Maltreatment during childhood and risk for common mental disorders among first year university students in South Africa
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Abstract

Purpose Childhood maltreatment elevates risk for common mental disorders (CMDs) during late adolescence and adulthood. Although CMDs are highly prevalent among university students, few studies have examined the relationship between childhood maltreatment and 12 month CMDs in a low- to middle-income country. This paper describes the prevalence of maltreatment and the relationship between type, number and patterns of maltreatment exposure and 12 month CMDs among first-year university students in South Africa.

Methods Maltreatment and CMD data were collected via well-validated self-report scales (corresponding with DSM-IV diagnoses) in a web-based survey of first-year students from two large urban universities (n = 1290) in South Africa. Various multivariate modelling approaches (additive, restrictive interactive and latent class) were used to examine the relationship between maltreatment and CMDs.

Results Overall, 48.4% of participants reported childhood maltreatment, the most common type being emotional abuse (26.7%). Regardless of the modelling approach used, emotional abuse was the only type of maltreatment independently associated with 12-month diagnoses of major depressive disorder (MDD), generalised anxiety disorder (GAD) or drug use disorder (DUD) even after adjusting for types and number of types of maltreatment. Similarly, students in the latent class reflecting histories of emotional abuse (either alone or combined with physical abuse) were more likely to meet criteria for 12-month MDD, GAD or DUD.

Conclusion Findings confirm the high prevalence of childhood maltreatment among South African students. As this exposure elevates risk for MDD, GAD and DUD, interventions aimed at preventing and treating CMDs among first-year students should address experiences of childhood maltreatment.
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Introduction

Starting university coincides with young people’s developmental transition from adolescence into adulthood [1]. This is also a peak time for the onset of common mental disorders (CMDs), such as depressive, anxiety, and substance use disorders (SUD) [2]. Studies from high-income countries suggest that CMDs are considerably more prevalent among university students than the general population, partly because of heightened stress associated with social and academic transitions from school to university [3–5]. Similar findings have been reported for South Africa, a low- to middle-income country (LMIC), where lifetime and 12-month prevalence estimates for any CMD among university students are 39% and 32%, respectively [6]—higher than the 30% and 17% reported for the general population [7]. These prevalence estimates are comparable with those found among university students in other countries [8, 9] and are of global concern given the deleterious effects that untreated CMDs have on students’ academic performance [8–10], social functioning, and quality of life [11, 12], self-harm, and death by suicide [13–16].

The design of effective mental health interventions requires reliable epidemiological data on risk factors for CMDs [6, 17]. Yet information on risk factors for CMDs among South African university students has been limited to socio-demographic correlates [6]. In keeping with studies from other contexts [13, 17], findings suggest that vulnerability for CMDs is heightened among students who identify as female, report a non-heterosexual sexual orientation, or have disabilities [6]. Social and contextual factors, such as poverty and social disadvantage, which could plausibly enhance risk for CMDs among South African students remain underexplored [18]. Maltreatment during childhood (such as neglect, physical abuse, sexual abuse, or emotional abuse) is one such factor, with evidence suggesting that maltreatment elevates risk for CMDs in late adolescence and adulthood [19, 20]. Child maltreatment is a form of childhood adversity which captures a broader range of circumstances and events that threaten a child’s physical and psychological well-being [19]. Findings from multiple studies demonstrate that any type of maltreatment in childhood increases risk for the internalising (mood and anxiety) disorders [20–27]. Although any maltreatment exposure heightens risk, findings from systematic reviews suggest that emotional abuse may have powerful effects on risk for depression and anxiety disorders compared to other forms of maltreatment [24, 28–31]. Similarly, findings from nationally representative surveys and birth cohort studies suggest that childhood maltreatment increases risk for SUDs in later life [32–34]. However, when SUDs are disaggregated into alcohol use disorders (AUD) and drug use disorders (DUD), this relationship is most salient for DUD [21, 32, 33, 35]. Several studies have found no or only weak associations between childhood maltreatment and AUD [20, 21, 35–37].

Despite evidence linking childhood maltreatment to adverse mental health outcomes, knowledge gaps remain. Studies that focused on the association of such outcomes with individual subtypes (e.g. sexual abuse) or the presence of any type of maltreatment did not account for the potential co-occurrence of maltreatment types and may have overestimated the strength of the associations between specific types of maltreatment and CMDs [20, 38–40]. Other studies attempted to assess the combined effect of multiple types of maltreatment by constructing a score reflecting the number of maltreatment types that a person was exposed to. While studies using this approach have shown that being multiply maltreated increases risk for depression, anxiety, and SUD [40, 41], they provide little insight into the patterning of multiple maltreatment exposures and if these patterns have differential effects on vulnerability for CMDs. More recently, studies have used latent class analysis to identify constellations of maltreatment experiences and explore how each of these patterns relates to CMD risk [36–39]. Although some of these studies have focused on university students as a population of interest, all prior studies were conducted in high-income countries [38, 42–44]. Findings may not extend to LMICs with different socio-political contexts and more adversity. South Africa is a highly violent society, with South African children exposed to disproportionately high levels of maltreatment compared to global estimates [45]. With South Africa having a higher 12-month prevalence of CMDs among both university students and the general population [6, 7] relative to most other countries [46], the relationship between maltreatment in childhood and CMDs warrants further investigation in this setting and population.

