Dissecting the impact of target-binding kinetics of protein binders on tumor localization

**HIGHLIGHTS**

- High binding affinity limits the tumor localization of protein binders *in vivo.*
- Moderate-affinity binders can exhibit better tumor localization than higher binders.
- Binding kinetics of binders play a central role in controlling tumor localization.
- Exploring the optimal affinity of binders can enhance their therapeutic potential.
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SUMMARY
Systematic control of in vivo behavior of protein-based therapeutics is considered highly desirable for improving their clinical outcomes. Modulation of biochemical properties including molecular weight, surface charge, and binding affinity has thus been suggested to enhance their therapeutic effects. However, establishing a relationship between the binding affinity and tumor localization remains a debated issue. Here we investigate the influence of the binding affinity of proteins on tumor localization by using four repebodies having different affinities to EGFR. Biochemical analysis and molecular imaging provided direct evidence that optimal affinity with balanced target binding and dissociation can facilitate deep penetration and accumulation of protein binders in tumors by overcoming the binding-site-barrier effect. Our findings suggest that binding kinetics-based protein design can be implicated in the development of fine-tuned protein therapeutics for cancers.

INTRODUCTION
Biopharmaceuticals or biologic drugs (biologics) are an attractive therapeutic option for treating cancer owing to their profound efficacy (Ghilardi et al., 2020; Nabhan et al., 2018; Khalil et al., 2016). In most cases, biologics have higher efficacy and lower systemic adverse effects than traditional chemical drugs (Schirrmacher, 2018). The highly ordered three-dimensional structures of biologics enable them to have exceptional target selectivity and affinity than their traditional counterparts (Kintzing et al., 2016). Biologics comprise a wide range of biologically derived functional substances, including peptides, proteins, antibodies, nucleotides, and cell-based products (Khalil et al., 2016; Morrow and Felcone, 2004; Roberts et al., 2020). Of these, the protein-based drugs have recently emerged as the fastest growing class of biologics in targeted therapy, because of the remarkable clinical outcomes (Lagasse et al., 2017). Particularly, monoclonal antibodies are by far the largest and most promising biologics in malignant tumors and autoimmune diseases, constituting about seven of the top ten global pharmaceutical products (Urquhart, 2020).

Biochemical factors of proteins such as surface charge, molecular size, and binding affinity have an effect on their biological properties (Boswell et al., 2010; Holliger and Hudson, 2005; Kuna et al., 2018; Rudnick et al., 2011). In drug discovery and development, these factors are considered to be closely related to pharmacokinetics, tissue penetration, and distribution of protein-based drugs, all of which affect efficacy and safety (Thurber et al., 2008a, 2008b). Therefore, systemic evaluation of the relationship between biochemical properties and in vivo behavior is essential to improve the effectiveness of protein-based therapeutics. Transvascular transportation has been thoroughly investigated to determine the effects of molecular weight and size of macromolecules on tumor penetration by showing that the transport rates are inversely proportional to their molecular sizes (Jain, 1990; Yuan et al., 1995; Wirthl et al., 2020). Furthermore, previous studies using antibodies and scaffold proteins have also demonstrated that in vivo tumor localization of the protein is restricted to its molecular weight due to limited extravasation and interstitial diffusion (Yokota et al., 1992; Debie et al., 2020; Nessler et al., 2020). In general, the ability of protein-based drugs to penetrate tumor tissues is believed to be proportional to their binding affinity (Figure 1A) (Carter, 2001). Designed ankyrin repeat protein (DARPin; ~15 kDa) typically showed a positive correlation between binding affinity and tumor targeting (Zahnd et al., 2010). The observed in vivo phenomenon was well agreed with computational analysis concerning the impact of molecular size and binding affinity on tumor uptake (Schmidt and Wittrup, 2009). The studies indicated that higher binding affinity is required for very small proteins to prevent their rapid elimination in the bloodstream and the extracellular fluid and to be...
retained in tumors. On the other hand, several reports demonstrated that the binding strength of protein binders including single-chain variable fragments (scFvs; ~28 kDa), dimeric nanobodies (~30 kDa), and antibodies (~150 kDa) does not always correlate with their accumulation in tumors (Rudnick et al., 2011; Debie et al., 2020; Adams et al., 2001; Saga et al., 1995; Tsumura et al., 2018). High-affinity binders tend to be preferentially accumulated around blood vessels in tumor tissues, physically restricting subsequent extravasation and tumor penetration (Figure 1B). This phenomenon is referred to as the binding-site barrier effect (Saga et al., 1995), implying that an optimal binding affinity might be required to maximize transport efficiency of proteins inside tumors. In addition, proteins larger than the size cutoff for glomerular filtration are capable of high tumor localization even with relatively low binding affinity, which is likely to be the result of the binding-site barrier effect and their long circulation half-life. Taken together, previous results have not been able to clearly establish the relationship between binding affinity and tumor localization in vivo. Considering that small changes in biochemical properties can lead to significant clinical outcomes, studying the impact of binding affinity on tumor localization can guide in the effortless development of efficacious protein therapeutics.

