The importance of Evolutionary Medicine in developing countries

A case for Pakistan’s medical schools
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ABSTRACT

Evolutionary Medicine (EM) is a fundamental science exploring why our bodies are plagued with disease and hindered by limitations. EM views the body as an assortment of benefits, mistakes, and compromises molded over millennia. It highlights the role of evolution in numerous diseases encountered in community and family medicine clinics of developing countries. It enables us to ask informed questions and develop novel responses to global health problems. An understanding of the field is thus crucial for budding doctors, but its study is currently limited to a handful of medical schools in high-income countries. For the developing world, Pakistan’s medical schools may be excellent starting posts as the country is beset with communicable and non-communicable diseases that are shaped by evolution. Remarkably, Pakistani medical students are open to studying and incorporating EM into their training. Understanding the principles of EM could empower them to tackle growing health problems in the country. Additionally, some difficulties that western medical schools face in integrating EM into their curriculum may not be a hindrance in Pakistan. We propose solutions for the remaining challenges, including obstinate religious sentiments. Herein, we make the case that incorporating EM is particularly important in developing countries such as Pakistan and that it is achievable in its medical student body.
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INTRODUCTION

It has long been recognized that evolutionary biology has implications for medical practice. Medicine is currently an ‘unsystematic conglomeration of information and skills gathered from a wide variety of domains without any linking theoretical rationale’ [1]. However, it has been argued since the early 1990s that evolutionary biology could bring cohesion to the many subjects of Medicine [2, 3]. Evolutionary Medicine (EM), also known as Darwinian Medicine, sought to answer the question of why particular aspects of the human body are as they are. The prevailing basic sciences provide proximate explanations for pathology and physiology (e.g. the mechanism of fever after an infection), but EM provides an overarching explanation for both (e.g. why did we evolve to respond with fever?). These explanations then lend insight on how to approach disease (e.g. when and how should fever be treated?). EM thus integrates the various disciplines taught in medical school to promote a unified intellectual understanding. It could grow to be to Medicine what Evolution is to Biology.

Perceiving the symptoms of a patient as a conglomerate of evolutionary benefits, mistakes and compromises may assist physicians in understanding certain pathologies better. It alters how patients are seen: from ‘built’ machines to bodies forged over millennia incorporating different compromises that were viable enough to permit reproduction [4]. These archaic compromises constitute one of the reasons why we suffer disease today [5, 6]. However, inculcation of such percipience in future doctors and scientists requires the inclusion of EM into the medical school curriculum. Such an inclusion has been slow and laden with impediments. Nevertheless, considering the benefits in both knowledge and understanding that EM can provide, these impediments must be surmounted for the benefit of public health.

Myriad public health problems and prevalent diseases today have an evolutionary basis behind them [4]. This includes communicable diseases (CDs) such as viral epidemics, antibiotic resistance and superbugs, and non-communicable diseases (NCDs) like obesity, diabetes, cancer and mental health issues. Unfortunately, developing countries like Pakistan suffer from a dual burden of CDs and NCDs. In fact, NCDs make up half the burden of disease in this sixth most-populous country [7]. Of course, EM is not a clinical practice to treat these diseases. Instead, grounded knowledge in the field can influence practice through controlled trials. Thus the role of EM in the clinic is similar to those of the basic sciences: knowing how action potentials generate has little to do with seeing a patient; however it provides a level of understanding to organize information of disease and form the grounds for researching therapies [4].

Through the lens of EM, physicians, community health workers and scientists can gain insight into why the global population suffers from these diseases. This insight can then guide both scientific and political exploration of novel solutions. One common example is the ‘Mismatch Hypothesis’ based on the fact that biological evolution is very slow and pervasive while cultural evolution within societies is rapid and volatile (the unit of inheritance being the meme, as coined by Richard Dawkins [8]). This has resulted in a conflict between our stone-age genetics and computer-age lives encumbered with surplus food, sedentary occupations, and modern stressors. The implications of this are interesting; chronic, degenerative diseases can be blamed more on our ancestral genotype than on the subtle increase in human longevity. Keeping this perspective in mind could assist research and clinical practice [4].

