Oleic acid as a restorative agent in alleviating adrenaline induced altered morphofunctional milieu of gastric tissue and mitochondria
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ABSTRACT

The role of oleic acid as a protective antioxidant has recently been recognized. The present study is aimed to explore whether oleic acid can afford protection to rat gastric tissue when challenged with adrenaline. Sixty adult healthy male albino rats were divided into 10 groups comprising of 6 animals each. First group constituted the control. Rats of the second group were injected sub-cutaneously with adrenaline bitartrate at the dose of 0.3mg/kg body weight, every day for a period of 17 days. Rats of the third, to the sixth groups were orally fed with different doses of oleic acid (2.5, 5, 10, 20 mg/kg body weight/day) respectively. The rats of seventh to tenth groups were orally fed with doses of oleic acid as mentioned above and subsequently injected with adrenaline bitartrate at 0.3mg/kg body weight sub-cutaneously. After the treatment period, the animals were euthanized through cervical dislocation following light ether anaesthesia and gastric tissues were collected for morphological and biochemical studies. Subcutaneously administered pharmacological dose of adrenaline bitartrate caused oxidative stress inducing gastric lesion in male albino rats as evident from the altered levels of biomarkers of oxidative stress, activities of antioxidant and mitochondrial enzymes related to energy metabolism with changes in tissue morphology. Pre-treatment of rats with oleic acid dose-dependently protected against these gastric injuries induced by adrenaline indicating the potentiality of oleic acid in protecting against adrenaline induced gastric injury in male albino rats where antioxidant mechanisms appear to play a pivotal role in mediating such protection.

1. Introduction

Gastric mucosa is one of the first lines of defense that protects our body from the deleterious effects of ingested exogenous xenobiotic and microbial organisms [1]. The human stomach is continuously exposed to a variety of obnoxious substances, like hydrochloric acid, refluxed bile salts, alcohol, ingested toxins or infectious agents such as Helicobacter pylori [2]. Several defense mechanisms are active in the gastric mucosa to protect it from injury, including the mucus-bicarbonate-phospholipid barrier, epithelial cells and gastric mucosal blood flow [3]. The main components of mucosal defense occur at a molecular level, involving prostaglandins, polyamines, cytokines and gaso-transmitters such as NO [4]. Nevertheless, when these protective mechanisms are overwhelmed by injurious factors, a gastro-mucosal lesion may develop [5]. Gastric lesion develops because of imbalance between aggressive and protective factors [6]. Certain endogenously produced aggressive factors like hydrochloric acid, pepsin, refluxed bile, leukotrienes and reactive oxygen species (ROS), and some exogenous factors such as non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), stress, alcohol and Helicobacter pylori infection are
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some of the major causative agents for mucosal damage and ulceration [7, 8, 9, 10, 11]. Besides NSAIDs, another factor contributing to damage of the gastric mucosa is acute emotional stress [12]. Therefore, stress models such as water-restraint stress (WRS) [12], cold-restraint stress [6] and administration of very low pharmacological dose of adrenaline [12] are widely used to induce gastric injury and even gastric mucosal lesions in experimental animals. These models seem to reproduce both local and systemic consequences of stress exposure to the upper gastrointestinal (GI) tract, resulting in the formation of bleeding gastric erosions and a decrease in mucosal blood flow [12]. It is well established that adrenaline is a potent mediator of stress induced gastric lesion [12].

Olive oil is the principal fat component of the Mediterranean diet and its consumption has been associated with a lower incidence of coronary heart disease and certain cancers [13, 14, 15, 16, 17]. Interestingly, olive oil was used as a component in therapeutic diet in pectic ulcer. A relatively recent research has revealed that olive oil can be a potential agent against microorganism linked to pectic ulcer as polyphenols present in virgin olive oil play a significant role in protecting against ulcer [18]. However, a very recent research highlighted that oleic acid, the major component present in olive oil, also exhibited antioxidant activity [19]. We have previously shown, perhaps for the first time, that oleic acid can protect adrenaline induced myocardial injury in rats through its antioxidant mechanisms [20].

Herein, we provide evidence that oral administration of oleic acid has potential to provide protection against adrenaline induced gastric injury in male albino rats and this protection appears to be exerted through its antioxidant mechanism(s).

