Frequency of television viewing and association with overweight and obesity among women of the reproductive age group in Myanmar: results from a nationwide cross-sectional survey
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ABSTRACT

Objectives This study aimed to discern the association between the frequency of television viewing and overweight and obesity among reproductive age women of Myanmar.

Design This was a cross-sectional study.

Setting This study used Myanmar Demographic and Health Survey (2015–2016) data.

Participants Total of 12,021 women both aged 15–49 years and also not pregnant or did not deliver a child within the 2 months prior to the survey were included.

Primary and secondary outcome measures The primary outcome was overweight (23.0 kg/m² to <27.5 kg/m²) and obesity (≥27.5 kg/m²), which was measured using the Asian body mass index cut-off. Ordered logistic regression analysis was conducted to find the association between the explanatory and outcome variables. The potential confounders controlled in the multivariable analyses were age, place of residence, region of residence, highest educational status, current employment status, wealth index, parity and number of household members.

Results The prevalence of overweight was 26.5% and obesity was 12.2% among the study participants. The odds of being overweight and obese were 20% higher (adjusted OR (AOR) 1.16, 95% CI 1.02 to 1.32; p=0.023) among those who watched television at least once a week compared with those who did not watch television at all. Rural women who watched television at least once a week were 1.2 times more likely to be obese (AOR 1.16, 95% CI 1.01 to 1.34; p=0.040) compared with those who did not watch television at all.

Conclusions Frequent television watching was associated with obesity among rural women of reproductive age in Myanmar.

INTRODUCTION

Both developed and developing countries are facing the increasing burden of overweight and obesity, which are posing major public health problems. The prevalence of overweight and obesity increased by 27.5% among the global adult population and 47.1% among the global child population between 1980 and 2013. During the same time period, globally, the prevalence of overweight and obesity rose from 29.8% to 38.0% among adult women, in particular. Although this burden is lowest in South and South-East Asia, countries of this region are still experiencing the rising burden of overweight and obesity. Myanmar is a low and middle-income country situated in the South-East Asia region. In 2015–2016, the first Myanmar Demographic and Health Survey (MDHS) was conducted using a nationally representative sample across the country. The survey found a high prevalence of overweight and obesity among women of reproductive age. Overweight and obesity is an important risk factor for the development of several non-communicable diseases (NCDs) like diabetes mellitus, hypertension,
cardiovascular diseases,10 cancer11 and chronic kidney diseases.12 In addition, overweight and obese women experience complications during pregnancy (gestational diabetes mellitus, pre-eclampsia and eclampsia) more frequently than women of normal body weight.13 14

It has been found that energy expenditure is very low among people who spend their leisure time watching television, rather than being involved in physical activities like playing games, gardening, and so on. This ultimately increases their risk of gaining excessive body weight.15 16 Television watching is also associated with an increase in energy intake, which may sometimes be attributable to their frequent exposure to television advertisements of food and beverages and the subsequent consumption of the said food and beverages.17–21

Across the world, many studies have shown a positive association between the increased frequency of television viewing and overweight/obesity. In the USA and Australia, it has been found that people who view television more frequently are at higher risk of being overweight/obese.20 22–24 A study from Bangladesh has found that watching television frequently (at least once a week) was associated with obesity among women of reproductive age.25 However, this association has not been explored in other South and South-East Asian countries including Myanmar. Therefore, this study was conducted to determine the association between television viewing and the prevalence of overweight and obesity among women of reproductive age in Myanmar using the MDHS 2015–2016 data.

METHODS
Study settings
According to the 2014 census, the total population of Myanmar was 51.5 million with a population density of 76 persons per square kilometre (km2). The country is home to 135 ethnic groups.26 27 The gross domestic product growth rate of Myanmar was 6.4% and per capita, gross national income was US$1455 in 2016–2017. More than a quarter (26.1%) of the population was living under the poverty line in 2014. Myanmar also has the lowest life expectancy at birth (66.6 years) among the Association of Southeast Asian Nations.26 28

