Variational wave functions for the $S = 1/2$ Heisenberg model on the anisotropic triangular lattice: Spin liquids and spiral orders
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By using variational wave functions and quantum Monte Carlo techniques, we investigate the complete phase diagram of the Heisenberg model on the anisotropic triangular lattice, where two out of three bonds have super-exchange couplings $J$ and the third one has instead $J'$. This model interpolates between the square lattice and the isotropic triangular one, for $J'/J \lesssim 1$, and between the isotropic triangular lattice and a set of decoupled chains, for $J/J' \lesssim 1$. We consider all the fully-symmetric spin liquids that can be constructed with the fermionic projective-symmetry group classification [Y. Zhou and X.-G. Wen, arXiv:cond-mat/0210662] and we compare them with the spiral magnetic orders that can be accommodated on finite clusters. Our results show that, for $J'/J \lesssim 1$, the phase diagram is dominated by magnetic orderings, even though a spin-liquid state may be possible in a small parameter window, i.e., $0.7 \lesssim J'/J \lesssim 0.8$. In contrast, for $J'/J \lesssim 1$, a large spin-liquid region appears close to the limit of decoupled chains, i.e., for $J/J' \lesssim 0.6$, while magnetically ordered phases with spiral order are stabilized close to the isotropic point.

PACS numbers: 71.27.+a, 75.10.Jm, 75.10.-b, 75.10.Kt

I. INTRODUCTION

The field of frustrated magnetism represents an active research topic in condensed matter physics,1 due to the possibility that unconventional phases may be stabilized, with topological properties and fractionalized excitations (i.e., carrying fractions of the “elementary quantum numbers” or obeying fractional or anyonic statistics). Fascinating examples are given by the so-called spin liquids or obeying fractional or anyonic statistics). Fascinating examples are given by the so-called spin liquids or spin-liquid properties. In these materials, strongly dimerized organic molecules are arranged in stacked two-dimensional anisotropic triangular layers, where, up to a good level of approximation, two out of three hoppings are equal ($t$), while the third one is different ($t'$). Several magnetic and superconducting phases may be observed, by varying pressure and temperature, as well as the nature of the anion $X$.23 The most interesting compound of the family is given by $\kappa$-(ET)$_2$Cu$_2$(CN)$_3$, where no signal of magnetic order has been detected down to very low temperatures, thus indicating that a non-magnetic Mott insulator may be eventually realized.24 Since this compound is moderately correlated, the estimate of the frustrating ratio has been performed by several independent groups within density-functional approaches, which estimated the values of the two different hoppings $t$ and $t'$. These calculations lead to a degree of anisotropy that is between the square lattice and the isotropic triangular one, e.g., $t'/t \approx 0.85$.25 However, this result has been recently questioned by a calculation that instead suggests a more isotropic triangular structure, e.g., $t'/t \approx 1$.26 In any case, the $\kappa$-(ET)$_2$X family is a fertile field for the search of spin-liquid compounds. Indeed, besides $\kappa$-(ET)$_2$Cu$_2$(CN)$_3$, compounds that have recently discovered, showing interesting low-temperature properties; among them, we would like to mention $\kappa$-(ET)$_2$B(CN)$_4$, which appears to be strongly correlated and with a marked one-dimensional character.10 Finally, also another family of salts based on the organic molecule Pd(dmit)$_2$ has been shown to possess rich phase diagrams.2 Even if a fully anisotropic triangular lattice is more suitable to properly describe these materials,21 a simpler modelization in terms of a $t-t'$ anisotropic triangular lattice has been considered in density-functional theory.8 The estimated hopping parameters fall in the window $0.75 \lesssim t'/t \lesssim 0.9$ for the two compounds with a non-magnetic ground state, namely Me$_2$EtSb[Pd(dmit)$_2$]$_2$22 and Me$_2$EtP[Pd(dmit)$_2$]$_2$23.

Besides these organic materials, the anisotropic triangular lattice is also appropriate to describe two isostructural and isoelectronic compounds, Cs$_2$CuBr$_4$ and Cs$_2$CuCl$_4$, where magnetic Copper atoms lie on weakly coupled triangular lattices. While Cs$_2$CuCl$_4$ shows spin-liquid behavior over a broad temperature range, with spin excitations that appear to be gapless,13 the Cs$_2$CuBr$_4$ compound exhibits a magnetic ground state with spiral order in zero magnetic field.14 Both these materials are much more strongly correlated than organic salts and their physical properties can be captured by frustrated Heisenberg models (possibly decorated by small perturbations, such as the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction). Most importantly, these materials have $J < J'$ and, therefore, their structure can be seen as chains (defined along $J'$) coupled together through a zigzag coupling ($J$). The distinct physical behaviors are
generally attributed to the different degree of frustration, i.e., the ratio between inter-chain and intra-chain super-exchange couplings in the underlying anisotropic triangular lattice. For Cs$_2$CuCl$_4$, a direct comparison between neutron scattering experiments and theoretical calculations,\textsuperscript{44} the temperature dependence of the magnetic susceptibility,\textsuperscript{12} as well as recent estimates based on spin-resonance spectroscopy experiments,\textsuperscript{19} suggest that the ratio between intra-chain $J'$ and inter-chain $J$ magnetic couplings is $J'/J \approx 0.33$. A small inter-layer coupling $J_{\perp}$ of the order of $10^{-3} J$ is responsible for the appearance of a three-dimensional magnetic order below $T_N = 0.62$ K. Instead, the situation is more controversial for the Cs$_2$CuBr$_4$ compound, where a comparison of the experimental results with the theoretical calculations of Ref.\textsuperscript{19} suggests a frustrating ratio of $J'/J \approx 0.75$.\textsuperscript{20} In agreement with density-functional theory calculations,\textsuperscript{21} while a more recent determination of the super-exchange couplings via spin-resonance spectroscopy indicates more one-dimensional features, i.e., $J'/J \approx 0.4$.\textsuperscript{22}

