Vertically Integrated System with Microfabricated 3D Sensors and CO₂ Microchannel Cooling
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The growing demand for miniaturized radiation-tolerant detection systems with fast responses and high-power budgets has increased the necessity for smart and efficient cooling solutions. Several groups have been successfully implementing silicon microfabrication to process superficial microchannels to circulate coolants, in particular, in high-energy physics experiments, where the combination of low material budget to reduce noise generated by multiple scattering events and high radiation fluences is required. In this study, we report tests performed on an 885-µm–thick vertically integrated system. The system consists of a layer of microfabricated silicon channels for temperature management integrated to radiation-tolerant microfabricated 3D sensors, with electrodes penetrating perpendicularly to the silicon bulk, bump-bonded to an ATLAS FE-I4 pixel readout chip of 100 µm thickness, 2 × 2 cm², and 26,880 pixels (each measuring 250 × 50 μm²). The system’s electrical and temperature characterization under CO₂ cooling as well as the response to minimum ionizing particles from radioactive sources and particle beams before and after 2.8 × 10¹⁵ nₑₒₓ. cm⁻² proton irradiation will be discussed.
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INTRODUCTION

Microelectromechanical systems (MEMSs) made their appearance in the late 1980s and have been steadily growing their applications in several fields, ranging from car industry, mobile phones, fundamental science, space applications, and more [1–4]. The key asset of MEMS fabrication is the possibility of processing miniaturized tailor etching features inside high purity silicon and therefore uses contemporarily its semiconducting, electrical, and mechanical properties In fundamental science research, microfabrication was used in the 1990s to process 3D silicon sensors [5]. In 3D sensors, electrodes are deeply etched fully or partially through the silicon bulk to produce electrodes of p–n diodes. When a particle penetrates the silicon active volume to be detected, it loses energy. While the “ionizing” energy that goes into the creation of electron–hole pairs leads to fully reversible processes that leave no damage, “non-ionizing” energy transfers to the atoms of silicon crystal lead to irreversible changes. The latter depends on the type and energy of the particles. The most fundamental type of non-ionizing damage is the creation of a "Frenkel defect," where an atom is knocked off its site into an interstitial
position, leaving a vacancy behind. The energy transfer threshold for this process is 25 eV. For higher energy transfer, a primary knock-on atom can generate clusters of crystalline damage along its path. The vacancies and interstitials can migrate even at low temperatures. They would form stable defects when they combine with impurities, such as carbon and oxygen, and dopants, such as phosphorus and boron. Such defects act as carrier traps and, if they are near the middle of the bandgap, as efficient generation centers. The consequences of the presence of silicon radiation damage are leakage current increase and loss of signal due to the reduced carrier lifetime and can be mitigated by working at saturated drift velocity. The benefits of a complex design such as 3D became evident immediately: The generated signal is faster, and the sensor can tolerate a far greater amount of non-ionizing energy transfer compared to a traditional planar geometry because of the stronger and electric field throughout the bulk [6].

Microfabricated channels have also shown to be interesting for circulation of cooling fluids. Attempts in this respect have been successful in power managing microprocessors and latter in high energy physics experiments at the CERN Large Hadron Collider’s experiments aiming at pushing research discoveries by precision measurements of the particles’ trajectories [7–10]. The ATLAS experiment used 3D silicon sensors for the first time in the Insertable B-Layer (IBL), which was installed in 2012 and successfully collected data, together with innovative planar sensors since 2014 [11]. The inner tracker of ATLAS will undergo a full replacement in 2026 to prepare the experiment for the accelerator’s luminosity increase, which will allow accessing processes too rare to be seen with the current statistics. The further increase of luminosity and electronics performance, associated with the increased radiation exposure, will increase the power dissipation budget of the full system even with a low-power 65-nm technology chip such as the future RD53 [12]. This is the main motivation for exploring approaches, which demonstrated their validity in experiments, such as NA62, ALICE, and LHCb also to the larger, and more challenging, environments of experiments such as ATLAS.

