The nineteenth century is an important period in the history of Byelorussian versification for it saw a number of major evolutionary changes: from the syllabic verse which, partly under Czech and Polish influence, had flourished in the late mediaeval period, to syllabo-tonic patterns and finally, in Bahusevic and his contemporaries, the tonic verse which was to find its ultimate justification as the foundation for Bahdanovic’s vierš bietaruskaha składu. The study of Byelorussian versification is still in its infancy and has hitherto tended to suffer from an imprecise approach based on impression rather than detailed factual analysis. This is particularly true of the 19th and early 20th centuries where the strong influence of folk poetics on many works make it difficult to apply normal criteria or use conventional descriptive terminology without a considerable element of artificiality.

The present study aims to use statistical and other factual material to make this complex and elusive subject less ambiguous. Table 1 shows, for convenience, the 19th-century writers and poetical texts in approximately chronological order, together with abbreviations where these are used in the statistical tables. Table 2 lists in alphabetical order the poems (with abbreviations) for which dates are unknown and difficult to surmise from internal or external evidence. Asterisked writers and texts in Tables 1-2 are those which are not subsequently mentioned in this study: all belong to the group of 29 poems with no regular or classifiable metre.

1. Two recent studies, however, show signs of deeper research and scholarship: I. D. Ralko, Bietarusi vierš. Staronki historyi i teoryi, Minsk, 1989, and M. M. Hrynczyk, Stachi bietaruskaha vieršaskładańia, Minsk, 1973. Both are reviewed in The Journal of Byelorussian Studies, II, 2, p. 241, and the present issue, respectively.

2. For more detailed information regarding dates of composition and publication the reader is referred to the bibliography in the present author’s ‘A Conspectus and Bibliography of Byelorussian Literature in the Nineteenth Century’, The Journal of Byelorussian Studies, II, 3, London, 1971, pp. 271-88, upon which this study is based.

3. See below.
TABLE 1
POETS AND ANONYMOUS POEMS IN APPROXIMATELY
CHRONOLOGICAL ORDER

| Barščeŭski (Bar)    | Hutarka Danìty sa Šciapanam (HDsaS) |
|---------------------|------------------------------------|
| Ėnieida navyparat (En) | Hutarka dwuch susiedâu (HDS) |
| Bahrym (Bahr)        | Hutarka staraha dzieda (HSD) |
| Vinšavańnie Savaścieja* | Pieśń na boży čas* |
| Pôzdraviťńnoje stichotvorenije so ďnem angela (PS) | Savič (Sav) |
| Taras na Parnasie (TP) | Savič-Zablocki (S-Z) |
| Lehatovič (Leh)      | Pratasievič (Pr) |
| Rypinski (Ryp)       | Hutarka ŭ karčmie (HüK) |
| Čačot (Cač)          | Horevid (Hor) |
| Arcimoilski (Arc)    | Jelski (Jel) |
| Dunin-Marcinkleič (D-M) | Mihanovič (Mih) |
| Viaryha-Dareišski (V-Dar) | Bahuševič (Bahu) |
| Syrakomla (Syr)      | Hurynovič (Hur) |
| Karatkleič (MK)      | Łučyna (Łuč) |
| V. Karatynski (VK)   | Tatčeič (Tap) |
| Jakub T-k (T-ki)     | Šunkievič (Šun) |
| Vul (Vul)            | Tamaševič (Tam) |
| Pčycki (Pčy)         | Viten-Dubiejaŭski (V-Dub) |
| Blus (Blu)           | Staŭbun (Stau) |
| Schođ*               | Abuchovič (Abu) |

TABLE 2
UNDATED POEMS

| Chimka z Hankaju* | Pad dudu (Pd) |
|-------------------|---------------|
| Dudar*            | Panskaja taska (PŁ) |
| Hej, kab nam być viesialej (HKN) | Praćystaja siarod nočy (PSN) |
| Hutarka Kuźmy z Apanasam (HKA) | Razmova pana z chlopam* |
| Hutarka Paüluka (HP) | Stary Vosip baradaty (SVB) |
| B. Karatynski, Chto našaj mužyckaj movaj (BK) | Tatur, Pradki (Tat) |
| K-kko, Mahilki (K-kko) | Teatr* |
| Maladziška hutarka (MH) | Viasna hola pierapała (VHP) |
| N. F., Nočču ŭ siale (N. F.) | Voš ciapier jaki lud staŭ (VCJ) |
| Nowějsije stichy (NS) | Voš vidzicie vy, dzieci* |
| Oj, viaśnica, viaśna* | Ziamielka maja (Zm) |

Stanzas are perhaps the least studied aspect of Byelorussian versification, although in the opinion of some commentators they 'demonstrate the general level of a country's poetic culture'. However, of the 223 poems (totalling 21,042 lines) which comprise 19th-century Byelorussian poetry only 99 (44.4%o) have any kind of regular stanza. Of these, 73 are completely regular, 9 have 2 different types of stanza, 8 have more than 2 types, and 9 are only partially divided strophically. Of the 73 poems with completely regular stanzas 59 (80.8%o) consist of quatrains; there are also 6 with stanzas of 5 lines, 3 with 8, and 1 poem with regular 10-line stanzas. It is