A repebody is a small (~30 kDa) non-immunoglobulin, newly designed scaffold based on the variable lymphocyte receptors of jawless vertebrates (Lee et al., 2012). By phage display selection and stepwise
modular engineering, various repebodies have been successfully developed with high affinity and selectivity for disease-related targets (Son et al., 2020; Sohn et al., 2020; Sohn and Kim, 2020; Duarte et al., 2020, Seo et al., 2017; Kim et al., 2016; Hwang et al., 2016a, 2016b, 2016c; Lee et al., 2014, 2015; Heu et al., 2014). The human epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR)-specific repebody exemplifies such a scaffold where the robust targeting moiety has been extensively exploited for targeted therapy and diagnosis with negligible toxicity (Lee et al., 2015, 2017; Ryu et al., 2018, 2020; Yun et al., 2017). Despite significant progress and widespread applications, the in vivo tumor localization of repebodies related to binding affinity and kinetics has not yet been studied systematically. The present study investigated the in vivo tumor accumulation of four EGFR-specific repebodies with different binding affinity (K_D ranged from 14 nM to 51 pM) by the in vivo near-infrared (NIR) fluorescence imaging in xenograft mouse models. Cell-based and biochemical assays showed that the in vitro targeting ability of repebodies is highly correlated with their binding affinity. Contrary to in vitro results, it was demonstrated that an optimal level of binding kinetics and affinity can give rise to the highest tumor localization of repebodies by overcoming the binding-site barrier. Details are reported herein.

RESULTS
Biochemical evaluation of EGFR-specific repebodies
Human EGFR-specific repebodies have been previously developed through phage display and modular evolution (Lee et al., 2015). Four different repebodies, namely, rA11, rAC1, rEgA, and rEgH9, have gradually increased binding affinities and share the same epitope for EGFR. All the constructs were expressed in a soluble form in bacteria, each yielding about 50 mg/L of culture. The repebodies were isolated in a highly purified form through affinity chromatography and subsequently purified through gel permeation chromatography. All the monomeric repebodies were eluted as a single major peak at about 70 mL of the elution volume (Figure S1). The SDS-PAGE analysis showed the purified repebodies to have a molecular weight of 28 kDa and purity greater than 95% (Figure 2A).

Biotinylated repebodies were subjected to an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay to evaluate target specificity and relative binding affinity. All the repebodies exhibited highly specific binding signals for EGFR but negligible binding signals for the other control proteins (Figure 2B). Interestingly, the EGFR-binding signals were found to gradually increase in an affinity-dependent manner. Although previous biochemical studies have compared the relative binding affinities of repebodies (Lee et al., 2015), these parameters have not been estimated for the four EGFR-specific repebodies used in the present study. The equilibrium dissociation constants (K_D) and kinetic rate constants of all the EGFR-specific repebodies were determined using the Octet analysis. The repebodies, rAC1, rEgA, and rEgH9 exhibited a 4-, 30-, and 285-fold increase in affinity compared with the initial binder, rA11 (Figures 2C and Table 1). The observation that the repebodies (rAC1, rEgA, and rEgH9) with improved affinities have a similar association rate constant (k_on) justified the dissociation rate constant (k_off) as the main driving force for increased binding affinity. The group of repebodies with a broad range of affinities were expected to be ideal for investigating the affinity-based differences in tumor localization (with the uncontrolled variables excluded) because they shared a strong sequence identity (>95%) (Lee et al., 2015) and bound a common epitope on EGFR (Figure S2).

To confirm target cell specificity, different cancer cell lines expressing varying levels of EGFR were incubated with fluorescein-conjugated repebodies and visualized using confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM). The dye-to-repebody labeling ratio was adjusted close to 2 to improve the experimental reproducibility. The emitted fluorescence signals of conjugated repebodies were found to be nearly identical to each other (Figure S3). Consistent with the ELISA results, confocal images showed that the repebody rEgH9 can specifically recognize target cancer cells in an EGFR-dependent manner (Figure 2D) and revealed that the repebody with a smaller K_D value has a higher binding ability to target antigens displayed on the tumor cell surface (Figure S3). Thus, the binding affinity and kinetics of EGFR-specific repebodies positively correlated with the in vitro tumor-targeting activity (Figure 1).

Preparation of conjugated repebodies with near-infrared fluorophores
To monitor in vivo tumor targeting and localization, the repebodies were coupled to near-infrared (NIR) cyanine 5.5 (Cy5.5) dyes, which are suitable for deep-tissue optical imaging with low background auto-fluorescence using the N-hydroxysuccinimide ester reaction with amines. In this process, unlabeled repebodies may compete with dye-labeled ones for tumor localization in vivo, producing false-negative results with apparently reduced tumor-binding signals. Therefore, the reaction conditions for naked
Repebody exclusion were optimized, and the stoichiometry of dyes on the repebody was analyzed using the matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight (MALDI-TOF) mass spectrometry (Figures 3A and S6). When the dye-to-repebody ratio (DRR) was higher than 2, a negligible peak of intact repebodies (m/z 28,406) was observed in mass spectrometry, indicating that almost all repebodies reacted with the NIR dyes. Based on the results, a DRR of 2–3 was determined to be optimal for generating a repebody-Cy5.5 conjugate (Figure S7).

Subsequently, to identify whether the dye conjugation can cause conformational changes in the repebodies and affect biological functions, circular dichroism (CD) spectroscopy was performed. As shown in Figure 3B, the rEgH9-Cy5.5 conjugates displayed CD spectra patterns similar to those of intact repebodies. Based on the CD spectra, we estimated the secondary structure contents in both naked and conjugated repebodies using the secondary structure estimation software, revealing that Cy5.5-labeled repebodies exhibited a native-like content of secondary structure with a high β-sheet content of up to 50%.

