System size dependent topological zero modes in coupled topolectrical chains
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In this paper, we demonstrate the emergence and disappearance of topological zero modes (TZMs) in a coupled topolectrical (TE) circuit lattice. Specifically, we consider non-Hermitian TE chains in which TZMs do not occur in the individual uncoupled chains, but emerge when these chains are coupled by inter-chain capacitors. The coupled system hosts TZMs which show size-dependent behaviours and vanish beyond a certain critical size. In addition, the emergence or disappearance of the TZMs in the open boundary condition (OBC) spectra for a given size of the coupled system can be controlled by modulating the signs of its inverse decay length. Analytically, trivial and non-trivial phases of the coupled system can be distinguished by the differing ranks of their corresponding Laplacian matrix. The TE circuit framework enables the physical detection of the TZMs via electrical impedance measurements. Our work establishes the conditions for inducing TZMs and modulating their behavior in coupled TE chains.

I. INTRODUCTION

One of the most interesting phenomena in condensed matter system is the discovery of novel topologically protected states such as edge states [1, 2], zero-energy modes [3, 4], corner modes [5, 6] and hinge modes [7, 8] in various Hermitian systems. These topologically protected states constitute a new basis for diverse physical phenomena [4, 9, 10] and various related applications [11, 12] because of their many interesting characteristics such as their robustness against system disorders and perturbations. The topologically non-trivial phases in such Hermitian systems are characterized by Bloch wave-vectors that respect the usual bulk-boundary correspondence (BBC), and their band spectra under open boundary conditions (OBC) in the limit of infinite system size are identical to that under periodic boundary conditions (PBC). The introduction of non-Hermiticity, for example in the form of non-reciprocal couplings [22–24], may result in the emergence of drastic differences between the OBC and PBC spectra and the breakdown of the usual BBC and of the Bloch theorem [25, 26]. Several non-Hermitian systems have been realized in various platforms ranging from topolectrical [22, 23, 26], photonics [16, 18], and acoustic [19, 20] systems, as well as superconductors [21] and metamaterials [22, 23]. In such systems, the wavefunctions are localized in the vicinity of the system boundaries under OBC, a phenomenon known as the non-Hermitian skin effect (NHSE) [38, 56–66].

Interestingly, the introduction of coupling between two non-Hermitian chains with dissimilar degree of non-Hermiticity fundamentally alters the topological character of the coupled system [64]. It was recently found that coupled non-Hermitian chains exhibit scale-free exponential wavefunctions [65] and the critical NHSE (CNHSE) [58, 60] in which the wavefunctions and eigenvalues experience a discontinuous transition as the system size is increased beyond some critical point. However, much remains to be understood regarding the evolution of the topological edge modes in such coupled non-Hermitian systems including their dependence on system size.

In the following, we demonstrate via numerical and analytical results the emergence of topologically protected zero modes (TZM) in a coupled non-Hermitian topolectrical (TE) circuit chain consisting of inductors, capacitors, and op-amps. We found that, under some specific combination of inter-chain hopping and non-Hermiticity parameters, TZMs can emerge in the coupled system even when the individual chains do not host any TZMs. Conversely, when the individual chains are tuned to reside in the non-trivial regimes, a finite inter-chain coupling can result in size-dependent zero modes that persist up to critical system size and then vanish abruptly upon further increase in the system size. Analytically, the emergence and disappearance of the TZMs via inter-chain coupling can be predicted by evaluating the rank of a matrix constructed from the eigenvectors of the surrogate Hamiltonian of the circuit. Furthermore, in the physical TE circuit realization, the presences of TZMs can be detected by impedance spectral measurements. In summary, we showed the emergence and modulation of TZMs in coupled TE systems by varying either the inter-chain coupling strengths or the system size.
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of the OBC mode.) To do so, we consider the TE circuit lattice that emulates the electronic band structure of dissimilar inverse decay lengths. (The inverse decay length is the imaginary part of the complex wavevector of a coupled non-Hermitian system having skin modes of a single resonant frequency of \( f_r = (2\pi/\sqrt{L_r (C_1 + C_2 + C_c)})^{-1} \) is established via tuning the common inductance \( L_g \), so that the onsite potential is zero at every node.

