Novel Double-Antigen Sandwich Immunoassay for Human Hepatitis B Core Antibody
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A novel diagnostic immunoassay testing procedure for hepatitis B virus core antibody (anti-HBc) using homogeneous purified full-length hepatitis B virus core antigen (HBcAg) capsids obtained from Escherichia coli was compared with Abbott Architect anti-HBc chemiluminescent microparticle immunoassay (CMI; indirect method) against a library of specimens. A monoclonal anti-HBc neutralization confirmatory assay was then used to determine the degree of discordance between specimens. The new assay was found to be superior in both sensitivity and specificity.

Antibodies (anti-HBc) to hepatitis B virus (HBV) core antigen (HBcAg) are present in current and past HBV infections. Anti-HBc antibodies represent a long-term serological marker of HBV infection, initially appearing during the acute phase of the infection and generally persisting thereafter (16). Thus, as anti-HBc is a universal marker of HBV infection, routine blood donor screening for anti-HBc has been implemented in some countries with low endemicity. It is a cost-effective method of screening. Such screening procedures have resulted in a decrease in the risk of posttransfusion HBV infections (12). In some individuals, the only serological marker of HBV infection is the presence of anti-HBc antibodies (10, 24), and thus detection of “anti-HBcAg alone” could reflect unrecognized “occult” HBV infection and physicians should consider investigating such patients with HBV molecular tests (21). Additionally, isolated anti-HBc can be used as a marker to assess the risk of HBV reactivation in patients undergoing therapy that could result in immunosuppression or patients who are HIV positive (17) or hepatitis C virus (HCV) positive (25).

With these uses in mind, having a more efficient and reliable assay for anti-HBc is desirable. Most current commercially available anti-HBc assays have poor sensitivity or specificity (2, 19) and can be attributed to the inferior performance of the competitive immunoassay, especially for detecting low-titer anti-HBc-reactive samples. False-positive reactivity can partially be attributed to unspecific activation of premature B lymphocytes causing the production of IgM, IgA, or IgM-related molecules without previous exposure to HBV (18, 19). The specificity of competitive assays for anti-HBc can be significantly improved by addition of mild reducing agents, but such modified procedures often lead to the loss of sensitivity, particularly for IgM anti-HBc (23). In this study, a novel immunoassay for anti-HBc based on the double-antigen sandwich enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) method is compared with a commercial anti-HBc assay, the Architect chemiluminescent microparticle immunoassay (CMI).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Expression and purification of full-length rHBcAg in E. coli. Two full-length HBcAg genes were obtained by chemosynthesis: AB090269 (genotype D, named CpD) and AB014368 (genotype C, named CpC). The rare arginine codons in Escherichia coli (AGA and AGG) located in the HBcAg gene were changed to CGT. The two fragments were inserted into the pTO-T7 vector by NdeI and HindIII restriction sites and transformed into Escherichia coli BL21 cells for HBcAg expression. The transformant was cultured in LB medium at 37°C for 5 h and then further incubated for 8 h in the presence of 1 mM IPTG (isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactoside). Cells were harvested and then centrifuged at 10,000 × g for 10 min, after which they were lysed by sonication. HBcAg in the supernatant was precipitated with 23% ammonium sulfate and collected by centrifugation. The precipitated fraction was dissolved with 4 M urea buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, containing 4 M urea, and 20 mM dithiothreitol [DTT]) and purified in a Q FF column and phenyl high-performance (HP) column (Amersham GE Health, Uppsala, Sweden) with the AKTA system (GE Health, Uppsala, Sweden). The purity and identity of the protein were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and Western blotting.

In vitro HBc capsid assembly. HBcAg expressed in E. coli accumulates in a particulate state referred to herein as HBcAg capsids. The precipitated fraction of the salting-out process was dissolved in a buffer containing urea and DTT to dissociate the particles which might entrap turbid proteins and nucleic acids and hence interfere with the immunoassay. The purified HBcAg was first dialyzed against 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), containing 4 M urea and 20 mM DTT to remove NaCl; and then against 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), containing 20 mM DTT to remove urea; and finally against 20 mM sodium phosphate (pH 6.0), containing 300 mM NaCl to remove DTT and trigger spontaneous assembly. These processes were performed using the Cross-Flow filtration systems (Amersham GE Health, Uppsala, Sweden). The homogeneity of the assembled recombinant HBc (rHBc) capsids was then identified by transmission electron microscopy (JEM 2100; JEOL, Tokyo, Japan).

