Aryloxyphenoxypropionate in association with others herbicides in controlling weedy rice and barnyardgrass
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ABSTRACT: The development of aryloxyphenoxypropionate-tolerant rice cultivars made possible the selective use of these herbicides tank mixed with others. Thus, this research aimed to evaluate the applications of aryloxyphenoxypropionate associated with latifolicides for controlling weedy rice and barnyardgrass. In a greenhouse, the weedy rice and barnyardgrass control were evaluated with treatments composed of doses from the graminicides quizalofop-p-ethyl (0; 37.5; and 75 g ha⁻¹) or haloxyfop-p-methyl (0; 45; and 60 g ha⁻¹), either isolated or with addition of bentazon, saflufenacil, carfentrazone and with no herbicide. In the field, the effect from doses of quizalofop-p-ethyl (37.5 and 75 g ha⁻¹) was tested, either isolated or associated with penoxsulam, bispiribac-sodium, quinclorac, propanil, bentazon, saflufenacil, carfentrazone and penoxsulam + bentazon for controlling weedy rice. When using a tank mix of herbicides from the aryloxyphenoxypropionate group with bentazon, saflufenacil or carfentrazone, there was a predominance of antagonistic interactions for weedy-rice, and additives interactions for barnyardgrass. In the field, the mixtures of quizalofop-p-methyl (75 g ha⁻¹) with penoxsulam, bispiribac, quinclorac, propanil, bentazon, saflufenacil, carfentrazone or penoxsulam + bentazon resulted in excellent control of the weedy rice.
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Ariloxifenoxipropionatos em associação com outros herbicidas no controle de arroz-daninho e capim-arroz

RESUMO: O desenvolvimento de cultivares de arroz tolerante a ariloxifenoxipropionatos possibilitará o uso da mistura destes graminicidas com outros herbicidas de maneira seletiva. Assim, o presente trabalho teve como objetivo avaliar a eficiência da aplicação de ariloxifenoxipropionatos associado a outros herbicidas no controle de arroz-daninho e capim-arroz. Em casa de vegetação avaliou-se o controle de arroz-daninho e capim arroz por meio dos tratamentos compostos por doses dos graminicidas - quizalofop-p-ethyl (0; 37,5 e 75 g ha⁻¹) ou haloxyfop-p-methyl (0; 45 e 60 g ha⁻¹), isolados ou associado à bentazon, saflufenacil, carfentrazone e sem herbicida. Em campo, testou-se o efeito de doses de quizalofop-p-ethyl (37,5 e 75 g ha⁻¹) isolado ou associado a penoxsulam, bispiribac-sodium, quinclorac, propanil, bentazon, saflufenacil, carfentrazone e penoxsulam + bentazon no controle do arroz-daninho. Quando se utilizou a mistura de herbicidas do grupo dos ariloxifenoxipropionatos com bentazon, saflufenacil ou carfentrazone houve predominio de interações antagônicas para o arroz daninho e aditivas para o capim-arroz. Em campo, as misturas de quizalofop-p-methyl (75 g ha⁻¹) com penoxsulam, bispiribac, quinclorac, propanil, bentazon, saflufenacil, carfentrazone ou penoxsulam + bentazon não interferem no controle do arroz-daninho.

Palavras-chave: Echinochloa spp.; haloxyfop-p-methyl; Oryza sativa; quizalofop-p-ethyl; mistura em tanque
Aryloxyphenoxypropionate in association with others herbicides in controlling weedy rice and barnyardgrass

Introduction

Rice (Oryza sativa) is one of the most produced and consumed cereals worldwide, acting as the main food supply in several regions. Outside Asia, Brazil is its largest producer and consumer, with a production between 11 and 13 million tons in the last harvests (Sosbai, 2018). Most areas are cultivated in a surface irrigation system, with Rio Grande do Sul as the main-producing state, participating with a 70% share in the national production, followed by Santa Catarina, having from 8.5 to 9.8% of the national production (Conab, 2018). Rice production can be affected by some factors and among these is the weeds occurrence. Amid the main infesting-weed species of the irrigated rice are the weedy rice (Oryza sativa) and the barnyardgrass (Echinochloa spp.) (Lancaster et al., 2018).

Within the relevant characteristics as a weed, the weedy rice stands out due to its genetic and phenotypic similarities with the cultivated rice, which hinders the chemical control selectively. The damage caused to the crop profitability can exceed 50%, depending on the infestation density, the implanted cultivar and, mainly, on the number of days coexisting with the crop (Agostinetto et al., 2001).

The barnyardgrass has a high-competitive ability due to its adaptation to the hydromorphic environment, rapid initial growth and the C₃ photosynthetic cycle (Andres et al., 2007). The presence of one barnyardgrass plant per square meter can reduce the yield of rice grains by up to 22% depending on the cultivar used and the starting time of the irrigation (Galon et al., 2007). More severe infestations of this weed can result in the impairment of up to 100% of the grain yield (Agostinetto et al., 2010).

