Identification of MRF4: a new member of the muscle regulatory factor gene family
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We have identified a rat cDNA encoding MRF4, a new member of the muscle regulatory factor gene family that includes MyoD1, myogenin, and Myf-5. MRF4 encodes a predicted 27-kD protein that contains a conserved helix-loop-helix motif, which is a common feature of this gene family. Northern analyses indicate that MRF4 is expressed solely in skeletal muscle tissue but is not detected in most embryonic muscle cell lines. Transfection of MRF4 into C3H10T1/2 fibroblasts produces stable myogenic lineages at frequencies that are equal to or greater than those obtained when MyoD1 or myogenin are introduced into these cells. Expression of the MRF4 cDNA leads to expression of the endogenous MyoD1 and myogenin genes, although C3H10T1/2 cells expressing MyoD1 or myogenin cDNAs do not express MRF4. Interestingly, the endogenous MyoD1 and myogenin genes are negatively regulated by serum and by purified growth factors since MRF4-transfected C3H10T1/2 cells activate MyoD1 and myogenin expression only in mitogen-depleted, differentiation-induced muscle cultures. The myofiber-specific expression pattern of MyoD1 and myogenin in these cells suggests that the primary role for this muscle regulatory factor gene family may be in regulating specific terminal differentiation events that are crucial for normal skeletal muscle development.
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transfection into C3H10T1/2 cells. Although the \textit{myd} gene has yet to be characterized at the nucleotide level, it is distinct from the MyoD1 gene. In addition, because \textit{myd} transfectants activate the expression of the endogenous MyoD1 gene, it was suggested that \textit{myd} may code for an early gene product in a dependent regulatory pathway that is operative during myogenin determination and differentiation (Pinney et al. 1988, 1989).

The characterization of the MyoD1 gene product has prompted other investigators to examine whether additional myogenic regulatory factors function in developing skeletal muscle cells. Wright et al. (1989), as well as Edmondson and Olson (1989), independently isolated cDNA clones from rat and mouse skeletal muscle cell lines, respectively, that code for the muscle regulatory factor myogenin. Expression of myogenin, like MyoD1 and \textit{myd}, converts C3H10T1/2 cells to stable myogenic cell lineages. A similar study from Braun et al. (1989) has resulted in the isolation of Myf-5, a muscle regulatory factor that also produces myogenic lineages when expressed in C3H10T1/2 cells. In addition, Lin et al. (1989) recently isolated a muscle regulatory factor cDNA, designated CMD1. Sequences and Southern hybridization analyses, however, indicate that CMD1 likely is encoded by the chicken MyoD1 gene. Most importantly, these studies have demonstrated that MyoD1, myogenin, and Myf-5 share a region of extensive protein similarity, the basic/myc-like domain. This region has been shown by Tapscott et al. (1988) to be the functional domain of MyoD1, mediating the conversion of fibroblasts to myogenic cell types.

The similarity of MyoD1, myogenin, and Myf-5 suggests that the establishment of a stable myogenic cell lineage may require the coordinated expression of multiple members of a muscle regulatory factor gene family. At the present time, the individual role[s] of these distinct gene products in normal muscle development remains elusive. Myogenin and MyoD1 are expressed very early in development, with the first detectable transcripts being identified in embryonic somite and limb bud tissues (Wright et al. 1989; Sassoon et al. 1989). Likewise, Myf-5 appears to be expressed early in development, as it is detected in fetal skeletal muscle (Braun et al. 1989). To investigate whether additional, uncharacterized members of this gene family function in adult muscle, we screened a cDNA library derived from adult rat skeletal muscle mRNA with a MyoD1 probe under low stringency hybridization conditions. Our results demonstrate that MyoD1-like mRNAs are expressed in adult musculature. In this report we describe the properties of one particular cDNA clone, designated Muscle Regulatory Factor 4 (MRF4).

MRF4 encodes a predicted 27-kD protein that contains the conserved basic/myc-like domain common to MyoD1, myogenin, and Myf-5. Southern hybridizations and DNA sequence analyses demonstrate that MRF4 represents a unique gene that is part of the MyoD1, myogenin, and Myf-5 family of muscle regulatory factors. Northern hybridizations indicate that MRF4 is expressed solely in skeletal muscle tissue but is not detected in most embryonic skeletal muscle cell lines, including 23A2, C2C12, So18, L6, and L8. Interestingly, MRF4 is able to convert C3H10T1/2 cells to stable myogenic lineages at frequencies that are equal to or greater than those obtained when MyoD1 or myogenin is introduced into these cells. In addition, cells expressing MRF4 activate the expression of the endogenous MyoD1 and myogenin genes, whereas cells expressing MyoD1 or myogenin cDNAs do not express MRF4. Our studies suggest that a muscle regulatory factor gene family operates in a coordinated fashion to control the developmental decisions that are required for the generation and maintenance of the skeletal muscle lineage.

