A Linkage between SmeIJK Efflux Pump, Cell Envelope Integrity, and $\sigma^E$-Mediated Envelope Stress Response in Stenotrophomonas maltophilia
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Abstract

Resistance nodulation division (RND) efflux pumps, such as the SmeIJK pump of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia, are known to contribute to the multidrug resistance in Gram-negative bacteria. However, some RND pumps are constitutively expressed even though no antimicrobial stresses occur, implying that there should be some physical implications for these RND pumps. In this study, the role of SmeIJK in antimicrobials resistance, cell envelope integrity, and $\sigma^E$-mediated envelope stress response (ESR) of S. maltophilia was assessed. SmeIJK was involved in the intrinsic resistance of S. maltophilia KJ to aminoglycosides and leucomycin. Compared with the wild-type KJ, the smeIJK deletion mutant exhibited growth retardation in the MH medium, an increased sensitivity to membrane-damaging agents (MDAs), as well as activation of an $\sigma^E$-mediated ESR. Moreover, the expression of smeIJK was further induced by sub-lethal concentrations of MDAs or surfactants in an $\sigma^E$-dependent manner. These data collectively suggested an alternative physiological role of smeIJK in cell envelope integrity maintenance and $\sigma^E$-mediated ESR beyond the efflux of antibiotics. Because of the necessity of the physiological role of SmeIJK in protecting S. maltophilia from the envelope stress, smeIJK is constitutively expressed, which, in turn, contributes the intrinsic resistance to aminoglycoside and leucomycin. This is the first demonstration of the linkage among RND-type efflux pump, cell envelope integrity, and $\sigma^E$-mediated ESR in S. maltophilia.
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Introduction

Efflux pump systems are present in all organisms and recognized to transport a range of structurally unrelated compounds and confer clinically relevant resistances to antimicrobial agents. There are five families of efflux pumps associated with multidrug resistance (MDR): the ATP binding cassette (ABC) family, the multidrug and toxic-compound extrusion (MATE) family, the major facilitator (MFS) family, the resistance nodulation division (RND) family, and the small multidrug resistance (SMR) family [1]. The RND efflux pumps consist of a RND-type transporter protein, which is located in the inner membrane; a membrane-fusion protein (MFP), which is located in the periplasmic space; and an outer membrane protein, which is located in the outer membrane of the bacterium. Many studies have evidenced that the RND-type efflux pumps not only confer resistance to drugs but also have a physiological role in stress adaption [2]. It is possible that the efflux pump-mediated antibiotics resistance is a by-product of the physiological role(s) of these pumps, especially for the constitutively expressed efflux pumps. Several proposed examples can evidence this viewpoint; for example, the AcrAB-ToC system of Escherichia coli and the CmeABC system of Campylobacter jejuni can export noxious metabolites, such as bile acid, produced by the host organism [3,4]. In addition, several RND-type efflux pumps display inducibly expression upon the treatment of stresses, further linking the stress adaption and the efflux pumps.

Bacteria encounter an array of potentially growth compromising conditions in nature. Cell envelope is the major component of the defense against environmental threats for bacteria. Bacterial cells possess a variety of mechanisms to monitor and keep the cell envelope integrity. A variety of stresses, which affect components of the cell envelope, will intrigue the envelope stress responses (ESRs) [5]. In response to the various extracellular changes, extracytoplasmic function (ECF) $\sigma$ factor provides a mean to sense external changes and regulate gene expression to prevent or repair cellular damages caused by stresses [6]. One of the best-studied ECF $\sigma$ factors is the $\sigma^E$, which is a key regulator of ESRs in E. coli and other Gram-negative bacteria [7]. In unstressed cells, $\sigma^E$ generally interacts with an antisigma factor RseA, a single-pass inner membrane protein, that prevents $\sigma^E$ from interacting with RNA polymerase and keeps $\sigma^E$ inactive. When envelope stresses occur, inhibition of $\sigma^E$, is relieved by the complete degradation of RseA via regulated intramembrane proteolysis (RIP) [8]. The activated $\sigma^E$ acts as a transcription factor to affect the expression...
of σE regulon genes. In most cases, the rpoE and rseA genes are organized in an operon.

