A near infrared light emitting electrochemical cell with a 2.3 V turn-on voltage
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We report on an organic electroluminescent device with simplified geometry and emission in the red to near infrared (NIR) spectral region which, has the lowest turn-on voltage value, 2.3 V, among light emitting electrochemical cells (LEECs). We have synthesized and characterized three novel ruthenium π-extended phenanthroimidazoles which differ on their N^N ligands. The use of dimethyl electron donating groups along with the π-extended phenanthroimidazole moiety promotes ambipolar transport thereby avoiding the use of additional charge transport layers. Furthermore, a facile cathode deposition method based on transfer of a molten alloy (Ga:In) on top of the active layer is deployed, thus avoiding high vacuum thermal deposition which adds versatile assets to our approach. We combine ambipolar charge transport organic complex design and a simple ambient cathode deposition to achieve a potentially cost effective red to NIR emitting device with outstanding performance, opening new avenues towards the development of simplified light emitting sources through device optimization.

In recent years, the search for new functional materials with emissive properties in the NIR region attracted intensive attention. However, NIR electroluminescence (EL) is generally limited to rare reports, a consequence of the difficulties to overcome the intrinsically lower radiative decay rate of excited states as the energy band gap shrinks. This is reflected in low NIR external quantum efficiency (EQE) values in the 0.1% range1–5. In order to obtain efficient NIR emission and overcome the energy gap limitation, it is necessary to utilize specific ligands capable of extending the π-electron delocalization of the aromatic chromophore and bearing the substitution of nitrogen by less electronegative carbon atoms in benchmarked cyclometalated emitters 6–10. Ruthenium polypyridyl complexes have attracted substantial interest for NIR EL because of novel and important applications such as bio-imaging67, telecommunications41,42, and wound healing11,13. Reports on NIR EL based on other metal complexes are less numerous, with the exception of few examples such as Iridium cyclometalated complexes14,15. The use of complexes based on benchmark lanthanide diketons such as Nd, Er, Pr, Yb, Ho, and Tm is jeopardized by their low emission quantum efficiency (φPL 10^-4–10^-6) due to coupling of the 4f excited states in the lanthanide ions with ligand vibrational modes and other re-absorption processes16. Moreover, the well-known environmental impact associated to lanthanide mining limits their extensive applications to this field. In response to the intense appeal for efficient and inexpensive NIR light-emitting devices, LEECs appear as promising candidates thanks to their simplicity, not requiring the use of electron and transport layers to balance charge transport in contrast to OLEDs17,18, and their notable EL performance19. Moreover, LEECs exhibit the interesting feature of emission tunability through replacement of the ionic transition metal in the complex. In addition, NIR EL can be further promoted by appropriate ligand design according to five strategies: (i) the design of ligands with large steric hindrance to avoid energy relaxation via resonant vibrations20,21. (ii) The incorporation of electron donating groups to the ancillary ligand to decrease the band gap through HOMO destabilization or LUMO stabilization22,23. (iii) The triplet energy level matching of the ancillary ligand with the LUMO of the metal center to create efficient electron transfer24–27. (iv) The extension of the ancillary ligand π-conjugation to reduce the band gap and cause emission red shift28,29. (v) The addition of electronic conductive polymers such as poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene) polystyrene sulfonate (PEDOT:PSS) to enhance the electron donor ability,
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leading to the increasing of electroluminescence characteristics. The operation of LEECs relies on the movement of cations and anions towards the electrodes causing promotion of the charge injection properties. This generally leads to sufficient applied voltage to overcome the low ionic conductivity of the solid film. The value of the turn on voltage is a key operational parameter in electroluminescent devices. In LEECs this parameter shows the rate of mobility of ions between cathode and anode when the voltage is applied across the device. Although the optimization of the operational parameters in LEECs has attracted a great interest in recent years, there are not many reports targeting to reduce the turn on voltage in near infra-red LEECs, in contrast with abundant attempts on OLEDs (please see a short Review on the turn on voltage values in Ir/Pt/Ru polypyridyl complexes in ESI. Table S1). In this article, we describe the design of ruthenium complexes based on π-extended phenanthroimidazole.

Figure 1. Chemical structures of the novel ruthenium (II) complexes with bpy, dmbpy and phen as ancillary ligand (black part). Blue part shows the structure of phenanthroimidazole moiety which repeats in all three complexes.

