Strong coupling of a mechanical oscillator and a single atom
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We propose and analyze a setup to achieve strong coupling between a single trapped atom and a mechanical oscillator. The interaction between the motion of the atom and the mechanical oscillator is mediated by a quantized light field in a laser driven high-finesse cavity. In particular, we show that high fidelity transfer of quantum states between the atom and the mechanical oscillator is in reach for existing or near future experimental parameters. Our setup provides the basic toolbox for coherent manipulation, preparation and measurement of microscopic and nanomechanical oscillators via the tools of atomic physics.

Recent experiments with micro- and nanomechanical oscillators coupled to the optical field in a cavity are approaching the regime where quantum effects dominate. In light of this progress, the question arises to what extent the quantized motion of a mesoscopic mechanical system can be coherently coupled to a microscopic quantum object, the ultimate challenge being strong coupling to the motion of a single atom. For a direct mechanical coupling the interaction involves scale factors \( \sqrt{m/M} \sim 10^{-7} - 10^{-4} \) depending on the ratio of the mass of the atom \( m \) to the mass of the mechanical oscillator \( M \). It is therefore difficult to achieve a coherent coupling for exchange of a single vibrational quantum that is much larger than relevant dissipation rates.

In this Letter we show, however, that strong coupling can be realized between a single trapped atom and an optical-mechanical oscillator. The coupling between the motion of a membrane – representing the mechanical oscillator – and the atom is mediated by the quantized light field in a laser driven high-finesse cavity. Remarkably, in this setup a coherent coupling for single-atom and membrane exceeding the dissipative rates by a factor of ten is within reach for present or near future experimental parameters. Entering the strong coupling regime provides a quantum interface allowing the coherent transfer of quantum states between the mechanical oscillator and atoms, opening the door to coherent manipulation, preparation and measurement of micromechanical objects via the well-developed tools of atomic physics.

We propose and analyze a setup which combines the recent advances of micromechanics with membranes in optical cavities and cavity QED with single trapped atoms (see Fig. 1). We consider a membrane placed in a laser driven high-finesse cavity representing the opto-mechanical system with radiation pressure coupling. In this setup the motion of the membrane manifests itself as a dynamic detuning of cavity modes. For a cavity mode driven by a detuned laser this translates into a variation of the intensity of the intracavity light field. In addition, we assume that this intracavity field provides an optical lattice as a trap for a single atom. Thus for the setup of Fig. 1 the motion of the membrane will be coupled via the dynamics of the optical trap to the motion of the atom, and vice versa. This coupling is strongly enhanced by the cavity finesse which is a key ingredient in achieving the strong coupling regime.

In the following we are interested in a configuration which
- after integrating out the internal cavity dynamics - realizes a coupled oscillator dynamics linear in the displacements of atom and membrane \((\hbar = 1)\)

\[ H = \omega_a a_a^\dagger a_a + \omega_m a_m^\dagger a_m - G(a_a + a_a^\dagger)(a_m + a_m^\dagger). \tag{1} \]

The first and second term are the Hamiltonians of the bare micromechanical oscillator and the harmonic motion of the trapped atom, respectively. We adopt the notation \(x_\mu \equiv \ell_\mu(a_\mu + a_\mu^\dagger)\) and \(p_\mu\) for the position and momentum operators (along the cavity axis) with \(\mu \equiv (m, at)\) for the membrane and atom, respectively, and \(a_\mu\) are annihilation operators. Both atom and mechanical oscillator are prepared close to their respective ground states, and their oscillator lengths are denoted by \(\ell_m = \sqrt{\hbar/2M\omega_m}\) and \(\ell_at = \sqrt{\hbar/2m\omega_at}\) with \(\ell_m < \ell_at\) in view of \(M \gg m\), and we assume a near resonance condition \(\omega_m \approx \omega_at\) of the mechanical and atomic oscillation frequencies. The system dynamics will obey a master equation

\[ \dot{\rho} = -i[H, \rho] + (L_a + L_at + L_m)\rho, \tag{2} \]

where the three Liouvillian terms describe dissipation via cavity decay, atomic momentum diffusion due to spontaneous emission, and thermal heating of the membrane, respectively. Our goal is to obtain a coupling \(G\) much larger than the rates of decoherence through these channels.

