VLBI observation of the newly discovered $z = 5.18$ quasar SDSS J0131–0321
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ABSTRACT

Few high-redshift, radio-loud quasars are known to date. The extremely luminous, radio-bright quasar, SDSS J013127.34–032100.1 was recently discovered at a redshift of $z = 5.18$. We observed the source with high resolution very long baseline interferometry (VLBI) at 1.7 GHz with the European VLBI Network (EVN) and found a single compact radio component. We estimated a lower limit to the brightness temperature of the detected radio component, $T_B \sim 10^{11} \text{K}$. Additionally, when compared to archival radio data, the source showed significant flux density variation. These two findings are indicative of the blazar nature of the source.
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1 INTRODUCTION

High-redshift ($z \gtrsim 4.5$) quasars are of major importance since they can provide information on the growth of the supermassive black holes and the evolution of active galactic nuclei (AGN) in the early Universe. The mere existence of black holes with a few million solar masses (or more) at $z \sim 6$ constrains the black hole growth and the accretion process (e.g. Volonteri 2012, and references therein). Among the high-redshift quasars, the radio-loud ones constitute a very attractive subsample, since their radio jets can be studied with the highest angular resolution, via very long baseline interferometry (VLBI) technique.

Typically, radio-loud AGN contain a flat-spectrum core and a steep-spectrum jet. However, the higher redshift is, the fainter the AGN radio jet will appear if observed at a fixed wavelength. Thus, if core-jet AGN constitute the same population of objects throughout the redshift space, the apparent “prominence” of jets at higher redshifts must decrease (Gurvits 1999): well pronounced jets at high redshifts must appear less frequent than at low redshifts. Additionally, recent VLBI studies suggest that the naive expectation that relativistically beamed sources (blazars) should dominate the high-redshift radio-loud quasar population might not be true. There seems to exist a population of steep-spectrum high-redshift ($z \gtrsim 4.5$) radio-loud quasars (Momjian et al. 2008; Frey et al. 2008, 2010), which may be very young radio quasars, similar to the gigahertz-peaked spectrum (GPS) sources observed in the local Universe (Frey et al. 2010). On the other hand, a few high-redshift, beamed blazars are known as well. Three are identified at $z \gtrsim 5$: SDSS J114657.79+403708.6 (Frey et al. 2010; Ghisellini et al. 2014), SDSS J102623.61+254259.5 (Sbarrato et al. 2013a; Frey et al. 2013), and Q0906+6930 (Romani 2006; Sbarrato et al. 2013b).

Recently Yi et al. (2014) reported the discovery of a high-redshift, radio-bright quasar, SDSS J013127.34–032100.1 (J0131–0321, hereafter). The source was first selected as a candidate high-redshift quasar (Wu et al. 2012) using the optical–infrared selection criteria based on the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS, Eisenstein et al. 2011) and Wide-Field Infrared Survey Explorer (WISE, Wright et al. 2010) photometry. Its optical spectrum was first measured with the Yunnan Fainter Object Spectrograph and Camera (Zhang et al. 2014) in 2013 November. Later higher resolution optical and near-
infrared spectra were obtained by the Magellan Echelle and Folded Port Infrared Echelle (FIRE) spectrographs in 2014 January. According to these measurements, the source has a redshift of $z = 5.18 \pm 0.01$ (Yi et al. 2014).

Using the optical spectra and the empirical scaling relation between the Mg II line width and the black hole mass ($McLure & Dunlop 2004$), [Yi et al. (2014)] estimated the total bolometric luminosity and the black hole mass of J0131–0321 to be $L_{\text{bol}} = (1.1 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{41} \text{W}$ and $M_{\text{BH}} = 2.7_{-0.5}^{+0.8} \times 10^7 M_{\odot}$, respectively. Using the latest relation of [Trakhtenbrot & Netzer 2012], the black hole mass can be even higher, $4 \times 10^7 M_{\odot}$.

According to the Faint Images of the Radio Sky at Twenty Centimeters (FIRST, Becker et al. 1995) survey, J0131–0321 is radio-bright with a flux density of 33.7 mJy at 1.4 GHz. Here we report on the results of our high-resolution radio interferometric observation of the source with the European VLBI Network (EVN).

