Trait-based representation of hydrological functional properties of plants in weather and ecosystem models
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Abstract

Land surface models and dynamic global vegetation models typically represent vegetation through coarse plant functional type groupings based on leaf form, phenology, and bioclimatic limits. Although these groupings were both feasible and functional for early model generations, in light of the pace at which our knowledge of functional ecology, ecosystem demographics, and vegetation-climate feedbacks has advanced and the ever-growing demand for enhanced model performance, these groupings have become antiquated and are identified as a key source of model uncertainty. The newest wave of model development is centered on shifting the vegetation paradigm away from plant functional types (PFTs) and towards flexible trait-based representations. These models seek to improve errors in ecosystem fluxes that result from information loss due to over-aggregation of dissimilar species into the same functional class. We advocate the importance of the inclusion of plant hydraulic trait representation within the new paradigm through a framework of the whole-plant hydraulic strategy. Plant hydraulic strategy is known to play a critical role in the regulation of stomatal conductance and thus transpiration and latent heat flux. It is typical that coexisting plants employ opposing hydraulic strategies, and therefore have disparate patterns of water acquisition and use. Hydraulic traits are deterministic of drought resilience, response to disturbance, and other demographic processes. The addition of plant hydraulic properties in models may not only improve the simulation of carbon and water fluxes but also vegetation population distributions.

Keywords: Hydraulic traits, Land-surface modeling, Trait-based models, Demographic models, Plant functional type

1. The role of vegetation in the global land surface energy and water budgets

Feedbacks between vegetation, weather, and climate are critical to the function of the Earth system (Pitman, 2003; Bonan, 2008; Quillet et al., 2010). Forested ecosystems provide a carbon sink of 2.3–2.5 Pg C yr⁻¹ (Le Quere et al., 2013), while releasing ~62,000 km² yr⁻¹ water to the atmosphere (Jasechko et al., 2013). Carbon uptake and transpirational water loss are regulated dynamically through stomatal aperture, which, in many cases, is governed by water status and plant hydraulic properties (Sperry, 2000; Buckley, 2005; Skelton et al., 2015). Transpiration from plants has been shown to be the largest component of the terrestrial water cycle and is an integral component of latent heat flux — the energy component that is expended evaporating water (Schlesinger and Jasechko, 2014). Changes to transpiration, and therefore latent heat flux, affect the partitioning of the surface energy balance. Incoming solar radiation is redistributed at the surface through different heat and radiative fluxes. Vegetation at the land surface strongly affects this energy redistribution. As transpiration occurs, the surface of the leaf cools due to the latent heat of vaporization, altering its long-wave emissivity through the Stefan–Boltzmann Law and thereby modifying the radiation budget (Strengers et al., 2010). Alternatively, when stomata are closed, most of the incoming solar energy that is absorbed by leaf surfaces turns into heat, which increases sensible heat flux to the atmosphere, and drives stronger emissions of long-wave radiation. Root water uptake from different depths alters the hydrologic cycle through changes in soil water content, groundwater availability, and transpiration (Fatichi et al., 2016). Moisture recycling through
Transpiration is integral to the rewetting of the boundary layer and continental precipitation patterns, particularly in tropical regions (Hesslerova and Pokorny, 2010; Lee and Boyle, 2010; Ainemsegger et al., 2014). Transpiration has been shown to drive cloud formation (de Arellano et al., 2014). Furthermore, vegetation canopy structure and leaf area index (LAI) determine the fraction of precipitation which is intercepted and evaporated, and that which reaches the soil as throughfall (Peng et al., 2014). Canopy roughness exerts strong controls over boundary layer turbulence and atmospheric mixing (Maurer et al., 2015). At a longer time scale, vegetation processes affect soil development and biogeochemical processes (Chapin, 2003). These and other vegetation-climate feedbacks necessitate the inclusion of an adequate representation of vegetation dynamics within hydrologic and climate models (Bonan et al., 2003).

2. PFTs and beyond

Land surface models (LSMs) and dynamic global vegetation models (DGVMs), typically indicated as terrestrial biosphere models (e.g. Fisher et al., 2014), are types of models that handle the surface energy budget for the atmospheric, hydrological, or combined Earth-system models of which they are often a component. The land surface serves as the upper boundary for hydrological models, and the lower boundary for weather and climate models. LSMs explicitly resolve the effects of vegetation and its interactions with climate while representing the vegetation as a static set of parameters. DGVMs likewise represent vegetation using static parameters at the short time scales, but dynamically evolve the vegetative state over time following plant ecological dynamics of establishment, growth, competition, and mortality. Both types of models, and thus, most weather, climate, hydrology, and Earth system models which employ them, generally cluster vegetation into groups on the basis of relatively few characteristics such as aboveground live biomass permanence, leaf longevity, and leaf form (Running et al., 1994). DGVMs and LSMs typically define plant functional types (PFTs) through bioclimatic properties and static physical properties, such as plant height and leaf phenology (Boulangeat et al., 2012; Pappas et al., 2016). These PFTs provide a basic and practical means of classification for modeling of vegetation-climate interactions at large spatial and temporal scales (Kucharik et al., 2006; Moorcroft, 2006; Quillet et al., 2010; Yang et al., 2015). The use of PFTs to model vegetation dynamics originated from the need to categorize plants by ‘ecophysiological traits’ into groups having similar structure and function (Box, 1981; Box, 1995; Box, 1996).

