The Hot QTL Locations for Potassium, Calcium, and Magnesium Nutrition and Agronomic Traits at Seedling and Maturity Stages of Wheat under Different Potassium Treatments
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Abstract: Potassium (K) is one of the most important mineral nutrients for wheat. In this study, the effects of low K (LK) treatments and the quantitative trait loci (QTLs) for K, calcium (Ca), and magnesium (Mg) use efficiency traits, both at the seedling and maturity stages of wheat, were investigated. The set of “Tainong 18 × Linmai 6” recombinant inbred lines (RILs) were used to identify the QTLs under different K treatments using hydroponic culture and field trials. The majority of K concentrations and content-related traits at seedling and maturity stages decreased with reduced K supply, but the K use efficiency-related traits increased. In contrast, with reduced K supply, the contents of Ca and Mg increased, while the Ca and Mg use efficiency decreased. A total of 217 QTLs for seedling traits and 89 QTLs for adult traits were detected. Four relatively high-frequency QTLs (RHF-QTLs) and 18 QTL clusters (colocation of QTLs for more than two traits) were detected. Eight clusters were detected for K-, Ca-, and Mg-related traits simultaneously. This means that these traits might be controlled by the same QTL. In addition, we highlight that 4B might be an important chromosome regulating the nutrition of K, Ca, and Mg in wheat. The 4B chromosome and four hot QTL clusters, which located 45 QTLs, might be important potential targets for further investigation.
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1. Introduction

Wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) contributes one-third of the world’s edible dry matter. It is one of the most important grain crops in the world. Potassium (K) is one of the essential macronutrients for crops, which is not only important for crop growth, development, and fecundity, but also significant for crop yield and quality [1]. It can increase the salt, drought, and disease tolerance of plants [2–8]. The average reserves of K in soil are usually large. However, most of the K in soil is not plant-available, and K deficiency is one of the most common limiting factors for crop production [9].

Potassium deficiency can significantly affect the use of K or other elements and ultimately affect crop yield [3,10]. There are complex interactions among K, calcium (Ca), and magnesium (Mg). Potassium can reduce the uptake of Mg in numerous plant species, such as soybeans, wheat, and rice [11–13]. However, the mechanisms for this K-inhibited Mg uptake have not been researched clearly. One possible explanation is the competition for apoplast binding between K⁺ and Mg²⁺ [14], while another possible explanation is the competition for the unidentified transporters between them. Tomoaki et al. [15] suggested that OsHKT2;4 (a K⁺-permeable transporter/channel)-mediated currents could also exhibit permeability to both Mg²⁺ and Ca²⁺, which would be smaller with the competitive...
inhibition of K⁺. Some genes or transporters/channels also showed sensitivity to Mg²⁺ and Ca²⁺ simultaneously [16], such as the PaAlr1 gene in ascospores [17] and OsHKT2;4 in rice [15]. Ca can usually promote the uptake of K by plants but competition in absorption at the plasma membrane has also been observed [18]. In higher plants, some members of the CBL, CaM, and CML genes family of Ca²⁺ sensors have been reported to function in plant responses to K⁺ deficiency [19–21]. Xu et al. [21] showed that the CBL-CIPK (CBL-CIPK: CBL-interacting protein kinase) complex participates in the regulation of K⁺ uptake under K⁺ deficiency stress for plants. Obviously, a complex relationship in absorption or transport among K, Ca, and Mg exists widely, and these reports have provided us with some interpretation of it. However, to our knowledge, there have been few similar reports in wheat. Further genetic investigations should be carried out for K, Ca, and Mg nutrition in wheat.

The nutrient-related traits for K, Ca, and Mg are very complicated quantitative traits. Wheat is a very important crop that has a large genome. Until now, few genes related to plant nutrition were cloned in wheat, although the first high-affinity potassium uptake transporter, HKT1 in higher plants, was cloned in wheat [22]. Quantitative trait loci (QTL) analysis is still an effective way to identify the location of new genes, which dissects complicated traits into component loci and study their relative effects on a specific trait [23–25]. In wheat, QTL analysis has been used to study the effects of different nutrient environments [26–29], which enables us to understand nutrient use efficiency at the QTL level. However, to this day, few studies have been reported on verified QTLs for the efficient use of K, Ca, and Mg simultaneously in uniform environments.

The main objective of our study was to identify the QTLs related to the absorption and utilization of K, Ca, and Mg at the seedling stage in a hydroponic culture trial and the mature stage in a field trial under different K treatments (LK and CK) using a set of RILs (recombinant inbred lines) derived from two winter wheat varieties of China. The results may help us further understand the effects of K deficiency on K, Ca, and Mg nutrition at the phenotypic and QTL level. They may also provide valuable QTLs for K, Ca, and Mg nutrition in wheat, which deserves further investigation.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Plant Materials

The RIL population (F9) used in this investigation was derived from a cross of “Tainong 18 × Linmai 6” using single-seed descent (SSD). A total of 184 lines were randomly selected from the original 305 lines of this population to construct the genetic map and QTL analysis [30]. The outstanding characteristics of Tainong 18 are high-yield, high quality, and resistance to lodging. It was planted in approximately 300 thousand hectares per year in the Huang-huai Winter Wheat Region of China. Linmai 6 is a high yield wheat variety belonging to the medium to large spike type, and its female parent is a sister line of the famous cultivars “Jimai 22”.

2.2. Experimental Design

2.2.1. Hydroponic Culture Trial

The 184 RILs and their parents were grown in hydroponic culture in the greenhouse at Shandong Agricultural University in February 2013 and March 2013. Optimized Hoagland’s nutrient solution [31] (Table S1) was used for the well-balanced growth of wheat seedling. Two treatments with moderate K (CK, 66.47 mg/L) and low K (LK, 6.65 mg/L) concentrations were designed with the consistent concentrations of other elements. A random complete block design was used in our experiments, with three replicates for each treatment.