**RESULTS**

**A. Construction of coupled TE chains that host TZMs**

The pivotal step in inducing TZMs is to construct a TE circuit array shown in Fig. 1a formed by connecting two non-Hermitian chains (top and bottom rows of Fig. 1b) by an inter-chain coupling capacitance \( C_c \). The coupling asymmetry is implemented by negative impedance converter with current inversion (NIC). The two coupling asymmetry is the same as that in the direction from the neighbour to the site.) The coupling asymmetry in the intra-chain segment is realized in the practical circuit via negative impedance converters with current inversion (NICs). The non-Hermiticity parameter \( C_\gamma \) can be modulated so that the eigenmodes of the upper and lower chains would have different inverse decay lengths when \( \eta \neq 1 \). When the parameter \( \eta \) is set to \(-1\), the two chains are in an antisymmetric configuration where the sum of the inverse decay lengths of the two chains is zero. The corresponding Laplacian for the circuit in Fig. 1a (multiplied by \( 1/(i\omega) \)) can be expressed as

\[
(i\omega)^{-1}L(k, \omega_{res}) = \begin{pmatrix}
H_a(k, \omega_{res}) & C_c I_{2 \times 2} \\
C_c I_{2 \times 2} & H_b(k, \omega_{res})
\end{pmatrix},
\]

where

\[
H_a(k, \omega_{res}) = \begin{pmatrix}
(C_1 + C_2 + C_c - \frac{1}{i\omega L_r}) & C_1 + C_\gamma + C_2 \exp(-ik) \\
C_1 - C_\gamma + C_2 \exp(ik) & (C_1 + C_2 + C_c - \frac{1}{i\omega L_r})
\end{pmatrix}
\]

and

\[
H_b(k, \omega_{res}) = \begin{pmatrix}
(C_1 + C_2 + C_c - \frac{1}{i\omega L_r}) & C_1 - \eta C_\gamma + C_2 \exp(-ik) \\
C_1 + \eta C_\gamma + C_2 \exp(ik) & (C_1 + C_2 + C_c - \frac{1}{i\omega L_r})
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

We introduce the corresponding surrogate Hamiltonian to the Laplacian and the non-Bloch factor \( \beta = e^{ik} \) as
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Next, we plot the variation of OBC eigenenergy spectra as a function of \(N\) for various values of the inter-chain couplings strengths (\(C_c\)) corresponding to the nearly decoupled \((C_c = 10^{-7})\), weakly coupled \((C_c = 0.005)\), and strongly coupled \((C_c = 0.3)\) systems. We set \(\eta = 1\), which implies that the coupling strength for hoppings from left to right in the first chain is equal to the coupling strength for the hopping from right to left in the second chain, and vice-versa, i.e., “anti-symmetric coupled chains”.

In nearly decoupled systems with very small \(C_c\) values (on the order of \(10^{-7}\)), the coupled systems host TZMs as long as the OBC spectra lie on the real axis. These real energy spectra with TZMs in the coupled systems occur at relatively small system sizes (see Fig. 3a). In other words, the TZMs survive for system sizes less than some critical \(N = N_{\text{critical}}\). When \(N > N_{\text{critical}}\), the OBC eigen spectra expand into the complex plane and do not exhibit any TZMs (the four-fold degenerate TZMs split and move away from the real axis). Therefore, in the nearly decoupled TE chains, the TZMs vanish beyond a critical system size \([57]\), i.e. they exhibit the non-Hermitian skin effect (CNHSE) (see Fig. 3a). The emergence and disappearance of these peculiar size-dependent TZMs in the coupled TE chains can be characterized by impedance measurements between the two leftmost nodes of Chain A as shown in Fig. 3b. Here, the presence or absence of the TZMs is distinguished by high and low impedance readouts respectively. Furthermore, a sharp transition between the high and low impedance states occurs marks the critical system size corresponding to the CNHSE.