\section*{Results}

\textit{Isolation of a cDNA clone encoding the muscle regulatory factor MRF4}

The cDNAs derived from the muscle-specific regulatory genes MyoD1 (Davis et al. 1987; Lin et al. 1989), myogenin (Edmondson and Olson 1989; Wright et al. 1989), and Myf-5 (Braun et al. 1989) encode a conserved basic protein domain that also exhibits similarity to a region of the \textit{myc} family of oncogenes. Tapscott et al. (1988) have demonstrated that expression of the MyoD1 basic/myc-like domain in multipotential C3H10T1/2 cells is sufficient to convert these cells to stable myogenic lineages, indicating that the conserved region in MyoD1, myogenin, and Myf-5 plays a central role in how these regulatory genes function. Although each of these genes is expressed early in development, there is some evidence that each is also involved in regulating terminal differentiation events (Braun et al. 1989; Edmondson and Olson 1989, Lin et al. 1989; Weintraub et al. 1989). This possibility suggested to us that a muscle regulatory factor gene family may exist in which different genes are utilized at different developmental times for fetal versus adult skeletal muscle regulation. To examine whether related but distinct regulatory gene products operate predominantly in adult skeletal muscle tissue, a \textlambda t10 cDNA library derived from adult rat skeletal muscle fibers was screened using a mouse MyoD1 cDNA probe (for details, see Materials and methods). One particular isolate, designated \textlambda MRF4, was chosen for further analysis.

The complete nucleotide and deduced amino acid sequences of this cDNA are shown in Figure 1A. MRF4 contains a 1296-bp insert that has a single major open reading frame encoding a putative 242 amino acid protein with a predicted molecular mass of \textasciitilde 27 kD. The proposed translation start site (GAACATGA) contains a potential vertebrate consensus translation initiation sequence (Cavener 1987; Kozak 1987) and is followed by two additional methionine codons. The MRF4 insert also contains a 44-bp 5'-untranslated leader sequence and a 526-bp 3'-untranslated region containing a slightly altered polyadenylation recognition sequence (AT-AAA) and 26 adenine residues. Identical polyadenylation signals are known to be utilized by other genes.
Figure 1. MRF4 sequence. [A] Nucleotide and predicted amino acid sequence of the rat MRF4 cDNA. [B] Hydropathy plot of the deduced MRF4 protein. The Kyte and Doolittle Algorithm (Kyte and Doolittle 1982) was used to produce the computer-generated hydropathy plot. Each point on the curve represents the average hydropathy across an 11 amino acid window at each residue position. Values are given on a hydropathy scale: Positive values represent hydrophobic positions and negative values represent hydrophilic positions.

Figure 2. MRF4, MyoD1, and myogenin cDNAs are encoded by different genes. Rat genomic DNA was restricted with the indicated enzymes and hybridized to either MRF4, MyoD1, or myogenin cDNA probes (see Materials and methods). The migration of DNA size markers (in kilobases) is indicated. Each probe hybridizes to a distinct pattern of restriction fragments, demonstrating that each cDNA is transcribed from a separate gene.
myd-containing cell lines also has revealed that MRF4 is not the rat homolog of myd (S.H. Pearson-White and C.P. Emerson, Jr., pers. comm.). We conclude from these DNA sequence and Southern hybridization analyses that MRF4 represents a novel gene that is distinct from the muscle regulatory genes MyoD1, myogenin, Myf-5, and myd.

MRF4 expression is restricted to skeletal muscle

To determine whether MRF4 represents a muscle-specific gene product, we examined MRF4 mRNA levels in C3H10T1/2 cells and in a number of embryonic muscle cell lines that were induced to differentiate. Included in this analysis were the mouse cell lines 23A2, C2C12, and Sol8, the rat cell lines L6, L6J1-C, L8, and L8E63, and the nonfusing mouse myogenic cell line BC3H1. Each of these myogenic lines differentiate in low mitogen medium and express a number of contractile protein genes, including myosin heavy chain (data not shown), fast troponin I (TnI), and slow TnI (Fig. 3A). Expression of MRF4 mRNA was not detected in any of the cell lines under normal conditions. Extended exposure of these Northern blots was required to reveal a very weak, ~1.5-kb MRF4 signal in the L6 subclone L6J1-C (Fig. 3A). The possibility that MRF4 may be expressed transiently during myogenesis, as has been reported for myogenin (Edmondson and Olson 1989, Wright et al. 1989), was also examined. For these studies, 23A2 myoblasts were induced to differentiate and RNA was isolated from day −1 through day +6. Again, MRF4 transcripts were not detected throughout this 8-day period (data not shown).

The inability to detect MRF4 mRNA in a variety of embryonic myogenic cell lines suggested to us that either MRF4 is not expressed in muscle cells or that MRF4 encodes a predominantly adult gene product. To distinguish these possibilities, total RNA from adult rat tissues was examined for MRF4 expression. As shown in Figure 3B, MRF4 mRNA is abundant in a number of adult skeletal muscle fiber types, including the predominantly fast twitch plantaris and gastrocnemius, as well as in the predominantly slow twitch soleus. No detectable MRF4 mRNA was observed in cardiac (heart) or smooth (stomach) muscle tissues. We were also unable to detect MRF4 expression in nonmuscle tissue types, including liver, kidney, testis, brain, and lung. MRF4 exhibits the same tissue specificity as the muscle regula-

