A quantitative assessment of the VO line list: Inaccuracies hamper high-resolution VO detections in exoplanet atmospheres

S. de Regt¹, A. Y. Kesseli¹, I. A. G. Snellen¹, S. R. Merritt², and K. L. Chubb³,⁴

¹ Leiden Observatory, Leiden University, Postbus 9513, 2300 RA, Leiden, The Netherlands
e-mail: regt@strw.leidenuniv.nl
² Astrophysics Research Centre, School of Mathematics and Physics, Queen’s University Belfast, Belfast BT7 1NN, UK
³ Centre for Exoplanet Science, University of St Andrews, North Haugh, St Andrews, UK
⁴ Stellar Astrophysics Centre, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Aarhus University, Ny Munkegade 120, DK-8000 Aarhus C, Denmark

Received 17 November 2021 / Accepted 20 February 2022

ABSTRACT

Context. Metal hydrides and oxides are important species in hot-Jupiters since they can affect their energy budgets and the thermal structure of their atmospheres. One such species is vanadium-oxide (VO), which is prominent in stellar M-dwarf spectra. Evidence for VO has been found in the low-resolution transmission spectrum of WASP-121b, but this has not been confirmed at high resolution. It has been suggested that this is due to inaccuracies in its line list.

Aims. In this paper, we quantitatively evaluate the VO line list and assess whether inaccuracies are indeed the reason for the non-detections at high resolution in WASP-121b. Furthermore, we investigate whether the detectability can be improved by selecting only those lines associated with the most accurate quantum transitions.

Methods. A cross-correlation analysis was applied to archival HARPS (High Accuracy Radial velocity Planet Searcher) and CARMENES spectra of several M dwarfs. VO cross-correlation signals from the spectra were compared with those in which synthetic VO models were injected, providing an estimate of the ratio between the potential strength (in case of a perfect model) and the observed strength of the signal. This was repeated for the reduced model covering the most accurate quantum transitions. The findings were subsequently fed into injection and recovery tests of VO in a UVES (Ultraviolet and Visual Echelle Spectrograph) transmission spectrum of WASP-121b.

Results. We find that inaccuracies cause cross-correlation signals from VO in M-dwarf spectra to be suppressed by about a factor 2 and 1.1 for the complete and reduced line lists, respectively, corresponding to a reduced observing efficiency of a factor 4.3 and 1.2. The reduced line list outperforms the complete line list in recovering the actual VO signal in the M-dwarf spectra by about a factor of 1.8. Neither line list results in a VO detection in WASP-121b. Injection tests show that with the reduced efficiency of the line lists, the potential signal as seen at low resolution is not detectable in these data.
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1. Introduction

Ultra-hot Jupiters (UHJs) are a class of gas giant exoplanets that orbit their host stars at extremely close distances and thus are highly irradiated. Their equilibrium temperatures exceed $T_{eq} \gtrsim 2200 \text{ K}$, akin to low-mass stars (Lothringer & Barman 2019). One of the defining features of UHJs is the presence of a thermal inversion, caused by spectroscopically active species absorbing stellar radiation in the upper atmosphere. In this way, a thermal inversion affects a planet’s energy budget and the redistribution of absorbed stellar energy from day to nightside. Hubeny et al. (2003) and Fortney et al. (2008) suggested that UHJ atmospheres are hot enough to contain gaseous titanium oxide (TiO) and vanadium oxide (VO), as in low-mass stars. These metal oxides could possibly be responsible for the inversions strongly absorbing incoming UV and optical radiation at high altitudes and heating up the upper atmosphere.

In the case of UHJ WASP-121b, the presence of a thermal inversion has been observed using emission features in secondary eclipse Hubble Space Telescope (HST) spectra (Evans et al. 2017; Mikal-Evans et al. 2019, 2020), which is in line with the inefficient heat transport inferred from Transiting Exoplanet Survey Satellite (TESS) phase curve photometry (Daylan et al. 2021; Bourrier et al. 2020). Low-resolution spectroscopy has provided tentative evidence for VO in WASP-121b’s atmosphere (Evans et al. 2016, 2018; Mikal-Evans et al. 2019). High-resolution Doppler-resolved spectroscopy (Snellen et al. 2010; Brogi et al. 2012; Birkby et al. 2013) has been used in an effort to confirm the low-resolution VO detection. However, Hoeijmakers et al. (2020) analysed transmission spectra observed by the High Accuracy Radial velocity Planet Searcher (HARPS) to report a non-detection of VO. Furthermore, Merritt et al. (2020) present a non-detection using transmission spectra observed with the Ultraviolet and Visual Echelle Spectrograph (UVES), but both Merritt et al. (2020) and Hoeijmakers et al. (2020) stress that their VO non-detections are inconclusive as a consequence of the inaccuracies of the state-of-the-art ExoMol (Tennyson et al. 2020) VO line list (McKemmish et al. 2016). Indeed, Hoeijmakers et al. (2020) argue that their detection of V I implies the presence of VO as their equilibrium chemistry calculations show that a significant amount of vanadium should exist as gaseous VO.
In this paper, we present a quantitative assessment of the ExoMol VO line list to evaluate the discrepancy between the low-resolution evidence and the high-resolution non-detections of this molecule in the atmosphere of exoplanet WASP-121b. The line list’s cross-correlation performance is studied using high-resolution spectra of M dwarf stars in Sect. 2. In Sect. 3, the results of the line-list assessment are used to interpret the analysis of UVES transmission spectra of WASP-121b. Section 4 discusses the overall results and summarises the conclusions.

