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ABSTRACT

The Crab Nebula has been observed by the HEGRA (High-Energy Gamma-Ray Astronomy) stereoscopic system of imaging air Cerenkov telescopes (IACTs) for a total of ~200 hr during two observational campaigns: from 1997 September to 1998 March and from 1998 August to 1999 April. The recent detailed studies of system performance give an energy threshold and an energy resolution for γ-rays of 500 GeV and ~18%, respectively. The Crab energy spectrum was measured with the HEGRA IACT system in a very broad energy range up to 20 TeV, using observations at zenith angles up to 65°. The Crab data can be fitted in the energy range from 1 to 20 TeV by a simple power law, which yields \( dJ/dE = (2.79 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-7} \text{E}^{-2.59 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.05} \text{photons m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \text{TeV}^{-1} \). The Crab Nebula energy spectrum, as measured with the HEGRA IACT system, agrees within 15% in the absolute scale and within 0.1 units in the power-law index with the latest measurements by the Whipple, CANGAROO, and CAT groups, consistent within the statistical and systematic errors quoted by the experiments. The pure power-law spectrum of TeV γ-rays from the Crab Nebula constrains the physics parameters of the nebula environment as well as the models of photon emission.

Subject headings: gamma rays: observations — radiation mechanisms: nonthermal — supernovae: individual (Crab Nebula) — supernova remnants

1. INTRODUCTION

The Crab Nebula has been observed and studied under an enormous broad photon energy range embracing the radio, optical, and X-ray bands, as well as high-energy γ-ray regions up to hundreds of TeV. The various theoretical scenarios of photon emission are primarily based on the Synchro Compton model (Gould 1965), which combines the synchrotron and inverse Compton (IC) emissions from high-energy electrons, which are accelerated up to ~100 TeV and interact with the magnetic field and the low-frequency seed photons within the nebula (de Jager & Harding 1992; Atoyan & Aharonian 1996; de Jager et al. 1996; Hillas et al. 1998). The predicted IC spectrum in the TeV energy domain appears to be very sensitive to the model parameters, such as the value of the magnetic field, the nature of the seed photons, the maximum energy of electrons, etc. Although IC scenarios of the photon emission are widely believed to be appropriate for the Crab Nebula, one cannot exclude the possible contribution of γ-ray fluxes from π0-decay (see Atoyan & Aharonian 1996; Bednarek & Protheroe 1997). Thus, a measurement of TeV Crab Nebula spectrum sets major constraints on theoretical expectations, and precise spectral measurements allow us to fix the model parameters.

The imaging air Cerenkov technique was successfully used for observations of the Crab Nebula in TeV γ-rays. Since the time of detection at a 9 σ confidence level by the Whipple group (Weekes et al. 1989), a number of observations of the Crab Nebula have been made at TeV energies (for reviews, see Ong 1998; Catanese & Weekes 1999). By now the Crab Nebula is established as the standard candle of steady TeV γ-ray emission. The optical nebula of the Crab has an angular extension of about 6° (Hester et al. 1995). The standard analysis for the HEGRA system of IACTs provides an angular resolution of about 0.1° (see Fig. 1). In the present analysis, the Crab Nebula was assumed to be a γ-ray point source (see Fig. 2). The detailed mapping of the TeV γ-rays from the Crab Nebula undertaken by Hofmann (2000) provided an upper limit for the Crab angular extension in TeV γ-rays of ~1.5°. No pulsed emission has been seen so far from the Crab (Gillanders et al. 1997; Burdett et al. 1999; Aharonian et al. 1999a). Vacanti et al. (1991) provided a first measurement of the energy...
spectrum of TeV \(\gamma\)-ray emission from the Crab Nebula using 10 m Whipple telescope data and derived a power-law spectrum index of 2.4 and a differential \(\gamma\)-ray flux at 400 GeV of \(2.5 \times 10^{-10}\) photons cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\). Note that the absolute calibration of individual imaging air Cerenkov telescopes is quite difficult because there is no "test" beam of TeV \(\gamma\)-rays. The uncertainties in absolute calibration propagate to uncertainties of estimated \(\gamma\)-ray fluxes. Different methods of telescope calibration have been developed recently in order to reduce systematic errors which could influence the estimate of the absolute \(\gamma\)-ray flux and the slope of energy spectrum (see, e.g., Frass et al. 1997). The energy spectrum measurements heavily rely on Monte Carlo simulations of the telescope response. Thus, in the past the analysis of the same observational data using various methods of telescope calibration revealed, not infrequently, very different estimates of the telescope energy threshold and of the TeV \(\gamma\)-ray fluxes. These estimates may vary by more than a factor of 2. Thus, based on data taken with the prototype HEGRA imaging Cerenkov telescope (CT2), Konopelko et al. (1996) detected the TeV \(\gamma\)-ray signal from the Crab Nebula with a signal-to-noise ratio of 10 \(\sigma\) and derived from the data a power-law index of the energy spectrum of \(2.7 \pm 0.1\) and an integral flux of TeV \(\gamma\)-rays above 1 TeV of \(8(\pm 1)_{\text{stat}}(\pm 2.4)_{\text{syst}} \times 10^{-12}\) photons cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\). However, later on, the detailed treatment of the telescope hardware (optical smearing, photon-to-photons conversion efficiency, etc.) allowed a more precise estimate of the TeV \(\gamma\)-ray flux using the single telescope data as \(1.5(\pm 0.2)_{\text{stat}}(+1.0 - 0.5)_{\text{syst}} \times 10^{-11}\) photons cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) above 1 TeV (Petry et al. 1996).

