High-energy behavior of the nuclear symmetry potential in asymmetric nuclear matter
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Abstract

Using the relativistic impulse approximation with empirical NN scattering amplitude and the nuclear scalar and vector densities from the relativistic mean-field theory, we evaluate the Dirac optical potential for neutrons and protons in asymmetric nuclear matter. From the resulting Schrödinger-equivalent potential, the high energy behavior of the nuclear symmetry potential is studied. We find that the symmetry potential at fixed baryon density is essentially constant once the nucleon kinetic energy is greater than about 500 MeV. Moreover, for such high energy nucleons, the symmetry potential is slightly negative below a baryon density of about \( \rho = 0.22 \text{ fm}^{-3} \) and then increases almost linearly to positive values at high densities. Our results thus provide an important constraint on the energy and density dependence of nuclear symmetry potential in asymmetric nuclear matter.

PACS numbers: 21.65.+f, 21.30.Fe, 24.10.Jv

I. INTRODUCTION

Recently, there is a renewed interest in the isovector part of the nucleon mean-field potential, i.e., the nuclear symmetry potential in isospin asymmetric nuclear matter. Knowledge on the symmetry potential is important for understanding not only the structure of radioactive nuclei and the reaction dynamics induced by rare isotopes, but also many critical issues in astrophysics. Besides depending on the nuclear density, the symmetry potential also depends on the momentum or energy of a nucleon. Various microscopic and phenomenological models, such as the relativistic Dirac-Bruechner-Hartree-Fock (DBHF), and the non-relativistic Bruechner-Hartree-Fock (BHF) approach, have been used to study the symmetry potential. However, the results predicted by these models vary widely. In particular, while most models predict a decreasing symmetry potential with increasing nucleon momentum albeit at different rates, a few nuclear effective interactions used in some of the models lead to the opposite conclusion. Thus, any constraint on the momentum and density dependence of the symmetry potential is very useful.

In the optical model based on the Dirac phenomenology, elastic nucleon-nucleus scattering is described by the Dirac equation for the motion of a nucleon in a relativistic potential. For spherical nuclei, good agreements with experimental data were obtained in the relativistic approach with a scalar potential (nucleon scalar self-energy) and the zeroth component of a vector potential (nucleon vector self-energy), while the standard non-relativistic optical model using the Schrödinger equation failed to describe simultaneously all experimental observables.

Motivated by the success of the Dirac phenomenology, a microscopic relativistic model based on the impulse approximation (RIA) was developed, and it was able to fit very well the data from \( p^{+12} \text{Ca} \) and \( p^{+208} \text{Pb} \) elastic scattering at nucleon energies of both 500 and 800 MeV. A nice feature of the RIA is that it permits very little phenomenological freedom in deriving the Dirac optical potential in nuclear matter. The basic ingredients in this method are the free invariant nucleon-nucleon (NN) scattering amplitude and the nuclear scalar and vector densities in nuclear matter. This is in contrast to the relativistic DBHF approach, where different approximation schemes and methods have been introduced for determining the Lorentz and isovector structure of the nucleon self-energy.

In the present work, we evaluate the Dirac optical potential for neutrons and protons in asymmetric nuclear matter based on the relativistic impulse approximation by using the NN scattering amplitude determined by McNeil, Ray, and Wallace, which has been shown to be valid for nucleons with kinetic energy greater than about 300 MeV. The high energy behavior of the nuclear symmetry potential from the resulting Schrödinger-equivalent potential is then investigated without adjustable parameters. We find that the nuclear symmetry potential at fixed density becomes almost constant for nucleon kinetic energy greater than about 500 MeV. For such high energy nucleons, the nuclear symmetry potential is further found to be weakly attractive below a nuclear density of about \( \rho = 0.22 \text{ fm}^{-3} \) but to become increasingly repulsive when the nuclear density increases.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 11, we briefly review the relativistic impulse approximation for nuclear optical potential and the relativistic mean-field model for nuclear scalar and vector densities. Results on the relativistic nuclear optical potential and the nu-
clear symmetry potential in asymmetric nuclear matter are presented in Section III. A short summary is then given in Section IV.

