The impact of cardiovascular risk factors on cognition in Hispanics and non-Hispanic whites
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Among non-Hispanic whites, cardiovascular risk factors are associated with increased mortality and poorer cognition. Prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors among aging Hispanics is also high and Hispanics generally have poorer access to healthcare, yet they tend to have advantageous cardiovascular disease rates and outcomes and live longer than non-Hispanic whites, an epidemiological phenomenon commonly referred to as the Hispanic or Latino health paradox. Although robust data support these ethnic benefits on physical health and mortality, it is unknown if it extends to include cognition resilience advantages in older adulthood. The present study compared relationships between cardiovascular risk and cognition (executive functions and episodic memory) in late middle age and older Hispanics (n = 87) and non-Hispanic whites (n = 81). Participants were selected from the National Alzheimer’s Coordinating Center and Alzheimer’s Disease Neuroimaging Initiative databases. Hispanics and non-Hispanic white groups were matched on age (50–94 yr, mean age = 72 yr), education, gender, cognitive status (i.e., cognitively healthy versus mildly cognitively impaired), and apolipoprotein E4 status. History of hypertension and higher body mass index were both associated with poorer executive functions among Hispanics but not non-Hispanic whites. Our findings suggest greater vulnerability to impairments in executive functions among Hispanics with hypertension and obesity, contrary to the notion of a Hispanic health paradox for cognitive aging.

The population over the age of 65 yr in the United States continues to grow and become increasingly diverse. By 2030, adults ages 65 and older will outnumber individuals under the age of 18 for the first time in the country’s history (United States Census Bureau 2018). Among older adults, Hispanics are one of the fastest growing groups in the United States (Colby and Ortman 2015). Compared to non-Hispanic whites, Hispanics generally experience higher rates of poverty, less education, and less access to medical care (Gallo et al. 2009a) though there is wide variability between Hispanic subgroups (Fenelon et al. 2017). Despite these socioeconomic barriers, numerous studies have demonstrated that Hispanics have lower mortality rates than non-Hispanic whites, a pattern of resilience known as the Hispanic mortality paradox (Abraido-Lanza et al. 1999). The Hispanic mortality paradox is robust, applying to multiple diseases affecting lifespan including end-stage renal disease, cardiovascular disease, and some forms of cancer (for reviews, see Ruiz et al. 2013, 2016). Importantly, a recent meta-analysis of longitudinal studies estimated that averaged across multiple disease domains, Hispanics have a 17.5% lower mortality rate compared to non-Hispanic groups (Ruiz et al. 2013). This effect is particularly consistent in studies of cardiovascular disease. For example, the majority of studies find that Hispanics live longer than non-Hispanic whites with similar cardiovascular diseases including coronary heart disease (for review, see Medina-Inojosa et al. 2014). Further, Hispanics have lower rates of heart disease and strokes than non-Hispanic whites (Ruiz et al. 2016), suggesting protection from some physical health diseases (a Hispanic health paradox) in addition to decreased mortality (the Hispanic mortality paradox).

In the present study, we consider whether the Hispanic health paradox—resilience to the physical effects of cardiovascular risk and disease—may also apply to age-related cognitive decline. We focus on two risk factors—hypertension and increased body fat—that are highly prevalent among both Hispanics and non-Hispanic whites, in order to determine whether they may differentially affect cognitive functioning in these two groups. Hypertension has a 65% prevalence in adults age 65 yr and older (Go et al. 2013), and obesity, which is commonly defined as body mass index (BMI) greater than or equal to 30 is present in 35% of the population age 60 yr and older (Ogden et al. 2013). In general, Hispanics tend to have 2%–5% lower prevalence of hypertension across the lifespan compared to non-Hispanic whites (Sorlie et al. 2014; Balfour et al. 2016) but higher rates of obesity, with rates at 37% and 43% for Hispanic males and females, respectively (Daviglus et al. 2012). Even among cognitively normal older adults, the presence of cardiovascular risk factors (e.g., hypertension and obesity) is associated with poorer cognitive performance (Raz et al. 2003; Gunstad et al. 2007; Crichton et al. 2014; Bangen et al. 2015; Yeung and Loken Thornton 2017) and increased risk of Alzheimer’s and vascular dementia (Meng et al. 2014; for reviews, see Tolppanen et al. 2012; Pedditi et al. 2016). Although fewer studies have been carried out among Hispanic cohorts, the results suggest that the presence of...
cardiovascular risk factors has similar negative effects on cognition among older Hispanics, including increasing risk of dementia (Haan et al. 2003; but see Rodríguez-Saldaña et al. 2002).