**The 3D Vertically Integrated Module**

A sketch of the 3D vertically integrated module (not to scale) can be seen in Figure 1. The 885-µm thick vertically integrated stack is composed, from the top, by a 285-µm thick double-sided 3D silicon module, fabricated by CNM [13] using the IBL design with 75-µm inter electrode spacing and a full depletion bias voltage of 10 V, and bump-bonded to a 100-µm thick FE-I4A pixel readout electronics [14]. At the bottom, the sensor-electronics assembly is glued using a two-component Masterbond EP37-3FLFAO [15] to a custom-made 500-µm thick silicon-silicon direct-bonding (made at CSEM [16]) microchannel cooling device designed by the CERN PH-DT group and fabricated by the same group at the EPFL CMI [17] cleanroom.

A plan of the 2 × 4-cm² microchannel device, conceived for operation with evaporative CO₂ flows, is represented in Figure 2. The overall scheme is the same used for a device successfully adopted in a previous study [18]: Parallel channels are fed through restrictions from a single inlet manifold and have a “C-shape” to reach a common outlet manifold located on the same side of the inlet one. This arrangement simplifies the implementation of the hydraulic connections and allows for simple and relatively uniform fluid distribution underneath the chip area. In order to ensure a uniform distribution, CO₂ enters the restrictions slightly sub-cooled (i.e., in the liquid phase) and is brought to saturation conditions at the entrance of each channel by the pressure drop induced by the restrictions. As shown in [18], this arrangement favors an immediate onset of nucleate boiling at the beginning of each channel. The device is operated with very low vapor quality at the exit; therefore, the difference in total pressure drop between channels caused by the simple “C-shape” geometry has negligible effects on the thermal uniformity. In this design, each of the 35 microchannels has dimensions 50 × 180 µm² and is separated from the next one by 200 µm wide walls. With respect to the geometry previously studied, the number of parallel channels has been increased and a larger aspect ratio has been adopted for their cross section, favoring a larger heat transfer coefficient [19].

Both 3D sensors with n⁺ and p⁺ electrodes penetrating partially from the front and back side wafer’s surfaces and microchannels for thermal management were fabricated using deep reactive ion etching technology to generate deep cylindrical holes in the former and superficial grooves in the latter [20].

After integration, the vertical assembly was mounted on a dedicated Printed Circuit Board (PCB) fabricated in the Physics
Institute at Bonn University for the FE-I4 electronics readout followed by wire bonding at the CERN PH-DT bonding lab facility.

A 3D printed Acrylonitrile Butadiene Styrene (ABS) support was manufactured to host the PCB and a lateral support for the bended inlet and outlet stainless-steel tubes used to carry the CO2 to the microchannels, as can be seen in Figure 3.

On the left of the 4 cm² module, the Kovar connectors [21] laser soldered to the copper-coated stainless-steel tubes are visible. A characteristic feature of two-phase CO2 circuits is their relatively high pressure, typically reaching levels in the order of 60 bars when operated at room temperature. One of the main concerns for any hydraulic circuit subject to high pressure is the mechanical resistance of the connector. Pressure tests performed on the Kovar connectors demonstrated reliability in excess to 80 bars, thus providing a comfortable safety margin with respect to the highest expected pressure in operation.

**EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS**

**Thermal Tests**

To assess the successful performance of the microchannel cooling structure, tests were carried out using the Transportable Refrigeration Apparatus for CO2 Investigation (TRACI) system [22]. To protect the module from freezing, the board was housed in a vessel equipped with a vacuum pump that provides a vacuum level of \(10^{-3}\) bar. A flange with electrical feedthroughs was used to carry in the input bias voltages and out the electrical signals, as can be seen in Figure 4. The temperature in different points of the boards and the module was measured using PT100 thermal sensors. A map of the sensors’ position can be seen in Figure 5A on the board and (B) on the microchannel chip.