2. VO line-list assessment

The most recent and accurate VO line list was constructed by the ExoMol group (McKemmish et al. 2016) and it is available from the ExoMol library. The line list consists of approximately 640,000 energy levels between which more than 277 million transitions are transcribed. The energy levels are determined using quantum chemistry calculations and then adjusted based on experimental data. The limited availability of experimental data results in poorly constrained energy levels, which subsequently translate into large wavelength uncertainties of spectral lines. As a result, model spectra made with the ExoMol line list are not an exact representation of the actual VO opacity at high resolution. The presented analysis uses the ExoMol VO line list with version number 20160726, where additional experimental data were used to further refine the A²Π, B²Π, and C²Σ⁻ states from the initial publication (Laura McKemmish, priv. comm.). There is a Measured Active Rotational-Vibrational Energy Levels (MARVEL) project for VO currently nearing completion (Bowesman et al. (to be submitted); however, a new ExoMol line list is now also in production for VO which includes hyperfine splitting (Jonathan Tenysson, priv. comm.). This line list will be updated in the future with the MARVEL-produced high-accuracy energy levels for high-resolution studies. As highlighted by works such as McKemmish et al. (2017) and Tenysson et al. (2016), computing ab initio line lists for transition metal diatomics is challenging. The hyperfine splittings present in VO make it particularly complex.

2.1. M-dwarf spectra

The performance of the VO line list was quantified in a similar way as in McKemmish et al. (2019) when updating the ExoMol TiO line list. We performed a cross-correlation with high-resolution spectra of M dwarfs as these stars have similar effective temperatures to UHJs and as VO is known to be an important opacity source (Kirkpatrick et al. 1999). We utilised spectra observed with the HARPS and CARMENES spectrographs to cover a large wavelength range. The CARMENES optical arm has a spectral resolution of \( R \equiv \lambda / \Delta \lambda \sim 94,600 \) and covers wavelengths between 520–960 nm (Quirrenbach et al. 2018). The reduced spectra were retrieved from the CARMENES radial velocity survey (Reiners et al. 2018). The HARPS spectrograph covers the wavelength range 380–690 nm with a spectral resolution of \( R \sim 120,000 \) (Mayor et al. 2003). The reduced data were retrieved from the ESO archive. The choice was made to focus on Wolf 359 as this bright star (\( V = 13.5 \); Landolt 1992) is observed with both spectrographs. Additionally, Wolf 359 is a relatively cool (\( T_{\text{eff}} = 2800 \) K; Pavlenko et al. 2006), late-type star (M6.0; Reiners et al. 2018) which prevents the thermal dissociation of VO and thus enhances its abundance. The observed spectra were shifted to the stellar rest frame by accounting for the barycentric and systemic velocity. The CARMENES orders were combined to produce a one-dimensional spectrum, which is already available for HARPS in the archive. The top panel of Fig. 1 shows the HARPS and CARMENES spectra of Wolf 359 in blue and red, respectively. The flux is in arbitrary units. The CARMENES spectrum has a low signal-to-noise near its blue edge, reflected by the large scatter in flux. The grey vertical bands show the wavelength ranges of the UVES spectral orders, obtained from the WASP-121b data used in Sect. 3.

