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Chandra Probes the X-Ray Variability of M51 ULX-7: Evidence of Propeller Transition and X-Ray Dips on Orbital Periods
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Abstract

We report on the temporal properties of the ultraluminous X-ray (ULX) pulsar M51 ULX-7 inferred from the analysis of the 2018–2020 Swift/X-ray Telescope monitoring data and archival Chandra data obtained over a period of 33 days in 2012. We find an extended low flux state, which might be indicative of propeller transition, lending further support to the interpretation that the neutron star is rotating near equilibrium. Alternatively, this off-state could be related to a variable superorbital period. Moreover, we report the discovery of periodic dips in the X-ray light curve that are associated with the binary orbital period. The presence of the dips implies a configuration where the orbital plane of the binary is closer to an edge-on orientation, and thus demonstrates that favorable geometries are not necessary in order to observe ULX pulsars. These characteristics are similar to those seen in prototypical X-ray pulsars such as Her X-1 and SMC X-1 or other ULX pulsars such as NGC 5907 ULX1.

Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts: High mass x-ray binary stars (733); Neutron stars (1108); Pulsars (1306)

1. Introduction

Ultraluminous X-ray (ULX) sources (Kaaret et al. 2017) are off-nuclear extragalactic X-ray binary systems with an apparent isotropic luminosity that exceeds the Eddington limit for an accretion-powered stellar mass black hole (i.e., $L_X > 10^{38}$ erg s$^{-1}$). Given their high luminosity, ULXs were thought to host the elusive intermediate-mass black holes. Remarkably, there has been undisputed evidence within the past years that at least a few of these systems are powered by accreting highly magnetized neutron stars (NSs); these are known as ULX pulsars (ULXPs, Bachetti et al. 2014; Fürst et al. 2016; Israel et al. 2017a; Carpano et al. 2018b; Rodríguez Castillo et al. 2020). This discovery is consistent with theoretical predictions (e.g., Basko & Sunyaev 1976; Mushnikov et al. 2015) that argue that NSs can break the barrier set by the Eddington limit ($L_{\text{Edd}} \sim 1.4 \times 10^{38} M_\odot \text{ erg s}^{-1}$) for strong magnetic fields ($B$). Moreover, an increasing number of authors have put forward the proposition that a major fraction of ULXs are powered by NSs rather than black holes (e.g., King et al. 2017; Koliopanos et al. 2017; Walton et al. 2018).

For a standard accretion disk (Shakura & Sunyaev 1973), as the accretion rate reaches the Eddington limit, the radiation pressure dominates the inner part of the accretion disk, causing a large fraction of the accreted material to be lost through outflows (Poutanen et al. 2007). Material is expelled inside the spherization radius $R_{\text{pbh}}$ and the outflow is not spherical but forms a funnel-like structure (see Figure 1). In the context of ULXPs, the disk is truncated at the magnetospheric radius $R_M$. For high $B$ values, truncation can therefore occur outside $R_{\text{pbh}}$. However, it has been proposed that outflows could form in a similar manner inside $R_M$, as material is accreted onto the NS via the magnetic field lines (King et al. 2017).

