Arabidopsis Hexokinase-Like1 and Hexokinase1 Form a Critical Node in Mediating Plant Glucose and Ethylene Responses
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Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) Hexokinase-Like1 (HKL1) lacks glucose (Glc) phosphorylation activity and has been shown to act as a negative regulator of plant growth. Interestingly, the protein has a largely conserved Glc-binding domain, and protein overexpression was shown previously to promote seedling tolerance to exogenous 6% (w/v) Glc. Since these phenotypes occur independently of cellular Glc signaling activities, we have tested whether HKL1 might promote cross talk between the normal antagonists Glc and ethylene. We show that repression by 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid (ACC) of the Glc-dependent developmental arrest of wild-type Arabidopsis seedlings requires the HKL1 protein. We also describe an unusual root hair phenotype associated with growth on high Glc medium that occurs prominently in HKL1 overexpression lines and in glucose insensitive 2-1 (gin2-1), a null mutant of Hexokinase1 (HXK1). Seedlings of these lines produce bulbous root hairs with an enlarged base after transfer from agar plates with normal medium to plates with 6% Glc. Seedling transfer to plates with 2% Glc plus ACC mimics the high-Glc effect in the HKL1 overexpression line but not in gin2-1. A similar ACC-stimulated, bulbous root hair phenotype also was observed in wild-type seedlings transferred to plates with 9% Glc. From transcript expression analyses, we found that HKL1 and HXK1 have differential roles in Glc-dependent repression of some ethylene biosynthesis genes. Since we show by communoprecipitation assays that HKL1 and HXK1 can interact, these two proteins likely form a critical node in Glc signaling that mediates overlapping, but also distinct, cellular responses to Glc and ethylene treatments.

In order to regulate growth and development, plants need to sense, transmit, and respond to internal hormonal signals as well as diverse environmental stimuli. Sugars generated through photosynthesis act not only as central energy molecules but also as hormone-like signaling molecules that modulate plant growth (Sheen et al., 1999; León and Sheen, 2003; Gibson, 2004). Plant sugar signaling interfaces with classical hormone signaling networks, including abscisic acid (ABA), ethylene, cytokinins, auxin, GAs, and brassinosteroids (Laxmi et al., 2004; Rolland and Sheen, 2005; Hartig and Beck, 2006; Rognoni et al., 2007). In most cases, these interactions have been identified initially by genetic studies, with corresponding proteins and regulatory mechanisms being much more slowly defined.

Plant Glc signaling is closely associated with ABA- and ethylene-mediated processes. Different ABA-deficient mutants (e.g. aba2) or ABA-insensitive mutants (e.g. abid4) have been identified as Glc-sensing mutants in phenotypic screens that monitor seedling development in the presence of high levels of exogenous sugars. This suggests that Glc signaling during early seedling development requires a number of ABA-associated genes and processes (Smeekens, 2000; Rolland et al., 2006). Transcript profiling studies further indicate that ABA and Glc treatments of Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) seedlings affect the expression of about 130 common genes (Li et al., 2006), although the developmental context also can greatly influence the outcome of these processes (Yuan and Wysocka-Diller, 2006; Dekkers et al., 2008). For example, Glc treatment delays ABA catabolism in germinating seeds (Price et al., 2004; Zhu et al., 2009) but promotes ABA biosynthesis in developing seedlings (Cheng et al., 2002). In contrast to the overlapping and sometimes even synergistic interactions between Glc and ABA signaling (Li et al., 2006), Glc and ethylene often act in an antagonistic manner to each other. Some ethylene signaling mutants such as...
*ein2* have a hypersensitive Glc phenotype, while treatment with the ethylene precursor 1-aminoacyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid (ACC) can block the Glc-dependent developmental arrest of wild-type Arabidopsis seedlings (Zhou et al., 1998). Transcript profiling studies have shown that Glc represses a number of genes associated with ethylene biosynthesis (Price et al., 2004; Li et al., 2006). One key regulatory element in the interactions between Glc and ethylene responses is that Glc destabilizes the Ethylene Insensitive3 (EIN3) transcription factor through proteasome-mediated degradation, while ethylene stabilizes EIN3 (Guo and Ecker, 2003; Yanagisawa et al., 2003).

Available experimental evidence indicates that there are multiple Glc-signaling proteins in Arabidopsis, including some hexokinases (AtHXK1 and AtHXK2; Jang et al., 1997) and the regulator of G-protein signaling (AtRGS1; Temple and Jones, 2007). The latter occurs as a single seven-transmembrane protein and is proposed to act as a high [Glc] sensor that modulates AtGPA1 function and somehow represses cell division (Johnston et al., 2007). AtHXK sensors/transducers are thought to act as sensors of relatively lower [Glc] and to somehow affect cell growth (Moore et al., 2003). Arabidopsis HKs show additional complexity, since they occur as a small gene family that encodes three HK isoforms with catalytic activity and three hexokinase-like (HKL) isoforms that lack catalytic activity (Karve et al., 2008). The functions of HKL proteins are poorly understood, but homologs to AtHKL proteins likely occur in all plants (Karve et al., 2010). Their presence as multiple distinct plant lineages implies that there is a selective advantage in maintaining their functions. These proteins might represent a more general paradigm that expressed noncatalytic enzyme homologs have regulatory functions (Fucile et al., 2008; Vandesteene et al., 2010).

