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Abstract

The proposal of a methodology for the analysis of the technical feasibility in the installation of Microgrids (MG’s) is realized, which shows in several steps the necessary parameters to analyze and determine the viability of a Microgrid (MG) project in a determined area, with a specific demand and objectives. The methodology is based on a critical review of the different cases of MG’s implemented around the world and different techno-economic feasibility study of MG systems.
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1. Introduction

The increase of electric demand worldwide and the need to reduce the environmental impact caused by conventional energy sources, causes the increase in the demand for Renewable Energy Sources (RES) and therefore the need to have Distributed Generation DG in the power system. The implementation of Microgrids allows the insertion of renewable sources of energy, giving the power system greater reliability and failure support, in addition to allowing a reliable supply of energy to those areas that do not count with continuous power supply due to their difficult access [1]. As shown in Fig. 1, a MG is a set of DG units, loads, storage system and an intelligent control system, having the possibility of being connected to the main grid or been in island mode.

Fig. 1. Microgrid definition

Currently, there aren’t standards that define the design, construction and operation of a MG in an integral manner, therefore there are no procedures to evaluate the feasibility of implementing a MG in a specific place with specific conditions. Therefore, the main objective of this article is the presentation of a methodology that allows the analysis of the...
technical feasibility in the installation of MG’s, based on the revision and analysis of the references summarized in Fig. 2.

Fig. 2. Summarized references.

2 Proposed methodology

The proposed methodology is based on the critical review of MG implementation cases around the world and different methodologies of technical-economic feasibility analysis to MG projects. According to Fig. 3, the methodology is composed of 8 stages, which allows to evaluate and analyze the technical feasibility of each component of a MG. In Stage 1, the methodology approach is presented, aimed at the evaluation and analysis of technical feasibility in MG’s, highlighting that economic, social and environmental feasibility must also be evaluated; Stage 2 focuses on determining the objectives (Stage 2.1) and characterizing the demand to be met by the MG (Stage 2.2); in Stage 3, the feasibility of the available DG resources is evaluated and are presented the technical characteristics to be guaranteed for its implementation; Stage 4 represents the technical and operational characteristics to guarantee for a feasible Storage System; Stage 5 represents the functions that must fulfill the intelligent control system; in Stage 6, the initial configuration of the MG is obtained (Stage 6.1) and the software optimization process is carried out, obtaining a feasible final configuration (Stage 6.2); finally, in Stage 7, it is carried out the sensitivity analysis for the final configuration, and the verification of technical and normative factors.

Fig. 3. Flow diagram of the proposed methodology.
Stage 1. Previous feasibility studies
For the implementation of a MG, it is necessary to carry out preliminary studies of technical, economic, social and environmental feasibility to determine if the project is being carried out or not. This methodology focuses on the analysis of the technical feasibility, for which it takes into account the evaluation and availability of energy resources, the dynamics of demand, infrastructure and necessary space, technical and operational characteristics of each component of the MG, characteristics of the technology and equipment of generation and storage required, parameters for sizing and identification of regulatory requirements to determine its implementation.

Stage 2. Definition of objectives and characterization of the demand
In this stage are defined the specific objectives that are intended to be met when implementing a MG and the demand to be met by the MG is characterized, therefore it is divided into Stage 2.1 and Stage 2.2 as follows.

Stage 2.1. Definition of objectives
The objective of a MG is completely subjective because it depends on the entity or user that intends to carry out the implementation project. The importance of determining the specific objectives lies in the fact that each one define technical and operational characteristics that the MG must meet to achieve the objectives. Therefore in the Table 1, the general objectives of a MG with its respective technical and operative characteristics are presented. The objectives presented are the most common within the cases of implementation and feasibility of MG’s reviewed.

Table 1. Objectives of the integration of MG’s in the conventional electrical network

| Objective | Technica characteristics | Operatives characteristics |
|-----------|--------------------------|----------------------------|
| Backup to failures | Switch disconnection / reconnection | Connected mode: - Load sharing. - Sell energy to the grid (optional). Island mode: - Load shedding. Black start. Synchronization and reconnection to the grid. |
| | Requires storage | |
| | Diesel generator (optional) | |
| Increase of reliability in supply | Diesel generator as backup (optional) | Island mode: - Load shedding. Black start. |
| | Requires storage | |
| Reduction of costs and peak demand | Bi-directional inverter | Connected mode: - Sell / buy to the grid. - Peak-shaving. - Load shifting. Island mode: - Optimize use of Diesel. - Load shedding. - Lower operation cost. |
| | Requires storage | |
| | Diesel generator (optional) | |

