First-principles study of the spin-mixing conductance in Pt/\text{Ni}_{81}\text{Fe}_{19} \text{junctions}

Qinfang Zhang,\textsuperscript{1,2} Shin-ichi Hikino,\textsuperscript{1,2} and Seiji Yunoki\textsuperscript{1,2,3}

\textsuperscript{1) Computational Condensed Matter Physics Laboratory, RIKEN ASI, Wako, Saitama 351-0198, Japan}
\textsuperscript{2) CREST, Japan Science and Technology Agency, Kawaguchi, Saitama 332-0012, Japan}
\textsuperscript{3) Computational Materials Science Research Team, RIKEN AICS, Kobe, Hyogo 650-0047, Japan}

(Dated: 29 April 2013)

Based on the spin-pumping theory and first-principles calculations, the spin-mixing conductance (SMC) is theoretically studied for Pt/Permalloy (Ni\textsubscript{81}Fe\textsubscript{19}, Py) junctions. We evaluate the SMC for ideally clean Pt/Py junctions and examine the effects of interface randomness. We find that the SMC is generally enhanced in the presence of interface roughness as compared to the ideally clean junctions. Our estimated SMC is in good quantitative agreement with the recent experiment.\textsuperscript{\textcopyright} We also discuss possible routes to increase the SMC in Pt/Py junctions by depositing a foreign magnetic metal layer in Pt, offering guidelines for designing the future spintronic devices.

Spintronics utilizes the electron spin degree of freedom for device applications such as data storage, non-volatile memory, and high speed processing, which exceed the conventional electronics. These spintronic devices are controlled by spin current, and thus efficiently creating the spin current is one of the primary issues.\textsuperscript{2}

One of the standard ways to generate the spin current is the spin-pumping through a ferromagnetic metal/normal metal (F/N) junction where the spin current is pumped out from the F into the N by ferromagnetic resonance in the F.\textsuperscript{3} The spin current induced by the spin-pumping is proportional to the spin-mixing conductance (SMC)\textsuperscript{2,3,8} and thus the large SMC is required for highly efficient F/N junctions as a spin current generator.

The large SMC is also related to the enhanced Gilbert magnetic damping in the F.\textsuperscript{8} For instance, the critical current density of the current induced magnetization reversal is proportional to the Gilbert damping constant, and the large Gilbert damping is suitable for fast switching of magnetization reversal.\textsuperscript{2} Thus, the SMC is also an essential parameter to design high speed and low power consumption spintronic devices. In spite of its importance, the SMC is usually employed as a fitting parameter of experimental results.\textsuperscript{2} Therefore, it is highly desirable to quantitatively evaluate the SMC based on first-principles calculations.\textsuperscript{4,5}

In this Letter, based on the spin-pumping theory\textsuperscript{2,3} and first-principles calculations, we investigate the SMC in Pt/Permalloy (Ni\textsubscript{81}Fe\textsubscript{19}, Py) junctions. We numerically evaluate the SMC for the ideally clean junctions with three different crystalline orientations, and discuss quantitatively the effects of interface randomness. We find that the interface roughness generally enhances the SMC as compared to the ideally clean junctions. Our estimated SMC is found to be in good agreement with the recent experiment.\textsuperscript{8}

Spintronic devices are controlled by spin current, and thus efficiently creating the spin current is one of the primary issues.\textsuperscript{2}
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We also discuss possible routes to increase the SMC in Pt/Py based junctions by depositing an additional buffer layer in Pt or Py. Our results indicate that a key ingredient to increase the SMC is to deposit a magnetic layer such as a Fe layer.

Here, the SMC is evaluated based on the spin-pumping theory and using first-principles calculations. In the spin-pumping theory,\textsuperscript{2} the SMC is given by $G_{\text{SMC}} = S^{-1} \sum_{m,n} (\delta_{mn} - r_{mn}^r r_{mn}^s)$, where $S$ is the contact area, $m$ and $n$ denote scattered electronic states at the Fermi energy of the nonmagnetic Pt lead, and $r_{mn}^r$ is the reflection matrix at the interface for up (down) electrons.\textsuperscript{9}

Taking into account the realistic electronic structures in the Pt/Py junctions by first-principles calculations, the reflection coefficients $r_{mn}^s$ are estimated.

We first perform a self-consistent tight-binding linearized muffin-tin orbital (TB-LMTO) calculation\textsuperscript{10} with atomic sphere approximation for a system consisting of semi-infinite Pt and Py leads, and a scattering region (S) which includes eight layers of Pt and Py for the clean interface,\textsuperscript{12} as schematically shown in Fig. 1(a). Hereafter this system is denoted by Pt|Si|Py for short, and thus the ideally clean junction is Pt|Pt(8)|Py(8)|Py with the number in parentheses indicating the number of atomic layers. The charge and spin densities of Py are treated using virtual crystal approximation (VCA).\textsuperscript{13} We use $spdf$ muffin-tin orbital basis set to solve the Schrödinger equation. The exchange-correlation potential is parameterized according to von Barth and Hedin.\textsuperscript{14} The experimental lattice constant (a=3.55\AA) of Py with f.c.c. structure\textsuperscript{15} is chosen for both Pt and Py leads for simplicity.\textsuperscript{16} Once the atomic potential in the S is determined self-consistently, the transmission matrix $r_{mn}^s$ is calculated using a TB-MTO implementation of the wave function matching scheme and the conductance is evaluated using Landauer-Büttiker formula.\textsuperscript{17} This method has been successfully applied for a number of spin transport calculations including the spin dependent interface resistances and the thermal spin transfer torque in mag-
netoelectronic devices.\textsuperscript{17,18}