The double-antigen sandwich immunoassay for anti-HBc. The purified CpD protein was conjugated with horseradish peroxidase (HRP) by the NaO4 oxidation method. The conjugate was purified by gel filtration chromatography on
a Superdex 200HR column. The purified CpD-HRP conjugate was mixed with an equal volume of glycerin and stored at −20°C.

Microtiter wells (Yixinmei, Xiamen, China) were coated with 100 µl of a mixture of 4 µg/ml CpC solution (diluted in 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0) overnight. Thereafter, the wells were washed twice with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; pH 7.4), followed by incubation with a blocking reagent (10% sucrose, 1% casein-Na, and 2% bovine serum albumin [BSA], in PBS, pH 7.4) at 37°C for 2 h. After removing the blocking solution, the wells were vacuum dried and stored at 4°C. A 50-µl specimen aliquot was mixed with 50 µl of assay buffer and then added to each well and incubated for 30 min at 37°C. After incubation, the wells were washed with a wash buffer (0.05% Tween 20 in PBS, pH 7.4). A 100-µl aliquot of CpD-HRP solution was added to each well and incubated for 30 min at 37°C. After rinsing 5 times with the wash buffer, 100 µl of tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) substrate solution (WanTai, Beijing, China) was added, and the mixture was then incubated for 15 min at 37°C. The absorbance was measured at 450 nm and 620 nm using a microplate reader (Tecan, Switzerland).

**Determination of cutoff value.** A total of 2,656 serum samples from healthy blood donors collected from Xiamen Blood Centre were measured to characterize the optical density at 450 nm (OD450) with reference readings taken at 620 nm (data not shown), and the cutoff value for the sandwich ELISA was set as the mean ± 3 standard deviations (SDs), equal to a negative control well + 0.12. The figure of 3 SDs was an arbitrary one which would cover 99.7% of the distribution.

**Anti-HBc neutralization confirmatory assay.** A neutralization confirmatory assay based on an indirect chemiluminescent enzyme immunoassay (CLEIA) was used as the gold standard to determine the true status of a specimen for which a discrepancy from a different anti-HBc assay was found. In this assay, the CpC-coated wells were incubated with an anti-HBc monoclonal antibody (MAb) (16D5; 20 µg/ml), an anti-HB e antigen (anti-HBe) MAb (10D8; 20 µg/ml), an unrelated antibody (anti-human papillomavirus type 18 [anti-HPV18] L1; 20 µg/ml), and a blank assay buffer (20% fetal bovine serum in PBS) in parallel at 37°C for 30 min. The wells were then washed once, and 30-µl samples were added to each well filled with 70 µl of assay buffer and incubated at 37°C for 30 min. After five washes, a 100-µl aliquot of HRP-conjugated goat anti-human IgG solution was added to each well and incubated for 30 min at 37°C. The wells were then washed 5 more times, 100 µl of substrate solution (Luminol) was added for reaction, and the result was read by an Orin II microplate chemiluminescence reader (Berthod, DE). The neutralization rate was calculated as the percentage of the decreased relative light unit (RLU) value of the anti-HBc MAb-blocked well compared with the non-MAb-blocked well, and the neutralization rate of the anti-HBe and unrelated antibody was calculated to be specificity.

**Monoclonal antibodies.** Monoclonal antibodies (MAbs) against HBV HBe or HBC antigens were prepared using hybridoma technology. MAbs were purified using ammonium sulfate precipitation, followed by Mabspecific XE (Ams) affinity chromatography (Amersham GE Health, Uppsala, Sweden) from the ascites collected. Immunoglobulin concentrations were determined by spectrophotometry using a DU800 spectrometer (Beckman, CA). Commercial competitive anti-HBC and anti-HBe assays (Wantaig, Beijing, China) were used to analyze the reactivity of all MAbs tested at a concentration of 50 µg/ml. Two MAbs (16D5 and 8D1) were specific anti-HBc antibodies that were reactive in the anti-HBc assay but nonreactive in the anti-HBe assay. Three MAbs, 20B11, 11E7, and 10D8, were found to be specific for anti-HBc and nonreactive in the anti-HBe assay. Five other MAbs, 11H4, 18B6, 19A6, 1H110, and 4B8, showed reactivity in both anti-HBe and anti-HBc assays.

