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ABSTRACT

Nowadays, emotional intelligence (EI) is an emerging topic for psychological, educational, and management researchers and consultants. EI is the ability to manage emotions and interact effectively with others. The purpose of our study is to assess the level of EI of young university students and its associated factors; and to develop a valid and reliable instrument of EI in Lebanon. During 2018, a cross-sectional study, which enrolled 705 university students chosen randomly in seven faculties was conducted. The survey included questions on socio-demographic characteristics, health behaviors, Wong and Law Emotional Intelligence Scale (WLEIS). The attitudes related to media and technology usage assessed by using the last four sub-factors of the Media and Technology Usage and Attitudes Scale. The translation and cultural adaptation of the WLEIS followed a standardized protocol. After that, the psychometric properties of the scale (i.e., feasibility, reliability, and validity) were evaluated. A total of 705 undergraduates filled out the questionnaire, of whom 74% were females, aged from 17 to 35 (mean=20.42±2.41) years. The rotated principal axis factor analysis produced four-factors, explaining 64.60% of the variance, corresponding to the hypothesized Self-Emotions Appraisaal (SEA), Others- Emotions Appraisal (OEA), Use of Emotion (UOE), and Regulation of Emotion (ROE) scales of WLEIS. The internal consistency of the WLEIS scale was high for all subscales (Cronbach’s alpha > 0.70). The mean OEA score was significantly higher in females as compared to males (p=0.03). The students who study in public university and have physical activities had higher scores of the ROE (p<0.001); whereas the drinkers of alcohol (p=0.04) and smokers had lower scores (p=0.001). The relationship between SEA, OEA, UOE scores and academic performance was significant (p<0.001). The UOE and ROE were negatively and significantly correlated with the Dependence on Technology (r=-0.15; p<0.001). This study supports the feasibility, reliability, and validity of the WLEIS Arabic version as a screening tool of EI among young university students. The individuals working with students in the context of improving academic skills may want to routinely assess EI of their students in order to improve education, student development, personal well-being, and mental ability.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, emotional intelligence (EI) is an emerging topic for psychological, educational, and management researchers and consultants [1]. EI is the ability to manage emotions and interact effectively with others [2]. EI is the capability to have a precise insight of appraising, to articulate one’s own, and others’ emotions and to retrieve and create feelings as soon as they facilitate thinking [3], [4]. People with high EIIs are sensitive to the moods and temperaments of others, display empathy, appreciate multiple perspectives when approaching situations [2], and employ emotions in problem resolution [4]. EI has its roots in the concept of “social intelligence” that was first identified by Thorndike in 1920 who defined social intelligence as “the ability to understand and manage men and women, boys and girls—to act wisely in human relations” [5]. Reuven Bar-On also investigated and defined EI from a competency model perspective [6]. Salovey and Mayer were among the earliest to propose the EI concept to refer to the ability of a person to deal with his or her emotions [7], [8]. EI consists of three broad and interrelated abilities: (1) the appraisal and
expression of emotion, (2) the regulation of emotion, and (3) the utilization of emotion to motivate and plan [9].

Theoretical paradigms subdivide EI into three levels: knowledge, ability, and trait. The knowledge level refers to knowing EI-related techniques that help keep focus on the task and regulate one’s own emotions but does not necessarily mean that one is able to put this knowledge into practice. The ability level refers to being able to perform a certain emotion regulation strategy when one is explicitly prompted to do so; however it does not necessarily mean that one will do so frequently nor in every situation. Finally, the trait level refers to habitual dispositions – what people usually do when dealing with emotional situations [9]. The Trait model envisages EI as “a constellation of emotional self-perceptions located at the lower levels of personality” [10]; it refers to an individual’s self-perceptions of their emotional abilities.

Research has shown that EI is expected to be linked to a range of theoretically interesting outcomes. Proponents of the EI concept argue that emotional intelligence affects people’s physical health [1], mental health [1], psychological wellbeing [7], and academic achievement [11], [12]. In addition, it is positively related to the job satisfaction [5], job performance [1], [7], [12], performance of leaders [5], and occupational stress [7]. Many studies show that an individual’s success is related to elements of EI such as high motivation, high self-confidence, and teamwork [13]. The emotions play an important role in a profession that requires not only technical expertise but also psychologically oriented care, knowledge about the self and emotions [8]. In nursing, EI would be crucial to further development and growth of the profession concept [8]. In medicine, an indirect negative correlation was established between a physician’s level of EI and his or her medico legal risk (risk of litigation) [14].

