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Abstract

Introduction: A prerequisite to accurate interpretation of RQ-PCR data is robust data normalization. A commonly used method is to compare the cycle threshold (Ct) of target miRNAs with those of a stably expressed endogenous (EC) miRNA(s) from the same sample. Despite the large number of studies reporting miRNA expression patterns, comparatively few appropriate ECs have been reported thus far. The purpose of this study was to identify stably expressed miRNAs with which to normalize RQ-PCR data derived from human blood specimens.

Methods: MiRNA profiling of approximately 380 miRNAs was performed on RNA derived from blood specimens from 10 women with breast cancer and 10 matched controls. Analysis of mean expression values across the dataset (GME) identified stably expressed candidates. Additional candidates were selected from the literature and analyzed by the geNorm algorithm. Further validation of three candidate ECs by RQ-PCR was performed in a larger cohort (n = 40 cancer, n = 20 control) was performed, including analysis by geNorm and NormFinder algorithms.

Results: Microarray screening identified 10 candidate ECs with expression patterns closest to the global mean. Geometric averaging of candidate ECs from the literature using geNorm identified miR-425 as the most stably expressed miRNA. MiR-425 and miR-16 were the best combination, achieving the lowest V-value of 0.185. Further validation by RQ-PCR confirmed that miR-16 and miR-425 were the most stably expressed ECs overall. Their combined use to normalize expression data enabled the detection of altered target miRNA expression that reliably differentiated between cancers and controls in human blood specimens.

Conclusion: This study identified that the combined use of 2 miRNAs, (miR-16 and miR-425) to normalize RQ-PCR data generated more reliable results than using either miRNA alone, or use of U6. Further investigation into suitable ECs for use in miRNA RQ-PCR studies is warranted.

Introduction

Accumulating evidence has shown that miRNAs play pivotal roles in regulatory functions pertaining to cell growth, development and differentiation and are associated with a wide variety of human diseases. Despite their discovery over a decade ago, it is only recently that the extent of the complexity of these regulatory molecules is beginning to be understood. Expression analysis studies have revealed differential miRNA expression in tumors compared to normal tissues. MiRNAs have been found to be dysregulated in a wide variety of human cancers. Accordingly, miRNAs have elicited much interest as biomarkers for cancer diagnosis and disease monitoring and are rapidly emerging as novel targets for disease intervention.

Real-time quantitative (RQ-PCR) is widely used to quantify miRNA expression due to its sensitivity, specificity, speed, simplicity and the small amounts of template RNA required. To differentiate true biological variation from experimentally induced artifacts, target miRNA expression levels are normalised to those of a control(s). To accurately quantify miRNA expression by RQ-PCR, samples are assayed during the exponential phase of the PCR reaction during which time the amount of target miRNA is presumed to double with each cycle, without influence from limiting reagents. Comparison of cycle threshold (Ct), the cycle number at which fluorescence signals are detected above background, to Ct values to an endogenously expressed control RNA is used to determine miRNA expression levels by relative quantification (EC). The accuracy of this method is heavily reliant on the choice of endogenous control. Other methods of normalization such as normalization to the global mean, use of spike-in controls, among others have also been described [1]. Regardless of the approach, the normalization technique and specific control RNA(s) used directly influence the results produced [1].
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with which to normalize RQ-PCR data, is an important first step in the accurate and reliable determination of miRNA expression levels.

Ideally a reliable EC(s) should remain stably expressed regardless of disease status or other clinical variables. A set of robust ECs that are steadily uniformly expressed across all body tissues, fluids and disease pathways has yet to be described, and is unlikely to exist. Several miRNA expression analysis studies based on tissue have reported the use of small RNAs (such as U6, RNU44 or RNU48) or miR-16 to normalize expression data [2–6]. However, use of these reference genes cannot simply be applied to miRNA analysis in blood or other body fluids as miRNA expression patterns are known to be disease-specific and perhaps specimen-type specific [7]. Despite the abundance of studies on circulating miRNA profiles to discriminate between normal and disease states, there have yet to be conclusive reports of appropriate ECs. This remains a significant hurdle that must be addressed to substantiate biomarker discovery and validate single miRNA expression analysis using RQ-PCR.