PFTs have since provided a feasible method for classification of global vegetation into a small, finite number of clusters with assumed similar ecological behaviors on the basis of a relatively small number of traits. Generally, models employ between 10 and 20 different PFTs, with various combinations of these characteristics, to represent thousands plant species (Poulter et al., 2011). However, specific models may use more or fewer PFTs depending on the intended model application. For a global general circulation model, Box (1996) suggested 15 major plant types. The current, broadly used Community Land Model (CLM) version 4.5 (which is the LSM component of NCAR’s Earth system model – CESM) also relies on 15 basic PFTs (Table 1), with additional crop functional types available under specific irrigation schemes or with a particular crop sub-model (Lawrence et al., 2011; Oleson et al., 2013). Kleidon et al. (2007) found that a minimum of eight discrete vegetation classes was required to prevent the formation of multiple steady-states in the SimBA dynamic vegetation model. In contrast, Euskirchen et al. (2009) chose to use 39 different PFTs to test predictions regarding the migration of arctic plant communities under future climate scenarios using the Terrestrial Ecosystem Model.

| Plant functional types | International Geosphere-Biosphere Programme (IGBP) land cover types | CLM 4.5 Plant functional types |
|------------------------|------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Needleleaf evergreen tree | Needleleaf evergreen tree (temperate) | Needleleaf evergreen tree (temperate) |
| Broadleaf evergreen tree | Needleleaf evergreen tree (boreal) | Needleleaf deciduous tree (boreal) |
| Needleleaf deciduous tree | Needleleaf deciduous tree (boreal) | Broadleaf evergreen tree (tropical) |
| Broadleaf deciduous tree | Broadleaf deciduous tree (tropical) | Mixed forests |
| Mixed forests | Broadleaf deciduous tree (temperate) | Closed shrublands |
| Closed shrublands | Broadleaf deciduous tree (temperate) | Open shrublands |
| Open shrublands | Broadleaf deciduous tree (temperate) | Woody savannas |
| Woody savannas | Broadleaf deciduous tree (boreal) | Savannas |
| Savannas | Broadleaf evergreen shrub (temperate) | Grasslands |
| Grasslands | Broadleaf deciduous shrub (temperate) | Persistent wetlands |
| Persistent wetlands | Broadleaf deciduous shrub (boreal) | Croplands |
| Croplands | C3 arctic grass | C3 grass |
| Urban/built | C4 grass | Cropland/vegetation mosaic |
| Snow/ice | C3 unmanaged rainfed crop | Barren/sparse |
| Barren/sparse | Water | Water |

Table 1 International Geosphere-Biosphere Programme (IGBP) land cover types (Loveland et al., 2000) and basic plant functional types used by CLM4.5 (Oleson et al., 2013).

The heterogeneity of Earth’s vegetation and its critical role in mediating biosphere-atmosphere exchanges make it one of the largest sources of uncertainty in predictions of climate change (Friedlingstein et al., 2006; Moorcroft, 2006; Denman and Brasseur, 2017; Scheiter et al., 2013; Wullschleger et al., 2014; Musavi et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2015; Matthes et al., 2016). A recent, large and still evolving body of work has drawn into question the capabilities of the current plant classification scheme to accurately reflect the biodiversity of vegetative ecophysiological functionalities. The coarse resolution at which PFTs are defined and vegetation is resolved has been shown to lead to errors in simulations of carbon flux (Van Bodegom et al., 2012; Pavlick et al., 2013; Matthes et al., 2016), evaporatranspiration (Poulter et al., 2011; Matheny et al., 2014a; Matthes et al., 2016), and nitrogen cycling (Ostle et al.,
Baldocchi and Meyers (1998) showed that simulations of carbon and water fluxes using the classic PFT definitions in CANVEG were only well adapted during times of non-limiting soil moisture and dry leaves, and that additional parameterization was necessary to capture the behaviors of water-stressed and water-loged scenarios. Missing behaviors of water storage and transport within vegetation compartments (Baldocchi and Meyers, 1998), and within-PFT variation in hydraulic traits (Anderegg, 2015) makes current vegetation schemes poorly suited to replicate the effects of drought on vegetation.

