HIV seroprevalence, clinical profile and surgical outcomes among patients with acquired anorectal conditions in two referral hospitals in Mwanza, Tanzania
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Abstract

Background: HIV infection, a major health problem worldwide, is prevalent in patients with acquired anorectal conditions. There is a paucity of prospective studies regarding acquired anorectal conditions in Tanzania. This study describes the HIV seroprevalence, clinical profile and surgical outcomes among patients with acquired anorectal conditions at Bugando Medical Centre (BMC) and Sekou-Toure Referral Regional Hospital (SRRH).

Methods: This cross-sectional study was conducted among patients with acquired anorectal conditions as seen at BMC and SRRH from January 2019 to June 2019.

Results: A total of 389 patients (M: F ratio = 1.5:1) were studied. The median age at diagnosis was 42 years. Out of 389 patients, 101 (26.0%) were HIV positive. Of these, 54 (53.5%) were males and 47 (46.5%) were females. Haemorrhoids were the most common acquired anorectal disease accounting for 50.9% of cases. The rate of HIV infection in this study was significantly high in patients with hemorrhoids ($p < 0.001$), perianal ulcers ($p < 0.001$), anorectal abscess ($p = 0.009$), perianal warts ($p < 0.001$) and rectal prolapse ($p = 0.023$). A total of 173 (44.5%) patients underwent surgical treatment for acquired anorectal conditions. Hemorrhoidectomy was the most commonly performed surgical procedure in 95 (54.9%) patients. Out of 171 patients who underwent surgical treatment and outcomes evaluated, 138 were treated successfully giving an overall success rate of 80.7%. The success rate was significantly influenced by HIV positivity ($p = 0.002$). Surgical site infection (SSI) was the most common postoperative complication accounting for 25.8% of cases. The rate of SSI was found to be significantly higher in HIV-positive patients than in HIV-negative patients (39.6% vs 18.5%; $p$-value = 0.001).

Conclusion: HIV infection is prevalent among patients with acquired anorectal conditions in our setting and influences surgical outcomes. We recommend that all patients with acquired anorectal conditions in this region should be screened for HIV infection.
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Introduction

Acquired anorectal conditions are among the most challenging surgical conditions managed by general and colorectal surgeons and contribute significantly to high morbidity and mortality worldwide (Janicke & Pundt, 1996; Igwe et al., 2014). These surgical conditions of the anorectum are the common reasons for clinic visits and indications for surgery and therefore comprise a significant
proportion of surgical workload in most centres (Igwe et al., 2014). Globally, acquired anorectal conditions have been reported to be more common in HIV-positive patients and 6%-34% of seropositive patients suffer from them (Kołodziejczak et al., 2014; Oh et al., 2014; Bakhiet et al., 2015). It is estimated that more than half of HIV-positive patients with acquired anorectal conditions will require surgery (Bakhiet et al., 2015). In Tanzania at large and Bugando Medical Centre in particular, unlike congenital anorectal conditions which are well studied in our centre (Mfinanga et al., 2018), the acquired anorectal conditions data are limited. However, it might be as big as other countries of the same characteristics in terms of geographical location, economic status and health profile.

The current prevalence of HIV infection in the general population in Tanzania mainland is 4.7% (Tan et al., 2015; Johnston et al., 2016; Tanzania HIV Impact Survey, 2017; Global AIDS monitoring 2017). Several studies in Tanzania have documented the prevalence of HIV infection in surgical patients (Mkony et al., 2003; Gitt et al., 2010; Mayala et al., 2010; Sravanam et al., 2018). A study done by Mkony et al. (2003) at Muhimbili National Hospital among newly hospitalized surgical patients found a prevalence of 10.5%. Locally at Bugando Medical Centre, a study done by Gitt et al. (2010) among patients with appendicitis found an HIV seroprevalence of 13.1% and another study done among trauma patients found a prevalence of 11.6% (Mayala et al., 2010). Recently, Sravanam et al. (2018) at Bugando Medical Centre reported an HIV seroprevalence of 14.2% in patients with surgical acute abdomen; but limited information is available in patients with acquired anorectal conditions about their HIV serostatus. Therefore, this research gap underscored the need to justify the execution of the current study.

