User satisfaction in relation to Primary Health Care services in Brazil
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ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVE: To analyze user satisfaction in relation to access, infrastructure and quality of Primary Health Care (PHC) services in Brazil.

METHODS: This cross-sectional study was conducted with data from 114,615 users linked to 30,523 health teams, obtained through the database of the Programa Nacional de Melhoria do Acesso e da Qualidade da Atenção Básica (PMAQ-AB — National Program for the Improvement of Access and Quality of Primary Care). Independent variables related to access, infrastructure and quality of services in PHC were studied. The outcome, user satisfaction, was measured using the variables: “if given the option, I would change the staff or health service” and “I would recommend this health service to a friend or family member.” To assess satisfaction according to independent exposure variables, Pearson’s chi-squared test was used, considering a significance level of 5%. Descriptive analyses of the variables were performed using absolute (n) and relative (%) frequencies.

RESULTS: User satisfaction was associated with the variables of access (p < 0.001), infrastructure (p < 0.001) and quality of services (p < 0.001) in PHC. The proximity of the service, attention to spontaneous demand, listening and the respect of professionals to the singularities of the patient, as well as the problem-solving capacity of the services, without the need for referrals to others and the good infrastructure, were related to user satisfaction.

CONCLUSION: To ensure the improvement of the quality of services offered in PHC in Brazil, the aspects of user satisfaction identified in this study should be considered in the organization and management of services.

DESCRIPTORS: Primary Health Care. Patient Satisfaction. Health Evaluation. Health Services.
User satisfaction in primary health care

INTRODUCTION

User satisfaction with services should be considered in the assessment and planning of Primary Health Care (PHC) actions. Satisfaction permeates several factors, including ensuring access to services when necessary, the quality of care provided by professionals and the structural conditions of the places that provide assistance. User satisfaction is something complex and difficult to assess because it has a subjective dimension and a strong relationship with the characteristics of the work process.

PHC is an important international strategy for universal health systems. In Brazil, it was materialized by a series of individual or collective health actions of promotion, prevention, diagnosis, harm reduction and health surveillance, carried out by multidisciplinary teams working in adstrit territory.

The expansion of PHC in recent years, with population coverage of around 74% in the national territory, has contributed to the reduction in morbidity and mortality indicators, with significant contributions to reducing infant mortality and hospitalizations for causes sensitive to primary care. However, access is still very heterogeneous, sometimes being precarious, causing the dissatisfaction of users and indicating a situation that challenges professionals, managers and researchers to rethink actions for its improvement. Access is the ability of PHC to welcome users by promoting the connection with professionals and co-responsibility for the care of their health needs. Acting on the perspective of improving access means contributing to the quality of health care.

The quality of services has been the target of complaints from users, since the delay in scheduling appointments and the non-attendance to the continuous demand that arises in the services are the main factors for user dissatisfaction with PHC. Also, PHC infrastructure is another aspect that deserves attention, after all, the structure of services must consider the precepts of ambience, in order to provide a welcoming and humane attention to users, in addition to a comfortable environment for the work of health professionals. Even with financial incentive from the Ministry of Health for the requalification of health services, there is still much to advance, considering that many still present precarious situations also for professionals, with weaknesses in the physical, organizational structure and lack of materials and inputs.

In view of the above, this study aims to analyze the user satisfaction in relation to access, infrastructure and quality of services in PHC in Brazil.

METHODS

This is a cross-sectional study with secondary data obtained through the national database of the external assessment of the 2nd Cycle of the Ciclo do Programa Nacional de Melhoria do Acesso e da Qualidade da Atenção Básica (PMAQ-AB — National Program for The Improvement of Access and Quality of Primary Care), conducted in 2014. The PMAQ-AB is a performance compensation program of the Ministry of Health whose main objective is to encourage the expansion of access and improvement of the quality of primary care, with the aim of establishing a comparable quality standard nationally, regionally and locally. Through tools for assessing and making available the collected data, the PMAQ-AB enables transparency and effectiveness of government actions in this field of operation.

