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ABSTRACT

We present for the first time metallicity maps generated using data from the Wide Field Spectrograph on the ANU 2.3 m of 10 luminous infrared galaxies (LIRGs) and discuss the abundance gradients and distribution of metals in these systems. We have carried out optical integral field spectroscopy (IFS) of several LIRGs in various merger phases to investigate the merger process. In a major merger of two spiral galaxies with preexisting disk abundance gradients, the changing distribution of metals can be used as a tracer of gas flows in the merging system as low-metallicity gas is transported from the outskirts of each galaxy to their nuclei. We employ this fact to probe merger properties by using the emission lines in our IFS data to calculate the gas-phase metallicity in each system. We create abundance maps and subsequently derive a metallicity gradient from each map. We compare our measured gradients to merger stage as well as several possible tracers of merger progress and observed nuclear abundances. We discuss our work in the context of previous abundance gradient observations and compare our results to new galaxy merger models that trace metallicity gradient. Our results agree with the observed flattening of metallicity gradients as a merger progresses. We compare our results with new theoretical predictions that include chemical enrichment. Our data show remarkable agreement with these simulations.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Galaxy collisions and mergers represent a key stage in the evolution of galaxies in the local universe and beyond. Throughout cosmic time gravitational forces have assembled ever larger galactic systems from the collisions and mergers of smaller fragments. Beginning with the theoretical Toomre (1977) sequence of merging galaxies, theoretical modeling of massive, merging galaxies now includes detailed physics, allowing us to make predictions about galaxy evolution over cosmic time. The history of chemical enrichment is tied to both star formation and the dynamic redistribution of gas throughout the lifetime of a galaxy and is drastically modified by galaxy merger events (Kobayashi 2004; Rupke et al. 2010a; Torrey et al. 2012).

Theory predicts that major mergers encourage the formation of bars in the stellar and gas disks, which induce vigorous gas inflows as the gas loses angular momentum to the stellar component (Barnes & Hernquist 1996). These inflows are thought to be responsible for fueling a massive central starburst and feeding an active galactic nucleus (AGN) and/or quasar activity (Mihos & Hernquist 1996; Barnes & Hernquist 1996). For a spiral galaxy with a preexisting metallicity gradient gas inflow flattens the gradient by diluting the higher abundance gas in the central regions with the lower abundance gas from the outer parts of the galaxy (Rupke et al. 2010b, 2010a; Kewley et al. 2010). This flattening is compounded as the spiral arms are stretched by tidal effects (Torrey et al. 2012). Here, we investigate this process in nearby luminous infrared galaxies (LIRGs).

LIRGs are excellent targets to inform the study of galaxy evolution. Although relatively rare, LIRGs compose the larger part of the IR luminosity of the universe by $z \sim 1$ (Le Floc’h et al. 2005). As a group LIRGs span merger stages from isolated to post-merger and contain massive starbursts, AGNs, shocks, and a variety of stellar population ages (Sanders & Mirabel 1996; Kewley et al. 2001; Sanders et al. 2003; Yuan et al. 2010). In most cases the starbursts and AGNs in LIRGs are driven by ongoing mergers and the progression of these mergers is correlated with a rise in the IR luminosity, with massive merging LIRGs eventually surpassing $L_{\text{IR}} = 10^{12} L_{\odot}$ to become ultraluminous infrared galaxies (ULIRGs; e.g., Sanders et al. 1988). Additionally, both merger activity and the incidence of U/LIRGs increase at higher redshift (de Ravel et al. 2009; Bundy et al. 2009). It is clear that merging, IR-luminous systems played an important role during the peak of star formation and in the assembly of present-day massive galaxies. This makes LIRGs an ideal target to study the mixing and re-distribution of heavy elements in mergers to test the predictions of merger models.

Kewley et al. (2006a) found the first observational link between merging spiral galaxies and tidally induced gas flow in the depressed nuclear metallicities of close merging pairs. Other studies of mass–metallicity and luminosity–metallicity correlations find that merging systems tend to be underabundant for their size and brightness (Lee et al. 2004; Rupke et al. 2008; Ellison et al. 2008). Theoretical modeling of the metal distribution in merging systems reproduces the observed nuclear underabundance and predicts a flattening of a preexisting abundance gradient as the merger progresses (Rupke et al. 2010a). Recent multi-slit spectroscopy of H\textsc{ii} regions in close-pair spiral galaxies confirmed that gas-phase metallicity gradients are indeed flatter in merging systems when compared to a control sample of isolated spirals (Kewley et al. 2010; Rupke et al. 2010b).

In this paper, we present an integral field spectroscopic (IFS) study of chemical abundances in nine nearby LIRGs. Our systems are a subset of a larger IFS sample of nearby U/LIRGs:
the Wide Field Spectrograph (WiFeS), Integral Field Unit (IFU), and Great Observatory All-Sky LIRG Survey (GOALS) Sample (WIGS). IFS data provide a wealth of useful information about nearby galaxies, allowing the observer to measure several aspects of the gas physics and stars over large areas. By using our IFS data to generate maps of emission-line fluxes, we can about nearby galaxies, allowing the observer to measure several

| Table 1 | WiFiS GOALS Metallicity Sample |
|---------|--------------------------------|
| IRAS No. | Other Name   | log(LIR/L⊙) | M_{K}  | P.A.  | Inclination | d25  | Merger Stage |
|---------|--------------|-------------|--------|-------|-------------|------|--------------|
| F01053−1746 | IC 1623 A/B | 11.71 | −24.61 | 63.4  | 34.8        | 1.05 | b            |
| 08355−4944  | ESO 374-IG032 | 11.78 | −23.70 | ...   | ...         | 0.30 | cde          |
| F10038−3338 | NGC 3256     | 11.64 | −24.78 | 83.2  | 48.7        | 0.76 | cde          |
| F10257−4339 | Arp 240      | 11.62 | −24.96 | 177.9 | 34.2/62.1   | 1.48 | 1.48 a       |
| F11037+0105 E/W | ESO 138-G027 | 11.41 | −24.23 | 41.7  | 51.2        | 1.07 | iso          |
| F11419−5744 N/S | IC 4687/4689 | 11.62 | −24.47 | 51.2/141.4 | 52.7/74.0 | 1.15 | 0.91 b       |
| F12341−5732 | IC 4734      | 11.35 | −24.48 | 102.6 | 57.4        | 1.32 | iso          |
| F19115−2124 | ESO 593-IG008 | 11.93 | −25.68 | ...   | ...         | 0.55 | b            |

Notes. Names and IR luminosities are taken from the Armus et al. (2009) summary of the GOALS sample. M_{K} is derived using 2MASS data. P.A., inclination, and d25 for each system are all taken from the HyperLeda-derived values (Paturel et al. 2003) when possible; those with suspect/unavailable values are noted with ellipses. The merger morphology scheme values (adapted from Yuan et al. (2010) when available) are given in the final column. Some of the sources classified as “a” or “b” have multiple pointings of individual galaxies.

2. SAMPLE, OBSERVATIONS, AND DATA REDUCTION

2.1. Our Sample

Our targets are drawn from the GOALS sample (Armus et al. 2009). GOALS is a multi-wavelength survey of the brightest 60 μm extragalactic sources in the local universe (log(LIR/L⊙) > 11.0) with redshifts z < 0.088 and is a complete subset of the IRAS Revised Bright Galaxy Sample (RBGS; Sanders et al. 2003). Objects in GOALS cover the full range of nuclear spectral types and interaction stages and are excellent analogs for comparison with high-redshift galaxies. Due to the location of WiFeS, our targets constitute a southern sample, with a declination limit of about −15°.

