INTRODUCTION

Epilepsy is a common neurological disorder manifesting as recurrent neuronal discharges which may be limited to either one region (focal or partial) or diffusely spread over multiple regions (generalized tonic clonic seizure (GTCS)) of brain and is characterized by loss of consciousness which is preceded by cry, foaming, twitching and vigorous jerky movements of limbs. The annual incidence of epilepsy in world population is 50/100000 and that in the Indian population is 27.3 per 100000 with prevalence of 5.59 per 1000. For the most definitely diagnosed epilepsy, long-term treatment with anti-epileptic drugs (AEDs) is needed. Monotherapy is considered the gold standard in epilepsy and is preferred over polytherapy because of lesser risk of adverse events and drug interactions, the decreased cost of therapy and greater patient compliance.

Adverse effects (diplopia, ataxia, sedation, cognitive issues, hyponatremia, headache, weight gain, dizziness, depression and paresthesia) occur at therapeutic doses in patients of epilepsy. Adverse effects of drugs also play a major role in ensuring quality of life in epilepsy patients along with the effects of epilepsy.
There are many disease specific tools for measurement of quality of life. These tools are in the form of questionnaire that can be administered to patients in the outpatient department. These tools help assess effect of both disease as well as treatment administered. Epilepsy specific tools are (Research and Development Corporation (RAND) 36- Item Health Survey (SF-36), Quality of life in Epilepsy (QOLIE-89, QOLIE-31 and QOLIE-10).

Efficacy of conventional AEDs has been well established but the area they lack is in the adverse effect caused by them. Newer AEDs though were started as add-on therapy to the conventional AEDs and have shown equal efficacy to conventional AEDs. Newer AEDs with their better safety profile hold an edge over the conventional AEDs. In this study we conceptualized comparing broad-spectrum AED from the older generation which is VPA with a drug of newer generation i.e. LEV. LEV has found its usage both approved as well as off label use in majority of types of seizures. Even after extensive search there was a lack of studies which compared VPA with LEV on efficacy, safety and quality of life both in India as well as world till date.

Hence this study was planned to compare valproic acid and levetiracetam as monotherapy for comparison of quality of life in patients of partial seizures.

METHODS

This was an observational analytical follow-up study in newly diagnosed patients of partial seizure. Study was conducted over a period of one year from January 2016 to December 2016. Minimum sample size which was required was 60 patients, with 30 patients in each group. Sample size was based on previous study which compared quality of life in epilepsy patients. Ethical clearance was taken from Institutional Ethical Committee. Patients were included after taking a written informed consent. Patients were selected from out-patient department of Department of Neurology. Patients were included after primary screening. Study subjects included patients suffering from any other type of epilepsy, patients with progressive CNS disease and lesion, any uncontrolled co-morbid condition, malignancy, hypersensitivity to the study drugs, participating in another study, subjects with deranged liver and renal functions, pregnant and lactating mothers, patients who have experienced acute onset of seizures related to drugs, alcohol, acute medical illness, patients leaving the study due to any reasons will be excluded from final analysis. Basic demographic profile of the patients included in the study is given in Table 1.

Assessment of quality of life in patients: The QOLIE-10 is a brief standardized instrument for screening patients with epilepsy about the impact of epilepsy on their lives. QOLIE-10 evaluates patients in three domains: (i) epilepsy effects which evaluated patients for memory, physical effects and mental effects, (ii) mental health assessing for energy, depression and overall quality of life, (iii) role functioning which evaluated patients for seizure worry, work, driving and social limits. Scores for QOLIE- range from 1-5 for each question with minimum of 10 and maximum of 50. Higher the score poor is the expressed quality of life. Assessment of safety of treatment: A checklist of adverse drug reaction was prepared according to the most common adverse events occurring due to study drugs. Adverse drug reactions were recorded at every visit of the patient i.e. at monthly intervals. Seizure diary was used to record patient’s experiences weekly and how their seizures improved or deteriorated, frequency of seizures, duration, post-ictal confusion seizure related injury.

Data management and analysis was done using Microsoft Excel 2007 and IBM SPSS version 20.0. Demographic data was presented as either frequency or mean±SD. Intra-group comparison was done using paired sample student t-test and inter-group analysis was done using unpaired student t-test. Adverse events were interpreted and analyzed using descriptive statistics and chi-square test.