The Program was designed in four complementary phases: 1) program adhering; 2) implementation of reorganization devices of team and management work processes (self-assessment, continuing education, institutional support and monitoring); 3) external assessment, made by specialists and users; 4) agreement with increasing standards and quality indicators.
For external evaluation, six instruments with different objectives were applied, and in this study, variables of module 3 were used, which refers to the interview to assess user satisfaction with primary care services offered by Basic Health Units (BHU). The application of module 3 occurred through a structured interview that sought to know the sociodemographic characteristics of the participants and the satisfaction in relation to the services offered. Users were randomly selected, four by BHU assessed, with the following inclusion criteria: users who did not have an appointment with a doctor, nurse or dentist on the day of the interview; over 18 years of age; who were not conducting the first appointment; and who were not returning after absence of more than 12 months in relation to the date of the interview. When the selected user did not meet the criteria, another user was randomly selected, and no refusals were recorded.

We emphasize that the PMAQ is conducted in a partnership between the Ministry of Health and 41 teaching and research institutions, which conducted exhaustive training with the nucleus of interviewers and supervisors responsible for data collection to provide a national standardization of the data in order to improve the quality of records. The data collection instrument, because it was performed via an application installed on tablets, had a set of validation rules in its programming, which performed the internal validation of the answers while the instrument was filled. In a third stage, the data were validated by the Ministry of Health system to assess the completeness of the questionnaires and the identification data of the interviewed teams. Only after these steps were the teams certified, and the databases were made available for the public access of the researchers.

The expected population was 122,092 users, however, there were 7,477 (6.12%) losses due to the absence of users in PHC services to answer the questionnaire at the time of data collection or because they were from teams that gave up being assessed. In the end, 114,615 users of the 30,523 primary care health teams in Brazil were included, covering 5,057 (90.8%) municipalities in Brazil that were part of the 2nd cycle of the PMAQ-AB.

For this study, 7.2% of the 319 variables in module 3 were selected by the researchers, totaling 23 variables. Of these, 17 are independent variables related to access, infrastructure and quality of services in PHC (described in Tables 2, 3 and 4). For analysis purposes, these variables were dichotomized when they presented more than two response categories. The outcome, user satisfaction, was measured using two variables: "if given the option, I would change the team or health service" (yes/no) and "I would recommend this health service to a friend or family member" (yes/no). Sociodemographic variables were also included: gender (male, female), age group (≤ 29, 30–54, 55–64, ≥ 65), skin color (white, black, brown/mixed race, yellow, indigenous), schooling (not literate [cannot read and write], is literate [knows how to read and write], some elementary school, elementary school, some high school, high school, some higher education, higher education), in order to characterize the population.

Data analysis was performed using the statistical software IBM SPSS version 20.0. Pearson’s Chi-square test was used to assess user satisfaction according to access, infrastructure and quality of services in PHC, Pearson’s Chi-square test was used, considering a significance level of 5%. Descriptive analyses of the variables were performed using absolute (n) and relative (%) frequencies.

**RESULTS**

Most respondents were women (79.6%), predominantly the age group between 30 and 54 years (44.4%), race/skin color self-declared brown/mixed race (46.2%) and with some elementary school (36.0%) (Table 1). Table 2 shows the relationship between access and user satisfaction. We observed that individuals who would recommend the health service to a friend or family member and who would not change team or health service are those who answered that the time of
travel from their residence to the health service was less than 30 minutes \((p < 0.001)\), who considered access to the health service very easy or easy \((p < 0.001)\), who reported that the opening hours of the health service meet their needs \((p < 0.001)\) and they considered the quality of care to be very good or good when there is no scheduled time \((p < 0.001)\).

Regarding the quality of care and the satisfaction of the users studied (Table 3), the results indicate that individuals who would recommend the health service to a friend or family member and who would not change teams or health services were those who considered that always – or most of the time – the team sought to solve their needs/problems in the health service itself \((p < 0.001)\). In addition, they also considered that the medical office is a reserved place, which provides privacy during the appointment \((p < 0.001)\), that always – or most of the time – professionals provide guidance on the need for rest, adequate nutrition and how to take medicines \((p < 0.001)\), as well as feel respected by professionals in relation to cultural habits, customs and religion \((p < 0.001)\). They also considered that the time of appointment with the doctor and nurse is sufficient \((p < 0.001)\), who always – or most of the time – have the facility to talk to the professionals who treat them to ask questions after appointments \((p < 0.001)\), in the same way they find it easy to talk to professionals about the results of the exams \((p < 0.001)\) and referred to the quality of care received by the health team as very good and good \((p < 0.001)\).

