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Abstract

Many drugs and drug candidates are poorly water-soluble. Intestinal fluids play an important role in their solubilization. However, the interactions of intestinal fluids with polymer excipients, drugs and their formulations are not fully understood. Here, diffusion ordered spectroscopy (DOSY) and nuclear Overhauser effect spectroscopy (NOESY), complemented by cryo-TEM were employed to address this. Efavirenz (EFV) as model drug, the triblock copolymers Pluronic® F-127 (PF127) and poly(2-oxazoline) based pMeOx-b-pPrOzi-b-pMeOx (pOx/pOzi) and their respective formulations were studied in simulated fed-state intestinal fluid (FeSSIF). For the individual polymers, the bile interfering nature of PF127 was confirmed and pure pOx/pOzi was newly classified as non-interfering. A different and more complex behaviour was however observed if EFV was involved. PF127/EFV formulations in FeSSIF showed concentration dependent aggregation with separate colloids at low formulation concentrations, a merging of individual particles at the solubility limit of EFV in FeSSIF and joint aggregates above this concentration. In the case of pOx/pOzi/EFV formulations, coincident diffusion coefficients for pOx/pOzi, lipids and EFV indicate joint aggregates across the studied concentration range. This demonstrates that separate evaluation of polymers and drugs in biorelevant media is not sufficient and their mixtures need to be studied to learn about concentration and composition dependent behaviour.

© 2021 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).
1. Introduction

Approximately 40% of worldwide approved drugs are poorly water-soluble and categorized as class II and class IV in the Biopharmaceutics Classification system (BCS).[1,2] The even higher amount in drug candidates has increased from 60% reported in 2013 [1] to 70% – 90% in 2017 [2]. In this context, drug delivery systems (DDS) such as nano- or microparticles based on lipids and polymers are investigated to overcome solubility challenges or generally alter physicochemical properties and influence pharmacokinetics.[3] Since the mid-1990s, the number of publications on this topic strongly increased (Figure S1). Recent reports stressed, however, that the large number of scientific publications on drug delivery is not mirrored by corresponding therapeutic advances.[4] An academic focus on very complex systems compromising manufacturability and reproducibility was discussed as a potential problem source.[4–6] Polymers responding to various self- and externally regulated stimuli e.g. pH,[7,8] redox potentials,[7,9] urea concentration [7] or light[10,11] and drug conjugated polymers[12,13] are investigated. >85% of formulations entering clinical trials fail at this stage.[14,15] Most of these failures are associated with efficacy or safety problems, which shows that many compounds and formulations do not have the clinical effect they were designed for. An optimized selection protocol preceding admission to clinical trials could increase their success rate and be more time and cost efficient. Such an optimized selection protocol could address apparent differences between in vitro and in vivo behaviour and consider the multifunctional nature of some nanoformulations.[14,16]

For orally administered drugs a mechanistical understanding of processes in the small intestine, the major site for drug absorption, is essential for drug development.[17] After being swallowed, the drugs encounter an acidic environment with digestive enzymes in the stomach, before entering the small intestine.[18,19] The intestinal fluids and their composition play an important role in the solubilisation of drugs[20–22] and their subsequent absorption. [16,23] Therefore, simulated biorelevant media such as FaSSIF (Fasted State Simulated Intestinal Fluid) or FeSSIF (Fed State Simulated Intestinal Fluid) were developed to study the influence of bile on physicochemical parameters in vitro.[24–35] Key components in these simulating media are sodium taurocholate representing bile and lecithin. While simulated media are naturally a simplified version of their in vivo counterpart, detailed morphological investigations of human intestinal fluids and FaSSIF/FeSSIF mixtures indicated a comparable particle composition.[36] In practice, significant changes in drug solubility between aqueous buffer solutions and biorelevant media suggest re-assignment of various drugs to a different BCS class.[37]

Moreover, an increasing number of reports also shows the interaction of bile colloids with different polymer excipients, e.g. Pluronics,[38,39] Triton® X-100,[40] Eudragit® E or Soluplus®[41] and thus their influence on the mutual aggregation behaviour. Consequently, the inclusion of polymeric excipients, solubility enhancers and transport vehicles into formulations adds a layer of complexity as the additional and largely unexplored polymer-drug and polymer-bile interactions affect the existing drug-bile interactions.[42] Other studies could show the effect of drug concentration on the colloidal aggregates within the intestinal fluids. [43] This underlines the need for thorough investigations of complex mixtures of DDS in biorelevant media to gain a better understanding and an improved predictability of the in vivo behaviour.[44] Previous studies relied on flux measurements of polymeric drug formulations across artificial membranes in combination with differential scanning calorimetry (DSC).[45] 1H- and DOSY (diffusion ordered spectroscopy) NMR spectroscopy were also identified as suitable tools in the investigation of drug loaded surfactant colloids in FaSSIF [28,46] or lipid free bile salt solutions.[47,48] Both of these NMR spectroscopic studies focus on either the drug molecule or the polymeric carrier material, while it is to be expected that the individual drug can also change the behaviour of the polymer in biorelevant media and vice versa. Furthermore, there are new and experimental polymer platforms, whose behaviour in biorelevant media is yet unexplored.

