Nanolayer formation on titanium by phosphonated gelatin for cell adhesion and growth enhancement

Xiaoyue Zhou1,2,∗
Shin-Hye Park1,∗
Hongli Mao3
Takashi Isoshima1
Yi Wang2
Yoshihiro Ito1,3
1Nano Medical Engineering Laboratory, RIKEN, Wako, Saitama, Japan; 2Department of Regenerative Medicine, School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Jilin University, Changchun, Jilin, People’s Republic of China; 3Emergent Bioengineering Materials Research Team, RIKEN Center for Emergent Matter Science, Wako, Saitama, Japan
∗These authors contributed equally to this work

Abstract: Phosphonated gelatin was prepared for surface modification of titanium to stimulate cell functions. The modified gelatin was synthesized by coupling with 3-aminopropylphosphonic acid using water-soluble carbodiimide and characterized by 31P nuclear magnetic resonance and gel permeation chromatography. Circular dichroism revealed no differences in the conformations of unmodified and phosphonated gelatin. However, the gelation temperature was changed by the modification. Even a high concentration of modified gelatin did not form a gel at room temperature. Time-of-flight secondary ion mass spectrometry showed direct bonding between the phosphonated gelatin and the titanium surface after binding. The binding behavior of phosphonated gelatin on the titanium surface was quantitatively analyzed by a quartz crystal microbalance. Ellipsometry showed the formation of a several nanometer layer of gelatin on the surface. Contact angle measurement indicated that the modified titanium surface was hydrophobic. Enhancement of the attachment and spreading of MC-3T3L1 osteoblastic cells was observed on the phosphonated gelatin-modified titanium. These effects on cell adhesion also led to growth enhancement. Phosphonation of gelatin was effective for preparation of a cell-stimulating titanium surface.
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Introduction
Titanium and titanium alloys are widely used in medical applications such as the replacement of hard tissues including bone, joints, and dental implants, because of their nontoxicity, good mechanical properties, and excellent resistance to corrosion.1 However, there is still a need to further investigate their biocompatibility including the interface between titanium and the biological tissue. Because of a lack of bonding of implants to juxtaposed tissues, current orthopedic implants have a variety of problems including infection, extensive inflammation, and overall poor osseointegration.

Therefore, many attempts have been made to modify the surface of titanium with functional or biological components to induce tissue responses to biomaterials and provide a set of powerful signals for cell growth and differentiation.2-6 However, there are limited procedures for surface modification with biological molecules. To biologically modify metal surfaces, silane-based coupling methods have been conventionally employed to prepare an initial organic layer on the metal surface.7-10 However, in addition to physicochemical modification,11-13 recent biomimetic approaches inspired by underwater organisms for surface modification have been proposed by many studies.14-23

3,4-Dihydroxyphenylalanine was identified in underwater adhesion proteins, and its simplified compound dopamine has been employed for biological modification
of metal surfaces. In addition, as another non-canonical amino acid, phosphonated serine has been applied to underwater adhesion. Such phosphate groups have been found in the underwater adhesive proteins of the sandcastle worm and caddy silks, which interact specifically with a titanium surface. In previous studies, we have anchored various extracellular matrices and growth factors onto metal to provide a source of signals to continuously, stably, and efficiently stimulate cells to reconstitute damaged tissues during long-term regeneration. Therefore, it may be useful to prepare metal-anchored proteins using biomimetic methods for convenient surface modification.

The cell-adhesive protein gelatin has been employed for the chemical modification of titanium. Here, titanium- and cell-adhesive gelatin was prepared by chemical modification with phosphate groups as a biological approach to enhance cell functions on titanium surfaces. We found that the gelation temperature was reduced by the modification and time-of-flight secondary ion mass spectrometry (ToF-SIMS) showed direct bonding between the phosphonated gelatin and the titanium surface. In addition, the modified surface promoted cell adhesion and spreading, as well as cell growth.

