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ABSTRACT

Introduction and importance: Mucinous cystadenoma occurs in 10–15% of all ovarian tumors. Diagnosis and treatment should be decided precisely as it has a chance to develop into pseudomyxoma peritonei (PMP). Management of PMP might be challenging especially when repeated surgery is needed.

Case presentation: The first case, a 22-year-old lady with recurrent stomach enlargement for seven months. She had history of laparotomy surgery due to an ovarian tumor. Whole abdomen contrast CT scan showed a large cyst with mucinous fluid. We decided to do re-laparotomy and found a left ovarian cyst. Histological examination results confirm ovarian mucinous cystadenoma. The second case was, 55-year-old woman, with abdominal enlargement for six months. She had a history of laparotomy and chemotherapy due to pseudomyxoma peritonei. Post chemotherapy MRI showed persistent pseudomyxoma and two multilocular cysts from both adnexa. Debulking laparotomy was then conducted. We obtained 8 L of mucinous pseudomyxoma along with mucinous cyst from both ovaries. The final diagnosis concluded as a pseudomyxoma and we decide to close the follow-up of the patient.

Clinical discussion: Pseudomyxoma is caused by the production of mucin originating from intra-abdominal organs. Open surgery should be prioritized when the mucinous cystadenoma is detected to do a complete peritoneum evaluation and avoid perioperatively ruptured mucinous neoplasm. Pseudomyxoma often needed repeated surgical treatment and may exhibit different surgical findings and different pathologies.

Conclusion: Repeated surgery is logical and still no need for adjuvant chemotherapy in both cases. Accurate and precise diagnosis should be prioritized in order to prevent repeated surgery.

1. Introduction

Among cases of ovarian tumor, mucinous cystadenoma occurs in 10–15% of all [1]. Cystadenoma tumors consist of mucin-producing epithelial cells [2,3]. Mucinous cystadenoma is considered a benign tumor. The ruptured tumor can lead to pseudomyxoma peritonei (PMP) formation [1].

PMP is a rare condition with an estimated incidence of approximately one per million per year and characterized by the production of vast amounts of mucinous fluid [4,5]. Peritoneal fluid and gravity help these cells move intra-abdominally [6,7]. Due to the disease’s variable clinical appearance, diagnosing PMP can be confusing [8].

Management of PMP might be challenging, because it may involve repeated surgery and chemotherapy. Diagnosing mucinous cystadenoma should be decided precisely, regarding the treatment as a chance of becoming PMP [9]. We will elaborate on two cases with some differences and similarities of variation in management.

2. Case description

2.1. Case I

A 22-year-old lady came with recurrent enlargement of the stomach for seven months. She had history of laparotomy surgery in 2015 due to an ovarian tumor. The histopathological result showed immature teratoma of the right ovary and endometriosis of the left ovary. In 2019, she had recurrent stomach enlargement and underwent surgery (right salpingo-oophorectomy, omentectomy, and appendectomy).
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Histopathological results of this surgery showed right ovarian mucinous cystadenoma, inflammation of the omentum and a normal appendix.

Again in 2021, she came to our hospital with a third recurrent. The abdominal mass limited mobilization sized 30 cm in diameter. Laboratory findings found CEA 1.0 ng/ml, Ca 19-9 9934.6 U/ml, Ca-125 24.9 U/ml. Whole abdomen contrast Computed Tomography (CT) scan showed a large cystic multilocular septated lesion with mucinous fluid and few solid components in the pelvic cavity and upper abdomen (Fig. 1). We decided to do re-laparotomy for conservative surgery, supported with a frozen section procedure.

Intra-operative findings were 25 cm ascites, left ovarian cyst diameter of 40 cm. Fibrin adhesion with a rectosigmoid colon. Uterine was normal-sized and there was no more right adnexa (history of prior surgery). Left salpingo-oophorectomy was performed and the frozen section result was benign mucinous cystadenoma (Fig. 2). After confirming with paraffin block, the histopathological result was mucinous cystadenoma with proliferative borderline focals. After the final surgery findings, cytology, histopathology result, and data from prior surgeries lead to the final diagnosis of ovarian mucinous cystadenoma.