**Patient serum samples.** One hundred twenty symptomatic HBV carriers (HBsAg-positive individuals with persistent elevation of alanine aminotransferase (ALT) levels (>1.5 times the upper limit of normal for at least 3 months), 245 asymptomatic HBV carriers (HBsAg-positive individuals with a normal ALT level and without a history of hepatitis), and 783 healthy individuals’ serum samples (control cohort) were evaluated to determine the concordance between the sandwich ELISA method and the Architect anti-HBe CMIA. All samples were collected from Quanzhou 1st Hospital (Quanzhou, Fujian, China), Xiamen Blood Centre (Xiamen, Fujian, China), and Xiamen CDC (Xiamen, Fujian, China).

**HBV infectious markers.** HBsAg, anti-HBs, HBeAg, anti-HBe, and anti-HBc assays were performed using Architect i2000SR CMIA (Abbott Laboratories, Abbott Park, IL). HBsAg low titer (<2 IU on Architect HBsAg) samples were confirmed by other three HBsAg assays: the Monolisa HBsAg Ultra (Bio-Rad, Marnes La Coquette, France), the Hemastik HBsAg Ultra (bioMérieux, Marcy l’Etoile, France), and the Murex V3 ELISA (Abbott Murex, Dartford, United Kingdom).

**RESULTS**

**Characterization of the rHBcAg.** The CpC and CpD full-length rHBcAg were solubly expressed with high expression levels obtained (approximately 20% in total proteins) (Fig. 1A, lanes 1 and 2) in the BL21(DE3) cell line. The purified CpC and CpD proteins by ion-exchange chromatography and hydrophobic chromatography showed single bands on SDS-PAGE with an estimated molecular mass of about 22 kDa, respectively (Fig. 1A, lanes 3 and 4). The high purity of HBcAg proteins excluded the underlying interference against the immunooassay. Western blot analyses showed that both CpC and CpD reacted well with anti-HBc MAb (Fig. 1B), suggesting that the purified fractions were targets. After the in vitro capsid assembly, the rHBcAg formed as a homogeneous particulate structure similar in appearance to the regular 20-hedron structure of native HBcAg particle with a 27-nm diameter (Fig. 2A to D).

**Discrimination of the sandwich ELISA between anti-HBc and anti-HBe MAbs.** The MAbs were tested by the sandwich ELISA in serial dilution, and the reactivity titer that allowed for a reaction to occur for each MAb was calculated (Fig. 3). The 2 anti-HBc specific MAbs, 16D5 and 8D1, both at a concentration of 100 µg/ml had the highest reactivity (titer, ≥625), whereas the 3 anti-HBe-specific MAbs 20B11, 10D8, and 11E7 presented virtually no reactivity in the sandwich assay even for samples with a concentration higher than 100 µg/ml (titer, <1). A weak reactivity of the 5 cross-HBc/HBe MAbs was also observed in the sandwich assay; however, this was observed only when antibodies at a concentration of 20 to ~100 µg/ml were used (5 ≥ titer ≥1).

**Comparison of the analytical sensitivity for detecting anti-HBe between the sandwich ELISA and Architect CMIA.** Three anti-HBe-positive sera were serially diluted in anti-HBc/anti-HBs/ HBsAg-negative serum and were detected by the sandwich ELISA and Architect anti-HBc CMIA in parallel (Fig. 4). The analytical sensitivity for detecting anti-HBe of the sandwich ELISA is between 2- and 4-fold higher than that of the Architect anti-HBe CMIA. While a 2- to 4-fold difference is not considered significant in serological titers, the difference was consistently unidirectional.
Concordance between the sandwich ELISA and Architect CMIA in detecting samples in different clinical status. The anti-HBc status of serum samples was measured by the sandwich ELISA and Architect anti-HBc CMIA in parallel, and the concordance between the 2 tests was 99.6% (95% confidence interval [CI], 97.8 to 99.9%). For the 783 samples from the control cohort, there were 462 positive cases and 293 negative cases with 28 discordant results, which include 24 sandwich-positive but Architect anti-HBc-negative and 4 Architect anti-HBc-positive but sandwich ELISA-negative cases. In reviewing the results for the total of 1,148 spec-

