Pembrolizumab plus chemotherapy in Japanese patients with persistent, recurrent or metastatic cervical cancer: Results from KEYNOTE-826
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Abstract
Pembrolizumab plus chemotherapy with or without bevacizumab demonstrated prolonged progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) versus chemotherapy in patients with persistent, recurrent, or metastatic cervical cancer in the phase 3, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled KEYNOTE-826 study. We report outcomes in patients enrolled in Japan. Patients received pembrolizumab 200 mg or placebo Q3W for up to 35 cycles plus chemotherapy (paclitaxel 175 mg/m² + cisplatin 50 mg/m² or carboplatin AUC 5) with or without bevacizumab 15 mg/kg. Dual primary endpoints were PFS per RECIST v1.1 by investigator assessment and OS in the global population; these were evaluated in patients with tumors with PD-L1 combined...
positive score (CPS) ≥1, all-comers, and PD-L1 CPS ≥10. Fifty-seven patients from Japan were randomized (pembrolizumab plus chemotherapy, n = 35; placebo plus chemotherapy, n = 22). Pembrolizumab plus chemotherapy improved PFS versus placebo plus chemotherapy in patients with PD-L1 CPS ≥1 (n = 51; hazard ratio [HR; 95% CI], 0.36 [0.16–0.77]), all-comers (n = 57; 0.45 [0.22–0.90]), and patients with PD-L1 CPS ≥10 (n = 25; 0.36 [0.12–1.07]). HRs (95% CI) for OS were 0.38 (0.14–1.01), 0.41 (0.17–1.00), and 0.37 (0.10–1.30), respectively. Incidence of grade 3–5 AEs was 94% in the pembrolizumab group and 100% in the placebo group. Consistent with findings in the global KEYNOTE-826 study, pembrolizumab plus chemotherapy with or without bevacizumab may prolong survival versus placebo plus chemotherapy with or without bevacizumab and had a manageable safety profile in Japanese patients with persistent, recurrent, or metastatic cervical cancer.
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1 | Introduction

The incidence of cervical cancer in Japan is high, with approximately 12,785 new cases diagnosed and 4213 deaths in 2020. The relatively higher prevalence of cervical cancer in Japan compared with many developed countries may be attributable in part to low rates of cervical cancer screening and suspension of proactive recommendations for the human papilloma virus (HPV) vaccine. Treatment options for patients with cervical cancer include surgery, radiation, and chemotherapy depending on disease stage. Pembrolizumab is a monoclonal antibody that binds to the programmed death 1 (PD-1) receptor, blocking its interaction with programmed death ligand 2 (PD-L2) and thereby inhibiting tumor cells from evading immune surveillance. Pembrolizumab monotherapy has demonstrated activity in patients with PD-L1–positive cervical cancer. However, contraindications to bevacizumab are common among patients with metastatic cancer, and all patients ultimately experience disease progression, underscoring an unmet need for treatment options for persistent, recurrent, or metastatic cervical cancer.

Pembrolizumab is a monoclonal antibody that binds to the programmed death 1 (PD-1) receptor, blocking its interaction with programmed death ligand 1 (PD-L1) and programmed death ligand 2 (PD-L2) and thereby inhibiting tumor cells from evading immune surveillance. Pembrolizumab monotherapy has demonstrated activity in patients with PD-L1–positive cervical cancer. The activity of pembrolizumab monotherapy in patients with previously treated advanced cervical cancer was evaluated in the phase 1b KEYNOTE-028 study, which enrolled patients with PD-L1–positive disease, and the phase 2 KEYNOTE-158 study, which enrolled patients irrespective of tumor PD-L1 expression. The objective response rate (ORR) was 17% in the KEYNOTE-028 study and 12% in the KEYNOTE-158 study, with all responses occurring in patients with PD-L1–positive disease. Albeit, all of the patients in KEYNOTE-028 and a majority (84%) of the patients with cervical cancer enrolled in the KEYNOTE-158 study had PD-L1–positive disease. In both studies, pembrolizumab monotherapy had a manageable safety profile.
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2 | Materials and Methods

2.1 | Patients

Eligibility criteria for enrollment in the KEYNOTE-826 study (and the study protocol) have been previously published. Briefly, eligible patients were ≥18 years of age and had persistent, recurrent, or...
metastatic adenocarcinoma, adenosquamous carcinoma, or squamous cell carcinoma of the cervix that had not been treated with systemic chemotherapy and was not amenable to curative treatment. Prior radiotherapy, including chemoradiotherapy, was permitted if completed at least 2 weeks before randomization and treatment-related toxicity had resolved. Patients had an Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status of 0 or 1, measurable disease per RECIST version 1.1 as assessed by the investigator, and provided an adequate archival or newly obtained (preferred) tumor tissue sample for evaluation of tumor PD-L1 expression status.