Because of its immense importance, incorporation of EM into the medical school curriculum in developed countries has gained both credence and pace in the past couple of decades [9]. Major medical education bodies recommended EM to be incorporated in the premedical and medical curricula for the first time in 2009 and these have been extensively reviewed [9–11]. A small portion of medical and premedical schools in the developed world have also incorporated EM into their curricula [12–18] and centers for collaboration have been set up as well [19]. Furthermore, for institutions looking to incorporate EM, multiple groups have proposed syllabi and learning objectives [1, 9, 20]. At least three textbooks on the field have also been published [21–23]. As an example, University of Southern California’s medical school offered EM as a 4-week elective course to 18 final year medical students [12]. Students in the program reported that they would recommend the course and gave high ratings to their perceived gains in understanding, averaging a 4.7 out of 5. Similar study modules in the UK, Switzerland and Australia were equally well-received and the students strongly appreciated the relevance to medicine [16, 17].

However, even with the amplifying call to incorporate EM into the medical curriculum, there have been multiple impediments that need to be addressed. A 2003 questionnaire delivered to medical school deans in North America [13] elucidated that almost half agreed with the importance of EM to physicians. Yet, only 32% reported covering at least half of the core topics of EM; this statistic was also observed in the UK [16]. A 2013 follow-up of the North American study reported a 44% increase in the number of schools covering all topics of EM but only 6% studied EM ‘moderately’ or ‘in depth’ [18]. In all these studies, the most significant hurdles reported were:

(i) Limited resources (e.g. faculty and books)
(ii) Limited time
(iii) Little importance
(iv) Potential controversy (e.g. religious sentiments)

Nevertheless, given its role in understanding global diseases, it is imperative that EM is incorporated into medical education in the developing world. Unfortunately, as it stands, this has only been tested in the developed world. In this review, a case is presented for why incorporating evolution into medicine is particularly important in a developing country like Pakistan and why it may be easier than intuited. Prevalent diseases in Pakistan are initially discussed alongside relevant insights from EM research.
This provides a foundation for the need of EM in medical education in Pakistan. The greatest impediments to incorporating EM into a medical curriculum in the country are then addressed, and the review then identifies why these may not be insurmountable.

**DISEASES IN PAKISTAN AND THEIR EVOLUTIONARY BASIS**

Pakistan is a developing, low-middle-income country in South Asia with almost 40% of its population of 200 million below the poverty line [24, 25]. People in this stratum have very little or difficult access to quality medical care [26], there is no government-sponsored health insurance, and private insurance covers only those employed [27, 28]. Sparse health infrastructure, rugged mountainous terrains, conflict, terrorism, cultural hindrances and a lack of education and awareness hamper proper medical care especially in rural areas. Urban areas, in contrast, typically possess well-developed private tertiary care centers. However, fees there can be unaffordable and hospitals can be overwhelmed by the number of patients [29]. Communicable, maternal, perinatal and nutritional conditions account for almost 40% of deaths while NCDs surprisingly account for another 50% [7].

To address these issues, the Pakistani populace requires more emphasis on its primary healthcare system (composed of basic health units and rural health centers) and the fields of Family and Community Medicine. These cater to the middle and lower socioeconomic bracket in Pakistan and train physicians for comprehensive healthcare. It is these doctors (and their patients) to whom understanding the evolutionary basis of disease is most relevant.

**Communicable diseases**

CDs account for more than one-third of deaths in Pakistan [7] among adults, children and neonates. Pakistan is also one of only two countries in which the poliovirus has yet to be eradicated. Antibiotic resistance and associated epidemics, although a global problem, are likely to have a worse toll on developing nations such as Pakistan, where the cost of treatment can be unaffordable to the patient, a lack of awareness is present, and poor living conditions are common. The nation has also had to deal with outbreaks of dengue, measles and hepatitis frequently and this situation is worsened by changing environmental and climate conditions [30].