II. NUCLEAR OPTICAL POTENTIAL

A. Relativistic impulse approximation

Many theoretical studies have suggested that nucleon-nucleus scattering at sufficient high energy can be viewed as the projectile nucleon being scattered from each of the nucleons in the target nucleus. One thus can describe the process by using the NN scattering amplitude and the ground state nuclear density distribution of the target nucleus. For the Lorentz-invariant NN scattering amplitude, it can be written as

\[ \tilde{F} = F_S + F_V \gamma_1^\mu \gamma_2 \mu + F_T \sigma_1^\mu \sigma_2 \mu + F_P \gamma_1^\mu \gamma_2 \mu + F_A \gamma_1^\mu \gamma_2 \mu \gamma_2 \mu \]  

(1)

in terms of the scalar \( F_S \), vector \( F_V \), tensor \( F_T \), pseudoscalar \( F_P \), and axial vector \( F_A \) amplitudes. In the above, subscripts 1 and 2 distinguish Dirac operators in the spinor space of the two scattering nucleons and \( \gamma^\prime \)'s are gamma matrices. The five complex amplitudes \( F_S \), \( F_V \), \( F_T \), \( F_P \), and \( F_A \) depend on the squared momentum transfer \( q^2 \) and the invariant energy of the scattering nucleon pair, and they were determined in Ref. [22] directly from the NN phase shifts that were used to parameterize the NN scattering data. For a spin-saturated nucleus, only the scalar \( F_S \) and the zeroth component of the vector \( (F_V \gamma_1^\mu \gamma_2 \mu) \) amplitudes dominate the contribution to the optical potential. In the relativistic impulse approximation, the optical potential in momentum space is thus obtained by multiplying each of these two amplitudes with corresponding momentum-space nuclear scalar \( \tilde{\rho}_S(q) \) and vector \( \tilde{\rho}_V(q) \) densities, i.e.,

\[ \tilde{U}_{\text{opt}}(q) = -\frac{4\pi ip_{\text{lab}}}{M}[F_S(q)\tilde{\rho}_S(q) + \gamma_0 F_V(q)\tilde{\rho}_V(q)], \]

(2)

where \( p_{\text{lab}} \) and \( M \) are, respectively, the laboratory momentum and mass of the incident nucleon. The optical potential in coordinate space is then given by the Fourier transformation of \( \tilde{U}_{\text{opt}}(q) \), similar to the "t\( r \)p" approximation used in non-relativistic impulse approximation [22].

The \( q \)-dependence of the relativistic NN amplitude is important for calculating observables of a nucleon scattering off finite nuclei within the Dirac phenomenology. In the present work, we are interested in the strength of the Dirac optical potential of nucleons in infinite nuclear matter. Since the scalar and vector densities in coordinate space are constant in infinite nuclear matter, they are delta functions in momentum space, i.e., \( \sim \delta^{(3)}(q) \). In this case, only the forward NN scattering amplitudes, i.e., \( F_{S0} \equiv F_S(q = 0) \) and \( F_{V0} \equiv F_V(q = 0) \), contribute to the Fourier transform of Eq. (2), and the nuclear coordinate-space optical potential takes the simple form

\[ U_{\text{opt}} = -\frac{4\pi ip_{\text{lab}}}{M}[F_{S0}\rho_S + \gamma_0 F_{V0}\rho_V], \]

(3)

where \( \rho_S \) and \( \rho_V \) are, respectively, the spatial scalar and vector densities of an infinite nuclear matter.