4. See, for example, M. M. Hrynčyk, op. cit., pp. 18-19.
5. Statistics relating to Byelorussian literature are still to some extent fluid, as from time to time discoveries of lost works continue to be made.
6. Hurynovič’s Himn (Viečny revolucyjanier), a translation from the Ukrainian of Ivan Franko which preserves the metre, stanza formation and rhyme scheme (abbaccege) of the original.
perhaps not surprising that of the 59 poems with regular quatrains just under half (29) have alternate rhymes (abab), traditionally the most common rhyme scheme for quatrains in the poetry of many countries. The figures for the remaining rhyme schemes (17 couplets (aabb), 11 abcb, 1 a mixture of aabb and abab, and 1 abab caca dada) are swollen by Cačot’s Pieśni: 12 aabb and 9 abcb. Without Cačot’s poems the proportion of regular quatrains with the rhyme scheme abab would be considerably higher (74.4%).

Of all the 73 poems with completely regular stanzas 30 (41.1%) have the rhyme scheme abab, 22 (30.1%) aabb, 11 (15.6%) abcb, 1 (1.4%) a mixture of aabb and abab, and 9 (12.3%) do not conform to any of these schemes. That there are only 9 such poems perhaps reflects in part the general lack of strophic development in 19th-century Byelorussian poetry. Apart from the Hurynovič translation and Viaryha-Dareusiš poem already mentioned, they comprise the following: Hurynovič’s translation from Kasprovič, Viasna (‘Zdрасту́в, krasnaja viasna...’) — abacdbcd; Horvid’s Ja kliču Vas — abccba; Barščesius’i’s Ach, čym ža tvaja, dzievańka, hałoůka zaniata? — aabbc; Bahuševič’s Durny mužyk, jak varona — 6 x aabbccrr, 1 x aabcberr; and finally 3 of Čačot’s Pieśni — aabbr.

Of the 9 poems with 2 types of stanza 8 simply represent the introduction of 1 different stanza into an otherwise regular pattern: for example, Bahrym’s Zajhraj, zajhraj, chlopcá maty ends with a 5-line stanza rather than a quatrain. More interesting is Lučyňa’s Busiel which alternates 5-line stanzas with quatrains (abaab ccdcd). The 8 poems with mixed stanzas include 3 of Dunin-Marcinkevič’s works: Hapon (quatrain and 6-, 8- and 12-line stanzas), Viers Nauma Prhyavorki (quatrain and 6- and 8-line stanzas) and Bylicycz, raskazy Nauma (up to 12 lines, but with a preponderance of quatrains). The first of Blus’s verse tracts Reč staróvojta krest’janam o svobođe (dija narodnogo čtenija) has quatrains and 6- and 8-line stanzas, the latter predominating, whilst its sequel Reč starovojta (dija čtenija noim zemljačkom) has a variety of different stanzas, largely unorganised, ranging from 4 to 12 lines in length. Bahuševič’s Maja chata has quatrains and 6- and 8-line stanzas, whilst the anonymous Pozdravitel’noje stichotvorenije so dnom angela has 7 stanzas of 10 lines, and 1 each of 11 and 13 lines. The semantically divided sections of Taras na Parnasie (16 to 32 lines in length) can hardly be described as stanzas.

The 9 poems which are only partially divided into stanzas comprise 2 of Cačot’s philomath poems — from Ježove (quatrain and 6-line stanzas) and from Adamowue i Tomaszowe (quatrain), and the following of Dunin-Marcinkevič’s works: Pavinšavanňie Vojta Nauma (6- and 8-line stanzas), Viečarnicys (couplets, quatrains and 6- and 8-line stanzas), Kupala (quatrain and 6- and 8-line stanzas), Chalimon na karanacjyi (as Kupala), Ščaroškija dažynki (as Kupala), Travica brat-siistryca (as Kupala), and Pinskaja šlachta (couplets, quatrains and 6- and 8-line stanzas).

7. See, for example, B. O. Unbegau, Russian Versification, Oxford, 1956, p. 74.
8. Viaryha-Dareusiš’s Adaška, rodyń Adaška.
Finally, the number of works with strophic division may be shown as a proportion of the six leading poets' total opus: Čačot 93.7%, Dunin-Marcinkiević 83.3%, Bahušević 27.9%, Hurynović 29.2%, Łučyna 72.2%, and Tapčeški 80.0%. Taken together their average (63.0%) is notably higher than the equivalent percentage for the century as a whole (44.4%).

