Different Primary Sites of Hypopharyngeal Cancer Have Different Lymph Node Metastasis Patterns: A Retrospective Analysis From Multi-Center Data
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Background: Most hypopharyngeal cancers (HPCs) develop lymph node metastasis (LNM) at initial diagnosis. Understanding the pattern of LNM in HPC could help both surgeons and radiologists make decisions in the management of cervical lymph nodes.

Methods: A total of 244 newly diagnosed HPC patients between January 2010 and December 2018 were recruited from three specialized cancer hospitals in mainland China. All patients received pre-treatment magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and definitive radiotherapy with or without concurrent chemotherapy. We reassessed the features of the primary tumor (tumor size, primary location, and extent of invasion) and the involvement of lymph nodes at each level. According to the prevalence of LNM at each level, we hypothesize that the lymphatic drainage of PSC was carried out in sequence along three stations: Level II and III (61.0% and 55.4%), Level IV and RPLN (15.9% and 11.3%), and Level V and VI (1.5% and 3.1%). For PWCs, lymphatic drainage is carried out at two stations: Level II, III, and RPLN (48.9%, 40.4%, and 34.0%) and Level IV-VI (6.4%, 0%, and 2.1%). According to univariate and multivariate analyses, posterior wall invasion was significantly correlated...
INTRODUCTION

The hypopharynx, which connects the oropharynx, larynx, and cervical esophagus, is the junction of the upper respiratory and digestive tracks. Hypopharyngeal cancer (HPC) is dominated by squamous cell cancer and accounts for only 6% of all head and neck cancers (1). The prognosis of HPC is relatively poor compared with that of other head and neck cancers, with a 5-year overall survival of only 30%–35% (2, 3).

Due to the lack of obvious symptoms, most HPC patients have developed a progressive disease at their initial diagnosis, with lymph node metastasis (LNM) incidence as high as 60% (4). Therefore, management of lymph nodes must be considered in the treatment planning of most patients with HPC. Since lymphatic drainage of the hypopharynx is abundant, the primary tumors may spread along different paths to the lateral neck or posteriorly to the posterior wall. Understanding the pattern of lymph node metastasis in HPC and the relationship between the primary tumor and LNM could help both surgeons and radiologists make decisions in cervical lymph node management.

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), with a higher ability for detailed presentation of soft tissue, is superior to computed tomography (CT) in the evaluation of cervical lymph node involvement. Here, we collected pre-treatment MRIs of HPC from three cancer centers in mainland China for review and aimed to determine the pattern of nodal spread and the correlation between the features of the primary tumor and LNM.

RESULTS

Baseline Characteristics of Patients

Of the 244 patients, 262 (96.0%) were male, only 11 (4.0%) were female. The median age of the cohort was 56 years (range: 36–85 years). The primary anatomical site of the tumor was located at pyriform sinus for 195 patients (79.9%), posterior pharyngeal wall for 47 patients (19.3%), and postcricoid area for only 2 patients (0.8%). According to the AJCC 8th staging-system, 122 patients (50.0%) were staged as T1-2, 122 patients (50.0%) were T3-4. N0-1 were observed in 116 patients (47.5%). N2-3 were observed in 128 patients (52.5%). Among them, 37 patients (15.2%) were of stage I-II and 207 patients (84.8%) were of stage III-IV. In our group, all patients received definitive radiotherapy (GTV ≥ 66Gy). Among them, 92 (37.7%) and 161 patients (66.0%) received induced or concurrent chemotherapy.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients with pathologically proven hypopharyngeal squamous cell cancer from three specialized cancer hospitals in mainland China (Cancer Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences; Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center; Harbin Medical University Cancer Hospital) between January 2010 and December 2018 were recruited. All patients received definitive radiotherapy (GTV ≥ 66Gy) with or without concurrent chemotherapy, and cervical MRI was performed before treatment. Unavailable pre-treatment MRI, distant metastasis before initial treatment, and second primary cancer were the exclusion criteria. This study was approved by the institutional ethics committee of Cancer Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences (NCC2018J-004). Informed consent was obtained before surgery.

All pre-treatment MRIs were reviewed by two dedicated head and neck radiologists. The features of the primary tumor and the presence of lymph nodes at each level of the neck were reassessed. Tumor information included tumor size, tumor location, and extent of invasion. Lymph nodes were assigned according to the RGOT guidelines. Seven groups of lymph nodes, levels I-VI and retropharyngeal lymph nodes (RPLN), were assessed. The following criteria were considered as a radiographically positive LN: in the axial plane, the largest short diameter of the retropharyngeal node ≥ 5 mm, ≥ 11 mm at level II, ≥ 10 mm at other level, and any visible median RPLN; three lymph node grouping (each of which should have a minimal axial dimension of 8–10 mm); lymph node with circular enhancement or central necrosis; and lymph node with extracapsular spread (5). If MRI cannot determine the metastasis, we will evaluate it in combination with pre-treatment CT scans and ultrasound.

