Magnetotransport and conductivity mechanisms in Cu$_2$ZnSn$_x$Ge$_{1-x}$S$_4$ single crystals
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Resistivity, $\rho(T)$, and magnetoresistance (MR) are investigated in the Cu$_2$ZnSn$_x$Ge$_{1-x}$S$_4$ single crystals, obtained by the chemical vapor transport method, between $x = 0$–0.70, in the temperature range of $T \sim 50$–300 K in pulsed magnetic field of $B$ up to 20 T. The Mott variable-range hopping (VRH) conductivity is observed within broad temperature intervals, lying inside that of $T \sim 80$–180 K for different $x$. The nearest-neighbor hopping conductivity and the charge transfer, connected to activation of holes into the delocalized states of the acceptor band, are identified above and below the Mott VRH conduction domain, respectively. The microscopic electronic parameters, including width of the acceptor band, the localization radius and the density of the localized states at the Fermi level, as well as the acceptor concentration and the critical concentration of the metal-insulator transition, are obtained with the analysis of the $\rho(T)$ and MR data. All the parameters above exhibit extremums near $x = 0.13$, which are attributable mainly to the transition from the stannite crystal structure at $x = 0$ to the kesterite-like structure near $x = 0.13$. The detailed analysis of the activation energy in the low-temperature interval permitted estimations of contributions from different crystal phases of the border compounds into the alloy structure at different compositions.

In the last years, utilization of the Cu-based group I$_2$-II-IV-VI$_4$ chalcogenide semiconductors became one of the leading streams in the development of the low-cost thin film solar cells. Different stages have been overcome, and the recent one is based on a partial substitution of different cations in the standard Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$ (CZTS) compound. This approach was found to lead to a substantial decrease of the detrimental defects in CZTS, increasing the device efficiency. One of the most discussed elements to be add in CZTS is germanium, replacing partially tin. In this framework, several papers demonstrating a positive Ge effect on the solar cell efficiency have appeared recently. In addition, the Cu$_2$ZnSn$_x$Ge$_{1-x}$S$_4$ (CZTGS) solid solutions exhibit an increase of the band gap, $E_g$, with increasing Ge concentration, reaching the values of $E_g$ up to ~2.3 eV for the pure Cu$_2$ZnGeS$_4$ (CZGS) compound. This allows fine band gap tuning in the CZTGS solid solutions. Such effect was found to be interesting for the multi-junction solar cells, where CZTGS could be used as a top solar cell.

In addition to photovoltaics, the structural and optical properties of CZTGS have been studied. On the other hand, investigations of the electronic transport in CZTGS solid solutions are lacking up to date. The only available data have been obtained in the pure CZTS and CZGS border compounds. The latter was found to be crystalized in the kesterite (KS) and wurtzstannite (WS) types of structure, and the electronic properties were studied for each type of CZGS. Both CZTGS and CZGS compounds exhibit similar activated character of the temperature dependence of resistivity, including the Mott variable range hopping (VRH) conduction within a wide temperature range. However, the behavior of magnetoresistance (MR) in these compounds is different, being completely positive (pMR) in CZTS and possessing a negative contribution (nMR) in CZGS. Therefore, similar activated conductivity with the Mott VRH conduction within a broad temperature range is expectable for the CZTGS solid solutions, too.

In the present work, investigations of the resistivity, $\rho(T)$, and MR have been performed in Cu$_2$ZnSn$_x$Ge$_{1-x}$S$_4$ with different $x$ or Sn/(Sn + Ge) ratio values. The purpose is to establish the conductivity mechanisms in various...
The overall nMR effect is much stronger at 50–77 K, as evident in the inset to Fig. 2(c). Additionally, the overall nMR effect is higher at x = 0, 0.13, 0.51 and 0.70, reaching the value of 1.5 × 10^−4. Generally, such features of nMR are quite uncommon for conventional materials and should be attributed to some special properties of the investigated semiconductor system.

