Introduction

Persistent infection with human papillomavirus (HPV) has been clearly established as the necessary cause of cervical cancer. Among these types, HPV-16 and HPV-18 are the most common and responsible for approximately 70% of cervical cancers. HPV vaccines are now widely available and vaccination programs are being implemented. These vaccines must offer long-term protection as women remain at risk of HPV infection for as long as they are sexually active. Evidence from clinical
trials of the long-term efficacy against vaccine HPV types is of most importance, particularly with respect to maintaining confidence in mass vaccination programs. Extended follow-up studies of participants in these trials have provided evidence of sustained immune responses through to at least 100 mo (8.3 y) post initial vaccination.8,9

GlaxoSmithKline Vaccines (registered as GlaxoSmithKline Biologicals SA) has developed a prophylactic HPV vaccine based on L1 proteins of HPV-16 and HPV-18 formulated with AS04 (an adjuvant system comprised of 3-<sup>O</sup>-desacyl-4'-monophosphoryl lipid A [MPL, 50 µg] absorbed on aluminum hydroxide [Al(OH)<sub>3</sub>, 500 µg]): the HPV-16/18 AS04-adjuvanted vaccine (Cervarix®; GlaxoSmithKline) referred to in this paper as the ‘HPV-16/18 vaccine.’ The HPV-16/18 vaccine has been designed to provide long-term protection against HPV-16/18 cervical infection through generation of a sustained immune response.10-12

An extensive clinical trial program has shown that the HPV-16/18 vaccine is highly immunogenic,13-15 efficacious against HPV-16/18 infection and associated cyto-histopathological abnormalities,16-18 and generally well tolerated.19,20 Results of a large multinational phase III efficacy study (HPV-008; NCT00122681) in women aged 15–25 y demonstrated high and sustained protection against cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN) grade 2 and above (CIN2+), CIN3+, and persistent infection (6- and 12-mo definitions) associated with HPV-16/18.17,18 Significant vaccine efficacy (VE) against CIN2+ and CIN3+ irrespective of HPV DNA association in the lesion was shown, with a 93.2% reduction in CIN3+ independent of the HPV type in naïve women.18 In this same study, cross-protection against non-vaccine oncogenic types (including HPV-31, -33, -45, and -51) elicited by the HPV-16/18 vaccine was also demonstrated.6

An initial double-blind, randomized, multi-center vaccination study (HPV-001; NCT00689741; HPV-007: NCT00120848; HPV-023: NCT00518336. ATP = according-to-protocol. The ATP cohort for primary analysis of efficacy included all women for whom differential treatment effect on efficacy was likely (i.e., those meeting all eligibility criteria in HPV-001, HPV-007 and HPV-023), complying with the procedures defined in the respective study protocol, and for whom data concerning efficacy endpoint measures were available. The ATP immunogenicity cohort included all evaluable women (i.e., those meeting all eligibility criteria, complying with the procedures defined in the protocol, and fulfilling requirements for analysis) for whom data concerning immunogenicity were available. M0 = month 0 = time of randomization; M18 = month 18 (18 mo after the first dose of vaccine); M33 = month 33 (33 mo after the first dose); M76 = month 76 (76 mo after the first dose); M77 = month 77 (77 mo after the first dose); M113 = month 113 (113 mo after the first dose).
evaluate the long-term VE, persistence of immunogenicity and safety of the HPV-16/18 vaccine. This study provides long-term data that were not available at time of licensure, representing up to 113 mo (9.4 y) of follow-up post initial vaccination.

The primary objective of HPV-023 was to evaluate long-term VE against incident cervical infection with HPV-16 and/ or HPV-18 in young women who were previously uninfected with HPV-16 or HPV-18. Secondary objectives were to evaluate long-term VE against persistent infection (6- and 12-mo definitions), and cyto-histopathological abnormalities associated with HPV-16 and/or HPV-18; VE against incident and persistent infection, and cyto-histopathological abnormalities associated with non-vaccine oncogenic types. Other objectives included the evaluation of long-term vaccine immunogenicity and safety. Further and specific to this paper, mathematical modeling was developed in order to predict the long-term vaccine-induced HPV-16 and HPV-18 antibody titers up to 20 y post-vaccination.

*Cervarix® is a registered trademark of the GlaxoSmithKline group of companies.

Results

Of the 1113 women enrolled in HPV-001 (including 506 in Brazil), 776 continued into HPV-007 (448 in Brazil). Of the women from the Brazilian centers who were invited to participate in HPV-023, 437 agreed to continue, and 431 (98·6%) completed the study. A total of 399 women were included in the according-to-protocol (ATP) efficacy cohort and 304 in the ATP immunogenicity cohort. In summary, 85·2% of Brazilian women enrolled in HPV-001 completed HPV-023 (Fig. 1).

