Experimental Study on Early-Age Crack of Mass Concrete under the Controlled Temperature History
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1. Introduction

Mass concrete structures are often constructed in hydraulic engineering, in which thermal stresses arise due to the cement hydration. The mass concrete, such as columns, beam, lock, pier, or dam, requires special measures of coping with the generation of thermal stress according to the ACI-116 [1]. Thermal stresses may induce early-age crack, structural damages, and further degrade structural serviceability, water tightness, and durability [2]. Only about twenty percent of cracks in mass concrete are induced by the external load, while the others are mainly caused by restrained deformation such as thermal deformation, shrinkage, and inhomogeneous deformation [2]. In addition, some massive reinforced concrete (RC) structures, such as concrete piers, walls, columns, and foundations for large structures, are much smaller than a typical concrete dam. If they are made of high performance concrete, the thermal cracking can be as serious as dams. Moreover, in the massive RC structures, the roles of reinforcement bars are limiting crack widths [3, 4].

Certain measures are used to control the temperature rising in mass concrete structures, such as the concrete placing temperature controlling (before cooling) and cooling pipes installment (after cooling). The precooling of concrete decreasing the maximum temperature of concrete was firstly employed during the Norfork Dam construction by the corps of engineers in the early 1940s. According to the ACI-207, one of the most important measures of the thermal cracking avoidance is concrete placing temperature controlling [5]. The first major application of postcooling in mass concrete was in the Hoover Dam construction in the early 1930s [6]. The cooling measure was achieved by circulating cool water through pipes embedded in the concrete. According to the recommended practice of ACI-207, it is important to make the temperature drop as slowly as possible to allow the stress relaxation. Under the condition of slow cooling, concrete can undergo a 20°C temperature drop without cracking. Moreover, precooling and postcooling measures were applied in the construction of several dams, such as notably Glen Canyon Dam, Libby Dam, and Dworshak...
Table 1: Tests parameters and results.

| Cases  | Factors     | Tests | Values     |
|--------|-------------|-------|------------|
| Case 1 | Cooling rate| 1     | 0.33°C/h   |
|        |             | 2     | 0.21°C/h   |
| Case 2 | Placing temperature | 1 | 25.72°C |
|        |             | 3     | 20.53°C   |
| Case 3 | Reinforcement | 3   | Without     |
|        |              | 4     | With       |

In this paper, a series of tests based on TSTM were carried out with different concrete placing temperatures and cooling rates. Moreover, the effects of precooling and postcooling measures on concrete cracking have been quantitatively studied. RC specimens were tested based on TSTM to verify the effect of reinforcement, and the effects of reinforcement on concrete cracking were quantitatively studied. More accurate measurement specimen deformation can be achieved by installing the noncontact laser displacement sensor, and clearer effects of reinforcement on thermal cracking can be obtained by measuring the deformations of reinforcement bars.

2. Experimental Procedure

In engineering practice, three common measures are utilized to reduce thermal cracking in mass concrete: precooling, postcooling, and reinforcement configuration. To quantitatively analyze the effects of these measures, three cases are carried out (Table 1). In Case 1, two different cooling rates (0.33°C/h and 0.21°C/h) are adopted in the cooling stage of TSTM tests. In Case 2, plain concrete specimens with two different placing temperatures (25.72°C and 20.53°C) are tested using TSTM. In Case 3, plain concrete and RC with the same mix proportion are tested to consider the reinforcement effects on the stress development and the cracking behavior. Moreover, the detailed test conditions are shown in Table 1.

The four tests in Table 1 were performed on a mass concrete with mixture proportions given in Table 2. Specimens are plain concrete in Tests 1, 2, and 3, and specimen of Test 4 is reinforcement concrete with four 12 mm diameter reinforcement bars.

2.1. Experimental Device-TSTM. The shape and dimension of the TSTM specimen are shown in Table 3. Total length of the specimen is about 2 m, and the 1.5 m central part of the specimen (red part of the specimen in Table 3) has a cross-section of 0.15 m × 0.15 m. In this paper, the measuring distance of central part maintains constant (1.5 m) throughout the test process since all TSTM-experiments are fully restrained. The dimension of the specimen should be illustrated graphically in the figure, other than described in text.

TSTM (Figure 1) is a closed-looped uniaxial restrained testing device which has four main functions: the measurement of load, the measurement and control of temperature, the measurement and control of deformation, and the measurement of reinforcement strain. For the concrete specimen, one of the cross-heads is restrained by the steel claw and the other is controlled by a stepper motor according to the specimen deformation. The load on the specimen is monitored by a load cell with accuracy of 1 N placed at the adjustable cross-head.