A strong effective coupling as in Eq. (1) is obtained in a configuration involving two cavity modes (Fig. 1). The two modes are driven by lasers of frequencies \(\omega_a\) and \(\omega_m\), respectively, where the first (second) laser is tuned to the red (blue) side of its respective cavity resonance (Fig. 1a,c). Both lasers provide red-detuned optical lattices for the atom with wave vectors \(k_1 \neq k_2\). A single atom is trapped in one of the wells of the combined potential of the two lattices (Fig. 2d). The particular well within the optical lattice array is chosen such that each of the two potentials has close to maximal but opposite slope at the equilibrium position \(\bar{x}\) of the atom. The membrane in turn is positioned at \(\bar{x}_m\), half-way between a field node and anti-node, with similar slope for both modes, where the linear opto-mechanical coupling is maximal \([10]\). A small displacement of the membrane will thus shift the cavity resonances [cf. dashed line in Fig. 1b]. Accordingly, one driving laser will come closer to resonance, the other farther off resonance. This will in turn make one of the latter potentials deeper, the other one shallower, giving rise to a spatial shift of the atomic trapping potential proportional to \(x_m\) (Fig. 2b), resulting in an overall \(\sim x_m^2\) coupling as in Eq. (1).

Before we analyze this setup in detail we note that for a single standing-wave cavity mode a displacement of the membrane \(x_m\) results in a change of the potential depth and thus a parametric coupling of the atom to the motion of the membrane of the type \(\sim x_m^2\). This parametric coupling, which is in principle present also in the proposed two mode setup, will be smaller than the linear coupling in Eq. (1) by at least a Lamb-Dicke factor \(\eta = k_1\ell_at \ll 1\) and can be neglected here. In the following we will first explain the coupling of the two cavity modes to displacements of the atom and the membrane, including the relevant decay mechanisms. In the second step we adiabatically eliminate the cavity mode and derive the effective system dynamics as given by Eq. (2). This will allow us to identify the requirements for strong coupling.

**Atom–cavity interaction:** The optical potential along the cavity axis seen by the atom is \(V(x) = U_0(u_1(x)A_1^\dagger A_1 + u_2(x)A_1^2 A_2)\), where \(u_1(x) = \sin^2(k_1x)\) and \(A_i\) is a photon destruction operator for field modes \(i = 1, 2\). We assume for simplicity that each of the cavity fields generates the same AC Stark shift \(U_0 = \frac{\alpha^2}{2}\) per photon, where \(\Omega_0\) is the vacuum Rabi frequency and \(\delta < 0\) is the detuning from atomic resonance (see Fig. 1f). In our effective 1D model, transverse confinement is naturally provided by the Gaussian intensity profile of the cavity fields. Consider the case where both cavity fields are driven so that we have a large intracavity amplitude \(\alpha\), which we choose to be equal and real for both cavity modes. Expanding the potential in powers of this amplitude yields

\[ V(x) \approx U_0\alpha^2 u_1(x)A_1^\dagger A_1 + u_2(x)A_1^2 A_2 + h.c., \]

where \(u(x) = u_1(x) + u_2(x)\), and we neglected terms of order zero in \(\alpha\). The operators \(\alpha\) describe amplitude fluctuations around the coherent field \(\alpha A_i\), i.e. \(A_i = \alpha + a_i\). The first term \(\sim u(x)\) is the effective atomic potential created by the combined effect of the two cavity modes.

In a Lamb-Dicke expansion around the equilibrium position \(\bar{x}_at\), the potential together with the kinetic energy of the atom combine to \(p^2/2m + V(x) \approx \omega_at^\dagger a_at + H_{at,c}\)

\[ H_{at,c} = g_{at,c}[(a_1 + a_1^\dagger) - (a_2 + a_2^\dagger)](a_at + a_at^\dagger), \tag{3} \]

and we adopt for the motion of the atom a harmonic approximation with a trap frequency \(\omega_{at}^2 = U_0\alpha^2 u_1(\bar{x}_at)/m\). Here \(H_{at,c}\) is the desired linear atom-field coupling at rate

\[ g_{at,c} = \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\delta}} \eta \theta, \]

where \(\theta = \frac{u'(\bar{x}_at)}{u_1}\) is a geometrical factor. We assume that the \(\bar{x}_at\) is chosen such that \(\theta \approx 1\). This interaction can be interpreted as follows: Fluctuations in the amplitudes of the two cavity fields, as quantified by the quadrature operators \(a_i + a_i^\dagger\), exert oppositely directed forces on the atom. Conversely, fluctuations of the atom around its mean position, as quantified by \(a_at + a_at^\dagger\), cause changes of opposite sign in the amplitudes of the two cavity fields.

