A NON-ASSOCIATIVE BAKER-CAMPBELL-HAUSDORFF FORMULA
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ABSTRACT. We address the problem of constructing the non-associative version of the Dynkin form of the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula; that is, expressing \( \log(\exp(x) \exp(y)) \), where \( x \) and \( y \) are non-associative variables, in terms of the Shestakov-Umirbaev primitive operations. In particular, we obtain a recursive expression for the Magnus expansion of the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff series and an explicit formula in degrees smaller than 5. Our main tool is a non-associative version of the Dynkin-Specht-Wever Lemma. A construction of Bernouilli numbers in terms of binary trees is also recovered.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula is the expansion of \( \log(\exp(x) \exp(y)) \) in terms of nested commutators for the non-commuting variables \( x \) and \( y \), where the commutator of \( a \) and \( b \) is defined as \([a, b] := ab - ba\). The explicit combinatorial form of it was given by Dynkin in his 1947 paper [5]. By considering the linear extension of the map \( \gamma \) defined by \( \gamma(1) := 0, \gamma(x) := x, \gamma(y) := y, \gamma(ux) := [\gamma(u), x] \) and \( \gamma(uy) := [\gamma(u), y] \) he proved that

\[
\log(\exp(x) \exp(y)) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n} \sum_{r_i + s_i \geq 1} \frac{(\sum_{j=1}^{n} (r_j + s_j))^{-1}}{r_1! s_1! \cdots r_n! s_n!} \gamma(x^{r_1} y^{s_1} \cdots x^{r_n} y^{s_n}).
\]

This series is related to Lie’s Third Theorem and the history around it is too rich to be retold here, so we refer the reader to the recent monograph [2] and references therein for a historical account.

The Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula, as well as many other results in Lie theory, firmly belongs to associative algebra. However, after the work of Mikheev and Sabinin on local analytic loops [12] and the description of the primitive operations in non-associative algebras by Shestakov and Umirbaev [13], associativity does not seem to be as essential for the Lie theory as previously thought [10].

In this paper we address the problem of determining \( \text{BCH}_l(x, y) \) in

\[
\exp_l(x) \exp_l(y) = \exp_l(\text{BCH}_l(x, y)),
\]
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where
\[ \exp_l(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n!} ((xx) \cdots x)x, \]
in terms of Shestakov-Umirbaev operations for the primitive elements of the non-associative algebra freely generated by \( x \) and \( y \). Our approach uses a generalization of the Magnus expansion (see [1] for a readable survey), that is, we will study the differential equation
\[ X'(t) = X(t)A(t), \]
where \( X(t) \) stands for \( \exp_l(\Omega(t)) \) and both \( A(t) \) and \( \Omega(t) \) belong to a non-associative algebra. The differential equation
\[ \Omega'(t) = A(t) + \sum J_n P_J(\Omega(t); A(t)) \]
satisfied by \( \Omega(t) \) (Corollary 3.6) is obtained with the help of a non-associative version of the Dynkin-Specht-Wever Lemma (Lemma 3.1). This equation leads to a recursive formula for computing the expansion of \( \text{BCH}_l(x,y) \), which gives, in degrees smaller than 5, the following expression:
\[
\begin{align*}
\text{BCH}_1(x,y) &= x + y + \frac{1}{2}[x,y] \\
&+ \frac{1}{12}[x,[x,y]] - \frac{1}{3}\{x;x,y\} - \frac{1}{12}[y,[x,y]] - \frac{1}{6}\{y;x,y\} - \frac{1}{2}\Phi(x;y,y) \\
&- \frac{1}{24}\{x;x,[x,y]\} - \frac{1}{12}\{x,\{x;x,y\}\} - \frac{1}{8}\{x;x,x\} \\
&+ \frac{1}{24}\{[x,[x,y]],y\} - \frac{1}{24}\{x,\{y;x,y\}\} - \frac{1}{4}\Phi(x;x,y,y) - \frac{1}{4}[x,\Phi(x;y,y)] \\
&- \frac{1}{24}\{[x;x,y],y\} - \frac{1}{24}\{x,\{y;x,y\}\} - \frac{1}{6}\{y;x,x\} + \frac{1}{24}\{y,x;x\} \\
&+ \frac{1}{12}\Phi(x;y,y),y\} + \frac{1}{24}\{y;y,[x,y]\} - \frac{1}{24}\{y;x,y\} - \frac{1}{6}\Phi(x;y,y) \\
&+ \ldots
\end{align*}
\]
When all the operations apart from \([,]\) vanish we recover the usual Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula. A different approach to the non-associative Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula has appeared in [8]; it does not explicitly use the Dynkin-Specht-Wever lemma or the Magnus expansion. For the treatment of the subject from the point of view of differential geometry see [16]; actually, geometric considerations also motivate a different type of a Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula, see [11]; although it is of importance for the non-associative Lie theory, we shall not consider it here.

Our results are presented for the unital \( k \)-algebra of formal power series \( k\{x,y\} \) in two non-associative variables \( x \) and \( y \). Readers with background in non-associative structures will realize that a more natural context for the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula is the completion of the universal enveloping algebra of a relatively free Sabinin algebra on two generators. The extension of our results to that context is rather straightforward.

Readers familiar with free Lie algebras might wonder about the existence, behind the scenes, of certain non-associative Lie idempotents responsible for some of our formulas. The answer is affirmative; however, this topic is not discussed in the present paper since it requires some knowledge of Sabinin algebras and treating
it would significantly increase the length of text. Very briefly, the context for the non-associative Lie idempotents is as follows.

One can start with a variety Ω of loops containing all the abelian groups and define a relatively free Sabinin algebra $\text{Sab}_Ω(X)$ associated to the variety Ω and freely generated by $X := \{x_1, x_2, \ldots\}$. Let $U(\text{Sab}_Ω(X))$ be the universal enveloping algebra of $\text{Sab}_Ω(X)$. This algebra is a non-associative graded Hopf algebra

$$U(\text{Sab}_Ω(X)) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} U_n$$

once we set $|x_i| := i$. The convolution

$$(f \ast g)(x) = \sum f(x_1)g(x_2)$$

defines a non-associative product on the space of $k$-linear maps $\text{End}(U(\text{Sab}_Ω(X)))$.