Throughout this paper, we use flat $\Lambda$ cold dark matter cosmological model with $H_0 = 70 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{Mpc}^{-1}$, $\Omega_m = 0.3$, $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.7$ (the same as used in the discovery paper, Yi et al. 2014).

2 VLBI OBSERVATION AND DATA REDUCTION

The exploratory EVN observation of J0131–0321 took place on 2014 Dec 2 at 1.7 GHz (project code: RSG06). Six antennas participated in this e-VLBI experiment: Effelsberg (Germany), Hartebeesthoek (South Africa), Jodrell Bank Mk II (United Kingdom), Onsala (Sweden), Toruń (Poland), and Sheshan (China). In an e-VLBI experiment (Szymont 2008), the signals received at the remote radio telescopes are transmitted over optical fiber networks directly to the central data processor for real-time correlation. This was performed at the EVN software correlator (SFXC, Kempema et al. 2013) in the Joint Institute for VLBI in Europe (JIVE), Dwingeloo, the Netherlands, with 2 s integration time. The observation was carried out in phase-reference mode (Beasley & Conway 1995), thus precise relative positional information could be obtained. The phase-reference calibrator, J0123–0348, is separated by $\sim 2^\circ$ from the target in the sky. Its coordinates are right ascension $\alpha_0 = 01^h 23^m 35.77473$ and declination $\delta_0 = -03^\circ 48' 39.3162$. The positional uncertainties are 0.3 and 0.63 milliarcseconds (mas) in right ascension and declination, respectively. The observation lasted for 2 h, the total time spent on J0131–0321 was nearly 90 min. Eight intermediate-frequency channels (IFs) were used in both right and left circular polarizations. Each IF was divided into 64 spectral channels. The total bandwidth was 128 MHz.

The NRAO Astronomical Image Processing System (AIPS, Greisen 2003) was used for data calibration (Diamond 1994), following standard procedures. The interferometric visibility amplitudes were calibrated using the system temperatures and antenna gain curves measured at the telescopes. After fringe-fitting performed for the phase calibrator, its visibility data were exported to difmap (Shepherd et al. 1994) for imaging. The standard hybrid mapping procedure was used, with several cycles of cleaning (Högbom 1974) and phase self-calibration, then finally amplitude self-calibration. Antenna gain correction factors were determined in difmap and applied to the data in AIPS. The correction factors on average were below 10 per cent. Fringe-fitting was repeated for the calibrator source but now taking into account its CLEAN component model (representative of its brightness distribution) to reduce the small phase variations due to its structure. The obtained solutions were interpolated and applied to the target source, J0131–0321. In order to correct for the bandpass, four-four channels were discarded at the edges of each IF. Then the calibrated and phase-referenced data of the target source were imaged in difmap. No amplitude self-calibration was attempted for the target source. Since J0131–0321 turned out to be adequately bright (see Sect. 3), we were able to fringe-fit the source itself in AIPS. The images obtained with and without using the phase-calibrator source agree within the uncertainties. In Fig. 1 we show the image made from the fringe-fitted visibility data. The weights of the data points were set inversely proportional to the amplitude errors (natural weighting).

3 RESULTS OF THE EVN OBSERVATION

Our EVN observation revealed a single, compact radio component (Fig. 1). Using the phase-referenced data, we derived the position of the brightness peak with the MAXFIT verb in AIPS: right ascension $\alpha = 01^h 31^m 27.3473$ and declination $\delta = -03^\circ 21' 00'' 0791$. We estimate that each coordinate is accurate within 1 mas. The dominant contribution to the er-

