Spatial scale of geomagnetic Pc5/Pi3 pulsations as a factor of their efficiency in generation of geomagnetically induced currents
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Abstract
Geomagnetically induced currents (GICs) in a meridional power transmission line on the Kola Peninsula are analyzed during the intervals of Pc5/Pi3 (frequency range from 1.5 to 5 mHz) pulsations recorded at the IMAGE magnetometer network. We have analyzed GIC in a transformer at the terminal station Vykhodnoj (68° N, 33° E) during the entire year of 2015, near the maximum of 24-th Solar cycle. To quantify the efficiency of GIC generation by geomagnetic pulsations, a ratio between power spectral densities of GIC and magnetic field variations is introduced. Upon examination of the geomagnetic pulsation efficiency in GIC generation, the emphasis is given to its dependence on frequency and spatial scale. To estimate pulsation spatial scales in latitudinal and longitudinal directions, the triangle of stations KEV-SOD-KIL has been used. Large-scale pulsations (with a high spectral coherence, low phase difference, and similar amplitudes at latitudinally separated stations) are found to be more effective in GIC generation than small-scale pulsations. The GIC response also depends on the pulsation scale across the electric power line.
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Introduction
Interaction of solar ejecta with the near-Earth environment activate global space weather processes: intensification of the magnetosphere - ionosphere current systems, energization of ring current and radiation belt particles, enhanced precipitation into the auroral oval, disturbance of the geomagnetic field, etc. These processes are potentially risky for space and ground technologies. Generation of geomagnetically induced currents (GICs) related to abrupt changes of the geomagnetic field is one of the most significant space weather factors for power transmission lines (e.g., Boteler (2001); Kappenman (2004)). Therefore, the geophysical community is making tremendous efforts to elaborate a global computer model of storm/substorm activity augmented by the magnetoteluric reconstruction of telluric currents (Love et al, 2018; Pulkkinen, 2015; Pulkkinen et al, 2007). However, high-risk GICs may be related not only to global processes with an enormous energy yield (e.g., for typical substorm it is of 10¹⁴ J), but also to more localized and rapid processes. The solar wind-magnetosphere interaction results in the occurrence of diverse types of perturbations with various spatial and time scales. Such localized and fast disturbances embedded into the global magnetospheric processes may be the actual drivers of GIC bursts (Belakhovsky et al, 2019). In general,
The amplitudes of geomagnetic variations decrease with frequency, whereas the induced electric field magnitudes are expected to grow with frequency. Therefore, the GIC response, which is a convolution of both factors, must have a maximum at some frequencies. Many case studies of GIC bursts demonstrated that this time scale is about 2-10 min. That is, it falls into the band of Pc5/Pi3 pulsations, i.e. at the low-frequency edge of the ultra-low frequency (ULF) range.

The impulsive geomagnetic disturbances during nighttime may be associated with substorm onsets and subsequent activations, magnetic perturbation events - isolated disturbance with the duration of about 5-10 minutes (Engelbrecht et al, 2019), intense Ps6/Pi3 pulsations - quasi-periodic series of impulses with duration 10-20 min, and narrow band Pc5 pulsations with frequencies ∼2-7 mHz. Though the power of such processes is much lower than the power of magnetospheric storms and substorms, the rapidly varying electromagnetic fields of these disturbances can induce a significant GIC (Apatenkov et al, 2004; Belakhovsky et al, 2018; Viljanen, 1998). The events were presented when the ULF variations of the Pi3 or Ps6 type induced GIC in power transmission line up to 120 A (Apatenkov et al, 2020; Belakhovsky et al, 2019).

Viljanen et al (2001) suggested that the Pc5 waves during the recovery phase of a magnetic storm may cause intense GICs. Pulkkinen and Kataoka (2006) further proposed that a moderate and steady wave activity could lead to cumulative GIC effects such as corrosion of natural gas pipelines. Especially global Pc5 waves - anomalously intense pulsations at the recovery phase of strong magnetic storms, could be very effective GIC drivers (Marin et al, 2014). The actual driver of GICs - telluric electric field, can be estimated for a given magnetic field $B(f)$ varying with the frequency $f$ above a homogeneous ground with the conductivity $\sigma$ from the boundary impedance condition (in the plane wave approximation) as $E/B = \omega^{1/2}(\mu \sigma)^{-1/2}$, where $\omega = 2\pi f$, and $\mu \sigma$ is the magnetic constant. For the Pc5 pulsations with $\omega \approx 10^{-4}$ s$^{-1}$ and the average conductivity in Fennoscandia $\sigma = 10^{-4}$ S/m this relation gives $E[\text{mV/km}]/B[\text{nT}]\approx 12$ (mV/km)/nT. For the global Pc5 pulsations with $B \approx 100$ nT, the expected telluric field can reach $E \approx 10^{3}$ mV/km. This value is almost as high as the estimate given by Lucas et al (2020) for the extreme once-per-century electro-telluric field over the US territory.

Geomagnetic pulsations on the ground are the image of magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) waves in the magnetosphere. The ULF wave activity is controlled by the solar wind-magnetosphere interaction and processes inside the magnetosphere. The common view is that pulsations of extra-magnetospheric origin have longer azimuthal wavelengths than pulsations generated via wave-particle interaction inside the magnetosphere (Baker et al, 2003; James et al, 2013). Small-scale pulsations at auroral latitudes are the result of different kinetic processes (see, e.g. Baddeley et al (2004); Mager et al (2013)). These waves are severely screened by the ionosphere and are almost undetectable on the ground surface.