2.1.1. Measurement and Control of Temperature. The evolution of the temperature is monitored using three thermocouples placed in the central part of concrete specimen (Figure 2). The average value of the three temperature values can be calculated and recorded by the computer. Besides, “temperature control system of TSTM” is adopted to control the specimen temperature, which is composed of temperature controlled mould (test mould), pump, circulating pipes, and temperature control box (Figure 3(b)). In detail, the test mould is made of four different materials (Figure 3(a)), and the inner surface is covered with Teflon coating to minimize friction between specimen and test mould. The temperature control is ensured by the test mould through which a liquid (mixture of glycol and water) is circulating. The test mould has an outlet and inlet connecting with the “circulating pipes.” The circulatory liquid can be heated or cooled by the temperature control system according to the computer command, and then it can be pumped to the test mould which surrounded the concrete specimen (Figure 3(b)).

2.1.2. Measurement and Control of Specimen Deformation. The measured deformation of the specimen consists of thermal deformation, elastic deformation, autogenous volume deformation, and creep. The deformations of specimens are monitored by laser displacement sensors (sensor type: Omron Z4 M-W with accuracy of 0.1 μm) with invar bars that are keeping a distance of 1.5 m at the initial place (Figure 2). As the invar has a very low coefficient of thermal dilation, the length changes of the invar bars since that temperature
fluctuation can be negligible. When the deformation in the central part of the specimen exceeds the threshold (1 \( \mu \)m), the stepper motor will pull/push the specimen back to its initial position. The load values are recorded by the computer in the whole experimental process. During the experiment, four parameters are automatically recorded: the concrete temperature values, the load values applied to the specimen, deformations of specimens, and the moving cross-head displacements.

2.1.3. Measurement of Reinforcement Deformation. To investigate the effect of reinforcement on cracking, the strain values of reinforcement bars are measured by the improved TSTM. Strain gauges are adopted to measure the deformation of reinforcement (Figure 4(a)). Two notches are milled along the longitudinal direction of the reinforcement to maintain good bond properties between gauges and reinforcement bars, in which strain gauges and the connecting cables are placed. One gauge measures the deformation in longitudinal direction and the other measures in transverse direction (Figure 4(b)), which can eliminate the thermal influences. Moreover, two of these combined gauges were placed opposite each other in one cross-section, which can correct the effect of the reinforcement bending [21].

2.2. Experimental Procedure

2.2.1. Tests with Different Temperature Histories. Firstly, fresh concrete was cast in the test mould of TSTM, and a layer of plastic sheet was covered on the surface of concrete to maintain constant humidity. Subsequently, temperature sensors and laser displacement sensors were installed (Figure 2). When TSTM starts, the specimen will be in an adiabatic condition during the temperature rise stage until the temperature reaches the maximum value (temperature rise stage). In the subsequent stage, the maximum temperature will be maintained for 48 hours (constant temperature stage) to ensure enough concrete strength. Then the concrete will begin to cool with different cooling rates until crack appears (cooling stage).

2.2.2. Test of Reinforced Concrete. After reinforcement bars were assembled, strain gauges were fixed in reinforcement (as shown in Figure 4(a)). The same as plain concrete tests, the TSTM test of RC also has three stages: temperature rise stage, constant temperature stage, and cooling stage. Finally, the device will automatically stop when the specimen stress decrease exceeds a threshold value (1 MPa) in one minute. Moreover, splitting tensile tests were carried out to verify the TSTM results. The splitting tensile tests performed at a constant temperature, different from the TSTM temperature history, can be expressed in equivalent age which is calculated by the activation energy theory. All of the stress differences between the TSTM tests and the corresponding splitting tensile tests are no more than 20%.