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Animal

Male Wistar rats of 160–180 g body weight were used throughout the experiments which was procured from a registered animal supplier. The animals were handled as per the guidelines of the Institutional Animal Ethics Committee (IAEC) of Department of Physiology, University of Calcutta and also following the guidelines of the committee for the purpose of control and supervision of experiment on animals (CPCEA), Ministry of Environment and Forest, Government of India. All the experimental protocols had the approval (approval no IAEC/IV/Dissertation/DB/1/2017/21 dated 12.03.2017) of the Institutional Animal Ethics Committee (IAEC) of the Department of Physiology, University of Calcutta.

2.2. Chemicals and reagents

Oleic acid (>99% purity) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, USA as liquid form. This is within minimum permissible ethanol as completely dissolved solution. It is easily soluble in phosphate buffered saline (PBS; pH - 7.4). Sodium pyruvate, isocitric acid, succinic acid, α-ketoglutaric acid and bovine serum albumin (BSA) were purchased from Sisco Research Laboratories (SRL), Mumbai, India. Adrenaline bitartrate was procured from Vulcan laboratories, India. Thiobarbituric acid (TBA) was procured from Spectro Chem. All the other chemicals including the solvents were of analytical grade and obtained from SRL, Qualigens (India/ Germany), SD fine chemicals (India) and Merck Limited (India).

2.3. Treatment of animals and collection of tissues

For the present study, the experimental rats were housed six in each galvanized wire cages, in well ventilated, air conditioned rooms of our animal house and a 12 h light/dark cycle was maintained, for 7 days to acclimatize the rats to laboratory conditions. All rats were fed a standard diet containing 18% protein, 71% carbohydrate and vitamins which are considered to be an adequate (normal) diet with optimum protein level [21]. After completion of the quarantine period, (n = 60), the animals were divided into 10 groups and subjected to treatments according to the schedule mentioned below.

2.4. Groups

Group I: Control group (CON). Rats were injected with vehicle only.

Group II: Adrenaline bitartrate treated group (ADR 0.3). Adrenaline bitartrate was administered subcutaneously (s.c.) at a dose of 0.3 mg/kg of body weight (bw) every day for a period of 17 days [22].

Group III: Only oleic acid treated group 1 (OA 2.5). Rats were treated with oleic acid at the dose of 2.5 mg/kg bw, fed orally for a period of 17 days.

Group IV: Only oleic acid treated group 2 (OA 5). Rats were treated with oleic acid at the dose of 5 mg/kg of bw, fed orally for a period of 17 days.

Group V: Only oleic acid treated group 3 (OA 10). Rats were treated with oleic acid at the dose of 10 mg/kg bw, fed orally for a period of 17 days.

Group VI: Only oleic acid treated group 4 (OA 20). Rats were treated with oleic acid at the dose of 20 mg/kg bw, fed orally for a period of 17 days.

Group VII: Protection group 1 (ADR 0.3 + OA 2.5). Rats were pretreated with oleic acid (fed orally) at the dose of 2.5 mg/kg of bw 30 min before administration of adrenaline, s.c., at the dose of 0.3 mg/kg bw. The treatment continued for 17 days.

Group VIII: Protection group 2 (ADR 0.3 + OA 5). Rats were pretreated with oleic acid (fed orally) at the dose of 5 mg/kg bw 30 min before administering adrenaline bitartrate, s.c., at the dose of 0.3 mg/kg bw. The treatment continued for 17 days.

Group IX: Protection group 3 (ADR 0.3 + OA 10). Rats were pretreated with oleic acid (fed orally) at the dose of 10 mg/kg bw 30 min before administering adrenaline, s.c., at the dose of 0.3 mg/kg bw for a period of 17 days.

Group X: Protection group 4 (ADR 0.3 + OA 20). Rats were pretreated with oleic acid (fed orally) at the dose of 20 mg/kg bw 30 min before administering adrenaline, s.c., at the dose of 0.3 mg/kg bw for a period of 17 days.

The following experimental design has been summarized in a diagrammatic representation given in Scheme 1 which denotes all groups, treatment protocols and experiments performed.

At the end of treatment, the animals were euthanized by cervical dislocation following light ether anaesthesia. The abdomen of each rat was surgically opened to collect the stomach for macroscopic observations, histological studies and biochemical estimations. For histological studies, an appropriate portion of the fundic part of the stomach was placed immediately in formalin fixative following tissue removal from rats. The rest of the stomach tissue was kept in sterile plastic vial at -20° C for further biochemical analysis.

2.5. Biochemical analysis

2.5.1. Preparation of tissue homogenate

A 10% tissue homogenate of stomach was prepared in ice cold 0.1M phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) using a Potter-Elvehjem glass homogenizer (Belco Glass Inc, Vineland, NJ, USA). The homogenates were kept in cold and processed for biochemical analyses within 30 minutes of preparation.

