Androgen receptor CAG repeats, non-random X chromosome inactivation, and loss of heterozygosity at Xq25 in relation to breast cancer risk
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Abstract

Background: The aim of this study was to examine the association of non-random X chromosome inactivation (XCI) and loss of heterozygosity (LOH) at Xq25 with breast cancer development.

Methods: Seventy-nine breast cancer patients, 39 female lung cancer patients, 30 other cancer patients and 77 healthy females were analysed for LOH using a panel of 11 microsatellite markers spanning Xq25. The androgen receptor (AR) gene was chosen as an XCI marker.

Results: LOH of at least one microsatellite locus at Xq25 was identified in 46/65 breast cancers examined, while only 10/25 cancers of other origins demonstrated LOH in this region ($p = 0.014$). The critical deletion region in breast cancer was around marker DXS1047 (47.23%). Moreover, we found that tissues from eight breast cancers showed LOH at all of the informative loci tested at Xq25, while the other 38 showed partial (interstitial or telomeric) alterations at Xq25. Interestingly, the pattern of XCI of these eight breast cancers tended to be non-random. We estimated the frequencies of AR alleles and found that women with two long AR alleles ($\geq 21$ CAG repeats) had an increased risk of developing breast cancer, while those with two short AR alleles ($<21$ CAG repeats) were likely to be normal ($p = 0.00069$).

Conclusions: The extraordinary high frequencies of LOH at Xq25 found in this study strongly imply that there might be one or more tumour suppressor genes (TSGs) related to the development of breast cancer at Xq25 in the Taiwanese female population.
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The androgen receptor (AR) gene has been used to demonstrate the pattern of X chromosome inactivation [8-10]. The 5’ CpG island of the AR gene is methylated on the inactive X chromosome and hypomethylated on the active X chromosome. Additionally, the AR alleles of paternal and maternal origins may be distinguished by a highly polymorphic CAG triplet repeat within the region of the CpG island. Moreover, the methylation status of a restriction site (GCCGC) that is less than 100 bp away from the polymorphic CAG triplet repeat can be recognized by the methylation-sensitive restriction enzymes HpaII and HhaI [11]. Amplification of the CpG island region by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) following methylation-sensitive restriction enzyme digestion is possible only when the AR gene is fully methylated. Therefore, comparing the AR allele ratio with or without digestion of enzyme is a convenient method to determine whether the pattern of XCI is random or non-random.

The AR gene contains a polymorphic CAG trinucleotide repeat that encodes an uninterrupted polyglutamine tract in the N-terminal domain, ranging from 6–39 repeats in healthy individuals [12]. The number of CAG repeats has been linked with hormone-related cancers in both men and women. Several studies have demonstrated that shorter CAG repeat length is associated with increased prostate cancer risk [13]. Multiple studies have also identified associations between CAG repeats and the risk of ovarian [14], breast [15,16] and endometrial cancers [17,18]. In these studies, the allele patterns were defined as the length of the CAG repeat region. For instance, Terry et al. (2005) found that women with two long AR alleles (≥22 CAG repeats) had an increased risk of ovarian cancer compared with those possessing two short AR alleles (<22 CAG repeats) [19]. However, the results varied with differences in population and sample size [17,18].

Deletions on the X chromosome often occur in female cancers. Deletions at Xq25 have been reported to be associated with ovarian cancer [20,21], and a high frequency of loss of heterozygosity (LOH) has been found on chromosome arm Xq. The study of LOH with a series of polymorphic microsatellite markers at Xq25 in breast cancer patients found that LOH of DXS8098 was associated with larger tumour size (>3 cm), higher histological grade, and axillary lymph node metastasis. This suggests that there could be one or more TSGs in this region and that the DXS8098 locus on Xq25 could be used as a prognostic marker for breast cancer.

According to Knudson’s two hit hypothesis, we hypothesized that female breast cancer patients may have a high incidence of LOH at Xq25 and that their tumour tissues would exhibit non-random XCI. The occurrence of breast cancer may result from inactivation of a TSG in this region. When a mutated X chromosome with LOH at Xq25 is active while the normal X chromosome is inactive; cancer may eventuate. Then, we can examine whether there might be a candidate tumour suppressor gene at Xq25.

Methods
Patients and samples
Seventy-nine breast cancer patients, 39 female lung cancer patients, 30 female other cancer patients (including gastric and liver cancer patients) from Changhua Christian Hospital and 77 healthy females were analysed. The 77 healthy females were divided into an old healthy control group (32–73 years, average 50.81 ± 11.32 years) and a young healthy control group (20–29 years, average 22.86 ± 2.24 years). Tumour and adjacent normal tissues were obtained after surgery and immediately stored in liquid nitrogen or at −70°C for further DNA extraction. The research was approved by Changhua Christian Hospital Institutional Review Board and informed consent was obtained from all patients.