Demographic characteristics were similar between the ATP cohorts and the total vaccinated cohort (TVC), between both study groups in HPV-023, and between the Brazilian women enrolled in HPV-001 compared with those Brazilian women enrolled in HPV-023 (Table S1). Mean age at HPV-023 study entry was 26.5 y (standard deviation [SD]: 3.1), and mean age at entry into HPV-001 was 19.9 y (3·0) for the Brazilian women entering HPV-023. The study population of HPV-023 was racially diverse with 57.7% being Caucasian. The mean follow-up time since first vaccination in HPV-001 was 107 mo (8·9 y [SD: 0·4]), with a maximum duration of 113 mo (9·4 y).

### Table 1. Vaccine efficacy against infection (incident and persistent) and cyto-histopathological abnormalities associated with HPV-16/18

| Endpoint | Group                  | HPV-023 analysis | HPV-001/007/023 combined analysis* |
|----------|------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|
|          |                        | N    | T   | Vaccine efficacy, % (95% CI)    | N    | T   | Vaccine efficacy, % (95% CI)    |
| Incident infection* | Vaccine                | 177  | 0   | 518·53 100 (66·1 to 100)          | 193  | 3   | 1225·66 95·6 (86·2 to 99·1)     |
|          | Placebo                | 122  | 9   | 347·23 175 50 908·32             |
| Persistent infection (6-mo)* | Vaccine                | 179  | 0   | 524·36 100 (20·8 to 100)          | 193  | 0   | 1236·99 100 (84·1 to 100)       |
|          | Placebo                | 144  | 4   | 418·20 175 21 1021·98            |
| Persistent infection (12-mo)* | Vaccine                | 179  | 0   | 524·36 100 (3224·7 to 100)        | 193  | 0   | 1236·99 100 (61·4 to 100)       |
|          | Placebo                | 153  | 1   | 447·00 175 10 1069·10            |
| ≥ASC-US** | Vaccine                | 199  | 0   | 582·95 100 (-101·1 to 100)        | 224  | 1   | 1590·12 97·1 (82·5 to 99·9)     |
|          | Placebo                | 165  | 3   | 484·42 219 30 1391·73            |
| ≥LSIL**  | Vaccine                | 199  | 0   | 582·95 100 (-366·4 to 100)        | 224  | 1   | 1590·12 95·0 (68·0 to 99·9)     |
|          | Placebo                | 174  | 2   | 510·59 219 18 1443·89            |
| CIN1+**  | Vaccine                | 200  | 0   | 585·88 100 (-3478·1 to 100)       | 219  | 0   | 1489·75 100 (45·2 to 100)       |
|          | Placebo                | 183  | 1   | 537·53 212 8 1393·81             |
| CIN2+**  | Vaccine                | 200  | 0   | 585·88 100 (- to -)               | 219  | 0   | 1489·75 100 (-128·1 to 100)     |
|          | Placebo                | 185  | 0   | 543·88 212 3 1404·04             |

*Combined analysis of the initial study (HPV-001), first follow-up study (HPV-007) and current study (HPV-023) in the sub-population of women who were enrolled at Brazilian centers in the current study; *ATP efficacy cohort, women who met al. eligibility criteria, complied with study procedures in preceding and current studies and had data available for efficacy measures; **TVC-efficacy, women who were enrolled in the current study, had received all 3 doses of study vaccine or placebo in the initial study (as determined by the inclusion criteria of HPV-007) and for whom endpoint measures were available; †One subject reported a case of persistent infection (12-mo definition) associated with HPV-18 which was already taken into account for the HPV-16/18 analysis in HPV-001/007 and was therefore not counted twice in this study; Vaccine, HPV-16/18 vaccine study group; Placebo, placebo group; n, total number of women; n, number of women reporting ≥1 event; CI, confidence interval; ≥ASC-US, atypical squamous cells of undetermined significance or greater; ≥LSIL, low-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion or greater; CIN1+, cervical intraepithelial neoplasia grade 1 or greater; CIN2+, cervical intraepithelial neoplasia grade 2 or greater; T(year), sum of follow-up periods expressed in year censored at the first occurrence of event in each group.
Efficacy against incident and persistent infection

**Primary endpoint**

During the entire 36-mo period of HPV-023, no incident HPV-16/18 infection occurred in the vaccine group whereas 9 cases occurred in the placebo group, resulting in 100% VE (95% CI: 66.1 to 100). Sustained VE against HPV-16/18 incident infection was also observed in the combined analysis (Table 1 and Fig. 2; Fig. S1).
Table 2. Vaccine efficacy against infection (incident and persistent) and cyto-histopathological abnormalities associated with any oncogenic HPV type.

