Functional Relevance of Hyper-Reflectivity in Macular Telangiectasia Type 2
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PURPOSE. The purpose of this study was to quantify hyper-reflective lesions on en face optical coherence tomography (OCT) and study its functional relevance in macular telangiectasia type 2 (MacTel).

DESIGN. This was a retrospective, cross-sectional cohort study.

METHODS. Baseline image and functional data from participants of a phase II clinical trial (NCT01949324) that studied the effect of Ciliary Neurotrophic Factor in patients with MacTel were analyzed. The projection of hyper-reflectivity within different layers on OCT was used to generate an en face view and measure the en face size of hyper-reflectivity. Ellipsoid zone (EZ)-loss was additionally evaluated, and en face images were superimposed onto microperimetry sensitivity maps, allowing to estimate mean retinal sensitivity within areas displaying hyper-reflectivity and EZ-loss, respectively. Best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) and reading speed were also analyzed.

RESULTS. Fifty-two eyes from 52 patients were analyzed. Hyper-reflectivity was present in 32 eyes (62%), and EZ-loss in 50 (96%) eyes. Mean lesion size was 0.11 mm² (range 0.01–0.26) for hyper-reflectivity and 0.51 mm² (range 0.02–1.34) for EZ-loss, and lesion sizes correlated strongly (Spearman r = 0.79, P < 0.001). Although both hyper-reflectivity and EZ-loss were associated with a significant decrease in retinal sensitivity, mean sensitivity thresholds differed significantly between lesions (0.9 dB vs. 16.3 dB; P < 0.001), indicating an almost complete loss of sensitivity in hyper-reflective areas. No correlations were found between the size of hyper-reflectivity and BCVA (r = 0.09) or reading speed (r = −0.17).

CONCLUSIONS. En face OCT can be used to quantify the area of hyper-reflective lesions in MacTel. Hyper-reflectivity in MacTel is associated with severe functional impairment, leading to an almost complete loss of retinal sensitivity as observed on microperimetry.
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Macular telangiectasia type 2 (MacTel) is a bilateral, neurodegenerative disease of the central retina with vascular abnormalities and a slowly progressive disease course.1 Characteristic findings on fundoscopy and multimodal imaging have previously been reported.1–3 These include decreased retinal transparency, crystalline deposits, and pigment plaques on fundoscopy, and telangiectatic and leaky vessels on fluorescein angiography. On optical coherence tomography (OCT), a disruption of the photoreceptor inner segment–outer segment layer (“ellipsoid zone” [EZ]), hypo-reflective cavities, as well as hyper-reflective lesions and atrophic changes can be observed.1–3 Typical symptoms include metamorphopsia and reading difficulties,4–6 whereas distance visual acuity may be relatively preserved.4–7 Reading difficulties have been shown to be associated with paracentral scotomas,4,8 that may be detected using fundus-controlled perimetry (“microperimetry”).4,5,9 Microperimetry allows the measurement of sensitivity thresholds at specific retinal locations, and the detection and quantification of central and paracentral scotomas. Previous studies demonstrated a correlation between the size of scotomas and the disruption of the EZ on OCT.10,11 Although the loss of the EZ, its functional correlates, and its role as marker for disease progression have been well studied in MacTel,1,5,7,10,12,13 little is known about other morphological changes and their functional relevance. Recently, we have described different forms of hyper-reflectivity that represent a common finding on OCT and are associated with disease progression in MacTel.14 In this study, we quantify hyper-reflective lesions on OCT and study their functional relevance in MacTel.

METHODS

Participants

For this retrospective cross-sectional analysis, baseline image and demographic data from participants in a
multicenter clinical trial that studied the effect of ciliary neurotrophic factor (CNTF) on retinal neurodegeneration in patients with MacTel (‘A Phase 2 Multicenter Randomized Clinical Trial of CNTF for MacTel’; ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT01949324) were analyzed. Protocol details of this study have been published previously. The study was conducted according to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki, and all participants provided informed consent. In short, the diagnosis of MacTel type 2 was based on characteristic morphologic findings, and confirmed consent. In short, the diagnosis of MacTel type 2 was based on characteristic morphologic findings, and confirmed consent.

