A Phenomenon of Tenodesis in the Aspect of Gliding Function of Fingers Flexors Tendons
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Abstract

Purpose: Is the motion range in fingers tendons during tenodesis phenomenon dependant on the wrist motion range? Is the tenodesis phenomenon determined by the wrist motion arc?

Methods: A three-dimensional analysis of motion was used to determine connections between active movements in the wrist and a range of passive movements induced in such a way within fingers II-V as well as assessing ‘efficiency’ of tenodesis exercises. The research included a group of 25 students around of age 21. All participants had to be right-handed and had no former injuries or surgeries within the right hand.

Results: The obtained results demonstrated changes occurring in fingers joints during performed movements in the wrist. The tests showed that in fingers II-V the highest TAM was observed during the wrist movements in the full arc and the lowest in the posterior one. An analysis of the conducted research also indicated presence of differentiated ‘efficiency’ of tenodesis in individual fingers illustrated by the value of TAM during the wrist movements in the observed arcs.

Conclusion: Differentiated efficiency of the tenodesis phenomenon has been observed in different arcs of the wrist movements. No statistically significant correlation has been found between the indices of TAM describing angular changes occurring in joints of fingers II-V and the range of the wrist movement. Strong correlations of the TAM index have been observed between individual fingers. No significant dependency of the tenodesis phenomenon efficiency from anthropometric indices and muscles force has been demonstrated.

Introduction

Early application of movement into a rehabilitation program after primary and secondary reconstruction of tendons is an important factor in restitution of functions of individual fingers and the whole hand [1-3]. During the early period after an operation when a risk of complications (including breaking the structures anastomosis) is high, it is suggested that tenodesis exercises should be introduced. They release tendons glide without any necessity of their active load. The phenomenon of tenodesis in relation to fingers flexors tendons relies on active movements of the wrist in the sagittal plane that result in both passive movements in joints and a passive glide of tendons within the fingers. The motions proceed contrary to the wrist movements [4]. The application of glide movements – without an active increase of muscles tensions and loads – in case of tendons anastomosis, qualifies tenodesis exercises to exercises featuring a positive influence on a process of the hand functions restitution. Tenodesis exercises have been applied in about 14.5% 8 of treatment programs in the early phase after an operation. Despite their growing popularity, an optimal standard of performing the exercises has not been elaborated yet, and many authors are doing research aiming at optimization of this form of therapy [5,6].

Maintaining a proper gliding function of fingers flexors tendons is an essential factor of the hand functions. Benefits of an early repair as well as earlier implemented mobilisation have been known for a long time [1- 3,7-10]. Differences can be observed in rehabilitation protocols suggested for patients. The ones focusing mainly on passive exercises are being replaced by protocols offering application of active exercises in early phases after tendons reconstruction [11-13]. Improvements in operation techniques and modern suture materials allow to decrease the risk of tendons dehiscence at the anastomosis site.
It enables application of active movements in earlier and earlier phases of post-surgical rehabilitation. It results in lowering the complications risks like limiting range of fingers motion (articular contracture) or breaking the anastomosis, among others. Besides the proposals, the therapy practice includes also procedures following protocols focused on passive exercises \cite{8,14,15}.

The conducted research tests aimed at determining a connection between active movements in the wrist and a range of passive movements induced by this method within fingers II-V as well as assessment of tenodesis exercises effectiveness. Such systemization of knowledge and biomechanical aspects concerning performing tenodesis exercises will allow more effective use of the tenodesis phenomenon in the hand therapy practice and an increase in their proper execution.

**Research Questions**

i. Is the motion range in fingers tendons during tenodesis phenomenon dependant on the wrist motion range?

ii. Is the tenodesis phenomenon determined by the wrist motion arc?

**Methods**

The tests included 25 students of the University of Physical Education in Cracow. The research group consisted of 17 women and 8 men. The mean age of the examined was 21.2 years (SD 1.02). The following criteria of participation in the tests were defined: dextrality, no former injuries within the right hand, no former surgeries within the right hand.

