Determining the Source of Fugitive Dust in Lattimer, Pennsylvania
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Abstract Fugitive dust samples collected from residential properties in the village of Lattimer, Pennsylvania were analyzed for total concentrations of major and minor elements Si, Al, Ti, Fe, Mg, Na, K, C, and S using energy dispersive x-ray spectrometry. Observed under high magnification, the individual dust particles are irregular-shaped with angular edges and range in size from 20 to 150 microns. Rock samples of gray to black organic-rich mudstone, shale, and siltstone units interbedded with thin layers of anthracite coal were collected next to a rock quarry operation that is suspected as a possible source of the fugitive dust. Major and minor element concentrations in the rock samples are compared to major and minor element concentrations in 150 individual dust particles. The fugitive dust and rock samples that were analyzed have Si concentrations that vary from 0.08 to 43.34 wt.% and C concentrations that vary from 3.36 to 95.68 wt.%. All of the major and minor element concentrations in the rock samples lie within the representative range of element concentrations in the individual dust particles. The Si and C concentrations in the fugitive dust suggest that the particles originated from a carbon-rich silicate rock source. Five of the fugitive dust particles analyzed had C concentrations in excess of 60 wt.% and can be considered coal dust. Results suggest that the chemical composition of the fugitive dust particles is consistent with the chemical composition of the rocks that are actively extracted and crushed at the rock quarry site located adjacent to the village of Lattimer, Pennsylvania.
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1. Introduction

Fugitive dust is a type of fugitive particulate matter (PM) that is generated from open spaces exposed to wind processes rather than from sources of combustion that have passed through a vent or stack before being released into the air. Common sources of fugitive dust are construction and demolition sites, surface mines and rock quarry sites, unpaved roads, vacant lots, and agricultural areas [1,2]. The fugitive dust resulting from these open area examples are composed mainly of soil and minerals that contain Si, Al, Fe, and Ca, but may also contain pollen, spores, or particles of rubber from tires [3,4].

The aerodynamic diameter of fugitive dust can range in size from 0.005 to 100 µm [5]. Fugitive dust typically originates and remains at or near ground level where its impact on the surrounding environment and human health is greatest [6]. While airborne, fugitive dust can reduce visibility causing potential hazards for traffic. After the dust has settled, it poses a nuisance by coating homes, yards, and businesses.

The inhalation of the respirable fraction of fugitive dust, particularly PM₁₀ and PM₂.₅ that refers to PM with an aerodynamic diameter of <10 µm and <2.₅ µm, respectively, is linked to aggravated asthma, chronic bronchitis, and premature death [7,8,9]. Inhalation of PM₁₀ can reach the upper part of the airway and lung, and inhalation of PM₂.₅ can penetrate deeply into the lungs and cause adverse effects on human health [10,11]. Larger fugitive dust particles settle more rapidly and may cause irritation to the upper respiratory tract and the eyes. Fugitive dust from geologic materials pose a threat to human health due to the presence of crystalline silica [12]. Fugitive dust from sources of sedimentary rocks that are interbedded with coal units present a specific hazard of respiratory illness and lung damage due to both crystalline silica and high carbon content [13,14].

In June, 2012, residents of Lattimer, Pennsylvania began to issue complaints to local and state officials about anomalous amounts of black dust accumulating on surfaces around their homes and yards, and entering their homes through windows and doors. The residents suspected that the fugitive dust originated from a nearby rock quarry that had recently installed a new rock crusher on the premises. Witnesses reported observing dark clouds of airborne dust when the rock crusher was in use and complained that the quarry owners should install and maintain specified equipment to capture and control the fugitive emissions.

Rocks quarried from the site are Llewellyn Formation and described as gray to black organic-rich mudstone,
shale, and siltstone units that are interbedded with thin layers of anthracite coal [15].

The present study was to collect rock and fugitive dust samples for chemical and morphological analysis. The goal was to determine if the chemical composition and morphology of fugitive dust found on outdoor surfaces in the village of Lattimer corresponds to the chemical composition and morphology of rocks that represent the Llewellyn Formation. The results of this study would provide useful evidence in determining the source of the fugitive dust, and more specifically, determine if the nearby rock quarry is a probable source.

2. Methodology

2.1. Study Area

Lattimer is a small village located at 40°59’38”N, 75°57’40”W in Hazle Township, Luzerne County, Pennsylvania with a population of approximately 554 people. A rock quarry located to the north of the village extends approximately 2.5 km in length and varies in width from 0.25 km to 0.5 km. The entire expanse of the rock quarry is visible in the satellite image provided in Figure 1. The southern boundary of the rock quarry is 120 meters from the closest residential property.

