Calycosin Influences the Metabolism of Five Probe Drugs in Rats
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Background: Calycosin (CAL), a type of O-methylated isoflavone extracted from the herb Astragalus membranaceus (AM), is a bioactive chemical with antioxidative, antiphlogistic and antineoplastic activities commonly used in traditional alternative Chinese medicine. AM has been shown to confer health benefits as an adjuvant in the treatment of a variety of diseases.

Aim: The main objective of this study was to determine whether CAL influences the cytochrome P450 (CYP450) system involved in drug metabolism.

Methods: Midazolam, tolbutamide, omeprazole, metoprolol and phenacetin were selected as probe drugs. Rats were randomly divided into three groups, specifically, 5% Carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC) for 8 days (Control), 5% CMC for 7 days + CAL for 1 day (single CAL) and CAL for 8 days (conc CAL), and metabolism of the five probe drugs evaluated using ultra-performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (UPLC-MS/MS).

Results: No significant differences were observed for omeprazole and midazolam, compared to the control group. Tmax and t1/2 values of only one probe drug, phenacetin, in the conc CAL group were significantly different from those of the control group (Tmax h: 0.50±0.00 vs 0.23±0.15; control vs conc CAL). Cmax of tolbutamide was decreased about two-fold in the conc CAL treatment group (conc vs control: 219.48 vs 429.56, P<0.001).

Conclusion: Calycosin inhibits the catalytic activities of CYP1A2, CYP2D6 and CYP2C9. Accordingly, we recommend caution, particularly when combining CAL as a modality therapy with drugs metabolized by CYP1A2, CYP2D6 and CYP2C9, to reduce the potential risks of drug accumulation or ineffective treatment.
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Introduction
In the USA alone, 2.66 million patients are diagnosed with breast cancer, resulting in around 40,000 deaths every year.1 Encouragingly, from 1999 to 2007, the incidence of breast cancer has shown a decrease by 18%.2 Another type of tumor, osteosarcoma, is a common malignancy in adolescents and young adults3 with a prevalence of 5.8–12.9%.4 Complex mechanisms underlie the clinical progression of breast cancer and osteosarcoma. To achieve more effective relief of disease burden, traditional Chinese medicine is often combined with conventional treatments.

Astragalus has been a component of traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) for centuries and its integration with western medicine for improving healthcare options is a considerable focus of research interest.5 In China, Astragalus is mainly cultivated in Nemeng and Shanxi regions. Triterpenoids are the most abundant
compounds in Astragalus. Isoflavones, including genistein, daidzein, and calycosin (CAL), are a class of secondary plant metabolites that have been characterized as active medical ingredients. CAL has additionally been isolated from the perennial red cover plant.

CAL displays anti-oxidative, anti-inflammation and anti-tumor activities and is reported to inhibit breast cancer progression through regulation of estrogen receptor signaling. EWSAT1-mediated expression regulates the TRAF6 pathway and CAL inhibits nasopharyngeal carcinoma by modulating EWSAT1 activity. Moreover, CAL exhibits therapeutic activity against osteosarcoma through suppressing the neoplastic miR-223-IκB pathway. Another newly discovered role of CAL is hepatic anti-fibrosis.

While CAL may be effectively combined with other clinical treatments, the mechanisms underlying its metabolism in vivo are yet to be established. The cytochrome P450 (CYP450) enzyme family and drugs exert a two-way effect in that: (1) CYP450 participates in drug metabolism, and (2) the drug itself or its metabolite could inhibit or induce enzyme activity.

The utility of the cocktail approach for researching drug–drug interactions in the human body has been documented. An earlier study showed that continuous administration of 600 mg/kg Digeda-4 decoction induced inhibition of four CYP450 subtypes (CYP1A2, CYP2C9, CYP2C19, and CYP3A4). More recently, Corydalis decemens, an auxiliary herbal medicine for hypertension and rheumatoid arthritis, was shown to induce CYP2C19 while inhibiting CYP1A2 and CYP3A4. Based on experimental evidence, a probe cocktail solution was selected in the current study for determining the effects of CAL on the established CYP450 system and consequent metabolism of the drugs affected by these enzymes.

Materials and Methods

CAL was obtained from Chengdu Lingher Biotechnology Co. Ltd. Midazolam and Omeprazole were obtained from Jinhua medical college. Tolbutamide, Metoprolol and Phenacetin were obtained from Canspec, China. Carbamazepine was provided by Sigma, Shanghai. Acetonitrile (ACN), Methanol and Isopropanol were provided by Merck, Germany.

