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Mangifera indica Extracts as Novel PKM2 Inhibitors for Treatment of Triple Negative Breast Cancer
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Pyruvate kinase (PK), a key enzyme that determines glycolytic activity, has been known to support the metabolic phenotype of tumor cells, and specific pyruvate kinase isoenzyme M2 (PKM2) has been reported to fulfill divergent biosynthetic and energetic requirements of cancerous cells. PKM2 is overexpressed in several cancer types and is an emerging drug target for cancer during recent years. Therefore, this study was carried out to identify PKM2 inhibitors from natural products for cancer treatment. Based on the objectives of this study, firstly, plant extract library was established. In order to purify protein for the establishment of enzymatic assay system, pET-28a-HmPKM2 plasmid was transformed to E. coli BL21 (DE3) cells for protein expression and purification. After the validation of enzymatic assay system, plant extract library was screened for the identification of inhibitors of PKM2. Out of 51 plant extracts screened, four extracts Mangifera indica (leaf, seed, and bark) and Bombex ceiba bark extracts were found to be inhibitors of PKM2. In the current study, M. indica (leaf, seed, and bark) extracts were further evaluated dose dependently against PKM2. These extracts showed different degrees of concentration-dependent inhibition against PKM2 at 90-360 μg/ml concentrations. We have also investigated the anticancer potential of these extracts against MDA-MB231 cells and generated dose-response curves for the evaluation of IC50 values. M. indica (bark and seed) extracts significantly halted the growth of MDA-MB231 cells with IC50 values of 108 μg/ml and 33 μg/ml, respectively. Literature-based phytochemical analysis of M. indica was carried out, and M. indica-derived 94 compounds were docked against three binding sites of PKM2 for the identification of PKM2 inhibitors. The results of in silico based screening have unveiled various PKM2 modulators; however, further studies are recommended to validate their PKM2 inhibitory potential via in vitro biochemical assay. The results of this study provide novel findings for possible mechanism of action of M. indica (bark and seed) extracts against TNBC via PKM2 inhibition suggesting that M. indica might be of therapeutic interest for the treatment of TNBC.

1. Introduction

Metabolic reprogramming has been reported as an emerging hallmark of cancer in recent years [1]. Reprogrammed tumor metabolism is characterized by enhanced aerobic glycolysis, upregulation of glutaminolysis, and lipid metabolism along with other different bioenergetics pathways which promote cellular growth and survival [2]. Among all these metabolic
pathways, glycolysis has been contemplated as the main source of energy for the growing tumor cells [3]. The pyruvate kinase (PK) is a key mediator of glycolytic pathway which codes for four different isoforms in mammalian cells. The oncofetal isoform is the M2 isoform of pyruvate kinase (PKM2) which differs from its M1 isoform by 22 amino acids. PKM1 isoform is expressed in normal cells; however, tumor cells as well as fetal tissues predominantly express the PKM2 isoform [4]. Multiple evidences demonstrate that PKM2 expression support energetic and macromolecular biosynthetic requirements of tumor cells by allowing the accumulation of glycolytic intermediates [5]. PKM2 is overexpressed in numerous kinds of human cancers mainly breast, prostate, lung, colorectal, and hepatocellular carcinoma. Previous studies have also demonstrated that PKM2-mediated glycolysis plays a critical role in tumor development, propagation, survival, and migration of cancer cells; thus, PKM2 inhibition has potential to inhibit growth of cancer cells selectively [6].

Given that PKM2 could serve as an ideal drug target for cancer [7], it is of immense interest to identify its natural inhibitors from natural products (NPs). Through long history of traditional medicinal applications, NPs have been well accepted by oncologists and pharmacologists as a worthwhile database for screening of bioactive extracts and compounds for novel drug discovery [8]. Previous studies have also demonstrated that NPs have promising ability to hit different metabolic targets in cancer cells. Thus, NP-mediated metabolic reprogramming is an emerging trend in the recent years for the development of novel anticancer therapies [9]. Although shikonin has been reported as a potent inhibitor of PKM2 [10], however, poor solubility and toxicity have limited its clinical applications [11]. The investigations on the identification and characterization of PKM2 inhibitors are ongoing, and the discovery of novel, potent, and safer inhibitors with good bioavailability and low toxicity has potential to provide great benefit to cancer patients. Based on this context, the aim of this work was to evaluate the potential of various plant extracts belonging to Pakistani flora against PKM2.