It is understood that traits such as relative growth rate, seed dispersal capacity, and mortality are the primary determinants of demography (Pérez-Harguindeguy et al., 2013; Yang et al., 2015). However, a number of hydraulic functional traits have been shown to influence establishment and mortality (Pockman and Sperry, 2000; McDowell et al., 2008; Poorter et al., 2010; Anderegg and Meinzer, 2015; Anderegg et al., 2016). For instance, run-away cavitation is a common cause of mortality in seedlings (Williams et al., 1997; Pratt et al., 2014); ring porous wood has been suggested to be an adaptation to resist damage to the conductive system during freeze–thaw cycles (Taneda and Sperry, 2008); and leaf hydraulic strategy has been hypothesized to exert a strong influence on species’ ability to survive drought (McDowell et al., 2013; Bartlett et al., 2014). The difference between a plant’s minimum xylem water potential and the potential at which dysfunction occurs, defined as the hydraulic safety margin, has been related to mortality risk during drought (Anderegg et al., 2016). This margin of hydraulic safety has also been shown to correlate with regional precipitation predictability, while cavitation vulnerability has been shown to correlate with species distributions along soil moisture gradients (Pockman and Sperry, 2000). Li et al. (2015) demonstrated that the hydraulic properties of conductance and leaf water potential were good indicators of species abundance. Wood density, another trait associated with drought susceptibility (Hoffmann et al., 2011) as well as a number of additional plant hydraulic properties (Pratt et al., 2007; Chave et al., 2009; Lachenbruch and McCulloh, 2014; Anderegg and Meinzer, 2015), has been successfully employed by the current trait-based demographic models TFS (Fyllas et al., 2014) and LPJmL-FIT (Sakschewski et al., 2015).

3. Hydraulic functional traits and strategies

Functional traits are, by definition, those traits that exert strong influence over how an organism performs in terms of growth, survival, and reproduction (McGill et al., 2006; Violle et al., 2007). Hydraulic functional traits at the leaf, stem, and root levels determine a plant’s ability to acquire, circulate, and use water. The whole-plant hydraulic strategy, which we define as the syndrome of emergent phenotypical hydraulic functional traits that occur at all three levels (leaf, stem, and root), is critical to understanding the timing and magnitude of transpiration fluxes and species’ responses to drought and disturbance (Ford et al., 2007; McDowell et al., 2008; Matheny et al., 2014b; von Almen et al., 2014; Gaines et al., 2015; Matheny et al., 2016). It is common for coexisting species to employ contrasting whole-plant hydraulic strategies which result in distinct, species-specific patterns of transpiration and growth (e.g. McCulloh et al., 2012; Kocher et al., 2013; Thomsen et al., 2013; Martinez-Vilalta et al., 2014; Matheny et al., 2014b; Meinzer et al. 2014; Anderegg, 2015). In spite of these hydraulic differences, many standard PFT classifications group such species into the same class. The incorporation of whole-plant hydraulic functional traits and strategies into revised or expanded definitions of PFTs will improve model uncertainties due to over-aggregation (Pappas et al., 2015). Coordination of hydraulic functional traits may occur through tradeoffs defined within the plant
At the leaf level, transpiration is dynamically regulated by the stomata as a response to both internal and external conditions (Ball et al., 1987; Collatz et al., 1991; Tardieu, 1993; Leuning, 1995). Stomatal conductance has been hypothesized to be optimized in order to maximize the amount of carbon uptake while minimizing water loss (Cowan and Farquhar, 1977; Baldocchi, 1994; Katul et al., 2010), yet in some circumstances, e.g. nocturnal transpiration (Bucci et al., 2016), stomata appear to function in ways that are not driven by carbon uptake or optimized for prevention of water loss. Two distinct schemes for the regulation of stomatal conductance in response to leaf water potential are broadly recognized: (1) isohydry, a cavitation-risk adverse strategy through which leaf water potential is maintained by strict control of stomatal openness; (2) anisohydry, a cavitation-risk prone strategy through which high stomatal openness is maintained via tolerance of highly negative leaf water potentials (Stocker, 1956; Tardieu and Simonneau, 1998; Martinez-Vilalta et al., 2014), see illustration in Fig. 1. The majority of plants operate stomata along a range of regulation schemes on a virtual continuum between these two extremes (Klein, 2014). The degree of (an)isohydry is defined through the curve describing the relationship between leaf water potential and stomatal conductance (Fig. 1). However, as this relationship is hard to measure, it is typically determined through a comparison of either the extent of change between predawn- and midday-leaf water potential as a function of soil water potential (Martinez-Vilalta et al., 2014), or the rate of change in stomatal conductance between times when vapor pressure deficit (VPD) is low and when VPD is high (Oren et al., 1999). However, it has recently been shown that the degree of isohydricity can be determined through remotely sensed vegetative optical depth, a proxy for leaf water potential, as measured from the Large number of species that employ combinations of low efficiency and low safety traits. It is possible that these traits may be trading off with other, non-hydraulic traits in ways that are not yet clear (Gleason et al., 2016). Nonetheless, nature presents a gamut of combinations of leaf, stem, and root hydraulic functional traits. The following section will focus on the key emergent hydraulic traits at each of the three organ levels, summarized in Table 2.

