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**Abstract:** A four-membered oxygen ring (oxetane) can be readily grafted into native peptides and proteins through site-selective bis-alkylation of cysteine residues present as disulfides under mild and biocompatible conditions. The selective installation of the oxetane graft enhances stability and activity, as demonstrated for a range of biologically relevant cyclic peptides, including somatostatin, proteins, and antibodies, such as a Fab arm of the antibody Herceptin and a designed antibody DesAb-Aβ against the human Amyloid-β peptide. Oxetane grafting of the genetically detoxified diphtheria toxin CRM107 improves significantly the immunogenicity of this protein in mice, which illustrates the general utility of this strategy to modulate the stability and biological activity of therapeutic proteins containing disulfides in their structures.

The rational modification of the structure of peptides and proteins offers a wide range of opportunities for the modulation of their biological activity.[1] Many efforts have been made to develop strategies that induce such conformational changes and modulation. Towards this end, macrocyclization and stapling have emerged as useful tactics to chemically manipulate peptides and proteins, increasing their proteolytic stability, cell permeability, and producing changes in polarity, binding activity, and pharmacokinetic properties.[2] During the last years, different approaches have been developed for the covalent tethering of the side chains of natural or non-canonical amino acids.[3] Considering natural residues, cysteine (Cys) has been the residue of choice for stapling through alkylation,[4] arylation,[5] cycloaddition,[6] and disulfide forming reactions both at native[7] or engineered[8] Cys residues. More recently, nitrogen arylation has also been shown to be a useful strategy for macrocyclization of lysine residues on peptides.[7] Otherwise, efficient macrocyclization of linear peptides through the formation of an oxadiazole has also been reported.[9] However, a large number of stapling/macrocyclization/re-bridging strategies consist of the introduction of non-canonical amino acids and their subsequent ligation by ring-closing metathesis,[9] lactamization,[10] or cycloaddition reactions.[12–14] Common to many of these strategies is either the requirement for complicated orthogonal protection procedures, sequence engineering, the appendage of bulky/constrained linkers between the two residues, or the use of organic solvents. These conditions have limited, for instance, the application of such methods for the stapling of residues on intact, full-length proteins to impart structural conformational constraints leading to enhanced stability and activity. Thus, there remains a need for simple and robust strategies for stapling native peptides and proteins.

Herein we report a method for site-selective peptide and protein stapling through the bis-alkylation of the sulfydryl side chain of Cys residues resulting from disulfide reduction, using commercially available 3,3-bis(bromomethyl)oxetane 1 (Figure 1). Oxetanes have become common motifs in drug design due to their ability to modulate parameters including solubility, basicity, lipophilicity, and metabolic stability.[15] While there are examples of the modification of small peptides with oxetanes,[15] their incorporation and modulation of the structure and activity of complex biomolecules[16] remain mostly unexplored.

**Figure 1.** Stabilization of folded structures of peptides and proteins through bis-alkylation of Cys residues present in the form of a native disulfide using an oxetane graft.
A requirement for a direct method to graft Cys residues present as disulfides on proteins is the compatibility of the reagent with a reducing agent, such as tris(2-carboxyethyl)-phosphine (TCEP). Importantly, we found that a model pentapeptide bearing two Cys residues reacted with 1 in the presence of TCEP to afford the corresponding stapled cyclic peptide in 75% yield (see the Supporting Information, Figures S2–S5, S34–S39, 48–49 for characterization and discussion of structural features). We then explored the use of 1 to graft two cyclic and biologically relevant peptides: somatostatin 2 and its analogue octreotide 4, which can be used for imaging and treating neuroendocrine tumors. Peptides 2 and 4 were reacted simultaneously with TCEP and oxetane 1 in a 1:9 mixture of DMF/H2O at 25°C for 12 h. After HPLC purification, the grafted cyclic peptides 3 and 5 were obtained in 76% and 81% yield, respectively (Figure 2a). The affinity of these derivatives to the native Trx-1 was more rigid and displayed a more defined conformation in solution than 2 (Figure 2c; Supporting Information, Figures S6 and S7). In fact, 3 showed a closely related β-sheet arrangement in solution stabilized by a typical hydrogen bond network, which is apparently ideal for a more efficient binding to the receptor. Finally, analysis of the stability of 3 and 5 both in human plasma as well as in the presence glutathione (GSH) showed that the oxetane grafted peptides remain intact under these conditions (Supporting Information, Figures S13–S18).