| Endpoint                        | Group     | HPV-023 analysis | *HPV-001/007/023 combined analysis |
|---------------------------------|-----------|------------------|-------------------------------------|
|                                 |           | N    | n | T (y) | Vaccine efficacy, % (95% CI) | N | n | T (y) | Vaccine efficacy, % (95% CI) |
| Incident infection*             | Vaccine   | 155  | 38 | 391-14 | 36-8 (1.5 to 59.8) | 179 | 91 | 913-32 | 23-4 (-3.0 to 43.0) |
|                                 | Placebo   | 135  | 49 | 318-62 |                      | 158 | 98 | 753-90 |                        |
| Persistent infection (6-mo)**   | Vaccine   | 163  | 20 | 438-44 | 30-9 (-29.7 to 63.6) | 179 | 55 | 1013-46 | 21.2 (-15.5 to 46.4) |
|                                 | Placebo   | 143  | 25 | 378-92 |                      | 158 | 60 | 870-95 |                        |
| Persistent infection (12-mo)**  | Vaccine   | 164  | 13 | 453-63 | -15-4 (-193-9 to 53-3) | 179 | 36 | 1047-26 | 12.9 (-42.3 to 46.7) |
|                                 | Placebo   | 143  | 10 | 402-61 |                      | 158 | 36 | 912-17 |                        |
| ≥ASC-US**                       | Vaccine   | 197  | 15 | 561-64 | 44-8 (-8-9 to 72-9)  | 224 | 57 | 1497-60 | 32-9 (4-4 to 53-2)    |
|                                 | Placebo   | 184  | 25 | 516-86 |                      | 219 | 78 | 1374-21 |                        |
| ≥LSIL**                         | Vaccine   | 198  | 12 | 569-42 | 41-3 (-27-4 to 74-0) | 224 | 35 | 1539-23 | 44-2 (13-6 to 64-4)  |
|                                 | Placebo   | 187  | 19 | 529-37 |                      | 219 | 58 | 1424-56 |                        |
| CIN1+**                         | Vaccine   | 200  | 3  | 583-27 | 29-0 (-319-5 to 89-6) | 219 | 9  | 1477-73 | 59-4 (7-5 to 83-6)  |
|                                 | Placebo   | 188  | 4  | 551-87 |                      | 218 | 21 | 1400-35 |                        |
| CIN2+**                         | Vaccine   | 200  | 0  | 585-88 | 100 (-401-9 to 100) | 219 | 5  | 1481-75 | 52-6 (-52-3 to 87-3) |
|                                 | Placebo   | 188  | 2  | 552-27 |                      | 212 | 10 | 1405-70 |                        |

*Combined analysis of the initial study (HPV-001), first follow-up study (HPV-007) and current study (HPV-023) in the sub-population of women who were enrolled at Brazilian centers in the current study; **Oncogenic types HPV-16, 18, 31, 33, 35, 39, 45, 51, 52, 56, 58, 59, 66, and 68 combined; *TP efficacy cohort = women who met eligibility criteria, complied with study procedures in preceding and current studies and had data available for efficacy measures; **TV-c efficacy = women who were enrolled in the current study, had received all 3 doses of study vaccine or placebo in the initial study (as determined by the inclusion criteria of HPV-007) and for whom endpoint measures were available; Vaccine = HPV-16/18 vaccine study group; Placebo = placebo group; n = total number of women; n = number of women reporting ≥1 event; CI = confidence interval; ≥ASC-US = atypical squamous cells of undetermined significance or greater; ≥LSIL = low-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion or greater; CIN1+ = cervical intraepithelial neoplasia grade 1 or greater; CIN2+ = cervical intraepithelial neoplasia grade 2 or greater; T(year) = sum of follow-up periods expressed in year censored at the first occurrence of event in each group.

Secondary endpoints
There were no cases of either 6- or 12-mo HPV-16/18 persistent infection in the vaccine group vs. 4 cases and 1 case, respectively, in the placebo group during the 36-mo follow-up. In the combined analysis, sustained VE was observed for both 6-mo (Fig. 2; Fig. S1) and 12-mo definitions of persistent infection with HPV-16/18 (Table 1).

VE against incident or persistent infection (6- and 12-mo definitions) associated with ‘any oncogenic’ HPV type could not be demonstrated during the 36-mo follow-up of HPV-023 or over the 113 mo of follow-up (Table 2).

In the combined analysis, VE was observed only for HPV-45 incident infection with 6 cases in the vaccine group and 18 cases in the placebo group (70.8% [23.2 to 90.5]). VE against HPV-31, HPV-33, and HPV-51 incident infection did not reach statistical significance, calculated at 40.4% (-27.2 to 72.9), 34.8% (-51.9 to 72.9), and 6.7% (-41.9 to 38.6), respectively.

Results from the TVC were consistent with the results obtained from the ATP cohort.

Efficacy against cyto-histopathological abnormalities
VE against atypical squamous cells of undetermined significance [ASC-US] or worse (≥ASC-US), ≥ low-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion (LSIL), CIN1+, or CIN2+ associated with HPV-16/18 could not be demonstrated in HPV-023. In the combined analysis, high and sustained VE was observed for ≥ASC-US, ≥LSIL, and CIN1+ associated with HPV-16/18 (Table 1).

VE against ≥ASC-US, ≥LSIL, CIN1+, or CIN2+ associated with ‘any oncogenic’ HPV type was not demonstrated in HPV-023. In the combined analysis, VE was observed for ≥ASC-US, ≥LSIL, and CIN1+ associated with ‘any oncogenic’ HPV type (Table 2).

Sustained VE (combined analysis) was observed for ≥ASC-US associated with HPV-52 (45.4% [2.0 to 70.3]), and ≥LSIL associated with HPV-66 (71.9% [9.1 to 93.3]).