2.2 | Trial design and regimens

KEYNOTE-826 is a global, phase 3, randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled study (ClinicalTrials.gov, NCT03635567). Patients were randomly assigned (1:1) to receive pembrolizumab 200 mg or placebo once every 3 weeks (Q3W) for up to 35 cycles. All patients received chemotherapy (paclitaxel 175 mg/m² plus cisplatin 50 mg/m² or carboplatin AUC 5) Q3W for six cycles; however, patients with ongoing clinical benefit who were tolerating combination chemotherapy could continue chemotherapy beyond six cycles with sponsor consultation. Patients could receive bevacizumab 15 mg/kg Q3W according to local practice at the investigator's discretion. All study medication was administered intravenously.

Treatment was continued until the maximum number of cycles for each component, radiographic progression, unacceptable toxicity, use of prohibited therapy (e.g., new antineoplastic therapy or nonpalliative radiotherapy), a decision by the investigator to discontinue the regimen, or withdrawal of consent by the patient. Randomization was stratified by metastasis at initial diagnosis (yes vs. no), investigator decision to use bevacizumab (yes vs. no), and tumor PD-L1 status (CPS <1 vs. CPS 1 to <10 vs. CPS ≥10).

2.3 | Assessments

Tumor imaging was conducted at baseline, every 9 weeks through week 54, and every 12 weeks thereafter. Adverse events (AEs) were monitored from the time of randomization through 30 days after the discontinuation of study treatment (90 days for serious AEs). AEs were graded by the investigator according to the National Cancer Institute Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events version 4.0. Tumor PD-L1 expression was assessed using PD-L1 IHC 22C3 pharmDx (Agilent Technologies, Carpinteria, CA, USA) at a central laboratory and evaluated according to CPS, defined as the number of PD-L1-staining cells (tumor cells, lymphocytes, and macrophages) divided by the total number of viable tumor cells, multiplied by 100.18

2.4 | Endpoints

The dual primary endpoints of the study were PFS (time from randomization to first documented disease progression or death due to any cause, whichever occurred first) per RECIST version 1.1 by investigator assessment and OS (time from randomization to death due to any cause). Secondary endpoints included ORR (proportion of patients who had a best overall response of either confirmed complete response or partial response per RECIST version 1.1 by investigator), duration of response (DOR; for patients with a complete or partial response, time from first documented complete or partial response until first documented disease progression per RECIST version 1.1 by investigator or death due to any cause), PFS per RECIST version 1.1 by blinded independent central review (BICR), and the 12-month PFS rate (the proportion of patients that are PFS event-free at 12 months per RECIST version 1.1 as assessed by investigator).

2.5 | Statistical analysis

For the analysis of patients enrolled in Japan, efficacy was assessed in patients with PD-L1 CPS ≥1 tumors, all-comers (i.e., the intent-to-treat population), and patients with PD-L1 CPS ≥10 tumors. Safety was assessed in the as-treated population (i.e., all randomly assigned patients who received at least one dose of pembrolizumab or placebo). This study was not designed to test hypotheses in the Japan subgroup and analyses in the Japan population were not alpha-controlled. PFS per RECIST version 1.1 by investigator, OS, and DOR were estimated using the Kaplan–Meier method. For median OS and PFS, 95% CIs are provided. The magnitude of the difference between the pembrolizumab group and placebo group was estimated using an unstratified Cox proportional hazards model with Efron's method of tie handling. The between-group difference in ORR and corresponding 95% CI were estimated using the unstratified Miettinen and Nurminen method.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Patients and treatment

The global study was carried out across 151 study sites in 19 countries, including 13 sites in Japan. Between January 8, 2019, and December 23, 2019, 57 patients from Japan were randomized to treatment (pembrolizumab group, n = 35; placebo group, n = 22). As of the data cutoff date of May 3, 2021, 18 patients in the pembrolizumab group discontinued all therapy (due to progressive disease [n = 13], AEs [n = 3], and complete response [n = 2]); at the data cutoff date, 17 patients continued to receive at least one study treatment (Figure 1). In the placebo group, 18 patients discontinued therapy (due to progressive disease and clinical progression [n = 17] and AEs [n = 1]); four patients continued to receive at least one study treatment.

