Enhanced association and dissociation of heteronuclear Feshbach molecules in a microgravity environment
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We study the association and dissociation dynamics of weakly bound heteronuclear Feshbach molecules using transverse RF-fields for expected parameters accessible through the microgravity environment of NASA’s Cold Atom Laboratory (CAL) aboard the International Space Station, including temperatures at or below nK and atomic densities as low as 10^6/cm^3. We show that under such conditions, thermal and loss effects can be greatly suppressed resulting in high efficiency in both association and dissociation of Feshbach molecules with mean size exceeding 10^6 a_0, and allowing for the coherence in atom-molecule transitions to be clearly observable. Our theoretical model for heteronuclear mixtures includes thermal, loss, and density effects in a simple and conceptually clear manner. We derive the temperature, density and scattering length regimes of 41K-87Rb that allow optimal association/dissociation efficiency with minimal heating and loss to guide future experiments with ultracold atomic gases in space.

PACS numbers: 34.50.-s, 34.50.Cx, 67.85.-d, 67.85.-d

I. INTRODUCTION

Association and dissociation of ultracold Feshbach molecules have been an enabling probe of fundamental physics throughout the last decade. [1, 2]. Produced near Feshbach resonances where the atomic s-wave scattering length a is magnetically tunable, these molecules have a large spatial extent and extremely weak binding energy. Feshbach molecules formed in Fermionic gases were crucial for the exploration of the BEC-BCS crossover physics [3–12]. Their heteronuclear counterparts are an important ingredient for the creation of ultracold polar molecules [13–23] and can be used to study universal few-body phenomena [24–30]. Additionally, Feshbach molecules can be used as a source of entangled states [31–41], or test the variation of fundamental constants with unprecedented sensitivity [42–45].

Microgravity offers several fundamental advantages to the study of cold atoms that has sparked a growing interest [46–48] and high profile experimental efforts [49–51]. Most prominently, ultracold atoms released into microgravity enables interrogation and observation times orders of magnitude longer than their earthbound counterparts, even in a compact setup, laying the foundation for the next generation of space-based atom interferometers applicable to both fundamental and applied physics [52–51]. Secondly, the removal of a linear gravitational potential allows for enhanced delta-kick cooling and adiabatic decompression to conserve phase space density while lowering both temperature and density [53–55], opening the door to a new parameter regime of ultralow densities and ultracold temperatures. Lastly, microgravity negates the “gravitational sag” that gives a mass-dependent displacement of ultracold gases from their trap centers [56–60], limiting the overlap of multiple, distinct atomic species prepared at low temperatures in a common trap. Eliminating this sag removes a dominant systematic error in equivalence principle measurements that use dual species atomic clouds as quantum test masses. Therefore, the unique environment of space provides a means to study high phase-space densities of single- or multi-species gases in new regimes of temperature and density held by vanishingly-weak traps or even in extended free fall.

To this end, NASA’s Cold Atom Laboratory (CAL) is scheduled for launch in 2017 as a multi-user facility to the International Space Station (ISS) to study ultracold atoms, dual-species mixtures, and/or quantum degenerate bosonic 87Rb and 39K or 41K in persistent microgravity [61]. CAL is designed as a simple, yet versatile, experimental facility that features numerous core technologies for contemporary quantum gas experiments including tunable magnetic fields [steady state, radio frequency (RF) and microwave] for atomic state manipulation and access to homonuclear or heteronuclear Feshbach resonances, Bragg beams for dual species atom interferometry, and high-resolution absorption imaging capabilities.

In this paper we develop a simple and intuitive description of the association and dissociation of heteronuclear Feshbach molecules using oscillating magnetic fields. We further apply this general treatment to 41K-87Rb molecules within the microgravity regime at CAL. Our formulation highlights the coherent properties of association and dissociation of Feshbach molecules and qualitatively includes the effects of density, temperature, and few-body losses. Our results are consistent with previous experiments performed at the usual temperatures and densities relevant for terrestrial experiments [52–59]. We find that the efficiency of association and dissociation of extremely weakly bound Feshbach molecules are greatly enhanced in the CAL environment allowing for observa-
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We can state that (i.e., no other hyperfine states are nearby), the interaction between the relevant states can be stated as

\[ W(t) = \frac{\hbar \Omega}{2} \left( |\alpha\rangle \langle \alpha' | + |\alpha'\rangle \langle \alpha | \right) \cos \omega t, \]