2.2. Model spectra

We constructed model VO emission spectra using the radiative transfer code petitRADTRANS (Mollière et al. 2019). This code can produce an emission or transmission spectrum at high or low resolution, given atmospheric parameters. petitRADTRANS uses opacity cross sections to compute the model spectra. To convert the ExoMol line list into these opacity data, we adopted the ExoCross code (Yurchenko et al. 2018) and followed the approach outlined in the petitRADTRANS documentation. We used a normalisation factor \( \gamma = 0.07 \) cm\(^{-2}\) for the pressure-broadening input (Gharib-Nezhad & Line 2019). The computed opacities are available in the petitRADTRANS high-resolution opacity archive as ‘VO_ExoMol_McKemmish’. Input for petitRADTRANS consists of a temperature-pressure (PT) profile, the mass fractions of the requested species, the surface gravity (g), and the mean molecular weight (MMW). The PT profile and MMW were retrieved from the Model Atmospheres in Radiative and Convective Scheme (MARCS) (Gustafsson et al. 2008). Here, an effective temperature of \( T_{\text{eff}} = 2800 \) K, a metallicity of [Fe/H] = 0.0, a surface gravity of \( g = 10^{-5} \) cm s\(^{-2}\), and a microturbulence parameter of \( \xi_T = 1 \) km s\(^{-1}\) were employed to model the Wolf 359 photosphere (Pavlenko et al. 2006). Rayleigh scattering by H and He was included as well as collision-induced absorption by H\(_2\)-H\(_2\) and H\(_2\)-He pairs, and bound-free continuum absorption was included by H\(^-\). The abundances of these species and VO were retrieved from the chemical equilibrium table which can be installed alongside petitRADTRANS (Mollière et al. 2017). A C/O ratio of 0.62 (Nakajima & Sorhanna 2016) was adopted to retrieve a mean VO volume-mixing ratio of VMR \( \sim 1.4 \cdot 10^{-9} \). The PyAstronomy (Czesla et al. 2019) rotateBroad-function was used to simulate rotational broadening with a projected velocity of \( v \sin i = 2 \) km s\(^{-1}\) (Reiners et al. 2018). Using a Gaussian filter, the VO model spectrum was broadened to the respective spectrograph’s resolution. The bottom panel of Fig. 1 shows the normalised VO model spectrum in black. It is difficult to discern the VO absorption bands in the observed spectra because these include opacities from additional sources (mainly TiO; Reiners et al. 2018).

2.3. Cross-correlation

To assess the performance of the updated TiO line list, McKemmish et al. (2019) cross-correlated their TiO model spectrum with both observed M-dwarf spectra and a synthetic PHOENIX spectrum, including all expected opacity sources, generated with the updated TiO line list. Rather than using fully synthetic spectra, we injected a Doppler-shifted VO model into the observed HARPS and CARMENES spectra. In this way, the injected VO

---

1. https://www.exomol.com/data/molecules/VO/51V-160/
2. Calar Alto high-Resolution search for M dwarfs with Exoearths withNear-infrared and optical Echelle Spectrographs
3. https://petitradtrans.readthedocs.io
4. https://keeper.mpdl.mpg.de/d/e627411309ba4597a343
signal and the actual signal were contained in the same spectrum, with similar opacities from other species (e.g. TiO) and similar noise properties. Hence, we can make a comparison between the optimal (injected) and the observed cross-correlation signal. Before injecting the model, we subtracted the corresponding blackbody profile to normalise the model spectrum. This normalised VO spectrum was Doppler-shifted with a radial velocity of $-25$ km s$^{-1}$ to avoid interference between the injected and true VO signals. Other radial velocities were also tested, but we found no significant differences in the results. After interpolating onto the observed spectrum’s wavelength grid, the offset VO spectrum was multiplied into the observed spectrum, taking the respective instrumental resolutions with a Gaussian filter into account.

We applied a high-pass filter on both the observed and model spectra using 5 Å-wide Gaussian kernels to remove any broadband structures in the cross-correlation. The HARPS and CARMENES spectra were subsequently divided into the wavelength ranges of the UVES spectrograph’s spectral orders. This division was carried out as we evaluated the VO line list’s accuracy in the context of the non-detections in exoplanet WASP-121b. The wavelength ranges of the UVES orders were obtained from the data used in Sect. 3. Using the crosscorrSV routine from PyAstronomy (Czesla et al. 2019), each of the orders was cross-correlated with the normalised VO model with velocities ranging from $-500$ to $+500$ km s$^{-1}$ in steps of 1 km s$^{-1}$. The cross-correlation function (CCF) of each UVES-sized order was subsequently converted into a signal-to-noise function by dividing the entire CCF with the standard deviation outside of the respective instrumental resolutions with a Gaussian filter into account.