The optical potential \( U_{\text{opt}} \) is a 4 \( \times \) 4 matrix in the Dirac spinor space of the projectile nucleon and includes following scalar \( U_S^{\text{tot}} \) and vector \( U_V^{\text{tot}} \) pieces:

\[ U_{\text{opt}} = U_S^{\text{tot}} + \gamma_0 U_V^{\text{tot}}. \]

(4)

Since \( U_S^{\text{tot}} \) and \( U_V^{\text{tot}} \) are generally complex, they can be expressed in terms of their real and imaginary parts, i.e.,

\[ U_S^{\text{tot}} = U_S + iW_S, \quad U_V^{\text{tot}} = U_0 + iW_0, \]

(5)

B. Nuclear scalar densities

To evaluate the RIA optical potential for neutrons and protons, we need the values for the isospin-dependent \( F_{S0} \) and \( F_{V0} \), which can be found in Ref. [22], as well as the scalar and vector densities for neutrons and protons. For the latter, we determine them using the relativistic mean-field (RMF) theory [23] with a Lagrangian density that includes the nucleon field \( \psi \), the isoscalar-scalar meson field \( \sigma \), the isovector-vector meson field \( \omega \), the isovector-scalar meson field \( \rho \), and the isovector-scalar meson field \( \delta \), i.e.,

\[ \mathcal{L}(\psi, \sigma, \rho, \delta) = \bar{\psi} [i\partial \mu - g_\omega \omega^\mu] (M - g_\sigma \sigma) \psi \\
+ \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \sigma \partial^\mu \sigma - m_\sigma^2 \sigma^2) - \frac{1}{4} \omega_{\mu\nu} \omega^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} m_\omega^2 \omega_{\mu\nu} \omega^{\mu\nu} \\
- \frac{1}{3} b_\sigma M (g_\sigma \sigma)^3 - \frac{1}{4} c_\sigma (g_\sigma \sigma)^4 + \frac{1}{4} c_\omega (g_\omega^2 \omega_{\mu\nu} \omega^{\mu\nu})^2 \\
+ \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \delta \partial^\mu \delta - m_\delta^2 \delta^2) + \frac{1}{2} m_\rho^2 \rho^\mu \rho^\nu - \frac{1}{4} \rho_{\mu\nu} \rho^{\mu\nu} \\
+ \frac{1}{2} (g_\rho^2 \rho^\mu \rho^\nu) (\Lambda_S g_\sigma^2 \sigma^2 + \Lambda_V g_\omega^2 \omega_{\mu\nu} \omega^{\mu\nu}) \\
- g_\rho \rho^\mu \rho^\nu \tau^\mu \psi + g_\delta \delta^\mu \tau^\mu \psi, \]

(6)

where the antisymmetric field tensors \( \omega_{\mu\nu} \) and \( \rho_{\mu\nu} \) are given by \( \omega_{\mu\nu} \equiv \partial_\mu \omega_\nu - \partial_\nu \omega_\mu \) and \( \rho_{\mu\nu} \equiv \partial_\mu \rho_\nu - \partial_\nu \rho_\mu \), respectively, and the symbols used in Eq. (6) have their usual meanings. The above Lagrangian density is general and allows us to use many presently popular parameter sets. In the present work, we use three typical parameter sets, namely, the very successful NL3 model [24], the ZZ71v model, which was used to study the neutron skin of heavy nuclei and the properties of neutron stars [25], and the HA model which includes the isovector-scalar meson field \( \delta \) and fits successfully some results calculated with more microscopic DBHF approach [26].