RESULTS

Total 80 patients were included after primary screening. Out of these 13 patients were less than 18 years, 7 were above 60 years of age, hence total no. of patients included for final analysis were 60 out of which 30 were in the LEV group and 30 in the VPA group.

Basic demographic profile of the patients included in the study is given in Table 1.
The ultimate goal for treatment of epilepsy is providing patients with a life free from seizures in combination with optimal quality of life. Inclusion of evaluating quality of life outcomes in the standard management plan along with traditional measures of assessment of seizure frequency and adverse effects needs to be encouraged. To address this objective, the present study compares the drugs levetiracetam and valproic acid on the basis of the quality of life in newly diagnosed patients with epilepsy.

Demographic details included in this studies were age, gender, and place of residence. Mean age of population in groups based on the baseline characteristics. Mean age of population in newly diagnosed patients with epilepsy. There was no significant difference between the two groups based on the baseline characteristics.

Baseline pattern in included patients for epilepsy which are included in these are family history, duration of disease and frequency of seizures (Table 2).

Study subjects included were also assessed for voluntary reported adverse effects which are given in (Table 6).

Adherence was assessed at 6 and 12 weeks. 6.67% and 10% in LEV and VPA group were found to be non adherent and these also suffered from seizure episode during the study period. Cost comparison was also done to see the total cost of monthly therapy which was INR 1394±209.427 for LEV and 706.25±152.616 for VPA.

**Table 1: Basic demographic detail of the study group.**

| Characteristic       | LEV (%) | VPA (%) |
|----------------------|---------|---------|
| Age (years)* (Mean±SD) | 28.05±11.853 | 23.00±5.270 |
| Sex                  | Male 19 (63.33) 11 (36.66) | 13 (43.33) 15 (50) |
|                      | Female 11 (36.66) 18 (60) | 20 (66.66) 20 (66.66) |
| Religion             | Hindu 25 (83.33) 26 (86.66) | 10 (33.33) 4 (13.33) |
|                      | Muslim 5 (16.66) 4 (13.33) | 16 (53.33) 22 (73.33) |
| Marital status       | Married 14 (46.66) 8 (26.66) | 16 (53.33) 22 (73.33) |
|                      | Unmarried 15 (50) 15 (50) | 15 (50) 15 (50) |
| Education            | Below Intermediate 17 (56.66) 15 (50) | 13 (43.33) 15 (50) |
|                      | Intermediate 13 (43.33) 15 (50) | 19 (63.33) 11 (36.66) |
| Residence            | Rural 11 (36.66) 19 (63.33) | 11 (36.66) 11 (36.66) |
| Smoking              | Smoker 10 (33.33) 9 (30) | 20 (66.66) 21 (70) |
| Alcohol              | Alcoholic 10 (33.33) 10 (33.33) | 20 (66.66) 20 (66.66) |
| Diet                 | Vegetarian 16 (53.33) 15 (50) | 14 (46.66) 15 (50) |
|                      | Non vegetarian 14 (46.66) 15 (50) | 14 (46.66) 15 (50) |

*pMean±SD

There was no significant difference between the two groups based on the baseline characteristics.

Baseline pattern in included patients for epilepsy which are included in these are family history, duration of disease and frequency of seizures (Table 2).

**Table 2: Baseline pattern of epilepsy among both the study groups.**

| Parameters             | LEV (30) | VPA (30) |
|------------------------|----------|----------|
| Family history         | Present (%) 5 (16.66) 6 (20) | 25 (83.33) 24(80) |
|                       | Absent (%) 23.68±11.373 18.88±5.524 | 4.37±2.587 4.12±1.821 |
| Age of onset (years)   | 3.37±0.831 3.19±0.834 | 3.29±0.831 3.19±0.834 |

*p<0.05 was considered significant, student t-test.

Quality of life in these patients was recorded both at start of study and at the end of 12 weeks. Quality of life was assessed using QOLIE-10 questionnaire. The comparison was done both within the groups as well as between the groups (Table 3-5).

**Table 3: Comparison of mean QOLIE-10 scores in LEV group.**

| QOLIE-10 parameters | Baseline | 12 weeks | P value |
|---------------------|----------|----------|---------|
| Epilepsy effects    | 10.05±0.911 5.21±0.918 | 0.000    |
| Mental effects      | 9.95±1.715 4.63±1.116 | 0.000    |
| Role function       | 14.58±1.465 6.79±1.084 | 0.000    |
| Total               | 34.58±1.835 16.63±1.832 | 0.000    |

*p<0.05 was considered significant, student t-test.

