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Cardiorespiratory Fitness as a Correlate of Cardiovascular, Anthropometric, and Physical Risk Factors: Using the Ruffier Test as a Template
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Background. Assessment of cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF) is a standard procedure in routine clinical practices. Early identification of risk factors through screening is vital in the fight against chronic diseases. Evaluation of CRF can impose cost implications in the clinical setting; thus, a simple and easy-to-use test is to be advocated. The Ruffier test is a simple test that can assess CRF, and it is necessary to find whether the test reflects the effects of compounding factors in CRF.

Objective. This study aims to determine the association between CRF (estimated VO2max) with cardiovascular, anthropometric, and physical risk factors using the Ruffier test.

Methods. A cross-sectional study with a sample of 52 male participants was conducted. Before the Ruffier test, each participant’s body weight, height, waist circumference, skinfold thickness, thigh length, lower-limb length, thigh circumference, physical activity, blood pressure, smoking, diabetes, and pulmonary functions were recorded, and these factors correlated with CRF.

Results. There was a significant inverse relationship found between the estimated VO2max and age, height, body weight, body mass index, waist circumference, a sum of skinfold, fat percentage, thigh length, lower-limb length, thigh circumference, smoking, blood pressure, heart rates, and diabetes ($p < 0.05$). A significant positive correlation was found between the estimated VO2max with physical activity and respiratory functions ($p < 0.05$). In the multivariable model, body weight and resting heart rate were significantly inversely associated with the estimated VO2max ($p < 0.05$).

Conclusion. Using the Ruffier test, various risk factors of CRF are correlated with the estimated VO2max. This test reflects the effects of different compounding factors on CRF; therefore, it can be used in routine clinical practices to identify the risk factors early.

1. Introduction

Dr. J. E. Ruffier introduced a test that measures the resistance of the heart to the physical effort [1]. The Ruffier test is simple, valid, easily reproducible, and requires no equipment except a timer. It has been popularly utilized in the fields of rehabilitation, physical education, and sports medicine and has been widely used for many years to measure the exercise performance in European countries [2–4]. It is a three-minute heart rate- (HR-) based cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF) test in which the participants perform 30 squats in 45 seconds. Three measurements of HR are taken: resting HR (pretest), HR immediately after completing the squats (post-test 1), and recovery HR 60 seconds, which is measured after the completion of the test (post-test 2). Studies have proven that the test has strong validity to predict CRF in terms of the estimated maximal oxygen uptake (VO2max) [5, 6].

VO2max is defined as the maximal amount of oxygen consumption beyond which no further increase in oxygen consumption occurs with a further increase in exercise intensity [7]. VO2max is a well-known and reliable method
for quantitatively measuring CRF. CRF reflects the integrated ability to transport oxygen from the atmosphere to the mitochondria to perform physical work, and it quantifies the functional capacity of an individual. The measurement of CRF can be performed directly or can be estimated using the maximal work rate achieved on a treadmill or a cycle ergometer or from other simple or complex methods [8]. Various types of equipment, advanced labs, high cost, or bigger space are required to administer the available tests which measure CRF [9]. Thus, an alternative test is needed, which is valid and administered with ease.

Disease risk prediction is closely associated with CRF in apparently healthy individuals, individuals with risk, and individuals already diagnosed with one or more chronic conditions [10–14]. There are various proven relationships between CRF and respiratory functions [15–19], physiological [20], and physical factors [21, 22], smoking [23, 24], physical activity [25–28], and anthropometric variables [29]. Factors such as body weight, body mass index (BMI), waist circumference, fat percentage, blood pressure (BP), smoking, and diabetes inversely affect CRF, while respiratory functions and physical activity positively affect CRF.

A valid and reliable test not only measures the variables accurately but also should reflect the various compounding factors which have a positive or negative effect. Therefore, the standard tests can be administered to all irrespective of the influence of any compounding factors. In general, administering the screening tool such as the Ruffier test must help to measure the variables, as well as find out the influencing risk factors which cause major health issues. Hence, these screening tests assist in early identification and primary prevention of chronic diseases.

The relationship between CRF and its various risk factors have not been investigated using the Ruffier test. Therefore, this present study aimed to determine the correlation between CRF and cardiorespiratory, anthropometric, and physical risk factors using the Ruffier test. The second aim is to compare the difference in CRF between smoking and nonsmoking groups and between participants with and without diabetes.

2. Materials and Methods

In this cross-sectional study, the participants, including 52 male volunteers between 20 and 60 years of age (34.4 ± 2.4), were randomly selected from the student and faculty registry of University (male section) using a systematic random sampling method. The sampling interval was calculated using formula \( K = N/Tsz \). \( N \) denoted the total number of students and faculties and was 998, and \( Tsz \) is the total sample size. So, every 19\(^{th} \) volunteer was selected from the registry. A routine medical examination of the neuromusculoskeletal system was performed on the participants to determine their health status, and participants were requested to complete the Physical Activity Readiness Questionnaire [30]. Those found to be clinically healthy were requested to complete the informed consent form. Before signing the form, the participants received a complete verbal description of the benefits and risks of the study. Potential participants with self-reported acute infections, heart and lung diseases, a recent injury in the lower limbs, or neurological and cognitive disease were excluded. This study approved by the Research Ethics Committee, King Khalid University, Saudi Arabia (REC # 2018-06-02).