The majority of students entering university are aged between 18 and 21, a transition age to adulthood characterized by dramatic changes in life [15]. Young age is a time of growth, during which students move to an environment that expects more autonomy and has a firmer academic focus with less individualized emotional support [9]. The youth are particularly vulnerable in the face of today’s rapidly changing labor markets, decent work deficits, difficult job transitions, and increasing demands for twenty-first-century skills, leading to career indecision and delays in joining the labor force [16]. In addition to that, technology has become a big problem university students who use it, and it has a direct impact on their life [17] as it has become an option for learning [18]. Social media has provided a platform in which students are under a lot of pressure to live up to the expectations of the social media images. It shapes their interests, their body images perception, their lifestyles [17], their mental health, and their emotional intelligence [19], [20]. Therefore, understanding and investing in factors that promote the youth’s career readiness and twenty-first-century skills have been timelier and more important internationally [16].

The emotion is an essential element of one’s personality and quality of life. So, the EI has emerged as an important construct in research on positive youth development which is linked to successful adaptation, social integration, and wellbeing [21]. Students better develop their emotional intelligence during their education before beginning work [22]. It is essential to master the emotions present in the learning process, understood as a continuum in the internalization of teaching based on a social process of enrichment, communication, and collaboration marked by orientation toward quality and continuous improvement in the acquisition of knowledge [18]. In this way, it is important to say that the acquisition of knowledge is mediated by emotions. If a positive climate is offered in the university environment for the mastery of optimized emotional management, the experiences of multiple teachings are strengthened and reach completeness in their internalization and implementation by individuals [18]. For inculcating such attributes in the youth, emotional intelligence coupled with an enriched personality mark as core competencies for the advisors and counselors for providing effective counseling services [4]. Training programs are required to support their development at universities where human relations are extremely important [22]. Certain medical schools assess the smartest students according to the highest grade point averages and the Medical College Admission Test (MCAT) scores. However, the medical profession must start recognizing the importance of EI in the assessment if they hope to identify and train a phenomenal next generation of caring, effective physicians who can lead multidisciplinary teams, and induce patient behavior change [2].

Several studies assessed the EI in Arabic Countries into both perspectives: the trait and or ability [3], [10], [13], [23] - [27]. In Lebanon, the role of emotions in the formation of young students has been scarcely studied. The available information of mental health and Role-Emotional of this age group remain limited to studies of health related quality of life [28]. Sanchez-Ruiz et al [29] studied psychosocial (i.e., personality dimensions, trait EI, and media pressure) and weight-related variables as predictors of eating disorders (ED) in Lebanese university students [29]. Obeid et al. [30] assessed risk factors associated with alexithymia in a sample of the Lebanese population. El Hassan & El Sader [31] adapted and validated the BarOn Emotional Quotient Inventory: Youth Version (BarOn EQ-i:YV) as a measure of emotional intelligence of a sample of 433 students in the 10-18-year-old age group [31].

Under the ability model, one of the main self-report instruments is the Wong and Law Emotional Intelligence Scale (WLEIS). It was developed in Hong Kong (Asia), its original language is English [1]. Since the emergence of the WLEIS, there has been great interest in using this instrument in different countries. The WLEIS has been supported in China [32], Far Eastern country (Singapore) and a Western European country (Belgium) [33], Spain [34]. It was culturally adapted in Arabic in Morocco [3], and in Malaysia [13]. When an instrument such as the WLEIS is used in an international context, it is imperative to establish that the measurement structure underlying the scores is equivalent cross-culturally. Research questions for the study are as follows: (1) what is the level of emotional intelligence among the respondents? (2) What is the relationship between the respondents’ emotional intelligence and their socio demographic characteristics, their academic performance, and their attitude toward technology using a valid and reliable instrument of EI in Lebanon?
Consequently, our aim was to assess the level of EI of young university students and its associated factors especially the academic performance and attitudes toward technology; and to develop a valid and reliable instrument of EI in Lebanon.

