Two Young Planetary Systems around Field Stars with Ages between 20 and 320 Myr from TESS
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Abstract

Planets around young stars trace the early evolution of planetary systems. We report the discovery and validation of two planetary systems with ages \( \lesssim 300 \) Myr from observations by the Transiting Exoplanet Survey Satellite (TESS). The 40–320 Myr old G star TOI-251 hosts a system of two mini-Neptunes with 4.94 day period. The 20–160 Myr old K star TOI-942 hosts a system of inflated Neptune-sized planets, with TOI-942b orbiting in a period of 4.32 days with a radius of \( 4.81^{+0.20}_{-0.20} R_{\oplus} \) and TOI-942c orbiting in a period of 10.16 days with a radius of \( 5.79^{+0.19}_{-0.19} R_{\oplus} \). Though we cannot place both stars into a known stellar association or cluster, we can estimate their ages via their photometric and spectroscopic properties. Both stars exhibit significant photometric variability due to spot modulation, with measured rotation periods of \( \sim 3.5 \) days. These stars also exhibit significant chromospheric activity, with age estimates from the chromospheric calcium emission lines and X-ray fluxes matching that estimated from gyrochronology. Both stars also exhibit significant lithium absorption, similar in equivalent width to well-characterized young cluster members. TESS has the potential to deliver a population of young planet-bearing field stars, contributing significantly to tracing the properties of planets as a function of their age.

Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts: Exoplanet evolution (491); Exoplanets (498)

1. Introduction

The first few hundred million yr of planet evolution sculpts the population of exoplanetary systems we observe today. The properties of young planetary systems help unravel the factors that shape the present-day population: in situ formation, migration, and photoevaporation. Probing the timescales of these mechanisms motivates us to search for planets within the first few hundred million yr of their birth.

Recent searches for young planets have yielded a handful of discoveries with targeted radial velocity surveys and space-based...
transit monitoring. Dozens of planets have been identified in the 600–800 Myr old Praesepe and Hyades clusters (Quinn et al. 2012, 2014; Mann et al. 2016a, 2017, 2018; Obermeier et al. 2016; Ciardi et al. 2018; Livingston et al. 2018, 2019; Rizzuto et al. 2018; Vanderburg et al. 2018). These discoveries enabled estimates of planet occurrence rates in million yr old cluster environments (e.g., Rizzuto et al. 2017).

To sample the earlier stages of planet evolution, transit searches have focused on members of known young stellar associations, finding planets with ages ranging from ∼10 to 150 Myr. Observations from the K2 mission revealed planets within the Upper Scorpius moving group (David et al. 2016; Mann et al. 2016b) and Taurus-Auriga star-forming region (David et al. 2019a, 2019b).

With the library of all-sky photometry made available by the Transiting Exoplanet Survey Satellite (TESS; Ricker et al. 2016), planets around bright young stars have been identified (e.g., Newton et al. 2019; E. R. Newton et al. 2020, in preparation; Mann et al. 2020; Plavchan et al. 2020; Rizzuto et al. 2020). These are the first planets around bright young stars that are suitable for in-depth characterizations, enabling the first obliquity measurements of newly formed planets (Montet et al. 2020; Zhou et al. 2020), as well as atmospheric studies in the near future.

However, star-forming clusters begin to disperse within the first hundred million yr of formation (Krumholz et al. 2019). Left behind are relatively young stars that can no longer be traced back to their source of origin. These stars can still be identified by their signatures of youth. Mamajek & Hillenbrand (2008) summarized the activity signatures that can be used to provide approximate ages of Sun-like stars. The rapid rotation of these young stars leads to enhanced chromospheric activity and X-ray emission. Their current rotation rates can help guide the age estimates via gyrochronology. The abundance of lithium in the atmospheres of main-sequence Sun-like stars is also a useful youth indicator. Recent efforts to trace young field stars have made use of all-sky spectroscopic surveys to catalog large numbers of chromospherically active (e.g., Żerjal et al. 2013) and lithium-bearing (e.g., Żerjal et al. 2019) stars.

These photometric and spectroscopic signatures of youth, however, are often detrimental to our ability to identify and characterize the planets these stars harbor. The photometric variations due to star spots and stellar rotation make transit planet searches more difficult. The same spot activity induces radial velocity variations on the ∼100 m s⁻¹ level, masking the planetary orbits. Despite these difficulties, Sanchis-Ojeda et al. (2013) identified Kepler-63 b as a giant planet around an ∼300 Myr young field star and made use of the spot activity to infer its orbital obliquity via transit spot-crossing events. The small planets around K2-233 (David et al. 2018a) and EPIC 247267267 (David et al. 2018b) are additional examples of young field stars with ages of 100–700 Myr discovered by the K2 mission.

We report the discovery of a mini-Neptune around TOI-251, which we determine to have an age of 40–320 Myr based on its rotational, spectroscopic, and X-ray properties. We also report the discovery of two inflated Neptunes around TOI-942, with ages of 20–160 Myr, as measured from the host star’s rotation, spectroscopic, and X-ray age indicators. These planets were validated by a campaign of ground-based photometric and spectroscopic observations. In particular, the ability to predict future transit times degraded substantially over the year between the TESS discovery and subsequent follow-up efforts. Our ground-based photometric follow-up campaign demonstrates the effectiveness of small telescopes in recovering the shallow 1 mmag transits that these small planets exhibit. These young planets around field stars open an untapped population that can help us construct the properties of planetary systems over time.

2. Candidate Identification and Follow-up Observations

2.1. Identification of Planet Candidates by TESS

During Sector 2, between 2018 August 8 and September 9, TIC 224225541 received TESS 2 minute cadenced target pixel stamp observations as part of the TESS Candidate Target List (CTL; Stassun et al. 2018), with the target star being located on Camera 2, CCD 4 of the TESS array. Light curves and transit identification were made possible via the Science Processing Observation Center (SPOC; Jenkins et al. 2016). The transits of the mini-Neptune were detected as per Twicken et al. (2018) and Li et al. (2019), with a multiple event statistic of 10.9, and released to the community as TOI-251b, with an orbital period of 4.94 days. We make use of the simple aperture photometry made available for this star for further analysis (Twicken et al. 2010; Morris et al. 2020). The TESS light curve of TOI-251 is shown in Figure 1, and individual transits of TOI-251b are shown in Figure 2.

During Sector 5 of the primary mission, between 2018 November 15 and December 11, TIC 146520535 was observed by the Camera 2 CCD 2 full-frame images (FFIs) at 30 minute cadence. The MIT quick-look pipeline (Huang et al. 2019) identified a set of transit events at 4.331 days with a signal-to–pink noise ratio of 11.7 and released as TOI-942b. In subsequent visual examinations of the light curves, two single transits of slightly deeper depth than TOI-942b were identified in the light curves spaced 10.16 days apart. Further analysis showed that these two single transits are identical in depth and duration and due to a second planet candidate, TOI-942c.

