An application of the non-continuous Trefftz method to the determination of heat transfer coefficient for flow boiling in a minichannel
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Abstract The paper presents an application of the semi-analytical method, called the non-continuous Trefftz method, to the calculation of the heat transfer coefficients. It is very effective method for solving direct and inverse problems. The results obtained by this method are consistent with the results obtained by using complicated methods: the FEM and Beck method. Sought local heat transfer coefficients between the heating surface and the boiling liquid flowing through 1 mm deep minichannel were calculated from the Robin boundary condition. The temperature of the heating surface and the derivative of the temperature were found from solving the inverse problem. The study is limited to the identification of the heat transfer coefficient in the subcooled and the saturated nucleate boiling regions. The article presents also the measurement stand and methodology of conducting the experiment. Presented issues allows verification of state-of-the-art methods of solving the inverse problem by using the authors’ empirical data from the experiment.

List of symbols

\( a \) Linear combination coefficient
\( H \) Functional
\( I \) Current supplied to the heating foil (A)
\( L \) Minichannel length (m)
\( M \) Number of Trefftz functions used for approximation

\( P \) Number of temperature measurements
\( qV \) Volumetric heat flux, (capacity of internal heat source) (W/m\(^3\))
\( S \) Cross-section area (m\(^2\))
\( T \) Temperature (K)
\( u(x,y) \) Particular solution of the non-homogeneous equation
\( v_i(x,y) \) Trefftz functions
\( x,y \) Spatial coordinates (m)

Greek symbols

\( \alpha \) Heat transfer coefficient [W/(m\(^2\) K)]
\( \Delta U \) The voltage drop across the foil (V)
\( \delta \) Thickness (m)
\( \varepsilon \) Relative difference
\( \lambda \) Thermal conductivity [W/(mK)]
\( \sigma \) Mean relative error
\( \Omega \) Domain

Subscripts

\( B \) Beck method
\( F \) Foil
\( f \) Fluid
\( G \) Glass
\( H \) FEM with the Hermite interpolation
\( L \) FEM with the Lagrange interpolation
\( l \) Liquid
\( N \) Non-continuous Trefftz method
\( P \) The last temperature measurement
\( p \) Temperature measurement
\( sat \) Saturation

Superscripts

\( \sim \) Referenced to function approximates
1 Introduction

The electronic industry develops rapidly and it is associated with the need of heat dissipation in the confined spaces. The interest in heat transfer from small devices is increasing; miniaturization requires effective cooling systems. Lower operating temperatures affect durability and safety of devices. The growing demand for miniaturization entails seeking even better cooling technologies that will prevent mechanical and electronic components from temperature overshoot. Flow boiling in channels is one of the possibilities of heat removal. Owing to the change of the state which accompanies flow boiling in minichannels, it is feasible to meet contradictory needs simultaneously, i.e. obtain a heat flux as large as possible at small temperature difference between the heating surface and the saturated liquid and, at the same time, retain small dimensions of heat transfer systems. In turn, boiling in specially prepared surfaces helps obtain an additional increase in heat transfer efficiency which translates to higher values to the heat transfer coefficient. The use of enhanced surfaces allows additional intensification of the process and are valuable due to the theoretical enhancement potential for heat transfer.

The main goal of this study was to create an efficient, numerical calculation model to solve the inverse boundary problem and to determine the heat transfer coefficient from the experimental data concerning flow boiling heat transfer for a cooling liquid in an asymmetrically heated minichannel. The results obtained with the proposed two-dimensional calculation method were compared with the results established by means of the FEM and the Beck method.

The identification of the heat transfer coefficient belongs to inverse heat conduction problems [1–5]. The method used for the determination of the heat transfer coefficient presented in this study are related to the Trefftz method [6].

Advantages of the Trefftz method:

1. Trefftz functions strictly satisfy the governing equation.
2. The same Trefftz method is applied to solving direct and inverse problems.
3. In this method, one can use both continuous and discrete by Dirichlet, Neumann and Robin boundary conditions.
4. The numerical calculations based on this method do not require advanced software or a powerful PC.
5. It can be applied in solving problems in domains with complicated shape.

Additional information about this method can be found in [7–15].

In their previous works, the authors determined the local heat transfer coefficient for flow boiling in minichannels by proposing solutions to one- and two-dimensional models [16–19].

In papers [16, 17] the inverse method was solved by the FEM using the Trefftz functions as shape functions. In these papers, for the construction of shape functions, Lagrange interpolation [16] and Hermite interpolation [17] were used.

Paper [18] presents a method for the determination of the heat transfer coefficient in vertically and horizontally oriented rectangular minichannels. The calculation method was based on the combination of the Beck method (the classical method of solving inverse problems) and the Trefftz method.

Similarly to the FEM, the presented method is based on the approximation of the solution in subdomains, but is nodeless, in opposition to the FEM. The mathematical calculations used in this method are simpler than in [16–18], but the results are similar.