Stenotrophomonas maltophilia, ubiquitous in environment, is an opportunistic pathogen involved in many nosocomial infections [9]. The genome information of S. maltophilia K279a predicted that there are eight putative RND efflux pumps, SmeABC, SmeDEF, SmeGH, SmeIJK, SmeMN, SmeOP, SmeVWX, and SmeYZ [10]. Of them, the SmeIJK pump is special because it consists of two different RND-type transporters, SmeJ and SmeK. It has been reported that the smeIJK operon is intrinsically expressed and can be further overexpressed in some mutants. The expression of smeIJK confers the resistance to aminoglycosides, tetracycline, minocycline, ciprofloxacin, and levofloxacin [11]. However, the constitutive expression of the smeIJK operon in strains maintained in the absence of antibiotic selective pressure raises the possibility that drug extrusion is not the only or main function of the SmeIJK pump. Accordingly, the physiological function of smeIJK was further assessed in this study.

**Materials and Methods**

**Bacterial strains and culture conditions**

A complete list of strains, plasmids, and primers used in this study is shown in Table S1.

**Construction of deletion mutants**

Four PCR amplicons (labeled as I-IV in Fig. S1) were amplified using primer sets of SmeI5-F/SmeI5-R, SmeJ5-F/SmeJ5-R, SmeK5-F/SmeK5-R, and SmeK3-F/SmeK3-R (Table S1), respectively. Amplicons II and III were subsequently cloned into pEX18Tc to yield the recombinant plasmid pDSmeJ, in which the cloned smeJ gene was partially deleted. Similar constructs for pDSmeK and pDSmeIJK were done by assembling the amplicons of III and IV as well as I and IV respectively, yielding pDSmeK and pDSmeIJK. Three PCR amplicons (labeled as I-III in Fig. S2) were amplified using primer sets of RpoE5-F/RpoE5-R, RpoE3-F/RpoE3-R, and RseA3-F/RseA3-R (Table S1), respectively. Recombinant plasmids pΔRpoE and pΔRseA were obtained by subsequently cloning the amplicons I and II as well as II and III into pEX18Tc. The plasmids mobilization, transconjugants selection, and mutant confirmation were performed as described previously [12]. The smeIJK and rpoErsaseA double mutants were constructed from a single mutant.

**Construction of the rpoE expression plasmid, pRpoE**

The intact rpoE gene was PCR amplified from genomic DNA template of S. maltophilia KJ by using primer sets of RpoE3-F and RpoE3-R and then cloned into plasmid pRK415, generating plasmid pRpoE.

**Susceptibility testing**

The antibacterial activities of agents were determined on Mueller-Hinton agar plates. Agar plates were prepared by the twofold agar dilution technique recommended by Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) [13]. The MIC was defined as the lowest concentrations that inhibited bacterial cell growth. All antimicrobial agents used were purchased from Sigma Aldrich.

**In vitro growth curves**

Overnight cultures of S. maltophilia were inoculated into the fresh medium to the A450 of 0.15. Cells were grown aerobically and the A450 was measured every 3 h.

**Osmotic challenge assay**

The 24-h cultured bacterial cells of strains KJ, KJΔIJK, KJΔJ, and KJΔK were inoculated into fresh LB or MH broths containing different concentrations of NaCl with an A450 nm of 0.15. The bacteria were further cultured for 5 h and the A450 were recorded. The relative survival percentage of individual mutant to the wild-type KJ, at each cultured condition, was calculated. These experiments were performed at least three times.