Based on the aims and objectives of this study, we have screened plant extract library using an in vitro enzymatic kinetic assay system for the identification of PKM2 inhibitors. Here, we present biochemical and cell-based evidences suggesting that *Mangifera indica* seed coat and bark extracts target PKM2 and possess anticancer activity against MDA-MB231 cells.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Preparation of Plant Extract Library. Various plants (35) belonging to different families were collected across the Punjab province of Pakistan. The specimens of plants were deposited at Herbarium for identification by Dr. Qasim, Assistant Professor, Department of Botany, GCU F. Plants were washed by water after collection and identification, followed by air drying at a shady place. After drying, the plant matter was subjected to grinding till a coarse powder was obtained. Plant extracts were prepared using Soxhlet apparatus. Methanolic extract was further concentrated using a rotary evaporator at reduced activity and solidified in the freeze drier.

2.2. Construction of pET-28a-PKM2 Plasmid. The amplification of coding region of full-length human PKM2 (accession number NM_002654.6) was done from human cells with the following primers: PKM2-Fw: 5'- GAC TCA GAT CTC GAG ATG TCG AAG CCC CAT AGT GAA GC -3'; PKM2-Rev: 5'- CGA CTG CAG AAT TCG CCC CAC AGG AAC AC -3'. Agarose gel electrophoresis was done to fractionate the amplicon. This amplicon was then recovered by using a Qiagen Gel Purification column. Cloning of the coding region of PKM2 was done in expression vector pET28a. Sequence was validated by Sanger sequencing.

2.3. Expression and Purification of rPKM2 Protein. Transformation of recombinant plasmid pET-28a-PKM2 was done in the *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) cells. Transformed colony was transferred to 25 ml of LB medium supplemented with a suitable antibiotic, i.e., Kanamycin (50 μg/ml) for incubation. Inoculated culture medium was left for overnight at 37°C. After that, the cultured medium was centrifuged at 6000 rpm for 30 min. 5 ml from this suspension was again inoculated in LB medium (500 ml) with Kanamycin (50 μg/ml). This medium was allowed to grow at room temperature with shaking, till the OD$_{600nm}$ that reached to 0.6. IPTG (0.1 mM) was added and cells were collected by centrifugation after the OD$_{600nm}$ reached to 0.6 and was kept at -20°C for freezing purpose. Followed by freezing, further steps were performed at 4°C. The frozen cell paste was suspended in salt Lysis Buffer which contains the following chemicals: 30 mM NaCl, 50 mM NaH$_2$PO$_4$, 1M NADP$^+$, 1.4 mM $\beta$-mercaptoethanol, 0.5 mM PMSF, and 10 mM Imidazole. Protease inhibitor cocktail was added as supplementation. Egg white lysozyme was added in the quantity of 0.1 mg/ml, after half an hour. After two hours of incubation for this mixture, 1 h Benzonase treatment was performed. 3 M NaCl stock was added to adjust NaCl to 300 mM, and the lysate was incubated for one hour prior to its centrifugation at 14000 rpm for a time period of 30 min. The clear lysate obtained after centrifugation was subjected to Ni-NTA column which was preequilibrated with Lysis Buffer (10 ml). Lysis Buffer was prepared by adding 300 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM PMSF, 50 mM NaH$_2$PO$_4$, 1.4 mM $\beta$-mercaptoethanol, 10 mM Imidazole, and 1M NADP$^+$. Maximum binding was ensured for flow-through fraction by reloading it twice. Lysis Buffer (10 ml) and Wash Buffer which comprised of 300 mM NaCl, 50 mM NaH$_2$PO$_4$, 1M NADP$^+$, 20 mM Imidazole, 1.4 mM $\beta$-mercaptoethanol, and 0.5 mM PMSF was used for washing of Ni-NTA column. Then the recombinant PKM2 protein was exposed to elution buffer 1 (300 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM PMSF, 50 mM NaH$_2$PO$_4$, 250 mM Imidazole, 1.4 mM $\beta$-mercaptoethanol, and 1M NADP$^+$) followed by exposure to Elution Buffer 2 (50 mM NaH$_2$PO$_4$, 300 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM PMSF, 500 mM Imidazole, 1.4 mM $\beta$-mercaptoethanol, and 1M NADP$^+$). The two elutions were kept separated and treated with 1X PBS, 1M NADP$^+$, 0.5 mM PMSF, and 1.4 mM $\beta$-mercaptoethanol. The final elutions
were diluted by addition of glycerol (80%) and stored at -20°C in aliquots [12].

2.4. Establishment of PKM2 Enzymatic Assay System. LDH assay was established in order to investigate the PKM2 inhibitory activity of plant extracts. Plant extracts were dissolved in DMSO to 10 mg/ml, then diluted tenfold with pure water. At 25°C, 200 ng/μl of test extract was incubated for 1 hour in a solution containing 100 mM KCl, 50 mM HEPES, 0.2 mM NADH, 10 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM ADP, 2 mM phosphoenolpyruvate, and 8 units LDH/ml. With the help of compared change in absorbance at 340 nm, the relative pyruvate kinase activity was calculated.

