ARTICLE

Inhibition of drug-metabolizing enzymes by Jingyin granules: implications of herb–drug interactions in antiviral therapy
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Jingyin granules, a marketed antiviral herbal medicine, have been recommended for treating H1N1 influenza A virus infection and Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in China. To fight viral diseases in a more efficient way, Jingyin granules are frequently co-administered in clinical settings with a variety of therapeutic agents, including antiviral drugs, anti-inflammatory drugs, and other Western medicines. However, it is unclear whether Jingyin granules modulate the pharmacokinetics of Western drugs or trigger clinically significant herb–drug interactions. This study aims to assess the inhibitory potency of the herbal extract of Jingyin granules (HEJG) against human drug-metabolizing enzymes and to clarify whether HEJG can modulate the pharmacokinetic profiles of Western drug(s) in vivo. The results clearly demonstrated that HEJG dose-dependently inhibited human CYPs1A, 2A6, 2C8, 2C9, 2D6, and 2E1; this herbal medicine also time- and NADPH-dependently inhibited human CYP2C19 and CYP3A. In vivo tests showed that HEJG significantly increased the plasma exposure of lopinavir (a CYP3A-substrate drug) by 2.43-fold and strongly prolonged its half-life by 1.91-fold when HEJG (3 g/kg) was co-administered with lopinavir to rats. Further investigation revealed licochalcone A, licochalcone B, licochalcone C and echinatin in Radix Glycyrrhizae, as well as quercetin and kaempferol in Folium Llicis Purpureae, to be time-dependent CYP3A inhibitors. Collectively, our findings reveal that HEJG modulates the pharmacokinetics of CYP substrate-drugs(s) by inactivating CYP3A, providing key information for both clinicians and patients to use herb–drug combinations for antiviral therapy in a scientific and reasonable way.
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INTRODUCTION

Infectious diseases caused by viruses, including influenza, Ebola, and Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), have had significantly negative effects on human health, economic growth, and social stability [1–3]. Various therapeutic agents (such as antiviral drugs, anti-inflammatory drugs, immunosuppressive agents, and other Western medicines) have been developed and used for treating viral infectious diseases [4–6]. However, to fight against viral diseases in a more efficient way, drug–drug or herb–drug combinations are frequently used in antiviral therapy in clinical settings [7–9]. In China, several herbal medicines and Chinese medicine compound formulas (such as Banlangen granules, Jingyin granules, and Lianhua Qingwen capsules) have been validated as having antiviral activities against a range of viruses and have been recommended for combating a panel of viral diseases, including H1N1 influenza A virus (H1N1) infection and COVID-19 [10–12]. In most cases, these antiviral Chinese medicines (CMs) are often co-administered with a variety of antiviral agents (such as oseltamivir and lopinavir) as antiviral therapy [13, 14]. However, concomitant use of antiviral CMs with Western drugs may trigger clinically relevant herb–drug interactions (HDIs) or adverse drug reactions; thus, it is urgent and necessary to assess the potential risks of HDIs in antiviral therapy.

Jingyin granules, a marketed antiviral herbal product derived from an in hospital preparation of Shuguang Hospital (Shanghai, China), have been used in clinical settings for more than 40 years. Clinical applications have demonstrated that Jingyin granules have good curative effects for treating lung meridian wind heat syndrome and respiratory diseases with fever, sore throat, and cough [15, 16]. Jingyin granules have been found to have antiviral activities against a range of viruses both in vitro and in vivo, and this herb is now recommended as a reserve medicine for preventing and treating H1N1 infection and COVID-19 in Shanghai. Jingyin granules are prepared from nine herbal medicines, including Herba Schizonepetae (Jingjie), Flos Lonicerae (Jinyinhua), Fructus Arctii (Niubangzi), Herba Houttuyniae (Yuxingcao), Folia Isatidis (Daqingye), Herba Schizonepetae (Jingjie), Fructus Arctii (Niubangzi), Radix Ledebouriellae (Pugongying), Radix Lonicerae (Jinyinhua), as well as quercetin and kaempferol in Folium Llicis Purpureae, and Llicis Purpureae. Most of these herbs are reported...
to have antiviral and anti-inflammatory effects [17–24]. As a combination of nine herbs, Jingyin granules are composed of hundreds of natural constituents, some of which may strongly interact with a range of human drug-metabolizing enzymes (DMEs) or drug transporters and modulate the pharmacokinetics of co-administered therapeutic agents [25, 26]. Because most marketed antiviral agents (such as oseltamivir, remdesivir, and lopinavir) are substrates of phase I DMEs [27–29], including human cytochrome P450 enzymes (CYPs or P450s) and carboxylesterases (CESs), the inhibitory or inactivating effects of Jingyin granules on these key DMEs as well as potential risks of HDIs between Jingyin granules and antiviral agents should be carefully investigated.