In Fig. 11 we show the neutron and proton scalar densities \( \rho_S \) as functions of the baryon density \( \rho_B \) (vector density in the static infinite nuclear matter) in nuclear
matter with isospin asymmetry $\alpha = 0$ and 0.5 for parameter sets NL3, Z271v, and HA. The isospin asymmetry is defined as $\alpha = (\rho_n - \rho_p)/\rho_B$ with $\rho_B = \rho_n + \rho_p$ and $\rho_n$ and $\rho_p$ denoting the neutron and proton densities, respectively. It is seen that the neutron scalar density is larger than that of the proton at a fixed baryon density in neutron-rich nuclear matter. While results for different parameter sets are almost the same at lower baryon densities, they become different when $\rho_B \gtrsim 0.25$ fm$^{-3}$ with Z271v giving a larger and NL3 a smaller $\rho_n$ than that from the parameter set HA. The real and imaginary parts of the scalar potential at higher baryon densities thus depend on the interactions used in evaluating the nucleon scalar density and have, therefore, large uncertainties.

III. RESULTS

A. Relativistic nuclear optical potential

With neutron and proton scalar densities obtained from the RMF theory for the parameter set HA, we have studied both the energy and density dependence of the real and imaginary parts of the scalar and vector potentials for neutrons and protons in nuclear matter with isospin asymmetry $\alpha = 0$ and 0.5. In Fig. 2 the resulting energy dependence is shown for the three nucleon densities $\rho_B = 0.08$ fm$^{-3}$ (panel (a)), 0.16 fm$^{-3}$ (panel (b)), and 0.24 fm$^{-3}$ (panel (c)). For all densities, the optical potential shows a strong energy dependence below 300 MeV, where it is known that the influences due to ambiguities in the relativistic form of the NN interaction, the exchange contribution, and the medium modification due to Pauli blocking are important. The low energy behavior of the optical potential can in principle be studied in the generalized relativistic impulse approximation based on the relativistic meson-exchange model of nuclear force and using the complete set of Lorentz-invariant NN amplitudes. Since many theoretical studies have shown that data on elastic nucleon-nucleus scattering can be reproduced by using above optical potential when the nucleon kinetic energy is greater than about 300 MeV and that this optical potential also agrees very well with that extracted from phenomenological analysis of the nucleon-nucleus scattering data, we thus focus in present work on the higher energy behavior of the isospin-dependent optical potential. As shown in Fig. 2 for all three densities considered here, there is a systematic difference or isospin splitting in the optical potentials for protons and neutrons in asymmetric nuclear matter. Specifically, the neutron exhibits a stronger real but weaker imaginary scalar and vector potentials in neutron-rich nuclear matter. Furthermore, both the proton and neutron optical potentials become stronger with increasing density.

The density dependence of the real and imaginary parts of the scalar and vector potentials for neutrons and protons in nuclear matter with isospin asymmetry $\alpha = 0$ and 0.5 obtained with the parameter set HA is shown more explicitly in Fig. 3 for the three nucleon kinetic energies of $E_{\text{kin}} = 600$ MeV (panel (a)), 800 MeV (panel (b)), and 1000 MeV (panel (c)). An isospin splitting of the nucleon optical potential in asymmetric nuclear matter is again clearly seen.
the so-called Lane potential [36]:

$$ U_{\text{SEP}} = U_S^\text{tot} + U_0^\text{tot} + \frac{1}{2M}(U_S^2 - W_S^2 - (U_0^2 - W_0^2)) + \frac{U_0^2}{M} \varepsilon, \quad (7) $$

where $\varepsilon$ is the nucleon kinetic energy. Using the SEP in the Schrödinger equation gives the same bound-state energy eigenvalues and elastic phase shifts as the solution of the upper component of the Dirac spinor in the Dirac equation using corresponding Dirac optical potential. The real part of the SEP is then given by

$$ \text{Re}(U_{\text{SEP}}) = U_S + U_0 + \frac{1}{2M}(U_S^2 - W_S^2 - (U_0^2 - W_0^2)) + \frac{U_0^2}{M} \varepsilon. \quad (8) $$

Above equation corresponds to the nuclear mean-field potential in non-relativistic models [15, 23] and allows us to obtain the following nuclear symmetry potential, i.e., the so-called Lane potential [36]:

$$ U_{\text{sym}} = \frac{\text{Re}(U_{\text{SEP}})_n - \text{Re}(U_{\text{SEP}})_p}{2\alpha}, \quad (9) $$

where Re($U_{\text{SEP}})_n$ and Re($U_{\text{SEP}})_p$ represent, respectively, the real part of the SEP for the neutron and proton.