As the magnitude of \(C_c\) increases, the hybridization between the two chains becomes more prominent and the characteristics of the coupled chains deviate drastically from that of the uncoupled chains. For instance, even in the case of weakly coupled chains \((C_c = 0.005)\), the CNHSE transition occurs at a much smaller system size \((N \approx 1)\) for our choice of model parameters (see Fig. 3b). No TZMs exist for \(N > N_{\text{critical}}\), resulting in the low impedance readouts in Fig. 3. When the inter-chain coupling \(C_c\) takes on a significant value (i.e., \(C_c = 0.3\)) which is on the order of the other parameters, the strong hybridization between two chains prevents the emergence of TZMs and the OBC energy spectra become almost independent of system size (see Fig. 3c). The absence of

\[H(\beta) \equiv (i\omega)^{-1}L(k = -i \ln \beta, \omega)\] where \(k\) can now take or imaginary on complex values under OBC. We will show that Eq. 1 represents a coupled TE system that exhibits size-dependent non-trivial boundary states.

**B. System size-dependent topological zero modes**

To first study the OBC of the individual uncoupled chains for comparison. The OBC admittance distributions are plotted at varying system size \(N\) for the individual uncoupled chains \(A\) and \(B\) at \(\eta = 1\), which implies that the coupling strength for hoppings from left to right in the first chain is equal to the coupling strength for the hopping in the reversed in the second chain, and vice-versa, i.e., “anti-symmetric coupled chains”. Following the properties of generic non-Hermitian SSH chains [70, 71], both individual chains host TZMs for the parameter range of \(-\sqrt{C_2^2 + C_\gamma^2} < C_1 < \sqrt{C_2^2 + C_\gamma^2}\). In the limit of large system size, the TZMs of the uncoupled chains are degenerate at zero energy, and their behaviour are independent of the system size (see Fig. 2a, b). However for small system size (i.e. of the order of \(N \approx 4\)), the TZMs become non-degenerate and are no longer pinned at zero energy (see Fig. 2a, b). (The observed behaviour of the TZMs of uncoupled chains are discussed in more detail in the Appendix).

Next, we plot the variation of OBC eigenenergy spectra as a function of \(N\) for various values of the inter-chain couplings strengths (\(C_c\)) corresponding to the nearly decoupled \((C_c = 10^{-7})\), weakly coupled \((C_c = 0.005)\), and strongly coupled \((C_c = 0.3)\) systems. We set \(\eta = 1\), which implies that the coupling strength for hoppings from left to right in the first chain is equal to the coupling strength for the hopping from right to left in the second chain, and vice-versa, i.e., “anti-symmetric coupled chains”.

In nearly decoupled systems with very small \(C_c\) values (on the order of \(10^{-7}\)), the coupled systems host TZMs as long as the OBC spectra lie on the real axis. These real energy spectra with TZMs in the coupled systems occur at relatively small system sizes (see Fig. 3a). In other words, the TZMs survive for system sizes less than some critical \(N = N_{\text{critical}}\). When \(N > N_{\text{critical}}\), the OBC eigen spectra expand into the complex plane and do not exhibit any TZMs (the four-fold degenerate TZMs split and move away from the real axis). Therefore, in the nearly decoupled TE chains, the TZMs vanish beyond a critical system size \([57]\), i.e. they exhibit the non-Hermitian skin effect (CNHSE) (see Fig. 3a). The emergence and disappearance of these peculiar size-dependent TZMs in the coupled TE chains can be characterized by impedance measurements between the two leftmost nodes of Chain A as shown in Fig. 3b. Here, the presence or absence of the TZMs is distinguished by high and low impedance readouts respectively. Furthermore, a sharp transition between the high and low impedance states occurs marks the critical system size corresponding to the CNHSE.