Figure 3. Expression patterns of the MRF4 mRNA. (A) Expression of MRF4 in cultured myogenic cell lines. Total RNA from differentiated myofiber (MF) or myocyte (MC) cultures was hybridized to MRF4 or fast/slow skeletal TnI probes [see Materials and methods]. After hybridization, Northern blots were stripped and rehybridized with the control pAL15 probe. The pAL15 gene has been shown to be constitutively expressed in most cell types (Bernlohr et al. 1984, 1985; Vaidya et al. 1989). Note that MRF4 mRNA is detected only in L6J1-C RNA, following prolonged exposure of the Northern blot. (B) MRF4 expression is restricted to adult skeletal muscle tissues. RNA from adult rat tissues was hybridized to MRF4, MyoD1, myogenin, fast/slow TnI, and pAL15 probes. Plantaris and gastrocnemius are predominantly fast twitch leg muscles, whereas soleus is predominantly a slow twitch muscle. The pAL15 transcript is downregulated in liver and kidney tissues; therefore, a photograph of the ethidium bromide-stained gel showing the 28S and 18S rRNAs is included. MRF4 expression is detected only in skeletal muscle tissues. (C) Northern analysis indicates that the MRF4 transcript appears late in development. Total RNA was isolated from whole rat embryos [days 10 and 15], day-21 embryonic leg and heart muscle, and adult leg and heart muscle. Northern analysis was performed as above with MRF4, myogenin, fast TnI, and control pAL15 probes. Although myogenin appears by day 15 of development, extended exposures are required to detect MRF4 mRNA in day-21 leg muscle.
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tory genes MyoD1 and myogenin, although we estimate from the intensity of our Northern signals that MRF4 mRNA is more abundant than either MyoD1 or myogenin mRNA in adult rat skeletal muscle. MRF4 mRNA is present at equivalent levels in both fast and slow skeletal muscles, whereas myogenin mRNA appears to be enriched in soleus and MyoD1 mRNA is more abundant in plantaris and gastrocnemius. In separate studies, we also examined MRF4 expression in RNA extracted from mouse tissues. In all cases, an MRF4 mRNA of ~1.5-kb was detected only in mouse skeletal muscle RNA samples [data not shown], demonstrating that expression of the mouse MRF4 homolog similarly is restricted to skeletal muscle lineages.

Although MRF4 is expressed in the adult, it is conceivable that the MRF4 gene is also expressed early in development. To address this possibility, RNA was isolated from day-10, -15 and, -21 rat embryos and analyzed for MRF4 expression. MRF4 was not detected in day-10 and day-15 whole-embryo RNA but was detected in day-21 leg muscle RNA, which correlates with the expression of the fast TnI gene in these samples [Fig. 3C]. In addition, day-21 heart did not contain detectable levels of MRF4, suggesting that unlike a number of other skeletal muscle-specific gene products, including skeletal α-actin (Ordahl 1986, Sassoon et al. 1988), MRF4 is not expressed in embryonic heart tissue. Although we did not detect MRF4 expression until day 21 of development, myogenin transcripts were readily detected in day-15 embryonic RNA. Similar myogenin expression patterns have been reported by Wright et al. (1989), demonstrating that the MRF4 and myogenin genes are not temporally coregulated during development. It should be noted that these studies do not rule out the possibility that MRF4 may be expressed at a very early stage of embryogenesis. Our results, however, do provide evidence that MRF4 expression is restricted to a skeletal muscle lineage in late fetal and adult animals.

Expression of MRF4 converts C3H10T1/2 cells to stable myogenic lineages

MRF4 is expressed predominantly in adult skeletal muscle tissues and, unlike MyoD1 and myogenin, is not detected in most established skeletal muscle cell lines. MRF4, however, shows sequence similarity to MyoD1, myogenin, and Myf-5 [see below], suggesting that it may be part of a larger gene family that is involved in a number of regulatory processes associated with skeletal muscle development. To begin understanding how MRF4 functions during skeletal myogenesis, the MRF4 cDNA was subcloned into the eukaryotic expression vector pEMSVscriba2 [Davis et al. 1987]. C3H10T1/2 cells were transfected transiently with MRF4 or with a pEMSVscriba2 vector containing the MyoD1 or myogenin cDNAs. Transfection of MRF4 produced a very large number (~4%) of differentiated myogenic cells that fused into multinucleated muscle fibers and expressed myosin heavy chains [Fig. 4]. Similar results were obtained when the MyoD1 and myogenin expression vectors were introduced into C3H10T1/2 cells, although myogenin consistently produced fewer differentiated muscle cells than MRF4 or MyoD1 in these transient assays. No myogenic cells were detected in control cultures transfected with the pEMSVscriba2 vector or with antisense MRF4 gene constructs.

The ability of MRF4 to convert fibroblasts to skeletal muscle cells is not limited to the multipotential C3H10T1/2 cell line because NIH-3T3 and BALB/c-3T3 cells express skeletal myosin when transfected with the MRF4 cDNA [data not shown]. Differentiation-defective 23A2 p2T24-9a2 cells [Konieczny et al. 1989], which constitutively express an activated H-ras gene and proliferate in suspension cultures, also are converted to myosin-expressing cells by MRF4 [data not shown]. The dominance of the MRF4 gene product is particularly interesting, since the presence of an activated p21 protein in myogenic cell lines abolishes expression of the endogenous MyoD1 and myogenin genes [Konieczny et al. 1989; Lassar et al. 1989a]. It is clear, however, that the myogenic conversion phenomenon is restricted to particular embryonic cell types. Transfected HeLa and COS-1 cells do not express skeletal myosin under similar experimental conditions [data not shown].