The terrestrial magnetosphere forms a wide variety of MHD resonators and waveguides for ULF waves resulting in an essentially inhomogeneous ULF wave electro-magnetic field. Additionally, the occurrence of strong gradients of the ionospheric conductivity and the ground geoelectric parameters may cause a non-uniform geomagnetic response even to large-scale magnetospheric sources (e.g. Alperovich and...
Indeed, telluric electric field in realistic conditions is found to be more inhomogeneous in amplitude and direction than the primary magnetic field variations because of horizontal inhomogeneity of crust electric conductivity. Bedrosian and Love (2015) demonstrated that a telluric E-field can exhibit rapid spatial variations even in the presence of a spatially uniform B-field.

These factors lead to an essential difference between the spatial structures of geomagnetic pulsations and global processes like storms and substorms. In most cases, plane wave approximation provides good agreement between modeled and measured GICs during global storm-time disturbances (Viljanen et al, 2004). On the contrary, spatial distribution of pulsation magnetic field is essentially non-uniform even for narrow-band Pc pulsations. These effects are studied in details for the vicinity of Alfven Filed line resonance (FLR) latitude (Menk et al, 2004; Pilipenko et al, 1999; Sandhu et al, 2018), plasmapause projection (Kale et al, 2007; Milling et al, 2001) and equatorial electrojet (Fedorov et al, 1999).

In almost all previous studies of the relationship between GIC and geomagnetic variations, it was implicitly assumed that the magnetic field is homogeneous along an electric power line (EPL). The role of a geomagnetic variation spatial scale has never been thoroughly examined, although the importance of this effect has been postulated (e.g. Boteler and Pirjola (2017); Yagova et al (2018)).

Recently, a correlation between GIC and geomagnetic spectral amplitudes depending on pulsation spatial scale was studied by Sakharov et al (2021). Probability density function (PDF) of correlation between the spectral amplitudes of GIC and geomagnetic variations was calculated for the conductivity distributions corresponding to GIC spectral amplitude ratio with a power-law dependence on frequency

\[ J \propto f^\alpha B_Y. \] (1)

This class of functions at \( \alpha = 1 \) and 0.5 describes two important models of electric conductivity distribution with depth. \( \alpha = 1 \) corresponds to GIC proportional to \( dB/dt \). Such dependence relates to the "electrotechnical" model, when EMF is generated in circuit formed by the EPL and a thin conductive layer at a fixed depth. The model of constant Earth conductivity results in \( \alpha = 0 \) in Eq.(1).

It was shown that the correlation between GIC and geomagnetic amplitudes is essentially higher for the large-scale pulsations than for small-scale ones. Meanwhile, no essential difference was found for conductivity models with \( \alpha = 0.5 \) and 1 in the Eq.(1). This result prompts that ULF pulsation spatial scale may be an important though underestimated factor in GIC generation. Here, we consider in detail the GIC dependence on pulsation spatial scale.

**Data Set and Event Analysis Technique**

The data of the IMAGE magnetometer network (10-sec cadence) (Tanskanen, 2009) and the GIC recordings are provided by the system deployed in the "Northern Transit" EPL at the Kola Peninsula by the Polar Geophysical Institute and the Center for Northern Energetics (Viljanen...
et al., 2012). This 330 kV power line is oriented along the magnetic meridian. We use the data from the terminal station Vykhodnoi (VKH) located at the corrected geomagnetic (CGM) latitude $\Phi = 65^\circ$. The station records a quasi-DC current in the dead-grounded neutral of a transformer with a 1-min sampling rate. We analyze the Pc5/Pi3s pulsations detected during the year of 2015.

The Kevo (KEV) magnetic station, the nearest to VKH and nearly at the same geomagnetic latitude, is taken as the basic one. Sodankylä (SOD) and Kilpisjärvi (KIL) stations are used for estimates of the pulsation's meridional and latitudinal spatial scales, respectively. Station locations are shown on the map (Figure 1) and the station information is summarized in Table 1.

The following data analysis technique has been used. Geomagnetic data is filtered in a $0.8-8.3 \text{ mHz}$ band and then decimated to a 1-minute sampling rate. GIC data is high-pass filtered with the $0.8 \text{ mHz}$ cutoff frequency. Then, the spectral estimates are made in a 64 point (3840 s) running window with a 5-min shift between subsequent intervals. The power spectral density (PSD) is calculated with the Blackmann-Tukey method (Kay, 1988). Spectral coherence $\gamma^2$ and phase difference $\Delta \phi$ are obtained from cross spectra. Periodic ULF disturbances are automatically selected with a detection program for the time intervals with a pronounced spectral maximum over the background "colored noise" spectrum (Yagova et al., 2015). The results of this selection have been visually checked. The bandwidth analyzed comprises the Pi3 range (predominantly $f < 2 \text{ mHz}$) and Pc5 range ($f > 1.7 \text{ mHz}$).

Efficiency of GIC generation is taken into account with the $R_{I-B}(f)$ parameter, which is the ratio of PSDs of GIC variations and geomagnetic pulsations at a given frequency. This ratio is calculated for each Pc5/Pi3 interval. Then, the $R_{I-B}$ dependence on frequency and parameters characterizing spatial structure of geomagnetic pulsations is analyzed statistically. The variability of horizontal components of geomagnetic field $\frac{dB}{dt}$ was found to be more isotropic than magnetic field disturbance $\Delta B$ (Bedrosian and Love, 2015). However, the previous study of (Sakharov et al., 2021) has shown that for the latitudinally extended "Northern Transit" EPL correlation of GIC with $B_Y$ component is higher, than that for the $B_X$ component. Therefore, here the influence on GIC generation of $B_Y$ component is only examined.

