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Abstract

We consider the application of stable marginally outer trapped surfaces to problems concerning the size of material bodies and the area of black holes. The results presented extend to general initial data sets \((V, g, K)\) previous results assuming either maximal \((\text{tr}_g K = 0)\) or time-symmetric \((K = 0)\) initial data.

PACS numbers: 04.20.-q, 04.50.Gh

1. Introduction

Let \(\Sigma\) be a co-dimension two spacelike submanifold of a spacetime \(M\). Under suitable orientation assumptions, there exist two families of future-directed null geodesics issuing orthogonally from \(\Sigma\). If one of the families has vanishing expansion along \(\Sigma\) then \(\Sigma\) is called a marginally outer trapped surface (or an apparent horizon). The notion of a marginally outer trapped surface (MOTS) was introduced early in the development of the theory of black holes, and plays a fundamental role in quasi-local descriptions of black holes; see e.g. [7]. MOTSs arose in a more purely mathematical context in the work of Schoen and Yau [23] concerning the existence of solutions to the Jang equation, in connection with their proof of positivity of mass.

Mathematically, MOTSs may be viewed as spacetime analogues of minimal surfaces in Riemannian manifolds. Despite the absence of a variational characterization for MOTSs like that for minimal surfaces, MOTSs have recently been shown to satisfy a number of analogous properties; see for example, [2–6, 12, 16]. Of importance to many of these developments is the fact, first discussed in [2], that MOTSs admit a notion of stability analogous, in the analytic sense, to that of minimal surfaces (cf, section 2).

In this paper we consider applications of stable MOTSs to two problems in general relativity. In section 3 we address the issue of how the size of a material body tends to be restricted by the amount of matter contained within it. More specifically, we consider an extension of a result of Schoen and Yau [24] concerning the size of material bodies to
2. Marginally outer trapped surfaces

We recall here some basic definitions and facts about marginally outer trapped surfaces. We refer the reader to [3, 4, 15, 16] for further details.

Let $V$ be a spacelike hypersurface in an $n+1$ dimensional, $n \geq 3$, spacetime $(M, g_M)$. Let $g = (,)$ and $K$ denote the induced metric and second fundamental form of $V$, respectively. To set sign conventions, for vectors $X, Y \in T_p V$, $K$ is defined as $K(X, Y) = \langle \nabla_X u, Y \rangle$, where $\nabla$ is the Levi-Civita connection of $M$ and $u$ is the future directed timelike unit vector field to $V$. Note that we are using the ‘Wald’, rather than the ‘ADM/MTW’, convention for the extrinsic curvature, i.e., positive tr $K$ implies expansion.

Let $\Sigma$ be a smooth compact hypersurface in $V$, perhaps with boundary $\partial \Sigma$, and assume $\Sigma$ is two-sided in $V$. Then $\Sigma$ admits a smooth unit normal field $\nu$ in $V$, unique up to sign. By convention, refer to such a choice as outward pointing. Then $l = u + v$ is a future directed outward pointing null normal vector field along $\Sigma$, unique up to positive scaling.

The null second fundamental form of $\Sigma$ with respect to $l$ is, for each $p \in \Sigma$, the bilinear form defined by

$$\chi : T_p \Sigma \times T_p \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}, \quad \chi(X, Y) = g_M(\nabla_X l, Y).$$

The null expansion $\theta$ of $\Sigma$ with respect to $l$ is obtained by tracing the null second fundamental form, $\theta = \text{tr}_g \chi = h^{AB} \chi_{AB} = \text{div}_g l$, where $h$ is the induced metric on $\Sigma$. In terms of the initial data $(V, g, K)$, $\theta = tr_h K + H$, where $H$ is the mean curvature of $\Sigma$ within $V$. It is well known that the sign of $\theta$ is invariant under positive scaling of the null vector field $l$.