This study aimed to assess the inhibition/inactivation potential of an herbal extract of Jingyin granules (HEJG) against human phase I DMEs and potential in vivo HDIs between Jingyin granules and clinically used antiviral agent(s). Based on a panel of inhibition assays in vitro, HEJG dose-dependently inhibited human CES1A, CES2A, CYP1A, 2A6, 2C8, 2C9, 2D6, and 2E1 and time- and NADPH-dependently inhibited CYP2C19 and CYP3A. Further investigation showed that HEJG also inhibited rat Cyp3a in a time-dependent manner. These in vitro data encouraged us to examine in vivo pharmacokinetic interactions between HEJG and CYP3A substrate-drug(s) by using rats as a surrogate model. The results clearly showed that HEJG significantly modulated the pharmacokinetic behavior of lopinavir (a CYP3A-substrate drug) when HEJG (3 g/kg) was co-administered with lopinavir (160 mg/kg) in rats. A more in-depth investigation revealed that both Radix Glycyrrhizae and Folium Lici Purpureae and their constituents triggered significant loss of CYP3A activity in time-, dose- and NADPH-dependent manners, suggesting that these two herbs are responsible for CYP3A inactivation. All these observations offer new insight into HDI between Jingyin granules and antiviral agents. The key findings presented herein will be very helpful for guiding clinicians and patients to use the combination of Jingyin granules and antiviral agent(s) in a more scientific and reasonable way.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Chemicals, reagents, and biological samples

HEJG (Lot No. 2002035) and the extract from nine individual herbs for preparing Jingyin granules (the preparation procedure and extraction rate of 9 individual herbs are shown in Table S1) were provided by SPH Xing Ling Sci. & Tech. Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. Lansoprazole was procured from Hairong (Dujiangyan, China). Mefenamic acid, D-glucose-6-phosphate (G-6-P), glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G-6-PDH), and β-NADP⁺ were supplied by Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Phenacetin, coumarin, paclitaxel, omeprazole, dextromethorphan, chlorzoxazone, testosterone, ketoconazole, and lopinavir were obtained from Shanghai Yuanye Bio-Technology Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Echinatin was purchased from Chengdu Pufei De Biotech Co., Ltd. (Chengdu, China). Neochlorogenic acid, chlorogenic acid, caffeine, isochlorogenic acid A, isochlorogenic acid B, isochlorogenic acid C and cryptochlorogenic acid were purchased from Shanghai Standard Technology Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Pooled human liver microsomes (Lot No. H0610) from 50 individual donors were supplied by XenoTech (USA). Pooled rat liver microsomes (Lot No. JPXY) were supplied by the Research Institute for Liver Diseases (RILD, Shanghai, China). LC grade methanol, acetonitrile and formic acid were ordered from Fisher Scientific Co., Ltd (Fair Lawn, NJ, USA). Ultra-purified water was prepared using a Millipore purification system.

**P450 inhibition assays**

*Inhibition of human P450s by HEJG, nine herbal extracts, or constituents.* P450 inhibition assays were carried out in 200 μL reaction mixtures, which included phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, 100 mM, pH 7.4), each substrate for a target P450, NADPH-generating system (10 mM of G-6-P, 1.0 unit/mL of G-6-PDH, 1.0 mM of β-NADP⁺, 4.0 mM of MgCl₂), HLMs or RLMs, along with HEJG, or each herbal extract or some major constituents in these herbs [32, 33]. Notably, *Herba Schizonepetae* oil is a key material for preparing Jingyin granules, while water extracts of other individual herbs were used for preparing Jingyin granules. In this study, *Herba Schizonepetae* oil was dissolved in acetonitrile; eight other CMs and HEJG were dissolved in ultra-purified water. Each substrate or tested compound was dissolved in acetonitrile, and all solutions were stored at −20 °C until use. The final concentration of the organic solvent was <1% (v/v). The probe reactions and experimental details for CYP inhibition assays are listed in Table S2. Briefly, HEJG or its individual herbs (50–5000 μg/mL, final concentrations), together with PBS (pH 7.4), HLMs or RLMs, and the NADPH-generating system were added to the reaction to evaluate inhibitory potentials against P450s. The mixtures were vortexed and then preincubated at 37 °C for 3 min or 35 min, and the reactions were initiated by adding individual substrates. The reactions proceeded for 10–30 min at 37 °C prior to quenching with 200 μL of ice-cold acetonitrile (with internal standard). The protein precipitate was removed by centrifugation at 20,000 × g and 4 °C for 20 min, and the supernatant (60 μL) was mixed with ultrapure water (60 μL) at a 1:1 ratio for LC–MS/MS analysis, as described in Table S2 (please refer to the details in the Supplementary Material).