![FIG. 2. Transmission electron microscopy of the purified HBV core capsids: CpC (A and C) and CpD (B and D) particles. The scale is 100 nm for panels A and B and 200 nm for panels C and D.](image)

**TABLE 1.** Concordance of Architect CMIA and sandwich ELISA anti-HBc levels in HBV detection in serum samples related to different clinical statuses

| Group and status (n) | No. of samples with result by Architect CMIA | % Concordance (95% CI) |
|----------------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| **Hepatitis B patients (120)** | | |
| Sandwich anti-HBc⁺ | 120 | 0 |
| Sandwich anti-HBc⁻ | 0 | 0 |
| **Asymptomatic carriers (245)** | | |
| Sandwich anti-HBc⁺ | 241 | 1* |
| Sandwich anti-HBc⁻ | 0 | 3 |
| **Common populations (783)** | | |
| Sandwich anti-HBc⁺ | 462 | 24* |
| Sandwich anti-HBc⁻ | 4* | 293 |
| **Total (1,148)** | | |
| Sandwich anti-HBc⁺ | 823 | 25 |
| Sandwich anti-HBc⁻ | 4 | 296 |

* A total of 29 discordant samples were further analyzed by a neutralization confirmatory assay. Finally, 28 samples (a1, b1 to b24, c1 and c2, and c4) were found to be anti-HBc positive.
imens tested, the concordance between sandwich ELISA and Architect anti-HBc CMIA was 97.8% (95% CI, 96.4 to 98.3) and there were a total of 29 discordant results. The signal/cutoff (SCO) value correlation for all samples in the two assays is shown in Fig. 5A. The correlation coefficient was 0.88, and the adjusted $R^2$ was 0.78. The SCO level of the sandwich ELISA in 823 concordant positive samples was statistically significantly higher than that of the Architect anti-HBc CMIA and statistically significantly lower than that of the Architect anti-HBc CMIA in the 296 concordant negative samples (Fig. 5B and C).

**Analysis for the discordant samples between the sandwich ELISA and Architect CMIA.** One asymptomatic HBV carrier (a1) and 28 (b1 to b24 and c1 to c4) in the control cohort had discordant anti-HBc result in the two assays. All samples with discordant anti-HBc results were tested by neutralization assay to determine their specificity. As the graph in Fig. 6 shows, all 25 sandwich+ and Architect− samples and 3 Architect+ and sandwich− samples were positive in the confirmatory assay, except 1 (c3) Architect+ and sandwich− sample. Otherwise, the a1 sample was HBsAg and HBV DNA positive and with undetectable anti-HBs, anti-HBeAg, and anti-HBe antibodies, suggesting that the individual is at the early stage of HBV infection. Among the other 24 (b1 to b24) sandwich+ and Architect− and 4 (c1 to c4) Architect+ and sandwich− samples from common populations, 22 samples and 1 sample, respectively, were anti-HBs positive. Thus, among the total of 1,148 samples, there were 851 anti-HBe-positive and 297 anti-HBe-negative cases. The sensitivity and specificity for the Sandwich ELISA were 99.7% (95% CI, 99.0 to 99.9) and 100% (95% CI, 98.8 to 100%); those for the Architect CMIA were 97.1% (95% CI, 95.7 to 98.1%) and 99.7% (95% CI, 98.1 to 99.9%), respectively.

**FIG. 5.** (A) Correlation between the Architect CMIA and sandwich ELISA in detection of anti-HBc from 1,148 samples. The y axis represents the SCO values measured by the Architect CMIA, and the x axis represents those measured by the sandwich ELISA. The broken lines indicate the lowest detection limit for each assay. (B) Comparison of SCO values between the sandwich ELISA and Architect anti-HBc CMIA in 823 concordant positive specimens; (C) comparison of SCO values between the Architect CMIA and the sandwich ELISA in detecting 296 concordant negative specimens.