Microsatellite analysis
A panel of 11 microsatellite markers spanning Xq25 was used for LOH analysis (DXS8067, DXS1001, DXS8059, DXS8098, DXS8009, DXS1047, DXS8068, DXS8078, DXS8071, DXS8041, and DXS8074). These primer sequences were obtained from the NCBI (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/projects/genome/guide/human/).

DNA extracted from tumour tissues or adjacent normal tissues of cancer patients was amplified using these microsatellite markers. PCR reactions were performed in a GeneAmp PCR system 2700 thermal cycler (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA).

LOH analysis was performed using an ABI Prism 3100 Genetic Analyser. Each forward primer was fluorescein-labelled, allowing amplified fragments to be quantified using ABI PRISM 3100 GeneScan 3.1 software (Applied Biosystems), which calculated the sizes and areas of signal peaks. The allele ratio was determined as the peak height of the smaller allele divided by that of the larger, and Q^{LOH} was then determined by dividing the tumour allele ratio by the normal allele ratio as per the formula \( Q^{LOH} = \left( \frac{T_1}{T_2} \right) / \left( \frac{N_1}{N_2} \right) \), where \( T_1 \) and \( N_1 \) are the smaller allele peak heights in the tumour DNA and in the neighbouring normal DNA, respectively, and \( T_2 \) and \( N_2 \) are the larger allele peak heights in the tumour DNA and in the neighbouring normal DNA, respectively. As previously reported, an arbitrary normal range of \( Q^{LOH} \) was set from 0.75 to 1.33 with a \( Q^{LOH} \) lower than 0.75 or greater than 1.33 was defined as LOH [22].

XCI pattern analysis
The AR gene is located on the X chromosome and was chosen as an XCI marker. DNA (200 ng) was digested with 10 U HhaI (Fermentas) at 37°C for 12 hr and then
heated at 95°C for 10 min to terminate the reaction. The DNA was cleaned by MultiScreen-PCR (96-Well Filtration System, Millipore) and 20 μL ddH2O was added to dissolve the DNA. Control experiments were performed in the same manner except that HhaI was not added during the digestion period. The PCR reactions and fragment analysis were carried out as previously described [11]. The XCI pattern (random or non-random) was determined by the modified allelic cleavage ratio (CR) of AR, as calculated by dividing the smaller peak area ratio by that of the larger. While the Lyon hypothesis predicts that a normal CR should be 1.0, in reality the XCI pattern is slightly skewed [23]. It is widely accepted that an arbitrary normal value of CR (random XCI pattern) ranges from 0.33 to 3.00 [24]. Therefore, a CR lower than 0.33 or greater than 3.00 was defined as a non-random XCI pattern.

Statistical analysis
The χ²-test, Fisher’s exact test, and Yate’s correction for continuity were used for statistical analysis of the results. Two-tailed p values of <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results
Frequency of LOH in breast cancers
The panel of 11 microsatellite markers at Xq25 was used to analyse QLOH in tumour DNA obtained from breast and other cancer patients, and the results are as presented in Table 1. The highest frequency of LOH was observed at DXS1047, with 47.23% (26/55) of the breast cancer tissues showing allelic loss, followed by DXS8067 (44.83%), DXS8068 (39.22%), DXS8059 (38.24%), DXS8074 (34.4%), DXS8071 (30.8%), and DXS1001 (30.36%). The frequency of LOH at other microsatellite markers was below 30%. The critical deletion region was around DXS1047 (47.23%).

At least one microsatellite locus at Xq25 showing LOH was detected in the tumour DNA of 46 (70.7%) of the 65 breast cancers. Eight of those 65 samples (B44, B113, B130, B129, B307, B320, B322, and B323) showed LOH at all the informative loci tested at Xq25, whereas 38 others showed partial (interstitial or telomeric) alterations at Xq25. A schematic map of LOH at Xq25 in these breast cancer patients is shown in Figure 1. LOH at Xq25 was not identified using these markers in the remaining 19 cases. These results indicate that a commonly deleted region at Xq25 exists in breast cancer patients and that the highest frequency of LOH occurred at the DXS1047 loci.