Cotransfections using MRF4 and a selectable neomycin-resistance gene demonstrate that MRF4 can convert C3H10T1/2 cells to stable myogenic lineages. Up to 83% of the G418-resistant, MRF4-transfected C3H10T1/2 colonies fuse and form large networks of muscle fibers that stain positively for myosin heavy chain expression [Table 1]. Similar myogenic conversion is obtained when MyoD1 and myogenin are introduced...
Table 1. Myogenic conversion of C3H10T1/2 cells following stable transfection with muscle regulatory factor expression vectors

| Muscle regulatory gene | Number of myogenic colonies | Total number of colonies | Myogenic conversion (%) |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| Control                | 0                           | 429                      | 0                       |
| MRF4                   | 627                         | 757                      | 83                      |
| MyoD1                  | 209                         | 357                      | 59                      |
| Myogenin               | 275                         | 386                      | 71                      |

C3H10T1/2 cultures were cotransfected stably with pKO-neo and with a control plasmid or with pMSVscrbo2 containing MRF4, MyoD1, or myogenin cDNAs. After 2 weeks of growth, colonies were induced to differentiate for 48 hr in ITS medium, they were fixed and stained with Giemsa, and the percentage of myogenic colonies was determined. MRF4, MyoD1, and myogenin cDNAs convert C3H10T1/2 fibroblasts to myogenic lineages at high frequencies.

into these cells. Because of these high conversion frequencies, we were able to isolate stable C3H10T1/2 clones that constitutively express transfected MRF4, MyoD1, or myogenin cDNAs. Each of these clones can be maintained as undifferentiated myoblasts in medium containing high concentrations of serum or, after mitogen removal, can be induced to fuse and biochemically differentiate (Fig. 4). We conclude that the MRF4 gene exhibits a very different expression pattern compared to the MyoD1 and myogenin loci, yet functions in a similar fashion to MyoD1, myogenin, and Myf-5 in converting C3H10T1/2 cells to myogenic lineages.

C3H10T1/2 cells expressing MRF4 also express MyoD1 and myogenin

The isolation of stable C3H10T1/2 cell lines expressing MRF4, myogenin, or MyoD1 cDNAs permitted an examination of the potential interactions between each of these muscle regulatory factors. Myoblast and myofiber RNA from each converted C3H10T1/2 cell line was isolated and analyzed for the expression of several genes, including the endogenous MRF4, MyoD1, and myogenin loci. C3H10T1/2 cells expressing the rat MRF4 cDNA (C3H10T1/2 MRF4-#7] expressed high levels of myosin heavy chains [data not shown], fast and slow TnI mRNA, and the endogenous myogenin and MyoD1 genes [Fig. 5]. Myogenin is regulated appropriately in C3H10T1/2 MRF4-#7 cells, because its expression parallels that in the 23A2 myogenic cell line, showing an increase during the initial decision of myoblasts to morphologically and biochemically differentiate. Surprisingly, C3H10T1/2 MRF4-#7 cells express MyoD1 only in cultures containing differentiated muscle fibers. We have not detected MyoD1 expression in proliferating, undifferentiated C3H10T1/2 MRF4-#7 cells, even though MyoD1 expression is evident in both myoblast and myofiber cultures of the control 23A2 (Fig. 5) and C2C12 myogenic cell lines (Vaidya et al. 1989). Identical results have been obtained with pooled C3H10T1/2 MRF4 colonies.

To examine whether the endogenous MRF4 gene is expressed in response to transfected MyoD1 and myogenin cDNAs, C3H10T1/2 MyoD1-#8 and C3H10T1/2 myogenin-#1 clonal lines were examined for expression of additional regulatory gene products. As shown in Figure 5, C3H10T1/2 MyoD1-#8 expresses high levels of myogenin but does not express detectable levels of MRF4. C3H10T1/2 myogenin-#1 cells also do not express MRF4 mRNA, although the endogenous MyoD1 gene is transcriptionally activated. Interestingly, these cells, like C3H10T1/2 MRF4-#7, express MyoD1 exclusively in myofiber cultures. It is intriguing to speculate that this pattern of MyoD1 expression may reflect the true in vivo transcriptional regulation of this gene, as previous studies from our laboratory demonstrated that the endogenous MyoD1 gene is negatively regulated when cells are maintained as proliferating myoblasts in high growth factor conditions (Vaidya et al. 1989). We conclude from these studies that although MyoD4 is able to induce expression of the MyoD1 and myogenin genes, neither MyoD1 nor myogenin can activate expression of the mouse MRF4 gene. The possibility that specific

Figure 5. C3H10T1/2 cells expressing a transfected MRF4 cDNA express the endogenous MyoD1 and myogenin genes. RNAs from representative clones of C3H10T1/2 cells transfected stably with MRF4, MyoD1, or myogenin expression vectors were hybridized to cDNA probes as described in Fig. 3. RNA was extracted from both myoblast (B) and myofiber (F) cultures. RNA samples from 23A2 myoblasts (B), 23A2 myofibers (F), and proliferating (P) and confluent (C) C3H10T1/2 fibroblasts were included as controls. Membranes were rehybridized with probes recognizing the pAL15 constitutive transcript. Note that the MRF4-expressing line and pool population express the endogenous MyoD1 and myogenin genes, whereas MyoD1 and myogenin cDNA expression does not lead to the detection of MRF4 transcripts.
feedback mechanisms are involved in controlling the expression of these muscle regulatory factors currently is being investigated.