Breast cancer is a prevalent disease with increasing incidence worldwide. This growing social and economic burden has stimulated the search for novel biomarkers to aid in diagnostics, prognostication and disease monitoring of adjuvant treatment. Few validated endogenous controls for miRNA research in breast cancer have been described. Initial miRNA studies on breast tissues by Mattie et al normalized miRNA expression to miR-16 and U6, which were later shown to be stably expressed across malignant, benign and normal breast tissue by Davoren et al [2,8]. Early studies on systemic miRNAs in breast cancer normalized to miR-16 [9,10]. Additional studies, on breast and other cancers, have suggested alternative EC candidates such as U6, RNU44, RNU48, miR-142-3p, miR-484, miR-191 and miR-425 [3,11-10]. However, there is a lack of validated reports of suitable ECs for circulating miRNAs.

The aims of this study were to evaluate a panel of candidate ECs (using microarray profiling) from which to validate the most stably expressed EC(s) to normalize RQ-PCR data derived from blood specimens in breast cancer. In addition we wished to determine the effect of different normalization strategies on target miRNA expression.

Materials and Methods

Study cohort and sample collection

Blood samples were prospectively collected from 80 women including 50 consecutive patients with a new diagnosis of breast cancer and 30 healthy control participants. All patients had histologically confirmed invasive breast cancer. Samples of venous non-fasting blood were collected in BD vacutainers containing 18 mg dipotassium EDTA (K2E) anticoagulant (BD-Plymouth, PL6 7BP, UK) following written informed consent. Microarray profiling was performed on RNA derived from blood on 10 of the above patients and 10 of the controls (Table 1). The remaining 40 cases and 20 controls were used to validate candidate ECs and target miRNA expression (Table 1). Ethical approval was granted by the Clinical Research Ethics Committee, Galway University Hospital, Ireland.

RNA extraction and analysis

Total RNA was extracted from 1 mL of blood using TRI Reagent BD (Molecular Research Centre, Inc). RNA concentration and integrity were examined by NanoDrop spectrophotometry (NanoDrop ND-1000 Technologies Inc., DE, USA) and Agilent Bioanalyzer RNA 6000 Nano Chip Kit Series II (Agilent Technologies, Germany) analysis, respectively.

Microarray profiling

Expression profiling of circulating miRNAs was performed on RNA extracted from 20 blood specimens using TaqMan human miRNA microarrays as instructed by the manufacturer (TaqMan Low Density Array Human microRNA Card A, Life Technologies, Foster City, CA, USA). Megaplex primer pools were used to reverse transcribe RNA samples (700 ng) which were then PCR amplified in 2 μL volumes on 384 well pre-configured microfluidic cards. Each card contained TaqMan probes for 377 miRNAs plus 3 pre-defined ECs (U6 in quadruplicate, RNU44 and RNU48) and a negative control (ahl-miR-135a).

Candidate EC selection

In addition to the candidate ECs identified by microarray profiling, the expression of 7 additional candidates, as chosen from a review of published studies, was investigated in the array dataset (miR-16, miR-425, miR-484, miR-142-3p U6, RNU44 and RNU48, Table 2). Three of these (miR-16, U6, and miR-425) were further...
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threshold standard deviation for intra- and inter-assay replicates generated is sufficient to pass the threshold, ten times the standard number during a PCR reaction at which the fluorescence emission. CT values inversely correlated with the baseline fluorescence emission. CT values inversely correlate with the logarithm of the initial expression such that candidates with high expression had low CT, and vice versa. The threshold standard deviation for intra- and inter-assay replicates was 0.28.

### RQ-PCR validation

Total RNA (100 ng) was reverse transcribed using miR-specific stem-loop RT primers (Life Technologies) and components of the High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription kit (Invitrogen Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer’s protocols. Expression levels of individual miRNAs were detected by subsequent RQ-PCR using TaqMan MicroRNA assays (Invitrogen Life Technologies) and a 7900HT instrument (Life Technologies) using standard thermal cycling conditions in accordance with manufacturer recommendations. PCR reactions were performed in triplicate in final volumes of 10 μl on 96 well plates, including inter-assay controls (IAC) to account for variations between runs.

### Amplification efficiency

PCR amplification efficiencies (E) were generated using the formula $E = \left(10^{-1/slope} - 1\right) \times 100$, using the slope of the semi-log regression plot of $C_T$ versus log input of cDNA (10-fold dilution series of five points). A threshold of 10% above or below 100% was adopted.