Frequency of LOH in the other cancers
The same 11 microsatellite markers at Xq25 were used to detect LOH in the 25 patients with other cancers, including lung and stomach cancers (Table 1). The highest frequency of LOH was observed at DXS8009, with 37.5% (6/16) of these tumour tissues showing allele loss. The frequencies of LOH at DXS1001, DXS8068 and, DXS8067 were about 30%, while the ratio of LOH at other microsatellite markers was quite low at below 20%. Furthermore, no evidence of LOH at DXS8071 was detected in these tissues. At least one Xq25 microsatellite locus showed LOH in 40.0% (10/25) of these other cancer tissues, and in 70.7% (46/65) of the breast cancer tissues (p = 0.014).

| Sample marker | Heterozygosity/No. of BC | Heterozygosity % | LOH/informative a | LOH % b | LOH/informative Other Cancers (OC) | P value c |
|---------------|-------------------------|-----------------|------------------|---------|-----------------------------------|---------|
| DXS8067       | 29/65                   | 44.6%           | 13/29            | 44.83%  | 5/17                              | 29.4%   | 0.47 |
| DXS1001       | 56/65                   | 86.2%           | 17/56            | 30.36%  | 6/19                              | 31.6%   | 0.85 |
| DXS8059       | 34/65                   | 52.3%           | 13/34            | 38.24%  | 1/8                               | 12.5%   | 0.17 |
| DXS8098       | 46/64                   | 71.9%           | 13/46            | 28.24%  | 7/17                              | 14.1%   | 0.50 |
| DXS8009       | 50/64                   | 78.1%           | 14/50            | 28.00%  | 6/16                              | 37.5%   | 0.68 |
| DXS1047       | 55/65                   | 84.6%           | 26/55            | 47.23%  | 5/20                              | 25.0%   | 0.14 |
| DXS8068       | 49/65                   | 78.5%           | 20/51            | 39.22%  | 5/16                              | 31.2%   | 0.78 |
| DXS8078       | 20/59                   | 33.9%           | 4/20             | 20.00%  | 1/14                              | 7.1%    | 0.37 |
| DXS8071       | 13/58                   | 22.4%           | 4/13             | 30.77%  | 0/14                              | 0.0%    | 0.041 |
| DXS8041       | 46/58                   | 79.3%           | 9/46             | 19.57%  | 4/21                              | 19.0%   | 0.676 |
| DXS8074       | 32/58                   | 55.2%           | 11/32            | 34.38%  | 2/15                              | 13.5%   | 0.175 |
| Xq25          | 46/65                   | 70.7%           | 10/25            | 40.0%   |                                   | 0.014   |

Table 1 Frequency of heterozygosity and LOH in breast cancer and other cancer tissue

The frequency of heterozygosity and LOH in the breast cancers (BC) and other cancers (OC) are presented in this table.

a. Informative: patients with heterozygosity.
b. LOH %: number of patients with LOH divided by number of patients with heterozygosity.
c. Chi-square test and Fisher’s exact probability test.
The XCI pattern in the tumour tissues and adjacent normal tissues

The XCI pattern was defined as random or non-random, as previously described [25]. Thirteen breast cancer patients’ XCI patterns were NN (non-random in tumour tissue/non-random in adjacent normal tissue; 22.8%), 21 were NR (non-random in tumour tissue/random in adjacent normal tissue; 36.8%), and the other 23 were RR (random in tumour tissue/random in adjacent normal tissue; 40.3%). The XCI patterns in the tumour and adjacent normal tissues of the 17 other non-breast cancer patients were 3 NN (18%), 3 NR (18%), and 11 RR (65%; Figures 2 and 3).

Relationships between microsatellite analysis, XCI pattern, and clinical parameters

Eight of the 65 breast cancer samples (B44, B113, B130, B129, B307, B320, B322, and B323) showed LOH at all of the informative loci tested at Xq25. The XCI patterns of B129 and B320 were NN, those of B113, B322, and B130 were NR, and B44 was RR. The patterns of XCI in B307 and B323 were not able to be detected because of homozygosity. Interestingly, the patterns of XCI in the tumour tissues of these eight breast cancers tended to be non-random.

An analysis of the relationships between microsatellite analysis, XCI pattern, and clinical symptoms (including tumour grade, lymph node metastases, and tumour size) is presented in Tables 2 and 3. A correlation analysis of LOH pattern and tumour clinicopathological characteristics revealed that LOH at Xq25 or DXS1047 was not associated with higher histologic grade or axillary lymph node metastasis (Table 3).

**AR cytosine, adenine, guanine repeats**

We estimated the frequencies of AR alleles to evaluate the association between AR-CAG repeats and breast cancer risk. The CAG repeats of the breast cancer samples were compared with those of similarly-aged healthy...
controls, and women with two long AR alleles (≥21 CAG repeats) were found to possess an increased risk of breast cancer, while those with two short AR alleles (<21 CAG repeats) were likely to be normal (p = 0.00069; 95% confidence interval −0.13). However, when comparing breast cancer patients with young healthy controls, no significant relationships were identified (Table 4).

