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ABSTRACT

Introduction Loss of teeth defines oral health status and chewing abilities. Caries and periodontal disease have been associated with systemic diseases, however they may contribute to cognitive impairment too. Mastication assessment appears to possess broad significance, and is needed to create background knowledge for chewing harmony. The aim of this study was to evaluate chewing performance and the characteristics of condylographic recordings, during mastication of Natural Food (NF) and a Standard Food Model (SFM), in subjects with different occlusal parameters.

Methodology Twenty-three adult subjects’ mastication was assessed with a standardized recording protocol, when chewing onto SFM and NF in three different textures. Detailed occlusal characteristics, condylographic recording data and condylographic patterns during mastication were all analyzed and compared.

Results Bilateral Crossbites, Missing Molars and Asymmetric Molar Class were related to higher disharmony and transversal displacement during chewing. Missing and unreplaced molars showed dysfunctional patterns and so did worn out occlusal surfaces. Molar Class alone did not prove to be a useful predictor in chewing test results.

Conclusion Increasing evidence indicates that oral health has an impact on Individual and Public Health. It is important to understand that oral health and its functional status are to be maintained during one’s lifetime, and that preventive, therapeutic measures at all ages should have a common functional target to grant neurobiological health as well as nutritional goals of Mastication. Further studies are needed to better understand the relevance of additional parameters such as Occlusal Plane Inclination, Curve of Spee, and three-dimensional asymmetries.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout the history of medicine Mastication has triggered the interest of researchers due to its complexity, its functions and behavioral significance. Mastication is the process by which food is broken down by teeth into smaller pieces, mixed with saliva into a bolus and made suitable for swallowing. The quality of mastication and its efficiency are crucial even beyond the individual ability to perform chewing strokes and to swallow. Oral health has been held responsible for, or related to, a number of systemic conditions. For instance, poor oral health has been investigated in the past as an independent risk factor and association for systemic diseases such as myocardial infarction, coronary disease and...
diabetes [1-3]. This whole issue has reached a higher and wider awareness only in recent times, although earlier studies concerning these associations had already highlighted the importance of maintaining a satisfactory oral and dental status for the good of systemic health and for the consequences on Public Health [4]. Among the above-mentioned conditions, Dementia has more recently been related to oral health and chewing impairment. Because of its vigorous onset in the elderly populations, and because the world population is more and more represented by the elder age group, Dementia has become an increasingly important health and socioeconomic issue [5].

It is fair to understand that, among other factors, the mere number of lost teeth defines not only one’s oral health status, but also his/her chewing abilities, with subsequent forceful changes in diet, malnutrition, and an inflammatory response which have all been related to an increased risk of Dementia and Alzheimer’s Disease (AD). These emerging findings, besides harmony and good functioning of the stomatognathic system, call for further studies on mastication and on the significance of lost teeth, both for what concerns the number of missing teeth and what dental element in particular [6]. Teeth possess discrimination for direction of forces, texture and hardness of foods, thanks to the interaction between pulp-dentine-enamel units and periodontal ligaments [7,8]. Studying mastication and the stomatognathic system has shown to be a hard and demanding quest which proceeded along with the development of ad hoc apparatuses and recording devices. Studies by Lundeen and Gibbs from the 1980s showed that there are differences in chewing in relation to growth, and that they are related to changes in form and function which take place during transition from infancy to adulthood (increase in the steepness of the articular eminence, development of the anterior tooth guidance, and change from deciduous to permanent teeth). Adults’ chewing pattern has a midsagittal opening and wide lateral closing movements when observed from a dental perspective [9,10]. The quality of the occlusion, conditions such as Temporomandibular Disorders (TMJ) and interferences in occlusion all affect mastication: the more the interferences the longer the chewing time and the more atypical the pattern looks [11]. Regarding patterns, Lundeen and Gibbs studied mastication with the Replicator System [9,10]. The Replicator System was an electronic jaw-movement recorder that allowed to replicate all border and chewing movements while also being able to examine 'chewing casts' from all views. The authors’ evaluations of the chewing patterns were made on occlusal points (first molars and interincisal), on both sides, as well as by tracking the joints.