3. Results and Discussion

In this paper, crack resistance represents the ability of concrete to prevent cracking, and therefore enhancing the crack resistance can postpone the moment of concrete cracking. Moreover, cracking temperatures and stress reserves were chosen as the evaluation indicators of the concrete crack resistance [22]. Lower cracking temperature or higher stress reserves mean lower probability of cracking (better crack resistance). In Table 4, parameters \( \sigma_{ct} \), \( \sigma_{cr} \), \( S \), and \( T_{ct} \) denote stress at room temperature, cracking stress, stress reserves, and cracking temperature, respectively. Cracking temperature and cracking stress denote the temperature and stress when concrete is cracking in TSTM test. Stress reserve represents the crack resistance capability when the temperature drops to room temperature in the cooling stage. Moreover, the stress reserve can be calculated using the following equation:

\[
S = \frac{(\sigma_{cr} - \sigma_{ct})}{\sigma_{cr}} \times 100\%.
\] (1)

3.1. Case 1: Effects of Cooling Rates on Concrete Cracking. In Case 1, two tests (Test 1 and Test 2) are carried out with different cooling rates (0.33 \( ^\circ \)C/h and 0.21 \( ^\circ \)C/h) in the cooling stage. In Figure 5(a), the cracking temperature of Test 2 is 8.82 \( ^\circ \)C lower than Test 1. In Table 4, the crack reserve of Test 1 is only 1.95%, which is far less than that of Test 2 (30.55%). Both of the crack indicators prove that the specimen in Test 2
with the lower cooling rates has better crack resistance ability than that of Test 1.

Compared with Specimen 1 (Test 1), the tensile strength of Specimen 2 (Test 2) is higher due to its higher maturity degree of concrete (shown in Figure 5(a)), and its stress variation rate is lower that is helpful for anticrack in the early-age (seen in Figure 5(b)).

In Table 4, the age of Test 2 (258 hours) is longer than that of Test 1 (162 hours), therefore, Specimen 2 has sufficient time to redistribute the internal stress and reduce the stress concentration. In a word, the lower cooling rate can delay the concrete cracking.

3.2. Case 2: Effects of Placing Temperatures on Concrete Cracking. Higher concrete placing temperature induces higher speed of cement hydration [23]. In mass concrete, each 6°C reduction of the placing temperature below the average air temperature will result in a lowering by about 3°C of the maximum temperature of concrete [6].

Compared with Test 3, the concrete placing temperature and the adiabatic temperature rise of Test 1 are 5.2°C and 3.7°C higher, respectively (Figure 6(a)), which is consistent with the opinion of Mehta and Monteiro [6]. The higher adiabatic
3.3. Case 3. Effect of Reinforcement on Concrete Cracking. In the previous studies, the reinforcement is employed to limit the crack width, but the effect of reinforcement has been neglected before concrete crack. However, the experimental results show that the reinforcement plays an obvious role on the concrete stress development (Figure 7(a) and Figure 7(b)).

In Table 4, the cracking temperature of RC specimen is about 21 °C lower than that of plain concrete. The crack does not appear until the temperature drops to −9.24 °C in Test 4, which shows that the RC specimen can resist larger temperature gradient. In addition, the stress reserve of RC (58.93%) is higher than that of plain concrete (33.19%). Therefore, RC specimen has a lower probability of cracking than that of the plain concrete.

In Figures 7(c) and 7(b), the difference of cracking stress between RC and plain concrete is about 1.01 MPa (29.76% of maximum tension stress in Test 4), and the maximum tension stress of reinforcement is 0.96 MPa (28.57% of maximum tension stress in Test 4). Consequently, reinforcement can increase the maximum tensile strength of RC specimen by nearly 30% in this experiment.

Therefore, the advantages of the reinforcement can be summarized as follows. The moment of cracking was delayed about 108 hours, the stress reserve was improved by 25.74%, and the cracking temperature was declined by 21.45 °C (in the experimental conditions).

To verify the reinforcement effect on cracking under long-term load, a typical axial tension specimen was calculated, and the load on the specimen is assumed as constant to simplify the TSTM Test 4.
 Primarily, four basic assumptions are introduced: (i) there is no slip between reinforcement and concrete before cracking; (ii) specimen satisfies the plane cross-section assumption; (iii) concrete is homogeneous material and isotropic, and reinforcement is always elastic without creep; (iv) concrete satisfies the linear creep assumption and superposition principle [25].

The concrete grade is C25 with a tensile strength of 1.78 MPa ($f_{ct} = 1.78$ MPa), and its cross-section dimension is $A_0 = 150 \times 15$ mm$^2$ with four reinforcement bars (as shown in Figure 8). Besides, the rebar diameter and reinforcement ratio are 12 mm and 2%, respectively ($d = 12$ mm $\rho_s = 0.02$).