A feature relating to the folk inspiration behind much of 19th-century Byelorussian poetry is the use of a refrain at the end of 9 of the poems (4 of Čačot, 2 of Bahušević, 2 of Łučyna and 1 of Tatur), usually in the form of 1 or 2 lines repeated in each verse; Łučyna's Nadtą satodkiją dumki repeats the last 2 lines of alternate (even) stanzas. Other types of repetition are also found, but with less regularity: Horevid's Ja kliču Vas opens each stanza with the same phrase, Čačot's Kab u karčmie nie siadzieu repeats the opening line (in 3 out of 4 stanzas), and in each stanza of Hurynović's Viasna (see above) the 3rd line repeats the 1st, and the 7th line repeats the 5th, with only a slight variation of the 7th line in the 1st and last stanzas.

The figures for the rhyme schemes of the 73 poems with regular stanzas (see above) may be compared with those for all the 223 poems under consideration: 74 (33.2%) aabb, 63 (28.3%) abab, 34 (15.2%) mixed aabb and abab, 10 (4.0%) abcb, 2 (0.9%) aabb and abba, and 5 (2.2%) aabb, abab and abba; the remaining 35 poems have irregular or inconsistent rhyme schemes, but include 19 that are basically aabb, 7 basically abab, 5 mixed aabb and abab, and 4 that are without rhyme.9 If these figures are added to those for verses with consistent rhyme schemes the proportions are as follows: 42.1% aabb, 31.4% abab, and 2.2% mixed aabb and abab.

Table 3 shows the number of poems with the 6 main rhyme schemes (including irregular elements) in the work of the leading poets. The bracketed numbers show the preceding figure as a percentage of the writer's total verse output.

### TABLE 3

| Scheme | Poems | % | Poems | % | Poems | % |
|--------|-------|---|-------|---|-------|---|
| aabb   | 63    | 28.3% | abab  | 34 | 15.2% | mixed aabb and abab | 10 | 4.0% |
| abcb   | 2     | 0.9% | aabb   | 2  | 0.9% | aabb and abba | 5 | 2.2% |
| abba   | 4     | 1.8% | aabb & abab | 10 | 4.5% | abab & abba | 2 | 0.9% |
| aabb, abab & abba | 10 | 4.5% | aabb & abba & abba | 2 | 0.9% | abab & abba | 5 | 2.2% |

It is noticeable that in the work of these poets (with the exception of Čačot) plain couplets (aabb) form a smaller proportion of the total than they do in the average for the century as a whole which includes a considerable number of anonymous verses, many of them folk-based, for which rhyming couplets are the principal organising factor. The limitation of combinations involving enclosing rhyme (abba) to one writer, Dunin-Marcinkiević, is to some extent a reflection of the latter's comparatively great interest in stanza and rhyme. None of

---

9. Horki p'janica, P'janica, Sto ja kamu vinavat' and Łavryja from Novešije stichi in Je. R. Romanov, Belorusskij sbornik, I, 5, Viciebsk, 1891, pp. 431–7.
his strophically divided poems employs less than 2 types of stanza and rhyme scheme, and many (Hapon, for example) contain great variations within the compass of one poem. A particularly characteristic stanza of Dunin-Marcinkevič is the 6-line aabccbb which occurs in Hapon, Viečarnicy, Kupala, Chalimon na karanacyi, Ščaroiškija đažynki and Travica brat-siastryca.

Three types of rhyme, masculine, feminine, and dactylic, are found in Byelorussian 19th-century verse. Most frequently met is a combination of masculine and feminine, accounting for 65.0% of the total number of poems considered; exclusively feminine represents 25.6%, and exclusively masculine 4.9%.

Table 4 shows the number of poems with these types of rhyme in the work of the leading poets. The bracketed numbers show the preceding figure as a percentage of the writer's total verse output.

**TABLE 4**

| THE RELATIVE INCIDENCE OF PRINCIPAL RHYME TYPES |
|--------------------------------------------------|
| MF F M |
| Čač 18 (56.2) 9 (28.1) 3 (9.4) |
| D-M 10 (84.6) 2 (15.4) 0 (0.0) |
| Bahu 26 (60.5) 14 (32.4) 1 (2.3) |
| Hur 12 (50.0) 7 (29.1) 4 (16.6) |
| Łuč 3 (16.6) 12 (66.6) 1 (5.5) |
| Tap 4 (80.0) 1 (20.0) 0 (0.0) |

No poem consists entirely of dactylic rhymes, but 8 verses contain dactylic rhymes in various combinations with the other two types. Łučyna's Rodnaj staroncy and Usioj trupie dabradzieja Staryckaha bielaruskaje slova combine dactylic and feminine rhymes in roughly equal proportions, and Hurynovič's Bor has alternate masculine and dactylic rhymes. Čačot's A maja ž kviestačka opens with 4 lines of dactylic rhyme, and his Nie na toje Boh stварyj ends each stanza with a dactylic couplet refrain; in both poems the remaining lines have masculine rhyme. In Bahuševič's Smyk there is 1 dactylic rhyme inserted in a poem consisting otherwise of masculine rhymes, and in the anonymous Ziamielka maja only the 2nd line has a dactylic ending, the remainder being masculine and feminine. Lastly, Bahuševič's translation from Krylov, Šviinia i žaltudy, has 1 dactylic rhyme in addition to 4 feminine and 3 masculine. A popular combination of rhyme scheme and type is MFMFabab, accounting for 20.1% of all the 19th-century poems, and, in particular, 30.2% of Bahuševič's, 33.3% of Hurynovič's, and 60.0% of Tapčeŭski's poems.