Our hypotheses were the following: there will no significant difference in EI among students on the basis of gender, socio economic characteristics, lifestyle, academic performance, and attitude toward technology.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. Study Design

From April to September 2018, a cross-sectional survey was conducted among a population of young university students in the areas of Nabatieh, South, and Mount Lebanon. The faculties that consented to participate were enrolled in our study. After obtaining approval from the Directors of the faculties, data was collected using self-administered questionnaires. The classes were chosen randomly in the faculties whose administrators consented to participate. The students were approached after class and asked to participate in the study. They were informed that the survey was voluntary and confidential. The consent form was presented at the beginning of the questionnaire. A completed questionnaire was presented as evidence of the consent to the study. The investigators, in number of four, were present during several times of the day to ensure the filling out of the questionnaires by the students. The questionnaire took 10 - 15 minutes to be completed. The target population in the faculties during the academic year 2017-2018 was about 2000. Seven faculties participated in our study, including two in Mount Lebanon, one private and one public, and five in southern Lebanon, three of which were public and two private (the public university is the largest university in Lebanon).

The sample size was determined by adopting the following statistical formula for minimum sample size calculation. According to a population size of 2000 students, a margin of error is 3.64%, a 95% confidence level, and the response distribution is 50% (in the absence of similar local studies), the minimal sample size needed was 700 students distributed to all the faculties concerned (http://www.raosoft.com/samplesize.html). So the sample was between 100 and 150 students for each faculty.

B. Questionnaire

The study questionnaire included four sections. The first section developed by the authors of this study contained questions on demographic characteristics of the study participants. The respondents were asked to fill in several questions on their demographic profile (gender, age, residency area, living with parents (yes/no), specialization, and current occupation). Health behaviors (smoking, alcohol consumption, and physical activities) were also self-reported by the students.

In the second section of the questionnaire, the academic performance was collected: data was obtained about the Cumulative Grade Point Average (CGPA) of the students for fall 2017-2018.

The attitudes related to media and technology usage were assessed using the last four sub-factors of the Media and Technology Usage and Attitudes Scale (MTUAS). The MUTAS has acceptable validity and reliability in Lebanon [17]. The attitudes related to media and technology usage subscales include 16 items, which comprise four domains: positive attitudes toward technology (six items), anxiety of being without technology/technology dependency (three items), negative attitudes towards technology (three items), and multitasking preferences (four items, one reverse scored). Each question on the test has a point scoring system beside it, using a 5-point Likert structure (strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, strongly disagree).

The English and Chinese versions of the Wong and Law Emotional Intelligence Scale (WLEIS) were prepared by Wong and Law. The authors initially proposed this instrument for the study of EI in the workplace. However, its use has spread to other contexts [3], [7], [13]. The WLEIS is considered a short instrument, comprising 16 items that are scored on a seven-point Likert scale (1 _totally disagree to 7 _ totally agree) [7]. The WLEIS has high reliability and validity. The Cronbach alpha for all dimensions was between 0.83 and 0.90. The 16 items in WLEIS consist of four dimensions namely, Self-Emotions Appraisal (SEA), Others-Emotions Appraisal (OEA), Use of Emotion (UOE) and Regulation of Emotion (ROE) [35]. Scales were translated from English to Arabic through an initial translation and a back translation process. Finally, a pretest was conducted. The WLEIS Arabic version was then administered to a representative sample of 20 subjects of lay native Arabic speakers. The group was asked to explain what each item means. Globally, the adaptation did not cause any particular problems.

C. Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were conducted using frequencies, means, and standard deviations (SDs) to describe the characteristics of the participants and the attitudes related to media and technology usage scales scores.

Individual WLEIS items were recoded, summed and transformed, with missing values imputed as recommended. Mean and standard deviations were calculated for the WLEIS since the data was normally distributed. The percentage of people with scores at the ceiling (percentage of subjects with a score of 7) and floor (lowest level=1) were calculated for each scale. On the scale level, ceiling and floor effects should be less than 20% in order to assume that the scale is capturing the full range of potential responses in the population [36].