Figure 1 shows the CCFs of every UVES-sized order. The grey vertical bands are the result of our analysis of the electronic states $\text{C}^{4}\Sigma^{-} - \text{X}^{4}\Sigma^{-}$ ($\sim 580$ nm) and $\text{B}^{4}\Pi - \text{X}^{4}\Sigma^{-}$ ($\sim 800$ nm). These transition energies are relatively well-refined with experimental data since the lowest vibrational quantum states are involved ($\nu = 0$, McKemmish et al. 2016). On the other hand, the bands at $\sim 550$ and $\sim 620$ nm involve the less accurate, vibrationally excited states $\text{C}^{4}\Sigma^{-} (\nu = 1)$ and $\text{X}^{4}\Sigma^{-} (\nu = 1)$. As a consequence, the central wavelengths of these spectral lines are imprecise and the observed S/N is significantly lower than the injected, optimal S/N. Since the radial velocity shift of the injected signal is small, the low observed S/Ns at the less accurate band heads cannot be caused by TiO interference, for example, because that would affect the observed and injected signals equally. Rather, the injected model is cross-correlated with an exact copy, resulting in the optimal S/N. The S/N of the observed signal is lower because the VO template used in the cross-correlation is not a perfect duplicate of the actual VO absorption. Comparable results obtained with different injection velocities (e.g. $-50$, $+25$ km s$^{-1}$) support this interpretation.

The CCFs of every UVES-sized order were summed together to obtain the total CCF. The orders bluewards of 578 nm utilised the CCFs with the HARPS spectrum of Wolf 359, while the redder orders used the CARMENES CCFs. The total CCF was converted into a signal-to-noise function by dividing with the standard deviation outside of $|v_{\text{rad}}| > 100$ km s$^{-1}$. The integrated CCF is shown in Fig. 3 as the black line. The total injected signal is detected at 12.3$\sigma$ and the observed signal is detected at 5.9$\sigma$, which makes the observed-to-injected signal
2.4. Selection of accurate lines

Instead of using every quantum state in the VO line list, we also made models solely involving the well-constrained energy levels. Consequently, the inaccurate spectral lines are excluded and the model spectrum is a more accurate, but incomplete representation of the real VO absorption. The quantum states listed in Table 1 were included in our reduced line list. These energy levels were refined with experimental data (McKemmish et al. 2016, Table 3) and the spectroscopic model managed to fit the empirical energy levels relatively well with RMS(\omega) \lesssim 0.3 \text{ cm}^{-1}, which corresponds to RMS(\nu_{rad}) \leq 6 \text{ km s}^{-1} at 700 nm. Transitions from the X^2\Sigma^+ ground state to the excited B^2\Pi or C^2\Sigma^- states were included in the reduced line list. This state selection decreases the number of transitions to 8008 between 669 unique upper states and 388 unique lower states. ExoCross was then run with a specific selection of transitions to generate the opacity data for petitRADTRANS. The computed opacity data are available in the petitRADTRANS archive\(^5\) as 'VO_ExoMol_Specific_Transitions'.

In the model spectrum generated with the complete line list, the large number of spectral lines caused a pseudo-continuum to form below the blackbody profile, decreasing the individual line depths. Since the reduced line list did not produce a similar pseudo-continuum, its spectral lines appeared deeper and this would have affected the cross-correlation if we had not performed a correction. The opacity cross sections of the reduced line list were subtracted from the complete line list, resulting in the opacities of the non-selected transitions. petitRADTRANS used the same configuration described in Sect. 2.1 to generate a model spectrum of the non-selected transitions. Subsequently, we divided the complete model spectrum by the non-selected spectrum, generating a normalised model spectrum for the reduced line list including a correction for the line depths. As in Sect. 2.3, before cross-correlating, a high-pass filter was applied to the normalised model spectrum using a 5 Å-wide Gaussian kernel. The grey spectrum in the bottom panel of Fig. 1 shows the normalised model spectrum of the reduced line list. Many of the strongest spectral lines in the bandheads around 580, 620, 750, 800, and 870 nm are included in the state-selection model spectrum.

The spectrum derived from the reduced line list was cross-correlated with the spectra of Wolf 359 where the complete VO model was injected. The integrated CCF was obtained using the same method described in Sect. 2.3 and is shown in Fig. 3 as a solid red line. The injected signal has an S/N of 11.0σ, which is lower than that obtained with the complete line list (12.3σ). This is expected because the injected signal was recovered with

\(^5\) https://keeper.mpdl.mpg.de/d/e627411309ba4597a343
substantially fewer spectral lines. On the other hand, the S/N of the observed signal has increased from 5.9σ to 9.6σ, which confirms an improved accuracy by about a factor 1.8 for the reduced line list. The observed-to-injected signal ratio using the reduced line list was calculated to be (S/N)_obs/(S/N)_inj = 0.90. Hence, a cross-correlation analysis using the UVES-sized spectral orders and the reduced VO line list retrieves 90% of the potential signal from these M-dwarf spectra (reducing the signal by a factor 1.1), requiring 1.1^2 = 1.2 times more observing time. Additionally, we performed a cross-correlation with the non-selected lines to confirm that most of the accurate lines were included in the reduced line list. The total CCF of the non-selected lines with the spectra of Wolf 359 is shown as the red dotted line in Fig. 3. Since the observed signal measures at only 0.5σ, we conclude that the non-selected transitions contribute to reducing the cross-correlation performance of the complete line list.