The research methodology contained a three-dimensional analysis of motion. Recording of the wrist extension and flexion movements and effectiveness of the tenodesis phenomenon assessed based on motion in fingers II – V joints was conducted with the use of a three-dimensional motion analysis BTS-SMART (BTS-Italy). The system contained 6 infrared recording cameras registering waves within the infrared radiation reflected from passive markers placed directly on the examined people’s skin. The recording was performed with the frequency of 70Hz. A set of 26 spherical markers of 5 mm diameter got placed in anatomical sites on the hand according to the protocol suggested by Metcalf et al. \cite{16}. Repeated extension and flexion movements in the radiocarpal joint with simultaneous extension and flexion movements of fingers were recorded.

The tests were conducted in a sitting position, with the elbow rested against the surface of the lab stand table and the forearm placed vertically. Application of the kinematic model allowed to calculate angles in interphalangeal and metacarpophalangeal joints accompanying angular changes of the wrist. In order to determine total angular changes in fingers II-V during flexion and extension movements, the TAM (Total Active Motion) \textsuperscript{14} method was applied. The maximal flexion in MCP, PIP and DIP joints was assessed during extension motion of the wrist and extension in the joints was defined during flexion of the wrist. Next, TAM was calculated as a difference between the sum of motion range of fingers II-V flexion in MCP, PIP and DIP joints and extension losses in the joints. The values of TAM were calculated according to the formula:

$$\text{TAM} = \text{(range of flexion motion MCP} + \text{PIP} + \text{DIP}) - \text{(extension loss MCP} + \text{PIP} + \text{DIP})$$

Goniometric measurement of the active motion range of radiocarpal joint was made in order to assess the proper function. Motion ranges of extension and flexion of radiocarpal joint did not present any motion losses in the examined and were in compliance with the norms established by AAOS (American Association of Orthopaedic Surgeons) concerning goniometric measurements of joint motions by SFTR\textsuperscript{21} method. The obtained results were analysed statistically with the use of the Wolfram Mathematica 9.0 software pack. A descriptive characteristic of the examined group was prepared and matrix correlation as well as difference significance of the obtained results were calculated with the use of the t-Student test for paired groups, defining the statistical significance coefficient at p<0.05 (Figures 1 & 2).

![Figure 1: Placement of markers on the skin of the subjects in accordance with the Metcalf protocol.](image1.png)

![Figure 2: Division of wrist movements due to arcs.](image2.png)
Results

During tenodesis phenomenon, recorded with the use of a three-dimensional motion analysis system BTS SMART, the mean motion of the wrist flexion (from a full extension to flexion) was 110° (SD±12°), whereas for the wrist extension motion (from a full flexion to a full extension) was 109° (SD±12°) on average (Table 1). The table (Table 1) also contains relative values enabling assessment of what percentage of TAM in a full motion constitutes TAM for arc. The results were also presented in Figure 3 (A-D) during the motion of both flexion and extension of the wrist for a better illustration of the differences. It turns out that the highest value of TAM for all fingers, both during flexion and extension of the wrist, occurs in the anterior arc, smaller or the same value in the mid arc and the smallest value always in the posterior arc.

Table 1: TAM indices values used for assessment of tenodesis exercises effectiveness and obtained for fingers II-V motion arcs.

