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Abstract: This paper, a comprehensive literature review has been conducted to highlight the manufacturing principles and properties of UHPC. The affluent production of UHPC depends upon its compositional materials, water to binder ratio and the mix design approach. This review also divulges that the curing conditions, aggregates and fibre properties, specimen size and the strain rates are the key factors in controlling the mechanical and durability properties of UHPC. Moreover, along with its various properties, attempts have been made to address the current challenges and their solutions so far, for their widespread economical usage.
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1. INTRODUCTION
The newfangled UHPC is basically a special type of concrete having a minimum compressive and tensile strengths of 150 MPa and 8 MPa respectively, while fulfilling the specified criteria of better ductility, durability and toughness requirements along with far better permeability resistance to chemicals as compared to ordinary concretes [5]. Moreover, it gives far better results than conventional concrete under blast, impact and seismic loadings [6]. In spite of all these advantages, it has some drawbacks also. The production of UHPC requires very high amount of binder content (approx. 800 - 1000 kg/m³) which affects its heat of hydration along with its production cost [7]. It’s complicated mix design and very high manufacturing cost has limited its use to the mass structures only. Hence, studies are required to overcome these drawbacks and to make it an economical and efficient construction material.

2. MANUFACTURING PRINCIPLE
The most important principle for the production of UHPC includes i) microstructure improvement ii) homogeneity enhancement iii) porosity reduction iv) excellent hydration and v) toughness betterment [8]. In comparison to conventional concrete, its Interfacial Transition Zone(ITZ) is extremely dense, less porous and highly compact (Fig. 3). It is due to the use of low water–cement ratio and pozzolanic chemical reactions between CH and reactive admixtures, because of which maximum CH crystals get converted into dense CSH gel [11]. Hence, UHPC is characterized by an advance microstructure due to its solid particle’s close packing and improved ITZ. Enhancement in homogeneity is the second principle required for the production of UHPC. As the size of these cracks is directly proportional to the aggregate’s size, reducing aggregate’s size in UHPC will effectively reduce the crack size as well as it also makes the ITZ to look similar to the cement paste matrix.

The third important principle is the porosity reduction. The porosity of concrete can be reduced by reducing its water–cement ratio while latter can be reduced by using superplasticizers, introducing very fine admixtures or packing the raw materials very closely, hence providing higher strength to the matrix [14,15].

According to fourth principle, first the hydration of portland cement will take place to produce CH and CSH gel, after which produced CH will react further with fine admixtures (silica fume etc.) to form CSH gel again. It has been found that UHPC have lesser amorphous phases as compared to higher crystalline phases in conventional concrete due to pozzolanic reactions with highly fined mineral admixtures [16]. The last principle states that UHPC should have high toughness i.e. the material capacity to absorb energy should
be high enough to withstand its fracture. Fibres are introduced in them to prevent as well as control the initiation and propagation of cracks. Zollo [19] states that the concrete matrix bears the load directly and transfer it to the fibres whereas the fibres control the growth of the cracks generated by using their better energy absorption capacity.

High quality cement with cementitious additives (mainly silica fume), aggregates, and large quantity of superplasticizers along with fibre addition are the main compositional materials of UHPC (Fig. 4). As the UHPC matrix is much denser than conventional concrete, all granular constituents should have the maximum packing density. To increase the packing density of concrete matrix, one should increase the different size classes of binder as well as that of aggregates so that the voids in between the larger particles can be filled by the smaller ones [10]. The incorporation of this well graded system will also help to improve the workability of the fresh concrete to some extent by introducing ball bearing effect between the finer and coarser particles and reducing the requirement of cement paste for lubricating the particle’s surface. But it has been noticed that a completely dense packing is not befitting as the fresh concrete needs to flow to certain degree before it gets placed [21]. Hence, addition of water-reducing agents in the mix will counteract the effect of low water–binder ratio and fibre’s addition to maintain minimum required workability[10,21].