Objective was to compare the retinal sensitivity thresholds at different retinal locations in a group of patients with MacTel type 2, focusing on the detection of EZ-Breaks and hyper-reflectivity (HR) using OCT and microperimetry. OCT and microperimetry were performed at baseline and after dynamic therapy, or central laser treatment.

Methods

For this purpose, OCT scans were automatically segmented allowing the measurement of both the size of EZ-loss and the total lesion size of the en face images. In a last step, all en face images were superimposed allowing the measurement of the total lesion size of the en face projection of hyper-reflectivity. Additionally, the position and size of EZ-loss was projected and outlined in the same image (see Fig. 1). In the case of multifocal lesions (EZ-breaks and hyper-reflectivity, respectively) the total lesion size was calculated by adding the en face sizes of single lesions.

Scotomas on Microperimetry

On microperimetry, functional impairment (“scotoma”) was defined as a decrease of retinal sensitivity of ≥ 2 standard deviations (SDs) from an average sensitivity in healthy observers. “Absolute scotomas” were defined as retinal locations at which the highest stimulus intensity could not be seen, resulting in sensitivity thresholds < 0 dB. The size of scotomas was calculated as number of test points within the test field, and was evaluated separately for “absolute scotomas” (number of test points < 0 dB) and “total scotomas” (number of test points with a decrease in sensitivity of ≥ 2 SDs).

Calculation of Mean Sensitivity Thresholds at Different Retinal Locations

Microperimetry images and OCT en face images were superimposed (see Fig. 2), allowing a direct measurement and comparison of retinal sensitivity thresholds at different retinal locations. Registration of images was performed automatically, as previously described, and the correct alignment and one-to-one correspondence of images was subsequently verified by an experienced reader. In each eye, mean sensitivity thresholds were calculated within three retinal areas defined as follows: (1) areas showing hyper-reflectivity, (2) areas showing breaks of the EZ, but no hyper-reflectivity, and (3) all test points within the total test field showing neither hyper-reflectivity nor a loss of the EZ (see Fig. 2).

Hyper-Reflectivity

Hyper-reflectivity on SD-OCT was defined as any hyper-reflective changes located within the inner or outer retinal layers, and extending the size of small individual capillaries. Hyper-reflective lesions were further classified into intraretinal and outer retinal hyper-reflectivity. Intraretinal hyper-reflectivity was defined as lesions limited to retinal layers without showing visible connections to the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) / Bruch’s membrane (BM). Outer retinal hyper-reflectivity was defined as lesions extending between retinal layers and the RPE / BM. Crystalline deposits that appear on OCT as small highly reflective spots and are located at the inner surface of the nerve fiber layer were excluded from this definition of hyper-reflectivity.

OCT Analysis

SD-OCT volume scans were used to generate en face images, allowing the measurement of both the size of EZ-loss and the total lesion size of hyper-reflective changes (see Fig. 1).

For this purpose, OCT scans were automatically segmented into “all layers” using the manufacturer’s software (Heidelberg Eye Explorer, version 1.10.3.0; Heidelberg Engineering), and a manual correction of segmentation lines was applied as needed (minor corrections of segmentation lines were conducted in about 60% of cases). The size of EZ-break was measured as previously described.

The following segmentation lines were used to generate a total of five en face images from five retinal segments using the transverse display within the 3D view panel of the Heidelberg viewer: inner limiting membrane (ILM) to inner plexiform layer (IPL); inner nuclear layer (INL) to outer plexiform layer (OPL); outer nuclear layer (ONL) to photoreceptor inner segments (IS / P1), and photoreceptor outer segments (OS / P2) to BM. Hyper-reflective lesions within each retinal segment were then identified and highlighted using the “draw region” tool on the en face image, and the position of the overlay was checked on corresponding B-scan images.

