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We discuss non-Gaussian effects associated with the local large-scale structure contributions to the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) anisotropies through the thermal Sunyaev-Zel’dovich (SZ) effect. The non-Gaussianities associated with the SZ effect arise from the existence of a significant four-point correlation function in large scale pressure fluctuations. Using the pressure trispectrum calculated under the recently popular halo model, we discuss the full covariance of the SZ thermal power spectrum. We use this full covariance matrix to study the astrophysical uses of the SZ effect and discuss the extent to which gas properties can be derived from a measurement of the SZ power spectrum. With the SZ thermal effect separated in temperature fluctuations using its frequency information, the kinetic SZ effect, also known as the Ostriker-Vishniac effect, is expected to dominate the CMB temperature fluctuations at small angular scales. This effect arises from the baryon modulation of the first order Doppler effect resulting from the relative motion of scatterers. The presence of the SZ kinetic effect can be determined through a cross-correlation between the SZ thermal and a CMB map at small scales. Since the SZ kinetic effect is second order, however, contributions to such a cross-correlation arise to the lower order in the form of a three-point correlation function, or a bispectrum in Fourier space. We suggest an additional statistic that can be used to study the correlation between pressure traced by the SZ thermal effect and the baryons traced by the SZ kinetic effect involving the cross-power spectrum constructed through squared temperatures instead of the usual temperature itself. Through a signal-to-noise calculation, we show that future small angular scale multi-frequency CMB experiments, sensitive to multipoles of a few thousand, will be able to measure the cross-correlation of SZ thermal and SZ kinetic effect through a temperature squared power spectrum.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, motivated by the advances in the experimental front, increasing attention has been given to theoretical details related to secondary anisotropies in the cosmic microwave background (CMB) resulting from the low redshift large scale structure. In addition to the direct detection, some of these effects have also been studied in detail due to their non-linear behavior leading to higher order correlations in the CMB temperature fluctuations \( \sim \). In general, the secondary anisotropies resulting from low redshifts \((z \lesssim \text{few tens})\) can be divided into those that result from gravitational effects and those resulting from scattering via free electrons in the reionized epoch.

Through the imparted differential gravitational redshift of CMB photons, when traversing time-evolving potential fluctuations, the integrated Sachs-Wolfe (ISW; \( \text{(3)} \)) contributes at large angular scales. This effect is an important contributor at \( z \lesssim 1 \) in a \( \Lambda \)CDM universe. Through angular deflections across the sky via foreground gravitational lensing potentials, weak gravitational lensing modifies the CMB power spectrum at large angular scales while creating power primarily at small angular scales (e.g., \( \text{(1)} \) and references therein). This lensing effect also correlates with potentials traced by other secondary effects and produces a bispectrum in CMB temperature data (e.g., \( \text{(1)} \)).

In terms of the scattering effects, the inverse-Compton scattering of CMB photons via hot electrons, the so-called Sunyaev-Zel’dovich (SZ; \( \text{(1)} \)) effect, dominates the small angular scale signal. The SZ effect has now been directly imaged towards massive galaxy clusters (e.g., \( \text{(1)} \)), where the temperature of the scattering medium can reach as high as 10 keV, producing temperature changes in the CMB of order 1 mK at Rayleigh-Jeans wavelengths. Given that the SZ effect also bears a spectral signature that differs from true temperature fluctuations, SZ contribution can be separated in multifrequency data, thereby suggesting a means of studying its properties. As discussed in detail in \( \text{(1)} \), a multi-frequency approach can be applied to current Boomerang and Planck surveyor missions.

The improving capabilities of hydrodynamical simulations and analytical models involving the large scale gas distribution have now provided predictions for the SZ effect, mainly the SZ power spectrum and the expected number counts of SZ halos (see, \( \text{(2)} \) among var-
ous other studies). A wide-field SZ image, allowing detailed statistical studies such as the angular power spectrum, is yet to be produced, though several experimental attempts are currently in progress for this purpose. These experiments include the interferometric survey at the combined BIMA/OVRO array (CARMA; John Carlstrom, private communication), the MINT interferometer (Lyman Page, private communication), and the BOLOCAM array on the Caltech Submillimeter Observatory (Andrew Lange, private communication).

In the present paper, we further discuss the SZ effect and address what astrophysical properties can be deduced with a measurement of the SZ power spectrum. For this, we require detailed knowledge of the covariance of the SZ power spectrum beyond the simple Gaussian sample variance. Given that the SZ effect probes the projected pressure distribution in the local universe, its statistical properties reflect those of pressure. As discussed in detail in Cooray [18], the statistics of large scale structure pressure is highly non-Gaussian due to the associated non-linearities. The same non-Gaussianities lead to a four-point correlation function in pressure, which in turn, contributes non-negligibly to the power spectrum covariance of the SZ effect.

In order to calculate the covariance associated with SZ power spectrum measurements, we extend the semi-analytical model presented in Cooray [18] and calculate the pressure trispectrum. The full covariance matrix now allows us to quantify the astrophysical abilities of SZ measurements as a probe of gas and its temperature properties. Previous to this study, we were unable to perform a similar calculation on how well the SZ effect probes gas and temperature properties due to the unknown covariance associated with the effect. Using a Fisher matrix approach, we quantify to what extent the SZ power spectrum measurements allow determination of various properties associated with gas and temperature evolution.

Extending our calculation on the contribution of large scale structure gas distribution to CMB anisotropies through SZ effect, we also study an associated effect involving baryons associated with halos in the large scale structure. It is well known that the peculiar velocity of galaxy clusters, along the line of sight, also lead to a contribution to temperature anisotropies. This effect is commonly known as the kinetic, or kinematic, Sunyaev-Zel’dovich effect and arises from the baryon density modulation of the Doppler effect associated with the velocity field [9]. Given that both density and velocity fields are involved, the kinetic SZ effect is essentially second order in density fluctuations; the thermal SZ effect is also second order because of density and temperature dependence. Though the kinetic SZ effect was first described in [8] using massive galaxy clusters, the same effect has been introduced under a different context by Ostriker and Vishniac (OV; [22]). The kinetic SZ effect can be considered as the OV effect extended to the non-linear regime of baryon fluctuations, however, it should be understood that the basic physical mechanism responsible for the two effects is the same. For the purpose of this presentation, we will treat both OV effect and the SZ kinetic effect as one contribution, though it may be easier to think of OV as the linear contribution while kinetic SZ, extending to non-linear regime will contain the total contribution. Such a description has been provided by Hu in [23].

We calculate the kinetic SZ/OV effect, hereafter simply referred to as the kinetic SZ effect, using the model we developed to study the thermal SZ effect. We further extend this calculation to consider the correlation between SZ thermal and SZ kinetic effects. Since there is no first order cross-correlation, the lowest order contribution to the correlation comes from a three-point function, or a bispectrum. Cooray & Hu discusses this bispectrum in [2]. Here, we consider an additional possibility to measure the SZ thermal-kinetic cross-correlation via a two-point correlation function which involves squares of the temperature instead of the usual temperature itself. The power spectrum of squared temperatures probes one aspect of the trispectrum resulting from the pressure-baryon cross-correlation. Here, we show that there is adequate signal-to-noise for a reliable measurement of the SZ thermal-SZ kinetic squared power spectrum measurement in upcoming small angular scale experiments.

The layout of the paper is as follows. In § II, we review the background material relevant for current calculations on SZ thermal and SZ kinetic effects in the context of the adiabatic cold dark matter (CDM) models and using clustered dark matter halos as a way to calculate non-linear clustering properties. In § 3, we discuss the pressure trispectrum and the covariance of pressure fluctuations in the local universe. In § 4, we detail the calculation of SZ effect due to large scale structure gas distribution and calculate its trispectrum. In the same section, using a Fisher matrix formulation, we use the full covariance, including non-Gaussianities, of binned SZ power spectrum measurements to establish the astrophysical uses of the SZ effect. The kinetic SZ effect is discussed in § 5, and we study the cross-correlation between SZ thermal and SZ kinetic effects in the form of a bispectrum and the power spectrum of squared temperatures in § 6. We conclude in § 7 with a summary.

II. GENERAL DERIVATION

We first review the properties of adiabatic CDM models relevant to the present calculations. We then discuss the general properties of the halo model as applied to the calculation of the non-linear dark matter, baryon and pressure density field power spectra of the local large scale structure.
A. Adiabatic CDM Model

The expansion rate for adiabatic CDM cosmological models with a cosmological constant is

$$H^2 = H_0^2 \left[ \Omega_m (1 + z)^3 + \Omega_K (1 + z)^2 + \Omega_L \right],$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $H_0$ can be written as the inverse Hubble distance today $H_0^{-1} = 2997.9 \pm 1 \text{Mpc}$. We follow the conventions that in units of the critical density $3H_0^2/8\pi G$, the contribution of each component is denoted $\Omega_i, i = c$ for the CDM, $g$ for the baryons, $\Lambda$ for the cosmological constant. We also define the auxiliary quantities $\Omega_m = \Omega_c + \Omega_g$ and $\Omega_K = 1 - \sum_i \Omega_i$, which represent the matter density and the contribution of spatial curvature to the expansion rate respectively.

Convenient measures of distance and time include the conformal distance (or lookback time) from the observer at redshift $z = 0$

$$r(z) = \int_0^z \frac{dz'}{H(z')} ,$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

and the analogous angular diameter distance

$$d_A = H_0^{-1} \Omega_K^{-1/2} \sinh (H_0 \Omega_K^{1/2} r) .$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Note that as $\Omega_K \to 0, d_A \to r$, and we define $r(z = \infty) = r_0$.

The adiabatic CDM model possesses a two, three and four-point correlations of the dark matter density field as defined in the usual way

$$\langle \delta(k_1) \delta(k_2) \rangle = (2\pi)^3 \delta_D(k_12) P(k_1) ,$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

$$\langle \delta(k_1) \delta(k_2) \delta(k_3) \rangle = (2\pi)^3 \delta_D(k_{123}) B(k_1, k_2, k_3) ,$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

$$\langle \delta(k_1) \ldots \delta(k_4) \rangle_c = (2\pi)^3 \delta_D(k_{1234}) T(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4) .$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where $k_{i,j} = k_i + \ldots + k_j$, and $\delta_D$ is the delta function not to be confused with the density perturbation. Note that the subscript $c$ denotes the connected piece, i.e. the trispectrum is defined to be identically zero for a Gaussian field. Here and throughout, we occasionally suppress the redshift dependence where no confusion will arise.

In linear perturbation theory,\(^4\)

$$\left. \frac{k^3 P_{\text{lin}}(k)}{2\pi^2} \right|_{z=0} = \delta_H^2 \left( \frac{k}{H_0} \right)^{n+3} T^2(k) .$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

We use the fitting formulae of Eisenstein & Hu in \(^24\) to evaluate the transfer function $T(k)$ for CDM models.

Here, $\delta_H$ is the amplitude of present-day density fluctuations at the Hubble scale.

The bispectrum in perturbation theory is given by\(^6\)

$$B_{\text{lin}}^{\text{kin}}(k_p, k_q, k_r) = 2F_2^2(k_p, k_q) P(k_p) P(k_q) + 2 \text{Perm.} ,$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

with $F_2$ term given by second order gravitational perturbation calculations.

Similarly, the perturbation theory trispectrum follows from Fry \(^24\):

$$T_{\text{lin}}^3 = 6 \left[ F_3^3(k_1, k_2, k_3) P(k_1) P(k_2) P(k_3) + \text{Perm.} \right]$$

$$+ 4 \left[ F_3^2(k_{12}, -k_1) F_2^2(k_{12}, k_3) P(k_1) P(k_{12}) P(k_3) + \text{Perm.} \right] .$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

The permutations involve a total of 4 terms in the first set and 12 terms in the second set.

In linear theory, the density field may be scaled backwards to higher redshift by the use of the growth function $G(z)$, where $\delta(k, r) = G(r) \delta(k, 0)$ \(^27\)

$$G(r) \propto \frac{H(r)}{H_0} \int_{z(r)}^\infty dz' (1 + z') \left( \frac{H_0}{H(z')} \right)^3 .$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

Note that in the matter dominated epoch $G \propto a = (1 + z)^{-1}$.

The continuity equation relates the density and velocity fields, in the linear regime, via,

$$v = -i G \delta(k, 0) \frac{k}{k^2} .$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

where overdots represent derivatives with respect to radial distance $r$. In this paper, we ignore the contribution to the velocity field within individual non-linear structures, including a curl component, and only consider this potential bulk flow. This large scale velocity field is independent of the non-linear density fluctuations, and thus, there is no correlation between this bulk flow and the density field within halos.

For fluctuation spectra and growth rates of interest here, reionization of the universe is expected to occur rather late $z_r \lesssim 50$ such that the reionized media is optically thin to Thomson scattering of CMB photons $\tau < 1$. The probability of last scattering within $dr$ of $r$ (the visibility function) is

$$g = \tau e^{-\tau} = X H_0 \tau H (1 + z)^2 e^{-\tau} .$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

Here $\tau(r) = \int_0^r dr' \tau$ is the optical depth out to $r$, $X$ is the ionization fraction,

\(^4\)It should be understood that “lin” denotes here the lowest non-vanishing order of perturbation theory for the object in question. For the power spectrum, this is linear perturbation theory; for the bispectrum, this is second order perturbation theory, etc.