An exploratory analysis performed on cytopathological endpoints irrespective of HPV DNA association showed (combined analysis) an overall benefit of vaccination against ≥ASC-US (26.8% [0.3 to 46.5]) and ≥LSIL (32.8% [0.7 to 54.8]). There was no such benefit demonstrated for the histopathological endpoints.

Immunogenicity
All women in the vaccine group remained seropositive to both HPV-16 and HPV-18 up to 113 mo post-vaccination. High and sustained levels of IgG antibodies were observed, reaching a plateau approximately 18 mo after the first vaccine dose and...
Figure 3 (see next page).
remaining stable thereafter (Fig. 3). Compared with levels following natural infection, IgG levels in the vaccine group were 10.8-fold and 10.0-fold higher for HPV-16 and HPV-18, respectively.

In a subset of women from the vaccine group (n = 55), 100% were positive for neutralising antibodies against both HPV-16 and HPV-18, up to 113 mo post-vaccination, with the neutralising antibody kinetic patterns similar to those of the total IgG antibodies. Neutralising antibodies in the vaccine group were 7.7-fold and 4.0-fold higher for HPV-16 and HPV-18, respectively, compared with levels elicited by natural infection (Fig. 4).

Predicted long-term persistence of antibody responses

Using the data of up to 113 mo of follow-up, both the piece-wise and modified power-law models predicted geometric mean titers (GMTs) remain well above natural infection levels when the data are extrapolated to the 20-y time-point (Figs. 5 and 6 and Table 3). The piece-wise model, which takes into account a decrease of antibody levels over time, predicts antibody GMTs to remain above natural infection levels for the duration of 32.3 y and 20.5 y for anti-HPV-16 and anti-HPV-18 antibodies, respectively (Table 3). Unlike with the modified power-law model, the GMTs predicted by the piece-wise model tend to increase with an increasing duration of follow-up and increased amount of measured data narrowing the gap between both piece-wise and modified power-law modeling predictions.

Safety

The occurrences of medically significant adverse events (AEs) (listing those AEs with more than 1 case report in either the vaccine or placebo arm), serious adverse events (SAEs) (listing those AEs with more than 1 case report in either the vaccine or placebo arm), new onset chronic diseases (NOCD), and new onset autoimmune diseases (NOAD) are described in Table 4. The most common medically significant AEs were reported in the vaccine group, with 5 (2.2%) cases each for gastritis, incomplete spontaneous abortion, depression, and hypertension, respectively, out of a total 224 women. The most common medically significant AE reported in the placebo group was genital herpes (3 [1.4%] cases). None of the women in the vaccine group reported genital herpes. There were no withdrawals due to AEs, and no AE was considered to be possibly related to the study vaccine or placebo.

Nine women reported at least one NOCD during HPV-023, 6 in the vaccine group (Table 4). Of the 6 events in the vaccine group, 4 were identified as a NOAD (hypothyroidism, rheumatoid arthritis, and vitiligo).

A total of 103 pregnancies were reported by 94 women during the 36-mo follow-up period of HPV-023 (Table 5). Eight women in the vaccine group reported a spontaneous abortion compared with just 4 women in the placebo group during HPV-023.

Principal findings

No breakthrough cases of infection or cyto-histopathological abnormalities associated with HPV-16/18 occurred in the vaccine group over the 36-mo period of HPV-023. This was associated with high and sustained levels of IgG (measured by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay [ELISA]) and neutralising antibodies (measured by pseudovirion-based neutralisation assay [PBNA]) against HPV-16 and HPV-18, with vaccine-induced GMTs being well above titers associated with clearance of natural infection in other studies.16,24 Neutralising antibodies against vaccine types are likely to be a major basis of protection against HPV infection, and the correlation between the antibodies measured by ELISA and PBNA has been previously demonstrated.25 Further, there was some indication in the results that the vaccine offered protection against other oncogenic types beyond HPV-16/18. However, few cases of incident or persistent infection or cyto-histopathological endpoints associated with HPV-31 and HPV-45, the 2 types most closely related to HPV-16 and HPV-18, were observed.1 Finally, the safety profile was also clinically acceptable. All reported SAEs and pregnancy outcomes in this study were considered as unrelated to the vaccine.

These data add an additional 36 mo to those already collected from extended follow-up studies of the HPV-16/18 vaccine in a sub-set of participants assessed at 48 (4.5 y) and 77 (6.4 y) mo post initial vaccination.22,23 This now provides a total of 113 mo (9.4 y) of follow-up evaluating the durability of the immune response elicited by the primary vaccination.

In addition, the predicted duration of anti-HPV-16 and HPV-18 antibody responses following vaccination were explored by mathematical modeling. Factors that can influence long-term immunity include the peak level of antibody response 1 mo after the last vaccine dose, rates of B-cell decay and proliferation, B-cell immunologic memory, cell-mediated immunity, and individual variability.26,27 Based on data from the initial and follow-up studies, the results of the modeling predict that anti-HPV-16 and anti-HPV-18 antibody levels will decrease but will remain several folds higher than those associated with natural infection for at least 20 y post-vaccination. These results provide circumstantial evidence that, should a booster be needed, this need will not occur before a substantial amount of time has elapsed after vaccination, which is consistent with previous modeling results.26 Note the study population in HPV-023 is different to that in David26 with respect to size and geographic origin; as the
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The limitations of mathematical evaluations have been discussed. While the clinical relevance of long-term antibody persistence is being investigated, modeling of predicted GMTs is informative for clinicians and policy makers until these long-term observational data are available.