Finally, mention must be made of the Leonine verse pattern that is found in some 10 of the 19th-century poems: Čačot's Jak to dobra, kali mužyk and Oj čamu ty zazulačka, Arcimoŭski's Pani Tvardouškaia, Bahuševič's Udava and Panskaja łaska, Hurynovič's Viesialčak, and

---

10. Only the translation of Pan Tadeusz, and the short verse Zaŭtra spasa, kažču ljudzie are not divided into stanzas.
11. The MF group includes a number of poems where although the rhymes are mixed one type predominates. Principally F: Čačot 4; Dunin-Marcinkevič 3; Bahuševič 4; Hurynovič 1. Principally M: Čačot 3; Bahuševič 1; Tapčeŭski 1.
Lucyna’s Nadta salahkija dumki, Pahudka, Što ptuški kazali and Viasnovaj paroj. Leonine elements are also found in 2 of Barščeŭski’s verses, Ach, čym ta tvaja, dzievańka, halotučka zaniata? and Harelica, although they are not fully developed. Hryńczyk is inclined to regard these elements in Barščeŭski and in Lucyna’s Pahudka as linked with Polish traditions, but in the latter writer’s Viasnovaj paroj, as in Bahušević’s Panskaja łaska and the poems of Kupała and Kołaś, as related to the Ukrainian kolomyjka and folk traditions.

The influence of folk metre and rhythms on much of 19th-century Byelorussian verse makes it impossible to categorise with even the modest degree of accuracy that prosodists dealing with more ‘literary’ poetry may hope to achieve. In attempting to label recalcitrant material the present writer has adopted a more conservative approach than his immediate predecessors, which in part explains the large number of poems which are not felt to fit into any ‘established’ category. The 223 poems under consideration may be divided into four main groups, each of which is capable of further subdivision: strict syllabic15 40 (17.9%), syllabo-tonic 37 (16.6%), tonic 31 (13.9%), and miscellaneous (i.e. poems not clearly fitting one of the first three categories) 115 (51.6%). Table 5 shows the number of works by each of the 10 principal poets falling into the 4 main groups. The bracketed numbers show the preceding figure as a percentage of the whole.

### TABLE 5

|             | Syllabic % | Syllabo-tonic % | Tonic % | Miscellaneous % |
|-------------|------------|-----------------|---------|-----------------|
| **Bar**     | 0 (0.0)    | 0 (0.0)         | 0 (0.0) | 3 (100.0)       |
| **Čač**     | 9 (28.1)   | 1 (3.1)         | 0 (0.0) | 22 (68.7)       |
| **D-M**     | 4 (33.3)   | 0 (0.0)         | 0 (0.0) | 8 (66.7)        |
| **V-Dar**   | 1 (33.3)   | 0 (0.0)         | 0 (0.0) | 2 (66.7)        |
| **Bahu**    | 6 (13.9)   | 6 (13.9)        | 19 (44.2)| 12 (27.9)     |
| **Hur**     | 5 (20.8)   | 7 (29.2)        | 7 (29.2)| 5 (20.8)       |
| **Łuč**     | 9 (50.0)   | 4 (22.2)        | 3 (16.7)| 2 (11.1)       |
| **Tap**     | 1 (20.0)   | 4 (80.0)        | 0 (0.0)| 0 (0.0)        |
| **Jel**     | 1 (25.0)   | 0 (0.0)         | 0 (0.0)| 3 (75.0)       |
| **Abu**     | 0 (0.0)    | 4 (100.0)       | 0 (0.0)| 0 (0.0)        |

12. Ralko also sees 8/6 Leonine elements in some of Dunin-Marcinkievič’s works, although here, as in Barščeŭski, they are kept in long (14-syllable) lines rather than broken. I. D. Ralko, op. cit., p. 105. A case in point would seem to be Vierš Nauma Prjhaŭorki.

13. It is curious, however, that he also sees in Barščeŭski’s ‘Leonine’ poems a slight approach to a tonic basis. M. M. Hryńczyk, op. cit., pp. 78, 143, 192-3, 208 and 223.

14. The question of popular or folk versification is itself a still under-researched subject, so that whilst many writers (Barščeŭski, Cačot and Dunin-Marcinkievič in the early part of the century or Hurynovič, Tap-čeŭski and Abuchovič at the end of it, for example) sought to fuse literary and popular elements in their poetry, it is often difficult to come to precise conclusions about the resulting hybrid forms.