2.5. Cell Culture. Human triple negative breast cancer (TNBC) cells, MDA-MB231, were cultured in DMEM supplemented with FBS (10%) and 100 IU/ml penicillin streptomycin. Cancerous cells were allowed to grow in a CO₂ incubator at 37°C with the supply of 5% CO₂ [13].

2.6. MTT Cytotoxic Assay. The anticancer activity of plant extracts was assessed by MTT assay. For this purpose, MDA-MB231 cells were seeded in 96-well plates. After 12-18 hours, cancerous cells were treated with the various doses of plant extracts for 48 hours. Further, 10 μl of MTT (5 mg/ml) was added and cells were then incubated for 4 hours at 37°C. Then, media was aspirated and 150 μl of DMSO was added. As the last step, absorbance was checked at 490 nm on an ELISA plate reader (Thermo Scientific) [14]. Percentage cell viability was calculated by following formula:

\[
\text{Percentage cellular viability} = \frac{\text{Absorbance of treated cells}}{\text{Absorbance of control}} \times 100.
\]

2.7. Docking Studies. The X-ray crystallography structure of the human pyruvate kinase M2 (PKM2) was obtained from the https://www.rcsb.org/structure6V74 [15]. Proteins were imported to a Molegro Virtual Docker [16] and prepared for docking. Water molecules at crystal structure were removed; protein structure errors were checked. The binding regions of 1,6-di-O-phosphono-beta-D-fructofuranose (FBP), amino acids (AA), and oxalate ion/phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP) were determined to docking. Results were reported as MolDock Score. Each docking cavity was defined 16 Å radiuses by selecting the reference ligand center. Binding poses were analyzed by Discovery Studio Visualizer 2021 software. The phytochemicals were searched at PubChem database, and their 3D SDF Conformers were downloaded from ZINC database with InChI Key Codes. They were prepared for docking using UCSF Chimera Software.

3. Results

3.1. Construction of pET28a-PKM2 Recombinant Plasmid. The recombinant pEGFP-C1-PKM2 plasmid was digested by restriction enzymes, and retrieved DNA fragment was subcloned into a histidine-tagged pET28a vector to generate pET28a-PKM2 recombinant plasmid. Figure 1(a) shows successfully subcloned PKM2 cDNA into pET28a vector. The double digestion of the recombinant expression plasmid with these restriction enzymes resulted in the generation of two fragments which stand for PKM2 and pET28a backbone, respectively. Sequencing of the plasmid confirmed the correct orientation of insert (PKM2) in the vector (data is not shown).

3.2. Expression and Purification of Recombinant PKM2 Protein. Recombinant 6Xhis-PKM2 plasmid was expressed in BL21-DE3 E. coli cells. The recombinant N histidine-tagged protein was purified from E. coli cells by using NiNTA affinity chromatography. The purified recombinant protein was analyzed on SDS-PAGE. The approximate 58 kDa band on SDS PAGE (Figure 1(b)) represents the successful expression of PKM2 recombinant protein in BL21-DE3 E. coli clones.

3.3. Establishment and Validation of PKM2 Enzymatic Assay. Using the purified rPKM2 protein, PKM2 enzymatic assay was established based on the principle that the product of PKM2-catalyzed reaction is converted to lactate by LDH with concomitant conversion of NADH to NAD⁺ which can be monitored spectrophotometrically. The first enzymatic reaction is coupled with another in order to make PKM2 enzymatic activity easily detectable by monitoring NADH (Figure 1(c)). PKM2 enzymatic activity is spectrophotometrically monitored by measuring the decreased NADH at 340 nm. The reaction conditions were optimized using different concentrations of protein and substrate. The PKM2 enzymatic activity was determined at varying concentrations of PEP (Figure 1(d)). Based on our obtained results, 0.5 mM concentration of substrate was selected for further experiments.

3.4. Screening of Crude Plant Extract Library by In Vitro PKM2 Enzymatic Assay. Our established coupled PKM2 enzymatic assay was used to determine the inhibitory potential of 51 extracts from various parts of 35 plants covering over 20 families of the Pakistani flora. In this preliminary screening, the PKM2 inhibiting activities of 51 extracts were investigated at 400 μg/ml, and the obtained results are presented in Table 1. From these screened plant extracts, 7.8% (four plant extracts) were identified as active against PKM2 (>70% inhibition), 9.8% exhibited moderate inhibitory activity against PKM2 (41-70% inhibition), and 82.3% displayed insignificant or low activity (0-40% inhibition).