Study design
This study analysed the data of MDHS 2015–2016, the first demographic and health survey of Myanmar.6 The detailed method has been published previously.6 MDHS 2015–2016 was a cross-sectional survey which used a nationally representative sample and was conducted through a joint collaboration between the Ministry of Health and Sports of Myanmar and ICF International. The US Agency for International Development and the Three Millennium Development Goals fund provided financial support for the survey. Two-stage cluster sampling techniques were used for sample selection. The sample was stratified for each of the seven states and eight regions of Myanmar. At the first stage, 442 clusters (urban: 123 and rural: 319) were selected randomly from a sample frame of 4000 clusters. At the second stage, 30 households were selected from each of the clusters. In total, 13,260 households were selected for the final sample. The target group of this study was women of reproductive age (15–49 years). The permanent residents and the visitors who stayed in the selected households the night before the date of data collection were included in the questionnaire survey. Around 96% of eligible women agreed to participate in the survey. Among them, 98% agreed for anthropometric measurement. However, pregnant women and women who had given birth within the preceding 2 months of the survey were excluded. The final weighted sample size of this study was 12,021 (figure 1).

Survey tools and data collection
A standard woman’s questionnaire used by the DHS programme was adopted and modified according to the local context and pretested to collect sociodemographic information (eg, age, sex, household wealth index and place of residence) through face-to-face interviews. Trained field staff carried out the interviews and anthropometric measurements. Measuring boards specially made by Shorr Productions were used for height measurement and lightweight SECA scales with
digital screens were used for measuring the weight of the respondents.

The main outcome variables of this study were overweight and obesity. To define these variables, an Asia specific body mass index (BMI) cut-off value was used. Women having a BMI <23.0 kg/m^2 were considered to be normal weight or underweight, women having a BMI between 23.0 kg/m^2 and <27.5 kg/m^2 were considered to be overweight and women having a BMI ≥27.5 kg/m^2 were considered to be obese.

The main explanatory variable of interest for this study was the frequency of viewing television. Data were collected as the following categories: (1) Not viewing television at all. (2) Viewing television less than once a week. (3) Viewing television at least once a week. The other independent variables considered based on the literature review were age group, place of residence, region of residence, education, wealth quintile, current working status, parity and number of household members in the family. The categories of the variables are mentioned in table 1.

**Table 1 List of variables considered for the study**

| Name of the variables | Categories |
|-----------------------|------------|
| **Outcome variables** |            |
| Body mass index (BMI) | a. 0=Normal weight or underweight (BMI<23 kg/m^2)  
b. 1=Overweight (BMI 23.0 kg/m^2 to <27.5 kg/m^2)  
c. 2=Obesity (BMI≥27.5 kg/m^2) |
| **Explanatory variable** |            |
| Frequency of viewing television | a. 0=Not at all  
b. 1=Less than once a week  
c. 2=At least once a week |
| **Covariates** |            |
| 1. Age groups | a. 0=15–24 years  
b. 1=25–34 years  
c. 2=35–49 years |
| 2. Place of residence | a. 0=Urban  
b. 1=Rural |
| 3. Region of residence | a. 0=Kachin  
b. 1=Kayah  
c. 2=Kayin  
d. 3=Chin  
e. 4=Sagaying  
f. 5=Taninthayi  
g. 6=Bago  
h. 7=Magway  
i. 8=Mandalay  
j. 9=Mon  
k. 10=Rakhine  
l. 11=Yangon  
m. 12=Shan  
n. 13=Ayeyarwaddy  
o. 14=Naypyitaw |
| 4. Education | a. 0=No education  
b. 1=Primary education  
c. 2=Secondary education  
d. 3=Higher education |
| 5. Wealth quintile | a. 0=Poorest  
b. 1=Poorer  
c. 2=Middle  
d. 3=Richer  
e. 4=Richest |
| 6. Current working status | a. 0=Yes  
b. 1=No |
| 7. Parity | a. 0=0 (nullipara)  
b. 1=1 (primipara)  
c. 2=2  
d. 3=3  
e. 4=>3 |
| 8. Number of household members | a. 0=≤5  
b. 1=>5 |

**Ethical consideration**

Written informed consent was taken from the participants. In case of minor participants, assent form was signed by the respondents and written informed consent was given by the adult guardian.

