AMPH-1 is critical for breast cancer progression
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Abstract

Amphiphysin 1 (AMPH-1) is a nerve terminals-enriched protein involved in endocytosis, and we observe that its expression is increased in breast cancer tumor in compared with normal breast. However, its function in breast cancer is unknown. Here we aim to explore the role of AMPH-1 in breast cancer cells. Knockdown of AMPH-1 in breast cancer cells promotes cell proliferation, cell cycle progression and cell migration, and attenuates cell apoptosis. Of note, knockdown of AMPH-1 promotes breast cancer progression in xenograft mouse model. These oncogenic phenotypes may be partially due to the activated EMT and ERK pathways after inhibition of AMPH-1. Oncomine analyses of multiple breast cancer patient datasets show that reduced AMPH-1 mRNA level is significantly associated with breast cancer patients having metastatic events, advanced stage, poor clinical outcomes, and Paclitaxel+FEC treatment resistance. In summary, our results identified the anti-oncogenic function of AMPH-1 in breast cancer in vitro and in vivo. Activation of AMPH-1 may be a promising approach to treat breast cancer patients.
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Introduction

Breast cancer is one of the most challenging diseases, which has emerged as a major public health concern among women. Each year, about 1.7 million women worldwide are diagnosed with breast cancer; over half of all cases (53%) occur in less-developed regions 1. A number of exogenous and endogenous factors can stimulate the pathology of breast cancer and some side effects of conventional treatment such as chemotherapy and radiotherapy are increasing the burden more and making it more challenging to treat the patients of breast cancer 2-5.

Amphiphysin 1 (AMPH-1) is a nerve terminals-enriched protein 6. It is a 128 kD protein with three identified functional domains, including an N-terminal Bin/Amphiphysin/Rvs (BAR) domain, a middle Clathrin and adaptor binding domain (CLAP), and a C-terminal SH3 domain 7-9. Previous studies of AMPH-1 were mainly focused on its role in neuronal function 10, 11, which involves the recruitment of dynamin to sites of clathrin-mediated endocytosis 12, 13. Knockdown of AMPH-1 in the neurons decreases filopodia formation and leads to the collapse of the growth cones 14, 15. Reduced synaptic vesicle recycling efficiency and cognitive deficits were observed in Amp-1 knockout mice 16. Cdk5 and Calpain are identified as regulators of AMPH-1’s function in neurodevelopmental disorder 11, 12.

Up to now, although no function study of AMPH-1 in cancer has been carried out, a few studies
found that AMPH-1 was a dominant autoantigen in a small minority of stiff-person syndrome (SPS) patients associated with breast cancer, lung cancer, and melanoma. Stiff-person syndrome (SPS), formerly known as stiff-man syndrome, is a rare neuroimmunologic disease, the understanding and diagnosis of which greatly advanced with the identification of disease-associated autoantibodies. Amphiphysin Ab are frequently coexpressed with other paraneoplastic antibodies and amphiphysin Ab-associated SPS is a recognized clinical entity. Some studies demonstrate that amphiphysin Ab-associated SPS is a cancer-associated syndrome. Other studies also showed that the yeast homologs of amphiphysin, Rvs161 and Rvs167 were involved in the transition from exponential cell growth to stationary phase upon exposure to nutrient starvation, implicating a possible role in the biology of cancer.

Breast cancer is the most common malignancy among women and is the third highest leading cause of cancer mortality worldwide. The involvement of AMPH-1 in breast cancer is unknown. In this study, we explored the function of AMPH-1 in breast cancer cells. We knocked down AMPH-1 in two breast cancer cell lines and found that AMPH-1 silencing attenuated cell apoptosis, promoted cell cycle progression and cell migration. We further evaluated the in vivo growth of the AMPH-1-knockdown cells in a mouse xenograft model and addressed its expression and the involved signaling pathway, demonstrating a novel function for AMPH-1 in the suppression of breast cancer.