If $\theta$ vanishes then $\Sigma$ is called a marginally outer trapped surface (MOTS). As mentioned in the introduction, MOTSs may be viewed as spacetime analogues of minimal surfaces in Riemannian geometry. In fact in the time-symmetric case ($K = 0$) a MOTS $\Sigma$ is simply a minimal surface in $V$. Of particular relevance for us is the fact that MOTSs admit a notion of stability analogous to that of minimal surfaces, as we now discuss.

Let $\Sigma$ be a MOTS in $V$ with outward unit normal $v$. We consider variations $t \to \Sigma_t$ of $\Sigma = \Sigma_0, -\epsilon < t < \epsilon$, with variation vector field $\nu = \phi \nu, \phi \in C_0^\infty(\Sigma)$, where $C_0^\infty(\Sigma)$ denotes the space of smooth functions on $\Sigma$ that vanish on the boundary of $\Sigma$, if there is one. Let $\theta(t)$ denote the null expansion of $\Sigma_t$ with respect to $l_t = u + v_t$, where $u$ is the future directed timelike unit normal to $V$ and $v_t$ is the outer unit normal to $\Sigma_t$ in $V$. A computation shows

$$\frac{d\theta}{dt} \bigg|_{t=0} = L(\phi),$$

where $L : C_0^\infty(\Sigma) \to C_0^\infty(\Sigma)$ is the operator,

$$L(\phi) = -\Delta \phi + \langle X, \nabla \phi \rangle + \left( \frac{1}{2} S - (\mu + \langle J, v \rangle) - \frac{1}{2} |\chi|^2 + \text{div} X - |X|^2 \right) \phi.$$

In the above, $S$ is the scalar curvature of $\Sigma$, $\mu = G(u, u)$, where $G = \text{Ric}_M - \frac{1}{2} R_M g_M$ is the Einstein tensor of spacetime, $J$ is the vector field on $V$ dual to the one form $G(u, \cdot)$, and $X$ is the vector field on $\Sigma$ defined by taking the tangential part of $\nabla u$ along $\Sigma$. In terms of initial data, the Gauss–Codazzi equations imply $\mu = \frac{1}{2} (S_V + (\text{tr } K)^2 - |K|^2)$ and $J = (\text{div } K)^2 - \nabla (\text{tr } K)$.
In the time-symmetric case, $\theta$ becomes the mean curvature $H$, the vector field $X$ vanishes and $L$ reduces to the classical stability operator of minimal surface theory. In analogy with the minimal surface case, we refer to $L$ in (2.2) as the stability operator associated with variations in the null expansion $\theta$. Although in general $L$ is not self-adjoint, its principal eigenvalue $\lambda_1(L)$ is real. Moreover there exists an associated eigenfunction $\phi$ which is positive on $\Sigma \setminus \partial \Sigma$. Continuing the analogy with the minimal surface case, we say that a MOTS is stable provided $\lambda_1(L) \geq 0$. (In the minimal surface case this is equivalent to the second variation of area being nonnegative.) Note that if $\phi$ is positive, we are moving ‘outwards’ from the MOTS $\Sigma$, and if there are no outer trapped surfaces outside of $\Sigma$, then there shall exist no positive $\phi$ for which $L(\phi) < 0$. It follows in this case that $\Sigma$ is stable [3, 4, 15].

As it turns out, stable MOTSs share a number of properties in common with minimal surfaces. This sometimes depends on the following fact. Consider the ‘symmetrized’ operator $L_0 : C^\infty_0(\Omega) \to C^\infty_0(\Omega)$,

$$L_0(\phi) = -\triangle \phi + \left(\frac{1}{2} S - (\mu + \langle J, \nu \rangle) - \frac{1}{2}|\chi|^2\right) \phi$$

formally obtained by setting $X = 0$ in (2.2). Then arguments in [16] show the following (see also [3, 15]).

**Proposition 2.1.** $\lambda_1(L_0) \geq \lambda_1(L)$.

We will say that a MOTS is symmetric stable if $\lambda_1(L_0) \geq 0$; hence ‘stable’ implies ‘symmetric stable’.

