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Glucose homeostasis is controlled by endocrine pancreatic cells, and any pancreatic disturbance can result in diabetes. Because 8% to 12% of diabetic pregnant women present with malformed fetuses, there is great interest in understanding the etiology, pathophysiological mechanisms, and treatment of gestational diabetes. Hyperglycemia enhances the production of reactive oxygen species, leading to oxidative stress, which is involved in diabetic teratogenesis. It has also been suggested that maternal diabetes alters embryonic gene expression, which might cause malformations. Due to ethical issues involving human studies that sometimes have invasive aspects and the multiplicity of uncontrolled variables that can alter the uterine environment during clinical studies, it is necessary to use animal models to better understand diabetic pathophysiology. This review aimed to gather information about pathophysiological mechanisms and fetal outcomes in streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats. To understand the pathophysiological mechanisms and factors involved in diabetes, the use of pancreatic regeneration studies is increasing in an attempt to understand the behavior of pancreatic beta cells. In addition, these studies suggest a new preventive concept as a treatment basis for diabetes, introducing therapeutic efforts to minimize or prevent diabetes-induced oxidative stress, DNA damage, and teratogenesis.

1. Introduction

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a chronic disease characterized by hyperglycemia resulting in insulin resistance and/or insulin secondary deficiency caused by the failure of beta- (β-) pancreatic cells. Diabetes can be classified into four clinical categories, type 1 diabetes (due to autoimmune destruction of the β cells, usually leading to absolute insulin deficiency), type 2 diabetes (due to a progressive insulin secretory defect in the background of insulin resistance), gestational Diabetes mellitus (GDM) (diabetes diagnosed during pregnancy that is not clearly overt diabetes), and other specific types of diabetes due to other causes, for example, genetic defects in β cell function or insulin action, drug- or chemical-induced alterations (such as in the treatment of HIV/AIDS or after organ transplantation), and any diseases of the exocrine pancreas characterized by a process that diffusely injures the pancreas can cause diabetes. Diabetes is usually diagnosed based on plasma glucose criteria, either the fasting plasma glucose (FPG) or the 2h plasma glucose (2 h PG) value after a 75 g oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT). Besides, recently, an International Expert Committee added the A1C (threshold ≥ 6.5%) as a third option to diagnose diabetes. In type 1 diabetes, patients often present acute symptoms of diabetes and markedly increased glucose levels and in some cases ketoacidosis. Type 2 diabetes is frequently not diagnosed until complications appear. ADA for the first time recommended that all pregnant women not known to have prior diabetes undergo a 75 g OGTT at 24–28 weeks of gestation based on an International Association of Diabetes and Pregnancy Study Groups (IADPSG) consensus meeting. In U.S. approximately one-fourth of the population may have undiagnosed diabetes.
Acquired processes include pancreatitis, trauma, infection, pancreatomegaly, and pancreatic carcinoma (such as cystic fibrosis). However, for the clinician and patient, it is less important to label the particular type of diabetes than it is to understand the pathogenesis of hyperglycemia and to treat it effectively [1].

Research in health has been improving the quality of medical care, influencing health policies and ensuring patient safety. Translational research is an important tool that allows researchers in clinical practices to establish knowledge and implement the results [2]. Translational research covers two areas. One is the process of applying discoveries generated during research in the laboratory and in preclinical studies to the development of trials and studies in humans. The second area of translation concerns research aimed at enhancing the adoption of best practices in the community. The cost-effectiveness of prevention and treatment strategies is also an important part of translational science [3]. According to this definition, translational research is part of a unidirectional continuum in which research findings move from the researcher’s bench to the patient’s bedside and the community. In the continuum, the first stage of translational research (T1) transfers knowledge from basic research to clinical research, while the second stage (T2) transfers findings from clinical studies or clinical trials to practice settings and communities where the findings improve health [4]. Due to ethical issues involving human studies that can require invasive aspects and the multiplicity of uncontrolled variables that can alter the uterine environment during clinical studies [5], it is necessary to use animal models to better understand diabetic pathophysiology [6]. Thus, this review aimed to gather information about pathophysiological mechanisms and fetal outcomes in streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats.

