V344 Lyrae: A Touchstone SU UMa Cataclysmic Variable in the Kepler Field
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ABSTRACT

We report on the analysis of the Kepler short-cadence (SC) light curve of V344 Lyr obtained during 2009 June 20 through 2010 March 19 (Q2–Q4). The system is an SU UMa star showing dwarf nova (DN) outbursts and superoutbursts, and promises to be a touchstone for CV studies for the foreseeable future. The system displays both positive and negative superhumps with periods of 2.20 and 2.06 hr, respectively, and we identify an orbital period of 2.11 hr. The positive superhumps have a maximum amplitude of ~0.25 mag, the negative superhumps have a maximum amplitude of ~0.8 mag, and the orbital period at quiescence has an amplitude of ~0.025 mag. The quality of the Kepler data is such that we can test vigorously the models for accretion disk dynamics that have been emerging in the past several years. The SC data for V344 Lyr are consistent with the model that two physical sources yield positive superhumps: early in the superoutburst, the superhump signal is generated by viscous dissipation within the periodically flexing disk, but late in the superoutburst, the signal is generated as the accretion stream bright spot sweeps around the rim of the non-axisymmetric disk. The disk superhumps are roughly anti-phased with the stream/late superhumps. The V344 Lyr data also reveal negative superhumps arising from accretion onto a tilted disk precessing in the retrograde direction and suggest that negative superhumps may appear during the decline of DN outbursts. The period of negative superhumps has a positive P in between outbursts.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Cataclysmic variable (CV) binary systems typically consist of low-mass main-sequence stars that transfer mass through the L1 inner Lagrange point and onto a white dwarf primary via an accretion disk. Within the disk, viscosity acts to transport angular momentum outward in radius, allowing mass to move inward and accrete onto the primary white dwarf (e.g., Warner 1995a; Frank et al. 2002; Hellier 2001). In the case of steady-state accretion the disk is the brightest component of the system, with a disk luminosity $L_{\text{disk}} \sim GM_1 M_2 / R_1$, where $M_1$ is the mass accretion rate onto a white dwarf of mass $M_1$ and radius $R_1$.

While members of the novalike (NL) CV subclass display a nearly constant mean system luminosity, members of the dwarf nova (DN) subclass display quasi-periodic outbursts of a few magnitudes thought to arise from a thermal instability in the disk. Specifically, models suggest a heating wave rapidly transitions the disk to a hot, high-viscosity state which significantly enhances $M_1$ for a few days. Furthermore, within the DN subclass there are the SU UMa systems that in addition to normal DN outbursts display superoutbursts that are up to a magnitude brighter and last a few times longer than the DN outbursts. The SU UMa stars are characterized by the appearance at superoutburst of periodic large-amplitude photometric signals (termed positive superhumps) with periods a few percent longer than the system orbital periods. So-called negative superhumps (with periods a few percent shorter than $P_{\text{orb}}$) are also observed in some SU UMa systems.

The oscillation modes (i.e., eigenfrequencies) of any physical object are a direct function of the structure of that object, and thus an intensive study of SU UMa superhumps that can make use of both a nearly ideal time-series data set as well as detailed three-dimensional high-resolution numerical models has the potential to eventually unlock many of the long-standing puzzles in accretion disk physics. For example, a fundamental question in astrophysical hydrodynamics is the nature of viscosity in differentially rotating plasma disks. It is typically thought to result from the magnetorotational instability (MRI) proposed by Balbus & Hawley (1998) and Balbus (2003), but the observations to date have been insufficient to test the model.

1.1. V344 Lyrae

The Kepler field of view includes 12 CVs in the Kepler Input Catalog (KIC) that have published results at the time of this writing. Ten of these systems are listed in Table 1 of Still et al. (2010, hereafter Paper I). Two additional systems have been announced since that publication, the DN system BOKS-45906 (KIC 9778689; Feldmeier et al. 2011), and the AM CVn star SDSS J190817.07 + 394036.4 (KIC 4547333; Fontaine et al. 2011).

The star V344 Lyr (KIC 7659570) is an SU UMa star that lies in the Kepler field. Kato (1993) observed the star during a superoutburst ($V \sim 14$) and reported the detection of superhumps with a period $P = 2.1948 \pm 0.0005$ hr. In a later study Kato et al. (2002) reported that the DN outbursts...
have a recurrence timescale of 16 ± 3 days, and that the superoutbursts have a recurrence timescale of ∼110 days. Ak et al. (2008) estimated a distance of 619 pc for the star using a period–luminosity relationship.

In Paper I we reported preliminary findings for V344 Lyr based on the second-quarter (Q2) Kepler observations, during which Kepler observed the star with a ∼1 minute cadence, obtaining over 123,000 photometric measurements. In that paper we reported on a periodic signal at quiescence that was either the orbital or negative superhump period, and the fact that the positive superhump signal persisted into quiescence and through the orbital period, where the fractional period excess \( \epsilon_\ast \) is defined as

\[
\epsilon_\ast \equiv \frac{P_\ast - P_{\text{orb}}}{P_{\text{orb}}}
\]  

These are the so-called common or positive superhumps, where the latter term reflects the sign of the period excess \( \epsilon_\ast \). In addition to the SU UMa stars, positive superhumps have also been observed in NL CVs (Patterson et al. 1993; Retter et al. 1997; Skillman et al. 1997; Patterson et al. 2005; Kim et al. 2009), the interacting binary white dwarf AM CVn stars (Patterson et al. 1993; Warner 1995b; Nelemans 2005; Roelofs et al. 2007; Fontaine et al. 2011), and in low-mass X-ray binaries (Charles et al. 1991; Mineshige et al. 1992; O’Donoghue & Charles 1996; Retter et al. 2002; Hynes et al. 2006).

Figure 1 shows snapshots from one full orbit of a smoothed particle hydrodynamics (SPH) simulation (\( q = 0.25, 100,000 \) particles) as well as the associated simulation light curve (see Simpson & Wood 1998; Wood & Burke 2007; Wood et al. 2009).

2. REVIEW OF SUPERHUMPS AND EXAMPLES

Before digging into the data, we briefly review the physical processes that lead to the photometric modulations termed superhumps.

2.1. Positive Superhumps and the Two-source Model

The accretion disk of a typical DN CV that is in quiescence has a low disk viscosity and thus inefficient exchange of angular momentum. As a result, the mass transfer rate \( M_{\text{L1}} \) through the inner Lagrange point L1 is higher than the mass transfer rate \( M_1 \) onto the primary. Thus, mass accumulates in the disk until a critical surface density is reached at some annulus, and the fluid in that annulus transitions to a high-viscosity state (Cannizzo 1998; Cannizzo et al. 2010). This high-viscosity state propagates inward and/or outward in radius until the entire disk is in a high-viscosity state characterized by very efficient angular momentum and mass transport—the standard DN outburst (see, e.g., Cannizzo 1993; Lasota 2001 for reviews). In this state, \( M_{\text{L1}} > M_{\text{L1}} \) and the disk drains mass onto the primary white dwarf.