**DISCUSSION**

In this technique, the production of homogenous and stable HBcAg particles is critical. Purified monomer HBcAg proteins are subunits for making capsids. Although numerous studies have demonstrated that the production of truncated version of HBcAg that mimic the sequence of HBeAg in E. coli can be accomplished easily in terms of yield and purification (27, 28), nevertheless, the interdimer disulfide bridges that are formed by the Cys-183 at the C terminus of between HBcAg dimers increased the stability of HBc capsids (14, 15). The expression of full-length HBcAg was difficult since it has an arginine-rich C terminus that was coded for by rare codons in E. coli. This problem was resolved by optimizing certain codons in this region. Since HBcAg expressed in E. coli spontaneously accumulates in a particulate state - HBcAg capsids, under such denaturing conditions, HBcAg capsids might dissolve into subunits, which were rinsed during purification and then assembled into homogenous and stable particles. In contrast with routine method, the presented procedure yielded proportionately more particles (more than 95%; data not shown) and better homogeneity. The novel immunoassay for anti-HBc measurement using a sandwich ELISA method was built around such particles. Compared with Abbott Architect CMIA anti-HBc assay, the new sandwich ELISA has improved sensitivity and specificity.

The clinical significance of using anti-HBc lies in several clinical situations. HBV reactivation has been reported during cancer chemotherapy, during HIV infection, and after kidney and bone marrow transplantation (5, 7, 20, 22). There are at least 2 reported cases of HBV reactivation with liver inflammation after rituximab administration, and one patient died.
from liver failure (3); the other reactivation occurred in a patient with preexisting anti-HBs and anti-HBc antibodies (4). When a patient is diagnosed to be reactive for “anti-HBc alone,” several explanations might apply to this phenomenon. First, a certain proportion of “anti-HBc-alone” individuals will be false positive, depending both on the anti-HBc test used and the HBV prevalence of the population investigated. Using the sandwich ELISA should reduce this concern significantly because of its sensitivity and specificity profile. Second, “anti-HBc-alone” individuals may be in the window phase of an acute HBV infection when HBsAg disappears followed by anti-HBs a few weeks later. The significance of “anti-HBc-alone” individuals in this window period is that such individuals are probably infectious. Third, the status “anti-HBc alone” can reflect an HBV infection which was resolved many years or decades earlier. Fourth, a large fraction of “anti-HBc-alone” patients can be assumed to have an unresolved chronic infection with low-grade, possibly intermittent virus production. Such individuals may have detectable serum HBV DNA (8.1%) and are potentially infectious (13). The negative HBsAg assay is unclear in these cases, but this result can be caused by very low concentrations of HBsAg, fixation of HBsAg in immune complexes, or by HBsAg mutations (11, 26). From a practical point of view, there seems to be no clear-cut distinction between “late immunity” and “unresolved infection.” Indeed, in a study of 5,511 blood donor samples from 3 hospitals showed that 203 (3.7%) of randomly selected blood donors were confirmed as “anti-HBc alone.” Of these, 11 (5.4%) were HBV-DNA positive, as detected by nested PCR. All samples had HBV-DNA levels below 400 copies/ml, and all were genotype D. Thus, HBV was present but below the detectable limit for the assay used. Hence, routine screening for anti-HBc may be required as an additional preventive measure for controlling transmission of HBV via blood transfusion (8). Fifth, “anti-HBc alone” may represent the suppression of HBV replication by HCV coinfection. Knoll et al. (13) showed that in 112/550 “anti-HBc alone” individuals (20.4%) were coinfected with HCV, and patients with HCV coinfection more often had an elevated ALT activity or chronic liver damage. The detrimental effect of HCV coinfection has previously been reported in HBsAg-positive patients with chronic HBV infection (6, 9). Finally, in a study of 379 samples with detectable anti-HBc, 155 (40.9%) were “anti-HBc only.” HBV DNA was detected in 6/151 (4%), all of which had a viral load of <400 copies per ml. Anti-HIV was found in 50/151 (33.1%) and anti-HCV in 14/151 (9.3%) patients. Only one of the HIV-infected patients had detectable HBV DNA. Phylogenetic analysis of the HBV surface gene from three patients showed a variety of genotypes (A, E, and G). One sequence had a mutation in codon 144, which has previously been reported to give false-negative HBsAg results. Thus, “anti-HBc only” is a common phenomenon in the clinical virology laboratory, but only a small proportion of samples had detectable HBV DNA. The presence of HBsAg mutants with a possible false-negative HBsAg test result is of concern. Samples with the result “anti-HBc only” could be used to monitor the emergence of these mutants (1). The assay presented here should assist with the resolution of diagnostic difficulties with HBV.
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