**Inactivation kinetic analyses.** CYP2C19 and CYP3A inactivation kinetic experiments were performed as previously reported [34–36], with an inactivation group and an activity evaluation group. The final concentration of the organic solvent was <1% (v/v). The inactivation group (200 μL) included PBS (pH 7.4), substrate, the NADPH-generating system, HLMs, and HEJG (at different concentrations). The activity evaluation group (180 μL) consisted of PBS (pH 7.4), testosterone or omeprazole, the NADPH-generating system, and HLMs. For the inactivation group, the reactions were initiated by adding the NADPH-generating system after preincubation for 3 min at 37 °C; the inactivation reaction mixtures (20 μL) were transferred to the activity-sensing group at different time points (0, 5, 10, 15, 20, and 30 min). Following incubation for 10–20 min at 37 °C, ice-cold acetonitrile (200 μL) containing an internal standard was added to quench the oxidative reaction. Activities of CYP2C19 and CYP3A were determined by using the corresponding probe reactions, and metabolites were quantified by LC–MS/MS, as listed in Table S2. The natural logarithm of the residual activity (hydroxylated rates of testosterone and omeprazole) was
plotted against the preincubation time. All inactivation data were fitted by the following Eq. (1):

$$K_{obs} = K_{inact} \times I / (I + K_i)$$  (1)

where $I$ is the final concentration of HEJG; $K_i$ is the inactivator (HEJG) concentration at half-maximal inactivation; $K_{inact}$ is the maximal inactivation rate constant; and $K_{obs}$ is the observed first-order inactivation rate constant.

CES inhibition assays

Inhibition of CES1A-catalyzed DME hydrolysis by HEJG. The procedure for hCES1A inhibition assays has been reported previously [37, 38] using DME as the probe substrate. Briefly, the 100 μL incubation system consisted of PBS (pH 6.5), HLMs, DME and HEJG (at different concentrations). The final concentration of the organic solvent was <1% (v/v). PBS (pH 6.5), HEJG, and HLMs were vortexed and preincubated at 37 °C for 3 or 33 min, and the reactions were initiated by adding DME and incubated for another 10 min. All reactions were quenched by adding 100 μL LDR. A fluorescence microplate reader (SpectraMax® iD3, Molecular Devices, Austria) was used to measure the hydrolysis rate of DME by monitoring the formation of the hydrolytic metabolite D-luciferin. The details for the hCES1A inhibition assays and the detection conditions are provided in Table S3.

Inhibition of CES2A-catalyzed NCEN hydrolysis by HEJG. The procedure for hCES2A inhibition assays has also been reported previously [38–40] using NCEN as the probe substrate. Briefly, the 200 μL incubation system consisted of PBS (pH 7.4), HLMs, NCEN and HEJG (at different concentrations). The final concentration of the organic solvent was <1% (v/v). PBS (pH 7.4), HEJG, and HLMs were vortexed and preincubated at 37 °C for 3 or 33 min, and the reactions were initiated by adding NCEN and incubated for another 10 min. All reactions were quenched by adding 100 μL LDR. A fluorescence microplate reader (SpectraMax® iD3, Molecular Devices, Austria) was used to measure the hydrolytic rate of NCEN by monitoring the formation rates of the hydrolytic metabolite 4-amino-1,8-naphthalimide (NAH). The details for the hCES2A inhibition assays and the detection conditions for NAH are shown in Table S3.

Pharmacokinetic interactions between HEJG and lopinavir in rats. In this study, all animal tests were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of Shanghai Institute of Food and Drug Control with approval No. SIFDC18096. In brief, male Sprague-Dawley rats (180–200 g, $n = 6$) were ordered from Shanghai Laboratory Animal Center (Shanghai, China) and then housed at 25 °C and a relative humidity of ~55% in a 12 h light–dark cycle. Prior to dosing, the rats were fasted overnight with water freely and provided food after finishing the experiment. HEJG was suspended in water, and lopinavir was suspended in 0.5% CMC-Na. According to doctors, the dosage of Jingyin granules can be doubled for severe symptoms in some patients. In this study, the dose of Jingyin granules used in rats was ~6.3-fold that in humans. HEJG (3 g/kg, $n = 3$) or water (3 g/kg, $n = 3$) was administered intragastrically. After 30 min, lopinavir (160 mg/kg, $n = 6$) was administered intragastrically [41–43]. Blood samples were collected at 0, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 12, and 24 h, centrifuged for 10 min at 8000 rpm at 4 °C, and stored at −80 °C until analysis. Twenty microlitres of plasma was diluted with acetonitrile (containing internal standard) at a ratio of 1:5 and centrifuged at 20,000 × g at 4 °C for 30 min. Fifty microlitres of supernatant was diluted with 150 μL of Millipore water for LC–MS/MS analysis (Table S4). Quantification of lopinavir (1–5000 ng/mL) was performed within the linear range of the calibration curve. The pharmacokinetic parameters of lopinavir were fitted by standard noncompartmental analyses using WinNonlin 5.2 (Pharsight Corporation, Mountain View, CA, USA).