### PCR data analysis

Cycle threshold ($C_T$), or quantification cycle ($C_q$) is the cycle number during a PCR reaction at which the fluorescence generated is sufficient to pass the threshold, ten times the standard deviation of the baseline fluorescence emission. $C_T$ values inversely correlate with the logarithm of the initial expression such that candidates with high expression had low $C_T$, and vice versa. The threshold standard deviation for intra- and inter-assay replicates was 0.28.

### RQ-PCR validation

Amplification efficiencies (E) were generated using the formula $E = \left(10^{-1/slope} - 1\right) \times 100$, using the slope of the semi-log regression plot of $C_T$ versus log input of cDNA (10-fold dilution series of five points). A threshold of 10% above or below 100% was adopted.

### Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using Minitab version 16.0 (Minitab Ltd, Coventry UK). The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test for normality was conducted and parametric tests were used where appropriate. A log transformation ($\log_{10}$) of the data was performed when necessary. Significance of circulating miRNA levels was determined using t-tests or Mann-Whitney U test, as appropriate. Results with $p$ values $<0.05$ were considered significant.

### Results

Identification of candidate ECs (using microarray)

To identify the most stably expressed miRNAs from the microarray dataset global mean expression normalization was applied. This involved the use of the mean expression value of all expressed miRNAs in a given sample (in this case, 20 samples) as a
normalization factor for miRNA RQ-PCR. MiRNAs with expression profiles closest to the mean were miR-193, miR-185, miR-332-3p, miR-194, miR-126, miR-155, let-7i, miR-343, miR-425 and miR-15b as illustrated in Table 3. The first 9 miRNAs on this list were excluded from further EC analysis on the basis of their documented roles in breast cancer (Table 3). MiR-425 was the only miRNA in this group not previously implicated in breast cancer and hence was chosen for further validation by RQ-PCR.

There was no significant difference in expression of the candidate miRNAs and snoRNAs between the cancer and control group across the microarray dataset (Figure 1). Expression stability of the means of snoRNAs (U6, RNU44 and RNU48) and miRNAs (miR-16, miR-425, miR-142-3p, and miR-484) were assessed using GeNorm (Figure 2). MiR-425 was found to be the most stably expressed, with a geNorm M-value 0.907. RNU48 was the least stably expressed candidate. Combination of miR-425 and miR-16 resulted in the lowest V- value of 0.185 (Figure 3).

The stability of 3 of the above miRNAs (miR-425, miR-16 and U6) was further investigated by RQ-PCR in a larger cohort: miR-425 was selected as it was identified by GME analysis and had the lowest geNorm M value. MiR-16 had the next lowest geNorm M value and has been used to normalize PCR data in several cancer studies both in tissue and blood [8,9,14,22]. U6 was selected, not on the basis of its apparent stability (it did not feature in GME analysis, and ranked comparatively low by geNorm), rather due to its widespread use in the literature [11,23].

Relative quantities of candidate EC genes

RQ-PCR was performed to validate the expression patterns of 3 candidate ECs in 60 blood samples, comprised of 40 samples from women with cancer and 20 from healthy controls (2). All candidate ECs were expressed in abundance, with mean Ct values less than 25. MiR-16 showed the highest expression, with mean Ct of 15.5 (range 13.5–18.7), followed by miR-425, mean Ct 20.7 (range 17.4–24.2) and then U6, mean Ct 21.0 (range 19.0–22.8), see Table 4.

The Ct values of each candidate EC were assessed for differential expression between cancer and control blood samples (Figure 4). U6 was significantly more abundantly expressed in the control group (p=0.009). In this manner it was identified that there was no difference in expression of miR-16 or miR-425 between the cancer group and the controls, as would be expected for good candidate ECs.

Relative expression values of candidate ECs were log transformed and expressed as means with matching symmetrical confidence intervals (CI). Confidence intervals between -1 and +1 represented fold changes of ≤2, while those between -1.58 and +1.58 equated to fold changes of ≤3. A fold change cut off of 3 was applied as previously established [24]. Confidence intervals with an upper border >1.58 signaled over-expression of a candidate EC in the cancer group. Confidence intervals with lower borders <1.58 indicated under-expression of the candidate EC in the control group (Figure 5). Equivalence testing was then performed to confirm that all three candidate ECs were equivalently expressed between the cancer group and the control group.