Optimization and energy efficiency
- Diesel generator (minor use possible)
- Requires storage

Remote Mode
- Diesel generator as backup
- Requires storage
- Load shedding
- Black start
- MPPT scheme
- Optimize Diesel use

Reduction of emissions / Reduce pollution
- Implement renewable DG
- Requires storage
- Optimization and less use of diesel or conventional generators
- Optimization and less use of diesel or conventional systems

Stage 2.2: Characterization of the demand
It is necessary to characterize the loads that will be part of the MG, to know its dynamics and historical behavior, to meet the demand [2], [3], which why in the Table 2 is propose the characteristics to analyze of the load and its importance.

In Fig. 4, are defined 3 operating schemes related to the demand, Load shedding [4]–[6], Load sharing [7], [8] and Load shifting [9]; which for their execution require the knowledge, analysis and classification of the demand. These schemes become important later when evaluating the MG Control System.

Table 2. Data for demand evaluation [2], [3], [10]–[13].

| Analysis parameters | Characteristic | Importance |
|---------------------|---------------|------------|
| Demand profile | • Change in demand on an average day. • Data not less than one year. • It takes into account climate change / seasons. | • Know the dynamic of the load • Identify increase or decrease due to cultural, climatic or industrial factors. • Input data optimization software. • Sizing of DG units and storage. |
| Average demand and peak demand | • Maximum load consumption in the year. • Average consumption | • Input data for the optimization software. • Sizing of DG units and storage. |
| Classify demand | • Classify critical and non-critical loads. • Its importance, power and role are taken into account. • It is classified by an algorithm | • To maintain the stability of the MG by disconnecting non-critical load. • It has associated operation schemes. |
| Demand increase | • Define an adequate percentage of increase in demand. • Minimum 15% increase. | • Ensure the stability of the MG and the long-term supply. |
Stage 3: Evaluation of energy sources

In this stage, the necessary information is collected about each available resource for its evaluation, in which the implementation or not of the resource is defined, and the technical and control characteristics that must be guaranteed for its proper functioning are presented. According to the literature reviewed and the renewable global status report REN21 [14], the most reported DG resources are evaluated below.

- Solar resource

For the evaluation of this resource, in the Table. 3, the necessary data are presented for the determination of the feasibility, evaluation and analysis of the resource. Once the necessary data is available, we proceed to determine if it is feasible to implement or not, by evaluating the average radiation on site, as proposed in Fig. 5.

Table. 3. Main data for solar resource evaluation.

| Data                      | Importance                                                                 |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Average radiation [kWh/m²/day] | - It allows calculating or estimating the power of the resource.   |
|                           | - Decide if it is feasible to implement.                               |
|                           | - Periods greater than or equal to one year of data.                   |
|                           | - Resource dynamics throughout the year.                                |
|                           | - Obtain availability information of the resource in the year.         |
|                           | - Take into account during sizing.                                      |
| Solar profile             | - Ensure supply even when there is little radiation.                   |
|                           | - Analyze demand profile vs resource profile.                          |
|                           | - Guarantee the good condition of the panels and equipment.            |
| Period with less radiation| - Guarantee maximum                                                     |
| Average temperature       |                                                                          |

Once the implementation of the resource is defined, the technical and operative characteristics that must be guaranteed in the installation of the system to ensure its feasibility and correct operation are presented in the Table 4.

Table 4. Technical and operative characteristics to be guaranteed in the implementation [3], [15]–[20].

| Characteristics | Technical | Control / operates |
|-----------------|-----------|--------------------|
| Average radiation (W/m²) | - Influences number of panels per arrangement | - Implement MPPT scheme. |
| It is greater than or equal to 0.8 (W/m²) | - It increases costs. | - Find point of maximum efficiency. |
| It is considered insufficient radiation for some cases | - Implement sun tracking | |
| Take into account the demand to be implemented. Make deeper technical-economic analysis. | | |
| If it is feasible accounting at the demand | - Implement PV smoothing | |
| Resource implementation is discarded | | |

- Wind resource
For the evaluation of the wind resource, Table 5 presents the necessary data that the user must know, to analyze the availability of the resource in the implementation area, and the importance of each parameter in the evaluation process.

