Molecular Components of the Signal Sequence that Function in the Initiation of Protein Export
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ABSTRACT We are studying the mechanism by which the LamB protein is exported to the outer membrane of Escherichia coli. Using two selection procedures based on gene fusions, we have identified a number of mutations that cause alterations in the LamB signal sequence. Characterization of the mutant strains revealed that although many such mutations block LamB export to >95%, others have essentially no effect. These results allow an analysis of the functions performed by the various molecular components of the signal sequence. Our results suggest that a critical subset of four amino acids is contained within the central hydrophobic core of the LamB signal sequence. If this core can assume an α-helical conformation, these four amino acids comprise a recognition site that interacts with a component of the cellular export machinery. Since mechanisms of protein localization appear to have been conserved during evolution, the principles established by these results should be applicable to similar studies in eukaryotic cells.

"It may be true that in molecular genetics bacteria have had their day in the sun, but in membrane physiology it is not yet noon."

F. M. Harold (17)

All cells synthesize proteins that are exported to various non-cytoplasmic locations. In addition, many cells are capable of true protein secretion. These processes of protein localization are selective and efficient in that proteins are strictly compartmentalized to a particular cellular location. During the past decade, considerable effort has been directed towards elucidating the molecular mechanisms by which cells accomplish these processes. These studies suggest at least two pathways of protein localization. One is cotranslational, i.e., export from the cytoplasm is tightly coupled, if not inseparable, from protein synthesis. The other is posttranslational. In eukaryotic cells, proteins that are routed through the rough endoplasmic reticulum fall into the former class. Conversely, proteins destined for certain subcellular organelles, such as the mitochondria, use a posttranslational pathway (4). Although certain steps in the export process may be shared by both pathways, clear differences exist.

Several important principles regarding cotranslational export have emerged: (a) The information that determines localization is contained within the structural gene. This information is not read directly from DNA or mRNA but is read from the amino acid sequence of the gene product. (b) Most noncytoplasmic proteins are synthesized initially in larger precursor form (proprotein) with a peptide extension at the NH₂-terminal end of the molecule. The peptide extension (signal sequence) contains the information necessary to start the export process (4, 5, 9, 15). (c) Export does not occur spontaneously. A cellular export machinery is required. In eukaryotic cells, certain components of this machinery have been purified (22, 38). In prokaryotic cells, certain components have been defined genetically (10, 25). (d) The process of cotranslational export appears to have been conserved during evolution. Intragenic information specifying export in a eukaryotic gene can be recognized by a prokaryotic organism and vice versa (13, 30, 37). This conservation of export mechanisms allows rapid and useful exchange of information between scientists working with diverse organisms.

The Gram-negative bacterium E. coli contains four cellular compartments: the cytoplasm, an inner or cytoplasmic membrane, an outer membrane, and an aqueous space between the
two membranes called the periplasm. We are investigating the mechanism(s) by which proteins are exported to the outer membrane. In particular, we are studying the export of the major outer membrane protein LamB. This protein is a component of the maltose transport system, and, as such, its synthesis is induced by the presence of maltose in the growth media (8). The protein also serves as the receptor for certain bacteriophages (29). Mutants lacking this protein or mutants in which the protein is not in the outer membrane are unable to grow on maltodextrins (Dex−) and are resistant to the bacteriophage λ (λ').

Previous studies have shown that the LamB protein is exported in a manner that is strikingly similar to the manner in which proteins are exported to the plasmalemma of eukaryotic cells. LamB is synthesized initially in larger precursor form by ribosomes that are bound to the cytoplasmic membrane (28). (In terms of protein localization, the cytoplasmic membrane of prokaryotic cells functions in a manner analogous to the rough endoplasmic reticulum membrane in eukaryotic cells.) The precursor form of the LamB protein contains a signal sequence of 25 amino acids at the NH2-terminal end of the molecule (19).

An important advantage of studying the process of protein localization in E. coli is that sophisticated genetic techniques can be applied. We have developed methods that enable us to isolate a number of mutations that specifically alter the LamB signal sequence. Most of these mutations block LamB export at an early step and result in accumulation of precursor in the cytoplasm (11, 12). However, certain mutations that alter the signal sequence have essentially no effect on LamB export. In this article, we report the isolation, characterization, and analysis of the DNA sequence of these "leaky" signal sequence mutations. In addition, we discuss the implications of our genetic analysis in terms of the functions performed by various molecular components of the LamB signal sequence.

