Agavin induces beneficial microbes in the shrimp microbiota under farming conditions
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Prebiotics and probiotics have shown a number of beneficial impacts preventing diseases in cultured shrimps. Complex soluble carbohydrates are considered ideal for fostering microbiota biodiversity by fermentable oligosaccharides, disaccharides, monosaccharides, and polyols (FODMAPS). Here we evaluated the growth performance and microbiota composition of the white shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei* after dietary intervention using agavin as a FODMAP prebiotic under farming conditions. Adult *L. vannamei* were raised at a shrimp farm and the effect of agavin supplemented at 2% (AG2) or 10% (AG10) levels were compared to an agavin-free basal diet (BD). After 28 days-trial, the feed conversion ratio, total feed ingested, and protein efficiency ratio was significantly improved on animals fed with AG2. At the same time, no effect on growth performance was observed in AG10. Surprisingly, after sequencing the V3–V4 regions of the 16S rRNA gene a higher microbial richness and diversity in the hepatopancreas and intestine was found only in those animals receiving the AG10 diet, while those receiving the AG2 diet had a decreased richness and diversity, both diets compared to the BD. The beta diversity analysis showed a clear significant microbiota clustering by agavin diets only in the hepatopancreas, suggesting that agavin supplementation had a more substantial deterministic effect on the microbiota of hepatopancreas than on the intestine. We analyzed the literature to search beneficial microbes for shrimp’s health and found sequences for 42 species in our 16S data, being significantly increased *Lactobacillus pentosus, Pseudomonas putida* and *Pseudomonas synxantha* in the hepatopancreas of the AG10 and *Rodopseudomonas palustris* and *Streptococcus thermophiles* in the hepatopancreas of the AG2, both compared to BD. Interestingly, when we analyzed the abundance of 42 beneficial microbes as a single microbial community “meta-community,” found an increase in their abundance as agavin concentration increases in the hepatopancreas. In addition, we also sequenced the DNA of agavin and found 9 of the 42 beneficial microbes. From those, *Lactobacillus lactis* and *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* were found in shrimps fed with agavin (both AG2 and AG10), and *Lysinibacillus fusiformis* in AG10 and they were absent the BD diet, suggesting these three species could be introduced with the agavin to the diet. Our work provides evidence that agavin supplementation is associated with an increase of beneficial microbes for the shrimp microbiota at farming conditions. Our study provides the first evidence that a shrimp prebiotic may selectively modify the microbiota in an organ-dependent effect.
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The diversity of microorganisms inhabiting the digestive tract of any organism, also known as the microbiota, has a profound effect on the host's physiology, from nutrient metabolism and immune system development to infection resistance and enhanced growth performance\(^1-3\). Several studies have shown the importance of the microbiota in their host, even in non-model organisms such as shrimp\(^4\). The microbiota composition in \textit{L. vannamei} depends on environmental factors such as water salinity and diet, and on biological factors such as the organ and the developmental stage\(^4,8\).

Shrimp production is the most profitable aquaculture activity globally, mainly due to the rising demand for \textit{L. vannamei} and \textit{P. monodon} species\(^5\). Unfortunately, many factors such as poor water quality, bacterial and viral infections may preclude an optimal shrimp production, yield, and reasonable conversion rate\(^8,9,10\). In many cases, these complications can be prevented with adequate management in shrimp farming, such as suitable water exchanges and pre and probiotics, considering the restrictions imposed on the antibiotics use\(^11-14\). Besides, the farm environment strongly influences the microbial interchange between water, sediment, and shrimps\(^7\). This interchange is essential to maintain an adequate balance between beneficial, innocuous, and pathogenic microbes.

The hepatopancreas and the intestine are critical organs for shrimp nutrition and growth. The hepatopancreas has a fundamental role in energy storage, detoxification, and synthesis of essential hormones, digestive enzymes\(^15-17\), and crucial molecules for the innate immune system that recognize, bind, and eliminate bacterial pathogens and viruses\(^18,19\). Additionally, the microbial communities associated with this organ perform functions that help maintain the metabolism and the immune system function\(^7\). On the other hand, the intestine is mainly involved in nutrient absorption. In general, host health is strongly influenced by the structural integrity of the intestine, the immune molecules, and the microbiota\(^20\). Many reports dealing with shrimp physiology conclude that, as in many other systems, a healthy intestine microbiota can produce beneficial metabolites such as short-chain fatty acids\(^21\).

The fishmeal is a traditional ingredient in shrimp diets, but it has become a limiting ingredient due to its high demand\(^22\), requiring the introduction of an adequate plant protein substitute. Unfortunately, as far as \textit{L. vannamei} is concerned, low fishmeal diets result in impaired growth and a deficient overall performance\(^23\).

