Comparative study between insulin bolus regimen and glucose insulin infusion regimen on effectiveness of intraoperative blood glucose control in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus undergoing non-cardiac surgery
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Background: The surgical rates are comparatively greater among diabetic patients. Surgery, a period of stress, mandates fasting and anti-diabetic medications are skipped on the morning of the procedure. High blood glucose levels in the peri-operative period can lead to ischaemia, infection, acute kidney injury and electrolyte disturbances. Hence, control of blood glucose levels during the peri-operative period becomes important and there are various regimens described. We compared two of the existing regimens, intravenous insulin bolus and glucose insulin infusion regimen, for their effectiveness of intraoperative blood glucose control.

Method: In this randomized, prospective controlled study, 120 patients were enrolled with 60 patients in bolus group and 60 in infusion group. Capillary blood glucose was measured every hour during the intra-operative period. The primary outcome measure was proportion of patients in the target glucose levels between 100 mg/dL and 180 mg/dL and proportion of intra-operative surgery time (in minutes) during which patient was in the target range. Secondary outcome measure was serum potassium levels pre-operatively and post-operatively.

Results: The proportion of patients in the target range in the bolus group was 39 out of 60 as compared to 31 out of 60 in the infusion group (P=0.13). Also, proportion of intraoperative surgery time (in minutes) during which patient was in the target glycaemic range was 41.57% in bolus group when compared to 27.22% in the infusion group (P < 0.05). No hypoglycaemic episodes were seen in any of the patients in the two groups.

Conclusion: As compared with infusion regimen, bolus regimen provides better glycaemic control measured in terms of target blood glucose levels and duration for which the patient remained in the target range.
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Introduction
The rate of surgeries in diabetic patients are more when compared to non-diabetic patients.¹,² Surgery under general or regional anaesthesia requires patient to be nil by mouth and glucose control medications omitted during this period. Glucose balance in the body can change due to metabolic disturbances occurring as a result of surgical stress.

Continuous high blood glucose levels can predispose to infection post-surgery, ischaemia of brain and impaired wound healing.³ It is also a risk factor for diabetic emergencies perioperatively, which can lead to negative prognostic consequences. Gastrointestinal derangements induced by drugs, stress and anaesthesia can cause nausea, vomiting and dehydration. Also, osmotic diuresis induced by hyperglycaemia leads to volume contraction. These place the patients at risk for acute kidney injury. Electrolyte imbalance because of altered glucose levels may increase the risk for arrhythmias. Hence, the metabolic profile of these patients who undergo surgery must be attended to perioperatively.³⁶ The focus of these diabetic patients during surgery is prevention of either severely low or high blood sugar levels.⁵

There are many regimens that are described for perioperative blood glucose control each having its own advantages and disadvantages.

Intravenous insulin bolus is simple and reliable method for intra-operative glycaemic control and has been described by Poldermann et al.⁷ Intravenous insulin infusion along with glucose is another popular regimen for intra-operative blood glucose management.⁸ We compared the intra-operative glycaemic levels between insulin
bolus regimen and glucose insulin infusion regimen. The aim was to compare the intraoperative capillary blood glucose levels between intravenous insulin bolus regimen and glucose insulin infusion regimen in diabetic patients. The primary objective was to compare the intra-operative capillary blood glucose levels in patients managed using insulin bolus and glucose insulin infusion regimen. The secondary objective was to compare the preoperative and postoperative serum potassium levels.

Methods
Trial design
This randomized, prospective study was a single centred trial conducted at Kasturba Hospital, Manipal, Karnataka, India, after obtaining approval of the Institutional Ethics Committee. The study was registered with Clinical Trials Registry India (CTRI/2017/12/011037).

Eligibility criteria
Patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (diagnosed from past history or medicine consultation) between 18 and 70 years with preoperative fasting blood glucose value > 150 mg/dL undergoing elective and emergency non-cardiac surgery of at least one hour were eligible for inclusion. Patients scheduled under local anaesthesia were not included. Patients with known history of cardiac, respiratory, renal, hepatic failure (either compensated or decompensated, as indicated by physician’s evaluation and laboratory investigations), those having active infection or taking steroids (irrespective of whether on insulin therapy or not) were excluded. Also, pregnant females and those with serum potassium below the reference range (<3.5 mmol/L) were excluded.

Randomization
Consecutive patients scheduled for surgery were considered for enrolment. If eligible, the participants were randomized to one of the two groups, group bolus or group infusion in the study.

We used simple randomization method. Randomization was done with the help of a computer-generated table. The principal investigator generated the random allocated sequence, enrolled participants and assigned them to interventions. Patients and investigators were not blinded for the treatment.