The median time from randomization to data cutoff in all-comers in the Japan subset was 23.2 months (range, 16.4–27.8 months). Baseline characteristics were generally well balanced between the pembrolizumab and placebo groups (Table 1). Most patients had
PD-L1–positive disease: 30 patients (86%) in the pembrolizumab group and 21 (95%) in the placebo group had PD-L1 CPS ≥1. The majority of patients had squamous cell carcinoma (pembrolizumab group, \( n = 27 \) [77%]; placebo group, \( n = 16 \) [73%]). A summary of study drug exposure is outlined in Table S1. Bevacizumab was administered to 22 patients (63%) in the pembrolizumab group and 17 patients (77%) in the placebo group during the study.

### 3.2 | Efficacy

Hazard ratios for PFS per RECIST version 1.1 by investigator favored the pembrolizumab group versus the placebo group for patients in the PD-L1 CPS ≥1 population, all-comers, and patients in the PD-L1 CPS ≥10 population. Among patients in the PD-L1 CPS ≥1 population, median PFS was not reached (NR; 95% CI, 12.2 months–NR) in the pembrolizumab group versus 11.9 months (95% CI, 6.4–14.5 months) in the placebo group (HR, 0.36; 95% CI, 0.16–0.77). Among all-comers, median PFS was NR (95% CI, 10.2 months–NR) in the pembrolizumab group versus 11.5 months (95% CI, 8.1–13.6 months) in the placebo group (HR, 0.45; 95% CI, 0.22–0.90). In the PD-L1 CPS ≥10 population, median PFS was NR (95% CI, 6.3 months–NR) in the pembrolizumab group versus 12.3 months (95% CI, 4.0–14.5 months) in the placebo group (HR, 0.36; 95% CI, 0.12–1.07; Figure 2). HRs for PFS were similar when assessed by BICR: 0.52 (0.23–1.17) in the PD-L1 CPS ≥1 population, 0.62 (0.29–1.30) among all-comers, and 0.41 (0.13–1.26) in the PD-L1 CPS ≥10 population.

Similar to PFS per RECIST version 1.1 by investigator, HRs for OS favored the pembrolizumab group versus the placebo group across all three study populations. As of data cutoff, 9 of 35 patients (26%) in the pembrolizumab group and 11 of 22 patients (50%) in the placebo group had died (all-comers population). Among patients in the PD-L1 CPS ≥1 population, HRs (95% CI) for OS were 0.38 (0.14–1.01), 0.41 (0.17–1.00), and 0.37 (0.10–1.30), respectively. For the pembrolizumab group versus the placebo group, 24-month OS estimates were 75% versus 56% for patients in the PD-L1 CPS ≥1 population, 72% versus 51% for all-comers, and 72% versus 50% for patients in the PD-L1 CPS ≥10 population (Figure 3).

The confirmed ORR was higher and DOR was longer in the pembrolizumab group compared with the placebo group across the three study populations (Table 2). Among patients in the PD-L1 CPS ≥1 population, the ORR for patients in the pembrolizumab group was 80% (95% CI, 61%–92%) versus 71% (95% CI, 48%–89%) in the placebo group. Median DOR was NR (range, 4.0+ to 24.1+ months) in the pembrolizumab group and 10.5 months (range, 4.2 to 21.7+ months) in the placebo group. Among all-comers, ORR was 77% (95% CI, 60%–90%) in the pembrolizumab group versus 68% (95% CI, 45%–86%) in the placebo group; median DOR was NR (range, 4.0+ to 24.1+ months) in the pembrolizumab group and 10.5 months (range, 4.2 to 21.7+ months) in the placebo group. Among the PD-L1 CPS ≥10 population, ORR was 87% (95% CI, 60%–98%) in the pembrolizumab group versus 60% (95% CI, 26%–88%) in the placebo group; median DOR was NR (range, 4.0+ to 24.1+ months) and 9.4 months (range, 8.2 to 18.7+ months), respectively.

