Minilaparotomy for malfunctioning peritoneal dialysis catheter by nephrologists: experiences at two centers
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Abstract
Catheter malfunction is one of the most important complications of peritoneal dialysis (PD). We have performed minilaparotomy for catheter repair by nephrologists. This study aimed to evaluate the effectiveness and safety of the surgery. The surgery was performed 11 times on 10 PD patients with catheter malfunction (3 man, 7 women; mean age 54.3 ± 14.6 years; 4 diabetes, 3 glomerulonephritis, 3 other) at two hospitals. All patients had inflow and/or outflow obstruction. One patient had inserted the PD catheter using conventional surgical technique, and the remaining nine patients had used Moncrief-Popovich technique. Seven patients with catheters embedded using the Moncrief-Popovich technique showed catheter occlusion at the time of externalization. The remaining three patients experienced catheter obstruction 6.0 ± 2.9 months after commencing PD. The cause of obstruction was fibrin in six patients, wrapping by fimbriae of the fallopian tube in two patients, omentum wrapping in two patients. One patient had no blockage in the catheter. Operative time was 97 ± 46 min, and no intraoperative complications were observed. PD was interrupted for 5.9 ± 3.0 days and was resumed without leakage in all patients. However, catheter malfunction recurred in one patient 3 months after the surgery. The mean hospital stay was 22.4 ± 14.7 days. Minilaparotomy by nephrologists is a safety and suitable for the management of catheter malfunction. In addition, it is necessary to always consider the possibility that the catheter has been occluded at the time of externalization in the Moncrief-Popovich technique.
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Introduction
The most frequent complications in peritoneal dialysis (PD) are catheter-related infections, but catheter malfunction is well-known as a relatively frequent complication. The cause of catheter malfunction is obstruction of the catheter or catheter tip migration in the abdominal cavity. Obstruction can result from intraluminal catheter occlusion (fibrin, blood clot) or extraluminal catheter occlusion (wrapping by omentum or fimbriae of the fallopian tube). Non-invasive catheter flushing of the obstruction is usually attempted first, but often fails.

When PD became widespread in the 1980s, catheter removal or replacement was common as a surgical treatment for catheter obstruction [1]. In the 1990s, laparoscopy was applied to various surgeries, and laparoscopic surgery for catheter obstruction has also been performed [2–4]. In general, laparoscopic surgery shows many advantages for patients compared with laparotomy (Table 1). On the other hand, one of the most important disadvantages of laparoscopic surgery is that expensive medical equipment is required to perform surgery. In addition, surgery requires special skills, and not all surgeons can
use a laparoscope. Indeed, it has also been reported that the incidence of complications due to laparoscopic surgery is related to the experience of the surgeon [5]. Furthermore, laparotomy can be performed under local anesthesia, but most laparoscopic surgeries require general anesthesia.

In 2008, Kim et al reported minilaparotomy under local anesthesia for catheter malfunction by surgeons for the first time [6]. Li et al, a Taiwanese urologists, also performed a small laparotomy for catheter malfunction and performed simultaneous catheter abdominal wall fixation, demonstrating its usefulness [7]. A number of nephrologists in Japan have reportedly been performing surgeries related to peritoneal access [8]. Therefore, many nephrologists also have performed this procedure for catheter malfunction, but all of which are only case reports. We believe that this procedure can be performed by a nephrologist, and we have performed it on 11 patients. The retrospective observational study aimed to evaluate the effectiveness and safety of minilaparotomy by nephrologists.

### Methods

Between April 2011 and November 2019, a total of 185 PD catheter placements were performed at St. Marianna University School of Medicine Hospital and Kawasaki Municipal Tama Hospital. Of these, 138 catheters were embedded using the Moncrief-Popovich technique [9], and 127 catheters had been externalized as of November 2019. The remaining 47 catheters were placed using conventional surgical techniques with creation of an exit site. We retrospectively evaluated the patients who performed minilaparotomy for catheter malfunction and investigated the background characteristics (age, sex, primary disease of end-stage kidney disease, body mass index, past history of abdominal surgery), cause of obstruction, type of catheter, type of anesthesia, operative time, intraoperative complications, PD interruption period, hospital stay, and postoperative outcomes. Laparotomy was selected for these surgeries (catheter placements and catheter repairs) because nephrologists are not allowed to use laparoscopy in PD catheter-related surgeries at our facilities. The study was approved by the institutional review boards of the two hospitals (No. 4302).