Superorbital modulation of ULXs is possible through precession of the funnel (Dauser et al. 2017). For three known ULXPs (M51 ULX-7, M82 X-2, and NGC 5907 ULX1) superorbital periodicities (40 days, 60 days, and 78 days, respectively) are evident in their X-ray light curves (see Brightman et al. 2020, and references therein). The observed flux ($F_X$) during a superorbital cycle can vary by a factor of 100, but there has been no concrete evidence for spectral changes indicating accretor-to-propeller transitions (Illarionov & Sunyaev 1975). These transitions occur when the inner disk radius (i.e., the magnetosphere of the NS) becomes larger than the corotation radius of the NS, the centrifugal drag causes material to be propelled away instead of accreted. Alternatively, the changes in $F_X$ can be due to obscuration by a precessing accretion disk and a funnel formed by optically thick outflows (Middleton et al. 2018). A firm confirmation of this scenario has been shown in the case of NGC 300 ULX1, where a stable spin-up rate has been maintained during epochs of variable $F_X$ (Vasilopoulos et al. 2019). However, the engine behind precession is still unclear; it could be the tidal force from the massive companion star, the interaction with the magnetosphere of the NS, the irradiation of the warped disk, or even the NS free precession (see Vasilopoulos et al. 2020a, and references within). Constraints in theoretical models can only be derived by monitoring ULXPs and their superorbital periodicity, the study of the stability of this periodicity, and the occurrence of on- and off-states. One of the unanswered fundamental questions about ULXPs is whether their X-ray emission is beamed toward the observer, and what the beaming factor is, i.e., the apparent luminosity is higher than the true on $L_{\text{app}} = bL$. For a narrow funnel (see Figure 1), beaming could be very strong, while for wide funnels, the beaming factor could be smaller than 2. This means that the bolometric X-ray emission of the source is only overestimated by a small factor. Given the lack of physically self-consistent spectral models and the degeneracy of phenomenological ones (e.g., Koliopanos et al. 2017; Koliopanos et al. 2019), we should perhaps look at temporal properties for observational constraints on $b$. 


Gürpide et al. (2021) have processed archival data of 17 ULXs to investigate their long-term X-ray spectral evolution. Motivated by their work and using the products of their analysis, we studied the variability of M51 ULX-7, the only ULXP with an orbit that can be continuously monitored by X-ray observatories (Townsend & Charles 2020). M51 ULX-7 is a ULXP (Rodríguez Castillo et al. 2020) hosting an NS rotating with a spin period of $\sim 2.8$ s. The binary period is 1.9969 days, while Swift/X-ray Telescope (XRT; Burrows et al. 2005) monitoring revealed the presence of a superorbital modulation with a period of $\sim 38-39$ days (Brightman et al. 2020; Vasilopoulos et al. 2020a). Here we report on the discovery of an irregular off-state within the superorbital cycle of M51 ULX-7 and the discovery of periodic dips in the X-ray light curve computed from archival Chandra data. The detection of eclipses offers new insights into the geometrical configuration of ULXPs, and could provide an independent constraint on the beaming factor of M51 ULX-7.

**2. Data Analysis and Results**

**2.1. Probing the Superorbital Modulation**

For this study we used X-ray data from the M51 monitoring (Brightman et al. 2020) by the Neil Gehrels Swift Observatory (Gehrels et al. 2004) X-ray Telescope (XRT; Burrows et al. 2005). Swift/XRT data were analyzed following the pipeline developed by Brightman et al. (2020). To estimate an updated superorbital period, we computed the Lomb–Scargle (LS) periodogram (Scargle 1982) for the 2018–2020 data shown in Figure 2. A period of $\sim 38.86$ days was found. A systematic uncertainty on the derivation of the superorbital period has to do with the treatment of marginal detections by Swift/XRT. By following Vasilopoulos et al. (2020a), many low flux points are consistent with upper limits. When all lower flux points with rates lower than 0.005 c s$^{-1}$ are ignored, the LS periodogram yields a periodicity of 38.94 days. The difference between the two methods may be considered as an estimate of the uncertainty of the superorbital period. Thus the superorbital period should be $\sim 38.9$ days, while the superorbital maximum

---

**Figure 1.** Schematic of outflows from an accretion disk during super-Eddington accretion. Outflows can start from the disk (i.e., orange shade), or even inside the magnetosphere (i.e., cyan shade) given the super-Eddington luminosity. The walls of the outflow create a funnel, and an observer can see the central source if it is in a favorable orientation (i.e., left panel). The pulsed emission is shown with dotted blue lines, while reprocessed emission is shown with dotted red lines. Given the extent of the funnel, coherent pulsations are diluted, while the spectral shape of the emission should also alter. If the disk precesses, the funnel also follows the same motion, and thus the observer sees a superorbital modulation. If the observer’s line of sight is obscured by the funnel walls, then only nonpulsating emission from the funnel walls should be visible (i.e., right panel).