To find the most potent plant extracts at lower concentrations, we further proceeded with screening of hits at lower concentrations. From these highly active plant extracts, M. indica (leaf, bark, and seed coat) extracts were tested dose dependently at varying concentrations (90, 180, and 360 μg/ml) in the reconfirmation assay and dose-response curves were obtained (Figure 2).

The obtained results show that M. indica extracts could serve as a starting point for the further identification and isolation of PKM2 inhibitory compounds or development of anticancer functional foods. Thus, these plant extracts were selected for further testing of cytotoxicity against breast cancer.
3.5. Evaluation of Cytotoxicity of *M. indica* (Leaf, Bark, and Seed Coat) Extracts and Calculation of IC\textsubscript{50} Values.

In order to evaluate the antiproliferative potential of positive hits obtained after screening of plant extract library against PKM2, MTT assay was performed. *M. indica* leaf, bark, and seed coat extracts were found to be cytotoxic towards MDA-MB231 cells. The dose-response curves were generated to calculate the inhibitory concentrations (IC\textsubscript{50}). *M. indica* (bark, leaf, and seed) extracts have potential to inhibit the growth of MDA-MB231 cells significantly with IC\textsubscript{50} values of 108 μg/ml, 67 μg/ml, and 33 μg/ml, respectively (Figure 3). Thus, the results of this study provide a novel finding about possible mechanism of action of *M. indica* (bark and seed) extracts against TNBC.

3.6. Identification of PKM2 Inhibitors from *M. indica* via In Silico Based Screening.

In order to identify the PKM2 inhibitor compounds from *M. indica* extract, phytochemical analysis was done through database searching and a list of *M. indica*-derived compounds reported in literature was prepared. The structures of these phytochemicals (ligands) were retrieved from PubChem database, and screening was performed by molecular docking against PKM2 binding sites. *M. indica*-derived 94 compounds were docked against 3 binding sites of PKM2 (PDB ID: 6V74). A comparative analysis of docking against 3 binding sites of PKM2 is provided in Table 2.

As for binding affinities, 15 compounds exhibit good binding energies (MolDock Score of >-145) to one or more of PKM2 binding sites. Three out of 15 compounds exhibit...
Table 1: Preliminary screening of crude plant extract library for the identification of PKM2 inhibitors.