### 3. On the size of material bodies

In this section we restrict attention to four-dimensional spacetimes $M$, and hence three-dimensional initial data sets $(V, g, K)$, $\dim V = 3$.

It is a long held view in general relativity that the size of a material body is limited by the amount of matter contained within it. There are several precise results in the literature supporting this point of view. In [14], it was shown, roughly, that the size of a stationary fluid body is bound by the reciprocal of the difference of the density and rotation of the fluid. In this case ‘size’ refers to the radius of the largest distance ball contained in the body.

More closely related to the considerations of the present paper is the result of Schoen and Yau [24] which asserts that for a maximal (tr $K = 0$) initial data set $(V, g, K)$, the size of a body $\Omega \subset V$ is bound by the reciprocal of the square root of the minimum of the energy density $\mu$ on $\Omega$. In this case ‘size’ refers to the radius of the largest tubular neighborhood in $\Omega$ of a loop contractible in $\Omega$ but not contractible in the tubular neighborhood. As was discussed in [21], this notion of size can be replaced by a notion based on the size of the largest stable minimal surface contained in $\Omega$. As argued there, this in general gives a larger measure of the size of a body, but must still satisfy the same Schoen–Yau bound. The aim of this section is to observe that a similar result holds without the maximality assumption if one replaces minimal surfaces with MOTSs.

Let $V$ be a three-dimensional spacelike hypersurface, which gives rise to the initial data set $(V, g, K)$, as in section 2. Consider a body in $V$ by which we mean a connected open set $\Omega \subset V$ with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$. We describe a precise measure of the size of $\Omega$ in terms of MOTSs.

---

3 If $\Sigma$ has nonempty boundary, we mean the principal Dirichlet eigenvalue.

4 This is formulated most simply when $\Omega$ is bounded and mean convex, meaning that the boundary of $\Omega$ has mean curvature $H > 0$. Then geometric measure theory guarantees the existence of many smooth least area surfaces contained in $\Omega$. 
contained within $\Omega$. Let $\Sigma$ be a compact connected surface with boundary $\partial \Sigma$ contained in $\Omega$. Let $x$ be a point in $\Sigma$ furthest from $\partial \Sigma$ in $\Omega$, i.e., $x$ satisfies $d_\Omega(x, \partial \Sigma) = \sup_{y \in \Sigma} d_\Omega(y, \partial \Sigma)$, where $d_\Omega$ is distance measured within $\Omega$. Then the (ambient) radius of $\Sigma$, $R(\Sigma)$, is defined as $R(\Sigma) = d_\Omega(x, \partial \Sigma)$. We then define the radius of $\Omega$, $R(\Omega)$ as follows:

$$R(\Omega) = \sup_{\Sigma} R(\Sigma),$$

(3.1)

where the sup is taken over all compact connected symmetric-stable MOTSs with boundary contained in $\Omega$. Now this can only be a reasonable measure of the size of $\Omega$ if there are a plentiful number of large symmetric-stable MOTSs contained in $\Omega$. But in fact a recent result of Eichmair [12] guarantees the existence of such MOTSs, subject to a natural convexity condition on the body $\Omega$. We say that $\Omega$ is a null mean convex body provided its boundary $\partial \Omega$ has positive outward null expansion, $\theta_+ > 0$, and negative inward null expansion, $\theta_- < 0$. The following is an immediate consequence of theorem 5.1 in [12].

**Theorem 3.1.** Let $\Omega$ be a relatively compact null mean convex body, with connected boundary, in the three-dimensional initial data set $(V, g, K)$. Let $\sigma$ be a closed curve on $\partial \Omega$ that separates $\partial \Omega$ into two connected components. Then there exists a smooth compact symmetric-stable MOTS $\Sigma$ with boundary $\sigma$, such that $\Sigma \setminus \sigma \subset \Omega$.