where \( \Omega/2\pi \) is the atomic Rabi-frequency while \( |\alpha\rangle \equiv |f_s,m_{f_s}\rangle \) and \( |\alpha'\rangle \equiv |f_{s'},m_{f_{s'}}\rangle \) are the two hyperfine states satisfying the condition \( \Delta m_f = \pm 1 \). One interesting aspect of this scheme is that the free-atom initial state can be stable at magnetic fields near the Feshbach resonance, avoiding large three-body losses that otherwise arise for resonantly interacting Bosonic gases. Relevant to CAL, an initial weakly interacting mixture of Rb and K atoms in the \( |10\rangle \) and \( |11\rangle \) states, respectively, would be available to access Feshbach molecules in the \( |11\rangle \) atomic states of both species at magnetic fields near the broad resonance at 39.4 Gauss [63, 65]. However, we will keep our theoretical model general.

Our model for molecular association and dissociation is derived from the Floquet formalism [67], appropriate for time-periodic Hamiltonians, and assumes zero-range interatomic interactions [68]. Although more sophisticated theoretical models exist [1, 2], the use of zero-range interactions will allow us to extract the important parameters controlling the various aspects of molecular association and dissociation relevant for experiments. Within our framework, the Floquet Hamiltonian for two atoms in the presence of an external field (periodic in time) is written as

\[ \hat{H}_F = H + |\beta\rangle W(t) \langle \beta| - i \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \]

where \( H \) is the bare, time-independent, two-atom Hamiltonian whose eigenstates are \( \psi_\nu \) with energies \( E_\nu \), and \( |\beta\rangle \) is the internal state for the spectator atom, i.e., the atom not affected by the external field. We seek for the solutions of the Floquet Schrödinger equation, \( \hat{H}_F \Psi_F = \varepsilon \Psi_F \), with quasi-eigenenergy \( \varepsilon \) and quasi-eigenstate

\[ \Psi_F(\vec{r}, t) = \sum_{n\nu} c^\nu_n \psi_\nu(\vec{r}) e^{i n \omega t}. \]

In the above equation, \( \vec{r} \) is the interparticle vector, and \( n \) is the photon number. Considering only \( s \)-wave interactions, the bare wavefunction can be written as

\[ \psi_\nu(\vec{r}) = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{1}{\pi}} \frac{f_\nu(\vec{r})}{r} |S_\nu \rangle \]

where \( |S_\nu \rangle = \{|\alpha\beta\rangle, |\alpha'\beta\rangle \} \) represents the two-atom spin states and \( f_\nu \) is their corresponding radial wave function. Now, using Eqs. (3) we can write the Floquet Schrödinger equation, after projecting out the base \( \psi_\nu(\vec{r}) e^{i n \omega t} \), as

\[ \sum_{n'\nu'} \left[ E_\nu \delta_{n\nu} \delta_{nn'} + \frac{\hbar \Omega_{\nu'}}{2} (\delta_{n,n'+1} + \delta_{n+1,n'}) \right] c^\nu_{n'} = 0, \]

where \( \Omega_{\nu'} = \Omega \int_0^\infty f'_{\nu'}(r) f_{\nu'}(r) dr, \)

defines the two-atom Rabi-frequency. Note that \( \Omega_{\nu'} \) is non-zero only for values of \( \nu \neq \nu' \) satisfying the selection rules (\( \Delta m_f = \pm 1 \)) imposed by the form of the atom-external field coupling in Eq. (1). The solutions of Eq. (6) fully determine the time-evolution of the atomic and molecular states coupled by the external field. In practice, for values of \( \hbar \Omega_{\nu'} \) much smaller than any other energy scale in the problem, only states with \( |n| = 0 \) and 1 are necessary to accurately describe the system.

For our present study, atoms in the spin state \( |\alpha\beta\rangle \) are unbound while atoms in the \( |\alpha'\beta\rangle \) state are bound in the Feshbach molecule. (Note that we will denote the corresponding states for atoms in spins \( |\alpha\beta\rangle \) and \( |\alpha'\beta\rangle \) as \( \nu \equiv K \) and \( \nu \equiv m \), respectively.) In that case, the two-atom Rabi-frequency (6) is determined from the wave functions

\[ f_K(r) = \sqrt{\frac{2 \mu r}{\pi \hbar^2 k}} \sin(kr - ka'), \]

\[ f_m(r) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{a}} e^{-r/a}, \]