**Table 5.** Main data for evaluation of the wind resource and its importance [20].

| Data                | Importance                                                                 |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Wind profile        | • Observe the dynamics of the resource.                                     |
|                     | • Obtain availability information of the resource in the year.             |
|                     | • Period greater than one year of data.                                    |
| Average speed       | • Determination of the feasibility of implementation.                      |
| Wind power density  | • Sizing and selection of the equipment or turbine.                         |
| Wind direction      | • Location of the turbine.                                                  |
| Measuring height    | • To determine the speed at another height.                                 |

It’s feasible if meets

Average speed > 4 [m/s] at 10 [m] high

Wind resource implementation is ruled out

Does not meet conditions

Determine type of installation

Large scale installation

Small ScaleInstallation

- In wind farms.
  - Horizontal axis turbines

Checklist technical characteristics to guarantee

- Around urban centers.
  - Turbines with horizontal and vertical axis <100 kW

- In wind farms. ± Horizontal axis turbines

Fig. 6. Wind resource evaluation according to average speed in site [18], [21]–[24].

The determination of wind resource feasibility according to the speed, is not enough to analyze its feasibility, in addition to this, different technical characteristics shown in Table 6 must be guaranteed, to ensure its correct operation.

**Table 6.** Technical and operational characteristics to be guaranteed in wind resource implementation [21], [23], [25].

| Technical characteristics                                                                 |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Turbine speed that produces maximum energy equal to the average speed of the place.      |
| • Guarantee greater production as long as possible...                                    |
| Analyze periods of non-operation to ensure a greater capacity factor:                    |
| • Speed < Vcut-in (where Vcut-in is the turbine start speed)                              |
| • Speed > Vcut-out (where Vcut-out is the turbine stop speed)                             |
| Appropriate location when there are numerous turbines, taking into account the wake effect, and the roughness of the wind. |
| Ensure perpendicular location of the turbine to the wind direction to extract maximum energy. |
| Locate the turbines where structures or relief do not hinder the flow of wind.           |
| • For small scale, locate the turbines on the outskirts of urban centers (where condition is met) |

- Biomass resource

This energy resource is obtained from the production of waste from different origins, such as: crop residues, animal waste, municipal solid waste and forest waste, which are called biomass, and are converted by different processes into thermal energy, electrical energy or as fuel [26]. Table 7 presents the data to be taken into account to evaluate the availability of animal residues, agricultural and energy crop residues, as a generation resource.

**Table 7.** Relevant data in the analysis for different waste [26], [27].

| Animal waste | Agricultural waste | Residues of energy crops |
|--------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| • Determine number of animals (livestock, swine). | • Speed at which waste is produced. | • Determine type of crop. |
| • Determine amount of manure. | • Quantity of crop hectares. | • Speed at which waste is produced. |
| • Determine amount of energy available | • Determine available energy. | • Number of hectares of crop. |
| | | • Determine available energy. |

Among the characteristics that must be analyzed for each residue, are the parameters shown in the Table 8, which must be guaranteed to be in defined ranges, which ensure the greatest efficiency in the conversion process.

**Table 8.** Parameters for evaluation of biomass resources [28].

| Parameter | Importance |
|-----------|------------|
| LHV (Low Heating) | Specifies the amount of energy delivered per mass of fuel during combustion, which is expected to be a high value. |
that must be guaranteed for proper operation. It should be noted that the analysis of its feasibility does not depend on weather conditions since its power output depends on different technical factors [29]. Diesel generators are sized to cover the peak demand, also serving as a power reserve before sudden changes in the load. The fulfillment of the technical characteristics mentioned in Table 10, guarantees the availability of this resource and the possibility of using it as a DG unit, if necessary in the MG.

Table 10. Technical and operational characteristics to be guaranteed to implement a diesel generator [29]–[33].

| Characteristics                  | Technical                                      | Operative                                      |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|
|                                  | Guarantee fuel availability                    | Guarantee the activation of Diesel             |
|                                  | Ensure adequate storage of fuel and its         | when strictly required:                        |
|                                  | transportation                                    |  - Low generation                             |
|                                  | Guarantee periodic maintenance                  |  - Low SOC of the battery.                    |
|                                  | for correct operation                            |  - Decrease pollution                         |
|                                  |                                                 | Guarantee operation in island mode             |

Stage 4: Storage system

Within the review conducted, it is found that batteries are the most used system of storage in MGs, as analyzed in [34], where it is evident that 95% of the reviewed cases implement batteries while the remaining percentage uses supercapacitors. This is because with this storage technique a great variety of capacities is available for different purposes [35]. For this reason, the methodology focuses on batteries as a storage system. It is observed that the most used type of batteries are Lead-acid batteries, which are chosen mainly because of their low cost [36].

