Analysis of Changes in Biological Soil Crusts Using Landsat Image Time Series for the Southern California Desert
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Abstract

Developers of renewable energy installations in Southern California must monitor the impacts of operations of new facilities on fragile desert ecosystems. This study is the first to use Landsat satellite spectral data to map changes in the distribution of biological soil crusts (BSCs) across federal lands of the Lower Colorado Desert. The coverage of BSCs comprising >33% pixel area totaled 4008 Km² in 1990, and increased to 4841 Km² by 2014. The proximity of changing areas of BSC cover between 1990 and 2014 to known river flow channels such as the Lower McCoy Wash, Riverside County, CA implied that flash floods associated with heavy precipitation events are important agents of change for BSC cover. These results have an immediate application for mapping the potential impacts of flash flood events around developed urban areas and utility-scale solar energy installations in the deserts of Southern California.
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Introduction

Knowledge of the ecological roles of biotic soils crusts (BSCs) in arid lands has advanced greatly over the past several decades and has transformed how managers of desert ecosystems understand biological processes that stabilize and fertilize desert soils [1]. Nonetheless, management focused on conservation of BSCs to promote robust desert ecosystem functioning in the Mojave and Colorado Deserts of California is not widely practiced, in part because the abiotic and biotic factors affecting soil biotic crusts and stages of crust development remain poorly understood [2].

Belnap et al. [3] reported that BSCs formed preferentially on soils in the Eastern Mojave Desert having high percentages of silt, very fine sand, and fine sand, the very same soil textures most susceptible to erosion by wind. Generalizations about ecologic functions of BSCs are difficult to make however, because of the great diversity life forms [4].

Pietrasiak et al. [5] reported that past disturbance intensity was inversely related to the likelihood of current presence of BSCs in the Mojave and Colorado Deserts. BSCs in these California deserts are patchily distributed and have less structural complexity and biological diversity than crust communities found in the better-studied and generally cooler Great Basin and Colorado Plateau arid lands [1,2].

Cyanobacteria and Cyanolithens are the major components of most BSC communities in Southern California deserts [6]. Cyanobacteria crusts are millimeter-thick crusts and are typically invisible to the unaided eye. They occupy only the most stable soil desert surfaces for long periods and are easily disturbed. Cyanobacteria are unique prokaryote (bacterial) organisms in that they can photosynthesize carbohydrates, as well as fix atmospheric nitrogen into compounds that enhance soil fertility for formation of amino acids and proteins in plants. Cyanolithens consist of symbiotic combinations of Cyanobacteria and ascomycete fungi (mostly in the Order Pezizales), with occasional algae species as a third component [6]. They are readily visible to the unaided eye and often have a dark, rugose (warty) appearance.

Construction at utility-scale renewable energy production facilities usually entail mechanical disturbance of desert surfaces. Information about desert soils and rates of changes to desert ground surfaces is critical in designing facilities; constructing installations, roadways, and transmission lines; restoring vegetation to sites used for temporary equipment and material storage; reducing fugitive dust emissions; and eventual decommissioning. Therefore, the main purpose of this study was to validate the range of the Biological Soil Crusts Index (BSCI) developed by Chen et al. [7] using satellite images across federal lands of the Lower Colorado Desert area for the years 1990, 2000, 2010, and 2014, to serve as baselines of change and for future monitoring in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan [8]. One of the goals of the DRECP, developed mainly by the Bureau of Land Management (BLM), is to is to conserve and manage plant and wildlife communities in the desert regions of Southern California, while also facilitating the timely permitting of new renewable (solar and wind) energy facilities [8]. Approximately 22.5 million acres of federal and non-federal California desert land are part of the DRECP area.

Study area

The Lower Colorado Desert within the DRECP area is shown in Figure 1. The study area occupies the South-Eastern corner of California, extending from the Peninsular Ranges of San Diego and Riverside Counties in the west to the Colorado River in the east. It is the Western extension of the Sonoran Desert region of Southern Arizona and Northern Mexico [9].
Figure 1: Study area map of the Lower Colorado Desert DRCEP lands in Southern California. The light grey shaded areas are the public lands managed by the Bureau of Land Management (BLM).

