Comparative study of aflatoxin contamination of winter and summer ginger from the North West Province of South Africa
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1. Introduction

Mycotoxins are toxic compounds that are produced naturally by certain types of mould. They are produced in numerous food stuffs and have effects on the health of consumers as well as the wellbeing of human and animals. These secondary metabolites of moulds have numerous debilitating effects on humans and animals due to their carcinogenicity, teratogenicity and immunosuppression ability [1,2]. Approximately 400 types of mycotoxins exist, including Aflatoxins and Ochratoxin A [3] (Table 1), and are found almost everywhere in the environment including food crops, dairy products (milk) and orchards, thereby increasing the risk of human consumption.

Ginger is regarded as having medicinal properties, including having antioxidant and antimicrobial effects against some bacterial, such as Escherichia coli, Vibrio cholera, Streplococcus faecalis, Klebsiella sp. and Salmonella sp. [5,7,8]. Recent studies have also reported that ginger has antidiabetic and anti-inflammatory properties [6], and is used to prevent and combat various types of ailments, such as diarrhoea and vomiting [10]. It belongs to the kingdom Plantae and since ancient times in many cultures, has been regarded as a ‘medicinal and edible’ herb/spice [2]. Despite its numerous health benefits, a few publications have reported that Aflatoxins and Ochratoxin A occur in ginger (Zingiber officinale) and its by-products at various contamination levels [2,11–13] (Table 2). Extracts from some plants are reported to prevent and even cure completely some ailments due to their ability to produce certain...
chemical compounds that protect the body against germs and pathogens [14,64,65]. This has placed a considerable demand for medicinal plants, including ginger, as there is a gradual shift away from the use of orthodox medicine to local/medicinal plants, including in Africa, where many people already rely on plant remedies for a range of health issues [66]. This study will therefore investigate the mycotoxin contamination (Aflatoxins and Ochratoxin A) in ginger (Zingiber officinale) consumed in the North-West Province of South Africa. There is also little information about the seasonal variations in the prevalence of Aflatoxins in ginger during the winter and summer seasons, which this study will explore.

2. Research method

The study was conducted in Mahikeng, the capital city of North West Province, which borders with Botswana to the north and Namibia to the west. Its temperatures range from 17 – 31 °C in summer and from 3 – 21 °C in winter. The total annual rainfall is approximately 360 mm (about 14 in., with most of it falling during summer (October – April).

Using purposive sampling method, 100 samples of locally cultivated ginger (Zingiber officinale) were purchased from different shops/markets in Mahikeng during summer and winter. The first 50 were collected during summer and the second 50 during winter as follows: 10 samples were collected in 10 shops, with the procedure being repeated two weeks later from the same shops. This two weeks interval was to ensure that new samples of ginger were displayed and to ensure that fresh samples were collected. This procedure was repeated until 50 samples were collected during both winter and summer (Winter and summer are the chosen season of interest for this research because of the extreme temperatures of these two seasons). The condition and state of the

### Table 1

Properties of Aflatoxins B₁, B₂, G₁, G₂ and Ochratoxin A.

| Chemical Structures | IUPAC Name | Health effects | Target organ | References |
|---------------------|------------|----------------|--------------|------------|
| Aflatoxin B₁        | (3S,7R)-11-methoxy-6,8,19-trioxapentacyclo[10.7.0.02,9.03,7.013,17]nonadeca-1,4,9,11,13(17)-pentaene-16,18-dione | Hepatotoxic, Hepatocellular carcinoma, mutagenic, genotoxic, teratogenic and causes immunosuppression | Liver | [22,45,46,47,58] |
| Aflatoxin B₂        | (3S,7R)-11-methoxy-6,8,19-trioxapentacyclo[10.7.0.02,9.03,7.013,17]nonadeca-1,9,11,13(17)-tetraene-16,18-dione | Hepatotoxic, immunosuppression, teratogenic, mutagenic | Liver | [22,46,45,47] |
| Aflatoxin G₁        | 11-methoxy-6,8,16,20-tetraoxapentacyclo[10.8.0.02,9.03,7.013,18]icosa-1,4,9,11,13(18)-pentaene-17,19-dione | Hepatotoxic, immunosuppression, teratogenic, genotoxic, mutagenic | Liver | [22,46,45,47,58] |
| Aflatoxin G₂        | (3S,7R)-11-methoxy-6,8,16,20-tetraoxapentacyclo[10.8.0.02,9.03,7.013,18]icosa-1,9,11,13(18)-tetraene-17,19-dione | Hepatotoxic, immunosuppression, teratogenic, mutagenic | Liver | [22,45,46,47] |
| Ochratoxin A        | (2S)-2-[[3R]-5-chloro-8-hydroxy-3-methyl-1-oxo-3,4-dihydroisochromene-7-carbonyl]amino]-3-phenylpropanoic acid | Carcinogenic, genotoxic, immuno-suppressive, nephrotoxic and upper urinary tract disease | Kidney, liver | [21,42,59,60] |