The feasibility of the battery system falls into different technical characteristics that must be guaranteed so that the system can comply with different operational characteristics that reflect the functions that storage must fulfill. For this reason in must be carefully chosen taking into account the battery usage pattern, granted by the optimization software in the following stages of the methodology. The battery can be charged at constant voltage or constant current, and even by pulses [43].

Within the operating characteristics of the MG is the "peak-shaving" scheme, which consists of buying energy from the grid to charge the battery at off peak moments in which there is no high demand and discharge it at peak demand times and thus not having the need to buy from the grid and contribute to reduce the peak demand seen by the main grid [41].

Table 11. Technical and operational characteristics to be guaranteed for battery storage system [15], [18], [37]–[41].

| Characteristics                  | Technical                                      | Operatives                                      |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|
|                                  | Guarantee balance between demand and generation. | Store excess energy                             |
|                                  | Guarantee stability against fluctuations        | Guarantee the initial energy needed to transfer mode connected to |
|                                  | generated by renewable energy sources RES.      | island mode.                                   |
|                                  | Guarantee the supply to critical loads in        |                                               |
|                                  | extreme conditions                              |                                               |

As it was done with the solar and wind resources, for the use and implementation of generation systems that use biomass, it is necessary to guarantee technical conditions to ensure the feasibility of the installation, which are shown in the Table 9.

Table 9. Technical characteristics to be guaranteed for the implementation of biomass resources [26]–[28].

| Technical characteristics                  | Fixed carbon | Ash content | Volatile matter | Moisture content |
|-------------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| • Select crop with greater area and       | Indicates the quality of the resource as fuel.  | Represents organic residues that remain after  | Its amount      | High moisture   |
| greater available energy.                 | A high value indicates low content of volatile | combustion. Low ash content is desirable to    | determines how   | contents are not| |
|                                           | matter.      | ensure higher production | the resource can be | involved in its | |
|                                           |              | and cleaner combustion.  | be gasified. Its   | life.           | |
|                                           |              |                          | increase increases  |                 | |
|                                           |              |                          | the LHV. It varies  |                 | |
|                                           |              |                          | from 5% to 80%     |                 | |
|                                           |              |                          | depending on the   |                 | |
|                                           |              |                          | origin of the      |                 | |
|                                           |              |                          | biomass            |                 | |
|                                           |              |                          |                   |                 | |

- Diesel generator

Regarding the technical feasibility of this resource, the Table 10 shows different technical and operational characteristics.
- 90% is recommended for charging and 40% -20% for discharging.

- Ensure Stage of Charge SOC monitoring
- Avoid deep discharges or overloads.
- Determine charging method: voltage or constant current.
- Determine proper configuration: 
  - Centralized
  - Distributed

For the functions of oscillation damping and power quality, it is required that the battery within its load limits have a reserve for this function, useful when the system goes through transients during the change of operating mode [41]. Regarding the frequency control function, the battery must have a rapid response to offer fast compensation and active power [44]. While to be a black start resource, it is required that the inverter-battery system has a capacity at least equal to the largest DG unit [40].

They are shown below, in Table 12, the criteria that must be taken into account when selecting the type of battery to be implemented. Within the criteria is the use pattern, this criterion is analyzed with the assistance of the optimization software used, which shows how many times in the day the battery is charged and discharged.

**Table 12. Selection criteria for storage system [43]–[46].**

| Selection criteria          | Economics | Technical |
|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Cost per unit               | • Nominal voltage | • Connected mode: 
|                            | • O & M Cost (Operation and Maintain) |  - The main network is responsible for stability  
|                            | • Replacement cost |  - It is responsible for sharing charges (economic benefits)  
|                            |                      |  - Mainly responsible for delivering active and reactive power.  
|                            |                      |  - Island mode:  
|                            |                      |   - Maintain stability on its own.  
|                            |                      |   - Regular frequency and tension.  
|                            |                      |  - Connected mode  
|                            |                      |   - The main network is responsible for stability  
|                            |                      |   - It is responsible for sharing charges (economic benefits)  
|                            |                      |   - Mainly responsible for delivering active and reactive power.  
|                            |                      |   - Island mode:  
|                            |                      |     - Maintain stability on its own.  
|                            |                      |     - Regular frequency and tension.  