**Figure 2.** X-ray light curve of M51 ULX-7 based on the 2018–2020 Swift/XRT monitoring of the region. Points below 0.005 c s$^{-1}$ may be considered as nondetection or upper limits as they correspond to fewer than $\sim 5–10$ total counts. A sinusoidal curve with a period of 38.86 days is plotted to guide the eye.
flux is expected at MJD 58,246.017 ± 38.9 days, where $N$ is an integer. Compared to the previous studies of M51 ULX-7 (see Brightman et al. 2020; Vasilopoulos et al. 2020a), a superorbital periodicity is evident in the updated data set. However, for a 100-day interval around MJD 58,900–59,000 days, the observed modulation appears to fall out of phase compared to the general trend (see shaded area in Figure 2), before returning to the normal phase at a later time (i.e., around MJD 59,040 days).

M51 was observed 16 times by Chandra. Most of these observations have short exposure times (e.g., ~20 ks) and thus only contribute to the study of the variability of M51 ULX-7 on timescales of a few hours (i.e., 2 hr long dips reported by Liu et al. 2002). M51 was observed by Chandra between 2012 September 9 and October 10 (PI: Kuntz) with a few long visits (i.e., >100 ks). Earnshaw et al. (2016) analyzed the 2012 data and reported on the properties of M51 ULX-7, but the X-ray light curves were not presented in their work. In Table 1 we present the Chandra observations that we used in our analysis. These are C6-C12 as defined in Table 1 of Earnshaw et al. (2016). Data reduction was performed with the CIAO software (Fruscione et al. 2006). To create light curves, the source (background) events were extracted from circular regions with a radius of 3 (20) arcsec (following Earnshaw et al. 2016). We used standard FTOOLS scripts to perform event selection and create light curves. For the light curve we used a 6000 s binning to compromise between obtaining acceptable statistics and sufficient timing resolution (see Figure 4). To investigate spectral changes, we estimated the spectral hardness ratio (HR) from each 6000 s interval. We defined the HR as the ratio of the difference over the sum of the number of counts in two subsequent energy bands: $HR = (R_{i+1} - R_i)/(R_{i+1} + R_i)$, where $R_i$ is the background-subtracted count rate in a specific energy band (i.e., 0.3–1.5 keV and 1.5–8.0 keV). HRs were computed with a Bayesian estimator tool (Park et al. 2006).

In Figure 4 we plot the X-ray light curve obtained from the Chandra observations in 2012 September. The modulation looks consistent with the reported 38.9-day superorbital modulation of M51 ULX-7 (Vasilopoulos et al. 2020a). To test this, we extrapolated the superorbital solution derived by fitting a sinusoidal function to the Swift/XRT monitoring data, as shown with a blue line in Figure 2. We scaled the function by a factor of 3, which is the ratio of the ACIS-S to XRT spectral response given the spectral properties reported by Earnshaw et al. (2016), i.e., an absorbed power law with $\Gamma = 1.5$ and $N_H = 1.5 \times 10^{21} \text{cm}^{-2}$. The result is shown in Figure 4 by the dashed blue line. For clarity, we also plot a sinusoidal function computed for the upper limit of the superorbital period, i.e., 38.94 days. The agreement with the Chandra data is good for the first part of the light curve. However, during the final Chandra observation (obs ID: 15553), the flux remained at a low level. To further investigate the drop in flux within the last observation, we derived accurate count rates for each Chandra pointing. We performed source detection using the CIAO wavdetect tool, which implements a wavelet analysis on the X-ray images. The resulting count rates are given in Table 1. The drop in flux seen around MJD 56,210 (obs ID: 15553) can be compared with observations taken about 15 days earlier (obs ID: 13815), when the flux should have been similar according to the superorbital modulation. Thus we find that on MJD 56,210, the flux of M51 ULX-7 is ~80 times lower than expected.