| Antimicrobial | MIC (µg/ml) |
|---------------|-------------|
|               | KJ          | KJΔJ        | KJΔK        | KJΔJΔK       | KJΔIJK       |
| Chloramphenicol | 8           | 8           | 8           | 8            | 8            |
| Quinolone      |             |             |             |              |              |
| Nalidixic acid | 16          | 16          | 16          | 16           | 16           |
| Norfloxacin    | 16          | 16          | 16          | 16           | 16           |
| Tetracycline   | 16          | 16          | 16          | 16           | 16           |
| Aminoglycoside |             |             |             |              |              |
| Amikacin       | 1024        | 512         | 512         | 64           | 64           |
| Gentamicin     | 1024        | 512         | 512         | 64           | 64           |
| Kanamycin      | 256         | 128         | 128         | 32           | 32           |
| Tobramycin     | 512         | 256         | 256         | 64           | 64           |
| Macrolide      |             |             |             |              |              |
| Erythromycin   | 64          | 64          | 64          | 64           | 64           |
| Leucomycin     | 256         | 256         | 256         | 64           | 64           |
| Rokitamycin    | 512         | 512         | 512         | 512          | 512          |

Table 1. Antimicrobial susceptibilities of S. maltophilia KJ and its derived deletion mutants.
Sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) survival analysis

Overnight cultures of the tested strains were diluted to $A_{450\text{ nm}}$ of 0.15 with LB or MH broth. Cells grown to stationary phase were adjusted to $A_{450\text{ nm}}$ of 1.0 with the same broth. The cells were treated with or without 0.02% SDS. A final $A_{450\text{ nm}}$ measurement was taken after 10 min of incubation without shaking. 100% survival was defined as the absorbance of each strain without SDS. The percentage of survival was defined as the $A_{450\text{ nm}}$ ratio of the SDS-additive group to the SDS-free counterpart.

Polymyxin E susceptibility test

LB or MH plates were streaked with a cotton swab soaked in $S$. maltophilia cell suspension of $10^7$ cells/ml. The commercial discs of 10 mg polymyxin E was placed at the centre onto the agar surface. The culture was then incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. The diameter of a zone of inhibition was measured (in millimeters). Each experiment was repeated at least three times.

Construction of $P_{smeI}$-xylE and $P_{rpoE}$-xylE transcription fusion, pSmexylE and pRpoExylE

The 465-bp DNA fragment upstream of smeI operon and the 414-bp DNA fragment upstream of the $rpoE$-raseA-mucD operon were obtained by PCR using primer sets SmeI5-F/SmeI5-R (Fig. S1 & Table S1) and RpoE5-F/RpoE5-R (Fig. S2 & Table S1), respectively. The plasmid-borne transcription fusions, pSmexylE and pRpoExylE, were constructed by ligating the 465- and 414-bp DNA fragment into the xylE-reporter plasmid pRKXylE, respectively. The plasmids, pSmexylE and pRpoExylE, were mobilized into $S$. maltophilia strains indicated for promoter activity assay.

Figure 1. Growth of KJ, KJ\AJ, KJ\AK, and KJ\AIJK in Luria-Bertani (LB) and Mueller-Hinton (MH) media. (A) Growth curves of KJ, KJ\AJ, KJ\AK, and KJ\AIJK in LB and MH broth. (B) The growth curve of 24-h MH-cultured KJ and KJ\AIJK cells subcultured into the MH or LB media. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0111784.g001
Stress challenge assays

Overnight cultures of KJ(pSmeIxylE) were subcultured into fresh LB medium with an $A_{450\text{ nm}}$ of 0.15. After 5 h incubation (37°C, 170 rpm), the KJ(pSmeIxylE) cells were divided into two parts. One part served as a non-treated control and the second part received different stress challenges for 3 h. The stress included Triton X-100 (100 μg/ml), benzalkonium chloride (BC) (10 μg/ml), cetyltributylammonium bromide (CTAB) (10 μg/ml), gentamicin (5 μg/ml), amikacin (5 μg/ml), and leucomycin (1 μg/ml). All antimicrobial agents used were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. The C23O activity of KJ(pSmeIxylE) was determined. The C23O activity determined from the non-treated control was regarded as 100%.