Both the absolute values and angles between the wave amplitude and phase gradients and the EPL are important for GIC generation. First, we examine how does the efficiency of GIC generation by Pc5/Pi3 pulsations depend on the pulsation’s scale across the EPL. For that, we analyze the East-West (E-W) structure of pulsation magnetic field. Auroral Pc5 pulsations are typically large-scale in azimuthal direction, so their amplitudes and spectral content are almost constant along geomagnetic parallel at distances up to several hundred kilometers (Baker et al., 2003; Chisham and Mann, 1999). For such pulsations, even a mismatch of about few hundred kilometers in longitude between the magnetometer location and EPL is not significant. However, at auroral latitudes ULF pulsations with essential variation of amplitude and phase along geomagnetic longitude are also possible (Chisham and Mann, 1999). Yagova et al. (2018) presented examples of Pi3 pulsations localized in the E-W direction and prolonged in the N-S direction. In this situation, magnetic pulsations at an EPL longitude can differ essentially from pulsations at the longitude of a magnetic station. For such E-W short-scale pulsations, any estimates of
GIC amplitude in an EPL would be inaccurate, if the magnetic data is taken from a station located far from the EPL meridian. That is why, spatial distribution of pulsation magnetic field in the E-W direction is to be taken into account for GIC applications.

For the further analysis, we use the same classification of the large- and small-scale pulsations, as in (Sakharov et al, 2021). For the classification of pulsations into E-W large- and small-scale, we use the KEV-KIL station pair. KIL is separated from KEV by $\Delta \Lambda = 5.5^\circ$ (250 km). Amplitude variation is taken into account with the East-to-West (KEV/KIL) PSD ratio $R_{EW,By}$.

We define a Pc5/Pi3 pulsation as E-W large-scale, if $R_{EW,By}$ is close to 1 and spectral coherence $\gamma_{EW}^2$ is high. The notation $L_{EW}$ is used for these pulsations All the other pulsations are considered as short-scale in the E-W direction and referred as $S_{EW}$.

A hypothesis to check is that the $L_{EW}$ pulsations demonstrate higher spectral coherence with GIC variations than the $S_{EW}$ ones.

As for the pulsation scale parallel to an EPL, it influences GIC amplitude, if the amplitude and/or phase of the pulsation changes essentially at the power line length. GIC to magnetic field PSD ratio is controlled by the shorter between the EPL length and pulsation’s scale. Amplitude and phase distributions of real pulsation field are not identical to those of a plane wave. Thus, the pulsation spatial scales, obtained from amplitude and phase spatial distributions, can be different. Phase difference is of critical importance for GIC efficiency, because only in the case of small phase difference at EPL length, time derivative of the magnetic field $\frac{dB}{dt}$ has the same polarity throughout the EPL. Amplitude distribution along the meridian is the second factor, which also influences GIC amplitude. For nearly in-phase pulsations, GIC amplitude is determined by the amplitude averaged over EPL length.

In our consideration, we use the data from the KEV-SOD station pair for the analysis of Pc5/Pi3 magnetic field distribution along the meridian. SOD is located at $\Phi = 67.37^\circ$, i.e. it is shifted by $\Delta \Phi = 2.4^\circ$ (270 km) to the South from KEV.

The magnetic latitudes of these two stations correspond to the Northern part of the EPL latitudes. In such a geometry, the pulsation efficiency should be higher for pulsations with higher South-to-North PSD ratio $R_{SN,By}$. That is, we define a pulsation as N-S large-scale ($L_{NS}$), if high spectral coherence, low phase difference, and high South-to-North PSD ratio are found at KEV-KIL station pair.

A hypothesis to check is that $L_{NS}$ pulsations generate more intensive GICs than $S_{NS}$ ones of the same amplitude and frequency, i.e. that the $R_{I-By}$ is higher for the $L_{NS}$ than for the $S_{NS}$ pulsations.

The boundary values for coherence, phase difference and South-to-North PSD ratio are equal to $\gamma_b = 0.7$, $\mu_b = 0.85$ ($\mu = \cos(\Delta \varphi)$), and $R_{SN,By} = 0.5$, respectively. These values provide comparable number of events in each of pulsation sub-groups.

**Results**

Examples of Pc5s with different GIC efficiency

A large-scale pulsation registered on 1 March 2015 (day 60) at 7:15 UT

Waveforms and spectral parameters of Pc5 pulsations recorded simultaneously in geomagnetic field at KEV and in GIC at VKH are given in Figure 2. The pulsation’s main period is approximately 4 minutes. The peak-to-peak amplitude of the
1 pulsation varies from 20 to 40 nT for the geomagnetic field and from 5 to 10 A²
2 for the GIC (Figure 2a). Both magnetic field components and GIC PSD spectra
3 demonstrate maxima at \( f_1 = 1.7 \), \( f_2 = 2.7 \), and \( f_3 = 4 \) mHz (Figure 2b). Spectral
4 coherence \( \gamma_{f-B_y}^2 \) (Figure 2c) is almost 1 at all the frequency band 1.7 – 5 mHz. This
5 panel also shows spectral coherence \( \gamma_{f-B_x}^2 \) between the \( B_x \) component and GIC.\(^6\)
6 Although it exceeds 0.5, it is lower than \( \gamma_{f-B_y}^2 \) at all the frequencies analyzed. GIC\(^7\)
7 to \( B_y \) PSD ratio \( R_{f-B_y} \) varies in the range of 0.01 – 0.03 A²/nT² and it has a\(^7\)
8 maximum at the \( f_2 \) frequency and it grows with frequency at \( f > 3.3 \) mHz (Figure\(^9\)
9 2d).