As to the association between infrastructure and user satisfaction (Table 4), individuals who would recommend the health service to a friend or family member and who would not change staff or service were those who reported: good conditions of use and cleaning of health service facilities \((p < 0.001)\); sufficient number of chairs for people to sit in the waiting place \((p < 0.001)\); very good or good perception about health service facilities \((p < 0.001)\).

### Table 1. Sociodemographic characteristics of the users studied. Brazil, 2014 \((n = 114,615)\).

| Characteristics            | n  | %   |
|----------------------------|----|-----|
| **Gender**                 |    |     |
| Male                       | 23,412 | 20.4 |
| Female                     | 91,203 | 79.6 |
| **Age group (years)**      |    |     |
| ≤ 29                       | 27,479 | 24.0 |
| 30–54                      | 50,899 | 44.4 |
| 55–64                      | 18,771 | 16.4 |
| ≥ 65                       | 17,466 | 15.2 |
| **Race/skin color**        |    |     |
| White                      | 41,145 | 36.6 |
| Black                      | 15,010 | 13.3 |
| Brown/mixed                | 51,909 | 46.2 |
| Yellow                     | 3,528  | 3.1  |
| Indigenous                 | 880   | 0.8  |
| **Schooling**              |    |     |
| Not literate (cannot read and write) | 8,669 | 7.6 |
| Literate (can read and write) | 8,491 | 7.4 |
| Some elementary school     | 41,213 | 36.0 |
| Elementary school          | 12,169 | 10.6 |
| Some high school           | 11,966 | 10.5 |
| High school                | 24,840 | 21.7 |
| Some higher education      | 3,078  | 2.7  |
| Higher education           | 4,045  | 3.5  |

*a Maximum percentage of unknown observations for the variable race/skin color: 2,143 (1.9%).*
Table 2. User satisfaction in relation to access to primary health care services in Brazil, Brazil, 2014 (n = 114,615).

|                                | total n | If I had the option, I would change staff or health service | I would recommend the health service to a friend or family member |
|--------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                |         | Yes  | %     | No   | %     | p-valor | Yes  | %     | No   | %     | p     |
| Time of commuting from home to the Health Service | 114,195 |      |       |      |       |         |      |       |      |       |       |
| < 30 minutes                    |         | 15,072 | 16.0  | 79,398 | 84.0  | <0.001  | 81,973 | 86.8  | 12,497 | 13.2  | <0.001 |
| ≥ 30 minutes                    |         | 5,093  | 25.8  | 14,632 | 74.2  |          | 16,297 | 82.6  | 3,428  | 17.4  |       |
| Easy access to the Health Service | 114,615 |      |       |      |       |         |      |       |      |       |       |
| Very easy/easy                  |         | 13,568 | 14.7  | 78,523 | 85.3  | <0.001  | 80,541 | 87.5  | 11,550 | 12.5  | <0.001 |
| Reasonable/difficult and very difficult | 6,668  | 29.6  | 15,856 | 70.4  |          | 18,076 | 80.3  | 4,448  | 19.7  |       |
| Opening hours of the health service meet the needs | 113,076 |      |       |      |       |         |      |       |      |       |       |
| Yes                            |         | 13,374 | 13.6  | 84,824 | 86.4  | <0.001  | 87,976 | 89.6  | 10,222 | 10.4  | <0.001 |
| No                             |         | 6,450  | 43.4  | 8,428  | 56.6  |          | 9,509  | 63.9  | 5,369  | 36.1  |       |
| Quality of service when there is no scheduled time | 67,277  |      |       |      |       |         |      |       |      |       |       |
| Very good/good                 |         | 6,717  | 12.1  | 48,983 | 87.9  | <0.001  | 50,810 | 91.2  | 4,890  | 8.8   | <0.001 |
| Regular/bad/very bad           |         | 5,723  | 49.4  | 5,854  | 50.6  |          | 7,225  | 62.4  | 4,352  | 37.6  |       |

Table 3. User satisfaction in relation to the quality of primary health care services. Brazil, 2014 (n = 114,615).