Significant improvements in the telescope hardware as well as in the simulations and the data analysis (Fegan 1997) recently provided measurements of the Crab Nebula energy spectrum over the energy range from 200 GeV up to 50 TeV by several groups—Whipple (Hillas et al. 1998), CANGAROO (Taninomori et al. 1998), and CAT (see Barrau 1998)—exploiting the imaging Cerenkov technique. An additional measurement was provided by a low-energy air shower array (Amenomori et al. 1999). However, the uncertainties of the \(\gamma\)-ray flux estimates as well as of the energy spectrum slope remain rather large, in particular at the high-energy end of the measurements, i.e., beyond 10 TeV. For example, the Tibet data (Amenomori et al. 1999) show significantly higher (by a factor of 2) \(\gamma\)-ray fluxes, compared with the results obtained using the IACTs in the energy range from 3 to \(\sim 18\) TeV, and are in favor of a gradual steepening of the spectral slope at high energies. Thus, additional precise measurements of the Crab Nebula energy spectrum in TeV \(\gamma\)-rays are of great importance. The HEGRA system of IACT provides such data. It was primarily designed for detailed spectral measurements in the TeV energy domain, utilizing the advantages of stereoscopic observations. Stereo imaging gives several advantages for spectral studies, compared to a single telescope: (1) direct measurement of the shower-impact parameter with an accuracy better than 10 m, (2) good energy resolution of 18\%, (3) wide dynamic range from 500 GeV to 20 TeV, and (4) extended abilities for systematic studies using several images for an individual shower. The detailed systematic studies for the spectrum evaluation technique have been recently made using Mrk 501 1997 observational data (Aharonian et al. 1999b, 1999c). The performance of the system was discussed by Konopelko et al. (1999a). Here we present Crab Nebula data taken with the HEGRA telescope system in 1997–1998 and 1998–1999 observational campaigns. The data were analyzed using a new technique of energy spectrum evaluation for the stereoscopic observations. We also discuss the physics implications of the present results for the modeling of the TeV \(\gamma\)-ray emission from the Crab Nebula.

2. OBSERVATIONAL DATA

The Crab Nebula was extensively observed with the HEGRA IACT system in two observing seasons: from 1997 September to 1998 March and from 1998 October to 1999 April. The observations were made with the stereoscopic system of IACTs which are located on La Palma, Canary Islands (Aharonian et al. 1999c). Each of the telescopes consists of an 8.5 m\(^2\) reflector focusing the Cerenkov light onto a photomultiplier tube camera. The 271 photomultipliers in the camera were arranged in a hexagonal matrix covering a field of view with a radius of 2.15. The telescope camera was triggered when the signal in two next neighbors of the 271 photo multiplier tubes exceeded a threshold of eight photoelectrons, and the system readout started when at least two telescopes were triggered by Cerenkov light from an air shower. The detection rate was 12.6 Hz near the Zenith in 1997 December and dropped down to about 10 Hz in 1999 December for the four-telescope system as a result of aging of the photomultiplier tubes and reduced mirror reflectivity.

