Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi (Rhizophagus clarus) and Rhizobacteria (Bacillus subtilis) Can Improve the Clonal Propagation and Development of Teak for Commercial Plantings
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The Tectona grandis L.f. (teak) is an important forest species with high economy value in Asia, Africa, and Latin America. In Latin America, Brazil is one of the countries with the most cultivated areas. The cultivation of teak turns out to be challenging because of its high nutritional demand and the need for seedling production by clonal propagation that includes about 90 days in the nursery phase. The optimization of seedling production is necessary for better results in the nursery and to enhance growth in the field. In this way, the well-known advantage of using microorganisms that promote plant development appears as a potential biotechnological approach to be explored and for the implantation of new areas of wood production. In this study, the inoculation of Bacillus subtilis as plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) was evaluated, and Rhizophagus clarus, an arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus (AMF), and the co-inoculation of these microorganisms in the teak seedling production phase can improve the development of commercial plantations under field conditions. Experiments were carried out under greenhouse and field conditions to evaluate four treatments based on the substrate inoculation of the seedlings. Treatments consisted of a non-inoculated control, PGPR inoculation, AMF inoculation, and PGPR + AMF inoculation. The results of the biometric evaluation of seedlings in the greenhouse showed that there was a significant difference in AMF inoculation and PGPR + AMF inoculation in terms of the specific root length and root density treatments, there was also a positive correlation between these two treatments and the absorption of some nutrients, such as P, N, K, Mg, Cu, Mn, and Zn. This response led to an increase between 4.75 and 11.04% in the field growth rate.

Keywords: forestry, nursery, soil microbiology, Tectona grandis, wood production
INTRODUCTION

The forestry sector has become increasingly important for the Brazilian gross domestic product (GDP), having 1.3% share in 2018, reaching a total revenue of 86.6 billion. The cultivation of trees for industrial purposes is a renewable source of raw material for almost 5,000 products, such as sawn wood, paper, cellulose, floors, panels, and charcoal, being an important weapon in the recovery of degraded areas and protection of habitats (IBÁ, 2019).

Being one of the main nobles manufactured in the world, teak (*Tectona grandis* L.f.) is a tree species in the Lamiaceae family, native to the Asian continent, initiated throughout tropical Asia, as well as tropical Africa, Latin America, and the Caribbean. It is characterized by its soft color, fine grain, and durability, and it is commonly used for products of high commercial value, such as furniture, shipbuilding, and decorative construction components (Pandey and Brown, 2000). In Brazil, ∼93,957 ha of planted areas of this species were registered in 2018 (IBÁ, 2019).

A limiting factor for its cultivation is the low germination rate of seeds and their sensitivity to storage, with genetic improvement being one of the main alternatives to this problem, bringing long-term results (Schuhli and Paludzyszn Filho, 2010). In this scenario, the clonal production of selected trees has been boosted. The mini-cutting technique can provide, in the short term, homogeneous seedlings with desired characteristics, increase the number of rooted mini-cuttings, and improve the root system, directly influencing the performance of seedlings in the field (Meza et al., 2015).

The inoculation of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) during seedling production has already proved efficient, generating healthier plants with gains in biomass and nutrient content (Rodrigues et al., 2018; Raghu et al., 2020). Moreover, the response of teak to different and selected microbial consortiums, such as *Ambispora leptoticha*, *Azotobacter chroococcum*, and *Trichoderma harzianum* has already been tested (Raghu et al., 2020). Among the PGPR, *Bacillus* is one of the most important genera in soil, and its ability to generate spores increases its survival and competitiveness in adverse conditions. The genus assists plants in their defense against attacks by pathogens and increases their tolerance to stress (Hashem et al., 2016).

Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) also improve plant growth (Akinrinlola et al., 2018). AMF are able to promote growth, and they are resistant to biotic and abiotic stresses, because of greater absorption of nutrients, with emphasis on phosphorus (P) (Smith and Read, 2008). They increase the formation of soil aggregates through the production of glomalin, and they are also important regulators of soil stability and quality (Rillig and Mummey, 2006). A greenhouse study demonstrated the beneficial effect of AMF inoculation on teak seedlings, with greater growth of aerial parts and roots, content of nutrients, such as potassium (K) and sulfur (S), and better efficiency in the use of nutrients, such as P (Rodrigues et al., 2018). Another study conducted to examine the efficacy of three native species of AMF (*Funneliformis mosseae*, *Glomus intraradices*, and *Glomus proliferum*) on the growth and seedling quality of *T. grandis* showed that mycorrhizal symbiosis significantly improved seedling growth and physiological parameters, proving that this technology has a potential to reduce the nursery period and that it increases the quality of produced seedlings, resulting in considerable economic gains (Ajeeesh et al., 2017).