### Table 2

Typical crops contaminated by Aflatoxins and Ochratoxin A and their reported maximum tolerated levels.

| Crops       | Mycotoxin                          | Maximum Tolerated Level (µg / kg) | References |
|-------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------|
| Rice        | Aflatoxin B₁, B₂, G₁ and G₂ (AFB₁, AFB₂, AFG₁, and AFG₂) | 2 – 12                            | [48,49,50,51,52,53] |
| Cereals     | Ochratoxin A                       | 2 – 10                            | [54,55,56,57,61] |
ginger samples was taken into consideration at the point of collection to avoid getting mould/spores contaminated samples.

3. Sample processing

The ginger samples were washed with water, chopped and stored at 4 °C in a refrigerator prior to extraction and analysis to prevent the growth of mould and to avoid their putrefaction. All the samples were then extracted, screened for Aflatoxins and Ochratoxin A using Enzyme linked immuno-sorbent assay (ELISA), and quantified using the High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC).

3.1. Extraction procedure for aflatoxins

Extraction of Aflatoxins was carried out according to Wen et al. [2] method with minor differences. Ten gram of each sample of ginger was weighed and placed in a 100 ml Erlenmeyer flask, 2 g of sodium chloride (NaCl) was added followed by 50 ml of methanol/water (80/20, v/v), after which the mixtures were vortexed for 20 min to obtain a proper mixture. The extract was filtered using whatmann filter paper, 10 ml of the filtrate was then diluted by 40 ml of 20% tween-20-PBS (20% phosphate buffer saline) in a 50 ml Erlenmeyer flask, after which it was filtered through the glass microfiber filter.

3.2. Immunoaffinity clean-up

Twenty five (25) ml of the final filtrate was passed through the Immunoaffinity columns (IACs), which was washed with 20 ml of Phosphate Buffer solution (pH 7.4), the toxins were finally eluted with 1 ml of 100% methanol. Thereafter, 1 ml of distilled water was passed through the column to wash. Screening and quantification of the aflatoxins were carried out using the ELISA and HPLC respectively.

3.3. Extraction procedure for ochratoxin A

This was done according to the standard method of Maryam and Jinap [15] with slight modifications. Fifty (50) ml milliliters of methanol/water (80/20, v/v) was added to 12.5 g of the blended ginger sample containing 2.0 g of sodium chloride and vortexed for 20 min then filtered using Whatmann filter paper. 10 ml of the filtrate was diluted with 40 ml of PBS and the diluent filtered once more through a glass microfiber filter, with 25 ml of the final filtrate being passed through the Immunoaffinity columns (IACs). The column was then washed with 20 ml of PBS solution (pH 7.4) and the toxins finally eluted with 1 ml of 100% methanol. 1 ml of distilled water was then passed through the column to wash the dilute, which was evaporated and dissolved in 200 μl methanol/water (50:50, v/v). Screening and quantification of Ochratoxin A was carried out through ELISA and HPLC respectively. Competitive ELISA procedures were carried out in order to screen for Aflatoxins B1, B2, G1, G2 and Ochratoxin A in the collected ginger samples according to the method described by the application note. Eighty (80) out of the 100 samples, were optimized.

4. Mycotoxins quantification using high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC)

To quantify the mycotoxins, analysis was carried out with the High Performance Liquid Chromatography machine (HPLC), with approximately 100 μl of the extract being utilised.

4.1. HPLC conditions

Analysis was carried out on KOBRA® CELL with an analytical column of Inertsil ODS-3 V (5 μm, 4.6 mm X 150 mm) being maintained with the guard at 40 °C. The mobile phase consisted of a water: methanol ratio of 60:40 v/v, with 119 mg of potassium bromide and 350 μl 4 M Nitric acid added to 1 L of mobile phase at a flow rate of 1.0 ml/minute. Standard concentrations used were 1 ng/ml, 5 ng/ml, 25 ng/ml, and 100 ng/ml. The injection volume was 100 μl, with the elution being monitored by a fluorescence detector in the order of G2, G1, B2, and B1. The fluorescence conditions were optimized at an excitation level of 362 nm wavelength, and emission level of 425 nm for aflatoxins B1 and B2, and 455 nm for Aflatoxins G1 and G2.