**Strengths of study**

To ensure consistency in the collection of efficacy, immunogenicity and safety data, the study design of HPV-023 closely followed the design of HPV-001 and HPV-007. Treatment allocation remained double-blinded throughout all studies. Further, we considered all endpoints, irrespective of causal HPV type. Histological diagnoses were determined by a panel of expert gynecological pathologists who were unaware of the women’s treatment or history of cervical disease. Women who reached an endpoint (virological, cyto-histopathological) for a specific HPV type in a previous analysis of the preceding studies were censored from the analyses related to the same endpoint in HPV-023. As a result of the high VE, this occurred more often for women in the placebo group than in the vaccine group. Importantly, the combined analysis presented here was not affected by censoring, and therefore provides valuable information. Finally, this study represents the longest follow-up of a clinical trial evaluating the efficacy, immunogenicity and safety of a licensed HPV vaccine to date, gathering data over 113 mo post-initial vaccination.

**Comparison with other studies**

These data are very much in line with previous follow-up studies of the HPV-16/18 vaccine, which indicate that the vaccine provides long-term immunogenicity and prevents HPV-16/18 infection and associated disease in vaccinated women. Another study has shown that the HPV-16/18 vaccine generates an anamnestic response (renewed rapid production of an antibody on a subsequent encounter with the same antigen), in women from a similar cohort who were seropositive, after a fourth dose of vaccine.

A larger sample size, than that recruited in this study, is needed for a full assessment of type-specific cross-protection, however other large studies of the HPV-16/18 vaccine have shown that it offers substantial cross-protection against cervical infection and cyto-histopathological endpoints associated with various combinations of non-vaccine oncogenic types, including HPV-31, HPV-33, HPV-45, and HPV-51 individually. Regarding the assessment of safety, pooled safety analyses of HPV-16/18 vaccine have shown this vaccine to be generally well tolerated in women of all ages.

**Limitations of study**

This study presents results showing significant VE in the cumulative analysis across HPV-001/007/023 and confirms a high rate of VE against HPV-16/18 infection. It did not have power to show efficacy against more stringent outcomes (persistent infection, cytology and histology including CIN2+) during the immediate study (HPV-023) period due to the small number of events in the control arm. While the clear explanation for this is the small sample size, limited length of follow-up (36 mo) and with this, a limited number of events, this could also relate to the aging of the study population given that HPV infection is of higher incidence right after sexual debut and declines thereafter.

Therefore we undertook a combined analysis, as it provided a global overview of the vaccine protection up to 113 mo post-vaccination with high VE for all HPV-16/18 virological and most HPV-16/18 associated cyto-histopathological endpoints. Even for this combined analysis, the limited power also hampered any conclusions to be drawn on the long-term durability of protection elicited by the vaccine against non-vaccine types. As another limitation, no randomization occurred at the start of the follow-up study HPV-023. This might have an influence on the HPV-023 study analysis, as opposed to the combined analysis over the entire follow-up period up to 113 mo post-vaccination.

The safety data may suggest a non-significant signal toward more AEs occurring in the vaccine group, although there is no clinical pattern of increased incidence of (medically significant) AEs due to the vaccine since most reported events were only single events. However, again, as the power is low, these results may also be consistent with chance. A pooled analysis of safety data, including 57,580 subjects and 96,704 doses of HPV-16/18 vaccine, collected from 42 completed and ongoing clinical studies, showed that the incidences and distribution of AEs were similar across the HPV-16/18 vaccinees and controls, with no new safety signals being identified.

Further, HPV-023 was not powered to assess pregnancy outcomes. However we present a descriptive table that summarizes the findings from the 36-mo follow-up, in women vaccinated 9 y earlier. The results do call to attention the occurrence of twice as many spontaneous abortions in the HPV-16/18 vaccine arm compared with the control arm. This again could be a result of chance. A large pooled analysis of miscarriage outcomes in 3599 pregnant women vaccinated with HPV-16/18 vaccine or placebo from 2 multicenter phase III randomized trials, conducted by the National Cancer Institute, concluded that there was no overall effect of the HPV-16/18 vaccine on the risk of miscarriage (estimated risk of miscarriage being 11.5% and 10.2% in the HPV-16/18 group and control group, respectively). Pregnancy outcomes monitored in post-marketing settings in women who inadvertently received the HPV-16/18 vaccine during pregnancy are in line with published reports for similar populations.
Conclusion

No breakthrough cases of HPV-16/18 infection or related cervical lesions occurred in the vaccinated cohort over the 36-mo study period. The HPV-16/18 AS04-adjuvanted vaccine also continued to provide high and sustained levels of IgG and neutralising antibodies against HPV-16 and HPV-18, with antibody titers remaining several folds above natural infection levels, up to 113 mo post-vaccination. This study represents the longest follow-up in a clinical trial setting of a licensed vaccine containing the 2 most frequently observed oncogenic types, HPV-16 and HPV-18, confirming the previous estimations by mathematical models. These results should provide confidence in the duration of protection offered by HPV mass vaccination programs existing in a number of countries around the world.

