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Abstract

Introduction: His Bundle Pacing (HBP) is devoid of consequences of remodelling induced by Right Ventricular Apical Pacing (RVAP). Septal pacing has also been studied in several studies. Complications of heart failure, chamber dilatation and QRS prolongation are not seen in HBP and less in septal pacing. This study was done to evaluate outcomes of HBP versus Septal pacing versus RVAP in those patients requiring pacing.

Methods: Total 100 patients with atrio ventricular block with syncope who required pacing were included in this study. 50 patients underwent RVAP, 38 patients septal pacing and 12 patients underwent His bundle pacing. Detail echocardiogram-based parameters were obtained before and post pacing 6 months. All data collected appropriately and analysed accordingly using SPSS software by 1-way ANOVA with the use of the Holm-Sidak method for pairwise multiple comparisons or by the χ2 test, as appropriate. The statistical test of main treatment effect was an adjusted F test with Kenward-Roger type adjustment of denominator degrees of freedom.

Results: HBP was associated with improvements in Left Ventricular Ejection Fraction (LVEF) and other chamber dimension which was statistically significant. Septal pacing was found to be better (not statistically significant although numerically better) compared to RVAP group which showed deterioration of LVEF, chamber dimension and QRS duration.

Conclusion: HBP was found to be more physiological than septal and RVAP group although technically challenging and required expertise.
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Introduction

The cardiac conduction system is a collection of nodes and specialised conduction cells that initiate and co-ordinate contraction of the heart muscle. It consists of sinoatrial (SA) node, atrioventricular (AV) node, atrioventricular bundle (bundle of His) and purkinje fibres. The sequence of electrical events during one full contraction of the heart muscle starts from SA node spreads across the atria to the AV node, into the bundle of His, down the interventricular septum and via the Purkinje fibres spread along the ventricles, causing them to contract. This rapid conduction allows coordinated ventricular contraction (ventricular systole) and blood is moved from the right and left ventricles to the pulmonary artery and aorta respectively [1-3]. Since the era of Hippocrates (460-375BC) physicians were aware of syncope. Innovative works by different scientists at different times enriched the history of pacemakers gradually. It started from McWilliam [4] to Lidwell (who developed first external pacemaker) [5] to Hyman’s device (used DC current through a bipolar needle electrode) and finally to Zoll [6] who modernized the concept of pacing radically. Over the...
time through the hand of Earl E. Bakken the first battery-operated wearable pacemaker came into availability later that year for the treatment of heart block [7-9]. Microprocessor-driven pacemakers appeared in around 1990. These became very complex devices capable of detecting and storing events utilising several algorithms. The rate-response pattern also adjusted itself automatically to the patient’s activity level.

From the first human implantation the right ventricular (RV) apical pacing has saved millions of lives, but within one decade it was proved to be non-physiological as several deleterious hemodynamic effects were noted during follow up [10]. Chronic RVAP causes left ventricular dilatation and reduction of left ventricular ejection fraction [11]. During RVAP the conduction of the electrical wave front propagates through the myocardium, rather than through the His-Purkinje conduction system as a result the electrical wave form propagates more slowly and induces heterogeneity in electrical activation of myocardium comparable to left bundle branch block [12]. Left ventricular diminished dp/dt changes (pressure changes) has also been found with chronic RVAP [13]. Decrease in contractile state of LV myocardium leading to LV systolic dysfunction has also been noted in several studies. Subcellular anatomical changes has also been demonstrated in several previous studies that includes various histopathologic abnormalities in paced patients. Histopathological alterations in biopsy samples following pacing include myofiber variation, fibrosis, fat deposition, sclerosis, and mitochondrial morphological changes [14]. Unfavourable effects of right ventricular (RV) pacing include ventricular remodelling, dilation, elevated diastolic filling pressure, increased functional mitral regurgitation, myocardial perfusion defects, and reduced LV ejection fraction. Of all ventricular sites, the RV apex seems to be the most hemodynamically unfavourable [15]. Right ventricular apical pacing (RVAP) has been shown to increase morbidity and mortality in patients receiving a high percentage of cumulative LV pacing: maintenance of the atrioventricular Sequence and the rate-responsive function. If the aim is to mimic physiological activation patterns, the HBP may provide the ideal site. During the last several years there was much work done in the field of DHBP, to extend the indications and to improve the technique. Here in this study the comparative analysis among RVAP, His Bundle Pacing and Septal pacing has been done.

Objective

To find out outcomes of different parameters in 3 different types of pacing, His bundle vs Septal vs RV Apical in selected group of patients. This was a short term follow up study with detail analysis in respect of various parameters mentioned below.