| Sr. no. | Plant name                       | Family     | Common name         | Part used | Extract no. | PKM2 activity |
|---------|----------------------------------|------------|---------------------|-----------|-------------|---------------|
| 1       | *Cyamopsis tetragonoloba* (L.) Taub | Fabaceae   | Guar gum            | Seeds     | 1           | −             |
| 2       | *Calotropis procera* (Aiton) Dryand. | Apocynaceae | Sodom apple         | Leaves    | 2           | −             |
| 3       | *Azadirachta indica* A. Juss.     | Meliaceae  | Indian lilac        | Leaves    | 3           | −             |
| 4       | *Ageratum conyzoides* L.          | Asteraceae  | Goat weed           | Whole plant | 4       | −             |
| 5       | *Dalbergia sissoo* sensu Miq.     | Fabaceae   | Indian rosewood     | Bark, Flowers, Seeds | 6, 7, 8 | −             |
| 6       | *Albizia lebbeck* (L.) Benth.     | Fabaceae   | Lebbeck             | Seed coat, Leaves | 9, 10 | +             |
| 7       | *Momordica charantia* L.          | Cucurbitaceae | Bitter melon        | Vegetable, Seeds | 11, 12 | −             |
| 8       | *Oxalis corniculata* L.           | Oxalidaceae | Creeping wood sorrel | Whole plant | 13 | −             |
| 9       | *Cassia fistula* L.               | Fabaceae   | Golden shower       | Leaves, Fruit | 14, 15 | +             |
| 10      | *Aloe barbadensis* Mill.          | Asphodelaceae | Aloe vera          | Whole plant | 16 | −             |
| 11      | *Nerium oleander* L.              | Apocynaceae | Oleander            | Leaves    | 17 | −             |
| 12      | *Chenopodium album* L.            | Amaranthaceae | Lamb’s quarters   | Whole plant | 18 | −             |
| 13      | *Bombax ceiba* L.                 | Malvaceae  | Cotton tree         | Leaves, Bark | 19, 20 | ++            |
| 14      | *Cicer arietinum* L.              | Fabaceae   | Chick pea (white)   | Seed      | 21 | −             |
| 15      | *Smilax china* L.                 | Smilacaceae | China root          | Roots     | 23 | −             |
| 16      | *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* Dehn.  | Myrtaceae  | Himalayan poplar    | Bark      | 24 | −             |
| 17      | *Helianthus annuus* L.            | Asteraceae  | Sun flower          | Seeds     | 25 | −             |
| 18      | *Artemisia absinthium* L.         | Asteraceae  | Common wormwood     | Whole plant | 26 | +             |
| 19      | *Litchi chinensis* Sonn.          | Sapindaceae | Lychee              | Bark      | 28 | +             |
| 20      | *Lawsonia inermis*                | Lythraceae  | Henna               | Leaves    | 29 | −             |
| 21      | *Cyperus esculentus* L.           | Cyperaceae  | Water grass         | Flowers   | 31 | −             |
| 22      | *Fagonia arabica* L.              | Zygophyllaceae | Dhamasa       | Whole plant | 32 | −             |
| 23      | *Cucumis melo agrestis* Naudin    | Cucurbitaceae | Wild melon        | Leaves, Stem | 33, 34 | −             |
| 24      | *Asphodelus tenuifolius* Cav.     | Asphodelaceae | Wild onion       | Whole plant | 35 | −             |
| 25      | *Solanum nigrum* L.               | Solanaceae  | Black nightshade    | Whole plant | 36 | −             |
| 26      | *Mangifera indica* L.             | Anacardiaceae | Mango              | Bark, Seed coat, Leaves | 39, 40, 41 | ++            |
| 27      | *Trachyspermum ammi* (L.) Sprague | Apiaceae    | Carom seeds        | Seeds     | 42 | −             |
| 28      | *Ferula assa-foetida* L.          | Umbelliferae | Heng               | Resin     | 43 | −             |
| 29      | *Linum usitatissimum* L.          | Linaceae    | Flax seeds         | Seeds     | 44 | −             |
| 30      | *Citrus* *colocynthis* (L.) Schrad. | Cucurbitaceae | Desert bitter gourd | Fruit      | 45 | −             |
| 31      | *Trigonella foenum-graecum* L.    | Fabaceae    | Fenugreek          | Seeds     | 46 | −             |
| 32      | *Punica granatum* L.              | Lythraceae  | Pomegranate         | Fruit peel | 47 | −             |
good binding affinities to all the 3 binding sites of PKM2. The top 3 common hits are Lupeollinoleate, Neochrome, and Maclurin 3-C-(6″-O-phydroxybenzoyl)β-D-glucoside. Docking interaction patterns of the top three hit compounds against FBP binding site of PKM2 are presented in Figure 4. These compounds possess good theoretical binding affinity with the target protein by mainly forming hydrogen bond and Van der Waals forces. Docking complexes of the best three M. indica-derived compounds against AA and PEP binding sites of PKM2 are presented in Figures 5 and 6, respectively.

The summary of enzymatic assay-based screening and virtual screening against PKM2 is provided in Figure 7.

4. Discussion

Targeting tumor metabolism has emerged as a novel and selective strategy for cancer therapy. A major metabolic difference associated with cancer is alteration in glucose metabolism. PK, a key enzyme that determines glycolytic activity, plays a critical role in cancer development [17]. Cancer cells express the specific M2 isomor (PKM2), and multiple evidences demonstrate that PKM2 expresssion support divergent biosynthetic and energetic requirements of cells in tumors. Unlike cancer cells, most of the normal tissues express another isoform of PK (PKM1). As PKM2 provides selective growth advantages to cancer cells over its counterpart PKM1, thus, targeting PKM2 provides an excellent opportunity for cancer therapies and drug development [18].

PKM2 silencing has been known to induce apoptosis in cancer cells by recent studies [19]. PKM2 has also been reported to be highly expressed in various TNBC cell lines which provide further rationale for targeting PKM2 as novel anti-TNBC therapy [7].