2.5.1.1. In vitro experiments. Freshly prepared 10% stomach tissue homogenate was incubated at 37°C at pH 7.4 (using 50 mM phosphate buffer) with 0.5 μM adrenaline in 1 ml reaction volume. The same homogenate sample was differentially co-incubated with 1 μM melatonin, 0.5 μM oleic acid, 0.2 mM ascorbic acid in presence and absence of 0.5 μM adrenaline. It is easily soluble in phosphate buffered saline (PBS; pH - 7.4). Sodium pyruvate, isocitric acid, succinic acid, α-ketoglutaric acid and bovine serum albumin (BSA) were purchased from Sisco Research Laboratories (SRL), Mumbai, India. Adrenaline bitartrate was procured from Vulcan laboratories, India. Thiobarbituric acid (TBA) was procured from Spectro Chem. All the other chemicals including the solvents were of analytical grade and obtained from SRL, Qualigens (India/ Germany), SD fine chemicals (India) and Merck Limited (India).
adrenaline. In all cases, reaction was terminated using 35mM EDTA and then different parameters were analyzed.

2.5.2. Measurement of the tissue levels of lipid peroxidation (LPO), protein carbonylation (PCO), reduced glutathione (GSH), total sulfhydryl group (TSH) and oxidized glutathione (GSSG) contents

The lipid peroxidation level (LPO) in the stomach tissue homogenates which were determined as thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) was measured according to the method of Buege and Aust [23]. The Reduced Glutathione Content (GSH) content was estimated by following its reaction with DTNB (Ellman's reagent) according to the method of Sedlak and Lindsay [24]. Estimation of protein carbonyl content (PCO) by DNPH assay was done by following the method of Levine et al. [25]. The values were expressed as nmoles/mg protein.

Total sulfhydryl group content (TSH) was determined by the method of Sedlak and Lindsay [24]. The values were expressed as nmoles TSH/mg protein. The GSSG content was measured by the method of Sedlak and Lindsay [24]. Gastric tissue was homogenized (10%) in 2 mM ice-cold ethylenediaminetetra-acetic acid (EDTA). The reaction mixture contained 0.1 mM sodium phosphate buffer, EDTA, NADPH and 0.14 units per ml glutathione reductase. The absorbance was measured at 340 nm using a UV-VIS spectrophotometer to determine the GSSG content. The values were expressed as nmoles GSSG/mg protein.

2.5.3. Measurement of the activities of Cu–Zn superoxide dismutase (Cu–Zn SOD), Mn superoxide dismutase (Mn SOD), catalase (CAT), glutathione reductase (GR), glutathione peroxidase (GPx) and glutathione-S-transferase (GST) in the stomach tissue

The activities of Cu–ZnSOD and Mn-SOD were quantified by pyrogallol autooxidation method of Marklund [26]. The CAT activity was assayed by the method of Beers et al. [27] and the enzyme activity was expressed as μmoles of H2O2 consumed/mg protein.

Glutathione Reductase (GR) activity was measured as described by Krohne-Ehrich et al. [28]. The specific activity of the enzyme was calculated as units/mg protein. The GPx activity was measured according to the method of Paglia and Valentine [29]. The GST activity was measured spectrophotometrically according to Habig et al. [30].

2.5.4. Indirect assessment of the generation of superoxide anion free radical (O2−/) by measuring the activities of xanthine oxidase (XO) and xanthine dehydrogenase (XDH)

XO activity was evaluated by the conversion of xanthine to uric acid following the method of Greenlee et al. [31]. The activity of XDH was assessed by following the reduction of NAD+ to NADH according to the method of Strittmatter [32]. The enzyme activity was expressed as milliunits/mg protein.

2.5.5. Isolation of gastric mitochondria and assessment of their health status

The mitochondria from stomach tissues were isolated according to the procedure of Das et al. [6]. A portion of the stomach tissues were cleaned and cut into small pieces. Five hundred mg of the tissue was placed separately in 10ml of sucrose buffer [0.25(M) sucrose, 0.001(M) EDTA, 0.05(M) Tris-HCl (pH 7.8)] at 25 °C for 5min. The tissues were then homogenized separately in cold for 1 min at low speed by using a Potter-Elevejem glass homogenizer (Belco Glass Inc., Vineland, NJ, USA). The homogenates were centrifuged at 1500 rpm for 10 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was kept cold by placing it over ice and was poured through several layers of cheese cloth. Then this filtered supernatant was centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 5 min at 4 °C. The supernatant, thus obtained, was further subjected to centrifugation at 14000 rpm for 20 min at 4 °C. The final supernatant was discarded and the pellet was re-suspended in sucrose buffer and stored at -20 °C for further analysis. However, most of the enzymatic assays were carried out with freshly prepared mitochondria.

