Global Chemical Composition of Ambient Fine Particulate Matter for Exposure Assessment

Sajeev Philip,*† Randall V. Martin,‡,‡ Aaron van Donkelaar,‡ Jason Wai-Ho Lo,‡ Yuxuan Wang,§ Dan Chen,∥ Lin Zhang,⊥ Prasad S. Kasibhatla,‡ Siwen Wang,∇ Qiang Zhang,◆ David G. Streets,◆ Shabtai Bittman,¶ and Douglas J. Macdonald††

† Department of Physics and Atmospheric Science, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia B3H 4R2, Canada
‡ Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138, United States
§ Ministry of Education Key Laboratory for Earth System Modeling, Center for Earth System Science, Institute for Global Change Studies, State Key Joint Laboratory of Environment Simulation and Pollution Control, School of Environment, and Center for Earth System Science, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China
∥ Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90095, United States
⊥ Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences, School of Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China
◆ Nicholas School of the Environment and Earth Sciences, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina 27708, United States
◆◆ Decision and Information Sciences Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439, United States
¶ Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Agassiz, British Columbia V0M 1A2, Canada
†† Environment Canada, Gatineau, Quebec K1A 0H3, Canada

* Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Epidemiologic and health impact studies are inhibited by the paucity of global, long-term measurements of the chemical composition of fine particulate matter. We inferred PM$_{2.5}$ chemical composition at 0.1° × 0.1° spatial resolution for 2004–2008 by combining aerosol optical depth retrieved from the MODIS and MISR satellite instruments, with coincident profile and composition information from the GEOS-Chem global chemical transport model. Evaluation of the satellite-model PM$_{2.5}$ composition data set with North American in situ measurements indicated significant spatial agreement for secondary inorganic aerosol, particulate organic mass, black carbon, mineral dust, and sea salt. We found that global population-weighted PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations were dominated by particulate organic mass (11.9 ± 7.3 μg/m$^3$), secondary inorganic aerosol (11.1 ± 5.0 μg/m$^3$), and mineral dust (11.1 ± 7.9 μg/m$^3$). Secondary inorganic PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations exceeded 30 μg/m$^3$ over East China. Sensitivity simulations suggested that population-weighted ambient PM$_{2.5}$ from biofuel burning (11 μg/m$^3$) could be almost as large as from fossil fuel combustion sources (17 μg/m$^3$). These estimates offer information about global population exposure to the chemical components and sources of PM$_{2.5}$.

INTRODUCTION

A large body of evidence has established that short-term human exposure to various chemical constituents of particulate matter (PM) with aerodynamic diameter less than 2.5 μm (PM$_{2.5}$) is associated with adverse health effects including increased hospital admissions (e.g., refs 1–3), cardiovascular, respiratory, and all-cause mortality (e.g., refs 4–8). However, the health impacts of long-term exposure to PM$_{2.5}$ chemical components are less well understood, in contrast to the well-established relationship of the total PM$_{2.5}$ mass with adverse health effects (e.g., refs 9–11). Epidemiologic and health impact assessments of PM$_{2.5}$ composition have been impeded by the paucity of long-term measurements of PM$_{2.5}$ composition. Spatial mapping of aerosol composition could help in elucidating the health impacts of fine particulate matter components.

Satellite remote sensing for surface air quality has developed rapidly over the past decade.12,13 Aerosol optical depth (AOD), an optical measure of the column integrated aerosol abundance in the atmosphere, can now be reliably retrieved from satellite
remote sensing over land. Several studies have demonstrated close relationships between AOD and PM$_{2.5}$ (e.g., refs 14−16) to the point that AOD is being used for operational air quality forecasting. However, the relation of AOD with PM$_{2.5}$ is complex, and, despite progress in retrieving aerosol composition from satellite, current satellite instrumentation provides incomplete information on the chemical composition of PM$_{2.5}$. Chemical transport models (CTMs) also have developed markedly over the past decade. Current CTMs are capable of simulating the atmospheric distribution of aerosols and of calculating the local, coincident relationship of satellite AOD with ground-level PM$_{2.5}$ concentration at a regional and global scale. CTMs also offer the capability to simulate the major chemical components of PM$_{2.5}$ including secondary inorganic aerosol (sulfate, nitrate, and ammonium), primary and secondary organic aerosol, black carbon, mineral dust, sea salt, and aerosol water. These model developments offer information about the relation of AOD with ground-level PM$_{2.5}$ and its chemical composition. CTMs are also being used to quantify the contributions of specific emission sources to PM$_{2.5}$ to inform mitigation strategies (e.g., refs 24 and 25).