2.2. Case II

Mrs, 55-year-old, P3A0, complained about abdominal enlargement for six months. She was treated by Internist. Abdominal ultrasound results showed massive intra-abdominal ascites, followed by ascites aspiration. The result was negative for malignancy and negative for PCR-TB. She was then referred to an oncology-surgeon and performed exploratory laparotomy. The surgical procedure was omentectomy and biopsy done. Specimen pathology result was peritoneal pseudomyxoma. Then the patient was referred to Internist in Cipto Mangunkusumo hospital. A whole abdomen CT-Scan results: fluid accumulation fulfill the entire abdominal cavity measures approximately 14.6 × 21.8 × 30.5 cm (right) and 9.5 × 11.0 × 12.9 cm (left) (Fig. 4).

As the previous discussion with internal medical-oncologist, surgical procedure performs pigtail drain catheter for HIPEC preparation procedure later after surgery. Histopathological results of surgical specimens showed mucinous pseudomyxoma of the fluid and the mucinous containing component of the ovarian cystadenoma. The final diagnosis concluded as a pseudomyxoma peritonei and decided no more treatment for HIPEC or chemotherapy and just closed follow-up (Fig. 6).

In these cases, HER2 expression was found in borderline mucinous cystadenoma, but not found in PMP. It seems that positive HER2 protein was not detected in normal or benign tumor. Borderline tumors show HER2 positive staining, but mostly no overexpression [16]. Chromogenic in situ hybridization (CISH) analysis was calculated from 20 tumor cell nucleus with ratio of HER2/CEP17 (cystadenoma) and HER2/Cen17 (pseudomyxoma). HER2 status categorized as HER2 positive when the HER2/CEP17 ratio is ≥2.0 and the average HER2 gene copy number is ≥4.0 per tumor cell. In first case (mucinous cystadenoma), ratio HER2/
Fig. 2. (a–e). Ovarian cystadenoma originating from left ovary with 40 cm diameter.

Fig. 3. CT-Scan whole abdomen: A. Pre surgery (July 2020) showing ascites in the abdominopelvic cavity, B. post surgery (October 2020) showing fluid accumulation and multilocular cystic mass in the abdominopelvic cavity.
CEP17 was 2.27 and an average HER2 signals/cell was 5.45, it showed that HER2 positive. Interpretation of ratio HER2/Cen17 were (<1.8) negative, (1.8–2.2) equivocal, and (≥2.2) positive or amplification. CISH result of pseudomyxoma was 1.3 (negative). It indicates no amplification in the tissue.

3. Timeline

| Date | Case I | Case II |
|------|--------|---------|
| 2015 | February: Laparotomy surgery | |
|      | Histopathological result: immature teratoma of the right ovary and endometriosis of the left ovary | |
| 2019 | April: Repeated laparotomy | |
|      | Histopathological results: right ovarian mucinous cystadenoma | |
| 2020 | – | July: Laparotomy surgery by oncology-surgeon was performed (omentumectomy & biopsy). Result: PMP |
|      | October: Whole abdomen CT-Scan was performed | |
|      | Six courses chemotherapy with FOLFOX regimen, FUDA and leucovorin | |
| 2021 | June: Left salpingo-oophorectomy | July: MRI post chemotherapy was performed |
|      | Histopathological result: benign mucinous cystadenoma | November: Debulking laparotomy + total hysterectomy were performed. Histopathological result: mucinous pseudomyxoma + ovarian cystadenoma |

