Suppression of Density Fluctuations in a Quantum Degenerate Fermi Gas
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We study density profiles of an ideal Fermi gas and observe Pauli suppression of density fluctuations (atom shot noise) for cold clouds deep in the quantum degenerate regime. Strong suppression is observed for probe volumes containing more than 10,000 atoms. Measuring the level of suppression provides sensitive thermometry at low temperatures. After this method of sensitive noise measurements has been validated with an ideal Fermi gas, it can now be applied to characterize phase transitions in strongly correlated many-body systems.
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Systems of fermions obey the Pauli exclusion principle. Processes that would require two fermions to occupy the same quantum state are suppressed. In recent years, several classic experiments have directly observed manifestations of Pauli suppression in Fermi gases. Antibunching and the suppression of noise correlations are a direct consequence of the forbidden double occupancy of a quantum state. Such experiments were carried out for electrons [1–3], neutral atoms [4, 5], and neutrons [6]. In principle, such experiments can be done with fermions at any temperature, but in practice low temperatures increase the signal. A second class of (two-body) Pauli suppression effects, the suppression of collisions, requires a temperature low enough such that the de Broglie wavelength of the fermions becomes larger than the range of the inter-atomic potential and p-wave collisions freeze out. Experiments observed the suppression of elastic collisions [7, 8] and of clock shifts in radio frequency spectroscopy [9, 10].

Here we report on the observation of Pauli suppression of density fluctuations, a many-body phenomenon which occurs only at even lower temperatures in the quantum degenerate regime, where the Fermi gas is cooled below the Fermi temperature and the low lying quantum states are occupied with probabilities close to one. In contrast, an ideal Bose gas close to quantum degeneracy shows enhanced density fluctuations [11].

The development of a technique to sensitively measure density fluctuations was motivated by the connection between density fluctuations and compressibility through the fluctuation dissipation theorem. In this paper, we validate our technique for determining the compressibility by applying it to the ideal Fermi gas. In future work, it could be extended to interesting many-body phases in optical lattices which are distinguished by their incompressibility [12]. These include the band insulator, Mott insulator, and also the antiferromagnet for which spin fluctuations, i.e. fluctuations of the difference in density between the two spin states, are suppressed.

Until now, sub-Poissonian number fluctuations of ultracold atoms have been observed only for small clouds of bosons with typically a few hundred atoms [13, 14] and directly [15, 16] or indirectly [17] for the bosonic Mott insulator in optical lattices. For fermions in optical lattices, the crossover to an incompressible Mott insulator phase was inferred from the fraction of double occupations [20] or the cloud size [21]. Here we report the observation of density fluctuations in a large cloud of fermions, showing sub-Poissonian statistics for atom numbers in excess of 10,000 per probe volume.

The basic concept of the experiment is to repeatedly produce cold gas clouds and then count the number of atoms in a small probe volume within the extended cloud. Many iterations allow us to determine the average atom number $N$ in the probe volume and its variance $(\Delta N)^2$. For independent particles, one expects Poisson statistics, i.e. $(\Delta N)^2/(N) = 1$. This is directly obtained from the fluctuation dissipation theorem $(\Delta N)^2/(N) = nk_BT \kappa_T$, where $n$ is the density of the gas, and $\kappa_T$ the isothermal compressibility. For an ideal classical gas $\kappa_T = 1/(nk_BT)$, and one retrieves Poissonian statistics. For an ideal Fermi gas close to zero temperature with Fermi energy $E_F$, $\kappa_T = 3/(2nE_F)$, and the variance $(\Delta N)^2$ is suppressed below Poissonian fluctua-
tions by the Pauli suppression factor $3k_BT/(2E_F)$. All number fluctuations are thermal, as indicated by the proportionality of $(\Delta N)^2$ to the temperature in the fluctuation dissipation theorem. Only for the ideal classical gas, where the compressibility diverges as $1/T$, one obtains Poissonian density fluctuations even at zero temperature.

The counting of atoms in a probe volume can be done while the atoms are trapped, or after ballistic expansion. Ballistic expansion maintains the phase space density and therefore the occupation statistics. Consequently, density fluctuations are exactly rescaled in space by the ballistic expansion factors as illustrated in Fig. 1 [24, 25]. Note that this rescaling is a unique property of the harmonic oscillator potential, so future work on density fluctuations in optical lattices must employ in-trap imaging. For the present work, we chose ballistic expansion. This choice increases the number of fully resolved bins due to optical resolution and depth-of-field, it allows adjusting the optimum optical density by choosing an appropriate expansion time, and it avoids image artifacts at high magnification.