To initially test the potential of using this one-pot, site-selective bis-alkylation oxetane stapling method directly on proteins, we chose thioredoxin (Trx) as a model protein that features a naturally occurring, solvent-exposed disulfide bond. We could reduce and staple the disulfide bond in a straightforward manner through selective bis-alkylation with 1 in the presence of TCEP and 10% DMF in sodium phosphate buffer at pH 8.5. Complete conversion was achieved after 24 h at 37°C, as confirmed by HPLC-MS analysis (Supporting Information, Figures S19 and S20). Furthermore, analysis of the CD spectra of the native and stapled Trx-1 (Supporting Information, Figure S22) indicated that both molecules present very similar conformational preferences in solution. Although this is supported by MD simulations performed on both proteins in explicit water, the calculations indicate a small increase in flexibility for the peptide backbone of stapled Trx-1 (Supporting Information, Figure S11). This result may be explained attending to the greater S–S distance in Thrx-1 when compared to the native Trx (4.18 and 2.04 Å, respectively). Finally, we confirmed the suppression of Trx redox activity through the selective and covalent disulfide stapling (Supporting Information, Figure S21).

Next, we demonstrated the utility of the oxetane graft to build stapled antibodies. First, the exposed disulfide bond tethering the heavy and light chains of a Fab fragment of Herceptin (Fab-Her), an antibody currently used to treat Her2+ breast cancer patients, was readily stapled using 1 under aqueous buffered conditions in the presence of TCEP at pH 8.5 and at 37°C (Figures 3a,b; Supporting Information, Figures S23 and S24). The oxetane stapled Fab-Her-1, unlike the disulfide native antibody, was stable under reducing conditions and in human plasma (Supporting Information, Figures S25 and S26). This stability is a key aspect of antibody therapeutics design as thiol-exchange reactions in plasma lowers efficacy and adds side-toxicity. Importantly, a relatively small but significant increase in binding affinity to the Her2 receptor, as determined by bio-layer interferometry (BLI) experiments (Figure 3d; Supporting Information, Figure S27), was observed for Fab-Her-1 when compared with the native antibody. Next, we extended our stapling strategy to the antibody DesAb-Aβ1–42, which was designed to target the region 3–9 of human Amyloid-β (Aβ42) peptide, the aggregation of which is a hallmark of Alzheimer’s disease. This antibody features a challenging, hindered intra-domain disulfide typical of VH domains. Of note, complete conversion into the oxetane grafted antibody DesAb-Aβ1–42-1 was displayed an equilibrium between antiparallel β-sheet structures and conformations in which the C-terminal residues form a 3_10 helix-like fold, as reported in DMSO solution. In contrast, stapled somatostatin 3 was more rigid and displayed a more defined conformation in solution than 2 (Figure 2c; Supporting Information, Figures S6 and S7).
To demonstrate the practical application of our method to therapeutic proteins, we investigated the effects of the selective introduction of the oxetane staple into the genetically detoxified diphtheria toxin CRM$_{197}$, which features four Cys residues in the form of two disulfides. Recently, it has been shown that antibodies against CRM$_{197}$ neutralized diphtheria toxin in HIV infected young individuals.$^{[23]}$ Furthermore, CRM$_{197}$ is a clinically used carrier in many glycoconjugate vaccines.$^{[24]}$ Previous structural studies showed that only the disulfide C186-C201 connecting the fragment A (C domain) and B (T/R domain) of CRM$_{197}$ is selectively reduced in the presence of the highly hindered C461-C471 disulfide upon treatment with dithiothreitol.$^{[26]}$ Addition of a slight excess of TCEP to CRM$_{197}$ under aqueous buffered conditions at pH 8.5 and 37°C, followed by an excess of 1, led to the introduction of one oxetane graft (Figure 4a,b and the Supporting Information, Figure S32 for mass spectrometry analysis), presumably at C186-C201 according to our previous findings.$^{[25]}$ The impact of the installation of the oxetane moiey into CRM$_{197}$ on its structure and thermal stability was studied by CD and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) analysis, respectively, and compared with the native protein (Figure 4c–e). We found that both the far and near UV CD spectra of CRM$_{197}$-1 were nearly identical to those of CRM$_{197}$, which indicates that the 3D structure is preserved upon the chemical stapling. The DSC curves also corroborate this finding. Although CRM$_{197}$-1 exhibited a broader DSC peak when compared to the sharp change in

