A Chemoattractant Receptor on Macrophages Exists in Two Affinity States Regulated by Guanine Nucleotides
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ABSTRACT

The binding characteristics of the oligopeptide chemoattractant receptor on guinea pig macrophages and macrophage membrane preparations were characterized using detailed binding studies and computer analysis. Viable macrophages bound the radiolabeled chemoattractant N-formyl-methionyl-leucyl-[3H]phenylalanine with single dissociation constant \( K_D \) of 18.4 ± 4.6 nM with 15,300 ± 1,800 sites per cell. Binding data from membrane preparations indicated the presence of two classes of binding sites with \( K_D \) of 1.5 ± 0.4 nM and 25.5 ± 11.0 nM. Approximately 23% of the receptors were in the high affinity state. In the presence of added guanine nucleotide di- or triphosphates, the high affinity receptors in the membrane preparations were converted to low affinity states with no change in the total receptor number. Nonhydrolyzable derivatives of GTP were most potent in converting the receptor from its high to low affinity state. These data suggest that the affinity state of the oligopeptide chemoattractant receptor in macrophages is regulated by guanine nucleotides and GTPase, implying that the transduction mechanisms of this receptor may be controlled by a guanine nucleotide regulatory unit.

Macrophages are motile cells capable of migrating along increasing gradients of chemotactants. Higher concentrations of chemotactic factors than those required to initiate directed migration stimulate these cells to secrete lysosomal enzymes and produce superoxide anions (15). Several years ago we demonstrated that macrophage chemotaxis was initiated by the binding of chemoattractants to specific cell surface receptors (14). To understand how complex biological responses in macrophages are initiated by chemotactic stimuli, it will be important to delineate the binding characteristics of this receptor in detail and to identify its means of regulation. To this end we have developed methods for studying receptor-chemoattractant interactions in macrophage membrane preparations as well as in intact cells. Similar types of receptor analysis have been instrumental for characterizing the transduction mechanisms of other hormone-receptor systems, particularly those involving the neurotransmitters (20).

This manuscript describes studies of the binding of the chemoattractant N-formyl-methionyl-leucyl-[3H]phenylalanine (FML[3H]P)1 to guinea pig peritoneal macrophages and membrane preparations thereof using a computer program, SCTFIT, to analyze binding data (5). The program allows rigorous statistical analysis of binding data and is particularly useful for the study of receptors that may exist in multiple affinities (3). These methods were also used to determine the role of nucleotides in the regulation of the macrophage chemotactic factor receptor.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals: FML[3H]P (specific activity 46.7 Ci/mmol) was purchased from New England Nuclear (Boston, MA). Guanylyl-imidodiphosphate (p[NH]ppG).

1 Abbreviations used in this paper: AMP, adenosine-5'-monophosphate; FMLP, N-formyl-methionyl-leucyl-phenylalanine; FML[3H]P, N-formyl-methionyl-leucyl-[3H]phenylalanine; GDP, guanosine 5'-diphosphate; GMP, guanosine-5'-monophosphate; GTP, guanosine-5'-triphosphate; GTPγS, guanosine-5'-O-3-thiotriphosphate; P4S, phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride; p[NH]ppG, guanylyl-imidodiphosphate.
ppG) and guanosine-5'-3-thiotriphosphate (GTPyS) were obtained from Boehringer Mannheim (Mannheim, Federal Republic of Germany). Guanosine-5'-triphosphate (GTP), guanosine-5'-diphosphate (GDP), guanosine-5'-monophosphate (GMP), and adenosine-5'-monophosphate (AMP), shellfish glycogen, phenylmethylsulfonylfluoride (PMSF), and N-formyl-methionyl-leucyl-phenylalanine (FMLP) were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO).

**Cell Preparation:** Inflammatory macrophages were obtained by peritoneal lavage of male Hartley (Camm Research, Wayne, NJ) guinea pigs, 400–600 g, 3 d after intraperitoneal injection with 30 ml of 0.75% (wt/vol) shellfish glycogen in isotonic saline (6). The cells were subjected to hypotonic lysis and the resulting cell populations contained ~90% macrophages. FMLP binding to intact cells was performed at cell concentrations of 1.5 x 10^6/ml in incubation buffer, (0.14 M NaCl, 1.9 mM KH_2PO_4, 5.1 mM Na_2HPO_4, 0.15 mM CaCl_2, and 0.5 mM MgCl_2, pH 7.4) plus 1 mM PMSF. Nonspecific binding, which was ~6% of total binding in the presence of PMSF, was empirically found to be 50% greater in the absence of PMSF.