### 4. Leaf traits

| Trait description | Measurement scale | Potential influencing variables |
|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|
| Leaf level        |                   |                                |
| Maximum stomatal conductance | Leaf, canopy | PAR, VPD, temperature, CO₂ concentration, regulation strategy |
| Slope of stomatal response to leaf water potential | Leaf, canopy | VPD, CO₂ concentration, regulation strategy |
| Curcular conductivity | Leaf, canopy | Leaf form, cuticle thickness |
| Stem level        |                   |                                |
| Maximum xylem conductance | Stem, branch | Xylem architecture, aquaporin activity, seasonality, plant age, growth history |
| Xylem vulnerability curve | Stem, branch | Xylem architecture, aquaporin activity, seasonality, plant age, growth history |
| Fraction of active xylem | Stem, branch | Xylem architecture, seasonality, plant age, growth history |
| Saturated xylem water content | Stem, branch | Xylem architecture, wood density |
| Wood density      | Stem, branch | Xylem architecture |
| Root level        |                   |                                |
| Maximum depth     | Root, tree        | Plant age, soil type, soil moisture/groundwater availability, nutrient environment |
| Vertical distribution of root density | Tree, stand | Plant age, soil type, soil moisture/groundwater availability, nutrient environment |
| Maximum root xylem conductance | Root | Xylem architecture, aquaporin activity, seasonality, plant age, growth history |
| Mycorrhizal activity | Root, tree | Nutrient environment, hyphal density, soil moisture, soil temperature |

Fig. 1. Theoretical figure demonstrating the differences between anisohydric (red) and isohydric (blue) stomatal regulation and leaf water potential. β represents the fraction of actual stomatal conductance, as a proportion of the reference stomatal conductance when soil moisture and vapor pressure deficit (VPD) are non-limiting for transpiration. Lines represent idealized curves that describe stomatal response to declining leaf water potential.

### 5. Xylem traits

At the branch and stem levels, plants are typically classified on the basis of xylem architectures: ring porous, characterized by a relatively small number very large diameter xylem vessels in the early-wood; diffuse porous, having a relatively even distribution of xylem vessels throughout the sapwood; and conifers (also referred to as “non-porous” (Phillips et al., 1996; Oren et al., 1999)) which transport water through tracheids rather than vessels. In addition to vessel diameter and number, hydraulic conductance is also a function of several other interconnected traits such as vessel length, pit membrane flexibility and size, aquaporin activity, ionic economics spectrum (Wright et al., 2004; Chave et al., 2009; Freschet et al., 2010, 2012; Liu et al., 2010; Reich, 2014) and potentially through the proposed hydraulic safety-efficiency tradeoff (Manzoni et al., 2013; Skelton et al., 2015). However, recent work from Gleason et al. (2016) suggests that there may only be a weak tradeoff between xylem safety and efficiency, evincing the large number of species that employ combinations of low efficiency and low safety traits. It is possible that these traits may be trading off with other, non-hydraulic traits in ways that are not yet clear (Gleason et al., 2016). Nonetheless, nature presents a gamut of combinations of leaf, stem, and root hydraulic functional traits. The following section will focus on the key emergent hydraulic traits at each of the three organ levels, summarized in Table 2.
concentrations within the sap, and the ability to refill embolized vessels, among others (Tognetti et al., 1998; Wagner et al., 1998; Tyree and Zimmermann, 2002; Brodribb and Holbrook, 2006; Olano et al., 2013; Hacke, 2014; Klein et al., 2014; Lachenbruch and McCulloh, 2014; Anderegg, 2015). The xylem architecture and physiology determine the functional relationships between xylem water potential and xylem conductivity (or loss thereof) (Fig. 2). Several studies have demonstrated that the large conduits of ring-porous species are much more vulnerable to embolism than the typically smaller conduits employed by diffuse-porous trees (Pockman and Sperry, 2000; Li et al., 2008; Taneda and Sperry, 2008), nonetheless, this is not always the case (Sperry et al., 1994). Following the logic of the hypothesized safety-efficiency tradeoff theory, it would be expected that trees having larger xylem vessels, which are generally more conductive to water but also more vulnerable to cavitation, would tend to employ an anisohydric stomatal regulation strategy to avoid damage to the conductive system (Bovard et al., 2005; Bush et al., 2008; Taneda and Sperry, 2008). While xylem composed of smaller diameter conduits would require less stringent stomatal regulation to maintain ‘safe’ levels of water potential, it would also be less conductive in ideally wet conditions. Even so, multiple examples of anisohydric ring-porous species exist (Thomsen et al., 2013; Martinez-Vilalta et al., 2014). Such counter-intuitive combinations of hydraulic traits among organs may be mitigated by additional traits or behaviors such as large capacitance for stem water storage, deep or efficient rooting systems, and drought deciduousness (Brooks et al., 2010; Nord Dame et al., 2010; Kocher et al., 2013; Matheny et al., 2015). Therefore, for the purpose of modeling plant water dynamics, importance should be placed on the emergent functional properties of xylem hydraulic conductance and capacitance, rather than contributing traits.