2.1. Measurement Procedures. The sequence of measurement procedures is illustrated in Figure 1. Standing height (cm) and barefoot body weight (kg) were measured by using a stadiometer (Jiangsu Suhong, China) and a standard weighing machine (Joycare, China), respectively, while the participant wore a minimal amount of clothing. The BMI formula (body weight/height in meters\(^2 \)) was used to determine the BMI. The systolic blood pressure (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) were measured in the seated position using an electronic sphygmomanometer (OMRON M7, Intelli IT, China).

Skinfold thickness (SFT) was measured by a single trained researcher using a Harpenden Caliper (Baty, UK) from carefully marked sites on the biceps, triceps, subscapular, and suprailliac areas on the nondominant side. The calipers were calibrated for tension and with a substance of known width before testing. Sites were carefully marked, and a minimum of two readings were taken at rotating sites; if two measures at a site differed by more than 3 mm, a third measure was taken. The mean of the two closest measures recorded and the percentage of body fat were calculated using the table published by Durnin and Womersley [31].

The anthropometric measurements were performed as follows: waist circumference (WC) in cm was measured using plastic tape at the midpoint between the costal margin and iliac crest in the midaxillary line in the standing position at the end of a gentle expiration [32]. Measurement sites were marked with a semipermanent ink pen and maintained throughout the experimental period. The thigh circumference (cm) was measured using a tape measured at 30, 50, and 70% of the distance from the greater trochanter point to the lateral condyle, using a pen marker to point to the place while the subject was standing upright [33]. Thigh length (cm) was measured between the greater trochanter and the lateral femoral condyle [34]. Lower-limb length was measured in a supine position from the most inferior aspect of the anterior superior iliac spine to the most distal aspect of the medial malleoli [35].

To quantify the participants’ physical activity, they answered the short version of the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ) [36]. The short version of the IPAQ addresses the number of days and minutes spent performing physical exercise in the form of recreational and occupational activities, transportation, and household duties. The score was obtained by totaling the number of days, hours, and minutes of physical activities performed during the week before completion of the questionnaire. The participants’ smoking status was quantified using the Fagerstrom Test for Nicotine Dependency [37], which consists of six items.

The respiratory parameters were measured using the MIR Spirolab III (Italy) spirometer instrument. The subjects
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Figure 1: Sequence of measurement procedures. WC- waist circumference, TC- thigh circumference, TL- thigh length, and LLL- lower-limb length.

The Ruffier test was performed at the end of all measurement procedures. Participants rested for five minutes in the supine position. The pretest heart rate (HR 1) was measured in the standing position after the five-minute rest period. The subjects were then, instructed to perform 30 squats in 45 seconds, with a tempo set by a metronome (80 beats per minute). Each repetition consisted of two movements: squatting down and standing back up. The squatting movements were composed of flexion of the knee to 90° while keeping the back straight and the arms extended frontally. At the end of the test, the post-test heart rate 1 (HR 2) was measured after 15 seconds of the test. The post-test heart rate 2 (HR 3) was measured after one minute of the test, and the HR was measured using a Polar heart rate monitor (POLAR T31, China) [5, 6]. The $VO_{2max}$ was estimated based on the following equation [5]:

$$VO_{2max} = 3.0143 + 1.1585 \times \text{sex} - 0.0268 \times \left( \frac{P1\text{ height}}{\text{age}} \right) + 118.7611 \times \left( \frac{(P2 - P3)}{\text{age}^2} \right).$$

2.2. Statistical Analysis. Statistical software SPSS (version 20.0, IBM-SPSS Inc, Armonk, NY, USA) was used for statistical analysis. The type of data was checked by the Shapiro–Wilk test and found not distributed normally. The results were reported as mean± SD, and the relationship between variables was determined using the Spearman correlation coefficient ($r$). Multiple linear regression was performed to examine bivariate correlations between the estimated $VO_{2max}$ and compounding factors. The difference between groups was analyzed using the Mann–Whitney U-test. $p<0.05$ was considered to be statistically significant.

3. Results

The study involved 52 healthy and asymptomatic participants. The participants’ baseline characteristics are summarized in Table 1. Subjects with a history of smoking (18 participants, 34.6%) and diabetes (15 participants, 28.8%) also participated in the study. A significant inverse relationship was found between the estimated $VO_{2max}$ and body weight ($p \leq 0.001$), BMI ($p \leq 0.001$), WC ($p \leq 0.001$), the sum of skinfold ($p \leq 0.001$), fat percentage ($p \leq 0.001$), and diabetes duration ($p = 0.03$). A significant inverse relationship was found between the estimated $VO_{2max}$ and age ($p = 0.01$), height ($p = 0.03$), thigh length ($p = 0.02$), lower-limb length ($p = 0.048$), thigh circumference ($p = 0.02$), smoking ($p = 0.02$), SBP ($p = 0.03$), DBP ($p = 0.02$), resting heart rate (HR 1) ($p = 0.01$), peak heart rate (HR 2) ($p = 0.02$), and recovery heart rate (HR 3) ($p = 0.02$).