In [19–22], Piasecka focuses on flow boiling heat transfer for FC-72 in a vertical minichannel where the heated element is alloy foil with an enhanced surface. Reference [19] describes the heat transfer mechanism, the pressure drop and the flow patterns for boiling FC-72 flowing in horizontal and vertical minichannels with enhanced-surface walls. A simple one-dimensional approach was used to determine the local values of the heat transfer coefficient. Flow patterns were determined for five types of two-phase flow structures. The data was represented also as functions of void fraction and functions of vapour quality for selected cross-sections. The experimental verification confirmed the suitability of the classical methods to determine the two phase pressure drop. In [20], flow boiling heat transfer was analysed for partially or entirely enhanced surfaces with unevenly distributed minicavities produced by spark erosion. The measurement results obtained for selected cross sections were presented as liquid crystal thermographies, two-phase flow structure images, distributions of the heated foil temperature, boiling curves and functions of void fraction against the distance from the minichannel inlet. The influence of the process parameters on the flow boiling heat transfer and the pressure drop in minichannels was described in [21]. The paper focuses on the influence of the microstructure of the heated surface and the orientation of the minichannel on the heat transfer coefficient and the two-phase pressure drop. The study involved calculating the heat transfer coefficient with a one-dimensional method and measuring the pressure drop for different positions of the minichannel. The effects of the thermal and flow parameters (mass flux density and inlet pressure), the geometric parameters and the type of coolant liquid.
on the nucleate boiling heat transfer were also studied. In [22], Piasecka uses well-known correlations to determine boiling heat transfer in a minichannel 1 mm deep, 40 mm wide and 360 mm long, with three spatial orientations: one vertical (position 90°) and two horizontal (positions 0° and 180°). She proposes a new correlation taking account of the microstructure of the heated wall to analyse both saturated boiling as well as boiling incipience and subcooled boiling. In their earlier works [16–22], the authors discuss the use of liquid crystal thermography to measure the distribution of temperature on the minichannel heated wall. Reference [23] is concerned with flow boiling heat transfer in two parallel asymmetrically heated vertical minichannels. Temperature measurements were performed using liquid crystal thermography and infrared thermography. Liquid crystal thermography was applied to monitor changes in temperature on the smooth side of the foil in one minichannel while infrared thermography was employed to measure changes in temperature on the outer surface of the glass in one minichannel and on the foil in the other minichannel. The coefficient of heat transfer at the foil-fluid interface was calculated by means of one- and two-dimensional heat transfer methods.

2 EXPERIMENTAL CONCEPT

2.1 Test section and image/data acquisition system

The main part of the experimental stand is the test section with a minichannel positioned at an angle of 45° to a horizontal surface, 1 mm deep, 40 mm wide and 360 mm long, as shown in Fig. 1a. The heating element for working fluid (FC-72), which flows along the minichannel (#1) is 0.1 mm foil designated as Haynes-230 high-temperature alloy (#2). The foil is enhanced on the side which comes into contact with fluid in the channel. The experimental stand was presented in [19–22].

Laser surface texturing was applied on the whole surface area of the foil (see Fig. 1b) being in contact with the fluid in the minichannel. The microcavities were distributed evenly, every 100 μm, along both axes. The characteristic feature of the structure produced by laser surface texturing was high rims of resolidified melt around the craters. The image of the enhanced sample area is presented in Fig. 1c. The image and the corresponding 3D topography of a single microcavity are shown in Fig. 1d, e, respectively. A single microcavity is usually 10 μm in diameter and 3 μm in depth. The rims of resolidified melt formed annularly around the cavities are 5–7 μm in height [24].
It is possible to observe both walls of the minichannel through two openings covered with glass plates. The plate (Fig. 1a, #4a) in the front cover allows to observe changes in the foil surface temperature due to liquid crystal thermography. The surface between the foil and the glass is covered with a thermosensitive liquid crystal paint (#3). The opposite surface of the minichannel can be observed through another glass plate (#4b) in order to recognize the structures of the two-phase flow. The liquid temperature and pressure are measured in the inlet and at the outlet of the minichannel thanks to K-type thermocouples and pressure converters. The heating foil, acting as the heating surface in the minichannel, is powered by an inverter welder as a current regulated DC power supply (up to 300 A). The use of thermography has been made possible thanks to the colour image acquisition system which includes the Canon G11 camera, Canon EOS 550D digital SLR camera and relevant lighting system. Measurement data is recorded with DaqBoard 2005 data acquisition station equipped with DASYLab software.

2.2 Experimental methodology and heat transfer phenomena during flow boiling in a minichannel

As the observation of the two-dimensional temperature distribution on the heating surface of the minichannel is conducted owing to liquid crystal thermography, the system must be calibrated to precede the boiling heat transfer investigation. Its aim is to assign corresponding temperature values to the hues observed on the surface covered with liquid crystals. Finally the calibration curve is obtained [18, 25]. The liquid crystal colour images of the heating foil in the sample measurement series are presented in Fig. 2. Flow observation was carried out simultaneously on the opposite side of the minichannel.