Given that PKM2 inhibition has no effects on normal human breast tissues, PKM2 could serve as an ideal therapeutic target for TNBC [7] and it is of immense interest to identify and develop its inhibitors from natural products. After screening of plant extract library, we identified M. indica (leaf, bark, and seed coat) extracts as PKM2 activity inhibitors at a final dose of 90 μg/ml. Previous studies indicate that natural products from Alkanna tinctoria and Arnebia spp. exhibit PKM2 inhibitory activity. The extracts from these potentially active plants contain bioactive naphthoquinone compounds like alkannin, shikonin, and their derivatives [20]. Another natural compound lapachol has been found to be the potential inhibitor of PKM2 activity, leading to reduced ATP production and inhibition of cellular proliferation in human melanoma cells [4]. Berberine, isolated from Coptis and Hydrastis canadensis, has also been found to inhibit PKM2 activity leading to antitumor activity in HCT116 and HeLa cells [21]. Apigenin, naturally found in parsley, oranges, and onions, has been reported to block tumor glycolysis via inhibiting PKM2 expression and activity which in turn induced anticancer effects in colon cancer cells.
| Compound name                                      | FBP binding site MolDock Score | HBond | AA binding site MolDock Score | HBond | PEP binding site MolDock Score | HBond |
|---------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|-------|
| Lupeollinoleate                                   | -195.03                        | -5.03 | -158.13                       | -3.14911 | -183.99                      | -5.44131 |
| Neochrome                                         | -163.73                        | -5.31 | -163.218                      | -8.04216 | -142.153                     | -4.8914  |
| Tetra-O-galloylglucose                            | -157.20                        | -35.16 | -193.459                      | -19.630 | -130.615                     | -28.8154 |
| Neoxanthin                                        | -155.84                        | -3.55 | -149.398                      | -1.81432 | -105.125                     | -6.0305  |
| Luteoxanthin                                      | -148.74                        | -3.55 | -143.937                      | -3.779  | -115.125                     | -4.9368  |
| Gamma-tocopherol                                  | -146.94                        | -4.99 | -138.311                      | -6.75642 | -108.115                     | -4.37926 |
| β-Carotene                                        | -145.99                        | 0.00  | -146.335                      | 2.5     | -142.497                     | 0       |
| Zeaxanthin                                        | -144.59                        | -2.52 | -144.795                      | 0       | -134.096                     | -0.798168 |
| Beta-tocopherol                                   | -142.54                        | -5.61 | -125.241                      | -3.94614 | -133.965                     | -1.92741 |
| Mangiferic acid                                   | -141.49                        | -4.79 | -126.118                      | -1.45213 | -107.256                     | -2.0352  |
| Maclurin 3-C-(6″-O-phydroxybenzoyl)β-Dglucoside   | -141.11                        | -19.75 | -185.504                      | -30.6823 | -125.256                     | -29.1472 |
| Cryptoxanthin                                     | -139.99                        | 0.00  | -144.965                      | 0       | -146.105                     | -1.91568 |
| 3-Methoxy-2-(4′-methyl benzoyl)-chromone          | -132.76                        | -9.86 | -120.813                      | -4.29266 | -102.599                     | -4.55386 |
| Apigenin 7-glucoside                              | -131.70                        | -27.05 | -112.568                      | -6.71948 | -100.576                     | -15.6564 |
| Violaxanthin                                      | -128.58                        | -0.49 | -119.488                      | -1.81432 | -88.3268                     | -7.52592 |
| 9-cis-Lutein (lutein)                             | -127.19                        | -2.35 | -136.35                       | 2.5     | -142.497                     | 0       |
| Mangiferin-6′-O-gallate                           | -125.08                        | -23.94 | -112.949                      | -22.8972 | -103.519                     | -26.8348 |
| Rhamnetin                                         | -124.29                        | -20.48 | -110.02                       | -13.7748 | -115.109                     | -10.6913 |
| Epi catechin gallate                              | -123.03                        | -15.86 | -103.822                      | -14.8456 | -91.3205                     | -21.6137 |
