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We provide first-principle theoretical and numerical simulations using the coherent Transfer Matrix Approach (TMA) to describe the behavior of the three main class of the optical beacons namely phase conjugators, reflectors, and retroreflectors within a turbid medium. Our theory describes the extraordinary enhancement (about 5 dB) offered by retroreflectors compared to reflectors in our detailed experiments and shows that they effectively act as local optical phase conjugators. Moreover, the performance of retroreflectors shows little degradation for increased light incident angles in turbid media, while the performance of reflectors degrades drastically. These results may find applications for detection of the echoes of electromagnetic radiation in turbid media.

Light is the main tool for non-invasive measurement of fundamental quantities of the universe. Control and manipulation of the light propagation in scattering tissue are quite influential for a broad range of measurements in life sciences, astronomy, and telecommunication. Almost all these applications share one common feature; They require highly confined temporal and spatial optical modes at the location of interest within the scattering media and fast scanning ability to boost the measurement fidelity. Consequently, novel ideas for addressing these issues have been proposed and implemented. These strategies rely on either non-linear excitation (as in multiphoton microscopy systems1–5), interferometric effects in homodyne/heterodyne detection systems6–8, time reversal based on phase conjugation9–11, speckle autocorrelation utilizing memory effect12, and techniques based on iterative wavefront shaping13–17. The nonlinear systems work on the principle of generation of enough optical intensity at the desired voxel to excite nonlinear emission that can be discriminated with high spatial resolution through wavelength filtration. Unfortunately, these systems fail for depths larger than about 5l, where l is the mean free scattering length, since almost 99% of light experiences multiple scattering9. Other approaches based on interference and phase conjugation have gained a significant attention for enhancing temporal and spatial resolution. While the Boltzmann picture of transport can describe light propagation in weakly disordered media, it fails to predict various coherent mechanisms encountered in phase conjugation and interference methods. In this letter, we scrutinize coherent optical back coupling from a scatterer inside the turbid media using generalized transmission matrix approach (TMA). In particular, we compare the performance of a retroreflector and a reflector. Our motivation is from an intuition that a retroreflector might perform better, since they return light backward through the same path of incidence, producing an effect similar to time reversal. While this work uses scattering parameters similar to those of human tissue, any other multiple scattering phenomena such as Anderson localization could also be included in our generalized approach. We further evaluate our theoretical model by numerical simulation, and experimental measurements. These results suggest that a retroreflector is similar to an optical phase conjugate mirror, but with important differences.

Figure 1 shows the input (k_{in}) and output (k_{out}) wavevector relations for the three main classes of optical devices namely microsphere retroreflectors, phase conjugators and reflectors (mirrors), which are the focus of this work. For brevity, here we refer to the lateral wavevector (the component of the wavevector orthogonal to the direction of propagation) simply as wavevector.

Let’s start with a finite-sized retroreflector. By definition, for any given input wavelet hitting a retroreflector (e^{ik_{in}}), the output will be a truncated wavelet (due to the finite size of the retroreflector) that follows the same path in the reverse direction (e^{-ik_{in}+i\Delta}). This definition does not put any constraint on the added phase (\phi_{k}) to the output wavelet. In fact, in all our simulations (presented in the supplemental information) for a sphere retroreflector, we obtained a, \phi_{k}-k_{in} relation as shown schematically in Fig. 1a. The added phase is the key difference between
a retroreflector and a phase conjugator (Fig. 1b) that produces the exact conjugated output, i.e. $e^{-\text{i}k \cdot r}$. Practical non-linear phase conjugators, however, add a constant phase $\phi_0$ to the output. Since $\phi_0$ is independent of the wavevector, it does not affect the process of “undoing beam distortion” through phase conjugation in the turbid media. In the case of a mirror as shown in Fig. 1c, the wavevector does not change and additionally $\phi = 0$ when the input/output reference planes are exactly on the surface of the mirror. In all cases, the beam should be focused on the optical device, with a size small enough to avoid the beam truncation.

We consider all three optical devices in the context of homodyne detection systems, with the schematics shown in Fig. 2a. Homodyne detection methods have been shown to be very effective in resolving minute reflections within turbid media. Thanks to interferometric effects, these systems can reject the scattered waves with path lengths different than the optical path length of the reference arm. Unfortunately, there is no clear understanding of the potential of homodyne detection of retroreflectors, phase conjugators and the associated time-reversal effects in turbid media. Our mission here is to elucidate the above issues by performing phase sensitive measurements and employing both analytical and numerical evaluations using the TMA method.