### 3.3 | Safety

The median duration of treatment was 15.6 months (range, 0.0–26.0 months) in the pembrolizumab group and 12.5 months (0.0–24.1 months) in the placebo group. All patients in both the pembrolizumab group and the placebo group experienced at least one AE (Table 3). Grade 3–5 AEs occurred in 33 patients (94%) in the pembrolizumab group and 22 patients (100%) in the placebo group. There were no grade 5 AEs in either treatment group. The most frequently occurring AEs included alopecia (pembrolizumab group, 89% vs. placebo group, 77%), decreased neutrophil count (71% vs. 73%), peripheral sensory neuropathy (57% vs. 77%), and anemia (63% vs. 55%). The most frequently occurring grade 3–5 AEs were decreased neutrophil count (pembrolizumab, 60% vs. placebo, 59%), anemia (40% vs. 27%), and decreased white blood cell count (34% vs. 32%). Serious AEs occurred in 15 patients (43%) in the pembrolizumab group and 10 patients (46%) in the placebo group. Toxicity led to discontinuation for 11 patients (31%) in the pembrolizumab group and five patients (23%) in the placebo group.

Immune-mediated AEs and infusion reactions occurred in 43% of patients in the pembrolizumab group and 23% of patients in the placebo group. Grade 3 immune-mediated AEs and infusion reactions...
TABLE 1  Demographics and baseline disease characteristics (Japan intent-to-treat population)\protect*\textsuperscript{a}

| Characteristic                              | Pembrolizumab + Chemo | Placebo + Chemo  |
|---------------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| Age                                         |                        |                  |
| Median (range), years                       | 54 (26–82)             | 50 (33–78)       |
| ≥65 years                                   | 9 (26)                 | 5 (23)           |
| ECOG performance status                     |                        |                  |
| 0                                           | 29 (83)                | 16 (73)          |
| 1                                           | 6 (17)                 | 6 (27)           |
| Disease stage at initial diagnosis\textsuperscript{b} |                        |                  |
| I                                           | 8 (23)                 | 4 (18)           |
| II                                          | 13 (37)                | 1 (5)            |
| IIA                                         | 1 (3)                  | 2 (9)            |
| IIIB                                        | 2 (6)                  | 1 (5)            |
| IVB                                         | 11 (31)                | 14 (46)          |
| Disease status at trial entry               |                        |                  |
| Metastatic\textsuperscript{c}               | 4 (11)                 | 10 (46)          |
| Persistent or recurrent with distant metastases | 24 (69)               | 9 (41)           |
| Persistent or recurrent without distant metastases | 7 (20)                | 3 (14)           |
| Histologic type                             |                        |                  |
| Adenocarcinoma                              | 6 (17)                 | 5 (23)           |
| Adenosquamous carcinoma                     | 2 (6)                  | 1 (5)            |
| Squamous cell carcinoma                     | 27 (77)                | 16 (73)          |
| PD-L1 combined positive score               |                        |                  |
| <1                                          | 5 (14)                 | 1 (5)            |
| 1 to <10                                    | 15 (43)                | 11 (50)          |
| ≥10                                         | 15 (43)                | 10 (46)          |
| Previous therapy                            |                        |                  |
| Chemoradiotherapy and surgery               | 6 (17)                 | 1 (5)            |
| Radiotherapy and surgery                    | 2 (6)                  | 1 (5)            |
| Chemoradiotherapy only                      | 17 (49)                | 9 (41)           |
| Radiotherapy only                           | 2 (6)                  | 1 (5)            |
| Surgery only                                | 4 (11)                 | 0                |
| None                                        | 4 (11)                 | 10 (45)          |
| Bevacizumab use during the trial            |                        |                  |
| Yes                                         | 22 (63)                | 17 (77)          |
| No                                          | 13 (37)                | 5 (23)           |

Abbreviations: ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; PD-L1, programmed death ligand 1.

\textsuperscript{a} Data are presented as n (%) unless specified otherwise.

\textsuperscript{b} Stage at initial diagnosis determined using FIGO 2009/NCCN 2017 criteria.

\textsuperscript{c} Metastatic includes patients with paraaortic lymph node involvement.