Individuals with hypertension (chronic high blood pressure) have poorer processing speed, executive functions, and episodic memory compared to normotensive individuals (for review, see van den Berg et al. 2009). Hypertension most consistently affects episodic memory (van den Berg et al. 2009) and is associated with greater declines in episodic memory functioning over a 20-yr span (Szoeke et al. 2016). Within another cognitive domain, Raz et al. (2003) found that the number of years of hypertension predicted greater numbers of perseverative errors and poorer abstract reasoning on tests of executive function. Perseveration is indicative of poor flexibility and difficulty shifting attention between different elements within a task (Fisk and Sharp 2004).

Among Hispanics, history of hypertension has not been well studied as a predictor of age-related cognitive functioning compared to measurements of blood pressure. For example, higher systolic blood pressure and diastolic blood pressure have been linked to poorer performance on a verbal memory task, but only systolic blood pressure was linked to decreases in psychomotor speed in a large sample of over 9000 individuals (Tarrail et al. 2017). In a longitudinal study of Hispanics, blood pressure did not predict baseline memory performance or change in memory over an average 2.6-yr period, although baseline blood pressure predicted declines on a measure of global cognitive functioning over the same time period (Yaffe et al. 2007). In one study of hypertension, Hispanics with poorly controlled hypertension performed similarly to those with well-controlled hypertension on a verbal memory task but performed worse on a processing speed task (Lamar et al. 2017).

Another common cardiovascular risk factor, obesity, has also been shown to negatively impact attention and memory functioning (Cournot et al. 2006). When present during midlife, obesity is associated with cognitive impairment in later life (for review, see Pedditizi et al. 2016). Increased body fat is most consistently associated with poorer executive functioning (Gunstad et al. 2007; Li et al. 2008; Walther et al. 2010; for review, see Smith et al. 2011). One longitudinal study found that higher BMI at baseline, rather than increases in BMI over a 5-yr period, predicted declines in processing speed and word list learning (Cournot et al. 2006). Conversely, substantially reducing body fat through bariatric surgery resulted in improvements in attention, memory, and executive functions up to 3 yr after surgery (Gunstad et al. 2011; Alosco et al. 2014), suggesting increased body fat and obesity are modifiable risk factors for cognitive impairment.

Although increased body fat and obesity are established predictors of cognitive impairment among non-Hispanic whites, few studies have directly investigated the impact of these factors on cognition among Hispanics. This is particularly striking given the increased prevalence of obesity among this population (Davignon et al. 2012). In studies of Hispanics with metabolic syndrome, obesity tends to fail to predict cognitive functions when taking other factors into account, including age, gender, education, Hispanic/Latino subgroup, and depressive symptoms (González et al. 2018). However, in a predominantly Mexican American sample, higher waist-to-hip ratio, a measure of central body fat, was associated with lower hippocampal volumes and greater white matter hyperintensities even when controlling for other cardiovascular factors including cholesterol level, blood pressure, BMI, and glucose and insulin levels (Jagust et al. 2005). Further, these same brain measures predicted the degree of cognitive impairment (cognitively normal versus mild cognitive impairment; Wu et al. 2002).

Taken together, hypertension and increased blood pressure appear to impact cognition negatively among both Hispanics and non-Hispanic whites, although studies differ as to the cognitive domain that is affected. It remains unclear as to the impact of obesity and increased body fat among Hispanics, given the relative paucity of studies. Further, few studies have considered whether these cardiovascular risk factors impact cognition differentially among Hispanics and non-Hispanic whites. Comparisons across existing studies described above are exceedingly difficult because cohorts often differ in terms of demographics or educational attainment, as well as other variables that may affect age-related cognitive functioning. To provide evidence relevant to these issues, we investigated the link between cardiovascular health and cognition in the same cohorts of older Hispanic and non-Hispanic whites.

Specifically, the present study compared the impact of hypertension (self-reported history of hypertension) and increased body fat (measured by BMI) on cognitive functioning in late middle age and older Hispanics versus non-Hispanic whites, ages 50–94 yr. Hispanics (n = 87) and non-Hispanic whites (n = 81) were selected from the National Alzheimer’s Coordinating Center (NACC) and Alzheimer’s Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) databases. Importantly, these groups were carefully matched on age, education, gender, cognitive status (i.e., cognitively healthy versus mild cognitive impairment), and apolipoprotein E4 status. The present study included measures of executive functions (Trail Making Test and Digit Span Backward) and episodic memory (verbal memory delayed recall) as cognitive outcomes. Given the different rates of hypertension (lower rates) and obesity (higher rates) among Hispanics compared to non-Hispanic whites, we tested these two cardiovascular risk predictors separately. We predicted that history of hypertension and higher BMI would be associated with poorer memory and executive functions in both ethnic groups when controlling for age and education. Additionally, we predicted that Hispanic ethnicity may be protective of cognition, such that the impact of cardiovascular risk factors on cognition would be stronger among non-Hispanic whites, suggesting greater vulnerability, than among Hispanics.