| Quercetin                                         | -123.02                        | -22.78 | -110.428                      | -12.0814 | -110.252                     | -11.1415 |
| Maclurin                                          | -121.60                        | -15.94 | -111.415                      | -8.34809 | -97.7143                     | -14.8418 |
| Rhamnetin hexoside                                | -120.91                        | -15.68 | -110.437                      | -10.9705 | -77.4519                     | -13.2685 |
| Quercetin 3-O-rhamnoside                          | -120.80                        | -12.94 | -115.172                      | -11.7603 | -87.4993                     | -21.5166 |
| Ellagic acid                                      | -117.80                        | -15.43 | -87.435                       | -9.7998  | -72.6948                     | -11.7498 |
| Ferulic acid                                      | -117.17                        | -16.81 | -105.778                      | -7.67901 | -89.8163                     | -1.45049 |
| Kaempferol                                        | -115.78                        | -13.68 | -107.609                      | -11.5349 | -85.1987                     | -4.76716 |
| γ-Sitosterol                                      | -115.47                        | 0.00  | -90.502                       | -2.36888 | -60.0014                     | -0.4356  |
| Apigenin                                          | -114.03                        | -14.49 | -102.655                      | -10.3857 | -108.222                     | -11.2041 |
| α-Farnesene                                       | -109.14                        | 0.00  | -102.741                      | 0       | -104.068                     | 0       |
| Syringic acid                                     | -107.06                        | -10.80 | -97.8327                      | -5.54318 | -82.3703                     | -8.07737 |
| Catechin                                          | -106.29                        | -16.81 | -85.0592                      | -8.07189 | -72.7696                     | -15.0371 |
| Quercetin carboxylic acid                         | -106.26                        | -31.53 | -147.825                      | -24.6151 | -131.161                     | -18.669 |
| Caffeic acid                                      | -104.79                        | -16.96 | -100.104                      | -8.29169 | -84.0058                     | -7.97973 |
| Alpha-tocopherol                                  | -104.68                        | 0.00  | -93.3105                      | 0       | -109.057                     | -2.5     |
| Quercetin carboxylic acid                         | -101.33                        | -17.05 | -78.7161                      | -16.5643 | -61.109                      | -21.0371 |
| Elemicin                                          | -100.85                        | -1.95  | -98.532                       | -3.63297 | -80.2126                     | 0       |
| Campesterol                                       | -100.76                        | 0.00  | -92.344                       | 2.5     | -67.2379                     | -4.41775 |
| p-Coumaric acid                                   | -100.03                        | -15.79 | -106.985                      | -5.2956  | -88.197                      | -11.3534 |
| Stigmasterol                                      | -98.57                         | -2.50  | -109.341                      | -3.17755 | -79.599                      | -7.28574 |
| Ethyl gallate                                     | -98.32                         | -15.70 | -91.6331                      | -11.2495 | -95.4522                     | -17.0114 |
| Mangiferin                                        | -95.60                         | -23.65 | -62.8787                      | -12.7153 | -46.4801                     | -9.84916 |
| Penta-O-gallose-glucose                           | -93.54                         | -16.92 | -140.348                      | -27.0176 | 0                            | 0       |
| α-Cubebe                                           | -93.39                         | 0.00  | -73.0146                      | 0       | -80.0748                     | -1.51948 |
| Methyleugenol                                     | -92.76                         | 0.00  | -81.484                       | -1.37587 | -78.2553                     | 0       |
| Gallic acid                                       | -91.66                         | -18.00 | -97.1975                      | -10.3128 | -72.1728                     | -11.0514 |
| Humulene                                          | -90.71                         | 0.00  | -61.618                       | 0       | -63.6181                     | 0       |
| Theogallin                                        | -90.31                         | -18.97 | -68.6305                      | -19.8959 | -79.8986                     | -21.7922 |
Table 2: Continued.

