Metal@Silica Yolk–Shell Nanostructures as Versatile Bifunctional Nanocatalysts
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ABSTRACT

Recent developments in nanochemistry offer precise morphology control of nanomaterials, which has significant impacts in the field of heterogeneous catalysis. Rational design of bifunctional catalysts can influence various aspects of catalytic properties. In this review, a new class of bifunctional catalysts with a metal@silica yolk–shell nanostructure is introduced. This structure has many advantages as a heterogeneous catalyst since it ensures a homogeneous environment around each metal core, and particle sintering is effectively eliminated during high temperature reactions. The catalysts exhibit high activity and recyclability in gas- and solution-phase reactions. It is anticipated that appropriate selection of bifunctional components and optimal structural control will significantly further enhance the catalytic properties, and enable target reaction-oriented development of new catalysts.
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1. Introduction

Currently, most heterogeneous catalytic systems use bifunctional structures for enhancement of catalytic performances in various reactions [1, 2]. A bifunctional catalyst is defined as a catalyst structure comprising both active metal nanoparticles and a high surface area support [3]. In a traditional view, the metal nanoparticles behave as the actual catalytically active surface where the reaction occurs, and the support stabilizes the active nanoparticles and prevents leaching or severe particle agglomeration. But recent studies of heterogeneous catalysts have explored possible roles of the support as another active component. The support can transport reactants onto the catalytic surface, control diffusion rates of the reactants and the products, and provide protons or electrons to modify total reactivity. In some cases, the support reacts with the metal nanoparticles on their interface and generates active components which actually carry out the reaction [4, 5]. Therefore, the term, “bifunctional”, is appropriate since it expresses the fact that both the metal nanoparticles and the support independently carry out multiple functions during catalytic reactions.

Since nanotechnology became a major topic of research, numerous synthetic processes have been developed in order to adjust the size, shape, and surface structure of nanoscopic objects. Morphology control of metal nanoparticles has been widely studied in various ways [6, 7]. On the other hand, the synthesis of mesoporous materials has rapidly evolved by developing ways of controlling three-dimensional
arrangements of “vacancies”, which can afford metal oxide materials with high surface area and regular porosity [8]. These mesoporous metal oxides are regarded as excellent support materials for the active metal nanoparticles in heterogeneous catalysts. To generate a uniform dispersion of the metal nanoparticles on the metal oxide matrix, the incipient wetness method—in which metal precursors are adsorbed on the pores and reduced by thermal and chemical treatments—has been developed and commonly used [9, 10]. This simple process is suitable for large-scale synthesis approaching industrial use with a variety of metal components, and it has already been used in many heterogeneous reactions. However, some fundamental limits exist in this process: diffusion rates of the reactants and the products are significantly reduced for the particles that are deeply buried in the support matrix. Moreover, the morphology and size distribution of the metal nanoparticles are very difficult to uniformly control inside the pore channels, although the average diameter of the particles can be adjusted within a limited range by altering the average pore size of the support.

In order to tailor the morphology of both the active nanoparticles and the high surface area support, a couple of rational approaches have been developed. In the first method, the active nanoparticles and the mesoporous support are independently prepared, and mixed mechanically to yield a bifunctional hybrid structure [11]. This method, so-called “capillary inclusion”, using a simple process involving low-power sonication, has proven to be surprisingly successful and versatile. The second method is “nanoparticle encapsulation”, where a mixture of structurally well-defined metal nanoparticles and a silica precursor forms a silica network under hydrothermal conditions [12]. The resulting silica matrix contains the metal nanoparticles within it. In both cases, the active metal nanoparticles show well-controlled morphology with narrow size distributions and, after calcination, catalyze reactions with high activity.

Although these methods can tune morphology of the active nanoparticles as well as the high surface area support, problems such as irregular diffusion rates and reaction heterogeneity on the catalysts have not yet been overcome. In addition, most catalytic processes in the petroleum and chemical industries are normally performed at high temperatures above 573 K, and under these conditions, the tiny metal nanoparticles in the catalysts tend to sinter which leads to a significant loss in catalytic activity. Even inside the silica matrix, surface melting of the particles at high temperature leads to their migration on the surface and agglomeration with neighboring particles to yield large clusters with lower surface area. In order to resolve these fundamental problems, a new concept in catalyst design is required.

If each nanoparticle has a homogeneous environment that includes the support and vacancies, the reaction behavior on each catalyst particle should be nearly identical. Current synthetic techniques in nanotechnology can provide high structural uniformity of the nanostructure at a single particle level [6]. Based on this idea, a yolk–shell nanoparticle structure was designed for a new type bifunctional catalyst as depicted in Fig. 1. The term “yolk–shell” is derived from an egg, which contains an egg yolk and white inside an outer solid shell. In spherical nanoparticles, the yolk–shell structure has a void between the core and the shell forming a hierarchical “core–void–shell” arrangement, which corresponds to the “yolk–white–shell” arrangement in an egg [13]. It is also known as a “nanorattle”, because the core is mobile when the structure is filled with a solvent [14], but nowadays most researchers use the “yolk–shell” terminology since it facilitates comparison with core–shell nanoparticles. When the metal core is surrounded by a silica shell with a sufficient void, the yolk–shell nanostructure can be regarded as a bifunctional catalyst that contains both metal and silica (or metal@silica).

Such a metal@silica yolk–shell nanostructure has many advantages for heterogeneous catalytic reactions for the following reasons.

(i) The catalytic reaction occurs on the surface of active metal nanoparticles located at the center. The size, shape, and surface structure of the metal particles can be precisely tuned by various synthetic techniques before coating with silica.

(ii) The reactants, products, and solvents can penetrate through the pores of the silica shells. The diffusion rates are controllable by adjusting pore density and average pore size of the silica shells.
(iii) All reactions are carried out in the void inside the shell. In other words, the yolk–shell structure acts as a “nanoreactor framework”, which contains sufficient space and catalytically active surface within its structure. Each of the active nanoparticles experiences a homogeneous environment in a void surrounded by the silica shell.