We then extracted the light curves for TOI-942 from the public FFIs made available on the MAST archive using the lightkurve package (Barentsen et al. 2019). A 10 × 10 pixel FFI cutout was extracted around the target star using the TESSCut function (Brasseur et al. 2019). The photometric aperture was defined to encompass the brightest 68% of pixels within a maximum radius of 3 pixels from the target star coordinates. Surrounding pixels without nearby stars are used for background subtraction. The TESS light curve of TOI-942 is shown in Figure 3, and individual transits of TOI-942b and c are shown in Figures 4 and 5, respectively.

2.2. Spectroscopic Follow-up

2.2.1. SMARTS 1.5 m/CHIRON

We obtained a series of spectroscopic observations with the CHIRON facility to characterize the host star properties and constrain the masses of the planets in each system. CHIRON is a high-resolution spectrograph on the 1.5 m SMARTS telescope, located at Cerro Tololo Inter-American Observatory (CTIO), Chile (Tokovinin et al. 2013). CHIRON is fed through an image slicer via a fiber, yielding a spectral resolving power of λ/Δλ ≡ R = 80,000 over the wavelength region 4100–8700 Å.

Radial velocities were extracted from CHIRON spectra by fitting the line profiles derived from each spectra. The line
profiles are measured via a least-squares deconvolution of the observed spectra against synthetic templates (following Donati et al. 1997), listed in Tables 1 and 2, and plotted in Figures 6 and 7.

In addition to providing radial velocity and stellar atmosphere parameter measurements, the CHIRON spectra also allow us to search for additional blended spectral companions that may be indicative of other astrophysical false-positive scenarios for these systems. We perform a signal injection and recovery exercise to determine the detection thresholds for any additional spectroscopic stellar companion that may be blended in the spectrum. The detectability of the blended source is determined by its flux ratio and velocity separation to the target star and its rotational broadening. As such, we performed $\approx 10,000$ iterations of the injection, with different combinations of these factors, to the averaged spectroscopic line profile for each target star. The derived detection thresholds are shown in Figure 8. We can rule out any nonassociated stellar companions with $\Delta \text{mag} < 4$ for TOI-251, assuming they exhibit minimal rotational broadening and substantial velocity separation between the target star and the blended companion. Similarly, we can rule out blended, nonassociated, slowly rotating stellar companions with $\Delta \text{mag} < 3.5$ for TOI-942. Our ability to detect such companions degrades significantly if they are rapidly rotating or have similar systemic velocities to the target star.

We also made use of the CHIRON observations to measure the projected rotational velocity $v \sin I_*$ of the host stars. Following Zhou et al. (2018), we model the line profiles derived from the CHIRON spectra via a convolution of kernels representing the rotation, radial–tangential macroturbulence, and instrument broadening terms. The rotational and radial–tangential macroturbulence kernels follow the prescription in Gray (2005), while the instrument broadening kernel is represented by a Gaussian function of width that of the instrument resolution. For TOI-251, we measured a projected rotational broadening velocity of $v \sin I_* = 11.5 \pm 1.0 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and a macroturbulent velocity of $v_{\text{mac}} = 7.9 \pm 1.0 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. For TOI-942, we measure $v \sin I_* = 14.3 \pm 0.5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and $v_{\text{mac}} = 9.8 \pm 3.4 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. We note that for slowly rotating stars, there is a significant degeneracy between various line broadening parameters. These degeneracies can systematically impact future observations, such as transit spectroscopic obliquity observations or estimates of the line-of-sight inclination of the system.

2.2.2. FLWO 1.5 m/TRES

We also obtained observations of TOI-251 and TOI-942 with the Tillinghast Reflect Echelle Spectrograph (TRES; Fürtész 2008) on the 1.5 m reflector at the Fred Lawrence Whipple Observatory (FLWO), Arizona, USA. TRES is a
fiber-fed echelle spectrograph with a resolution of $R \sim 44,000$ over the spectral region of 3850–9100 Å. The observing strategy and reduction procedure are outlined in Buchhave et al. (2012).

One observation was obtained for TOI-251, and two were obtained for TOI-942. These spectra were used to measure the stellar atmospheric properties of the host stars via the Stellar Parameter Classification pipeline (Buchhave et al. 2010), subsequently used as priors in our global analyses (Section 5). We find TOI-251 to have a Sun-like stellar surface effective temperature of $T_{\text{eff}} = 5833 \pm 143$ K, surface gravity of $\log g = 4.49 \pm 0.23$ dex, and metallicity of $[\text{m/H}] = -0.16 \pm 0.13$ dex.

The early K star TOI-942 has $T_{\text{eff}} = 5187 \pm 52$ K, $\log g = 4.59 \pm 0.10$ dex, and $[\text{m/H}] = 0.07 \pm 0.08$ dex.

2.3. Photometric Follow-up

We obtained a series of ground-based photometric follow-up observations to confirm that the transits are on target, eliminate false-positive scenarios, and refine the ephemeris and orbital parameters.

Full and partial transits of TOI-251b, TOI-942b, and TOI-942c were obtained by an array of ground-based observatories. In particular, with only two transits in the TESS observations, the ephemeris uncertainty for TOI-942c was as large as 5 hr, while the uncertainties for the shorter-period planets TOI-251b and TOI-942b were 2 hr. The first attempts at recovering the transits of both planets failed due to these large uncertainties but were still useful in refining the transit predictions. Subsequent attempts fortuitously captured partial transits, leading to the recovery of the ephemeris. The ground-based follow-up light curves of TOI-251 and TOI-942 are shown in Figures 9 and 10, respectively.

2.3.1. Las Cumbres Observatory

The Las Cumbres Observatory Global Telescope (LCOGT) is a global network of small robotic telescopes (Brown et al. 2013). A full transit of TOI-251b was observed by the 1 m LCOGT telescope located at Siding Spring Observatory, Australia. The observations are scheduled via the TESS Transit Finder, which is a customized version of the Tapir software package (Jensen 2013). The observations were obtained with the Sinistro camera in the Y band on the night of 2019 July 23. The LCOGT images were calibrated by the standard LCOGT BANZAI pipeline (McCully et al. 2018) and the photometric data were extracted using the Astro-ImageJ (AIJ) software package (Collins et al. 2017), showing a likely detection of the 1 mmag transit event. Nearby stars were cleared for signs of eclipsing binaries, showing that the transit event is on target to within seeing limits. The observation is shown in Figure 9.

2.3.2. Mt. Kent Observatory

A full transit of TOI-251b was observed with the University of Louisville Research Telescope at Mt. Kent Observatory, Queensland, Australia. The University of Louisville Research Telescope is a PlaneWave Instruments CDK700 0.7 m telescope equipped with a 4K × 4K detector. The observation on 2019 July 28 was obtained in the $i'$ band, spanning 4 hr, with an average exposure time of $\sim 90$ s. Photometry was extracted using AIJ (Collins et al. 2017), showing a successful detection of the transit event. The nearby stars were again checked for signatures of eclipsing binaries, with none detected.
2.3.3. MEarth

The MEarth instruments are described in detail by Irwin et al. (2015), and for brevity, we do not repeat those details here.