\(^6\)The kernels $F_n^a$ are derived in \(^23\) (see, equations A2 and A3 of \(^23\); note that their $P_n \equiv F_n$), and we have written such that the symmetric form of $F_n^a$’s are used. The use of the symmetric form accounts for the factor of 2 in Eqs. \(^6\) and factors of 4 and 6 in \(^8\).
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FIG. 1. The dark matter (NFW) profile and the ones predicted by the hydrostatic equilibrium for gas, as a function of the b parameter (see, Eq. [24] with $r_s = 100$. For comparison, we also show a typical example of the so-called β model $(1 + r^2/r_s^2)^{-3/2}$ which is generally used as a fitting function for X-ray and SZ observations of clusters.

$$\tau_H = 0.0691(1 - Y_p)\Omega_p h,$$  

is the optical depth to Thomson scattering to the Hubble distance today, assuming full hydrogen ionization with primordial helium fraction of $Y_p$. Note that the ionization fraction can exceed unity: $X = (1 - 3Y_p/4)/(1 - Y_p)$ for singly ionized helium, $X = (1 - Y_p/2)/(1 - Y_p)$ for fully ionized helium.

Although we maintain generality in all derivations, we illustrate our results with the currently favored $\Lambda$CDM cosmological model. The parameters for this model are $\Omega_c = 0.30$, $\Omega_b = 0.05$, $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.65$, $h = 0.65$, $Y_p = 0.24$, $n = 1$, $X = 1$, with a normalization such that mass fluctuations on the $8h$ Mpc$^{-1}$ scale is $\sigma_8 = 0.9$, consistent with observations on the abundance of galaxy clusters and COBE normalization. A reasonable value here is important since higher order correlations is non-linearly dependent on the amplitude of the density field.

For the Sunyaev-Zel’dovich effects discussed here, we are more interested in the clustering properties of pressure and baryons, rather than the dark matter density field. We do not have a reliable way to calculate the pressure, baryon power spectra and their higher order correlations and cross-correlations analytically. We will introduce the semi-analytic halo model for this purpose following [8].

**B. Halo Approach**

In order to calculate the non-linear clustering of the dark matter, baryon and pressure density fields, we use the halo model described in [3] [32].

Underlying the halo approach is the assertion that dark matter halos of virial mass $M$ are locally biased tracers of density perturbations in the linear regime. In this case, functional relationship between the over-density of halos and mass can be expanded in a Taylor series

$$\delta_h(x, M; z) = b_0 + b_1(M; z)\delta_{lin}(x; z) + \frac{1}{2}b_2(M; z)\delta_{lin}^2(x; z) + \ldots$$  

(14)

The over-density of halos can be related to more familiar mass function and the halo density profile by noting that we can model the fully non-linear density field as a set of correlated discrete objects or halos with profiles

$$\rho(x) = \sum_i \rho_h(x - x_i; M_i),$$  

(15)

where the sum is over all halo positions. The density fluctuation in Fourier space is

$$\delta(k) = \sum_i n_1 e^{i k \cdot x_i} \delta_h(k, M_i).$$  

(16)

Following Peebles in [27], we divide space into sufficiently small volumes $dV$ that they contain only one or zero halos of a given mass and convert the sum over halos to a sum over the volume elements and masses

$$\delta(k) = \sum_{V, M} n_1 e^{i k \cdot x} \delta_h(k, M).$$  

(17)

By virtue of the small volume element $n_1 = n_1^2 = n_1^\mu = 1$ or 0 following [27].

Using the fact that halos are biased tracers of the density field such that their number density fluctuates as

$$\left. \frac{d n}{d M} \right|_{x; z} = \frac{d \bar{n}}{d M} (M; z) \times \left[ b_0 + b_1(M; z)\delta_{lin}(x; z) + \frac{1}{2}b_2(M; z)\delta_{lin}^2(x; z) \ldots \right],$$  

(18)

we can write

$$\langle n_1 \rangle = \frac{d \bar{n}}{d M_1} \delta M_1,$$  

(19)

$$\langle n_1 n_2 \rangle = \langle n_1 \rangle^2 \delta_{12} + \langle n_1 \rangle \langle n_2 \rangle [b_0^2 + b_1(M_1)b_1(M_2)],$$  

$$\times \langle \delta_{lin}(x_1)\delta_{lin}(x_2) \rangle,$$  

(20)

In Eq. [18], $b_0 \equiv 1$ and $\delta_{12}$ is the Dirac delta function and we have included only the lowest order terms. The halo bias parameters are given in [33]:

$$b_1(M; z) = 1 + \frac{\nu^2(M; z) - 1}{\delta_c},$$  

(21)

and
\[ b_2(M; z) = \frac{8}{21} \left[ b_1(M; z) - 1 \right] + \frac{\nu^2(M; z) - 3}{\sigma^2(M; z)} \]  

Here, \( \nu(M; z) = \delta_c/\sigma(M; z) \) and \( \sigma(M; z) \) is the rms fluctuation within a top-hat filter at the virial radius corresponding to mass \( M \), and \( \delta_c \) is the threshold over-density of spherical collapse (see [44] for useful fitting functions).

The derivation of the higher point functions in Fourier space is now a straightforward but tedious exercise in algebra (see, [49]). The Fourier transforms inherent in eqn. (13) convert the correlation functions in eqn. (22) into the power spectrum, bispectrum, trispectrum, etc., of perturbation theory.

Following [31] and [18], it is now convenient to define a general integral over the halo mass function \( \frac{dn}{dM} \). Though we presented the description of halo clustering for dark matter, we can generalize this discussion to consider any physical property associated with halo; one simply relates the over-density of halos through the density profile corresponding to the property of interest in Eq. 14.

Since we will encounter dark matter, pressure and baryon density fields throughout this paper, we write a general integral that applies to all these three properties

\[ I_{\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n}^{\beta, \gamma} (k_1, \ldots, k_\mu, z) \equiv \int \frac{dn}{dM} (M, z) b_\beta(M; z) \times T_\gamma(M; z) y_1 (k_1, M; z) \ldots y_\mu (k_\mu, M; z). \]  

Here, in addition to the dark matter, to account for clustering properties of pressure associated with baryons in large scale structure, we have introduced the electron temperature, \( T_e(M; z) \).

In Eq. 23, the three-dimensional Fourier transform of the density fluctuation, through the halo profile of the density distribution of any physical property \( \rho_i(r, M; z) \), is

\[ y_i(k, M; z) = \frac{1}{\rho_{bh}} \int_0^{\nu} dr \, 4 \pi r^2 \rho_i(r, M; z) \frac{\sin(kr)}{kr}, \]  

with the background mean density of the same quantity given by \( \rho_{bh} \). Since in this paper we discuss the dark matter, pressure and baryons, the index \( i \) will be used to represent the density, \( \delta \) (with \( y \equiv y_\delta \)), the baryons, \( g \) (with \( y \equiv y_g \)), or pressure, \( \Pi \) (with \( y \equiv y_\Pi \)). Note that for both dark matter density and baryon clustering, \( \eta = 0 \), as there is no temperature contribution, but for clustering of pressure, \( \eta = \mu \) when \( i_1 \ldots i_\mu \) describes pressure; therefore, we will no longer make use of the index \( \eta \) in the following discussion. One additional note here is that the profile used for baryons will be the same as the profile that we will use for pressure. The only difference between baryon clustering and pressure clustering is that we weigh the latter with the electron temperature, leading to a selective contribution from electrons with the highest temperature, while the former includes all baryons.

**C. Ingredients**

The dark matter profile of collapsed halos are taken to be the NFW [35] with a density distribution

\[ \rho_\delta(r) = \frac{\rho_s}{(r/r_s)(1 + r/r_s)^2}. \]  

The density profile can be integrated and related to the total dark matter mass of the halo within \( r_v \)

\[ M_\delta = 4\pi \rho_s r_v^3 \left[ \log(1 + c) - \frac{c}{1 + c} \right] \]  

where the concentration, \( c \), is \( r_v/r_s \). Choosing \( r_v \) as the virial radius of the halo, spherical collapse tells us that
FIG. 3. The mass dependence on the dark matter power spectrum (a) and pressure power spectrum (b). Here, we show the total contribution broken in mass limits as written on the figure. As shown in (a), the large scale contribution to the dark matter power comes from massive halos while small mass halos contribute at small scales. For the pressure, in (b), only massive halos above a mass of $10^{14} M_\odot$ contribute to the power.

$M = 4\pi r_s^3 \Delta(z) \rho_b/3$, where $\Delta(z)$ is the over-density of collapse and $\rho_b$ is the background matter density today. We use comoving coordinates throughout. By equating these two expressions, one can eliminate $\rho_s$ and describe the halo by its mass $M$ and concentration $c$. We use the Press-Schechter \cite{36} mass function to describe the halo mass function written as $dn/dM(M;z)$. Following the results from $\Lambda$CDM simulations by \cite{37}, we take a concentration-mass relationship which is consistent with the mean:

$$c(M;z) = 9(1+z)^{-1} \left[ \frac{M}{M_*(z)} \right]^{-0.13},$$

where $M_*(z)$ is the non-linear mass scale at which the peak-height threshold $\nu(M;z)$ is equal to one. Due to computational limitations, we ignore the distribution of concentrations, for a given mass, observed in simulations by \cite{37} and only use the mean value. As discussed in \cite{29}, the concentration distribution is important for higher moments and ignoring the distribution in present work, it is likely that we underestimate the non-Gaussian contribution from the single halo term at a level of 20%. We also expect uncertainties at the same level due to our modeling of halos as smooth spherical distributions and by ignoring the substructure and asphericity of halos.

The gas density profile, $\rho_g(r)$, is calculated assuming hydrostatic equilibrium between the gas distribution and the dark matter density field within a halo. This is a valid assumption given that current observations of halos, mainly galaxy clusters, suggest the existence of regularity relations, such as size-temperature (e.g., \cite{38}), between physical properties of dark matter and baryon distributions. Using the hydrostatic equilibrium allows us to write the baryon density profile, $\rho_g(r)$, within halos as

$$\rho_g(r) = \rho_{go} e^{-b \left(1 + \frac{r}{r_s}\right)^{br_s/r}},$$

where $b$ is a constant, for a given mass,

$$b = \frac{4\pi G \mu m_p r_s^2}{k_B T_e},$$

with the Boltzmann constant, $k_B$ \cite{39} and $\mu = 0.59$, corresponding to a hydrogen mass fraction of 76%. Equation 29 is derived only under the assumption of hydrostatic equilibrium for the gas distribution in a dark matter profile given by the NFW equation. The hydrostatic equilibrium allows a basic physical assumption to relate pressure and dark matter. Though effects such as mergers between halos is expected to result in a violation of this assumption, we do not consider variants since previous predictions made with the above halo have been found to be consistent with numerical simulations by Refregier & Teyssier \cite{17}. In above, the normalization $\rho_{go}$ is determined under the assumption of a constant gas mass fraction for halos comparable with the universal baryon to dark matter ratio: $f_g \equiv M_g/M_\delta = \Omega_g/\Omega_m$. When investigating astrophysical uses of the SZ effect, we will vary this parameter and consider variations of gas fraction as a function of mass and redshift.

The electron temperature can be calculated based on the virial theorem or similar arguments as discussed in \cite{18}. Using the virial theorem, we can write

$$k_B T_e = \frac{\gamma G \mu m_p M_\delta}{3 r_v},$$

with $\gamma = 3/2$. Since $r_v \propto M_\delta^{3/5}(1+z)^{-1}$ in physical coordinates, $T_e \propto M^{2/3}(1+z)$. The average density weighted temperature is
\[
\langle T_e \rangle_\delta = \int dM \frac{M}{\rho_0} \frac{dn}{dM} (M, z) T_e (M, z).
\]

(31)

The total gas mass present in a dark matter halo within \(r_v\) is

\[
M_g (r_v) = 4\pi \rho_0 c^3 \int_0^\infty dx \, x^2 (1 + x)^{b/z}.
\]

(32)

In Fig. 1, we show the NFW profile for the dark matter and arbitrarily normalized gas profiles predicted by the hydrostatic equilibrium and virial theorem for several values of \(b\). As \(b\) is decreased, such that the temperature is increased, since \(b \propto 1/T_e\), the turn over radius of the gas distribution shifts to higher radii. The relative normalization of the gas profiles are set with a gas fraction value of 0.1, while the NFW profile is arbitrarily normalized with \(\rho_0 = 1\); the gas profiles, therefore, simply scale with the same factor related to true value of \(\rho_0\). As an example, we also show the so-called \(\beta\) model that is commonly used to describe X-ray and SZ observations of galaxy clusters and for the derivation purpose of the Hubble constant by combined SZ/X-ray data. The \(\beta\) model describes the underlying gas distribution quite accurately by the gas profile in Eq. 28, though we find differences at the outermost radii of halos. This difference can be used as a way to establish the hydrostatic equilibrium for clusters with respect to a NFW like dark matter profile. The hydrostatic equilibrium and virial theorem for several values of \(b\) shows that the mass enclosed, as defined by the profiles predicted by the gas profile in Eq. 28, though we find differences at the outermost radii of halos. This difference can be used as a way to establish the hydrostatic equilibrium for clusters with respect to a NFW like dark matter profile.