- Synchronization function with the grid

According to objectives

- Peak shaving scheme application:
  - Charge battery in off-peak moments
  - Discharge battery at peak demand times.
- Dampen power oscillations.
- Power quality functions
  - Use as a resource of black start
  - Frequency control
- Operation of protections in regulated ranges.
- Scheme of redispach of demand.
- Prediction of generation of renewable DG units.

The intelligent control system interacts with all the components of the system to maintain stability, which is why it has different operational characteristics or actions related to each component of the MG, which will depend on the objectives selected for the MG, which the user must determine which to apply according to Table 1.

**Table 14. Control actions associated with specific objectives.**

**Control Actions**

| Distributed Generation | Storage | Demand/Load |
|------------------------|---------|-------------|
| Implement PV smoothing: | Monitor the SOC of the battery, keep it within limits. | Implement load-Shifting in grid connected mode. |
| - Atenase PV system variability. | | |
| - Apply in grid connected mode. | | |
| Implement sun tracking (PV): | | |
| - It is responsible for changing the angle of inclination of the panel according to the programmed. | | |
| Implement MPPT : | | |
| - Maximum Power Point Tracker. | | |
| - Look for maximum efficiency point in any condition. | | |
| Diesel control: | | |
| - It is activated when the MG is in island mode. | | |
| - It is activated when there is no other resource available | | |
| - It is activated when the SOC of the battery exceeds the limit. | | |
| Inverter modifies power output to maintain balance. | | |
| Reserve percentage for power quality or other function. | | Implement load-sheding. |
| | | Perform Peak-shaving for economic benefit. |
| | | Control the "dump load" for excess energy. |
| | | Send signals to users to promote changes in demand and obtain economic benefits. |

The intelligent control system must have a certain dispatch strategy, which establishes the limits and conditions in which the available DG units cover the demand throughout the day [20] [48]. This requirement and the functions mentioned above are different and are carried out at different scales and times, so the most used control structure is the hierarchical consisting of 3 levels [47]. In the
same way there are two control configurations, centralized and decentralized. These different structures, strategies and control schemes are presented in the diagram of Fig. 7.

Fig. 7 Schemes and control structures used for MG's [20], [47]–[50].

Stage 6. Initial configuration and optimization analysis

The present stage determines the initial configuration of the MG to be implemented and its analysis in optimization software to obtain the final MG configuration, therefore Stage 6 is divided into Stage 6.1 and Stage 6.2.

Stage 6.1: Initial configuration

Once the elements of the MG to be implemented have been analyzed, the initial configuration is determined with the DG resources that were established as available and feasible to implement, defining the need for a back-up system, composed of a Diesel generator. This configuration becomes important when performing the software optimization process, since it determines the input data regarding the available resources and the topology of the MG.

In the diagram of Fig. 8, the use of Diesel generators is evaluated according to the specific objectives mentioned in the Table 1, showing that for remote MG's that do not have a connection to the grid and for MG's with only one renewable resource available, the presence of a Diesel generator is considered indispensable, this is because with only one available resource its capacity must be much greater to cover the demand, which would not be feasible in many cases [20].

Stage 6.2: Analysis in optimization software

In order to obtain a technically feasible final configuration, it is necessary to use optimization software which is responsible for evaluating the technical-economic feasibility of the system, corroborating that the load can be met with the available resources and estimating the different costs associated with the MG. The HOMER Pro software, the most commonly used in the revised references for feasibility evaluations, delivers different MG configurations with their respective sizing and behavior of each component of the MG [38]. For this reason, it is necessary to determine technical and economic selection criteria, in order to determine which of the proposed configurations is the most viable according to the objectives.

Fig. 8. Definition of the initial configuration of the MG.

They are presented in Table 15, the main economic criteria most frequently analyzed during the revised feasibility studies, which seek to complement the technical analysis carried out during the methodology. The technical selection criteria analyzed most frequently to determine the final configuration alternative are presented in the Table 15. Main economic selection criteria for economic feasibility in MG's [51].