**Membrane–cavity interaction:** As demonstrated \([10]\), vibrational fluctuations of a thin dielectric membrane couple to cavity quadratures according to

\[ H_{m,c} = g_{m,c}[(a_1 + a_1^\dagger) + (a_2 + a_2^\dagger)](a_m + a_m^\dagger), \]

with an opto-mechanical coupling \(g_{m,c} = \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\delta}} \omega_m f_i(\bar{x}_m)\) \((i = 1, 2)\), which we take for simplicity to be the same for both cavity fields. \(L\) is the length of the cavity. The geometrical factor

\[ f_i(\bar{x}_m) = 2\pi \sin(2k_i\bar{x}_m)/\sqrt{1 - r^2 \cos^2(2k_i\bar{x}_m)} \]

depends on the membrane amplitude reflectivity \(r\) and the equilibrium position \(\bar{x}_m\) of the membrane. By a proper choice of \(\bar{x}_m\) it is possible to achieve \(f_i \approx 2\pi\) for both fields. The interpretation of this coupling is completely analogous to the one of the atom-cavity interaction in Eq. (3).
Open system dynamics: For the combined system of Fig. 1, we thus arrive at a Hamiltonian
\[ H = \omega_at^\dagger a_t + \omega_m a_m^\dagger a_m - \Delta(a_1^\dagger a_1 - a_2^\dagger a_2) + H_{at,c} + H_{m,c}. \]
For the two cavity fields this Hamiltonian refers to frames rotating at the respective driving laser frequencies \( \omega_i \), see Fig. 1. The laser detunings, \( \pm \Delta \), for the two cavity modes are chosen equal in magnitude and opposite in sign. The coherent evolution described by this Hamiltonian is accompanied by various decay channels, such that the density matrix \( \rho \) of the entire system comprising the atom, the membrane, and the two cavity fields evolves according to a master equation
\[ \dot{\rho} = -i[H,\rho] + \gamma_{at}^\dagger a_t\rho + \gamma_m a_m^\dagger \rho + \gamma_{at} \rho a_t + \gamma_m \rho a_m. \]
Using the notation \( D[a] = 2a^\dagger W a - W a^\dagger aW \) to denote a general Lindblad term, we have in particular \( \Gamma_{at,2} W = \kappa D[a_1,2] W \) with a cavity amplitude decay rate \( \kappa \). Spontaneous emission will inevitably cause momentum diffusion of the atom, which is described by \( L_{at} W = \Gamma_{at}^\dagger D[a_t, a_t^\dagger] W \) and happens at a rate \( \Gamma_{at} = \gamma a^\dagger a W a^\dagger a = \gamma a^\dagger a W \), where \( \gamma \) is the spontaneous decay rate \([17]\). The geometrical factor \( \xi = \frac{kT_{at}}{u_t^\dagger(x_{at})} \) can be made close to unity by a proper choice of \( x_{at} \)\([15]\). Finally, thermal contact of the membrane to the environment at a temperature \( T \) is accounted for by \( \Delta_{m} W = \frac{\gamma_m}{\kappa} (n + 1) D[a_m] W + \frac{2\gamma_m}{\kappa} n D[a_m^\dagger] W \), where \( n \) is the natural linewidth of the mechanical resonance and \( \bar{n} \) its mean occupation in thermal equilibrium. The relevant effective decoherence rate of the membrane is \( \Gamma_m = \gamma_m n \approx \frac{\gamma_{at}kT_{at}}{\kappa} \) for a mechanical quality factor \( Q \).

Mediated atom–membrane interaction: We are now in the position to derive the effective cavity–mediated coupling between the single atom and the membrane. The case of a resonant drive \( |\Delta| \gg g_{at,c}, g_{m,c} \) where fluctuations in cavity quadratures are fast variables and adiabatically follow the dynamics of position fluctuations of atom and membrane. In this dispersive limit the decoherence rate due to cavity decay can be kept small as compared to the strength of coherent evolution by choosing \( \frac{\Delta}{\kappa} \ll 1 \). We derive an effective master equation for the reduced state of atom and membrane
\[ \dot{\rho} = -i[H,\rho] + \Gamma_{at}^\dagger a_t\rho + \Gamma_m a_m^\dagger \rho + \Gamma_{at} \rho a_t + \Gamma_m \rho a_m, \]
with jump operators \( J_{at} = \cos(\phi) a_t + \sin(\phi) a_t^\dagger \) and \( J_m = \frac{\gamma_m}{\kappa} n a_m + \frac{\gamma_m}{\kappa} n a_m^\dagger \). Each of the four decay channels contributing to \( \Gamma_{at} \) is associated with emission of sideband photons at either side of the two driving lasers, that is, at one of the frequencies \( \omega_{1,2} \pm \omega_m \). An emission event is accompanied by the creation or annihilation of a quantum in either atom or membrane. For a near resonant system \( \omega_m \approx \omega_{1,2} \) these two possibilities are indistinguishable, such that both processes happen in a coherent fashion. Therefore, the jump operators \( J_{at} \) are linear combinations of the corresponding creation/annihilation operators.