The inoculation of AMF and *Bacillus* species and the co-inoculation of these microorganisms have already been proven as efficient methods to increase plant growth by several researchers (Medina et al., 2003; Marulanda-Aguierre et al., 2007; Alam et al., 2011; Awasthi et al., 2011). *B subtilis* is sold commercially (Biobaci®), and *R clarus* is in the process of launching the commercial product (Andrade and Cely, 2019), facilitating the implantation of these microorganisms in the operational routine of companies for the production of teak seedlings. Thus, this study aims to demonstrate the effect of AMF *R clarus* and PGPR *B subtilis*, their co-inoculation interaction in the nursery phase, and their potential to improve the growth process of *T. grandis* under field conditions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Seedling Experiments

The experiment was carried out in the Teak Resources Company (TRC) seedling nursery, located in Jangada city, MT, Brazil from September 2016 to January 2017. The principal commercial clone of the company was multiplied by mini-cutting systems from clonal garden. Microorganisms were incorporated in the substrate of pine bark (Mec Plant - Florestal 2) fertilized with Osmocote Mini Prill-3M (N 19%, P$_2$O$_5$ 6%, and K$_2$O 10%) −8 g + PG Mix (N 14%, P$_2$O$_5$ 16%, and K$_2$O 18%) −5 g/kg of substrate. Before planting, mini-cuttings were dipped in indole butyric acid (IBA) (500 mg L$^{-1}$) and then planted in tubes of 53 cm$^3$. The treatments presented in Table 1 are considered. Two types of microorganisms were inoculated: AMF *R clarus*, with a concentration of 200 propagules/ml multiplied in vitro system according to the patent application: BR 102019008109-0 A2 of 22/04/2019 (Andrade and Cely, 2019) and commercial product Biobaci® containing viable cells (1 × 10$^8$ CFU/ml) of PGPR *B subtilis*. In total, 2,112 seedlings were produced (528 seedlings per treatment). A completely randomized design was chosen under greenhouse conditions.

The mini-cuttings were kept in an air-conditioned greenhouse with a transparent polyethylene cover and 50% shading fabric for 30 days. Parameters, namely, relative humidity (RH > 80%), temperature (35–40°C), and irrigation were controlled *via* nebulization. In the 1st week, the frequency of irrigation was 10 s measured in the form of relative humidity (RH), temperature (T), and irrigation (I). A completely randomized design was chosen under greenhouse conditions.

| TABLE 1 | Treatments and doses used for incorporation into the substrate of microorganisms *Rhizophagus clarus* and *Bacillus subtilis* in the production of *Tectona grandis* seedlings. |
| --- | --- |
| **Treatment** | **Description** |
| T1 | Control (substrate without microorganisms) |
| T2 | PGPR (*Bacillus subtilis*) - 50 mL/L of substrate |
| T3 | AMF (*Rhizophagus clarus*) - 15 g/L of substrate |
| T4 | PGPR + AMF (*Bacillus subtilis* - 50 mL/L + *Rhizophagus clarus* - 15 g/L of substrate) |
each 15 min; and in the following weeks, the frequency was 20 s
each 40 min (24 L/h/micro sprinkler). Subsequently, they were
placed in the shade house with 50% shadowing fabric and 4 h
of irrigation for 15 min, for acclimatization until they reached
60 days. During this phase, the seedlings were fertigated once a
day with 600 mg L\(^{-1}\) of the following substances: \(\text{MgSO}_4\), \(\text{Ca (NO}_3\text{)}_2\), and \(\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3\) each; 800 mg L\(^{-1}\) of \(\text{NH}_4\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4\), and
400 mg L\(^{-1}\) of \(\text{KNO}_3\). After this period, the seedlings went full

![Figure 1: Randomized block design of field experiments with Tectona grandis in states Mato Grosso and Pará, Brazil.](image)

**TABLE 2** Summary of the general linear models (GLMs) of Tectona grandis seedling survival at 30, 60, and 90 days in nursery.

| Predictor | df | Estimate | \(X^2\) | \(P\)   | OR   | Confidence interval |
|-----------|----|----------|--------|--------|------|---------------------|
|           |    |          |        |        |      |                     |
| T1        | 1  | 1.090    | 40.75  | 1.7\(\times 10^{-10}\) | 2.97 | 2.12 - 4.15         |
| T2        | 1  | 1.732    | 73.70  | 2.2\(\times 10^{-16}\) | 5.65** | 3.80 - 8.38        |
| T3        | 1  | 1.247    | 58.11  | 2.4\(\times 10^{-14}\) | 3.48** | 2.52 - 4.79        |
| T4        | 1  | 0.798    | 19.06  | 1.2\(\times 10^{-6}\) | 2.22 | 1.55 - 3.18         |

*Significant predictors at \(p \leq 0.001\).

**Highest odds ratio for survival.
sun until they completed 90 days. The frequency of irrigation was every 6 h for 10 min (810 L/h/micro sprinkler), and fertigation was performed twice a week with the same nutrient solution mentioned above.

**Data Collected in Nursery Phase**

Seedling survival was evaluated 30, 60, and 90 days after planting. Ninety days after planting, 20 seedlings per treatment were collected for biometric analysis in the laboratory. At this time, height = H (cm), stem diameter = SD (mm), dry shoot biomass = SB (g), root biomass = RB (g) and biomass ratio of the root/shoot = RB/SB (g) were evaluated. The remaining seedlings were intended for field experiments.

