Human serum antibodies against EBV latent membrane protein 1 cross-react with α-synuclein

ABSTRACT

Objectives: To identify the epitope on α-synuclein (α-syn) to which antibodies against the Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) latent membrane protein 1 (LMP1) bind and to determine whether antibodies targeting this mimicry domain are present in human sera.

Methods: Reactivity of the α-syn-cross-reacting anti-LMP1 monoclonal antibody CS1-4 to a synthetic peptide containing the putative mimicry domain was compared to those in which this domain was mutated and to murine and rat α-syn (which differ from human α-syn at this site) in Western blots. Using ELISA, sera from EBV+ (n = 4) and EBV− (n = 12) donors as well as those with infectious mononucleosis (IM; n = 120), and Hodgkin disease (HD; n = 33) were interrogated for antibody reactivity to synthetic peptides corresponding to regions of α-syn and LMP1 containing the mimicry domain.

Results: CS1-4 showed strong reactivity to wild-type human α-syn, but not to the mutant peptides or rodent α-syn. Control EBV− and EBV+ sera showed no reactivity to α-syn or LMP1 peptides. However, a significant proportion of IM and HD sera contained immunoglobulin M (IgM) (59% and 70%, in IM and HD, respectively), immunoglobulin G (IgG) (40% and 48%), and immunoglobulin A (IgA) (28% and 36%) antibodies to both peptides, as well as a significant correlation in the titers of IgM (r = 0.606 and 0.664, for IM and HD, respectively), IgG (0.526 and 0.836), and IgA (0.569 and 0.728) antibodies targeting LMP1 and α-syn peptides.

Conclusions: Anti-EBV-LMP1 antibodies cross-reacting with a defined epitope in α-syn are present in human patients. These findings may have implications for the pathogenesis of synucleinopathies.
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GLOSSARY

HD = Hodgkin disease; HRP = horseradish peroxidase; IgA = immunoglobulin A; IgG = immunoglobulin G; IgM = immunoglobulin M; IM = infectious mononucleosis; LMP1 = latent membrane protein 1; OD = optical density; PBS = phosphate-buffered saline; PD = Parkinson disease; VCA = viral capsid antigen.

Human serum antibodies against EBV latent membrane protein 1 cross-react with α-synuclein

Autoimmune mechanisms have been implicated in Parkinson disease (PD) pathogenesis.1 Several studies have described anti-α-synuclein (α-syn) autoantibodies in PD.2–6 The factors underlying loss of immune tolerance to α-syn are unknown. Molecular mimicry resulting from homology among foreign and host proteins is one possibility.7 Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) infects over 90% of the human population. Following acute infection, which can manifest as infectious mononucleosis when acquired in adolescence or adulthood, EBV establishes lifelong latency in B-lymphocytes. Acute and latent infection are characterized by the generation of antibodies to a variety of EBV-encoded proteins. Some of these exhibit homology with host proteins, resulting, through molecular mimicry, in the generation of autoantibodies targeting endogenous host proteins.
We have reported that antibodies targeting the EBV latent membrane protein 1 (LMP1) cross-react avidly with α-syn. Basic local alignment search tool (BLAST) analysis of the 2 proteins revealed linear homology consisting of a PVDPDN motif in the C-terminus of α-syn and 4 PQDPDN sequences within the C-terminal region of LMP1. We have hypothesized that this example of molecular mimicry may have implications with respect to the development of anti-α-syn autoantibodies and for the pathogenesis of PD.

The objectives of the present study were (1) to determine whether the PXDPDN sequence represents the LMP1/α-syn mimicry domain and (2) to use synthetic peptides containing this domain as a tool to determine whether sera from healthy EBV-negative and EBV-positive donors as well as from patients with elevated expression of LMP1 (infectious mononucleosis [IM] and Hodgkin disease [HD]) harbor antibodies targeting this mimicry domain.

METHODS

Standard protocol approvals, registrations, and patient consents. Serum samples were obtained from the archives of the VU University Medical Center. These were collected during 1996–2010 as part of collaborative studies on the diagnosis of EBV-associated acute and malignant diseases. Written informed consent was obtained from study participants at the time of collection.