In this paper, we study the frustrated $S = 1/2$ Heisenberg model on the anisotropic triangular lattice. Despite its simplicity, the ground state of this model is still controversial, with different methods giving more emphasis either to spin liquids or to magnetic phases. On the one hand, spiral orders with non-trivial periodicities appear at the classical level and may survive to quantum fluctuations; previous calculations have considered this issue within various approximations, e.g., by using series expansion,\textsuperscript{20} coupled-cluster approaches,\textsuperscript{22} and the Gutzwiller approximation.\textsuperscript{23} Recently, the density-matrix renormalization group (DMRG) has been used to study magnetic correlations and the associated finite-size effects, obtaining an incommensurate behavior over a wide range of the phase diagram.\textsuperscript{24} On the other hand, the presence of competing interactions in the anisotropic triangular lattice attracted also a large interest in the search of spin-liquid phases. While the isotropic point is well established as a magnet with a three-sublattice periodicity (the so-called 120° order),\textsuperscript{25,26} the presence of anisotropies may favor a non-magnetic ground state with respect to generic spiral states. However, on the anisotropy regime interpolating between a square lattice and the isotropic triangular one, the quest for spin-liquid phases has been very limited and includes only calculations based upon spin-wave theories\textsuperscript{28,31} or on Schwinger bosons.\textsuperscript{22} A dimer-ordered state has been also suggested in the limit of quasi-one dimensional lattices,\textsuperscript{29} compared to spin liquids, which are competitive only in a small window $0.7 \lesssim J'/J \lesssim 0.8$. For $J'/J \lesssim 0.1$, we confirm that, close to the limit of decoupled chains, spin-liquid wave functions have better energies with respect to magnetic states (including collinear ones), indicating the presence of a quasi-one-dimensional magnetically disordered phase for $J'/J \lesssim 0.6$. In contrast, close to the isotropic point, spiral states have lower energies than spin-liquid ones.

The paper is organized as follows: in Sec. \textsuperscript{II}, we introduce the Heisenberg model and the variational wave functions that are constructed for magnetic and spin-liquid states; in Sec. \textsuperscript{III}, we present the results of our numerical calculations; finally, in Sec. \textsuperscript{IV}, we draw our conclusions.

\section{Model and Method}

We consider the spin-1/2 antiferromagnetic Heisenberg model on the anisotropic triangular lattice, as described by:

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{i,j} J_{ij} S_i S_j,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)
where $S_i = (S_i^x, S_i^y, S_i^z)$ is the spin-1/2 operator at the site $i$ and $J_{ij}$ is the antiferromagnetic coupling, including an intra-chain $J'$ along $a_{x+y} = (1, 0)$, and an inter-chain $J$ along $a_x = (1/2, -\sqrt{3}/2)$ and $a_y = (1/2, \sqrt{3}/2)$, see Fig.1 and Ref. [22]. The coordinates of the lattice sites are given by $R_i = i_x a_x + i_y a_y$. In the following, we consider clusters with periodic boundary conditions defined by the vectors $T_x = l a_x$ and $T_y = l a_y$, which contain $L = l^2$ sites. We will take $J$ as the unit of energies for the region of the phase diagram with $J'/J < 1$ and $J'$ for the region with $J'/J < 1$.

Our numerical results are based on the definition of correlated variational wave functions that approximate the exact ground-state properties. In particular, they are given by:

$$|\Psi\rangle = \mathcal{J}_s \mathcal{P}_G |\Phi_0\rangle, \quad (2)$$

where $\mathcal{P}_G = \prod_i (1 - n_{i,\uparrow} n_{i,\downarrow})$ is the usual Gutzwiller projection operator onto the subspace of singly occupied sites, with the total number of electrons $N$ equal to the number of sites $L$. Moreover, $\mathcal{J}_s$ is a spin-spin Jastrow term:

$$\mathcal{J}_s = \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} u_{ij} S_i^z S_j^z \right], \quad (3)$$

where $u_{ij}$ are pseudo-potentials that can be optimized for every independent distance $|R_i - R_j|$ in order to minimize the variational energy. Finally, $|\Phi_0\rangle$ is the ground state of a non-interacting fermionic Hamiltonian, which can describe either magnetic or non-magnetic states. All the calculations are performed in the subspace with no net spin polarization, i.e. $\sum_i S_i^z = 0$. Given the presence of the Gutzwiller projector and the Jastrow factor, a Monte Carlo sampling is needed to compute any expectation value over these correlated variational states.

