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Global epidemiology of non-influenza RNA respiratory viruses: data gaps and a growing need for surveillance
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Together with influenza, the non-influenza RNA respiratory viruses (NIRVs), which include respiratory syncytial virus, parainfluenza viruses, coronavirus, rhinovirus, and human metapneumovirus, represent a considerable global health burden, as recognised by WHO’s Battle against Respiratory Viruses initiative. By contrast with influenza viruses, little is known about the contemporary global diversity of these viruses, and the relevance of such for development of pharmaceutical interventions. Although far less advanced than for influenza, antiviral drugs and vaccines are in different stages of development for several of these viruses, but no interventions have been licensed. This scarcity of global genetic data represents a substantial knowledge gap and impediment to the eventual licensing of new antiviral drugs and vaccines for NIRVs. Enhanced genetic surveillance will assist and boost research and development into new antiviral drugs and vaccines for these viruses. Additionally, understanding the global diversity of respiratory viruses is also part of emerging disease preparedness, because non-human coronaviruses and paramyxoviruses have been listed as priority concerns in a recent WHO research and development blueprint initiative for emerging infectious diseases. In this Personal View, we explain further the rationale for expanding the genetic database of NIRVs and emphasise the need for greater investment in this area of research.

Introduction

Lower respiratory tract infections are the fourth most common cause of death, globally, after ischaemic heart disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, and stroke, all of which are non-infectious chronic conditions. In patients with these non-infective diseases, respiratory infections often trigger life-threatening exacerbations. Therefore, respiratory infections are the leading cause of death due to infection, worldwide.

The rationale for investment in the global surveillance of influenza viruses is driven by the need to ensure the efficacy of seasonal influenza vaccines, and to monitor circulating strains for pandemic potential or resistance against antiviral drugs. However, the importance and feasibility of surveillance is less well established for non-influenza respiratory viruses (NIRVs), including respiratory syncytial virus, parainfluenza virus, human metapneumovirus, rhinovirus, and coronavirus, despite scientific consensus that the burden of disease attributable to these infections is considerable.

NIRVs

Similar to influenza, NIRVs are also RNA viruses that have a relatively high mutation rate because proofreading—an inherent process in the replication of DNA genomes—is absent. In combination, these viruses are responsible for greater annual morbidity and mortality than influenza viruses, across all age groups. Higher annual morbidity and mortality is particularly evident when the full range of mild to severe respiratory illnesses is taken into account, from common colds (mostly due to rhinovirus and coronavirus infections) that affect people of all ages, to more severe respiratory illness that require hospital admission.

WHO’s Battle against Respiratory Viruses initiative has highlighted the need for enhanced clinical and epidemiological surveillance for respiratory viruses, with a focus on the development of a vaccine for respiratory syncytial virus. This initiative acknowledges the considerable health burden of respiratory syncytial virus, which is now one of the highest priorities for intervention of all NIRVs. The initiative recommends improving the diagnosis of severe acute respiratory illness using deep sequencing (to detect all viral populations present in the sample), which is explicitly listed as a research priority. We would like to emphasise and expand upon this point.

Burden of diagnostic testing

A key reason for the scarcity of global data about the burden of NIRVs is the small number of cost-effective, sensitive assays that can be used routinely in everyday diagnostic settings. Many health-care facilities will have some capacity to test for influenza virus and respiratory syncytial virus (particularly where paediatric services are provided), whereas the other common respiratory viruses might not be routinely screened for. Transmission of respiratory viruses has caused outbreaks among patients in intensive care units and oncology wards, and timely testing might decrease the unnecessary use of antimicrobials and importantly, restrict transmission by effective isolation of patients with infections.

In some regions (eg, Canada, Mongolia, Hong Kong, Rwanda, Côte D’Ivoire, Madagascar, and South Africa) specialised centres can offer multiplex PCR-based testing, but the cost of the diagnostic testing compared with the small effect it is perceived to have on clinical decision making precludes widespread use. Nevertheless, these multiplex molecular assays and the high-throughput sequencing protocols that are now being piloted enable a wider spectrum of respiratory viruses to be assessed from a single sample. These advances have offered an e320 Lancet Infect Dis 2017; 17: e320–26
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unprecedented opportunity to focus on the burden of these NIRVs.