Studies concerning the masticatory patterns have been described in previous works, the most notable being the ones with the Sirognathograph first, and the Kinesiograph later on. This latter method can only identify movement of a single anterior point with a magnet positioned on the lower arch, but it has the possibility to study muscular activity through Electromyography at the same time [12,13]. Researchers have also recognized a repetition and reproducibility of chewing patterns, with harmony also being a characteristic of a healthy chewing function and, if harmony is missing, along with repetition and a scheme, then a dysfunctional mastication is observed. Malocclusions such as unilateral crossbite have shown a peculiar subversion of opening and closing direction which are substantially different to the expected chewing pattern: in these
cases, the mandible will first move medially during opening and then laterally, so as to confront with the ‘crossed’ surfaces of antagonist teeth. Moreover, this altered pattern has a narrower width and a more vertical closing angle. If the ‘Functional Matrix’ of Moss is not to be forgotten, this supposedly has some effect on growing children, both structurally and with respect to motor control, and it is the reason why unilateral crossbite can be looked at as a ‘neuromuscular syndrome’ [12,14].

Some studies have explored human mastication through chewing tests and their outcome. Kikui and colleagues for instance assessed comminution of a jelly, claiming that it was a valid method for gathering relevant information from the number of pieces and their surface area. This better replicates in their opinion what actually occurs during effective chewing: crushing and an increase in the surface area of food, so as to facilitate reaction with digestive enzymes, decomposition, and the absorption of nutrients [15]. Some other tests use natural foods as a material test, however physical properties of natural foods vary and are difficult to standardize. There are natural variations in size and texture which produce differences in the final outcomes. Besides, hardness is not constant, due to the various degrees of water incorporation thus making the standardization for the masticatory tests difficult. Natural foods that are mostly described by authors are: peanuts, almonds, cocoa, carrots, hazelnuts, decaffeinated coffee beans and nuts [16-21]. Previous experience with the limitations of natural foods chewing tests brought about the need for an ideal food model for experimental, research and clinical testing. With this respect a Standard Food Model (SFM) was first developed, described and proposed by Slavicek in 2009 [22-26]. Such food model has been produced in a short cylindrical shape and three different hardnesses (A. Egger’ Sohn, Süßwaren und Naturmittel GmbH., Mellererasse 4, A-1230 Vienna, Austria).

Condylography as a method for evaluating mandibular movements has its predecessors dating as back as the last decades of the 1800s. The first recordings were reported by Ulrich and Walker, and invaluable contributions to the study of hinge axis, Bennett movement, jaw tracking, articulator programming

### Table 2. Exclusion criteria.

| Exclusion criteria                                                                 |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Subjects were excluded if the following conditions were met:                       |
| - age below 18                                                                      |
| - age above 70                                                                       |
| - food allergy (nuts)                                                                |
| - vegetarian/vegan subjects                                                        |
| - hyperglycemia/diabetes                                                            |
| - permanence of deciduous teeth                                                     |
| - removable total/partial prostheses                                                 |
| - ongoing orthodontic treatment                                                     |
| - any dental extraction/dental surgery in the previous 6 months                    |
| - composite/filling on occlusal surfaces in the previous 6 months                    |
| - acute oral health issue (caries, odontogenic abscess, odontogenic phlegmon)       |
| - acute craniofacial issue (trauma with/without fractures)                          |
| - acute/chronic pain of masticatory and facial muscles                              |
| - primary headaches                                                                  |
| - chronic neck, shoulders or back pain (pain ≥3 months)                             |
| - previous brain injuries                                                           |
| - cleft palate/lips                                                                 |
| - facial clefts                                                                     |
| - impaired saliva production due to drugs or systemic disease                       |
| - mental illness                                                                    |
| - visual impairments (sightless subjects)                                           |
| - neurological disorder with impaired motor capabilities with/without medication     |
| - neurological conditions affecting cranial nerves                                   |
| - any condition influencing proper informed consent to participation in the study    |
| - any conditioning affecting the sitting position in a dental chair                  |
Condylographic recording of chewing function
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Table 3. Condylographic data collected directly from the recording software.