Isolation of Export-defective lamB Mutations

Previously we have described the construction of strains in which the gene coding for LamB (lamB) is fused to the gene coding for the cytoplasmic enzyme β-galactosidase (lacZ). The resulting hybrid gene specifies a hybrid protein composed of an NH2-terminal fragment of LamB and a large COOH-terminal portion of β-galactosidase. This portion of β-galactosidase is functional and enables cells to grow on lactose (Lac+). Four classes of lamB-lac fusions have been identified based on the amount of lamB DNA contained in the hybrid gene. By determining the cellular location of the hybrid protein specified by these fusions, we demonstrated that export information is contained in the region of the lamB gene corresponding to the NH2-terminal portion of the LamB protein (for review see references 9 and 15).

Our present work shows that one of the lamB-lacZ fusions, 42-1, specifies a hybrid protein with a molecular weight of approximately 137,000. It contains ~170 amino acids coded for by lamB DNA. (The wild-type LamB protein contains 446 amino acids including the signal sequence [6].) Cellular fractionation of strains containing this gene fusion reveal that ~40% of the hybrid protein is exported to the outer membrane. The remaining 60% is found evenly distributed between the inner membrane and the cytoplasm. We conclude that most of the lamB export information is contained within this hybrid gene.

Strains containing the lamB-lacZ fusion 42-1 exhibit two novel and characteristic phenotypes. In our present studies, we have been able to exploit these phenotypes to identify mutations in lamB that block protein export.

LETHAL EFFECTS OF POORLY LOCALIZED HYBRID PROTEINS: One of the characteristic phenotypes exhibited by strains carrying the 42-1 fusion is related to the inability of the cell to export the LamB-LacZ hybrid protein efficiently. When cells containing this gene fusion are grown in the presence of maltose to induce high-level synthesis of the hybrid protein, they stop dividing, form long filaments, and ultimately lyse. Apparently, synthesis of large amounts of this hybrid protein causes a lethal jamming of the export machinery. This is supported by the observation that, under conditions in which large amounts of the hybrid protein are synthesized, precursors of many other envelope proteins can be detected accumulating in the cytoplasm of the moribund cell (9). If the maltose-sensitive (Mal-) phenotype is a consequence of the defective export of the hybrid protein, then selecting a maltose-resistant (Mal+) phenotype should yield mutants in which defective export of the hybrid protein does not occur. To avoid mutants simply defective in the synthesis of the hybrid protein, we require that these mutants retain β-galactosidase activity and therefore a Lac+ phenotype. We have devised the following procedure to enrich for the desired Mal+ Lac+ mutants (12).

Independent colonies of the lamB-lacZ fusion strain, pop3186, were inoculated into separate tubes of maltose minimal M63 medium (23), and the tubes were incubated at 37°C for 48 h or until cultures had grown to saturation. Portions (0.05 ml) of each culture were then inoculated into 5 ml of fresh maltose minimal medium, and the cultures were incubated at 37°C for 24 h. This gave rise to almost pure cultures of Mal- cells. To select for cells present in this population that retained fusion protein (i.e., were still Lac+), dilutions of the cultures were plated on lactose minimal agar. To ensure that all of the spontaneously occurring mutants analyzed were the result of independent events, only a single Mal-, Lac+ colony from each culture was purified and characterized. Nearly 40 mutants have been isolated using this procedure, all of which fail to export the hybrid protein. In the mutant strains, the hybrid protein is found in soluble form in the cytoplasm (12).

All of the mutations that confer Mal+ are linked genetically to the lamB-lacZ fusion. This was shown by isolating λ transducing phages that carry the gene fusion (35). When these phages were lysogenized into a wild-type strain (b lacking β-galactosidase), most of the lysogens remained Mal− even
though they became Lac". Since the phage confers the mutant phenotype, the mutation must be carried by the transducing phage and therefore must be linked to the fusion.