The prevalence of each cervical level was calculated using descriptive statistics with SPSS 25.0 (IBM Corp. Released 2017. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 25.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.). Predictors (tumor size, lesion location, and the extent of invasion) of the presence of bilateral LNM were examined using univariate and multivariate logistic regression. A two-sided p-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Conclusions and Relevance: The primary tumor site and extent of invasion are related to the pattern of lymph node metastasis. That is, the metastasis would drainage station by station along different directions.
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with bilateral LNM (P = 0.030, HR = 2.853 95%CI, 1.110-7.338) and RPLN metastasis (P = 0.017, HR = 2.880 95%CI, 1.209-6.862). However, pyriform sinus invasion was less likely to present with bilateral LNM (P = 0.027, HR = 0.311, 95%CI, 0.111-0.875) and RPLN metastasis (P = 0.028, HR = 0.346, 95%CI, 0.134-0.891).

Conclusions and Relevance: The primary tumor site and extent of invasion are related to the pattern of lymph node metastasis. That is, the metastasis would drainage station by station along different directions.
respectively. (Table 1) The 3-year and 5-year overall survival (OS) of our group were 49.9% and 36.4%.

**LNM Incidence**

In our cohort, a total of 176 patients (72.1%) presented with MRI-detectable LNM. No LNM was found in level I in any of the patients. The most common LNM regions are Levels II and III, with incidences of 59.0% and 52.9%, respectively. Level IV and RPLN followed with incidences of 14.3% and 16.4%, respectively. LNsMs in Levels V and VI were rare, with an incidence of only 1.6% and 2.9%, respectively. In our cohort, 40 patients (16.4%) presented with bilateral LNsMs, all of which were restricted to levels II, III, and RPLNs (Table 2).

**Patterns of LNM**

Of the 195 PSC patients, 143 (73.3%) were diagnosed with cervical LNMs. More than half of the patients had LNMs in levels II and III, with metastasis rates of 61.0% and 55.4%, respectively. For Level IV and RPLNs, the LNM rates were 15.9% and 11.3%, respectively. However, LNMs were rare in Levels V and VI, with incidences of only 1.6% and 2.9%, respectively. In our cohort, 40 patients (16.4%) presented with bilateral LNMs, all of which were restricted to levels II, III, and RPLNs (Table 2).

---

**TABLE 1 | The baseline characteristics of the patients.**

| Characteristic                  | Patients (%) |
|--------------------------------|--------------|
| Gender                         |              |
| Male                           | 237 (97.1)   |
| Female                         | 7 (2.9)      |
| Age (y.)                       |              |
| <50                            | 63 (25.8)    |
| ≥50                            | 161 (74.2)   |
| Tumor location                 |              |
| Pyriform sinus                 | 195 (79.9)   |
| Posterior pharyngeal wall      | 47 (19.3)    |
| Postcricoid                    | 2 (0.8)      |
| T-stage                        |              |
| T1-2                           | 122 (50.0)   |
| T3-4                           | 122 (50.0)   |
| N-stage                        |              |
| N0-1                           | 116 (47.5)   |
| N2-3                           | 128 (52.5)   |
| TNM stage                      |              |
| I-II                           | 37 (15.2)    |
| III-IV                         | 207 (84.8)   |
| Induced chemotherapy           |              |
| Yes                            | 92 (37.7)    |
| No                             | 152 (62.3)   |
| Concurrent chemoradiotherapy   |              |
| Yes                            | 161 (66.0)   |
| No                             | 83 (34.0)    |

---

**TABLE 2 | The incidence of lymph nodes metastasis at each level among 244 patients.**

| Level | Unilateral (%) | Bilateral (%) | Total (%) |
|-------|----------------|---------------|-----------|
| I     | 0              | 0             | 0         |
| II    | 120 (49.2)     | 24 (9.8)      | 144 (59.0)|
| III   | 121 (49.6)     | 8 (3.3)       | 129 (52.9)|
| IV    | 35 (14.3)      | 0             | 35 (14.3)|
| V     | 4 (1.6)        | 0             | 4 (1.6)  |
| VI    | 7 (2.9)        | 0             | 7 (2.9)  |
| RPLN* | 25 (10.2)      | 15 (6.1)      | 40 (16.4)|
| Total | 136 (55.7)     | 40 (16.4)     | 176 (72.1)|

*Retropharyngeal Lymph node.

were less common than those in PSC. The LNM rates in Levels IV-VI were only 6.4%, 0%, and 2.1%, respectively. (Table 2 shows specific distributions). Therefore, we hypothesize that the lymphatic drainage of PWC is carried out along two stations: Levels II, III, and RPLN as the 1st station, and Levels IV-VI as the 2nd station (Figure 2B). No lymph node skip metastasizes were found based on this hypothesis. Figure 3 shows the T1 with contrast images of a patient with PWC (Figure 3A). The patient has LNMs in left RPLN (Figure 3B) and right Level II (Figure 3C).

