Short-term Results Of Sclerotherapy With 3% Polidocanol Foam For Symptomatic Second- And Third-degree Haemorrhoidal Disease
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Abstract

Background. Haemorrhoidal disease (HD) is defined as the symptomatic enlargement and/or distal displacement of anal cushions and is one of the most common proctological diseases. Sclerotherapy (ST) with 3% polidocanol foam induces an inflammatory reaction with sclerosis of the submucosal tissue and consequent suspension of the haemorrhoidal tissue. The aim of this study was to evaluate the short-term effectiveness and safety of ST with 3% polidocanol foam for the treatment of symptomatic second- and third-degree HD.

Methods. A total of 66 patients with symptomatic second- and third-degree HD underwent a single ST session between March 2017 and July 2018. A visual analogue scale score was used to assess post-operative pain and patient satisfaction. The symptoms severity and anal continence were investigated through a self-reported questionnaire and Vaizey score, respectively, at baseline, at 4 weeks and after 1 year.

Results. Fifty-seven out of 66 patients were male (86.3%), and the mean age was 52 (29-75; SD ± 12) years. The mean operative time was 4.5 (2-6; SD ± 1.23) minutes. No intraoperative complications and no drug-related side effects occurred. The overall success rate was 78.8% (52/66 patients) after a single ST session and 86% after two ST sessions (57/66 patients). The mean treatment effect, obtained comparing preoperative and T5 symptom scores in each patient, showed a mean change of 7.88 (p<0.001). All patients resumed their normal daily activities the day after the procedures.

Conclusions. ST with 3% polidocanol foam is a safe, cost-effective and repeatable conservative treatment.

Background

Haemorrhoidal disease (HD) is defined as the symptomatic enlargement and/or distal displacement of anal cushions [1] and is one of the most common proctological diseases [2–4]; however, the true prevalence of the disease has never been precisely estimated [2].

According to the severity of the disease, treatment options may include dietary and lifestyle modifications, medical treatment, office-based procedures or operative treatment [5].

Sclerotherapy (ST) and rubber band ligation (RBL) are the most widely used non-surgical outpatient procedures for the treatment of first-, second- and third degree HD among patients who have failed conservative treatment (Level of Evidence I; Grading of Recommendations B) [5, 6].

The satisfactory results obtained in phlebology [7, 8] have recently led to the use of several sclerosing agents [9–11] for the treatment of HD.

In particular, foam ST with polidocanol was used for the first time in 2007 in the treatment of first-degree bleeding haemorrhoids [12]. Afterwards, Moser KH et al [11] published the first randomized, controlled,
single-blind, multicentre trial in 2013 and demonstrated the superiority of this treatment with polidocanol foam in terms of the success rate (88% vs 69%) and patient satisfaction (99% vs 84%) compared with treatment with fluid sclerosant in 130 patients with first-degree HD.

ST with polidocanol induces an inflammatory reaction with sclerosis of the submucosal tissue and consequent suspension of the haemorrhoidal tissue. Moreover, obliteration of the vascular support of the haemorrhoidal cushions leads to reduction in volume.

Unfortunately, even if this treatment is a reproducible and minimally invasive procedure, severe life-threatening complications, such as abdominal compartment syndrome, necrotizing fasciitis, impotence, retroperitoneal sepsis prostatic abscess formation and rectourethral fistula formation, have been described after treatment with liquid polidocanol [13–17]; however, the only complication described to date associated with the use of foam polidocanol was an episode of prostatitis [11].

The present study was performed to establish the efficacy and safety of 3% polidocanol foam in the treatment of second- and third-degree symptomatic HD.

**Methods**

Between March 2017 to July 2018, 66 patients with symptomatic second- and third-degree HD underwent to a single ST session with 3% polidocanol foam. Demographic data, the degree and symptoms of HD, patient satisfaction and operative details were collected in our prospective PC database.

Patients who had history of coagulopathy, cardiac disease, anticoagulant therapies, colorectal or anal neoplasia, inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), other proctological disease (anal fistulas and fissures), or pelvic radiotherapy were excluded. The inability to return for post-operative control visits and a well-known allergy to polidocanol were also considered exclusion criteria. Written informed consent was obtained from all the patients.

