Factors Related to Mortality in Patients with Fournier's Gangrene or Necrotising Fasciitis; a 10-year Cross-Sectional Study
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Abstract: Introduction: Fournier's gangrene (FG) is a life-threatening disease, even with early diagnosis and administration of vigorous treatment, its mortality rate is high. This study aimed to evaluate the factors relate to mortality in patients with FG or necrotising fasciitis managed in a referral center. Methods: This retrospective cross-sectional study was conducted on patients managed in a tertiary referral center, Tehran, Iran, from March 2009 to March 2019, with diagnosis of FG or necrotising fasciitis. The correlation between different demographic and clinical parameters with mortality was analysed and reported. Results: 73 cases with the mean age of 59.1 ± 15.8 (range: 25 – 88) years were studied (87.7% male). 21 (28.8%) patients died. Escherichia coli (26 cases, 35.6%) was the most frequent microorganism in cultures. Non-survived cases had higher mean age (p = 0.01), higher frequency of hyperlipidaemia (p = 0.02), immunosuppression (p < 0.001), longer hospital stay (p=0.02), lower blood pressure (p=0.01), and lower platelet count (p=<0.001). Based on multivariate analysis, older age, lower haematocrit level and platelet count, and presence of immunosuppression. Conclusion: The rate of mortality due to FG and necrotizing fasciitis was 28.8%. Based on multivariate analysis, the independent related factors of mortality were older age, lower haematocrit level and platelet count, and presence of immunosuppression.
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1. Introduction

Fournier’s gangrene (FG) is a rapidly progressive, life-threatening necrotising infection of soft tissues, which typically involves the external genitalia and perineal area, but can also affect the abdominal wall and thighs (1). The disease mortality rate remains high despite early surgical interventions and advances in intensive care and medical treatment, due to its rapidly progressive nature (2-4). In recent decades, several studies published in the medical literature have examined the diverse scoring systems used to predict the risk of mortality in these patients (5, 6). One of these scores is Fournier’s Gangrene Severity Index Score (FGSI). FGSI incorporates nine clinical and para-clinical parameters, including temperature, heart rate, respiratory rate, serum sodium, serum potassium, serum creatinine, leuko-
2. Methods

2.1. Study design and setting

This retrospective cross-sectional study was conducted on patients managed in a tertiary referral center, Tehran, Iran, from March 2009 to March 2019, with diagnosis of Fournier’s gangrene or necrotising fasciitis. The source of data was the medical profile of patients, which was searched using terms such as Fournier’s gangrene, soft tissue infection, and necrotising fasciitis. The collected medical data included sex, age, co-morbidities (diabetes mellitus, cerebrovascular accidents, malignancies, urinary incontinence, bed ridden), laboratory findings, duration of hospital stay, antibiotics used in the treatment, immune system status and the final outcome. Disease diagnosis was based on the symptoms of pain, erythema, ulcers, swelling, crepitus, necrosis, purulent discharge and later confirmation by tissue inspection in the operating room. Also, vital signs (pulse rate, respiratory rate, blood pressure and temperature) were assessed for signs of systemic inflammatory response syndrome. In addition, Fournier’s Gangrene Severity Index (FGSI), which incorporates nine variables (temperature, heart rate, respiratory rate, serum sodium, serum potassium, serum creatinine, haematocrit, white blood cell count, and serum bicarbonate), was calculated for all patients (3).

The patients were classified, according to the extent of FG, in to the following groups: 1) patients with gangrene confined to the scrotum, 2) patients with penile and scrotal gangrene, 3) patient with scrotal gangrene extending to the perineum, 4) patient with scrotal gangrene extending to the abdominal wall or thighs.

Patients with solitary abscess as well as those with soft tissue infection without perineal or scrotal involvement were excluded from the study. Patients with incomplete data were also omitted.

Mortality was described as disease-related death during the hospital stay.

Two urology residents read the records and gathered the data and finally, the corresponding author verified the data.

2.2. Data gathering

The aim of this study was to evaluate the factors related to mortality in patients with FG or necrotising fasciitis managed in a referral Urology Center, over the past ten years.

3. Results

106 patients with FG or necrotising fasciitis were admitted to the studied hospital during the study period. 33 cases were excluded because of insufficient data. 73 cases with the mean age of 59.1 ± 15.8 (range: 25 – 88) years were studied (87.7% male). 21 (28.8%) patients died. The most commonly involved area was perineum. All cases were treated with surgical debridement of necrotic tissues, in 21 (28.8%) of whom debridement was extensive enough to necessitate additional tissue reconstruction (flap (3 cases), tissue graft (6 cases), and secondary suturing (12 cases)).

