ALS mutations in TLS/FUS disrupt target gene expression

Tristan H. Coady and James L. Manley
Department of Biological Sciences, Columbia University, New York, New York 10027, USA

Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) is caused by mutations in a number of genes, including the gene encoding the RNA/DNA-binding protein translocated in liposarcoma or fused in sarcoma (TLS/FUS or FUS). Previously, we identified a number of FUS target genes, among them MECP2. To investigate how ALS mutations in FUS might impact target gene expression, we examined the effects of several FUS derivatives harboring ALS mutations, such as R521C (FUSC), on MECP2 expression in transfected human U87 cells. Strikingly, FUSC and other mutants not only altered MECP2 alternative splicing but also markedly increased mRNA abundance, which we show resulted from sharply elevated stability. Paradoxically, however, MeCP2 protein levels were significantly reduced in cells expressing ALS mutant derivatives. Providing a parsimonious explanation for these results, biochemical fractionation and in vivo localization studies revealed that MECP2 mRNA colocalized with cytoplasmic FUSC in insoluble aggregates, which are characteristic of ALS mutant proteins. Together, our results establish that ALS mutations in FUS can strongly impact target gene expression, reflecting a dominant effect of FUS-containing aggregates.
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Recent years have seen a striking increase in the number of diseases linked to perturbation of mRNA processing. Notable examples include the misregulation of pre-mRNA splicing that occurs in various cancers and neurodegenerative diseases [Baumer et al. 2010; David and Manley 2010; Zhang and Manley 2013]. Examples of pathological RNA processing can be found in the neurodegenerative disease amyotrophic lateral sclerosis [ALS] [van Blitterswijk and Landers 2010; Polymenidou et al. 2012]. A number of disparate genes have been identified as targets of ALS mutations [Renton et al. 2014], but how their dysfunction leads to disease onset is unknown. While some early studies described apparent defects in RNA processing in ALS patients [Lin et al. 1998], the first direct indication that splicing might be a relevant pathway arose from the discovery of mutations in the gene encoding TDP-43 [Sreedharan et al. 2008], a known RNA/DNA-binding protein previously implicated in splicing regulation [Buratti et al. 2001]. Subsequently, mutations in translocated in liposarcoma or fused in sarcoma [TLS/FUS] [Crozat et al. 1993; Rabbitts et al. 1993] were discovered, first in familial ALS [Kwiatkowski et al. 2009; Vance et al. 2009] and subsequently in sporadic cases [Belzil et al. 2009; Conte et al. 2012; Sproviero et al. 2012]. TLS/FUS [FUS] is an RNA/DNA-binding hnRNP-like protein also implicated in splicing control [Crozat et al. 1993; Calvio et al. 1995; Wu and Green 1997], and its involvement in ALS strengthens the view that ALS may be an RNA processing disease.

FUS [also known as TLS] has a number of intriguing features that suggest that it plays significant roles in gene control. The protein is a member of the TET [TLS, EWS, and TAF15] family of proteins, which is implicated in both transcription and splicing [Tan and Manley 2009; Dormann and Haass 2013]. TET proteins share similar domain organization and copurify or interact with transcription factors [TFIID and RNA polymerase II [RNAP II]] [Bertolotti et al. 1996; Law et al. 2006; Kwon et al. 2013] on the one hand and the spliceosome and SR protein-splicing factors [Yang et al. 1998; Rappsilber et al. 2002; Zhou et al. 2002; Meissner et al. 2003; Leichter et al. 2011] on the other, suggesting possible roles in coupling transcription and splicing. Considerable evidence implicates TET proteins in splicing control in vivo [Paronetto et al. 2011; Blechinger et al. 2012], and FUS was shown to enhance RNAP II transcription while repressing RNAP III transcription in vitro [Tan and Manley 2010] and increase RNAP II phosphorylation, and thereby transcription elongation, in vivo [Schwartz et al. 2012]. However, the relationship between FUS protein function and ALS pathology has yet to be elucidated.

Leading theories propose that ALS mutations cause pathological changes in gene expression/RNA processing [Polymenidou et al. 2012; Qiu et al. 2014]. However, whether this reflects reduced function of the mutated
proteins, a toxic gain of function, or both is not known (Mackenzie and Neumann 2012). In FUS cases, FUS-containing cytoplasmic aggregates are observed in patient spinal cord motor neurons and ex vivo fibroblasts (Munoz et al. 2009; Neumann et al. 2009; Vance et al. 2013), similar to aggregates detected with TDP-43 mutant proteins (Bentmann et al. 2012). How such aggregates might contribute to ALS is unknown. An important question is whether ALS mutant FUS proteins can lead to altered splicing and/or expression of specific genes. We previously used a chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) promoter microarray approach to identify putative FUS target genes and showed that several of them could indeed be regulated by FUS (Tan et al. 2012). One of these genes was MECP2, which is implicated in another neurological disease, Rett syndrome. Rett syndrome is an X-linked neuro-regressive disorder in which mutations in MECP2 result in loss of acquired cognitive abilities (Zoghbi 2005). MECP2 transcripts can be alternatively spliced to generate two isoforms, MECP2e1 (e1) and MECP2e2 (e2) (Kriaucionis and Bird 2004). The e2 isoform is dispensable for development in mice (Itoh et al. 2012) but is important for neurite formation in neuronal cell models (Cusack et al. 2004; Jugloff et al. 2005). The e1 isoform is most highly expressed in neural tissues, and its contribution to pathogenesis was demonstrated by the finding that transgenic mice specifically lacking e1 phenotypically recapitulate Rett syndrome (Dragich et al. 2007; Yasui et al. 2014). Neuroblastoma cell lines overexpressing either the e2 or e1 isoform individually displayed unique gene expression changes (Orlic-Milacic et al. 2014), demonstrating the importance of MECP2 alternative splicing.