Let us now describe the two families of variational states that are used to draw the phase diagram. The first one is given by magnetic states. In this case, $|\Phi_0\rangle$ is the ground state of a non-interacting fermionic Hamiltonian that contains both a band contribution and a magnetic term:

$$\mathcal{H}_{AF} = \mathcal{H}_{kin} + \mathcal{H}_{mag}. \quad (4)$$

The magnetic term is of the form

$$\mathcal{H}_{mag} = 2h \sum_j \left[ \cos(Q \cdot R_j) S_j^x + \sin(Q \cdot R_j) S_j^y \right]$$

$$= h \sum_j \left[ e^{-iQ \cdot R_j} c_j^{\dagger} c_{j+1} + e^{iQ \cdot R_j} c_j^{\dagger} c_{j-1} \right], \quad (5)$$

where the pitch vector $Q$ determines the magnetic ordering in the $x-y$ plane and $h$ is a variational parameter to be optimized. Here, the fermionic operator $c_j^{\dagger}$ ($c_j$) creates (destroys) one electron with spin $\sigma$ on the site $j$.

In real space, the magnetic order can be described by two angles $\theta$ and $\theta'$, defining the relative orientation of two neighboring spins along $a_x$ and $a_{x+y}$, respectively. According to previous calculations, the optimal magnetic solution displays a spiral order, which may be parametrized through a single angle $\theta \in [\pi/2, \pi]$, with $\theta' = 2\theta$, see Fig.2 left panel. For example, a pitch angle of $\theta = \pi$ corresponds to Néel order, appropriate for the limit $J' \to 0$, while $\theta = 2\pi/3$ corresponds to the 120° order, suitable for $J = J'$. In addition to these two magnetic orderings, we consider in our calculations few intermediate spiral orders, as allowed by the size of the clusters on which the simulations are performed. Indeed, given an $l \times l$ cluster with periodic boundary conditions, the allowed $\theta'$s are given by $\theta' = 2\pi n/l$, with $n$ being an integer. Besides this class of spiral states, we also consider states with collinear order in the limit $J \to 0$, i.e., states with $\theta' = \pi$ and $\theta = 0$ or $\pi$, see Fig.2 right panel, as suggested in Ref. [39].

The kinetic part of the Hamiltonian is given by:

$$\mathcal{H}_{kin} = \sum_{i,j,\sigma} \chi_{ij} c_{i,\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j,\sigma} + h.c., \quad (6)$$

where $\chi_{ij}$ are hopping parameters that connect nearest-neighbor sites. We considered two possible Ansätze that describe the case with vanishing magnetic fluxes:

$$\chi_{ij} = \begin{cases} \chi \quad \text{for} \quad R_j = R_i + a_x \\ \chi' \quad \text{for} \quad R_j = R_i + a_y \\ 0 \quad \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

FIG. 1: (Color online) Illustration of the anisotropic triangular lattice, where red solid and blue dashed lines indicate antiferromagnetic couplings $J'$ and $J$, respectively.

FIG. 2: (Color online) Left panel: spin pattern for a spiral state with $\theta' = 2\theta$. Right panel: spin pattern for the collinear state proposed to describe the limit $J \to 0$ [22].
and the case with $\pi$-fluxes threading up triangles (and 0-flux threading down triangles):

$$
\chi_{ij} = \begin{cases} 
\chi & \text{for } R_j = R_i + a_x \\
-(-1)^i \chi & \text{for } R_j = R_i + a_y \\
-(-1)^i \chi' & \text{for } R_j = R_i + a_{x+y} \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}, \quad (8)
$$

where (in both cases) $\chi'$ is a variational parameter to be optimized, while $\chi = 1$ in order to set the energy scale. Remarkably, the trivial case with no fluxes of Eq. (7) gives the best Ansatz only for $J/J' \lesssim 0.5$, while for $J/J' \gtrsim 0.5$ the best magnetic state is obtained with $H_{\text{kin}}$ having non-trivial $\pi$-fluxes piercing the lattice. The fact of having a non-trivial pattern of magnetic fluxes in the kinetic part of the non-interacting Hamiltonian could be surprising. However, on the square lattice, we have shown that the best variational wave function can be constructed by considering both Néel order and superconducting pairing with $d_{x^2-y^2}$ symmetry in the non-interacting Hamiltonian, which is equivalent to having non-trivial magnetic fluxes. Also in the triangular lattice, previous calculations have shown that the best state is obtained with a $2 \times 1$ unit cell in the non-interacting Hamiltonian, implying non-trivial fluxes. In fact, classical magnetic order alone does not reproduce the correct signs of the ground state and the $2 \times 1$ unit cell strongly improves them. We want to stress the fact that, in all cases considered here, the non-interacting Hamiltonian has a finite gap, due to the presence of anisotropic triangular lattice, implying non-trivial magnetic fluxes. In Ref. 42 (relevant for $J'/J < 1$) together with $a_0^{1,2,3} = 0$. For $\lambda = 0$ this Ansatz coincides with the well-known $d$-wave state that has been widely used to study the Heisenberg model on the square lattice, which is indeed obtained with $J' = 0$. For the optimal variational parameters in all the range $J'/J < 1$ this state is gapless with four Dirac points.