Table 1. Chemical composition of the investigated Cu2ZnSn0.51Ge0.49S4 samples.

| x     | Cu (at. %) | Zn (at. %) | Sn (at. %) | Ge (at. %) | S (at. %) | Cu/(Zn + Sn + Ge) | Zn/(Sn + Ge) | Cu/Zn |
|-------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|------------------|--------------|-------|
| 0.00  | 25.04      | 12.10      | 0.00       | 11.87      | 50.99     | 1.04             | 1.02         | 2.07  |
| 0.13  | 25.38      | 12.01      | 1.50       | 10.03      | 51.08     | 1.68             | 1.04         | 2.11  |
| 0.51  | 27.05      | 12.96      | 6.44       | 6.21       | 47.34     | 1.06             | 1.02         | 2.09  |
| 0.70  | 23.94      | 12.39      | 8.64       | 3.75       | 51.28     | 0.97             | 1.00         | 1.93  |

Results and Discussion

Experimental results. As can be seen in Fig. 1, the dependence of ρ(T) is activated in all samples, weakening between x = 0 and 0.13 and strengthening with increasing x between 0.13–0.70. Such behavior is attributable to a different proximity of samples to the metal-insulator transition (MIT) at different x, which will be verified later. In addition, the dependence of ρ on x is also different within two intervals of the composition. This is visible in the inset to Fig. 1, where ρ(x) exhibits a sharp increase between x = 0–0.13 and weakening with further increasing x at any T, especially at high temperatures. Preliminarily, such behavior is attributable to a possible existence of the SN phase at x = 0, which is expectable in the pure CZGS compound, as well as the KS phase (see Section "Materials and Methods"). However, the SN phase is usually less stable than the KS phase29,31. So, in CZTGS it becomes unstable even at a small Sn content, transforming probably into a KS-like phase already at x = 0.13. Therefore, further evolution of the material properties should be smoother, reflecting only differences between the KS phases of the border compounds. This agrees with weakening of the ρ(x) dependence above x = 0.13. Such conjecture, addressed to a deeper discussion of the resistivity depending on T and x, will be verified below, too.

MR, ΔρMR ≡ [ρ(B) − ρ(0)]/ρ(0), is positive (pMR) almost everywhere in samples with x = 0 and 0.13, as visible in Fig. 2(a,b), respectively. A small overall negative (nMR) effect with the maximum absolute values of ~4 × 10^−4 at T = 65 K and ~3 × 10^−4 at T = 77 K is observed at x = 0 only in fields below B ~ 3 − 4 T, decreasing rapidly with B and T. On the other hand, in samples with x = 0.51 and especially x = 0.70 the behavior of MR is quite different, as can be seen in Fig. 2(c,d), respectively. Namely, in the former pMR is observed in high fields at any temperature (Fig. 2(c)), whereas the nMR contribution appears with lowering B already at 180 K, which is visible in the inset to Fig. 2(c). The overall nMR effect is much stronger at x = 0.51 than at x = 0, attaining a maximum up to 6.6 × 10^−4. Moreover, below B ~ 5 T the dependence of nMR on T between 50–77 K is quite weak, shifting only the position of the nMR minimum to higher fields. In addition, the shape of the MR curves is different within various temperature intervals, exhibiting a substantial broadening above 77 K. The behavior of MR in the sample with x = 0.70 is even more complicated, exhibiting an increase of the nMR contribution with T increasing between 50–77 K, as evident in the inset to Fig. 2(d). Additionally, the overall nMR effect is higher at x = 0.70 than at x = 0.51.
doped semiconductors. However, they have been already observed in the pure CZGS\textsuperscript{24} and, partially, in the Cu\textsubscript{2}ZnSn\textsubscript{Ge\textsubscript{1-x}Se\textsubscript{x}} alloys\textsuperscript{32}.