Table 16.
Regarding the presence of storage, the relationship between its capacity and the capacity and hours of operation of the diesel must be considered, because if the alternative has a greater capacity of batteries, therefore the diesel will operate fewer hours, generating fewer emissions and costs [33]. On the other hand, the capacity shortage criterion is related to the "load-shedding" scheme because the percentage of unsatisfied load may be greater as long as there is the possibility of load disconnection and thus maintain the stability without having to increase costs, excess energy and installed capacity [52], [53].

The excess energy generated is related to the capacity shortage criterion, since the lower the percentage of non-supplied load, the greater the excess energy [20], [53]. On the other hand, the capacity factor represents the annual percentage of generation time of each DG unit [12]. Therefore, a low service factor for Diesel generators is expected, achieving the lowest use in hours of these systems due to its high cost and contamination.

After analyzing and comparing different configuration alternatives according to the selection criteria proposed for MG, the most appropriate alternative is chosen according to the objectives and analyzes carried out up to now.

✓ Stage 7: Sensitivity analysis and final verification
The optimization software does not take into account various external conditions to the data entered, such as the location of the DG units, the maintenance status of the equipment, increase in load and the variation of climatic conditions, which is of great importance to perform a sensitivity analysis. A sensitivity analysis is carried out by varying those parameters for which there is no certainty. The objective is to observe how these variations affect the costs and technical characteristics of the chosen alternative and make the necessary adjustments. The most common analysis variables are solar radiation, wind speed, the number of batteries, the cost of fuel, the O&M (Operation and Maintain) cost and the increase in average demand [30].

Once the necessary adjustments to the selected configuration are made, it is proposed to carry out a final verification, represented in the diagram of Fig. 9, in which are presented technical factors to verify for the DG units and the storage system. On the other hand, in the diagram of Fig. 10, is presented the normative verification that must be taken into account for the implementation of an MG based on the international standard IEEE 1547 of 2018, which can be accepted by countries that do not have their own regulation referring to MG's or DG, otherwise if there is any regulation in this regard is imperative on international regulations. This diagram includes requirements for both MG operation modes, connected to the grid and island mode, as well as the studies required for its implementation. Once the regulatory and technical verification has been done, a technically feasible MG configuration is obtained, so
the next step is to carry out complementary feasibility studies, such as economic, environmental and social studies, that ensure the integral feasibility of the MG. It is suggested the use of Real-Time Simulation RTS [54]- [56], to validate the operation of the control system, protections and other components of the MG before its implementation on site.

The inclusion of conventional fuel-based generation systems within the proposed methodology, is due to the intermittence of renewable energy sources and the variation of demand over time, since these generation systems represent a back up before said variations and a spinning reserve before the random increase in demand.

The selection of a renewable MG or a mixed MG (one that includes both renewable and non-renewable generation) lies mainly in the objective of the MG regarding the need for backup, reliability and security, and the economic scope of the project. For example, for a renewable MG whose objective is to have 0% of non-supplied load, it implies a considerable increase in the installed capacity of both generation and storage which incurs high costs however, a mixed MG can achieve that percentage reducing costs with a Diesel generation system that operates only when necessary.

When evaluating the feasibility in the implementation of Microgrids, it is necessary that there is an optimization stage, due to the difficulty of determining the installed capacity of renewable resources characterized by their variability and intermittency. To achieve the above, optimization software is used, whose task is to make a comparison between the dynamics of these renewable resources and the dynamics of demand. However, it should be noted that the optimization software used does not have the last word in the composition of the MG, since it does not take into account external technical characteristics of the system.

9. Conclusions

This article contributes to the current stage of the art that seeks to consolidate the technical feasibility assessment in the implementation of Microgrids in the power electrical system, by reviewing cases of global implementation and feasibility evaluation methodologies of Microgrids, to build a methodology composed of 8 stages that allows analyzing the technical feasibility of installing a Microgrid in a specific place, taking into account its specific objective, components and regulatory requirements.

To evaluate the feasibility of implementing a MG it is necessary to analyze both technical and economic parameters as these are directly related. To guarantee certain technical characteristics that allow the correct functioning of the system, they must be incurred, in addition to the initial investment, in different costs such as: operation and maintenance, energy, fuel and replacement costs. This relationship is evident in the analysis for the selection of the most suitable MG configuration alternative, since there are configurations that meet all the necessary requirements.

Fig. 9. Flow diagram for technical verification of MG final configuration.

Fig. 10. Verification of regulatory requirements.
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