### 2.2. Detection of Periodic X-Ray Dips

The Chandra light curve shows fast variability on timescales of a few 1000 s, which is consistent with other studies of the system (Liu et al. 2002; Earnshaw et al. 2016). In particular, Liu et al. (2002) claimed the presence of a 2 hr periodicity in early Chandra data, which only had 20 ks exposure time, however. Looking at isolated chunks of data, it is easy to find trends such as dips and flares that nevertheless could be random in nature. However, knowing that the system has a 1.9969-day orbital period, we can guide the eye to identify any patterns. By doing so, we identify that the three strongest dips appear to be periodic. These are marked with vertical red lines in Figure 4. These three dips are not defined by a single point, but have a structure that resembles a trough. Moreover, the first of these appears to be the strongest, but this might also be related to the better statistics obtained in the first Chandra visit, which occurred during the maximum of the superorbital phase. For visualization purposes, we detrended the light curve by fitting a linear regression model to the data; this is shown in middle panel of Figure 4. Finally, we folded the data of the first 15 days with the orbital period (see Figure 5). The drop in flux during the dips is about 20%–30%, but given the intrinsic difficulties in determining a baseline flux, this should be considered as an upper limit.

To investigate the statistical significance of the periodic dips, we used various tests based on epoch folding (Davies 1990). The data were folded for a series of test periods, and the resulting profiles were then tested against constancy using a $\chi^2$ test, or a maximization of variance. We used the normalized ratio light curve produced from the three observations where the dips are distinguished (obs IDs: 13812–13814). We folded the ratio light curve for test periods between 0.5 and 3.5 days. Then we binned the folded profile to obtain 20 average measurements (similar to the smoothed profile in Figure 5), and we calculated the variance of these average values. Finally, we repeated the procedure for 10,000 simulated data sets to estimate the false-alarm probability. The result is plotted in the right panel of Figure 5.

Given that the statistical significance of the X-ray dips has been established, we should address whether they can be associated with a specific phase of the orbital period. The orbital ephemeris of the system was determined using XMM-Newton data obtained between MJD 58,251 and 58,281 days (Rodríguez Castillo et al. 2020). Given that the Chandra data were obtained about 1100 orbits before this, the ephemeris
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**Table 1**

| Obs ID | Date      | Exposure (ks) | Rate        |
|--------|-----------|---------------|-------------|
| 13812  | 2012 Sep 12 | 159           | $5.07 \pm 0.06$ |
| 13813  | 2012 Sep 09 | 181           | $6.00 \pm 0.06$ |
| 13814  | 2012 Sep 22 | 192           | $3.88 \pm 0.05$ |
| 13815  | 2012 Sep 23 | 68            | $2.58 \pm 0.06$ |
| 13816  | 2012 Sep 26 | 74            | $0.65 \pm 0.03$ |
| 13814  | 2012 Sep 19 | 42            | $4.44 \pm 0.10$ |
| 15553  | 2012 Oct 10 | 38            | $0.032 \pm 0.010$ |

Notes:

- All data were obtained with the ACIS-S camera.
- Net count rates (0.3–8 keV band) derived from the wavdetect tool.
cannot be extrapolated with enough accuracy to actually compare the predicted transitions of the optical star in front of the NS (i.e., time of ascending nodes: $T_{\text{asc}}$) with the X-ray dips. For this comparison we used the the Swift/XRT data from the 2018–2020 monitoring of the system (MJD 58,000–59,125 days). Given that individual observations can span up to one day, we performed source detection to individual snapshots and folded the resulting light curve with the orbital period. We found no evidence of X-ray dips in the Swift/XRT orbit-folded light curve. Nevertheless, this should be expected due to the low effective area of XRT and the short exposures that resulted in high uncertainties for individual detections. Specifically, for the 170 snapshots in which the source was detected, the uncertainties were about $38\% \pm 15\%$, i.e., similar to or larger than the expected drop in flux during the dips seen in Chandra data (i.e., $15\%–30\%$).

### 3. Discussion

Following the discovery of pulsations originating from the NS in M51 ULX-7, it has been shown that in order to model the spectral and temporal properties of the system self-consistently, the NS should have a very strong magnetic field and should be in the fast-rotator regime (Vasilopoulos et al. 2020a). Vasilopoulos et al. (2020a) also argued that the $\sim 39$-day superorbital modulation of the ULX could be triggered by (or related to) free precession of the NS, which surprisingly requires an NS magnetic field of $3–4 \times 10^{13}$ G, in quantitative agreement with the spin equilibrium predictions. As a consequence, strong outflows are not expected from the accretion disk (since $R_{\text{MD}} > R_{\text{eq}}$). Thus, the opening funnel of any outflow should be large, and as a result, we do not expect strong beaming by the system, and accretion onto the NS is indeed 10–30 times above the Eddington limit (Vasilopoulos et al. 2020a). In the following paragraphs, we discuss how the newly reported patterns of X-ray variability can be interpreted and address some of the open questions for M51 ULX-7 and ULXPs, such as investigating beaming and the engine behind superorbital modulation.

