Changes in percutaneous coronary intervention practice in Japan during the COVID-19 outbreak: LIFE Study
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Aim: The global outbreak of coronavirus disease (COVID-19) has had widespread effects on clinical practice, and is reportedly associated with reduced percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) rates in the US and Italy. This study aimed to ascertain the influence of the COVID-19 outbreak on PCI practice in Japan.

Methods: In a retrospective analysis of claims data from National Health Insurance and Later-Stage Elderly Healthcare System enrollees in Kobe City, Japan, we examined the changes in PCI incidence before and during the COVID-19 outbreak. Percutaneous coronary intervention incidence during the COVID-19 outbreak in 2020 was compared with that of the same (pre-outbreak) period in 2019 using a Poisson regression analysis with the monthly number of PCIs as the dependent variable.

Results: A total of 639 patients underwent PCI in Kobe City between February and May 2020. The results showed a 19% reduction in all PCI procedures during the outbreak relative to the pre-outbreak period (P = 0.001). There were no significant changes in non-elective PCIs for acute coronary syndrome (ACS) cases, but a 25% reduction in elective PCIs for non-ACS cases (P < 0.001).

Conclusions: The COVID-19 outbreak was associated with a decline in elective PCIs for non-ACS cases, but did not appear to influence non-elective PCIs for ACS cases in Japan.
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LIFE Study, which is a large-scale multiregional cohort study that collects and analyzes claims data from National Health Insurance and Later-Stage Elderly Healthcare System enrollees. Data from Kobe City, Japan, were obtained for this analysis. The study was designed to investigate the changes in PCI incidence before and during the COVID-19 outbreak.

Kobe City is Japan’s seventh most populous city (population: 1.5 million). The study data covered 96.1% of Kobe City residents aged ≥75 years, 66.4% of residents aged 65–74 years, and 16.5% of residents aged <65 years. The study period was from February 2020 (when COVID-19 was officially declared a “designated infectious disease” under Japan’s Infectious Diseases Act) to May 2020 (when the national state of emergency was lifted). The use of PCI during this period was compared with that of the same (pre-outbreak) period in 2019. The t-test and χ²-test were used to compare the differences in patient age, sex, and Charlson Comorbidity Index scores between patients in both time periods. Changes in PCI incidence were examined using a Poisson regression analysis with the monthly number of PCIs as the dependent variable. The independent variable of interest was a dummy variable of the pre-outbreak period and the outbreak period. Percutaneous coronary intervention incidence rate ratios (IRRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated. The analytical models included an offset term that was the monthly number of patients who had received medical care within the past 6 months per 100,000 persons according to sex and age categories.

First, a differential analysis according to disease type was undertaken to examine the changes in PCI incidence before and during the COVID-19 outbreak. Next, a subgroup analysis of sex and age categories was carried out to explore the changes in PCI incidence. A comparison with patients in 2018 was also undertaken as a sensitivity analysis.

Using an approach described in a recent study, disease types were categorized into three groups according to International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision codes.7 Acute coronary syndrome (ACS) cases were first classified into ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) cases and non-STEMI cases, and all other patients were classified as non-ACS cases.7 Percutaneous coronary interventions carried out in STEMI and non-STEMI cases were considered to be non-elective, whereas PCIs carried out in non-ACS cases were considered to be elective. Age was divided into four groups: <55 years, 55–64 years, 65–74 years, and ≥75 years. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Kyushu University (No. 2020-415).

## Results

A total of 639 patients (mean ± standard deviation age, 75.7 ± 8.9 years; men, 70.4%) underwent PCI in Kobe City between February and May 2020. There were no significant differences in patient characteristics between 2019 and 2020 (Table 1). Acute coronary syndrome cases accounted for 42.6% of PCIs in 2020 (vs 38.0% in 2019 and 35.4% in 2018). The results showed a 19% reduction in all PCI procedures (regardless of disease type) during the outbreak relative to the pre-outbreak period (IRRoverall, 0.81; 95% CI, 0.73–0.90; Fig. 1A). Among the disease types, there was a 25% reduction in PCIs for non-ACS cases (IRRNon-ACS, 0.75; 95% CI, 0.66–0.86; Fig. 1B), but no significant changes in STEMI (IRRSTEMI, 0.97; 95% CI, 0.77–1.23) or non-STEMI (IRRNON-STEMI, 0.86; 95% CI, 0.69–1.08) cases.