Discussion
LOH at Xq25 was determined by examining the frequency of heterozygosity of 11 markers in normal tissue DNA and in patients with breast cancer (Table 1). The frequency of heterozygosity of these markers should be greater than 80%. However, some microsatellite markers had a low heterozygosity frequency (DXS8067, DXS8059, DXS8078, DXS8071, and DXS8074), indicating that the allele distribution varies amongst populations. Highly polymorphic markers have a high frequency of heterozygosity which is necessary for suitable analysis of LOH in tumour DNA. The ultimate goal of this project was to identify a candidate TSG. Chromosome arm Xq25 is one of the most frequent LOH regions observed in breast and ovarian cancers [20]. Here LOH of at least one microsatellite locus at Xq25 was identified in 70.7% (46/65) breast cancer patients, which is higher than the percentages reported in previous studies. In comparison, LOH of at least one locus on 7q31 was detected in 34% of sporadic prostate tumours, and the candidate tumour suppressor gene TES was found here [26]. LOH of at least one locus on 9q was observed in 56% of transitional cell carcinomas (TCCs) in bladder cancer [27], and LOH of at least one locus at 17q21 (BRCA1 locus) was found in 60.53% of breast cancers [28]. Candidate TSGs in these regions were subsequently identified. The high frequency of LOH at Xq25 identified in Taiwanese breast cancer patients here indicates that one or more novel candidate TSGs exists at Xq25.

It is possible that one hit to a TSG localized on the X chromosome could be sufficient to induce carcinogenesis because the other allele is inactivated, and several lines of evidence support the hypothesis of the existence of a tumour suppressor gene on Xq25. The X chromosome is involved in the establishment of in vitro immortality and may therefore be important for the control of cell proliferation [29]. In 2002, it was announced that a candidate TSG on the X chromosome was identified using chromosome transfer experiments [30]. Additionally, deletions at Xq25 have been reported to be associated with ovarian cancer [20], and Piao et al. found a high frequency of LOH on chromosome arm Xq in breast cancer cells [31]. Piao et al. also identified that LOH of DXS8098 at Xq25 is associated with a larger tumour size (>3 cm), a higher histologic grade, and axillary lymph node metastasis, suggesting that there could be one or more TSGs in this region [21].

| Patients in which no LOH was observed at any microsatellite markers | No. | % | Patients in which LOH was observed at one or more microsatellite markers | No. | % | P value* |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| R² | 11/15 | 73.3% | R² | 20/36 | 55.56% | 0.35 |
| N² | 4/15 | 26.7% | N² | 16/36 | 44.44% | 0.55 |
| Tumour Grade 1.2 | 15/19 | 78.9% | Tumour Grade 1.2 | 30/44 | 68.18% | 0.25 |
| Tumour Grade 3 | 4/19 | 21.1% | Tumour Grade 3 | 14/44 | 31.82% | 0.88 |
| LNMI+ | 13/19 | 68.4% | LNMI+ | 22/45 | 48.89% | 0.88 |
| LNMI– | 6/19 | 31.6% | LNMI– | 23/45 | 51.11% | 0.88 |
| Tumour Size (<3 cm) | 8/17 | 47.1% | Tumour Size (<3 cm) | 22/45 | 48.89% | 0.88 |
| Tumour Size (>3 cm) | 9/17 | 52.9% | Tumour Size (>3 cm) | 23/45 | 51.11% | 0.88 |

This table presents the relationships between microsatellite analysis, XCI pattern, and clinical parameters (including tumour grade, lymph node metastases, and tumour size).

- a. N: The XCI pattern in the tumour tissues was non-random.
- b. R: The XCI pattern in the tumour tissues was random.
- c. LNMI+: Patients with lymph node metastases.
- d. LNMI–: Patients without lymph node metastases.
- e. Chi-square test and Fisher’s exact probability test.
allele loss at Xq25 varies between cancer types, being more common in breast cancers (70.7%) than in other cancers (40%) in our study. These results demonstrate a significant ($p = 0.014$) correlation between LOH at Xq25 and breast cancer risk. The DXS1047 marker showed the highest LOH (47.23%) of the markers tested, and we hypothesized that the regions adjacent to DXS1047 contain one or more candidate TSGs with important roles in breast carcinogenesis but not in other kind of cancers (Table 1).