10 What about the spatial properties of this pulsation? Distribution of the pulsation\(^10\)
11 parameters along the latitude are illustrated by Figure 3. For the KEV-KIL station\(^11\)
12 pair, the pulsation’s waveforms are similar (Figure 3a). This is also confirmed by\(^12\)
13 spectral parameters (Figure 3, b-d). The spectral coherence \( \gamma_{f-EW,B_y}^2 \) exceeds 0.5\(^13\)
14(Figure 3c) at frequencies of all the spectral maxima found in KEV PSD spectrum.\(^14\)
15 As for \( B_x \) component, \( \gamma_{f-EW,B_x}^2 \) is almost 1.

16 Figure 3d depicts East-to-West PSD spectral ratio for both horizontal compo-
17 nents, \( R_{f-EW,B_y} \) at these frequencies exceeds 1. This means that spectral power grows\(^17\)
18 at this longitude interval towards noon and is higher at KEV than at KIL. \( R_{f-EW,B_x} \)
19 is even higher, than \( R_{f-EW,B_y} \), and it exceeds 1 at all the analyzed frequencies.\(^19\)
20 Thus, we classify this as an E-W large-scale pulsation. Found spectral ratio and\(^20\)
21 coherence allow us to suggest that at the EPL longitude, the pulsation should have\(^21\)
22 nearly the same spectral content, as at KEV, and a comparable (or, probably,\(^22\)
23 somewhat higher) amplitude.

24 Distribution of the pulsation parameters along the meridian are illustrated by\(^24\)
25 Figure 4. Waveforms for both horizontal components are shown on the left of the\(^25\)
26 Figure (4a,b). The \( B_y \) pulsation is clearly seen at SOD, but its amplitude is lower,\(^26\)
27 than at KEV. Spectral coherence is almost 1 for all the spectral maxima. At \( f_1 \)
28 frequency, pulsations are in phase. At two higher frequencies, phase difference does\(^28\)
29 not exceed 25°. Thus, \( dB_y/dt \) polarity remains the same during almost all of the\(^29\)
30 pulsation half-period. The South-to-North PSD ratio changes from 1 at 1.7 mHz to\(^30\)
31 about 0.3 at the frequencies of two other spectral maxima (note, that 0.3 in PSD\(^31\)
32 spectral ratio corresponds to 0.55 in amplitude spectral ratio). The variations of \( B_x \)
33 component are almost counter-phased to \( B_y \). Meanwhile, spectral content differs\(^33\)
34 between the components. Thus, PSD of \( B_x \) has the main maximum at \( f_2 \), and it\(^34\)
35 is higher than that of \( B_y \). The absolute value of phase difference in \( B_x \) does not\(^35\)
36 exceed 45° at \( f < 4 \) mHz, and its sign at \( f_2 \) is positive, in contrast to that in \( B_y \).\(^36\)
37 The South-to-North PSD ratio in \( B_x \) is about 0.3 at these frequencies.\(^37\)

38 At frequencies below 4 mHz, the pulsation is polarized almost linearly. However,\(^38\)
39 the difference between the two components is seen in the PSD and phase spectra\(^39\)
40 near \( f_2 \). This can result from FLR at L-shell somewhere between KEV and SOD.\(^40\)
41 Actually, near \( f_2 \), \( B_x \) component demonstrates all the typical resonance features,\(^41\)
42 i.e. clear PSD maximum (Figure 4c), apparent propagation from South to North\(^42\)
43 (Figure 4b,e), and extended South to North PSD ratio (Baransky et al, 1995).\(^43\)
44 We can summarize, that this pulsation demonstrates high coherence and low phase\(^44\)
45 difference in both horizontal components. Thus, we classify this as a large-scale pul-
46 sation in the N-S direction. We expect that it should be effective for GIC generation.\(^46\)
A small-scale pulsation registered on 12 May 2015 (day 132) at 4:05 UT

A pulsation recorded in the early morning (7 MLT at KEV) of May 12 is illustrated in Figure 5. Peak-to-peak amplitude of the geomagnetic pulsation at KEV reaches 60 nT. Simultaneously, the pulsation is seen in GIC with amplitude of about 1 A (Figure 5a). A main spectral maximum is found in the PSD spectra at \( f_1 = 2.1 \text{ mHz} \), and a minor maximum at \( f_2 = 3.7 \text{ mHz} \) (Figure 5b). Both frequencies are stressed in coherence spectrum, as well. Coherence between GIC and \( B_Y \) is lower than that between GIC and \( B_X \) (Figure 5c). It should also be noted, that spectral coherence is lower than in the previous event. The GIC to \( B_Y \) PSD ratio varies near \( R_{I-B_y} = 3 \cdot 10^{-4} \text{ A}^2/\text{nT}^2 \) (Figure 5d), i.e. it is two orders of magnitude lower, than for the previous event.

Pulsation waveforms for the KEV-KIL station pair and their spectral parameters are presented in Figure 6. The \( B_Y \) pulsation is seen at both stations with similar waveforms and comparable amplitudes (Figure 6a). Both frequencies of spectral maxima at KEV, can also be seen in KIL PSD spectrum (Figure 6b). However, a maximum in coherence spectrum is only found for \( f_1 \) frequency with \( \gamma_2 = 0.9 \), while at \( f_2 \), \( \gamma_2 \) is about 0.5. The \( B_X \) coherence spectrum is similar to that of \( B_Y \) at \( f < 2.4 \text{ mHz} \) and then \( \gamma_{EW,B_x}^2 \) decreases with \( f \) quicker than \( \gamma_{EW,B_y}^2 \). For the \( B_X \) component, the East-to-West PSD ratio \( R_{EW,B_x} \) exceeds 1 at all frequencies, while for \( B_Y \), it is nearly 1 at \( f_1 \) and about 0.3 at \( f_2 \). This allows us to assume that the pulsation should be seen in \( B_Y \) at the VKH longitude with an amplitude close to that at KEV at \( f_1 \) and with a somewhat lower amplitude at \( f_2 \).