In a last step, all en face images were superimposed allowing the measurement of the total lesion size of the en face projection of hyper-reflectivity. Additionally, the position and size of EZ-loss was projected and outlined in the same image (see Fig. 1). In the case of multifocal lesions (EZ-breaks and hyper-reflectivity, respectively) the total lesion size was calculated by adding the en face sizes of single lesions.

Color Fundus Photography

CFP images were evaluated for the presence or absence of pigment plaques. CFP images and en face OCT images were superimposed, allowing to compare the position and extent of hyper-reflective and pigmentary changes.

Definition of Functional Impairment and Absolute Scotomas on Microperimetry

On microperimetry, functional impairment (“scotoma”) was defined as a decrease of retinal sensitivity of ≥ 2 standard deviations (SDs) from an average sensitivity in healthy observers. “Absolute scotomas” were defined as retinal locations at which the highest stimulus intensity could not be seen, resulting in sensitivity thresholds < 0 dB. The size of scotomas was calculated as number of test points within the test field, and was evaluated separately for “absolute scotomas” (number of test points < 0 dB) and “total scotomas” (number of test points with a decrease in sensitivity of ≥ 2 SDs).
FIGURE 1. En face projection of hyper-reflectivity and ellipsoid zone (EZ)-loss on optical coherence tomography (OCT). The en face projection of hyper-reflectivity is shown for different retinal layers. Red lines indicate the positions of segmentation lines for each layer on B-scan OCT. The bottom row shows an overlay of lesions from ILM to BM (white borderline) on an infrared image and the corresponding B-scan OCT. Borders of hyper-reflective lesions are indicated by yellow lines, areas showing a disruption of the EZ are marked with a blue borderline. Green and dark blue lines indicate the position of corresponding B-scans on en face images. In the en face illustration of the OS-BM layer shadowing effects from overlying hyper-reflective lesions (white arrowhead) are visible. ILM, inner limiting membrane; INL, inner nuclear layer; IPL, inner plexiform layer; ONL,– outer nuclear layer; IS, photoreceptor inner segments; OS, photoreceptor outer segments; BM, Bruch’s membrane.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using R statistical software version 4.0.2. (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). Continuous variables were described by using the mean ± SD and / or median and ranges and categorical variables were analyzed using frequency tables. For intergroup comparisons 1-way ANOVA with
FIGURE 2. Sensitivity thresholds of the central retina differ significantly between areas with hyper-reflectivity and areas with ellipsoid zone (EZ)-loss. (A) Color-coded sensitivity thresholds on microperimetry (in dB) are shown for an exemplary eye. Black test points indicate a complete loss (≤0 dB, *absolute scotoma*), and red, orange, and yellow test points a relative decrease in sensitivity. Green test points represent sensitivity thresholds within normal limits. The en face projections of hyper-reflectivity and EZ-loss are indicated with a yellow and blue borderline, respectively. The total test area is marked with a green-dotted ring. (B) Sensitivity thresholds (mean, single values, and standard deviations [SDs]) at different locations of the central retina (as shown in A, and detailed in the main text). ****P < 0.0001; ***P < 0.001. HR, area displaying hyper-reflectivity; EZ-loss, area displaying EZ-loss, but not hyper-reflectivity; No HR/EZ-loss, area showing neither hyper-reflectivity nor EZ-loss.

Bonferroni correction for multiple testing was computed, unless otherwise indicated. BCVA and reading speed were compared between eyes with and without hyper-reflective changes using the Mann-Whitney test. To test for associations between the en face projection size of hyper-reflectivity and other factors of interest, we used linear multivariate regression. Symmetry on the distribution of hyper-reflectivity projection measures and EZ loss measures was obtained by applying a square root transformation on the data. Significance of each term was assessed using the Wald test. Additionally, Spearman correlation coefficients were used to describe associations between hyper-reflectivity and other parameters of interest, unless otherwise indicated.

*A P value < 0.05 was accepted as statistically significant.