Here we show that FUS derivatives containing ALS mutations result in drastic disruption of MECP2 expression in cultured U87 glioblastoma cells. We first identified a splicing switch from the full-length e2 isoform to the exon 2-excluded e1 isoform in U87 cells expressing FUS derivatives with ALS mutations. This was accompanied by an increase in e1 mRNA levels beyond that produced by the splicing change, which we show reflects increased mRNA stability. Surprisingly, however, McCP2 protein levels were substantially reduced. Providing an explanation for these findings, we show, using biochemical and in situ staining assays, that MECP2 mRNA colocalizes with FUS mutant proteins in insoluble cytoplasmic aggregates. Together, our results provide evidence that ALS mutations in FUS can cause severe disruptions in target gene expression, strengthening the view that alterations in mRNA metabolism, mediated at least in part by toxic FUS-containing aggregates, contribute to ALS pathology.

Results

Expression of ALS FUS mutant proteins deregulates MECP2 mRNA splicing

We initially hypothesized that the mechanism by which FUS mutations lead to disease could be attributed at least in part to inappropriate neuronal gene expression due to alterations in FUS function. To test this idea, we first introduced several known ALS mutations—H517Q, R521C, R521H, and P525L—into Flag-tagged wild-type FUS, generating FUSQ, FUSC, FUSH, and FUSL proteins, respectively. These derivatives were then expressed in the human glioblastoma cell line U87, and their subcellular localizations were verified by immunofluorescence with anti-Flag antibodies (Fig. 1). All four mutant proteins behaved consistent with previously observed localization patterns (Vance et al. 2013; Wang et al. 2013). In contrast to wild-type FUS, which was entirely nuclear, FUSC and FUSH localized throughout the cell, while FUSL was predominantly cytoplasmic. These three proteins also all showed evidence of accumulation in cytoplasmic aggregates (Fig. 1, cf. wild-type FUS in the top row and mutant derivatives in the bottom three rows, aggregates are denoted by arrows, and the nuclear boundary is highlighted in blue via DAPI stain). FUSQ—which, unlike other characterized FUS ALS-associated mutations, is recessive (Bosco et al. 2010)—did not show cytoplasmic localization but did display altered nuclear accumulation such that the size and intensity of FUS-containing speckle-like nuclear structures were larger and more intense in FUSQ-expressing cells compared with wild-type FUS (Fig. 1, cf. the second row and top row, respectively).

We next asked whether the ALS mutations impact the ability of FUS to modulate target gene expression. As

![Figure 1. Recombinant FUS ALS mutant proteins mislocalize in U87 cells. Plasmids expressing different Flag-tagged FUS derivatives were transfected into U87 cells and fixed at 24 h after transfection. Fixed cells were stained with mouse anti-Flag and costained with anti-mouse Alexa 568. Nuclei were visualized using DAPI counterstains as indicated. Differential interference contrast (DIC) imaging demarcates cytoplasmic boundaries. Wild-type FUS (wtFUS) and FUSQ-, FUSC-, FUSH, and FUSL-expressing cells are shown, as indicated at the left. White arrows indicate typical nuclear and cytoplasmic aggregates.](image-url)
mentioned above, we previously identified a number of putative FUS targets, one of which was MECP2 [Tan et al. 2012]. Given that MECP2 has other features of FUS-regulated genes—e.g., potential FUS-RNA interaction motifs and an exceptionally long intron [see Fig. 2A; Lagier-Tourenne et al. 2012; Bagga and D’Antonio 2013; Takahama and Oyoshi 2013]—we decided to examine whether expression of the FUS mutant proteins affects MECP2 expression. For this, we transfected U87 cells with wild-type or mutant FUS expression plasmids and then analyzed levels of MECP2e1 and MECP2e2 mRNAs by RT–PCR. Unexpectedly, increasing amounts of the FUSC derivative [but not wild-type FUS] (see below) resulted in a slight decrease in e2 mRNA but a striking (>15-fold) increase in the e1 isoform [Fig. 2B]. This behavior was not unique to FUSC, as expression of FUSQ gave rise to similar changes in MECP2 expression [Fig. 2C, cf. wild-type FUS signal in lanes 3 and 5,6]. The nuclear-excluded FUSQ derivative also enhanced e1 mRNA accumulation but to a lower extent [Fig. 2C, cf. wild-type FUS signal in lanes 3 and 7]. On the other hand, the recessive FUSQ behaved indistinguishably from wild-type FUS, which increased total MECP2 mRNA levels only slightly (see also Tan et al. 2012) but had no differential effect on the e1 and e2 isoforms [Fig. 2C [cf. lanes 3 and 4], see also D]. Western blots with anti-Flag antibodies confirmed equal expression of all the FUS derivatives, while the exogenous proteins were expressed at comparable levels relative to endogenous FUS [Supplemental Fig. 1a,b]. Confirming and extending these results, a time course with FUSC showed that a significant increase in e1 was apparent 12 h after transfection, and e1 levels increased strongly up to at least 36 h (Fig. 2D). Western blots revealed corresponding increases in FUSC protein accumulation [Supplemental Fig. 1b]. Again, as observed previously [Tan et al. 2012], wild-type FUS produced only a modest increase in both isoforms (Fig. 2D, cf. lanes 1 and 3), while FUS siRNA reduced accumulation of both MECP2 mRNAs (Fig. 2D, lane 4).

