Evidence for regulatory diversity and auto-regulation at the TAC1 locus in sensory neurones
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Abstract
The neuropeptide substance-P (SP) is expressed from the TAC1 gene in sensory neurones where it acts as a key modulator of neurogenic inflammation. The promoter of TAC1 (TAC1prom) plays a central role in the regulation of the TAC1 gene but requires the presence of a second regulatory element; ECR2, to support TAC1 expression in sensory neurones and to respond appropriately to signalling pathways such as MAPkinases and noxious induction by capsaicin. We examined whether the effect of capsaicin on ECR2-TAC1prom activity in larger diameter neurones was cell autonomous or non-cell autonomous. We demonstrate that TRPV1 is not expressed in all the same cells as SP following capsaicin induction suggesting the presence of a non-cell autonomous mechanism for TAC1 up-regulation following capsaicin induction. In addition, we demonstrate that induction of SP and ECR1-TAC1prom activity in these larger diameter neurones can be induced by potassium depolarisation suggesting that, in addition to capsaicin induction, transgene activity may be modulated by voltage gated calcium channels. Furthermore, we show that NK1 is expressed in all SP-expressing cells after capsaicin induction and that an agonist of NK1 can activate both SP and the transgene in larger diameter neurones. These observations suggest the presence of an autocrine loop that controls the expression of the TAC1 promoter in sensory neurones. In contrast, induction of the TAC1 promoter by LPS was not dependent on ECR2 and did not occur in large diameter neurones. These studies demonstrate the diversity of mechanisms modulating the activity of the TAC1 promoter and provide novel directions for the development of new anti-inflammatory therapies.

Introduction
The neuropeptide substance P (SP), encoded by the Tachykinin 1 (TAC1) gene, acts as a key regulator of neurogenic inflammation [1,2]. Consistent with this role SP is usually expressed in nociceptive C-fibre sensory neurones within the dorsal root ganglia (DRG) [2]. In addition, it has been established that the expression of SP is up-regulated in larger diameter A and B fibre sensory neurones following noxious stimulation, an expression pattern also associated with the development of inflammatory pain and hyperalgesia [3,4]. Therefore, gaining a better understanding of the mechanisms involved in controlling the expression of the TAC1 gene in sensory neurones will be critical to understanding the mechanisms responsible for exacerbating the distressing symptoms of many forms of chronic inflammatory disease.

TAC1 gene expression, in common with the inflammatory pain response, is modulated by a number of different stimuli such as bacterial infection and noxious stimulation that often results in depolarisation of sensory neurones. Accepted paradigms for each of these processes include bacterial wall lipopolysaccharide (LPS) [5,6] capsaicin [7-10] and potassium-induced cell depolarisation that have all been shown to modulate TAC1 promoter (TAC1prom) activity [11,12]. Because of the critical role that TAC1 plays in neurogenic inflammation we explored whether there was any commonality in the mechanisms that influence the activity of TAC1-prom in different populations of sensory neurones following stimulation by capsaicin, LPS or potassium.

In addition to noxious stimulation capsaicin treatment has been widely used as a model system in the study of hyperalgesia [13,14]. Capsaicin activates the transient receptor potential cation channel, subfamily V, member 1 (TRPV1) a major integrator of noxious stimuli that is expressed on a subset of SP expressing DRG neurones [7-10]. Capsaicin has also been shown to induce expression of TAC1 in vivo [9,10] and gene deletions of the SP-receptor (NK1) significantly reduces the hyperalgesic...
affects of capsaicin [15]. We have previously shown that capsaicin could induce the activity of TAC1prom but only in the presence of the evolutionary conserved region 2 (ECR2) enhancer [16]. This synergy could also be induced by activation of mitogen activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathways and the effects of capsaicin on ECR2-TAC1prom interaction could be blocked by antagonism of mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase (MEK) kinase [16]. We also revealed that stimulation of sensory neurones by capsaicin triggers ECR2-TAC1prom synergy in larger diameter sensory neurones; an expression of SP associated with hyperalgesia [16]. However, we were unable to determine whether the effects of capsaicin were cell autonomous or cell non-autonomous i.e. we were the mechanisms controlling the up-regulation of TAC1prom only found within TRPV1 expressing cells or did these mechanisms involve communication between cells. Furthermore, if the effects of capsaicin are non-cell autonomous it is important to determine the communication pathways that induce ECR2-TAC1prom activity in large diameter neurones. In addition, because TRPV1 activation depolarises sensory neurones we also asked how specific the TRPV1-ECR2-TAC1prom relationship was and whether other methods of cell depolarisation such as potassium induced depolarisation, could induce ECR2-TAC1prom synergy [17,18].