There was a significant difference in variance between ECs (Bartlett’s test, p<0.001) indicating their differing stabilities, with miR-16 showing the greatest variation (Figure 6).

Analysis of reference gene expression stability geNorm and NormFinder

The stability and variability of the candidate ECs was further assessed using NormFinder and geNorm as summarized in Table 5. Lower stability values indicate greater stability. GeNorm provided two values: a gene stability (geNorm M) value and a geNorm V value. The geNorm M value ranked candidate ECs according to their stability, from the least stable (highest M value) to the most stable candidate (lowest M value). These values were generated on the basis of the average pairwise variation between all tested genes accompanied by stepwise exclusion of the least stable gene. GeNorm V values determined the optimum EC pairing for normalization, by defining the pairwise variation between two sequential normalization factors. GeNorm identified miR-16 as the single most stably expressed miRNA, with a GeNorm M value of 1.191. NormFinder identified miR-16 and U6 as the best combination, with a stability value of 0.102. The single best EC as calculated by this algorithm was miR-425, followed by

| Rank | miRNA     | Previous Reports                                      | Standard Deviation from GME | Reference          |
|------|-----------|-------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|
| 1    | miR-103   | Upregulated in serum of breast cancer patients         | 0.121                      | [52,53]            |
| 2    | miR-185   | Suppress tumor growth and progression in human ovarian cancers, pediatric renal tumors and breast cancer cell lines | 0.128                      | [54]               |
| 3    | miR-332   | Associated with triple negative(ER-ve/PR-ve/HER2/neu-ve) breast cancer in tumor tissue | 0.132                      | [55]               |
| 4    | miR-194   | Upregulated following Traztuzumab therapy in breast cancer cells; overexpression of miR-194 results in cell migration and invasion inhibition in breast cancer cell lines | 0.139                      | [56]               |
| 5    | miR-126   | Under-expressed in breast cancer, with restoration associated with metastases suppression in breast cancer cell lines and breast tumors | 0.150                      | [57-59]            |
| 6    | miR-155   | Over-expressed in breast tissue and circulation of women with breast cancer | 0.155                      | [60,61]            |
| 7    | let-7c    | Under-expressed in breast cancer with a tumor suppressor role | 0.158                      | [9,62,63]          |
| 8    | miR-345   | Targets MRP in multidrug resistant breast cancer cells compared to normal cells | 0.158                      | [64]               |
| 9    | miR-425   | No reports of altered expression or functional role in breast cancer | 0.160                      | -                   |
| 10   | miR-15b   | Altered expression in circulation and tumor of those with breast cancer | 0.162                      | McDermott et al., unpublished data |

The top 10 most stably expressed miRNAs following normalization of the microarray data using global mean expression (GME). Nine of these miRNAs have been implicated in breast cancer. MiR-425 is the only candidate miRNA with no reported association with breast or any other cancer type.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0083718.t003
miR-16 and U6. Consistent with the geNorm analysis on the microarray data, miR-16 and miR-425 were identified as being the most appropriate ECs.

Effect of candidate EC selection for normalization on relative expression of target miRNAs

To test their efficacy on target miRNA quantification, each of the candidate ECs was used to determine relative quantities of target miRNAs.
known breast cancer-associated miRNAs (Figure 7). MiR-93, which was previously shown not to be dysregulated in breast cancer (McDermott et al., unpublished data) was overexpressed in the cancer group when U6 (p = 0.017) was used as an EC but was unaltered with other candidate ECs. MiR-181a, which was previously shown to be under-expressed in breast cancer [25](McDermott et al., unpublished data) was under-expressed when miR-16 (p = 0.011) used as EC. Of the four target miRNAs, the choice of EC did not influence the relative quantity of circulating miR-652 between cancers and controls (p > 0.001) suggesting a highly significant differential expression of miR-652 in breast cancer (McDermott et al., unpublished data). Relative quantities of target miRNAs in cancer and control groups are shown in Figure 7.