where \( \mu \) is the two-body reduced mass, \( k^2 = 2 \mu E/\hbar^2 \) (\( E \) is the collision energy), and \( a \) and \( a' \) are the scattering lengths for atoms in the \( |\alpha'\beta\rangle \) and \( |\alpha\beta\rangle \) spin states, respectively. Note that in Eq. (7) we have introduced an arbitrary energy scale, \( \epsilon_r \), that makes both Eqs. (7) and (8) to have the same units. We set \( \epsilon_r \) to be given in terms of the Fermi energy \( \epsilon_f = h^2 (2m_r n_r)^{2/3}/2m_n \), where \( n_r \) and \( m_r \) are the density and mass for the atomic species \( i \). Here we will define \( \epsilon_r \), for simplicity, as \( \epsilon_r = \epsilon_{RB} + \epsilon_K \). Choosing \( \epsilon_r \) to incorporate density effects allows our model to encapsulate local properties of the system. (Similar ways
to qualitatively account for density effects have been successfully used in few-body models \([69, 73]\) in order to explain molecular formation and other important properties relevant for ultracold gases experiments.) As a result, substituting Eqs. (7) and (8) in Eq. (6), we obtain the molecular Rabi-frequency \(\Omega_m \equiv \Omega_m^\text{RWA}\),

\[
\Omega_m(k) = \sqrt{\frac{4\mu_\epsilon}{\pi \hbar^2} (a - a') (ka)^{1/2}/(1 + k^2a^2)} (ka)^{1/2},
\]

which is also density dependent \((\Omega_m \sim n^{1/3})\), a dependence introduced via \(\epsilon_r\). In Fig. 1 we show \(\Omega_m\) as a function of both scattering length and energy (inset), and indicate the low- and high-energy behavior, i.e., \(ka \ll 1\) \([\Omega_m \propto (a/ka)^{1/2}]\) and \(ka \gg 1\) \([\Omega_m \propto a/(ka)^{3/2}]\), respectively.

![FIG. 1. Molecular Rabi-frequency \(\Omega_m/2\pi\) (in units of the atomic Rabi-frequency, \(\Omega/2\pi\)) as a function of scattering length. For this calculation we used \(\hbar = \pi K = 10^3/\text{cm}^3\), and \(a = 100a_0\) and \(E/k_B = 100\text{K}\). On the inset we show the energy dependence of \(\Omega_m\) assuming \(a = 10^3a_0\).](image)

We now have defined all elements necessary to solve Eq. (6). As mentioned above, in the regime of small \(\hbar\Omega_m\), we need only to consider states with \(|n| = 0\) and 1. Therefore, including only the states \{\(\nu, n\)\} = \{\(K, 0\)\} and \{\(m, -1\)\}, the eigenvalue equation (6) reduces to

\[
\left( \begin{array}{c} E_K - \frac{\hbar \Omega_m}{2} E_m - \hbar \omega \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial n} c_{m-n} \end{array} \right) = \varepsilon \left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial n} c_{m-n} \end{array} \right),
\]

which is formally equivalent to a two-level system in the presence of an external field within the Rotating Wave Approximation (RWA), whose solutions are well known \([74]\). As we will see next, the fact that these levels now represent a bound molecular state and two-atom continuum state, makes it important to include thermal and loss effects in order to determine the time evolution process leading to association and dissociation of weakly bound molecules.

The molecular association scheme, which couples atomic and molecular states with different \(m_f\), is illustrated in Fig. 2 (a). Here, \(E_K = E\) and \(E_m = \Delta E - E_b - i\hbar\gamma/2\), where \(E_b = \hbar^2/2ma^2\) is the binding energy of the molecular state and \(h\gamma\) is its corresponding width, introduced here to account for the finite lifetime of the molecular state due to collisions with other atoms and molecules. This model is valid for times shorter than 1/\(\gamma\). Assuming that at \(t = 0\) the atoms are unbound (\(\nu = K\)), one can show that the probability to find the atoms in the molecular state (\(\nu = m\)) at later times, \(t = \tau\), is given by

\[
P_m(E, \tau) = e^{-\gamma\tau/2} \left( \frac{\Omega_m}{\Omega_m^\text{RWA}} \right)^2 \sin \left( \frac{\theta_m \Omega_m^\text{RWA} \tau}{2} \right)^2,
\]

where

\[
\Omega_m^\text{RWA} = \left[ \gamma^2 (\delta + \frac{\epsilon}{\Omega^\text{RWA}})^2 + (\Omega_m^2 + (\delta + \frac{\epsilon}{\Omega^\text{RWA}})^2 - \frac{\gamma^2}{4})^2 \right]^{1/2},
\]

\(\theta_m = \frac{1}{2} \tan^{-1} \frac{(\delta + \frac{\epsilon}{\Omega^\text{RWA}}) \gamma}{\Omega_m^2 + (\delta + \frac{\epsilon}{\Omega^\text{RWA}})^2 - \frac{\gamma^2}{4}} \).