The Crab Nebula was observed in a "wobble mode," i.e., the telescopes were pointed in declination \(\pm 0.5°\) away from the nominal Crab Nebula position (the sign of the angular shift was altered from one run of 20 minutes to the next). This is useful for continuous monitoring of the cosmic-ray background because it positions the OFF-source region symmetric to the camera center and 1° apart from the ON-source region. Observations of the Crab Nebula at zenith angles up to 50° were made with four telescopes from 1997
September 1 to 1998 March 29, for a total of 82.5 hr of data taken at good weather. Because of the fire at the HEGRA site, one of the telescopes was damaged and was out of operation for a month in 1997 October–November. At that time, Crab Nebula observations were made with only three telescopes in the system, providing an event rate of 10.3 Hz near the zenith. As a result of unstable weather and a substantial amount of dust which came from the Sahara desert to the island, the average detection rate in 1998 February–March was reduced to 10.7 Hz in observations near the zenith. At the beginning of the 1998–1999 observational period four telescopes were operational. Since 1998 October the HEGRA collaboration has been operating five telescopes. However, for technical reasons one telescope (CT2) was out of operation from 1998 December until the end of the first observation campaign (1999 April). During the last observational period (since 1999 August), observations at zenith angles less than 50° were taken for about 76.1 hr. In addition, the observations at large zenith angles (LZAs) (50° < θ < 65°) were carried out for a total of 24 hr in order to study the performance of the telescope system at LZAs and to extend the measurements of the Crab Nebula energy spectrum beyond 10 TeV. The total exposure times for the three periods are summarized in Table 1.

Only data taken under good weather conditions were used in the analysis. In order to exclude data taken under less than optimal telescope performance conditions, the entire database has been checked very carefully as follows. First, each night the compressed protocols of the system performance were transferred to one of the collaboration host institutes, where they were scanned by software tools which closely monitor the status of the telescopes’ hardware (single pixel rates, trigger rates of system telescopes, tracking accuracy, etc.). This information was accumulated in a corresponding database which was used afterward for a standard data reduction procedure. The final condensed data file for each particular run contains all the information needed for data analysis. In addition, a specific software tool was developed which allows us to control a posteriori for each data run (1) the system trigger rate, taking into account the zenith angle dependence; (2) the angular shape of the cosmic-ray images, tested by a χ² criterion for the deviation of the mean-scaled width distribution for a single run from the corresponding average distribution filled over an extended sample of runs; (3) the flatness of the θ² distribution for the isotropic cosmic-ray images over the full field of view; and (4) the image size distributions for each individual telescope.
The stereoscopic imaging analysis of the data is based on the geometrical reconstruction of the shower-arrival direction and the shower-core position in the observation plane, as well as on the joint parameterization of the shape of the Cerenkov light images. The simultaneous registration of several (≥2) Cerenkov light images from an air shower provides an angular resolution of ~0.1° for γ-ray showers. For each individual shower, stereoscopic observations allow us to determine the position of the shower axis. Thus, at first only air showers within a certain impact distance R from the center of the telescope system were selected. The optimum cut on mean-scaled width was introduced in order to provide an almost constant detection rates (see Table 3). The corresponding energy threshold of the γ-rays is about 500 GeV. For the LZA data the looser orientation cut of θ < 0.1 deg² was used because of the lower accuracy of the arrival direction reconstruction for the γ-ray showers. In order to improve the cosmic-ray rejection in observations at LZAs, an additional parameter, mean-scaled length (l), was used, which is defined by analogy with 〈ω〉 (Konopelko et al. 1999b). These two parameters, 〈ω〉 and l, can be used for calculating a Mahalanobis distance, MD (Mahalanobis 1963), in two-dimensional space as

\[ MD = ((1 - 〈ω〉)^2/\sigma_ω^2 + (1 - l)^2/\sigma_l^2)^{1/2} \]

where \( \sigma_ω \) and \( \sigma_l \) are the standard deviations for the corresponding distributions of 〈ω〉 and l. The optimum value of the MD cut for LZAs is found to be 1.5. Note that this analysis improves the enhancement factor by ≈30% (it gives ~50% acceptance of γ-rays) in observations at LZAs, whereas it gives only marginal improvement for the data taken at SZAs. The Crab Nebula γ-ray rate in observations at LZAs (60°) is about 16 γ-rays hr⁻¹ with a corresponding energy threshold of ~5 TeV. Note that SZA observations give a γ-ray rate at high energies (above 3 TeV) of ~8 γ-rays hr⁻¹. A summary of the data is shown in Table 2.