2. Pancreatic Islets: Structure and Function

The pancreas is a complex organ that consists of two functionally and morphologically distinct cell populations derived from the endoderm. The exocrine pancreas consists of acinar cells that secrete digestive enzymes, such as amylases, lipases, proteases, and nuclease, which are emptied into the pancreatic duct through an elaborately branched network of tubules composed of epithelial cells. Acinar cells also produce bicarbonate ions and electrolytes, which, together with exocrine enzymes, are transported through the main duct into the duodenum, where they contribute to food processing [7, 8]. Groups of endocrine cells called pancreatic islets represent the endocrine portion of the pancreas, which composes only approximately 2% of the pancreas. Each islet is composed of at least five types of cells, including insulin-producing β cells (65–80%) [9], glucagon-releasing α cells (15–20%) [10], somatostatin-producing δ cells (3–10%) [11], peptide-containing PP cells (1%) [12], and ghrelin-containing ε cells [13]. All of these hormones are involved in the regulation of nutrient metabolism and glucose homeostasis [14]. The cytoarchitecture of rodent and human islets presents notable differences [15, 16]. In islets from mice and other rodents, the β cells are predominately located in the central core with α and δ cells localized in the periphery forming a mantle [17–21]. In human and monkey islets, α cells are not localized in the periphery but rather are dispersed throughout the islet [15, 16, 19, 21, 22].

There are several lines of evidence that pancreatic islets cannot be considered aggregates of cells. It was well established more than 20 years ago that the integrated secretory responses of isolated islets are greater than those of dispersed islet cells, suggesting that cell-to-cell interactions are necessary for the normal secretory function of the endocrine pancreas [23–27].

However, there are no reports regarding the effect endocrine hormones in the diabetic environment. Several studies have emphasized the importance of interactions among different cells [28, 29] and between cells of the same type [29, 30] in metabolic control. In normal islets, the insulin-producing cells are under the influence of other hormone-secreting islet cells. Glucagon is known to enhance both insulin and somatostatin secretion, while somatostatin exerts an inhibitory effect on insulin and glucagon secretion [31, 32]. Communication among these hormones is important to maintain physiological balance, and any disorder in this system would result in excessive blood glucose levels, for example, damaging the organism.

Hyperglycemia during pregnancy impairs the intrauterine environment, affecting normal fetal development and resulting in long-term effects on the function and structure of fetal pancreatic islets [33, 34]. This status increases the offspring’s risk of obesity/adiposity, glucose intolerance, and type 2 diabetes later in life [1, 35–37]. Animal studies have shown that the offspring of diabetic rats can be insulin resistant [38, 39] and diabetic [39, 40]. Studies support the concept that developing organs have critical periods of intense structural and functional reorganization. In the case of the pancreas, this circumstance may render it vulnerable to environmental stimuli [41, 42], which may lead to consequences for the next generation [43] and future studies should consider the hormone interactions involved for this glucose control.

The literature shows that the administration of pancreatic hormones analogs (insulin, glucagon, and somatostatin) in vitro studies is important to investigate the mechanisms of hormonal synthesis and secretion in an isolated manner [44–46]. In addition, insulin is the most studied hormone in the maternal and fetal organism in an attempt to understand the repercussions of hyperglycemia [47–54]. In our laboratory, we hypothesized that glucoregulatory hormones such as glucagon and somatostatin, in addition to insulin, are relevant for embryo-fetal development and diabetes-derived alterations. We performed an experimental study in rats to evaluate the importance of the endocrine pancreatic hormonal triad in maternal, fetal, and neonatal organisms exposed to a hyperglycemic intrauterine environment. According to our results, somatostatin levels were altered in all developmental points studied, showing that pancreatic alteration in maternal and fetal organisms persisted in the neonatal period. These results suggest that somatostatin might be a predictor of adverse effects in adulthood. In fact, our data show the importance of studying hormonal interactions in the endocrine pancreas to understand the pathophysiological mechanisms
related to glycemic control in maternal and fetal organisms [55].