The XCI patterns in the 57 breast and other cancer tissues were found to be of NN, NR or RR types (Figures 2 and 3). XCI patterns in the breast cancer tumour tissues tended to be non-random, and differed from the other kinds of cancer examined ($p = 0.047$). These results are similar to those of previous studies, in which non-random XCI patterns have been found to be a predisposing factor for the development of invasive ovarian cancer [24].

If a TSG is localized on the X chromosome, a single step, either a mutation or loss of the active allele from the active X chromosome, is sufficient to induce carcinogenesis because the other allele congenitally inactivated. Large deletions at Xq25 were identified in eight of the breast cancer samples with all of the informative loci tested at Xq25 found to have LOH. Additionally, the patterns of XCI of these eight breast cancer tumour tissues tended to be non-random, indicating that the origin of these breast cancer tissues was monoclonal. Thus, carcinogenesis in these patients could be partially explained by two hits to a TSG at Xq25. Here, the first hit is X chromosome condensation and the second is the large deletion at Xq25, which presumably occurs on the active chromosome. In summary, the fact that frequent LOH at Xq25 of the X chromosome was observed in breast cancer tissues suggests that the X chromosome may indeed harbour one or more TSGs, which might play an important role in breast cancer carcinogenesis.

In this study, an increasing number of CAG repeats was found to be associated with elevated breast cancer risk. Multiple studies have previously indicated associations between the length of the CAG repeat and the risk of ovarian [14], breast [15,16] and endometrial cancers [17,18], whereas some breast cancer studies have reported no association [16,32].

We compared the CAG repeat length of the breast cancer patients with those of similarly-aged healthy controls, and found that two long AR alleles ($\geq 21$ CAG repeats) was associated with an increased risk of breast cancer, while women with two short AR alleles ($< 21$ CAG repeats) were likely to be normal ($p = 0.00069$). However, when comparing the breast cancer patients with the young healthy controls, no significant relationship was observed (Table 4). This suggests that the young healthy control individuals with longer AR alleles might be at increased risk of developing breast cancer later in life, though they are currently in good health. These results further suggest that longer AR alleles may contribute to carcinogenesis in women.

### Table 3 Relationships between microsatellite analysis (DXS1047), XCI pattern, and clinical parameters

| Patients in whom no LOH was found at the DXS1047 microsatellite marker | Patients in whom LOH was found at the DXS1047 microsatellite marker | $P$ value<sup>e</sup> |
|---|---|---|
| **R<sup>b</sup>** | 14/24 | 58.3% | 10/20 | 50% | 0.80 |
| **N<sup>a</sup>** | 10/24 | 41.7% | 10/20 | 50% | 0.80 |
| Tumour Grade 1.2 | 22/28 | 78.6% | Tumour Grade 1.2 | 15/25 | 60% | 0.24 |
| Tumour Grade 3 | 6/28 | 21.4% | Tumour Grade 3 | 10/25 | 40% | 0.24 |
| LNM<sup>b</sup>+ | 17/28 | 60.7% | LNM<sup>b</sup>+ | 12/28 | 42.9% | 0.28 |
| LNM<sup>b</sup>– | 11/28 | 39.2% | LNM<sup>b</sup>– | 14/28 | 57.1% | 0.28 |
| Tumour Size (<3 cm) | 11/28 | 39.3% | Tumour Size (<3 cm) | 14/26 | 53.8% | 0.28 |
| Tumour Size (>3 cm) | 17/28 | 60.7% | Tumour Size (>3 cm) | 12/26 | 46.2% | 0.28 |

<sup>a.</sup> The XCI pattern in the tumour tissues was non-random.
<sup>b.</sup> The XCI pattern in the tumour tissues was random.
<sup>c.</sup> LNM+: Patients with lymph node metastases.
<sup>d.</sup> LNM–: Patients without lymph node metastases.
<sup>e.</sup> Chi-square test and Fisher’s exact probability test.

### Table 4 Androgen receptor cytosine, adenine, guanine repeats

| (CAG) $n, n \geq 21$ | Breast cancer | Old controls<sup>a</sup> | Young controls<sup>b</sup> |
|---|---|---|---|
| 42 | 37 | 20 |
| (CAG) $n, n < 21$ | 2 | 20 | 6 |

<sup>a.</sup> Old controls: healthy controls of a similar age to the breast cancer patients.
<sup>b.</sup> Young controls: younger healthy controls.
Conclusion
This study identifies an extraordinary high frequency of LOH at Xq25 in Taiwanese patients with breast cancer. This strongly implies that there might be one or more TSGs related to the development of breast cancer at Xq25 in this population.
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