Results

Hispanics and non-Hispanic white groups were matched on age, education, systolic blood pressure, and diastolic blood pressure (t ≤ 1.65, n.s.) as well as gender, cognitive status (i.e., cognitively healthy versus mild cognitive impairment), apolipoprotein E4 (APOE E4) status (a genetic risk factor for Alzheimer’s disease; Farrer et al. 1997), and history of hypertension (χ² ≤ 1.44, n.s.). Hispanics had marginally higher BMI than non-Hispanic whites (t(164) = 1.85, P = 0.07). Additionally, among the NACC participants, ethnic groups were matched on antihypertensive medication and lipid-lowering medication treatments (χ² ≤ 1.53, n.s.), but Hispanics were marginally more likely to be taking medication for diabetes than non-Hispanic whites (χ² = 3.45, P = 0.06). Unfortunately, there was no or incomplete data on medication use to treat the above cardiovascular risk factors for the majority of ADNI participants. Therefore, we only tested medication differences among NACC participants. See Table 1 for demographics. Given that different verbal memory tests were administered to NACC and ADNI participants (the Wechsler Memory Scale-III or -R Logical Memory subtest and the Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test, respectively), memory test scores were standardized (transformed to z-scores) separately using the mean and standard deviation of the corresponding NACC sample or the corresponding ADNI sample to create a single delayed verbal memory score across all participants. Other outcomes of interest were Trail Making Test (Trail B raw score divided by Trail A raw score, Trails B/A; Arribnuth and Frank 2000) and Digit Span Backward raw score. Not all participants completed each cognitive task, so degrees of freedom vary by task (see Materials and Methods for details).
Table 1. Participant demographics for Hispanics compared to non-Hispanic whites. APOE E4 represents the percentage of E4 carriers (E4 heterozygotes and homozygotes) in each group

| Ethnicity | Hispanics (n = 87) | Non-Hispanic whites (n = 81) |
|-----------|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| Age (years), M (±SEM) | 72.64 (0.88) | 72.84 (1.12) |
| Education (years), M (±SEM) | 12.59 (0.48) | 13.56 (0.34) |
| Body mass index, M (±SEM) | 28.18 (0.59) | 26.69 (0.55) |
| Systolic blood pressure, M (±SEM) | 164.89 (16.63) | 157.15 (19.04) |
| Diastolic blood pressure, M (±SEM) | 92.24 (18.15) | 95.60 (20.41) |
| Gender (% male) | 29.9 | 38.3 |
| Mild cognitive impairment (%) | 41.4 | 50.6 |
| APOE E4 (%) | 25.6 | 30.9 |
| History of hypertension (%) | 60.9 | 59.3 |
| Antihypertensive medication (%) | 58.5 | 68.1 |
| Diabetes medication (%) | 35.8 | 19.1 |
| Lipid lowering medication (%) | 47.2 | 59.6 |

*Only calculated for National Alzheimer’s Coordinating Center participants.

Table 2 lists the number of participants, means, and standard error of the means per ethnic group for each cognitive outcome variable. Six generalized linear models (GLMs) were performed to separately test the main effects of cardiovascular risk factors (hypertension, BMI) and ethnicity as well as the interaction between cardiovascular risk factor and ethnicity, predicting the three cognitive outcome measures. Three GLMs were conducted for hypertensive status (categorical yes/no variable) and three were conducted for BMI (linear variable). All GLMs included age and education as linear covariates. Table 3 lists the overall F-statistics for the six models. Predictors and covariates are listed for those models that met significance or were marginally significant. Results shown are F-statistics from GLMs with the exception of F-statistics from parametric estimates with robust standard errors in which violations of homoskedasticity occurred.

Table 2. Mean (standard error of the mean) and numbers of participants (N) for each cognitive task per ethnicity group

| Ethnicity | Hispanics | | | Non-Hispanic whites | | |
|-----------|-----------|---|---|-------------------|---|---|
|           | N         | Mean (±SEM) | N | Mean (±SEM) |
| Delayed verbal memory z-score, M (±SEM) | 82 | 0.06 (0.10) | 81 | -0.06 (0.12) |
| Trails B/A raw, M (±SEM) | 79 | 3.23 (0.15) | 81 | 3.00 (0.13) |
| Digit span backward raw, M (±SEM) | 61 | 4.42 (0.23) | 67 | 6.23 (0.29) |