In experimental conditions, the subcooling liquid flows laminarly into the asymmetrically heated minichannel. First, with increasing supplied heat flux, the heat transfer between the heating foil and the working fluid in the minichannel proceeds by means of a single phase forced convection. In the foil adjacent area, the liquid becomes superheated, whereas in the flow core, it remains subcooled. The temperature of the heating foil as well as the increase in the heat flux supplied to this heating area progressive. It is recognizable as the hue sequence pattern, which indicated a gradual increase in the heating surface temperature (temperatures outside the sensitivity range are shown in black), settings from # 1 to # 8, Fig. 2. There is also an increase in the bulk fluid temperature along the flow’s length, but it is significantly slower. If the heat flux is supplied to the heating area, there is a sharp temperature increase in the heating surface, while the bulk fluid temperature rises slowly; the heat transfer coefficient tends to decrease. In the further part of the experiment, the increase in the heat flux causes the activation of vapour nuclei on the heating surface of the minichannel. Boiling incipience brings about a drop in the heating surface. The decrease in the temperature of the heating surface is triggered by spontaneous vapour bubbles that are internal heat release structures absorbing considerable
amounts of energy transferred to the fluid [17–21]. The “boiling front” is recognizable as sharp hue changes of the liquid crystals, inversely to the spectrum sequence, then black hue returns. It can be observed while analysing images from #1 up to #8, Fig. 2. When the heat flux supplied to the foil increases, the “boiling front” moves in the direction opposite to the direction of the liquid flow in the channel. The boiling incipience (BI) is identified with the maximum value of the heating surface temperature. A sharp temperature drop follows afterwards. It is accompanied by the increase in the heat transfer coefficient.

Firstly, data for increasing heat flux supplied to the heating surface – 8 of the first settings for subcooled boiling and the incipience of boiling with the observed “boiling front”, presented in Fig. 2, were taken for calculations. Heat transfer coefficient vs. the distance along the length of the minichannel for the consecutive single-phase forced convection and subcooled boiling regions are shown in Figs. 4a, 5a, 6a, 7a. Then, with further increasing of the heat flux increased, a new sequence of hues could be seen in the upper part of the images (with the sequence of hues like in the spectrum). This indicated a further increase in the temperature of the heating surface. When the temperature of the liquid in the core flow reached saturation temperature, saturated boiling occurred in the minichannel (Fig. 2, images from #9 to #13). In the saturated nucleate boiling region, the heat transfer coefficient was locally several dozen up to several hundred times higher (fully developed nucleate boiling regime) than that at the incipience of boiling and that in the single-phase convection region. In fully developed nucleate boiling, the heat transfer coefficient was the highest but then it decreased sharply. The evaporation greatly improved the efficiency of the heat transfer process. When the heat transfer coefficient was strongly dependent on the heat flux until the occurrence of partial dryout, deterioration of heat transfer was observed [21]. The lowest values of the coefficient were reported at the channel outlet; they were similar to those obtained for single-phase convection at the channel inlet. Heat transfer coefficient vs. the distance along the length of the minichannel for the saturated nucleate boiling region, are presented in Figs. 4b, 5b, 6b, 7b. Next, the current supplied to the foil is gradually reduced (Fig. 2, images from #14 to #18) and mild hue changes, in the direction opposite to the spectrum sequence, are observed. As a result, heat transfer returns to single phase forced convection. Again, recorded heat transfer coefficient values are low.

2.3 Main experimental data and errors

Thermal flow and electrical parameters are as the following:

- the temperature and pressure of the fluid in the minichannel inlet and outlet;
- flow rate/mass flux;
- the voltage drop over the heating foil length $\Delta U$ and the current supplied to the heating foil $I$.

The heat source capacity (volumetric heat flux) $q_V$ supplied to the heating wall is determined from the formula:

$$ q_V = \frac{I \cdot \Delta U}{S_F \cdot \delta_F}, \quad (1) $$

where $S_F$ denotes cross-section of the foil, $\delta_F$ — the thickness of the foil.

Evaluation of the accuracy of heating foil temperature measurements using liquid crystals thermography and the heat source efficiency measurement error were discussed in [18, 25, 26]. The mean temperature measurement error of the heating foil by liquid crystal thermography $\Delta T_F = 0.86$ K was obtained. The value of the relative heat source efficiency measurement amounted to 3.53 %.

3 Mathematical problem

3.1 Problem formulation

The study aims to identify local values of the heat transfer coefficient, between the heating foil and the boiling liquid flowing along the minichannel, in the two regions:

- the consecutive single-phase forced convection and subcooled boiling region (abbr. the subcooled boiling region)
- the consecutive saturated boiling and single-phase forced convection region (abbr. the saturated nucleate boiling region).