**Membrane Preparation:** Membranes were prepared by the method of Davies et al. (2). Macrophages were resuspended in 30 ml of ice-cold buffer (50 mM Tris, 10 mM MgCl_2, pH 7.4) and disrupted twice with a Tenmack polytron (Tecnar Co., Cincinnati, OH) for 20 s. This suspension was centrifuged at 41,000 g for 10 min at 4°C, the pellet discarded, and the supernatant centrifuged at 41,000 g for 10 min at 4°C. This pellet was washed twice by resuspending with a tefflon pestle in 30 ml of Tris buffer (50 mM Tris, 10 mM MgCl_2, pH 7.4). Final membrane preparations contained ~0.5 mg protein/ml.

**Intracellular Nucleotide Levels:** Intracellular nucleotide levels were measured in intact cells and membranes using high-pressure liquid chromatography (12). The intracellular guanine nucleotide levels were: GMP < 2.4 μM, GDP = 67 μM, GTP = 144 μM. The intracellular adenosine nucleotide levels were AMP = 70 μM, ADP = 293 μM, ATP = 1 mM.

**RESULTS**

**FMLP Binding to Intact Macrophages**

Inflammatory macrophages contain on their surface specific saturable receptors for the oligopeptide chemotactic factor FMLP (14). To better characterize these receptors, we incubated various concentrations of FMLP with intact guinea pig macrophages and the binding isotherms were computer modeled. Fig. 1 illustrates one such binding isotherm, the data of which were demonstrable of a single class of receptor sites. The dissociation constant (K_D) was 24.6 nM with 17,800 receptors per cell. The K_D determined by Scatchard analysis was 29.5 nM with 16,900 receptors per cell, values in good agreement with those derived by SCTFIT. In nine computer modeled experiments, intact macrophages were shown to have an average of 15,300 ± 1,000 receptors with a single affinity of 18.4 ± 4.6 nM.

**FMLP Binding to Macrophage Membrane Preparations**

Binding studies of FMLP binding to macrophage membrane preparations were also performed and Fig. 2 represents a typical binding isotherm. These data suggest heterogeneity of binding sites and are compatible with two populations of receptors with different affinity states. The dotted line represents the computer derived best fit to the data assuming a single class of receptors with one affinity state. The solid line is the best fit calculated from the data assuming the receptor exists in two affinity states. Least squares analysis demonstrates that the two affinity models provides a statistically better fit for the data (P < 0.001). The affinities derived for the two sites were 1.6 nM and 38.0 nM respectively with 21% of the receptors representing the high affinity form. Averages from 10 computer modeled experiments demonstrated that 23.3 ± 0.1% of the receptors had an high affinity with a K_D = 15 ± 0.4 nM and the low affinity receptors had a K_D = 25.5 ± 11 nM. The K_D of the low affinity site in membranes is statistically indistinguishable from the single affinity observed in intact cell preparations. Scatchard analysis of this same data was curvilinear, as expected for a heterogeneous population of receptors. Assuming the curvilinear plot was due to two noninteracting receptor populations, the two affinities can be estimated to be 2.1 and 19.1 nM with ~27% of the receptors in the high affinity state.

**Effect of Guanine Nucleotides on FMLP Binding in Membranes**

To study the effects of guanine nucleotides on FMLP binding, we preincubated membranes for 20 min at 25°C with the nonhydrolyzable derivative of GTP, p[NH]ppG, or with buffer alone. Fig. 3 shows that the binding of FMLP with membranes is decreased in the presence of 10^{-5} M p[NH]ppG. This decrease in binding appears to result from a conversion of high affinity receptors to low affinity receptors and not from a decrease in total receptor sites. Total binding in the presence of guanine nucleotide was diminished because the binding isotherms were carried out to 40 nM FMLP, a dose that occupies a maximum of ~60% of the low affinity sites. When the specific...
FIGURE 2 Binding isotherm of FML[^3H]P to macrophage membrane preparations. The dotted line represents a one site fit to the data while the solid line represents a two site fit to the same data. The fit derived from a two site model was significantly better \( (P < 0.001) \) than that derived from a one site model. The lower line \( (\bullet) \) is nonspecific binding. The two affinities derived from this experiment are 1.6 and 38.0 nM with 21% high affinity sites.

