Organization of the bacterial nucleoid structure is a compromise between the requirements of about thousand-fold compaction of the DNA and unobstructed accessibility of the chromosomal loci to molecular machines governing the essential cellular functions of transcription, replication, and repair. This implies a high degree of structural organization of the chromosome. In this regard, instrumental clues were provided by recent studies demonstrating that distinct genetic loci occupy specific positions in the cell according to their physical arrangement in the chromosome (1–3). Furthermore, in *Gammaproteobacteria*, the order of genes was found highly conserved along the chromosomal replication origin-to-terminus (OriC-Ter) axis (4). In addition, a limited diffusion of the mRNAs from their chromosomal transcription sites was observed, suggesting a physical proximity of the sites of transcription and translation (5, 6). The corollary is that transcription factors, especially the highly abundant nucleoid-associated proteins (NAPs) diffusing from their production sites may exert graded long-range effects on gene expression both laterally and also along the OriC-Ter axis of the plectonemically intertwined bacterial chromosome (4).

Bacterial NAPs both constrain the DNA supercoils facilitating the chromosome compaction and also act as global transcriptional regulators (7, 8). The composition of NAPs changes in a growth-phase-dependent manner and the dynamic alteration of chromosomal supercoil density during bacterial growth involve cross talk between the NAPs and the DNA topoisomerases (9–11), which is continuously adjusted to the metabolic state of the cell (10, 12, 13). Under the influence of environmental factors, instant changes of global supercoiling can mediate the genomic transcriptional response to a challenge (14–17). This adaptive response is optimized by cooperative binding effects of the NAPs, interacting in a quasi-continuous manner with hundreds of genomic sites of various affinities and stabilizing distinct supercoil structures in the chromosome (18–20).

In pathogenic bacteria, understanding of the concerted rearrangements of gene activities during transition from a saprophytic to pathogenic lifestyle is a fundamental problem. In principle, the various stages of the infection process can be conceived as an array of successive environmental challenges to which the bacteria need to adapt. Most of the hostile conditions encountered by bacteria within the host, including acidic and oxidative stresses, induce changes of DNA topology (21). Variation of the DNA supercoiling...
state in response to changing environmental conditions may serve as a signal triggering the virulence program and coordinating the global gene expression during the infection process (22, 23). Indeed, DNA topology has been shown to control expression of various virulence genes, including the pel operon in *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, the type III secretion system (TTSS) of *Shigella flexneri* (24), and the pathogenicity islands SPI-1 and SPI-2 of *Salmonella enterica* (25–27). In *Salmonella*, the DNA topology and factor for inversion stimulation (FIS)—an abundant NAP transiently expressed during early exponential growth (28)—were shown to cooperate in optimizing the virulence factor production at different infection stages. During the early stages, the TTSS that is encoded by the SPI-1 system is induced by increased negative DNA supercoiling under conditions encountered in the lumen of the mammalian gut. In contrast, both the SPI-2-encoded TTSS required for the survival of the bacterium in the hostile environment of the macrophage and also the SPI-2 gene promoters are activated under conditions of DNA relaxation found in the macrophage. Such distinct dependence on the state of DNA topology appears to be a key discriminator between these two sets of virulence factors, securing that each is produced in the correct environment and otherwise repressed. Our recent study implicated cross talk between the DNA topology and two abundant NAPs, FIS and H-NS (i.e., heat-stable nucleoid structuring protein), in optimizing the virulence program of the plant pathogen *Dickeya dadantii* (21, 29–32). Expression of the *pel* genes essential for the virulence was found strongly decreased when the chromosomal DNA was relaxed by treatment with the DNA gyrase inhibitor novobiocin or application of environmental (oxidative and acidic) stresses, whereas FIS and H-NS distinctly modulated the response of the *pel* promoters to supercoiling both in *vivo* and in *vitro*. Accordingly, the virulence of both the *hns* and *fs* mutants was strongly attenuated (30, 31, 33). However, pathogenicity of *D. dadantii* is a multifactorial process involving numerous virulence genes scattered around the chromosome, and how their expression is coordinated during pathogenic growth remains an open question. In this study, we adopt a holistic approach integrating the data on the DNA sequence organization, supercoiling dynamics, and functional expression of the *D. dadantii* chromosome. For this purpose, we performed another experimental superhelical density of the DNA in exponentially growing *D. dadantii* wild-type (WT) and mutant cells lacking FIS and H-NS—the two “domainin” NAPs implicated in forming topological domains in the bacterial chromosome (34). On the other hand, we investigated the hazardous impacts of the environmental stress in batch cultures. Using transcriptomics analyses, we integrated the data on the physicochemical, topological, functional, and regulational properties of the expressed DNA sequences (35), enabling us to distinguish chromosomal domains coherently responding to stress and harboring distinct virulence determinants. We infer that transient chromosomal domains organized by distinct couplings of the physicochemical and functional properties of the DNA sequence mediate the versatile and selective expression of virulence traits facilitating the adaptation of the pathogen.