Here, \(\hbar\delta\) is the energy detuning from the molecular transition in Fig. 2 (a). Note that even for \(\delta = 0\) —when one would expect the system to be on resonance— finite energy and molecular decay effects can lead to an effective detuning through Eqs. (12) and (13). Note also that \(dP_m/d\tau\) in the limit \(\tau \rightarrow 0\) is related to the transition rate derived in Ref. \([72]\) based on the Fermi’s Golden rule. It is important to emphasize here that for the process of molecular association, since there exist a thermal distribution of initial states \([76]\), the transition probability needs to be thermally averaged accordingly to

\[
\langle P_m(T, \tau) \rangle = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty P_m(E, \tau) \left( \frac{k_B T}{\hbar} \right)^2 E^2 e^{- \frac{E}{k_B T}} dE.
\]

Here we will define the fraction of molecules formed (assuming an equal number of initial atoms of different species), after a square-pulse of duration \(\tau\) to be given simply by

\[
\frac{N_m}{N_a} = \langle P_m(T, \tau) \rangle.
\]
For molecular dissociation, our scheme is represented in Fig. 2(b), leading us to set $E_K = 0$ and $E_m = E + \Delta E - E_b - i\hbar\gamma/2$ in Eq. (10). Therefore, similarly to association, we now consider the solutions of Eq. (10) and assume that the system is found in the molecular state ($\nu = m$) at $t = 0$. The probability to find the system in the unbound state ($\nu = K$) at later times, $\tau$, is
\[
P_K(\delta, \tau) = e^{-\gamma \tau/2} \left( \frac{\Omega_m}{\Omega_{\text{eff}}} \right)^2 \sin\left( e^{i\theta_K} \frac{\Omega_{\text{eff}} \tau}{\hbar} \right)^2,\tag{16}
\]
where
\[
\Omega_{\text{eff}}^K = \left[ \gamma^2 + \left( \Omega_m^2 + \delta^2 - \frac{\alpha^2}{m} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2},\tag{17}
\]
\[
\theta_K = \frac{1}{2} \tan^{-1}\left[ \frac{\delta}{\Omega_m^2 + \delta^2 - \frac{\alpha^2}{m}} \right].\tag{18}
\]

Here, we note that the energy of the dissociated atoms is given by the energy detuning $\hbar \delta$ [see Fig. 2(b)]. As a result, for dissociation the $k$ dependence of $\Omega_m$ in Eq. (9) needs replaced by the wavenumber associated to the energy detuning, $k_{\text{in}}^2 = 2m\delta^2/h$, i.e., the relevant Rabi-frequency is now dependent of the detuning, $\Omega_m = \Omega_m(\delta)$. We also note that, for molecular dissociation, thermal effects can only be introduced via the Doppler effect, i.e., molecules with different velocities will experience a different external field frequency, $\omega/2\pi$. However, the fact that we assume low temperatures and low frequency transitions effectively negate the effects of Doppler-broadening in dissociation (see Section III). In that case, the fraction of atoms formed after a square-pulse of duration $\tau$ is given simply by
\[
\frac{N_a}{N_m} = P_K(\delta, \tau).\tag{19}
\]

Among the conditions for the validity of the above approach, the requirement that the system is found in the dilute regime, i.e., $n a^3 \ll 1$ and $n a^3 \ll 1$, is of crucial importance. If such conditions are not satisfied nontrivial finite density effects have to be considered which are beyond the capability of our current model. Our model also requires $\hbar \Omega_m/E_b \ll 1$ in order to avoid free-to-free transitions during both association and dissociation as well as multi-photon effects. Although our model could be extended in order to properly include such effects, it is of experimental interest to restrict to parameters in which $\hbar \Omega_m/E_b \ll 1$ since this is the regime in which one can associate or dissociate Feshbach molecules more efficiently and without generating significant heating.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The major focus of this study is to explore association and dissociation of Feshbach molecules in the parameter regime relevant for CAL, i.e., we consider temperatures at or below 1nK and atomic densities as low as $n_K = n_{Rb} = 10^8$/cm$^3$. We will show that this low-temperature and low-density regime makes it possible to observe efficient association and dissociation as well as their corresponding coherent properties. For our present studies, we consider fields which are far-detuned from the atomic transition, i.e., $\hbar \Omega/E_b \ll 1$. Ensuring that $\hbar \Omega/E_b$ is small prevents single-atom spin-flip transitions, which can reduce the number of atoms in the initial state for association — for the parameters used here for the atomic Rabi-frequency and detunings we estimate a 4% probability for this effect.

