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Abstract. We study a modified version of an initial-boundary value problem describing the formation of colony patterns of bacteria Escherichia Coli. The original system of three parabolic equations was studied numerically and analytically and gave insights into the underlying mechanisms of chemotaxis. We focus here on the parabolic-elliptic-parabolic approximation and the hyperbolic-elliptic-parabolic limiting system which describes the case of pure chemotactic movement without random diffusion. We first construct local-in-time solutions for the parabolic-elliptic-parabolic system. Then we prove uniform a priori estimates and we use them along with a compactness argument in order to construct local-in-time solutions for the hyperbolic-elliptic-parabolic limiting system. Finally, we prove that some initial conditions give rise to solutions which blow-up in finite time in the $L^\infty$ norm in all space dimensions. This last result is true even in space dimension 1, which is not the case for the full parabolic or parabolic-elliptic Keller-Segel systems.

1. Introduction. Since the 70’ and the first article of Keller and Segel [25] taxis-diffusion and aggregation equations were widely studied and proved to model a wide range of phenomena. This first model was of the form

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_t u &= \nabla \cdot (D_2(u,v) \nabla u) - \nabla \cdot (D_1(u,v) \nabla v), \\
\partial_t v &= D \Delta v + f(u)u - k(v)v
\end{align*}
\]

and aimed at understanding the aggregation of amoebae (namely the species Dictyostelium discoideum). Here $u$ denote the density of the amoebae and $v$ the density of a chemotactic substance which is produced by the amoebae in case of food scarcity. Many variants were introduced and mathematically studied. Some of these models are subject to blow-up in finite time (see the monograph [4] and references therein). We refer to [20], [21], [4], [19] and [3] for reviews of the ever-growing bibliography on this subject. Some of these variants are growth models: the first equation is modified to allow for birth and death of organisms. Several growth terms were studied in early works (for example [5],[6],[18],[16],[35],[14],[30],[35]. The Keller-Segel system with logistic growth $ru - \mu u^2$ was intensively studied, with the recent contributions by Tello and Winkler [38], Winkler [39], Lankeit [26], [27], [28], Kang and Stevens [24].
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Among other generalisations with growth terms, Aotani, Mimura and Mollee [2] developed a Keller-Segel type model that reproduced qualitatively the experimental results obtained by Budrene and Berg [9], [10], where bacteria produce stable geometrical patterns under the effect of chemotaxis. This new model aimed to investigate the hypothesis of [9] that cell proliferation, excretion of chemoattractant, chemotactic motility and substrate consumption can generate the observed patterns without any specialised morphogenetic program. The numerical simulations of [2] gave insights in this direction. This model was investigated in dimension 1 in [23]. The model is given by

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_t u &= \Delta u - \nabla \cdot (u \nabla \chi(c)) + g(u)nu - b(n)u, \\
\partial_t c &= d_c \Delta c + \alpha u - \beta c, \\
\partial_t n &= d_n \Delta n - \gamma g(u)nu, \\
\partial_t w &= b(n)u, \\
u(x,0) &= u_0(x),
\end{align*}
\]

(1.2)

where we denote by \(u(x,t)\) the density of active bacteria, \(w(x,t)\) the density of inactive bacteria, \(c(x,t)\) the concentration of chemoattractant and \(n(x,t)\) the density of nutrients. The constants \(d_u, d_n, \alpha, \beta, \gamma\) are assumed to be positive and \(g, b\) and \(\chi\) are regular enough functions which we will discuss below. The system is studied for \(t \in [0, +\infty)\) in a open, bounded domain \(\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d\) with smooth boundary and Neumann boundary conditions:

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = \frac{\partial c}{\partial \nu} = \frac{\partial n}{\partial \nu} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega.
\]

(1.3)

Celinski, Karch, Hilhorst, Mimura and Roux [12] prove the existence and uniqueness of local-in-time solutions and construct global-in-time solutions in the one dimensional case. Under smallness assumptions on the initial data, they also establish a global-in-time existence result in higher dimension. In the case that the solution \((u, c, n, w)\) exists globally in time, it converges exponentially fast in \(L^\infty\) norm, as \(t\) tends to \(+\infty\), towards an unknown stationary pattern of the form \((0, 0, n_\infty, w_\infty(\cdot))\) where \(n_\infty\) is a non-negative constant and \(w_\infty\) a non-negative function. For a simplified parabolic-elliptic-parabolic (see problem (2.1) below) version of the system, the authors prove a blow-up result for initial data with large enough \(L^1\) norm and sufficiently concentrated around a given point.

Following the articles of Winkler [39], Lankeit [28] and Kang and Stevens [24] in the logistic growth case, we study here a hyperbolic-elliptic-parabolic version of the model proposed in [2] where the diffusion term is removed from the first equation:

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_t u &= -\nabla \cdot (u \nabla c) + g(u)nu - b(n)u, & \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+ \\
0 &= \Delta c + \alpha u - \beta c, & \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+ \\
\partial_t n &= \Delta n - \gamma g(u)nu, & \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+ \\
\partial_c &= \frac{\partial n}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+. \\
u(x,0) &= u_0(x), & n(x,0) = n_0(x) & \text{in } \Omega.
\end{align*}
\]

(1.4)

in an open, smooth, and bounded domain \(\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d\). We also define

\[
w(x,t) = \int_0^t b(n(x,s))u(x,s)ds, \quad \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+
\]

(1.5)

as the density of inactive bacteria for this system.

We assume that
• $g$ is a $C^1$ positive increasing function such that $g(0) = 0$, $\lim_{x \to +\infty} g(x) = G_0$ and $g' \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$;
• $b$ is a $C^1$ positive decreasing function such that $b(0) = B_0 > 0$ and $b' \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$;
• $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ are positive constants,

and that the initial conditions satisfy the following hypothesis:

**Hypothesis 1.1.** $u_0, n_0 \in W^{2,p}(\Omega)$ for some $p > d$, $u_0, n_0 \geq 0$ and $u_0, n_0$ satisfy homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions.

This system models the case where random motion of bacteria is negligible compared to the effect of chemotaxis. It depicts well the case of a high density of chemoattractant in the environment when bacteria already colonized the medium and start gathering and inactivating themselves. Note that hyperbolic Keller-Segel systems were the subject of active research in the past few years (see [36], [15] and bibliography in [3]).

In Section 2, we first construct local-in-time solutions for the parabolic-elliptic-parabolic approximate system (2.1). Then, under the assumption that the domain $\Omega$ is convex, we prove that the solution of an ODE is an upper-solution of the $W^{1,p}$ norm of the component $u$ of solutions $(u_\varepsilon, c_\varepsilon, n_\varepsilon)$ of (2.1) on a time interval $[0, T]$, where $T$ is independent from the diffusion coefficient $\varepsilon$ in the first equation of (2.1).

Hence, we deduce from the comparison principle a $W^{1,p}$ bound on the solutions in $[0, T]$ which is uniform with respect to $\varepsilon$. Other estimates follow by Sobolev injection and computations. In Section 3, we assume again that the space domain $\Omega$ is convex and we construct local-in-time solutions for system (1.4) via a compactness method involving the previous a priori estimates on the approximate system. The time of existence of these solutions is at least $T$, where $T$ only depends on the norm of the initial condition. In Section 4, we prove a blow-up result for solutions of (1.4) for all space dimensions, including dimension 1. In the appendix A, we recall some classical results which we need along the way: technical lemmas, elliptic estimates and heat semi-group estimates.

2. **The parabolic-elliptic-parabolic approximate system.** Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. In order to construct local-in-time solutions to system (1.4), we consider the approximate system

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_t u_\varepsilon &= \varepsilon \Delta u_\varepsilon - \nabla \cdot (u_\varepsilon \nabla c_\varepsilon) + g(u_\varepsilon) n_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon - b(n_\varepsilon) u_\varepsilon, &\text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+ \\
0 &= \Delta c_\varepsilon + \alpha u_\varepsilon - \beta c_\varepsilon, &\text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+ \\
\partial_t n_\varepsilon &= \Delta n_\varepsilon - \gamma g(u_\varepsilon) n_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon, &\text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+ \\
\frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial \nu} &= \frac{\partial c_\varepsilon}{\partial \nu} = \frac{\partial n_\varepsilon}{\partial \nu} = 0 &\text{on } \partial \Omega \\
u_\varepsilon(x, 0) &= u_0^\varepsilon(x), n_\varepsilon(x, 0) = n_0^\varepsilon(x) &\text{in } \Omega,
\end{align*}
\]

where $u_0^\varepsilon, n_0^\varepsilon$ satisfy the hypothesis:

**Hypothesis 2.1.** $u_0^\varepsilon, n_0^\varepsilon \in C^{2,0}(\overline{\Omega})$ for some $\eta \in (0, 1)$, $u_0^\varepsilon, n_0^\varepsilon > 0$ and $u_0^\varepsilon, n_0^\varepsilon$ satisfy homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions. Moreover, there exists $p > d$ such that for all $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\|u_0^\varepsilon\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leq \|u_0\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)}, \quad \|n_0^\varepsilon\|_{W^{1,\infty}} \leq \|n_0\|_{W^{1,\infty}} \quad \text{and} \quad \|n_0^\varepsilon\|_{\infty} \leq \|n_0\|_{\infty}.\$$

When $\varepsilon$ tends to 0, $u_0^\varepsilon$ and $n_0^\varepsilon$ converge strongly in $W^{2,p}(\Omega)$ towards $u_0$ and $n_0$ respectively, where $u_0$ and $n_0$ satisfy Hypothesis 1.1.
2.1. Local-in-time existence. Consider the heat semigroup \( \{e^{t\Delta}\}_{t \geq 0} \) in the smooth bounded domain \( \Omega \) with Neumann boundary conditions. We can write the following Duhamel formulae for problem (2.1):

\[
\begin{align*}
  u_\varepsilon(t) &= e^{t\Delta}u_\varepsilon^0 + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta} \nabla \cdot \left( u_\varepsilon(s) \nabla c_\varepsilon(s) \right) ds \\
  &\quad + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta} u_\varepsilon(s) (g(u_\varepsilon) n_\varepsilon - b(n_\varepsilon)) ds, \\
  n_\varepsilon(t) &= e^{t\Delta}n_\varepsilon^0 - \gamma \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta} g(u_\varepsilon(s)) n_\varepsilon(s) u_\varepsilon(s) ds,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( c_\varepsilon(t) \) is the unique solution of

\[ -\Delta c_\varepsilon(t) + \beta c_\varepsilon(t) = \alpha u_\varepsilon(t) \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega \quad \text{and} \quad t > 0,
\]

\[ \frac{\partial c_\varepsilon}{\partial n} = 0 \quad \text{for } x \in \partial \Omega \quad \text{and} \quad t > 0. \]

