Limit distributions for asymptotically linear statistics with spherical error
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Abstract  The aim of this work is to obtain general results for the limit distributions of asymptotically linear statistics when the error is spherical, increasing non-centrality. These results apply directly to homoscedastic normal error thus to high precision measurements. We present a numerical example on cylinder volume to illustrate the usefulness of our approach.
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1. Introduction

Let \( r(u) \) be the spectral radius of the hessian matrix \( g(u) \) of \( g(u) \), then we take

\[
\rho_d(u) = \sup\{ r(u) : \|v - u\| \leq d \}. \tag{1}
\]

If, whatever \( d > 0 \),

\[
\sup \left\{ \frac{\rho_d(u)}{\|g(u)\|} : \|u\| \geq \ell \right\} \to 0, \tag{2}
\]

with \( g(\cdot) \) the gradient of \( g(\cdot) \), the function \( g(\cdot) \) will be asymptotically linear, see [6], [7] and [8].

In this paper we intend to obtain limit distributions for statistics

\[
Y = \frac{g(a + e) - g(a)}{\|g(a)\|}, \tag{3}
\]

where \( g(\cdot) \) is asymptotically linear and the error \( e \) has spherical density, when \( \|a\| \to \infty \).

Numerical methods may be used to obtain a lower bound for \( \|a\| \) such that the distribution of \( Y \) is sufficiently near to the limit distribution for this to be used. Namely, this approach was applied in [6] and [8] leading to the establishing of applications domains for the limit distributions. We point out that those domains are defined from lower bounds for \( \|a\| \) and not from minimums sample sizes. Besides this, considering an observation \( X = \mu + e \) with mean value \( \mu \) and variance \( \sigma^2 \) will have non-centrality \( \frac{\mu^2}{\sigma^2} \) which decreases with \( \sigma^2 \). In this way high non-centrality will be associated to great precision. We thus may associate the application of these limit distributions to high precision observations.
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In the next section we will present the required results on spherical densities. This will be followed by the presentation of the key result that the limit density will be the marginal density of $e$ whose components have identical densities. The case in which $e$ is normal is singled out in Section 4. Namely, we will show how to use additional information to overcome $e$ which will have variance-covariance matrix $\sigma^2 I_k$ with unknown $\sigma^2$. In Section 5 we apply our results to a numerical study considering the cylinder volume. Finally we present some concluding remarks.

2. Spherical densities

Spherical densities, $f(\cdot)$, are such that $f(x) = h(\|x\|)$, for any nonnegative function $h(\cdot)$, see e.g. [1], [3] and [9]. So, we can establish the following proposition.

**Proposition 1**

If $f(x)$ is spherical

1. is invariant for orthogonal transformations;
2. its marginal densities, $f(\cdot)$, are identical;
3. if it has a dispersion parameter $\gamma$ so that $f(x|\gamma) = \frac{1}{\gamma^{k/2}} f(x)$,

where $f(x) = f(x|1)$, and $a'X$ will have density $\tilde{f}(\cdot|\|a\|\gamma)$, whenever $X$ has density $f(\cdot|\gamma)$;
4. the marginal densities and $f(\cdot|\gamma)$ are symmetrical.

**Proof**

Let $X$ have spherical density $f(\cdot)$. Then, with $P$ orthogonal,

$$X^* = PX$$

will have density

$$f(x^*) = h(\|x^*\|),$$

since the jacobian of this transformation is equal to one, so 1. is established.

Let $P_i$ be the orthogonal matrix whose first row has all null elements, except the $i$-th which is equal to $1$, $i = 1, \ldots, k$. Then $X^*_i = P_i X$ will have the same density than $X$ and its first marginal density will be the $i$-th marginal of $f(\cdot)$, $i = 1, \ldots, k$. Thus all marginal of $f(\cdot)$ will be identical and 2. is established.

Next, let $P(a)$ be the orthogonal matrix whose first row vector is $\frac{1}{\|a\|} a$. Thus $a'X$ will be the product by $\|a\|$ of the first component of $P(a)e$. This first component has density $\tilde{f}(\cdot|\|a\|\gamma)$, the marginal density of $f(\cdot|\gamma)$. Since $\gamma$ is a dispersion parameter, the density of $a'X$ will be $\tilde{f}(\cdot|\|a\|\gamma)$.

The last part of the thesis follows from $-I_k$ being an orthogonal matrix.

3. Limit distributions

We will take the statistics

$$Y = \frac{g(a + e) - g(a)}{\|g(a)\|},$$

and

$$Z = \frac{(g(a))'e}{\|g(a)\|}.$$
whatever the random vector \( e \). With \( F_L \) the distribution of \( L \), we have

\[
F_Y \xrightarrow{u} F_Z, \quad \text{where} \quad F_L \xrightarrow{u} F_Z \quad \text{does not depend on} \quad b = \frac{1}{\|g(a)\|}g(a)
\]

(as long as it has norm 1), see [6].

As we saw in the previous section, if \( e \) has spherical density, the density \( f_Z \) of \( Z \) will be \( f_x \), which corresponds to the marginal density of \( f \). If there is a dispersion parameter the density will be \( f(\cdot|\gamma) \).

