The Prognostic Values Of Circulating Tumor Cells In Lung Carcinoma: An Analysis On 98 Chinese Patients
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Aim: To examine the prognostic values of circulating tumor cells (CTCs) in patients with advanced lung cancer.

Patients and methods: A total of 98 patients with their CTCs enumerated in 2017 was recruited. Data were retrieved from medical records for comparison. Patients’ overall survival (OS) and progression-free survival (PFS) were studied using Kaplan-Meier curve with log rank test.

Results: Seventy-three percent of the patients were male, and nearly half of the patients (44.8%) were smokers. Most tumors were adenocarcinoma (73.4%), and about 60% of the cases were diagnosed at stage IV. Half of the patients showing less than nine CTCs. Patients’ OS were significantly associated with total CTC count (P=0.047), epithelial CTC count (P=0.027), mixed CTC count (P=0.004), and use of adjuvant chemotherapy (P=0.001). For PFS, it was strongly associated with tumor backgrounds (T stage, P=0.002; M stage, P=0.001; TMN stage, P=0.001), cancer biomarkers (CEA, P=0.004; CA125, P=0.004; CA153, P=0.045), and treatment strategy (surgical intervention, P=0.025; first-line chemotherapy, P<0.001).

Conclusion: The present study clearly indicated the significant associations between CTC and overall survival of patients with lung cancer.
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Introduction

Lung cancer is a deadly malignancy which affects populations worldwide, with the highest incidence rates among males in the United States and Eastern Europe, while the highest rates among females are in North America and Northern Europe.¹ Cigarette smoking has long been identified, as is the major etiology of lung cancer.²,³ Over the past decade, air pollution and certain occupational exposures have emerged as environmental factors that elevate the risk of developing lung cancer.⁴,⁵ Surgical resection is a potential curative approach for the treatment of early lung cancer; however, in most clinical scenarios, patients are not eligible to the surgical treatment because their cancers are diagnosed as advanced tumors at the first time of presentation.⁶ Cytotoxic chemotherapy and targeted therapies, eg, tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs), have emerged as other treatment options for patients with advanced lung cancer; however, unfortunately, the reported response rates in such patients are not very satisfactory.⁷
A better understanding of the clinical factors affecting the prognosis of patients after treatment would provide invaluable insights into the refinement of management strategy for patients with advanced lung cancer. Biomarkers that are accurately prognostic of the disease progression are of clinical importance too. Recently, in addition to the widely-investigated protein and nucleic acid-based circulating biomarkers, circulating tumor cells (CTCs) have emerged as promising prognostic biomarkers for lung cancer. CTCs are epithelial cells that can only be specifically detected in patients with cancer but not in healthy subjects. CTCs are classified into three types according to their phenotypes: epithelial, CTCs with epithelial phenotype; mesenchymal, CTCs with mesenchymal phenotype; and mixed, CTCs with mixed epithelial-mesenchymal phenotype. Despite their low frequency in blood circulation, CTCs play roles in tumorigenesis and metastasis. Recent technologies have been developed for the accurate enumeration of CTCs in different cancers, with the prognostic significance of CTCs illustrated in multiple malignancies including breast, colorectal, and prostate cancer. The roles of CTCs in the diagnosis and disease monitoring in lung cancer have also been explored.

Despite the important findings of CTCs in different cancers, the correlation of CTCs with patients’ survival in Asian patients, especially Chinese, has yet to be fully established. Therefore, the present study was primarily aimed to examine if CTC count would be prognostic of Chinese patients’ survival outcomes. This work also studied the associations between CTC and commonly used cancer biomarkers. Besides, the clinical parameters affecting the survival outcomes of patients were also studied. These results would collectively provide novel insights into the refinement of treatment strategy for patients with advanced lung cancer.

**Patients And Methods**

**Study Population**

The present study was a retrospective study that retrieved and analyzed clinical data of 98 patients with lung carcinoma, who received treatments in 2017 in The First People’s Hospital of Zhaoqing City (Zhaoqing, Guangdong, China). All cases were histologically confirmed as lung cancer. Individuals with non-malignant lung diseases and systemic disorders were not included. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee and Institutional Review Board of First People’s Hospital of Zhaoqing City. All participants received written and oral information prior to giving written consent, and the study was performed in accordance with the Helsinki II declaration.

