Glaucoma is an optic neuropathy characterized by the progressive loss of retinal ganglion cells and their axons and the gradual loss of vision. Clinical detection and monitoring of glaucoma are performed by assessment of functional vision loss using standard automated perimetry and measurement of structural changes in the retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) thickness using optical coherence tomography (OCT). Reduced ocular perfusion has also been associated with glaucoma, and there are indicators that vascular factors play a role in disease pathogenesis. OCT angiography (OCTA) is a recent technique for the visualization of retinal perfusion to the level of capillaries. In glaucoma, the usefulness of OCTA-based vascular metrics has been demonstrated in the discrimination of diseased eyes from healthy eyes. Relating structural and, increasingly, vascular changes with functional loss of vision in glaucoma is referred to as the structure–function relationship.

Various studies have been conducted to investigate a more spatially specific focal structure–function relationship, including determining changes based on hemifields and region-based associations using the
Garway–Heath map,\textsuperscript{55} as well as, more recently, focal correlations\textsuperscript{56} at individual visual field (VF) locations using nerve fiber trajectory tracings.\textsuperscript{56,57} These studies have largely shown that vascular parameters are less susceptible to floor effects in subjects with more severe VF losses compared with RNFL thickness measurements.\textsuperscript{16,52,58} However, the majority of these studies investigated the structure–function relationship with RNFL or vascular measures separately, and there has been limited work investigating the combination of both, particularly in subjects with early glaucoma. The purpose of this study was to evaluate focal structure–function relationships using OCT RNFL thickness measurements and OCTA vascular parameters in a cohort of eyes with early primary open-angle glaucoma (POAG).

METHODS

Study Population

This cross-sectional study was conducted at the Singapore National Eye Centre, a specialized eye care institution in Singapore. Study protocols adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki and were approved by the SingHealth Centralized Institutional Review Board. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants. Subjects had clinically diagnosed POAG, based on eyes having a glaucomatous optic disc appearance not due to secondary causes of optic neuropathy (defined as loss of neuroretinal rim with a vertical cup-to-disc ratio of $>0.7$ or an inter-eye asymmetry of $>0.2$ and/or notching attributable to glaucoma) with compatible VF loss, a corresponding glaucoma hemifield test outside normal limits, and open angles on gonioscopy. Early glaucoma was staged based on VF mean deviation of $>-6$ dB from standard automated perimetry using the simplified Bascom Palmer Glaucoma Staging System.\textsuperscript{59}

Clinical Examinations

All participants received a standardized ophthalmic examination, which included assessment of VF acuity using a logarithm of minimum angle of resolution (logMAR) chart (Precision Vision, Woodstock, IL, USA), autorefractometry (Canon RK-5 Autorefractor Keratometer; Canon Inc., Tokyo, Japan), intraocular pressure measurement using Goldman applanation tonometry, VF assessment, OCT, and OCTA. Pupils were dilated with a drop of tropicamide 1% (Mydriacyl; Alcon, Geneva, Switzerland) prior to imaging. Refractive error was quantified based on the spherical equivalent (SE), defined as the spherical value plus half of the negative cylinder value. Eyes with SE $\leq -6$D were excluded. VF assessment was performed using standard automated perimeter (Humphrey Field Analyzer; Carl Zeiss Meditec, Jena, Germany) with a 24-2 central threshold test using a Goldmann size III white stimulus and the Swedish interactive thresholding algorithm (SITA) Fast strategy. Only reliable VF tests with fixation losses less than 33%, false-positive errors less than 20%, and false-negative errors less than 20% were included.