**ALTED ENZYMATIC PROPERTIES OF HYBRID PROTEINS LOCALIZED TO THE MEMBRANE:** Many gene fusions that specify a membrane-bound hybrid protein confer another characteristic phenotype. Strains containing such a fusion contain extremely low levels of β-galactosidase activity in the absence of an inducer (i.e., maltose). When a large fraction of the hybrid protein molecules are embedded in a membrane at low concentrations (the uninduced state), they may not effectively tetramerize into active enzyme. Consequently, in the absence of inducer, these strains grow very poorly on lactose. By selecting for Lac", mutants can be obtained in which the cellular location of the hybrid protein has been altered (25).

The lamB-lacZ fusion 42-1 specifies a hybrid protein that is largely membrane-bound (~40% in the outer membrane, ~30% in the inner membrane). Strains containing this fusion grow poorly on lactose. For reasons not presently understood, this defect is temperature dependent. Strains containing this fusion grow slowly on lactose at 30°C; however, at 37°C they do not grow at all on lactose. By selecting for Lac" at 37°C using the procedure described below, we have been able to isolate export-defective lamB mutants.

Independent colonies of strain pop3186 were inoculated into separate tubes of Luria broth (23) and grown overnight. Aliquots (0.2 ml) from each culture were then plated on lactose minimal M63 agar (23). Plates were incubated at 37°C for 2-3 d. Again, to ensure that all of the spontaneously occurring mutants were the result of independent mutational events, only a single Lac" colony from each plate was purified. The same selection procedure was also used with cultures mutagenized with nitrosoguanidine (23). Six Lac" mutants obtained by each method were purified and characterized.

All of the Lac" mutants contain a genetic lesion linked to the lamB-lacZ fusion. In addition, all of the mutants fail to export the hybrid protein. In the mutant strains, the hybrid protein is found in soluble form in the cytoplasm. This is evidenced by the fact that >85% of the β-galactosidase activity is found in the supernatant after centrifugation of cell extracts at 100,000 g for 1 h. A periplasmic location for the hybrid protein is ruled out by the fact that >85% of the β-galactosidase activity remains cell associated after cold osmotic shock (24).

**Effect of the Mutations on the Export of the Wild-Type LamB Protein**

To determine the effect of the mutations on an otherwise wild-type LamB protein, they were recombined from the lamB-lacZ hybrid gene into a wild-type lamB gene (Fig. 1). We found that most of the mutations that were selected as Mal" and one of the mutations that was selected as Lac" in the parent fusion strain confer a typical LamB" phenotype to wild-type strains, i.e., the inability to grow on maltodextrins (Dex") and resistance to phage λ. These phenotypes permit a fine structure genetic analysis by deletion mapping (12) (Fig. 1). Results demonstrate that all of these mutations lie in the region of the lamB gene that codes for the signal sequence.

The effect of the mutations that confer a LamB" phenotype on the localization of the LamB protein was determined by fractionating the mutant cells into the four cellular compartments (cytoplasm, periplasm, inner membrane, and outer membrane). Immune precipitation of each of these fractions with anti-LamB serum showed that the mutant protein precursor is in the cytoplasmic fraction of these cells. Presumably, in this location the protein is sequestered from the signal peptidase, which is necessary for cleavage of the signal sequence from the precursor.

Ten of the mutants isolated using the Mal", Lac" selection and nearly all of the mutants isolated using the Lac" selection present something of an enigma. All contain a genetic lesion in the lamB portion of the hybrid gene. All of them prevent export of the hybrid protein. As stated above, the hybrid protein in these strains is found in the cytoplasm. However, when these mutations are recombined into an otherwise wild-type lamB gene, the resulting strains all exhibit a normal LamB" phenotype (Dex", λ\(^{-}\)). Cell fractionation and immune precipitation revealed an apparently normal LamB protein in the outer membrane in wild-type amounts (Fig. 2). By performing immune precipitation on radioactively labeled whole-cell extracts, we were able to demonstrate the presence of a small amount (<2%) of LamB protein precursor (Fig. 3). Since we have never detected precursor in wild-type cells, we conclude that these mutations do effect LamB export; however, the effect is quite small.

Since these mutations are phenotypically silent when present...
FIGURE 2 Location of LamB protein in the mutant cell. Strains containing the phenotypically silent \( \text{lamB} \) mutations were grown at 28°C in maltose minimal medium (250 ml) to mid log phase. The cultures were then labeled with \(^{14} \text{C}-\)uniformly labeled amino acids (1 µCi/ml) for 7 min (12). Labeling was stopped by diluting cultures 1:5 with ice cold Luria broth. Cells were then pelleted and fractionated.

