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Roseomonas species, a rare Gram-negative microorganism, has seldom been reported to cause peritonitis in end-stage renal disease patients on peritoneal dialysis. Only seven cases of peritonitis by this rare microorganism have been reported worldwide. Treatment options can be challenging if not detected early and can lead to significant morbidity and mortality along with the switching of the dialysis modality to hemodialysis which is highly undesirable. Our patient is a 65-year-old Caucasian female who needed to be changed to emergency hemodialysis due to inability to perform peritoneal dialysis from suspected peritonitis and was subsequently discovered to have peritonitis from Roseomonas mucosa. She recovered with a prolonged antibiotics course and returned to peritoneal dialysis in 3 months following her treatment completion. Prompt diagnosis and prolonged antibiotics are a cornerstone in the management of this rare microorganism to prevent mortality and morbidity from peritonitis.

1. Introduction

Peritonitis, caused by infectious microorganisms, is a hazardous outcome in end-stage renal disease (ESRD) patients undergoing peritoneal dialysis. It can lead to a high rate of morbidity and mortality if not treated properly and timely [1]. Roseomonas species, a rare Gram-negative, pink pigmented, oxidized coccobacillus, is normally identified as environmental commensal in water and soil and was first reported as infectious etiology in clinical medicine by Rihs et al. in 1993 [2]. First reported case of Roseomonas peritonitis was documented by JA Sandoe et al. in 1997 [3]. Only a handful of cases of Roseomonas-induced peritonitis in peritoneal dialysis patients have been reported worldwide, seven to be exact [3–9]. The most affected mode of infection can be bloodstream, musculoskeletal, skin, and soft tissue with peritonitis being extremely rare [10]. The treatment can be challenging if proper antibiotics are not initiated timely and thus may delay the course of clinical recovery and can even lead to serious ill-fated consequences.

2. Case Report

A 65-year-old Caucasian female patient who was on peritoneal dialysis (PD) for end-stage renal disease (ESRD) presented to the emergency room (ER) with shortness of breath for 3 days. The PD catheter stopped draining for the last 5 days, and the patient was waiting to see the surgeon adjust the position of it. The medical history was significant for hypertension, hyperlipidemia, and ESRD. She had no
recent history of going anywhere for swimming or taking bath in a hot tub. Home medication included amlodipine 10 mg daily, clonidine 0.1 mg three times a day, furosemide 40 mg daily, metoprolol succinate 50 mg daily, renal vitamin 1 tablet daily, pravastatin 10 mg at nighttime, and potassium chloride 20 milliequivalents daily. She was doing continuous cycler peritoneal dialysis at home with a total of 4 exchanges of 2.5% dextrose solution bags, each exchange for 2 hours and with 2 Liters fill during the exchanges. Her total PD duration was 9 hours at night with no daytime dwell. She was doing PD for the last 2 years with no issues of infection before. Her PD was not accompanied by any major issues of constipation or diarrhea in recent past. There was no major social shift in home dynamics, with her primary care giver, i.e., her husband staying with her since she started PD and helping her with the treatment throughout. She did not have any pets at home neither did she have any recent visit from any sick relatives or any pets from neighbors or friends.

Vital signs revealed a temperature of 97.7 Fahrenheit (F), pulse rate of 82 beats per minute (bpm), blood pressure of 170/106 mm Hg, and respiratory rate of 24 breaths per minute with oxygen saturation of 90% on room air. Physical examination showed that the patient was in visible respiratory distress. Lung examination was significant for decreased air entry in bilateral lung fields and basal crackles, and the patient had bilateral pitting pedal edema. The rest of the physical examination was insignificant. Initial admission labs are as given in Table 1.

