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Abstract. We study random walk on topological full groups of subshifts, and show the existence of infinite, finitely generated, simple groups with the Liouville property. Results by Matui and Juschenko-Monod have shown that the derived subgroups of topological full groups of minimal subshifts provide the first examples of finitely generated, simple amenable groups. We show that if the (not necessarily minimal) subshift has a complexity function that grows slowly enough (e.g. linearly), then every symmetric and finitely supported probability measure on the topological full group has trivial Poisson–Furstenberg boundary. We also get explicit upper bounds for the growth of Følner sets.

1 Introduction

In the early 50s Graham Higman [Hig51] gave the first example of a finitely generated, infinite simple group. Later, Hall [Hal74], Gorjuškin [Gor74], and Schupp [Sch76] showed that any countable group can be embedded in a 2-generated simple group. Thus, finitely generated simple groups can be arbitrarily “large” in some sense. It is considerably less understood how “small” can such groups be, from the point of view of their asymptotic geometry.

It follows from Gromov’s theorem [Gro81] that a finitely generated simple group can not have polynomial growth, and it is an open question, due to Grigorchuk (see [Gri13, Problem 15]), whether it can have sub-exponential growth. Recall that groups of sub-exponential growth are amenable. Recently, Juschenko and Monod [JM13] have proven that there do exist finitely generated, simple groups that are amenable; the groups that they consider were known to be simple and finitely generated by results of Matui [Mat06].

We consider here a third property of groups that lies between sub-exponential growth and amenability: the Liouville property for finite-range symmetric random walks. We prove that there exist simple groups with the Liouville property.

A group equipped with a probability measure \((G, \mu)\) has the Liouville property if the Poisson–Furstenberg boundary is trivial; equivalently, if every bounded \(\mu\)-harmonic function on \(G\) is constant on the subgroup generated by the support of \(\mu\). Here a function \(f : G \to \mathbb{R}\) is said to be \(\mu\)-harmonic if \(f * \mu = f\), where
\[(f \ast \mu)(g) = \sum_{h \in G} f(gh)\mu(h).\] If the support of \(\mu\) generates \(G\) the measure is said to be non-degenerate.

When no measure is specified, we say that the group \(G\) has the Liouville property if \((G, \mu)\) has the Liouville property for every symmetric, finitely supported probability measure \(\mu\) on \(G\), including degenerate measures. Finitely generated groups with sub-exponential growth have the Liouville property (this is due to Avez [Ave74]), and groups with the Liouville property are amenable. More precisely, a group is amenable if, and only if, it admits a symmetric non-degenerate measure \(\mu\) with trivial Poisson–Furstenberg boundary (one implication is due to Furstenberg, see [KV83, Theorem 4.2], the other to Kaimanovich and Vershik [KV83, Theorem 4.4] and to Rosenblatt [Ros81]). However, there are finitely generated amenable groups, such as the wreath product \(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}\), that admit no finitely supported, non-degenerate measures with trivial boundary, see Kaimanovich and Vershik [KV83, Proposition 6.1]; on some amenable groups, a non-degenerate measure with trivial boundary might not even be chosen to have finite entropy by a result of Erschler [Ers04, Theorem 3.1]. For a recent survey on Poisson–Furstenberg boundaries of random walks on discrete groups, see [Ers10].

**Theorem 1.1.** There exist finitely generated infinite groups that are simple and have the Liouville property (for every symmetric, finitely supported probability measure). Moreover, there are uncountably many pairwise non-isomorphic such groups.

Theorem 1.1 is a consequence of Theorem 1.2 below.

The groups that we consider to prove Theorem 1.1 are a sub-class of the finitely generated simple groups discovered by Matui [Mat06, Theorem 4.9, Theorem 5.4] and considered by Juschenko and Monod [JM13]. They arise as the commutator subgroups of the topological full groups of some minimal subshifts, on which we assume that the complexity grows slowly enough (these notions are defined in Section 1.1). Our approach does not rely on results in [JM13] and yields a new proof of amenability of the groups that we consider. It also shows amenability of the topological full groups of a class of non-minimal subshifts with slow complexity (see Section 1.2).

### 1.1 Cantor systems, subshifts, and topological full groups.

Throughout the paper let \(\Sigma\) denote a compact, metrizable, totally disconnected topological space, and let \(\tau\) be a homeomorphism of \(\Sigma\).

The topological full group of the dynamical system \((\Sigma, \tau)\) is the group \([[\tau]]\) of homeomorphisms of \(\Sigma\) that locally coincide with a power of \(\tau\), namely the group of homeomorphisms \(g \in \text{Homeo}(\Sigma)\) such that for every \(x \in \Sigma\) there exists an open neighbourhood \(U\) of \(x\) and an integer \(k \in \mathbb{Z}\) for which \(g|U = \tau^k|U\). In other words, \(g \in \text{Homeo}(\Sigma)\) belongs to \([[\tau]]\) if and only if there exists a continuous function \(k_g : \Sigma \to \mathbb{Z}\), the orbit cocycle, such that

\[\forall x \in \Sigma, \quad g(x) = \tau^{k_g(x)}(x).\]
Recall that a topological dynamical system is said to be minimal if every orbit is dense.

The dynamical system $(\Sigma, \tau)$ is called a Cantor system whenever $\Sigma$ is homeomorphic to the Cantor set; in our setting, this amounts to non-existence of isolated points in $\Sigma$.

Giordano, Putnam and Skau study in [GPS99] the topological full group of a Cantor minimal system. They show that the structure of this countable group characterizes completely the dynamics of such systems: two Cantor minimal systems $(\Sigma, \tau)$ and $(\Sigma', \tau')$ have isomorphic topological full groups if and only if $(\Sigma', \tau')$ is topologically conjugate to $(\Sigma, \tau)$ or to $(\Sigma, \tau^{-1})$ [GPS99, Corollary 4.4]. The proof of this uses results from Boyle’s thesis [Boy83] on topological orbit-equivalence, see [BT98, Theorem 3.2]. In fact, also the structure of the commutator subgroup of the topological full group of a Cantor minimal system characterizes the system in the same way; this is due to Bezuglyi and Medynets [BM08, Theorem 5.2].

Let $A$ be a finite alphabet and endow $A^\mathbb{Z}$ with the product of the discrete topology on $A$. The shift over $A$ is the Cantor system $(A^\mathbb{Z}, \tau)$ where $\tau$ acts on $A^\mathbb{Z}$ by

$$\tau : \cdots x_3 x_2 x_1 x_0 x_1 x_2 x_3 \cdots \mapsto \cdots x_2 x_1 x_0 x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 \cdots .$$

A subshift is a dynamical system $(\Sigma, \tau)$ where $\Sigma \subset A^\mathbb{Z}$ is a closed subset which is invariant under the shift. A subshift is not necessarily a Cantor system, note however that a minimal infinite subshift is automatically a Cantor system.

Matui shows in [Mat06] that if $(\Sigma, \tau)$ is a minimal Cantor system, the commutator subgroup $[[\tau]]'$ of the topological full group is simple (and infinite), see [Mat06, Theorem 4.9] and the remark above it; if moreover $(\Sigma, \tau)$ is a minimal subshift, $[[\tau]]'$ is also finitely generated [Mat06, Theorem 5.4]. In this case, he also proves in [Mat13] that the group $[[\tau]]'$ contains free sub-semigroups and thus it has exponential growth. Juschenko and Monod [JM13] prove that for every minimal Cantor system $(\Sigma, \tau)$ the group $[[\tau]]$ is amenable; this was conjectured by Grigorchuk and Medynets [GM12]. See also Juschenko and de la Salle [JdlS13] where a part of [JM13] is generalized and simplified using recurrence of the random walk on the orbital Schreier graphs of the group. This amenability result is now part of a more general amenability criterion due to Juschenko, Nekrashevych and de la Salle [JNdlS13].

The complexity, or word-complexity, of a subshift $(\Sigma, \tau)$ is the function $\rho : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ that counts the number of words of length $n$ in the alphabet $A$ that appear as subwords of sequences in $\Sigma$. See [CN10] for a survey on the complexity function. This function is closely related to topological entropy, as it is well known that the topological entropy of $(\Sigma, \tau)$ is given by the sub-additive limit $h_{\text{top}}(\tau) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \rho(n)$. However we do not need the notion of topological entropy here.

