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ABSTRACT

We consider recent observations of the chromospheric network and argue that the bright network grains observed in the Ca\,\(\text{II}\) H and K lines are heated by an as-yet-unidentified quasi-steady process. We propose that the heating is caused by dissipation of short-period magnetoacoustic waves in magnetic flux tubes (periods less than 100 s). Magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) models of such waves are presented. We consider wave generation in the network due to two separate processes: (1) transverse motions at the base of the flux tube and (2) the absorption of acoustic waves generated in the ambient medium. We find that the former mechanism leads to efficient heating of the chromosphere by slow magnetoacoustic waves propagating along magnetic field lines. This heating is produced by shock waves with a horizontal size of a few hundred kilometers. In contrast, acoustic waves excited in the ambient medium are converted into transverse fast modes that travel rapidly through the flux tube and do not form shocks, unless the acoustic sources are located within 100 km from the tube axis. We conclude that the magnetic network may be heated by magnetoacoustic waves that are generated in or near the flux tubes.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In the chromosphere on the quiet Sun it is useful to distinguish between the magnetic network on the boundary of supergranulation cells (Simon & Leighton 1964), where strong magnetic fields are organized in mainly vertical flux tubes, and internetwork regions in the cell interiors, where magnetic fields are weaker and dynamically less important.

The canonical picture of the magnetic network is that it consists of vertical magnetic fields clumped into elements or flux tubes that are located in intergranular lanes, have magnetic field strengths in the kilogauss range, and have diameters of the order of 100 km or less at their footpoints in the photosphere (e.g., Gaizauskas 1985; Zwaan 1987). These magnetic elements can be identified with bright points seen in images taken in the G band (4305 Å). High-resolution observations show that these flux elements are in a highly dynamical state due to buffeting by convective flows on granular and supergranular scales (e.g., Muller et al. 1994; Berger et al. 1995, 1998; Berger & Title 1996; Nisenson et al. 2003). With the availability of new ground-based telescopes at excellent sites and sophisticated image reconstruction techniques, it is now possible to examine magnetic elements with an improved resolution of about 0.17” and investigate their structure and dynamics in unprecedented detail (e.g., Berger et al. 2004; Rouppe van der Voort et al. 2005; Largangen et al. 2007). High-quality observations of photospheric magnetic fields are now also being obtained with the Solar Optical Telescope (SOT) on Hinode (e.g., Lites et al. 2007, 2008; Rezaei et al. 2007). Magnetocconvection models have been developed to understand the three-dimensional (3-D) structure and evolution of the magnetic field and its interactions with convective flows (e.g., Vögler et al. 2005; Schaffenberger et al. 2006).

The chromospheric network is most clearly seen in filter images taken in the Ca\,\(\text{II}\) H and K lines (e.g., Gaizauskas 1985; Rutten 2007) and in the Ca\,\(\text{II}\) IR triplet (Cauzzi et al. 2008). In H or K line images the network shows up as a collection of “coarse mottles” or “network grains” that stand out against the darker background. The network grains are continuously bright with intensities that vary slowly in time, in contrast to the “fine mottles” or “cell grains,” which are located in the cell interiors and are much more dynamic (e.g., Rutten & Uitenbroek 1991). In space-time diagrams derived from Ca\,\(\text{II}\) H spectra (e.g., Fig. 3 in Cram & Damé 1983), the network grains show up as long bright streaks, indicating lifetimes of at least 10 minutes. The Ca\,\(\text{II}\) H line profiles of network grains are more symmetrical than those from the cell interior, and both the \(\text{H}_2\) and \(\text{H}_\alpha\) features generally appear bright (Lites et al. 1993; Sheminova et al. 2005). Superposed on this constant brightness are low-frequency oscillations with periods of 5–20 minutes (Lites et al. 1993; Kontogiannis et al. 2006; Tritschler et al. 2007); these oscillations have also been observed at UV wavelengths (e.g., Bloomfield et al. 2006).

The physical processes that produce the enhanced emission in Ca\,\(\text{II}\) network grains have not been identified. Are they heated by wave dissipation, and if so, what is the nature of these waves? In this paper we focus on the question of why the emission from network grains is so constant in time. We discuss the observations and investigate whether waves with periods \(P < 100\) s can provide the heating of the grains.