Plasmids. Wild-type α-syn-GFP plasmid was obtained from Origene (RG210606; Rockville, MD). α-syn-GFP mutants were created by site-directed mutagenesis with the following primers (Invitrogen, Life Technologies, Burlington, Canada): for N122S (PVDPDS): fwd: 5’T-GTGATCTCTGACTCTGAGGCTTATG-3; rev: 5’-CATAAGGCTCAGTCAAGTCCAC-3; for D121S (PVDPSN): fwd: 5’-CCTGTGGATCCTTCTAATGAGGCTT-3; rev: 5’-CATAAGGCTCAGTCAAGTCCAC-3. Briefly, wild-type α-syn-GFP was amplified in the presence of either primer pair using Vent DNA polymerase (M0254L; New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA) to produce a blunt-ended product. Resultant PCR products were digested with DpnI restriction enzyme (New England Biolabs) to remove parental methylated DNA. The remaining DNA was purified, ligated, and transformed into NovaBlue Singles competent cells (EMD Millipore, Billerica, MA). Plasmids from ampicillin-resistant clones were sequenced by competent cells (EMD Millipore, Billerica, MA). Plasmids were purified, ligated, and transformed into NovaBlue Singles competent cells (EMD Millipore ) following the manufacturer’s protocol. For each transfection, 3 μL of GeneJuice reagent was incubated with 290 μL of serum-free Dulbecco Modified Eagle Medium (Corning Cellgro, Manassas, VA) for 5 minutes. One microgram of plasmid DNA was then added to each reaction and incubated at room temperature for 20 minutes. This solution was then added dropwise to cells. Forty-eight hours post-transfection, medium was removed and cells washed twice in phosphate-buffered saline. Cells were lysed in 20 mM HEPES pH 7.4 with 2 mM EGTA, 50 mM β-glycerol phosphate, 1% Triton X-100, 10% glycerol, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 1 mM sodium orthovanadate (Na3VO4), 5 mM sodium fluoride, and 2 mM sodium pyrophosphate (Na4PPi) and then sonicated with a Fisher Sonic Dismembrator Model 300 (Fisher Scientific, Ottawa, Canada). Lysates were then centrifuged for 15 minutes at 16,000 × g to pellet debris. Supernatants were collected and stored at −80°C until use.

Tissues. Postmortem human frontal cortex from an 85-year-old woman with dementia with Lewy bodies was obtained from the neuropathology frozen tissue bank at The Ottawa Hospital, Canada. Permission for tissue use in research was obtained prior to autopsy.

Whole brain from 129/Sv mouse and Wistar rat were obtained in accordance with a protocol approved by the University of Ottawa Animal Care Committee. These animals were chosen because the PXDPDN motif in their α-syn (PVDPDG in mouse and PCDPSS in rat) contains 2 of the few amino acid sequence variations that differ from human α-syn (PVDPDN). A 3-month-old female mouse was obtained from wild-type offspring of heterozygous crosses from a transgenic mouse line derived from 129/Sv ES cells. Wistar rat brain was obtained courtesy of Dr. Leo Renaud (Ottawa, Canada).

Tissues were lysed in the same buffer as cells (above) at approximately 2 mL/g of frozen tissue and homogenized using a PowerGen 125 homogenizer mixer (Fisher Scientific). Resultant lysates were sonicated, centrifuged, and stored as above.

Western blotting. Western blotting was performed as described previously with the following antibodies: anti-LMP1 (1:250; Dako, clone CS1-4, Glostrup, Denmark), anti-α-syn (EP1664Y; 1:10,000; Abcam ab51252, Cambridge, UK), and anti-β-actin (1:5,000; Sigma A2228, Munich, Germany). Between antibody exposures, the membrane was stripped to remove previous immunoreactivity (Thermo Fisher Scientific 46430, Waltham, MA). Blots shown are representative of at least 3 separate experiments.