Generally, cement content required for the production of UHPC (600-1000 kg/m³) is approximately twice the amount of cement required in ordinary concrete [21]. As all the cement particles do not react due to low water–cement ratio, left over unhydrated cement behaves inertly and will be used in particle packing [21]. Other materials having cementitious properties like Silica Fume (SF), Fly Ash(FA), metakaoline etc. can be used as a replacement (partially or completely) for cement. Silica fume particles are very small as compared to cement particles which makes them an excellent filler material increasing the packing density of the matrix [20,23]. Fly ash particles are mainly spherical in shape having ball bearing effect which helps to enhance the flowability of fresh concrete, increasing the setting time, decreasing the permeability and reducing sulphate attacks on cement replacement with it [24]. Using metakaolin as a cement replacement will reduce autogenous shrinkage, increases flexural strength but with a small decrease in the compressive strength [25]. Rice Husk Ash (RHA), Ground Granulated Blast Furnace Slag (GGBFS) and nano-particles are some other cement replacement materials which can play an indispensable role in improving the properties of UHPC. Sobolevand Gutiérrez [28] showed that nano-particles (nano-silica, nano-iron, nano-CaCO₃, etc.) have the highest surface area-volume ratio as compared to other cementitious materials (Fig. 5). They have the ability to densify the microstructure by acting as a filler material as well as promoting further cement hydration because of their high reactivity by acting as cement phase nucleus [29]. With the use of these nano-materials in UHPC, compressive and flexural strength increases significantly. Being very small in size, nano-silica make the matrix very dense by filling the voids in between SF and cement particles. This will definitely escalate the mechanical as well as durability properties of UHPC [30,31,32]. Matrix having nano-silica and have higher bonding strength between aggregates and binder paste than matrix containing SF or cement only [21].
Normally, aggregate is considered to be an inert material but it plays a very crucial role in deciding the dimensional stability of the concrete along with its elastic and thermal properties. The best aggregates which should be used for UHPC are characterized by high mechanical strength (hard, durable etc.), well graded size distribution and free from any harmful contaminants (clay, silt, chemicals etc.) so that it may not affect the hydration process, strength, density and porosity of the concrete matrix. Incorporation of these materials as fine aggregates in the concrete composite will enhance packing density, increases strength and add durability. The important point which should be kept in mind while selecting the aggregates for UHPC is that they should not have susceptibility for breaking and should have higher resistance to weathering. Moreover, they should have high mechanical strength and should be characterized with well graded size distribution, appropriate shape and texture. Generally, apart from fine aggregates, coarse aggregates are rarely used in the production of UHPC from strength point of view. But, addition of coarse aggregates in the concrete mix have the ability to reduce autogenous shrinkage, cement quantity and hence the cost of UHPC. Hence, proper size of coarse aggregates which can satisfy both strength as well as cost, can be used as a construction material.

According to the principle of production, UHPC requires a very high binder content at a very low water–cement ratio of 0.14 to 0.20 [8]. Hence, addition of superplasticizers like polycarboxylate are very much required to provide the required workability to the concrete mix [39]. The amount and type of superplasticizer to be employed, should be chosen on the basis of the quality of UHPC to be obtained. The optimum content required for obtaining best mechanical and durability properties, as concluded from various research works in the past decade is 1.50 to 2.40 [OM].