As the magnitude of \(C_c\) increases, the hybridization between the two chains becomes more prominent and the characteristics of the coupled chains deviate drastically from that of the uncoupled chains. For instance, even in the case of weakly coupled chains \((C_c = 0.005)\), the CNHSE transition occurs at a much smaller system size \((N \approx 1)\) for our choice of model parameters (see Fig. 3b). No TZMs exist for \(N > N_{\text{critical}}\), resulting in the low impedance readouts in Fig. 3. When the inter-chain coupling \(C_c\) takes on a significant value (i.e., \(C_c = 0.3\)) which is on the order of the other parameters, the strong hybridization between two chains prevents the emergence of TZMs and the OBC energy spectra become almost independent of system size (see Fig. 3c). The absence of
the TZMs translates into very small impedance measurements for all system size (see Fig. 3). Next, we plot the OBC energy spectra and impedance readout with respect to \( N \) for the general case of \( \eta \neq 1 \), in which the coupling in the two chains is no longer exactly antisymmetric. For the case where \( \eta < 0 \), the \( \ln|\beta| \) values of the eigenstates localized in the two chains \( A \) and \( B \) (i.e., \( \ln|\beta|_{A-chain} = \frac{\ln(1+\eta)}{\ln(\gamma)} \) and \( \ln|\beta|_{B-chain} = \frac{\ln(1-\eta)}{\ln(\gamma)} \)) have the same sign. In this configuration, which we describe as exhibiting “constructive hybridization”, (see [72]), there are well-defined TZMs in the OBC spectra (see Fig. 4b).

Furthermore, the TZMs exist for all values of system size \( N \), and thus the system no longer exhibits CNHSE. However, for the case where \( \eta \) has a positive value (\( \eta \neq 1 \)), i.e., the \( \ln|\beta| \) has opposite signs for the states localized in the two chains, the configuration corresponds to "destructive hybridization" and the TZMs vanish (see Fig. 4d). As before, the presence and absence of TZMs under constructive and destructive hybridization can be distinguished by the relatively large and small impedance measurements, respectively, for a given \( N \) (see Fig. 4-d).

A condition for the existence of a TZM in the thermodynamic limit is that the system of (four) linear equations governing the boundary conditions at the boundary where the TZM is localized (to ensure that the wave-function vanishes at that boundary) is not of full rank [72]. This fact allows a quick analytical method of determining whether the system is topologically non-trivial and hosts TZMs from the number of linearly independent zero admittance eigenvectors among the first eigenvectors with the four smallest magnitudes of \( \beta \). In other words, we evaluate the rank of the matrix constructed from the column-wise concatenation of these zero-admittance eigenvectors. The Hamiltonian of the system would be deemed non-trivial if the rank of the matrix is less than four. Details of this analytical procedure and the rationale for this criterion are presented in the Appendix.

Fig. 5a shows the phase diagram of the system as a function of coupling capacitance \( C_c \) and non-Hermitian and asymmetric coupling parameter \( \eta C_c \) with the other parameters taking the same values as those in Fig. 3a) to (c) and (4a),(b). The ranges of \( C_c \) and \( \eta C_c \) shown encompass those considered in Fig. 3 and 4 for which the positions in the phase diagram corresponding to the plots in these figures are denoted by circles with the corresponding labels. In particular, Fig. 5a shows that the parameter set in Fig. 4a) corresponds to the non-trivial regime. The absence of TZMs at large \( N \) for the parameter set in Fig. 5a) can now be ascribed to the topologically trivial nature of the coupled chains even though the un-
Figure 4. Impedance and zero-mode evolution under OBC for various hybridization scenarios between TE chains. a),b) Evolution of TZM states as a function of system size ($N$) for constructive (i.e., $\eta = -2$) and destructive (i.e., $\eta = 2$) hybridization, respectively. c),d) The variation of impedance $Z$ with respect to system size ($N$) for constructive and destructive hybridization respectively. Other common parameters: $C_1 = 0.83$, $C_2 = 0.6$, $C_c = 0.2$, and $C_\gamma = 0.8$. Note that for this set of parameters, TZMs exist only under condition of constructive hybridization.

coupled chains in the figure are themselves topologically non-trivial. In the nearly decoupled case in Fig. 3a, the topologically non-trivial nature of the uncoupled chains are retained in the coupled chains of short system lengths as shown by the existence of the TZMs. However, when the system size exceeds the critical length corresponding to CNHSE the topologically trivial nature of the coupled chains becomes dominant.