A total of 50 seeds of each line and their parents were sterilized for five minutes in 10% H₂O₂. Then, they were germinated at 25 °C for seven days. Eighteen uniform seedlings (3 plants × 2 treatments × 3 replicates) with both the embryogenic primary root and coleoptile were selected and transferred to nutrient solution (20 L with one replication in lightproof container). The distances between various
lines were 3 cm × 3 cm. The nutrient solutions were continuously aerated and renewed every 4 days. The 0.1 mmol·L⁻¹ HCl or NaOH solution was used to regulate the pH of nutrient solution between 6.0 and 6.2 every day. The plants grew for 28 days in nutrient solution and were harvested. The details referenced the method of Guo et al. [32].

2.2.2. Field Trial

The field trials were carried out at the agronomy experimental station of Shandong Agricultural University from 2012–2013. The soil type was loamy cinnamon soil (pH 7.8). The average contents of N (available N), P (Olsen P), and K (available K) in the 0 to 20 cm soil profile sampled were 58.2, 21.3, and 86.4 mg·kg⁻¹ without fertilizing. Two K concentration treatments, moderate K (114 kg/hm²) and low K (0 kg/hm²), were designed. In addition, 50% of the total N (97.5 kg/hm²), all the P₂O₅ (102 kg/hm²), and the corresponding K₂O in two treatments were applied as base fertilizer before sowing, and the other 50% of N (97.5 kg/hm²) was applied at the stem elongation stage. Each treatment was replicated twice. Twenty seeds of each line were sown on October 10, and ten seedlings were retained after germination, with a 10 cm spacing between plants and 25 cm between rows. All of the materials were harvested on June 10. Twenty plants of each line in the same K treatment were put together as one sampling during harvest and then threshing for further testing.

2.3. Trait Measurements

All of the investigated traits and their abbreviations are listed in Table 1. For hydroponic trials, the three replicates for each line (nine plants) of each treatment were pooled together as one mixed sample and separated into roots and shoots. After being dried at 105 °C for two hours and dried at 60 °C for 72 h in an oven, the dry weight and the concentrations of K, Ca, and Mg in roots and shoots were measured. The concentrations of K, Ca, and Mg in roots and shoots were determined using atomic absorption spectroscopy (AA7000) after microwave digestion using HNO₃. K-use efficiency (RKUE) was the ratio between dry weight and the concentration of K in the corresponding part of plant. For example, root K-use efficiency (RKUE) was the ratio between root dry weight per plant and the concentration of K in root (RDW/RKCE). The measurement methods of other nutrient use efficiencies were similar to the root K-use efficiency.

For field trials, the plant height (PH), spike number per plant (SN), and grain number per spike (GN) were determined from five random plants for each replicate of each line. All of the plants for each line of one K treatment were pooled together and then measured for dry weight and K, Ca, and Mg concentrations of the straw and grain, separately. The concentrations of K, Ca, and Mg in grains and straws were determined using atomic absorption spectroscopy (AA7000) after microwave digestion using HNO₃. The calculation methods of nutrient use efficiencies were similar to the root K-use efficiency in the hydroponic culture trial. The K harvest index (KHI) is the ratio between grain K content per square meter and aboveground K content per square meter (GKC/AKC). The measurement methods of Ca and Mg harvest indexes were similar to the KHI.
Table 1. Summary of investigated traits and their abbreviations.

| Abbr.  | Meaning                        | Units               | Abbr.  | Meaning                        | Units               |
|--------|--------------------------------|---------------------|--------|--------------------------------|---------------------|
| RDW    | Root dry weight per plant      | mg/plant            | PH     | Plant height                   | cm                  |
| SDW    | Shoot dry weight per plant     | mg/plant            | SN     | Spike number                   | No.                 |
| RSDW   | Ratio of root and shoot dry weight | mg/plant            | GN     | Grain number per spike         | g                   |
| TDW    | Total dry weight per plant     | mg/plant            | TGW    | Thousand grains weight         | g/plant             |
| RKC    | Root K content per plant       | mg/plant            | GWP    | Grain weight per plant         | g/plant             |
| SKC    | Shoot K content per plant      | mg/plant            | StWP   | Straw weight per plant         | g/plant             |
| TKC    | Total K content per plant      | mg/plant            | AWP    | Total aboveground weight per plant | g/plant             |
| RCaC   | Root Ca content per plant      | mg/plant            | HI     | Harvest index                  |                     |
| SCaC   | Shoot Ca content per plant     | mg/plant            | GKC    | Grain K content per plant      | mg/plant            |
| TCaC   | Total Ca content per plant     | mg/plant            | SkKC   | Straw K content per plant      | mg/plant            |
| RMgC   | Root Mg content per plant      | mg/plant            | AkKC   | Aboveground K content per plant | mg/plant            |
| SMgC   | Shoot Mg content per plant     | mg/plant            | GCaC   | Grain Ca content per plant     | mg/plant            |
| TMgC   | Total Mg content per plant     | mg/plant            | StCaC  | Straw Ca content per plant     | mg/plant            |
| RKCE   | Concentration of root K        | g/kg                | ACaC   | Aboveground Ca content per plant | mg/plant            |
| SKCE   | Concentration of shoot K       | g/kg                | GmKC   | Grain Mg content per plant     | mg/plant            |
| TKCE   | Concentration of total K       | g/kg                | SSMgC  | Straw Mg content per plant     | mg/plant            |
| RCaCE  | Concentration of root Ca       | g/kg                | AMgC   | Aboveground Mg content per plant | mg/plant            |
| SCaCE  | Concentration of shoot Ca      | g/kg                | GkCE   | Concentration of grain K       | g/kg                |
| TCaCE  | Concentration of total Ca      | g/kg                | SkKE   | Concentration of straw K       | g/kg                |
| RMgCE  | Concentration of root Mg       | g/kg                | SAKC   | Concentration of aboveground K | g/kg                |
| SMgCE  | Concentration of shoot Mg      | g/kg                | GCaCE  | Concentration of grain Ca      | g/kg                |
| TMgCE  | Concentration of total Mg      | g/kg                | SStCaC | Concentration of straw Ca      | g/kg                |
| RKUE   | Root K-use efficiency          | mg/(ug·mg⁻¹)        | ACaUE  | Aboveground Ca-use efficiency  | g/(mg·g⁻¹)          |
| SKUE   | Shoot K-use efficiency         | mg/(ug·mg⁻¹)        | GmCE   | Concentration of grain Mg      | g/kg                |
| TKUE   | Total K-use efficiency         | mg/(ug·mg⁻¹)        | SSMgCE | Concentration of straw Mg      | g/kg                |
| RCaUE  | Root Ca-use efficiency         | mg/(ug·mg⁻¹)        | AmgCE  | Concentration of aboveground Mg| g/kg                |
| SCaUE  | Shoot Ca-use efficiency        | mg/(ug·mg⁻¹)        | GkUE   | Grain K-use efficiency         | g/(mg·g⁻¹)          |
| TCaUE  | Total Ca-use efficiency        | mg/(ug·mg⁻¹)        | SStkUE | Straw K-use efficiency         | g/(mg·g⁻¹)          |
| RMgUE  | Root Mg-use efficiency         | mg/(ug·mg⁻¹)        | GCAUE  | Grain Ca-use efficiency        | g/(mg·g⁻¹)          |
| SMgUE  | Shoot Mg-use efficiency        | mg/(ug·mg⁻¹)        | SStCaE | Straw Ca-use efficiency        | g/(mg·g⁻¹)          |
| TMgUE  | Total Mg-use efficiency        | mg/(ug·mg⁻¹)        | ACAUE  | Aboveground Ca-use efficiency  | g/(mg·g⁻¹)          |
| KHI    | K harvest index                |                     | CaHI   | Ca harvest index               |                     |
| MgHI   | Mg harvest index               |                     |                    |                                 |                     |