3. FACTORS AFFECTING PROPERTIES

The binder materials i.e., cementitious and Supplementary Cementitious Materials (SCMs) have a dominant role in deciding the ultimate characteristics of any concrete. Due to fast reactivity of C₃S with water, the cement having high content of C₃S and lower content of C₂S will show early development of strength whereas the one having higher percentage of C₂S and lower percentage of C₃S and C₃A will produce a concrete with low heat of hydration, slower rate of strength development and better resistance to sulphate attack [78]. Hence, the properties of UHPC can easily be modified as per the need by just changing the composition of these cementitious materials. In addition to this, various types of SCMs like FA, GGBFS, SF, RHA etc. also have some discernible effects on the quality of UHPC as per their own physical and chemical traits. Incorporation of some SCMs like FA, RHA, MK will enhance the workability of UHPC by reducing the water demand whereas other SCMs like SF, nano-materials, zeolites increases the water demand and decreases workability either due to their high reactivity, ultrafine particles or water absorption tendency [78,79]. Generally, most of the SCMs in spite of their type contributes better mechanical strength, greater sulphate resistance and enhanced impermeability against gas and chemical attacks [77,78,79,80,81,82,83]. This is mainly due to their filling effect, pozzolanic reaction converting CH into CSH and the refinement of concrete’s microstructure simultaneously. According to Mehta and Monteiro [84], aggregate’s shape, size, texture, gradation, specific gravity, soundness and moisture content are some of the key factors which plays an important role while obtaining a desirable concrete
Packing density is an important factor which plays a key role in deciding the performance and major properties of UHPC. The packing density can easily be increased by improving the size distribution, shape and texture of cementitious materials and aggregates [87]. This enhancement in packing density will lead to the improvement in flowability and workability of fresh UHPC due to the reduction in water demand and greater availability of binder paste for carrying out lubrication. Moreover, the improvement in the packing of constituent ingredients and reduced water-to-binder ratio will lead to the strength increment and reduction in porosity, bleeding, segregation, permeability and shrinkage of UHPC [87,88,89]. Fibres i) geometry and type, ii) length, iii) volume content with dispersion homogeneity, and iv) orientation are some of the important factors which significantly affect the properties of UHPC. It has been found that the deformed fibres (twisted – TF, hooked end – HF, triangular, polygonal, square shapes etc.) are much better than straight fibres (SF) in terms of strength and post-cracking strain [90]. It is mainly because of better interfacial bond properties of hybrid fibres with the UHPC matrix resulting in three to four times greater pull-out bond strength and toughness in respect to straight and corrugated fibres. The ultra high performance concrete (UHPC) with deformed fibre reinforcement provided an increment of 32%, 70%, and 205% in tensile strength, flexural strength and strain capacity respectively, but only insignificant improvements were observed in terms of compressive strength and elastic modulus as compared to SF reinforcement [90,91]. In comparison to straight fibres, Wu et al. [92] have also observed somewhat similar increment of 17% - 50% and 8% - 28% in the ultimate flexural strength of UHPC on incorporation of hybrid and corrugated fibres respectively. Now, the bonding area between the matrix and its fibres along with the fibres probability to be present at the surface of cracks increases with increase in the fibre’s length [93]. Due to this, flexural strength and toughness of the matrix gets enhanced along with its load carrying capacity on incorporating longer fibres. UHPC mix having 2.0% long fibre incorporation have shown better static compressive and flexural strength in comparison to 2.0% sort fibre reinforcement [94]. But, UHPC having hybrid long and short fibre reinforcement will have better results in terms of flowability and strength when compared to single long or short fibre reinforcement. Wu et al. [94,95] have obtained the best results in terms of static and dynamic mechanical strength for hybrid 1.5% long–0.5%short fibres in comparison to 2.0% long or short fibre reinforcement. The major reason behind this efficiency is the collaboration of short and long fibres which makes them capable of withstanding the widening of micro as well as macro cracks and bearing the applying load up to larger deflection, hence showing better mechanical strength, ductility and toughness.

Hence, using some percentage of coarse aggregates can significantly reduce the cost but will affect the strength parameter also.