These results highlight the importance of selecting appropriate and validated ECs. Despite the large sample size, true biological differences in miRNA expression were not detected when using less stable ECs for normalization.
**Discussion**

Altered miRNA expression is associated with most pathological disease processes, including carcinogenesis. Their ease of detection in biological fluids, including blood, makes them ideal candidates for exploitation as minimally invasive biomarkers. RQ-PCR is the most common technique for miRNA expression analysis. However, the high sensitivity of this approach means that accurate interpretation of RQ-PCR results depends heavily on the use of suitable, stably expressed ECs for data normalization in an effort to provide meaningful results.
to minimize non-biological variation between samples. Reference genes for mRNA studies have been well established but validated ECs for miRNA research are scarce. In addition, ECs for use in tissue miRNA research may not be directly translated to other tissues or body fluids. Scrupulous miRNA data normalization may be more important than other functional RNA classes [26].

The first systematic assessment of candidate ECs for miRNA RQ-PCR was conducted by Davoren et al [8]. This study examined the expression stability of five miRNAs (let-7a, miR-10b, miR-16, miR-21 and miR-26b) and 3 small nuclear RNAs (RNU19, RNU48 and Z30) determined in normal, benign and malignant breast tissue. The best normalization strategy for miRNA analysis in breast tissue was found to be a combination of miR-16 and let-7a. There have been subsequent isolated reports of suitable ECs for specific disease states and specimen types, but the focus of this issue in the literature is disproportionate to the number of accounts of altered miRNA expression in specific disease states [15,27–29]. Chang et al conducted a similar systematic approach to identify suitable ECs for application to colorectal cancer tissue [28]. miRNA profiling was performed on a small cohort of paired colorectal tumor tissues and normal tissue. Global mean expression analysis was performed to identify stably expressed candidate ECs. Six candidate miRNAs (let-7a, miR-16, miR-26a, miR-345, miR-425 and miR-454) and 2 small nuclear RNAs (RNU48 and Z30) were chosen for further validation by RQ-PCR in a larger cohort of colorectal tissues. MiR-16 and miR-345 were identified as the best combination of reference miRNAs by both geNorm and NormFinder, with miR-16 and miR-345 being the single best normalizers identified by NormFinder and geNorm, respectively. Genovesi et al identified ECs for use in medulloblastoma studies involving TLDA cards, and recommended the combination of miR-301a and miR-339-5p for normalization of card A data, with a combination of miR-425* and RNU24 being used for Card B data analysis [27]. Few studies have examined suitable ECs for use in circulating miRNA studies. Hu et al identified and validated candidate miRNAs as ECs for serum miRNA expression studies in breast cancer [15]. In this cohort, a combination of miR-191 and miR-484 provided the best normalization approach for target miRNA expression. Song et al focused on gastric cancer, examining 6 miRNAs (let-7a, miR-16, miR-93, miR-103, miR-192, and miR-451) and one small nuclear RNA, RNU6B for suitability as candidate ECs [29]. This study advocated the use of miR-16 and miR-93, the most stably expressed candidate ECs, for normalization of miRNA expression in serum for gastric cancer.

The present study identified that the combined use 2 miRNAs, (miR-16 and miR-425) to normalize RQ-PCR data generated more reliable results than using either miRNA alone, or use of U6, which has been used by several authors to date. In the absence of a comprehensive analysis of reliable ECs for RQ-PCR data from blood samples, a microarray screen was performed at the outset. We profiled 20 blood samples (10 from women with breast cancer and 10 from healthy control women) for the expression of in excess of 380 miRNAs (including U6 rRNA). The dataset was analyzed using global mean expression (GME) to identify miRNAs with expression patterns closed to the mean expression of the entire dataset. We selected miR-425 from the GME analysis, and both miR-16 and U6 from the literature for further analysis by RQ-PCR in a validation cohort (n = 60). Our initial validation step using raw Ct values of these 3 candidate ECs displayed that U6 was more abundant in the control group, while there was no difference in miR-16 or miR-425 expression between the cancer or control group. Equivalent expression of candidate ECs between the cancer and control group was then confirmed using a fold change cut-off of ±3, corresponding to confidence intervals between −1.58 and +1.58. We then used both GeNorm and NormFinder algorithms which identified miR-16 and miR-425, respectively, as the most stably expressed candidate ECs, with NormFinder suggesting their combination as the best combination.