OCT and OCTA Imaging

Subjects underwent OCT imaging with a spectral-domain OCT system (Cirrus 5000; Carl Zeiss Meditec) using a 200 $\times$ 200 optic nerve head scan protocol. The system works at 800-nm central wavelength with an A-scan rate of 70 kHz. Circumpapillary retinal nerve fiber layer thickness was obtained with a 3.46-mm-diameter circle centered on the optic nerve head from raster scan interpolations using an in-built protocol. OCTA imaging was performed using a prototype commercial swept-source OCT prototype (PLEX Elite 9000; Carl Zeiss Meditec), operating at an A-scan rate of 100 kHz with a central wavelength of 1040 nm to 1060 nm. En face OCTA images of the superficial capillary plexus were generated from the vertical projection of angiographic signals contained in the slab between the inner limiting membrane and the inner plexiform layer, using built-in software (PLEX Elite 9000 Review Software; Carl Zeiss Meditec) based on a microangiography technique.\textsuperscript{40} Widefield macula-centered 12 mm $\times$ 12 mm and optic disc 6 mm $\times$ 6 mm scans were acquired. Images with signal strengths less than 6 and excessive movement artifacts were excluded. Regions of poor signal intensity that were observed in both OCT and OCTA images were manually excluded from subsequent analysis. Locations of the center of the optic disc, based on OCT, and the center of the macula, based on the center of the foveal avascular zone as determined on OCTA, were manually annotated and used to calculate the disc–fovea distance (DFD) and disc–fovea angle (DFA) for each eye.

Focal Structure–Function Modeling

Structure–function modeling was performed at each VF location based on nerve fiber trajectory tracings.\textsuperscript{56,57} Details have been previously reported.\textsuperscript{16} Briefly, a customized algorithm using MATLAB (MathWorks, Natick, MA, USA) was used to exclude large vessels and generate binarized capillary maps from the optic disc OCTA images. Nerve fiber trajectories adapted to the DFD and DFA of individual eyes were used to define focal regions associated with individual VF locations (Fig. 1). Specifically, the trajectories associated with each focal region defined the extents of the structural measurements based on the circumpapillary nerve fiber layer thickness (RNFL) from the OCT optic disc scan and the extents of the en face superficial vascular plexus from the corresponding OCTA optic disc scan. The mean RNFL thickness within the defined circumpapillary measurements and the capillary perfusion density (CPD) in the defined en face OCTA region were used as the focal structural RNFL and vascular CPD metrics, respectively.

Statistical Analysis

Demographic data are presented as mean (SD) and range where appropriate. VF sensitivities were converted to linear scale by taking the anti-log of the logarithmic decibel values.\textsuperscript{41} Structure–function relationships were assessed with linear mixed-effect models to account for inter-eye correlations within the same subject. Models were compared using coefficient of variance ($R^2$) values, adjusted for the number of predictors in the model. Global characteristics were evaluated against VF mean deviation (VFMD). Focally, linear mixed model regression analysis was performed at each VF location with the associated focal CPD and focal RNFL metrics. Bivariate models using both CPD and RNFL included an interaction term to model interactions between the parameters. Comparisons of the bivariate models against the unimodal RNFL and CPD models at each VF location were assessed using likelihood-ratio testing. $P$ values less
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### RESULTS

Ninety-seven eyes from 71 Chinese subjects with early glaucoma (VFMD, $-2.47 \pm 1.64$ dB) were included in the study. Subjects had a mean age of $63.5 \pm 12.7$ years with a mean refractive error of $-1.26 \pm 2.01$ diopters (D). Characteristics of the participants are shown in Table 1, together with the corresponding univariate mixed model regression analyses.

Global RNFL ($P = 0.001; R^2 = 0.481$) and global capillary density ($P = 0.007; R^2 = 0.428$) were the only parameters with significant coefficients in the univariate models. When both global RNFL and global capillary density were included, the combined model had an adjusted $R^2$ value of 0.649, and likelihood ratio testing showed that the combined model was significantly better than the univariate global RNFL ($P = 0.033$) and global capillary density ($P = 0.009$) models after performing Holm–Bonferroni correction.

A summary of the focal modeling of VF sensitivities across all of the VF locations is presented in Table 2 and Figure 2. Results of the performance of the different approaches at each VF location can be found in the Supplementary Table and Figure. Overall, the focal models using only RNFL achieved a median adjusted $R^2$ of 0.22 (interquartile range than 0.05 were considered to be statistically significant. Holm–Bonferroni corrections were used to adjust $P$ values for multiple comparisons between models. Statistical analyses were carried out using the commercial statistical software Stata 13.1 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA), and figures and visualizations were generated using MATLAB.