---

1 Data are from http://astrogeo.org maintained by L. Petrov, rfc_2014d solution.
the observing frequency in GHz. The resulting lower limit to the brightness temperature is \(T_B \geq 2.8 \times 10^{11}\) K. This brightness temperature is an order of magnitude higher than those of the other high-redshift \((z \gtrsim 4.5)\), non-blazar radio-loud AGN \citep{Frey:2010}, and it also exceeds that of SDSS J145657.79+403708.6 \citep{Frey:2010}, a blazar \citep{Ghisellini:2014} at \(z = 5.0\) by two orders of magnitude. The 1.7 GHz monochromatic radio luminosity of the source is \(3 \times 10^{27}\) W Hz\(^{-1}\). This is comparable to those of the five \(4.5 < z < 5\) radio-loud quasars studied by VLBI by \citep{Frey:2010} and the three \(z \geq 5\) blazars \citep{Romani:2000, Frey:2010, 2015}. \(^2\)

Assuming an intrinsic brightness temperature for the source \((T_B^{\text{int}})\) and knowing a lower limit to its apparent brightness temperature, a lower limit to the Doppler factor \((\delta = T_B / T_B^{\text{int}})\) can be estimated. Often the equipartition brightness temperature \((5 \times 10^{10}\) K, \cite{Readhead:1994}) is used as the intrinsic brightness temperature of the source. This assumed value indicates moderate Doppler boosting factor as a lower limit, \(\delta \gtrsim 6\).

The flux density of the source measured in our 1.7-GHz VLBI experiment is significantly larger than the value given in the 1.4-GHz FIRST catalogue, 33.7 mJy and the value given in the NVSS catalogue \citep{Condon:1998}, 31.4 mJy. This can be most straightforwardly explained with variability: J0131−0321 was almost twice as bright at 2014.9 as it was at 2009.2 \(\text{(the mean epoch of the FIRST observation)}\) and at 1993.9 \(\text{(the mean epoch of the NVSS observation)}\). Alternatively, an extremely inverted spectrum would be needed to explain the different flux density values. The observing frequency of the FIRST survey, 1.4 GHz, and of our EVN observation, 1.7 GHz correspond to \(\sim 8.7\) GHz and \(\sim 10.3\) GHz in the rest frame of the source, respectively. The spectral index \((\alpha \text{ defined as } S \sim \nu^\alpha)\) between these frequencies would be \(\sim 3.8\), exceeding the canonical value of 2.5 for a single, optically thick, synchrotron self-absorbed source \citep{Rybicki:1986}. (Spectral index values lower than 2.5 straightforwardly explained as the result of inhomogeneity in the sources.) Additionally, there is no sign of such inverted spectrum across the eight IFs of our EVN observation. The flux density values measured at the different IFs agree within the errors. Thus, the more likely scenario is that J0131−0321 brightened considerably with respect to its FIRST flux density. Such variability is expected from a beamed, blazar-type source. The flux density of the phase-reference calibrator in our EVN observation, \(97.6 \pm 0.3\) mJy, is lower than the flux density values given for the source in the NVSS and FIRST catalogues, 148.4 mJy and 125.7 mJy, respectively. Thus, it is unlikely that systematic \((\text{e.g. instrumental)}\) amplitude calibration errors have led to the high flux density value of the target source, J0131−0321.

4 DISCUSSION

\cite{Ghisellini:2013} conducted \textit{Swift} satellite observation of J0131−0321 between 2014 Oct 23 and 2014 Dec 9. They

\[^2\] In the case of Q0906+6930, we calculated the radio luminosity using data from the NRAO VLA Sky Survey (NVSS, \cite{Condon:1998} catalogue.
described the optical–UV–X-ray spectral energy distribution (SED) of the source with a model containing a jet, an accretion disc, and a torus. They concluded that the viewing angle of the jet is very close to the line of sight, $\theta \sim 3^\circ - 5^\circ$. Their 1.7-GHz, exploratory e-EVN observation revealed that the source is compact with relatively high brightness temperature and moderate Doppler boosting factor. Assuming there is no significant misalignment between the scales probed via SED fitting and the radio-emitting jet observed with VLB at 1.7 GHz, using the viewing angle and the lower limit to the Doppler factor, we can estimate the Lorentz factor of the jet ($\gamma$):

$$\delta = \frac{1}{\gamma(1 - \beta \cos \theta)}$$

where $\beta$ is the bulk speed of the jet material given in the units of the speed of light, $c$. The resulting Lorentz factor is $\gamma \sim 3$ (and $\beta \sim 0.95$). In the model of Ghisellini & Tavecchio (2009), the Lorentz factor is tied to the viewing angle ($\gamma = \theta^{-1}$), and thus is more than four times larger than ours. The size of the radio emitting region ($\lesssim 4.9$ pc) is less than eight times the dissipation radius given by Ghisellini et al. (2013) ($\sim 2 \times 10^{10}$ m, corresponding to a linear size of $\sim 0.65$ pc). Thus, to explain the existence of the two different Lorentz factors, the jet would have to decelerate significantly within this relatively small distance.