Interventions
During preanaesthetic evaluation the patients were explained about the study methodology and written informed consent for participation in the study was obtained. Preoperative fasting instruction was nil per orally 8 hours for solids and 2 hours for clear fluids (based on local practice guidelines). All patients received acid aspiration prophylaxis with ranitidine 150mg and metoclopramide 10mg orally, on the previous night and on the morning of the surgery. All patients were advised to skip the oral hypoglycaemic agents or insulin injections on the day of surgery. Patients who had received long acting insulin on the night before the surgery were started on 5% dextrose in 0.9% saline infusion with insulin added based on morning value of fasting blood glucose. During fasting period, decision to start on 5% dextrose in 0.9% saline with neutralizing dose of insulin was as per the concerned anaesthesiology consultant in charge of the operating room. This fluid was discontinued in the operating room. The technique of anaesthesia depended upon the requirement of the patient as per the concerned anaesthesiology consultant in charge of the operating room. After arrival of the patient in the operating room and before induction of anaesthesia, random capillary blood glucose was measured (zero hour) and patients with values of >150 mg/dL were included in the study. Subsequently capillary blood glucose was measured every hourly using glucometer (Accu-Chek Performa and Accu-Chek Performa glucose strips; error of measurement is ±15% of the measured glucose values when compared with standard laboratory values) until the end of the surgery.

For the patients in group bolus, intravenous insulin - Monocomponent Human Insulin, biosynthetic r-DNA origin – Human Actrapid® (manufactured by Torrent Pharmaceuticals Ltd, Indrad 382 721, Dist: Mehsana, India, under license from Novo Nordisk India Private Ltd. Whitefield, Bangalore) was administered as given in Table 1.

This regimen was adapted from a trial published by Polderman et al, converting mmol/L to mg/dL using multiplication factor 18 for conversion of mmol/L to mg/dL (1mmol/L = 18 mg/dL), since the common mode of blood glucose measurement in our practice is in mg/dL.
In an event of hypoglycaemia (blood glucose level < 70 mg/dL), treatment was provided as bolus 100ml 5% dextrose in water (D5W) rapidly followed by repeat blood sugar level after 15mins. For the patients in group infusion, insulin was administered as infusion in 100ml of D5W taken in a burette set as given in Table 2. Before the start of infusion, 10ml of 5% D5W + 1 IU insulin was added and mixed well in the burette, flushed through the tubings and discarded. After measuring the blood glucose value, burette was filled with the 100ml of infusing solution and according to Table 2 insulin was added to the burette through the side arm using an insulin syringe. The blood glucose measurements were performed when the burette got empty at the end of one hour, using a glucometer.

Patients in both the groups received 0.9% saline to meet their fluid requirements. In both the groups, preoperative serum potassium value was compared with the postoperative serum potassium level measured after 1 hour of surgery.

**Outcome measures**

The primary outcome measures were (a) The proportion of patients in the target glucose levels between 100mg/dl and 180mg/dl and (b) proportion of intraoperative surgery time (in minutes) during which patient was in the target glucose range. The secondary outcome measure was serum potassium level.

**Sample size**

With 80% power and a difference of 10% of patients who could be maintained in the target glucose level (100-180mg/dl) between the two studied regimens considered clinically significant, the sample size was estimated to be 360. Since the estimated sample size was relatively large with 360 patients, provision was made during the study design phase for two interim analyses i.e. when 120 patients and then 240 patients were enrolled. Interim analysis was done when two arms had a sample size of 60 each. It was found that the desired power and effect was achieved.

**Statistical methods**

The proportion of patients in the target glucose levels between 100mg/dl and 180mg/dl and the proportion of intra-operative surgery time (in minutes) during which the patient was in the target range were analysed with Chi square test. The secondary outcome measure (serum potassium level compared between preoperative value and postoperative value within the group) was tested with t test. Other statistical tests were used appropriately as required. SPSS 19 for Windows was used for statistical analysis.

**Results**

Patient characteristics and duration of surgery are given in Table 3. CONSORT flow chart is given in Figure 1. Comparison of proportion of patients in the target glycaemic range showed that 39 patients (65%) in bolus group and 31 patients (51.66%) in infusion group remained in the target glycaemic range in the intraoperative period. This was comparable (P=0.138, Chi square test).

---

**Table 1: Bolus insulin regimen**

| Glucose measurement (mmol/L) | Glucose Measurement (mg/dL) | Insulin bolus (IU) |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| 4-8                         | 72-144                      | -                 |
| 8-9                         | 145-162                     | 2                 |
| 9-10                        | 163-180                     | 3                 |
| 10-11                       | 181-198                     | 4                 |
| 11-12                       | 199-216                     | 5                 |
| 12-13                       | 217-234                     | 6                 |
| 13-14                       | 235-252                     | 7                 |
| 14-15                       | 253-270                     | 8                 |
| 15-16                       | 271-288                     | 9                 |
| >16                         | >288                        | 10                |

