End-stage kidney disease and rationing of kidney replacement therapy in the free state province, South Africa: a retrospective study
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Abstract

Background: End-stage kidney disease (ESKD) and the required kidney replacement therapy (KRT) are significant public health challenges for low-and-middle-income countries. The South African government adopted a KRT rationing policy to balance the growing need for KRT and scarce resources. We aimed to describe the epidemiology and KRT access in patients with ESKD referred to the main public sector hospital in the Free State Province, South Africa.

Methods: A retrospective study of adult patients with ESKD admitted to Universitas Academic Hospital for KRT, was conducted between 1 January 2016 and 31 December 2018. A review of the KRT committee decisions to offer or deny KRT based on the KRT rationing policy of the Free State was undertaken. Demographic information, KRT committee outcomes, laboratory test results, and clinical details were collected from assessment tools, KRT committee meeting diaries, and electronic hospital records.

(Continued on next page)
Chronic kidney disease (CKD) defined by the persistent kidney damage or glomerular filtration rate (GFR) of < 60 mL/min/1.73 m² is a significant contributor to mortality due to non-communicable diseases [1, 2]. Its prevalence increases with age, but in Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA), young adults tend to be disproportionately affected [3–5], with higher incidences of chronic glomerulonephritis, herbal/traditional remedies, and communicable diseases in SSA contributing to CKD’s overrepresentation among the younger groups [6, 7]. Accurate prevalence statistics in SSA are scarce, but an estimated prevalence rate of 13% has been reported [8]. Low-to middle-income countries face many challenges in the prevention and early detection of CKD, leading to an increase in the risk of disease progression [8]. In the Republic of South Africa (RSA), CKD presents within a context of a rising double burden of communicable and non-communicable diseases [9, 10].

End-stage kidney disease (ESKD) is an advanced, irreversible final stage of CKD requiring kidney replacement therapy (KRT) to sustain life [1]. It is a public health challenge worldwide and poses an enormous economic burden on the healthcare systems [11, 12]. With a population of just more than a billion, SSA has 80% of its population living on less than $2.5 a day [13]. Access to the full range of KRT options, including dialysis and kidney transplantation, is a challenge for most SSA countries due to the high costs, infrastructural constraints, and shortage of skilled personnel [14]. Estimates suggest a significant unmet need in Africa, projected to have the lowest KRT access rate in the world, ranging between 9 and 16% [14]. Furthermore, most dialysis centres are located in urban areas creating internal disparities in access to a full range of ESKD management options [15]. This situation favours access to KRT by those in urban areas with the ability to fund treatment costs.

In RSA, those who can afford private insurance, approximately 16% of the population, receive a full range of KRT modalities. At the same time, the majority who are mostly indigent rely on the public sector [16]. Thus, the RSA government adopted a KRT rationing policy for the public sector based on criteria that select patients considered to be the best candidates for transplantation to balance the growing need for KRT and the scarce resources available [17]. Various regions of the country have adapted local variants of this rationing policy, reflecting local resource constraints. These rationing policies’ ability to enable KRT access based on transplantability and potential to reinforce existing socioeconomic inequalities have been controversial. Furthermore, there are scarce data on the ESKD incidence in many SSA countries and the extent to which the KRT need is satisfied. Our study aimed to define the profile of the patients needing KRT, the KRT access rate, and the factors associated with the denial of KRT access in the main public sector hospital of the Free State (FS) Province, RSA.

Methods

Patients and study design

In this retrospective study, we reviewed patients’ records that were referred for KRT and were evaluated by the KRT committee at Universitas Academic Hospital (UAH) from 1 January 2016 to 31 December 2018. Patients evaluated by the KRT committee included those with CKD stages 4 and 5 according to eGFR and those assessed by the referring health care practitioner to need
dialysis due to symptoms or biochemical abnormalities attributed to chronic renal failure. UAH is an academic hospital located in Bloemfontein, RSA, and provides tertiary services to the FS province. The kidney unit at UAH receives referrals for KRT from public sector health care facilities throughout the FS province. The FS province is a predominantly rural province with 2.9 million people according to the 2018 estimates, and it covers an area of 129 825 km², with approximately 85% of the population served by the public health sector [18, 19]. The province has 17 dialysis centres: 11 privately owned centres serving those with health insurance and 6 public sector dialysis centres coordinated centrally by the UAH. The FS public health care sector served an estimated 2.36, 2.44, and 2.48 million people without medical insurance in 2016, 2017, and 2018, respectively [19, 20]. The FS KRT programme offers peritoneal dialysis (PD) preferentially to patients starting dialysis and haemodialysis to patients unable to undergo PD. At the same time, kidney transplantation is limited to patients with live related donors who can travel to neighbouring provinces for transplantation.