Ten root seedlings samples per treatment were also collected to evaluate mycorrhizal colonization. The percentage of mycorrhizal colonization was estimated by the grid-line method (Giovannetti and Mosse, 1980) after staining roots with Trypan blue (0.05%) (Phillips and Hayman, 1970). Total root length (TRL cm), specific root length = SRL (cm kg⁻¹), and root density = RD (g cm⁻³) were also evaluated at this stage (Ryser and Lambers, 1995). Twenty seedling samples per treatment were separated for macro and micronutrient foliar analysis; and for this, the following methods were used: sulfuric digestion and quantification by titration after semi-micro Kjeldahl distillation (N), nitric-perchloric digestion (P, K, Ca, Mg, S, Cu, Fe, Mn, Zn, Na, and Ni), incineration (B, Co, and Mo), agitation (Cl). Sample reading was made by inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES) with a Thermo Scientific ICAP 7600 spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts, US).

**Field Experiments**

The field experiments were started in February 2017. Two experimental areas were used. Area I is located in Cáceres city, state of Mato Grosso (MT), Brazil (16°8′1.75″S and 58°31′1.77″W) and has tropical savanna climate (Aw) (Köppen, 1936) and soil classification We-Eutric Planosols (FAO, 1994). Area II is located in Santa Maria das Barreiras city, state of Pará (PA), Brazil (8°43′11.1″S and 50°29′10.76″W) and has tropical savanna climate (Aw) (Köppen, 1936) and soil classification Ao-Orthic Acrisols (FAO, 1994). Soil samples were collected in Area I and Area II for physicochemical analysis at depths of 0–20 and 20–40 cm. The analysis showed the following results: Area I depth 0–20 cm: pH (CaCl₂) 5.1, Al³⁺ 0 cmol_c dm⁻³, H⁺ + Al 2.48 cmol_c dm⁻³, P 3.5 min dm⁻³, K⁺ 30.3 mg dm⁻³; Ca²⁺ 2.5 cmol_c dm⁻³, and Mg²⁺ 0.58 cmol_c dm⁻³. Depth 20–40 cm with pH (CaCl₂) 4.6, Al³⁺ 0.28 cmol_c dm⁻³, H⁺ + Al 2.25 cmol_c dm⁻³, P 3.3 mg dm⁻³, K⁺ 16.2 mg dm⁻³; Ca²⁺ 0.9 cmol_c dm⁻³, and Mg²⁺ 0.37 cmol_c dm⁻³. Area II depth 0–20 cm: pH (CaCl₂) 4.5, Al³⁺ 0.2 cmol_c dm⁻³, H⁺ + Al 2.2 cmol_c dm⁻³, P 1.8 mg dm⁻³, K⁺ 24.6 mg dm⁻³, Ca²⁺ 1.2 cmol_c dm⁻³, and Mg²⁺ 0.4 cmol_c dm⁻³. Depth 20–40 cm with pH (CaCl₂) 4.4, Al³⁺ 0.2 cmol_c dm⁻³, P 5.5 mg dm⁻³, K⁺ 19.5 mg dm⁻³, Ca²⁺ 0.8 cmol_c dm⁻³, and Mg²⁺ 0.3 cmol_c dm⁻³. pH (CaCl₂) was evaluated in a 0.01 M chloride solution, in the proportion 1:2.5 (soil: CaCl₂). P and K⁺ were extracted with a 0.05 N HCl and 0.025 N H₂SO₄ solution (Mehlich I). Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, and Al³⁺ were extracted with a 1 N potassium chloride solution.

These experiments were carried out in a randomized block design. Five blocks were installed, and within each block four plots of 5 × 5 plants (25 plants per plot) were used. Each plot corresponds to one of the treatments defined in the nursery phase (Table 1), separated by two border plants (Figure 1). Plant spacing was 3 × 4 m. Total experimental area was 1.33 ha of planting including border plants. For the implantation and conduction of planting, the standard routine of the company was followed.

**Data Collected in Field**

Plant survival was evaluated 30, 60, and 90 days after planting in the field. Data collection was made 2 and 3 years after planting. The data collected in this time were: height = H (m) and diameter at breast height = DBH (cm), tree volumes = V (dm³) and were calculated with these data, using the equation \[ \pi \frac{\text{DBH}^2}{4} \] or \[ \text{Ff} \). The artificial form factor \( \text{Ff} = 0.63 \) was used, the average value indicated for young teak plantation, between 1 and 3 years old (Carneiro et al., 2018). Additionally, the mean annual increment = MAI (dm³ year⁻¹) and the current annual increment = CAI (dm³) were estimated.

**Table 3** Percentage of mycorrhizal colonization of Tectona grandis seedling at 90 days.

| Treatment          | Mycorrhizal colonization (%) |
|--------------------|------------------------------|
| T1 – Control       | 0.0⁰                        |
| T2 – PGPR          | 0.0²                        |
| T3 – AMF           | 63.4⁰                       |
| T4 – PGPR+ AMF     | 61.8⁰                       |

*Significant difference (p ≤ 0.05).