Data statistics. Inhibition constants (including IC$_{50}$, $K_i$ and $K_{inact}$ values) were calculated by nonlinear regression using GraphPad Prism 6.0 (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, USA). All data are expressed as the mean ± SD of triplicate assays.

RESULTS

Chemical profiling of HEJG by UHPLC-Q-Exactive Orbitrap HRMS

First, chemical profiling of HEJG was performed by UHPLC-Q-Exactive Orbitrap HRMS to decipher the major constituents of HEJG. As shown in Fig. S1 and Table S5, a total of 123 constituents were identified from HEJG via comparison with the retention times and MS/MS spectra of the commercially available reference standards or reference with the literature and the MS/MS databases of natural products. These constituents can be classified into several major classes, including 50 flavonoids, 29 organic acids, 10 iridoids, 21 saponins, 5 chalcones, 4 acylamides, and 4 others. Details of the retention times, pseudo-molecular ions and fragment ions of the 123 constituents are listed in Table S5. This results suggest that HEJG contain a variety of natural compounds, comprising a mixture of more than 100 natural ingredients. Alternatively, we assayed the absorbed components in rat plasma following oral administration of HEJG to rats, and 49 prototype chemical constituents were detected. Related information is available in Table S6.

Inhibition of HEJG against major DMEs in HLMs

Next, the inhibition potentials of HEJG against ten major DMEs in HLMs were preliminarily assessed by using three different concentrations (10, 100, and 1000 μg/mL). As shown in Fig. 1, HEJG exhibited concentration-dependent inhibition of all tested DMEs in HLMs. To quantitatively assess the inhibitory effects, concentration-response curves of HEJG against all tested DMEs in

![Fig. 1 Inhibition of phase I drug-metabolizing enzymes in HLMs by HEJG. Data are expressed as the mean ± SD ($n = 3$). **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001 compared with the control group.](image-url)
CYP3A, with IC50 values of 987.5, 203.8, 276.2, 664.9, 315.2, 568.0, 1038.0 μg/mL, respectively. Notably, a long preincubation time resulted in much more potent inhibitory effects of HEJG against both CYP2C19 (the IC50 value decreased from 614.5 to 272.0 μg/mL) and CYP3A (the IC50 value decreased from 756.9 to 191.3 μg/mL), with changes in IC50 value of >2.0-fold. These findings suggest that HEJG contains naturally occurring inactivators of human CYP2C19 and CYP3A, which might inactivate CYP2C19 and CYP3A (mainly) in vivo and result in undesirable effects, such as time-dependent inhibition of these two P450 enzymes or idiosyncratic toxicity.

Inactivation kinetics of HEJG against CYP2C19 and CYP3A in HLMs

To further characterize the time-dependent inhibition of HEJG against human CYP2C19 and CYP3A, the inactivation kinetics of HEJG against CYP2C19 and CYP3A in HLMs were evaluated; kinetic parameters (including Kt and Kinact values) were determined according to a previously reported procedure. In the presence of NADPH, HEJG inactivated CYP2C19 and CYP3A in concentration- and time-dependent manners (Figs. S4, Fig. 2). As calculated from the plots presented in Figs. S4, Fig. 2, the inactivation kinetic constants of HEJG against CYP2C19, including Kt and Kinact, were determined to be 1038.0 μg/mL and 0.018 min⁻¹, respectively; those of HEJG against CYP3A were 612.9 μg/mL and 0.019 min⁻¹, respectively. These results clearly demonstrate that HEJG inactivates CYP2C19 and CYP3A in concentration-, NADPH-, and time-dependent manners, suggesting that Jingyin granules might result in undesirable effects via inactivation of CYP2C19 and CYP3A.

Pharmacokinetic interactions between HEJG and lopinavir in rats

On the basis of the above-mentioned findings, the influence of HEJG on the pharmacokinetic behavior of CYP3A substrate-drug(s) was investigated in vivo in rats. As shown in Fig. 3 and Table 2, following co-administration of HEJG and lopinavir, the elimination half-life (t1/2) of lopinavir in rats was prolonged by 1.91-fold (from 1.40 to 2.68 h); the AUC0-inf of lopinavir in rats was significantly increased by 2.43-fold (from 4189 to 10196 ng/mL h). In addition, the Cmax value of lopinavir in rat plasma increased slightly from

### Table 1. Inhibitory effects of HEJG on eight major P450s and two CESs in HLMs (with 3 min or with 33 min preincubation).