Materials and methods

Materials

Porcine gelatin (gelatin from porcine skin, Type A, G1890, IEP: 7–9) and 3-aminopropylphosphonic acid were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO, USA). 4-(4,6-Dimethoxy-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl)-4-methyl morpholinum chloride was purchased from Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd (Tokyo, Japan). The osteoblast cell line MC-3T3L1 was provided by the RIKEN Cell Bank (Tsukuba, Japan) and maintained in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM) (Sigma-Aldrich) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Moregate Inc., Brisbane, QLD, Australia). Trypsin (0.25%)-EDTA (1 mmol) solution was purchased from Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd (Tokyo, Japan).

A glass plate (15 mm in diameter and 1 mm thick) was coated with titanium by Osaka Vacuum Industrial Co., Ltd (Osaka, Japan) as described previously. Pure titanium was vacuum deposited on the plate by an electron beam of 400 nm (±25%) in width. The thickness of the titanium layer was controlled to maintain the transparency for optical microscopic observations. The plate was then cleaned by ultrasonication nine times in ultrapure water and dried with heated gas.

Phosphonation of gelatin

Phosphonated gelatin was synthesized as shown in Figure 1. The gelatin solution was dissolved at 40°C in water at 20 mg/mL and stirred for 1 hour at 40°C. 3-Aminopropylphosphonic acid (12.5 mM) and then the 4-(4,6-dimethoxy-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl)-4-methyl morpholinum chloride coupling reagent (4 mM) were added to the solution. After 48 hours of stirring.

Figure 1 Preparation of phosphonated gelatin.
at 40°C, the resulting solution was dialyzed using a seamless cellulose tube (cutoff molecular weight of 10,000 D). The dialyzed phosphonated gelatin was finally freeze dried in a vacuum to obtain a white solid (40% yield) used in this study as the phosphonated gelatin.

$^{31}$P nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra of 3-aminopropylphosphonic acid and phosphonated gelatin were recorded on a 400-MHz NMR spectrometer (JEOL 400, Akishima, Japan).

For circular dichroism measurement using a J-720 spectropolarimeter (Jasco, Hachioji, Japan), we prepared 0.01% gelatin and 0.01% phosphonated gelatin. Samples of 250 μL were analyzed using a 1 mm cuvette (scan type: continuous; scan speed: 50 nm/min; response time: 2.0 seconds; bandwidth: 1.0 nm). Each sample was measured six times to obtain average spectra.

Quartz crystal microbalance measurement

Adsorption of phosphonated gelatin at various concentrations on the titanium-coated substrate was measured at 22°C using a quartz crystal microbalance (QCM) with dissipation monitoring (Meiwafosis Co., Ltd, Tokyo, Japan). Specifically, Milli-Q water was allowed to run until stabilization of the baseline. The sample was then run for 3 minutes at approximately 65 μL/min. The pump was turned off for 15 minutes, and finally the substrate was washed by running Milli-Q water. For each concentration of phosphonated gelatin, at least three measurements were obtained to calculate the average value.

Surface characterization

ToF-SIMS was performed using a PHI TRIFT V nanoTOF (ULVAC-PHI, Chigasaki, Kanagawa, Japan). For analysis, the ion beam was 30 kV Bi$^{3+}$ 2.9 nA DC (–SIMS) and 30 kV Bi$^{3+}$ 8.0 nA DC (+SIMS). The scanning areas were 100×100 μm (–SIMS) and 200×200 μm (+SIMS). Pulse widths of both –SIMS and +SIMS were 12 nanoseconds. The flame numbers of –SIMS and +SIMS were 62 (200 μm square: 1×10$^{12}$/cm$^2$) and 18 (200 μm square: 2×10$^{11}$/cm$^2$), respectively.