Temperature dependence of the resistivity at \( B = 0 \). In kesterites and related compounds, different mechanisms of the charge transfer have been observed within different temperature intervals \( \Delta T \). These include (i) the nearest-neighbor hopping (NNH) at \( T \) lying within \( \Delta T \textsubscript{nn} \), (ii) the Mott VRH hopping inside the interval \( \Delta T \textsubscript{M} \) and (iii) thermal activation of charge carriers into an interval of delocalized states in the acceptor band at temperatures within \( \Delta T \textsubscript{a} \). In all cases above, \( \rho (T) \) is given by a universal expression,

\[
\rho(T) = \rho_0(T) \exp \left[ \frac{T_0}{T} \right],
\]

(1)
where $p = 1$ for the cases (i) and (iii), and $p = 4$ for the mechanism (ii). The prefactor $\rho_0(T) \propto T^{-1}$ for the NNH conduction, $\rho_0(T) \propto T^{-1/4}$ for the Mott VRH conduction, and $\rho_0 = \text{const}$ for the last out of the cases above. For the case (ii), the exponential factor of Eq. (1) is governed by the characteristic temperature, $T_0 = \beta/k_B g(\mu) a^3$, where $\beta = 21$, $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant, $g(\mu)$ is the density of states (DOS) at the Fermi level, $\mu$, and $a$ is the localization radius of charge carriers $^{42-44}$. For the cases (i) and (iii), instead of $T_0$ the corresponding activation energies, $E_n = k_B T_0$ and $E_a = k_B T_0$ are used, respectively. Here, $E_a = |E_c - \mu|$, where $E_c$ is the mobility edge of the acceptor band (AB) and $\mu$ is the Fermi energy $^{42,43}$.

In quaternary chalcogenides, the widest temperature interval belongs to the Mott VRH conduction $^{22-25}$. Therefore, here we start the analysis of $\rho(T)$ by searching the interval $\Delta T_M$ and determination of $T_0$. As follows from Fig. 3(a), $\rho(T)$ can be linearized according to Eq. (1) at $p = 4$ within intervals of $\Delta T_M$ (see Table 2). The values of $T_0$ have been obtained from the slopes of the plots in Fig. 3(a) and are shown in Fig. 4(a) along with the corresponding width of the AB, $W$, found with the expression $W \approx 0.5 k_B (T_v T_0)^{1/4}$ $^{34,35}$. Here, $T_v$ is the onset of the VRH conduction on cooling (i.e. the right edge of the $\Delta T_M$ intervals in Table 2).

If $\mu$ lies close to the AB edge, the deviations of $\rho(T)$ from the Mott law above $T_v$ can be explained by the transition to the NNH conduction (see above), where $E_a \approx W^{44}$. As can be seen in Fig. 3(b), for all samples $\rho(T)$ can be linearized according to Eq. (1) at $p = 1$ within the intervals $\Delta T_n$ collected in Table 2. The values of $E_n$, obtained from the linear fit of the plots in Fig. 3(b) within the intervals $\Delta T_n$, are displayed in Fig. 4(a) along with the $W$ data, demonstrating a complete agreement with the latter at an error given by the size of the data points.

In turn, deviations of $\rho(T)$ in the low-temperature (LT) intervals, $\Delta T_a$, lying below $\Delta T_M$, are attributable to the case (iii) above. Indeed, the plots in Fig. 3(c) demonstrate a good linearity within intervals $\Delta T_a$ evidently below the temperatures of the Mott VRH conduction region (see Table 2) and yielding the data of $E_a$, displayed in Fig. 4(a).

Figure 3. The plots of $\ln(\rho T^{-1/4})$ vs. $T^{-1/4}$ (a), the plots of $\ln(\rho/T)$ vs. $1000/T$ (b), the plots of $\ln(\rho)$ vs. $1000/T$ (c), and the plots of PD vs. $s$ (d). Some of the plots are shifted along the vertical axes by the values, given in parenthesis, for convenience. The lines are linear fits.

| $x$ | $\Delta T_n$ (K) | $\Delta T_M$ (K) | $\Delta T_a$ (K) | $m^*$ |
|----|----------------|----------------|----------------|------|
| 0.00 | 265–310 | 95–145 | 50–65 | 0.49 |
| 0.13 | 170–195 | 80–150 | 50–60 | 0.60 |
| 0.51 | 270–310 | 95–180 | 50–60 | 0.54 |
| 0.70 | 265–310 | 80–165 | 55–75 | 0.51 |

Table 2. The temperature intervals $\Delta T_n$, $\Delta T_M$ and $\Delta T_a$ of the NNH, VRH and LT conductivities, respectively, and the values of the effective mass, $m^*$ (in units of $m_0$).
Finally, the values of \( s = 1/p \) were obtained with a “percentage deviation” (PD) method, where PD represents the relative difference between the experimental and calculated resistivity data. 

