Introduction

Long bone refractures can be a common injury among pediatric population. Approaches to rehabilitation of children with refractures are similar to those used for primary injuries. However, refracture healing and bone repair involve specific pathways that should be considered in rehabilitative approaches to restorative treatment. **Objective** To identify morphological specific features of simulated reparative processes in long bone refractures of growing animals (rats) at different stages of observation.

Material and methods

Two series of experiments were carried out on 36 laboratory animals (rats) to explore histological picture at the healing site of primary and repeat fractures at different stages of reparative process. Transverse fracture of the tibial shaft was simulated and fixed with external fixation device in the first series (n = 18). Tibial shaft was refractured and fixed again with external fixation device after 21 days of primary injury in the second series (n = 18).

Results

Delayed osteogenesis and greater period of organotypical regenerate restructuring were observed in animals with long bone refractures. Mechanism of slower osteogenesis was found to be associated with disturbed blood supply affecting cell differentiation at the refracture site.

Conclusion

Delayed bone repair and longer period of organotypical regenerate restructuring were specifically detected in growing animals with long bone refractures.
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of experiment, n = 6). Refracture of the tibial shaft and external re-fixation of the bone were produced in the second series after 21 days of the primary fracture simulation (n = 18). Examinations were performed after 21 days of fixation (21 days of the experiment, n = 6); 35 days of simulated refracture (56 days of the experiment, n = 6); 28 days after frame removal (84 days of the experiment, n = 6). Surgical procedures were performed under general anesthesia and sterile conditions. Anesthesia was induced with solution of 2% 8 mg/kg rometar and 4 mg/kg zoletil-100. Tibial regenerate bones of the rats were histologically examined. Specimen were fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin during 14 days, decalcified in Richman-Gelfand-Hill solution, dehydrated in solutions of increasing alcohol concentration and embedded in paraffin. Histological preparations (paraffin sections of 5-7 mcm cut with Reichard sledge microtome (Germany)) were stained with hematoxylin and eosin, and Masson’s trichrome. Images of micropreparations were acquired with AxioCam digital camera, AxioScope.A1 microscope and Zen blue software (Carl Zeiss MicroImaging GmbH, Germany).

RESULTS

Histological healing characteristics of the primary tibial fractures of rats in the settings of stable external fixation. Bone consolidation was observed after 35 days of primary fracture simulated in the tibial shaft in the settings of stable external fixation. The regenerate bone had normotrophic structure (Fig. 1a) with continuous compact plate of honeycombed cancellous bone peripherally formed between regenerate bone fragments in the intermediary and periosteal zones (Fig. 2a). Vascularized spongious connective tissue were seen in intertrabecular spaces with chondroid foci spread somewhere. Osseous tissue formed in the intermediary part of the diastasis had reticulofibrous structure. Bone trabeculae and hemapoietic-fatty bone marrow with prevailed hemapoietic component was observed in the intramedullary cavity at the fracture site (Fig. 2d). Osteoclasts attached to the surface of bone trabeculae were indicative of organotypical restructuring.

Fig. 1 Histological structure of the tibial shaft of a rat at the site of the primarily simulated fracture with adjacent bone fragments showing bone consolidation and organotypical bone restructuring developing in the 1st series of experiment (a) after 35 days of external fixation (35 days of experiment); (b) 28 days after frame removal (63 days of expriement); (c) 49 days after frame removal (84 days of experiment); fibrous and cartilage bone consolidation and nonunion observed in the 2nd series of simulated refracture (d) after 21 days of external fixation of the simulated tibial refracture (21 days of experiments); (e) after 35 days of external re-fixation (56 days of experiment); (f) 28 days after frame removal (84 days of experiment). Paraffin sections stained with Masson’s trichrome. Magnified by 25×
Bone resorption was not evident with osteogenesis being more expressed at the site. The Haversian canals of bone fragments appeared to be slightly widened. Moderately expressed endosteal and periosteal reaction was observed proximally and distally the fracture. Mesobrochate and honeycombed cancellous bone was seen in the periosteal layers. Endosteum appeared as a coarse mesh formed by bone trabeculae from endosteal side. Bone marrow cavity was filled with red-yellow bone marrow with prevailing fatty component.