This coefficient was determined on the basis of its dependence on the heating foil temperature, the temperature of the liquid near the surface of the foil and the derivative of the foil temperature on its surface.

The foil temperature was calculated by assuming the two-dimensional heat flow across essential elements of the measurement module, Fig. 3. The temperature of the glass barrier was calculated as an auxiliary operation. The stationary temperature status was assumed to occur in the glass barrier and the heating foil. The thickness of liquid crystal layers and the temperature changes in glass, foil and liquid along the minichannel width were omitted. It is assumed that there is a heat source in the foil, with constant efficiency $q_V$, distributed evenly in the entire volume of the foil. The application of the liquid crystal thermography provides information about the temperature of the heating
foil adjacent to the glass. It is also assumed that the external surface of the glass barrier is isolated thermally. There is no boundary condition on the surface of the heating foil contacting the flowing liquid.

The linear distribution of the flowing liquid temperature in the subcooled boiling region while the flowing liquid temperature equals to the saturation temperature in the saturated nucleate boiling region, are assumed.

The temperature $T_F$ of the heating foil was determined by solving the inverse heat conduction problem [26]:

$$\nabla^2 T_F = -\frac{q_V}{\lambda_F} \quad \text{for} \quad (x,y) \in \Omega_F,$$

where $\Omega_F = \{(x,y) \in R^2 : x_1 < x < x_P, \delta_G < y < \delta_G + \delta_F\}$.

$$T_F(x,\delta_G) = T_G(x,\delta_G) \quad \text{for} \quad x_1 < x < x_P,$$

$$\lambda_F \frac{\partial T_F}{\partial y} = \lambda_G \frac{\partial T_G}{\partial y} \quad \text{on the boundary} \quad y = \delta_G \quad \text{for} \quad x_1 < x < x_P,$$

$$T_F(x_1,y) = T_1 \quad \text{for} \quad \delta_G < y < \delta_G + \delta_F,$$

$$T_F(x_P,y) = T_P \quad \text{for} \quad \delta_G < y < \delta_G + \delta_F,$$

$$T_F(x_P,\delta_G) = T_p \quad \text{for} \quad p = 1, 2, \ldots P,$$

where $x_1$ is the location of the primary temperature measurement on the boundary $y = \delta_G$, $x_P$—the location of the last temperature measurement, $T_P$—value of the temperature measurement, $P$—number of the temperature measurements, $\delta_G$—thickness of the glass barrier, $\lambda_F$—thermal conductivity coefficient of the foil, $\lambda_G$—thermal conductivity coefficient of the glass.

The temperature $T_G$ of the glass barrier was obtained by solution of the direct problem [26]:

$$\nabla^2 T_G = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad (x,y) \in \Omega_G,$$

where $\Omega_G = \{(x,y) \in R^2 : 0 < x < L, \quad 0 < y < \delta_G\}$.

$$\frac{\partial T_G}{\partial y} = 0 \quad \text{on the boundary} \quad y = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad 0 < x < L,$$

$$\frac{\partial T_G}{\partial x} = 0 \quad \text{on the boundaries} \quad x = 0, \quad x = L \quad \text{for} \quad 0 < y < \delta_G,$$

$$T_G(x_P,\delta_G) = T_p \quad \text{for} \quad p = 1, 2, \ldots P,$$

where $L$ denotes the length of the glass barrier.

3.2 The non-continuous Trefftz method (NCTM)

Due to the ratio of the thickness to the length of the heating foil (expression $\frac{\delta_f}{L}$ changes from 0.0003 in setting # 14 to 0.0025 in setting # 18), the division of the domain $\Omega_F$ were proposed. In addition, when the domain is divided to subdomains the lower number of Trefftz functions is used in an approximate solution in comparison to the possible solution in whole domain.

To determine the foil temperature $T_F(x,y)$, the domain $\Omega_F$ was divided into $L1 \times L2$ rectangular subdomains $\Omega_F^{ij}$, where $L1$ was the number of subinterwals in the direction...
The foil temperature was approximated by the formula:

\[ \tilde{T}_F(x, y) = u(x, y) + \sum_{i=1}^{M} a_i v_i(x, y), \]  

(12)

where \( u(x, y) \) represents the particular solution of the non-homogeneous Eq. (2), \( v_i(x, y) \) are the Trefftz functions which strictly satisfy Laplace’s equation, \( a_i \)—linear combination coefficients.