**Definition 2.2.** Let \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d \) an open domain with smooth boundary. We define

\[ \mathcal{V}_1 = \{ u \in C^{\eta+\frac{7}{4}}(\Omega \times [0, T]) \mid \nabla u \in C^{\eta+\frac{3}{4}}(\Omega \times [0, T]) \}. \]

and

\[ \mathcal{V}_2 = \{ u \in \mathcal{V}_1 \mid \nabla^2 u \in C^{\eta-\frac{1}{2}}(\Omega \times [0, T]) \}. \]

**Theorem 2.3.** Let \( u_0^\varepsilon, n_0^\varepsilon \) satisfy Hypothesis 2.1. There exists a unique maximal time \( T_{\max, \varepsilon} \in (0, +\infty) \) such that problem (2.1) has a unique non-negative solution

\( (u_\varepsilon, c_\varepsilon, n_\varepsilon) \in C^{2+\eta,1+\frac{3}{4}}(\Omega \times [0, T_{\max, \varepsilon}]), \)

which satisfies for all \( t \in [0, T_{\max, \varepsilon}) \),

\[ \|n_\varepsilon(\cdot, t)\| \leq \|n_0^\varepsilon\|_{\infty}. \]

Moreover, if \( T_{\max, \varepsilon} < +\infty \), then

\[ \limsup_{t \to T_{\max, \varepsilon}} \|u_\varepsilon(t)\|_{\infty} = +\infty. \]

**Proof.** First, by Lemma A.1 if \( u \in C^{\eta+\frac{7}{4}}(\Omega \times [0, T]) \), then the solution \( c_\varepsilon \) of (2.4) satisfies \( c_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{V}_2 \) and for all \( t > 0 \)

\[ \|\nabla c_\varepsilon(t)\|_{\infty} \leq K_\infty \|u_\varepsilon(t)\|_{\infty}, \]

for some constant \( K_\infty > 0 \).

Then, we fix \( R > 0 \) such that

\[ \max(\|u_0^\varepsilon\|_{\infty}, \|n_0^\varepsilon\|_{\infty}) \leq \frac{R}{2}, \]

and we define the space

\[ V_{T, R} = \{ u, n \in \mathcal{V}_1 \mid \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|u(t)\|_{\infty} \leq R, \quad \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|n(t)\|_{\infty} \leq R \}. \]

Let \( \mathcal{F}(u_\varepsilon, n_\varepsilon) : V_{T, R} \to V_{T, R} \) be the mapping defined by the right-hand side of equations (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4).

Let \((u_\varepsilon, n_\varepsilon) \in V_{T, R}\). We define \((\bar{u}, \bar{n})\) by the right-hand sides of (2.2) and (2.3) applied to \( u_\varepsilon \) and \( n_\varepsilon \), where \( c_\varepsilon \) satisfies (2.4). These hold:

\[
\|\bar{u}(t)\|_{\infty} \leq \|e^{t\Delta}u_0^\varepsilon\|_{\infty} + \left\| \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta} \nabla \cdot \left( u_\varepsilon(s) \nabla c_\varepsilon(s) \right) ds \right\|_{\infty} \\
\quad + \left\| \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta} (u_\varepsilon(s)(g(u_\varepsilon)n_\varepsilon - b(n_\varepsilon)) ds \right\|_{\infty}
\]
and
\[ \|\tilde{n}(t)\|_\infty \leq \|\varepsilon^{\varepsilon t\Delta} n_0\|_\infty + \gamma \left\| \int_0^t \varepsilon^{\varepsilon (t-s)\Delta} \left( g(u_\varepsilon(s)) n_\varepsilon(s) u_\varepsilon(s) \right) ds \right\|_\infty. \]

Moreover, in view of (2.6)
\[ \|u_\varepsilon \nabla c_\varepsilon\|_\infty \leq \|u_\varepsilon(t)\|_\infty \|\nabla c_\varepsilon\|_\infty \leq K_\infty R^2, \]
\[ \| (g(u_\varepsilon) n_\varepsilon - b(n_\varepsilon)) u_\varepsilon\|_\infty \leq (G_0 \|n_\varepsilon\|_\infty + B_0) \|u_\varepsilon\|_\infty \leq (G_0 R + B_0) R. \]

We combine these inequalities with the estimates of the heat semigroup
\[ \|\varepsilon^{\varepsilon t\Delta} v\|_\infty \leq \|v\|_\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \|\varepsilon^{\varepsilon t\Delta} \nabla \cdot w\|_\infty \leq C_\varepsilon \left( 1 + t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \|w\|_\infty \]
(see Lemma A.5 below) to deduce that
\[ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\tilde{n}(t)\|_\infty \leq \frac{R}{2} + (T + 2\sqrt{T}) C_\varepsilon K_\infty R^2 + T(G_0 R + B_0) R, \quad (2.7) \]
\[ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\tilde{n}(t)\|_\infty \leq \frac{R}{2} + T \gamma G_0 R^2 \quad (2.8) \]

Furthermore, by Lemma A.1, if \( u \in C^{\gamma, \frac{1}{2}}(\bar{\Omega} \times [0, T]) \), then the solution \( c \) of (2.4) satisfies \( c \in \mathcal{V}_2 \). Hence, \( \nabla c \in \mathcal{V}_1 \) and thus \( u \nabla c \in \mathcal{V}_1 \). Then, by the definition and properties of \( \{e^{\varepsilon t\Delta}\}_{t \geq 0} \), the semigroup of linear operators generated by the Laplacian with the Neumann boundary condition, and by the properties of the integral, we have
\[ e^{\varepsilon (t-s)\Delta} \nabla \cdot \left( u(s) \nabla c(s) \right) \in C^{2+\gamma, 1+\frac{\gamma}{2}}(\bar{\Omega} \times [0, T]), \]
and thus
\[ t \mapsto \int_0^t e^{\varepsilon (t-s)\Delta} \nabla \cdot \left( u(s) \nabla c(s) \right) ds \in C^{2+\gamma, 1+\frac{\gamma}{2}}(\bar{\Omega} \times [0, T]). \]

Applying these arguments to each term in the right-hand sides of the Duhamel’s formulae (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4), we conclude, thanks to Hypothesis 2.1, that
\[ \tilde{u}, \tilde{n} \in C^{2+\gamma, 1+\frac{\gamma}{2}}(\bar{\Omega} \times [0, T]) \subset \mathcal{V}_1. \quad (2.9) \]

We choose \( T = T(R) \) depending on \( R \) small enough so that the right-hand sides of the inequalities (2.7) and (2.8) are bounded from above by \( R \). Then, we have \( \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{V}_T, R) \subset \mathcal{V}_T, R \). Since the embedding \( C^{2+\gamma, 1+\frac{\gamma}{2}}(\bar{\Omega} \times [0, T]) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{V}_1 \) is compact, \( \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{V}_T, R) \) is a relatively compact subset of \( \mathcal{V}_T, R \). Hence, the continuous mapping \( \mathcal{F} \) has a fixed point by the Schauder fixed point theorem.

The non-negativity of \( u_\varepsilon, c_\varepsilon, n_\varepsilon \) is a direct consequence of the maximum principle for parabolic and elliptic equations. The maximum principle applied to the equation for \( n_\varepsilon \) also yields \( \|n_\varepsilon(\cdot, t)\|_\infty \leq \|n_0\|_\infty \).

We now prove uniqueness : assume there exist two solutions \( (u_1, c_1, n_1) \) and \( (u_2, c_2, n_2) \) on \([0, T]\). Let \( U, C, N \) be defined by \( U = u_1 - u_2 \), \( C = c_1 - c_2 \) and \( N = n_1 - n_2 \).

We choose \( R \) large enough such that
\[ \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|u_1(t)\|_{W^{1, \infty}} \leq R, \quad \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|c_1(t)\|_{W^{1, \infty}} \leq R, \quad \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|n_1(t)\|_\infty \leq R \]
for \( i = 1, 2 \). Since, using the equation for \( c_i, i = 1, 2 \),
\[ \Delta c_i = \beta c_i - \alpha u_i, \]
we have, for $i = 1, 2$,
\[
\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t} - \varepsilon \Delta u_i = -\nabla u_i \cdot \nabla c_i - u_i (\beta c_i - \alpha u_i) + (g(u_i)n_i - b(n_i))u_i. \tag{2.10}
\]

Hence,
\[
\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} - \varepsilon \Delta U = -\nabla U \cdot \nabla c_1 - \nabla u_2 \cdot \nabla C + \left(g(u_1)n_1 - b(n_1) - (\beta c_1 - \alpha u_1)\right)u_1
- \left(g(u_2)n_2 - b(n_2) - (\beta c_2 - \alpha u_2)\right)u_2. \tag{2.11}
\]

We have
\[
|b(n_1)u_1 - b(n_2)u_2| \leq |U| \sup_{|n| \leq R} |b(n)| + |N| \sup_{s \in [0,T]} \|u_2(s)\|_\infty \sup_{|n| \leq R} |b'(n)|,
\]
\[
|g(u_1)u_1n_1 - g(u_2)u_2n_2| \leq |N| \sup_{|u| \leq R} |g(u)| + |U| \sup_{s \in [0,T]} \|u_2(s)\|_\infty \sup_{|u| \leq R} |g'(u)| u + g(u),
\]
\[
|u_2(\beta c_2 - \alpha u_2) - u_1(\beta c_1 - \alpha u_1)| \leq \beta |u_2c_2 - u_1c_1| + \alpha |u_2^2 - u_1^2| \leq \beta R(|C| + |U|) + 2\alpha R|U|.
\]

Before multiplying (2.11) by $U$, we give the further estimates:
\[
\|\nabla U \cdot \nabla c_1 U\| \leq \varepsilon \|\nabla U\|^2 + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \left( \sup_{s \in [0,T]} \|\nabla c_1(s)\|_\infty \right)^2 U^2
\]
\[
\|\nabla u_2 \cdot (\nabla c_1 - \nabla c_2) U\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \sup_{s \in [0,T]} \|\nabla u_2(s)\|_\infty \right)^2 U^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla C|^2.
\]

Hence, multiplying equation (2.11) by $U$ and integrating, we obtain
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} U^2 + \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} |\nabla U|^2 \leq \kappa_1(\varepsilon) \left( \int_{\Omega} U^2 + \int_{\Omega} C^2 + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla C|^2 + \int_{\Omega} N^2 \right), \tag{2.12}
\]
for some large enough constant $\kappa_1 = k_1(\varepsilon) > 0$.