The LIRGs in our sample represent a variety of merger stages, nuclear separations, luminosities, and physical processes. The systems presented in this paper are those objects for which we could measure the extended gas-phase metallicities with sufficient spatial resolution, as the optical emission-line gas in these systems is too compact and too extinguished to sufficiently measure a metallicity gradient with our ground-based data. In addition, we rule out galaxies whose spectra are overwhelmingly dominated by non-H\textsubscript{II} region emission. In our sample, this is primarily widespread radiative shocks (Rich et al. 2011). Systems ruled out consist primarily of the post-merger objects in our sample. For our sample, the data are insufficient for 10 of the 12 post-merger U/LIRGs in our sample: follow-up on larger telescopes with adaptive optics and/or Hubble Space Telescope (HST) would likely allow for a better investigation of post-merger targets.

We analyze a total of 11 galaxies in nine systems, shown in Table 1. We classify the merger stage of each system using the scheme adopted by Yuan et al. (2010), which is a slight modification of the merger stage classification outlined by Veilleux et al. (2002) based on the comparison of observations with the simulations of Barnes & Hernquist (1992, 1996). Isolated systems (“iso”) show no signs of interaction and have no companion galaxies within 100 kpc in projected distance. Isolated galaxies may have extremely distant companions beyond 100 kpc, but they show no morphological indication of current or past major interaction. Widely separated systems (“a”) show signs of an ongoing major merger including tidal tails and bridges, but companions are separated by a minimum projected distance of 10 kpc. Closely interacting systems (“b”) show more advanced tidal structures and are separated by less than 10 kpc, but are not yet coalesced. Finally, we group all coalesced mergers together (“cde”), including diffuse, compact, and post-merger systems. All coalesced mergers are characterized by a single nucleus in ground-based optical and near-IR (NIR) images, with an increasingly compact core as the final stages of the merger take place. Our sample has two isolated galaxies and seven merging systems, composed of two or more galaxies: 1 in stage “a,” 4 in stage “b,” and 2 in stage “cde.”

2.2. Comparison Samples

We compare our work to the previous samples of Kewley et al. (2010) and Rupke et al. (2010b). Kewley et al. (2010) study a sample of five pairs of local luminous spiral galaxies from the optically selected galaxy pair sample of Barton et al. (2000), each with separations of 15–25 kpc. Rupke et al. (2010b) expand on this sample of interacting systems and also draw from the optically selected Arp (1966) catalog and the infrared-selected samples of Sanders et al. (2003) and Surace et al. (2004) for a total sample of 22 interacting galaxies in nine pairs/groups, all classified in stage “a” according to the classification used in this
paper. Rupke et al. (2010b) also assemble a control sample of local, isolated spiral galaxies from the literature with properties similar to the spirals in their interacting galaxy sample. Our work overlaps with and extends the Rupke et al. sample to later merger stages.

The comparison samples consist primarily of gas-rich spirals that are accepted as the progenitors of LIRGs (Barnes & Hernquist 1996; Mihos & Hernquist 1996; Veilleux et al. 2002; Iono et al. 2004; Ishida 2004; Naab et al. 2006; Rupke et al. 2008). The higher average $L_{\text{IR}}$ of our LIRG sample is the result of intense star formation induced by the merger process—three of the interacting systems in the comparison sample are in fact LIRGs themselves (Rupke et al. 2010b).

Our systems are 1–2 mag brighter in $M_K$, which generally correlates with stellar mass, as older late-type stars trace the majority of stellar mass with spectral emission peaking in the NIR (Aaronson 1977). This enhancement is in part due to the merger process, as the total $M_K$ of the later-stage, combined systems will reflect the total $M_K$ of the progenitors. An additional enhancement in $M_K$ also reflects a contribution from the intense, young starbursts in LIRGs. Both models and observations show the possibility of a significant contribution to the NIR flux (from 30% to 60%) from asymptotic and red giant branch stars (Mouchine & Lançon 2002; Maraston et al. 2006; Walcher et al. 2011; Melbourne et al. 2012). Thus, we expect the $M_K$ to be enhanced by order 1–2 mag at approximately similar stellar mass, indicating that the masses of the systems in our sample are roughly consistent with the masses of the comparison sample. This means that $M_K$ may not correlate well with stellar mass in LIRGs, but the enhancement in $M_K$ should still trace the merger process in the same way as $L_{\text{IR}}$.

2.3. Observations and Data Reduction

Our data were taken using WiFeS at the Mount Stromlo and Siding Spring Observatory (SSO) 2.3 m telescope. WiFeS is a new, dual-beam, image-slicing IFU commissioned in 2009 May and described in detail by Dopita et al. (2007, 2010). Our data consist of blue and red spectra with a resolution of R 3000 and R 7000 and wavelength coverage of $\sim$3500–5800 Å and $\sim$5500–7000 Å, respectively. The data were taken over five separate observing runs in 2009 July, August, and September and in 2010 March and May.

The data were reduced and flux-calibrated using the WiFeS pipeline (Dopita et al. 2010). The pipeline uses IRAF routines adapted from the Gemini North’s Near-Infrared Integral Field Spectrometer data reduction package. A single WiFeS observation consists of 25 1″ wide, 38″ long-slit spectra with contemporaneous sky spectra if the observation was taken in nod and shuffle mode. All of the final combined data cubes in our sample consist of at least two observations of the same target and in some cases a mosaic of two or more pointings. Where there were not sufficient observations to remove cosmic rays via median combination, cosmic-ray removal was performed with the “dcr” routine (Pych 2004). In this paper, we provide only a brief summary of the data reduction; the process is described in detail in Rich et al. (2010, 2011).

Individual observations are bias-subtracted using bias frames taken as near in time as possible to the observation frames to avoid temporal effects. The observations were flat-fielded using quartz lamp flats and twilight sky flats. The individual spectra are spatially calibrated using a thin wire combined with a continuum lamp. CuAr and NeAr arc lamp spectra taken throughout each night are used to wavelength-calibrate each observation. The 25 resulting slitlet spectra are then rectified into blue-arm and red-arm data cubes and sampled to a common wavelength scale.

Telluric lines are then removed using observations of B stars or featureless white dwarfs taken at similar airmass the same night as the data. Each data cube is then flux-calibrated using observations of flux standard stars taken on the same night. If there were any non-photometric pointings of a target, these pointings were scaled and flux-calibrated using photometric data of the same target. We correct for the effects of atmospheric dispersion using the WiFeS pipeline.

Each individual reduced data cube from observations of a single galaxy is then combined to form a final data cube sampled to a common spatial grid for analysis purposes. The WiFeS detectors have 0.5 pixels along the slit, so data taken in 2010 are binned on-chip by 2 pixels in the spatial direction in order to increase signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) and produce square spatial elements 1″ × 1″. Observations taken in 2009 are binned post-reduction to achieve similar results. Typical seeing achieved at SSO during our observations is $\sim$1″5, with some variation, on par with the spaxel size for our data cubes. We do not perform further binning (e.g., Voronoi tessellation) prior to our data analysis.