Figure 2. (a) UV-Vis spectra and PL spectra of complexes in acetonitrile solution, (b) Solid state PL of complexes supported on ITO glass substrates, (c) CV of F1-F3 at scan rate of 0.08 V/s, (d) CV of the of F2 at various scan rates of 0.08, 0.12, 0.15, 0.175, V s⁻¹. Inset: variation of Iₚ,a (anodic current peak).
These complexes exhibit Ru(III/II) based quasi-reversible characteristics and favourable optoelectronic properties for solid-state lighting. LEECs based on these complexes show efficient NIR EL with ultra-low turn on voltages which are, to the best of our knowledge, comparable to the lowest reported in light emitting devices (see Table S1 in ESI for turn on voltage values of metal transition complexes). The structure of the π-extended phenanthroimidazole ligand and its complexes containing different ancillary ligands including 2,2′-bipyridyl (bpy), 1,10-phenanthroline (phen) and 4, 4′-dimethyl 2,2′,bipyridyl (dmbpy), (namely F1-F3 respectively), are depicted in Fig. 1 and characterized in detail (ESI. S1–S9). The UV-vis absorption and photoluminescence (PL) spectra of the investigated complexes in solution are shown in Fig. 2a. The UV-vis absorption spectra of F1–F3 is typical for ruthenium polypyridyl complexes, with absorption bands in the UV spectral range (peaks at 300 and 350 nm) and in the visible (peak at 450 nm) which are attributed to intra-ligand charge transfer (ILCT) and metal-to-ligand charge transfer (MLCT) states respectively 33,34. The broadening and red-shift of the MLCT band can be assigned to the D–A interactions.

Figure 3. Time resolved photoluminescence measurements of (a) F1, (b) F2 and (c) F3 in ACN solution (dots, red lines) and film (dots, blue lines) detecting at 625 nm (solution) and 660 nm (film). (d) PL decay of F3 detecting at 510 nm (solution) and 526 nm (film). Straight lines stand for fits obtained with a three-exponential decay model.

Table 1. Photophysical and electrochemical data of F1–F3. aIn CH3CN [nm]. bPLQYs were determined by comparison with [Ru(bpy)3]2+ (ϕ = 0.095). cITO thin film of complexes (thickness of 90 nm). dThe E1/2 was obtained in CH3CN with 0.1 M TBAClO4 vs. Ag/AgCl. eFrom the intersection of absorption and emission spectra. fEHOMO = −(E1/2(vs. Fc/Fc+) + 4.8). gELUMO = EHOMO + E0–0. hEgap = EHOMO–ELUMO.
to spin-orbit coupling. The PL spectra of complexes (Fig. 2a,b) both in solution and film clearly show the influence of ancillary ligands which lead to \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) emission peak wavelengths in F1, F2 and F3 of 609, 630 and 594 nm in solution and 628, 700 and 631 nm in solid film respectively (Table 1). The solid state PL shift is caused by the change in dielectric constant from solution to film as well as some degree of aggregation. Indeed, the PL spectra of crystalline powders (ESI Fig. S10) exhibit an even further PL red shift with peaks at 650, 678 and 668 nm for F1–F3 respectively. A weak shoulder at 510 (526 nm) appears in the PL spectrum of F3 in solution (film).

The trend of \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) of all absorption and PL spectra in solution and film indicates the electron donating ability of the F2 dimethyl moieties causing an emission red shift compared to the other two ruthenium \( \pi \)-extended phenanthroimidazole complexes, an experimental confirmation of the band gap reduction (Table 1). Furthermore, DFT calculation show the reduction of band gap of F2 compared with other complexes (Fig. S11 in ESI, Table S2). A crucial factor for LEECs is the reversibility of the Ru(II)–Ru(III) redox reaction in ruthenium complexes. Cyclic voltammograms (CV) of the three complexes (F1–F3) show a completely reversible behaviour in the

Figure 4. (a) Schematics of the LEEC structure with the band gap values of F1–F3 obtained from electrochemical data (b) EL spectra of ITO/F1–3/Ga:In devices. Inset: The deep red colour of F2 emitted by a pixel at 6 V (c) Current density and luminance over applied voltage for F1–F3 complexes. The same curves with expanded scale axis are shown in the inset.
The positive voltage region assigned to Ru(II) to Ru(III) Ox/Red (Fig. 2c). The negative voltage region in the CV is attributed to the redox behaviour of the ligand which is characteristic of the ruthenium polypyridyl complexes 41. The potential values of the Ru(II)-Ru(III) redox couples (E_{\text{OX}}^{1/2}) are 1.30, 1.20 and 1.31 V for F1, F2 and F3 respectively, the lower F2 value being caused by the dimethyl electron donating group in the F2 ancillary ligand. Fig. 2d shows the CV of F2 at different voltage scan rate (ν).

The linear dependence of the anodic current with ν^{1/2} (inset in Fig. 2d) confirms that the kinetics of the process is controlled by mass transport. The electron transfer rate is, at all potentials, greater than the mass transport rate and the peak potential is independent of the applied voltage scan rate (ν).

The PL quantum yield (PLQY) values of F1-F3 in solution were 0.116, 0.099 and 0.088, respectively, with F1 and F2 having higher PLQE than [Ru(bpy)_3]^2+ (0.095).

Figure 3 displays the PL decay curves in solution and film of F1-F3. All samples were fitted with reasonable agreement to a three-decay exponential law with lifetimes spanning from the 0.1 ns to the 100 ns range (ESI. Table S3), such latter long component being characteristic of MLCT^3 phosphorescence 42.