Proctological examination and proctoscopy were performed to assess the grade of HD according the Goligher classification [18] and to exclude any associated anorectal pathology.

All patients underwent treatment performed by the same colorectal surgeon.

After the procedure the patients were observed for two hours before being discharged in order to exclude serious adverse drug reactions or post-operative complications. A visual analogue scale (VAS) score was used to assess post-operative pain and patient satisfaction (0 = minimum, 10 = maximum). Vaizey incontinence score [19] was used to evaluate the anal continence, before the procedure, at 4 weeks and 1 year after surgery.

At each visit patients were also asked about pruritus ani, tenesmus, soiling and thrombosis using a dichotomous parameter (yes or not) based on a clinical diary delivered at the time of discharge.
Furthermore, the symptoms severity was investigated through a self-reported questionnaire [20] before the operation, at 4 weeks and at the final follow-up visit 1 year after surgery.

The severity of bleeding was assessed by the number of bleeding episodes per day. Bleeding was defined as persistent in cases of more than 3 episodes after day 2 following ST. Conversely, we defined occasional as less than 3 episodes.

The occurrence of adverse drug reactions was recorded as well.

Patients were followed up by the same colorectal surgeon who had performed the procedures after 1 week (T1), 4 weeks (T2), 3 months (T3), 6 months (T4) and 12 months (T5).

Follow-up consisted of clinical external evaluation at T1, and clinical evaluation, rectal digital exploration and anoscopy from T2 to T5.

No antibiotics were prescribed during the post-operative period, but stool softeners were prescribed for 7 days, and simple analgesics were prescribed in cases of pain or discomfort (1000 mg of paracetamol and/or 10 mg of ketorolac tromethamine every 4–6 hours maximum).

Successful treatment was defined as the absence of any episode of persistent bleeding or prolapse within T5.

Autonomy was defined as the return to normal daily activities, including work.

Recurrences were defined as the presence of persistent bleeding in case of second-degree HD or persistent bleeding and prolapse in case of third-degree HD.

**Technique**

The mousse was obtained following the Moser technique [10], and the amount of foam injected for each single pile was 2 mL of 3% polidocanol. Before each injection, the foam already obtained was re-emulsified for 20 seconds.

All patients were treated in the Sims position in our outpatient clinic using a modified Blonde-Blanchard technique with injections of polidocanol foam in the three “classical” piles at 3, 7 and 11 o'clock [21]. The patients were not sedated, and no local anaesthetic was used.

Different from previous techniques, we injected polidocanol into the haemorrhoidal piles and not into the submucosa. Furthermore, by injecting at the base of each haemorrhoidal pile above the dentate line to reduce post-operative pain, we ensured the maximum efficacy of the technique due to the fibrotic suspensory reaction.
The inclination of the needle should be tangential, especially at the level of the right anterior pile (11 o'clock position) in order to avoid prostatic and urinary complications in male patients. At the end of the procedure, patients were asked to walk for approximately 20 minutes (before the pre-discharge check).

**Statistical analysis**

Continuous variables are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation (SD) (range), and categorical variables are expressed as counts and percentages. The chi-square test was used to compare the success rates between II- and III degree HD. The disease-free survival was evaluated as the time elapsed from the procedure to the relapse of disease. Kaplan-Meier curves were used to evaluate disease-free survival, and the log-rank test was used to evaluate differences between II- and III degree HD. The changes on Nyström- and Vaizey scores over time have been analysed with Friedman test, because that scores were not normally-distributed.

The results associated with a p-value <0.05 were considered statistically significant. Statistical data analysis was performed using SPSS version 17.0 (Chicago, IL, USA) software for Windows.

**Results**

A total of 66 patients with second- (39 pts; 59%) and third-degree (27 pts; 41%) HD with a mean age of 52 (29–75; SD ± 12) years were treated with 3% polidocanol foam. Fifty-seven out of 66 patients were male (86.3%). The mean operative time was 4.5 (2–6; SD ± 1.23) minutes. No intraoperative complications or drug-related side effects occurred. All patients were discharged 2 hours after the procedure. The procedural results are detailed in Table 1.