| Compound name                        | FBP binding site MolDock Score | HBond | AA binding site MolDock Score | HBond | PEP binding site MolDock Score | HBond |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------|-------------------------------|-------|-------------------------------|-------|
| Iriiflphenone-di-O-galloyl glucoside | -89.47                        | -20.70| -93.1958                      | -11.7291| -69.9375                      | -17.7076|
| Methyl gallate                       | -89.00                        | -15.10| -79.6082                      | -9.30537| -88.5411                      | -15.4398|
| α-Guaiene                            | -88.71                        | 0.00  | -69.7068                      | 0       | -63.3114                      | 0      |
| Dehydroascorbic acid                 | -87.17                        | -20.05| -75.4451                      | -15.0859| -77.1176                      | -13.908|
| Ascorbic acid                        | -87.12                        | -22.48| -84.9714                      | -13.4832| -74.7349                      | -4.53428|
| 29-Hydroxymangiferonicacid           | -86.95                        | -5.10 | -80.1064                      | -5.24553| -50.0767                      | -12.6962|
| α-Sitosterol                         | -85.59                        | -0.78 | -90.9633                      | -4.75248| -77.87                        | -3.2075|
| Protocatechuic acid                  | -85.59                        | -16.13| -93.325                       | -7.21244| -70.7263                      | -9.9475|
| Cinnamic acid                        | -84.63                        | -7.22 | -93.9566                      | -4.03022| -76.26                        | -5.39073|
| Estragole                            | -83.21                        | -4.99 | -79.9985                      | -0.38853| -80.1188                      | -0.48549|
| δ-Elemene                            | -81.71                        | 0.00  | -81.2441                      | 0       | -84.1246                      | 0      |
| Terpinyl acetate                     | -81.53                        | -2.98 | -74.9132                      | -0.97568| -70.4852                      | -2.99327|
| Vanillin                             | -80.14                        | -11.30| -77.7569                      | -4.78744| -74.7349                      | -4.53428|
| Myrcene                              | -80.04                        | 0.00  | -77.3758                      | 0       | -86.4075                      | 0      |
| Linalool                             | -79.69                        | -5.37 | -81.62                        | -5      | -85.1614                      | -2.3688|
| Ocimene                              | -79.26                        | 0.00  | -75.1263                      | 0       | -69.7851                      | 0      |
| Mangiferonic acid                    | -79.13                        | -5.19 | -77.262                       | -6.28465| -64.86                        | -8.29727|
| 24-Methylencycloartane-3β,26-diol    | -78.22                        | -1.43 | -78.4328                      | -2.93017| -64.4235                      | -9.29597|
| β-Bulnesene                          | -77.62                        | 0.00  | -72.542                       | 0       | -63.9464                      | 0      |
| Sabinein                             | -75.23                        | 0.00  | -81.1319                      | 0       | -73.5542                      | 0      |
| β-Elemene                            | -73.68                        | 0.00  | -61.4113                      | 0       | -54.1936                      | 0      |
| γ-Terpinele                          | -73.18                        | 0.00  | -74.3906                      | 0       | -65.0567                      | 0      |
| γ-Cadinene                           | -72.33                        | 0.00  | -48.6785                      | 0       | -50.5432                      | 0      |
| Dammarenediol II                     | -72.02                        | -5.26 | -50.9481                      | -3.73546| -44.3381                      | -6.83216|
| Cycloartane-3,24,25-triol             | -71.53                        | -8.76 | -56.5961                      | -6.19957| -42.7277                      | -7.07424|
| Benzoic acid                         | -70.68                        | -7.57 | -88.9444                      | -4.49511| -58.6953                      | -1.48964|
| Cymene                               | -70.26                        | 0.00  | -75.1263                      | 0       | -69.7851                      | 0      |
| α-Terpinolene                        | -68.95                        | 0.00  | -74.3397                      | 0       | -66.6623                      | 0      |
| α-Pinene                             | -67.71                        | 0.00  | -72.5574                      | 0       | -63.4011                      | 0      |
| Mangiferolate B                      | -66.67                        | -5.45 | -54.2368                      | -4.18714| -49.3208                      | -3.97387|
| Limonene                             | -65.77                        | 0.00  | -72.9592                      | 0       | -63.0344                      | 0      |
| Pyrogallol                           | -64.60                        | -13.01| -68.5738                      | -9.57125| -63.477                        | -9.66407|
| Car3-ene                             | -63.20                        | 0.00  | -69.2717                      | 0       | -61.3925                      | 0      |
| Shikimic acid                        | -62.90                        | -15.54| -67.0134                      | -11.6281| -46.58                        | -12.5796|
| Resinol                              | -59.58                        | -9.91 | -69.0014                      | -5      | -60.3014                      | -7.5    |
| β-Pinene                             | -59.12                        | 0.00  | -68.3213                      | 0       | -55.6667                      | 0      |
| Cycloartan-3β-30-diol cycloartan-3b  | -59.10                        | -4.89 | -70.9584                      | -7.08208| -33.8611                      | -1.92964|
| Camphene                             | -55.20                        | 0.00  | -66.3445                      | 0       | -52.2991                      | 0      |
| Eucalyptol                           | -53.56                        | -0.20 | -63.9989                      | -2.39085| -48.9044                      | -0.155108|
| Quercetin pentoside                  | -47.75                        | -24.01| -77.8756                      | -11.9264| -87.2484                      | -29.9426|
| Manglupenone                         | -39.78                        | -5.75 | -35.8728                      | -2.83316| -18.4948                      | -5      |
| β-Sitosterol                         | -34.08                        | -0.99 | -57.562                       | -3.78085| -43.5471                      | -4.42292|
| Lupeol                               | -33.19                        | -1.47 | -32.275                       | -1.97739| -15.5679                      | -2.5    |
| β-Amyrin                             | -28.02                        | -1.95 | -28.957                       | -2.20045| -21.9474                      | 0      |
| Taraxerol                            | -27.67                        | 0.00  | -30.1279                      | -4.35048| -10.9574                      | -2.19296|
| Friedelin                            | -23.99                        | -4.34 | -23.99                        | -4.34   | -11.5989                      | -4.47101|
| α-Amyrin                             | -13.41                        | -1.86 | -21.125                       | -0.17742| -8.75428                      | -1.11693|
Figure 4: Docking complexes of the best three *M. indica* compounds within the FBP binding site of PKM2.

Figure 5: Representation of docking complexes of top three ligands into the AA binding site of PKM2.

Figure 6: Interaction of hit compounds with amino acid residues at the PEP binding site of PKM2.
Conclusively, enzymatic assay-based screening was performed to identify the plant extracts having potential to inhibit PKM2. This screen identified *M. indica* extracts as potential inhibitors of PKM2. Further *in silico* based screening identified various PKM2 modulators from *M. indica*. Although *M. indica* (bark and seed) extracts have been previously reported to possess significant anticancer potential, however, the underlying mechanism remains enigmatic. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study which discloses that the *M. indica* exerts anticancer effects against TNBC via PKM2 inhibition. This study laid the foundation for further investigations to validate the efficacy of identified compounds against PKM2 via enzymatic activity assay. Although these findings suggest *M. indica* extracts as PKM2 inhibitors, however, further research is also recommended to test their potential in *in vivo* studies.
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