public (Producers1) or at a male homosexual public (Producers2). The blogs in the two remaining communities post less explicit adult content and more sporadically, often by means of reblogging. They either focus on celebrities (Bridge1), or function as aggregator blogs with high content variety, including depiction of nudity (Bridge2).

From a bidimensional visualization of the network layout (Figure 4) it becomes apparent that the two bigger clusters are two well-separated cores that give a characteristic
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hourglass shape to the network, reason for the high diameter observed. The remaining communities are peripheral and arranged in a crown-like fashion (which explains their high diameter) around the largest sub-cluster Producers\textsubscript{1}. We name the two smaller groups bridge communities as their main focus is not on deviant content but they are an entry point for deviant query traffic and, as we shall see next, act also as bridges towards the rest of the graph.

In short, we find that deviant nodes are not scattered in the social network but are tightly organized in a structure of distinct communities. To find out about the nature of their interaction with the rest of the social ecosystem, we proceed to answer the next question.

Q2) To what extent is the deviant graph connected to the rest of the social network?

There are several ways to estimate the connectivity between two sets of nodes in a graph. We use different metrics to measure it between the four communities of the deviant network and the rest of Tumblr, as summarized by the matrices in Table 2: rows represent the group of nodes from which the social tie originates, columns those on which it lands.

The average volume of connections (Table 2, left) provides a first indication about the difference in connectivity across different groups. The diagonal has the highest values because of the community structure of the deviant network and of its sub-communities: members of a group have many more ties towards other group members rather than to the outside. This is true in particular for the two Producer clusters. The volume of links incoming to the largest producer cluster is particularly high from the smallest bridge community (Bridge\textsubscript{2}), which surrounds it. The average Tumblr user in our sample follows around 2–3 of which are in the core of the deviant network and around 2 of them are in bridge communities; similarly, among the 33 users reblogged in one month by the average user, one is from a Producer cluster and one from a Bridge group.

When looking at raw volumes, the amount of links from the deviant network to the rest of the graph is very high, mainly due to the high dimensionality of the set of nodes that are not deviant. To partially account for dimensionality of the groups, we measure the connectivity with density computed as the ratio of edges between the two groups over the total number of possible edges between them (Table 2, center). Also in this case the overall patterns hold, but the connectivity towards the external graph drops significantly.

Values of density are still affected by size, though. It is known that in real networks there is a strong correlation between density and number of nodes (Leskovec, Kleinberg, and Faloutsos 2005). To fix that, in the spirit of established work in complex systems (Schifanella et al. 2010) we resort to a comparison of the real network connectivity with a null model that randomly rewires the links while keeping the degree of each node unchanged. The values we report in Table 2 (right) indicate how many times the number of connections observed deviate from the null model. Also in this case, values on the diagonal are very high (except for the outer network, which has a value close to 1, as expected). Also, this computation highlights that ordinary users have a tendency to reblog content from the core of the deviant network almost 7 times more than random and between 16 and 53 times more than random from the bridge community members.

In summary, the core of the deviant community is dense but it is far from being separated from the rest of the graph, which is connected to it both directly and even more tightly through bridge groups.

4.2 Deviant content reach

We found that, although the deviant network forms a tightly connected community, it is not isolated from the rest of the social graph. This calls for an investigation about the visibility that the deviant content has in the outer network and what are the main factors that determine its exposure. We do so by answering the three research questions below.

Q3) How much deviant content spreads in the social graph and who are the main agents of diffusion?

The exposure to deviant content goes beyond the members of the deviant network who are the producers of original adult material. Specifically, the consumers of deviant content can be categorized in three classes. The first is the class of active consumers: nodes who reblog (but not necessarily follow) adult posts, thus contributing to its spreading along social ties. Posts can be re-blogged in chains and create diffusion trees that potentially spread many hops away from the original content producer, therefore active consumers could further be partitioned in those who spread the content directly from the producers and those who do it with indirect reposts. The second is the class of passive consumers: nodes who do not contribute to the information diffusion process but are explicitly interested in adult content because they directly follow the producer nodes. The last class is the one of involuntary consumers (or unintentionally exposed users): users who do not follow any producer node and do not re-
Table 2: Measures of connectivity between the communities in the deviant network (Producers $P_1$, $P_2$ and Bridges $B_1$, $B_2$) and the rest of the social network $O$, for both the follow (top) and reblog (bottom) relations. Link directionality is considered: ties originate from groups listed on the rows and land on groups listed on the columns.

By drawing a quantitative description of the volume of deviant content reaching these three classes we can estimate how much the adult community is visible in the network at large. We adopt a conservative approach in which we consider the two Producers communities as the only ones generating original explicit (homosexual and heterosexual) content. Given the results of the aforementioned manual inspection, we are very confident that their activity is completely focused on the production of adult material.