3. Analysis of WASP-121b transmission spectra

The M dwarf analysis allowed us to determine the cross-correlation performance of the ExoMol VO line list, and to test the selection of specific transitions, increasing the VO detection significance. Subsequently, we aimed to confirm the presence of VO in the transmission spectrum of WASP-121b at high spectral resolution using the complete and reduced line list. Evidence for VO has been presented at low spectral resolution in the literature (Evans et al. 2016, 2018; Mikal-Evans et al. 2019), WASP-121b orbits a bright F6V-type star (V = 10.5; Høg et al. 2000) with a short orbital period of 1.27 days (Delrez et al. 2016). The parameters of the WASP-121 system utilised in this paper are listed in Table 2. We used the same UVES transmission spectra previously presented by Gibson et al. (2020), Merritt et al. (2020), and Merritt et al. (2021). While Merritt et al. (2020) used only the UVES red arm data for their non-detections of TiO and VO, our analysis used both the blue and red arms. The spectral resolution of the data was R ~ 80 000 for the blue arm and R ~ 110 000 for the red arm. A more detailed description of the data as well as an outline of the custom calibration pipeline can be found in Merritt et al. (2020). The pipeline places the spectra on a common wavelength grid and shifts the spectra to the stellar rest frame by correcting for the barycentric and systemic velocity. The stellar reflex motion is not accounted for because the stellar velocity semi-amplitude (K* = 0.181 km s⁻¹; Delrez et al. 2016) is significantly smaller than the resolution of the UVES spectrograph (∼2.7 km s⁻¹ at R ~ 110 000).

The variation of the blaze function was removed with the petitRADTRANS radiative transfer code (Mollière et al. 2019) was used to make model transmission spectra of VO in WASP-121b’s atmosphere. The utilised model parameters are listed in Table 2. As in Merritt et al. (2020), we used the same temperatures and cloud deck, and we assumed isothermal atmospheres with 100 atmospheric layers. Twelve constant VO volume-mixing ratios were tested, including VMR [VO] = 10⁻⁶-⁻⁷ as reported by Evans et al. (2018). Rayleigh scattering from H₂ and continuum absorption by H⁻ were included. The necessary abundances of H₂, H and e⁻ were produced by the petitRADTRANS chemical equilibrium table (VMR [H₂] = 0.2, VMR [H] = 0.1, VMR [e⁻] = 2.1 · 10⁻¹⁰) and we used the volume-mixing ratio of Evans et al. (2018) for H⁻ (VMR [H⁻] = 5 · 10⁻¹⁰). A mean molecular weight of 2.33 was used and the model spectra were rotationally broadened following the method of Brogi et al. (2016) with vₑq = 7.1 km s⁻¹, which was inferred

| State | ν | Ω | J range |
|-------|---|---|---------|
| X²Σ   | 0 | ±0.5 | 0.5 - 50.5 |
|       | ±1.5 | 1.5 - 50.5 |
|       | ±1.5 | 1.5 - 50.5 |
| B²Π   | 0 | ±0.5 | 13.5 - 47.5 |
|       | ±0.5 | 13.5 - 47.5 |
|       | ±1.5 | 13.5 - 47.5 |
|       | ±2.5 | 13.5 - 47.5 |
|       | 1 | -0.5 | 5.5 - 24.5 |
|       | +0.5 | 10.5 - 35.5 |
|       | ±1.5 | 9.5 - 31.5 |
|       | ±2.5 | 7.5 - 33.5 |
| C²Σ   | 0 | ±0.5 | 0.5 - 41.5 |
|       | ±1.5 | 0.5 - 38.5 |

Table 1. Well-defined energy levels of VO (McKemmish et al. 2016) included in the reduced line list. The electronic state, vibrational quantum number ν, the total electronic angular momentum Ω, and the range of rotational quantum numbers J are listed.

| WASP-121 | M₄ (M₄) | 1.353 ±0.003 ±0.079 a |
|----------|----------|-------------------|
|          | R* (R*)  | 1.458 ± 0.080 a   |
|          | vₑq (km s⁻¹) | 38.36 ± 0.43 b   |
|          | Limb-darkening: c₁ | 0.395 ± 0.003 c |
|          | Limb-darkening: c₂ | 0.141 ± 0.004 c |

| WASP-121b | T₀ (BDJD(TDB)) | 2457599.551474 ±0.000049 d |
|-----------|----------------|-------------------------------|
|           | P (days)       | 1.2749247646 ±0.000000714 d   |
|           | a/R*          | 3.86 ± 0.02 e                 |
|           | Rₒ/R*         | 0.1218 ± 0.0004 c             |
|           | M₄ (M₄)       | 1.183 ± 0.064 a               |
|           | Kₑ (km s⁻¹)   | ~ 217 f                      |
|           | vₑq (km s⁻¹)  | 7.1 f                        |

Table 2. System parameters of WASP-121b and its host star as used in this paper. Values marked with (a) are adopted from Delrez et al. (2016); (b) from Gaia Collaboration (2018); (c) from Wilson et al. (2021); (d) from Merritt et al. (2020); and (f) were derived from the provided parameters. The equatorial rotation velocity vₑq assumes a tidally locked planet.