#### 3.1. Propeller Transition, or an Unstable Superorbital Clock

In terms of superorbital variability, the agreement between the modulation seen in the Chandra data and the phase of the expected maximum of the superorbital period computed by the Swift/XRT monitoring data is remarkable, especially considering that the Swift and Chandra data are separated by about 50 superorbital cycles. Thus, the drops may be related to a transition to the propeller state, as has been speculated for similar drops in flux seen in NGC 5907 ULX1 (Fürst et al. 2017). This drop in flux is in agreement with the behavior seen by Swift/XRT around MJD 55,715 days (see Vasilopoulos et al. 2020a), where during a 70-day monitoring, M51 ULX-7 was not detected in the final 20 days of the monitoring, when the rise of its flux was expected according to the superorbital period. However, in both epochs, there are no additional monitoring data to determine the duration of the off-state, or to investigate whether the superorbital period was different than the one determined by the 2018–2020 monitoring. A similar off-state is seen in the Swift/XRT data around MJD 58,900 days (see Figures 2, 3), where the flux dropped near zero for three consecutive visits. In this case, although the superorbital cycle seemed to be disturbed, the superorbital period returned to its normal beating pace after a few cycles.

A stable superorbital period would also be in agreement with the requirements of the NS free-precession mechanism, as the ratio of superorbital and NS spin period should be proportional to the NS $B$ field. Because a transition to the propeller regime can occur with minimum change in mass accretion if the accretion disk is truncated near the NS corotation radius, this drop in flux lends further support to the findings of Vasilopoulos et al. (2020a), who proposed that the NS has a magnetic field $\sim 3–7 \times 10^{13}$ G and is rotating near its equilibrium period. Nevertheless, a variable superorbital period cannot be excluded for M51 ULX-7. If a variable period is confirmed with future monitoring data, this would reveal a similar observational behavior as for high-mass X-ray binaries (HMXB) pulsars such as SMC X-1 (Trowbridge et al. 2007).

Assuming that the off-state is associated with propeller transition, we can derive the NS magnetic field $B$ that would be required for the transition to occur. Following Campana et al. (2018a), we find that

$$B = 10^{12} \left( \frac{L_{\text{X,min}}}{2 \times 10^{38} \text{ erg s}^{-1}} \right)^{1/2} (P/1 \text{s})^{3/2} \xi^{-7/2} \text{ G},$$

where $\xi$ is a normalization factor with a typical value of 0.5 (but see the case of ULXs, Chashkina et al. 2019, where $\xi$ can be higher), and $L_{\text{X,min}}$ is the minimum luminosity before the propeller transition. Given that the superorbital modulation is due to precession (see Figure 1), its true $L_X$ is similar to the maximum X-ray luminosity within the superorbital cycle. For M51 ULX-7, this is about $7 \times 10^{39}$ erg s$^{-1}$ in the 0.3–10.0 keV band (Rodríguez Castillo et al. 2020; Gúrpide et al. 2021), but for ULXPs, the bolometric luminosity could be higher by a factor of 2 (Koliopanos et al. 2017; Gúrpide et al. 2021). Moreover, it has already been established that the observed $L_X$ is only boosted by small beaming (Vasilopoulos et al. 2020a), of the order of 2 or lower (see also Section 3.3). Because many of the above uncertainties cancel out, we can adopt a value of $L_{\text{X,min}} \sim 7 \times 10^{39}$ erg s$^{-1}$ for propeller transition (but we caution the reader for the above mentioned uncertainties), thus based on Equation (1), we find $B \sim 4 – 9 \times 10^{13}$ G. We note...
that depending on the torque model that is used, the propeller transition can occur very close (factor < 2) to the $L_X$ that probes spin equilibrium for a given magnetic field (see comparisons in Parfrey et al. 2016; Vasilopoulos et al. 2018). Thus, Equation (1) yields a similar magnetic field estimate to the value derived by just assuming that the NS is rotating near equilibrium (see discussions for M51 ULX-7 and M82 X-2 in Eksi et al. 2015; Vasilopoulos et al. 2020a).