**Table 4** Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for treatments of seedling growth parameters of Tectona grandis at 90 days after mini-cuttings planting.

| Variables | df | \( p \)-value | Error |
|-----------|----|---------------|-------|
| ANOVA     |    |               |       |
| H         | 3  | 0.834         | 0.4800 | 31.30 |
| SD        | 3  | 5.588         | 0.00162* | 0.951 |
| SB        | 3  | 2.333         | 0.08070 | 0.870 |
| RB        | 3  | 3.345         | 0.02350* | 0.228 |
| RB/SB     | 3  | 1.974         | 0.13500 | 0.096 |
| TRL       | 3  | 0.670         | 0.57600 | 369.2 |
| SRL       | 3  | 3.631         | 0.02190* | 0.002 |
| RD        | 3  | 4.520         | 0.00864* | 0.013 |

*Significant difference (p ≤ 0.05).
Statistical Analysis
To evaluate the effects of treatments on seedling survival, we performed generalized linear models (GLMs) analysis. For models, the treatments (T1, T2, T3, and T4) were used as predictors and the time as an independent factor. The statistical significance ($p$) of each predictor is based on chi-square tests to assess the significance of the change in deviance for each predictor. For model interpretation, the odds ratio (OR) and its confidence interval were calculated.

Statistical analyses of AMF root colonization were performed using the Friedman test at a significance level of $p \leq 0.05$. Plant growth parameters in the seedling experiments were analyzed by analysis of variance (ANOVA) and the Tukey test ($p \leq 0.05$). Principal component analysis (PCA) was also performed for nutrient content. Analyses of field data at 2 and 3 years after planting were performed by factorial ANOVA. Factor A: treatment. Factor B: block. For comparison of means, the Tukey test ($p \leq 0.05$) was performed. All statistical analyses in this research were performed using the R software (R Core Team, 2020).

RESULTS
Seedling Test
The results of GLMs based on the patterns of seedling survival in the nursery phase revealed significant effects of treatments as
predictors for this parameter. That is, the treatments can be used to explain the survival pattern in this experiment, being that all models were statistically significant \( p < 0.001 \). The OR showed better survival for seedlings of teak when inoculated with PGPR \( \textit{B. subtilis} \) and AMF \( \textit{Rhizophagus clarus} \) (Table 2).

The mycorrhizal colonization in the treatments inoculated with \( \textit{R. clarus} \) (AMF) was just over 60%. In general, bacterial inoculation with PGPR did not influence the rate of colonization of teak roots by mycorrhiza (Table 3).

In the seedling growth evaluation, the treatments have a significant effect on stem diameter, root biomass, specific root length, and root density \( p \leq 0.05 \) (Table 4). For stem diameter, the treatments with microorganisms PGPR and AMF were statistically equal to the control, only PGPR + AMF was lower than the control treatment (Figure 2A). AMF inoculation resulted in a significant decrease in root biomass, while the other two microbial treatments did not affect this variable (Figure 2B).

The PCA, 92.8% of the variability of nutrients data were represented in components one and two. In the first dimension, representing 54.9% of the data variability, macronutrients nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), and potassium (K) were positively related with treatments T3 and T4 (AMF inoculation and PGPR + AMF inoculation), as well as micronutrients magnesium (Mg), copper (Cu), manganese (Mn), and Zinc (Zn). In the second dimension, representing 37.9% of the data variability, calcium (Ca), iron (Fe), and sulfur (S) data were associated with treatment T2 (PGPR). The control treatment was allocated far from the eigenvalues of the nutrients, showing that the treatment did not interact with the nutritional data (Figure 3).

### Field Test

In the field, seedling survival is not explained by the treatments as predictors in GLM in the Mato Grosso area. On the other hand, in Pará, treatment with \textit{B. subtilis} (T2) showed 96% of seedling survival and was considered a predictor for this parameter with GLM statistically significant \( p < 0.05 \). This treatment showed an OR of 12.55 (confidence interval of 2.5–95%/1.49–105.45) (Table 5).

The tree volume (V) and mean annual increment (MAI) at 2 years after planting in Mato Grosso showed statistical differences between treatments, block, and the interaction between treatment \( \times \) block. Treatments T1, T2, and T4 were significantly equal, and treatment T3 was statistically lower in both variables analyzed. The plants in block 2 performed better than the plants in blocks 3 and 4. The interaction of the treatment and blocking factors was significant but did not show any clear pattern (Table 6).

At 3 years after planting in Mato Grosso, statistical differences between treatments, block, and the interaction between treatment \( \times \) block for V, MAI, and CAI were observed. The results were similar to those presented at 2 years for V and MAI and for CAI (Table 7).

In Pará, the V and MAI showed statistical differences between treatments, block, and the interaction between treatment \( \times \) block at 2 years. The T2 and T4 treatments presented the best results and were statistically superior to T1 and T3. The plants in blocks 1, 2, and 3 performed better than the plants in blocks 4 and 5. The interaction of the treatment and blocking factors was significant but did not show any clear pattern (Table 8).

