Sequential microbial-photocatalytic degradation of imidacloprid
Teena Sharma¹, Manpreet Kaur², Amit Sobti³, Anita Rajor¹, Amrit Pal Toor²,³†

¹School of Energy and Environment, Thapar Institute of Engineering and Technology, Patiala-147004, Punjab, India
²Energy Research Centre, Panjab University, Chandigarh-160014, India
³Dr. SSB University Institute of Chemical Engineering & Technology, Panjab University, Chandigarh-160014, India

Abstract
In the present study, the application of sequential biological and photocatalytic process was evaluated as a feasible process for the degradation of imidacloprid (IMI) in soil. Photocatalysis was carried out as a post and pre-treatment to the biological process as Microbial Photocatalytic (MP) and Photocatalytic Microbial (PM), respectively, to enhance the degradation and mineralization of IMI in soil. By both the processes, there was an enhancement in the percentage degradation of IMI i.e 86.2% for PM and 94.6% for MP process. The obtained results indicate that MP process is apparently more efficient in degradation of IMI which was observed with 15 d of biological treatment followed by 18 h of photocatalytic degradation (15 d + 18 h). The present work also reveals that though the difference in terms of the degradation of IMI after 5 d + 18 h, 10 d + 18 h & 15 d + 18 h of MP process is not drastic, yet significant variation has been observed in terms of mineralization that truly signifies the removal of IMI from the soil. The LC analysis has shown that the intermediates formed during MP process are more and smaller in comparison to PM process, which further provides evidence that MP process is better than PM process for effective degradation of IMI in soil.
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1. Introduction

Imidacloprid (IMI) is an extensively used insecticide on many food crops, turf and flea control. IMI is broad spectrum, nicotine based insecticide, which has been widely used to control the various insects namely aphids, thrips, whiteflies etc. on a broad range of crops. Excessive use of IMI will lead to extensive environmental pollution which has high risk to human health. Over the past several decades, continuous utilization of imidacloprid has resulted in the accumulation of this compound in soil, therefore making it hazardous for the environment [1-2]. In the environment, the IMI is highly toxic to non-target organisms including birds, bees, soil microbes and aquatic animals [3-4]. In addition, IMI can be taken up by crops and thus enter the food chain which may ultimately harm humans and terrestrial vertebrates [5]. Therefore, development of an efficient and eco-friendly approach to remediate IMI contaminated soil is the need of an h.

Various methods have been studied for the degradation of IMI in water such as photocatalytic degradation [6-7], photo-fenton [8-9], ozonation [10], adsorption [11], photolytic [12-13] and fenton-like catalytic oxidation [14], however, only few studies on the degradation of IMI in soil have been reported [15-22]. Photocatalytic degradation and biodegradation have been reported to be the major degradation processes of IMI residues. In fact, the studies reported for the degradation of IMI in soil using photocatalytic degradation are scarce. Sharma et al. [15] have reported the photocatalytic degradation of IMI in soil using TiO$_2$ as a photocatalyst. The authors have maximized the degradation of IMI by optimizing the various parameters using central composite design based on response surface methodology supported by Design Expert software. As high as 83% degradation of IMI in soil was achieved under optimized conditions. Different studies revealed that imidacloprid can be removed by natural process in natural environment using microbes. Various research groups have reported microbial degradation of IMI in soil using various strains such as Enterobacter sp. strain ATA1 [16], Bacillus aerophilus [17], Ochrobactrum sp. BCL1 [18], Klebsiella Pneumoniae strain BCH1 [19], Bacillus weihenstephanensis [20], Burkholderia cepacia. strain
CH9 [21] and *Liefsonia* strain PC21 [22]. As compared to traditional chemical treatment method, biological remediation is much cheaper and eco-friendly. But they are more selective and sensitive to process parameters such as contamination or toxicity [23-26]. Combination of high activity of photo-chemical treatment and cost efficiency of biological treatment could result in maximum mineralisation of pollutant. This coupling process should be focused to convert parent molecules to non-toxic intermediates using photocatalytic degradation, which could further be removed completely by biological processes [27-30]. The combined biological and photocatalytic treatment in a sequential manner is a process where photocatalytic method is used as pre or post treatment to biological method.

The use of photodegradation process as a pre-treatment to biological process has been reported by a few researchers. Essam et al. [31-32] & Tamer et al. [33] have studied the sequential degradation of mixture of chlorophenols in water. The authors have reported that only UV treatment is not satisfactory for pollutant degradation, however, the highest de-chlorination efficiency and highest pollutant degradation rates were supported by sequential treatment. Therefore, they applied a combination of both photochemical-biological treatment through which mineralisation of chlorophenols was achieved economically and effectively.