In the cases considered so far, the isolated chain $A$ is always non-trivial. We now set $C_\gamma = 1.6$ so that chain $A$ is now trivial and plot the phase diagram under varying $C_c$ and $\eta C_\gamma$, while retaining the same values for the other parameters, as shown in Fig. 5b. In the region outside the dotted rectangles in Fig. 5b, both of the uncoupled chains $A$ and $B$ are trivial. Interestingly, topologically non-trivial phases can emerge when both of these topologically trivial chains are coupled together, as evidenced by the parameter space in the phase diagram lying outside the dotted rectangles that corresponds to the non-trivial state. As an illustration, we consider the specific case of $C_c = 0.3$ and $\eta C_\gamma = 0.55$, which is denoted by a circle labelled “5c” in Fig. 5b. The admittance spectra for increasing finite lengths of the system are shown in Fig. 5c. Fig. 5d in turn shows the GBZ admittance spectrum obtained as the set of admittance values where the two middle $\beta$ values, arranged in order of increasing magnitude, coincide. Comparing Fig. 5c with 5d, it can be seen that the TZMs marked in orange in the former are absent in the latter. This indicates that the states marked in orange are indeed topological states, which are not captured in the GBZ, unlike the remaining states, which are bulk states.

In conclusion, we show that modulation of the inter-chain $C_c$ and non-Hermitian intra-chain $\eta C_\gamma$ couplings can control the onset or disappearance of topological zero modes (TZMs) in the system. The TZMs in the coupled system exhibits a more varied set of behaviour compared to that of single non-Hermitian chains that have been studied hitherto. Our theoretical results reveal that depending on the specific values of $C_c$, $\eta C_\gamma$ and other capacitive couplings, TZMs can be made to appear or vanish in the coupled system for all possible topological character of the two constituent chains. In other words, TZMs can be absent or present for all scenarios, i.e., when neither, either, or both of the uncoupled chains are topologically non-trivial. The emergence of TZMs in the coupled system when neither of the two constituent chains are topologically non-trivial.
Figure 5. a. Phase diagram of the coupled system with respect to \( C_c \) and \( \eta C_\gamma \). The other parameter values are chosen to be the same as those in Figs. 3 and 4 for which the isolated A chain is topologically non-trivial. The label above each circle denotes the OBC spectra in Figs. 3 and 4 which correspond to. b. Phase diagram of the coupled system over the \( C_c-\eta C_\gamma \) parameter space for the values of the other parameters as in Fig. 5a, except that \( C_\gamma \) is now set to 1.6, so that chain A is now topologically trivial. The circle labelled ”5c” denotes the parameter pair of \((C_c = 0.3, \eta C_\gamma = -0.55)\) for which the admittance spectra are plotted in panels c and d, respectively. The rectangles with the dotted borders indicate the range of \( \eta C_\gamma \) for which chain B is topologically non-trivial. c. The variation of the admittance spectra for \( C_\gamma = 1.6, C_c = 0.3, \eta C_\gamma = -0.55 \) with the system size \( N \). d. The OBC admittance spectrum for the parameter set in c. in the thermodynamic limit (i.e., \( N \to \infty \)) found from the criteria that the admittance values with the middle magnitudes should be coincident. Note the absence of the TZMs highlighted in yellow in panel c here. These results show that topologically zero modes may emerge or vanish in coupled chain systems regardless of the topological character of the two constituent uncoupled chains, i.e. TZMs can exist in the coupled system when neither or either or both of the constituent chains are topologically non-trivial.