Only two PCCs were included in our cohort. A patient was diagnosed with LNMs in ipsilateral II-IV. The other patient had LNMs in bilateral levels II and III.

**Univariate and Multivariate Analyses**

To determine the risk factors for bilateral and regional LNM, univariate and multivariate analyses were used, the results of which are displayed in Table 3. According to univariate analysis, invasion of the posterior wall was a risk factor for bilateral LNM. Patients with pyriform sinus invasion were less likely to develop bilateral LN. Further multivariate analysis showed that posterior wall and pyriform sinus invasion were still statistically significant, with P = 0.002 (HR = 3.524 95%CI, 1.559-7.964) and P = 0.027 (HR = 0.311, 95%CI, 0.111-0.875), respectively.

Univariate analysis revealed that the presence of retropharyngeal lymph node was associated with larger tumor size (> 4 cm) and posterior wall invasion. However, HPCs with pyriform sinus invasion were less likely to have PRLN metastasis. However, only posterior wall invasion (P = 0.017, HR = 2.880, 95%CI, 1.209-6.862) and pyriform sinus invasion (P = 0.028, HR = 0.346, 95%CI, 0.134-0.891) were associated with PRLN metastasis in multivariate analysis.

**DISCUSSION**

Hypopharyngeal cancer is a relatively rare malignancy with poor prognosis. Due to the lack of obvious symptoms, most patients with HPC have lymph node metastasis at the initial diagnosis. Therefore, the management of lymph nodes must be considered in the treatment plan of most patients with HPC. Understanding the lymph node metastasis pattern of HPC and the relationship between primary tumor and LNM can help clinicians make decisions in the treatment of cervical lymph nodes.
Various imaging technologies, such as CT, MRI, and 18F-FDG, have been widely used in the evaluation of head and neck cancer (6, 7). MRI has a higher ability in the presentation of soft tissue than CT or PET, especially in the evaluation of primary tumors, since the tumor may extend to the mucous membrane alone. Moreover, MRI has been shown to be superior to CT imaging for the detection of metastatic RPLNs (8). Therefore, we chose pre-treatment MRI as mandatory in identifying both the primary tumor and LNM in HPC patients in our cohort. Hypopharyngeal cancer is a relatively rare disease among head and neck tumors. Therefore, it is difficult to obtain large-sample-size image data, especially MRIs, in a single center. Here, we combined three specialized cancer hospitals and collected the pre-treatment MRIs of 244 patients.

Because of the abundant lymphatic drainage in the hypopharynx, most patients will have developed lymph node metastasis at the initial treatment. The reported LNM rate at diagnosis is 65% (5, 9, 10). Among them, the most common metastasis was located at levels II and III, followed by level IV. The LNM rate of our group is similar to that of previous reports. However, in our cohort, the incidence of RPLN metastasis was as high as 16.4%, which is equivalent to that of level IV (14.3%). This may be because MRI is superior in detecting RPLNs than other imaging methods such as CT scans and ultrasound imaging (8). Since the anatomic cross-lymphatic drainage of the hypopharyngeal region links both sides, contralateral LNM should not be neglected (11). In our group, the rate of bilateral
LNM was 16.8%, and all contralateral LNMs were limited to levels II, III, and RPLNs. Because of the prevalence of LNM in levels II and III, they are generally considered to be the first station for all hypopharyngeal cancers (6, 12), including the preliminary report from our center (13). However, through further study we found that the drainage route varied with different primary sites. In pyriform sinus cancer, almost all N+ patients presented with level II and/or III LNMs. Therefore, we assumed that they were the first drainage stations for PSC. Level IV and RPLNs, with LNM rates following closely behind, were considered as the second station. The third station consisted of Level V and VI because of their rarity. The lymph node drainage diagram (Figure 2A) supports our hypothesis. Only 4/143 patients exhibited skipping metastases.