- The $U(1)$ Dirac spin liquid with a $2 \times 1$ unit cell and denoted by $U1B\tau^1_\tau^0_\tau^1_1$ in Ref. [12] (relevant for $J' \approx J$)

$$
U_{ij} = \begin{cases} 
\chi \tau^3 & \text{for } R_j = R_i + a_x \\
-(-1)^i \chi \tau^3 & \text{for } R_j = R_i + a_y \\
-(-1)^i \chi' \tau^3 & \text{for } R_j = R_i + a_{x+y} \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}, \quad (11)
$$

- The $Z_2 Ad$ spin liquid with a $1 \times 1$ unit cell and denoted by $Z2A\tau^1_\tau^0_\tau^1_\tau^2_1$ in Ref. [12] (relevant for $J'/J < 1$)

$$
U_{ij} = \begin{cases} 
\chi \tau^1 + \eta \tau^2 & \text{for } R_j = R_i + a_x \\
\chi \tau^1 - \eta \tau^2 & \text{for } R_j = R_i + a_y \\
\lambda \tau^1 & \text{for } R_j = R_i + a_{x+y} \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}, \quad (12)
$$

- The $Z_2 As$ spin liquid with a $1 \times 1$ unit cell and denoted by $Z2A\tau^1_\tau^0_\tau^1_\tau^2_1$ in Ref. [12] (relevant for $J'/J < 1$)

$$
U_{ij} = \begin{cases} 
\chi \tau^1 + \eta \tau^2 & \text{for } R_j = R_i + a_x \\
\chi \tau^1 + \eta \tau^2 & \text{for } R_j = R_i + a_y \\
\lambda \tau^1 & \text{for } R_j = R_i + a_{x+y} \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}, \quad (13)
$$

The spin-liquid wave functions are constructed from the classification obtained in Ref. [12]. The starting point is the most general form of an $SU(2)$ invariant mean-field Hamiltonian, of which $|\Phi_0\rangle$ would be the ground state:

$$
H_{\text{SL}} = - \sum_{(i,j)} \left( c_{i,\downarrow} c_{i,\downarrow}^\dagger \right) U_{ij} \left( c_{j,\uparrow}^\dagger c_{j,\uparrow} \right) + \text{h.c.} + \sum_{l=1,2,3} \left( c_{i,\downarrow}^\dagger c_{i,\downarrow} \right) a_0^l \tau^l \left( c_{i,\uparrow}^\dagger c_{i,\uparrow} \right), \quad (9)
$$

where $U_{ij}$ are written in terms of the Pauli matrices $\tau^l$ ($l = 1, 2, 3$) and the identity matrix $I$:

$$
U = it_f I + t_R \tau^3 + \Delta_f \tau^2 + \Delta_R \tau^1, \quad (10)
$$

where the bond index $(ij)$ has been dropped for simplicity. Here, $t_R, t_f, \Delta_f, \Delta_R$ are variational parameters to be optimized, as well as the $a_0^l$ of Eq. (9). We remark that the term proportional to $\tau^3$ (in Eq. (10)) represents kinetic energy with real (imaginary) hopping, while the term proportional to $\tau^1 (\tau^2)$ represents real (imaginary) BCS pairing.

Starting from Eq. (9), the authors of Ref. [12] classified spin-liquid states by using the projective-symmetry group analysis. Once limiting to solutions having finite couplings $U_{ij}$ along $a_x, a_y$, and $a_{x+y}$, it was found that the anisotropic triangular lattice can accommodate seven independent $Z_2$ spin liquids and three $U(1)$ spin liquids. They are labelled with $A$, if translationally invariant, and with $B$, if defined on a $2 \times 1$ unit cell (in the presence of the Gutzwiller projector they are always totally symmetric). We implemented all these states in our Monte Carlo calculations and found that the following three Ansätze are relevant in some region of the phase diagram:

- The $U(1)$ Dirac spin liquid with a $2 \times 1$ unit cell and denoted by $U1B\tau^1_\tau^0_\tau^1_1$ in Ref. [12] (relevant for $J' \approx J$)

$$
U_{ij} = \begin{cases} 
\chi \tau^3 & \text{for } R_j = R_i + a_x \\
-(-1)^i \chi \tau^3 & \text{for } R_j = R_i + a_y \\
-(-1)^i \chi' \tau^3 & \text{for } R_j = R_i + a_{x+y} \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}, \quad (11)
$$

- The $Z_2 Ad$ spin liquid with a $1 \times 1$ unit cell and denoted by $Z2A\tau^1_\tau^0_\tau^1_\tau^2_1$ in Ref. [12] (relevant for $J'/J < 1$)

$$
U_{ij} = \begin{cases} 
\chi \tau^1 + \eta \tau^2 & \text{for } R_j = R_i + a_x \\
\chi \tau^1 - \eta \tau^2 & \text{for } R_j = R_i + a_y \\
\lambda \tau^1 & \text{for } R_j = R_i + a_{x+y} \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}, \quad (12)
$$