#### Table 1

| Location | -96 | -98 | -79 | -67 |
|----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Value    | [116,61] | [119,65] | [113,67] | [85,60] |
|         | [121,65] | [129,72] | [135,81] | [137,96] |

#### Table 2

| Location | -93 | -95 | -90 | -109 | -111 | -70 | -55 |
|----------|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-----|-----|
| Value    | [116,61] | [119,65] | [113,67] | [135,81] | [111,69] | [81,60] | [60,47] |
|         | [121,66] | [141,82] | [150,99] | [157,126] | [160,146] | [147,144] |

#### Figure 1

**Part A** OCTA image of the superficial capillary plexus of a study eye. **Part B** Binarized capillaries with major vessels removed overlaid with nerve fiber trajectories indicated in green, with numbers indicating the angular coordinates based on the modified polar coordinate system developed by Jansonius and co-workers. The red circle represents the scan pattern for the circumpapillary RNFL thickness measurement. The fiber trajectories are used to define the regions on the OCTA image for calculation of the focal CPD and arcs along the RNFL scan pattern for calculation of focal nerve fiber layer thickness. Further details on the implementation can be found in our previous publication. **Part C** Mapping of the VF locations and associated trajectories. Values indicate the mean and 95% confidence intervals of the angular coordinates for each VF location.
Table 1. Demographic Characteristics of Study Subjects (97 Eyes, 71 Subjects)

| Characteristics                          | Value      | \( P \)  | \( R^2 \)  |
|-----------------------------------------|------------|----------|-----------|
| Age (y), mean ± SD                      | 63.5 ± 12.7| 0.586    | 0.187     |
| Gender (female: male), n                | 23:48      | 0.210    | 0.182     |
| Refractive error (D), mean ± SD         | –1.3 ± 2.0 | 0.810    | 0.144     |
| Intraocular pressure (mmHg), mean ± SD  | 14.5 ± 2.8 | 0.420    | 0.193     |
| Global RNFL thickness (μm), mean ± SD   | 75.3 ± 9.3 | 0.001    | 0.481     |
| Global capillary density (%), mean ± SD | 36.6 ± 7.2 | 0.007    | 0.428     |
| Disc-fovea angle (°), mean ± SD         | 9.4 ± 5.7  | 0.843    | 0.178     |
| Disc-fovea distance (mm), mean ± SD     | 4.5 ± 0.2  | 0.924    | 0.183     |
| Signal strength, mean ± SD              | 8.5 ± 0.9  | 0.824    | 0.179     |
| VF mean deviation (dB), mean ± SD       | –2.5 ± 1.6 | —        | —         |

* Adjusted \( R^2 \) values are based on univariate linear mixed-effects modeling with VFMD. Characteristics with significant coefficients (\( P < 0.05 \)) are in bold.
† Angle between the optic disc center and the foveola, with respect to the horizontal.
‡ Distance between the optic disc center and the foveola.

The combined RNFL–CPD models achieved a median adjusted \( R^2 \) of 0.30 (IQR, 0.13–0.55). For the combined models, 17 VF locations (33.0%) had adjusted \( R^2 \) values greater than 0.5, compared with 15 VF locations (29.4%) for the CPD-only models and 14 (27.5%) for the RNFL-only models. Likelihood ratio tests at each VF location comparing the combined models with the univariate models showed that the combined models were significantly better than the RNFL-only models at 13 VF locations (18 significant without Holm–Bonferroni correction) and the CPD-only models at nine VF locations (12 significant without Holm–Bonferroni correction). In total, there were 16 VF locations (23 significant without Holm–Bonferroni correction) in which the combined RNFL–CPD model was found to be significantly better than either RNFL or CPD models. The spatial distributions of the performance of the models with respect to the specific VF locations are presented in Figure 3. The combined model largely showed better performance for the VF locations in the superior nasal VF regions, after adjusting for Holm–Bonferroni.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we found that combining RNFL thickness and vascular parameters resulted in better focal structure-function relationships than models with RNFL or vascular parameters alone in early POAG. Likelihood ratio comparisons at each VF test point showed that the RNFL–CPD model was found to be significantly better than either RNFL or CPD models. The spatial distributions of the performance of the models with respect to the specific VF locations are presented in Figure 3. The combined model largely showed better performance for the VF locations in the superior nasal VF regions, after adjusting for Holm–Bonferroni.
combined approach was significantly better than the RNFL-only or CPD-only approaches at 23 VF locations (16 after Holm–Bonferroni), largely in the superior and nasal regions of the VF. These results suggest that the combination of RNFL and vascular parameters may be advantageous for the study of focal structure–function associations with VF sensitivities in early glaucoma.