As evident from the results presented in this study, use of an inappropriate EC for normalization can significantly alter the apparent expression of target miRNAs. Combination of miR-16 and miR-425 as EC detected significant dysregulation of miR-652 (p = 0.001). MiR-181a has previously been shown to be under-expressed in breast cancer, but was shown in this study to be significantly under-expressed when miR-16 alone was used as an EC. Normalization with the combination of miR-16 and miR-425 increased the p-value to 0.091. MiR-93 has been shown to be stably expressed in blood of women with breast cancer compared to healthy controls. However, when U6 was applied as the normalizer miR-93 appeared to be upregulated in the cancer group. A combination of miRNAs for normalization augments the reliability of the data produced, and has been advocated by other studies [21,28].

MiR-16 appears to be the most widely used EC for blood-related miRNA studies with application to breast, ovarian, pancreatic, gastric, prostate and renal cell cancer, melanoma and the hematological malignancies [9,14,25,30–34]. Recent studies have reported on the origin of circulating miR-16, indicating red blood cell hemolysis as a major source of this miRNA in blood [35,36]. This may be more a concern in studies
A. MiR-652 is under-expressed in the circulation of women with breast cancer, regardless of the choice of candidate EC (U6, miR-425 or miR-16) indicating that it was highly differentially expressed in blood of those with breast cancer. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0083718.g007 where cell-free blood fragments (serum/plasma) are the source of miRNAs. We utilized whole blood in a disease where it has been previously shown that patient red blood cell and hemoglobin levels are within the normal range in the majority of cases, particularly those with early stage disease [9]. Therefore, as blood samples from both cancer and control patients are treated identically one would not anticipate this to have a direct effect on miR-16 expression, as evidenced by our results where they was no difference in miR-16 expression between the cancer and control groups. This issue may be more of a concern, when RNA extraction protocols not utilizing chaotrophic agents such as Trizol are used, implying that individual sample treatment and storage in advance of RNA extraction would directly influence results. There are few reports of miR-425 in the literature. This is reassuring as it denotes that miR-425 may be a miRNA with little functional value in disease processes, an attractive trait of an EC. U6 was selected for further validation by RQ-PCR as although not the most stably expressed EC based on GME or GeNorm analysis of the microarray data, it is commonly used for miRNA studies [11,12,37,38]. U6 (RNU6B) is a small nucleolar RNA (snoRNA) that forms part of the U6 small nuclear ribonucleoprotein, a component of the spliceosome responsible for splicing of pre-mRNA. The use of U6 and other snoRNAs in miRNA related research is contentious [39]. These larger molecules are likely to be less reliable than miRNA ECs as their expression is less stable than miRNA with studies showing more frequent degradation in serum samples [40,41]. This makes it difficult to draw conclusions pertaining to miRNA expression when snoRNAs are utilized as ECs. In this study we showed that U6 was aberrantly expressed in the cancer group compared to the control group (p = 0.009).

This study focuses on RQ-PCR data normalization using candidate ECs which is the most prevalent method. Two alternative normalization strategies for circulating miRNA expression have been proposed to date; Global Mean Expression (GME) and exogenous (spiked-in) miRNAs. GME was recently introduced by Metsdagh et al for use in high-throughput miRNA profiling. GME uses the average expression of all the miRNAs detected in a sample as the normalizer presuming that the mean miRNA expression of all miRNAs is constant when the same starting amount of total RNA is used, regardless of the sample type. This technique reduces technical variation and preserves biological variation and is very suited to large genome wide miRNA profiling [19]. It is better suited to large expression profiling studies, with several such studies reporting its use [42–44]. This technique is largely unsuited to biomarker studies as bias may be introduced in such studies when several of the target miRNAs being analyzed show variation in expression (over-expression or under-expression) in one study group compared to another. Spiked-in non-human exogenous miRNAs, such as cel-miR-39, cel-miR-54 and cel-miR-238, have also been used for normalization [45–47]. This method presumes that by adding a known quantity of spiked in miRNA to an equal volume of serum/plasma/whole blood, a stable quantity of reference gene is obtained. However, this technique leaves room for technical and human error.

