Superconductivity in the Iron-Pnictide Parent Compound SrFe$_2$As$_2$
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Abstract—The appearance of superconductivity at ambient pressures in the undoped iron pnictide parent compound SrFe$_2$As$_2$ is studied experimentally using several techniques and approaches to aid in understanding the nature of this phase. Low temperature magnetization measurements of single crystals of SrFe$_2$As$_2$ reveal diamagnetic screening due to the onset of superconductivity below 21 K, with volume fraction estimates varying between 0 and 15%. The effects of heat treatment and cold-working via severe mechanical deformation on the superconducting phase found are studied, showing that superconductivity can be suppressed via modest annealing and reinstated by cold-working.
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I. INTRODUCTION

High temperature superconductivity research remains a relatively new and ongoing field of research. The discovery of high temperature superconductivity in cuprates in 1986 [1] led to renewed interest in the phenomenon of superconductivity, that, until then, had a transition temperature limit of around 30 K [2]. Despite much study, the pairing mechanism of high temperature superconductivity in cuprates in 1986 [1] led to renewed interest in the phenomenon of superconductivity. Low temperature magnetization measurements of single crystals of SrFe$_2$As$_2$ reveal diamagnetic screening due to the onset of superconductivity below 21 K, with volume fraction estimates varying between 0 and 15%. The effects of heat treatment and cold-working via severe mechanical deformation on the superconducting phase found are studied, showing that superconductivity can be suppressed via modest annealing and reinstated by cold-working.

II. GROWTH AND CHARACTERIZATION OF SINGLE CRYSTALS

SrFe$_2$As$_2$ single crystals were grown using the self-flux method described by Wang et al. [9]. A stoichiometric mixture of Fe (5N purity) and As (4N) was pre-reacted in evacuated quartz tubes and sintered at 700 °C for 24 hours. After the FeAs was formed it was characterized via energy dispersive x-ray spectroscopy (EDS) and found to have less than 5% impurity phases (mostly Fe$_2$As). The sintered FeAs was mixed with elemental Sr (3N5) in a ratio of 1:4 Sr:Fe$_2$As$_2$ and then heated to 1200 °C, cooled at a rate of 2 °C per hour until it reached 950 °C, then allowed to cool to room temperature slowly. Crystals have been grown both in alumina crucibles...
As shown in Figure 2, a complete resistive transition is observed below $T_c = 21$ K, which is typical observed in a majority of as-grown SrFe$_2$As$_2$ samples grown in either alumina crucibles or directly in quartz tubes. It should be noted that partial resistive transitions, where a complete zero-resistance state is not achieved, are also observed in some samples presumably due to the presence of a very small $\ll 1\%$ volume fraction. However, it appears that the transition temperature always occurs near 21 K regardless of complete or incomplete resistive transition, or of the percentage of screening.

This partial-volume superconducting phase has proven to be particularly sensitive to mild heat treatment. In Figure 2 we show resistivity as a function of temperature for a single sample SrFe$_2$As$_2$ taken both before and after an annealing treatment (data is for the same sample but with different electrical contacts made after heat treatment). As discussed above, there is a complete resistive transition observed for the as-grown crystal, suggesting a sufficient volume of the sample is superconducting to provide a complete shorted path between sample contacts, thus shorting out the voltage measurement. After heating the sample for only 10 minutes at 300 °C in a sealed argon environment devoid of oxygen, the superconducting transition is shown to be completely suppressed. In other experiments (not shown), it was determined that it takes as little as 200 °C to suppress this transition, with some samples still showing a partial drop or kink at the same $T_c$ value after low temperature heating. Also, annealing in air or under sealed argon atmosphere has the same effect in suppressing the superconducting transition. It may be thought that some type of impurity or oxidation phase that is changed after heat treatment may give rise to the change in superconducting properties in such samples. However, both EDS and WDS analysis has been performed on samples both before and after our annealing procedure, showing no changes to the chemical composition detected. This is unlike the scenario found in annealed cuprate superconductors, which show a drastic change in the superconducting properties associated with a reduction in oxygen present in the crystals [20], [21]. In contrast, there is no indication that oxygen plays any role in the phenomena reported here.