Additionally, Octet data and competitive ELISA provided that dye-conjugated repebodies have a similar binding affinity compared with the naked ones (Table 1 and Figure S8). These results indicated that fluorophore conjugation has an insignificant effect on the overall structure and the binding capability of the repebodies. There was also a similar range of fluorescence intensity in the four different repebody-dye conjugates with 2.2 DRR (Figure 3C). Considering the biochemical properties compared with their native forms, conjugated repebodies can thus facilitate the accurate analysis of in vivo distribution and tumor localization according to differences in affinity while minimizing unpredictable variables.
Table 1. Binding affinity and kinetic analysis of EGFR-specific repebodies

| Repebody | Octet analysis$^a$ | FACS$^b$ |
|----------|-------------------|---------|
|          | $k_{on}$ (M$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$)$^c$ | $k_{off}$ (s$^{-1}$)$^d$ | $K_D$ (M)$^e$ | $t_{1/2}$ (min)$^f$ | $K_{1/2}$ (M)$^g$ |
| rA11     | 5.42×10$^5$       | 7.83×10$^{-3}$ | 1.44×10$^{-8}$ | 1.50         | 1.51×10$^{-6}$ |
| rAC1     | 2.06×10$^5$       | 7.16×10$^{-4}$ | 3.48×10$^{-9}$ | 16.1         | 1.86×10$^{-7}$ |
| rEgA     | 2.92×10$^5$       | 1.37×10$^{-4}$ | 4.70×10$^{-10}$ | 84.3         | 2.56×10$^{-8}$ |
| rEgH9    | 2.95×10$^5$       | 1.49×10$^{-5}$ | 5.05×10$^{-11}$ | 775          | 2.21×10$^{-9}$ |

$^a$The Octet data showed slightly different binding affinity compared with previously obtained isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) data (Lee et al., 2015), resulting from differences in measurement methods (ITC is a solution-based technique, but Octet analysis is performed on an antigen-immobilized surface).

$^b$All FACS data were generated by using Cy 5.5-conjugated repebodies.

$^c$Association rate constant.

$^d$Dissociation rate constant.

$^e$Equilibrium dissociation constant.

$^f$Dissociation half-life.

$^g$Concentration of half-maximum binding.

Cell-based analysis of the target-binding ability of repebodies

Before the in vivo study, the in vitro target-binding ability of repebody-Cy5.5 conjugates was investigated using the CLSM and fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) analysis. All the conjugated repebodies were treated with various cancer cells for 3 h, and red fluorescence of cells was visualized by confocal microscopy. As a result, strong fluorescence signals were observed in the EGFR-overexpressing A431 and MDA-MB-468 cells in proportion to the binding affinity of repebodies (Figures 4A and S9). However, no detectable fluorescence was observed in the MCF7 cells expressing low levels of EGFR. FACS analysis was performed to quantify the differences between the four repebodies in binding the target cell. Consistent with the result of the fluorescence imaging, a gradual increase in the median fluorescence intensity was observed in an affinity-dependent manner with a distinguishable shift in emission peaks compared with both non-treated and unlabeled repebodies-treated A431 cells (Figure 4B). The results indicated that an increased probability of binding to the target cells in vitro is attributed to the higher binding affinities of repebodies, as illustrated in Figure 1. Furthermore, the dose-response binding curves of the repebody-Cy5.5 conjugates to A431 cells were determined to calculate the apparent functional affinities (Figure 4C). It revealed that the calculated half-maximum binding ($K_{1/2}$) values are in good agreement with the equilibrium binding constants ($K_D$) estimated from the Octet analysis (Table 1). The $K_{1/2}$ values larger than $K_D$ might be a consequence of the equilibrium shift resulting from relatively long and repetitive washing steps in flow cytometry, implying that the antigen-binding behavior of repebodies can be significantly affected by the surrounding environment where the antigen is located.

Investigation of in vivo tumor localization of repebodies

To study the affinity dependence of repebodies on tumor localization, the EGFR-overexpressing A431 cells were subcutaneously implanted into athymic nude mice and the repebody-Cy5.5 conjugates were injected intravenously to track the whole-body kinetics. The optimal dose of conjugated repebodies for molecular imaging with good tumor-to-normal tissue contrast was established by treating various concentrations of rEgH9-Cy5.5 (1, 3, and 7.5 mg/kg) into A431 tumor-bearing mice (Figure S10). As a result, strong fluorescence signals were observed in the EGFR-overexpressing A431 and MDA-MB-468 cells in proportion to the binding affinity of repebodies (Figures 4A and S9). It is noteworthy that the rAC1-Cy5.5 conjugates with a moderate affinity ($K_D$) of 3.5 nM exhibited the highest localization and retention in tumor tissue until day 4, even though the radiant efficiency of untreated tumors was almost comparable among all the groups. No signs of tumor clearance, as the primary excretion route for other small-sized proteins (Zahnd et al., 2010; Vazquez-Lombardi et al., 2015). Based on the preliminary data, 5 mg/kg (a median value between 3 and 7.5 mg/kg) was selected as the proper dose for monitoring tumor localization in the xenografts, and an in vivo study was conducted using all four different conjugated repebodies. It was revealed that all repebodies rapidly accumulated in the tumor upon administration, and the fluorescence of tumor-localized repebodies was long retained until at least day 8, whereas they were quickly eliminated from normal tissues (Figures 5A and 5B). Interestingly, we found a tendency that the tumor localization is reduced as the concentration of half-maximum binding. 

$^a$The Octet data showed slightly different binding affinity compared with previously obtained isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) data (Lee et al., 2015), resulting from differences in measurement methods (ITC is a solution-based technique, but Octet analysis is performed on an antigen-immobilized surface).