Since five of the six Arabidopsis HK gene family members have a largely conserved Glc-binding domain, we have suggested that at least some of the HKL family proteins might also function as Glc sensor proteins (Karve et al., 2008). Initial studies of lines with altered AtHKL1 protein expression revealed that AtHKL1 is a negative regulator of plant growth (Karve and Moore, 2009). The overexpression of AtHKL1 resulted in reduced hypocotyl elongation in seedling assays and in greatly reduced plant growth under long-day conditions. A number of phenotypes of lines that overexpress AtHKL1 in a wild-type background were similar to those reported for an AtHXK1 null mutant, *gin2-1* (Moore et al., 2003). Furthermore, the overexpression of AtHKL1 in *gin2-1* often had no discernible phenotype (Karve and Moore, 2009). Thus, AtHKL1 might act in part as a dominant negative regulator of AtHXK1. Since both AtHXK1 and AtHKL1 occur at mitochondria (Heazlewood et al., 2004; Karve et al., 2008), these two proteins could interact with each other. However, AtHKL1 was neither required nor affected Glc signaling by AtHXK1, as shown by both protoplast and seedling assays (Karve and Moore, 2009). Therefore, some AtHKL1 phenotypes might result from Glc-dependent cross talk with plant hormone synthesis or response pathways.

In this study, we found that the Glc-dependent developmental arrest of *hkl1-1* mutants could not be rescued in the presence of ACC. We then tested whether AtHKL1 might have a role in mediating cross talk between Glc and ethylene signaling pathways. In doing so, we describe an unusual Glc-dependent root hair morphology that is readily observed in Arabidopsis lines that overexpress HKL1 protein and in an HKX1-deficient line, *gin2-1*. Interestingly, in an HKL1 overexpression background, treatment with an ethylene biosynthesis inhibitor blocks this Glc-induced phenotype, while ACC treatment can mimic this morphology. We further discuss a scenario by which AtHKL1 and AtHXK1 form a critical signaling node that affects plant responses to Glc and ethylene.

**RESULTS**

**AtHKL1 Protein Affects Cross Talk between Glc and Ethylene**

Throughout this study, we have used seedlings of different HKL1 transgenic and mutant lines as described previously (Karve and Moore, 2009). These include a knockout line, *hkl1-1*, which is in an ecotype Columbia (Col) background and two different AtHKL1 overexpression (OE) lines: HKL1-HA (for hemagglutinin) in a *gin2-1* background (derived from L *er*; *gin2-1* background (L *er*—OE) and HKL1-FLAG in a *gin2-1* background (derived from *Ler*; line 79; gn-OE). The increased expression of HKL1 in different genetic backgrounds allows the evaluation of HKL1-dependent phenotypes in the presence or absence of the primary Glc sensor/transducer protein, HKX1. Both overexpression lines and *gin2-1* typically have reduced growth (Supplemental Fig. S1; Karve and Moore, 2009). Since several previously described phenotypes of these transgenic and mutant lines could involve ethylene responses, we tested whether ACC can influence the Glc repression response of seedling growth among some of these lines. As described previously (Zhou et al., 1998), we also observed that the developmental arrest of wild-type Arabidopsis seedlings grown on agar plates with 6% Glc was blocked when the growth medium included 50 μM ACC (Fig. 1). That is, ACC treatment resulted in both Col and Ler seedlings having more normal growth on plates with 6% Glc, as seen by the green, expanded cotyledons. However, *hkl1-1* seedlings still underwent the Glc-dependent developmental arrest in the presence of ACC (Fig. 1). Therefore, the HKL1 protein is required for the normal antagonistic effect of ACC on this response. As noted previously (Karve and Moore, 2009), seedlings of *gin2-1* and the HKL1 overexpression lines did not undergo developmental arrest on 6% Glc plates. In these cases, ACC treatment still affected growth, as seen by reduced seedling organ sizes and increased hypocotyl diameters (radial swelling). Further experiments showed that the
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HKL1 transgenic and mutant lines had a normal triple response when grown in the dark in the presence of ACC (data not shown; Guzmán and Ecker, 1990). Thus, these data indicate that HKL1 has a role mediating cross talk between some Glc and ethylene growth responses.

Root Hair Development Is Influenced by Cross Talk between Glc and Ethylene

While examining seedling morphology under different Glc growth conditions, we observed an interesting root hair developmental phenotype among some lines. Developing root hairs make a good system to study cell growth, since these are single cells and can be examined with a light microscope without disturbance (Ringli et al., 2005). In our assay, seedlings were grown vertically on agar plates with either 0.5% Suc or 2% Glc under constant light for 7 d. Seedlings then were transferred to different plates with 6% Glc for 4 to 6 d. Newly developed root hairs were examined with a stereomicroscope. Both before and after transfer from either growth condition to 6% Glc, seedlings of Col, hkl1-1, and Ler lines produced slender, tubular root hairs without observable defects in morphology (Fig. 2). Seedlings of Lr-OE, gin2-1, and gn-OE also had normal root hairs when grown on 0.5% Suc. However, when these were grown on plates with 2% Glc, the root hairs appeared thicker than normal, with a considerable bulge at the base. Also in these cases, root hairs were often shorter.