During each outburst, however, the angular momentum transport acts to expand the outer disk radius slightly, and after a few to several of these, an otherwise normal DN outburst can expand the outer radius of the disk to the inner Lindblad resonance (near the 3:1 corotation resonance). This can only occur for systems with mass ratios \( q = M_2/M_1 \lesssim 0.35 \) (Wood et al. 2009).

Once sufficient mass is present at the resonance radius, the common superhump oscillation mode can be driven to amplitudes that yield photometric oscillations. The superhump oscillation has a period \( P_\ast \) which is a few percent longer than the orbital period, where the fractional period excess \( \epsilon_\ast \) is defined as

\[
\epsilon_\ast \equiv \frac{P_\ast - P_{\text{orb}}}{P_{\text{orb}}}
\]  

The bright spot will be most luminous when it impacts most deeply in the potential well of the primary (e.g., panel 3 of Figure 1), and fainter when it impacts the rim further from the white dwarf primary (panels 1 and 6). This signal is swamped by the superhumps generated by the flexing disk early in the superoutburst, but dominates once the disk is significantly drained of matter and returns to low state. The disk will continue to oscillate although the driving is much diminished, and thus the stream mechanism will continue to yield a periodic photometric signal of decreasing amplitude until the oscillations cease completely.

This photometric signal is what is termed late superhumps in the literature (e.g., Hessman et al. 1992; Patterson et al.
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Figure 1. Snapshots from one orbit of a $q = 0.25$ SPH accretion disk simulation shortly after superhump onset. The 100,000 simulation particles are color coded by their internal energy change (“luminosity”) over the previous simulation time step. The simulation light curve shown is calculated as the sum of the luminosities of all the particles. Note that the superhump maximum corresponds to frames 1 and 6, where the disk opposite the secondary is radially compressed and hence a region of strongly convergent flows. Note also that the accretion stream impact region is deeper in the potential well in frame 3 than in frames 1 and 6—this yields the “late” superhump signal.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Wood et al.

2000, 2002a; Templeton et al. 2006; Sterken et al. 2007; Kato et al. 2009, 2010). Rolfe et al. (2001) presented a detailed study of the deeply eclipsing DN IY UMa observed during the late superhump phase where they found exactly this behavior. They used the shadow method (Wood et al. 1986) to determine the radial location of the bright spot (disk edge) in 22 eclipses observed using time-series photometry. They found that the disk was elliptical and precessing slowly at the beat frequency of the orbital and superhump frequencies, and that the brightness of the stream-disk impact region varied as the square of the relative velocity of the stream and disk material (see also Smak 2010). Put another way, the bright spot was brighter when it was located on the periastron quadrant of the elliptical disk and fainter on the apastron quadrant.

Thus, two distinct physical mechanisms give rise to positive superhumps: viscous dissipation in the flexing disk, driven by the resonance with the tidal field of the secondary, and the time-variable viscous dissipation of the bright spot as it sweeps around the rim of a non-axisymmetric disk. For the remainder of this paper we refer to this as the two-source model of positive superhumps (see also Kunze 2002, 2004). These two signals are approximately anti-phased, and in systems where both operate at roughly equal amplitude, the Fourier transform of the light curve can show a larger amplitude for the second harmonic (first overtone) than for the fundamental (first harmonic).

As an example of this double-humped light curve, in Figure 2 we show 20 orbits of the $q = 0.25$ simulation discussed above (Figure 1) starting at orbit 400, by which time the system had settled into a state of dynamical equilibrium. The inset in this figure shows the average superhump pulse shape obtained from.

8 For completeness, we note that recently Smak (2009, 2011) has proposed that the standard model, described above, does not explain the physical source of observed superhump oscillations. Instead, he suggests that irradiation on the face of the secondary is modulated, which yields a modulated mass transfer rate $\dot{M}_{L1}$, which in turn results in modulated dissipation of the kinetic energy of the stream.
orbits 400–500 of the simulation, where we have set phase zero to primary minimum. Note that here the average pulse shape is complex but approximately double peaked. The Fourier transform displays maximum power at twice the fundamental frequency. When we examine the disk profiles, we find that the dominant peak arises from the disk superhump described above, but the secondary peak roughly half a cycle later results from the impact of the bright spot deeper in the potential well of the primary (see panel 4 of Figure 1). The substructure of this secondary maximum results from the interaction of the accretion stream with the spiral arm structures that advance progradely in the corotating frame. Panel 3 of Figure 1 is representative of the disk structure at the time of the small dip in brightness observed at superhump phase 0.55. The dip is explained by the fact that the accretion stream bright spot at this phase is located in the low-density inter-arm region, and therefore that the accretion stream can dissipate its energy over a longer distance. In addition, the oscillating disk geometry results in this region having a larger radius and lower velocity contrast near this phase. Howell et al. (1996) discuss the observation and phase evolution of the two secondary humps in the SU UMa system TV Corvi.

The three AM CVn (helium CV) systems that are in permanent high state—AM CVn (Skillman et al. 1999), HP Lib (Patterson et al. 2002a), and the system SDSS J190817.07+394036.4 (KIC 004547333) announced recently by Fontaine et al. (2011)—all display average pulse shapes that are strongly double humped. AM CVn itself is frequently observed to show no power in the Fourier transform at the fundamental superhump oscillation frequency (Smak 1967; Faulkner et al. 1972; Patterson et al. 1992; Skillman et al. 1999). AM CVn systems are known to be helium mass transfer systems with orbital periods ranging between 5 minutes and ~1 hr (see reviews by Warner 1995b; Solheim 2010).

In contrast, the hydrogen-rich old-novae and NL CVs that show permanent superhumps display mean pulse shapes that are nearly always similar to the saturation phase light curves as shown in Figure 1, and there is no example we know of where a permanent superhump system shows a strong double-humped light curve. The reason for this is clear upon reflection: the AM CVn disks are physically much smaller than the disks in systems with hydrogen-rich secondary stars, resulting in a much higher specific kinetic energy to be dissipated at the bright spot since the disk rim is much deeper in the potential well of the primary. The smaller disk may also yield a smaller amplitude for the disk oscillation signal. In the hydrogen-rich systems in permanent outburst, the disks are large, the mass transfer rates are high, and the disk signal dominates, with a relatively minor contribution from the stream source.

We tested the viability of the two-source model through three additional numerical experiments. First, we again restarted the above simulation at orbit 400, but now with the accretion flow through L1 shut off completely. In this run, there is no accretion stream and hence no bright spot contribution. We show the first 20 orbits of the simulation light curve in Figure 3. With the stream present, the light curve has the double-humped shape of Figure 2 above, but without the stream the light curve is sharply peaked with no hint of a double hump. Note that because there is no low-specific-angular-momentum material accreting at the edge of the disk, the disk can expand farther into the driving zone. This expansion results in the pulse shape growing in amplitude as the mean disk luminosity drops. The pulse shape averaged over orbits 410–440 is shown as an inset in the figure and clearly shows that the oscillating disk is the only source of modulation in the light curve—maximum brightness corresponds to a disk geometry like that from panel 1 of Figure 1 above. The mean brightness is roughly constant for orbits 410–440, and at orbit 440 the mean brightness and pulse amplitude begin to decline as some 50% of the initially present SPH disk particles are accreted by orbit 450.