The observations of the Crab Nebula have been made during six periods which differ in the system configuration, reflectivity of the mirrors, light reflection by the pixel funnels and camera protecting plate, etc. All of these affect the hardware event rate of the telescope system, \( R_{exp} \). These changes of system performance were implemented in the Monte Carlo simulations. Assuming the standard chemical composition of the primary cosmic rays (Wiebel 1994), the calculated detection rates, \( R_{MC} \), were adjusted to the measured rates (see Table 3).

### Table 1: Summary of Exposure Times

| N | Period       | Number of Telescopes | Zenith Angles | Time (hr) |
|---|--------------|----------------------|---------------|-----------|
| 1 | 1997 Sep – 1998 Jan | 4                    | 0°–50°        | 45.6      |
| 2 | 1997 Oct – Nov | 3                    | 0°–50°        | 14.3      |
| 3 | 1998 Feb – March | 4                    | 0°–50°        | 22.6      |
|   | Total        |                      |               | 82.5      |
| 4 | 1998 Sep – Oct | 4                    | 0°–50°        | 26.2      |
| 5 | 1998 Oct – Nov | 5                    | 50°–65°       | 5.4       |
| 6 | 1998 Dec     | 5                    | 50°–65°       | 20.1      |
|   | Total        |                      |               | 76.1      |
|   |             |                      | 50°–65°       | 24.0      |
predicted spectral behavior in the energy range of threshold could lead to noticeable systematic changes in the energies system, which is 500 GeV, whereas it is almost constant at energy range near the energy threshold of the telescope 18% this approach does not distort the initial spectrum Carlo studies show that for a good energy resolution of 18% this approach does not distort the initial spectrum shape. The collection area for γ-rays rises very quickly in the energy range near the energy threshold of the telescope system, which is 500 GeV, whereas it is almost constant at the energies ≥ 3 TeV. Even slight variations of the trigger threshold could lead to noticeable systematic changes in the predicted spectral behavior in the energy range of ~0.5–1 TeV. This effect leads to a noticeable probability for “subthreshold” triggers. In addition, the trigger level for different camera pixels is slightly different even after very accurate adjustment of the high voltage using the calibration laser runs. Measurements of the trigger setting for a number of camera pixels revealed variation in the trigger threshold of order 10%. These variations were implemented into the simulations in order to estimate the corresponding systematic error of the energy spectrum at energies below 1 TeV. The fine tuning of the Monte Carlo simulations with respect to the IACT system data provided measurements of the flux of the cosmic-ray protons in the energy range from 1.3 to 10 TeV (Aharonian et al. 1999d). The proton fluxes as measured by the HEGRA IACT system are perfectly consistent with the results of a bulk of satellite experiments held in this energy range.

The procedure for the evaluation of the energy spectrum using the stereoscopic observations was discussed in detail by Aharonian et al. (1997a, 1997b), Hofmann (1997), and more recently Aharonian et al. (1999c). In the stereoscopic observations the impact distance of the shower axis to a system telescope can be measured with an accuracy ≤ 10 m. The energy $E$ of a γ-ray shower is defined by interpolation over the size parameter $S$ (total number of photoelectrons in Cerenkov light image), at a fixed impact distance $R$, as $E = f_{MC}(R, \theta, \theta)$, where $\theta$ is the zenith angle and $f_{MC}$ is a function obtained from Monte Carlo simulations. Note that the Monte Carlo simulations used here include the sampling of detector response in great detail (Hemenger 1998). The energy distribution for the ON- and OFF-source events, after the orientation and shape image cuts, were histogrammed over the energy range from 500 GeV to 30 TeV with eight bins per decade. The γ-ray energy spectrum was obtained by subtracting ON and OFF histograms and dividing the resulting energy distribution by the corresponding collection area and the γ-ray acceptance. In the present Crab Nebula analysis, the energy spectrum measurements were extended up to large zenith angles (65°). The data were processed independently for each of the four zenith angle bins: 0°–25°, 25°–40°, 40°–50°, 50°–65°. The corresponding effective collection areas and the cut efficiencies were calculated as a function of the zenith angle. First, the energy spectra were derived for all zenith angle bins independently. Note that the spectra evaluated at different zenith angles are in a good agreement. For the final energy spectrum the different zenith angle bins were joined according to the prescription

