Genetic diversity, population structure and relationships in indigenous cattle populations of Ethiopia and Korean Hanwoo breeds using SNP markers

Zewdu Ede1,2, Hailu Dadi3*, Sang-Wook Kim2, Tadelle Dessie1, Taheon Lee4, Heebal Kim4, Jong-Joo Kim5 and Kwan-Suk Kim*1

1 International Livestock Research Institute, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia
2 Department of Animal Science, Chungbuk National University, Cheongju, Korea
3 Department of Animal Biotechnology, College of Animal Bioscience and Technology, Konkuk University, Seoul, Korea
4 Department of Agricultural Biotechnology, Research Institute for Agriculture and Life Sciences, Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea
5 School of Biotechnology, Yewonnam University, Gyeongsan, Korea

*Correspondence: Kwon-Suk Kim, Department of Animal Sciences, Chungbuk National University, Cheongju 361-763, Korea. e-mail: kwonsukkim@cubuk.ac.kr;
Hailu Dadi, Department of Animal Biotechnology, College of Animal Bioscience and Technology, Konkuk University, Seoul 143-701, Korea. e-mail: edenhailu@yahoo.com

INTRODUCTION

Ethiopia, with its 49.33 million heads of cattle, has the largest cattle population in Africa (Central Statistical Authority (CSA), 2008). The biological diversity of indigenous cattle populations/breeds is a key to sustaining the wellbeing of millions of farming and pastoral communities, predominantly inhabiting low-input production systems. Geographical proximity to the entry points of Indian and Arabian zebu (Bos indicus) and the Near Eastern B. taurus (Rege, 1999; Hanotte et al., 2002) offered an opportunity for multiple livestock introduction into East Africa. Ethiopia is home to over 24 cattle breeds or populations, which can be grouped into four categories: zebu (B. indicus), sanga (zebu × B. taurus), zenga (sanga × zebu), and the humpless B. taurus (Rege, 1999). Many of them are named after the community, which keeps the population, or according to geographical localities they inhabit, and the true genetic relationship between the major populations is not yet well known or documented.

In total, 166 individuals from five indigenous Ethiopian cattle populations – Ambo (n = 35), Borana (n = 39), Arsii (n = 36), Horro (n = 30), and Danakil (n = 38) – were genotyped for 8773 single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) markers to assess genetic diversity, population structure, and relationships. As a representative of taurine breeds, Hanwoo cattle (n = 40) were also included in the study for reference. Among Ethiopian cattle populations, the proportion of SNPs with minor allele frequencies (MAFs) ≥0.05 ranged from 81.63% in Borana to 85.30% in Ambo, with a mean of 83.06% across all populations. The Hanwoo breed showed the highest proportion of polymorphism, with MAFs >0.05, accounting for 96.21% of total SNPs. The mean heterozygosity varied from 0.370 in Danakil to 0.410 in Hanwoo. The mean genetic differentiation (FST; 1%) in Ethiopian cattle revealed that within individual variation accounted for approximately 99% of the total genetic variation. As expected, FST and Reynold genetic distance were greatest between Hanwoo and Ethiopian cattle populations, with average values of 17.62 and 18.50, respectively. The first and second principal components explained approximately 78.33% of the total variation and supported the clustering of the populations according to their historical origins. At K = 2 and 3, a considerable source of variation among cattle is the clustering of the populations into Hanwoo (taurine) and Ethiopian cattle populations. The low estimate of genetic differentiation (FST; 1%) among Ethiopian cattle populations indicated that differentiation among these populations is low, possibly owing to a common historical origin and high gene flow. Genetic distance, phylogenetic tree, principal component analysis, and population structure analyses clearly differentiated the cattle population according to their historical origins, and confirmed that Ethiopian cattle populations are genetically distinct from the Hanwoo breed.
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There has been a rapid decline in population and identity of most indigenous cattle populations of East Africa through breed substitution, indiscriminate crossbreeding, the absence of breed development programs, and environmental changes (Rege and Gibson, 2003; Hanotte et al., 2010). For instance, of the 145 breeds identified in sub-Saharan Africa, 47 (approximately 32%) were considered to be at a risk of extinction, and in total, 22 breeds (approximately 13%) previously recognized in the continent have become extinct in the last century (Rege, 1999). The erosion of locally adapted genetic resources will significantly limit the option and capacity to cope with changes to production environments and breeding goals. Understanding of farm animal genetic diversity is therefore required to contribute to meeting current production needs in various environments, to allow sustained genetic improvement, and to facilitate rapid adaptation to changing environments and breeding objectives (Nottter, 1999; Köhler-Rollefson et al., 2009; Hanotte et al., 2010).
Previous studies focused on genetic diversity and structures of Ethiopian cattle populations have used low-density microsatellite, mitochondrial, or Y-chromosome markers (Li et al., 2007). However, in recent years, analyses of single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) markers are becoming the standard approach for diversity analysis and genome-wide studies. They represent one of the more interesting approaches for genotyping because they are abundant in the genome, genetically stable, and amenable to high-throughput automated analysis (Vignal et al., 2002). The usefulness of SNPs in genome-wide studies. They represent one of the more interest- ers are becoming the standard approach for diversity analysis and years, analyses of single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) mark- ers are becoming the standard approach for diversity analysis and all populations included in the study. After application of these selection criteria, 4235 SNPs remained and were included in the analysis.