To the best of our knowledge the work concerning sequential degradation of pesticides in soil relevant to IMI is hard to find in the literature. Not much work has been reported on sequential degradation (pre and post photocatalytic treatment to microbial process) of organic compounds and specifically on sequential degradation of pesticides in soil as well as in water, however, few studies on sequential degradation of some dyes have been reported which have shown that the sequential process could be an efficient process compared to individual photocatalytic or biological treatment, e.g. Degradation and decolorization of Reactive Black 5 azodye through combined biological and photocatalytic processes has been reported by Shah [34]. The results showed that using biological treatment the aromatic rings did not degraded, however, using biological treatment followed by photocatalytic treatment the absorbance peak significantly disappears in the UV region after 2 h of illumination. Samir et al. [35] also suggested the use of
combined process as it is more effective than the individual biological or photocatalytic treatment. He examined that the pre-treatment of 2, 4-Dichlorophenoxy acetic acid (2,4-D) with UV radiation in presence of photocatalyst (TiO₂) accelerated the biodegradation process.

With this perspective, IMI degradation by sequential biological-photocatalytic treatment using TiO₂ nanoparticles as a photocatalyst and IMI degrading isolated bacteria was investigated. In our previous work, the individual biological and photocatalytic degradation of IMI in soil was achieved [15, 16], however, not much has been reported on sequential degradation for remediation of IMI in soil. Therefore, degradation of IMI in sequential manner as microbial-photocatalytic and photocatalytic- microbial was studied for maximum possible mineralization of IMI.

2. Materials and Methods

Technical grade Imidacloprid (purity 99%) and TiO₂ (Degussa P25) having an average particle size of 30–50 nm with an anatase to rutile ratio of 80:20 were obtained as gift sample from Bayer crop science India Ltd., Mumbai and Evonik Industries, respectively [15]. Deionized water, H₂SO₄ and NaOH were obtained from Loba Chemi, India. HPLC grade solvents (acetonitrile, methanol) were used in the experimental work and are purchased from Merck. For the preparation of minimal media, all the other chemicals used were of high analytical grade. For the growth of Enterobacter sp. strain ATA1 the minimal media used was same as given in our previous studies [16, 36].

2.1. Experimental Procedure

Soil, with no history of pesticide, was collected from Thapar University campus, Patiala, Punjab, India. The physicochemical characteristics of soil which was dried, homogenized and sterilized prior to the experiments were determined in accordance to standard methods [37]. Initially, the soil samples were air dried and were made to pass through a sieve of 1 mm, which were then autoclaved (121°C, 3 × 30 min) and
finally stored in dark. The experiments for IMI degradation were carried out at a soil pH of 7, which was considered to be an optimum value as determined in our previous studies [16, 37] and at ambient temperature.

2.1.1. Photocatalytic degradation

UV reactor equipped with 6 UV lamps (Phillips, 20 W) was used to study the photodegradation process using TiO$_2$ as photocatalyst. Further, the arrangements were made in such a manner that the height of the soil samples can be varied with respect to the UV light. An exhaust fan was used to maintain the internal temperature of the chamber. HCl/NaOH solutions (0.1 N) were used to maintain pH of the soil. Water below the soil sample Petri-plates was circulated to maintain the temperature [15]. The soil samples were spiked with 50 mg kg$^{-1}$ using an acetonitrile solution of IMI. Five gram of soil was spread uniformly on the glass Petri-plates (90 mm diameter), because of which a layer of 0.2 cm was formed which has been determined from Petri-plate area and soil bulk density.

2.1.2. Microbial degradation

Microcosm consists of 50 g soil spiked with required IMI concentration. Enterobactor sp. strain ATA1 isolated from paddy field soil at Punjab [16, 36] was grown in minimal media with glucose (MMG: 1% glucose w/v) at a temperature of 37°C on a rotary shaker for 30 h followed by induction with IMI. This was further grown for 5 h after which the cells were harvested by centrifugation carried out at a temperature of 4°C and 10,000 rpm for 10 min [16]. Cells were washed three times. Further, the dilution plate count technique was used to quantify the cells. Bacterial cells ($2 \times 10^8$ cells mL$^{-1}$) were added in IMI spiked soil in microcosm to conduct experiments. In different set of beakers, minimal medium (un-inoculated) was added as control. Culture were carefully mixed in soil and incubated at 37°C for 5, 10 and 15 d under sterile conditions [37]. Moist conditions were maintained by spraying approximately 3 mL of sterile distilled water.
after every three days. Approximately 2 g of soil sample was removed at different time intervals which were then analyzed for imidacloprid concentration.