Transfer of seedlings of Lr-OE, gin2-1, and gn-OE from either initial growth condition to 6% Glc resulted in the enhanced formation of basally bulbous root hairs, which often failed to elongate. This response was less rapid when seedlings were transferred from 0.5% Suc plates rather than from 2% Glc plates (6 d versus 4 d). As an osmotic control, we also examined the morphology of new root hairs after seedling transfer from plates with 2% Glc to plates with 6% mannitol (Fig. 2). This treatment did not result in the formation of bulbous root hairs, although there was sometimes a slight enlargement of the base of some root hairs. Thus, the described prominently bulbous root hair phenotype is specifically induced by Glc. Furthermore, the observed growth responses indicate that HKL1 can be a negative regulator of root hair growth on Glc plates, while HXK1 promotes normal root hair growth on Glc plates. Notably, the overexpression of HKL1 in the gin2 background did not have an additive effect on root hair growth.

In Arabidopsis, root hair development and growth are controlled by multiple plant hormones, principally auxin and ethylene (Ringli et al., 2005). Therefore, we tested whether ethylene treatment might affect the Glc-dependent formation of the bulbous root hairs seen in the different HKL1 overexpression and gin2-1 lines. As described above, seedlings were grown in this experiment initially on agar plates with 0.5% Suc or with 2% Glc for 7 d but then were transferred to plates containing the respective carbon source plus 5 μM ACC. Transfer of Col or Ler seedlings to either Suc or Glc plates with ACC resulted in substantially increased numbers and lengths of root hairs (Fig. 3; compare with corresponding control images in Fig. 2). This response for Suc-grown seedlings was observed previously by Tanimoto et al. (1995). Seedlings of both gin2-1 and gn-OE lines also responded to ACC treatment on Suc or Glc plates by producing more root hairs, showing that HXK1 does not have a
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**Figure 1.** ACC blocks the Glc-dependent developmental arrest of wild-type seedlings but not of hkl1-1 seedlings. The bars indicate corresponding parental controls for modified lines. Lr-OE = HKL1 overexpression line 52 in the Ler background; gin2-1 lines. As
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**Figure 2.** Roots of several genotypes formed abnormal root hairs with basal bulges after seedling transfer to agar plates with 6% (w/v) Glc. At least 10 seedlings of each genotype were grown vertically under constant light (30 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹) and with different conditions, as follows: column 1, seedlings were grown continuously on 0.5% (w/v) Suc plates; column 2, seedlings were grown initially for 7 d on 0.5% (w/v) Suc plates and then transferred for 6 d to 6% (w/v) Glc plates; column 3, seedlings were grown continuously on 2% (w/v) Glc plates; column 4, seedlings were grown initially for 7 d on 2% (w/v) Glc plates and then transferred for 4 d to 6% (w/v) Glc plates; column 5, seedlings were grown initially for 7 d on 2% (w/v) Glc plates and then transferred for 6 d to 6% (w/v) mannitol (Man) plates.
role in this response. The hkl1-1 seedlings, though, were less responsive to ACC treatment, in that they produced fewer root hairs on ACC plates with Suc or Glc compared with Col seedlings. Nonetheless, in all of these cases, the root hairs were slender and tube shaped. In contrast to these responses, seedlings of the Lr-OE line produced many bulbous root hairs after transfer to plates with ACC plus either 0.5% Suc or 2% Glc. These bulbous root hairs had a very similar morphology to that seen after transfer to plates with 6% Glc (Fig. 2). These data show that ACC promotes bulbous root hair formation at a relatively lower exogenous sugar level by a process that requires HKL1 protein.

Since the hkl1-1 seedlings were less sensitive to increased root hair formation by ACC (Fig. 3), the formation of bulbous root hairs in the Lr-OE seedlings on ACC plates suggests that the latter might be hypersensitive to ethylene. In this case, blocking ethylene biosynthesis should rescue the root hair phenotype observed after transfer of seedlings to plates with 6% Glc. We tested this possibility by transferring seedlings of all the genotypes from 2% Glc plates to 6% Glc plates with 1 μM 2-amino-ethoxyvinyl-glycine (AVG), an ethylene biosynthesis inhibitor (Yang and Hoffman, 1984). AVG treatment did not alter the normal root hair morphology of Col, Ler, and hkl1-1 seedlings on plates with 6% Glc (Fig. 3). However, AVG treatment of the transferred Lr-OE seedlings resulted in the formation of rather normal, slender root hairs that were similar to those of wild-type Ler seedlings. Thus, the role of HKL1 in the formation of bulbous root hairs is due, in part, either to an increase in ethylene levels or, perhaps, an increase in ethylene perception or response. In contrast to this rescue, seedlings of gin2-1 and gn-OE continued to produce similar bulbous root hairs when transferred to 6% Glc plates with AVG. However, AVG blocked the tip-expansion response, resulting instead in rather normal, slender root hairs without bulges. These responses show that even in a different genetic background, cross talk occurs between Glc and ethylene and affects root hair growth.

Since HKL1 affects the sensitivity of root hair growth to Glc and to ethylene, we tested whether increased Glc levels would similarly affect the root hair growth of wild-type seedlings. In this experiment, Col seedlings were transferred from 2% Glc plates to plates with 7%, 8%, or 9% Glc, all with or without ACC. In the absence of ACC, root hair morphology was rather normal on 7% Glc, showed basal bulge formation on 9% Glc, and had an intermediate morphology on 8% Glc (Fig. 4A). However, after transfer to 6% Glc plates, the eto2-1 seedlings produced substantially greater numbers of root hairs when grown on plates with 2% Glc, although the individual root hair morphology was normal (Fig. 4A). However, after transfer to 6% Glc plates, the eto2-1 seedlings did produce unusual root hairs that had an expanded tip rather than an expanded base. Furthermore, transfer of eto2-1 seedlings to 6% Glc plates with AVG blocked the tip-expansion response, resulting instead in rather normal, slender root hairs without bulges. These responses show that even in a different genetic background, cross talk occurs between Glc and ethylene and affects root hair growth.