At 3 years, the V, MAI, and CAI also presented statistical differences between treatments, block, and the interaction between treatment \( \times \) block at 2 years. The best treatment was T2, which differed significantly from the control. In the average value of treatments, PGPR (T2) showed an 11.04% increase in V at 3 years followed by PGPR + AMF (T4) with 5.81%, and AMF (T3) with 4.75% compared with the control. Blocks 1, 2, and 3 performed better than the plants in blocks 4 and 5. The interaction of the treatment and blocking factors was significant but did not show any clear pattern. This difference between the blocks may be due to variations in soil conditions, which is due to the large experimental area (Table 9).
TABLE 6 | Tree volume and mean annual increment at 2 years old in plants of Tectona grandis in Mato Grosso state, Brazil.

| Factor                                | df  | V (dm³) | MAI (dm³ year⁻¹) |
|---------------------------------------|-----|---------|------------------|
|                                       |     | F       | p-value           | F              | p-value |
| Treatment (A)                         | 3   | 11.37   | 0.0000*          | 11.351         | 0.0000* |
| Block (B)                             | 4   | 6.13    | 0.0000*          | 6.131          | 0.0000* |
| A × B                                 | 12  | 15.09   | 0.0000*          | 15.074         | 0.0000* |
| Error                                 |     | 0.224   | 0.056            |                |         |

Tukey test of treatment

| Treatment       | V (dm³) | MAI (dm³ year⁻¹) |
|-----------------|---------|------------------|
| T1 – Control    | 0.96 ± 0.46ᵃ | 0.48 ± 0.23ᵃ |
| T2 – PGPR       | 0.92 ± 0.55ᵇ | 0.46 ± 0.27ᵇ |
| T3 – AMF        | 0.70 ± 0.33ᶜ | 0.35 ± 0.16ᶜ |
| T4 – PGPR + AMF | 1.04 ± 0.79ᵃ | 0.52 ± 0.40ᵃ |

Block

| Block | V (dm³) | MAI (dm³ year⁻¹) |
|-------|---------|------------------|
|       |         |                  |

Tukey test of block

| Block | V (dm³) | MAI (dm³ year⁻¹) |
|-------|---------|------------------|
|       |         |                  |

Tukey test of treatment

| Treatment       | V (dm³) | MAI (dm³ year⁻¹) |
|-----------------|---------|------------------|
| T1 – Control    | 0.98 ± 0.91ᵃᵇ | 0.49 ± 0.45ᵃᵇ |
| T2 – PGPR       | 1.06 ± 0.58ᵇ | 0.53 ± 0.29ᵇ |
| T3 – AMF        | 0.82 ± 0.35ᶜ | 0.41 ± 0.18ᶜ |
| T4 – PGPR + AMF | 0.77 ± 0.35ᶜ | 0.38 ± 0.17ᶜ |
| T4 – PGPR + AMF | 0.89 ± 0.42ᵃᵈ | 0.45 ± 0.21ᵃᵈ |

*Significant difference (p < 0.05). Same letter does not differ by the Tukey test (p ≥ 0.05).

**DISCUSSION**

The PGPR (Bacillus subtilis) inoculation and co-inoculation with AMF caused an increase in seedlings survival. In this period, the survival of seedling is directly related with the increase in cuttings rooting. B subtilis can assist in this process through the phytohormone production of indoleacetic acid (IAA) (Radhakrishnan et al., 2017). According to Teixeira et al. (2007), the mini cuttings of eucalypt clones in substrate with inoculation of B subtilis shows better root formation, and this result allows optimization of seedling development in clonal nurseries. The presence of rhizobacteria B. subtilis can directly influence plant growth not only by phytohormone production (Ali et al., 2009; Galaviz et al., 2018) but also by stress-inhibiting enzymes, siderophores, and indirect P-solubilization (Meng et al., 2016).

Root colonization by AMF Rhizophagus clarus was around 60% at 90 days after planting. The AMF efficiency must be evaluated with data of vegetative development, since symbiosis may not be effective, with high colonization rates, depending on the host. Arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) association can be influenced and differentiated by vegetal species, clones, and cultivars, as well as by inoculated AMF species (Smith and Smith, 2011). In an evaluation of Indian native AMF species (Funneliformis mosseae, Glomus intraradices, and Glomus proliferum), Ajeech et al. (2017) observed that colonization...
TABLE 7 | Tree volume, mean annual increment, and current annual increment at 3 years old in plants of Tectona grandis in Mato Grosso state, Brazil.

| Factor | V (dm³) | MAI (dm³ year⁻¹) | CAI (dm³) |
|--------|---------|------------------|-----------|
|        | df      | F   | p-value | F   | p-value | F   | p-value |
| ANOVA - Mato Grosso, 3 years old |         |      |         |      |         |      |         |
| Treatment (A) | 3 | 13.464 | 0.0000* | 13.466 | 0.0000* | 12.906 | 0.0000* |
| Block (B) | 4 | 4.615 | 0.0012* | 4.614 | 0.0012* | 4.411 | 0.0017* |
| A x B | 12 | 10.529 | 0.0000* | 10.529 | 0.0000* | 9.790 | 0.0000* |
| Error | 41.2 | 4.58 |         |         |         | 37.4 |         |