The thickness of the phosphonated gelatin layer was measured using an ellipsometer (M-2000UI; JA Woollam Co., Lincoln, NE, USA) at three different incident angles (50°, 60°, and 70°). First, the titanium-coated glass substrates were measured and analyzed to determine the thickness and material parameters of each substrate, followed by coating with phosphonated gelatin and measurement by ellipsometry. The thickness of the phosphonated gelatin layer was determined by assuming a standard Cauchy optical dispersion model for the layer in the spectral range of 600–1,500 nm, as follows:

$$n(\lambda) = 1.45 + 0.01/\lambda$$

where $n$ is the refractive index and $\lambda$ is the wavelength in nm. Because the thickness was as small as a few nm, Cauchy optical dispersion parameters were not fit.

The surface morphology of the samples was observed using an atomic force microscope (AFM; MFP-3D, Asylum Research, Co., Goleta, CA, USA). The images were taken in AC (non-contact) mode using an AFM tip (NCH-W; NanoWorld AG, Neuchatel, Switzerland) in dry, atmospheric pressure conditions. The scan area was 1 μm×1 μm, and the scan rate was 1 Hz. Surface topological images were corrected for offset and linear tilt.

Surface wettability was determined by observing the water and glycerol contact angle on the discs. Water droplets of 1 μL were placed on the discs (untreated and treated titanium), and images of the droplets were captured at 3 seconds after placement. The water contact angle was measured at 25°C using a contact-angle meter (Kyowa Interface Science Co., Tokyo, Japan). All contact angles were determined by averaging ten different point values measured on each surface.

Cell culture

MC-3T3L1 cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum at 37°C in 95% humidified air with 5% CO$_2$. The cells were washed using 10 mL phosphate-buffered saline and harvested with 0.25% trypsin in 1 mM EDTA for 3 minutes at 37°C. The recovered cells were resuspended in medium for subsequent in vitro examination. The cell suspension was added to 24-well, polystyrene tissue culture plates (1 mL per well, 8 cells per mL), each well of which contained sample plates that had been disinfected twice with 70% ethanol and then washed with sterilized H$_2$O. The cells were cultured in a 5% CO$_2$ atmosphere at 37°C for 30 minutes, 1 hour, 2 hours, and 4 days. Cell growth was determined using a cell counting kit (CCK-8, Dojindo Molecular Technologies, Inc., Kumamoto, Japan). Cell spreading was estimated according to the round and non-round shape ratio by observation under a phase-contrast microscope (Olympus CKX 41; Olympus, Hachioji, Japan).

Statistical analysis

Independent experiments were performed at least three times. Triplicate samples were analyzed in each experiment and representative data are shown. The significance of the data
obtained from control and treated groups was statistically assessed by paired Student’s t-tests with P-values of less than 0.05 considered to be significant.

Results and discussion
Preparation of phosphonated gelatin
Gelatin is a protein produced by acid or alkaline processing of collagen and is a heterogeneous mixture of single- and multi-stranded polypeptides each with an extended left-handed proline helix conformation and containing 50–1,000 amino acids. It consists of various α-chains (−100 kDa), β-chains (−240 kDa), and γ-chains (−400 kDa). The distribution of these chains is determined by the hydrolysis process.

In this study, elemental analysis showed that the prepared phosphonated gelatin contained 0.4 wt% of phosphorus. Considering that porcine gelatin has 11.4 wt% of carboxyl groups of glutamic acid (4.2%) and aspartic acid (7.2%), we concluded that about 14% of these groups were coupled with 3-aminopropylphosphonic acid. We had previously incorporated azidophenyl or furan groups into gelatin in a similar manner, and found that the contents were 84% and near 100%, respectively. The low reactivity with phosphate was considered to be caused by ionic repulsion of the phosphate group. Similarly, the incorporation yield of 4-phosphonobutyric acid into gelatin was also low as reported previously.

Figure 2 shows the 31P NMR spectra of 3-aminopropylphosphonic acid and phosphonated gelatin. Both peaks were located between 15 and 30 ppm where phosphonate groups are ascribable. The peak of 3-aminopropylphosphonic acid observed at less than 25 ppm shifted to a higher peak by about 2 ppm after incorporation into gelatin.

