Gene Regulation by the LiaSR Two-Component System in Streptococcus mutans
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Abstract

The LiaSR two-component signal transduction system regulates cellular responses to several environmental stresses, including those that induce cell envelope damages. Downstream regulons of the LiaSR system have been implicated in tolerance to acid, antibiotics and detergents. In the dental pathogen Streptococcus mutans, the LiaSR system is necessary for tolerance against acid, antibiotics, and cell wall damaging stresses during growth in the oral cavity. To understand the molecular mechanisms by which LiaSR regulates gene expression, we created a mutant LiaR in which the conserved aspartic acid residue (the phosphorylation site), was changed to alanine residue (D58A). As expected, the LiaR-D58A variant was unable to acquire the phosphate group and bind to target promoters. We also noted that the predicted LiaR-binding motif upstream of the lia operon does not appear to be well conserved. Consistent with this observation, we found that LiaR was unable to bind to the promoter region of lia; however, we showed that LiaR was able to bind to the promoters of SMU.753, SMU.2084 and SMU.1727. Based on sequence analysis and DNA binding studies we proposed a new 25-bp conserved motif essential for LiaR binding. Introducing alterations at fully conserved positions in the 25-bp motif affected LiaR binding, and the binding was dependent on the combination of positions that were altered. By scanning the S. mutans genome for the occurrence of the newly defined LiaR binding motif, we identified the promoter of hrcA (encoding a key regulator of the heat shock response) that contains a LiaR binding motif, and we showed that hrcA is negatively regulated by the LiaSR system. Taken together our results suggest a putative role of the LiaSR system in heat shock responses of S. mutans.

Introduction

Two-component systems (TCS) in pathogenic bacteria are key factors that dictate survival in hostile niches, as they are necessary to sense and respond to environmental stresses. These systems are also known to regulate the expression of multiple virulence factors in a variety of human pathogens. The regulatory cascade effected by these systems typically involves two proteins: a sensor kinase, that autophosphorylates in response to the stress and transfers phosphate to a response regulator protein, which then regulates gene expression.
to a response regulator, which then dimerizes and binds to specific sequences in the promoter of its target genes and modulates their expression. Although autophosphorylation by the sensor kinase and phosphotransfer to the cognate response regulator are considered key steps in the regulatory cascade, response regulators are also known to acquire the phosphate group from other non-cognate sensor kinases and from cellular small molecular phosphodonors such as acetyl phosphate [1]. TCS in pathogens regulate chemotaxis; biofilm formation; resistance to environmental stresses (pH, temperature, salinity, osmolarity, antibiotics, and others); biotic stresses (host antimicrobials and other competing microbes) and therefore are critical for persistence in the niche [2]. Thus, drugs targeting these systems are becoming attractive options in the efforts to curb virulence and persistence [3, 4].

The LiaSR constitute a TCS that is widely found in Gram-positive bacteria with low G+C content and is known to regulate multiple targets that determine virulence, stress tolerance and persistence in these bacteria [5–10]. The LiaSR system has been most studied in Bacillus subtilis where it is encoded as a part of the liaIHGFSR operon. Deletion of several genes in this operon led to increased sensitivity to cell wall targeting antibiotics [9–12]. Of these, liaF has been consistently found upstream of liaSR suggesting that it might play a role in functioning of the LiaSR pathway. Subsequently, deletion of liaF was shown to deregulate expression from the liaIHGFSR promoter suggesting that LiaF could negatively autoregulate the operon [9, 11]. A striking characteristic of the LiaSR system is that its expression is induced upon exposure to antibiotics that target the cell envelope by interfering with the lipid II cycle of cell wall biogenesis (bacitracin, vancomycin, and others) [5, 6, 10, 13]. Orthologs of the liaSR genes have been found in several pathogenic bacteria and shown to be involved in sensing cell-wall, antibiotic, acid, and detergent stresses. Staphylococcus aureus for example, harbors the VraSR system and mutations in this TCS have been shown to be involved in increasing resistance to antibiotics [14–16]. Orthologs have also been detected and characterized in the food borne pathogens Listeria monocytogenes; Enterococcus sp., where multi-drug resistance is evolving rapidly; and in streptococci, where the role of LiaSR has been implicated in acid, detergent and antibiotic stress response [6, 13, 17, 18].

