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ABSTRACT: Different fertilizers, ammonia sulfate and urea (MIN), Fertil 12.5 (ORG) and Azoslow (ORG-MIN) were compared in a silage corn crop. Total biomass yield was above 55.0 t ha⁻¹ for all fertilizers. Azoslow showed the highest dry matter content (40.8%). No differences among the fertilizers were found in protein content (4.9% on average) at waxy ripening, with the control showing the lowest value (3.9%). There were also no differences in silage quality among the fertilizers. The N budget was estimated in order to quantify the residual nitrogen amounts at harvest and the efficiency of fertilizers.
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INTRODUCTION - Intensification of agricultural practices has caused environmental impacts such as excess nitrates and groundwater pollution, and degradation of soil chemical, physical and biological fertility (Havlin et al., 1999). Proper soil fertility management is the main tool for improving quality and quantity in forage cropping systems. In organic farming, the negative impacts of agriculture on the environment could be attenuated, although many studies have shown the risk of nitrate leaching also in organic systems (Fagnano et al., 2003). The aim of this paper was to compare mineral and organic fertilizers as regards corn silage production and environmental impact.

MATERIAL AND METHODS - Trials were carried out at the “Torre Lama” experimental farm of Naples University, Bellizzi (SA) 14°56'E, 40°37'N, 30 m a.s.l. The treatments were as follows: control (CONT); ammonia sulfate and urea (MIN); Fertil 12.5 (ORG); Azoslow (ORG-MIN). The doses were based on 160 kg ha⁻¹ of N. All organic fertilizers were applied prior to sowing, while mineral fertilizers were applied at two times: 25% at sowing (ammonia sulfate) and 75% at the 7th leaf (urea). The harvested biomass and other biometric parameters were determined. Chopped plants were pressed and closed in plastic bags for 90 days; the silage corn was then analyzed to determine quality (Jarrige, 1981; AOAC, 1990; Calabrò et al., 2001; Di Francia et al., 2001). In order to determine the nitrogen budget, N derived from the mineralization of the SOM (Soil Organic Matter), N supply and N uptake were estimated. Moreover, the efficiency of the fertilizers was estimated as the ratio between uptake and sup-
ply. 40 mm of rain fell during the cropping period, while the ETmax was 458 mm; water deficit was compensated by applying water with a drip-irrigation system.

**RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS - Growth analysis** - Biomass yield for all the treatments shows very rapid growth in June for MIN and ORG and in July for ORG-MIN (Fig. 1a). Indeed, Crop Growth Rate (CGR) peaked (> 7 g pt⁻¹ d⁻¹) in the period 7/6 - 7/7 for MIN and ORG and in the period 7 - 27/7 for ORG-MIN (9.5 g pt⁻¹ d⁻¹) (Fig. 1b).

Figure 1 Biomass yield (a) and crop growth rate (b).

**Yield** – Corn yield both of fresh and dry matter did not differ among the three fertilizers, all higher than CONT. Neither did the percentage of dry matter and leaf area at the end of flowering differ among the fertilizers, even if higher values were observed in the plants fertilized with ORG-MIN (Tab. 1).

| Treatments | Total Biomass t ha⁻¹ f.m. | Total Biomass t ha⁻¹ d.m. | Dry matter % | Protein % d.m. | Protein Kg ha⁻¹ | height cm | LAI |
|------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------|-----|
| CONT       | 45.3 b                     | 15.2 b                    | 33.7b         | 3.9 b          | 598 b           | 283.3 b   | 2.28 b |
| ORG        | 62.1 a                     | 22.8 a                    | 36.7 ab       | 4.6 ab         | 1041 a          | 347.0 a   | 2.93 ab |
| ORG-MIN    | 55.2 ab                    | 22.6 a                    | 40.8 a        | 5.2 a          | 1181 a          | 332.0 a   | 3.41 a |
| MIN        | 60.4 a                     | 22.1 a                    | 36.6 ab       | 4.9 a          | 1082 a          | 328.0 a   | 2.75 ab |

Significance 0.04 0.04 0.10 0.03 0.04 0.03 0.08
LSD 111 55 5.7 0.8 394 39 1.1

The analyses on corn silage showed no differences in ashes (7.1% on average), OM (92.9%), ADF (34.3%), cellulose (28.1%) and nutritive value (0.83 Milk F.U. kg⁻¹). No differences in protein content were observed among the fertilizers, although they showed higher values than CONT. ORG showed lower values of ADL, NDF and hemicelluloses. On the whole, the corn silage was of average quality, with a low content in proteins and standard pH values (Tab. 2).
Nitrogen budget - N derived from the mineralization of the SOM was evaluated assuming that the nitrogen uptake from the non-fertilized plants (114 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) is derived from the mineralization of the SOM ± the variations in mineral N in the soil layer 0-60 cm between sowing (119 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) and harvest (104 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) (Tab. 3).

| Treatments | Supply | Uptake | Budget | % efficiency |
|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------------|
| CONT       | 0      | 99     | 114 c  | -15 b        | 115 a         |
| ORG        | 160    | 99     | 259    | 174 b        | 85 a          | 67 b          |
| ORG-MIN    | 160    | 99     | 259    | 254 a        | 5 b           | 98 a          |
| MIN        | 160    | 99     | 259    | 187 b        | 72 a          | 72 b          |
| Significance | ---  | ---    | ---    | 0.01         | 0.01          | 0.05          |
| LSD        | 34     | 34     | 15     |              |               |               |

The supply of N was 99 kg ha\(^{-1}\) in CONT and 259 (160+99) in the three fertilized plots. Different uptakes were observed among the treatments: higher in ORG-MIN, intermediate for the other two fertilizers and lower in CONT. Therefore the nitrogen budget as a difference between total supply and uptake showed a surplus of N (70-80 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) for ORG and MIN. This surplus represents the amount of N available but not used by maize plants: indeed, the efficiency of N was low for these two fertilizers (≈ 70%), confirming that too rapid N availability (both from mineral fertilizer, and rapidly mineralizable organic fertilizer) does not allow efficient uptake of plants.

The different nitrogen availability could have caused the different growth rates shown in figure 1b and the greatest concentrations of N and proteins in ORG-MIN that allowed N efficiency close to 100% to be obtained. Hence the quantity of N not taken up by the crop and remaining in the soil in the post-harvest period was about zero. Therefore the environmental risks due to the surplus of mineral nitrogen in the soil after harvest were lower in comparison with the other two fertilizers. The problem of nitrates after harvest must not...
be underestimated since autumn rainfall could cause leaching into the groundwater. In conclusion, fertilizers for organic farming produced increases in yield and nutritive value of corn silage similar to that obtained with ordinary mineral fertilization based on ammonia sulfate and urea, while slow release organic-mineral fertilizers led to a higher N uptake by plants, thereby reducing the residual post-harvest content of mineral N in the soil and reducing the risk of nitrate leaching into the groundwater.
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