Table 6: Effect of various properties of aggregates

| Parameters          | Type                  | Important Notes                                                |
|---------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|
| Shape               |                       | • Vod percent = 32-33%.                                       |
|                     | Rounded               | • Less cement required.                                       |
|                     |                       | • More workable while poor strength.                          |
|                     | Angular & Elongated   | • Vod percent = 38-40%.                                      |
|                     |                       | • More cement required.                                       |
|                     |                       | • Less workable while good strength.                          |
| Texture             | Smooth                | • It improves workability but have lower strength             |
|                     | Rough                 | • It improves paste-aggregate bond strength but lower workability |
| Gradation Or Size Distribution | Uniform Graded | • Max. Particle’s spacing.                                   |
|                     |                       | • High binder paste requirement.                              |
|                     |                       | • Provides good workability while lower strength.             |
|                     | Well Graded           | • Min. Particle’s spacing.                                    |
|                     |                       | • Less binder paste required.                                 |
|                     |                       | • Provides good strength while lower workability.             |
| Moisture Content    | Wet Dry               | • Up to 5% water absorption.                                  |
|                     |                       | • Can cause bulking.                                          |
|                     | Air Dry               | • Less than 1% water absorption.                              |
|                     |                       | • No problems of bulking.                                     |
| Density             | Light weight          | < 1100 kg/m³.                                                 |
|                     | Normal weight         | 1500 – 1700 kg/m³.                                           |

(Table 6) [OM]. Aggregates are mainly classified into coarse and fine aggregates. In UHPC, fine aggregates are preferred as they provide better mechanical strength and workability. But they increase the cost of manufacturing.
Mainly, increasing the volume of fibres up to the optimum value will improve the compressive strength, tensile strength and flexural strength of UHPC drastically. But this strength improvement also depends upon the homogeneity of dispersion of fibres in the concrete mix [96]. Generally, researchers have suggested a fibre content of 1% to 4% for getting the best strength properties. But, the volume of fibre used in the matrix should be minimum from the economical point of view since even the cost of 1% volume of fibre can be greater than the whole matrix material. Hence, fibre volume in between 1% to 2% is more than sufficient for obtaining required structural performance economically. In good fibre orientation, maximum fibres are well and homogeneously aligned in the direction of tensile load, whereas in poor fibre orientation, maximum fibres are randomly oriented and not homogeneously aligned in the direction of tensile load. There are mainly two types of flows i.e. shear flow and radial flow in which fibres get aligned either perpendicularly or parallel to the fluid flow direction, respectively as shown in Figure 9 [97].

Ferrara et al. [98] and Kwon et al. [99] had reported in their result that the beams in which fibres get aligned parallel to the beam length had shown better flexural strength than the beams having fibres orientation perpendicular to their length. This implies that higher flexural and tensile strength along with better energy absorption capacity for UHPC can be achieved from good orientation of fibres in the concrete matrix.

The structures to be made with UHPC are highly bigger than the reduced scale specimens on which we conduct all the tests to check its suitability for the construction work. Hence, various investigations have been made in the past several years to analyse the size effect on various properties of concrete. An et al. [100] had reported that there is a decrement in the compressive strength with an increase in the size of specimen and this effect becomes more noticeable with the increase of fibres dosage. Shear strength and flexural strength also varies inversely proportional to the size of specimen and decreases with the increase in its size [101]. It is generally because the probability of having dense and homogenous microstructure decreases whereas having bigger cracks and voids increases with the increment in sample’s size. But, this size effect on the flexural strength of the specimen is mainly due to poor fibre orientation as the later becomes poor with increase in specimen size [102]. Hence, specimen size will have a very insignificant effect if similar fibre distribution characteristic along with dense and homogenous microstructure is ensured throughout the specimen and can be easily neglected.

Water–Binder ratio is also a dominant factor in governing the fresh and hardened properties of UHPC. As already discussed in section 3.3, the UHPC mix design requires very low water–binder ratio of 0.18 – 0.22 in comparison to conventional concrete. Actually, this water–binder ratio (or water–cement ratio) represents the average distance between the binder's particles with in the binder/cement paste just before their hydration starts on addition of water [103]. Hence, lower is the water–binder ratio, lower will be the distance between the adjacent binder particles which means that the hydrates of one particle will need to grow smaller distance in order to meet the hydrates of neighbouring particles. This will increase the packing density, improves the hydration condition and densify the microstructure of UHPC, which will consequently enhance its mechanical strength and durability properties [104].