To understand the multifaceted and dynamic nature of the aggregates present in mixtures of biorelevant media and polymer-drug formulations in detail, similarly diverse analytical tools are required. In this project, this was realised through an NMR spectroscopic toolbox focussing on DOSY for the analysis of particle sizes and (hetero)nuclear Overhauser spectroscopy (NOESY, selective NOESY, HOSY) for the investigation of spatial proximities. These data were complemented by cryo-transmission electron microscopy (cryo-TEM) measurements. FeSSIF-V2 (hereinafter referred to as FeSSIF) as recommended by the United States Pharmacopeia (USP) for solubility measurements was chosen as biorelevant medium to reflect the fed state.

Efavirenz (EFV, Fig. 1), a BCS class II compound (estimated aqueous solubility of 11.5 ± 36 μM)[49,50] employed in the treatment of HIV-1 infections, was selected as model compound.[51] Its increased solubility in FeSSIF (880 ± 28 mM at 37 °C) serves as a basis for this investigation.[52] EFV solubility can also be increased by incorporation into polymeric micelles such as Pluronic® F127 (PF127), an FDA approved and commercially available polymer (Fig. 1).[53,54] PF127 is a well-studied thermo-responsive polymer comprising of two polyethylene oxide (PEO) blocks (mean block length ~ 100 units) with a polypropylene oxide (PPO) central block (mean block length ~ 56 units).[55] Alternatively, polymers based on poly(2-oxazolines) are an emerging platform for biomedical applications.[56,57] showing advantageous properties in the formulation of hydrophobic drugs and structural modularity.[56–59] They previously showed to efficiently formu-

![Fig. 1. Chemical structures of the investigated polymer excipients Pluronic® F-127 (PF127), Triton® X-100, Eudragit® E and Soluplus®.](image)
late EFV.[60] Therefore, an A-B-A tri-block copolymer consisting of hydrophilic poly[(2-methyl-2-oxazoline)] blocks (A, 35 units) and a more hydrophobic poly[(2-propyl-2-oxazoline)] (B, 20 units) block (pOx/pOzi) was studied (Fig. 1). While previous reports supported the classification of PF127 as bile salt interfering polymer,[61,62] to date no data on the behaviour of poly[(2-oxazolines)] in biorelevant media has been reported.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials

FeSSIF-V2 powder was purchased from Biorelevant.com (UK). Deuterium oxide, DMSO d6, NaOD and DCI were purchased form Deutero GmbH (Germany) with a purity of 99.9%. EFV was purchased (>98% purity) from TCI Chemicals (Germany), PF-127, suitable for cell culture, from Merck (Germany). pOx/pOzi and the corresponding EFV formulation were prepared according to literature.[60] All other standard chemicals and pharmaceutical consumables were purchased from either VWR International GmbH (Germany) or Merck (Germany).

2.2. Sample preparation

FeSSIF-V2 solution was prepared, according to the protocol of Biorelevant. Instead of protonated water, deuterated water was used and the apparent pHd = 6.26 was adjusted from 5.8 to 6.26 using NaOD and DCl to account for a different apparent pH due to the isotope effect.[63] The exact composition is shown in Table S2. Solutions of EFV in FeSSIF were prepared by the solvent exchange method. EFV stock solution in DMSO d6 of an EFV stock solution in DMSO d6

2.3. NMR spectroscopy

All NMR spectra were recorded at a Bruker Avance III HD spectrometer (Germany) operating at 14.1 T using either a 5 mm BBFO probe or a 5 mm DCH cryo-probe, both equipped with z-gradient and a temperature control unit, unless stated otherwise. Trimethylsilylpropanoic acid-d4 in D2O was used in coaxial inserts tubes as an internal chemical shift reference and all experiments were recorded with a total exposure of 80 e-/Å2 and a nominal defocus of 2.5 μm on a Falcon III direct detector in integrating mode. All pictures were processed and analysed using ImageJ. The script for data processing is shown in the SI.

2.3.1. 2D 1H–1H NOESY

Two dimensional 1H–1H NOESY spectra were recorded using the noesygphppe sequence with a recycle delay of > 3.5 s. For selected samples, NOESY was recorded with three different mixing times (t2) of 20 ms, 40 ms and 60 ms to check whether the intensity of off-diagonal resonances increases linearly. All measurements were conducted with a mixing time of 60 ms or lower, unless signal enhancement by spin diffusion was intended.