Since HXK1 acts downstream of ethylene and HKL1 in this response.

We next tested whether the formation of the bulbous root hairs might involve either ethylene signaling or ethylene production by examining the root hair development of two ethylene response mutants, ein2-1 and eto2-1. The former line is a strong ethylene signaling mutant (Alonso et al., 1999), and the latter is an ethylene overproduction mutant (Vogel et al., 1998). Seedlings of ein2-1 made very few root hairs when grown on 2% Glc plates or after transfer to 6% Glc plates (Fig. 4A). These root hairs were tubular, though relatively short, indicating that ethylene signaling likely has a role in the bulbous root hair phenotype. In contrast, seedlings of eto2-1 produced substantially greater numbers of root hairs when grown on plates with 2% Glc, although the individual root hair morphology was normal (Fig. 4A). However, after transfer to 6% Glc plates, the eto2-1 seedlings did produce unusual root hairs that had an expanded tip rather than an expanded base. Furthermore, transfer of eto2-1 seedlings to 6% Glc plates with AVG blocked the tip-expansion response, resulting instead in rather normal, slender root hairs without bulges. These responses show that even in a different genetic background, cross talk occurs between Glc and ethylene and affects root hair growth.

Since HKL1 affects the sensitivity of root hair growth to Glc and to ethylene, we tested whether increased Glc levels would similarly affect the root hair growth of wild-type seedlings. In this experiment, Col seedlings were transferred from 2% Glc plates to plates with 7%, 8%, or 9% Glc, all with or without ACC. In the absence of ACC, root hair morphology was rather normal on 7% Glc, showed basal bulge formation on 9% Glc, and had an intermediate morphology on 8% Glc (Fig. 4A). The combination treatment of 9% Glc + ACC enhanced the formation of bulbous root hairs. These effects were not observed for Col seedlings transferred to equal levels of mannitol, although the increased mannitol inhibited root growth (Fig. 4). These data demonstrate that even in wild-type seedlings, Glc can act synergistically with ethylene to promote the formation of bulbous root hairs.

**HKL1 and HXK1 Can Influence the Expression of Some Ethylene Metabolism Genes**

Transcript profiling experiments have shown that Glc represses the expression of a number of genes involved in ethylene metabolism, including those encoding ACC synthase, ACC oxidase, and ethylene biosynthesis inhibitors. In contrast, AVG treatment of the transferred Lr-OE seedlings resulted in the formation of rather normal, slender root hairs that were similar to those of wild-type Ler seedlings. Thus, the role of HKL1 in the formation of bulbous root hairs is due, in part, either to an increase in ethylene levels or, perhaps, an increase in ethylene perception or response. In contrast to this rescue, seedlings of gin2-1 and gn-OE continued to produce similar bulbous root hairs when transferred to 6% Glc plates with AVG. However, AVG blocked the tip-expansion response, resulting instead in rather normal, slender root hairs without bulges. These responses show that even in a different genetic background, cross talk occurs between Glc and ethylene and affects root hair growth.

**Figure 3.** The abnormal root hair phenotype of Lr-OE occurs also by seedling transfer to plates with ACC. At least 10 seedlings of each genotype were grown vertically under constant light (30 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹) and with different conditions, as follows: column 1, seedlings were grown initially for 4 d on 0.5% Suc plates and then transferred for 4 d to 2% Suc plates with ACC; column 2, seedlings were grown initially for 4 d on 0.5% Suc plates and then transferred for 4 d to 2% Suc plates with 5 μM ACC; column 3, for comparison and as a control, seedlings were grown as in Figure 2, initially for 7 d on 2% Suc plates with ACC and then transferred for 4 d to 6% Suc plates; column 4, seedlings were grown initially for 4 d on 2% Suc plates with 1 μM AVG and then transferred for 4 d to 6% Suc plates with 1 μM AVG.
in ethylene metabolism and ethylene signaling (Price et al., 2004). Therefore, we examined whether some of this regulation might involve HKL1 or HXK1. For this experiment, seedlings were grown in liquid culture, challenged with 2% Glc, and examined by quantitative reverse transcription (qRT)-PCR for transcript abundance of most of the candidate genes identified by Price et al. (2004; see Table 2). Glc repression of the positive control Trehalose 6-Phosphate Synthase8 (At1g70290) was observed in both Col and Ler, requiring the presence of HXK1 but not HKL1, as shown previously (Fig. 5; Karve and Moore, 2009). The expression data confirm that wild-type Col and Ler showed strong Glc repression of several genes for ethylene metabolism (Fig. 5): ACS7, DOG, and ACO mRNA. In the hkl1-1 line, Glc treatment did not repress DOG and ACO mRNA but did repress ACS7 mRNA. In the HKL1 Lr-OE background, DOG mRNA was very slightly induced, although both ACS7 and ACO mRNAs were moderately repressed. Like wild-type Ler, Glc treatment repressed ACO and ACS7 mRNAs in gin2-1 seedling. However, unlike Ler seedlings, Glc treatment did not affect DOG mRNA level. Although Glc treatment repressed ACS7 mRNA strongly in gn-OE seedlings, ACO and DOG mRNA levels were not significantly affected. Interestingly, transcriptional responses of Glc to EIN3 (At3g20770) and Ethylene Insensitive3-Like1 (EIL1; At2g20750) mRNA were not affected among the different genotypes (Fig. 5). These responses of the two mutants do indicate that HKL1 and HXK1 have roles in the Glc repression of some genes associated with ethylene metabolism.