Figure 3. a) Representation of disulfide stapling of native antibody sequences using an oxetane graft. b),c) Total ion chromatogram, combined ion series and deconvoluted mass spectrum reconstructed from the ion series using the MaxEnt algorithm. b) Fab-Her-1 (pdb ID Fab-Her:1N8Z) and c) DesAb-A$\beta$$\delta$3-9 (3D model of DesAb-A$\beta$$\delta$3-9 generated using ABodyBuilder, see main text). d) BLI and fit curves obtained for Fab-Her-1, together with the derived $K_s$ constants for Fab-Her and Fab-Her-1. e) CD spectra of DesAb-A$\beta$$\delta$3-9 and DesAb-A$\beta$$\delta$3-9-1.

Figure 4. Enhancing the immunogenicity of a protein carrier through disulfide oxetane stapling. a) The functional stapling of CRM$_{197}$ (pdb ID CRM$_{197}$:4AE0) with 1. b) SDS-page of native and stapled CRM$_{197}$-1. c) Far UV CD spectrum. d) Near UV CD spectrum. e) DCS analysis. f) Competition of anti-CRM$_{197}$ serum binding to the protein with CRM$_{197}$ and its stapled form as inhibitors. g) Anti-CRM$_{197}$ IgG levels of CRM$_{197}$ and CRM$_{197}$-1 after first and second boost immunizations in mice, 2 weeks apart.
heat capacity, in the range of 40–55°C for CRM$_{197}$, both proteins present an identical transition midpoint ($T_m$) of 46°C.

To evaluate the biological effects resulting from the introduction of the oxetane staple into CRM$_{197}$, we first assayed the capacity of competing with the binding of anti-CRM$_{197}$ serum to the proteins. We found that the stapled CRM$_{197}$-1 induces an inhibition that was slightly lower compared to the unmodified protein (Figure 4f). In contrast, a much better inhibition of the binding to a commercial antipneumococcal serum was observed for CRM$_{197}$-1 compared to the unmodified protein (Supporting Information, Figure S33a). To ascertain that protein epitopes were not impaired by the chemical modification of the disulfide bond, groups of 8 BALB/c mice were immunized with both unmodified CRM$_{197}$ and the stapled CRM$_{197}$-1 (Figure 4g). Remarkably, these in vivo experiments demonstrated that CRM$_{197}$-1 induced a statistically significant higher level of anti-protein antibodies respect to the unmodified protein. The antibodies generated by CRM$_{197}$-1 had a threefold higher avidity for the protein antigen compared to the anti-CRM$_{197}$ serum (avidity index = 0.8 ± 0.4 m for CRM$_{197}$-1 vs. 0.3 ± 0.1 m for CRM$_{197}$), as determined by ELISA using thyocyanate elution (Supporting Information, Figure S33b). These data, together with 200 ns MD simulations performed on both proteins (Supporting Information, Figure S12), suggest that the oxetane bridging of the disulfide bond does not cause relevant structural modifications on the protein but results in improved immunogenic activity in vivo, most likely through chemical stabilization of the antigen against proteases and/or other degradation factors.

In summary, we have presented an efficient method for oxetane stapling of Cys residues present as native disulfides on peptides and proteins under mild and biocompatible aqueous conditions. The four-membered oxetane ring has an ideal distance to enable direct stapling of native disulfides on several protein scaffolds, including antibodies. This approach is however dependent on solvent accessibility of the disulfide within the protein of interest. Furthermore, and unlike current protocols, this method does not require prior sequence engineering neither purification after the disulfide reduction step. The selective installation of the oxetane motif enables stabilization of folded structures and results in disulfide-grafted products with enhanced bioactivity that are stable under biological conditions. We demonstrate the value of oxetane graft installation on protein through the regioselective disulfide stapling of the protein carrier CRM$_{197}$ that showed a significant increase in its immunogenicity in vivo. Because many therapeutic proteins feature Cys residues in the form of disulfide bonds, we anticipate that their direct modulation through oxetane grafting can, in principle, be used as a general strategy to enhance their in vivo stability and to fine-tune their structure for optimal pharmacokinetics and activity.
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