Different approaches have modulated shrimp microbiota through diet, improving growth, disease resistance, and productivity. One strategy has been diet supplementation with probiotics, which exert their activity in the shrimp's digestive tract by inhibiting the proliferation of pathogens, stimulating the immune response, promoting shrimp growth, survival, and nutrient absorption\(^4,12,25,26,27\). The second strategy has been through diet supplementation with prebiotics, mainly using polysaccharides such as inulin, amylose, or fructooligosaccharides (FOS), promoting growth, survival, and positive immunological performance, also reducing the relative abundance of potential pathogens\(^4,28-30\).

More than 15% of higher species contain fructans, which in some species constitute the only reserved carbohydrate. Fructans are also one of the most important nutritional components in human and mammals diet acting both as soluble fiber and prebiotic\(^21\). Fructans are polymers with linear and branching in β2–1 or β2–6 fructofuranosyl residues, commonly water-soluble and synthesized from sucrose accumulation in the vacuole. Currently a narrow range of confirmed prebiotics exists, with linear chicory inulin dominating the market both as soluble fiber and prebiotic\(^21\). This contrasts with the fact that prebiotic effects depend both on the size and structure of fructans\(^33,34\). Consequently fructan diversity represents an interesting opportunity to design more efficient animal diets. It has been shown that agavin, the fructan component of agave, is a complex mixture of soluble carbohydrates with a wide variety of structural patterns in proportions that depend on the agave species, a combination so unique that led to the proposal to name these inulins as agavin. It has already been shown that as linear inulin, agavin also functions as a dietary fiber, as it is resistant to digestion, promoting beneficial bacteria growth\(^35-37\). Although agavin is increasingly used in human diets, its potential as a prebiotic in the culture of marine species has barely been explored. To our knowledge, only four studies have dealt with the role of agavin on shrimps' health, reporting a lower load of white spot syndrome virus (WSSV) and an increased survival\(^31\), as well as a significant increase in phenoloxidase activity, and in total hemocyte count\(^38,39\). In addition, agavin acts as a growth promoter, increasing the epithelium's height and the hepatopancreas' tubule area\(^40\). However, there are no previous reports regarding the agavin effect on the hepatopancreas, and the intestine microbiota of \textit{L. vannamei} analyzed through massive sequencing.

In this study we assessed the agavin effect on the microbiota structure of the intestine and the hepatopancreas, searching in particular for the enrichment of beneficial microbes and validating if the eventual microbiota modifications could be organ-dependent. To explore whether agavin modifies the microbiota in terms of taxonomy, α, and β-diversity profiles under farming conditions, a bioassay inside a pond of a shrimp farm was conducted. After a 28 days trial, we sequenced the V3–V4 hypervariable regions of the 16S rRNA gene and analyzed the microbiota structure in the intestine and hepatopancreas of \textit{L. vannamei}.

**Methods**

**Experimental diets.** The diets formulation and proximate composition are presented in Table 1. Three isoproteic and isolipidic diets were formulated according to the nutritional requirements for shrimp\(^41\). The diets contain approximately 375 g kg\(^{-1}\) of crude protein and 95 g kg\(^{-1}\) of crude lipid with three levels of agavin; the basal diet (BD) without agavin added, the AG2 diet containing 2% w/w of agavin, and AG10 containing 10% w/w of agavin. Corn starch and white wheat flour were used to compensate for the agavin addition.

The experimental diets were produced at the facilities of the IIO—UABC, following internal protocols. Briefly, the pulverized ingredients (Inmimex M-300, Mexico) were mixed (Robot Coupe R-60, USA) until obtaining a homogeneous mass. After that, the micronutrients were incorporated into the bulk meal. Next, the oil sources (soybean oil and fish oil) were mixed throughout, and finally, the water containing either, the cooked starch
sources and gelatin were added. Diets were then cold-pressed using a commercial-grade (Tor-o-Rey 5HP, Mexico) and dried at 60 °C for 12 h. All feeds were kept cooled (4 °C) throughout the feeding trial.

Agavins are inulins, fructose polymers (fructans) obtained for these experiments from the *Agave tequilana* Weber var. Azul as was previously described. Agave fructans used in this study were obtained from 5-year-old *A. tequilana* Weber var. azul plants cultivated in Morelos, Mexico. The plants were donated by the company AGROINDUSTRIA MEXICANA DEL AGAVE MORELENSE A.R. DE I.C. DE R.L, and identical specimens were previously used in another article. No specific permissions were required for the described study and it did not involve endangered or protected species. The Plants were treated according to standard university protocols. For this purpose, the agave fructans were extracted with hot water from 5 kg of shredded pines during 2 h at 70 °C with eventual agitation using an agave:water ratio of 1:1 (w/v). The aqueous extract was centrifuged to eliminate insoluble fibers and spray-dried directly in a Bowen BE-1448 instrument with a nozzle atomizer (Maryland, USA). After that, this substrate was used as the agavin for the diets. Agavins were structurally characterized by gel permeation chromatography (GPC) in a HPLC with a linear Ultrahydrogel column (Waters, Japan) using 0.1 mM NaNO₃ at 30 °C as eluent 0.8 mL/min. Using sucrose, 1-ketose, nystose, fructosyl-nystose, as well as dextrans as standards, we determined that these agavins had a weight average molecular weight (Mw) of 5890 Da and a number average molecular weight (Mn) of 3000 Da, with a polydispersity index (PI) of 1.96. This is equivalent to an estimated degree of polymerization of 17 (Fig. S1).