This paper addresses these gaps through simultaneously evaluating the independent association of specific forms of maltreatment, the cumulative risk of multiple forms of maltreatment, and the association of patterns of multiple maltreatment exposure and CMDs among South African first-year university students. More specifically, this paper aims to (1) describe the prevalence and co-occurrence of each type of maltreatment and (2) examine various models of the relationship between maltreatment and 12-month CMDs among first-year university students.

Methods

This paper presents cross-sectional data from a survey of student health conducted at Stellenbosch University and the University of Cape Town, situated within the Western Cape province of South Africa. The study was part of the World
Participants and procedure

All first-year students at Stellenbosch University in 2015 and 2017 and the University of Cape Town in 2017 (n = 14,575) were sent an email invitation to participate in the study. The email invitation contained a description of the study and a link to an anonymous online survey. Only students aged 18 or older who were enrolled for the first time at university were eligible to participate. In total, 1407 students completed the survey (representing a response rate of 9.7%); 117 were eligible to participate. In total, 1407 participants were excluded from the current analyses due to missing data on childhood maltreatment variables. The final sample for the present analyses comprised 1290 participants. Participants were predominantly female (n = 715; 55.4%), self-identified as White (n = 785; 60.9%), and younger than 21 years of age (n = 1197; 92.9%).

Measures

Items adapted from the Composite International Diagnostic Interview (CIDI) used in the World Mental Health (WMH) Surveys [47] and various validated screening instruments were used to assess:

Childhood maltreatment

Selected items from the CIDI-3.0 childhood section [47] and the Adverse Childhood Experiences International Questionnaire [48] were used to measure four types of maltreatment prior to the age of 17. Emotional abuse was measured by three items assessing the frequency with which caretakers insulted, said hurtful things, or emotionally abused participants. Physical abuse was measured by two items examining the frequency with which caregivers pushed, hit, or bruised the participant. Neglect was assessed with two questions about physical neglect and endangerment, including frequency of having inadequate supervision and having to do dangerous, age-inappropriate tasks. Sexual abuse was assessed by two items enquiring about experiences of sexual assault, unwanted sexual contact or abuse. Response options consisted of five-point Likert items (“never”, “rarely”, “sometimes”, “often”, and “very often”). Consistent with previous WMH survey studies [49, 50], physical abuse, sexual abuse and neglect (endangerment) were coded as present if participants reported any exposure to these experiences. Emotional abuse was coded as present if respondents reported that they occurred sometimes, often, or very often. This type of maltreatment was coded differently as emotional abuse is dimensional in nature and the threshold is higher for it to qualify as maltreatment. This approach to coding is standard for studies of childhood maltreatment [49].

Common mental disorders

We used items adapted from the EPI-Q Screening Survey [51], WMH-CIDI [47], and Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test [52] to assess the 12-month prevalence of Major Depressive Disorder (MDD), Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD), AUD, and DUD. These measures map onto DSM-IV diagnostic criteria [47]. Due to low 12-month prevalence [12] and because GAD was the most prevalent 12 month disorder in the South African cohort, other anxiety disorders were excluded from the analyses. Caseness was determined using the procedure validated in the Army Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Service Members (Army STARRS) [53] and replicated in the WMH Surveys.

Data analysis

As White and female students were over-represented in this sample, post-stratification weighting by population group and gender was conducted to minimise discrepancies between characteristics of the university population and those of the realized sample (see [54] for a description of these procedures). Data were analysed with SPSS. We used multiple imputation to address missing values for current AUD in the 2017 sample because questions about age of onset and current symptoms for AUD were erroneously omitted from the 2017 survey. This meant that 50% of participants had imputed data on AUD. First, we estimated the prevalence (95% confidence intervals (CIs)) of each type of maltreatment for the overall sample and by gender. We then examined the correlations among the four types of maltreatment using tetrachoric correlations. Next, we conducted a series of multivariate logistic regression models to estimate the association between maltreatment and risk of any 12-month CMD and specific types of CMD. All models were adjusted for gender given its association with 12-month CMD diagnoses in this population [6] and risk of maltreatment [25]. The first model estimated the effect of each type of maltreatment independently, using separate regression equations for type of CMD. The second model estimated the additive effect of all four types of maltreatment within a single model.