The results of wound culture were available for 52 patients and Escherichia coli (26 cases, 35.6%) was the most frequent microorganism. All four patients whose wound cultures were positive for Klebsiella, Proteus, Pseudomonas and Acinetobacter died. Non-survived cases had higher mean age (p = 0.01), higher frequency of hyperlipidaemia (p = 0.02), immunosuppression (p < 0.001), longer hospital stay (p=0.02), lower blood pressure (p=0.01), and lower platelet count (p<0.001). Seven patients had platelet counts of <50000/mm³, six of whom died.

Regarding FGSI variables, non-survived patients had lower serum Haematocrit (p=0.0), lower serum bicarbonate (p=0.0), and higher respiratory rate (p=0.03). The mean FGSI score was 8.7±3.5 in dead and 3.5 ± 2.9 in survived cases (p < 0.0001). 50 (96.2%) survived cases and 11 (42.4%) dead cases had FGSI ≤ 9. 10 (47.6%) non-survived cases had FGSI > 9. Table 1 compares the baseline charac-
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characteristics of participants between survived and non-survived cases.

Table 2 shows the factors related to mortality based on the multivariate analysis. Based on this analysis, age, hematocrit level, platelet count, and immunosuppression were independent factors related to mortality.

4. Discussion

This study investigated 73 cases of FG or necrotising fasciitis who were hospitalised in our center over a 10-year period. The rate of mortality amongst our FG patients was 28.8%. Based on multivariate analysis, the independent related factors of mortality were older age, lower hematocrit level and platelet count, and presence of immunosuppression.

The mean age of survivors and deceased patients was significantly different; also, in multivariate analysis, we showed that age had a direct effect on mortality of the patients. Some published studies show similar results (7), whilst the result of others is contradictory to ours (4, 14).

Tuncel et al. reported a correlation between diabetes mellitus (DM) and poor prognosis in FG patients (10), but Corcoran et al. found no similar association in their research (8). In this study, there was no significant difference between the frequency of DM amongst survivors and patients who died. The frequency of hyperlipidaemia and immunosuppression, was significantly different between survived and non-survived cases (p = 0.02 and p < 0.001, respectively). E. coli, Streptococcus, Staphylococcus, Enterococcus and Bacteroides genera were the most common bacteriological microorganisms that had been isolated from FG patients in previous studies (15, 16). In this study, the bacteriological agents most frequently detected in the wound cultures corresponded to those reported in medical literature and included E. coli, as well as Streptococcus, Staphylococcus. In rare cases, Enterococcus and Pseudomonas species were isolated. In contrast, the results of wound culture were negative, with no causative agent detected, in 21 (28.8%) cases. This is probably due to an anaerobic microbial growth that could not be isolated using our culture conditions.

No clear consensus exists on the most reliable clinical parameters for prediction of poor prognosis in FG patients (15). FGSI has been developed to facilitate the prediction of FG outcomes in affected patients. Laor et al. found that an FGSI score of >9 corresponded to 75% probability of a lethal outcome, while a score of ≤9 correlated with 78% survival probability (3). This threshold for the prediction of patient mortality was also confirmed in other studies (14, 17).

In this study, the mean FGSI score in deceased cases was significantly higher, which was consistent with other studies (7, 8, 18). Notwithstanding the significantly higher mean FGSI score in the deceased patient group, only 47.6% of patients who died had FGSI>9.

Yeniyol et al. found that deceased patients had elevated serum levels of urea, creatinine, alkaline phosphatase, and lactate dehydrogenase, and high leukocyte counts, while their levels of sodium, potassium, HCO3, hematocrit, total protein, and albumin were lower than the survivors’ (14). Clayton et al. found that amongst various variables, a blood urea nitrogen level of higher than 50 mg/dl was the most significant factor in predicting FG mortality (19). In the study conducted by Tsung-Yen Lin, only serum creatinine, potassium and hematocrit levels showed notable association with the risk of patient mortality (9). In our study, respiratory rate, hematocrit, and HCO3 level were found to be significantly different between survivors and deceased patients.

Thrombocytopenia increased bleeding tendency and susceptibility to infection (20) and multiple studies pointed to the relationship between thrombocytopenia and likelihood of death in sepsis (21, 22). Mean platelet counts of survivors and deceased patients was in a normal range but deceased patients had significantly lower mean platelet counts (135400±77600/mm3 vs. 256700±136800/mm3, p = 0.0001). Platelet counts of seven patients were <50000/mm3, six of whom died.