**RESULTS**

In all of the gammaproteobacterial genomes, the gene order relative to OriC is highly conserved (4) and this organization is paralleled by the average underlying physical properties of the circular DNA genome. In *E. coli*, it was observed that gene expression correlates with gradients of DNA supercoiling and average negative melting energy (− ΔG) extending from OriC to Ter along both replichores (4, 35). This OriC-Ter gradient of the DNA melting energy distribution is characteristic of Gammaproteobacteria in general (35). However, in the *D. dadantii* genome, four extended regions of high and low DNA thermodynamic stability (approximate high and low average GC content) are apparent, being organized almost symmetrically around the Ori and Ter ends of the chromosome on the left and right replichores (SD1, LD1, SD2, and LD2 in Fig. 1A). These distinct regions thus separate, as it were, the Ori and Ter ends from the rest of the chromosome (or vice versa), whereby each of the two regions of high and low thermodynamic stability face each other diagonally across the OriC-Ter axis. Similar extended regions of high and low DNA thermodynamic stability were observed in other *Dickeya* species, although in different spatial arrangements (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material). This common organizational feature prompted us to ask whether the distinct genomic pattern of DNA thermodynamic stability in *D. dadantii* is pertinent to the organization of the gene expression patterns, as recently observed in *E. coli* (35).

**Supercoiling response.** To assess the impact of the distinct genomic sequence organization of *D. dadantii* on the supercoiling response of the bacterial transcriptome, we induced chromosomal DNA relaxation by adding the DNA gyrase inhibitor novobiocin to the wild-type and *fs* and *hns* mutant strains grown in the exponential phase. From these experiments, we derived a large pool of genes (n = 2,169) that were significantly activated or repressed (either directly or indirectly) in response to DNA relaxation. These “supercoiling response” gene sets were analyzed in terms of their density distributions in the genome (see Materials and Methods), conceivably reflecting the supercoiling dynamics of the *D. dadantii* chromosome. Comparisons of the supercoiling responses within each genetic background (intrastrain comparisons) revealed consistent spatial genomic patterns (Fig. 1B), although among these three expression profiles, only about 50% of the genes were similar (see Fig. S2 in the supplemental material). Overall we observed that the chromosomal Ori end was more activated and the Ter end more repressed in all three genetic backgrounds. More specifically, the DNA relaxation by novobiocin treatment repressed transcription (blue color) in two adjacent regions around the terminus (indicated as Hyp in Fig. 1B), one of which partially overlapped with LD2 (indicated by a dashed ellipse), whereas the region in between (indicated as Rel) was activated (shown in red). Furthermore, in wild-type cells, an extended region around OriC showed patches of activation by DNA relaxation as well, whereas in *fs* and *hns* mutants this Ori proximal activation appeared delimitied to the region of the right replichore overlapping with LD1. While the Hyp and Rel clusters of supercoiling sensitivity could not be readily assigned to the genomic regions of high and low DNA thermodynamic stability, they were largely delimited to the chromosomal sectors between SD1 and LD1 in the Ori end and between LD2 and SD2 in the Ter end, whereas the chromosomal sectors between SD1 and LD2 on the left and LD1 and SD2 on the right chromosomal arm were devoid of any extended supercoiling-sensitive regions.

We next investigated the impacts of FIS and H-NS on the transcript profiles comparing the wild-type and mutant cells grown both under the normal supercoiling regimen and under condi-
tions of DNA relaxation by novobiocin (interstrain comparisons). Again, regional differences of the expressed gene density were observed, consistent with the proposed long-range effects of the abundant NAPs on transcription (8). Overall both of the NAPs activated (red) the Ori end of the chromosome, but the effect varied, depending on the supercoiling regimen. The region on the left side of OriC was more strongly activated by both FIS and H-NS on DNA relaxation (Act/R in Fig. 1C and D). Accordingly, in the intrastrain comparisons, the relaxation of the DNA strongly activated this region in the wild type but not in mutant cells lacking the NAPs (red color of the OriC-proximal region labeled “Act/R” in Fig. 1B), suggesting that both NAPs preferentially activate this region on DNA relaxation. Conversely, a confined region in the vicinity of LD1 was preferentially activated by both NAPs at the normal physiological level of negative superhelicity (Act/H in Fig. 1C and D), and accordingly, in the intrastrain comparisons, activation of this region by DNA relaxation was least pronounced in the wild type (compare the density of red color at Act/H between the three rings in Fig. 1B), suggesting that the NAPs prevent the activation of this region on DNA relaxation. Thus, long-range effects of the NAPs distinctly modulate the supercoiling response on both sides of OriC. Furthermore, H-NS and FIS, respectively, repressed, albeit to different extents depending on the supercoiling regimen, the chromosomal regions between LD1 and SD2 on the right and between SD1 and LD2 on the left replicohere (indicated as Rep in Fig. 1C and D). Notably, while...
this repression by H-NS and FIS was supercoiling dependent, showing, respectively, extension and shrinkage on DNA relaxation, these repressed regions showed the least conspicuous differences in the intrastrain comparisons (see the same regions in Fig. 1B). In addition, FIS repressed the chromosomal Ter end between LD2 and SD2 on DNA relaxation (Rep/R in Fig. 1D) and activated the flanking region at normal physiological negative superhelicity (Act/H to the right of Rep/R in Fig. 1D). Notably, in wild-type cells, this region also showed a stronger response to superhelicity than the fis mutant in the intrastrain comparisons, indicating that FIS is modulating the supercoiling response intensity of the Ter end (Fig. 1B). Finally, under conditions of DNA relaxation, FIS activated transcription of an extended region overlapping the thermodynamically labile region LD2, whereas H-NS activated transcription at the left edge of LD2 independent of the supercoiling regimen (Act and Act/R in Fig. 1C and D, respectively, indicated by the dashed ellipse).