We used MPlus [55] to conduct latent class analysis (LCA) to identify patterns of maltreatment exposure. Competing solutions were compared on model fit and conceptual interpretability of derived classes. Fit statistics that were considered included the log-likelihood, Akaike information criteria, Bayes information criteria (BIC), entropy, and Lo–Mendell–Rubin (LMR) adjusted likelihood ratio.
test. LMR was prioritized given evidence that it is one of the most robust measures of model fit [56]. We identified a three-class model as optimal, this model had a lower AIC than the two-class solution, with a significant LMR ($p=0.017$) test. Participants reporting no maltreatment were isolated into a separate fourth class. In Model 3, we examined the relationship between these four classes on risk of 12-month CMD diagnoses. As few differences were noted between Class 3 and Class 4, we constructed a restricted model that included Classes 2 and 4 and a combined term for group membership in Class 3 or 4 (Model 4). Based on the findings, we decided to test a more restricted model (Model 5) that excluded Class 4.

Following this, we conducted a sixth model which included variables assessing the number of types of abuse experienced (that is, variables assessing exposure to exactly one type, exactly two types, and exactly three types of abuse). In a seventh model, we included variables for the four types of maltreatment in addition to variables for the number of types of abuse experienced. In this restricted interactive model, the number of types of abuse started at exactly two rather than exactly one because the value of the variable for exactly one type of abuse was perfectly predicted by the three variables for emotional, physical, and sexual abuse. Each of these models was estimated for any 12 month CMD diagnosis and again separately for each type of disorder [that is, MDD, GAD, an internalising disorder (i.e. MDD and/or GAD), AUD, DUD, and an externalising disorder (i.e. AUD and/or DUD)]. Findings are presented as odds ratios (OR) with 95% CI. Multivariate significance was examined using design-based Wald $\chi^2$ tests. All significance tests were evaluated using 0.05 level two-sided tests.

**Results**

**Prevalence and correlations between types of child maltreatment**

Overall, 48.4% (95% CI 45.7; 51.2) of the sample reported experiencing maltreatment during childhood. The most common form of child maltreatment was emotional abuse (26.7%), followed by physical abuse (20.8%), neglect (10.7%) and sexual abuse (4.3%). The prevalence of various types of maltreatment did not differ between men and women. Most of the tetrachoric correlations between pairs of maltreatment types were positive, illustrating high co-occurrence between various forms of maltreatment. Overall, 41.0% (95% CI 38.2; 43.8) of the sample reported exposure to at least one form of abuse (emotional, sexual or physical), 31.5% reported exposure to exactly one form, 8.1% to exactly two types, and 1.4% reported exposure to exactly three types of abuse (Table 1).

**Associations between child maltreatment types and 12 month CMD diagnoses**

In Model 1, where the effect of each maltreatment type was estimated separately for 12 month CMD diagnoses, only physical abuse (OR = 1.4) and emotional abuse (OR = 2.1) were significantly associated with elevated risk of any 12 month CMD. When we disaggregated CMD diagnosis by class of disorder, physical abuse and emotional abuse were associated with elevated risk of a 12 month internalising disorder and emotional abuse was associated with risk of an externalising disorder. Sexual abuse (OR = 2.1), physical abuse (OR = 1.7), and emotional abuse (OR = 2.7) were associated with risk of 12 month MDD, and physical abuse (OR = 1.4) and emotional abuse (OR = 2.3) were associated with risk of 12 month GAD (Table 2). Physical abuse (OR = 2.1) and emotional abuse (OR = 4.5) were associated with elevated risk of 12-month DUD but not AUD.

In Model 2, which included all forms of child maltreatment as predictors and corrected for the inflation of the effects arising from co-occurrence of maltreatment types, emotional abuse was the only type of maltreatment independently associated with heightened risk of any 12 month CMD, internalising disorder, MDD, and GAD (ORs ranged 2.1–2.5; Table 2). Physical abuse approached significance for each of these disorders. The $\chi^2$ test for the joint effects of all maltreatment types was significant for any CMD ($\chi^2_4 = 19.6, p < 0.01$), any internalising disorder ($\chi^2_4 = 28.42, p < 0.001$), MDD ($\chi^2_4 = 36.6, p < 0.001$) and GAD ($\chi^2_4 = 13.8, p < 0.01$). Similarly, emotional abuse was the only type of maltreatment independently associated with heightened risk of any 12 month externalising disorder (OR = 1.6) and DUD (OR = 4.3). The $\chi^2$ test for the joint effects of all maltreatment types was significant for DUD ($\chi^2_4 = 12.1, p < 0.05$).

**Associations between classes of child maltreatment and 12 month CMD diagnoses**

LCA identified four patterns of child maltreatment exposure. The most prevalent class (Class 1) was labelled ‘No maltreatment’ (n = 665; 51.9% of the sample). Class 2 (10.2% of sample), labelled ‘Neglect’, had the highest probability of endorsing neglect (0.99) and extremely low probabilities of physical, sexual or emotional abuse. Class 3, ‘Emotional abuse’ (21.1% of sample), had high probabilities of exposure to emotional abuse (0.84) and lower probabilities of exposure to physical or sexual abuse. Individuals in this class generally endorsed exposure to one form of abuse. In Class 4, labelled ‘Multiple forms of abuse’ (17.1% of sample), individuals mainly endorsed physical and emotional abuse, although some reported exposure to three forms of abuse.