Our second test was to restart the simulation a third time at orbit 400, but this time to enhance the injection rate of SPH particles (mass flow) at L1 by roughly a factor of two over that required to keep the disk particle count constant (Figure 4). This enhanced mass flux again dramatically changes the character of the light curve. Here the mean pulse shape as shown in the inset is sawtoothed, but with the substructure near the peak from the interaction of the stream with the periodic motion of the spiral features in the disk as viewed in the corotating frame. Careful comparison of the times of maximum in these two runs (Figures 3 and 4) reveals that they are anti-phased with each other. For example, the simulation light curve in Figure 3 shows maxima at times of 403.0 and 404.0 orbits, whereas the simulation light curve in Figure 4 shows minima at these same times.

Our third experiment was more crude, but still effective. We began with a disk from a $q = 0.2$ low-viscosity SPH simulation run that was in a stable, non-oscillating state. We offset all of the SPH particles an amount 0.03a along the line of centers (i.e., $(x, y, z) \rightarrow (x + 0.03a, y, z)$), scaled the SPH particle speeds...
410–440 is shown in the inset. The mean pulse shape calculated over orbits over these 20 orbits is shown as an inset. The mean system luminosity increases with the simulation particle count. The mean pulse shape is sawtoothed, and (but not directions) using the vis viva equation

$$v^2 = GM\left(\frac{2}{r} - \frac{1}{a}\right),$$

and restarted the simulation. This technique gives us a disk that is non-axisymmetric but not undergoing the superhump oscillation. The results were as expected: we find maxima in the simulation light curves at the phases where the accretion stream impacts the disk edge deepest in the potential well of the primary.

In summary, numerical simulations reproduce the two-source model for positive superhumps.

2.2. Negative Superhumps

Photometric signals with periods a few percent shorter than \(P_{\text{orb}}\) have also been observed in several DN, NL, and AM CVn systems—in some cases simultaneously with positive superhumps (see, e.g., Table 2 of Wood et al. 2009, and Woudt et al. 2009). These oscillations have been termed negative superhumps owing to the sign of the period “excess” obtained using Equation (1). The system TV Col was the first system to show this signal, and Bonnet-Bidaud et al. (1985) suggested that the periods were consistent with what would be expected for a disk that was tilted out of the orbital plane and freely precessing with a period of \(\sim 4\) days. Barrett et al. (1988) expanded on this and suggested what is now the accepted model for the origin of negative superhumps: the transit of the accretion stream impact point across the face of a tilted accretion disk that precesses in the retrograde direction (see Wood et al. 2000, 2009; Wood 

\& Burke 2007; Foulkes et al. 2006). As in the stream source for positive superhumps, the modulation results because the accretion stream impact point has a periodically varying depth in the potential well of the primary star.

Finding the term “negative period excess” unnecessarily turgid, in this work we refer to the period deficit \(\epsilon_-\) defined as

$$\epsilon_- \equiv \frac{P_{\text{orb}} - P_-}{P_{\text{orb}}}.\tag{3}$$

Empirically, it is found that for systems showing both positive and negative superhumps that \(\epsilon_+ / \epsilon_- \sim 2\) (Patterson 1999; Retter et al. 2002).

We show in Figure 5 a snapshot from a \(q = 0.40\) simulation that demonstrates the physical origin of negative superhumps. At orbit 400, the disk particles were tilted \(5^\circ\) about the \(x\)-axis and the simulation restarted. The green line in the figure running diagonally though the primary indicates the location of the line of nodes; the disk midplane includes this line, but is below the orbital plane to the right of the line, and above the orbital plane to the left of the line. The particles are color coded by luminosity, and the brightest are rendered larger. It can be seen that the accretion stream impact region at this phase is deep in the potential well of the primary, roughly at the line of nodes. The deeper the accretion stream impact region, the brighter the bright spot. The simulation light curve is derived from the “surface” particles calculated using a simply ray-trace technique.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

3. Kepler Photometric Observations

The primary science mission of the NASA Discovery mission Kepler is to discover and characterize terrestrial planets in the habitable zone of Sun-like stars using the transit method (Borucki et al. 2010; Haas et al. 2010). The spacecraft is in an Earth-trailing orbit, allowing it to view its roughly 150,000 target stars continuously for the 3.5 yr mission lifetime. The photometer has no shutter and stares continuously at the
target field. Each integration lasts 6.54 s. Due to memory and bandwidth constraints, only data from the pre-selected target apertures are kept. **Kepler** can observe up to 170,000 targets using the long-cadence (LC) mode, summing 270 integrations over 29.4 minutes, and up to 512 targets in the short-cadence (SC) mode, summing nine integrations for an effective exposure time of 58.8 s.

There are gaps in the **Kepler** data streams resulting from, for example, monthly data downloads using the high-gain antenna and quarterly 90° spacecraft rolls, as well as unplanned safe-mode and loss of fine point events. For further details of the spacecraft commissioning, target tables, data collection and processing, and performance metrics, see Haas et al. (2010), Koch et al. (2010), and Caldwell et al. (2010).

**Kepler** data are provided as quarterly FITS files by the Science Operations Center after being processed through the standard data reduction pipeline (Jenkins et al. 2010). The raw data are first corrected for bias, smear induced by the shutterless readout, and sky background. Time series are extracted using simple aperture photometry (SAP) using an optimal aperture for each star, and these “SAP light curves” are what we use in this study. The dates and times for the beginning and end of Q2, Q3, and Q4 are listed in Table 1.

The full SAP light curve for **Kepler** quarters Q2, Q3, and Q4 is shown in flux units in Figure 6. In Figure 2 of Paper II we show the full SAP light curve in Kp magnitude units. As noted in Paper II and evident in Figure 6, the superoutbursts begin as normal DN outbursts.

The Q2 data begin at BJD 2455002.5098. For simplicity we will below refer to events as occurring on, for example, day 70, which should be interpreted to mean BJD 2455070—that is, we take BJD 2455000 to be our fiducial time reference.

In this paper, we focus on the superhump and orbital signals present in the data. The outburst behavior of these data in the context of constraining the thermal-viscous limit cycle is published separately (Paper II).

To remove the large-amplitude outburst behavior from the raw light curve—i.e., to high-pass filter the data—we subtracted a boxcar-smoothed copy of the light curve from the SAP light curve. The window width was taken to be the superhump cycle length (2.2 hr or 135 points). To minimize the effects of data gaps, we split the data into a separate file anytime we had a data gap of more than one cycle. This resulted in 10 data chunks. Once the data residual light curve was calculated, we again recombined the data into a single file. The results for Q2, Q3, and Q4 are shown in Figures 7, 8, and 9, respectively.

We also calculated the fractional amplitude light curve by dividing the raw light curve by the smoothed light curve, and subtracting 1.0. However, as expected, the amplitudes of the photometric signals in the residual light curve are more nearly constant than those in the fractional amplitude light curve. This is because the superhump signals—both positive and negative—have amplitudes determined by physical processes within the disk that are not strong functions of the overall disk luminosity.