Hasan et al. (2005, hereafter Paper I) considered (magneto-)acoustic waves generated at the interface of magnetic flux tubes and the outside medium. They suggested that such waves are generated as a result of interactions of flux tubes with turbulent downflows in intergranular lanes just below the photosphere. Intergranular lanes have widths of order 100 km and downflow velocities of several km s\(^{-1}\), so velocity fluctuations \(\delta v \sim 1\) km s\(^{-1}\) may be expected. Such fluctuations would produce transverse displacements of the flux tubes within the intergranular lanes.
Assuming random displacements with amplitude $\delta x \sim 10$ km, the typical timescale is $\tau \sim 10$ s. Such perturbations would produce transverse waves with period $P \sim 2\tau$ that travel upward along the flux tube, as well as pressure fluctuations on either side of the tube (Paper I). Therefore, from a theoretical perspective it is reasonable to expect the existence of short-period magnetoacoustic waves in flux tubes ($P \sim 20$ s).

In this paper we present models of wave propagation in magnetic flux tubes that are relevant to the heating of the network grains. Specifically, we present two-dimensional (2-D) magneto-hydrodynamic (MHD) simulations of the interactions of short-period waves with magnetic flux sheets (we use the terms “flux sheet” and “flux tube” interchangeably). Although such simulations do not include the Alfvén mode, they provide a possible model for the waves involved in heating the Ca II grains. This work is a continuation of the study in Paper I.

The plan of the paper is as follow. In § 2 we review recent observations of the chromospheric network, and we argue that the network grains are heated by an as-yet-unidentified quasi-steady process. We propose that the heating is due to short-period waves ($P < 100$ s), although there is little evidence for such waves at present. In § 3 we describe a 2-D MHD model for the propagation of short-period waves in magnetic flux tubes. In § 4 we present simulation results for waves generated inside a flux tube; interaction of waves on different flux tubes is also considered. In § 5 we consider the interaction of a flux tube with waves generated by acoustic sources located outside the flux tube. Section 6 discusses and summarizes the main results of this investigation.

2. OBSERVATIONS OF Ca II NETWORK GRAINS

Figure 1 shows an example of a network element observed with the Dutch Open Telescope (DOT; Rutten et al. 2004). The different panels show filtergrams in the blue continuum, G band, Ca II H line center, and Ca II H off band. The DOT uses a filter with a 1.4 Å FWHM passband centered on Ca II H (3968 Å), which covers the two chromospheric emission peaks on either side of line center but also includes parts of the inner wings of...
the line, which originate in the upper photosphere. An examination of the G-band image confirms the picture of a network patch consisting of multiple flux elements. A comparison with the Ca ii line center image shows that the excess chromospheric emission is localized directly above the photospheric flux tubes, although the bright features seen in Ca ii are more diffuse than those seen in the G band. Time sequences of such images show that the chromospheric network is continually bright (e.g., Tritschler et al. 2007).

Sheminova et al. (2005) combined high-resolution Ca ii H and K spectra of network elements with flux tube modeling and found that the excess brightness in the wings of the H and K lines compared with the quiet photosphere is primarily due to low density, not to mechanical heating. However, both the H2Y and H2R emission features appear bright, indicating sustained nonthermal heating at heights where these features are formed (also see Lites et al. 1993). The Ca ii profiles from network elements are nearly symmetric, indicating that the heating does not involve strong shocks such as those found in internetwork regions (Carlsson & Stein 1997).

Rutten (2006, 2007) presented reviews and a synthesis of recent high-resolution observations of the solar chromosphere. In the Ca ii H and K lines, the network shows up as a collection of “Ca ii bright points” or “grains.” He also identifies an exciting new phenomenon called “straws” that extend radially outward from network bright points (see Fig. 8 in Rutten 2007). These straws are very thin, occur in “hedge rows,” and are very short-lived (10–20 s). They appear to be closely related to the so-called type II spicules recently identified in limb observations with Hinode SOT (De Pontieu et al. 2007b). The type II spicules are much more dynamic than ordinary (type I) spicules, are very thin (width ~100 km), have lifetimes of 10–150 s, and seem to be rapidly heated to transition region temperatures, sending material through the chromosphere at speeds of 50–150 km s−1. De Pontieu and collaborators suggest that type II spicules may be due to small-scale reconnection events in the chromosphere. De Pontieu et al. (2007c) show that the spicules exhibit transverse motions with velocities of 20–25 km s−1, which provides evidence for the existence of Alfvén waves in the chromosphere.

In Ca ii observations of network elements on the solar disk, the thin straws are superposed on the bright grains. According to Rutten (2006), “The network bright points are less sharp than and differ in morphology from G-band bright points... bright stalks emanate a few arcseconds from them at this resolution... diffuse Ca ii H core brightness spreads as far or farther” (see his Fig. 2). Rutten conjectures that the bright Ca ii network grains are nothing but a collection of straws seen nearly end-on. However, this is difficult to reconcile with the observed steadiness of the emission from network elements at high spatial resolution. Since the straws are very short-lived and dynamic, a superposition of many such features would be required to produce apparently steady emission, but such a high density of straws is not consistent with the limb observations by De Pontieu et al. (2007b, 2007c). Also, if the plasma in the straws is hot (T ~ 10^5 K), as Rutten (2006) suggests, one would expect the straws to produce pure emission profiles, but the observed Ca ii H and K line profiles from network elements are nearly symmetric and almost show a central reversal (see Fig. 5 in Sheminova et al. 2005). Therefore, we suggest that most of the emission from Ca ii grains is due to some quasi-steady heating process at heights of 500–1500 km inside the magnetic flux tubes and is not associated with spicule-like activity at larger heights.

