Membrane Dynamics Induced by a Phosphatidylinositol 3,4,5-Trisphosphate Optogenetic Tool
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Membrane dynamic structures such as filopodia, lamellipodia, and ruffles have important cellular functions in phagocytosis and cell motility, and in pathological states, such as cancer metastasis. Phosphatidylinositol 3,4,5-trisphosphate (PIP3) is a crucial lipid that regulates PIP3 dynamics. Investigations of how PIP3 is involved in these functions have mainly relied on pharmacological interventions, and therefore have not generated detailed spatiotemporal information concerning membrane dynamics upon PIP3 production. In the present study, we applied an optogenetic approach using the CRY2-CIBN system. Using this system, we revealed that local PIP3 generation induced directional cell motility and membrane ruffles in COS7 cells. Furthermore, combined with structured illumination microscopy (SIM), membrane dynamics were investigated with high spatial resolution. We observed PIP3-induced apical ruffles and unique actin fiber behavior in that a single actin fiber protruded from the plasma membrane was taken up into the plasma membrane without depolymerization. This system has the potential to investigate other high-level cell motility and dynamic behaviors, such as cancer cell invasion and wound healing with high spatiotemporal resolution, and could provide new insights of biological sciences for membrane dynamics.
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using RNAi or overexpression of constitutively active PI3K have chronic effects that can cause secondary phenomena or cell compensation, leading to unexpected cellular changes. Therefore, the details of membrane dynamics have not been fully elucidated.

Recently, optogenetic approaches using photoreceptors derived from plants, fungi, and bacteria have emerged. Several photoreceptors, including phototropin1, cryptochrome 2 (CRY2), and phytochrome B (PhyB), have been harnessed as photoswitches, and they have also been intensively engineered. We have developed several optogenetic tools for apoptosis based on Vivid, a fungal blue-light photoreceptor,18 PhyB-PIF6-based G protein-coupled receptors,19 a transcription system based on the CRY2-CIB1 system,20 and the Magnet system21 that we developed from Vivid. These photoswitches enable us to regulate the activity of signaling molecules of interest with high spatial and temporal resolutions, and therefore overcome the limitations of previous methods. In the present study, we constructed an optogenetic tool to increase PIP3 based on the CRY2-CIB1 system, termed as PPAP (PIP3 production by photo-activated PIP3). Using this photoswitch, we found that PIP3 controls ruffle formation and directional cell motility.

Combined with structured illumination microscopy (SIM), we were able to reveal PIP3-induced actin and membrane dynamics with a high spatiotemporal resolution in living cells. Thus, we demonstrated the usefulness of PPAP for inducing membrane dynamics. PPAP combined with SIM imaging is also applicable to revealing detailed membrane dynamics by a PIP3 increase during cancer cell invasion and in dendritic spines of neurons.

**Experimental**

**Constructs**

cDNAs encoding CRY2PHR, CIBN, P2A/T2A, tandem PH domains derived from Akt, and iRFP with codons optimized for mammalian expression were synthesized by GenScript (Piscataway, NJ). Constructed PAPP1.0 was subcloned into a pCAG vector that contains the cytomegalovirus early enhancer (Piscataway, NJ). Constructed PPAP1.0 was subcloned into a pCAG vector that contains the cytomegalovirus early enhancer (Piscataway, NJ). Constructed PPAP1.0 was subcloned into a pCAG vector that contains the cytomegalovirus early enhancer (Piscataway, NJ). Constructed PPAP1.0 was subcloned into a pCAG vector that contains the cytomegalovirus early enhancer (Piscataway, NJ). Constructed PPAP1.0 was subcloned into a pCAG vector that contains the cytomegalovirus early enhancer (Piscataway, NJ). Constructed PPAP1.0 was subcloned into a pCAG vector that contains the cytomegalovirus early enhancer (Piscataway, NJ).

**Cell culture and transfection**

HEK293, NIH3T3, and COS7 cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM; Nacalai) supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum, penicillin (50 U/mL), and streptomycin (50 mg/mL) at 37°C in 5% CO2.

Cells were plated onto glass bottom dishes, transiently transfected with Lipofectamine 3000 reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific, CA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions, and incubated for 24 h at 37°C in 5% CO2.