Combined data cubes were aligned astrometrically by comparing a pseudo-“r-band” image generated using the red spectrum from each spectral pixel (spaxel) with either DSS R band or HST data where available. Deprojected radii were calculated using inclination and position angle data from HyperLeda (Paturel et al. 2003), with the central spaxel defined using the WiFeS “r-band” images. These data as well as optical diameters are provided in Table 1. In the case of a few of our later-stage mergers we assume an uninclined disk when calculating deprojected radii—these have no position angle (P.A.) or inclination given in Table 1. This provides the most conservative estimate of a gradient in that system while avoiding ambiguities caused by the complex morphologies of late-stage major mergers.

2.4. Spectral Fitting

We analyzed every spectrum using an automated fitting routine written in IDL, USHSPECFIT, which is based on the code created for the work in Zahid et al. (2011) and is also employed by and described with example fits in Rupke et al. (2010b) and Rich et al. (2010, 2011). Our routine fits and subtracts a stellar continuum from each spectrum using population synthesis models from González Delgado et al. (2005) and an IDL routine that fits a linear combination of stellar templates to a galaxy spectrum using the method of Moustakas & Kennicutt (2006a).

Lines in the resulting emission spectra are fit using a one- or two-component Gaussian, depending on the goodness of fit determined by the routine. All of the emission lines are fit simultaneously using the same Gaussian component or components. Both continuum and emission lines were fit using the MPFIT package, which performs a least-squares analysis using the Levenberg–Marquardt algorithm (Markwardt 2009). All of the emission-line fluxes used in this paper are subject to a minimum S/N cut of 5.

3. ANALYSIS

3.1. Extinction Correction

To account for offset in flux between the red and the blue data cubes for each system, we compare the Balmer decrement for Hα, which falls on the red arm, and Hβ on the blue arm to...
the decrement between Hβ and Hγ, which both fall on the blue arm. The average scaling factors were generally within a few percent of unity and were used to scale the red fluxes.

Due to the low flux and thus limited spatial coverage in Hγ, we did not use Hβ versus Hγ to generate the extinction maps for our final analysis. Final extinction maps were created for each data cube using the Hα/Hβ decrement and the extinction curve of Cardelli et al. (1989). These maps were then smoothed with a two-dimensional boxcar size of 3 spaxels to reduce noise in the maps. The extinction map for each system is provided in the Appendix. We then deredden all of the measured emission-line fluxes for a system using our derived extinction map.

3.2. Emission Line Ratios

We generate emission line ratios from the extinction-corrected line fluxes to diagnose the source of excitation for each spaxel in the systems we analyze. Emission line ratio maps are a convenient method of tracing the excitation mechanism within galaxies: regions of high [NII]/Hα or [SII]/Hα are generally associated with non-H II-region photoionization. We subsequently classify each spectrum of every individual spaxel as H II-region-like, composite, or AGN-like (LINER or Seyfert) using the [NII]/Hα, [SII]/Hα, and [OIII]/Hβ ratios and the scheme of Kewley et al. (2006b). Emission line ratio maps for each system are given in the Appendix and are shown without extinction correction applied.

To avoid contamination from non-H II-region photoionization, we reject spaxels with emission line ratios that lie above the Kauffmann et al. (2003) empirical pure star formation line in the [NII]/Hα versus [OIII]/Hβ diagram, as well as points that lie beyond the theoretical pure star formation line in the [SII]/Hα versus [OIII]/Hβ diagram of Kewley et al. (2006b). To account for errors, we allow points that fall within 0.1 dex to the right of the cutoffs, consistent with the work of Rupke et al. (2010b). We do not use the [OII]/Hα versus [OIII]/Hβ diagnostic due to the significantly lower S/N in [OII] for most of our systems.

The spectra that fall into the composite region and AGN classes are contaminated primarily by shock excitation in our systems. This is apparent in several of the emission line ratio maps, where off-nuclear regions show enhanced emission line ratios. In Rich et al. (2011), we showed that these higher emission line ratios are reproduced well by new slow-shock models and investigated the star-forming and shocked components separately using kinematic information from our WiFeS spectra. In the case of the galaxies IRAS F10257−4339 and IRAS F01053−1746, we are able to separate the shocked component from the pure H II-region component kinematically using this method as outlined in Rich et al. (2011). For these two systems we apply velocity dispersion cuts of 65 km s⁻¹ and 90 km s⁻¹, respectively, as well as line-ratio classifications described above, leaving only pure H II-region emission line ratios for gas-phase metallicity calculation.

3.3. Abundance Calculations

We calculate the oxygen abundance in each spaxel using several strong-line gas-phase metallicity calibrations. We are unable to use the “direct” Tσ method due to the low flux of the [OIII]λ4363 auroral line and the higher metallicities found in our objects. We instead employ both diagnostics empirically calibrated against the Tσ method and theoretical methods based on the strengths of other measurable emission-line fluxes, adopting the procedures outlined for each method in the summary of Kewley & Ellison (2008). We measure relative abundances within a given diagnostic, avoiding the problems associated with absolute abundance calculations (e.g., López-Sánchez & Esteban 2010; Lopez-Sanchez et al. 2011).

We employ the empirical calibration of Pettini & Pagel 2004 (PP04), which uses the line ratios [NII]/Hα and [OIII]/Hβ. Using these line ratios has the benefit of avoiding the necessity of extinction correction—PP04 developed their calibrations for use in higher redshift systems where proper measures of the reddening may not always be possible.

We also calculate metallicities with the theoretical method of Kewley & Dopita 2002, KD02; specifically, we use the [NII]/[OII] line ratio, which is insensitive to variations in ionization parameter. Previous work has shown that the KD02 diagnostic shows less rms dispersion than other diagnostics for this reason (e.g., Kewley & Ellison 2008). When making comparisons with the Rupke et al. (2010b) and Kewley et al. (2010) gradient studies, we convert abundances measured with the PP04 diagnostics into the KD02 diagnostic using the prescriptions of Kewley & Ellison (2008) for consistency.

We also investigated the R23 ( [OIII]λλ4959, 5007)/Hβ measures of abundance by employing the calibrations of Kobulnicky & Kewley 2004 (KK04) and McGaugh 1991 (M91). The KK04 method corrects for variations in ionization parameter, by using the [OIII]/[OII] line ratio in an iterative fashion to calculate both an ionization parameter and a metallicity. Our results using the R23 method are consistent with PP04 and KD02 with somewhat increased rms scatter and are thus excluded from further discussion in this paper.

3.4. Metallicity Maps and Gradients

We use the derived abundances to create maps of the metallicity for each system in our sample. We then measure the metallicity gradient as a function of deprojected radius using the metallicity values calculated for each spaxel. We calculate our gradients using an unweighted least-squares linear fit, with errors derived using Monte Carlo methods, consistent with the work of Kewley et al. (2010) and Rupke et al. (2010b). Only points that are below the H II-region cut are shown on the metallicity maps and gradient plots. This is evident when comparing the line-ratio maps to the metallicity maps: regions of strong line ratios and/or low surface brightness in the line-ratio maps are rejected and not shown on the metallicity maps. IRAS F18341−5744 and IRAS F13373+0105 W, for instance, are dominated by strong shock-like emission over large areas near the nuclei of those systems.