15. The term ‘strict syllabic’ refers to verses whose lines fulfil three conditions: a fixed number of syllables, exclusively feminine rhyme and a regular caesura where appropriate (i.e. in the longer lines).
The relationship between the number of Dunin-Marcinkievič’s regular syllabic verses and his ‘irregular’ works reflects his not entirely successful attempts to adapt the syllabic system of versification to what he saw as specifically Byelorussian needs, by increasing the importance of tonic elements in his still basically syllabic verse. A comparison of his Polish and Byelorussian poems reveals the latter to be much freer. It is interesting that poems in strict syllabic metre continued to be written throughout the century even by writers like Bahuševič, Hurynovič and Łučyna who were at the same time seeking characteristically Byelorussian forms. The figures clearly reflect the experimental nature of these three poets’ work, and in particular the role of Bahuševič in developing Byelorussian tonic verse, both in 2-stress lines with their close links with folk-intonational poetry, and in 4-stress lines, often with an undeveloped amphibrachic cadence, which probably had their origin in 12- and 13-syllable Polish verse (see Table 11 below).

Table 6 shows the number of poems with strict syllabic verse arranged according to the different measures.

**TABLE 6**

**THE INCIDENCE OF SYLLABIC VERSE**

| 0/o   | 6 syllables | 8  | 8/6 (alternately) | 10/6 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 8 & 11 | 8 & 12 |
|-------|-------------|----|-------------------|------|----|----|----|----|--------|--------|
| 6(15.0)| 16(40.0)    | 5 (12.5) | 1 (2.5) | 4 (10.0) | 3 (7.5) | 1 (2.5) | 1 (2.5) | 2 (5.0) |
| (Mixed) | 8 & 11      | 8 & 12 |

Table 7 shows the occurrence of different types of syllabic verse in individual writers and texts. The latter, as in subsequent tables, are arranged in approximately chronological order.

**TABLE 7**

**THE INCIDENCE OF SYLLABIC VERSE IN INDIVIDUAL WRITERS AND TEXTS**

| 6 | 8 | 8/6 | 10/6 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 8 & 11 | 8 & 12 |
|---|---|-----|------|----|----|----|----|--------|--------|
| Čač | 1 | 6 | — | — | — | 1 | — | — | 1 | — |
| N. F. | 1 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| K-ko | — | 1 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| D-M | — | — | — | — | — | 1 | 1 | — | 2 |
| V-Dar | — | — | — | 1 | — | — | — | — |
| HDS | — | 1 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Sav | — | — | — | 1 | — | — | — | — |
| Jel | — | — | — | — | 1 | — | — | — | — |
| Bahu | 4 | 2 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Hur | — | 2 | 1 | — | 1 | 1 | — | — | — |
| Łuč | — | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1 | — | — | — | — |
| Tap | — | 1 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
From Tables 6-7 may be observed the 19th-century poets' preference for short rather than long syllabic metres, a preference which is also reflected in the figures for the 'miscellaneous' poems which do not fit exactly any of the three 'established' types where there are over four times as many irregular short syllabic as long syllabic lines. See Tables 12-15 below.

Whilst regular syllabo-tonic metres account for only 13.9% of all the poems under consideration, there are intermittent elements of syllabo-tonic rhythm in very many verses which are, however, too inconsistent to be described as regular syllabo-tonic. Hrynčyk, for example, notes a tendency to ternary metres in Bahuševič, but in fact only 1 of his poems (Achviara) maintains a regular ternary metre (3-foot anapaests); similarly, Lučyna's poems are described as having a basically binary metre, although close analysis reveals only 3 of his poems to be regular in this respect: Karšun (4-foot iamb), and Što dumaje Janka, viezučy drovy ū horad and Nie ja piaju — narod božy (4-foot trochees). Another case in point is Karatynski's 40-line Ustavajma, bratcy! written in 4-stress tonic verse, but with 15 unsuccessive lines in regular 4-foot dactylic metre.

Tables 8-9 show the number of poems with the different types of syllabo-tonic metre, and their occurrence in individual writers and texts.

### TABLE 8

**THE INCIDENCE OF SYLLABO-TONIC METRES**

| Metre Type                        | %/0 |
|----------------------------------|-----|
| Iambic Trimeter                  | 1 (2.7) |
| Iambic Tetrameter / Trimeter     | 1 (2.7) |
| Iambic Tetrameter                | 8 (21.6) |
| Trochaic Tetrameter / Trimeter   | 3 (8.1) |
| Trochaic Tetrameter              | 17 (45.9) |
| Free Trochees                    | 1 (2.7) |
| Amphibrachic Trimeter            | 1 (2.7) |
| Amphibrachic Tetrameter / Trimeter| 1 (2.7) |
| Amphibrachic Tetrameter          | 1 (2.7) |
| Anapaestic Dimeter / Amphibrachic Dimeter | 1 (2.7) |
| Anapaestic Trimeter              | 2 (5.4) |

The preponderance of trochaic metres, and tetrameters in particular, is perhaps not surprising in view of the frequency with which the trochaic cadence occurs in folk poetry. Indeed, the trochaic tetrameter was widely used by Russian imitators of popular verse in the 18th century. The iambic tetrameter, however, easily the most commonly used Russian metre from the 18th century to the present day, finds relatively little reflection in Byelorussian 19th-century verse, apart from the three important anonymous poems in the early part of the century.