In S. mutans UA159, LiaS and LiaR are expressed from a three-gene operon (liaFSR: SMU.485, SMU.486 and SMU.487) along with the LiaF. LiaF functions as an inhibitor of liaFSR expression both in S. mutans [5] and in B. subtilis [9], where it is believed to affect the functioning of LiaS [19]. Reverse transcriptase PCR and Northern blotting have indicated earlier that the liaFSR operon is transcriptionally fused to downstream genes SMU.488 and SMU.489 and produces a pentacistronic transcript [5]. Earlier work from our lab suggests that inactivation of liaS provided the mutant strain with a growth advantage in the presence of antibiotics and inhibitors of DNA replication as compared to the wild type [20]. LiaS has also been shown to negatively regulate the expression of a glucan binding protein (gbpC), which is critical for adhesion to surfaces; and to positively regulate production of mutacin IV [21]. Inactivation of liaR however, did not affect the expression of any of the virulence factors, suggesting that either LiaS could involve in cross-talk with other TCS or that LiaS inactivates LiaR function [21]. Global expression profiling of a liaR deletion strain of S.mutans UA159 under biofilm formation conditions has revealed a host of 174 genes possibly regulated by LiaR either directly or indirectly [22]. Only a few regulons have been predicted to be directly regulated by LiaR including SMU.485 (LiaF), SMU.753 (a PspC domain containing protein), SMU.1727 (Oxa2 class transcriptional regulator) and SMU.2084 (SpxB regulatory protein involved in cell wall homeostasis) [5, 6].

Since most of the fundamental functional aspects of the LiaSR TCS in S. mutans were extrapolated from homologs in other bacteria, we revisited the function of this TCS by attempting to clarify the existing ambiguities. Though several regulons of LiaR have been predicted and
identified in *S. mutans*, it is still unclear whether these regulons are directly under the control of the LiaR or are indirectly regulated by one of the transcriptional regulators under the control of the LiaSR TCS [5, 22]. This complicates the identification of a consensus LiaR binding motif. LiaR binding sites have been predicted in *L. lactis* (CesR) and *S. pneumoniae* by independent groups largely based on the presumption that LiaR binds to the *liaFSR* promoter (P<sub>SMU.485</sub>) and regulates the expression levels of its own operon (*liaFSR*) [5, 6]. These predicted sites, when compared to the LiaR-binding site in P<sub>SMU.485</sub> differs by a few key residues that were fully conserved in other promoters. In this study, by segregating the direct regulons of LiaSR in *S. mutans* we have newly generated a LiaR-binding consensus and redefined regulation by this TCS.

**Material and Methods**

**Bacterial strains and growth conditions**

*S. mutans* strains were grown in Todd-Hewitt medium (BBL, BD) supplemented with 0.2% yeast extract (THY). THY broth was supplemented with 300 μg/ml kanamycin (Km) or 5 μg/ml erythromycin when necessary. *E. coli* strains were routinely grown in Luria-Bertani medium supplemented with 50 μg/ml Km, 100 μg/ml Ampicillin (Ap) or 300 μg/ml Em as required.

**Construction of a liaR clean deletion mutant**

To construct IBSA13 (∆liaR) strain, we first amplified the entire *liaFSR* region (~3.2kb) with primers SMU484L486F2 and BamSMU487R2 (for all primers, see Table 1) and the resultant fragment was cloned into pGemTEZ vector (Promega, USA) to create pIBA3. Plasmid pIBA3 was restricted with NheI and BbsI and a loxP-Km cassette ([23]) was inserted after blunting to