We calculate gradients in both dex kpc⁻¹ and dex/R25, where R25 is the effective optical radius, with the latter measure meant to account for differences in physical scale from system to system. The metallicity gradient slopes and intercepts we have derived are listed in Table 2. In general, we are not able to extend our gradients to the same fractional isophotal radius as Kewley et al. (2010) and Rupke et al. (2010b). In some of our systems this is due to the spatially restricted, highly extinguished nature of the line-emitting gas, coupled in some cases with the limited total field of view of our WiFeS mosaics. Our Monte Carlo error calculation takes this into account, and we also test the effect smaller spatial sampling may have on our gradient measures by taking systems with spatially well-sampled gradients recalculating new gradients at various limited spatial scales. The net effect when considering only the inner regions (from 0.0 to 0.4 R/R25) is the calculation of a slightly steeper gradient than expected. When other portions
regardless of the abundance calibration used (Moustakas & Kennicutt 2006b; Rosales-Ortega et al. 2011). Rosales-Ortega et al. (2011) in particular present highly resolved IFU spectroscopy of nearby NGC 628 and show that the abundance gradient derived from their total integrated spectra is very consistent with the gradient derived with spectra isolated from H II regions.

4. ABUNDANCE GRADIENTS

Kewley et al. (2010) and Rupke et al. (2010b) found a flattening in the metallicity gradients of widely separated pairs of galaxies. Their sample represents systems caught in the earliest stages of merging between first and second pericenter. As the galaxies continue to merge, gas should continue to flow toward the individual galaxy nuclei, fueling the ongoing nuclear starburst and quenching star formation in the tidal remnants. The nuclear metallicity would first see a depression due to the infalling gas, followed by a subsequent enrichment and further depression as the merger progresses to coalescence. The metallicity gradient is continuously flattened by the combined effects of infalling low-metal gas and tidal stretching of the spiral arms and outer portions of each system. In this section, we show that our results indicate that the trend of flattening metallicity gradient may extend to later merger stages.

4.1. Merger Stage and Gradient

In the context of the Yuan et al. (2010) merger scheme, the control and interacting samples of Kewley et al. (2010) and Rupke et al. (2010b) are, respectively, “isolated” and widely separated “a” stage systems, while the majority of the galaxies in our sample are close pairs in the “b” stage, further along in the merger process. Our sample also has three late-stage coalesced systems (“cde”). It is not clear that all of the stage “b” LIRGs in our sample will become true ULIRGs given their morphology and \( L_{IR} \). Yuan et al. (2010) suggest that systems with mass ratios closer to unity are more likely to become true ULIRGs during the later stages of the merger process. This could account for systems such as IRAS F21330−3846, which has a comparatively low total \( L_{IR} \) despite the fact that it is a more advanced merger. The gas fraction and dust mass of each system prior to the merger also strongly affect the total IR luminosity.

We plot metallicity gradient as a function of merger stage in Figures 1 and 2. Although we do not have a large control sample of isolated LIRGs, the metallicity gradients of our two isolated LIRG systems fall within the range of gradients of the control sample of local, isolated spirals from Rupke et al. (2010b). Figure 1 shows that metallicity gradient flattens

(e.g., from 0.2 to 0.6 \( R/R_{25} \) of the gradient are sampled, the resulting gradient measured is within a few percent of the expected gradient. These results indicate that our conclusions regarding gradient flattening remain relatively unaffected by spatial sampling.

3.5. Calibration Discrepancies

We calculate metallicities for each spaxel using different methods in order to investigate any discrepancies in metallicity measurement introduced by errors in our extinction correction, flux measures, and from ionization parameter variation. Due to the wide separation in wavelength of the diagnostic emission lines, the KD02 calibration is most sensitive to errors in extinction correction, while the PP04 diagnostic is essentially insensitive to ionization parameter variation. Due to the wide separation in wavelength of the diagnostic emission lines, the KD02 calibration is most sensitive to errors in extinction correction, while the PP04 diagnostic is essentially immune to reddening effects due to the close proximity of the line ratios employed. On the other hand, the PP04 calibration should be the most sensitive to variations in ionization parameter, while the KD02 diagnostic is essentially insensitive to ionization parameter.

In the case of very dusty LIRGs, the PP04 diagnostic proves the most useful as it avoids using the very blue and thus very extinguished [O III] line. Indeed, the PP04 diagnostic provides the least rms scatter from a straight line fit in our gradient measures, owing most likely to errors in our extinction correction that increase the scatter in O/H values calculated using KD02. The inability of the PP04 diagnostic to account for variations in ionization parameter, however, is a danger if the parameter varies in any systematic way, especially with radius. We find a correlation between ionization parameter, as measured using the KK04 method, and the difference between metallicities measured using the PP04 diagnostic and the KD02 or KK04 diagnostic. Fortunately, the effect of varying ionization parameter is minor and does not affect the conclusions in this paper. Overall, the discrepancies between the metallicity calibrations in our analysis are consistent with the comprehensive study of Kewley & Ellison (2008).

It is also worthwhile to note that our gradients are derived using integrated spectra containing all of the light within each spaxel, including any diffuse emission not associated with H II regions. This is in contrast to the targeted H II-region abundance gradients used in Kewley et al. (2010) and Rupke et al. (2010b). Although we carefully remove non-photoionized spectra, we might still expect a diffuse contribution to line ratios used in gradient calculation (Alonso-Herrero et al. 2009, 2010). Studies have shown, however, that abundances inferred from an integrated spectrum are consistent with H II-region abundances.
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Table 2

Derived Metallicity Gradient Slopes and Intercepts in dex kpc\(^{-1}\) and dex/R\(_{25}\) for Our Sample

| IRAS No. | \( \Delta (\text{dex}/R) \) PP04 | \( \Delta (\text{dex}/R) \) N2O2 | \( \Delta (\text{dex kpc}^{-1}) \) PP04 | \( \Delta (\text{dex kpc}^{-1}) \) N2O2 | Int. PP04 | Int. N2O2 |
|----------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------|------------|
| F01053−1746 | 0.055 ± 0.027 | −0.193 ± 0.059 | 0.005 ± 0.003 | −0.017 ± 0.005 | 8.653 | 8.739 |
| 08355−4944 | 0.199 ± 0.049 | 0.074 ± 0.086 | 0.041 ± 0.011 | 0.015 ± 0.018 | 8.791 | 8.922 |
| F10038−3338 | −0.398 ± 0.136 | 0.028 ± 0.204 | −0.023 ± 0.008 | 0.002 ± 0.013 | 9.026 | 8.958 |
| F10257−4339 | −0.336 ± 0.119 | −0.238 ± 0.074 | −0.018 ± 0.006 | −0.011 ± 0.004 | 9.032 | 9.017 |
| F13373+0105 W | −0.419 ± 0.017 | −0.352 ± 0.031 | −0.018 ± 0.001 | −0.015 ± 0.002 | 9.037 | 9.047 |
| F13373+0105 E | 0.164 ± 0.075 | 0.037 ± 0.108 | 0.007 ± 0.004 | 0.002 ± 0.005 | 8.984 | 9.015 |
| F17222−5953 | −0.701 ± 0.017 | −0.622 ± 0.027 | −0.047 ± 0.002 | −0.041 ± 0.002 | 9.133 | 9.154 |
| F18093−5744 N | −0.259 ± 0.023 | −0.276 ± 0.054 | −0.019 ± 0.002 | −0.019 ± 0.005 | 8.971 | 9.986 |
| F18093−5744 S | −0.098 ± 0.052 | −0.208 ± 0.065 | −0.009 ± 0.005 | −0.019 ± 0.006 | 9.045 | 9.079 |
| F18341−5732 | −0.579 ± 0.165 | −0.789 ± 0.193 | −0.041 ± 0.013 | −0.113 ± 0.015 | 9.231 | 9.266 |
| F19115−2124 | −0.070 ± 0.023 | −0.008 ± 0.035 | −0.003 ± 0.002 | 0.0001 ± 0.003 | 8.955 | 8.876 |
Figure 1. Metallicity gradient as a function of merger stage: isolated, 1: widely separated pairs ("a"), 2: close pairs ("b"), and 3: coalesced systems ("cde"). The isolated control sample of Rupke et al. (2010b) is represented by the blue circles; the interacting sample of Kewley et al. (2010), and Rupke et al. (2010b) by yellow; and our data are plotted as red circles. Simple linear regressions are overplotted for each pair of quantities.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Figure 2. Same as Figure 1, but plotting dex kpc$^{-1}$ instead of dex/optical radius ($R_{25}$).