Thus, while the regions showing explicit supercoiling sensitivity are roughly delimited by SD1 and LD1 at the Ori end and SD2 and LD2 at the Ter end, the regions in between (that is the regions between SD1 and LD2 and LD1 and SD2 on the left and right replichores, respectively) are repressed by FIS and H-NS, respectively, in a supercoiling-dependent manner. Taken together, these data strongly suggest that the chromosomal supercoiling response is largely coordinated by the primary sequence organization of the genomic DNA and the long-range binding effects of the NAPs in the genome.

**Stress response.** What is the relevance of these organizational features to the genomic stress response during the pathogenicity process? To answer this question, we derived transcript profiles from *D. dadantii* cells grown under adverse conditions of environmental stress normally encountered in the course of the infection process (see Fig. S3 in the supplemental material). We applied three distinct kinds of environmental stress (acidic, oxidative, and osmotic) during two distinct stages of growth (exponential and early stationary phases) to cells grown in four different growth media, such that for each type of stress, we ultimately obtained four biological replicates. On the chromosomal wheel shown in Fig. 2A, the expression patterns are arranged according to the applied stress in all four media (bundled in four rings corresponding to the four media used) in a sequential order (from inside to outside the wheel), corresponding to the assumed temporal order of the growth environments encountered during the infection process (indicated by the numbers 1 to 7). Thus, each pattern is compared to the preceding one to reveal the changes of stress response expected during the process of pathogenesis, as illustrated in Fig. S3. Among these, conditions 4 and 7 are identical as both correspond to oxidative stress applied in the stationary phase. However, in one case we compare the effects of the oxidative stress in stationary and exponential phases (comparison 4), whereas in another we compare the effect of oxidative stress in the stationary phase to that of the osmotic shock in the stationary phase (comparison 7). The latter comparison was carried out since the phenolic compounds released on degradation of plant tissues at the advanced stages of infection can induce oxidative stress, although *D. dadantii* is subject to oxidative stress primarily during the early stages of infection. Overall, we observed a remarkable variation of the gene expression densities in response to stress demonstrating chromosomal segments encompassing extended clusters of coherently activated (red) or repressed (blue) genes (Fig. 2A). Interestingly, the densities varied mostly coherently irrespective of the medium composition (see, e.g., the ring bundles 6 and 7 encompassing the LD2 region), but we observed also medium-dependent variation (see, e.g., the ring bundles 5, 6, and 7 encompassing the LD1 region). A similar pattern of gene expression density variation was observed when the chromosomal wheel was assembled by comparing each kind of stress applied either in the exponential or stationary phase to corresponding untreated samples (see Fig. S4 in the supplemental material).

When we inspected the functional content of the expressed sequences, we again observed a variable pattern (Fig. 2B). Coordinated switches of large functional classes of the anabolic, catabolic, aerobic, and anaerobic genes occurred both with a single stress during growth phase transitions (blue dashed rectangle) and also with different kinds of stress applied to cells in the same growth phase (red dashed rectangles). We infer that during the stress response, the changing dynamics of chromosomal gene expression densities are associated with coordinated switches of the metabolic profile.

**Determination of the chromosomal “stress-response” domains.** To gain insight into the device coordinating the patterns of the chromosomal gene expression density, we adopted a recently established holistic approach (35). In the entire stress-response transcriptome, we integrated different kinds of parameters determined for the expressed gene sequences, including (i) expression density, (ii) average negative melting energy, (iii) preferred superhelicity regimen of transcription, and (iv) response to the NAPs. All of these features collectively we denote here as the DNA sequence parameters. Each of these parameters formed distinct patterns in the global expression profiles analyzed under the different growth conditions. We correlated pairwise the spatial distributions of all these parameters in the genome to detect their statistically significant boundaries. For this analysis, we used the parameter distributions observed both in the wheels assembled according to the sequence of stress conditions encountered during the infection process (Fig. 2A), as well as the wheels assembled by comparisons of the stress conditions with untreated samples (see Fig. S4 in the supplemental material). The result of this analysis is shown in Fig. 3. (For full analysis of these parameters and their correlations in expression patterns see Materials and Methods and Fig. S5 to S7 in the supplemental material). We thus approximated 11 statistically significant boundaries delimiting the chromosomal “stress-response” domains numbered d1 to d11 (innermost ring in Fig. 3A). Four of these transient domains encompass the four regions of distinct thermodynamic stability observed in the *D. dadantii* genome. For example, d3 largely contains LD1, d5 contains SD2, d7 contains LD2, and d9 contains SD1 with the adjacent OriC proximal region, whereas d6 encompasses the Ter end of the chromosome. In the chromosomal half encompassing OriC, the “stress-response” domains are both smaller and more frequent, whereas many of the boundaries are located in close proximity to the rRNA operons (e.g., d8/d9, d9/d10, d10/d11, d11/d1, and d1/d2).

To relate these stress-response domains to genetic function, we next determined the distribution of the functionally distinct classes of metabolic genes compiled in the Gene Ontology (GO) data set (see Materials and Methods) and mapped them on the *D. dadantii* genome as described previously (35). The result showed that functionally related genes are separated by boundaries on the chromosome (indicated by red in the middle ring in
Fig. 3A), some of which either closely match (e.g., d2/d3, d3/d4, and d4/d5), or are in the vicinity of (e.g., d6/d7, d7/d8, d9/d10, d10/d11, and d11/d1) the statistically determined borders of structural domains (Fig. 3A and B). The domain d7 encompassing LD2 is particularly enriched in functionally distinct gene classes. The observed modular organization of the functionally related genes and the transient structural domains emerging in response to stress in the chromosome suggested that the structural boundaries could delimit biologically relevant functions. However, can these transient structural-functional domains appearing in response to stress be related to the virulence program?