Bacterial LPS has also been shown to upregulate the expression of TAC1 [5,6] and deletion of the TAC1 gene in mice reduces the effects of bacterial sepsis and LPS induced organ damage [19]. LPS is a potent activator of both the inflammatory response and the immune response through its receptor, Toll Like Receptor 4 (TLR4) [20]. It has been shown previously that activation of the TLR4 receptor triggers MAPkinase or NFκB dependent pathways [21]. However, it is not known whether TLR4 is expressed on sensory neurones, whether the MAPkinase of NFκB pathways modulate the effects of LPS on TAC1 expression, whether the effects of LPS is cell autonomous or whether the TLR2 enhancer is required for TAC1prom activation by LPS.

Using primary cell culture and transgenic explant culture we examined the mechanisms through which capsaicin, potassium mediated cellular depolarisation and LPS modulate TAC1prom activity within sensory neurones. Our findings demonstrate surprising diversity in the mechanisms modulating the activity of the TAC1 gene in sensory neurones. Identifying this diversity, as well as identifying of the components of the different mechanisms involved, will have an important baring on the future development of more targeted inflammatory pain therapies and will represent an important step in understanding the processes that regulate gene expression during the inflammatory response.

Primary cell culture and transfection

Neonatal rat dorsal root ganglia (DRGs) were cultured as described previously [22] except for the following modifications. Following dissociation, DRG’s neurons were re-suspended in Amaxa transfection solution, 100 μl of the cell suspension was added to a microcentrifuge tube containing 2 μg of plasmid DNA before being placed in an Amaxa cuvette and transfected using a nucleofector device set at program G-013. 100 ul of culture media (consisting of Hank’s F12 meida (Gibco), putrescine, transferrin, BSA, progesterone, sodium selenite, FGF (20 μg/ml), insulin (100 μg/ml) and antibiotics) was added to the transfected cell suspension before being transferred into a culture dish containing 0.3 ml of culture media. Cells were left in the incubator and allowed to adhere to poly-L-lysine/laminin coated glass cover slips. Immediately after Amaxa transfection with DNA DRG neurons were cultured for 24 hours in a solution containing respective agonists or antagonists (See below). After culture, cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde and expression of the LacZ gene (βgal) was visualised by staining with X-gal stain (5 mM K₄Fe(CN)₆; 5 mM K₃Fe(CN)₆; 2 mM MgCl₂; 1 mg/ml X-gal) for two hours. The number of blue DRG neurons as a percentage of the total number of neurons was assessed by cell counting on an inverted DIC microscope. Portion are adjusted relative to a control plasmid containing the CMV promoter that was transfected at the same time to normalise transfection efficiencies between different batches of rat neones.

Transgenic DRG explant analysis and immunocytochemistry

Whole DRG explants were dissected from ECR2-TAC1prom-LacZ transgenic mouse neonates and placed in the same culture conditions as described above. These explants were then treated with agonists or antagonists for 24 hours (see below), fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde and incubated with 30% sucrose in optimal cutting temperature (OCT) media overnight. Sections were cut with a cryostat to 10 μm thickness, permeabilised with 0.1% SDS for 5 mins then incubated in 10% foetal calf serum in tris-buffered saline with 1% triton (TBST) for 10 mins. Sections were washed 3 times for 5 mins in TBST and treated sequentially in primary antibodies overnight (rabbit-anti-βGal, 1:200; rat-anti-Substance P, 1:200 (AbCam) Goat-anti Toll-Like-Receptor 4 (TLR4) or rat anti-NK1 (1:200, Santa Cruz Biotechnology). Antibodies were visualised by incubation with the appropriate secondary antibody (diluted to 1:250) for 40 mins at room temp (goat-anti-rat Texas red, donkey-anti-Rabbit ALEXA 488 or Donkey-anti-goat ALEXA 488, all from Molecular Probes).
Agonists and antagonists
Lipopolysaccharide, purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, was dissolved in PBS and used at a concentration of 10 μg/ml [23]). Capsaicin, purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, was dissolved in EtOH and used at a concentration of 10 μM [24]. Forskolin, obtained from Sigma, was dissolved in DMSO and used at a concentration of 10 μM [25]. [Sar9, Met(O2)11]-Substance P was obtained from Tocris, dissolved in H2O and used at a concentration of 100 nM as recommended by Tocris. KCl was obtained from Tocris, dissolved in H2O and used at a concentration of 30 mM [26].