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)
through each merger stage, with the three coalesced systems showing the flattest gradients. The average gradient in our progressed mergers is similar to the average gradient measured in the widely separated pairs sample of Kewley et al. (2010) and Rupke et al. (2010b). Figure 2 shows that our results are unchanged when metallicity gradient is plotted as a function of optical radius and when it is plotted as a function of absolute deprojected radius in kpc.

Interestingly, the galaxy IRAS F13373+0015 may be showing gradient-flattening effects due to both gas infall and the stretching of its spiral arms due to tidal effects from the merger as evidenced by an apparent kink in the metallicity gradient. Unfortunately, the nuclear region is strongly contaminated by shock-like emission, so we excluded gas-phase metallicity measurements from our plot for these radii. If we ignore this contamination and calculate an abundance for the center-most region, the measured nuclear [O/H] does appear depressed with respect to the observed and calculated gradient, which would create a gradient that shows a slightly steeper portion between two flatter regions.

### 4.2. Luminosity and Gradient

We also plot both $L_{\text{IR}}$ and $M_{K_s}$, quantities that should be correlated with merger stage, as a function of metallicity gradient in Figures 1 and 2. $L_{\text{IR}}$ traces star formation rate and is correlated with merger stage, while $M_{K_s}$ is unaffected by the high extinctions encountered in LIRGs and is a function of stellar mass (though see Section 2.2). Values for $L_{\text{IR}}$ are taken from Armus et al. (2009), which adjust the original RBGS (Sanders et al. 2003) values to the five-year WMAP cosmological values. $M_{K_s}$ is derived using the distances from Armus et al. (2009) and the Two Mass All Sky Survey (2MASS) 20 mag arcsec$^{-2}$ isophotal $K$-band magnitudes (consistent with Rupke et al. 2010b).

Rupke et al. (2010b) concluded that there was no strong evidence of a correlation between gradient and either $L_{\text{IR}}$ or $M_{K_s}$, though they note that Zaritsky et al. (1994) pointed to possible correlations when considering dex kpc$^{-1}$. When our observations are combined with the work of Rupke et al. (2010b), the case for a correlation between gradient and both $L_{\text{IR}}$ and $M_{K_s}$ correlations is strengthened. The Spearman rank correlation coefficients indicate a moderately strong correlation in all cases, though $L_{\text{IR}}$ is more strongly correlated than $M_{K_s}$.

Gradients calculated in dex kpc$^{-1}$ are more tightly correlated than those measured in dex/R$_{25}$ (Table 3), consistent with Zaritsky et al. (1994). Increased scatter when considering optical radius may be due in part to the increasingly disturbed morphologies of merging systems. As a merger progresses, $R_{25}$ represents a less consistently comparable value as tidal features and the coalescence of both galaxies alter this measure such that it is no longer consistent with $R_{25}$ measured in pre-merger spiral galaxies.

### 4.3. Nuclear Abundance and Luminosity

To further compare our sample to previous work, we consider the nuclear abundances from our sample. In order to directly compare to Rupke et al. (2010b), we also consider a luminosity–metallicity ($L-Z$) relation, rather than a mass–metallicity ($M-Z$) relation, as plotted in Figure 3. We consider the NIR $L-Z$ relation of Salzer et al. (2005) for consistency with Rupke et al. (2010b). We adopt the same method as Rupke et al. (2010b) for nuclear abundance determination: we assign an abundance by extrapolating the calculated gradient to a fiducial radius of 0.1$R_{25}$. We also adopt the same offset in absolute metallicity with respect to the Salzer et al. (2005) relation. Our nuclear metallicities are indeed lower than the expected $L-Z$ relation, again extending previous work on merging systems to higher NIR luminosities. This result is consistent with previous observation pairs (Kewley et al. 2006a; Rupke et al. 2008; Ellison et al. 2008; Michel-Dansac et al. 2008; Peeples et al. 2009; Sol Alonso et al. 2010), as well as the merging galaxy models we consider in our discussion of gradient evolution with merger stage and properties.

### 5. DISCUSSION

Our observations are a direct measure of the redistribution of gas within strongly interacting systems. The observational

#### Table 3

| Variables          | Correlation Coefficient | Probability |
|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| $M_{K_s}$, PP04, $R_{25}$ | −0.49                  | 0.2         |
| $M_{K_s}$, KD02, $R_{25}$ | −0.48                  | 0.3         |
| $L_{\text{IR}}$, PP04, $R_{25}$ | 0.56                    | 0.02        |
| $L_{\text{IR}}$, KD02, $R_{25}$ | 0.61                    | 0.005       |
| $M_{K_s}$, PP04, $R_{\text{pc}}$ | −0.60                  | 0.008       |
| $M_{K_s}$, KD02, $R_{\text{pc}}$ | −0.59                  | 0.01        |
| $L_{\text{IR}}$, PP04, $R_{\text{pc}}$ | 0.65                    | 0.001       |
| $L_{\text{IR}}$, KD02, $R_{\text{pc}}$ | 0.66                    | 0.0006      |

**Notes.**

* Spearman rank correlation coefficient.
* Two-sided probability of finding correlation coefficient by chance.
reality of metallicity gradients in non-interacting galaxies is well established (Zaritsky et al. 1994; van Zee et al. 1998). Observations of a change in an established abundance gradient and systematically lower nuclear metallicities are clear indicators of gas flows and have been seen not only in strong interactions but also in bar structures (e.g., Vila-Costas & Edmunds 1992; Dutil & Roy 1999; Martin & Roy 1995). While Friedli et al. (1994) demonstrated the redistribution of gas traced by metallicity in barred galaxies, only recently have numerical simulations been used to address the detailed physical motions of gas and metals within interacting galaxies.

Rupke et al. (2010a), Montuori et al. (2010), and Torrey et al. (2012) presented the first attempts at using hydrodynamical simulations to address the effects of major galaxy mergers on nuclear abundances and metallicity gradients. The three separate investigations all involve mergers of massive spiral disk galaxies with 1:1 mass ratios. As noted by Rupke et al. (2010a), these conditions are typical for the ULIRG formation scenario and are thus a useful direct comparison.

The models of Rupke et al. (2010a) represent the simplest set of simulations analyzed for metallicity gradient evolution both in merger parameter space and in model sophistication. Their models use the methodology described in Barnes (2004), but without any ongoing star formation and thus with no chemical enrichment. This approach clearly captures the effect that low-metallicity gas inflows will have on an evolving nuclear metallicity. Rupke et al. (2010a) conclude that the nuclear metallicity can be substantially depressed by gas inflows and that metallicity gradient can be dramatically flattened following tidal tail formation. They find, not surprisingly, that the depression in the nuclear metallicity is correlated with the gas mass that has migrated to the nuclear region.