Distribution of the pulsation parameters along the meridian are shown in Figure 7. In both components, the pulsation is seen at the KEV and SOD stations with similar apparent periods, but its amplitude and phase differ essentially (note, that we use a different vertical scale for two stations to make the pulsation at SOD visible). As a result, \( dB_Y/dt \) polarity remains the same between KEV and SOD only during approximately a fourth of the pulsation period (is only half compared to the previous case). The spectral peak at \( f_1 \) frequency is seen in both the PSD and coherence spectra (Figure 7, cd). As for the South-to-North PSD ratio, it is about 0.03 (0.2 in the amplitude spectra). \( B_X \) coherence is lower, phase difference is nearly the same, and \( R_{SN,B_x} \) is higher than the corresponding parameters for \( B_Y \) component.

According to the selection criteria, this pulsation is small-scale in both directions. A comparison of pulsation amplitudes in GIC and geomagnetic components for the two events analyzed demonstrates that the first pulsation is more effective in GIC generation than the second one. In fact, the GIC amplitude during the second interval is only about 1 A, i.e. it is an order of magnitude lower than for the first event, while the amplitude of the geomagnetic pulsation is higher in the second case. We assume that this results from the difference of spatial scales of the pulsations. In the next subsection we shall verify this assumption using the analysis of pulsations registered in \( B_Y \) component of the geomagnetic field and GIC during the year 2015.
46 Spectral coherence $\gamma_{I-B_y}^2$ quantifies the inter-dependence between GIC and magnetic field variations. Figure 8 shows empirical PDFs, calculated as $F = n_i / N_t$, where $n_i$ is the number of Pc5/Pi3 intervals with $\gamma_{I-B_y}^2 \in \Delta \gamma_{I-B_y}^2$, $\Delta \gamma_{I-B_y}^2 = (\gamma_{i+1}^2 - \gamma_i^2)$. In the Figure, the distributions of Pc5/Pi3 intervals over $\Delta \gamma_{I-B_y}^2$ are given separately for the $L_{EW}$ and $S_{EW}$ pulsations. The difference in distributions is clearly seen in all the frequency bands. A fraction of low-coherent intervals is essentially higher for small-scale pulsations, while the large-scale pulsations demonstrate a pronounced high-coherence maxima at all frequencies.

The efficiency of Pc5/Pi3s in GIC generation depends on their spatial scale in the N-S direction. N-S large-scale pulsations generate GICs with the amplitudes higher than N-S small-scale pulsations of the same amplitude. This effect is seen in the GIC to $B_y$- PSD ratio $R_{I-B_y}$. Figure 9 shows $R_{I-B_y}$ normalized PDFs for ($L_{NS}$) and small-scale ($S_{NS}$) pulsations. For all the frequency bands, the distributions for small-scale pulsations are enriched with low values of $R_{I-B_y}$. At the two lower frequencies, the most probable value of $R_{I-B_y}$ is the same for the two groups of pulsations, while for the two higher frequencies, the most probable $R_{I-B_y}$ is also higher for the large-scale pulsations. The fraction of $R_{I-B_y} > 0.1 \text{ A}^2/\text{nT}^2 \times 0.3 \text{ A}/\text{nT}$ in amplitude spectra) is nearly two times higher for the large-scale pulsations, than for the small-scale ones in all the frequency bands. As for the rare events ($F^* \approx 10^{-3}$) with extremely high values of $R_{I-B_y} \geq 1 \text{ A}^2/\text{nT}^2$, their fraction is even higher for the small-scale pulsations, than for the large-scale ones. This effect should be a point of a special study.

Actually, we have used three parameters to discriminate between large-scale and small-scale pulsations, namely, the spectral coherence, phase difference, and South-North PSD ratio. In a real wave, they are not independent. However, we can try to discriminate between their influence on $R_{I-B_y}$. A low coherence at a given frequency means that the phase difference changes essentially during the time interval, for which the spectrum is calculated. Thus, phase difference estimates are valid only for coherent pulsations. We expect that the coherence and phase difference influence $R_{I-B_y}$ in a similar way, because both the low coherence and high phase difference at the EPL length lead to a situation, where different EPL segments contribute to EMF with the opposite signs. On the contrary, the South-to-North PSD ratio influences the GIC only via EMF amplitude variation along the EPL. Thus, the $R_{I-B_y}$ dependence on the $R_{S,N,B_y}$ is expected to be weaker than its dependence on coherence and phase difference.

Figure 10 illustrates pulsation efficiency in GIC generation depending on their coherence, phase, and PSD distribution along the magnetic meridian. For that, $R_{I-B_y}$ spectra averaged over each of the emerging 6 groups of pulsations are calculated.
First, we divide pulsations into small- and large-scale ones, depending on their spec-
tral coherence (marked $S_\gamma$ and $L_\gamma$ in the Figure). This first division allows us to
analyze phase distribution for the group of coherent pulsations ($L_\gamma$). The $L_\gamma$ group
is divided into small- and large-scale sub-groups in accordance to their phase differ-
ence ($L_\gamma S_\gamma$ and $L_\gamma L_\varphi$ in the Figure). At the last stage, we divide the $L_{\gamma} L_{\varphi}$ group
into small- and large-scale sub-groups depending on their South-to-North PSD ratio
$R_{SN, By} (L_\gamma L_\varphi S_P$ and $L_\gamma L_\varphi L_P$ in the Figure).