Patients and Methods

Study design and participants

In 2001, healthy women aged 15–25 y were recruited from North America (USA, Canada) and Brazil into an initial double-blind, randomised, multi-center vaccination study (HPV-001; NCT00689741). Eligible study participants were HPV-16 and HPV-18 seronegative by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), HPV DNA-negative in the cervix by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) for 14 oncogenic types (HPV-16,-18,-31,-33,-35,-39,-45,-51,-52,-56,-58,-59,-66,-68), and had normal cervical cytology at baseline. Women were randomised (1:1) to receive 3 doses of either the HPV-16/18 vaccine or placebo (Al(OH)₃) at 0, 1, and 6 mo as previously described. Women who had received all 3 doses of vaccine or placebo and whose treatment allocation had
remained blinded were invited to take part in HPV-007 (NCT00120848). Due to the high retention rate of subjects in the HPV-007 Brazilian cohort, women from 5 hospital-based Brazilian centers who had received all 3 doses of HPV-16/18 vaccine or placebo (Al[OH]₃) at 0, 1, and 6 mo as previously described, and whose treatment allocation had remained blinded in both HPV-001 and HPV-007, were invited to take part in this long-term follow-up study, HPV-023. HPV-023 started in November 2007 and lasted for 3 y. Results of the initial and follow-up studies have been previously reported.

**Intervention**

No vaccine or placebo was administered in HPV-023. To ensure consistency in the collection of efficacy and safety data, the study design of HPV-023 closely followed the design of HPV-001 and HPV-007. Detailed methodologies of the initial and follow-up studies, including interim analyses of HPV-023, have been reported previously. Treatment allocation remained double-blinded throughout all studies.

Similar to HPV-001 and HPV-007, women continued to receive gynecological care according to Brazilian standards in HPV-023.

Women who received the placebo in HPV-001 and who have remained blinded throughout the studies have been offered a crossover vaccination course (0, 1, and 6 mo) with the HPV-16/18 vaccine at the completion of HPV-023. At the time of HPV-023 conduct, HPV vaccination was not routinely offered to women in the Brazilian Health System.
Antigen | Natural infection levels (EL.U/mL) | Predicted GMT (EL.U/mL) | Predicted time* (y) | Predicted GMT (EL.U/mL) | Predicted time* (y) |
--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| | | Piece-wise model | Modified power-law model | | |
| HPV-16 | 29.8 | 177.9 | 24.7 | 319.7 | Always |
| HPV-18 | 22.6 | 88.8 | 18.2 | 219.3 | Always |
| HPV-16 | 29.8 | 213.0 | 29.3 | 312.8 | Always |
| HPV-18 | 22.6 | 92.4 | 18.9 | 207.7 | Always |
| HPV-16 | 29.8 | 235.2 | 32.3 | 305.2 | Always |
| HPV-18 | 22.6 | 106.2 | 20.5 | 247.1 | Always |

*Predicted time ensuring that 95% of women will still have levels above natural infection levels. EL.U/mL, ELISA units/mL; ‘Natural infection IgG; GMTs correspond to the geometric mean titer of ‘cleared’ natural infection (i.e., subjects DNA-negative and seropositive at the time of enrolment), obtained from the Phase III study (HPV-008, NCT00122681), as benchmarks.16

The follow-up evaluations performed in HPV-023 were conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and the International Conference on Harmonisation Good Clinical Practice Guidelines. The protocol and other materials were approved by the Independent Ethics Committee or Institutional Review Board of each study center and the National Committee of Ethics and Research. Written informed consent was obtained from all women before any study procedure was performed.

**Main outcomes measures**

**Virology and cyto-histopathology**

Gynaecological examinations were performed, cervical swabs were collected every 6 mo for HPV-DNA typing, and cytology specimens collected every 12 mo (or at 6-mo intervals, if driven by clinical management algorithm). Methods were described previously.21,22

A broad spectrum PCR-system (SPF10-DEIA-LiPA25) was used to test cervical samples and biopsy material for 25 HPV types including the well-defined 14 oncogenic types.33 SPF10 was used to test cervical samples and biopsy material for 25 HPV types including the well-defined 14 oncogenic types.33 SPF10-DEIA-positive specimens were tested by line probe assay and type-specific HPV-16 and HPV-18 PCR-DEIA as previously described.21,22

VE was calculated against the following clinical endpoints associated with oncogenic HPV types: incident infection, 6-mo and 12-mo persistent infection, cytological abnormalities (≥ASC-US), and histopathological lesions (CIN1+ and CIN2+). Definitions of these endpoints were described previously.5,31