RESULTS

Fifty-two eyes from 52 patients (mean age = 59.1 years, range = 47–75; 32 women) were analyzed. Thirty-two eyes (62%) showed hyper-reflectivity with a mean lesion size of 0.11 mm² (range = 0.01–0.26). A loss of the ellipsoid zone was present in 50 (96%) eyes, with a mean size of 0.51 mm² (range = 0.02–1.34).

Hyper-reflective changes were only observed in eyes showing a break of the EZ, and hyper-reflectivity was limited to areas with EZ-loss. The en face lesion size of hyper-reflectivity and EZ-loss were strongly correlated (r = 0.79, P < 0.001). Only 4 eyes showed intraretinal hyper-reflective lesions extending between retinal layers and the RPE / BM. Pigment plaques were observed in 20 of 52 eyes (38%), and coincided in all cases with outer retinal hyper-reflective lesions on OCT. Further characteristics of patients’ eyes are detailed in the Table.

A relative reduction of sensitivity (“total scotomas”) was detected in 49 of 52 eyes, and absolute scotomas were found in 21 of 52 eyes. The mean scotoma size was 6.6 test points (range = 1–20 points) for total scotomas, and 2.3 test points (range = 1–7 points) for absolute scotomas.

Absolute scotomas were highly associated with the presence of hyper-reflectivity (in 21/32 eyes with hyper-reflectivity vs. 0/20 eyes without hyper-reflectivity), and the size of hyper-reflectivity correlated strongly with the size of both total scotomas (r = 0.94 [vs. correlation with the size of absolute scotomas: r = 0.79]). Very small hyper-reflective lesions or EZ-breaks (smaller than a Goldmann size 3 stimulus [0.025 mm]), however, did not necessarily result into a detectable loss of retinal sensitivity. Absolute scotomas were not observed in eyes with hyper-reflective lesions limited to inner retinal layers (n = 4). In

| Structural Alterations | Mean Values (±SD)* |
|------------------------|-------------------|
| Size of hyper-reflectivity, mm² | 0.11 (0.11) |
| EZ-loss area, mm² | 0.51 (0.37) |
| Size of total scotoma, tp | 7.0 (4.7) |
| Size of absolute scotoma, tp | 2.3 (1.4) |
| Functional measures |  |
| BCVA, total letter score | 77.7 (6.0) |
| Reading speed, wpm | 111.0 (50.6) |

*Mean values and standard deviations (SDs).

**E**Z, ellipsoid zone; tp, test point; **B**CVA, best-corrected visual acuity; wpm, words per minute.

Hyper-Reflectivity and Visual Function

A relative reduction of sensitivity (“total scotomas”) was detected in 49 of 52 eyes, and absolute scotomas were found in 21 of 52 eyes. The mean scotoma size was 6.6 test points (range = 1–20 points) for total scotomas, and 2.3 test points (range = 1–7 points) for absolute scotomas.

Absolute scotomas were highly associated with the presence of hyper-reflectivity (in 21/32 eyes with hyper-reflectivity vs. 0/20 eyes without hyper-reflectivity), and the size of hyper-reflectivity correlated strongly with the size of both total scotomas (r = 0.79, P < 0.001), and absolute scotomas (r = 0.86, P < 0.001). Notably, this correlation between hyper-reflectivity and absolute scotomas was independent from EZ-loss. The size of EZ-loss correlated best with the size of total scotomas (r = 0.94 [vs. correlation with the size of absolute scotomas: r = 0.79]). Very small hyper-reflective lesions or EZ-breaks (smaller than a Goldmann size 3 stimulus [0.025 mm]), however, did not necessarily result into a detectable loss of retinal sensitivity. Absolute scotomas were not observed in eyes with hyper-reflective lesions limited to inner retinal layers (n = 4). In
Figure 3. Correlation of scotomas with en face projections of hyper-reflectivity and ellipsoid zone (EZ) loss. Color fundus photography (CFP), infrared (IR) images, microperimetry, and optical coherence tomography (OCT) are shown for three exemplary eyes. Whereas the total scotoma size (summation of orange, red, and black dots on microperimetry images) correlates best to areas showing a disruption of the EZ (blue borders), absolute scotomas (indicated with black dots) seem to correlate to hyper-reflective lesions (yellow borders). Hyper-reflective lesions are predominantly observed within areas showing a disruption of the EZ. Pigment plaques as observed on CFP may be associated with hyper-reflective lesions (see cases 1 and 3). The en face projections of hyper-reflectivity and EZ-loss are indicated by a yellow and a blue border on CFP, IR, and microperimetry, and yellow and blue lines indicate the borders of hyper-reflectivity and EZ-loss on B-scan OCTs, respectively. Green-dotted lines show the position of OCT-B-scans on IR images.