The patterns of acquired anorectal conditions differ in the general population as compared to HIV infected population. Acquired anorectal problems seen in the general population include anal fissure, fistula in ano, external and internal haemorrhoids, perirectal abscess, nonspecific pruritus and perianal infection with herpes simplex virus (HSV) type 2 and rare tumours such as anal squamous cell cancer (Johanson et al., 1990; Nelson et al., 1995; Abramowitz et al., 2014; Igwe et al., 2014; Kayamba et al., 2018). On the other hand, in addition to the classic anorectal conditions such as haemorrhoids, fistulas, and fissures, HIV-positive patients also present with venereal diseases such as condylomas, gonorrhoea, syphilis, and chlamydia (Wexner et al., 1986; Hyder & MacKeigan., 1988; Safavi et al., 1991) as well as other typical HIV-positive diagnoses (Cytomegalovirus(CMV), herpes simplex, candida, and idiopathic ulcers) (Hyder & MacKeigan., 1988; Miles et al., 1991; Safavi et al., 1991; Nadal et al., 1999). Neoplasms are also referred to as being more prevalent than in the HIV-negative population, mainly Kaposi's sarcoma, non-Hodgkin's lymphomas and epidermoid anal cancer, which is associated with human papillomavirus(HPV) infection (Miles et al., 1991; Safavi et al., 1991; Melbye & Sprogel., 1991; Chadha et al., 1994).

Anorectal symptoms are common in the general population and even more so in individuals with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection. Symptoms can be acute and short-lived, such as the pain with a thrombosed external haemorrhoid or acute anal fissure or they can be chronic such as that with a chronic anal fissure (Abramowitz et al., 2014).

The management and surgical outcomes of acquired anorectal conditions are challenging and depend on early, correct diagnosis and comprehensive management. Generally, the surgical outcomes are good with exception of anorectal malignancies (Chadhate et al., 1994), mainly due to the nature of the disease and late presentation to health facilities. The documented outcomes among benign anorectal conditions are excellent (Nelson et al., 1995; Oh et al., 2014). HIV infection has been reported to influence the surgical outcomes of acquired anorectal conditions (Nadal et al., 1999; Bakhiet et al., 2015). However, the current seroprevalence of HIV infection and its effect on surgical outcomes among patients with acquired anorectal conditions in our local setting is unknown. Knowing the burden of anorectal conditions and subsequently, instituting routine screening of HIV infection among patients with these conditions is pivotal for expedited management and favourable patient outcomes. This study aimed to determine the HIV seroprevalence, clinical patterns and surgical outcomes among
patients with acquired anorectal conditions as seen at Bugando Medical Centre and Sekou-Toure Referral Regional Hospital in Mwanza region.

Patients and Methods
Study design and setting
This was a cross-sectional study which was conducted at the emergency department and in the surgical outpatient clinics and wards of Bugando Medical Centre (BMC) and Sekou-Toure Region and Referral hospital (SRRH) from January 2019 to June 2019. These two hospitals were conveniently selected because of their proximity in northwestern Tanzania is a consultant, tertiary care and teaching hospital for the Catholic University of Health and Allied Sciences-Bugando (CUHAS-Bugando) and other paramedics and it is located in Mwanza City in the north-western part of the United Republic of Tanzania. It is situated along the shore of Lake Victoria and has an 890-bed capacity. BMC is one of the four largest referral hospitals in the country and serves as a referral center for tertiary specialist care for a catchment population of approximately 17 million people from neighboring regions. SRRH is a public regional facility serving the population of the Mwanza Region on the shore of Lake Victoria in northwestern Tanzania. It is located in Nyamagana municipality and has 450 beds. The hospital serves a catchment area with a population of 1.3 million people from seven districts of the Mwanza region (i.e. Kwimba, Magu, Sengerema, Misungwi, Ukerewe, Nyamagana and Ilemela). SRRH is also a teaching hospital for the CUHAS-Bugando.

Study population
The study included all patients with acquired anorectal conditions attending the emergency department, surgical outpatient clinics (SOPD) and wards of BMC and SRRH and who consented to the study and HIV testing. Patients who did not turn up for follow-up one month after surgery were excluded from the study. The sample size (n) for all patients enrolled in the study was calculated using the formula by Cochran (1977). Convenience sampling for patients who met the inclusion criteria was enrolled until the sample size is reached.