_Fibroblast growth factor regulates MyoD1, myogenin, and terminal differentiation in the presence of constitutive MRF4 expression_

Our laboratory has demonstrated previously that MyoD1 transcription and terminal differentiation are corepressed when cells are maintained in the presence of purified fibroblast growth factor [FGF] (Vaidya et al. 1989). We were interested in establishing whether FGF could suppress the differentiation of the C3H10T1/2 MRF4-#7 cell line, since constitutive MRF4 expression leads to the activation of the endogenous MyoD1 and myogenin genes. Although FGF treatment does not alter MRF4 or pAL15 mRNA levels, FGF blocks muscle fiber formation and the expression of the TnI and myosin heavy chain genes (Fig. 6). This repression can be reversed, because removal of FGF rapidly restores contractile protein gene expression and myoblast fusion within 24 hr. The endogenous MyoD1 and myogenin mRNAs are not expressed in the FGF-treated cultures but return to control levels after removing FGF (Fig. 6). Although constitutive MRF4 expression is sufficient to activate (either directly or indirectly) the MyoD1 and myogenin loci, these regulatory genes remain responsive to exogenously supplied growth factors. Additional studies are required to determine whether the endogenous MRF4 genes similarly are regulated by these environmental factors.

**Discussion**

We isolated a novel rat cDNA clone (MRF4) that is capable of converting fibroblasts to stable determined myogenic stem cells at a very high frequency (~>80%). Cells expressing MRF4 can be maintained as undifferentiated myoblasts or, after mitogen depletion, are induced to terminally differentiate and express the normal complement of muscle-specific gene products. MRF4 mRNA is expressed predominantly in adult skeletal muscle, in both slow and fast twitch fibers. Late fetal and adult heart muscle, smooth muscle, liver, kidney, testis, brain, and lung tissues do not contain detectable levels of MRF4 mRNA.

MRF4 represents the fourth member of a structurally and functionally related family of muscle regulatory factors that includes MyoD1 (Davis et al. 1987; Lin et al. 1989), myogenin (Edmondson and Olson 1989; Wright et al. 1989), and Myf-5 (Braun et al. 1989). A fifth myogenic regulatory gene, myd, has also been described (Pinney et al. 1988, 1989), although at this time it is not known whether myd is related directly to this same family of genes. MRF4, MyoD1, and myogenin exhibit distinct expression patterns and different abilities to activate the endogenous regulatory genes, although each, in addition to Myf-5 and myd, appears to function in a similar fashion. Independent transfections of C3H10T1/2 cells with each gene establish a regulatory control system that is responsive to serum growth factors and mediates terminal differentiation events.

One of the unique features of the muscle regulatory factor gene family is that the four genes encode proteins that share a striking similarity in three specific regions (see Fig. 7). Within the conserved basic/myc-like domain I of all four proteins [MRF4 amino acids 77–149], 52 out of 73 amino acid residues are identical. Of the 21 substitutions, only 7 positions code for a different amino acid in each of the four gene products. A second conserved amino acid region [II] that contains 9 identical amino acid residues is shared by MyoD1 and Myf-5 (Braun et al. 1989). This region, however, is not found in MRF4 or in myogenin. Finally, region III [MRF4 amino acids 214–228], comprising 15 carboxyl residues, is common to the MRF4, MyoD1, and Myf-5 gene products but, again, is not encoded by the myogenin protein. The functional significance of each conserved and divergent amino acid region encoded by these muscle regulatory factors remains to be determined.
The muscle regulatory factor MRF4

| MRF4 | MyoD1 | Myf-5 | Myogenin |
|------|-------|-------|----------|
| 1    | 1     | 1     | 1        |

| MRF4 | MyoD1 | Myf-5 | Myogenin |
|------|-------|-------|----------|
| 36   | 55    | 29    | 24       |

| MRF4 | MyoD1 | Myf-5 | Myogenin |
|------|-------|-------|----------|
| 93   | 100   | 83    | 81       |

| MRF4 | MyoD1 | Myf-5 | Myogenin |
|------|-------|-------|----------|
| 150  | 166   | 140   | 138      |

| MRF4 | MyoD1 | Myf-5 | Myogenin |
|------|-------|-------|----------|
| 207  | 223   | 181   | 195      |

| MRF4 | MyoD1 | Myf-5 | Myogenin |
|------|-------|-------|----------|
| 280  | 234   | 252   |          |

Figure 7. MRF4 is a member of a muscle regulatory factor gene family. The deduced amino acid sequences of the rat MRF4, mouse MyoD1 (Davis et al. 1987), human Myf-5 (Braun et al. 1989), and rat myogenin (Wright et al. 1989) cDNAs are aligned to achieve a maximum overall fit. Two dots between aligned residues indicate identity at that position, whereas a single dot indicates a conservative substitution. Boxes I, II, and III define three areas of similarity between the predicted protein sequences. MRF4, MyoD1, Myf-5, and myogenin share a highly conserved basic/myc-like domain (I). The two bars indicate the putative amphipathic helices of the HLH motif (Murre et al. 1989a,b) within this region. Region II (found in MyoD1 and Myf-5) and region III (found in MRF4, MyoD1, and Myf-5) are also conserved among members of this gene family.