Field-measured hydraulic conductances of ring and diffuse porous species have been shown to be of comparable magnitudes during moisture-limiting conditions, which have caused the largest, early-wood vessels of the ring porous species to cavitate (Hacke et al., 2006). In this circumstance, the much smaller late-wood vessels of ring porous trees may act as an emergency “high safety, low efficiency” back-up system (Sperry and Sullivan, 1992; Woodcock, 1994; Taneda and Sperry, 2008). This seasonality of conduit sizes within ring porous wood contributes to the long-term temporal variability of hydraulic conductance, while the effects of diurnal embolism are reflected in shorter-term declines of conductance (Sperry et al., 1993; Chuang et al., 2006; Anderegg, 2015). The timing and magnitude of hydraulic trait variability has been shown to fluctuate by individual, life stage and history, population, species, and geographic location (Anderegg, 2015). Vulnerability to embolism may be a plastic trait and can also vary by underlying genetic variability among individuals of the same species and population (Pockman and Sperry, 2000). Differences in conductance and embolism vulnerability within each organ play a key role in the avoidance/tolerance of water stress (Tyree and Ewers, 1991). The hydraulic segmentation hypothesis of Tyree and Zimmermann (2002), through which a plant may sacrifice distal organs (i.e. leaves and roots) or conductivity within distal organs in favor of protecting the larger carbon and time investment of stem xylem from embolism, may provide one of the mechanisms behind behaviors such as drought deciduousness and succulent root decoupling from extremely dry soil (North and Nobel, 1992; Pockman and Sperry, 2000).

6. Root and rhizosphere traits

In addition to xylem conductance within the roots, the rhizosphere holds several other contributing properties, which determine plant water acquisition and use. Such traits include rooting depth and vertical distribution, root length and diameter distribution, efficiency of water extraction, and mycorrhizal interactions (Canadell et al., 2007; Allen, 2009; Reichstein et al., 2014; Wullschleger et al., 2014). Rooting depth is central to a plant’s ability to acquire water, and deep roots have been shown to buffer plants with vulnerable leaf and stem strategies from hydraulic impairment (Miller et al., 2010; Matheny et al., 2016). While mesic tree species tend to root at depths between 1 and 5 m (Lyford and Wilson, 1964; Lyford, 1980; Canadell et al., 1996; Jackson et al., 1996; He et al., 2013), extreme cases in more xeric environments have evidenced root depths in excess of 20 m (Jackson et al., 1999). Varied root depths within a single ecosystem allow access to distinct water storage pools with differing seasonal availabilities. This type of ‘root niche separation’ has been postulated to increase the ecosystem resilience of tropical forest to drought in the Amazon (Ivanov et al., 2012). Brooks et al. (2010) demonstrated that the efficient rooting systems of Douglas fir trees have the ability to extract ‘tightly bound’ water from clayey soils, which enables them to persist in water limited regions. Other species’ ability to reverse flow in portions of the root system allows for the hydraulic lifting of deeply stored water to surface soil layers to supplement the available water pool for transpiration (Dawson, 1993). Hydraulic lift has been shown to contribute significantly to a tree’s subsequent day’s transpiration (Horton and Hart, 1998), and also to fuel processes of ecological significance such as maintenance of mycorrhizal hyphae and fine roots (Neumann and Cardon, 2012). Symbiotic interactions with mycorrhizal fungi have been shown to increase plant-water availability by acting as an extension of absorptive surface area (Ruiz-Lozano and Azcón, 1995; Kaya et al., 2003). Yet these symbioses tend to be highly species specific and may be marginal in some cases (Parniske, 2008; Smith and Read, 2008).