Recruitment of patients
Recruitment of patients was done at the emergency department, SOPD and in the surgical wards BMC and SRRH. After informed consent to participate in the study and to be tested for HIV, all patients who met the inclusion criteria were consecutively enrolled on the study. All patients were pre-counselled by a professional HIV counsellor according to current guidelines in the hospital. HIV serology test was performed using the Tanzania HIV Rapid Test Algorithm (Lyamuya et al., 2009). All recruited patients were managed according to the current protocol in their respective hospitals. The authors ensured that the study patients were receiving the appropriate treatment as prescribed by the surgeon. The patient was declared cured if the symptoms and signs presented are no more. Patients were followed up until discharge or death. Post-discharge follow-up was limited to patients with mobile phones and those who attended SOPD one month after surgery. Patients who were found to be HIV positive were referred to the Care and Treatment Clinic (CTC) after post counselling.

Data collection
Data on each patient was collected and entered into a pretested coded questionnaire prepared for the study. Data entered in the questionnaire included; demographic profile (age, sex, area of residence), clinical presentation, diagnosis, HIV infection status, the treatment offered (surgery), the timing of the operation, rank of the surgeon, type of surgical procedure, and treatment outcome (cure, postoperative complications and mortality).

Statistical data analysis
The statistical data analysis was performed using STATA version 13. The median + Interquartile Range (IQR) and ranges were calculated for continuous variables whereas proportions and frequency tables were used to summarize categorical variables. Chi-square ($\chi^2$) and Fisher’s exact tests (depending on
the size of the data set) were used to test for the significance of association between the independent (predictor) and dependent (outcome) variables in the categorical variables. A $p$-value of $< 0.05$ was considered to constitute a statistically significant difference.

**Results**

**Socio-demographic characteristics**

Between January 2019 and June 2019, a total of 393 patients with acquired anorectal conditions were managed at BMC and SRRH. Of these, 389 patients, representing 98.9% of cases were enrolled in the study and the remaining 4 patients were excluded from the study due to failure to meet the inclusion criteria. Generally, 232 (59.6%) were males and 157 (40.4%) were females with a male to female ratio of 1.5:1. Their ages at diagnosis ranged from 1 year to 98 years with a median age of 42 years [interquartile range, 30-54 years]. The modal age group was 41-50 years accounting for 22.1% of cases (Figure 1).

Of the 389 patients included in the study, 101 (26.0%) tested positive for HIV. Of these, 54 (53.5%) were males and 47 (46.5%) were females giving a male to female ratio of 1.1:1. The age at presentation for HIV-infected patients ranged from 20 to 90 years with a median age of 43 [IQR, 35 – 51] years.

![Figure 1: Age group distribution of patients with acquired anorectal conditions](image)
Patterns of acquired anorectal conditions

Hemorrhoids were the most common acquired anorectal conditions accounting for 50.9% of cases (Figure 2). Out of 24 patients with anorectal malignancies 19 had adenocarcinoma, 4 and 1 had squamous cell carcinoma and lymphoma, respectively. The pattern of acquired anorectal conditions showed male predominance as shown in Table 2 below. The rates of HIV infection were significantly high in patients with hemorrhoids, perianal ulcers, anorectal abscess, perianal warts and rectal prolapse as shown in Table 3.

![Figure 2: Distribution of patients according to acquired anorectal conditions](image)

| Acquired anorectal conditions          | Sex     | Total (N/%) |
|----------------------------------------|---------|-------------|
|                                        | Male (N/) | Female (N/) |               |
| Hemorrhoids                            | 120(30.8) | 78(20.1) | 198 (50.9)    |
| Anal fissures                          | 39(10.0)  | 31(8.0)  | 70 (18.0)     |
| Perianal ulcers                        | 13(3.3)   | 17(4.4)  | 30(7.7)       |
| Anorectal malignancies                 | 12(3.1)   | 12(3.1)  | 24(6.2)       |
| Rectal prolapse                        | 14(3.6)   | 7(1.8)   | 21(5.4)       |
| Anorectal abscess                      | 13(3.3)   | 4(1.1)   | 17(4.4)       |
Table 2. Disease pattern distribution according to HIV status