The UAH KRT committee, which comprises hospital managers, nephrologists, attending medical officers, social workers, dieticians, and nursing staff, meet weekly to discuss dialysis-requiring patients referred to the unit. Patients are assessed for KRT eligibility according to the FS KRT guidelines [21]. Patient considered as not the best candidates for kidney transplantation are classified as category 3 and are not offered KRT. Those with co-morbidities that are manageable and potentially reversible are classified as category 2 and are offered KRT when dialysis resources are available. Category 1 includes young patients considered good transplant candidates with minimal comorbidities and are prioritised for KRT (Fig. 1).

The diaries containing minutes of the KRT committee meetings, the assessment forms, national health laboratory service electronic results, and electronic hospital records (MEDITECH) were reviewed to obtain the names and demographic details, KRT committee discussion outcomes, laboratory test results, and clinical information. The study population comprised adult patients (≥ 18 years old) diagnosed with ESKD and evaluated by the
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**Fig. 1** Free State chronic kidney replacement therapy guidelines. ARV, antiretroviral; BMI, body mass index; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; RVSP, right ventricular peak systolic pressure

---
UAH KRT committee between 2016 and 2018. Patients with incomplete data in the assessment tool documents and clinical and socio-demographic records were excluded.

All category 3 patients were denied KRT access according to the FS KRT policy, and both categories 1 and 2 were deemed acceptable for KRT access. Criteria for exclusion from KRT access included concomitant irreversible advanced organ dysfunction. Cardiac disease was assessed using an echocardiogram and defined as an ejection fraction of < 45 % or diastolic dysfunction grade 2 or higher. Significant vascular disease was defined by any vascular calcifications that can be visualised on X-ray. Pulmonary hypertension was defined as a right ventricular peak systolic pressure of > 50 mmHg. Other classifying criteria are depicted in Fig. 1.

The incidence rates were calculated as the number of new cases divided by the provincial population served by the public health care sector as published by Statistics South Africa for that period. The rates were expressed as per million population (pmp).

**Statistical analysis**
Continuous variables are summarised using medians and interquartile ranges and compared using the Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney test. Kruskal-Wallis equality-of-populations rank test was used for comparing more than two continuous variables. Categorical and ordinal variables are summarised using proportions and percentages and compared using Pearson’s chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test, as appropriate. Logit transform was used to calculate confidence intervals of proportions and differences compared using a two-sample test for proportions. Univariate logistic regression was used to estimate the association between exclusion from the KRT programme and various risk factors. Multivariable logistic regression analysis was used to identify independent predictors for exclusion from the KRT programme. Covariates included in the logistic regression model were age, sex, employment status, presence of dependants, poor home circumstances as determined by a social worker, diabetes, hypertension, human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) status, history of smoking, and serum creatinine level. All analyses were performed using Stata Statistical Software, Release 15 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, US), and the level of significance was set at p < 0.05.

**Results**

**Patient Characteristics and Demographics**
From January 2016 to December 2018, a total of 363 patients were assessed for KRT eligibility at the UAH, 96 patient files were excluded for incomplete information, leaving 267 files for further analysis. Only one third (30.7 %; 82/267) of the patients with ESKD, referred for KRT, were offered dialysis, while the rest (69.3 %; 185/267) were denied KRT access. The median age of the patients in our study was 40 (interquartile range [IQR], 33–49) years. The median age among the patients that were denied KRT access was 43 (IQR 34–51) compared to 37 (IQR 30–43) years among those accepted for KRT (p < 0.001). There were significantly more male patients (56.2 %; 150/267) than female patients (43.8 %; 117/267) (p = 0.004) in the study cohort. The proportion of employed patients was 47.6 % (126/267), while 44.9 % (119/267) were unemployed, and 6.8 % (18/267) were pensioners. Those with dependants made up 75.5 % (200/267) of our study population, while 21.9 % had no dependants (p < 0.001). Only 5.6 % (15/267) of the patients admitted to smoking and alcohol use, which was significantly higher among those excluded from KRT access (7.6 % vs. 1.2 %; p = 0.043). According to the social worker assessment, slightly less than 2 % of the patients were considered to have poor home circumstances and poor social support networks (Table 1).