|                                | total n | If I had the option, I would change staff or health service | I would recommend the health service to a friend or family member |
|--------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                |         | Yes  | %     | No   | %     | p     | Yes  | %     | No   | %     | p     |
| The team seeks to solve the needs/problems in the Health Service itself | 113,185 |      |       |      |       |       |      |       |      |       |       |
| Always/most of the time        |         | 13,887 | 13.7  | 87,799 | 86.3  | <0.001  | 91,122 | 89.6  | 10,564 | 10.4  | <0.001 |
| Hardly ever/never              |         | 6,037  | 52.5  | 5,462  | 47.5  |          | 6,362  | 55.3  | 5,137  | 44.7  |       |
| Medical office is a reserved and private place | 113,859 |      |       |      |       |         |      |       |      |       |       |
| Yes                            |         | 17,338 | 16.1  | 90,375 | 83.9  | <0.001  | 94,181 | 87.4  | 13,532 | 12.6  | <0.001 |
| No                             |         | 2,713  | 44.1  | 3,433  | 55.9  |          | 3,868  | 62.9  | 2,278  | 37.1  |       |
| Professionals provide guidance on the need for rest, adequate nutrition and how to take medicines | 113,409 |      |       |      |       |         |      |       |      |       |       |
| Always/most of the time        |         | 14,864 | 14.7  | 86,072 | 85.3  | <0.001  | 89,614 | 88.8  | 11,322 | 11.2  | <0.001 |
| Hardly ever/never              |         | 5,114  | 41.0  | 7,359  | 59.0  |          | 8,046  | 64.5  | 4,427  | 35.5  |       |
| Feels respected by professionals in relation to cultural habits, customs, religion | 113,777 |      |       |      |       |         |      |       |      |       |       |
| Always/most of the time        |         | 17,905 | 16.3  | 92,057 | 83.7  | <0.001  | 96,133 | 87.4  | 13,829 | 12.6  | <0.001 |
| Hardly ever/never              |         | 2079   | 54.5  | 1,736  | 45.5  |          | 1,896  | 49.7  | 1,919  | 50.3  |       |
| Appointment with the doctor is sufficient | 112,372 |      |       |      |       |         |      |       |      |       |       |
| Yes                            |         | 11,864 | 12.7  | 81,275 | 87.3  | <0.001  | 83,616 | 89.8  | 9,523  | 10.2  | <0.001 |
| No                             |         | 7,854  | 40.8  | 11,379 | 59.2  |          | 13,230 | 68.8  | 6,003  | 31.2  |       |
| Appointment with the nurse is sufficient | 103,789 |      |       |      |       |         |      |       |      |       |       |
| Yes                            |         | 13,525 | 14.3  | 81,281 | 85.7  | <0.001  | 84,159 | 88.8  | 10,647 | 11.2  | <0.001 |
| No                             |         | 4,082  | 45.4  | 4,901  | 54.6  |          | 5,689  | 63.3  | 3,294  | 36.7  |       |

Continue
DISCUSSION

An important finding of this study is that most users interviewed reported satisfaction regarding access, infrastructure and quality of services in PHC in Brazil.

The distance between the health service and the household played a significant role in satisfaction, corroborating the results of the evaluation of the 1st Cycle of the PMAQ-AB\textsuperscript{16}. The satisfaction may be related to the possibility of not using means of transportation to arrive at the service and with the short time required to carry out the route\textsuperscript{17}. Analysis of data from the 2012 PMAQ-AB in Pernambuco showed that most users (73.2\%) considered that health services were close to their homes, something already expected, considering that health services with less complexity tend to be closer to the population\textsuperscript{18}. However, the simple proximity, however important an aspect to influence user satisfaction, does not guarantee the real use.
As to user satisfaction regarding working hours, several studies carried out within the scope of PHC, corroborating this study, found positive results\textsuperscript{17–19}. The operation of the PHC service in at least five days a week, with a workload of at least eight hours, with availability of medications and test results, as well as appointments with assured specialists, in addition to a greater number of health units in the municipality, were identified as factors that make the service more accessible and, thus, satisfactory for users\textsuperscript{17–19}.

However, the results of the research on the 1st cycle, conducted between 2012 and 2013, showed that the functioning of PHC, only during business hours, does not meet the needs of the population interviewed in the five major Brazilian regions\textsuperscript{16}. The closure of PHC services for a certain period for the meetings of the teams, recommended as essential by the national guidelines for work in PHC, contributes to the user dissatisfaction regarding the hours of operation of the services\textsuperscript{17}.