In terms of oligosaccharide content, agavins were characterized by HPAEC-PAD (High Performance Anion Exchange Chromatography coupled to a Pulsed Amperometric Detector) in a Dionex instrument with a CarboPac PA-200 (2 mm × 250 mm) column and an ED40 Electrochemical Detector. The column was equilibrated at 30 °C with 100 mM NaOH (J.T. Baker, Center Valley, U.S.A) at a flow rate of 0.5 mL/min. Fructan oligosaccharides were eluted with a sodium acetate (J.T. Baker, Center Valley, U.S.A) gradient: 5–100 mM in 20 min

### Table 1. Ingredients and proximate composition (g kg⁻¹ on a dry matter basis, DM) of diets containing three levels of agavin (0, 2, and 10%) to feed shrimp juveniles (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) under commercial conditions.

| Ingredients, g kg⁻¹ DM | BD | AG2 | AG10 |
|------------------------|----|-----|------|
| Fishmeal*              | 120| 120 | 120  |
| Poultry byproduct meal*| 200| 200 | 200  |
| Soybean meal (42% CP)*  | 120| 120 | 120  |
| Corn gluten*           | 40 | 40  | 40   |
| White wheat flour      | 119| 61  | 60   |
| Maizena* + (corn starch)| 220| 257 | 177  |
| Gelatin*               | 70 | 70  | 70   |
| Soybean Oil*           | 48 | 49  | 50   |
| Fish oil†              | 30 | 30  | 30   |
| Rovimix*               | 10 | 10  | 10   |
| Stay C†                | 0.7| 0.7 | 0.7  |
| AGAVIN                 | 0  | 20  | 100  |
| Sodium benzoate*       | 2.3| 2.3 | 2.3  |
| BHT†                   | 0.1| 0.1 | 0.1  |
| TOTAL                  | 1000| 1000| 1000 |

| Proximate composition, g kg⁻¹ |
|-------------------------------|
| Moisture                     | 26.8| 25.8| 26.4 |
| Crude Protein                | 373 | 375.7| 375.5|
| Crude Lipid                  | 96  | 95  | 94   |
| Ash                          | 58  | 57  | 55   |
| NFE                          | 446.2| 446.5| 449.1|

*Agavin origin and structure. Agavins are inulins, fructose polymers (fructans) obtained for these experiments from the *Agave tequilana* Weber var. Azul as was previously described. Agave fructans used in this study were obtained from 5-year-old *A. tequilana* Weber var. azul plants cultivated in Morelos, Mexico. The plants were donated by the company AGROINDUSTRIA MEXICANA DEL AGAVE MORELENSE A.R. DE I.C. DE R.L, and identical specimens were previously used in another article. No specific permissions were required for the described study and it did not involve endangered or protected species. The Plants were treated according to standard university protocols. For this purpose, the agave fructans were extracted with hot water from 5 kg of shredded pines during 2 h at 70 °C with eventual agitation using an agave:water ratio of 1:1 (w/v). The aqueous extract was centrifuged to eliminate insoluble fibers and spray-dried directly in a Bowen BE-1448 instrument with a nozzle atomizer (Maryland, USA). After that, this substrate was used as the agavin for the diets. Agavins were structurally characterized by gel permeation chromatography (GPC) in a HPLC with a linear Ultrahydrogel column (Waters, Japan) using 0.1 mM NaNO₃ at 30 °C as eluent 0.8 mL/min. Using sucrose, 1-ketose, nystose, fructosyl-nystose, as well as dextrans as standards, we determined that these agavins had a weight average molecular weight (Mw) of 5890 Da and a number average molecular weight (Mn) of 3000 Da, with a polydispersity index (PI) of 1.96. This is equivalent to an estimated degree of polymerization of 17 (Fig. S1).

In terms of oligosaccharide content, agavins were characterized by HPAEC-PAD (High Performance Anion Exchange Chromatography coupled to a Pulsed Amperometric Detector) in a Dionex instrument with a CarboPac PA-200 (2 mm × 250 mm) column and an ED40 Electrochemical Detector. The column was equilibrated at 30 °C with 100 mM NaOH (J.T. Baker, Center Valley, U.S.A) at a flow rate of 0.5 mL/min. Fructan oligosaccharides were eluted with a sodium acetate (J.T. Baker, Center Valley, U.S.A) gradient: 5–100 mM in 20 min
and 100–300 mM in 40 min, followed by 15 min at 300 mM and 15 min for initial conditions re-equilibration. A wide diversity of fructan oligosaccharides may be observed in the chromatogram obtained in this analysis, demonstrating the complex structure of this fructan source (Fig. S2). This product profile is similar to the one previously described in8. The resulting PCR products were purified with Ampure XP beads (Beckman Coulter Inc., USA), respectively. Next, the V3–V4 hypervariable region of the 16S rRNA genes was amplified using the universal primers 338F (5′-ACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAG-3′) and 533R (5′-TTACCGCGGCTGCTGGCAGC-3′), that have been used for studying shrimp microbiota43. The PCR reaction system and protocol have been previously described in4. The resulting PCR products were purified with Ampure XP beads (Beckman Coulter Inc., Cat. A63881, CA, USA) and barcoded according to the Illumina Sequencing Library Preparation user’s guide. Finally, each library’s concentration and size distribution was assessed with a Qubit fluorometer and an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA), respectively. The libraries were sequenced in an Illumina MiniSeq platform with a 2 × 250 Paired-End format at the Sequencing Unit from the National Institute of Genomic Medicine, Mexico.