Tukey test Treatment

| V (dm³) | MAI (dm³ year⁻¹) | CAI (dm³) |
|---------|------------------|-----------|
| Tukey test of block |         |         |         |
| 1 | 23.85 ± 8.92abc | 7.95 ± 2.97abc | 22.87 ± 8.23abc |
| 2 | 24.38 ± 8.30a | 8.13 ± 2.77a | 23.31 ± 7.82a |
| 3 | 21.27 ± 6.36c | 7.09 ± 2.12c | 20.45 ± 6.12c |
| 4 | 22.28 ± 6.86abc | 7.43 ± 2.29abc | 21.51 ± 6.64abc |
| 5 | 21.53 ± 5.90bc | 7.18 ± 1.97bc | 20.64 ± 5.60bc |

Tukey test of A x B V (dm³)

| V (dm³) | MAI (dm³ year⁻¹) | CAI (dm³) |
|---------|------------------|-----------|
| Tukey test of A x B V (dm³) |         |         |         |
| 1 | 23.55 ± 6.88abc | 20.03 ± 4.28abc | 18.20 ± 5.80abc |
| 2 | 25.17 ± 6.17abc | 30.85 ± 8.49abc | 19.40 ± 5.58abc |
| 3 | 21.11 ± 6.88abc | 22.92 ± 7.42abc | 20.66 ± 4.96abc |
| 4 | 22.78 ± 7.12abc | 20.77 ± 6.29abc | 19.06 ± 5.49abc |
| 5 | 25.38 ± 6.57abc | 19.60 ± 5.61abc | 20.99 ± 5.87abc |

MAI (dm³ year⁻¹)

| 1 | 7.85 ± 2.29abc | 6.68 ± 1.45abc | 6.07 ± 1.83abc |
| 2 | 8.39 ± 2.06abc | 10.28 ± 2.98abc | 6.47 ± 1.86abc |
| 3 | 7.04 ± 2.29abc | 7.64 ± 2.47abc | 6.89 ± 1.65abc |
| 4 | 7.59 ± 2.37abc | 6.92 ± 2.10abc | 6.35 ± 1.83abc |
| 5 | 8.46 ± 2.19abc | 6.53 ± 1.87abc | 7.00 ± 1.96abc |

CAI (dm³)

| 1 | 22.69 ± 6.52abc | 19.47 ± 4.27abc | 17.65 ± 5.69abc |
| 2 | 24.01 ± 5.76abc | 29.32 ± 8.32abc | 18.70 ± 5.35abc |
| 3 | 20.38 ± 6.57abc | 22.03 ± 7.15abc | 19.85 ± 4.78abc |
| 4 | 21.86 ± 6.85abc | 19.97 ± 6.09abc | 18.50 ± 5.59abc |
| 5 | 24.24 ± 6.19abc | 18.80 ± 5.42abc | 20.09 ± 5.53abc |

*Significant difference (p ≤ 0.05). Same letter does not differ by the Tukey test (p ≤ 0.05).

range was from 15 to 36%, and that teak response was better with G. proliferum than with other mycorrhizal species. In Indonesia, a study on Acaulospora sp., Gigaspora sp., and mixed Acaulospora sp. and Gigaspora sp., combined with different doses of compost in soil, showed that seedlings inoculated with Gigaspora sp. and 15% of compost increased seedling quality, and the root colonization of teak in this study ranged from 0 to 36% for Acaulospora sp and from 32 to 74% for Gigaspora sp (Prayudyaningsih and Sari, 2016).

AMF colonization response also can be modulated by the successional status of plant host. Tectona grandis has been reported as an early successional species (Chen et al., 2011). Early successional woody species with high metabolic rate dominate the initial stages of succession, occur in highly illuminated
TABLE 8 | Tree volume and mean annual increment at 2 years old in plants of Tectona grandis in Pará state, Brazil.

| Factor | V (dm³) | MAI (dm³ year⁻¹) |
|--------|---------|------------------|
|        | df | F | p-value | F | p-value |
| ANOVA - Pará, 2 years old | | | | | |
| Treatment (A) | 3 | 2.929 | 0.0334* | 2.928 | 0.0335* |
| Block (B) | 4 | 36.842 | 0.0000* | 36.843 | 0.0000* |
| A × B | 12 | 6.807 | 0.0000* | 6.808 | 0.0000* |
| Error | 36.4 | | | 9.1 | |

Tukey test of block

| Treatment | V (dm³) | MAI (dm³ year⁻¹) |
|-----------|---------|------------------|
| T1 - Control | | |
| T2 - PGPR | 16.50 ± 7.37c | 8.25 ± 3.68bc |
| T3 - AMF | 16.14 ± 7.29b | 8.07 ± 3.64b |
| T4 - PGPR + AMF | 17.84 ± 7.05a | 8.92 ± 3.53a |