**DISCUSSION**

In Arabidopsis, the Glc response phenotypes of a number of mutants have been linked to the dysfunction of different proteins in the ethylene signaling pathway. For example, the ethylene-insensitive mutants ctr1, ein2, and ein3 are hypersensitive to exogenous Glc, while the ethylene-hypersensitive mutants eto1 and ctr1 display a Glc-insensitive, or gin, phenotype (Zhou et al., 1998; Cheng et al., 2002; Yanagisawa Figure 4. Seedling root hair growth of ethylene mutants ein2-1 and eto2-1 and wild-type Col on plates with different media. A, Continuous growth of ethylene mutants on 2% (w/v) Glc plates or after seedling transfer to plates with 6% (w/v) Glc with or without 1 μM AVG. B, Wild-type Col seedlings were grown initially for 7 d on 2% (w/v) Glc plates and then transferred for 4 d to plates with 7% Glc, 7% Glc + 50 μM ACC, or 7% mannitol. C, Wild-type Col seedlings were transferred to plates with 8% Glc, 8% Glc + 50 μM ACC, or 8% mannitol. D, Wild-type Col seedlings were transferred to plates with 9% Glc, 9% Glc + 50 μM ACC, or 9% mannitol.
In many cases, mutants or transgenics that display a gin phenotype also have a dwarf growth phenotype (Leon and Sheen, 2003), including ctr1, gin2-1 (under high light), and HKL1 overexpression lines (under long photoperiods; Kieber et al., 1993; Karve et al., 2003). Even though HKL1 lacks catalytic activity and does not directly modulate Glc signaling, its Glc-binding domain is largely conserved and HKL1 overexpression lines have Glc-dependent growth phenotypes (Figs. 1 and 2; Karve et al., 2008; Karve and Moore, 2009). Based on the following information, we suggest that the primary function of HKL1 is to mediate cross talk between plant Glc and ethylene responses. (1) HKL1 is required for ethylene-dependent repression of the gin phenotype (Fig. 1). This repression also requires ETR1, EIN2, and other positive effectors of ethylene signaling (León and Sheen, 2003). (2) HKL1 is required for ethylene-induced formation of ectopic root hairs (Figs. 2 and 3). The stimulation of root hair formation is a normal response to ACC treatment, which is mediated ultimately by auxin (Vandenbussche and Van Der Straeten, 2007). (3) HKL1 promotes the Glc-dependent formation of bulbous root hairs by a process that is attenuated by treatment with AVG (Figs. 2 and 3). AVG is a well-established inhibitor of ACC synthases and other pyridoxal-phosphate-utilizing enzymes (Yang and Hoffman, 1984). ACC treatment of even wild-type seedlings at very high levels of Glc can phenocopy this root hair growth response mediated by HKL1 (Fig. 4). (4) The HKL1 expression level was previously shown to modulate hypocotyl elongation at low light (Karve and Moore, 2009). Elongation was enhanced in hkl1-1 but reduced in HKL1 overexpression lines. Ethylene is well recognized for modulating organ elongation growth (Vandenbussche and Van Der Straeten, 2007), but the nature of this effect depends on the tissue context (Pierik et al., 2006). The hypocotyl growth responses seen previously in the overexpression lines are consistent with the idea that HKL1 is a positive effector of the ethylene repression of elongation. This also might account for the dwarf phenotype associated with HKL1 overexpression

Figure 5. The influence of Glc treatment on the expression of genes related to ethylene metabolism in HKL1 transgenic lines and mutants. Seedlings were grown initially in liquid MS medium, prior to dark adapting in sugar-free medium, followed by 8 h of treatment under light with or without 2% (w/v) Glc. qRT-PCR was used to determine seedling transcript levels of candidate genes identified previously by transcriptional profiling (Price et al., 2004): ACS7 (for ACC synthase 7; At4g26200), DOG (the homolog to tomato E8 dioxygenase protein; At5g26740), ACO (for ACC oxidase; At1g12010), EIN3 (At3g20770), and EIL (At2g27050). Transcript abundance of TPS8 (for trehalose 6-phosphate synthase 8; At1g70290) was monitored as a positive Glc-repressible control, and UBQ5 (At1g62250) was monitored as an internal control. The bars represent relative fold change relative to the respective control genotypes. Error bars represent SE of two independent replicate samples.