3. Analysis

The growth of isolates and degradation of IMI in soil was analysed using UV-Vis spectrophotometer (model: HITACHI U-2800, Japan). Various metabolites formed during IMI degradation were determined qualitatively using Liquid Chromatography. HPLC (Agient LC 1120) technique in reverse phase, using C-18 columns (BDS, Qualigens) at ambient temperature, was used to analyse the reaction solution obtained after IMI degradation.

4. Results and Discussion

The individual photocatalytic degradation and microbial degradation using ATA1 has been reported in our previous studies [15-16]. In the present work the sequential photocatalytic and microbial degradation was studied by photocatalytic degradation as pre or post treatment to microbial degradation i.e. photocatalytic degradation of IMI followed by the microbial treatment (PM) and microbial followed by photocatalytic degradation (MP).

4.1 Photocatalytic-Microbial (PM) degradation

In this process (Fig. 1(a), (b)), soil was pre-treated photo-catalytically prior to the microbial degradation of IMI. The photocatalytic degradation was studied at optimised condition of 0.3g TiO₂ per kg as reported earlier [15]. The photo-catalytically treated soil was further treated with strain Enterobacter sp. strain ATA1 under the optimised conditions of pH-7 and 1% glucose at 37°C [16] for microbial degradation and continued for 15 d.
It was observed from Fig. 1(a), that the initial decrease in residual amount of IMI (50ppm) occurred up to 18 h of photo-catalytic degradation which shows that the residual amount of IMI after photocatalytic degradation was found to be 78% degraded. This was further treated by microbial process for 15 days, which further lead to 86.2% degradation.

Fig. 2 shows the time course study of UV-Vis absorption spectra for IMI degradation in soil during PM process. It is observed from Fig. 2 that the peak corresponding to $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 270$ decreased gradually with increase in time of degradation. A significant decrease in peak height compared to standard IMI was observed during 18 h of irradiation and further degradation was comparatively slow after 5 d of biological treatment, which shows that IMI intermediate formed after photocatalytic degradation might be toxic to strain ATA1. Hence, there is a decrease in the biological degradation.

![Photocatalytic Degradation](a)

![Microbial Degradation](b)

**Fig. 1.** Sequential process for degradation of IMI in soil by: (a) Photocatalytic degradation followed by (b) microbial degradation.
4.2. Microbial-Photocatalytic (MP) Process

In this process, microbial degradation of IMI was followed by its photocatalytic treatment. The microbial degradation of IMI (50 ppm) was attained using bacterial strain ATAI for 15 days. Further, the obtained sample, after microbial degradation, was additionally irradiated for 18 h for photo-catalytic degradation under optimized conditions as explained above. It is observed from Fig. 3(a) that IMI shows 55.6% degradation after 10 d of incubation and further degraded to 58.2% after 15 d. Afterwards, a significant degradation was observed up to 94.6% after 18 h of irradiation under optimised photocatalytic condition (Fig. 3(b)).

Fig. 2. Time course UV spectra of IMI (50 mg L$^{-1}$) during photocatlytic-microbial- process.
Fig. 3. Sequential process for degradation of IMI in soil by: (a) Microbial degradation followed by (b) photocatalytic degradation.

Fig. 4 represents the UV-Vis. spectra for MP process which indicates a decrease in peak height compared to standard IMI after 5 d that became almost constant till 15th d of incubation. Thereafter, the photo-catalytic degradation carried out after microbial treatment caused further decrease in peak height.

Fig. 4. Time course UV spectra of IMI (50 mg L\(^{-1}\)) during Microbial-photocatlytic process.
### 4.3. Comparison of Microbial-photocatalytic (MP) and Photocatalytic-Microbial (PM) Processes