**Table 4: Comparison of mean QOLIE-10 scores VPA group.**

| QOLIE-10 parameters | Baseline | 12 weeks | P value |
|---------------------|----------|----------|---------|
| Epilepsy effects    | 8.63±1.360 5.06±1.389 | 0.000    |
| Mental effects      | 8.81±1.559 5.31±1.195 | 0.000    |
| Role function       | 11.56±1.711 7.06±1.237 | 0.000    |
| Total               | 29.00±3.204 17.14±1.413 | 0.000    |

*p<0.05 was considered significant, student t-test.

**Table 5: Comparison of the difference of mean change in QOLIE-10 score based on types of seizure at 12 weeks between the groups.**

| QOLIE-10 parameters | Group A (LEV) | Group B (VPA) | P value |
|---------------------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Epilepsy effects    | 4.84±1.463 3.56±1.750 | 0.000    |
| Mental effects      | 5.32±1.945 3.50±1.966 | 0.000    |
| Role function       | 7.79±1.988 4.50±2.191 | 0.000    |
| Total               | 17.95±2.527 11.56±3.540 | 0.000    |

*p<0.05 was considered significant, student t-test.

**Table 6: Adverse events recorded during the course of study.**

| Adverse events | LEV (n=3) | VPA (n=8)* |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|
| Irritability   | 1         | 1         |
| Anorexia       | 0         | 4         |
| Drowsiness     | 2         | 2         |
| Loose motion   | 0         | 1         |

*Chi-square test, Chi-value 2.783, p=0.0953.

**DISCUSSION**

The ultimate goal for treatment of epilepsy is providing patients with a life free from seizures in combination with optimal quality of life. Inclusion of evaluating quality of life outcomes in the standard management plan along with traditional measures of assessment of seizure frequency and adverse effects needs to be encouraged. To address this objective, the present study compares the drugs levetiracetam and valproic acid on the basis of the quality of life in newly diagnosed patients with epilepsy.
this study was 28.05±11.853 years and 23.00±5.279 years in LEV and VPA groups respectively which was similar to a study where mean age of the patient was 31.8±11.0 years. In present study male to female ratio in whole study population was 52:48 which was different from the same study where it was 57:44 but not a very marked difference. Rural urban divide among the patients included in the study groups was also seen which was 63.33 and 36.66 in LEV group and 36.66 and 63.33 in VPA group (Table 1).

The mean duration of illness in LEV group was 4.37±2.587 years and in VPA it was 4.12±1.821 years (Table 2) which was lower than another study where the mean duration of the disease was found to be 6.62±4.21 years. There were no episodes of status epilepticus recorded in both groups during the entire duration of this study as all patients at the time of enrollment had already completed the titration phase. People with positive family history were found in both groups and were 16.66% and 20% in LEV and VPA group respectively (Table 2). This result was a higher as compared to another study.

Epilepsy is a medical and social diagnosis as epileptic individuals face numerous psycho-social problems (anxiety, social stigma, driving troubles, unemployment), which can negatively influence the quality of their lives. The growing awareness of the importance of the psychosocial effects of epilepsy has led to the need to measure the quality of life of affected individuals. Therefore, the proper use of AEDs as well as the monitoring of adverse impacts as a result measure, and the assessment of quality of life are important for the management of epilepsy in addition to the optimal seizure control. Standardized QOLIE-10 questionnaires were the main measurements of the quality of life assessed for our research. The QOLIE10 questionnaire evaluates three elements of the epileptic patient’s health: epilepsy effects, mental impact and role functions. The score for each scale was calculated, together with the QOLIE-10 complete score.

In the beginning of the trial, baseline QOLIE-10 score was 34.58±1.835 for LEV group, which at the end of 12 weeks decreased to 16.63±1.832 (Table 3), which showed a significant mean change of 17.95±2.527 (Table 5). Score values showed a 35.9% increase over the baseline in the LEV group. This was backed by a research carried out by SS Hassan et al. that showed a percentage change of 34.82%. In this research the subgroup analysis also showed improvements in all areas where distinct aspects of the results QOLIE-10 were compared. The mean change in effects of the epilepsy (4.84±1.463), mental (5.32±1.945) and role functions (7.79±1.988) (Table 5). The role function in the current study showed the greatest improvement.