### 4. THE FOURIER TRANSFORM

In Figure 10 we show the discrete Fourier Transform (DFT) amplitude spectra for the current data set. We took the transforms over 2000 frequency points spanning 0–70 cycles per day (c d$^{-1}$). Each transform is of a five-day window of the data, and the window was moved roughly 1/2 day between subsequent transforms. The color scale indicates the logarithm of the residual count light curve amplitude in units of counts per cadence. In Figure 11 we show a magnified view including only frequencies 9.5–12.5 c d$^{-1}$ to better bring out the three fundamental frequencies in the system.

Figures 10 and 11 are rich with information. The positive superhumps ($P_s = 2.20$ hr) dominate the power for days $\sim$58–80 and $\sim$162–190. In Figure 11 we see that the time evolution of

---

**Table 1**  
Journal of Observations

| Quarter | Start$^a$ | End$^b$ |
|---------|-----------|---------|
|         | MJD UT    | MJD UT  |
| Q2      | 55002.008 | 2009 Jun 20 00:11 | 55090.975 | 2009 Sep 17 11:26 |
| Q3      | 55092.712 | 2009 Sep 18 17:05 | 55182.007 | 2009 Dec 17 00:09 |
| Q4      | 55184.868 | 2009 Dec 19 20:49 | 55274.714 | 2010 Mar 19 17:07 |

**Notes.**

$^a$ The start MJD and UT dates are the mid-point of the first cadence of the SC time series for each quarter.

$^b$ The end MJD and UT dates are the mid-point of the last cadence of the SC time series for each quarter.

---

**Figure 6.** **Kepler** Q2, Q3, and Q4 light curve of V344 Lyr in flux units. The outbursts are labeled 1–19.
the fundamental oscillation frequency is remarkably similar in both superoutbursts. The dynamics behind this are discussed below in Section 4.2 where the $O-C$ diagrams are presented.

Once the majority of the mass that will accrete during the event has done so, the disk transitions back to the low state. This occurs roughly 15 days after superhump onset for V344 Lyr. During this transition, the disk source of the superhump modulation fades with the disk itself, and the stream source of the superhump modulation begins to dominate. A careful inspection of Figure 10 shows that at this time of transition...
between disk and stream superhumps, there is comparable power in the second harmonic (first overtone) as found in the fundamental. The behavior of the light curve and Fourier transform are more clearly displayed in Figure 12 which shows 2 days of the light curve during the transition period, and the associated Fourier transforms. In both cases, the “knee” in the superoutburst light curve (see Figure 6) occurs just past the midpoint of the data sets. Although the second harmonic is strong in transition phase, the pulse shape of the disk superhump signal is sharply peaked so the fundamental remains prominent in the Fourier transform (see Figure 12).

As can clearly be seen in Figure 11, the orbital period of 2.10 hr (11.4 c d$^{-1}$) only becomes readily apparent in the Q4 data, starting at about day 200, and it dominates the Q4 Fourier

Figure 8. Residuals of the Kepler Q3 V344 Lyr light curve. The positive superhumps dominate the power for days $\sim$162 through the end of the quarter. The negative superhumps dominate the signal during days $\sim$100–160. The vertical scaling of the bottom two panels differs from the rest. The features that appear during days $\sim$105, 138, 150, and 161 are artifacts of the reduction process.
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Figure 9. Residuals of the Kepler Q4 V344 Lyr light curve. The orbital signal ($P_{\text{orb}} = 2.11$ hr, $v_{\text{orb}} = 11.4$ c d$^{-1}$) dominates following the decay of the positive superhump signal at day $\sim 190$. The features that appear during days $\sim 195, 212, 228, 246,$ and $256$ are artifacts of the reduction process.

transforms. Once identified in Q4, the orbital frequency appears to show some power in the week before the first superoutburst in Q2, and between days $\sim 130$ and the second superoutburst in Q3. Note, however, that the amplitude of the orbital signal is roughly one order of magnitude smaller than the amplitude of the negative superhump signal, and as much as two orders of magnitude smaller than the amplitude of the positive superhump signal. In these data, the orbital signal is found only when the positive or negative superhump signals are weak or absent. We discuss the physical reason for this below.

Finally, we note that we searched the Fourier transform of our Kepler SC data out to the Nyquist frequency of 8.496 mHz for any significant high-frequency power which might, for example, indicate accretion onto a spinning magnetic primary star (i.e.,
intermediate polar or DQ Her behavior). We found no reliable
detection of higher frequencies in the data, beyond the well-
known spurious frequencies present in Kepler time-series data
at multiples of the LC frequency ($n \times 0.566427$ mHz = 48.9393
c d$^{-1}$; Gilliland et al. 2010). For a full list of possible spurious
frequencies in the SC data, see the Kepler Data Characteristics
Handbook.

4.1. The Orbital Period

The orbital period is the most fundamental clock in a binary
system. In the original Q2 data presented by Still et al. (2010),
the only frequencies that were clearly present in the data were the
2.20 hr (10.9 c d$^{-1}$) superhump period and the period observed
at 2.06 hr (11.7 c d$^{-1}$). In Paper I, we identified this latter signal
as the orbital period but discussed the possibility that it is a
negative superhump period. The Q3 data revealed a marginal
detection of a period of 2.11 hr (11.4 c d$^{-1}$), and this period
is found to dominate the Q4 data (see Figure 13). The average
pulse shape for this signal averaged over days 200–275 is shown
in Figure 14. We can now safely identify this 2.11 hr (11.4 c d$^{-1}$)
signal as the system orbital period, which then indicates that the
2.06 hr (11.7 c d$^{-1}$) signal is a negative superhump.

The orbital period was determined using the method of
nonlinear least-squares fitting a function of the form

$$y(t) = A \sin[2\pi(t - T_0)/P].$$

The results of the fit are

$$P = 0.087904 \pm 3 \times 10^{-6} \text{ d},$$
$$T_0 = BJD 2455200.2080 \pm 0.0005 \text{ hr},$$
$$A = 7.8 \pm 0.1 \text{ e}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}.$$

---

Figure 10. Two-dimensional DFT of the Kepler Data from Q2, Q3, and Q4. Shown here is the logarithm of the amplitude vs. time and frequency. There are three fundamental periods visible, plus higher harmonics of two of these. The positive superhumps ($P_+ = 2.20 \text{ hr, } \nu_+ = 10.9 \text{ c d}^{-1}$) dominate the power for days $\sim 58$–80 and $\sim 162$–190. The negative superhumps dominate the signal during days $\sim 100$–160 ($P_- = 2.06 \text{ hr, } \nu_- = 11.7 \text{ c d}^{-1}$) dominate early in Q2, and again in Q3 between the superoutbursts. The orbital signal ($P_{orb} = 2.11 \text{ hr, } \nu_{orb} = 11.4 \text{ c d}^{-1}$) is apparent during Q4, and once identified, during the week before the second superoutburst.
(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Figure 11. Magnified view of the two-dimensional DFT for quarters Q2, Q3, and Q4. Shown here is the logarithm of the amplitude vs. time and frequency. The three distinct frequencies are clearly evident. In days $\sim 2$–40 and again in days $\sim 100$–160, the $P_- = 2.06 \text{ hr period (} \nu_- = 11.7 \text{ c d}^{-1})$ indicative of the negative superhump dominates. The positive superhumps ($P_+ = 2.20 \text{ hr, } \nu_+ = 10.9 \text{ c d}^{-1}$) dominate the power for days $\sim 58$–80 and $\sim 162$–190. The orbital period ($P_{orb} = 2.11 \text{ hr, } \nu_{orb} = 11.4 \text{ c d}^{-1}$) is most clearly apparent in the Q4 data, starting about day 200.
(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)
Figure 12. Light curves and associated Fourier transforms during the transition from disk to stream superhumps. As the system transitions from disk to stream superhumps in the drop to quiescence, the light curve is strongly double peaked but non-sinusoidal, resulting in power at both $\nu$ and $2\nu$ (first and second harmonics).