In the following, we study the case where an RF field is applied to a heteronuclear mixture of $^{87}$Rb and $^{41}$K initially in the $|10\rangle$ and $|11\rangle$ states, respectively, with $\Omega/2\pi = 0.2$kHz for Rb. Molecular association and dissociation are thereby induced at $a = 10^4a_0$ ($E_b/h = 642.94Hz$), assuming $a' = 100a_0$ for the initial atomic state. Therefore, we are assuming bosonic heteronuclear Feshbach molecules which are about 10 times larger (and 100 times more weakly bound) than previously studied\cite{26--28}. Here, three-body losses that can play an important role at such large scattering lengths\cite{24, 25}, will be greatly suppressed in the low-density, low temperature regimes available on CAL. In fact, a more detailed analysis of Refs. [77–79], along with some of the experimental data from Refs. [26, 27], allow us to set $\gamma = 500$MHz for this mixture, implying a molecular lifetime of about 2 seconds. This leaves plenty of time to associate and dissociate Feshbach molecules with minimal effects from loss.

A. Molecular Association

Figure 3 shows our results for molecular association efficiency [Eq. (13)] after a RF-pulse of duration $\tau$, for temperatures ranging from 1nK to 10pK. For each panel of Fig. 3 we display a density plot showing the pulse length dependency of the molecular fraction as a function of the detuning, $\delta$, and a plot for the corresponding result for a (square) $\tau$-pulse ($\tau = \pi/\Omega_m$). Note that, in Fig. 3, we show both the thermally averaged results for molecular association efficiency (solid red curve) and the non-averaged results (dot-dashed green curve) in order to emphasize the importance of finite temperature effects. As one can see, the Rabi-oscillation line shape is almost completely washed-out at high temperatures, while it is recovered in the low temperature regime. In fact, for temperatures of 10pK [Fig. 3(d)], atom-molecule coherences can be clearly seen, along with high association efficiency.

In Fig. 3 the dimensionless quantity $k_B T/h \Omega_m$, i.e., the ratio between thermal energy and the energy associated with molecule-photon coupling, helps to define the regimes in which thermal effects are important. For $k_B T/h \Omega_m > 1$ one would expect strong thermal effects since the atoms’ motions are significant over the timescales for association. This behavior is clear from
Fig. 3 where one can see that whenever $k_B T / h \Omega_m > 1$ [Figs. 3(a) and (b)] the linewidth, $\Delta / 2 \pi$, is mainly determined by the temperature while for $k_B T / h \Omega_m < 1$ [Figs. 3(c) and (d)] it is determined by the molecular Rabi frequency. In fact, for $k_B T / h \Omega_m < 1$, one can show from Eq. (11), neglecting loss effects, that the linewidth is approximately given by,

$$\Delta \approx 2 \left(\frac{2}{\pi} \right)^{1/2} \frac{\Omega_m}{2 \pi}. \quad (20)$$

Note that $\hbar$ will ultimately set the temperature of the molecular cloud after the pulse. Therefore, besides enabling higher efficiency for association, it is also of experimental interest to keep $\Omega_m$ small so that minimal heating is introduced in the system. By doing so, however, it implies that longer $\pi$-pulses are necessary for association, which must be balanced with the time scales associated with losses.

One needs to combine low thermal broadening and minimal atomic losses to realistically observe efficient molecular association and atom-molecule coherent effects. These conditions are given by

$$\frac{k_B T}{h \Omega_m} \approx \frac{0.54}{\alpha} \left[ \frac{\mu^3}{a} \frac{1}{2} (k_B T)^{3/4} \right] \ll 1, \quad (21)$$

$$\frac{\pi \gamma}{2 \Omega_m} \approx \frac{0.85}{\alpha} \left[ \frac{\gamma h \hbar}{2 \Omega_m} \right] \ll 1, \quad (22)$$