Constructs
Details of the production of the constructs used in the current study have been described previously [16].

Image capture and analysis
Images were captured on Qicam monochrome camera mounted on a Nicon Eclipse 400 fluorescence microscope and processed using Improvision Velocity software v4.1. Figures were assembled on Adobe Photoshop CS3 software.

Statistical analysis
All experiments were repeated a minimum of three times on separate dates using separate groups of animals (n ≥ 3). Two tailed student t-tests were used to test the significance of data derived from primary cell cultures. Unpaired t-tests were used on data derived from transgenic explants studies to test the significance of the changes in the proportion of expressing cells within a specific cell diameter range. Statistical analysis was done using Microsoft Excel.

Results
Induction of TAC1 by capsaicin does not occur in all TRPV1 expressing cells
In our previous study we demonstrated perfect co-expression between the ECR2-TAC1prom-LacZ transgene and the SP peptide in larger diameter neurones following treatment of transgenic DRG explants with capsaicin [16]. In order to determine whether capsaicin induction of SP was cell autonomous (occurred within the same cell) or non-cell autonomous (required cell-cell communication) we examined SP and TRPV1 co-expression using immunohistochemistry of mouse neonate DRG explants with capsaicin [16]. In order to determine whether capsaicin induction of SP was cell autonomous (occurred within the same cell) or non-cell autonomous (required cell-cell communication) we examined SP and TRPV1 co-expression using immunohistochemistry of mouse neonate DRG explants with capsaicin [16]. In order to determine whether capsaicin induction of SP was cell autonomous (occurred within the same cell) or non-cell autonomous (required cell-cell communication) we examined SP and TRPV1 co-expression using immunohistochemistry of mouse neonate DRG explants with capsaicin [16]. In order to determine whether capsaicin induction of SP was cell autonomous (occurred within the same cell) or non-cell autonomous (required cell-cell communication) we examined SP and TRPV1 co-expression using immunohistochemistry of mouse neonate DRG explants with capsaicin [16]. In order to determine whether capsaicin induction of SP was cell autonomous (occurred within the same cell) or non-cell autonomous (required cell-cell communication) we examined SP and TRPV1 co-expression using immunohistochemistry of mouse neonate DRG explants with capsaicin [16].

However, we were unable to detect a correspondingly significant up-regulation in either the size or numbers of cells expressing the TRPV1 protein (Figure 1A and 1C). In addition, we observed a number of larger diameter neurones that expressed the SP peptide following capsaicin induction but did not express the TRPV-1 protein (Figure 1A, white arrows).

The ECR2-TAC1prom transgene can be activated by cell depolarization
We have previously used luciferase assays to determine how TAC1prom responds to various signalling antagonists

Figure 1 Induction of TAC1 by capsaicin does not occur in all TRPV1 expressing cells. Fluorescent immunohistochemical analysis of 10 μm sections from mouse neonate DRG explants with (iii and iv) anti-TRPV1 and (v and vi) anti-SP antibody (i, iii, v) before and (ii, iv, vi) after 24 hours incubation with 10 μM capsaicin. Ai and ii represent merged images showing cellular co-localisation of TRPV1 and SP (yellow). White arrow heads highlight cells that express SP but not TRPV1 after capsaicin treatment. B, and C, graphical analysis of the size distribution (in microns) of neurones within DRG explants expressing (B) SP and (C) TRPV1 before (White bar) and after (black bar) capsaicin treatment (n = 3, total number SP/TRPV1 immunostaining cells counted and measured = 284, *, p < 0.05)
and agonists and its functional relationship with other regulatory elements [16]. However, although luciferase assays are very sensitive in showing changes in the expression of genes from large population of cells they are limited in their ability to give information on either the identity of these cells in mixed populations or on the numbers of cells expressing the reporter construct. This is an important consideration as previous studies have shown that, in addition to increasing levels of gene expression [7-10] capsaicin also increases the expression of the TAC1 gene in larger diameter neurones [3,4,27-31]. In order to address this problem we have previously combined luciferase assays with cell counting assays using the LacZ gene as the reporter. For example, using luciferase assays in heterogeneous primary DRG culture we show that upregulation of the activity of TAC1 prom by capsaicin or the MAP kinase agonist angiotensin only occurs in the presence of the remote ECR2 enhancer [16]. These quantitative observations were supported by parallel experiments carried out with a LacZ marker which showed that in the presence of ECR2, TAC1 prom responded to angiotensin and capsaicin by driving the expression of the LacZ marker in significantly greater numbers of cells [16].

