Aberrant Resting-State Cerebellar-Cerebral Functional Connectivity in Unmedicated Patients With Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder
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Background: Although abnormality of cerebellar-cerebral functional connectivity at rest in obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) has been hypothesized, only a few studies have investigated the neural mechanism. To verify the findings of previous studies, a large sample of patients with OCD was studied because OCD shows possible heterogeneity.

Methods: Forty-seven medication-free patients with OCD and 62 healthy controls (HCs) underwent resting-state functional magnetic imaging scans. Seed-based connectivity was examined to investigate differences in cerebellar-cerebral functional connectivity in OCD patients compared with HCs. Correlations between functional connectivity and the severity of obsessive-compulsive symptoms were analyzed.

Results: In OCD, we found significantly increased functional connectivity between the right lobule VI and the left precuneus, which is a component of the default mode network (DMN), compared to HCs. However, there was no correlation between the connectivity of the right lobule VI-left precuneus and obsessive-compulsive severity.

Conclusions: These findings suggest that altered functional connectivity between the cerebellum and DMN might cause changes in intrinsic large-scale brain networks related to the traits of OCD.
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INTRODUCTION

Obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) is characterized by recurrent, intrusive, and distressing thoughts (obsessions) and repetitive behaviors or mental acts (compulsions) that are executed to avoid anxiety or neutralize obsessions. A large number of previous neuroimaging studies have indicated that cortico-striato-thalamo-cortical (CSTC) circuit dysfunction is a pathophysiology of OCD (1, 2).

In recent years, resting-state functional connectivity (rsFC), which is defined as temporal correlations of spontaneous blood oxygen level-dependent (BOLD) signal among spatially distributed brain regions (3) at rest, has been used to analyze neural circuits in the brain. Numerous studies of rsFC have identified intrinsic large-scale brain networks defined as the default mode
network (DMN), central executive network (CEN), and salience network (SN). DMN consists of three major subdivisions: the ventromedial prefrontal cortex, posterior cingulate cortex, and precuneus (4). Activities in these cortical regions are decreased during task states (5). CEN is divided into two major subdivisions, the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex and posterior parietal cortex, and activity in them is increased during a wide range of cognitively demanding tasks (6, 7). SN consists of major two regions, the dorsal anterior cingulate cortex and anterior insular cortex (6, 8). SN works on detecting, integrating, and filtering interoceptive, autonomic, and emotional information (6). In addition, SN plays a role in switching between DMN and CEN (8).

In the last decade, several studies using resting-state data demonstrated not only functional dysconnectivity within the CSTC circuit (9–13) but also abnormal functional connectivity within and among the DMN, ECN, and SN (14–18) in OCD. These studies, however, had mainly focused on the pathophysiology in the cerebrum of OCD.

Meanwhile, a large number of studies revealed that the cerebellum is involved in not only motor function but also cognitive function (19–25). In psychiatric disorders such as mood disorder, schizophrenia, and neurodevelopmental disorders, there is abundant evidence of alteration of the cerebellum (26–29). Furthermore, some neuroimaging meta-analysis studies of patients with OCD demonstrated structural and functional abnormalities in the cerebellum. Hu et al. reported greater gray matter volume in the cerebellum in adult OCD (30). Eng et al. also indicated that the gray matter volume in the cerebellum was greater and activation was reduced during a response inhibition task in patients with OCD (31). However, the precise roles of the cerebellum in OCD pathophysiology are still unknown.

Based on rs-fMRI, the subregions in the cerebellum are coupled with specific cortical networks, and rsFC was shown to mediate executive function, the default mode, and sensorimotor function in healthy subjects (32, 33). Especially, recent study revealed that the cerebellum is two times as involved the frontoparietal network as the cerebral cortex (34).

In recent years, several studies have investigated the altered cerebellar-cerebral functional connectivity in OCD. In the first study, Xu et al. compared the cerebellar-cerebral functional connectivity of 27 patients with OCD with that of 21 healthy controls (HCs) (35). They found that OCD patients showed significantly decreased cerebellar-cerebral functional connectivity in executive control and emotion processing networks. They also demonstrated a positive correlation between OCD symptom severity and functional connectivity spanning the right Crus I in the cerebellum and the inferior parietal lobule in the OCD group. Zhang et al. found decreased functional connectivity among the left Crus II, lobule VIII, and striatum and between the right lobule VII and the right striatum and cingulate in 27 medication-free OCD patients (36). Gao et al. investigated spontaneous brain activity by measuring the fractional amplitude of low-frequency fluctuations and resting-state functional connectivity in 64 medication-free OCD patients. They demonstrated that the OCD patients showed significantly increased functional connectivity between the left dorsolateral prefrontal cortex and the left cerebellum (37).