For the majority of the MEarth observations listed in Table 3, we adopted a standard observational strategy used for bright TOIs where all but one of the available telescopes at a given observing site are operated defocused to obtain photometry of the target star, and one telescope observes in focus with the target star saturated to obtain photometry of any nearby contaminating stars not properly resolved in the defocused observations. Once these stars had been fully ruled out as the source of the transits, we simply used all telescopes in defocused mode to obtain a slight improvement in sampling. This was done for the observation of TOI-251 and the last two observations of TOI-942 (2019 December 5 and 26) only.

With the exception of the observation of TOI-251 on 2019 November 17, which was gathered from both MEarth-North and MEarth-South simultaneously (using a total of 15 telescopes, eight at MEarth-North and seven at MEarth-South), all other observations were gathered from MEarth-South using seven telescopes. For TOI-942, the exposure times were 60 s on all telescopes, and the defocused telescopes used a half-flux diameter (HFD) of 12 pixels. For TOI-942, we used a defocus setting of 6 pixels HFD and an exposure time of 60 s for the defocus telescopes and 30 s for the single in-focus telescope at MEarth-South, as well as 8 pixels HFD with all telescopes using 60 s exposure times at MEarth-North. These instruments use a different model of CCD and so have different pixel scales (0.84 s−1 at MEarth-South and 0.76 s−1 at MEarth-North) and require slightly different observational setups to achieve the same saturation limit.

Data were gathered continuously subject to twilight, zenith distance, and weather constraints. Telescope 7 at MEarth-South used in the defocus ensemble had a shutter stuck in the open position for all observations, but this does not appear to affect the light curves despite visible smearing during readout. All data were reduced following standard procedures detailed in Irwin et al. (2007) and Berta et al. (2012). Photometric aperture radii for extraction of the defocus time series of the target star were 24 pixels for TOI-251, 9.9 pixels for TOI-942 at MEarth-South, and 12.7 pixels for TOI-251 at MEarth-North.
2.3.4. WASP Archival Observations

Multiseason monitoring by ground-based transit surveys was also received by TOI-251 and TOI-942. The Wide Angle Search for Planets (WASP) Consortium (Pollacco et al. 2006) observed both target stars with the Southern SuperWASP facility, located at the Sutherland Station of the South African Astronomical Observatory. Each SuperWASP station consists of...
of arrays of eight commonly mounted 200 mm f/1.8 Canon telephoto lenses equipped with a 2K × 2K detector yielding a field of view of 7°8 × 7°8 camera−1.

WASP observations of TOI-251 and TOI-942 spanned 8 yr, from 2006 to 2014. The ∼2% spot modulation signal is clear in the WASP data sets for both host stars. We make use of these observations in Section 4 to confirm that the rotation period we measure from TESS is robust and consistent with that seen over the significantly longer timescales of the WASP observations.

2.4. High Spatial Resolution Imaging

We obtained a series of high spatial resolution imagery of the target stars to check for blended nearby stellar companions. Such companions can be the source of false-positive signals due to stellar eclipsing binaries or dilute the planetary transit signal leading to systematically smaller planet radius measurements.

Observations of TOI-251 and TOI-942 were obtained as part of the Southern Astrophysical Research (SOAR) TESS survey (Ziegler et al. 2020). Speckle imaging observations were obtained with the Andor iXon-888 camera on the 4.1 m SOAR telescope. Observations of TOI-251 were obtained on 2019 May 18 and TOI-942 on 2019 November 19. Each target star observation involved 400 frames of 200 × 200 binned pixels about the target with a pixel scale of 0″01575 obtained over the course of 11 s. The observations were reduced per Tokovinin (2018). The speckle auto-correlation functions (ACFs) from these observations are shown in Figure 11. No stellar companions were detected for either target star.

We also obtained speckle observations of TOI-942 with Alopeke at the 8 m Gemini-North observatory, located on Maunakea, Hawaii. The observation, obtained on 2019 October 14, incorporates a 1 minute integration involving 1000 60 ms exposures of 256 × 256 pixel subarrays about the target star. These observations have resulting spatial resolutions of 0.016 FWHM in the blue and 0″025 in the red, yielding an inner working angle of ∼3 au at the distance to TOI-942. The analysis and detection limits were derived per Howell et al. (2011, 2016). The speckle images and limits on companions are shown in Figure 12. Note that the observations were
obtained at relatively high airmass, and as such, the blue reconstructed image is adversely affected. No stellar companions are detected in either channel.

3. Elimination of False-positive Scenarios

A number of astrophysical false-positive scenarios can imitate the transit signals of planetary systems. Eclipsing binaries in grazing geometries or nearby faint eclipsing binaries can exhibit transits of depths similar to that of transiting super-Earths and Neptunes in extreme scenarios.

The possibility that our target stars are actually grazing eclipsing binaries is extremely unlikely given their well-resolved box-shaped transits. Nevertheless, we obtained a series of radial velocity observations for both target stars. Radial velocity variation at the $>1\, \text{km s}^{-1}$ level can be indicative of the companion being of stellar mass or the target star being spectroscopically blended with a background eclipsing binary.

We obtained nine spectra of each target star and derived radial velocities from each via a least-squares deconvolution analysis (Section 2.2.1). As expected for young stars, these velocities exhibited significant astrophysical jitter beyond their velocity uncertainties. The mean uncertainty of the velocities for TOI-251 is $17\, \text{m s}^{-1}$, while the velocity scatter is significantly higher at $73\, \text{m s}^{-1}$. Similarly, the mean uncertainty in the velocities of TOI-942 is $35\, \text{m s}^{-1}$, but the velocity scatter is at $127\, \text{m s}^{-1}$.

Nevertheless, the lack of a detectable radial velocity orbit to within $1\, \text{km s}^{-1}$ for both target stars rules out the possibility that they are orbited by stellar companions. In Section 5, we derive upper limits to the masses of any orbiting companions around our target stars. The velocities of each system are modeled assuming circular orbits for each planet; the stellar activity is accounted for via a jitter term. We make no attempts at correcting for the stellar jitter via decorrelation against stellar activity indicators, and we do not make use of Gaussian processes to model possible rotational signals in the velocities. Through this simple exercise, we find 3σ mass upper limits of $<1.0\, M_{\text{Jup}}$ for TOI-251b, $<2.6\, M_{\text{Jup}}$ for TOI-942b, and

![Figure 8](https://example.com/figure8.png)  
**Figure 8.** Detection threshold for any blended spectroscopic companions to the target stars via CHIRON observations. Colored regions show the excluded parameter space for any blended stars as a function of their magnitude difference to the target star $\Delta$mag and their velocity separation. Our ability to detect such companions depends on their rotational line broadening. The simulation shows the detection thresholds for a blended star of $v_{\text{rot}} = 5$, 15, and $25\, \text{km s}^{-1}$, with the detectability progressively worsening for more rapidly rotating companions. The black regions show where no companions can be detected. For low-velocity separations, there is a degeneracy between any blended companion and the target star, and as such, we are not sensitive to such scenarios. As the broadening profiles have structured noise features, some velocities are systematically more sensitive to secondary companions than other regions.