Because activation of TRPV1 causes cellular depolarisation we wanted to test the specificity of the relationship between TRPV1 and ECR2-TAC1prom and to determine if potassium depolarisation of primary DRG neurones transformed with the ECR2-TAC1prom-LacZ construct (See Figure 2A) could increase the numbers of neurones expressing the reporter construct. We transfected primary DRG neurone cultures with the ECR2-TAC1prom-LacZ construct and incubated these cultures in the presence or absence of a 30 mM solution of KCl. Following staining with X-gal we then counted the number of cells that expressed the transgene and were able to detect a significant increase in the numbers of cells expressing βgal compared to untreated DRG neurones or neurones treated with forskolin which did not appear to have any significant effect (Figure 2B).

We also recovered intact DRG explants from neonate mice transgenic for the ECR2-TAC1prom-LacZ construct and cultured these cells in the presence and absence of 30 mM KCl [26]. We detected a significant increase in the number of cells with a diameter greater than 15 microns expressing the transgene mirroring the effects previously observed using capsaicin and angiotensin [16] (Figure 2C).

**Capsaicin can induce the expression of the NK1 receptor in DRG neurones that also express SP**

It is a matter of contention that the NK1 receptor, which is accepted to be the main receptor of the SP neuropeptide, is expressed in DRG neurones [32,33]. However, a number of studies have since provided evidence of the expression of the receptor on the cell bodies of DRG neurones [34-38]. For example NK1 expression was detected in small DRG neurones by *in-situ* hybridisation and its activity was detected by electrophysiology in DRG cells using specific NK1 antagonists [37]. Furthermore, the expression of NK1 receptors is up-regulated in spontaneously hypertensive rats [35] and in DRG neurones exposed to prostaglandins [34]. In addition, activation of NK1 receptors in DRG culture potentiates the effects of TRPV1 and blockade of these NK1 receptors reduced the ability of TRPV1 activation to cause hyperalgesia and pain [38]. Other studies have further suggested the presence of an NK1-TAC1 autocrine loop in a number of different cells including DRG [38-42]. We explored the possibility that, in addition to the activity of TAC1prom, capsaicin treatment might also affect the expression of the NK1 receptor. We cultured mouse neonate DRG explants for 24 hours in the presence of 10 μM capsaicin.
and carried out fluorescent immunohistochemistry using antisera raised against the NK1 protein and SP (Figure 3A). As before, we observed a significant increase in the numbers of larger diameter neurones expressing SP following capsaicin treatment (Figure 3A). In addition, we found a similar increase in the number and diameter of cells expressing NK1 (Figure 3A and 3B). Most significantly, and in contrast to the expression of TRPV1, NK1 expression was found in all of the cells that expressed the transgene following induction by capsaicin (Figure 3A).

Activation of the NK1 receptor induces expression of the ECR2-Tac1prom-LacZ transgene

We provide evidence that expression of the NK1 receptor is induced by capsaicin in larger diameter DRG neurones that also express SP. We next addressed the possibility that activation of the NK1 receptor could activate the expression of the ECR2-TAC1prom transgene. To achieve this we treated ECR2-TAC1prom-LacZ transgenic DRG explants in the presence of the NK1 agonist [Sar9, Met(O2)11]-SP. Following 24 hours incubation with this agonist the expression of both SP and the βgal protein were examined using fluorescence immunohistochemistry (Figure 4A and 4B). Cell counting and measurement showed a significant up-regulation of the expression of both SP and the transgene in large diameter neurones (Figure 4A and 4B) in a manner reminiscent of treatment by capsaicin [16].