Materials and methods

Cell culture, RNA interference, antibody

The MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells were purchased from ATCC. All the cells were maintained for no more than 50 passages before we thaw up a new stock. The stable cell lines were generated by integration of lentiviral shRNA vectors specific for AMPH-1 or a control gene. The shRNA against AMPH-1 (1#) shown as follows: 5'- GCGAGAACUC CGAGGAUAUTT-3'. The shRNA against AMPH-1 (2#) was 5'- CGAGGAACGUAUGGCAUATT-3'. Antibodies were purchased from Sigma (β-actin), Abcom (AMPH-1), Cell Signaling (E-cadherin, N-cadherin, P-ERK1/2 and Cleaved Caspase-3).

Transwell migration assay, MTT assay, colony formation

To evaluate breast cancer cell migration, 8 nm pore-size culture inserts (Transwell, Costar) were placed into the wells of a 24-well culture plate, separating the upper and the lower chambers. 1x 10^4 control or AMPH-1 knockdown cells were seeded to the upper chamber in 200ul of serum-free medium. After 12 h of incubation at 37 °C in 5% CO2, the number of cells that migrated through the pores was counted in 3 independent visual fields. The cell morphology was observed by hematoxylin staining. The assay was performed in triplicate.

For MTT assay, 5×10^3 early log phase of control or AMPH-1 knockdown cells were seeded in 96-well plates for the MTT assay. Cell density was measured by Cell Viability Kit (MTT, Roche, Indianapolis, IN, USA) following the manufacturer's instructions. The absorbance value (OD) was read in a microtiter plate reader at wavelength of 570 nm. All experiments were repeated at least three times.

For colony formation assay, cells were seeded into 96 well dishes with density of 5×10^3 cells per well. Individual colonies were fixed and stained with a solution containing 0.2% crystal violet in 10% ethanol for 30 minutes. The amount of dye taken up by the monolayer is quantitated in a spectrophotometer or plate reader at 570 nm.

Apoptosis assay and Cell Cycle Analysis

Double-staining of Annexin V-FITC-Propidium iodide (PI) was used for analyzing apoptosis. Briefly, cells were resuspended by cold PBS at a density of 1×10^6 cells/ml. PI and Annexin V-Alexa Fluor488 conjugate were mixed and added into the cell suspensions, followed by incubation at room temperature for 15 min. Flow cytometry was used to analyze apoptosis.

Cells were washed twice with cold PBS, resuspended in cold 70% ethanol and stored at 4°C overnight. Then, the cells were washed three times in cold 1x PBS, and stained with a PI solution (50 μg/ml) for 30 mins. Each assay was repeated for three times. Fold change values were represented as mean of the three experiments.

Western blot

For Western blot, proteins were separated on 10% SDS-polyacrylamide gels and transferred to NC membranes. Membranes were blocked in 5% non-fat milk in Tris-buffered saline (plus 0.1% Tween-20). AMPH-1, E-cadherin, Caspase3- cleaved, P-ERK, Ki67 and β-actin antibodies were purchased from Proteintech and Cell Signaling. Blots were detected by chemiluminescence and exposed on X-ray films.

Immunohistochemistry (IHC)

IHC was performed for continuous sections from paraffin-embedded blocks. Antigen retrieval was performed by microwaving for 3 min in citrate-buffered solution (pH 6.0). Blocking was done
by incubation with 10% goat serum at room temperature for 30 min. Sections were incubated with AMPH-1 (1:500), p-ERK (1:300), Ki67(1:200) and E-cadherin (1:500) antibodies that are indicated in this study for overnight at 4°C. Staining with secondary antibody (conjugated with horseradish peroxidase) was performed for 1 hour at room temperature. Sections were finally stained with 3, 3-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride (DAB) and counterstained with hematoxylin.