Montuori et al. (2010) employ simulations from the GaLeR database described in Chilingarian et al. (2010). Their simulations include chemical enrichment due to ongoing star formation. As a result of including chemical enrichment, Montuori et al. (2010) find that the nuclear metallicity changes non-monotonically as a function of the merger as chemical enrichment partially offsets the effect of low-metallicity gas inflows.

Torrey et al. (2012) have studied merger-induced nuclear metallicity evolution while considering star formation and chemical enrichment over a relatively wide range of merger parameter space. The analysis in Torrey et al. (2012) explicitly differentiated the effects of initial metallicity gradient redistribution from ongoing metal enrichment on the nuclear metallicity evolution—allowing for a basic template for merger nuclear metallicity evolution to be presented. The simulations of Torrey et al. (2012) included systems with varied initial mass and several merger orientations—allowing for a more complete comparison to observations.

5.1. New Models

The interpretation of the data presented in this paper can benefit by considering a realistic theoretical model for the evolution of interacting galaxies. In principle, one could use numerical models to directly simulate the merging systems that have been presented in this paper. However, this would require accurately setting a large number of parameters for each merging system (e.g., the initial stellar mass of each galaxy, the initial gas fraction, the initial morphology, the merging orbital orientation, etc.). Fortunately, there are certain aspects of major mergers (e.g., the formation of tidal features), that are generically associated with strong tidal encounters and do not sensitively depend on the detailed characteristics (e.g., the gas fraction) of the merging galaxies.

In the context of metallicity gradient evolution, we can learn a lot about the expected evolution of a population of merging galaxies by exploring a limited number of carefully selected merger simulations. Our goal is to present a realistic theoretical model to augment the interpretation of our observational data. To achieve this, we build a limited merger simulation suite that we can explore and understand in detail. Although this approach will leave certain areas of merger parameter space unexplored, we still expect the characteristics and trends present in the simulations to match those of the observations, which allows us to physically probe the driving forces behind the observed evolving metallicity gradients presented in this paper.

We compare our observations to a suite of galaxy merger simulations (Torrey et al. 2012) carried out using GADGET-2 (Springel 2005). Our simulated merger suite consists of 32 simulations that are achieved by using 16 merger orientations (orientations a–p in Torrey et al. 2012) with two progenitor galaxies. The two progenitor galaxies for our simulations both have equal total system mass, but with slightly different initial disk profiles. Both systems include a Hernquist (1990) dark matter halo ($M_{\bullet} = 5.1 \times 10^{11}$ $M_\odot$, $a = 22$ kpc) with rotationally supported exponential gas and stellar disks ($R_{d,1} = 3.2$ kpc, $R_{d,2} = 4.6$ kpc). The initial gas fraction of the system is 25%, which will decrease with time due to star formation.

A substantial discussion of the kind of models used for comparison in this paper, as well as further analysis of theory derived from those models, can be found in Torrey et al. (2012). Here, we briefly describe our methods for tracking the nuclear metallicity and metallicity gradient, which serves as the key component of our simulation analysis. Our simulations track a single-metallicity scalar value that is allowed to increase as a result of chemical enrichment from star formation. To compare our simulations to the observations, we focus only on the oxygen abundances, which we assume to be 30% of the total metal mass. Because most oxygen is produced in Type II supernovae that return their mass and metals to the interstellar medium over relatively short (i.e., $\sim 10^7$ year) timescales, we assume instantaneous metal enrichment, which we practically incorporate into our simulation by setting the rate of metal production proportional to the local star formation rate.

We impose metallicity properties on our galaxies at the beginning of the simulation such that they conform with observations of galaxies in the local universe. Namely, we initialize the nuclear metallicity of our galaxies onto the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) derived mass–metallicity relation (Tremonti et al. 2004) with the radial metallicity gradient following a decaying exponential profile with a characteristic length proportional to the disk scale length (Zaritsky et al. 1994).

Using this model, the metallicity in our galaxy is determined by the re-distribution of the initial metallicity gradient due to bulk movements of gas induced by the strong gravitational interaction and chemical enrichment associated with starburst-driven star formation. At any time in the simulation, the metallicity can be calculated for a region of space by finding the mass-weighted average metallicity of all gas particles in the specified volume. For example, we calculate the nuclear metallicity by finding the mass-weighted average metallicity for all gas particles within a central 1 kpc sphere of the galactic center (defined by the potential minimum). Or, to determine the metallicity gradient, we calculate the average metallicity within a series of concentric spherical shells centered on the galactic center.
Figure 4. Merger stage vs. metallicity gradient as measured from our theoretical merger models. The gradients measured from the merger models in dex kpc$^{-1}$ show values and flattening consistent with observations. The average value for the models generated is given by the large black point; the error bars represent the spread in model values. Our gradient data from Figure 2 are overplotted for reference, data from Rupke et al. (2010b) are overplotted in blue points (isolated) and yellow points (wide pairs), and our LIRG data are overplotted in red points.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

potential minimum and find the best linear fit of the resulting radius–metallicity data points.

For an instructive comparison to the observed systems presented in this paper, we track the nuclear separation, nuclear metallicity, metallicity gradient, and IR luminosity during the merger. Using the data from all simulations, we can then form an expected evolution and distribution of galaxy properties during the merger sequence. The results are plotted in Figures 4–6.

5.2. Merger Progress Tracers

In Figure 4, the merger model metallicity gradient is plotted as a function of merger stage where the simulated mergers are classified using the same formalism as our observational analysis. The solid black circles show the mean simulated gradient value of all merging systems at a given merger stage with the error bars representing the 1σ standard deviations derived from the spread in gradient values for the simulated galaxies in that bin. By inspection, we find that both the models and observations show a clear trend toward shallow metallicity gradients with increasing merger stage. In the models we find a strong change in the gradient that occurs immediately after first pericentric passage, when the formation of tidal features stretches the initial metallicity gradient and gas inflows begin to flood the nuclear region with low-metallicity gas. The gradient flattening continues as the merger progresses, and by merger stage cde (i.e., coalesced systems), both the models and observed systems have metallicity gradients consistent with being flat.

Figure 5 shows the evolution of the metallicity gradient as a function of IR Luminosity, projected separation, and nuclear metallicity. $L_{IR}$ derived from the simulations is calculated based on the instantaneous star formation rate using the formulae of Kennicutt et al. (2009). Each panel shows the distribution of simulated galaxies—as the background colored contours—along with the observational data. The colored contours represent the local density of simulated data points (where each snapshot from each merger counts equally as one data point), with the solid black lines denoting regions that contain 50%, 70%, 80%, and 90% of the simulated data points. As discussed above, we find that the gradient becomes increasingly shallow as the merger progresses. We also find that the IR luminosity increases and the nuclear metallicity becomes slightly depressed. This is not unexpected as the same fundamental process is driving the evolution of all of these quantities. Strong tidal encounters that are associated with the merger sequence will form tidal features that will stretch or flatten the metallicity gradient. The same tidal encounters are responsible for driving gas into the central region, which lowers the central metallicity and enhances starburst activity. Therefore, we expect that the association of flat metallicity gradients with enhanced IR luminosity and depressed nuclear metallicity is a robust by-product of the merger process.