**Data analysis**

Weighted descriptive statistics (frequency and percentage) were used to present the sociodemographic characteristics of the respondents. A \( \chi^2 \) test was performed to determine whether the groups differed in terms of the explanatory variables according to the BMI status. To find the association between the explanatory and outcome variables, ordered logistic regression analysis was conducted considering the proportional odds assumption was fulfilled. Initially, bivariate analyses were done. Variables which showed a p value <0.20 in bivariate analyses were included in the multivariable model. This value of 0.2 was considered statistically significant to prevent residual confounding in multivariable analysis. The variables which showed p<0.05 in multivariable analyses were considered to be statistically significant. Both the unadjusted crude OR and adjusted OR (AOR) were reported. Variance inflation factors (VIF) were assessed to check multicollinearity among the variables. A VIF value greater than 5 was considered as an indication of multicollinearity; however, no significant multicollinearity was observed. A test for interaction effect between the frequency of TV viewing and the place of residence was performed; however, no significant interaction effect was observed. The cluster effect was adjusted during analysis. All the analyses were done using Stata V.13.0. The authors followed the guidelines outlined in the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology statement in writing the manuscript (online supplementary file 1).

**Patient involvement**

Patients were not involved in the study.

**FINDINGS**

**Sociodemographic characteristics of the respondents**

The data of 12021 weighted samples were analysed. More than a quarter (26.5%) of the study participants were overweight, and 12.2% of them were obese (figure 2). The sociodemographic characteristics of
the respondents along with the prevalence of the three categories of BMI across the independent variables with the associated $\chi^2$ value are presented in table 2. The majority of the study participants was aged between 35 years and 49 years (42.3%) and was residing in rural areas (70.8%). The highest proportion of participants was from the Yangon region (15.1%), followed by the Ayeyarwaddy region (12.5%) and the Mandalay region (12.2%), whereas the lowest participation was from the Kayah region (0.5%). Around half of the respondents (41.3%) were educated up to the primary level and about a third (36.1%) received secondary level education; furthermore, 10.2% received higher education and 12.4% received no education. More than two-thirds (68.1%) of the women were employed at the time of interview. Nearly two-fifths of the women (41.7%) were nulliparous, while cumulatively a similar proportion of respondents (46.6%) had the experience of being pregnant—one (15.3%), twice (15.8%), thrice (11.7%) and more than three times (15.5%). The highest proportion of the respondents belonged to the richest wealth quintile (22.2%) followed by richer (21.1%) and middle (20.9%) quintiles. Among the study participants, the majority (60.1%) reported that they watched television at least once a week; however, 23.1% did not watch television at all and 16.8% watched television less than once a week. Except for current employment status, significant differences were found among the BMI of women across the explanatory variables. The prevalence of overweight and obesity increased with age (p<0.0001) and was most common in the Yangon and Kachin regions (p<0.0001). This prevalence was also higher in the urban areas compared with the rural areas (overweight: 31.1% vs 24.6%; obesity: 17.9% vs 9.8%; p<0.0001). Plausibly, women with higher educational status, having two children and belonging to the richest wealth group had a higher prevalence of overweight and obesity (p<0.0001). The prevalence of overweight and obesity was also higher among the individuals who used to watch television at least once a week (p<0.0001) (table 2).

The frequency of watching television at least once a week was higher among the urban than the rural women (80.8% vs 51.7%). Around 30% of the rural women did not view television at all, whereas the proportion was lower for the urban women (7.2%) (figure 3).

**Association between the frequency of viewing television and overweight and obesity**

Ordered logistic regression was used to discern the association between frequency of viewing television and overweight and obesity. During the analyses, the normal weight category (BMI <23 kg/m²) was held as the reference group. The results are presented in table 3. In the final model after adjusting for age, place and region of residence, wealth index, highest educational status, current employment status, parity and number of household members, it was found that women who watched television at least once a week were 1.2 times more likely to be overweight and obese than women who never watched television (AOR 1.16, 95% CI 1.02 to 1.32; p=0.023).

When stratified by urban and rural residence, overweight and obesity showed significant association with the frequency of viewing television in the urban areas. However, in the rural areas, women who watched television at least once a week were 1.2 times more likely to be overweight and obese than those who did not watch television at all (AOR 1.16, 95% CI 1.01 to 1.34; p=0.040) (table 3).

The final logistic regression models are shown in online supplementary tables 1–3 (supplementary file 2).

**Model goodness-of-fit**

To assess the internal validity of the regression model, the F-adjusted mean residual goodness-of-fit test was used. The $p$-value of the F statistics of the adjusted model was <0.001, indicating an acceptable model fitness.