Catechol 2,3-dioxygenase (C23O) activity assay

Catechol-2,3-dioxygenase is encoded by the $xylE$ gene and its activity was measured as the rate of increase in $A_{375\text{ nm}}$ following the addition of 100 mM catechol, as described elsewhere [14]. The rate of hydrolysis was calculated by using 44,000 M$^{-1}$cm$^{-1}$ as the extinction coefficient. One unit of enzyme activity (U) was defined as the amount of enzyme that converts 1 nmole substrate per minute. The specific activity was expressed as U/$OD_{450\text{ nm}}$.

Results

Either SmeJ or SmeK protein can support the SmeIJK pump function

Eight RND-type efflux systems were predicted to be present in the $S.\ maltophilia$ K279a genome [10]. Of them, the $smeIJK$ is unique for the presence of two tandem RND-type inner membrane transporters (Fig. S1). The SmeI protein, encoded by the annotated Smlt4279 gene, was predicted to be an MFP, as well as SmeJ and SmeK, encoded by the annotated Smlt4280 and Smlt4281 genes respectively, to be RND-type inner membrane transporters. SmeJ and SmeK showed a significant similarity (42% identity and 59% similarity). To assess whether both RND transporters are required for pump function or either RND transporter can independently associate with SmeI and cognate outer membrane protein for the assembly of functional tripartite pumps, we constructed strains with various combinations of deletion in RND transporters and assessed efflux pump function by the susceptibility test. KJΔJ, KJΔK, KJΔJK, and KJΔIJK were
the smeJ, smeK, smeJK, and smeIJK isogenic mutants of wild-type KJ, respectively (Fig. S1). The consideration of polar effect in KJΔJ was assessed by qRT-PCR. The smeK transcript of KJΔJ was comparable to that of the wild-type KJ, indicating that inactivation of smeJ had no polar effect on the expression of downstream smeK gene. Eight- to 16-fold increase in the susceptibility to aminoglycoside and 4-fold decrease in the resistance to leucomycin were observed for KJΔJK, as compared to the MICs of the parent strain KJ. Deletion of smeJ or smeK alone slightly altered the susceptibility of strain KJ to the aminoglycosides tested (two-fold MIC difference) (Table 1). Although the acceptable inaccuracy for the susceptibility test is in the range of 2-fold MIC value, it cannot be immediately ruled out that SmeJ and SmeK may be partially functionally redundant and simultaneous inactivation of smeJK may has an additive effect on aminoglycosides extrusion. Furthermore, Table 1 also demonstrates that mutants KJΔJK and KJΔIJK displayed the same susceptibility to all the antimicrobials tested, supporting that smeI deletion makes little contribution to the additive phenotype of smeJK mutant.

The smeIJK mutant displays a compromised growth in Mueller-Hinton (MH) medium, but not in Luria-Bertani (LB) medium

The phenotype of KJΔIJK grown in the MH agar attracted our attention during the susceptibility test. In comparison, bacterial lawn of KJΔIJK on the MH agar was homogeneously smaller and thinner than those of KJ, KJΔJ, and KJΔK. Nevertheless, the bacterial lawns of KJ, KJΔJ, KJΔK, and KJΔIJK were almost the same size in the LB agar.

To further clarify this observation, the growth curves of KJ, KJΔJ, KJΔK, and KJΔIJK in MH and LB broth were monitored.
As shown in Fig. 1A, KJ, KJA, and KJAK grown in the MH broth exhibited slightly reduced growth rates compared to those grown in the LB broth. However, the growth patterns of KJAIJK were distinctly different when it grew in MH and LB broth. In the MH broth, the doubling time of KJAIJK was approximately 1.71-fold longer than that of KJ, KJA, and KJAK. In the meantime, the maximum OD_{450} achieved for KJAIJK in the MH broth was approximately 1.63, which was significantly lower than those for KJ, KJA, and KJAK in the MH broth. Nevertheless, the growth curve for KJAIJK grown in the LB broth was indistinguishable from those for KJ, KJA, and KJAK (Fig. 1A).