Figures 5 and 6 show the metallicity gradient and nuclear metallicity against observationally projected separation. Again we find that the observations and merger models remain in...
where the gas to lose angular momentum and fall into the nuclear bulge. Additional considerations on, e.g., varied gas fractions or the presence of a form and the metallicity gradient to flatten without any sensitive in the regime of strong tidal encounters, we expect tidal tails to see a dramatic flattening of the metallicity gradient. However, expect strong tidal features to form and we would not expect to galaxy is not massive enough or too distant, then we will not the tidal encounter is sufficiently weak because the perturbing existence, such as the stabilizing effect of stellar bulges against axis-symmetric perturbations during distant tidal encounters (Mihos & Hernquist 1996) or the reduced torquing efficiency of very gas-rich systems (Hopkins et al. 2009). However, neither of these effects will prevent a strong gravitational interaction from driving gas inflows leading into final coalescence (see, e.g., Mihos & Hernquist 1996; Hopkins et al. 2009 for detailed justifications).

These comparisons provide a useful measure of the accuracy of merger models and strengthen the use of such models to make predictions about various aspects of galaxy mergers and their effect on galaxy evolution. A more thorough discussion of the kind of models used for comparison in this paper, as well as further analysis of theory derived from those models, can be found in Torrey et al. (2012).

When our new observations are combined with previous work, there is also evidence of a correlation between metallicity gradient and both L IR and M K, quantities that loosely trace merger progress. Assigning a precise merger stage is non-trivial. Determining a rough estimate of the time elapsed since first pericentric passage, for instance, is not possible when considering only the morphology and projected separation of a merging pair. One solution could be the use of software like Identikit (Barnes & Hibbard 2009; Barnes 2011) to constrain merger parameters using observed velocity information. A more reliable merger stage could be assigned once an accurate model is obtained, allowing for a more detailed study of the change in various physical quantities as a merger progresses.

5.4. Presence of Shocks

There are other factors that hamper gradient measurements as mergers progress: Yuan et al. (2010) note a marked increase in AGN/LINER influence on optical spectra as a function of L IR and merger stage, an effect also seen by Armus et al. (1990), Veilleux et al. (1995), Goto (2005), and Ellison et al. (2011). This effect, coupled with the increasingly compact region of star formation as the remaining gas in a merger is concentrated entirely within smaller nuclear regions, makes measurement of spatially resolved gas-phase metallicities difficult and prone to contamination from an AGN. In addition, increased contamination from radiative shocks would be expected in the most IR-luminous systems, consistent with increasing gas inflows and the observed increase in supergalactic winds as a function of L IR (Rupke et al. 2005). In our overall IFU sample of 27 U/LIRGs, ∼2/3 of the systems show a contribution from extended shock excitation, fractionally increasing as the merger progresses. IFU observations of sufficiently high spatial and spectral resolution should be able to overcome these issues as long as there is measurable star formation.

6. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

We have measured the gas-phase oxygen abundances in nine nearby LIRG systems using IFS. We measured emission-line fluxes for all of our IFS data cubes and used this information to create maps of excitation and metallicity measured with various strong-line metallicity diagnostics. We calculated radial abundance gradients using our metallicity maps coupled with radii and deprojected radii calculated for each system. Our results agree with recent observations of gradient flattening in interacting pairs (Kewley et al. 2010; Rupke et al. 2010b) and indicate some evidence of flattening at later stages of the merger process, though further observations are needed to confirm this result.

**Figure 6.** L IR vs. projected separation measured from the merger models as plotted in Figure 5 with our data overplotted as red points and the widely separated systems of Rupke et al. (2010b) overplotted in yellow. Despite the agreement between observations and models, this plot shows projected separation as a less helpful measure of merger progress. (A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)
Figure 7. Maps and metallicity gradients for IRAS F17222−5953. The top left two panels show [N\textsc{ii}]/H$\alpha$ and [S\textsc{ii}]/H$\alpha$ line ratio maps of the system with H$\alpha$ contours measured from our data overlaid. The top right panels show an image of the galaxy compared to the metallicity map (derived from the PP04 O3N2 diagnostic, with values scaled to the KD02 diagnostic. The black bar in the system image corresponds to a distance of 1 kpc. The bottom two panels show the run of abundance with radius calculated from the PP04 (scaled to KD02) and the KD02 diagnostics. The least-squares fit metallicity gradient is overplotted in each case. The average abundance gradients for the isolated (purple) and interacting (red) sample from Rupke et al. (2010b) are plotted in the right-hand panels for comparison. As described in the text, only points that pass our H$\textsc{ii}$-region cut in the diagnostic diagrams are plotted in the metallicity map and abundance gradients.

Our results are consistent with recent numerical models of metallicity and gas flows in major mergers (Rupke et al. 2010a; Montuori et al. 2010; Torrey et al. 2012). We compare our observations with a new set of merger models used to track various quantities, including metallicity gradient, nuclear metallicity, $L_{\text{IR}}$, and separation. These new models track gradients and enrichment carefully throughout the merger process, including the effects of ongoing chemical enrichment for the first time. Our observations agree remarkably well with the models, adding observational support for theoretical predictions about gas flows in numerical merger models. Our comparison between model and observation also indicates the efficacy of metallicity gradient and $L_{\text{IR}}$ in tracking merger progress, while showing nuclear separation as less useful.

The latest stages of a major merger event prove the most difficult period to analyze and interpret using our observational methods and data. Investigating the gradient evolution using numerical simulations of post-merger systems requires fully cosmological simulations where accretion of pristine gas at late times can help reestablish an abundance gradient (Torrey et al. 2012). Investigation of this phenomenon also requires a finer spatial scale and deeper observations than we have achieved with our current data set. An increasing contribution from radiative shocks and AGNs to the emission-line spectra of late-stage mergers may inhibit these measurements, necessitating non-emission-line abundance diagnostics.

We thank the referee for his/her helpful comments, which helped clarify many points in this paper. Dopita, Kewley, and Rich acknowledge ARC support under Discovery project DP0984657. This research has made use of the NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database (NED), which is operated by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology,
Figure 8. Same as Figure 7 for IRAS F18341−5732. 
(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Figure 9. Same as Figure 7 for IRAS F13373+0105 W. 
(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)
Figure 10. Same as Figure 7 for IRAS F13373+0105 E.
(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Figure 11. Same as Figure 7 for IRAS F01053−1746.
(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)
Figure 12. Same as Figure 7 for IRAS F10257−4339.
(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Figure 13. Same as Figure 7 for IRAS F18093−5744 N.
(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)
under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

APPENDIX

NOTES ON INDIVIDUAL OBJECTS

A.1. Isolated Systems

There are two isolated systems in our sample. These systems have properties most consistent with the Rupke et al. (2010b) control sample, with well-established metallicity gradients not yet disturbed by interactions. Both systems are lower luminosity LIRGs, with $L_{\text{IR}} \sim 10^{11.4} L_\odot$.

**IRAS F17222−5953 (ESO 138-G027).** This system is more akin to a typical, non-interacting, strongly starbursting spiral galaxy in our sample. It is in the vicinity of a few other galaxies, including the similarly bright ESO 138-G026, but even the nearest galaxy is at a projected distance of over 1 kpc and IRAS F17222−5953 is not yet interacting with any of these systems. Our IFU data applied to the $[\text{N}\text{II}]/\text{H}\alpha$ versus $[\text{O}\text{III}]/\text{H}\beta$ BPT diagram show a clean curve following the shape of the SDSS sequence of local star-forming galaxies (e.g., Kewley et al. 2006b), but with a slight apparent shift in total $[\text{N}\text{II}]/\text{H}\alpha$. We interpret this shift as an overall nitrogen enhancement (Pérez-Montero & Contini 2009) (Figure 7).