Bioinformatic analysis. The primers and barcodes were eliminated, and sequences with < Q20 (6 bp sliding window) and containing ambiguous bases were discarded using Trimmmomatic. All quality-filtered sequences were joined and analyzed using QIIME (version 1.9). The sequences were clustered into operational taxonomic units (OTUs) based on 97% sequence similarity against the Green Genes (GG) sequence database version 13.8.
The singletons were excluded from downstream analyses. The generated OTU table was filtered to discard those OTUs with a total abundance <0.005%, as previously suggested, to eliminate the very low abundant OTUs. Finally, only the OTUs that met the following criteria were conserved for microbiota analysis: the OTU must appear in more than 50% of the samples of any treatment, and those OTUs that do not meet the above criteria must have a relative frequency equal to or greater than 0.01 (1%), as was previously suggested. Further, OTUs were taxonomically classified using uclust, and the alpha and beta diversity metrics from the OTU table were obtained using QIIME (version 1.9). The alpha diversity metrics were calculated and averaged after 10,000 iterations at a sequencing depth of 4321 reads. The comparison between the alpha diversity indices was evaluated using a Mann–Whitney test (non-parametric test) using a 95% level of confidence. The beta diversity was estimated by computing the weighted and unweighted UniFrac distances among samples from the phylogenetic tree and visualized using a PCoA built with ggplot in R. Finally, the OTUs were subjected to a LefSe analysis to obtain the significantly different OTUs among diets with a significant level (alpha) of 0.05 and the LDA threshold > 2. For all data, statistical significance was set at p < 0.05. The significant difference among groups in the distance matrices of every beta analysis was evaluated with ANOSIM.

**Detection of beneficial microbes in the microbiota.** We performed a systematic search of all available studies related to shrimp or prawn where beneficial microbes for shrimp health were identified. The SCOPUS database explored the studies using 36 keywords on July 29, 2019 (Table S2). This search resulted in 721 articles from which the title and abstract were screened and selected if they contained experimental results linking the bacteria with a beneficial effect on shrimp health. This process led to 80 bacterial species with positive impact on shrimps’ health (Table S3), resulting from an exhaustive literature search and not user-defined bacteria. From the 80 beneficial microbes, the Silva132 contained 16S sequences for 70 taxa and Green Genes 13.8 contains for 28 species. Thus, we used the Silva132 database including the 16S sequences for 70 species as a reference list (Table S3) to analyze the presence of the beneficial microbes in our samples. In this manner, the sequence analysis was done considering all species of the ribosomal databases so there is no bias to assign the sequences only to the beneficial bacteria on the list. To this end, we constructed a new BIOM table in which the assignment of OTUs was carried out against Silva132 as a sequence reference, with an identity level of 97%. From the newly generated BIOM table, the taxonomy was assigned at the species level with Qime 1.9.1, using the command summary_taxa_through_plots.py. The relative abundance for the beneficial microbes was taken from this taxonomy table. Finally, Wilcoxon tests were performed between the abundance of beneficial microbes for each diet and in each organ to determine if a significant enrichment existed in AG2 and AG10 versus BD diets, respectively.

**Results**

**Growth performance and nutrient efficiency indices.** The temperature (29.96 ± 1.28 °C), dissolved oxygen (4.40 ± 0.50 mg/L), pH (7.69 ± 0.25), and salinity (44.85 ± 0.74 ppt) remained constant and within optimal ranges for culture during the 28-day bioassay (Fig. S4). The shrimps fed with the diet containing 2% agavin (AG2) showed significantly lower FCR (p < 0.05) and significantly higher TFI and PER (p < 0.05) than BD (Table 2). However, no significant difference was observed in survival and the other evaluated parameters among all the groups (Table 2).

**General microbiota analysis.** The DNA from 71 libraries (35 hepatopancreas and 36 intestines) was sequenced with the Illumina Miseq platform, obtaining 2,582,697 sequences after quality filters, with an average of 36,376.01 ± 26,506.94 sequences per sample (Table S4). The Good’s coverage revealed we got > 99% of the total OTU’s for intestine and hepatopancreas samples, indicating that the sequencing effort represented the majority of the bacterial communities. Accordingly, the rarefaction curves also suggested an excellent resolution of bacterial communities at the obtained sequencing depth (Fig. S5). After frequency filters (see Methods), we got 724 total OTUs with 97% similarity, from which 97.51% were shared among the three diets (Fig. S6).