The subalgebra generated by the projections $\text{Id}_n$ of $U(\text{Sab}_Ω(X))$ onto $U_n$ (where $n = 0, 1, \ldots$) with respect to this convolution product, which in the associative setting is anti-isomorphic to Solomon’s descent algebra, is isomorphic as a graded Hopf algebra to $U(\text{Sab}_Ω(X))$. Therefore, $U(\text{Sab}_Ω(X))$ is a non-associative Hopf algebra with an extra associative (inner) product inherited from the composition in $\text{End}(U(\text{Sab}_Ω(X)))$. In the associative case, this is the subject of study in the theory of non-commutative symmetric functions, so a similar theory seems possible in the non-associative setting. Eulerian, Dynkin and Klyachko idempotents, among others, are easily understood in $U(\text{Sab}_Ω(X))$ as particular examples of primitive elements with respect to the comultiplication that, in addition, are idempotent with respect to the associative inner product, and they ultimately explain some of the formulas in this paper.

1.1. **Notation.** Throughout this paper the characteristic of the base field $k$ is zero. The unital associative $k$-algebra freely generated by a set of generators $X$ will be denoted by $k\langle X \rangle$ while $k\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ will stand for the unital associative algebra of formal power series on $X$ with coefficients in $k$. Their non-associative counterparts, namely, the unital non-associative $k$-algebra freely generated by $X$ and the unital non-associative $k$-algebra of formal power series on $X$ with coefficients in $k$, will be denoted by $k\{X\}$ and $k\{\{X\}\}$ respectively. For any algebra $H$, $H[[t]]$ will denote the algebra of formal power series in $t$ with coefficients in $H$. The parameter $t$ commutes and associates with all the elements in $H[[t]]$. Finally, we will stick to the following order of parentheses for powers: $x^n := (((xx) \cdots) x) x$ ($n$ times).

2. **Fundamentals**

2.1. **Non-associative Hopf algebras.** A coalgebra $(C, \Delta, \epsilon)$ is a vector space equipped with two linear maps $\Delta: C \to C \otimes C$ (comultiplicaton) and $\epsilon: C \to k$ (counit) such that

$$\sum \epsilon(x_1)x_2(x_2) = x = \sum \epsilon(x_2)x_1(x_1),$$

where $\sum x_1 \otimes x_2$ stands for $\Delta(x)$ (*Sweedler notation*). Coassociative and cocommutative coalgebras are those coalgebras $(C, \Delta, \epsilon)$ that, in addition, satisfy

$$(\Delta \otimes \text{Id})\Delta = (\text{Id} \otimes \Delta)\Delta$$
(coassociativity) and $\tau \Delta = \Delta$ (cocommutativity) where $\tau(x \otimes y) = y \otimes x$. Coassociativity ensures that
\[
\sum x_{(1)(1)} \otimes x_{(1)(2)} \otimes x_{(2)} = \sum x_{(1)} \otimes x_{(2)(1)} \otimes x_{(2)(2)}
\]
so we can safely write $\sum x_{(1)} \otimes x_{(2)} \otimes x_{(3)}$ for any of the sides of this equality. For coassociative coalgebras the result of the iterated application $n$ times of $\Delta$ to $x$ does not depend on the selected factors and it is denoted by $\sum x_{(1)} \otimes x_{(2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{(n+1)}$. Cocommutativity ensures that we can freely permute the factors of $\sum x_{(1)} \otimes x_{(2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{(n+1)}$ without altering the value of this expression \[14\].

In this paper, by a (non-associative) Hopf algebra $(H, m, u, \setminus, /, \Delta, \epsilon)$ we shall mean a cocommutative and coassociative coalgebra $(H, \Delta, \epsilon)$ endowed with the following linear maps: a product $m: H \otimes H \to H$, a unit $u: k \to H$, a left division $\setminus: H \otimes H \to H$ and a right division $/$: $H \otimes H \to H$ so that $\Delta(xy) = \Delta(x)\Delta(y)$, $\Delta(1) = 1 \otimes 1$, $\epsilon(xy) = \epsilon(x)\epsilon(y)$, $\epsilon(1) = 1$ and
\[
(2) \quad \sum x_{(1)} \setminus (x_{(2)}y) = \epsilon(x)y = \sum x_{(1)}(x_{(2)} \setminus y)
\]
\[
(3) \quad \sum (yx_{(1)})\setminus(x_{(2)}y) = \epsilon(xy) = \sum (y/x_{(1)})x_{(2)}
\]
where $xy := m(x \otimes y)$ and $1 := u(1)$ is the unit element (see \[10\] for a survey on non-associative Hopf algebras). In case that $H$ is associative then the left and right divisions can be written as $x \setminus y = S(x)y$ and $x/y = xS(y)$ where $S$ is the antipode. However, non-associative Hopf algebras lack antipodes in general.

2.2. The free unital non-associative algebra $k\{X\}$. The most important example of a non-associative Hopf algebra in this paper is the unital non-associative algebra $k\{X\}$ freely generated by $X := \{x_1, x_2, \ldots\}$. The maps $\Delta: x_i \mapsto x_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x_i$ and $\epsilon: x_i \mapsto 0$ ($i = 1, 2, \ldots$) induce homomorphisms of unital algebras $\Delta: k\{X\} \to k\{X\} \otimes k\{X\}$ and $\epsilon: k\{X\} \to k$ so that $(k\{X\}, \Delta, \epsilon)$ is a coassociative and cocommutative coalgebra. By induction on the degree of $x$, the formulas \[2\] and \[3\] uniquely determine the left and the right division in $k\{X\}$. For instance, $1\setminus (1y) = \epsilon(1)y$ implies $1\setminus y = y$ and
\[
x_i \setminus (1y) + 1\setminus (x_i y) = \epsilon(x_i) y = 0 \quad \text{implies} \quad x_i \setminus y = -x_i y
\]
etc. The operations $\Delta$, $\epsilon$, $\setminus$ and $/$, together with the product and the unit, provide $k\{X\}$ with the structure of a non-associative Hopf algebra. Far from being a fancy feature, the divisions are a valuable tool for computations.