Focusing on the PL lifetimes measured at the respective emission peaks (625, 690 and 660 nm for F1, F2 and F3 respectively) the average lifetimes of F1 and F2 (5.5 and 5.7 ns respectively) are longer than the corresponding of F3, (5.0 ns with predominant 0.3 ns lifetime). For more details on the individual lifetime components and their statistical weights please refer to Table S4 in ESL. Concomitantly, the short wavelength shoulders seen in the PL spectra of F3 in solution and film have sub-ns average lifetimes associated (0.5 and 0.9 ns respectively). Based on this short-lived nature, we speculate with the coexistence of two radiative decay mechanisms in F3 associated to emission from triplet MLCT^3 states 43–46 and residual ligand emission 47. Comparing the PL lifetime values in solution and film, the latter are much shorter probably due to the effects of diffusion-assisted recombination of MLCT^3 in solid state 48.

Table 2. Electroluminescence data of LEEC device of ITO/(F1–F3)/Ga:In. aCIE(x,y): Commission Internationale de L’Eclairage, bCurrent density(A m$^{-2}$) at 6 V, cLuminance(cd m$^{-2}$) at 6 V, dTurn-on voltage(V), eTurn on time (second), fLifetime (second), gEfficacy (cd A$^{-1}$) at 6 V, hExternal quantum efficiency at 6 V.

| comp.     | λ_{max}(nm) | CIE (x,y)  | FWHM(nm) | Jb   | Lmax | Von | t_on | t_{1/2} | Eg  | EQE (%) |
|-----------|-------------|------------|-----------|------|------|-----|------|--------|------|---------|
| F1        | 664         | [0.730, 0.269] | 128       | 3910 | 1066 | 2.4 | 36   | 793    | 0.27 | 1.40    |
| F2        | 695         | [0.734, 0.265] | 170       | 3025 | 589  | 2.3 | 23   | 528    | 0.19 | 0.93    |
| F3        | 644         | [0.722, 0.277] | 115       | 3405 | 878  | 2.8 | 168  | 1125   | 0.26 | 1.15    |
| [Ru(bpy)_3]^2+ | 632       | [0.710, 0.289] | 80        | 4030 | 2500 | 2.3 | —    | —      | —    | 0.65    |

Figure 5. (a) Luminance and current over time at constant voltage of 6 V, (b) External quantum efficiency (EQE) over time at 6 V.
The long-term stability of F1, F2 and F3 in film decreased dramatically with respect to solution (namely by a factor of 10, 16 and 2.5 respectively). Among the possible reasons for faster PL decay in film could be triplet quenching by traps (oxygen, film morphology) or triplet-triplet annihilation. Next, we developed LEEC devices with a indium tin oxide (ITO)/complex/Ga:In configuration. Figure 4a. The use of a Ga:In molten alloy as cathode avoids using high vacuum thermal deposition which has a number of disadvantages such as mechanical stress due to the mismatch of the metal and organic layer thermal expansion coefficients and more importantly, the elevated temperatures reached during the thermal deposition process which puts restrictions on the coated substrates. Figure 4b shows the EL spectra of LEECs based on F1-F3 in comparison with [Ru(bpy)3]2+. The peak and width of the EL spectra of F1-F3 follows the same tendency as the PL spectra, where F2 shows the broadest and longest wavelength peaked EL spectrum (720 nm), confirming the bithemochromatic effect of the dimethyl electron donating group. We remark that the EL of F1-F3 are all red shifted respect to [Ru(bpy)3]2+, due to the effect of the π-extended moiety on phenanthroimidazole. The I-V and Luminance-V results indicate that F1 exhibits larger current densities (540 mA/cm²) and luminance values (2100 cd/m²) measured at 7 V than F2 and F3. Figure 5 shows current and luminance versus operation time, confirming the stability of all three LEECs. The higher device stability in F3 can be attributed to bulk effects of ancillary ligand. We investigated the thermal stability of newly synthesized complexes by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) analysis and found that they show high thermal stability with Tg = 234.9 °C and Tc = 275 °C. Moreover, the melting point of complexes is higher than 750 °C (ESI, S12). Outprisingly, the turn on voltage values found for F1–F3 amount only to 2.4, 2.3 and 2.8 V. These low values arise from the enriched electron density of the F1–F3 complexes, enabling the use of single layer architectures and significant device simplification. The 2.3 V turn on voltage achieved with F2 is only matched by Bard et al. with a ITO/[Ru(bpy)3]2+/Ga:In LEEC with red EL (660 nm), (see ESI and Table S1 for more details). The turn-on times obtained for F1, F2 and F3 were 168 s, 23 and 168 s respectively. In addition, we obtained device lifetimes of 793, 528 and 1125 s for F1, F2 and F3 respectively, (Table 2, Fig. 5a). Furthermore, the EQE evaluation along time suggested a better efficiency stability of F3 compared to the other two complexes (Fig. 5b).

In conclusion, our results suggest that efficient NIR EL at very low turn on voltage (2.3 V) can be achieved with a purposeful design of ruthenium complexes based on a π-conjugated phenanthroimidazole ligand along with the substitution of electron donating groups on the ancillary ligand. Low turn on voltage values are demonstrated in single layer devices sandwiched between ITO and Ga:In, thus without requirements of additional charge transport and injection layers in contrast with the ever more complex OLED emitting architectures. The combination of electron acceptors with large π-delocalization groups enables then to extend the EL to the NIR region.
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