Then, we have
\[
-\Delta C + \beta C = \alpha U.
\]

We multiply by $C$ and we integrate in order to obtain,
\[
\int_{\Omega} |\nabla C|^2 + \beta \int_{\Omega} C^2 = \alpha \int_{\Omega} C U \leq \alpha \left( \frac{\beta}{2\alpha} \int_{\Omega} C^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2\beta} \int_{\Omega} U^2 \right),
\]
and as a consequence
\[
\int_{\Omega} |\nabla C|^2 + \frac{\beta}{2} \int_{\Omega} C^2 \leq \frac{\alpha^2}{2\beta} \int_{\Omega} U^2. \tag{2.13}
\]

Last, we have
\[
\frac{\partial N}{\partial t} = \Delta N - \gamma (g(u_1)n_1u_1 - g(u_2)n_2u_2).
\]

We multiply by $N$ and we integrate. With the same reasoning as above we find that, for some large enough constant $\kappa_2 > 0$,
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} N^2 + 2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla N|^2 \leq \kappa_2 \left( \int_{\Omega} N^2 + \int_{\Omega} U^2 \right) \tag{2.14}
\]

We deduce from (2.12), (2.13) and (2.14) that, for some large enough constant $\lambda > 0$,
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} (U^2 + N^2) \leq \lambda \int_{\Omega} (U^2 + N^2), \tag{2.15}
\]
Proof. Let $N$ with $L$ and $(U$ and – since $M$ 2.2. Uniform satisfies hypothesis 2.1. There exists a time $\max$ Assume $[24]$. Using (2.18), we have enough to $\max$ completes the proof of the uniqueness of the solution. Finally, we argue by contradiction in order to prove (2.5). Assume that the maximal time of existence $T_{\max,\varepsilon}$ for the unique solution is finite. Assume that

$$\max \left( \sup_{t \in [0, T_{\max,\varepsilon})} \|u_\varepsilon(t)\|_{\infty}, \|n_0\|_{\infty} \right) < \frac{R_\varepsilon}{2} < +\infty.$$  

Then, in the construction of local-in-time solutions done above, we can choose a constant $R_\varepsilon$ that works for every $(u_\varepsilon(t), n_\varepsilon(t))$ taken as an initial condition. As stated above, the local time of existence $T(R_\varepsilon)$ from any initial datum $(u_\varepsilon(t), n_\varepsilon(t))$, $t < T_{\max,\varepsilon}$ only depends on $R_\varepsilon$ and other constants. Hence, we can choose $t$ close enough to $T_{\max,\varepsilon}$ so as to achieve $t + T(R_\varepsilon) > T_{\max,\varepsilon}$. It’s a contradiction with $T_{\max,\varepsilon}$ being the maximal time of existence. Therefore,

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T_{\max,\varepsilon})} \|u_\varepsilon(t)\|_{\infty} = +\infty.$$  

2.2. Uniform a priori estimates. We derive a priori estimates in the spirit of [24].

Lemma 2.4. Assume $\Omega$ is bounded and convex, with a smooth boundary. Let $u_\varepsilon^0, n_0$ satisfy hypothesis 2.1. There exists a time $T > 0$ and there exist positive constants $M_1 = M_1(T)$, $M_2 = M_2(T)$ depending on $T$ such that for all $\varepsilon > 0$, the solution $(u_\varepsilon, c_\varepsilon, n_\varepsilon)$ of (2.1) constructed in Theorem 2.3 exists in $\Omega \times [0, T]$ and we have

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|u_\varepsilon(t)\|_{\infty} < M_1(T),$$  

and

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\nabla u_\varepsilon(t)\|_p < M_2(T).$$  

Proof. Let $p \in (d, +\infty)$; note that we have the Sobolev embedding $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(\Omega)$, so that, for all $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$,

$$\|f\|_\infty \leq C_{\infty,p} \|f\|_{W^{1,p}},$$  

with $C_{\infty,p} > 0$ depending only on $\Omega$ and $p$ (cf. [8], Corollary 9.14). First, we multiply the first equation of (2.1) by $u_\varepsilon^{p-1}$ and we compute

$$\frac{1}{p} \frac{d}{dt} \int_\Omega u_\varepsilon^p + \frac{4\varepsilon(p-1)}{p^2} \int_\Omega |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 \leq \alpha \frac{p-1}{p} \int_\Omega u_\varepsilon^{p+1} - \beta \frac{p-1}{p} \int_\Omega c_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon^p + \int_\Omega (g(u_\varepsilon)n_\varepsilon - b(n_\varepsilon))u_\varepsilon^p.$$  

Using (2.18), we have

$$\int_\Omega u_\varepsilon^{p+1} \leq \|u_\varepsilon\|_\infty \int_\Omega u_\varepsilon^p \leq C_{\infty,p} \|u_\varepsilon\|_{W^{1,p}} \|u_\varepsilon\|_p^p.$$  

Using elliptic regularity (Lemma A.1), we have

$$\int_\Omega c_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon^p \leq K_{\infty} \|u_\varepsilon\|_\infty \int_\Omega u_\varepsilon^p \leq K_{\infty} C_{\infty,p} \|u_\varepsilon\|_{W^{1,p}} \|u_\varepsilon\|_p^p.$$  

and – since $U(0)^2 + N(0)^2 = 0$ – applying Gronwall’s lemma gives $U(t) = 0$ and $N(t) = 0$ for all $t \in [0, T]$. As a consequence, $C(t) = 0$ for all $t \in [0, T]$.
We deduce from the previous results that there exists a constant \( C_1 > 0 \), depending on the parameters of the system, \( \Omega \) and \( p \), independent from \( \varepsilon \), such that

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \| u_\varepsilon \|_p^p \leq C_1 (1 + \| u_\varepsilon \|_{W^{1,p}}) \| u_\varepsilon \|_p^p.
\]  

(2.19)

Second, we denote \( w_k = \frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial x_k} \) and noting that

\[
\nabla \cdot (u_\varepsilon \nabla c_\varepsilon) = \nabla u_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla c_\varepsilon + u_\varepsilon \Delta c_\varepsilon
\]

\[
= \nabla u_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla c_\varepsilon + u_\varepsilon (\beta c_\varepsilon - \alpha u_\varepsilon),
\]

we compute

\[
\frac{\partial w_k}{\partial t} - \varepsilon \Delta w_k = - \nabla w_k \cdot \nabla c_\varepsilon - \nabla u_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \frac{\partial c_\varepsilon}{\partial x_k}
\]

\[
+ \left( g(u_\varepsilon) \frac{\partial n_\varepsilon}{\partial x_k} - \beta \frac{\partial c_\varepsilon}{\partial x_k} - b'(n_\varepsilon) \frac{\partial n_\varepsilon}{\partial x_k} \right) u_\varepsilon
\]

\[
+ \left( 2\alpha u_\varepsilon - \beta c_\varepsilon + g'(u_\varepsilon) n_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon + g(u_\varepsilon) n_\varepsilon - b(n_\varepsilon) \right) w_k.
\]

(2.20)

We denote

\[
A(k) = \frac{1}{p} \frac{d}{dt} \| w_k \|_p^p - \varepsilon \int_\Omega |w_k|^{p-2} w_k \Delta w_k.
\]

Multiplying (2.20) by \( |w_k|^{p-2} w_k \) and integrating, we obtain

\[
A(k) = \frac{1}{p} \int_\Omega \Delta c_\varepsilon |w_k|^p - \int_\Omega \nabla u_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \frac{\partial c_\varepsilon}{\partial x_k} |w_k|^{p-2} w_k
\]

\[
+ \int_\Omega \left( g(u_\varepsilon) \frac{\partial n_\varepsilon}{\partial x_k} - \beta \frac{\partial c_\varepsilon}{\partial x_k} - b'(n_\varepsilon) \frac{\partial n_\varepsilon}{\partial x_k} \right) u_\varepsilon |w_k|^{p-2} w_k
\]

\[
+ \int_\Omega \left( 2\alpha u_\varepsilon - \beta c_\varepsilon + g'(u_\varepsilon) n_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon + g(u_\varepsilon) n_\varepsilon - b(n_\varepsilon) \right) |w_k|^p.
\]

(2.21)

Now, let us derive a bound for \( A(k) \) in terms of \( L^\infty \) and \( W^{1,p} \) norms of \( u \). In view of hypotheses on \( g, b \) and using \( |n(s,t)| \leq |n_0| \leq \| n_0 \|_\infty \) (A.2) and \( |\Delta c_\varepsilon| \leq (\alpha + \beta K_\infty) \| u_\varepsilon \|_\infty \), we deduce that there exists a large enough constant \( C_2 > 0 \) such that

\[
A(k)
\leq C_2 \| u_\varepsilon \|_\infty \int_\Omega |w_k|^p + C_2 \int_\Omega |\nabla u_\varepsilon| |\nabla^2 c_\varepsilon| |w_k|^{p-1}
\]

\[
+ C_2 (\| u_\varepsilon \|_\infty + \| n_\varepsilon \|_\infty^2) \int_\Omega \left( G_0 \left| \frac{\partial n_\varepsilon}{\partial x_k} \right| + 1 + \| b' \|_\infty \right) \left| \frac{\partial n_\varepsilon}{\partial x_k} \right| |w_k|^{p-1}
\]

\[
+ C_2 (\| u_\varepsilon \|_\infty + \| g' \|_\infty \| n_0 \|_\infty \| u_\varepsilon \|_\infty + G_0 \| n_0 \|_\infty + B_0) \int_\Omega |w_k|^p.
\]

