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Abstract

In this vast world after an earthquake lessons are learned; many strategies have been considered in order to achieve a proper seismic strength capacity.

The aim of this paper is studying the seismic behavior of a typical reinforced concrete bridge pier in Iraq and implementing a proper technique of strengthening in order to fix any damage that had happened.

Structure of a full scale three-dimensional finite element model was used in order to simulate a reinforced concrete pier via the computer software ABAQUS/CAE 2017 using concrete plasticity damage model (CDP).

Under the action of Halabja earthquake, which was recorded at city of Halabja in Iraq on 12 November 2017, the behavior of model was traced, analyzed and the resulted damages were managed.

The finite element analysis results indicated that the proposed configuration of carbon fiber reinforced polymers laminates substantially increases the lateral load strength and deformation capacity of the bridge pier.
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beam-column joint strengthening. See figures 1,2 and 3.

**Figure (1):** Column jackets: (a) steel, (b) CFRP square, (c) CFRP circular. 2. Forward Kinematics

**Figure (2):** Steel bar restrainer

**Figure (3):** Bumper blocks

CFRP wraps was used as confinement in order to increase the ultimate compressive strength and the ultimate strain of the concrete, the main advantages of these wraps possess over the steel jackets are very high strength to weight ratio & high resistivity to corrosion.

2. Literature Review:

*S. Rodriguez and T. Ingham (1996):* In their research paper described the final seismic retrofit recommended for the stiffening trusses of the Golden Gate Bridge in San Francisco, California.

The strengthening of the bridge included longitudinal hydraulic dampers to limit relative displacements, transverse dampers to isolate the south side span from the south pylon, and replacement of one half of the top lateral braces.

Lian Duan (2000): In chapter(40) of (Bridge Engineering Handbook), James Roberts and Brian Maroney explained that structural designers of bridges seismic retrofit projects must understand the system response to potential earthquake excitations, identify and design modifications to the existing system that will change the expected response to one that satisfies the seismic performance criteria.

This was accomplished by modifying the system stiffness, mass characteristics and energy absorption.

Then the chapter briefly discussed various seismic retrofit strategies used in California.

Snehansu, Dr. Nirmalendu, et al (2018): in this research paper many strategies have been considered to accomplish reliable seismic performance.

The research mainly emphasized on different isolators and dampers effect in decreasing the damage of buildings and other civil infrastructures.

It represented mechanical devices (e.g. base isolators and dampers) to improve the seismic performance.

A number of isolators such as Elastomeric Bearings, High Damping Bearings, Flat Slider Bearings, Pendulum Bearings, Ball and Roller Bearings and dampers such as Mass Dampers, Liquid Damper, Elasto-plastic Damper, Visco-Damper had been studied in details.

3. Case Study:

A numerical simulation was used to investigate the structural behavior of bridge pier with dimensions and properties corresponding to an exist bridge, at Qahtan square in Baghdad/Iraq, under seismic loading performed by the FE-software ABAQUS/CAE version 2017.

i. **Geometric Model:** Qahtan Square Overpass is a simply-supported reinforced concrete bridge in Baghdad with 16 m width carriageway in order to have two-way traffic with two lanes each way. The pier is with four circular columns approximately 6.5 m in height and the deck slab is reinforced concrete with twelve girders of precast prestressed concrete and the cross-head beam with width of 1.85 m is used.

Figure 4, table 1 and table 2 describing concrete and reinforcement details.
Using the software Siemens NX 11.0, a three-dimensional solid model of the bridge pier was generated, then imported into ABAQUS/CAE version 2017 using Initial Graphics Exchange Specification (IGES) files.

### Materials input data:

#### a. Concrete:
In this study, concrete is considered to behave as nonlinear material. Plastic behavior of material was simulated by using CDP model this technique uses models which their materials are subjected to cyclic, monotonic, or dynamic loading where stiffness recovery during load reversals is permitted. Concrete used was with compressive strength (fcu) equals to 35 MPa and all parameters were derived from the concrete compressive strength according to SIMULIA, Abaqus2016 /CAE User's Manual.

#### Table (3): Concrete compression and tension data

| i  | Stress [MPa] | Crushing strain [-] | Damage C [+] |
|----|--------------|---------------------|--------------|
| 1  | 24.019       | 0.0                 | 0.0          |
| 2  | 29.208       | 0.0004              | 0.1299       |
| 3  | 31.709       | 0.0008              | 0.2429       |
| 4  | 32.358       | 0.0012              | 0.3412       |
| 5  | 31.768       | 0.0016              | 0.4267       |
| 6  | 30.379       | 0.002               | 0.5012       |
| 7  | 28.507       | 0.0024              | 0.566        |
| 8  | 21.907       | 0.0036              | 0.714        |
| 9  | 14.897       | 0.005               | 0.824        |
| 10 | 2.953        | 0.01                | 0.9691       |

#### b. Reinforcement:
For the bridge pier, a perfectly plastic material model is used to define steel plasticity by using ASTM deformed reinforcing steel bars grade 60 for fy= 414 Mpa. table 4 describes steel bars data.