The fact that $\Sigma$ is symmetric stable follows from a straightforward modification of arguments in [23, p 254]; see also the discussion at the end of section 4 in [12]. In fact, a variation of the arguments in [5, section 4], may well imply that the MOTS $\Sigma$ constructed in Eichmair’s theorem is actually stable. If that were the case, then $R(\Omega)$ could be defined in terms of stable, rather than symmetric-stable, MOTS, which we believe would be conceptually preferable.

We now state our basic result about the size of bodies.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let $\Omega$ be a body in the initial data set $(V, g, K)$, and suppose there exists $c > 0$ such that $\mu - |J| \geq c$ on $\Omega$. Then,

$$R(\Omega) \leq \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{3}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{c}}.$$

(3.2)

**Proof.** The proof is similar to the proof of theorem 1 in [24]. The latter follows essentially as a special case of the more general proposition 1 in [24]. For the convenience of the reader we present here a simple direct proof of theorem 3.2, which involves a variation of the arguments in [24].

Let $\Sigma$ be a symmetric-stable MOTS with boundary $\partial \Sigma$ in $\Omega$; hence $\lambda_1 = \lambda_1(L_0) \geq 0$. Choose an associated eigenfunction $\psi$ such that $\psi > 0$ on $\Sigma \setminus \partial \Sigma$. In fact, by perturbing the boundary $\partial \Sigma$ ever so slightly into $\Sigma$, we may assume without loss of generality that $\psi > 0$ on $\Sigma$. Substituting $\phi = \psi$ into equation (2.3), we obtain

$$\Delta \psi = - (\mu + \langle J, v \rangle + \frac{1}{2} |\chi|^2 + \lambda_1 - \kappa) \psi.$$

(3.3)

where $\kappa = \frac{1}{2} S$ is the Gaussian curvature of $\Sigma$ in the induced metric $h$.

Now consider $\Sigma$ in the conformally related metric $\tilde{h} = \psi h$. The Gaussian curvature of $(\Sigma, \tilde{h})$ is related to the Gaussian curvature of $(\Sigma, h)$ by

$$\tilde{k} = \psi^{-2} k - \psi^{-3} \Delta \psi + \psi^{-4} |\psi|^2.$$

(3.4)

Combining (3.3) and (3.4) we obtain

$$\tilde{k} = \psi^{-2} (Q + \psi^{-2} |\nabla \psi|^2),$$

(3.5)
where

\[ Q = \mu + \langle J, \nu \rangle + \frac{1}{2}|\chi|^2 + \lambda_1. \]  (3.6)

Now let \( x \) be a point in \( \Sigma \) furthest from \( \partial \Sigma \) in \( \Omega \), as in the definition of \( R(\Sigma) \). Let \( \gamma \) be a shortest curve in \( (\Sigma, \tilde{h}) \) from \( x \) to \( \partial \Sigma \). Then \( \gamma \) is a geodesic in \( (\Sigma, \tilde{h}) \), and by Synge’s formula [22] for the second variation of arc length, we have along \( \gamma \),

\[ \int_0^{\tilde{\ell}} \left( \frac{df}{ds} \right)^2 - \tilde{\kappa} f^2 \, ds \geq 0, \]  (3.7)

for all smooth functions \( f \) defined on \([0, \tilde{\ell}]\) that vanish at the end points, where \( \tilde{\ell} \) is the \( \tilde{h} \)-length of \( \gamma \) and \( \tilde{s} \) is \( \tilde{h} \)-arc length along \( \gamma \). By making the change of variable \( s = s(\tilde{s}) \), where \( s \) is \( h \)-arc length along \( \gamma \), and using equation (3.5), we arrive at

\[ \int_0^\ell \psi^{-1}(f')^2 - (Q + \psi^{-2} |\nabla \psi|^2) \psi^{-1} f^2 \, ds \geq 0, \]  (3.8)

for all smooth functions \( f \) defined on \([0, \ell]\) that vanish at the endpoints, where \( \ell \) is the \( h \)-length of \( \gamma \), and \( \ell' = \frac{d}{ds} \).