In this study, the comparison of both the processes (18 h + 15 d for PM process and 15 d+ 18h for MP process) was done in terms of degradation and mineralization. On comparing both the processes in terms of residual amount of IMI it was concluded that MP process (94.6% degradation) is better than PM process (86.2% degradation). This comparatively high activity of MP process can be explained on the fact that during the PM process, degradation of IMI by photo-degradation could have led to the formation of some intermediates which might be toxic to the strain ATA1, resulting a decrease in the enzymatic activity of microbes. To further know about the intermediates formed after 18h of photocatalytic degradation, Mass-Spectrum analysis was carried out and is represented in Fig. S1 in supplementary sheet. From Fig. S1, it is observed that the smaller molecule intermediates such as pent-2-ene-1-amine (m/z 84; RT 3.32) and 2-chloro-5-methylene pyridine (m/z 128; RT 1.63) are formed which might be toxic to strain ATA1 [37]. The similar findings were also reported earlier by Jafari et al. [38] & Gonzalez et al. [39], showing reduction in activity of PM process for azo dye and chlorophenols degradation, respectively. Higher concentration of IMI might be the another reason which did not favour the photocatalytic process as pre-treatment for degradation and the same was emphasized in other studies [40-42] as well. It is observed from the present findings that, though the difference in degradation efficiency among the two processes is very small, yet is found to be very significant which has been supported by LC analysis shown in Fig. 5 (a), (b). It is observed from Fig. 5(a) and Fig. 5(b) that the number of intermediates formed during the MP process is more as compared to PM process. Further, among the identified intermediates, two intermediates viz. I-1 (2-chloro-5-methylpyridine) and I-2 (4-hydroxy-imidacloprid urea) shown in Fig. 5(c) are commonly formed during both of the studied processes [37].
More number of intermediates formed during the MP process gives evidence that MP process could be better than PM process. Therefore, to further confirm this, time course study of the commonly formed intermediates was also carried out. The results were interpreted in terms of ratio of peak area at any time $t$ (Area $(t)$) to the maximum of peak area (Area $(max)$) as a function of time and are given in Fig. 6. It is observed from Fig. 6(a) that in MP process the amount of common intermediates maximized after about initial 5 d and thereafter the growth of these intermediates is almost constant until 15 d of biological treatment. Further, when the photocatalytic process is applied after 15 d of biological treatment, the drastic degradation of these intermediates is observed.
Fig. 6. Time course sequential degradation of IMI (50 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) (a) Microbial followed by Photocatalytic degradation (MP) (b) Photocatalytic followed by Microbial degradation (PM).

However, in case of PM process (Fig. 6(b)) the formation of these intermediates continued until the maximum time (18 h + 15 d) and were not broken by bacteria ATA1 because the carbon source utilized by bacteria was become very negligible and ATA1 was unable to utilize these intermediates for its growth purpose, therefore, due to the lack of carbon source further breakdown of intermediates was stopped (Fig. 6b). Moreover, these intermediates might be toxic for strain ATA1.

This shows that the degradation of these intermediates is possible with photocatalytic process applied after 15 d of biological treatment whereas biological treatment given after 18 h of photocatalytic process has not been able to break these intermediates further. This is also in accordance with our initial studies [15, 36], where it was observed that with only 15 d of biological process the intermediates formed were imidacloprid urea, imidacloprid guanidine, 2-iminoimidazolidine-4, 5-diol and 1-methyl imidazolidine-2-imine [36], where as in case of photocatalytic process these intermediates have been broken into smaller molecules such as pent-2-ene-1-amine and 2-chloro-5-methylpyridine [15]. The results clearly depict that in spite of insignificant increase in amount of IMI degradation during the MP process, drastic change in-terms of number of intermediates produced and eventually their mineralization is observed confirming the superiority of MP process compared to PM process.
4.3.1. Kinetic study

Langmuir-Hinshelwood (L-H) model is widely used to represent the degradation kinetics of many organic pollutants, which can be expressed as:

\[-\frac{\text{d}C}{\text{d}t} = \frac{k_r K C_o}{(1 + K C_o)} \]  

(1)

where \( \frac{\text{d}C}{\text{d}t} \) (mg L\(^{-1}\) min\(^{-1}\)) is the rate of degradation; \( C \) (mg L\(^{-1}\)) is the concentration at any time \( t \); \( C_o \) (mg L\(^{-1}\)) is the initial concentration; \( K \) is the equilibrium adsorption constant; \( k_r \) is the reaction rate constant. For low initial substrate concentration, \( K \ll 1 \), and hence neglected) Eq. (1) reduces to apparent 1st order kinetics, expressed as [43]:

\[ \ln \left( \frac{C_o}{C} \right) = k_r K t = k_{app} \]  

(2)

where \( k_{app} \) is the apparent rate constant (min\(^{-1}\)), which can be determined by plotting \(-\ln C/C_o\) as a function of irradiation time.

The data for photocatalytic degradation for pre and post treatment to the biological process are represented in supplementary Fig. S2. The apparent rate constants, as determined from the slope of a straight line obtained by plotting \(-\ln C/C_o\) as a function of irradiation time, are 0.082 min\(^{-1}\) and 0.126 min\(^{-1}\) with a regression coefficient of 0.98 and 0.95, respectively, for pre and post photocatalytic treatment to the biological process. Higher value of apparent rate constant in case of post photocatalytic treatment to the biological process clearly indicates that following a post photocatalytic treatment to the biological process (MP process) is a better option compared to pre-treatment followed by biological process (PM process). This further supports the discussion given in section 4.3.