The growth retardation of KJAIJK in MH medium can be reverted to normal when the bacteria were shifted from MH medium into LB medium. Since RND-type efflux pump has been known to be responsible for extrusion of noxious compounds, we speculated that the growth retardation of smeIJK mutant in the MH broth may result from the accumulation of some noxious metabolites, which should be extruded by the SmeIJK pump. The putative noxious metabolites should be present in the MH broth, but absent in the LB broth. To test this assumption, the bacterial cells of KJ and KJAIJK grown in the MH broth for 24 h were collected and resuspended into a fresh LB broth and MH broth, respectively.

Figure 4. Determination of P_{rpoE} activities in different strains and culture conditions. Plasmid containing a transcriptional fusion of the upstream region of rpoE to the xylE gene (pRpoE_{xyle}) was transferred into the wild-type KJ and its derived mutants. The C23O activities of the logarithmic-phase cultures of these strains were determined. Each bar represents the mean of three independent experiments. Error bars, where visible, indicate the average deviation. *, $p \leq 0.01$ significance calculated by a Student’s t-test. (A) The impacts of resA mutant and rseA/rpoE double mutant on the promoter activity of rpoE gene. (B) The impacts of smeIJK mutant and culture media on the promoter activity of rpoE gene.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0111784.g004
an $A_{450\text{nm}}$ of 0.15, and then the growth curves of KJΔIJK in the LB broth and MH broth were monitored. If there are noxious metabolites present in the 24-h MH-cultured KJΔIJK cells, the initial growth rate must be significantly retarded when the cells were shifted to the fresh MH broth. However, when they were shifted to the fresh MH medium, the 24-h MH-cultured-KJΔIJK cells still can logarithmically grow for 9 h (Fig. 1B). Furthermore, the growth of 24-h MH-cultured-KJΔIJK cells was gradually reverted to the wild-type pattern after LB broth shift (Fig. 1B). Therefore, the $\text{SmelJK}$-mediated growth retardation in the MH broth is reversible when the cultured environment is changed from the MH broth to the LB broth, suggesting that a component present in MH medium but absent from LB medium determined the growth compromise in MH medium.

![Figure 5. The expression of smelJK operon in different stresses and culture media.](image)

Plasmid containing a transcriptional fusion of the upstream region of smel to the xylE gene (pSmeIxylE) was transferred into the wild-type KJ. The C23O activities of the logarithmic-phase cultures of KJ(pSmeIxylE) were determined. Each bar represents the mean of three independent experiments. Each bar represents the mean of three independent experiments. *, $p \leq 0.01$ significance calculated by a Student’s $t$-test. (A) The expression of smelJK operon in different stresses. The concentrations of the stressors added were: Triton X-100, 100 μg/ml; benzalkonium chloride (BC), 10 μg/ml; cetyltributylammonium bromide (CTAB), 10 μg/ml; gentamicin (Gm), 1 μg/ml; amikacin (Ami), 1 μg/ml; and leucomycin (Leu), 0.5 μg/ml. (B) The expression of smelJK operon in different culture media, including the LB, the LB without NaCl, the LB with casein hydrolysate, and the MH.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0111784.g005
**SmeIJK mutant displayed decreased tolerance to hypo-osmolarity and casein hydrolysate**

An MH medium typically contains 0.3% beef infusion, 1.75% casein hydrolysate, and 0.15% starch. The ingredients for LB media were 1% tryptone, 0.5% yeast extract, and 1% (0.17 M) NaCl. Given the compositions of the MH and LB media, we speculated that the observed growth retardation in the MH medium was due to the absence of NaCl in the medium. To test this assumption, three LB-based media with a final NaCl concentration of 0, 0.17, and 0.3 M were prepared. The osmotic challenge assay to KJ, KJΔJ, KJΔK, and KJΔIJK cells was performed. The survival of KJΔIJK cells restored to nearly wild-type levels in the LB supplemented with 0.17 M and 0.3 M NaCl (Fig. 2A). The phenotype correlated smeIJK to the hypo-osmolarity tolerance. Furthermore, the survivals of KJΔJ and KJΔK were similar to that of the wild-type KJ in spite of the concentration of NaCl in the cultured medium (Fig. 2A). The osmotic challenge assay of KJ, KJΔJ, KJΔK, and KJΔIJK was also performed in three MH-based media containing 0, 0.17, and 0.3 M NaCl. The results concluded from the MH counterpart (Fig. 2B) is consistent with those from the LB counterpart. It is worthily noted that the SmeIJK-mediated compromise in hypo-osmolarity tolerance was more apparent in the MH counterpart than that in the LB counterpart, implying that some components, in addition to NaCl, in the MH medium may cause an envelope stress to *S. maltophilia*. To test this assumption, we supplemented