Control and patient sera. Control sera were collected from healthy EBV seronegative (EBV–; n = 4) and seropositive (EBV+; n = 12) blood donors. EBV serostatus was defined by immunoblot analysis and ELISA as described previously. In addition to healthy EBV-positive and EBV-negative patients, we analyzed sera from patients with IM and HD. EBV-carrying lymphoid cells in tonsils of acute primary EBV infection (IM) and Reed-Sternberg cells of Hodgkin disease (HD) express high levels of LMP1, potentially resulting in enhanced anti-LMP1 immune responses in these disease states. IM serum samples (n = 120) were obtained from regional public health laboratories in Utrecht (n = 13), Den Bosch (n = 53), Tilburg (n = 17), and Goes (n = 27) in the Netherlands. HD sera (n = 33) from EBV+ HD cases were collected by Dr. R.F. Ambinder as part of a large epidemiologic study.

ELISA of human sera. Polystyrene ELISA plates (Corning Costar 9018) were coated overnight at 4°C with 1 μg/mL peptide.
in 50 mM sodium carbonate buffer (pH 9.6). The α-syn and LMP1 peptides containing the PXDPDN epitope are shown in table e-1 at Neurology.org/nn. For analysis of EBV serostatus, purified synthetic multiepitope peptides corresponding to viral capsid antigen (VCA) and EBNA-1 were obtained from Cyto-Barr BV (Zuidhorn, the Netherlands) and used for coating 96-well ELISA plates as described previously.16 Nonspecific binding sites were blocked for 1 hour at 37°C with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) containing 3% bovine serum albumin (BSA). All incubations were carried out for 1 hour at 37°C, followed by 4 washes in PBS with 0.05% Tween. For the detection of IgA and IgG antibodies, human sera were diluted (1:100) in sample buffer (PBS, 0.05% Tween, 0.1% Triton X-100, 1.0% BSA). For the analysis of immunoglobulin M (IgM) antibodies, human sera were treated with GullSORB (Meridian Bioscience, Inc., Cincinnati, OH). The latter was employed to remove immunoglobulin G (IgG) antibodies, which are smaller than IgM and may competitively block IgM bonding sites, and to block IgG-rheumatoid factor (anti-IgM), which, when present in the sample, may result in false-positives responses via complexed IgM. Horseradish peroxidase (HRP)–conjugated secondary antibodies diluted in sample buffer were then applied to detect bound human IgM (Dako P0215 lot 036[101]; 1:1,000), IgG (Dako P0214 lot 12[101]; 1:4,000), immunoglobulin A (IgA) (Dako P0216 lot 055[303]; 1:8,000), or antimouse immunoglobulin (Dako P0260 lot 00077729; 1:1,000). HRP activity was visualized using 3, 3′, 5′, 5′-tetramethylbenzidine solution with sodium acetate–trihydrate and 3% hydrogen peroxide. Activity was suspended by adding 1 M sulfuric acid. Optical density (OD) was determined at 450 nm (Synergy HT; Bio-Tek, Winooski, VT). Cutoff value (CoV) was defined as the mean OD450 value of 3 EBV-negative sera plus 2 times SD, which was used for normalization in each ELISA experiment (OD450/CoV). Normalized values ≥1.0 were considered positive.

RESULTS Confirmation of the LMP1/α-syn mimicry domain. To determine whether the homologous PXDPDN sequence on LMP1 and α-syn represents the binding site for the CS1-4 cross-reactive antibody, we performed site-directed mutagenesis of this epitope (→ PVDPDSN and → PVDPDPS) of the full-length human α-syn protein. By Western blot, CS1-4 displayed strong immunoreactivity with wild-type α-syn but reduced signal with the PVDPDSN mutant and no signal with PVDPDSN (figure 1, right panel). The control anti-α-syn antibody EP1646Y demonstrated immunoreactivity at similar molecular weights (~14 kDa for wild-type α-syn or ~41 kDa for α-syn-GFP), confirming the presence of α-syn even in the absence of the epitope recognized by CS1-4 (figure 1, right panel).