For the sex-specific subgroup analysis, men showed a 29% reduction in PCIs for non-ACS cases during the outbreak (IRRNon-ACS, 0.71; 95% CI, 0.61–0.83; Fig. 1C); there were no significant changes in STEMI (IRRSTEMI, 0.93; 95% CI, 0.69–1.24) or non-STEMI (IRRNon-STEMI, 0.81; 95% CI, 0.62–1.05) cases. No changes in PCI use

## Table 1. Characteristics of patients who underwent percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) in Kobe City, Japan, according to year

|        | 2020   | 2019   | 2018   | P-value|
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| PCI numbers | 639    | 827    | 840    | –      |
| Age, years; mean ± SD |        |        |        |        |
| 2020  | 75.7 ± 8.9 |        |        |        |
| 2019  | 76.1 ± 8.5 |        | 0.370  |        |
| 2018  | 76.0 ± 8.0 |        | 0.520  |        |
| Sex, male; n (%) |        |        |        |        |
| 2020  | 450 (70.4) |        | 0.370  |        |
| 2019  | 600 (72.6) |        |        |        |
| 2018  | 581 (69.2) |        | 0.600  |        |
| CCI, mean ± SD |        |        |        |        |
| 2020  | 1.5 ± 1.2 |        | 0.360  |        |
| 2019  | 1.5 ± 1.1 |        |        |        |
| 2018  | 1.4 ± 1.1 |        | 0.003  |        |

†Mean ± SD, standard deviation.
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were observed for all disease types in women. Among the age categories, there were no changes in PCI use for patients aged <55 years and 55–64 years. For patients aged 65–74 years, the analysis showed a 29% reduction in PCIs for non-ACS cases (IRRNon-ACS, 0.71; 95% CI, 0.56–0.91; Fig. 1D), but no significant changes in STEMI (IRRSTEMI, 0.70; 95% CI, 0.45–1.10) and non-STEMI (IRRNon-STEMI, 0.73; 95% CI, 0.50–1.06) cases. For patients aged ≥75 years, the analysis showed a 33% reduction in PCIs for non-ACS cases (IRRNon-ACS, 0.67; 95% CI, 0.56–0.79; Fig. 1D), but no significant changes in STEMI (IRRSTEMI, 1.03; 95% CI, 0.76–1.39) or non-STEMI (IRRNon-STEMI, 0.81; 95% CI, 0.60–1.10) cases. The results of the sensitivity analysis (2018 vs. 2020) were almost the same as the main analysis. However, women showed a 33% reduction in PCIs for non-ACS cases during the outbreak (IRRNon-ACS, 0.67; 95% CI, 0.53–0.86; Fig. 1C) in the sex-specific subgroup analysis.

**DISCUSSION**

This study comparatively analyzed the changes in PCI practice in Kobe City before and during the COVID-19 outbreak using IRRs.
A study on ACS cases (including STEMI and non-STEMI) in Italy reported PCI reductions of 32–33% following the COVID-19 outbreak. Although our study found a 25% reduction in elective PCIs for non-ACS cases, it detected no significant changes in non-elective PCIs for ACS cases in Japan. These results were similar to previous studies in Japan. When considered together, these findings indicated that the COVID-19 outbreak did not affect urgent PCI procedures in the Japanese health-care setting.

In our sex-specific subgroup analysis, neither sex showed a reduction in PCIs for ACS cases. The previous Italian study found that PCIs for female ACS cases had substantially decreased after the emergence of COVID-19, suggesting that these procedures could have been avoided or deferred for women. We found no similar trend in Japan. The subgroup analysis of age categories revealed that patients aged 65–74 years and ≥75 years experienced PCI reductions of 29% and 33%, respectively, in non-ACS cases. There were no changes for ACS cases across all age categories. The pandemic appeared to have led to a decline in elective PCIs for non-ACS cases, especially in men and older patients aged ≥65 years. Before the COVID-19 outbreak, elective PCIs were more common in Japan than the US. We posit that the pandemic imposed practical limits on elective care, thereby providing an impetus for Japanese physicians to rethink the indication of these procedures. This could have manifested as our observed reduction in elective PCIs. In contrast, urgent PCI for ACS was carried out as usual in emergency medicine.

This study has the following limitations. First, the analyses were undertaken using data from a single Japanese city, and the findings have limited generalizability. Second, the disease type classifications were based on recorded diagnoses in claims data. We were therefore unable to undertake classifications based on clinical criteria, such as the degree of stenosis. Third, the offset term was the monthly number of patients who had received medical care within the past 6 months. This would have excluded patients (especially in the younger age groups) who did not use any care within that timeframe, and might have led to an underestimation of PCIs when calculating the IRRs. Finally, our study was carried out using claims data, which did not include laboratory test data. Accordingly, we could not compare laboratory test results among patients in the different periods.

CONCLUSIONS

This is the first study to examine the shifts in PCI practice following the COVID-19 outbreak using claims data in Japan. Our results showed that the pandemic was associated with a reduction in elective PCIs for non-ACS cases, but did not appear to affect urgent PCIs for ACS cases.
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