FIGURE 3 Binding isotherms of FML[^3H]P to macrophage membrane preparations in the presence and absence of p[NH]ppG. Membranes were preincubated for 20 min at 25°C with buffer alone or with \( 10^{-4} \) M p[NH]ppG. \( \square \), total binding in the presence of p[NH]ppG; \( \circ \), total binding in buffer alone. \( \bullet \), nonspecific binding. The reduction in binding is due to a shift from 29.4% high affinity sites in buffer alone to 8.7% in the presence of p[NH]ppG.

Specificity of Guanine Nucleotide Effect on Binding

Other nucleotides were tested to determine the specificity of the p[NH]ppG effect on FML[^3H]P binding. Table I summarizes the results as the percent decrease in high affinity binding sites produced by each nucleotide tested as determined by analysis of detailed binding isotherms. The guanine nucleotide triphosphates p[NH]ppG, GTPyS, and GTP significantly decreased high affinity binding as did GDP to a lesser degree while GMP had no effect. Neither ATP, its nonhydrolyzable derivative p[NH]ppA nor CTP had any significant effect on binding. The guanine nucleotides inhibited FML[^3H]P binding in a dose response fashion as is indicated in Fig. 4. The nonhydrolyzable derivatives of GTP were the most potent inhibitors of FML[^3H]P binding followed by GTP. The EC_{50} for the nonhydrolyzable derivatives of GTP were \( \approx 5 \times 10^{-8} \) M while the EC_{50} for GTP was \( 5 \times 10^{-7} \) M. Neither ATP nor p[NH]ppA had an observable effect on binding at concentrations as high as \( 10^{-4} \) M.

DISCUSSION

Viable guinea pig macrophages contain specific receptors for oligopeptide chemotactic factors on their surfaces (14). To characterize the regulation of this receptor, we performed detailed binding studies of FML[^3H]P to intact cells and to macrophage membrane preparations. Analysis of data with intact macrophages indicates a homogenous class of receptors with a single affinity of 18 \pm 4.6 nM and 15,300 \pm 1,800 receptors per cell. These results are in agreement with those previously reported using conventional Scatchard analysis (14). Similar to intact cells, macrophage membranes bound FML[^3H]P in a specific and saturable fashion. The specificity of the membrane receptor for a series of N-formylated oligopeptides was identical to the receptor on intact macrophages (14). In contrast to intact cells however, membrane binding data was most consistent with a heterogeneous population of
receptors. Heterogeneous binding of the N-formylated peptides to human and rabbit polymorphonuclear leukocytes has also been recently reported (7, 9, 13). In the present study using macrophage membrane preparations, two classes of receptors were distinguished by two affinities with $K_d$ of 1.5 ± 0.4 nM and 25.5 ± 11.0 nM, respectively. An average of 23.3 ± 0.1% of the receptors expressed the high affinity state. The single affinity observed in the intact macrophage is not statistically distinguishable from the lower affinity site in membranes.

