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Effects of a vibrational proprioceptive stimulation on recovery phase after maximal incremental cycle test
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Abstract
Global Proprioceptive Resonance (GPR) is a recently developed approach conceived to solicit the various cutaneous mechanoreceptors, through application of mechanical multifocal vibration at low amplitude and at definite body sites, limiting the stimulation of the profound structures. This interventional study evaluated the effects of GPR on cardiorespiratory function during the post-exertional recovery period. A group of volunteers involved in Triathlon (a multisport discipline consisting of sequential swim, cycle, and run disciplines highly demanding in terms of metabolic engagement), underwent two maximal incremental exercise tests until exhaustion followed alternatively to (a) a 13 minutes section of GPR or (b) a standard low intensity exercise acute trend of the same duration. These effects of these two approaches were compared in terms of recovery of: heart rate (HR), respiratory rate (RR), peripheral oxygen saturation (SpO2) and venous lactate concentration (Lac). The physiological parameters (HR, RR, SpO2 and Lac) recorded in the pre-exertion session showed similar values between the 40 volunteers while several differences were recorded in the post-exertion phase. After 6 min of GPR recovery it was recorded a drop in RR below baseline (19.4±4.15 min⁻¹ vs. 12.2±0.4 min⁻¹; p<0.001) coupled with an increase in peripheral oxygen saturation above the baseline (GPR: 99.0%±0.16% vs. 96.6%±0.77%, p<0.001). Moreover, the most striking result was the drop in lactate concentration measured after 13 min of GPR recovery: 84.5±3.5% in GPR vs 2.9±7.6% reduction in standard recovery (p<0.001). Notably no differences were recorded recovery of heart rate. GPR has promising effects on post-exercise recovery on RR, SpO2 and lactate level on young athletes.
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Proprioceptive signals from mechanoreceptors of the joints, muscles, tendons, and skin are essential for the intact neural control of movement. The loss of proprioceptive afferents may affect the control of muscle tone, disrupts postural reflexes and severely impairs spatial as well as temporal aspects of voluntary movement.1,2 Given the importance of proprioception, numerous interventions have been developed to serve as proprioceptive-training to improve/restore motor function and proprioception. Global proprioception specifically deserves attention in the term that it could influence the skeletal muscle resistance to movement. In particular, the resistance manifests itself as a substantial stiffness for small movements. This aspect could be fundamental in performance overall in highly demanding sport like Triathlon. In fact, the triathletes are involved in
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endurance based-training and in competitions that require
to taking advantage from several factors as the economy
of locomotion as well as physiological characteristics and
also from proprioceptive response. Notably, there is
little agreement of what actually constitutes
proprioceptive training, which may be partially owed to
the lack of a uniform definition of proprioception.
Broadly defined, proprioception refers to the conscious
awareness of body and limbs and has several distinct
properties: passive motion sense, active motion sense,
limb position sense, and the sense of heaviness. However,
it has long been established that proprioception
has an unconscious component in which proprioceptive
signals are used for the reflexive control of muscle tone
and of the posture. However, proprioceptive signals are
also integrated in the complex systems for regulation of
cardiorespiratory function, to adapt the body to physical
activity. Whole body vibration (WBV), based on
somatosensory stimulation, is one of the approaches to
global proprioceptive stimulation that has been more
extensively investigated, with conflicting result.
Recently, mechanical multifocal vibration at specific
frequencies and of short duration, has been suggested to
efficiently work as Global Proprioceptive Resonance
(GPR), with positive effect on bone, muscle and joints.
The aim of this prospective interventional study was to
assess whether a new approach to proprioceptive
stimulation, named GPR, has positive effects on central
or peripheral cardiovascular system on young Triathletes
after exhausting exercise engagement during specific test
session.

Materials and Methods

Subjects
This study was carried out in accordance with the
principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki. All
participants provided written informed consent. Forty
athletes involved in long-lasting competitions (triathlon)
volunteered for the study. They all were with no recent
history of illness or clinical musculoskeletal disorders or
contraindications to vibration exposure. The present
study was approved by the institutional ethics committee
on human research.