3. Pathophysiological Mechanisms of Diabetic Disease

The chronic hyperglycemia of diabetes is associated with long-term damage, dysfunction, and failure of different organs, especially the eyes, kidneys, nerves, heart, and blood vessels [1]. Type 1 Diabetes mellitus results from the cell-mediated autoimmune destruction of the β-cells of the pancreas. Markers of the immune destruction of the β-cell include islet cell autoantibodies, autoantibodies to insulin, autoantibodies to GAD (GAD65), and autoantibodies to the tyrosine phosphatases IA-2 and IA-2b [1]. Autoimmune destruction of β-cells has multiple genetic predispositions and is also related to environmental factors that are still poorly defined. Individuals who present Type 2 Diabetes mellitus have insulin resistance and usually develop relative (rather than absolute) insulin deficiency. Although the specific etiologies are not known, autoimmune destruction of β-cells does not occur. Most patients with this form of diabetes are obese, and obesity itself causes some degree of insulin resistance [1]. Elevations in plasma glucose and free fatty acids are thought to increase reactive oxygen species (ROS) levels [56, 57], which in turn activate inflammation signaling pathways such as mitogen-activated protein kinases [58] and nuclear factor-kB [59]. The activation of these inflammation cascades is thought to cause insulin resistance [60].

Gestational Diabetes mellitus (GDM) has been defined as any degree of glucose intolerance with onset or first recognition during pregnancy (ADA). Glucose intolerance was first introduced in 1979 to replace “borderline” diabetes and other categories of hyperglycemia that did not appear to carry a risk of microvascular complications [61, 62]. It was only in the most recent reports that the category of nondiabetic fasting hyperglycemia was defined and given the name impaired fasting glycemia (IFG) [63, 64]. This indicates glucose concentrations that are clearly above normal but fall short of the diagnostic value for diabetes [65].

4. Diabetes and Pregnancy: Experimental Models

Experimentally induced diabetes through the administration of β-cytotoxic drugs such as streptozotocin (STZ) is well characterized [66]. Streptozotocin is an antimicrobial agent and has also been used as a chemotherapeutic alkylating agent [67]. “Streptozotocin diabetes” [68] is caused by the specific necrosis of the pancreatic β-cells, and this agent is the first choice for diabetes induction in animals [69, 70]. Depending on the animal strain, dose, route of drug administration, and the life period in which STZ is administered in rats, severe diabetes (blood glucose superior to 200/300 mg/dL) [71–77] or mild diabetes (glycemia between 120 and 200/300 mg/dL) are generated [68, 78–81]. For severe diabetes induction, STZ is administered at 40–50 mg/kg body weight intravenously or intraperitoneally during adulthood. After approximately three days, these animals present blood glucose levels greater than 300 mg/dL [79, 82–86]. In our laboratory, to induce mild diabetes, which is characterized by low glycemic intensity, the rats received a STZ injection (dose of 100 mg/kg body weight) subcutaneously at birth. Approximately three days after STZ administration, these animals developed hyperglycemia (>300 mg/dL) and presented low blood glucose levels (120–200 mg/dL) at adulthood [83, 85–93]. This fact might be explained by the high regenerative capacity of β-cell during the neonatal period [94, 95].

The literature has shown that several organs are able to undergo catch up growth when necessary [96]. In case of severe cell loss or physiological conditions, the pancreatic β-cells of rodents can regenerate in the early life period [97]. Cell regeneration can occur through different mechanisms such as neogenesis, proliferation [98, 99], and transdifferentiation [100]. Scaglia et al. [101] showed that during the neonatal period, the pancreas suffers physiological changes, events that can also be identified in other organs, for example, liver, kidneys, and central nervous system [102–105]. This pancreatic remodeling is due to increased replication and apoptosis rates of β-cells between days 13 and 17. These data show that in physiological conditions the organism has a dynamic β-cell mass, maintaining glucose homeostasis [95, 106].

Because β-cells are able to regenerate in physiological conditions, the next step was to develop an experimental model to induce islet injury to study the mechanisms involved in cell regeneration process. Bonner-Weir et al. [97] published some of the first data about pancreatic islet regeneration, administrating STZ on the second postnatal day. Two days after the induction of diabetes, the animals presented high blood glucose levels (>300 mg/dL) and reduced β-cell numbers compared to the control group. At postnatal day 10, the animals became euglycemic, and partial regeneration of pancreatic β-cells was evidenced. The authors suggested cellular proliferation as the mechanism of cell regeneration. After STZ administration, cells that were not affected by STZ-induced necrosis showed increased mitotic characteristics. Bonner-Weir et al. [107] showed increased mitosis, apoptosis, and hypertrophic cells and suggested that hypertrophy might be related to increased β-cell mass given that cell death is a mechanism of regulation related to the rate of mitosis, which could maintain an appropriate number of islet cells. Therefore, according to these authors, the increased β-cell mass could be due to replication, individual cell hypertrophy, or islet neogenesis by ductal cell differentiation [108]. Regarding the regeneration mechanisms of β-cells, some authors also suggest cell transdifferentiation from non-β-cells to insulin-producing cells. In contrast, Scaglia et al. [101] concluded that, once cells do not present hormonal co-expression, there is no transdifferentiation, suggesting that non-β-cells are not differentiating into β-cells.