16. M. M. Hrynčyk, op. cit., pp. 136, 144.
17. B. O. Unbegaun, op. cit., pp. 32-3.
18. For example, over half Puškin's lines are in this metre. Unbegaun, op. cit., p. 21.
TABLE 9
THE INCIDENCE OF SYLLABO-TONIC METRES IN INDIVIDUAL WRITERS AND TEXTS

|       | I-Tr | I-Te | T-Te | T-Te | Am. Te/Tr | Am. Te | Am. Te | Am. Dim/Am. Dim. | An. Tr |
|-------|------|------|------|------|-----------|--------|--------|------------------|-------|
| En    |      |      |      |      |           |        |        |                  |       |
| PS    |      |      |      |      |           |        |        |                  |       |
| TP    |      |      |      |      |           |        |        |                  |       |
| Leh   | 1    |      |      |      |           |        |        |                  |       |
| Čač   |      |      |      |      |           |        |        |                  |       |
| VHP   |      |      |      |      |           |        |        |                  |       |
| VCJ   |      |      |      |      |           |        |        |                  |       |
| Arc   |      |      |      |      |           |        |        |                  |       |
| VK    |      |      |      |      |           |        |        |                  |       |
| Všl   |      |      |      |      |           |        |        |                  |       |
| Bahu  |      |      |      |      |           |        |        |                  | 1     |
| Hur   | 1    |      |      |      |           | 1      | 1      | 1                |       |
| Luč   |      |      |      |      |           |        |        |                  |       |
| Tap   |      |      |      |      |           | 2      |        |                  |       |
| Šun   |      | 1    |      |      |           |        |        |                  |       |
| V-Dub |      |      |      |      |           |        |        |                  |       |
| Abu   |      |      |      | 3    |           | 1      |        |                  |       |

Tables 10-11 show the number of poems with the various types of tonic metre, and their occurrence in individual writers and texts.

TABLE 10
THE INCIDENCE OF TONIC VERSE

| Type               | % |
|--------------------|---|
| 2-stress           | 9 (29.0) |
| 2-stress / 4-stress| 1 (3.2)  |
| 3-stress           | 3 (9.7)  |
| 4-stress           | 18 (58.1)|

TABLE 11
THE INCIDENCE OF TONIC VERSE IN INDIVIDUAL WRITERS

|       | 2S | 2S/4S | 3S | 4S |
|-------|----|-------|----|----|
| Ryp   |    |       |    |    |
| VK    |    |       |    | 1  |
| Bahu  | 5  |       | 2  | 12 |
| Hur   | 4  | 1     |    | 3  |
| Luč   |    |       |    | 3  |

Of the 115 miscellaneous poems which cannot be strictly classified as either syllabic, syllabotonic or tonic the majority (85) may be grouped according to the number of syllables, or approximate number of syllables, per line. Of these 85, 42 (49.4%) are in short lines
(of up to 9 syllables), 10 (11.8%\%) are in long lines (of over 9 syllables) 10 (11.8%\%) are in alternating lines, and 13 (15.3%\%) are in a mixture of 2 or 3 kinds of syllabically organised lines. Finally, 11 (12.9%\%), although irregular in line-length, show a tendency towards a particular length in the majority of their lines. The remaining 29 poems, which are too irregular to be classified, include 3 by Dunin-Marcinkievič (Pavinsavainie Vojta Nauma, Viečarnicy\textsuperscript{19} and Travica brat-siastryca) and Bahuševič (Hdzie čort nie moža, tam babu pašle, Svaja ziamla and Švińi i barany), 2 by Barščeski (Harelica\textsuperscript{20} and Rabunki myźykoũ\textsuperscript{21} and Jelski (Pan Tadeuš and Sýñok), and 1 each by Karatkievič (Biełaruskij Dzudaru), Pratasievič (Susiedčyk Haviejski) and Staibun (Chto ja?); all the other unclassified poems are anonymous, ranging from extended narratives like Hutarka ų karimiento to the doggerel P'janica (which lacks both metre and rhyme).\textsuperscript{22}

Table 12 refers to the group of 42 miscellaneous poems with short lines which are of fixed length but without exclusively feminine endings and/or a regular pattern of stresses. Table 13 shows the occurrence of these poems in individual writers and texts.

\begin{table}[h]
\caption{The Incidence of Miscellaneous Verses with Short Lines}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline
6 syllables & 1 (2.4) \\
7 '' & 8 (19.0) \\
8 '' & 5 (11.7) \\
6-8 '' & 3 (7.1) \\
7-8 '' & 14 (33.3)\textsuperscript{23} \\
7-9 '' & 7 (16.7) \\
8-9 '' & 4 (9.5)\textsuperscript{24} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

\textsuperscript{19} Hryńčyk presents a formal analysis of the line-lengths (7, 8, 9, 10, 10/11, 11, 11/12 and 12 syllables) in this work and shows how as the poem progresses through its three parts the proportion of long lines increases and that of short lines decreases. M. M. Hryńčyk, op. cit., p. 108.