Induction of TAC1prom by LPS is independent of ECR2

A number of studies have shown that, in addition to capsaicin, SP expression can be induced by the bacterial cell wall extract LPS. These data support studies that show that deletions of the TAC1 gene in mice also reduce the effects of bacterial sepsis and LPS induced organ damage [6,19]. We explored the hypothesis that LPS used a similar regulatory pathway as capsaicin to up-regulate the activity of TAC1prom. Consistent with the role of LPS in inducing TAC1 gene expression we were able to co-localise the expression of the TLR4 receptor in a subset of cells of the DRG that also expressed SP (Figure 5A white arrows). In order to determine the effects of LPS on TAC1prom we transfected primary DRG neurones with the TAC1prom-LacZ, ECR2-hβg-LacZ and ECR2-TAC1prom-LacZ reporter plasmids (See Figure 2A) and treated these cultures with LPS. We demonstrate that LPS induced the activity of TAC1prom in a significantly greater number of cells than the untreated control (Figure 5B). However, these experiments also show that LPS induction of TAC1prom did not differ significantly in the presence or absence of ECR2 (Figure 5B and 5C). Furthermore, culture of ECR2-TAC1prom-LacZ transgenic DRG explants with LPS did not significantly change the size spectrum of the cells expressing the transgene (Figure 5D). Indeed no cells with a diameter greater than 15 microns expressed the transgene or SP following treatment with levels of LPS that were clearly shown to induce TAC1prom in primary neurones.
Discussion

Neurogenic inflammation has been shown to play a critical role in the progression of diseases such as asthma, inflammatory bowel disease and arthritis [43]. Thus, gaining a better understanding of the mechanisms controlling the activity of TAC1 promoter has been a continuing priority since the discovery of the involvement of the TAC1 gene in neurogenic inflammation. We have previously demonstrated the novel requirement by TAC1-prom for the presence of the ECR2 enhancer to respond appropriately to MEKKinase activation and noxious induction by capsaicin [16]. In the current study, we have used a combination of transgenic analysis, DRG explant culture and primary cell line transformation to further examine the effects of well characterised inflammatory mediators such as capsaicin and LPS on TAC1 promoter activity. We first demonstrated that the expression of TRPV1 did not change significantly in response to capsaicin and that many of the larger diameter neurones, that expressed substance-P in response to capsaicin, did not express TRPV1. These observations suggest that the induction of TAC1 promoter activity in larger diameter neurones by capsaicin is not cell autonomous. Furthermore, we demonstrate that depolarising DRG neurones with potassium was also able to induce expression of the transgene in large diameter neurones providing further evidence that the previously observed relationship between TRPV1 and the transgene depends on cell depolarisation. However, we also suggest that the induction of ECR2-TAC1prom synergism by potassium induced depolarisation might also activate voltage gated calcium channels (VGCC) that are also known to play a role in pain modulation in sensory neurones [44]. These observations support the hypothesis that TRPV1 is only one of many pathways that can stimulate synergy between ECR2 and the TAC1prom.

Because a number of previous studies have reported the expression of NK1 in these neurones, and also report on the possibility of an NK1-TAC1 autocrine loop, we next explored the possibility that the NK1 receptor may be involved in the induction of TAC1-prom in DRG neurones [34,35,37,38]. In support of these observations we were able to show a parallel induction of NK1 and SP in larger diameter DRG neurones following capsaicin treatment. Significantly, we were also able to observe that treatment of ECR2-TAC1prom-LacZ transgenic DRG explants with an NK1 agonist triggered the expression of the transgene in a similar way to capsaicin. These observations are consistent with the proposition that stimulation of large diameter neurones with SP can induce the expression of SP within these neurones and induce an autocrine loop. The presence of an TAC1 gene driven autocrine loop in these large diameter neurones suggests a possible mechanisms for the induction and maintenance of hyperalgesia which is associated with the expression of SP in large diameter neurones [3,4]. However, it must be recognised that [Sar9, Met(O2)1]-SP can also bind a number of other receptors, including NK2 and NK3, although with greatly reduced affinity [45]. Therefore, whilst there is a strong case for
the involvement of NK1 in the maintenance of TAC1-prom activity in large diameter neurones following capsaicin treatment we are also aware that NK1 may not be the only receptor responsible and that redundancy with other receptors, such as NK3, is a possibility. In addition, although we present evidence for the presence of an NK1-ECR2-TAC1prom driven autocrine loop in the perpetuation of SP expression in large diameter neurones there is the possibility that another pathway, which does not involve NK1 signalling, is involved in the initial up-regulation of the NK1 gene expression in large diameter neurones.