(2.22)

The function \( n \) satisfies the Duhamel formulae

\[
n_\varepsilon(x,t) = e^{\varepsilon \Delta} n_0^\varepsilon(x,t) - \gamma \int_0^t e^{\varepsilon(t-s)} g(u_\varepsilon(s,t)) n_\varepsilon(s,t) u_\varepsilon(s,t) ds
\]

and

\[
\nabla n_\varepsilon(x,t) = \nabla e^{\varepsilon \Delta} n_0^\varepsilon - \gamma \int_0^t \nabla \left( e^{\varepsilon(t-s)} g(u_\varepsilon(s,t)) n_\varepsilon(s,t) u_\varepsilon(s,t) \right) ds.
\]

By Lemma A.5 and noting that for all \( t > 0 \),

\[
\| \nabla (e^{\varepsilon \Delta} n_0^\varepsilon) \|_\infty \leq \| \nabla n_0^\varepsilon \|_\infty \leq \| n_0^\varepsilon \|_{W^{1,\infty}}.
\]
we have
\[ \| \nabla u_\varepsilon \|_\infty \leq \| n_0 \|_{W^{1,\infty}} + \gamma C_0 \int_0^t \left( 1 + (t - s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) e^{-\lambda_1(t - s)} \| (g(u_\varepsilon) n_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon) (\cdot, s) \|_\infty ds \]
\[ \leq \| n_0 \|_{W^{1,\infty}} + \gamma C_0 \| n_0 \|_\infty \int_0^t \left( 1 + (t - s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) e^{-\lambda_1(t - s)} \| u_\varepsilon \|_\infty ds \]
\[ \leq \| n_0 \|_{W^{1,\infty}} + \gamma C_0 \| n_0 \|_\infty \| u_\varepsilon \|_\infty \left( t + \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{t - s}} ds \right) \]
\[ \leq \| n_0 \|_{W^{1,\infty}} + \gamma C_0 \| n_0 \|_\infty \| u_\varepsilon \|_\infty \left( t + 2\sqrt{t} \right). \]

Next, we define
\[ F(t) = 1 + t + 2\sqrt{t} \quad (2.23) \]
Hence, there exists a constant \( C_3 > 0 \) depending on \( \| n_0 \|_{W^{1,\infty}}, \Omega, G_0 \) and \( \gamma \) such that
\[ \left\| \frac{\partial n_\varepsilon}{\partial x_k} \right\|_\infty \leq C_3 F(t) (1 + \| u_\varepsilon \|_\infty). \quad (2.24) \]

Therefore, using Lemma A.1, there exists a constant \( C_4 > 0 \) such that
\[ A(k) \leq C_4 F(t) \left( 1 + \| u_\varepsilon \|_\infty + \| u_\varepsilon \|_\infty^2 \left( \int_\Omega \| \nabla u_\varepsilon \| \| \nabla^2 c_\varepsilon \| |w_k|^p \right)^\frac{1}{p} + \int_\Omega |w_k|^p + \int_\Omega |w_k|^{p-1} \right). \quad (2.25) \]

By Lemmas A.1, A.2 and A.3, there exist constants \( \tilde{C}_5, \tilde{C}_6 > 0 \) such that
\[ \| \nabla^2 c_\varepsilon \|_\infty \leq \tilde{C}_5 \left( 1 + \| \nabla^2 c_\varepsilon \|_{BMO} \right) \left( 1 + \log^+ \left( \| \nabla^2 c_\varepsilon \|_{W^{1,p}} \right) \right) \]
\[ \leq \tilde{C}_5 \left( 1 + \| u_\varepsilon \|_\infty \left( 1 + \log^+ \left( \| u_\varepsilon \|_{W^{1,p}} \right) \right) \right). \quad (2.26) \]

Collecting the previous estimates, we deduce that there exists a constant \( C_6 > 0 \) depending on the parameters of the system, on \( p, \| n_0 \|_{W^{1,\infty}}, \Omega \) and independent from \( \varepsilon \), such that
\[ \sum_{k=1}^d A(k) \leq C_6 F(t) \left( 1 + \| u_\varepsilon \|_\infty^3 \left( 1 + \log^+ \left( \| u_\varepsilon \|_{W^{1,p}} \right) \right) \right) \| \nabla u_\varepsilon \|_p^p. \quad (2.27) \]

Moreover, using integration by parts, we have
\[ \int_\Omega |w_k|^{p-2} w_k \Delta w_k = -(p-1) \int_\Omega |\nabla w_k|^2 |w_k|^{p-2} + \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu} |w|^{p-2} d\sigma \]
\[ = -(p-1) \int_\Omega \left( |w_k|^{\frac{p}{2}-1} \nabla w_k \right) \cdot \left( |w_k|^{\frac{p}{2}-1} \nabla w_k \right) \]
\[ + \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} |w|^{p-2} d\sigma \]
\[ = -\frac{4(p-1)}{p^2} \int_\Omega |\nabla|w_k|^\frac{p}{2}|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial |w|^2}{\partial \nu} |w|^{p-2} d\sigma. \]

Hence, we obtain like in [24], proof of Theorem 1,
\[ -\varepsilon \sum_{k=1}^d \int_\Omega |w_k|^{p-2} w_k \Delta w_k \geq \frac{4\varepsilon(p-1)}{p^2} \int_\Omega \left| \nabla |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^{p/2} \right|^2 \]
\[ -\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2}{\partial \nu} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^{p-2} d\sigma, \quad (2.28) \]
and, combining (2.27) and (2.28),
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \| \nabla u_\varepsilon \|_{L_p}^p + \frac{4\varepsilon(p-1)}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla (\nabla u_\varepsilon |p/2|^2 - \frac{p\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2}{\partial \nu} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^{p-2} \, d\sigma \leq C_0 \mathcal{F}(t) \left( 1 + \| u_\varepsilon \|_{W^{1,p}}^3 (1 + \log^+ (\| u_\varepsilon \|_{W^{1,p}})) \right) \| \nabla u_\varepsilon \|_{L_p}^p. \tag{2.29}
\]
Using Lemma A.7, we have \(-\frac{p\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2}{\partial \nu} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^{p-2} \, d\sigma \geq 0\), and thus, using the continuous embedding of \(W^{1,p}(\Omega)\) into \(L^\infty(\Omega)\), there exists a constant \(C_8 > 0\) independent from \(\varepsilon\) such that
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \| \nabla u_\varepsilon \|_{L_p}^p \leq C_8 \mathcal{F}(t) \left( 1 + \| u_\varepsilon \|_{W^{1,p}}^3 (1 + \log^+ (\| u_\varepsilon \|_{W^{1,p}})) \right) \| \nabla u_\varepsilon \|_{L_p}^p. \tag{2.30}
\]
We combine the bounds (2.19) and (2.30) to obtain, for some constant \(C_9 > 0\) independent from \(\varepsilon\),
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \| u_\varepsilon \|_{W^{1,p}}^p \leq C_9 \mathcal{F}(t) \left( 1 + \| u_\varepsilon \|_{W^{1,p}}^3 (1 + \log^+ (\| u_\varepsilon \|_{W^{1,p}})) \right) \| u_\varepsilon \|_{W^{1,p}}^p. \tag{2.31}
\]
Hence, \(\| u_\varepsilon \|_{W^{1,p}}\) is a sub-solution to the ordinary differential equation
\[
w'(t) = C_9 \mathcal{F}(t) \left( 1 + w(t) \frac{3}{p} (1 + \log^+(w(t))) \right) w(t). \tag{2.32}
\]
There is no term depending on \(\varepsilon\) in the previous ODE. Hence, by Lemma A.8 applied with initial datum \(\| u_0 \|_{W^{1,p}}\), there exists a time \(T > 0\) independent from \(\varepsilon\) and there exists a constant \(M_2(T) > 0\) independent from \(\varepsilon\) such that the following uniform estimate holds for all \(t \in [0,T]\):
\[
\forall \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_+^*, \quad \| u_\varepsilon(t) \|_{W^{1,p}} \leq M_2(T).
\]
Using the continuous embedding of \(W^{1,p}(\Omega)\) into \(L^\infty(\Omega)\), we obtain the \(L^\infty\) \(\varepsilon\)-uniform estimate: there exists \(M_1(T) > 0\) such that for all \(t \in [0,T]\),
\[
\forall \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_+^*, \quad \| u_\varepsilon(t) \|_{\infty} < M_1(T).
\]

**Lemma 2.5.** Assume \(\Omega\) is bounded and convex, with a smooth boundary. Let \(\varepsilon_0 > 0\). Let \(u_0^\varepsilon, n_\varepsilon^\omega\) satisfy Hypothesis 2.1. There exist two positive constants \(M_3 = M_3(T)\) and \(M_4 = M_4(T)\) depending on \(T\) such that for all \(\varepsilon \in (0,\varepsilon_0)\),
\[
\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left\| \frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial t} (t) \right\|_{W^{-1,p}} < M_3(T) \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left\| \frac{\partial n_\varepsilon}{\partial t} (t) \right\|_{L^p} < M_4(T). \tag{2.33}
\]