where we assumed $k a \ll 1$ and $h \Omega / E_b = \alpha$ in Eq. (9), with $\alpha < 1$ as required for suppression of spin-flip transitions. For the $^{87}\text{Rb}\text{Rb}^{41}\text{K}$ system considered, $\alpha \approx 0.31$, leading to a 4% probability of loss from spin-flips. In Eq. (22) we define the loss rate as $\gamma = \tilde{\gamma} (h \hbar / a)$ with $\tilde{\gamma}$ given in terms of the few-body physics controlling atomic and molecular losses [25] —in our case, $\gamma = 500 \text{mHz}$ which leads to $\tilde{\gamma} \approx 4.2$. Note that, in the limit of low losses, Eq. (22) relates to the fraction of atoms remaining after a $\pi$-pulse, $\exp(-\pi \gamma / 2 \Omega_m)$ [see Eq. (11)]. Therefore, Eqs (21) and (22) can be used as a guide in order to understand the complex parameter regime that leads...
to the suppression of thermal effects combined with long lifetimes. In fact, based on our numerical calculations, we notice that systems with the same value for $k_BT/\hbar \Omega_m$ and $\pi \gamma/2\Omega_m$ share the same degree of thermal and loss effects.

The optimal set of parameters will, however, be determined from the combination of low temperatures and densities resulting on how strong thermal and loss effects are on these parameters. For instance, from Eq. (21), it is clear that thermal effects are more sensitive to temperature than density. [The opposite is true for loss effects from Eq. (23).] In order to illustrate how to achieve an optimal set of parameters we start from typical values for ground-based experiments: $T=100nK$, $n=10^{12}/cm^3$, $a=800a_0$, and $\Omega=50kHz$. In this case, although losses are not so drastic, $\pi \gamma/2\Omega_m \approx 0.07$ ($\gamma=400Hz$ [77, 79]), thermal effects can be significant since $k_BT/\hbar \Omega_m \approx 1.5$. Although reducing the temperature to $1nK$ strongly reduces thermal effects, $k_BT/\hbar \Omega_m \approx 0.05$, losses now can be important, $\pi \gamma/2\Omega_m \approx 0.22$, but not drastically important. If now the density is also decreased by a factor 10, both thermal and loss effects should be suppressed ($k_BT/\hbar \Omega_m \approx 0.10$ and $\pi \gamma/2\Omega_m \approx 0.05$). We note, however, that such regime can only be achieved for these temperatures and densities because the assumed scattering length ($a=800a_0$) is not so large. As shown in our results in Fig. 3 and according to Eqs. (21) and (22), as one assumes larger values of $a$, high efficiency can only be accomplished by reducing temperatures and densities drastically. Nevertheless, one particular motivation to explore the large $a$ limit is that the linewidth $\Delta/2\pi$ [see Eq. (20)] should now be proportional to $1/a^{1/2}$, therefore, reducing the amount of heating generated by the pulse. For instance, for the parameters above, with $a=800a_0$, $T=1nK$, $n=10^{13}/cm^3$ and $k_BT/\hbar \Omega_m \approx 0.10$, we obtain from Eq. (20) $\hbar \Delta \approx 16nK$ while, for the calculation in Fig. 3 (d) $a=10^9a_0$, $T=10pK$, $n=10^9/cm^3$ and $k_BT/\hbar \Omega_m \approx 0.11$—we obtain $\hbar \Delta \approx 145pK$.

The effects of quantum degeneracy might also be important at such low temperatures for parameters used in our calculations ($a=10^4a_0$ and $n=10^8/cm^3$) given in Fig. 3. The critical temperature for condensation is about $T_{c,Rb} \approx 40pK$ and $T_{c,K} \approx 90pK$ for $^{87}Rb$ and $^{41}K$ respectively (see specific values for $T/T_c < 1$ in the caption of Fig. 3). In the context of molecular association, this means that atoms in the initial state will have a narrower energy distribution than a simple thermal cloud. As a result, the thermal effects displayed in our calculations for when $T/T_c < 1$ should be minimized, thus improving molecular conversion efficiency. For instance, the thermally averaged results [see Eq. (11) in Fig. 3 (c) and (d), should approach the non-averaged results [see Eq. (11)] as the system enters in the quantum degenerate regime. This expected improvement of molecular association in the quantum degenerate regime has been verified experimentally in Refs. [76, 83] and analyzed in Refs. [77, 82]. To emphasize the importance of quantum degeneracy, we can recast the results in Eqs. (21) and (22) in terms of $T/T_c = T/T_{c,K} = (m_K/m_{Rb})T/T_{c,Rb}$, leading to