**Reagents**

LY294002 was from Cayman Chemical Company (Ann Arbor, MI). Complete Protease Inhibitor Cocktail was purchased from Roche (Germany). Phospho-Akt1 antibody (Ser 473) (sc-293125) and Akt1 antibody (sc-5298) were from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, CA. Triton X-100 was purchased from Kanto Pharmaceutical Co., Inc (Tokyo, Japan). Anti-mouse IgG 2nd antibody was applied (1:2000).

Western blotting

COS7 cells were plated onto 6-well plates. PAPP1.0 was transiently transfected using Lipofectamine 3000 according to the manufacturer’s instructions, and incubated for 24 h at 37°C in 5% CO2. One day after transfection, cells were continuously irradiated for 10 min with 1.0 W/m2 blue light by using a LED light source (470 ± 20 nm; CCS, Kyoto, Japan). LY294002 was pre-incubated 10 min before blue light irradiation. Then, 50 ng/mL PDGF was added for 10 min. Cells were scraped with 750 µL PBS(–) including 0.1% triton X-100 and protease inhibitor cocktail (x100) (Roche) at 4°C. Then, 250 µL of an SDS sample buffer was added to these samples. After being incubated with 95°C for 5 min, a 10-µg amount of the total protein in each well of SDS-PAGE gel was loaded. The samples were run with a current clamp for around 30 mA h. The gels were transferred to a membrane with 20 V for 1 h. After incubation with blocking one (Nacalai Tesque, Kyoto, Japan) for 1 h at room temperature in order to block non-specific immunological reactions, first antibody for anti-phospho-Akt1 antibody (Ser 473) (sc-293125: Santa Cruz Biotechnology, CA) and Akt1 antibody (sc-5298: Santa Cruz Biotechnology, CA) were added (1:1000), and incubated overnight at 4°C. Next, HRP-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG 2nd antibody was applied (1:2000).

Chemiluminescence images were taken by LAS-3000 mini (Fujifilm Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). Quantification of the signals was performed by using ImageJ software (NIH).

**Confocal laser scanning microscopy**

The COS7 cells were plated on glass-based dishes. One day after culturing, PAPP1.0 and PH(2×)-iRFP were transiently transfected with Lipofectamine 3000 (Invitrogen). On the next day, the fluorescence of each protein was observed with a Plan-Apochromat 63×/1.40 Oil DIC M27 objective lens equipped to laser scanning confocal microscopy (LSM710, Carl Zeiss, Germany). To activate PAPP1.0, the region of interest in a blue square was irradiated for 126 μs/pixel with a Multi-line Argon laser (458 nm) at 0.5 W/m2, and then an image was continuously taken. The 0.5 W/m2 blue light power is roughly estimated to be 1.5-times stronger than blue light (<505 nm) in room light. This power is much enough to induce membrane dynamics by PPAP within 5 min, but was not toxic for cells in the present study.

**Structured illumination microscopy**

PAPP1.1 and lifeact-mCherry were transiently transfected into COS7 cells. On the next day, fluorescence was detected by an electron multiplying CCD camera (iXON plus, ANDOR Technology Ltd., US) with a Plan-Apochromat 63×/1.40 Oil DIC M27 objective lens equipped to structured illumination microscopy (ELYRA, Carl Zeiss, Germany). To activate PAPP1.1 and to take an image, the whole region of the image was irradiated for 2.5 s with an optically pumped semiconductor laser (488 nm). An image of lifeact-mCherry was then taken sequentially with an optically pumped semiconductor laser (561 nm) (acquisition time 2.5 s), followed by 15 s in the dark. This cycle (total 20 s) was repeated. The overlay images in Figs. 4C and 4F were prepared by MetaMorph (Molecular Devices Japan, Tokyo, Japan).

**Statistics**

All values are expressed as mean ± S.E.M. Statistical analysis was performed using the Student’s t-test. A statistical analysis of PIP3-dependent changes in the ratio of the membrane area was performed by Prism (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA).

**Results and Discussion**

Cryochrome 2 (CRY2) is a plant photoreceptor that regulates plant functions, such as flowering and the circadian rhythm.24
CRY2 conveys signals through homodimerization and binding with CRY2-interacting basic helix-loop-helix1 (CIB1) in a blue light-dependent manner. We harnessed CRY2–CIB1 dimerization for PIP3 production (Fig. 1A). In order to improve the expression of CIB1 (335 aa), we employed the N-terminal truncated CIB1 (CIBN: 170 aa) for our construct in this study, which attaches at the plasma membrane through the CAAX domain of K-Ras.25 Additionally, CRY2PHR (498 aa), which is also a truncated version of full-length CRY2, was used. CRY2PHR was fused with the inter-SH domain (CRY2-iSH), which is a regulatory subunit of PI3K and binds the catalytic domain (p110 subunit) of endogenous PI3K.14 CRY2-iSH was expressed in the cytosolic fraction in cells. Upon blue light irradiation, PI3K approaches the plasma membrane due to the CRY2PHR–CIBN interaction, and PIP3 is generated from phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate (PIP2) (Fig. 1A). We named this CRY2PHR-CIBN system as PPAP1.0 (PIP3 production by photo-activated PI3K).