**Disease surveillance and rationale**

How could surveillance be implemented for these other NIRVs? An important starting point is whom and how to sample. Groups at higher risk of disease associated with seasonal influenza virus and NIRV infections are similar and include children, elderly individuals, immunocompromised individuals, and individuals with chronic comorbidities (e.g., asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, cardiac and renal failure, or diabetes). Both influenza and NIRV infections can result in hospital admission due to exacerbation of these conditions. In these at-risk populations, co-infections with more than one respiratory virus are not uncommon, although the clinical significance of multiple co-infections is still unclear.

Many studies have shown that in young children, NIRVs, especially respiratory syncytial virus, are the predominant viral cause of respiratory morbidity and mortality, with accumulating evidence to suggest that infection in early childhood with NIRVs, such as respiratory syncytial virus and rhinovirus, in predisposed children, might result in the development of increased airways sensitivity and asthma later in life. This observation provides an example of the effect of both the acute and more chronic respiratory virus-associated health-care burden. Taken together, we conclude that enhanced surveillance for these other NIRVs is warranted.

One key factor that questions the usefulness of such surveillance is that no agreed interventions are available. Nevertheless, current research and development interest into NIRV therapeutics will benefit from the availability of more large-scale, full-genome NIRV sequences. Although a small survey of the clinical trial websites for the USA and European Union shows a substantially greater research and development investment into influenza viruses than NIRVs, a few clinical trials targeting NIRVs are in progress (table).

**Genetic diversity of respiratory viruses**

**Mutational pressure**

With the progression of antiviral drugs and vaccines, we believe that understanding the global genomic diversity of these viruses is increasingly important. Not all antiviral targets are subject to the same mutational pressure, with some being highly conserved, and some highly variable, such as the fusion protein of respiratory syncytial virus and attachment proteins of human metapneumovirus, respectively.

**Antiviral drug and vaccine development**

Several candidate respiratory syncytial virus and parainfluenza virus vaccines are in various stages of clinical evaluation, and assessing the effect of vaccine-induced immune responses in the context of continued viral evolution and the subsequent potential for vaccine escape will be an essential consideration when determining the annual vaccine composition.

For antiviral drug development, since modern rational drug design allows for the development of new antivirals that target specific viral proteins, assessing the mutation rates and genetic diversity of these therapeutic targets is crucial to ensure the long-lasting effectiveness of these drugs. Thus, this baseline data will provide an estimate of the naturally occurring mutation rate for the individual genes in each of these viruses, which might then affect the choice of target for the development of any future specific antiviral drugs or vaccines.

Studies have shown that with influenza treatment, patients who are immunocompromised on long-term antiviral treatment (or prophylaxis) are more susceptible to the rapid evolution and development of drug resistance, either in total or in subpopulations of viruses within the host. Therefore, any antiviral agent developed for the NIRVs might have similar characteristics unless it can be specifically designed to act on a more stable viral protein. Drug resistance has already been reported for palivizumab, a humanised monoclonal antibody directed against the respiratory syncytial virus fusion protein. Despite relatively rare usage of the antibody and the highly conserved nature of its viral protein target, resistance has been reported due to variations in the fusion protein binding site.