2.4. Data Analysis

The SPSS 18.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was employed to conduct the analyses of variance (ANOVA), the least significant difference (LSD) test, and Spearman’s correlation coefficients (r) between different traits. In a no-repeat trial design, using a two-factor model was adequate for ANOVA. All factors including RILs (n − 1) degrees of freedom, treatments (t − 1), and random error ((n − 1)(t − 1)) were considered sources of random effects. Multiple comparison tests for the traits between “treatments” were calculated by taking all of the RILs as replicates and using the mean value of the same K condition for each trait. The variance of K conditions was excluded when the broad-sense heritability (h²) was estimated according to the formula: h² = s²g/(s²g + s²e), where s²g was the genotypic variance and s²e was the total error variance.

The high-density genetic map for 184 RILs of “TN18 × LM6” [28] was employed in the QTL analysis. The map comprised of 10739 loci (5399 unique loci) assigned to 21 chromosomes, with a total map length of 3394.47 CM and a density of 0.63 CM/marker. The Windows QTL Cartographer 2.5 software ([http://statgen.ncsu.edu/qtlcart/wqtlcart.htm](http://statgen.ncsu.edu/qtlcart/wqtlcart.htm)) [33] was used to perform the QTL mapping in this study. The presence of the significant QTL was declared via the threshold that was defined by 1000 permutations at p ≤ 0.05 [34]. The identification of QTL cluster and its confidence interval referenced the results of the meta-analysis (Biomercator 2.0 software, AIC = 4 (model 4) in the step Meta-analysis 2/2 ([http://www.genoplante.com](http://www.genoplante.com)) [29].
2.5. Naming Method of QTLs

QTLs were named according to the method of “Q + trait name + chromosome name + experimental treatment.” Among them, traits are represented by their English abbreviation, and “−” was added between traits and chromosomes. QTLs for the same trait on the same chromosome were distinguished using an Arabic numeral (1, 2, 3, ...). In addition, E1 and E2 stand for the hydroponic trial of February 2013 and March 2013, respectively. T1 and T2 stand for CK and LK treatments, respectively.

3. Results

3.1. Phenotypic Variation and Correlations Between Traits

The significant differences among most of the investigated traits of the RIL population were found in both the hydroponic and field trials (Tables 2 and 3, Tables S2 and S3). The coefficients of variation (CVs; $CV = \frac{SD}{Average} \times 100\%$) exhibited wide ranges among the 184 RILs. They ranged from 9.51% to 50.43% in the hydroponic culture trial and from 6.63% to 51.27% in the field trials. The CVs for 69.50% of all the traits were greater than 20% in the two trials (Table S2). The 31 and 38 investigated traits under hydroponic culture and field trials in each treatment (respectively) showed a continuous distribution. The $h_b^2$ for all investigated traits ranged from 50.16% (TKUE—total K-use efficiency) to 80.00% (RMgCE—concentration of root Mg) in the hydroponic trial and from 42.41% (StKCE—concentration of straw K) to 89.67% (TGW—thousand grains weight) in the field trials (Table S2). The ANOVA results showed that the variance for either genotype or treatment effects on most investigated traits were significant at a $p \leq 0.05$, excluding genotypic effects on SKCE (concentration of shoot K), TKCE (concentration of total K), SKUE (shoot K-use efficiency), and TKUE, as well as the treatment effects on RMgCE (concentration of root Mg) in the hydroponic culture trial. In the field trial, the genotypic effects on HI (harvest index), StKCE, AKCE (concentration of aboveground K), StMgCE (concentration of straw Mg), CaHI (Ca harvest index), MgHI (Mg harvest index), GKUE (grain K-use efficiency), GCaUE (grain Ca-use efficiency), and ACaUE (aboveground Ca-use efficiency), as well as the treatment effects on HI, GCaCE (concentration of grain Ca), StCaC (straw Ca content per plant), ACaC (aboveground Ca content per plant), MgHI, and GCaUE, were not significant at $p \leq 0.05$ (Table S3).