Coordination of the virulence program. To answer this question, we analyzed the expression of different adaptation and virulence traits in the identified stress-response domains under various stress conditions. (For the list of the relevant genes in chromosomal domains, see Table S1 in the supplemental material.) The results of this analysis are shown in Fig. 4. Activation and repression of the stress-response domains are indicated on the
chromosomal wheels by red and blue, respectively (as in Fig. 2A). The relevant genes in the domains are written beneath the domains harboring them (encircled within spheres of corresponding color). During acidic stress, the activated domain d1, delimited by boundaries coinciding with strong ribosomal operons (Fig. 3A), expresses phytase, which degrades phytic acid, providing access to plant phosphorus, and also expresses *fis*, which boosts ribosome production and growth (Fig. 4A), consistent with activation of anabolism at this stage (Fig. 2B; see Fig. S3 in the supplemental material). The activated domains d9 and d10 express genes involved in modification of lipopolysaccharides (LPS) and outer membrane permeability, leading to increased resistance to antimicrobial peptides produced by plants (36). At the same time, the type VI system secreting the Rhs proteins coordinating the multicellular behavior (37), the genes involved in pectin catabolism (38), the flagellar operon required for motility (39), and the genes involved in iron metabolism (40), are all repressed (blue color of the domains d3, d6, and d7-d8). Repression of iron metabolism under conditions of acidic stress could be explained by the increased solubility of this oligonucleotide element, which is toxic for the cells at high concentration. This pattern is fully consistent with the notion that at this stage, the cells actively multiply, presumably preparing to the stage of colonization during the late asymptomatic stage. Colonization occurs under conditions of oxidative stress (see Fig. S3) and is associated with downregulation of anabolism (see Fig. 2B). Under oxidative stress applied to expo-
nentially growing cells, the domains (d1 and d9-d10) active under acidic stress conditions, are repressed, whereas d5, as well as d3 and d7, which are repressed during acidic stress, are activated (Fig. 4B). In these activated domains, the expression of the genes coding for protective and SOS response proteins (norV, recN, alkB, lexA, and sbmC in d3; katG, dps, bcp, and uvrB in d5; and gst and dinI in d7) is induced, whereas in the repressed domain d1, the expressions of both the growth stimulator gene fis and the cellulose biosynthesis operon bcsABC involved in bacterial aggregation and biofilm formation (not required during the stage of colonization) are inhibited. Also the iron metabolism in d4 is repressed, as iron can facilitate the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) via the Fenton reaction (41). Accordingly, in d5 a repressor of iron assimilation fur is activated (see Table S1), and also the suf genes involved in iron sulfur cluster assembly and repair, as well as the flagellar and chemotaxis operons required for plant invasion are induced in d7. Importantly, while the flagellum expression facilitates apoplast invasion during the colonization stage, the cell-wall-degrading enzymes are not produced (42). Indeed, the sec genes (secE in d1, secDF in d4, and secAM in d9) required for translocation of proteins across the inner membrane are repressed, and, therefore, the pectinases and cellulases cannot be secreted. Accordingly, in d11 the quorum sensing genes vfm-NOP, controlling the production of plant cell-wall-degrading enzymes, are also repressed (43). Furthermore, the activation of sbmC expression (gyrase inhibitor) in d3 and the repression of gyrA (gyrase subunit A) in d4 at this stage are fully consistent with DNA relaxation observed under oxidative stress (21). Importantly, at this stage, bacteria either survive and the infection process continues, or they die, leading to abortive infection (42). If the infection progresses, bacteria enter the stationary phase and the cell-wall-degrading enzymes (pectinases PelA, -E, and -D in d8 and PelB and -C in d9, cellulase CelZ in d7, and proteases PrtA, -B, -C, and -G in d6) are produced (see Table S1). Maceration of plant tissues and cell lysis occur, and consequently, the release of vacuolar contents in apoplast creates osmotic shock conditions for the bacteria.

After colonization and during the osmotic shock in the early symptomatic phase (see Fig. S3 in the supplemental material), the cells are not expected to be motile, and indeed, we observed a
repression of the flagellar and chemotaxis operons in d7 (Fig. 4C). The type VI secretion in d7 and d3 is also repressed, whereas in the activated domains d1 to 2, d5, d8, and d9-d10, the genes involved in the cell envelope stress (rpoE, rseABC, degP, and cpxP-cpxRA), heat shock response (rpoH, hibpAB, dnaKJ, clpB, hslUV, and hsrRO), general stress response regulation (rpoS), and osmoprotection (osmY, osuA, proVWX, k6BG, and nhaA) are induced. Catabolism is downregulated (Fig. 2B), and at the same time, the gyrase inhibitor gene sbmC is inhibited, consistent with the high global negative superhelicity observed under conditions of osmotic shock (44). Finally, during oxidative stress in the stationary phase (Fig. 4D) corresponding to the late symptomatic stage of infection (see Fig. S3 in the supplemental material), catabolism is upregulated (Fig. 2B), and the responding chromosomal domains are identical to those of the osmotic shock response, but the pattern of activation and repression is inverted. Indeed, at this stage, the cells are expected to be motile, as is the case during oxidative stress in the exponential phase (compare to Fig. 4B), and again, activation of the gyrase inhibitor gene sbmC (in d3) at this stage could facilitate global DNA relaxation.