2.3. Primitive elements of $k\{X\}$ and the Shestakov-Umirbaev operations. An element $a$ in a Hopf algebra $H$ such that
\[
\Delta(a) = a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes a
\]
is called primitive; the subspace of all such elements is denoted by $\text{Prim}(H)$. While for associative Hopf algebras this subspace is a Lie algebra with the commutator product $[x, y] := xy - yx$, Shestakov and Umirbaev \[13\] realized that if $H$ is non-associative, many more operations are required to describe its algebraic structure completely.

Let $X := \{x, x_1, x_2, \ldots\}$, $Y := \{y, y_1, y_2, \ldots\}$ and $Z := \{z\}$ be disjoint sets of symbols that we take to be the free generators of $k\{X \cup Y \cup Z\}$. Write $x :=$
\((x_1 x_2) \cdots x_m, y := ((y_1 y_2) \cdots) y_n\) and define
\begin{equation}
(4) \quad p(x_1, \ldots, x_m; y_1, \ldots, y_n; z) := p(x, y, z) := \sum \langle x_{(1)} y_{(2)} \rangle (\langle x_{(2)} y_{(2)} \rangle, z)
\end{equation}
in \(k \{ X \cup Y \cup Z \}\), where \((x, y, z)\) denotes the associator \((xy)z - x(yz)\) of \(x, y\) and \(z\). Each of the elements \(p(x, y, z)\) is primitive. Considered as non-associative polynomials, \(p(x_1, \ldots, x_m; y_1, \ldots, y_n; z)\) can be evaluated in any algebra \(A\) so we can think of them as of new multilinear operations derived from the binary product of \(A\). Define
\begin{equation}
[x, y] := xy - yx
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
\Phi(x_1, \ldots, x_m; y_1, \ldots, y_n; y_{n+1}) := \frac{1}{m! (n+1)!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n, \tau \in S_{m+1}} p(x_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma(m)}; y_{\tau(1)}, \ldots, y_{\tau(n)}; y_{\tau(n+1)})
\end{equation}
where \(m, n \geq 1\) and \(S_k\) stands for the symmetric group on \(\{1, \ldots, k\}\). In order to simplify the notation, for \(m = 0\) we write
\begin{equation}
\langle y, z \rangle := \langle x_1, \ldots, x_m; y, z \rangle := \langle 1; y, z \rangle := -[y, z].
\end{equation}
With this convention, \((1)\) gives
\begin{equation}
(xy)z - (x)yz = - \sum x_{(1)} \langle x_{(2)}; y, z \rangle.
\end{equation}
Shestakov and Umirbaev proved that
\begin{equation}
(\text{Prim}(k\{X\}), \langle ; ; \rangle, \Phi( ; ; ))\text{ is generated by }X.
\end{equation}
Thus, while \((1)\) can be written in terms of commutators, the natural language to write its non-associative counterpart uses \(\langle ; ; \rangle\) and \(\Phi( ; ; )\).

**2.4. Exponentials, logarithms and the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula.** The algebra \(k\{x\}\) (respectively, \(k\langle x \rangle\)) of formal power series in \(x\) with coefficients in \(k\) is a topological Hopf algebra with the continuous extension of the operations of the Hopf algebra \(k\{x\}\) (respectively, \(k\langle x \rangle\)). Since \(\text{Prim}(k\langle x \rangle) = kx\), the group-like elements of \(k\langle x \rangle\), that is, the elements \(g\) such that \(\Delta(g) = g \otimes g\) and \(\epsilon(g) = 1\), are of the form \(\exp(\alpha x)\) with \(\alpha \in k\). Therefore, \(\exp(x)\) is, in a sense, canonical among all of them. However, \(\text{Prim}(k\{x\})\) is infinite-dimensional and \(k\{x\}\) has an infinite number of group-like elements that could rightfully be considered as the non-associative analogs of the exponential series. Apart from the most obvious non-associative versions of the exponential
\begin{equation}
\exp_1(x) := \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n!} ((x x) \cdots x) x \quad \text{and} \quad \exp_n(x) := \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n!} x \langle x \langle \cdots \langle x \rangle \rangle,
\end{equation}
other series have been proposed as non-associative analogs of \(\exp(x) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n / n!\), each leading to a different logarithm \(7\).

**Definition 2.1.** A group-like element \(e(x) \in k\{x\}\) is a base for logarithms if its homogeneous component \(e_1(x)\) of degree one in \(x\) is not zero. We say that the base for logarithms \(e(x)\) is normalized if \(e_1(x) = x\).
Associated with any base for logarithms $e(x)$ there exists a primitive element
\( \log_e(x) \in k\{x\} \) determined by
\[
\exp(\log_e(x)) = x = \log_e(e(x)).
\]
The exponentiation on \( k\{x\} \) with base \( e(x) \) and the logarithm on \( k\{x\} \) to the base \( e(x) \) are the maps
\[
e: k\{x\} \to 1 + k\{x\} \quad \quad \log_e: 1 + k\{x\} \to k\{x\}
\]
where \( k\{x\} \) denotes the space of formal power series with zero constant term. Both maps are inverse to each other and give a bijection between the primitive and the group-like elements in \( k\{x\} \). The logarithms to the bases \( \exp(x) \) and \( \exp_e(x) \) will be denoted by \( \log_x \) and \( \log_e \), respectively.