Our main result concerns another notion of entropy: the asymptotic entropy of random walks on $[[\tau]]$. Given a probability measure $\mu$ on a countable group $G$, its entropy $H(\mu)$ is the quantity $H(\mu) = -\sum_{g \in G} \mu(g) \log \mu(g) \in [0, +\infty]$. The random walk entropy (also called asymptotic or Avez entropy) is the limit
\[ h(\mu) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} H(\mu^* n), \]

where \( \mu^* n \) is the \( n \)th convolution power of \( \mu \). The limit exists by sub-additivity. The entropy criterion of Kaimanovich and Vershik [KV83, Theorem 1.1] and Derrienic [Der80] states that if \( H(\mu) < \infty \) (e.g. if \( \mu \) is finitely supported) the Liouville property for \((G, \mu)\) is equivalent to \( h(\mu) = 0 \).

**Theorem 1.2.** Let \((\Sigma, \tau)\) be a subshift without isolated periodic points. Suppose that the complexity \( \rho \) of \( \Sigma \) satisfies

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \frac{\log n}{n} \right)^2 \rho(n) = 0. \]

Then for every finitely supported symmetric probability measure \( \mu \) on \( [[\tau]] \) the random walk entropy vanishes.

More precisely, there exists a constant \( C > 0 \) such that for every \( n \geq 1 \)

\[ H(\mu^* n) \leq C \rho([C \sqrt{n \log n}]) \log n. \]

**Remark 1.3.** 1. Theorem 1.2 applies in particular if the complexity grows linearly, i.e. if there exist a constant \( C > 0 \) such that \( \rho(n) \leq Cn \). More generally, it applies if there exist \( \alpha \in [1, 2) \) and \( C > 0 \) such that \( \rho(n) \leq Cn^\alpha \). This includes some well-studied classes of minimal subshifts, see Section 4.

2. The measure \( \mu \) in the statement is not assumed to be non-degenerate; in fact the whole topological full group is not necessarily finitely generated (cf. [Mat06, Corollary 5.5]).

**Remark 1.4.** Theorem 1.2 implies Theorem 1.1: a subshift \((\Sigma, \tau)\) satisfying the assumption in the statement can be chosen to be minimal, and in this case the commutator subgroup \( [[\tau]]' \) is simple and finitely generated by the results of Matui [Mat06, Theorem 4.9, Theorem 5.4]. For example, a class of minimal subshifts satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 1.2 is given by the Sturmian subshifts [MH40] obtained by coding irrational rotations of the circle (we recall this construction in Section 4.1). Sturmian subshifts are minimal and have complexity \( \rho(n) = n + 1 \), see [Lot02, Section 2.1.2]. Moreover, a spectral argument shows that distinct rotations give rise to non-conjugate Sturmian subshifts. By the results of Giordano, Putnam and Skau [GPS99, Corollary 4.4], and Bezuglyi and Medynets [BM08, Theorem 5.2], this provides uncountably many examples of finitely generated simple Liouville groups, as claimed in Theorem 1.1.

**1.2 Application to amenability and Følner function.** Theorem 1.2 also implies that the topological full group \( [[\tau]] \) is amenable, since amenability of a group is equivalent to amenability of its finitely generated subgroups. This shows that the topological full group is amenable even without the assumption that \((\Sigma, \tau)\) is minimal, made in [JM13], if we assume instead that the complexity of \( \Sigma \) grows slowly enough.
Corollary 1.5. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.2, the group $[[\tau]]$ is amenable.

Note that some assumptions on the subshift $(\Sigma, \tau)$ are needed to ensure that the group $[[\tau]]$ is amenable: a construction due to van Douwen [vD90] shows that there exist non-minimal subshifts $(\Sigma, \tau)$ such that $[[\tau]]$ contains non-abelian free subgroups, see also [dC13, Proposition 3.7.1] for a more recent exposition. Van Douwen’s construction yields subshifts with positive topological entropy. As far as we know, there is no known example of a Cantor system $(\Sigma, \tau)$ having zero topological entropy, and such that $[[\tau]]$ is non-amenable.

Question 1.6. What are the slowest possible growth rates for the complexity of a subshift $(\Sigma, \tau)$, having the property that $[[\tau]]$ contains non-abelian free subgroups (respectively is non-amenable, respectively is non-Liouville)?

The upper bound for the entropy in Theorem 1.2 also provides lower bounds for the return probabilities, and thus upper bounds for the growth of Følner sets of finitely generated subgroups of $[[\tau]]$; these estimates are new even when $(\Sigma, \tau)$ is minimal. Recall that the Følner function of a finitely generated amenable group $G$ equipped with a finite symmetric generating set $S$ is the function $\text{Føl}_{G,S} : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ given by

$$\text{Føl}_{G,S}(n) = \min \left\{ |F| : F \subset G, |\partial_S F| \leq \frac{1}{n} |F| \right\},$$

where $\partial_S F = \{ g \in F : \exists s \in S, sg \notin F \}$. In the setting of minimal subshifts, de Cornulier [dC13, Question 1] raises the question to estimate the Følner function and the Følner function of finitely generated subgroups of $[[\tau]]$, and to determine if they depend on the choice of $(\Sigma, \tau)$. The next corollary is a step in this direction.

Corollary 1.7. 1. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.2, for every symmetric and finitely supported probability measure on $[[\tau]]$, there exists $C_1 > 0$ such that the return probabilities satisfy for every $n \geq 1$

$$\mu^*2n(e) \geq \frac{1}{C_1} \exp(-C_1 \rho([C_1 \sqrt{n \log n}]) \log n).$$

2. Suppose moreover that there exists $C > 0$ and $\alpha \in [1, 2)$ such that $\rho(n) \leq Cn^\alpha$. Then for any finitely generated subgroup $G$ of $[[\tau]]$, every finite symmetric generating set $S$ of $G$ and for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $C_2 > 0$ such that for every $n \geq 1$

$$\text{Føl}_{G,S}(n) \leq C_2 \exp(C_2 n^{2\alpha/(2-\alpha)+\varepsilon}).$$

Details on the proof will be given in Section 3, after the proof of Theorem 1.2.
Remark 1.8. The stronger assumption on $\rho$ in the second part of Corollary 1.7 simplifies the statement but it is not essential: the lower bound on the return probabilities always implies an upper bound on the Følner function, see for instance [Woe00, Corollary 14.5] or [PSC13].

In this context, we shall mention Bartholdi and Virág’s proof of amenability of the Basilica group [BV05], in which the Liouville property was (implicitly) used for the first time as a tool, to prove amenability and estimate return probabilities. Their ideas have been generalized by several authors [Kai05, BKN10, Bri09, AAV13, AAMBV13], who prove amenability and the Liouville property for several classes of groups acting on rooted trees. The groups that we consider here do not act on rooted trees and we need a different method.

1.3 Outline of the proof and structure of the paper. Let us give an outline of the proof of Theorem 1.2. Given any subshift $(\Sigma, \tau)$, and a finitely generated subgroup $G = \langle S \rangle$ of $[\tau]$, the orbital Schreier graph of any non-periodic point $x \in \Sigma$ admits a natural Lipschitz embedding into $\mathbb{Z}$. This embedding is given by $gx \mapsto k_g(x)$, where $k_g$ is the orbit cocycle. Thus, if $g_n$ is the left random walk on $G$, $k_{g_n}(x)$ performs a random walk on a graph with vertex set $\mathbb{Z}$ and edges connecting integers with bounded difference. This fact, using some general Gaussian estimates due to Hebisch and Saloff-Coste [HSC93], implies that the maximal displacement up to time $n$ of the orbit cocycle $k_{g_n}(x)$ has typical size $\sqrt{n}$ and the tail of its distribution admits a Gaussian upper bound. The key observation is to deduce from this that the cocycle $k_{g_n}$ at time $n$ is with overwhelming probability constant on a given cylinder subset of $\Sigma$, provided that the number of letters defining the cylinder is big enough compared to $\sqrt{n}$. Our assumption on the complexity of $\Sigma$ tells us that there are few cylinders, and implies that $g_n$ belongs with high probability to a finite subset $A_n$ of $G$ which has sub-exponentially growing cardinality. This is equivalent to having zero random walk entropy.