**Mouse xenograft tumor model**

The five female BALB/c nude mice at the age of 5 weeks were anesthetized. ShAMPH-1 and control cells were suspended in cold PBS (2×10^6 cells/100 µL) and injected into the mice subcutaneously on flanks. Mice were continuously monitored for 6 weeks. Mice bearing tumors were sacrificed for the assessment of final tumor size. Mice were bred in the Animal Core Facility by following procedures approved by the East China Normal University of Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

**Statistical measurements**

Data are presented as mean ± SEM of three independent experiments. Significance of means between two groups is determined by student’s t-test. A P value < 0.05 was considered significant.

**Human Breast Tumor Expression Data Sets**

Data sets in Oncomine (https://www.oncomine.org) were also examined followed previous methods 26.

**Results**

**Knockdown of AMPH-1 promotes breast cancer cell growth**

We noticed that the expression level of AMPH-1 was significantly increased in breast cancer compared to normal breast (Figure 1A). Therefore, we were curious about the role of AMPH-1 in breast cancer. First, we examined the expression of AMPH-1 in two breast cancer cell lines, MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231, and its role in regulation of breast cancer cell proliferation. Knockdown of AMPH-1 by two different shRNAs dramatically increased the cell growth in both cell lines (Figures 1A and 1B) using MTT assay. We further conducted soft agar colony formation assay to test the cell growth after AMPH-1 silencing in MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 cells. Consistent with MTT results, knockdown of AMPH-1 significantly promoted cell colony formation compared with the controls (Figure 1C and 1D). Thus, knockdown of AMPH-1 promoted cell growth in human breast cancer cells, and these results implicated that AMPH-1 might be passively induced in breast cancer development.

**AMPH-1 silencing attenuates cell apoptosis and promotes cell cycle progression**

We next investigated the function of AMPH-1 in regulation of cell apoptosis, determined by Flow Cytometry analysis of MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 cells stained with Annexin-V and propidium iodide (PI) (Figure 2A-B). The cell ratio undergoing late apoptosis was reduced from 3.86% to 2.91% after knockdown of AMPH-1 in MDA-MB-231 cells, and early apoptotic cell population was reduced from 5.31% to 2.65% (Figure 2A). Similar trend was observed in MCF-7 cells (3.78% to 3.21% (late apoptosis) and 4.56% to 2.8% (early apoptosis)) (Figure 2B). We then evaluated the effect of AMPH-1 on cell cycle distribution in the breast cancer cells. As demonstrated in Figure 2C, knockdown of AMPH-1 in cells caused a concomitant lower G1 population (44.83% and 52.91% for MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7, respectively) compared to the control group (51.88% and 58.05%, respectively). Meanwhile, the S phase cell population was elevated in both cell lines with AMPH-1 knockdown (49.39% vs 37.76% for MDA-MB-231 and 42.24% vs 39.09% for MCF-7) compared to control groups. Therefore, these data suggested that inhibition of AMPH-1 reduced breast cancer cell apoptosis and promoted cell cycle progression.

**Inhibition of AMPH-1 promotes cell migration and activates EMT and ERK signal pathway**

Metastasis accounts for the majority of cancer morbidity and mortality. Here we investigated the impact of AMPH-1 on the cell migration in MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 cells. As shown in Figures 3A and 3B, an elevated invasive effect was observed in MDA-MB-231 cells as well as in MCF-7 cells after knockdown of AMPH-1.