A discussion on the comparison between the gas profile used here and the \(\beta\) model is available in [39]. In addition, we refer the reader to [18] for a full detailed discussion on issues related to modeling of pressure power spectrum using halos and associated systematic errors. Comparisons of the halo model predictions with numerical simulations are available in [14] and [17]. Similarly, issues related to modeling of the dark matter clustering using halos is discussed in [31] for the bispectrum and [20] for the trispectrum.

D. Power Spectra and Trispectra

For the calculations presented in this paper, we will encounter the power spectrum and trispectrum involving dark matter, pressure and baryons and their cross-correlations. We now write down the relevant Fourier space correlations under the halo approach. To generalize the discussion, we will use the index \(i\) to represent the property of interest.

In general, the power spectrum of these three quantities under the halo model now becomes \[30\] :

\[
P_i (k) = P_i^{th} (k) + P_i^{2h} (k),
\]

(33)

\[
P_i^{th} (k) = I_{2,ii}^0 (k, k),
\]

(34)

\[
P_i^{2h} (k) = [I_{1,i}^2 (k)]^2 P^\text{lin} (k),
\]

(35)

where the two terms represent contributions from two points in a single halo (1h) and points in two different halos (2h) respectively.

Similar to above, we can also define the cross power spectra between two fields \(i\) and \(j\) as

\[
P_{ij} (k) = P_{ij}^{1h} (k) + P_{ij}^{2h} (k),
\]

(36)

\[
P_{ij}^{1h} (k) = I_{1,i}^1 (k),
\]

(37)

\[
P_{ij}^{2h} (k) = I_{1,i}^1 (k) I_{1,j}^1 (k) P^\text{lin} (k).
\]

(38)

It is also useful to define the bias of one field relative to the dark matter density field as

\[
\text{bias}_i (k) = \frac{P_i (k)}{\sqrt{P_i (k) P_j (k)}}.
\]

(39)

We can also define a dimensionless correlation coefficient between the two fields as

\[
r_{ij} (k) = \frac{P_{ij} (k)}{\sqrt{P_i (k) P_j (k)}}.
\]

(40)

During the course of this paper, we will encounter, and use, cross-power spectra such as the one involving baryon and pressure, \(P_{g\Pi}\), and dark matter and pressure, \(P_{d\Pi}\).

As described in [20], the contributions to the trispectrum may be separated into those involving one to four halos

\[
T_i = T_i^{1h} + T_i^{2h} + T_i^{3h} + T_i^{4h},
\]

(41)

where here and below the argument of the trispectrum is understood to be \((k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4)\). The term involving a single halo probes correlations of the property of interest, e.g., dark matter, within that halo

\[
T_i^{1h} = I_{4,iii}^0 (k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4),
\]

(42)

and is independent of configuration due to the assumed spherical symmetry for our halos.

The term involving two halos can be further broken up into two parts

\[
T_i^{2h} = T_{31,iii}^{2h} + T_{22,iii}^{2h},
\]

(43)

which represent taking three or two points in the first halo

\[
T_{31,iii}^{2h} = P^\text{lin} (k_1) I_{3,ii}^0 (k_2, k_3, k_4) I_{1,i}^1 (k_1) + 3 \text{ Perm.},
\]

(44)

\[
T_{22,iii}^{2h} = P^\text{lin} (k_1) I_{2,ii}^0 (k_1, k_2) I_{1,i}^1 (k_3, k_4) + 2 \text{ Perm.}.
\]

(45)

The permutations involve the 3 other choices of \(k_i\) for the \(I_{1,i}^1\) term in the first equation and the two other pairings of the \(k_i\)’s for the \(I_{2,ii}^0\) terms in the second. Here, we have defined \(k_{12} = k_1 + k_2\); note that \(k_{12}\) is the length of one of the diagonals in the configuration.

The term containing three halos can only arise with two points in one halo and one in each of the others.
where the permutations represent the unique pairings of the k_i’s in the I_{2,ii} factors. This term also depends on the configuration. Finally for four halos, the contribution is

$$T^{4h}_i = I^{4h}_{i,i}(k_1)I^{4h}_{i,i}(k_2)I^{4h}_{i,i}(k_3)I^{4h}_{i,i}(k_4)\left\{T^{lin} + \frac{T^{2,ii,i}(k_4)}{T^{1,ii,i}(k_1)} \times P^{lin}(k_1)P^{lin}(k_2)P^{lin}(k_3) + 3 \text{ Perm.}\right\},$$

where the permutations represent the choice of k_i in the I^{4h}_{i,i}’s in the brackets. Because of the closure condition expressed by the delta function, the trispectrum may be viewed as a four-sided figure with sides k_i. It can alternatively be described by the length of the four sides k_i plus the diagonals. We occasionally refer to elements of the trispectrum that differ by the length of the diagonals as different configurations of the trispectrum. In the rest of the paper, we will encounter the pressure trispectrum $T^{\Pi} = T^{\Pi_{III\Pi}}$ and the pressure-baryon cross trispectrum: $T^{\Pi_{B\Pi}}$.

### III. PRESSURE TRISPECTRUM AND POWER SPECTRUM COVARIANCE

Following [19], we can relate the trispectrum to the variance of the estimator of the binned power spectrum

$$\hat{P}_i = \frac{1}{V} \int d^3k \frac{\delta^3_{\Pi}(-k)}{V_{\Pi}} \delta_{\Pi}(k),$$

where the integral is over a shell in k-space centered around k, $V_{\Pi} \approx 4\pi k^2 dt$ is the volume of the shell and V is the volume of the survey. Recalling that $\delta(0) \rightarrow V/(2\pi)^3$ for a finite volume,

$$C_{ij} = \frac{\langle \hat{P}_i \hat{P}_j \rangle - \langle \hat{P}_i \rangle \langle \hat{P}_j \rangle}{1} = \frac{1}{V} \left[ \frac{(2\pi)^3}{V_{\Pi}} - 2P^2_{\Pi} \delta_{ij} + T^{\Pi}_{ij} \right],$$

where

$$T^{\Pi}_{ij} = \int d^3k_i \frac{\delta^3_{\Pi}}{V_{\Pi}} \int \frac{d^3k_j}{V_{\Pi}} T^{\Pi}(k_i, -k_i, k_j, -k_j).$$

Notice that though both terms scale in the same way with the volume of the survey, only the Gaussian piece necessarily decreases with the volume of the shell. For the Gaussian piece, the sampling error reduces to a simple root-N mode counting of independent modes in a shell. The trispectrum quantifies the non-independence of the modes both within a shell and between shells. Calculating the covariance matrix of the power spectrum estimates reduces to averaging the elements of the trispectrum across configurations in the shell.

### A. Discussion

In Fig. 3(a), we show the logarithmic power spectrum of pressure and dark matter such that $\Delta^2(k) = k^3P(k)/2\pi^2$ with contributions broken down to the 1h and 2h terms today. As shown, the power pressure spectrum depicts an increase in power relative to the dark matter at scales out to few h Mpc$^{-1}$, and a decrease thereafter. The decrease in power at small scales can be understood through the relative contribution to pressure as a function of the halo mass. In Fig. 3(b), we break the total dark matter power spectrum (a) and the total pressure power spectrum (b), to a function of mass. As shown, contributions to dark matter come from massive halos at large scales and by small mass halos at small scales. The pressure power spectrum is such that through temperature weighing, with $T_e \propto M^{2/3}$ dependence, the contribution from low mass halos to pressure is suppressed relative to that from the high mass end. Thus, the pressure power spectrum, at all scales of interest, can be described with halos of mass greater than $10^{14}$ M$_\odot$. A comparison of the dark matter and pressure power spectra, as a function of mass, in Fig. 3 reveals that the turn-over in the pressure power spectrum results at an effective scale radius for halos with mass greater than $10^{14}$ M$_\odot$. As we find later, this effective turn-over produces a distinct signature in the SZ angular power spectrum involving a turnover of SZ power at multipoles of few thousand: The presence of this turnover and the exact multipole range can be used as a probe of gas and temperature physics.

For the covariance of pressure, and also for the covariance of the SZ power spectrum, we are mainly interested in terms of the pressure trispectrum involving configurations that result in $T^{\Pi}(k_1, -k_1, k_2, -k_2)$, i.e. parallelgrams which are defined by either the length $k_{12}$ or the angle between $k_1$ and $k_2$. These are the configurations that contribute to the pressure power spectrum covariance. For illustration purposes we will take $k_1 = k_2$ and the angle to be $90^\circ$ ($k_2 = k_\bot$) such that the parallelogram is a square. It is then convenient to define

$$\Delta_{\Pi\Pi}(k) \equiv \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} T^{1/3}_{\Pi}(k, -k, k_\bot, -k_\bot),$$

such that this quantity scales roughly as the logarithmic power spectrum itself $\Delta^2(k)$. This spectrum is shown in Fig. 3(b) with the individual contributions from the 1h, 2h, 3h, 4h terms shown. As shown, almost all contributions to the pressure trispectrum come from the single halo term.

Using the pressure trispectrum, we can now predict the pressure covariance and, more appropriately, correlations in the binned measurements of the pressure. The predictions made here with the halo model to describe pressure can easily be tested in numerical simulations and the accuracy of the halo model can be further studied. For this purpose, we calculate the covariance matrix...
of the dark matter density field and associated properties to be made with respect to bispectrum and trispectrum. Though, detailed comparisons still remain.

In Table I, we tabulate the pressure (upper triangle), and for comparison dark matter (lower triangle), correlation coefficients

\[ \hat{C}_{ij} = \frac{C_{ij}}{\sqrt{C_{ii}C_{jj}}} \]  

The dark matter correlations are from the halo based predictions by [20]. There, for the dark matter, we suggested that the halo model predicted correlations agree with numerical simulations of [18] typically better than ±0.1, even in the region where non-Gaussian effects dominate, and that the qualitative features such as the increase in correlations across the non-linear scale are preserved. As we do not have measurements of the pressure correlations from simulations, we cannot perform a detailed comparison on the accuracy of the halo model predictions for pressure here.

A further test on the accuracy of the halo approach is to consider higher order real-space moments such as skewness and kurtosis. In [20], halo model predictions agree remarkably well with numerical simulations, especially for the pressure and SZ power spectra, though, detailed comparisons still remain to be made with respect to bispectrum and trispectrum.

Even though the dark matter halo formalism provides a physically motivated means of calculating the statistics of the dark matter density field and associated properties such as pressure, there are several limitations of the approach that should be borne in mind when interpreting results. The approach assumes all halos share a parameterized spherically-symmetric profile and this assumption is likely to affect detailed results on the configuration dependence of the bispectrum and trispectrum. Since we are considering a weighted average of configurations, our predictions presented here may be insufficient to establish the validity of the trispectrum modeling in general. Further numerical work is required to quantify to what extent the present approach reproduces simulation results for the full trispectrum. We do not consider such comparisons here, other than to suggest that the halo model has provided, at least qualitatively, a consistent description better than any of the arguments involving a biased description of gas tracing the dark matter etc.

### IV. SZ THERMAL EFFECT

The Sunyaev-Zel’dovich (SZ; [9]) effect arises from the inverse-Compton scattering of CMB photons by hot electrons along the line of sight. The temperature decrement along the line of sight due to SZ effect can be written as the integral of pressure along the same line of sight

\[ y = \Delta T_{\text{CMB}} = g(x) \int dr a(r) \frac{k_B \sigma_T}{m_e c^2} n_e(r) T_e(r) \]  

where \( \sigma_T \) is the Thomson cross-section, \( n_e \) is the electron number density, \( r \) is the comoving distance, and \( g(x) = x \coth(x/2) - 4 \) with \( x = \hbar c/k_B T_{\text{CMB}} \) is the spectral shape of SZ effect. At Rayleigh-Jeans (RJ) part of
the CMB, $g(x) = -2$. For the rest of this paper, we assume observations in the Rayleigh-Jeans regime of the spectrum; an experiment such as Planck with sensitivity beyond the peak of the spectrum can separate out SZ contributions based on the spectral signature, $g(x)$ [12].

### A. Power Spectrum and Trispectrum

The SZ power spectrum and trispectrum are defined in the flat sky approximation in the usual way

$$
\langle y_1 y_2 \rangle = (2\pi)^2 \delta_D(1_{12}) C^\text{SZ}_l,
\langle y_1 \ldots y_4 \rangle_c = (2\pi)^2 \delta_D(1_{1234}) T^\text{SZ}(l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4). \quad (54)
$$

These can be written as a redshift projection of the pressure power spectrum

$$
C^\text{SZ}_l = \int dr \frac{W^\text{SZ}(r)^2}{d_A} P^\text{II}_l \left( \frac{l}{d_A}, r \right),
\quad (55)
$$

$$
T^\text{SZ} = \int dr \frac{W^\text{SZ}(r)^4}{d_A^6} T^\text{II}_l \left( l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4; \frac{l}{d_A}, \frac{r}{d_A}, \frac{r}{d_A}, \frac{r}{d_A} \right), \quad (56)
$$

where $d_A$ is the angular diameter distance. At RJ part of the frequency spectrum, the SZ weight function is

$$
W^\text{SZ}(r) = -2 \frac{k_B \sigma_T \bar{n}_e}{a(r)^2 m_e c^2} \quad (57)
$$

where $\bar{n}_e$ is the mean electron density today. In deriving Eq. (54), we have used the Limber approximation [40] by setting $k = l/d_A$ and flat-sky approximation.