This was done by minimizing PD inside the intervals \( \Delta T_M, \Delta T_n \) and \( \Delta T_a \), at different values of \( s \) (see refs. 22, 34 for details). We found a complete agreement between the values of \( s \) and \( 1/p \) for all samples. An example of such procedure is displayed in Fig. 3(d) for \( x = 0.51 \).

**Analysis of the magnetoresistance.** In the domain of the Mott VRH conduction, pMR is connected mainly to shrinkage of the impurity wave functions by the magnetic field. In particular, this mechanism is the only one leading to pMR in weak magnetic fields of \( \lambda \gg a \), where \( \lambda = [\hbar/(eB)]^{1/2} \) is the magnetic length, \( \hbar \) is the Planck constant and \( e \) is the elementary charge. Here, pMR is given by the expression

\[
\ln\left(\frac{\rho(T, B)}{\rho(T, 0)}\right) = A(T)B^2,
\]

where \( A(T) = A_0 T^{-3/4}, A_0 = t(e^2 a^4/\hbar^2)T_0^{3/4} \) and \( t = 5/201644 \). Because the overall nMR effect at \( x = 0 \) is small (see Section “Experimental results”), Eq. (2) can be utilized for the analysis of MR in this sample at least for the strong enough B values, where the nMR contribution is expected to be negligible. In the sample with \( x = 0.13 \) the overall nMR effect is not observed, so that Eq. (2) can be utilized without any restrictions, excluding only that of \( \lambda \gg a \) mentioned above. However, at \( x = 0.51 \) and 0.70 the nMR contribution is increased to be taken into account more carefully.

As has been demonstrated recently, the most probable mechanism of nMR in CZGS is connected with quantum interference effects in the Mott VRH conduction interval. Therefore, we can utilize this mechanism for interpretation of nMR in our CZTGS alloys, too, especially taking into account the close similarity of the MR behavior in both materials, mentioned in Section “Experimental results”. Accordingly, for not too low magnetic fields nMR contribution can be written as

\[
\frac{\Delta \rho}{\rho} = -a(T)B, \quad a(T) \propto T^{-3/4}, \quad A(T) = \frac{T_0^{3/4}}{\lambda^{3/4}}.
\]

Taking into account the smallness of MR in our samples, providing a good accuracy for the approximative relation of \( \ln[\rho(T, B)/\rho(T, 0)] = \Delta \rho/\rho \), we can express pMR with Eq. (2) as \( (\Delta \rho/\rho)_{p} = A(T)B^2 \). Therefore, using the conventional expression of \( \Delta \rho = (\Delta \rho)_{p} + (\Delta \rho)_{n} \), one finds the equation...
\[ \Delta \rho/\rho + a_1(T)B = A(T)B^2, \]

available for the analysis of MR in samples with \( x = 0.51 \) and 0.70, which can be performed here with the method, applied recently for CZGS.\(^{24} \) Namely, the values of \( a_1(T) \) can be found by plotting the left-hand side of Eq. (3) vs. \( B^2 \) and varying \( a_1 \) up to reach the best linearization of the plots. The latter can be done by minimizing the standard deviation (SD) of the plots, under an additional condition for the linear parts of the plots to pass through the origin.\(^{24} \)

Then, the values of \( A(T) \) can be found from the slope of the plots shown in Fig. 5. Namely, this is provided by good linearity of these plots, excluding only the cases of \( T = 50 \) and 65 K in the sample with \( x = 0 \), deviating from the linearity with decreasing \( B \), as seen in Fig. 5(a), due to a small nMR contribution (see Section "Experimental results"). However, this does not look to be a big problem, because the linear parts are still broad enough in the scale of Fig. 5(a) and, additionally, these temperature points lie outside the Mott VRH interval (cf. Table 2).