**DISCUSSION**

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to use a nationally representative sample to examine the association between frequency of television viewing with the prevalence of overweight and obesity among women of reproductive age in Myanmar. More than a fourth of the women surveyed were overweight and one in eight women was obese. This study also found that watching television at least once a week was significantly associated with overweight/obesity in women of reproductive age in rural Myanmar.

The prevalence of overweight and obesity among women of reproductive age was found to be 26.5% and 12.2%, respectively. The total burden of overweight/obesity (38.7%) was almost similar to the burden of overweight and obesity among the same target group in other South and South-East Asian countries, including Nepal (32.8%), Bangladesh (36%), and Pakistan (39%). Each of these studies used a nationally representative sample and the Asian BMI cut-off.

The prevalence of overweight and obesity among women of reproductive age was found to be 26.5% and 12.2%, respectively. The total burden of overweight/obesity (38.7%) was almost similar to the burden of overweight and obesity among the same target group in other South and South-East Asian countries, including Nepal (32.8%), Bangladesh (36%), and Pakistan (39%). Each of these studies used a nationally representative sample and the Asian BMI cut-off.

The prevalence of overweight and obesity was higher among the women living in the urban areas compared with those living in the rural areas. This finding is consistent with studies done in other South and South-East Asian countries, including Bangladesh, as well as in the other continents. Urban women had a higher

Figure 2 Distribution of the respondents by body mass index (BMI) status.
### Table 2  Sociodemographic characteristics of the study participants and prevalence of overweight and obesity across the independent variables, MDHS 2015–2016 (n=12021)

| Variable                        | Frequency (%) | BMI<23 kg/m² | BMI 23–27.5 kg/m² | BMI ≥27.5 kg/m² | χ²      | P value |
|--------------------------------|---------------|--------------|-------------------|----------------|---------|---------|
| **Age group (years)**          |               |              |                   |                |         |         |
| 15–24                          | 3433 (28.6)   | 82.4         | 14.3              | 3.3            | 173.9   | <0.0001*|
| 25–34                          | 3504 (29.1)   | 60.4         | 27.6              | 12.0           |         |         |
| 35–49                          | 5084 (42.3)   | 47.7         | 34.0              | 18.3           |         |         |
| **Place of residence**         |               |              |                   |                |         |         |
| Urban                          | 3505 (29.2)   | 51.0         | 31.1              | 17.9           | 258.4   | <0.0001*|
| Rural                          | 8516 (70.8)   | 65.6         | 24.6              | 9.8            |         |         |
| **Region of residence**        |               |              |                   |                |         |         |
| Kachin                         | 334 (2.8)     | 54.6         | 30.0              | 15.4           | 240.1   | <0.0001*|
| Kayah                          | 60 (0.5)      | 63.3         | 27.1              | 9.6            |         |         |
| Kayin                          | 274 (2.3)     | 59.1         | 27.1              | 13.8           |         |         |
| Chin                           | 90 (0.8)      | 71.7         | 23.9              | 4.4            |         |         |
| Sagaing                        | 1351 (11.3)   | 58.7         | 27.7              | 13.6           |         |         |
| Taninthayi                     | 265 (2.2)     | 57.0         | 28.6              | 14.4           |         |         |
| Bago                           | 1197 (9.9)    | 64.5         | 25.9              | 9.6            |         |         |
| Magway                         | 1030 (8.6)    | 67.7         | 24.0              | 8.3            |         |         |
| Mandalay                       | 1462 (12.2)   | 64.2         | 25.4              | 10.5           |         |         |
| Mon                            | 432 (3.6)     | 59.7         | 25.0              | 15.3           |         |         |
| Rakhine                        | 695 (5.8)     | 75.3         | 19.2              | 5.5            |         |         |
| Yangon                         | 1822 (15.1)   | 49.7         | 33.8              | 16.5           |         |         |
| Shan                           | 1216 (10.1)   | 60.2         | 25.7              | 14.1           |         |         |
| Ayeyarwaddy                    | 1508 (12.5)   | 64.8         | 23.3              | 11.8           |         |         |
| Naypyitaw                      | 285 (2.3)     | 63.3         | 26.0              | 10.7           |         |         |
| **Highest educational status** |               |              |                   |                |         |         |
| No formal education            | 1485 (12.4)   | 65.4         | 25.3              | 9.3            | 40.5    | 0.0003*|
| Primary                        | 4966 (41.3)   | 59.8         | 27.6              | 12.6           |         |         |
| Secondary                      | 4345 (36.1)   | 63.1         | 25.3              | 11.6           |         |         |
| Higher                         | 1225 (10.2)   | 56.6         | 28.1              | 15.3           |         |         |
| **Currently employed**         |               |              |                   |                |         |         |
| Yes                            | 8184 (68.1)   | 61.2         | 26.6              | 12.2           | 0.2     | 0.9348  |
| No                             | 3837 (32.9)   | 61.6         | 26.4              | 12.0           |         |         |
| **Wealth index**               |               |              |                   |                |         |         |
| Poorest                        | 2052 (17.1)   | 75.2         | 18.6              | 6.2            | 427.7   | <0.0001*|
| Poorer                         | 2252 (18.7)   | 66.8         | 25.0              | 8.2            |         |         |
| Middle                         | 2509 (20.9)   | 61.5         | 28.0              | 10.5           |         |         |
| Richer                         | 2533 (21.1)   | 57.2         | 28.2              | 14.6           |         |         |
| Richest                        | 2675 (22.2)   | 49.9         | 30.9              | 19.2           |         |         |
| **Marital status**             |               |              |                   |                |         |         |
| Single                         | 4191 (34.9)   | 76.8         | 17.4              | 5.8            | 120.1   | <0.0001*|
| Currently married              | 7021 (58.4)   | 52.1         | 31.9              | 16.0           |         |         |
| Separated/divorced/widowed     | 809 (6.7)     | 61.1         | 27.4              | 11.5           |         |         |
| **Parity**                     |               |              |                   |                |         |         |