In contrast, other authors defend the idea that α-cells are able to differentiate into β-cells by direct conversion of transcription factors [100, 109–112]. Some of the essential transcription factors involved in pancreatic regeneration have been investigated, such as neurogenin 3 (Ngn3), paired domain homeobox gene 4 (Pax4), and homeobox-containing
pregnant women with diabetes should decrease the frequency and early detection and subsequent strict metabolic control of offspring have been reported presenting these complications, [100].

Liang et al. [95] found that β-cells were damaged four days after neonatal STZ induction. At day 8, these authors verified that there was β-cell recuperation, but 20 days after STZ injection the β-cell mass was still reduced, even though blood glucose levels reverted to normal. This study focused on transcription factors, and the authors used double immunofluorescence to stain Ngn3 and insulin or glucagon. By analyzing the coexpression of Ngn3 and glucagon, they observed abundant expression of Ngn3 in the α-cells of STZ-treated rats 8 and 12 days after STZ injection. However, in the control rats, few α-cells expressed Ngn3. The results of the diabetic group indicated that α-cells differentiated into precursor cells and may be candidates for β-cell formation. The coexpression of Pax4 and insulin or glucagon was also studied and indicated a relationship between insulin and Pax4 in both the control and STZ groups. However, the coexpression of Pax4 and glucagon was verified 12 and 20 days after STZ administration. Ngn3 expression is necessary for transdifferentiation from α- to β-cells. In addition, Pax4 is an important transcription factor that specifies the β-cell lineage. The same authors observed Pax4 expression in α-cells of both control and STZ-treated rats, but this coexpression increased in the α-cells at day 20. These results demonstrate that α-cells are sources of β-cell regeneration and can undergo transdifferentiation. Another study using STZ showed that Arx inactivation in α-cells results in pancreatic islet hypertrophy and increased number of cells that are phenotypically β-cells. The authors suggest that when α-cells are subjected to Arx inactivation, they undergo transdifferentiation to β-cells. These results show that strategies aiming at inhibiting the expression of Arx may offer new avenues for diabetes treatment [115].

Therefore, the literature includes several mechanisms to explain β-cell regeneration, and most studies suggest that the proliferation of the remaining β-cells is the primary source of regeneration. Nevertheless, current studies have demonstrated the direct participation of α-cells in β-cell regeneration. Thus, we conclude that the regeneration of pancreatic β-cells may be due to different mechanisms, but further studies are needed to precisely elucidate each mechanism and its contribution to the regeneration as a whole.

5. Diabetes-Induced Teratogenesis

Diabetes has been recognized as a disease that increases the risk of birth defects in offspring by 3 to 5 times [116]. A significant improvement has been observed in the evolution of the diabetic pregnancy after the discovery of insulin, reducing the incidence of ketoacidosis, spontaneous abortions, stillbirths, and congenital malformations [117]. A total of 25% of offspring have been reported presenting these complications, and early detection and subsequent strict metabolic control of pregnant women with diabetes should decrease the frequency and severity of some of these complications in offspring [118]. Studies have shown that spontaneous abortions can result from the malformation of structures required for fetal viability, such as the cardiovascular system or the placenta, but could also be attributable to maternal effects, such as endocrinopathies or vascular complications affecting uterine perfusion [119].

The following are the two principal advances that have improved the offspring survival rate during diabetic pregnancy: (1) a good maternal glycemic control to reduce the morbidity and mortality of both the mother and fetus/neonate [120]; (2) availability of surfactant to reduce perinatal mortality from respiratory distress syndrome (RDS) [121]. Uncontrolled diabetic status throughout the pregnancy has been associated with a spectrum of disorders involving neural tube defects (NTDs), including spina bifida, anencephaly, encephalocoele, holoprosencephaly, and cardiovascular [122–124] kidney, and skeletal system defects in addition to growth delay and miscarriage [116]. In fact, any organ can be affected, and 8% to 12% of diabetic pregnant women presented malformed fetuses [125].