| Probe reaction | Target P450 | Time-dependent inhibition IC50 (μg/mL) Preincubation for 3 min | Preincubation for 33 min | Ratio |
|----------------|-------------|-------------------------------------------------|------------------------|-------|
| Phenacetin O-deethylation | CYP1A | 287.4 ± 31.5 | 276.2 ± 27.8 | 1.04 |
| Coumarin 7-hydroxylation | CYP2A6 | 750.2 ± 94.8 | 664.9 ± 62.6 | 1.13 |
| Paclitaxel 6x-hydroxylation | CYP2C8 | 312.6 ± 2.8 | 315.2 ± 16.6 | 0.99 |
| Diclofenac 4’-hydroxylation | CYP2C9 | 621.4 ± 55.1 | 568.0 ± 47.4 | 1.09 |
| Omeprazole 5-hydroxylation | CYP2C19 | 614.5 ± 56.7 | 272.0 ± 10.2 | 2.26 |
| Dextromethorphan O-demethylation | CYP2D6 | 2336.0 ± 333.2 | 2484.0 ± 372.0 | 0.94 |
| Chlorzoxazone 6-hydroxylation | CYP2E1 | 810.0 ± 154.4 | 868.8 ± 123.4 | 0.93 |
| Testosterone 6β-hydroxylation | CYP3A | 756.9 ± 61.3 | 191.3 ± 7.7 | 3.96 |
| DME hydrolysis | hCES1A | 717.5 ± 83.6 | 987.5 ± 123.2 | 0.73 |
| NCEN hydrolysis | hCES2A | 219.0 ± 19.9 | 203.8 ± 21.1 | 1.07 |

Fig. 2 Time-dependent inhibition of CYP3A in HLMs by HEJG. a Time- and dose-dependent inhibition of CYP3A by HEJG. b The hyperbolic plot of K1/2 of CYP3A vs. HEJG concentrations. Data are expressed as the mean ± SD (n = 3).
1275 to 1855 ng/mL. It is evident from these findings that Jingyin granules can strongly modulate the pharmacokinetic profiles of lopinavir in rats by increasing plasma exposure of lopinavir and prolonging the plasma half-life of lopinavir in rats.

Inactivation effects of CYP3A by the individual herbs for preparing HEJG
Subsequently, time-dependent inhibition of CYP3A by individual extracts of nine different herbs for preparing HEJG (250 μg/mL, final concentration) was conducted to determine the key herbs in Jingyin granules that cause CYP3A inactivation. As shown in Fig. 4, *Radix Glycyrrhizae* (Gancao), *Folium Llicis Purpureae* (Sijiqing) and *Herba Schizonepetae* oil (Jingjie) displayed relatively strong CYP3A inhibition activities, as residual CYP3A activities were <50% at a dose of 250 μg/mL. Then, the inhibition and inactivation effects of these three individual herbs for CYP3A were carefully investigated in HLMs. As illustrated in Fig. S6 and Table 3, *Radix Glycyrrhizae* (Gancao) and *Folium Llicis Purpureae* (Sijiqing) inhibited CYP3A-catalyzed testosterone 6β-hydroxylation in a time-dependent manner, with IC₅₀ ratios of 2.15-fold, 2.07-fold, 2.40-fold, and 3.20-fold, respectively. These findings clearly demonstrate that *Radix Glycyrrhizae* (Gancao) and *Folium Llicis Purpureae* (Sijiqing) are the key herbs in Jingyin granules, resulting in significant loss of CYP3A activity in the NADPH-generating system.

Inactivation effects of the constituents in Gancao and Sijiqing on CYP3A
Next, inactivation effects of the constituents isolated from *Radix Glycyrrhizae* (Gancao) and *Folium Llicis Purpureae* (Sijiqing) on CYP3A were carefully investigated. As the chalcones in *Radix Glycyrrhizae* (Gancao) are a class of compounds bearing Michael acceptor(s), their inactivation effects against CYP3A were studied first. As shown in Fig. S7 and Table 4, licochalcone A, licochalcone B, licochalcone C and echinatin in *Radix Glycyrrhizae* inhibited CYP3A-catalyzed 6β-hydroxylation of testosterone in a time-dependent manner, with IC₅₀ ratios of 2.15-fold, 2.07-fold, 2.40-fold, and 3.20-fold, respectively. Second, the major constituents in *Folium Llicis Purpureae* (Sijiqing) were identified by UHPLC-Q-Exactive Orbitrap HRMS, and inactivation effects of the commercially available constituents against CYP3A were investigated. As...
Table 4. The inhibitory effects of the chalcones in *Radix Glycyrrhizae* (Gancao) and the major constituents in *Folium Ulcis Purpureae* (Sijiqing) on CYP3A in HLMs (with 3 min or with 33 min preincubation).