FUS ALS mutations do not impact MECP2 transcription but enhance mRNA stability

We next wished to investigate the molecular basis for the greatly enhanced accumulation of the e1 isoform. One possibility was that the mutant FUS proteins, in addition to altering splicing, also increased MECP2 transcription much more effectively than did wild-type FUS. To investigate this, we isolated RNA from wild-type FUS and FUSC transfected U87 cells and performed RT–PCR with several pairs of MECP2 intron primers as a measure of transcription. This analysis revealed that equivalent amounts of MECP2 pre-mRNA were produced in wild-type FUS and mutant FUSC transfected cells [Supplemental Fig. 2; data not shown], indicating that MECP2 transcription was not affected by the FUSC mutation.

Another possibility to explain the increased levels of MECP2 mRNA was that the FUS mutations affected MECP2 mRNA stability. To address this, we performed an actinomycin-D (ActD) chase experiment with U87 cells transfected with wild-type FUS, FUSC, or control expression plasmids. All cells were harvested at 24 h. (Lane 1) Transfection with pFlag expression plasmid. (Lane 2) Cells transfected with pFlag but no reverse transcription added in RT–PCR. (Lanes 3-4) Wild-type FUS and siRNA-FUS transfected cells harvested at 24 h post-transfection. (Lanes 5-8) FUSC transfected cells harvested at 6, 12, 24, and 36 h post-transfection, as indicated. In all panels, 32P RT–PCR was performed, and results were visualized using phosphor screens and ImageQuant software.
[empty] vectors. After 12 h post-transfection, ActD was added to the medium, cells were incubated for additional times up to 24 h, and MECP2e1 and MECP2e2 mRNA levels were analyzed by RT–PCR as above [Fig. 3A, quantitation of multiple experiments in B for e2 isoform and in C for e1 isoform]. In both vector alone and wild-type FUS transfected cells, both isoforms were relatively unstable, with half-lives of 1–2 h. In contrast, in FUSC transfected cells, both e2 and e1 mRNAs were significantly more long-lived [Fig. 3B, C, FUSC, solid black line]. Indeed, an especially striking increase in e1 mRNA stability was observed such that more than nearly half the time 0 amounts remained after 24 h. These results provide strong evidence that the enhanced accumulation of e1 mRNA brought about by the expression of FUSC and likely the other FUS mutant proteins reflected increased mRNA stability.

**MeCP2 protein levels are reduced in cells expressing FUS ALS mutant derivatives**

The above results showing greatly increased levels of MECP2e1 mRNA in cells expressing FUS mutant proteins suggested that MeCP2 protein levels would likewise be increased. To address this, we expressed wild-type FUS or FUS mutant derivatives in U87 cells and then performed Western blot analysis with cell lysates to measure MeCP2 protein accumulation. [The antibody used recognizes both the e1 and e2 isoforms, which are very similar in size and are not resolved by SDS-PAGE.] Unexpectedly, MeCP2 protein levels were greatly reduced in cells expressing FUSC, FUSH, and FUSL derivatives but, in keeping with their lack of effect on mRNA levels, not in cells expressing wild-type FUS or the FUSQ derivative (Fig. 4, middle panel). Thus, despite leading to increased MECP2 mRNA accumulation, three FUS ALS mutations resulted in reduced levels of MeCP2 protein.

**FUSC protein is insoluble and sequesters MECP2e1 mRNA**

We next wished to determine how an ALS mutant FUS protein can on the one hand increase MECP2 mRNA levels while on the other repress MeCP2 protein accumulation. To address this, we first investigated properties of FUS proteins and MECP2 mRNA by biochemical fractionation. To this end, U87 cells were transfected with wild-type FUS or FUSC expression plasmids or empty vector. After 36 h, cells were harvested and extracted with a buffer containing 1% NP-40 plus 150 mM NaCl and separated into soluble and insoluble fractions. The insoluble pellet fraction was further extracted with buffers containing low concentrations of denaturants, such as 0.1 M urea. These fractions were first analyzed by Western blot using anti-Flag antibodies (Fig. 5A). Interestingly, while wild-type FUS was predominantly in the soluble fraction [Fig. 5A, lane 2], FUSC was detected almost exclusively in the insoluble fraction but was largely solubilized with the urea-containing buffer (Fig. 5A, cf. lanes 3 and 6).