Structure of the paper: Section 2 consists of the proof of a preliminary fact, Proposition 2.3, that we will use in next section to analyse the random walk on the Schreier graphs of the action of $G$ on $\Sigma$. These graphs turn out to be one of the simplest kind of infinite graphs: they have linear growth and admit a natural Lipschitz embedding into $\mathbb{Z}$. Random walks on graphs of linear growth are very well understood. Upper bounds for the transition probabilities can be deduced from a general result due to Hebisch and Saloff-Coste [HSC93], and
a matching lower bound holds for graphs of linear growth as it is shown by Coulhon and Saloff-Coste in [CSC93]. The upper bound will be sufficient to our purpose, we recall it below.

Let $\Gamma$ be a graph of bounded degree. A Markov kernel $p(x, y)$ on the vertex set of $\Gamma$ is said to be nearest neighbour if it is symmetric and $p(x, y) = 0$ unless $x, y$ are neighbours in $\Gamma$. We make the standing assumption that $p$ is $\delta$-uniformly elliptic, i.e. there exists a uniform constant $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\forall x, y \quad p(x, y) \geq \delta. \tag{1}$$

Recall that if $\Gamma$ is infinite and connected and $p$ is $\delta$-uniformly elliptic, by [Woe00, Corollary 14.6] there exists a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that for every $n \geq 1$

$$\sup_{x, y} p_n(x, y) \leq C_1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}},$$

where $p_n$ is the $n$th iteration of the Markov Kernel $p$. Moreover the constant $C_1$ above only depends on $\delta$. This last sentence follows easily by inspection of the proof of [Woe00, Corollary 14.6] after observing that, with the notations defined at [Woe00, p. 39], every non-empty finite set $A$ satisfies $a(\partial A) \geq \delta$ (since $\Gamma$ is infinite and connected and thus $\partial A$ contains at least one edge).

By [HSC93, Theorem 2.1] the above inequality can be improved to obtain the following.

**Proposition 2.1** (Hebisch and Saloff-Coste, Corollary of Theorem 2.1 in [HSC93]).

Let $\Gamma$ be an infinite connected graph, and let $p$ be a symmetric nearest neighbour Markov kernel on $\Gamma$. Suppose also that $p$ is $\delta$-uniformly elliptic for some $\delta > 0$. Then there exist positive constants $C_1, D$ such that for every $n \geq 1$ and every $x, y$ vertices of $\Gamma$

$$p_n(x, y) \leq C_1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \exp \left( -\frac{\text{dist}_\Gamma(x, y)^2}{Dn} \right), \tag{2}$$

where $\text{dist}_\Gamma$ is the graph distance on $\Gamma$. The constants $C_1$ and $D$ only depend on the uniform ellipticity constant $\delta$.

**Remark 2.2.** Let $d$ be another distance on $\Gamma$ and suppose that there is $K > 0$ such that $d \leq K \text{dist}_\Gamma$. Then the same estimate holds if $\text{dist}_\Gamma$ is replaced by $d$, with possibly different constants $C_1, D$, where $C_1$ only depends on $\delta$ and $D$ depends on $\delta$ and on the Lipschitz constant $K$.

Until the end of the section, we assume to be in the following setting.

**Setting.** Let $K > 0$ and let $\Gamma$ be a graph which is $K$-Lipschitz embedded into $\mathbb{Z}$. In other words, the vertex set of $\Gamma$ is identified with a subset of $\mathbb{Z}$, and whenever $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ are the endpoints of an edge of $\Gamma$ we have $|x - y| \leq K$. Suppose also that $0$ belongs to the vertex set of $\Gamma$. We shall consider two distances on $\Gamma$, the graph distance and the distance induced by $\mathbb{Z}$. Suppose that $\Gamma$ is endowed with a symmetric nearest neighbour Markov kernel $p$ that is $\delta$-uniformly elliptic. Let $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a Markov chain with kernel $p$ started at $0$. We wish to study
where $|\cdot|$ is the absolute value of $Z$.

The following Proposition will be used in next section.

**Proposition 2.3.** Let $\Gamma$ be a graph $K$-Lipschitz embedded into $\mathbb{Z}$, endowed with a symmetric nearest neighbour Markov kernel $p$ which is $\delta$-uniformly elliptic for some $\delta > 0$. There exists positive real constants $C, D, a_0$ such that for every $a \geq a_0$ and every $n \geq 1$

$$
\mathbb{P}\left( \max_{j \leq n} |X_j| \geq a\sqrt{n} \right) \leq C \exp\left( -\frac{(a-a_0)^2}{D} \right),
$$

where $X_n$ is the Markov chain with kernel $p$ started at 0, and $|\cdot|$ is the absolute value of $Z$. The constants $C, D, a_0$ only depend on the Lipschitz constant $K$ and on the constant $\delta$.

We point out that the uniform control on the constants in Proposition 2.3 is crucial for the application that we need.

The proof relies on Proposition 2.1 and on the following modification of the classical “reflection principle” for the random walk on $\mathbb{Z}$. The argument of the proof is standard.

**Lemma 2.4.** With the same assumptions as in Proposition 2.3, suppose moreover that $\Gamma$ is infinite. Then there exists a constant $b_0 > 0$, only depending on $K, \delta$, such that for every $x > 0$ and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
\mathbb{P}\left( \max_{j \leq n} |X_j| \geq x \right) \leq 2\mathbb{P}(|X_n| \geq x - b_0\sqrt{n}).
$$

**Proof.** Since we assume that the graph is infinite, Proposition 2.1 applies. A straightforward computation using (2) with respect to the distance of $Z$ (see Remark 2.2) shows that for every $n \geq 1$ and $b \geq 2$ (to ensure that $b - 1/\sqrt{n} \geq 1$) we have

$$
\mathbb{P}(|X_n| \geq b\sqrt{n}) \leq 2C_1 \int_{b - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{t^2}{D}} dt \leq C_2 e^{-\frac{(b-1/\sqrt{n})^2}{D}} \leq C_2 e^{-\frac{(b-1)^2}{D}},
$$

(3)

where $C_1, D$ are the constants from Proposition 2.1, and $C_2 = C_1 D$. For $y \in \mathbb{Z}$, write $\mathbb{P}_y$ for the law of $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ started at $X_0 = y$, while $\mathbb{P}$ denotes $\mathbb{P}_0$. Obviously (3) holds unchanged if $\mathbb{P}$ is replaced by $\mathbb{P}_y$ and $|X_n|$ by $|X_n - y|$. In particular there is $b_0 > 0$, that only depends on $K, \delta$, such that for every $y \in \mathbb{Z}$ and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$
\mathbb{P}_y(|X_n - y| > b_0\sqrt{n}) \leq \frac{1}{2},
$$

(4)

this will be $b_0$ in the statement.
Set $S_n = \max_{j \leq n} |X_j|$. Consider the stopping time $T_x = \inf\{n \geq 0 : |X_n| \geq x\}$, and observe that the event $\{S_n \geq x\}$ is equal to $\{T_x \leq n\}$. We have

$$
P(S_n \geq x) = P(T_x \leq n) = P(T_x \leq n, |X_n| \geq x - b_0 \sqrt{n}) + P(T_x \leq n, |X_n| < x - b_0 \sqrt{n}) \leq P(|X_n| \geq x - b_0 \sqrt{n}) + P(T_x \leq n, |X_n - X_{T_x}| > b_0 \sqrt{n}).$$

To bound the second summand, write

$$
P(T_x \leq n, |X_n - X_{T_x}| > b_0 \sqrt{n}) = \sum_{j \leq n} P(T_x = j, |X_n - X_j| > b_0 \sqrt{n})$$

$$= \sum_{j \leq n} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}} P(T_x = j, X_j = y) P_y(|X_{n-j} - y| > b_0 \sqrt{n})$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \leq n} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}} P(T_x = j, X_j = y) = \frac{1}{2} P(T_x \leq n) = \frac{1}{2} P(S_n \geq x),$$

where equality between the first and second line follows from Markov property, and inequality between the second and third line holds since we chose $b_0$ verifying (4). These two computations together imply that

$$
P(S_n \geq x) \leq P(|X_n| \geq x - b_0 \sqrt{n}) + \frac{1}{2} P(S_n \geq x),$$

which is a rephrasing of the desired inequality.