**RNA In Situ Hybridization In CTC**

CTCs were determined using RNA in situ hybridization. In brief, 5 mL of peripheral blood was collected from each patient with an EDTA tube at the time of admission, and was incubated with red blood cell lysis buffer (154 mM NH₄Cl, 10 mM KHCO₃, 0.1 mM EDTA) at room temperature for 30 minutes. After centrifugation at 500 g for 5 minutes, the resulting cell pellet was resuspended in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and fixed with 4% formaldehyde for 8 minutes. Fixed cells were then transferred on a membrane with a pore size of 8 µm, which is shown to be able to retain CTCs.

CTCs fixed on the membrane were hybridized with RNA probes targeting epithelial markers (ie, EpCAM and CK8/18/19), mesenchymal markers (ie, vimentin and twist), and leukocyte marker CD45 at 40°C for 3 hours. After washing, the hybridized probes were detected by hybridizing with respective Alexa Fluor probes (ie, epithelial markers, Alexa Fluor 594; mesenchymal markers, Alexa Fluor 488; leukocyte marker, Alexa Fluor 750) at 40°C for 30 minutes. The resulting signal was captured using automatic fluorescent scanner.

**Clinical Data**

The clinical data included demographic data (ie, age and gender), tumor characteristics (ie, size, location, TNM stage, cancer markers), CTC data (ie, number, type, time of detection), treatment strategy (ie, chemotherapy, radiotherapy, molecular targeted therapy, surgery), and clinical outcomes (ie, progression-free survival [PFS] and overall survival [OS]).

**Statistical Analysis**

All analyses were performed using statistical software SAS version 9.3. Continuous variables with and without normal distribution were analyzed using Student’s t-test and Wilcoxon two sample test, respectively. For comparisons between three or more groups, the normally-distributed data were analyzed using one-way analysis of variance, Kruskal-Wallis test was performed otherwise. Student-Newman Keuls (SNK) method, which is a stepwise multiple comparisons procedure, was employed to identify pairwise comparisons that were significantly different from each other. Comparisons between counting variables were done using chi-square or Fisher’s exact test.
were studied using Kaplan-Meier curves with log rank test, with the differences between survival curves evaluated using Tukey-Kramer corrected P-values. Statistical significance was indicated by P<0.05.

Results
Baseline Characteristics Of Enrolled Patients
A total of 98 patients with lung carcinoma were enrolled. Seventy-three percent of the patients were male, and nearly half of the patients (44.8%) were smokers (Table 1). Most of the tumors were classified as adenocarcinoma (73.4%), and about 60% of the cases were diagnosed at stage IV (Table 2). The CTCs of patients were enumerated, with about half of the patients showing less than 9 CTCs (Table 2). The numbers of different CTCs were also counted. The average count of epithelial, mesenchymal, and mixed CTCs was 8.9, 4.19, and 3.03, respectively. For the treatments of the patients, 64% received surgical interventions, and portions of the patients were administered adjuvant therapy (8.2%), first-line chemotherapy (31.6%), radiotherapy (13.3%), and molecular targeted therapy (34.7%) (Table 3). The clinical outcomes of patients after treatments were also studied (Table 4). Within the first year after the commencement of treatment, disease progression was observed in 27.9% of the patients.

Table 1 Baseline Characteristics Of Patients And Tumors

| Clinical parameters                  | Number of patients, n (%) |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Gender (n=67)                       |                          |
| Male                                | 49 (73.1)                |
| Female                              | 18 (26.9)                |
| Age, years (n=67)                   |                          |
| <60                                 | 29 (43.3)                |
| ≥60                                 | 38 (56.7)                |
| Smoker (n=67)                       |                          |
| No                                  | 37 (55.2)                |
| Yes                                 | 30 (44.8)                |
| Maximum tumor diameter, cm (n=53)   |                          |
| <3.5                                | 26 (49.1)                |
| ≥3.5                                | 27 (50.9)                |
| Tumor site (n=67)                   |                          |
| Right side                          | 42 (62.7)                |
| Left side                           | 25 (37.3)                |
| Pathological type (n=64)            |                          |
| Adenocarcinoma                      | 47 (73.4)                |
| Other                               | 17 (26.6)                |
| Differentiation (n=48)              |                          |
| Low                                 | 15 (31.6)                |
| Moderate                            | 28 (58.3)                |
| High                                | 5 (10.4)                 |
| TNM stage (n=64)                    |                          |
| I–II                                | 9 (14.1)                 |
| III                                 | 18 (28.1)                |
| IV                                  | 37 (57.8)                |
| CEA (n=89)                          |                          |
| <5                                  | 57 (64.0)                |
| ≥5                                  | 32 (36.0)                |
| CYFRA2 (n=23)                       |                          |
| <10                                 | 21 (91.3)                |
| ≥10                                 | 2 (8.7)                  |
| CA199 (n=74)                        |                          |
| <37                                 | 57 (77.0)                |
| ≥37                                 | 17 (23.0)                |
| CA125 (n=88)                        |                          |
| <35                                 | 52 (59.1)                |
| ≥35                                 | 36 (40.9)                |
| CA153 (n=74)                        |                          |
| <38.6                               | 67 (90.5)                |
| ≥38.6                               | 7 (9.5)                  |