Scientific understanding of PM$_{2.5}$ chemical composition has been closely coupled with advances in measurements. For example, several in situ monitoring networks across the U.S. and Canada routinely measure the major components of PM$_{2.5}$ (e.g., refs 26 and 27). Numerous studies combined these in situ data to study the spatial and temporal variation of PM$_{2.5}$ chemical composition (e.g., refs 28−30). Other established networks are the European Monitoring and Evaluation Programme (EMEP; http://www.emep.int/) and the Acid Deposition Monitoring Network in East Asia (EANET; http://www.eanet.cc/) which measure some components of PM$_{2.5}$. Research measurements offer additional valuable data. These in situ measurements are too sparse to fully represent population exposure across the world. However, they provide an opportunity to evaluate PM$_{2.5}$ composition inferred from satellite remote sensing and modeling (hereafter, satellite-model).

We combined satellite-derived AOD with global modeling of coincident aerosol vertical profile and composition to produce a global long-term (2004−2008) mean ambient outdoor satellite-model PM$_{2.5}$ composition data set at a spatial resolution of 0.1° × 0.1°. We evaluated this data set with in situ measurements across North America and where available in the rest of the world. We combined model sensitivity simulations with satellite-derived AOD to estimate three major emission sources of total PM$_{2.5}$ mass. We subsequently estimated the population-weighted concentrations of ambient PM$_{2.5}$ chemical components and its major emission sources.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Processing Satellite AOD Observations.** We began with AOD retrievals from the two Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) instruments onboard the Terra and Aqua satellites and the Multiangle Imaging Spectroradiometer (MISR) instrument onboard Terra. Aerosol retrievals (collection 5) from each MODIS instrument provide near-daily
global coverage of cloud-free regions at a resolution of 10 km × 10 km. The MISR retrieval algorithm (version 22) uses multitime, multispectral observations to provide aerosol optical properties at a spatial resolution of 18 km × 18 km and global coverage of cloud-free regions within 9 days. The operational MODIS and MISR retrievals together provide more reliable global AOD than from either instrument alone.

Following van Donkelaar et al., we collected daily AOD retrievals of these three satellite sensors from 2004 to 2008 and regirded them separately onto a resolution of 0.1° × 0.1°. We then divided the world into nine regions with distinct surface type based on the MODIS BRDF/Albedo product (MOD43, Collection 5,32). We used the available ground-based sun photometer AOD measurements (Aerosol Robotic Network, AERONET,33) over these regions to identify the average monthly bias of satellite AOD for each region. We retained the daily satellite AOD observations with a local monthly bias less than ± (20% or 0.1). We included two textural filters for MODIS AOD to reduce cloud contamination by excluding data with no adjacent retrievals and grids with AOD and coefficient of variation greater than 0.5.34,35 These three different (MODIS/Terra, MODIS/Aqua, MISR/Terra) AOD data sets at 0.1° × 0.1° resolution are the major observational inputs for this study.

**Inferring PM$_{2.5}$ Chemical Composition from AOD.** Our approach to infer the 24-h average ground-level dry PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations of each chemical component, $i$, from the observed aerosol optical depth, AOD$_{Sat}$ involved a chemical transport model (GEOS-Chem) to calculate that relationship

$$\text{component}_i^{\text{Sat}} = \frac{\text{component}_i^{\text{CTM}}}{\text{AOD}_{\text{CTM}}} \times \text{AOD}_{\text{Sat}}$$

(1)

The major PM$_{2.5}$ components included sulfate (SO$_4^{2-}$), nitrate (NO$_3^{-}$), ammonium (NH$_4^+$), total secondary inorganic aerosol (SIA, sum of SO$_4^{2-}$, NO$_3^{-}$, and NH$_4^+$ ions), particulate organic mass (OM), black carbon (BC), mineral dust, and sea salt. The subtext CTM indicates values from a chemical transport model. The simulated conversion factor, defined as the ratio of components to AOD, relates the observed AOD to the ground-level PM$_{2.5}$ components. The approach of distributing the observed AOD across simulated aerosol composition has similarity to AOD assimilation methods (e.g., ref 36).