- The $Z_2 As$ spin liquid with a $1 \times 1$ unit cell and denoted by $Z2A\tau^1_\tau^0_\tau^1_\tau^2_1$ in Ref. [12] (relevant for $J'/J < 1$)

$$
U_{ij} = \begin{cases} 
\chi \tau^1 + \eta \tau^2 & \text{for } R_j = R_i + a_x \\
\chi \tau^1 + \eta \tau^2 & \text{for } R_j = R_i + a_y \\
\lambda \tau^1 & \text{for } R_j = R_i + a_{x+y} \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}, \quad (13)
$$

Together with $a_0^1 = a_1$ and $a_0^{2,3} = 0$. For $\lambda = 0$ this Ansatz coincides with the well-known $d$-wave state that has been widely used to study the Heisenberg model on the square lattice, which is indeed obtained with $J' = 0$. For the optimal variational parameters in all the range $J'/J < 1$ this state is gapless with four Dirac points.
In Eqs. \[11,13\], \(\lambda\), \(\chi\), and \(\eta\) as well as \(a_0^{1,2,3}\) represent variational parameters to be optimized. The following \(Z_2B\) spin liquid with a \(2 \times 1\) unit cell (denoted by \(Z_2B\)) in Ref. \[22\].

\[
U_{ij} = \begin{cases} 
\eta \chi^3 + \chi^3 
& \text{for } R_j = R_i + a_x \\
(1-\chi^2) \chi^3 
& \text{for } R_j = R_i + a_y \\
(1-\chi^2) \chi^3 
& \text{for } R_j = R_i + a_x + a_y \\
0 
& \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

with \(a_0^{1,2} = 0\) and \(a_0^3 = a_3\), does not give competing energies with the other ones in the whole phase diagram. Finally, we have also included a short-range spin-spin Jastrow factor on top of the spin-liquid mean-field state: this allows a significant energy gain, but does not induce a fictitious magnetic order, since the spin-spin correlations remain short ranged. We also mention that the \(U(1)\) uniform spin liquid defined by (denoted by \(U1\)) in Ref. \[42\]:

\[
U_{ij} = \begin{cases} 
\chi \chi^3 
& \text{for } R_j = R_i + a_x \\
\chi \chi^3 
& \text{for } R_j = R_i + a_y \\
\chi \chi^3 
& \text{for } R_j = R_i + a_x + a_y \\
0 
& \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

with \(a_0^{1,2} = 0\) and \(a_0^3 = a_3\), does not give competing energies with the other ones in the whole phase diagram. Finally, we have also included a short-range spin-spin Jastrow factor on top of the spin-liquid mean-field state: this allows a significant energy gain, but does not induce a fictitious magnetic order, since the spin-spin correlations remain short ranged. We also mention that the energy of the \(Z_2A\) spin liquids has been slightly improved by extending the range of the \(U_{ij}\) up to the sixth neighbors.

### III. RESULTS

In this section, we present the results of our calculations, by separately considering the two regimes \(J'/J \leq 1\) and \(J/J' \leq 1\). In both cases, we first investigate different spin-liquid and magnetic Ansätze and then compare the best spin liquid with the best magnetically ordered wave function, in order to determine the nature of the ground state. We remark that our approach allows us to consider on the same level spin-liquid and magnetic wave functions, which are relevant when considering the anisotropic triangular lattice.

#### A. The \(J'/J \leq 1\) case

Let us start with spin-liquid states and consider a small \(6 \times 6\) cluster. Here, we have systematically considered all the \(U(1)\) and \(Z_2\) spin liquids that have been classified in Ref. \[42\]. On this small cluster, the energy of the \(Z_2B\) spin liquid is slightly lower than the one of the \(U(1)\) Dirac state, the energy gain being about \(\Delta E/J \approx 10^{-3}\) for \(J'/J = 1\) and \(\Delta E/J \approx 10^{-4}\) for \(J'/J = 0.7\). However, by increasing the size of the lattice, the energy gain strongly decreases and becomes negligible in the thermodynamic limit, thus indicating that the \(U(1)\) Dirac state is stable. Nevertheless, we observe that the \(Z_2Ad\) spin liquid of Eq. \(12\) is favored over a broad range of frustrating ratios \(J'/J\), namely up to \(J'/J \approx 0.9\). We would like to remark that no dimerization occurs in these states. In fact, there is no energy gain by allowing for hopping or pairing terms that break the translational symmetry. At difference with our results, a dimer-order state has been suggested to occur in the region \(0.7 \lesssim J'/J \lesssim 0.9\). However, the results of Ref. \[19\] for non-magnetic phases are biased toward dimer order, since they are obtained by a series expansion calculation around dimerized states. The comparison between the energies of the \(Z_2Ad\) and the \(U(1)\) Dirac states for the \(18 \times 18\) cluster is reported in Fig. 3. Also for this large cluster, the energy of the \(Z_2Ad\) Ansatz is lower than the one of the \(U(1)\) Dirac state for \(J'/J \lesssim 0.85\).