The feasibility of the WLEIS was assessed by determining the proportion of missing values per item. Exploratory factor analysis (EFA) was used for the validity of the structure. Items factor analyses were performed using WLEIS’ 16 items. Principal component analysis with and orthogonal varimax rotation was carried out among items to compare the factorial structure of data with that obtained from the original instrument [35]. The validity would be supported if the hypothesized four domains of EI underlying the WLEIS were identified on factor analysis. The average score from a scale is substantially correlated if factor loading is greater than 0.7, moderately correlated if factor loading is between 0.3 and 0.7, and slightly correlated if factor loading is less than 0.3.
than 0.3 [36] - [38]. The reliability of the subscales was measured using Cronbach’s alpha coefficients, whereas an alpha above 0.70 was acceptable for group comparisons. The construct validity of the WLEIS was also assessed in terms of known-groups validity and convergent and discriminant validity. The construct validity of the WLEIS would be supported if groups differing in factors known to affect EI had WLEIS scores that varied according to a priori hypotheses. For known-groups validity, we hypothesized that the WLEIS scales scores differ among young students’ subgroups i.e. gender, academic performance, and their attitude toward technology. This validity of known groups makes it possible to evaluate at the same time the EI among young students’ subgroups.

To assess the construct validity of the WLEIS, we also calculated Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient between the WLEIS subscales scores and concepts that measure similar concepts (convergent validity) and concepts that measure different concepts (discriminant validity). Therefore, we assumed positive correlations between the WLEIS and similar constructs (Positive Attitudes to Technology) and negative correlations with WLEIS scale scores versus different constructs, such as Dependence on Technology and Negative Attitudes to Technology.

All statistical analyses were performed by using SPSS version 22.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL). All tests of significance were two-tailed. P-value ≤ 0.05 considered to be the critical level of significance.

### III. RESULTS

A summary of the characteristics of the respondents stratified by gender is presented in Table 1. The faculties that participated in the study were seven in the three areas: Saida, Nabatieh, and Mount Lebanon. The sample consisted of 705 undergraduates (of which 74% were females) aged from 17 to 35 (mean=20.42; median=20 years; SD=2.41). 34.5% live in urban areas. 61.8% of participants belong to the age range of 20 to 29 years. More than half of respondents live in rural areas (65.5%). Nearly one third (31.3%) of students were first year students, 39% second year students, 24.8% third year students, 4% fourth year and 0.9% fifth year students.

Participants were enrolled in various specialties. The majority lived with their parents (90.8%). 27% of the students indicated that they were employed to help their parents finance their studies. Alcohol consumption was declared by 14% of respondents, 20.1% used tobacco, and 62.1% were physically active. The mean of the Cumulative Grade Point Average (CGPA) was 69.75 (SD=13.01). Half of students had a CGPA between 70 and 100 (50%) (Table 1).

When subjected to a principal axis factor analysis, the rotated solution of the 16 items revealed a four factors solution that accounted for 64.60% of the variance, corresponding to the hypothesized SEA, OEA, UOE and ROE subscales of WLEIS. The Factors loadings ranged from 0.55 to 0.80 (Table 2). The results of the Kaiser–Meyer–Okin (KMO) index (0.91) ensured the adequacy of the sample.

### Table 1: Characteristics of the Respondents

| Variables                  | Frequency (%) |
|----------------------------|---------------|
| University                 |               |
| Private                    | 205 (29.1)    |
| Public                     | 500 (70.9)    |
| Age                        |               |
| 17-19                      | 275 (36.5)    |
| 20-29                      | 436 (61.8)    |
| 30 and higher              | 12 (1.7)      |
| Specialties                |               |
| Humanities and Education   | 71 (1.0%)     |
| Engineering                | 42 (6.0%)     |
| Sciences                   | 76 (10.8%)    |
| Health sciences,           | 139 (19.7%)   |
| Business Administration and computer sciences | 114 (16.2%)   |
| Architecture               | 133 (18.9%)   |
| Social science             | 153 (21.7%)   |
| Information sciences       | 41 (5.8%)     |
| Living with parents        |               |
| yes                        | 632 (89.6)    |
| No                         | 73 (10.4)     |
| Working                    |               |
| Yes                        | 192 (27.2)    |
| No                         | 513 (72.8)    |
| Cumulative Grade Point Average (CGPA) (n=642) | |
| 0-49                       | 21 (3.3)      |
| 50-69                      | 300 (46.7)    |
| 70-100                     | 321 (50)      |
| Alcohol                    |               |
| Yes                        | 99 (14)       |
| No                         | 606 (86)      |
| Smoking                    |               |
| Yes                        | 142 (20.1)    |
| No                         | 563 (79.9)    |
| Physical activity          |               |
| Yes                        | 438 (62.1)    |
| No                         | 267 (37.9)    |
| Total                      | 705 (100)     |