Pakistan is host to numerous antibiotic resistant bacteria. For example, extensively drug-resistant strains of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* have risen from 1.5% in 2006 to 4.5% in 2009 [31]. These strains are resistant to all fluoroquinolones, injectable second-line regimen drugs, and Isoniazid and Rifampin. Bacterial isolates sampled from patients in two major urban cities, Lahore and Islamabad, were multi-drug resistant (including extended-spectrum beta-lactamases) in over 80% of cases [32]. Furthermore, due to inappropriate antibiotic use in public health clinics, pathogens causing community diseases such as urinary tract infections and gastritis are evolving a resistance to fluoroquinolones [33, 34]. Salmonella typhi in Pakistan is also demonstrating resistance to first-line antibiotics [35].

To mitigate the evolution and spread of resistant bacteria, mechanisms need to be better understood by scientists and clinicians, with a stronger understanding of evolutionary biology and ecology. Most studies of resistance have been performed without this foundation [36] and only recently, through empirical evidence, has it been determined that conventional wisdom of ‘complete the full prescription’ may be incorrect [37] while such ideas have been advocated by evolutionary biologists previously [38, 39]. Instead, more studies need to be conducted to truly appreciate the varieties of treatment strategies required to minimize the risk of resistance evolution [40, 41]. While evolution is typically an imperceivably slow process, antibiotic resistance is demonstrable in the lab and within patients, making it appealing to study [42, 43]. Greater emphasis on these processes, and how they serve as a template for natural selection on a larger scale, may be beneficial to budding family physicians [44]. By instilling a deeper understanding of the mechanisms of resistance and the evolution of rapidly evolving pathogens such as viruses, future physicians may be better inspired and equipped to study and handle the health crises that Pakistan and other developing countries are eventually bound to face.

**Non-communicable diseases**

NCDs, such as cardio- and cerebrovascular disease, diabetes, kidney disease and cancer are globally rising. However, Pakistan is under a double burden with both infectious and NCDs plaguing the nation and NCDs accounting for half of all deaths [7]. A study in 2013 projects 3.87 million deaths annually in Pakistan by 2025 due to cancer, cardiovascular, and chronic respiratory diseases [45]. To handle this, primary prevention is required and interventions for Pakistan have been suggested [46]. Diet is one of the important risk factors for NCDs [47] and a study in Pakistan demonstrated that in a community almost half did not have adequate intake of fruits and vegetables, more than half were physically inactive and half were also overweight/obese [48]. In fact, obesity has become an epidemic in Pakistan affecting all age groups [49] and the need to tackle both over and under-nutrition to address the rising rate of NCDs is known [50]. Cancer, too, has been rising and although the national registry has never published its data, regional registries and studies demonstrate that lip, oral and larynx cancer is commonest in men (and second commonest in Asia) while breast cancer is commonest in women and also highest in the region [51–55].

A better understanding of the evolutionary mechanisms behind NCDs can benefit medical professionals. The prevailing EM understanding of the rise of NCDs is known as the mismatch hypothesis. This explains that while the human genome evolved thousands of years ago, society has dramatically changed after and the genome has not kept pace (or needed to keep pace).
Biological evolution is typically a very slow process while cultural evolution is rapid. The evidence for this exists even now: a study uncovered that modern-day humans in hunter–gatherer communities tend to have a lower incidence of chronic diseases [59]. More strikingly, it also demonstrated that transitioning to a western lifestyle can lead to developing such conditions! Our shift in diet towards carbohydrate-rich foods is an example of the changing culture and it has been shown that South Asians respond to this more negatively than Caucasians [60].

Cancer is supremely relevant in EM as it is a microcosm of natural selection with mutations being selected for increased fecundity of neoplastic cells [61]. Most therapies attempt to cure cancer by lethal drugs that kill sensitive cells but leave a vacuum for resistant cells to proliferate i.e. the cancer evolves. EM models and animal experiments, however, demonstrate that therapies may result in increased patient survival by letting the sensitive population survive and keeping the tumor stable [62]. This knowledge might be especially useful for Pakistani patients as cheaper off-patent drugs could be administered to contain tumor volume. Similarly, the cost of treatment could be further mitigated by preventing cancer (informed through EM principles) [63]. These studies emphasize the need to approach NCDs through the lens of EM. The field has provided unique insights that produce novel hypotheses, models and successful experiments to test these stubborn problems [58, 62, 64, 65]. Regarding cancer in Pakistan, while most patients do end up seeing an oncologist, their first encounter is often with a family physician [66]. However, a multicenter study demonstrated that most of these physicians are unaware of appropriate cancer and palliative care [67]. Health promotion programs through EM can provide family physicians with a better conceptual framework of the disease and inspire critical questions that need to be studied to target various NCDs [44, 68, 69].