A significant positive correlation ($p<0.01$) was found between the estimated $VO_{2max}$ with physical activity ($p \leq 0.001$) and respiratory functions (FVC, FEV1, and FEV1/FVC) ($p \leq 0.001$) (Table 2). The differences between smokers and nonsmokers and participants with and without diabetes are also given in Table 2. A statistically significant difference was found between these groups. In the multivariable model (Table 3), the compounding factors of body weight, pr-test heart rate, and (FEV1/FVC) are significantly inversely associated with the estimated $VO_{2max}$. The relationships between the estimated $VO_{2max}$ and all other variables are illustrated in Figure 2.

4. Discussion

Assessment of CRF is vital for patients who are at risk of developing the disease in the cardiorespiratory system, as well as for athletes and the general public. The assessment of CRF using the Ruffier test is a known and valid method in various clinical settings [5]. An accurate test should reflect in
its results the various positive and negative factors which affect the condition. There are various known risk factors and etiological factors that may lead to the development of the cardiorespiratory disease. Thus, the aim was to use the Ruffier test to assess the correlation between CRF and various risk factors for CRF.

Studies [38–42] report that VO2max depends on age, gender, physical activity, and body weight. Maximal exercise capacity or VO2max declines 5–20% per decade among healthy individuals with decreasing muscle mass and declining age-related physical activity levels [43]. Various authors conclude that there is an inverse low-to-moderate relationship between VO2max and age. Similarly, the current study also reports a significant low correlation ($r = -0.352, p < 0.05$) between these variables.

In this study, height also reported a significant low inverse correlation ($r = -0.310, p < 0.05$) with the estimated VO2max. Previous studies have also reported mixed results, with height both correlating [44–48] and not correlating [49–51] with VO2max. The participants’ performance of a simple knee flexion-to-extension movement in this test could explain the negative correlation since the other studies did not use this testing method. The body weight, BMI, and WC exhibit a moderate-to-high negative correlation estimated VO2max using the Ruffier test (Table 2). Barry et al. [52] and Montero D and Diaz-canestro [53] concluded in their meta-analysis and systematic analysis, respectively, that there is an inverse relationship between BMI and CRF. Studies have also established inverse relationships between VO2max and BMI and VO2max and body weight, among students [54], athletes [55], and healthy adults [56]. A research [57] has indicated that a higher WC and lower maximal oxygen consumption produce results similar to this, and the present study also reports the same. Increasing type II muscle fibers and decreasing type I muscle fibers are one reason for decreasing VO2max among high BMI and WC individuals [58].

Estimation of the body fat percentage using a skinfold thickness measurement is a reliable method across all age groups [59]. The current study reports a negative correlation for both the sum of skinfold thickness and body fat percentage (Table 2) with the estimated VO2max. These findings well supported in previous studies conducted by Drake et al. [60] and Montero and Diaz-Canestro [53]. The reason for the negative correlation between VO2max and body fat is that there is a direct link between skeletal muscle mass and its capacity for generating oxygen and/or consuming oxygen [61]. The Ruffier test performed using the lower limbs, especially the thigh and its musculature. Thus, the relationship between outcomes of the Ruffier test examined with lower-limb variables such as limb length, thigh length, and thigh circumference. The present study reports a significant low negative correlation between the estimated VO2max and lower-limb variables. The total body height, lower-limb length, and thigh length are negatively correlated with the estimated VO2max using the Ruffier test.

Regarding thigh circumference, individuals with high BMI and body fat percentage may have high thigh circumference. This may explain the significant low negative correlation between thigh circumference and estimated VO2max which was also reported in a study by Ko et al. [62], including college students as participants. Authors [63] also found a positive correlation between thigh circumference and CRF. To further investigate this relationship, researchers can perform research using real-time ultrasound measurement of thigh musculature and its relationship with VO2max.

A systematic review conducted by Echouffo-Tcheugui et al. [64] found a positive relationship between physical activity and CRF. The present study also reports a highly significant positive correlation between these variables (Table 2). Physical activity or exercise increases VO2max by enhancing the cardiac output and the secondary to high stroke volume and causing an increase in arteriovenous oxygen difference. All these processes improve the extraction of oxygen by working muscle [65]. The present study also aimed to evaluate the relationship between the estimated VO2max and diabetes, as well as smoking. The results revealed a negative correlation between these factors (Table 2). Systematic reviews [66, 67] and articles [23, 24] also establish an inverse relationship between CRF and diabetes, as well as smoking. Significant differences in the mean score (Table 2) were found in this study when analyzing the differences between smokers and nonsmokers and participants with and without diabetes. Impairments in nutritive blood flow to working muscles and endothelial-specific impairments are the causes of decreased exercise capacity among individuals with diabetes [68]. Smoking leads to the elevation of carbon monoxide and nicotine in the blood, which decreases the oxygen-carrying capacity of blood and