| Compounds             | Content (µg/g) | Structures | Time-dependent inhibition IC₅₀ (µM) | Ratio |
|-----------------------|---------------|------------|------------------------------------|-------|
|                       |               |            | Preincubation for 3 min | Preincubation for 33 min |       |
| Licochalcone A        | 12            | ![Structure](attachment://licochalcone.png)       | 2.00 ± 0.10                  | 0.93 ± 0.04                  | 2.15  |
| Licochalcone B        | 119           | ![Structure](attachment://licochalcone_b.png)    | 14.07 ± 1.55                 | 6.81 ± 0.66                  | 2.07  |
| Licochalcone C        | 4             | ![Structure](attachment://licochalcone_c.png)    | 6.02 ± 0.41                  | 2.51 ± 0.12                  | 2.40  |
| Licochalcone D        | 11            | ![Structure](attachment://licochalcone_d.png)    | 2.18 ± 0.40                  | 1.32 ± 0.11                  | 1.65  |
| Echinatin             | 29            | ![Structure](attachment://echinatin.png)         | 25.86 ± 3.19                 | 8.08 ± 0.56                  | 3.20  |
| Kaempferol            | 115           | ![Structure](attachment://kaempferol.png)        | 13.12 ± 1.29                 | 6.53 ± 0.31                  | 2.01  |
| Quercetin             | 148           | ![Structure](attachment://quercetin.png)         | 6.84 ± 0.55                  | 3.95 ± 0.31                  | 1.73  |
| Rutinum               | 1788          | ![Structure](attachment://rutinum.png)           | >100                          | >100                          | –     |
| Neochlorogenic acid   | 328           | ![Structure](attachment://neochlorogenic_acid.png)| >100                          | >100                          | –     |
| Chlorogenic acid      | 1129          | ![Structure](attachment://chlorogenic_acid.png)  | >100                          | >100                          | –     |
| Caffeic acid          | 149           | ![Structure](attachment://caffeic_acid.png)      | >100                          | >100                          | –     |
| Isochlorogenic acid A | 236           | ![Structure](attachment://isochlorogenic_acid_a.png)| >100                          | >100                          | –     |
| Isochlorogenic acid B | 217           | ![Structure](attachment://isochlorogenic_acid_b.png)| >100                          | >100                          | –     |
Table 4, continued

| Compounds                          | Content (µg/g) | Structures | Time-dependent inhibition IC₅₀ (µM) | Ratio |
|------------------------------------|----------------|------------|------------------------------------|-------|
|                                    |                |            | Preincubation for 3 min | Preincubation for 33 min |
| Isochlorogenic acid C               | 348            | ![Structure](image) | >100 | >100 | – |
| Cryptochlorogenic acid              | 360            | ![Structure](image) | >100 | >100 | – |

Data are expressed as mean ± SD (n = 3).

depicted in Figs. S7, S8 and Table 4, kaempferol and quercetin in *Folium Llicis Purpureae* (Sijiqing) inhibited CYP3A-catalyzed 6β-hydroxylation of testosterone in a time-dependent manner; the IC₅₀ ratios were 2.01-fold and 1.73-fold, respectively. Furthermore, neochlorogenic acid, chlorogenic acid, caffeic acid, isochlorogenic acid A, isochlorogenic acid B, isochlorogenic acid C, and cryptochlorogenic acid (100 µM), compounds bearing Michael acceptor(s), showed weak inhibitory effects against CYP3A, and the inhibition was slightly time dependent. These findings demonstrate that licochalcone A, licochalcone B, licochalcone C and echinatin in *Radix Glycyrrhiza*, as well as kaempferol and quercetin in *Folium Llicis Purpureae*, inhibit CYP3A-catalyzed testosterone 6β-hydroxylation in a time-dependent manner.

**DISCUSSION**

Accompanied by the widespread occurrence of influenza and COVID-19, a variety of Western therapeutics and herbal medicines have been approved or recommended for the treatment or adjuvant treatment of viral diseases in clinical settings [44]. Over the past 10 months, more than ten herbal medicines or Chinese medicine compound formulas (such as Qingfei Paidu decoction, Jingyin granules, Banlangen granules and Lianhua Qingwen capsules) have been recommended by the National Health Commission of the People’s Republic of China or the local governments in China for combating the COVID-19 epidemic. As the only herbal medicine recommended for preventing and treating COVID-19 by the Shanghai municipal government, Jingyin granules have been validated to play an active role in combating this epidemic, especially in alleviating the moderate and mild symptoms (such as fever, muscle pain and imaging manifestations) of some patients. In clinical settings, Jingyin granules have been frequently used in combination with a range of Western therapeutic agents (such as antiviral drugs, anti-inflammatory drugs, immunosuppressive agents, and other Western medicines) for combating COVID-19 and other viral epidemics. However, use of Jingyin granules together with Western agents may alter the outcomes of the latter, leading to decreased or increased effectiveness or adverse effects. Thus, it is urgent and necessary to carefully assess potential HDIs between Jingyin granules and co-administered Western therapeutics.