**INCORPORATING EM IN PAKISTAN**

With the relevance of EM to public health and disease in Pakistan, the need to include it in the medical curricula becomes evident. Pakistan boasts many premier and globally recognized medical institutions with over 170 000 graduates in 2012 [70]. A large number of their medical graduates, however, migrate abroad for residency programs and further practice [71]. Additionally, the emphasis on training doctors has often eclipsed the training of health-care workers whom are required for healthcare promotion and prevention [70]. Indeed, it is these physicians, workers, and additionally researchers, to whom this basic science is most relevant. Although there are many impediments one might perceive germane to Pakistan, these might not be as ardent and may have convincing workarounds. The four most commonly cited difficulties observed in the developed world are reiterated here with a comment on each.

**Argument of little importance**

The importance of EM for Pakistan has been discussed throughout this review. It is exemplified by the relevance of EM in multiple...
Argument of potential controversy

Religious sentiments may be perceived to be the largest obstacle to incorporating EM in a country like Pakistan. However, these fears may be unfounded and sentiments can be delicately handled or worked around. The first and most commonly quoted study to gauge the acceptance of evolution in Pakistan put the number at 14% among 100 participants in Lahore [83]. However, the sampling methodology is not well described and the statistic may not apply to medical students. Instead, among Pakistani doctors living in the USA, 20 out of 23 accepted evolutionary biology and another two also understood its importance in medicine [84]. Another study of Pakistani teachers demonstrated that 14 out of 18 accepted evolutionary biology (although only 20% accepted human evolution) [85]. Fascinatingly, when Quranic scripture is quoted in some Pakistani high school textbooks, it attempts to support evolutionary biology [86]. More recently, of 43 Muslim Pakistani physicians and medical students, half accepted evolutionary biology and a quarter even agreed that humans have evolved as well (Hameed, S. et al. 2017, in review). Only six believed evolution and the notion of a god were contradictory while almost half of their counterparts in Malaysia believed as such, highlighting a uniqueness of Pakistan in the Muslim world.

These data are bolstered by the previously mentioned 2011 study in two medical schools where 300 students were surveyed. Although there initially was a low acceptance rate (mean 58.32) and low knowledge (5.2/10) of evolutionary biology (based on the Measure of Acceptance of Theory of Evolution [87]), 74% agreed that EM would improve research and 50% agreed on its importance in clinical practice.

CONCLUSION

It is truly unfortunate that the science that dictated the molding of the human body is not studied by its healers. Even so, as a small
step forward, while the call to formalize EM in medical schools has been garnering strong support in the developed world, it has not yet reached the rest of the globe. However, as numerous global health diseases are deeply rooted in evolutionary causes, the teaching of evolution is acutely important in developing countries. Exposing these budding doctors to EM could instill indispensable pericpience and critical thinking to solve global health problems. Second, incorporating EM into medical schools in Pakistan can be a real possibility. Limitations that have plagued the western world might not hold as much sway in Pakistan as resources are already present and some curricular time is presently allocated for non-science subjects. Medical schools in the developing world can learn from the trials and tribulations of EM courses abroad. Last, although intuitively it seems conservative religious beliefs are the greatest impediment, in Pakistani medical schools they may not be as large a problem. Additionally, important work-arounds could include promoting the Islamic history of evolutionary thought, studying the evolution of animals in the Pakistan region, focusing on the evolution of diseases after the ‘descent of man’, and quoting Quranic scripture. From there, physicians and health-care workers may be better prepared to handle the onslaught of public health issues that developing countries like Pakistan face.
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