| Table 1: Basic characteristics of participants. |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| Variables | Mean ± SD | Minimum | Maximum |
|------------|-----------|---------|---------|
| Age (yrs)  | 36.38 ± 10.49 | 21 | 57 |
| Weight (kg) | 71.67 ± 11.89 | 56 | 105 |
| Height (m) | 1.69 ± 0.39 | 1.59 | 1.75 |
| BMI        | 25.12 ± 3.83 | 19.71 | 37.2 |
| WC (cm)    | 78.4 ± 9.37 | 65 | 116 |
| Sum of SF  | 43.54 ± 21.14 | 19.7 | 113.6 |
| Fat percentage | 23.19 ± 7.42 | 12.4 | 39.4 |
| Thigh length (cm) | 39.34 ± 1.93 | 35.5 | 42 |
| LLI (cm)   | 84.92 ± 2.01 | 81 | 88.5 |
| TC (cm)    | 47.63 ± 5.16 | 40.5 | 58 |
| Physical activity (METs) | 1114 ± 549.65 | 347 | 2292 |
| SBP        | 123.56 ± 7.85 | 110 | 146 |
| DBP        | 83.62 ± 4.41 | 80 | 95 |
| Smoking score | 1.38 ± 1.88 | 0 | 5 |
| Diabetes (yrs) | 1.02 ± 2.01 | 0 | 8 |
| FVC (L)    | 4.55 ± 0.55 | 3.11 | 5.21 |
| FEV1 (L)   | 3.71 ± 0.55 | 2.44 | 4.87 |
| (FEV1/FVC) | 85.07 ± 4.54 | 72.31 | 92.48 |
| HR 1       | 81.09 ± 8.28 | 55 | 91 |
| HR 2       | 116.85 ± 15.46 | 69 | 150 |
| HR 3       | 93.04 ± 10.02 | 66 | 114 |
| Estimated VO2max | 42.39 ± 7.31 | 26.43 | 57.57 |

BMI: body mass index; WC: waist circumference; SF: skinfolds; LLI: lower-limb length; TC: thigh circumference; SBP: systolic blood pressure; DBP: diastolic blood pressure; FVC: forced vital capacity; FEV1: forced expiratory volume in 1 second; HR: heart rate; VO2max: maximal oxygen consumption.
Table 2: Correlation between the estimated VO$_{2\text{max}}$ and various compounding factors of CRF. Difference in the estimated VO$_{2\text{max}}$ among smokers vs. nonsmokers and diabetes vs. non-diabetes.

|                 | Age  | BW   | Ht   | BMI  | WC   | Sum of SF | BF   | TL   | LLL  | TC   | PA   | DD   | Smoking |
|-----------------|------|------|------|------|------|-----------|------|------|------|------|------|------|----------|
| Estimated VO$_{2\text{max}}$ |      |      |      |      |      |           |      |      |      |      |      |      |          |
| p               | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.05      | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.01 |          |

|                  | SBP  | DBP  | FVC  | FEV1 (FEV1/FVC) | Pretest heart rate (HR 1) | Post-test heart rate (HR 2) | Post-test heart rate (HR 3) | Smokers Mean ± SD | Non-smokers Mean ± SD | Diabetes Mean ± SD | Non-diabetes Mean ± SD |
|------------------|------|------|------|-----------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| Estimated VO$_{2\text{max}}$ |      |      |      |                 |                           |                           |                             |                  |                         |                      |                        |
| p               | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.597 < 0.01    | -0.343                   | -0.315                    | -0.330                     | 37.76 ± 5.94      | 45.29 ± 6.62              | 37.69 ± 5.96         | 44.29 ± 6.99            |

VO$_{2\text{max}}$: maximal oxygen consumption; BW: body weight; Ht: height; BMI: body mass index; WC: waist circumference; SF: skinfolds; BF: body fat percentage; TL: thigh length; LLL: lower-limb length; TC: thigh circumference; PA: physical activity; DD: diabetes duration; SBP: systolic blood pressure; DBP: diastolic blood pressure; FVC: forced vital capacity; FEV1: forced expiratory volume in 1 second; HR: heart rate.
Table 3: A multivariate model of association of the estimated VO$_{2\text{max}}$ with compounding factors.

|                      | Beta coefficient | Adjusted R-squared | 95% CI | p value |
|----------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------|---------|
| Bodyweight (kg)      | -0.56            | -0.63              | -0.49  | <0.001  |
| Pre-test heart rate (HR 1) | -0.32           | -0.36              | -0.27  | <0.001  |
| Physical activity    | 0.001            | 0.000              | 0.002  | <0.05   |
| (FEV1/FVC)           | -0.12            | -0.23              | -0.007 | <0.05   |

95% CI: 95% confidence interval; HR: heart rate.

Figure 2: Continued.
causes the same decline in cardiorespiratory fitness among smokers [69]. Studies confirmed the negative relationship between BP and VO2max [70, 71]. The present study also reports a significantly low inverse correlation between BP and the estimated VO2max (Table 2). Increased BP leads to arterial stiffness and decreases the ability to transport blood to the working muscle [72]. The relationship between the pulmonary function and estimated VO2max was analyzed in the present research. Various studies [15–17] conclude that there is a positive association between the pulmonary function (FVC, FEV1, and \( \text{FEV1/FVC} \)) and VO2max. The present study also found a highly significant moderate positive correlation between the pulmonary function and estimated VO2max. Better functioning of respiratory muscles, a favorable change in chest wall mechanics, and improved lung or airway perfusion are the mechanisms behind the positive correlation between lung function and VO2max [73]. Studies using the Ruffier test [5, 6] to predict VO2max showed the inverse relationship between three different heart rates (pretest heart rate [HR 1], post-test heart rate (HR 2), and post-test heart rate (HR 3)) and the estimated VO2max. The current study also established a negative correlation between HR and the estimated VO2max (Table 2). Endurance activities generally increase the VO2max and individuals with suitable endurance activities have low sympathetic activity on the conductive cardiac system [74], which leads to decreased HR among individuals with high VO2max. This study further shows that the VO2 max estimated by the Ruffier test is inversely correlated with body weight and resting heart rate. In the multivariable model incorporating the individual compounding factors, body weight and resting heart rate are