**IRAS F18341−5732 (IC 4734).** Like IRAS F17222−5953, our second isolated galaxy is in the vicinity of a few other luminous galaxies but is not yet undergoing any interactions. Our nuclear spectra are dominated by a LINER combined with an aging stellar population. The strongest sights of star formation are where the bar in this galaxy meets the spiral arms evidenced by the two strong clumps of $\text{H}\text{II}$-region-like points seen in the line ratio and metallicity. $\text{H}\alpha$ imaging by Dopita et al. (2002) shows further knots of star formation along the spiral arms, and our nuclear spectra also show signs of an aging stellar population in the nucleus of IC 4734 (Figure 8).

A.2. Widely Separated Systems

The interacting sample of Rupke et al. (2010b) consists of widely separated systems. Our sample has only a single pair
of galaxies at this stage of interaction, the interacting system Arp 240.

**IRAS F13373+0105 W (NGC 5257).** Although NGC 5257 and its equal-mass partner NGC 5258 are still widely separated and retain much of their structure, they exhibit interaction features, including tidal tails and a bridge between the two galaxies. The spiral arms show regions of strong star formation with some signatures of post-starburst populations away from the nucleus. The nuclear regions of NGC 5257 are dominated by an older stellar population as the intense nuclear starburst associated with the later stages of major mergers has not yet begun. The extinction is higher in the nuclear regions, and the measurable line ratios place the nucleus in the composite region of the standard diagnostic diagrams, indicating possible LINER activity—previous nuclear observations and integrated spectrophotometry are consistent with the higher nuclear extinction and overall line ratios we observe (Veilleux et al. 1995; Kewley et al. 2001; Moustakas & Kennicutt 2006a) (Figure 9).

**IRAS F13373+0105 E (NGC 5258).** NGC 5258 is a near twin to NGC 5257 in mass and luminosity. Our spectra indicate higher extinction and a flatter gradient, though the extent of measurable H II-region metallicities is smaller than in NGC 5257. Again similar to NGC 5257, the nuclear region of NGC 5258 is dominated by older stars and very little line-emission and there is some evidence of younger post-starburst populations away from the nucleus. The strongest line emission is associated with the knot of star formation to the southwest of the nuclear region (Figure 10).

### A.3. Closely Interacting Systems

Our sample has several closely interacting systems; we calculate gradients for five of them in this paper. These systems span a range of $L_{IR}$ from typical LIRG luminosities to nearly ULIRGs. IRAS F01053−1746, IRAS F10257−4339, and IRAS F19115−2124 are the most indicative of this class, while IRAS F18093−5744 N/S is a triple system with two widely separated spirals and a third, less massive closely interacting component. Although IRAS F01053−1746 and IRAS F10257−4339 are both composed of two individual galaxies, they have progressed along the merger sequence to the point where we are unable to effectively assign gas metallicities to either of the galaxies. We thus treat both IRAS F01053−1746 and IRAS F10257−4339 as individual systems with the brightest optical nucleus defined as the zero point for a metallicity gradient.

**IRAS F01053−1746 (IC 1623).** This system contains two very closely interacting spiral galaxies. It is kinematically very complex and exhibits evidence of widespread radiative
shocks, which are analyzed in detail in Rich et al. (2011). The deprojected radii are plotted using the hyperleda values for the western, less obscured galaxy IC 1623 A. The eastern system is very intensely star forming, as seen in the infrared (e.g., Howell et al. 2010), but is so enshrouded in dust that our optical spectra do not trace star-forming gas (Figure 11).

IRAS F10257−4339 (NGC 3256). This advanced merger is quite nearby and well studied. As with IRAS F01053−1746, one of the galaxies in IRAS F10257−4339 is very extinguished. The second system and its nucleus are revealed at longer wavelengths, south of the main optical nucleus (Alonso-Herrero et al. 2002; Rothberg & Fischer 2010). Deprojected radii are calculated using the main optical nucleus. The gas and tidal tails in this system extend quite far (e.g., Rothberg & Fischer 2010); our IFU mosaic covers only the central 6 kpc, though this appears to be the physical extent of most of the ongoing star formation in this system. NGC 3256 also shows evidence for widespread shocks in our data and is discussed alongside IC 1623 in Rich et al. (2011) (Figure 12).

IRAS F18093−5744 N (IC 4687). We classify this galaxy as a close merger; in fact, it is a member of a triplet. IC 4687 is undergoing a close merger with the less massive starburst IC 4686, classed as a Wolf-Rayet galaxy by Kovo & Contini (1999) and Fernandes et al. (2004). IC 4687 itself is also in a wide merger with the equally massive spiral IC 4689. The archived HST images of IC 4687 show a complex morphology tangled up with IC 4686: gas and dust from IC 4687 appear to be obscuring the less massive system. Our IFU data cover the entirety of IC 4686/4687, and the metallicities we measure are consistent with the expected metallicities in the outskirts of IC 4687 as extrapolated from the gradient we present in this paper, as well as a low-metallicity, flattened gradient in IC 4686. The kinematic information from our data also indicates that we are indeed seeing gas from both systems (Figure 13).

IRAS F18093−5744 S (IC 4689). This spiral galaxy is slightly less massive and luminous than IC 4687 and less well observed, though it is still intensely star forming (Howell et al. 2010). It is less morphologically disturbed than the other two interacting...
galaxies IC 4686/4687, though its gradient is quite flattened already according to our observations. Although it is widely separated from IC 4687, we include it as part of the closely interacting system of IRAS F18093−5744 (Figures 14 and 15). IRAS F19115−2124 (ESO 593-IG 008). Väisänen et al. (2008) analyze the morphology of this close pair in detail using adaptive optics K-band images. Because of the complex structure, we adopt the projected radii for gradient calculations and make no attempt to separate the pair into two separate gradients. Given the flat values for metallicity seen, however, adapting an inclination and/or two disks would only lead to an even shallower calculated slope in the case of this system (Figure 16).

A.4. Coalesced Systems

Our metallicity sample has two systems that are classified as coalesced mergers (cde). The most advanced mergers have complex orientations and morphologies that present a difficulty when calculating deprojected radii. As a conservative estimate we simply use the projected radii in our gradient calculations. IRAS 08355−4944. While HST I-band images show remnant tidal tails extending nearly 20 kpc, only the central 5 kpc or so appears to harbor the intense ongoing star formation in this system. Our spectra are dominated by H II regions, with evidence in some regions of a blueshifted component with low-velocity shock-dominated line ratios that could be associated with a galactic wind (Figure 17).

IRAS F10038−3338 (ESO 374-IG032). This post-merger exhibits significant ongoing star formation in its southwestern tidal arm, unlike the two other coalesced systems in our sample. The total line emission in this region is much weaker than in the nucleus, inducing a large uncertainty in the extinction map and [O II] lines, creating the discrepant values seen in the metallicity gradient plots for this galaxy. Either gradient, however, is consistent with the overall flattening trend seen in all of our systems. This system hosts an OH megamaser and has soft X-ray emission, all consistent with the advanced stage of merging and increasingly intense nuclear starburst (Henkel & Wilson 1990; Staveley-Smith et al. 1992; Darling & Giovanelli 2002; Iwasawa et al. 2009). Our spectra also show evidence for extended off-nuclear shock emission dominating in areas where there is little to no evidence of ongoing star formation,
consistent with the IFU observations of Monreal-Ibero et al. (2010) (Figure 18).
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Figure 18. Same as Figure 7 for IRAS F10038$-$3338.
(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)