The coefficients \( a_i \) occurring in the formula (1) were found by solving a system of linear equations resulting from the minimization of the functional:

\[
H_F = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{L_1} \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} \left( \tilde{T}_F(x, \delta_G) - \tilde{T}_G(x, \delta_G) \right)^2 \, dx \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{L_1} \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} \lambda_F \frac{\partial \tilde{T}_F}{\partial y}(x, \delta_G) - \lambda_G \frac{\partial \tilde{T}_G}{\partial y}(x, \delta_G) \right)^2 \, dx \\
+ \sum_{i=1}^{L_2} \int_{y_{i+1} + \delta_G}^{y_{i+1}} \left( \tilde{T}_F^{i+1} L_1 (x_{i+1}, y) - T_1 \right)^2 \, dy \\
+ \sum_{i=1}^{L_2} \int_{y_{i+1} + \delta_G}^{y_{i+1}} \left( \tilde{T}_F^{i+1} L_1 (x, y_{i+1}) - T_p \right)^2 \, dy \\
+ \sum_{i=1}^{P} (\tilde{T}_F(x_{p_i}, \delta_G) - T_{p_i})^2 \\
+ \sum_{i=1}^{L_2} \sum_{j=1}^{L_1-1} \int_{y_{j+1} + \delta_G}^{y_{j+1}} \left( \tilde{T}_F^{i+1} (x_{j+1}, y) - \tilde{T}_F \right)^2 \, dy \\
+ \sum_{i=1}^{L_2} \sum_{j=1}^{L_1-1} \int_{y_{j+1} + \delta_G}^{y_{j+1}} \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{T}_F^{i+1} L_1}{\partial x}(x_{j+1}, y) - \frac{\partial \tilde{T}_F}{\partial x} \right)^2 \, dy \\
+ \sum_{i=1}^{L_2-1} \sum_{j=1}^{L_1} \int_{x_j}^{x_{j+1}} \left( \tilde{T}_F^{i+1} L_1 (x, y_{j+1} + \delta_G) - \tilde{T}_F \right)^2 \, dx \\
+ \sum_{i=1}^{L_2-1} \sum_{j=1}^{L_1} \int_{x_j}^{x_{j+1}} \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{T}_F^{i+1} L_1}{\partial y}(x, y_{j+1} + \delta_G) - \frac{\partial \tilde{T}_F}{\partial y} \right)^2 \, dx \]

The functional (13) expresses a mean square error of the approximate solution on a domain boundary and along common edges of neighbouring subdomains.

The temperature \( \tilde{T}_G(x, \delta_G) \) and the derivative \( \frac{\partial \tilde{T}_G}{\partial y} \) occurring in the functional (13) are the results of the solution of the direct problem (8)–(11). The problem can be solved in the same way as the inverse problem (2)–(7).

4 Results: determination of local heat transfer coefficients

The local values of the heat transfer coefficient \( \alpha \) were calculated from the formula:

\[
- \lambda_F \frac{\partial T_F(x, \delta_G + \delta_T)}{\partial y} = \alpha(x) (T_F(x, \delta_G + \delta_F) - T_1(x)),
\]

(14)

where \( T_1(x) = T_f(x) \) in the consecutive single-phase forced convection and subcooled boiling region or \( T_1(x) = T_{sat}(x) \) in the consecutive saturated boiling and single-phase forced convection region; \( T_f \)—fluid temperature calculated with the assumption of linear temperature distribution of the fluid flowing along the minichannel, from the inlet to the outlet; \( T_{sat} \)—saturation temperature determined on the basis of the linear pressure distribution, from the inlet to the outlet of the minichannel.

The following function, as in [27], was accepted as a particular solution of Eq. (2):

\[
u(x, y) = - \frac{q v y^2}{2 \lambda_F}.
\]

(15)

For an approximation of the temperature of the glass barrier and the heating foil, the 12 Trefftz functions defined by the following formulas were used [11]:

\[
v_n(x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^{[\frac{n}{2}]} (-1)^k x^{n-2k} y^{2k} (2k)! (n-2k)!, \quad n = 0, 1, \ldots
\]

(16)

\[
v_m(x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^{[\frac{m}{2}]} (-1)^k x^{m-2k-1} y^{2k+1} (2k+1)! (m-2k-1)!, \quad m = 1, 2, \ldots
\]

(17)

Functions determined by Eq. (16–17) are coefficients at partial derivatives in expansion of the solution of the governing equation in the Taylor series, in which the derivative \( \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} \) is eliminated according with the dependence

\[
\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} = - \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2}.
\]

Such derived the Trefftz functions strictly satisfy the Laplace equation and create a complete system of functions.
The domain $\Omega_F$ were divided into the 8 subdomains ($L1 = 4, L2 = 2$). The domain $\Omega_G$ were not divided into subdomains.

The results of the heat transfer coefficients obtained by using of the presented method are showed on Fig. 4a, b.

### 5 Comparison of the non-continuous Trefftz method with other methods based on the Trefftz functions

#### 5.1 The FEM with the new base functions [16,17]

In [16, 17] were presented the solving of the direct (8)–(11) and the inverse (2)–(7) problems by using of the finite elements method with two types of base functions. The first type of the base functions was based on the Lagrange interpolation, while the second one on the Hermite interpolation.