We next examined the localization of MECP2 mRNA in both the insoluble fractions and the soluble fractions following immunoprecipitation with anti-Flag antibodies by RT–PCR. In the vector-alone transfected cells, very small amounts of MECP2 mRNA were detected in the insoluble fraction, and, as expected, nothing was detected in the immunoprecipitations [Fig. 5B, bottom panel, lanes 1, 2] from either the NP-40-soluble fraction or the urea extract of the insoluble fraction [Fig. 5B, cf. lane 2 in top and bottom panels]. In the extracts from wild-type FUS transfected cells, only low levels of MECP2 mRNA were found...
MECP2 mRNA colocalizes with FUSC in cytoplasmic aggregates

The above results provided evidence that MECP2 transcripts associate with an insoluble form of FUSC. We therefore hypothesized that this reflects localization of MECP2 mRNAs, specifically the e1 isoform, in the FUSC cytoplasmic aggregates that we and others have observed (see above). To test this, we designed fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) probes to localize MECP2 mRNA in intact cells. U87 cells were transfected with Flag-tagged wild-type FUS- or FUSC-expressing plasmids and, after 48 h, were fixed and first immunostained with anti-Flag antibodies and subsequently hybridized with the MECP2 mRNA FISH probes. As expected, wild-type FUS [Fig. 6A, red] was entirely localized in the nucleus, with limited overlap with MECP2 RNA [note that MECP2 mRNA is detected primarily in the nucleus, likely reflecting its diffuse distribution in the cytoplasm] [Fig. 6A, green]. In contrast, FUSC, also as expected, was

Figure 4. Multiple FUS ALS mutant derivatives reduce MeCP2 protein levels. U87 cells were transfected with wild-type FUS [wtFUS], pFlag, FUSC, FUSH, and FUSL plasmids and harvested after 24 h. Cells were lysed in sample buffer and boiled, and proteins were resolved by SDS-PAGE. Blots were developed with anti-MeCP2 [top panel], anti-Flag [middle panel], and anti-Actin [bottom panel] antibodies.

in the insoluble fraction [Fig. 5B, bottom panel, lane 4]. In the NP-40-soluble fraction, both e1 and e2 mRNAs immunoprecipitated with FUS at very low levels, although more e1 than e2 mRNA was detected. As expected, no wild-type FUS-associated MECP2 mRNA was detected in the urea extract of the insoluble fraction [Fig. 5B, lane 5, bottom panel].

Strikingly different results were observed with the FUSC transfected cells. In the NP-40 fractions, ~65% of MECP2 mRNA, almost exclusively e1, was in the insoluble pellet [Fig. 5B, top panel, lane 7], which is in contrast to its behavior in the presence of wild-type FUS. Smaller amounts, similar to those observed with wild-type FUS, were detected in the immunoprecipitation from the soluble fraction [Fig. 5B, top panel, cf. lanes 5 and 8]. However, in the urea-extracted samples, the amount in the insoluble fraction was notably reduced, and significant amounts of e1 transcript were observed associated with FUSC in the immunoprecipitation [Fig. 5B, cf. the e1 signal in lane 7 in the top panel, the e1 signal in lane 7 in the bottom panel, and FUSC IP in lane 8 in the bottom panel]. These results indicate that much of the MECP2 mRNA produced in the presence of FUSC was associated with the mutant protein in an insoluble fraction. Not all mRNAs behave in this manner, for example, IGFBP3 mRNA was not found associated with FUSC [Supplemental Fig. 3].