Strain rate may be defined as the rate of change of a particle's distance with time in respect to its neighbour particle. Lower strain rate allows more creep to occur and greater critical crack growth, resulting in the formation of larger flaws. Concrete's sensitivity towards strain rate also depends upon the loading condition i.e. maximum under tension, minimum under compression while medium under
produce an UHPC with a compressive strength of 150 MPa in 28 days of curing [122]. But, if CS completely replaces the standard sand in UHPC, there will be a decrease of about 15-25% in its compressive strength due to high amount of free water present in case of CS mix [122]. Also, 5% SF can produce a compressive strength of 155 MPa at 90 days while using as a binder material. However, silica fume (>10%) does not show any significant changes in the compressive strength, giving strength similar to 5% SF addition [132]. Alsalman et al. [132] further concluded that the compressive strength increases by 4% to 8% depending upon the specimen size due to addition of 3% steel fibres. Cube specimens show greater compressive strength (11%) as compared to cylindrical ones. Other industrial by-products showing promising results in strength development are FA and Coal Bottom Ash (CBA). Coal bottom ash (CBA) can provide additional compressive strength at 28 days due to its pozzolanic reaction and its highly amorphous structure [134]. Soliman and Hanoum [146] has used glass powder for making an eco-friendly UHPC and provided 20% and 50% glass powder as the optimum replacement of cement with respect to compressive strength and flowability respectively. A compressive strength of 234 MPa can be attained by complete 100% replacement of quartz powder with glass powder under hot curing. Infect, complete replacement provides better compressive strength along with cost reduction [146].

Ultra high performance concrete (UHPC) matrix with fibres addition, generally have a tensile strength in the range of 15 MPa to 20 MPa depending upon the matrix property. It is generally twice as that of UHPC without fibres. Ultimate cracking strength (post), energy absorption capacity and the strain capacity are very important tensile parameters which should be properly investigated to observe the tensile behaviour. The strain hardening and strain softening are the two different behaviours which the tensile stress-strain curve shows under multiple and single cracking respectively (Fig. 11) [OM]. Nguyen et al. [147] inspected the effect of geometry and size on the tensile properties of UHPC. The results confirm that the ultimate cracking strength, energy absorption capacity and the strain capacity get reduced on increasing the volume, gauge length and the section area of specimen. Thickness of specimen have an opposite impact because on increasing the thickness, all the parameters get increased significantly. Further, Park et al. [118] have concluded in their research that instead of micro fibre, macro fibres mainly affects the shape of stress strain curve of UHPC under tension. It is noticeable that the tensile properties of UHPC get significantly improved according to the types of fibre used in the matrix. Park et al. [118] compared the effect of different percentage of Long Smooth (LS), Hooked Fibres – Type A, B (HA & HB) and Twisted Macro Steel (TMS) fibres used with a particular content of micro fibres. Twisted fibres have shown the best results for post cracking strength as well as the strain capacity, followed by the hybrid and smooth fibres. Meng and khayat [126] has
used Graphite Nano Platelets (GNP) and Carbon Nano Fibres (CNF) to produce UHPC. Results showed that the tensile strength effectively increases (by 56% & 45%) due to incorporation of these nano materials (CNF & GNP respectively). Moreover, hybridization of fibres can also be introduced in the production of UHPC for better strength and performance. Results demonstrates that Steel (S) and polyethylene (PE) hybrid fibre UHPC (S1-PE0.5) gives better first cracking and ultimate tensile strength as compared to single steel fibre UHPC [125]. Hence, it can be concluded that a combination of high strength synthetic fibres and steel fibres can be used for better tensile property.