The LSD (least significant difference) test of the RIL population showed that the average values of the investigated traits were significantly different among the treatments of the hydroponic trial and the field trial in most cases (Table S2). These results indicated that the treatments and genetic background assisted in explaining the overall phenotypic variation. Most correlation coefficients ($r$) among traits were significant at the $p \leq 0.01$ level (Table S4) in the hydroponic culture trial, except for the $r$ between RCaC (root Ca content per plant) and RKC (root K content per plant), SKC (shoot K content per plant), TKC (Total K content per plant); TCaUE (total Ca-use efficiency) and RCaC, SCan (shoot Ca content per plant), TCan (total Ca content per plant). In the field trials, the correlation coefficients ($r$) between yield traits show that PH (plant height), SN (spike number per square meter), GWP (grain weight per plant), StWP (straw weight per plant), and AWP (total aboveground weight per plant) were significantly and positively correlated with each other. There were significant and negative correlations between TGW (thousand grains weight) and SN (spike number), HI (harvest index), and StWP (straw weight per plant) (Table S4).
Table 2. Average values for the RILs for each treatment and trait of the hydroponic trial.

| Treatment | Traits  | Average  | Traits  | Average  | Traits  | Average  |
|-----------|---------|----------|---------|----------|---------|----------|
| CKE1      | RKCE    | 34.05a   | RCaCE   | 0.99b    | RMgCE   | 0.60a    |
| LKE1      | g/kg    | 15.99b   | g/kg    | 1.53a    | g/kg    | 0.59a    |
| CKE2      | 26.95a  | 1.45b    | g/kg    | 2.06a    | g/kg    | 0.78a    |
| LKE2      | 5.10b   |          |         |          |         |          |
| CKE1      | SKCE    | 43.72a   | SCAE    | 4.60b    | SMgCE   | 2.39b    |
| LKE1      | g/kg    | 21.42b   | g/kg    | 9.32a    | g/kg    | 2.98a    |
| CKE2      | 43.66a  | 7.38b    |         |          |         |          |
| LKE2      | 12.27b  |          |         |          |         |          |
| CKE1      | TKCE    | 41.68a   | TCaCE   | 3.94b    | TMgCE   | 2.60b    |
| LKE1      | g/kg    | 20.11b   | g/kg    | 7.43a    | g/kg    | 2.41a    |
| CKE2      | 39.92a  | 6.04b    |         |          |         |          |
| LKE2      | 10.41b  |          |         |          |         |          |
| CKE1      | RKC     | 0.67a    | RCaC    | 18.79b   | RMgC    | 11.83a   |
| LKE1      | mg/plant| 0.30b    | µg/plant| 27.91a   | µg/plant| 11.09a   |
| CKE2      | 0.58a   | 30.62b   | µg/plant| 16.46a   |         |          |
| LKE2      | 0.10b   | 38.08a   |         | 14.80b   |         |          |
| CKE1      | SKC     | 3.60b    | SCAE    | 407.64b  | SMgC    | 211.81a  |
| LKE1      | mg/plant| 1.25b    | µg/plant| 543.87a  | µg/plant| 174.41b  |
| CKE2      | 3.20a   | 535.38b  |         | 183.47b  |         |          |
| LKE2      | 0.67b   | 556.82a  |         | 205.70a  |         |          |
| CKE1      | TKC     | 4.57a    | TCaC    | 426.44b  | TMgC    | 223.64a  |
| LKE1      | mg/plant| 1.55b    | µg/plant| 571.11a  | µg/plant| 185.59b  |
| CKE2      | 3.78a   | 566.00b  |         | 199.93b  |         |          |
| LKE2      | 0.77b   | 594.85a  |         | 220.53a  |         |          |
| CKE1      | RKUE    | 0.58b    | RCaUE   | 23.12a   | RMgUE   | 36.01a   |
| LKE1      | mg/(µg mg⁻¹) | 1.17a | 15.40b | mg/(µg mg⁻¹) | 36.31b |
| CKE2      | 0.79b   | 16.40a   |         |          |          |          |
| LKE2      | 0.73a   | 10.01b   |         | 24.01b   |         |          |
| CKE1      | SKUE    | 2.07b    | SCAUE   | 20.54a   | SMgUE   | 38.84a   |
| LKE1      | mg/(µg mg⁻¹) | 2.76a | 6.51b | mg/(µg mg⁻¹) | 20.12b |
| CKE2      | 1.70b   | 10.30a   |         | 29.56a   |         |          |
| LKE2      | 4.56a   | 5.43b    |         | 14.48b   |         |          |
| CKE1      | TKUE    | 2.63b    | TCAUE   | 29.14a   | TMgUE   | 54.57a   |
| LKE1      | mg/(µg mg⁻¹) | 3.86a | 10.75b | mg/(µg mg⁻¹) | 32.80b |
| CKE2      | 2.40b   | 16.21a   |         | 45.14a   |         |          |
| LKE2      | 7.19a   | 9.22b    |         | 24.32b   |         |          |

RKCE: concentration of root K; SKCE: concentration of shoot K; TKCE: concentration of total K; RKC: root K content per plant; SKC: shoot K content per plant; TKC: total K content per plant; RKUE: root K-use efficiency; SKUE: shoot K-use efficiency; TKUE: total K-use efficiency; RCaCE: concentration of root Ca; SCAE: concentration of shoot Ca; TCaCE: concentration of total Ca; RCaC: root Ca content per plant; SCAE: shoot Ca content per plant; TCaC: total Ca content per plant; RCaUE: root Ca-use efficiency; SCAUE: shoot Ca-use efficiency; TCaUE: total Ca-use efficiency; RMgCE: concentration of root Mg; SMgCE: concentration of shoot Mg; TMgCE: concentration of total Mg; RMgC: root Mg content per plant; SMgC: shoot Mg content per plant; TMgC: total Mg content per plant; RMgUE: root Mg-use efficiency; SSmgUE: shoot Mg-use efficiency; TMgUE: total Mg-use efficiency. Within the same column followed by the same letter means the associated values did not differ significantly according to the LSD test (p < 0.05).
Table 3. Average values for the RILs for each treatment and trait of field trial.