Table 3 presents the mean scores (SDs), and skewness, kurtosis of the four domains of WLEIS among the respondents investigated in this study. The Mean WLEIS subscales score in Lebanese youth students was higher as compared to undergraduate students in Hong Kong [7]. The kurtosis and skewness of all scores ranged from −1 to 1, thus indicating the normality of the data [39] except the skewness and kurtosis of the Use of Emotion (UOE) scale scores that were respectively (-1.26) and 1.68.

The Cronbach alpha coefficients ranged from 0.77 to 0.82. According to the original version of the WLEIS the internal consistency of the Arabic version of WLEIS subscales was generally good (Table 3).

The mean OEA score was higher in females as compared to males (p=0.03). The students who study in public university and have physical activities had higher scores of the ROE (p<0.001), whereas the drinkers of alcohol (p=0.04) and smokers had lower scores (p=0.001). The relationship between SEA, OEA, UOE scores and academic performance was significant (p<0.001) (Table 4). The UOE and ROE were negatively and significantly correlated with Dependence on Technology and they were only weakly associated (r=-0.15; p<0.001).
There are also relatively weak associations between OEA scores and the Positive Attitudes toward Technology ($r=0.10$; $p<0.001$) (Table 5). No relationship was established between the specialty of students and all subscales of the WLEIS.

### IV. DISCUSSION

The current study is the first of its kind in Lebanon and aims to investigate the factors associated with EI among the young university students. The results of the study reveal that the respondents have high level of EI. Secondly, the respondents were also reported to have high level of emotional intelligence for all the four domains investigated in this study which indicate that the respondents were highly aware of their own emotions and feelings and they understood that emotions can evolve depending on situations, they could plan and anticipate what emotion is likely to transpire under certain circumstances [9], [40]. However, in contrast with Mohzani et al. [40], the results show that the respondents had less awareness of their own emotions as compared to the awareness of others’ emotions.

### TABLE 2: DESCRIPTION OF ITEMS, FACTOR LOADINGS FROM EXPLORATORY FACTOR ANALYSIS OF THE WLEIS (N =705)

| Items                                                                 | Mean | SD | Factor 1 | Factor 2 | Factor 3 | Factor 4 | Communalities |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|------|----|----------|----------|----------|----------|---------------|
| I have a good sense of why I have certain feelings most of the time | 5.11 | 1.64 | .41      | .08      | .66      | .25      | .67           |
| I have a good understanding of my own emotions                      | 5.36 | 1.60 | .41      | .24      | .70      | .13      | .73           |
| I really understand what I feel                                     | 5.31 | 1.59 | .35      | .25      | .67      | .14      | .65           |
| I always know whether I am happy or not                             | 5.13 | 1.73 | .02      | .26      | .76      | .15      | .67           |
| I always know my friends’ emotions from their behaviors             | 5.63 | 1.36 | .17      | .10      | .10      | .80      | .69           |
| I am a good observer of others’ emotions                            | 5.47 | 1.37 | .30      | .20      | .18      | .72      | .69           |
| I am sensitive to the feelings and emotions of others                | 5.65 | 1.43 | .10      | .34      | .02      | .55      | .42           |
| I have a good understanding of the emotions of people around me     | 5.55 | 1.42 | .03      | .26      | .32      | .72      | .69           |
| I always set goals for myself and then try my best to achieve them  | 5.76 | 1.47 | .23      | .67      | .20      | .31      | .63           |
| I always tell myself I am competent                                 | 5.30 | 1.49 | .24      | .69      | .16      | .16      | .58           |
| I am a self-motivated person                                        | 5.67 | 1.42 | .34      | .71      | .26      | .16      | .71           |
| I always encourage myself to try my best                            | 6.02 | 1.36 | .01      | .70      | .25      | .35      | .67           |
| I am able to control my temper and handle difficulties rationally   | 5.04 | 1.67 | .76      | .25      | .13      | .13      | .68           |
| I am quite capable of controlling my own emotions                    | 5.18 | 1.70 | .68      | .28      | .33      | .12      | .66           |
| I can always calm down quickly when I am very angry                 | 4.80 | 1.83 | .74      | .13      | .08      | .14      | .58           |
| I have good control of my own emotions                              | 5.15 | 1.79 | .71      | .08      | .24      | .15      | .59           |