Let us now move to magnetic wave functions. On the \(6 \times 6\) lattice, only two states are relevant for \(J'/J \leq 1\): the one with Néel order, where neighboring spins on the bonds with coupling \(J\) form an angle \(\theta = \pi\) (i.e., the one obtained in the unfrustrated case with \(J' = 0\), and the one with \(120^\circ\) order, where they form an angle of \(\theta = 2\pi/3\) (i.e., the one obtained for the isotropic limit \(J'/J = 1\)). While the Néel order is favored for \(J'/J \lesssim 0.85\), the state with the \(120^\circ\) order has a lower energy close to the isotropic point, namely for \(0.85 \lesssim J'/J \leq 1\). It should be emphasized that on this small cluster only few \(Q\) vectors are allowed imposing periodic boundary conditions and, therefore, it is impossible to assess the stability of generic spiral states. A less trivial result concerns the non-translational invariant nature of the hopping, with \(\pi\)-fluxes threading up triangles (as discussed
in Sec. [1]. Indeed, we observed that the Néel state with a translationally invariant hopping has always an energy higher than the Néel state with $\pi$-fluxes in the kinetic energy, even for the unfrustrated case. For example, for $J'/0$, the translationally invariant kinetic part of Eq. (7) gives an energy per site $E/J = -0.67142(1)$, while implementing the $2 \times 1$ unit cell of Eq. (7), we obtain $E/J = -0.67529(1)$.

The situation becomes more interesting when considering a larger $18 \times 18$ cluster, see Fig. 4. Here, two intermediate magnetic orderings (interpolating the Néel and the $120^\circ$ orders) can be taken into account, with $\theta = 8\pi/9$ and $\theta = 7\pi/9$. Our results indicate that Néel order is favored up to $J'/J \approx 0.7$, intermediate spiral orders appear for $0.75 \lesssim J'/J \lesssim 0.9$, while the $120^\circ$ order remains the magnetic state with the lowest energy close to the isotropic point (i.e., for $0.9 \lesssim J'/J \lesssim 1$). All these magnetic states are constructed with $\pi$-fluxes in the kinetic energy. Remarkably, the optimal antiferromagnetic field $h$ becomes large in the region where the corresponding magnetic order is energetically favored (not shown). Unfortunately, a precise determination of how the pitch angle $\theta$ changes with the frustration ratio $J'/J$ is impossible, since it would require very large cluster sizes. Nevertheless, it is important to emphasize that we get a clear evidence of non-trivial magnetic orders (i.e., with angles $\theta \neq \pi$ or $2\pi/3$) already on this cluster.

Finally, we compare magnetic and spin-liquid phases. First of all, we show the results obtained on the $6 \times 6$ cluster, see Fig. 5. In this small lattice, exact results are available by the Lanczos technique, thus providing the overall accuracy of these variational states. We notice that the exact ground-state energy reaches its maximum for $J'/J \approx 0.85$, which should mark the strongest possible frustration in this parameter regime. Here, being spiral states not available on this cluster, we can identify three different regions: two regimes in which magnetic wave functions prevail over spin-liquid ones, for $J'/J \lesssim 0.75$ (Néel order) and $0.85 \lesssim J'/J \lesssim 1$ ($120^\circ$ order), and an intermediate region where the $Z_2$Ad spin-liquid wave function has the lowest variational energy, for $0.75 \lesssim J'/J \lesssim 0.85$. However, it is precisely in this regime that our wave functions have the worst accuracy. Indeed, the situation is quite different on larger system sizes, where spiral states are also available. The main result is reported in Fig. 6 where the various variational energies are reported for the $18 \times 18$ cluster. For the same cluster, we additionally report in Table I the energies of the best spin-liquid and of the best magnetic state for $0.5 \leq J'/J \leq 1$. Here, we can identify four regions of the phase diagram: the most interesting one appears for $0.7 \lesssim J'/J \lesssim 0.8$, where the $Z_2$Ad spin liquid is now challenged by the spiral magnetic order with $\theta = 8\pi/9$, see also the upper panel of Fig. 6. We would like to mention that these values of the frustrating ratio correspond approximately to the ones where a spin-liquid region has been identified by a previous VMC study of the Hubbard model on the same lattice geometry, possibly suggesting that charge fluctuations can favor the spin-liquid state over the magnetic one. In contrast, the ground state can be clearly determined in the remaining regions of the phase diagram and is characterized by Néel magnetism for $J'/J \lesssim 0.7$, spiral order with $\theta = 7\pi/9$ for $0.8 \lesssim J'/J \lesssim 0.9$ and $120^\circ$ order for $0.9 \lesssim J'/J \lesssim 1$. We emphasize that these results have been obtained on a finite cluster, where only few pitch vectors are allowed. In the thermodynamic limit, we expect that the pitch angle of the magnetic phase varies continuously from $\pi$ to $2\pi/3$. In this regard, two remarks are appropriate: from the one side, we expect that the Néel phase with collinear order is more robust than in the classical limit, where it is stable for $J'/J < 1/2$. Indeed, we expect that spiral phases with generic incommensurate order are much more fragile than collinear phases, with the value $J'/J \approx 0.7$ being a reasonable estimation for placing the transition from the Néel to the spiral phases. On the other side, it is extremely hard to clarify whether the $120^\circ$ order can remain stable away from the isotropic point or not. In fact, even though this simple coplanar state should have a larger stiffness with respect to generic (incommensurate) spirals, the determination of the precise periodicity close to $J'/J = 1$ would require huge clusters and massive numerical computations.