implies the key role played by the inter-chain coupling in determining the topological character of the coupled system. Additionally, in the converse case where both the constituent uncoupled chains are topologically non-trivial while the coupled system is topologically trivial, one observes a size-dependent effect in which TZMs persist at small inter-chain coupling strengths and for small system size, but disappear when the system size exceeds a critical limit, a phenomenon known as the critical non-Hermitian skin effect (CNHSE). We devise an analytical method to distinguish the trivial and non-trivial phases of the coupled system by considering the rank of the matrix constructed from zero-admittance eigenvectors of the surrogate Hamiltonian. Based on this analytical method, we plot the modified phase diagram of the coupled system over the \( C_c \) and \( \eta C_\gamma \) parameter space, and elucidate the role of the inter-chain and non-Hermitian couplings in determining the topology of the coupled system. In practice, the trivial and non-trivial phases of the coupled TE chains and their evolution with system size can be distinguished by circuit impedance measurements, with the TZMs being associated with higher impedance readouts. More broadly, our results indicate a practical means to induce TZMs and modulate the topological phase transitions in coupled systems based on the TE circuit platforms.
II. APPENDIX

A. Analytical determination of topological non-triviality

The eigenstates of a non-Hermitian system may be localized at its edges under OBC because of the two distinct mechanisms of the non-Hermitian skin effect or because they are topologically non-trivial edge states. The former requires boundaries to be present at both ends of the system, and occurs only at energies at which the two median values of $|\beta|$ match in the thermodynamic limit. This arises from the requirement that the linear superposition of the PBC eigenstates constituting the OBC eigenstate satisfy the boundary conditions that the wavefunction of the OBC eigenstate vanishes at both boundaries simultaneously. (See our earlier paper for the details [57]).

Another mechanism for the emergence of localized states is when these states are topologically non-trivial. A hallmark of such a topologically non-trivial state is that the system of linear equations for the boundary conditions at the boundary where the state is localized is not of full rank [72]. Here, we describe a quick approach to determine whether a non-Hermitian system with only nearest-neighbor inter-unit cell coupling supports topologically non-trivial states near zero energy. Consider the Hamiltonian for a single uncoupled chain with an arbi-

$$ H(\beta) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & H_{-} \beta^{-1} + t_{+-} \\ H_{+} \beta + t_{-+} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4) $$

where the quantities in bold are $n_{d}$ by $n_{d}$ matrices. We write the time-independent Schrödinger equation for Eq. (4) as

$$ H(\beta) |\Psi\rangle = |\Psi\rangle E \quad (5) $$

where $|\Psi\rangle$ is the (right) eigenstate with energy $E$. The characteristic polynomial for an eigenenergy of 0, $|H(\beta)| = 0$, can be made into a quadratic polynomial in $\beta$. This implies that there are, in general, only two finite values of $\beta$ that will result in $H(\beta)$ having an eigenenergy of zero regardless of the value of $n_{d}$. When $n_{d} > 1$, the system also admits the $\beta$ values of 0 and $\infty$: At $\beta = 0$, (\infty) the term containing $\beta^{-1}$ in Eq. (4) dominates over the remaining terms in Eq. (5), including the $|\Psi\rangle E$ term on the right side of the equal sign and Eq. (5) effectively becomes $H_{+} |\Psi\rangle = 0$ ($H_{-} |\Psi\rangle = 0$). There are $n_{d}-1$ eigenvectors for $\beta = 0$, and another $n_{d} - 1$ eigenvectors for $\beta = \infty$. Denoting the $i$th solution of $H_{\pm} |\Psi\rangle = 0$ as $|\Psi_{i}^{\pm}\rangle$, the $(n_{d}-1) |\Psi_{i}^{\pm}\rangle$s for each of the two signs of $\beta$ in the subscript constitute eigenvectors with the effective values of $\ln |\beta| = \pm \infty$ that are localized at the right (left) edges of the system. For the ease of explanation, let us now consider the simplest example of Eq. (4) where all the bolded quantities are scalars, i.e., the single uncoupled SSH chain described by the Hamiltonian

$$ H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & c_{12} + d_{12}/\beta \\ c_{21} + d_{21}/\beta & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (6) $$

where $c_{12}$ and $c_{21}$ denote the intra-unit cell coupling, and $d_{12}$ and $d_{21}$ the inter-unit cell coupling ($c_{ij}$ and $d_{ij}$ are non-zero parameters). It can be easily found that the eigenstates and $\beta$ values of Eq. (6) at $E = 0$ are

$$ (1,0)^{T} : \beta = 0, \beta = -c_{21}/d_{21}; \quad (0,1)^{T} : \beta = \infty, \beta = -d_{12}/c_{12}. \quad (7) $$