1. To look for ECG QRS duration shortening.
2. To look for Left ventricular Ejection Fraction (LVEF) immediately, after 1 month and after 6 months.
3. To look for Left ventricular Internal Diameter (LVIDD) change at 1 month and at 6 months.
4. To look for Tricuspid Regurgitation (TR) in different group of pacing.
5. To assess biochemical parameters in different groups following pacing.

LV dimensions were measured from the parasternal long-axis M-mode and expressed as Z scores with the use of weight-related normal limits. LV shortening fraction was calculated.
LV volumes were measured from the apical 4- and 2-chamber views with the Simpson biplane method. LV ejection fraction (EF) was calculated and graded as follows: normal (LV EF ≥55%), subnormal (LV EF <55%), and significantly decreased (LV EF <45%)

Methods

All patients with atrio ventricular block presented/admitted to IPGME&R Hospital in the department of cardiology were included in this study. It was an prospective observational study for total patients of, who were followed for 6 months and all details parameters were documented and analysed accordingly.

Inclusion criterias

For HBP: Only patients who met the following inclusion criteria were considered candidates for permanent HBP:

a) Indication of permanent pacing for AV conduction disturbance or left ventricular resynchronization not possible via the coronary sinus.

b) Potential for elimination of AV block or bundle-branch block by HBP, leading to a narrow QRS complex (120 ms).

c) Maximum hisian capture threshold of 2.5 V/1 ms.

d) 1:1 His-ventricular conduction at a minimum pacing rate of 120 b.p.m.

For Septal Pacing

A. Indication of permanent pacing for AV conduction disturbance or left ventricular resynchronization not possible via the coronary sinus and not possible to pace via his bundle.

B. Potential for elimination of AV block or bundle-branch block (LBBB) by HBP, leading to a narrow QRS complex (120 ms).

For RVAP pacing: For all other patients needed pacing included in this category.

Exclusion criterias

For HBP and Septal Pacing:

1. Complete Heart Block.

2. Wide Complex Tachycardia during any episode before and after admission.

Parameters used

Echocardiographic criterias: 1)Left Ventricular Internal Dimension in Systole and Diastole (LVIDs, LVIDd), 2)Left Ventricular Posterior Wall Thickness (LVPWD), 3)Left Ventricular Ejection Fraction (LVEF), 4) Left Atrial Diameter 5) Right Atrial Diameter 6) Right Ventricular Diameter 7) Tricuspid Regurgitation (TR).

Electrocardiographic criterias: QRS duration, QRS morphology.

Blood investigations: N-Terminal pro Brain Natriuric Peptide.

Study technique: Patients of all ages are going to be included in this study. So, after taking a valid consent from the patients, a detailed history and clinical examination was carried out.

HBP: Procedure HBP was performed using the Medtronic pacing lead delivered through a fixed curve sheath. The delivery sheath was inserted into the right ventricle beyond the tricuspid annulus over a guide wire through left cephalic or axillary vein. Subsequently, the pacing lead was advanced through the sheath such that only the distal electrode /screw is beyond the tip of the catheter. A unipolar electrogram was recorded from the lead tip at again setting of 0.05mV/ mm and displayed on a Medtronic pacing system. The preformed double curve of this catheter points the tip toward the superior AV septum. An HB electrogram was identified by mapping the AV septum. The lead was then screwed in this position by means of 4-5 clockwise rotations. The HB capture threshold was assessed and accepted if found to be 2.5 V at 1.0 ms. If an acceptable HB capture could not be achieved after 5 attempts at lead positioning or a fluoroscopy duration of 30 minutes ,the lead was then placed in the RV mid-septum.

RVAP: RV leads were implanted in a standard fashion at the RV apex. Traditional tined-tip pacing to anchor a lead in the RV apex in those group of patients.

Septum: Septal pacing was done by the methods as described by Mond et, al. Under fluoroscopic imaging in the right anterior oblique view of 30 degrees, the RV was divided into 3 zones as follows: (1) an upper zone (one-third from the top of the RV) between the pulmonary artery bulge and the roof of the tricuspid valve; (2) a middle zone; and (3) a lower zone (one-third from the bottom of the RV). Then, the ventricular lead was anchored in the middle zone of the RV. After fixation of the ventricular lead, the left anterior oblique view of 45 degrees was used to confirm that the lead was successfully placed on the RV septum and not the free wall. Surface and device electrocardiography, and echocardiographic examination studies were done by be performed at 24 hours after pacemaker implantation using a Vivid 7 Dimension ultrasound machine (GE Healthcare) with an M4S probe and again at 6 months. Echocardiographic parameters were recorded immediately after 1 months and after imlantation and again at 6 months.