As can be seen from Figure 5B, the silicon microchannels, custom designed for the FE-I4 sensor chip, have a “C” shape and larger dimensions than the 4 cm² FE-I4 footprint to allow the CO2 evaporation to take place in the channels before reaching the chip itself. The FE-I4 chip was positioned at the center of the microchannels as indicated by the red footprint in the figure, while point 5 corresponds to the coolant “inlet” and point 6 indicates the “outlet.” Due to limitations of the setup, it was impossible to set the CO2 flow during the cooling process to a lower value than 0.5 g/s: This is a large overflow with respect to the power dissipated by the chip, producing a...
very low vapor quality at the outlet of the cooling device, below 1%. It is worth noticing that a large vapor quality would produce a higher heat transfer coefficient and therefore a more effective thermal management. The performance recorded for the cooling device in the present tests is therefore suboptimal and could be enhanced by a finer regulation of the mass flow rate. Further details on the CO₂ microchannel cooling evaporative functionality can be found in References [10, 23].

The 3D sensor’s current–voltage (IV) curves at different temperatures are visible in Figure 6A. During the IV measurements, the FE-I4 chip was switched off. A bias voltage interval between −50 and 0 V was chosen to check the sensor robustness. A negative voltage of −15 V is necessary for the junction full depletion bias, and no breakdown is observed well above over depletion. The accuracy of the PT100 measurements was evaluated at ±0.1°C. The temperature difference between the TRACI setpoint and the CO₂ temperature measured by the PT100 at point five was recorded to be in average 2°C. Each temperature recorded on the microchannels by the PT100 sensors was an average of 10 measurements.

The temperature reproducibility was checked by measuring repeatedly the IV at three different temperatures and by scanning the temperature ascending and descending at a fixed bias voltage of 30 V. The two plots are shown in Figures 7A,B. A variance of 0.1 uA in the current curves at the same temperature and fixed bias voltage is visible on both curves and is mainly due to ramp-up/ramp-down hysteresis. The intent of these tests was to demonstrate that the sensor’s leakage current could eventually be used to measure its temperature directly in case a sensor could not be glued on its surface.

A useful parameter to assess the performance of a specific thermal management configuration is the so-called Thermal

---

**FIGURE 4** | Sketch of the refrigeration system used to test the vertically integrated module. A vacuum pumped vessel is used to host the module and is connected to TRACI with CO₂ inlet and outlet tubes. A flange with electrical feedthroughs allows the applications of bias voltages and the extraction of electrical signals from the vessel.

**FIGURE 5** | (A) Position of the FP100 temperature sensors on the PCB board. (B) Numbered position of the PT100 thermal sensors on the microchannel chip. Sensors 1 and 2 were glued on the back of the module and were therefore about 400 µm away from the channel themselves.
Figure of Merit (TFoM). This is conventionally defined as the temperature difference between the refrigerant and the sensor under operational conditions divided by the applied power density [24]. The TFoM represents the capability of the coolant to remove the temperature generated by a certain dissipated power per unit area and can be interpreted as a measure of the global thermal resistance of a complete detector module configuration: the smaller its value, the lower the sensor’s temperature in operation for fixed power dissipation and refrigerant temperature will be. As a reference, the TFoM of the ATLAS Inner Detector (ID) laboratory study of the Insertable B-Layer (IBL) evaporative CO₂ configuration has been evaluated at 13 Kcm²/W at −20°C [25], which is the lowest value for cold silicon detectors in operation at LHC.

In the present work, as it was not possible to install a PT100 directly on the sensor’s surface, the reference temperature was estimated from the temperatures recorded by all the installed PT100 during the leakage current tests, performed at different temperatures with the electronic chip switched off and switched on. The assembly was modeled in the FEA software, and simple conductive thermal calculations were conducted, using the measured CO₂ temperature as the boundary condition in the channels and assuming an additional 10% power dissipation in the sensor on top of the one from the chip, as it had been already heavily irradiated prior to its coupling with the microchannel device. As the leakage current tests were executed under vacuum, purely adiabatic conditions were considered for the calculations. The model was calibrated with respect to the temperature measurements from the six PT100 installed on the assembly and provided a reasonably accurate estimate of the sensor temperature. The typical temperature difference DT between the CO₂ and the sensor was estimated to be about 1.5°C for CO₂ temperatures in the order of −20°C. With the power dissipated by the FE-I4 electronics of 1.5 W over its 4 cm² area, the TFoM becomes

$$\frac{\Delta T \cdot A_{\text{power}}}{1.5 \text{ W}} = \frac{1.5 \text{ K} \cdot 4 \text{ cm}^2}{1.5 \text{ W}} = 4 \text{ K cm}^2 \text{ W}$$