The baseline score in the initial study QOLIE-10 VPA group was 29.00±3.204 which at the ends of 12 weeks was reduced to 17.44±1.413 (Table 4), showing a mean change 11.56±3.540 which was statistically significant (p<0.05) (Table 5). In the VPA group, the results showed an improvement of 23.12%. Two different studies have supported these findings. SANAD trial comparing VPA to LTG and TPM. Similar research was conducted in Spain comparing VPA and LTG which showed improvements in the quality of life from baseline. Subgroup analysis showed improvement in all spheres. The mean change in epilepsy effect (3.56±1.750), mental effects (3.50±1.966) and role function effects (4.50±2.191) (Table 5). Role function showed the maximum improvement.

We could not find studies where these two drugs were compared head to head even after an extensive literature search. Inter group comparison between the two groups showed statistically significant (p<0.05) difference in mean change in QOLIE-10 score i.e. 17.95±2.527 for LEV and 11.56±3.540 (Table 5).

Seizure freedom is an important parameter for measuring the effectiveness of epileptic treatment. The duration of treatment is determined by how quickly seizure control is accomplished as well as how well seizure control is done. This was therefore evaluated by the seizure diary reported in our research by patients. The monthly seizure frequency in LEV and VPA at the start of the trial was 3.37±0.831 and 3.19±0.834 (Table 2). The seizure rate was lower than in other epilepsy studies, but this could result from newer patients who have participated in this study. The total seizure freedom of patients at 6 weeks was 93.33%, 90% was LEV and VPA, and at 12 weeks 100% patients were seizure free. This is consistent with another study where seizure freedom between older and new AEDs did not differ.

Medicament adherence is significant in the treatment of chronic diseases such as epilepsy; it can influence the recurrence of the seizure and impact the quality of life. Adherence in our research was evaluated by counting pills. The adherence of LEV and VPA groups (not statistically significant p<0.05) was 93.33% and 90% at 6 weeks, which could be caused by more adverse effects as compared to LEV as a result of the VPA. Enhanced compliance improves quality of life. Adverse drug reaction is an important factor that can demotivate patients to continue the treatment. Adverse effect leads to reduced adherence to medication resulting in enhanced likelihood of seizure episodes resulting in lower quality of life. The adverse events recorded in the present study were based on the adverse effect check list during the entire study period. Total number of adverse events reported in the research was 11, out of which 8 reported with VPA and 3 with LEV (Table 6). It were found that both groups did not differ significantly. As we did not find any head to head comparison of our study drugs we tried to correlate results with other studies which compared older versus newer AEDs. Our findings were in accordance with other studies where it was inferred that
both do not differ statistically in terms of adverse events. Adverse event in group LEV group were drowsiness (2) and irritability (1). In VPA adverse events were anorexia (4), drowsiness (2), irritability (1) and loose stools (1). The most common adverse effect in LEV group was drowsiness and in VPA group were anorexia (Table 6).

An important part of any study which compares two different drugs is to assess for the cost-benefit ratio in terms of efficacy and safety. In the present study, we determined that the average monthly cost of therapy for LEV was INR 1394±209.427 and for VPA was INR 706.25±152.616. There was a significant difference in monthly cost of the two drugs, but this did not affect the patient’s adherence as is expected with costly medication. As cost is an important factor which determines the continuation of medication by patients as stated by another study.  

Anti-epileptic treatment effectively controls seizure in patients of epilepsy. Both the drugs in our study effectively provided seizure control. Both the drugs in the study provide a positive influence on quality of life. Quality of life was not affected by gender. Seizure type and treatment administered has a positive influence on quality of life. There were no serious adverse events in this study in both groups.

The major limitation of our study was its short duration and only monotherapy was included. The results of the present study does not give information about the epilepsy pattern and its effect in patients less than 18 years and more than 60 years as well as in pregnant females or patients with co-morbid conditions. In spite of this it can pave path for further studies which can compare newer AEDs with older AEDs for comparison of quality of life in epileptic patients which is mostly overlooked.

Antiepileptic drugs are the mainstay of epilepsy treatment. In the present study it was seen that LEV as compared to VPA was equal in efficacy in terms of seizure control, lesser side effects and showed significant improvement in terms of quality of life in patients of GTCS.
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