| Software-retrieved data for analysis of Mastication |
|----------------------------------------------------|
| Maximum displacement (s) of the hinge axis (mm) for both the working side and the non-working side (ws and nws) assessed on the sagittal plane |
| Sagittal Condylar Inclination SCI° (inclination of the hinge axis excursion) for both the working side and the non-working side (ws and nws) |

Table 4. Condylographic data calculated from tracings with the use of an additional tool.

| Calculations for analysis of Mastication |
|-----------------------------------------|
| Sagittal area for both working side and non-working side (mm²) |
| Transversal cranial area for both working side and non-working side (mm²) |
| Transversal frontal area for both working side and non-working side (mm²) |
| Ratio sagittal: cranial for both working side and non-working side |
| Ratio sagittal: frontal for both working side and non-working side |

Figure 5. Molar Class Right and Left – Distribution Females.

and for diagnostic purposes have been made by Bennett, Eltner, Gysi, McCollum, Posselt, Messerman, Bewersdorff, Jankelson, Lundeen, Alsawaf, Missert and Slavicek [27].

Caries, periodontal disease and systemic conditions that can affect the periodontium, can all potentially lead to tooth loss. Tooth loss can somewhat be prevented and has therefore been the target for Public Health measures, but nevertheless it is still common, especially within the ageing population and in the lower socio-economic groups [28,29].

What is mostly unforeseen or prevented, but is just as common, is Dementia, the most frequent being Alzheimer’s Disease (AD).

A World Health Organization report from 2013 stated that the total number of people with Dementia worldwide in 2010 was estimated at 35.6 million and is deemed to almost double every 20 years, to 65.7 million in 2030 and 115.4 million in 2050 [30]. AD occurs because of environmental, genetic and vascular risk factors, yet nearly half of ADs do not have a clear mutation or cause [6]. A relationship between AD and tooth loss has been sought for at least twenty years now, with publications focusing on the transition from memory impairment to AD, on oral health in nursing homes, cohort studies and community homes for the elderly [31-34]. This correlation had been hypothesized even earlier, when basic findings were retrieved highlighting the fact that Dementia patients were more likely to have a greater tooth loss [35,36]. However different studies and authors have aspired to study this relationship as a predisposing condition, similarly to what has been done with the correlation between cardiovascular disease and periodontitis. A 5-year prospective cohort study investigated the effect of tooth loss on the development of Mild Memory Impairment (MMI) among the elderly [31]. A study on identical twins revealed that major tooth loss before the age of 35 was a significant risk factor for AD [32]. A study on a population of nuns, found that 9 or fewer remaining teeth were associated with an increased risk of Dementia [33].

Type 2 Diabetes patients were the target of a prospective cohort study that showed that Dementia and cognitive decline are associated with having no teeth [37]. Conversely, the presence of teeth, or better, the preservation of natural teeth and cognitive functioning have shown a significant positive relationship [38]. Worse episodic memory has been assessed in other studies and it has been correlated with tooth number, too [39]. Okamoto and colleagues also reported about a survey study that claimed that patients with MMI will more
likely progress into AD as opposed to other types of dementia, thus making MMI (examined through the Mini-Mental State Examination - MMSE) a good time-frame for evaluations of this kind [40]. In the Fujiwara-kyo study a population of 3696 healthy residents over the age of 65, was observed from 2007 to 2012. Among these, 2486 underwent follow-up examination and 2335 were included in the final analysis (only cognitively intact or MMI subjects). This very powerful and well-designed study showed that tooth loss and worse cognitive performance are indeed correlated, and that absence of tooth loss and preservation of the patients’ baseline status meant no increase in risk of MMI [31]. Possible reasons for the association between memory disorder and tooth loss have been highlighted not only in the Fujiwara-kyo paper: the existence of an inflammatory status related to periodontal disease which caused tooth loss; genetic factors related to both MMI and periodontal disease; and a decrease in sensory receptors function. An observational study published in 2015 aimed at drawing some associations between tooth loss and Dementia from the late 1960s onwards [41]. The hypothesis behind this retrospective analysis of a cohort of women was that tooth loss was an expression of some proinflammatory activity and that there was a correlation between the number of teeth and Dementia. Mastication influences the activity in the cerebral cortex, stimulating cognitive function and preventing brain degradation. Rhythmic movements, and this may be one reason why sports have a similar beneficial effect, increase blood flow especially in the prefrontal cortex and the hippocampus, where learning and memory performance reside [31,38].