4.2. Linearity

The calibration curves were constructed with different standard analytes, each solution being injected in triplicate. Construction of the calibration curves were done by plotting the peak area (y) against each analytes concentration (x) obtained from the HPLC analysis. The linearity was determined and expressed as a correlation coefficient (R), with Table 1.1 showing that the linear relationship of the analytes were very good, with R ranging from 0.9995 to 1.0000 for the different standards used.

4.3. LOD and LOQ

The Limit of detection and Limit of quantification were calculated as signal-to-noise (S/N) ratio of 3 and 10, respectively.

4.4. Recovery

The recovery was used to evaluate the accuracy of the established method, the experiments being performed at three spiking levels (1.0, 5.0, 10.0 μg/kg for AFB1 and AFG2, and 0.25, 0.75 and 1.25 μg/kg for AFB2 and AFG2) by adding an appropriate quantity of AFs standard solutions to the blank ginger samples. The spiked samples were extracted, cleaned-up and analysed by HPLC, as previously described. The recovery was calculated using the formula:

Recovery = (measured concentration for spiked sample / spiked concentration) x 100

5. Results and discussions

5.1. Enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)

In this study, OTA and Aflatoxin were screened for in the samples using the ELISA, with the results being summarized in Table 3, which presents the percentage occurrence of Aflatoxins and Ochratoxin A. It indicates that during summer, all the screened samples contained all the screened mycotoxins and Ochratoxin A. It indicates that during summer, all the screened samples contained Aflatoxins and Ochratoxin A within the range of 6.4–411.1 ppb and 0.0968–3.309 ppb respectively. It also shows that during winter, all samples contain both Aflatoxins and Ochratoxin A within the range of 3.625–105.7 ppb and 0.0960–3.395 ppb respectively. The mean values are 0.578 ppb, 0.566 ppb, 1.761 ppb and 1.758 ppb respectively for Aflatoxin summer and winter, Ochratoxin A summer and winter respectively.

Analysis on the ELISA showed that Aflatoxin levels in the samples were high (Table 3), which was also confirmed from the analysis

| Mycotoxin | Season | Contamination % (PPB) | Mean ± SD (PPB) |
|-----------|--------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| Aflatoxin | Summer | 6.4 – 411.1           | 0.578 (± 0.462)  |
|           | Winter | 3.625 – 105.7         | 0.566 (± 0.446)  |
| Ochratoxin| Summer | 0.0968 – 3.309        | 1.761 (± 1.185)  |
|           | Winter | 0.0960 – 3.395        | 1.758 (± 1.172)  |
carried out on the HPLC. The Aflatoxin levels in the ginger samples were higher than those reported by the European Union, this being 15 μg/kg and a maximum of 12 μg/kg for Aflatoxin B1.

Ochratoxin A concentration ranges between 0.0960–3.395 μg/kg for the ginger collected in winter, and between 0.0968–3.309 μg/kg for those collected in summer, as screened through the ELISA. The concentrations were lower than the recommended EU limit of 10 μg/kg. The high concentration observed in winter may be due to the fungi being able to thrive in the temperatures, which range from 20 to 33 °C, leading to the production of Ochratoxin A according to Passamani et al. [18]. This means that the temperature does not need to be high for fungi to thrive and produce Ochratoxin A.

5.2. High performance liquid chromatography

High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) was done according to Wen et al. [2] method. In Table 4, the HPLC quality assurance parameters are well represented, with the experiments performed for recoveries at three spiking levels (1.0, 5.0, 10.0 μg/kg for AFB1 and AFG1 and 0.25, 0.75 and 1.25 μg/kg for AFB2 and AFG2) all being good. Details about linearity, limit of detection and limit of quantification are also shown in Table 4, and indicate good sensitivity of the method. The linear relationships of the analytes are very good, with R greater than 0.9995, and the LODs and LOQs for the four aflatoxins being within the range of 1.3 × 10−6 to 5.7 × 10−5 and 1.7 × 10−3 to 4.2 × 10−3 respectively.