Our interpretation of the Ca ii observations is summarized in Figure 2, where we show a vertical cross section of a magnetic network element consisting of several discrete flux tubes. We suggest that the Ca ii network grains are located inside the magnetic flux tubes and give rise to the bulk of the Ca ii emission from the network element. The grains are thought to be located at heights between 500 and 1500 km above the photosphere, where the flux tubes are no longer “thin” compared to the pressure scale height (about 200 km) but are still well separated from each other. The Ca ii straws (type II spicules) have widths of order 100 km and are located at larger heights (several Mm), where the widths of the flux tubes are much larger than 100 km. Therefore, we suggest the straws are not directly associated with the network grains in the low chromosphere. In Figure 2 we assume that the straws (type II spicules) are located at the interfaces between the flux tubes, as suggested by van Ballegooijen & Nisenson (1998).

Observations have shown “magnetic shadows” surrounding network elements where the oscillations in the 2–4 minute range are suppressed (Judge et al. 2001; Krijger et al. 2001; Vecchio et al. 2007). These shadows have been attributed to the effects of highly structured magnetic fields on wave propagation and mode conversion in the chromosphere (McIntosh & Judge 2001). Network elements on the quiet Sun exhibit oscillations with periods in the range 5–20 minutes (e.g., Lites et al. 1993; Bloomfield et al. 2006). These long-period oscillations are thought to be driven by the interaction of vertical or inclined magnetic flux tubes with global p-modes and convective flows in the photosphere, producing magnetoacoustic shocks that drive spicules and spicule-like features at larger heights in the chromosphere (De Pontieu et al. 2004, 2005; Hansteen et al. 2006; Heggland et al. 2007; Rouppe van der Voort et al. 2007). Jeffries et al. (2006) argued that inclined magnetic fields at the boundaries of supergranules may act as portals through which low-frequency magnetoacoustic waves can propagate into the solar chromosphere (also see McIntosh & Jeffries 2006).

It seems unlikely that long-period waves are also responsible for the heating of the Ca ii network grains in the low chromosphere. Simulations of shock waves with periods P ~ 200 s in a plane-parallel, nonmagnetic atmosphere have shown that such waves produce large asymmetries in the Ca ii H line profiles and strong variations in the integrated emission. If the grains were heated by such long-period waves, they should exhibit similar
strong intensity variations. This is not observed, so the long-period waves observed in network elements cannot be the main source of heating for the Ca II grains.

Network grains could possibly be heated by dissipation of waves with shorter periods \((P < 100 \,	ext{s})\). Ground-based observations of high-frequency waves in small network elements are affected by seeing, so it is possible that waves with periods \(P < 100 \,	ext{s}\) do exist in network elements but are simply not observable from the ground. This hypothesis could be tested using Ca II H images from Hinode SOT (Tsuneta et al. 2008; Kosugi et al. 2007), keeping in mind of course that the passband of the Ca II H filter also includes a significant photospheric contribution. Alternatively, network grains could be heated by dissipation of Alfvén waves. There is evidence for Alfvén waves in spicules (De Pontieu et al. 2007c), and if these waves originate below the photosphere they must travel through the heights where the Ca II network grains are located. At present there is no direct observational evidence for Alfvén waves in flux elements in the photosphere or at heights where the Ca II H line is formed. Also, simulations of such waves in flux tubes would require 3-D MHD models. Therefore, in the present paper we focus on magneto-acoustic waves, which can be simulated with 2-D MHD models.

3. MODELS FOR MAGNETOACOUSTIC WAVES IN NETWORK ELEMENTS

3.1. Background

Earlier work on wave dynamics idealized the network in terms of thin flux tubes (e.g., Roberts & Webb 1978) and treated wave propagation in terms of the well-known transverse (kink) and longitudinal (sausage) modes (e.g., Spruit 1981). Several investigations have focused on the generation and propagation of transverse and longitudinal wave modes and their dissipation in the chromosphere (e.g., Zhugzhda et al. 1995; Fawzy et al. 2002; Ulmschneider 2003 and references therein). Hasan & Kalkofen (1999) and Hasan et al. (2003) examined the excitation of transverse and longitudinal waves in magnetic flux tubes by the impact of fast granules on flux tubes, as observed by Muller & Roudier (1992) and Muller et al. (1994) and following the investigation by Choudhuri et al. (1993), who studied the generation of kink waves by footprint motion of flux tubes. The observational signature of the modeled process was highly intermittent in radiation emerging in the H and K lines, contrary to observations. By adding waves that were generated by high-frequency motion due to the turbulence of the medium surrounding the flux tubes, the energy injection into the gas inside a flux tube became less intermittent, and the time variation of the emergent radiation was in better agreement with the more steady intensity from the magnetic network (Hasan et al. 2000).