(iv) In comparison with core–shell nanoparticles, the yolk–shell structure uses the entire metal core surface, not being covered with the silica shell. But strong interactions between the metal core and the shell are maintained through physical or chemical contacts in the structure.

Numerous methods for the synthesis of yolk–shell nanoparticles (or nanorattles) have been developed [15–17]; however, studies of their practical application in heterogeneous catalysis have rarely been reported. Yin et al. studied the formation of metal oxide hollow nanoparticles using the Kirkendall effect, and employed it to synthesize Pt@CoO yolk–shell nanoparticles [13]. This structure was used in gas phase reactions such as ethylene hydrogenation, and showed some catalytic activity. Joo et al. recently reported that Pt nanoparticles coated with mesoporous silica (Pt@m-SiO₂) exhibited remarkable stability in high temperature reactions [18]. Au@ZrO₂ nanoparticles have also been tested in high temperature CO oxidation reactions [19, 20], and rhodium nanoparticles encapsulated by porous carbon have been employed for hydrogenation of aromatic compounds [21]. Because of the many advantages of these core–shell and yolk–shell structures, many researchers are now involved in the study of such bifunctional nanocatalysts.

In this review, we focus on the synthesis, transformation, and catalytic properties of metal@silica yolk–shell nanostructures. Silica is commonly used as a support material in bifunctional catalytic systems, because of its structural robustness and strong interaction with metal nanoparticles. We have prepared Au@SiO₂ model catalysts as proof-of-concept of the yolk–shell nanocatalysts. The Au@SiO₂ structure can be chemically tailored to enhance catalytic activities. The Ni@SiO₂ yolk–shell nanostructure has also been synthesized and employed for high temperature steam reforming of methane. In addition, Ni@SiO₂ nanocatalysts with tiny metal cores showed high reactivity in solution-phase organic reactions. Under hydrothermal conditions, the tiny nickel cores reacted with silica to give a nickel phyllosilicate phase, which led to an interesting transformation into a new bifunctional structure. Chemical conversion and the resulting changes in reactivity of the nanocatalysts indicate that rational catalyst design is critical if catalytic performance is to be optimized.

2. Proof-of-concept: Au@SiO₂ yolk–shell nanoparticles as a model catalytic system

The basic concept of a “nanoreactor” was introduced for Pt@CoO nanoparticles, but the CoO shell is not a support that is useful for many reactions [13]. If CoO can be substituted by common metal oxide supports such as silica, titania, and zirconia, the resulting metal@metal oxide structures would be suitable for many gas- and solution-phase reactions. Silica is the first choice among shell materials, because it is a well-known support and readily forms a uniform coating on the metal surface. Gold is our choice of metal core, due to its controllable size with a narrow size distribution and high stability against harsh reaction conditions. Moreover, the chemical nature of gold is quite distinct from that of silica, which makes it possible to selectively modify only one component in gold/silica hybrid materials. For instance, thiols can...
exclusively functionalize the gold surface without reacting with the silica. Using gold and silica as components, we have developed bifunctional Au@SiO$_2$ yolk–shell nanostructures as a model catalyst, and examined their properties in order to clarify the usefulness of this structure for heterogeneous catalytic systems. Au@SiO$_2$ core–shell and yolk–shell nanoparticles have not previously been used as nanocatalysts for heterogeneous reactions, although their structures have been reported in the literature [22]. We have shown that the materials catalyzed the reduction of $p$-nitrophenol with pseudo-first order kinetics, and the reaction rate could be increased by an order of magnitude by appropriate chemical modifications. These results confirm that such a yolk–shell nanostructure is excellent as a versatile nanoreactor framework for heterogeneous catalytic reactions.

2.1 Synthesis of Au@SiO$_2$ yolk–shell nanostructure with variable core sizes

Hollow nanostructures are expected to be useful in many applications because of their high surface area and ability to store and deliver materials, and numerous synthetic protocols for hollow structures have been developed thus far [23, 24]. The yolk–shell nanoparticles have two parts—the metal cores and the shell layers—and thus the syntheses involve the formation of hollow shells in the presence of the metal cores [25]. Synthetic methods for yolk–shell nanoparticles can be divided into two types, manipulation of the core and manipulation of the shell. In the case of Au@SiO$_2$, we used selective etching of the metal cores in metal@silica core–shell nanoparticles [26]. This method has the advantages of being a simple procedure and has wide applicability, because most metal cores are soluble in weak acids.

Gold nanoparticles were synthesized by a well-known polyol process in the presence of polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) in refluxing 1,5-pentanediol [27]. The resulting spherical particles were coated with silica shells by means of the Stöber method in 2-propanol [28], yielding Au@SiO$_2$ core–shell nanoparticles as shown in Fig. 2(b). The silica shells are evenly coated on the spherical cores with an average core size of 120 nm ± 10 nm and shell thickness of 32 nm ± 2 nm.

4Au + 8CN$^-$ + O$_2$ + 4H$^+$ → 4Au(CN)$_2^-$ + 2H$_2$O  \[(1)\]

By repeating the KCN treatment, the average size of the gold cores could be reduced to 104 ± 9 (Fig. 2(c)), 67 ± 8 (Fig. 2(d)), and 43 nm ± 7 nm (Fig. 2(e)). As the gold core size decreased, the core surface became rougher with sharp edges and facets, owing to irregular etching on the different surface faces.

In order to confirm the permeability of the silica shells, diffusion rates through the silica were measured using the surface plasmon resonance peak of the gold cores. The peak maximum in the UV–vis extinction spectrum was monitored for the Au@SiO$_2$ yolk–shell particles in 2-propanol (refractive index ($n$) = 1.377).
When a small amount of quinoline with high refractive index \( (n = 1.62) \) was added to the particle dispersion, the original peak maximum at 594 nm gradually shifted to 600 nm and saturated at that wavelength in 30 min (Fig. 3(a)). In contrast, the extinction peak of the Au@SiO\(_2\) core–shell particles did not shift at all under the same conditions. The diffusion coefficient through the silica shell can be calculated from the extinction shift using Eq. (2)

\[
D = (\pi R^2 / 36) \times (V_f^2 / t)
\]  

where \( D \) is the diffusion coefficient, \( R \) is the radius of the silica hollow shell (82 nm), and \( V_f \) is the volume fraction of 2-propanol in the solvent mixture. The diffusion coefficient, \( D \), was estimated to be \( 4.74 \times 10^{-19} \) m\(^2\)/s, which is comparable to the value of \( \sim 4.76 \times 10^{-19} \) m\(^2\)/s reported for the polymer shell in Au@poly (benzyl methacrylate) yolk–shell nanoparticles [15].