Next we explored the clinical significance of AMPH-1 expression in human invasive breast cancer patients. As indicated by Figure 3C, AMPH-1 expression level was got from the public cancer databases (Oncomine 3.0) and examined for patients with or without metastatic events at different time points. Corresponding to the metastatic events, reduced AMPH-1 mRNA expression level was observed at 1-year (-1.606 fold), 3-year (-2.164 fold) and 5-year (-1.705 fold) time points, respectively. We also checked the AMPH-1 level in advanced N1+ stage breast cancer patients 29, 30, and it had the lower expression compared to N0 stage (Figure 3D), indicating the involvement of AMPH-1 in the regulation of invasive/migration in advanced stage of human breast cancer.
Figure 1. Knockdown of AMPH-1 promotes breast cancer cell growth. A. Oncomine analysis of AMPH-1 mRNA expression in normal breast and breast cancer. B. shRNA knockdown of AMPH-1 in MDA-MB-231 cell and MCF-7 cell. C. MTT assay of cell growth. (**p <0.01, *p <0.05, p values were analyzed by two-tailed t test. Data are the mean ±SEM, representing three independent experiments). D. Colony formation assay was employed to measure the colony plate efficiency in control (shN) or AMPH-1 knockdown (shAMPH-1) cells. Quantitative assay was performed in control (shN) or AMPH-1 knockdown (shAMPH-1) cells. (**p <0.01, ***p <0.001, p values were analyzed by two-tailed t test. Data are the mean ±SEM, representing three independent experiments).

Figure 2. AMPH-1 silencing attenuates cell apoptosis and promotes cell cycle progression. A-B. Cell apoptosis was evaluated by Flow cytometry. FL1-H is Annexin V and FL2-H is PI. C-D. Cell cycle distribution analysis for AMPH-1 knockdown (shAMPH-1) and control (shN) cells.
To dissect the signaling pathway regulated by AMPH-1 in breast cancer cells, western blot against major components of Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition (EMT) and ERK pathway was carried out. As shown in Figure 3E, knockdown of AMPH-1 caused the decrease of E-cadherin and cleaved caspase-3 level, and the increase of p-ERK1/2 and N-cadherin in MDA-MB-23 and MCF-7 cells. Given that phosphorylated ERK1/2 augmented cell proliferation and reduced level of E-cadherin and abnormal activation of N-cadherin were essential in EMT-mediated cancer initiation, migration and progression 31, these oncogenic phenotypes (Figures 1-3) after knockdown of AMPH-1 in breast cancer cells were due to the activated EMT/ERK pathway. Of note, our results (Figures 1-3) suggested that AMPH-1 might be passively induced at the early stage of breast cancer, while AMPH-1 was inhibited during breast cancer development.

**Knockdown of AMPH-1 promotes breast cancer growth in xenograft mouse model**

MDA-MB-231 (shN and shAMPH-1) cells were inoculated in nude mice, and the volume and weight of MDA-MB-231 tumors were measured at the final stage. The tumor volume and tumor weights in AMPH-1 silencing group were significantly increased compared with these of control group (Figures 4A-B). Immunohistochemical staining for Ki67 (a proliferation marker), E-cadherin and p-ERK1/2 in xenograft tumors was performed. The staining of Ki67 and E-cadherin was remarkably reduced and p-ERK1/2 was significantly increased in knockdown group compared to controls (Figure 4C). Thus, these in vivo results showed knockdown of AMPH-1 promoted breast cancer growth, probably dependent on the activation of ERK1/2 and EMT pathway in xenograft breast tumors after the inhibition of AMPH-1.

**AMPH-1 is decreased in breast cancer patients with worse clinical outcome**

To explore the outcome of breast cancer patients with different AMPH-1 expression levels, we analyzed multiple breast cancer patient datasets using Oncomine 26, 32. The reduced mRNA expression of AMPH-1 (-1.929 fold, p=0.011) was observed in ductal breast carcinoma dead at 1 year compared to those still alive at 1 year (Figure 5A) 33. Similar reduction of AMPH-1 mRNA was observed in breast cancer patients dead at 3 years compared to those still alive at 3 years (Figure 5B) 34. The expression level of AMPH-1 was also negatively related to recurrence and certain drug response. As shown in Figure 5C 34, the recurrence at 5-year group had a significantly reduced AMPH-1 level compared to no recurrence group. Next, we evaluated patients’ AMPH-1 expression level and chemotherapeutic efficacy of
Paclitaxel followed by concurrent 5-fluorouracil, epirubicin, and cyclophosphamide (FEC) \(^{29}\). A 2.025-fold \((p=0.003)\) reduction was detected between Paclitaxel+FEC no responder vs Paclitaxel+FEC responder (Figure 5D). Taken together, the lower expression of AMPH-1 was associated with worse clinical outcome.