The above studies on the magnetic network make use of two important idealizations: they assume that the magnetic flux tubes are thin, an approximation that becomes questionable at about the height of formation of the emission peaks in the cores of the H and K lines, and they neglect the interaction of neighboring flux tubes. Some progress on the first issue was made by Rosenthal et al. (2002) and Bogdan et al. (2003), who studied wave propagation in a 2-D stratified atmosphere, assuming the magnetic field can be approximated by a potential field. They examined the propagation of waves that are excited from spatially localized sources in the photosphere and found that there is strong mode coupling between fast and slow waves at the so-called magnetic canopy, which they identify as the surface where the magnetic and gas pressures are comparable. However, potential-field models do not provide an accurate description of the bound-
periodic in the x-coordinate; the period length $L_x$ equals the distance between the flux tubes. The tubes are assumed to be symmetric with respect to their vertical axes; therefore, in the equilibrium model only one-half of one flux tube needs to be considered. For the model presented in § 4.1, the size of the computational domain is given by $\Delta x = L_x/2 = 1800$ km and $\Delta z = 2950$ km, and we use a grid spacing of 5 km. At the lower boundary ($z = 0$), the flux tube has a nearly Gaussian profile with a 1/e width of 65 km. The 2-D structure of the flux tube is determined by solving the balance equation, \[ -\nabla p + \rho g + \frac{1}{4\pi} (\nabla \times B) \times B = 0, \] where $g = -g\hat{z}$ is the gravitational acceleration, $p(x, z)$ is the gas pressure, and $\rho(x, z)$ is the density. The third term describes the Lorentz force due to electric currents at the boundary between the flux tube and its surroundings. The magnetic field is written in terms of a flux function $A(x, z)$, such that $B_z = -\partial A/\partial z$ and \[ B_z^2 = \beta / \frac{\partial A}{\partial x}. \] The gas pressure is given by \[ p(x, z) = p_{\text{at}}(z) \left[ 1 + \beta_0^{-1} F(A) \right], \] where $p_{\text{at}}(z)$ is the internal gas pressure as a function of height along the axis of the flux tube ($A = 0$), $\beta_0$ is the ratio of gas and magnetic pressures at the base (on axis), and $F(A)$ is a function describing the variation of gas pressure across field lines ($F = 0$ on the axis and $F = 1$ in the ambient medium). A similar expression holds for the gas density. The functions $p_{\text{at}}(z)$ and $F(A)$ are described in Paper I, except that in the present paper we use $\beta_0 = 2$. Equation (1) is solved for $A(x, z)$ using an energy minimization technique (see Paper I). Table 1 lists the basic parameters of the equilibrium model on the tube axis and in the ambient medium at the base ($z = 0$) and at a height of $z = 1500$ km in the chromosphere.

To construct the initial conditions for the MHD dynamical model, the equilibrium model is mirrored horizontally to create a single flux tube and then further replicated to produce an array of flux tubes. Only the lower part of the equilibrium model is used ($z < 1600$ km). Therefore, the magnetic field at the top of the MHD model is not vertical.

### 3.4. Limitations of the Model

Before describing the simulation results, it is appropriate to mention some of the limitations of the present investigation. Our model does not include the convection zone; therefore, the wave excitation mechanism is somewhat idealized. Also, we have used an adiabatic energy equation, thereby neglecting radiative losses. The top boundary conditions cause wave reflection, so we can run the simulations only for a short period of time (about 180 s). Also, with the present code we are limited in our choice for the height of the upper boundary; for larger heights the Alfvén speed near the top becomes too large and the time step too small to make useful simulations.

Our analysis is based on a 2-D treatment in which the Alfvén wave is absent and the coupling of the Alfvén mode to the slow and fast modes is neglected. Furthermore, in three dimensions the acoustic waves generated by transverse motions of a flux tube can travel azimuthally around the flux tube, which is not possible in a 2-D flux sheet. Therefore, the pressure fluctuations $\delta p$ surrounding a 3-D tube should be smaller than those near a 2-D flux sheet. However, based on a simple model for waves generated by an oscillating rigid cylinder in a uniform medium, we estimate that the pressure fluctuations are not significantly reduced compared to the 3-D case when the wave period $P < 2\pi R/C_s$, where $R$ is the flux tube radius and $C_s$ is the sound speed in the ambient medium. For $R = 100$ km and $C_s = 7.1$ km s$^{-1}$ we require $P < 88$ s, so our approximation of the flux tubes as 2-D sheets is adequate for short-period waves but would not be accurate for longer wave periods. In this paper we consider waves with a period of 24 s.