From these analyses, we infer that the virulence program is coordinated by specific constellations of the stress-response domains that are temporarily activated or repressed during the adaption of *D. dadantii* cells to changing environmental conditions.

**Various combinations of DNA sequence parameters and impacts of the NAPs coordinate the gene expression in the domains.** Whereas the coordinated behavior of the stress-response domains under changing environmental conditions is manifest in changing gene expression densities, our results strongly suggest that in turn, the changing gene densities in the domains are correlated with distinct constellations of the DNA sequence parameters. We illustrate this fundamental principle with the example of the GC-poor chromosomal region LD2 (largely coinciding with d7 [Fig. 3]). In Fig. 5A, the domain LD2/d7 is represented in form of squares composed of four rectangles corresponding to four different growth media numbered 1 to 4. The squares are arranged in five rows and eight columns, corresponding to the kind of applied stress and the analyzed parameter, respectively. The five stress conditions are arranged according to their assumed occurrence during the infection. The changes of color in each row of the squares indicate the difference (where red represents increase, blue decrease, and green no change) from the previous row (except for the acidic and osmotic stresses, which are compared to those with untreated cells harvested during exponential and stationary growth, respectively).

We observed that the gene expression density in LD2/d7 was low with both the acidic and osmotic shocks and increased in response to oxidative stress applied in the stationary phase (compare the color code in the “dens” column). Moreover, there was an inherent coupling between the changes in gene expression density in LD2/d7 and the other measured parameters. For example, low expression density was coupled, albeit to different degrees, to certain parameters of the expressed sequences: abundance of genes activated by DNA relaxation (red in the “rel” column), scarcity of genes activated by high negative superhelicity (blue in the “hyp” column), and by H-NS (blue in the entry for “H-NS” with an upward arrow), as well as relative abundance of genes (predominance of red) that are normally repressed by H-NS (H-NS with a downward arrow). Thus, although there is a variation in the penetration of each parameter in the particular pattern as judged by the variation in the color intensity (full red and blue indicate Z scores of >3 and >-3, respectively [see Materials and Methods]), the patterns of variation are very similar (coupled parameters are connected by blue dashed lines; see also Fig. S5 in the supplemental material). This similarity of patterns suggests that on average under both the acidic stress in exponential phase and osmotic stress in stationary phase, the low expression density in LD2/d7 is associated with derepression by H-NS (albeit to different extents) of the DNA relaxation-dependent genes and concomitant repression of the genes activated by DNA supercoiling and H-NS. Conversely, increased expression density in LD2/d7 under oxidative stress in the stationary phase was coupled to high abundance of the genes transcribed at normal superhelical density (“hyp” [red]) and albeit to different extent, an abundance (red) of the H-NS- and FIS-activated genes (coupling indicated by red dashed connectors). This suggests that under oxidative stress in the stationary phase, the increased expression density in LD2/d7 results from increased transcription of genes activated by both H-NS and FIS at physiological levels of superhelical density. Notably, we observed that LD2 is largely repressed by DNA relaxation (Fig. 1B), and indeed under oxidative stress, the rel genes (i.e., genes activated by DNA relaxation) are underrepresented (Fig. 5A, the predominantly blue color of the “rel” column in the “stat. oxidative” rows). However, we also observed that FIS counteracts the inactivation of LD2 by DNA relaxation (Act/R at LD2 in Fig. 1D). This suggests that the genes activated by FIS in LD2/d7 are essentially the genes rescued by FIS (either directly or indirectly, as the FIS concentration is assumed to be very low in stationary phase) from inactivation on global DNA relaxation (45, 46) induced by oxidative stress. In addition, the transcriptional response to oxidative stress in the stationary phase shows a preference for sequences with high average negative melting energy (predominance of blue in the “melt” column) compared to those in the exponential phase, which are nevertheless of lower average negative melting energy (red in the “melt” column) compared to sequences activated by the osmotic shock. We infer that the transcriptional response of LD2/d7 to environmental stress is both variable and selective, being mediated by distinct couplings of the DNA sequence parameters in response to different kinds of stress. Figure 5B illustrates the same principle in the example of domain d9 associated with the region of high thermodynamic stability SD1 (Fig. 3).