There were some differences in the elution patterns after phosphonation in the gel permeation chromatography (GPC) results of unmodified and phosphonated gelatin (data not shown). According to the standard calibration using proteins, the main peak around a retention time of 18.2 minutes corresponded to a molecular weight of about 50 kDa. Considering that there was no reduction in the molecular weight, phosphonation may induce a change in molecular extension.

Circular dichroism spectra of unmodified and phosphonated gelatin are shown in Figure 3. Although Gopal et al reported some specific conformations of gelatin, we observed no specific conformation. This result was consistent with a previous report by Zhang et al. The almost identical spectra of unmodified and phosphonated gelatin demonstrated that phosphonation did not induce a conformational change in the gelatin.

Interestingly, the gelation behavior of phosphonated gelatin was very different from that of unmodified gelatin. As shown in Figure 4, when the temperature decreased from 37°C to 25°C, 3% unmodified gelatin solution formed gel, however, the 3% phosphonated gelatin remained the solution state. As shown in Figure 5, unmodified gelatin became turbid below 32.5°C, while the modified gelatin was transparent and did not form a gel even below 25°C. Incorporation of a small amount of phosphonic acid led to a drastic change in the gelatin temperature. It is known that the low gelation temperature of fish gelatin is due to the low content of proline and hydroxyproline. In this study, the incorporation of strong acidic groups (phosphonic acid) was considered to reduce the gelation temperature.

Because the unmodified gelatin was not soluble in water at room temperature, further experiments were performed only on phosphonated gelatin.

Adsorption behavior
To investigate direct bonding between titanium and phosphonate groups in the modified gelatin, ToF-SIMS
measurements were obtained as shown in Figure 6. Peaks ascribed to Ti-P$_2$O$_6$, Ti-P$_2$O$_7$, and Ti-P$_3$O$_6$H$_2$ were detected. The phosphonated gelatin preferentially adsorbed to the titanium surface through direct bonding. The phosphate in gelatin was considered to be concentrated at the interface with titanium. Similarly, Adden et al$^{32}$ and Vironnery et al$^{33}$ also investigated the interactions of phosphonic acid linked to organic compounds with titanium by ToF-SIMS, and found strong indications of the formation of a chemical link, such as a Ti-O-P bond, between titanium and phosphonic acid molecules. According to Hotchkiss et al$^{50}$ monolayers formed by phosphonic acids on metal oxide are more resistant to hydrolysis than those formed by silanes or carboxylic acids. The presence of three oxygen atoms is considered to allow covalent binding of phosphonic acid to an oxide surface in either monodentate, bidentate, or tridentate modes.$^{50}$

Adsorption of phosphonated gelatin was measured by QCM (Figure 7). The adsorption was saturated at a phosphonated gelatin concentration of 3% with a real mass around 600 ng/cm$^2$. Assuming that the molecular weight of phosphonated gelatin is 50 kDa, 1.2×10$^{12}$ molecules of phosphonated gelatin were adsorbed per cm$^2$ of titanium surface. Furthermore, assuming that the width of the phosphonated gelatin molecules is $\sim$1.5 nm and the length is $\sim$0.3 µm, one layer corresponded to around 2.2×10$^{11}$ molecules per cm$^2$ for side-on adsorption and 4.4×10$^{13}$ molecules per cm$^2$ for end-on adsorption. Collectively, the QCM results suggest that multi-layers were formed by side-on adsorption of the phosphonated gelatin molecules and less than one layer was formed by end-on adsorption. Assuming that the density of phosphonated gelatin is 1.2 g/cm$^3$, which is a typical value for organic materials, 600 ng/cm$^2$ corresponds to 5 nm in thickness.

Figure 4 Photos of unmodified (left vial) and phosphonated gelatin (right vial) at 25°C (A) and 37°C (B).

Note: The concentrations were 3 wt%.

Figure 5 Temperature-dependent turbidity of solutions of unmodified and phosphonated gelatin.