Any base for logarithms \( e(x) \) determines a Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff series in \( k\{x, y\} \):
\[
\text{BCH}_e(x, y) := \log_e(e(x)\log_e(y)).
\]
The element \( \text{BCH}_e(x, y) \) is primitive so it can be written in terms of the Shestakov-Umirbaev operations \( \langle ; , \rangle \) and \( \Phi( ; ) \). Since these operations are defined via the left-normed products \( x \cdot y \) and \( y \cdot x \), the base \( \exp_x(x) \) is better adapted to recursive computations. In \( \log_e(1 + x) \) has been described as follows. For \( \tau = x \) set \( B_x := \tau! := 1 \). If \( \tau \neq x \) is a non-associative monomial in \( x \), there is only one way of writing \( \tau \) as a product \( \ldots ((x\gamma_1)\gamma_2)\ldots\gamma_k \). Set \( B_{\gamma} := B_k \gamma_1 \ldots B_{\gamma_k} \) and \( \gamma! := k!\gamma_1!\ldots\gamma_k! \) where \( B_k \) is the \( k \)-th Bernoulli number. With this notation we have
\[
\log_e(1 + x) = \sum_{\tau} B_{\tau} \tau! \tau \in k\{x\}.
\]
The Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff series for different bases are related in a straightforward manner. If \( e \) and \( f \) are two bases for logarithms, the series \( h(x) := \log_f(e(x)) \) is a primitive element of \( k\{x\} \) whose term of degree 1 is non-zero. In particular, it has a composition inverse \( h^{-1}(x) = \log_e(f(x)) \) such that \( h^{-1}(h(x)) = x \). It is then clear that
\[
\text{BCH}_e(x, y) = h^{-1}(\text{BCH}_f(h(x), h(y))).
\]
Moreover, for any Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff series \( \text{BCH}(x, y) \) and any primitive \( h \in k\{x\} \) with \( h_1 \neq 0 \), the series \( h^{-1}(\text{BCH}(h(x), h(y))) \) is also a Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff series for some base.

3. A Nonassociative Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff Formula

3.1. A non-associative Dynkin-Specht-Wever Lemma. Let \( d \) be a derivation of \( k\{x\} \) that preserves \( \text{Prim}(k\{x\}) \), that is
\[
d(\text{Prim}(k\{x\})) \subseteq \text{Prim}(k\{x\}).
\]
Define \( \gamma_d(u) := \sum u_{(1)} \backslash d(u_{(2)}) \); thus,
\[
d(u) = \sum u_{(1)} \gamma_d(u_{(2)})
\]
for all \( u \in k\{x\} \). The proof of the following result was inspired by [15].
Lemma 3.1 (The Dynkin-Specht-Wever Lemma). Let \( d \) be a derivation of \( k\{X\} \) that preserves \( \text{Prim}(k\{X\}) \), \( u \in k\{X\} \) and \( a \in \text{Prim}(k\{X\}) \). We have
\[ \gamma_d(ua) = \epsilon(u)d(a) + \sum (u_{(1)}; a, \gamma_d(u_{(2)})). \]

Proof. Let us compute \( d(ua) \) in two ways:
\[
d(ua) = \begin{cases} 
\sum u_{(1)} \gamma_d(u_{(2)}a) + \sum (u_{(1)}a) \gamma_d(u_{(2)}) \\
(d(u)a + ud(a) = \sum (u_{(1)} \gamma_d(u_{(2)})) a + ud(a) 
\end{cases}
\]
so that by (5)
\[
\sum u_{(1)} \gamma_d(u_{(2)}a) = \sum (u_{(1)}a, \gamma_d(u_{(3)})) + \sum u_{(1)}d(u_{(2)}) d(a).
\]
Using (2), divide by \( u_{(1)} \) to get the result. \( \square \)

Example 3.2. Let us compute the expansion \( \log_1(\exp_1(x) \exp_1(y)) \) up to degree 3 in terms of the Shestakov-Umirbaev operations with the help of the Dynkin-Specht-Wever Lemma. Since, up to the summands of degree \( \geq 5 \), we have
\[
\log_1(1 + x) = x - \frac{1}{2} x^2 + \frac{1}{12} x^3 - \frac{1}{4} x^2 y - \frac{1}{8} x y^3 - \frac{1}{24} x^3 y - \frac{1}{24} x^2 y^2 - \frac{1}{24} (x y^3) x + \cdots,
\]
the expansion of \( \log_1(\exp_1(x) \exp_1(y)) \) up to degree 3 is
\[
(6) \quad x + y + \frac{1}{2} [x, y] + \frac{1}{3} x^2 y - \frac{1}{4} x (xy) + \frac{1}{4} x (yx) - \frac{5}{12} (xy) x + \frac{1}{12} (yx) x + \frac{1}{2} y x^2 - \frac{5}{12} (xy) y + \frac{1}{12} (yx) y - \frac{1}{4} y x y - \frac{1}{6} y^2 x + \frac{1}{4} y (yx) + \cdots.
\]
Now, apply Lemma 3.1 with \( d(u) := |u| u \), where \( |u| \) denotes the degree of \( u \), for homogeneous \( u \in k\{x, y\} \). First, observe that \( \gamma_d(ab) = \langle b, a \rangle \),
\[
\gamma_d((ab)c) = \langle c, \langle b, a \rangle \rangle + \langle a; c, b \rangle + \langle b; c, a \rangle
\]
and
\[
\gamma_d(a(bc)) = \gamma_d((ab)c - (a, b, c)) = \langle c, \langle b, a \rangle \rangle + \langle a; c, b \rangle + \langle b; c, a \rangle - 3(a, b, c).
\]
Applying \( \gamma_d \) to the homogeneous summands in (6) and dividing by their degrees, we can write (6) as
\[
x + y + \frac{1}{2} [x, y] + \frac{1}{12} [x, [x, y]] - \frac{1}{3} (x; x, y) - \frac{1}{12} [y; [x, y]] + \frac{1}{6} (y; y, x) - \frac{1}{2} \Phi(x; y, y) + \cdots \]
\( \square \)