The region is uniformly arid, due in part to the strong rain shadow of the Peninsular Ranges. Annual rainfall totals are generally less than 15 cm and often less than 10 cm. Daily high temperatures in the summer are regularly above 40°C [10]. The terrain consists mostly of broad, flat valleys with widely-scattered, small mountain ranges of nearly barren rock. Runoff from seasonal rainfall has formed alluvial fans, desert arroyos, playas, desert washes, ephemeral and perennial streams, and riparian vegetation communities [11]. Vegetation cover in the valleys is dominated by low shrubs, primarily creosote bush (Larrea tridentata) and white bursage (Ambrosia dumosa) [9].

Methods

Landsat image processing

Landsat images acquired from United States Geological Survey (USGS) were processed to detect the changes in soils and special landforms in this project. Table 1 lists the Landsat images acquired from two sensors, the Landsat 5 Thematic Mapper (TM) and Landsat 8 Operational Land Imager (OLI). Landsat scenes the Earth Explorer (http://earthexplorer.usgs.gov/) archive were processed by the USGS with Standard Terrain Correction (Level 1T). Level 1T provides systematic radiometric and geometric accuracy by incorporating ground control points while employing a digital elevation map (DEM) for topographic accuracy.

| Landsat Scene Id  | Path / Row | Date Acquired | Sun Azimuth | Sun Elevation |
|-------------------|------------|---------------|-------------|--------------|
| LT50380361990273XXX03 | 38036      | 30-Sep-90     | 138.15      | 43.71        |
| LT50380371990273XXX02 | 38037      | 30-Sep-90     | 136.87      | 44.6         |
| LT50390361990232XXX03 | 39036      | 20-Aug-90     | 119.48      | 53.35        |
| LT50390371990184XXX03 | 39037      | 3-Jul-90      | 101.23      | 59.61        |
| LT50400361990255XXX01 | 40036      | 12-Sep-90     | 130.44      | 48.45        |
| LT50400371990255XXX01 | 40037      | 12-Sep-90     | 128.83      | 49.17        |
| LT50380362000253XXX02 | 38036      | 9-Sep-00      | 135         | 51.83        |
| LT50380372000253XXX02 | 38037      | 9-Sep-00      | 133.28      | 52.65        |
| LT50390362000260XXX02 | 39036      | 16-Sep-00     | 138.25      | 49.99        |
| LT50390372000260XXX02 | 39037      | 16-Sep-00     | 136.69      | 50.88        |
| LT50400362000235XXX03 | 40036      | 22-Aug-00     | 126.09      | 55.95        |
| LT50400372000235XXX03 | 40037      | 22-Aug-00     | 123.94      | 56.57        |
| LT5038036201248EDC00 | 38036      | 5-Sep-10      | 137.02      | 54.7         |
| LT5038037201248PAC01 | 38037      | 5-Sep-10      | 135.16      | 55.57        |
| LT5039036201239EDC00 | 39036      | 27-Aug-10     | 132.62      | 56.93        |
| LT5039037201319PAC01 | 39037      | 15-Nov-10     | 156.46      | 34.74        |
| LT5040036201246EDC00 | 40036      | 3-Sep-10      | 136.06      | 55.22        |
| LT5040037201246EDC00 | 40037      | 3-Sep-10      | 134.16      | 56.06        |
| LC80380362014275GN00 | 38036      | 2-Oct-14      | 151.75      | 48.03        |
| LC80380372014275GN00 | 38037      | 2-Oct-14      | 150.55      | 49.16        |
| LC80390362014266GN00 | 39036      | 23-Sep-14     | 148.47      | 50.91        |
Table 1: Landsat images list used for the mapping of BSCs.

| Image ID         | Date     | Path Eta | Reflectance | Offset |
|------------------|----------|----------|-------------|--------|
| LC80390372014282LGN00 | 9-Oct-14 | 39037    | 152.86      | 46.91  |
| LC80400362014273LGN00 | 30-Sep-14 | 40036    | 151.06      | 48.68  |
| LC80400372014273LGN00 | 30-Sep-14 | 40037    | 149.83      | 49.8   |

Image calibration, atmospheric correction, and scene mosaicking were applied to these Landsat scenes before further image transformation and analysis followed methods documented by Potter and Li [12]. For calibration, the 8-bit satellite-quantized digital numbers (DNs) were converted into top-of-atmosphere (TOA) spectral reflectance using the radiometric gain and offset values associated with the Landsat TM image.