|        | Full* | Ant.* | Mid* | Post.* | Full* | Ant.* | Mid* | Post.* | Full* | Ant.* | Mid* | Post.* | Full* | Ant.* | Mid* | Post.* |
|--------|-------|-------|------|--------|-------|-------|------|--------|-------|-------|------|--------|-------|-------|------|--------|
| Finger II |       |       |      |        |       |       |      |        |       |       |      |        |       |       |      |        |
| Wrist flexion (o) | 110    | 67    | 55   | 43     | 119   | 70    | 61   | 49     | 128   | 79    | 61   | 48     | 118   | 72    | 61   | 47     |
| Wrist flexion SD (o) | 23     | 15    | 16   | 17     | 21    | 17    | 16   | 19     | 22    | 16    | 15   | 16     | 27    | 18    | 19   | 22     |
| Finger III |       |       |      |        |       |       |      |        |       |       |      |        |       |       |      |        |
| Wrist flexion (o) | 109    | 61    | 55   | 49     | 119   | 67    | 63   | 53     | 125   | 75    | 65   | 50     | 122   | 71    | 71   | 51     |
| Wrist flexion SD (o) | 24     | 17    | 18   | 12     | 21    | 19    | 16   | 12     | 25    | 22    | 16   | 10     | 31    | 27    | 20   | 11     |
| Finger IV |       |       |      |        |       |       |      |        |       |       |      |        |       |       |      |        |
| Wrist flexion (o) | 100    | 61    | 50   | 39     | 100   | 59    | 51   | 41     | 100   | 62    | 48   | 38     | 100   | 61    | 52   | 40     |
| Wrist flexion SD (o) | 100    | 56    | 50   | 45     | 100   | 56    | 53   | 45     | 100   | 60    | 52   | 40     | 100   | 58    | 58   | 42     |

Figure 3: TAM of 2nd (A*), 3rd(B*), 4th(C*) and 5th(D*) fingers during flexion and extension of wrist in a full range of motion and anterior, mid and posterior arcs.

The results obtained for finger III in flexion and extension movements for anterior and mid arcs, as well as for finger IV during extension also for anterior and mid arcs are isolated exceptions. Motion arcs of the radiocarpal joint, also the TAM values obtained for flexion and extension movements were compared to find significant differences. In the anterior arc a statistically significant difference of TAM (p<0.05) was found only for finger II. In the mid arc of motion there was observed
a statistically significant difference of TAM (p<0.05) only for finger V (Table 2). The difference was 10°. However, it should be mentioned that for finger IV the result was close to the assumed level of statistical significance (p=0.06). In this case the difference was 4°. The assessment of differences significance between TAM of fingers II-V during the tenodesis manoeuvre for extension and flexion movements was also conducted in a full range of the radiocarpal joint movement (Table 2). A statistically significant TAM difference (p<0.05) was found only for finger IV. The difference was 7°. A result close to the assumed significance level was observed for finger V. The difference was 4°. Another phase of the analysis was to assess the TAM index differences between pairs of fingers in the wrist full arc.

Table 2: Differences of the TAM of 2nd (A*), 3rd (B*), 4th (C*) and 5th (D*) fingers during flexion and extension of wrist in a full range of motion and anterior, mid and posterior arcs.

| Finger II | Ant. arc | Mid. arc | Post. Arc |
|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|
| Flex      | Ext      | Flex     | Ext       | Flex      | Ext      |
| Full arc  | Flex     | 43°      | 43°       | 55°       | 54°      |
| Flex      | 1        | 49°      | 59°       | 6°        |
| Flex      | 43°      | 55°      | 12°       | 6°        |
| Flex      | 49°      | 54°      | 11°       | 5°        |
| Flex      | 67°      | 55°      | 24°       | 18°       | 12°      | 12°      |
| Flex      | 62°      | 61°      | 18°       | 7°        | 7°       | 5°       |

| Finger III | Ant. Arc | Mid. arc | Post. Arc |
|------------|----------|----------|-----------|
| Flex       | Ext      | Flex     | Ext       | Flex      | Ext      |
| Full arc   | Flex     | 49°      | 49°       | 52°       | 53°      |
| Flex       | 0        | 52°      | 3         |
| Flex       | 49°      | 53°      | 9         | 5         |
| Flex       | 57°      | 58°      | 6         |
| Flex       | 56°      | 56°      | 7         | 4         | 1         |
| Flex       | 70°      | 70°      | 21°       | 18°       | 13°      | 14°      |
| Flex       | 66°      | 67°      | 17°       | 14°       | 9         | 10°      | 4         |
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For a flexion movement, only differences of results for fingers III and IV were not statistically significant (Table 2). In the remaining combinations the differences of the compared measurements results were statistically significant. The highest difference was found between the TAM values of fingers II and III (18°), whereas for the other pairs with observed statistical significance the differences were close and amounted at 8° or 9°. An analogical comparison of TAM differences for fingers pairs in extension motion presented only differences in results for combinations of finger II with other fingers (Table 2). The TAM values for fingers III, IV and V did not differ significantly.