2.3.2. Selective NOE experiments

Selective 1H–1H NOESY spectra were acquired using the selnogp pulse sequence with a recycle delay of 4.48 s. For the selective inversion either a “Rsnoh.1000” or a “Gaus1_180r.1000” with pulse lengths and powers adjusted for optimal excitation were used and tested using the selgpse pulse sequence.

2.3.3. Dosy NMR

Pulsed-field-gradient (PFG) NMR spectra were acquired using the ledppg2s [68] or the dstepg3s [69,70] pulse sequences without rotation. To avoid convection effects due to temperature gradients within the probe only the double stimulated echo sequence was used when measuring with the DCH cryo-probe with a maximum gradient strength of 57 G cm−1. The BBFO probe, with a maximum gradient strength of 50 G cm−1, does not exhibit a large temperature gradient. As no differences were observed between the sequences with and without convection compensation for the BBFO probe, spectra on this probe were recorded with the ledppg2 pulse sequence. For all experiments, the diffusion gradients were linearly incremented in 32 steps from 2 to 98%. The diffusion time (d20) was set to 100 ms and the length of the gradient pulses (p30) was adjusted to achieve a signal attenuation of below 1%.

The obtained attenuation curves were fitted using one of the following options: (i) if possible, a mono-exponential fit was used. In cases of a poor match either a (ii) bi-exponential fit, or (iii) a fit assuming a log-normal distribution were employed. The log-normal distributions were adapted from the work of Guo et al. [71] A detailed description of the fitting process is given in the SI. All fitting procedures were performed using Origin 2020 software (OriginLab®). Mean values and deviations for the DOSY summaries were calculated using all unambiguous data points corresponding to the same molecules within one diffusion experiment. Error bars shown in DOSY plots then indicate the standard deviations of averaged data points.

Hydrodynamic diameters from PFG experiments were estimated using the Stokes-Einstein equation assuming spherical aggregates and a viscosity of 0.7866 mPas (viscosity of deuterated water at 40 °C[72]) to facilitate the comparison between DOSY and TEM data.

2.4. CryoTEM

3.5 μl of sample was applied to glow-discharged, holey carbon coated copper 400 grids 1.2/1.3(Quantifoil) and vitrified in liquid ethane with a Vitrobot mark IV (FEI) with 3 s blot time and 100% humidity at 37 °C. Micrographs were collected on a Titan Krios G3 (Thermo Scientific) operated at 300 kV. The magnification was set to 75,000 (calibrated pixel size 1.0635 Å/pixel) and images were recorded with a total exposure of 80e−/Å2 and a nominal defocus of 2.5 μm on a Falcon III direct detector in integrating mode. All pictures were processed and analysed using ImageJ. The script for data processing is shown in the SI.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. 1H NMR signal assignment

FeSSIF is a mixture of sodium taurocholate (TC) and poorly water soluble lipids lecithin (LC), sodium oleate and glycerol monooleate (fatty acids ≡ FA) in a saline maleic acid buffer at pH 6.26 forming mixed aggregates (Fig. 2).[73] In order to understand and keep track of changes on the molecular level or investigate through-space interactions via NMR spectroscopy a complete resonance assignment was necessary. Despite substantial signal overlap, broadening and higher order splittings a complete assignment based on different 2D correlations was possible.
Fig. 2. Chemical structures of lecithin (orange), oleate (red), glycerol monooleate (red) and TC (black) including numbering of relevant atom positions. The complete numbering for TC is shown in the SI (Figure S6).

Fig. 3. Edited $^1$H–$^{13}$C-HSQC spectrum of FeSSF recorded with 10 co-added transients and 1024 t1 FIDs (acquisition time: 9 h 25 min) using a cryoprobe at 310 K and 14.1 T. CH and CH$_3$ groups are shown in black, CH$_2$ groups in grey. Lecithin signal 6 is marked by an × due to its low intensity. Signals encircled in green correspond to correlations via two bonds. Corresponding proton and carbon DEPT135 spectra are shown on top and the left side. The complete spectrum is shown in Figure S9. Spectra of similar quality are not limited to a spectrometer equipped with a cryoprobe and comparable field strength. Figure S10 shows a comparable spectrum recorded with a standard BBFO probe at 9.4 T.
Fig. 3 shows the $^{1}$H–$^{13}$C-HSQC correlation of FeSSIF including the complete assignment of the detectable peaks. Starting from the characteristic methyl peaks between 0.7 and 1 ppm, the surrounding TC protons were assigned using COSY and HMBC spectra. Due to the low concentration of the sample not all anticipated cross-correlation signals could be detected. Ambiguous assignments were clarified using $^{1}$H–$^{1}$H-NOESY data (Figure S15). Fatty acids, glycerides and lecithin were assigned starting from the vinyl protons and HMBC correlations to the carbonyl carbons.