The arrival of the Clearfield® technology in Brazil, in the early 2000s, allowed using herbicides from the imidazolinones chemical group (acetolactate synthase inhibitors – ALS) in the irrigated rice crop, thus becoming the main tool for controlling these weed species. However, the great selection pressure caused by continuously using these herbicides caused a rapid increase in the biotypes number of weedy rice (Rubim et al., 2014; Dauerr et al., 2018) and barnyardgrass (Eberhardt et al., 2016; Rouse et al., 2018) resistant to this herbicides group.

For that matter, new herbicide-resistant rice technologies are under development in order to support the control of grass weeds in the irrigated rice crop, mainly the weedy rice. The Provisia® technology (BASF) grants the cultivated rice plants resistance to the herbicide quizalofop-p-ethyl (inhibitor of the enzyme Acetyl CoA carboxylase – ACCase, aryloxyphenoxypropionates group) (Guice et al., 2015). It was developed by traditional breeding methods, through mutations in different gene positions (1781, 1785, 1786, 1811, 1824, 1864, 1999, 2027, 2039, 2041, 2049, 2059, 2074, 2075, 2078, 2079, 2080, 2081, 2088, 2095, 2096 or 2098), making the ACCase enzyme insensitive to the herbicides from this said chemical group (Mankin et al., 2014). This technology has been available to rice producers in the United States since 2018 and the projection is that it will be on the Brazilian market in 2022 (BASF, 2018). The Max-Ace® technology (RiceTec) also grant resistance to ACCase-inhibiting herbicides (aryloxyphenoxypropionates), through mutation of the G-2096-S gene (Hinga et al., 2013) and it will also be available to the Brazilian producers (Pezzini, 2019).

In Brazil, the Company of Agricultural Research and Rural Extension of Santa Catarina (EPAGRI) developed, through induced mutation (gene G-2027-T), irrigated rice strains that demonstrated high tolerance to the quizalofop-p-ethyl and haloxyfop-p-methyl graminicides (ACCase inhibitors, aryloxyphenoxypropionates group), making it an innovative and promising alternative for controlling weedy rice and barnyardgrass in irrigated rice areas (Andrade et al., 2018).

The development of aryloxyphenoxypropionates-resistant rice materials alters the weed management panorama, since in most cultivated areas the weed community is composed of monocotyledonous and eudicotyledonous species, such as the “cruz-de-malta” or long-leaf willow primrose (Ludwigia longifolia) and the “angiquinho” or jointvetches (Aeschynomene sp.), requiring a mixture of other herbicides to increase the application-control spectrum (Mahajan & Chauhan, 2015). This situation can result in interaction problems among the herbicides, since research reports the occurrence of antagonism between the mixture of graminicides and other herbicides used in the rice crop (Matzenbacher et al., 2015; Lancaster et al., 2019). According to Zhang et al. (2005), the control of barnyardgrass is reduced when fenoxaprop is applied tank-mixed with tryclopyr, bensulfuron, halosulfuron and carfentrazone.

According to Matzenbacher et al. (2015), the result of the herbicides combination in a tank mix may vary depending on the morphology and the stage of the weed species, the physical-chemical characteristics and the herbicides dose. Therefore, it is of utmost importance determining the compatibility of the association of aryloxyphenoxypropionates with other herbicides in order to develop appropriate application recommendations when the ACCase-inhibitor resistance technology is available to the Brazilian producers. Thus, the present study aimed to evaluate the efficiency of applying aryloxyphenoxypropionates (quizalofop-p-ethyl and haloxyfop-p-methyl) associated with other herbicides in the controlling of weedy rice and barnyardgrass.

Materials and Methods

Greenhouse experiments

Four experiments were conducted simultaneously, based on the combination of ACCase-inhibiting herbicides from the aryloxyphenoxypropionate chemical group (quizalofop-p-ethyl or haloxyfop-p-methyl) associated with bentazon, saflufenacil or carfentrazone for weedy rice and barnyardgrass species. The conduction period was from March to April 2017, in a greenhouse at the Federal University of Santa Catarina, Curitibanos-SC.
The completely randomized experimental design was used, with 12 treatments and 5 replicates, arranged in a 3 x 4 factorial design. The first factor was represented by doses from the graminicide – either quizalofop-p-ethyl (0; 37.5 and 75 g ha⁻¹) or haloxyfop-p-methyl (0; 45 and 60 g ha⁻¹), while the second factor was represented for the herbicides bentazon (960 g ha⁻¹), saflufenacil (49 g ha⁻¹), carfentrazone (40 g ha⁻¹) and no latifolicide. The adjuvant from the chemical aliphatic-flat spray nozzles model 110.02, having a working pressure of pressurized backpack sprayer, equipped with a bar with four nozzles, was applied on December 9, 2015, when the weedy rice was in the V₃-4 stage (three to four fully expanded leaves), with a water depth of 2 cm maintained on the soil throughout the evaluation period for this said purpose.