![Figure 9](https://example.com/figure9.png)  
**Figure 9.** Ground-based follow-up light curves of TOI-251b. The light curves from each facility are shown with an arbitrary vertical offset applied. The filled points mark the binned fluxes at phase intervals of 0.002. The observatory, date, and filter of each observation are labeled. Observations by the MEarth observatory were taken in the MEarth band.
Future examination making use of stellar activity markers can further refine the masses of these planets. The 22″ pixel\(^{-1}\) plate scale of TESS often makes it difficult to distinguish the true source of transit signals in crowded fields. Fainter eclipsing binaries whose depths are diluted by the flux from the target star can often be misinterpreted as planet candidates. We obtained ground-based follow-up photometric confirmation of all planet candidates around TOI-251 (Figure 9) and TOI-942 (Figure 10). The on-target detection of the transits shows that the transit signal originates from the target stars to within the spatial resolution of \(\sim 1''\) of our ground-based follow-up facilities.

Table 3

| Target   | Facility | Date(s)                           | Number of Images\(^a\) | Cadence (s)\(^b\) | Filter      |
|----------|----------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|-------------|
| TOI-251  | WASP     | 2006-05-15 to 2014-12-06          | 132,002                | 37                | WASP        |
|          |          | (over 8 observing campaigns)      |                        |                   |             |
| TOI-251  | TESS     | 2018-08-23 to 2018-09-20          | 18,316                 | 120               | TESS        |
| TOI-251  | LCO-SSO  | 2019-07-23                        | 148                    | 127               | \(Y\)       |
| TOI-251  | MKO      | 2019-07-28                        | 168                    | 89                | \(i'\)      |
| TOI-251  | MEarth   | 2019-08-22                        | 1749                   | 12                | MEarth band |
| TOI-942  | WASP     | 2006-09-15 to 2014-12-09          | 87,361                 | 30                | WASP        |
|          |          | (over 10 observing campaigns)     |                        |                   |             |
| TOI-942  | TESS     | 2018-11-15 to 2018-12-11          | 1188                   | 1799              | TESS        |
| TOI-942  | MEarth   | 2019-11-05                        | 1680                   | 10                | MEarth band |
| TOI-942  | MEarth   | 2019-11-13                        | 1791                   | 10                | MEarth band |
| TOI-942  | MEarth   | 2019-11-15                        | 1814                   | 11                | MEarth band |
| TOI-942  | MEarth   | 2019-11-17                        | 2346                   | 6                 | MEarth band |
| TOI-942  | MEarth   | 2019-11-25                        | 1974                   | 10                | MEarth band |
| TOI-942  | MEarth   | 2019-11-30                        | 2049                   | 11                | MEarth band |
| TOI-942  | MEarth   | 2019-12-05                        | 2488                   | 10                | MEarth band |
| TOI-942  | MEarth   | 2019-12-26                        | 2223                   | 5                 | MEarth band |

Notes.
\(^a\) Outlying exposures have been discarded.
\(^b\) Median time difference between points in the light curve. Uniform sampling was not possible due to visibility, weather, and pauses.

<2.5 \(M_\text{Jup}\) for TOI-942c. Future examination making use of stellar activity markers can further refine the masses of these planets. The 22″ pixel\(^{-1}\) plate scale of TESS often makes it difficult to distinguish the true source of transit signals in crowded fields. Fainter eclipsing binaries whose depths are diluted by the flux from the target star can often be misinterpreted as planet candidates. We obtained ground-based follow-up photometric confirmation of all planet candidates around TOI-251 (Figure 9) and TOI-942 (Figure 10). The on-target detection of the transits shows that the transit signal originates from the target stars to within the spatial resolution of \(\sim 1''\) of our ground-based follow-up facilities.

From the high spatial resolution speckle images presented in Section 2.4, we can also eliminate the presence of any stellar companions with \(\Delta M < 4\) within \(\sim 0''/2\) of our target stars. No Gaia stars are cataloged within 2″ of these target stars. Similarly, no slowly rotating spectroscopic blended companions were detected from our CHIRON observations (Section 2.2.1) at \(\Delta M < 4\).

We can estimate the probability that a faint eclipsing binary lying unresolved by our high-resolution speckle images is causing the transit signals. The transit shape and the ratio between the ingress and totality timescales can inform us about the brightness of any diluted background eclipsing binary that may be causing the transit signal (Seager & Mallén-Ornelas 2003). We follow...
Vanderburg et al. (2019) and estimate the brightest possible background eclipsing binary that may be inducing our transit signal. The maximum difference in magnitude is given by \( \Delta m_{\text{TESS}} \leq 2.5 \log_{10} \left( \frac{T_{12}}{T_{13}} \delta \right) \), where \( T_{12} \) is the duration of ingress, \( T_{13} \) is the duration between first and third contact, and \( \delta \) is the depth of the transit.

We find that for TOI-251b, the transit can only be caused by a background eclipsing binary with \( \Delta m_{\text{TESS}} \leq 3.40 \) and 3.0σ significance. From our diffraction-limited observations of TOI-251, we excluded stellar blends within 0′2 to a brightness contrast of \( \approx 3 \) mag. For a randomly chosen star in a direction near TOI-251, the density of 2.0 \( \leq \Delta m_{\text{TESS}} \leq 3.4 \) stars within the ground-based exclusion radius of 0′2 is \( < 3 \times 10^{-5} \).

Though TOI-251 is not randomly chosen, it is far from the galactic plane, where background eclipsing binaries are expected to be roughly 2 orders of magnitude less common than true planets (Sullivan et al. 2015, Figure 30). While not formally impossible, the combined transit shape, lack of companions detectable by diffraction-limited imaging, lack of secondary spectroscopic lines, and line of sight of TOI-251 lead background eclipsing binary scenarios to be highly disfavored.

For TOI-942, a similar calculation yields that a background eclipsing binary no fainter than 2.68 mag is required to cause the transits of either one of its two planets. If it were a randomly chosen star, then the chance of there being another background star within 0′2 is \( < 6 \times 10^{-5} \) at \( \Delta m_{\text{TESS}} < 2.68 \). The chance that two such background eclipsing binaries exist, inducing our multiplanet transiting signal, is \( < 4 \times 10^{-9} \). The low probability of such an occurrence, the multiplanet nature of the system, and the lack of any companions from diffraction-limited imaging and spectroscopy lead to eclipsing binary scenarios being disfavored.

### 4. Estimating the Age of Young Field Stars

It is notoriously difficult to estimate the ages of field stars. However, young Sun-like stars exhibit rotational and activity-induced photometric and spectroscopic behavior that makes it possible to approximate their ages. These activity and rotation period properties can typically be calibrated via well-characterized clusters (Mamajek & Hillenbrand 2008) to provide age relationships that can be used for dating purposes.

Sun-like stars spin down over their main-sequence lifetimes through mass loss via stellar-wind processes. While the Sun has a present-day rotation period of 24 days, similar stars in the 120 Myr old Pleiades cluster have rotation periods of \( \approx 4 \) days. The rapid rotation and consequently stronger dynamo of young stars excite strong spot and chromospheric activity. Observable chromospheric activity indicators, such as emission in the core of the Ca II lines, as well as X-ray emission, can be used as proxies for the rotation and age of young Sun-like stars. The element lithium is destroyed in the cores of Sun-like stars through proton collisions. Through convective mixing processes, this leads to a rapid depletion of lithium in the stellar atmosphere within the first \( \sim 500 \) Myr of their lives. The strength of the lithium 6708 Å absorption feature has traditionally been used as a youth indicator for Sun-like stars.