Eventually, the data of \( A(T) \) are plotted vs. \( T^{-3/4} \) in Fig. 4(b), exhibiting a good linearity within the whole interval \( \Delta T_\text{M} \) of the Mott VRH conduction (cf. Table 2). A small deviation of the data point for \( x = 0.5 \) from the perfect linearity takes place only at \( T = 180 \) K (or \( T^{-3/4} \approx 0.02 \) in the scale of Fig. 4(b)) lying just on the border of \( \Delta T_\text{M} \). On the other hand, the stronger deviations of the plots in Fig. 4(b) from the linear behavior takes place in all samples with decreasing \( T \), but this occurs already below \( \Delta T_\text{M} \) (see Table 2). In addition, the dependences of \( a_1(T) \) \( \propto T^{-3/4} \) also take place in both samples with \( x = 0.51 \) and 0.70, as can be seen in Fig. 4(c), violating only outside the Mott VRH conduction interval at \( x = 0.7 \).

Hence, in our material both the nMR and the pMR contributions to MR demonstrate the field and temperature dependences, which are in a complete agreement with mechanisms described above. Finally, the data of \( A_0 \) have been determined from the slope of the linear parts of the plots in Fig. 4(b) and are displayed in Fig. 4(a).

**Determination of microscopic parameters and analysis of \( E_a \).** First of all, a pair of the parameters, \( a \) and \( g(\mu) \), can be found directly with the pair of the corresponding expressions of \( T_0 \) and \( A_0 \), given in the text below Eqs (1) and (2), respectively. The obtained values of \( a \) and \( g(\mu) \) are plotted vs. \( x \) in Fig. 6(a). Next, for the analysis of the \( E_a \) data and determination of further electronic parameters we use a general expression of \( a \),

\[ a = a_0(1 - N/N_C)^{-\nu}, \]

where \( N \) and \( N_C \) are the acceptor concentration and the critical concentration of the MIT, respectively\(^{21} \). Here, the value of the localization radius far from the MIT, \( a_0 \), is usually close to the Bohr radius, \( a_0 = \hbar^2/eq/(m*^2)\), where
$\kappa_0$ is the dielectric permittivity of the material far from the MIT, $m^*$ is the carrier effective mass and $\nu \approx 1$ is the critical exponent. Another expression of the localization radius, is given by the equation.

$$a = a_0 \left(1 - \frac{W + \mu}{W + E_c}\right)^{-\nu}. \quad (5)$$

Here, the energy is measured from the center of AB, $E_A$, which represents the mean energy of the acceptor levels, so that $\mu < E_c < 0$. Finally, we approximate the DOS of AB with the Gaussian shape, which yields the expression.

$$g(\mu) = N/(\pi^{1/2} W) \exp[-(\mu/W)^2]. \quad (6)$$

The subsequent analysis of $E_a$ can be performed with Eqs (4–6) by assuming the relation $a_0 = a_B$ at $\kappa_0 = 7$. Indeed, this value of $\kappa_0$ has been deduced from the capacitance spectra of CZTS, whereas the close data of $\kappa_0 = 6.68$ and 6.8 have been predicted with the first-principle calculations for CZGS with the KS and SN structures, respectively. Therefore, the overall variation of $\kappa_0$ with $x$ can be neglected, deviating from the value of $\kappa_0 = 7$ only by a few percent. Eventually, we use the universal Mott criterion, $N_c^{1/3} a_B \approx 0.25$.