After the emergence, the thinning performed left three plants per experimental unit. Recommendations for the irrigated rice crop (Sosbai, 2018) classified as an Haplic “Cambissolo” (Inceptisol) with a clay texture, previously corrected and fertilized, according to the recommendations for the irrigated rice crop (Sosbai, 2018). After the emergence, the thinning performed left three plants per experimental unit.

When the plants were in the V₄ stage (four fully expanded leaves), the herbicides were applied through a CO₂-pressurized backpack sprayer, equipped with a bar with four flat spray nozzles model 110.02, having a working pressure of 207 kPa, travel speed of 1.0 m s⁻¹, bar height of 0.5 m and the application rate of 200 L ha⁻¹. At the application time, the air temperature was 29.6 °C, the relative humidity was of 55% and the wind speed was 0.2 km h⁻¹.

Prior to the herbicides application, the pots were irrigated daily so that the humidity close to the field capacity was maintained. Twenty-four hours after applying the herbicides, an irrigation to simulate the soil flooding was performed, with a water depth of 2 cm maintained on the soil throughout the evaluation period for this said purpose.

Evaluations of the weed control were performed visually at 28 days after application (DAA), through assigning scores from 0 to 100%, in which 0 stands for no control and 100 represents the plant death (Kuva et al., 2016). After these control evaluations, the shoot was collected in order to determine the plants dry weight. The collected material, packed in paper bags, was dried in a forced-air circulation oven with temperature set at 60 °C, until it reached constant weight.

The data were submitted to analysis of variance by the F test and its means compared by the Tukey test (p < 0.05). The control evaluation means were subjected to the model proposed by Colby (1967) for determining the expected result. This in turn was compared with the control percentage obtained by the t test (p < 0.05). When the comparison between the expected and the observed results was significantly positive, the synergistic effect (+) was considered; when negative, the antagonistic effect (-) was considered; when there was no significant difference between the expected result and the observed, the additive effect. (=) was considered.

Field experiment

The field experiment was conducted in a systematized area located in Itajaí-SC. The SCS121 CL cultivar was sown, in a pre-germinated system, on November 18, 2015, in order to simulate a high infestation from weedy rice resistant to herbicides from the imidazolinones chemical group (250 plants m⁻²).

The design used was of randomized blocks in a factorial design (2 x 9) + 1, with four replications. The first evaluated factor was the quizalofop-p-ethyl graminicide dose (37.5 and 75 g ha⁻¹), and the second was the herbicides penoxsulam – 60 g ha⁻¹, bispyribac-sodium – 50 g ha⁻¹, quinclorac – 375 g ha⁻¹, propanil – 3,600 g ha⁻¹, bentazon – 960 g ha⁻¹, saflufenacil – 49 g ha⁻¹, carfentrazone – 40 g ha⁻¹ and penoxsulam + bentazon – 60 + 960 g ha⁻¹, in addition to a control with no herbicide.

The used commercial products were the quizalofop-p-ethyl (Targa®, 50 g L⁻¹ of active ingredient – a.i., in the emulsifiable concentrate (EC) formulation); haloxyfop-p-methyl (Verdict®, 124.7 g L⁻¹ of a.i., EC); bentazon (Basagran®, 480 g L⁻¹ of a.i., soluble concentrate (SL) formulation); saflufenacil (Heat®, 700 g kg⁻¹ of a.i., dispersible granule (WG ) formulation); carfentrazone (Aurora®, 400 g L⁻¹ of a.i., EC); and adjuvant (Nimbus®, 428 g L⁻¹, EC).

Barnyardgrass seeds were acquired at the Experimental Station of Itajaí - Epagri. In order to simulate a weedy rice infestation, the used commercial cultivar was the SCS121 CL, having Clearfield® technology, thus resistant to herbicides from the imidazolinone group. According to Rustom et al. (2018), the sensitivity of the Clearfield® rice cultivars to herbicides is similar to that of weedy rice, which is why they were used in simulating the infestation. These species were sown in pots with capacity for 400 cm³, containing a soil classified as an Haplic “Cambissolo” (Inceptisol) with a clay texture, previously corrected and fertilized, according to the recommendations for the irrigated rice crop (Sosbai, 2018). After the emergence, the thinning performed left three plants per experimental unit.

The field experiment was conducted in a systematized area located in Itajaí-SC. The SCS121 CL cultivar was sown, in a pre-germinated system, on November 18, 2015, in order to simulate a high infestation from weedy rice resistant to herbicides from the imidazolinones chemical group (250 plants m⁻²).