The average value of $R_{I-B_y}$ ratio is nearly 3 times higher for the $L_\gamma$ and $L_{\gamma} L_{\varphi}$
groups than for the $S_\gamma$ and $L_\gamma S_\varphi$ ones. This means that low coherence and high
phase difference lead to a comparable decrease of pulsation efficiency in GIC gen-
eration.

$R_{I-B_y}$ for one group of pulsations demonstrates specific spectral features at the
high frequency flank of the frequency band analyzed, i.e. in the vicinity of Alfvén
resonance frequency at KEV. In contrast to the other groups, $R_{I-B_y}$ frequency
dependence is not monotonous for the $L_\gamma S_\varphi$ group. It has a maximum at $f =$
3.3 mHz and its value at this frequency is approximately two times higher, than at
the frequency of a local minimum at $f = 3.7$ mHz. This effect should be taken into
account in estimates of expected GIC amplitudes.

A dependence of pulsation efficiency in GIC generation on amplitude distribution
of pulsation’s magnetic field can be seen from the comparison of $R_{I-B_y}$ spectra
of the $L_\gamma L_\varphi L_P$ and $L_\gamma L_\varphi S_P$ groups. One can see from the Figure, that $R_{I-B_y}$
for the pulsations defined as large-scale with all three parameters ($L_\gamma L_\varphi L_P$ group)
is about 2 times higher than that for the $L_\gamma L_\varphi S_P$ group. This demonstrates that
the PSD meridional distribution has a weaker influence on the efficiency of GIC
generation than phase distribution. As for the $R_{I-B_y}$ ratio for the most effective
$L_\gamma L_\varphi L_P$ group, it is 4 times higher than that for the $S_\gamma$ group, for which the GIC
efficiency is minimal. This corresponds to a two times higher GIC amplitude for the
same amplitude of geomagnetic pulsations.

In the final analysis, we return to the classification of pulsations into two groups
and define only the $L_\gamma L_\varphi L_P$ group, as large-scale ($L_{NS}$). All the other pulsations
are considered to be small-scale ($S_{NS}$). The resulting averaged $R_{I-B_y}$ spectra for
these two groups are given in Figure 11(a). The large-scale pulsations produce a
higher average PSD in GIC than the small-scale ones. The $R_{I-B_y}$ ratio grows from
$1.5 \cdot 10^{-2} A^2/nT^2$ at 1.5 mHz to $4.4 \cdot 10^{-2} A^2/nT^2$ at 5 mHz for the large-scale
pulsations and from $5 \cdot 10^{-3}$ to $2.2 \cdot 10^{-2} A^2/nT^2$ for the small-scale ones. Its value
averaged over the frequency band is three times higher for the large-scale pulsations
than for the small-scale ones.

The slopes of the $R_{I-B_y}$ spectra differ for the two groups of pulsations. For the
large-scale pulsations, it corresponds to a model of constant crust conductivity
($\alpha = 0.5$ in the Eq.(1)). The spectrum for the $S_{NS}$ pulsations is close to linear
dependence of GIC amplitude on frequency. This means that the electric current is
drastically proportional to $dB_y/dt$.

The pulsations’ efficiency in GIC production is characterized not only by the mean
values of GIC amplitudes, but also by a fraction of high $R_{I-B_y}$ values. At the lower
panel of the Figure (11, b), the frequency dependence of $R_{I-B_y} > 0.1 A^2/nT^2$
probability $P_{0.1}$ is shown for the same two groups of pulsations, as at the 11a panel.
\[ P_{0.1} \text{ is 2 to 3 times higher for the large-scale than for small-scale pulsations, and at } \]
\[ f > 3 \text{ mHz it exceeds 0.1, i.e. at these frequencies for each tenth interval the GIC} \]
\[ \text{to } B_Y \text{ PSD ratio exceeds 0.1 } A^2/nT^2. \]

**Discussion**

Using the GIC and magnetic field data recorded in the Russian North and Fennoscandia in 2015, we have analyzed the influence of Pc5/Pi3 spatial scale on the efficiency of GIC generation. Our results are based on the analysis of GIC in the EPL prolonged along the meridian and \( B_Y \) component of geomagnetic pulsations.

The GIC to \( B_Y \) PSD spectral ratio \( R_{I-B_y} \) varies from \( 10^{-4} \) to \( 1 A^2/nT^2 \) with most probable values of \( 1 - 3 \cdot 10^{-2} A^2/nT^2 \) depending on pulsation frequency and spatial scale. The pulsation scale in the E-W direction (transversal to the EPL), is important, because the magnetic field of E-W short-scale pulsations can differ essentially at longitudes of the EPL and magnetic station.

The N-S large-scale pulsations generate more intensive GICs than the short-scale pulsations of the same amplitudes. Dependence of Pc5/Pi3 GIC efficiency on phase and coherence is stronger than on PSD distribution along a meridian.

A non-monotonous dependence of \( R_{I-B_y} \) on frequency, found for the \( L_{\gamma} S_{\varphi} \) group (coherent pulsations with high phase difference), is probably associated with the FLR (Baransky et al, 1995). Although, this effect is expressed brightly in \( B_X \), weaker resonance features are also found in meridional distribution of \( B_Y \) amplitude and phase (Lifshicz and Fedorov, 1986). In the vicinity of a resonance latitude, phase and amplitude gradients are higher than at non-resonant latitudes and the pulsation amplitude grows southward. In the case of a meridional EPL, \( R_{I-B_y} \) decreases with absolute value of phase difference. Meanwhile, for the system analyzed, \( R_{I-B_y} \) increases with the South-to-North PSD ratio. As a result, phase and amplitude gradients have opposite influence on the efficiency of GIC generation.