### Minilaparotomy for catheter repair

First, a bag containing dialysate was connected to the patient’s connecting tube before surgery. The reason for this connection is to confirm intraoperatively whether dialysate can be smoothly infused after apparent release of the obstruction. Intravenous cefazolin (1 g) was administered as antibiotic prophylaxis just prior to surgery. A skin incision of about 4 cm was made on the caudal side from the catheter insertion wound, fat tissue was detached, the anterior rectus sheath was dissected, rectus muscle was bluntly divided, and the peritoneum was reached. The peritoneum was incised to a length of approximately 1.5 cm, and the abdominal cavity was identified. The forefinger was then inserted into the abdominal cavity, and the catheter was slowly removed. As a key point in removal, the catheter is easy to take out by rolling the catheter with fingertip. If the catheter could be removed without resistance, we examined the cause of the obstruction. After removing the obstruction from the catheter, dialysate was injected to confirm catheter function. Finally, the catheter was manually inserted into the peritoneal cavity, the peritoneum was closed tightly with continuous suturing, and the anterior rectus sheath and skin were sutured.

### Results

Table 2 shows details for the 10 patients who performed minilaparotomy for catheter malfunction (3 men, 7 women; mean age 54.3 ± 14.6 years). In case 8, catheter malfunction was recurred 3 months after the minilaparotomy, and the second minilaparotomy was performed. The underlying pathology was unknown in 1 case, nephrosclerosis in 1 case, polycystic kidney in 1 case, diabetes in 4 cases, and glomerulonephritis in 3 cases. The mean body mass index at the time of minilaparotomy was 22.6 ± 3.6 kg/m². Three of the 11 patients had a history of abdominal surgery, comprising ectopic pregnancy in one, kidney transplantation in one, and ovarian cystectomy in the other. One patient had inserted the PD catheter using conventional surgical technique, and the remaining nine patients had used Moncrief-Popovich...
technique. Three types of catheter were placed as follows: Swan Neck Sendai Catheter with 0.7 mm lateral hole and 2.6 mm tip hole (JB-5(A); Hayashidera, Ishikawa, Japan) in 1 patient, Straight Long Shoot Catheter with 0.7 mm lateral hole and 2.6 mm tip hole (JL-3(A)S3; Hayashidera) in 5 patients, and JBS-2 slit-type semi-long catheters with 0.5 mm lateral hole and 2.0 mm tip hole (Meditech, Tokyo, Japan) in 4 patients. All patients had inflow and/or outflow obstructions. Table 3 shows postoperative outcomes of minilaparotomy. Minilaparotomy was performed under local anesthesia in 1 patient, spinal anesthesia in 2 patients, and general anesthesia in 7 patients. Among the 10 patients, 7 catheters had been occluded at externalization. In those cases, the mean burial period was 10.3 ± 5.1 months, and most of the cause of obstructions was fibrin. The remaining 3 patients experienced catheter obstruction 6.0 ± 2.9 months after commencing PD; the cause of obstruction was wrapping by fimbriae of the fallopian tube in two patients and omentum wrapping in two patients. One patient had no blockage in the catheter. Omentectomy was added for two patients with omentum wrapping. Figure 1 shows a catheter wrapped by fimbriae of the fallopian tube. The mean operative time for minilaparotomy was 97 ± 46 min, and no intraoperative complications were observed. PD interruption period was 5.9 ± 3.0 days, and PD could be resumed without leakage in all patients. The mean hospital stay was 22.4 ± 14.7 days, and PD was able to be resumed without trouble after discharge.

Discussion
A recent study reported the incidence of catheter malfunction due to obstruction as within the range of 3–20% [10]. In our study, 10 patients experienced catheter obstruction among 174 patients who initiated PD. The incidence of catheter malfunction was thus approximately 6.0%, but this result included cases used the Moncrief-

| Table 2 | Background of peritoneal dialysis patients who underwent minilaparotomy |
|---------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Case   | Age (years) | Sex    | Primary disease of ESKD | BMI (kg/m²) | Past history of abdominal surgery | Moncrief-Popovich technique |
| 1      | 54         | Male   | PKD                     | 24.9        | None                             | Yes                         |
| 2      | 65         | Female | Unknown                 | 24.5        | None                             | Yes                         |
| 3      | 67         | Female | CGN                     | 24.2        | Ectopic pregnancy                | Yes                         |
| 4      | 73         | Female | CGN                     | 19.8        | None                             | No                          |
| 5      | 84         | Female | DKD                     | 22.8        | None                             | Yes                         |
| 6      | 83         | Female | Nephrosclerosis          | 20.7        | None                             | Yes                         |
| 7      | 59         | Female | DKD                     | 30.4        | Ovarian cystectomy               | Yes                         |
| 8      | 34         | Female | MPGN                    | 19.7        | Kidney transplantation           | Yes                         |
| 9      | 58         | Male   | DKD                     | 21.4        | None                             | Yes                         |
| 10     | 66         | Male   | DKD                     | 18.0        | None                             | Yes                         |