$^b$All FACS data were generated by using Cy 5.5-conjugated repebodies.

$^c$Association rate constant.

$^d$Dissociation rate constant.

$^e$Equilibrium dissociation constant.

$^f$Dissociation half-life.

$^g$Concentration of half-maximum binding.
growth retardation or systemic toxicity were observed in the repebody-treated xenografts (Figures 5C and 5D). These results suggest that the in vivo tumor localization is not directly proportional to binding affinity. Thus, finding the optimal affinity can lead to an improved tumor localization of therapeutic proteins.

Previous studies in support of the binding-site barrier effect have reported that high-affinity antibodies tend to accumulate around the blood vessels surrounding the tumor, which is responsible for restricting the deep penetration into the tumor (Saga et al., 1995). To identify the localization pattern of repebodies in tumor mass, ex vivo western blot analysis and immunofluorescence studies were performed in the...
repebody-Cy5.5 conjugate-treated groups of the A431 tumor xenografts. As evident in Figure 5E, all the tumor tissues had the same level of EGFR expression. We found that the Cy5.5-conjugated rEgH9 having the highest affinity ($K_D$) of 51 pM dominantly localized around CD31-positive tumor vascular cells, whereas the relatively low-affinity binders, including rA11, rAC1, and rEgA, evenly distributed throughout the tumor (Figure 5F). As previous studies involving fragmented or conjugated antibodies (Adams et al., 2001; Tsu-mura et al., 2018), repebodies clearly demonstrated that the high affinity with slow dissociation rates restricted tumor penetration and localization in vivo (Figure 5G). Considering that the extent of drug
delivery into tissues is typically reflected as a therapeutic activity, our findings suggest that systemic study of the effects of binding kinetics and affinity on tumor localization holds great promise for potentiating the anti-cancer activity of protein drugs by overcoming the binding-site barrier effect.

**DISCUSSION**

In the development of therapeutic proteins and antibodies, binding affinity is considerably one of the most crucial factors to achieve remarkable on-target activities in terms of high specific targeting and preferential localization in the diseased tissues (Thurber et al., 2008a, 2008b; Carter, 2001). Thus, attempts have been made to improve the binding affinity for developing highly potent neutralizing antibodies through affinity maturation, although it is a time-consuming and laborious process (Tabasinezhad et al., 2019). However, there is still no clear criteria regarding the optimal affinity for the greatest in vivo efficacy, which increases...
the dilemma of whether to proceed with affinity maturation. Furthermore, several studies have suggested the binding-site barrier effect where a certain level of binding affinity can elicit higher in vivo tumor localization of antibodies compared with the highest affinity binder (Rudnick et al., 2011; Adams et al., 2001; Tsumura et al., 2018). Unfortunately, there is no substantial evidence to counter the controversy over the affinity-dependent increase in tumor localization in vivo due to limitations in securing a group of binding proteins having a wide range of affinities and sharing identical biochemical properties. To address this issue, the impact of binding affinity on in vivo tumor localization of repebodies (a small-sized protein binder) was evaluated. Four different repebodies with a wide range of EGFR-binding affinities spanning three orders of magnitude have been previously generated based on a stepwise modular evolution (Lee et al., 2015). Given the significant level of amino acid sequence identity (>95%), the group of EGFR-specific repebodies can be considered as an ideal starting point to clarify whether tumor localization of binding proteins is proportional to their target-binding affinities. Moreover, the remarkable biochemical stability of repebodies contributes to the facile synthesis of fluorescent dye-conjugates with negligible aggregation and uniform stoichiometry, allowing accurate analysis of in vivo distribution and accumulation.

As illustrated in Figure 1A because most of the in vitro binding experiments are conducted in a closed system, all protein binders generally display a traditional Langmuir binding behavior at equilibrium (Hulme and Trevethick, 2010). Consistent with the Langmuir isotherm model, in vitro cell-based assays, including fluorescence imaging and flow cytometry, exhibited the affinity-correlated binding signals for conjugated repebodies in cancer cells. However, a proportional relationship between binding affinity and tumor localization was not observed when repebody-dye conjugates were administered to the EGFR-overexpressing xenografts. Instead, rAC1 with a moderate affinity (K_D of 4 nM) demonstrated a faster rate of tumor accumulation than the other high-affinity binders, rEgA and rEgH9. Moreover, the highest affinity repebody rEgH9 (K_D of 51 pM) preferentially localized in perivascular regions of tumors, implying that an affinity exceeding a certain threshold can lead to limited penetration of repebodies in tumor tissues. Unlike in vitro (closed) systems, the tumor microenvironment in vivo is typically considered an open system in which continuous exchange of biological materials is allowed (Gabrielsson et al., 2018; Copeland, 2016). Thus, it can be speculated that when repebodies are actively transported from the systemic circulation into the tumor mass, their binding kinetics to tumor-surface antigens can be dynamically controlled by several physiological factors such as diffusion and interstitial transport as well as lymphatic drainage (Figure 1B), leading to differences in tumor-targeting ability and penetration capability in vitro and in vivo.