| Treatment | Traits   | Average | Traits   | Average | Traits   | Average |
|-----------|----------|---------|----------|---------|----------|---------|
| CK        | GKCE     | 3.78a   | GCaCE    | 0.40a   | GMgCE    | 1.46b   |
|           | g/kg     | 3.10b   | g/kg     | 0.41a   | g/kg     | 1.49a   |
| CK        | StKCE    | 21.17a  | StCaCE   | 3.68b   | StMgCE   | 1.54b   |
|           | g/kg     | 16.03b  | g/kg     | 4.39a   | g/kg     | 1.60a   |
| CK        | AKCE     | 12.84a  | ACaCE    | 2.11b   | AMgCE    | 1.50b   |
|           | g/kg     | 9.81b   | g/kg     | 2.48a   | g/kg     | 1.54a   |
| CK        | GKC      | 73.00a  | GCaC     | 7.73a   | GMgC     | 28.12a  |
|           | mg/plant | 53.66b  | mg/plant | 7.12b   | mg/plant | 25.78b  |
| CK        | StKC     | 45.02a  | StCaC    | 78.48a  | StMgC    | 32.76a  |
|           | mg/plant | 304.83b | mg/plant | 82.62a  | mg/plant | 29.96b  |
| CK        | AKC      | 523.68a | ACaC     | 86.20a  | AMgC     | 60.84a  |
|           | mg/plant | 358.44b | mg/plant | 89.71a  | mg/plant | 55.72b  |
| CK        | KHI      | 0.14a   | CaHI     | 0.09a   | MgHI     | 0.47a   |
|           |          | 0.16a   |          | 0.08b   |          | 0.46a   |
| CK        | GKUE     | 5.20b   | GCaUE    | 51.49a  | GMgUE    | 13.26a  |
|           |          | 5.62a   | g/(mg·g⁻¹) | 48.53b  | g/(mg·g⁻¹) | 11.69b  |
| CK        | StKUE    | 1.03b   | StCaUE   | 5.95a   | StMgUE   | 14.07a  |
|           |          | 1.21a   | g/(mg·g⁻¹) | 4.46b   | g/(mg·g⁻¹) | 12.08b  |
| CK        | AKUE     | 3.22b   | ACaUE    | 19.71a  | AMgUE    | 27.19a  |
|           |          | 3.67a   | g/(mg·g⁻¹) | 15.28b  | g/(mg·g⁻¹) | 23.62b  |

* GKCE: concentration of grain K; StKCE: concentration of straw K; AKCE: concentration of aboveground K; GKC: grain K content per plant; StKCE: straw K content per plant; AKC: aboveground K content per plant; KHI: K harvest index; GKUE: grain K-use efficiency; StKUE: straw K-use efficiency; AKUE: aboveground K-use efficiency; GCaCE: concentration of grain Ca; StCaCE: concentration of straw Ca; ACaCE: concentration of aboveground Ca; GCaC: grain Ca content per plant; StCaC: straw Ca content per plant; ACaC: aboveground Ca content per plant; CaHI: Ca harvest index; GCaUE: grain Ca-use efficiency; StCaUE: straw Ca-use efficiency; ACaUE: aboveground Ca-use efficiency; GMgCE: concentration of grain Mg; StMgCE: concentration of straw Mg; AMgCE: concentration of aboveground Mg; GMgC: grain Mg content per plant; StMgC: straw Mg content per plant; AMgC: aboveground Mg content per plant; MgH: Mg harvest index; GMgUE: grain Mg-use efficiency; StMgUE: straw Mg-use efficiency; AMgUE: aboveground Mg-use efficiency.  

f Within the same column followed by the same letter means the associated values did not differ significantly according to the LSD test (p < 0.05).

3.2. Major Characteristics of the QTLs in Different Trials

3.2.1. Hydroponic Culture Trial

A total of 217 additive QTLs for 31 seedling traits were detected on 19 chromosomes, except for 2D and 7D (Figure 1, Table S5). Of these, 28, 52, 67, and 70 QTLs were detected for four biomass weight traits (RDW, SDW, TDW, RSDW), K efficiency-related traits (RKCE, SKCE, TKCE, RKC, SKC, TKC, SKUE, TKUE, RKUE), Ca efficiency-related traits (RCaCE, SCaCE, TCaCE, RCaC, SCaC, TCaC, SCaUE, TCaUE, RCaUE), and Mg efficiency-related traits (RMgCE, SMgCE, TMsgCE, RMgC, SMgC, TMsgC, SMgUE, TMsgUE, RMgUE), respectively. An individual QTL explained between 5.02 (RSDW—ratio of root and shoot dry weight) and 41.17% (RSDW) of the phenotypic variation, and the highest LOD value for a single QTL was 24.56 for the RSDW in the T1E2 treatment experiment (Table S5). Three RHF-QTLs or relatively stable QTLs, which could be detected in at least two treatments (environments) for RMgC (Root Mg content per plant), RKC (Root K content per plant), and RDW (Root dry weight per plant), were located (Table 4). The average contributions of these RHF-QTLs ranged from 8.88% to 12.59%.
Table 4. RHF-QTLs detected in more than two treatments under the hydroponic culture trial and field trial.