Comparison of results for BMI were generally similar to the hypertension models. For GLMs including BMI as a predictor, only the models for delayed verbal memory and Digit Span Backward were significant. The overall model for Trails B/A was not significant (\(F_{(1,152)}=1.48\), n.s.). Therefore, we did not interpret its predictors. As with the above GLMs, delayed verbal memory was predicted by age and education. Specifically, younger age (\(F_{(1,155)}=15.65, P<0.001\)) and higher years of education (\(F_{(1,155)}=4.15, P<0.05\)) were linked to better-delayed verbal memory scores. The main effects of BMI, ethnicity, and the interaction between BMI and ethnicity did not approach significance (\(F_{(1,80)}=1.90, P<0.05\)). Consistent with the earlier analysis, Hispanics had significantly poorer Digit Span Backward scores than non-Hispanic whites (\(t=(2.19), P<0.05\)). Further, the interaction between ethnicity and BMI significantly predicted Digit Span Backward scores (Pearson’s r(60) = –0.34, P<0.01) but was not significantly related to performance among non-Hispanic whites (Pearson’s r(62) = 0.17, n.s.). Figure 2 shows both effects identified in this GLM –

Body mass index
The pattern of results for BMI were generally similar to the hypertension models. For GLMs including BMI as a predictor, only the models for delayed verbal memory and Digit Span Backward were significant. The overall model for Trails B/A was not significant (\(F_{(1,152)}=1.48\), n.s.). Therefore, we did not interpret its predictors. As with the above GLMs, delayed verbal memory was predicted by age and education. Specifically, younger age (\(F_{(1,155)}=15.65, P<0.001\)) and higher years of education (\(F_{(1,155)}=4.15, P<0.05\)) were linked to better-delayed verbal memory scores. The main effects of BMI, ethnicity, and the interaction between BMI and ethnicity did not approach significance (\(F_{(1,90)}=1.90, P<0.05\)). Consistent with the earlier analysis, Hispanics had significantly poorer Digit Span Backward scores than non-Hispanic whites (\(t=(2.19), P<0.05\)). Further, the interaction between ethnicity and BMI significantly predicted Digit Span Backward scores (Pearson’s r(60) = –0.34, P<0.01) but was not significantly related to performance among non-Hispanic whites (Pearson’s r(62) = 0.17, n.s.). Figure 2 shows both effects identified in this GLM –

Table 2. Mean (standard error of the mean) and numbers of participants (N) for each cognitive task per ethnicity group

| Ethnicity | Hispanics | | | Non-Hispanic whites | | |
|-----------|-----------|---|---|-------------------|---|---|
|           | N         | Mean (±SEM) | N | Mean (±SEM) |
| Delayed verbal memory z-score, M (±SEM) | 82 | 0.06 (0.10) | 81 | -0.06 (0.12) |
| Trails B/A raw, M (±SEM) | 79 | 3.23 (0.15) | 81 | 3.00 (0.13) |
| Digit span backward raw, M (±SEM) | 61 | 4.42 (0.23) | 67 | 6.23 (0.29) |
Body mass index
Hypertension
main effect of hypertension in addition
with more power we might expect a
difference between respective non-Hispanic whites,
sive and hypertensive Hispanics but not
detected differences between normoten-
sion did not impact cognition among
Hispanics but not non-Hispanic
whites. Again, this suggests that a modifiable risk factor may
result poorer task switching
ability may be expected by the Hispanic health
paradox.

overall, scores for non-Hispanic whites are higher than those
for Hispanics, and scores among Hispanics decrease as BMI
increases.

Discussion

The present study investigated the role of cardiovascular risk fac-
tors (i.e., hypertension and obesity) on cognition among late
middle-aged and older Hispanics compared to a group of carefully
matched non-Hispanic whites. To summarize the results, only age
and education predicted scores on a delayed memory test—hyper-
tension and BMI did not impact memory scores for either ethnic
group. In contrast, both hypertension and higher BMI were as-
associated with poor executive functions. However, contrary to
our hypothesis, these cardiovascular risk factors were detrimental
among Hispanics but not non-Hispanic whites. Our findings suggest that
Hispanics may be more vulnerable to
cognitive decline in the presence of car-
diovascular risk factors rather than being
protected against cognitive decline, as
may be expected by the Hispanic health
paradox.

Unlike previous studies of predomi-
nantly non-Hispanic white samples (Raz
et al. 2003; Szeoke et al. 2016; for review,
see van den Berg et al. 2009), hypertension
did not impact cognition among the non-Hispanic white group in this
study, nor across the two ethnic groups combined. Rather, we observed a margin-
al interaction with poorer performance
on a task switching test, Trails B/A,
among hypertensive Hispanics compared
to normotensive Hispanics and non-His-
panic whites. Given that this interaction
was trending and that with more power
non-Hispanic whites might also show a
negative impact of hypertension on task
switching, further research is warranted.
Considering that our simple effects
tests detected differences between normoten-
sive and hypertensive Hispanics but not
between respective non-Hispanic whites,
with more power we might expect a
main effect of hypertension in addition
to interaction with Hispanics being more negatively impacted
than non-Hispanic whites. The notion of greater susceptibility
among Hispanics is consistent with the significant interaction ob-
erved on working memory.