Table 4: HPLC quality assurance parameters.

| Analytes   | Spiking level (ng/ml) | Recovery level (%) | LOD (μg/kg) | LOQ (μg/kg) | Average recoveries (%) | Mean recoveries (μg/kg) | R         |
|------------|-----------------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|
|            | Winter | Summer | Winter | Summer |            |                       | Winter | Summer |            | Winter | Summer |            | Winter | Summer |            |          |
| Aflatoxin B1 | 1      | 1      | 0.70  | 0.75  | 5.7 × 10−6  | 70          | 75       | 0.9997  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
|            | 5      | 5      | 3.80  | 4.2   | 1.7 × 10−4  | 76          | 84       | 72.33   | 75.7   |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
|            | 10     | 10     | 7.1   | 6.8   | 1.4 × 10−3  | 71          | 68       | 71      | 72     |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| Aflatoxin B2 | 0.25   | 0.25   | 0.16  | 0.18  | 4.2 × 10−3  | 64          | 72       | 71      | 79.9   | 1.0000   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
|            | 0.75   | 0.75   | 0.45  | 0.55  | 1.4 × 10−5  | 60          | 73.3     | 89      | 89     | 0.9998   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
|            | 1.25   | 1.25   | 1.12  | 1.18  | 3.9 × 10−7  | 82          | 86       | 89      | 89     | 0.9995   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| Aflatoxin G1 | 5      | 5      | 4.3   | 4.3   | 1.2 × 10−6  | 92          | 90       | 92      | 91     |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
|            | 10     | 10     | 9.3   | 9.1   | 1.3 × 10−5  | 68          | 88       | 75      | 85.3   | 0.9995   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| Aflatoxin G2 | 0.25   | 0.25   | 0.17  | 0.22  | 3.9 × 10−6  | 68          | 88       | 75      | 85.3   | 0.9995   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
|            | 0.75   | 0.75   | 0.65  | 0.69  | 3.9 × 10−6  | 87          | 92       | 75      | 85.3   | 0.9995   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
|            | 1.25   | 1.25   | 0.87  | 1.1   | 1.3 × 10−6  | 70          | 88       | 75      | 85.3   | 0.9995   |           |           |           |           |           |           |

5.3. Statistical modelling of the Comparative Analysis of the prevalence of Aflatoxins contamination between summer and winter Ginger

To compare the effect of summer and winter on the Aflatoxin contamination of the ginger, the t-test was used to analyse the data, although conclusions could be drawn based on some conventional expectations [18,19]. The t-test analysis was the best fit for this objective, as Hussain et al [20] contended that a t-test is an analysis of two population means(s) using statistical examination. A t-test with two samples is used mostly for small sample sizes to test the difference between them when the variances of two normal distributions are not known. t-test analysis is usually used to assess whether the means of two groups are statistically different from each other. It was used in this study to establish if there were any significant differences between the prevalence of Aflatoxin contamination in the ginger between the two seasons (summer and winter).

The equation used was as follows:

$$ t = \frac{\text{difference between the mean of the two seasons}}{\text{Variability of the mean of the two seasons}} $$

As indicated by the analysis (Table 6), there was a clear difference between the contamination level of the ginger for AFB2 in summer (M = 0.399, SD = 1.981) and winter (M = 0.001, SD = 0.003), with a p < 0.01. The samples collected in summer also showed a higher level of AFG1 than those collected in winter, with the summer mean and SD of AFG1 being 9.778 and 27.51, while that of winter being 0.68 and 0.025 respectively, with a P < 0.01. In the case of AFB2, its prevalence in summer (M = 1.206, SD = 1.8522) was much higher than that of winter (M = 0.142, SD = 0.114), as was the case for AFB1, with mean and SD of the summer samples (M = 12.097, SD = 37.713) being higher than that of the winter samples (M = 0.1418, SD = 0.114).

This study aimed at screening ginger consumed locally in the North West Province of South Africa for Aflatoxins and Ochratoxin A. The evaluation and analysis on HPLC reveals the presence of AFB1, AFB2, AFG1 and AFG2, while Ochratoxin A was also identified when screened on ELISA. These mycotoxins were identified in virtually all the samples of the ginger screened and, according to the literature, are the major mycotoxins commonly encountered in ginger [21,22].