This diffusion may result from the presence of defects or less polymerized sites in the amorphous silica. It indicates that the reactants, products, and solvents can move through the silica layers during the reaction, and fully access the active metal core surface.

2.2 Study of Au@SiO\(_2\) yolk–shell nanocatalysts in \( p \)-nitrophenol reduction

To demonstrate the “nanoreactor” concept, the Au@SiO\(_2\) yolk–shell nanoparticles were employed as a catalyst for the reduction of \( p \)-nitrophenol by NaBH\(_4\) [29, 30]. The reduction did not occur in the absence of the yolk–shell catalysts. The reaction progress was quantitatively monitored by the decrease in UV absorption of \( p \)-nitrophenol at 400 nm and the simultaneous increase in the intensity of the UV absorption peak of the product, \( p \)-aminophenol, at 300 nm. Au@SiO\(_2\) core–shell and yolk–shell nanoparticles with different core diameters were used for the catalytic reactions. The ratio of \( C_t \) and \( C_0 \), where \( C_t \) and \( C_0 \) are \( p \)-nitrophenol concentrations at time \( t \) and 0, respectively, was measured from the ratio of the absorbances, \( A_t \) and \( A_0 \). As shown in Fig. 3(b), a linear relationship between \( \ln(C_t/C_0) \) and the reaction time was observed for all the catalysts. The reaction followed pseudo-first order kinetics, because the BH\(_4^–\) concentration remained almost constant during the reaction since an excess of NaBH\(_4\) was employed. From the slopes of these straight lines, the rate constants were estimated from diffusion-limited pseudo-first order kinetics using Eqs. (3) and (4). The concentrations of \( p \)-nitrophenol inside and outside the silica were assumed to be constant, and a concentration gradient was generated in the silica shell. In the equations

\[
V_{\text{outside}} (C_{\text{out},0} - C_{\text{out},t}) / t = A_{\text{outside}} D_{\text{eff}} / l \ (C_{\text{out}} - C_{\text{in}})  
\]  

\[
A_{\text{outside}} D_{\text{eff}} / l \ (C_{\text{out}} - C_{\text{in}}) = k C_{\text{in}} V_{\text{inside}}  
\]  

\( l \) is the silica shell thickness (22 nm), \( V_{\text{outside}} \) and \( A_{\text{outside}} \) are the volume and surface area of the catalyst particle \( (R = 82 \) nm), and \( V_{\text{inside}} \) is the volume of the vacancy inside the silica. \( C_{\text{out},0}, C_{\text{out},t} \) and \( C_{\text{in}} \) are the concentrations outside the silica shell at \( t = 0 \) and \( t \), and the concentration inside the silica shell, respectively.

![Figure 3](image-url) (a) Changes in UV–vis extinction spectra of Au@SiO\(_2\) colloidal dispersions in 2-propanol on adding quinoline; (b) Plot of \( \ln(C_t/C_0) \) versus time for each yolk–shell framework. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [26]. Copyright Wiley VCH, 2008
$D_{\text{eff}}$ is the diffusion coefficient ($4.74 \times 10^{-19} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$). $C_{ \text{in}}$ was calculated from Eq. (3), and the rate constant of the reduction reaction, $k$, was estimated from Eq. (4).

The resulting reaction rate constant decreased from $1.4 \times 10^{-2}$ to $3.9 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$, as the core diameter was reduced from 104 to 43 nm. The reaction rate was faster for the larger gold cores due to their large surface area. However, the turnover frequency (TOF) of the catalysts increased nearly five times from 6.6 to 36 s$^{-1}$ on reduction of the particle diameter from 104 to 43 nm. This opposite trend reveals that the small cores with large surface roughness have more active surface sites such as edges and kinks, as observed in Fig. 2, which leads to higher reaction activity compared to that of the catalysts with larger cores. In the core–shell particles, the silica shell completely covered the gold surface, and reduced the total reaction rate. Freestanding gold nanoparticles, prepared by dissolving the silica shells with hydrogen fluoride, exhibited similar size-dependent reaction properties, but the total reaction rates were diminished by ~40% with severe particle aggregation. Apparently, the outer silica shells in the Au@SiO$_2$ yolk–shell nanoparticles enhanced the dispersion stability in polar solvents, so that high activity was maintained during solution-phase reactions. The catalyst particles were readily separated from the reaction mixture by simple centrifugation, and could be dispersed again in water by brief sonication.

2.3 Tuning of porosity and diffusion rate of Au@SiO$_2$ yolk–shell nanocatalysts

The yolk–shell nanostructure is a structurally well-defined system, which is almost unique among heterogeneous catalysts. Therefore, precise chemical modification of both the metal cores and silica layers is possible, which should lead to enhanced catalytic properties. In general, the porosity of the support directly affects surface adsorption, catalyst dispersion, and diffusion rates of the reagents. It is known that the porosity can be readily controlled by adjusting the surfactants and reaction conditions during silica polymerization [31].

In the Au@SiO$_2$ yolk–shell model catalysts, we tried to modify the silica shell layers by chemical treatment [32]. Long alkyl chain siloxanes such as C$_{18}$TMS (octadecyltrimethoxysilane) were used as a pore-generating reagent, a so-called “porogen”, during silica coating on the gold spherical particles. The presence of the porogen led to a sparse silica network owing to the alkyl chains, and irregular pores were generated after calcination at high temperature [16, 33]. The molar ratio of C$_{18}$TMS with respect to the silica precursor (tetraethoxysilane, TEOS) used in the reaction, ([C$_{18}$TMS]/[TEOS]), was varied from 0.06 to 0.08, 0.10, and 0.12. The gold particles were coated with silica shells using the Stöber method under otherwise identical conditions. The resulting Au@SiO$_2$ core–shell nanoparticles had average core diameters of 102 nm ± 8 nm and silica shell thicknesses of 17 nm ± 2 nm.