![Figure 6. Determination of P_smeI activities in different strains.](image)

Plasmid containing a transcriptional fusion of the upstream region of smeI to the xylE gene (pSmeIxylE) was transferred into the wild-type KJ and its derived mutants. The C230 activities of the logarithmic-phase cultures of these strains were determined. Each bar represents the mean of three independent experiments. Error bars, where visible, indicate the average deviation. *, p<0.01 significance calculated by a Student’s t-test. (A) The impacts of resA mutant and resA/rpoE double mutant on the promoter activity of smeIJK operon. (B) The impacts of MDAs treatment on the promoter activity of smeIJK operon in the wild-type and rpoE mutant.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0111784.g006
perturbation in the cell envelope integrity. We performed the survival experiments with a 0.02% SDS challenge for 10 min on cells. KJΔsmeJK was found to be more susceptible to SDS stress than the parental strain KJ. Moreover, the LB-grown KJΔsmeJK cells were more tolerant to SDS challenge than the MH-grown ones (Figure 3A). KJ and KJΔsmeJK cells kept the similar SDS sensitivity as the wild-type KJ cells did.

Polyoxymyxins can bind to lipopolsaccharide (LPS) in the outer membrane of Gram-negative bacteria, disrupting both the outer and inner membranes. Therefore, the susceptibility to polyoxymyxins should be increased if the cell envelope integrity of bacteria is compromised. The polyoxymyxin E susceptibility of KJ, KJΔsmeJK, KJΔrpoE, and KJΔsmeJK was thus assessed. KJΔsmeJK was more sensitivity to polyoxymyxin E than KJ, KJΔrpoE, and KJΔsmeJK, especially in the MH medium (Fig. 3B).

**Loss of SmeJK activated the σ^E regulon**

Envelope stress responses (ESRs) are well documented in bacteria, with the σ^E being a key regulator of ESRs in several bacteria such as *E. coli* [15], *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* [16], and *Xanthomonas campestris* [17]. Because ΔsmeJK may cause a compromise in the envelope integrity (Fig. 3), whether ΔsmeJK-mediated ESR triggers rpoE regulon activation in *S. maltophilia* is of great importance. Based on the conserved genes organization of rpoE and anti-rpoE from various bacterial species, the putative rpoE/anti-rpoE operon was genome-wide searched in *S. maltophilia* K279a genome. Three flanking genes (Smlt3555-Smlt3554-Smlt3553), which encode putative σ^E, RseA, and MucD proteins, attracted our attention (Fig. S2). The genomic organization of rpoE-rseA-mucD in *S. maltophilia* is identical to that in *X. campestris* pv. campestris [17], and their encoded proteins share the identities of 89%, 48%, and 66% for σ^E, RseA, and MucD, respectively (Fig. S2). The consensus DNA sequences recognized by σ^E of *X. campestris* are -35 (5'-GAACCT-3') and -10 (5'-TCTGA-3') [18], which are identified upstream of *S. maltophilia* rpoE gene (Fig. S2), indicating that the rpoE-rseA-mucD operon can be a member of σ^E regulon in *S. maltophilia*, like that in *X. campestris* [17]. To test it, a P_{papE}::xylE transcription-fusion reporter plasmid pRpoExylE was constructed. The plasmid was introduced into the wild-type KJ, KJΔrpoE (an rpoE isogenic mutant) and KJΔpapEΔrseARseA (an rpoE and rseA double mutant), respectively. The P_{papE} activity obviously increased when rseA was inactivated, and significantly decreased to the level below the wild-type when rseA and rpoE were simultaneously inactivated (Fig. 4A), indicating that the σ^E regulon of *S. maltophilia*, which has been commonly seen in many other bacteria [17]. Therefore, the extent of rpoE expression can be used as the indicator of σ^E regulon activation.