In Fig. 4(a), we show the SZ power spectrum due to baryons present in virialized halos. As shown, most of the contributions to SZ power spectrum comes from individual massive halos, while the halo-halo correlations only contribute at a level of 10% at large angular scales. This is contrary to, say, the lensing convergence power spectrum discussed in [13], where most of the power at large angular scales is due to the halo-halo correlations. The difference can be understood by noting that the SZ effect is strongly sensitive to the most massive halos due to $T \propto M^{2/3}$ dependence in temperature and to a lesser, but somewhat related, extent that the weight function increases towards low redshifts. The lensing weight function selectively probes the large scale dark matter density power spectrum at comoving distances half to that of background sources ($z \sim 0.2$ to 0.5 when sources are at a redshift of 1), but has no extra dependence on mass. The fact that the SZ power spectrum results mainly from the single halo term also results in a sharp reduction of power when the maximum mass used in the calculation is varied. For example, as discussed in [13] and illustrated in Fig. 4(b), with the maximum mass decreased from $10^{16}$ to $10^{13}$ $M_\odot$, the SZ power spectrum is reduced by a factor nearly two orders of magnitude in large scales and an order of magnitude at $l \sim 10^4$.

In Fig 4(b) we show the scaled trispectrum...
where \( l_{\perp} = l \) and \( 1 \cdot l_{\perp} = 0 \). The projected SZ trispectrum again shows the same behavior as the pressure trispectrum. As shown, the contributions to the trispectrum essentially comes from the single halo term at all multipoles. This is consistent with our observation that SZ power spectrum is essentially dominated by the correlations of pressure within halos. As discussed in \([18]\), the SZ bispectrum is also dominated by the single halo term. Given this dependence on the single halo term, for the rest of the discussion involving SZ coherence, we will only use the single halo term and ignore the contributions arising from large scale correlations associated with halos.

**B. SZ Power Spectrum Covariance**

For the purpose of this calculation, we assume that planned small angular scale SZ experiments will measure the thermal SZ power spectrum in bins of logarithmic band powers at several \( l_i \)'s in multipole space with thickness \( \delta l_i \). Thus, we can write the band power measurements as

\[
C_i = \int \frac{d^2 l}{A_{\text{s}i}} \frac{l^2}{2\pi} y(l) y(-l),
\]

where \( A_{\text{s}}(l_i) = \int d^2 l \) is the area of 2D shell in multipole space and can be written as \( A_{\text{s}}(l_i) = 2\pi l_i \delta l_i + \pi (\delta l_i)^2 \).

We can now write the signal covariance matrix as

\[
\Delta C^2_{ij}(l) = \frac{l^2}{2\pi} T^2_{\text{SZ}}(l, -l, l_{\perp}, -l_{\perp})^{1/3}.
\]

where \( l_{\perp} = l \) and \( 1 \cdot l_{\perp} = 0 \). The projected SZ trispectrum again shows the same behavior as the pressure trispectrum. As shown, the contributions to the trispectrum essentially comes from the single halo term at all multipoles. This is consistent with our observation that SZ power spectrum is essentially dominated by the correlations of pressure within halos. As discussed in \([18]\), the SZ bispectrum is also dominated by the single halo term. Given this dependence on the single halo term, for the rest of the discussion involving SZ coherence, we will only use the single halo term and ignore the contributions arising from large scale correlations associated with halos.

**FIG. 6.** The fractional errors in the measurements of the SZ band powers. Here, we show the fractional errors under the Gaussian approximation, and the total including non-Gaussianities. As shown, the total contribution as a function of mass is sensitive to the presence of most massive halos in the universe. The non-Gaussian term is essentially dominated by the single halo term.

\[
C_{ij} = \frac{1}{A} \left[ \frac{(2\pi)^2}{A_{\text{s}i}} 2C_{ij}^2 + T^2_{\text{SZ}} \right],
\]

\[
T^2_{ij} = \int \frac{d^2 l_i}{A_{\text{s}i}} \int \frac{d^2 l_j}{A_{\text{s}j}} \frac{l^2_{ij}}{(2\pi)^2} T^2_{\text{SZ}}(l_i, -l_i, l_j, -l_j),
\]

where \( A \) is the area of the survey in steradians. Again the first term is the Gaussian contribution to the sample variance and the second term is the non-Gaussian contribution. A realistic survey will also have an additional noise variance due to the instrumental effects and a covariance resulting from the uncertainties associated with the separation of the SZ effect from thermal CMB and other foregrounds.

In Fig. 6, we show the fractional error,

\[
\frac{\Delta C_i}{C_i} = \sqrt{\frac{C_{ii}}{C_{ii}}},
\]

for bands \( i \) given in Table 1 following the binning scheme used in \([20]\) for the weak lensing power spectrum.

In Fig. 6, the dashed line shows the Gaussian error while the solid line shows the total covariance with the addition of the SZ trispectrum (Eq. 61). At all multipoles, the non-Gaussianities from the trispectrum dominates the variance. As we discussed for the power spectrum, however, a reduction in the maximum mass of the halos used for the SZ calculation leads to a sharp decreases in the non-Gaussianities. With a mass cut at \( 10^{14} M_\odot \), shown by the dotted line, we see that the total variance is consistent with the Gaussian variance out to \( l \sim 1000 \).

We can now write the correlation between the bands as

\[
\hat{C}_{ij} = \frac{C_{ij}}{\sqrt{C_{ii}C_{jj}}},
\]
In Table II we tabulate the SZ correlations under the assumption that the SZ power spectrum is measured independently, say in a frequency cleaned map, (upper triangle) and is measured in the CMB primary dominated map (lower triangle). The correlations along individual columns increase (as one goes to large $l$’s or small angular scales) and the maximum values are reached at $l \sim 5000$ consistent with the general behavior of the trispectrum.

In Fig. 7, we show the non-Gaussian trispectrum correlation coefficient given by

\[ \tilde{C}_{ij}^{NG} = \frac{T_{ij}}{\sqrt{T_{ii}T_{jj}}} . \]

As shown here, the increase in non-Gaussian correlation is consistent with the fact that at all scales it is the single halo term which dominates the non-Gaussian contribution. Since the power spectrum is dominated by correlations in single halos, the fixed profile of the halos correlate the power in all the modes and the correlations between adjacent modes are significant.

The calculation, or experimental measurement, of the full SZ covariance is necessary for the interpretation of observational results on the power spectrum. The upcoming SZ surveys, where the power spectrum will be measured, is likely to be limited to a small area on the sky. Thus, in the absence of many fields where the covariance can be estimated directly from the data, the halo model based approach suggested here provides a useful, albeit model dependent, quantification of the covariance. As suggested for weak lensing observations in [11] and discussed in [20], as a practical approach one could imagine taking the variances estimated from the survey under a Gaussian approximation, after accounting for uneven sampling and edge effects, and scaling it up by the non-Gaussian to Gaussian variance ratio of the halo model along with inclusion of the band power correlations. Additionally, using the covariance as the one calculated here, one can use the approach well known in the fields of CMB and galaxy power spectrum measurements to decorrelate band powers [12].

### C. Astrophysical Uses of the SZ Power Spectrum

The calculation of the full covariance matrix now allows us to study how well the SZ power spectrum measures certain astrophysical and cosmological parameters. The upcoming CMB power spectrum measurements, complemented by the related local universe observations such as the galaxy power spectrum or supernovae, are expected to constrain most of the cosmological parameters to a reasonable accuracy [13]. Thus, we ignore the possibility that the SZ effect can be used as a probe of cosmology and only concentrate on the astrophysical uses of the SZ effect. This is a reasonable approach to take since there are many unknown astrophysics associated with the SZ effect involving the clustering of gas density and temperature. Such an approach allows us not to complicate the parameter measurements by adding both astrophysical and cosmological parameters. Assuming the cosmology will be safely known, we now ask the question what additional astrophysical parameters one can hope to extract from the SZ effect under the present halo model.

There are many approaches to parameterize the unknown astrophysics of the SZ power spectrum. Some
FIG. 9. The temperature fluctuations of the SZ effect through variations in the astrophysical parameters under the halo model. From (a) to (c), we show the variations associated with gas evolution while from (d) to (f), we show variations involved with temperature. The parameters are described in § IV C.

possibilities have already been suggested in the literature, essentially involving the gas evolution [44]. Since the SZ effect involves both gas and temperature as a product, i.e., the pressure, one may be led to conclude that it is not possible to separate effects associated with temperature from those associated with gas density. Given the dependence of temperature on the pressure profile, which we calculated from hydrostatic equilibrium, one can expect the degeneracy between gas properties and temperature properties to be partly broken. Note that the profile shape only depends on the temperature and not the exact density of gas; thus, profile shape is only affected by the temperature. This statement is exact under the assumption that virial equation can be applied. If one were to consider a varying temperature distribution, then the pressure profile will be sensitive to both gas density and temperature. We do not consider the case with a temperature profile as we are only interested in the simplest case to describe pressure here.

As discussed earlier, the clustering of pressure power spectrum has a turnover corresponding to an equivalent scale radius of pressure. Through the gas pressure profile, this turnover can be characterized by the parameter \( b \) and the dark matter scale radius \( r_s \). Note that \( b \propto 1/T_e \), so a measurement of \( b \) is essentially a probe of the electron temperature, though, it is unlikely that one can obtain all information on temperature and its evolution from one parameter measurement. Thus, instead of \( b \), we take temperature itself to be one interesting astrophysical parameter and consider its evolution such that

\[
T(M, z) = T_0 \left( \frac{M}{10^{15} h^{-1} M_{\odot}} \right) T_1 (1 + z)^{T_{\text{evol}}} + T_{\text{min}}.
\]

(64)
Here, the four parameters represent the temperature-mass normalization, $T_0$, which in the fiducial case has the value given by the virial equation ($K_B T_0 \sim 5.2 \text{ keV}$), the mass dependence slope, $T_1$, with a fiducial value of $2/3$, a redshift dependent evolutionary parameter, $T_{\text{evol}}$, with a fiducial value of 1, and a minimum temperature for gas independent of mass and redshift $T_{\text{min}}$, with a value of zero in the fiducial case. This latter parameter accounts for any possible preheating of gas before virializing in halos due to effects associated with some unknown astrophysics, such as the reionization process. A measurement of $T_{\text{min}}$ would be interesting given that observational data from massive clusters to less-massive galaxy groups suggest possible preheating of gas before virialization in halos. As discussed in [18] and [4], the SZ power spectrum provides a strong probe of preheating of gas. We note here that our description of preheating as a redshift independent temperature is likely to be too extreme since one expects the preheating temperature to vary with both redshift and mass such that all three parameters, $T_0$, $T_1$ and $T_{\text{evol}}$, are affected. We do not consider variations in $T_{\text{min}}$ as a function of redshift due to our unknown knowledge of physics associated with this process and that addition of extra parameters can lead to further degeneracies. In Fig. 8, we show the variation in the redshift evolution of the density weighted temperature of electrons as a function of redshift. The solid line shows the redshift evolution of the temperature under the fiducial model while variations about this model are shown as labeled.

In addition to the temperature, the SZ effect also depends on the number density of electrons in clusters. So far, we have considered this number through the universal baryon fraction in the universe such that $f_g \equiv \frac{M_g}{M_\delta} = \Omega_g/\Omega_m$. This assumption ignores any possible effects associated with the evolution of the gas fraction in halos, independent of any evolution that may be associated with temperature. It will be interesting to study to what extent future observations will allow the measurement of the fraction of baryons that is responsible for the SZ effect, and any evolution that may be associated with this fraction. Thus, a second set of parameters one can hope to extract from SZ observations involves gas mass fraction of halos and its evolution.

To study such gas properties, we parameterize the gas mass fraction such that

$$f_g = f_0 \left( \frac{M}{10^{15}h^{-1}\text{M}_\odot} \right) f_1 (1+z)^{f_{\text{evol}}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (65)\hspace{1cm}

In a recent paper, Majumdar [44] has suggested the possible measurement of any mass and redshift dependence of gas mass fraction in galaxy clusters, given that the SZ power spectrum was observed to vary significantly with changes in these two parameters. Since the SZ power spectrum essentially is sensitive to $\sim f_g^2 T_g^2$, however, such a suggestion for measurement of gas evolution is not independent of any variations associated with temperature, which was ignored in the study of [4]. Our general parameterization above involving both temperature and gas allows us to quantify how well independent statements can be made on possible measurement of gas density and temperature evolution, under the assumption that cosmology is known. Note that gas evolution is not present in our fiducial model since we take the gas fraction to be independent of mass and redshift with $f_1 = 0$ and $f_{\text{evol}} = 0$, respectively.
We now have a total of seven parameters we wish to extract from a measurement of the SZ power spectrum. In order to perform this calculation we take a Fisher matrix based approach. The Fisher matrix is simply a projection of the covariance matrix onto the basis of astrophysical parameters $p_i$

$$F_{\alpha \beta} = \sum_{ij} \frac{\partial C_i}{\partial \alpha} (C^{-1}_{\text{tot}})_{ij} \frac{\partial C_j}{\partial \beta},$$

(66)

where the total covariance includes both the signal and noise covariance. Under the approximation of Gaussian shot noise, this reduces to replacing $C^{\text{SZ}}_l \rightarrow C^{\text{SZ}}_l + C^{\text{Noise}}_l$ in the expressions leading up to the covariance equation (4). The noise power spectrum includes the noise associated with detectors, beam size and variance resulting from the separation of the SZ effect from other temperature fluctuations in multifrequency data.