Selective etching of the gold cores by treatment with KCN afforded yolk–shell type nanostructures with average core sizes of 76–80 nm, as shown in Fig. 4.

![Figure 4](image-url) (a) Synthesis of Au@SiO$_2$ yolk–shell nanocatalysts with controlled porosity. (b)–(e) TEM images of Au@SiO$_2$ yolk–shell nanocatalysts; The [C$_{18}$TMS]/[TEOS] ratios used in the synthesis were (b) 0.06, (c) 0.08, (d) 0.10, and (e) 0.12; All scale bars represent 100 nm. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [32]. Copyright American Chemical Society, 2008.
The diffusion coefficients and their dependence on the [C18TMS]/[TEOS] ratio were evaluated from the changes in the surface plasmon resonance of the gold cores [15]. The UV–vis extinction shifts were monitored for dispersions of each of the yolk–shell particles in 2-propanol on addition of quinoline, as described above. Shifts of 6 nm, from 598 to 604 nm, were observed for all samples. The diffusion rate of each Au@SiO2 yolk–shell nanostructure was calculated from Eq. (2). When the [C18TMS]/[TEOS] ratio was increased from 0.06 to 0.12, the diffusion coefficient of the silica shells increased linearly as shown in Table 1, with an overall increase of more than threefold.

The reduction of o-nitroaniline by NaBH4 was selected as a model reaction that could show diffusion-controlled kinetics with the Au@SiO2 yolk–shell nanocatalysts [30]. The reaction followed a pseudo-first order pathway in the presence of excess BH4⁻. Strong UV–vis absorption peaks of o-nitroaniline were observed at 280 and 410 nm, whereas the latter peak was completely absent after reduction to benzene-diamine. The Au@SiO2 catalysts prepared without C18TMS did not show any catalytic activity. As the porosity and diffusion coefficient of the silica shell increased, the TOF of the catalysts increased from 5.1 to 35 s⁻¹, indicating that the reactions were essentially diffusion-limited through the porous silica shell layers. The reactions followed first-order kinetics in all cases, exhibiting a linear relationship between ln(Ct/C0) and reaction time, as shown in Fig. 5. The observed rate constants (kobs) were directly estimated from the line slopes, and the rate constants on the gold surface (kAu) could be calculated from Eq. (4).

![Figure 5](image_url) Plots of ln(Ct/C0) versus time and the rate constants (kobs) for yolk–shell nanocatalysts. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [32]. Copyright American Chemical Society, 2008.

| [C18TMS]/[TEOS] | kobs (s⁻¹) | kAu (s⁻¹) |
|-----------------|------------|------------|
| 0.06            | 1.3 × 10⁻⁴ | 1.1 × 10⁻³ |
| 0.08            | 1.6 × 10⁻⁴ | 1.4 × 10⁻³ |
| 0.10            | 2.1 × 10⁻⁴ | 1.3 × 10⁻³ |
| 0.12            | 7.2 × 10⁻⁴ | 1.4 × 10⁻³ |

* The same number of particles (1.1 × 10¹⁰) was used for each reaction. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [32]. Copyright American Chemical Society, 2008.

Table 1 Diffusion coefficients and turnover frequencies (TOFs) of the Au@SiO2 yolk–shell nanocatalysts

| [C18TMS]/[TEOS] | Diffusion coefficient (m²/s) | TOF (s⁻¹) |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|-----------|
| 0.06            | 5.9 × 10⁻¹⁹                 | 5.1       |
| 0.08            | 8.5 × 10⁻¹⁹                 | 11        |
| 0.10            | 1.1 × 10⁻¹⁸                 | 14        |
| 0.12            | 2.1 × 10⁻¹⁸                 | 35        |

* The same number of particles (1.1 × 10¹⁰) was used for each reaction; TOF was calculated from the corresponding spheres using the atomic diameter (135 pm) of bulk gold metal. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [32]. Copyright American Chemical Society, 2008.

shown in Table 2, the value of kobs increased 5.5 times when the shell porosity was increased by increasing the [C18TMS]/[TEOS] ratio from 0.06 to 0.12. The value of kAu was almost constant, ~1.3 × 10⁻³ s⁻¹, for the different samples. This indicates that the reaction rate is only dependent upon the diffusion rate through the silica layers.

2.4 Tuning of surface functionality of the gold cores in Au@SiO2 yolk–shell nanocatalysts

In nature, enzymes are the most efficient catalysts. Some reactions normally requiring high pressure and temperature can be performed under ambient conditions in the presence of naturally occurring enzymes. Enzymes have reaction pockets involving active metal centers, and the amino acid residues close to the
metal centers interact with the reactants and reaction intermediates, stabilizing the structures and lowering activation energy during the reaction. Special functional groups in the residues behave as molecular recognition sites to provide excellent selectivity as well as high activity in specific reactions [34].

We tried to mimic these enzyme structures by adding functionality on the gold surface, in order to enhance total reaction rates. Thiols were selectively bound to the gold surface without any interaction with the silica [35], and then a bifunctional ligand such as 3-mercaptopropionic acid (3-MPA) could be used to decorate the gold surface with terminal carboxylic acid groups through the formation of strong S–Au bonds (Fig. 6(a)) [36]. The Au@SiO₂ yolk–shell nanocatalysts modified with 3-MPA (Fig. 6(b)) were employed as catalysts for the reduction of o-nitroaniline with NaBH₄. As shown in Fig. 6(c), the rate constant reached a maximum value of 1.4 × 10⁻³ s⁻¹, some 2.4 times larger than the value for the unfunctionalized catalyst. It is possible that the carboxylate group of 3-MPA present under the basic conditions of the reaction can form strong hydrogen bonds with o-nitroaniline [37], and give a longer retention time of the reactants on the active gold surface yielding higher reaction rates. The rate constant decreased at high 3-MPA concentrations, presumably because of blocking of the exposed gold surface to the reactants.