For atmospheric correction, a Fast Line-of-sight Atmospheric Analysis of Spectral Hypercubes (FLAASH) module based on MODTRAN4 in the Environment for Visualizing Images processing software package (ENVI, Research Systems, Inc.) was applied [13]. Atmospheric correction requirements were minimal for these Landsat scenes [14] because aerosol contamination is extremely limited across Southern California desert in the summer months.

Mask layer for mapping BLM lands

Digital elevation data at 10-meter spatial resolution were acquired from the National Elevation Dataset (NED) 1/3-arc-second dataset (http://ned.usgs.gov/). A percent slope layer was generated to mask out all areas greater than 13 degrees slope. Non-BLM lands were masked out by using the latest Land Status map (acquired from www.blm.gov/ca/gis) across the DRECP area (Figure 1). The latest road and linear hydrography vectors from the Census Bureau’s TIGER (Topologically Integrated Geographic Encoding and Referencing, acquired from https://www.census.gov/cgi-bin/geo/shapefiles2014/main) database were used to mask out road surfaces and linear water features by buffering 10-meters on both sides of the vector lines. National Agriculture Imagery Program (NAIP) 1-m resolution images for 2012 were next used to calculate the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI; as documented by Potter and Li [12]). More than 4000 NAIP digital ortho-quarter quad tiles were processed to mask out the live vegetation pixels. All individual mask layers were consolidated as a single raster layer at 1-meter resolution, with projected coordinate system NAD 1983 California Teale Albers.

BSC index from satellite image analysis

The BSCI was calculated for each 30-m pixel according to the equation derived by Chen et al. [7]:

$$BSCI = (1 - L \times [\text{Red} - \text{Green}]) / ([\text{Green} + \text{Red} + \text{NIR}]/3)$$  (1)

Using three Landsat bands, Green, Red, and Near-Infrared (NIR) and the parameter value of L. The Landsat BSCI shows higher values for the presence BSCs, relative to the background of bare sand and dry plant material. The higher the BSC percent coverage, the higher the BSCI value would be expected.

Results

Changes in BSC coverage

BSCI maps generated for the years 1990, 2000, 2010, and 2014 across the Southern DRECP study area in the Lower Colorado Desert (Figure 2) showed the distribution of BSCs at >33% Landsat pixel cover, which fell within the BSCI value range of 2.7 to 4.75. Extensive areas of BSC cover were consistently detected in the USGS-defined drainage basins [15] of the Rice Valley, Big Wash, McCoy Wash, Gypsum Well, Wileys Well, Black Jack Mine, Chuckwalla Spring, Dragon Wash, and Aztec Mines in Eastern Riverside County, Tumco Wash, Picacho Wash, and Indian Wash in Eastern Imperial County and Jojoba Wash, Coyote Wash and Yuha Wash in Western Imperial County.

Figure 2: BSCI maps for 1990, 2000, 2010, and 2014 for the Lower Colorado Desert DRECP area.

A total of 4008 Km$^2$ were detected as BSC with >33% pixel cover in 1990 across the Colorado Desert area (Figure 3). This BSC coverage category increased by 19% overall to a total of 4841.7 Km$^2$ by 2014, following a 7.5% increase in 2000 and a 5.7% decrease in 2010, relative to 1990 BSC area with >33% pixel cover.
Figure 3: Change in total area of BSCI (>33% pixel coverage) from 1990 to 2014 for the Lower Colorado Desert DRCEP area.

The area of relatively "stable" BSC (i.e., that were also at >33% pixel cover in 1990) totaled 3773.6 Km² and 3403.1 Km² in 2000 and 2010, respectively (Table 2).

|       | 1990     | 2000     | 2010     | 2014     |
|-------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| 1990  | 4008.07  |          |          |          |
| 2000  | 3773.56  | 4308.76  |          |          |
| 2010  | 3403.14  | 3870.97  | 4062.56  |          |
| 2014  | 3583.36  | 3559.91  | 3234.38  | 4841.72  |

Table 2: Confusion matrix for BSCI areas (Km²) at >33% pixel coverage in the Lower Colorado Desert DRCEP area.