To examine whether PPAP1.0 functions appropriately, we co-expressed CRY2PHR and CIBN-CAAX constructs (Fig. 1B) in HEK293 cells. One day after transfection, we confirmed that mVenus (a yellow fluorescent protein)-tagged CIBN-mVenus-CAAX visualized by mVenus fluorescence under laser scanning microscopy (LSM710, Carl Zeiss). (D) Time-course observation of changes in CRY2-iSH-mCherry localization. As blue light irradiation protocol, the region in a blue square in the whole image was irradiated for 11.40 s with blue light at 0.5 W/m2. Next, the image was sequentially taken, followed by a dark condition. This sequential procedure (total 19.2 s) was one cycle, and repeated. White bar = 10 μm. (E) A control cDNA variant of PPAP1.0 in which CRY2PHR was removed. (F) Time-course analysis of the PPAP1.0 control variant. As blue light irradiation protocol, the region in a blue square in the whole image was irradiated for 14.3 s with blue light at 0.5 W/m2. Next, the image was sequentially taken, followed by a dark condition. This sequential procedure (total 22.1 s) was one cycle, and repeated. White bar = 10 μm.
was caused by the CRY2PHR–CIBN interaction. This data indicates that the CRY2PHR–CIBN system functions appropriately in a blue light-dependent manner.

Next, we checked whether PIP3 was clearly produced in response to the CRY2PHR–CIBN interaction after blue light irradiation. CRY2PHR and CIBN constructs were expressed in NIH3T3 cells together with a PH(×2)-iRFP construct as a PIP3 biosensor, which consists of iRFP and tandem pleckstrin homology (PH) domains derived from Akt (Figs. 2A and 2B). After blue light irradiation, PH(×2)-iRFP was translocated to the plasma membrane within 5 min, just as well as CRY2PHR–iSH-mCherry, indicating PIP3 production at the plasma membrane (Fig. 2B). This PIP3 generation was also checked by endogenous Akt phosphorylation at the serine 473 position (Fig. 2C), because PIP3 production induces Akt phosphorylation.26 Ten minutes after blue light irradiation, PPAP1.0 generated 2.1 times more PIP3 than PPAP1.0 in the dark, and 6.1-times more PIP3 than under the condition in the presence of the PI3K inhibitor LY294002. Additionally, the PPAP1.0-induced Akt phosphorylation was more than that induced by PDGF stimulation, which activates Akt through PDGF receptor/PI3K on the plasma membrane (PDGF stimulation induced 4.1 times PIP3 production compared to the condition with LY294002). These data indicated that PPAP1.0 robustly induces PIP3 production in a blue light-dependent manner, and the extent of PPAP1.0-induced PIP3 increase falls gradually moved toward the blue square region (Supplemental movie 2). At nearly the same time, ruffles disappeared at the lower region of COS7 cells and concentrated at the upper region (white arrow heads in Fig. 3B, upper panels). In contrast, the deletion construct of the iSH domain of PPAP1.0 (Fig. 3C) did not change COS7, although CRY2-mCherry accumulated at the blue light irradiated region (white arrows in Fig. 3D). We evaluated the extent of cell motility as cell membrane area increase ratio, in which cell membrane area in the blue square region after blue light irradiation was divided by the one before blue light irradiation. As a result, 8 out of 10 COS7 cells expressing PPAP1.0 showed higher ratio than 1.0 (Fig. 3E). The reason why cell motility was not induced in 2 COS7 cells is speculated to be as follows. PIP3 is not evenly distributed at the plasma membrane within 5 min, just as well as CRY2PHR–iSH-mCherry, indicating PIP3 production at the plasma membrane because almost all of adherent cells migrate persistently or randomly,28 and basically accumulates at the front of the migration.29 When the opposite side of the region where PI3K/PIP3 is already accumulated was irradiated with blue light, the efficiency of PIP3 production was reduced due to the lack of PI3K on site, leading to less cell motility. Another possibility is that cell motility is regulated by not only PIP3, but also other factors, such as Rac30 and ERK.31 These factors could dominantly control cell motility more than PIP3 depending on the situation. Taken together, these data suggest that PIP3 controls cell motility and membrane ruffles.