The below-ground nature of these traits makes them difficult to study or determine from remote sensing, and therefore the majority of root system traits are underrepresented in the literature as well as in trait databases (Kattge et al., 2011; Wullschleger et al.,
2014), Freschet et al. (2010) proposed that the plant economics spectrum may allow the inference of below-ground traits from leaf and stem traits, although the authors note that the root traits were the least well-coordinated among organs. Taneda and Sperry (2008) noted that several genera of ring porous trees tended to have deeper rooting systems (Nilsen et al., 1983; Burns and Honkala, 1990; Miller et al., 2010). Similarly, Hoffmann et al. (2011) found that species having both dense wood and anisohydric stomatal regulation were more likely to root at shallower depths. In keeping with the theory of the coordination of hydraulic traits on a whole-plant scale, Franks et al. (2007) put forward the concept of an ‘isohydrometric’ strategy, such that a virtually constant gradient of water potential is maintained from roots to leaves in spite of the stomatal regulation strategy (isohydric or anisohydric). Providing LSMs and DGVMs with a platform or basis for the incorporation of these defining whole-tree hydraulic strategies or an integrated perspective of emergent organ-specific hydraulic traits and their governing tradeoffs is a critical step forward in reconsidering the PFT framework.

7. Creating a framework for the incorporation of hydraulic traits

Inclusion of plant-trait observations at the tissue and organism scales into LSMs and DGVMs will help improve our ability to simulate ecosystem responses to land use and climate change (McIntyre and Lavorel, 2007; Quillet et al., 2010; Boulangeat et al., 2012). Following the framework of Reichstein et al. (2014) and Musavi et al. (2015), for each trait, which is represented by the model as a parameter in a function, there exists a multi-dimensional continuum of potential values, as demonstrated in Fig. 2. While it is overly simplistic to prescribe a single set of values to represent these traits, as is done by current PFT classifications, it remains impractical to allow traits to vary across such a continuum (Fig. 2), as there is no feasible way to measure all variation at all scales between and within individuals, species, and environments in time. Therefore, practical model applications must still employ some type of binning for each trait with a measure of allowable variation within each bin. These bins will include relatively similar species/sizes and/or growth stages. In order for this type of binning scheme to improve upon the current PFT system, bins must be established at a level across which hydraulic traits are conserved. Scaling up from the bin level to the plot and ecosystem levels could be done by weighting species’ properties within each bin by their relative abundance and/or leaf area index (LAI) in a bottom up approach (Musavi et al., 2015) in order to aggregate traits them- selves to the top level. This approach combines the efficiency and practicality of the original PFT classification system while providing the biophysical observational grounding and flexibility that was lacking in the previous methodology.

The multiscale time dependence of hydraulic traits, and by extension the emergent ecosystem-level water-use efficiency, highlights the requirement that trait-based parameterizations should be flexible rather than fixed, as is the case with the current PFT scheme. This type of adaptability will be a critical advantage for the next generation of DGVMs and LSMs (Poulter et al., 2011; Van Bodegom et al., 2012; Scheiter et al., 2013). As discussed in the previous sections, hydraulic conductivity within an individual organism changes at both the fast (intra-daily) and slow (monthly, seasonal, and annual) time scales (Hacke, 2014; Trifilo et al., 2014). Surmounting these challenges may still require use of a second proposed methodology: the incorporation of mechanistic process-based models (e.g. FETCH (Bohrer et al., 2005; Mirfenderesgi et al., 2016), ExpertN (Janott et al., 2011; Bittner et al., 2012), SPAC (Gentine et al., 2015), root model described by Siqueira et al. (2008), in order to capture dynamic responses to these traits, which are expressed as variable parameters (Powell et al., 2013). These process-based plant hydrailics models simulate water flow through part or all of the plant vascular system, using the Richards equation (Bohrer et al., 2005; Siqueira et al., 2008; Janott et al., 2011) or Darcy’s law (Gentine et al., 2015) for porous media flow. In this type of framework, traits such as hydraulic conductance and, in some models, capacitance are determined at relatively short time steps (e.g. 1–30 min) as functions of water pressures within the plant vascular system. Other traits, such as wood density and leaf area index can be adjusted or allowed to vary at longer, i.e. annual or seasonal, time scales. These vegetation hydrodynamics sub-models have the potential to operate at the bin-level and calculate vegetation soil water withdrawal, stomatal conductance, and transpiration mechanistically on the basis of the whole-plant hydraulic strategy. These model outputs could then be statistically scaled to the patch- or ecosystem-level on the basis of leaf or basal area or other similar metrics following Matheny et al. (2014b).