**Table 1. List of oligonucleotides used in this study.**

| Primer Name          | Sequence (5'-3')                      | Target / Purpose                  |
|----------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| EcoRSMU487F1         | GCCGAAATTCAATGCTGATGAGTAAAACAAAAGTTATACTGG | liaR expression                  |
| BamSMU487R2          | CGCGGATCCAACTCTGTTGGTTTTGGAAGACACAGC | liaR expression                  |
| LiaRD58AF            | GAGCGTGTGCTGTTGCTGCTGTTATGCAAGAA | D58E mutant creation             |
| LiaRD58AR            | TTTTGCATTACAAACAGCCTGACAACCTGC      | D58E mutant creation             |
| SMU486ECOEXF1        | GCCGAAATTTCCCTACCAAAATTAAAAACGGCTTCTGC | liaS expression                  |
| SMU486HINDEXR1       | CGCGGACATTTTCTACATCAGCATCCCCCTTATTAGG | liaS expression                  |
| 4QPsmu.485F          | CATGTCATTTGATTTATTGTTAT        | P<sub>SMU.485</sub> amplification |
| 4QPsmu.485R          | AGCTTAAGTATCATACATACATTTAT | P<sub>SMU.485</sub> amplification |
| 4QPsmu.753F          | ATTACCAATGGACCTGTTGG | P<sub>SMU.753</sub> amplification |
| 4QPsmu.753R          | ACAACAACTCTCCTCTTTTTTA | P<sub>SMU.753</sub> amplification |
| 4QPsmu.1727F         | GCTTTATATACCAATCCCTAA | P<sub>SMU.1727</sub> amplification |
| 4QPsmu.1727R         | GATAATTTCTTTTGGATTATATTAAT | P<sub>SMU.1727</sub> amplification |
| 4QPsmu.2084F         | TTAATGAGGAGGATATGCTAGAGG | P<sub>SMU.2084</sub> amplification |
| 4QPsmu.2084R         | GTTTAATCTCCTCTTTCTCTTTACAG | P<sub>SMU.2084</sub> amplification |
| 4QLiaIntF            | CTATGTTGAGAAGGAGATTTTTTGG | Alt. P<sub>SMU.485</sub> amplification |
| 4QLiaIntR            | CATAGGCTTTCTCAGTCTCAC | Alt. P<sub>SMU.485</sub> amplification |
| 4QPhrcAF             | ACACGAATTAAACTAAGCTTCTGAACGTC | P<sub>hrcA</sub> amplification |
| 4QPhrcAR             | CCTAAGTCCACCTCCCATCA | P<sub>hrcA</sub> amplification |
| 4QRTthrAC            | GTGGAAGGCTTAAAGGACCAACCTT | hrcA (qPCR)                     |
| 4QRTthrAR            | GAAATGCGAATTGGACGGTTA | hrcA (qPCR)                     |
| 4QRTtrpBF            | AGCCATTCACCTGCTTTTTCCAT | rpoB (qPCR)                     |
| 4QRTtrpBR            | CGCTGTTTCTAATCTGGAACCTG | rpoB (qPCR)                     |

DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0128083.t001
generate pIBA9.2. S. mutans UA159 was transformed with pIBA9.2 after linearizing with NotI and Km resistant transformants were selected and verified for the insertion of the Km cassette by PCR. Subsequently, the Km cassette was excised out with the help of pCrePA as described before [23]. The resultant clean liaR deletion strain was verified by PCR followed by sequencing the entire lia operon.

**LiaR protein expression and purification**

The LiaR encoding ORF was amplified from the *S. mutans* UA159 chromosome by PCR using primers EcoRSMU487F1 and BamSMU487R2, digested with BamHI and EcoRI and ligated to the tetracycline-inducible protein expression vector pASK-IBA43+ (IBA, Germany) digested with the same enzymes to generate pIBE11. *E. coli* BL21 cells containing the resulting construct pIBE11 were used to express the recombinant protein fused to an N-terminal histidine tag. Protein expression was induced in cultures at an OD_{600} of ~0.6 using anhydrotetracycline (0.2μg/ml) for 4hrs at 30°C. The recombinant protein was purified by affinity chromatography using nickel-NTA resin following standard protocol. Plasmid pIBE11 was used as template in a long-range PCR reaction with mutagenic primers (LiaRD58AF and LiaRD58AR) to amplify the entire plasmid. These primers introduced a C→A mutation at position 173 in the liaR ORF, thereby causing a D58A replacement in the protein sequence. After sequencing both strands to verify the mutation, this construct, pIBE29, was used for the recombinant expression of LiaR-D58A protein. A fragment containing liaS was amplified from *S. mutans* UA159 chromosome using primers SMU486ECOEXF1 and SMU486HINDEXR1, and was digested with HindIII and EcoRI and ligated to the IPTG-inducible protein expression vector pMalC4 (NEB, USA) to yield pIBA13. The recombinant protein, fused to a maltose binding protein (MBP) tag was purified by affinity chromatography on amylose resin.

**Phosphorylation and phosphotransfer assays**

They were carried out as described earlier [24] with some modifications. To demonstrate autophosphorylation, purified LiaS at a final concentration of ~1μM was incubated in phosphorylation buffer 1 containing 20mM Tris-Cl, 50mM KCl, 10mM MnCl_{2}, and 1mM DTT in the presence of 10μCi γ^{32}P-dATP for varying times. Phosphotransfer from LiaS to LiaR was demonstrated by incubating a mixture of 1μM-phosphorylated LiaS and 2μM LiaR in phosphorylation buffer 1 for varying times. The reaction products were resolved on SDS-PAGE (10%) gels, which were dried, exposed to phosphor screens and imaged on a Typhoon FLA 9500 biomolecular imager. To assess LiaR phosphorylation by small phosphodonors, ~5μg of purified His-LiaR or His-LiaR-D58A were incubated in phosphorylation buffer 2 containing 50mM Tris-Cl, 50mM KCl, 20mM MnCl_{2}, 1mM DTT at pH 7.5, with or without 50mM acetyl phosphate (Ac-Po4) or 20mM phosphoamidate (PA, [25, 26]) for 1 h at 37°C and then resolved by Phos-Tag-SDS PAGE [27].

**Electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSA)**

EMSA were carried out as described previously [28] with minor modifications. EMSA reactions were conducted in binding buffer containing 20mM Tris-Cl, 100mM NaCl, 0.01mM DTT, 5% glycerol (vol/vol), 1mM EDTA, 0.01mg/ml BSA, 5mM MgCl_{2}, and 10μg/ml poly (dI-dC) at pH 7.5. ~200bp DNA fragments suspected to contain LiaR binding sites were amplified by PCR using specific primers (Table 1), gel purified, end labelled with γ^{32}P-dATP and used in the assay. ~0.5pmol of purified DNA was incubated in binding buffer with 0, 5, 10 and 15pmol of purified His-LiaR or His-LiaR-D58A for 30min. Cold competition assay was performed using non-radiolabelled *P.*SMU.2064 probe as the cold competitor in an EMSA reaction with...
radiolabelled P$_{SMU.2084}$ probe. Oligo pairs of the predicted LiaR binding motif with or without alterations were annealed in annealing buffer containing 10mM Tris-Cl, 50mM NaCl and 1mM EDTA at pH 7.5 by heating equimolar mixtures at 95°C and allowing them to cool down gradually over a period of 45min. Annealed oligos were end labelled with $\gamma^{32}$P-dATP and used in the assay. ~1pmol of double stranded annealed oligos was incubated in the presence of 0, 15, 20 and 30pmol of purified His-LiaR in binding buffer for 30min. Binding reaction mixtures with ~200bp DNA fragments and ~25bp annealed primers were resolved on chilled 5.5% and 7.5% (wt/vol) polyacrylamide gels respectively using 0.5x TBE buffer containing 5% (vol/vol) glycerol.

**Prediction of the LiaR binding motif and potential LiaR regulons**

Analysis was done using programs in the Multiple EM by Motif Elicitation (MEME) suite (http://meme.nbcr.net/meme) [29]. To identify the LiaR-binding motif, ~200bp regions upstream of known LiaR regulons SMU.753, SMU.2084 and SMU.1727 were submitted to the MEME tool. A maximum of 10 motifs were to be detected in the size range of 20 to 50bp at an occurrence rate of 0 or 1 per sequence. The motif detected in all three submitted sequences with the lowest E-value was chosen as input for a FIMO (Find individual motif occurrences) search of the *S. mutans* UA159 genome (Genbank: NC_004350.2). Motif occurrences with a P-value lower than 1e$^{-5}$ were inspected manually to determine their positioning upstream of the regulons in order to qualify as a potential regulon.

**Biolayer interferometry (BLI)**

BLI, a label-free, biosensor-based method, where one of the interacting partners is immobilized on the biosensor (ligand) and the analyte is in solution [30] was used to measure the binding kinetics of the LiaR-DNA complex in real time. BLI was performed using annealed 25bp test primers that were previously biotinylated on one strand at the 3’ end. Annealed primers, prepared in binding buffer, were quantified and loaded on hydrated (10min in binding buffer) streptavidin biosensors for 5 min. A baseline step was included between the loading and association steps to remove any unbound / excess primers from the biosensor tips by exposing the tip to binding buffer for 2min. Association was performed for 5min by exposing the biosensor tip to LiaR solutions of varying concentrations prepared in binding buffer. Dissociation of the DNA-LiaR complex was assessed by exposing the complex bound to the biosensor tip to binding buffer for 5min. Association and dissociation data was collected in real-time and used to compute the maximum LiaR binding ability (R$_{max}$) and equilibrium LiaR binding ability (R$_{eq}$) of the oligos to be tested. R$_{max}$ and R$_{eq}$ values were calculated by the BLITZ Pro software (v1.1).

**Quantitative PCR**

Primer pairs producing ~120bp products were designed for *hrcA* and the endogenous control *rpoB* using Primer Express v3.0 (Table 1). The qPCR assays were done as described earlier with some modifications [31]. *S. mutans* UA159 and its isogenic liaR deletion mutant IBSA13 were grown in THY broth for 16 h at 37°C. Fresh THY broth was seeded with 1% inoculum from the starter culture and allowed to reach an OD$_{600}$ of ~0.85 before being harvested for RNA extraction using the Qiagen RNAeasy mini kit. DNA contamination, if any was removed by RNase-free DNase (Qiagen) treatment following which, each purified RNA sample was quantified. First strand synthesis was carried out from 2μg of RNA template using the RevertAid first strand cDNA synthesis kit. qPCR was set up including appropriate negative controls, using the DyNAmo flash SYBR green qPCR kit according to manufacturer’s instructions on an Applied Biosystems 7500 real time PCR system, supplying ~2.5ng of cDNA per μl of reaction. The
wild-type gene expression level was used as the calibrator to detect the relative change in gene expression in the liaR mutant.