**Proof.** First, the equation for \(u\) reads
\[
\frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial t} = \varepsilon \nabla \cdot \nabla u_\varepsilon - \nabla \cdot (u_\varepsilon \nabla c_\varepsilon) + g(u_\varepsilon) n_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon - b(n_\varepsilon) u_\varepsilon
\]
Applying Lemma 2.4, there exists \(T > 0\), \(M_1(T) > 0\) and \(M_2(T) > 0\) independent from \(\varepsilon\) such that for all test function \(\phi \in W^{1,q}(\Omega)\), with \(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1\), we have the following uniform bounds, independently of \(\varepsilon\) and \(t\),
\[
| \langle g(u_\varepsilon) n_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon - b(n_\varepsilon) u_\varepsilon, \phi \rangle_{W^{-1,p},W^{1,q}} | \leq (G_0 \| n_0 \|_{\infty} + B_0) M_1(T) \| \phi \|_{W^{1,q}};
\]
\[
| \langle \nabla \cdot (u_\varepsilon \nabla c_\varepsilon), \phi \rangle_{W^{-1,p},W^{1,q}} | \leq \int_{\Omega} u_\varepsilon |\nabla c_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \phi|
\]
\[
\leq M_1(T) \| \nabla c_\varepsilon \|_p \| \nabla \phi \|_q
\]
\[
\leq M_1(T)^2 K_\infty \| \phi \|_{W^{1,q}};
\]
\[ |\varepsilon (\nabla \cdot \nabla u_x , \phi )|_{W^{-1, p, W^{1, q}}} \leq \varepsilon_0 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_x \cdot \nabla \phi| \leq \varepsilon_0 \|\nabla u_x\|_p \|\nabla \phi\|_q \leq \varepsilon_0 M_2(T) \|\phi\|_{W^{1, q}}; \]

where we used integration by parts, Hölder inequality, elliptic regularity (Lemma A.1), boundary conditions and Lemma 2.4. The result follows.

Second, the equation for \( n \) reads
\[ \frac{\partial n_x}{\partial t} - \Delta n_x = -\gamma g(u_x)n_xu_x. \]
We deduce from [13], Section 5, equation (5.4) that, defining \( Q_T = \Omega \times (0, T) \),
\[ \|n_x\|_{W^{2,1}} \leq \lambda_p \left( \|u_x\|_{W^{2, p}} + \|g(u)n\|_{L^p(Q_T)} \right), \]
for some constant \( \lambda_p > 0 \). Using Hypothesis 2.1, we conclude that
\[ \|n_x\|_{W^{2,1}} \leq \lambda_p \left( \|u_x\|_{W^{2, p}} + \gamma G_0 \|n_0\|_\infty M_1(T)|\Omega| \|T^\beta \right), \quad (2.34) \]
which completes the proof. \( \square \)

3. Solutions for the hyperbolic-elliptic-parabolic system. In the following, we denote by \( \mathcal{C}_0^\infty (\Omega \times [0, T]) \) the space of \( \mathcal{C}^\infty \) functions on \( \Omega \times [0, T] \) whose support is a compact subset of \( \Omega \times [0, T] \).

We first define a notion of solution for problem (1.4):

**Definition 3.1.** A strong \( L^p \) solution of (1.4) on \([0, T^*)\) is a triplet \((u, c, n)\) of functions such that there exists \( p \in (1, +\infty) \), such that for all \( T \in (0, T^*) \),
\[ u \in L^p(0, T; W^{1,p}(\Omega)) \cap L^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T)), \quad c, n \in L^p(0, T; W^{2, p}(\Omega)) \cap L^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T)), \]
\[ \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial n}{\partial t} \in L^p(0, T; L^p(\Omega)), \quad \nabla \cdot (u \nabla c) \in L^p(0, T; L^p(\Omega)), \]
and \((u, c, n)\) satisfies (1.4) in \( L^p(0, T; L^p(\Omega)) \) (and thus almost everywhere) with the homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions on \( c \) and \( n \) satisfied in the sense of \( L^p(0, T; W^{1, p}(\partial \Omega)) \).

**Remark 1.** If for all \( T \in (0, T^*) \), \((u, c, n)\) satisfies
\[ u \in L^p(0, T; W^{1,p}(\Omega)) \cap L^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T)), \quad c, n \in L^p(0, T; W^{2, p}(\Omega)) \cap L^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T)), \]
and if for all \( \phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3 \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty (\Omega \times [0, T]) \),
\[ \int_{(0,T) \times \Omega} \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial t} + \int_{(0,T) \times \Omega} u \nabla c \cdot \nabla \phi_1 \]
\[ + \int_{(0,T) \times \Omega} (g(u)n - b(n)) \phi_1 = -\int_{\Omega} u_0 \phi_1(\cdot, 0), \quad (3.1) \]
\[ \int_{(0,T) \times \Omega} \nabla c \cdot \nabla \phi_2 + \beta \int_{(0,T) \times \Omega} c \phi_2 = \alpha \int_{(0,T) \times \Omega} u \phi_2 \]
and
\[ \int_{(0,T) \times \Omega} \frac{\partial \phi_3}{\partial t} - \int_{(0,T) \times \Omega} \nabla n \cdot \nabla \phi_3 - \gamma \int_{(0,T) \times \Omega} g(u)n \phi_3 = -\int_{\Omega} n_0 \phi_3(\cdot, 0), \quad (3.3) \]
then by standard integration arguments, \((u, c, n)\) is a solution of (1.4) on \([0, T^*)\) in the sense of definition 3.1.
3.1. Existence of local-in-time solutions. We are going to construct the solutions as the limit of a sequence of solutions of the approximate system (2.1) via Aubin-Lions compactness theorem together with the uniform a priori estimates in the lemmas 2.4 and 2.5.

**Theorem 3.2.** Assume $\Omega$ is bounded and convex, with a smooth boundary. Let $u_0, n_0$ satisfy Hypothesis 1.1. There exists a unique non-negative solution $(u, c, n)$ of (1.4) in the sense of Definition 3.1 on $[0, T]$.

**Proof. Existence.**

Fix $p > \max(d, 4)$. Let $(\varepsilon_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a decreasing sequence of positive numbers with limit 0. For clarity, we will also denote by $(\varepsilon_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ all its subsequences.

Fix $\eta \in (0, 1)$. Let $(u_{0}^{\varepsilon_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of non-negative functions in $\mathcal{C}^{2, \eta}([\bar{\Omega})$, satisfying homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions, which converges to $u_0$ in $W^{2,p}(\Omega)$. Let $(n_{0}^{\varepsilon_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of non-negative functions in $\mathcal{C}^{2, \eta}(\Omega)$ such that $0 \leq n_0^{\varepsilon_k} \leq \|n_0\|_{\infty}$, satisfying homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions, which converges to $n_0$ in $W^{2,p}(\Omega)$. We denote by $(u_{\varepsilon_k}, c_{\varepsilon_k}, n_{\varepsilon_k})$ the classical solution of system (2.1) with diffusion parameter $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_k$ and initial condition $(u_{0}^{\varepsilon_k}, n_{0}^{\varepsilon_k})$; this unique solution is given by Theorem 2.3.

By Lemma 2.4, the sequence $(u_{\varepsilon_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly bounded in $L^\infty(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega))$ by a constant $M_1(T)$ independent from $\varepsilon_k$ and thus bounded in $L^p(0, T; L^p(\Omega))$. Using again Lemma 2.4, the sequence $(\nabla u_{\varepsilon_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $L^\infty(0, T; L^p(\Omega))$ by a constant $M_2(T)$ independent from $\varepsilon_k$, and thus $(\nabla u_{\varepsilon_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $L^p(0, T; L^p(\Omega))$.

The sequence $(u_{\varepsilon_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is then bounded in $L^p(0, T; W^{1,p}(\Omega))$, which is a reflexive space. Then, there exists $u \in L^p(0, T; W^{1,p}(\Omega))$ such that, up to extraction of a subsequence

$$u_{\varepsilon_k} \rightharpoonup u \quad \text{weakly in } L^p(0, T; W^{1,p}(\Omega)).$$

Moreover, by Lemma 2.5, the sequence $(\partial u_{\varepsilon_k}/\partial t)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $L^\infty(0, T; W^{-1,p}(\Omega))$ by a constant $M_3(T)$ independent from $\varepsilon_k$ and thus the sequence is bounded uniformly in $L^2(0, T; W^{-1,p}(\Omega))$. By Aubin-Lions compactness theorem (Lemma A.6) applied to $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, $L^p(\Omega)$ and $W^{-1,p}(\Omega)$, the subspace

$$\mathcal{Y} = \left\{ u \in L^p(0, T; W^{1,p}(\Omega)) \mid \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \in L^2(0, T; W^{-1,p}(\Omega)) \right\}$$

is compactly embedded in $L^p(0, T; L^p(\Omega))$. Therefore, up to extraction of a subsequence, $(u_{\varepsilon_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges strongly in $L^p(0, T; L^p(\Omega))$ towards $u$.

Since $p > 2$, the sequence $(u_{\varepsilon_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges towards $u$ in $L^2(Q_T)$, where $Q_T = \Omega \times (0, T)$. Let $c$ be the classical solution

$$-\Delta c + \beta c = \alpha u \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \frac{\partial c}{\partial \nu} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega.$$

Denote $U_k = u - u_{\varepsilon_k}$ and $C_k = c - c_{\varepsilon_k}$. We have

$$-\Delta c_{\varepsilon_k} + \beta c_{\varepsilon_k} = \alpha u_{\varepsilon_k},$$

so that

$$-\Delta C_k + \beta C_k = \alpha U_k$$

We multiply this equation by $C_k$ and we integrate in space and time. We obtain

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |\nabla C_k|^2 + \beta \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} C_k^2 = \alpha \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} U_k C_k$$
and then
\[ \int_0^T \int_\Omega |\nabla C_k|^2 + \frac{\beta}{2} \int_0^T \int_\Omega C_k^2 \leq \frac{\alpha^2}{2\beta} \int_0^T \int_\Omega U_k^2. \]

Since the right-hand side converges to 0 when \( k \) tends to \( +\infty \), the sequence \( (c_{ek})_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \) converges towards \( c \) in \( L^2(0,T;H^1(\Omega)) \).