In 2014, 3583.4 Km² were detected as relatively stable BSC cover. A closer view of relatively stable BSC cover between 2010 and 2014 revealed extensive areas centered on the USGS-defined drainage basins of McCoy Wash, and nearby Gypsum Well and Black Jack Mine in Eastern Riverside County. These extensive areas of relatively "stable" BSC cover were clustered around the main river flow channels of Big Wash and nearby Slaughter Tree Wash and McCoy Wash (Figure 4a). Another notable area where relatively "stable" BSC cover was found clustered around converging dendritic river channels flowing west out of the Granite and Palen Mountains (Figure 4b).

Figure 4: Maps of relatively stable BSC coverage in the (a) McCoy Wash and (b) Palen Mountain areas of East Riverside County.

Field validation of BSCI maps

Ground-truth data collection was conducted in Eastern riverside county in October 2014 to validate the presence of BSCs. A total of 26 sites were visited across the Interstate 10 corridor (Figure 6).

Figure 6: Field validation sites visited in October 2014 in Riverside East County Solar Energy Zones (SEZ).

Results showed that only two of the field sites visited had BSCI values from 2014 that were slightly out of the range set for the likely presence of BSC cover (Table 3).
Table 3: Field site locations visited in October 2014 to verify the presence of BSC cover. (UTM: NAD 1983 California Teale Albers).

| Site ID | Elevation (m) | UTM X (m) | UTM Y (m) | BSCI 1990 | BSCI 2000 | BSCI 2010 | BSCI 2014 |
|---------|---------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 3       | 252.9507      | 660515.3  | 3727860   | 3.45375   | 3.66226   | 3.74341   | 3.4188    |
| 4       | 250.5474      | 660512.1  | 3727858   | 3.45375   | 3.66226   | 3.74341   | 3.4188    |
| 5       | 206.3271      | 670617.5  | 3720007   | 3.72009   | 3.86887   | 4.20023   | 4.01831   |
| 6       | 204.4045      | 670628.5  | 3720024   | 3.90501   | 4.17529   | 4.44876   | 4.24776   |
| 9       | 193.8301      | 694483.1  | 3707335   | 3.64704   | 3.73714   | 4.06605   | 3.71694   |
| 11      | 369.0291      | 678086.7  | 3699345   | 4.1072    | 4.1927    | 4.39968   | 4.44299   |
| 12      | 505.5352      | 670183.4  | 3699807   | 4.14569   | 4.41266   | 4.54797   | 4.53865   |
| 14      | 351.4851      | 675868.3  | 3706478   | 4.17705   | 4.44722   | 4.79402   | 4.476     |
| 18      | 131.8254      | 711277.7  | 3727514   | 3.63116   | 3.80895   | 3.963     | 3.09119   |
| 23      | 144.5629      | 708439.5  | 3725538   | 3.43269   | 3.5979    | 3.6832    | 3.03961   |
| 25      | 142.4000      | 708495.5  | 3725457   | 3.48233   | 3.58491   | 3.71808   | 3.18198   |
| 26      | 143.8419      | 708421.1  | 3725462   | 3.5138    | 3.6086    | 3.7394    | 3.46659   |
| 28      | 188.0623      | 662408.2  | 3753417   | 2.90058   | 3.13173   | 3.41023   | 2.58853   |
| 29      | 257.0363      | 670551.8  | 3753980   | 3.27889   | 3.53327   | 4.1119    | 3.22933   |
| 31      | 294.2871      | 672328.3  | 3754159   | 3.4357    | 3.52551   | 4.08261   | 3.39236   |
| 32      | 227.9565      | 707900.7  | 3744854   | 3.79933   | 4.05469   | 4.18328   | 3.85834   |
| 35      | 236.6085      | 707929.5  | 3744957   | 4.05012   | 4.1487    | 4.39729   | 3.9992    |
| 38      | 272.8979      | 701878.5  | 3753734   | 3.1035    | 3.29418   | 3.33734   | 3.25013   |
| 39      | 273.3785      | 701959.4  | 3753751   | 3.05047   | 3.26332   | 3.30881   | 3.23399   |
| 40      | 273.3785      | 702057.1  | 3753738   | 3.31555   | 3.51307   | 3.49266   | 3.55517   |
| 42      | 276.0221      | 699137.8  | 3756894   | 2.87257   | 2.94513   | 3.27563   | 2.93138   |
| 43      | 276.2625      | 699106.6  | 3756824   | 2.72593   | 2.77346   | 3.04625   | 2.78087   |
| 44      | 282.0303      | 696473.5  | 3761299   | 3.77311   | 3.92306   | 3.74604   | 3.78546   |
| 45      | 273.1383      | 695509.5  | 3764752   | 2.64783   | 2.9045    | 2.99072   | 2.64377   |
| 46      | 239.4923      | 702155.1  | 3742385   | 4.02327   | 3.94302   | 4.24847   | 3.86839   |