The used commercial products were the quizalofop-p-ethyl (Targa®, 50 g L⁻¹, EC), penoxsulam (Ricer®, 240 g L⁻¹, SC), bispyribac-sodium (Nominee®, 400 g L⁻¹, SC), quinclorac (Facet®, 500 g kg⁻¹, WP), propanil (Stam®, 800 g kg⁻¹, WG), bentazon (Basagran®), 480 g L⁻¹, SL), saflufenacil (Heat®, 700 g kg⁻¹, WG) and carfentrazone (Aurora®, 400 g L⁻¹, EC).

The experimental units had a total area of 21 m² (3 x 7 m) and a useful area of 10 m² (2 x 5 m). The herbicides were applied on December 9, 2015, when the weedy rice was in the V₄ stage (three to four fully expanded leaves), with a CO₂-pressurized backpack sprayer containing a bar with four nozzles from the flat-jet model 110.015, spaced 0.5 cm apart, having a constant pressure of 207 kPa and the application rate of 150 L ha⁻¹. The climatic conditions at the application time were air temperature of 28 °C, relative humidity of 85% and average wind speed of 1.6 km h⁻¹. The herbicides were applied with the soil having been drained, with the fertilization performed 24 hours past the application (30 kg of N ha⁻¹, 30 kg of P₂O₅ ha⁻¹, 30 kg of K₂O ha⁻¹) and the area was flooded after 48 hours.

The weedy rice controlling percentage was evaluated at 7 and 28 DAA though assigning scores from 0 to 100%, as previously described. Data were subjected to the analysis of variance by the F test (p < 0.05). The comparison for the factor quizalofop-p-methyl dose was performed by employing the F test (p < 0.05), while the Scott-Knott clustering test (p < 0.05) was used for the factor other herbicides. For comparing the...
treatments with the no-herbicide control, the Dunnett test was performed \((p < 0.05)\).

**Results and Discussion**

**Greenhouse experiments**

**Weedy rice**

Weedy rice was not controlled successfully with the application of bentazon, saflufenacil and isolated carfentrazone, with these results already expected as this species is not in the control spectrum of the said herbicides. Quizalofop-p-ethyl in the lowest isolated dose or associated with carfentrazone also did not promote satisfactory controlling of weedy rice; however, there was a significant increase in the controlling levels of this species when tank mixed with bentazon and saflufenacil. On the other hand, quizalofop-p-methyl at the isolated 75 g ha\(^{-1}\) dose promoted an excellent weedy rice controlling (99%), with the same verified when added saflufenacil (87.4%) or carfentrazone (99.2%), although when bentazon was added to mixture the weedy rice controlling was impaired and reached only 33.6%. Increasing the graminicide dose provided greater controlling for the quizalofop-p-pethyl isolated or tank mixed with carfentrazone.

Lancaster et al. (2019) verified control of a weedy rice resistant to inhibitors of ALS greater than 90% when applied 80 g ha\(^{-1}\) of quizalofop-p-ethyl, results which are similar to those observed with the 75 g ha\(^{-1}\) dose. The dry weight of weedy rice plants was reduced when they were treated with quizalofop-p-ethyl (37.5 g ha\(^{-1}\)) associated with bentazon and saflufenacil, and for quizalofop-p-methyl (75 g ha\(^{-1}\)) isolated and tank mixed with saflufenacil and carfentrazone (Table 1).

The haloxyfop-p-methyl, when isolated or tank mixed, regardless of its dose, resulted in a weedy rice controlling greater than 81.2%. Haloxyfop-p-methyl at a doses of 45 or 60 g ha\(^{-1}\), in association with bentazon, promoted less controlling than applying the graminicide isolated. There was an effect of the haloxyfop-p-methyl dose only when tank mixed with carfentrazone, where there was a greater controlling when increasing the graminicide dose (Table 2).

The weedy rice dry weight accumulation was reduced with the applications of haloxyfop-p-p-methyl isolated or associated, regardless of the dose, and of the tank-mixed herbicide. The only exception was for the mixture of haloxyfop-p-p-methyl (45 g ha\(^{-1}\)) with saflufenacil, which resulted in a dry weight less than the isolated graminicide.

The interaction of quizalofop-p-ethyl and haloxyfop-p-methyl with other herbicides in controlling the weedy rice was evaluated according to the equation proposed by Colby (1967) (Table 3). During this procedure, the antagonistic interactions predominated, with this interaction type also occurring for treatments with quizalofop-p-ethyl (37.5 g ha\(^{-1}\)) tank mixed with carfentrazone and quizalofop-p-ethyl (75 g ha\(^{-1}\)) tank mixed with bentazon or saflufenacil. For haloxyfop-p-methyl, it occurred in the lowest dose (45 g ha\(^{-1}\)), when associated with all herbicides; while on highest dose (60 g ha\(^{-1}\)), it occurred only for bentazon. It was noted that, when bentazon was mixed with haloxyfop-p-methyl, there was an antagonistic effect regardless of the graminicide dose.