Model 3 examined associations between these latent classes and 12-month CMDs (Table 3). Odds of meeting...
criteria for a 12 month CMD, MDD, GAD or any internalising disorder were heightened for individuals in the ‘Emotional Abuse’ and the ‘Multiple forms of abuse’ classes (ORs between 1.5 and 2.9). For the externalising disorders, individuals in the ‘Multiple forms of abuse’ class had almost triple the odds of meeting criteria for a DUD compared to those in other classes. The \( \chi^2 \) tests for the joint effects of these classes were significant for any CMD (\( \chi^2 = 15.9, p < 0.01 \)), any internalising disorder (\( \chi^2 = 28.1, p < 0.001 \)), MDD (\( \chi^2 = 34.2, p < 0.001 \)) and GAD (\( \chi^2 = 18.8, p < 0.01 \)), but not for DUD (Table 4).

With few differences observed between Class 3 and 4, we combined these classes into a single category (‘Emotional abuse alone or in combination with other types of abuse’) and explored associations between Class 2, Class 3/4, Class 4 and the various CMDs (Model 4, Table 3). The odds of meeting criteria for a 12 month CMD, MDD, GAD or any internalising disorder were only heightened for individuals in the ‘Emotional abuse alone or in combination with other types of abuse’ class (ORs between 1.7 and 2.1). The \( \chi^2 \) tests for the joint effects of these classes remained significant for any CMD (\( \chi^2 = 10.6, p < 0.05 \)), any internalising disorder (\( \chi^2 = 15.9, p < 0.001 \)), MDD (\( \chi^2 = 21.3, p < 0.001 \)) and GAD (\( \chi^2 = 12.4, p < 0.01 \)). Finally, we modelled the effects of Class 2 and Class 3/4 on CMD outcomes (Model 5, Table 3). The \( \chi^2 \) tests for the joint effects of these classes remained significant for any CMD (\( \chi^2 = 10.4, p < 0.01 \)), any internalising disorder (\( \chi^2 = 15.8, p < 0.001 \)), MDD (\( \chi^2 = 21.3, p < 0.001 \)) and GAD (\( \chi^2 = 12.2, p < 0.01 \)). The BICs for this model were equal or higher in magnitude than those reported in the first two approaches, suggesting that this more parsimonious model is as good a fit for the data as Models 3 and 4. Findings suggest that exposure to emotional abuse, either alone or in combination with other forms of abuse, heightens vulnerability for CMDs, particularly internalising disorders.

### Associations between number of types of child maltreatment and 12 month CMD diagnoses

In Model 6, we considered only number of types rather than specific types or classes of maltreatment (Table 4). Findings from this model suggest that risk of 12 month CMD increases with exposure to two types of abuse, particularly for MDD and GAD (ORs range from 2.2 to 3.1) and DUD (OR = 6.6). Exposure to three types of abuse increased the odds of a 12 month MDD diagnosis more than sixfold (OR = 6.3). The \( \chi^2 \) test for the joint effects of number of

---

**Table 1** Prevalence and tetrachoric correlations of types of childhood maltreatment among South African university students (n = 1290)

| Characteristics of maltreatment exposure | Prevalence total sample (n = 1290) (%) | Prevalence: women (n = 860) (%) | Prevalence: men (n = 430) (%) | Tetrachoric correlations (rho) |
|------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Type of maltreatment                     |                                       |                                |                             |                             |
| Neglect (n = 139)                        | 10.7% (9.1–12.6)                      | 11.7% (9.7–14.1)               | 9.4% (7.0–12.7)             | 1.00                        |
| Physical abuse (n = 269)                 | 20.8% (18.6–23.2)                     | 20.1% (17.5–22.9)              | 21.8% (18.1–25.9)           | 0.48***                     |
| Sexual abuse (n = 56)                    | 4.3% (3.3–5.6)                        | 5.2% (3.9–6.9)                 | 3.2% (1.9–5.4)              | 0.36***                     |
| Emotional abuse (n = 344)                | 26.7% (24.3–29.2)                     | 29.6% (26.6–32.8)              | 23.0% (19.3–27.3)           | 0.02                        |
| Any maltreatment (n = 625)               | 48.4% (45.7–51.2)                     | 50.6% (47.2–53.9)              | 45.8% (41.1–50.5)           |                             |
| Number of types of abuse                 |                                       |                                |                             |                             |
| No abuse (n = 761)                       | 59.0% (56.2–61.8)                     | 57.5% (54.2–60.8)              | 60.9% (56.1–65.0)           |                             |
| Exactly one type (n = 405)               | 31.5% (28.9–34.1)                     | 31.7% (28.6–34.9)              | 31.2% (26.9–25.8)           |                             |
| Exactly two types (n = 109)              | 8.1% (6.8–9.8)                        | 9.2% (7.4–11.3)                | 6.9% (4.9–9.7)              |                             |
| Exactly three types (n = 17)             | 1.4% (0.8–2.2)                        | 1.6% (0.1–2.8)                 | 0.1% (0.0–0.3)              |                             |