Another important item of user satisfaction in relation to access is the fulfillment of spontaneous demand. We observed that, between cycles 1 and 2 of the PMAQ-AB, the reservations of vacancies for same-day care went from 64.9\% to 88.1\%, signaling improvement in this indicator\textsuperscript{20}. Of the users seeking the PHC services for some immediate call, most report having obtained the appointment\textsuperscript{19}.

The results found regarding the ease of access to services by most users interviewed coincide with that found in the assessment of the 1st cycle of the PMAQ-AB, in which 83\% of PHC teams were classified as accessible to users\textsuperscript{19}. Technical-care, economic, political and symbolic conditions are constituents of the concept of health services accessibility\textsuperscript{7}, however, these conditions can be affected by issues such as location, population and socioeconomic characteristics, which can influence access to PHC services\textsuperscript{21}. Some attributes of PHC showed improvement between PMAQ cycles 1 and 2, such as integrality and first contact\textsuperscript{20}. These attributes may have influenced the level of user satisfaction with the service and contributed to reduce inequalities in the access and use of health services in Brazil\textsuperscript{22}.

As to the high percentage of users who considered that health professionals and services are accessible and resolutive to their needs when seeking PHC services, this positive outcome may have been produced by the fragility in the selection process of the interviewees, since it only considered the opinion of the group that obtained access to care, which is one of the limiting factors of this study.

The weaknesses in the PHC care coordination network influence the percentage of problem-solving capacity of users’ health problems\textsuperscript{23}. If the correct understanding of the user’s need can be performed and coordinated by primary care, the gateway to the Unified Health System (SUS), the percentage of satisfaction regarding the service can be increased, which leads them to indicate PHC services to their family members and acquaintances\textsuperscript{24}. This may happen because the user does not have to go a long way in the specialized network or high complexity.

Regarding the existence of an office for patient privacy, the results found confirm studies that identified that user satisfaction decreases by 41\% when the office is not in a reserved area\textsuperscript{25}, either for changing clothes or performing exams\textsuperscript{26}. The lack of privacy has an ethical dimension, affects human dignity, hinders listening, the development of bonding and trust in the relationship between user and professional. These aspects are related to the time the medical professional or nurse spends with the patient, which is also significantly associated with user satisfaction\textsuperscript{27,28}.

As for cultural habits, customs and religion, individuals who feel respected by professionals have a strong influence on the positive assessment of health services\textsuperscript{1,25}, as evidenced by this study and Protasio et al.\textsuperscript{25} This aspect of satisfaction may be associated with the production of modes of care, which when guided by the “valorization of knowledge, experience and autonomy of users, by the understanding of the other as being similar, tend to contribute to more creative actions that qualify care in PHC”\textsuperscript{29}.
In relation to the infrastructure of PHC services, we found a predominance of positive assessment of the facilities by users. Political, organizational and structural conditions that ensure the execution of essential functions of health units is a condition for greater user satisfaction and a challenge to build a strong and comprehensive PHC.

The study showed that user satisfaction is associated with elementary aspects for the functioning of PHC services, which depend on the commitment of managers to the spaces of care, which must have good physical facilities, healthy hygiene and cleaning conditions, furniture, materials and enough supplies for the exercise of professional practices.

The reduction in user satisfaction to the dimensions of access, quality of care and infrastructure of services, as well as the difficulty in conceptualizing the term quality in the assessment of a service, are some of the limitations to this study. However, the variables selected to assess satisfaction allowed us to outline an overview of how users perceive PHC services. The use of secondary data, in general, could constitute a limitation to the study; however, the secondary data used in this study are from a public database from a national research that followed the criteria of standardization of collection in order to provide quality of records.

The elements of user satisfaction regarding access, quality and infrastructure of health services confirm the importance of ensuring, increasingly, that people are able not only to access health services, but also be treated in places with adequate physical structures and with professionals able to deal with differences and singularities.

Users recommend and would not change team or health service when there is a short time of commuting to the service, easy access, good working hours, quality of care to spontaneous demand, resolution of problems in the service itself, existence of health guidelines, respect for diversities, sufficient time for the appointment, ease to solve various doubts about exams, quality of care received, and good infrastructure.

Given this perspective, to ensure the improvement of the quality of services offered in PHC in Brazil, the aspects of user satisfaction identified in this study should be considered in the organization and management of services.
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