In contrast with PSC, the metastasis rate of RPLN in posterior wall cancer was as high as 38.3%, which was similar to that in levels II and III. Therefore, we assumed that the RPLN along with levels II and III was the first station of LNM for PWCs. In the PSC group, only three

| TABLE 3 | The risk factors for bilateral LNM and PRLN metastasis. |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Factors | Univariate analysis | Multivariate analysis |
| | HR (95%CI) | p | HR (95%CI) | p |
| Bilateral LNM |  |  |  |  |
| Pyriform sinus invasion | 0.165 (0.064-0.430) | 0.000 | 0.311 (0.111-0.875) | 0.027 |
| Posterior wall invasion | 4.812 (2.259-10.252) | 0.000 | 3.524 (1.559-7.964) | 0.002 |
| PRLN metastasis |  |  |  |  |
| Tumor Size |  |  |  |  |
| ≤2cm |  |  |  |  |
| 2-4cm | 6.206 (0.809-47.618) | 0.079 |  |  |
| >4cm | 12.364 (1.561-97.907) | 0.017 |  |  |
| Pyriform sinus invasion | 0.213 (0.096-0.481) | 0.000 | 0.346 (0.134-0.891) | 0.028 |
| Posterior wall invasion | 4.543 (2.201-9.378) | 0.000 | 2.471 (1.047-5.832) | 0.039 |
patients presented with LNM in Level IV. Therefore, together with Level V and VI, Level IV was also considered to belong to station 2. This hypothesis could also be proved by the simulation diagram (Figure 2B), as no skipping metastasis was found.

Another reason why RPLNs were not recognized as the first drainage station before was based on the reports that RPLN metastasis does not appear in N0 patients (6, 14). In other words, pure RPLN metastasis is rare. However, there have been other reports where RPLN metastasis may be present among cN0 HPC patients (15, 16). In our cohort, RPLN metastasis was detected in four patients with negative lateral cervical findings. These results indicate that it is reasonable that the RPLNs are presumed to belong to the 1st station LNs for PWCs.

According to our finding we suggest that, for cN0 patients with PSC, the elective neck dissection should include level II and III, while for PWC level II, III and RPLNs. When metastasis is considered in the first station LNs, the second station lymph node should be further treated.

The other purpose of this paper is to explore the direction of metastasis of HPC. So we focus on the bilateral and retropharyngeal metastasis, which indicate transfer contralaterally and backward respectively. As a midline organ, the hypopharynx is also drained along the anatomic cross route to the contralateral lymph nodes. Olzowy (17 et al. reported that the incidence of contralateral metastasis was above 20% for HPCs affecting the midline and those involving the medial wall of the PSCs. The overall bilateral LNM rate in our cohort was 16.4%, which is similar to that found in previous reports. Through logistic analysis, we found that HPC with pyriform sinus invasion is more likely to metastasize to the ipsilateral lymph nodes, since pyriform sinus invasion is a protective factor for bilateral LNM. Posterior wall invasion in both univariate and multivariate analyses was proven to be correlated with bilateral LNM, indicating that posterior wall invasion was prone to drainage to the bilateral neck. This phenomenon is easy to explain—posterior wall cancer is located at the midline areas and is prone to drain bilaterally to the neck. However, the pyriform sinus is a lateral structure, and so lymphatic drainage mainly flows in the ipsilateral direction.

The retropharyngeal lymph node has been widely studied in nasopharyngeal cancer and was regarded as the first station for nasopharyngeal lymphatic drainage (14, 18). In recent years, its significance in HPC has received increasing attention (6). Our preliminary study (13, 19) reported RPLN metastasis was related to PWC, posterior wall invasion and cervical LN status. When metastasis is included in this article.

Our study was based only on pre-treatment MRI images; thus, occult metastasis could not be evaluated. In fact, due to the wide use of laryngeal conservative strategies, hypopharyngeal cancer mainly adopts radiotherapy-based multidisciplinary treatment. Therefore, it is difficult to admit a large sample of HPCs from the head and neck department. In this study, we obtained a large sample of MRI data from three major cancer hospitals. We believe that this was sufficient for evaluating lymph node metastasis patterns. However, only two cases of PCC were included in the cohort; therefore, more data are needed to understand the metastasis pattern of PCC.

In conclusion, we analyzed 244 pre-treatment MRIs of HPC in three specialized cancer hospitals in mainland China and found that the primary tumor subite and the extent of invasion were related to the pattern of LNM. PSC tends to metastasize along three stations, while PWC tends to metastasize along two stations. HPCs with piriform invasion were less likely to metastasize to contralateral and retropharyngeal LNs, while posterior wall invasion was a risk factor for bilateral and retropharyngeal LNM.
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