**Immunogenicity**

Blood samples were collected at months 0, 7, 12, and 18 during HPV-001 and on a yearly basis during the follow-up studies (HPV-007 and HPV-023). As women were enrolled into the follow-up studies independently of the date of first vaccination, results from these studies are presented according to 6-mo intervals relative to the time of the first vaccination for each woman. Antibody titers to HPV-16 and HPV-18 (total IgG) were measured by ELISA in all women as described previously.21,22 Neutralising antibody titers to HPV-16 and HPV-18 were assessed using the PBNA in a subset of women.24,34

Results are presented along with the GMTs of ‘cleared’ natural infection (i.e., women DNA-negative and seropositive at enrolment) obtained from Phase III studies HPV-008 and HPV-010 (NCT00423046) as benchmarks.16,24 The ratios between GMTs and natural infection levels were calculated for both HPV-16 and HPV-18 antibodies when measured either by ELISA or PBNA. For each calculation, the time-point considered was the timepoint with the lowest GMT value between the timepoints M101–106 and M107–113.

**Safety**

SAEs, medically significant AEs (i.e., AEs or SAEs prompting emergency room or physician visits that were not related to common diseases) and NOCDs (e.g., NOADs, asthma, type I diabetes) were recorded. Pregnancies and their outcomes were also recorded.

**Statistical methods**

Two interim analyses were performed after 1 and 2 y of HPV-023 follow-up.8,31 The overall α value for all analyses was 0-05 (two-sided test). Alpha values were adjusted for the 2 interim analyses: for the first and second interim analyses α = 0-001 (two-sided), and for the final analysis, α = 0-049 (two-sided). Based on an estimated 6% cervical infection rate, minimum 80% VE, and a 10% discontinuation rate per year for women enrolled in the trial, the power at the end of the trial was estimated at 83%. Combined analyses (HPV-001/007/023) were descriptive.

VE was calculated against each of the clinical endpoints associated with HPV-16/18 and also considered all oncogenic HPV types, for the time period of HPV-023. The conditional exact method was used to estimate VE and exact 95% confidence intervals (CIs) around the rate ratio (ratio of the event rates in the vaccine group vs. placebo group). The calculation took into account the follow-up time of the women within each group (T(year)). VE was defined as one minus the rate ratio.

Primary analyses of efficacy were performed on the ATP efficacy cohort for virological endpoints (incident and persistent infection). Because of more limited number of events, primary efficacy analyses related to cyto-histopathological endpoints (≥ASC-US, ≥LSIL, CIN1+, and CIN2+) was performed on the TVC with efficacy results available (TVC-efficacy). The analyses of immunogenicity were performed on the ATP immunogenicity cohort. The primary safety analysis and the mathematical modeling analysis were performed on the TVC. The ATP immunogenicity and efficacy cohorts included women who met all eligibility criteria, complied with study procedures in the current and preceding studies, and had data available for at least one vaccine antibody blood sample (ATP immunogenicity cohort) or data available for the efficacy measure considered (ATP efficacy cohort). The TVC included women who were enrolled in the
current study, had received at least one dose of study vaccine or placebo in the initial study and for whom end-point measures were available. All women enrolled in the initial study were randomized and received at least one dose of vaccine or placebo in accordance with the randomization schedule.21

Women were not included in immunogenicity assessments if HPV infection was detected for the type under consideration during the study periods in order to exclude any influence of a natural infection on the immune response. Women were censored from efficacy assessments once a specific endpoint was met in the current or preceding studies.

Incidence rates were compared between groups using Fisher exact test. The null hypothesis was that the expected incidence rate during the considered period was similar in both groups.

In addition, a descriptive combined analysis was performed (for each virological and cyto-histopathological endpoint, VE estimates were calculated and 95% CI provided) for the total follow-up period, up to 113 mo post-vaccination. Time-to-event curves for HPV-16/18 incident infection and HPV-16/18 6-mo persistent infection, which include VE data from HPV-001/007/023, were generated for the ATP efficacy cohort and the TVC.

Furthermore, an exploratory analysis was performed for each cyto-histopathological endpoint, irrespective of HPV DNA association for the total follow-up period, up to 113 mo post-vaccination.

Safety data are presented for all 3 y of HPV-023 with data collected from end of HPV-007 up to the final visit (month 36) in HPV-023.

### Table 4. Number and percentage of women reporting medically significant adverse events, serious adverse events, new onset chronic diseases (NOCD) and new onset autoimmune diseases (NOAD) between 77 mo and up to 113 mo post initial vaccination (36-mo follow-up; TVC)