Correlation values of mutual TAM indices for fingers in all motion arcs turned out to be high and statistically significant, both for flexion and extension movements (Table 3). However, no statistically significant correlations were found between the size of the motion angle in the wrist (extension and flexion) and the TAM values of fingers from II to V in full arc (Table 3). The tests also demonstrated that during voluntary, calm tenodesis movement performed by the examined in full arc, the duration of one exercise cycle was 2 seconds on average in each of the movement directions.

For a flexion movement, only differences of results for fingers III and IV were not statistically significant (Table 2). In the remaining combinations the differences of the compared measurements results were statistically significant. The highest difference was found between the TAM values of fingers II and III (18°), whereas for the other pairs with observed statistical significance the differences were close and amounted at 8° or 9°. An analogical comparison of TAM differences for fingers pairs in extension motion presented only differences in results for combinations of finger II with other fingers (Table 2). The TAM values for fingers III, IV and V did not differ significantly.

For a flexion movement, only differences of results for fingers III and IV were not statistically significant (Table 2). In the remaining combinations the differences of the compared measurements results were statistically significant. The highest difference was found between the TAM values of fingers II and III (18°), whereas for the other pairs with observed statistical significance the differences were close and amounted at 8° or 9°. An analogical comparison of TAM differences for fingers pairs in extension motion presented only differences in results for combinations of finger II with other fingers (Table 2). The TAM values for fingers III, IV and V did not differ significantly.

Correlation values of mutual TAM indices for fingers in all motion arcs turned out to be high and statistically significant, both for flexion and extension movements (Table 3). However, no statistically significant correlations were found between the size of the motion angle in the wrist (extension and flexion) and the TAM values of fingers from II to V in full arc (Table 3). The tests also demonstrated that during voluntary, calm tenodesis movement performed by the examined in full arc, the duration of one exercise cycle was 2 seconds on average in each of the movement directions.

For a flexion movement, only differences of results for fingers III and IV were not statistically significant (Table 2). In the remaining combinations the differences of the compared measurements results were statistically significant. The highest difference was found between the TAM values of fingers II and III (18°), whereas for the other pairs with observed statistical significance the differences were close and amounted at 8° or 9°. An analogical comparison of TAM differences for fingers pairs in extension motion presented only differences in results for combinations of finger II with other fingers (Table 2). The TAM values for fingers III, IV and V did not differ significantly.

Correlation values of mutual TAM indices for fingers in all motion arcs turned out to be high and statistically significant, both for flexion and extension movements (Table 3). However, no statistically significant correlations were found between the size of the motion angle in the wrist (extension and flexion) and the TAM values of fingers from II to V in full arc (Table 3). The tests also demonstrated that during voluntary, calm tenodesis movement performed by the examined in full arc, the duration of one exercise cycle was 2 seconds on average in each of the movement directions.
Table 3: Correlation matrix between extension and flexion movements of the wrist and the TAM values of fingers II-V in extension and flexion. The results were obtained based on the BTS SMART device measurements.