STATISTICAL ANALYSES
Analyses of molecular variance and within-breed genetic diversity determination
Analyses of molecular variance (AMOVA) analyses were carried out on three datasets by using the program Arlequin (Excoffier et al., 2005). The first analysis included data for all six populations (i.e., Hanwoo and the five Ethiopian cattle populations); the second dataset consisted of the Ethiopian cattle grouped by the three breed types, zebu (Borana, Arsi, and Ambo), sanga (Danakil), and zebu × sanga (Horro); and a third analysis was performed by grouping Ethiopian cattle according to their ecological distribution (highland and lowland populations). Observed and expected heterozygosity (Nei, 1987) was estimated using the same software; Deviation from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE; heterozy- gote deficiency) was assessed by performing a chi-square test with the PowerMarker program (Liu and Muse, 2005) for each marker and population.

Genetic differentiation and relationships among the populations
Fixation indices were estimated according to Weir and Cock- erham (1984) by using the program Arlequin (Excoffier et al., 2005). The significance of fixation indices were determined using

**Table 1** Summary of sampled populations and their characteristics with respect to breed group, distribution over ecological zones and affinity to ethnic communities (Edea et al., 2012).

| Breed/population | Breed group | Agro-ecology | Community | Production system |
|------------------|-------------|--------------|-----------|------------------|
| Ambo             | Small East African Zebu | III | Oromo | Mixed crop-livestock |
| Arsi             | Large East African Zebu | III | Oromo | Mixed crop-livestock |
| Borana           | Large East African Zebu | IV | Oromo | Pastoral |
| Danakil          | Sanga       | IV | Afar | Pastoral |
| Horro            | Zenga       | III | Oromo | Mixed crop-livestock |

Agro-ecologies: III. Wet highland: tepid to cool wet highlands, very high vegetation, 2,091 mm rain, maximum 24.8°C, minimum 10.1°C. IV. Arid lowland: hot arid lowland plain, very low vegetation. 404.5 mm rain, maximum 7.4°C, minimum 17.4°C (Climatic Atlas).
permutation tests (1000 permutations). The significance of pairwise population differentiation values was similarly determined by permutation testing (1000 permutations). Reynolds' genetic distance (Reynolds et al., 1983), recommended for use with populations with short divergence times, between different pairs of cattle populations was calculated using PowerMarker (Liu and Muse, 2005). Unweighted pair-group method with arithmetic mean (UPGMA; Sneath and Sokal, 1973) algorithms was used to construct the dendrogram from Reynolds' matrices using PowerMarker (Liu and Muse, 2005). The generated tree was visualized in Mega tree explorer (Tamura et al., 2011). The effective migration rate ($N_m$), an indirect estimate of gene flow, was calculated using the program GenAlEx 6.41 (Peakall and Smouse, 2006).

Structure analysis

For the analysis of population structure, a Bayesian model-based analysis was performed with the most commonly used software STRUCTURE 2.3.4 (Pritchard et al., 2000). This software assumes a model in which there are $K$ populations (clusters), which contribute to the genotype of each individual and each is characterized by a set of allele frequencies at each marker locus. The method attempts to assign individuals to populations on the basis of their genotypes, while simultaneously estimating progenitor population allele frequencies. A Monte Carlo Markov chain method was used to estimate allele frequencies in each of the $K$ populations and the degree of admixture for each individual animal. The number of clusters was inferred using five independent runs with 100,000 iterations and a burn-in period of 20,000 following the admixture ancestry model and correlated allele frequencies with $K$ values ranging from two to six. We performed four independent runs for each predefined number of populations ($K = 2–6$).