We measure the size of the different consumer classes and the amount of content that flows through or to them by means of reblogging. The results are summarized by the schema in Figure 5. The network of deviant content producers is very small but receives a considerable amount of attention from direct observers. The audience of passive consumers counts almost 24M people. Around 2M users reblog directly from the deviant network, for a total of around 28M reblog actions in one month. A consistent part of the two Bridge communities within the deviant graph (a total of 3K users) are also direct consumers, and they reblog Producers 56K times per month. When looking at the set of 2.4M users who indirectly reblog deviant content, we see that only a small fraction of their monthly reblogs (less than 7%) is performed through bridge communities. However, in relative terms, bridge communities are considerably more efficient in spreading information than the average active consumer. If we consider efficiency $\eta$ of a user set $U$ as the ratio between reblogs done $r_d$ and reblogs received $r_r$, weighted by the cardinality of the set $\left(\eta = \frac{r_d}{r_r|U|}\right)$, we discover that the bridge communities ($\eta = 1.5 \times 10^{-3}$) are several orders of magnitude more effective in spreading the content farther away in the network than the rest of active consumers ($\eta = 6.7 \times 10^{-8}$). Last, the audience of users who are potentially exposed in an unintentional way to deviant content includes almost 40M people. This figure should be considered as an upper bound on the number of people who actually have been exposed, as a follower of an active consumer might not see the pieces of deviant content for a number of reasons (e.g., inactivity, amount of content in the feed). That said, the pool of people who are potentially exposed is still very wide.

**Q4) What is the perception of deviant content consumption from the perspective of individual nodes?**

Similar to real life, individuals in online social networks are most often aware of the activities of their direct social connections only but lack a global knowledge of the behavior of the rest of the population. In fact, the broad degree distribution of social networks may lead to the over-representation of rather rare nodal features when they observed in the local context of an ego-network. This phenomenon has been observed in the form of the so-called...
friendship paradox [Feld 1991]. Hodas, Kooti, and Lerman
2013], a statistical property of social networks for which on
average people have fewer friends than their own friends.
More recently the concept has been extended by the so-
called majority illusion [Lerman, Yan, and Wu 2016], which
states that in a social network with binary node attributes
there might be a systematic local perception that the major-
ity of people (50% or more) possess that attribute even when
it is globally rare. As an illustrative example, in a network
where people drinking alcohol are a small minority, the local
perception of most nodes can be that the majority of people
are drinkers just because drinkers happen to be connected
with many more neighbors than the average. In our case
study, active deviant content consumption is definitely a mi-
nority behavior compared to the 130M users in our sample.

To estimate the presence of any skew in the local percep-
tion of deviant content consumption, we consider the nodes
who are not producers and calculate the distribution of the
proportion of their neighbors (in both the follow and reblog
graphs) that either produce or reblog deviant material. The
result is summarized in Figure 6. We observe that the fol-
lower network is nowhere close to exhibit the majority illu-
sion phenomenon, with only the 10% of the population hav-
ing 10% or more of their neighbors posting or reblogging
deviant content. The effect increases sensibly when consid-
ering the reblog network, with 40% of the population locally
observing more than 10% of their contacts reblogging de-
viant content and almost 10% having more than half of their
neighbors doing it. This happens partly because the size of
the reblog network is one order of magnitude smaller than
the one of the follower network, as we consider reblogging
activity for one month only. Still, this means that when look-
ing at recent activity only, local perception biases are much
stronger (although not predominant) in the community than
what can be inferred from the static follow graph.

Although strongly biased perceptions are not predomi-
nant when counting the number of neighbors, a stronger bias
emerges when looking at the volume of deviant content that
is observed by a node from its neighbors. More than 71% of
nodes reblogs less deviant content than the average of their
friends (considering friends who posted or reblogged at least
once in the time frame we consider). This effect, that de-

Figure 5: Diffusion of deviant content from the core of Pro-
ducers to the rest of the network. Sectors represent disjoi-
nt user classes and arrows encode the information flow
between them. Reblog arrows report the total volume of re-
blogs between two classes.

Q5) Is it possible to reduce the diffusion of deviant content
with targeted interventions?

Previous literature that investigated the properties of
small-world networks indicates that information spreading
or other phenomena of contagious nature can be drastically
reduced by acting on a limited number of nodes in the
graph [Pastor-Satorras and Vespignani 2005]. Effectiveness
of targeted interventions has been shown in a variety of do-
 mains, epidemics being the most prominent among them.

The intuition informed by previous work suggests that the
wide diffusion of deviant content can be reduced by proper-
ly marking the posts produced by a small set of core nodes
and showing them only to people who explicitly declared their
interest for that specific topic. In a simplified experimental-
scenario, we measure the proportion of active consumers
reached by adult content in a setting where all the posts from
a set of core nodes C are erased. The question is how to se-
lect C and how big it needs to be to uproot the diffusion
process.