|          | TAM2Fix | TAM2Ext | TAM3Fix | TAM3Ext | TAM4Fix | TAM4Ext | TAM5Fix | TAM5Ext | WristFix | WristExt |
|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------|----------|
| TAM2Fix  |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |          |          |
| TAM2Ext  | 0.77*   |         |         |         |         |         |         |         | 0.16     | 0.36     |
| TAM3Fix  | 0.88*   |         |         |         |         |         |         |         | -0.04    | -0.04    |
| TAM3Ext  | 0.85*   | 0.92*   |         |         |         |         |         |         | 0.86*    | 0.88*    |
| TAM4Fix  | 0.92*   | 0.84*   | 0.85*   |         |         |         |         |         | 0.88*    |          |
| TAM4Ext  | 0.84*   | 0.75*   | 0.86*   | 0.85*   |         |         |         |         |          |          |
| TAM5Fix  | 0.77*   | 0.75*   | 0.75*   | 0.84*   | 0.84*   |         |         |         |          |          |
| TAM5Ext  | 0.80*   | 0.80*   | 0.86*   | 0.86*   | 0.88*   | 0.88*   |         |         |          |          |
| WristFix | 0.16    | -0.04   | -0.04   | -0.04   | 0.19    | 0.18    | 0.08    | 0.08    |          |          |
| WristExt | 0.36    | 0.19    | 0.19    | 0.18    | 0.08    | 0.08    | 0.08    | 0.08    | 0.30     | 0.30     |

Discussion

Excursion of flexor tendons has often been assessed in professional literature on corpses Cooney et al. [17], Ugboh et al. [18], Yamaguchi et al. [19], Kociolek & Keir [20] and on living humans, mainly with the use of ultrasonic techniques Webbe & Hunter [21,22], Lopes et al. [23], Kursa et al. [24], Martin et al. [25], Oh et al. [26], Yoshii et al. [27]. Ugboh et al. [18], among others, changes occurring in FDP and FDS tendons during movements performed in MCP joints. Lopes et al. investigated reactions of FDS flexors tendons during complex movements of the fingers and wrist. Armstrong and Chaffin (after Kociolek & Keir [20], and Lopes et al. [23] created a model predicting excursions of FDP and FDS flexor tendons relying, among others, on angular changes in joints of corpses. However, there are no studies estimating performance of fingers and joints during movements of the wrist with relaxed fingers i.e. during, so called, tenodesis movements.

Tenodesis exercises are introduced at the early phase of restitution after tendons anastomosis. Formby7 recommends application of tenodesis exercises after injury of fingers tendons in zones I –V with the use of a special apparatus (Hinged splint). The protocol suggests limiting the wrist extension to 30 degrees and a possibility of its maximal flexion. MCP joints remain within 60 degrees of flexion, whereas IP joints can be maximally extended. Similarly, in “The Indiana Protocol” the values of 30 degrees for the wrist extension, its maximal flexion and maintaining MCP joints within 50 degrees of flexion [10] are given as border values. Many other protocols or authors mention the necessity to apply tenodesis exercises discussing flexion and extension movements of the wrist, but they do not specify any border values [11,28]. There can appear many modifications of tenodesis exercises relying on, e.g. combining them with “place-and-hold” exercises or increasing MCP joints extension [11,12].

The appearance of values of motion ranges in the wrist during tenodesis phenomenon lower than during goniometric measurements, which was observed in the tests, resulted from the fact that in case of goniometric measurement the movements were performed in forced conditions in a full range of mobility (according to the standards presented by the SFTR method), whereas tenodesis movements were performed naturally, without forcing the conditions.

The obtained results of the tests indicated changes occurring in fingers joints that for an easier analysis were illustrated by the TAM values (Total Active Motion), following the formula suggested by the American Society for Surgery of the Hand [9]. The highest TAM values of fingers II – V were observed during performing movements of the wrist in a full arc that amounted at 154 degrees on average. The result complied with former expectations and the obtained TAM values ranged between 109 and 128 degrees, which constitutes, respectively, 42 and 49 % of the full TAM of fingers [29-31].

Despite considerable changes of motion ranges in fingers joints, the statistically significant differences between flexion and extension movements were found only in finger IV. During flexion of the wrist, higher angle changes also took place. Whereas, a slightly higher TAM was observed in finger V during extension movements of the wrist. The values obtained in fingers II and III were practically identical, regardless of what movement of the wrist was performed. Yet, it should be emphasized that in therapeutic practice the motion range of fingers is often increased during tenodesis exercises by their passive flexion during extending the wrist and PIP and DIP joints extension during flexing it5,6.