### B. The $J'/J \leq 1$ case

In analogy to what we presented in the previous subsection, we start to investigate the $J'/J \leq 1$ case by considering the spin-liquid wave functions. The energies per site for the $18 \times 18$ cluster are shown in Fig. 7 where we report the results for the $Z_2$Ad spin liquid of Eq. (13), which gives the optimal Ansatz for $J'/J \lesssim 0.7$,
and the $U(1)$ Dirac spin liquid of Eq. (11), which represents the optimal state close to the isotropic point (i.e., $0.7 \lesssim J'/J \lesssim 1$). As before, also in this case there is a small energy gain (that is maximal at the isotropic point) by lowering the symmetry of the $U(1)$ Dirac spin liquid to $Z_2 B$ on the small $6 \times 6$ cluster, while the energy gain becomes negligible by increasing the lattice size.

Then, we move to consider magnetic states. On the $6 \times 6$ cluster, only two relevant magnetic orderings may be realized: the 120° order, which appears to be stable for $0.8 \lesssim J/J' \lesssim 1$, and the collinear one with $\theta' = \pi$ and $\theta = 0$ or $\pi$, which is found for $J/J' \lesssim 0.8$. This change in magnetic order is accompanied by a change in the effective dimensionality of the system: while the 120° state is a true two-dimensional order, in the collinear one the only relevant interactions are the antiferromagnetic ones along the chains with coupling $J'$, while along the bonds with coupling $J$ the spins align alternatively in a ferro- or antiferromagnetic way, see Fig. 2 right panel. The hopping structure of the magnetic state also changes from the one of Eq. (8) for the 120° order to the one of Eq. (7) for the collinear one. In fact, the collinear order cannot coexist with $\pi$-fluxes in the kinetic energy, since this wave function turns out to have a negligible antiferromagnetic field $h$, with the only contribution to the variational energy being given by the kinetic term.

On the $18 \times 18$ cluster, one further spiral order can be taken into account, i.e., the one with pitch angle $\theta = 5\pi/9$. In Fig. 8 we show the variational energies for this state, in addition to the ones of the states with 120° and collinear order. Our results indicate that the spiral magnetic order, together with $\pi$-fluxes in the kinetic energy, is the best one in the range $0.5 \lesssim J/J' \lesssim 0.85$. The importance of having this non-trivial hopping structure close to the isotropic point is clear from the fact that the same magnetic order on top of the uniform hopping Ansatz of Eq. (7) gives a much higher energy. Instead, for $J/J' \lesssim 0.5$, the best spiral state is obtained with no magnetic fluxes piercing the lattice. Here, the energy of the spiral state with $\theta = 5\pi/9$ is very close to the one obtained from collinear magnetism, indicating that the pitch vector is not so relevant and, consequently, the ground state could be magnetically disordered.

The comparison between magnetic and spin-liquid
states on the $6 \times 6$ lattice is reported in Fig. [9] where exact results by the Lanczos technique are also shown. On this small cluster size, we can identify only two ground states: a magnetically ordered one for $0.85 \lesssim J/J' \lesssim 1$ and a spin-liquid state for $J/J' \lesssim 0.85$; in fact, in the $6 \times 6$ cluster, the collinear magnetic order does not give the lowest energy in any region of the phase diagram. Notice that the spin-liquid wave function has a very good accuracy, compared with exact results, when frustration is not too large. The comparison between spin-liquid and magnetic states on the $18 \times 18$ cluster is finally reported in Fig. [10]. As a function of $J/J'$, we identify three different ground states: the $Z_2 As$ spin liquid of Eq. [13] for $J/J' \lesssim 0.6$, the spiral order with $\theta = 5\pi/9$ for $0.6 \lesssim J/J' \lesssim 0.85$, and the $120^\circ$ magnetic order for $0.85 \lesssim J/J' \leq 1$. This result is different from previous variational ones.\cite{33,35} In both previous works, the ground state has been predicted to be a gapless spin liquid for $J/J' \lesssim 0.65$, a gapped one for $0.65 \lesssim J/J' \lesssim 0.85$, and a magnetic state for $0.85 \lesssim J/J' \leq 1$. Two remarks can be drawn from these data: (i) Our energies for the magnetic state are lower than the VMC optimal energies of Refs. 33, 35 in the region where a gapped spin liquid state has been proposed, i.e., for $J/J' = 0.7$ and 0.8; (ii) the optimal energies of Ref. 35 are rather accurate in the whole parameter range. This is due to the fact that they were obtained by using a full optimization of the pairing function and the Jastrow factor in real space. However, in this case, even if some evidence of incommensurate spiral order has been obtained, it was difficult to determine whether the wave function was really magnetically ordered or not. The advantage of our present approach is given by the transparent representation of the variational wave functions, which describe either magnetic states or spin liquids.

Finally, we stress the fact that our present results confirm the existence of a quasi-one-dimensional spin liquid for $J/J' \lesssim 0.6$: although the collinear ordered state...
is quite close in energy, the $Z_2$As (gapless) spin liquid Ansatz gives a clear lower energy in this regime (while they become almost degenerate for $J \to 0$).