***p < 0.001

a 95% confidence intervals

b The last four rows reflect the number of types of abuse (physical, sexual or emotional) participants had experienced, whether or not the person had also experienced neglect
types of abuse was significant for any CMD ($\chi^2 = 26.9, p < 0.001$), internalising disorders ($\chi^2 = 42.0, p < 0.001$), MDD ($\chi^2 = 39.6, p < 0.001$), GAD ($\chi^2 = 33.7, p < 0.001$), and DUD ($\chi^2 = 18.0, p < 0.001$).

We estimated a model with the types of abuse in addition to the number of types of abuse (Model 7). Exposure to emotional abuse was independently associated with 12-month CMD, any internalising disorder, MDD, GAD and DUD; with ORs ranging from 2.0 to 3.9; the $\chi^2_3$ test for the joint effects of type of abuse was significant for any CMD ($\chi^2 = 21.0, p < 0.001$), internalising disorders ($\chi^2_3 = 36.7, p < 0.001$), MDD ($\chi^2_3 = 17.2, p < 0.01$), GAD ($\chi^2_3 = 38.0, p < 0.001$) and DUD ($\chi^2_3 = 12.3, p < 0.01$). In this model, the ORs for the abuse types were slightly lower than the ORs when variables for number of types of abuse were not included (Table 2), showing that failing to account for the

---

**Table 2** Associations between childhood maltreatment type and 12-month mental disorder diagnoses among South African university students ($n = 1290$)

| Type of 12 month diagnoses | Model 1: effects of each type of maltreatment on mental disorder outcomes$^{ab}$ OR$^e$ (95% CI)$^c$ | Model 2: additive model of type of maltreatment on common mental disorder outcomes$^{ad}$ OR (95% CI) |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
|                             | $\chi^2_3$ (4) Neglect ($n = 139$) | $\chi^2_3$ Neglect ($n = 139$) |
|                             | Physical abuse ($n = 269$) | Physical abuse ($n = 269$) |
|                             | Sexual abuse ($n = 344$) | Sexual abuse ($n = 344$) |
|                             | Emotional abuse | Emotional abuse |
| Any type of common mental disorder ($n = 414$) | | |
| Internalising disorders | | |
| Major depressive disorder (MDD, $n = 190$) | 1.7 (0.9–3.0) | 1.7*** (0.9–2.9) |
| Generalised anxiety disorder (GAD, $n = 272$) | 1.0 (0.6–1.5) | 2.3*** (1.7–3.0) |
| MDD and/or GAD ($n = 354$) | 1.1 (0.7–1.6) | 2.4*** (1.9–3.2) |
| Externalising disorders | | |
| Alcohol use disorder (AUD, $n = 75$) | 1.2 (0.5–2.7) | 1.6 (1.0–1.6) |
| Drug use disorder (DUD, $n = 39$) | 1.1 (0.4–3.1) | 4.5*** (2.3–8.7) |
| AUD and/or DUD ($n = 103$) | 1.4 (0.6–2.4) | 2.6 (1.3–1.6) |

|$^a$Significant at $p < 0.05$

|$^b$Significant at $p < 0.01$

|$^c$Significant at $p < 0.001$

|$^d$Additive model in which all types of maltreatment were entered into the regression equations

|$^e$OR odds ratio

|$^f$95% CI 95% confidence interval
### Table 3: Latent classes of child maltreatment and associations with 12 month mental disorder diagnoses among South African university students (*n* = 1290)