**Clinical characteristics**
Among the patients with ESKD included in the study, the most prevalent comorbidities were hypertension (42.3 %; 113/267), HIV (28.5 %; 76/267) and diabetes mellitus (19.1 %; 51/267). Likewise, the three most significant causes of ESKD were hypertension (32.2 %; 86/267), HIV-associated nephropathy (15.7 %; 42/267) and diabetes mellitus (12.4 %; 33/267). Glomerulonephritis was documented as the cause of ESKD in 9 % of the study patients. The median serum creatinine at presentation was 890 (IQR, 670–1210) μmol/L, with the accepted group having a higher median (1044.5; IQR, 807–1403 μmol/L) than that in the denied group (794; IQR, 587–1143 μmol/L), p < 0.001 (Table 2).

**ESKD incidence and KRT rates**
The ESKD incidence rate was 26.7 pmp in 2016, 41.4 pmp in 2017, and 41.6 pmp in 2018, with a cumulative incidence rate of 110.1 pmp over these three years. KRT access rates were 30.2 % (19/63), 27.7 % (28/101), and 34.0 % (35/103) of study patients referred for KRT access in 2016, 2017 and 2018, respectively (p = 0.622). When all 363 patients referred for KRT at the UAH between 2016 and 2018 were considered, the cumulative ESKD incidence rate was 149.7 (95 % CI, 125.2–174.1) pmp with an estimated average annual ESKD incidence of 49.9 (95 % CI, 35.8–64.0) pmp. A steady increasing trend of KRT incidence rate was observed, starting at 8.05 (95 % CI, 4.98–11.1) in 2016, 11.5 (95 % CI, 7.83–15.1) in 2017 and 14.1 (95 % CI, 10.3–18.0) in 2018 (Table 3). The KRT incidence increased by 75 % between 2016 and 2018 (p = 0.045).
Reasons for non-admission to the KRT program

The main reasons for being denied KRT access in the FS public sector are listed in Table 3. Medical factors accounted for the largest proportion of reasons to be denied KRT, including communicable and non-communicable diseases. Concomitant advanced organ dysfunction was the most typical medical cause of denial (58.9 %; 109/185), including cardiac disease (25.4 %; 47/185), vascular disease (14.6 %; 27/185), chronic lung disease (4.3 %; 8/185), among others. Uncontrolled HIV infection (11.4 %; 21/185) and age above 60 years (9.2 %; 17/185), respectively, were other prominent exclusion factors. Behavioural and psychosocial factors, such as habitual non-compliance to medical treatment, substance abuse, and mental illness, were cited as contributing to 13.5 % of the exclusions combined. Diabetes mellitus was a significantly higher comorbidity among those denied KRT compared to that in patients who were offered KRT (25.4 vs. 4.9 %; p < 0.001).

Table 1 Background characteristics of end-stage kidney disease patients presenting for kidney replacement therapy

|                  | KRT Accepted (n = 82) | KRT excluded (n = 185) | p-value | Total (%, 95 % CI) | p-value |
|------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|---------|--------------------|---------|
| Age (years), median (IQR) | 37 (30–43)            | 43 (34–51)             | < 0.001 | 40 (33–49)         |         |
| Sex, n (%)       |                       |                        |         |                    |         |
| Male             | 46 (56.1)             | 104 (56.2)             | 0.986   | 150 (56.2; 50.0–62.0) | 0.004   |
| Female           | 36 (43.9)             | 81 (43.8)              |         | 117 (43.8; 38.0–49.9) |         |
| Employment, n (%)|                       |                        |         |                    |         |
| Employed/Self employed | 40 (49.4)         | 86 (46.7)              | 0.691   | 126 (47.6; 41.6–53.6) |         |
| Unemployed       | 40 (49.4)             | 79 (42.9)              | 0.331   | 119 (44.9; 39.0–51.0) | 0.542   |
| Pensioner        | 0                     | 18 (9.8)               | 0.002   | 18 (6.8; 4.3–10.5)   | < 0.001 |
| Unspecified      | 1 (1.2)               | 1 (0.5)                | 0.519   | 2 (0.8; 0.1–3.0)     | < 0.001 |
| Marital status, n (%) |                   |                        |         |                    |         |
| Married          | 41 (50.6)             | 105 (57.1)             | 0.331   | 146 (55.1; 49.0–61.0) |         |
| Single           | 40 (49.4)             | 71 (38.6)              | 0.101   | 111 (41.9; 36.1–47.9) | 0.002   |
| Divorced         | 0                     | 6 (3.3)                | 0.182   | 6 (2.3; 1.0–5.0)     | < 0.001 |
| Unknown          | 0                     | 2 (1.1)                | 1.000   | 2 (0.8; 0.1–3.0)     | < 0.001 |
| Dependents, n (%)|                       |                        |         |                    |         |
| Yes              | 56 (69.1)             | 144 (78.3)             | 0.112   | 200 (75.5; 70.0–80.3) |         |
| No               | 21 (25.9)             | 37 (20.1)              | 0.291   | 58 (21.9; 17.3–27.3) | < 0.001 |
| Unknown          | 4 (4.9)               | 3 (1.6)                | 0.206   | 7 (2.6; 1.3–5.4)     | < 0.001 |
| Citizenship, n (%)|                     |                        |         |                    |         |
| South African    | 80 (97.6)             | 178 (96.2)             | 0.726   | 258 (96.6; 93.6–98.2) | < 0.001 |
| Non-South African| 2 (2.4)               | 7 (3.8)                |         | 9 (3.4; 1.8–6.4)     |         |
| Smoking and/or alcohol, n (%) | 1 (1.2) | 14 (7.6) | 0.043 | 15 (5.6; 3.4–9.1) |         |
| Illicit substance abuse, n (%) | 0 | 2 (1.1) | 1.000 | 2 (0.8; 0.2–3.0) |         |
| Social factors, n (%) |                  |                        |         |                    |         |
| Poor home circumstances | 1 (1.2)  | 4 (2.2) | 0.548 | 5 (1.9; 0.8–4.4) | 1.000   |
| Poor social network/support | 0 | 5 (2.8) |         | 5 (1.9;0.8–4.4) |         |