Attempts to access the PD catheter in ER were unsuccessful with no drainage of dialysate. Because of hyperkalemia and significant volume overload, she underwent emergent hemodialysis after getting a temporary left internal jugular dialysis catheter placed urgently. General surgery was consulted for the malfunctioning PD catheter, and the patient underwent diagnostic laparoscopy. The PD catheter was noted to be clogged with fibrin glue and mispositioned to the right lower quadrant. The catheter was unclogged followed by repositioning to the most dependent part of the peritoneal cavity in the pelvis. The peritoneal fluid was noted to be cloudy, and the fluid was sent for cell count, Gram stain, and culture. The patient was started on empiric intraperitoneal (IP) vancomycin and aztreonam for suspected peritonitis. PD fluid cell count revealed white blood cell (WBC) count of 209/microliter (µL) with 72% neutrophils and red blood cells (RBC) count of 2337/µL, and Gram stain revealed >100 WBC/low power field (lpf) with no organism. Aerobic bottle grew Gram-negative bacilli at 48 hours, and the organism was identified as *Roseomonas mucosa* at 96 hours by matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization-time of flight (MALDI-TOF) mass spectrometry (MS). The organism was resistant to cephalexin and sensitive to gentamicin. After clinical stability in 3 days, the patient was discharged from the hospital with intraperitoneal gentamicin for a total of 3 weeks. The patients continued to have drainage issues and persistently elevated PD fluid WBC counts despite being on antibiotics. The PD catheter was removed, and the modality was changed to hemodialysis. 3 months after the episode, she reverted to peritoneal dialysis again. She continues to be on PD now with no recurrence of peritonitis from *Roseomonas* or any other species as per subsequent serial negative PD fluid cultures.

### 3. Discussion

Peritonitis, described as an inflammation of the peritoneum, is a serious complication for ESRD patients undergoing PD and is usually caused by bacteria or fungi. Peritonitis maybe secondary to nondialytic-related systemic or intraabdominal processes or related to peritoneal dialysis itself. Tzamaloukas AH et al. in one review article demonstrated that less than 6% of cases are related to secondary causes, while the rest 94% cases are due to peritoneal dialysis itself in patients undergoing continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis (CAPD) [11]. Common clinical features are abdominal pain with cloudy effluent, fever, nausea/vomiting, and hypotension. Common diagnostic methods employed are to obtain cell type and count and peritoneal fluid culture. The major laboratory parameter that is highly suggestive of bacterial infection is peritoneal fluid leukocyte count above 100 cells/mm³. Less than 8 leucocytes/mm³ go against a diagnosis of peritonitis [12]. Peritoneal fluid culture is positive in 80–95% of cases of peritonitis if proper technique is performed [13].

Most of the peritonitis is caused by bacteria. 45–65% of bacteria being a Gram-positive organism, while 15–35% representing Gram-negative ones [14, 15]. Coagulase-negative *Staphylococcus* is the commonest cause of peritonitis. In one of the most recent extensive studies by Whitty et al., *Staphylococcus* accounted for 60% of infectious etiology by Gram-positive organisms and 39% overall causative organisms [16]. *Streptococcus* species caused 20% of infection, while other common Gram-positive organisms were *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Enterococcus*, and *Corynebacterium* spp. Common Gram-negative organisms are *Escherichia coli*, *Pseudomonas*, and *Klebsiella* species [16]. Among other species, fungal infection with *Candida albicans* and *Candida parapsilosis* is becoming more and more prevalent [17].

As per the 2016 International Society of Peritoneal Dialysis (ISPD) guidelines [18], initial empiric treatment includes a combination of vancomycin or first generational cephalosporins such as cefazolin and third or fourth generational cephalosporins such as ceftazidime or cefepime or an aminoglycoside such as gentamicin or aztreonam to cover both Gram type species. Though commonly not needing removal of the dialysis catheter if infected by Gram-negative organisms, sometimes, rare infections occur resulting in a change in modality of dialysis to hemodialysis or the removal of the catheter [19]. Worse complications of PD could result in hospitalization, morbidity, or death [19].