these eyes, however, hyper-reflective lesions were very small (<0.01 mm).

Both hyper-reflectivity and EZ-loss were directly associated with a significant decrease in retinal sensitivity. However, sensitivity thresholds differed between lesions. Whereas areas exhibiting a loss of the EZ showed a relative reduction of sensitivity, hyper-reflectivity was associated with an almost complete loss of sensitivity (mean sensitivity thresholds of 16.3 dB vs. 0.9 dB, \( P < 0.001 \); see Figs. 2, 3). Sensitivity thresholds did not differ between hyper-reflective lesions with and without corresponding pigmentations on CFP. Figure 2 details retinal sensitivity thresholds for different morphological changes. Figure 3 shows overlays of OCT en face images and sensitivity maps, indicating a direct correlation of hyper-reflectivity and EZ-loss with functional impairment on microperimetry.

No correlations were found between the size of hyper-reflectivity and BCVA (r = 0.09) or reading speed (r = −0.17). BCVA and reading speed did not differ significantly between eyes with and eyes without hyper-reflectivity (BCVA = 79 letters [median; range = 71–92] vs. 76 letters [median, range = 60–91], \( P = 0.28 \); and reading speed: 96 words per minute [wpm; median, range = 27–186 wpm] vs. 117 wpm [median, range = 8–214 wpm], \( P = 0.18 \), and no significant differences were found between the right eyes and left eyes. Results from the multivariate regression analysis are detailed in Supplementary Table S1. Supplementary Figure S1 illustrates correlations between the size of hyper-reflectivity and different morphological and functional measures.

**Discussion**

Hyper-reflectivity refers to an abnormal, disease-associated focal increase in reflectivity signaling on OCT. Previous studies have described hyper-reflective changes and discussed their anatomic correlates in MacTel. The latter include pigmented changes, possibly deriving from migrating RPE-cells, neurodegenerative processes, cellular debris, and vascular alterations. Although a correlation of hyper-reflectivity with disease progression has been proposed, its functional relevance has yet not been evaluated. In this study, we used an approach that quantified hyper-reflective changes in MacTel. The projection of hyper-reflective lesions in an en face view of the retina on OCT allowed an exact point-wise correlation with microperimetry data, and a quantification of the en face projection size of hyper-reflective lesions. A limitation of this approach is that it does not consider the in-depth extension of hyper-reflectivity within different retinal layers. We hypothesized, however, that hyper-reflectivity might interfere with incoming light and impede vertical signal transmission within the retina. Thus, the projection of hyper-reflectivity in an en face view would also allow the visualization of functional impairment in one plane. Similar observations have been previously reported in eyes with hyper-reflective lesions associated with retinal angiomatous proliferation (RAP). In these eyes, the development of a vascular net in the inner layers of the retina has been proposed to cause early functional impairment and dense scotomas.
In this study, we found a direct correlation between hyper-reflectivity and a severe, almost complete loss of retinal sensitivity ("absolute scotomas"). Areas displaying a loss of the EZ, on the other hand, correlated with a relative reduction of retinal sensitivity. Our observations are consistent with recent findings showing that a loss of the EZ is better correlated with the total than with the absolute scotoma size alone.10