Heterogeneous receptor sites have been demonstrated in other hormone-receptor systems. For example, in the adrenergic and cholinergic receptors, guanine nucleotides have been shown to regulate receptor binding and to be required for stimulus-response coupling (11, 17, 19). The effects of guanine nucleotides on FML$[^3]$H]P binding to macrophage membranes were therefore examined. The data show that p[NH]ppG, when present during binding, produced a 90.1% reduction in the percentage of high affinity sites without a change in total receptor number. These data imply that p[NH]ppG prevents the conversion of low affinity to high affinity sites and/or converts high affinity sites to low affinity. Other guanine nucleotides tested GTP, GDP, and the nonhydrolyzable derivative GTPyS produced similar effects on binding while GMP, ATP, p[NH]ppA, and CTP had no effect. Inhibition of binding by the guanine nucleotides was dose dependent and greatest with nonhydrolyzable derivatives of GTP. These data suggest that at least a portion of the binding sites detectable in macrophage membranes are an intercon- vertible class of receptors and that a GTPase is involved in the regulation of receptor affinity. The possibility that the detection of high affinity binding sites in the membranes was due to a unique intracellular pool of receptors cannot be excluded since the membrane preparations used contained $\beta$-glucuronidase, a lysosomal marker. However, the convertibility of the high affinity to low affinity sites with guanine nucleotides indicates that the former are not a unique population of receptors. In addition, in experiments not shown, disrupted macrophages were fractionated on discontinuous sucrose density (10, 18) and Percoll density gradients (1). In all cases, specific FML$[^3]$H]P binding was seen only in fractions containing the plasma membrane marker 5' nucleotidase. In none of the fractions however could plasma membranes be obtained free of $\beta$-glucuronidase activity.

The data presented here resemble certain characteristics of neurotransmitter receptors. In the $\beta$-adrenergic receptor a single affinity is observed in the intact cells while membranes display a second higher affinity that is converted to a low affinity form by guanine nucleotides (17). Transduction mechanisms of the $\beta$-adrenergic receptor have been shown to be mediated by a nucleotide regulatory protein (N-protein) (16). A ternary complex model similar to that proposed for the $\beta$-adrenergic system (17) is consistent with the FML$[^3]$H]P binding data presented here and offers a possible explanation for the guanine nucleotide effect. Fig. 5 presents a functional model adapted for the chemotactic factor receptor on macrophages. In this model, receptor occupancy by a chemotactant (CTX) facilitates the substitution of GDP by GTP on a nucleotide regulatory unit (N), thereby providing N-GTP to activate an effector ($E \rightarrow E^*$). The affinity of the receptor is determined allosterically via its binding to the N-unit. When coupled to the N-unit carrying GDP or GTP, the receptor expresses a low affinity state. We postulate that the high affinity state of the receptor (R') is manifest when the receptor is either free of N or bound to N in the absence of any guanine nucleotide. Similar findings are present in human granulocyte membranes suggesting that the proposed model may be generally relevant to chemotactically responsive cells (8). Moreover, we have recently shown that guanine triphosphates are required for the activation of adenylyl cyclase by prostaglandins in guinea pig macrophage membranes (19).

The model of the chemotactic receptor proposed here is consistent with the detection of only a single low affinity class of receptors in intact macrophages since the levels of guanine nucleotides in the cells are sufficient to allow receptor interconvertibility in situ and thus expression of one affinity. The levels of GTP and GDP in guinea pig macrophages were 114 and 67 $\mu$M, respectively. In membrane preparations however, when the guanine nucleotides are removed by washing, an additional population of receptors can be detected since interconvertibility is blocked. The effector(s) for the chemotactant receptor is yet unknown but likely candidates would include a methyltransferase, a phospholipase, a protein kinase or perhaps adenylyl cyclase. In any case, guanine nucleotide modulation of FML$[^3]$H]P binding implies a mechanism for the regulation of stimulus-response coupling for chemotactant receptors on macrophages.

The authors wish to express their gratitude to Dr. J. Swain (Duke University) for quantifying the intracellular nucleotide levels in guinea pig macrophages.

Supported in part by grants from the National Institute of Dental Research (#RO1 DE03738-10) and the National Cancer Institute (#SPO1 CA 29589-02).

Received for publication 2 May 1983, and in revised form 6 October 1983.

REFERENCES

1. Borregaard, N., J. M. Heiple, E. R. Simons, and R. A. Clark. 1983. Subcellular localization of the $\beta$-cytochrome component of the human neutrophil microbial oxidase: translocation during activation. J. Cell Biol. 97:52-61.

2. Davies, A. O., and R. J. Leffkowitz. 1980. Corticosteroid-induced differential regulation
of β-adrenergic receptors in circulating human polymorphonuclear leukocytes and mononuclear leukocytes. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 51:599-605.