RESULTS

SNP POLYMORPHISM AND WITHIN POPULATION GENETIC DIVERSITY

Level of polymorphism and genetic variability within the different cattle populations and departures from the HWE are shown in Table 2. Among Ethiopian cattle populations, the proportion of SNPs with a MAF $\geq 0.05$ ranged from 81.63% in Borana to 85.30% in Ambo, with a mean of 83.96% across all populations. The Hanwoo breed, where MAFs $\geq 0.05$ accounted for 95.21% of all SNPs, showed the highest proportion of polymorphism. The mean expected heterozygosity varied from 0.363 (0.130) in Danakil to 0.415 (0.123) in Hanwoo.
highest and lowest observed heterozygosity was found in the same 2 breeds (0.363 and 0.410 in Danakil and Hanwoo, respectively). The mean values of observed and expected heterozygosities in Hanwoo were generally higher than those in Ethiopian cattle populations. The majority of polymorphic SNPs were found to be in HWE, both across the whole sample and in each separate population. For Ethiopian cattle populations, only 293 (6.92%) of 4235 SNPs markers were calculated to significantly deviate from HWE (p < 0.05), whereas in Hanwoo, 240 (5.67%) of the markers appeared to be in disequilibrium.

### POPULATION STRUCTURE

#### AMOVA and genetic differentiation

Analyses of molecular variance were performed to examine the partitioning of genetic variation. Analyses revealed that the five Ethiopian cattle populations had overall fixation indices of 1% ($F_{CT}$), 0.6% ($F_{ST}$), and −0.3% ($F_{IT}$). $F_{ST}$ value for Ethiopian cattle population was generally very low; however, significant ($p < 0.001$), while most of the population variance could be explained by within individual variability. Within-populations inbreeding ($F_{IT}$) and total inbreeding ($F_{CT}$) were not found to be significant ($p > 0.05$). Further analysis of the five Ethiopian cattle populations grouped by breed types showed that within-individual genetic differences accounted for 99.44% of variation, with variation among groups being insignificant, at −0.04% ($F_{CT}; p > 0.05$). When Hanwoo cattle were included in the analysis, the mean variance among groups was significant at 17.40% ($F_{CT}; p < 0.0001$), while 81.51% of the total genetic variation was within populations. Grouping of Ethiopian cattle populations based on their ecological distributions (highland and lowland) revealed an estimated among-group variation of 0.42% ($F_{CT}$).

For each pair of cattle populations, pair-wise population $F_{ST}$ and Reynolds’s genetic distance are shown in Table 4. Within Ethiopian cattle populations, the lowest $F_{ST}$ (0.002) and Reynolds genetic distance (0.019) were both found between Horro and Ambo populations. As expected, $F_{ST}$ and Reynolds genetic distance were the largest between Hanwoo and Ethiopian cattle populations with average values of 17.62 and 18.50, respectively. For all pairs of populations, the $F_{ST}$ statistic indicated statistically significant differences ($p < 0.01$), revealing significantly differentiated populations. The mean number of migrants per generation ($N_m$) across all Ethiopian cattle populations was estimated at 15.

The phylogenetic relationship among the six cattle populations, based on Reynolds’ distance (Reynolds et al., 1983), is depicted in Figure 3. As expected, the populations are clearly separated into Hanwoo and Ethiopian cattle groups. Within Ethiopian cattle populations, Arsi and Horro formed a closely related sub-cluster, whereas Borana were placed in a relatively separate group. Danakil and Ambo were intermediately positioned between the Borana and the Arsi and Horro sub-cluster.

#### Principal component and structure analysis

Figure 3 depicts analyses of three principal components for 4235 markers in the six cattle populations. PCA evidently distinguished Ethiopian cattle populations from Hanwoo, with the first and second principal components (PC1 and PC2) explaining 71.75% and 6.58%, respectively, of the total variation. On clustering of the populations, Arsi and Horro were intermediately positioned between the Borana and the Arsi and Horro sub-cluster.