| Anorectal conditions       | HIV status | p-value  |
|---------------------------|------------|----------|
|                           | Positive (N/%) | Negative (N/%) |
| Hemorrhoids               | 33(16.7) | 165(83.3) | <0.001 |
| Anal fissures             | 18(25.7) | 52(74.3)  | 0.958  |
| Perianal ulcers           | 22(73.3) | 8(26.7)   | <0.001 |
| Anorectal malignancies    | 9(37.5)  | 15(62.5)  | 0.183  |
| Rectal prolapse           | 1(4.8)   | 20(95.2)  | 0.013  |
| Anorectal abscess         | 9(52.9)  | 8(47.1)   | 0.009  |
| Fistula in ano            | 5(38.5)  | 8(61.5)   | 0.267  |
| Anorectal polyps          | 0(0.0)   | 7(100.0)  | 0.120* |
| Perianal sinus            | 0(0.0)   | 5(100.0)  | 0.220* |
| Perianal warts            | 4(100.0) | 0(0.0)    | 0.004* |

*p-value obtained by 1-sided Fischer’s exact test

The rate of HIV infection in this study was significantly higher in patients with perianal ulcers (p-value <0.001), warts (p-value = 0.004), anorectal abscess (p-value = 0.009) and rectal prolapse (p-value < 0.013).

Clinical characteristics of acquired anorectal conditions

The duration of illness ranged from 1 week to 260 months with a median duration of 13 months [IQR, 2 - 26 months]. The majority of patients, 161 (41.4%) had symptoms of more than 1-month duration at the time of presentation. The median time interval between onset of symptoms and presentation at our center was significantly short in patients who presented with anorectal disease associated with pain, compared with those who presented with painless anorectal disease (p-value = 0.012). The commonest presenting symptom was perianal swelling in 160 (41.1%) patients as shown in Table 1.

Table 3: Distribution of patients according to anorectal symptoms

| Anorectal symptoms        | Number of patients | Percentage |
|---------------------------|--------------------|------------|
| Perianal swelling         | 160                | 41.1       |
| Anal bleeding             | 112                | 28.8       |
| Pain                      | 102                | 26.2       |
| Discharge                 | 56                 | 14.4       |
| Pruritus                  | 42                 | 10.8       |
| Ulceration                | 30                 | 7.7        |
| Change in bowel habits    | 28                 | 7.2        |
| Prolapse                  | 21                 | 5.4        |
| Incontinence              | 16                 | 4.1        |

Treatment modalities

A total of 173 (44.5%) patients underwent surgical treatment for acquired anorectal conditions and the remaining 216 (55.5%) patients were treated conservatively with sitz bath, high fiber diet, stool softeners, stool bulking agents, topical nitroglycerin ointment etc. Of those who underwent surgery,
161 (93.1%) were operated on an elective basis, while 12 (6.9%) patients had emergency surgery. Table 4 shows surgical procedures done in patients with acquired anorectal conditions.

### Table 4: Surgical procedures done in patients with acquired anorectal conditions

| Surgical procedure                                      | Number of patients | Percentage |
|----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|------------|
| Hemorrhoidectomy                                         | 95                 | 54.9       |
| Fissurectomy                                             | 18                 | 10.4       |
| Surgical debridement                                     | 12                 | 6.9        |
| Incision & drainage of abscess                           | 11                 | 6.4        |
| Delorme/Thiersch-suture/rectopexy                       | 10                 | 5.8        |
| Surgical debridement                                    | 12                 | 6.9        |
| Incision & drainage of abscess                           | 11                 | 6.4        |
| Delorme/Thiersch-suture/rectopexy                       | 10                 | 5.8        |
| Fistulectomy /stone                                      | 9                  | 5.2        |
| Diverting colostomy                                      | 8                  | 4.6        |
| Anal polypectomy                                         | 7                  | 4.0        |
| Perianal sinus exploration/excision                      | 5                  | 2.9        |
| Cauterization                                            | 4                  | 2.3        |

### The outcome of surgical treatment

Out of 173 patients who underwent surgical treatment, two patients did not turn up for assessment of either cure or not. Therefore, out of 171 patients who were evaluated on outcomes, 138 were treated successfully giving an overall success rate of 80.7%. The success rate was significantly influenced by HIV positivity (p-value = 0.002) as shown in Table 5 below. In this study, a total of 62 (35.8%; 62/171) postoperative complications were recorded in 54 patients. Of these, surgical site infection was the most common postoperative complications accounting for 25.8% of cases (Figure 3). In the present study, the rate of surgical site infections was found to be significantly higher in HIV-positive patients than in HIV-negative patients (39.6% vs 18.5%; p-value = 0.001).