Figure 13. Fourier transform obtained using the Q4 residual data. The single dominant peak is at 11.38 c d$^{-1}$ (2.11 hr). We identify this as the orbital period. Note that the amplitude is only roughly 25 mmag—an order of magnitude or more smaller than the peak amplitudes of the positive and negative superhumps in the system.

That an orbital signal exists indicates that the system is not face on. The source of the orbital signal of a non-superhumping CV can be either the variable flux along the line of sight from a bright spot that is periodically shadowed as it sweeps around the back rim of the disk, or the so-called reflection effect as the face of the secondary star that is illuminated by the UV radiation of the disk rotates in to and out of view (e.g., Warner 1995a). In Figure 11, we find that the orbital signal is never observed when the positive superhumps are present, but this is not a strong constraint as the positive superhump amplitude swamps that of the orbital signal.

More revealing is the interplay between the orbital signal, the negative superhump signal, and the DN outbursts. In Q2 and Q3, the orbital signal appears only when the negative superhump signal is weak or absent. This is consistent with the idea that the addition of material from the accretion stream should bring the disk back to the orbital plane roughly on the mass-replacement timescale (Wood & Burke 2007; Wood et al. 2009). The strong negative superhump signal early in Q2 indicates a tilt of $\sim 5^\circ$, sufficient for the accretion stream to avoid interaction with the disk rim for all phases except those in which the disk rim is along the line of nodes. As the disk tilt declines, however, an increasing fraction of the stream material will impact the disk rim and not the inner disk—in other words, the orbital signal will grow at the expense of the negative superhump signal. This appears to be consistent with the data on hand and if so would suggest that the orbital signal results from the bright spot in V344 Lyr, but the result is only speculative at present.

In Figure 15 we show the $O-C$ phase diagram for $P_{\text{orb}} = 2.10$ hr. We fit 20 cycles for each point in the figure and moved the window 10 cycles between fits. The small apparent wanderings in phase result from interference from the other periods present, and also appear to correlate with the outbursts. We show the two-dimensional DFT for days 200–275 in Figure 16. Here we used a window width of 2 days and shifted the window by 1/8th of a day between transforms. We show amplitude per cadence. The orbital signal appears to be increasing in amplitude slightly during Q4, perhaps as a result of the buildup of mass in the system.
outer disk after several DN outbursts. The large amplitudes found for the orbital signal in Figure 15 during outbursts 17 and 19 (starting days \( \sim 246.5 \) and 266, respectively) are spurious, resulting from the higher-frequency signals found on the decline from maximum in each case. As discussed below, outbursts 17 and 19 both show evidence for triggering a negative superhump signal, and the light curve for outburst 19 yields a complex Fourier transform that shows power at the orbital frequency, at the negative superhump frequency, and at 12.3 \( \text{c d}^{-1} \) (1.95 hr).

4.2. Observed Positive Superhumps

The light curve for V344 Lyr is rich in detail and in particular provides the best data yet for exploring the time evolution of positive superhumps. As discussed above, the superhumps are first driven to resonance during the DN outburst that precedes the superoutburst as the heating wave transitions the outer disk to the high-viscosity state allowing the resonance to be driven to amplitudes that can modulate the system luminosity. Close inspection of the positive superhumps in Figures 7 and 8 shows that in both cases the amplitude of the superhump is initially quite small, but grows to saturation (\( A \sim 0.25 \text{ mag} \)) in roughly 16 cycles. There is an evident signal preceding the second superoutburst (days \( \sim 156.5–161 \))—this is a blend of the orbital signal and a very weak negative superhump signal.

The mean superhump period obtained by averaging the results from nonlinear least-squares fits to the disk superhump signal during the two superoutburst growth through plateau phases is \( P_s = 0.091769(3) \text{ days} = 2.02245(8) \text{ hr} \). The errors quoted for the last significant digit are the formal errors from the fits summed in quadrature. Using the periods found for the superhumps and orbit, we find a period excess of \( \epsilon_+ = 4.4\% \). We plot the result for V344 Lyr with the results from the well-determined systems below the period gap listed in Table 9 of Patterson et al. (2005) in Figure 17. The period excess for V344 Lyr is consistent with the existing data.

In Figures 18 and 19 we show the time evolution of the mean pulse shape for the first and second superoutbursts. To create these figures, we split the data into five-day subsets (\( \sim 50 \) cycles), with an overlap of roughly 2.5 days from one subset to the next. For each subset we computed a discreet Fourier transform and then folded the data on the period with the most power.

The evolution of the mean pulse shape is similar to results published previously (e.g., Patterson et al. 2003; Kato et al. 2009, 2010); however, the quality of the Kepler data is such that we can vigorously test the model that has been slowly emerging in the past few years for the origin of the superhump light source, the evolution of the pulse shape, and the physical origin of late superhumps.

A comparison of the simulation light curve from Figure 1 with the early mean pulse shapes shown in Figures 18 and 19 reveals a remarkable similarity, all the more remarkable given the very approximate nature of the artificial viscosity prescription used in the SPH calculations and the crude way in which the simulation light curves are calculated.

If the comparison between data and model is correct, the SPH simulations illuminate the evolution of the positive superhumps from the early disk-dominated source to the late stream-dominated source. The signal observed early in the
superoutburst is dominated by disk superhumps, where the disk at resonance is driven into a large-amplitude oscillation, and viscous dissipation in the strongly convergent flows that occur once per superhump cycle yield the characteristic large-amplitude superhumps seen in the top panels of Figures 18 and 19. After \( \sim 100 \) cycles (\( \sim 10 \) days), a significant amount of mass has drained from the disk, and in particular from the driving region. The disk continues to oscillate in response to the driving even after it has transitioned back to the quiescent state, but the driving is off-resonance and the periodic viscous dissipation described above is much reduced. Thus, we agree with previous authors that the late/quiescent superhumps that have been observed result from the dissipation in the bright spot as it sweeps around the rim of the non-axisymmetric disk.