Under the approximation that there are a sufficient number of modes in the band powers that the distribution of power spectrum estimates is approximately Gaussian, the Fisher matrix quantifies the best possible errors on cosmological parameters that can be achieved by a given survey. In particular $F^{-1}$ is the optimal covariance matrix of the parameters and $(F^{-1})_{ij}^{1/2}$ is the optimal error on the $i$th parameter. Implicit in this approximation of the Fisher matrix is the neglect of information from the parameter dependence of the covariance matrix of the band powers themselves. We neglect this information due to computational restrictions on the calculation of covariance for all variations in parameters within a reasonable amount of time. We do not expect this exclusion to change our results significantly. Also, here, we are mostly interested in an order of magnitude estimate on how well the SZ power spectrum can constrain astrophysics associated with large scale pressure.

The Fisher matrix approach allows us to address how well degeneracies are broken in the parameter space under the assumption of a fiducial model for the parameters. For the purpose of this calculation, we take binned measurements of the SZ power spectrum following the binning scheme in Table 2. We consider a perfect, no instrumental noise, SZ experiment with no noise contribution to the covariance and observations out to $l \sim 10^4$.

To consider a real world scenario, we also study the astrophysical uses of the SZ power spectrum that can be extracted from the Planck mission. Here, we use the SZ noise power spectrum calculated for Planck with detector noise and uncertainties in the separation of SZ from CMB and other foreground in [14]. This SZ noise power spectrum is shown in Fig. 1(b).

### D. Discussion

In Fig. 1(b), we show the variation associated with SZ temperature fluctuations ($\Delta T = \sqrt{l(l+1)/(2\pi)} C_l T_{\text{CMB}}$) for parameters involved with gas and temperature evolutions. These plots allow us to understand some of the degeneracies associated with the description of gas and temperature evolution. For example, as shown, the gas and temperature redshift dependence essentially predicts similar behavior for the SZ temperature fluctuation, though there are minor differences due to the temperature dependence on the pressure profiles of halos. For the most part, variations due to temperature evolution is due to the normalization and not due to variations in the profile shape. In (b) and (e), we show variations due to the mass slope of the gas evolution and temperature evolution, respectively. Here again, we see similar behavior. When the slope of the mass-temperature relation, as a function of mass, is greater than 0.7, we see significant differences, especially involving an increase in temperature fluctuations at small scales. This is due to the relatively increasing weighing of small mass halos.

In (c) and (f), we show variations associated with gas evolution normalization $f_0$ and temperature-mass normalization $T_0$. The variation associated with $f_0$ is easily understood since the effect is only a change in the overall normalization of the power spectrum. The variation with temperature-mass normalization shows both effects due to normalization and the profile. When the normalization is low, gas clusters to small radii in low mass halos leading to an increase in power at small scales. As the temperature normalization is increased, gas profile varies such that there is a reduction in small scale power and the angular multipole of the turn-over scale shifts to low values. When the temperature normalization is sufficiently high, the overall weighing resulting from the overall temperature multiplicative factor becomes important. Now, the power spectrum behaves as a simple normalization change, similar to the variation in power due to gas ev-

### TABLE III.

| Parameter | $T_0$ | $T_1$ | $T_{\text{evol}}$ | $T_{\text{min}}$ | $f_0$ | $f_1$ | $f_{\text{evol}}$ |
|-----------|------|------|----------------|----------------|------|------|----------------|
| $F_{0}$   | 8.80 | 1.32 | -1.93          | -0.21          | -1.69| -3.36|               |
| $T_0$     | 0.51 | 1.08 | -0.18          | -0.04          | -0.41| -0.07|               |
| $T_{\text{evol}}$ | 2.69 | -0.62 | -0.11 | -0.94 | -2.11 | 0.48 | 0.04 | 0.29 | 0.67 | 0.006 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.39 | 0.73 | 1.67 |

NOTES.—Inverse Fisher matrix for the SZ effect with seven parameters and full non-Gaussian errors. The error on an individual parameter is the square root of the diagonal element of the inverse Fisher matrix for the parameter while off-diagonal entries of the inverse Fisher matrix shows correlations, and, thus, degeneracies, between parameters. We have assumed a perfect, no instrumental noise, experiment with a full sky survey ($f_{\text{sky}} = 1$). The seven parameters are described in § IV C.
lution normalization. As shown in Fig. 3(a) to (f), there are significant degeneracies involved with astrophysical parameters that lead to the SZ effect.

In Table IV, we tabulate the errors on these seven parameters using the inverse Fisher matrix for a possible SZ power spectrum measurement. Here, we have considered the possibility that parameter extraction will be limited to 3, 5 and 7 parameters. The increase in number of parameters to be measured from a SZ power spectrum increases degeneracies associated with the set of parameters resulting in their accuracies. In the case of the 3 parameters involving temperature-mass normalization, $T_0$, a minimum temperature for all halos $T_{\text{min}}$, and the gas mass fraction $f_0$, in a perfect experiment, all three parameters can be extracted such that they will be provided essentially very strong constraints. For example, the error on $f_0$ is such that one can identify the gas fraction of clusters responsible for SZ effect from the cosmic mean of $\Omega_g/\Omega_m = 0.05/0.3$ with an error of $4 \times 10^{-3}$. With Planck, one can constrain the preheating temperature at the level of $\sim 0.7$ keV, and since current predictions for possible preheating is also at the level of few tenths keV, Planck SZ power spectrum can either confirm or put a useful limit on preheating temperature at current expectations.

As tabulated, however, the accuracy to which parameters can be determined from SZ power spectrum reduces significantly when the number of parameters to be determined is increased. For example, the Planck mission will only set a limit at $\sim 1.7$ keV on preheating, if one were to study both the mass and redshift dependence of electron temperatures. Such an upper limit is unlikely to be useful for current studies related to preheating of gas. Given that we cannot obtain useful errors with Planck for 5 parameters, we suggest that Planck may not be useful for the purpose of studying the full parameter space suggested here. This is understandable since Planck only allows the measurement of the SZ power spectrum out to $l \sim 1500$, while most of the variations due to parameters under discussion here happens at $l \sim 5000$ or higher where the turnover in the SZ power spectrum is observed. The Planck mission, however, allows one to obtain reasonable errors on parameters which generally define the normalization of the power spectrum, such as the temperature-mass normalization or the normalization of gas mass fraction. The normalization for gas mass fraction from Planck will be useful for the purpose of understanding what fraction of cosmic baryons reside in massive halos and contribute to the SZ effect and to look for any discrepancy of such a value from the total baryon content predicted by big bang nucleosynthesis arguments. In order to obtain reliable measurements of evolution of gas and temperature, a small scale experiment sensitive to multipoles out to $l \sim 10^4$ will be necessary.

For a perfect experiment, we show the errors on seven parameters also in Table IV. The inverse Fisher matrix in this case is tabulated in Table IV. The diagonals of the inverse Fisher matrix show the variance of individual parameters, while, more importantly, the off diagonals show the covariance between parameters. These covariances allow one to understand the degeneracies between parameters. In Table IV, we show the full extent to which parameters degrade the accuracies by tabulating degradation factors associated with the seven parameters. The degradation factor list the increase in parameter error from what can be achieved if all other parameters are known to what can be achieved when all parameters are to be retrieved from data. The degradation factors are at the level of one hundred or more for some parameters, suggesting that there are significant degeneracies associated with the parameterization of the temperature and gas fraction as a function of mass and redshift. Our results generally suggest that accurate estimates of gas evolutionary properties, in the presence of unknown temperature properties, are not possible.

In addition to the parameter degeneracies, the non-Gaussianities associated with the SZ effect also increase the errors on parameters. For example, for the seven parameters under discussion here and again for a perfect and full sky experiment, we list the errors on parameters one can obtain if one were to ignore the non-Gaussian contributions to the covariance. As tabulated, non-Gaussianities increase the error on parameters by up to factors of 1.5, suggesting that the ignoring the non-Gaussianities will lead to a significant underestimate of the errors in parameters. This should be considered under the context that the SZ effect is significantly non-Gaussian at all scales of interest and that ability to distinguish parameters happen only at multipoles of a few thousand where the non-Gaussianities in fact dominate.

V. SZ KINETIC (OSTRIKER-VISHNIAC) EFFECT

The bulk flow of electrons that scatter the CMB photons, in the reionized epoch, lead to temperature fluctuations through the well known Doppler effect:

$$T^{\text{dop}}(\hat{n}) = \int_{0}^{\infty} dr g(r) \hat{n} \cdot v_g(r, \hat{n} r),$$

(67)

where $v_g$ is the baryon velocity. In Fig. 3(a), we show the linear Doppler effect, including contributions resulting due to double scattering effect described in [45] (see, §2 for details). The power spectrum is such that it peaks around the horizon at the reionization projected on the sky today. The effect cancels out significantly at scales smaller than the horizon at scattering since photons scatter against the crests and troughs of the perturbation.

The Ostriker-Vishniac effect arises from the second-order modulation of the Doppler effect by density fluctuations [22], and avoids strong cancelation associated with the linear Doppler effect. This nonlinear effect is also known as the kinetic SZ effect from large-scale structure
and is associated with the line of sight motion of halos. Due to the density weighting, the kinetic SZ effect peaks at small scales: sub arcminutes for ΛCDM. For a fully ionized universe, contributions are broadly distributed in redshift so that the power spectra are moderately dependent on the optical depth τ. Here, we assume an optical depth to ionization up to 0.1, consistent with current upper limits on the reionization redshift from CMB [23] and other observational data (see, e.g., [47] and references therein).

The kinetic SZ temperature fluctuations, denoted as kSZ, can be written as a product of the line of sight velocity, under linear theory, and density fluctuations

\[ T_{kSZ}(\vec{n}) = \int dr (\vec{v}) \cdot \vec{\nabla} \delta(r, \vec{\nabla}) = -i \int dr gGG \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 \vec{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \times \delta_{\ell}^{lin}(\vec{k} - \vec{k'}) \delta_\ell(\vec{k'}) e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{n}} \left[ \frac{\vec{n} \cdot \vec{k}}{|\vec{k}|^2} \right], \]

(68)

Here, we have used the linear theory to obtain the large scale velocity field in terms of the linear dark matter density field. The multiplication between the velocity and density fields in real space has been converted to a convolution between the two fields in Fourier space.

We can now expand out the temperature perturbation due to kinetic SZ effect, \( T_{kSZ} \), into spherical harmonics:

\[ a_{l,m}^{kSZ} = -i \int d\phi \int dr \langle gGG \rangle \int \frac{d^3 k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 k_2}{(2\pi)^3} \times \delta_{\ell}^{lin}(\vec{k}_1) \delta_\ell(\vec{k}_2) e^{i(\vec{k}_1 + \vec{k}_2) \cdot \vec{n}} \left[ \frac{\vec{n} \cdot \vec{k}_1}{|\vec{k}_1|^2} \right] Y_{l,m}^*(\vec{n}), \]

(69)

where we have symmetrized by using \( \vec{k}_1 \) and \( \vec{k}_2 \) to represent \( \vec{k} - \vec{k}' \) and \( \vec{k}' \) respectively. Using

\[ \vec{n} \cdot \vec{k} = \sum_{m'} \frac{4\pi}{3} k Y_{l,m'}^*(\vec{n}) Y_{l,m'}(\vec{k}), \]

(70)

and the Rayleigh expansion

\[ e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{n}} = 4\pi \sum_{l,m} i^l j_l(kr) Y_{l,m}^{*}(\hat{k}) Y_{l,m}(\hat{n}), \]

(71)

we can further simplify and rewrite the multipole moments as

\[ a_{l,m}^{kSZ} = -i \langle gGG \rangle \int \frac{d^3 k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 k_2}{(2\pi)^3} \sum_{l_i m_i} \sum_{l_2 m_2} \times \left( \int \frac{d\phi}{\pi} j_l(kr) Y_{l,m}^{*}(\hat{k}) \right) \times Y_{l_1}^{*}(\hat{1}) Y_{l_2}^{*}(\hat{2}) Y_{l_3}^{*}(\hat{3}) \times \langle a_{l_1 m_1} a_{l_2 m_2} \rangle \]

We can construct the angular power spectrum by considering \( \langle a_{l_1 m_1} a_{l_2 m_2}^* \rangle \). Under the assumption that the temperature field is statistically isotropic, the correlation is independent of \( m \), and we can write the angular power spectrum as

\[ \langle a_{l_1 m_1} a_{l_2 m_2}^* \rangle = \delta_{l_1 l_2} \delta_{m_1 m_2} C_{l_1}^{kSZ}. \]

(74)

The correlation can be written using

\[ \langle a_{l_1 m_1} a_{l_2 m_2}^{kSZ} \rangle = \left( \frac{4\pi}{9} \right)^6 \int d^3 k_1 d^3 k_2 d^3 k_1' d^3 k_2' \times \sum_{l_i m_i} \sum_{l_2 m_2} \times \left( \int \frac{d\phi}{\pi} j_l(kr) \right) \times Y_{l_1}^{*}(\hat{1}) Y_{l_2}^{*}(\hat{2}) Y_{l_3}^{*}(\hat{3}) \times \langle a_{l_1 m_1} a_{l_2 m_2}^* \rangle \]

(75)