Study design
The study description was reported in Figure 1. The
volunteers were randomly divided in two groups (Control
and GPR). Participants completed both trials in a quiet
temperature-controlled room (23 °C) at the same time
period to eliminate any influence of circadian variation.
The subjects visited the laboratory on the occasions, with
at least 48 hours apart from each occasion: (a) a
familiarization of laboratory-equipment, (b) an exercise
test to exhaustion followed by (c1) an active recovery
period using GPR stimulation or (c2) or an active
recovery without GPR stimulation (noGPR). Specifically, all the volunteers were engaged in the
exhaustive incremental test at then split in two sub-

Fig 1. Scheme depicting the entire study protocol

Groups of 20 volunteers, one group completed GPR
recovery and the other one completing low intensity
recovery. Subjects were asked to abstain from exercise
for 24 h and to fast at least 4 h prior to laboratory visits
to reduce the effects of these factors on the study
variables.

Incremental exercise test
After a brief baseline evaluation at rest with 12 lead ECG,
blood pressure measurement, peripheral oxygen
saturation and peripheral blood lactate assessment,
subjects were asked to perform a brief warm-up (3 min,
25 W, 60 rev min−1) on a braked cycle ergometer
(Kettler Axiom P2, GmbH & Co.KG, Ense-Parsit,
Germany) Cicloergometro Monark mod 818) prior to the
incremental exercise test. The cycling exercise began at
an initial workload of 50 watts at a pedaling rate of 60 rev
min−1, followed by increments of 25 W every 2 min until
exhaustion. Maximal effort was confirmed by attainment
of at least three criteria: (1) a respiratory exchange ratio
(RER) > 1.2; (2) heart rate > 90% of age-predicted
maximum; or (3) rating of perceived exertion > 17 on the
Borg’s 6—20 scale.

Recovery period
After the incremental exercise test, all participants rested
in the seating position for two minutes followed by a
randomly assigned 13-min recovery periods with: (1)
low intensity exercise recovery on the same cycle ergometer
(fixed 25 watts) and no GPR stimulation (no GPR) or (2)
GPR with no exercise. This recovery period was applied
since as previous studies reported, complete muscle
recovery can be achieved with 10—15 min rest periods.
We used low intensity exercise recovery to avoid
overestimation of the effects of GPR.
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Table 1. Characteristics of Triathlon athletes

| Populations | Age       | Weight (kg) | Height (cm) | BMI       | SBP (mmHg) |
|------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|-----------|------------|
| Control    | 22.1±3.33 | 73.3±4.82   | 182±4.93    | 22.3±2.27 | 111±7.59   |
| GPR        | 22.9±3.28 | 73.7±4.67   | 182±5.11    | 22.4±2.31 | 111±7.59   |

BMI: body mass index; SBP: sistolic blood pressure; Shapiro-Wilk test for the normality of the distributions; Levene’s test for the equality of variances; Repeated Measures ANOVA with BMI as covariate; in addition Tukey correction for multiple post-hoc comparison; when required from Mauchly’s test for sphericity, it was applied the Greenhouse-Geisser correction.

**GPR protocol**

The exercise protocol during GPR matched the standard protocol until the second minute after peak exercise. The subject then was positioned on the Keope GPR system with activation of the stimulation protocol. At the end of the stimulation (lasting 13 minutes, the same as active recovery phase) the subject underwent the last assessment of lactate concentration and the study session ended.

**Keope GPR system**

Keope GPR (ANDROMEDA S.r.l. 23896 Sirtori (LC, Italy) is a recently marketed device specifically developed for stimulation of the proprioceptive system by application of low amplitude mechanic forces at specific body sites: hands ankles and heels. The amount of kinetic energy is controlled to limit the stimulation of the profound structures (tendons, joints and viscera) while soliciting the various cutaneous mechanoreceptors: Meissner corpuscles, Ruffini endings, Merkel discs and Pacinian corpuscles. The energy is delivered through sinusoidal wave trains modulated both in terms of amplitude and frequency (until 200 Hz). Several stimulation programs have been developed to obtain different patterns of proprioceptive stimulation. Notably, the five stimulation sites are not contemporary activated during all the protocol but they varies within each protocol and between different stimulation programs. A further element that specifically characterizes the Keope GPR system is the position maintained by the subject during the stimulation protocol. Differently from several devices for body mechanical stimulation which require a standing position, the subject performing a section of Keope GPR lies in a relaxed supine position transferring all the gravitational energy through the six stimulating parts and four additional sustaining structures. Notably, the specific shape adopted for all the components of Keope allow a relaxed position without compression of the vascular and lymphatic structures to favour circulation.