Animal Experiments

Rats were randomly divided into three treatment groups: (A) 5% CMC for 8 days (control), (B) 5% CMC for 7 days + CAL for 1-day (single CAL), and (C) CAL for 8 days (conc CAL). All three groups were intragastrically treated for 7 days and force-fed the probe mixture on day 8. 30 min after probe cocktail administration, 25 mg/kg CAL was administered to groups B and C. The time-points for obtaining blood were 0, 0.17, 0.33, 0.75, 1, 1.5, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, and 24 hrs. Serum samples were centrifuged at a speed of 13,000 rpm for 5 min and stored at −80°C until use.

Ethic

All experimental procedures and protocols were reviewed and approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of Jinhua Polytechnic and were in accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals.

Sample Processing

Agilent UHPLC unit (Agilent Corporation, USA) with a ZORBAX Eclipse Plus C18 column (1.8 m, 2.1 × 50 mm, USA) was a combination for Chromatographic separation. Mobile phase was consists of 0.1% formic acid in water (A) and ACN (B). Elution was in a linear gradient, with A and B as follows: 0–0.3 min (30-30% B), 0.3–1.3 min (30–50% B), 1.3–1.8 min (50–95% B), and 1.8–2.8 min (95% B). The flow rate was 0.40 mL/min. The quantitative analysis of target ions was performed with m/z values: 180.1→109.9 for phenacetin (collision energy: 24 V), m/z 346.12→135.9 for omeprazole (collision energy: 44 V), m/z 268.19→115.9 for metoprolol (collision energy: 17 V), m/z 271.11→91.0 for tolbutamide (collision energy: 36 V), m/z 326.09→290.8 for midazolam (collision energy: 44 V), and m/z 237.1→194.0 for the IS (collision energy: 18 V).

Following the thawing of serum at room temperature, samples were treated with 100 µL serum, 200 µL ACN and IS, and centrifuged at a speed of 13,000 rpm for 10 min. 100-µL supernatant was mixed with an equivalent volume of ultra-distilled water and a 5-µL volume of the mixture used for injection into the UPLC-MS/MS system.

Statistical Analysis

Results are presented as means ± SD (n=5–8). All data were processed with SPSS 18.0 statistical software. P values were calculated using the t-test and differences considered significant at P<0.05 (*P<0.05; **P<0.01, ***P<0.005).
Figure 1 Plasma concentration-time curves of probe drugs in the following groups ((A) Omeprazole; (B) Phenacetin; (C) Tolbutamide; (D) Midazolam; (E) Metoprolol: 5% CMC for 8 days (control), 5% CMC for 7 days +CAL for 1 day (single CAL) and CAL for 8 days (conc CAL). (■: control group; ▲: single CAL; ●: conc CAL).
Results and Discussion

CAL is a type of O-methylated isoflavone purified from AstragalusmembranaceusBge.14 Genistein, an isoflavone derived from soy products similar to CAL, and its analogs have been shown to non-competitively inhibit CYP450 2C9,15,16

Several hospital admissions related to adverse drug reactions in patients were reported by Pirmohamed and co-workers (2004).17 In 2004, a study was performed on 18,820 patients, of whom 28 subsequently died because of adverse drug effects,17 such as gastrointestinal bleeding, perforated duodenal ulcer, intracranial hemorrhage, renal failure and lithium toxicity. Another recent review provided evidence of detrimental effects of Chinese medicinal herbs.18 The top three documented adverse effects included digestive and nervous system disorders and mental health or behavior problems. A comprehensive literature search revealed four blood abnormality and two liver function abnormality cases.18 These earlier findings highlight the significance of establishing the potential effects of CAL on liver microsomes.

CAL exerted differential effects on the five probes, as shown in Figure 1. AUC values of midazolam, omeprazole and tolbutamide were decreased in the single CAL and conc CAL groups while pharmacokinetic alterations of metoprolol displayed an opposite trend, whereby the AUC value of metoprolol was elevated relative to the single CAL and conc CAL groups.