4.4. Comparison of Degradation and Mineralization (5\(^{th}\) and 10\(^{th}\) d) in Microbial-Photocatalytic Process
As observed from Fig 4, in MP process the degradation of IMI observed after 5 d of biological degradation process was found to be of not much difference compared to 10 d or 15 d of biological treatment. Therefore, it is observed that better or same results could be obtained with photocatalytic process applied on 5\textsuperscript{th} and 10\textsuperscript{th} day of biological degradation rather than photocatalytic process applied on 15\textsuperscript{th} day of biological process. Therefore, to verify this, the samples obtained after 5 d and 10 d of biological degradation were subjected to 18 h of photocatalytic degradation of IMI and the results thus obtained are shown in Fig. 7 along with the MP process for 15 d + 18 h.

![Degradation of IMI at various time interval of MP process.](image)

**Fig. 7.** Degradation of IMI at various time interval of MP process.

It is observed from Fig. 7 that the microbial-photocatalytic degradation of IMI in soil obtained after 5 d + 18 h, 10 d + 18 h and 15 d + 18 h is 89\%, 92\% and 95\%, respectively, which shows that there is not much difference in the final degradation of IMI after 5 d + 18 h, 10 d + 18 h and 15 d + 18 h of MP process. These results also suggest that the process of photocatalytic degradation of IMI in soil could be applied after 5\textsuperscript{th} day of microbial degradation. However, the samples after 5 d + 18 h and 10 d + 18 h of microbial-photocatalytic degradation were further analysed using LC technique to check for the mineralisation, the obtained results for which are shown in Fig. 8(a) and Fig. 8(b).
It is observed from Fig. 8 (a), (b) that only one intermediate i.e. 2-iminoimidazolidine-4, 5-diol is formed after 5 d + 18 h of MP process, whereas two intermediates viz. 2-iminoimidazolidine-4, 5-diol and 4-hydroxy-imidacloprid urea are formed in case of 10d+18h of MP process. These results were further compared with the results of 15 d + 18 h of MP process as well as with our previously reported results [36] carried out using 15 d of biological treatment only. It is observed that 2-iminoimidazolidine-4, 5-diol intermediate which is formed after 5 d + 18 h as well as 10 d + 18 h of MP process was also formed after 15 d of biological treatment only [36], whereas this intermediate has been broken into smaller molecules if 18 h of photocatalytic process was followed after 15 d of biological treatment. This indicates that the later process (15 d + 18 h) is better than earlier two processes (5 d + 18 h and 10 d + 18 h). The results suggest that longer the microbial process followed by photocatalytic process (18 h), more efficiently it can mineralize the IMI and its intermediates. In a better way, it can be concluded that although no notable difference in terms of degradation of IMI after 5 d + 18 h, 10 d + 18 h and 15 d + 18 h of MP process is observed, yet a significant variation in terms of mineralization is observed that truly signifies the removal of IMI from the soil.
5. Conclusions

IMI was efficiently degraded by sequential microbial and photocatalytic processes applied consecutively. Photocatalytic degradation of IMI in soil was carried out as a post and pre-treatment to the biological process as Microbial Photocatalytic process (MP) and Photocatalytic Microbial process (PM), respectively, to enhance the degradation of IMI in soil. Comparison of both the processes (18h + 15 d for MP and 15 d + 18 h for PM) was done in terms of degradation and mineralization. As high as 94.6% degradation of IMI was observed using MP process compared to 86.2% using PM process. This comparatively high activity of MP process can be explained on the fact that during the PM process, degradation of IMI by photodegradation could have led to the formation of some intermediates which might be toxic to the strain ATA1, resulting a decrease in the enzymatic activity of microbes. Further, the LC analysis has shown that the number of intermediates formed during MP process (15 d + 18 h) is more compared to PM (18 h + 15 d) process, which further gives evidence that MP process is better compared to PM process. Results also revealed that though there is not much difference in degradation of IMI in soil obtained after 5 d + 18 h, 10 d + 18 h and 15 d + 18 h which is 89%, 92% and 95%, respectively, yet significant variation in terms of mineralization has been observed between 5 d + 18 h and 15 d + 18 h MP process which truly signifies the removal of IMI in soil. The results also reveal that the mineralisation was maximum for 15 d + 18 h of MP process which shows that the removal of IMI and intermediates is maximum in this case. Based on the observed results, it is concluded that MP process is more efficient than PM process which was observed with 15 d of biological treatment followed by 18 h of photocatalytic degradation (15 d + 18 h).
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