3.2. Analysis of $^{1}$H chemical shift changes

To study the behaviour of polymer drug formulations in biorelevant media in detail on a molecular level, different mixtures of FeSSIF were prepared. FeSSIF/EFV samples were prepared using the solvent switch method with concentrations ranging from 1 mM to 10 mM to resemble the uptake of a 200 mg EFV dose in the fed state small intestine with recorded volumes between 18 and 660 mL [65–67]. However, at concentrations of 6 mM or higher, the solutions were supersaturated and formed mushy gels within 1 h. After several hours, crystallized EFV was also observed in the 5 mM sample, while the remaining samples showed no signs of gelation or crystallization within three consecutive days. These dissolution experiments in FeSSIF resulted in an estimated EFV solubility enhancement by a factor of about 135 compared to pure water. This could contribute to a generally high bioavailability of EFV [51] despite its poor aqueous solubility [50]. All other samples were prepared by dissolution of the polymers (0.1%, 0.5% and 1% by weight) or their corresponding freeze-dried EFV formulations (Figure S11). Fatty acids, glycerides and lecithin were assigned starting from the vinyl protons and HMBC correlations to the carbonyl carbons.
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To study the behaviour of polymer drug formulations in biorelevant media in detail on a molecular level, different mixtures of FeSSIF were prepared. FeSSIF/EFV samples were prepared using the solvent switch method with concentrations ranging from 1 mM to 10 mM to resemble the uptake of a 200 mg EFV dose in the fed state small intestine with recorded volumes between 18 and 660 mL [65–67]. However, at concentrations of 6 mM or higher, the solutions were supersaturated and formed mushy gels within 1 h. After several hours, crystallized EFV was also observed in the 5 mM sample, while the remaining samples showed no signs of gelation or crystallization within three consecutive days. These dissolution experiments in FeSSIF resulted in an estimated EFV solubility enhancement by a factor of about 135 compared to pure water. This could contribute to a generally high bioavailability of EFV [51] despite its poor aqueous solubility. [50] All other samples were prepared by dissolution of the polymers (0.1%, 0.5% and 1% by weight) or their corresponding freeze-dried EFV formulations (Figure S11). Fatty acids, glycerides and lecithin were assigned starting from the vinyl protons and HMBC correlations to the carbonyl carbons.
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the exchange dynamics between both states averaged or multiple diffusion coefficients can be obtained. This is the case for TC in all samples as it is water soluble but essential for keeping the lipids in solution. This is confirmed by a drop of the TC diffusion coefficient from 3 \( \times 10^{-10} \text{m}^2/\text{s} \) (\( \cong 1.5 \text{ nm} \)) in buffer to 2.3 \( \times 10^{-10} \text{m}^2/\text{s} \) in FeSSIF, which is equivalent to twice the hydrodynamic diameter, but different to the diffusion coefficient of the lipids (6.5 \( \times 10^{-11} \text{m}^2/\text{s} \) \( \cong 8.9 \text{ nm} \)). To explain this, it has to be considered that: (i) pure NaTC forms aggregates of 2–5 molecules at concentrations of 10–50 mM. [74,75] (ii) NaTC acts as a solubilizer for lecithin in FeSSIF, which is not soluble in water/buffer solutions in the absence of NaTC. This is also confirmed by the slower diffusion coefficient for NaTC in FeSSIF compared to NaTC in buffer. (iii) The exchange of NaTC molecules between NaTC and NaTC-lecithin aggregates is fast on the NMR timescale, which is why an averaged diffusion coefficient for NaTC involved in NaTC-lecithin aggregates cannot be determined unambiguously, but the role of NaTC as solubilizer of lecithin is supported by the experiments.

In FeSSIF/EFV samples, the observed diffusion coefficients of lipid components and EFV agreed, which underlines the presence of EFV within the bile colloids. Varying the EFV concentration has no significant impact on the diffusion behaviour, so the particle size was not affected. The summary of diffusion coefficients for all completely dissolved FeSSIF/EFV samples is shown in the SI (Figure S13).

3.4. Detailed study of PF127 and PF127/EFV in FeSSIF

The aggregation state of pure PF127 can be straightforwardly examined in \( ^1 \text{H} \) NMR spectra using the methyl resonance of PPO, which exhibits fine splittings below the critical micellization temperature (CMT)/concentration (CMC) and a broad, shifted resonance above the CMT/CMC as shown in Figure S14. In all mixtures with FeSSIF starting from 0.1% PF127, micelles were present under the measurement conditions at 37 °C. This is in agreement with a CMT of 31 °C at the same concentration in pure water published by Alexandridis et al. [55] even though the micellization properties of Pluronics can be modified by different salt concentrations [76] and interactions with surfactants such as bile acids [61].