Figure 5. FUSC is largely insoluble and sequesters MECP2e1 mRNA. [A] U87 cells were transfected with wild-type FUS [wtFUS], and FUSC plasmids were harvested after 36 h. Cell extracts were prepared, and the insoluble fraction was extracted with urea-containing buffer as described in the Materials and Methods. Aliquots of each sample were resolved with SDS-PAGE and subjected to Western blotting. As indicated, wild-type FUS is depicted in the first three lanes, and FUSC is shown in the second three lanes. In each set, lane 1 is the total whole-cell lysate [WC], lane 2 is the NP40-soluble supernatant, and lane 3 is the 0.1 M urea-soluble fraction. Western blots were developed with anti-Flag [top panel] and anti-Actin [bottom panel] antibodies as indicated. (B) Cell extracts from transfected cells as in A were analyzed for the presence of MECP2 RNA by RT-PCR. The results are displayed as sets of three lanes for each transfected plasmid: pFlag [left, lanes 1–3], wild-type FUS [middle, lanes 4–6], and FUSC [right, lanes 7–9]. [Lanes 1,4,7] Twenty percent of the total volume of insoluble pellet fraction following NP40 cell lysis [top panel] or urea buffer extraction of the NP40 pellet [bottom panel]. [Lanes 2,5,8] Flag immunoprecipitation of NP40 supernatants [top panel] or urea buffer-extracted supernatants derived from NP40-lysed U87 cellular pellets [bottom panel]. [Lanes 3,6,9] Supernatants of immunoprecipitations displayed in lanes 2, 5, and 8, respectively.
detected in cytoplasmic aggregates with reduced nuclear accumulation (Fig. 6B). Strikingly, MECP2 mRNA [Fig. 6B, green] strongly colocalized with the FUSC aggregates [Fig. 6B, merge]. DNase and RNase treatments demonstrated that the MECP2 probe signals were derived from RNA [Supplemental Fig. 4]. Extending these results, we found that the FUSC aggregates contain GRIA1 mRNA, a known FUS target encoding a glutamate receptor that was determined to be altered in ALS patient neural tissues [Supplemental Fig. 5; Virgo et al. 1996; Petri et al. 2004; Udagawa et al. 2015]. However, FISH with probes for IGFBP3 and NF-H mRNAs provided evidence that these mRNAs did not colocalize with the FUSC aggregates [Supplemental Fig. 6a,b, respectively], providing evidence for the specificity of the MECP2 mRNA-FUSC colocalization. Taken together, our results indicate that MECP2 mRNA localizes to insoluble cytoplasmic aggregates together with the FUSC mutant protein and provide a plausible mechanism for the observed enhanced mRNA stability and reduced translational output.

Discussion

ALS is a complex, genetically and clinically diverse neurodegenerative disease characterized by the progressive loss of neuronal populations and, frequently, the appearance of cytoplasmic protein aggregates. It is currently unknown how these ALS-associated aggregates affect cellular function or how they contribute to disease. Our previous studies identifying putative FUS target gene promoters allowed us to analyze how ALS-related FUS mutations, known to result in aggregate formation, might affect gene expression. We report here that cells expressing FUS derivatives with ALS mutations increased MECP2e1 mRNA isoform abundance through altered splicing and enhanced mRNA stability. Further analysis revealed that MeCP2 protein levels were sharply reduced in these cells, correlating with localization of MECP2 mRNA into insoluble FUS-containing cytoplasmic aggregates. Based on these results, we propose that the biochemical changes in the FUS protein that are brought about by mutation alter neuronal gene expression by disrupting mRNA processing, stability, and translatability. Below we discuss possible mechanistic underpinnings for these findings as well as the implications that our results have for ALS and possibly other neuronal diseases.

FUS naturally functions in the nucleus in both transcription and splicing. Thus, reduced levels of nuclear FUS resulting from accumulation of mutant FUS in cytoplasmic aggregates may alter either of these processes. For example, we showed previously that FUS knockdown affected expression levels of target genes, in some cases reducing expression while in other cases increasing it [Tan et al. 2012]. Likewise, global analyses of FUS knockdown cells have revealed changes in alternative RNA processing, consistent with the expected function of FUS in splicing [Nakaya et al. 2013; Orozco and Edbauer 2013; Sun et al. 2015]. These effects could reflect mechanistically changes in the activity of FUS-interacting proteins; e.g., transcription factors TFIID and RNP II, splicing factor SRSF10 [Tan and Manley 2009], and/or decreased occupancy on target RNA/DNA sequences [Ishigaki et al. 2012; Rogelj et al. 2012]. Indeed, changes in phosphorylation of the RNAP II large subunit regulatory domain, the C-terminal domain (CTD), have been reported in both FUS knockdown cells and ALS patient fibroblasts, leading to global changes in gene expression [Schwartz et al. 2012, 2014] and consistent with the known roles of the CTD in transcription and mRNA processing [for review, see Hsin and Manley 2012]. However, changes in gene expression resulting solely from reduced levels of nuclear FUS are insufficient to explain fully changes that occur in the presence of ALS mutant FUS. For example, FUS knockdown in U87 cells had only a modest effect on MECP2 expression, likely reflecting reduced transcription [Tan et al. 2012]. This is in sharp contrast to the changes brought about by mutant FUS described here, which thus must reflect gain of function due to the presence of the mutant protein.