**Notes:** SD= standard deviation; ROE = Regulation of emotion; UOE = Use of emotion; SEA = Self-emotion appraisal; OEA = Other’s emotion appraisal; the percentage of measured variance explained by these four factors is 64.49%; 42.45% for the first, 9.70% of the second, 6.63% for the third, and 5.71% for the fourth factor.

### TABLE 3: DESCRIPTION AND INTERNAL CONSISTENCY OF THE WLEIS IN COMPARISON WITH THE ORIGINAL VERSION [7]

| No. | Mean (SD) | Skewness | Kurtosis | Quartiles | Floor % | Ceiling % | Cronbach Alpha | Number of items | Mean (SD) of the WLEIS | Cronbach Alpha of the WLEIS |
|-----|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|---------|-----------|----------------|----------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| 705 | 5.23 (1.33)| -0.75    | -0.02    | 25<       | .3      | 8.9       | 0.82           | 4              | 4.01 (1.05)             | .89                        |
|     | 5.75 (1.08)| -0.98    | 1.09     | 50 (median)| .1      | 10.2      | 0.77           | 4              | 4.15 (0.96)             | .89                        |
|     | 5.68 (1.15)| -1.26    | 1.68     | 75<       | .4      | 13.0      | 0.81           | 4              | 4.09 (0.92)             | .80                        |
|     | 5.05 (1.39)| -0.63    | -1.13    |          |        |           |                |                | 3.78 (1.12)             | .89                        |

**Notes:** SD= standard deviation; ROE = Regulation of emotion; UOE = Use of emotion; SEA = Self-emotion appraisal; OEA = Other’s emotion appraisal.
This study has undertaken rigorous processes to translate and culturally adapt the WLEIS to the Lebanese Arab context; however, the Arabic version of the scale was evaluated and showed good psychometric properties. In general, the acceptability was very good. This reinforces the construct validity of the instrument [41]. Construct validity was also evaluated by relating the WLEIS scale with the attitudes related to media and technology subscales where the Dependence on Technology was negatively associated with the UOE and ROE scales scores. The EI construct may be universal but we agree that behaviors resulting from the EI of an individual may vary across cultures [7]. Since the WLEIS was originally developed in Hong Kong, cross-cultural studies should be done in order to establish its reliability and validity when used with populations of different sociocultural backgrounds, and resulting in East–West response differences [42]. As in Morocco [3] and in Malaysia [13], the Arabic version of the WLEIS is a valid and reliable measure of ability EI which can be used by researchers and educators to measure and develop EI in Lebanon. It can also be used by students themselves to reflect on their emotional functioning with a perspective that allows them to identify their strengths and weaknesses.