So far, we have connected the off-states of M51 ULX-7 with the source transitioning from accretor to propeller regime. Thus, during the off-state, the source has moved far down in the luminosity gap for X-ray pulsars (i.e., Corbet gap; Corbet 1996). However, given the spin period of $\sim$2.8 s, this transition should have resulted in a drop\(^6\) in $L_X$ of $\sim$330 and not just $\sim$80. This discrepancy may be explained when we assume that even in the propeller regime, there is still some residual accretion that can penetrate the magnetospheric barrier (Spruit & Taam 1993), a mechanism that has been supported by theory and simulations (e.g., D’Angelo & Spruit 2012; Parfrey & Tchekhovskoy 2017; Romanova et al. 2018).

An opposing view would be that during the off-state, M51 ULX-7 is still in the accretor regime. This limit may be used to place a lower limit on the propeller stage assuming that the source is then on the propeller line. By using Equation (1), we find $B \sim 5 \times 10^{12}$ G. However, this low $B$ field value poses difficulties in explaining the very low NS spin-up rate ($\dot{P}_{\text{NS}}$) that is observed during maximum X-ray luminosity (Rodríguez Castillo et al. 2020). Given all the observational evidence, to account for this low $B$ value and the observed $\dot{P}_{\text{NS}}$, we would need to change our basic assumptions (for the accretion disk) in order to decrease the rate of angular momentum transfer. Inefficient angular momentum transfer may be achieved if the accretion disk is misaligned with the NS rotation axis, or if the inner disk velocity significantly deviates from the Keplerian approximation.

3.2. M51 ULX-7 as an ULXP Analog of Her X-1

The study of ULXPs has revealed not only that some host strongly magnetized NSs, but also that this might be the norm for a large fraction of ULXs (King et al. 2017; Koliopanos et al. 2017). Thus, it is natural to look for similarities (and also differences) in individual ULXPs and HMXBs, which host the majority of X-ray pulsars. The NS spin period as well as the orbital and superorbital periods of M51 ULX-7 (2.8 s, 1.99 days and $\sim$40 days) are close to the values (1.24 s, 1.7 days and $\sim$35 days) of the prototypical X-ray pulsar Her X-1 (Tananbaum et al. 1972; Katz 1973). With regard to the superorbital modulation, it has been proposed for both systems that NS free precession could play an important role (Truemper et al. 1986; Staubert et al. 2009; Vasilopoulos et al. 2020a).

Another characteristic feature of Her X-1 is the presence of eclipses that coincide with the orbital period of the binary. Our study of M51 ULX-7 found evidence of similar features that occur periodically and could help to further constrain the properties of the system. In order to understand the nature of the X-ray dips in M51 ULX-7, we can thus refer to the plethora of theoretical models proposed for Her X-1. In Her X-1, full eclipses occur when X-rays are obscured by the companion star, but its X-ray light curve shows characteristic X-ray dips, commonly referred to as pre-eclipse or anomalous dips. The pre-eclipse dips (2–5 hr long) occur before the eclipse and gradually march backward in phase within the superorbital cycle. Anomalous dips (1–2 hr long) occur at the same orbital phase, but there is evidence of cold matter absorption, in contrast to the pre-eclipse dips (Reynolds & Parmar 1995). Theoretical explanations of these dips include dynamical, hydrodynamic, and radiative interactions between the accretion stream, the warped accretion disk, and the companion star (e.g., Schandl 1996; Shkakura et al. 1999). If the stream falls into the warped disk with an angle, the formation of a cold clumpy spray is possible, which in turn will cover the central source once per orbit (Schandl 1996). In this scenario, a turbulent thickening of the warped disk is also possible.