In order to provide in vivo confirmation of this, we exploited the fact that the PXDPDN motif contains 2 of the few amino acid sequence variations that distinguish human (PVDPDN) and mouse (PVDPGDS) or rat (PVDPSS) α-syn. We confirmed that CS1-4 reacts with human α-syn by Western blot but does not recognize the homologous protein from mouse or rat (figure 1, left panel).

These studies confirm that CS1-4 recognizes human α-syn through the PVDPDN motif. Moreover, they indicate that the terminal asparagine (N122) allows for strong binding by CS1-4. However, the second aspartate residue (D121) is essential for recognition.

Detection of cross-reactive antibodies in control donors and patients with IM. Healthy EBV+ donors (n = 16), as well as patients with IM (n = 120) and patients with HD (n = 33), showed characteristic patterns of immune reactivity to VCA and EBNA-1, respectively (figure e-1).

We next analyzed the presence of antibodies to 2 peptides shown in table e-1: (1) peptide L1, a 57 amino acid peptide corresponding to the c-terminus of LMP1 and containing 2 PQDPDN domains; and (2) peptide A, a 30 amino acid peptide corresponding to the c-terminus of α-syn and containing one PVDPDN domain (amino acid sequences shown in table e-1). Control EBV− donors as well as healthy EBV+ samples displayed no IgM, IgG, or IgA responses against either peptide (figure e-2).

A proportion of patients with IM demonstrated responses to peptide L1 and peptide A with a smaller proportion exhibiting responses to both peptides (table e-1 and figure 2A). As a group, figure 2A reveals that patients showed a bias towards IgM responses to peptide A as only this group showed a median reactivity significantly above the cutoff threshold of 1.0.

Spearman rank correlation analysis of normalized values in individual donors revealed a highly significant correlation in the intensity of reactivity to peptides A and L1 for IgM (p = 0.606), IgG (0.526), and IgA (0.569) (figure 2, B–D).

HD antibody profile. HD is classically a disease with high LMP1 expression in a type II latency pattern resulting from remote infection. More HD sera reacted
to peptide L1 than peptide A (table 2 and figure 3A). Again, the majority of the positive patients demonstrated antibodies to both peptides (table 2). The responses of patients with HD to peptides A and L1 were reduced compared to those observed in patients with IM. However, similar to patients with IM, figure 3A reveals a bias towards IgM reactivity, but this time to peptide L1, as the median value for this was the only one significantly above the 1.0 threshold. There were strong correlations between the intensity of the responses to the peptides among individual patients for IgM ($r = 0.664$), IgG ($0.836$), and IgA ($0.728$) (figure 3, B–D).

The PXDPDN sequence is crucial for antibody binding in human sera.

To further confirm that the anti-LMP1 responses we detected in human sera were dependent on PXDPDN and not directed against other epitopes in the long 57 amino acid L1 peptide, we developed 2 shorter LMP1 peptides (table e-1): peptide L2, corresponding to the N-terminal half of peptide L1 (amino acids 1–26 of L1) containing 2 PQDPDN motifs; and peptide L3 (amino acids 14–41 of L1), containing only the second PQDPDN motif. This analysis was performed in a subset of patients with IM and HD who had IgM and IgG responses to peptide L1. The large majority of IM sera displayed reactivity to peptide L2 (figure e-3A and table e-2) and peptide L3 (figure e-3A and table e-3), indicating that the IgM and IgA responses are not generated against epitopes located between amino acids 27–57 or 1–13 of L1 and strongly suggesting that PQDPDN is the predominant target in IM. The results for IgG are interesting, however, and indicate that 30%–40% of the anti-LMP1 IgG responses in patients with IM are generated against non-PQDPDN epitopes in the c-terminus of LMP1.

**Table 1** Proportion of IM sera showing antibody reactivity to peptides A and L1

|       | IgM, % (n) | IgG, % (n) | IgA, % (n) |
|-------|------------|------------|------------|
| A     | 85 (102/120) | 72 (86/120) | 43 (51/120) |
| L1    | 63 (76/120)  | 44 (53/120) | 43 (52/120) |
| Both  | 59 (71/120)  | 40 (48/120) | 28 (34/120) |

Abbreviations: IgA = immunoglobulin A; IgG = immunoglobulin G; IgM = immunoglobulin M; IM = infectious mononucleosis.
Relative to those observed in IM sera, L1-positive HD sera showed lower IgM, but similar IgG reactivity against L2 and L3 (figure 3A, figure e-3B, and tables e-2 and e-3). The IgM and IgG reaction to peptide L3 in these patients suggests that the anti-LMP1 responses may target additional epitopes c-terminal of the DPDN sequence.