| Traits         | QTLs                  | Treatment | Marker Intervals                          | Additive Effects | Contributions (%) |
|----------------|-----------------------|-----------|-------------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
|                |                       |           |                                           | Min  | Max  | Average | Min  | Max  | Average |
| Hydroponic culture trial |                       |           |                                           | Min  | Max  | Average | Min  | Max  | Average |
| RMgC           | QRmGc-1-4B(T1E2 T2E1) | CK, LK    | Tdurum_contig37811_134 wsnp_RFL_Contig3236_3262140 | 1.21 | 1.25 | 1.23    | 7.44 | 10.32 | 8.88    |
| RKC            | QRKC-4B(T1E2 T2E1)    | CK, LK    | Tdurum_contig37811_134 wsnp_RFL_Contig3236_3262140 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.04    | 9.43 | 11.56 | 10.50   |
| RDW            | QRDW-4B(T1E2 T2E1)    | CK, LK    | Tdurum_contig37811_134 wsnp_RFL_Contig3236_3262140 | 1.53 | 2.44 | 1.98    | 7.76 | 17.42 | 12.59   |
| Field trial    |                       |           |                                           | Min  | Max  | Average | Min  | Max  | Average |
| TGW            | QTGW.1-4B(T1 T2)      | CK, LK    | D−1098436−D−1103601                       | 0.93 | 1.09 | 1.01    | 7.18 | 8.98  | 8.08    |

RMgC: root Mg content per plant; RKC: root K content per plant; RDW: root dry weight per plant; TGW: thousand grains weight.

3.2.2. Field Trial

A total of 89 additive QTLs for 33 adult traits (except StWP, AKCE, AMgCE, StMaC, AMgUE) were detected on 19 chromosomes, except for 2B and 3D (Figure 1, Table S5). Of these, 21, 22, 35, and 11 QTLs were detected for yield traits (PH, SN, GN, TGW, GWP, AWP, HI), K efficiency-related traits (GKCE, StKCE, GK, StKC, AKC, KHI, GKEU, StKUE, AKUE), Ca efficiency-related traits (GCaCE, StCaCE, ACaCE, GCaC, StCaC, ACaC, CaHI, GCAUE, StCaUE, ACaUE), and Mg efficiency-related traits (GMgCE, StMaC, GMgC, AMgC, MgHI, GMgUE, StMaUE), respectively. An individual QTL explained between 7.04% (CaHI—Ca harvest index) and 21.66% (GKUE—grain K-use efficiency) of the phenotypic variation, and the highest LOD value for a single QTL was 10.53 for the GKUE in the CK treatment (Table S5). One RHF-QTLs or relatively stable QTLs for TGW (thousand grains weight) were located, with contributions of 8.08% (Table 4).

Figure 1. Cont.
Figure 1. Locations of QTL clusters for wheat seedling and adult traits in different K treatment based on RILs derived from Tainong18 × Linmai6. In the names of the QTLs, E1 and E2 stand for the hydroponic trials of February 2013 and March 2013, respectively. T1 and T2 stand for the CK and LK treatments, respectively.

3.3. QTL Clusters

A total of 18 QTL clusters (C1–C18) (a cluster was defined as the co-location of QTLs for more than two traits) were mapped to nine chromosomes, involving 96 out of the 306 QTLs (31.37%) (Figure 1, Table 5). All these QTL clusters could be classified into three types: detected only for seedling traits (Type I, including C1, C2, C4, C6–C14, and C16), only for adult traits (Type II, including C15 and C18), and simultaneously for seedling and adult traits (Type III, including C3, C5, and C17). Of these QTL clusters, C7, C12, C16, and C18 (Table 5, Figure 1) were the most important for seedling traits and/or adult traits.
Table 5. Clusters comprising QTLs for more than two traits at seedling and mature stage.

| Type | Code | Chromosome | Marker Intervals | No. of QTLs | QTLs for Seeding Traits | QTLs for Adult Traits |
|------|------|------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| I    | C1   | 2A-2       | wPt–1480-D–4008129 | 3           | QSMgCE.1-2A (T1E1)     | QSMgCE.1-2A (T1E2)    |
|      | C2   | 4A–1       | S-3957023–S–1073520 | 4           | QTDW-4A (T2E2)         | QSMgC-4A (T2E2)       |
|      | C4   | 4B–1       | D–1113118-Ku_c63300_1309 | 3           | QSDW.1-4B (T1E1)      | QSKUE.1-4B (T1E1)     |
|      | C6   | 4B–1       | D–3940950–D–1675295 | 4           | QSMgUE.1-4B (T1E1)    | QSMgCE.1-4B (T1E1)    |
|      | C7   | 4B–1       | S-3941408–D–1138250 | 15          | QSKUE.2-4B (T1E1)     | QSKC.2-4B (T1E1)      |
|      | C8   | 4B–1       | D–4008856–D–3943712 | 3           | QRSWD.2-4B (T1E2)     | QSKC.4-4B (T1E2)      |
|      | C9   | 4B–1       | D–1302339–D–3024409 | 4           | QRCaCE.3-4B (T2E2)    | QSKC.3-4B (T2E2)      |
|      | C10  | 4B–1       | D–1380792–D–1094306 | 4           | QRKC.3-4B (T2E2)      | QSKC.4-4B (T2E2)      |
|      | C11  | 4B–1       | wmc657–D–1666781   | 4           | QRKC.4-4B (T2E2)      | QSKC.4-4B (T2E2)      |
|      | C12  | 4B–1       | Tdurum_contig37811_134-wmcContig3236_3262140 | 11          | QRSWD.4-4B (T2E1)     | QRDW.4-4B (T1E2 T2E1) |
|      | C13  | 4D–1       | wPt–732418-wmc825   | 3           | QRCaC.1-4B (T1E1)     | QTMgC.1-4B (T2E2)     |
|      | C14  | 6A–1       | S–1378596–D–3952397 | 4           | QSMgCE.1-6A (T2E1)    | QSMgCE.1-6A (T2E1)    |
|      | C16  | 6B         | D–3384656–D–3960242 | 11          | QSMgCE.1-6B (T1E2)    | QSMgCE.1-6B (T2E1)    |