#### Table (4): Input data for concrete plasticity parameters

| i  | Density | 2.4 x 10^-9 tonne/mm³ |
|----|---------|------------------------|
| 2  | Young’s modulus E | 25399 MPa |
| 3  | Poisson’s ratio ν  | 0.2 |
| 4  | Dilation angle Φ   | 36°(default) |
| 5  | Flow potential eccentricity ε | 0.1(default) |
| 6  | Biaxial/uniaxial compression plastic strain ratio fb0/RC0 | 1.16 (default) |
| 7  | Invariant stress ratio KC | 0.667 (default) |
| 8  | Viscosity V | 0.000001 |

#### CDP Parameters:
These parameters are needed to describe the plastic properties of concrete as the table below:

#### Table (5): Steel plastic data

| i  | Stress [MPa] | Plastic strain |
|----|--------------|----------------|
| 1  | 413.69       | 0              |
| 2  | 414.89       | 0.008          |
| 3  | 482.63       | 0.020          |
| 4  | 551.58       | 0.040          |
| 5  | 592.95       | 0.060          |
| 6  | 620.53       | 0.080          |
| 7  | 592.95       | 0.100          |
| 8  | 551.58       | 0.130          |

#### iii. Boundary conditions:
A totally fixed bottom of pile cap was used, as well as an acceleration mechanical boundary condition was used at side of the pile cap representing the earthquake excitation.

#### iv. Bridge loading:
The loads involved in bridge pier structure were:
- Supper structure loads (i.e. dead load + imposed dead load) applied as pressure load on crosshead beam top.
- Traffic live loading as claimed by Iraqi specifications for loading of bridges applied as pressure load on crosshead beam top.
- Pier gravity load
Doubled (for exaggerated load case) Halabja earthquake loading applied in biaxial direction (i.e. in X and Z direction together). See figures 5, 6, 7.

Figures 6, 7 shows the data of earthquake used in the analysis which is an acceleration record. The method of dynamic analysis used to analyze the structure under the earthquake was Time History Method. Meshing: For concrete a quadratic brick element was selected to provide higher accuracy for elements with strong distortions. That element type captures stress concentrating better furthermore effective in bending and shear problems. Truss elements were selected in order that the reinforcing steel bars didn’t supply high bending stiffness. Table 6 summarized a statistic data of model elements Error! Reference source not found. 8 and Figure 9 illustrate the finite element meshes that were adopted for concrete and reinforcement bars.

4. Case Study Results:
Halabja earthquake was chosen for the following reasons:
❖ It is up to date recorded Iraqi earthquake.
❖ To check the typical Iraqi bridge substructures to real earthquake happened in Iraq.

Doubling Halabja excitation in biaxial direction and assuming no compression stiffness recovery would expose the pier to a severe stress in both compression and tension at concrete and additional von mises stresses at reinforcement bars. The compression damage ratio recorded as 151.4% of concrete compression crushing parameter, which passed the limits of the pier’s concrete plastic damage, at lower parts of the four columns and some spots at the cross head beam and was reached to the plastic hinge stage. The maximum mises stresses in steel bars in the end of earthquake excitation was 518.9 Mpa, at the longitudinal main bars and hoops of the columns.
exceeding the yield limits, this would be illustrated as in the figures 10,11

**Figure (10):** Compression damage patterns for pier in Concrete

**Figure (11):** Stresses pattern in bars

5. CFRP Strengthening:

During recent decades CFRP wraps used to increase the ultimate compressive strength and the ultimate strain of the concrete, the main advantages of these wraps possess over the steel jackets are very high strength to weight ratio & high resistivity to corrosion

By strengthening columns before exposing the pier to earthquake excitation at the previous case study, a common finite element technique was implemented via ABAQUS software.

i. CFRP material properties:

Table 7 describes CFRP’s material properties

| Table (7): Mechanical properties of CFRP laminates |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| Nominal thickness (mm) | t  |
| Elastic modulus (MPa) | E1 | 230000 |
|                       | E2 | 17900 |
| Tensile strength (MPa) | f**u** | 3500 |
| Shear modulus (MPa)    | G12 | 11790 |
|                       | G13 | 11790 |
|                       | G23 | 6880  |
| Poisson’s ratio (V)    | v12 | 0.22  |
|                       | v13 | 0.22  |
|                       | v23 | 0.3   |
| Ultimate strain (%)    | £fu | 1.5   |
| Density(tonne/mm³)     | 1.6x10⁴ |

ii. CFRP finite element type and mesh: The assumed (2 meter) FRP sheet was modeled utilizing a (640) of S4R elements (a 4-node doubly curved thin or thick shell, finite membrane strains, in one direction orientation (i.e X-direction), see figures below:

**Figure (12):** Finite element model of CFRB jacket.

The CFRP elements are connected to the concrete directly using interaction with concrete elements by surface to surface contact property.

**Figure (13):** The strengthened finite element model

iii. Strengthening Results:

After strengthening process, the compression damage ratio recorded was not different from the previous failure records nevertheless, the maximum record of crushing parameter is less propagated in the structure after strengthening, see figure 14.

The tension cracking was less specifically in the inferior parts of columns but the cracking parameters were identical, see figure 15.

The maximum mises stresses in steel bars in the end of earthquake excitation was reduced from 518.9 Mpa to 414 Mpa with obvious improvement in deformed shape, see figure 16.

**Figure (14):** Compression damage patterns for pier (strengthened columns)
6. Conclusions:

1. Using Concrete Damaged Plasticity analysis method had enhanced the capacity of pier to resist earthquakes due to the effect of compression and tension plastic damage parameter.
2. The maximum stresses in pier’s concrete and reinforcement were occurred at the inferior regions of columns and some little spots in the cross-head beam in model.
3. The proposed configuration of CFRP laminates increases lateral load strength and deformation capacity at the CFRB-confined portions.
4. The FEM analysis results indicated: less compression crushing, less tension cracking, less von mises stresses and deformations in reinforcement bars and hoops.
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