**Figure 2:** The relationship between the estimated VO2max and (a) age, (b) weight, (c) height, (d) body mass index, (e) waist circumference, (f) the sum of skinfold, (g) fat percentage, (h) thigh length, (i) lower-limb length, (j) thigh circumference, (k) physical activity, and (l) systolic blood pressure. The relationship between the estimated VO2max and (m) diastolic blood pressure, (n) diabetes duration, (o) smoking, (p) forced vital capacity, (q) forced expiratory volume in the first second, (r) \( \text{FEV1/FVC} \), (s) pre-exercise heart rate (HR 1), (t) post-exercise heart rate (HR 2), and (u) postexercise heart rate (HR 3).
independently associated with the estimated VO₂ max. These findings are consistent with previous studies those examined the influence of body weight [57, 75] and resting heart rate [76, 77] on VO₂max.

The present study also reports a few limitations. The participants of this study have several residual fitness statuses, making it difficult to generalize the result on a specific uniform population. Other cardiorespiratory risk factors, such as biochemical variables and genetic factors, were not included. Furthermore, only males participated in this study due to the cultural norms of the country where it took place. The subjective assessment of physical activity was performed in this study; future studies may seek to obtain the objective assessment of physical activity.

5. Conclusions

The various proven risk factors of cardiorespiratory functions are positively or negatively correlated with the estimated VO₂max when measured using the Ruffier test. This test results reflect the different compounding factors of the cardiorespiratory system, which increase or decrease in the CRF. Hence, the Ruffier test may be administered to the general population to find the presence of compounding factors that affects the cardiorespiratory system at the earliest. Therefore, this test places a significant role in preventive care.

Data Availability

The data used to support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this article.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by King Khalid University, Abha, Saudi Arabia (Grant number: R.G.P1/167/41).

References

[1] J. Dickson, "Utilisation de l’indice cardiaque de Ruffier dans le contrôle médico-sportif," Medicine Education Physical et Sport, vol. 2, p. 65, 1950.

[2] A. Bruneau, A. L. Faucheur, G. Mahe, B. Vielle, G. Letheriotis, and P. Abraham, "Endothirosis in athletes: is a simple bedside exercise helpful or sufficient for the diagnosis?" Clinical Journal of Sport Medicine, vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 282–286, 2009.

[3] M. Bytniewski and J. Danielewicz, "The use of the Ruffier’s test to evaluate efficiency of circulation system," Training, vol. 190, p. 120, 2008.

[4] F. Cisse, A. Ba, L. Gueye et al., "Supervision of body composition and cardiovascular parameters in long sprint running athletes (400 m)," Dakar Medical, vol. 51, no. 1, pp. 63–67, 2006.

[5] Y. Guo, J. Bian, Q. Li et al., "A 3-minute test of cardiorespiratory fitness for use in primary care clinics," PLoS One, vol. 13, no. 7, Article ID e0201598, 2018.

[6] F. Sartor, M. Bonato, G. Papini et al., "A 45-second self-test for cardiorespiratory fitness: heart rate-based estimation in healthy individuals," PLoS One, vol. 11, no. 12, Article ID e0168154, 2016.

[7] J. H. Mitchell, B. J. Sproule, and C. B. Chapman, "The physiological meaning of the maximal oxygen intake test1," Journal of Clinical Investigation, vol. 37, no. 4, pp. 538–547, 1958.

[8] R. Ross, S. N. Blair, R. Arena et al., "Importance of assessing cardiorespiratory fitness in clinical practice: a case for fitness as a clinical vital sign: a scientific statement from the American heart association," Circulation, vol. 134, no. 24, pp. e653–e699, 2016.

[9] F. Sartor, G. Vernillo, H. M. De Morre et al., "Estimation of maximal oxygen uptake via submaximal exercise testing in sports, clinical, and home settings," Sports Medicine, vol. 43, no. 9, pp. 865–873, 2013.

[10] J. Nauman, L. C. Tauschek, L. A. Kaminsky, B. M. Nes, and U. Wisløff, "Global fitness levels: findings from a web-based surveillance report," Progress in Cardiovascular Diseases, vol. 60, no. 1, pp. 78–88, 2017.

[11] U. Wisløff and C. J. Lave, "Taking physical activity, exercise, and fitness to a higher level," Progress in Cardiovascular Diseases, vol. 60, no. 1, pp. 1–2, 2017.

[12] P. F. Kokkinos, C. Faselis, J. Myers et al., "Cardiorespiratory fitness and incidence of major adverse cardiovascular events in US veterans: a cohort study," Mayo Clinic Proceedings, vol. 92, no. 1, pp. 39–48, 2017.