What causes the gene expression changes brought about by accumulation of FUS mutant proteins? We detected changes in MECP2 mRNA splicing, stability, and translation caused specifically by expression of FUS mutant derivatives. We propose that these are all due at least in part to sequestration of FUS in cytoplasmic aggregates. With regard to the change in splicing, as argued above, this cannot be due solely to reduced nuclear FUS concentrations. We thus suggest that it instead reflects sequestration of additional splicing factors in the FUS aggregates. For example,
defects in the splicingosomal snRNP maturation cycle have been observed in the presence of ALS mutant FUS derivatives. This involves interactions with the SMN protein that functions in snRNP assembly and with U1 snRNP itself [Yamazaki et al. 2012; Gerbino et al. 2013]. These interactions are altered by ALS mutations, leading to decreased U1 snRNP assembly and abnormal accumulation of U1 snRNA in the cytoplasm [Sun et al. 2015; Yu et al. 2015]. Our own results also indicate that a fraction of U1 snRNA localizes abnormally in the cytoplasm in the presence of mutant FUS derivatives, accumulating with FUSC in cytoplasmic aggregates [TH Coady and JL Manley, unpubl.]. The resulting changes in nuclear U1 snRNP levels and possibly other splicing factors such as hnRNPA1/2 [Takanashi and Yamaguchi 2014] would likely contribute to altered splicing patterns. Additional properties of mutant FUS may also contribute to deregulated splicing. For example, global analyses indicated that mutant FUS derivatives displayed pronounced reduction in intronic binding and enhanced association with 3′ untranslated regions (UTRs) as compared with wild-type FUS [Hoell et al. 2011]. Coupled with work suggesting that exceptionally long introns may be preferential targets of FUS [Polymenidou et al. 2011; Lagier-Tourenne et al. 2012], these studies together suggest how mutant FUS proteins can both alter splicing of MECP2 mRNA and associate with it in cytoplasmic aggregates.

The above discussion describes mechanisms by which MECP2 mRNA alternative splicing is dysregulated by mutant FUS proteins. However, the effect on splicing that we observed was relatively modest compared with the substantial increase in e1 mRNA stability and decrease in MeCP2 protein levels that we detected. We suggest that both of these effects, like the splicing defect, result from the mutant FUS-containing aggregates but here are due to the physical sequestration of MECP2 mRNA in a manner that blocks both mRNA turnover and translation. It is important to note that cytoplasmic aggregates are a common feature of ALS [Blokhuis et al. 2013; Li et al. 2013], yet it has not been clear what function, if any, these aggregates play in disease pathogenesis. Our results thus demonstrate that such aggregates can indeed play a critical function, which is disrupting expression of specific genes.

How is MECP2 mRNA targeted to the mutant FUS aggregates? Studies on the composition and function of FUS aggregates containing the FUSC mutant found their formation to be dependent on the presence of the FUS RNA-binding subdomain, indicating that association with RNA is critical [Daigle et al. 2013]. Additional insight likely reflects the shift of FUS mutant binding from intronic sequences to sites in 3′ UTRs [Hoell et al. 2011]. The MECP2 3′ UTR is unusual in that it can be exceptionally long [Coy et al. 1999; Balmer et al. 2003] and contains multiple evolutionarily conserved motifs that have been suggested to influence stability and translation efficiency [Newnham et al. 2010; Bagga and D’Antonio 2013]. Notably, the e1 mRNA isoform, which accumulates in the presence of mutant FUS, tends to have the longest 3′ UTR (~10 kb, reflecting alternative polyadenylation) [Samaco et al. 2004] and would thus be most likely to contain sequence motifs that facilitate targeting to the FUS aggregates. Indeed, it is possible that such sequences contribute to determining which mRNAs are sequestered by cytoplasmic aggregates in ALS and perhaps other neurodegenerative disorders.

FUS aggregates are known to contain additional proteins and RNAs. For example, in addition to splicing-related factors, they have been reported to be enriched in cell stress proteins and proteins involved in translation [Dor-mann and Haass 2013; Vanderweyde et al. 2013]. Notably, both wild-type and mutant FUS were found to associate with the tumor suppressor protein adenosomatous polyposis coli (APC), which is known to form cytoplasmic RNA-containing particles [Yasuda et al. 2013]. Surprisingly, translation was detected in APC-RNPs that were associated with not only wild-type but also mutant FUS. This is in contrast to our results indicating that MECP2 mRNA is translationally silent. The basis for the discrepancy is unclear. One explanation is that MECP2 mRNA is indeed translated, but the protein then rapidly degraded. This seems unlikely, as it would require a mechanism to target for degradation MeCP2 protein made in the presence of mutant but not wild-type FUS. Another possibility is that different mRNAs behave differently, e.g., MECP2 mRNA with its exceptionally long 3′ UTR may be more subject to translational silencing. Finally, there may be differences in the APC-RNPs analyzed previously and the aggregates detected in our study. For example, the former were analyzed in mouse NIH3T3 and found to associate with wild-type as well as mutant FUS, while we detected no evidence of aggregates in the presence of wild-type FUS in human U87 cells.