Table 5: Summary of Aflatoxins in the ginger samples during both seasons.

| Analytes   | Occurrence (%) | Range (μg/kg) | Mean ± SD |
|------------|----------------|--------------|-----------|
|            | Winter | Summer | Winter | Summer | Winter | Summer |
| Aflatoxin B1 | 86    | 98     | 0.02-0.74 | 0.01-6.94 | 0.165 (± 0.115) | 1.21 (± 1.82) |
| Aflatoxin B2 | 56    | 96     | 0.04-3.44 | 0.14-9.95 | 0.389 (± 0.494) | 7.10 (± 37.33) |
| Aflatoxin G1 | 38    | 56     | 0.002-0.17 | 0.01-6.59 | 0.017 (± 0.025) | 5.78 (± 27.24) |
| Aflatoxin G2 | 6     | 12     | 0.002-0.2 | 0.89-13.67 | 0.009 (± 0.003) | 0.40 (± 1.98) |
The ginger in this study was likely to have been contaminated with Aflatoxigenic fungi, such as *Aspergillus parasiticus* or *Aspergillus flavus* [23,24]. According to the result from the analysis carried out on HPLC, the AFB1 level in the ginger collected during winter ranged from 0.02-0.74 μg/kg, while for AFG2 from 0.01 to 6.59 μg/kg, AFG1 from 0.01 to 6.04 μg/kg, and AFG2 from 0.09 to 13.67 μg/kg (Table 5).

Moreover, the results indicated that there was a clear difference in the results between the seasons (Table 5), which were both above the European Unions recommended level of Aflatoxins and Ochratoxin A. These high levels may result in considerable histopathological changes, especially in the liver tissue, which is the main organ responsible for metabolising Aflatoxin [25]. This is also in line with Atanda et al. [24], Bjorn et al. [26], who emphasised that the intake of Aflatoxins, specifically at concentrations higher than the recommended levels, can result in cellular dissociation, infiltration, necrosis and fibrosis, as well as bile duct hyperplasia.

In addition, the occurrence of Aflatoxins and Ochratoxin A in the samples analysed in this study were in line with those report in China by Wen et al. [2], revealing that AFS and OTA were also found in ginger. The findings of AFB1, AFB2, AFG1 and AFG2 in South Africa splices in a study conducted by Bhat and Kumar et al. [23] corroborates the finding of this research. It can therefore be deduced [27,2] that ginger contains Aflatoxin in numerous regions of the work, is the effects of which are known to have various debilitating effects on human health. Furthermore, the concentration of mycotoxins in the ginger samples collected during winter were lesser than those collected in summer. This is in line with the findings of Zain et al. [28]; Milanovic et al, Ishaque et al [29,30] who also found a high prevalence of aflatoxins and ochratoxin A contamination in food during summer, which may be due to the higher temperatures.

The increased concentration of mycotoxins during summer could also be as a result of improper agricultural practices, such as storage facilities and unhygienic/unsanitised display facilities in the shop, where environmental factors (temperature, humidity and moisture) are not controlled and might influence the growth of fungi and the production of mycotoxins [31]. In addition, contamination of the ginger could have occurred in the field due to poor field sanitation, insect infestation control and early harvesting, these contributing factors having been identified by Truckess and Koeltzow [32]. The variation in these results indicates that mycotoxins are present and generally thrive more in summer than in winter, as the weather condition during this time favours the growth of micro-organisms and fungi [33].

The contamination of ginger with Aflatoxin in this study represents a serious health challenge, as they are known to suppress the immune system and exert carcinogenic, mutagenic and teratogenic effects to human [34,32]. The high levels of Aflatoxin in this study indicated the prevalence of *Aspergillus flavus* and *Aspergillus parasiticus* in ginger that are consumed as staple in South Africa. The presence of ochratoxin A in the ginger samples further indicates that the ginger were majorly contaminated with *Penicillium verrucosum*, *Penicillium nordicum* or other species of *Penicillium* and *Aspergillus*, with *Penicillium* species being one of the major producers of Ochratoxin A [31].

Furthermore, higher concentrations of mycotoxin occurrence were recorded by the ELISA analysis than on HPLC. This could be due to cross-reactivity with related substances as well as matrix dependence, which often leads to overestimation. In addition, the antibodies have the advantage of high specificity and sensitivity, as the target compounds are not the antigens but mycotoxins, as compounds with similar chemical groups can also interact with the antibodies [33]. This can also be explained by the matrix effect or interference, which commonly occur in ELISA methods, resulting in under- or over-estimates in mycotoxin concentrations in commodity samples [32]. Furthermore, Tian et al. [33] noted that extraction solvents such as methanol may also affect screening on the ELISA, as they could lead to co-extraction of fatty materials, which could interfere in the assay and contribute to the high values detected.