Following stimulation with KCl or capsaicin it was observed that the expression of the ECR2-TAC1prom-LacZ transgene or the NK1 receptor respectively were observed in significantly lower proportions of small diameter neurones. However, we have little evidence that the numbers of small diameter neurones expressing these markers decrease significantly after treatment. Therefore, these observations do not constitute a significant change in the numbers of cells expressing the respective marker but instead reflect a reduction in the overall proportion of small diameter cells expressing βgal. Based on these observations and those of other studies described above we present one possible model of the mechanisms regulating TAC1 promoter activity in sensory neurones in the absence or presence of capsaicin and potassium (see Figure 6). We propose that, prior to stimulation by capsaicin, LPS or potassium TAC1prom is only active in smaller diameter C-fibre neurones.
(Figure 6 step 1 and 2) and ECR2 is unable to promote activity of TAC1prom in larger diameter neurones prior to cell depolarisation (Step 3). However, following activation of TRPV1 ion channels with capsaicin or activation of VGCC’s with potassium driven depolarisation (step 4) SP containing c-fibre neurones are depolarised and release SP (step 5 and 6). Following its release, SP then binds receptors that likely include the NK1 receptor on neighbouring cells (Step 6) triggering an autocrine loop (steps 7-10) that results in a parallel induction of TAC1prom and higher expression of NK1. These observations, when combined with those from our previous study [16] suggest the fascinating possibility that the presence of an autocrine loop in large diameter neurons may contribute to the perpetuation of hyperalgesic symptoms. Indeed, such an autocrine loop has been previously suggested in sensory neurons before [38]. It will be interesting to determine the mechanisms that allow induction of TAC1prom in larger diameter neurones by ECR2. Whilst it is possible that the function of ECR2, and the MAPkinase activated transcription factors interacting with it [16], is to directly enhance the activity of RNA polymerase II at TAC1-prom it is also possible that an unidentified MEK/ERK kinase activated transcription factor allows RNA polIII activity at TAC1prom by removing the repressive effects of REST/NRSF known to bind at TAC1prom [46,47].

LPS was also shown to increase the numbers of primary neurones in which TAC1prom was active. However, in contrast to angiotensin and capsaicin [16] induction of TAC1prom by LPS did not depend on the presence of ECR2. In addition, we were unable to detect any evidence for the upregulation of the TAC1prom transgene in neurones greater than 15 microns in diameter in DRG explants exposed to LPS. Our data suggests that activation of TAC1prom by LPS is via an unidentified cell autonomous mechanisms that does not require the presence of ECR2 (step 11). We have previously shown that angiotensin II is unable to activate TAC1prom in the absence of ECR2 [16] although LPS binding to TLR4 has been shown to activate MAPkinase pathways the lack of requirement by LPS for ECR2 in the induction of TAC1prom, in combination with the inability of MAPkinase to induce TAC1prom in the absence of ECR2, suggests that LPS does not control TAC1prom through MAPkinases. Thus, by deduction, LPS is more likely to modulate TAC1prom activity through NFκB than MAPkinase pathways [21]. In keeping with this hypothesis there have been previous reports of highly conserved NFκB binding in TAC1...
exon 1 [48] and we have used bioinformatics to detect the presence of NFκB binding sites close to the human TAC1 transcriptional start site (data not shown).

Despite evidence showing that LPS and capsaicin can activate TAC1 expression and inflammation the current study suggests a distinction between the signal transduction pathways that control either capsaicin or LPS activation of TAC1prom. In hindsight, the basis for these differences make sense as, despite both being able to activate the promoter, they are both known to operate through different signalling pathways [13,21]. Further analyses of the influence of NFκB on activation of TAC1prom in sensory neurons exposed to LPS will be very exciting.

Conclusions
The current study sheds light on the fascinating diversity of regulatory mechanisms that control the activity of TAC1prom during challenges by inflammatory mediators such as LPS and capsaicin and provides further evidence for the existence of an auto-regulatory loop that controls the expression of the TAC1 gene [34,35,37,38]. Gaining a better understanding of the diverse mechanisms that control the expression of TAC1prom during the inflammatory response will take us a step nearer to the development of more specific and novel therapies for the treatment of conditions such as hyperalgesia and inflammatory pain.
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