CI confidence interval; IQR interquartile range; KRT kidney replacement therapy

*Illicit substance abuse includes regular use of cannabis and other illegal substances

Discussion

This study describes the epidemiology and KRT access of patients with ESKD referred for dialysis in the public health sector of the FS province, a predominantly rural province in South Africa. An average annual ESKD incidence of 49.9 pmp was estimated, with 30 % of those presenting with ESKD able to access KRT. Hypertension, diabetes, and HIV were the commonest comorbidities, while advanced organ dysfunction and uncontrolled HIV were the leading reasons for denying KRT access. Age (Odds Ratio [OR], 1.04; 95 % CI, 1.00–1.07; p = 0.024) and diabetes (OR, 5.04; CI, 1.69–15.03; p = 0.004) were independent predictors for non-acceptance to the KRT programme. However, hypertension (OR, 1.80; 1.06–3.04; p = 0.029) independently predicted concomitant advanced organ dysfunction resulting in exclusion from the KRT programme (Table 4).
and diabetes mellitus were independent predictors for denial of KRT access.

The crude annual incidence rates of ESKD increased from 26.7 to 41.6 pmp between 2016 and 2018. However, the crude average annual ESKD incidence rate was 49.9 pmp when the entire cohort of 363 patients was considered, including the 96 patient records excluded from analysis due to incomplete data. Still, this is likely underestimating the true ESKD incidence rate in the FS since some patients were not referred to the UAH, particularly if they had an obvious exclusion factor. The ESKD incidence reported in the literature is highly variable, ranging from 89 pmp in some western countries such as Finland to 363 pmp in the United States of America [22]. In Latin America, a high incidence rate of 458 pmp has been reported in Mexico [23]. There is a dearth of reported ESKD incidence rates in Africa due to the limited access to KRT and poor data collection mechanisms [13]. With relatively non-restrictive KRT access and a high prevalence of associated non-communicable diseases, Libya reported an ESKD incidence of 282 pmp [24]. These higher incidence rates than those estimated in this study suggest a significant underestimation of ESKD incidence in RSA, as a substantial number of patients with ESKD are palliated at the primary health care level without referral to a specialised kidney unit.

A typical patient with ESKD referred for KRT was young (mean age of 40 years old), married with dependents, and of male preponderance. This young profile was primarily attributed to the KRT policy excluding those with age above 60 years and age above 50 years in people with diabetes, thus influencing the likelihood of referral from primary health care levels. Other contributors included the favourable consideration of society’s economically active members. Furthermore, age was a significant predictor of KRT access, with those offered KRT access being significantly younger than those excluded (37 vs. 43 mean years old, \( p < 0.001 \)). Moreover, the increased likelihood of having comorbidities and advanced organ damage with progressing age was another reason for the age-associated bias. Typically, advancing age is associated with an increased incidence of ESKD [22, 25]. Thus, the age criterion likely contributed to a significant underestimation of the ESKD incidence, since