At the initial time $t_0$, the mechanical equilibrium equation, concrete stress, and reinforcement stress can be expressed as

$$N(t_0) = N_c(t_0) + N_s(t_0), \quad (2)$$

$$\sigma_c(t_0) = \frac{N_c(t_0)}{A_0 (1 - \rho_s)}, \quad (3)$$

$$\sigma_s(t_0) = \frac{N_s(t_0)}{A_0 \rho_s}, \quad (4)$$
where $N$, $N_c$, and $N_s$ represent the total load, the load acts on concrete, and the load acts on reinforcement, respectively; $\sigma_c$ and $\sigma_s$ denote stress of concrete and stress of reinforcement.

Substituting (3) and (4) into (2), $N(t_0)$ can be rewritten as

$$N(t_0) = \sigma_c(t_0) A_0 (1 - \rho_s) + \sigma_s(t_0) A_0 \rho_s.$$  

The constitutive relations of concrete and steel bars can be expressed as

$$\varepsilon_c(t_0) = \frac{\sigma_c(t_0)}{E_c(t_0)},$$

$$\varepsilon_s(t_0) = \frac{\sigma_s(t_0)}{E_s(t_0)},$$

where $\varepsilon_c$ and $\varepsilon_s$ are the strain of concrete and the strain of reinforcement and $E_c$ and $E_s$ represent the elasticity modulus of the concrete and reinforcement.

The strains of concrete and steel bars were assumed to be the same:

$$\varepsilon_c(t_0) = \varepsilon_s(t_0).$$  

The initial stress distribution can be obtained from (5) to (7), which is expressed as

$$\sigma_c(t_0) = \frac{N(t_0)}{A_0 \left(1 + \left(m_e(t_0) - 1\right) \rho_s\right)},$$

$$\sigma_s(t_0) = \frac{m_e(t_0) N(t_0)}{A_0 \left(1 + \left(m_e(t_0) - 1\right) \rho_s\right)}.$$  

---

**Figure 7:** (a) Temperature curves of Tests 3 and 4; (b) stress curves of Tests 3 and 4; (c) reinforcement stress of Test 4; (d) comparison of tension strains between plain and reinforced concrete.
where \( m_c(t_0) = E_c(t_0)/E_c(t_0) \) is the ratio of reinforcement and concrete elastic modulus at time \( t_0 \).

The “plane cross-section assumption” is still available in the long-term load, and the mechanical equilibrium equation and physical equations can be expressed as

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{i} \Delta \varepsilon_j (t_i) A_0 \rho_s + \sum_{j=1}^{i} \Delta \varepsilon_c (t_i) A_0 \left( 1 - \rho_s \right) = 0,
\]

\[
N(t_i) = N_e(t_i) + N_s(t_i),
\]

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{i} \Delta \varepsilon_c (t_i) = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{i} \Delta \sigma_c (t_i)}{E_c} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{i} \Delta \sigma_c (t_i) J(t_j, t_0)}{E_c} + \varepsilon_{sh}(t_j, t_0)
\]

\[
- \varepsilon_c(t_0),
\]

\[
(9)
\]

where \( \sum_{j=1}^{i} \Delta \varepsilon_j (t_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{i} \Delta \sigma_c (t_i)/E_c \) denotes the strain increment accumulation and the \( J(t_j, t_0) \) represents the creep compliance.

Then, the following equations can be obtained:

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{i} \Delta \varepsilon_c (t_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{i} \Delta \varepsilon_c (t_j),
\]

\[
(10)
\]

\[
E_c \left( \sigma_c (x, t_0) J(t_j, t_0) + \Delta \sigma_c (x, t_0) J(t_j, t_0) \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \sum \Delta \sigma_c (x, t_j) J(t_j, t_i) \Delta \varepsilon_c (x, t_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \sum \Delta \sigma_c (x, t_j) \Delta \varepsilon_c (x, t_i) A_0 \left( 1 - \rho_s \right) = 0,
\]

\[
(11)
\]

\[
E_c \left( \sigma_c (x, t_0) J(t_j, t_0) + \Delta \sigma_c (x, t_0) J(t_j, t_0) \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \sum \Delta \sigma_c (x, t_j) J(t_j, t_i) \Delta \varepsilon_c (x, t_i) A_0 \left( 1 - \rho_s \right) = 0,
\]

\[
\varepsilon_c(x, t_0) = \sigma_c(x, t_0)/E_c(t_0).
\]

(12)