\textsuperscript{20} A very disorganised verse with faint and irregular 8/6 Leonine elements.

\textsuperscript{21} This narrative poem appears to find its prosodic origins in pre-syllabic folk tonic systems. That it should be 'unclassifiable' whereas Rypinski's more regular, but otherwise not dissimilar Niačyščik falls into the category of 3-stress tonic (see Table 11) underlines the difficulty of applying conventional terms to unconventional verse.

\textsuperscript{22} See n. 9.

\textsuperscript{23} 12 of the 14 poems have 7-syllable masculine and 8-syllable feminine lines.

\textsuperscript{24} 2 of the 4 poems have 8-syllable masculine and 9-syllable feminine lines.
TABLE 13
THE INCIDENCE OF MISCELLANEOUS SHORT-LINE VERSES
IN INDIVIDUAL WRITERS AND TEXTS

|     | 6 | 7 | 8 | 6-8 | 7-8 | 7-9 | 8-9 |
|-----|---|---|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Bhnr |   |   |   |     | 1   |     |     |
| PSN  |   |   |   | 1   |     |     |     |
| SVB  |   |   |   |     | 1   |     |     |
| BK   |   |   |   | 1   |     |     |     |
| Ṫyp  |   | 8 |   |     |     |     |     |
| Čeč  |   |   |   | 1   |     |     |     |
| D-M  |   |   |   | 1   |     |     |     |
| V-Dar|   | 1 |   | 1   |     |     |     |
| Syr  |   |   |   | 1   |     |     |     |
| HKN  |   |   |   | 1   |     |     |     |
| HKA  |   |   |   |     | 1   |     |     |
| VK   | 1 |   |   |     |     |     |     |
| Ṭ-ki |   |   | 1 |     |     |     |     |
| Pčy  |   |   | 1 |     |     |     |     |
| NS   |   | 1 | 2 | 1   |     |     |     |
| PŁ   |   |   | 1 |     |     |     |     |
| S-Z  | 1 |   |   |     | 1   |     |     |
| Hor  |   |   |   |     |     | 1   |     |
| Jel  |   |   |   |     |     |     | 1   |
| Mih  |   |   | 1 |     |     |     |     |
| Bahu |   | 2 | 1 | 2   |     |     |     |
| Hur  |   |   | 1 |     |     |     |     |
| Tam  |   | 1 |   |     |     |     |     |
| V-Dub|   | 1 |   |     |     |     |     |

Table 14 refers to the group of 10 miscellaneous poems with long lines which are of fixed length but without exclusively feminine endings and/or a regular pattern of stresses. Table 15 shows the occurrence of these poems in individual writers and texts.

TABLE 14
THE INCIDENCE OF MISCELLANEOUS VERSES WITH LONG LINES

|     |     |     |
|-----|-----|-----|
| 10  | 1   |     |
| 11  |     | 1   |
| 12  |     | 2   |
| 10-11 |     | 1\textsuperscript{25} |
| 11-12 |     | 3\textsuperscript{26} |
| 11-13 |     | 1   |
| 19  |     | 1   |

\textsuperscript{25} This poem has 10-syllable masculine and 11-syllable feminine lines.
\textsuperscript{26} 1 of these 3 poems has 11-syllable masculine and 12-syllable feminine lines.
Tables 16-17 refer to the group of 10 miscellaneous verses with alternating lines, and show their occurrence in individual writers and texts.

### TABLE 15
THE INCIDENCE OF MISCELLANEOUS LONG-LINE VERSES IN INDIVIDUAL WRITERS AND TEXTS

|   | 10 | 11 | 12 | 10-11 | 11-12 | 11-13 | 19 |
|---|----|----|----|-------|-------|-------|----|
| Syr| —  | —  | —  | 1     | —     | —     | —  |
| Pd | —  | 1  | —  | —     | —     | —     | —  |
| NS | —  | —  | —  | —     | 1     | —     | —  |
| Hor| —  | —  | 1  | —     | —     | —     | —  |
| Bahu| 1  | —  | —  | —     | 1     | —     | —  |
| Łuč| —  | —  | 1  | —     | —     | 1     | —  |
| Tat| —  | —  | —  | —     | —     | 1     | —  |

### TABLE 16
THE INCIDENCE OF MISCELLANEOUS VERSES WITH ALTERNATING LINES

|   | 6/5 syllables | 7/5 | 7/6 | 8/6 | 8/7 | 9/7 | 10/8 |
|---|---------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|
| Bahu| 1             | 1   | 2   | 2   | 1   | —   | —    |
| Łuč| —             | —   | 1   | —   | —   | —   | —    |
| Tat| —             | —   | —   | —   | —   | 1   | 1    |

### TABLE 17
THE INCIDENCE OF MISCELLANEOUS VERSES WITH ALTERNATING LINES IN INDIVIDUAL WRITERS AND TEXTS

|   | 6/5 | 7/5 | 7/6 | 8/6 | 8/7 | 9/7 | 10/8 |
|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|
| Čač | 1   | —   | 2   | 2   | 1   | —   | —    |
| PŁ  | —   | —   | —   | 1   | —   | —   | —    |
| Hur | —   | 1   | —   | —   | —   | 1   | 1    |

Tables 18-19 refer to the group of 13 miscellaneous verses with mixed but not alternating lines, and show their occurrence in individual writers and texts.