By a combination of maximizing the shell porosity and the optimum core functionalization, the Au@SiO₂ yolk–shell catalysts exhibited a maximum 13-fold enhancement in rate constant compared to the value (1.1 × 10⁻⁴ s⁻¹) for the unmodified catalyst. This clearly shows that appropriate chemical treatment of the catalyst structure can lead to large increases in reaction activity.

3. High temperature stability: Ni@SiO₂ yolk–shell nanocatalysts for steam methane reforming

As well as reaction activity and selectivity, thermal stability and reusability are important practical issues affecting the use of heterogeneous catalysts. Most industrially valuable reactions are carried out at high temperature and pressure. Under these conditions, small nanoparticles are readily sintered, becoming large clusters, which reduces the surface area of the catalysts and consequently leads to a decrease in reactivity. Choosing appropriate support materials and additives to prevent this sintering problem demand a large number of trial-and-error reaction tests.

If the active metal particles cannot approach close to one other, particle agglomeration will be essentially eliminated. In the case of active metal particles inside mesoporous supports, the movement of particles is restricted inside the pore channels, and thereby good particle dispersion is maintained at relatively high temperature. However, the particles are not sufficiently stable to prevent sintering due to surface melting and migration at temperatures exceeding >623 K [11].

The yolk–shell structure should be an excellent catalyst for high temperature reactions. The active nanoparticles are completely surrounded by the robust silica shells, and the particles cannot approach neighboring particles even at temperatures higher than that of surface melting. Because of the porous silica shells, the reactants and products can still migrate in and out of the catalyst structure, and the reaction activity will not decrease during reactions.

Figure 6 (a) Chemical functionalization of Au@SiO₂ yolk–shell nanocatalysts; (b) TEM image of Au@SiO₂ yolk–shell nanocatalysts after the addition of 3-MPA (the scale bar represents 200 nm) and (c) plots of the rate constant for reduction of o-nitroaniline with NaBH₄ versus the amount of 3-MPA used for functionalization (the dotted line represents the rate constant (5.8 × 10⁻⁴ s⁻¹) of the unfunctionalized catalyst). Reproduced with permission from Ref. [32]. Copyright American Chemical Society, 2008.
under harsh conditions. If coke is formed and blocks the active surface, thermal treatment with oxygen will remove it, and hydrogen reduction can regenerate a clean catalyst surface. We discuss this thermal stability issue using Ni@SiO$_2$ yolk–shell nanocatalysts, and show a promising application of the catalysts in steam methane reforming, a reaction that generates an alternative energy source—hydrogen—in high efficiency [39].

3.1 Synthesis and characterization of Ni@SiO$_2$ yolk–shell nanocatalysts

The synthesis of Ni@SiO$_2$ yolk–shell nanostructures involved similar steps to those for the Au@SiO$_2$ yolk–shell nanocatalysts (Fig. 7(a)). Nickel nanoparticles were synthesized through a modified polyol process in the presence of PVP. The resulting nanoparticles were spheres with an average diameter of 37 nm ± 3 nm, and were aligned to become necklace-like structures [40]. The particles were coated with silica using the Stöber method (Fig. 7(b)). In order to generate pores in the silica shell, C$_{18}$TMS was added to the reaction mixture during the coating process. The average thickness of the silica shells was measured to be 7.1 nm ± 0.4 nm. The nickel cores in the silica-coated nickel nanoparticles were partially etched by aqueous hydrochloric acid. Precise adjustment of the hydrochloric acid concentration allowed nickel cores with different diameters to be obtained. Fig. 7(c) shows that the nickel cores form a yolk–shell structure with silica, and the silica shells are connected with neighboring ones to form a spawn-like structure. The average core size was estimated to be 31 nm ± 3 nm.

The Ni@SiO$_2$ yolk–shell nanoparticles were treated at 773 K for 2 h under a hydrogen atmosphere. Even after the high temperature treatment, the structure maintained its yolk–shell morphology without agglomeration, as shown in Fig. 7(d). The nickel loading content was measured to be 89 wt%; the material is thus unique in allowing the nanostructure to be preserved at such a high metal content. The CO adsorption isotherm exhibited a Langmuir-type behavior with a surface area of 29.0 m$^2$/g. The average metal core size was estimated to be 23.2 nm, in good agreement with the particle size of 24.0 nm measured from the TEM images. Based on N$_2$ adsorption results, the estimated Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) surface area was 275 m$^2$/g. The average pore size calculated from the desorption branch by the Barrett–Joyner–Halenda (BJH) method was 3.9 nm.

The synthesis of Ni@SiO$_2$ yolk–shell nanoparticles could be scaled up to gram quantities, and separation from self-seeded silica particles was very easy by using a magnet (Fig. 7(e)).

3.2 Steam methane reforming reactions with Ni@SiO$_2$ yolk–shell nanocatalysts

Hydrogen is regarded as a next-generation energy source for substitution of fossil fuels [41]. Ideally hydrogen should be generated by carbon-free technology, but this has not yet been fully developed and reached commercialization. In the current situation, hydrogen generation by the most effective way is important in order not to waste valuable energy. Methane reforming with steam or carbon dioxide is a highly efficient method for generating hydrogen gas [42]. Nickel nanoparticles on supports
are known to be the most suitable catalyst for steam reforming reactions, because of their reasonable activity with an affordable price [43]. But the serious problem of nickel catalysts is catalyst deactivation either by coke formation and/or by particle sintering [44]. Conventional metal catalysts are readily agglomerated under steam methane reforming conditions at high temperature. The yolk–shell type of catalyst design should resolve this sintering problem, and enhance the catalyst life-time and reusability for such high temperature reactions.