| Type of 12 month diagnosis | Model 3: additive model<sup>a</sup> OR<sup>b</sup> (95% CI)<sup>c</sup> | Model 4: restricted mode<sup>a</sup> OR (95% CI) | Model 5: restricted model<sup>a</sup> OR (95% CI) |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|                             | Class 2: neglect (*n* = 132)                     | Class 3: emotional abuse (*n* = 272) | Class 4: multiple forms of abuse (*n* = 221) |
|                             | χ² (3)                                           | χ² (3)                           | χ² (2)                           |
| Any common mental disorder  | 15.9<sup>**</sup> 1.1 (0.7–1.5)                  | 1.8<sup>**</sup> 1.5<sup>*</sup> (1.1–2.1) | 10.6<sup>*</sup> 1.1 (0.7–1.6) | 10.4<sup>**</sup> 1.1 (0.7–1.6) |
| (n = 442)                   |                                                 |                                 |                                 | 1.8<sup>***</sup> (1.4–2.3) |
| Internalising disorders     |                                                 |                                 |                                 |                                      |
| Major depressive disorder (MDD, n = 203) | 34.2<sup>***</sup> 0.8 (0.4–1.5)              | 2.9<sup>***</sup> 1.9<sup>**</sup> (1.3–2.8) | 21.3<sup>***</sup> 0.8 (0.4–1.5) | 21.3<sup>***</sup> 0.8 (0.4–1.5) |
| Generalised anxiety disorder (GAD, n = 291) | 18.8<sup>**</sup> 1.2 (0.7–1.9)              | 2.1<sup>**</sup> 1.7<sup>**</sup> (1.2–2.4) | 12.4<sup>**</sup> 1.2 (0.8–2.0) | 12.2<sup>**</sup> 1.2 (0.8–1.9) |
| MDD and/or GAD (*n* = 377)   | 28.1<sup>***</sup> 1.1 (0.7–1.7)              | 2.4<sup>**</sup> 1.7<sup>**</sup> (1.2–2.4) | 15.9<sup>***</sup> 1.1 (0.7–1.7) | 15.8<sup>***</sup> 1.1 (0.7–1.7) |
| Externalising disorders     |                                                 |                                 |                                 |                                      |
| Alcohol use disorder (AUD, n = 75) | 3.0 0.7 (0.3–1.6)                          | 0.5 0.8 (0.2–1.3)               | 0.7 1.0 (0.4–1.5)               | 0.5 0.7 (0.3–1.8)                     |
| Drug Use Disorder (DUD, n = 39) | 7.1 1.4 (0.5–4.3)                          | 1.8 2.8<sup>**</sup> (1.3–5.9) | 5.5 1.3 (0.4–4.0)               | 2.1 1.3 (0.4–4.0)                     |
| AUD and/or DUD (*n* = 103)    | 1.5 0.8 (0.4–1.7)                          | 0.9 1.3 (0.5–2.1)               | 1.4 1.1 (0.5–1.9)               | 0.8 0.9 (0.5–1.9)                     |

<sup>a</sup>Significant at *p* < 0.05

<sup>**</sup>Significant at *p* < 0.01

<sup>***</sup>Significant at *p* < 0.001

<sup>a</sup>All models control for the potentially confounding effect of gender

<sup>b</sup>OR odds ratio

<sup>c</sup>95% CI 95% confidence interval
### Table 4  Type and number of types of abuse and associations with 12 month mental disorder diagnoses among South African university students (n = 1290)

| Type of 12 month diagnoses | Model 6: Additive model: number of types of abuse whether or not the person had neglect<sup>a</sup> | Model 7: restricted interactive model of type and number of types of abuse<sup>b</sup> |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|                             | OR<sup>c</sup> (95% CI) | OR<sup>c</sup> (95% CI) |
|                             | Exactly one type of abuse | Exactly two types of abuse | Exactly three types of abuse |
|                             | (n = 405) | (n = 109) | (n = 17) |
|                             | (n = 170) | (n = 170) | (n = 109) | (n = 117) |
| Neglect | 1.0 (0.7–1.5) | 26.9*** (1.3–2.2) | 2.2*** (1.5–3.4) | 2.1 (0.8–5.5) | 21.0*** (0.7–1.5) | 2.0*** (1.5–2.8) | 1.4 (0.9–2.0) | 14.2*** (1.4–3.3) | 2.1*** (1.4–3.3) |
| Emotional abuse only | 1.6 (0.9–2.9) | 17.2*** (1.9–4.9) | 2.3*** (2.4–16.8) | 1.4 (0.8–2.3) | 2.7*** (1.5–3.9) | 1.4 (0.8–2.3) | 1.6 (0.5–5.2) | 10.3** (1.8–4.9) | 2.1*** (2.2–15.9) |
| Physical abuse only | 1.1 (0.4–1.6) | 38.0*** (1.8–3.3) | 2.8*** (1.4–3.5) | 2.2 (0.8–6.5) | 2.1 (1.4–3.2) | 2.1 (1.4–3.2) | 2.0 (0.7–5.5) | 27.3*** (1.4–4.9) | 5.9*** (2.2–15.9) |
| Sexual abuse only | 1.0 (0.7–1.5) | 42.0*** (1.7–2.9) | 2.6*** (1.6–3.5) | 2.9* (1.9–7.7) | 36.7*** (0.7–1.7) | 1.7 (1.1–2.5) | 2.1 (0.8–5.2) | 18.3*** (1.5–3.7) | 2.4*** (1.0–7.2) |
| MDD and/or GAD | 1.0 (0.7–1.5) | 1.1 (0.6–1.4) | 2.3*** (1.8–3.3) | 3.1*** (1.4–2.7) | 6.3*** (2.4–16.8) | 1.6 (0.9–2.9) | 17.2*** (1.9–4.9) | 2.3*** (2.4–16.8) | 33.7*** (1.4–7.2) |
| Generalised anxiety disorder (GAD, n = 272) | 0.9 (0.6–1.4) | 18.0*** (1.3–2.4) | 6.6*** (1.3–2.4) | 3.3 (0.3–31.7) | 12.3*** (1.3–5.7) | 1.0 (0.4–2.9) | 12.3*** (1.3–5.7) | 17.5*** (1.3–5.7) | 3.2 (0.3–37.2) |
| Alcohol use disorder (AUD, n = 75) | 1.4 (0.6–3.3) | 0.8 (0.3–1.1) | 0.8 (0.3–1.9) | 0.7 (0.2–6.2) | 1.4 (0.6–3.3) | 0.6 (0.6–31.3) | 0.6 (0.3–1.4) | 0.4 (0.3–1.8) | 0.8 (0.3–1.8) |
| Drug use disorder (DUD, n = 39) | 0.8 (0.3–2.4) | 1.0 (0.3–2.4) | 2.0*** (1.3–5.7) | 0.8 (0.3–2.4) | 3.3 (1.3–5.7) | 1.0 (0.4–2.9) | 3.3 (1.3–5.7) | 17.5*** (1.3–5.7) | 18.0*** (1.3–5.7) |
| AUD and/or DUD | 1.1 (0.6–2.3) | 0.8 (0.3–1.1) | 1.0 (0.3–1.9) | 1.0 (0.3–1.9) | 1.0 (0.4–2.9) | 1.0 (0.4–2.9) | 1.0 (0.4–2.9) | 1.0 (0.4–2.9) | 18.0*** (1.3–5.7) |
| (n = 103) | d 0.4 (0.1–6.1) | 0.7 (0.1–6.1) | 0.7 (0.1–6.1) | 0.7 (0.1–6.1) | 0.7 (0.1–6.1) | 0.7 (0.1–6.1) | 0.7 (0.1–6.1) | 0.7 (0.1–6.1) | 0.7 (0.1–6.1) |