Hence, the only unknown parameter, required for calculations of $\mu$, $E_c$ and, finally, $E_a$ is the effective mass $m^*$. This parameter can be obtained by an explicit fit of the experimental $E_a$ data with the expression $E_a = |\mu - E_c|$, using the following simple procedure: (i) taking a certain $m^*$ value, one can evaluate $a_0 = a_B$ and $N_c$ with the expression of $a_B$ above and with the Mott criterion, respectively; (ii) then $N$ can be obtained with Eq. (4) by utilization of the $a$ data in Fig. 6(a); (iii) the knowledge of the parameters $N$ and $g(\mu)$, where the data of $g(\mu)$ are displayed Fig. 6(a), too, permits determination of $\mu$ with Eq. (6); (iv) then, $E_c$ can be calculate with Eq. (5); (v) finally, $E_a$ can be found with the difference of $\mu$ and $E_c$, obtained above. Such procedure has been repeated for each of the $E_a$ values in Fig. 4(a) by variation of $m^*$ up to a complete agreement of the experimental and calculated $E_a$ values, and the resulting data of $m^*$ vs. $x$ are presented in Fig. 7(a). For convenience, they are collected also in Table 2.

The obtained $m^*$ values can be compared with the calculated data of the effective mass, using the mean values $m = (m_t m_l)^{1/3}$, which determine $a_B$ in a case of the non-spherical carrier spectrum. Here, $m_t$ and $m_l$ is the transversal and the longitudinal effective mass components, respectively, of the holes in the uppermost valence band, which have been predicted for CZTS and CZGeS with the first-principle calculations. Hence, using the data of
ref.54 we find the following values (in units of the free electron mass, $m_0$): $m_{KS\text{ (CZGS)}} = 0.61$, $m_{SN\text{ (CZGS)}} = 0.48$, $m_{KS\text{ (CZTS)}} = 0.49$ and $m_{SN\text{ (CZTS)}} = 0.44$, for the KS-CZGS, SN-CZGS, KS-CZTS and SN-CZTS phases, respectively.

The comparison of the data above with the values of $m^*$ in Table 2 indicates a close proximity of $m^*$ at $x = 0$ to $m_{SN\text{ (CZGS)}}$, whereas $m^*$ at $x = 0.13$ is much closer to $m_{KS\text{ (CZGS)}}$. In turn, for $x$ between 0.13 and 0.70 the data of $m^*$ are lying between those of $m_{KS\text{ (CZGS)}}$ and $m_{KS\text{ (CZTS)}}$, or $m_{SN\text{ (CZTS)}}$. Then, to account for a gradual variation of the phase content in CZTGS with $x$, we apply the linear dependences of the mean effective mass between $x = 0.13$ and 0.70 in the following forms:

- $m_{(KS\text{ 0+KS})\text{ 1}} = m_{KS\text{ (CZGS)}} + [m_{KS\text{ (CZTS)}} - m_{KS\text{ (CZGS)}}]x$,
- $m_{(KS\text{ 0+SN})\text{ 1}} = m_{KS\text{ (CZGS)}} + [m_{KS\text{ (CZTS)}} + m_{SN\text{ (CZTS)}}]/2 - m_{KS\text{ (CZGS)}}]x$, and
- $m_{(KS\text{ 0+SN})\text{ 1}} = m_{KS\text{ (CZGS)}} + [m_{SN\text{ (CZTS)}} - m_{KS\text{ (CZGS)}}]x$.

Such dependences covering different possible phases of the border compounds at $x = 0$ and 1 (given above by the subscripts 0 and 1, respectively), including a mixed KS and SN phase denoted here as KS&SN, are plotted in Fig. 7(a) with the straight lines along with the experimental $m^*$ data (open circles). The data point for the pure SN-CZGS mass (single oblique cross) is added to Fig. 7(a) for completeness.