It is well known that pharmacokinetic interactions are the major cause of clinically relevant HDIs [25, 44, 45], whereby herbal medicines modulate the pharmacokinetic behavior of co-administered Western drug(s) by regulating the expression or function of DMEs and transporters responsible for the metabolic elimination of victim Western drug(s). Because most marketed Western antiviral drugs (Table S7, such as oseltamivir, remdesivir, and lopinavir) are predominantly metabolized by phase I DMEs, including P450s and CESs [27–29], this study focused on assessing potential HDIs between HEJG and antiviral Western drugs via inhibition or inactivation of phase I DMEs. The results clearly demonstrate that HEJG dose-independently inhibited all tested human DMEs, including human CES1A, CES2A, CYPs1A, 2A6, 2C8, 2C9, 2C19, 2D6, 2E1, and 3A, with IC₅₀ values ranging from 219.0 to 2336.0 µg/mL. Notably, this herbal medicine time- and NADPH-dependently inhibited human CYP2C19 and CYP3A, two key enzymes participating in the metabolism of a wide range of Western drugs, including some marketed antiviral agents (such as lopinavir and nelfinavir) and some agents with very narrow therapeutic windows (such as digoxin and warfarin). Overall, increasing evidence suggests that time-dependent inhibition of CYPs may lead to serious drug/herbal-drug interactions and drug-induced idiosyncratic toxicity, even though the in vivo effects of reversible CYP inhibitors are relatively minor [46, 47]. These findings encourage us to further investigate the influence of HEJG on the pharmacokinetic behavior of CYP2C19- or CYP3A-substrate agents in vivo.

Prior to in vivo testing, we investigated species differences in CYP inactivation by HEJG, and the results showed that HEJG inhibited both human CYP3A and rat Cyp3a in dose-, time-, and NADPH-dependent manners but it inhibited rat Cyp2c in a reversible manner in RLMs. Hence, it was feasible and meaningful to investigate the pharmacokinetic interactions between HEJG and CYP3A-substrate drugs in vivo using rats as a model, but it was meaningless to investigate the impact of HEJG on the pharmacokinetic behavior of CYP2C19 substrate drugs in rats. Lopinavir (a CYP3A4-substrate drug), a commonly used antiviral agent for treating severe acute respiratory syndrome and COVID-19, was selected as the victim drug for studying in vivo pharmacokinetic interactions between Jingyin granules and CYP3A4-substrate drug(s). The results clearly showed that HEJG significantly prolonged the elimination half-life of lopinavir by 1.91-fold and strongly elevated the plasma exposure of this antiviral agent by 2.43-fold when HEJG (3 g/kg) was administered with lopinavir (160 mg/kg) in rats. These findings demonstrate that HEJG inhibits CYP3A both in vitro and in vivo, suggesting that much attention should be given when Jingyin granules are co-administered with CYP3A-substrate drugs, particularly agents with narrow therapeutic windows (such as digoxin and warfarin, which are predominantly metabolized by CYP3A). Both intestinal and hepatic CYP3A participate in lopinavir-related drug interactions, and considering that the plasma exposure of the absorbed components following oral administration of HEJG is very low, we speculate that HEJG-mediated HDI may occur mainly through
inhibition of intestinal CYP3A. A single dose of HEJG in humans is 5.2 g (herbal extract). Based on US FDA drug–drug interaction study guidance (2017), the human intestinal luminal concentration of HEJG was calculated to be 20.8 g/L. Notably, HEJG dose-dependently inhibited the catalytic activities of CYP3A, with a calculated IC50 value of 756.9 μg/mL, and the inactivation kinetic constant (K) of HEJG against CYP3A was determined to be 612.9 μg/mL. It is evident that local exposure to HEJG is much higher than the IC50 value of HEJG against CYP3A. Thus, clinicians and patients should use the combination of Jingyin granules and antiviral agent(s) carefully because this herbal medicine can strongly inhibit intestinal CYP3A. Similarly, Ravi et al. found that plasma levels of lopinavir increased significantly upon co-administration with grapefruit juice (a CYP3A inhibitor) following single-dose or multidose administration [48], an interaction that was mainly mediated by intestinal CYP3A. It is conceivable that inhibition of intestinal CYP3A by HEJG may also increase the plasma levels of lopinavir in vivo, which can partially explain why HEJG significantly increased the lopinavir plasma exposure by 2.43-fold.