We made use of these photometric and spectroscopic properties to estimate the ages of TOI-251 and TOI-942. Though these estimates are imprecise given the field nature of our target stars, they generally agree sufficiently for us to place meaningful age constraints on these target stars.
4.1. Lithium Depletion

The strength of atmospheric lithium absorption in the spectra of convective envelope stars is also a commonly adopted age indicator. We make use of the Li 6708 Å line as another age estimator for our targets. The Li line equivalent widths are estimated by fitting three Gaussian profiles, accounting for the Li doublet at 6707.76 and 6707.91 Å and the nearby Fe I line at 6707.43 Å that is often blended with the Li features. Each line is assumed to be of equal width, and the two Li lines are also assumed to be of equal height. Using the TRES observations we obtained for both target stars, we measured the equivalent widths of the Li 6708 Å line to be 0.134 ± 0.017 Å for TOI-251 and 0.257 ± 0.054 Å for TOI-942.

To compare the lithium absorption feature of our target stars against stars in well-characterized clusters and associations, we obtained a series of spectra of members of the IC 2602, IC 2391, Pleiades, and Praesepe clusters and associations. Measurements for Pleiades and Praesepe were obtained as part of this work using the methodology described above. Measurements for IC 2602 and IC 2391 are adopted from Randich et al. (1997, 2001).

Figure 13. Both TOI-251 and TOI-942 exhibit strong lithium absorption at 6708 Å. We fit this absorption feature with a Gaussian doublet at 6707.76 and 6707.91 Å and a single Fe I line at 6707.43 Å. The absorption features and best-fit models of TOI-251 are shown in the top left panel, and TOI-942 is shown in the top right panel. The bottom panel compares the equivalent widths of the lithium feature against stars in the IC 2602, IC 2391, Pleiades, and Praesepe clusters and associations. Measurements for Pleiades and Praesepe were obtained as part of this work using the methodology described above. Measurements for IC 2602 and IC 2391 are adopted from Randich et al. (1997) and Randich et al. (2001).
4.2. Activity–Age Relationships

Both stars exhibit significant chromospheric emission in the near-ultraviolet (NUV) Ca II H and K lines and the near-infrared Ca II triplet. Both stars are also detected in the X-ray with the ROSAT all-sky survey, while TOI-942 is detected in the GALEX NUV band. Chromospheric emission is a proxy for stellar rotation, being generated due to the stronger magnetic dynamo of the rapidly rotating young stars (e.g., Noyes et al. 1984). We make use of the Mount Wilson $S_{HK}$ index to compare the chromospheric emission of our target stars from the TRES spectra against literature activity–age relationships, as explained in the next section.

4.2.1. Ca II HK Emission

Both Ca II H (3969 Å) and K (3934) lines are captured within TRES echelle orders. We measure Ca II HK emission core emissions in a band 1 Å wide centered about each line. The baseline flux is estimated from 5 Å wide bands over the continuum regions on either side of the line center. Figure 14 shows our calcium line strength measurements for Sun-like stars (5000 K < $T_{\text{eff}}$ < 6000 K) against that of catalog $S_{\text{HK}}$ values of the same stars from the Mount Wilson Observatory HK Project (Vaughan et al. 1978; Wilson 1978; Duncan et al. 1991; Baliunas et al. 1995). With the exception of a few active outlying stars, we find that our line emission flux estimates can be translated to the $S_{\text{HK}}$ index with a simple linear transformation with an uncertainty of $\Delta S_{\text{HK}} = 0.078$. The Ca II HK line strength, as a function of the bolometric flux ($R_{\text{bol}}^3$), is then calibrated using the relationship from Noyes et al. (1984) via the $P_{\text{Astronomy}}$ (Czesla et al. 2019) $SMW\_RHK$ function. The $S_{\text{HK}}$ and $R_{\text{bol}}^3$ values for the target stars are listed in Table 4. The uncertainties in these values are computed as the quadrature addition of uncertainty in the TRES emission flux to $S_{\text{HK}}$ calibration and the scatter of the measurements between each observation.

The Ca II HK luminosity can be correlated with stellar age. We make use of the calibration from Mamajek & Hillenbrand (2008; Equation (3)) to calculate the Ca II HK ages of our target stars. Consistent with the gyrochronology estimate, TOI-251 has an age of $27^{\pm 13}$ Myr, with a 3 $\sigma$ regime ranging from 3 to 170 Myr. It is estimated that TOI-942 has an age of $10^{\pm 2}$ Myr, with a 3 $\sigma$ upper limit of 100 Myr. The activity age estimate is significantly younger than that from gyrochronology. We note that with $\log R_{\text{bol}}^3 = -4.019 \pm 0.064$, the Ca II HK emission from TOI-942 is near the limits of the calibrated range of the Mamajek & Hillenbrand (2008) relations of $-5.0 < \log R_{\text{bol}}^3 < -4.0$, corresponding to a lower age boundary of 8 Myr. Stars as young as TOI-942 have saturated chromospheric emission features, making it difficult for us to derive precise ages from these spectroscopic indicators.

4.2.2. Infrared Ca II Triplet Emission

The infrared Ca II triplet at 8498, 8542, and 8662 Å also exhibits line core emission in active stars. Both TOI-251 and TOI-942 exhibit strong core emission in the infrared. The 8498 and 8542 Å lines are well placed within the TRES spectral orders. To measure the core emission equivalent widths of these lines, we first fit and remove a synthetic spectral template and fit the residuals about the calcium lines with a Gaussian profile. The synthetic template is an ATLAS9 (Castelli & Kurucz 2004) atmosphere model, convolved with the instrumental and rotational broadening kernel of the target star. The synthetic template is then subtracted from the continuum-normalized observed spectrum. The resulting residuals about each calcium line are fitted with a Gaussian with width corresponding to the line broadening of the spectrum and with centroid fixed to the expected central wavelength of each line.

Figure 14 shows the equivalent widths as measured from TRES against the same stars that were characterized by Žerjal et al. (2017). For Sun-like stars, a linear transformation between our TRES observations and the literature values is sufficient, with a scatter in the resulting relationship of $\Delta \text{EW}_{\text{IRT}} = 0.053$ Å.

We measure Ca II infrared triplet equivalent widths of $\text{EW}_{\text{IRT}} = 0.61 \pm 0.10$ Å for TOI-251 and $1.73 \pm 0.17$ Å for TOI-942. Žerjal et al. (2017) offered a qualitative age–calcium
triplet relationship from their calibration of cluster stars. The infrared triplet emission of TOI-251 makes it compatible with similar stars in the 100–1000 Myr range, while TOI-942 falls in the <100 Myr age range.