Using (2.34), we deduce that \( n \) is bounded uniformly in \( \varepsilon \) in \( L^\infty(0,T;W^{2,p}(\Omega)) \) and thus in \( L^p(0,T;W^{2,p}(\Omega)) \). Then, there exists \( n \in L^p(0,T;W^{2,p}(\Omega)) \) such that, up to extraction of a subsequence
\[ n_{ek} \to n \quad \text{weakly in } L^p(0,T;W^{2,p}(\Omega)). \]  

By Lemma 2.5, the sequence \( (\frac{\partial n_{ek}}{\partial t})_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \) is bounded in \( L^\infty(0,T;L^p(\Omega)) \) by a constant \( M_4(T) \) independent from \( \varepsilon_k \) and thus bounded uniformly in \( L^2(0,T;L^p(\Omega)) \).

Applying the Aubin-Lions compactness theorem (Lemma A.6), we deduce that \( n_{ek} \) converges strongly towards \( n \) in \( L^p(0,T;W^{1,p}(\Omega)) \).

Since classical solutions are also weak solutions, for all \( \phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3 \in C_0^\infty(\overline{\Omega} \times [0,T]) \), for all \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) (after all required extractions),
\[ \int_{(0,T)\times\Omega} u_{ek} \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial t} - \varepsilon_k \int_{(0,T)\times\Omega} \nabla u_{ek} \cdot \nabla \phi_1 + \int_{(0,T)\times\Omega} u_{ek} \nabla c_{ek} \cdot \nabla \phi_1 
+ \int_{(0,T)\times\Omega} (g(u_{ek})n_{ek} - b(n_{ek})) u_{ek} \phi_1 = - \int_\Omega u_{ek}^0 \phi_1(\cdot,0), \]  

(3.6)

\[ \int_{(0,T)\times\Omega} \nabla c_{ek} \cdot \nabla \phi_2 + \beta \int_{(0,T)\times\Omega} c_{ek} \phi = \alpha \int_{(0,T)\times\Omega} u_{ek} \phi_2 \]  

(3.7)

and
\[ \int_{(0,T)\times\Omega} n_{ek} \frac{\partial \phi_3}{\partial t} - \gamma \int_{(0,T)\times\Omega} g(u_{ek})n_{ek} u_{ek} \phi_3 = - \int_\Omega n_{ek}^0 \phi_3(\cdot,0). \]  

(3.8)

Since \( g \) and \( b \) are continuously differentiable, we have by the strong convergence of \( u_{ek} \) and \( n_{ek} \) in \( L^p(0,T;L^p(\Omega)) \) and by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem that \( g(u_k)n, u_{ek} \) converges towards \( g(u)n \) and \( b(n_{ek})u_{ek} \) converges towards \( b(n)u \) almost everywhere in \( \Omega \times [0,T] \). Using also the previously obtained weak convergences, we pass to the limit in (3.6), (3.7) and (3.8) and we obtain
\[ \int_{(0,T)\times\Omega} u \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial t} + \int_{(0,T)\times\Omega} u \nabla c \cdot \nabla \phi_1 
+ \int_{(0,T)\times\Omega} (g(u)n - b(n)) u \phi_1 = - \int_\Omega u_0 \phi_1(\cdot,0), \]  

(3.9)

\[ \int_{(0,T)\times\Omega} \nabla c \cdot \nabla \phi_2 + \beta \int_{(0,T)\times\Omega} c \phi_2 = \alpha \int_{(0,T)\times\Omega} u \phi_2 \]  

(3.10)

and
\[ \int_{(0,T)\times\Omega} n \frac{\partial \phi_3}{\partial t} - \int_{(0,T)\times\Omega} n \nabla \phi_3 - \gamma \int_{(0,T)\times\Omega} g(u)n \phi_3 = - \int_\Omega n_0 \phi_3(\cdot,0). \]  

(3.11)

The functions \( (u,c,n) \) are weak or strong limits of sequences of non-negative functions, they are thus non-negative as well. Moreover, since \( n \) is the strong limit in
\( L^p(0, T; L^p(\Omega)) \) of a sequence of functions satisfying \( 0 < n_{\epsilon_k} \leq \|n_0\|_\infty \), then passing to the limit \( k \to +\infty \), we obtain
\[
0 \leq n \leq \|n_0\|_\infty.
\]

Using the regularity properties of \( u, c \) and \( n \) and in view of Remark 1, we deduce that \((u, c, n)\) is a solution of \((1.4)\) in the sense of Definition 3.1.

**Uniqueness:**

Assume there exist two solutions \((u_1, c_1, n_1)\) and \((u_2, c_2, n_2)\) on \( \Omega \times [0, T] \). Denote \( \bar{u} = u_1 - u_2, \bar{c} = c_1 - c_2 \) and \( \bar{n} = n_1 - n_2 \). By definition 3.1, we have
\[
\frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial \bar{n}}{\partial t} \in L^p(0, T; L^p(\Omega)).
\]

Thus, the equations for \((u_1, c_1, n_1)\) and \((u_2, c_2, n_2)\) are satisfied in \( L^p(0, T; L^p(\Omega)) \) and hence satisfied almost everywhere in \( \Omega \times [0, T] \). Henceforth, we implicitly consider these equations on the subset of \([0, T]\) whereon they are defined. The triplet \((\bar{u}, \bar{c}, \bar{n})\) is a solution of
\[
\bar{u} = \Delta \bar{c} - \beta \bar{c} + \alpha \bar{u},
\]
\[
\frac{\partial \bar{n}}{\partial t} = \Delta \bar{n} - \gamma (g(u_1)n_1u_1 - g(u_2)n_2u_2).
\]

First, we have
\[
|g(u_1)n_1u_1 - g(u_2)n_2u_2| \leq G_0 \|u_1\|_\infty |\bar{n}| + \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|n_2(s)\|_\infty (\|g\|_\infty \|u_2\|_\infty + G_0) |\bar{u}|,
\]
and
\[
|b(n_1)u_1 - b(n_2)u_2| \leq B_0 |\bar{u}| + \|u_2\|_\infty \|b\|_\infty |\bar{n}|.
\]

We multiply \((3.14)\) by \( \bar{n} \) and we integrate. There exists \( \kappa_3 > 0 \) such that,
\[
\int \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\bar{n}^2}{2} + \int |\nabla \bar{n}|^2 \leq \kappa_3 \left( \int \bar{u}^2 + \int \bar{n}^2 \right).
\]

We are going to multiply \((3.12)\) by \( \bar{u} \) and to integrate. Hence, we make the following preliminary computations. Using Lemma A.1, we have
\[
- \int \nabla \cdot (\bar{u} \nabla c_1) \bar{u} = -\frac{1}{2} \int |\bar{u}|^2 \Delta c_1 = -\frac{1}{2} \int |\bar{u}|^2 (\beta c_1 - \alpha u_1)
\leq \frac{1}{2} (\alpha + K_\infty \beta) \|u_1\|_\infty \|\bar{u}\|_2^2.
\]

We also compute
\[
- \int \nabla \cdot (u_2 \nabla \bar{c}) \bar{u} = - \int \bar{u} \nabla u_2 \cdot \nabla \bar{c} - \int u_2 \bar{u} \Delta \bar{c}
\leq \int |\nabla u_2| |\nabla \bar{c}| |\bar{u}| + \|u_2\|_\infty \int |\Delta \bar{c}| |\bar{u}|.
\]
We need a bound for each term of the last sum. We deduce from Lemma A.1,
\[
\int_{\Omega} |\Delta \bar{c}| \bar{u} = \int_{\Omega} |\alpha \bar{u} - \beta \bar{c}| \bar{u} \leq \alpha \|\bar{u}\|^2_2 + \frac{\beta^2}{2} \|\bar{c}\|^2_2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\bar{u}\|^2_2
\leq (\alpha + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\beta^2 K_2}{2}) \|u\|^2_2, \tag{3.20}
\]
For the first term in (3.19), we are going to use different bounds depending on the space dimension \(d\).

**Case** \(d \geq 3\). Using the extended Hölder inequality (cf. [8], Remark 2, p. 93), the Sobolev embedding of \(H^1(\Omega)\) into \(L^{\frac{4d}{d-2}}(\Omega)\) (cf. [8], Corollary 9.14) and Lemma A.1, we have for some large enough constants \(C_1, C_2 > 0\),
\[
\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_2| |\nabla \bar{c}| \bar{u} \leq \|\nabla u_2\|_d \|\nabla \bar{c}\|_2 \|\bar{u}\|_2 \leq C_1 \|\nabla u_2\|_d \|\nabla \bar{c}\|_{H^1} \|\bar{u}\|_2
\leq C_2 \|\nabla u_2\|_d \|\bar{u}\|^2_2. \tag{3.21}
\]
The quantities \(\|u_1\|_\infty, \|u_2\|_\infty, \|n_2\|_\infty, \|p_2\|_\infty, \|\nabla u_2\|_d\) are uniformly bounded on \([0, T]\). We multiply equation (3.12) by \(\bar{u}\) and we integrate over \(\Omega\). Then, using the inequalities (3.15), (3.16), (3.18), (3.19), (3.20) and (3.21), we deduce that there exists a constant \(\kappa_4 > 0\) large enough such that
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \|\bar{u}\|^2_2 \leq \kappa_4 \left(\|\bar{u}\|^2_2 + \|\bar{n}\|^2_2\right).
\]
Adding inequality (3.17), we deduce that there exists a constant \(\kappa_5 > 0\) such that
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left(\|\bar{u}\|^2_2 + \|\bar{n}\|^2_2\right) \leq \kappa_5 \left(\|\bar{u}\|^2_2 + \|\bar{n}\|^2_2\right).
\]
Since \(\|\bar{u}(0)\|^2_2 + \|\bar{n}(0)\|^2_2 = 0\), Gronwall’s lemma implies that for almost every time \(t\) in \([0, T]\), \(\bar{u}(t) = 0\) and \(\bar{n}(t) = 0\) in \(L^p(\Omega)\). Thus, we have \(\bar{u} = \bar{n} = 0\) in \(L^p(0, T; L^p(\Omega))\).