### Table 5. Analysis of factors influencing treatment outcome among patients undergoing surgical treatment for acquired anorectal conditions at BMC and SRRH (N= 173)

| Independent (predictor) variable | Treatment outcome | X²  | p-value |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|-----|---------|
|                                  | Cured (N/%)       | Not cured (N/%) |       |
| Age (years)                      |                   |     |         |
| <18                              | 14(73.7)          | 5(26.3) |     |         |
| 18-60                            | 105(82.7)         | 22(17.3) |     |         |
| >60                              | 19 (76.0)         | 5(24.0)  | 0.994 | 0.529   |
| Sex                              |                   |     |         |
| Male                             | 100(84.0)         | 19(16.0) |     |         |
| Female                           | 38(73.1)          | 14(26.9) | 2.891 | 0.095   |
| HIV status                       |                   |     |         |
| Positive                         | 16(59.3)          | 11(40.7) |     |         |
| Negative                         | 122(84.7)         | 22(15.3) | 54.376 | 0.002   |
| Disease duration                 |                   |     |         |
| < 1 month                        | 10(90.0)          | 1(10.0)  |     |         |
| >1 months                        | 128(79.4)         | 33(20.6) | 1.643 | 0.094   |
| Timing of surgery                |                   |     |         |
| Emergency                        | 9(75.0)           | 3(25.0)   |     |         |
| Elective                         | 129(80.1)         | 32(19.9)  | 1.098 | 0.129   |
| Rank of surgeon                  |                   |     |         |
| Junior                           | 49(79.0)          | 13(21.0)  |     |         |
| Senior                           | 89(81.7)          | 20(18.3)  | 0.911 | 0.677   |
Discussion

The prevalence of HIV infection has been reported to be higher in patients with acquired anorectal conditions than in the general population, thus constituting significantly high morbidity and mortality both in developing and developed countries (Haac et al., 2013; Bakhiet et al., 2015). In this study, the overall HIV seroprevalence among patients with acquired anorectal conditions was 26% which is higher than that in the general population in Tanzania (4.7%) (Tan et. al, 2015; Johnston et. al, 2016; Global AIDS monitoring 2017; Tanzania HIV Impact Survey., 2017). However, the failure to detect HIV infection during the window period and the exclusion of some patients from the study may have underestimated the prevalence of HIV infection among these patients. A low prevalence of HIV infection among patients with acquired anorectal conditions has been reported in Sudan (Bakhiet et al., 2015). This difference in HIV seroprevalence in these studies reflects differences in the overall prevalence of risk factors for HIV infection in the general population from one country to another. The author could not establish the reasons for high HIV seroprevalence in this study.

In the current study, the majority of patients were in the fourth decade of life and tended to affect more males than females. Similar age distribution and gender have been reported in other studies (Haac et al., 2013; Abramowitz et al., 2014; Bakhiet et al., 2015). There was no obvious explanation for the high incidence of acquired anorectal conditions in this gender and age group; however, this can be explained by the fact that this is an active age group engaging in strenuous and high-risk activities which predispose them to anorectal conditions and HIV infections. This age distribution and male preponderance were also observed among HIV-positive patients. The reason for this observation is probably that males in this age group are more mobile with active participation in high risk-taking activities.

In our study, haemorrhoids were the most common acquired anorectal condition accounting for more than half of the cases. This observation is similar to the findings of other workers (Johansonet al., 1990; Nelson et al., 1995; Abramowitzet al., 2014; Igweet et al., 2014; Kayambaet al., 2018). In

![Figure 3. Distribution of patients according to postoperative complications (Note: Some patients had multiple complications)](image-url)
agreement with other studies (Haace et al., 2013; Bakheits et al., 2015), the rate of HIV infection in this study was significantly high in patients with hemorrhoids, perianal ulcers, anorectal abscess and rectal prolapse. We could not establish the reasons for the high HIV seroprevalence among these patients. However, having these anorectal conditions means loss of skin which is a well-known protective organ against infections like HIV and therefore one becomes vulnerable and especially more among homosexuality and unprotected sexual intercourse. On the other hand, having HIV infections leads to a lowering of body defence against infections and diseases in which the anorectal region is not spared (Bakhiet et al., 2015).