4.2.3. X-Ray Emission

Young, rapidly rotating stars are also known to exhibit X-ray emission. The star TOI-251 is an X-ray source in the Second ROSAT Source Catalog of Pointed Observations (Rosat 2000), while TOI-942 is an X-ray source in the ROSAT All-Sky Faint Source Catalog (Voges et al. 2000). Their X-ray count rates, hardness ratios, and luminosities are provide in Table 4. We adopt the calibration provided in Fleming et al. (1995) for the conversion from the X-ray count rate (CTS) to an X-ray luminosity ($L_x$), finding an X-ray luminosity of $log(L_x/L_{bol}) = -4.45 \pm 0.36$ for TOI-251 and $-3.12 \pm 0.16$ for TOI-942. Using the age–X-ray luminosity relationship from Mamajek &
Hillenbrand (2008; Equation (A3)), we derive an estimated age of $280^{+550}_{-180}$ Myr for TOI-251, but the 3σ age upper limit is not constraining due to the scatter in the distribution. For TOI-942, we find an X-ray age of $7^{+1}_{-4}$ Myr, with a 3σ upper limit of 250 Myr. Like our Ca II HK and infrared triplet estimates, the X-ray luminosity is saturated for this calibration above log($L_X/L_{bol}$) = 4.0 (corresponding to an 8 Myr lower limit). The star TOI-942 is more active than the calibrated range of the Mamajek & Hillenbrand (2008) relationships, so our age estimate is at best qualitative.

4.2.4. NUV Detection

Ultraviolet emission from active chromospheres can also be an indicator of youth for Sun-like stars. The star TOI-942 has a measurable flux in the NUV band of the GALEX all-sky catalog (Bianchi et al. 2017). Findeisen et al. (2011) calibrated an NUV – $J$/$J$ – $K$ color–color relationship using Hyades, Blanco 1, and moving group members, with a resulting scatter in the determined log ages of 0.39 dex. We adopt this relationship and find an NUV age for TOI-942 of 60$^{+130}_{-40}$ Myr. We note that there is significant scatter in the relationship between NUV flux and age, and as such, the 3σ range of the NUV age estimate is only constraining to <1 Gyr.

4.3. Rotation Period and Gyrochronology

Both TOI-251 and TOI-942 exhibit significant spot-induced rotational modulation in their TESS light curves. These light curves, folded over the rotational period, are shown in Figure 15. The periodograms from a Lomb–Scargle analysis of the single sector of TESS observations, as well as each observing campaign of the WASP observations, are shown.

From the TESS light curves, we measure a rotation period for TOI-251 of 3.84 ± 0.48 days, while TOI-942 has a rotation period of 3.40 ± 0.37 days. Similarly, the WASP observations yielded rotation periods of 3.799 ± 0.047 days for TOI-251 and 3.41 ± 0.49 for TOI-942. The longevity of this activity signal from TESS and WASP gives us confidence that the periodicity we quote is the rotation period of the host stars.

We adopt a few gyrochronology relationships to estimate the ages of TOI-251 and TOI-942. These relationships were calibrated by interpolating the slow-rotating sequence in well-characterized clusters. We note that by adopting these relationships, we are making the assumption that TOI-251 and TOI-942 follow the age spin-down trends seen in slow rotators among Sun-like stars. Many of these young clusters also show a spread in rotation at a given mass. By Pleiades age, the rapid rotators are usually all binaries (e.g., Douglas et al. 2016; Stauffer et al. 2018), but at the youngest ages, we cannot confirm that our stars lie on the main sequence. If TOI-251 and TOI-942 are rapid rotators for their age, then their ages will be difficult to estimate using gyrochronology. Given that both stars exhibit significant chromospheric activity and lithium absorption, we think it is reasonable to assume that these stars are relatively young. By assuming that they are also slow rotators for their age, we can apply gyrochronology relations to derive an additional age constraint.

Using the relationship in Barnes (2007), we find an age $T_{gyro}$ of 110$^{+30}_{-60}$ Myr for TOI-251, with a 3σ age range of 40–220 Myr. At 50 ± 13 Myr, TOI-942 is considerably younger, with a 3σ age range of 20–90 Myr. The uncertainties are the quadrature addition of the intrinsic uncertainties in the gyrochronology relationship as prescribed in Barnes (2007) and the uncertainties resulting from the TESS rotation period measurements. Similarly, applying the age relationship from Mamajek & Hillenbrand (2008), we get an age range of 70–318 Myr for TOI-251 and 41–155 Myr for TOI-942.

Qualitatively, we can compare the rotation periods of our target stars against those in known clusters and associations. Figure 16 shows the rotation periods of the target stars against known members of the 120 Myr old Pleiades measured by Rebull et al. (2016) and 800 Myr old Praesepe measured by Rebull et al. (2017). For comparison, we also plot the rotation of members of the h Persei cluster at an age of 13 Myr from Moraux et al. (2013) to illustrate that both TOI-251 and TOI-942 are older than some of the youngest clusters and
assoc.
The radius of the orbit with a radius of $\omega_0 = \log 1/P_{\text{rot}}$, the damping factor $\log Q_0$, and the power of the oscillator $\log S_0$. We impose a Gaussian prior on $\omega_0$ based on the rotation period of each star, with the specific limits shown in Table 5. Linear uniform priors were imposed for $\log Q_0$ and $\log S_0$. The follow-up light curves are also included in the global analysis. These light curves are not modeled as part of the Gaussian process. To account for any environmental systematics in the light curves, we instead include a linear trend for each transit, a linear coefficient detrending against airmass, and an additional offset term for meridian flips.

The transits are modeled via the batman package (Kreidberg 2015), with free parameters defining the period $P$, transit center ephemeris $T_c$, planet–star radius ratio $R_p/R_*$, and line-of-sight inclination $i$ for each transiting planet. Limb-darkening parameters are interpolated from Claret & Bloemen (2011) and Claret (2017) and fixed through the modeling process. The radial velocities are further modeled by circular orbits, with masses of each planet $m_p$ and a jitter term $\sigma_v$ to account for the radial velocity jitter. Given the large stellar activity–induced jitter for these young stars, we only provide upper limits on the masses of the planets reported here.

In addition, we model the stellar parameters simultaneously with the transits and radial velocities. The stellar properties are modeled via MESA Isochrones & Stellar Tracks (MIST; Dotter 2016) interpolated using stellar evolution tracks with ages below 1 Gyr and initial masses $0.6 M_\odot < M_* < 1.6 M_\odot$. Free parameters include the stellar mass $M_*$, radius $R_*$, and stellar metallicity $[M/H]$. These are constrained by the spectroscopic effective temperature $T_{\text{eff}}$, surface gravity $\log g_*$, and metallicity as measured by SPC from the TRES spectra. We also incorporate photometric magnitudes from visual Hipparcos (Perryman et al. 1997), Gaia (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2018b), and near-infrared Two Micron All Sky Survey (2MASS) bands (Skrutskie et al. 2006) in the modeling of the SED. We incorporate debiased Gaia DR2 distance estimates from Baile-Jones et al. (2018) into the SED analysis. Though we have estimates of the stellar age, we apply no age prior to the stellar evolution modeling beyond limiting the ages to below 1 Gyr. The resulting age posteriors are largely uninformative, allowing for all ages within the given range.