### Table 2 Clinical characteristics

|                      | KRT Accepted (n = 82) | KRT excluded (n = 185) | \( p \)-value | Total (%), 95 % CI | \( p \)-value |
|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|---------------|--------------------|--------------|
| Hypertension, n (%)  | 36 (43.9)             | 77 (41.6)              | 0.728         | 113 (42.3, 36.5–48.4) | Reference    |
| Diabetes, n (%)      | 4 (4.9)               | 47 (25.4)              | < 0.001       | 51 (19.1, 14.8–24.3) | < 0.001      |
| SLE, n (%)           | 6 (7.3)               | 6 (3.2)                | 0.138         | 12 (4.5, 2.6–7.8)     | < 0.001      |
| Hepatitis B, n (%)   | 1 (1.2)               | 4 (2.2)                | 1.000         | 5 (1.9, 0.7–4.4)      | < 0.001      |
| Hepatitis C, n (%)   | 1 (1.2)               | 1 (0.5)                | 0.521         | 2 (0.8, 0.2–3.0)      | < 0.001      |
| HIV status, n (%)    |                       |                        |               |                    |              |
| Negative             | 61 (74.4)             | 113 (61.1)             | Reference     | 174 (65.2, 59.2–70.7) |             |
| Positive             | 21 (25.6)             | 55 (29.7)              | 0.491         | 76 (28.5, 23.4–34.2)  | 0.001        |
| Unknown              | 0                     | 17 (9.2)               | 0.002         | 17 (6.4, 4.0–10.0)    |              |
| Kidney disease aetiology, n (%) |         |                        |               |                    |              |
| Hypertension         | 27 (32.9)             | 59 (31.9)              | 0.867         | 86 (32.2, 26.8–38.1)  | Reference    |
| Diabetes mellitus    | 1 (1.2)               | 32 (17.3)              | < 0.001       | 33 (12.4, 8.9–16.9)   | < 0.001      |
| Glomerulonephritis   | 11 (13.4)             | 13 (7.0)               | 0.092         | 24 (9.06.1–13.1)      | < 0.001      |
| Lupus nephritis      | 6 (7.3)               | 6 (3.2)                | 0.138         | 12 (4.5,2,6–7.8)      | < 0.001      |
| HIVAN                | 14 (17.1)             | 28 (15.1)              | 0.688         | 42 (15.7, 11.8–20.6)  | < 0.001      |
| FSGS                 | 1 (1.2)               | 2 (1.1)                | 1.000         | 3 (1.1, 0.3–3.4)      | < 0.001      |
| Autosomal Polycystic kidney disease | 6 (7.3)             | 5 (2.7)                | 0.098         | 11 (4.1, 2.3–7.3)     | < 0.001      |
| Connective tissue diseases/Vasculitis | 0                   | 5 (2.7)                | 0.328         | 5 (1.9, 0.8–4.4)      | < 0.001      |
| Obstructive uropathy | 1 (1.2)               | 10 (5.4)               | 0.181         | 11 (4.1, 2.3–7.3)     | < 0.001      |
| Other*               | 2 (2.4)               | 7 (3.8)                | 0.726         | 9 (3.4, 1.8–6.4)      | < 0.001      |
| Unknown              | 13 (15.9)             | 18 (9.7)               | 0.150         | 31 (11.6, 8.3–16.1)   | < 0.001      |
| Serum creatinine (µmol/L), median (IQR) | 1044.5 (807–1403)     | 794.5 (587–1143)       | < 0.001       | 890 (670–1210)        | < 0.001      |

CI confidence interval; ESKD end-stage kidney disease; FSGS focal segmental glomerulosclerosis; HIV human immunodeficiency virus; HIVAN HIV associated nephropathy; IQR interquartile range; pmp, per-million-population; KRT kidney replacement therapy; SLE systemic lupus erythematosus

*Other causes included oxalosis, multiple myeloma, reflux nephropathy, hypoplastic kidneys and renal agenesis
elderly patients are being censored at the primary health care level. Regarding behavioural factors, the use of alcohol and smoking was significantly higher in the excluded group. According to the program guidelines, no one was excluded from the KRT program solely based on smoking or alcohol use. Nonetheless, these behavioural factors were present disproportionally in some patients excluded due to advanced organ dysfunction and substance abuse, including alcohol abuse. Social factors such as poor home circumstances and social support networks were not significantly higher in the excluded group. However, Cape Town reports reviewing the KRT programme in the Western Cape (WC) province, RSA, showed a significant influence of psychosocial factors in denying KRT access [11, 26]. This incongruity highlights differing tolerances and thresholds in evaluating such highly subjective social variables among the country’s different regions. The FS is predominantly a rural province with a significant indigent population and a gross domestic product lower than half that of WC. Nearly half of the adults in FS live below $2.5/day compared to a third in the WC [27]. Thus, it is conceivable that the FS employed a much more accommodative approach to determine what constitutes poor home circumstances and social support compared to that in the WC. A more liberal outlook would accommodate the expected higher proportion of FS residents living under rural and poor socioeconomic conditions.