3.2. A non-associative Magnus expansion. The differential equation
\[
X'(t) = A(t)X(t)
\]
when \( X(t) \) and \( A(t) \) do not necessarily commute (for instance, \( X(t) \) may belong to a matrix Lie group and \( A(t) \) to the corresponding Lie algebra) has been studied since long ago \(^1\). A fruitful approach is to look for solutions of the form \( X(t) = \exp(\Omega(t)) \) for some \( \Omega(t) \), where \( \exp(x) \) denotes the usual exponential. The solution \( \Omega(t) \) is determined by the initial condition and by the differential equation
\[
(7) \quad \Omega'(t) = \frac{\text{ad}_{\Omega(t)}}{\exp(\text{ad}_{\Omega(t)}) - \text{Id}}(A(t)) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_n}{n!} \text{ad}_{\Omega(t)}^n(A(t)),
\]
Proposition 3.3. Let \( (x, y) \) and \( X \) be unital algebras, \( e(x) \in k\{\{x\}\} \) a base for logarithms and \( X(t) := e(\Omega(t)) \) with \( \Omega(t) \in H[[t]] \) such that \( \Omega(0) = 0 \). For any \( A(t) \in H[[t]] \) the solution \( \Omega(t) \) to the equation

\[
X'(t) = X(t)A(t)
\]

satisfies

\[
\Omega'(t) = (\tau^e(\Omega(t)))^{-1}(A(t))
\]

where \( \tau^e(x) \) is defined by

\[
\tau^e(x)(y) := e(x) \frac{d}{ds} e(x + sy) \in k\{\{x, y\}\}.
\]

Proof. Evaluating at \( x = \Omega(t) \) and \( y = \Omega'(t) \) we get

\[
\tau^e(\Omega(t))\Omega'(t) = e(\Omega(t)) \frac{d}{ds} e(\Omega(t) + s\Omega'(t)) = e(\Omega(t)) \frac{d}{dt} e(\Omega(t))
\]

so that \( (\tau^e(\Omega(t)))^{-1}(\Omega'(t)) = \Omega(t) \). Therefore \( \Omega'(t) = (\tau^e(\Omega(t)))^{-1}(A(t)) \).

In order to compute \( (\tau^e(x))^{-1}(y) \) in terms of the Shestakov-Umirbaev operations, we will use the Dynkin-Specht-Wever Lemma. Consider the derivation \( y\partial_x \) of \( k\{\{x, y\}\} \) determined by

\[
y\partial_x(x) := y \quad \text{and} \quad (y\partial_x)(y) := 0.
\]

By induction on the degree \(|u|\) of \( u \) we can check that

\[
\Delta((y\partial_x)(u)) = \sum (y\partial_x)(u(1)) \otimes u(2) + u(1) \otimes (y\partial_x)(u(2))
\]

so that \( (y\partial_x) \) preserves \( \text{Prim}(k\{\{x, y\}\}) \) and it is related to \( \tau^e(x)(y) \) via

\[
\tau^e(x)(y) = e(x) \frac{d}{ds} e(x + sy) = e(x)\{y\partial_x(e(x)) = \gamma_y\partial_x(e(x)).
\]

Now, in order to apply the Dynkin-Specht-Wever Lemma recursively \( e(x) \) should be a linear combination of left-normed products of primitive elements. This is the main reason for restricting ourselves to \( \exp_l(x) \).

Lemma 3.4. The component \( \tau_n \) of degree \( n \) in \( x \) of \( \tau^\exp_l(x)(y) \) is

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{1}{(n-i)!} (x^{n-i}; x, \tau_{i-1})
\]

where \( \tau_0 := y \).
The expansion of \((τ^{\exp}(x))^{-1}(y)\) can be easily obtained from the expansion of \(τ^{\exp}(x)(y)\). Given a tuple \(J = (j_1, \ldots, j_s) \in \mathbb{Z}^s\) with \(j_1, \ldots, j_s \geq 1\) define
\[
P_J(x; y) := \langle x, \ldots, x; x, \langle x, \ldots, x; x, y \rangle \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad m_J := \frac{1}{j_1 + \cdots + j_s + 1} \frac{1}{j_1 - 1!} \frac{1}{j_2 - 1!} \cdots \frac{1}{j_s - 1!}.\]

The concatenation \((i_1, \ldots, i_r, j_1, \ldots, j_s)\) of \((i_1, \ldots, i_r)\) and \((j_1, \ldots, j_s)\) will be denoted by \((i_1, \ldots, i_r) || (j_1, \ldots, j_s)\).

**Theorem 3.5.** In \(k\{x, y\}\) we have
\[
(τ^{\exp}(x))^{-1}(y) = y + \sum_J n_J P_J(x; y)
\]
where \(J\) runs over all possible tuples with entries \(\geq 1\) and \(n_J := \sum_{J = J_1||\cdots||J_l} (-1)^l m_{J_1} \cdots m_{J_l}\).

**Proof.** Let \(y\partial_x\) be the derivation of \(k\{x, y\}\) determined by \([8]\). The Dynkin-Specht-Wever Lemma implies
\[
\frac{1}{(n + 1)!} y\partial_x (x^{n+1}) = \frac{1}{(n + 1)!} \sum_{J = (j_1, \ldots, j_s) \atop j_1 + \cdots + j_s = n} \binom{n}{j_1 - 1} \binom{n - j_1}{j_2 - 1} \cdots \binom{n - j_1 - \cdots - j_s}{j_s - 1} P_J(x; y)
\]
so \(τ^{\exp}(x) = y + \sum_J m_J P_J(x; y)\) and
\[
(τ^{\exp}(x))^{-1}(y) = y + \sum_{\mathclap{l \geq 1 \atop J_1, \ldots, J_l}} (-1)^l m_{J_1} \cdots m_{J_l} P_{J_1}(x; P_{J_2}(x; \cdots (P_{J_l}(x; y)))),
\]
Since \(P_{J_1}(x; P_{J_2}(x; \cdots (P_{J_l}(x; y)))) = P_{J_1||\cdots||J_l}(x; y)\), the result follows. \(\square\)

**Corollary 3.6.** Let \(H\) be a unital algebra and \(A(t) \in H[[t]]\). The solution \(Ω(t) \in H[[t]]\) of the equation
\[
X'(t) = X(t)A(t)
\]
with \(X(t) := \exp(Ω(t))\) and \(Ω(0) = 0\) satisfies
\[
Ω'(t) = A(t) + \sum_J n_J P_J(Ω(t); A(t))
\]
where \(J\) runs over all possible tuples with the components \(\geq 1\).