### Table 1

| VARIABLE | $z = 0$ | $z = 1500$ km | $z = 0$ | $z = 1500$ km |
|----------|--------|--------------|--------|--------------|
| Temperature (K) | 4700 | 8700 | 4700 | 8700 |
| Density (g cm$^{-3}$) | $2.6 \times 10^{-7}$ | $2.1 \times 10^{-12}$ | $3.9 \times 10^{-7}$ | $3.2 \times 10^{-12}$ |
| Pressure (dyn cm$^{-2}$) | $8.0 \times 10^4$ | 2.2 | $1.2 \times 10^5$ | 3.3 |
| Sound speed (km s$^{-1}$) | 7.1 | 13 | 7.1 | 13 |
| Alfvén speed (km s$^{-1}$) | 5.6 | 85 | ... | ... |
| Magnetic field (G) | 1000 | 44 | ... | ... |
| $\beta$ | 2.0 | $2.8 \times 10^{-2}$ | ... | ... |
that propagates isotropically with an almost constant sound speed. This can be discerned by the almost constant spacing in the semi-circular color pattern. In the central section of the tube, a transverse slow MHD wave is generated that is essentially guided along the field lines. At \( t = 75 \) s, we find from Figure 3a that the wave pattern is confined below the \( \beta = 1 \) surface (\( z = 0.5 \) Mm). In this region, where the magnetic field can be regarded as weak, the acoustic (fast) mode travels ahead of the (slow) MHD wave (which travels at the Alfvén speed). On the tube axis the acoustic and Alfvén speeds at the base are 7.1 and 5.6 km s\(^{-1}\), whereas at \( z = 500 \) km they are 7.3 and 8.0 km s\(^{-1}\). At the \( \beta = 1 \) level there is a strong coupling between the two modes (as previously demonstrated by Rosenthal et al. 2002; Bogdan et al. 2003; Paper I).

Figures 3b and 3c depict the situation at instants where the wave has crossed the \( \beta = 1 \) surface. Above this level, the acoustic wave (which is now a slow mode propagating along the field) continues to travel at about 8 km s\(^{-1}\), but the MHD wave (fast mode) is speeded up due to the rapid increase of the Alfvén speed with height. The faint blue and yellow halos in the flux tube above the last semicircle show the fast mode. It should also be noted that as the acoustic-like waves move upward, their amplitude increases due to the decrease in density with height resulting in compressional heating. From Figures 3b and 3c we find temperature enhancements of around 900 K in the upper atmosphere due to amplitude increase of the acoustic wave amplitude.

A comparison of the present calculations for a network flux element with a field on the axis of about 1000 G (corresponding to \( \beta = 2 \)) with the case treated in Paper I of a stronger field of 1500 G (\( \beta = 0.5 \)) shows that there are several qualitative similarities in both cases. These include the acoustic pattern in the ambient medium and weak field regions (\( \beta \gg 1 \)), as well as the strong heating in the central regions of the tubes. The main difference is in the character of the waves near the tube axis; in Paper I, the field in the central regions is strong at all heights, whereas in the present case, the field becomes strong only above \( z = 0.5 \) Mm, leading to a layer where strong mode coupling occurs. It is worthwhile to clarify what happens to a wave when it crosses this layer. As pointed out by Cally (2007), a fast wave in a high-\( \beta \) region (essentially acoustic in character) propagating along a field line changes labels from fast to slow as it crosses the \( \beta = 1 \) region (or, more accurately, the one in which the sound and Alfvén speeds are equal, but this difference is not significant for the purpose of the present analysis). In the \( \beta < 1 \) region, this wave is now a slow mode but still acoustic in nature. This phenomenon is essentially mode transmission. However, the incident fast wave can also be transformed to a magnetic mode (a fast wave in the magnetized region). This corresponds to a mode conversion. In practice, when the wave propagation vector makes a finite angle with respect to the magnetic field lines, both mode transmission and conversion occur.

Thus, in Paper I the wave generated in the tube due to footpoint motion is a fast transverse MHD mode that continues to be fast (near the axis) as it propagates upward. On the other hand, in the present case, the footpoint motions produce a slow transverse MHD wave that is transmitted as a fast MHD (still transverse) as it crosses the \( \beta = 1 \) layer. There is, however, no mode conversion.