A different cause for the X-ray dips is obscuration by the stellar wind of the companion. For X-ray binaries it is important to take the strong X-ray illumination of the companion star into account that can affect the geometry of the stellar winds. It has been shown that X-ray illumination can cause the formation of a so-called shadow wind by the companion in luminous HMXBs (Blondin 1994). By performing 2D hydrodynamic simulations, Blondin (1994) found that for high X-ray luminosities the gas that resides on the stellar surface exposed to the X-ray source will be highly photo-ionized, and thus halt the formation of a radiation-driven wind from that side (see Haberl et al. 1989, for an application to 4U 1700-37). Given that stellar wind can still escape from the other side of the star, enhanced column density is still possible at favorable orientations and orbital phases. In fact, the shadow wind model has been offered as a possible mechanism to explain periodic dips seen during a super-Eddington outburst of SMC X-2 (Li et al. 2016). For systems such as Her X-1, the irradiation of the companion star can also depend on the binary orbital phase (Shakura et al. 1999). In this scenario, the (phase-dependent) shadowing of the companion by the disk leads to the formation of flows from the orbital plane and could in principle shadow the central source once per orbit.

For M51 ULX-7 it is possible to imagine a similar scenario, where either (or all) of the above mechanisms can provide the necessary conditions to form the X-ray dips under a favorable orientation. Nevertheless, the lack of significant spectral change during the dips (see HRs in Figure 4) could be an indication of obscuration from fully ionized material rather than cold matter.

3.3. Constraints on Orbit Inclination and ULX Beaming

At this point, and without further observations of M51 ULX-7 during full orbital cycles, it is not possible to test different models such as those introduced in Section 3.2. However, we might test the extreme case in which the dips are caused by partial obscuration by material very close to the Roche-lobe radius, which might be at the Lagrangian points or the inner wind of the companion star. The Roche-lobe radius, from the vantage point of the NS, will subtend an area on the sky with an angular radius $\theta$, i.e.,

$$\tan(\theta) \approx \frac{R_{RL}}{a} = \frac{0.49q^{2/3}}{0.6q^{2/3} + \ln(1 + q^{1/3})},$$

(2)

where $R_{RL}$ is the donor radius that has filled its Roche lobe, $a$ is the separation between the donor and the NS, and their ratio only depends on the mass ratio $q = M_{\text{donor}}/M_{\text{NS}}$. 

\(^6\) The luminosity jump is proportional to the ratio of the dynamical energy at the NS surface over the corotation radius (Corbet 1996), i.e., $\sim70 \times (P/1\text{ s})^{3/2}$. 

\(\star\)
The mass ratio in M51 ULX-7 is $\gtrsim 6$ (Rodríguez Castillo et al. 2020). Although the mass ratio depends on the orbital inclination $i$ ($i = 0$, refers to a face-on system), $\theta$ is actually weakly dependent on it. Assuming that the companion always fills its Roche lobe, we find for $i \approx 90^\circ - 45^\circ$ that $\theta \approx 28^\circ.2 - 29^\circ.4$. Given that $a \approx 2R_{\text{RL}}$, the duration of an eclipse in a 2-day circular orbit would last $\sim 8$ hr (0.16 in phase), which is comparable to the duration of the dips (see Figure 5). These estimates show that the companion may indeed obscure a small opening angle from the NS vantage point of view. Nevertheless, it is unclear whether this configuration can constrain the opening angle of the funnel walls created by outflow in ULXPs (see Figure 1). When we assume that the funnel axis is fixed perpendicular to the orbital plane, its opening angle should be large enough to allow dips to be created by material in the line of sight. This would mean that the funnel half opening angle should be $\sim 60^\circ$ (full opening of $\sim 120^\circ$). However, given the known superorbital modulation in M51 ULX-7 and ULXPs in general, the funnel orientation should change within the superorbital cycle. Thus this would not exclude smaller opening angles for the funnel. Nevertheless, a large opening angle is consistent with the large truncation radius of the disk in M51 ULX-7, which was derived from its temporal properties and is consistent with the NS rotating near equilibrium (e.g., Erkut et al. 2020; Vasilopoulos et al. 2020a). Similar findings that suggest low or no beaming...
at all have been discussed based on spectrotemporal properties of ULXPs (e.g., NGC 300 ULX-1 Vasilopoulos et al. 2018, 2019), or a pulse profile evolution of X-ray pulsars during super-Eddington outbursts (e.g., Koliopanos & Vasilopoulos 2018; Vasilopoulos et al. 2020b). This would mean that the proposed relation between mass accretion rate and beaming factor $b$, i.e., $b \simeq (M/M_{\text{Edd}})^{2/73}$ (King et al. 2017), would need to be revisited in the context of ULXPs. Small beaming is also consistent with the detection of pulsations in ULXPs, as large beaming factors would otherwise result in very small pulsed fractions (Mushtukov et al. 2021). The above suggests that strong beaming is not needed for ULXPs, and this should be considered in the framework of ULX population synthesis (e.g., Misra et al. 2020; Abdusalam et al. 2020; Kuranov et al. 2020) and perhaps gravitational wave progenitors, which are thought to go through a ULX phase (Marchant et al. 2017).