Although these studies reported alterations of cerebellar-cerebral functional connectivity in OCD, further investigation is needed to verify these results of previous studies because there are still few studies of cerebellar-cerebral functional connectivity in OCD.

For this reason, the aim of this study was to verify the alteration of cerebellar-cerebral functional connectivity in a larger number of drug-free OCD patients than previous studies.

**METHODS**

**Subjects**

A total of 109 subjects, including 47 medication-free OCD patients and 62 healthy controls (HCs) matched for age and sex participated in this study. All OCD patients were recruited from the Department of Neuropsychiatry, Kyushu University Hospital, Japan. They were diagnosed primarily using the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis I Disorders-Patient Edition (SCID) and fulfilled DSM-IV criteria. We ensured that none of them met the criteria for any current comorbid Axis I disorder and that all of them also fulfilled DSM-5 criteria for OCD. No OCD participant had taken any psychiatric medication for at least 4 weeks, and nine patients were drug-naïve. HCs were recruited from the local community, and interviewed according to the Structured Clinical Interviewed for DSM-IV non-patient Edition (SCID-NP). None of them had any psychiatric disorder. We excluded participants who had a comorbid axis I diagnosis, neurological disorder, head injury, serious medical condition, or history of drug or alcohol addiction. All of the participants completed an MRI scan, clinical assessment, and neuropsychological test within a few hours on the same day.

This study was approved by the Kyushu University Ethics Committee (No. 27-319). All participants provided written informed consent prior to study commencement.

**Clinical Assessment**

To assess the global severity of OCD symptoms, we used the Japanese version of the Yale-Brown Obsessive Compulsive Scale (Y-BOCS) (38). The Hamilton Rating Scales for Anxiety (HAMA) (39) and Depression (HAMD, 17-item version) (40) were also used to quantify the degree of anxiety and depression. The Japanese version of the National Adult Reading test (JART) (41) was administered to estimate a participant’s verbal intelligence quotient (IQ). We used Student’s t-test and the chi-square test to compare the demographic and clinical data of the OCD and HCs groups.

**Image Data Acquisition and Preprocessing**

The preprocessing and processing of image data acquired in this study were described in our previous study (42). All participants underwent MRI scanning on a 3.0-Tesla MRI scanner (Achieva TX, Phillips Healthcare, Best, The Netherlands) equipped with standard phased array head coils. A T2*-weighted gradient-echo planar imaging (EPI) sequence (echo time (TE), 30 ms; repetition time (TR), 2,500 ms; field of view (FOV), 212 × 212 mm; matrix, 64 × 64; slice thickness, 3.2 mm; flip angle, 80°) was acquired from each participant. After an initial 10-s
dummy scan, we completed 240 real scans during a 10-min real time scan. During a resting-state fMRI scan, participants were instructed to relax with their eyes opened and watch a presented gray cross. High-resolution T1-weighted anatomical images were also acquired (TE = 3.8 ms; TR = 8.2 ms; FOV 240 × 240 mm; flip angle 8°; slice thickness, 1 mm; inversion time = 1,026 ms) after each EPI image scan. After acquisition of all image data, the flip angle 8° was also acquired (TE 25.13, S.D. 5.73). The mean HAM-D-17 and HAM-A scores were significantly higher in the OCD group than in the HCs. Both groups were well-matched for age, sex, and handedness. The mean total Y-BOCS score in the OCD group was 25.13 (S.D. 5.73). The mean HAM-D-17 and HAM-A scores were significantly higher in the OCD group than in the HCs (p < 0.001).

### RESULTS

#### Demographic and Clinical Characteristics

Table 2 shows the demographic characteristics of the OCD group and HCs. Both groups were well-matched for age, sex, and handedness. The mean total Y-BOCS score in the OCD group was 25.13 (S.D. 5.73). The mean HAM-D-17 and HAM-A scores were significantly higher in the OCD group than in the HCs (p < 0.001).