**Remark 2.5.** Lemma 2.4 also holds without the assumption that $\Gamma$ is infinite. Here is a way to see this: first prove Proposition 2.3, that does not assume that the graph $\Gamma$ is infinite (in the proof, we will only need the current form of Lemma 2.4), then apply it to show that also in the finite case there exists $b_0 > 0$, that only depends on $K$ and $\delta$, verifying (4); the rest of the proof holds with no change.

**Proof of Proposition 2.3.** Suppose at first that $\Gamma$ is infinite. Then Proposition 2.3 follows from Lemma 2.4 (setting $x = a \sqrt{n}$) and from the inequality (3) applied to $b = a - b_0$. The constants $C, D, a_0$ are $C = 2C_2 = 2C_1 D$, where $C_2$ is as in (3), $C_1, D$ are the constants from Proposition 2.1, and $a_0 = b_0 + 1$, where $b_0$ is the constant from Lemma 2.4. All these constants only depend on the Lipschitz constant $K$ and on $\delta$.

The case of finite $\Gamma$ is readily reduced to the infinite case as follows. Fix $a$ and $n$. If the vertex set of $\Gamma$ is contained in the interval $[-a \sqrt{n}, +a \sqrt{n}] \subset \mathbb{Z}$ then $P(S_n > a \sqrt{n}) = 0$ and the claim is correct. Otherwise, modify $\Gamma$ outside that interval to obtain an infinite graph $\hat{\Gamma}$ with the same Lipschitz constant $K$, and a Markov kernel $\hat{p}$ which is $\delta/2$-uniformly elliptic and coincides with $p$ on edges that entirely lie in that interval (this can clearly be achieved). Random walks on $(\Gamma, p)$ and $(\hat{\Gamma}, \hat{p})$ are naturally coupled until the exit time from $[-a \sqrt{n}, +a \sqrt{n}]$, in particular the distributions of the exit times for the two random walks are the same. The conclusion follows from the infinite case.

\[\Box\]
Proof of Theorem 1.2

We now turn to the proofs of Theorem 1.2 and of Corollary 1.7.

Throughout the section, we suppose that $(\Sigma, \tau)$ is a subshift without isolated periodic points, and that $\mu$ is a symmetric, finitely supported probability measure on $[[\tau]]$ (possibly degenerate).

We set $S = \text{supp} \mu$ and $G = \langle S \rangle \leq [[\tau]]$.

For the moment we do not make any assumption on the complexity of $\Sigma$. The assumption on the complexity in Theorem 1.2 will be used in the last part of the proof, as we will point out.

Remark 3.1. The set of non-periodic points is dense in $\Sigma$. To see this, observe that absence of isolated periodic points implies that, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the finite set of $n$-periodic points has empty interior. By Baire’s Theorem the set of all periodic points has empty interior, in other words non-periodic points are dense.

Definition 3.2. By definition of topological full group, for every element $g \in [[\tau]]$ there exists a continuous, locally constant function $k_g : \Sigma \to \mathbb{Z}$, called the orbit cocycle, defined by the requirement

$$\forall x \in \Sigma, \quad g(x) = \tau^{k_g(x)}(x).$$

Note that $g$ is uniquely determined by $k_g$. Conversely, observe that the value of $k_g(x)$ is uniquely determined by $g$ if $x$ is a non-periodic point. By Remark 3.1, non-periodic points are dense, so by continuity the function $k_g$ is uniquely determined by $g$ everywhere. By compactness of $\Sigma$, $k_g$ takes finitely many values for every fixed $g \in [[\tau]]$. We set

$$K = \max_{s \in S} \max_{x \in \Sigma} |k_s(x)|. \quad (5)$$

Note that the orbit cocycle verifies the cocycle rule

$$k_{gh}(x) = k_g(hx) + k_h(x). \quad (6)$$

Remark 3.3. If $l_S$ is the word length on $G$ defined by $S$, the cocycle rule implies that $|k_g(\cdot)| \leq Kl_S(g)$ point-wise.

Definition 3.4. We fix the following notation. Given an integer $l > 0$ and a finite word $w = w_{-1} \cdots w_{-1} w_0 w_1 \cdots w_l$ of length $2l + 1$ in the alphabet $\mathcal{A}$, we denote by $\mathcal{C}_w$ the cylinder subset

$$\mathcal{C}_w = \{x = \cdots x_{-1}.x_0 x_1 \cdots \in \Sigma : x_i = w_i, \quad \forall i = -l, \ldots, l\} \subset \Sigma.$$

In what follows, the word cylinder will always refer to a subset of $\Sigma$ of this form. The integer $l$ is called its depth.

We start with an elementary Lemma, which provides a criterion to ensure that $k_g$ is constant on a given cylinder.
Lemma 3.5. There exists $l_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, which only depends on $(\Sigma, \tau)$ and on $S$, such that the following holds.

Let $n > 0$ and $l > l_0$ be integers. Let $h_1, \ldots, h_n \in S$ be any $n$-tuple of elements in the generating set, and set $g_j = h_j \cdots h_1$ for every $j = 1, \ldots, n$. Let $C_w$ be a non-empty cylinder of depth $l$. Choose any non-periodic point $x \in C_w$ (which exists by Remark 3.1) and suppose that

$$\max_{j \leq n} |k_{g_j}(x)| \leq l - l_0. \quad (7)$$

Then for every $j = 1, \ldots, n$, the restriction of the orbit cocycle $k_{g_j}$ to $C_w$ is constant.

Proof. The function $\Sigma \to \mathbb{Z}^S$ given by $x \mapsto (k_s(x))_{x \in S}$ is locally constant and takes finitely many values. Thus, the level sets of this function provide a finite partition $\mathcal{P}$ of $\Sigma$ into clopen sets such that for every generator $s \in S$ the restriction of $k_s$ to every element of $\mathcal{P}$ is constant. After taking a refinement, we may suppose that $\mathcal{P}$ consists of cylinders. Let $l_0$ be the largest depth of a cylinder in $\mathcal{P}$, this will be $l_0$ in the statement. In other words, if $x = \cdots x_{-1}.x_0x_1 \cdots \in \Sigma$ and $s \in S$, in order to determine $k_s(x)$ it is sufficient to know the letters $x_{-l_0}, x_{-l_0 + 1}, \ldots, x_0, \ldots, x_{l_0}$.

Now suppose that (7) holds and let $y \in C_w$. For clarity, suppose at first that $y$ is non-periodic. Let us prove by induction on $j \leq n$ that $k_{g_j}(x) = k_{g_j}(y)$. For $j = 1$ observe that since $x, y$ are in the same cylinder of depth $l > l_0$, they lie in the same element of $\mathcal{P}$. Since $g_1 = h_1 \in S$, this implies that $k_{g_1}(x) = k_{g_1}(y)$.

Suppose that the conclusion holds for $j$ and that $g_{j+1} = h_jh_{j+1}$ with $h_{j+1} \in S$.

First note that if $x = \cdots x_{-1}.x_0x_1 \cdots$ and $y = \cdots y_{-1}.y_0y_1 \cdots$ are in $C_w$, by the inductive hypothesis we have

$$g_jx = \tau^{k_{g_j}(x)}(x) = \cdots x_{k_{g_j}(x)-1}.x_k_{g_j}(x)x_{k_{g_j}(x)+1} \cdots,$$

$$g_jy = \tau^{k_{g_j}(y)}(y) = \tau^{k_{g_j}(x)}(y) = \cdots y_{k_{g_j}(x)-1}.y_{k_{g_j}(x)}y_{k_{g_j}(x)+1} \cdots.$$

Since $x$ and $y$ agree on letters at distance at most $l$ from the letter at position 0 and by the assumption (7) we have $|k_{g_j}(x)| \leq l - l_0$, we conclude that the sequences $g_jx$ and $g_jy$ agree on letters at distance at most $l_0$ from the letter at position 0. Hence they lie in the same element of $\mathcal{P}$. Since $h_{j+1} \in S$, it follows that $k_{h_{j+1}}(g_jx) = k_{h_{j+1}}(g_jy)$. Thus, using the cocycle rule (6) and again the inductive hypothesis

$$k_{g_{j+1}}(x) = k_{h_{j+1}}(g_jx) + k_{g_j}(x) = k_{h_{j+1}}(g_jy) + k_{g_j}(y) = k_{g_{j+1}}(y),$$

which completes the induction. We have proven that for every $j \leq n$ the restriction of $k_{g_j}$ to the set of non-periodic points in $C_w$ is constant. Since this set is dense by Remark 3.1, this implies that the restriction of $k_{g_j}$ to $C_w$ is constant. \qed

From now on let $(g_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the left random walk on $G$ driven by $\mu$, i.e. $g_n = h_n \cdots h_1$ where $(h_i)_{i \geq 1}$ is a sequence of independent $G$-valued random variables, with distribution $\mu$.