### Table 2. Growth performance parameters of *L. vannamei*. Values represent means (± S.D.) for three diets with three replicates (n = 9). G2 versus BD and AG10 versus BD columns represent the p-value for each comparison obtained with a Wilcoxon test. All parameters were determined following the formulas mentioned in the methodology. *Indicates significant p-values < 0.05. Significant values are in bold.

| Parameters                  | BD               | AG2              | AG10             | AG2 versus BD | AG10 versus BD |
|-----------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Initial weight (IW) (g)     | 10.80 ± 0.09     | 10.90 ± 0.44     | 11.27 ± 0.20     | 0.684         | 0.093           |
| Final weight (FW) (g)       | 12.87 ± 0.71     | 13.60 ± 0.42     | 13.92 ± 0.50     | 0.158         | 0.059           |
| Weight gain (WG) (g)        | 2.07 ± 0.79      | 2.70 ± 0.19      | 2.66 ± 0.56      | 0.22          | 0.25            |
| Percentage weight gain (PWG) (%) | 19.20 ± 7.45   | 24.81 ± 2.24     | 23.62 ± 5.25     | 0.25          | 0.35            |
| Feed conversion rate (FCR)  | 2.50 ± 0.50      | 1.18 ± 0.03      | 2.64 ± 0.18      | 0.0019*       | 0.5823          |
| Total feed intake (TFI) (g) | 31.86 ± 5.33     | 16.10 ± 0.87     | 36.63 ± 1.10     | 0.00091*      | 0.11601         |
| Protein efficiency ratio (PER) | 0.19 ± 0.10    | 0.46 ± 0.04      | 0.20 ± 0.05      | 0.0024*       | 0.8202          |
| Specific growth coefficient (FGC) (g dia⁻¹) | 0.65 ± 0.24 | 0.82 ± 0.07  | 0.78 ± 0.16      | 0.25          | 0.35            |
| Thermal growth coefficient (TGC) (g dia⁻¹ °C⁻¹) | 0.02 ± 0.006 | 0.02 ± 0.002 | 0.02 ± 0.004   | 0.24          | 0.32            |
| Survival (%)                | 95 ± 5           | 100 ± 0          | 98.33 ± 2.89     | 0.12          | 0.27            |
The organ was the main factor influencing the composition of the shrimp microbiota. As a first approach to gain insights into the global differences in the microorganism's composition, we performed a beta diversity of principal coordinate analysis (PCoA), including all the samples of both organs. The PCoA using UniFrac unweighted distances showed a more precise separation between hepatopancreas and intestine samples (Fig. 1A) than according to the diet (Fig. 1B). Accordingly, the ANOSIM analysis showed that the most critical factor affecting the microbial composition was the organ \( (R = 0.46, p = 0.001) \), followed by the diet \( (R = 0.056, p = 0.037) \). The PCoA using UniFrac weighted distances also significantly separated samples according to the organ and diet (Fig. S7). Thus, for further analysis, samples were separated by organ.

Diet had a more substantial deterministic effect on the microbiota of the hepatopancreas than of the intestine. The beta diversity analyses were carried out separately for each organ to determine the effect of experimental diets. Interestingly, the PCoA using UniFrac unweighted distances showed a clear clustering in the hepatopancreas associated with the diet (Fig. 1C), unlike the intestine, where clusters overlap.
Similar clustering of samples according to the diet was also observed in the PCoA using UniFrac weighted distances (Fig. S8). The ANOSIM confirmed that the impact of the diet was strong for both UniFrac unweighted ($R = 0.352, p = 0.001$), and weighted distances ($R = 0.175, p = 0.009$) on the hepatopancreas. Contrarily, the ANOSIM values for the intestine were non-significant for UniFrac unweighted ($R = 0.024, p = 0.203$), and significant for weighted although with lower values than for diet ($R = 0.091, p = 0.045$). These results suggest that supplementing the diet with agavin has a stronger influence on the hepatopancreas microbiota beta diversity than on the intestine's.

**Bacterial community was organ-diet dependent.** The alpha diversity analysis showed that the AG2 diet seemed to decrease the diversity and richness in both organs, being only significant in the intestine (Fig. 2A,B). Contrarily, the AG10 diet tended to increase the alpha diversity and richness in both organs. However, the difference was not significant (Fig. 2A,B).

We observed that most OTUs were shared among treatments (Fig. 2C,D). The most abundant phyla for hepatopancreas were **Proteobacteria** with 65.31%, 63.17%, and 54.18% for AG2, AG10, and BD diets respectively (Fig. S9) and for intestine with 60.76%, 54.79%, and 49.88% for AG2, AG10 and BD diets respectively (Fig. S9). In the hepatopancreas, **Pseudoalteromonadaceae** was the most abundant family (Fig. 3), with a higher percentage in AG2 (43.40%), followed by group BD (39.54%) and AG10 (34.90%). In the intestine, **Vibrionaceae** was the most abundant family in AG2 (29.70%), while **Pseudoalteromonadaceae** was the most abundant in BD, and AG10, with 26.04% and 23.34%, respectively (Fig. 3).