We assume for the moment that $|c_{21}/d_{21}| < 1$, and consider a semi-infinite system that spans from $x = 1, 2, ..., \infty$. In this case, the system can host an edge state $\psi_{\text{left}}(x)$ satisfying the boundary condition that $\psi_{\text{left}}(x = 0) = 0$ given by

$$ \psi_{\text{left}}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} (\delta_{x,0} - (-c_{21}/d_{21})^{2}) \quad (8) $$

where $x$ in an integer (because the Hamiltonian describes a lattice system), and the $\delta_{x,0}$ is the Kronecker (not Dirac) delta due to the localization of the $\beta = 0$ state on the left edge of the system. Note that Eq. (8) is not admissible as an eigenstate of a system with a finite length that has both a left and a right edge, as can be seen from the following: Denoting the length of the finite system as $N$ so that that $x = 1, 2, ..., N$ we see substituting $x \rightarrow (N + 1)$ into Eq. (8) does not satisfy the requirement that the wavefunction vanishes at $x = (N + 1)$ because $\psi_{\text{left}}(N + 1) = (1,0)^{T}(-c_{21}/d_{21})^{N+1}$. Moreover, this remaining bit cannot be canceled off by any linear combination of the remaining two eigenvectors, which are proportional to $(0,1)^{T}$. The formation of edge states that will satisfy the boundary conditions at both edges in finite-length systems therefore requires the energy to be shifted slightly away from $E = 0$ so that the $\beta$ values and eigenvectors in Eq. (7) now become

$$ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \beta = 0; \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \delta a_{1} \end{pmatrix}, \beta_{1} = -c_{21}/d_{21} + \delta b_{1}; \begin{pmatrix} \delta a_{2} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \beta_{2} = -d_{12}/c_{12} + \delta b_{2}; \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \beta = \infty \quad (9) $$

where the $\delta a_{i}$s and $\delta b_{i}$s are small shifts due to the shift in the energy. The presence of the $\delta a_{i}$s in the eigenspinors of the terms with finite $\beta$s now allow the terms to cancel off one another at both boundaries to satisfy the boundary conditions at both edges. For an edge state localized at the left edge, the resultant (unnormalized) wavefunction can be written as

$$ \psi_{\text{finite}}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \delta_{x,0} + c_{1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \delta a_{1} \end{pmatrix} (\beta_{1})^{x} + d_{2} \begin{pmatrix} \delta a_{2} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} (\beta_{2})^{x} + d_{3} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \delta_{x,N+1} \quad (10) $$
where \( c_1 \rightarrow -1, \beta_1 \rightarrow (-c_{21}/d_{21}), \beta_2 \rightarrow (-d_{12}/c_{12}) \) and \( \delta a_1, \delta a_2, d_2, d_3 \rightarrow 0 \) as \( N \rightarrow \infty \). The finite length can in this case be interpreted as a perturbation to the “ideal case” of the semi-infinite system.

Note that for Eq. (10) to describe a state localized at the left edge, we require \( |\beta_1| < |\beta_2| \) so that the right edge state has a miniscule weight compared to the \((1, a_1)^T\) state and the latter is largely cancelled off by the \((1, 0)^T\) state with \( \beta = 0 \), which is confined to only the left edge. A similar consideration for the edge state localized on the right edge of the system would also lead to the requirement for \( |\beta_1| < |\beta_2| \) in order for such an edge state to be formed.

There is another crucial difference between the semi-infinite length system, which has only a single edge, and the infinite length system, which has only a single edge, and for such an edge state to be formed.

There is another crucial difference between the semi-infinite length system, which has only a single edge, and the infinite length system, which has only a single edge, and for such an edge state to be formed.
