Graphene oxide electrocatalyst on MnO₂ air cathode as an efficient electron pump for enhanced oxygen reduction in alkaline solution
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Graphene oxide (GO) was deposited on the surface of a MnO₂ air cathode by thermal evaporation at 50°C from a GO colloidal suspension. Fourier transformed infrared spectroscopy and field emission scanning electron microscopy confirmed the presence of GO on the MnO₂ air cathode (GO-MnO₂). Voltammetry and chrono-amperometry showed increased currents for the oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) in 6 M KOH solution for GO-MnO₂ compared to the MnO₂ cathode. The GO-MnO₂ was used as an air cathode in an alkaline tin-air cell and produced a maximum power density of 13 mW cm⁻², in contrast to MnO₂, which produced a maximum power density of 9.2 mW cm⁻². The electrochemical impedance spectroscopy results suggest that the chemical step for the ORR is the rate determining step, as proposed earlier by different researchers. It is suggested that the presence of GO and electrochemically reduced graphene oxide (ERGO) on the MnO₂ surface are responsible for the increased rate of this step, whereby GO and ERGO accelerate the process of electron donation to the MnO₂ and to adsorbed oxygen atoms.

The oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) is one of the most widely studied reactions, particularly for fuel cell and metal-air battery applications. Due to the slow kinetics of the ORR, several materials have been developed as electro-catalysts to accelerate the reaction. Different types of graphene, such as graphene nanosheets¹ and porous graphene² are good electro-catalysts for ORR in lithium-air cells. Graphene-based materials such as nitrogen doped graphene³ and graphene-based Fe-N-C materials⁴ are also important electro-catalyst for the ORR. The most widely used electro-catalyst for the ORR is MnO₂ due to its low cost and high electro-catalytic activity⁵. In alkaline solution, the ORR proceeds with a 4 electron reduction pathway for MnO₂⁶ and graphene⁷. The mechanism of the ORR on electro-catalytic MnO₂ has been studied extensively but is not fully understood. Generally, the ORR in alkaline solutions involves a two-step process and can be given by eq. 1 and eq. 2:

\[ \text{O}_2 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} + 4e^- \rightarrow 4\text{OH}^- \]  
\[ 4\text{OH}^- \rightarrow 2\text{H}_2\text{O} + 4e^- \]  

The combination of eq. 1 and eq. 2 gives an overall 4 electron reduction for the ORR in alkaline solution. A detailed mechanism for the first step (eq. 1) on MnO₂ in alkaline medium has been proposed⁷:

\[ \text{Mn}^{4+} + e^- \leftrightarrow \text{Mn}^{3+} \quad \text{(fast)} \]  
\[ \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{O}_2,_{\text{ads}} \quad \text{(fast)} \]
Mn$^{3+}$ − O$_2$$_{ads}$ → Mn$^{4+}$ − O$_2$$_{ads}$ (slow)

O$_2$$_{ads}$ + H$_2$O + e$^-$ → HO$_2^-$ + OH$^-$ (fast)

O$_2$$_{ads}$ refers to adsorbed oxygen molecules on the MnO$_2$ surface. The slowest step in the whole process is eq. 5, where electrons received by MnO$_2$ in eq. 3 are excited and donated to the adsorbed oxygen molecule O$_2$$_{ads}$. Although graphene materials are also good electro-catalyst for the ORR, the superior performance of MnO$_2$ remains unchallenged by any pure graphene materials. Recently Yang et al. used MnO$_2$-graphene nanosheets as the air cathode in lithium-air cells and obtained a discharge capacity much higher than pure graphene nanosheets (GNS) catalyst. On the other hand, pure MnO$_2$ has lower performance toward the ORR, compared to composites of MnO$_2$ and graphene materials. Wen et al. used MnO$_2$-graphene nanosheet composites as the air cathode in microbial fuel cells (MFC) and obtained a power density higher than a pure MnO$_2$ catalyst. Gnanakumar et al. used nanotubular MnO$_2$-GO composites as the air cathode in MFC and obtained a power density higher than the pure MnO$_2$ nanorods and MnO$_2$ nanotubes. Qian et al. also obtained larger voltammetric currents for ORR with MnO$_2$/reduced graphene oxide (RGO) composites compared to pure RGO in alkaline solution and also showed that the MnO$_2$/RGO composite proceeds with a 4 electron reduction pathway for the ORR. In this work, the formation of stacked graphene oxide (GO) layers on a MnO$_2$ air cathode and their electro-catalytic performance in an alkaline tin-air cell are investigated.