[13] J. Myers, R. Doom, R. King et al., "Association between cardiorespiratory fitness and health care costs: the veterans exercise testing study," Mayo Clinic Proceedings, vol. 93, no. 1, pp. 48–55, 2018.

[14] M. P. Harber, L. A. Kaminsky, R. Arena et al., "Impact of cardiorespiratory fitness on all-cause and disease-specific mortality: advances since 2009," Progress in Cardiovascular Diseases, vol. 60, no. 1, pp. 11–20, 2017.

[15] T. G. Babb, K. A. Long, and J. R. Rodarte, "The relationship between maximal expiratory flow and increases of maximal exercise capacity with exercise training," American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, vol. 156, no. 1, pp. 116–121, 1997.

[16] R. Fatemi, S. Shakerian, M. Ghanbarzade et al., "The comparison of dynamic volumes of pulmonary function between different levels of maximal oxygen uptake," The International Research Journal of Applied and Basic Sciences, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 667–674, 2012.

[17] E. Hassel, D. Stensvold, T. Halvorsen, U. Wisløff, A. Langhammer, and S. Steinshamn, "Association between pulmonary function and peak oxygen uptake in elderly: the generation 100 study," Respiratory Research, vol. 16, no. 1, p. 156, 2015.

[18] B. D. Johnson, M. S. Badr, and J. A. Dempsey, "Impact of the aging pulmonary system on the response to exercise," Clinics in Chest Medicine, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 229–246, 1994.

[19] B. D. Johnson, W. G. Reddan, D. F. Pegelow, K. C. Seow, and J. A. Dempsey, "Flow limitation and regulation of functional residual capacity during exercise in a physically active aging population," American Review of Respiratory Disease, vol. 143, no. 1, pp. 960–967, 1991.

[20] B. J. Arsenault, D. Lachance, I. Lemieux et al., "Visceral adipose tissue accumulation, cardiorespiratory fitness, and
features of the metabolic syndrome,” *Archives of Internal Medicine*, vol. 167, no. 14, pp. 1518–1525, 2007.

[21] R. Ortega, G. Grandes, A. Sanchez, I. Montoya, and J. Torcal, “Cardiorespiratory fitness and development of abdominal obesity,” *Preventive Medicine*, vol. 118, pp. 232–237, 2019.

[22] M. Fogelholm, “Physical activity, fitness and fatness: relations to mortality, morbidity and disease risk factors. a systematic review,” *Obesity Reviews*, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 202–221, 2010.

[23] A. De Borba, R. Jost, R. Gass et al., “The influence of active and passive smoking on the cardiorespiratory fitness of adults,” *Multidisciplinary Respiratory Medicine*, vol. 9, no. 1, p. 34, 2014.

[24] M. Misigoj-Durakovic, D. Bok, M. Soric, D. Dizdar, Z. Durakovic, and L. Jukic, “The effect of cigarette smoking history on muscular and cardiorespiratory endurance,” *Journal of Addictive Diseases*, vol. 31, no. 4, pp. 389–396, 2012.

[25] H. W. Kohl, S. N. Blair, R. S. Paffenbarger, C. A. Macera, and J. J. Kronenfeld, “A mail survey of physical activity habits as related to measured physical fitness,” *American Journal of Epidemiology*, vol. 127, no. 6, pp. 1228–1239, 1988.

[26] Y. J. Cheng, C. Macera, C. Addy et al., “Effects of physical activity on exercise tests and respiratory function,” *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, vol. 37, no. 6, pp. 521–528, 2003.

[27] S. N. Blair, Y. Cheng, and J. Scott Holder, “Is physical activity or physical fitness more important in defining health benefits?” *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, vol. 33, no. Supplement, pp. S379–S399, 2001.

[28] D. C. Lee, X. Sui, and S. N. Blair, “Does physical activity ameliorate the health hazards of obesity?” *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, vol. 43, no. 1, pp. 49–51, 2009.

[29] S. Esmaeizadeh, H.-A. Kalantari, and B. Nakhostin-Roohi, “Cardiorespiratory fitness, activity level, health-related anthropometric variables, sedentary behaviour and socioeconomic status in a sample of Iranian 7–11 year old boys,” *Biology of Sport*, vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 67–71, 2013.

[30] S. Thomas, J. Reading, and R. J. Shephard, “Revision of the physical activity readiness questionnaire (PAR-Q),” *Canadian Journal of Sport Sciences*, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 338–345, 1992.

[31] J. V. G. A. Durinck and J. Womersley, “Body fat assessed from total body density and its estimation from skinfold thickness: measurements on 481 men and women aged from 16 to 72 years,” *British Journal of Nutrition*, vol. 32, no. 1, pp. 77–97, 1974.

[32] WHO, *Waist Circumference and Waist-Hip Ratio: Report of a WHO Expert Consultation*, WHO, Geneva, Switzerland, 2011.

[33] E. Ochi, M. Maruo, Y. Tsubiya, N. Ishii, K. Miura, and K. Sasaki, “Higher training frequency is important for gaining muscular strength under volume-matched training,” *Frontiers in Physiology*, vol. 9, p. 744, 2018.

[34] J. J. Bagwell, L. Bauer, M. Gradoz, and T. L. Grindstaff, “The reliability of FAVER test hip range of motion measurements,” *International Journal of Sports Physical Therapy*, vol. 11, no. 7, pp. 1101–1105, 2016.