- **Type**: The type of cluster
- **Code**: The code assigned to each cluster
- **Chromosome**: The chromosome on which the marker is located
- **Marker Intervals**: The intervals between the markers
- **No. of QTLs**: The number of QTLs associated with the cluster
- **QTLs for Seeding Traits**: The QTLs associated with seedling traits
- **QTLs for Adult Traits**: The QTLs associated with adult traits
| Type | Code | Chromosome | Marker Intervals | No. of QTLs | QTLs for Seedling Traits | QTLs for Adult Traits |
|------|------|------------|------------------|-------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| II   | C15  | 6A-3       | D-4329389-S--1091880 | 5           | QAMgC-6A (T2)           | QAWP-6A (T2)          |
|      |      |            |                  |             | QGKUE-6A (T2)          | QMGmC-6A (T2)         |
|      |      |            |                  |             | QGWm-6A (T2)           | QAWP-6A (T2)          |
|      | C18  | 7D-3       | D-3033829-D--1668160 | 8           | QAKUE-7D (T1)          | QAWP-7D (T2)          |
|      |      |            |                  |             | QGKC-7D (T2)           | QGKUE-7D (T2)         |
|      |      |            |                  |             | QGmC-7D (T2)           | QMGmUE-7D (T2)        |
|      |      |            |                  |             | QGW-7D (T2)            | QStKUE-7D (T1)        |
| III  | C3   | 4B-1       | D--1098436-D--1068778 | 4           | QSCaCE.4-4B (T2E2)    | QTGW.1-4B (T1T2)     |
|      |      |            |                  |             | QTCaCE.3-4B (T2E2)    |                       |
|      | C5   | 4B-1       | Ku_c65300_1309-D-3022151 | 3           | QSDW-7A (T1E2)         |                       |
|      |      |            |                  |             | QTGW.2-4B (T2)         |                       |
|      |      |            |                  |             | QGKUE-7D (T2)          |                       |

SMgCE: concentration of shoot Mg; TMgCE: concentration of total Mg; TDW: total dry weight per plant; SDW: shoot dry weight per plant; SMgC: shoot Mg content per plant; TMgC: total Mg content per plant; SKUE: shoot K-use efficiency; SMgUE: shoot Mg-use efficiency; RSDW: ratio of root and shoot dry weight; TKUE: total K-use efficiency; SKC: shoot K content per plant; SCaUE: shoot Ca-use efficiency; TCaUE: total Ca-use efficiency; TKC: total K content per plant; TMgUE: total Mg-use efficiency; TCaCE: concentration of total Ca; SCaCE: concentration of shoot Ca; SKCE: concentration of shoot K; SCaC: shoot Ca content per plant; TCaC: total Ca content per plant; RmC: concentration of root Ca; RmC: root Ca content per plant; RMgC: root Mg content per plant; RKC: root K content per plant; RDW: root dry weight per plant; SMgUE: shoot Mg-use efficiency; AMgC: aboveground Mg content per plant; AWP: total aboveground weight per plant; GKUE: grain K-use efficiency; GMgC: grain Mg content per plant; GWP: grain weight per plant; AKUE: aboveground K-use efficiency; GKC: grain K content per plant; GMgUE: grain Mg-use efficiency; StKUE: straw K-use efficiency; TGW: thousand grains weight; GMgCE: concentration of grain Mg.
4. Discussion

4.1. K Effects on Biomass, K-, Ca-, and Mg-Related Traits of the RIL Population

Potassium is one of the essential nutrient elements for wheat. A deficiency in K can slow plant growth and decrease biomass production [35,36]. Compared with the CK treatment, the seedling traits of SDW and TDW significantly decreased in the LK treatment. In a similar manner, the SH, SN, GN, TGW, GWP, StWP, and AWP of maturity traits all decreased with reduced K concentration (Table S2).

It has been widely reported that there is a competitive relationship among K, Ca, and Mg as it relates to absorption [13,20,21,37]. The uptake of K may be affected by Mg, while Mg is affected by K [15]. Similarly, uptake of Ca could also be depressed by increasing the concentration of Mg [38]. In this study, the K content-related traits decreased, and the K use efficiency-related traits increased with the decreasing K supply compared to the normal treatment at the seedling and mature stage. In contrast, content-related traits of Ca and Mg increased, while the use efficiency-related traits decreased in the LK treatment at the seedling and maturity stage. These results also indicated that there was an antagonistic effect in absorption among K, Ca, and Mg.

4.2. K Effects on QTLs for K, Ca, and Mg Efficiency-Related Traits

For wheat, nutrient treatment can significantly affect the expression of nutrient-related QTLs. Some studies of QTLs or QTL clusters had been conducted under different nitrogen concentrations [27,39–42]; under conditions of different K concentrations [28]; and under various concentrations of N, P, and K treatments [32]. In different nutrient environments, the number and the location of most QTLs detected for certain traits were quite different in these previous experiments. Similar to these studies, 302 (98.69%) QTLs and 10 QTL clusters (including 55 QTLs) of this study were detected only once in a single (moderate K or low K) K treatment (Table S5, Table 5). These sites might be important for adaptation to different K environments.

This indicated that K treatment greatly affected the expression of QTLs related to K, Ca, and Mg, and there might be quite different mechanisms for K, Ca, and Mg nutrition under different K treatments. The QTL clusters also provided some evidence for this indication.