**Case** \(d = 1\) or \(d = 2\). Using the Sobolev embedding of \(H^1(\Omega)\) into \(L^4(\Omega)\) (cf. [8], Corollary 9.14) and Lemma A.1, we have for some constants \(C_4, C_5 > 0\),
\[
\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_2| |\nabla \bar{c}| \bar{u} \leq \|\nabla u_2\|_4 \|\nabla \bar{c}\|_2 \|\bar{u}\|_2 \leq C_4 \|\nabla u_2\|_4 \|\nabla \bar{c}\|_{H^1} \|\bar{u}\|_2
\leq C_5 \|\nabla u_2\|_4 \|\bar{u}\|^2_2. \tag{3.22}
\]
Using the same reasoning as above but replacing (3.21) by (3.22), we prove \(\bar{u} = \bar{n} = 0\) in the space \(L^p(0, T; L^p(\Omega))\).

Last, in all dimensions, \(\bar{u} = 0\). The function \(\bar{c}\) is then solution of
\[-\Delta \bar{c} + \beta \bar{c} = 0,
\]
with homogeneous Neumann boundary condition. Therefore, \(\bar{c} = 0\) in the space \(L^p(0, T; L^p(\Omega))\), which completes the proof of uniqueness.

4. **Blow-up in finite time in the hyperbolic case.** We focus here on finite time blow-up of the solutions of (1.4). We build on the methods of Winkler [39], Lankeit [28] and Kang and Stevens [24].
Theorem 4.1. Assume $\Omega$ is bounded with a smooth boundary. Let $p \in (1, +\infty)$. Let $(u, c, n)$ be a strong $L^p$ solution of (1.4) in the sense of Definition 3.1. Then there exists a constant $C_p = C_p(||u_0||_1, ||n_0||_1) > 0$ depending on $||u_0||_1$, $||n_0||_1$, $p$ and $\Omega$ such that if
\[
||u_0||_p > C_p(||u_0||_1, ||n_0||_1),
\]
then the solution $u$ blows-up in finite time in $L^p$ and $L^\infty$:
\[
\lim_{t \to T_{\max}} ||u(t)||_p = \lim_{t \to T_{\max}} ||u(t)||_\infty = +\infty.
\]

Proof. By Definition 3.1, $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \in L^p(0, T; L^p\Omega)$. Thus, we can multiply the first equation of (1.4) with the $L^{p^{-1}}$ function $u^{p-1}$ and compute
\[
\frac{1}{p} \frac{d}{dt} \int_\Omega u^p = -\frac{p-1}{p} \int_\Omega u^p \Delta c + \int_\Omega (g(u)n - b(n))u^p.
\]
Using the second equation of (1.4), we have
\[
\frac{1}{p} \frac{d}{dt} \int_\Omega u^p = \alpha \frac{p-1}{p} \int_\Omega u^{p+1} - \beta \frac{p-1}{p} \int_\Omega cu^p + \int_\Omega (g(u)n - b(n))u^p. \tag{4.1}
\]

Case $d \geq 2$. We deduce from a Sobolev injection ([8], p. 284, Corollary 9.14) and elliptic regularity (Lemma A.1) that there exist constants $\tilde{C}_1, C_1 > 0$ such that
\[
||c||_{p+1} \leq \tilde{C}_1 ||c||_{W^{1,q}} \leq C_1 ||u||_q, \tag{4.2}
\]
where $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p+1} + \frac{1}{d}$, and by interpolation ([8], p. 93, Remark 2),
\[
||u||_q \leq ||u||_1^{1-\theta} ||u||_{p+1}^\theta, \tag{4.3}
\]
where $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1-\theta}{1} + \frac{\theta}{p+1}$, that is to say $\theta = \frac{p(d-1) - 1}{pd} \in (0, 1)$.

Moreover, with Hölder inequality applied with the exponents $p+1$ and $\frac{p+1}{p}$, we have, in view of (4.2) and (4.3),
\[
\int_\Omega cu^p \leq \left( \int_\Omega c^{p+1} \right)^{\frac{p}{p+1}} \left( \int_\Omega (u^p)^{\frac{p+1}{p}} \right)^{\frac{p}{p+1}} = ||c||_{p+1} ||u||_{p+1}^p.
\]
Applying these inequalities to (4.1), we have
\[
\frac{1}{p} \frac{d}{dt} ||u||^p_p \geq \alpha \frac{p-1}{p} ||u||_{p+1}^{p+1} - \beta C_1 \frac{p-1}{p} ||u||_1^{1-\theta} ||u||_{p+1}^{\theta+\theta} - B_0 ||u||_p^p.
\]
Using the inequality $xy \leq x^a \frac{\varepsilon}{a} + \frac{\varepsilon y^b}{b}$ that holds for every $x, y, \varepsilon, a, b > 0$ such that $\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} = 1$, with the choice $x = ||u||_1^{1-\theta}$, $y = ||u||_{p+1}^{\theta+\theta}$, $a = \frac{p+1}{1-\theta}$ and $b = \frac{p+1}{\theta+\theta}$, we have
\[
\frac{1}{p} \frac{d}{dt} ||u||_p^p \geq \alpha \frac{p-1}{2p} ||u||_{p+1}^{p+1} - \beta C_2 ||u||_{1}^{p+1} - B_0 ||u||_p^p, \tag{4.4}
\]
where we chose $\varepsilon$ such that $\alpha \frac{p-1}{p} - \frac{\varepsilon p + \theta}{p+1} C_1 \beta \geq \alpha \frac{p-1}{2p}$ and defined $C_2 = \frac{p-1}{p} C_1 \frac{1-\theta}{\varepsilon^{\frac{p+\theta}{p+1}} (p+1)}$.

**Case** $d = 1$. We have again

$$
\int_{\Omega} c u^p \leq \left( \int_{\Omega} |c|^{p+1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}} \left( \int_{\Omega} (u^p)^{\frac{p+1}{p}} \right)^{\frac{p}{p+1}} = ||c||_{p+1}^p ||u||_{p+1}^p.
$$

Using the inequality $xy \leq x^\frac{a}{p} + \frac{\varepsilon y^b}{b}$ with the choice $x = ||c||_{p+1}$, $y = ||u||_{p+1}$, $a = p + 1$ and $b = \frac{p+1}{p}$, we have

$$
||c||_{p+1}^p ||u||_{p+1}^p \leq 1 \varepsilon^p (p+1) ||c||_{p+1}^p + \varepsilon \frac{p}{p+1} ||u||_{p+1}^p.
$$

Let $\eta > 0$. By Lemma A.4 (the hypothesis $u \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ is satisfied thanks to the Sobolev injection of $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ into $C(\overline{\Omega})$ in the one dimensional case), there exists $C(\eta, p)$ such that

$$
||c||_{p+1}^p \leq \eta ||u||_{p+1}^p + C(\eta, p) ||u||_{1}^{p+1}.
$$

If we choose $\varepsilon$ and $\eta$ small enough, there exists a constant $C_2 > 0$ such that we deduce from (4.1) the estimate

$$
\frac{1}{p} \frac{d}{dt} ||u||_p^p \geq \alpha \frac{p-1}{2p} ||u||_{p+1}^p - \beta C_2 ||u||_{1}^{p+1} - B_0 ||u||_p^p,
$$

(4.5)
in the one dimensional case.

*End of the proof for both* $d = 1$ and $d \geq 2$.

Consider either (4.5) in the case $d = 1$ or (4.4) in the case $d \geq 2$.

Since

$$
\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \left( u + \frac{1}{\gamma} n \right) = - \int_{\Omega} b(n) u \leq 0,
$$

there exists a constant $C_3 > 0$ such that for all $t > 0$

$$
||u(t, \cdot)||_1 \leq C_3 = C_3(||u_0||_1, ||n_0||_1).
$$

By continuous injection of $L^{p+1}$ into $L^p$, there exists a constant $C_4 > 0$ depending on $\Omega$ and $p$ such that

$$
\int_{\Omega} u^{p+1} \geq C_4 \left( \int_{\Omega} u^p \right)^{\frac{p+1}{p}}.
$$

The Inequalities (4.4) and (4.5) then become

$$
\frac{1}{p} \frac{d}{dt} ||u||_p^p \geq C_5 ||u||_{p+1}^p - B_0 ||u||_p^p - C_6,
$$

where $C_5, C_6 > 0$ depend on $p, \alpha, \beta, \Omega, u_0, n_0$ and all previous constants, and this gives

$$
\frac{1}{p} \frac{d}{dt} ||u||_p^p \geq ||u||_p^p \left( C_5 ||u||_p - B_0 \right) - C_6.
$$

Thus, if $||u_0||_p$ is large enough, $||u(t)||_p > ||u_0||_p$ for all $t > 0$ and then $||u||_p$ is a super-solution to an initial value problem of the form

$$
y'(t) = \alpha_1 y(t)^{1+\frac{1}{p}} - \alpha_2 y(t) - \alpha_3,
$$
where $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 > 0$. Using Lemma A.9, we deduce that if $\|u_0\|_p$ is large enough, there exists $T_{\text{max}} > 0$ such that
\[
\lim_{t \to T_{\text{max}}} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_p = +\infty,
\]
and, $\Omega$ being bounded,
\[
\lim_{t \to T_{\text{max}}} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_\infty = +\infty.
\]

5. Conclusion and open problems. This article focuses on a hyperbolic-elliptic-parabolic system of partial differential equations that represents pure chemotactic movement of self-organising E. Colies colonies. The original model of three parabolic equations [2] proved to be adequate at describing the process by which stable geometrical colonial patterns form. It was not obvious that a hyperbolic version would be tractable. Our work is a first step towards the understanding of the pure chemotaxis regime. In order to carry out our analysis, we built on recent works on hyperbolic-elliptic chemotaxis systems [39], [28], [24], [15].

First, we constructed local-in-time solutions for a parabolic-elliptic-parabolic approximate system and we provided uniform \textit{a priori} estimates on these solutions. These estimates are uniform both in term of the diffusion coefficient of the first parabolic equation and in term of the initial conditions.