The Ni@SiO₂ yolk–shell nanocatalysts were employed for steam methane reforming with a high steam to methane ratio to prevent severe carbon deposition. Under these reaction condition (H₂O:CH₄ = 4:1) at 973 K, the yolk–shell catalysts exhibited a constant methane conversion of >90% for 4 h, nearly approaching the theoretical maximum value (Fig. 8). The catalysts were regenerated by hydrogen treatment at 973 K for 1 h, and were used three times without any loss of methane conversion. In contrast, conventional Ni/mesostructured cellular foam (MCF) catalysts that were prepared by impregnation of nickel on MCFs [45] showed a sudden drop of methane conversion to 60% within 90 min, and maintained this lower value even after regeneration of the catalysts. After being reused three times, the Ni@SiO₂ yolk–shell nanocatalysts did not change their yolk–shell structure, whereas the Ni/MCF catalysts became sintered to yield huge nickel clusters segregated from the silica matrix (Fig. 9).

We also compared the activity of state-of-the-art commercial catalysts (Haldor Topsøe) with our yolk–shell nanocatalysts. The commercial catalyst is known to be composed of Ni/MgAl₂O₄, which is the best bifunctional composition for reforming reactions. For the purposes of precise comparison, the yolk–shell nanocatalyst was diluted with MCF to a nickel loading of 20 wt% [46], and the reactions were carried out with a 3:1 steam to methane ratio, as is actually used in industry. Both catalysts exhibited methane conversion of ~70%, which remained almost constant over three reaction cycles. Remarkably, our yolk–shell nanocatalysts showed even better activity than the commercial ones, with excellent stability, although the catalyst structure and the composition were not fully optimized. This indicates that a rational catalyst design is a powerful way to enhance catalytic perfor-

![Figure 8](image1.png)  
**Figure 8** Methane conversion by (a) Ni@SiO₂ yolk–shell and Ni/MCF catalysts (GHSV = 100 000 h⁻¹; H₂O:CH₄ = 4:1), and by (b) Ni/SiO₂ yolk–shell and commercial catalysts (GHSV = 25 000 h⁻¹; H₂O:CH₄ = 3:1); Dotted lines indicate methane conversion at thermodynamic equilibrium. Adapted from Ref. [39]

![Figure 9](image2.png)  
**Figure 9** TEM images of (a) Ni@SiO₂ yolk–shell and (b) Ni/MCF catalysts after being used three times in steam methane reforming reactions; The bars in (a) and (b) represent 100 nm and (inset) 20 nm. Adapted from Ref. [39]
mance in terms of high activity, thermal stability, and recyclability.

4. High activity and reusability: Ni@SiO$_2$ yolk–shell nanocatalysts with tiny metal cores for catalytic hydrogen transfer reactions

In heterogeneous catalytic reactions, numerous factors influence reaction activity and selectivity, such as active particle diameter, metal loading, support structure, additives, reactant and product concentrations, solvents, and reaction conditions [1, 3]. It is generally believed that small particles show high activity because of their high surface area and high density of active sites including edges, vertices, kinks, and steps on the surface [47, 48]. In terms of the particle size, the size effect begins to influence total reactivity when the size is smaller than 10 nm, and it has a dominant influence on the reaction properties if the size is less than 5 nm [49]. Therefore, most heterogeneous catalysts that are commercially available have active metal nanoparticles with diameters of a few nanometers in order to optimize reaction activity.

In this review, we have introduced the Au@SiO$_2$ yolk–shell structure as a model catalyst to induce electron relay from BH$_4^-$ to $p$-nitrophenol, and employed Ni@SiO$_2$ yolk–shell catalysts in steam methane reforming. The reforming reactions were carried out at a high temperature of 973 K, and therefore the particle size was so crucial because small particles readily agglomerate under such conditions. However, there are many reactions such as CO oxidation and solution-phase organic transformations which take place under relatively mild conditions, and here size effects can play a dominant role. Reduction of the metal core size is definitely required if the yolk–shell nanocatalysts are to be employed to catalyze such organic reactions. Here we demonstrate yolk–shell nanocatalysts with tiny cores having a 3 nm diameter and their high activity for hydrogen transfer from 2-propanol to acetophenone [50].

4.1 Synthesis of Ni(3 nm)@SiO$_2$ yolk–shell nanocatalysts by the microemulsion method

In the previous synthesis, the Ni@SiO$_2$ yolk–shell nanocatalysts had core diameters of 31 and 24 nm, which are large compared to common active catalysts with diameters of a few nanometers [39]. However, reducing the core size without changing the synthetic process is not trivial. Formation of a silica coating via the Stöber method was generally used for metal nanoparticles with diameters larger than 10 nm, and generated thick layers with thicknesses of >20 nm [27]. In addition, etching of the metal cores is not a viable way to reduce the core diameters to less than several nanometers—the addition of excess etchants led to complete dissolution of the cores.

Instead, a microemulsion method in organic media was employed to generate a thin silica coating on tiny metal nanoparticles [51]. For this process, the nickel particles were synthesized in the presence of trioctylphosphine and oleylamine in organic solvents [52]. The product consisted of spherical nanoparticles with an average diameter of 5.1 nm ± 0.3 nm as shown in Fig. 10(b). A dispersion of the nickel nanoparticles

![Figure 10](image-url)
in cyclohexene was mixed with polyoxyethylene (9)nonylphenylether (Igepal CO-630) in the presence of ammonia, and a mixture of the TEOS and C18TMS was added to the reaction mixture, followed by stirring at room temperature for 1 h. Fig. 10(c) shows the successful formation of the Ni@SiO2 core–shell nanoparticles with uniform silica layers having an average thickness of 6.1 nm ± 0.5 nm. The nickel cores were slightly etched by hydrogen chloride to reduce the nickel core diameter to 2.9 nm ± 0.3 nm and finally yielded the Ni@SiO2 yolk–shell nanostructure (Fig. 10(d)). The overall diameters of the core–shell and yolk–shell nanoparticles were 17 nm, much smaller than those (55 nm) prepared by the Stöber method [39].

After high temperature treatment at 773 K, the Ni@SiO2 yolk–shell nanoparticles maintained their original structure as shown in Fig. 10(d). The N2 sorption isotherms of the silica hollow shells exhibited features typical of a mesoporous material, with the surface area and total pore volume being 195 m2/g and 0.38 cm3/g, respectively. The nickel loading content was measured to be 18 wt% by energy-dispersive X-ray fluorescence (EDXRF) spectroscopy.