Additive effect was verified for quizalofop-p-ethyl (37.5 g ha\(^{-1}\)) tank mixed with saflufenacil and quizalofop-p-ethyl (75 g ha\(^{-1}\)) with carfentrazone, and haloxyfop-p-methyl (60 g ha\(^{-1}\)) with saflufenacil or carfentrazone. Synergistic effect was verified only for quizalofop-p-ethyl (37.5 g ha\(^{-1}\)) with bentazon (Table 3).

These results corroborate with Rustom et al. (2018), who field-tested the controlling of weedy rice and two cultivars of imidazolinones-resistant rice (CL-111 and CLXL-745). These authors observed, at 28 DAA, that quizalofop-p-ethyl (120 g ha\(^{-1}\)) in all mixtures with ALS-inhibiting herbicides resulted in

**Table 1.** Control (%) and dry weight (g) of weedy rice at 28 days after applying quizalofop-p-ethyl either isolated or associated with other herbicides. Curitibanos, SC, 2017.

| Herbicides | Dose of quizalofop-p-ethyl (g ha\(^{-1}\)) | Control (%) | CV (%) |
|------------|------------------------------------------|-------------|--------|
| No herbicide | 0.0 | cC | 32.6 bB | 99.0 aA |
| Bentazon | 19.8 bC | 89.4 aA | 33.6 bB |
| Saflufenacil | 39.4 aB | 76.6 aA | 87.4 aA |
| Carfentrazone | 26.4 abB | 29.4 bB | 92.2 aA |
| Fcalc | 66.31 | | |
| CV (%) | 15.82 | | |

**Table 2.** Control (%) and dry weight (g) of weedy rice at 28 days after applying haloxyfop-p-methyl isolated or associated with other herbicides. Curitibanos, SC, 2017.

| Herbicides | Dose of haloxyfop-p-methyl (g ha\(^{-1}\)) | Control (%) | CV (%) |
|------------|------------------------------------------|-------------|--------|
| No herbicides | 0.0 | cB | 92.0 aA | 94.4 aA |
| Bentazon | 19.8 bB | 81.2 bA | 83.6 bA |
| Saflufenacil | 39.4 aB | 85.0 aB | 91.4 aB |
| Carfentrazone | 26.4 bC | 82.2 abB | 97.2 aA |
| Fcalc | 17.49 | | |
| CV (%) | 9.07 | | |

Means followed by the same lowercase letter in the column (other herbicides) and uppercase in the row (doses of quizalofop-p-ethyl) do not differ from each other by the Tukey test at 5% probability.
Antagonistic interaction, in which penoxsulam, penoxsulam + triclopyr and bispyribac reduced the expected controlling from 97% to one ranging from 59% to 67%. For tank mixtures of halosulfuron, orthosulfamuron + halosulfuron, orthosulfamuron + quinclorac and imazosulfuron, the controlling levels varied between 81% and 88%; however, this was also lower than expected, thus characterizing the antagonism. The same abovementioned authors also obtained similar results for the CLCX-745 rice hybrid, confirming once again the antagonism of the used associations. Still according to the authors, the antagonistic interaction negatively affected the irrigated rice yield, in function of the lesser efficiency of the controlling of weedy rice and cultivars simulating it.

Barnyardgrass

At 28 DAA, the isolated quizalofop-p-ethyl at the 37.5 g ha⁻¹ dose did not promote a satisfactory barnyardgrass controlling, thus matching with the tank mixture containing carfentrazone. For the quizalofop-p-ethyl, at the 75 g ha⁻¹ dose, its isolated application or with saflufenacil and carfentrazone lead to totally controlling the barnyardgrass (100%); however, when associated with bentazon, the control was only 20%.

The increasing quizalofop-p-ethyl dose resulted in an increased controlling of barnyardgrass when applied isolated or in a tank mixture with carfentrazone. In contrast, increasing the dose for the tank mix with bentazon resulted in a reduced control similar to applying it isolated (Table 4). Zhang et al. (2005) did not verify the effect of the increasing fenoxaprop dose when associated with carfentrazone, with an antagonistic effect observed for controlling barnyardgrass, regardless of the used dose, at 30 DAA.

The barnyardgrass dry weight reflected the controlling results, where only the associations with quizalofop-p-ethyl (37.5 g ha⁻¹) + carfentrazone resulted in a dry weight higher than the isolated graminicide or with the other herbicides. For the graminicide dose of 75 g ha⁻¹, the tank mix with bentazon demonstrated a dry weight superior to the other treatments.

Increasing the graminicide dose contributed to a reduction in the barnyardgrass dry weight when the combination with carfentrazone was used. As for the bentazon, the opposite effect of increasing the dose was verified, resulting in a higher dry weight compared to the 37.5 g ha⁻¹ dose (Table 4). The high efficiency of the quizalofop-p-ethyl (80 g ha⁻¹) on the barnyardgrass was validated by Lancaster et al. (2018), where excellent controlling levels (> 92%) were observed for the 126 evaluated biotypes.