| Table 3 | Postoperative outcomes of minilaparotomy |
|---------|-----------------------------------------|
| Case   | Cause of obstruction | Malfunction onset time or [burial period] (months) | Operative time (min) | Interruption period (days) | Hospital stay (days) |
| 1      | Fibrin                     | [11]                                                  | 47                   | 7                       | 10                     |
| 2      | Fibrin                     | [19]                                                  | 60                   | 6                       | 6                      |
| 3      | Fibrin                     | [9]                                                   | 48                   | 3                       | 6                      |
| 4      | Fimbriae of fallopian tube  | 9                                                     | 59                   | 4                       | 14                     |
| 5      | Fibrin                     | [8]                                                   | 87                   | 7                       | 29                     |
| 6      | Fibrin                     | [12]                                                  | 87                   | 5                       | 43                     |
| 7      | Fibrin                     | [11]                                                  | 83                   | 14                      | 32                     |
| 8      | Fimbriae of fallopian tube  | [2]                                                   | 187                  | 6                       | 49                     |
| 8      | Omentum                    | 3                                                     | 124                  | 5                       | 23                     |
| 9      | Omentum                    | 4                                                     | 132                  | 4                       | 11                     |
| 10     | NONE                       | 8                                                     | 150                  | 4                       | 23                     |
been published since the 1980s [14, 15]. In past reports, catheter obstruction due to fimbriae of the fallopian tube was reported that the wrapping by omentum was released during the minilaparotomy, or that the tip of catheter had entered in-between intestinal tracts.

As mentioned in the introduction, minilaparotomy has been reported by surgeons, with a minimum of 28 min and a maximum of 51 min [6, 7]. In contrast, nephrologists had significantly longer operative times, with additional operations such as omental resection taking more than 2 h. However, there was no complication due to anesthesia, and resumption of PD without leakage was possible.

Although we have already mentioned the general advantages of laparoscopic surgery, various advantages unique to catheter repair are also present. First, the cause of catheter malfunction can be clarified under direct vision. With fluoroscopic images using contrast agent, although catheter kinking or the location of the catheter obstruction can be confirmed, identifying the specific cause of the obstruction is not possible. Second, a noteworthy advantage of laparoscopic surgery is the ability to treat occlusion and prevent relapse at the same time as reaching the diagnosis. Preventive surgeries for catheter malfunction include omentectomy, omentopexy, and laparoscopic internal fixation [16–18]. Although such preventive surgeries are reportedly effective for preventing catheter malfunction, most of the studies in this area have been case accumulation studies, with few randomized controlled trials.

A disadvantage of laparoscopic surgery for catheter repair is leakage from the insertion port. PD interruption of at least 2–3 weeks after surgery is required to avoid leakage. In contrast, minilaparotomy can allow early resumption of PD due to the close suturing of the peritoneum. In our study, PD was resumed within 1 week in all except 1 case, and no leakage was found. One patient had a high BMI (30.4 kg/m²) and diabetes mellitus, so the period of PD interruption was set at 2 weeks. Physiological adverse effects due to CO₂ pneumoperitoneum are also disadvantages for laparoscopic surgery [19]. Leung et al. performed laparoscopic salvages of the obstructed catheter and reported that the operation time was 45 to 75 min [20]. In contrast, our operation time was long. We suspected that omentectomy and preservation of fimbriae of the fallopian tube were responsible for prolonged surgery time. With regard to the hospital stay in laparoscopic salvages,
Salgaonkar et al. reported that median post-operative hospital stay was 2 days (range 2–5 days) [21]. In contrast, we considered that the hospital stay was long in our patients because PD was initiated after releasing the obstruction. In conclusion, minilaparotomy for catheter malfunction by nephrologists can be useful for treating catheter malfunction. However, assistance from a surgeon is essential for patients in whom the obstructed catheter cannot be easily removed.
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