In Fig. 3, we show the energy dependence of the nuclear symmetry potential for the parameter sets NL3, Z271v, and HA at fixed baryon densities of $\rho_B = 0.08$ fm$^{-3}$ (panel (a)), 0.16 fm$^{-3}$ (panel (b)), and 0.24 fm$^{-3}$ (panel (c)). It is seen that all three parameter sets give similar nuclear symmetry potential for a nucleon at kinetic energy higher than about 300 MeV, i.e., it first decreases with nucleon kinetic energy and then becomes essentially constant when the nucleon kinetic energy is above about 500 MeV. Specifically, the nuclear symmetry potential starts from about 0 MeV at lower density of $\rho_B = 0.08$ fm$^{-3}$ (about half of nuclear saturated density), 4.8 MeV at normal nuclear matter density ($\rho_B = 0.16$ fm$^{-3}$), and 12 MeV at higher density of $\rho_B = 0.24$ fm$^{-3}$ (about 1.5 time nuclear saturated density) and then saturates to about $-3.8 \pm 0.5$ MeV, $-1.8 \pm 1.7$ MeV, and $5.3 \pm 3.8$ MeV, respectively, when the nucleon kinetic energy is greater than about 500 MeV. The uncertainties in the saturated values simply reflect the variation in the energy dependence of the symmetry potential at high energies.

For comparison, we also show in Fig. 4 results from the phenomenological parametrization of the momentum-dependent nuclear mean-field potential, i.e., MDI interaction with $x = -1, 0, 1$. In the MDI interaction, the single nucleon potential in asymmetric nuclear mat-

FIG. 3: (Color online) Density dependence of the real and imaginary parts of the scalar and vector potentials for neutrons and protons in nuclear matter with isospin asymmetry $\alpha = 0$ and 0.5 for the parameter set HA.

FIG. 4: (Color online) Energy dependence of the nuclear symmetry potential using the parameter sets NL3, Z271v, and HA as well as from the phenomenological interaction MDI with $x = -1, 0,$ and $1$ at fixed baryon densities of $\rho_B = 0.08$ fm$^{-3}$ (a), 0.16 fm$^{-3}$ (b), and 0.24 fm$^{-3}$ (c).
ter with isospin asymmetry $\alpha$ is expressed by:

$$U(\rho, \alpha, \mathbf{p}, \tau, \mathbf{r}) = \left( -95.98 - x \frac{2B}{\sigma + 1} \right) \rho \tau \tau' \rho_0 + \left( -120.57 + x \frac{2B}{\sigma + 1} \right) \rho \tau \rho_0 + B \left( \frac{\rho}{\rho_0} \right)^2 (1 - x\alpha^2) - 8\tau x \frac{B}{\sigma + 1} \rho \sigma - 1 \rho_0 \alpha \rho \tau' \tau \tau' \rho_0 + 2C_{\tau, \tau'} \int d^3 \mathbf{p}' \frac{f_\tau(r, \mathbf{p}')}{1 + (\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}')^2/\Lambda^2} + 2C_{\tau, \tau'} \int d^3 \mathbf{p}' \frac{f_\tau(r, \mathbf{p}')}{1 + (\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}')^2/\Lambda^2}$$