Trait similarities such as the standard/typical shape of cavitation vulnerability curves (Fig. 2) across ring porous tree species (Sperry and Saliendra, 1994; Hacke et al., 2006; Taneda and Sperry, 2008), and trait relationships such as the match between WUE and cellulose carbon isotope composition (Farquhar and Richards, 1984; Werner et al., 2012), will help constrain the potentially infinite new parameter spaces. Furthermore, the increasing wealth of species- and leaf-level trait data across broad spatial scales will help to facilitate this transition to trait based modeling. Large repositories of trait data such as the BIEN database (Enquist et al., 2009), the xylem water potential database (Choat et al., 2012), the wood density database (Chave et al., 2009), the vessel anatomy database (Zanne et al., 2010), the leaf turgor loss point database (Bartlett et al., 2012, 2014; Maréchaux et al., 2016), the GLOPNET leaf trait database (Wright et al., 2004; Reich et al., 2007), the GlobResp leaf respiration database (Atkin et al., 2015), the light response database of Ninemets et al. (2015), and the herbivory defense/response database (Moles et al., 2013) have recently been established. Many of these data resources are available through the TRY global plant trait database (Kattge et al., 2011). These archives, when used in tandem with the mathematical and statistical advancements of model-data fusion techniques (Wang et al., 2009; Peng et al., 2011; Keenan et al., 2012; Dietze et al., 2013), will provide modelers with physically realistic distributions of trait possibilities and combinations for use in regional and global scale simulations.

In an effort to help characterize and quantify trait variability (temporally, spatially, and intra- and inter-specifically) and its empirical and functional relationships with environmental conditions for use in modeling scenarios, special emphasis should be placed on expanding the measurement record across temporal scales and maintaining the integrity of metadata provided for traits recorded in these and other databases. At present, most trait measurements are carried out as snapshots during relatively few growing seasons. A more complete temporal record will enhance our understanding of trait variability with time. Metadata of interest may include the tissue/organ on which the measurement was made, the number of individuals sampled and the variation between individuals, time of year/season, geographic location, mean annual or seasonal meteorological variables (e.g. incoming solar radiation, temperature, humidity, rainfall, soil moisture), and other data of interest surrounding the measurement such as if the year/season was exceptionally dry or hot, or if a specific pest or blight was present. Currently, only some of the trait databases provide some of the metadata needed for forming a predictive description of trait variability across ecosystems and climate.
8. Climate change, biodiversity, and ecosystem demography

The effects of climate change on global vegetation distributions are expected to be seen though the shifting of geographic ranges in response to rising temperatures, changes to precipitation patterns and variability, and extreme weather (Allen et al., 2010; Choat et al., 2012; Soudzilovskaia et al., 2013). The realistic representation of vegetative responses to these phenomena as well as to natural disturbances is necessary for modeling the outcomes of climate and land use change (Shellito and Sloan, 2006; Purves et al., 2008; Quillet et al., 2010; Gough et al., 2013; Matheny et al., 2014a). While trait-based models will not provide solutions for all scenarios, specifically those involving insect attack (Kurz et al., 2008; Herms and McCullough, 2014; Anderegg et al., 2015) or grazing (Yu et al., 2011; Wullschleger et al., 2014), they do provide a necessary step forward in simulating carbon and water fluxes (Scheiter et al., 2013; Reichstein et al., 2014; Pappas et al., 2016). DGVMs and LSMs using standard PFTs and climate envelopes have been criticized for their lack of ability to accurately represent the mechanisms for species coexistence and biodiversity (McMahon et al., 2011; Boulangeat et al., 2012). However, the recent incorporation of plant traits and coexistence mechanisms into DGVMs and, more specifically, demographic models which give additional focus to the incorporation of processes such as recruitment, growth, and mortality of vegetation, provides a feasible avenue for this advancement as demonstrated by dGVM2 (Scheiter et al., 2013), JeDi (Pavlick et al., 2013), CLM-ED (Fisher et al., 2015), LPJml-FIT (Sakschewski et al., 2015), and LM3-PPA (Weng et al., 2015).

Within trait-based DGVMs and demographic models, ecosystem properties serve to ‘filter’ plants with different suites of traits to select for species or hypothetical proxy species most fit for that environment (Sterck et al., 2011; Fisher et al., 2015). These models may be able to more closely reflect trait diversity, species coexistence, and ecosystem productivity than previous generations of DGVMs (Fisher et al., 2015). Driving model demographics with plant traits may promote an improved understanding of trait- and bio-diversity, interactions between biotic and abiotic factors, and their influence on ecosystem function (Loreau et al., 2001; Hooper et al., 2005; Cadotte et al., 2009). Paleoclimatological studies reveal that vegetation responses to past climate shifts have resulted from a combination of species competition and migration (Thullier et al., 2008; Huntley et al., 2010; Quillet et al., 2010). As warming continues and bioclimatic limits shift (Box, 1981; Harrison et al., 2010), trait-based demographic models will be better suited to replicate future species distributions and abundances without the need for pre-parameterized climate envelopes (Fisher et al., 2015).