4. Discussion

Pseudomyxoma can occur and caused by the production of mucin originating from intra-abdominal organs, including the ovaries, appendix, intestines, or peritoneal wall. First case illustrates that the final pathological result is a mucin cystadenoma that may cause ascites pseudomyxoma, but the ascites obtained do not contain mucin or pseudomyxoma elements to be included in the possibility of an early stage of pseudomyxoma. There was a different histopathology result from the first to the third examination in the first case. It revealed that the pathological examination findings at the first or subsequent surgery might be different because there are indeed differences in the components of the tissue structure in one part of the ovary, or it could be due to the transformation of cells from the ovary. Likewise, pathological examination with frozen section, it is possible that the results will be inaccurate compared to the results of paraffin block pathology. Such a condition was due to the accuracy of the frozen section, which significantly lower for mucinous ovarian tumors than other histological types. The causes of misdiagnosis in frozen section biopsy are mucinous ovarian tumor larger than other histological types, lower number of frozen section slides, experience or expertise of the pathologists who not specialized in gynecology oncology [10]. Open surgery should be prioritized when the mucinous cystadenoma is detected to do a complete peritoneum evaluation to avoid perioperative ruptured of mucinous neoplasm [11].

In the second case, despite having given a chemotherapy regimen on the first surgical biopsy findings that showed pseudomyxoma, it did not respond, even the mucin production was increasing. Further planning by the surgical team will be debulking and preparation for HIPEC chemotherapy, but the findings during the surgery showed that all components of ascites and right and left ovarian cysts contained mucin material and
were not malignant. Based on the findings of the latest pathology results were pseudomyxoma, no malignant cells were found, it was decided that the therapy was only close follow-up without chemotherapy.

We only did cytoreductive surgery based on our second case without HIPEC procedure. According to 5-year survival rates study conducted by Jarvinen et al., there was no difference between cytoreductive surgery alone compared to combination cytoreductive surgery and intraperitoneal chemotherapy [12]. Another study showed a similar result when comparing cytoreductive surgery alone with cytoreductive surgery and HIPEC combination, producing indistinguishable survival outcomes. Cytoreductive surgery was undertaken via a long midline incision from xiphisternum to the symphysis pubis and involved peritonectomy or stripping of the peritoneum from up to six regions of the abdomen combined with visceral resections of involved organs. The procedures include omentectomy, appendectomy, and may involve splenectomy, cholecystectomy, and right hemicolectomy [13].

Pseudomyxoma cases often receive repeated surgical treatment and may exhibit different surgical findings and different pathologies. However, in conclusion, both cases showed mucin cysts and pseudomyxoma which did not require chemotherapy [15]. According to Ahmadi et al. study, which involved 430 patients, the comparison overall survival of patients with recurrent PMP showed a better outcome in a group of cytoreductive surgery alone than in a group of watch-and-wait or palliative chemotherapy [14].

5. Conclusion

Repeated surgery is logical and still no need for adjuvant chemotherapy in both cases. Accurate and precise diagnosis should be prioritized in order to prevent repeated surgery.

5.1. Patient’s perspective (first case)

The third time of my stomach enlargement for seven months in 2021. My haid cycles were regular within the four last months. My doctor asks me whenever I had nausea, abdominal pain, and fever, but I felt none of it. I took Whole abdomen contrast Computed Tomography (CT) scan as a doctor’s recommendation. And finally, the doctor decided to do re-surgery with informed consent that if my left ovary was not normal or don’t have any function anymore, it may be decided to remove it. All of those considerations are based on the pathologist’s examination in the middle of surgery. The final diagnosis of ovarian mucinous cystadenoma. And the medical team took a very hard decision to remove my left ovary, because it maybe always be a possibility of recurrence in the future. My family and I accept this hard decision, but we know that it will the best treatment for my condition.

5.2. Patient’s perspective (second case)

The first symptom I felt was the enlargement of my stomach. At first, I just thought it was due to normal weight gain. However, as it turned out, my stomach grew rapidly in a relatively short period. After my first surgery in 2020 and chemotherapy, I felt a sense of relief, but soon the feeling of fullness in my stomach returned. In the end, I decided to be referred to a gynecologic oncology specialist. The discovery of 2 lumps from my ovaries and the accumulation of fluid in my abdominal cavity.
made the symptoms of shortness of breath appear. The 2nd operation was then conducted, and it went well. I felt that my abdominal circumference was much smaller, and the feeling of tightness in the abdominal cavity was reduced. I was then observed for four days post operative and then discharged.
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