| Table 3 Access to kidney replacement therapy |
|------------------------------------------------|
| | 2016 (n = 63) | 2017 (n = 101) | 2018 (n = 103) | p-value | Total (%; 95 % CI) | p-value |
| ESKD incidence rate, pmp (95 % CI) | 26.7 (20.0–33.4) | 41.4 (33.2–49.6) | 41.6 (33.4–49.8) | 0.006* 0.005b | 110.1 (96.6–123.6) |
| Accepted for dialysis, n (%) | 19/63 (30.2 %; 20.0–42.8 %) | 28/101 (27.7 %; 19.8–37.3 %) | 35/103 (34.0 %; 25.4–43.7 %) | 0.622 | 82/267 (30.7 %; 25.4–36.5 %) |
| KRT incidence, pmp (95 % CI) | 8.05 (4.98–11.1) | 11.5 (7.83–15.1) | 14.1 (10.3–18.0) | 0.230* 0.045b | 33.8 (27.7–39.9) |
| Age (years), median (IQR) | 36 (32–48) | 43 (35–50) | 40 (31–48) | 0.131 | 40 (33–49) |
| Hypertension, n (%) | 27/63 (42.8) | 50/101 (49.5) | 36/103 (35.0) | 0.109 | 113/267 (42.3; 36.5–48.4) |
| Diabetes, n (%) | 13/63 (20.6) | 21/101 (20.8) | 17/103 (16.5) | 0.693 | 51/267 (19.1; 14.8–24.3) |
| HIV, n (%) | 13/63 (20.6) | 30/101 (29.7) | 33/103 (32.0) | 0.270 | 76/267 (28.5; 23.4–34.2) |
| Serum creatinine (µmol/L), median (IQR) | 807 (529–1050) | 995.5 (708–1050) | 883 (650–1184) | 0.033 | 890 (670–1210) |
| Reason for exclusion from KRT programme |
| Concomitant organ dysfunction, n (%) | 25/44 (56.8) | 45/73 (61.6) | 39/68 (57.4) | 0.830 | 109/185 (58.9; 51.6–65.8) |
| Cardiac disease, n (%) | 9/44 (20.4) | 16/73 (21.9) | 22/68 (32.4) | 0.250 | 47/185 (25.4;19.6–32.2) |
| Vascular disease, n (%) | 7/44 (20.4) | 8/73 (23.3) | 12/68 (16.2) | 0.511 | 27/185 (14.6;10.2–20.5) |
| Pulmonary hypertension, n (%) | 9/44 (20.4) | 17/73 (23.3) | 11/68 (16.2) | 0.571 | 37/185 (20.0;14.8–26.4) |
| Lung disease, n (%) | 1/44 (2.3) | 5/73 (6.8) | 2/68 (2.9) | 0.467 | 8/185 (4.3; 2.2–8.4) |
| Noncompliance, n (%) | 4/44 (9.1) | 2/73 (2.7) | 4/68 (5.9) | 0.286 | 10/185 (5.4; 2.9–9.8) |
| Psychosocial, n (%) | 2/44 (4.6) | 2/73 (2.7) | 4/68 (5.9) | 0.660 | 8/185 (4.3; 2.2–8.4) |
| Substance abuse, n (%) | 2/44 (4.6) | 4/73 (5.5) | 1/68 (1.5) | 0.483 | 7/185 (3.8; 1.8–7.8) |
| Diabetes and age ≥ 50 years, n (%) | 3/44 (6.8) | 9/73 (12.3) | 2/68 (2.9) | 0.124 | 7/185 (4.3; 2.2–8.4) |
| Uncontrolled HIV*, n (%) | 3/44 (6.8) | 9/73 (12.3) | 9/68 (13.2) | 0.610 | 21/185 (11.4; 7.5–16.8) |
| Age ≥ 60 years, n (%) | 4/44 (9.1) | 7/73 (9.6) | 6/68 (8.8) | 1.000 | 17/185 (9.2; 5.8–14.3) |
| BMI ≥ 35 kg/m², n (%) | 3/44 (6.8) | 3/73 (4.1) | 7/68 (10.3) | 0.357 | 13/185 (7.0; 4.1–11.8) |
| Other, n (%) | 2/44 (4.6) | 3/73 (4.1) | 4/68 (5.9) | 0.910 | 9/185 (4.9; 2.5–9.1) |