We can separate out the contributions such that the total is made of correlations following \( \langle v_g v_g \rangle \delta_\ell \) and...
\( \langle v_y \delta_g \rangle \langle v_y \delta_g \rangle \) depending on whether we consider cumulants by combining \( k_1 \) with \( k'_1 \) or \( k'_2 \), respectively. After some straightforward but tedious algebra, and noting that
\[
\sum_{m'_1, m'_2} \left( \frac{l'_1}{m'_1} \frac{l_2}{m_2} \delta_m \frac{l'_1}{m'_2} \frac{l_2}{m_1} \right) = \frac{\delta_m \delta_{l_1 l_2}}{2l_1 + 1}
\]
we can write
\[
C^1_{k \delta} = \frac{22}{\pi} \sum_{l_1 l_2} \left( \frac{(2l_1 + 1)(2l_2 + 1)}{4\pi} \right) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} l_1 & l_1 & l_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right)^2 
\]
\[
\times \int dr_1 gGG \int dr_2 gGG \int k_1^2 dk_1 \int k_2^2 dk_2 \times (P_{\delta \delta}^g(k_1) P_{gg}(k_2) j_{l_1}(k_1 r_1) j_{l_1}(k_1 r_2) j_{l_2}(k_1 r_1) j_{l_2}(k_1 r_2) - 1 \frac{2}{k_1^2} j_{l_1}(k_1 r_1) j_{l_1}(k_1 r_2) j_{l_2}(k_1 r_1) j_{l_2}(k_1 r_2) - 1) \right).
\]

(76)

Here, the first term represents the contribution from \( \langle v_y v_y \rangle \langle \delta_y \delta_y \rangle \) while the second term is the \( \langle v_y \delta_g \rangle \langle \delta_y \delta_g \rangle \) contribution, respectively. In simplifying the integrals involving spherical harmonics, we have made use of the properties of Clebsh-Gordon coefficients, in particular, those involving \( l = 1 \). The integral involves two distances and two Fourier modes and is summed over the Wigner-3j symbol to obtain the power spectrum. The above equation represents the angular power spectrum of kinetic SZ, or OV effect, under the all-sky coordinates and we have not used the flat-sky assumption or any small angular scale limit which is usually considered in the literature.

Since we are primarily interested in the contribution at small angular scales here, we can ignore the contribution to the kinetic SZ effect involving the correlation between linear density field and baryons and only consider the contribution that results from baryon-baryon and density-density correlations. In fact, under the halo description provided here, there is no correlation of the baryon field within halos and the velocity field traced by individual halos. Thus, contribution to the baryon-velocity correlation only comes from the 2-halo term of the density-field-baryon correlation. This correlation is suppressed at small scales and is not a significant contributor to the kinetic SZ power spectrum (see, [23]).

Similar to the Limber approximation [40], in order to simplify the calculation associated with \( \langle v_y v_y \rangle \langle \delta_y \delta_y \rangle \), we use an equation involving completeness of spherical Bessel functions:
\[
\int dk k^2 F(k) j_l(kr) j_l(kr') \approx \frac{\pi}{2} d_A^{-2} \delta^D(r - r') F(k) \mid_{k = \frac{1}{d_A}},
\]

(78)

where the assumption is that \( F(k) \) is a slowly-varying function. Applying this to the integral over \( k_2 \) gives
\[
C^1_{k \delta} = \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{l_1 l_2} \left( \frac{(2l_1 + 1)(2l_2 + 1)}{4\pi} \right) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} l_1 & l_1 & l_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right)^2 
\]
\[
\times \int dr_1 gG \int dr_2 gG \int k_1^2 dk_1 \int k_2^2 dk_2 \times (P_{\delta \delta}^g(k_1) P_{gg}(k_2) j_{l_1}(k_1 r_1) j_{l_1}(k_1 r_2) j_{l_2}(k_1 r_1) j_{l_2}(k_1 r_2) - 1 \frac{2}{k_1^2} j_{l_1}(k_1 r_1) j_{l_1}(k_1 r_2) j_{l_2}(k_1 r_1) j_{l_2}(k_1 r_2) - 1) \right).
\]

(79)

The alternative approach, which has been the calculation method in many of the previous papers [22][23], is to use a specific coordinate frame with the z-axis along \( \mathbf{k} \). This allows one to simplify the SZ kinetic power spectrum to:
\[
C^1_{k \delta} = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int dr \frac{(gG)^2}{d_A} P_{\delta \delta}(k)^2 I_v \left( k = \frac{1}{d_A} \right),
\]
with the mode-coupling integral given by
\[
I_v(k) = \int dk_1 \int_{-1}^{+1} d\mu \frac{(1 - \mu^2)(1 - 2\mu y_1) P_{\delta \delta}(k_1) P_{\delta \delta}(y_1) P_{\delta \delta}(k_2) P_{\delta \delta}(y_2)}{P_{\delta \delta}(k) P_{\delta \delta}(k}.
\]

(80)

We refer the reader to [40] for details on this derivation. In above, \( \mu = \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{k}_1, y_1 = k_1 / k \) and \( y_2 = k_2 / k = \sqrt{1 - 2\mu y_1 + y_1^2} \). This flat-sky approximation makes use of the Limber approximation [40] to further simplify the calculation with the replacement of \( k = 1 / d_A \). The power spectra here represent the baryon field power spectrum and the velocity field power spectrum; the former assumed to trace the dark matter density field while the latter is generally related to the linear dark matter density field through the use of linear theory arguments.

The correspondence between the flat-sky and all-sky formulation can be obtained by noting that in the small scale limit contributions to the flat-sky effect comes when \( k_2 = |\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_1| \sim k \) such that \( y_1 \ll 1 \). In this limit, the flat sky Ostriker-Vishniac effect reduces to a simple form given by Hu in [23]:
\[
C^1_{k \delta} = \frac{1}{3} \int dr \frac{(gG)^2}{d_A} P_{gg}(k) v^2_{rms}.
\]

(82)

Here, \( v^2_{rms} \) is the rms of the uniform bulk velocity from large scales
\[
v^2_{rms} = \int dk P_{\delta \delta}(k) \frac{2}{2\pi^2}.
\]

(83)

The \( 1/3 \) arises from the fact that rms in each component is \( 1/3 \)rd of the total velocity.

In the same small scale limit, to be consistent with the flat sky expression, we can reduce the all-sky expression such that contributions come from a term that looks like
FIG. 10. The temperature fluctuation power \( \Delta T_l^2 = (l(l+1)/(2\pi)C_l^2_{\text{CMB}}) \) for a variety of methods to calculate the kinetic SZ effect. Here, we show the contribution for a reionization redshift of \( \sim 8 \) and an optical depth to reionization of 0.05. The contributions are calculated under the assumption that the baryon field traces the non-linear dark matter \( P_g(k) = P(\delta, k) \) with \( P(\delta, k) \) predicted by the halo model), the linear density field \( P_g(k) = P_{\text{lin}}(k) \), and the halo model for gas, with total and the 2halo contributions shown separately. For the most part, the kinetic SZ effect can be described using linear theory, and the non-linearities only increase the temperature fluctuation power by a factor of a few at \( l \sim 10^5 \).

\[
C_l^{\text{SZ}} = \int dr \frac{(qG)^2}{d_A^2} P_{gg} \left[ \frac{l}{d_A} ; r_1 \right] \frac{1}{3} \nu_{\text{rms}}^2. \tag{84}
\]

A comparison of the reduced all-sky (Eq. 79) and flat-sky (Eq. 82) formula in the small-scale limit suggests that the correspondence between the two arises when

\[
\sum_{l_1l_2} (2l_1 + 1)(2l_2 + 1) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} l & l_1 & l_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right)^2 \left[ j_{l_1}(kr_1) \right]^2 = \frac{1}{3}. \tag{85}
\]

Numerically, we determined this to be true as long as \( l_2 \ll l \), however, we have not been able to prove this relation analytically. We leave this as a challenge to our readers. Note that the limit \( l_2 \ll l \) is the small-scale limit in the flat-sky and is effectively equivalent to \( y_1 \ll 1 \) considered in writing Eq. 82. Thus, the right hand side of the above expression denotes the all-sky equivalent of the integral that leads to a 1/3rd of rms of a randomly directed quantity along one particular line of sight.

A. Discussion

In Fig. 4, we show our prediction for the SZ kinetic effect and a comparison with the SZ thermal contribution.

As shown, the SZ kinetic contribution is roughly an order of magnitude smaller than the kinetic SZ contribution. There is also a more fundamental difference between the two: the SZ thermal effect, due to its dependence on the highest temperature electrons is more dependent on the most massive halos in the universe, while the SZ kinetic effect arises more clearly due to large scale correlations of the halos that make the large scale structure. The difference arises from that fact that kinetic SZ effect is mainly due to the baryons and not the temperature weighted baryons that trace the pressure responsible for the ther-
nal effect. Contributions to the SZ kinetic effect comes from baryons tracing all scales and down to small mass halos. The difference associated with mass dependence between the two effects suggests that a wide-field SZ thermal effect map and a wide-field SZ kinetic effect map will be different from each other in that massive halos, or clusters, will be clearly visible in a SZ thermal map while the large scale structure will be more evident in a SZ kinetic effect map. Numerical simulations are in fact consistent with this picture \cite{10}.

As shown in Fig. 4(b), the variations in maximum mass used in the calculation does not lead to orders of magnitude changes in the total kinetic SZ contribution. This change is less than the changes in the total thermal SZ contribution as a function of maximum mass. This again is consistent with our basic result that most contributions come from the large scale linear velocity modulated by baryons in halos. Consequently, while the thermal SZ effect is dominated by shot-noise contributions, and is heavily affected by the sample variance, the same is not true for the kinetic SZ effect.

In Fig. 10, we show several additional predictions for the kinetic SZ effect, following the discussion in \cite{16}. Here, we have calculated the kinetic SZ power spectrum under several assumptions, including the case when gas is assumed to trace the non-linear density field and the linear density field. We compare predictions based on such assumptions to those calculated using the halo model. As shown, the halo model calculation shows slightly less power than when using the non-linear dark matter density field to describe clustering of baryons. This difference arises from the fact that baryons do not fully trace the dark matter in halos. Due to small differences, one can safely use the non-linear dark matter power spectrum to describe baryons. Using the linear theory only, however, leads to an underestimate of power at a factor of 3 to 4 at scales corresponding to multipoles of $l \sim 10^4$ to $10^5$ and may not provide an accurate description of the total kinetic SZ effect.

The interesting experimental possibility here is whether one can obtain an wide-field map of the SZ kinetic effect. Since it is now well known that the unique spectral dependence of the thermal SZ effect can be used to separate its contribution \cite{14}, at smaller angular scales, it is likely that after the separation, SZ kinetic effect will be the dominant signal, even after accounting for the lensed CMB contribution. For such a separation of the SZ thermal effect to be carried out and such that a detection of the kinetic SZ effect will be possible, observations, at multifrequencies, are needed to arcminute scales. Upcoming interferometers and similar experiments will allow such studies to be eventually carried out. A wide-field kinetic SZ map of the large scale structure will eventually allow an understating of the large scale velocity field of baryons, as the density fluctuations can be identified through cross-correlation of such a map with a similar thermal SZ map. We now discuss the existence of correlations between the SZ thermal and SZ kinetic effect.

VI. SZ THERMAL-SZ KINETIC CORRELATION

The SZ thermal and SZ kinetic effects both trace the large scale structure baryons. One can study a correlation between these two effect to probe the manner in which baryons are distributed in the large scale structure. For example, such a correlation study may allow one to answer to what extent diffuse baryons contribute to thermal SZ when compared to their contribution to kinetic SZ. Given that the SZ kinetic effect is second order in fluctuations, there is no direct two-point correlation function between the temperature anisotropies produced by SZ thermal and kinetic effects. As discussed in \cite{2}, to the lowest order, the correlation between kinetic SZ and thermal SZ manifests as a nonvanishing bispectrum in temperature fluctuations and can be studied by considering a three-point correlation function or a bispectrum, the Fourier space analog of the three point function, or associated statistics such as the third moment or skewness.

A. SZ thermal-SZ thermal- SZ kinetic bispectrum

We refer the reader to \cite{2} for full details on the derivation of the SZ thermal-SZ kinetic correlation as a bispectrum involving two measurements of SZ thermal effect and one measurement of SZ kinetic effect (SZ thermal-SZ thermal-SZ kinetic); note that in \cite{16}, we identify this bispectrum as SZ-SZ-OV. As discussed there, for low optical depths to reionization, the detection of the SZ-SZ-OV bispectrum is problematic due to high cosmic variance resulting from primary anisotropies.

In Cooray & Hu \cite{16}, we assumed that pressure and baryons both traces dark matter to calculate the SZ-SZ-OV bispectrum. Using our halo model, we can now update this calculation to include the bispectrum formed between SZ thermal-SZ thermal-SZ kinetic effects. Additionally, we can investigate the improvements in the signal-to-noise for the bispectrum detections when the SZ thermal effect is separated from CMB. The maximum signal-to-noise for this bispectrum can only be achieved when the SZ kinetic effect is also separated from CMB, though, given that the two effects have the same frequency dependence, it is unlikely that the kinetic SZ effect can be separated from thermal CMB anisotropies using frequency information alone. Using the frequency information, with the thermal SZ contribution separated from CMB, however, it is likely that the SZ kinetic effect will dominate the small angular scale signal in the temperature anisotropies. Thus, one can use small angular scale thermal CMB temperature fluctuations to construct the SZ thermal-SZ kinetic bispectrum.