Pearson’s correlation analyses support this notion (see Fig. S5 in the supplemental material). Overall we find high positive correlation coefficients for the hyp- and FIS-activated genes (0.9) and reasonable correlations for FIS-repressed and H-NS-activated genes (0.7), FIS-activated and H-NS-repressed genes (0.5), as well as the FIS- and H-NS activated genes and high negative melting energy of sequences (0.6 for both). Furthermore, in the domains d3 and d7 associated with DNA of low thermodynamic stability (respectively, LD1 and LD2 [Fig. 3]), we observed that application of oxidative stress in the stationary phase increased, albeit to different degrees, the gene expression density compared to that under osmotic stress (“dens” column in Fig. 5C). In contrast, under the same conditions in the OriC-proximal domains d1 and d10, as well as in d5 and d9, associated, respectively, with thermodynamically stable DNA regions SD1 and SD2, the gene expression density rather tends to decrease (see the “dens” column for corre-
FIG 5 Combinations of DNA sequence parameters coordinate the gene expression densities. (A) Stress response of the domain LD2/d7. The domain is represented in the form of squares composed of four rectangles corresponding to four different growth media numbered 1 to 4 (listed on the right side of the panel). The squares are arranged in five rows corresponding to different stress conditions (arranged according to their assumed occurrence during the infection) and eight columns corresponding to the analyzed parameters. The upward and downward arrows indicate the genes, respectively, activated or repressed by the NAPs. The changes of color in each row of the squares indicate the difference (red, increase; blue, decrease; green, no change) from the previous row (except for the acidic and osmotic stresses, which are compared to those of untreated cells). The dashed connector lines indicate the combinations of parameters leading to an increased expressed gene density (red) or decreased gene density (blue). (B) Response of the chromosomal domain d9 to the acidic and oxidative stresses applied in the exponential phase. The representation is as in panel A. Couplings of the distinct DNA sequence parameters are indicated by the dashed red (for acidic stress) and blue (for oxidative stress) connectors. (C) Response of the chromosomal domains to the osmotic and oxidative stresses during the stationary phase. The representation is as in panel A. Note that the changes of gene expression density in response to oxidative stress in the two domains associated with DNA of low thermodynamic stability LD2/d7 and LD1/d3 are opposite to those observed in the OriC proximal domains d1 and d10 or the domains associated with high thermodynamic stability, SD1/d5 and SD2/d9. Note also that the response of supercoiling-sensitive genes (hyp and rel), as well as H-NS-activated (upward arrow) and -repressed (downward arrow) genes, is uniform throughout all domains. For details see the text.
sponding domains). Despite the apparently opposite changes of expression density on application of oxidative stress (compared to osmotic shock) in the stationary phase, in all analyzed domains, the activities of the genes transcribed at high superhelical density and DNA relaxation increased and decreased, respectively (“hyp” and “rel” columns in Fig. 5C). In addition, all of these distinct domains demonstrated increased levels of H-NS-activated genes (predominance of red in the “H-NS” column with upward arrow) and low levels of genes repressed by H-NS (predominance of the blue color in the “H-NS” column with downward arrow). We thus infer that in different domains, similar kinds of stress can induce similar couplings of the expressed DNA sequence parameters leading to opposite changes of gene expression density.

Taken together, our results suggest that in *D. dadantii*, the peculiar DNA sequence parameters in conjunction with regulatory effects of abundant NAPs coordinate the changes of gene expression density, forming transient structural-functional domains in response to environmental stress.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we explored the determinants of the genomic transcriptional response in adaptation of the pathogenic bacterium *D. dadantii* to adverse conditions normally encountered during plant infection. Environmental stress is known to elicit strong supercoiling responses (47–49), and therefore, to facilitate our investigations, we initially identified the supercoiling-sensitive pools of genes under conditions of DNA relaxation. By mapping the supercoiling-sensitive patterns in the *D. dadantii* genome, we detected extended chromosomal regions selectively responding to alterations of supercoiling in wild-type, *fis*, and *hns* mutant cells. The boundaries of the detected supercoiling domains are not precise, but their organization is fairly uniform in all three backgrounds. These supercoiling-sensitive gene clusters are enriched in the chromosomal Ori and Ter ends and are delimited by regions of distinct thermodynamic stability SD1/LD1 and SD2/LD2, respectively (Fig. 1B). We also detected distinct regulatory effects of FIS and H-NS in the Ori and Ter ends of the chromosome under different supercoiling regimes (Fig. 1C and D), whereby most of the activated genes were organized between SD1 and LD1 on both sides of the origin. Furthermore, the extended regions between SD1 and LD2 on the left and SD2 and LD1 on the right arm of the chromosome were devoid of extended supercoiling-sensitive clusters and repressed by FIS and H-NS, respectively. From our data, we infer that the supercoiling response of the chromosomal transcription is largely coordinated by the primary sequence organization of the DNA and the long-range NAP binding effects.

**Detection of the “stress-response” domains in the *D. dadantii* chromosome.** To test the biological relevance of the observed supercoiling-dependent patterns, we next determined the chromosomal gene expression densities in the transcript profiles of the *D. dadantii* cells grown under various stress conditions. We found that different types of stress distinctly affected both the gene expression densities and the metabolic profile (Fig. 2). Notably, the composition of the growth medium did not substantially affect the expression patterns, except for the minimal medium with polygalacturonate (PGA) in the absence of the plant extract (see Fig. S5 in the supplemental material), where the highest expression of *pel* genes, the major virulence factors of *D. dadantii*, was observed (50). One possible explanation is that since transcription, translation, and insertion into the membrane are concurrent (51), the coupled massive expression and secretion of pectinases in this medium could impose physical constraints on the chromosomal dynamics due, for example, to locus repositioning toward the membrane.