Compact bone with lamellar structure was seen in the intermediary site of the interfragmental diastasis after 35 days of external fixation and 28 days after frame removal (Fig. 1b; 2b). The bone connecting the fragments was characterized by compact structure with small cavities filled with vessels surrounded by spongy connective tissue. Periosteal reaction was not evident. Persistent endosteal reaction revealed glomerular net of trabecular bone in the bone marrow canal (Fig. 2e). Hemapoietic-fatty bone marrow was observed at the fracture site with fatty component prevailing at the bone fragments. Sinusoid extended capillaries with hemocytes in the lumen were seen in the intramedullary cavity at the fracture site. Haversian canals of the compact bone appeared to be narrow as compared to the previous time period.

Continuous compact plate and bone marrow cavity were observed to form at the consolidation site 49 days after frame removal (Fig. 1c). Lamellar structure and primarily typical osteon orientation were characteristic for intermediary part of the new bone (Fig. 2b). Intramedullary cavity was filled with red-yellow bone marrow with resorptive bone trabeculae (Fig. 2f). Hemapoietic component was primarily seen in the intramedullary cavity of the new bone and fat was detected in the bone fragment cavity. Bone marrow vessels were not much plethoric as compared to the previous time period of experiment. Periosteal reaction was not evident and endosteal reaction was more expressed. Compact plate of the fragments was dense enough with singular Haversian canals being moderately widened.

Histological healing characteristics of the tibial refractures of rats in the settings of stable external fixation. By the time of simulated refracture fibrous-bone-cartilage consolidation was observed in the tibial diastasis of animals of the second series after 21 days of primary tibial fixation with external device (Fig. 1d). Fragments of fibrous cartilaginous, fibrous and reticulofibrous bone tissue were observed in the intermediary zone (Fig. 3a). Ossifying cartilaginous tissue was mainly seen in the endosteum (Fig. 3d). Osteoclasts appeared to adhere to the surface of the new coarse fibrous bone trabeculae.

**Fig. 2** Morphology of the tibial consolidation in the first experimental series of the primary fracture simulated in the tibial shaft of a rat showing honeycombed cancellous bone with reticulofibrous structure formed in the intermediary part of the healed fracture after 35 days of external fixation (a) that appeared to get more compact with external fixation device taken off after 63 (b) and 84 (c) days of experiment. Hemapoietic-fatty bone marrow and endosteally formed bone trabeculae were seen in the intramedullary cavity of the new bone at the same time periods of experiment (d, e) that underwent resorption at the end of experiment (f). Paraffin sections stained with hematoxylin and eosin (a, c, d, f) and Masson’s trichrome (b, e). Magnified by 200× (a, b, c); 400× (d, e, f)
Periosteal reaction on the surface of bone fragments spread proximally and distally as far as 6 to 7 mm off the fracture site. Thickness of the periosteal callus was 0.5 to 1.0 mm. Consolidation site was mostly presented with fibrous cartilage at the borderline with areas of reticulofibrous trabecular bone and chondroid (Fig. 3e). Spongy fibrous connective tissue with areas of hemopoiesis and extended synusoid capillaries were observed in intertrabecular spaces of the spongy bone tissue. Haversian canals of the compact plate appeared to be slightly extended and filled with poorly vascularized spongious connective tissue. Hemopoietic bone marrow with incorporated adipocytes were primarily seen in the intramedullary cavity of tibial fragments. There were several reticulofibrous bone trabeculae and extended plethoric microvessels observed in the canal.