The results presented here establish a new mechanism by which ALS mutant FUS derivatives can impact cellular function and show how the cytoplasmic aggregates characteristic of ALS can indeed exert a toxic gain of function. However, an intriguing question is whether the specific example that we analyzed here—dysregulation of MECP2 expression—is directly relevant to ALS. A clue stems from the realization that glia and astrocytes contribute to the pathology of both ALS and Rett syndrome [Lioy et al. 2011; Phatnani et al. 2013; Yasui et al. 2013]. A common trait shared between ALS and Rett syndrome is a non-cell-autonomous-mediated neuronal death, and glial cells expressing either ALS mutant proteins or reduced levels of MeCP2 secrete a neurotoxin that leads to inhibition of dendritic complexity and neuron death [Ballas et al. 2009]. Additionally, recent studies have shown that dysregulation of MeCP2 protein, similar to FUS, preferentially affects expression of long genes [Sugino et al. 2014; Gabel et al. 2015]. Intriguingly, following expression of a Rett syndrome-associated MeCP2 mutant in a mouse model, the greatest change in gene expression was observed in the Netrin G1 [NTNG1] gene [Gabel et al. 2015], and NTNG1 has also been repeatedly identified as a top candidate for FUS-mediated pathological splicing in ALS [Orozco and Edbauer 2013]. While further work is required, specifically with disease-relevant ALS patient samples, these studies together point to a possible link between MECP2 dysfunction and ALS pathology.
In summary, we showed that several different ALS mutations in FUS all result in profound dysregulation of expression of a FUS target gene, altering mRNA splicing, stability, and translation. While future studies will be required to elucidate details of the underlying mechanisms and whether these defects in expression play a significant role in disease pathology, our results established how the cytoplasmic protein aggregates characteristic of ALS and other neurodegenerative diseases can negatively impact gene expression at multiple levels.

Materials and methods

Plasmids, transfections, and U87 cell harvest

FUS cDNA was cloned into pFlag14 NotI and BamH restriction sites. ALS mutations were incorporated by insertion mutagenesis. Plasmid preparations were purified using Qiagen MidiPrep columns and resuspended in TE (pH 8.0). Transfections were performed using U87 cells plated at a density of 800,000 cells in RPMI (Invitrogen) and 10% FBS in 100-mm dishes (Falcon). Plasmid transfections were accomplished using a ratio of 1 µg of DNA to 2 µL of Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) dilutions into 800-µL total volume of OptiMEM medium (Invitrogen). Transfection mixtures were incubated for 90 sec and then added drop-wise onto cells. The medium was removed 6 h post-transfection, cells were washed with PBS, and the medium was replaced with DMEM (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% FBS. At the indicated times, cells were washed, harvested, and resuspended in PBS.

RNA analysis

Total cell RNA was obtained by TRIzol (Ambion) extraction, and supernatants were treated with DNase I (New England Biolabs) prior to ethanol precipitation. After resuspension in 30 µL of H2O, RNA concentrations were determined using a NanoDrop spectrophotometer. To analyze polyadenylated mRNA, resuspended RNA was hybridized with oligo-dT (Invitrogen). For analysis of transcription via intron probe sets, a random hexamer (Invitrogen) was used as indicated. Prior to reverse transcription, 500 ng of RNA was combined with final concentrations of 1.5 mM dNTPs and 2.5 µM oligo-dT (or 50 µM hexamer) in 15 µL of H2O. Tubes were heated for 5 min to 65°C and then rapidly cooled for 10 min in ice water. Reverse transcription reactions (20-µL total volume) were performed with 4 µL of Maxima buffer, 0.2 µL of RNase inhibitors, and 0.5 µL of Maxima RT enzyme. Reverse transcription was performed in three steps; 10 min at 25°C, 50 min at 42°C, and, finally, 10 min at 72°C. PCR was performed using 3 µL from reverse transcription reactions in a linear amplification (16-cycle) PCR using 0.25 µL of Taq polymerase (Invitrogen) plus 20 µL of dCTP (0.6 µCi; Perkin-Elmer) in a final volume of 25 µL. MECP2 PCR primer sequences for e2 and e1 mRNA isoforms were as described in Kriaucionis and Bird (2004). Products were visualized by electrophoresis in 1× TBE buffer through 6% (w/v) polyacrylamide gels. Dried gels were exposed to Kodak scintillation screens. Images were recorded via GE Typhoon FLA7000 and GE phosphor-capture software. Quantitation was performed using ImageQuant software. RNA isoform bands were selected, total pixel areas were kept constant between lanes, and background subtraction was included before quantitation. Experiments were performed in triplicate, averages were calculated, standard deviations are demarcated as error bars, and significance was determined via Student’s t-test.

mRNA stability

U87 cells were trypsinized, and 800,000 cells were plated into two 100-mm dishes for each transfection. Cells were transfected 12 h later with plasmids as above. The medium was then replaced with either normal medium or medium with 2.5 µg/mL ActD (Sigma). Cells were harvested at the indicated times, and total RNA was purified and analyzed as above. For quantitation, the band intensities of both MECP2 mRNA isoforms at the time of ActD addition were set to 1. Reverse transcription of GAPDH served as a loading control.

Western blots

For Western blots of whole-cell lysates, cell pellets were dissolved directly into SDS loading buffer. For cell fractions, 5× SDS loading buffer was added. In both cases, samples were boiled and resolved by SDS-PAGE. Gels were transferred to 0.45 µM nitrocellulose (Bio-Rad) for 100 min with 400 mA constant. Antibodies were used as follows: Anti-Flag Ms [1:2000, Sigma], anti-FUS H6 Ms [1:1000, Santa Cruz Biotechnology], anti-MeCP2 D4F3 [1:2000, Cell Signaling], and anti-Actin Rb (1:2000, Sigma) were all diluted into 4% nonfat milk (Lab Scientific) and Tris-buffered saline supplemented with 0.5% Tween (TBST). Protein bands were visualized using Millipore ECL kit and CL-X Posure X-ray film (Thermo-Scientific).