Experimental studies have also been performed to understand diabetic teratogenesis. Damasceno et al. [126] and Volpato et al. [75] administered STZ (40 mg/kg) to adult virgin female Wistar rats before mating. During pregnancy, these rats presented hyperglycemic levels higher than 200 mg/dL. At term, the fetuses from diabetic dams presented skeletal (nonossified sternebrae and cleft palate) and visceral malformations (microphthalmia and hydrolephrosis). Gáreskog et al. [127], using a similar diabetes model, recovered embryos from diabetic rats at day 10 or 11 of pregnancy. These embryos were cultured within their intact visceral yolk sac for 24 or 48 h and presented decreased Bcl-2 levels and increased Bax levels and increased activation of caspase 3. Thus, exposure to diabetes during organogenesis increased cellular apoptosis and embryonic dysmorphogenesis. However, some skeletal defects that can occur in human diabetic pregnancy, particularly caudal regression syndrome, are rarely observed in animal models, making it difficult to study their molecular etiology [119].

Several studies have tried to identify biochemical disturbances associated with malformations in animal models of diabetic pregnancy. Teratogenic processes in embryonic tissues include alterations of metabolic and signaling systems [118] such as metabolism of inositol [128], the polyol pathway [129], arachidonic acid/prostaglandins [130, 131], and reactive oxygen species (ROS) [132]. In the polyol pathway, the aldose reductase enzyme is responsible for catalyzing excess glucose into sorbitol. Sorbitol accumulation has been demonstrated to negatively affect cell function in glucose-permeable tissues. However, aldose reductase inhibitors (ARIs) can diminish some diabetes-related changes in affected tissues without modifying the hyperglycemia. It has been proposed that polyol pathway overactivity is responsible for diabetic nephropathy, neuropathy, and retinopathy due to the depletion of myoinositol, and this could be applied to diabetic congenital malformations [118].

Another theory centers on linoleic acid. It is the precursor of arachidonic acid, an essential fatty acid required...
throughout gestation [133]. The literature shows that arachidonic acid release from plasma membranes by phospholipase A2 is lower in diabetic rodents. The formation of the palate, the neural tube, the heart, and external genitalia involve the folding and fusion of opposing layers and require phosphatidylinositol turnover and arachidonic acid signaling [131]. Several studies have identified PGE2 as a prostaglandin (PG) derived from arachidonic acid involved in the prevention of malformations in experimental diabetic models [134, 135]. Supporting this idea, one study showed that the concentration of PGE2 decreased during neurulation in embryos from a diabetic mouse [136]. In vitro as well as in vivo results demonstrated that a high glucose concentration causes decreased cyclooxygenase (enzyme catalyzing the synthesis of PGE2 from arachidonic acid) gene expression [137], suggesting that diabetes causes decreased prostaglandin biosynthesis and that the inhibition of the arachidonic cascade may be a cause of diabetic embryopathy [138].

Another hypothesis is that increased glucose metabolism enhances the production of ROS, causing oxidative stress [139]. In the embryo, the energy metabolism is characterized by a high rate of glycolysis and lactic acid production (anaerobic glycolysis) with minimal activity of the Krebs cycle-electron transport system [138]. In accordance with the low activity of the mitochondrial oxidative pathway, scavenging enzymes such as superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase, and glutathione peroxidase (GPx) seem to be immature during the period of early organogenesis [140]. A study performed on cultured rat embryos in high glucose (25 and 50 mM glucose) showed increased activity of the free radical scavenging enzyme superoxide dismutase (SOD) providing evidence of enhanced ROS production in a hyperglycemic environment [141]. Kinalski et al. [142] verified an increase in malondialdehyde (MDA) levels and reduced glutathione (GSH) and decreased activity of cytoplasmic Cu/Zn superoxide dismutase (Cu/Zn SOD) in the infants of mothers with pregestational and gestational diabetes. These data show increased oxidative stress and lipid peroxidation in these fetuses, which serve as indicators of fetal distress caused by maternal hyperglycemia [143].