To understand the organization of the stress-induced gene expression patterns, we correlated the spatial distributions of DNA sequence parameters in the genome using an established holistic approach (35). These statistical analyses revealed 11 chromosomal boundaries delimiting 11 domains. Importantly, many of these dynamic boundaries closely coincided with the genomic location of strong rRNA operons, and many were found in the vicinity of the boundaries separating functionally distinct genes (Fig. 3). The identified domains highlight distinct characteristic combinations of DNA sequence parameters and harbor different virulence determinants. We found that on the one hand, particular domains respond to different kinds of stress by utilizing distinct couplings of DNA sequence parameters leading to opposite changes in the gene expression densities, and on the other hand, we observed that different domains highlighting similar couplings of DNA sequence parameters can respond to the same kind of applied stress by an increased or decreased gene expression density. This versatility of response likely depends on the thermodynamic properties of the DNA in the domains (Fig. 5). We thus infer that the chromosome of *D. dadantii* is organized in distinct stress-response domains with characteristic supercoil dynamics expressing virulence determinants that are used selectively and transiently during the adaptation of cells to environmental challenge (Fig. 4). Overall, this organization is consistent with previously observed macrodomains (52), extended spatial gene clusters (53, 54), and especially, the transient structural-functional domains detected in the *E. coli* chromosome (35, 55). Given that the detection of the gene expression density domains involved normalization by coherence of transcription (i.e., uniformity in the direction of the transcriptional response) rather than assessments of transcriptional strength, our finding that many domain boundaries are coinciding with strongly transcribed loci (such as the ribosomal operons [Fig. 3 and 4B]) is in keeping with previous observations of highly transcribed loci separating long regions of low transcription in *E. coli* and *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium (56). We propose that the domain boundaries may represent topologically sensitive DNA regions presumably associated with strongly transcribed units. In *E. coli*, such boundaries are assumed to act as supercoil traps driving, according to the physiological state, the morphological alterations of the bacterial chromosome (57, 58).

We infer that *D. dadantii* takes advantage of DNA topology fluctuations during infection to orchestrate, with the help of the NAPs, the sequential establishment of the virulence and survival factors.

**Properties of the stress-response domains.** Importantly, both in *E. coli* and *D. dadantii*, the transient organization of chromosomal domains is paralleled by the average underlying physical properties of the circular genomic DNA. In *E. coli*, the functional significance of the gradients of gene expression and of DNA thermodynamic stability have been related to the Ori-Ter gradient of average DNA negative superhelicity from high to low in both replichores (4, 35, 58). In contrast, in *D. dadantii* the genomic distribution of DNA melting energy shows four regions of distinct sequence composition organized almost symmetrically around the OriC and Ter ends of the chromosome (Fig. 1A). We propose that, whereas in *E. coli* laboratory strains the sequence organization is
largely streamlined by the requirements of the fast replication process, the more complex pattern observed in \textit{D. dadantii} reflects specialization selected for by a constitutive requirement to cope with a range of variable stresses and host defense mechanisms. The corollary to this argument is that the genomes of the pathogens with a versatile lifestyle might require a higher structural variability, allowing flexible regulation of spatially separated domains in the chromosome. This requirement is fully consistent with the distinct sequence organization of the bacterial pathogenicity islands assumed to be subject to horizontal gene transfer during microbial evolution (59). Notably, the low average melting energy region LD2/d7 implicated in \textit{D. dadantii} pathogenicity is shown to have acquired AT-rich DNA sequences by horizontal gene transfer (60). Furthermore, our analyses show that the thermodynamically distinct structural regions identified in \textit{D. dadantii} are conserved, albeit in a distinct spatial arrangement, in other \textit{D. cicerda} species (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material), corroborating their functional importance. These signatures were unnoticed until this study, thus underscoring the predictive value of our approach.

In conclusion, we present the first evidence for the determinative role of the physicochemical properties of the primary DNA sequence in organizing chromosomal stress-response domains, which harbor specific virulence/adaptation determinants and react distinctly to adverse conditions encountered by the infecting bacteria and ultimately determine their phenotypic behavior (Fig. 4; see Fig. S3 in the supplemental material). We found that selective induction of these domains involves a cross talk between DNA supercoiling and the abundant NAPs, FIS and H-NS (and most likely, also the other abundant NAPs not studied here). The stress-response domains thus appear as modular structural-functional entities coordinating the genetic expression of the chromosome and sustaining the bacterial pathogenic growth. Overall, the organizational properties of at least some of them closely resemble those of the horizontally acquired genomic pathogenicity islands, suggesting variations on a common theme. It is obvious that the role of the discovered transient structural-functional domains in the bacterial pathogenic behavior needs to be explored further, including, for example, deliberate changes of their boundaries and chromosomal coordinates, as well as exchanges of the virulence and adaptation determinants between the individual domains.

\textbf{MATERIALS AND METHODS}

\textbf{Bacterial strains, cell growth, and stress conditions.} \textit{D. dadantii} strain 3937, isolated from \textit{Saintpaulia}, and its \textit{hns} (31) and \textit{fs} (30) derivatives were used to analyze the global gene expression of cells grown \textit{in vitro} under different stress conditions that mimic the hostile environment encountered by the bacteria in the plant host. A multifactorial design covering 32 different experimental conditions with two biological replicates each was used to study the transcriptome by pan genomic microarrays. Wild-type cells were cultivated in different growth media: M63 supplemented with 0.2% (wt/vol) sucrose as the carbon source, with or without 0.2% (wt/vol) polygalacturonate (PGA, a pectin derivative) and with or without 1% (vol/vol) \textit{Saintpaulia} leaf extracts. (The leaf extract was 100 g leaves in 1 liter M63 boiled for 20 min and sterilized by filtration through a 0.45-μm-pore filter.) PGA induces the synthesis of pectinases, which are the essential virulence factors of \textit{D. dadantii}, whereas supplementation with leaf extracts mimics the nutrient conditions encountered by bacteria in the plant. Cells were grown in these four media until the exponential or early stationary phases. The aliquots were then transferred to four flasks: one of them was kept as a control, and the three others were subjected to the different stresses as follows. (i) Acidic stress was induced by 15 min of incubation in the presence of 30 mM malic acid, resulting in a final pH of 5.0. (ii) Oxidative stress was induced by 15 min of incubation in the presence of 100 μM H$_2$O$_2$. (iii) Osmotic stress was induced by 15 min of incubation in the presence of 300 mM NaCl. The cells were then harvested for RNA extraction. For DNA relaxation, wild-type, \textit{hns}, and \textit{fs} strains were grown in M63 medium supplemented with 0.2% (wt/vol) sucrose until the early exponential phase (optical density at 600 nm [OD$_{600}$] of 0.2), and then aliquots were transferred to two flasks: one of them was kept as a control, and the second was treated for 15 min with novobiocin to a final concentration of 100 μg/ml. At this concentration, novobiocin has no impact on \textit{D. dadantii} growth (21).