According to our findings, some of the nine parameters incorporated in FGSI were not significantly different between deceased and survived FG patients. However, some other factors including age, thrombocytopenia, and especially platelet counts <50000/mm3 were found to be predictive of mortality risk in these patients. Therefore, more studies using larger sample sizes are required to further evaluate these parameters in order to facilitate the development of a more efficacious FG scoring model.

5. Limitations

One of the limitations of our study is that due to incomplete files and the retrospective fashion of the study, we had to exclude many cases before data analysis, so it reduced our sample size. Nevertheless, this sample size is one of the largest sample sizes for this rare disease.

6. Conclusion

The rate of mortality of FG and necrotizing fasciitis was 28.8% in this study. Based on multivariate analysis, the independent factors related to mortality were older age, lower hematocrit level and platelet count, and presence of immunosuppression.
### Table 1: Comparing the baseline characteristics between survived and non-survived patients with Fournier’s gangrene or necrotising fasciitis

| Variables                      | Died (n = 21) | Survived (n = 52) | P value |
|--------------------------------|--------------|------------------|---------|
| Sex                            |              |                  |         |
| Male                           | 16 (76.2)    | 48 (92.4)        | 0.07    |
| Female                         | 5 (23.8)     | 4 (7.6)          |         |
| Age (years) Mean ± SD          | 66.2 ± 11.1  | 56.1 ± 16.5      | 0.01    |
| Medical history                |              |                  |         |
| Diabetes mellitus              | 11 (52.3)    | 23 (44.2)        | 0.35    |
| Hyperlipidaemia                | 4 (19.0)     | 1 (1.9)          | 0.02    |
| Immunosuppression              | 11 (52.3)    | 7 (13.4)         | <0.001  |
| Colorectal or urological surgeries | 4 (19.0)   | 16 (30.7)        | 0.38    |
| Presenting vital sign          |              |                  |         |
| Temperature (°C)               | 37.4 ±0.7    | 37.3 ±0.8        | 0.90    |
| Respiratory Rate (/minute)     | 19.2 ±4.8    | 16.8 ±1.8        | 0.03    |
| Pulse Rate (beats/minute)      | 89.2±16.87   | 83.8±10.9        | 0.10    |
| Systolic blood pressure        | 114.2±16.3   | 124.9±17         | 0.01    |
| Laboratory findings            |              |                  |         |
| Serum Creatinine (mg/dl)       | 2.8 ±1.8     | 1.7±2.9          | 0.06    |
| Serum Sodium (mM)              | 134.5 ±7.3   | 137 ±4.8         | 0.10    |
| Serum Potassium (mM)           | 4 ±0.6       | 4 ±0.4           | 0.70    |
| Haematocrit (%)                | 27.1 ±5.1    | 33.5 ±5.5        | <0.001  |
| White blood cells (10^3 / µL)  | 16.1 ±8.8    | 15.1 ±6.7        | 0.6     |
| Serum HCO₃ (mM)                | 17.2 ±6.2    | 23 ±6.1          | <0.001  |
| Platelet (/mm³)                | 135400±77600 | 256700±136800    | <0.001  |
| Site of involvement            |              |                  |         |
| Scrotum                        | 1 (4.7)      | 15 (28.8)        |         |
| Penis                          | 0(0.0)       | 4 (7.6)          |         |
| Perineum                       | 7 (33.3)     | 13 (25.0)        | 0.30    |
| Perianal                       | 9 (42.8)     | 9 (17.3)         |         |
| Abdomen                        | 4 (19)       | 11 (21.1)        |         |
| Hospital stay (days) Mean ± SD | 18.0 ± 22.6  | 10.1 ± 7.1       | 0.02    |

Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation or frequency (%).

### Table 2: Independent factors related to mortality in patients with Fournier's Gangrene or Necrotising Fasciitis

| Independent factors | P-value | Odds ratio (95% CI*) |
|---------------------|---------|---------------------|
| Age                 | 0.015   | 0.88 (0.79-0.97)    |
| Haematocrit         | 0.01    | 1.27 (1.04-1.55)    |
| Immunosuppression   | 0.03    | 10.11(1.14-89.35)   |
| Platelet > 50000    | 0.02    | 0.01 (0.0-0.54)     |

*CI: confidence interval.
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