$$\frac{dJ}{dE} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{j} (\frac{dJ}{dE})_{j} \Theta(E - E_{th})$$

where $w_{j} = \frac{t_{j}/t_{0}}{i}$, $i = 1, n$, and $dJ/dE$, $(dJ/dE)_{j}/dE$ are the differential energy spectra at energy $E$ as measured over all zenith angle ranges and for the particular zenith angle bin $j$, respectively. $E_{th}$ is an estimated energy threshold for the zenith angle bin $j$, $t_{j}$ is the observation time for the $j$ bin on the zenith angle bin, and $t_{0}$ is the total observation time. The first three zenith angle bins were joined using the time dependent weights $w_{j} = \frac{t_{j}}{t_{0}}$. Finally, the spectrum measured in the zenith angle range of 0°–50° was combined with the spectrum derived from large zenith angle data, 50°–65°, using the weights based on the estimated statistical errors for both spectra. Such a procedure takes into account the advantageous γ-ray rate in LZA observations at high energies.

The statistics of the γ-rays from the Crab Nebula provides a measurement of the energy spectrum up to a few tens of TeV. However, detection of Cerenkov light images with extremely large amplitudes—several thousands of photoelectrons—is complicated by the nonlinearity in the photomultiplier-tube response, as well as by the saturation in the eight bit Flash analog-to-digital converter (FADC) readout. Measurements of the photomultiplier response under high light loads over the extended sample of the EMI 9073 photomultiplier tubes gave a calibration function which was used to correct the image amplitudes. The readout of the HEGRA IACT is based on the sampling of Cerenkov light time impulse by the 16 FADC bins of ~8 ns each (Hess et al. 1998). The time pulses from the air showers with a full width at half maximum of a few ns were widened

| Table 2: Summary of Data |
|--------------------------|
| **Zenith Angles**        |
| 0°–25°                  |
| 25°–40°                  |
| 40°–50°                  |
| 55°–65°                  |
| Time (hr)                |
| 7.30                     |
| 11.34                    |
| 7.56                     |
| 5.44                     |
| Number of γ-rays:        |
| Raw                      |
| 592                      |
| 972                      |
| 327                      |
| 143                      |
| L.cut                    |
| 577                      |
| 670                      |
| 244                      |
| 124                      |
| MD cut                   |
| 450                      |
| 499                      |
| 157                      |
| 101                      |
| Number of CRs:           |
| Raw                      |
| 2964                     |
| 4495                     |
| 2772                     |
| 3353                     |
| L.cut                    |
| 536                      |
| 846                      |
| 567                      |
| 658                      |
| MD cut                   |
| 191                      |
| 268                      |
| 151                      |
| 114                      |
| S/N (after)              |
| Raw                      |
| 7.3                      |
| 9.7                      |
| 4.3                      |
| 1.8                      |
| L.cut                    |
| 14.2                     |
| 13.8                     |
| 6.6                      |
| 3.3                      |
| MD cut                   |
| 15.6                     |
| 15.5                     |
| 7.3                      |
| 5.6                      |

Note: Data for the four IACTs were analyzed using an orientation cut of $\theta_{c} \leq 0.05$ deg $^{2}$, a loose mean-scaled width cut of $\sqrt{\bar{W}} \leq 1.2$ (L.cut), a Mahalanobis distance cut (MD ≤ 1.5), as well as a cut without image shape (Raw).