The integrity of the basic/myc-like region in MyoD1 has been shown by Tapscott et al. [1988] to be essential for the conversion of C3H10T1/2 cells to determined myoblasts, suggesting that this motif is required for each muscle regulatory factor to induce myogenic conversion in fibroblasts. The basic/myc-like region has also been identified in the products of genes that regulate specific growth and developmental events, including daughterless [da] (Caudy et al. 1988; Cronmiller et al. 1988), twist (Thiss et al. 1988), Enhancer of split [Klaembt et al. 1989], and achaete-scute [As-C] (Alonso and Cabrera 1988), the genes encoding the immunoglobulin enhancer-binding proteins E12 and E47, in combination with other members of the HLH protein family, including MyoD1, As-C, and da, produce heterodimers [E12/MyoD1; E47/MyoD1; E12/As-C; E47/As-C; As-C/da] that bind specifically to the xE2 enhancer element [Murre et al. 1989b]. The role that HLH protein heterodimers have in regulating developmental events remains unclear, although these studies suggest that the precise regulation of lineage decisions may involve the interaction of ubiquitous [E12, E47, da] and tissue-specific [MyoD1, As-C] gene products.

At the present time, it is not known whether MRF4, MyoD1, myogenin, or Myf-5 similarly function as dimeric polypeptides in vivo. However, it is intriguing to speculate that homo- or heterodimeric complexes consisting of the various members of this muscle regulatory factor family may control aspects of skeletal myogenesis. Experiments currently are in progress to determine whether the conserved regulatory domain found in MRF4 and colleagues demonstrated that the immunoglobulin enhancer-binding proteins E12 and E47, in combination with other members of the HLH protein family, including MyoD1, As-C, and da, produce heterodimers [E12/MyoD1; E47/MyoD1; E12/As-C; E47/As-C; As-C/da] that bind specifically to the xE2 enhancer element [Murre et al. 1989b]. The role that HLH protein heterodimers have in regulating developmental events remains unclear, although these studies suggest that the precise regulation of lineage decisions may involve the interaction of ubiquitous [E12, E47, da] and tissue-specific [MyoD1, As-C] gene products.

At the present time, it is not known whether MRF4, MyoD1, myogenin, or Myf-5 similarly function as dimeric polypeptides in vivo. However, it is intriguing to speculate that homo- or heterodimeric complexes consisting of the various members of this muscle regulatory factor family may control aspects of skeletal myogenesis. Experiments currently are in progress to determine whether the conserved regulatory domain found in
MRF4, MyoD1, myogenin, and Myf-5 is able to function in different combinatorial patterns to precisely control specific myogenic events. If this model proves to be correct, it may suggest how a number of unique, yet related, proteins are used to orchestrate the developmental progression that culminates in the formation of mature skeletal muscle fibers.

One of the interesting features of MRF4 is its ability to activate (either directly or indirectly) other myogenic regulatory genes. C3H10T1/2 cells constitutively expressing the rat MRF4 cDNA convert to skeletal myoblasts and express the endogenous mouse MyoD1 and myogenin genes. The converse is not true. MyoD1 or myogenin expression in C3H10T1/2 cells is not sufficient to activate the endogenous MRF4 gene. The pattern of MRF4-induced expression of MyoD1 and myogenin in converted C3H10T1/2 cells is identical, with mRNA accumulating only after cells have been induced to differentiate. Although this pattern was predicted for myogenin, it was unexpected for MyoD1, because control 23A2 and C2C12 myoblasts express high levels of MyoD1 (Vaidya et al. 1989). The myofiber-specific expression pattern for MyoD1 observed in these studies is not a property unique to the C3H10T1/2 MRF4-#7 cell line, because pooled C3H10T1/2 MRF4 cells and C3H10T1/2 myogenin clones similarly regulate MyoD1 expression. Additionally, because MyoD1, myogenin, and the contractile protein genes are coexpressed by FGF (also see Vaidya et al. 1989), we believe that MyoD1, like myogenin, is normally expressed in myogenic cells only after mitogen removal. The expression of MyoD1 observed in 23A2 and C2C12 myoblasts is likely an anomaly associated with these established cell lines. In support of our hypothesis, recent studies from Montarras et al. (1989), using an inducible myogenic cell line, and Thayer et al. (1989), using a myogenin-transfected C3H10T1/2 cell line, have confirmed that MyoD1 expression in these cells is restricted to differentiated muscle fibers.

Preliminary studies from our laboratory have shown that MRF4, like MyoD1 (Lin et al. 1989; Weintraub et al. 1989), myogenin (Edmondson and Olson 1989), and Myf-5 (Braun et al. 1989), activates expression of muscle-specific promoters when transiently cotransfected into nonmyogenic cell types [K. Yutzey, S. Rhodes, and S. Konieczny, unpubl.]. These experiments suggest that each muscle regulatory gene encodes a protein factor that is involved in the transcriptional regulation of the contractile protein gene set. Buskin and Hauschka (1989) and Lassar et al. (1989b) have reported that MyoD1 specifically binds to the mouse M-creatinine kinase enhancer element and therefore likely plays a role in the expression of this muscle-specific gene product. Studies from our laboratory have shown that the individual members of the muscle regulatory factor gene family, although appearing to perform similar functions in a C3H10T1/2 conversion assay, exhibit distinct and different properties with regard to the activation of specific contractile protein genes [K. Yutzey, S. Rhodes, and S. Konieczny, in prep.]. The conserved HLH motif common to each gene product may be involved in the trans-activation of the contractile protein genes, as this motif is crucial for the DNA-binding activities associated with MyoD1 [Lassar et al. 1989b] and with the immunoglobulin enhancer-binding proteins E12 and E47 [Murre et al. 1989a,b]. The availability of cloned muscle regulatory factors should permit a detailed analysis of how these gene products function in regulating skeletal muscle development.