The Ni(3 nm)@SiO2 nanocatalysts are not suitable for high temperature reactions at >573 K, owing to total melting of the tiny core particles. This catalyst structure is excellent for solution-phase organic reactions, however, because the reactions take place at temperatures less than solvent boiling points. The small size of the metal cores is expected to be a powerful way to maximize the reactivity under such mild conditions. The main benefit of the yolk–shell structure, that particle aggregation during the reactions is prevented, should still apply.

4.2 Hydrogen transfer reaction from 2-propanol to acetophenone catalyzed by Ni@SiO2 yolk–shell nanocatalysts

The Ni@SiO2 yolk–shell nanocatalysts were employed for hydrogen transfer reactions of acetophenone (Table 3) [53, 54]. 2-Propanol was used as a hydrogen donor, and the reaction conditions were at 353–423 K in the presence of 10 mol%–20 mol% of NaOH. The amount of the catalyst was 0.03 mol%–0.05 mol% with respect to the substrate concentration.

| Entry | Catalyst (mol%) | Temp. (K) | Time (h) | Base (mol%) | Conv. (%) |
|-------|----------------|-----------|----------|-------------|----------|
| 1     | 0.05           | 423       | 0.5      | 20          | 93       |
| 2     | 0.05           | 373       | 0.5      | 20          | 58       |
| 3     | 0.05           | 373       | 1        | 20          | 92       |
| 4     | 0.05           | 353       | 1        | 20          | 61       |
| 5     | 0.05           | 373       | 1        | 10          | 68       |
| 6     | 0.05           | 373       | 2        | 10          | 87       |
| 7     | 0.03           | 423       | 0.5      | 20          | 90       |
| 8     | 0.03           | 373       | 0.5      | 20          | 83       |

* Determined by 1H-NMR spectroscopy; Yields are based on the amount of acetophenone used. Adapted from Ref. [50]

The yield of 1-phenylethanol from acetophenone was found to be highly dependent on the reaction temperature, amount of base, and catalyst loading. Reaction at high temperature exhibited high conversion efficiency (entries 1–4 in Table 3). Lower base concentrations diminished the yield (entries 5 and 6). A catalyst loading of 0.03 mol% with respect to the substrate concentration was still effective for the reactions (entries 7 and 8). In particular, the reaction conditions of 0.03 mol% of catalysts and 20 mol% of base at 423 K gave a 90% yield within 30 min without any by-products (entry 7), and these were chosen as the standard conditions for further studies. The TOF was calculated to be 6000 h–1, which is more than an order of magnitude higher than the values (100–500 h–1) using heterogeneous nickel catalysts [53, 54], where under the standard reaction condition, the catalyst loading was 10 mol%–20 mol% with respect to that of the substrate.

The remarkable activity of the Ni@SiO2 yolk–shell nanocatalysts can be mainly attributed to the small size of the particles associated with the absence of aggregation and the clean surfaces. Freestanding catalyst nanoparticles are usually aggregated, and their active surface area decreases after repeated heterogeneous catalytic reactions. Severe aggregation can be prevented.
if the particle surface is intensively passivated by surfactants, but a large amount of the surfactant blocks the active sites and lowers the reaction activity. Since the surfactants on the Ni@SiO₂ yolk–shell nanocatalyst surfaces were removed by high temperature treatment before the reaction, the surface is sufficiently clean to carry out the reaction effectively. The surfactants can be successfully removed by thermal treatment without giving any aggregation of the active cores of the nanocatalysts.

Because of the stable yolk–shell structure, the Ni@SiO₂ yolk–shell nanocatalysts could be recycled several times without any loss of conversion yields. In marked contrast, with the freestanding nanoparticles the reaction yield dropped to ~60% after recycling.

Under the standard conditions, a variety of ketones were successfully reduced with high efficiencies to the corresponding alcohols by using the Ni@SiO₂ yolk–shell nanocatalysts. Cyclohexanone was reduced by 2-propanol in 94% yield. Various alky aryl ketones were transformed to hydrogenated products in high yields, but diaryl ketones gave lower yields because of their bulkiness. Substituted acetophenones were also converted to alcohols, and the reaction yields were affected by the electronic properties and the position of the substituents.

These excellent catalytic performances indicate that the yolk–shell nanostructure with tiny metal cores is an excellent catalyst scaffold for various heterogeneous organic transformations with high activity and reusability.

5. A new nickel-on-silica hybrid structure: Chemical transformation of Ni@SiO₂ nanoparticles

The metal@silica yolk–shell nanostructure has many advantages as a nanocatalyst for various gas- and solution-phase reactions. However, such a yolk–shell nanostructure is not the only bifunctional structure which enhances catalytic properties. Many other combinations of metal nanoparticles and a silica framework are possible, but effective stabilization of the active metal nanoparticles by the silica structure has to be considered in any design of a bifunctional catalyst.

Metal nanoparticles-on-silica spheres are one of the possible candidates for nanocatalysts. In this structure, the active particles are partially buried in the silica sphere, and thereby the particles are not mobile on the silica surface even at high temperature. The remainder of the metal surface is exposed, and is where the chemical reaction occurs. The advantage of such a structure is that there are no diffusion barriers. The reactants can freely approach the catalyst surface and undergo reaction. The active metal particles have strong chemical and mechanical interactions with the silica framework, which gives high stability during the reaction. The metal-on-silica bifunctional structure was found to be very hard to fabricate by surface treatment of silica spheres. However this structure could be obtained by transformation of Ni@SiO₂ core–shell nanoparticles by hydrothermal and hydrogen reduction reactions (Fig. 11(a)) [55].

The Ni@SiO₂ core–shell nanoparticles were synthesized by silica coating of nickel nanoparticles
through the microemulsion method [51]. The silica layers were evenly coated on the outside of the nickel cores, and the resulting core–shell structure was spherical, as shown in Figs. 11(b) and 11(c). The average diameter of the nickel cores, the average thickness of the silica layers, and the overall diameter of the core–shell particles were estimated to be 5.3 nm ± 0.2 nm, 9.2 nm ± 1.1 nm, and 26 nm ± 2 nm, respectively.