To the best of our knowledge, no prior studies have evaluated the structure–function relationship in glaucoma using a combination of RNFL and vascular parameters in a focal approach. Previous studies have mainly focused on the investigation of structure–function models based on either structural thickness, or vascular parameters, or vascular measures based on RNFL measurements demonstrated a residual floor effect at greater severities ranging from −10 dB to −14 dB, in which increasing VF losses were not associated with corresponding thinning of the RNFL. In comparison, with vascular parameters from OCTA, such residual floor effects are less pronounced or occur at greater VF losses compared with RNFL parameters. These studies have focused on subjects with higher glaucoma severities, but there are limited data on comparable structure–function studies in subjects with earlier glaucoma. In a recent study with 10-2 and 24-2 visual fields and with OCT scans from the macula and the optic disc, Hood and co-workers demonstrated good agreement between abnormal structural thickness and functional regions in a cohort of eyes with early glaucoma. In another study of eyes with early glaucoma by Mansoori and co-workers, significant correlations were demonstrated in the inferotemporal and superotemporal regions between structural thickness and vascular measures. However, these observations were not evaluated against VF losses. In our study, structure–function modeling using RNFL thickness or vascular measures was similar. The improved modeling of VF loss with both RNFL and vascular parameters is supported by studies that have postulated an autoregulatory mechanism in the ocular blood flow during glaucoma in which loss of retinal ganglion cells, which may in itself be attributed to vascular dysfunctionalities, results in decreased regional demand and reductions in capillary perfusion.

The improved modeling using the combined RNFL–CPD approach indicates that both structural thickness and vascular changes can be helpful in the structure–function relationship. Our findings are supported by a study of 47 eyes with mild to moderate glaucoma (VFMD, −4.39 ± 4.14 dB) by Hwang and co-workers. The study evaluated the relationships among VF, RNFL structure, and blood flow measurements using Doppler OCT and showed that blood flow and structural measurements were independent but were only partial predictors of VF loss based on a multivariate regression analysis. Locations in which the combined model was not found to be better were largely located in the inferotemporal region, for which neither the RNFL nor the CPD models resulted in significant correlations. This could be understood from the patterns of early glaucomatous loss where the inferior and temporal VF regions are affected to a lesser extent compared with the superior hemifield.

The structure–function relationship was evaluated separately for each individual VF test point based on the functional losses from VF testing. Unlike other approaches that group a number of VF test points and provide a summary value based on an aggregate value of the VF losses in the group, our focal approach worked directly on the losses at each test point. This allowed a more specific modeling of localized VF sensitivity with the associated local RNFL and vascular parameters, which has been suggested as a better approach for evaluation of the structure–function relationship. Although they are modeled individually, it is interesting to note from Figure 3 that the spatial locations of VF points with significant correlations are located largely in the superior nasal region of the VF. This is consistent with trends in the development of early glaucoma, in which the superior VF has been shown to be most susceptible to VF loss. The focal modeling results are also consistent with other studies that have described the superotemporal and inferotemporal regions as areas of increased vulnerability to glaucomatous losses.
There are several limitations to this study. Only parameters from optic disc scans were used. Including characteristics from macular scans such as ganglion cell layer thickness and retinal capillary density can be useful for defining the structure–function relationship.17,38,41 Structural measurements of the RNFL currently include both neuronal components and vascular components, and isolation of the neuronal components may provide a more targeted consideration for structure–function modeling.48 Vascular parameters were obtained from OCTA, which does not provide an actual quantification of blood flow but does provide a visualization of ocular perfusion, which has been shown to be a close approximation of vascular characteristics.12 Assessments of structure–function relationships were limited to linear mixed models,41 and other approaches were not considered. Only subjects from a single ethnicity were included in this study.49 Due to the cross-sectional nature of the study, causal relationships among RNFL changes, vascular changes, and VF losses could not be determined. VF test–retest variability, particularly in focal regions of poorer sensitivities,30 can also limit the reliability of the results. Further studies with repeated VFs incorporating data from other ethnicities are needed to evaluate the generalizability of the findings presented in this study.

In conclusion, the current study showed that the combination of RNFL measurements from OCT and vascular parameters from OCT-A led to better focal structure–function relationships in eyes with early POAG. The results suggest that considering both focal structural thickness and vascular characteristics could improve modeling of VF defects, and further studies are needed to evaluate the generalizability of these findings.
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