In view of the involvement of SmeJK pump in the maintenance of envelope integrity, we further tested whether *smeJK* inactivation activates the σ^E regulon. The rpoE expression in KJ and KJΔsmeJK cells grown in different culture media was determined. The culture media tested included the LB, the LB without NaCl, the LB with casein hydrolysate, and the MH. Compared with wild-type, *smeJK* mutant caused a 3.0-, 3.1-, 3.4-, and 3.7-fold increment in the P_{papE}-driven C230 activity in the LB, the LB without NaCl, the LB with casein hydrolysate, and the MH, respectively. (Fig. 4B).

**MDA-mediated, σ^E-dependent SmeJK up-regulation**

Studies of stresses that alter *smeJK* expression can give important insights into its physiological function beyond antibiotics extrusion. For this purpose, a P_{smeJK}::xylE transcription fusion construct, pSmeKxylE, was prepared. The impact of stresses on the
smeIJK expression was evaluated by monitoring the C23O activities of KJ[pSmeIJK]. The addition of membrane-damaging agents (MDAs) (Triton X-100) and surfactants (benzalkonium chloride (BC) and cetethethytrabamonium bromide (CTAB)) moderately increased the expression of smeIJK operon (Fig. 5A). However, no significant increase in the C23O activity was seen when KJ[SmeIJK] cells were treated with gentamicin, amikacin, and bacitracin, which are the known substrates of SmeIJK pump (Fig. 5A). Furthermore, the smeIJK expression of KJ cells was less relevant to the types of culture media tested (Fig. 5B).

It is well perceived that the treatment of MDAs generally causes an σE-mediated envelope stress response (ESR) in Gram-negative bacteria. The involvement of σE in the MDAs-mediated smeIJK up-regulation is of interest. Firstly, we checked whether the smeIJK is subjected to the regulation of rpoE pathway. Compared with that in the wild-type KJ, the P_smeIJK activity in the rseA mutant increased approximately 1.7 fold and restored to the wild-type level in the ArseAΔrpoE double mutant (Fig. 6A), supporting that the activation leads to the up-regulation of smeIJK operon. In addition, the smeIJK expression in the σE-overexpression KJ cells (KJ[pRpoE]) was assayed by qRT-PCR. The smoE transcript in KJ[pRpoE] cells had a 2.1-fold increment compared to that in KJ[pRK415] cells, further verifying that smeIJK is a member of σE regulon.

Next, the involvement of rpoE in MDAs-mediated smeIJK expression was further assessed by determining the smeIJK expression in the wild-type KJ and in an rpoE knockout mutant, KJΔrpoE. As shown in Fig. 6B, the P_smeIJK activity increased upon the challenge of Triton X-100 (129±21 vs. 186±35), but returned to the level as low as the non-treated counterpart once the rpoE was inactivated (109±16), indicating that the Triton X-100-mediated smeIJK up-regulation is rpoE dependent.

If the smeIJK operon is directly subjected to the regulation of rpoE system, the consensus DNA sequences recognized by σE should be found upstream of smeIJK. Since the component of rpoE system in S. maltophilia and X. campestris is highly similar (Fig. S2), the consensus σE-binding DNA sequence of X. campestris [18] was tentatively employed as a search query for the σE regulon identification in S. maltophilia. We found a putative σE-regulated sequence of −35 (5’-GAACCT-3’) and −10 (5’-TCTTA-3’) sequences upstream of the smeIJK operon (Fig. S3). This raises a possibility of direct regulation of rpoE system on the expression of smeIJK.