Table 2 Characteristics Of Circulating Tumor Cells Of The Enrolled Patients (n=98)

| Enumerated CTC                  | Number of patients, n (%) |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Total cell count                |                          |
| Not detected                    | 10 (10.2)                |
| <9                              | 53 (54.1)                |
| ≥9                              | 35 (35.7)                |
| Cell count – epithelial         |                          |
| Not detected                    | 30 (30.6)                |
| <3                              | 38 (38.8)                |
| ≥3                              | 30 (30.6)                |
| Cell count – mesenchymal        |                          |
| Not detected                    | 47 (47.9)                |
| <2                              | 17 (17.4)                |
| ≥2                              | 34 (34.7)                |
| Cell count – mixed              |                          |
| Not detected                    | 26 (26.5)                |
| <6                              | 47 (48.0)                |
| ≥6                              | 25 (25.5)                |

Table 3 Cancer Treatment For The Enrolled Patients

| Treatment strategy            | Number of patients, n (%) |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Surgery (n=65)                |                          |
| No                            | 23 (35.4)                |
| Yes                           | 42 (64.6)                |
| Adjuvant therapy              |                          |
| No                            | 90 (91.8)                |
| Yes                           | 8 (8.2)                  |
| First-line chemotherapy       |                          |
| No                            | 67 (68.4)                |
| Yes                           | 31 (31.6)                |
| Radiotherapy                  |                          |
| No                            | 85 (86.7)                |
| Yes                           | 13 (13.3)                |
| Molecular targeted therapy    |                          |
| No                            | 64 (65.3)                |
| Yes                           | 34 (34.7)                |
Correlation Of CTCs With Cancer Biomarkers

We examined whether there would be any relationship between the number of CTCs and expression of cancer markers. To this end, we compared the level of cancer biomarkers between patients showing different CTC number. Our analysis clearly illustrated that between patients with different CTC counts, there were no significant differences in CEA ($P=0.396$), CYFRA2 ($P=0.580$), CA199 ($P=0.700$), CA125 ($P=0.452$), or CA153 ($P=0.792$).

| Clinical outcome after treatment | Number of patients, n (%) |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Progressive disease (n=95)       |                           |
| No                               | 61 (64.2)                 |
| Yes                              | 34 (35.8)                 |
| Progression within 1 year (n=86) |                           |
| No                               | 62 (72.1)                 |
| Yes                              | 24 (27.9)                 |
| Survival (n=95)                  |                           |
| Survived                         | 75 (78.9)                 |
| Died                             | 20 (21.1)                 |

Correlation Of CTCs With Patients’ OS And PFS

The overall survival of patients with different CTC counts were compared (Figure 1). Log-rank analysis clearly indicated the significant difference in OS between patients with different total CTC counts ($P=0.047$), with patients whose total count was smaller than 9, demonstrating the largest median survival of 27 months. There were also significant differences in OS between patients with different numbers of epithelial CTC ($P=0.027$) and mixed-type CTC ($P=0.004$). In addition to OS, the correlation of CTCs with patients’ PFS was also examined, however no significant differences in PFS were seen between patients with different total CTC count ($P=0.413$) and numbers of epithelial CTC ($P=0.542$) and mixed-type CTC ($P=0.782$).

Association Between Clinical Parameters And Patients’ Survivals

The clinical parameters associated with patients’ OS and PFS were also studied. By log-rank analysis, the use of adjuvant chemotherapy was shown to significantly affect...
the OS of patients \( (P=0.001) \) (Figure 2). Using the same way, multiple clinical parameters were identified as the clinical correlates of patients’ PFS. Of the tumor background, patients’ PFS was associated with T stage \( (P=0.002) \), M stage \( (P=0.001) \), and TMN stage \( (P<0.001) \) (Figure 3). The PFS correlated also cancer biomarkers CEA \( (P=0.004) \), CA125 \( (P=0.004) \) and CA153 \( (P=0.045) \) (Figure 4). Of clinical importance, the PFS were also associated with the treatment strategy administered (surgical intervention, \( P=0.025 \); first-line chemotherapy, \( P<0.001 \)) (Figure 5).