To study the effects of interface randomness, we also consider randomly rough interface. The interface roughness is treated by substituting a composite Pt$_{1-x}$Py$_x$ and Pt$_x$Py$_{1-x}$ layer for the first Pt and Py layer at the interface, respectively, as illustrated in Fig. 1(b).\textsuperscript{19} Thus, the S is described by Pt(7)[Pt$_{1-x}$Py$_x$](1)[Pt$_x$Py$_{1-x}$](1)Py(7). The atomic potential in the S is calculated using the coherent potential approximation (CPA).\textsuperscript{19} The concentration $x$ is varied from 0 to 0.5 with $x = 0$ corresponding to the clean interface. For each $x$, we generate at least 16 different random configurations of atomic positions in the interfacially rough layers, and the SMC is estimated by averaging the results for each random configuration. In addition, to validate the VCA treatment for Py, we study the disordered alloy effects of Py by explicitly including disordered Ni and Fe atomic positions with Ni$_{31}$Fe$_{19}$ ratio in the S [Fig. 1(c)], where Ni and Fe in the S are treated by CPA.

Let us first study $x$-dependence on the SMC in the Pt/Py junctions with [111] crystalline orientation. The obtained results are shown in Fig. 2. It is found that i) the imaginary part of the SMC is approximately one order of magnitude smaller than the real part of the SMC, and ii) the real part of the SMC is larger for the rough interfaces (finite $x$) than for the clean interface ($x = 0$). Moreover, we can see in Fig. 2 that the SMC is rather insensitive to $x$ as long as $x$ is finite, and thus we choose $x = 0.5$ for the Pt/Py junctions with the interface roughness studied below.

The results for the SMC with other crystalline orientations, including the one shown above, are summarized in Table I. We can see in the table that the imaginary part of the SMC (Im $G_{t}^{\uparrow\downarrow}$) is about 7% or less smaller than the real part (Re $G_{t}^{\uparrow\downarrow}$), which is similar to the previous studies on different F/N junctions.\textsuperscript{12} It is also noticed that Re $G_{t}^{\uparrow\downarrow}$ is close to the Sharvin conductance of Pt ($2.553 \times 10^{19}$ m$^{-2}$).\textsuperscript{12} Moreover, we find that Re $G_{t}^{\uparrow\downarrow}$ is generally larger for the junctions with the interface roughness than for the ideally clean interface, indicating that the interface roughness plays an important role to achieve a large SMC. Our estimated SMC is in good quantitative agreement with the recent experiment for Pt/Py junctions where the SMC is observed to be about $2.3 \times 10^{19}$ m$^{-2}$.\textsuperscript{12} Finally, in Table I, also shown is the SMC for the disordered Py alloy [Fig. 1(c)], where we find that the SMC is almost the same for the clean junction illustrated in Fig. 1(a), justifying VCA for Py alloy.

Let us now discuss how to increase the SMC in the Pt/Py based junctions. The SMC is essentially determined by the interface property since this quantity depends on the reflection coefficient at the interface. Therefore, we expect its value to vary by controlling the interface structure. A possible way to obtain a larger SMC is
structures by using first-principles calculations. We have evaluated the SMC for the ideally clean junctions and examined the influences of the interface roughness. We have found that the imaginary part of the SMC is approximately one order of magnitude smaller that the real part of the SMC, and that, as compared to the ideally clean junctions, the interface roughness generally enhances the real part of the SMC. We have furthermore discussed possible routes to increase the SMC in Pt/Py based junctions by depositing additional buffer layers in Pt or Py layers. Our results indicate that the SMC increases when a magnetic layer is deposited in the nonmagnetic Pt layers. These results offer valuable guidelines for designing the future spintronic devices.

The authors thank S. Maekawa and J. Ieda for variable comments. A part of the calculation has been performed using RIKEN Integrated Cluster of Clusters (RICC).

TABLE II. The SMC (in units of $10^{19} \text{m}^{-2}$) in the Pt/Py junctions (Pt|S|Py) with the clean interface. The crystalline orientation is along $[111]$ direction. The conductance ($G$ in units of $10^{-19} \text{m}^{-2}$) and the magnetic moment ($M$) per layer in the deposited buffer layer(s) (normalized by the Bohr magneton $\mu_B$) are also listed.

| System       | $ReG^{↑↓}$ | $ImG^{↑↓}$ | $G$ ($M/\mu_B$) |
|--------------|------------|------------|-----------------|
| Pt[Pt(8)Py(8)]Py | 2.066 | 0.141 | 2.634 |
| Pt[Pt(7)Py(7)]Py | 2.215 | 0.261 | 2.382 | 0.81 |
| Pt[Fe(1)Pt(7)Py(8)] | 2.320 | 0.174 | 0.859 | 2.09 |
| Pt[Fe(2)Pt(6)Py(8)] | 2.520 | 0.022 | 1.859 | 2.03 |
| Pt[Ni(1)Pt(8)Py(8)] | 1.900 | 0.074 | 2.442 | 0.01 |
| Pt[Pt(8)Py(7)Pt(1)]Py | 2.068 | 0.122 | 2.471 | 0.19 |
| Pt[Py(1)Pt(7)Py(7)Pt(1)]Py | 2.179 | 0.301 | 2.212 | 0.9,0.2 |
| Pt[Pt(8)Py(7)I(1)]Py | 2.356 | 0.187 | 0.343 | 0 |
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