Following \cite{16}, we can write the SZ thermal-SZ thermal-SZ kinetic bispectrum as
tracing the linear velocity field. Since there is no contribution to the large scale bulk flows from the non-linear regime (i.e. the 1-halo term), we model the pressure-density cross power as the large scale density-pressure correlations in the linear regime described by the 2-halo term.

The signal-to-noise for the detection of the bispectrum is

$$\left( \frac{S}{N} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{\sigma^2(A)} = \sum_{l_3 \geq l_2 \geq l_1} \frac{B_{l_1 l_2 l_3}^2}{C_{l_1} C_{l_2} C_{l_3}}, \quad (88)$$

where

$$C_{l_i} = C_{l_i}^{CMB} + C_{l_i}^{sec} + C_{l_i}^{Noise}. \quad (89)$$

In [14], we showed how multifrequency cleaning of SZ effect can be a useful tool for higher order correlation studies and discussed how the signal-to-noise for the detection of SZ-lensing correlation, again through a bispectrum, can be improved by using CMB primary anisotropy separated SZ map. We consider the same approach here, where we study the possibility for a detection of the SZ thermal-SZ kinetic correlation by using a frequency cleaned SZ thermal map, which will provide two measurements, and a CMB map containing only the CMB primary, SZ kinetic and and thermal-like secondary contributions.

In Fig. 11(a), we update signal-to-noise results for the bispectrum given in [2], where we only studied the possible detection in CMB data alone and with no consideration for separation of effects, especially the SZ thermal effect. The separation allows a decrease in cosmic variance, as the noise is no longer dominated by CMB anisotropies. This leads to an increase in the cumulative signal-to-noise. With SZ thermal effect separated, we see that the signal-to-noise increases by roughly two orders of magnitude. In [12], we showed how one can obtain an order of magnitude in signal-to-noise when a CMB separated SZ thermal map is used for a detection of SZ-lensing correlation. Here, we obtain roughly two orders of magnitude improvement, since the SZ thermal-SZ kinetic correlation is present with two SZ thermal measurements, instead of one in the case SZ thermal-SZ kinetic correlation through the SZ thermal-CMB-CMB bispectrum.

If one can separate the SZ kinetic such that a perfect SZ kinetic map, as well as a perfect SZ thermal map, is available, then one can improve the signal-to-noise for detection significantly such that a detection is possible. Since the SZ kinetic effect is expected to dominate temperature anisotropies at small angular scales, when SZ thermal is removed, an opportunity to detect the SZ thermal-SZ kinetic correlation will likely come from small angular scale multifrequency experiments. One can also improve the possibility of detecting this correlation by noting that the configuration for the bispectrum is such that it peaks for highly flattened triangles (see, [2]). It is

FIG. 12. The baryon-pressure (a) power spectrum (b) trispectrum today ($z = 0$) broken into individual contributions under the halo description. The line labeled ‘correlation’ shows the correlation coefficient of gas-pressure correlation with respect to gas-gas and pressure-pressure. For reference, we also show the pressure power spectrum and the trispectrum.

$$B_{l_1 l_2 l_3} = \sqrt{\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{3} (2l_i + 1)}{4\pi}} \begin{pmatrix} l_1 & l_2 & l_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} [b_{l_1 l_2}^{S-S} + \text{Perm.}], \quad (86)$$

with

$$b_{l_1 l_2}^{S-S} = \frac{2}{\pi} \int \frac{dr}{d_A} W^{SZ}(r) g_P^{\sigma}(l_2; r) \int dr_1 \int k_1 dk_1 P_{\delta \delta}^{2h}(k_1; r) W^{SZ}(r_1) j'_1(k_1 r) j_i(k_1 r), \quad (87)$$

Here, “Perm.” means a sum over the remaining 5 permutations of $(l_1, l_2, l_3)$ as usual.

Here, $P_{\delta \delta}$ is the baryon-pressure power spectrum while the $P_{\delta \delta}$ is the density-pressure power spectrum, with $\delta$
likely that progress in experimental studies will continue to a level where such studies will eventually be possible.

\[
\frac{l(l+1)C}{2 \pi} \frac{1}{SZ-DSZ} \sum_{l \leq 10000} \frac{S/N}{2^l} = 10^{-21} \quad \text{for} \quad l = 10^0, 10^1, \ldots, 10^4
\]

**FIG. 13.** (a) The SZ thermal-SZ kinetic power spectrum of squared temperatures. Here, we show the contribution to the power spectrum when only Gaussian terms, i.e., power spectra of pressure-density correlation, are considered (dotted line) and when non-Gaussianities are introduced through the pressure-density trispectrum (solid line). In (b), we show the cumulative signal-to-noise for the detection of the SZ thermal-SZ kinetic squared temperature power spectrum using information in multipoles from 2000 to 10000 and assuming no instrumental or any other noise contributions to the covariance. The signal-to-noise is calculated assuming the power spectrum is measured in CMB data (dot-dashed line), with a perfect frequency cleaned SZ thermal map (solid line) and with a perfect SZ thermal and SZ kinetic effect maps (dotted line). In a dashed line, we show the maximum signal-to-noise achievable for the power spectrum, with only a Gaussian contribution to the covariance.

Since the bispectrum may be hard to measure directly from observational data, we also consider a real space statistic that probes the non-Gaussian information at the three point level and consider the third moment (see \[12\] for details). In Fig. 13(b), we show the signal to noise for the detection of the third moment. Here, we use a top-hat window in multipole space out to \(l_{\text{max}}\) so that direct comparison is possible with the signal-to-noise calculation involving the bispectrum. As shown, we find that there is less signal-to-noise in the skewness when compared to the full bispectrum. This results from the fact that bispectrum contains all information at the three point level, while a measurement of the third moment leads to a loss of information. This can also be understood by noting that the signal-to-noise for the bispectrum and skewness is such that in the case of the bispectrum signal-to-noise is calculated for each mode and summed up while for the skewness signal-to-noise is calculated after summing the signal and noise separately over all modes.

**B. The SZ Thermal\(^2\)-SZ kinetic\(^2\) Power Spectrum**

In addition to the SZ thermal-SZ kinetic-SZ kinetic bispectrum, we can introduce higher order correlations involving the SZ thermal and SZ kinetic effect that probe the correlation between the two. One such possibility is the trispectrum formed by the SZ thermal and SZ kinetic effect. Given that we do not have a reliable method to measure the bispectrum even, the measurement of such a higher order correlations in experimental data is likely to be challenging.

Here, we focus on a statistic that captures the correlation information coming from higher order, essentially from a trispectrum, but is easily measurable in experimental data since it only involves only a power spectrum. Such a possibility involves the power spectrum of squared temperatures instead of the usual temperature itself. Our motivation for such a statistic came when we inspected the published maps of the large scale SZ thermal and SZ kinetic effects in simulations by \[16\] and realized that there is a significant correlation between the two effects. Since the temperature fluctuations produced by the SZ kinetic effect oscillate between positive and negative values depending on the direction of the velocity field along the line of sight, as stated earlier, a direct two point correlation involving the temperature results in no contribution. A non-zero correlation between the SZ thermal and SZ kinetic effects still manifests if the absolute value of the temperature fluctuation due to kinetic SZ effect is considered. Since absolute value of temperature is equivalent to squaring the temperature, we consider the cross-correlation of SZ thermal and SZ kinetic effects involving the power spectrum of squared temperatures here.

In order to calculate the SZ thermal\(^2\)-SZ kinetic\(^2\) power spectrum, we can take the all-sky approach presented to describe the SZ kinetic effect. The end result, however, is numerically cumbersome since it involves 5 dimensional sum over a Wigner-6j symbol (see \[14\] for a derivation). Here, we take a flat-sky approach and derive the thermal and kinetic SZ squared power spectrum in the limit that the velocity field of the kinetic effect is independent of
the baryon fluctuations.

\[ \langle \Theta_k^{2kSZ}(l) \Theta_l^{2SZ}(l') \rangle = (2\pi)^2 \delta_D(l + l') C_l^{2kSZ-SZ}, \]  

where the Fourier transform of the squared temperature can be written as a convolution of the temperature transforms

\[ \Theta^2(l) = \int \frac{d^2l_1}{(2\pi)^2} \Theta(l_1) \Theta(1 - l_1). \]  

Here, it should be understood that \( \Theta^2(l) \) refers to the Fourier transform of the square of the temperature rather than square of the Fourier transform of temperature, \( |\Theta(l)|^2 \). To compute the square of the SZ thermal and SZ kinetic temperature power spectrum, we take

\[ \langle \Theta_k^{kSZ}(l) \Theta_l^{kSZ}(l') \rangle = (2\pi)^2 \delta_D(l + l') C_l^{kSZ} \]

\[ = \int \frac{d^2l_1}{(2\pi)^2} \int \frac{d^2l_2}{(2\pi)^2} \times \langle \Theta_k^{kSZ}(l_1) \Theta_l^{kSZ}(l - l_1) \Theta_{l_2}^{kSZ} \Theta_{l_2}^{kSZ}(l' - l_2) \rangle. \]

Note that the Fourier transform of the temperature fluctuations in the flat sky is

\[ \Theta(l) = \int d^2\theta e^{-il\cdot\theta} T(\theta). \]  

In the small scale limit, where the density field is separated from the velocity field, we can separate the above cumulant to two parts with one involving just power spectra of pressure-baryon cross-correlation and another with the trispectrum of pressure and baryon fields. We first write down the Gaussian-like piece as

\[ \langle \Theta_k^{kSZ}(l_1) \Theta_l^{kSZ}(l - l_1) \Theta_{l_2}^{kSZ}(l_2) \Theta_{l_2}^{kSZ}(l' - l_2) \rangle^G = \]

\[ \int \frac{dr_1}{d_A} \int \frac{dr_2}{d_A} \frac{dr_3}{d_A} \frac{dr_4}{d_A} [g(r_1) W^{SZ}(r_2)]^2 \frac{1}{3} v_{\text{rms}}^2 \]

\[ \times \left\langle \delta_g [\frac{l_1}{d_A}, k_1] \delta_g [\frac{l_2}{d_A}, k_2] \right\rangle \]

\[ \times \left\langle \delta_g [\frac{l_1 - l_2}{d_A}, k_3] \delta_g [\frac{l_1 - l_2}{d_A}, k_4] \right\rangle, \]

where we have taken \( \langle (\theta \cdot v)(\theta' \cdot v') \rangle \sim 1/3 v_{\text{rms}}^2 \) coming from the fact that only a third of the velocity component contribute to the line of sight rms. We can now introduce power spectra in above correlators such that

\[ \langle \Theta_k^{kSZ}(l_1) \Theta_l^{kSZ}(l - l_1) \Theta_{l_2}^{kSZ}(l_2) \Theta_{l_2}^{kSZ}(l' - l_2) \rangle = \]

\[ \int \frac{dr_1}{d_A} \int \frac{dr_2}{d_A} \frac{dr_3}{d_A} \frac{dr_4}{d_A} [g(r_1) W^{SZ}(r_2)]^2 \frac{1}{3} v_{\text{rms}}^2 \]

\[ \times \int \frac{dk_1}{(2\pi)} e^{ik_1 (r_1 - r_2)} (2\pi)^2 \delta_D \left( \frac{l_1}{d_A} + \frac{l_2}{d_A} \right) P_g \left[ \frac{l_1^2}{l_2^2} + k_1^2 \right], \]

Following our previous definitions, we define the flat sky temperature squared power spectrum as

\[ \langle \Theta(l) \Theta(l') \rangle = \int d^2\theta e^{-il\cdot\theta} T(\theta), \]

FIG. 14. The cumulative signal-to-noise for the detection of the thermal SZ-kinetic SZ squared temperature power spectrum. In (a), we consider a large angular scale experiment, consistent with Planck, and use multipole information from \( l \) of 100 to 1500. The cumulative signal-to-noise, even with a perfectly cleaned SZ map in this multipole range, is significantly less than 1, suggesting that a detection is not possible. In (b), we show the cumulative signal-to-noise for a small angular scale experiment with information in the multipole range of 2000 to 5000. There is adequate signal-to-noise for the detection of the squared temperature power spectrum at such small scales even if the SZ effect is not completely separated from the thermal CMB contribution. For comparison, in dotted lines, we show the signal-to-noise achievable if the kinetic SZ effect is separated from CMB, in addition to a SZ separated map.
\[
C_l^G = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i k_3 (r_1 - r_2)} (2\pi)^2 \delta_D \left( \frac{1 - \frac{l_1}{d_A}}{d_A} + \left\{ \frac{1 - \frac{l_1}{d_A}}{d_A} \right\} \right) \times P_{gll} \left[ \sqrt{\frac{|l - l_1|^2}{r_1^2} + k_3^2} \right].
\]

The integrals over the line-of-sight wavevectors behave such that only perpendicular Fourier modes contribute to the projected field, such that \( l^2 / d^2 \gg k^2 \). This is the so-called Limber approximation \[40]. Doing the integral over the wavevector, then, results in a delta function in \((r_1 - r_2)\) such that only contributions come from the same redshift. Putting the correlator back in the power spectrum equation (Eq. [32]), we now get

\[
C_l^G = \int \frac{d^2l_1}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{d^2l_2}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{d^2l_3}{(2\pi)^2} (g\hat{C})^2 W^{rsa}(r \cdot l_1) \frac{1}{3} v_{rms}^2 \times 2 P_{gll} \left[ \frac{1 - \frac{l_1}{d_A}}{d_A} ; r \right],
\]

where we have introduced a factor of 2 account for the additional permutation involved in the baryon density-pressure correlation.