Results

Figure 1a shows the CV for the first cycle of the electrochemical reduction of GO suspension in 0.1 M phosphate buffer solution, on the MnO$_2$ substrate. The first anodic wave at around −0.4 V to −0.8 V and the second wave beyond −1.0 V are attributed to the redox pair of oxygen-containing groups on the graphene plane. Figure 1b shows all four cycles for the reduction of GO to ERGO on the MnO$_2$ substrate. It can be observed that the currents became smaller with increasing cycle numbers. These results are also consistent with previous results on the electro-reduction of GO onto polypyrrole nano-fibers. Figure 2a shows the FTIR spectrum of the synthesized GO. All of the characteristic peaks for OH, C=O, C=C, C-OH and C-O are present at approximately 3400, 1730, 1630, 1230 and 1070 cm$^{-1}$, respectively, in the GO spectrum. Figure 2b is the FTIR spectrum of the GO-MnO$_2$ air cathode, where the peaks for OH, C=O, C=C and C-OH are still present. The peaks at 2950 and 2870 cm$^{-1}$ are due to the CH$_2$ and CH vibrations, respectively, from the carbon black additive in the MnO$_2$ air cathode. Due to the thermal evaporation of the GO-MnO$_2$ air cathode, the OH peak at approximately 3400 cm$^{-1}$ becomes smaller compared to the C=O and C=C peaks in the FTIR spectrum in Fig. 2b. In Figs. 2b and 2c, the bands at p, q, r, s and t are due to the MnO$_2$ substrate layer. The band at approximately 2700 cm$^{-1}$ (p) is due to the fundamental OH stretching with an OH O length of approximately 2.60 Å in the MnO$_2$ structure. The peak at approximately 2100 cm$^{-1}$ (q) is due to the combination of the OH stretching mode at 2700 cm$^{-1}$ and the excited lattice mode at approximately 600 cm$^{-1}$, where 2700 − 600 = 2100 cm$^{-1}$, and is very close to previous reports. The peaks at approximately 1550 and 1360 cm$^{-1}$ (r and s, respectively) are attributed to the interaction of Mn with surrounding species such as OH and O$_2$. The peak at approximately 1200 cm$^{-1}$ (t) is due to the vibration of the hydrated MnO$_2$. The peaks at approximately 1550 and 1360 cm$^{-1}$ (r and s, respectively), which are due to the interaction of Mn with OH, are evident in Fig. 2c (GO-MnO$_2$, after discharge, not dried) compared to Fig. 2b (GO-MnO$_2$, before discharge, dried).

Figure 2c is the FTIR spectrum of the GO-MnO$_2$ after cell discharge, not dried. Figure 2c shows that the C=O peak height, at approximately 1730 cm$^{-1}$, decreases in the FTIR spectrum of GO-MnO$_2$ after discharge, compared to Fig. 2b. In Fig. 2b, the C=O peak height at 1730 cm$^{-1}$ is larger than the C-OH peak height at 1230 cm$^{-1}$. However, in Fig. 2c, the C-OH peak height is larger than the C=O peak, which also suggests that the carbonyl groups were electrochemically reduced during the discharge of the GO-MnO$_2$ air cathode. Due to the close proximity with the r peak, it is unclear whether the C=C peak at approximately 1630 cm$^{-1}$ is slightly decreased in Fig. 2c (GO-MnO$_2$, after discharge, not dried) compared to Fig. 2b.

The CH$_2$ and CH vibrations at 2950 and 2870 cm$^{-1}$, respectively, in Fig. 2b have lower peak heights compared to C=O at 1730 cm$^{-1}$. However, in Fig. 2c, the CH$_2$ and CH vibrations have larger peak heights compared to the C=O peak. This difference could be due to the reduction of the peripheral COOH, (the Lerf-Klinowskii model) to CH$_2$OH during cell discharge. The bands approximately 1800–2000 cm$^{-1}$ are attributed to the coupling and overtone bands of the aromatic C-H out of plane bending vibration modes. The XPS spectrum of the ERGO electrodeposited on MnO$_2$ (ERGO-MnO$_2$) is shown in Fig. 2d, and resembles the XPS of the GO-MnO$_2$ after discharge (Fig. 2c).