**Lactate assessment**

The assessment of lactate concentration was performed at: (1) baseline, (2) after reaching the peak exercise, (3) after the first two minutes rest and (4) after the 13 minutes recovery period both with active exercise GPR and with Fig 2. The graph shows the heart rate recorded in all the sessions: before (pre) of the exhaustive exercise, at its maximal level (peak) and during the recovery at 2, 6 and 13 minutes (2 min rcvr, 6 min rcvr and 6 min rcvr). The empty bars represent control group while dotted ones the GPR group.
exercise noGPR. The blood was collected through a puncture of the distal phalanx of the ring finger of the nondominant hand. Before the collection, the puncture site was aseptically cleaned with 70% alcohol. The first drop of blood was discarded, then a sample of 30 μL of blood was collected, which was analyzed using a lactate monitor Accutrend Plus (Roche Diagnostics) validated by Perez et al.9,10

Statistical analysis
The statistical analyses were carried out using GraphPad Prism Software, version 7 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, USA), and R-based open-source softwares Jamovi (https://www.jamovi.org). Shapiro-Wilk test for the normality of the distributions and Levene’s test for the equality of variances were used. The Repeated Measures ANOVA with BMI as covariate was used to assess the significance; in addition Tukey correction for multiple post-hoc comparison was used; when required by Mauchly’s test for sphericity, it was applied the Greenhouse-Geisser correction. The statistical significance was set at p values less than 0.05.

Results
Population and incremental exercise tests
The forty adults volunteers (21 males and 19 females, height 182±4.9 cm, weight 73.5±4.7 Kg) aged 22.5±3.3 years, completed all procedures. The subject characteristics of athletes randomly selected for control and experimental group were reported in Table 1. Notably, no significant difference was recorded among the two different exercise sections in terms of workload (225.85±18.2 watt vs. 225.75±18.0 watt; p=n.s.) and
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physiological modifications (i.e. blood pressure, peripheral oxygen saturation, respiratory frequency, lactate.

Post exercise recovery phase: GPR vs. standard recovery
Figures 2, 3, 4 and 5 show the modifications of heart rate, respiratory frequency and peripheral oxygen saturation and lactate concentration during the two protocols (GPR vs. No GPR). The analysis of HR showed no significant differences (Figure 2). As can be noted GPR recovery was a significantly higher drop in respiratory rate (Figure 3). This behavior was more pronounced for respiratory rate, reaching a significant value after 6 min of GPR stimulation with respect to baseline (12.2±4.15 min-1 vs. 19.4±0.4 min-1; p<0.001) while it increased at the end of the GPR section (13.0±1.17 min-1 vs. 15.2±3.43 min-1; p=0.06) to reach a value almost superimposable to baseline. On the opposite during the No GPR recovery the decrease in respiratory rate was more gradual and the final value was still higher with respect to baseline (15.1±3.4 min-1 vs. 13.3±1.34 min-1). The peripheral oxygen saturation (Figure 4) significantly increased 6 and 13 minutes after peak exercise in both recovery protocols (No GPR: 97.0% ±0.16% vs. 96.6% ±0.81, p<0.001; GPR: 99.0%±0.16% vs. 96.6%±0.77%, p<0.001) but in the GPR section subjects reached an average level above the baseline (97.9%±1.60%, p<0.001) and significantly higher the standard recovery level (p<0.001). However, the most striking result was the drop in lactate levels after the 13 minutes recovery period that reached baseline levels in almost all the volunteers as clearly depicted by Figure 5 (2.4±0.2 vs. 2.4±0.3, p=n.s. with GPR). On the contrary, during the No GPR test the assessment after 13 minutes rest lactate concentration presented no significant changes with respect to the 2 minutes post-stress sampling (15.7±2.5 vs. 16.2±2.3 with No GPR and 2.4±0.2 vs. 16.2±2.4 with GPR; equivalent to an average decrease of 2.9±7.6% vs. 84.5±3.5%, p<0.001).