In summary, a simple, rapid and sensitive method based on IAC clean-up coupled with HPLC was used to identify AFB1, AFB2, AFG1, and AFG2 in ginger in this study. The developed method was successfully used to analyse 100 ginger samples collected at different times during summer and winter in the North West Province of South Africa. The result show that all the samples contained either Aflatoxin or Ochratoxin A, with the validated method showing satisfactory linearity, precision and accuracy. The four Aflatoxins were separated and no interference peaks observed. All positive samples were verified and compared to the results from the ELISA, with no false-positive samples being identified, which confirms that the methods were sensitive and reliable. Regarding determining the prevalence of the mycotoxins during winter and summer seasons, it was observed that Aflatoxins and Ochratoxin A had the ability to thrive better in summer than in winter due to the higher temperatures and dampness that enabled the fungi to thrive.

### 6. Recommendation

More attention needs to be paid to the cultivation, harvesting and storage conditions even to the consumption of ginger produced in South Africa. Prevention strategies (mechanical, physical, biological and chemical as described by Omotayo et al. [63]) can be used to manage the Aflatoxins and Ochratoxin A contamination of ginger, as ginger screened in the study contains Aflatoxin greater than the recommended level by the European Union. There is therefore need to ensure that the public are protected from possible adverse effects associated with ginger consumption, a number of recommendations are made regarding its production. The farmer, must engage in good agricultural practices and tidiness, to good sorting of the produce in order to avoid selling fungi infected ginger produce to the retailers or the consumers. To the middle men or retailer, proper storage its storage through refrigeration, sorting e.t.c. is recommended by this study. Consumers are encouraged to buy from reliable ginger selling outlets or farms. To protect consumers from the negative effects of mycotoxins from food in general, and ginger in particular, policies need to be put in place to ensure that producers are informed and monitored about appropriate prevention and cleaning methods. Standard methods also need to be provided to retailers about cleaning it before it gets displayed for sale.

### 7. Conclusion

Ginger (*Zingiber officinale*), having been referred to as a medicinal plant [5] is being used all over the world for several health purposes. It is consumed in a variety of ways, ranging from infusing and drinking the extracts to using it as spice. In 2013, according to the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, India had the highest percentage of ginger production, followed by China, Nepal, Indonesia and Nigeria. Considering the high consumption rate of ginger in many

---

**Table 6**

Independent Sample t-test to identify the differences in the prevalence of Aflatoxins contamination between summer and winter.

| Mycotoxins | Season  | Mean  | Std. dev | N  | T     | Df   | Sig  |
|------------|---------|-------|----------|----|-------|------|------|
| AFG1       | Winter  | 0.965 | 0.0280   | 49 |       |      |      |
| AFG2       | Winter  | 0.965 | 0.0280   | 49 |       |      |      |
| AFB1       | Winter  | 0.126 | 0.09945  | 49 |       |      |      |
| AFB2       | Winter  | 0.126 | 0.09945  | 49 |       |      |      |

---

**Table 5**

Mycotoxins Seasons Mean Std. dev N T Df Sig.

| Mycotoxins | Season | Mean  | Std. dev | N  | T     | Df   | Sig  |
|------------|--------|-------|----------|----|-------|------|------|
| AFB1       | Summer | 0.3987| 1.98113  | 50 | 1.421 | 49.000| 0.008|
| AFB2       | Summer | 0.0006| 0.00280  | 49 |       |      |      |
| AFB1       | Winter | 0.7779| 27.51565 | 50 | 2.511 | 49.000| 0.000|
| AFB2       | Winter | 0.0068| 0.02526  | 49 |       |      |      |
| AFB1       | Summer | 12.097| 37.71280 | 50 | 2.237 | 49.018| 0.001|
| AFB2       | Summer | 1.0662| 0.49945  | 49 |       |      |      |
| AFB1       | Winter | 1.2055| 1.83522  | 50 | 4.090 | 49.387| 0.000|
| AFB2       | Winter | 0.1418| 0.11421  | 49 |       |      |      |
countries, public health awareness needs to be increased around the risk involved with ginger use when it is not properly cleaned before consumption. Without affecting the ginger industry, there needs to be greater public awareness about the need to wash it before working or consuming it, due to the possible presence of AFs and OTAs that can affect immunosuppression negatively affect the nervous system [34,35]. Ginger is an important part of food flavouring globally, with many people also using it for health purposes. The home grower ginger and retail consumer need to be made aware of the risks associated with using ginger, and any root plant, due to the presence of fungi and other organisms in the soil that can have health consequences if not managed effectively. Putting effective measures in place throughout the retail chain to reduce the levels of mycotoxins and the likelihood of consumers’ health being affected.
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