Thorough dissertation on the role of chewing, hippocampal, reticular formation and somatosensory associations is not the scope of this paper, however impoverishment of chewing abilities and function through alteration in number or quality of occlusal determinants (extraction or milling of molars) and a long-term soft-diet feeding, can inhibit learning and memory [8,42-46]. A pilot study by Elsig allowed to point out a difference between the number of remaining teeth and chewing efficacy in Dementia patients, thus further encouraging the performance of routine chewing tests besides tooth count in geriatric evaluations [47]. Mastication and its study appear to possess significance both individually, in terms of prediction of disease or its risk, for its educational value, and in order to create a wider database for chewing patterns and unfavorable occlusal features, which will enable to fulfill the aforementioned purposes.

The aim of this study was to assess the characteristics of condylographic tracings, during mastication of natural food (NF) and a standard food model (SFM), in subjects with different occlusal parameters. Based on the existing literature, the purpose was to evaluate whether condylography was suitable for tracking mastication at the temporomandibular joint (TMJ), to evaluate whether condylography could reliably provide a clear tracing aspect working (ws) versus non-working side (nws) with the ws being steeper, straighter and shorter as opposed to the nws (see Fig. 1), if disharmony or subversion of the abovementioned aspect of ws and nws for some occlusal features (flat occlusal surfaces, missing teeth,...) and food properties.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Twenty-three adult subjects’ mastication was assessed by means of condylography with a standardized recording protocol, when chewing onto SFM and NF in three different textures (for SFM: hard, medium, soft; for NF: salad leaf, carrot slice, nut). The study protocol followed the ethical guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki, and informed consent prior to recording was acquired from all subjects (see Tabs. 1 and 2). Sagittal first molar relationship (Class 1, 2 and 3) was recorded for each subject and each side (right and left). Asymmetric molar classes were included in the data collection. The presence of a Clear Buccal Intercuspation was checked according to the clinical
assessment of a distinct 1:2 vestibular relationship between upper and lower arches. Other sagittal and transversal intermaxillary dental relationships were recorded as well: open bite (when overbite<0 mm), deep bite (when overbite>4 mm), bilateral crossbite, monolateral crossbite, scissor bite. The presence and location of missing teeth and prosthodontic/conservative restorations were recorded too.

Occlusal surface characteristics were evaluated as Good, Average or Poor based on the investigators’ assessment on overall tooth wear (Fig. 2). All subjects underwent a condylographic recording session during which a standard recording and a chewing recording were performed. The condylography was carried out by using an electronic recording system for jaw movements (Cadiax Diagnostic GAMMA Dental, ®GAMMA med. wiss. Fortbildungs GmbH, Josef Brennerstr. 10, A-3400 Klosterneuburg, Austria).

One trained investigator performed all recordings. A paraocclusal clutch was applied, the hinge axis was localized and classic condylographic recording of movements would take place before the chewing
There were 14 females (60.87%) and 9 males (39.13%).

### 3.1. Descriptive Analysis of Subjects

The participants were instructed to chew each food on the right side, on the left side and bilaterally, and break the food in as many pieces as possible without swallowing, since the food particles had to be collected at the end of each chewing cycle for separate evaluation. A resting time of at least 30 seconds was allowed between recordings. The recording hardware was then removed, and the proband was asked to identify and score discomforts so that they could be collected and stored within the database.

For each proband the maximum ‘s’ displacement of the Temporomandibular Joint Hinge Axis during the 4 baseline movements and during the chewing sequences was taken from the software analysis for both right and left condyle in millimeters (s, mm). For each chewing sequence, for SFM and NF, the data in Table 3 were collected from the appropriate tool in the software and stored. For each chewing sequence, SFM and NF, the data in Table 4 were retrieved with the aid of the online tool SketchAndCalc™ (iCalc Inc, 2017 Elliott Dobbs, www.sketchandcalc.com) and used for further calculations (Fig. 4).