We used the GEOS-Chem global CTM (http://geos-chem.org) to calculate the local conversion factors coincident with each satellite observation. The GEOS-Chem model simulates the temporal and three-dimensional spatial distributions of various aerosol components and gases using assimilated meteorology and emission inventories as major inputs [details in the Supporting Information (SI)]. We conducted a global simulation at 2° × 2.5° spatial resolution and three nested regional simulations at 0.5° × 0.667° resolution from 2004 to 2008 using assimilated meteorological data from the Goddard Earth Observing System (GEOS-5) at the NASA Global Modeling Assimilation Office (GMAO). The global simulation outputs are overwritten with nested regional simulations over North America, Europe, and East Asia. The top left panel of Figure 1 provides the boundaries of these regions. These nested simulations improve over the 2° × 2.5° resolution used by van Donkelaar et al. The PM$_{2.5}$ dry mass composition of the lowest layer of the model centered at 70 m above ground was taken to represent the ground-level concentration. We averaged the simulated AOD between 1000 and 1200 h local solar time to correspond with Terra overpass and 1300 and 1500 h local solar time to correspond with Aqua overpass. We calculated the daily local Terra and Aqua conversion factors as the ratio of 24 h average PM$_{2.5}$ components to the corresponding AOD at satellite overpass period.

We applied eq 1 to produce PM$_{2.5}$ components from individual AOD observations from the two MODIS and the MISR instruments from 2004 to 2008. We accounted for incomplete sampling by scaling the monthly data with the ratio of monthly mean simulated composition sampled continuously versus sampled coincidently with satellite observations. We capped the variation from the monthly mean simulated composition at the species-dependent uncertainties calculated by van Donkelaar et al. This cap represents a level of confidence in the simulation and avoids unrealistic conditions that can arise from the correlation of sensor sampling with PM$_{2.5}$ composition. We required 20 successful satellite observations for each 0.1° grid box per five-year monthly mean; otherwise monthly mean simulated composition was used as occurred for 0.5% of the global population. We averaged the monthly data to obtain the long-term mean satellite-model combined PM$_{2.5}$ composition.

We evaluated the satellite-model estimate with PM$_{2.5}$ composition measurements from networks over North America, Europe, East Asia, and elsewhere with annually representative composition measurements from publications as described in the SI.

The uncertainty associated with the satellite-model PM$_{2.5}$ composition arises from bias in the satellite AOD retrieval, from simulating the PM$_{2.5}$/AOD ratio, from the simulated PM$_{2.5}$ fractional composition, and from incomplete sampling. We represented the uncertainty in the satellite AOD retrieval bias as the maximum of either an absolute AOD of 0.1 or a relative value of 20%, since AERONET was used to identify and exclude regions and time periods with larger expected bias. We estimated the uncertainty in the model vertical profile bias as the annual mean difference in the PM$_{2.5}$/AOD ratio if observations from the CALIOP satellite instrument were used to adjust the ratio following van Donkelaar et al. We assessed the bias in the simulated PM$_{2.5}$ fractional composition for each component (ratio of PM$_{2.5}$ components to total PM$_{2.5}$ mass) by comparison with available in situ observations. Uncertainty due to incomplete sampling was estimated as the difference between the long-term mean simulated PM$_{2.5}$ composition sampled continuously versus coincidently with the satellite observations. We assumed 100% sampling error for grids without satellite observations. The estimated uncertainty from a quadrature sum of these uncertainty terms may be underestimated since the errors contain systematic components, can be asymmetric, and can be correlated with each other and with PM$_{2.5}$ composition. Thus, we added the median global PM$_{2.5}$ composition to the quadrature sum to better represent the unresolved contributions to total uncertainty especially in the regions with low values of PM$_{2.5}$ composition.

**Estimating the PM$_{2.5}$ Emission Sources.** PM$_{2.5}$ constituents arise from different emission source sectors such as fossil fuel combustion, biofuel combustion, and biomass burning. Fossil fuel combustion includes burning of coal, oil, and gas from vehicular and industrial sources. Biofuel combustion includes burning of wood and crop residue for domestic cooking and heating. Biomass burning includes both natural open fires and anthropogenic activities such as land clearing and burning in fields. Quantitative determination of these sectoral contributions to PM$_{2.5}$ can inform mitigation strategies.
We therefore estimated the sectoral sources of total PM$_{2.5}$ using sensitivity simulations to exclude specific emission sectors. For this, we performed three global simulations for a year (2005) by excluding fossil fuel combustion, biofuel combustion, and biomass burning sources, respectively. We scaled the PM$_{2.5}$ relative variation from sensitivity simulations (compared with a base simulation) to the sum of satellite-model PM$_{2.5}$ composition estimate. We calculated sectoral contributions of PM$_{2.5}$ at 35% relative humidity to conform with PM$_{2.5}$ measurement standards.