Discussion

The organization of smeIJK locus is similar to those of mdtABC of E. coli [19,20], sdeCDE of Serratia marcescens [21,22], and mnxABC of P. aeruginosa [23] in the possession of two RND-type transporters. The identities among these homologues are summarized in Table S2. For the MdxABC pump of P. aeruginosa, both the two RND components are essential for its function [23]. However, the MdtABC system of E. coli is an example to describe that the two RND transporters encoded by the same operon may have its own role [20]. The heteromultimer RND pump, MdtABC-ToIC, effluxes bile salts, SDS, and novobiocin. Homomultimer RND pump MdtAC-ToIC also displays the efflux function but with a narrow substrate profile limited to bile salts; in contrast, homomultimer RND pump MdtAB-ToIC totally loses the efflux function [20]. In this article, with respect to the functions in antimicrobial extrusion, growth ability in MH medium, hypo-osmolality tolerance, and cell envelope sensitivity, both RND components are not absolutely essential for pump functions, since mutants KJ[A] and KJ[AK] still keep considerable functions as the wild-type KJ does (Table 1, Fig. 1, 2 & 3). However, a recent study has pointed out that the Smej and SMEk RND transporters of S. maltophilia K279a are essential to produce a functional multidrug transporter [11]. The discrepancies in the necessity of two RND transporters between isolates K279a and KJ may be due to the genetic background of the bacterial host.

In this study, we observed that smeIJK mutant displays growth retardation in the MH medium, but not in the LB medium. Although the real mechanism responsible for this phenotype is still unclear after several tries, at least two conclusions can be made from our results. (i) The medium-dependent accumulation of noxious compounds is not the key reason for the phenotype of smeIJK mutant (Fig. 1B); (ii) The casein hydrolysate in the MH medium partially contributes the logarithmic-phase growth compromise of smeIJK mutant in the MH medium (Fig. 2D). A recent study has shown that there is a considerable culture medium-specific variability in the Cpx-mediated ESR in E. coli [24]. Therefore, the impact of culture medium ingredients on the ESR activation and bacterial physiology should be more intricate than we can imagine.

For survival, bacteria harbor a variety of ESRs to deal with the envelope stresses. Six ESR systems, RpoE, CpxRA, BaeSR, Res-phophorelay, phage shock protein, and vesicle release response, have been well documented in E. coli. Each system has unique sets of inducing stressors and downstream targets. Therefore, the ESRs are highly regulated and there are often multiple response pathways in a given microorganism. The MdtABC, a SmelJK homologue in E. coli, has been proved to be a member of the BaeSR regulon; however, there is no direct evidence to support the role of MdtABC in dealing with the envelope stress [25]. In this study, we demonstrated that SmelJK is regulated by RpoE-mediated ESR and SmelJK has a contribution to the envelope integrity in S. maltophilia. In addition, EmhABC of P. fluorescens cLP6a [26] and MexCD-OprJ of Pseudomonas aeruginosa [27] are known to be involved in the ESR. The expression of emhABC increases when P. fluorescens is grown at 35°C (7°C up the optimum growth temperature), signifying the role of EmhABC in the management of membrane stress caused by an unfavorable incubation temperature [26]. The MexCD-OprJ efflux system appears to be a component of an ESR in P. aeruginosa because it is induced by a variety of MDAs in an algU-dependent manner [27]. It is worth mentioning that the EmhABC and mexCD-oprJ are constitutively weakly expressed. However, the SmelJK pump proposed in this study is intrinsically expressed even in the absence of any antibiotics stress. Loss of the constitutively expressed SmelJK pump may disturb the envelope stability and cause the σE-mediated envelope stress (Fig. 7A). Furthermore, smeIJK can be further upexpressed upon the challenge of MDAs or surfactants. As illustrated in Fig. 7B, treatment with MDAs triggers the σE regulon and, thus, activates an array of genes to alleviate the envelope stresses. The rpoE-red-mucBD and smeIJK operons are the members of σE regulon. The up-regulation of the SmelJK efflux pump may be a means by which the σE mediates adaptation to the envelope stress. The disinfectants, such as BC and CTAB, are extensively used in hospital. The physiological role of SmelJK pump may benefit the survival of S. maltophilia against the disinfectants and envelope stress challenges. But, this outcome unfortunately confers the increased resistance of S. maltophilia to aminoglycosides and leucymycin.
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