In the Lagrange interpolation, the value of a function in the node was the only node parameter while in the Hermite interpolation the three parameters were connected with each node (the value of a function at this node point; the value of a derivative with respect to $x$, the value of a derivative with respect to $y$). The Trefftz functions were used for constructing of the base functions.

In order to solve the problems (8)–(11) and (2)–(7) by using the finite element method, the domain $\Omega_G$ was divided into 350 rectangular elements. The division of the domain $\Omega_F$ was dependent on the number and location of the measurement points. In setting # 14, the number of the elements is the highest and it makes up 326, in setting # 18 the number of elements is the lowest and it is 40. In each rectangular element $\Omega_k^G$ a system of the 4 nodes located at the vertices of the element was constructed. The measurement points occurring in condition (7) were located in the relevant nodes of the grid.

The 4 Trefftz functions were used in the FEM with the Lagrange interpolation: $1, x, y, xy$; the 12 Trefftz functions were used in the FEM with the Hermite interpolation: $1, x, y, xy, \frac{x^3}{3} - \frac{y^3}{3}, \frac{x^3}{3} - \frac{x^2 y}{2}, \frac{x^3}{6} - \frac{x^2 y}{2}, \frac{x^3}{6} - \frac{x y^2}{2}, \frac{x^4}{24} - \frac{x^2 y^2}{4} + \frac{y^4}{24} - \frac{x^3 y}{12} - \frac{x y^3}{12} + \frac{y^5}{120}, \frac{x^5}{120} - \frac{x^3 y^2}{12} + \frac{x^3 y^2}{12} + \frac{x^3 y^2}{12} - \frac{x^5 y^2}{24} + \frac{x^3 y^2}{12} - \frac{x^5 y^2}{120} - \frac{x^5 y^2}{120}$.

The values of the heat transfer coefficients obtained by means of the the FEM are showed on Figs. 5a, b, 6a, b.

The differences between the heat transfer coefficient $\alpha_N(x)$ obtained by means of the non-continuous Trefftz method and the heat transfer coefficient obtained by means of the FEM were calculated from the formulas (17), (18):

\[
\varepsilon_{NL} = \frac{1}{P} \sum_{k=1}^{P} \left| \frac{\alpha_N(x_k) - \alpha_L(x_k)}{\alpha_N(x_k)} \right|, \tag{18}
\]

\[
\varepsilon_{NH} = \frac{1}{P} \sum_{k=1}^{P} \left| \frac{\alpha_N(x_k) - \alpha_H(x_k)}{\alpha_N(x_k)} \right|, \tag{19}
\]

where $\alpha_N(x)$ denotes the heat transfer coefficient obtained by means of the FEM with the Lagrange interpolation, $\alpha_H(x)$ denotes the heat transfer coefficient obtained by
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**Fig. 4** a Local heat transfer coefficients in the subcooled boiling region obtained by the non-continuous Trefftz method as a function of the distance from the inlet to the minichannel, experimental data as for Fig. 2. b Local heat transfer coefficients in the saturated nucleate boiling region obtained by the non-continuous Trefftz method as a function of the distance from the inlet to the minichannel, experimental data as for Fig. 2.
means of the FEM with the Hermite interpolation. The results are presented in the Tables 1 and 2.

5.2 The Beck method [18]

The method (presented in [18]) of solving the inverse problem (2)–(7) by using the Beck method was based on the transformation of the inverse problem into the direct problems by the application of the so-called the sensitivity coefficients [2].

The direct problems, by means of the NCTM were solved.

The values of the heat transfer coefficients calculated by means of the Beck method are showed on the Fig. 7a, b.

In the Beck method, for the approximation of the temperature of the heating foil the 12 Trefftz functions, defined by the formulas (16-17), were used.

The difference between the heat transfer coefficient $\alpha_N(x)$ obtained by means of the non-continuous Trefftz method and the heat transfer coefficient $\alpha_B(x)$ obtained by means of the Beck method was calculated from the following formula

![Fig. 5 a Local heat transfer coefficients in the subcooled boiling region obtained by the FEM method, with the Lagrange interpolation as a function of the distance from the inlet to the minichannel, experimental data as for Fig. 2. b Local heat transfer coefficients in the saturated nucleate boiling region obtained by the FEM method, with the Lagrange interpolation as a function of the distance from the inlet to the minichannel, experimental data as for Fig. 2.](image)

![Fig. 6 a Local heat transfer coefficients in the subcooled boiling region obtained by the FEM method with the Hermite interpolation as a function of the distance from the inlet to the minichannel, experimental data as for Fig. 2. b Local heat transfer coefficients in the saturated nucleate boiling region obtained by the FEM method with the Hermite interpolation as a function of the distance from the inlet to the minichannel, experimental data as for Fig. 2.](image)
and presented in the Tables 1 and 2. The greatest differences between the values of the heat transfer coefficient calculated using the NCTM and those obtained with the other methods are observed at the start of the saturated nucleate boiling region. The results recorded for the first 33% of the measurements are presented in Table 3.