We first look at the process $(k_{g_n}(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ for a fixed $x \in \Sigma$. 
Remark 3.6. Let $x \in \Sigma$ be a non-periodic point, and let $O(x) = \{\tau^j(x)\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be the $\tau$-orbit of $x$. Since $x$ is not periodic, $O(x)$ can be identified with $\mathbb{Z}$ via the map

$$\iota_x : O(x) \to \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\tau^j(x) \mapsto j.$$ 

Let $Gx \subset O(x)$ be the $G$-orbit of $x$ and let $\Gamma_x$ be the corresponding Schreier graph with respect to the generating set $S$, that is, the undirected graph with vertex set $Gx$ and where $y, z \in Gx$ are connected by an edge if there exists $s \in S$ such that $y = sz$. It is straightforward to check that the restriction of $\iota_x$ to $\Gamma_x$ is $K$-Lipschitz for the constant $K$ defined in (5). Identify the vertex set of $\Gamma_x$ with a subset of $\mathbb{Z}$ using the map $\iota_x$. This identification sends $gx \in Gx$ to $k_g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}$. It follows that the process $(k_{g_n}(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is the position in $\mathbb{Z}$ of $(g_n x)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, which is in turn a nearest neighbour random walk on the graph $\Gamma_x$, with Markov kernel $p(y,z) = \sum_{\substack{s: sy = z}} \mu(s)$.

Finally, note that the Markov kernel $p$ on $\Gamma_x$ is $\delta$-uniformly elliptic (see 1) with $\delta = \min_{s \in S} \mu(s)$. Note also that both constants $K$ and $\delta$ are independent from the choice of $x$. We are in position to apply Proposition 2.3 to $\Gamma = \Gamma_x$ and $X_n = k_{g_n}(x)$, and we have obtained the following Lemma.

Lemma 3.7. There exist positive constants $C_1,D,a_0$ such that for every $a \geq a_0$, every $n \geq 1$ and every non-periodic $x \in \Sigma$ we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |k_{g_j}(x)| \geq a \sqrt{n}\right) \leq C_1 \exp\left(-\frac{(a-a_0)^2}{D}\right).$$

The constants $C_1,D,a_0$ are independent from the choice of $x$.

Combining Lemma 3.5 with Lemma 3.7 we get:

Corollary 3.8. In the situation of Lemma 3.7, for every large enough $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $L \geq 1$ the following holds. If $C_w$ is a cylinder of depth $\lceil \sqrt{L n \log n} \rceil$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(k_n, \text{is not constant on } C_w) \leq C_1 n^{-L/4D},$$

where $C_1$ and $D$ are the constants from Lemma 3.7.

Proof. Pick $x \in C_w$ non-periodic. By Lemma 3.5 if $k_{g_n}$ is not constant on $C_w$ we have $\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |k_{g_j}(x)| > \lceil \sqrt{L n \log n} \rceil - l_0$. To bound the probability of this event we apply Lemma 3.7 with $a = \sqrt{L \log n - l_0}/\sqrt{n}$. To simplify the formula, we take $n$ large enough so that $\sqrt{L \log n - l_0}/\sqrt{n} - a_0 \geq 1/2 \sqrt{L \log n}$; note that since we assume that $L \geq 1$, the minimal $n$ verifying this can be chosen to depend only on $a_0$ and $l_0$, and not on $L$ nor on the cylinder under consideration. With this choice, Lemma 3.7 gives the bound claimed in the Corollary. \qed

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.2.

From now, we fully assume to be in the situation of Theorem 1.2. In particular we assume that the complexity $\rho$ satisfies the assumption in the statement. We keep all the other notations introduced above in this section.
To prove Theorem 1.2, we exhibit a sequence of finite subsets \( A_n \subset G \) that grow sub-exponentially and such that \( \mu^{*n}(A_n) \to 1 \). Existence of such sets is equivalent to the vanishing of the random walk entropy by Fact A.3. We will then deduce entropy estimates using Fact A.4.

**Definition 3.9 (Definition of the sets \( A_n \)).** Suppose to be in the situation of Theorem 1.2. Choose \( L > 8D \), where \( D \) is the constant from Corollary 3.8.

Let \( \tilde{A}_n \subset G \) be the set of all elements \( g \in G \) such that for every cylinder \( C_w \) with depth \( \lceil \sqrt{L \ln \log n} \rceil \) the restriction of \( k_g \) to \( C_w \) is constant. Finally set

\[
A_n = \tilde{A}_n \cap B_{G,S}(n),
\]

where \( B_{G,S}(n) \) is the ball of radius \( n \) in the word metric induced by \( S \).

Denote by \( \mathcal{C}(n) \) the collection of all non-empty cylinders with depth \( \lceil \sqrt{L \ln \log n} \rceil \). Every cylinder in \( \mathcal{C}(n) \) is determined by a word of length \( 2 \lceil \sqrt{L \ln \log n} \rceil + 1 \). To simplify the notations, we extend the function \( \rho \) to a piecewise affine function defined on \( \mathbb{R}_+ \), still denoted \( \rho \), and we introduce a constant \( C_2 > 0 \) so that for every \( n \geq 2 \)

\[
| \mathcal{C}(n) | = \rho(2 \lceil \sqrt{L \ln \log n} \rceil + 1) \leq \rho(C_2 \sqrt{n \log n}). \tag{8}
\]

**Lemma 3.10.** The cardinality of \( A_n \) grows sub-exponentially, i.e. \( \frac{1}{n} \log |A_n| \to 0 \).

**Proof.** By construction, the word length of any \( g \in A_n \) does not exceed \( n \). Hence by Remark 3.3 for any \( g \in A_n \) we have \( |k_g| \leq Kn \) point-wise.

An element \( g \in A_n \) is uniquely determined by the value of \( k_g \) on every cylinder in \( \mathcal{C}(n) \), and we observed that \( k_g \) does not exceed \( Kn \) in absolute value, thus using (8):

\[
|A_n| \leq \left| \{-Kn, \ldots, 0, \ldots, Kn\} \right|^{|\mathcal{C}(n)|} \leq (2Kn + 1)^{\rho(C_2 \sqrt{n \log n})}. \tag{9}
\]

The assumption on \( \rho \) in the statement of Theorem 1.2 guarantees that \( \frac{1}{n} \log |A_n| \to 0 \). \( \square \)

**Lemma 3.11.** We have \( \mu^{*n}(A_n) \to 1 \).

**Proof.** We have \( \mu^{*n}(A_n) = \mathbb{P}(g_n \in A_n) \), where \( (g_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) is the random walk. Obviously \( g_n \) lies in the ball of radius \( n \), thus we only need to prove that \( k_{g_n} \) is constant on every cylinder in \( \mathcal{C}(n) \) with probability tending to one as \( n \to \infty \).

We have

\[
1 - \mu^{*n}(A_n) = \mathbb{P}(\exists C_w \in \mathcal{C}(n) : k_{g_n} \text{ is not constant on } C_w)
\leq \sum_{C_w \in \mathcal{C}(n)} \mathbb{P}(k_{g_n} \text{ is not constant on } C_w).
\]

To bound to the last sum, use Corollary 3.8, which applies to the cylinders in \( \mathcal{C}(n) \) by the choice made in Definition 3.9. Using (8) we get

\[
1 - \mu^{*n}(A_n) \leq C_1 n^{-L/4D} |\mathcal{C}(n)| \leq C_1 n^{-L/4D} \rho(C_2 \sqrt{n \log n}), \tag{10}
\]
where $C_1$ is the constant from Corollary 3.8. Note that by the choice of $L$ in Definition 3.9 we have $L/4D > 2$, and this implies that $\mu^{*n}(A_n) \to 1$ by the assumption on $\rho$ (that guarantees that $\frac{1}{n^\beta} \rho(C_2 \sqrt{n \log n}) \to 0$ for every $\beta \geq 1$).