Results

LiaS autophosphorylates and transfers phosphate to LiaR

The classic model of a TCS involves gene regulation by a response regulator protein after being phosphorylated by its cognate histidine kinase. To understand if the LiaSR TCS in *S. mutans* functions similarly, we performed *in-vitro* auto-phosphorylation and phosphotransfer assays for the LiaS and its cognate response regulator LiaR. Autophosphorylation by the LiaS was detectable within a minute of $\gamma^{32}$P-ATP exposure and remained stable throughout the observed 1 h period (Fig 1A). Co-incubation of pre-phosphorylated LiaS with LiaR led to a progressive loss of LiaS phosphorylation signal, but was accompanied by a simultaneous increase in the phosphorylation of LiaR over time, suggesting phospotransfer from LiaS to LiaR. Phosphotransfer to LiaR was detectable almost immediately after mixing LiaS and LiaR. LiaR that was phosphorylated by LiaS remained detectable over the entire 30min period of observation.

![Fig 1. LiaS autophosphorylates and transfers phosphate to LiaR.](A) MBP-tagged LiaS was incubated in phosphorylation buffer 1 (20 mM Tris-Cl, 50 mM KCl, 10 mM MnCl$_2$, 1 mM DTT and 10 $\mu$Ci $\gamma^{32}$P-dATP at pH 7.4) for indicated times. (B) MBP-tagged LiaS, pretreated with $\gamma^{32}$P for 10 min was incubated with His-tagged LiaR (molar ratio 1:2) in phosphorylation buffer 1 for indicated times. Both reaction products were resolved on 10% SDS-PAGE gels.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0128083.g001
indicating stability of the transferred phosphate group (Fig 1B). Further analysis of the LiaR protein and its orthologs identified a conserved aspartic acid residue at position 58 (D58) as the potential site of phosphorylation. This led us to probe the essentiality of this residue in phosphorylation and promoter binding by LiaR.

Aspartate at position 58 (D58) is essential for LiaR phosphorylation and DNA binding

A point mutation at position 173 was introduced in the LiaR ORF from A→C resulting in a mutant LiaR having an alanine residue at position 58 of the LiaR protein sequence instead of a conserved aspartic acid residue. We verified if D58A could accept phosphate from the LiaS by phosphotransfer. As inferred from Fig 2A, while the wild-type LiaR could accept the phosphate transferred by LiaS, the D58A mutant was unable to do so. Several studies suggest that response

Fig 2. Mutation D58A in LiaR affects phosphorylation and DNA binding ability. (A) Phosphorylated LiaS was incubated with LiaR (1:2 molar ratio) in phosphorylation buffer 1. Samples were drawn at indicated time points and resolved on 10% SDS-PAGE gels. (B) ~5 μg of purified His-LiaR / D58A were incubated in phosphorylation buffer 2 [50 mM Tris-Cl, 50 mM KCl, 20 mM MnCl2, 1 mM DTT at pH 7.5 containing either 50 mM acetyl phosphate (Ac-Po4) or 20 mM phosphoamidate (PA) as phosphodonor] for 1 h at 37°C and then resolved by PhosTag-SDS PAGE. (C) ~0.5 pmol P1 of SMU.2084 end labelled with γ32P-dATP were incubated with ~5, 10 and 15 pmol of purified His-LiaR / His-D58A in binding buffer (20 mM Tris-Cl, 100 mM NaCl, 0.01 mM DTT, 5% glycerol (vol/vol), 1 mM EDTA, 0.01 mg/ml BSA, 5 mM MgCl2, and 10 μg/ml Poly(dI-dC) at pH 7.5) for 30 min and then resolved on EMSA gels (5.5% (w/vol) polyacrylamide gels containing 5% glycerol (vol/vol) using 0.5x TBE buffer with 5% glycerol (vol/vol)). Marker F indicates free DNA, while marker B indicates the DNA-protein complex.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0128083.g002
regulators can also be phosphorylated in-vivo by accepting phosphate from small molecule phosphodonsors \cite{26, 32}. The ability of wild-type LiaR and its D58A mutant to acquire phosphate from small phosphate donors such as acetyl phosphate and phosphoamidate was assessed by Phos-Tag SDS-PAGE \cite{27}. The wild-type protein readily acquired phosphate from both acetyl phosphate and phosphoamidate as indicated by gel retardation during protein electrophoresis in the presence of Phos-Tag \cite{27, 33}. On the other hand, Lia-D58A remained unphosphorylated as revealed by the absence of a mobility shift during Phos-Tag SDS-PAGE (Fig 2B). Since phosphorylation of response regulators plays a key role in DNA binding, we tested the DNA binding abilities of the wild-type and the D58A mutant LiaR proteins. EMSA with an ~200 bp promoter region of SMU.2084 (a previously known direct regulon of LiaR, \cite{5}), indicated that the LiaR-D58A mutant was incapable of binding to the promoter while the wild-type LiaR protein bound well (Fig 2C). These findings highlighted the essentiality of LiaR phosphorylation for DNA binding and direct regulation of the downstream genes. Since the phosphorylation cascade leads to eventual binding of the response regulator to target DNA, we investigated the other genes that are proposed to be under the control of the LiaSR system in \textit{S. mutans}. This would allow us to segregate the direct regulons from the indirect regulons and to generate a true LiaR binding sequence.