---

**Table 2: Insulin infusion regimen**

| Blood glucose (mg/dL) | Treatment |
|-----------------------|-----------|
| <70                   | Stop insulin infu. if any. Rapidly infuse 100ml of D5W. Measure blood sugar after 15 min. |
| 71 to 100             | Stop insulin if any. Infuse 100mL/h of D5W |
| 101 to 150            | 1 U of insulin in 100mL of D5W/h |
| 151 to 200            | 2 U of insulin in 100mL of D5W/h |
| 201 to 250            | 3 U of insulin in 100mL of D5W/h |
| 251 to 300            | 4 U of insulin in 100mL of D5W/h |
| More than 300         | 1 U of insulin for every 1-50mg >100mg/dl in 100mL of NS/h |

D5W - 5% dextrose in water; NS - normal saline
Data are mean (standard deviation) for age and median (interquartile range) for duration of surgery. Patients remained in the target glycaemic range for 4440 minutes out of 10680 minutes of surgery in the bolus group and 2940 minutes out of 10800 minutes of surgery in the infusion group. Patients were in the target glycaemic range for a longer period of time (41.57% of the intraoperative period) in bolus group when compared to infusion group (27.22% of the intraoperative period). This difference was significant (P <0.001; Chi square test).

To see if preoperative glucose levels confounded the results, we compared the preoperative blood glucose levels between the two groups (Table 4). It is evident from the table that a greater number of patients in the bolus group were outside the target range before the start of surgery.

**Table 4:** Blood glucose range in patients before the start of surgery

| Blood glucose range (mg/dL) | Bolus group (number of patients) | Infusion group (number of patients) |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 150-180                     | 10                              | 17                                 |
| 181-210                     | 14                              | 35                                 |
| 211-240                     | 11                              | 8                                  |
| 241-270                     | 15                              | 1                                  |
| 271-300                     | 4                               | 0                                  |
| >300                        | 6                               | 1                                  |

Postoperative serum potassium level decreased in both the groups [from 4.34 (0.55) mmol/l to 4.13 (0.48) mmol/l in bolus group, P<0.001 and from 4.29 (0.48) mmol/l to 4.26 (0.36) mmol/l in infusion group, P=0.54; Data are mean (standard deviation), t test]] but was clinically and statistically not significant.

**Discussion**

An ideal blood glucose control regimen should give good glycaemic control with least incidence of hypoglycaemia. Optimal blood sugar control is essential not only in preventing untoward complications, but also reflects the quality of health care. In this regard, a simple, easy to use regimen will help in working towards these goals.

In this study, we chose to compare the proportion of patients in the target glucose levels between 100mg/dl and 180mg/dl and the proportion of intra-operative surgery time (in minutes) during which patient was in the target range as primary outcomes rather than comparing the absolute values of blood sugar at different time intervals to be more meaningful clinically. Insulin bolus regimen maintained the patients for longer duration of time in target glycaemic range (41.57% of intraoperative period) as compared to infusion group (27.22%), though there was no significant difference in the proportion of patients in the target range between both the groups. Also, we noted that though a greater number of patients were outside the target blood glucose range preoperatively in bolus group when compared to infusion group, we had better control of blood glucose with the former. This confirms the effectiveness of insulin bolus regimen in management of blood sugars during the intraoperative period, especially in patients with poor preoperative glycaemic control.

The major concern with intravenous insulin boluses is the fear of hypoglycaemia. In the group of patients that we studied, there was no hypoglycaemia with both the regimens. We also compared to see if there was any significant difference in the serum potassium levels between both the studied groups as insulin is known to cause decrease in serum potassium levels. We found that there was no significant difference between both the groups clinically, although it was statistically significant in bolus group.

Prior studies have shown varying results while comparing insulin bolus regimen with infusion regimen. But there are no studies to compare between intravenous bolus and infusion regimens in the intraoperative period.

Alberti et al and AAGBI guidelines in 2015 compared glucose control in two sets of diabetic patients and concluded that insulin infusion is a better choice in terms of glycaemic control over subcutaneous regimen. ADVANCE trial, study by Berghe et al and ACCORD study were conducted comparing two intravenous insulin regimens with different target ranges of blood glucose levels for the regimens. The above studies thus emphasize the effectiveness of intravenous insulin regimens with additional emphasis on the target blood glucose levels (between 100mg/dl and 180mg/dl).

Our study had some limitations. Blood glucose levels were monitored only once an hour. The fluctuations within this hour could not be captured since there is no monitor for continuous glucose monitoring. The onset time of intravenous human actrapid is immediate and
the peak effect is at 10-15 minutes. So, it is unlikely that these patients could have experienced hypoglycaemia and recovered from the same as well within one hour of monitoring. Markers of stress levels were not monitored in the study. So, despite the absence of baseline dextrose infusion in the bolus group blood glucose levels were maintained. Whether this happened at the expense of catabolism is not clear from this study. Preoperative glycaemic control was not compared between the groups using glycosylated haemoglobin levels.

Conclusion
Intravenous insulin bolus regimen as compared to insulin infusion regimen for intraoperative blood glucose management provides better glycaemic control measured in terms of proportion of intraoperative duration during which the patient remained in the target blood glucose levels. However, the proportion of patients in the target blood glucose levels was similar with both the regimens.
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