The FESEM images (supplementary information Figure SI-1) of the MnO$_2$ and GO-MnO$_2$ and ERGO-MnO$_2$ air cathode surfaces show that the GO and ERGO flat sheets are stacked on one another on the MnO$_2$ substrate, where GO has only partial surface coverage on the MnO$_2$ substrate. It can be observed that GO has sheet morphology, whereas MnO$_2$ has grain morphology due to the preparation methods described in the experimental section.

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy. The XPS spectra of the C 1s binding energy for GO-MnO$_2$ before discharge, dried, and GO-MnO$_2$ after discharge, not dried are shown in the supporting information (SI-2a and SI-2b). The binding energies at 284.5 eV and 284.6 eV (SI-2a and SI-2b, respectively), are attributed to C-

Figure 1 | The CV for the electrochemical reduction of GO onto MnO$_2$ substrate, (a) first cycle (b) all four cycles.
Figure 2 | FTIR of (a) GO (b) GO-MnO₂ before discharge, dried (c) GO-MnO₂ after discharge, not dried (d) ERGO-MnO₂.
C\textsuperscript{25–29}. The FTIR in Fig. 2c shows the appearance of CH\textsubscript{2} and CH\textsubscript{2} groups at approximately 2950 cm\textsuperscript{-1} and 2870 cm\textsuperscript{-1}, respectively, and the binding energy of the C-H (SI-2b, GO-MnO\textsubscript{2} after discharge) are also assigned close to the C-C at 284.5–285 eV in the C 1s spectrum\textsuperscript{33}. The binding energy at 286.4 eV and 286.1 eV (SI-2a and SI-2b, respectively) are attributed to C- OH\textsuperscript{26–28}, which has the same binding energy as C-O\textsuperscript{26,30}. The binding energy at 288.2 eV (SI-2a and SI-2b) is attributed to C=O\textsuperscript{5}, while the binding energy at 289.2 eV (SI-2a) is attributed to O=C-O\textsuperscript{26–29}. It can be observed (SI-2b) that the peak height and the area under the curve of the C-O peak is increased slightly compared to the C=O peak, which suggests that the electrochemical reduction of C=O to C-O took place during cell discharge, as confirmed by the FTIR result in Fig. 2c. The XPS results also suggest that not all C=O has been reduced to C-O during cell discharge and that some of the GO remains on the surface of the MnO\textsubscript{2}. This finding suggests that electro-reduction of the GO to ERGO occur only on the GO surface, consistent with previous reports\textsuperscript{31}. A similar trend for the decrease in the peak height and the area under the curve of the C=O peak, and the increase of the peak height and area under the curve of the C-O peak, was observed when GO was reduced with a mixture of argon and hydrogen gases at 200\textdegree C, 500\textdegree C and 1000\textdegree C in a tube furnace\textsuperscript{36}.

The O 1s spectra for GO-MnO\textsubscript{2} before and after discharge are shown in supporting information (SI-2c and SI-2d), respectively. The binding energies of oxygen bonded Mn atoms (Mn-O and Mn-OH) have been reported to be 530.8 eV and 530.9 eV\textsuperscript{33,34}. Therefore, it can be observed that the binding energy of Mn-O in GO-MnO\textsubscript{2} before and after discharge, (SI-2c and SI-2d respectively) is 531.1 eV. The binding energy of C-O has been reported at 533 eV, while the C=O binding energy, which also has a contribution from O=C-O\textsuperscript{5}, is 536.0 eV. Thus, the binding energy of C=O at 536.0 eV (SI-2c) together with the binding energy of C=O at 532.6 eV and 533 eV (SI-2c and SI-2d, respectively), are in close agreement with previous reports. It can be observed that the C=O peak at 530.5 eV diminishes (SI-2d) when the GO-MnO\textsubscript{2} was discharged as the air cathode, which is due to reduction of the C=O to C-O. A similar result was also reported when GO was treated at 1000\textdegree C with a mixture of argon and hydrogen gases, where a peak binding energy of C=O disappeared due to reduction by hydrogen gas, while the peak binding energy at 533 eV, attributed to C-O, remained in the O 1s spectrum\textsuperscript{35}. When water is introduced to the MnO\textsubscript{2}, the binding energy for the absorbed water (O-H\textsubscript{2}) is 532.5 eV in the O 1s spectrum\textsuperscript{35–38}. Therefore the peak at 533 eV in the GO-MnO\textsubscript{2} after discharge not dried spectrum (SI-2d) is attributed to the absorbed water molecules. The peak height and area under the curve for the C-OH (532.6 eV) peak are larger compared to the corresponding Mn-O (531.1 eV) in SI-2c. This difference is due to the absence of water in the GO-MnO\textsubscript{2} before discharge, dried cathode. However, the peak height and area under the curve at 533 eV and 531.1 eV (SI-2d) of the C-OH and Mn-O, respectively, are almost identical, which is due to the interaction of absorbed water with Mn (Mn-OH\textsubscript{2}), in the GO-MnO\textsubscript{2} after discharge, not dried sample. The XPS spectra of the C 1s and O 1s (SI-2e and SI-2f) of the ERGO-MnO\textsubscript{2} prepared by electrodeposition shows similarities to the C 1s and O 1s spectra of the GO-MnO\textsubscript{2} after discharge (SI-2b and SI-2d, respectively).