| Table 3: Integral Gamma-Ray Flux |
|----------------------------------|
| Period | $R_{exp}$ | $R_{MC}$ | $J_{\gamma}$ |
| 1      | 12.6      | 14.0     | (1.68 ± 0.04) × 10^{-11} |
| 2      | 10.3      | 11.2     | (1.71 ± 0.05) × 10^{-11} |
| 3      | 10.7      | 10.0     | (1.66 ± 0.05) × 10^{-11} |
| 4      | 11.5      | 11.4     | (1.77 ± 0.04) × 10^{-11} |
| 5      | 10.1      | 10.0     | (1.84 ± 0.04) × 10^{-11} |
| 6      | 11.8      | 11.4     | (1.64 ± 0.05) × 10^{-11} |

Note: $R_{exp}$ and $R_{MC}$ are the measured and calculated hardware detection rates, respectively. The data correspond to a zenith angle range from 0° to 50°. These results were obtained using the power-law fitting of the differential γ-ray fluxes measured in the energy range from 1 to 10 TeV for each observational period.
using an electronic scheme in order to fit into several FADC bins for the accurate measurement of the time profile. The smoothing of the FADC signal was unfolded back to the impulse, which almost always fits two FADC bins. The calibrated amplitude, summed over two FADC bins, is used as a measure of the pixel signal. For the high-energy air showers, the FADC signals run into saturation, and the simple unfolding procedure fails. For such pulses the initial amplitude is reconstructed using the additional calibration function obtained by simultaneous measurements of light flashes with FADCs and a 14 bit ADC. This procedure drastically extends the dynamic range of the FADC readout.

To avoid the saturation problem one might only use images detected from air showers at large impact distances from the telescope system (e.g., beyond 150 m). The size of these images is very small even for high-energy events because of the low Cerenkov light density far off the shower axis. However, these images are very often truncated by the camera edge and do not allow a proper reconstruction of the shower-impact point and of the shower energy. This effect becomes less important in observations at LZAs because of the high shower maximum height (the images shrink to the camera center). In the present analysis, the maximum impact distance of the shower core from the center of the system was extended up to 400 m for observations at LZAs. Observations at LZAs permit measurements of the energy spectrum far beyond 10 TeV. The images of $\gamma$-ray air showers observed at LZAs have small size and are not influenced by the saturation effect.

4. RESULTS

We have observed the Crab Nebula extensively in two observational seasons with the HEGRA IACT system. The HEGRA system of five IACTs currently has a sensitivity which allows the detection of a $>5\sigma$ signal from the Crab Nebula within 1 hr of observation time (see Fig. 1). The integral $\gamma$-ray fluxes measured during the different observational periods are consistent within the estimated statistical and systematic error (see Table 3). The differential energy spectrum of the Crab Nebula has been derived from the HEGRA data for two observational campaigns using recently developed advanced techniques for the measurements of the spectrum using stereoscopic data taken at small and large zenith angles. The Crab Nebula differential energy spectrum derived from SZA data matches quite well the spectrum derived at LZAs (see Fig. 3). The $\gamma$-ray rate measured at energies above 10 TeV in observations at LZAs exceeds the corresponding rate measured at SZAs by a factor of 3. The LZA data are not affected by saturation effects. At $3.7\sigma$ confidence level, 27 $\gamma$-ray events from the Crab Nebula were detected in the highest energy bin from 17.8 to 23.7 TeV (see Fig. 4). One may expect that a number of $\gamma$-ray events in the highest energy bin are spilled over from the lower energies. However, given the good energy resolution of 20% and the power-law energy spectrum, such effect is very small and is compensated almost by the backward influx of the $\gamma$-rays from the energies above (see, e.g., Aharonian et al. 1995). Finally, the simulations show that spilling over of low-energy $\gamma$-rays does not influence the resulting fluxes measured at the upper end of the power-law spectrum.

The analysis for the different system configurations as well as for different trigger threshold values gives a different energy spectrum of the Crab Nebula measured at zenith angles up to $60^\circ$:

$$dJ/\alpha E = (2.79 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-7} (E/1\text{ TeV})^{-2.59 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.05} \text{ photons m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ TeV}^{-1}.$$ (4)

The statistical and systematic errors are also given. The final Crab Nebula spectrum as measured by the HEGRA collaborations is shown in Figure 4. The measured $\gamma$-ray
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**Fig. 3.** The Crab Nebula energy spectrum as measured at small and large zenith angles using the HEGRA IACT system. The filled circles are for the observations at zenith angles up to $50^\circ$; the open circles are for the LZA data ($60^\circ$).