LiaR binds the promoters of SMU.753, SMU.1727 and SMU.2084, but is unable to bind the promoter of \textit{lia} (SMU.485)

Some studies in \textit{S. mutans} and other organisms have suggested that LiaR regulates its own operon (\textit{liaFSR}: SMU.485, SMU.486, SMU.487) \cite{5, 22}. In \textit{S. mutans} it was also proposed that LiaSR modulates expression of SMU.2084, SMU.753, SMU.751 and SMU.1727 gene; however, LiaR binding to the promoters of these genes has not been demonstrated. We tested if LiaR could bind to the promoters of these genes by EMSA. We found that LiaR bound the promoters of SMU.753, SMU.2084 and SMU.1727 as suggested earlier (Figs 2C and 3A). Binding was found to be specific, since all EMSA reactions contained poly (di-dC) as a non-specific competitor. Moreover, addition of excessive non-radiolabelled, but specific probes abolished binding.

![EMSA gel showing LiaR binding to SMU.753, SMU.1727, and SMU.2084 promoters](https://example.com/fig3.png)

**Fig 3.** LiaR specifically binds the promoters of SMU.753, SMU.1727 and SMU.2084 but is unable to bind P_{SMU.485}. (A) 0.5 pmol of P_{SMU.485}, P_{SMU.753} and P_{SMU.1727} end labelled with \gamma^{32}\text{P}-dATP were incubated with ~5, 10 and 15 pmol of purified His-LiaR in binding buffer for 30 min. (B) Addition of non-radiolabelled P_{SMU.2084} as cold competitor, two-fold in excess of radiolabelled P_{SMU.2084} abolished the gel shift. Both reaction products were resolved on EMSA gels. Marker F indicates free DNA, while marker B indicates the DNA-protein complex.
Surprisingly, we found that LiaR was unable to bind $P_{lia}$ (Fig 3A) and $P_{SMU.751}$ (data not shown). Considering the fact that an alternative start codon and promoter have been proposed [5] for the $lia$ operon (SMU.485), we also tested a 200bp region upstream of the alternative start codon for LiaR binding. LiaR was also unable to bind the alternative promoter that was proposed earlier as well (data not shown). Furthermore, we also tested another region extending 200bp upstream into the $pknB$ ORF located upstream of the $lia$ operon for LiaR binding (data not shown). This suggested that LiaR, unlike most TCS may not auto regulate its own expression, at least not directly. This led us to further probe the promoters to which, LiaR binds in order to determine a conserved LiaR-binding motif.

LiaR specifically binds a 25bp-conserved motif upstream of its target promoters

We analyzed the promoter sequences to which LiaR bound by the MEME suite and identified a 25-bp consensus sequence containing a 16-bp inverted-repeat (IR) (Fig 4A). The 25-bp consensus had 13 positions that were fully conserved in all the promoters analyzed. The $S. mutans$ UA159 genome was analyzed for occurrence of this consensus using FIMO [34]. This search identified two additional promoters, $P_{hrcA}$ and $P_{SMU.235}$ as potential LiaR binding sites. The motif identified in the promoter of $hrcA$ had a $p$-value of $6.53E^{-8}$ and had 12 out of the 13 conserved residues unchanged. On the other hand, the motif in $P_{SMU.235}$ had a much lower $p$-value of $6.85E^{-06}$ and had only 10 out of the 13 conserved positions unchanged. EMSA with ~200-bp promoter regions of $hrcA$ and SMU.235 indicated that LiaR bound to the promoter region of $hrcA$ (Fig 4B) while it was unable to bind to the promoter of SMU.235 (data not shown). To confirm if $hrcA$ was indeed a direct regulon of LiaR, we also performed quantitative PCR to determine the expression level of $hrcA$ in a LiaR-deficient strain (IBSA13) relative to the wild type parent. The expression of $hrcA$ was elevated in IBSA13 as compared to UA159 indicating that LiaR likely acts as a repressor of $hrcA$ in $S. mutans$ (Fig 4C).