\textbf{RNA extraction.} RNAs from \textit{D. dadantii} were extracted as previously described (61) from cultures grown to the early exponential phase (OD$_{600}$ of 0.2) and to the early stationary phase (OD$_{600}$ of 1.2 for cells grown in M63-sucrose, OD$_{600}$ of 1.5 in M63-sucrose-plant extract medium, and OD$_{600}$ of 1.9 for cells grown in M63-sucrose-PGA medium or M63-sucrose-PGA-plant extract medium). The different OD$_{600}$s retained for the various culture media correspond to a similar growth stage (i.e., the transition from the late exponential phase to the early stationary phase). Complementation with PGA, plant extract, or both PGA and plant extract does not modify the bacterial growth rate, but it does increase the final biomass. Isolated RNA was quantified spectrophotometrically using an ND 2000 Nanodrop spectrophotometer, visualized on an agarose gel for quality, and stored at −80°C until further use. The absence of genomic DNA contamination was checked by PCR. Four target genes were selected to assess the impact of novobiocin and the stresses in quantitative reverse transcription (RT-qPCR) experiments as previously described in reference 61 (see Fig. S2 in the supplemental material). The primers used for these RT-PCR experiments are as follows: 16S RNA, forward, GATCATG-GTCGAGATTCAGG, and reverse, AGTTATCCCCCTCFCATCAGG; gyrB, forward, AGTATAAAAAGGCTGTAGTC, and reverse, ACGGAC-CACCGAGTATCAGG; pelE, forward, AGCGAATCCAAACGAC- CACT, and reverse GGGTTCGTCAAGTACAGT; and asr, forward, GCTCTGGGTCTGTCCATCGT, and reverse, CTGACAGTTTCTGGTGC.
mined by first normalizing the gene expression pattern of each gene in the range from 0 to 1. For each condition-dependent variation pattern of gene groups (e.g., hyp or rel), we applied the average normalized expression of all genes in this group. In the case of melting energy and distance to origin, we applied the average melting energy/distance to origin of all genes, weighted by their normalized expression. Functional gene groups were taken from the respective GO branches provided by the KEGG database.

**Determination of structural-functional domains.** In order to obtain structural domains, we investigated the correlation of the feature dynamics (gene expression density, hyp genes, rel genes, leading-strand preference, and average negative melting energy) under the growth conditions analyzed. For a pair of features, we first computed for each window a Pearson correlation of the window feature Z scores. Taking all windows together, we obtained a feature correlation ring for each pair of features. The term “ring” is used here to describe the set of all windows (500-kb size, 4-kb shift) with the contained values. In the next step, we determined common changes of correlations in all correlation rings (comprising all combinations of features). To determine the change of correlation, i.e., the spatial borders of a certain correlation, we compare the correlation value of two windows that have a distance of 20 windows (20*4 kb = 80 kb). The more the values differ, the stronger is the border character of this region (a fast change of correlation within 80 kb). Hence, we use the difference to detect the presence of a border. For each ring, we computed the absolute difference of feature correlations within a 20-window span (correlation [−10] − correlation [+10]) to get rings of correlation change. These represent the spatial change of correlation of a pair of features and therefore the change of realized combination of the two features. Then, we summed up the correlation change rings window-wise for all feature correlation rings and obtained a ring summing up the changes. Hence, proximal correlation changes of different feature combinations lead to a large sum. Finally, we computed correlation change Z scores by applying the same procedure to randomly rotated correlation change rings. Windows with Z scores of ≥3, indicating a change in feature usage, with less than a 30-window distance (120 kb) were clustered, and the center of the cluster was considered a domain border.

For the determination of functional domains, we investigated the number of genes assigned to GO groups at level 4 in the metabolic function branch (4). We compared the distribution of genes among GO groups in two windows at a center distance of 250 kb using the root mean square of the group size difference. Prior to the comparison, the number of genes in each group was normalized by the total number of genes assigned to all groups in a window to avoid biases introduced by regions enriched in genes with unknown function. High values can be interpreted as a change of the functional composition of genes in the two windows. These peaks were compared to the positions of the structural domains. For each position in one set of borders (e.g., functional borders), the nearest neighbor to a border of the other set (e.g., domain borders) was determined. For a robust result, we took the median of the derived distances to the nearest neighbors of all borders. In 10,000 repetitions of this procedure, 98.0% of the random borders showed a larger median nearest neighbor than the actual border positions, indicating a tight connection between the functional and structural domain borders.

**Microarray data accession numbers.** The microarray data from this study are compiled in the EBI Gene Expression (ArrayExpress) database (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/arrayexpress/) and are accessible through accession no. E-MTAB-541 and E-MTAB-2597.
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