An important consequence of the discovery of the X-ray dips is that regardless of assumptions about beaming, it is now evident that ULXPs can be seen even as near edge-on systems. In the literature, ULXs that show X-ray eclipses are often assumed to host black holes (e.g., Urquhart & Soria 2016). Nevertheless, for another confirmed ULXP, NGC 7793 P13, it has been speculated that X-ray dips that appear during its orbital light curve were also evidence of an edge-on system (Motch et al. 2014). Thus, future search for pulsations should not be discouraged even for eclipsing ULXs. Finally, another way of approaching the problem would be to search for optical dips caused by obscuration by the NS and its accretion disk (Maggi et al. 2013). For M51 ULX-7 we can potentially confirm the low inclination via the search for optical eclipses via observations with the Hubble or James Webb space telescopes (Gardner et al. 2006).

### 3.4. Implications for Orbital Modulation

Our findings suggest that the mass accretion rate in M51 ULX-7 is indeed super-Eddington. An implication of high accretion rates in ULXs is the change of the binary orbital period over time (Bachetti et al. 2020). According to Bachetti et al. (2020) the orbital period derivative should be

$$P_{\text{orb}} \approx -3.5 \times 10^{-8} \left( \frac{M_{\text{NS}}}{1.4 M_{\odot}} \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{M}{100 M_{\text{Edd}}} \right) \text{s}^{-1}.$$  

(3)

Given that the mass accretion rate for M51 ULX-7 is about 30$M_{\text{Edd}}$, the binary orbit should change by ~0.3 s yr$^{-1}$. Given that the binary completes 180 revolutions within one year, this would translate into a drift in the epoch of $T_{\text{asc}}$ of the order of 30 s yr$^{-1}$, or about 250 s between 2012 and 2020. Future observations with X-ray telescopes could help constrain this drift by tracking the eclipses. Alternatively, pulsar-timing techniques might be employed (e.g., Bachetti et al. 2020; Rodríguez Castillo et al. 2020).

### 4. Conclusion

By analyzing archival Chandra and Swift/XRT data, we investigated the superorbital and orbital variability of M51 ULX-7. The 2012 Chandra data obtained within 33 days show an extended low flux state, in contrast to the superorbital clock of the system. A similar low flux state is also seen in the 2020 Swift/XRT monitoring data. These off-states might be related to propeller transition similar to ULX NGC 5907 ULX1. Alternatively, they could be indicative of a variable superorbital period such as those in other accreting pulsars (see Her X-1, SMC X-1). Moreover, we have reported the presence of periodic dips in the Chandra X-ray light curve of M51 ULX-7. Although X-ray dips are also seen in bright X-ray binaries (Marelli et al. 2017) and ULXs (Wang et al. 2018), this is the first evidence of such a property in ULXPs. The physical origin of the dips remains unclear, but they could be related to a plethora of mechanisms that have been proposed to explain similar features in HMXBs. Our finding demonstrates the need for developing numerical simulations of HMXB systems in the context of super-Eddington accretion and investigating these intriguing phenomena. From an observational point of view, it demonstrates the need for long monitoring observations of ULXPs and ULXs to identify and confirm the presence of features related to orbital modulation. Such combined efforts would help to develop a physically motivated self-consistent model able to explore the central engines of ULXPs.
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