The capacity of a material to resist the structural failure or yield in shear is called the shear strength of that material. UHPC are much better in shear resistance as compared to Normal Strength or High Strength Concrete (NSC/HSC). Hussein and Amleh [123] concluded in their study of UHPC’s structural behaviour that the ultimate shear strength of UHPC was higher than NSC/HSC. Generally, UHPC show a very complex behaviour under shear loading. The main factors behind the shear failure of a structure are shearing forces along with bending moments. The resistance to these shear failures is directly proportional to the volume of fibres incorporated in the mix, whereas inversely proportional to the ratio of shear span to depth [133]. There is a huge decrement of about 67% in the shear strength of UHPC having 1.5% fibre incorporation due to increase of 0.7 in the shear span to depth ratio. According to Ngo et al. [133], the shear stress-strain curve before the point of first shear cracking shows a linear change whereas a non-linear response up to ultimate shear strength has been observed afterwards (Fig. 12) [OM]. However, a ductile nature has been observed due to the addition of fibres. The experimental results also demonstrated that the shear strength of UHPC was always greater than its tensile strength. The shear strength of 1.5 % fibre mixed UHPC was found approx. 1.6 times greater than its tensile strength.
Flexural strength sometimes may be a more important feature than the compressive strength of UHPC. Types of aggregates, fibres and the casting methods are some of the important factors which significantly affects the flexural strength of UHPC. Fine aggregates like barite sand, quartz and nano materials (e.g. nano-silica) provides better flexural strength due to their stronger bond with hardened paste [124]. Content of nano-silica up to 2% improves the ITZ of the concrete matrix whereas it’s over dosage (2% – 5%) causes agglomeration. Hence, the flexural strength of UHPC increases only up to 2 % vol. of nano-silica after which a significant decrease has been observed. The performance of fibres under the flexural loading not only depends upon the type of fibres, but also on the bridging capability, homogenous distribution and their orientation in the matrix. Even though the fibre has greater mechanical strength and bridging capability, their efficiency will decrease under loading if they are not homogeneously distributed and perpendicularly oriented. Due to this, many contradictory results have been obtained by different researches regarding contribution of fibres in improving the flexural properties of UHPC. Some results [102] have shown that the twisted fibres increase the flexural strength by 167% as compared to straight fibres. Whereas, some other results [135] shows that the best toughness and flexural strength was obtained for the beams incorporated with straight fibres as compared to twisted one. Yoo et al. [102] also stated that better flexural strength, fracture energy and toughness is obtained by using longer fibres due to their improved pull out performance. Addition of single or hybrid (medium & long)fibres significantly enhances the toughness property up to 80% due to their cracking resistance characteristic [148]. It is noticeable that better flexural performance is obtained by using single twisted fibres (2%) as compared to hybrid twisted and straight fibres [135]. Further, results have demonstrated that the standard curing is better than steam curing whereas the autoclave curing has shown the intermediate results [7]. The flexural strength is also influenced by the casting method as it will affects the orientation of fibres. An improved performance of UHPC under flexure will be obtained by increasing the speed of casting in layer casting method for uniaxial beams [135].

Having higher strength and improved durability as compared to ordinary concrete, UHPC provides much better earthquake and impact resistance. It is characterized with higher dissipation of energy under impact loadings and much better post loading performance. The important factors affecting the resistance capability of UHPC against impact loadings are specimen size, fibres (type, dosage, length, orientation) and mineral admixtures [149]. Optimum dosage of long length straight steel fibres is required for the significant enhancement of capacity to absorb energy and residual moment capacity along with remarkable increment in ultimate residual deflection capacity [150]. Moreover, the impact resistance, toughness and strain capacity increase with the decrease in specimen size and with parallel fibres alignment. Addition of fine mineral admixtures have always improved the performance of UHPC under the seismic and blast loadings. According to Wu et al. [151], the impact resistance capacity of UHPC get improved on incorporation of SCMs and fibres in it due to enhancement in its microstructure, ductility and toughness properties. Moreover in 2014, Astarlioglu and Krauthammer [152] stated that UHPC columns had shown 30% lesser displacement in comparison to conventional concrete columns under blast loadings. Hence, UHPC have a promising scope in field of military seismic zoned structures where high resistance to explosion and seismic loading is required.