As follows from Fig. 7(a), the contribution of the SN-CZTS phase is out of game, because $m_{KS\text{ (CZTS)}} (SN\text{ (CZTS)})$ (line 3) is close to $m^*$ only at $x = 0.13$, where the impacts of any CZTS phases are simply too small. This means that the SN-CZTS phase, being less stable in the pure CZTS compound than the KS-CZTS phase, cannot be stabilized even by introduction of Ge. On the other hand, a coincidence of $m_{KS\text{ (CZTS)}} (KS\&SN\text{ (CZTS)})$ (line 2) with $m^*$ at $x = 0.70$ suggests a more importance of the mixed KS and SN structure of CZTS, contributing to the CZTGS alloy phase content, than that of the pure KS-CZTS phase (line 1 lies clearly above $m^*$ at $x = 0.70$). Eventually, both lines 1 and 2 lie equivalently around the data point of $m^*$ at $x = 0.51$, which does not permit to make a comprehensive conclusion at this point of the CZTGS alloy, because the mixed (KS&SN)-CZTS phase, generally, cannot coexist with the pure KS-CZTS phase.

On the other hand, the issue above can be clarified by the direct calculation of $E_a$ vs. $x$ with the linear dependences of the effective mass between $x = 0.13$ and 0.70 given above, being performed without any fitting procedure, as displayed in Fig. 7(b). Here, the calculations can be realized only at selected values of $x$, and the lines

Figure 7. The plots of the experimental effective mass $m^*$ vs. $x$ (open circles). The lines 1–3 are evaluated as described in the text. The single oblique cross represents the effective mass value for the SN-CZGS phase (a). The dependence of the experimental activation energy $E_a$ vs. $x$ (open circles). The data given by the closed up triangles, closed circles and closed down triangles are evaluated, as described in the text. The lines are spline interpolations of the data points. The single oblique cross represents the $E_a$ value, calculated with the effective mass of the SN-CZGS structure (b). The dependences of $E_a$, $W$, $|\mu|$ and $|E_c|$ on $x$. The lines are to guide the eye (c).
in Fig. 7(b) are only the spline interpolations of the data evaluated at \( x = 0.13, 0.51 \) and 0.70, respectively. The calculated value of \( E_r \) at \( x = 0 \) with \( m_{SN}(CZGS) \) is also presented in Fig. 7(b) with the oblique cross point, exhibiting a reasonable agreement with the experimental \( E_r \) value. The contribution of the SN-CZTS phase (closed up triangles) to \( E_r \) does not exist again, since at \( x = 0.51 \) and 0.70 the corresponding calculated values lie substantially above both these experimental data points. The calculated \( E_r \) value for the mixed (KS&SN)-CZTS phase (closed circles) lie close to the experimental data point at \( x = 0.70 \), which confirms the main role of this phase in formation of the alloy structure at this composition, following from Fig. 7(a). On the other hand, the calculated \( E_r \) value for the pure KS-CZTS phase (closed down triangles) satisfies the experimental \( E_r \) value at \( x = 0.51 \) much better, than for the mixed (KS&SN)-CZTS phase. This reflects the dominating contribution of the pure KS-CZTS phase at \( x = 0.51 \), which removes the ambiguity at this point in Fig. 7(a).

Finally, the parameters following from the explicit fit of \( E_r \) above, including \( N, N_c \) and \( a_0 \), as well as the ratios of \( N_0/N_c \) and \( a/a_0 \) are displayed in Fig. 6(a–c), respectively. In addition, the data of \( E_r \) and \( \mu \) are given in Fig. 7(c) along with the \( W \) values. The data of \( E_r \), evaluated with the expression for hydrogenic acceptor level, \( E_a = h^2/(2m^* a_0^2) \), are presented in Fig. 7(a), too.

**Discussion**

First, the conductivity mechanisms have been determined within temperature intervals \( \Delta T_a, \Delta T_c \) and \( \Delta T_w \) with two different methods, including linearization of the \( \rho(T) \) data in Fig. 3 (a–c) and application of the percentage deviation method in Fig. 3 (d). The results obtained with both methods are mutually consistent. This provides evidence for the NNH and VRH charge transfer within the temperature intervals \( \Delta T_a \) and \( \Delta T_c \), respectively. A special attention has been paid to the LT conduction mechanism, characterized by the activation energy \( E_a \).