Plant functional traits, both hydraulic and otherwise, are responsible for feedbacks between reproduction, growth, and success (Sterck et al., 2011). Therefore, trait-based models must account for tradeoffs among traits (as reviewed in Scheiter et al., 2013; Fisher et al., 2015) to prevent one or two unrealistic ‘overly optimal’ cohort species, or Darwinian demons (Law, 1979), from out-competing all others (Scheiter et al., 2013). Angert et al. (2009) demonstrated that tradeoffs between relative growth rate and intrinsic WUE are strong influencers of demographic response in desert species typically classified within the same traditional PFT. Tradeoffs between specific traits within the hydraulic system (e.g. storage versus strength, and conductance versus hydraulic safety) have been directly related to plant height, growth, and survival among various co-existing rainforest species (Poorter et al., 2010). While biological mechanisms for coexistence and community assembly have been well studied (e.g. Chesson, 2000; Clark et al., 2010), using trait tradeoffs as a means to simulate coexistence and biodiversity in models is still a challenge area. Some key tradeoff surfaces may not be readily apparent or easily studied (Fisher et al., 2015), while others may not be ubiquitous (Moncrieff et al., 2015). Missing relevant tradeoffs may leave models unable to explain particular patterns of species distributions with respect to available resources (Sterck et al., 2011). The continued study and development of a global, whole-plant economics spectrum is integral for the advancement of trait and tradeoff-based demographic models (Reich, 2014). Within this context, the ability to account for intra- and inter-specific trait variation and adaptation will be essential (Messier et al., 2010; Albert, 2015; Anderegg, 2015). Currently, trait parameterization schemes assume that both traits and tradeoffs will continue to be valid under future climate scenarios (Clark and Gelfand, 2006). However, the synthesis efforts of Franks et al. (2014) reveal that both genetic adaptation and phenotypic plasticity are presently occurring within plant communities. Many of the present trait-based models also show a strong dependence on the initial parameterization or the starting composition of an ecosystem, which is a representation of the consequences of past disturbances and management histories on the ecosystem. Therefore, another key challenge for the use of these models in the prediction of future ecosystems will be the use of smart initialization methods and adequate maps of current plant trait distributions. Nonetheless, the adaptive trait selection methodology developed and employed by dGVM2 (Scheiter et al., 2013) may lay the foundation for future model structures to account for trait variability.

9. Conclusions – a path forward

As we demonstrate above, current PFT classifications, which represent thousands of plant species on the basis of relatively few, assumed-static parameters, have been identified as a critical source of uncertainty in land surface and dynamic global vegetation models (Reich et al., 2007; Wullschleger et al., 2014; Musavi et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2015). Model characterizations of vegetation affect simulations of the surface energy balance and the carbon, water, and nitrogen cycles (Ostle et al., 2009; Matheny et al., 2014a; Mathies et al., 2016). Plant hydraulic traits are integral to the regulation of transpiration and growth through controls on root water uptake and stomatal conductance (Anderegg and Meinzer, 2015). Traits at the root, stem, and leaf levels interact to define the syndrome of emergent phenotypical hydraulic traits we term the whole-plant hydraulic strategy. The incorporation of whole-plant hydraulic strategy into new trait-based representations of vegetation in models will serve to improve simulations of carbon and water fluxes, particularly in cases of drought and disturbance (McDowell et al., 2013; Matheny et al., 2014b). Whole-plant hydraulic strategy has the potential to be incorporated into models in several ways with varying degrees of complexity and computational load.

Here, we presented two alternatives: The first alternative centers on the integration of whole-plant hydraulic strategies into bins of similar properties through the use of combined leaf-xylem-root hydrology strategies. Conceptually, this calls for expanding the current PFTs to include the combination of hydraulic strategies as defining properties for each bin of species. However, this approach may also suffer problems similar to those associated with over-aggregation found within existing PFT paradigms. The second approach for the incorporation of whole-plant hydraulic strategy requires the use of mechanistic plant hydrodynamic sub-models to simulate the functional behaviors of statistically scalable representative individuals. While this method provides greater opportunity to capture behaviors resulting from long- and short-term trait variability, it will require additional computational resources.

Both proposed incorporation schemes present ways by which observational plant trait data from newly established databanks...
can be utilized to inform practical model parameterizations grounded in reality. Models incorporating trait-based representations of plant hydraulic properties may be better able to capture demographic responses to climate change if modelers and ecologists can successfully identify the appropriate tradeoff surfaces within the plant economics spectrum (e.g. Chave et al., 2009). To test the potential for model improvements through the incorporation of the whole-plant hydraulic strategy and its practical applicability at multiple temporal and spatial scales, modelers should seek to include the discussed as well as other strategies within a board variety of trait-based land surface and dynamic vegetation models. Recent work from Xiu et al. (2016) using redefined hydraulic trait specific PFTs and a mechanistic simulation of plant water dynamics, among other improvements, within the Ecosystem Demography model version 2 (ED2) to replicate vegetation dynamics in a tropical forest can provide an excellent starting point for future analyses.
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