Diffusion experiments of pure PF127 in FeSSIF (Fig. 5, left side) showed that the diffusion coefficients of PF127 and lipids in FeSSIF match indicating the interference of PF127 with the FeSSIF colloids by forming mixed aggregates. Pure PF127 micelles in buffer solution were also significantly larger (\( D = 2.21 \times 10^{-11} \text{m}^2/\text{s} \) \( \cong 26.1 \text{ nm} \) diameter). Furthermore, with increasing amount of polymer the resulting aggregates became larger and diffused more slowly (\( D = 5.83 \times 10^{-11} \text{m}^2/\text{s} \) \( \cong 9.9 \text{ nm} \), \( 4.45 \times 10^{-11} \text{m}^2/\text{s} \) \( \cong 13.0 \text{ nm} \) and \( 2.79 \times 10^{-11} \text{m}^2/\text{s} \) \( \cong 20.7 \text{ nm} \) at concentration of 0.1, 0.5 and 1 wt% PF127, respectively). The estimated mean particle diameter has nearly tripled upon addition of 1% polymer compared to FeSSIF.

To confirm outcomes from PFG NMR, complementary NOESY spectroscopy with mixing times of 60 ms was employed. Unlike reported for Pluronic P123, no interactions between the polymer and bile acids can be detected at very low polymer concentrations. [61] However, for PF127 at concentrations of 0.5% and 1%, contacts to fatty acid chains of lipids were identified (Figure S17). Additionally, variations in cross-peak intensities for TC-TC contacts were observed for FeSSIF/PF127 compared to FeSSIF samples. These observations match the conclusion of mixed aggregates drawn from diffusion data and additionally indicate that these mixed aggregates are highly dynamic such that only weak NOESY signals arise.
As for pure PF127 and in contrast to FeSSIF/EFV (Figure S13), for which diffusion coefficients were not concentration dependent, the addition of a PF127-EFV formulation resulted in decreasing diffusion coefficients and increasing hydrodynamic radii for all components except for TC (Figure 5, right side). (i) For concentrations below 0.5% an interesting pattern is observed: EFV and the lipids diffused at the same rate while the polymer exhibited slower diffusion, suggesting a co-existence of larger PF127 micelles and smaller lipids-based particles. This co-existence collapsed at 0.5% PF127 with a small decrease in apparent size. With increasing formulation concentration, the aggregates exhibited similar sizes to FeSSIF/PF127 at the same rate while the polymer exhibited slower diffusion, suggesting a co-existence of larger PF127 micelles and smaller lipids-based particles. This co-existence collapsed at 0.5% PF127 with a small decrease in apparent size. With increasing formulation concentration, the aggregates exhibited similar sizes to FeSSIF/PF127 in the absence of EFV. (ii) For concentrations of 0.5% and higher, the diffusion behaviour of PF127, EFV and lipids became more uniform. Interestingly, this merging of individual particles occurred at the solubility threshold of EFV in FeSSIF. Consequently, the polymer is crucial for keeping the drug solubilized from this concentration onwards. Below 0.5% polymer, particles consisting mainly of FeSSIF components and EFV seem to be favoured, which shows that the bile salt interfering nature of PF127 is influenced by the interaction of concentration and presence of a small molecule drug. However, it is important to note that the DOSY data only shows the main diffusion coefficient for each component and a smaller amount can still participate in different aggregates or averaged diffusion coefficients may be obtained.

$^1$H–$^1$H NOESY spectra with short mixing times were acquired for samples with 0.1%, 0.4%, 0.5% and 1% PF127- EFV formulation (Figures S18 and S19). For 1%, 0.5% and 0.4% solutions and 60 ms mixing time weak contacts between the Pluronic PPO block and FA 8/11/12 could be detected, as well as from both of these moieties to the aromatic proton EFV 3. Additional close contacts of EFV 3 to the three-membered ring (EFV 5/6) as well as COSY-type artefacts with the remaining aromatic resonances at 0.5% and 1% were observed. DOSY and NOESY observations can be additionally supported by contacts in 1D 19F–1H HOESY NMR at 0.5% formulation (Figure S20). At 0.4% purely absorptive off-diagonal signals were detected between the aromatic EFV protons 1 and 2. To focus on the environment of EFV, a selective NOESY for the highest concentration (1%) was acquired by selective excitation of the aromatic protons (Figure S21). Alongside the previously mentioned contacts, weak resonances to FA 10/9 and the hydrophobic side of TC (TC 21 and TC 18) were observed. For the lowest concentration (0.1%) and a mixing time of 60 ms no additional contacts compared to those arising in pure FeSSIF could be observed, apart from an absorptive interaction between EFV protons 1 and 2. Analogous contacts, as observed for concentrations $\geq$ 0.4%, between EFV or PF127 and other components might be existing but were too weak to be observed. Therefore, the mixing time was set to 1 s to increase the cross-correlation intensities, while accepting that spin–diffusion will reduce the informative value of specific interactions. This resulted in additional signals between EFV itself and additional contacts of FA 8/11/12 with EFV and PF127, respectively.