5. DURABILITY PROPERTIES

Water absorption of a concrete represents its long-term durability performance. The durability of a concrete increases with the decrease in its water absorption capacity. The information regarding concrete’s porosity and the volume of permeable pores along with their interconnectivity can easily be obtained from the water absorption capacity of that concrete [176]. A limited connectivity of pores and the reduction in porosity will significantly reduce the water absorption capacity [78]. With the addition of mineral admixtures in UHPC, its microstructure becomes highly homogenous and the ITZ thickness get reduced significantly. This decreases the water absorption capacity of UHPC by partially blocking the transportation channel of water [177]. Basically, the effect of mineral admixture’s addition is more on final water absorption capacity (72 h) as compared to its initial absorption capacity (30 min) [176]. Sabet et al. [176] further added that the fly ash, natural zeolite or silica fume have a tendency of effectively reducing the water absorption rate of UHPC. However, silica fume provides the most effective results as the final water absorption decreased by 38.7% and 43% on its 10% and 20% incorporation respectively [176].

The durability of concrete also depends upon the resistance of concrete to the penetration of chloride ions. Higher chloride resistance capability of concrete results to the higher ductility. Basically, the important factors on which the chloride penetration depends are water–binder ratio, curing regime, type of exposure and its duration [178]. Addition of cementitious materials and provision of thermal treatment effectively enhances the resistance capability of concrete against chloride penetration. Results [179] shows that thermally treated UHPC provide very low coefficient of chloride diffusion (2x10^{-14} m^2/s) as compared to high performance concrete (HPC – 6x10^{-13} m^2/s) and ordinary concrete (1x10^{-12} m^2/s). The electric charge passed in terms of coulomb is another way to represent the chloride penetration in a specimen [180]. Generally, the chloride penetration is assumed to be negligible in materials having the coulomb value lower than 100.Schmidt et al. [181] shows that thermal treatment of UHPC provide a coulomb value of 22 which is very less as compared to 1736 coulombs in ordinary concrete. Ultra high performance concrete (UHPC) are highly resistant to freezing-thawing actions. The highly enhanced homogenous microstructure, lower permeability and the reduced porosity are the main factors which provide such a great resistance [145]. Normally, it can sustain a freezing-
thawing cycles of 400 to 500 and wetting-drying cycles of 4500 without any degradation [182]. Furthermore, Acker and Behloul [65] also showed that freeze-thaw cycle of 300 have no degradation effect on the microstructure of UHPC.

Concrete deterioration due to freeze-thaw is expressed in terms of Relative Dynamic Modulus (RDM) given in ASTM C 666 and can be calculated from the equation given in Table 12 [183].

| Parameter                      | Mathematical equation |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Relative Dynamic Modulus (RDM) | \[ RDM\% = \frac{f_n^2}{f_i^2} \times 100 \] |

\[ f_n \] = resonant frequency after “n” no. of cycles; \( n = \) no. of freeze-thaw cycles;

\[ f_i \] = initial resonant frequency @ \( n = \) zero.

After a significant number of freeze-thaw cycles, internal micro-cracks get generated and the concrete deterioration starts along with their further propagation. The RDM value starts reducing with the deterioration of concrete due to decrease in the resonant frequency [184]. For UHPC, Lee et al. [185] obtained a retained RDM value of 90% whereas Bonneau et al. [186] get the 0% decrease in the RDM value, after providing 1000 and 300 freeze-thaw cycles respectively. Addition of some mineral admixtures also enhances the freeze-thaw resistance of UHPC. Only 10% of class C fly ash and silica fume significantly increases the resistance capability of UHPC [187]. All these results demonstrate that UHPC have a very sound performance under freezing and thawing.

Ultra high performance concrete (UHPC) have highly dense microstructure and very low water–binder ratio. Due to this, it is highly vulnerable to fire attacks. During fire, the concrete gets exposed to high temperature of 1000°C to 1200°C which produces some physical and chemical metamorphosis in the concrete matrix as shown in (Table 13). These metamorphoses cause the disintegration of concrete structures and mainly depends upon the rate of heating, ultimate temperature and the former fire subjection [188]. There is a development of internal pore pressure due to evaporation of free water (100°C) and the loss of CSH bounded water (200°C – 250°C). Spalling i.e. the explosion of concrete take place when this internal pressure exceeds the bearing capacity of concretes.