One study investigated the human monoclonal antibody MPE8, which cross-neutralises respiratory syncytial virus and human metapneumovirus by binding to two highly conserved anti-parallel β-strands on the pre-fusion viral fusion protein. The investigators found naturally occurring antibodies with this same target specificity in some patient serum samples, and therefore proposed this pre-fusion viral fusion protein as a potential vaccine candidate. A wider, global genetic survey of this target in respiratory syncytial virus would help to confirm its suitability for development into a global respiratory syncytial virus vaccine.
For rhinoviruses, the expansion of the small amount of complete viral genome data available—only around 200 full genomes are available compared with over 5000 for influenza A H1N1 pdm09 and over 6000 for influenza A H3N2—is essential to understand further the natural genetic diversity of these viruses and underlying evolutionary forces (ie, viral gene mutation and recombination driven by selection pressure), as a foundation for designing new antiviral drugs and vaccines.70–72

Research regarding coronavirus infections has been dominated by studies on severe acute respiratory syndrome-associated coronaviruses and Middle East respiratory syndrome-associated coronaviruses. However, the development of an antiviral drug that is effective against the coronavirus family as a whole will also be effective against the milder, but much more prevalent common cold viruses (eg, coronavirus OC43, 229E, NL63, and HKU1).73 A study using a mouse hepatitis coronavirus found a relatively small repertoire of resistance mutations to an experimental compound that inhibits the action of a coronavirus fusion protein. This fusion protein, heptad repeat 2, is required to enter the host cell and its inhibition will block infection. The investigators found that after multiple passages in vitro, most mutations that conferred resistance were located within a small 19 aminoacid region of the related heptad repeat 1 region in the mouse hepatitis coronavirus spike protein.74

Should the development of such a heptad repeat 2 fusion inhibitor progress further, global surveillance will be essential to establish whether any of these heptad repeat 1 mutations that confer resistance exist naturally, because this would indicate an increased potential for the emergence of resistant mutants.

These examples further highlight the need for baseline genetic information about NIRVs, and ongoing monitoring for the emergence of drug resistance, once such antiviral drugs become available.

Genetic surveillance
From a drug design viewpoint, the selection of an initial antiviral target from a well conserved genomic region that mutates only very slowly is imperative. This careful selection can only be achieved with a large-scale characterisation of the natural genetic diversity of these NIRVs. Such an enhanced genetic surveillance approach to NIRVs, together with comprehensive conventional epidemiological data, will naturally fuel the search for new drugs and vaccines to combat these viruses. However, when reviewing the currently available genomic information in GenBank, the total number of whole genome influenza sequences available is approximately ten times higher than that of the combined total for NIRVs (figure). We believe that this represents a large data gap that needs to be filled with some urgency.

Additionally, genetic surveillance has many other important public health implications, such as the identification of novel respiratory viruses that can clinically mimic other more common NIRVs. With the emergence of several novel respiratory viruses able to cause multiple outbreaks with varying degrees of potential for person-to-person transmission (eg, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus, influenza A H1N1 pdm09, avian influenza A H5N1 and A H7N9, and Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus), the surveillance of both mild versus severe and community versus acute respiratory infections requiring hospital admission is becoming more crucial for the detection of such novel viruses.

Implementation and funding of large-scale sequencing

Genetic sequence diversity

The rapid development of quicker and cheaper high-throughput sequencing platforms now readily allows the profiling of pathogen genetic sequence diversity directly from clinical samples. This technique could be applied to characterise the global population of NIRVs, but will require substantial funding support and collaboration between clinical, public health, and research experts. In principle, two options are available, but they are not necessarily mutually exclusive. A preliminary large-scale whole-genome sequencing project funded by either government or private research institutions to investigate feasibility and utility would be the most likely initial source of funding. As the clinical and public health benefits of this approach become well established, governmental funding is likely to follow, perhaps with support from commercial companies, such as those developing antiviral drugs or vaccines against NIRVs.

An example of this funding strategy is the Pandemic Influenza Preparedness Framework,77 which includes an annual contribution from vaccine and diagnostic pharmaceutical companies towards this partnership with WHO. The most direct benefit and savings to the healthcare system from a successful vaccine will be fewer admissions to hospital and visits to general practitioners, because fewer people will become infected and develop disease from these NIRVs. Failing this reduction in patient numbers, effective antivirals will reduce morbidity and mortality for people who require hospital admission because of NIV infections, or might prevent the need for admission if such drugs are available and prescribed in a timely manner by their general practitioner, subsequently reducing absences from school or work. These benefits will strengthen the case for the cost-effectiveness of this investment and support the argument for its longer-term maintenance and sustainability.