$$\frac{k_BT}{\hbar \Omega_m} \approx \frac{1.33}{\alpha} \frac{(T/T_c)^{3/4} (na^3)^{1/6}}{(m_K/\mu)^{3/4}} \ll 1, \tag{23}$$

$$\frac{\pi \gamma}{2\Omega_m} \approx \frac{0.63}{\alpha} \frac{\gamma (na^3)^{1/2}}{(\mu/m_K)^{1/4} (T/T_c)^{1/4}} \ll 1, \tag{24}$$

and showing the reduction of thermal effects as the $T/T_c$ decreases, while keep loss effects under control due to the weaker dependence in Eq. (24) on $T/T_c$. We also look for possible mean-field effects that can lead to collisional frequency shifts. In our case, however, collisional frequency shifts $nU_0/\hbar$ (where $U_0 = 2\pi \hbar^2 a/\mu$) is about $0.1Hz$ and according to the results in Fig. 3 this would lead to small effects—that is also to be compared to the local energy $\epsilon_r/\hbar \approx 5.9Hz$, as determined from the discussion preceding Eq. (19). However, a more precise analysis of mean-field effects, as well as effects of quantum degeneracy, is beyond the scope of our present study.

### B. Molecular Dissociation

Figure 4 shows some of our results for molecular dissociation efficiency [Eq. (19)], also assuming densities of $10^8/cm^3$, scattering length of $10^4a_0$ and Rabi-frequency $\Omega/2\pi = 0.2kHz$. In Fig. 4 (a) the density plot shows both the pulse length, $\tau$, and detuning, $\delta$, dependency of the fraction of atoms created after the dissociation pulse, with Rabi oscillations characterizing the coherent aspects of such process. In Figs. 4 (b)-(c), we show the fraction of dissociated atoms for a fixed pulse length $\tau = 25ms$, 100ms, and 250ms respectively. The asymmetric profile for of the dissociation lineshape is result of the dependence of Rabi-frequency $\Omega_m/2\pi$ on $\delta$ [see discussion followed by Eq. (19)]. As indicated in Figs. 4 (b)-(c), this dependence causes the dissociation probability in Eq. (19) to vanish as $\delta^{1/2}$ for small $\delta$ and as $\delta^{7/2}$ for large $\delta$, resulting in a asymmetric lineshape.

It is important to note that while for association one expect to obtain maximum efficiency for a $\pi$-pulse ($\tau = \pi/\Omega_m$) at $\delta \approx 0$, for dissociation (due to the dependence of $\Omega_m$ on $\delta$) one now wants to know what is the detuning leading to maximum dissociation for a given pulse length as well as the corresponding width of the dissociation lineshape, since that will ultimately determine the energy of the dissociated atomic pair. As one can see from Fig. 4 (a), there is a characteristic pulse length, $\tau_c$, beyond which dissociation becomes efficient and the corresponding linewidth becomes narrow. [See horizontal dashed line in Fig. 4 (a).] One can show that this characteristic time scale is given by

$$\tau_c = \frac{\hbar^{5/3} \pi^{5/3}}{4\alpha^2 (a-a')^4 \mu^3 \Omega^{1/3}} \approx \frac{1.11}{\alpha^{4/3}} \left( \frac{\mu a^{2/3}}{\hbar n^{4/3}} \right), \tag{25}$$

where $\alpha$ and $\alpha'$ are the scattering lengths, $\mu$ is the reduced mass of the atomic pair, and $a$ and $a'$ are the van der Waals radii of the interacting atoms.
where we assumed $\alpha = h\Omega/E_B < 1$ in order to ensure the suppression of spin-flip transitions. (For the parameters used in our calculations in Fig. 4 we obtain $\tau_c \approx 93\text{ms}.$) For long pulses, i.e., for $\tau \gg \tau_c$, the value of the detuning in which the dissociation probability is maximized and the corresponding linewidth are given, respectively, by

$$\frac{\delta_{\text{max}}}{2\pi} \approx \frac{\hbar}{\alpha}\frac{1}{4\mu^2/3} \left(\frac{\mu}{\hbar n^{4/9}}\right)^1 \left(\frac{\Delta}{2\pi}\right)^{1/2} \frac{\tau}{\tau_c}. \quad (28)$$

Therefore, for short pulses, since $\Delta/2\pi \sim 1/\tau$, one would expect broad lineshapes and, consequently, substantially more heating than for long pulses. We note that both our results for long and short pulses lead to $\Delta/2\pi \sim \delta_{\text{max}}/2\pi$, which is in agreement with the experimental findings in Refs. [31]. We also note that although our longest ($\tau = 250\text{ms}$) and shortest ($\tau = 25\text{ms}$) pulse lengths are not strongly in the $\tau \gg \tau_c$ and $\tau \ll \tau_c$ regimes, we still obtain a reasonable agreement between our numerical results for $\delta_{\text{max}}/2\pi$ and $\Delta/2\pi$ and the ones from Eqs. (26) - (28).