![Figure 4](image)

**Fig. 4.** The Crab Nebula energy spectrum derived from the data taken over the zenith angle range from $0^\circ$ to $65^\circ$. The power-law fit of eq. (4) is shown by the solid line. The power-law fit with logarithmically energy-dependent slope of eq. (5) is shown by the dashed curve.
fluxes are given in Table 4. The Crab Nebula energy spectrum is best fitted by a pure power law in the energy range 1–20 TeV. It does not exclude a possible slight steepening of the energy spectral usually predicted by inverse Compton modeling of TeV γ-ray emission. A fit with a logarithmic steepening of the power-law spectrum gives the following result:

\[
\frac{dJ_\gamma}{dE} = (2.67 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.5) \\
\times 10^{-7}(E/1 \text{ TeV})^{-2.47 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.05 - (0.11 \pm 0.10) \log (E)} \\
\text{photons m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ TeV}^{-1}.
\] (5)

Such a fit indicates the slight flattening of the spectrum at low energies, as predicted by the IC calculations. However, the change of the energy-spectrum slope is within the current statistical and systematic errors, and the data for the overall energy range are consistent with a simple power-law fit in 0.5–20 TeV. The HEGRA Crab Nebula data match well the recent 20 TeV data published by the CANGAROO group (Tanimori et al. 1998) and are consistent with a flat power-law index of \(~2.5\) beyond 20 TeV. The compilation of the world data is given in Figure 5. All data are consistent within statistical and systematic errors, except possibly the Tibet data, which show relatively higher fluxes.

### 5. Astrophysics Implications

The TeV energy spectrum of the Crab Nebula as measured by the HEGRA system of IACTs is consistent with the expectations for the TeV γ-ray emission from pulsar-driven nebulae (plerions). According to this scenario the ultrarelativistic electrons, accelerated in the pulsar wind shock, produce TeV γ-rays through the IC scattering with soft photons within the nebula. The predicted fluxes of TeV γ-rays rely on the spatial distribution of the magnetic field within the nebula as determined by the parameter \(\sigma\) (ratio of energy density of magnetic field to the particle energy density) and/or by the average magnetic field \(\langle B \rangle\) in the optical nebula. The HEGRA data are shown in Figure 6, together with predicted spectra using two synchrotron self-Compton (SSC) models of TeV γ-ray emission (de Jager et al. 1996; Atoyan & Aharonian 1996). One may conclude that both models fit the HEGRA data rather well.

According to the calculations of the TeV γ-ray emission by de Jager et al. (1996), the γ-ray flux from the Crab Nebula at TeV energies constrains the choice of the parameter \(\sigma\). The IC spectrum computed by de Jager et al. (1996), assuming for the parameter \(\sigma\) a value \(\approx 0.003\), gives a good fit to the HEGRA data. This value of the parameter \(\sigma\) corresponds to the best-fitting magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) solution of electron propagation in the Crab Nebula as found by Kennel & Coroniti (1984).

In another approach, assuming the spatial distribution of magnetic field in the Crab Nebula, one can determine the average magnetic field \(\langle B \rangle\) in the optical nebula (Gould 1965). According to the calculations of Atoyan & Aharonian (1996), made within the framework of the MHD model of Kennel & Coroniti (1984), the average magnetic field \(\langle B \rangle\) is determined by the TeV γ-ray flux as \(\langle B \rangle \propto J^{0.5} \lesssim 10^{-5}\) G, where \(J\), photons cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) TeV\(^{-1}\) is a differential flux