**3.3. A non-associative Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula.** We will use the formula for \((τ^{\exp}(x))^{-1}\) in Theorem 3.5 to describe, in terms of the Shestakov-Umirbaev operations, the differential equation satisfied by \(\log_\exp(\exp_\exp(x) \exp_\exp(y))\).

**Proposition 3.7.** Let \(e(x)\) be a normalized base for logarithms. In \(k\{x, y\}\) we have
\[
\log_\exp(e(x)e(y)) = x + (τ^{\exp}(x))^{-1}(y) + O(y^2).
\]
Proof. Consider $\Omega(t) := \log(e(x)e(ty)) = x + \Omega'(0)t + O(t^2)$. Since
\[
\tau_{\exp(\Omega(t))}(\Omega(t)) = e(\Omega(t)) \left( \frac{d}{dt} e(\Omega(t)) \right) = (e(x)e(ty)) \left( \frac{d}{dt} (e(x)e(ty)) \right)
\]
evaluating at $t = 0$, we get $\tau_{\exp(x)}(\Omega(0)) = y$ so $\Omega'(0) = (\tau_{\exp(x)})^{-1}(y)$.

In the case when $e(x)$ is $\exp_x(x)$ or $\exp_r(x)$, Proposition 3.7 was proved in [16].

Example 3.8. The components of degree 0, 1, 2 and 3 of $\tau_{\exp(x)}(y)$ are $\tau_0 = y$, $\tau_1 = \frac{1}{2}\langle x, y \rangle$, $\tau_2 = \frac{1}{2}\langle x, x, y \rangle + \frac{1}{2}\langle x, y, y \rangle$ and $\tau_3 = \frac{1}{8}\langle x, x; x, y \rangle + \frac{1}{8}\langle x; x, x, y \rangle + \frac{1}{12}\langle x, x; x, x \rangle + \frac{1}{24}\langle x, x, x, y \rangle$. Thus, the component of degree one in $y$ in $\log_i(\exp_i(x)\exp_i(y))$ is
\[
y - \frac{1}{2}\langle x, y \rangle + \left( \frac{1}{12}\langle x, y \rangle - \frac{1}{3}\langle x; x, y \rangle \right) + \left( \frac{1}{12}\langle x, x, y \rangle + \frac{1}{24}\langle x; x, x \rangle - \frac{1}{8}\langle x, x; x \rangle \right) + \cdots
\]

We can compute directly the coefficient of $\langle x; x, y \rangle$ in $\log_i(\exp_i(x)\exp_i(y))$, for instance. Since $\langle x; x, y \rangle = f_{(2,1)}(x, y)$ then Theorem 3.5 ensures that this coefficient equals $n_{(1,2)} m_{(2,1)} - m_{(2,1)} = \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{24}$.

Proposition 3.9 (Magnus expansion for the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula). Let $\Omega(t) := \log_i(\exp_i(x)\exp_i(y))$. In $\mathbb{k}\{\{x, y\}\}[[t]]$ we have
\[
\Omega'(t) = \left( y + \sum_j n_j P_j(\Omega(t); y) \right)
- \left( \Phi(\exp_i(x); \exp_i(ty); y) + \sum_j P_j(\Omega(t); \Phi(\exp_i(x); \exp_i(ty); y) \right).
\]

Proof. We have
\[
\tau_{\exp(\Omega(t))}(\Omega(t)) = \exp_i(\Omega(t)) \left( \frac{d}{dt} \exp_i(\Omega(t)) \right)
\]

so $\Omega(t) = (\tau_{\exp(\Omega(t))})^{-1}(y) - (\tau_{\exp(\Omega(t))})^{-1}(\Phi(\exp_i(x); \exp_i(ty); y))$. The result follows from Theorem 3.5.

Example 3.10. The component of $\log_i(\exp_i(x)\exp_i(y))$ of degree 1 in $x$ and degree 2 in $y$ is
\[
\Omega_{1,2} := \frac{1}{2} (n_{(1,1)} \langle \Omega_{1,1}, y \rangle + n_{(1,1)} \langle y, \langle x, y \rangle \rangle + n_{(2,1)} \langle y; x, y \rangle - \Phi(x; y, y))
\]
\[
= -\frac{1}{12} \langle y, [x, y] \rangle + \frac{1}{6} \langle y; y, x \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \Phi(x; y, y).
\]
Based on Proposition 3.9 we can compute the initial terms of the expansion of $\log_l(\exp_l(x) \exp_l(y))$.

**Theorem 3.11** (Non-associative Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff Formula). The expansion of $\log_l(\exp_l(x) \exp_l(y))$ in $\mathbb{k}\{\{x,y\}\}$ is

\[
x + y + \frac{1}{2}[x,y] \\
+ \frac{1}{12}[x,[x,y]] - \frac{1}{3}(x;x,y) - \frac{1}{12}[y,[x,y]] - \frac{1}{6}(y;x,y) - \frac{1}{2}\Phi(x;y,y) \\
- \frac{1}{24}\langle x;x,[x,y]\rangle - \frac{1}{12}[x,(x;x,y)] - \frac{1}{8}\langle x,x;x\rangle \\
+ \frac{1}{24}([x,[x,y]],y) - \frac{1}{24}\langle y,[y;x,y]\rangle - \frac{1}{4}\Phi(x,x;y,y) - \frac{1}{4}[x,\Phi(x;x,y)] \\
- \frac{1}{24}\langle [x;x,y],y\rangle - \frac{1}{24}\langle x,[x,y],y\rangle - \frac{1}{6}\langle x,y;y\rangle + \frac{1}{24}(y;x,y) \\
+ \frac{1}{12}\Phi(x;y,y)y + \frac{1}{24}\langle y,[y,x],y\rangle - \frac{1}{24}\langle y,y;x,y\rangle - \frac{1}{6}\Phi(x;x,y,y)
\]

plus terms of degree $\geq 5$.