### 3.2. Statistical Analyses

#### 3.2.1. Condylographic Tracings for Working and Non-Working Sides with SFM and NF

For both food types, the working sides’ tracings (s, mm) were shorter than the non-working sides (SFM ws mean 4.9 mm nws 6.8 mm; NF ws mean 3.6 mm nws 5.3 mm; paired t test set at 95% CI p=0.000 for SFM and p=0.000 for NF). The difference by hardness on both ws and nws is not detectable. Nevertheless, all SFM compared to the NF s traits were significantly different, with SFM having larger displacements in mm (SFM s mean 5.75 mm; NF 4.56; paired t test set at 95% CI p=0.000).

#### 3.2.2. Condylographic Tracings’ Distinctive Path Inclination SCI° for Working and Non-Working Sides with SFM and NF

For the SCI° (path inclination) SFM ws and nws were different, with SFM having larger displacements in all SFM compared to the NF s traits were significantly different, with SFM having larger displacements in mm (SFM s mean 5.75 mm; NF 4.56; paired t test set at 95% CI p=0.000).

### 3.3. RESULTS

#### 3.3.1. Descriptive Analysis of Subjects

There were 14 females (60.87%) and 9 males (39.13%). The subjects’ age ranged from 18 to 64 years of age (mean age 37.74 ±12.53).

The Molar Class for the right side was found to be Class 1 in 52.17% of subjects, Class 2 in 39.13% and Class 3 in 8.70% of probands. For the left side, the percentages were 47.83%, 26.09% and 26.09% respectively. For both sides Molar Class 1 was nearly half of the total and an Asymmetric Molar Occlusion was detected in 5 subjects (21.7%). See Gender distribution in Figs. 5 and 6. The Open Bite was present in 3 subjects overall (13%), whereas Deep Bite was found in 30.4% of subjects (7 probands). The Open bite was present in 2 cases of Class 1 molar relationships (16.67% of all class 1) and in 1 case (11.11%) with molar class 2, both for the right side. For the left side, the open bite was present in 2 cases (18.2%) in Molar Class 1 and in 1 case (16.7%) for class 2. No open bite was related to Molar Class 3 on either side. The Deep Bite was mostly present in Class 2 right side where it represented 44.44% of all class 2 subjects (25% for Class 1 right side, 0% for Class 3 right side).

For the left Molar Class 1, 2 and 3 the presence of the Deep Bite was present in 27.27%, 33.33% and 33.33% of cases. A bilateral Crossbite was detected in 17.39% of probands (4 cases) and a monolateral crossbite was present in 2 cases only (8.7%). No proband had scissor bite.

The quality of the Occlusal Surfaces (Tabs. 5 and 6) was rated as poor for the right side on 9 counts (39.1%), and on 8 counts for the left (34.8%).

A Clear Buccal Intercuspation was found for 14 subjects (60.9%). Missing Premolars were found in one proband only (4.3%) with no edentulous gap between tooth 1.4 and tooth 1.6. Missing Molars were observed in 6 cases (26.1%). Distal Restorations were present in 7 subjects (30.4%).

#### 3.3.2. Quality of occlusal surfaces (right side).

| # of subjects | Poor | Average | Good |
|---------------|------|---------|------|
| Poor          | 9    |         |      |
| Average       | 6    |         |      |
| Good          | 8    |         |      |

#### 3.3.3. Quality of occlusal surfaces (left side).

| # of subjects | Poor | Average | Good |
|---------------|------|---------|------|
| Poor          | 8    |         |      |
| Average       | 8    |         |      |
| Good          | 7    |         |      |
set at 95% CI (p=0.000). Since the distribution of these data was not normal for SCI° SFM medium Left chewing and SFM hard Left chewing, a non-parametric test was also carried out (Wilcoxon Signed Rank Test with significance level at 0.05, p=0.01 and 0.003 respectively). The NF chewing SCI° were also compared for ws and nws by means of a parametric and a non-parametric test, since even here the normal distribution of data was not the case at all times. Both the paired t-test and the Wilcoxon Signed Rank Test allowed to highlight a significant difference in steepness of condylar path inclination.