The combination of these two factors can lead to a non-monotonous dependence of \( R_{I-B_y} \) on frequency.

The slopes of \( R_{I-B_y} \) spectra, shown in Figure 11, differ for the two groups of pulsations. For large- and small-scale pulsations it corresponds to \( \alpha = 0.5 \) and 1 respectively in the Eq.(1). Under the average conductivity in Fennoscandia of about \( 10^{-4} \text{ S/m} \), the skin depth for the \( 1.5 - 5 \text{ mHz frequency band} \) is about several hundred kilometers. For such values of conductivity, wavelength of large-scale pulsations exceeds the skin depth. In the case of small-scale pulsations, effective depth of the GIC electric circuit is of the same order of magnitude as the pulsation wavelength.

This explains the difference in effective conductivity distributions between these two cases.

The statistical analysis of pulsation intervals recorded during the year 2015 has shown that the yearly mean values of \( R_{I-B_y} \) are about three times higher for the N-S large-scale \( (L_{NS}) \) pulsations, than for the small-scale \( (S_{NS}) \) ones. Meanwhile, a lower contrast in frequency integrated \( R_{I-B_y} \) values is obtained for the conductivity distributions described by the Eq. 1 with \( \alpha = 0.5 \) and 1. This means, that Pc3/Pi5 GIC efficiency depends on pulsation’s scale at least as much as on conductivity distribution from the ground surface to the skin-depth.

Meanwhile, our present knowledge of magnetic field polarization, frequency and spatial distribution for different kinds of geomagnetic disturbances is not enough.
1 for GIC applications. Actually, the absolute majority of publications is based on
2 the data on extremal geomagnetic disturbances like magnetic storms. These distur-
3 bances are global. Therefore, the problem of spatial scale analysis does not exist for
4 them.
5
6 The GICs generated at non-storm time are not so extensively studied. Amplitudes
7 of non-storm GICs are lower than those of storm-time ones. However, background
8 variations of moderate amplitudes may be even more important because they occur
9 more often and it is more difficult to predict them.
10
11 In a general case, a theoretical solution for GIC can be obtained for a spatial har-
12 monic and then integrated. An empirical model for spatial distribution of pulsation
13 magnetic field would be necessary for that. Incomplete data on Earth conductivity
14 distribution and on the elements of an electric network calls for a comprehensive
15 empirical study of the GIC dependence on pulsation spectra, polarization and spa-
16 tial distribution. However, at present, our understanding of ULF related GICs is
17 limited even for Pc5 pulsations, whereas quasi-sinusoidal waves are not the only
18 type of auroral disturbances. During severe disturbances, intensive irregular broad-
19 band variations are also common (see, e.g. (Posch et al, 2003)). GIC efficiency of
18 some of these pulsations may be higher than that of the usual Pc5s. A similar effect
19 can be caused by a coincidence of wave and bay-like disturbances (Yagova et al,
20 2018).
21
22 If wave field is essentially inhomogeneous, a qualitative technique employed in the
23 present study, based on division into groups and accumulation of phase and ampli-
24 tude information as separate parameters, may be of use. The above analysis allows
25 to estimate the boundary between large- and small-scale pulsations as \(2 - 5 \times 10^2\) km,
26 depending on the direction and a particular variable studied. It is worth noting, that
27 the meaning of the term "small-scale pulsation" can be different depending on the
28 problem analyzed. A pulsation scale in the GIC problem is defined by phase and
29 amplitude variation at an EPL length for the direction along the EPL and between
30 the EPL and the nearest magnetic station for the transversal direction. Meanwhile,
31 these pulsations are usually classified as medium-scale in the papers devoted to
32 wave properties in the magnetosphere (see, e.g. Mager et al (2019)).
33
34 The above analysis of auroral observations can only partly be applied to the
35 problem of ULF-related GICs at low and middle latitudes. Here, different effects
36 are expected for Pc5 and Pc3-4 frequency ranges.
37
38 Pc5/Pi3 amplitudes at middle and low latitudes are high enough for GIC gener-
39 ation only during the main (Lee et al, 2007) or recovery (Kleimenova et al, 2005)
36 phase of geomagnetic storms. These pulsations are usually global and almost in-
37 phase at long distances along a meridian. However, essential amplitude and phase
38 gradients are found near the plasmapause projection (Kale et al, 2007) and in the
39 narrow near-equatorial region (Fedorov et al, 1999). This leads to non-negligible dif-
40 ferences in the GICs modeled from magnetic measurements at different low-latitude
41 sites (Ngwira et al, 2009). E-W distribution of Pc5 magnetic field at middle and low
42 latitudes reproduces (in main features) its distribution at auroral latitudes. Thus,
43 their E-W spatial scale can be important for GICs in EPLs prolonged in the E-W
44 direction.
45
46 GICs generated by Pc3-4 pulsations have not been studied till now. Although, no
47 extreme GIC amplitudes are expected for these pulsations, the question of potential
GIC risks related to these pulsations, is to be studied. This research is slowed by 1-minute time resolution typical for the majority of GIC measurements.

The new information about GIC dependence on pulsation properties is to be integrated into the existing picture of GIC generation. First, a consideration of interference of different inhomogeneities is necessary. The greatest effect can be expected for inhomogeneities of comparable spatial scales. Here, two separate topics maybe formulated: interference of wave finite wavelength effect with 1) inhomogeneity of the Earth conductivity and 2) configuration of the electric network. The first problem requires the inclusion of satellite data into analysis of ULF-related GICs to discriminate between space and ground sources of pulsation field gradients. For the second problem, finite pulsation wavelength should be included into the models of GIC dependence on electric network configuration (see e.g. Pirjola (2008) and references therein). Pirjola (2008) has proved that inter-node interaction becomes important at distances of about few dozen kilometers for spatially uniform magnetic field. In general, the role of distance between nodes was proved to be small. The low limit of pulsation spatial scale is about 100 km because of ionospheric screening (Kokubun et al, 1989). This value is high in comparison with the one, for which inter-node distance contributes to GIC. Thus, no essential synergetic effects between pulsation scale and inter-node distance are expected. Some inter-dependence of these two factors may occur only at auroral latitudes, where intensive pulsations, with essential amplitude and phase gradients are common.