4. A connection with Bernoulli numbers and binary trees

Formulas (7) and (9) give an alternative point of view on the relation between the numbers $\{n_J\}_J$ and $\{B_k\}_k$ which has been established in [6,17].

**Theorem 4.1.** We have

\[
\frac{B_k}{k!} = \binom{n_0(1, \ldots, 1)}{k}.
\]

**Proof.** By definition, $(x_1, \ldots, x_m; y, z)$ and $\Phi(x_1, \ldots, x_m; y_1, \ldots, y_n; y_{n+1})$ vanish in any associative algebra, with the only exception of $\langle y, z \rangle$. Thus, after projecting from $\mathbb{k}\{\{x,y\}\}$ in $\mathbb{k}\langle \langle x,y \rangle \rangle$ we get

\[
(\tau^{\exp(x)})^{-1}(y) = y + \sum_{J=(1, \ldots, 1)} n_J P_J(x; y) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} n_0(1, \ldots, 1)(-1)^k \text{ad}_x^k(y).
\]

Since it is well-known that $(\tau^{\exp(x)})^{-1}(y) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{B_k}{k!} \text{ad}_x^k(y)$ holds in $\mathbb{k}\langle \langle x,y \rangle \rangle$ we get the result; the sign $(-1)^k$ in the latter formula comes from our choice $\tau^{\exp(x)} := \exp(x) \frac{d}{dx} |_{s=0} \exp(x + sy)$ instead of $\frac{d}{dx} |_{s=0} \exp(x + sy)/\exp(x)$. \qed

In [17] Woon gave an algorithm to compute $B_n/n!$ with the help of the binary tree

```
    [1,2]
   /   \
[1,-3]     [1,2,2]
  /  \
[1,4] [1,-1,2,3] [1,2,2]
```

level 1

level 2
Here, the nodes are labeled by \([a_1, \ldots, a_r]\); the root is \([1, 2]\) and at any node we have
\[
[a_1, \ldots, a_r] \\
[-a_1, a_2 + 1, \ldots, a_r] \\
[a_1, 2, a_2, \ldots, a_r]
\]
The factorial of the node \(N = [a_1, \ldots, a_r]\) is \(N! := a_1(a_2! \cdots a_r!)\). Woon proved the equality
\[
\frac{B_k}{k!} = \sum \frac{1}{N!}
\]
for \(k \geq 2\), where \(N\) runs over the nodes in the level \(k\). In \([6]\) Fuchs extended this construction as follows. Consider the general PI binary tree

![Diagram](image)

with root \((1)\) and at each node
\[
(a_1, \ldots, a_r) \\
(1, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_r) \\
(a_1 + 1, a_2, \ldots, a_r)
\]
For any sequence \((c_n)_{n \geq 1}\) of complex numbers change the node \((a_1, \ldots, a_r)\) by \(c_{a_1} \cdots c_{a_r}\). Then define \(x_k\) to be the sum of the nodes in the \(k\)-th level. This value depends on the sequence \((c_n)_{n \geq 1}\). In the case when \(c_n = \frac{1}{n+1}\) we get the tree

![Diagram](image)

and for each \(k\) we have \(x_k = \frac{B_k}{k!}\).

To relate these constructions to the numbers \(n_J\) in Theorem 3.5 we use a binary tree to collect the summands involved in \(n_J = \sum_{J=J_1\ldots||J_l} (-1)^l m_{J_1} \cdots m_{J_l}\). Consider associative but non-commutative indeterminates \(x_1, x_2, \ldots\) and the tree

![Diagram](image)

where at any node on the level \(n - 1\) we have
Consider a sequence of numbers $a_1, a_2, \ldots$. Define for $w = x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_s}$ the number $m_w = m_{x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_s}} = -m_{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_s}$ and replace any node $(w_1, \ldots, w_r)$ with $m_{(w_1, \ldots, w_r)} = m_{w_1} \cdots m_{w_r}$. The sum of the nodes in the level $n$ of the resulting tree is $n(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$. In case that $a_1 = a_2 = \cdots = 1$, in the previous construction we can replace the label $x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_s}$ by $s$ without losing information. With these new labels, at any node on the level $n - 1$ of the tree we have

\[
\begin{array}{c}
(a_1, \ldots, a_r) \\
(a_1, \ldots, a_r, 1) \\
(a_1, \ldots, a_r + 1)
\end{array}
\]

which essentially gives the general PI binary tree. The number that we attach to the node $(a_1, \ldots, a_r)$ is $(-m_{(1, \ldots, 1)}) \cdots (-m_{(1, \ldots, 1)}) = -\frac{1}{(a_1 + 1)!} \cdots -\frac{1}{(a_r + 1)!}$, so we recover the construction of Fuchs.

5. BCH-cuts

In this section we briefly study the coefficients of the non-associative monomials in the series $\log(\exp(x) \exp(y))$. Unfortunately, these monomials are not left-normed so we cannot directly apply the Dynkin-Specht-Wever Lemma to them to get a closed form of the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula similar to [1].