For accurate evaluation of the differences on pharmacokinetic parameters between the control and test groups (conc CAL and sig CAL), SPSS was utilized for statistical analysis, as presented in Table 1. Compared to the control group, omeprazole and midazolam showed no significant any pharmacokinetic differences in the CAL-treated groups. Only one of the probe drugs, phenacetin, in the conc CAL Group, displayed significant differences in $T_{\text{max}}$ and $t_{1/2}$ ($T_{\text{max}}$: 0.50±0.00 vs 0.23±0.15; control vs conc CAL). The $C_{\text{max}}$ value of tolbutamide was decreased about two-fold (conc vs control: 219.48 vs 429.56, $P<0.001$), indicating that CAL influences the metabolism of this drug.

Several studies to date have confirmed the effects of isoflavones, such as genstein, biochanin A, equol and daidzein, on CYP450 enzyme activity. A summary of earlier literature is presented in Table 2.16 Among the five isoflavones studied, genistein showed the most widespread which was influenced CYP3A4, CYP2C9, CYP2E1, CYP2C8 and CYP2C19. Phenacetin displayed marked differences in $t_{1/2}$

| Table 1 Main Pharmacokinetic Parameters in Rats |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| (a)                                           |
| Omeprazole (20 mg/kg)                         |
| Control Group                                 |
| Conc CAL Group                                |
| Single CAL Group                              |
| AUC(0-∞) (µg/L•h)                             |
| Control Group                                 |
| 15.99±6.42                                   |
| Conc CAL Group                                |
| 20.90±6.55                                   |
| Single CAL Group                              |
| 37.48±28.33                                  |
| AUC(0-∞) (µg/L)                               |
| Control Group                                 |
| 16.39±6.38                                   |
| Conc CAL Group                                |
| 22.45±9.22                                   |
| Single CAL Group                              |
| 37.92±29.02                                  |
| MRT(0-∞) (h)                                  |
| Control Group                                 |
| 0.53±0.12                                    |
| Conc CAL Group                                |
| 0.49±0.08                                    |
| Single CAL Group                              |
| 0.56±0.10                                    |
| MRT(0-∞) (h)                                  |
| Control Group                                 |
| 0.58±0.20                                    |
| Conc CAL Group                                |
| 0.62±0.26                                    |
| Single CAL Group                              |
| 0.57±0.11                                    |
| Cmax (µg/L)                                   |
| Control Group                                 |
| 27.62±4.31                                   |
| Conc CAL Group                                |
| 43.31±17.11                                  |
| Single CAL Group                              |
| 65.33±45.43                                  |

(Continued)
and $T_{\text{max}}$ (conc CAL vs control) while tolbutamide showed significantly decreased AUC, MRT and $C_{\text{max}}$ (conc CAL vs control). Metoprolol in the conc CAL group showed a significant difference in CL relative to the control group while decreased $T_{\text{max}}$, AUC and MRT of metoprolol were observed in the single CAL group.

Midazolam, tolbutamide, omeprazole, metoprolol and phenacetin are, respectively, metabolized by CYP3A4, CYP2C9, CYP2E1, CYP2C8 and CYP1A2 in humans, which are homologous to rat CYP3A1/2, CYP2C6/2C11, CYP2D1/2, CYP2D6 and CYP1A2/2C11. 11,13,19 CAL inhibited the activity of CYP1A2/2C11 (as observed from its effects on phenacetin) while promoting those of CYP2D2 (specific for metoprolol) and CYP2C6/2C11 (specific for tolbutamide) in rat, as shown in Figure 1 and Table 1. Genistein and Daidzein were both noncompetitively inhibited the ability of CYP2C9 in human liver microsomal cytochromes P450. The specific reasons and competition mechanism for CAL’s inhibition of CYP2C9 need further study.

**Conclusion**

The cocktail method involves five probe drugs (midazolam, tolbutamide, omeprazole, metoprolol and phenacetin) and can be effectively used to evaluate enzyme kinetics in vivo. Enzymes involved in the drug metabolism of these five probes in humans could be put into a one-to-one correspondence activity for rats. Results on the activities of homologous enzymes in rat involved in the metabolism of these drugs may be extrapolated to humans, supporting the potential significance of this method in clinical research. Our data indicate that CAL inhibits CYP1A2 activity while inducing that of CYP2D6 and CYP2C9. In cases where drugs are metabolized by CYP1A2, CYP2D6 or CYP2C9, combination therapy with CAL may therefore be less effective or more toxic, highlighting the requirement for further research.
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