**DISCUSSION** We extend our previous findings by revealing that a linear PVDPDN epitope in the c-terminal tail of a-syn represents the antigen mimicry domain underlying its recognition by anti-LMP1 antibodies. Adjacent residues may contribute to the homology, thereby extending the mimicry domain. For example, the ED and DD dipeptides, which lie 5 and 6 amino acids N-terminal to DPDN in both proteins, confer upstream negative charges. In addition, a common proline bend is introduced by the proline residue interposed between this negatively charged dipeptide and the DPDN sequence.

The highly charged c-terminal region of a-syn is a regulator of oligomerization, presumably by influencing the formation of intramolecular contacts. Agents binding to the mimicry domain, including the polyamine spermine, induce a-syn aggregation. By analogy, it is conceivable that immunoglobulins binding to the mimicry domain may induce a-syn aggregation. This may seem counterintuitive in light of the considerable attention currently being devoted to the antiaggregation potential of anti-a-syn antibodies. However, studies of prion protein have emphasized that influences imposed by immunoglobulins on protein misfolding propensity may be epitope-specific.

In one study, immunization of wild-type mice against tau protein resulted in the formation of neuronal neurofibrillary tangles and other Alzheimer-type changes. Although the factors underlying these changes are uncertain, and may be related to the hyperimmunization protocol employed by these investigators, these considerations

| Table 2 | Proportion of HD sera showing antibody reactivity to peptides A and L1 |
|---------|-------------------------------|
|          | IgM, % (n) | IgG, % (n) | IgA, % (n) |
| A        | 73 (24/33) | 48 (16/33) | 42 (14/33) |
| L1       | 97 (32/33) | 67 (22/33) | 70 (23/33) |
| Both     | 70 (23/33) | 48 (16/33) | 36 (12/33) |

Abbreviations: HD = Hodgkin disease; IgA = immunoglobulin A; IgG = immunoglobulin G; IgM = immunoglobulin M.

Figure 3 Antibody reactivity in Hodgkin disease (HD) sera

(A) Boxplot shows immunoglobulin M (IgM), immunoglobulin G (IgG), and immunoglobulin A (IgA) antibody responses to a-synuclein (a-syn) (peptide A) and latent membrane protein 1 (LMP1) (peptide L1) in HD sera. Vertical axis, box limits, horizontal lines, whiskers, and circles as for figure 2. (B–D) Scatterplots show strong correlation of normalized OD450 values for IgM (B), IgG (C), and IgA (D) reactivity to peptide A (vertical axis) and peptide L1 (horizontal axis). Each point represents 1 HD patient. Spearman $p = 0.664$, $p = 2.51 \times 10^{-5}$ for IgM; $0.836$, $p = 1.33 \times 10^{-6}$ for IgG; and $0.728$, $p = 1.57 \times 10^{-6}$ for IgA.
warrant prudent reassessment of the pleiotropic nature of antibody influences on neurodegenerative protein misfolding and aggregation.