We performed a Lefse analysis for each organ to detect the taxa significantly enriched among diets: in Figs. 4 and 5, the top 10 enriched taxa are listed. When comparing the results obtained with the AG2 versus BD diets in the hepatopancreas, we found 62 significantly enriched taxa in the former. In contrast, when comparing the results obtained with the AG10 versus BD diets, 123 taxa were considerably enriched when the shrimps consumed the AG10 diet. Furthermore, there were fewer differentially enriched taxa in the intestines. When comparing the AG2 versus BD results, we only found eight enriched taxa for the AG2 diet. In contrast, in the AG10 versus BD comparison, 26 significantly enriched taxa were identified when the AG10 diet was consumed. These results suggest that AG10, the diet containing 10% of agavin, induced the enrichment of the highest number of taxa in both organs. The highest impact of diets in differentially abundant taxa in the hepatopancreas was in agreement with the more substantial effect also observed on the beta diversity for this organ.
Figure 3. Taxonomic diversity and abundance of all 35 sequenced samples at family level. The Stacked-bar plot represents the relative abundance for (A) hepatopancreas and (B) intestine samples. (C) Relative abundance in the hepatopancreas and intestine for treatment. Only the top 20 is shown; the sum of the remaining taxonomic groups is indicated as “Others”.
**Figure 4.** Heatmap of LEfSE results of enriched taxonomic groups in hepatopancreas and their abundance distribution per sample by agavin treatment. (A) A2 diet and (B) A10 diet. Bar plots represent the log10 LDA score for each classification. Only the top 10 taxonomic levels bearing informative taxonomic labels and LDA effect size > 2 (log10) scale are shown. The heatmap shows the abundance of those taxa in the samples with more abundant taxa in yellow.

**Figure 5.** Heatmap of LEfSE results of enriched taxonomic groups in intestines and their abundance distribution per sample by agavin treatment. (A) A2 diet and (B) A10 diet. Bar plots represent the log10 LDA score for each classification. Only the top 10 taxonomic levels bearing informative taxonomic labels and LDA effect size > 2 (log10) scale are shown. The heatmap shows the abundance of those taxa in the samples with more abundant taxa in yellow.
Tracking beneficial microbes in hepatopancreas and intestine microbiota. We analyzed the abundance of beneficial microbes in the microbiota of both organs (see Methods). From the 80 species with suggested positive impact on shrimp's health (Table S3) obtained from the literature search, we found 16S sequences for 42 species in our samples (Table S5). We tested if the abundance of these species increased depending on the diet in each of the organs. We found a significant increased abundance for five species in the hepatopancreas of both agavin diets (Table 3). Interestingly, when we considered the abundance of all beneficial microbes as a single microbial “meta-community,” we found an increased abundance in both organs when receiving agavin diets (Fig. 6). However, only the hepatopancreas showed increased meta-community abundance as agavin concentration increased. On the contrary, only the AG2 diet increased the meta-community abundance in the intestine, while the AG10 maintained only a slight increase compared to the control BD diet (Fig. 6).

Presence of beneficial microbes in the microbiota of Agavin. To identify if the agavin could be the possible origin of the beneficial microbes, we also extracted the total DNA from one agavin sample and sequenced the V3-V4 hypervariable regions, determining the microbiota of the prebiotic.

From the 42 beneficial microbes found in the shrimp microbiota, we observed nine species already present in agavin. Of those nine, five species were present in all shrimp samples (Fig. 7). Interestingly, the other two species (L. lactis supsp. lactis and L. delbrueckii) were only found in shrimps fed with agavin (both AG2 and AG10) but were absent in shrimps fed with the BD diet (Fig. 7). Furthermore, L. fusiformis, present in agavin, was only found in shrimps fed with AG10 (Fig. 7). These results suggest that these three beneficial microbes could have been introduced with agavin, as they were absent in shrimps fed with the BD diet.

Discussion

It is essential to understand the role the microbiota plays in shrimp health and disease in order to manage its composition during stressful conditions that could affect during production in farms. In this context, several studies have shown the beneficial effect of prebiotics in shrimp production facilities. In our study, the application of a diet supplemented with 2% agavin showed a favorable impact on the shrimp growth parameters, as revealed by significantly lower FCA and feed intake, in agreement with other studies where dietary fibers are included in the diet as prebiotics. This improvement in the FCA could be related to the significantly higher protein efficiency ratio in the diet obtained with a 2% agavin enriched diet compared to the basal diet, suggesting that changes in the microbiota could be associated with increased protein intake efficiency. In this regard, the same effect was previously observed in the fish Totoaba macdonaldi using diets enriched with agavin. Interestingly,
A higher proportion of agavin in the shrimp diet (AG10) maintains the same growth performance parameters as the shrimps fed with the BD diet. We suggest that the improvement of growth performance parameters using 2% agavin may be due to a satiety effect that was observed by a lower feed intake, contrary to the observed using 10% agavin. Dose-dependent effects of inulins have been observed in humans, where a higher dose does not necessarily have a better effect, but the opposite may be the case\(^\text{52}\).