We first present our main results, and then discuss important aspects of sample preparation, calibration of absorption cross section, data analysis and corrections for photon shot noise. Fig. 2A shows an absorption image of an expanding cloud of fermionic atoms. The probe volume, in which the number of atoms is counted, is chosen to be 26 μm in the transverse directions, and extends through the entire cloud in the direction of the line of sight. The large transverse size completely avoids averaging of fluctuations due to finite optical resolution. From 85 such images, after careful normalization [26], the variance in the measured atom number is determined to be identical to an absorption image showing the number of atoms per probe volume. A statistical analysis of the data used in the figure is in [26].

FIG. 2: (Color online) Comparison of density images to variance images. For Poissonian fluctuations, the two images at a given temperature should be identical. The variance images were obtained by determining the local density fluctuations from a set of 85 images taken under identical conditions. (a) Two dimensional image of optical density of an ideal Fermi gas after 7 ms of ballistic expansion. The noise data were taken by limiting the field of view to the dashed region of interest, allowing for faster image acquisition. (b) For the heated sample, variance and density pictures are almost identical, implying only modest deviation from Poissonian statistics. (c) Fermi suppression of density fluctuations deep in the quantum degenerate regime manifests itself through the difference between density and variance picture. Especially in the center of the cloud, there is a large suppression of density fluctuations. The variance images were smoothed over 6×6 bins. The width of images (b) and (c) is 2 mm.

In Fig. 3 profiles of the variance are compared to theoretical predictions [27, 28]. Density fluctuations at wavevector q are proportional to the structure factor $S(q, T)$. Since our probe volume (transverse size 26 μm) is much larger than the inverse Fermi wavevector of the expanded cloud ($1/q_F = 1.1 μm$), $S(q = 0, T)$ has been integrated along the line of sight for comparison with the experimental profiles. Within the local density approximation, $S(q = 0, T)$ at a given position in the trap is the binomial variance $n_k(1-n_k)$ integrated over all momenta, where the occupation probability $n_k(k, μ, T)$ is obtained from the Fermi-Dirac distribution with a local chemical potential $μ$ determined by the shape of the trap. Fig. 3 shows the dependence of the atom number variance on atom number for the hot and cold clouds. A statistical analysis of the data used in the figure is in [26].

The experiments were carried out with typically 2.5 million $^6$Li atoms per spin state confined in a round crossed dipole trap with radial and axial trap frequencies $ω_r = 2π × 160 s^{-1}$ and $ω_z = 2π × 230 s^{-1}$ corresponding to the center of the cloud where the local $T/T_F$ is smallest.
to an in-trap Fermi energy of \( E_F = k_B \times 2.15 \, \mu \text{K} \). The sample was prepared by laser cooling followed by sympathetic cooling with \(^{23}\text{Na}\) in a magnetic trap. \(^6\text{Li}\) atoms in the highest hyperfine state were transferred into the optical trap, and an equal mixture of atoms in the lowest two hyperfine states was produced. The sample was then evaporatively cooled by ramping down the optical trapping potential at a magnetic bias field \( B = 320 \pm 5 \, \text{G} \) where a scattering length of -300 Bohr radii ensured efficient evaporation. Finally, the magnetic field was increased to \( B = 520 \pm 5 \, \text{G} \), near the zero crossing of the scattering length, realizing a non-interacting Fermi gas. Absorption images were taken after 7 ms of ballistic expansion.

We were careful to prepare samples at different temperatures with similar cloud sizes and central optical densities to make sure that they were imaged with the same effective cross section and resolution. Hotter clouds were prepared by heating the colder cloud with parametric modulation of the trapping potential. For the hottest cloud this was done near 520 G to avoid excessive evaporation losses.

Atomic shot noise dominates over photon shot noise only if each atom absorbs several photons. As a result, the absorption images were taken using the cycling transition to the lowest lying branch of the \(^2\text{P}_3/2\) manifold. However, the number of absorbed photons that could be tolerated was severely limited by the acceleration of the atoms by the photon recoil, which Doppler shifts the atoms out of resonance. Consequently, the effective absorption cross section depends on the probe laser intensity and duration. To limit the need for nonlinear normalization procedures, we chose a probe laser intensity corresponding to an average of only 6 absorbed photons per atom during 4 \( \mu \text{s} \) of exposure time. At this intensity, about 12% of the \(^6\text{Li}\) saturation intensity, the measured optical density was found to be reduced by 20% from its low-intensity value \( 26 \). For each bin, the atom number variance is obtained by subtracting the known photon shot noise from the variance in the optical density \( 26 \).