#### Cerebellar-Cerebellum Functional Connectivity in OCD Group Relative to HCs

The OCD group showed significantly increased functional connectivity only between the right lobule VI\textsubscript{exact} and the left precuneus [peak MINI coordinate (−2, 60, 20), p-FDR:0.005277, cluster size: 195 voxels] (Figure 1). It, however, was not significant difference with Bonferroni correction (p-FDR < 0.0038) for adjusting 13 seeds ROIs. No decreased functional connectivity was found in the OCD group compared with HCs. There were no correlations were found between this functional connectivity from the right lobule VI\textsubscript{exact} to left precuneus and the Y-BOCS total score (r = 0.11) (Figure 2), obsession (r = 0.20) score, or compulsion (r = −0.014) score within the OCD group (Supplementary Figure 1). For supplemental analysis, within OCD group, we conducted voxel-wise regression analysis from right LobuleVI\textsubscript{exact} related to Y-BOCS total scores, while controlling for age and gender (statistical significance was set at a voxel height threshold of p < 0.001, and a cluster-size threshold of p < 0.05 FDR corrected). Though, there was no brain area that survive statistical significance.

### DISCUSSION

This study showed an increased rsFC between the right lobule VI\textsubscript{exact} and left precuneus in OCD patients compared with

---

**Table 1** Cerebellar seeds and coordinates grouped by network (35).

| Cerebellar network | Cerebellar seed | Side | MINI (x, y, z) |
|--------------------|----------------|------|---------------|
| Executive network  | Crus\textsubscript{I} | L    | −12, −78, −28 |
|                    | Crus\textsubscript{I} | R    | 12, −78, −28  |
|                    | Crus\textsubscript{II} | L    | −36, −70, −46 |
|                    | Crus\textsubscript{II} | R    | 36, −68, −44  |
|                    | Lobule\textsubscript{VI} | L    | −36, −52, −34 |
|                    | Lobule\textsubscript{VI} | R    | 36, −52, −34  |
| Default mode network | Crus\textsubscript{OM} | L    | −32, −76, −34 |
|                    | Crus\textsubscript{OM} | R    | 34, −80, −36  |
| Affective-limbic network | Lobule\textsubscript{VI} | L    | −26, −64, −34 |
|                      | Lobule\textsubscript{VI} | R    | 26, −64, −34  |
|                      | Verm\textsubscript{L} | L    | −4, −80, −34  |
| Motor network        | Lobule\textsubscript{VI} | L    | −20, −50, −24 |
|                      | Lobule\textsubscript{VI} | R    | 22, −52, −22  |
TABLE 2 | Demographic and clinical features.

| Variables mean (S.D.) | OCD (n = 47) | HC (n = 62) | Statistics |
|-----------------------|--------------|-------------|------------|
|                       |              |             | $\chi^2$   | t           | df | p-value |
| Age, years            | 33.30 (11.87)| 32.61 (11.04)| 0.308      | 0.308       | 107 | 0.759   |
| Sex, male/female      | 18/29        | 22/40       | 0.091      | 0.091       | 1   | 0.763   |
| Handed, right/left    | 41/6         | 60/2        | 3.578      | 3.578       | 1   | 0.059   |
| Estimated verbal IQ$^a$ | 104.20 (8.37)| 107.45 (9.26)| −1.864    | −1.864      | 106 | 0.065   |
| HAM-D-17              | 5.09 (4.73)  | 0.26 (0.65) | 8.873      | 8.873       | 47.3 | **0.000 |
| HAM-A                 | 6.36 (7.47)  | 0.40 (1.03) | 5.371      | 5.371       | 47.34 | **0.000 |
| Y-BOCS total score    | 25.13 (5.73) | 0.03        | 29.712     | 29.712      | 46.06 | **0.000 |
| Obsession subscale score | 12.68 (3.16) | 0.03 (0.19) | 27.249     | 27.249      | 46.00 | **0.000 |
| Compulsive subscale score | 12.45 (3.30) | 0.00 (0.00) | 25.493     | 25.493      | 46.20 | **0.000 |
| Invalid Scan          | 10.98 (19.41)| 7.35 (14.96)| 1.09       | 1.09        | 107 | 0.278   |

HAM-A, Hamilton Anxiety Scale; HAM-D, Hamilton Depression Scale; Y-BOCS, Yale-Brown Obsessive-Compulsive Scale.

$^a$Estimated verbal IQ was measured by the Japanese version of the National Adult Reading Test (JART).

$^b$One participant did not complete JART.

$^{**}p < 0.01.$

FIGURE 1 | Increased cerebellar-cerebral functional connectivity in OCD group compared with HC group. Patients with OCD showed significantly increased functional connectivity between right lobule VI$^{excc3}$ and left precuneus than HC (cluster size corrected significance $p < 0.05$ FDR, after applying a per-voxel height threshold of $p < 0.001$).

HCs. There was no correlation between this rsFC and obsessive-compulsive symptom severity. Our findings were different from the results of previous studies that reported hypo- or hyper-connectivity between Crus I and DMN in OCD (35, 45). However, this study had the advantages of a larger number of subjects and more seeds in the cerebellum than previous studies.