From this it can be summarised, that (i) at all concentrations, EFV is located close to the lipids with (ii) a changing mode of interaction between 0.4% and 0.5% PF127-EFV formulation. This is observed through the nature of EFV-EFV cross peaks from being absorptive at low concentrations to dispersive at higher concentrations. Again, this coincides with the solubility limit of EFV in FeSSIF and also the merging of different aggregates in DOSY NMR. The interaction of PF127 with lipids at a low concentration of 0.1% was not expected based on the clearly separated diffusion coefficients of these two molecular entities in the DOSY data indicating separate aggregates. Additionally, the diffusion coefficient of PF127 in the FeSSIF solution with low concentrations of the formulation was significantly higher than for FeSSIF with EFV and the contacts
between PF127 and FeSSIF are weakly observed at long mixing times. We interpret that (iii) PF127 alone forms larger aggregates (in agreement with DOSY data in buffer solution) and competes with EFV for the FeSSIF components. Although generally forming separate aggregates at lower concentrations, (iv) a small part of PF127 interacts with the lipids/EFV aggregates (identical diffusion

![Fig. 6](image-url)

**Fig. 6.** Particle size distributions (top) and excerpts from Cryo-TEM images (bottom) with red loops labelling particles picked by an automated particle analysis for pure FeSSIF and its mixtures with 0.2%, 0.5% and 1% PF127-EFV formulation. The histograms were created by addition of all particle analysis results for each sample and comparable ice thickness. The relative number of particles exceeding diameters of 5.7 nm (26 nm²) is shown to facilitate comparisons.

![Fig. 7](image-url)

**Fig. 7.** Summary of mean diffusion coefficients for EFV (green), lipids (red), TC (black) and pOx/pOzi (blue) for FeSSIF and all samples containing pOx/pOzi as a function of polymer concentration. Samples with 0.1% and 0.5% are connected by brackets. The polymer diffusion coefficients of a 0.1% pOx/pOzi formulation in buffer are shown on the left. Cryo-TEM images were recorded for mixtures marked by a blue rectangle. The averaged values were obtained using all available data points that showed appropriate fitting curves. This was not possible for TC in combination with pOx/pOzi and EFV at high concentrations. For easier comparability between different samples and methods, hydrodynamic particle diameters were estimated assuming a constant viscosity for all samples (0.7866 mPa s, viscosity of deuterated water at 40 °C [72]). Cryo-TEM images were recorded for mixtures highlighted by blue bars.
behaviour) on a fast timescale. With increasing formulation concentration, this dynamic interaction increases in line with the slower diffusion of lipids/EFV aggregates prior to the collapse into mixed particles observed at 0.5 % formulation.

To gain orthogonal information on particles sizes, cryo-TEM images were analysed. Generally, it is preferable to have cryo-TEM samples with low-salt buffer and minimal additives. The relatively high salt concentrations here and the comparably thick ice layers reduced the contrast between particles and the surroundings, therefore complicating the analysis. All images were inspected visually and also analysed using automatic particle picking to obtain distributions of the particle projection areas that were converted to diameters of circles with equivalent areas. Only particles with a certain roundness were picked excluding clusters of particles. Results were summed for each system and concentration and graphically depicted in histograms. In comparison to NMR spectroscopy, where the intensities are proportional to the number of nuclei in a certain aggregate, the histograms show the overall numbers of particles.

In a first step, pure FeSSIF and FeSSIF with 0.2% and 0.5% PF127 were examined (Figures S22). The diameters for pure FeSSIF showed a broad distribution up to ~7.1 nm (± 40 nm²) with a maximum at ~4.8 nm (± 18 nm²). This is in good agreement with diameters determined using SAXS (6.5–9.1 nm for FeSSIF-V2, 5.4–6.7 nm for FeSSIF-V1 at 295 K) [77] and molecular dynamics simulations (4–7 nm) [78], but also with the diameter estimated from PFG experiments in this work (8.9 nm). In the presence of pure PF127, an increase of particles with diameters exceeding 5.7 nm (± 26 nm²) could be seen for concentrations of 0.2% PF127 (5.95% > 5.7 nm) and 0.5% PF127 (7.19% > 5.7 nm) compared to FeSSIF (5.13% > 5.7 nm). Such an increase in particle size was expected from diffusion data but it is less pronounced than in the cryo-TEM data. A reason for this weaker trend could be that larger particles in cryo-TEM also result in fewer observable particles. Additionally, the estimation of particle sizes based on the Stokes-Einstein equation is prone to errors due to several assumptions made such as the presence of ideal, spherical particles or identical viscosities for all samples. Similar trends for the particle size accompanied by altered shapes of the particle distributions were also observed for mixtures with concentrations of 0.2%, 0.5% and 1% PF127-EFV formulation (Fig. 6). A coexistence of differently sized particles, as observed by DOSY, is not directly apparent, but the proportion of particles > 5.7 nm provided more insight. At a concentration of 0.2% formulation a higher number of larger particles was observed compared to 0.5%. At 1% the share of large particle diameters is increasing again mirroring the trends observed through DOSY NMR data.