**Discussion**

The present study provided a comprehensive analysis on the clinical correlates of patients’ outcomes after cancer treatment. Our analysis indicated the clear association between the number of CTCs and the OS of patients – the larger the number of CTCs, the poorer the prognosis of patients after treatment. We also illustrated the correlation of patients’ outcomes with tumor characteristics, levels of cancer biomarkers, and treatment strategy. Nearly half of our study subjects were cigarette smokers, and about two-thirds of the entire study population had their tumors diagnosed as the advanced one. Our study resembles most clinical situations worldwide, in which cigarette smoking is the major etiology of lung cancers and diagnosed new cases are advanced tumors.

---

**Figure 2** Kaplan-Meier curves showing the overall survivals of patients who had and had not received adjuvant chemotherapy. \( P \)-value of the comparison was determined using log rank test.

**Figure 3** Kaplan-Meier curves showing the progression-free survivals of patients with different tumor backgrounds. \( P \)-value of the comparison was determined using log rank test.
With the advent of new technologies, the enumeration of CTCs, of which the frequency is very low compared to bother blood cells, has become much more accurate, prompting studies into the prognostic relevance of CTC in patients with malignant diseases including lung cancers. A prospective study in patients with previously untreated stage III or IV non-small cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC) showed that patients with small CTC count (ie, <5) presented a better progression-free survival (6.8 vs 2.4 months) and overall survival (8.1 vs 4.3 months) when

**Figure 4** Kaplan-Meier curves showing the progression-free survivals of patients with different levels of cancer biomarkers. P-value of the comparison was determined using log rank test.

**Figure 5** Kaplan-Meier curves showing the progression-free survivals of patients receiving different treatments (with and without surgery and with and without first-line chemotherapy). P-value of the comparison was determined using log rank test.
compared with their counterparts with large CTC count (ie, ≥5).\textsuperscript{15} Another study in patients who underwent radical surgery for NSCLC demonstrated that the presence of CTCs after surgery was significantly associated with early recurrence and a shorter disease-free survival.\textsuperscript{16} In addition, a phase II clinical study of HER2-targeting pertuzumab plus erlotinib in relapsed NSCLC illustrated that higher baseline CTC counts were associated with the treatment response.\textsuperscript{17} In accordance to these important studies, our analysis revealed the close associations between CTC count and overall survival of Chinese patients. Our data would further strengthen the prognostic values of CTC in lung cancer. Chen et al\textsuperscript{18} reported in his study on 473 Chinese patients that the CTC levels were significantly elevated in patients with stage III/IV NSCLC compared with those with stage I/II NSCLC. The study also showed that CTC counts were associated with cancer biomarkers. The present study showed neither such CTC elevation in late stage NSCLC nor CTC correlation with cancer biomarkers. This discrepancy between the two studies might be due to the different methods of CTC enumeration and patient enrollment requirement.

The present study also underscored the effect of treatment strategy on the PFS of our patients. Our analysis showed that the use of adjuvant chemotherapy and surgery prolonged the OS and PFS, respectively. We have not analyzed the survival of patients treated with different chemotherapy because of the relatively small size of the patient population. Nevertheless, our results would shed light into the refinement of management strategy of patients with advanced lung cancers.

Our study, although comprehensive, has few limitations. Compared to many published similar studies, the sample size of the present study was relatively small. Since the present study is a retrospective one, the medical records of some patients have missed a few clinical parameters. Besides, the cohort of the present study is heterogeneous about the treatments. The present study has also not investigated the genetic aberrations within CTCs which would be associated with patients’ prognosis. There are accumulated studies suggesting the genetic alterations identified from CTCs would be prognostic. ALK-gene rearrangement was associated with the mesenchymal phenotype of CTCs and in turn the metastatic potential of primary tumors.\textsuperscript{19} Indeed, accurate identification of specific genetic alternations can benefit the treatment of patients; for example, a clinical study showed that ALK TKI was effective against ALK-positive non-small-cell lung cancers.\textsuperscript{20} To summarize, the present study provides a comprehensive picture depicting the association of patients’ survival with CTC counts in lung cancer, a deadly malignancy that affects populations worldwide.
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