We calculated the regional population exposure for the GBD regions (Global Diseases, Injuries, and Risk Factors 2010 study; the top panel of Figure 4 shows the 21 GBD regions) using the population data at 0.1 resolution for 2005 described in Brauer et al.\textsuperscript{39} and the satellite-model PM$_{2.5}$ composition and emission sources.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

SI Figure S1 contains a map of the long-term (2004–2008) mean AOD from the MODIS and MISR satellite instruments. Enhancements exist over anthropogenic pollution sources of South and East Asia, over mineral dust source regions of the

---

**Figure 2.** PM$_{2.5}$ composition from satellite-model and in situ observations across North America. PM$_{2.5}$ composition is represented as dry mass. Abbreviations are Secondary Inorganic Aerosol (SIA; the sum of SO$_4^{2-}$, NO$_3^-$, and NH$_4^+$), Organic Mass (OM), and Black Carbon (BC). Gray denotes water or missing in situ measurement data. Scatter plots are in SI Figure S2.
Sahara, and over biomass burning regions of South America, Central Africa, and Equatorial and Southeast Asia.

Figure 1 shows the long-term (2004−2008) mean GEOS-Chem simulated ratio of PM2.5 components to AOD. The ratio represents the relative importance of various PM2.5 components to the total AOD over different regions of the globe. The mass/AOD ratio is high over regions with relatively large abundance of that PM2.5 component near the ground. Nonhygroscopic components (e.g., mineral dust) exhibit high conversion factors due to the low contribution of aerosol water to AOD. The high mass/AOD ratios for secondary inorganic PM2.5, OM, and mineral dust indicate that these components are the dominant contributors to global AOD over land. Secondary inorganic PM2.5 dominates over industrial regions. Particulate organic mass from biomass burning is the primary contributor to AOD over the Amazon, Central Africa, Northern India, and Oceania. Mineral dust is the primary contributor to AOD over deserts. Black carbon is a small component of AOD but is more apparent in local hotspots. Sea salt generally has the lowest conversion factor over land.

Figure 2 shows the satellite-model and in situ observations of North American PM2.5 composition. The in situ observation of a large sulfate burden in the East is well reproduced in the satellite-model product. Nitrate and ammonium are enhanced south of the Great Lakes where intense agriculture sources of ammonia and weak sulfur sources contribute to excess ammonia gas that is available for forming ammonium nitrate. The Californian nitrate enhancements are under-predicted reflecting difficulties in representing this heterogeneous region. Together these secondary inorganic ions comprise a major fraction of the total PM2.5 in the Eastern U.S., reaching concentrations of approximately 10 μg/m^3. The spatial pattern of particulate organic mass over the southeastern U.S. is generally captured in the satellite-model product. Black carbon concentrations exhibit hotspots in industrial regions; performance elsewhere is more variable given the stochastic nature of fires. Fine-mode dust and fine sea salt emissions are weak contributors to PM2.5 mass throughout the continent with typical mass concentrations below 1 μg/m^3. The primary exception is for mineral dust over deserts in the southwest.

Figure 3. Satellite-model global long-term mean (2004−2008) PM2.5 composition. PM2.5 composition is represented as dry mass. Abbreviations are Secondary Inorganic Aerosol (SIA; the sum of SO_{4}^{2-}, NO_{3}^{-}, and NH_{4}^{+}), Organic Mass (OM), and Black Carbon (BC). Gray denotes water. Values from in situ observations are overlaid as colored circles. SI Table S1 contains the detailed comparison statistics.
improvements in the slope versus the GEOS-Chem simulation for secondary inorganic ions.

Figure 3 shows the global satellite-model estimate of long-term mean PM$_{2.5}$ composition where the filled circles represent the location and value of the in situ observations used to evaluate the data set outside of North America. Detailed statistics are given in SI Table S1. Secondary inorganic aerosol concentrations over East China exceed 30 μg/m$^3$. About half of the simulated secondary inorganic aerosol is sulfate which is consistent with in situ measurements. The Indo-Gangetic Plain, China, and biomass burning regions of South America and Central Africa are highlighted in the OM map. Previous studies have also noted pronounced OM in these regions. Hotspots of black carbon are most apparent in China and the Indo-Gangetic Plain where in situ measurements also indicate enhancements. Mineral dust is the largest contributor to PM$_{2.5}$ over the desert regions of North Africa, Middle East, and Central Asia. These PM$_{2.5}$ values are higher than previous work arising from our use of satellite AOD for all fine mode fraction values and increased carbonaceous aerosol emissions over East Asia as described in the SI.