3.5. Detailed study of pOx/pOzi as well as pOx/pOzi /EFV in FeSSIF

The triblock copolymer pOx/pOzi shows a CMT of 36 °C at a concentration of 0.1 wt%.[58] At room temperature, micelles are
formed in the presence of poorly water-soluble guest molecules and the resulting micelles display solid-like cores. In $^1$H NMR spectra, this results in extremely wide resonances for hydrophobic guest molecules (FWHM ~ 300 Hz/0.5 ppm, Figure S11). A complete assignment of the polymer resonances in water is given in the SI (Figure S8).

To confirm the hypothesis that pure pOx/pOzi is not interacting with FeSSIF based on the concentration dependent spectral changes, diffusion coefficients of all components were determined (Fig. 7, left side). For the lowest concentration of 0.1% polymer no reliable diffusion coefficient could be obtained due to signal overlap of polymer and FeSSIF resonances. At higher polymer concentrations, the signal was dominated by the polymer contribution enabling extraction of diffusion coefficients. Overall, different diffusivities were observed for the lipids and the polymer. This could be due to an averaged diffusion coefficient of pOx/pOzi or due to the presence in different particles. For the highest polymer concentration (1 wt%), no interactions between FeSSIF and pOx/pOzi could be detected using 1H–1H NOESY spectroscopy with a mixing time of 60 ms (Figure S23). This is in agreement with the very small changes in chemical shift observed upon addition polymer to FeSSIF, from which it can be deduced, that pOx/pOzi does not interfere or interact in any meaningful manner with the bile colloids.

Interestingly, in the summarized diffusion coefficients for FeSSIF with pOx/pOzi EFV formulations (Fig. 7, right side) a significant decrease in diffusion coefficients and increase in hydrodynamic radii was observed with increasing formulation concentration. For identical polymer concentrations, overall larger particle sizes were observed for the formulations compared to pure polymer in FeSSIF (black brackets in Fig. 7). In contrast to the mixtures with pure polymer, the polymer exhibited the same diffusivity as EFV and the lipids indicating the presence of mixed particles. For low formulation concentrations, TC diffusion was almost constant. However, for concentrations above 0.3%, the TC attenuation curves showed an additional curvature requiring fitting with a bi-exponential instead of a mono-exponential fit. Diffusion parameters could be extracted as $4.3 \cdot 10^{-11} \pm 6.8 \cdot 10^{-12} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}(\approx 13.4 \text{ nm})$ and $2.2 \cdot 10^{-10} \pm 1.4 \cdot 10^{-11} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}(\approx 2.6 \text{ nm})$ for a 0.4% concentration of the formulation. As they are not separated by an order of magnitude, they are less reliable and thus not included in the figure. However, this change in curvature and the diffusion rates close to the lipids indicate that mixed particles with slower exchange of TC exist at higher formulation concentrations.

Complementary spatial proximities though NOE data were also examined for mixtures containing pOx/pOzi formulations. At a mixing time of just 20 ms, multiple cross-correlations are present for the highest concentration of 0.5% (Figure S24), which is surprising as nearly no close contacts were measured under similar conditions for PF127 formulations. This could be due to comparably rigid aggregates. Specifically, proximity of EFV to both lipids and TC as well as pOx/pOzi is observed. Moreover, the intensity and number of TC-TC contacts increased, which supports the hypothesis of slower TC exchange in the particles. Upon closer examination by selective NOESY (Fig. 8, selective excitation of aromatic EFV protons, mixing time of 50 ms) additional proximities from EFV to the hydrophobic pOzi block can be confirmed.

Spectra with similar appearance were obtained for concentrations 0.4% and 0.3%, but interestingly, a different behaviour was observed for the lowest concentration (0.1%, Figure S25). Here, no spatial proximities to EFV could be identified. This is partially