3.1. Model transmission spectra

The petitRADTRANS radiative transfer code (Mollière et al. 2019) was used to make model transmission spectra of VO in WASP-121b’s atmosphere. The utilised model parameters are listed in Table 2. As in Merritt et al. (2020), we used the same temperatures and cloud deck, and we assumed isothermal atmospheres with 100 atmospheric layers. Twelve constant VO volume-mixing ratios were tested, including VMR [VO] = 10⁻⁶-⁻⁷ as reported by Evans et al. (2018). Rayleigh scattering from H₂ and continuum absorption by H⁻ were included. The necessary abundances of H₂, H and e⁻ were produced by the petitRADTRANS chemical equilibrium table (VMR [H₂] = 0.2, VMR [H] = 0.1, VMR [e⁻] = 2.1 · 10⁻¹⁰) and we used the volume-mixing ratio of Evans et al. (2018) for H⁻ (VMR [H⁻] = 5 · 10⁻¹⁰). A mean molecular weight of 2.33 was used and the model spectra were rotationally broadened following the method of Brogi et al. (2016) with vₑq = 7.1 km s⁻¹, which was inferred
by assuming tidal locking. The instrumental broadening was also accounted for with a Gaussian filter set by the resolution of the respective UVES arms. Using the same procedure outlined in Sect. 2.4, we performed a pseudo-continuum correction to obtain transmission spectra of the reduced line list with the appropriate line depths. Figure A.1 in the appendix shows a comparison between the transmission spectra with different scale heights and temperatures. Before performing the cross-correlation analysis, the low-frequency structure was removed from each model transmission spectrum by dividing a smoothed spectrum, which was obtained by convolution with a 5 Å-wide Gaussian kernel. This normalisation of the model spectra was performed because the low-frequency structure in the observed data was removed by the detrending.

### 3.2. Cross-correlation

Using the weighted cross-correlation function from Eq. 11 in Brogi & Line (2019), each spectrum of each order was cross-correlated with the model transmission spectra with radial velocities ranging from $-600$ to $+600$ km s$^{-1}$ in steps of 0.5 km s$^{-1}$. The out-of-transit spectra do not contain a planetary signal and the signal is weaker for the frames during ingress and egress. The varying signal strength was accounted for by weighting the CCFs with a PyTransit (Parviainen 2015) model light curve using the equations of Mandel & Agol (2002) with limb-darkening coefficients $c_1 = 0.395$ and $c_2 = 0.141$ (Wilson et al. 2021).

We note that VO is absent in the stellar atmosphere due to WASP-121’s high effective temperature (6459 ± 140 K; Delrez et al. 2016), and thus we did not encounter the Rossiter-McLaughlin effect or centre-to-limb variation. Following the integration steps described in Merritt et al. (2020), a $K_p$-V$_{sys}$ map of cross-correlation coefficients was constructed for each evaluated model. A coefficient was calculated for planet velocities $K_p$ ranging from $-400$ to $+400$ km s$^{-1}$ in steps of 1 km s$^{-1}$ and systemic velocities $V_{sys}$ ranging from $-200$ to $+200$ km s$^{-1}$ in steps of 1 km s$^{-1}$. The $K_p$-V$_{sys}$ maps were converted into detection S/Ns by dividing with the standard deviation of two rectangles outside of the expected peak ($K_p$ from $+100$ to $+300$ km s$^{-1}$ and $V_{sys}$ from $-200$ to $-50$ or from $+50$ to $+200$ km s$^{-1}$). We set our detection threshold at 4$\sigma$, since we made a simple noise estimation and noise fluctuations of the $K_p$-V$_{sys}$ maps could therefore be misinterpreted as detections with a lower threshold (Cabot et al. 2018).