Continued
frequency of television watching. This may be due to the higher coverage of electricity and the availability of many satellite channels in urban areas in comparison to rural areas.25 It was found that among rural women, the prevalence of overweight and obesity was significantly associated with watching television at least once a week. This finding is also consistent with the finding from Bangladesh.25 Despite the frequency of television watching was higher among urban women, there was no association between this behaviour and the prevalence of overweight and obesity. However, they were more likely to be overweight/obese than rural women. A propensity towards a more sedentary lifestyle and the intake of high calorie foods by the urban residents may be potential determinants that have overridden the effect from an increased frequency of watching television. On the other hand, reliance on less developed transportation facilities, involvement in more laborious work and comparatively lower consumption of obesogenic diets among the rural women are factors that may contribute to a lower prevalence of overweight and obesity.25 Those who view television more frequently in the rural area are more prone to lead a sedentary lifestyle and as such are at greater risk of developing obesity.25 The findings from our study are coherent with a recent study in Bangladesh, which showed a positive association between the frequency of television viewing and overweight and obesity in women of reproductive age.25 Similar positive associations were also found in developed countries (eg, USA and Australia).20 22–24 In a recently published multicountry study, this association has also been observed in the case of children and adolescents.37

**Policy and programme implications**

The high prevalence of overweight/obesity is associated with an increased burden from NCDs in Myanmar, as shown by recent evidence.7 38 An unintended consequence of the continuing economic development of the country is the increasing preference for a sedentary lifestyle and obesogenic food, which, in turn, is raising the burden of overweight/obesity. Considering the epidemiological, demographic and nutritional transition, the policy makers of Myanmar should focus on the prevention and control of both overweight and obesity and NCDs. The newly released ‘Myanmar National Health Plan 2017–2021’ incorporates NCD prevention and control programmes.39 Furthermore, a social behavioural change communication campaign should be developed in order to promote physical activity and raise awareness among the population, especially among children and adolescents, in order to prevent overweight/obesity as early as possible. Further research should be conducted among

| Variable                      | Frequency (%) | BMI<23 kg/m² | 23 kg/m² ≤BMI <27.5 kg/m² | BMI ≥27.5 kg/m² | χ²   | P value |
|-------------------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------------------|----------------|------|---------|
| 0                             | 5010 (41.7)  | 75.2         | 18.4                      | 6.4            | 759.6| <0.0001*|
| 1                             | 1844 (15.3)  | 55.5         | 30.8                      | 13.7           |      |         |
| 2                             | 1903 (15.8)  | 49.4         | 32.7                      | 17.9           |      |         |
| 3                             | 1405 (11.7)  | 45.6         | 36.1                      | 18.3           |      |         |
| >3                            | 1859 (15.5)  | 54.0         | 30.4                      | 15.6           |      |         |