Controlling barnyardgrass with the associations containing haloxyfop-p-methyl, at 28 DAA, regardless of the used dose and herbicide, had a ≥ 96.80% controlling percentage. However, isolated using the lowest dose (37.5 g ha⁻¹) resulted in a low controlling level (24.50%), with a positive effect verified from increasing the dose for controlling the barnyardgrass. Regarding the barnyardgrass dry weight, all treatments containing haloxyfop-p-methyl, regardless of the dose and the tank-mixed herbicide, resulted in less dry weight when compared to the treatment with no herbicide and with the other isolated herbicides (Table 5).

Table 3. Interaction of mixing quizalofop-p-ethyl and haloxyfop-p-methyl with other herbicides in controlling weedy rice. Curitibanos, SC, 2017.

| Herbicides | Doses (g ha⁻¹) | Expected¹ | Observed | p | Interaction |
|------------|----------------|-----------|----------|---|-------------|
| Quizalofop + Bentazon | 37.5 + 960 | 45.95 | 89.40** | 0.000 | + |
| Quizalofop + Saflufenacil | 37.5 + 49 | 59.16 | 76.60 | 0.104 | = |
| Quizalofop + Carfentrazone | 37.5 + 40 | 50.39 | 29.40** | 0.008 | - |
| Quizalofop + Bentazon | 75 + 960 | 99.20 | 33.60** | 0.000 | - |
| Quizalofop + Saflufenacil | 75 + 49 | 99.40 | 87.40* | 0.014 | - |
| Quizalofop + Carfentrazone | 75 + 40 | 99.26 | 99.20 | 0.838 | = |
| Haloxyfop + Bentazon | 45 + 960 | 93.58 | 81.20** | 0.002 | - |
| Haloxyfop + Saflufenacil | 45 + 49 | 95.15 | 85.00* | 0.047 | - |
| Haloxyfop + Carfentrazone | 45 + 40 | 94.11 | 82.20** | 0.001 | - |
| Haloxyfop + Bentazon | 60 + 960 | 95.51 | 83.60** | 0.000 | - |
| Haloxyfop + Saflufenacil | 60 + 49 | 96.61 | 91.40 | 0.231 | = |
| Haloxyfop + Carfentrazone | 60 + 40 | 95.88 | 97.20 | 0.662 | = |

¹Expected result, according to the model proposed by Colby (1967). Significant at the level of *5% and **1% probability, according to the t test. "+": Synergistic effect; "+": Antagonistic effect and "=": Additive effect.

Table 4. Control (%) and dry weight (g) of barnyardgrass at 28 days after applying quizalofop-p-ethyl isolated or associated with other herbicides. Curitibanos, SC, 2017.

| Herbicides | Dose of quizalofop-p-ethyl (g ha⁻¹) | Control (%) | Dry weight (g) |
|------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|---------------|
| No herbicides | 0.0 | cc | 25.4 | bB | 100.0 | aA |
| Bentazon | 10.4 | bcB | 100.0 | aA | 20.0 | bB |
| Saflufenacil | 25.4 | abB | 100.0 | aA | 100.0 | aA |
| Carfentrazone | 31.6 | aB | 22.4 | bB | 100.0 | aA |
| Fcalc | 73.65 | CV (%) | 19.15 |
| No herbicides | 0.61 | abA | 0.36 | bB | 0.28 | bB |
| Bentazon | 0.77 | aA | 0.26 | bC | 0.57 | aB |
| Saflufenacil | 0.68 | abA | 0.30 | bB | 0.34 | bB |
| Carfentrazone | 0.55 | bA | 0.61 | aA | 0.33 | bB |
| Fcalc | 7.78 | CV (%) | 25.00 |

Means followed by the same lowercase letter in the column (other herbicides) and uppercase in the row (doses of quizalofop-p-ethyl) do not differ from each other by the Tukey test at 5% probability.
Concerning the interaction as according to the Colby model (1967), there was no response pattern for the quizalofop-p-ethyl in the barnyardgrass controlling. At the lowest dose (37.5 g ha\(^{-1}\)) associated with bentazon or saflufenacil, there was a synergistic interaction. On the other hand, for quizalofop-p-ethyl (37.5 g ha\(^{-1}\)) with carfentrazone and quizalofop-p-ethyl (75 g ha\(^{-1}\)) associated with bentazon, there was antagonistic effect. The highest quizalofop-p-ethyl dose (75 g ha\(^{-1}\)) associated with carfentrazone or saflufenacil demonstrated additive interactions (Table 6).

Controlling barnyardgrass by using the associations with the haloxyfop-p-methyl, regardless of the dose and the tank-mixed herbicide, demonstrated an additive interaction, that is, the effect obtained with the mixtures was similar to what was expected (Table 6). Rustom et al. (2019) found, at 28 DAA, a predominance of additive effect regarding the quizalofop-p-ethyl (37.5 g ha\(^{-1}\)) with carfentrazone and quizalofop-p-ethyl (75 g ha\(^{-1}\)) associated with bentazon, there was antagonistic effect. The highest quizalofop-p-ethyl dose (75 g ha\(^{-1}\)) associated with carfentrazone or saflufenacil demonstrated additive interactions (Table 6).