**Voltammetry, chrono-amperometry and tin-air cell discharge.** The working electrodes (WE) were attached to a cell holder in which the Teflon membrane was exposed to oxygen diffusion from air, while the MnO\textsubscript{2} electro-catalytic surface was exposed to the KOH solution. Voltammetry results from the MnO\textsubscript{2}, GO-MnO\textsubscript{2} and ERGO-MnO\textsubscript{2} electrodes in 6 M KOH solution at 5 mV s\textsuperscript{-1} (supporting information Figure SI-3a and SI-4a) shows that the ORR current of the GO-MnO\textsubscript{2} and ERGO-MnO\textsubscript{2} cathodes are higher compared to that of the MnO\textsubscript{2} cathode at all potentials. Chrono-amperometry at −0.9 V and the steady-state currents from chrono-amperometry at potentials −0.5, −0.6, −0.7, −0.8, −0.9 and −1.0 V, show that the currents for the GO-MnO\textsubscript{2} and ERGO-MnO\textsubscript{2} cathodes are higher compared to the MnO\textsubscript{2} at all potentials (supporting information SI-3b, SI-3c, SI-4b and SI-4c).

The MnO\textsubscript{2}, GO-MnO\textsubscript{2} and ERGO-MnO\textsubscript{2} air cathodes were assembled in an undulated tin-air cell in 6 M KOH. The reactions at the positive and negative electrodes are as follows:

\[ \text{O}_2 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} + 4e^- \rightarrow 4\text{OH}^- \quad E^0 / \text{V vs. SHE} = 0.40 \]

\[ \text{HSnO}_2^- + \text{H}_2\text{O} + 2e^- \rightarrow \text{Sn} + 3\text{OH}^- \]

(7)

The cell notation for the alkaline tin-air cells can be represented as “Sn/6 M KOH/MnO\textsubscript{2}” and “Sn/6 M KOH/GO-MnO\textsubscript{2}”. The Sn-MnO\textsubscript{2} and Sn-MnO\textsubscript{2}/GO cells are discharged until complete dissolution of the tin negative electrode is reached. The OCP measurements for both cells yielded an average value of 1.20 V, which is close to the standard values calculated in eq. 7 and eq. 8. The chrono-potentiometry plots (SI-5a and SI-5a) at discharge currents of 3, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25 and 30 mA cm\textsuperscript{-2} for the Sn-MnO\textsubscript{2} and Sn-MnO\textsubscript{2}/GO cells, respectively show that the GO-MnO\textsubscript{2} air cathode gives higher potentials compared to MnO\textsubscript{2} for all discharge currents (SI-5c). From the power density plots (P vs. I) for the tin-air cells with the MnO\textsubscript{2} and GO-MnO\textsubscript{2} air cathodes (SI-5d), it can be observed that the GO-MnO\textsubscript{2} produces higher power density compared to the MnO\textsubscript{2} air cathode. The maximum power density of the Sn-MnO\textsubscript{2} cell is 9.2 mW cm\textsuperscript{-2}, while the maximum power density of the Sn-GO/MnO\textsubscript{2} cell is more than 13 mW cm\textsuperscript{-2} (SI-5d), and both are higher than the power density of 6.8 mW cm\textsuperscript{-2} obtained from Zn-air cells\textsuperscript{36}.