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we performed a systematic VMC study of the Heisenberg model on the anisotropic triangular lattice, for both $J'/J \leq 1$ and $J/J' \leq 1$, namely going from the unfrustrated square lattice ($J' = 0$) to the isotropic triangular lattice ($J' = J$) and then from it to a set of decoupled chains ($J = 0$). In particular, we constructed correlated wave functions for spiral magnetic orders and compared them with spin-liquid states obtained from the fermionic projective symmetry group classification of Ref. 12. Given the fact that these two families of variational states are written with the same language (Abrikosov fermions and spin-spin Jastrow factor), magnetic and non-magnetic states are treated on the same ground. The final sketch of the VMC phase diagram is shown in Fig. 11.

Starting from the unfrustrated case with $J' = 0$ and increasing the frustrating ratio up to $J'/J \simeq 0.7$, the ground state exhibits Néel order. Notice that, since at the classical level the Néel state is stable up to $J'/J = 0.5$, we have a clear indication that quantum fluctuations favor the collinear magnetic order against coplanar spirals. Then, for $0.7 \lesssim J'/J \lesssim 0.8$, magnetic states with generic pitch vectors (along the border of the Brillouin zone) and a $Z_2$ gapless spin liquid have very similar energies. On the $18 \times 18$ cluster that has been mainly used in our numerical simulations, the spiral state has $\theta = 8\pi/9$ and we cannot resolve the competition between this state and the spin-liquid one, the difference between their energies being of the order of $10^{-4}$. Finally, for $0.8 \lesssim J'/J \lesssim 1$, the ground state is expected to have magnetic order, with a pitch angle that continuously changes and reaches $\theta = 2\pi/3$ for $J'/J = 1$, as suggested by the color gradient in Fig. 11. On the $18 \times 18$ cluster, we can only consider a further spiral state with $\theta = 7\pi/9$ (besides the $120^\circ$ order with $\theta = 2\pi/3$), which gives the best variational energy for $0.8 \lesssim J'/J \lesssim 0.9$.

Although the Heisenberg model is not fully appropriate to describe organic charge-transfer salts, which are only moderately correlated, we observe that the parameter region where the spin-liquid Ansatz is competitive with magnetic states corresponds to the regime that is relevant for the spin-liquid compound $\kappa$-(ET)$_2$Cu$_2$(CN)$_3$ and for the Pd(dmit)$_2$ salts that do not order magnetically. Since, for the Heisenberg model, our present results suggest that the system is predominantly magnetic, charge fluctuations are expected to play an important role in stabilizing a non-magnetic ground state.

On the other side of the phase diagram, starting from the isotropic triangular lattice and reducing the inter-chain coupling $J$, we expect to have spiral order in the vicinity of the isotropic point. On the $18 \times 18$ lattice, we clearly see the stabilization of a magnetic state with $\theta = 5\pi/9$ for $0.6 \lesssim J/J' \lesssim 0.85$. Unfortunately, due to the finite size of the cluster, it is extremely difficult to follow in detail the evolution of the pitch vector as a function of $J/J'$. Nevertheless, we expect that spiral phases...
are stabilized for $0.6 \lesssim J/J' \leq 1$. For $J/J' \lesssim 0.6$, we obtain a clear indication that a gapless spin-liquid phase can be stabilized, in agreement with previous VMC calculations. In contrast, our results suggest that the gapped spin liquid that has been proposed to appear close to the isotropic point is defeated by magnetically ordered states. In order to further check this outcome, we have also performed a Green’s function Monte Carlo (GFMC) calculation with the fixed-node approximation at $J/J' = 0.7$ and 0.8, by using both the best spin-liquid and the best magnetic state as trial wave functions. Our results show that the energy obtained by applying the GFMC method on the magnetic trial state is slightly lower (orders of $10^{-3} J'$) than both the one obtained by using a non-magnetic trial state and the one reported in Ref. [33] (where the gapped spin-liquid state has been originally proposed). Therefore, our present results do not support the presence of a gapped spin liquid when $0.65 \lesssim J/J' \leq 1$.

Our finding that a gapless spin liquid is present in the weakly-coupled chain limit $J/J' \lesssim 0.6$ is compatible with previous claims on Cs$_2$CuCl$_4$, which shows no magnetic order down to very small temperatures, with presumably gapless spin excitations, and is characterized by $J/J' \approx 0.33$. Our results are also compatible with the recently discovered spin-liquid material $\kappa$-(ET)$_2$B(CN)$_4$, where a coupling ratio $J/J' \approx 0.5$ has been extracted from magnetic susceptibility measurements. Finally, we notice that, according to our numerical results, there is a striking difference between the ground-state properties with $J/J' \approx 0.4$, which should be magnetically disordered (or at most with a small antiferromagnetism with collinear order), and $J/J' \approx 0.75$, which should correspond to spiral magnetic order. Since Cs$_2$CuBr$_4$ is marked by having incommensurate spin correlations, the larger value of $J/J'$ seems to be more appropriate for its low-energy description.
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