On average, Hispanics showed poorer performance on a work-
ning memory test (the Digit Span Backward) compared to
non-Hispanic whites, even when age and education were included
as covariates. This overall difference in performance could be attrib-
uted to cultural biases in digit span tests due to differences in
linguistic chunking strategies among Hispanics which is indepen-
dent of English or Spanish test administration (LaRue et al. 1999).
However, more critical to our study was the finding that higher
BMI differentially predicted poorer performance on a working
memory test among Hispanic individuals, but not non-Hispanic
whites. Again, this suggests that a modifiable risk factor may

Table 3. F-statistics for each of six models (in bold) and predictor/covariate factors for significant or marginally significant models

|                | Delayed memory | Trails B/A | Digit span backward |
|----------------|----------------|------------|---------------------|
| Hypertension   |                |            |                     |
| Overall model  | \( F(5,157) = 5.03^{**} \) | \( F(5,154) = 1.90^† \) | \( F(5,122) = 8.23^{***} \) |
| Ethnicity      |                |            |                     |
| Hypertension × ethnicity |                |            |                     |
| Age            |                |            |                     |
| Education      |                |            |                     |
| Body mass index|                |            |                     |
| Overall model  | \( F(5,155) = 5.61^{***} \) | \( F(5,152) = 1.48^† \) | \( F(5,120) = 9.18^{***} \) |
| Ethnicity      |                |            |                     |
| Body mass index |                |            |                     |
| Hypertension × ethnicity |                |            |                     |
| Age            |                |            |                     |
| Education      |                |            |                     |

\( t \)-statistics from robust standard errors are included in place of \( F \)-statistics for both models predicting Digit Span Backward scores, in which there was a violation of homoscedasticity. (†) \( P < 0.10, (\ast) P < 0.05, (\ast\ast) P < 0.01, (\ast\ast\ast) P < 0.001.\)

Figure 1. Average Trails B/A scores for normotensive and hypertensive Hispanics (left) and normotensive and hypertensive non-Hispanic whites (right). Hypertensive Hispanics had higher average Trails B/A scores compared to normotensive Hispanics and hypertensive non-Hispanic whites. Note, the overall interaction was marginal (\( F(1,154) = 3.55, P = 0.06 \)). Higher Trails B/A scores reflect poorer task switching abilities. Normotensives are represented in white. Hypertensives are represented in gray. (*) \( P < 0.05. \)
negatively impact cognition to a greater extent among Hispanics than non-Hispanic whites.

Haan et al. (2003) suggested that cardiovascular-related health factors (e.g., diabetes and stroke) rather than the apolipoprotein E4 status increase prevalence of dementia to a greater extent among Mexican Americans than non-Hispanic whites. Our findings provide some support for the notion that cardiovascular risk factors may be more detrimental to Hispanics than non-Hispanic whites. It is important to note that Hispanics are less likely to be treated for hypertension and are less likely to achieve well-managed hypertension as compared to non-Hispanic whites (for review, see Balfour et al. 2016), and this may contribute to greater susceptibility to cognitive impairment among Hispanics with hypertension. Rates of Alzheimer’s disease among Hispanics are similar to (Haan et al. 2003) or greater than rates among non-Hispanic whites (Gurland et al. 1999), and differential impact of cardiovascular risk factors on cognition may be contributing to the latter. Furthermore, cardiovascular risk factors are associated with increased risk of vascular dementia which may also affect higher proportions of Hispanics than non-Hispanic whites (Fittten et al. 2001). Both vascular dementia and Alzheimer’s disease can include impairments in episodic memory and executive functioning. However, impairments in episodic memory are a critical diagnostic feature of typically progressing Alzheimer’s disease but not necessarily vascular dementia whereas impairments across several subdomains of executive functioning are more common in early stages of vascular dementia than in Alzheimer’s disease (Graham et al. 2004).

In the present study, episodic memory was not differentially impacted by cardiovascular risk factors, regardless of ethnicity. As noted earlier, hypertension has been consistently associated with poorer episodic memory (Szoeke et al. 2016; for review, see van den Berg et al. 2009) and somewhat less consistently with poorer executive functions (Raz et al. 2003). However, in the present study, hypertension did not have a direct effect on either cognitive domain. Hypertension has been suggested to influence cognition through several mechanisms, including reduced cerebral blood flow and loss of blood vessel elasticity which increases risk of stroke (Kannel et al. 1981) and leads to small vessel damage which, in turn, is associated with increases in white matter hyperintensities on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI; Carmelli et al. 1999; Raz et al. 2003; Salat 2014). Obesity, on the other hand, is most consistently associated with executive functions (Gunstad et al. 2007; Li et al. 2008; Walther et al. 2010; for review, see Smith et al. 2011) and to a lesser extent episodic memory. Obesity is thought to impact cognition by increasing the production of proinflammatory markers (e.g., interleukin-6, TNF-α), many of which cross the blood-brain barrier and can lead to brain deterioration if sustained (Fuentes et al. 2013; Verstynen et al. 2013; Kiliaan et al. 2014). Additionally, obesity reduces blood flow to the brain (Birdsill et al. 2013; Bagi et al. 2014).