**Significant at p < 0.05**

**Significant at p < 0.01**

***Significant at p < 0.001

<sup>a</sup> All models control for the potentially confounding effect of gender

<sup>b</sup> OR odds ratio

<sup>c</sup> 95% CI 95% confidence interval

<sup>d</sup> Not included in analysis due to small cell sizes
number of types of abuse may lead to an upward bias in the estimated effect of individual types of maltreatment. The ORs for the estimated effects of number of types of abuse were similar to the model that included number of types of abuse only (ORs between 2.1 and 6.5). The \( \chi^2 \) test for the joint effects of number of types of abuse was significant for any CMD (\( \chi^2 = 14.2, p < 0.001 \)), internalising disorders (\( \chi^2 = 18.3, p < 0.001 \)), MDD (\( \chi^2 = 27.3, p < 0.01 \)), GAD (\( \chi^2 = 10.3, p < 0.01 \)) and DUD (\( \chi^2 = 17.5, p < 0.01 \); Table 4).

### Discussion

Although maltreatment during childhood is a recognised risk factor for mental disorder onset and persistence in the general population, it has only recently been explored among university students [42–44]—a population with heightened vulnerability for CMDs due to developmental transitions from adolescence to adulthood and social transitions from school to university [2, 3]. To our knowledge, this is the first study examining the relationship between maltreatment during childhood and a range of 12 month CMDs in a large sample of university students in a LMIC. Findings underscore the high prevalence of child maltreatment in South Africa, with 48.4% of students reporting some form of maltreatment during childhood and 41.0% reporting that this maltreatment included emotional, physical and/or sexual abuse. These findings are in keeping with findings from nationally representative South African studies that over 40% of adolescents [45] and adults [20] experienced at least one form of maltreatment during childhood [20, 45].

Like other studies from high-income countries [42–44], childhood maltreatment appears to heighten risk for any CMD among young South Africans entering university. When we disaggregated results by class of disorder, childhood maltreatment was associated with greater risk of all the 12 month internalising disorders and 12 month DUD. However, we found no evidence that childhood maltreatment was associated with risk of 12 month AUD. Our use of multiple imputation to account for missing alcohol use data for 50% of the sample may have influenced these findings. However, the literature suggests that the relationship between childhood maltreatment and SUDs is more salient for DUD than AUD [21, 32, 33, 35], with several studies from high-income countries reporting no or only weak associations between childhood maltreatment and AUD [20, 21, 35–37]. It is not clear why childhood maltreatment does not infer greater risk of AUD. One explanation is that there may be other, unmeasured environmental variables that inform whether childhood maltreatment presents risk for AUD during adulthood in the South African context. Additional qualitative work and prospective cohort studies are needed to identify conditions that mediate or moderate the relationship between child maltreatment and AUD (and other mental disorders) in this setting.

