Canaloplasty and Trabeculotomy Ab Interno Combined with Cataract Surgery: 12-Month Outcomes in Hispanic Patients with Open-Angle Glaucoma
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Introduction

Between 2010 and 2019, the Hispanic demographic increased in the US from 16% to 18%. Hispanic ethnicity accounted for 52% of US population growth over this period.1 By 2035, Hispanic males will be the largest primary open-angle glaucoma (POAG) demographic; by 2050, Hispanics will account for 50% of POAG.2 Glaucoma in the Hispanic population is more prevalent than for non-Hispanic Whites with a higher proportion undiagnosed.3 While the Hispanic demographic is growing there remains much to be learned regarding specific risk factors for OAG in this population and how they may differ between the Hispanic and non-Hispanic White demographics.4 Population differences may have an effect on response to medical or surgical therapeutics therefore it is important to understand effectiveness and safety of glaucoma therapeutics within this significant demographic. Published data regarding the performance of various minimally invasive glaucoma surgeries in the Hispanic population remains relatively sparse. This study reports 12-month outcomes for canaloplasty followed by trabeculotomy (OMNI Surgical System, Sight Sciences, Menlo Park, CA) in conjunction with cataract surgery in a Hispanic (Northern Mexico/mestizo) open-angle glaucoma (OAG) population from El Paso, Texas.

Methods

Patients were enrolled at a tertiary referral glaucoma center as part of the multicenter 12-month GEMINI study (NCT03861169). The study was reviewed and approved by the IRB (Aspire). All patients provided written informed consent. The study followed the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. Study design and eligibility criteria have been previously described.5 In brief, key inclusion and exclusion criteria were: Hispanic ethnicity, adults aged 22 years or older, visually significant cataract, and mild to moderate open-angle glaucoma (ICD-10 guidelines), medicated IOP <33 mmHg on 1–4 topical IOP-lowering medications and unmedicated mean diurnal IOP (after washout) 21–36 mmHg and at least 3 mmHg higher than screening IOP, Schaffer grade ≥3 in all four quadrants by gonioscopy, no laser trabeculoplasty within 3 months of enrollment, or any prior glaucoma surgery (trabeculectomy, tube-shunt, or any MIGS procedure). Race/ethnicity information was self-reported. Eligible patients underwent medication washout preoperatively and at month 12. Diurnal IOP (DIOP; 9 AM, 12 PM, 4 PM) was measured preoperatively and 12 months. All IOP measurements were with Goldmann tonometer. Following phacoemulsification cataract surgery through a clear corneal incision (CCI, ≤ 2 mm), canaloplasty and trabeculotomy (360/180 degree) was performed using the same CCI for access. No IOP-lowering medications were administered to patients after the surgery (0 meds on postop day 1) unless medically warranted. If during the follow-up period medication was required to manage IOP, the same medication class (es) as used preoperatively were re-introduced with no more than one ocular hypotensive...
agent added at a single visit or within a 2-week period. Effectiveness outcomes included mean IOP and medications. Safety included adverse events (AE), and secondary surgical interventions (SSI). Analysis includes descriptive statistics and Mann–Whitney tests evaluating change from baseline.

Results
Thirty-nine subjects were treated and followed 12 months (March 2019 - April 2021). Demographics aside from ethnicity were similar to those for the GEMINI study as a whole; mean age and percentage female were 68.3 years, 60% in GEMINI and 69.2 years, 51% in this subset. Average [SD] Visual Field Mean Deviation and Pattern Standard Deviation were −3.9 [3.5] dB and 3.2 [2.1] dB compared to −3.7 [3.6] dB and 3.7 [2.6] dB for the GEMINI study as a whole. Note that nearly all (39/41, 95%) of subjects self-reporting as Hispanic in the GEMINI study are included in the present single-center analysis. Prior to washout, mean IOP was 16.5 (2.8) mmHg on average 2.0 (1.1) medications. Unmedicated mean (SD) DIOP was 22.8 mmHg (2.5) preoperatively and 14.9 (3.6) at 12 months, (−7.9 mmHg, −35%, P<0.001). Most (38/39, 97%) had an IOP reduction, and 85% had ≥20% reduction (Figure 1). Medications were reduced to 0.15 (0.43, - 93%, P<0.001). The majority (87%) of patients required no medications (Figure 2). Mean IOP and medication use for all follow-up visits are shown in Figure 3. There were no SSI. Adverse events were mild, self-limiting and typical of MIGS combined with cataract surgery; IOP increase ≥ 10 mmHg at ≥ 1 month (2, 5.1%), mild inflammation (3, 7.6%), and hyphema ≥ 1 mm (1, 2.6%).

Discussion
OMNI surgery reduced IOP and medication burden when combined with cataract surgery in a Hispanic population with OAG. The magnitude of these reductions closely mirrors those for the GEMINI study where IOP was reduced 8.2 mmHg (−35%) and medication use by 1.4 (−80%). While the current study suggests generalizability of the multicenter GEMINI results, congruence of the effectiveness results was not necessarily a given. Okeke found that outcomes with Trabectome were somewhat better for Hispanic than for non-Hispanic White, African-American, or Asian patients. Gallardo et al reported medicated IOP reductions of 5.7 to 6.5 mmHg and medication reductions of 0.6 to 1.4 for the first generation iStent in Hispanic patients with baseline medicated IOP ~19 mmHg. Interestingly, these data are slightly better than for those reported for a similar study based in South Dakota where medicated IOP went from 19.1 to 15.6 mmHg and medications went from 1.2 to 0.6 at 12 months.
The Hispanic population is the second fastest growing ethnicity/race demographic in the US and the second largest.\(^1\) The Hispanic population has been underserved medically as evidenced by the large estimated proportion of undiagnosed glaucoma.\(^3\) The prevalence of OAG in Hispanics is greater than for non-Hispanic Whites.\(^3\) The size and potentially unique characteristics of this population\(^4\) underscore the importance of differentiated interventions and focused studies of effectiveness and safety in this ethnic group.

This was a single-center, single surgeon data set. Data from other centers and confirming studies are needed. Longer term data to establish effect durability would be desirable. However, within the 12 months of follow-up, no SSI were required and medication reintroduction was limited to 5 of 39 patients. This suggests that the therapeutic effect was not diminishing.

The present study was a case series of patients undergoing the OMNI procedure in conjunction with cataract surgery. It is therefore not possible with the current data set to know the relative contributions of the OMNI procedure and of cataract surgery to the observed IOP lowering. However, a recent systematic review and meta-analysis of 32 studies including 1826 patients found that cataract surgery alone could provide a 14% reduction in IOP at 12 months post-surgery in contrast to the 35% reduction observed in the present study.\(^9\)

The OMNI device is a safe, implant-free MIGS combining trabeculotomy and canaloaplasty. The present analysis indicates that the favorable safety and effectiveness for OMNI reported in the broader population\(^5\) is also observed in Hispanic patients.
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