Then, we used these estimates and a standard compactness method involving Aubin-Lions theorem and we constructed solutions to the hyperbolic-elliptic-parabolic system. These solutions require mild assumptions on the parameters and functions of the system which correspond with the assumptions which were made in [12].

Last, we studied the case of concentrated enough initial data and we proved that the corresponding solutions blow-up in finite time in $L^p$ and $L^\infty$ norms in all dimensions, including dimension 1.

Several questions regarding the solutions of this hyperbolic-elliptic-parabolic system remain open. We don’t know yet if a non-linear chemotactic sensitivity function $\chi$ could prevent blow-up and we don’t have results on the long time behaviour of global-in-time solutions. The asymptotic pattern could be easier to study than in the full parabolic case. Numerical simulations could also provide insights regarding the final pattern of global-in-time solutions.

Another interesting question is whether we can derive results on the parabolic-elliptic-parabolic system using the solutions of the hyperbolic-elliptic-parabolic system in the way of [39] and [28]. There are no theoretical obstacle as the solutions of the third equation are uniformly bounded and this could help to study the final pattern in the parabolic-elliptic-parabolic case and finally the full parabolic one, which is the initial goal of the model [2].

Appendix A. Classical results. For the sake of clarity, we state here classical results that we use in our proofs.

A.1. Elliptic estimates.

**Lemma A.1.** Let $\Omega$ be a bounded open subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$ with a smooth boundary. Let $\beta > 0$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ be two constants.
• Let $u \in L^\infty(\Omega)$. For all $p > 1$ and $\eta \in (0, 1)$, there exists a unique solution $c \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap C^{1+\eta}(\Omega)$ of the boundary value problem

\[
\begin{align*}
-\Delta c + \beta c &= \alpha u & \text{for } x \in \Omega \\
\frac{\partial c}{\partial \nu} &= 0 & \text{for } x \in \partial \Omega,
\end{align*}
\]  
(A.1)

which is such that for all $p \in (1, +\infty)$,

\[
\|c\|_{W^{2,p}} \leq K_p \|u\|_{L^p} \quad \text{and} \quad \|c\|_{C^{1+\eta}} \leq K_\infty \|u\|_{\infty},
\]  
(A.2)

for some positive constants $K_p$, and $K_\infty$ depending on $\Omega$, $\alpha$, $\beta$, $d$ and $p$. If $\alpha u$ is nonnegative, then $c$ is nonnegative.

• If we assume that $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, the solution $c$ of problem (A.1) is such that

\[
\|c\|_{W^{3,p}} \leq K_h \|u\|_{W^{1,p}},
\]  
(A.3)

for some positive constant $K_h$ depending on $\Omega$, $\alpha$, $\beta$, $d$ and $p$.

• Let $\eta \in (0, 1)$. There exists a constant $C > 0$ depending on $\eta$, $\Omega$, $\alpha$, $d$ and $\beta$ such that for all $u \in C^{0,\eta}(\bar{\Omega})$, the solution $c$ of problem (A.1) is such that

\[
\|c\|_{C^{2,\eta}} \leq C \|u\|_{C^{0,\eta}}.
\]  
(A.4)

Proof. The existence and uniqueness of a solution $c \in H^1(\Omega)$ follows from applying Lax-Milgram theorem. The fact that $c \in W^{2,p}(\Omega)$ for all $p > 1$ can be deduced from [1], whereas the last estimate in (A.2) follows from the embedding $W^{2,p}(\Omega) \subset C^{1+\eta}(\Omega)$ with $\eta = 1 - d/p$ if $p > d$. The estimate (A.3) also follows from [1].

The estimate (A.4) follows from [17], Theorem 6.30.

Next we define $\log^+ k = \log k$ for $k > 1$ and $\log^+ k = 0$ otherwise. One can find the following estimate in [24], [34], Lemma 2.8, and [33].

Lemma A.2. Let $\Omega$ be a bounded open set of $\mathbb{R}^d$ with a smooth boundary. Let $\beta > 0$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ be two constants. Let $1 < p < +\infty$ and $s > d/p$. There exists a constant $C$ depending on $s$, $d$ and $p$ such that for all $f \in W^{s,p}(\Omega)$,

\[
\|f\|_{\infty} \leq C(1 + \|f\|_{BMO}(1 + \log^+(\|f\|_{W^{s,p}}))).
\]

The following estimate is given by [24] in the proof of Lemma 6. According to [24] and [32], it can also be derived from [37], p 178 by choosing for the singular integral operator $T : L^\infty \to BMO$ the operator which maps $u$ to the Hessian of $c$.

Lemma A.3 (Estimates of singular integrals). Let $\Omega$ be a bounded open subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$ with a smooth boundary. Let $\beta > 0$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ be two constants. Let $p \in (1, +\infty)$. Let $u \in L^\infty(\Omega) \cap W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. If the function $c$ is solution of

\[
\begin{align*}
-\Delta c + \beta c &= \alpha u & \text{for } x \in \Omega \\
\frac{\partial c}{\partial \nu} &= 0 & \text{for } x \in \partial \Omega
\end{align*}
\]  
(A.5)

with Dirichlet or Neumann boundary condition, then

\[
\|\nabla^2 c\|_{BMO} \leq C \|u\|_{\infty}.
\]

In the one dimensional case, we will use a more specific lemma. Its proof can be find in [39], Lemma 2.2.
Lemma A.4. Let $p > 0$. Assume $d = 1$ and $\Omega = (a, b)$. Let $\alpha$ and $\beta$ be two positive constants. For all $\eta > 0$ there exists $C(\eta, p) > 0$ such that whenever $u \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ is non-negative, the solution $c$ of
\[
\begin{cases}
-c'' + \beta c = \alpha u & \text{for } x \in (a, b) \\
c'(a) = c'(b) = 0
\end{cases}
\] (A.6)
satisfies
\[
\int_{\Omega} e^{p+1} \leq \eta \int_{\Omega} u^{p+1} + C(\eta, p) \left( \int_{\Omega} u \right)^{p+1}.
\]

A.2. Parabolic estimates. We recall estimates on the heat semigroup $\{e^{t\Delta}\}_{t \geq 0}$ in a bounded domain $\Omega$ with a homogeneous Neumann boundary condition. They can be found in [22], Lemma 2.1 and in [40].

Lemma A.5. Let $\lambda_1 > 0$ denote the first nonzero eigenvalue of $-\Delta$ in a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ under the Neumann boundary conditions. For all $1 \leq q \leq p \leq +\infty$, there exist constants $C = C(p, q, \Omega)$ such that
\begin{itemize}
  \item for all $f \in L^q(\Omega)$ satisfying $\int_{\Omega} f dx = 0$ and all $t > 0$,
    \[
    \|e^{t\Delta} f\|_p \leq C \left(1 + t^{-\frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p})}\right) e^{-\lambda_1 t} \|f\|_q;
    \]
    (A.7)
  \item for all $f \in L^q(\Omega)$ and all $t > 0$,
    \[
    \|e^{t\Delta} f\|_p \leq C \left(1 + t^{-\frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p})}\right) \|f\|_q;
    \]
    (A.8)
  \item for all $f \in L^q(\Omega)$ and all $t > 0$,
    \[
    \|\nabla (e^{t\Delta} f)\|_p \leq C \left(1 + t^{-\frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p})}\right) e^{-\lambda_1 t} \|f\|_q;
    \]
    (A.9)
  \item for all $f \in (L^q(\Omega))^d$ and all $t > 0$,
    \[
    \|e^{t\Delta} \nabla \cdot f\|_p \leq C \left(1 + t^{-\frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p})}\right) e^{-\lambda_1 t} \|f\|_q;
    \]
    (A.10)
  \item provided $q > 1$;
  \item for all $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and all $t > 0$,
    \[
    \|\nabla e^{t\Delta} f\|_p \leq Ce^{-\lambda_1 t} \|\nabla f\|_p;
    \]
    (A.11)
  \item provided $p \in [2, +\infty)$.
\end{itemize}

A.3. Other results. One can find the following result and its proof in [7], Theorem II.5.16.

Lemma A.6 (Aubin-Lions theorem). Let $X_0, X, X_1$ be three Banach spaces such that $X_0$ embeds compactly in $X$ and $X$ embeds continuously in $X_1$. Let $p, q \in [1, +\infty]$. We define
\[
\mathcal{Y} = \{ u \in L^p(0, T; X_0) \mid \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \in L^q(0, T; X_1) \},
\]
where $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$ is the time derivative in the sense of distributions of $u$. Then,
\begin{itemize}
  \item if $p < +\infty$, $\mathcal{Y}$ is compactly embedded in $L^p(0, T; X)$;
  \item if $p = +\infty$ and $q > 1$ then $\mathcal{Y}$ is compactly embedded in $C([0, T], X)$;
\end{itemize}
One can find in [29], Lemma 5.3 the following result:
Lemma A.7 (Gradient inequality in convex domains). Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a convex open set of smooth boundary. Let $u \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ such that $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0$ on $\partial \Omega$. Then,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} |\nabla u|^2 \leq 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial \Omega.$$

We need the following result in the proof of Lemma 2.4. We omit its proof since it is rather standard.

Lemma A.8. Let $C > 0$ and $w_0 > 0$. Consider the ordinary differential equation

$$w'(t) = C(1 + t + 2\sqrt{t})(1 + w(t)^{\frac{2}{3}}(1 + \log^+(w(t))))w(t). \quad (A.12)$$

with initial condition $w(0) = w_0$. Then, there exists a time $T > 0$ such that there exists a unique $C^1$ solution $w$ on $[0, T]$.

The following blow-up result is widely known.

Lemma A.9. Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 > 0$ and $p \in (1, +\infty)$. Consider the ordinary differential equation

$$y'(t) = \alpha_1 y(t)^{1+\frac{2}{p}} - \alpha_2 y(t) - \alpha_3$$

with initial condition $y(0) = y_0$. If $y_0$ is large enough with respect to $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ and $p$, then the unique local-in-time solution of this initial value problem blows-up in finite time at $T_{\text{max}}$ and

$$\lim_{t \to T_{\text{max}}} y(t) = +\infty.$$ 
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