The Ni@SiO$_2$ core–shell particles were converted to the branched spheres by refluxing in water under weakly basic conditions (pH = 9.6). Fig. 11(d) shows that the spheres with an average diameter of 25 nm ± 1 nm have branches of 5 nm in length and 1 nm in thickness. X-ray diffraction data indicate that the particles are composed of nickel phyllosilicate (pecoraite Ni$_3$Si$_2$O$_5$(OH)$_4$) and silica. Such branched morphology is typically observed in nickelobtained by be successfully removed by thermal phyllosilicate phases [56, 57]. These branched particles were transformed to Ni/SiO$_2$ nanoparticles by high temperature treatment at 973 K for 10 h under a reducing environment. The TEM image in Fig. 11(e) clearly shows that tiny nanoparticles are located on the surface of silica spheres, matching the morphology of the nickel-on-silica structure that we originally designed. The average diameter of the silica spheres is 24 nm ± 1 nm, and that of the tiny nickel particles is ~3 nm with a single-crystalline nature. The nickel loading was measured to be 30 wt% by EDXRF spectroscopy. The final nickel-on-silica geometry showed remarkable thermal stability during high temperature treatment at 973 K for 10 h, due to the strong Ni–O–Si bonding.

The nickel-on-silica nanoparticles were employed as nanocatalysts for hydrogen transfer of acetophenone. The reactions were carried out with 2-propanol as a hydrogen donor at 353–423 K in the presence of NaOH. The conversion yield was found to be dependent on the catalyst amount, the temperature, and the reaction time. Entry 2 (Table 4) represents the optimized conditions, where 0.05 mol% of the catalyst with respect to the substrate concentration was used at 373 K for 1 h, and the conversion reached 93% without any formation of by-products. This activity is the highest reported for heterogeneous nickel catalysts for hydrogen transfer reactions [53, 54], and may result from the small particle size (~3 nm) and uniform dispersion of the active nanoparticles on the silica spheres. After the reaction, the nickel-on-silica nanocatalysts were readily recovered by centrifugation and could be reused five times without loss of catalytic activity.

The nickel-on-silica nanostructures were obtained via a nickel phyllosilicate intermediate, and since similar phyllosilicate phases exist for metals such as cobalt and magnesium, it should be possible to prepare successful heterogeneous catalysts containing other metal-on-silica structures by the same route.

### Table 4 Reduction of acetophenone to 1-phenylethanol by hydrogen transfer catalyzed by nickel-on-silica nanocatalysts

| Entry | Catalyst (mol%) | T (K) | Time (h) | Conv. (%)$^a$ |
|-------|----------------|-------|----------|--------------|
| 1     | 0.01           | 373   | 1        | 79           |
| 2     | 0.05           | 373   | 1        | 93           |
| 3     | 0.1            | 423   | 1        | 97           |
| 4     | 0.1            | 373   | 1        | 94           |
| 5     | 0.1            | 353   | 1        | 54           |
| 6     | 0.1            | 373   | 0.5      | 88           |

$^a$ Determined by $^1$H-NMR spectroscopy; Yields are based on the amount of acetophenone used. Adapted from Ref. [55].

### 6. Summary and outlook

Rational design of a catalyst can enhance various aspects of a reaction. In this review, we have demonstrated that a metal@silica yolk–shell type nanostructure is an excellent bifunctional catalyst framework. The yolk–shell nanocatalysts have many advantages compared to conventional catalyst structures. Au@SiO$_2$ yolk–shell catalysts exhibited core size-dependent reaction properties in $p$-nitrophenol reduction, and both the silica layers and the metal cores could be modified enhancing catalytic activity by more than an order of magnitude. Ni@SiO$_2$ yolk–shell nanocatalysts were prepared on a gram scale, and were employed for steam methane reforming. Because the yolk–shell structure prevented particle sintering, the catalysts showed remarkable high...
temperature stability as well as reusability that were comparable to state-of-the-art commercial catalysts. Reduction of the core size in the Ni@SiO$_2$ yolk–shell structure afforded materials with significantly increased activities in heterogeneous hydrogen transfer reactions, due to the small particle size and clean surfaces. Another bifunctional catalyst structure, nickel-on-silica spheres, was synthesized by conversion of Ni@SiO$_2$ core–shell nanoparticles, and showed high activity and reusability for hydrogen transfer reactions.

We have used the Au@SiO$_2$ and Ni@SiO$_2$ yolk–shell systems to demonstrate the power of rational catalyst design, but other metal and metal oxide compositions can also be combined together to expand the applicability of bifunctional nanocatalysts. Co@SiO$_2$ and Fe@SiO$_2$ yolk–shell nanoparticles were prepared by the core etching method [39], and the Pt@SiO$_2$ yolk–shell structure was synthesized from a bimetallic core of NiPt@SiO$_2$ via selective dissolution of the nickel component [58]. The Au@ZrO$_2$ yolk–shell structure has been prepared and used for CO oxidation reactions by other groups [19, 20]. The next goal in yolk–shell nanocatalyst research will be the design of bifunctional catalysts optimized for specific reactions with suitable metal and metal oxide compositions and appropriate morphology. For instance, Pt@TiO$_2$ should be effective in photocatalytic degradation, whilst Pd@C should be the best catalyst for hydrogenation and C–C coupling reactions. Another challenge for catalyst design is to solve the problem of catalyst deactivation. The major causes of the deactivation are particle sintering and coke deposition on the catalyst surface [44]. The former was resolved by the yolk–shell catalyst design, but the latter could not be overcome by a simple approach. Optimizing the metal–metal oxide combination with a specific morphology may provide ways of solving the deactivation problem. Finally, catalyst design has to focus on reaction selectivity as well as activity. Precise control of the surface structure of active nanoparticles and the diffusion rates of reactants through porous silica shells will be helpful in addressing this selectivity issue [59].

In order to advance the design of catalysts with nanoscopic morphologies, many different tools such as theoretical calculations, spectroscopic measurements, synthetic techniques, and reaction tests and simulations have to be simultaneously employed. If this teamwork is realized, the field of “nanocatalysts” will have a big impact in both academia and in industry, and change our life for the better.
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