Fibrous-osseous-cartilaginous consolidation of bone fragments were noted in the diastasis after tibial refracture and 35-day refixation with external fixation device (Fig. 1e). Foci of fibrous tissue, fibrous cartilage and trabecular bone were seen in the intermediary, endosteal and periosteal parts of the regenerate bone (Fig. 3b,e). Periosteal callus was large measuring 5 mm in length and 2.0–2.7 mm in thickness presenting mesobrochate and honeycombed cancellous bone tissue at the fragments’ level. Intertrabecular spaces were filled with vascularized spongious fibrous connective tissue and microvessels. Hemopoietic fatty bone marrow with resorption of the wound surfaces was seen in the intramedullary canal of bone fragments. Periosteal callus included fibrous cartilaginous tissue over the span of 1.5–2.0 mm at the fracture site. Ingrowing microvessels accompanied by bands of reticulofibrous trabecular tissue that were replaced with a strap of fibrous cartilaginous tissue 500–600 mcm high in the middle of the regenerate bone were observed in intramedial and endosteal zones at the borderline with fragments. Fibrous cartilaginous tissue appeared to transform into chondroid at the vascular ingrowth site (Fig. 3e).

Osseous-cartilaginous consolidation with areas of fibrous connective tissue containing singular cavities was seen in interfragmental diastasis after 55 days of refixation and 28 days after frame removal (Fig. 1f). Areas of nonvascularized fibrous cartilage and connective tissue were detected on the anterior surface of the bone at the consolidation site (Fig. 3c). Indolent formation of honeycombed cancellous bone substance providing partial bone healing in the posterior aspect of the bone was observed in intermediary and endosteal parts of consolidation at the borderline with proximal...
and distal fragments (Fig. 3f). Trabecular surface was resorbed with multiple adherent osteoclasts that was indicative of remodelling of the new bone. Compact plate of bone fragments was perforated with multiple extended Haversian canals filled with spongious connective tissue. Red-yellow bone marrow was seen in intertrabecular spaces of the new osseous substance and intramedullary canal of bone fragments. Impaired microcirculation was observed with hyperemic vessels of the microcirculation bed and vascular stasis.

Thus, the findings demonstrated that fibrous-osseous-cartilaginous consolidation occurred in the diastasis with accurate bone reduction and stable fracture fixation of the tibial shaft of the rats after 21 days. The healing was shown to be unable to sustain axial loading and result in refracture. With stable fixation ensured for 35 days fibrous-osseous-cartilaginous callus appeared to being subject to restructuring and be replaced with osseous tissue that became more compact in the intermediary and periosteal zones of healing after frame removal. Animals of the second series with refractured tibiae developed fibrous-osseous-cartilaginous healing of bone fragments after 35 day refixation with predominant fibrous cartilage. Indolent osteogenesis and fibrous-cartilaginous nonunion were observed at the healing site 28 days after removal of external fixation device.

DISCUSSION

Some researchers suggest that longer time period is required for reliable bone healing after refracture [17]. Although refractures are more common in the first 10 months refractures that occur 18 months after injury are more problematic [18, 19]. Our findings indicated to delayed reparative processes and longer period of organotypical restructuring of the regenerate bone forming in interfragmental diastasis of long bones after refracture. Mechanism of delayed osteogenesis is thought to be associated with disturbed blood supply at microcirculation that is important for migration of multipotent less differentiated cells to the fracture site and their osteogenic differentiation [20]. Organotypical restructuring of bone callus, additional immobilization and protective regime are essential for prevention of refractures. Immobilization of the forearm for 6 weeks facilitates 4-to-6-fold decrease in risk of refracture [21]. The strategy of pediatric refractures is controversial. Either nonoperative treatment with longer immobilization using plaster cast for 2–3 months [18] or differentiated approach with use of surgical techniques can be offered for the repair of refractures [22]. Evaluating current treatment modalities we can suggest that intramedullary osteosynthesis can be the treatment of choice for refractures of long bones facilitating anatomical and functional restoration of bone integrity within a short period of time. Bone recanalization with closed reaming of sclerotic bone is an important aspect of the technique representing a noninvasive method of closed bone autologous grafting to improve trophics of tissues at the refracture site.

CONCLUSION

Delayed bone repair and longer period of organotypical regenere restructuring were specifically detected in refracture healing of tibial shaft of experimental animals that developed complete bone consolidation with external fixation following primary tibial fracture. The findings can be useful when choosing a surgical treatment option.
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