4.3. Hot QTL Clusters for K, Ca, and Mg Efficiency-Related Traits

Many studies have reported that there were some genes which can affect the absorption of K, Ca, or Mg simultaneously. For example, the CBL-CIPK (CBL-CIPK: CBL-interacting protein kinase, Ca$^{2+}$ sensors) complex participates in the regulation of plant K$^{+}$ uptake under K$^{+}$-deficiency stress [21]. The OsHKT2;4 (a K$^{+}$-permeable transporter/channel)-mediated currents could also exhibit permeability to both Mg$^{2+}$ and Ca$^{2+}$ [15]. Finally, some genes or transporters/channels, such as the PaAlr1 gene in ascospore [17] and OsHKT2;4 in rice [15] showed sensitivity to Mg$^{2+}$ and Ca$^{2+}$ simultaneously [16]. The QTL clusters in this investigation might also provide us with some evidence about that. Eight QTL clusters included QTLs for K, Ca, and Mg simultaneously under the same K treatment, and these clusters included 61 QTLs. C7, C12, and C16 involved QTLs for K, Ca, and Mg simultaneously (C7, C16 in CK; C12 in LK); C5, C9, and C10 involved QTLs for K and Ca simultaneously (C10 in LK; C5, C9 in CK); and C15 and C18 (in LK) involved QTLs for K and Mg simultaneously. These QTL clusters highlight the important hot sites on the chromosome where some genes might be located that can affect the absorption of K, Ca, or Mg simultaneously for wheat and required further investigation.

4.4. The Importance of the 4B Chromosome

Our study highlights the importance of chromosome 4B. We detected 68 QTLs (22.22%) and 10 QTL clusters (55.56%; C3–C12) on the chromosome 4B−1 involving biomass traits and K, Ca, and Mg efficiency-related traits (Table S5, Table 5). The four RHF-QTLs in this paper were also on chromosome 4B−1. The average contributions of QTLs in C5, C6, C7, C8, and C12 were all greater than 10%. We found three important locations on the chromosome 4B: D−1098436—D−1068778, S-3941408—D−1138250,
and Tdurum_contig37811_134—wsnp_RFL_Contig3236_3262140. On the D-3570086—D−1098436, we found one RHF-QTL (in C3): QTGW.1-4B (T1, T2). On the S-3941408—D−1138250 and Tdurum_contig37811_134—wsnp_RFL_Contig3236_3262140, we found two QTL clusters (C7 and C12). They included QTLs for biomass, K, Ca, and Mg simultaneously. In addition, we found three RHF-QTLs (QRDW-4B (T1E2, T2E1), QRKC-4B (T1E2, T2E1), QRMgC.1-4B (T1E2, T2E1), in C12) on Tdurum_contig37811_134—wsnp_RFL_Contig3236_3262140. The contributions of these three RHF-QTLs were 10.32%, 11.56%, and 17.42%, respectively. These three locations may include important genes that can regulate the nutritional properties of K, Ca, and Mg.

Recently, Yuan et al. [29] reported the QTL mapping for P efficiency and morphological traits in wheat used the same RIL population derived from a cross of “Tainong 18 × Linmai 6.” They found that four (C3, C4, C5, C6) out of 10 clusters were mapped to the 4B−1 chromosome and that the C3 and C5 clusters contained one and four RHF-QTLs, respectively. It is worth noting that many similar locations of QTL clusters were found between Yuan et al. [29] and this study (Table 6). These results showed that 4B is a very important chromosome for mineral nutrition related to P, K, Ca, and Mg in wheat. In addition, the chromosome 4B also contained two (C3 and C5) out of three QTL clusters that contained QTLs, both for seedling and adult traits. The chromosome 4B and the QTL clusters are obvious and important targets that require further investigation.

Table 6. QTL clusters detected in the same or adjacent marker regions in this paper and in Yuan et al. [29].

| Code/Type | Chromosomes | Marker Intervals | No. of QTLs | QTLs |
|-----------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|------|
| C3/II [29] | 4B−1 | D−1051883−D−113185 | 3 | QSdw.1 QTpute.1 |
| C4/III | 4B−1 | D−1113185-Ku.c63300_1309 | 3 | QSDW.1-4B (T1E1) QSKUE.1-4B (T1E1) QTDW.1-4B (T1E1) |
| C4/II [29] | 4B−1 | D−3022151−D−1040960 | 5 | QRspc.1 QSI QRpc.2 Qn.2 Qn.1 |
| C5/III | 4B−1 | Ku.c63300_1309−S−1040960 | 4 | QTCaCE.1-4B (T1E1) QGKUE.1-4B (T1) QTWG.2-4B (T2) |
| C5/II [29] | 4B−1 | D−1083795−D−3940950 | 8 | QSdw.2 QSp.2 QTpute.2 QGwp Qn.3 QCpute.2 |
| C6/I | 4B−1 | D−3940950−D−1673295 | 4 | QSMgUE.1-4B (T1E1) QTMgUE.1-4B (T1E1) QSMgCE.1-4B (T1E1) |
| C6/II [29] | 4B−1 | D−4008856−D−1138250 | 4 | QSn.2 QSp.2 QSp.2 |
| C7/I | 4B−1 | S−3941408−D−1138250 | 15 | QSKUE.2-4B (T1E1) QSKC.2-4B (T1E2) QSKC.1-4B (T1E1) QSKC.1-4B (T1E1) QSKC.1-4B (T1E1) QSKD.2-4B (T1E1) QTMgUE.1-4B (T1E1) QSKUE.1-4B (T1E1) QSKUE.1-4B (T1E1) QSKUE.1-4B (T1E1) QSKUE.1-4B (T1E1) |

Tpute: total P-utilization efficiency; Gpute: grain P-utilization efficiency; Rspc: ratio of root and shoot content; Sl: spike length; Rpc: root P-content per plant; Spute: shoot P-utilization efficiency; Ph: plant height; SDW: shoot dry weight per plant; TDW: total dry weight per plant; SKUE: shoot K-use efficiency; TCACE: concentration of total Ca; TGW: thousand grains weight; GKUE: grain K-use efficiency; SMgUE: shoot Mg-use efficiency; SMCGE: concentration of shoot Mg; TMgCE: concentration of total Mg; RSDW: ratio of root and shoot dry weight; TKUE: total K-use efficiency; SKC: shoot K content per plant; SCAUE: shoot Ca-use efficiency; TCAUE: total Ca-use efficiency; TKC: total K content per plant; SCACE: concentration of shoot Ca; TMEU: total Mg-use efficiency; SKCE: concentration of shoot K.
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