2.2. Sampling

Fifty-two fugitive dust samples were collected from level outdoor surfaces at four residential properties in the village of Lattimer. Sampling locations are indicated by white circles in the satellite image provided in Figure 1. Pelco aluminum specimen mounts affixed with double-sided carbon adhesive tabs were pressed directly onto the dust-covered surfaces to obtain samples for analysis. The specimen mounts were placed in Pelco specimen storage boxes immediately after sampling. Care was taken to collect dust samples from smooth vinyl, plastic, or metal surfaces such as fence railings, flat window sills, lamp posts, and outdoor tables to minimize the potential of collecting particles that are inherent to the surface material. For example, thick accumulations of fugitive dust on building stone façades were documented and photographed (Figure 2), but not sampled to avoid contamination by mineral grains contained in the stone façade.

Rock samples identified as Llewellyn Formation were collected from rock outcrops along the road adjacent to the quarry property on the northwest side (Figure 1). The rocks were gray to black organic-rich mudstone, shale, and siltstone. Thin layers of anthracite coal were observed in the shale rocks. Each rock sample was bagged, labeled, and geographically referenced using GPS. Chip fragments were broken from each rock and placed on Pelco aluminum specimen mounts affixed with double-sided carbon adhesive tabs for analysis.

2.3. SEM/EDX Analysis

Fugitive dust samples and rock samples were analyzed using a Tescan Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) with an Oxford Instruments Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectrometer (EDS). The samples were studied using an electron beam with a 20kV accelerating voltage, a 15-mm working distance, and a wide range of 400 to 3,500-times magnification. The chemical composition, size parameters, and morphology were examined. A total of 150 individual dust particles and seven rock samples of the Llewellyn Formation were analyzed for major and minor elements Si, Al, Ti, Fe, Mg, Na, K, C, and S.

3. Results and Discussion

Individual dust particles observed at high magnification using the SEM are irregular in shape with angular sides and corners (Figure 3). The diameter of 70 individual dust particles were measured from SEM images viewed using the scale and measurement feature in the NIH ImageJ software program [16]. Measurement of individual particles indicate a range in particle size from 20 to 150 µm. The individual dust particles analyzed for major and minor element concentrations ranged in particle size from 30 to 150 µm with an average particle size of 80 µm.

Chemical analyses results for major and minor element concentrations in individual dust particles of fugitive dust are listed in Table 1. The results are reported in weight% for each element. Chemical analyses for major and minor element concentrations in individual dust particles show a wide range in Si, Al, Fe, K, C, and S concentrations and a narrow range in Ti, Mg, and Na concentrations.

The fugitive dust particles analyzed have Si concentrations that vary from 0.08 to 43.34 wt.%, Al and Fe concentrations in the fugitive dust particles vary from 0.08 to 16.40 wt.% and 0.11 to 39.97 wt.%, respectively. Ti concentrations in most of the fugitive dust particles lie in a narrow range
between 0.06 to 4.24 wt.%. Eleven of the individual dust particles have higher Ti concentrations that vary from 6.98 and 48.92 wt.%. Similarly, Mg concentrations in most of the fugitive dust particles lie in a narrow range between 0.08 to 1.61 wt.% Five of the individual dust particles have higher Mg concentrations that vary from 5.84 to 10.07 wt.%. Na and K concentrations in the fugitive dust particles vary from 0.11 to 4.78 wt.% and 0.09 to 6.58 wt.%, respectively. C concentrations in the fugitive dust particles show the widest range from 3.36 to 95.83 wt.% and S concentrations vary from 0.06 to 1.86 wt.%.

Table 1. Chemical Analysis Results for Major and Minor Element Concentrations in Individual Particles of Fugitive Dust

| Sample | BR1-BR21 | SR1-SR46 | EB1-EB42 | PO1-PO41 |
|--------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| n      | 21       | 46       | 42       | 41       |
| Si     | 1.44-29.22 | 0.08-40.02 | 3.01-36.94 | 0.78-43.34 |
| Al     | 0.76-8.47 | 0.08-14.77 | 0.45-12.94 | 0.17-16.40 |
| Ti     | 0.13-0.63 | 0.06-3.67 | 0.09-28.01 | 0.14-48.92 |
| Fe     | 0.42-2.88 | 0.11-9.50 | 0.29-12.33 | 0.17-39.97 |
| Mg     | 0.13-0.52 | 0.08-10.07 | 0.08-1.41 | 0.13-7.99 |
| Na     | 0.14-0.34 | 0.11-0.57 | 0.14-4.00 | 0.11-4.78 |
| K      | 0.18-2.49 | 0.09-5.57 | 0.15-6.39 | 0.12-6.58 |
| C      | 11.01-58.53 | 7.67-95.83 | 7.33-50.79 | 3.36-81.41 |
| S      | 0.10-0.84 | 0.06-0.42 | 0.10-1.12 | 0.13-1.86 |

Chemical analysis results for major and minor element concentrations in the rock samples are listed in Table 2. Data is reported in weight% for each element. The results show that one carbonaceous shale sample is high in C (95.68 wt.%) and S (0.62 wt.%) concentrations, and low in Si (0.10 wt.%) and Al (0.10 wt.%) concentrations. All other rock samples are classified as organic-rich shale with low to intermediate C concentrations that range from 11.28 to 27.87 wt.% and zero to low S concentrations, compared to the carbonaceous shale sample. The organic-rich shale rock samples have intermediate Si concentrations that range from 12.53 to 19.02 wt.% and are high in Al concentrations that range from 8.42 to 12.45 wt.%. All of the major and minor element concentrations in the shale rock samples correspond to the representative range of elements in the fugitive dust particles.