All patients were followed up at 12 months. The overall success rate after a single ST session was 78.8% (Tab. 1). There were no recurrences between 6 and 12 months (Fig. 1; Tables 2, 3). Furthermore, time to recurrences were not different between II and III degree HD and there was no significative correlation between the degree of HD and recurrences (p = 0.867).

The majority of patients (86%) were pain-free (VAS = 0). Nine patients reported post-operative pain (mean VAS 5.1; SD ± 2.7; range 2–10) during defecation and during the first 5 post-operative days. There was 1 patient with thrombosis (after 7 days), 10 patients with tenesmus (all during the first 4 post-operative days), 6 patients with one episode of soiling during the first two days, and 6 patients with pruritus ani during the first three days (Tab. 1).

There were 10 patients with occasional post-operative bleeding. In 4 out of 10 patients, bleeding episodes became persistent, while the other 6 patients ad resolution of this symptom. Post-operative bleeding was not associated with recurrences (p = 0.115).

No serious/life-threatening complications occurred. There was not statistically significant correlation between post-operative complications and recurrences, except for tenesmus (Tab. 4). Anyway, further
studies are needed to better specify these results.

All patients resumed their normal daily activities the day after the procedures.

Vaizey score significantly improved at T2 and T5, from 1.92 (SD ± 2.43) to 1.08 (SD ± 1.97) and 0.53 (SD ± 1.43), respectively (Tab. 5). The mean treatment effect, obtained comparing preoperative and T5 symptom scores in each patient, showed a mean change of 7.88 (p<0.001) (Tab. 6).

Among the 14 recurrences, six (4 patients with second-degree HD and 2 patients with third-degree HD) were treated with a second ST session with an overall success rate of 86%, and the only patient with failure third-degree HD) underwent successful dearterialization with mucopexy. The remaining 8 (2 patients with second-degree HD and 6 patients with third-degree HD) patients were treated with dearterialization and mucopexy. The second ST session was performed at least 4 weeks after the first injection.

Discussion

Polidocanol was first developed in 1931 as a detergent [22], and it was introduced as anaesthetic agent in Germany in 1936 [23].

Currently, polidocanol is the most frequently used sclerosant for ST, and it is a non-ionic surfactant that mainly targets endothelial cells.

In fact, injection of 3% polidocanol foam leads to marked vasospasm, damage to the haemorrhoidal endothelium and subsequent inflammatory reaction after only 2 minutes and induces a fibrotic reaction 30 minutes after administration [24].

In particular, the foam formulation increases the proportion of active drug on the endothelium and leads to homogeneous distribution of drug microbubbles. This is a clear advantage of this formulation compared with variants of liquid sclerosing substances.

Our overall success rate (78.8%) after one single ST session is lower than what Moser et al [11] reported. However, the results of their study are not comparable to those of our study because the authors considered only first-degree HD. Furthermore, the design of the study was completely different in the paper by Moser et al [11], which was a randomized, controlled, single-blind, multicentre trial.

After a second ST session in 6 patients with recurrence, our success rate reached 86%. In particular, 5 out of 6 patients responded well to the second treatment, which probably strengthened the effect of the first injection.

In our opinion, this concept is important to demonstrate the security and repeatability of the technique, considering the almost complete absence of discomfort that allowed a fast return to normal activities and the low cost of each vial of polidocanol (approximately 5 euros).
Regarding the symptomatic improvement, we cannot compare our data with other sclerotherapy-related studies but the mean treatment effect of 7.88 (Tab. 6) was higher than both in the rubber band ligation group of the HUBBLE trial [25] and in the study by Nystrom et al [20] that compared stapled anopexy and diathermy excision.

The functional improvement demonstrated in 25/36 patients with a preoperative anal impairment has had a remarkable social and cost-saving effect as confirmed at the evaluation by the Vaizey score (Tab. 5).

In our experience, no life-threatening or major complications occurred in the perianal and prostatic area with our modified tangential approach. Furthermore, the low rate of post-operative pain was due to injection above the dentate line. In this context, the rational for the use of endoscopic ST is the improved visualization of the dentate line [26]. Nevertheless, we consider the transanal approach to be superior.

There are at least two other applications of our procedure.