In a study concluded recently, the incidence rate for peritonitis episodes was found through observation in a large-scale patient population worldwide [20]. This was conducted in 209 facilities across seven countries (New Zealand, Australia, Canada, Japan, Thailand, the United States, and the United Kingdom). Here, it was reported that out of 7,051 patients on peritoneal dialysis, a count of 2,272 episodes of peritonitis was recorded in total. This calculates to be a rate of about 0.28 per patient per year. In the United
In the United States, it is reported as 0.26 episodes per patient per year, with a given range of 0.24–0.27 episodes. *Roseomonas* is a pink segmented, coccoid rod. It is a Gram-negative bacterium that has four named subspecies, known as *R. gilardii*, *R. cervicalis*, *R. mucosa*, and *R. fauriae* [3]. This was first reported by Rihs et al. [2] in 1993 as a species different from the initial thought genus of *Methylobacterium*. *Roseomonas* is found naturally and is accessible through water and soil. Reported infection through *Roseomonas* has been documented in bloodstream, as well as in cerebrospinal fluid, skin and soft tissues [10]. The route of *Roseomonas* infection is uncertain. It is theorized that the infection could occur through water, such as water from contaminated faucets. There are very few cases of peritonitis in PD patients that have been reported with *Roseomonas* as a causative organism. As per PubMed Central literature search, worldwide there have been only seven recorded cases with the different subspecies of *Roseomonas* infection in PD patients [3–9]. They are summarized in Table 2. The first reported case in PD patients came out of the UK by Sandoe et al. in 1997. Out of the seven patients recorded for *Roseomonas* in PD, three patients were affected by *R. gilardii*, one with *R. fauriae*, one with *Roseomonas* genus, and two with *R. mucosa*. Out of the 7 cases, 4 cases were on CAPD, while 3 were on CCPD.

### Table 1: Admission labs.

| Lab values                              | Reference range | On presentation |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Sodium (millimole/liter)                | 135–145         | 131             |
| Potassium (millimole/liter)             | 3.5–5.1         | 7.1             |
| Chloride (millimole/liter)              | 98–106          | 100             |
| CO₂ (millimole/liter)                   | 23–29           | 22              |
| Blood urea nitrogen (BUN) (milligram/deciliters) | 8–24          | 119             |
| Creatinine (milligrams/deciliters)      | 0.7–1.3         | 9.43            |
| Calcium (milligram/deciliters)          | 8.8–10.2        | 9.3             |
| Glucose (milligram/deciliters)          | 70–105          | 99              |
| White blood cells (thousand/millimeters³) | 4–10           | 6.9             |
| Hemoglobin (gram/deciliters)            | 14–16           | 10.6            |
| Platelets (thousand/millimeters³)       | 150–450         | 183             |

### Table 2: Summary of all cases reported to have *Roseomonas* spp. peritonitis with outcome.

| Author                  | Year | Patient age/gender | Study country | *Roseomonas* species | Dialysis modality | Dialysis culture | Treatment received | Outcome | Modality changed |
|-------------------------|------|--------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------|------------------|--------------------|---------|------------------|
| Sandoe et al. [3]       | 1997 | 62/F               | UK            | *R. gilardii*        | CAPD              | Positive         | IP vancomycin and then IP netilmicin | Resolved | No               |
| Bibashi et al. [4]      | 2000 | 65/F               | Greece        | *R. fauriae*         | CAPD              | Positive         | IP vancomycin plus IP netilmicin and then ciprofloxacin | Resolved | No               |
| Tsai et al. [5]         | 2012 | 48/M               | Taiwan        | *R. fauriae*         | CCPD              | Positive         | IP cefazidime plus IP ceftazidime and then PO ciprofloxacin | Resolved | No               |
| Boyd et al. [6]         | 2012 | 19/M               | USA           | *R. mucosa*          | CCPD              | Positive         | IP ciprofloxacin | Resolved | No               |
| Matsukuma et al. [7]    | 2014 | 61/M               | Japan         | *R. mucosa*          | CAPD              | Positive         | IP ceftazidime and then IP ciprofloxacin | Resolved | Yes, to HD |
| Malini et al. [8]       | 2016 | 61                 | Malaysia      | *R. gilardii*        | CAPD              | Positive         | IP cefazidime plus IP ceftazidime and then IP ciprofloxacin | Resolved | Yes, to HD |
| Burstahler et al. [9]   | 2021 | 73/M               | Russia        | *R. gilardii*        | CCPD              | Positive         | IP vancomycin and then IP ciprofloxacin | Resolved | No               |

F, female; M, male; R, *Roseomonas*; UK, the United Kingdom; USA, the United States of America; IV, intravenous; IP, intraperitoneal; PO, per oral; CAPD, continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis; CCPD, continuous cycler peritoneal dialysis; HD, hemodialysis.
prevent the change in modality to hemodialysis which is always undesirable to patients.

4. Conclusions

Due to the rarity of this microorganism causing infectious peritonitis and the high rate of resistance to cephalosporin which is considered a first-time empiric therapy in peritonitis management, *Roseomonas* species needs to be included in medical literature more. This will help nephrologists broaden their differential of peritonitis, especially in cases of nonresolving clinical peritonitis.
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