Namely, we have found that the values of \( E_a \) obtained in the LT region of \( \Delta T_a \), display a reasonable agreement with those calculated with the expression of \( E_a = [\mu - E_F] \) in previous Section. This gives a strong support to the nature of the low-temperature activated conduction mechanism, acting below the Mott VRH conduction temperatures within \( \Delta T_M \) (Table 2), and connected with thermal activation of holes into the region of the delocalized states of AB (see previous Section). It is important to note, that \( E_a \) appears to be rather sensitive to the details of the CZTGS alloy structure, which is evident from previous Section and permits estimation of contributions of the different CZGS and CZTS phases to the CZTGS alloy structure. In particular, a steep increase of \( \rho(x) \) between \( x = 0 \) and 0.13, ascribed tentatively in Section “Experimental results” to the possible transition from the SN to KS phase of CZGS and followed by a weaker \( \rho(x) \) dependence between \( x = 0.13–0.70 \), finds a convincing explanation by the analysis of \( E_a(x) \).

Next, the joint analysis of \( \rho(T) \) and \( nMR \) data permitted determination of such important microscopic parameters as \( W, E_c \) and \( g(\mu) \), characterizing the energy spectrum of the holes in AB, as well as those of \( a, N, N_c \) and \( E_r \). As can be seen in Figs 4, 6 and 7, the majority of these parameters (excluding only \( E_r \)) exhibit extremums near \( x = 0.13 \), similar to those of \( \rho(x) \) and \( E_a(x) \) in Figs 1 and 7(b), respectively. This suggests a similar reason for such extremums, reflecting the transition above, too. On the other hand, the cation ratios in the analyzed samples are also not constant and reaches the maximum for the sample with \( x = 0.13 \) (see the values in the last three columns) to Table 1). Taking into account the overwhelming effect of \( C_{x_S} \) defects to the AB formation, the influence of \( Cu/Zn \) ratio should be always considered in the quaternary compounds containing these cations.

As can be seen in Fig. 6(c), samples with different \( x \) are characterized by various values of \( N_0/N_c \) and \( a/a_0 \), implying their different proximity to the MIT according to Eq. (4). This supports completely the corresponding conjecture formulated in the beginning of Section "Experimental results".

Finally, as follows from Fig. 2 and the corresponding discussion in Section "Experimental results", nMR at \( x = 0 \) is quite small, whereas at \( x = 0.13 \) is even absent. At the same time, nMR for \( x = 0.51 \) becomes important, and at \( x = 0.70 \), closest to CZTS, nMR attains the maximum value. The reason to such behavior is that nMR due to the quantum interference effects in the VRH conduction domain is highly sensitive to the degree of disorder, which is indicated by the \( W \) value. As can be seen in Fig. 4(a), \( W \) in samples with \( x = 0 \) and 0.13 is substantially smaller, than in those with \( x = 0.51 \) and 0.70, which is in line with the strong sensitivity of nMR to the disorder, mentioned above.

**Conclusions**

We have investigated the resistivity and the magnetoresistance in the \( \text{Cu}_2\text{ZnSn}_{1-x}\text{Ge}_x\text{S}_4 \) single crystals. The analysis of \( \rho(T) \) and MR data permits identification of the conductivity mechanisms within different temperature intervals between \( T \sim 50–300 \, \text{K} \). Namely, at high temperatures the conduction is realized by the NNH charge transfer, followed by the Mott VRH conduction with decreasing temperature, and eventually by the activation of holes into the interval of delocalized states of the acceptor band, observed within the lowest temperature interval. Detailed analysis of the activation energy in the latter interval gives evidence for the transition of CZTGS from the SN phase to a KS phase with increasing \( x \) between 0–0.13, and the subsequent smooth evolution of the material within a KS-like structure. The contributions of different phases, pertinent to the border compounds, to the mixed-phase alloy state have been estimated. The values of the important microscopic parameters of the material, including width of the acceptor band, the localization radius and the density of states at the Fermi level, as well as the acceptor concentration have been determined. All the parameters above exhibit extremums near \( x = 0.13 \), which is connected mainly to the crystalline structure phase transformation near this point, as well as to the possible influence of the \( Cu/Zn \) ratio.
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