To compute \( O - C \) phase diagrams for each superoutburst, we fit a three-cycle sine curve with the mean period of 2.196 hr which yields a relatively constant \( O - C \) during the plateau phase. The results are shown in Figures 20 and 21. The top panel shows the residual light curve as well as the SAP light curve smoothed with a window width of \( P_\tau \) (135 points). The second panel shows the \( O - C \) phase diagram and the third panel the amplitude of the fit. Also included in this figure in the fourth panel are the periods of the positive superhumps during two-day subsets of the residual light curve obtained with Fourier transforms. The horizontal bars show the extent of each data window. By differencing adjacent periods, we calculate the localized rate of period change of the superhumps \( \dot{P}_+ \). These results are shown in the bottom panel. As perhaps might be expected from the similarity in the evolution of the mean pulse profile during the two superoutbursts, the \( O - C \) phase diagrams as well as the evolution of the periods and localized rates of period change are also similar. Such diagrams can be illuminating in the study of superhumps, and Kato et al. (2009, 2010) present a comprehensive population analysis of superhumps using this method.

When the disk is first driven to oscillation in the growth and saturation phase, there is maximum mass at large radius, and the corresponding superhump period (\( \sim 2.25 \) hr) is significantly longer than the mean, yielding a positive slope in the \( O - C \) diagram. The rate of period change estimated from the first
4 days of data for both superoutbursts is $\dot{P}_s = -8 \times 10^{-4} \, \text{s}^{-1}$. Roughly 10 cycles ($\sim$ 1 day for V344 Lyr) after the mode saturates with maximum amplitude, sufficient mass has drained from the outer disk that the superhump period has decreased to the mean, and the superhump period continues to decrease out to $E \sim 100$ as the precession rate slows as a result of the decreasing mean radius of the flexing, non-axisymmetric disk. The period at this time is roughly 2.19 hr for both superoutbursts, and the rate of period change between cycles 30 and 70, which includes the early plateau phase before the stream signal becomes important, is $\dot{P}_s = -1.8 \times 10^{-4} \, \text{s}^{-1}$.

Between cycles $\sim$110 and 150, the $O - C$ phase diagrams in Figures 20 and 21 show phase shifts of $\sim$0.5 cycles. This is the result of the continued fading of the disk superhump and the transition to the stream/late superhump signal. Careful inspection of the top panels of Figures 20 and 21 near days 68 and 174 in fact shows the decreasing amplitude of the disk superhump, and the relatively constant amplitude of the stream superhump. By cycle $\sim$150 (days $\sim$72 and 176), the disk superhump amplitude is negligible, and all that remains is the signal from the stream superhump. The smoothed SAP light curve shown in the top panel shows that these times correspond to the return to the quiescent state during which the global viscosity is again low. It is also interesting that $\dot{P}_s$, itself appears to be increasing relatively linearly during much of the plateau phase with an average rate of $\dot{P} \sim 10^{-9} \, \text{s}^{-1}$. At present this is not explained by the numerical simulations. It may simply be that this result reflects the growing relative importance of the stream superhump signal on the phase of the three-cycle sine fit. This is almost certainly the case during the period peaks found at days $\sim$71 and 175, where we find that the sine fits are pulled to longer period by the complex and rapidly changing waveform (e.g., Figure 12).

In the quiescent interval before the first subsequent outburst the $O - C$ diagram shows a concave-downward shape indicating a negative $\dot{P}_s \sim -2 \times 10^{-4} \, \text{s}^{-1}$. We speculate that the behavior of the $O - C$ curve in response to the outburst following the first superoutburst may indicate that the outburst may effectively expand the radius of the disk causing a faster apsidal precession. Unfortunately, there is a gap in the Kepler data that starts just after the initial rise of the outburst following the second superoutburst. The value of $\dot{P}_s$, averaged over the last two measured bins for both superoutbursts is $\dot{P}_s \sim -3 \times 10^{-4} \, \text{s}^{-1}$.

The measured values of $\dot{P}_s$ for V344 Lyr are consistent with those reported in the extensive compilation of Kato et al. (2009). To make a direct comparison with Kato et al., who calculate $\dot{P}_s$ over the first 200 cycles (i.e., plateau phase), we average all the $\dot{P}_s$ measurements out to the drop to quiescence, and find an average value of $-6 \times 10^{-5} \, \text{s}^{-1}$ for the first superoutburst and $-9 \times 10^{-5} \, \text{s}^{-1}$ for the second. These values for V344 Lyr are entirely consistent with the Kato et al. results as shown in their Figure 8.

In Still et al. (2010) we noted that V344 Lyr was unusual (but not unique) in that superhumps persist into quiescence and through the following outburst in Q2. Other systems that have been observed to show (late) superhumps into quiescence more typically have short orbital periods, including V1159 Ori (Patterson et al. 1995), ER UMa (Gao et al. 1999; Zhao et al. 2006), WZ Sge (Patterson et al. 2002b), and the WZ-Sge-like star V466 And (Chochol et al. 2010), among others. The identification of late superhumps is a matter of contention in some cases (Kato et al. 2009), and the post-superoutburst coverage of targets is more sparse than the coverage during superoutbursts. Thus, it is difficult to know if post-superoutburst superhumps are common or rare at this time.
4.3. Observed Negative Superhumps

As noted above in Section 2.2, the 2.06 hr (11.4 c d⁻¹) signal that dominates the light curve for the first ∼35 days of Q2 is now understood to be the result of a negative superhump. This yields a value for the period deficit (Equation (3)) of $\epsilon_\text{orb} = 2.5\%$. The maximum amplitude at quiescence is $A \sim 0.8$ mag. Figure 22 shows 10 cycles of the negative superhump signal during this time. The inset shows the mean pulse shape averaged over days 5–25 (roughly 230 cycles). The signal is approximately sawtoothed with a rise time roughly twice the fall time. It appears consistent with the pulse shapes (Wood & Burke 2007) obtained using ray-trace techniques on three-dimensional simulations of tilted disks (their Figure 3). Negative superhumps dominate the power in days ∼2–35 and again in days ∼100–160.

The signal observed near the beginning of Q2 reveals a remarkably large rate of period change—large enough that it can be seen in the harmonics of the Fourier transform shown in Figure 10 as a negative slope toward lower frequency with time. A nonlinear least-squares fit to the fundamental period measured during days 2.5–7.5 yields $P_\text{orb} = 2.05006 \pm 0.00005$ hr. A fit to the data from days 22–26, however, yields $P_\text{orb} = 2.06273 \pm 0.00005$ hr. The formal errors from nonlinear least-squares fits underestimate the true errors by as much as an order of magnitude (Montgomery & Odonoghue 1999), but even if this is the case, these two results differ by ∼2σ. Taken at face value, they yield a rate of period change of $P_\text{orb} \sim 3 \times 10^{-5}$ s⁻¹. Similarly, we fit the negative superhump periods in two four-day windows centered on days 112.0 and 121.0. The periods obtained from nonlinear least squares are $P_\text{orb} = 2.0530 \pm 0.0002$ hr and $P_\text{orb} = 2.066038 \pm 0.00008$ hr, respectively, which yields $P_\text{orb} \sim 6 \times 10^{-5}$ s⁻¹ over this time span. In their recent comprehensive analysis of the evolution of CVs as revealed by their donor stars, Knigge et al. (2011) estimate that for systems with $P_\text{orb} \sim 2$ hr the rate of orbital period change should be $P_\text{orb} \sim -7 \times 10^{-14}$ s⁻¹ (see their Figure 11). Clearly, the ∼2.06 hr signal cannot be orbital in origin. In some negatively superhumping systems with high inclinations, the precessing tilted disk can modulate the mean brightness (e.g., Stanishev et al. 2002). We found no significant signal in the Fourier transform at the precession period of ∼3.6 days.