Figure 6. Differentially tagged HXK1 and HKL1 can interact with each other by coimmunoprecipitation assay. Maize mesophyll protoplasts were transfected with the indicated combinations of AtHXK1-HA (approximately 54 kD), AtHKL1-GFP (approximately 82 kD), and/or yeast HXK2-HA (YHK2-HA; approximately 54 kD). Newly made proteins were labeled with [35S]Met and then pulled down using anti-HA antibody (H) or anti-GFP antibody (G). The negative control (Neg Control) was protoplasts transfected with an empty vector and then subjected to pull-down assay with the antibodies.
(Karve and Moore, 2009). (5) An increased HKL1 expression level was previously shown to attenuate auxin-induced lateral root formation (Karve and Moore, 2009). Recent experiments have shown that ethylene signaling perturbs auxin-induced lateral root formation by altering the root transport of auxin (Negi et al., 2008). Therefore, this HKL1 overexpression phenotype could be associated with enhanced ethylene signaling. (6) The absence of HKL1 prevents Glc-dependent repression of an Arabidopsis homolog of the tomato (Solanum lycopersicum) E8 protein, DOG (Fig. 5). While the function of this dioxygenase has not been defined, the tomato E8 protein is related to ACC oxidases, lacks their catalytic activity, but somehow is a negative regulator of ACC and ethylene accumulation (Giovannoni, 2004). We speculate that repression by HKL1 of the Arabidopsis E8 protein homolog might promote ethylene accumulation and thereby contribute to some of the apparent ethylene hyper-response phenotypes shown by HKL1 overexpression lines. Overall, the described phenotypes identify HKL1 as a regulatory protein that, under conditions of elevated Glc, can attenuate plant growth by promoting plant responses to ethylene.

The major interactions between Glc, ethylene, HXK1, and HKL1 can be summarized in a model (Fig. 7). The model suggests that HKL1 can interact with HXK1. Presumably, this occurs at mitochondria, but whether this is a dynamic association is not known. Since HKL1 does not occur in nuclei, this could partly explain its lack of regulation of markers of HXK1-dependent gene expression in sugar response assays (Karve and Moore, 2009). Also, it is not known whether the recognized role of HKL1 in promoting root hair development and seedling arrest occurs by transcriptional or posttranscriptional processes. Nonetheless, the model accounts for the fact that HKL1 attenuates these responses, as does ethylene. The inhibitory role of ethylene toward these two Glc-dependent growth responses requires its signal transduction through EIN3 (Rolland et al., 2006). The current data are most simply accounted for by suggesting that this signaling output from ethylene also requires HKL1. Our model also suggests that HXK1 and HKL1 somehow directly regulate the expression of some ethylene biosynthesis genes. Glc is known to transcriptionally repress certain genes associated with ethylene biosynthesis (Price et al., 2004; Li et al., 2006) and to rapidly block the induction of ACC oxidase activity in treated tomato pericarp (Hong et al., 2004). Our gene expression data support a role of HXK1 and HKL1 in this Glc-dependent regulation (Fig. 5), but this aspect does require much more experimental work to establish its significance. The model also indicates that ethylene modulation of HKL1 or HXK1 could, in principle, form a feedback loop to influence its synthesis. While ethylene commonly inhibits its own biosynthesis in vegetative tissue (Argueso et al., 2007), we merely note that HXK1 could have a role in this feedback by repressing ACO expression.

The role of Glc in root hair growth is interesting to consider. In Arabidopsis, root hair growth is composed of five major phases: epidermal cell fate determination, initiation and site selection for outgrowth of the cell wall, protrusion of the epidermal cell wall, initiation of slow tip growth, and finally, rapid tip growth to full size (Dolan et al., 1994; Schiefelbein, 2000). While ethylene is thought to affect all stages of root hair development (Ringli et al., 2005), our experiments demonstrate that Glc specifically influences the formation of the basal bulge that occurs during the initial phase of root epidermal cell wall protrusion (Figs. 2–4). This abnormal expansion of the root hair base occurred in a Glc-dependent fashion in gin2-1, in both lines that over-express AtHKL1 (Lr-OE and gn-OE), and even in wild-type Arabidopsis at very high Glc concentrations.

While HKL1 is a positive effector of root hair bulge formation and HXK1 is a negative effector, their mode of action is not clear. There are many described Arabidopsis mutants in root hair formation that impact all five of the major developmental events (Grierson et al., 2001). Among the mutants that have an expanded basal portion of the root hair, many are involved in cell wall synthesis and modifications, as part of the metabolic architecture by which cells implement root hair growth. These include rld1 (UDP-Glc 4-epimerase; Schiefelbein and Somerville, 1990), prcl (cellulose synthase6; Fagard et al., 2000), tip1 (S-acyl transferase; Hemsley et al., 2005), lrx1 (LRR-extension1, Baumberger et al., 2003), and, in a related sense, der1 (actin2; Gilliland et al., 2002; Ringli et al., 2002). Notably, a number of these proteins function in other stages of root hair growth or even elsewhere in the plant. The metabolic dysfunction associated with the bulbous root hair phenotype is evident also after seedling treatment with an arabinogalactan protein-binding reagent, which phenocopies this morphology (Willats and Knox, 1996; Ding and Zhu, 1997). These studies support the view that Glc-dependent formation of a root hair bulge is the result of excessive localized weakening of the epidermal cell wall.
Glc acting through HKL1 or HXK1 could affect cell wall metabolism, since profiling experiments show that the abundance of many cell wall gene transcripts is affected by Glc treatment (Price et al., 2004). However, in contrast to the numerous metabolic mutants associated with root hair bulge formation, fewer mutants have been described that are associated with the cellular control of growth of the root hair base. COBRA encodes a glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored extracellular protein that somehow orients cell expansion growth (Benfey et al., 1993; Roudier et al., 2005). MRH3 encodes an inositol-1,4,5-triphosphate phosphatase protein that has a role in establishing the basal width of root hairs (Jones et al., 2006). In general, these phosphatases terminate inositol triphosphate signaling, with some also having roles in sugar sensing (Ananieva et al., 2008). Another signaling protein with an associated role in basal root hair morphology is Rop2 GTPase. When overexpressed as a constitutively active form (CA-rop2), this can result in increased basal swelling of root hairs (Jones et al., 2002). This effect can be further enhanced by a mutation in MRH2 (a kinesin protein) in the CA-rop2 background (Yang et al., 2007). HKL1 and HXK1 might be involved in some of these processes, since increased Suc concentrations have been noted as enhancing the bulbous root hair phenotype in both act2-1 (1% Suc; Gilliland et al., 2002) and CA-rop2 (5% Suc; Yang et al., 2007). This sugar effect is interesting, since increased Suc would likely increase the availability of cellular Glc as a signaling molecule and since both Glc and Rop2 extensively modulate the actin cytoskeleton (Fu et al., 2002; Balasubramanian et al., 2007). In general, actin filaments are involved in root hair expansion, while microtubules are required for the directional orientation of the root hair (Sieberer et al., 2005). From a cellular control viewpoint, ethylene is a key regulator of root hair growth, but its mode of action is not entirely clear. Ethylene has been shown to suppress root hair bulging in nhd1 through a branch of ethylene signaling that involves an alternate receptor to ETR1 and an alternate transcription factor cascade to EIN3 (Seifert et al., 2004). This is different from its synergistic role with Glc that have been observed in strongly promoting root hair bulging in the Lr-OE line (Fig. 3). From the available evidence, we suggest that HKL1 is a control protein that modulates root hair growth.