---

Table 2  Continued

| Number of household members | Frequency (%) | BMI<23 kg/m² | 23 kg/m² ≤BMI <27.5 kg/m² | BMI ≥27.5 kg/m² | χ²   | P value |
|-----------------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------------------|----------------|------|---------|
| ≤5                          | 7402 (61.6)  | 59.8         | 27.2                      | 13.0           | 7.4  | <0.001* |
| >5                          | 4620 (38.4)  | 63.9         | 25.5                      | 10.6           |      |         |

| Frequency of viewing television | Frequency (%) | BMI<23 kg/m² | 23 kg/m² ≤BMI <27.5 kg/m² | BMI ≥27.5 kg/m² | χ²   | P value |
|---------------------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------------------|----------------|------|---------|
| Not at all                      | 2779 (23.1)  | 67.2         | 24.5                      | 8.3            | 89.7 | <0.001* |
| Less than once a week           | 2015 (16.8)  | 63.3         | 25.9                      | 10.8           |      |         |
| At least once a week            | 7227 (60.1)  | 58.5         | 27.5                      | 14.0           |      |         |

*p-value<0.05.

---

Figure 3  Distribution of the respondents by place of residence with frequency of watching television.

---
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The rising burden of overweight and obesity is now a global concern. Obesity ultimately leads to the development of NCDs and premature death. The results from this study demonstrate that watching television is associated with obesity among reproductive age women in Myanmar. Therefore, necessary steps should be taken to improve awareness regarding the harmful consequences of watching TV for longer hours and physical inactivity as well as to encourage increased physical activity. Additional research is also warranted to explore the situation among the general population of Myanmar.

**STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS**

This is the first study, which used a nationally representative sample to examine the association between the frequency of watching television and overweight/obesity among women of reproductive age in Myanmar. Moreover, as MDHS used standard and valid tools for data collection, the probability of the existence of any measurement error is lower in this study in comparison to other cross-sectional studies conducted in Myanmar. However, the survey could not establish the temporal relationship between the exposure and the outcome variables because of its cross-sectional design. As a result, the causal association that frequent TV watching may cause obesity could not be established. The frequency of television viewing was measured in weeks, as opposed to days/hours; the latter could have given more precise information. In the multivariable analysis, food habits and duration of physical activity were not included because this information was not collected in MDHS. There may be the presence of reporting bias while measuring the frequency of television watching. The information related to the frequency of time spent on other types of telecommunication devices such as mobile phones or computers was not collected, so the association with those variables with overweight/obesity could not be measured.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The rising burden of overweight and obesity is now a global concern. Obesity ultimately leads to the

| Table 3 | Association between the frequency of viewing television and overweight and obesity among reproductive age women of Myanmar, MDHS 2015–2016 |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Frequency of viewing Television | COR (95% CI) | P value | AOR (95% CI) | P value |
| **Total** | | | | |
| Not at all | Ref |  | Ref |  |
| Less than once a week | 1.20 (1.03 to 1.40) | **0.020*** | 1.01 (0.87 to 1.19) | 0.870 |
| At least once a week | 1.49 (1.32 to 1.69) | <**0.001*** | 1.16 (1.02 to 1.32) | **0.023*** |
| **In urban areas** | | | | |
| Not at all | Ref |  | Ref |  |
| Less than once a week | 1.15 (0.80 to 1.67) | 0.441 | 1.05 (0.73 to 1.51) | 0.779 |
| At least once a week | 1.25 (0.93 to 1.69) | 0.144 | 1.14 (0.85 to 1.52) | 0.389 |
| **In rural areas** | | | | |
| Not at all | Ref |  | Ref |  |
| Less than once a week | 1.11 (0.93 to 1.32) | 0.242 | 0.98 (0.82 to 1.17) | 0.829 |
| At least once a week | 1.26 (1.10 to 1.44) | **0.001*** | 1.16 (1.01 to 1.34) | **0.040*** |

Results are based on ordered logistics regression and adjusted for age, place of residence, region of residence, highest educational status, current employment status, wealth index, parity and number of household members. The BMI <23 kg/m² group was held as the reference group.

AOR, adjusted OR; COR, crude OR; MDHS, Myanmar Demographic and Health Survey.

*p-value<0.05.
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