In Figure 23, we show the results of the $O - C$ analysis for the Q2 data. To create the figure, we fit five-cycle sine curves of period 2.05 hr to the residual light curve, shifting the data by one cycle between fits. The shape of the $O - C$ diagram is concave up until the peak of the first outburst at day ∼28, indicating that the period of the signal is lengthening during this time span. The magnitude of the negative superhump period deficit is inversely related to the retrograde precession period of the tilted disk—a shorter precession period yields a larger period deficit. A disk that was not precessing at all would show a negative superhump period equal to the orbital period. The observation that the negative superhump period in V344 Lyr is lengthening during days ∼2–27 indicates that the precession period of the tilted disk is increasing (i.e., the rate of precession is decreasing). Coincident with the first DN outburst (outburst 1) in Q2, there is a cusp in the $O - C$ diagram, indicating a jump to shorter period (faster retrograde precession rate). The amplitude of the signal begins to decline significantly following outburst 1, and the signal is effectively quenched by outburst 2. Note that between days ∼28 and 35 the $O - C$ diagram is again concave up, although with less curvature than before outburst 1.

We show the two-dimensional DFT of the pre-superoutburst Q2 data in Figure 24. Here we used a window width of 2 days that was shifted 1/8 days between transforms. We plot the amplitude in counts per cadence. It is evident that outburst 1 shifts the oscillation frequency, as well as quenching the amplitude of the signal. Outburst 2 triggers a short-lived signal with a period of roughly 11.9 c d⁻¹ (2.02 hr), and outburst 3 appears to generate signals near the frequencies of the negative and positive superhumps that rapidly evolve to higher and lower frequencies, respectively, only to fade to noise background by the end of the outburst. Outburst 3 has a somewhat slower rise to maximum than most of the outbursts in the time series and is the last outburst before the first superoutburst, but is otherwise unremarkable. This is the only time we see this behavior in the three quarters of data we present, so it is unclear what the underlying physical mechanism is.

Although much of the Q3 light curve is dominated by the negative superhump signal, the amplitude is much lower than early in Q2, and in addition there is contamination from the orbital and positive superhump signals. In Figure 25 we show the two-dimensional DFT for the Q3 data between days 93 and 162, again showing the amplitude in counts per cadence versus time and frequency. We used a window width of 2 days that was shifted 1/8 days between transforms.

In Figure 26 we show the $O - C$ phase diagram obtained by fitting a five-cycle sine curve of period 2.06 hr to data spanning days 93.2–140.0. The amplitude during this time is considerably smaller than was the case for the Q2 negative
Figure 24. Two-dimensional DFT of the pre-superoutburst Q2 data. Shown are amplitude per cadence vs. time and frequency. The window width was 2 days and the window was shifted 1/8 days between transforms. Most obvious is that the first outburst shifts the power to higher frequency, but careful inspection also shows that the frequency evolves toward longer period up to day $\sim 25$ (e.g., note the slope of the sidelobe at $\sim 11$ c d$^{-1}$ with respect to the grid line). Outbursts 2 and 3 both appear to generate power on the decline from maximum.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Figure 25. Two-dimensional DFT of the inter-superoutburst Q3 data. Shown are amplitude per cadence vs. time and frequency. The window width was 2 days and the window was shifted 1/8 days between transforms. The negative superhump signal is present until day $\sim 140$. Higher-frequency power is generated on the decline from the maximum of the last two outbursts.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Figure 26. SAP light curve (top panel) $O - C$ phase diagram (middle panel) and amplitude (bottom panel) of the negative superhump signal during days 106.5–123.2. As in Figure 23, the shape of the $O - C$ curve is concave upward, indicating a positive rate of period change.

Before day 106, there appears to be contamination from periodicities near the superhump frequency of 10.9 c d$^{-1}$ which are evident in Figure 25, and after day 126 the signal fades dramatically. It was only during days 106.5–123.2 that the amplitude of the negative superhump signal was large enough, stable enough, and uncontaminated to yield a clean $O - C$ phase diagram. These data lie between outbursts 8 and 9, and comprise the longest quiescent stretch in Q3. It can be seen that the $O - C$ curve is again concave upward indicating a positive rate of period change as calculated above, and the bottom panel indicates that the amplitude of the signal is increasing during this time span.

The retrograde precession rate of a tilted accretion disk is a direct function of the effective (mass weighted) radius of the disk. Several groups have studied the precession properties of tilted disks (Papaloizou & Terquem 1995; Larwood et al. 1996; Larwood 1998; Larwood & Papaloizou 1997; Lai 1999). Papaloizou et al. (1997) derived the following expression for the induced precession frequency $\omega_p$ of a tilted accretion disk:

$$\omega_p = -\frac{3}{4} \frac{GM_2}{a^3} \left[ \int \Sigma r^3 dr \right] \cos \delta,$$

where $\omega_p$ is the leading-order term of the induced precession frequency for a differentially rotating fluid disk, calculated using linear perturbation theory, $\Sigma(r)$ is the axisymmetric surface density profile and $\Omega(r)$ the unperturbed Keplerian angular velocity profile, $a$ is the orbital separation, $M_2$ is the mass of the secondary, and $\delta$ is the tilt of the disk with respect to the orbital
plane. The integrals are to be taken between the inner and outer radii of the disk.

In a later study of the precession of tilted accretion disks, Larwood (1997, and see Larwood 1998) derived the expression for the precession frequency of a disk with constant surface density \( \Sigma \) and polytropic equation of state with ratio of specific heats equal to 5/3:

\[
\frac{\omega_p}{\Omega_0} = -\frac{3}{7} q \left( \frac{R_0}{a} \right)^3 \cos \delta,
\]

where here \( \Omega_0 \) is the Keplerian angular velocity of the outer disk of radius \( R_0 \), and \( q \) is the mass ratio.

The physical interpretation of Equations (5) and (6) is that tilted accretion disks weighted to larger radii will have higher precession frequencies than those weighted to smaller radii. For example, if we have two disks with the same nominal tilt and total mass, where one has a constant surface density and the other with a surface density that increases with radius, the second disk will have a higher precession rate, and would yield a negative superhump frequency higher than the first. A third disk with most of its mass concentrated at small radius would have a lower precession frequency and yield a negative superhump signal nearest the orbital signal.

In this picture the increasing precession period indicated by the positive rate of period change for the negative superhump signal \( P_- \) might at first seem counterintuitive since the disk is gaining mass at quiescence. However, the key fact is that tilted disks accrete most of their mass at small radii, since the accretion stream impacts the face of the tilted disk along the line of nodes (Wood & Burke 2007; Wood et al. 2009). The accretion stream impacts the rim of the disk only twice per orbit (refer back to Figure 5). Thus, the effective (mass weighted) radius of an accreting tilted disk decreases with time, causing a slowing in the retrograde precession rate \( \omega_p \), and an increase in the period of the negative superhump signal \( P_- \).