BMI body mass index; CI confidence interval; ESKD end-stage kidney disease; HIV human immunodeficiency virus; IQR interquartile range; pmp per-million-population; KRT kidney replacement therapy
*Comparison of 2016 and 2017 incidence rates
bComparison of 2016 and 2018 incidence rates
Uncontrolled HIV refers to unsuppressed viral load
At the time of the KRT eligibility assessment, the mean creatinine level was documented as 890 μmol/L, with the accepted group having a significantly higher creatinine level than that in the excluded group (1044 vs. 794 μmol/L, p < 0.001). The lower creatinine levels among the excluded group were likely an artefact of non-referral of those with severe kidney failure and an obvious exclusion factor. These would have been palliated at the primary health care level if there were no suggestions of acute kidney failure. Those with suspicion of acute kidney disease were offered dialysis for up to 6 weeks. High levels of creatinine at referral suggest a prevailing problem of late presentation of patients with advanced CKD to the nephrology unit. Failure of early identification of CKD and timely referral for tertiary kidney care highlights a primary health care deficiency in the FS public health care system and RSA. A late referral is associated with adverse morbidity and mortality outcomes leading to unfavourable socioeconomic consequences, which can be counterproductive in a resource-limited environment [28, 29]. A shortage of nephrologists, most of whom are concentrated in urban centres, and poor awareness of CKD among primary health care providers are likely underlying factors that contribute to the late referral of ESKD [15, 30]. The diverse and immense patient burdens faced by the few primary health care providers in rural and peri-urban health care centres constitute another possible contributing factor to inadequate surveillance and timely identification of CKD.

Prevention and early CKD identification are particularly important among high-risk groups such as those with hypertension, diabetes, and HIV [31]. Screening for proteinuria and reductions in GFR are cost-effective measures that allow for early diagnosis and intervention to reduce the risk of ESKD and the associated cardiovascular events and mortality [32]. Although population-wide urinalysis screening of working adults and school-aged children aided in lowering the incidence of glomerulonephritis and ESKD in Japan [33], the cost-effectiveness of mass screening strategies in resource-limited low-to-middle-income countries is controversial [31, 34]. Both primary and secondary prevention strategies depend on the available local resources [35]. Adequately trained primary healthcare personnel who can recognise and manage both communicable and non-communicable diseases is critical for a sustainable prevention strategy. The RSA public health sector is fortunate to have free and general availability of major drugs needed to manage hypertension, diabetes, HIV, and other common disorders. This essential drug availability includes drugs vital to early CKD care, such as inhibitors of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system. However,
shortages of appropriately capacitated human capital are crucial barriers that impede the success of prevention strategies in the FS and RSA as a whole [15]. Investing in the capacitation of the public health care system and promoting clinical practice standards that encourage regular screening and initiation of early interventions against kidney injury, communicable diseases, and non-communicable diseases, among others, are vital in mitigating the risk posed by ESKD.

Both communicable and non-communicable diseases were associated with the reported cause of kidney disease in our cohort. Hypertension and HIV were the most prevalent comorbidities and commonest attributed causes of ESKD, with no significant differences between the accepted and the non-accepted groups. Likewise, hypertension has been reported as the commonest cause of ESKD among those receiving KRT in the RSA [36]. Furthermore, hypertension has been noted to be the foremost cause of kidney disease in SSA [13]. However, physician-reported ESKD causes attributed to hypertension are notoriously unreliable, as hypertension is both a cause and a consequence of ESKD, especially when the presentation is late and without the benefit of a kidney biopsy. By contrast, diabetes has been reported to be the most common cause of ESKD in both United States (USRDS) and European (ERA-EDTA) kidney registries [25, 37]. In our cohort, diabetes mellitus was the third-commonest cause of ESKD and was significantly more common among the excluded group than among the KRT-offered group. However, this result was likely influenced by the non-referral sorting of patients with diabetes who are older than 50 years, as it is a recognised exclusion parameter. This bias likely resulted in an underappreciation of diabetes-associated kidney disease in our cohort. The prevalence of diabetes and its associated complications such as kidney diseases is expected to increase, with SSA projected to have the largest increase [38, 39]. As diabetes and the related ESKD are linked to significant cardiovascular morbidity and mortality, considerable associated socioeconomic and public health burdens can be imposed on societies who can least afford the additional burden [40, 41].