### TABLE 4. WLEIS SUBSCALES SCORES OF THE YOUTH STUDENTS’ SUBGROUPS

| Variables         | N     | WLEIS subscales Mean (SD) |   |   |   |
|-------------------|-------|--------------------------|---|---|---|
|                   |       | SEA                      | OEA          | UOE          | ROE          |
| Gender            |       |                          |              |              |              |
| Male              | 183   | 5.29 (1.27)              | 5.43 (1.14)  | 5.59 (1.17)  | 5.01 (1.40)  |
| Female            | 522   | 5.21 (1.35)              | 5.63 (1.05)  | 5.72 (1.14)  | 5.06 (1.38)  |
| P value           |       | .483                     | .03          | .20          | .70          |
| University        |       |                          |              |              |              |
| Private           | 205   | 5.0 (1.31)               | 5.35 (1.15)  | 5.59 (1.21)  | 4.73 (1.43)  |
| Public            | 500   | 5.32 (1.32)              | 5.67 (1.03)  | 5.72 (1.12)  | 5.18 (1.35)  |
| P value           |       | .0004                    | <.001        | .156         | <.001        |
| CGPA              |       |                          |              |              |              |
| 0-49              | 21    | 4.76 (1.84)              | 4.96 (1.36)  | 4.62 (1.71)  | 4.63 (1.66)  |
| 50-69             | 300   | 5.46 (1.23)              | 5.72 (1.04)  | 5.78 (1.05)  | 5.15 (1.37)  |
| 70-100            | 321   | 5.06 (1.37)              | 5.48 (1.08)  | 5.68 (1.16)  | 5.00 (1.37)  |
| P value           |       | .0001                    | .01          | .0001        | .133         |
| Living with parents |     |                          |              |              |              |
| Yes               | 632   | 5.25 (1.33)              | 5.60 (1.08)  | 5.73 (1.11)  | 5.05 (1.40)  |
| No                | 73    | 5.04 (1.29)              | 5.34 (1.06)  | 5.23 (1.35)  | 4.98 (1.28)  |
| P value           |       | .201                     | .072         | .001         | .67          |
| Physical activity |       |                          |              |              |              |
| Yes               | 438   | 5.39 (1.26)              | 5.63 (1.04)  | 5.82 (1.09)  | 5.23 (1.32)  |
| No                | 267   | 4.97 (1.40)              | 5.48 (1.14)  | 5.46 (1.21)  | 4.74 (1.44)  |
| P value           |       | <.0001                   | .067         | <.0001       | <.0001       |
| Alcohol drinkers  |       |                          |              |              |              |
| Yes               | 99    | 5.07 (1.31)              | 5.30 (1.23)  | 5.31 (1.39)  | 4.78 (1.37)  |
| No                | 606   | 5.25 (1.33)              | 5.62 (1.05)  | 5.74 (1.10)  | 5.09 (1.39)  |
| P value           |       | .210                     | .007         | <.0001       | .04          |
| Smoking           |       |                          |              |              |              |
| Yes               | 142   | 5.12 (1.41)              | 5.41 (1.24)  | 5.56 (1.36)  | 4.69 (1.52)  |
| No                | 563   | 5.23 (1.31)              | 5.62 (1.03)  | 5.74 (1.08)  | 5.14 (1.34)  |
| P value           |       | .291                     | .04          | .009         | .01          |

Notes and abbreviations: SEA = Self-emotion appraisal; OEA = Other’s emotion appraisal; UOE = Use of emotion; ROE = Regulation of emotion; usage CGPA=Cumulative Grade Point Average.

### TABLE 5. SPEARMAN’S CORRELATION BETWEEN WLEIS SUBSCALES AND ATTITUDES RELATED TO MEDIA AND TECHNOLOGY USAGE SUBSCALES (N=705)

| Attitudes related to media and technology usage subscales | SEA | OEA | UOE | ROE |
|--------------------------------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Positive Attitudes To Technology                       | .05 | .09 | .04 | .04 |
| Dependence on Technology                               | -.06| -.03| -.15*| -.15*|
| Negative Attitudes To Technology                       | -.02| .05 | -.02| -.02|
| Task Switching                                         | -.01| -.03| .00 | .00 |

Notes and abbreviations: N= Total count; SEA = Self-emotion appraisal; OEA = Other’s emotion appraisal; UOE = Use of emotion; ROE = Regulation of emotion.

such instruments could be used in therapeutic evaluations and support the construct validity of the instrument [37], [44]. As in Morocco [3] and in Malaysia [13], the Arabic version of the WLEIS is a valid and reliable measure of ability EI which can be used by researchers and educators to measure and develop EI in Lebanon. It can also be used by students themselves to reflect on their emotional functioning with a perspective that allows them to identify their strengths and weaknesses.
Furthermore, it increases their emotional awareness and adaptability to effectively handle emotionally charged situations during day to day interactions with patients, peers, and other professionals [10].

Our study results showed that there was no significant difference in EI among students on the basis of gender except the OEA scale scores that was higher for the female students. This fact is in agreement with results of previous studies [26], [42], but not with those of Al-Huwailah et al [45] and who, in contrary to our results, found significant association between males and females in favor of the female students. Research proves that women tend to show greater knowledge of emotional experiences, provide more complex descriptions about emotions, and use a broader emotional vocabulary [12]. Demographic variables such as age and gender have been widely studied with respect to EI, leading sometimes to conflicting results, with some studies reporting higher EI scores among females and other investigations finding no clear differences between males and females [26]. For instance, it has already been demonstrated that there was a significant age difference in regard to emotional abilities [12], [26], [43]. EI should increase with age due to the accumulation of knowledge about emotion and its social context [12]. Mayer et al also showed with a series of studies, that EI increased with age and experience, which qualifies it as an ability rather than a personality trait [7]. This finding was not replicated in our sample that globally included a category of young students ranged from 17 to 30 years.