The clinical presentation of patients with acquired anorectal conditions in our patients is not different from those in other studies (Mansours-Khan., 2015; Kayamba et al., 2018), with bleeding, pain, discharge, perianal swelling, changes in bowel habits, pruritus, prolapse, incontinence being common to all the patients. As reported by many authors in developing countries (Mansours-Khan., 2015; Kayamba et al., 2018), the majority of patients in the present study presented late in advanced disease. We could not establish the reasons for the late presentation in this study. However, the majority of our patients had benign acquired anorectal conditions which are usually less symptomatic and affect little on daily activities which makes one less aggressive to seek medical attention until one is in an advanced stage. On the other hand, the patient’s health-seeking behaviours, an embarrassment of presenting with the anorectal condition, attempting other treatment options and limited accessibility to competent health facilities could explain the probable cause of delay. This study was done in referral hospitals probably there were delays in the referral system and protocols leading to a late presentation at this level.

The majority of patients in this study were treated non-operatively and only 44.5% of patients had a surgical treatment done. The author could not establish the reasons for the low incidence of surgical procedures in this study but it could be because the majority of patients had benign anorectal conditions which usually respond well to non-operative management until when it fails then surgery becomes the last option. In the current study, hemorrhoidectomy was the most commonly performed surgical procedure in our setting. A similar treatment pattern has been reported in other studies (Milligan et al., 1937; Acheson&Scholefield., 2008). The evidence from a recent meta-analysis suggests that the stapled hemorrhoidopexy procedure is a more recent procedure which is less painful and safe, and that hospital stay is shorter, with a more rapid return to normal activities than conventional excision hemorrhoidectomy but has a high rate of recurrence on long term follow up studies Giordano et al., 2009). As with any technique the stapled hemorrhoidopexy has complications like bleeding, pain, urinary retention and sepsis (Oughrissetal., 2005). Therefore conventional hemorrhoidectomy remains a gold standard of treatment for hemorrhoids (Giordano et al., 2009). In our study, none of our patients had stapled hemorrhoidopexy done due to the lack of facilities for this procedure in our setting. Non-operative procedures like rubber band ligation, sclerotherapy, infrared photocoagulation, cryotherapy, etc. have been described and are reserved for 1- and 2-degree hemorrhoids but are currently not available in our setting.

The overall good treatment success of 80.7% demonstrated in this study is comparable to the figures from several previous studies (Barrett et al., 1998; Bakhiet et al., 2015). This might be attributed to the experience of the surgeons in our setting as the majority of surgical procedures were performed by senior doctors. In agreement with other studies (Barrettet al., 1998; Bakhiet et al., 2015), surgical site infection was the commonest postoperative complication in the present study attributing this to HIV seropositivity. In our study, the rate of surgical site infections was found to be significantly higher in HIV-positive patients than in non-HIV patients. The reason for this observation is that HIV infection causes impaired immunity predisposing them to surgical wound complications such as surgical site infections.
Limited follow-up time, difficulty to diagnose HIV infection in its early stage (window period) and failure to determine CD 4+ count in HIV-positive patients were the major limitations of this study. Also, the management of our patients from two different levels of hospitals (tertiary and regional) may have affected the clinical outcomes taking into consideration the difference in facilities present at these levels such as diagnostics, specialists and nurses. However, despite these limitations, the study has provided local data that can guide health care providers in the management of these patients.

In conclusion, this study has demonstrated that HIV seroprevalence is significantly high (26%) among patients with acquired anorectal conditions at BMC and SRRH; thus, a substantial risk of exposure to HIV exists in health care workers who care for these patients. Hemorrhoids remain the commonest acquired anorectal condition in our setting. The rate of HIV infection was significantly high in patients with hemorrhoids, perianal ulcers, anorectal abscess, perianal warts and rectal prolapse. More than eighty per cent of patients who underwent surgical treatment were successfully treated. The success rate was significantly influenced by HIV positivity. Surgical site infection was the commonest postoperative complication and it was found to be significantly higher in HIV-positive patients than in non-HIV patients. It is therefore recommended that:-

- All patients with acquired anorectal conditions attending health facilities in this region should be screened for HIV infection
- All health care workers caring for these patients in this region need to practice universal barrier precautions to reduce the risk of exposure to HIV infection.
- Similar study should be conducted in different settings, for a longer duration of time and to include CD4+ count for all patients.
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