### Table 3 | AMOVA analysis results using different data sets.

| Data set                                           | Variance component (%) | Fixation indices |
|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------|
|                                                     | Among groups           | Among populations within groups | $F_{CT}$ | $P$ value | $F_{SC}$ | $P$ value |
| All six populations                                 | 17.46                  | 0.910            | 81.51    | 0.174    | 0.000    | 0.000    | 0.000    | 0.000    |
| Ethiopia cattle grouped based on breed group        | −0.04                  | 0.93             | 99.44    | −0.0004  | 0.512    | 0.04     | 0.000    | 0.000    |
| Ethiopia cattle grouped based on ecological distribution | 0.407                 | 0.64             | 98.16    | 0.004    | 0.000    | 0.006    | 0.000    | 0.000    |
Table 4 | Pair-wise genetic differentiation (FST) values between the six cattle populations (below diagonal) and Reynolds’ genetic distance (above diagonal).

| Population | Ambo (AMB) | Arsi (ARS) | Borana (BOR) | Danakil (DAN) | Horro (HOR) | Hanwoo (HAN) |
|------------|------------|------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|
| AMB        | 0.000**    | 0.020      | 0.030        | 0.026        | 0.019       | 0.177        |
| ARS        | 0.002**    | 0.000      | 0.027        | 0.022        | 0.017       | 0.183        |
| BOR        | 0.013**    | 0.011**    | 0.000        | 0.028        | 0.026       | 0.193        |
| DAN        | 0.010**    | 0.007**    | 0.014**      | 0.000        | 0.024       | 0.196        |
| HOR        | 0.002**    | 0.002**    | 0.000        | 0.010**      | 0.176       | 0.168**      |
| HAN        | 0.167**    | 0.173**    | 0.164**      | 0.169**      | 0.168**     | 0.000        |

*P < 0.01: Significance levels were obtained after 1,000 permutations.

FIGURE 2 | Phylogenetic tree showing the genetic relationships among the six cattle populations using Reynolds (1983) genetic distance.

Populations according to their origin, PC1 and PC2 explained approximately 78.33% of the total variation, whereas the third principal component (PC3) accounted for approximately 6.21% of the variation and somewhat isolated the Borana cattle population from the other Ethiopian cattle. Further, PCA analysis validated the results of the population structure and dendrogram analysis.

A graphic representation of cluster structure analysis is depicted in Figure 4. At K = 2 and 3, a considerable source of variation among cattle is the clustering of the cattle into Hanwoo (taurine) and Ethiopian cattle populations. At K = 2 and 3, with the exception of approximately 12% of the individual animals from the Borana population, which clustered in separate groups, there was no clear differentiation among indigenous Ethiopian cattle populations and they did not cluster according to their traditional classifications or geographical distribution. Differentiation within Ethiopian populations was first observed at K = 4 breeds, with over 88% of Ambo, Arsi, and Horro (highland populations) assigned to the same cluster and 77% of the Borana and 92% of Danakil (lowland breeds) sharing the same cluster, which corresponded to the ecological distribution of these populations. Table 5 presents the proportion of the six populations belonging to each of the five clusters. At least 88% of Ambo, Arsi, and Horro cattle were assigned to cluster 4, while 71% of Danakil and 75% of Borana were in clusters 3 and 1, respectively. The results also indicate that 97% Hanwoo fall within cluster 5.

**DISCUSSION**

**GENETIC VARIABILITY WITHIN POPULATIONS**

Most SNPs identified in the Ethiopian and Hanwoo cattle populations exhibited a high degree of polymorphism. Hanwoo cattle displayed the highest, and Borana the lowest, levels of polymorphism. The level of polymorphic SNPs in this study was higher than has previously been reported for taurine breeds (Dadi et al., 2012). Similarly, Gautier et al. (2007) reported levels of polymorphism of 93.5% in European cattle breeds and the same authors found a lower degree of SNP polymorphism in African cattle breeds (47.4% in Laguna and 71.0% in Borouk) based on analysis of 696 SNPs. The observation of greater polymorphism in Hanwoo cattle in this study could be a reflection of the fact that most publicly available bovine sequence data are from B. taurus breeds. B. taurus populations have previously been reported to have higher MAFs than B. indicus breeds (Lin et al., 2010). Genetic variability was highest in Hanwoo cattle (0.410), whereas among the Ethiopian cattle populations, Danakil demonstrated the lowest genetic variability (0.370). The relatively lower genetic diversity observed in the Danakil population could be due to inbreeding (FIS = 0.012) and uncontrolled mating practices that are common among the pastoral herds. In the pastoral communal system, animals have more chance to mix both while grazing and at watering points.