4.2. Dynamics of Multiple Flux Sheets

Let us now extend the analysis to a situation where we have multiple flux sheets. This allows us to incorporate the influence of neighboring flux tubes on each other. Figure 4 shows the wave pattern arising due to a transverse motion of the lower boundary where the horizontal component of the velocity has the form

\[
v_t(x, 0, t) = v_0 \sin \left( \frac{2\pi t}{P} \right),
\]

where \( v_0 \) denotes the amplitude of the horizontal motion and \( P \) is the wave period. Similar to Paper I, we choose \( v_0 = 750 \) m s\(^{-1}\) and \( P = 24 \) s. Figures 3a–3c show the temperature perturbation \( \Delta T \) (the temperature difference with respect to the initial value at the same location) at 75, 122, and 153 s. The black curves denote the magnetic field lines, and the white curves depict constant \( \beta \) contours with values of 0.1 (top), 1.0 (middle), and 10.0 (bottom).

The horizontal motions at the lower boundary produce compressions and decompressions of the gas in the flux tube which generate an acoustic-like wave (most effectively at the interface between the tube and the ambient medium, as shown in Paper I)
given by equation (3) with the same parameters as before. The flux tubes in Figure 4 are identical to each other and have a field distribution on the base that is the same as in Figure 3. However, above the base the field lines do not flare out as much as in the previous case, but they straighten out at a lower height due to the effect of adjacent flux tubes. At \( t = 75 \) s, the waves in the individual tubes are sufficiently well separated from each other, and the wave pattern in each tube is qualitatively similar to the case treated in § 4.1. However, at \( t = 122 \) s, waves emanating from neighboring tubes interact with each other, especially in the ambient medium. However, the wave pattern in any tube is not significantly affected by the presence of its neighbors. Furthermore, the slow magnetoacoustic waves above the \( \beta = 1 \) surface are confined close to the central regions of the tubes, where they steepen and produce enhanced heating. This heating appears to be dominantly caused by the wave motions generated at the footpoints and not by the penetration of acoustic waves from the ambient medium or by waves coming from neighboring tubes (we examine this aspect in greater detail in § 5). A companion video of the simulation corresponding to Figures 4a–4c is given. In addition to the temperature perturbation \( \Delta T \), we also display velocity vectors (arrows). The animation enables one to discern the separation of the modes in the strongly magnetized region (\( \beta \ll 1 \)). The transverse MHD wave can be easily identified in the central sections of the tubes. The first front of this wave crosses the \( \beta = 1 \) contour at about 70 s and is speeded up as it moves into the higher atmosphere, reaching the top boundary at about 105 s. On the other hand, the slow magnetoacoustic wave (for which the velocity is principally aligned with the magnetic field) reaches the top boundary at about 180 s. The final frame of the video at \( t = 174 \) s clearly shows the presence of localized shocklike features with strong temperature enhancements of about 3000 K and flows close to the sound speed. However, the temperature perturbations and flows in the ambient (weak field) medium are very small.

It is instructive to compare the velocity pattern for the configurations treated in the previous two cases in order to identify the nature of the waves in different regions of the atmosphere. We decompose the flow into components along the field (\( V_x \)) and normal to it (\( V_y \)). Positive (negative) values of \( V_x \) correspond to the velocity component parallel (antiparallel) to the \( x \)-axis when the field is vertical. Figures 5a and 5b show the field-aligned (\( V_x \)) and transverse (\( V_y \)) components of the velocity for a single tube at \( t = 120 \) s for the same parameters as before. We only consider regions with a field strength greater than 20 G (for weak fields, the decomposition into longitudinal and transverse components is not meaningful).
Fig. 6.— Close-up of the temperature perturbation $\Delta T$ and velocity field at time $t = 101$ s for (a) the central flux tube shown in Fig. 4 and (b) the single flux tube shown in Fig. 3. The black curves indicate field lines, and the white curves indicate contours of plasma $\beta$ (thick lines, $\beta = 1$; thin lines, $\beta = 10$).

Figures 5c and 5d show similar diagrams for the model with multiple tubes. In Figures 5a and 5c we see an antisymmetric (about the axis) velocity pattern in the strong field region ($\beta \ll 1$); this pattern can be identified with the longitudinal acoustic mode. On the other hand, in Figures 5b and 5d we see a symmetric pattern as a uniform halo, corresponding to the transverse MHD mode. There is a clear separation of the modes above the $\beta = 1$ level, where the fast mode speed increases rapidly with height. The associated increase in wavelength manifests itself as an increase in the spacing of the color contours. The shape of the contours is a consequence of the Alfvén speed being maximum on the axis (at a given height). Figures 6a and 6b give a close-up of the temperature perturbation $\Delta T$ and velocity pattern near the central region of the tube, corresponding to the multiple and single tube configurations, respectively. Both cases clearly show the change in the flow pattern close to the $\beta = 1$ level and the antisymmetric structure of the acoustic waves away from the tube axis.