![Fig. 9.](image_url) Excerpts from cryo-TEM images with red loops labelling particles picked by an automated particle analysis for pure FeSSIF and its mixtures with 0.2% and 0.5% pOx/pOzi-EFV formulation. The histograms were created by addition of all particle analysis results for each sample and comparable ice thickness. The relative number of particles exceeding diameters of 5.7 nm (20 nm$^2$) is shown to facilitate comparisons.
an effect due to the lower overall EFV concentration, but even in selective NOESY experiments with mixing times of 100 ms and 200 ms only contacts between EFV and FA8/11/12 could be detected. This difference in dipolar transfer rates in NOE experiments is among other factors dependent on the mean distance between interacting dipoles during the mixing time, which for aggregates is strongly dependent on the mobility and exchange dynamics of the components. In the case of pOx/pOzi formulations these dynamics seem to strongly depend on the amount of polymer. At low concentrations EFV resonances could be detected in $^1$H NMR data, which is not the case in pure buffer solution and even multiplets due to $J$-coupling could be observed. With increasing formulation concentration these resonances coalesced, and peak widths broaden for all components. In general, EFV resonances were only visible when they were partially solubilized by TC and lipids. With increasing amounts of formulation, the relative proportion of these solubilizers decreases, more similar aggregates to the formulation without FeSSIF and more rigid aggregates are formed. This agrees with an increased number of TC-TC contacts and the different attenuation curves in the diffusion data.

Based on DOSY data in combination with NOESY contacts two scenarios are possible for the concentration dependent behaviour of pOx/pOzi EFV formulations in FeSSIF: (1) All components are contributing to mixed particles in agreement with an equal diffusion behaviour of pOx/pOzi, lipids and EFV and supported by a size increase of the observed aggregates compared to EFV/FeSSIF and pOx/pOzi/FeSSIF. (2) There could be separate pOx/pOzi/EFV particles, which interact weakly with TC. This could result in larger lipid particles due to the lack of available solubilizing TC molecules. Although this could explain the unusual attenuation curves observed for TC at higher formulation concentrations, this would imply that the diffusion coefficients of three different species (pOx/pOzi, lipids, EFV) accidentally coincide, therefore making scenario (1) more probable.

Cryo-TEM gives additional insights into particle sizes and shapes. Samples with 0.2% and 0.5% pOx/pOzi-EFV formulation were analysed with respect to the distribution of particle projection areas. pOx/pOzi formulations showed slower diffusion at a higher polymer content in the DOSY data. This behaviour is also mirrored in the corresponding cryo-TEM images. The histograms showed a shift to larger particle projection areas from pure FeSSIF (8.2 % > 5.7 nm over 0.2% (10.7 % > 5.7 nm) to 0.5% formulation content (20.8 % > 5.7 nm). Furthermore, the overall shape of the distribution also changed, indicating a broader distribution of different particle sizes as compared to more uniform particle sizes found for FeSSIF. This agrees with fittings of the polymer attenuation curves to a log-normal distribution. With increasing formulation concentration, the parameter representing the width of the log normal distribution increased as well.

4. Conclusion

The behaviour of two triblock copolymers, PF127 and a pOx/pOzi, as well as their formulations with the HIV-drug efavirenz in biorelevant media simulating the fed state intestinal fluids (FeSSIF) was investigated in detail using NMR spectroscopy in solution complemented by cryo-TEM. Interestingly, for both polymers, the behaviour differed between pure polymer and the formulation in FeSSIF and is schematically summarized in Fig. 10. While the polymers alone could be straightforwardly classified as bile interacting polymers (PF127) and bile non-interacting (pOx/pOzi) based on $^1$H NMR spectra and observations from DOSY experiments, the situa-

---

**Fig. 10.** Schematic summary of changes in aggregation behaviour dependent on solute and solute concentration.
tion is more complex when the formulations were part of these multicomponent mixtures. In this case, the bile interacting nature was observed to depend on both the polymer/formulations concentration and the presence of the small molecule drug. Using diffusion NMR, through space proximities and cryo-TEM measurements helped to assemble the following picture: For PF127/EFV formulations in FeSSIF coexisting separated particles were observed for formulation concentrations < 0.4 wt%. Above this threshold, a collapse of these particles is observed, which subsequenitly diffuse with a joint diffusion coefficient, which decreases upon further increasing the concentration. Even though individually non-interfering with bile colloids, pOx/pOzi /EFV formulations do show aggregation phenomena with bile colloids as indicated by coinciding concentration dependent diffusion coefficients of the polymer, EFV and lipids. This shows that careful and case-by-case analysis that also considers the drug is required with respect to classification of polymers regarding their bile interfering nature. This details our previous report, in that screening of individual, plain polymers in FeSSIF may not be sufficient to account for the multifaceted behaviour of polymer-drug formulations in simulating intestinal fluids as indicated here for two polymers with the model drug efavirenz.[41] This could also have implications for patients with co-morbidities taking multiple pharmaceutical products. They could form a complex multicomponent mixture in the intestinal tract not just with respect to potential drug-drug interferences but also with respect to polymeric excipients. The present study showcases that through this set of analytical tools valuable insights into the behaviour of polymer-drug formulations in bile relevant media can be obtained. In further studies, more formulations and also drug loading dependent features, but also more sophisticated bilerelevant environments such as material obtained from intestinal biopsies need to be analysed to improve our understanding of such colloidal aggregates and the implications for the design of future pharmaceutical products.
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