The gastric peroxidase (GPO) activity of rat gastric mitochondrial fraction with increasing protein concentrations was quantified using iodide as an electron donor. The assay system contained 50 mM sodium

Scheme 1. Diagrammatic representation of the experimental design along with parameters measured and treatment protocol has been summarised.
2.5.6. Determination of activities of pyruvate dehydrogenase (PDH) and some of the enzymes of Krebs’ cycle

The enzymatic activity of PDH was quantified spectrophotometrically according to the method of Chretien et al. [33]. Isocitrate dehydrogenase (ICDH) activity was measured according to the method of Duncan et al. [34] by measuring the reduction of NAD⁺ to NADH at 340 nm with the help of a UV–VIS spectrophotometer. Alpha-Ketoglutarate dehydrogenase (α-KGDH) activity was determined by following the method of Duncan et al. [34]. Likewise, succinate dehydrogenase (SDH) activity was measured spectrophotometrically by following the reduction of potassium ferricyanide [K₃Fe (CN)₆] at 420 nm according to the method of Veeger et al. [35], which also supports in scrutinizing the metabolic milieu of isolated mitochondria.

To determine whether the adrenaline induced alterations in the activities of PDH and Krebs’ cycle enzymes (i.e., ICDH, α-KGDH and SDH) are reversible or not, kinetics of each of these enzymes from each group (i.e., Control, Oleic acid positive control, Adrenaline treated, and Oleic acid in a final volume of 0.25 ml) were performed using different concentrations (2.5, 5, 10 and 20 mM) of their respective substrates (i.e., pyruvate, isocitrate, α-ketoglutarate and succinate, respectively) and the data obtained were analyzed through double reciprocal plot and Eadie-Hofstee plot.

2.5.7. Determination of activities of gastric mitochondrial respiratory chain enzymes

The activity of NADH-Cytochrome c oxidoreductase and Cytochrome c oxidase was determined by following the reduction of oxidized cytochrome c at 565 nm and at 550 nm respectively according to the method of Goyal et al. [36].

2.5.8. Comparison of oleic acid efficacy with other classical antioxidants by determining mitochondrial LPO level and GSH content

A 50% gastric mitochondrial suspension was separately incubated with 0.5 μM adrenaline in presence and absence of 1 μM melatonin, 0.2 mM ascorbic acid and 0.25 μM oleic acid in a final volume of 0.25 ml at 37°C and at pH 7.4 for one hour. The reaction was terminated with 0.02 ml of 35 mM EDTA upon completion of one hour.

The LPO level of the incubated mitochondria was determined as described by Beuge and Aust et al. [23]. Briefly, the 0.05 ml of the incubated mitochondrial suspension was mixed with 1 ml of thiobarbituric acid-trichloro acetic acid-hydrochloric acid (TBA-TCA-HCl) reagent and heated for 20 min at 80°C. The samples were then cooled to room temperature. The absorbance of the clear supernatant after centrifugation at 2000 rpm for 10 min at room temperature was measured at 532 nm using a UV–VIS spectrophotometer (Bio-Rad, Smartspec Plus, Hercules, CA, USA) and the values were expressed in terms of nmole of TBARS/mg protein.

The GSH content of the incubated mitochondria was estimated according to the method of Sedlak and Lindsay [24]. In this method, proteins of the incubated mitochondria were precipitated with 10% ice-cold TCA. The mixture was centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 20 min at 4°C. To one volume of supernatant, two volume of 0.8 M Tris-HCl-EDTA (pH 9.0) and one tenth volume of 10 mM DTNB were added and kept at room temperature for 10 min. Then the absorbance of each sample was recorded at 412 nm and the values were expressed in terms of nmole GSH/mg protein.