Several previously described Arabidopsis phenotypes associated with altered HKL1 expression indicate that HKL1 might act as a dominant negative effector of HXK1 function (Karve and Moore, 2009). However, the present differentiation among root hair phenotypes and among even limited gene expression characteristics between HKL1 transgenic lines and gin2-1 indicate that, at least in these regards, HKL1 does not function strictly in this manner. For example, the function of HKL1 in root hair formation could represent a different branch for Glc and ethylene cross talk than the one that involves HXK1, because the root hairs of gin2-1 did not respond similarly to those of Lr-OE after ACC or AVG treatment (Fig. 3). Rather, since both proteins are located predominantly at the mitochondria and since they can potentially interact (Fig. 6), we suggest that these proteins form a critical node as an important junction in the transduction of a Glc signal. As defined by Taniguchi et al. (2006) in studies of the insulin signaling pathway, a critical node in a signaling pathway must fulfill three criteria. First, a critical node contains a group of related proteins in which two or more have a unique biological role within the signaling network. This criterion is clearly met by the differential, and opposite, effects of HKL1 and HXK1 expression on plant growth. Second, a critical node is subject to both positive and negative regulation. This criterion is less well supported for HKL1 and HXK1 at present, largely due to the lack of studies in this area. However, the recent identification of SIS3, a ubiquitin E3 ligase, as a positive effector of sugar signaling could be important in this context (Huang et al., 2010). Nonetheless, since the outputs from a possible HXK1-HKL1 signaling node can have either positive or negative effects on plant growth responses, it is reasonable to presume that the cellular activation of either, or both, also involves contrasting control inputs. Third, a critical node is a junction for potential cross talk. This criterion is well supported now with the recognition that both HKL1 and HXK1 influence tissue ethylene responses and that both proteins are widely expressed in Arabidopsis (Karve et al., 2008), as is the capacity for ethylene biosynthesis (Argueso et al., 2007). In the case of the insulin signaling pathway in mammals, the first of several critical nodes includes the different insulin receptor substrate proteins, which act to diversify response outputs. We are not aware of this concept having yet been applied to plant signaling networks, but this appears to be valid for HXK1 and HKL1 and might ultimately extend to some other HKX gene family members as well (Jang et al., 1997; Karve et al., 2008). One possible biochemical mechanism for HXK1 and HKL1 having diversified response outputs could occur if the two proteins are found to have different Glc-binding affinities, as we have suggested previously (Karve et al., 2008). Nonetheless, we surmise that the hexokinase node for plant Glc signaling is critical also, since protein homologs of both HXK1 and HKL1 likely are widespread among higher plants (Karve et al., 2010).

CONCLUSION

Plant responses to Glc are often antagonistic to responses to ethylene. Previous work links both the perception of ethylene and its transduction through EIN3 with the perception of Glc and its transduction by HXK1. By taking a functional genomics approach, we have shown that HKL1, which is a noncatalytic homolog of HXK1, is a positive effector of some plant Glc and ethylene interactions. In the future, it will be interesting to establish the targets and mechanisms by which HKL1 mediates at least certain aspects of cross talk between Glc and ethylene response pathways.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Material

Seeds of Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) Col-0, Ler, and hkl1-1 were obtained from the Arabidopsis Biological Resource Center (Ohio State University). Seeds of gin2-1 were as described previously (Moore et al., 2003). The HKL1-HA and HKL1-FLAG overexpression lines were generated in the Ler and gin2-1 backgrounds and were designated Ler-OE and gin-OE, respectively (Karve and Moore, 2009). Two independent homologous lines of each type were used in all the experiments, with data reported for Ler-OE line 52 and gin-OE line 79. Seeds of Arabidopsis eto2-1 and eto2-2 were a generous gift from Dr. J.-C. Jang. Seeds of maize (Zea mays) were purchased (line FR922 × FR967; Seed Genetics).