### 3.3. Results and injection tests

In agreement with Merritt et al. (2020), our cross-correlation analysis failed to retrieve a significant signal around the expected velocities ($K_p \approx 217$ km s$^{-1}$ and $V_{sys} \approx 0$ km s$^{-1}$) with any of the evaluated configurations of VMR and $T$. Furthermore, our reduced line list did not yield a VO detection around the expected $K_p$ and $V_{sys}$ with any of the model spectra. Figure 4 displays the $K_p$-V$_{sys}$ maps of both assessed line lists for the atmosphere reported by Evans et al. (2018) (VMR = $10^{-6.6}$, $T = 1500$ K, and a derived scale height of $H = 540$ km). The colourbar and the dashed CCFs in the bottom panels demonstrate that neither line list recovers a signal exceeding the 4$\sigma$ detection threshold around the expected $K_p$ and $V_{sys}$.

Injection tests were carried out to determine whether a VO transmission signal could be detected with our retrieval method. The injection was performed by multiplying the UVES spectra with the complete model transmission spectra before applying the blaze correction. The varying signal strength was accounted for by scaling the models with the same PyTransit (Parviainen 2015) light curve described in Sect. 3.2. The models were injected at $K_p = -217$ km s$^{-1}$ to avoid the enhancement by any undetected, real VO signal. Figure 4 displays the $K_p$-V$_{sys}$ maps around the injected signal and the solid CCFs in the bottom panels show the horizontal slices at $K_p = -217$ km s$^{-1}$. The retrieval with the complete line list yields a detectable signal of 4.5$\sigma$. However, we emphasise that this injection test assumes a perfect line list as the cross-correlation template is identical to the injected model. Section 2.3 demonstrates that this assumption is incorrect and that we need to correct for the inaccuracies of the ExoMol VO line list. Similar to the M dwarf injection test of Sect. 2.4, the reduced line list recovers a lower injected signal from the UVES spectra as a consequence of the decreased number of lines.

Figure 5 displays the detection significance of the injected signal as a function of the $\text{log}_{10}$ VO volume-mixing ratio. The leftmost panel shows the recovered signal using the complete VO line list. As expected, higher temperatures (indicated by the dark blue lines) increase the atmospheric scale height, which in turn increases the detection significance. Our more extensive treatment of rotational broadening decreases the detection S/Ns compared to analogous models (e.g. $T = 1500$ K and $H = 550$ km) in Fig. 6 in Merritt et al. (2020). The results of the injection tests are more similar to those of Merritt et al. (2020) when the effects of rotation are disregarded. Many evaluated models exceed the 4$\sigma$ detection limit and should therefore be detectable. However, Sect. 2.3 demonstrates that the ExoMol VO line list is imperfect and only 48% of an optimal, injected cross-correlation signal could be recovered from M dwarf Wolf 359’s spectrum. We utilised the derived cross-correlation performance to simulate the reduction of the signal recovered from the WASP-121b data caused by the line list’s inaccuracies. The second panel of Fig. 5 shows the injected VO signal multiplied by 0.48. The injected signal no longer exceeds the 4$\sigma$ detection limit with the parameters reported by Evans et al. (2018). In fact, the re-scaled VO signal is not detectable for $T = 1500$ and 2000 K with any of the evaluated abundances. Hence, it appears unlikely that the low-resolution VO detection by Evans et al. (2018) ($T = 1500$ K, VMR = $10^{-6.6}$) can be confirmed with these UVES spectra and the current VO line list. Via interpolation, we find that abundances higher than VMR = $10^{-6.8}$ exceed the detection threshold for $T = 3000$ K. However, at this temperature thermal dissociation is expected to decrease the VO abundance to $\lesssim 10^{-10}$ (Merritt et al. 2020).

The third panel of Fig. 5 shows the recovered signal using the reduced line list and the rightmost figure shows the same signal multiplied by the observed-to-injected signal ratio for the reduced line list (Sect. 2.4; $(S/N)_{obs}/(S/N)_{inj} = 0.90$). In contrast with the complete line list, the cross-correlation signal is not increased two-fold by a doubling of the scale height ($H = 540$ and 1080 km) following an increase in the temperature ($T = 1500$ and 3000 K). We diagnosed that this discrepancy is an inherent property of our reduced line list. The light green line in Fig. 5 displays the injected signal obtained with model transmission spectra where we artificially set the scale height to $H = 1080$ km (by adjusting the surface gravity in petitRADTRANS) for a temperature of $T = 1500$ K. For these models, the detection significances show an approximate two-fold increase over the $H = 540$ km models, caused by the increased depths of the absorption lines. On the other hand, a higher temperature causes the lines selected in our reduced line list to generally become shallower as a consequence of rising collisional exci.
Fig. 4. Cross-correlation analysis of WASP-121b’s UVES transmission spectra, using the model parameters reported by Evans et al. (2018). The left panels show the analysis with the complete line list and the right panels use the reduced line list described in Sect. 2.4. The top panels show the $K_p$-$v_{pp}$ maps and the bottom panels show horizontal slices of these maps at the expected planet velocity $K_p = 217$ km s$^{-1}$ (dashed) and at the injection velocity $K_p = -217$ km s$^{-1}$ (solid).