Our study found that low academic performance and alcohol dependence and smoking were associated with low EI; whereas being physically active and studying in public university were associated with high EI.

Our study results showed that EI had a significant positive effect on academic performance. It was in line with other findings supported by a number of authors that argue that EI can predict academic success [9], [11], [12], [22]. In concordance with our findings, Mohzan et al [40] reveal that only two domains (SEA and UOE) of the EI investigated are found to be significantly and positively associated with the respondents’ academic achievement. This finding indicates that students who are well aware of their feelings and emotions and have the ability to understand those emotions as well as to anticipate what emotions would transpire under certain circumstances are better able to cope effectively with the pressures for academic excellence in higher learning institutions [40]. So, increasing youth’ EI skills could help them in their high school years, as well as create the psychological resources they need to successfully transition to the workforce [9]. The emotional competence can be taught and effectively learned by students in higher education, constituting a commitment to teach not only theoretical knowledge but also skills that students can use to achieve their personal, academic, professional goals [18] and leadership [5]. However, it is generally known that EI without sufficient intelligence quotient (IQ) in academics cannot help the students to perform well and such approach is very narrow and hinders in lifelong learning and professional achievements [46]. Our findings did not report any relation between specialty and EI. However, Özlü and al [22] reported that the EI level was determined to be lower in natural science students and moderate in students of health and social sciences.

The university students who are involved in physical activity have better EI score for all the WLEIS dimensions, except for Others’ emotion appraisal subscale. This suggests that regular sports practice and experience in practicing seem to constitute elements favoring enhanced emotional abilities [26]. In addition, the sport participation could contribute to EI training [9]. Laborde et al [9] found that, together, the five main abilities of EI: identifying, expressing, understanding, regulating, and using emotions of EI promote better quality relationships, health, and performance [9]. All of the previous subjects are related directly to the EI which is a vital component of the mental health [23]. Furthermore, the mental health is related to the physical health [15]. It is boosted as long as the body and the mind are in harmony, and this harmony is achieved through high emotional intelligence.

The current research showed an inverse and significant relationship between the attitude toward technology and EI which is important for social functioning beyond intellectual ability and personality factors [12]. Yekefallah et al [19] reached similar results (r = −0.16, P = 0.003). Individuals with a high level of EI know how to evolve in different situations. This ability makes it possible to regulate the emotions and resist unnecessary behaviors such as addiction [19]. Young people have the ability to understand and analyze their emotional experiences and those of their peers.

Multiple perspectives in comparison of private and public universities stated that the private sector differs to their counterpart in terms of funding, ownership, orientation including teaching, research, resources and accreditations, the wider access, and quality of higher education [46]. In his study, Khan [46] concluded that public university students possess insignificant IQ but a significant EI with them. On the other hand, the private university students possess a fair and sufficient IQ with a high EI in them, indicating they will flourish with good CGPAs and also in their professional lives. Private university students are also the most potential candidates in the job market with rational employability skills in them.

V. LIMITATIONS

There were some potential limitations of the current study. Due to sampling difficulties (people were not likely to participate when asked in a random sampling method), we had to ask for permission in a university context. Thus, we finally had a convenience sample [3]. The design effect of a cluster sample was not calculated. Also, this is a cross-sectional study which cannot establish a temporal relationship between individual characteristics and EI.

VI. CONCLUSION

The results support the psychometric properties of the WLEIS Arabic version. Psychologists and practitioners in the Arab world could use the WLEIS as a valid and reliable instrument. The youths’ EI is affected by socio-demographic and behavioral characteristics, academic achievement, and attitudes related to media and technology usage. Every
university and college should implement learning assistance centers designed to provide students with a variety of academic supports. Thus, individuals working with students in the context of improving academic skills may want to routinely assess EI of their students in order to improve education, student development, personal well-being, and mental ability.
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