**End of the proof of Theorem 1.2.** The fact that the asymptotic entropy vanishes immediately follows from Lemmas 3.10 and 3.11 using Fact A.3. To see that the claimed upper bound for $H(\mu^{*n})$ holds, use Point 1 from Fact A.4 together with (9) and (10) to get that there exists a constant $C_3 > 0$ such that

$$H(\mu^{*n}) \leq \log |A_n| + n(1 - \mu^{*n}(A_n)) \log |S| + \log 2 \leq C_3 \rho(C_2 \sqrt{n \log n}) \log n + C_3 n^{1-L/4D} \rho(C_2 \sqrt{n \log n}).$$

Finally observe that the last summand tends to zero by the choice of $L$ in Definition 3.9 and by the assumption on $\rho$. This implies the claimed upper bound for the entropy.

**Proof of Corollary 1.7.** Using Point 2 of Fact A.4 together with (9) we get that there exists $C_4 > 0$ so that for every $n \geq 1$

$$\mu^{*2n}(e) \geq \frac{1}{C_4} \exp(-C_4 \log n \rho(C_2 \sqrt{n \log n})).$$

The claim on the Følner function follows from Nash inequality theory, see for instance [Woe00, Corollary 14.5 (b)] or [PSC13].

### 4 Examples

#### 4.1 Irrational rotations.

One of the first historical examples of infinite minimal subshifts are the so-called Sturmian subshifts associated to irrational rotations of the circle, first defined in [MH40]. For material on Sturmian subshifts see [Lot02, Chapter 2].

Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ be irrational. Consider the irrational rotation $R_\alpha : x \mapsto x + \alpha$ on the unit circle $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. Take as alphabet $A = \{a, b\}$, and let $\phi : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \to \{a, b\}$ be defined by $\phi(x) = a$ if $x \in [0, \alpha) \mod 1$ and $\phi(x) = b$ otherwise. Let $\Sigma_\alpha \subset \{a, b\}^\mathbb{Z}$ be the closure of $\{(\phi(R_\alpha^j(x)))_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} : x \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}\}$. The subshift $(\Sigma_\alpha, \tau_\alpha)$ is minimal [Hed44] (in particular, it has no periodic points), and its complexity is given by $\rho(n) = n + 1$ see for instance [Lot02, Theorem 2.1.13] (this is the slowest possible complexity for an infinite subshift).

It follows from Theorem 1.2 that every finitely generated subgroup of $[[\tau_\alpha]]$ has the Liouville property. In particular, $[[\tau_\alpha]]'$ is an infinite, finitely generated, simple Liouville group.

If $\beta \neq \alpha$ are in $[0, 1/2)$, it is well known that the topological conjugacy classes of $(\Sigma_\alpha, \tau_\alpha)$ and $(\Sigma_\beta, \tau_\beta)$ are distinguished by the spectrum, see for instance [dC13, pp. 3–4]. Thus the two systems are not topologically conjugated.
By results of Giordano, Putnam and Skau [GPS99, Corollary 4.4] and Bezuglyi and Medynets [BM08, Theorem 5.2], the commutator subgroups of the topological full groups of two Cantor minimal systems \((\Sigma, \tau)\) and \((\Sigma', \tau')\) are isomorphic if and only if \((\Sigma', \tau')\) is topologically conjugate to \((\Sigma, \tau)\) or to \((\Sigma, \tau^{-1})\).

It follows that when \(\alpha\) runs in \([0, 1/2)\), the groups \([[[\tau_\alpha]]]'\) provide uncountably many pairwise non-isomorphic examples of simple Liouville groups, as claimed in Theorem 1.1.

Let \(S\) be a finite symmetric generating set of \([[[\tau_\alpha]]]'\), and let \(\mu\) be a symmetric probability measure supported on \(S\). Using the explicit value of the complexity, Corollary 1.7 gives that for every \(\varepsilon > 0\) there exists a constant \(C\) such that

\[
\mu^*2n(e) \geq \frac{1}{C} \exp(-Cn^{1/2+\varepsilon});
\]

\[
\text{Fol}_{[[[\tau_\alpha]]]',S}(n) \leq C \exp(Cn^{2+\varepsilon}).
\]

4.2 Substitutions. Another source of subshifts with slow complexity are the substitution dynamical systems. See the books [Fog02, Que87] for a survey. These provide examples of both minimal and non-minimal subshifts satisfying the assumptions in Theorem 1.2.

Let \(A^*\) be the set of finite words in the alphabet \(A\). A substitution is a map \(\psi : A \rightarrow A^*\). Such a map obviously extends to \(A^*\) by concatenation, the extension is still denoted \(\psi : A^* \rightarrow A^*\). It makes thus sense to consider iterations of \(\psi\). We shall make two standing assumptions:

1. there exists a letter \(a \in A\) such that \(\psi(a)\) begins with an \(a\);
2. for every \(b \in A\) the length of \(\psi^n(b)\) tends to infinity as \(n \rightarrow \infty\).

Any substitution \(\psi\) satisfying Conditions 1 and 2 defines a subshift \((\Sigma_\psi, \tau_\psi)\) by the following construction.

Condition 1 above implies that \(\psi^n(a)\) is a prefix of \(\psi^{n+1}(a)\) for every \(n \in \mathbb{N}\). Thus we can pass to the limit and obtain a right-infinite sequence \(\psi^\infty(a)\). Chose an arbitrary letter \(b \in A\) and consider the bi-infinite sequence \(x = \ldots bbb\psi^\infty(a) \in A^\mathbb{Z}\).

Define \(\Sigma_\psi\) as the set of cluster points of \((\tau^n(x))_{n \geq 0}\), where \(\tau\) is the shift. This set does not depend on the choice of \(b \in A\), it is a closed non-empty subset of \(A^\mathbb{Z}\) and it is invariant under the shift. We denote \((\Sigma_\psi, \tau_\psi)\) the subshift obtained in this way.

A finite sub-word of \(\psi^\infty(a)\) appears as a sub-word of a sequence in \(\Sigma_\psi\) if and only if it appears infinitely many times in \(\psi^\infty(a)\). In fact, \(\Sigma_\psi \subset A^\mathbb{Z}\) coincides with the subset of \(A^\mathbb{Z}\) consisting of words such that every finite sub-word appears as a sub-word of \(\psi^\infty(a)\), but the above construction gives more precise information.

We recall a partial case of a result due to Pansiot (see [CN10, Theorem 4.7.1]).

**Theorem 4.1** (cf. Theorem 4.7.55 in [CN10]). If \(\psi\) satisfies Conditions 1 and 2 above, there exists a constant \(C > 0\) such that the complexity \(\rho\) of \(\Sigma_\psi\) satisfies for every \(n \geq 2\)

\[
\rho(n) \leq Cn \log n.
\]
Corollary 4.2. Under the same assumptions, every finitely generated subgroup of $[\langle\tau_\psi\rangle]$ has the Liouville property. In particular $[\langle\tau_\psi\rangle]$ is amenable.

Proof of Corollary 4.2. We may assume that $\psi^\infty(a)$ is not eventually periodic, since in this case $\Sigma_\psi$ is finite and $[\langle\tau_\psi\rangle]$ is a finite group. To apply Theorem 1.2, we only need to check that no periodic point is isolated in $\Sigma_\psi$. Let $x = (x_j)_{j \in Z} \in \Sigma_\psi$ be a $n$-periodic point, and let $w = x_0 \cdots x_{n-1}$ be its period. Fix $l > 0$ and consider the word $w^{2l}$ consisting of $2l$ concatenations of $w$. Since $w^{2l}$ appears in $x$, it appears infinitely often in $\psi^\infty(a)$. Since $\psi^\infty(a)$ is not eventually periodic, we deduce that it admits an infinite sequence of sub-words of the form $(w^{2l}w_k)_{k \geq 1}$ where $w_k \neq w$ has length $n$. Since there are only finitely many possibilities for $w_k$, there exists $w' \neq w$ of length $n$ such that $w^{2l}w'$ appears infinitely often. It follows that $w^{2l}w'$ appears as a sub-word of a sequence in $\Sigma_\psi$. By shift-invariance, there exists $y^{(l)} = (y_j^{(l)})_{j \in Z} \in \Sigma_\psi$ such that $(y_j^{(l)})_{-nl \leq j \leq nl-1} = w^{2l} = (x_j)_{-nl \leq j \leq nl-1}$ and $(y_j^{(l)})_{nl \leq j \leq nl+n-1} = w' \neq w = (x_j)_{nl \leq j \leq nl+n-1}$. We have $y^{(l)} \neq x$ and $y^{(l)} \to x$ as $l \to \infty$, thus $x$ is not isolated. □

A substitution is said to be primitive if there exists $l > 0$ such that for every ordered pair of letters $x, y \in A$ the letter $x$ appears in $\psi^l(y)$. Note that whenever $\psi$ is a primitive substitution and the alphabet contains at least two letters, Condition 2 above is automatically verified, and Condition 1 is always verified up to passing to an iteration of $\psi$. We recall in the next Proposition two well-known facts about primitive substitutions.