In the above $\tau = 1/2 (-1/2)$ for neutrons (protons) and $\tau \neq \tau'$; $\sigma = 4/3$; and $f_\tau(r, \mathbf{p})$ is the phase space distribution function at coordinate $\mathbf{r}$ and momentum $\mathbf{p}$. The parameters $B$, $C_{\tau, \tau'}$, $C_{\tau, \tau'}$, and $\Lambda$ were determined by fitting the momentum-dependence of $U(\rho, \alpha, \mathbf{p}, \tau, \mathbf{r})$ to that predicted by the Gogny Hartree-Fock and/or the Brueckner-Hartree-Fock (BHF) calculations, the saturation properties of symmetric nuclear matter and the symmetry energy of 31.6 MeV at normal nuclear matter density $\rho_0 = 0.16$ fm$^{-3}$. The incompressibility $K_0$ of symmetric nuclear matter at $\rho_0$ is set to be 211 MeV. The different $x$ values in the MDI interaction are introduced to vary the density dependence of the nuclear symmetry energy while keeping other properties of the nuclear EOS fixed. We note that the energy dependence of the symmetry potential from the MDI interaction is consistent with the empirical Lane potential at normal nuclear matter density and low nucleon energies and has been used in the transport model for studying isospin effects in intermediate-energy heavy ion collisions induced by neutron-rich nuclei.

It is seen from Fig. that results from RIA at lower density of $\rho = 0.08$ fm$^{-3}$ are comparable to those from the MDI interaction with $x = 0$, while at higher baryon density of $\rho_B = 0.24$ fm$^{-3}$ they are comparable to those from the MDI interaction with $x = -1$. At normal nuclear matter density, the MDI interaction, which gives same results for different $x$ values by construction, is seen to lead to smaller nuclear symmetry potential at high nucleon kinetic energies compared with present results from the RIA based on empirical NN scattering amplitude and the nuclear scalar density from the relativistic mean-field theory. We note that our results agree surprisingly well with those of DBHF by Fuchs et al.

For the density dependence of the nuclear symmetry potential using the parameter sets NL3, Z271v, and HA at a fixed high nucleon kinetic energy of 800 MeV, it is shown in Fig. together with corresponding results from the MDI interaction with $x = -1$, 0, and 1. It is clearly seen that the nuclear symmetry potential from all parameter sets NL3, Z271v, and HA changes from negative to positive values at a fixed baryon density of about $\rho_B = 0.22$ fm$^{-3}$ and then increases almost linearly with baryon density. Furthermore, the nuclear symmetry potential depends not much on the choice of the parameter sets NL3, Z271v, and HA. At such high nucleon kinetic energy, the nuclear symmetry potential from the MDI interaction with $x = 0$ reproduces nicely the results from the RIA when $\rho_B \lesssim 0.1$ fm$^{-3}$ as in its energy dependence at low densities shown in Fig. The two differ strongly, however, at high densities. The MDI interaction with both $x = -1$ and 1, on the other hand, show very different density dependence from present RIA results.

IV. SUMMARY

We have evaluated the Dirac optical potential for neutrons and protons in asymmetric nuclear matter based on the relativistic impulse approximation with empirical NN scattering amplitude and the scalar and vector densities from the relativistic mean-field theory. We find that the nuclear symmetry potential derived from the resulting Schrödinger-equivalent potential is not very sensitive to the parameter sets used in the relativistic mean-field calculation, particularly at low densities and high nucleon energies, although the latter give very different nuclear scalar densities at high baryon densities in both symmetric and asymmetric nuclear matters. Furthermore, the nuclear symmetry potential at a fixed density becomes almost constant when the nucleon kinetic energy is greater than about 500 MeV. For such high energy nucleon, our study shows that the density dependence of its nuclear symmetry potential is weakly attractive at low densities but become increasingly repulsive as nuclear density increases. Results presented in present study thus provide important constraints on the high energy behavior of the nuclear symmetry potential.
nuclear symmetry potential in asymmetric nuclear matter, which is an important input to the isospin-dependent transport model \([1, 12]\) in studying heavy-ion collisions induced by radioactive nuclei at intermediate and high energies. They are also useful in future studies that extend the Lorentz-covariant transport model \([38, 39]\) to include explicitly the isospin degrees of freedom.
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