Taking into account the in vivo transport of repebodies into the tumor under steady-state conditions, the binding kinetic parameters such as rate constants of association (k_on) and dissociation (k_off) were postulated to be more suitable for dissecting the factors affecting the tumor penetration and localization of repebodies than the equilibrium dissociation constant, denoted as K_D. The Octet binding data showed sequentially decreased dissociation constants by approximately one order of magnitude for each level from the lowest affinity rAC1, rEgA, and rEgH9, as presented in Table 1. It is noteworthy that three repebodies, including rAC1, rEgA, and rEgH9, have different K_D values derived from changes in the dissociation rate constants (k_off) for EGFR, not the association ones (k_on). Moreover, the calculated dissociation half-lives (t_1/2) of rEgA and rEgH9 are 84 and 775 min, respectively, which are much longer than that of rAC1, 16 min. The off-rate, a concentration-independent parameter, can be considered an important factor driving the therapeutic proteins to penetrate deep inside the tumor mass through interstitial diffusion. This is because only proteins (or ligands) in the unbound state can be freely transported within the interstitial space (Thurber et al., 2008a, 2008b). Thus, it can be implied that the protein binders with a relatively short dissociation half-life are more advantageous for accumulation into the tumor compared with the tight binders that do not dissociate well from the target receptors. Based on the binding kinetics data and in vivo results, it can be concluded that repebody rAC1 localizes within an optimal range of dissociation rate constant and dissociation half-life, leading to the greatest tumor accumulation in a short time through a highly balanced reversible binding and efficient interstitial transport. On the other hand, the higher-affinity binders, rEgA, and rEgH9, exhibiting relatively low off-rates and almost the same on-rates as rAC1 might be preferentially deployed around the tumor vascular niche to form stable repebody-EGFR binary complexes, resulting in poor interstitial transport and limited tumor localization. As shown in Figure 5F, the expected in vivo binding-site barrier was demonstrated through multiplexed tissue imaging of rEgH9-treated tumor xenografts. Considering that the dissociation half-life of rEgA is 84 min, it is feasible that their binding signals around tumor blood vessels were not insignificant after
The existence of the optimal affinity and binding-site barrier effect discovered in the in vivo study of repebody is consistent with previous results of single-chain variable fragments (scFvs) and antibodies (Rudnick et al., 2011; Adams et al., 2001; Saga et al., 1995; Tsumura et al., 2018), but discordant with the case of very small proteins, DARPin (Zahnd et al., 2010). Tumor accumulation of proteins can be largely affected by their molecular weight as well as binding affinity. In previous reports, small-sized proteins (~15 kDa) were believed to have substantially higher vascular permeability and better tumor interstitial diffusivity compared with protein binders with intermediate molecular size (~25 kDa), which can result in size dependency on tumor localization as computationally predicted (Debie et al., 2020; Zahnd et al., 2010; Schmidt and Wittrup, 2009). Therefore, the limited extravasation and hindered interstitial diffusion due to relatively large molecular weight could affect the different aspects of tumor localization including binding-site barrier effect. Along with molecular size, the dosage of protein binders can also play an important role in tumor localization and therapeutic outcome (Singh et al., 2020). Similar to the relationship between binding affinity and the binding-site barrier effect, increasing the administered dose is associated with the stable formation of antigen-binding protein binary complexes in tumors, strengthening the binding-site barrier effect. Interestingly, a previous study displayed that a considerable reduction in tumor localization of the highest affinity scFvs ($K_D = 15$ pM for HER2) was more clearly observed in anephric mice than normal mice (Adams et al., 2001). Considering that the anephric mice are not capable of fast renal clearance, it is reasonable to deduce that the restricted tumor uptake is the consequence of a prolonged and elevated level of the scFv in the blood. On the other hand, it is anticipated that the binding-site barrier effect could be overcome if the administered dose far exceeds the concentration at which saturation binding between tumor antigens and injected proteins can be achieved. In this situation, plasma concentration of protein binders is sufficiently maintained at high levels, leading to diminishing free tumor antigens near blood vessels and weakening the binding-site barrier effect. As a result, passively transported protein binders to tumors by continuous extravasation can pass through the vascular surrounding tissue without being trapped by surface antigens, allowing deeper interstitial diffusion and tumor penetration. From a similar perspective, it was reported that the binding-site barrier effect can be effectively attenuated in tumors expressing lower levels of antigens at the same dose, because the amount of free antigens is more dramatically decreased than in antigen-overexpressed tumors (Singh et al., 2020). Collectively, the relationship between tumor localization and various biochemical properties of proteins still remains a matter of scientific debate. Further studies should thus be conducted with a systematic evaluation of uncertain in vivo factors to clarify the aforementioned issues.

In summary, the present study demonstrates the binding-site barrier effect using EGFR-specific repebodies. Affinity maturation is an inevitable process for improving both the target specificities and therapeutic potencies of binding proteins during the lead optimization phase. Despite technical advances in molecular and computational biology, this process is still regarded as time-consuming and labor-intensive (Tabasinezhad et al., 2019). Moreover, given that a moderate binding affinity may result in the highest tumor penetration and accumulation rather than higher affinities, it can be expected that a study similar to a dose-ranging trial, exploring affinities, can be as effective as affinity maturation to improve therapeutic benefits. Taken together, understanding the influence of binding kinetics and affinity on in vivo behavior can practically guide in streamlining the discovery and optimization of protein therapeutics.