The final parameters for the host stars are presented in Table 4, and the planet properties are presented in Table 5. The SEDs are shown in Figure 19, with the best-fit atmospheric model overlaid.

6. Discussion

We report the discovery and validation of planets around TOI-251 and TOI-942. The two systems of small planets are orbiting relatively young field stars, with TOI-251 estimated to be 40–320 Myr old and TOI-942 at 20–160 Myr old. The mini-Neptune TOI-251 b has an orbital period of 4.94 days and a radius of $2.74_{-0.18}^{+0.19} R_\oplus$. Two inflated Neptunes orbit TOI-942, with TOI-942b in a 4.32 day orbit with a radius of $4.81_{-0.20}^{+0.20} R_\oplus$ and TOI-942c in a 10.16 day orbit with a radius of $5.79_{-0.18}^{+0.19} R_\oplus$.

6.1. The Radius–Period Diagram for Young Planets and the Detectability of Smaller Planets

Discoveries of super-Earths and Neptunes around young stars give us a unique opportunity to explore the evolution of
Table 5
Orbital and Planetary Parameters

| Parameter | TOI-251b | TOI-942b | TOI-942c |
|-----------|----------|----------|----------|
| $P$ (days) | 4.937770$^{+0.000028}_{-0.000029}$ | 4.324190$^{+0.000030}_{-0.000030}$ | 10.156430$^{+0.000069}_{-0.000079}$ |
| $T_c$ (BJD–TDB)$^a$ | 2,458,357.305$^{+0.0013}_{-0.0015}$ | 2,458,441.576$^{+0.0021}_{-0.0021}$ | 2,458,447.056$^{+0.0023}_{-0.0023}$ |
| $t_{14}$ (days)$^b$ | 0.0930$^{+0.0020}_{-0.0026}$ | 0.1416$^{+0.0028}_{-0.0018}$ | 0.07994$^{+0.00082}_{-0.00088}$ |
| $\omega/R_c$ | 14.02$^{+0.61}_{-0.82}$ | 10.12$^{+0.18}_{-0.13}$ | 17.88$^{+0.32}_{-0.22}$ |
| $R_p/R_c$ | 0.02858$^{+0.0011}_{-0.0010}$ | 0.04322$^{+0.0015}_{-0.0016}$ | 0.05202$^{+0.0013}_{-0.0013}$ |
| $b = a \cos i/R_c$ | 0.607$^{+0.070}_{-0.090}$ | 0.035$^{+0.005}_{-0.04}$ | 0.149$^{+0.008}_{-0.003}$ |
| $i$ (deg) | 87.52$^{+0.31}_{-0.31}$ | 89.97$^{+0.51}_{-0.51}$ | 89.54$^{+0.42}_{-0.42}$ |

Limb- and Gravity-darkening Coefficients$^b$

| $a_1^*$ | 0.3817 | ... | ... |
| $b_1^*$ | 0.3393 | ... | ... |
| $a_2$ | 0.1428 | ... | ... |
| $b_2$ | 0.3642 | ... | ... |
| $a_{\text{MidEarth}}$ | 0.1925 | 0.3201 | ... |
| $b_{\text{MidEarth}}$ | 0.3552 | 0.2797 | ... |
| $a_{\text{TESS}}$ | 0.2831 | 0.4006 | ... |
| $b_{\text{TESS}}$ | 0.2873 | 0.2243 | ... |

RV Parameters

| $K$ (m s$^{-1}$) | <120 | <370 | <284 |
| $e$ | 0 (fixed) | 0 (fixed) | 0 (fixed) |
| RV jitter (m s$^{-1}$) | 86$^{+56}_{-29}$ | 86$^{+56}_{-29}$ | ... |

Gaussian Process Hyperparameters

| log $\omega$ SHOT frequency | $-1.26^{+0.14}_{-0.14}$ | $-1.19^{+0.11}_{-0.11}$ | ... |

(Gaussian prior $\mu = -1.35$, $\sigma = 0.13$) (Gaussian prior $\mu = -1.22$, $\sigma = 0.11$)

| log $Q_0$ SHOT quality factor | $-0.55^{+0.57}_{-0.46}$ | $-1.10^{+0.43}_{-0.31}$ | ... |
| log $S_0$ SHOT S | $-4.25^{+0.56}_{-0.56}$ | $-2.37^{+0.48}_{-0.50}$ | ... |

Planetary Parameters

| $R_p$ ($R_\oplus$) | 2.74$^{+0.18}_{-0.18}$ | 4.81$^{+0.20}_{-0.18}$ | 5.79$^{+0.19}_{-0.19}$ |
| $M_p$ ($M_\oplus$) | <1.0 | <2.6 | <2.5 |
| $a$ (au) | 0.0574$^{+0.0023}_{-0.0017}$ | 0.04796$^{+0.00073}_{-0.00065}$ | 0.0847$^{+0.0012}_{-0.0011}$ |

Notes.

$^a$ $T_c$: Reference epoch of mid-transit that minimizes the correlation with the orbital period. $T_{14}$: total transit duration, time between first and last contact.

$^b$ Values for a quadratic law given separately for each of the filters with which photometric observations were obtained. These values were adopted from the tabulations by Claret & Bloemen (2011) according to the spectroscopic initial estimate of the stellar parameters.

small planets in their early stages of evolution. Figure 20 shows the period–radius distribution of close-in small planets, comparing the planets characterized by the Kepler survey and those subsequently discovered around stars younger than 500 Myr. Photoevaporation is key in sculpting this distribution, creating a sub-Saturn desert devoid of close-in Neptunes and super-Earths (e.g., Lopez et al. 2012; Owen & Wu 2013; Owen & Lai 2018) and carving the radius valley between rocky and gaseous planets further out (e.g., Fulton et al. 2017; Owen & Wu 2017).

The planet TOI-251b straddles the evaporation gap between solid cores and planets still retaining their gaseous envelopes. It is among the smallest planets known around stars younger than 500 Myr; only the planets around the Ursa Major moving group member TOI-1726 (Mann et al. 2020) are smaller. The planets around TOI-942 lie in the sub-Saturn radius regime, similar in radii and periods to other young planetary systems at the ~20–50 Myr age regime, such as DS Tuc Ab (Newton et al. 2019) and V1298 Tau c and d (David et al. 2019).

The planets around TOI-251 and TOI-942, as well as most other planets found around young stars, have larger radii than most in the Kepler sample. However, it may be difficult to compare this sample of planets around young, active stars against those identified by the Kepler sample around quiet stars with higher-precision light curves.