Corn starch and white wheat flour were used to compensate for the agavin addition. Both are starch sources, such as cornstarch (pure starch) and white wheat flour (very low protein content), which also contains it even if it comes from different grain (corn and wheat). Both were used to compensate for the amount of agavin in the diets. Not much difference was encountered among treatments considering that figures represent g kg\(^{-1}\), being that both together correspond to 33.9, 31.8, and 23.7% in diets. Cooked starch in shrimp did not affect growth or palatability. It is generally used as a filler\(^\text{53}\) to compensate for the ingredients used in a particular experiment. In addition, very large variations in feed component levels such as fibers did not cause any difference in the gut passage time on \(L.\ vannamei\)\(^\text{54}\).

The addition of low-dose agavin (2%) to the diet showed a tendency to decrease the microbiota diversity and richness in shrimp hepatopancreas and intestines (Fig. 3), with a reduction in the intestines when compared with the basal diet. The decrease in diversity and richness of the shrimp intestine microbiota has also been observed when alternative prebiotics such as poly-beta-hydroxybutyrate and inulin in the diet has been studied\(^\text{49,55}\). Unexpectedly, a higher dose of agavin (10%) in the diet led to an increased richness and diversity in both organs. However, this difference was not significant when compared with the basal diet. Nevertheless, increases in richness and diversity have also been observed in shrimp intestines using poly-beta-hydroxybutyrate and dietary resistant starch at lower prebiotic concentrations, while diversity and richness decreased at higher prebiotic concentrations\(^\text{50,55}\).

Generally, for different organisms, a higher microbiota diversity is associated with a healthy host condition than a lower diversity one, as a more comprehensive number of species is usually associated with more robust stability, resistance, and resilience to environmental stress, due to functional redundancy\(^\text{56–58}\). However, in humans and mice, an increase in the diversity and richness of the intestine microbiota has been associated with pathological states such as the development of Alzheimer’s and metabolic complications related to obesity or aging\(^\text{59–61}\).

Contradictory observations regarding the association of richness and diversity with host health have also been reported for shrimp, where a greater microbial diversity does not necessarily imply a healthier status\(^\text{62}\). For example, the intestines of healthy cultured shrimps have lower diversity and richness than diseased shrimps with AHPND\(^\text{7}\). Nevertheless, it has been also published that AHPND is associated with a significant reduction in bacterial diversity of the stomach compared to that of healthy individuals\(^\text{63}\). In contrast, there is a considerable reduction in the intestine microbial diversity of shrimps with White feces syndrome (WFS)\(^\text{64}\) compared to asymptomatic individuals. Interestingly, there is no change in microbial intestine diversity in shrimps infected with White spot syndrome virus (WSSV) and cotton shrimp-like disease\(^\text{65,66}\).

Our study demonstrates that changes in shrimp microbiota depend on agavin concentration in the diet. The overall microbial richness and diversity and the abundance of probiotic bacteria increased with a high dose of agavin (10%). In contrast, richness, diversity, and the abundance of probiotic bacteria decreased with a low agavin dose (2%). Changes in the shrimp’s intestine microbiota have been observed to be dose-dependent of the prebiotic. For example, low doses (1%) of poly-beta-hydroxybutyrate and resistant starch, induced a high microbial diversity, while higher concentrations (3–5%) reduced the diversity\(^\text{50,55}\). Furthermore, it has also been observed that in diets with a concentration of 0.4% of inulin, the diversity and richness were lower than the control\(^\text{69}\). The effect of prebiotic of decreasing the microbiota richness and diversity may be result from the synthesis of short-chain fatty acids, which have shown a similar effect on the alpha diversity of \(L.\ vannamei\)\(^\text{70}\).

Figure 7. Venn diagram of beneficial microbes found between the agavin and experimental diets.
The use of prebiotics has been shown to profoundly impact the diversity and richness of the microbiota of *L. vannamei*. Hasyimi et al. reported that using honey as a prebiotic caused an increase in diversity and richness in the intestines of *L. vannamei* and had a favorable impact on its growth. These results contrast with those reported by Zhou et al., who observed that inulin causes a decrease in the richness and diversity of the microbiota. The dose-dependent effect of prebiotics has also been observed in other species. The oligosaccharides of bovine origin have been shown to increase the abundance of probiotic bacteria of *Bifidobacterium* while reducing the diversity and richness of the microbiota in infants. Hoffman et al. reported that mice fed with inulin presented less richness and diversity than mice in the control group. However, inulin-fed mice increased the relative abundance of beneficial taxa such as the genus *Prevotella*, while the abundance of potentially pro-inflammatory genera, such as *Escherichia* and *Tsrubicia*, decreased.