It is important to note that the TMA method has been extensively used in the past for a reliable prediction of experimental observations. To implement this approach, we visualize the system in the transmission equivalent configuration as shown in Fig. 2b. If a retroreflector with finite size is assumed, then the back-reflected light from the optical system can be modeled as transmission through an optical system that is made of the original turbid medium followed by a flipped version of it across the aperture of the retroreflector. Based on the properties of the implanted optical device as shown in Fig. 1, we will use the appropriate analytical transmission matrix for this effective aperture. We further use a combination of beam propagation method
(BPM) and FDTD full-wave simulations to obtain the transmission matrices numerically. We note that the BPM method is valid when the forward scattering is dominant which is the case for biological issues. We have therefore used BPM to find the transmission matrix of the turbid medium. In contrast to BPM, the FDTD approach does not have this restriction and is suitable for finding the transmission matrix of the reflective optical devices. Knowledge of the transmission matrices enables us to trace the electromagnetic waves without losing the phase information and the coherence effects.

**Results**

**Analytical Modeling.** The criteria for homodyne coupling are discussed in this section in order to provide an understanding of the experimental observations. The first key factor is that the difference in the optical path length of the rays (denoted by $d$) adversely affects the efficiency of the homodyne detection. The second factor concerns the coupling of the collected light back to the photodetector (denoted by $\eta_r$). As for the latter, the phase conjugation of the waves ensures that the beam travels along the same path through the post-objective elements to get finally absorbed by the photodetector without experiencing a significant loss. Considering these two factors and under the assumption of normalized illumination power $i.e.$ $\int |E_{in}(x)|^2 dx = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int |E_{in}(k_x)|^2 dx = 1$, where $x$ and $k_x$ denote the lateral position and wavevector, we define the homodyne detection efficiency as follows:

$$\eta_h = \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot L_p \cdot \int E_{in}^* k_x \cdot E_{out} k_x dk_x$$

(1)

Where $E_{in}$ is the incident wave with wavevector of $k_x$ and $E_{out}$ is the conjugated scattered wave [originating from $E_{in}(k_x)$] that reaches the objective lens and its contribution at the same wavevector $(k_x)$ is considered in the integral. $L_p$ is the optical loss of the post-objective system. It is instructive in here to discuss about the above equation and see how it encompasses both discussed factors, i.e. $d$ and $k_x$. First, let's denote the phase difference between $E_{in}^* k_x$ and $E_{out}^* k_x$ pair to be $\phi(k_x)$. The faster the variation of the $\phi(k_x)$ with $k_x$, the larger the reduction in the summation integral of $\eta_h$ will be. This in fact implies the adverse effect of $d$ on $\eta_h$. Now, let’s assume that $E_{in}(k_x) = A e^{ik_x x - ik_x z}$. For a reflector in the free space, the returned beam will be equal to $E_{out} = B e^{ik_x x - 2ik_x z}$, where $h$ is the distance between the objective lens and the reflector. In contrast to the reflector, a phase conjugator reverses the direction of the wavevector resulting in $E_{out} = B e^{ik_x x - 2ik_x z}$. Such a returned beam experiences significantly lower loss before reaching the photodetector and will have the maximum $\eta_r$. Consequently, we can see at the wavevector of $k_x$, $E_{out}$ has a contribution equal to $B e^{ik_x x - 2ik_x z} - \phi(k_x)$ for the retroreflector and zero contribution for the case of a mirror. Thus, the description of $\eta_h$ in Eq. 1 incorporates the effect of coupling ($\eta_r$).