In fact, HD is one of the most common causes of severe acute lower gastrointestinal bleeding [27] requiring, sometimes, blood transfusion and haemodynamic management. In this context, we used polidocanol foam in two patients with II- and III degree HD (these patients were not considered because the follow-up period was very short) managing to get an immediate resolution of haemorrhoidal bleeding with recovery of hemoglobin values after few days of hospitalization.

Secondly, we must consider the possibility that this technique can be used as bridge to surgery. In our series 9 out of 14 recurrences successfully underwent a further minimally-invasive treatment, i.e., dearterialization and mucopexy, probably thanks to the improvement in the clinical scenario after injection of polidocanol.

Our study has two major limitations. Although the data were collected prospectively, data analysis was performed retrospectively. Furthermore, the lack of data on long-term effectiveness may reduce the impact of our results.

Nevertheless, to our knowledge, this is the first and largest series to date on the use of 3% polidocanol foam in the treatment of second- and third-degree HD. The high level of patient satisfaction and the complete evaluations of symptoms severity and continence function are its major strengths. Thus, further randomized trials with long-term follow-up should be designed, although no recurrences occurred between 6 and 12 months in this study.

**Conclusions**

ST with 3% polidocanol foam is a safe, cost-effective and repeatable conservative treatment for second- and third-degree HD. The use of this treatment as a bridge to surgery in patients with symptomatic haemorrhoids is a future area of research regarding this technique.
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Tables

Table 1. Procedural Results
Mean operations time (minutes)  4.5 (2-6; SD ± 1.23)
Patient satisfaction (VAS)  9.77 (range 5-10; SD ± 0.81)
Overall Success Rate  78.8% (II degree HD 79.5% vs III degree HD 77.8%
                      86% after a second sclerotherapy session
Post-operative pain (VAS > 0)  9/66 (14%)
Pruritus ani  6/66 (9%)
Tenesmus  10/66 (15%)
Soiling  6/66 (9%)
Thrombosis  1/66 (1.5%)

Table 2. Cumulative percentage of success for II degree HD

| Follow-up | N° of patients | Patients with persistence of bleeding | Cumulative percentage of successfully treated patients |
|-----------|----------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| T1        | 39             | 3 (7.69%)                             | 0.923                                                 |
| T2        | 36             | 3 (8.33%)                             | 0.846                                                 |
| T3        | 33             | 2 (6.06%)                             | 0.795                                                 |
| T4        | 31             | 0 (0%)                                | 0.795                                                 |
| T5        | Total N° of patients |     |                                       |                                                       |
|           | 8              |                                       |                                                       |

Table 3. Cumulative percentage of success for III degree HD
### III DEGREE HD

| Follow-up | N° of patients | Patients with persistence of bleeding and prolapse | Cumulative percentage of successfully treated patients |
|-----------|----------------|--------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|
| T1        | 27             | 2 (7.41%)                                        | 0.926                                                |
| T2        | 25             | 1 (4.0%)                                         | 0.888                                                |
| T3        | 24             | 3 (12.5%)                                        | 0.777                                                |
| T4        | 21             | 0 (0%)                                           | 0.777                                                |
| T5        |                |                                                  |                                                     |
| Total N° of patients | 6                           |                                                  |                                                     |

**Table 4. Post-operative complications and recurrences**

| Post-operative complications | P-value |
|------------------------------|---------|
| Thrombosis                   | 0.788   |
| Pain                         | 0.384   |
| Tenesmus                     | 0.029   |
| Soiling                      | 0.375   |
| Pruritus                     | 0.375   |

**Table 5. Vaizey score**

|                          |         |
|--------------------------|---------|
| Preoperative             | 1.92 (SD ± 2.43) |
| T2                       | 1.08 (SD ± 1.97) |
| T5                       | 0.53 (SD ± 1.43) |
| Preoperative anal continence impairment | 36/66 (54%) |
| Postoperative improvement in anal continence | 25/36 (69.4%) |

**Table 6. Patients Self-reported symptoms score**

|                          |         |
|--------------------------|---------|
| Preoperative             | 8.91 (SD ± 1.02) |
| T2                       | 1.62 (SD ± 2.3) |
| T5                       | 1.03 (SD ± 2.3) |
| Treatment effect (preoperative-1 year) | 7.88 (SD ± 2.3) p < 0.001 |
| Symptoms-free at 1 year  | 54/66 (78.8%) |
Figure 1
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