[35] K. Hébert-Losier, “Clinical implications of hand position and lower limb length measurement method on Y-balance test scores and interpretations,” *Journal of Athletic Training*, vol. 52, no. 10, pp. 910–917, 2017.

[36] 2016 International physical activity questionnaire.

[37] K.-O. Fagerstrom and N. G. Schneider, “Measuring nicotine dependence: a review of the Fagerstrom tolerance questionnaire,” *Journal of Behavioral Medicine*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 159–182, 1989.

[38] S. A. Hawkins and R. A. Wiswell, “Rate and mechanism of maximal oxygen consumption decline with aging,” *Sports Medicine*, vol. 33, no. 12, pp. 877–888, 2003.

[39] J. L. Fleg, C. H. Morrell, A. G. Bos et al., “Accelerated longitudinal decline of aerobic capacity in healthy older adults,” *Circulation*, vol. 112, no. 5, pp. 674–682, 2005.

[40] J. A. Laukkonen, D. Laaksonen, T. A. Lakka et al., “Determinants of cardiosrespiratory fitness in men aged 42 to 60 years with and without cardiovascular disease,” *The American Journal of Cardiology*, vol. 103, no. 11, pp. 1598–1604, 2009.

[41] P. Kiss, D. Meester, C. Maes et al., “Inspanningstolerantie en lichaamsverstaart bij Vlaamse brandweerliemen,” *Eerste Resultaten. Tijdschrift Voor Geneeskunde*, vol. 66, no. 13, pp. 662–668, 2010.

[42] G. J. M. Vandersmissen, R. A. J. R. Verhoogen, A. F. M. Van Cauwenbergh, and L. Godderis, “Determinants of maximal oxygen uptake (VO2max) in fire fighter testing,” *Applied Ergonomics*, vol. 45, no. 4, pp. 1063–1066, 2014.

[43] C.-H. Kim, C. M. Wheatley, M. Behnia, and B. D. Johnson, “The effect of aging on relationships between lean body mass and VO2max in rowers,” *PloS One*, vol. 11, no. 8, Article ID e0160275, 2016.

[44] P. Heydari, S. Varmazyar, and E. Mohammadzadeh, “Factors affecting estimation of the maximum aerobic capacity by treadmill test in students of medical emergencies in Qazvin, *The Journal of Qazvin University of Medical Sciences*, vol. 19, no. 6, pp. 65–72, 2016.

[45] F. Zare Derisi, L. Rastegar, S. Hosseini et al., “Correlation of astrand and ACSM protocols in estimating the maximum aerobic capacity (VO2max),” *Iranian Journal of Ergonomics*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 27–35, 2014.

[46] A. Rafieepour, F. Farasati, S. Kalantari, M. Motamedzadeh, and E. Rafieepour, “Estimation of maximum aerobic capacity and the effect,” *Qom University of Medical Sciences Journal*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 33–40, 2014.

[47] A. Choobineh, M. Barzideh, T. Gholami et al., “Estimation of aerobic capacity (VO2max) and study of its associated factors among male workers of industrial factories in Sepidan/Fars province, 2009,” *Fundishapur Scientific Medical Journal*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 1–12, 2011.

[48] M. Siahkouhia, “Impact of height on the prediction of maximum oxygen consumption in active young men,” *Journal of Applied Sciences*, vol. 9, no. 12, pp. 2340–2343, 2009.

[49] M. Firoozeh, M. Saremi, A. Maleki, and A. Kavousi, “Investigation of maximal aerobic capacity and associated factors in firefighters,” *IOH*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 15–26, 2015.

[50] F. Arghavani, G. Teimouri, K. Ebrahimi, K. H. Rahmani, and K. Javanmardi, Estimation of maximal aerobic capacity (VO2Max) and study of its associated factors smong industrial male workers in Snandaj city/Kurdistan province 2013, 2014.

[51] H. Daneshmandi, A. Choobineh, and A. Rajaei Fard, “Estimation of aerobic capacity and determination of its associated factors among male workers of industrial sector of Shiraz city, 2010,” *IOH*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 48–58, 2011.

[52] V. W. Barry, M. Baruth, M. W. Beets, J. L. Durstine, J. Liu, and S. N. Blair, “Fitness vs. fatness on all-cause mortality: a meta-analysis,” *Progress in Cardiovascular Diseases*, vol. 56, no. 4, pp. 382–390, 2014.

[53] D. Montero and C. Díaz-Cañestro, “Maximal cardiac output in athletes: influence of age,” *European Journal of Preventive Cardiology*, vol. 22, no. 12, pp. 1588–1600, 2015.

[54] S. Kalyaneshetti and S. Veluru, “A cross-sectional study of association of body mass index and VO2max by nonexercise
test in medical students,” *National Journal of Physiology, Pharmacy and Pharmacology*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 1–31, 2017.

[55] J. P. Gilgoroska, S. Manchevskia, L. Efremova, L. Todorovska, and S. Nikolic, “Body composition and maximal oxygen consumption in adult soccer players in the Republic of Macedonia,” *Journal of Health Sciences*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 85–92, 2015.