Previous studies showed that there were some intrinsic connectivity networks not only DMN, CEN but also visual, somatomotor, attention, limbic networks in the cerebrum (46) and the precuneus participated in paralimbic networks which include subsystems of the DMN (47). We, however, proceed with the discussion based on triple network model hypothesis which was proposed by Menon (48). The precuneus is mapped to the medial parietal cortex and associated with higher-order cognitive processes such as visuo-spatial imagery, episodic memory retrieval, and self-processing operations (49). Moreover, the precuneus is one of the brain regions involving the DMN (50–52) which has rsFC with Crus I, Crus II, and Lobule IX in HC (32, 53, 54). Numerous studies revealed alterations of the rsFC within or between the DMN, CEN, and SN in several psychiatric disorders such as schizophrenia, major depressive disorder, and autism (55–60). Menon proposed a triple network model in which a deficit in engagement and disengagement of these core neurocognitive networks play a role in psychiatric disorders (48). In a meta-analysis study of rsFC in OCD, Gürsel et al. demonstrated consistent hypoconnectivity within the DMN, CEN, and SN and general dysconnectivity within the DMN and frontoparietal network, which is involved in CEN, as well as between the frontoparietal lobe, DMN, and SN (60). Therefore, they concluded that the pathological interplay within and between network alterations could underlie core OCD symptoms (60).
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FIGURE 2 | Correlation between altered functional connectivity with severity of obsessive-compulsive symptoms. There was no correlation of increased right lobule VI\textsubscript{exec3}-left precuneus connectivity with the Y-BOCS total score. L, left; R, right; rsFC, resting-state functional connectivity; Y-BOCS, Yale Brown Obsessive-Compulsive Scale.

Our findings suggest that the aberrant rsFCs might occur not only in the cerebral regions but also in the cerebello-cerebral region in OCD. Patients with OCD have executive dysfunctions, such as working memory, cognitive flexibility, and response inhibition (61, 62). The deactivation of DMN that is associated with these cognitive performances usually occurs when an individual is required to focus attention on an external stimulus in HC (63–65). However, OCD patients have decreased DMN homogeneity (18) in resting conditions and difficulties with deactivation of DMN in non-resting conditions (17). Therefore, we supposed that the increased rsFC between lobule VI, which has resting functional connectivity to the CEN, and the precuneus might relate to interference with the function of DMN and involve the cognitive dysfunction in OCD (Figure 3).

We did not find a correlation between the functional connectivity of the right lobule VI\textsubscript{exec3}-left precuneus and the severity of obsessive-compulsive symptoms measured by Y-BOCS. This result means that the aberrant rsFC between the cerebellum and DMN is not associated directly with the obsessive-compulsive symptoms. DMN relates to response inhibition (66, 67), planning (68), and decision-making (69), which are trait markers for OCD (70). Our results, therefore, might show that this aberrant rsFC is not a state but a trait of OCD patients.

There are several reasons for the differences in the results between the previous study and the current study. First, OCD has heterogeneity (71). It, therefore, has been pointed out that replication of the findings has been variable (71). Second, functional organization of the cerebellum is individual specific (34). Marek et al. (34) revealed that there were differences across individuals from the group average in terms of relative amount of cerebellum associated with each intrinsic cerebral network. Third, there is methodological difference in imaging data analysis between the previous study and current study. We used CONN toolbox (43) which was commonly used in many previous studies, though previous study which was conducted Xu et al. (35) used the Data Processing & Analysis for Brain Imaging (72). We do not think that either of these two methods of analysis is better than the other.

There are several limitations in this study. First, we did not investigate the correlation between aspects of the neuropsychological performance such as response inhibition and aberrant rsFC in the OCD group. Therefore, we could not
verify our suggestion that altered cerebellar-cerebral connectivity might relate to the cognitive dysfunction and be a trait of OCD. Second, we did not consider other aspects of OCD heterogeneity, such as the age at onset, duration of the illness, and OCD dimensional symptoms. Future studies with neuropsychological tests and more comprehensive clinical data would validate our study. Third, we had not used the newest validated seed regions which Seitzman et al. (73) had revealed. We, however, use the seed regions which were used in the previous study (35) since the aim of this study was to verify that study. In the future, it is necessary to conduct new analysis using the newest seed regions.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we found increased functional connectivity between lobule VI and the precuneus at rest in medication-free patients with OCD. There was no correlation between the functional connectivity and severity of obsessive-compulsive symptoms. These findings suggest that aberrant resting state cerebellar-cerebral functional connectivity might be associated with executive dysfunction in OCD patients and be a trait of OCD.
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