We find that the wave patterns in the single and multiple tube cases are qualitatively similar. In both cases the acoustic and magnetic types of modes are present. Even though the initial perturbation at the base ($\varepsilon = 0$) is transverse, strong field-aligned motions develop particularly close to the central region of the tube, similar to the finding in Paper I for a single tube, which suggests that this is a general feature of the network.

5. RESULTS FOR WAVE GENERATION IN THE EXTERNAL MEDIUM

So far we have examined the excitation of waves in flux tubes due to transverse motions of their footpoints. We now investigate the situation when the source of waves is in the field-free medium, and we consider how the waves interact with the flux tube in order to assess how effectively they penetrate into flux tubes and heat the network. Let us consider a localized source that generates acoustic waves due to vertical periodic motions. The acoustic source is located at the lower boundary of the model ($\varepsilon = 0$) and has a Gaussian profile in $x$ with a $1/e$ width of 50 km. As before, we take the amplitude of the motions as 750 m s$^{-1}$ and the period as 24 s. As we see, the nature of wave excitation in the tube depends on the “angle of attack,” or the angle between the direction of the wave front and a field line. We treat two cases corresponding to distant and near acoustic sources on the lower boundary.

First consider an acoustic source at $x = 2.3$ Mm, which is 500 km away from the axis of the flux rope. Figures 7a–7c show the temperature perturbations at different epochs, corresponding to $t = 76, 101$, and 140 s, respectively. It is seen that the acoustic wave strikes the tube ($\beta \approx 1$ surface) almost normal to the field and generates a fast MHD wave in the tube; for a more thorough description of what happens at this interface, see the bottom panel in Figure 3 of Cally (2007). There is also a slow magnetoacoustic wave generated that essentially travels along the field lines. Figures 7b and 7c show the distortion of the wave front as the wave propagates into the low-$\beta$ region, where it is refracted upward (away from the tube axis). A more informative way to examine the nature of the waves is to plot the longitudinal and transverse velocity components, shown for the present case in Figures 8a and 8b at time $t = 140$ s (for $B > 20$ G). The field-aligned component $V_{\parallel}$, which essentially depicts the acoustic wave, does not penetrate significantly into the central regions of the tube, as we see from Figure 8a. On the other hand, the normal component $V_{\perp}$, associated with the fast MHD mode (where $\beta < 1$), dominates in the central regions of the tube. This wave is generated due to mode conversion when the acoustic wave strikes the $\beta = 1$ surface.

Now consider an acoustic source at $x = 1.9$ Mm, only 100 km from the flux tube axis. The vertical field $B_z(x, 0)$ at the base has a
nearly Gaussian profile with a \(1/e\) width of 65 km, and the acoustic source has a \(1/e\) width of 50 km, so there is significant overlap between the two profiles. Figures 7d–7f show the temperature perturbation for \(t = 76, 101,\) and \(140\) s, respectively. In contrast to the previous case, such a source excites waves that travel with almost no distortion by the flux tube, as can be seen by the fact that the wave front remains close to circular. From Figure 7e we find that the angle between the wavevector and the field lines is very small at the \(\beta = 1\) level. In this case, there is only a small amount of mode conversion into a transverse magnetic mode. In the magnetized region \((B > 20\) G), the waves are dominantly field-aligned, as can be seen in Figures 8c and 8d at time \(t = 140\) s, which show the field-aligned and normal components of the velocity. In the region with \(\beta < 1\) these waves are slow magnetoacoustic modes, and the fast mode has much less power.

6. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

Recent observations of the chromospheric network were discussed. We argued that Ca \(\text{ii}\) network grains represent plasma located at heights between about 0.5 and 1.5 Mm and are distinct from the spicule-like features observed at larger heights (see Fig. 2). The heating in network grains must occur in a sustained (as opposed to intermittent) manner. The physical processes involved in this heating are not well understood. Long-period waves are thought to be involved in driving spicules (e.g., De Pontieu et al. 2004), but we argued against long-period waves as the cause of the heating in Ca \(\text{ii}\) network grains. Instead, our hypothesis in this paper is that the heating in network grains is caused by weaker shocks that occur repetitively at short time intervals \((P < 100\) s) to maintain the enhanced temperature of the network grains. The weakness of the shocks is needed to explain the observed symmetrical shapes of the Ca \(\text{ii}\) \(\text{H}\) and \(\text{K}\) line profiles from network grains. The symmetry of the line profiles and relative constancy of the Ca \(\text{ii}\) emission may be explained if the shocks have small horizontal scales (a few hundred km) and multiple shocks are superposed along the line of sight. At present there is no observational evidence for such short-period waves in network elements.