---

**TABLE 4**

| \(E\) (TeV) | \(dJ_\gamma/dE\) (photons cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)) | \(\sigma_{\text{stat}}\) (photons cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)) | \(\sigma_{\text{syst}}\) (photons cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 0.65 ...... | \(7.44 \times 10^{-11}\) | \(5.25 \times 10^{-12}\) | \((+2.31–1.79) \times 10^{-11}\) |
| 0.87 ...... | \(3.74 \times 10^{-11}\) | \(2.50 \times 10^{-12}\) | \((+5.98–5.61) \times 10^{-12}\) |
| 1.16 ...... | \(1.95 \times 10^{-11}\) | \(1.39 \times 10^{-12}\) | \((+1.94–1.94) \times 10^{-12}\) |
| 1.54 ...... | \(9.25 \times 10^{-12}\) | \(1.58 \times 10^{-13}\) | \((+8.32–7.40) \times 10^{-13}\) |
| 2.05 ...... | \(4.25 \times 10^{-12}\) | \(1.03 \times 10^{-13}\) | \((+3.40–2.97) \times 10^{-13}\) |
| 2.74 ...... | \(2.20 \times 10^{-12}\) | \(7.72 \times 10^{-14}\) | \((+1.54) \times 10^{-13}\) |
| 3.65 ...... | \(9.78 \times 10^{-13}\) | \(5.17 \times 10^{-14}\) | \((+5.87) \times 10^{-14}\) |
| 4.87 ...... | \(4.43 \times 10^{-13}\) | \(3.25 \times 10^{-14}\) | \((+2.66) \times 10^{-14}\) |
| 6.49 ...... | \(2.32 \times 10^{-13}\) | \(3.40 \times 10^{-14}\) | \((+1.39) \times 10^{-14}\) |
| 8.66 ...... | \(1.20 \times 10^{-13}\) | \(2.45 \times 10^{-14}\) | \((+7.19) \times 10^{-15}\) |
| 11.55 ...... | \(5.64 \times 10^{-14}\) | \(1.68 \times 10^{-14}\) | \((+3.38) \times 10^{-15}\) |
| 15.40 ...... | \(2.28 \times 10^{-14}\) | \(1.07 \times 10^{-14}\) | \((+1.36) \times 10^{-15}\) |
| 20.54 ...... | \(1.14 \times 10^{-14}\) | \(7.55 \times 10^{-15}\) | \((+6.85) \times 10^{-16}\) |

---

**FIG. 5**—Differential spectrum of the Crab Nebula as measured by the HEGRA system of imaging air Cerenkov telescopes in comparison with the results of Whipple (Hillas et al. 1998), CANGAROO (Tanimori et al. 1998), CAT (Barrau 1998), and Tibet (Amenomori et al. 1999). Dashed curves show the upper and lower limits of the systematic error estimated for the HEGRA data.
at 1 TeV. Thus, the HEGRA spectrum gives an average magnetic field strength $S \approx (1.7 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-4}$ G. This value is consistent with the estimate derived by Hillas et al. (1998) from the Crab Nebula data taken with the 10 m Whipple telescope.

The IC energy spectrum of $\gamma$-rays from the Crab Nebula, measured in the energy range from 1 TeV to 10 TeV, is likely to be a power law $dJ/\gamma dE \propto E^{-2.6}$. At the same time, for the energy range above 10 TeV, calculations predict gradual steepening with $\gamma \approx 2.7$ and 2.9 at 10 and 30 TeV, respectively. That is due to both the energy loss of the ultra–high-energy electrons by fast synchrotron cooling and the Klein-Nishina effect in the cross section of the inverse Compton scattering. Atoyan & Aharonian (1996) and Bednarek & Protheroe (1997) have shown that $\pi^0$-decay $\gamma$-ray fluxes, as a result of the relativistic protons accelerated in the Crab Nebula, may noticeably contribute at energies above 10 TeV. However, the HEGRA Crab Nebula data expanded up to 20 TeV are still consistent with the pure IC spectrum. To assess the contribution of $n^{\pi^0}$-produced $\gamma$-rays from the Crab Nebula, measurements above 30 TeV are needed. Note that the LZA technique could help to perform such observations.

The predicted IC $\gamma$-ray spectrum of the Crab Nebula is rather flat in the energy range below $\sim$ 1 TeV. It could be well approximated by $dJ/\gamma dE \propto E^{-2.0}$ at 100 GeV. Detection of a gradual flattening in this energy range will prove the SSC scenario of TeV $\gamma$-ray emission. However, the low-energy points at the HEGRA Crab Nebula spectra ($E < 1$ TeV) are strongly affected by possible systematic errors ($\sim 50\%$) and do not allow such a conclusion. Future observations of the Crab Nebula with the forthcoming low-threshold high-sensitivity Čerenkov detectors (see Catanese & Weekes 1999) will offer precise measurements in this energy range.
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