Proposition 4.3 (see Proposition 5.5 and Proposition 5.12 in [Que87]). Let $\psi$ be a substitution satisfying Conditions 1 and 2 above. Then $\psi$ is primitive if and only if $(\Sigma_\psi, \tau_\psi)$ is minimal. Moreover in this case there exists $C > 0$ such that for every $n \geq 1$

$$\rho(n) \leq Cn,$$

where $\rho$ is the complexity of $\Sigma_\psi$.

It follows that for any primitive substitution $\psi$, the group $[\langle\tau_\psi\rangle]'$ is a finitely generated simple Liouville group. Moreover Corollary 1.7 combined with Proposition 4.3 provides estimates for its return probabilities and its Følner function.

Primitive substitutions subshifts, as well as the Sturmian subshifts described in Section 4.1, belong to the class of minimal subshifts with linearly growing complexity. This is a well studied class of minimal subshifts, see for instance Ferenczi [Fer96, Proposition 5].

Let us recall an explicit finitely generated group which appears as an example in [JNdlS13]. Consider the Fibonacci substitution on the alphabet $A = \{a, b\}$ given by

$$\psi : a \mapsto ab$$

$$b \mapsto a.$$
We obtain the right-infinite sequence
\[ \psi^\infty(a) = abaabaabaabaabaabaabaabaabaabaabaabaabaabaabaabaabaabaabaababa \ldots. \]
The Fibonacci substitution is primitive, thus it yields a minimal subshift \((\Sigma_\psi, \tau_\psi)\).
In fact, the subshift \((\Sigma_\psi, \tau_\psi)\) is also a Sturmian subshift, obtained from the irrational rotation by the golden ratio \(\phi = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2\), see [Lot02, Example 2.1.1] (the reader may consult [Fog02, Section 2.1, Proposition 5.2.21] for an example of a primitive substitution subshift which is not conjugate to a Sturmian subshift, given by the Thue–Morse substitution). Let \(\alpha, \beta, \gamma\) act on a sequence \(x = \ldots x_{-1}x_0x_1 \ldots \in \Sigma_\psi\) by
\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha(x) &= \tau_\psi(x) & \text{if } x_{-1}x_0 &= aa \\
\alpha(x) &= \tau_\psi^{-1}(x) & \text{if } x_{-2}x_{-1} &= aa \\
\alpha(x) &= x & \text{otherwise,} \\
\beta(x) &= \tau_\psi(x) & \text{if } x_{-1}x_0 &= ba \\
\beta(x) &= \tau_\psi^{-1}(x) & \text{if } x_{-2}x_{-1} &= ba \\
\beta(x) &= x & \text{otherwise,} \\
\gamma(x) &= \tau_\psi(x) & \text{if } x_0 &= b \\
\gamma(x) &= \tau_\psi^{-1}(x) & \text{if } x_{-1} &= b \\
\gamma(x) &= x & \text{otherwise.}
\end{align*}
\]
One can check that \(\alpha, \beta, \gamma\) are elements of \([[\tau_\psi]]\) and are involutions. Set \(G = \langle \alpha, \beta, \gamma \rangle\). By Corollary 4.2, the group \(G\) is Liouville. Let \(\mu\) be the measure equidistributed on \(S = \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}\). For every point \(x \in \Sigma_\psi\) the Schreier graph of \(G\) acting on the orbit of \(x\) with respect to the generating set \(S\) is isomorphic to \(\mathbb{Z}\), with additional loops based at every vertex. If \((g_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) is the random walk on \(G\) then \((k_{g_n}(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) performs a lazy random walk on \(\mathbb{Z}\) that at each step chooses whether to stay, go left, or go right, each with probability \(1/3\).

We conclude this section by giving an example of a non-minimal subshift, to which Theorem 1.2 applies. Consider the non-primitive substitution \(\psi\) on the alphabet \(A = \{a, b\}\) given by
\[
\psi : a \mapsto aba \\
b \mapsto bb.
\]
This substitution verifies Conditions 1 and 2 stated at the beginning of this subsection. Since \(\psi\) is not primitive, the subshift \((\Sigma_\psi, \tau_\psi)\) is not minimal (in fact it contains the constant sequence \(\cdots bbb \cdots\)). The complexity \(\rho\) of \(\Sigma_\psi\) is computed explicitly in [CN10, Paragraph 4.10.5], where it is shown that the limit \(\lim_{n \to \infty} \rho(n)/n \log \log n\) exists. It follows from Corollary 4.2 that \([[\tau_\psi]]\) is amenable and its finitely generated subgroups are Liouville.

### 4.3 Toeplitz subshifts.

Toeplitz subshifts provide examples of applications of Theorem 1.2 to minimal subshifts with super-linear complexity. The complexity of Toeplitz subshifts is studied by Cassaigne and Karhumäki in [CK97]. I am grateful to Valérie Berthé for bringing this example to my attention.
Let \( \mathcal{A} \) be a finite alphabet, and consider the alphabet \( \mathcal{A} \cup \{\ast\} \), where \( \ast \notin \mathcal{A} \) is an extra letter, thought of as a “hole”. Let \( w \) be a finite word in the alphabet \( \mathcal{A} \cup \{\ast\} \) starting with a letter in \( \mathcal{A} \). To such a word, we associate a right infinite Toeplitz word in the alphabet \( \mathcal{A} \), denoted \( T_{\infty}(w) \) and obtained as follows. Let \( T_1(w) = w^N = \cdots wwww \) be the right-infinite periodic word in the alphabet \( \mathcal{A} \cup \{\ast\} \) with period \( w \). At step \( i \), build a new right-infinite word \( T_i(w) \) in the alphabet \( \mathcal{A} \cup \{\ast\} \) obtained from \( w^N \) by “filling the holes” with the sequence \( T_{i-1}(w) \), i.e. the first appearance of \( \ast \) in \( w^N \) is replaced by the first letter of \( T_{i-1}(w) \), the second appearance by the second letter, and so on. In the limit, \( T_i(w) \) converges to a right infinite word \( T_{\infty}(w) \) in the alphabet \( \mathcal{A} \) (we use here the assumption that the first letter of \( w \) belongs to \( \mathcal{A} \)). For example the word \( w = a \ast ab \ast a \) yields the Toeplitz word 

\[
T_{\infty}(a \ast ab \ast a) = aaabaaaaabaaaaabaaaaabaa \cdots .
\]

We obtain a Toeplitz subshift \((\Sigma_w, \tau_w)\), where \( \Sigma_w \subseteq \mathcal{A}^\mathbb{Z} \) consists of all bi-infinite sequences so that any finite sub-word appears in \( T_{\infty}(w) \). Any Toeplitz subshift is minimal, see [CK97, p. 499], and it is infinite as soon as the Toeplitz word \( T_{\infty}(w) \) is not eventually periodic. We recall in the next theorem a particular case of the results from [CK97].

**Theorem 4.4** (Cassaigne and Karhumäki, see Theorems 4 and 5 in [CK97]). Suppose that \( w \) has length \( p > 1 \) and \( q \geq 1 \) appearances of \( \ast \), and that \( \gcd(p, q) = 1 \). Assume also that \( w \) contains at least two different letters in \( \mathcal{A} \). Then the subshift \((\Sigma_w, \tau_w)\) is infinite and minimal. Moreover there exist positive constants \( C_1 \leq C_2 \) such that complexity \( \rho \) of \( \Sigma_w \) satisfies

\[
C_1 n \log p / \log(p/q) \leq \rho(n) \leq C_2 n \log p / \log(p/q)
\]

for every \( n \geq 1 \).