6 The relative error of heat transfer coefficient determination

The mean relative error of the heat transfer coefficient was determined by the following formula [27]:

$$\sigma_\alpha = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{P} \sigma(x_k) \cdot \alpha_{NL}(x_k)}{\sum_{k=1}^{P} \alpha(x_k)}.$$

The term $\sigma(x_k)$ denotes the absolute error at point of the heat transfer coefficient and has the form:

$$\sigma(x_k) = \sqrt{(\alpha(x_k) - \alpha_B(x_k))^2 + (\alpha_{TF}(x_k))^2 + (\alpha_{Tf}(x_k))^2 + (\alpha_{dT}(x_k))^2},$$

where $\alpha(x_k) = \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial T_F}(x_k) \cdot \Delta T_F$, $\alpha_{TF}(x_k) = \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial T}(x_k) \cdot \Delta T$, $\alpha_{dTF}(x_k) = \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial y}(x_k) \cdot \Delta T_F$, $\alpha_{dT}(x_k) = \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial T}(x_k) \cdot \Delta T$, $\alpha_{dTF}(x_k) = \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial y}(x_k) \cdot \Delta T_F$, and $\Delta T_F = 0.1$ W/(mK); $\Delta T_F$—the accuracy of the foil conductivity determination.
temperature approximation, \( \Delta T_F(x_k, \delta_G) = 0.86 \, K \); \( \Delta T_I \) corresponds to the error in the temperature measurement, 

\[ \Delta T_I = 0.77 \, K \]  

[25];  

\[ \Delta \frac{\partial T_F}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{k=1}^{\mu} \left| \frac{\partial^2 T_F}{\partial y \partial x}(x_k) \cdot \Delta x \right| \]  

[27],  

\( \Delta x \) denotes the distance between the measuring points,  

\( \Delta x = 0.001 \, m \).

The Tables 4 and 5 present mean relative errors of the heat transfer coefficient calculated by means of the presented methods.

Examples of the absolute errors of the local values of the heat transfer coefficient obtained with the non-continuous Trefftz method are shown in Fig. 8. The errors were established for these settings of heat fluxes where the highest relative average errors were reported (for \# 1 in the subcooled boiling region and for \# 9 in the saturated boiling region). The absolute errors of the heat transfer coefficient for the subcooled boiling region were similar over the whole analysed length of the minichannel. However, the distribution of the absolute errors obtained for the saturated boiling region was not uniform, with the values being the highest at the beginning of the measurement interval.

7 Validation of the results

In the literature, there is hardly any data on two-phase flow in a system with asymmetrically heated rectangular minichannels. The conditions described by Ozer et al. [28] are the most similar to those analysed in this paper. The results obtained with the proposed two-dimensional calculation model (the non-continuous Trefftz method) were validated using two approaches:

1. One involved applying the two-dimensional calculation model (the non-continuous Trefftz method) to determine the local values of the heat transfer coefficient on the basis of the experimental data provided in [28] concerning flow boiling heat transfer for a coolant liquid in an asymmetrically heated horizontal minichannel and comparing the results with those obtained by Ozer et al. [28] (see Fig. 9).

2. The other approach consisted in comparing the results from the authors’ experimental data, obtained using the two-dimensional method (the non-continuous Trefftz method) with the results determined by means of the one-dimensional method (see Fig. 10).

The results presented in [28] refer to the subcooled boiling region; the local values of the heat transfer coefficient were calculated using the difference between the temperature of the heated surface and the average bulk temperature of the fluid. In Fig. 9 the curve denoted as “A” (results presented by Ozer et al. [28]) is very similar in shape and values to the curve denoted as “B” (results obtained with the non-continuous Trefftz method on the basis of the experimental data provided in [28]).

Figure 10 compares the local values of the heat transfer coefficient obtained from the authors’ experimental data using the proposed two-dimensional calculation

| Table 3 | The differences between the heat transfer coefficient in the saturated nucleate boiling region obtained by means of the non-continuous Trefftz method and the heat transfer coefficient obtained by means of the FEM with the Lagrange interpolation, the Hermite interpolation, the Beck method, for the first 33% of the measurements |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Set No. (see Fig. 2) | \( \varepsilon_{NL} \) (%) | \( \varepsilon_{NH} \) (%) | \( \varepsilon_{NB} \) (%) |
| #9 | 9.8 | 10 | 10.14 |
| #10 | 9.16 | 9.3 | 9.47 |
| #11 | 5.72 | 5.8 | 5.75 |
| #12 | 7.14 | 7.18 | 7.11 |
| #13 | 4.38 | 4.46 | 4.16 |
| #14 | 3.86 | 3.89 | 3.82 |
| #15 | 9.92 | 7.92 | 7.88 |
| #16 | 14.17 | 14.45 | 13.86 |
| #17 | 6.54 | 6.61 | 6.54 |
| #18 | 9.11 | 10.35 | 8.26 |