The current efficiencies that are calculated from weight loss measurements of the tin negative electrode using the Faraday equation (\(I = mnF, n = 2\)) and the discharge profiles (SI-4) are close to 100% for all discharge currents. The charge densities for the dissolution of tin are in the range of 446–449 mAh g\textsuperscript{-1} for all current densities, which is in accordance with the dissolution of Sn (atomic weight 119) to Sn (II) and is higher than the value of 360 mAh g\textsuperscript{-1} that has been reported for Zn-air\textsuperscript{35}. The chrono-potentiometry and power density plots of ERGO-MnO\textsubscript{2} (SI-6) also gave higher potentials and power density compared to the bare MnO\textsubscript{2} air cathode. The result of this work and previous works in MFC using MnO\textsubscript{2} graphene composite air cathodes are summarized in the supplementary information (Table SI-1). From the results of other researchers\textsuperscript{11,12} the increase of power density compared to the bulk MnO\textsubscript{2} cathode is 1.42 and 1.82 respectively, while in this work, the increase of power density of the GO-MnO\textsubscript{2} compared to the pure MnO\textsubscript{2} cathode is 1.40 and 1.46 respectively (Table SI-1). The results of other researchers\textsuperscript{13,14} reports the bulk modification of the MnO\textsubscript{2} with graphene and GO respectively, while this work reports the surface modification of the MnO\textsubscript{2} with GO and ERGO.

**Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy.** EIS was performed on the MnO\textsubscript{2}, GO-MnO\textsubscript{2} and ERGO-MnO\textsubscript{2} cathodes in 6 M KOH solution. A two-electrode configuration was used in these EIS experiments, where the MnO\textsubscript{2}, ERGO-MnO\textsubscript{2}, GO-MnO\textsubscript{2} air cathodes before and after discharge were the WE, while a Hg/HgO electrode in 6M KOH solution was the RE and CE. The Nyquist plots of all four air cathodes are shown in the supplementary information (Figure SI-7). The Nyquist plots show one semi-circle at higher frequencies and a Warburg element at lower frequencies. The \(R_{i0}(R[R_{i1}W_{i1}])\) equivalent circuit model was found to accurately fit the experimental data, where an excellent agreement was obtained between the experimental data and the simulation of the equivalent
amperometry results confirm the increased electro-catalytic effect of the GO-MnO₂ cathode toward the ORR compared to the MnO₂ without the GO.

In the EIS results, the smaller \( R_2 \) (Rct) of the GO-MnO₂ air cathode after discharge is due to the reduction of GO to ERGO on the MnO₂ surface during cell discharge. Therefore, the presence of ERGO is responsible for the lower \( R_2 \) of the GO-MnO₂ air cathode after discharge. It can be observed that ERGO has lower interfacial charge transfer resistance compared to GO due to the higher electrical conductivity of ERGO compared to GO, which is consistent with previous work²⁶. Therefore, during cell discharge, some of the GO is reduced to ERGO, and this decreases the interfacial charge transfer resistance of the GO-MnO₂ cathode after discharge. The EIS simulation results show only a small difference between the \( R_2 \) of the MnO₂ and GO-MnO₂ air cathodes before discharge, while the GO-MnO₂ air cathode after discharge has the smallest \( R_2 \) value. However, voltammetry, chrono-amperometry and the tin-air cell discharge results clearly show higher currents and higher power densities for the GO-MnO₂ compared to the MnO₂ air cathode. The ORR mechanisms on the MnO₂ electro-catalyst in alkaline solution are given in eq. 3 to eq. 6. The charge transfer resistance \( (R_2) \) is the resistance against the interfacial electron transfer process that occurs across the air cathode-KOH electrolyte interface for the ORR. Therefore, eq. 3 and eq. 6 are related to the rate of the electron transfer process, and \( R_2 \) depends only on eq. 3 and eq. 6. However, the electron transfer steps in eq. 3 and eq. 6 are fast reactions and thus are not rate determining steps in the ORR. Hence, the \( R_2 \) values of the MnO₂ and GO-MnO₂ air cathodes before and after discharge cannot explain the increased currents and power densities for the GO-MnO₂ air cathode.