This produces examples of minimal subshifts with super-linear complexity satisfying the assumptions in Theorem 1.2:

**Corollary 4.5.** Suppose moreover that \( q^2 < p \). Then every finitely generated subgroup of \([\tau_w]\) has the Liouville property. In particular \([\tau_w]_{\ast} \) is a finitely generated, infinite, simple Liouville group.

**Appendix: Some properties of entropy**

We list here some well-known properties of entropy, and in particular Facts A.3 and A.4 that we have used to establish Theorem 1.2. Basic definitions and notations on random walk entropy have been introduced in Section 1.1, before the statement of Theorem 1.2.

The first fact is elementary and we omit the proof.

**Fact A.1** (Elementary properties). Let \( X \) be a countable set and \( \nu \) be a probability measure on \( X \).
1. If the support of $\nu$ is finite, we have $H(\nu) \leq \log |\text{supp}(\nu)|$.

2. Suppose that $\nu = \sum_{i \geq 0} \alpha_i \nu_i$, where the $\nu_i$ are probability measures on $X$ and the $\alpha_i$ are positive reals such that $\sum_{i \geq 0} \alpha_i = 1$. Then

$$H(\nu) \leq \sum_{i \geq 0} \alpha_i H(\nu_i) - \sum_{i \geq 0} \alpha_i \log \alpha_i.$$ 

The next fact was proven independently by Kaimanovich and Vershik [KV83, Theorem 2.1], and by Derrienic [Der80].

**Fact A.2** (“Shannon’s Theorem”, [KV83,Der80]). Let $\mu$ be a probability measure on a countable group $G$ such that $H(\mu) < \infty$, and let $h(\mu)$ be the random walk entropy. Then for almost every path $(g_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of the random walk with step measure $\mu$ we have

$$h(\mu) = \lim_{n \to \infty} -\frac{1}{n} \log \mu^*(g_n).$$

We now come to the criterion of vanishing of the random walk entropy that has been used to establish Theorem 1.2. We say that a positive function $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$ grows sub-exponentially if

$$\frac{1}{n} \log f(n) \to 0.$$ 

**Fact A.3.** Let $\mu$ be a probability measure on a countable group $G$ with finite entropy $H(\mu)$. The following are equivalent:

(i) $h(\mu) = 0$;

(ii) there exists a sequence of finite subsets $A_n \subset G$ with sub-exponentially growing cardinality and such that $\mu^*(A_n) \to 1$;

(iii) there exists a sequence of finite subsets $A_n \subset G$ with sub-exponentially growing cardinality and such that $\mu^*(A_n)$ is uniformly bounded away from zero.

This is a well-known reformulation of the entropy criterion of Kaimanovich and Vershik [KV83, Theorem 1.1] and Derrienic [Der80]. For the convenience of the reader we provide a proof.

**Proof.** (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Set

$$B^\varepsilon_n = \{g \in G : \mu^*(g) \geq e^{-\varepsilon n}\}.$$

If $g \notin B^\varepsilon_n$, we have $-\frac{1}{n} \log \mu^*(g) \geq \varepsilon$, thus

$$\frac{1}{n} H(\mu^*) = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{g \in G} \mu^*(g) \log \mu^*(g) \geq -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{g \notin B^\varepsilon_n} \mu^*(g) \log \mu^*(g) \geq (1 - \mu^*(B^\varepsilon_n)) \varepsilon.$$

Since by (i) the left hand side tends to 0, this proves that $\mu^*(B^\varepsilon_n) \to 1$. On the other hand the choice of $B^\varepsilon_n$ implies that $|B^\varepsilon_n| \leq e^{\varepsilon n}$ and thus

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} -\frac{1}{n} \log |B^\varepsilon_n| \leq \varepsilon.$$ 

A diagonal extraction argument provides a sequence $\varepsilon_n$ decreasing to zero such that $A_n = B^\varepsilon_n$ verifies (ii).
(ii) ⇒ (iii) is obvious.
(iii) ⇒ (i). Let $A_n$ be as in (iii). Fix $\epsilon > 0$ and set
\[
\tilde{A}_n = \{ g \in A_n : \mu^{*n}(g) \leq e^{-\epsilon n} \}.
\]
Observe that since $\tilde{A}_n \subset A_n$ and $|A_n|$ grows sub-exponentially we have
\[
\mu^{*n}(\tilde{A}_n) \leq e^{-\epsilon n} |\tilde{A}_n| \leq e^{-\epsilon n} |A_n| \to 0.
\]
Hence if we set $A'_n = A_n \setminus \tilde{A}_n$ we have that $\mu^{*n}(A'_n)$ is still bounded away from zero. Moreover every $g \in A'_n$ verifies $\mu^{*n}(g) \geq e^{-\epsilon n}$. Since $\mu^{*n}(A'_n)$ is bounded away from zero, the set of random walk paths $(g_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with the property that $g_n \in A'_n$ for infinitely many $n$ has positive probability. For such a path we have $-\frac{1}{n} \log \mu^{*n}(g_n) \leq \epsilon$ infinitely many times. The random walk entropy can be computed using Fact A.2 (Shannon’s Theorem) and restricting to the (positive-measured) set of paths with this property. We conclude that $h(\mu) \leq \epsilon$. This implies that $h(\mu) = 0$ since $\epsilon$ was arbitrary. \qed

**Fact A.4** (Quantitative version of Fact A.3). Let $\mu$ be a probability measure with finite entropy on a countable group $G$ such that $h(\mu) = 0$.
1. If $\mu$ is finitely supported with support $S$ and $A_n$ are finite sets as in (ii) of Fact A.3, the entropy of the convolutions $H(\mu^{*n})$ satisfies
\[
H(\mu^{*n}) \leq \log |A_n| + n(1 - \mu^{*n}(A_n)) \log |S| + \log 2.
\]
2. If $\mu$ is symmetric and $A_n$ are as in Fact A.3 (ii) or (iii), there exists $C > 0$ such that the return probabilities at even times satisfy for every $n \geq 1$
\[
\mu^{*2n}(e) \geq \frac{1}{C|A_{2n}|}.
\]

**Proof.** 1. Let $\nu_{1,n}, \nu_{2,n}$ be the restrictions of $\mu^{*n}$ to $A_n$ and its complement, respectively. Set $p_n = \mu^{*n}(A_n)$ and $q_n = 1 - p_n$, so that $\mu^{*n} = p_n \nu_{1,n} + q_n \nu_{2,n}$. Observe that $\text{supp} \nu_{1,n} \subset A_n$ and $\text{supp} \nu_{2,n} \subset S^n$. Using Point 2 and Point 1 of Fact A.1 we have
\[
H(\mu^{*n}) \leq p_n H(\nu_{1,n}) + q_n H(\nu_{2,n}) - p_n \log p_n - q_n \log q_n
\]
\[
\leq H(\nu_{1,n}) + q_n H(\nu_{2,n}) + \log 2 \leq \log |A_n| + n q_n \log |S| + \log 2,
\]
where we have bounded above $p_n$ by 1 and we have used that $-p_n \log p_n - q_n \log q_n \leq \log 2$.
2. It is well-known that if $\mu$ is symmetric the return probability at even times $\mu^{*2n}(e)$ maximize $\mu^{*2n}(g)$ for $g \in G$, namely by Cauchy–Schwartz
\[
\mu^{*2n}(g) = \sum_{h \in G} \mu^{*n}(gh^{-1}) \mu^{*n}(h) \leq \sqrt{\sum_{h \in G} \mu^{*n}(gh^{-1})^2 \sum_{h \in G} \mu^{*n}(h)^2}
\]
\[
= \sum_{h \in G} \mu^{*n}(h)^2 = \sum_{h \in G} \mu^{*n}(h^{-1}) \mu^{*n}(h) = \mu^{*2n}(e),
\]
where equality between the first and the second line is a variable change in one of the sums, and we have used symmetry in the second line. Let $C > 0$ be such that $1/C$ is a lower bound for $\mu^{*2n}(A_{2n})$. We have
\[
\frac{1}{C} \leq \mu^{*2n}(A_{2n}) = \sum_{g \in A_{2n}} \mu^{2n}(g) \leq |A_{2n}| \mu^{*2n}(e),
\]
which completes the proof. \qed
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