Similarly, the non-Gaussian piece follows as

\[
C_l^{NG} = \int \frac{d^2l_1}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{d^2l_2}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{d^2l_3}{(2\pi)^2} (g\hat{C})^2 W^{rsa}(r \cdot l_1) \frac{1}{3} v_{rms}^2 \times T_{gllll} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{d_A} \right) , \left( \frac{1 - \frac{l_1}{d_A}}{d_A} \right) , \left( \frac{1 - \frac{l_2}{d_A}}{d_A} \right) , \left( \frac{1 - \frac{l_3}{d_A}}{d_A} \right) ; r \right].
\]

Since we only use the single halo term to calculate \( T_{gllll} \), the arguments are simply scalars and does not dependent on the orientation of the quadrilateral. In general, however, the trispectrum depends on the length of the four sides plus the orientation of at least one of the diagonals.

1. Signal-to-Noise

In order to calculate the possibility for a detection of the thermal \( Sz^2 \)-kinetic \( Sz^2 \) power spectrum, we need the covariance of the estimator involved with the measurement of the squared power spectrum:

\[
\hat{C}_l^{2kSZ-SZ} = \frac{A_f}{(2\pi)^2} \int \frac{d^2l}{A_s} \Theta^{2kSZ}(l) \Theta^{2SZ}(-l).
\]

Here, \( A_s = \int d^2l \) is the area in the 2D shell in Fourier space over which the integral is done and \( A_f \) is the total area of the survey in Fourier space and can be written as \( A_f = (2\pi)^2 / \Omega \) with a total survey area on the sky of \( \Omega \). Following \( \delta \), we can write down the covariance of our estimator as

\[
\text{Cov} \left[ \left( \hat{C}_l^{2kSZ-SZ} \right)^2 \right] = \frac{A_f}{A_s} \left[ (C_l^{2kSZ-SZ})^2 + C_l^{2kSZ-kSZ} C_l^{2SZ-SZ} \right],
\]

where \( C_l^{2kSZ-kSZ} \) is the squared power spectrum of kinetic \( Sz \) and thermal \( Sz \) while \( C_l^{2kSZ-SZ} \) and \( C_l^{2SZ-SZ} \) are the squared power spectra of kinetic \( Sz \) and thermal \( Sz \) respectively. Here, we assume that squared fields are Gaussian. To calculate \( C_l^{2kSZ-kSZ} \) and \( C_l^{2SZ-SZ} \), we make several assumptions: We assume that the temperature squared power spectrum will be measured using two maps involving frequency separated \( Sz \) contribution (which will have \( \Theta^{Sz} + \Theta^{noise} \)) and a map with kinetic \( Sz \) contribution with the CMB primary component, such that the will be composed of \( \Theta^{primary} + \Theta^{kSZ} + \Theta^{noise} \). Following such a separation, we can write the \( C_l^{2SZ-SZ} \) as

\[
\langle \Theta^{Sz}(l) \Theta^{Sz}(l') \rangle = (2\pi)^2 \delta_D (l + l') (2\pi)^2 \frac{d^2l}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{d^2l}{(2\pi)^2} (g\hat{C})^2 W^{rsa}(r \cdot l_1) \frac{1}{3} v_{rms}^2
\]

\[
\times T_{gllll} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{d_A} \right) , \left( \frac{1 - \frac{l_1}{d_A}}{d_A} \right) , \left( \frac{1 - \frac{l_2}{d_A}}{d_A} \right) , \left( \frac{1 - \frac{l_3}{d_A}}{d_A} \right) ; r \right],
\]

and like wise for \( C_l^{2kSZ-kSZ} \). Here, contributions come from a Gaussian part involving \( Sz \) power spectra and a non-Gaussian part through the \( Sz \) trispectrum. Since the primary component fluctuations dominate the kinetic \( Sz \) temperature, and that there is no measurable trispectrum for this component under current adiabatic CDM predictions we ignore any non-Gaussian contribution to \( C_l^{2kSZ-kSZ} \) and write it as the one with the Gaussian part only. This assumption is also safe at small angular scales out to \( l \sim 10^3 \), under the halo model, when \( \Theta^{kSZ} > \Theta^{primary} \) since the kinetic \( Sz \) effect can be described for the most part using large scale correlations instead of the single halo term.

In the Eq. [97] the ratio of \( A_s / A_f \) is the total number of modes that measures the squared power spectrum independently and can be approximated such that \( A_s / A_f = f_{sky} (2l + 1) \). To calculate the signal-to-noise involved in the detection of the squared temperature power spectrum, we consider an optimized estimator with a weighing factor \( W_l \) such that

\[
\hat{Y} = \sum_l W_l \hat{C}_l^{2kSZ-SZ},
\]

and write the signal-to-noise as

\[
\frac{S}{N} = \left[ \frac{\langle \hat{Y}^2 \rangle}{\text{Cov}(\hat{Y}^2)} \right]^{1/2}.
\]
The weight \( W \) that maximizes the signal-to-noise is
\[
W = C_{l}^{2kSZ-SZ} / \text{Cov}[C_{l}^{2kSZ-SZ}]^{1/2}
\]
and we can write the required signal-to-noise as
\[
\frac{S}{N} = \left[ f_{\text{sky}} \sum (2l + 1) \frac{(C_{l}^{2kSZ-SZ})^2}{C_{l}^{2kSZ-SZ} + C_{l}^{2kSZ-kSZ}C_{l}^{2SZ-SZ}} \right]^{1/2}.
\]

(103)

C. Discussion

In Fig. 13(a), we show the power spectrum of squared temperatures for the SZ thermal and SZ kinetic effects using the halo term. Here, we have separated the Gaussian and non-Gaussian contribution to the squared power spectrum. As shown, the non-Gaussian contribution to the power spectrum is significantly higher than the Gaussian contributions.

The Gaussian contribution to the squared power spectrum traces the pressure-baryon density field power spectrum, which is shown in Fig. 13(a) using the halo model. In the same figure, for comparison, we also show the pressure-pressure power spectrum and the correlation coefficient for pressure-baryon with respect to the pressure-pressure and baryon-baryon power spectra. The correlation behaves such that pressure and baryons trace each other at very large scales while the correlation is decreased at small scales due to the turnover in the pressure power spectrum. This is equivalent to the statement that there is no low mass halo contribution to the pressure power spectrum; these halos continue to contribute to the baryon density field power spectrum.

The non-Gaussian contribution to the thermal SZ-kinetic SZ squared temperature power spectrum traces the trispectrum formed by pressure and density field. We show this in Fig. 13(b) following the halo model. For comparison, we also show the trispectrum formed by pressure alone in the same figure. The pressure-baryon trispectrum is such that at large scales, corresponding to linear scales, significant contributions come from the correlations between halos instead of the single halo term. If there are significant contributions coming to the squared temperature power spectrum from such linear scales, the Gaussian part of the power spectrum should dominate. Since all contributions to the squared temperature power spectrum come from small angular scales corresponding to non-linear scales in the pressure-baryon trispectrum, we only use the single halo contribution in calculating the non-Gaussian part of the squared temperature power spectrum. In both Gaussian and non-Gaussian parts of the power spectrum, the velocity field of the halos are taken to be the large scale bulk flows through the linear theory.

In order to assess the maximum possibility for a measurement of the temperature squared power spectrum involving kinetic SZ and thermal SZ, here, we ignore the detector and beam noise contributions to the covariance. Also, we assume full-sky experiments with \( f_{\text{sky}} = 1 \).

As written in Eq. (100), the contribution to the covariance comes as a convolution in Fourier space. Thus, even at small angular scales corresponding to high multipoles, noise contributions can come from large angular scales or low wavelength modes. Such modes do not have any signal and by only contributing to the variance, they can reduce the effective signal-to-noise in the measurement. Since the squared power spectrum effectively peaks at multipoles of \( \sim 10^4 \), we can essentially ignore any contribution to the signal, as well as noise, from multipoles less than few thousand. These are the same multipoles in which the CMB primary anisotropies dominate, thereby, increasing the effective noise for the measurement. In order to remove the low multipoles, we introduce a filtering scheme to the spherical or Fourier transform of the temperature anisotropy measurements and suppress the low multipole data such that the filter essentially acts as a high pass filter above some \( l > l_{\text{min}} \).

In Fig. 13(b), we show the cumulative signal to noise for the measurement of the thermal SZ-kinetic SZ squared temperature power spectrum. Here, we have assumed an experiment, with no instrumental noise, such that information is only used in multipoles of 2000 to 10000. We find significant signal-to-noise for the detection of the squared temperature power spectrum, especially when using a frequency cleaned SZ map with a CMB map, which has no SZ contribution. One can in fact use the CMB map itself especially if multifrequency information is not available for SZ separation. Since an experiment with multipolar information out to \( l \sim 10^4 \) will not readily be available, we consider two separated realistic cases, involving a large angular scale experiment, similar to Planck, and a small angular scale experiment similar to the ones proposed for the study of SZ effect. We summarize our results in Fig. 14. As shown in (a), an experiment only sensitive to multipolar information ranging from 100 to 1500 does not have any signal-to-noise for a detection of the squared power spectrum. With a perfect SZ separated map in this multipolar range, the cumulative signal-to-noise for the squared power spectrum is in the order of \( \sim 0.01 \). Thus, it is unlikely that Planck data will be useful for this study. Since we have not included any instrumental noise in calculating signal-to-noise, the realistic signal-to-noise for Planck would be even lower.

Going to smaller angular scales, we find that the signal increases significantly such that an experiment only sensitive to the range of \( l \sim 2000 \) to 5000 has adequate signal-to-noise for a detection of the squared power spectrum. A multifrequency experiment in the arcminute scales can use its frequency cleaned SZ map to cross-correlate with the CMB map and obtain the squared power spectrum with a cumulative signal-to-noise of order few tens. A
comparison to Fig. 13(b), suggests that going to lower scales beyond 5000 increases the signal-to-noise, and this is due to the fact that SZ thermal-SZ kinetic squared power spectrum peaks at multipoles of ~ 7000 to 8000, suggesting that for an optimal detection of the squared power spectrum, one should also include observations out to such high multipoles.

VII. SUMMARY & CONCLUSIONS

We have discussed non-Gaussian effects associated with local large-scale structure contributions to the Cosmic Microwave Background temperature fluctuations during the reionized epoch involving the thermal Sunyaev-Zel’dovich effect. At low redshift, distribution functions associated with the large scale structure properties are non-Gaussian, primarily due to the non-linear gravitational evolution. The non-Gaussianities associated with the SZ effect is due to the non-Gaussian distribution of the large scale pressure fluctuations. Since we do not have reliable analytical methods to calculate the higher order clustering of pressure, or as a matter of fact, any other property associated with large scale structure including the dark matter distribution, we have utilized the so-called halo approach to clustering. The basic description of this technique is that correlation functions, and their Fourier analogies, can be described through clustering of the property within and between halos. The halos themselves are assumed to be clustered with respect to the linear density field and with a mass dependent bias. We use linear theory and its perturbations out to second order to describe the clustering of halos. We use the halo method to describe clustering of pressure assuming that gas is in hydrostatic equilibrium with the dark matter density profile in halos. We use the virial equation to describe the electron temperatures.

It is well known that the frequency dependence of the SZ effect allows a separation of its contribution from other temperature fluctuations and foreground anisotropies in multifrequency CMB experiments. Using the pressure trispectrum, the Fourier analogue of the four-point correlation function, calculated under the halo model, we discuss the full covariance of the SZ thermal power spectrum. The covariance, with the inclusion of non-Gaussianities, allows us to properly establish the errors associated with SZ power spectrum measurements. Thus, we can use this full covariance to study the astrophysical uses of the SZ effect. Through a Fisher matrix formalism, we discuss how well a measurement of the SZ power spectrum can be used to conclude details on gas and temperature evolution and show that there are significant degeneracies between gas and temperature evolution parameters. The SZ power spectrum from Planck mission can be used to understand the amount of baryons present in halos that contribute to the SZ effect and to establish any nongravitational heating of electrons, such as due to preheating with an error of 0.7 keV.

With the SZ thermal effect separated in temperature fluctuations using its frequency information, the kinetic SZ effect, also known as the Ostriker-Vishniac, is expected to dominate the thermal contribution at small angular scales corresponding to multipoles of few thousand. This effect arises from the baryon modulation of the first order Doppler effect. The presence of the SZ kinetic effect can be determined through a cross-correlation between the SZ thermal and a CMB map at small scales. Since the SZ kinetic effect is second order, however, contributions to such a cross-correlation arise to the lower order in the form of a three-point correlation function, or a bispectrum in Fourier space. We suggest an additional statistic that can be used to study the correlation between pressure traced by the SZ thermal effect and the baryons traced by the SZ kinetic effect involving the temperature anisotropy power spectrum of squared temperatures instead of the usual temperature itself. This power spectrum probes the trispectrum formed by the pressure-baryon cross-correlation. Through a signal-to-noise calculation, we show that future small angular scale multi-frequency CMB experiments, sensitive to multipoles of a few thousand, will be able to measure the cross-correlation of SZ thermal and SZ kinetic effect through a temperature squared power spectrum.
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