B. Deformation Experiment

Interestingly, the application of mechanical stress to crystals without any trace of a resistive transition has been shown to reinstate the superconducting phase that was suppressed during annealing. Studies by Saha et al. have shown that applying a hydrostatic pressure of 14 kbar and then releasing the pressure revives the full resistive transition [16]. In order to investigate the role of mechanical stress in inducing superconductivity, several other physical tests were performed, including cleaving, quenching with liquid nitrogen, and subjecting a sample to severe mechanical deformation.

A large as-grown single-crystal sample was first annealed to remove any trace of superconductivity and then subject to several tests. Figure 4 presents the resistivity measurements.
taken after annealing the sample and after each stress treatment to check for any trace of induced superconductivity. First, the sample was plunged into liquid nitrogen to test the ability of rapid thermal cycling to induce superconductivity. However, resistivity measurements found that the superconducting transition did not return. Next the sample was cleaved in order to investigate whether our standard procedure for preparing resistivity samples was in fact responsible for inducing superconductivity. The sample was carefully cleaved into two pieces using a steel razor blade, after which the resistivity of each half was measured. Again, there was no return of a superconducting transition, as shown in Figure 3. Finally, a remaining piece of the sample with approximate size 1 mm$^2$ was placed in a piston-type press and squashed until its surface area had doubled, requiring an applied force of 4,000 lbs on a 1 cm diameter piston.

In contrast to the previous tests, this severe deformation was found to induce a return of the 21 K superconducting transition. Figure 3 presents the resistivity of the squashed sample in comparison to its resistivity just after annealing and after cleaving, showing that the major difference between the three curves is in the appearance of the drop in resistivity at $T_c$ in the deformed sample. (The resistivity data were shifted and normalized to the values of the severely deformed sample at 25 and 300 K to remove a substantial increase in the residual resistivity and change in the geometric factor of the deformed sample arising from defects, cracks, etc. introduced during the deformation process.) Although the measured drop is not a complete transition, it is presumed that the actual volume fraction of superconductivity may be larger than this drop implies, due to the possible introduction of fractures and voids in the sample that would interrupt a continuous superconducting pathway. Unfortunately, this level of mechanical deformation weakens the sample enough that it is difficult to do subsequent characterization measurements. Work is under way to do a similar experiment but using magnetization to determine the change in the superconducting volume fraction induced by mechanical deformation of a larger crystal.

Figure 4 presents scanning electron microscope images of the surface of a sample subject to the same deformation treatment, indeed showing the presence of micro-cracks throughout the sample. These cracks are presumably the reason for the absence of a complete resistive transition, although more studies are required to make such a determination. While more tests are under way to investigate the details by which stress-induced superconductivity occurs in SrFe$_2$As$_2$, a correlation between crystal lattice deformation and the superconducting phase has been shown to exist in single-crystal X-ray diffraction experiments, pointing to a scenario where superconductivity is stabilized by a type of internal strain arising from tilted stacking faults \[ \text{[16]} \]. It remains to be determined how this seemingly intrinsic level of distortion in pristine crystals is connected with the severe deformation effect. It would be interesting, for instance to investigate this using a more powerful probe such as transmission electron microscopy.
IV. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the ambient pressure superconducting phase that appears in a majority of single-crystal samples of SrFe$_2$As$_2$, synthesized using the self-flux method was studied via resistivity and magnetization measurements of samples subject to heat treatment and mechanical stress tests. The partial volume fraction superconductivity was shown to be suppressed by modest heat treatments, while it can be reinstated by subjecting the material to severe mechanical stress. Future experiments will investigate the nature of stress-induced deformation and its role in stabilizing superconductivity in this iron-pnictide parent compound.
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