Analysis of data

All data collected appropriately and analysed accordingly. SPSS software latest version was used for demographic as well as objective analysis. ANOVA was done and analysed properly. Continuous data are presented as raw means (SDs). Differences in demographic and informative variables between pacing sites were evaluated by 1-way ANOVA with the use of the Holm-Sidak method for pairwise multiple comparisons or by the χ² test, as appropriate. The continuous outcome variables characterizing LV function and synchrony were analysed with the use of a linear mixed model approach. Each model included the set of clinically
informative additive covariates in addition to the main factor tested. The continuous covariates included age at implantation pacing duration, and QRS duration. The statistical test of main treatment effect was an adjusted F test with Kenward-Roger type adjustment of denominator degrees of freedom.

**Result and Analysis**

**Age demography**

![Figure 1: Showing distribution of age demography in different pacing groups.](image)

The age of the study population in this study ranged from 40 years to 71 years. In RVAP group the age varies from 54 years to 71 years with mean of 64.24 years. In Septal pacing group the age varies from 40 years to 62 years with mean value of 53.92 years. In His bundle pacing group age varies from 43 years to 58 years with mean value of 54.45 years (Figure 1).

**Gender distribution**

The details of gender distribution has been depicted in the following chart. Out of total population 62 patients were male and 38 were female (Figure 2).

![Figure 2: Showing gender distribution of study populations.](image)

**Pacing distribution**

Out of total 100 patients 50 patients underwent RVAP, 38 patients septal pacing and 12 patients underwent His bundle pacing.

**LVEF distribution**

Mean LVEF in 3 different group has been depicted in the following chart. For RVAP group it is 62% with SD of 3.75, for Septal pacing group it is 52.95 with SD of 5.08 and for His Bundle pacing group it is 53.92% with SD of 3.77 (Figure 3).
LVEF at 6-month Post Pacing

The following chart showing changes in LVEF at 6 months following pacing. In the Septal group mean is 54.18 with SD 4.81, in the His Bundle Group it is 59.92 with SD 3.2 and in the RVAP group it is 55.14% with SD of 2.80 (Figure 4).

LVEF changes pattern post pacing

The following graph (Figure 5) shows the changes over time of LVEF of 3 groups. Of the 3 groups His bundle and septal pacing has shown improvements in LVEF whereas RVAP has been associated with decrease in LVEF.
LVDD following pacing

The following chart (Figure 6 & 7) and graph showing distribution of baseline LVDD in each group in the initial bar diagram. Second bar at 1 month and 3rd one showing LVDD at 6 month following pacing in each group. The chart showing the trend of changes in LVDD in each group over this time. For HBP group baseline, at 1 month and 6 months mean LVDD was 46.86 mm (SD4.49), 48.76 mm (SD-4.90), 48.26 mm (SD 4.80) respectively. In Septal group it was 47.00mm (3.81), 48.46 mm (SD-4.85), 61.24 mm(SD-5.28) and in RVAP group 46.86mm(SD3.87), 48.06 mm (SD-4.23), 61.08mm (SD-4.57) for Vaseline, 1 and 6 month respectively.

Distribution of QRS duration

Below graph is showing QRS duration change in each group following pacing compared to baseline. In the HBP group QRS dutration changed from 155.2 ms to 110.4 ms. In the RVAP group it was 139.5 ms at baseline and 143.1 post pacing. In the Septal group it was 144.3 and 121.4 at baseline and post pacing respectively (Figure 8).

NT pro BNP level following pacing

Mean value of NT pro BNP level following pacing has been depicted in the below chart. It is very evident that NT pro BNP level has been elevated in each group (Figure 9).
**Figure 7:** Graph showing changes in LVDD from baseline to post pacing at 1 and 6 months.

**Figure 8:** Showing QRS duration at baseline and post pacing different subsets of the study population.

**Figure 9:** NT Pro BNP level in all subsets of population post pacing.
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LA diameter Pre vs Post pacing

The (Figure 10) showing baseline LA size and changes pattern and numerical value with bar diagram at 1 month and at 6 months in each group.

RV diameter pattern post pacing

The following bar diagram (Figure 11) showing baseline and post pacing RV diameter changes following pacing.

**Figure 10:** Bar diagram showing changes in LA diameter over time.

![Bar diagram showing changes in LA diameter over time.](image1)

**Figure 11:** Bar diagram showing RV diameter changes over time.

![Bar diagram showing RV diameter changes over time.](image2)

**Tricuspid regurgitation**

The following chart (Figure 12) showing Tricuspid Regurgitation following pacing in each group. Average TR in mm of Hg for RVAP, Septal and HBP are 23.72 (SD-7.47), 20.68(SD-9.81) and 18.08(SD-3.89) respectively.