It is not easy to control many variables when bioassays are carried out directly under farming conditions. In contrast, the results are closer to technological applications for the aquaculture sector. As it is impossible to observe whether the shrimp are fed under the circumstances of our bioassay, feed consumption was measured from the offered food, and feed adjustments were made daily using the typical doses applied in the shrimp farm. Much of the literature comes from laboratory bioassays where many conditions are controlled, and the results are not transferable to real-life conditions. Our work has precisely addressed the natural environmental variables in semi-intensive field conditions. Recent work addresses the pitfalls and issues of a shrimp bioassay under intensive conditions. In intensive culture systems, environmental variables have been parameterized through the culture production tracking protocol. Under commercial production conditions, there is less control of environmental variables than under laboratory conditions or experimental production, so the management protocol seeks to standardize the production pools. The bioassay was designed to evaluate the effect over the microbiota on a 28-days-trial, more than a nutritional study. Whereas the changes in the microbiota have further effects over longer terms is to be addressed in further studies. Studies such as Lee & Lee reported feeding trials with durations between 36 and 42 days. Another recent work used a 21-days feeding trial to evaluate the effect of prebiotics on *L. vannamei* postlarvae and water quality.

The hepatopancreas and intestine of the shrimp are essential organs for nutrient absorption and digestion. Both organs are continuously exposed to external stimuli, including beneficial microbes, pathogens, and many small molecules from food, surrounding sediment and water. Additionally, the hepatopancreas is the main metabolic organ of shrimps. It is the primary source of immune molecules, such as lectins, hemocyanin, ferritin, antibacterial and antiviral proteins, proteolytic enzymes, and nitric oxide. Interestingly, the addition of agavin only exerts a significant effect on the beta-diversity structure of the hepatopancreas, clustering the microbiota according to each diet. In contrast, this effect was not present in the beta-diversity analysis of intestines (Fig. 1). This behavior suggests a deterministic process by adding agavin to the diet, an effect that was strong in the hepatopancreas than intestines. Furthermore, disease emergence was correlated with reduced deterministic processes that influence microbiota composition and a more stochastic assembly of intestine colonizers. Overall, these results suggest that agavin could be an excellent FODMAP prebiotic for hepatopancreas-associated diseases due to the deterministic effect in the microbiota structure of this organ. This behavior also indicates that the microbiota structure of the hepatopancreas could be more influenced by prebiotics than the microbiota of the intestine. Interestingly, some studies have revealed that the microbiota of the hepatopancreas has larger stability than the intestine microbiota as it plays a crucial role in host energy and nutrient assimilation.

Supplementation with 2% agavin improved growth performance, although the abundance of beneficial microbes was significantly higher at 10% compared to the control. However, the growth performance at 10% was the same observed for the basal diet. This could lead to considering that a food supplemented with 10% agavin offers better protection than 2% against possible pathogens without reducing shrimps’ growth performance. These findings clarify that prebiotic concentration is crucial when implementing a prebiotic strategy in shrimp farming.

The use of agavin as a prebiotic capable of promoting a favorable phenotype, and the enrichment of beneficial bacteria genera such as *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium*, has been widely studied in mice and rodents. The intestinal microbiota ferments agavin producing short-chain fatty acids as one of the primary metabolites and bacteria. These are capable of reversing metabolic disorders generated by a high-fat diet in mice, and are involved in the optimal development of the epithelium, both of the hepatopancreas and the intestine in shrimp. The hepatopancreas from AG2 was enriched with genera *Methylobacterium* and *Bradyrhizobium*. Members of *Bradyrhizobium* are capable of nitrogen fixation. Different animals use bacterial nitrogen fixation to compensate for nutritionally unbalanced diets with high carbon and low nitrogen. Interestingly, the protein efficiency ratio was significantly higher in the diet with 2% agavin than the control, suggesting that the enriched *Bradyrhizobium* could participate in nitrogen fixation, triggering the increase in protein efficiency ratio. A similar process was recently proposed for *Totoaba macdonaldi*, a predatory fish in which a diet supplemented with 2% agavin also showed higher protein efficiency via enrichment of *Sinorhizobium*, a nitrogen-fixing bacteria genus. On the other hand, the *Bacillales* family and specifically the *Bacillaceae* genus were enriched in the intestines of the AG10 diet. The probiotic potential of *Bacillus* bacteria is well known, a multifunctional probiotic bacterium with a tested capacity to increase aquaculture profitability. There are many articles dealing with the advantage of *Bacillus* as a probiotic in shrimp aquaculture. More recently, the probiotic effects of *Bacillus* strains directly isolated from shrimp farms have been successfully demonstrated. In this regard, our work suggests that a combination of *Bacillus* species with 10% agavin could be a successful symbiotic for shrimp aquaculture.
expression of genes involved in the immune system, as affected by agavin. To our knowledge this is the first report dealing with the evaluation of diet on the hepatopancreas microbiota composition, suggesting that it is more susceptible to diet than the intestinal microbiota under the conditions employed in our experiments.
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