Within the domain of executive functioning, it is important to note that hypertension predicted task switching while increased BMI predicted working memory. Hypertension has been shown to impact specific aspects of executive functions in other studies as well. For example, Raz et al. (2003) found that hypertension was associated with increases in white matter hyperintensities on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI; Carmelli et al. 1999; Raz et al. 2003; Salat 2014). Obesity, on the other hand, is most consistently associated with executive functions (Gunstad et al. 2007; Li et al. 2008; Walther et al. 2010; for review, see Smith et al. 2011) and to a lesser extent episodic memory. Obesity is thought to impact cognition by increasing the production of proinflammatory markers (e.g., interleukin-6, TNF-α), many of which cross the blood-brain barrier and can lead to brain deterioration if sustained (Fuentes et al. 2013; Verstynen et al. 2013; Kiliaan et al. 2014). Additionally, obesity reduces blood flow to the brain (Birdsill et al. 2013; Bagi et al. 2014).

**Table 4. Numbers of participants (divided by ethnicity) with cognitive data broken down by task**

|                     | NACC |          |          |          |          |          |
|---------------------|------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
|                     | Hispanic | NHW | Hispanic | NHW | Hispanic | NHW | Hispanic | NHW |
| Episodic memory     |        |        |          |          |        |        |          |          |
| RAVLT               | –     | –       | 34       | 34       | 34       | 34       |
| Logical memory      | 48    | 47      | –        | –        | 48       | 47       |
| Executive functions |        |        |          |          |        |        |          |          |
| Trail B             | 45    | 47      | 34       | 34       | 79       | 81       |
| Digit Span Backward | 48    | 47      | 13       | 20       | 61       | 67       |
| Processing speed    |        |        |          |          |        |        |          |          |
| Trail A             | 52    | 47      | 34       | 34       | 86       | 81       |

NACC, National Alzheimer’s Coordinating Center; ADNI, Alzheimer’s Disease Neuroimaging Initiative; NHW, non-Hispanic White; RAVLT, Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test.
associated with greater numbers of perseverative errors, an indicator of poor cognitive flexibility, but was unrelated to working memory. Our data support the notion of distinct mechanisms by which hypertension versus increased BMI impact cognition. Miyake and Friedman’s (2012) and Friedman and Miyake’s (2017) models of executive functioning frame task switching and updating working memory as related but distinct subdomains of executive functions which may rely on different neurological pathways. This model has been supported by a recent diffusion MRI study (Bettcher et al. 2016), demonstrating that subdomains of executive functions are associated with distinct patterns of white matter integrity—both task switching and working memory performance were associated with diffusion in the cingulum, but diffusion in the corpus callosum specifically predicted task switching abilities.

Although the present study did not directly compare the impact of hypertension to obesity, we found an interaction between ethnicity and increased BMI on working memory, and a similar pattern between ethnicity and hypertension on task switching. Among samples of predominantly non-Hispanic whites and even predominantly Hispanic samples, hypertension tends to be a more robust predictor of cognition than obesity (Nilsson and Nilsson 2009; Levin et al. 2014; Yesavage et al. 2014). For example, among the ethnically diverse Northern Manhattan Study sample, blood pressure was the most robust cardiovascular predictor of executive functioning, even after controlling for other cardiovascular risk factors, whereas obesity failed to predict cognition when other cardiovascular risk factors were taken into account.

Other factors not accounted for in the present study may have impacted our results. First, similar to previous studies (Zahodne et al. 2015), our sample included participants with and without a diagnosis of mild cognitive impairment (all subtypes), carefully matching ethnic groups on the proportions of cognitively healthy to mildly cognitively impaired. Mild cognitive impairment is characterized in multiple ways, and there is an even greater dearth of information on mild cognitive impairment among Hispanics. There is some evidence to suggest that mild cognitive impairment among Hispanics is distinct from non-Hispanic whites. At a neurological level, Hispanics with mild cognitive impairment have greater hippocampal volumes compared to non-Hispanic whites, yet ethnic groups do not differ in hippocampal volumes among those with a dementia diagnosis (DeCarli et al. 2008). More research is needed to clarify whether Hispanics are better able to maintain hippocampal volumes in the early stages of possible neurodegeneration. In the present study, the cognitive status may have further moderated the impact of cardiovascular risk on executive functions. However, due to our relatively small sample sizes, we did not examine the three-way interaction between cognitive status, ethnicity, and cardiovascular risk factors on cognition.