Tukey test of block T1 - Control T2 - PGPR T3 - AMF T4 - PGPR + AMF

| Block | V (dm³) | MAI (dm³ year⁻¹) |
|-------|---------|------------------|
| T1 - Control | | |
| T2 - PGPR | 19.85 ± 7.19a | 9.92 ± 3.59a |
| T3 - AMF | 21.03 ± 6.96ab | 10.52 ± 3.48ab |
| T4 - PGPR + AMF | 18.50 ± 7.63a | 9.25 ± 3.82a |

Tukey test of block T1 - Control T2 - PGPR T3 - AMF T4 - PGPR + AMF

| Block | MAI (dm³ year⁻¹) |
|-------|------------------|
| T1 - Control | |
| T2 - PGPR | 19.87 ± 7.59abc | |
| T3 - AMF | 21.37 ± 7.27abc | |
| T4 - PGPR + AMF | 18.83 ± 8.63bcd | |

Tukey test of block T1 - Control T2 - PGPR T3 - AMF T4 - PGPR + AMF

**environments, and usually exhibit high mycorrhizal colonization and responsiveness. In contrast, late-successional woody species with low metabolic rate dominant in mature forest occur in an environment with low light incidence and exhibit low mycorrhizal colonization and responsiveness (Zangaro et al., 2007). High mycorrhizal colonization and responsiveness or early successional species can be modified when these are propagated in fertile substrates, leading to modification of the root architecture by the increase in root density and specific root length. Biometric evaluation in clonal seedlings showed that R clarus colonization induced a differential response in growth parameters. R clarus had no negative effect on the growth of aerial parts of teak. It also did not significantly affect biomass and branching of the root system. Stem diameter was decreased, and root branching stimulated the co-inoculated PGPR + AMF treatment (Figure 3). One of the factors that lead to this response can be the substrate fertility and container volume used in nurseries. Some authors, such as Zangaro et al. (2015), have described a similar response in seedlings inoculated with AMF in 50 cm³ tubes and high fertility substrates, where plants showed growth depression. The depression was possibly related to the AMF association cost for the host plant, especially related to carbon; AMF are dependent on organic carbon from plant photosynthates, and the benefits of plant-fungus association can be affected by the decrease in the photosynthetic rate of**
TABLE 9 | Tree volume, mean annual increment, and current annual increment at 3 years old in plants of Tectona grandis in Pará state, Brazil.

| Factor                        | df | V (dm³) | MAI (dm³ year⁻¹) | CAI (dm³) |
|-------------------------------|----|---------|------------------|-----------|
|                               |    | F       | p-value           | F         | p-value | F     | p-value |
| Treatment (A)                 | 3  | 5.237   | 0.0015*          | 5.237     | 0.0015* | 5.299 | 0.0014* |
| Block (B)                     | 4  | 27.375  | 0.0000*          | 27.378    | 0.0000* | 20.983| 0.0000* |
| A × B                         | 12 | 7.408   | 0.0000*          | 7.409     | 0.0000* | 6.553 | 0.0000* |
| Error                         | 903| 100.3   |                  | 712       |          |       |         |

Tukey test of Treatment

| Block V (dm³) | MAI (dm³ year⁻¹) | CAI (dm³) |
|----------------|------------------|-----------|
|                |                  |           |
| Tukey test of block
| T1 - Control   | 128.64 ± 7.37²  | 42.88 ± 3.68² | 112.14 ± 32.33² |
| T2 - PGPR      | 144.61 ± 7.66³  | 48.20 ± 3.83³ | 126.50 ± 32.69³ |
| T3 - AMF       | 135.06 ± 7.29⁴  | 45.02 ± 3.64⁴ | 118.92 ± 27.56⁴ |
| T4 - PGPR + AMF| 136.58 ± 7.05⁴  | 45.53 ± 3.53⁴ | 118.74 ± 30.50⁴ |

Tukey test of A × B V (dm³)

| Block | T1 - Control | T2 – PGPR | T3 – AMF | T4 - PGPR + AMF |
|-------|--------------|-----------|----------|-----------------|
|       |              |           |          |                 |
| Tukey test of A × B V (dm³)
| 1     | 144.48 ± 19.32abcde | 170.65 ± 41.99a | 133.10 ± 25.54cdef | 163.96 ± 29.83bcdef |
| 2     | 165.48 ± 34.14a  | 143.06 ± 36.93abcde | 154.97 ± 33.49abcde | 123.48 ± 26.81bcdef |
| 3     | 129.35 ± 27.49abcde | 152.70 ± 39.41abcde | 147.54 ± 39.46abcde | 143.16 ± 36.64abcde |
| 4     | 117.26 ± 22.21e   | 129.59 ± 25.38cdef | 109.18 ± 19.69cdef  | 118.02 ± 23.81cdef  |
| 5     | 76.01 ± 20.12a    | 124.68 ± 20.44cdef | 129.30 ± 20.50cdef  | 132.57 ± 37.17cdef  |