Based on this analysis, it is clear that the conditions for efficient dissociation rely on the pulse length as well as the time scale for molecular losses. These conditions can be expressed as

$$\frac{\tau_c}{\tau} \approx \frac{1.11}{\alpha^{4/3}} \left(\frac{\mu}{\hbar n^{4/9}}\right)^{1} \ll 1, \quad (29)$$

$$\frac{\gamma \tau}{2} \approx \frac{\tau}{2} \left(\frac{\hbar a}{\mu}\right) \ll 1, \quad (30)$$

For instance, from the above equations we can see that although increasing the density improves the condition for long pulses [Eq. (29)] it can lead to stronger losses [Eq. (30)]. In fact, based on the different dependence on the experimentally relevant parameters in Eqs. (29) and (30), one can draw general conclusions concerning dissociation efficiency in different regimes. For high densities, for instance, Eqs. (29) and (30) indicate that efficient dissociation can only be achieved for small values of $a$, in order to minimize loss effects. On the other hand, Eqs. (29) and (30) also indicates that dissociation of very weakly bound Feshbach molecules (large $a$) can only be efficient if one now considers the regime of both low density and long pulses. We note that, differently than association, increasing $a$ could in principle lead to a broader linewidth ($\Delta/2\pi \sim a^2$) [see Eq. (27)], resulting in dissociated atoms with higher kinetic energy $\hbar \Delta$ [31]. However, due to the strong dependency of $\Delta/2\pi$ on $\tau$, it turned out to be much easier to obtain narrow line widths for dissociation than association.

As mentioned in Section 11, the discussion following Eq. (13) — thermal effects in molecular dissociation can only be introduced via the Doppler effect. For the parameters relevant to our problem, however, doppler-broadening, $\Delta \omega = \omega(k_BT/mc^2)^{1/2}$, is found to be negligible. For instance, for $^{87}\text{Rb}$ the resonant frequency $\omega/2\pi$ for the transition $|10\rangle - |11\rangle$ is less than 30 MHz for fields below 40 Gauss which corresponds to Doppler widths below 0.05 mHz at $T = 1\text{mK}$. Nevertheless, for dissociation one could expect very narrow line widths for long pulses ($\tau \gg \tau_c$), the Doppler-broadening will, at some point, be the main factor determining the linewidth for molecular dissociation. Similar to the case of association, molecular dissociation could also be sensitive to mean-field shifts (estimated above to be of the order of 0.1Hz). In the regime of long dissociation pulses, $\tau \gg \tau_c$, leading to very narrow linewidths (see Fig. 3), mean-field shifts can in fact become important in determining the value of the detuning in which dissociation is maximum. However, in order to more precisely determine these mean-field shifts...
one would need to explore in details the nature of the molecule-molecule interactions and their universal properties \[S4, S8\], a task beyond the scope of our present study.

IV. SUMMARY

We have developed a simple theoretical model capable of describing association and dissociation of weakly bound heteronuclear Feshbach molecules with oscillating, state-changing fields. Our model is nonperturbative and accounts for coherent effects such as Rabi-oscillations as well as incoherent phenomena associated with atomic and molecular losses. Our analysis shows that the ultralow temperature and density regimes expected on CAL are beneficial for studies of association and dissociation of Feshbach molecules as well as the coherent properties of such processes. Hence, not only is the typical utility of Feshbach molecular physics enhanced in space, but new applications also emerge. Notably, heteronuclear Feshbach molecules can be used to achieve exquisite control over the initial density and momentum states of dual-species atomic and molecular gases for space-based fundamental physics research \[S6\]. We note that, in most of our calculations, the effects of the losses are suppressed due to the low-density regime accessible on CAL. Nevertheless, it would be interesting to explore experimentally the regime in which losses are important \[S63\] in order to observe possible shifts on the association/dissociation linewidth due to losses, as predicted by Eqs. \[11\] and \[10\].
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