Second, the age at which cardiovascular risk factors are acquired impacts their effect on cognition. Among non-Hispanic whites, midlife hypertension and midlife obesity are both associated with increased risk of Alzheimer’s disease, yet onset of these two cardiovascular risk factors in later life may be protective against cognitive impairment (Smith et al. 2011; Memel et al. 2016; Pedditizi et al. 2016; Corrada et al. 2017). In the present study, the lack of an effect of cardiovascular risk among non-Hispanic whites may reflect variability in onset of risk factors among a wide age range of older adults. It is unclear as to whether or not this pattern is true for Hispanics. Interestingly, in a study of metabolic syndrome, the presence of multiple cardiovascular risk factors among Hispanics was predictive of episodic memory only among late middle age individuals (González et al. 2018). In contrast, executive functions were negatively impacted across all age groups in the presence of metabolic syndrome (González et al. 2018), which is consistent with the present finding of an association with executive functions but not memory.

Third, although the impact of cardiovascular risk factors is likely mediated by changes in brain structure and function, particularly in the context of aging, other factors may be overshadowing and/or moderating the direct impact of single risk factors. For this reason, measures of brain structure may serve as a better predictor of cognition than the risk factors themselves. For example, among both Hispanics and non-Hispanic whites, total brain volumes have been shown to mediate the relationship between age and executive functions (Mungas et al. 2009); that is, age-associated changes in total brain volumes better-predicted changes in executive functions than age alone. Regarding cardiovascular risk factors and the brain, obesity has been associated with smaller hippocampal volumes and greater white matter hyperintensities among Mexican Americans (Jagust et al. 2005), and Hispanics may be more susceptible to white matter hyperintensities in the presence of high blood pressure than non-Hispanic whites (Marcus et al. 2011). Both lower hippocampal volumes and larger white matter hyperintensity volumes have been linked to poorer cognitive functioning among Hispanics (Wu et al. 2002; DeCarli et al. 2008). However, it is unclear if such brain measures predict cognition equally (DeCarli et al. 2008), to a lesser extent (Zahodne et al. 2015), or to a greater extent among Hispanics compared to non-Hispanic whites. Given the findings from the present study suggesting a Hispanic cognitive disadvantage in the presence of cardiovascular risk factors, further investigation into brain structure as a possible mediator of the impact of cardiovascular risk factors on cognition is warranted.

Fourth, differences between NACC and ADNI databases could potentially limit the generalizability of our findings. The ADNI study, in particular, has enriched its sample to include more individuals with mild cognitive impairment. Although mild cognitive impairment diagnostic criteria may slightly differ between databases (see Weintraub et al. 2009 and adni.loni.usc.edu/study-design), we matched and selected approximately equal numbers Hispanic and non-Hispanic whites from NACC as well as from ADNI, in an attempt to minimize confounds of database. As mentioned above, data on other cardiovascular risk factors (e.g., diabetes and hyperlipidemia) were limited. The competing and combined impacts of hypertension, obesity, and other cardiovascular risk factors on cognition among Hispanics compared to non-Hispanic whites remains to be well characterized.

Finally, the country of origin may also impact the outcomes of cognitive studies. Our study included Hispanic individuals from the NACC and ADNI data sets who identified their race as White. Among our NACC participants, Hispanics predominantly identified Mexico as their country of origin. Country of origin/ancestry was unknown for participants from the ADNI sample. Studies with Hispanics from a wide variety of countries of origin have reported differences in susceptibility for cognitive impairment based on country of origin. Specifically, Puerto Ricans and Dominicans seem to be at greater risk of cognitive impairment compared to Hispanics of other descents (González et al. 2015; Marquine et al. 2018). We do not know whether these Hispanic subgroups differ in risk of cognitive impairment due to differences in sensitivity to cardiovascular risk factors. As noted above, our sample was also distinct from other studies in that our Hispanic and non-Hispanic white samples were matched on age and educational status. Among non-Hispanic whites, higher education is protective against cognitive decline with age (Tucker and Stern 2011), and education is likely protective to cognition among Hispanics as well (Levin et al. 2014). The Hispanics in our sample tended to be more highly educated than Hispanics in other samples of aging (e.g., Haan et al. 2016), and this may be reflective of the White racial identification of our group. Of note, even though our Hispanic sample was matched with non-Hispanic whites on years of education, quality of education may differ between ethnic groups as it
does with other racial groups (Glymour and Manly 2008), and it may have impacted susceptibility to poorer cognitive functioning.