The fact that LiaR was unable to bind $P_{SMU.235}$ led us to question the essentiality of various positions in the LiaR-binding motif (synthetic consensus generated from LiaR-binding promoters) for effective binding to LiaR. We noted that the motif identified in $P_{SMU.235}$ had C13A and A17G substitutions. In addition to these alterations, we introduced changes in the 25-bp pattern at fully conserved positions (A23G and T25C) and assessed the binding of the modified consensus sequences to LiaR (Fig 5A). LiaR was found to bind the original consensus most effectively at the lowest tested protein concentration (15pmols) while it bound to the consensus with the C13A/A17G alteration at much lower a level only at twice the protein concentration. These binding studies correlated well with our earlier observation since this substitution occurred naturally in $P_{SMU.235}$ to which LiaR did not bind. LiaR binding to the A23G/T25C altered consensus was better than the C13A/A17G altered consensus but lower than the original sequence (Fig 5B). To confirm the observation, we measured the $R_{max}$ and $R_{eq}$ of the altered LiaR binding motifs by biolayer interferometry (BLI). We used biotinylated DNA fragments as the ligands, bound to streptavidin biosensors and the LiaR protein as the analyte in solution. We found that the $R_{max}$ and $R_{eq}$ values of the C13A/A17G consensus were reduced by 4- and 3-fold, respectively, relative to the original motif. This observation was in agreement with our EMSA studies. Similarly, the $R_{max}$ and $R_{eq}$ values for the A23G/T25C motif were reduced ~1.5 fold, relative to the original motif (Fig 5C). Since an earlier study on lactococci proposed a 16-bp IR as the putative LiaR binding site, we wanted to test whether LiaR could bind to the IR that we detected [5]. When we performed EMSA with just the IR consensus sequence, we found that LiaR could not bind to the 16-bp IR alone suggesting that the entire 25 bp sequence is essential for LiaR binding (Fig 5B).
Discussion

The LiaSR TCS controls the response to cell-wall stress via direct or indirect regulatory networks in several Firmicutes. This system is induced upon exposure of the cell to bacitracin like antibiotics that target the lipid II cycle in cell wall biogenesis [35]. Several studies have been conducted in S. mutans and in other bacteria to determine the regulons under the control of the LiaSR TCS. The LiaR regulon in S. mutans and S. pneumoniae have been characterized earlier [6, 22]. About 174 genes (~5% of the genome) including genes contributing to stress resistance and DNA uptake were altered in expression in S. mutans upon LiaR deletion, while
several genes involved in stress tolerance were found to be altered in expression in *S. pneumoniae* [6, 22]. Based on a consensus derived from *B. subtilis* LiaR regulons, identified by microarray analyses Jordon and colleagues have predicted a 28-bp long *B. subtilis* LiaR-binding motif [9, 11]. This strategy was later expanded to identify LiaR binding motifs in lactococci and streptococci [5, 6]. However, occurrence of these motifs on the genome of these bacteria were limited to the promoters of a few genes suggesting that most of the LiaR regulons identified in microarray studies could be regulated indirectly. In addition, the reported motifs upstream of newly identified LiaR regulons in *S. mutans* were not well conserved. Notably, the motif reported to be upstream of the autoregulated *liaFSR* operon was altered at two out of six key positions that were fully conserved in all other motifs [5]. The LiaR regulon also includes other TCS and a few transcriptional regulators, which may up- or down-regulate their target regulons leading to a much-enhanced effect upon LiaR inactivation [22]. Considering the existing ambiguities in the LiaR-binding motif, we revisited the functioning of the LiaSR system in an attempt to segregate the direct regulons of LiaR and determine the actual LiaR binding motif in *S. mutans*.