Strong coupling regime: We now show that the coupling can be strong enough such that coherent dynamics dominates over the various decoherence processes. In a system described by the effective master equation \([2]\) strong coupling is established by fulfilling the set of conditions
\[ G \gg \Gamma_{at}^\dagger, \Gamma_m, \Gamma_{at}, \Gamma_{m}, \]
in addition to \( \omega_{at} = \omega_m \) for a resonant coupling. For a ratio \( \Delta \gg \kappa, \omega_m \), and it is desirable to keep at the same time a balanced atom–cavity and membrane–cavity coupling \( g_{at,c} \approx g_{m,c} \), which is equivalent to
\[ \frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{\gamma} \gamma} \frac{\Delta}{\kappa} \ll 1, \]
where \( C = \frac{\frac{\pi^2}{\kappa}}{2\pi} \) is the 1–atom cooperativity parameter and \( \mathcal{F} = \frac{\mathcal{F}}{\sqrt{\gamma}} \sqrt{\frac{m}{M}} \) the cavity finesse. Small decoherence due to atomic momentum diffusion, \( \Gamma_{at} \ll 1 \), requires a large cooperativity parameter
\[ C \gg \frac{\Delta}{4\kappa}. \]
Finally, thermal decoherence depends on the ambient temperature \( T \) of the membrane. It is important to note that there is a natural lower limit for the temperature \( T \) which is set by light absorption inside the membrane. If we assume the cavity finesse to be limited by absorption, the power absorbed by the membrane is \( P_a \approx \frac{2\pi}{\gamma} P_c \) for an overall circulating power \( P_c = \frac{\omega_{at,2}^2}{\mathcal{F}} \) in the two cavity modes. Such an amount of absorbed power will cause an increase of the membrane temperature \( \Delta T \approx \frac{1}{\kappa_{th}} P_a \), where \( \kappa_{th} \) is the thermal link of the membrane to its supporting frame which depends on the specific geometry and material properties \([19]\), while it is not entirely clear how this heating exactly affects the vibrational mode in question, a safe assumption is an equal increase in temperature. For typical parameters (see below), \( \Delta T \) corresponds to a few Kelvin, so that standard cryogenic precooling allows one to reach \( T \approx \Delta T \). Under these fairly cautious assumptions we can expect a small thermal decoherence.
\[ \frac{\Gamma}{G} \ll 1 \] as long as
\[ \frac{8r^2 \mu_{th}}{\pi^2 \gamma_m \omega_m} \frac{\hbar^2}{MC^2} \gg \frac{\Delta}{\kappa}. \] (9)

Remarkably, this is independent of circulating power and only implicitly depends on temperature through \( \mu_{th} \).

Together, Eqs. (6) to (9) ensure the set of conditions for strong coupling in [13]. Note that the intracavity amplitude \( \alpha \) and therefore the absolute timescale of the system are not fixed by Eqs. (6) to (9). These equations actually impose conditions on the properties of the system at the single photon level. The necessary cavity amplitude \( \alpha \), and with it the absolute timescale of the dynamics, will finally follow from the resonance condition \( \omega_{at} = \omega_m \).

**Example:** We will show now that the interaction between a single Cs atom and a SiN membrane of small effective mass \( M = 0.4g \) mediated by a high-finesse optical micro-cavity can enter the strong coupling regime. Firstly, we assume a large cavity finesse of \( F \) such that the strong coupling of a single atom to a massive mechanical oscillator is achieved. However, our main point here is to identify the general conditions for achieving strong coupling of a single atom to a massive mechanical oscillator, and to demonstrate that it is possible to meet these conditions with state of the art systems.

While being a surprising result on its own, entering the regime of strong coupling holds promise for diverse applications, including for preparation and readout of quantum states of mesoscopic massive oscillators. In the regime \( \omega_m = \omega_{at} \gg G \), where the rotating wave approximation can be applied in Eq. (1), the effective dynamics is described by
\[ H_I \simeq G(a_m^\dagger a_{at} + h.c.) \] in the interaction picture. This interaction swaps the state of the atom and the membrane after a time \( Gt = \pi/2 \). Thus, states which are easily created on the side of the atom (e.g., squeezed or Fock states) can be transferred to the membrane. In Fig. 2 we study such a transfer of a squeezed state based on the exact solution of the master equation in Eq. (2). The figure also illustrates the importance of limiting the loss in order to achieve quantum state transfer or readout. The general analysis provided here shows that condition (9) is the principal bottleneck for a reduction of losses. Especially the ratio \( \frac{\omega_m^2}{\gamma_m^2} \) might be further increased by improving material properties and nanostructuring, though there will always be an apparent tradeoff between good mechanical isolation and a large thermal link. Another rather obvious route for improvement is to use a small ensemble of \( N \) atoms trapped inside the cavity [14, 15, 16], resulting in a \( \sqrt{N} \) enhancement of the atom-cavity coupling. However, our main point here is to identify the general conditions for achieving strong coupling of a single atom to a massive mechanical oscillator, and to demonstrate that it is possible to meet these conditions with state of the art systems.
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