Note: Wavelength: 600 nm, n=3.
Figure 6 Negative ToF-SIMS results in the (A) 200.5–212.5 m/z, (B) 218.5–228.5 m/z, and (C) 233.5–243.5 m/z region of the phosphonated gelatin-coated titanium surface.

Abbreviations: ToF-SIMS, time-of-flight secondary ion mass spectrometry; amu bin, binding energy per atom mass unit.

Figure 7 QCM results for phosphonated gelatin adsorbed on the titanium surface.

Note: **P < 0.01.

Abbreviation: QCM, quartz crystal microbalance.
AFM observations indicated that a rough surface was formed by the bound gelatin (Figure 8). The roughness presented insignificant difference between different concentration (Ra =1.4, 1.1, and 1.4 nm for gelatin concentration of 0.25%, 0.5%, and 3%, respectively). The images show particle-like structures with a diameter of a few to several tens of nm. This observation suggests that, although the solution of phosphonated gelatin was transparent at room temperature, some nanoscale gel (nanogel) formed on the surface. However, the particles seem not fully covering the surface, which can also be seen from the cross-sectional profiles in Figure 8. In addition,
surface roughness (1.1–1.4 nm) is slightly smaller than the thickness obtained by ellipsometry (~2.5 nm as shown in Figure 9). Although the thickness is not a precise film thickness but an averaged one, these results indicate that the gelatin forms fully covering layer on the substrate, on top of which some nanogel particles exists. The thickness of ~2.5 nm obtained by ellipsometry was on the same order of magnitude as the QCM results.

Figure 10 shows the water and glycerol contact angle of the titanium surface coated with various concentrations of unmodified and phosphonated gelatin. The results showed that the water contact angles of the titanium surface treated with phosphonated gelatin showed higher water contact angles than the untreated surface. The attachment to the surface of phosphonated gelatin significantly reduced the hydrophilicity of the titanium surface.

Cell adhesion and growth

Figure 11 shows cells adhered on the uncoated and phosphonated gelatin-coated titanium surface. Using titanium-deposited
glass discs, it was possible to observe the adhered cells by optical microscopy. The phosphonated gelatin-coated surface increased the spreading of cells over time. Figure 12 shows the number of adhered cells, as well as cell spreading and growth on the phosphonated gelatin-treated titanium surfaces. The spreading and growth on bare titanium discs was regarded 100%. The results indicated that phosphonation did not reduce the capacity of gelatin to support cell adhesion and spreading, and the enhancement of cell adhesion and spreading increased with the increase in the concentration of bound phosphonated gelatin on the surface. Lim et al\textsuperscript{38} also reported that conjugation of gelatin increases the adhesion and spreading of cells.

![Graph A](image)
![Graph B](image)
![Graph C](image)

**Figure 12** Cell culture results on titanium surfaces treated with phosphonated gelatin.

**Notes:** (A) Adhesion, (B) spreading, and (C) growth (after 4 days) of MC-3T3L1 cells on titanium surfaces treated with phosphonated gelatin. \( n = 3 \), error bars indicate the standard deviation. **\( p < 0.01 \).
on hydrogel surfaces. Hou et al\(^5\) also showed a significant enhancement of endothelial cell adhesion and proliferation on gelatin-modified surfaces. It is known that the surface hydrophilicity does not directly relate with cell attachment. Ikada\(^5\) reported moderate hydrophilicity was the best for cell attachment. For example, very hydrophilic surface like hydrogel reduces cell attachment. The gelatin modification is considered to provide moderate hydrophilicity on the surface. Taken together, these results indicated that the phosphonation enhanced the binding of gelatin onto titanium without losing the original cell adhesion or growth enhancement properties.

**Conclusion**

We succeeded in preparing phosphonated gelatin for surface modification of a titanium surface. The phosphonation enhanced the binding affinity of gelatin for the titanium surface and enhanced the attachment of MC-3T3-L1 osteoblastic cells to the treated surfaces. Phosphonation of gelatin was effective for preparation of a cell-adhesive titanium surface and enabled coating at room temperature.
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