In this subset analysis of the phase 3 KEYNOTE-826 study evaluating outcomes among patients enrolled in Japan, pembrolizumab plus chemotherapy with or without bevacizumab was associated with prolonged PFS per RECIST version 1.1 by investigator and OS compared with placebo plus chemotherapy with or without bevacizumab as first-line therapy for persistent, recurrent, or metastatic cervical cancer. PFS outcomes determined by the investigator were consistent with those determined by BICR. These favorable efficacy outcomes for the pembrolizumab group versus the placebo group are consistent with those from the KEYNOTE-826 global study showing that the addition of pembrolizumab to chemotherapy with or without bevacizumab significantly improved both PFS and OS (the primary study endpoints), independent of PD-L1 CPS status. Based on results from the global study, pembrolizumab plus chemotherapy with or without bevacizumab was recently approved by the US Food and Drug Administration for the treatment of patients with persistent, recurrent, or metastatic cervical cancer whose tumors express PD-L1 (CPS ≥1). The magnitude of the treatment effect favoring the pembrolizumab group over the placebo group was more pronounced for the Japan subset than for the global study population. This apparent greater magnitude of benefit among Japanese patients was observed across all three study populations (i.e., patients with PD-L1 CPS ≥1 disease, all-comers, and patients with PD-L1 CPS ≥10 disease); albeit, the 95% CIs for PFS and OS were wider in the Japan subset compared with the global study because of a smaller number of patients. These data provide support for improved survival with the addition of pembrolizumab to chemotherapy with or without bevacizumab in Japanese patients. Furthermore, the finding from the current analysis suggesting a trend for treatment benefit irrespective of tumor PD-L1 expression is consistent with the global study, However, it is important to note that there were very few patients with PD-L1-negative tumors in the Japan subset (five in the pembrolizumab group and one in the placebo group) and that all the HRs for PFS and OS were similar in each population, with wide 95% CIs, precluding a definitive conclusion being drawn from these data.

Data from the Japan subset showed an ORR and DOR treatment effect that favored the pembrolizumab group over the placebo group across all three populations. This is consistent with the global study, which demonstrated a higher ORR and longer DOR in the pembrolizumab group compared with placebo in patients with PD-L1 CPS ≥1 (ORR, 68.1% vs. 50.2%; DOR, 18.0 vs. 10.4 months), all-comers...
FIGURE 2  Progression-free survival (PFS) per RECIST version 1.1 by investigator in (A) patients with programmed death ligand 1 (PD-L1) combined positive score (CPS) ≥1 tumors, (B) all-comer patients, and (C) patients with PD-L1 CPS ≥10 tumors. HR, hazard ratio; NR, not reached.
FIGURE 3  Overall survival (OS) in (A) patients with programmed death ligand 1 (PD-L1) combined positive score (CPS) ≥1 tumors, (B) all-comer patients, and (C) patients with PD-L1 CPS ≥10 tumors. HR, hazard ratio; NR, not reached; PFS, progression-free survival.
As in the global population, the safety profile of pembrolizumab plus chemotherapy with or without bevacizumab was as anticipated given the previously reported toxicity with the individual treatment components, and no new safety signals were identified. As anticipated (given the mechanism of action of pembrolizumab), the incidence of immune-mediated AEs was higher in the pembrolizumab group than in the placebo group. The overall safety profile of this treatment regimen among Japanese patients was consistent with that in the KEYNOTE-826 global study. Other studies have reported results of outcomes with paclitaxel and carboplatin with or without bevacizumab in Japanese patients with metastatic or recurrent cervical cancer. In the phase 3 JCOG0505 study of paclitaxel plus carboplatin versus paclitaxel plus cisplatin in 253 patients in Japan with metastatic or recurrent cervical cancer, median OS was 18.3 versus 17.5 months and median PFS was 6.9 versus 6.2 months, respectively. Similar findings were also observed in the subsequent JCOG1311 study, that evaluated conventional paclitaxel and carboplatin with or without bevacizumab versus dose-dense paclitaxel and carboplatin with or without bevacizumab in 122 patients in Japan with stage IVB, recurrent, or persistent cervical carcinoma (median OS, 19.6 vs. 16.4 months; median PFS, 7.7 vs. 7.2 months). The median OS and PFS reported in the aforementioned studies are shorter than those observed in the placebo group in the current study, probably due to the limited number of patients in this group.