In view of an average reagent cost per sample of £200 (roughly US$246) for high-throughput sequencing, with an average of 24 samples per year (ie, two samples per month) from ten global sampling sites, for each of these five groups of NIRVs (respiratory syncytial virus, parainfluenza virus, human metapneumovirus, coronavirus, rhinovirus...
excluding individual species and subtypes), and excluding additional staff, overheads, and dry-ice sample shipments to the nearest laboratory with high-throughput sequencing capability, over a 5-year surveillance period, the cost of this venture would be in the region of £1.2 million (roughly $1.48 million), but would generate 6000 complete genomes of NIRVs, which would expand the current sequence datasets by three times. With the inclusion of individual viral subtypes and species (eg, parainfluenza virus type 1–4 and coronavirus OC43, 229E, NL63, and HKU1), the cost becomes £2.64 million (roughly $3.25 million). Increasing the number of global sampling sites from ten to 20 would double the cost to £5.28 million (roughly $6.49 million).

At sites where samples are already collected for routine influenza surveillance, the residual volume from these samples could be used relatively easily for the surveillance of NIRVs, where the presence of these viruses has been detected through routine diagnostic testing, as for influenza. Further savings can be achieved by batching these samples for periodic, large-scale, sequencing runs to ensure that all lanes are filled to capacity.

The greater number of viruses and the more sites sampled will enhance the resolution and our understanding of viral diversity. If this surveillance can be maintained (as with influenza) on an annual, global basis, this could capture the most important viral variation that has the potential to affect the clinical effectiveness of any antiviral drug or vaccine developed for these viruses. An analysis starting with a greater number of sites might lead to a subsequent reduction in the number of sites and samples if similar patterns of genetic diversity are seen within samples obtained from neighbouring regions or populations. A minimum number of samples could then be obtained from fewer key sentinel sites (that might not necessarily all match the same sites used for influenza surveillance), which would make for a much more

---

**Figure**: Geographical sources of genome sequence data for different respiratory RNA viruses

Only field strains with complete genome sequences (>80% of full-length) and geographical information in GenBank were included. Counts of human influenza A and B viruses (A). Counts of four human coronavirus species (NL63, OC43, HKU1, and 229E), human parainfluenza virus, human respiratory syncytial virus, rhinovirus, and human metapneumovirus (B). Radius of pie chart is equal to log, of the total number of sequences from the country or region.
affordable and efficient annual surveillance programme, similar to the present situation for global influenza surveillance.

The actionable outcomes of such a project would include, but are not limited to: a greater understanding of the pattern and spectrum of genetic diversity for each of these viruses in different populations in different parts of the world, which will also identify conserved genomic regions that could then be targets for the development of antiviral drugs and vaccines with long-lasting effectiveness; the identification of viral strains with specific mutations that might link to possible increases in clinical virulence, leading to the development of routine diagnostic assays to detect such strains in patients, allowing clinicians and public health teams to prepare for any potential system effects (eg, increased emergency department visits, and poorer clinical outcomes); and the identification of any unusual patterns of increased mutation rates for any of these viruses in specific populations or regions (ie, mutant hotspot regions for any of these viruses) that might warrant particular attention and perhaps even customised antiviral and vaccine development (eg, combination therapy or multi-epitope vaccine targets) to control the emergence of mutant viruses that could then spread worldwide.

**Conclusion**

Thus, considering a catch-all approach to the surveillance of NIRVs might be more cost-effective than the single-pathogen approach, particularly for cases in which residual samples from existing influenza surveillance testing can be used. NIRVs affect all age groups, either directly or indirectly, via the exacerbation of pre-existing comorbidities. The development of specific antiviral drugs and vaccines for these viruses will have a substantial beneficial effect on the health and wellbeing of children and elderly individuals. For this reason, we should strive together, as an increasingly interconnected global community, to make this happen.
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