**Discussion**

Human amphiphysin 1 is a protein highly concentrated in nerve terminals \(^{13}\). Previous study showed that AMPH-1 was also detected in breast cancer cell line Hs578T, in samples from a patient with breast cancer and paraneoplastic sensory neuropathy,
as well as in normal human mammary tissue. Here we demonstrated that AMPH-1 was detected in both breast cancer cell lines, MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 (Figure 1A). Our results, together with previous observations, showed that AMPH-1 was expressed in breast cell lines. These results suggested that the presence of AMPH-1 in human mammary tissue was not attributed to the peripheral nerves, implicating it had multiple functions other than in neuron cell synaptic vesicle recycling. From an evolutionary perspective, Drosophila amphiphysins is broadly expressed during development and it is required for normal locomotion but not endocytosis. Yeast amphiphysins, Rvs161 and Rvs167, are involved in exponential to stationary growth phase transition.

AMPH-1 plays an important role in tumor cell growth suppression, which is supported by the elevated cell proliferation and enhanced survival after knockdown of AMPH-1 (Figures 1B-D). This might attribute to the attenuated spontaneous apoptosis rate and aberrant cell cycle progression (Figure 2). MDA-MB-231 was a highly metastatic cell line as indicated in our transwell assay (Figure 3A). AMPH-1 silencing further promoted its migration capacity (Figure 3A). Of note, the knockdown also boosted the migration of the non-metastatic cells (MCF-7, Figure 3B) to the extent similar to MDA-MB-231, suggesting its potent anti-migration function in breast cancer cells.

To further validate the cellular function of AMPH-1 in vivo, xenograft mouse model was employed to study the role of AMPH-1 in breast cancer growth. There was good agreement between in vivo and in vitro data: silencing of AMPH-1 significantly increased the final tumor volume and weight (Figure 4A-B) and cell growth (Figure 1), highlighting the constitutive protection function of AMPH-1 in breast cancer.

EMT plays an important role in tumor migration and metastasis. ERK signaling regulates various cellular processes including cell motility, survival, and migration in cancer. While the EMT pathway and ERK pathway were aberrantly activated in AMPH-1 knockdown group revealed by western blot (Figure 3E) and immunohistochemistry (Figure 4C), the underlying mechanism still awaits further study. Studies showed that the AMPH-1 and AMPH-2 form heterodimers that bind to Clathrin associated GTPase Dynamin via their SH3 domains. It is interesting to check whether AMPH-2 forms dimer involved in breast cancer suppression.

Patients’ poor response to chemotherapy often correlates to high risk of recurrence and mortality. Our data indicated that reduced AMPH-1 mRNA level was strongly associated with metastatic events, advanced stage, poor clinical outcomes, and resistant to Paclitaxel+FEC treatment. Combined with these clinical data, the in vivo anti-oncogenic function of AMPH-1 in breast cancer was also detected. Furthermore, our results suggested that AMPH-1 might be passively induced in early stage of breast cancer, while loss of AMPH-1 might serve as a biomarker of advanced breast cancer development. Some published studies showed that AMPH-1 was an autoantigen in a few breast cancer and SPS patients, suggesting that the altered or modified AMPH-1 was probably not only responsible for the development of breast cancer but also triggered the neurological autoimmune paraneoplastic syndrome.

Collectively, our study demonstrates a novel function of AMPH-1 in suppression of breast cancer cells, indicating a tumor suppressor role in breast cancer development. While the signaling pathway involved in this regulation needs further study, our preliminary data showed that EMT pathway and ERK1/2 pathway were activated in AMPH-1 knockdown cells, and both pathways might be involved in the regulation. Therefore, therapeutic approaches aiming at activating AMPH-1 might be highly useful in the treatment of human breast cancer.
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