| Event                                      | Vaccine (n = 224) | Placebo (n = 213) |
|---------------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| **Medically significant adverse events**   |                  |                   |
| Gastritis                                   | 5 (2·2 (0·7 to 5·1)) | 2 (0·9 (0·1 to 3·4)) |
| Incomplete spontaneous abortion             | 5 (2·2 (0·7 to 5·1)) | 1 (0·5 (0·0 to 2·6)) |
| Depression                                   | 5 (2·2 (0·7 to 5·1)) | 1 (0·5 (0·0 to 2·6)) |
| Hypertension                                 | 5 (2·2 (0·7 to 5·1)) | 2 (0·9 (0·1 to 3·4)) |
| Genital herpes                               | 0 (0·0 (0·0 to 1·6)) | 3 (1·4 (0·3 to 4·1)) |
| Nephrolithiases                              | 0·3 (0·3 to 3·9) | 0 (0·0 (0·0 to 1·7)) |
| Anaemia                                      | 0·4 (0·0 to 2·5) | 2 (0·9 (0·1 to 3·4)) |
| Hypothyroidy                                 | 0·9 (0·1 to 3·2) | 1 (0·5 (0·0 to 2·6)) |
| Cervical chlamydia infection                 | 0·4 (0·0 to 2·5) | 2 (0·9 (0·1 to 3·4)) |
| Bursitis                                     | 0·9 (0·1 to 3·2) | 0 (0·0 (0·0 to 1·7)) |
| Missed abortion                              | 0·9 (0·1 to 3·2) | 2 (0·9 (0·1 to 3·4)) |
| Panic disorder                               | 0·9 (0·1 to 3·2) | 1 (0·5 (0·0 to 2·6)) |
| Ovarian cyst                                 | 0·9 (0·1 to 3·2) | 0 (0·0 (0·0 to 1·7)) |
| Ovarian disorder                             | 0·9 (0·1 to 3·2) | 0 (0·0 (0·0 to 1·7)) |
| **Serious adverse events**                  | 20 (8·9 (5·5 to 13·5)) | 11 (5·2 (2·6 to 9·1)) |
| Incomplete spontaneous abortion              | 5 (2·2 (0·7 to 5·1)) | 1 (0·5 (0·0 to 2·6)) |
| Missed abortion                              | 0·9 (0·1 to 3·2) | 2 (0·9 (0·1 to 3·4)) |
| Appendicitis                                 | 0·9 (0·1 to 3·2) | 0 (0·0 (0·0 to 1·7)) |
| **NOCD**                                     | 6 (2·7 (1·0 to 5·7)) | 3 (1·4 (0·3 to 4·1)) |
| Hypothyroidy                                 | 0·9 (0·1 to 3·2) | 1 (0·5 (0·0 to 2·6)) |
| Drug hypersensitivity                        | 0·4 (0·0 to 2·5) | 0 (0·0 (0·0 to 1·7)) |
| Type 2 diabetes mellitus                     | 0·4 (0·0 to 2·5) | 0 (0·0 (0·0 to 1·7)) |
| Rheumatoid arthritis                         | 0·4 (0·0 to 2·5) | 0 (0·0 (0·0 to 1·7)) |
| Allergic rhinitis                            | 0·0 (0·0 to 1·6) | 1 (0·5 (0·0 to 2·6)) |
| Allergic dermatitis                          | 0·0 (0·0 to 1·6) | 1 (0·5 (0·0 to 2·6)) |
| Skin reaction                                | 0·4 (0·0 to 2·5) | 0 (0·0 (0·0 to 1·7)) |
| Vitiligo                                     | 0·4 (0·0 to 2·5) | 0 (0·0 (0·0 to 1·7)) |
| Hypertension                                 | 0·4 (0·0 to 2·5) | 0 (0·0 (0·0 to 1·7)) |
| **NOAD**                                     | 4 (1·8 (0·5 to 4·5)) | 1 (0·5 (0·0 to 2·6)) |
| Hypothyroidy                                 | 0·9 (0·1 to 3·2) | 1 (0·5 (0·0 to 2·6)) |
| Rheumatoid arthritis                         | 0·4 (0·0 to 2·5) | 0 (0·0 (0·0 to 1·7)) |
| Vitiligo                                     | 0·4 (0·0 to 2·5) | 0 (0·0 (0·0 to 1·7)) |

Total vaccinated cohort (TVC) included all subjects who came to the first visit and received at least one dose of vaccine or placebo; Vaccine = HPV-16/18 vaccine study group; Placebo = placebo group; n = number of subjects; n (%) = number (percentage) of subjects reporting at least one symptom; CI = confidence interval; NOCD (GlaxoSmithKline assessment) = New onset chronic disease; NOAD = New onset autoimmune disease; *listing those events with more than 1 case report in either the vaccine or placebo arm.
Mathematical modeling

To assess the persistence of HPV-16 and HPV-18 vaccine-induced antibody responses, the individual antibody levels of each woman at each timepoint in HPV-023 (i.e., up to 7.3 y [89 mol], up to 8.4 y [101 mo] and up to 9.4 y [113 mo]), were retrospectively fitted into 2 different statistical mixed effects models, the modified power-law and piece-wise models, separately for both total anti-HPV-16 and anti-HPV-18 antibodies as previously described.26,27 The modified power-law model aims to describe and predict antibody titers (in logarithm) over time as a logarithmic function based on parameters such as the biological dynamic of B-cell turnover and the proportion of antibodies produced by memory B-cells. The piece-wise model describes and predicts the antibody titers (in logarithm) over time according to 3 linear functions and 3 breaking time points (month 7–month 12, month 12–month 21, and month 21 onwards), and is also based on parameters reflecting the dynamic of B-cell turnover.26
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