Although the present study did not observe a Hispanic health paradox protection for cognition, Hispanics may be protected from the downstream effects of cognitive impairment. For example, Helzner et al. (2008) observed lower mortality rates among Hispanics compared to non-Hispanic whites who developed Alzheimer’s disease while enrolled in a longitudinal study. One possible explanation is that cultural factors common to Hispanics (e.g., values for collectivism and family) may be more effective in building resilience in the presence of disease rather than protecting against disease altogether. Such cultural factors have been hypothesized as the mechanisms underlying the Hispanic health and mortality paradoxes (Ruiz et al. 2016, 2018). Consistent with this notion, the strength of the Hispanic health paradox is attenuated by acculturation—higher adherence to American culture is associated with less resilience to disease (Gallo et al. 2009b). With regard to resilience in the context of cognitive aging, poorer cognition may be less detrimental to daily functioning among Hispanics than among non-Hispanic whites. Recent data from the same sample included in the present study suggest that memory and processing speed, but not executive functions, predicted ability to complete instrumental activities of daily living (e.g., paying bills; Stickel et al. in prep.). Specifically, poorer memory predicted poorer activities of daily living functioning equally among Hispanics and non-Hispanic whites, but only non-Hispanic whites showed poorer functioning in the presence of poorer processing speed. The latter finding suggests that even in the context of mildly poor cognitive functioning, everyday functioning is maintained. However, other studies have observed similar links between cognitive functioning and everyday functioning among Hispanics and non-Hispanic whites or even greater disadvantages among Hispanics rather than Hispanic protection (Tomaszewski Farias et al. 2004; Tarraf et al. 2018). Education can have downstream effects on functioning, including among Hispanics (Haan et al. 2016), and differences in education levels may account for the mixed findings. Other studies control for lower education among Hispanics (Tomaszewski Farias et al. 2004; Tarraf et al. 2018) whereas our ethnic groups were matched on this key factor in addition to controlling for it.

In sum, the present study provides a nuanced view of the impact of cardiovascular health on cognition among older Hispanics and non-Hispanic whites. We examined the impact of hypertension and BMI separately on a variety of neuropsychological measures in a well-matched sample of Hispanics and non-Hispanic whites. We found that executive functions were negatively impacted and BMI separately on a variety of neuropsychological measures, including among Hispanics (Haan et al. 2016), and differences in education levels may account for the mixed findings. Other studies control for lower education among Hispanics (Tomaszewski Farias et al. 2004; Tarraf et al. 2018) whereas our ethnic groups were matched on this key factor in addition to controlling for it.

Materials and Methods

Participants

A total of 202 participants ages 50–94 yr were selected from the NACC ($n = 134$; https://www.alz.washington.edu/) and the ADNI$^2$ ($n = 68$) databases. Across both databases, participants’ baseline line examinations began between 2005 and 2015. The impact of risk factors for cognitive impairment on brain structure and cognition can vary by race (DeCarli et al. 2008; Glymour and Manly 2008; Zahodne et al. 2015, 2017). Therefore, we selected Hispanics who self-identified their race as white ($n = 101$), in order to reduce potential confounds of race. Participants included cognitively healthy individuals and those with a diagnosis of mild cognitive impairment who self-reported their ethnicity as white or non-Hispanic. From these participants, 168 (NACC$^3$: $n = 100$; ADNI: $n = 68$) had cognitive testing data. Of the 168 participants, 36 Hispanics and 41 non-Hispanic whites were diagnosed with mild cognitive impairment. See Table 1 and Results section above for more demographic comparisons between ethnic groups.

Neuropsychological measures

Both NACC and ADNI primarily administer the Uniform Data Set (Wintraub et al. 2009, 2018; http://www.adni-info.org/Scientists/CognitiveTesting.html). Tests included in the Uniform Data Set and used in the present study were the Wechsler Memory Scale (WMS)-III or -R Logical Memory Story-A Immediate and Delayed Recall (Wechsler 1987), WMS-R Digit Span Backward, and Trail Making Test (Parts A and B; Reitan 1958). The Uniform Data Set was translated in Spanish in multiple variations to accommodate cultural/national differences between various Hispanic groups (Acevedo et al. 2009). The Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test (RAVLT) was also collected, specifically for ADNI participants. See Table 4 for breakdown of numbers of Hispanics and non-Hispanic whites with cognitive data per task.

Cardiovascular risk measures

Hypertensive status was determined by self-reported history of hypertension diagnosis and/or indication of hypertension in medical records. Systolic and diastolic blood pressure measurements were collected at baseline for participants. BMI was calculated using the following formula: (weight (lbs) × 703)/height (inches)$^2$. Height and weight measurements were collected at NACC and ADNI study visits.

Statistical analysis

To test the separate impacts of hypertension and obesity and their interactions with cognition, two series of GLMs were performed in SPSS (Windows, Version 25.0). Each series included three GLMs that predicted Trails B/A, Digit Span Backward, and delayed verbal memory scores. In other words, a total of six GLMs which included the main effects of cardiovascular risk factor and ethnicity, the interaction between cardiovascular risk factor and ethnicity, and controlled for age and education were performed. Results presented above are t-statistics from GLMs with the exception of t-statistics from parameter estimates with robust standard errors in which there was a violation of homoskedasticity.
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