Given the shortage of dialysis machines and health care personnel and the high cost associated with KRT, the FS KRT rationing programme attempts to accommodate those who can potentially benefit the most from KRT. During the study, the KRT incidence increased by 75 %, from 8.05 pmp in 2016 to 14.2 pmp in 2018. However, the acceptance rate did not change significantly during the same period, with two-thirds of the referred patients with ESKD not being offered dialysis. Even with the reported underestimated burden of ESKD, it is evident that the FS KRT program cannot support the indigent population’s KRT needs. A systemic review by Liyanage et al. estimated Africa to have the lowest KRT access rates in the world, ranging from 9 to 16 % [14]. Considering those who were not referred for KRT in UAH, it is plausible that the FS’s true KRT access rate is close to Africa’s projected range. This dire situation highlights the need for RSA to invest in cost-effective ways to increase KRT access progressively; otherwise, efforts directed at early diagnosis would be futile in those who eventually progress to ESKD. Further, the early awareness of CKD and the realisation of limited access to KRT when needed produce an appreciable emotional toll on the health caregiver, patient, and family.

On logistic regression analysis, age and diabetes mellitus were shown to be independent predictors of exclusion from the KRT program. These two factors are associated with significant morbidity and mortality in patients on KRT, and thus carry an extra-economic burden on the health care system [42]. Thus, exclusion based on these factors avoids the added risk levied by them. This utilitarian outlook is one approach to addressing the ethical dilemma faced by many SSA countries of increasing health care demands in an environment with scarce prevailing resources. However, denying KRT access to those who may have contributed the most to society over the years while favouring the young who can still contribute economically is ethically challenging. Furthermore, as the FS and RSA KRT programme is based on transplantability with age no longer a widely recognised contraindication to transplantation globally, this exclusion factor is a contradiction [43]. Reports from transplant programmes with universal access show that older adults benefit significantly in terms of survival and quality of life from transplantation, with the average age of transplantation at above 60 years in many western countries [25, 44, 45]. Although some reports have noted increased mortality and hospitalisation rates associated with age, the reported graft and patient survival rates are more than 90 and 77 % at 1 and 5 years, respectively [46–48]. The age contradiction has persisted due to RSA’s resource constraints, as in many SSA countries.

Concomitant advanced and irreversible organ dysfunction, including cardiovascular and cardiopulmonary diseases, was the commonest reason for exclusion from the KRT programme. Cardiac disease and pulmonary hypertension were the commonest advanced organ dysfunctions cited as reasons for KRT access denial. Hypertension was identified as a significant predictor of concomitant advanced organ dysfunction resulting in exclusion. This association is likely a consequence of cardiovascular complications associated with hypertension. Likewise, cardiovascular complications in ESKD are associated with increased morbidity and mortality and can potentially impose additional socioeconomic risk on the health care system. Uncontrolled HIV, defined by suppressed viral load, was the second reason cited for
denial of KRT. This exclusion is based on the high morbid-
ity and mortality associated with uncontrolled HIV
among ESKD patients on dialysis [49–51]. In 2016, the
RSA government introduced a universal test-and-treat
policy, making available antiretroviral treatment to all
who test positive for HIV irrespective of CD4 count.
This strategy is hoped to progressively mitigate against
the complications, morbidity and mortality associated
with HIV infection [52]. Likewise, these universal provi-
sions should alleviate the presentation of patients with
ESKD and uncontrolled HIV, as this strategy’s benefits
are gradually realised.

Our study’s main limitation was that it was a single-
centre retrospective study with several incomplete files
being excluded in the analysis, thereby limiting the gen-
eralisability of the findings. Some of the incomplete files
were of patients who died shortly after admission to the
UAH before a decision on the eligibility for KRT could
be made. The non-referral of some patients with ESKD
to the UAH with obvious exclusion factors likely con-
tributed to the underestimation of ESKD incidences and
KRT access rates in the FS. However, this study’s
strength is that the UAH is the only centre that pro-
cesses KRT access for the entire FS province, allowing
for KRT incidence rates of the province’s public sector
to be readily attainable.

Conclusions
The FS public health care sector serves a mostly young
and economically able but indigent portion of the soci-
ety. Both non-communicable and communicable dis-
eseases, including hypertension, diabetes, and HIV,
contributed to the causes of ESKD. Although two-thirds
of the patients are still excluded from accessing KRT,
the FS provincial KRT incidence grew by 75 % between
2016 and 2018. Age, diabetes mellitus, and advanced
organ dysfunction were significant factors for KRT ac-
cess denial. Hypertension was the major independent
risk factor for developing concomitant advanced organ
dysfunction resulting in exclusion from dialysis access.
All major ESKD aetiologies in our study were potentially
preventable and manageable. Strategies aimed at early
identification and prevention at the primary health care
level may help alleviate some of the burdens imposed by
ESKD on the RSA public health system. However, more
resources are needed to meet the increasing demands
for KRT. Likewise, more country-level studies are re-
quired to define further the epidemiology of CKD and
contributors to ESKD in RSA.
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