(1)

It should be noted that the additional dissipation in the sensor was not taken into account in the evaluation of the TFoM, which should therefore be considered as a slightly conservative estimate. The value of 4 Kcm²/W calculated compares well with the direct measurements of a TFoM slightly larger than 3 Kcm²/W gathered for the very similar multi-microchannel device studied in [18].
Minimum Ionizing Particle Tests
The response of the module to minimum ionizing particles was tested using a $^{90}$Sr beta source and, after irradiation, a beam test at the CERN SPS beamline facility. The occupancy and Time over Threshold (ToT) from the beta source can be seen in Figures 8A,B, respectively, showing the correct functionality of the sensor and the 100 microns thick FE-I4 electronic chip. For these tests, the sensor was biased at
20 V at room temperature. For this test, the source was collimated. The columns 38–39 in the occupancy plot in Figure 8A are not connected, while the peak at ToT = 14 in Figure 8B is a feature of the FE-I4 readout chip, which uses bin 14 as overflow for all the signal charges that are over the maximum range. To further test the cooling robustness of the vertically integrated system, the module was exposed to a 300 GeV pion beam at the CERN SPC H6 beamline after being irradiated to $2.8 \times 10^{15}$ neq cm$^{-2}$ at the CERN proton irradiation facility [26]. The TRACI was transported to the beamline, and a 3D printed ABS box filled with insulating foam was used to host the board during the test. The board was aligned with the ATLAS FE-I4 pixel telescope [27]. The module was cooled to −22°C and biased at 200 V, while nitrogen gas was constantly blown on the module to prevent icing. Pictures of the beamline with the TRACI and the board inserted in the 3D printed box are shown in Figure 9. The correct functionality of the module and the cooling system can be appreciated by the data reported in Figure 10A, which are showing a linear correlation between the X coordinate of the 3D vertical module and the X coordinate of the first ATLAS FE-I4 pixel telescope’s plane, and Figure 10B shows partially the beam shape. After irradiation, the noise would be dominant and such data would not be visible without proper cooling and correct functionality of the module.

After collecting the beam profile and the correlation curves between the 3D sensor’s module and the telescope, the beam was lost and the test beam ended. The module was transported back to the laboratory where it was impossible to revive it. It is believed that irreversible damage happened during the dismantling and transportation of the module.

CONCLUSION

An 883-µm-thick vertically integrated system consisting of a radiation-hard 3D silicon sensor, a 100-µm-thick FE-I4 pixel electronic chip, and a 500-µm-thick silicon microchannel cooling module for thermal management was successfully tested with radioactive sources and 300 GeV pion particle beam after $2.8 \times 10^{15}$ neq cm$^{-2}$ proton irradiation while cooled with evaporative CO$_2$. Thermal tests proved the superior cooling power of a silicon microchannel device operated with boiling CO$_2$. The thermal figure of merit, defined as the temperature difference between the refrigerant and the sensor under operational conditions divided by the applied power density, was evaluated to be 4 Kcm/W. This value should be compared with 13 Kcm/W obtained with the same chip in the ATLAS IBL configuration with CO$_2$ boiling in a standard metal pipe.

Technological issues must clearly be solved before such advanced cooling devices may find direct applications in 4π solid angle experiments, mainly linked to the cost and reliability of the multiple hydraulic connections involved. However, future runs at HL, HLC might require lower operation temperatures for the innermost pixel layers: microchannel devices, providing a factor of 3–4 reduction in the temperature difference between the sensor and refrigerant, might be considered in such cases as a viable
alternative to the adoption of exotic ultra-low–temperature cooling systems.
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