We thus establish the following theorem.

**Theorem 1**

If \( g(.) \) is asymptotically linear and \( e \) has spherical density the limit density of \( Y \), when \( \|a\| \to \infty \), will be the density of the components of \( e \).

### 4. Normal case

Let us put \( K \sim N(\eta, \sigma^2V) \) to indicate that \( K \) is normal with mean vector \( \eta \) and variance-covariance matrix \( \sigma^2V \). If \( e \sim N(0, \sigma^2I_k) \), its components will have distribution \( N(0, \sigma^2) \) so, from Theorem 1, we can conclude that, \( N(0, \sigma^2) \) will also be the limit distribution of \( Y \), whatever the asymptotically linear function \( g(.) \).

Let us consider an example. We will take \( a = \mu \), assuming that \( X = \mu + e \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2I_k) \) and the asymptotically linear function

\[
g(u) = \|u\|^2.
\]

We obtain

\[
\begin{cases}
g(u) = 2u \\
g(u) = 2I_k
\end{cases}
\]

and, according to the Theorem 1, the limit density of

\[
Y = \frac{\|\mu + e\|^2 - \|\mu\|^2}{2\|\mu\|},
\]

when \( \|\mu\| \to \infty \), will be the density of the components of \( e \). So, for large values of \( \|\mu\| \),

\[
Y = \frac{\|X\|^2 - \|\mu\|^2}{2\|\mu\|} \approx N(0, \sigma^2),
\]

where \( \approx \) indicates ”approximately distributed”.

With \( y \) a value taken by \( Y \) and \( \|x\|^2 \) the value taken by \( \|X\|^2 \) we have an equation on \( \|\mu\| \) where the solution is

\[
\|\tilde{\mu}\| = -y + \sqrt{y^2 + \|x\|^2}.
\]

If we have additional information, for instance that \( \sigma^2 = \hat{\sigma}^2 \), we can generate samples

\[
\tilde{Y}_1, \ldots, \tilde{Y}_n \text{ iid } \sim N(0, \hat{\sigma}^2),
\]

where iid indicates independent and identically distributed, and from these obtain the samples

\[
\|\tilde{\mu}\|_1, \ldots, \|\tilde{\mu}\|_n.
\]
According to the reverse Glivenko-Cantelli theorem, in whatever interval \([q, 1 - q]\), with \(q \leq p \leq 1 - p\),
\[
\text{Sup} \{ |u_{n,p} - u_{p}| \} \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} 0,
\]
where \(u_{n,p}, u_{p}\) is the \(p\)-th empirical [exact] quantile for \(\|\mu\|\), see [4] and [5].

Another interesting situation is when, instead of additional information, we have \(S\) independent of \(S\), where \(S\) is the product by \(\sigma^2\) of a central chi-square with \(r\) degrees of freedom, \(S \sim \sigma^2 \chi^2_r\). Then, see [4], with \(s\) the value taken by \(S\), the \(q\)-th quantile for the distribution induced by \(s/\chi^2_r\) for \(\sigma^2\) is
\[
\sigma^2_q = \frac{s}{\chi_{r,1-q}},
\]
where \(\chi_{r,1-q}\) denote the \((1 - q)\)-th quantile for the distribution of \(\chi^2_r\).

Moreover, we can replace the expression for \(\|\hat{\mu}\|\) by
\[
\tilde{\delta}^{1/2} = -y\sqrt{\frac{w}{s}} + \sqrt{y^2 \frac{w}{s} + \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 \frac{w}{s}}
\]
\[
= \sqrt{\frac{w}{s}} \left( -y + \sqrt{y^2 + \|\mathbf{x}\|^2} \right),
\]
where \(w\) is a value taken by a \(\chi^2_r\) and \(\tilde{\delta}\) will be a simulated value for the non-centrality parameter
\[
\delta = \frac{\|\mu\|^2}{\sigma^2}.
\]

The \(q\)-th quantile of \(\tilde{\delta}^{1/2}\) will be given by
\[
\tilde{\delta}^{1/2}_q = \sqrt{\left( \frac{w}{s} \right)_q} \left( -y + \sqrt{y^2 + \|\mathbf{x}\|^2} \right),
\]
where \(\left( \frac{w}{s} \right)_q\) denotes the \(q\)-th quantile for \(\sigma^2\). So we can conclude that \(\tilde{\delta}^{1/2}_q\) decreases with \(\frac{w}{s}\).

We can also use the reverse Glivenko-Cantelli theorem to obtain confidence intervals for \(\delta^{1/2}\) and \(\delta\). These intervals can be used to test, through duality, the hypothesis
\[
H_0 : \delta = \delta_0.
\]
Namely we may be interested in certain applications for STATIS methodology, see e.g. [10], on testing \(H_0\) against
\[
H_1 : \delta > \delta_0
\]
since only when \(H_0\) is rejected we can be confident in certain model formulation applying.