We and others predicted that a gene converting C3H10T1/2 cells to stable myogenic cell lineages would be involved directly in the myogenic determination process and should therefore be referred to as a “determination gene.” From our initial experiments, we predicted that only one or, at most two, determination loci would be required to achieve this conversion [Konieczny and Emerson 1984]. Surprisingly, five distinct gene products have been identified to date that accomplish this singular event; namely, the establishment of muscle lineages. In addition, several lines of evidence suggest that these regulatory factors function to activate the contractile protein gene set directly, implying that they play a dominant role in controlling terminal differentiation events. Evidence from our current studies showing that MyoD1 and myogenin are transcriptionally regulated by exogenous growth factors supports this hypothesis. If these genes are involved in terminal differentiation decisions, it is unclear why they also convert C3H10T1/2 cells to stable myogenic lineages. One possibility is that the expression of each of the muscle regulatory factor genes in C3H10T1/2 cells activates a master determination gene yet to be identified. This model predicts that a positive feedback mechanism coordinates the expression of the muscle regulatory factor genes, as well as the contractile protein gene set. The existence of such a feedback regulatory pathway also explains the ability of myogenic regulatory genes to autoregulate their expression (Edmondson and Olson 1989; Thayer et al. 1989) and the expression of other genes in this conserved gene family. It is now clear that the molecular mechanisms by which the muscle regulatory factor gene family controls skeletal myogenesis are very complex. Understanding these unique mechanisms will require the identification of putative DNA targets that are specific for each of these muscle regulatory factors.

Materials and methods
cDNA library screening
MRF4 was isolated by screening a rat soleus λgt10 cDNA library with a mouse MyoD1 cDNA probe (Davis et al. 1987), using standard procedures. Briefly, $3 \times 10^6$ plaques (~25,000 plaques/150 mm plate) were transferred to nitrocellulose filters [Schleicher & Schuell] and hybridized to a nick-translation MyoD1 cDNA probe (sp. act. $\approx 1 \times 10^8$ cpm/μg) at 65°C in 6× SSC (1× SSC is 150 mM NaCl, 15 mM Na citrate), 20 mM Tris-Cl (pH 7.6), 5× Denhardt’s solution [Maniatis et al. 1982], 2 mM EDTA, 0.5% SDS, and 100 μg/ml denatured salmon sperm DNA. Hybridized filters were washed for 1 hr at 60°C in 1× SSC, 0.2% SDS, and 2 mM EDTA and exposed to Kodak XAR film overnight. Isolate λcRS4-1 was chosen for further analysis.
because of its moderate hybridization to the MyoD1 probe. The 1244-bp EcoRI insert of this phage was purified and subcloned into pUC19 and into the expression vector pEMSVscribble2 [Davis et al. 1987] for DNA sequencing and for expression studies. Secondary library screens using the λcRS4-1 insert as a probe identified six additional positive phage, with the longest, λcRS6-4, being chosen for further analysis. The inserts contained within λcRS4-1 and λcRS6-4 represent MRF4 cDNA nucleotide positions +45 to +1288 and +1 to +1296, respectively.

Sequence analysis

Nested deletions of the λcRS4-1 insert were generated in both orientations using the unidirectional exonuclease III/mung bean nuclease method of Henikoff (1984). Double-stranded DNA from selected clones was sequenced with the Pharmacia dideoxy sequencing kit and [α-32P]dATP (800 Ci/mmole, Amersham). Regions in which deletions did not overlap were sequenced using oligonucleotide primers to determine the complete nucleotide sequence of both DNA strands. Similar strategies were used to sequence the extended 5' and 3' ends of the λcRS6-4 EcoRI insert. DNA and protein sequence analyses were performed using DNASIS and PROSIS software (LKB/Hitachi). Protein data bank searches were provided by the BIONET National Computer Resource for Molecular Biology. Hydropathy analyses were performed with the HPATH program of William Cramer [Purdue University].

Southern hybridization

Genomic DNA was isolated from L6 myoblasts [rat], HeLa cells [human], and C3H10T1/2 cells [mouse] by phenol/chloroform extractions and ethanol precipitations. DNA was digested to completion with excess restriction enzyme, electrophoresed through 0.75% agarose gels, transferred to nitrocellulose [NEN/Schleicher & Schuell], and hybridized with random-primed cDNA probes (sp. act. > 1 x 10^6 cpm/μg). Hybridization conditions were the same as indicated for the library screenings, except that washes were in 0.1 x SSC, 0.2% SDS, and 2 mM EDTA at 65°C for identical probe/target matches or in 0.3 x SSC, 0.2% SDS, and 2 mM EDTA at 65°C for cross-species hybridizations. All cDNA probes were full length except for MRF4 in which the λcRS4-1 insert (+45 to +1288 bp) was used.