**Limitations of the study**

In this study, we evaluated the correlation between binding affinity and tumor localization of protein binders, called repebody, through near-infrared fluorescence molecular imaging in EGFR-overexpressing tumor xenografts. We obtained statistically significant results that can prove that intermediate affinity binder has better tumor localization than very-high-affinity ones. However, it may be premature to expect that the increased tumor localization translates directly into the enhancement of therapeutic benefits. Therefore, further studies using drug-conjugated repebodies are needed to validate the effectiveness of affinity-based protein design and development for cancer treatment. As reported in previous studies, various biochemical properties of protein binders including molecular weight, valency, and binding mode have been well known to substantially affect their pharmacological effects, especially tumor targeting and localization. Considering that difference in the molecular size of proteins can lead to significant
changes in the rates of extravasation, interstitial diffusion, and systemic clearance, this study focused primarily on binding affinity, and the binding-site barrier effect may have limitations in general application to some proteins with unique characteristics. To expand our findings, it is inevitable to systematically evaluate the various influencing factors for tumor localization of proteins based on size, avidity, and binding epitope.
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Figure S1. Gel Permeable Chromatography (GPC) profile of the EGFR-specific repebodies (rA11, rAC1, rEgA and rEgH9), Related to Figure 2. Four repebodies were subjected to GPC using HiLoad 16/60 superdex 75 column after Ni-NTA purification. All of the monomeric repebodies (28 kDa) were eluted as a single major peak around 70 mL of elution volume. For comparison, respective elution peaks of ovalbumin, carbonic anhydrase, and ribonuclease A were marked with their respective molecular weight.
Figure S2. Competitive ELISA for identifying a binding mode of all EGFR-specific repebodies, Related to Figure 2. All antigens were coated at 40 µg/ml, and BSA was used as a negative control. Repebody rA11 was biotinylated to generate binding signals for EGFR by using HRP-conjugated streptavidin. Excess soluble competitors (500 µg/ml) were co-incubated with biotinylated rA11, and the resulting mixture was introduced to each antigen coated well. As results, three types of affinity-maturated repebodies (rAC1, rEgA and rEgH9) and dye-conjugated rEgH9 significantly decreased the binding signals of rA11, the initially selected binder for EGFR. Given that the large molecular weight (~110 kDa) of the EGFR ectodomain composed of 4 discrete domains, the ELISA data presented that all repebodies used in this study bind a common epitope on EGFR. The error bars indicate standard deviations of triplicate experiments.
Figure S3. Conjugation of EGFR-specific repebodies with equivalent amount of fluorescein dye, Related to Figure 2. All repebodies were conjugated with NHS-fluorescein under optimized conditions, and resulting FITC-conjugated repebodies showed the dye-to-protein ratio close to 2 (lower). Fluorescence intensities of the dye-conjugated repebodies were visualized using a ViSQUE bio-imaging system (upper). The fluorescence image indicated that all four conjugated repebodies have the similar fluorescence intensity at each concentration.
Figure S4. Western blot analysis of various tumor cells to assess the level of expression of EGFR, Related to Figure 2. MCF7 cells were used as a control for very low EGFR expression. The other three cell lines (A431, HCC827 and MDA-MB-468) were observed to overexpress EGFR. Tubulin (52 kDa) was used as the loading control.
Figure S5. Confocal images of A431 cells treated with dye-labeled repebodies, Related to Figure 2. Four kinds of conjugated repebodies with various concentration of 1, 10 and 100 µg/ml were incubated with A431 cells for 3 hours, followed by washing and imaging using a confocal microscope. The nuclei are stained with Hoechst 33342 (blue).
Figure S6. Characterization of dye-conjugated repebodies using mass spectrometry, Related to Figure 3. MALDI-TOF mass spectra of rEgH9-Cy5.5 conjugates showing various dye-to-repebody ratios (DRR) when the reaction ratios are 8:1 (left), 14:1 (middle), and 20:1 (right). While the peak indicating naked rEgH9 (m/z 28,427) was still observed in DRR of 1.29, it was completely not detected in the other two rEgH9 conjugates having multiple peaks of dye-conjugated form and DRR over 3.
Figure S7. Establishment of reaction conditions for generating dye-conjugated repebodies, Related to Figure 3. Conjugation of repebody with Cy5.5 dye using various reaction ratio for determining the conjugation ratio that results out dye-to-repebody ratio (DRR) of about 2 (represented as a black bar in each repebody). Generally, as the molar ratio of Cy5.5 dyes to repebodies was increased, DRR tended to increase. Based on these results, we optimized the conjugation conditions for all four repebodies. The error bars indicate standard deviations of multiple experiments.
Fig. S8. Octet and competitive ELISA data of intact and dye-conjugated repebody rEgH9, Related to Figure 3. (a) Octet analysis was performed to evaluate the effect of dye conjugation on the binding affinity of repebodies. As results, Cy5.5-conjugated repebodies showed a similar dissociation constant \(K_D\) of 138 pM as naked repebodies \(K_D = 114\) pM, proving that conjugated dyes on repebodies have a negligable effect on the binding ability. We observed a slight difference in the measured \(K_D\) values of rEgH9 between this experiment and Table 1 \(K_D = 51\) pM, which could be considered an acceptable level of batch-to-batch variations. (b) The competitive assay was likewise conducted as described in Fig. S2. ELISA data showed that the conjugated repebodies inhibit the binding of biotinylated rA11 comparable to intact repebodies, indicating that these two types of repebodies (rEgH9 and rEgH9-Cy5.5) have a similar level of binding affinity for EGFR. The error bars indicate standard deviations of triplicate experiments. SDS-PAGE displayed bands of intact and conjugated repebodies (1 mg/ml) with relative band intensities calculated as 1.0 and 0.8, respectively.
Figure S9. Confocal images of MDA-MB-468 and MCF cells treated with Cy5.5-labeled repebodies, Related to Figure 4. Cy5.5-repebody conjugates (10 μg/ml) were incubated with the cells expressing different levels of EGFR for 3 hours, and cells were washed and fixed, followed by imaging using confocal microscope. The nuclei are stained with Hoechst 33342 (blue).
Figure S10. Preliminary in vivo studies to determine the optimal injection dose of repobody-Cy5.5 conjugates for molecular imaging, Related to Figure 5. (a) Whole body bio-distribution profiles demonstrating specifically tumor targeting of rEgH9-Cy5.5 with a concentration of 1, 3, and 7.5 mg/kg in A431 subcutaneouse tumor model. (b) Quantification of rEgH9-Cy5.5 accumulated in tumors as presented in panel (a). Data are the mean ± SEM for two mice per group. (c) Mean radiant efficiency in A431 tumor or organs harvested at 72 hr after treatment of rEgH9-Cy5.5. Data are the mean ± SEM for two mice per group.
**Transparent Methods**