Wentzel and Eriksson [144] evaluated embryonal crest cells recovered from inbred Sprague-Dawley rat exposed to 5.5 or 30 mmol/L glucose for 48 hr on gestational day 10. Cells exposed to 30 mmol glucose/L presented decreased mRNA levels of catalase, Cu/Zn SOD, manganese superoxide dismutase, and extracellular superoxide dismutase. This altered gene expression induced by glucose may be the etiology of malformations in diabetic pregnancy.

6. Diabetes-Induced Oxidative Stress and DNA Damage

In addition to reactive oxygen and nitrogen species, the products of free radicals, which are dependent on fatty acid oxidation, can induce chromosome breaks [145, 146]. Therefore, these products can interact with the embryo chromatin, resulting in congenital malformations [147]. Free radicals can also react with DNA bases, impairing their structure [148, 149] and potentially leading to mutations [148–150]. DNA oxidation is the most common type of damage [151], although the methods used to assess this damage are still controversial. One marker used to study oxidative DNA damage [152, 153] is 8-OHdG or 8-oxo-7,8-dihydro-2-deoxyguanosine (8-oxodGuo or 8-oxoGua). It is a product of the deoxyguanosine nucleoside oxidation that is directly excreted in urine. Qiu et al. [154] demonstrated that the 8-OHdG urine concentration might be related to increased risk for gestational diabetes mellitus.

To evaluate DNA damage levels, the comet assay presents advantages compared to other methods to detect genotoxic substances. This test is not useful for detecting mutations but can detect genomic lesions, which can result in mutation. In contrast to mutations, the genomic lesions detected by the comet assay can be repaired. The comet assay is fast and sensitive; moreover, it can detect oxidized DNA bases using endonucleases such as endonuclease III (Endo III) and formamidopyrimidine DNA glycosidase (Fpg). Use of Fpg and Endo III allows the identification of both oxidized purine and pyrimidine bases, respectively [155, 156].

Although streptozotocin is an alkylating agent, it is also useful in genotoxicity studies. Some authors have suggested that STZ can irreversibly damage β-cell DNA. To investigate this hypothesis, Mossman et al. [157] performed an in vitro study showing that STZ induces single-strand DNA breaks in rodent cells (RINr 38), and these lesions are repaired 24 hours after STZ exposition. Studying the same cell lineage, Pettepher et al. [158] demonstrated that STZ also induces alkali-labile site breaks in mitochondrial DNA, and even though the formation of this lesion is dose-dependent, it can be repaired as well. After 8 hours of STZ exposition, 55% of the mitochondrial DNA lesions were repaired, rising to 70% in 24 hours. These data confirm that STZ by itself is not responsible for the high levels of DNA damage.

In regard to experimental studies with mild and severe diabetes, Lima et al. [86] evaluated oxidative damage in the lymphocytes of pregnant diabetic rats and whole blood samples of their offspring by the comet assay using repair enzymes (Endo III and Fpg). These authors found that mildly diabetic rats and their offspring presented more sensitive sites to Fpg, reflecting damage related to hyperglycemia. Tats with severe diabetes and their offspring showed oxidative DNA damage detected by Fpg as well as by Endo III, typical general diabetes outcomes. The enzymatic indication of DNA damage suggests that the repercussions of maternal diabetes are associated with oxidative lesions in maternal and fetal DNA. Damasceno et al. [159] demonstrated that severely diabetic rats presented higher DNA damage levels at term pregnancy compared to control rats. In addition, their offspring also showed higher DNA damage levels and increased rate of congenital malformations at term, confirming the interaction between hyperglycemia-induced genotoxicity and teratogenesis. These studies show the relationship among diabetes, oxidative stress, and oxidative DNA damage.

Although many studies have been performed to understand the congenital malformations induced by diabetes, additional research is necessary to identify new markers
involved in the regulation of embryogenesis and occurrence of congenital malformations. It is necessary to comprehend DNA damage trigger factors in diabetes to reduce the impairment of gene expression, avoid fetal congenital malformation, and contribute to the normal development of organs during organogenesis.

7. Conclusion

Pancreatic islet loss and reduction of insulin-producing beta cell mass are relevant aspects to diabetic pathogenesis. It is important to study the regeneration of pancreatic beta cells not only to understand the mechanisms and factors involved in this process but also to provide new preventive concepts as a basis for the treatment of diabetes. Thus, these therapeutic efforts might minimize or prevent diabetes-induced oxidative stress, DNA damage, and teratogenesis.
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