3.2.3. Condylographic Distinctive Chewing Pattern for Working and Non-Working Sides with SFM and NF

The presence of a Distinctive Chewing Pattern was tested against the Quality of Occlusion Surfaces Right and Left but no significant correlation was observed (χ² p=0.343 right side p=0.577 left side). A significant correlation was observed instead for the variable Missing Molars and the absence of a Distinctive Pattern (Fisher Exact Test p = 0.006). The variable Gender and Presence of Distal Restorations did not yield a statistically significant result (Fisher Exact Test p = 0.064).

3.2.4. Condylographic Chewing Tracings’ Areas and Ratios with SFM and NF

The mere assessment of areas in mm² showed few statistically significant results, but an observed tendency to have nws with bigger areas than ws for Molar Class 1 and 2. However, assessment through ratios allowed the Authors to expressly identify the predominance of transversal movement during chewing over the sagittal one across all SFM and NF chewing (right side chewing, left and bilateral). Statistically significant results were retrieved for the variables Quality of Occlusion (poor, average, good Kruskal-Wallis max p=0.42), Bilateral Crossbite (Mann-Whitney U max p=0.048), Missing Molars (Mann-Whitney U max p=0.041), Clear Buccal Intercuspation (Mann-Whitney U max p=0.040), Distal Restorations (Mann-Whitney U max p=0.041) and most importantly Asymmetric Molar Class. This latter variable in particular, gave significant results for all SFM hardness and for the NF too (for space reasons only these results are displayed in Figs. 7 and 8).

4. DISCUSSION

As there is increasing evidence indicating that oral health has an impact on Public Health issues, and not solely on Individual Health, it is important to understand and maintain the mastication tool properly fitted for its neurobiological objectives as well as nutritional ones.

What is expected from an ‘ideal’ mastication is, in this respect, of the utmost importance. If teeth are to be seen as refined, precise and sophisticated proprioceptors, then the scientific community’s task is to test, assess and restore them accordingly. This is the assumption behind this work, which is an offspring of previous ones which aimed at studying human mastication quantitatively and qualitatively [22-26]. The Reader might want to know that other recorded condylographic parameters and chewing scores had to be kept out of this publication for space reasons (number of particles chewed, scores, number of chewing strokes, rotational angle GAMMA° according to the different food hardness).

The population of the present study encompassed diverse occlusal features, but it was a small one, nevertheless it allowed the Investigators to set some ground for new hypotheses for future studies, and make this an explorative study on mastication and its recording. Females showed more absence of a clear intercuspation as opposed to males, and they had a poorer occlusion, more occlusal restorations and, most importantly, less presence of a distinctive chewing pattern. Yet, the females here were the group with the most frequent ‘ideal’ Class 1 occlusion (81%), and all Class 1s overall have 72% of clear buccal intercuspation. As elsewhere highlighted, this combination of findings suggests that the Molar Class alone is not a sufficient indicator at all for an ideal or functional mastication [48]. Monolateral Crossbite cases were too few to infer correlations. Condylography really fulfilled the task of showing geometrical features of working and non-working sides for SFM and NF: for both food types the working sides were in fact shorter than the non-working sides. This comes helpful in research settings in that SFM, which is not a vegetable but is still food, is generally chewed with the expected pattern that NF normally shows, but it has the potential to stress and highlight characteristics which small, easily chewed NFs may...
not have the power to exhibit. Much like many other clinical tests which can be performed at rest, but are sometimes required to be performed under physical or drug-induced stress to really recreate a condition or provoke one, the SFM chewing test might prove the treadmill test for the masticatory system. The results with nuts and carrots have shown in fact that every proband can chew on them with no trouble regardless of the occlusal quality, missing teeth and so forth. One single salad leaf is instead more demanding, since it can be properly torn only when the occlusal quality is maintained, for it requires closeness of upper and lower teeth which get to slide on their buccal and lingual slopes. If these are lost then a grinding movement between flatter surfaces occurs and this sets the basis for a more horizontal/transversal movement rather than a vertical/sagittal one. All lengths ‘s’ of the SFM sagittal tracings were numerically bigger than all lengths of NF sagittal tracings and this might be the effect of the properties of SFM (standard size for instance). For SCI° (condylar path inclination), SFM ws and nws were compared, nws showing a flatter inclination as expected, which was statistically different from the steeper inclination of the ws. The same held true for NF. The assessment of the chewing tracings’ areas was the most demanding and most interesting chapter in this explorative study. Not only were the single areas retrieved for all foods, all sides and all planes (sagittal, frontal and cranial), but ratios between the sagittal plane and the transversal planes were also calculated, so as to minimize the effect due to individual sizes, and enhance the more robust meaning of sagittal to transversal ratios. As the ratio decreases, both for the sagittal:frontal and the sagittal:cranial dimensions, then the transversal quantity of movement becomes predominant over the sagittal one. Graphical representation of areas in mm², divided by ws and nws and molar class right and left, was difficult to interpret at a first glance and no particular difference or feature stood out. When the chewing data were assessed through the ratios one can see that the ‘good’ quality of the occlusion right had bigger nws ratios (more sagittal component) for SFM soft and medium (this difference was not visible for SFM hard), as compared to the average and poor right occlusions (more transversal component). A similar trend could be seen for the nws ratios for the left qualities of occlusion, but not for every single ratio and hardness of SFM. The ws ratios were smaller in poor right occlusions as compared to the ws ratios of the the average and good occlusions, supporting the hypothesis that even in working sides there is a more transversal component in flatter occlusal qualities. The same could be seen for the left qualities of occlusion.