Alternatively, since our size estimate is an upper limit, and thus the Doppler factor estimate is a lower limit, using the Lorentz factor ($\gamma = 13$) and the viewing angle ($\sim 3^\circ - 5^\circ$) from the model of Ghisellini et al. (2013), the Doppler factor can be calculated to be in the range between 11 and 18. Assuming the equipartition brightness temperature for the intrinsic brightness temperature of the source, this would imply a measured brightness temperature in the range of $(5 - 9.0) \times 10^{11}$ K. For the same flux density that we measured, this could be achieved if the radio-emitting source size would be in the range between 0.25 mas–0.4 mas corresponding to 1.5 pc–2.5 pc in the frame of the source. (Or for the same source size, the flux density should be $\sim 2 - 3$ times larger, which is however in disagreement with our measurement.)

Finally, we note that the X-ray and radio observations were performed relatively close to each other but they were not strictly simultaneous; thus flux density variability of the source might hinder the comparison of the models deduced from the observations. In radio regime, the source variability is indeed detected on a longer timescale of a few years between our EVN observation and the FIRST data. Apart from the SED fitting, the blazar classification of the source is also supported by its flux density variability.

The three previously known $z \gtrsim 5$ blazars have black hole masses of $(2 - 5) \times 10^{7} M_{\odot}$. According to Yi et al. (2014), the mass of the black hole in J0131–0321 is $\sim 2.7 \times 10^{7} M_{\odot}$, but can be as large as $\sim 4 \times 10^{7} M_{\odot}$. However, Ghisellini et al. (2013) assuming a Shakura & Sunyaev (1973) disk model, determined an even larger black hole mass of $(1.1 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{10} M_{\odot}$. This larger mass value no longer requires super-Eddington accretion to produce the bolometric luminosity of $L_{\text{bol}} = (1.1 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{41} \text{W}$, the Eddington ratio would be $\sim 0.8$. The existence of supermassive black holes with few billion solar masses at early cosmological epochs (e.g. at redshift $z \gtrsim 6$, Fan et al. 2003) poses a challenge to Eddington-limited black hole growth formation model. Ghisellini et al. (2013) showed that a highly spinning black hole with low accretion disk efficiency is able to explain the existence of a black hole with $10^{10} M_{\odot}$ at $z = 5.18$. On the other hand, it could also be that the seeds of such supermassive black holes had initially been massive $(100 - 10^{4} M_{\odot})$ and/or grew via super-Eddington accretion (Wu & Loeb 2012).

5 SUMMARY

We observed the newly discovered $z = 5.18$ radio-bright quasar, J0131–0321 with EVN at 1.7 GHz. It was detected as a single compact radio source. We calculated a lower limit to the brightness temperature, $T_{B} \gtrsim 2.8 \times 10^{13}$ K. Assuming the equipartition brightness temperature as the intrinsic one, the observed value indicates moderate Doppler boosting, $\delta \gtrsim 6$. The flux density of the source in our EVN observation is almost twice as high as the the value given in the FIRST catalogue, measured $\sim 5$ years earlier. Such flux density variability and the relatively high brightness temperature are indicative of the blazar nature of the source. This is in agreement with the results of Ghisellini et al. (2013), who analysed the optical, UV, and X-ray measurements of the source.

VLBI observations at higher radio frequencies (e.g. 5 GHz) can provide a more robust limit on the source compactness and its brightness temperature. Additional constraint on the brightness temperature, thus on the Doppler factor and the blazar nature of the source, can be obtained from variability brightness temperature (Hovatta et al. 2004) provided by radio flux density monitoring of the source.
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