Plant Growth Conditions

Arabidopsis seeds were surface sterilized and stratified for 2 d at 4°C as described by Jang et al. (1997) and were grown on 1× Murashige and Skoog (MS) agar plates (basal medium modified with Gamborg vitamins; Phyto-Technology Laboratories) containing 0.7% (w/v) phytagar, pH 5.7, and different carbon sources under constant light (30 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹). For Glc repression assays, seedlings were grown on 1× MS plates with 6% (w/v) Glc for 7 d under constant light. In some experiments, plate media were supplemented with 30 μM ACC (Sigma-Aldrich) or 1 μM AVG (Sigma-Aldrich). To evaluate Glc-dependent regulation of candidate gene expression, seedlings were grown in a liquid culture medium. For this, 15 to 20 stratified seeds were placed in 125-mL flasks containing 50 mL of 1/2 MS basal medium supplemented with 2% (w/v) Glc. Seedlings were treated under different carbon sources under constant light (30 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹) were transferred to agar plates typically with 6% (w/v) Glc or 6% (w/v) mannitol for 24 h in the dark while shaking and subsequently transferred to fresh sugar-free medium (control) or to medium supplemented with 2% (w/v) Glc. Seedlings were treated under constant light with shaking for 8 h and then harvested by quickly blotting with filter paper before freezing in liquid N₂.

ASSay for Root Hair Development

Seedlings were initially grown on 1/2 MS plates with 5% (w/v) Suc or 2% (w/v) Glc under constant light (30 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹). Seven-day-old seedlings were transferred to agar plates typically with 6% (w/v) Glc or 6% (w/v) mannitol for 4 to 6 d. In some experiments, seedlings were transferred to sugar plates with 5 μM ACC or 1 μM AVG. In one experiment, Col and mutant seedlings were transferred as indicated from plates with 2% (w/v) Glc to plates with 6% to 9% (w/v) Glc, sometimes including 1 μM AVG. Newly developed seedling root hairs were imaged directly from the agar plates using a Nikon SMZ1500 stereomicroscope with a MicroPublisher CCD cooled color camera and Image Pro Plus version 5.0 software.

RNA Isolation and qRT-PCR Analysis

The relative expression levels of several candidate shade-response genes were compared across treatments using qRT-PCR. Total RNA was isolated from whole seedlings using the RNeasy plant kit (Qiagen). Following DNA extraction, an on-column DNase I treatment (Sigma-Aldrich) was performed according to the provided protocol to remove any potential genomic DNA contamination. One microgram of DNase-free RNA was used for cDNA synthesis using SuperScript III First-Strand Synthesis SuperMix for qRT-PCR (Invitrogen) according to the protocol provided. Amplification reactions (20.0 μL) were carried out using iQ SYBR Green Supermix with 6-carboxy-X-rhodamine according to the instructions provided by Bio-Rad Laboratories. Each reaction contained a cDNA template (1.0 μL), SYBR Green Supermix (10 μL), sterile water (9 μL), and the appropriate forward and reverse primer mix (10 μM each; 1.0 μL). All PCR amplifications were performed in triplicate on the RNA extracted from the tissue of two independent biological experiments. The amplification was carried out using the StepOne Plus Real Time PCR detection system (Applied Biosystems) with the following amplification conditions: 5 min at 95°C, 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 s, 60°C for 20 s, and 72°C for 20 s; 1 min at 95°C, 80 cycles at 60°C for 10 s with a temperature increase of 0.3°C after each cycle, and then a hold 4°C until the plates were removed from the machine. The PCR primer sequences for the candidate genes were generated using the Artemis primer database (Han and Kim, 2006). The cDNA levels were normalized by an endogenous control gene, Arabidopsis Ubiquitin1 (UBQ5). The primer sequences and amplicon sizes of the candidate genes and control UBQ5 are reported in Supplemental Table S1.

Relative mRNA abundance was calculated using the method of Pfaffl (2001). For each cDNA, three technical replicates were performed and the values averaged. The efficiency of each primer pair was assessed by use of a dilution series. Across the biological replicates, threshold cycles for all products from the cDNAAs fell within the valid range of the standard curves.

35S Labeling and Protein Interaction Assay by Coimmunoprecipitation

Protoplasts from greening maize leaves were transfected with 6 to 10 μg of esculin chloride-purified plasmids containing HKL1-GFP, HKX1-HA, or yeast HKX2-HA (HVK2; Moore et al., 2003; Karve et al., 2008) as described previously. Following transfection, protoplasts were incubated in the dark for 90 min, then [35S]Met (25 μCi; Perkin-Elmer) was added for 8 h. Transfection efficiencies were routinely greater than 60% as determined using WRKY-GFP (Balasubramanian et al., 2007). Harvested protoplasts were lysed and resuspended as described previously (Balasubramanian et al., 2007). Anti-HA (Roche) or anti-GFP (Sigma-Aldrich) antibodies were used with proteins A-agarose beads (Roche) for immunoprecipitation assays (Karve et al., 2008). Washed beads were resuspended in 2× SDS sample buffer, and proteins then were separated on 10% (w/v) SDS-PAGE gels and visualized by fluorography.

Supplemental Data

The following materials are available in the online version of this article.

Supplemental Figure S1. Seedling growth of HKL1 overexpression lines and gin2-1.

Supplemental Figure S2. Differentially tagged HKX1 and HKL1 can interact with each.

Supplemental Table S1. List of primers used in qRT-PCR analysis.
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