Among Ethiopian cattle populations, observed and expected heterozygosity values were lower in this SNP-based study than those estimated using microsatellite markers (Dadi et al., 2008) for 10 Ethiopian cattle populations. Similarly, Carruthers et al. (2011) reported higher values based on microsatellites marker studies, than those obtained using SNP analysis, in Angus and Brazilian cattle breeds. The difference in the results obtained using these two techniques could be a reflection of the multi-allelic nature of microsatellite markers. Moreover, the results presented here are very similar to the 0.386 expected heterozygosity observed in Podolic cattle breeds by using SNPs (Pariset et al., 2010). Lower values of heterozygosity have been described for the Angus breed (0.332; Carruthers et al., 2011), and heterozygosity values (Hs) of 0.25 for African cattle and 0.30 for European originated breeds, respectively, based on SNP analysis were reported (Gautier et al., 2007). The higher genetic variability noted in Hanwoo cattle is in harmony with the results of Lin et al. (2010), who observed lower genetic diversity in B. indicus, compared to B. taurus, breeds. By contrast, a high level of genetic diversity was observed in Eastern Africa than in Western Africa and Europe (Hanotte et al., 2002) microsatellite analysis. The differences in reported results may be explained by the application of different molecular markers.
FIGURE 3 | First and second principal component (A) and first and third principal component (B) analysis results from 4225 SNPs in six cattle populations.

FIGURE 4 | Summary plots of estimate of Q for K = 5 in six cattle populations. Each individual is represented by a single vertical divided into K colored segments, where K is the number of clusters assumed with the length proportional to each of the K inferred clusters. Black color separated the populations indicated below the figure.
Table 5 | Proportion of analyzed cattle breeds/populations in each of the six clusters ($K = 5$).

| Population | Inferred cluster |
|------------|------------------|
|            | 1                | 2                | 3                | 4                | 5                |
| Ambo       | 0.031            | 0.002            | 0.024            | 0.936            | 0.006            |
| Addis       | 0.049            | 0.003            | 0.058            | 0.886            | 0.004            |
| Borana     | 0.746            | 0.087            | 0.065            | 0.10             | 0.002            |
| Danakil    | 0.203            | 0.005            | 0.711            | 0.079            | 0.001            |
| Horro      | 0.013            | 0.002            | 0.016            | 0.963            | 0.006            |
| Hanwoo     | 0.009            | 0.003            | 0.006            | 0.038            | 0.976            |

Genetic diversity is in fact important to allow genetic improvement and facilitate rapid adaptation to changing environments and breeding objectives (Nei, 1999). The higher variability observed within Ethiopian cattle populations can potentially be attributed to the absence of strong artificial selection pressures, a high level of admixture in these populations (Rege, 1999; Dadi et al., 2008) causing increased heterozygosity, which is a distinctive trait of large traditional populations. The introduced zebu cattle intermingled and crossed with the original African long horn taurine population to produce the various types of cattle found in East Africa today, as has been well documented (Payne and Wilson, 1999; Hanotte et al., 2002).

**Population Structure**

**AMOVA and genetic differentiation**

Pair-wise population differentiation ($F_{ST}$) and Reynolds' genetic distance estimates revealed close relationships among Ethiopian cattle populations. The level of differentiation between the Ethiopian cattle population ($F_{ST} = 1$%) could be attributed to common ancestry, short domestication history, admixture of the population, and lack of selection pressure. The value observed in this study is in good agreement with $F_{ST}$ values of 1.3% (Dadi et al., 2008) and 3.1% (Zerabruk et al., 2011) reported for Ethiopian indigenous populations in a study using microsatellite markers. However, it was lower than previously reported values for West African cattle breeds (6%, Beaghsa-Iwemu and Erhardt, 2003), six African cattle breeds (4%; Cantier et al., 2007), Ankole cattle (4.6%; Kugonza et al., 2011), and Burilina cattle (8.3%; Dalvit et al., 2008). The level of within-population genetic variation was higher than between the populations (94.54%; Kugonza et al., 2011) and Indian zebu breeds (88.7%; Mukesh et al., 2004). Within-population inbreeding ($F_{IT}$) value of $-0.003$ and total inbreeding ($F_{IS}$) value of $0.006$ determined in this study are higher than that reported in Ankole cattle populations (95.54%; Kugonza et al., 2008). The level of within-population genetic variation was higher than that reported in Ankole cattle populations (95.54%; Kugonza et al., 2008). The absence of any significant inbreeding effects may be a reflection of the high gene flow between the populations, as supported by high $N_{m}$ values, the large population from which the samples were drawn, and the fact that related individuals were purposely avoided.