Substituting (10) and (11) into (12), the stress increment of concrete in time \( t_i \), \( \Delta \sigma_c (x, t_i) \) can be expressed as

\[
\Delta \sigma_c (x, t_i) = - \left( \rho_s \sigma_c (x, t_0) \left( E_i J(t_j, t_0) - m_c(t_0) \right) + E_i \rho_s \left( \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \Delta \sigma_c (x, t_j) J(t_j, t_i) \right) \right) \frac{\Delta \sigma_c (x, t_i)}{\sigma_c (x, t_0)} (1 - \rho_s) \rho_s^{-1}.
\]

(13)

The stress increment of concrete in time \( t_i \) is expressed as

\[
\Delta \sigma_c (x, t_i) = - \frac{\rho_s \sigma_c (x, t_0) \left( E_i J(t_j, t_0) - m_c(t_0) \right)}{1 + (E_i J(t_j, t_1) - 1) \rho_s}.
\]

(14)

Substituting (14) into (5), the stress increment of reinforcement in time \( t_i \) is expressed as

\[
\Delta \sigma_s (x, t_i) = \frac{1 - \rho_s \sigma_c (x, t_0) \left( E_i J(t_j, t_0) - m_c(t_0) \right)}{1 + (E_i J(t_j, t_1) - 1) \rho_s}.
\]

(15)

In (14) and (15), stress increments of concrete are always negative and the stress increments of reinforcement are always positive in the long-term process. Consequently, under the long-term constant load, the force acted on the concrete is decreasing while the force acted on the reinforcement is increasing. In other words, the internal force is transferred from concrete to reinforcement bars due to the creep effect.

3.4. Case 4: Effect of Reinforcement on Ultimate Tensile Strain of Concrete. Effect of reinforcement on ultimate tensile strain for concrete structure is a controversial issue. Some researchers indicate that there is no effect of reinforcement on ultimate tensile value, but some others indicate that the existence of reinforcement improves the ultimate tensile value for concrete structure [7].

The relationship between reinforcement and the ultimate tensile strain of concrete structure can be illustrated by the empirical formula [7]:

\[
\varepsilon_{pa} = 0.5 R_f \left( 1 + \frac{P}{d} \right) \times 10^{-4},
\]

(16)

where \( \varepsilon_{pa} \) denotes the ultimate tensile strain of concrete structure; \( R_f \) is the tensile strength of concrete (MPa); \( P = 100 \mu \) represents the reinforcement ratio; \( d \) means the diameter of the reinforcement bar (cm).

According to (16), the ultimate tensile strain of Specimen 4 is equal to \( 141 \times 10^{-6} \). Besides, ultimate tensile value of Specimen 4 is \( 135.7 \times 10^{-6} \) (Figure 7(d)). Therefore, a reasonable amount of agreement was achieved between the experimental results and the calculation values. Moreover,
Figure 7(d) illustrates that the ultimate tensile strain value of RC specimen is approximately 105% higher than that of the plain concrete. The reason for this phenomenon may be that tiny cracks can enhance the specimen strain capacity before the occurrence of the first through crack, with the assumption that the formation of tiny cracks is initiated by a crack forming zone developing around the reinforcement bars [23].

4. Conclusions

A modified TSTM has been developed to investigate the early-age cracking behavior of concrete and RC. Based on the experimental results, effects of concrete placing temperature, cooling rate, and reinforcement on concrete cracking were quantitatively analyzed. The following conclusions are drawn from this experimental study.

(1) Case 1 showed that the lower cooling rate can reduce the probability of concrete cracking and postpone the concrete cracking, considering that the cracking temperature and the crack reserve of the two tests (Test 1 and Test 2) are (26.11°C, 17.29°C) and (1.95%, 30.55%), respectively.

(2) Case 2 showed that concrete with lower placing temperature has a lower probability of concrete cracking. In detail, the cracking temperature of Test 3 is 13.9°C lower than that of Test 1, and the stress reserve of Test 3 is about 31.24% higher than that of Test 1.

(3) Case 3 showed that reinforcement bars can postpone the occurrence of the first major crack appearance. Compared with the plain concrete, the cracking moment of RC was delayed about 108 hours; the stress reserve was improved by 25.74%, and the cracking temperature was declined by 21.45°C (in these experimental conditions). Besides, reinforcement can increase the maximum tensile strength of RC specimen by nearly 30% in this experiment. Moreover, considering the axial tension specimen under long-term load, the internal force could transfer from concrete to reinforcement bars due to the creep effect.

(4) Both the calculation and experiment of Case 4 prove that reinforcement can enhance the ultimate tensile strain of the structure. Moreover, the ultimate tensile strain value of RC specimen is approximately 105% higher than that of plain concrete in the paper.
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