A detailed analysis of the data, theory, and numerical model results should allow us to probe the time evolution of the mass distribution in disks undergoing negative superhumps, and hence the low-state viscosity mechanism. The unprecedented quality and quantity of the Kepler time-series data suggests that V344 Lyr and perhaps other Kepler-field CVs that display negative superhumps may significantly advance our understanding of the evolution of the mass distribution in tilted accretion disks.

The cause of disk tilts in CVs is still not satisfactorily explained. In the low-mass X-ray binaries it is believed that radiation pressure can provide the force necessary to tilt the disk out of the orbital plane (Peterson 1977; Iping & Petterson 1990; Foulkes et al. 2006; Ivanov & Papaloizou 2008); however, this mechanism is not effective in the CV scenario. Barrett et al. (1988) suggested in their work on TV Col that magnetic fields near the L1 region might deflect the accretion stream out of the orbital plane, but as noted in Wood & Burke (2007) the orbit-averaged angular momentum vector of a deflected stream would still be parallel to the orbital angular momentum variable. Murray et al. (2002) demonstrated numerically that a disk tilt could be generated by instantaneously turning on a magnetic field on the secondary star. Although their tilt decayed with time (the orbit-averaged angular momentum argument again), their results suggest that changing magnetic field geometries could generate disk tilt. Assuming that the disk viscosity is controlled by the MRI (Balbus & Hawley 1998; Balbus 2003), it is plausible that differentially rotating plasmas may also be subject to magnetic reconnection events (flares) which are asymmetrical with respect to the disk plane, or that during an outburst the intensified disk field may couple to the tilted dipole field on the primary star (e.g., Lai 1999) or the field of the secondary star (Murray et al. 2002).

With these ideas in mind, the behavior of V344 Lyr during outbursts 2, 10, 11, 17, and 19 is tantalizing. First, again consider the two-dimensional DFTs from Q2, Q3, and Q4 shown in Figures 24, 25, and 16, respectively. In each of these cases, there is power generated at a frequency consistent with the negative superhump frequency on the decline from maximum light. Outbursts 2 and 10 appear to excite a frequency of roughly \( 12 \text{ c d}^{-1} \) (~2 hr), outburst 17 excites the negative superhump frequency for ~3 days, and outbursts 11 and 19 appear to excite power at the negative superhump frequency that rapidly evolves to shorter frequencies. We show the SAP light curves for these outbursts as well as the residual light curves in Figure 27. The residual light curves for these five outbursts all appear to show the excitation of a frequency near or slightly greater than the negative superhump frequency that dominates early in Q2. This is about 1/3 of the normal outbursts in the three quarters of Kepler data—the other 12 outbursts do not show evidence for having excited new frequencies. Thus, while additional data are clearly required and our conclusions are speculative, we suggest that these results support a model in which the disk tilt is generated by the transitory (impulsive) coupling between an intensified disk magnetic field and the field of the primary or secondary star. The fact that these five outburst events yield frequencies near \( 12 \text{ c d}^{-1} \) appears to support the model that it is the mass in the outer disk that is initially tilted out of the plane.

5. CONCLUSIONS

We present the results of the analysis of three quarters of Kepler time-series photometric data from the system V344 Lyr. Our major findings are as follows.
1. The orbital, negative superhump, and positive superhump periods are $P_{orb} = 2.11$ hr, $P_{-} = 2.06$ hr, and $P_{+} = 2.20$ hr, giving a positive superhump period excess of $\epsilon_{+} = 4.4\%$ and a negative superhump period deficit of $\epsilon_{-} = 2.5\%$.

2. The quality of the Kepler data is such that we can constrain significantly the models for accretion disk dynamics that have been proposed in the past several years.

3. The evolution of the pulse shapes and phases of the positive superhump residual light curve provides convincing evidence in support of the two-source model for positive superhumps. Early in the superoutburst, viscous dissipation in the strongly convergent flows of the flexing disk provide the modulation observed at the superhump frequency. Once the system has returned to quiescence, the modulation is caused by the periodically variable dissipation at the bright spot as it sweeps around the rim of the still non-axisymmetric, flexing disk. During the transition the $O-C$ phase diagram shows a shift of ~0.5 in phase.

4. Superoutbursts begin as normal DN outbursts. The rise to superoutburst is largely explained by the thermal-viscous limit cycle model discussed in Paper II. Beyond this luminosity source, which does a reasonable job of matching the lower envelope of the superoutburst light curve, there is an additional periodic dissipation that generates the superhump signals. The sources of the periodic dissipation are (1) the strongly convergent flows that are generated once per superhump cycle as the disk is compressed in the radial direction opposite the secondary and (2) the variable depth of the bright spot as it sweeps around the rim of the non-axisymmetric oscillating disk.

5. Numerical experiments that individually isolate the two proposed physical sources of the positive superhump signal yield results that are broadly consistent with the signals in the data.

6. The positive superhumps show significant changes in period that occur in both superoutbursts. The average $P_{+} \approx 6 \times 10^{-5}$ s s$^{-1}$ for the first superoutburst and $P_{+} \approx 9 \times 10^{-5}$ s s$^{-1}$ for the second are consistent with literature results. The data reveal that $P_{+}$ itself appears to be increasing relatively linearly during much of the plateau phase at an average rate for the two superoutbursts of $P_{+} \sim 10^{-9}$ s$^{-1}$.

7. The negative superhumps show significant changes in period with time, resulting from the changing mass distribution (moment of inertia) of the tilted disk. As the mass of the inner disk increases before outburst 1, the retrograde precession period increases, consistent with theoretical predictions. These data are rich with unmined information.

8. Negative superhumps appear to be excited as a direct result of some of the DN outbursts. We speculate that the MRI-intensified disk field can couple to the field of the primary or secondary star and provide an impulse that tilts the disk out of the orbital plane. Continued monitoring by Kepler promises to shed light on this important unsolved problem.

The system V344 Lyr continues to be monitored at SC by the Kepler mission. It will undoubtedly become the touchstone system against which observations of all other SU UMa CVs will be compared, as the quantity and quality of the time-series data are unprecedented in the history of the study of CVs. The Kepler data for V344 Lyr promise to reveal details of the micro- and macro-physics of stellar accretion disks that would be impossible to obtain from ground-based observations.

Kepler was selected as the 10th mission of the Discovery Program. Funding for this mission is provided by NASA, Science Mission Directorate. All of the data presented in this paper were obtained from the Multimission Archive at the Space Telescope Science Institute (MAST). STScI is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under NASA contract NAS5-26555. Support for MAST for non-HST data is provided by the NASA Office of Space Science via grant NAG5-7584 and by other grants and contracts. This research was supported in part by the American Astronomical Society’s Small Research Grant Program in the form of page charges. We thank Marcus Hohlmann from the Florida Institute of Technology and the Domestic Nuclear Detection Office in the Department of Homeland Security for making computing resources on a Linux cluster available for this work. We thank Joseph Patterson of Columbia University for sending us the data used in Figure 19 in electronic form.
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