Inner and outer membranes were separated by the selective solubilization technique described by Schnaitman (32) or by isopycnic sucrose density-gradient centrifugation as described by Osborn et al. (26). The bacterial periplasmic fraction was obtained by cold osmotic shock (24). In gel A, samples from each of the cellular fractions from a representative mutant (SE2073) were subjected to electrophoresis in a 9% SDS polyacrylamide gel (21). The gel was then stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue. Samples from each of the cellular fractions were also subjected to immune precipitation with rabbit anti-LamB serum and to gel electrophoresis as described (12, 34). Gel B is the autoradiogram of such a gel. Gel A: lane 1, whole cell extract; lane 2, total membrane fraction (inner and outer); lane 3, inner membrane; lane 4, outer membrane; lane 5, total soluble fraction (cytoplasm and periplasm); lane 6, periplasm.

Marker proteins known to be localized to specific cellular compartments are indicated. These include: the outer membrane proteins OmpC, OmpF, and OmpA; the cytoplasmic RNA polymerase subunits (\( \beta \) and \( \beta' \)), and the periplasmic maltose-binding protein. Gel B: lane 1, whole cell extract; lane 2, anti-LamB precipitation from the inner membrane fraction; lane 3, anti-LamB precipitation from the outer membrane fraction; lane 4, marker wild-type \( \lambda \) receptor protein; lane 5, anti-La precipitation from the soluble fraction; lane 6, anti-LamB precipitation from the periplasmic fraction.

in a wild-type gene, genetic analysis is difficult. Their presence in an otherwise wild-type gene can only be detected genetically using techniques of marker rescue. This is done by lysogenizing strains that are thought to carry the mutation with \( \lambda \) transducing phages that carry the parent \( \text{lamB-lacZ} \) fusion 42-1. These lysogens are Lac" at 37°C. However, when these lysogens are plated on minimal lactose agar, Lac" recombinants appear at high frequency, i.e., the mutant phenotype of the fusion can be rescued through genetic recombination by the mutation present in the otherwise wild-type \( \text{lamB} \) gene in the lysogen.

Deletion mapping experiments were done with fusion strains containing these phenotypically silent mutations as described in Fig. 1. Twenty of the resulting transductants were scored for the presence of the mutation by marker rescue. All were found to carry the silent mutation. From this we conclude that these mutations must lie within or very close to the region of the \( \text{lamB} \) gene that codes for the signal sequence.

**DNA Sequence Analysis**

Previously we have reported the DNA sequence analysis of the mutations that block LamB export and confer a LamB" phenotype. All of these mutations cause alterations in the LamB signal sequence (11). These results are summarized in Fig. 4.

Three of the mutations that are phenotypically silent when present in an otherwise wild-type gene were chosen for DNA sequence analysis. Two of those, \( \text{lamBS96} \) and \( \text{lamBS73} \), occurred spontaneously. The other, \( \text{lamBS110} \) was isolated after nitrosoguanidine mutagenesis. As predicted by genetic analysis, all of these mutations alter the region of the \( \text{lamB} \) gene that codes for the signal sequence. The spontaneous mutation \( \text{lamBS96} \) is a transversion that changes the glycine codon at position 17 to an arginine codon. The remaining mutations both lead to a base substitution that changes the same glycine codon to an aspartic acid codon. Consistent with the types of mutations known to be caused by nitrosoguanidine, this event corresponds to an A:T to G:C transition mutation (23).