We demonstrate that a sizeable proportion of EBV-infected human subgroups are capable of generating antibodies that cross-react with α-syn, indicating possible mimicry. These findings may have implications with respect to the existence of α-syn autoantibodies in human sera. Autoantibodies formed in response to EBV infection are common, perhaps due to the ability of the virus to produce a persistent infection and trigger a sustained immune surveillance throughout the life of the host. Previous studies have suggested that anti-LMP1 immune responses are subdominant.13,21,22 However, we have demonstrated clearly detectable anti-LMP1 antibody responses not only in IM, but also in HD, indicating that such responses are not confined exclusively to acute EBV infection, but may occur in other settings, such as, for example, reactivation.12,13 Interestingly, anti-LMP1 responses may participate in the immune surveillance of EBV-associated malignancies, suggesting that they may have biological significance.24 The antibody responses demonstrated in this study are clearly biased towards the IgM isotype. Indeed, it is only the IgM responses of IM sera to peptide A and of HD responses to peptide L1 that show median values substantially above the normalized cutoff value of 1. Nonetheless, tables 1 and 2 indicate that a substantial proportion of patients from both groups show what are probably low-level IgG and IgA reactivities to these peptides. It would be interesting to know, particularly in the case of IM (acute EBV infection), whether subsequent analysis of samples from these same patients would reveal elevated titers of IgA and IgG antibodies, reflecting class switching from the original IgM response.

Several investigators have reported the existence of α-syn autoantibodies targeting multiple epitopes in the sera of patients with PD as well as controls.5-6 The results of our study make it tempting to speculate that a proportion of these are actually generated against EBV. The existence of these autoantibodies implies a loss of tolerance to endogenous α-syn at some point in the lifetime of the individual. Molecular mimicry is a plausible candidate mechanism for this. We hypothesize that molecular mimicry induced by LMP1 may underlie the development of α-syn autoantibodies. Consistent with this possibility, Alvarez-Castelao et al.7 demonstrated that anti-α-syn antibodies were directed against the mimicry domain-containing c-terminal (aa 109–140) region of α-syn in a significant proportion of patients with PD and control individuals. Moreover, the amino acids around N122 (the asparagine residue which, when mutated, resulted in reduced antibody binding in the present study) were a major determinant for recognition by these autoantibodies.

Other studies have demonstrated that anti-α-syn autoantibodies are multiepitopic, recognizing regions along the entire length of the α-syn protein.9 This may seem difficult to reconcile with a possible role for the mimicry domain, confined to a small region of the α-syn c-terminus, in the genesis of such a diverse repertoire of antibodies. However, it is important to acknowledge the concept of epitope spreading. Loss of tolerance may be initiated with immune recognition of an isolated, initial cross-reactive epitope with subsequent spread to other epitopes on the same antigen (intramolecular epitope spreading), or even to other antigens (intermolecular epitope spreading).24 For example, patients with lupus harbor autoantibodies to a large but limited number of autoantigens, including the spliceosomal protein SmB/B’.25 However, serial studies have revealed a consistent temporal pattern whereby an initial immune response confined to the PPPGRRP sequence of EBNA1 cross-reacts with the PPPFMRP epitope of SmB/B’.26 This initial mimicry event triggers a cascade of epitope spreading with the sequential appearance of autoantibodies to neighboring SmB/B’ epitopes, distant SmB/B’ epitopes, and other antigens.27 Validation of our hypothesis regarding an LMP1-induced generation of polyclonal α-syn autoantibodies will require similar serial serum studies.

Several viruses have been implicated in the development of PD.28 Could this example of molecular mimicry with EBV have a role in PD pathogenesis? According to a currently popular model of PD pathogenesis,29 α-syn aggregation is initiated in the peripheral nervous system by some yet to be identified environmental trigger. Once initiated, α-syn aggregation propagates autonomously within and between neurons in a prion-like fashion. The self-propagating nature of α-syn aggregation permits consideration of a kiss-and-run mechanism, obviating the requirement for the persistence of the initial trigger. Thus, the absence of anti-LMP1/α-syn antibodies in late-stage PD sera, as demonstrated by us,30 does not exonerate them as disease triggers. A scenario consistent with our findings could be generation of antibodies targeting the mimicry domain during IM or EBV reactivation in susceptible individuals, leading to the initiation of α-syn aggregation, with subsequent dissipation of the offending immunoglobulins, but continued propagation of α-syn pathology. To our knowledge, no study has yet investigated whether a history of IM represents a risk factor for PD.

The results of our study provide evidence for a biologically relevant mimicry mechanism involving the LMP1 protein of EBV and human α-syn. It will be important to examine large cohorts of patients with
PD, particularly at early or premotor stages, for the presence of these cross-reactive antibodies.
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