However, if we look at changes of the range of motion of fingers while performing movements of the wrist in smaller arcs, the slightest changes of TAM occur in the posterior arc. Such a result means that from the perspective of the TAM index, the exercises performed in the posterior arc are the least effective. A value of this observation is particularly important for clinical practice as it is the posterior arc of motion where the highest loads of fingers flexors tendons resulting from their extension can be expected bringing some risks for patients. A slightly higher TAM range is found during the extension movement (49 – 53 degrees), but the existing differences (between the wrist
The increase of the motion range in the wrist is then pointless. The change of the motion range in the wrist is likely to grow to significant growth of the exercise effectiveness. In the future it would be advisable to estimate how TAM for fingers alters with an increase of the range in which movement takes place in the wrist. The result might be surprising as it could be expected that an increase of the range in which movement takes place that was found in the examined group may suggest that the exercise effectiveness measured in the wrist above certain values does not affect effectiveness of exercises.

The highest changes in the motion range of fingers II-V were observed during tenodesis movements in anterior arc. Here, in turn, higher values were found during the wrist flexion than during its extension to a neutral position. Differences between flexion and extension were statistically significant only in finger IV. However, this finger featured the least changes of the TAM range in comparison to the other fingers. The highest changes occurred in finger IV – 79 degrees in flexion and 75 in extension. An analysis of the tests results also demonstrated existence of “different effectiveness” illustrated by the TAM value in different arcs of the wrist movement. The results may suggest that tenodesis exercises should not be applied in a high range of motion as it does not cause a remarkable increase of fingers TAM, whereas it may lead to a growth of anastomosed structures tensions.

A lack of correlation of TAM and the range size in which the movement in the wrist takes place that was found in the examined group may suggest that the exercise effectiveness measured by the TAM index is not affected by the range of movement performed in the wrist. The result might be surprising as it could be expected that an increase of the range in which movement takes place in the wrist will be accompanied by growing values of movement ranges in fingers (TAM). Therefore, it can be stated that an increase of the motion range does not cause statistically significant growth of the exercise effectiveness. In the future it would be advisable to estimate how TAM for fingers alters with a change of the motion range in the wrist. It is likely to grow to some values, and next it does not change significantly, so further increase of the motion range in the wrist is then pointless. The relation of TAM and the angle value in the wrist is probable of a non-linear character. However, such relation was not examined in the work. It would be also possible to divide a full range of motion available in each patient into smaller sections (e.g. 10% of the full range) and check what changes of TAM occur in each of the smaller parts.

Based on the conducted tests it can be concluded that tenodesis exercises in a given patient will present similar effectiveness for all fingers measured by the TAM values. The tests results are based on recording measurements in healthy people. Thus, it is difficult to draw conclusions concerning the character of the analysed values in a group of patients with tendons injuries. A more thorough analysis of the phenomenon requires further clinical trials.

**Conclusion**

i. No statistically significant correlation was found between the TAM indices describing angular changes occurring in joints of fingers II-V and the motion range in the wrist. It suggests that increasing the motion range in the wrist above certain values does not affect effectiveness of exercises.

ii. Different effectiveness of exercises was observed in different motion arcs in the wrist. The highest effectiveness was found in the anterior arc, and the least one in the posterior arc. Such a result suggests that it is recommended to limit the range of performed tenodesis exercises in the posterior arc as in this arc the highest load of fingers flexors tendons can be expected.

iii. Strong correlations of the TAM index between fingers were observed which shows that in a given patient the level of effectiveness of performed tenodesis exercises is similar for individual fingers.

iv. It is advisable to perform studies over the phenomenon of tenodesis pertaining to the size of tendons tension with the use of advanced mathematical models including muscles. Such tests could lead to defining optimal, regarding effectiveness of tenodesis, exercises and at the same time safe range of the wrist motion.
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