Discussion
This study was aimed at analyzing the effect of a novel approach to proprioceptive stimulation on post-exertional recovery after a strenuous exercise in a group of athletes involved in long-lasting maximal aerobic and anaerobic exercise. Recovery under six min of GPR stimulation was associated with an overall improvement in the recorded parameters with a significantly higher decrease in post-exertion respiratory rate, and increase of SpO2. According to these findings, thirteen minutes of GPR stimulation seems to almost completely recover Lactate concentration. Previous studies on the effects of proprioceptive stimulation during/after exercise focused on the devices for WBV. Typically, WBV investigations have been used for short durations, with cumulative exposure of up to 15 min, to enhance exercise level, and to investigate influences on the central cardiovascular system showing conflicting results. Other studies have reported additional cardiovascular benefits, even in the absence of significant changes in heart rate and blood flow, in the form of the attenuation of the increases in leg arterial stiffness following acute WBV and reduced blood pressure following repeated WBV exercise sessions. However, the reported effects of WBV on peripheral blood flow velocity (BFV) are inconclusive, with some studies indicating an increase in BFV, and others reporting decreases or no change after vibration. Some authors suggested the role of body temperature increase, while others found no correlation. Notably, the evaluations of BFV after WBV focused on a specific approach to WBV, in the stand-up position, and on lower limbs circulation. The available studies focusing on the effects of WBV on lactate concentrations aimed at evidencing an increase in energetic demand promoted by WBV during maximal
exercise with different results but mainly in favor of this phenomenon thus indicating a possible correlation between lactate concentration, postural stability and balance disorders.\textsuperscript{2,23} It is worth mentioning that in a previous study, the local vibration applied at the lower limbs, enhanced the expression on Vastus lateralis of dihydrolipoamide dehydrogenase, E3 complex of pyruvate dehydrogenase complex (DLD), the gene coding for the pyruvate dehydrogenase complex able to transform pyruvate into acetyl- coenzyme A.\textsuperscript{24} This result was obtained by analyzing the human muscle fibers after vibrational stimulations for three months. In the actual study we observed a significant lactate reduction during WBV recovery with respect to control. Our idea is that the WBV could influence the lactate metabolism and thus produce a faster removal of it. It could be argued that in the noGPR group the lactate removal was slower with respect to many results present in literature describing lactate removal in recovery phase after maximal exertion.\textsuperscript{9,26} However, our result is in accordance with Riganas et al.,\textsuperscript{27} who reported a low decrement (15\%) after 10 min of active recovery at 25\% maximal power output following an exhaustive incremental test. When focusing on lactate concentration during post-exercise recovery, the use of WBV was not associated with any improvement in the two available studies on this topic.\textsuperscript{23,25} Several factors can explain the differences between our findings on GPR stimulation and WBV studies: (a) intensity of GPR is controlled to avoid stimulation of profound structures while soliciting the cutaneous mechanoreceptors, (b) GPR is not limited to a single part of the body (vibrational stimulation is usually limited to the legs), (c) the subject is not in a standing position during GPR since he lies in a relaxed supine position transferring all the gravitational energy through the six stimulating parts without compression of the vascular and lymphatic structures to favour circulation. It's very likely that the quick effects on respiratory rate and peripheral oxygenation deserves further investigations to evaluate additional central effects. We are conscious, however, that the present study albeit being randomized and performed in an homogeneous group of subjects, presents one limitation: the sample size of the population is not large and is restricted to a high level trained group of athletes and not also to pathological subjects. We compared GPR to active recovery without any additional tool. These choices can limit generalization and identification of the precise mechanism for the improvement showed by GPR in post-exertion recovery. However, the study design and the highly homogenous group of patients significantly enhance the reliability of the obtained results. In conclusion, global proprioceptive resonance is a novel promising approach to proprioceptive stimulation with positive effects on post-exercise recovery by improving rapid restoration of respiratory rate, increased SpO\textsubscript{2} and reducing blood lactate concentration. In perspective, the proprioceptive stimulation using body vibrations could have interesting scientific application in the field of rehabilitation.
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