We consider the transmission analog of the reflection measurement as shown in Fig. 2b in order to use the language of TMA for evaluation of the reflected waves. As a result, we could obtain the homodyne detection efficiency of the reflector ($\eta_r$) and the retroreflector ($\eta_{rr}$) as detailed in the Supplemental information. In particular, their ratio is:

$$\frac{\eta_{rr}}{\eta_r} = \frac{8d}{\sqrt{\pi} L_c} \text{erf}[P_1 + 2d/L_c] - \text{erf}[P_1 - 2d/L_c]$$

(2)

The coefficients $P_0$, $P_1$, and $P_2$ are the polynomial coefficients describing the added phase to plane waves reaching to the other side of the turbid medium versus their wavevectors, i.e. $\phi_{turbid}(k_x) = P_0 + P_1 k_x + P_2 k_x^2$. For a homogeneous scattering medium, the effective refractive index is not independent of the wavevector. It rather varies smoothly with the wavevector and the dispersion deviates from that of a non-scattering medium for which $P_1 = 0$. The phase dispersion of the homogenously scattering medium is the dominant contributor of the beam distortion and is characterized in terms of these polynomial coefficients which depend on the scattering properties of the medium, i.e the scattering mean free path and the anisotropy factor (the average cosine of the scattering angle). In Eq. (2), $d$ is the diameter of the device (either reflector or retroreflector) and $L_c$ is the characteristic lateral coherence length for the uniform host medium.

In accordance with our expectation, at two opposite extremes, the ratio of the efficiencies (Eq. 2) reaches unity: 1- For very small reflector/retroreflector, $d \to 0$, which means both devices become essentially point scatterers. 2- When $d \to \infty$ (ideal reflector/retroreflector), $L_c \to \infty$ while $L_c \gg d$ (which ensures collimation within the reflector/retroreflector). We found the value of the parameters in Eq. 2 and calculated the splitting in the optical losses [10log($\eta_{rr}/\eta_r$)], which is in excellent agreement with our measurements.

**Numerical Simulations.** We performed a numerical study for verification of the proposed theory and the experimental observations. We traced both the phase and amplitude of the electromagnetic waves by combining beam propagation and finite difference time domain (FDTD) methods to achieve an accurate and computationally-efficient estimation of the homodyne detection efficiency. We considered the transmission equivalent configuration of the system as shown in Fig. 2b and numerically calculated the relevant transmission matrices to relate the input and output beams in the $k$-space domain. In essence, this method is equivalent to decomposing the input beam to its Fourier components and then tracing each wavelet separately. The reader is referred to Supplemental information for more details.

As mentioned before, there are two key parameters of optical scattering, the mean free path of the scattering and the anisotropy factor. These two parameters are used in the Henyey-Greenstein phase function description of biological tissues. Randomly positioned dielectric microspheres in a host material have been widely used for simulation and fabrication of tissue phantoms. While the mean free path is dependent on the concentration of the dielectric spheres, the scattering anisotropy is affected by their radius and the index contrast. Using FDTD
We used the transmission matrices to calculate the total transmission matrix, i.e., $T_{k,\text{total}} = T_k \cdot T_{c,\text{total}} \cdot T_{k,2}$. We then discretized the input wavevector then multiplied by $T_{k,\text{total}}$ to obtain the output wavevector $E_{out}$. We repeated these calculations for ten different random structures and observed negligible statistical errors (less than 0.2 dB). The numerical evaluation of the homodyne detection efficiencies based on Eq. 1 is in good agreement with both the analytical model and the measurements as shown in Fig. 4.

**Experimental Investigations.** In all experiments, a 300-um brain slice covers reflectors and retroreflectors with a diameter of 50 μm. Although the well-known corner cube retroreflector (with a size larger than the waist of the input beam) could have been used, it is difficult to fabricate it at the micron scales. On the other hand, half gold coated microspheres are reported in the literature as acting approximately as a good retroreflector. It is technically much easier to be made down to submicron dimensions by a single metal evaporation step. Indeed, we have verified the retroreflective property of these objects using full-wave FDTD simulations. The calculated transmission matrix of these devices (Fig. 3b) is similar to that of ideal retroreflectors (Fig. 1a) and consistent with the analytical solution (see Eq. (18) of Supplemental information). Due to the low-cost ease of fabrication and handling, we chose half gold coated microspheres in our experiments. The measurement is repeated ten times with randomly selected reflectors and retroreflectors that were fabricated in parallel, for every angle of incidence by varying the tilt stage angle ($\theta$) as shown in Fig. 4a. For each measurement, we scanned our optics in 3D to ensure that the maximum possible back reflected signal was being measured. For these experiments, we prepared a homodyne measurement setup which consists of Agilent reflectometer (8504 A). The Michelson interferometer within this instrument allows highly accurate homodyne measurement of reflection (with a sensitivity of $>95\text{ dB}$). The incident optical power on the brain slice was $1.6\mu\text{W}$ at $1550\text{ nm}$ with the measurement bandwidth of $5\text{ Hz}$. The microscope system has two optical paths separated by a beam splitter and therefore provides two functionalities; to focus the laser on the sample and to obtain magnified video of the surface for laser alignment. We note that for each data point ten measurements on different devices at various locations were performed to obtain the error bars. Equivalently in our simulations, ten different random media were constructed to evaluate each data point to ensure that the statistical errors are sufficiently smaller than what we observed in practice. The consistency between all these data validates our main assumptions for the homodyne detection of optical scatterers in turbid media and confirms the significant advantage offered by retroreflection and phase conjugation.