Based on genetic distance and genetic differentiation estimates, the populations under investigation are very closely related, in agreement with previous microsatellite-based investigations (Dadi et al., 2008; Zerabruk et al., 2011). The values representing genetic variation between Ethiopian and Hanwoo populations obtained here were close to those obtained in a previous study comparing African and European cattle breeds (15.5%; Gautier et al., 2007) and the $F_{CT}$ value obtained between $B. indicus$ and $B. taurus$ subspecies (0.19; McKay et al., 2008). Number of migrants per generation ($N_{m}$) values indicates the relative strength of gene flow and genetic drift. Genetic differentiation will result in substantial differentiation where $N_{m} < 1$ but not where $N_{m} > 1$ (Slatkin, 1987). In this investigation, the estimated number of migrants ($N_{m}$) was considerably higher than an earlier estimate for Ankole cattle populations (Kugonza et al., 2011) and that among Indian $B. indicus$ breeds (Mukesh et al., 2004) and signifies considerable gene flow between populations, resulting in low measures of genetic differentiation and inbreeding.

**Structure analysis and PCA**

The likelihood values and variance of the bootstrap were plotted against $K$ values to select the optimum $K$ values to provide the most reliable results. We found that the variance of likelihood increased slightly from $K = 2$ to $5$ and reached its peak at $K = 6$, while the probability of the LnP (D) dramatically decreased beyond at the assumed $K = 6$. At $K = 4$ and $5$, breeds or populations sampled from highland agro-ecology (Ambo, Arsi, and Horro) were grouped together, while lowland breeds (Borana and Danakil) tended to cluster separately at $K = 6$ and showed some degree of admixture. We found that the allelic frequencies for two of the highland populations were very similar ($0.77 \pm 0.15$ and $0.78 \pm 0.15$ for Arsi and Ambo, respectively). This was further confirmed by a low $F_{ST}$ value for these populations. The clustering of Borana and Danakil separately from other Ethiopian cattle populations could be attributed to their unique genetic compositions, geographical isolation, and ecological differences. The detected signature of admixture of the two breeds and separation from the rest of the groups could be due to the fact that, ancestrally, the two breeds share input from long horn taurus and $B. indicus$ populations. Similarly, Danakil (sanga) is an intermediate type, formed by hybridization of the indigenous humpless cattle with zebu (Rege, 1999). These findings are also in agreement with the hypothesis that livestock facing selection pressure from environmental conditions, such as drought, are expected to show higher genomic divergence across habitats, compared to a neutral genome background (Hanotte et al., 2010). The grouping of Horro (sanga x zebu) with Arsi and Ambo is likely to be due to a high level of admixture and similarity of production environments. Phylogenetic, principal component and STRUCTURE analyses clearly separated the Ethiopian cattle populations from the Hanwoo breed, which is in accordance with their separate geographical origins, domestication, and divergence long before domestication of $B. indicus$ and $B. taurus$ subspecies (Leffus et al., 1994, 1999; Bradley et al., 1996). Further, the high divergence of Hanwoo cattle from Ethiopian cattle is consistent with the hypothesis of local domestication in Asia (McKay et al., 2008) and recent reports of independent domestication in Africa (Hanotte et al., 2002). The lack of clear differentiation between Ethiopian cattle populations according to their conventional classification is in a good agreement with previous investigations.
agreement with already established facts showing that following the introduction of the zebu breeds on coast and Horn of Africa, there has been extensive hybridization between the zebu and original African long horn taureau cattle (Epton, 1971; Rege, 1990). Hanotte et al., 2002; Freeman et al., 2006). The present study was also in line with the separate clustering of B. indicus and B. taurus breeds from principal component, phylogenetic, and STRUCTURE analysis (Lin et al., 2010).

In conclusion, a significant amount of genetic variation is retained within indigenous Ethiopian cattle populations. Genetic distance, phylogenetic tree, principal component, and population structure analyses clearly differentiated the cattle populations according to their historical origins and represented the genetic distinctness of Ethiopian cattle populations from the Hanwoo breed. The high within-population genetic diversity and the distinctiveness of Ethiopian cattle populations from the Hanwoo according to their historical origins and represented the genetic diversity of the Indigenous Ethiopian cattle populations. Heithiro et al., 2002, these populations have represented a unique genetic resource and unexploited opportunity that warrants initiatives for their sustainable conservation and utilization. The clustering of populations by ecological distribution is an insight suggesting that further investigation of the association between genetic markers and geo-environmental parameters could better enable exploitation of valuable genetic material. Apparent partition of the populations (Hanwoo and Ethiopian) according to their historical origins, and corroboration of the established details of the genetic diversity and composition of Ethiopian cattle populations, suggest that analyses using 4235 SNP markers provided sufficient genetic information to properly assess the genetic structure.
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