In a study on the efficiency of tank-mix fenoxaprop in controlling the barnyardgrass, Zhang et al., (2005) verified different interaction patterns during this control. According to these authors, the association of fenoxaprop (75 g ha\(^{-1}\)) with bentazon or propanil + molinate resulted in additive effect at 10, 20 and 30 DAA; however, when the fenoxaprop dose was increased to 89 g ha\(^{-1}\), there was antagonism found at 30 DAA with bentazon and at 20 and 30 DAA with propanil + molinate.

Another experiment using a tank mix of ACCase-inhibiting herbicides with ALS-inhibitors under field conditions mostly demonstrated a reduction (64%) in barnyardgrass controlling (Matzenbacher et al., 2015). The same authors also point out that the mixture with ACCase-inhibiting herbicides is one of the main alternatives for controlling barnyardgrass. However, the association of these herbicides in many situations can compromise the weeds control when compared to the effect of their isolated application.

Table 5. Control (%) and dry weight (g) of barnyardgrass at 28 days after applying haloxyfop-p-methyl isolated or associated with other herbicides. Curitibanos, SC, 2017.

| Herbicides              | Dose of haloxyfop-p-methyl (g ha\(^{-1}\)) | Control (%) | CV (%) |
|-------------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------|--------|
|                         | 0.0                                      | cC          | bB     |
| No Herbicides           |                                          |             |        |
| Bentazon                | 10.4                                     | bcB         | aA     |
| Saflufenacil            | 25.4                                     | abB         | aA     |
| Carfentrazone           | 31.6                                     | abB         | aA     |
| Fcalc                   |                                          | 18.86       |        |
| CV (%)                  |                                          | 15.22       |        |
| Dry weight (g)          |                                          |             |        |
| No herbicides           | 0.61                                     | aA          | aB     |
| Bentazon                | 0.77                                     | aA          | aB     |
| Saflufenacil            | 0.68                                     | aA          | aB     |
| Carfentrazone           | 0.55                                     | aA          | aB     |
| Fcalc                   |                                          | 1.19        |        |
| CV (%)                  |                                          | 30.33       |        |

Means followed by the same lowercase letter in the column (other herbicides) and uppercase in the row (doses of haloxyfop-p-methyl) do not differ from each other by the Tukey test at 5% probability.

Table 6. Interaction of mixing quizalofop-p-ethyl and haloxyfop-p-methyl with other herbicides in controlling the barnyardgrass. Curitibanos, SC, 2017.

| Herbicides                  | Doses (g.i.a.ha\(^{-1}\)) | Expected\(^1\) | Observed | % Control 28 DAA |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|----------|------------------|
|                            |                           | Expected \(^2\) |          |                  |
|                            |                           | Observed       | p        | Interaction     |
| Quizalofop + Bentazon       | 37.5 + 960                | 33.16          | 100.00** | 0.001            | +                |
| Quizalofop + Saflufenacil   | 37.5 + 49                 | 44.35          | 100.00** | 0.001            | +                |
| Quizalofop + Carfentrazone  | 37.5 + 40                 | 48.97          | 22.40*   | 0.043            | -                |
| Quizalofop + Bentazon       | 75 + 960                  | 100.00         | 20.00**  | 0.000            | -                |
| Quizalofop + Saflufenacil   | 75 + 49                   | 100.00         | 100.00   |                  | =                |
| Quizalofop + Carfentrazone  | 75 + 40                   | 100.00         | 100.00   |                  | =                |
| Haloxyfop + Bentazon        | 45 + 960                  | 100.00         | 96.80    | 0.234            | =                |
| Haloxyfop + Saflufenacil    | 45 + 49                   | 100.00         | 100.00   |                  | =                |
| Haloxyfop + Carfentrazone   | 45 + 40                   | 100.00         | 100.00   |                  | =                |
| Haloxyfop + Bentazon        | 60 + 960                  | 99.82          | 99.80    | 0.924            | =                |
| Haloxyfop + Saflufenacil    | 60 + 49                   | 99.85          | 100.00   | 0.347            | =                |
| Haloxyfop + Carfentrazone   | 60 + 40                   | 99.86          | 100.00   | 0.346            | =                |

\(^1\)Expected result, according to the model proposed by Colby (1967). Significant at the level of *5% and **1% probability, according to the o2 test. +: Synergistic effect; -: Antagonistic effect and =: Additive effect.