**Figure 3.** The calculated transmission matrices. (a) The turbid medium ($T_{k,1}$) (b) A 50-μm retroreflector (c) A flat mirror with a diameter of 50 μm.
Discussion

In conclusion, we proposed a method to evaluate homodyne detection efficiency of a scatterer inside turbid media and discussed the two critical factors that limit the performance of such systems: the back coupling and the phase coherence among the wavevector spectrum. We derived a generalized analytical relation for the transmission matrix and the homodyne detection efficacy of a reflector (mirror) and a retroreflector. Our method shows good agreement with our experimental results and predicts significant (>5 dB) enhancement of back-reflected light from a retroreflector compared to a reflector at the normal incidence, and many orders of magnitude higher as the incident angle grows. Using the same measurement apparatus, we measured the normal incidence reflection of the retroreflector and the reflector in free-space and confirmed that the difference is less than 1 dB. Therefore, the intrinsic loss cannot justify the observed difference in $\eta_h$ of the reflector and the retroreflector (>5 dB) in presence of the turbid medium. We show for the first time that the quasi-phase conjugation of the microsphere retroreflector is responsible for this enhancement, producing an effect similar to the time-reversal in a turbid medium. Moreover, the back-reflected signal from microsphere retroreflectors within the turbid medium shows little degradation for tilt angles of up to ±80 degrees. In the free space the tilted flat mirror deflects light and therefore will have a lower homodyne detection efficiency ($\eta_h$) than a tilted retroreflector. Here, we proved that this is still the case in the scattering media where $\eta_h$ of a tilted flat mirror is significantly lower than that of a retroreflector owing to the time-reversal invariance of light propagation. Our numerical approach and analytical simulations can be applied to a wide variety of the optical communication systems that are based on light scattering and coherent detection.

Methods

As described in the text, we performed simulations using the beam propagation method (BPM) with Rsoft to obtain the transmission matrix of the medium considering the fact that forward scattering is predominant in biological tissue. Two hundred lateral wavefronts spanning from $-k/4$ to $k/4$ were launched from either side of the scattering medium and fast Fourier transform (FFT) and rearrangement of output yielded the transmission matrix $T_{k_{1,2}}$ (the subscript number denotes the input and output sides as shown in Fig. 2 in the text). We paid special attention to the phase of the input wavefronts and made sure that their phases are all zero. The phase of the
diagonal elements of $T_{1,2}$ give the dispersion of the scattering medium from which a 3rd order polynomial fitting extracts the $P$ coefficients which appear in our analytical formulations.

Replacing the scattering medium in our simulations with the reflector/retroreflector yields the corresponding transmission matrices ($T_{r,r}$). But, for this simulation, we employed the FDTD method (Using Lumerical) rather than BPM to take into account near field and high angle of scattering. Phase matching layer (PML) boundary condition was applied to all boundaries and the mesh accuracy of the simulation region was 10 nm. Similar to the BPM simulations, we performed two hundred simulations per transmission matrix for lateral wavevectors that are evenly distributed within $-k_y/4$ to $k_y/4$.

We also used the FDTD method to simulate the scattering properties of the small dielectric spheres which construct the turbid media. In our simulations, a plane wave at the wavelength of 1550 nm illuminates the small dielectrics. A 2D simulation is performed with mesh accuracy of 5 nm in both directions and PML boundary condition was applied to all boundaries. The far-field profile of the scattered field is recorded to find the scattering anisotropy factor.
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