Figure 10. Condylographic Recording during chewing of SFM and NF (only soft and hard SFM, and salad leaf chewing are displayed for space reasons) by proband in Figure 9. Non-harmonic left side tracings with a very wide transversal component can be observed for SFM and NF chewing.
Similarly, when looking at the NF ratios, the poor occlusions right and left had smaller ratios compared to average occlusion, but not as much compared to the good occlusion where differences were mostly seen in the sagittal: cranial plane ratios. This sagittal:cranial ratio looks especially useful when looking at differences in NF, since the ‘s’ displacement is already significantly shorter in NF than SFM, and perhaps more understandable on the cranial plane instead of the frontal one. For a similar reason ws ratios in NF show little or inconsequent differences among the various occlusal qualities, since the range of the areas on working sides is already quite small in mm². Another difference between the ratios can be detected between the ws ratios of SFM and NF in subjects who have distal restorations and subjects who do not have distal restorations (NF nut is the only food which does not show this as clearly), with the Distal Restoration Group displaying smaller ratios (therefore a more transversal component). The same observation could not be made for the nws. Probands without Clear Buccal Intercuspation display smaller ratios for NF in the nws than probands with Clear Buccal Intercuspation. Ws show the same difference but only in sagittal: cranial evaluation. The above-mentioned observations have been tested (for areas and ratios, for SFM and NF) and differences have been found to be statistically significant. All differences in the sagittal and transversal areas in mm² provide food for thought in that they indicate a purely numerical size discrepancy which could hide or be related to other ‘size’ parameters not taken into account in this study. Anyway, it is the difference or even inversion of the sagittal to transversal ratios, in Bilateral Crossbite, Missing Molars and Asymmetric Molar Class subjects, that really supports the idea that these occlusal features and malocclusions are related to a higher transversal displacement during chewing cycles, usually with the non-working side performing very wide movements (see Figs. 9 and 10).

The findings in this explorative study suggest a number of considerations. Transversal stability in occlusion (granted by one-to-two tooth contacts sagittally, and by lingual occlusion transversally) needs to be looked at and restored/provided for accordingly. The quality of occlusion is a crucial feature: restorative procedures which aim at restoring quadrants or single elements in flat occlusions need to be looked at critically. Missing and un replaced molars correlate with dysfunctional chewing patterns. Molar Class has already shown little significance and therefore it is not a good indicator for describing malfunctions or chewing differences. Some of the unexpected chewing patterns may be due to undetected skeletal asymmetry of the cranial base which does not necessarily correlate with an asymmetry in molar class. SFM and condylographic recording can be exploited as a stress test for the detection of incapability in chewing stiff meats or other nutrient food.

5. CONCLUSIONS

As highlighted in previous works, the geriatric population is highly affected by cognitive impairment and more exposed to pureed, blended and mashed food. At times it is because dysphagia is present, however in many facilities prescription of pureed food is offered to many without real reasons behind it. MTF (Modified Texture Food) is often poorer in nutritional content and not very accepted and therefore eaten less despite the apparent easiness in swallowing it [49].