Since membrane dynamics is regulated by actin polymerization/depolymerization, we tried to image PIP3-dependent actin dynamics during the plasma membrane expansion. To observe detailed actin movement, we used structured illumination microscopy (SIM), which allowed us to observe it with high spatial resolution.32 Additionally, in order to visualize actin dynamics, we used mCherry-tagged lifeact that is comprised of 17-amino-acid peptide, and specifically binds to filamentous actin structures.33,34 (Fig. 4A). Furthermore, we minimized the number of constructs transfected into cells, which avoided the
situation that the expression level of PPAP is reduced. CRY2PHR-iSH and CIBN-mVenus-CAAX were linked by the P2A/T2A sequence (Fig. 4A), by which both constructs were separated after translation, which we termed as PPAP1.1. These constructs were expressed in COS7 cells (Fig. 4B), with actin fibers shown as pseudo-color images. Upon blue light irradiation to the whole cell region, the plasma membrane expansion occurred at almost all cell edges (Fig. 4C). During the plasma membrane expansion, actin fibers dynamically changed. Interestingly, we observed (white dotted box in Fig. 4B, and white arrows in Fig. 4D) that a single actin fiber protruded during 7 – 9 min out of the plasma membrane by polymerization, receded to the plasma membrane during 10 – 11 min, and was finally taken up into the plasma membrane without depolymerization (white arrows in Fig. 4D, and Supplemental movie 3).

Our previous report found that T-lymphoma invasion and metastasis 1 (Tiam1), which is a GEF regulating Rac1 activation, induces apical ruffles, with ruffle size of ∼4 μm and lifetime of ∼2 min.36 We investigated whether PIP3-generated apical ruffles can be observed. In COS7 cells, which provide less plasma membrane expansion in response to blue light (Fig. 4F), we found PIP3-dependent apical ruffles (Figs. 4E and 4F). Unlike Tiam1-dependent apical ruffles, the lifetime of PIP3-dependent apical ruffles is longer (∼20 min), yet the ruffle size is the same (4 – 5 μm) (white arrows in Fig. 4G, Supplemental movie 4). These data indicate that there are various apical ruffles that are dependent on different signaling molecules.

Conclusions

We constructed PPAP1.0 and PPAP1.1, which quickly produce PIP3 at local regions in living cells in response to blue light. Using PPAP1.0, we revealed that PIP3 controls cell motility as well as membrane ruffles. Combined with SIM, PPAP1.1 allowed us to visualize detailed PIP3-induced membrane dynamics with high spatial and temporal resolution. This enabled us to observe unique actin fiber movements during lamellipodia and apical ruffle formation.

Fig. 3 Investigation of PPAP1.0-induced cell motility and plasma membrane ruffles. (A) CIBN-mVenus-CAAX localization at the plasma membrane. White bar = 20 μm. (B) Time-course analysis of cell motility and ruffles in COS7 cells expressing PPAP1.0 after blue light irradiation. As blue light irradiation protocol, the region in a blue square in a COS7 cell was irradiated for 5.43 s with blue light at 0.5 W/m<sup>2</sup>. Next, an image was sequentially taken, followed by the dark condition. This sequential procedure (total 44.4 s) was one cycle, and repeated. White bar = 20 μm. (C) Control optogenetic tool for PPAP1.0 in which CRY2PHR was deleted was transfected in COS7 cells. (D) Time-course observation of cell motility and ruffles in COS7 cells expressing control PPAP1.0 lacking the iSH domain after blue light irradiation. As blue light irradiation protocol, the region in a blue square in the whole view of the image was irradiated for 10 s with blue light at 0.5 W/m<sup>2</sup>. Next, the image was sequentially taken, followed by the dark condition. This sequential procedure (total 41.8 s) was one cycle, and repeated. (E) Analysis of the plasma membrane area increase. The plasma membrane area after blue light irradiation in the blue square region of a COS7 cell was normalized by one before blue light irradiation as cell membrane area increase value. P-value was calculated by Student’s t-test (see Experimental section, Statistics).
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