The overall current for the ORR depends on the reaction mechanisms proposed in eq. 3 to eq. 6. The chemical step in eq. 5, where the electron is excited from the valence band to the conduction band of the MnO₂ electro-catalyst and is then donated to the adsorbed oxygen molecule, is the slowest step. This step has been proposed to be the rate determining step⁹ and, therefore, this step controls the overall current of the ORR. An increase in electrical conductivity in graphene composite materials has been reported⁴⁶, which is due to a lowering of the band-gap of the composite materials in the presence of graphene. The presence of ERGO on the surface of polypyrrole nanofibers, which increases the electrical conductivity of the nanofibers by decreasing the band-gap of polypyrrole, has been proposed⁴⁷. There are two possible reasons for the increased currents and power densities of the GO-MnO₂ air cathode. First, the GO and ERGO are efficient electro-catalysts for the ORR, and their presence on the MnO₂ surface provides a high surface area for the ORR to proceed. Second, the electron rich GO and ERGO on the MnO₂ surface increase the rate determining step of the ORR. The increased electro-catalytic effect of the GO-MnO₂ cathode toward the ORR is due to enhanced electron donation from the MnO₂ electro-catalyst to the adsorbed oxygen molecule in the rate determining step (eq. 5). Given the presence of electron rich GO and ERGO on the surface of MnO₂, electrons are transferred efficiently from the GO and ERGO to the conduction band of the MnO₂, as shown in Fig. 4, thus increasing the rate of this step (eq. 5). Therefore, the presence of GO and ERGO on the MnO₂ surface can result in faster electron donation in eq. 5, thus increasing the speed of the rate determining step of the ORR. From the Mott-Schottky results, the larger electron density in graphene-ERGO compared to ERGO is due to the presence of electron rich GO and ERGO on the surface of MnO₂, as shown in Fig. 4, thus explaining the increased currents and power densities for the GO-MnO₂ air cathode.

The overall current for the ORR depends on the reaction mechanisms proposed in eq. 3 to eq. 6. The chemical step in eq. 5, where the electron is excited from the valence band to the conduction band of the MnO₂ electro-catalyst and is then donated to the adsorbed oxygen molecule, is the slowest step. This step has been proposed to be the rate determining step⁹ and, therefore, this step controls the overall current of the ORR. An increase in electrical conductivity in graphene composite materials has been reported⁴⁶, which is due to a lowering of the band-gap of the composite materials in the presence of graphene. The presence of ERGO on the surface of polypyrrole nanofibers, which increases the electrical conductivity of the nanofibers by decreasing the band-gap of polypyrrole, has been proposed⁴⁷. There are two possible reasons for the increased currents and power densities of the GO-MnO₂ air cathode. First, the GO and ERGO are efficient electro-catalysts for the ORR, and their presence on the MnO₂ surface provides a high surface area for the ORR to proceed. Second, the electron rich GO and ERGO on the MnO₂ surface increase the rate determining step of the ORR. The increased electro-catalytic effect of the GO-MnO₂ cathode toward the ORR is due to enhanced electron donation from the MnO₂ electro-catalyst to the adsorbed oxygen molecule in the rate determining step (eq. 5). Given the presence of electron rich GO and ERGO on the surface of MnO₂, electrons are transferred efficiently from the GO and ERGO to the conduction band of the MnO₂, as shown in Fig. 4, thus increasing the rate of this step (eq. 5). Therefore, the presence of GO and ERGO on the MnO₂ surface can result in faster electron donation in eq. 5, thus increasing the speed of the rate determining step of the ORR. From the Mott-Schottky results, the larger electron density in graphene-ERGO-MnO₂ is responsible for the larger electron donation effect in the MnO₂ electro-catalyst and is then donated to the adsorbed oxygen molecule, as shown in Fig. 4, thus increasing the rate determining step of the ORR. The increased electro-catalytic effect of the GO-MnO₂ cathode toward the ORR is due to enhanced electron donation from the MnO₂ electro-catalyst to the adsorbed oxygen molecule in the rate determining step (eq. 5). Given the presence of electron rich GO and ERGO on the surface of MnO₂, electrons are transferred efficiently from the GO and ERGO to the conduction band of the MnO₂, as shown in Fig. 4, thus increasing the rate of this step (eq. 5). Therefore, the presence of GO and ERGO on the MnO₂ surface can result in faster electron donation in eq. 5, thus increasing the speed of the rate determining step of the ORR. From the Mott-Schottky results, the larger electron density in graphene-ERGO-MnO₂ is responsible for the larger electron donation effect in the rate determining step of the ORR. Thus, the presence of ERGO acts like an electron pump for MnO₂ to accelerate the ORR.