Like others, Dementia is a delicate Public Health issue in that it directly affects patients and families, it requires a very demanding set of measures to satisfy the need and quality of life of both, and it tackles many aspects of preventive medicine. Needless to say, it is a financial dilemma for many and it encompasses a very transversal series of medical specialties, odontology being one of the most recent. Prevention is crucial as medical therapy is at present unable to address Dementia, resulting in a frustrating series of events which lead to smaller chance of detecting other diseases, smaller response to treatments in general, reduced life expectancy and uncontrolled disease progression [6].

A better understanding of the significance and role of dental elements as singular units in neurological modulation could empower knowledge about dental risk factors and perhaps make them modifiable, and this would raise new awareness to be transferred to researchers, physicians and most importantly the population. Bearing this in mind, proper mastication should be guaranteed to avoid malnutrition in those populations where cognitive impairment has already occurred, and to at least maintain their general health status. Studies on the chewing efficiency of these very populations have shown that Dementia patients eat slowly and therefore less, have uncoordinated masticatory activities with chewing strokes accompanied by facial mimic movements, and most importantly that it is very hard to assess their chewing performance. At the same time, it is by now widely recognized that chewing positively affects cognitive functions with respect to memory and learning, and that masticatory hypofunction is independently related to cognitive impairment [50].

Mastication studies have historically been conducted by dental researchers to highlight features and risk factors related to Temporomandibular Disorders, to understand human stress-management strategies, or even to assess orthodontic treatments, full dentures, prosthodontic restorations and functions like speech and bruxism. More recent evidence on Public Health impact of mastication urges scientists to identify occlusal characteristics which interfere with a healthy masticatory function, so as to pinpoint preventive measures or minimize health impairments. The findings presented here are supported by the extensive previous works...
of authors who approached their research with different apparatuses. This study’s aim was to identify a correlation between specific occlusal features and what is so far known about the characteristics of ‘dysfunctional chewing patterns’. The results from chewing tests by means of a Standardized Food in combination with condylographic studies should be promoted in the future to better understand chewing patterns, but most importantly to make a statement concerning which occlusal characteristics are to be detected early, which are to be avoided in rehabilitation procedures, and which goal should occlusion therapy pursue. In the near future, individual compensatory capabilities should not be called upon to excuse poor occlusal therapies, and other conditions within the psychosocial sphere should no longer justify a sudden or progressive onset of stomatognathic symptoms. This study’s limitations are surely related to the small number of cases. The study design did not require a minimum number of occlusal patterns (such as monolateral crossbite for instance) and even though some differences were statistically significant, a higher number of cases, a more robust study design and a less numerous choice of outcomes might allow to draw more powerful conclusions and influence clinical work.
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Questions

1. Tooth loss predicts the development of MMI among the elderly:
   q. Absence of tooth loss and preservation of the patients’ baseline status meant no increase in risk of MMI;
   q. In case of periodontitis-induced tooth loss, cognitive function is worsened;
   q. If teeth are lost before the age of 50;
   q. None of the answers is correct.

2. Mastication influences the activity in the cerebral cortex:
   q. Through blood flow increase especially in the prefrontal cortex and the hippocampus, where learning and memory performance reside;
   q. Through increase of the number of neurons and synapses;
   q. Mastication and physical activity are rhythmic movements and have no beneficial effects on learning and spatial memory;
   q. Regardless of the presence of teeth.

3. In geriatric evaluations:
   q. Tooth count is the main predictive factor which should be assessed since patients are already being fed on mashed food;
   q. Tooth count and chewing performance should both be considered;
   q. Chewing performance only has relevance as a stress test for the Stomatognathic System;
   q. Chewing performance and tooth count are both to be considered after the onset of dementia.

4. Recording of a healthy chewing function should possess:
   q. Symmetry between working and non-working sides;
   q. A recognizable preferred chewing side;
   q. No specific pattern is relevant, as long as the subject is asymptomatic;
   q. Repetition, reproducibility and harmony in all projections, with a distinctive scheme for working and non-working sides.