The absorption cross section is a crucial quantity in the conversion rate between the optical density and the number of detected atoms. For the cycling transition, the resonant absorption cross section is \( 2.14 \times 10^{-13} \, \text{m}^2 \). Applying the measured 20% reduction mentioned above leads to a value of \( 1.71 \times 10^{-13} \, \text{m}^2 \). This is an upper limit to the cross section due to imperfections in polarization and residual line broadening. An independent estimate of the effective cross section of \( 1.48 \times 10^{-13} \, \text{m}^2 \) was obtained by comparing the integrated optical density to the number of fermions necessary to fill up the trap to the chemical potential. The value of the chemical potential was obtained from fits to the ballistic expansion pictures that allowed independent determination of the absolute temperature and the fugacity of the gas. We could not precisely assess the accuracy of this value of the cross section, since we did not fully characterize the effect of a weak residual magnetic field curvature on trapping and on the ballistic expansion. The most accurate value for the effective cross section was determined from the observed atom shot noise itself. The atom shot noise in the wings of the hottest cloud is Poissonian, and this condition determines the absorption cross section. Requiring that the slope of variance of the atom number \( (\Delta N)^2 \) vs. atom number \( N \) is unity (see Fig. 4) results in a value of \( (1.50 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-13} \, \text{m}^2 \) for the effective cross section in good agreement with the two above estimates.

The spatial volume for the atom counting needs to be larger than the optical resolution. For smaller bin sizes (i.e. small counting volumes), the noise is reduced since the finite spatial resolution and depth of field blur the absorption signal. In our setup, the smallest bin size without blurring was determined by the depth of field, since the size of the expanded cloud was larger than the depth of field associated with the diffraction limit of our optical system. We determined the effective optical resolution by binning the absorption data over more and more pixels of the CCD camera, and determining the normalized central variance \( (\Delta N)^2/N \) vs. bin size \( 26 \). The normalized variance increased and saturated for bin sizes larger than \( 26 \, \mu \text{m} \) (in the object plane), and this bin size was used in the data analysis. We observe the same suppression ratios for bin sizes as large as \( 40 \, \mu \text{m} \), corresponding to more than 10,000 atoms per bin.
For a cold fermion cloud, the zero temperature structure factor \( S(q) \) becomes unity for \( q > 2q_F \). This reflects the fact that momentum transfer above \( 2q_F \) to any particle will not be Pauli suppressed by occupation of the final state. In principle, this can be observed by using bin sizes smaller than the Fermi wavelength, or by Fourier transforming the spatial noise images. For large values of \( q \), Pauli suppression of density fluctuations should disappear, and the noise should be Poissonian. However, our imaging system loses its contrast before \( q \approx 2q_F \) \[26\].

Observation of density fluctuations, through the fluctuation-dissipation theorem, determines the product of temperature and compressibility. It provides an absolute thermometer, as demonstrated in Fig. 3 if the compressibility is known or is experimentally determined from the shape of the density profile of the trapped cloud \[17,33\]. Because variance is proportional to temperature for \( T \ll T_F \), noise thermometry maintains its sensitivity at very low temperature, in contrast to the standard technique of fitting spatial profiles.

Density fluctuations lead to Rayleigh scattering of light. The differential cross section for scattering light of wavevector \( k \) by an angle \( \theta \) is proportional to the structure factor \( S(q) \), where \( q = 2k \sin(\theta/2) \) \[25\]. In this work, we have directly observed the Pauli suppression of density fluctuations and therefore \( S(q) < 1 \), which implies suppression of light scattering at small angles (corresponding to values of \( q \) inversely proportional to our bin size). How are the absorption images affected by the suppression of light scattering? Since the photon recoil was larger than the Fermi momentum of the expanded cloud, large-angle light scattering is not suppressed. For the parameters of our experiment, we estimate that the absorption cross section at the center of a \( T = 0 \) Fermi cloud is reduced by only 0.3% due to Pauli blocking \[31\]. Although we have not directly observed the Pauli suppression of light scattering, which has been discussed for over 20 years \[30,32\], by observing reduced density fluctuations we have seen the underlying mechanism for suppression of light scattering.

In conclusion, we have established a sensitive technique for determining atomic shot noise and observed the suppression of density fluctuations in a quantum degenerate ideal Fermi gas. This technique is promising for thermometry of strongly correlated many-body systems and for observing phase-transitions or crossovers to incompressible quantum phases.
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