RNA isolation and Northern hybridization

RNA was isolated from cultured cells and from frozen tissues by acid guanidinium isothiocyanate/phenol/chloroform extraction and isopropanol precipitations [Chomczynski and Sacchi 1987]. RNA samples were electrophoresed through 1.0% agarose/formaldehyde gels [Lehrach et al. 1977], transferred to GeneScreen nylon membranes [New England Nuclear/Du Pont], and hybridized to nick-translated or random-primed cDNA probes, as described above. In some instances, hybridized probes were removed from the filters by boiling membranes in 0.5% SDS, after which additional hybridizations were carried out. Probes cM113aR and cR165aR were used to detect the fast and slow Tn1 isotopes, respectively, as described by Koppe et al. [1989]. The pPAL15 probe was used as an internal control to demonstrate that equivalent amounts of RNA were loaded in each lane. The pPAL15 probe detects an unknown mRNA species that appears to be constitutively expressed in most cell types [Bernlohr et al. 1984, 1985; Vaidya et al. 1989].

Cell culture and DNA transfections

C3H10T1/2, NIH-3T3, BALB/c 3T3, HeLa, COS-1, L6, BC3H1, C2C12, and L8 cell lines were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection. The growth and differentiation properties of the myogenic cell lines 23A2 [Konieczny and Emerson 1984], Sol8 [Mulle et al. 1988], L8E63 [Kaufman et al. 1980], and L6-1C [Ringertz et al. 1978] have been described previously. All cell lines were maintained in BME [basal medium Eagle, Gibco], 15% fetal bovine serum, plus penicillin [100 U/ml] and streptomycin [100 μg/ml], with the exception of C3H10T1/2, COS-1, HeLa, NIH-3T3, and BALB-c/3T3 cells, which were maintained in 10% fetal bovine serum. All myogenic cell lines, including C3H10T1/2 MRF4-#7, C3H10T1/2 MyoD1-#8, and C3H10T1/2 myogenin-#1, were maintained at low cell densities and fed growth medium every 48 hr to prevent the cells from differentiating. To induce myogenic differentiation, confluent cultures were fed ITS (Sigma), a chemically defined medium consisting of low-glucose Dulbecco's modified Eagle minimal medium [Gibco], 5 μg/ml insulin, 5 μg/ml transferrin, 5 ng/ml selenium, and penicillin-streptomycin for 48 hr, as described previously [Yutzey et al. 1989]. In some experiments, C3H10T1/2 MRF4-#7 cells were treated with 10 ng/ml basic FGF [R + D Systems] as reported by Vaidya et al. [1989].

Cells were transfected transiently with MRF4, MyoD1, and myogenin cDNAs contained within the pEMSVscribble2 Maloney sarcoma virus LTR-driven expression vector [Davis et al. 1987], essentially as described by Yutzey et al. [1989]. Briefly, 5 x 10⁵ C3H10T1/2 cells were transfected with 5 μg of the MRF4, MyoD1, or myogenin expression vectors. After 4 hr, transfected cells were glycerol-shocked for 2 min and fed fresh growth medium. The following day, cells were fed growth medium and, 24 hr later, switched to ITS differentiation medium. After 48 hr in ITS, cells were fixed for immunocytochemistry.

Stable cotransfections of C3H10T1/2 cells with pKO-neo and either the MRF4, MyoD1, or myogenin expression vectors were as described previously [Taparowsky et al. 1987; Konieczny et al. 1989]. C3H10T1/2 cells (5 x 10⁶) were seeded onto 100-mm dishes. The following day, a calcium phosphate precipitate containing 25 μg C3H10T1/2 genomic DNA, 30 ng pKO-neo, and 10 μg MRF4, MyoD1, or myogenin expression vector DNA was added per dish. After 4 hr, the precipitate was removed and the cultures were fed fresh medium. Twenty-four hours later, the cultures were split into five 100-mm dishes in growth medium containing 400 μg/ml of the neomycin analog G418 [Gibco]. After ~2 weeks, individual clones were isolated, using glass cloning rings, and maintained in the presence of G418. In some instances, individual primary colonies were pooled and maintained as a single population. Cultures to be scored for myogenesis were fed ITS medium and allowed to differentiate for 48 hr prior to fixation and subsequent immunocytochemistry or Giemsa staining.

Immunocytochemistry

Cell cultures were rinsed twice in cold phosphate buffered saline [PBS] [113 mM NaCl, 0.15 mM KH₂PO₄, 0.27 mM KCl, 0.8 mM Na₂HPO₄ [pH 7.4]] and fixed in a 20 : 2 : 1 solution of 70% ethanol, formalin, and glacial acetic acid for 1 min at 4°C. Fixed cultures were rinsed five times in PBS and incubated with the anti-myosin mouse monoclonal antibody MF-20 [Bader et al. 1982]. Following two rinses in PBS, cultures were treated with a biotinylated anti-mouse IgG secondary antibody. Immune complexes were visualized using the Vectastain ABC reagent [Vector Labs, Burlingame, California] and photographed under bright light conditions. Unstained cells were visualized with phase-contrast microscopy.
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