It should be noted that inhibition of human CYPs is always a double-edged sword. For drugs with a sufficiently wide therapeutic window, such as lopinavir (an antiviral drug that is metabolized by CYP3A4), the in vivo efficacy may be enhanced because this agent displays relatively high safety profiles [49, 50]. Considering that lopinavir is frequently used for antiviral therapy in combination with other antiviral drugs or herbal medicines, the daily dose of lopinavir can be partially reduced to avoid potential DDIs/HDIs when it is co-administered with other antiviral drugs or herbal medicines in consecutive dosages. Notably, COVID-19 is a complex systemic disease, and severe COVID-19 patients often have excessive inflammation and abnormal coagulation [51–56]. To successfully treat severe COVID-19, the combination of antiviral agents, anti-inflammatory drugs and anti-coagulant agents (such as warfarin, a common anti-coagulant agent but with a very narrow therapeutic window) is frequently used. On the one hand, CYP1A and CYP3A play crucial roles in the formation of some key inflammatory factors (such as the oxidative metabolites of arachidonic acid), and strong inhibition of CYP1A and CYP3A may be helpful for the anti-inflammatory effects of Jingyin granules [57–59]. On the other hand, the in vivo anti-coagulant effects of warfarin should be carefully monitored. Although it is unfeasible to investigate the in vivo effects of HEJG against CYP2C19 by using rats as a surrogate model to replace humans, it is conceivable that co-administration of Jingyin granules with warfarin to patients may trigger severe drug interactions because this herbal medicine can strongly inhibit both CYP2C19 and CYP3A in HLMs in a time-dependent manner. Thus, monitoring should be carried out when Jingyin granules are co-administered with this anti-coagulant agent.

Considering that Jingyin granules are prepared from nine herbs that contain hundreds of chemical constituents (refer to Fig. S1 and Table S5), it is unfeasible to identify which ingredients in Jingyin granules are responsible for CYP3A inactivation. Hence, we assayed the inhibitory effects of the extract of each herb on human CYP3A. The results clearly demonstrated that the extract of three herbs used for preparing Jingyin granules significantly inhibit CYP3A-catalyzed 6β-hydroxylation of testosterone in a dose-dependent manner. Among them, Herba Schizonepetae oil (Jingjie) inhibited CYP3A-catalyzed testosterone 6β-hydroxylation in a reversible manner, and Radix Glycyrrhizae (Gancao) and Folium Llicis Purpureae (Sijijing) inhibited CYP3A-catalyzed testosterone 6β-hydroxylation in time-, dose- and NADPH-dependent manners. It has been reported that licochalcone A, licochalcone B, echinatin and licochalcone C in Radix Glycyrrhizae inactivated CYP3A in a dose-dependent manner; kaempferol and quercetin in Folium Llicis Purpureae (Sijijing) were also identified as CYP3A time-dependent inhibitors. Furthermore, neochlorogenic acid, chlorogenic acid, caffeic acid, isochlorogenic acid A, isochlorogenic acid B, isochlorogenic acid C and cryptochlorogenic acid (100 μM), compounds bearing Michael acceptor(s), showed weak inhibitory effects against CYP3A, and the inhibition was slightly time dependent. However, there are several glycosides of kaempferol and quercetin in HEJG. Reynoutrin, hyperoside, rutinum, and avicularin are glycosides of quercetin, and afzeloside, kaempferol 3-rutinoside, and astragalin are glycosides of kaempferol. Notably, rutinum and astragalin are the major constituents of Folium Llicis Purpureae (Sijijing). These glycosides can be readily converted to quercetin or kaempferol in the intestinal tract, in turn inactivating intestinal CYP3A and triggering potential HEJG-drug interactions. All the findings presented in this study will be very helpful for guiding the optimization of this herbal prescription to reduce the potential risks of HDIs through CYP3A inactivation. In the future, more in-depth investigation should be performed to investigate whether use of Jingyin granules together with CYP3A-substrate drugs (including but not limited to antiviral agents) triggers clinically relevant drug interactions or other undesirable side effects in vivo.

CONCLUSION

In summary, this study investigated the inhibitory potency and inhibitory kinetics of the HEJG against human phase I DMEs and assessed potential HDIs between Jingyin granules and co-administered CYP substrate-drug(s) in vivo. The results clearly demonstrated that HEJG dose-dependently inhibited all tested human DMEs in HLMs; inhibition of CYP2C19 and CYP3A occurred in time- and NADPH-dependent manners. Further investigation showed that HEJG also inhibited rat Cyp3a in time- and NADPH-dependent manners but did not trigger inactivation of rat Cyp2c, suggesting that it was feasible and meaningful to study in vivo pharmacokinetic interactions between HEJG and CYP3A-substrate drugs by using rats as a model for humans. In vivo assays revealed that the elimination half-life (t1/2) of lopinavir was be prolonged by 1.91-fold; the AUC0–inf of lopinavir was significantly increased by 2.43-fold following co-administration of HEJG and lopinavir in rats. Furthermore, time-dependent inhibition assays of CYP3A by the extract of nine individual herbs for preparing Jingyin granules suggested that Radix Glycyrrhizae (Gancao) and Folium Llicis Purpureae (Sijijing) are responsible for CYP3A inactivation. Further analysis demonstrated that licochalcone A, licochalcone B, licochalcone C, echinatin, kaempferol and quercetin inhibit CYP3A-catalyzed testosterone 6β-hydroxylation in a time-dependent manner. Collectively, our findings reveal that HEJG significantly modulate the pharmacokinetics of CYP substrate-drug(s) via inactivation of CYP3A, offering key information for patients and clinicians to avoid potential HDIs in antiviral therapy.
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