Table 2. Chemical Analysis Results for Major and Minor Element Concentrations in Llewellyn Formation Rock Samples.

| Sample | LFm1 | LFm2 | LFm3 | LFm4 | LFm5 | LFm6 | LFm7 |
|--------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Si     | 0.10 | 14.02| 12.53| 17.48| 19.02| 16.25| 16.87|
| Al     | 0.10 | 9.51 | 9.08 | 11.05| 12.45| 8.42 | 9.17 |
| Ti     | ---  | 0.51 | 0.40 | 0.86 | 1.08 | 0.44 | 0.39 |
| Fe     | ---  | 1.43 | 1.34 | 1.11 | 1.32 | 6.63 | 5.37 |
| Mg     | ---  | 0.31 | 0.21 | 0.41 | 0.39 | 0.73 | 0.61 |
| Na     | ---  | 0.18 | 0.16 | ---  | ---  | 0.14 | 0.19 |
| K      | ---  | 2.58 | 2.14 | 3.57 | 4.44 | 2.11 | 2.51 |
| C      | 95.68| 25.82| 27.87| 14.72| 11.28| 14.89| 13.45|
| S      | 0.62 | 0.13 | 0.10 | ---  | ---  | ---  | ---  |

Chemical data for major and minor element concentrations in the fugitive dust particles and the Llewellyn Formation rock samples are illustrated in chemical plots shown in Figure 4 through Figure 11. Chemical plots were generated using the IgPet computer software program [17]. Each element analyzed is plotted against wt.% Si for comparative purposes. All samples analyzed contained Si, but some of the individual dust particles did not contain all elements of interest. For example, the dust particles that exhibited the highest concentrations of Si (greater than 30 wt.%) did not contain Ti, Na, or S. Chemical data for the fugitive dust particles produce a predominant trend in each chemical plot.

Figure 3. Scanning electron microscope image showing irregular-shaped, angular fugitive dust particles that range in size from 20 to 150 µm

Figure 4. Chemical analyses for wt.% Al in fugitive dust particles (red circles), shale rock samples (white diamonds), and carbonaceous shale (white star) plotted against wt.% Si

Figure 5. Chemical analyses for wt.% Ti in fugitive dust particles (red circles) and shale samples (white diamonds) plotted against wt.% Si
3. Conclusions

Fugitive dust particles observed under high magnification are irregular-shaped with angular corners and edges. These observations are consistent with fugitive dust particle morphology expected from rock quarrying and crushing activities that generate angular shards and fragmented particles. The Si and C concentrations in the fugitive dust particles and the rock samples are consistent with characteristic element concentrations found in mudstone, shale, and siltstone rocks [18,19]. The variable concentrations of C in the rock samples are indicative of the organic-rich nature of the rocks (Figure 10). Not surprisingly, the carbonaceous shale sample interbedded with thin layers of anthracite coal had a C concentration in excess of 95 wt.% and the highest S concentration of 0.62 wt.%.

Five of the fugitive dust particles analyzed had C concentrations in excess of 60 wt.% and can therefore be considered as coal dust (Figure 10). Chemical data for the rock samples lie within the predominant trend produced by the chemical data for the fugitive dust particles in each chemical plot of wt.% element. The overlap in chemical data strongly suggests a similar composition between the fugitive dust particles and the rocks analyzed.
fugitive dust suggest that the particles originated from a carbon-rich silicate rock source. Major and minor element concentrations of the individual dust particles are consistent with mudstone, shale, and siltstone compositions and produce predominant trends of data on chemical plots of wt.% elements. Major and minor element concentrations of the rock samples identified as Llewellyn Formation organic-rich mudstone, shale, and siltstone units interbedded with thin layers of anthracite coal correspond to the representative range of major and minor element concentrations of the fugitive dust particles and overlie the predominant trends on chemical data plots for each element.

The results of this study provide strong evidence that the fugitive dust accumulations on surfaces around the homes and yards of residents in Lattimer, Pennsylvania most likely originated from the organic-rich silicate rocks that are actively being quarried and processed at the rock quarry operation to the north of the village. As a result of this research, news reports from Hazle Township indicate that the company that owns and operates the rock quarry north of Lattimer have implemented more effective dust control measures in order to be better neighbors.
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