Setting \( k = \psi^{-1/2} f \) in (3.8), we obtain after a small manipulation,

\[ \int_0^\ell (k')^2 - Qk^2 + \psi^{-1} \psi' k' - \frac{3}{4} \psi^{-2} (\psi')^2 k^2 \, ds \geq 0, \]  (3.9)

where \( \psi' \) is shorthand for \( (\psi \circ \gamma)' \), etc. Completing the square on the last two terms of the integrand,

\[ \frac{1}{4} \psi^{-2} (\psi')^2 k^2 - \psi^{-1} \psi' k' = \left( \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \psi^{-1} \psi' - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} k' \right)^2 - \frac{1}{3} (k')^2, \]

we see that (3.9) implies

\[ \int_0^{\ell} \frac{4}{3} (k')^2 - Qk^2 \, ds \geq 0. \]  (3.10)

Since, from (3.6), we have that \( Q \geq \mu - |J| \geq c \), (3.10) implies

\[ \frac{4}{3} \int_0^{\ell} (k')^2 \, ds \geq c \int_0^{\ell} k^2 \, ds. \]  (3.11)

Setting \( k = \sin \frac{\psi}{\ell} \) in (3.11) then gives

\[ \ell \leq \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{3}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{c}}. \]  (3.12)

Since \( R(\Sigma) \leq \ell \), the result follows. \( \square \)

4. On the area of black holes in asymptotically anti-de Sitter spacetimes

A basic step in the classical black hole uniqueness theorems is Hawking’s theorem on the topology of black holes [19] which asserts that cross sections of the event horizon in \((3 + 1)\)-dimensional asymptotically flat stationary black hole spacetimes obeying the dominant energy condition are topologically 2-spheres. As shown by Hawking [18], this conclusion also holds for outermost MOTSs in spacetimes that are not necessarily stationary. In [15, 16] a natural generalization of these results to higher dimensional spacetimes was obtained by showing that cross sections of the event horizon (in the stationary case) and outermost MOTSs (in the general case) are of positive Yamabe type, i.e., admit metrics of positive scalar curvature. This
implies many well-known restrictions on the topology, and is consistent with recent examples of five-dimensional stationary black hole spacetimes with horizon topology $S^2 \times S^1$ [13].

These results on black hole topology depend crucially on the dominant energy condition. Indeed, there is a well-known class of $(3+1)$-dimensional static locally anti-de Sitter black hole spacetimes which are solutions to the vacuum Einstein equations with negative cosmological constant $\Lambda$ having horizon topology of arbitrary genus $g$ [10, 20]. Higher dimensional versions of these topological black holes have been considered in [9, 20]. However, as Gibbons pointed out in [17], although Hawking’s theorem does not hold in the asymptotically locally anti-de Sitter setting, his basic argument still leads to an interesting conclusion. Gibbons showed that for three-dimensional time-symmetric initial data sets that give rise to spacetimes satisfying the Einstein equations with $\Lambda < 0$, outermost MOTSs $\Sigma$ (which are stable minimal surfaces in this case) must satisfy the area bound,

$$\text{Area}(\Sigma) \geq \frac{4\pi (g - 1)}{|\Lambda|},$$

(4.1)

where $g$ is the genus of $\Sigma$. Woolgar [25] obtained a similar bound in the general, nontime-symmetric, case. Hence, at least for stationary black holes, black hole entropy has a lower bound depending on a global topological invariant.

In [11] Cai and Galloway considered an extension of Gibbon’s result to higher dimensional spacetimes. There it was shown, for time-symmetric initial data, that a bound similar to that obtained by Gibbons still holds, but where the genus is replaced by the so-called $\sigma$-constant (or Yamabe invariant). The $\sigma$-constant is a diffeomorphism invariant of smooth compact manifolds that in dimension 2 reduces to a multiple of the Euler characteristic; see [11] and references therein for further details. The aim of this section is to observe that this result extends to the general, nontime-symmetric case.