Limitations of this subset analysis include the small size of the Japan population, consisting of 57 patients in total. The small size of the patient population limits our ability to draw definitive conclusions from this subset analysis and to perform subset analyses. It is important to note that all analyses are descriptive; no alpha was assigned. In addition, there was an imbalance in the number of patients between the pembrolizumab and placebo groups (n = 35 vs. 22) in the Japan subset.

In conclusion, pembrolizumab plus chemotherapy with or without bevacizumab may prolong PFS and OS with manageable toxicity.
in Japanese patients with persistent, recurrent, or metastatic cervical cancer, consistent with the findings in the global KEYNOTE-826 study.
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| Adverse event                  | Pembrolizumab + Chemo N = 35 | Placebo + Chemo N = 22 |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|
| Any grade                      | 35 (100)                       | 22 (100)               |
| Grade 3–5<sup>b</sup>          | 33 (94)                         | 22 (100)               |
| Serious                        | 15 (43)                         | 10 (46)                |
| Led to discontinuation         | 11 (31)                         | 5 (23)                 |

| AEs occurring in ≥25% of patients | Any grade | Grade 3–5 | Any grade | Grade 3–5 |
|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Any grade                        | 19 (54)   | 12 (34)   | 10 (46)   | 7 (32)    |
| Alopecia                         | 31 (89)   | 0         | 17 (77)   | 0         |
| Neutrophil count decreased       | 25 (71)   | 21 (60)   | 16 (73)   | 13 (59)   |
| Anemia                           | 22 (63)   | 14 (40)   | 12 (55)   | 6 (27)    |
| Peripheral sensory neuropathy    | 20 (57)   | 0         | 17 (77)   | 1 (5)     |
| White blood cell count decreased | 13 (37)   | 4 (11)    | 8 (36)    | 1 (5)     |
| Constipation                     | 17 (49)   | 0         | 8 (36)    | 0         |
| Arthralgia                       | 15 (43)   | 0         | 11 (50)   | 0         |
| Nausea                           | 14 (40)   | 0         | 13 (59)   | 1 (5)     |
| Platelet count decreased         | 12 (34)   | 0         | 4 (18)    | 0         |
| Diarrhea                         | 10 (29)   | 0         | 6 (27)    | 0         |
| Peripheral neuropathy            | 9 (26)    | 0         | 3 (14)    | 0         |
| Alanine aminotransferase increased | 6 (17) | 3 (9)    | 7 (32)    | 3 (14)    |
| Myalgia                          | 6 (17)    | 0         | 6 (27)    | 0         |
| Hypertension                     | 6 (17)    | 1 (3)     | 12 (55)   | 6 (27)    |
| Aspartate aminotransferase increased | 5 (14) | 1 (3)    | 7 (31)    | 0         |

| Immune-mediated AEs and infusion reactions | Any grade | Grade 3 | Any grade | Grade 3 |
|--------------------------------------------|-----------|---------|-----------|---------|
| Any                                        | 15 (43)   | 5 (14)  | 5 (23)    | 2 (9)   |
| Infusion reactions                         | 5 (14)    | 0       | 4 (18)    | 2 (9)   |
| Hyperthyroidism                            | 4 (11)    | 0       | 0         | 0       |
| Hypothyroidism                             | 3 (9)     | 0       | 1 (5)     | 0       |
| Thyroiditis                                | 3 (9)     | 1 (3)   | 0         | 0       |
| Severe skin reactions                      | 3 (9)     | 3 (9)   | 0         | 0       |
| Vasculitis                                 | 2 (6)     | 0       | 0         | 0       |
| Colitis                                    | 2 (6)     | 0       | 0         | 0       |
| Hepatitis                                  | 2 (6)     | 2 (6)   | 0         | 0       |
| Myocarditis                                | 1 (3)     | 1 (3)   | 0         | 0       |
| Myositis                                   | 1 (3)     | 0       | 0         | 0       |
| Adrenal insufficiency                      | 1 (3)     | 1 (3)   | 0         | 0       |

Abbreviation: AE, adverse event.

<sup>a</sup>Data are presented as n (%).

<sup>b</sup>There were no grade 5 AEs in either treatment group.
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