In essence, the herbicide quizalofop-p-ethyl, either isolated at a 37.5 g ha\(^{-1}\) dose, was inefficient in controlling weedy rice and barnyardgrass. When quizalofop-p-ethyl (37.5 g ha\(^{-1}\)) associated with haloxyfop-p-methyl demonstrated an additive effect (Table 5).
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Table 7. Control (%) of weedy rice after applying quizalofop-p-ethyl isolated or tank mixed with other herbicides. Itajaí, SC, 2017.

| Herbicides                  | Dose of quizalofop-p-ethyl (g ha⁻¹) | 37.5 | 75.0 |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|------|------|
| No herbicides               |                                    |      |      |
| Penoxsulam                  | *67.0 dB                           | *86.5 aA |
| Bispiribac                  | *52.7 eB                           | *84.0 aA |
| Quinclorac                  | *62.0 eB                           | *81.0 aA |
| Propanil                    | *73.7 cB                           | *89.0 aA |
| Bentazon                    | *85.7 aA                           | *91.5 aA |
| Saflufenacil                | *79.0 bB                           | *92.5 aA |
| Carfentrazone               | *67.2 dB                           | *85.7 aA |
| Penoxsulam + Bentazon       | *72.5 cB                           | *89.0 aA |
| Control with no herbicide   |                                    | 0.0  |      |
| DMS Dunnett                |                                    | 9.83 | 6.25 |
| CV (%)                      |                                    |      |      |

Field experiment

In the experiment conducted under field conditions, at 7 DAA, quizalofop-p-ethyl (37.5 g ha⁻¹) associated with bentazon was the treatment that better controlled the weedy rice, with indexes over 80%. However, increasing the graminicide dose to 75 g ha⁻¹ improved the weedy rice controlling, not differing among the other herbicides, with the controlling level ranging from 81.0 to 95.0%. At 28 DAA, for the lowest quizalofop-p-ethyl dose (37.5 g ha⁻¹), mixtures containing saflufenacil and carfentrazone demonstrated significantly less control than the other treatments. On the other hand, increasing the graminicide dose (75 g ha⁻¹) was beneficial for the controlling efficiency of the evaluated mixtures, with no difference observed among treatments and with an excellent weedy rice control, ranging from 94.5 to 99.0% (Table 7).

When using penoxsulam, bispiribac, saflufenacil, carfentrazone or penoxsulam + bentazon, there was a significant effect of the graminicide dose in controlling weedy rice, with an increased graminicide dose from 37.5 to 75.0 g ha⁻¹, thus resulting in greater control at 28 DAA (Table 7).

Studies developed by Rustom et al. (2018) verified, at 28 DAA, weedy rice controlling ranging from 59 to 88% when associating quizalofop-p-ethyl (120 g ha⁻¹) with ALS-inhibiting herbicides. Lancaster et al. (2019) also evaluated quizalofop-p-ethyl (80 g ha⁻¹) tank mixed with penoxsulan (35 g ha⁻¹), bispiribac (28 g ha⁻¹), propanil (2,240 g ha⁻¹) and quinclorac (283 g ha⁻¹) and found 92, 84, 87 and 85% of weedy rice control, respectively; slightly lower results than those observed in this present experiment. Despite the good results, the authors found controlling levels between 3 and 11% lower than what was expected for these associations, characterizing the mixtures antagonism.

During the greenhouse experiments, there was frequent antagonism occurrence with the application of quizalofop-p-ethyl dose (75 g ha⁻¹) associated with bentazon, there was antagonistic effect for both species. Overall, using the highest quizalofop-p-ethyl dose either resulted in antagonistic or additive effect among the evaluated herbicides.

For controlling weedy rice with haloxyfop-p-methyl, an antagonistic effect was found in its lowest dose, regardless of the herbicide mixed with. Yet, when the graminicide dose was increased, there was antagonism only for the mixture with bentazon, while the others demonstrated additive effect. As for the barnyardgrass, all tested mixtures resulted in additive effect.

A greater antagonism occurrence on the weedy rice was noted and this finding is worrisome, since the rice resistance technologies to herbicides from the aryloxyphenoxypropionates chemical group have the controlling of this species as its main objective and these results indicate that this target is more subject to antagonistic interactions when mixing these graminicides with other herbicides.

Alternatives for minimizing the problems due to antagonism among these mixtures would be isolated applying herbicides of different controlling spectrum, respecting intervals between applications or reapplying the herbicides for controlling the control failures occurred due to antagonism (Matzenbacher et al., 2015). However, both alternatives result in an increased cost of weed control.
Conclusion

Using herbicides from the aryloxyphenoxypropionates group tank-mixed with bentazone, saflufenacil or carfentrazone resulted in a predominance of antagonistic interactions for weedy rice and additives interactions for barnyardgrass. Mixtures of quizalofop-p-methyl (75 g ha\(^{-1}\)) with penoxsulam, bispiribac, quinclorac, propanil, bentazon, saflufenacil, carfentrazone or penoxsulam + bentazon do not interfere with the weed controlling under field conditions.
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