MAI (dm³ year⁻¹)

| Block | T1 - Control | T2 – PGPR | T3 – AMF | T4 - PGPR + AMF |
|-------|--------------|-----------|----------|-----------------|
|       |              |           |          |                 |
|       | 48.16 ± 6.44abcde | 56.88 ± 14.00d | 44.37 ± 8.51cdef | 54.65 ± 9.93ddef |
|       | 55.16 ± 11.38bc | 47.69 ± 12.31abcde | 51.66 ± 11.16abcde | 41.63 ± 8.94bcdef |
|       | 43.12 ± 9.16bcdef | 50.90 ± 13.14abcde | 49.18 ± 13.15abcde | 47.72 ± 12.22abcde |
|       | 39.09 ± 7.40def | 43.20 ± 8.46cdef | 36.39 ± 6.56f | 39.34 ± 7.94f |
|       | 25.34 ± 6.71f   | 41.56 ± 6.81cdef | 43.10 ± 6.83cdef | 44.19 ± 12.39cdef |

CAI (dm³)

| Block | T1 - Control | T2 – PGPR | T3 – AMF | T4 - PGPR + AMF |
|-------|--------------|-----------|----------|-----------------|
|       |              |           |          |                 |
|       | 127.22 ± 17.71abcde | 145.73 ± 38.71a | 118.88 ± 25.01abcde | 140.89 ± 28.35bcdef |
|       | 139.74 ± 30.14abc | 124.19 ± 32.96abcde | 133.61 ± 28.83abcde | 104.90 ± 24.63abcde |
|       | 112.72 ± 24.56abcdef | 132.92 ± 34.26abcdef | 128.71 ± 33.35abcdef | 124.68 ± 30.60abcdef |
|       | 104.35 ± 18.84def | 115.02 ± 25.78abcdef | 98.31 ± 16.70f | 103.51 ± 20.97f |
|       | 67.23 ± 18.76f   | 112.80 ± 17.22abcdef | 114.18 ± 17.75abcdef | 118.36 ± 31.87abcdef |

*Significant difference (p ≤ 0.05). Same letter does not differ by the Tukey test (p ≤ 0.05).
†Percentage of gain in relation to the control treatment.

environments with light limitation (Smith and Read, 2008). In this context, the carbon cost of AM overcomes the benefits of mineral absorption for the plant. Therefore, the allocation of resources for the maintenance of AMF can be advantageous for the plant, although it does not result in large accumulation of vegetative biomass, as the nutrients acquired via AMF can be allocated to increase the growth capacity of the plants in the field.

The nutrients in the foliar analysis for the nursery phase correlated positively with the treatments with microorganisms, in contrast to the control treatment, which did not present any correlation. The chemical properties of soils can be limiting for
teak growth (Jerez-Rico and Coutinho, 2017). Well-nourished seedlings can be fundamental for good development in the field. 

In addition to well-nourished seedlings in the field, the presence of PGPR and AMF can bring several benefits. For example, teak is demanding the availability of nutrients, such as nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, calcium, and magnesium. Base saturation should be >50%, high pH (>5.5) and low aluminum presence (Matricardi, 1989; Jerez-Rico and Coutinho, 2017). The AMF have been reported to increase the tolerance of teak trees to acidity or high concentrations of Al (Alvarado et al., 2004), and PGPR can be acting in P-solubilization (Meng et al., 2016), helping the plants not to suffer in poor soils such as the soils in the planting areas of this experiment.

The beneficial approach of microorganism inoculation for the development of woody plants in fields has been reported (Siviero et al., 2008; Cely et al., 2016; Duin et al., 2019). Root colonization by AMF in teak (T. grandis L.f.) has been evaluated by other authors, such as Irrianto and Santos (2005). In this study, the inoculation of Glomus aggregatum and a mixture containing Gigaspora margarita, Glomus manihotis, Glomus etunicatum, and Acaulospora spinoso accelerated the height and diameter growth by 61 and 47%, respectively, after 3 months in the field. In our study, in the Pará state, plant growth was positively affected by PGPR in both second and third year and by PGPR + AMF in the third year with the increase in V by 11.04% (PGPR) followed by PGPR + AMF with an increase of 5.81% compared with the control (Tables 6, 7).

The potential of the inoculation of microorganisms for teak development in the nursery and field was recently shown by Raghav et al. (2020), showing that the inoculation of a microbial consortium (A. leptoticha + A chroococcum + T. harzianum) can improve the growth of plants 289% more than uninoculated plants. These responses of growth promotion can vary depending on the species of microorganisms inoculated, climate characteristics in the field, and genetic profile of teak cultivars, as observed in the evaluations at 2 and 3 years for tree (V), mean annual increment (MAI), and current annual increment (CAI).

Thus, a combination of bio-based products in the production of teak seedlings can provide quality seedlings that have good results in the field, even on nutritionally poor soils. The adoption of the use of commercial bio-based products helps to implement this technology in the routine of teak-producing nurseries.
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