Much like other known TCS, we found that LiaS can autophosphorylate in the presence of ATP and then transfer the phosphate group to LiaR. The phosphorylation of LiaR is critical, since phosphorylation of *B. subtilis* LiaR has been shown to be essential for dimerization and binding to the target promoters [19]. While response regulators acquire phosphate group from their cognate sensor kinases, it is also possible for the response regulators to be phosphorylated in the presence of cellular small molecule phosphate donors such as acetyl phosphate [36]. Our
results showed that *S. mutans* LiaR readily acquired phosphate from both acetyl phosphate and phosphoamidate. Response regulators can also be phosphorylated by non-cognate sensor kinases by a process known as cross-talk [37]. Our earlier studies show that inactivation of liaS or liaR in *S. mutans* leads to different effects on virulence gene expression suggesting cross-talk between LiaSR and other TCS [21]. Usually the cross-talk influenced by other TCS and cellular acetyl phosphate is avoided by the additional phosphatase activity exhibited by the cognate sensor kinase. *B. subtilis* LiaS has been shown to have phosphatase activity in the absence of environmental stimulus and effectively dephosphorylates LiaR that might have been phosphorylated by cross-talk mechanism [19]. Based on our phosphotransfer assay, we believe that *S. mutans* LiaS does not display a robust phosphatase activity (Fig 1). Phosphorylated LiaS is very stable (at least for an hour) in the absence of cognate LiaR. We also found that the phosphotransfer reaction was relatively fast and that over 50% of the transfer occurs within 5 min (Fig 1). Since phosphorylated LiaS failed to transfer the phosphate group to CovR, the reaction seems to be very specific (data not shown). It also appears that phosphorylated LiaR is relatively stable and the presence of LiaS did not dephosphorylate LiaR.

Altering the conserved aspartic acid (the site of phosphorylation) usually results in inability to acquire phosphate group thereby interfering with the dimerization and DNA binding. The D58A mutant of LiaR was found to be incapable of acquiring phosphate from both its cognate LiaS and the small molecule phosphodonor tested. Mutation D58A also rendered the protein unable to bind its target promoters indicating that phosphorylation is required for dimerization and DNA binding. It is to be noted that in *S. mutans*, LiaSR are expressed from the liaFSR operon. LiaF, the third component of this system, has been shown to play a role in the functioning of the LiaSR TCS in *B. subtilis*, *L. monocytogenes*, and in some streptococci, where it acts as a negative regulator of the liaFSR operon [6, 9, 17]. Earlier reports have predicted the occurrence of LiaR-binding motifs in a genomic context in *S. mutans*, *S. pneumoniae*, *B. subtilis* and lactococci where a LiaR-binding motif in the promoter of the liaFSR operon has been predicted. Quite contrary to these predictions, our DNA binding studies indicated that *S. mutans* LiaR could not bind the promoter of liaFSR. Though in-frame deletion of liaR in streptococci has been shown to cause perturbations in the expression levels of liaFSR, our data suggest that the regulation of liaFSR by LiaR may not be direct as the promoter of liaFSR lacks a LiaR-binding motif.

Three direct regulons of LiaR, verified by DNA-binding studies SMU.2084, SMU.753 and SMU.1727 were found to encode SpxB, PspC protein and an OxaA class protein, respectively. All of these proteins have been implicated in stress tolerance in independent studies. *spxB* in *S. mutans* was shown to play a minor role in oxidative stress tolerance and played a key role in maintaining cell wall homeostasis [38]. Similarly, PspC homologs have shown to be induced in the presence of ethanol, heat and osmotic shock; and has been proposed as a vaccine candidate in pneumococci [39]. OxaA class proteins on the other hand have been implicated in membrane protein biogenesis, tolerance to acid stress and are known to bind *E. coli* ribosomes [40]. In this study we identified another direct LiaR regulon, *hrcA*, which encodes a regulatory protein that coordinates response to thermal stress. Lack of HrcA is known to cause increased sensitivity to acid stress due to lower chaperone and ATPase activity [41]. It has been proposed that this effect is a result of the *groEL* and *dnaK* chaperone encoding operons being regulated by HrcA [42]. Our findings along with earlier data suggest that LiaR globally administers response to a variety of stresses encountered by *S. mutans* in its environment by direct regulation of some key transcriptional regulators that modulate stress responses. All the direct regulons of LiaR verified by DNA binding contained the newly defined 25 bp LiaR-binding motif identified in this study. By a combination of introducing mutations and deleting portions of this newly defined conserved LiaR-binding motif, we showed that mutations within the 16bp inverted...
repeat have a negative effect on LiaR binding. Removal of the conserved sequence “MTMA₄” upstream of the inverted repeat resulted in LiaR being unable to bind to the motif. The fact that the B. subtilis LiaR-binding motif also contains a stretch of adenines next to the inverted repeat is noteworthy here [9, 11]. The newly defined LiaR-binding motif is most similar to the CesR binding motif (TCAGHCTnnAGDCTGA) reported in lactococci except for the MTMA₄ stretch. However, closer inspection of documented CesR-binding promoters indicated that the inverted repeat projected as the CesR-binding motif contained an A₄ stretch on one of the ends [43]. Thus LiaR binding to target promoters depends on the occurrence of the entire 25bp conserved motif including the 16-bp inverted repeats and the binding affinities might vary across the regulons as a result of minor alterations in the binding sequences.
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