**Expression and purification of repebodies**

Four classes of repebodies (rA11, rAC1, rEgA, and rEgH9) were cloned into pET21a vector (Novagen, USA) using NdeI and XhoI restriction sites. The resulting recombinant vectors were transformed into Origami B (DE3) host cells (Novagen, USA). After transformation, single colony was inoculated in Luria-Bertani (LB, Duchefa, Netherlands) medium containing 100 μg/ml ampicillin and cultured at 37°C overnight. Next day, overnight culture was diluted 1:100 into fresh LB media and grown until OD600 reached about 0.5. Repebody expression was induced by addition of 0.5 mM of IPTG and further incubated at 18 °C for overnight. Cell harvest was conducted by using centrifugation at 4,000 rpm for 30 min. After resuspending cell pellet with lysis buffer (20 mM Tris (pH 8.0), 300 mM NaCl, and 10 mM Imidazole), repebody purification was performed as described elsewhere (Lee et al., 2012). Briefly, soluble fraction of disrupted cells after centrifugation at 13,000 rpm for 60 min was filtered using 0.22 micron syringe filters. Repebodies were isolated using Ni-NTA agarose (Qiagen, USA) and further purified on a HiLoad 16/60 superdex 75 pg (GE Healthcare, USA). The resulting repebodies were eluted with PBS (pH 7.4). Purity and concentration of repebodies were checked using SDS-PAGE and UV-spectroscopy.

**Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay**

For the target specificity of repebodies, 10 μg/ml of soluble proteins (BSA, human EGFR, Trypsin, mOrange, and IL-6) were coated onto 96 well MaxiSorp plate (SPL, Korea) at 4 °C for overnight. After 3 times of PBS (pH 7.4) washing, each well was blocked with blocking buffer (PBS supplemented with 0.1% Tween-20 and 2% BSA) at room temperature for 1 hour. Biotinylated repebodies (10 μg/ml) were diluted with blocking buffer and added into each well for 1 hour. After 3 times of PBST (PBS supplemented with 0.1% Tween-20) washing, HRP-conjugated streptavidin (1:5000; Bio-rad, USA) was used for the detection of biotinylated repebodies at room temperature for 1 hour. After incubation of HRP-streptavidin conjugates, plate washing was carried out 2 times with PBST and 1 time with PBS. TMB solution was added to amplify the binding signal and 1 N H₂SO₄ was added to stop the reaction. The absorbance was measured at 450 nm using a microplate reader (Molecular Devices, USA). For competitive binding assay, each well was coated with human EGFR (40 μg/ml). Biotinylated repebody rAll (100 μg/ml) was diluted into the blocking buffer with competitors (rAC1, rEgA, rEgH9, and rEgH9-Cy5.5; a final concentration of 500 μg/ml).
Affinity measurements using Octet QK384

The repebodies were diluted to 10 μg/ml (rA11, rAC1) and 20 μg/ml (rEgA, rEgH9) using 10 mM Acetate buffer (pH 5). All repebodies were coupled to amine-reactive second-generation (AR2G) biosensors (ForteBio) using 400 mM EDC (1-Ethyl-3-[3-dimethylaminopropyl]-carbodiimide hydrochloride) and 200 mM S-NHS (N-hydroxysulfosuccinimide) with instructions from ForteBio. The human EGFR (Sino Biological, China) was serially diluted two-fold with a concentration range from 100 nM to 0.7813 nM in 1x kinetics buffer. The protein solutions (200 μl) were added into a 96-well black polypropylene microplate (Greiner Bio-One, Kremsmünster Österreich). The measurements were carried out by the Octet QK384 systems (ForteBio, USA). Briefly, the repebodies-loaded AR2G sensors were dipped into wells containing human EGFR to monitor repebody association, followed by a 20-min dissociation phase. All solutions used in the measurements were purchased from ForteBio. The dissociation half-life ($t_{1/2}$), the time that it takes for half of the complex to dissociate was determined from the dissociation rate constant ($k_{off}$) using the equation $t_{1/2} = \ln 2 / k_{off}$.