3. DeLean, A., A. A. Hancock, and R. J. Lefkowitz. 1982. Validation and statistical analysis of a computer modeling method for quantitative analysis of radioligand binding data for mixtures of pharmacological receptor subtypes. Mol. Pharmacol. 21:5-16.

4. Fletcher, J. C., and R. J. Schrager. 1973. A user's guide to least-squares model fitting. U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. Technical Report No. 1.

5. Hancock, A. A., A. DeLean, and R. J. Lefkowitz. 1979. Quantitative resolution of beta-adrenergic receptor subtypes by selective ligand binding. Application of a computerized model fitting technique. Mol. Pharmacol. 16:1-9.

6. Hausman, M. S., R. Snyderman, and S. E. Mergenhagen. 1972. Humoral mediators of chemotaxis and mononuclear leukocytes. J Infect. Dis. 125:595-602.

7. Koo, C., R. J. Lefkowitz, and R. Snyderman. 1983. The oligopeptide chemotactic factor receptor on human polymorphonuclear leukocyte membranes exists in two affinity states. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 106:442-449.

8. Koo, C., R. J. Lefkowitz, and R. Snyderman. 1983. Guanine nucleotides modulate the binding affinity of the oligopeptide chemotactant receptor on human polymorphonuclear leukocytes. J Clin. Invest. 72:748-753.

9. Mackin, W. M., C. Huang, and E. L. Becker. 1982. The formylpeptide chemotactic receptor on rabbit peritoneal neutrophils. J Immunol. 129:1608-1611.

10. Pike, M. C., and R. Snyderman. 1981. Alternations of new methylated phospholipid synthesis in the plasma membranes of macrophages exposed to chemoattractants. J Cell Biol. 91:221-226.

11. Rodbell, M. 1980. The role of hormone receptors and GTP-regulatory proteins in membrane transduction. Nature (Lond.). 282:12-22.

12. Sahina, R. L., K. H. Kernstine, R. L. Boyd, E. W. Holmes, and J. L. Swain. 1982. Metabolism of 5-amino-4-imidazolecarboxamide riboside in cardiac and skeletal muscle. J Biol. Chem. 257:10178-10183.

13. Seligmann, B. E., M. P. Fletcher, and J. J. Gallin. 1982. Adaptation of human neutrophil responsiveness to the chemoattractant N-formylmethionylleucylphenylalanine. J Biol. Chem. 257:6280-6286.

14. Snyderman, R., and E. J. Padman. 1980. Demonstration of a chemotactic factor receptor on macrophages. J. Immunol. 124:2754-2757.

15. Snyderman, R., and S. E. Mergenhagen. 1976. Chemotaxis of macrophages. In Immunochemistry of the Macrophage. D. S. Nelson, editor. Academic Press, Inc., New York. 323-348.

16. Spiegel, A. M., R. W. Downs, Jr., M. A. Levine, M. J. Singer, Jr., W. Krawitz, S. J. Marx, C. J. Woodward, S. A. Reen, and G. D. Aurbach. 1981. The role of guanine nucleotides in regulation of adenylyl cyclase. Recent Prog. Horm. Res. 37:653-662.

17. Stadel, J. M., A. DeLean, and R. J. Lefkowitz. 1982. Molecular mechanisms of coupling in hormone receptor-adenylate cyclase systems. Adv. Enzymol. 53:1-43.

18. Turner, M. J., F. Cresswell, P. Parham, and J. L. Strominger. 1975. Purification of papain-solubilized histocompatibility antigens from a cultured human lymphoblastoid line, RPMI 4255. J. Biol. Chem. 253:4512-4519.

19. Verghese, M. W., and R. Snyderman. 1983. Hormonal activation of adenylyl cyclase in macrophage membranes is regulated by guanine nucleotides. J Immunol. 130:869-873.

20. Williams, L. T., and R. J. Lefkowitz. 1979. Receptor Binding Studies in Adrenergic Pharmacology. Raven Press, New York.

21. Williams, L. T., R. Snyderman, M. C. Pike, and R. J. Lefkowitz. 1977. Specific receptor sites for chemotactic peptides on human polymorphonuclear leukocytes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 74:1204-1208.