27. The 7/6 pair of alternating lines is arguably a descendant of the classical 13-syllable line in syllabic poetry.
28. See the section on Leonine verse above.
## TABLE 18
THE INCIDENCE OF MISCELLANEOUS VERSES WITH MIXED BUT NOT ALTERNATING LINES

| Syllables | Count |
|-----------|-------|
| 7 & 5     | 1     |
| 8 & 6     | 1     |
| 9 & 8     | 1     |
| 9 & 8 & 7 | 1     |
| 10 & 7    | 1     |
| 10 & 8 & 5| 2     |
| 10 & 8 & 7| 1     |
| 10/11 & 7/8| 1  |
| 11 & 7    | 1     |
| 11-14 & 6-9 (7/8) | 1 |
| 12 & 8    | 1     |
| 12/13 & 8 | 1     |

## TABLE 19
THE INCIDENCE OF MISCELLANEOUS VERSES WITH MIXED LINES IN INDIVIDUAL WRITERS AND TEXTS

| Line-lengths | Čač | D-M | NS |
|--------------|-----|-----|-----|
| 7 & 8        | 1   | 1   | 1   |
| 8 & 9        | 1   | 1   | 1   |
| 10 & 7       | 1   | 1   | 1   |
| 10 & 8 & 5   | 2   | 1   | 1   |
| 10 & 8 & 7   | 1   | 1   | 1   |
| 11 & 7       | 1   | 1   | 1   |
| 11-14 & 6-9 (7/8) | 1  |
| 12 & 8       | 1   | 1   | 1   |
| 12/13 & 8    | 1   | 1   | 1   |

Finally, there remain 11 poems which, although irregular in line-length, show a tendency towards a particular length in the majority of their lines. Barščeŭski’s Ach čym ža tvaja, dzievańka, hałouka zaniata? (14, 14, 8, 8, 6, 14, 14, 6, 7, 5)\(^{29}\) has a definite pattern which may be compared with 3 of Čačot’s verses: Oj haspadyńa and Na što nam dym vyjedaje očy (10, 10, 8, 8, 5), and U lesie takujeń cieciaruk (9, 9, 8, 8, 5).\(^{30}\) The other 10 are unpatterned. Tables 20-21 show the number of poems inclined to but not adhering rigidly to various line-lengths, and their occurrence in individual writers and texts.

## TABLE 20
THE INCIDENCE OF MISCELLANEOUS VERSES INCLINED TO CERTAIN LINE-LENGTHS

| Line-lengths | Count |
|--------------|-------|
| 7 syllables | 1     |
| 8            | 2     |
| 8-9          | 4     |
| 11           | 1     |
| 11/12 & 7/8  | 1   |
| 12-13        | 1     |

---

29. Hrynčyk describes the poem as 14-syllable syllabic verse. M. M. Hrynčyk, op. cit., p. 77.
30. See Tables 18-19. Čačot is particularly inclined to patterning by variation in line-length. For example, 14 (50\%) of his Pieśni make use of this technique.
The author of the present study has deliberately limited to a bare minimum conclusions drawn from the statistical material, tempting though it is to embark on the extensive and fascinating exercise of drawing patterns of development, particularly with reference to the irregular and intermediate forms which play so great a role in Byelorussian 19th-century poetry. Immense possibilities for further analysis remain, both on the basis of the material presented here and also from the investigation of the numerous aspects of the subject which still await scholarly attention. It is hoped the present study may serve as a starting-point for further research. Until this work is performed the history and development of Byelorussian versification will remain one of the least known aspects of Slavonic cultural history.

### Table 21

**The Incidence of Miscellaneous Verses Inclined to Certain Line-Lengths in Individual Writers and Texts.**

|    | 7 | 8 | 8-9 | 11 | 11/12 & 7/8 | 12-13 |
|----|---|---|-----|----|------------|-------|
| Ćač|   |   |     | 1  |            |       |
| D-M|   |   |     |    | 1          |       |
| MH |   |   | 1   |    |            |       |
| NS | 1 | 1 |     |    |            |       |
| Blū|   |   | 2   |    |            |       |
| HDsaŚ| |   |     |    | 1          |       |
| HSD|   | 1 |     |    |            |       |
| HP |   |   | 1   |    |            |       |

31. Tables 20-21 should be considered in conjunction with Tables 6, 7, 12-15.