| Table 4 | The mean relative errors of the heat transfer coefficient \( \sigma_\alpha \) (%) in the subcooled boiling region |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Set No. (see Fig. 2) | non-continuous Trefftz method \( \sigma_\alpha \) (%) | FEM with Lagrange interpolation \( \sigma_\alpha \) (%) | FEM with Hermite interpolation \( \sigma_\alpha \) (%) | Beck method \( \sigma_\alpha \) (%) |
| #1 | 3.42 | 3.41 | 3.54 | 3.41 |
| #2 | 3.33 | 3.32 | 3.31 | 3.33 |
| #3 | 3.4 | 3.39 | 3.41 | 3.4 |
| #4 | 3.23 | 3.23 | 3.46 | 3.23 |
| #5 | 3.2 | 3.19 | 3.13 | 3.2 |
| #6 | 3.1 | 3.09 | 4.03 | 3.1 |
| #7 | 2.97 | 2.96 | 3.24 | 2.97 |
| #8 | 3.07 | 3.07 | 4.39 | 3.07 |
method, i.e. the non-continuous Trefftz method with the values determined by means of the one-dimensional calculation method. The experimental data refers to the saturated boiling region. Like the method described by Ozer et al. [28], the simplified one-dimensional method was based on Newton’s Law for convective heat transfer. The local values of the heat transfer coefficient were calculated using the difference between the temperature of the heated surface and the liquid saturation temperature. The results obtained with the two methods are very similar.

The one-dimensional calculation method proposed by Ozer et al. [28] provides results that are similar to those obtained with the one-dimensional method proposed by the authors in [19, 22, 23].

To sum up, from the comparative analysis it is clear that the values and distributions of the heat transfer coefficient obtained with the proposed two-dimensional method (the non-continuous Trefftz method) are similar to those obtained by means of the one-dimensional method. These findings coincide for the authors’ experimental data as well as those presented by Ozer et al. [28].
8 Conclusions

This paper presents the results of the use of the non-continuous Trefftz method (NCTM) to determine the heat transfer coefficient for flow boiling in a minichannel, in the subcooled and the saturated nucleate boiling regions. This method was reported to be very effective in solving direct and inverse problems. The results obtained with the NCTM were compared with those obtained by applying two other methods: the FEM and the Beck method.

The NCTM is an analytical and a numerical method where the inverse problem is solved in the same way as the direct problem. It allows to solve the problems where the boundary condition is missing or the number of the boundary conditions is excessive. The approximate of the sought function strictly satisfy the governing differential equation while boundary conditions are satisfied approximately.

In comparison to the FEM and the Beck method, the presented method does not require such a complicated mathematical calculations.

The results obtained by means of the NCTM are similar to the results obtained by use of the FEM and the Beck method. The differences (see Tables 1, 2) between the values of the heat transfer coefficient obtained by the NCTM and the FEM or the Beck method are slight, despite using of the 4 Trefftz functions in the FEM with the Lagrange interpolation and the 12 functions in other methods.

The greatest differences between the values of the heat transfer coefficient calculated using the NCTM and those obtained with the other methods are observed at the start of the saturated nucleate boiling region (see Table 3). These differences are usually the highest when comparing the heat transfer coefficient determined by means of the NCTM and the FEM with the Hermite interpolation. The FEM with the Hermite interpolation is the most sensitive to measurement errors because it involves identifying both the temperature in the nodes and the temperature derivatives in those nodes.

The values of the mean relative errors of the heat transfer coefficients obtained by all methods are very similar. Furthermore, it is observed that they decrease with an increase of heat flux supplied to the heating surface.

As is the case with the other methods, the mean relative errors resulting from the non-continuous Trefftz method are small in the subcooled boiling region and large in the saturated nucleate boiling region (see Tables 4, 5).

The results confirmed that considerable heat transfer enhancement takes place at boiling incipience in the minichannel flow boiling. Moreover, under the subcooled boiling, local heat transfer coefficients exhibit relatively low values, while under the saturated nucleate boiling they reach high values (see Figs. 4, 5, 6, 7).

The heat transfer coefficient is the highest under the nucleate saturated boiling (see Figs. 4b, 5b, 6b, 7b). Then for higher shares of the vapour phase in the two-phase mixture during developed boiling, heat transfer coefficient values will decrease in a milder way, it reach values at the minichannel outlet that are many times lower in comparison to the inlet section where subcooled boiling is reached.

The presented calculation model and numerical results obtained by the authors were validated by comparing them with the literature data concerning a similar system with an asymmetrically-heated rectangular minichannel containing a boiling cooling liquid and with results determined using one-dimensional method based on Newton’s Law for convective heat transfer. The analysis showed that the values and distributions of the heat transfer coefficient were similar.
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