Accurate normalization strategies are crucial for miRNA related research, as detecting even small changes in miRNA expression can have major biological implications, as a single miRNA can target multiple miRNAs, even in the same pathway thus augmenting its effect [48]. In truth, a single universal EC for use

Figure 7. Effect of candidate EC selection on relative expression of target miRNAs. This figure demonstrates the impact of candidate EC selection on the accuracy of target miRNA expression. The relative expression of three target miRNAs (miR-93, miR-181a and miR-652) is presented following normalization using each of four distinct EC strategies: U6 alone, miR-16 alone, miR-425 alone and finally miR-16 and miR-425 in combination. Interval plots depict mean and 95% confidence intervals for relative miRNA expression (Log_{10}) in the blood of women with breast cancer (blue) and healthy controls (orange) normalized to different candidate ECs with p-values indicated in the table below. A. MiR-93 expression. MiR-93 appears to be elevated in the circulation of women with breast cancer when U6 was used to normalise RQ data. However, when the other EC candidates are used for normalization there is no difference in miR-93 expression between the cancer and control group. B. MiR-181a expression. MiR-181a is underexpressed with miR-16 was used to normalise RQ data (p = 0.011). There is no difference in miR-181a expression when other EC candidates are used for normalization. C. MiR-652. MiR-652 is under-expressed in the circulation of women with breast cancer, regardless of the choice of candidate EC (U6, miR-425 or miR-16) indicating that it was highly differentially expressed in blood of those with breast cancer. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0083718.g007 where cell-free blood fragments (serum/plasma) are the source of miRNAs. We utilized whole blood in a disease where it has been previously shown that patient red blood cell and hemoglobin levels are within the normal range in the majority of cases, particularly those with early stage disease [9]. Therefore, as blood samples from both cancer and control patients are treated identically one would not anticipate this to have a direct effect on miR-16 expression, as evidenced by our results where they was no difference in miR-16 expression between the cancer and control groups. This issue may be more of a concern, when RNA extraction protocols not utilizing chaotrophic agents such as Trizol are used, implying that individual sample treatment and storage in advance of RNA extraction would directly influence results. There are few reports of miR-425 in the literature. This is reassuring as it denotes that miR-425 may be a miRNA with little functional value in disease processes, an attractive trait of an EC. U6 was selected for further validation by RQ-PCR as although not the most stably expressed EC based on GME or GeNorm analysis of the microarray data, it is commonly used for miRNA studies [11,12,37,38]. U6 (RNU6B) is a small nucleolar RNA (snoRNA) that forms part of the U6 small nuclear ribonucleoprotein, a component of the spliceosome responsible for splicing of pre-mRNA. The use of U6 and other snoRNAs in miRNA related research is contentious [39]. These larger molecules are likely to be less reliable than miRNA ECs as their expression is less stable than miRNA with studies showing more frequent degradation in serum samples [40,41]. This makes it difficult to draw conclusions pertaining to miRNA expression when snoRNAs are utilized as ECs. In this study we showed that U6 was aberrantly expressed in the cancer group compared to the control group (p = 0.009).
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Figure 7. Effect of candidate EC selection on relative expression of target miRNAs. This figure demonstrates the impact of candidate EC selection on the accuracy of target miRNA expression. The relative expression of three target miRNAs (miR-93, miR-181a and miR-652) is presented following normalization using each of four distinct EC strategies: U6 alone, miR-16 alone, miR-425 alone and finally miR-16 and miR-425 in combination. Interval plots depict mean and 95% confidence intervals for relative miRNA expression (Log_{10}) in the blood of women with breast cancer (blue) and healthy controls (orange) normalized to different candidate ECs with p-values indicated in the table below. A. MiR-93 expression. MiR-93 appears to be elevated in the circulation of women with breast cancer when U6 was used to normalise RQ data. However, when the other EC candidates are used for normalization there is no difference in miR-93 expression between the cancer and control group. B. MiR-181a expression. MiR-181a is underexpressed with miR-16 was used to normalise RQ data (p = 0.011). There is no difference in miR-181a expression when other EC candidates are used for
in all specimen types across all diseases, malignant or otherwise, is unlikely to exist. Suitable ECs need to be validated for use in specific disease states and specimen types. The surge of interest in identifying specific miRNAs as biomarkers for health and disease requires that an equal amount of attention is focused on the establishment of suitable ECs with which to normalize the data such that appropriate conclusions can be derived.

Conclusion

This study is of relevance in translational miRNA research for circulating miRNAs in breast cancer. It identifies a combination of two miRNAs, miR-16 and miR-425, with application for use as ECs for normalization. Further investigation into suitable ECs for use in miRNA RQ-PCR studies is warranted.
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