Typical symptoms that have been shown to be associated with paracentral scotomas in MacTel are reading difficulties1 and an impairment of stereoscopic function.2 Central visual acuity, however, may be relatively preserved due to the paracentral nature of structural changes.4 In line with these findings, we observed no correlation between hyper-reflective changes and BCVA. The majority of hyper-reflective lesions were limited to the temporal parafovea. We observed broad lesions, extending to the fovea and nasal parafovea only in eyes with advanced disease stages. In these cases, a drop in central visual acuity was noted. Although reading performance was overall impaired in our patients, a direct correlation between reading speed and the en face size of hyper-reflective lesions and associated absolute scotomas was not observed. Results from a previous study evaluating binocular reading and its correlation with scotoma characteristics suggested an association of absolute scotomas with a drop in reading acuity in MacTel.8 Although our current analysis did not reveal significant differences in reading performance between eyes with and without hyper-reflective lesions, a slight drop in reading speed could be observed with the occurrence of hyper-reflective changes and associated absolute scotomas. Based on our findings from this and previous studies,8 we concluded that additional parameters and scotoma characteristics that have not been considered in this analysis, might have impacted patients’ reading performance more severely. These factors may include eye laterality (right eyes versus left eyes, and projection of scotomas in reading direction) and the size and position of total scotomas in relation to the fovea.4,8

Previously, different structural changes have been observed to correlate with absolute scotomas on microperimetry in diseases affecting the central retina. These included retinal pigment epithelium atrophy, photoreceptor degeneration, chorioretinal scars, subretinal hemorrhages, and choroidal neovascularization.20 Our analysis mainly focused on inner and outer retinal hyper-reflective lesions as typical morphological alteration in MacTel. Neovascular membranes, fibrosis, and hemorrhages were excluded. An association of outer retinal hyper-reflective lesions with a degeneration of photoreceptors and/ or RPE is conceivable, given that these lesions were in all cases associated with a disruption of the EZ / photoreceptor layer, and, in some cases, with additional structural changes of the RPE (e.g. focal detachments). A loss of the EZ alone, however, was associated with less severe functional impairment, indicating an additional disruptive impact of hyper-reflectivity on retinal function. Based on recent OCT-angiography (OCT-A) studies, associations of hyper-reflective lesions with changes in blood flow have been proposed in MacTel.4,27 In this context, different vascular abnormalities have been described, including the formation of retinal-retinal and retinal-choroidal anastomoses. Although the lack of OCT-A data in this study does not allow for a direct correlation among vascular changes, hyper-reflective lesions, and retinal function, a vascular component may have contributed to the observed functional impairment. As stated above, similar findings have been described in eyes with RAP lesions, where intraretinal vascular complexes were proposed to block incoming light and interfere with intraretinal signal transmission.23

Interestingly, no differences in sensitivity thresholds were observed between hyper-reflective lesions with and without accumulations of pigment plaques on CFP, indicating that pigmentary changes did not additionally impede retinal function.

Notably, hyper-reflective lesions limited to inner retinal layers were overall rare, and, when observed, did not show functional correlates on microperimetry. Different explanations for this observation are conceivable. Either lesion sizes were too small to result in a detectable functional loss on microperimetry, or anatomic correlates associated with this type of lesion are different, and thus not necessarily associated with functional loss. Histological studies might help to identify the anatomic and pathophysiological basis for hyper-reflectivity in MacTel, and thus explain the associated functional impairment we observed in this study.

This study has several limitations, including its retrospective approach, cross-sectional character, and limited numbers. The study population was preselected according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria of the CNTF trial that only considered eyes with moderate disease stages. Thus, early and late stages were under-represented, and the observed distributions and prevalence rates of hyper-reflectivity and disease stages were not representative for the broader MacTel population.

Conclusions

We present a methodological approach that allows the quantification of the area of hyper-reflective lesions on en face OCT in MacTel. The en face projection of hyper-reflectivity enables a direct correlation with retinal function as evaluated by microperimetry. We demonstrate that hyper-reflectivity in MacTel is associated with severe functional impairment, resulting in an almost complete (para)central loss of retinal sensitivity.
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