Given a monomial $w(x) \in k\{x\}$, that we can identify with a binary planar rooted tree, any tuple $c = (\tau(x), \tau_1(x), \ldots, \tau(x))$ of monomials satisfying $w(x) = \tau(\tau_1(x), \ldots, \tau_1(x))$ with $|\tau_i(x)| \geq 1$ will be called a cut of $w(x)$ – recall that $|\tau(x)|$ denotes the degree of $\tau(x)$ in $x$. Attached to $\tau_i(x)$ there is the set $\lambda(\tau_i(x)) := \{|\tau_1(x)| + \cdots + |\tau_{i-1}(x)| + 1, \ldots, |\tau_1(x)| + \cdots + |\tau_i(x)|\}$. The pair $(\tau_i, \lambda(\tau_i))$ is a branch of $w(x)$. Sometimes we will refer to $\tau_i$ as a branch of $w(x)$, although this is an abuse of notation since we should specify the positions that the branch occupies inside $w(x)$. Monomials $w(x, y) \in k\{x, y\}$ are represented by binary planar rooted trees with leaves decorated with $x$ or $y$.

**Lemma 5.1.** Let $\tau' \in k\{x, y\}$ be branches of $w(x)$ such that $\lambda(\tau') \cap \lambda(\tau'') \neq \emptyset$. Then either $\lambda(\tau') \subseteq \lambda(\tau'')$ or $\lambda(\tau'') \subseteq \lambda(\tau')$.

The element

$$
\log(\exp(x) \exp(y))
$$

in $k\{x, y\}$ is expanded in terms of monomials $\tau(x^{i_1} y^{j_1}, x^{i_2} y^{j_2}, \ldots)$ with $i_1 + j_1, i_2 + j_2, \ldots \geq 1$. Unfortunately, monomials $\tau(x^{i_1} y^{j_1}, x^{i_2} y^{j_2}, \ldots)$ might represent the same monomial $w(x, y)$ for different values of $\tau$ and $i_1, j_1, \ldots$. One way of computing the coefficient of $w(x, y)$ in the series $\log(\exp(x) \exp(y))$ is to determine the cuts of $w(x, y)$ where every branch is of the form $x^i y^j$ (BCH-cuts). If we denote by $C(w)$ the set of all BCH-cuts of $w(x, y)$, the coefficient in $\log(\exp(x) \exp(y))$ of the monomial $w(x, y)$ is

$$
\sum_{(\tau, x^{i_1} y^{j_1}, \ldots, x^{i_1} y^{j_1}) \in C(w)} \frac{1}{i_1! \cdots i_1! j_1! \cdots j_1!} c_{\tau}.
$$
where \(c_\tau := \frac{E_{\tau}}{2^{\ell}}\) is the coefficient of \(\tau\) in \(\log(1+x)\). The set \(C(w)\) can be easily determined since Lemma 2.2 implies that there exists a unique \((\tau, x^{i_1}y^{j_1}, \ldots, x^{i_{\ell}}y^{j_{\ell}}) \in C(w)\) with minimal \(|\tau|\). The branches of any \(c \in \mathcal{C}(w)\) can be obtained as the branches in a BCH-cut of the monomials \(x^{i_1}y^{j_1}, \ldots, x^{i_{\ell}}y^{j_{\ell}}\). Each monomial \(x^{i}y^{j}\) produces \(ij + 1\) \((i, j \geq 1)\), \(i\) \((j = 0)\) or \(j\) \((i = 0)\) BCH-cuts.

**Example 5.2.** Using \([\ldots]\) to delimit branches and writing \(\tau([x^{i_1}y^{j_1}], \ldots, [x^{i_{\ell}}y^{j_{\ell}}])\) instead of \((\tau, x^{i_1}y^{j_1}, \ldots, x^{i_{\ell}}y^{j_{\ell}})\), some BCH-cuts are:

| \(w(x, y)\) | BCH-cuts of \(w(x, y)\) |
|---|---|
| \(x^2y\) | \([x^2y], [x^2][y], ([x][x])[y]\) |
| \(x(xy)\) | \([x][xy], [x][y]/[x]\) |
| \(x(yx)\) | \([x]/[y][x]\) |
| \((xy)x\) | \([xy]/[x], ([x][y]/[x]\) |
| \([\ldots]\) | \([\ldots]\) |

Thus, for instance, the coefficient of \(x(xy)\) in \(\log_2(\exp_1(x))\exp_1(y))\) is

\[
c_x^2 + c_{xx^2} = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} = -\frac{1}{4}
\]

while the coefficient of \(x^2y\) is

\[
c_x^2 + c_{xx^2} + c_{x^2x} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{12} = \frac{1}{3}.
\]

In a similar way, the coefficient of \((xy)(xy)\) is

\[
c_x^2 + c_{xx^2} + c_{x^2x} + c_{x^2xx} = -\frac{5}{24}.
\]

Finally, let us compute the coefficient of \(x^m y^n\) with \(m, n \geq 1\). The BCH-cuts are \([x^{m}y^{n}]\) and \((([x]^[i])[x])(([y]^[j])[y])\) \((i = 1, \ldots, m\) and \(j = 1, \ldots, n\). Hence, the coefficient is

\[
\frac{c_x}{m! n!} + \sum_{i=1, j=1}^{m, n} \frac{c_{x^{i}x^{j}}}{(m-i+1)!(n-j+1)!}
\]

However, we observe that the coefficients of the monomials in the expansion of \(\log_2(\exp_1(x))\) agree with those in \(\log_2(\exp_1(x))\exp_1(y))\) (take \(y = 0\)). The coefficient in \(\log_2(\exp_1(x))\) of \(x^{m}x^{n}\) \((m, n \geq 1)\) is

\[
\sum_{i=1, j=1}^{m, n} \frac{c_{x^{i}x^{j}}}{(m-i+1)!(n-j+1)!} \quad \text{if } n \geq 2 \quad \text{or}
\]

\[
\frac{c_x}{(m+1)!} + \sum_{i=1, j=1}^{m, n} \frac{c_{x^{i}x^{j}}}{(m-i+1)!(n-j+1)!} \quad \text{if } n = 1.
\]
However, $\log_l(\exp_l(x)) = x$ so this coefficient is 0. Therefore, the coefficient of $x^m y^n$ in $\log_l(\exp_l(x) \exp_l(y))$ is

$$\frac{1}{m!n!} \quad (n \geq 2) \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{m}{(m+1)!} \quad (n = 1)$$

□
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