Table 1 summarizes the global and regional statistics of population exposure to ambient PM$_{2.5}$ composition. Our estimates suggest that particulate organic mass is the dominant form of ambient PM$_{2.5}$ with a global population-weighted concentration of 12 μg/m$^3$. Other major contributors to global population-weighted PM$_{2.5}$ mass are secondary inorganic components (11 μg/m$^3$) and mineral dust (11 μg/m$^3$). The secondary inorganic components are dominated by sulfate (6.2 μg/m$^3$), followed by ammonium (2.7 μg/m$^3$) and nitrate (2.2 μg/m$^3$). On a regional scale, secondary inorganic PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations are noteworthy in East Asia (28 μg/m$^3$) and South Asia (11 μg/m$^3$). The mean ambient particulate organic mass concentration is 22 μg/m$^3$ in both South Asia and East Asia. Mineral dust concentrations exceed 20 μg/m$^3$ in West Africa, North Africa, Middle East, and Central Asia. These PM$_{2.5}$ values are higher than previous work arising from our use of satellite AOD for all fine mode fraction values and increased carbonaceous aerosol emissions over East Asia as described in the SI.

Table 1. Population-Weighted Regional PM$_{2.5}$ Composition and Three Major Emission Sources Contributing to PM$_{2.5}$

| region                | SO$_2^-$ | NO$_3^-$ | NH$_4^+$ | SIA | OM | BC | dust | seasalt | fossil fuel | biofuel | biomass | population (%) |
|-----------------------|----------|----------|----------|-----|----|----|------|--------|------------|----------|---------|-----------------|
| World                 | 6.2      | 2.7      | 11.1     | 11.9| 2.5| 11.1| 0.6  | 17.1    | 11.2       | 1.3      | 100.0   |
| Asia Pacific, High Income | 5.5      | 1.5      | 2.0      | 9.0 | 4.8| 1.9 | 3.7  | 16.2    | 2.1        | 0.3      | 2.7    |
| Asia, Central         | 3.2      | 0.6      | 1.3      | 5.1 | 3.7| 0.5 | 21.3 | 7.2     | 6.5        | 0.3      | 1.3    |
| Asia, East            | 14.5     | 6.6      | 7.0      | 28.0| 21.7| 5.7 | 11.7 | 45.8    | 20.2       | 0.3      | 21.2   |
| Asia, South           | 6.9      | 1.1      | 2.8      | 10.8| 21.6| 3.9 | 14.3 | 15.8    | 24.1       | 0.6      | 22.9   |
| Asia, South East      | 3.6      | 0.2      | 1.1      | 5.0 | 8.0 | 1.2 | 1.6  | 6.7     | 5.4        | 3.2      | 8.7    |
| Australasia           | 0.7      | 0.1      | 0.2      | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 1.1  | 1.1     | 0.2        | 0.2      | 0.4    |
| Caribbean             | 1.2      | 0.2      | 0.2      | 1.6 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 4.8  | 1.7     | 0.8        | 0.2      | 0.5    |
| Europe, Central       | 3.8      | 3.6      | 2.5      | 9.9 | 4.1 | 0.8 | 3.2  | 13.7    | 4.4        | 0.2      | 1.9    |
| Europe, Eastern       | 2.9      | 2.3      | 1.7      | 6.9 | 2.9 | 0.4 | 2.8  | 9.0     | 2.8        | 0.3      | 3.3    |
| Europe, Western       | 2.3      | 3.2      | 1.7      | 7.2 | 2.1 | 0.7 | 3.3  | 10.6    | 1.4        | 0.2      | 6.1    |
| Latin America, Andean | 2.1      | 0.0      | 0.6      | 2.7 | 3.2 | 0.2 | 0.1  | 2.8     | 0.6        | 2.9      | 0.8    |
| Latin America, Central| 3.1      | 0.4      | 1.0      | 4.4 | 2.5 | 0.5 | 1.6  | 5.2     | 0.4        | 1.4      | 3.4    |
| Latin America, Southern| 1.9     | 0.1      | 0.5      | 2.4 | 2.7 | 0.3 | 2.4  | 3.0     | 1.2        | 1.0      | 0.9    |
| Latin America, Tropical| 1.1     | 0.1      | 0.4      | 3.4 | 3.0 | 0.2 | 0.6  | 2.1     | 1.6        | 1.8      | 2.9    |
| North Africa/Middle East| 3.5     | 0.3      | 1.2      | 4.9 | 1.7 | 0.4 | 29.0 | 6.7     | 0.8        | 0.2      | 6.4    |
| North America, High Income | 2.9    | 1.3      | 1.3      | 5.6 | 3.9 | 0.9 | 0.7  | 10.2    | 0.5        | 0.2      | 5.0    |
| Oceania               | 0.5      | 0.0      | 0.1      | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1  | 0.1     | 0.1        | 0.1      | 0.1    |
| Sub-Saharan Africa, Central| 1.6    | 0.0      | 0.5      | 2.1 | 14.8| 0.8 | 4.9  | 1.3     | 3.9        | 14.4     | 1.3    |
| Sub-Saharan Africa, East| 1.1     | 0.1      | 0.3      | 1.4 | 4.6 | 0.5 | 9.2  | 1.0     | 3.7        | 2.7      | 4.8    |
| Sub-Saharan Africa, Southern| 1.9    | 0.1      | 0.6      | 2.6 | 3.4 | 0.3 | 0.7  | 3.2     | 0.9        | 2.8      | 1.0    |
| Sub-Saharan Africa, West| 1.5     | 0.1      | 0.5      | 2.1 | 5.1 | 0.4 | 45.7 | 2.4     | 2.5        | 5.4      | 4.4    |