We begin by recalling the definition of the $\sigma$-constant. Let $\Sigma^{n-1}, n \geq 3$, be a smooth compact (without boundary) $(n - 1)$-dimensional manifold. If $g$ is a Riemannian metric on $\Sigma^{n-1}$, let $[g]$ denote the conformal class of $g$. The Yamabe constant with respect to $[g]$, which we denote by $\mathcal{Y}[g]$, is the number,

$$\mathcal{Y}[g] = \inf_{\tilde{g} \in [g]} \left( \frac{\int_{\Sigma} S_{\tilde{g}} \, d\mu_{\tilde{g}}}{\int_{\Sigma} d\mu_{\tilde{g}}} \right)^{-\frac{n-2}{n}},$$

(4.2)

where $S_{\tilde{g}}$ and $d\mu_{\tilde{g}}$ are respectively the scalar curvature and volume measure of $\Sigma^{n-1}$ in the metric $\tilde{g}$. The expression involving integrals is just the volume-normalized total scalar curvature of $(\Sigma, \tilde{g})$. The solution to the Yamabe problem, due to Yamabe, Trudinger, Aubin and Schoen, guarantees that the infimum in (4.2) is achieved by a metric of constant scalar curvature.

The $\sigma$-constant of $\Sigma$ is defined by taking the supremum of the Yamabe constants over all conformal classes,

$$\sigma(\Sigma) = \sup_{[g]} \mathcal{Y}[g].$$

(4.3)

As observed by Aubin, the supremum is finite, and in fact bounded above in terms of the volume of the standard unit $(n - 1)$-sphere $S^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. The $\sigma$-constant divides the family of compact manifolds into three classes according to (1) $\sigma(\Sigma) > 0$, (2) $\sigma(\Sigma) = 0$, and (3) $\sigma(\Sigma) < 0$.

In the case dim $\Sigma = 2$, the Gauss–Bonnet theorem implies $\sigma(\Sigma) = 4\pi \chi(\Sigma) = 8\pi (1 - g)$. Note that the inequality (4.1) only gives information when $\chi(\Sigma) < 0$. Correspondingly, in higher dimensions, we shall only be interested in the case when $\sigma(\Sigma) < 0$. It follows from the resolution of the Yamabe problem that $\sigma(\Sigma) \leq 0$ if and only if $\Sigma$ does not carry a metric.
of positive scalar curvature. In this case, and with \( \text{dim } \Sigma = 3 \), Anderson [1] has shown, as an application of Perlman’s work on the geometrization conjecture, that \( \sigma(\Sigma) \) is determined by the volume of the ‘hyperbolic part’ of \( \Sigma \), which when present implies \( \sigma(\Sigma) < 0 \). In particular, all closed hyperbolic 3-manifolds have negative \( \sigma \)-constant.

We now turn to the spacetime setting. In what follows, all MOTSs are compact without boundary. The following theorem extends theorem 5 in [11] to the nontime-symmetric case.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let \( \Sigma^{n-1} \) be a stable MOTS in the initial data set \((V^n, g, K)\), \( n \geq 4 \), such that \( \sigma(\Sigma) < 0 \). Suppose there exists \( c > 0 \), such that \( \mu + \langle J, \nu \rangle \geq -c \) along \( \Sigma \). Then the \((n-1)\)-volume of \( \Sigma \) satisfies

\[
\text{vol}(\Sigma^{n-1}) \geq \left( \frac{|\sigma(\Sigma)|}{2c} \right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}.
\]  

(4.4)

We make some comments about the assumptions. Suppose \( V \) is a spacelike hypersurface in a spacetime \((M, g_M)\), satisfying the Einstein equation with cosmological term

\[
G + \Lambda g_M = T,
\]

(4.5)

where, as in section 2, \( G = \text{Ric}_M - \frac{1}{2} R_M g_M \) is the Einstein tensor, and \( T \) is the energy–momentum tensor. Thus, setting \( \ell = u + \nu \), we have along \( \Sigma \) in \( V \),

\[
\mu + \langle J, \nu \rangle = G(u, \ell) = T(u, \ell) + \Lambda 
\]

\[
\geq -|\Lambda|
\]

(4.6)

provided \( \Lambda < 0 \) and \( T(u, \ell) \geq 0 \). Hence, when \( \Lambda < 0 \) and the fields giving rise to \( T \) obey the dominant energy condition, the energy condition in theorem 4.1 is satisfied with \( c = |\Lambda| \).