The optimal selection of nodes is a set cover problem (NP-
complete), but we test two common approximated strategies
to solve it: i) greedy by volume, an algorithm that ranks
nodes by the number of blogs that are reached by the con-
tent they produce; and ii) greedy by degree, that takes into
account the network structure only and ranks nodes by their
in-degree in the reblog network. The effectiveness of the
two approaches as |C| increases in shown in Figure 7. Al-
though using the indegree as proxy for the diffusion poten-
tial is not optimal, the removal of the 5,000 highest indegree
nodes curbs the diffusion by more than 50%. As expected,
the strategy by volume is more effective (as it better approx-
imates the optimal set cover), with a surprisingly sharp de-
cay of the deviant content reach. The removal of the 5,000
top nodes reduces the information spreading by nearly 80%,
which increases to almost 100% when extending the block

Figure 6: Proportion of nodes with at least a given ratio of
outlinks landing on deviant nodes (inverse cumulative den-
sity function).
to 25,000 nodes. Furthermore, using our sample of demographic information, we find that to limit the exposure of underage users would be sufficient to remove the 200 top nodes, as identified by any of the two selection strategies.

### 4.3 Demographics factors

The demographic composition of online adult content consumers has been measured by several sociological surveys (see Section 2), but none of them partitions the participants according to their type of consumption. Yet, we have shown that the categories of people exposed to online deviant content range from the active content producers to unintentional consumers. This calls for an investigation of the relationship between type of consumption and demographic characterization.

**Q6** Is there a significant difference in the distribution of age and gender between members of the deviant network and people with different levels of exposure to deviant content? We report the distribution of age and gender of users with different levels of exposure to adult content, computed on the sample of 1.7M users who self-reported their demographic information. The average age in the sample is slightly higher than 26, and female are the majority (72%). To partly validate the user-provided information, we first compare them with third-party statistics. Our numbers are roughly compliant with several public reports that rely on orthogonal methods for assessing the age and gender of users (e.g., surveys and clickstream monitoring (Pingdom 2012, LaSala 2012)). Those show that the Tumblr user base is the youngest among the most popular social networks and composed of women (65%) (Taylor 2012). Also, we further validate the gender data by assessing that the 95% of users in the Producer$_2$ cluster focused on male homosexual content are indeed male. The overall age distribution of age by gender is shown in Figure 8: male tend to be older, originating a distribution with a fatter tail between age 35 and 55. Despite the spikes corresponding to birthdays in round decades (1970, 1980, and 1990), probably due to misreporting, the distribution still tends to be Gaussian, as expected.

We then measure differences in age$^3$ and gender distribution for the user classes of producers, bridges, active consumers, passive consumers, and unintentionally exposed users (Figure 9). Producers are considerably older than the typical user, averaging around age 38 and with almost no underage users. Different from the overall distribution, they are mostly male (82%), in alignment with studies indicating that men are more involved in asiduous consumption of adult material. Bridge groups are fairly gender-balanced (with more female –68%– in the celebrity-oriented community) and include younger people (30 years old on average).

Consumers of deviant nodes who actively reblog or passively follow deviant blogs are covered by demographic data at 12%, proportion that drops to 4% among those who follow deviant nodes. In both classes, the age is quite representative of the overall Tumblr population in our sample (about 68% female). The same male-female proportion holds for people that are potentially exposed to deviant content in an unintentional way. This last class has the highest proportion of underage people (13%), which reinforces the concern about young teens unwillingly seeing inappropriate content.

The fact that the gender distribution for active and passive consumers deviates only slightly from the overall gender distribution is in partial disagreement with previous studies on gender and sexual behaviour (Hald 2006, Kvalem et al. 2014) which state that men are usually more exposed than women to adult material.

We conjecture that this might happen because of the tendency of female to have their peak of adult content consumption in a much younger age than men (as shown by (Ferree 2003)), combined with the predominance of young female among Tumblr users. To verify it, we aim to answer one last question.

**Q7** Does age have an effect on how different genders consume adult content?

To find out, we measure the proportion of male and female actively exposed to deviant content (reblogging), by age. We apply a min-max normalization to the obtained values so that scores towards 0 (1) represent the minimum (maximum) level of engagement. The curve for men shows an increasing trend that plateaus at its maximum in the range of age 35 to 55. In contrast, women, although less exposed than men at any age, have their peak in their 20s, much earlier than men. This observation supports previous findings (Ferree 2003) and explains the distributions we observed.

---

$^3$The number of samples in each age distribution is high; therefore, as expected, all the differences between the average values are statistically significant ($p < 0.01$) under the Mann-Whitney test.
the effect that a minority group can have on a much larger audience is key to trigger mechanisms able to contain risky deviant phenomena by means of targeted interventions on few nodes, as we have shown. We believe that this work could set the basis for a line of study that could lead to a deeper understanding of deviant networks and of their impact on everyone's life.
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