Furthermore, at faster discharge rates, at currents higher than 15 mA cm⁻², GO-MnO₂ and GO provides higher power density than MnO₂ (SI-5d and SI-6d). This effect is also due to the faster donation of electrons from the GO to MnO₂ (Fig. 4) compared to the excitation process in eq. 5. The MnO₂ air cathode provides lower power densi-
ties at higher discharge rates due to the slower electron excitation process and is thus unable to meet high demands at discharge rates that are faster than 15 mA cm\(^{-2}\). Given the presence of GO on the MnO\(_2\) surface, high demands at discharge rates that are faster than 15 mA cm\(^{-2}\) can be met by faster electron donation from the GO (and ERGO) to the MnO\(_2\) (Fig. 4), and thus, the GO-MnO\(_2\) and ERGO-MnO\(_2\) cathodes provide higher powder densities compared to the bare MnO\(_2\) cathode.

**Methods**

**Synthesis.** All chemicals were from Sigma Aldrich. The GO powder was prepared using a modified Hummers’ method. The GO powder was dispersed in a beaker filled with distilled water and sonicated for 5 hours until the final concentration was 0.3 mg cm\(^{-2}\). The air cathode was catalytic MnO\(_2\) mixed with small amounts of carbon black to increase its conductivity. The powders were pressed onto a nickel mesh current collector, with one side attached to a Teflon membrane that was permeable to air but not to the electrolyte. The air cathode was placed in a jar with the MnO\(_2\) catalytic layer facing upwards. The GO dispersion was poured into a jar and evaporated overnight at 50 °C in an oven (supplementary information Figure SI-8). In the electrodeposition of GO on the MnO\(_2\) cathode, GO powder (7 mg dm\(^{-3}\)) was dispersed in 0.1 M phosphate buffer solution (K\(_2\)HPO\(_4\) and K\(_3\)HPO\(_4\)) at pH 7.2 and sonicated for 5 hours. The electrodeposition of GO on the surface of MnO\(_2\) substrate was performed by cyclic voltammetry in a single compartment cell in 4 voltammetric scans. A saturated calomel electrode (SCE) was used as the reference, while a platinum foil with 2 cm\(^{2}\) surface area was the counter electrode. The potential range applied was from 0.0 to −1.5 V with the scan rate of 1 mV s\(^{-1}\). The Mott-Schottky experiment was performed using a two electrode system, in 6M KOH, with the MnO\(_2\) and GO-MnO\(_2\) electrodes as the WE, and the Hg/HgO as the reference and counter electrodes, at a constant frequency of 1000 Hz.

**Characterization.** The evaporated GO layers on the MnO\(_2\) air cathode (GO-MnO\(_2\)) and electrodeposited ERGO-MnO\(_2\) were characterized using Fourier transformed infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy and field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM). The FTIR and FESEM instruments were Spectrum 400 and Quanta 200F, respectively. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was conducted using a Kratos analytical axis ultra instrument with an Al K\(_{\alpha}\) radiation source of 253.6 eV. Linear scan voltammetry (LSV), chrono-amperometry and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) were performed using a potentiostat/galvanostat Autolab PGSTAT-302N from EcoChemie (Utrecht, Netherlands). LSV and chrono-amperometry were performed using a single compartment cell, with a mercury oxide negative electrode in a 6 M KOH solution for the tin-air cell discharge experiments. The diameters of the MnO\(_2\) and GO-MnO\(_2\) positive electrodes were 0.9 cm in all experiments. The diagrams of the air cathode and the cell casing are described elsewhere. The discharge capacity in mAh g\(^{-1}\) of the alkaline tin-air cell was measured from the chrono-potentiometry diagram and the weight loss of the tin negative electrode after cell discharge. All experiments were performed at room temperature, 27°C.
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