Fig. 5. Detection significance of the injected signal versus log$_{10}$ VMR for each model configuration. The left panel shows the recovered signal using all quantum transitions. The second panel shows the same signal multiplied by 0.48 as found in Sect. 2.1. The third panel shows the recovered signal using specific quantum transitions and the fourth panel shows the same signal multiplied by 0.90 as obtained in Sect. 2.4. The considered temperatures are displayed as different shades of blue. The two rightmost panels also show the detection significances of models with $T = 1500$ K, $H = 1080$ km, $T = 2500$ K, $H = 900$ km, $T = 3000$ K, $H = 720$ km, $T = 2000$ K, $H = 540$ km, and $T = 3000$ K, $H = 540$ km in light green and dark green, respectively. The horizontal dashed lines at 4$\sigma$ represent our detection thresholds.

The relatively low-lying states in our reduced line list become less populated and the opacity of their transitions therefore decreases. The dark green line in Fig. 5 displays models with a heightened temperature of $T = 3000$ K for a scale height of $H = 540$ km. The injected signal is substantially decreased due to the temperature effect. The increase brought about by the scale height and the decrease caused by the temperature effect yield a negligible improvement in the detection significance retrieved by our reduced line list for a rising temperature. The cancellation is also found in the bottom panel of Fig. A.1, where the bands that are used in the reduced line list have similar depths for $T = 1500$ and $3000$ K. The complete line list retrieves an increased cross-correlation signal because the weaker lines involving the excited states become deeper by an increased temperature (e.g. $\sim 600$ nm in Fig. A.1). From Fig. 5 we deduce that the reduced line list could not detect VO even if we assumed it to be perfect. Consequently, the evaluated models are not detectable after accounting for the reduced line list’s inaccuracies. Therefore, our reduced line list also appears unsuited for detecting a VO signal in the transmission spectra of WASP-121b.

4. Discussion and conclusions

We have presented a quantitative assessment of the ExoMol VO line list using high-resolution HARPS and CARMENES spectra of M dwarfs. The injection of a Doppler-shifted petitRad-
TRANS model spectra of VO allowed us to compare the cross-correlation performance of the injected, optimal signal against the observed signal. The line list performs well around the absorption bands at 580 and 800 nm due to the availability of experimental data used in refining the computed energy levels (McKenmish et al. 2016). Future work could focus on updating the vibrationally excited levels to improve the quality of the VO line list. We find that a cross-correlation analysis recovers only 48% of the potential signal. Furthermore, we made a reduced VO line list which only included the most accurate energy levels. While this line list is an incomplete representation of the actual VO opacity, it achieves a higher cross-correlation signal in M dwarfs by a factor of 1.8 compared to the complete line list. The reduced line list manages to recover 90% of the optimal signal. We have presented non-detections of VO in the UVES transmission spectrum of WASP-121b using the complete and reduced line list. After accounting for the line list’s performance, injection tests showed that our retrieval method would likely not detect VO if it were present in the abundance reported by Evans et al. (2018). This analysis appears to confirm that the VO non-detections from Hoeijmakers et al. (2020) and Merritt et al. (2020) are indeed inconclusive due to the inaccuracies of the ExoMol VO line list.

Recently, the ExoMol group released an updated TiO line list (McKenmish et al. 2019) after it was shown that the previous line lists were insufficient to retrieve a TiO cross-correlation signal in M-dwarf spectra (Hoeijmakers et al. 2015; Nugroho et al. 2017). The MARVEL algorithm (Furttenbacher & Császár 2012) analyses collated experimental data of TiO to determine experimental-accuracy energy levels. The TiO energy levels which had been computed using quantum chemistry were subsequently replaced with the MARVEL-produced energies. The updated TiO line list showed an improved performance when cross-correlating with high-resolution M-dwarf spectra. This MARVEL-isation has not yet been applied to the VO line list, but we note that work is currently underway in the ExoMol group to produce a high-resolution line list for VO which makes use of MARVEL energy levels (Jonathan Tennyson, priv. comm.). We expect such an update to greatly improve the ability to find this species in exoplanet atmospheres.
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Appendix A: Model transmission spectra

Fig. A.1. Examples of VO model transmission spectra using an abundance of VMR = 10^{-6.6}. The top panel shows the spectra generated with the complete line list and the bottom panel used the pseudo-continuum correction described in Sect. 2.4 to create transmission spectra of the reduced line list. The four temperatures used in the presented analysis are shown with different colours and are offset for easier comparison. The grey vertical bands in both panels show the wavelength ranges of the UVES spectral orders.