Conclusion

The pulsation spatial scale in frequency range of several milliHertz (Pc5/Pi3) influences their efficiency in GIC generation and similarity of geomagnetic and GIC pulsations. The statistical and case studies of GIC and geomagnetic pulsations were carried out with the geomagnetic and GIC data recorded in 2015 in the "Northern Transit" EPL, prolonged along the meridian. Higher coherence between geomagnetic and GIC variations is found for the E-W large-scale pulsations. The N-S large-scale pulsations generate more intensive GICs, than the small-scale pulsations of the same amplitudes. The spectral power of GICs generated by large-scale pulsations is three times higher, than for the small-scale ones. This proves, that at auroral latitudes, horizontal inhomogeneity of pulsation magnetic field is an important factor controlling their efficiency in GIC generation.
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Figure 1. Locations of the magnetic observatories, the observational point of GIC recording at VKH. The electric power line is schematically shown with a red dashed line.
Figure 2 Event 1 Pulsations recorded in the geomagnetic field and GIC on day 2015 060. (a) waveforms of $B_Y$ pulsations at KEV (magenta) and GIC at VKH (dark blue); (b) normalized PSD spectra; (c) $B - I$ spectral coherence for $B_Y$ (solid line) and $B_X$ - (dashed); (d) GIC to $B_Y$ PSD ratio

Figure 3 Parameters of the event 1 pulsation in the E-W direction: (a) $B_Y$ waveforms at KEV (magenta) and KIL (green); (b) normalized PSD spectra; (c) E-W spectral coherence for $B_Y$ (solid) and $B_X$ (dashed); (d) E-W PSD ratio for $B_Y$ (solid), and $B_X$ (dashed)

Figure 4 Parameters of the event 1 pulsation in the N-S direction: (a) and (b) $B_Y$ and $B_X$ waveforms at KEV (magenta) and SOD (blue); (c) normalized PSD spectra; (d) and (e) N-S spectral coherence and phase difference for $B_Y$ (solid) and $B_X$ (dashed); (f) South-to-North PSD ratio for $B_Y$ (solid) and $B_X$ (dashed)

Figure 5 Event 2 Pulsations recorded in the geomagnetic field and GIC on day 2015 132. (a) waveforms of $B_Y$ pulsations at KEV (magenta) and GIC at VKH (dark blue); (b) normalized PSD spectra; (c) $B - I$ spectral coherence for $B_Y$ (solid) and $B_X$ (dashed); (d) $I$ to $B_Y$ PSD ratio

Figure 6 Parameters of the event 2 pulsation in the E-W direction: (a) $B_Y$ waveforms at KEV (magenta) and KIL (green); (b) normalized PSD spectra; (c) E-W spectral coherence for $B_Y$ (solid) and $B_X$ (dashed)

Figure 7 Parameters of the event 2 pulsation in the N-S direction: (a) and (b) $B_Y$ and $B_X$ waveforms at KEV (magenta) and SOD (blue); (c) normalized PSD spectra; (d) and (e) N-S spectral coherence and phase difference for $B_Y$ (solid) and $B_X$ (dashed); (f) South-to-North PSD ratio for $B_Y$ (solid) and $B_X$ (dashed)

Figure 8 $γ_{I - B_Y}^2$ empirical PDF for the E-W large- ($L_{EW}$) and small-scale ($S_{EW}$) pulsations

Figure 9 $R_{I - B_Y}$ normalized PDF for the N-S large ($L_{NS}$) small-scale ($S_{NS}$) pulsations

Figure 10 Averaged $R_{I - B_Y}$ spectra for 6 groups of pulsations: 1)-2) small-scale $S_y$ and large-scale $L_y$ in accordance to the N-S spectral coherence; 3)-4) $L_y S_y$ and $L_y L_{LP}$ are the small-/large-scale sub-groups of the $L_y$ group defined in accordance to the phase difference; 5)-6) $L_y S_y S_y$ and $L_y L_{LP} L_{LP}$ are the small-/large-scale sub-groups of the $L_y L_{LP}$ groups defined in accordance to the South-to-North PSD ratio.

Figure 11 (a) Averaged $R_{I - B_Y}$ spectra for the N-S large- ($L_{NS}$) and small-scale ($S_{NS}$) pulsations ($L_{NS} = L_y L_{LP}$ in Figure 10, while all the other groups form the $S_{NS}$ group); (b) frequency dependence of $R_{I - B_Y} > 0.1 A^2/nT^2$ fraction for the same groups of pulsations

Table 1 Stations Information

| Station     | Code | Geographic LAT | LON  | CGM LAT(Φ) | LON(Λ) | UT of MLT midnight |
|-------------|------|----------------|------|-------------|--------|--------------------|
| Kevo        | KEV  | 69.76          | 27.01| 66.65       | 108.35 | 21.06              |
| Kilpisjärvi | KIL  | 69.02          | 20.79| 66.13       | 102.80 | 21.28              |
| Sodankylä  | SOD  | 67.37          | 26.63| 64.22       | 106.52 | 21.13              |
| Vykhodnoy  | VKH  | 68.83          | 33.08| 65.53       | 112.73 | 20.49              |