This represented a conservative 92% accuracy rate for detecting the presence of BSC cover using the Landsat BSCI. Those two locations cited were just slightly outside of the range (but still greater than BSCI=2.5) for detecting the presence of BSC, making the practical detection rate close to 100%. It is worth noting that these field site visits were conducted about two months after heavy rainfall events in August 2014. Some of the visited locations showed evidence of lichen BSCs buried by very thin layers of new sediment, along with new growth of herbaceous plant cover nearby (Figure 7).
Changes in BSC cover after flash flood events

The proximity of changing areas of BSC cover in 2014 to major river channels implied that flash floods associated with heavily precipitation events could be important agents of change for BSCs. To put 2014 flood events into historical perspective, daily rainfall records from the Iron Mountain (34.15°N, 115.12°W) and Blythe Airport (33.62°N, 114.72°W) weather stations (available at www.wrcc.dri.edu) showed that ten dates between 1990 and 2014 had high flash flood potential, i.e., measuring more than 3.8 cm (1.5 inches) of rain falling in one day. These dates were: September 19, 1991, February 8, 1993; December 25, 1995, September 25, 1997; February 3, 1998; March 6, 2001; February 11, 2005; December 20, 2010; August 4 and 13, 2014. Reports of flash flooding in the county were confirmed around several of these dates in the "Hazard Mitigation" report of 2012 [16].

Comparison of BSCI maps computed for the Landsat image dates of July 21 and October 9, 2014 (pre and post-flash flood events, respectively) suggested that the August 2014 flood events transported gravel-size sediment down most of the lower-elevation washes throughout the Eastern Riverside County area, covering extensive areas formerly detected with BSCs (Figure 8). It is hypothesized from this difference image of BSCI classes (as defined in Figure 2) that surface water runoff during the heavy rainfall events of August 2014 covered extensive areas of the lower McCoy and Palen Valley washes with loose sediment, temporarily covering BSCs in a layer of gravel-like material.

Results from Potter [19] from a 30-year trend analysis of Landsat vegetation index data did not, however, validate that detectable disturbance of vegetation cover (not including BSCs) has yet resulted in adverse ecological impacts to plant communities of the Lower Colorado Desert region, either within Development Focus Areas (DFAs) of Eastern Riverside County or in shrubland areas outside of the DFAs. Instead, plant canopy cover has been relatively stable since the mid-2000s. Subtle changes in vegetation cover in the period after nearly all Southern California solar energy developments were initiated (post 2010, according to Hernandez et al. [17]) could instead be attributed largely to topographic water flow pathways through canyons and desert washes, both in and around the solar energy DFAs.

To begin extending this analysis to include threats to BSC cover, the DFAs delineated as potential footprints of renewable energy development in the DRECP study area were overlaid on BSCIs cover types from 2010 to calculate the areas at risk for disturbance from energy development activities. We estimated that coverage of the forty largest energy development projects planned in the DRECP area could potentially affect more than 10 Km² of BSC cover present as of 2010.

The overall trends in BSCI classes derived in this study from the past 25 years of satellite image monitoring indicated that the BSC cover category has increased by 15% overall between 1990 and 2014, with most of this gain occurring during the period after large solar energy developments were initiated (post-2010). The most recent gains in BSC cover were observed primarily around Rice Valley and north of Interstate 10 near around the Palen Mountains. These are washes that include large solar energy DFAs of East Riverside County.

Conclusions

Although there can be minor reductions locally in the distribution of BSC cover in the Southern California deserts, the overall trend has been an increase in BSC area detected using Landsat image analysis since 1990. Most changes detected in BSC cover between 1990 and 2014 were located in close proximity to known river flow channels such as the Lower McCoy Wash, implying that flash floods associated
with heavily precipitation events could be the most important agents of change for BSCs in this region.
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