To see if smaller planets could be recovered from similar light curves, we performed a signal injection and recovery exercise on the TESS observations of TOI-251 and TOI-942. Figure 20 shows the detection thresholds derived from 1000 injected planets across the radius–period space. Each injection is drawn from a uniform distribution in period between 1 and 15 days, radius from 0.5 to 15 $R_\oplus$, and impact parameter from zero to 1. A circular orbit is assumed for every injected planet. The light curves are then detrended via a cosine-filtering (Mazeh & Faigler 2010) algorithm, and the transits are recovered via a box least-squares algorithm (BLS; Kovács et al. 2002) search. The injection and recovery exercise shows that the planets we discovered are nearly the smallest detectable planets. The detection thresholds worsen significantly for planets in orbits longer than 10 days. Importantly, the predominant population of close-in small planets with $R_p < 2 R_\oplus$, and those with $R_p < 3 R_\oplus$ and orbital periods >10 days would not be detectable around either star. Similar injection and recovery exercises of previous analyses (e.g., Newton et al. 2019b; Rizzuto et al. 2020) show similar results, that our detectability for planets smaller than ~2–3 $R_\oplus$ is only complete at periods shorter than 10 days.
6.2. Orbital Eccentricity and Prospects for Obliquity Measurements

One main tracer for the origins of close-in planets is the properties of the orbits they currently inhabit. High eccentricity or highly oblique orbits can be indicative of strong dynamical interaction in the early history of these planets.

We modified our analysis (Section 5) to allow eccentricity, modeled as $e \cos \omega$ and $e \sin \omega$, to be free during the global modeling. To prevent the large jitter of radial velocities and systematic effects from ground-based transit observations from influencing our eccentricity estimates, we make use of only the TESS light curves and the SED in this analysis.

Figures 21 and 22 show the resulting posteriors in eccentricity $e$ and longitude of periastron $\omega$. For both systems, the eccentricity can only be loosely constrained with existing data sets. We find $3\sigma$ upper limits $e < 0.64$ for TOI-251b, $<0.87$ for TOI-942b, and $<0.80$ for TOI-942c.

Given the difficulty in measuring precise radial velocity orbits for these planets around young, active stars, a potentially easier observational constraint on their dynamical histories is their projected orbital obliquity angles. The obliquity angle is the relative angle between the orbital planes of the planets and the rotation axis of the host star. Planets that experienced significant dynamical interactions in their past can often be found in highly oblique orbits (e.g., Triaud 2018).

The line-of-sight inclination of the host stars can be used to approximate the orbital obliquity for the planets. Following Masuda & Winn (2020), we compute the stellar inclination angle $I_*$ via the isochrone-derived stellar radius $R_*$, the spectroscopic rotational broadening velocity $v \sin I_*$, and the photometric rotation period $P_{\text{rot}}$ of each system. Though tight constraints cannot be placed with our existing observations, TOI-251 and TOI-942 appear to be well aligned, with $3\sigma$ lower limits of $I_* > 45^\circ$ for both stars (see Table 4 for their precisely derived values).

A much more precise technique of measuring the orbital obliquities of these transiting systems is to observe their

---

**Figure 21.** Eccentricity posterior for TOI-251b, as constrained by the TESS transit duration and inferred stellar parameters. We find that the eccentricity of TOI-251b can only be loosely constrained to $e < 0.64$ at $3\sigma$ significance.

**Figure 20.** Period–radius distribution of close-in small planets. The contours mark the distribution of planets from the Kepler survey characterized in Fulton et al. (2017), with a gap between rocky and gaseous enveloped planets clearly seen. Planets around TOI-251 and TOI-942 are marked. The detection limits of planets around each of these stars are marked by horizontal lines. Planets around stars younger than 500 Myr old are shown by cyan points, including the planets around V1298 (David et al. 2019a, 2019b), K2-33b (David et al. 2016; Mann et al. 2016b), DS Tuc Ab (Newton et al. 2019), Kepler-63 b (Sanchis-Ojeda et al. 2013), HIP 67522b (Rizzuto et al. 2020), and TOI-1726b and c (Mann et al. 2020).

**Figure 19.** The SEDs of TOI-251 and TOI-942 over the Hipparcos (Perryman et al. 1997), Gaia (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2018b), and 2MASS (Skrutskie et al. 2006) bands are plotted in navy. The MIST isochrone-predicted fluxes in each band are marked by the open orange squares. The best-fit ATLAS9 (Castelli & Kurucz 2004) synthetic atmosphere model is overlaid in orange. The WISE W1, W2, and W3 fluxes of the target stars are also shown, though they are not used to constrain the SED model.
spectroscopic transits via the Rossiter–McLaughlin (McLaughlin 1924; Rossiter 1924) effect or via Doppler tomographic transit shadow detection (Donati et al. 1997; Collier Cameron et al. 2010). Based on our measured rotational broadening velocity, TOI-251b should exhibit an in-transit velocity anomaly of \( \sim 4 \text{ m s}^{-1} \), while TOI-942b and TOI-942c should exhibit significant velocity variations at levels of 20–30 m s\(^{-1}\). Planets around bright, young, rapidly rotating stars are good targets for mapping the orbital obliquity–age relationship and determining the origins of the abundance of small planets around Sun-like stars.

### 7. Conclusions

The two field stars TOI-251 and TOI-942 exhibit photometric and spectroscopic signatures of youth, hosting transiting Neptunes that were identified by TESS observations. The planet TOI-251b is a 2.74^{+0.12}_{-0.15} R_\oplus mini-Neptune in a 4.94 day period around a G-type star. The period and transit ephemeris of the system were refined by three ground-based follow-up observations that successfully detected the 1 mmag transit events on the target star. We were able to eliminate false-positive scenarios with extensive spectroscopic follow-up and speckle imaging of the host star, validating the planetary nature of the transits.

We estimated the age of TOI-251 to be 40–320 Myr based on its photometric rotation period, its spectroscopic Ca II core emission in the HK and infrared triplet lines, and the presence of X-ray emission from the ROSAT all-sky survey. The rotation period and 6708 Å lithium absorption strength are comparable to those of stars in the Pleiades cluster, agreeing with our age estimates for the system.

The star TOI-942 hosts two Neptune-sized planets around a K-type star. The planet TOI-942b is a 4.81^{+0.20}_{-0.20} R_\oplus planet in a 4.32 day period orbit, while TOI-942c has a radius of 5.79^{+0.19}_{-0.18} R_\oplus and an orbital period of 10.16 days. The transits of TOI-942b and c were both successfully recovered by an extensive ground-based follow-up campaign with the MEarth telescope array. The planetary nature of the system was further validated by diffraction-limited imaging and spectroscopic analyses.

The age of TOI-942 is significantly younger than that of TOI-251, estimated at 20–160 Myr. It exhibits strong X-ray and calcium emission that are stronger and beyond the range of calibrated literature age–activity relationships. The rotation period and lithium absorption strength of TOI-942 suggest that it has an age younger than the Pleiades cluster and more in agreement with younger stellar associations.

Both TOI-251 and TOI-942 are examples of young planet-hosting field stars that can contribute significantly to characterizing the relationship between planet properties and their ages. TESS is likely to yield numerous systems like TOI-251 and TOI-942 that are amenable to extensive follow-up observations that can characterize the orbital and atmospheric properties of planets at early stages of their evolution.

The authors became aware of a parallel effort on the characterization of TOI-942 by Carleo et al. in the late stages of the manuscript preparations. The submissions are coordinated, and no analyses or results were shared prior to submission.
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