Fluorescence dye labeling

The NHS-Fluorescein (Thermo scientific, USA) and Sulfo-Cyanine5.5 (Cy5.5) nhs ester (Lumiprobe, USA) were used as fluorescent dyes for conjugation. In order to conjugate the fluorescent dyes to repebodies, all of the repebodies were dissolved in PBS (pH 7.4) at a final concentration of 1 mg/ml. The dye fluorescein was dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) to a final concentration of 10 mg/ml. All proteins were labeled with NHS-fluorescein at a dye to protein ratio to be 11 to 13, followed by incubation with continuous stirring at 4°C for 2 hours in the dark. The Cy5.5 dye was dissolved in PBS to a final concentration of 10 mg/ml. All proteins were incubated with Sulfo-Cy5.5 nhs ester at a dye to protein ratio to be 8 to 20, followed by incubation at room temperature for 1 hours in the dark. After centrifugation of the reaction solution at 13,000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C, supernatant was further filtered using 0.22micron centrifuge filters (6,000 rpm for 5 min at 4 °C) to remove protein aggregates occurred during conjugation. The filtered protein-dye conjugates were passed through a PD-10 column (GE healthcare, USA) with a PBS to separate dye-labeled proteins from unreacted dyes. Quantitation of the protein-dye conjugation (dye:protein molar ratio) was obtained through dividing molar concentration of dye by molar concentration of protein. For this, concentration of protein was measured through Bradford protein assay, and dye concentration was determined.
on the basis of maximum absorbance (675 nm) measurements by X-ma 100 spectrophotometer (Human Corporation, Korea).

Circular dichroism analysis
Circular dichroism spectra of rEgH9 and rEgH9-Cy5.5 conjugates were measured from 190 to 280 nm at 25°C using a Jasco-815 CD spectropolarimeter (Jasco, Japan). The path length of the quartz cuvettes used for rEgH9 and rEgH9-Cy5.5 conjugates is 0.5 mm. All samples were diluted in PBS (pH 7.4) at a concentration of 0.2 mg/ml.

Confocal fluorescence microscopy
Cells were plated on an eight well glass slide (SPL, Korea) for 24-hour incubation in an incubator with 5% CO₂ at 37 °C. Fluorescence-labeled proteins (10 μg/ml) diluted in a serum-free DMEM medium (Welgene, Korea) were treated for 3 hours. After washing three times each wells with DPBS (Dulbesco’s PBS without calcium and magnesium, Gibco, USA), Hoechst 33342 (Thermo scientific, USA) staining was performed to stain the nuclei. After washing three times with DPBS, the cells were fixed using 4% paraformaldehyde at room temperature for 20 min in the dark. Fluorescence images of the resulting cells were obtained using a LSM880 confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss, Germany) at 400x magnification.

Flow cytometry analysis
Selected cells were stained with 100 μg/ml of repebody-Cy5.5 conjugates diluted in a FACS buffer (DPBS containing 3% FBS) at 4°C for 30 min in the dark. After staining the cells, washing steps were performed twice (1,500 rpm for 5 min) with FACS buffer. Cellular fluorescence of 1 x 10⁴ cells was analyzed using a LSRFortessa™ X-20 flow cytometer (BD biosciences).

Western blot analysis
Cells (A431, HCC827, MDA-MB-468, and MCF7) were lysed in protein extraction solution and the total protein samples (20 μg) were loaded onto 8% SDS-PAGE, transferred to a polyvinylidene fluoride membranes. After blocking with a blocking buffer (PBS containing 0.05 % Tween-20 and 5% skim milk), the membrane was incubated with primary rabbit anti-EGFR antibody (Sino Biological, China), and anti-tubulin antibody overnight at 4°C. The membrane was washed with PBST (PBS containing 0.05 % Tween-20) and incubated with
HRP-conjugated secondary antibody (Millipore, USA) for 1 hour at room temperature. Immunoreactive protein bands were detected with ECL detection kit (Thermo scientific, USA) in the dark. For western blot of tumor, frozen tumor samples were grinded using liquid nitrogen-cooled mortar and lysed in RIPA Buffer (Sigma-Aldrich). Lysates were isolated by centrifugation at 15,000 rpm for 20 min at 4°C. Following steps are the same as described above.

**Mouse experiment**

Five-week-old BALB/c-nude female mice (Nara-Biotec, Korea) were maintained under controlled conditions of temperature (23 ± 2 °C), humidity (55 ± 5%), and light (12 h light/dark cycle) at the Korea Basic Science Institute (KBSI) and had access to food and water *ad libitum*. All animal experiments were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at KBSI. For the mouse xenograft model, $1 \times 10^6$ cells of A431 were subcutaneously implanted and tumor size was calculated using a formula for hemi-ellipsoid (volume = $0.5236 \times$ length $\times$ width $\times$ height) using calipers. When the tumor size reached approximately 80 mm$^3$, 5 mg/kg of the repebodies was intravenously injected.

**Immunofluorescence studies**

Tumors were harvested 6 h after repebody injections and prepared as frozen sections. Sections on slides were fixed using 4% PFA (DaeJung Chemicals, Korea) for 30 min and incubated with anti-CD31 (rabbit anti-human CD31 monoclonal antibodies; Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) for 2 h at room temperature. Secondary antibodies were anti-rabbit Alexa 488 (Life Technologies, USA), which were incubated for 45 min at room temperature. After washing with PBS, sections were counterstained with Hoechst 33342 (Sigma-Aldrich) at 10 μg/mL for 10 min at room temperature and examined using LSM 780 Zeiss Confocal Laser Microscope (Zeiss, Germany). Digital images were processed using the ZEN 2010 software.

**Whole-body biodistribution of repebodies**

Tumor-bearing mice were administered with Cy5.5 dye-tagged repebodies through tail vein injections and imaged using the VISQUE InVivo Smart LF bio-imaging system (VIEWORKS) with an excitation wavelength of 630-680 nm and an emission wavelength of 690-740 nm. The mean radiant efficiency in the region of interest was processed using the Clevue software.
Statistical analysis
Statistical comparisons of the data sets were performed by one-way ANOVA with Tukey correction using Prism software (Version 8; GraphPad Inc.). Data were considered statistically significant when $P < 0.05$. 
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