We briefly comment on the stability assumption. As defined in [15], a MOTS \( \Sigma \) is weakly outermost in \( V \) provided there are no strictly outer trapped surfaces outside of, and homologous to \( \Sigma \) in \( V \). Weakly outermost MOTSs are necessarily stable, as noted in section 2, and arise naturally in a number of physical situations. For example, smooth compact cross sections of the event horizon in stationary black hole spacetimes obeying the null energy condition, are necessarily weakly outermost MOTSs. Moreover, results of Andersson and Metzger [5] provide natural criteria for the existence of weakly outermost MOTSs in general black hole spacetimes containing trapped regions.

**Proof of theorem 4.1.** The proof is a simple modification of the proof of theorem 5 in [11]. By the stability assumption and proposition 2.1, we have \( \lambda_1(L_0) \geq 0 \), where \( L_0 \) is the operator given in (2.3). The Rayleigh formula

\[
\lambda_1(L_0) = \inf_{\phi \neq 0} \frac{\int_\Sigma \phi L_0(\phi) \, d\mu}{\int_\Sigma \phi^2 \, d\mu}
\]

together with an integration by parts yields the *stability inequality*

\[
\int_\Sigma (|\nabla \phi|^2 + \left( \frac{1}{2} S - (\mu + \langle J, \nu \rangle) - \frac{1}{2} |\chi|^2 \right) \phi^2 \, d\mu \geq 0,
\]

(4.7)

for all \( \phi \in C^\infty(\Sigma) \).

The Yamabe constant \( \mathcal{Y}[h] \), where \( h \) is the induced metric on \( \Sigma \), can be expressed as [8],

\[
\mathcal{Y}[h] = \inf_{\phi \in C^\infty(\Sigma), \phi > 0} \frac{\int_\Sigma \left( \frac{4(n-2)}{n-3} |\nabla \phi|^2 + S \phi^2 \right) \, d\mu}{\left( \int_\Sigma \phi^\frac{2(n-2)}{n-3} \, d\mu \right)^\frac{n-3}{n-2}}
\]

(4.8)
Noting that $\frac{4(n-2)}{n-3} > 2$, the stability inequality implies
\[
\int_\Sigma \frac{4(n-2)}{n-3} \left| \nabla \phi \right|^2 + S \phi^2 \, d\mu \geq \int_\Sigma 2(\mu + (J, v)) \phi^2 \, d\mu \\
\geq -2c \int_\Sigma \phi^2 \, d\mu.
\] (4.9)

By Hölder’s inequality we have
\[
\int_\Sigma \phi^2 \, d\mu \leq \left( \int_\Sigma \phi \frac{n-1}{n-3} \, d\mu \right)^{\frac{n-3}{n-1}} \left( \int_\Sigma 1 \, d\mu \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}}.
\] (4.10)

which, when combined with (4.9), gives
\[
\int_\Sigma \left( \frac{4(n-2)}{n-3} \left| \nabla \phi \right|^2 + S \phi^2 \right) \, d\mu \geq -2c (\text{vol}(\Sigma))^\frac{2}{n-1}.
\] (4.11)

Making use of this inequality in (4.8) gives $\sqrt{\left| h \right|} \geq -2c (\text{vol}(\Sigma))^\frac{1}{n-1}$, or, equivalently,
\[
\text{vol}(\Sigma^{n-1}) \geq \left( \frac{\sqrt{\left| h \right|}}{2c} \right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}.
\] (4.12)

Since $|\sigma(\Sigma)| \leq |\sqrt{\left| h \right|}|$, the result follows. \[\square\]
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