We studied the propagation of short-period magnetoacoustic waves in magnetic elements using the 2-D MHD model presented in Paper I. The waves are launched as transverse kink waves at the base of the photospheric flux tubes. The present work complements Paper I in two important respects: (1) we consider an equilibrium model with lower magnetic field strength, so that the \(\beta = 1\) surface is located in the upper photosphere; and (2) we

**Fig. 7.**—Temperature perturbation, \(\Delta T\) (about the initial state), at (a) 76 s, (b) 101 s, and (c) 140 s in a single tube due to a localized vertical periodic motion at \(z = 0, x = 2300\) km (panels a–c) and \(x = 1900\) km (panels d–f) with an amplitude of 750 m s\(^{-1}\) and a period of 24 s. The black curves indicate the magnetic field lines, and the white curves indicate contours of constant \(\beta\), corresponding to \(\beta = 0.1, 0.3,\) and 1.0.
examine the interactions of waves from neighboring tubes. We have identified an efficient mechanism for the generation of longitudinal motions at the bases of tubes. These longitudinal motions in the chromosphere from purely transverse motions at the bases of tubes. These longitudinal motions are associated with slow magnetoacoustic waves that can contribute to a localized heating of the chromosphere.

The qualitative features of the results for a single flux tube are similar to those found in Paper I. Irrespective of whether the field at the base of the flux tube is strong or weak, the transverse waves at the base are converted at chromospheric heights into two sets of slow-mode shocks that are 180° out of phase with each other and propagate upward along the magnetic field lines on opposite sides of the flux tube axis. We propose that network grains are heated by dissipation of such shocks. This heating occurs mainly in the central part of the flux tube. We also considered an equilibrium configuration with multiple tubes and found that through the interference of waves emanating from neighboring tubes, there is some heating at the tube interfaces. However, the dominant heating occurs near the tube axes.

For the case of a single flux tube we found that the width of the shocked region at time $t = 153$ s is about 1200 km (see Fig. 3c), a significant fraction of the assumed width of the flux tube at large height. For the case with multiple flux tubes, the width of the shocked region is about 900 km, or three-fourths of the width of one flux tube (see Fig. 4c). Therefore, the spatial extent of the shocked region in our models is larger than the subarcsecond size of the Ca ii H network grains observed by the DOT (see Fig. 1c).

The former refers only to a nomenclature change, as a fast wave entering the magnetic medium remains fast throughout the “absorption” feature at line center. Therefore, the chromospheric contribution to the observed emission comes from a limited range of heights and may reflect only the lower part of the shocked region shown in Figures 3c and 4c. To determine whether the proposed models can explain the observed widths of network grains, more detailed modeling of the formation of the Ca ii H line is needed.

We also investigated the interaction of a flux tube with acoustic waves from the external medium. A careful investigation shows that their penetration into the tube depends on the angle between the wave front and field lines, or “angle of attack” (Carlsson & Bogdan 2006; Cally 2007 and references therein). Schunker & Cally (2006) and Cally (2007) examined the interaction between an acoustic wave and a magnetic field and found that at the level where the sound and Alfvén speeds are equal there is both mode transmission and mode conversion. The former refers only to a nomenclature change, as a fast wave in the ambient medium is transmitted as a slow wave in the flux tube. However, the transmitted wave continues to be acoustic in character. On the other hand, in the latter case, the wave entering the magnetic medium remains fast throughout and changes character from acoustic in the ambient medium to magnetic in the flux tube, which corresponds to a mode conversion. We examined this phenomenon by considering two limiting cases of distant and near acoustic sources. Our calculations clearly show that acoustic waves, generated in the ambient medium, do not significantly penetrate inside the flux tube unless the acoustic source is located in its immediate vicinity (i.e., within about one flux tube radius). Consequently, short-period waves from the external medium do not offer a promising mechanism for heating the magnetic network at heights below 1500 km.

Future observations with Hinode SOT should be able to establish whether short-period magnetoacoustic waves exist in...
flux elements at the heights where the Ca II H and K emission features are formed, and if so, whether they play a significant role in chromospheric heating. If such waves are not found, Alfvén waves may provide an alternative, but such waves may be difficult to detect in solar disk observations. Future modeling should consider the interactions of waves with magnetic flux tubes in three dimensions in order to allow for the coupling between all three MHD wave modes. The models should include a more realistic energy equation than the one considered here. Both p-mode waves and waves generated locally at the base of the flux tubes should be considered. Based on such models, Ca II H and K profiles should be simulated to determine whether the models can reproduce the observed symmetrical profiles from network grains.
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