[56] H. Shah, T. Prajapati, and S. Singh, “Association of body mass index with VO2max in Indian adults,” *International Journal of Basic & Applied Physiology*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 155–159, 2016.

[57] C. De Souza e Silva, B. Franklin, and C. Araújo, “Influence of central obesity in estimating maximal oxygen uptake,” *Clinics*, vol. 71, no. 11, pp. 629–634, 2016.

[58] A. H. Kissebah and G. R. Krakower, “Regional adiposity and morbidity,” *Physiological Reviews*, vol. 74, no. 4, pp. 761–811, 1994.

[59] C. M. Toomey, A. Cremona, K. Hughes, C. Norton, and P. Jakeman, “A review of body composition measurement in the assessment of health,” *Topics in Clinical Nutrition*, vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 16–32, 2015.

[60] V. Drake, G. Jones, J. R. Brown, and R. J. Shephard, “Fitness performance tests and their relationship to the maximal oxygen uptake of adults,” *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, vol. 99, no. 17, pp. 844–848, 1968.

[61] M. J. Toth, M. I. Goran, P. A. Ades, D. B. Howard, and E. T. Poehlman, “Examination of data normalization procedures for expressing peak VO2 data,” *Journal of Applied Physiology*, vol. 75, no. 5, pp. 2288–2292, 1993.

[62] S.-S. Ko, J.-S. Chung, and W.-Y. So, “Correlation between waist and mid-thigh circumference and cardiovascular fitness in Korean college students: a case study,” *Journal of Physical Therapy Science*, vol. 27, no. 9, pp. 3019–3021, 2015.

[63] B. L. Heitmann and P. Frederiksen, “Thigh circumference and risk of heart disease and premature death: prospective cohort study,” *BMJ*, vol. 339, no. 2, p. b3292, 2009.

[64] J. B. Echouffo-Tcheugui, J. Butler, C. W. Yancy, and G. C. Fonarow, “Association of physical activity or fitness with incident heart failure,” *Circulation: Heart Failure*, vol. 8, no. 5, pp. 853–861, 2015.

[65] B. Ekblom, P. O. Astrand, B. Saltin, J. Stenberg, and B. Wallström, “Effect of training on circulatory response to exercise,” *Journal of Applied Physiology*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 518–528, 1968.

[66] X. Lin, X. Zhang, J. Guo et al., “Effects of exercise training on cardiorespiratory fitness and biomarkers of cardiometabolic health: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials,” *Journal of the American Heart Association*, vol. 4, no. 7, Article ID e002014, 2015.

[67] J. Tarp, A. P. Støle, K. Blond, and A. Grøntved, “Cardiorespiratory fitness, muscular strength and risk of type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis,” *Diabetologia*, vol. 62, no. 7, pp. 1129–1142, 2019.

[68] J. E. B. Reusch, M. Bredenstine, and J. G. Regensteiner, “Type 2 diabetes mellitus and exercise impairment,” *Reviews in Endocrine and Metabolic Disorders*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 77–86, 2013.

[69] Y. Kobayashi, T. Takeuchi, T. Hosoi, and J. A. Loeppky, “Effects of habitual smoking on cardiorespiratory responses to sub-maximal exercise,” *Journal of Physiological Anthropology and Applied Human Science*, vol. 23, no. 5, pp. 163–169, 2004.

[70] A. D. Hughes and N. Chaturvedi, “Estimation of maximal oxygen consumption and heart rate recovery using the Tecumseh sub-maximal step test and their relationship to cardiovascular risk factors,” *Artery Research*, vol. 18, no. C, pp. 29–35, 2017.

[71] W. A. Shaikh, M. C. Patel, and S. K. Singh, “Association of physical activity and physical fitness with blood pressure profile in Gujarati Indian adolescents,” *The Indian Journal of Physiology and Pharmacology*, vol. 55, no. 4, pp. 322–328, 2011.

[72] G. F. Mitchell, “Arterial stiffness and hypertension,” *Hypertension*, vol. 64, no. 1, pp. 13–18, 2014.

[73] L. R. Benck, M. J. Cuttica, L. A. Colangelo et al., “Association between cardiorespiratory fitness and lung health from young adulthood to middle age,” *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*, vol. 195, no. 9, pp. 1236–1243, 2017.

[74] R. Hedelin, U. Wiklund, P. Bjerle, and K. Henriksson-Larsen, “Pre-and post-season heart rate variability in adolescent cross-country skiers,” *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine and Science in Sports*, vol. 10, no. 5, pp. 298–303, 2000.

[75] F. Perroni, L. Guidetti, L. Cignitti, and C. Baldari, “Absolute vs. weight-related maximum oxygen uptake in firefighters: fitness evaluation with and without protective clothing and self-contained breathing apparatus in firefighters,” *PloS One*, vol. 10, no. 3, Article ID e0119757, 2015.

[76] S.-J. Kang, G.-C. Ha, and K.-J. Ko, “Association between resting heart rate, metabolic syndrome and cardiorespiratory fitness in Korean male adults,” *Journal of Exercise Science & Fitness*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 27–31, 2017.

[77] S.-J. Kang and K.-J. Ko, “Association between resting heart rate, VO2max and carotid intima-media thickness in middle-aged men,” *IJC Heart & Vasculature*, vol. 23, Article ID 100347, 2019.