Cellular biochemical fractionation and immunoprecipitation

For recombinant Flag immunoprecipitations, 800,000 U87 cells were plated onto four 100-mm dishes, transfected, and harvested as described above. Cell numbers were normalized between experiments. All RNA buffers were treated with DEPC [1:1000, Sigma]. Cell pellets were dissolved in “CE” buffer [10 mM HEPES at pH 7.6, 60 mM KCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM PMSF, 1 mM DTT, 0.75% NP-40, 1× protease inhibitor cocktail [Roche]] and sonicated. Cell extracts were centrifuged in an Eppendorf model 5424 at 10,000 rpm for 20 min at 4°C, the supernatant was designated the “soluble” fraction, and the pellet was designated the “insoluble” fraction. Insoluble buffer [5× (w/v)] (50 mM Tris at pH 8.5, 200 mM NaCl, 2 mM KCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5% glycerol, 1 mM PMSF, 100 mM urea] was added to pellets, which were dissolved at 37°C inside an Autoblot rotator incubator (Thomas Scientific) for 1 h. Immunoprecipitations were initiated using 0.5 µL of Flag Ms (Sigma) antibody incubated with soluble or insoluble-solubilized extracts for 1 h prior to adding 20 µL (bed volume) of blocked beads. Protein A Sepharose Fast Flow beads (GE Healthcare Life Sciences) were preblocked for 1 h using 2 µg of ssDNA (Sigma) in TE (pH 7.5) and 0.05% (w/v) BSA and then washed three times with CE’ prior to addition to immunoprecipitation reactions. Soluble and insoluble immunoprecipitations used an orbital motion rocker (Boekel Scientific) and sample agitation conducted at 25°C. After immunoprecipitation, beads were washed four times with CE’ supplemented with 250 mM NaCl. FUS-bound RNAs were extracted by directly adding 800 µL of Trizol to the post-immunoprecipitation washed beads. Following centrifugation, the supernatant was treated with DEPCase, RNA-precipitated, and analyzed by RT–PCR as described above.

Immunofluorescence/FISH

U87 cells (100,000 cells per milliliter) were plated in 650 µL of RPMI onto a sterile 22 × 22-mm coverslip (Fisher-Scientific) positioned in the bottom of a well in a six-well dish (Falcon). Adherent cells were washed and incubated in 1 mL of RPMI–FBS.
medium for 12 h after initial plating. Transfection ratios were the same as above, but each well contained 800 μL of DNA + Lipofectamine + RPMI transfection medium. After 36 h, transfected cells were fixed in 1.5% formaldehyde for 10 min at 25°C, washed with PBS, and then incubated with 130 mM glycine for 5 min at 25°C. Samples were blocked in 1% milk/TBST and then washed with 2× PBS. FUS protein was visualized with Flag antibody diluted 1:800 in 1× PBS and 0.05% [w/v] glycerol at 4°C. MECP2 and IGFBP3 N-F-H biotinylated FISH probes [Invitrogen] were diluted to 0.5 μM in a buffer of 2× SSC, 30% formamide, and 5% dextran sulfate in PBS and hybridized overnight at 4°C in a humidity chamber. FUS-Flag protein visualization was performed by secondary anti-mouse Alexa 488 [Invitrogen]. Biotinylated FISH probe and RNA complexes were detected by high-affinity anti-biotin streptavidin-conjugated Oregon green 514 [Invitrogen]. Secondary antibodies were diluted 1:800 (TBST, 0.5% [w/v] milk), vortexed in blocking buffer, and centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 2 min. Nuclear stains used DAPI (Sigma) diluted into Mcllvaine’s buffer (20 mM citric acid, 160 mM Na₂PO₄ at pH 7.4) and exposed to samples for 10 min. Removal of DAPI and cellular debris was accomplished via PBS wash and then a rapid wash of 2 mL of TBST with a final wash of 2× PBS. Coverslips were then mounted onto frosted 22 × 50-mm microscope slides with 9 μL of ProLong Gold anti-fade solution (Life Technologies). Where indicated, DNease I and RNase A were diluted to 1 U and 20 μg per 500 μL in enzyme-specific buffers, respectively, and added to cells for 10 min at 25°C. Reactions were quenched, and sample preparation was as described above. Microscopy was performed using a Zeiss LSM 710 confocal microscope with Zeiss-Zen software and ImageJ image analysis. Aggregates were analyzed by determining, within the captured cell image, a minimal-maximal level for the intensity of subcytoplasmic Flag/FISH probe staining as a function of pixel height in that particular channel of laser excitation. After establishing the window of threshold staining, the same observational limits were also applied uniformly within experimental replicates. Post-threshold images were analyzed for pixel density via “inclusive” morphometry analysis for resolving aggregate volume.
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