**DISCUSSION**

The \( \text{lamB-lacZ} \) fusion strain, pop3186, exhibits two characteristic phenotypes that we have been able to exploit to isolate export-defective \( \text{lamB} \) mutants. One of these phenotypes, maltose sensitivity (Mal"), relates to the inability of the cell to export large amounts of the LamB-LacZ hybrid protein efficiently. The other phenotype relates to the low β-galactosidase activity of the membrane-associated hybrid protein. By selecting for relief of the Mal" phenotype (Mal") or for increased β-galactosidase activity (Lac"), we have been able to isolate mutant strains in which export of the hybrid protein from the cytoplasm is blocked. In all of the mutant strains, the hybrid protein is found in soluble form in the cytoplasm.
FIGURE 3. Immune precipitation of LamB and preLamB protein from wild-type and mutant strains. Cultures (1 ml) were grown at 28°C in maltose minimal medium to mid log phase (OD_{600} = 0.5) at which time 10 μCi of [35S]methionine was added per milliliter of culture. Cells were labeled for 4 min. Labeling was stopped by placing cultures in an ice bath. Immune precipitation with anti-LamB was then carried out on whole cell extracts, and the precipitation was run on 9% SDS polyacrylamide gels as previously described (10). Lane 1, precipitation from the wild-type parent strain; lane 2, precipitation from a representative export-defective mutant; lane 3, precipitation from a representative leaky mutant. Only the relevant portion of the autoradiogram is shown. The positions of LamB and preLamB protein are indicated. The gel was purposely overexposed to clearly show the presence of the preLamB protein present in the leaky mutant strain.

Most of the mutations isolated by selecting Mal' and one of the mutations isolated by selecting Lac' confer a typical LamB phenotype when recombined into an otherwise wild-type lamB gene. In these recombinants, the mutant LamB is found in soluble form in the cytoplasm with the signal sequence still attached. Genetic and DNA sequence analysis revealed that all of these mutations alter the LamB signal sequence. These results demonstrate that a functional signal sequence is required for export. In addition, they indicate that the signal sequence functions at a very early stage in the export process. If the step mediated by the signal sequence is blocked, protein export does not initiate.

The remaining mutations that were isolated using these selections also block export of the LamB-LacZ hybrid protein. However, they do not confer a LamB phenotype when recombined into an otherwise wild-type lamB gene. They have essentially no effect on either the export or processing of the LamB protein. In this case, the mutations are very "leaky." Evidence obtained in eukaryotic systems indicates that the

FIGURE 4 Mutations presently known that lead to alterations in the LamB signal sequence. The mutations are divided into three classes and indicated by straight lines, a dotted line, or a squiggly line, all with arrowheads. The amino acid alterations caused by point mutations 1-4 and deletion mutations 8-13 prevent export of the LamB protein (9). The amino acid substitution in point mutant 5 seems to interfere with a cellular mechanism that couples the export and translation of LamB (16, 17). The point mutations 6 and 7 are the mutations described in this report. They do not lead to any significant block in LamB export, i.e., they exhibit a very "leaky" phenotype. Each of the amino acid residues in parentheses below line 8 represent substitutions that restore function to this mutant signal sequence (see text). Numbers above the amino acid residues indicate position in either the precursor or mature LamB protein sequence. The amino acid directly following deletions 11-13 (shown in circles) are not normally present in the wild-type LamB protein sequence at the positions indicated (6). They are coded for by fused codons comprised of nucleotides located directly before and after each deletion. The site of LamB signal sequence processing is indicated above the wild-type sequence by a vertical arrow. The extent of each deletion is indicated as number of base pairs deleted in the shaded bars. The charge exhibited by certain of the amino acids in either the wild-type or mutant signal sequences is indicated in circles above each of the charged residues.
signal sequence must initiate protein export before synthesis of most of the protein has occurred (31). If we assume that this is true of our system, then we would predict that export initiates before the lacZ portion of the hybrid gene is translated. The fact that certain signal sequence mutations block export of the LamB-LacZ hybrid protein but not of LamB is not consistent with this prediction. At present, we do not understand this anomaly. It may be that the export is not completely cotranslational and that information downstream affects export initiation. Alternatively, the presence of the mutation together with β-galactosidase sequences may cause the export process to initiate but then abort at some later stage.

Most of the mutations that confer Mal′ also confer LamB′ (Dex+, A′) when recombined from the hybrid gene to the wild-type gene, whereas most of the Lac′ mutations did not. This phenomenon did not seem to be caused by a difference in stringency between the two selections because the Lac′ mutants are all Mal′ and vice versa.

The “leaky” mutations described here bring the total number of known LamB signal sequence alterations to 13. The effects of all of these mutations on LamB export have been determined. Taken together, these results permit an analysis of the functions performed by the various molecular components of the signal sequence in the initiation of protein export.