In keeping with findings from previous studies [20], exposure to more than one form of maltreatment increased risk for all the internalising disorders and DUD. In this study, emotional abuse (whether alone or in combination with other forms of abuse) had particularly deleterious effects on risk for MDD, GAD and DUD. Although aligned with findings from recent systematic reviews [24, 28–30], this study offers a unique perspective through using a variety of different modelling approaches (additive, restricted interactive, latent class) to examine the relationship between emotional abuse and risk of 12-month CMDs. Regardless of modelling approach, emotional abuse emerged as the only type of maltreatment independently associated with 12 month MDD, GAD and DUD even after adjusting for other types of maltreatment and number of types of maltreatment. Although physical abuse was associated with risk for 12 month MDD, GAD and DAD in bivariate analyses, this was not the case in the multivariate models. This suggests that the effect of physical abuse may be partially mediated by the co-occurrence of emotional abuse. Similarly, risk for these disorders was heightened among the latent class of students with histories of emotional abuse (either alone or in combination with physical abuse).

These findings are noteworthy as previous South African studies of childhood maltreatment have rarely examined the relationship between emotional abuse and mental health outcomes [20], possibly due to an assumption that other forms of abuse are intrinsically more detrimental. Our findings challenge this assumption. Although the multiple pathways through which emotional abuse may affect risk for MDD, GAD, and DUD remain unclear, one plausible explanation is that emotional abuse leads to emotional dysregulation and the development of negative self-schemas, i.e. cognitive structures associated with the onset and maintenance of depressive and anxiety disorders [57, 58]. Studies have illustrated how emotional abuse (but not other forms of maltreatment) contribute to the development of negative self-schemas and difficulties with emotional regulation, with negative self-cognitions mediating the relationship between emotional abuse and symptoms of depression and anxiety [58, 59]. Emotional dysregulation has also been implicated in the development of DUD [60, 61].

Our findings have implications for interventions to reduce 12 month prevalence of CMDs among South African university students. With findings suggesting that childhood maltreatment holds lasting risks for mental health, preventative strategies to reduce the prevalence of maltreatment in South Africa are urgently needed. Should recommendations to screen all first-year students for CMDs be implemented [6], exposure to emotional and other types of maltreatment...
should be assessed. Identifying students who may benefit from psychological interventions that provide strategies for reducing the harms associated with childhood maltreatment may be key to reducing the incidence of CMDs in this population. However, this screening is likely to identify a very large number of students who require these interventions; current mental health services available on South African university campuses are unlikely to cope with the increased demand for individual counselling services [62]. Although group therapy may be an alternative, this is not feasible in an era of COVID-19 where physical distancing is necessitated, unless groups are facilitated online. Another solution is to deliver self-guided internet-based interventions. There is strong evidence supporting the efficacy of self-guided internet-based cognitive behavioural therapy, which is considered an evidence-based treatment approach for addressing traumatic stress from experiences of maltreatment and internalising disorders [63, 64]. With concerns about stigma and the disclosure of maltreatment being commonly reported barriers to the uptake of psychological treatment [65], students who have been emotionally abused or maltreated may prefer this alternative to face-to-face services, although the acceptability of this approach needs to be examined. Finally, as high school is the period in which most South African university students first experience mental health problems [6], any efforts to reduce the effects of maltreatment on CMD outcomes among young people should also include interventions within the school system.

There are several limitations to consider when interpreting these findings. While this study had a relatively low response rate, post-stratification weighting increases our confidence in these results being representative of the first-year student population at these two institutions. However, the sample was drawn from two well-resourced urban universities in the Western Cape Province which may restrict the generalisability of findings. Second, maltreatment was assessed retrospectively via self-report; recall and response biases may have lead to maltreatment prevalence being underestimated. Third, as these analyses were limited to those variables included in the WMH-ICS project, we were not able to examine the association between maltreatment and 12 month prevalence of psychotic disorders and DUD. Although the effects of emotional maltreatment are generally discounted, emotional abuse was the only form of maltreatment independently associated with these CMD outcomes. Emotional abuse often co-occurred with physical abuse, yet exposure to this additional form of abuse did not seem to confer greater risk of CMDs. These findings add to the literature by identifying child maltreatment as a target for interventions aimed at reducing risk for CMDs among students. The results also highlight that young people with a history of emotional maltreatment are a high-risk group for both internalising disorders and DUD and may benefit from trauma-focused prevention efforts that address their experiences and the potential impact of maltreatment on their well-being.

**Conclusion**

Findings from this study contribute to a growing body of research on the relationship between child maltreatment and the mental health of young South Africans. Our findings not only confirm the high prevalence of childhood maltreatment among South African students, but demonstrate that maltreatment increases risk of CMDs, especially MDD, GAD and DUD. Although the effects of emotional maltreatment are generally discounted, emotional abuse was the only form of maltreatment independently associated with these CMD outcomes. Emotional abuse often co-occurred with physical abuse, yet exposure to this additional form of abuse did not seem to confer greater risk of CMDs. These findings add to the literature by identifying child maltreatment as a target for interventions aimed at reducing risk for CMDs among students. The results also highlight that young people with a history of emotional maltreatment are a high-risk group for both internalising disorders and DUD and may benefit from trauma-focused prevention efforts that address their experiences and the potential impact of maltreatment on their well-being.
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