**Figure 12:** Chart showing Tricuspid Regurgitation following pacing in each group.

![Chart showing Tricuspid Regurgitation following pacing in each group.](image3)
Discussion

First observation regarding HB electrophysiological characteristics was perhaps done by Scherlag in 1967 [19]. Narula in 1977 reported that, stimulation from the proximal HB showed wide QRS complexes and/or axis deviation identical to that seen during normal sinus rhythm, whereas distal HB stimulation resulted in a narrow QRS complex (95 ms) and/or abolition of left axis deviation (LAD) [20]. In 1979 El Sheriff showed temporary HB stimulation can revert a pre-existing bundle branch block [21]. In 1999 Amitani confirmed the feasibility of clinical application of permanent HB pacing in six adult beagles in which a screw-in lead was anchored in the His-bundle region [22]. In this study we have used active fixation screwing lead in all HBP and Septal pacing patients. This study showed improvements in LVEF in HBP group which was found to be statistically significant (p < .05). Mean LVEF has improved from baseline value of 53.92% to 59.92% at 6 months. Septal pacing was found to improve LVEF from baseline of 52.95% to 54.18% at 6 months, which was not statistically significant (p=0.51) although numerically higher value was obtained. RVAP was associated with significantly drop in LVEF. Mean baseline LVEF in RVAP group was 62% and post pacing at 6 months it was found to be 55.14% (Figure 4). The changes in LVEF can be easily understood from (Figure 5). Deshmukh et, al, first described successful permanent His-bundle pacing (HBP) in a small series of patients with AF and dilated cardiomyopathy in 2000, which have demonstrated that it is feasible and associated with an improvement in exercise capacity ventricular synchrony, and LVEF as compared with RVAP. The results in our study in this short term follow up was found to be significant.

Moreover, LVIDD in this study was found to be improved in both his bundle as well as septal pacing but not with RVAP (Figure 6 & 7). Demonstrated clearly that LVIDD increased over time in RVAP group where as HBP and septal pacing both have not shown increased LVIDD. Finding of such parameter was new in medical literature. LA diameter was found to be increased in every varieties of pacing in this study. No significant difference was found among different types of pacing (Figure 10). RV diameter was increased from baseline in each types of pacing. Maximum dilatation was seen in RVAP group (Figure 11). TR gradient increased in all types of pacing. It was not statistically significant although (Figure 12). Maximum gradient was found in RVAP group. These findings can be corroborated with the findings from the DAVID trial (The Dual Chamber and VVI Implantable Defibrillator trial) and in the MOST trial. NT pro BNP level was found to be increased in every subsets of population be it RVAP, HBP or Septal pacing. No direct correlation was found to be significant. RVAP was found to be numerically higher value compared to rest of the two groups (Figure 9).

QRS duration changed maximum in the HBP group. In the HBP group average QRS duration changed from 155.2 ms at baseline to 110.4 ms post pacing. In the Septal group QRS duration also decreased from average of 144.3 ms at baseline to 121.4 ms post pacing. Decrease in HBP group was almost double compared to septal group. However, QRS duration increased in cases of RVAP group. In the RVAP group it was 139.5 ms at baseline and became 143.1 ms post pacing (Figure 8). The significant narrowing in HBP is similar to several other studies [23,24]. The operative success rate of HBP in several study varies from 66% to 95.5% [23-25]. In our study we did implant HB pacing successfully in all patients. Case selection, HB catheter and long sheath along with technological advancement may help in operative successfulness [26].

Conclusion

Physiological pacing ‘may be achieved only by preserving, or if that is impossible, by restoring or attempting to imitate the
normal electrophysiological characteristics of the heart. Because His-bundle pacing (HBP) produces ventricular contraction via the specific conduction system, it does not induce interventricular or intraventricular asynchrony. It is also devoid of mechanical injury or myocardial perfusion disorders described with right ventricular apical pacing. Decrease QRS duration was found maximum in HBP group. Pacing pattern more likely to be physiological in HBP group. Some of the patient achieved close to 90 ms QRS duration. This narrowing may be the responsible factor for maintaining and improvements of LV function. Septal pacing has also shown better parameter compared to RVAP group in terms of RV diameter, LA diameter, degree of TR. However, HBP group superseded all and therefore should be the choice when applicable. Although HBP poses little challenge in terms of technical aspects but not very difficult now, thanks to improvements in different long sheaths and various screwing active fixation system. Thorough anatomical knowledge however is very much essential to avoid damage to atrioventricular node during implantation.
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