Ye et al. [189] find that the maximum cracks in UHPC were generated at 300°C and the complete explosion take place at 400°C. Addition of fibres will enhance the fire resistance capability of UHPC as after their burning and melting, capillary pores get developed along with the interlinking of cement matrix and aggregate’s transition zone [190]. This will increase the permeability and decrease the steam pressure. Polypropylene (PP) fibres have been found the best reinforcement for increasing resistance of UHPC against fire as compared to others like steel. Introduction of PP fibre with incorporation density of 2 Kg/m³ showed negligible changes in UHPC for temperature up to 300°C and little deformation at 400°C [189].

| Scale                             | Physical & Chemical Metamorphism                                      |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| i. Microscopic scale              | ➢ Drying – evaporation of free water.                                  |
|                                   | ➢ Dehydration – loss of CSH bounded water.                             |
|                                   | ➢ Pore pressure development.                                           |
| ii. Mesoscopic scale              | ➢ Contradictory strain between shrinkage of binder paste and expansion of aggregates. |
| iii. Macroscopic level            | ➢ Thermal augmentation.                                                |
|                                   | ➢ Formation of cracks.                                                 |
|                                   | ➢ Spalling stimulation.                                                |

6. CONCLUSIONS

Based on the comprehensive review and discussions made in this paper, following conclusions are emphasized in the end:

➢ Microstructure improvement, homogeneity enhancement, porosity reduction, excellent hydration and toughness betterment are the basic requirements for producing UHPC. For this, the basic requirements of UHPC mix are very high binder content, low water–binder ratio, high quantity of superplasticizers, good quality of fibres and excellent mix design along with proper curing.

➢ Fine mineral admixtures like silica fume, fly ash, metakaolin, nano-materials etc. are very efficient in enhancing the overall performance of UHPC.

The best aggregates which should be used for UHPC are characterized by high mechanical strength (hard, durable etc.), well graded size distribution and free from any harmful contaminants (clay, silt, chemicals etc.) so that it may not affect the strength, density and porousness of the concrete matrix. The fibres addition provides a ductile behaviour as well as a better resistance against the crack generation and propagation.

➢ Modified Andreason and Andersen model is one of the best approaches for UHPC mix design. It acts as a target function and adjustment of every single material in the mix will be carried out until the target mix and composed mix curve provides an optimum fit. In the design mix, incorporation
of sound quantity of superplasticizers, nano mineral admixtures and the air entraining agents will improve the workability of UHPC without compromising with its strength and long-term performance.

- Compressive strength can be enhanced significantly on incorporation of mineral admixtures in the concrete mix. Fibre’s addition has a very negligible effect on compressive strength as it is mainly responsible for enhancing the tensile and flexural strength of UHPC. Optimum dosage of long length straight steel fibres and fine mineral admixtures are required along with decreased specimen size and parallel fibre orientation for the significant enhancement of capacity to absorb energy and residual moment capacity along with remarkable increment in ultimate residual deflection capacity.

- On increasing water to cement ratio, the dry shrinkage increases whereas autogenous shrinkage decreases. But, both types of shrinkage increase with the addition of high volume of superplasticizers in the concrete matrix. Provision of thermal treatment to the UHPC is one of the best methods to reduce the creep effectively. On the other hand, addition of fibres will enhance the fire resistance capability of UHPC due to the development of capillary pores on their burning and melting, which will increase the permeability and decrease the steam pressure.

- The durability of UHPC increases with the decrease in the water absorption capacity, chloride penetration and increase in the freezing-thawing resistance. Incorporation of mineral admixtures, proper heat treatment and maintaining the water–cement ratio can help to achieve highly enhanced homogenous microstructure, reduced porosity and lesser permeability. It will increase the durability of UHPC by checking the durability parameters. Advanced capabilities in production and management, proper design guidelines, codes and standards, and industrial research to balance cost and benefits of UHPC are some of the basic needs to make it a commercialised construction material.
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