Like all signal sequences, the LamB sequence can be divided into two distinct domains: an NH2-terminal hydrophilic segment and a central hydrophobic core that extends near to the site of processing. These two domains are generally separated by one or two basic amino acids, especially in prokaryotic sequences (Fig. 4). Sequence comparison of all known signal sequences reveals no other striking homologies except for the functional importance of hydrophobicity, we believe that certain amino acid residues in this region play a more critical role in export than the presence of a charge, which determines the effect of the mutation.

We believe that the residues at positions 14, 15, 16, and 19 define an important recognition site. These four residues probably interact directly with a cellular component of the protein export machinery. If one of these residues is altered by mutation, this critical recognition cannot occur and the export process does not initiate. The result is the accumulation of a precursor in the cytoplasm. The data we have obtained by genetic analysis of the LamB signal sequence are consistent with this proposal. All of the export-defective lamB mutations (14 base substitutions and 13 deletion mutations) alter one or more of these critical four residues. The two point mutations described here that do not alter one of these residues do not block export.

An apparent exception to the statement that all export-defective lamB mutations alter at least one of these critical four residues is the small deletion mutation lamBS78. This twelve-base-pair deletion removes amino acids 10, 11, 12, and 13 from the LamB signal sequence. It blocks export to >95%. Although this deletion certainly does not alter one of the four critical amino acids directly, evidence that we have obtained recently (13) indicates that the mutation alters the recognition site indirectly by altering the secondary conformation of residues 14, 15, and 16.

Using rules to predict peptide secondary structure (7), it has been determined that the hydrophobic core of the LamB signal sequence most probably exists in an α-helical conformation (2, 3). Since two amino acids in this core region, proline at position 9 and glycine at position 17, destabilize helical structures, it is predicted that the helix terminates in the region of these two residues. According to these rules, none of the point mutations that alter the LamB signal sequence would alter this secondary structure. However, the small deletion mutation lamBS78, which removes residues 10, 11, 12, and 13, would alter the secondary structure because in the mutant signal sequence the helix is destabilized, proline and glycine, are too close to each other (three residues apart instead of seven as in the wild-type sequence) to permit a helix to form between them. Consequently, the critical residues 14, 15, and 16 cannot form the helical conformation required for recognition.

The contention that the lamBS78 deletion alters the secondary structure as described above has been tested genetically. Since the critical recognition site is still intact in the mutant signal sequence, we predicted that function would be restored by a second mutation that permits the critical region to assume an α-helical conformation. That is what we observed. Secondary mutations that change the proline at position 9 to leucine or that change the glycine at position 17 to cysteine restore function to the mutant signal sequence. Both of these changes permit the recognition site to assume an α-helical conformation (Fig. 5).

The various molecular components of the LamB signal sequence and the function each appears to perform in initiating protein export can be summarized as follows: (a) The NH2-terminal domain does not appear to be required. (b) The central hydrophobic core is essential. Furthermore, this core must be able to assume an α-helical conformation to allow recognition to occur. (c) A critical subset of four amino acids contained within the hydrophobic core comprises a recognition site that interacts directly with a component of the cellular export machinery.

The nature of the cellular component that interacts with the recognition site in the hydrophobic core is not known. We
presume, however, that the components will be defined genetically by mutations like prlA. Such mutations alter a cellular component and restore recognition of mutationally altered signal sequences (10). We do not mean to imply that the only function performed by the signal sequence is in the initiation of export. Protein localization is likely to be a multistep process. Conceivably, the signal sequence could function in several of these steps.

The function of the basic amino acid residues that separate the two signal sequence domains remains unclear. None of the export-defective mutations that we have isolated alters one of these residues. This would suggest that these residues do not function in export initiation. Recently, Schwartz et al. (33) isolated a mutant in which the arginine at position 6 of the LamB signal sequence is changed to a serine. Results that were obtained with this mutant suggest that the mutation may interfere with the cellular mechanism that couples export and translation (16, 17). Analogous mutations have been constructed in vitro in the gene coding for lipoprotein, a major outer membrane protein of E. coli, and similar results were obtained (20). Recently Walter and Blobel (39) have isolated a protein factor from eukaryotic cells that appears to mediate the coupling of export and translation. Although more work is required, it seems likely that the similarities between prokaryotic and eukaryotic export processes extend to the details of export initiation.
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