“The top panel of Figure 4 shows the borders of GBD (Global Diseases, Injuries, and Risk Factors 2010 study) regions. Abbreviations are Secondary Inorganic Aerosol (SIA; the sum of SO$_4^{2-}$, NO$_3^-$, and NH$_4^+$), Organic Mass (OM), and Black Carbon (BC).”
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Figure 4 shows the contributions to PM$_{2.5}$ from fossil fuel combustion, biofuel combustion, and biomass burning.

Enhanced anthropogenic sources are apparent over industrial and populated regions. Biofuel sources over Asia reflect domestic cooking and heating with nonfossil fuel sources. Biomass burning dominates in Central Africa and the Amazon. Biogenic sources, mineral dust, and sea salt constitute the remaining portion of PM$_{2.5}$. Table 1 contains the global and regional statistics of population exposure to various source sectors of PM$_{2.5}$. Population-weighted PM$_{2.5}$ is dominated by fossil fuel combustion (17 μg/m$^3$) followed by biofuel combustion (11 μg/m$^3$) and biomass burning (1.3 μg/m$^3$). PM$_{2.5}$ is high from emissions of fossil fuel combustion over East Asia (46 μg/m$^3$), biofuel combustion over South Asia (24 μg/m$^3$) and East Asia (20 μg/m$^3$), and biomass burning over Central Africa (14 μg/m$^3$). Although these sensitivity simulations are uncertain, it is noteworthy that outdoor PM$_{2.5}$ from biofuel combustion is comparable to that from fossil fuel combustion in South Asia. This is in line with previous findings of high ambient PM$_{2.5}$ exposure from biofuel burning$^{47,48}$ and in addition to PM$_{2.5}$ exposure from household air pollution.$^{49}$

The chemical composition of ambient ground-level fine particulate mass is of relevance for epidemiological and health impact studies.$^{50−53}$ To our knowledge, these estimates developed from satellite AOD observations and CTM simulations offer the first assessment of the long-term exposure to all major PM$_{2.5}$ chemical components throughout the world. Multiple opportunities exist to improve the estimates. Advances in satellite remote sensing$^{54}$ could yield more observational information on aerosol components. Future developments in the modeling of aerosol composition such as organic mass are needed. Other emerging sources of information on the sources of aerosol precursors include satellite observations of trace gases$^{55}$ such as NO$_2$, SO$_2$,$^{57}$ and NH$_3$. Assimilation of these components into a chemical transport model would provide additional constraints on PM$_{2.5}$ composition. Finer resolution satellite retrievals and simulations would better resolve intra-urban gradients. Trace metals are an important PM$_{2.5}$ component that should be added as their simulation capability improves.
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