5. Numerical example: Cylinder volume

In this section we will apply the proposed methodology to the cylinder volume, see [2] and [8]. Now, the asymptotically linear function involved is
\[
g(u) = \frac{\pi}{4} u_1^2 u_2,
\]
that corresponds to the volume of a cylinder with diameter \(u_1\) and height \(u_2\). So we have
\[
g(u) = \frac{\pi}{4} \left[ \begin{array}{c} 2u_1 u_2 \\ u_1^2 \end{array} \right]
\]
and

\[ g(u) = \frac{\pi}{2} \begin{bmatrix} u_2 & u_1 \\ u_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \]

Considering \( e \sim N(0, \sigma^2 I_2) \) we obtain

\[ X = \mu + e \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2 I_2) \]

and, for large values of \( \|\mu\| \),

\[ Y = \frac{\pi}{4} X_1^2 X_2 - \frac{\pi}{4} \mu_1^2 \mu_2 = \frac{X_1^2 X_2 - \mu_1^2 \mu_2}{\sqrt{4 \mu_1^2 \mu_2^2 + \mu_1^4}} \sim N(0, \sigma^2), \]

where \( X_1, X_2 \) are the components of \( X \) and \( \mu_1, \mu_2 \) the components of \( \mu \).

We will consider the data used in Nunes et al. [8]. In this research the authors generated samples with size 30 using R software, assuming the diameters and heights to be normal distributed with mean values 2 and 4, respectively, and standard deviation 0.01. The results are presented in Tables 1 and 2. The corresponding volumes are presented in Table 3 and the values of \( Y \) in Table 4.

| Table 1. Values of diameters |
|------------------------------|
| 1.998453 | 1.988018 | 2.009190 | 2.011452 | 2.007588 | 2.015884 |
| 2.000025 | 1.989596 | 2.007079 | 2.001321 | 2.003486 | 1.989625 |
| 1.996678 | 2.008400 | 1.990175 | 1.994048 | 2.008427 | 1.999402 |
| 1.997339 | 1.995141 | 1.990827 | 1.997725 | 1.996254 | 2.001284 |
| 2.016228 | 2.008911 | 2.009408 | 1.996999 | 2.015621 | 2.022904 |

| Table 2. Values of heights |
|----------------------------|
| 3.993445 | 4.002777 | 4.007956 | 3.992823 | 3.990726 | 3.998639 |
| 3.985255 | 3.997894 | 4.002110 | 4.009253 | 3.987402 | 3.989987 |
| 3.979206 | 4.015282 | 3.988615 | 4.010963 | 3.997377 | 3.994258 |
| 3.991256 | 3.993969 | 3.996335 | 3.996736 | 4.018685 | 3.996539 |
| 3.999213 | 3.987138 | 3.982822 | 3.982265 | 3.996033 | 3.998660 |

| Table 3. Cylinders volumes |
|-----------------------------|
| 12.52638 | 12.42487 | 12.70734 | 12.68789 | 12.63255 | 12.76243 |
| 12.5036 | 12.38398 | 12.66216 | 12.61209 | 12.57050 | 12.40520 |
| 12.45956 | 12.72057 | 12.40780 | 12.52592 | 12.66469 | 12.54082 |
| 12.5055 | 12.48653 | 12.43995 | 12.52757 | 12.57782 | 12.57162 |
| 12.76861 | 12.63783 | 12.63040 | 12.47314 | 12.75078 | 12.85154 |

| Table 4. Values of \( Y \) |
|-----------------------------|
| -0.003088 | -0.009381 | 0.005109 | 0.015136 |
| -0.003552 | -0.010924 | 0.010883 | 0.005109 | 0.015136 |
| -0.008247 | -0.010924 | 0.003529 | 0.00319 | -0.012443 |
| -0.004695 | -0.009381 | -0.012242 | -0.003123 | 0.007590 | -0.001972 |
| 0.015613 | 0.005109 | -0.004943 | -0.007198 | 0.014237 | 0.022015 |
The p-value of the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test for normality, considering null mean value and variance $\sigma^2 = 0.01^2$, was 0.9052. So we don’t reject the hypothesis of normality of $Y$ for the usual levels of significance.

Taking the value $y = 0.022015$ of $Y$ (randomly selected) we obtained

$$\|\hat{\mu}\| = 4.925884.$$ 

In this case, $S \sim \chi^2_{58}$ and $s = 0.005$.

The quantiles of $\delta^{1/2}$, $\delta_q^{1/2}$, are presented in Table 5.

| Values of $q$ | 0.9       | 0.95      | 0.99      |
|---------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| $\delta_q^{1/2}$ | 645.8365  | 610.4035  | 591.7619  |

The high values obtained for these quantiles are due to the fact that we worked with small variance. So we can conclude that we are in a non-central situation in which the limit distributions, obtained through the asymptotic linearity, apply.

### 6. Final Remarks

With this research it was shown that the general results of the limit distributions apply when the error has spherical density, namely if it is normal. The numerical application on cylinder volume illustrates the usefulness of our approach. Moreover the approach presented for the normal case can be applied to Wishart matrices. Namely, we intend to publish results on limit distributions for these matrices, their trace and determinant. Others applications may be found in [2], [6] and [8].
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