2.5.9. Estimation of proteins

Proteins of the different samples were determined by the method of Lowry et al. [37].
3. Results

3.1. Microscopic studies of gastric mucosal surface and tissue morphology

3.1.1. Haematoxylin-eosin staining of gastric tissue

Haematoxylin and eosin (H&E) stained gastric tissue sections (Figure 1) of control group of rats showed no disruption of the surface epithelium with neither edema nor leucocyte infiltration of submucosal layer. Intactness of gastric pit and gastric mucosa was observed. In addition no mucosal lesion and erosion was detected. Treatment of rats with adrenaline bitartrate at a dose of 0.3 mg/kg body weight resulted in marked changes in gastric tissue morphology. Neutrophil infiltration along with inflammation of the gastric mucosa, mucosal edema, congestion and surface mucosal erosion were found upon adrenaline treatment. Gastric tissue sections of animals pre-treated with oleic acid at different concentrations namely 2.5, 5, 10 and 20 mg/kg bw showed a dose dependent improvement in histological parameters with no significant difference in protection between the 10 and 20 mg/kg bw dose suggesting that oleic acid at a dose of 10 mg/kg bw was the best effective dose of treatment as it showed no vascular congestion, edema and leucocyte infiltration. It also exhibited intactness of gastric pit and gastric mucosa. Moreover, studies on the total wall thickness of the gastric tissue sections revealed no significant alterations in the only oleic acid treated groups when compared to the control value (988.40 ± 12.02 μm)

Table 1. One-way ANOVA of the data indicating the significant alterations in the total wall thickness (μm) as revealed from the photomicrographs of H & E stained sections of rat stomach tissue (refer Figure 1) depicting the dose-dependent protective effect of oleic acid against adrenaline induced gastric injury of the different group (n = 6): (CON) – Control rats, (ADR 0.3) - only adrenaline treated rats at 0.3 mg/kg bw, (OA2.5,OA5,OA10,OA20) – only oleic acid fed rats at the doses of 2.5,5,10 and 20 mg/kg bw respectively & (ADR 0.3 + OA 2.5, ADR 0.3 + OA5, ADR 0.3 + OA10 and ADR 0.3 + OA20) – Oleic acid-fed at the doses of 2.5,5,10 and 20 mg/kg bw respectively and then adrenaline treated rats at 0.3 mg/kg bw.

| Experimental Groups | Total wall thickness (μm) |
|---------------------|--------------------------|
| Control             | 988.40 ± 12.02           |
| ADR 0.3             | 893.60 ± 17.38 *         |
| OA 2.5              | 972.40 ± 23.40           |
| OA 5                | 982.80 ± 25.70           |
| OA 10               | 984.00 ± 27.69           |
| OA 20               | 997.20 ± 28.40           |
| ADR 0.3 + OA 2.5    | 913.20 ± 12.00           |
| ADR 0.3 + OA 5      | 929.20 ± 9.76            |
| ADR 0.3 + OA 10     | 991.60 ± 27.21 #         |
| ADR 0.3 + OA 20     | 994.80 ± 20.48           |

* p < 0.001 vs. control; # p < 0.001 vs. adrenaline treated.

Figure 1. Representative images of H&E stained rat gastric tissue sections of the different groups (n = 6): (CON)–Control rats, (ADR 0.3) - only adrenaline treated rats at 0.3 mg/kg bw, (OA2.5,OA5,OA10,OA20) – only oleic acid fed rats at the doses of 2.5,5,10 and 20 mg/kg bw respectively & (ADR 0.3 + OA 2.5, ADR 0.3 + OA5, ADR 0.3 + OA10 and ADR 0.3 + OA20) – Oleic acid fed at the doses of 2.5,5,10 and 20 mg/kg bw respectively and then adrenaline treated rats at 0.3 mg/kg bw. Images depict the protective effect of oleic acid on adrenaline treated rat stomach as viewed under light microscope at a magnification of 40X. Black arrows indicate sites of gastric injury in adrenaline treated rats.
The total wall thickness was found to be significantly reduced (*p < 0.001 vs. control) in the adrenaline treated group (893.60 ± 17.38 μm). However, pre-treatment rats with oleic acid at 10 mg/kg bw (ADR 0.3 + OA 10) caused a significant (#p < 0.01 vs adrenaline treated) increase in the total wall thickness (991.60 ± 27.21 μm) retaining its value to the control level (Table 1). This indicates that oleic acid possesses the ability to protect against such damage of mucosal layer of gastric tissue.

3.1.2. Confocal microscopic evaluation of gastric tissue extracellular matrix

Confocal microscopic study (Figure 2A) revealed marked collagen disintegration and breakdown of cellular matrix in the adrenaline bitartrate treated group (ADR0.3) when compared to control (Figure 2, panel B). Figure 2C represents the collagen intensity (in arbitrary units) in different experimental groups. Pre-treatment with oleic acid at a dose of 10 mg/kg body weight (ADR 0.3 + OA 10) protected the gastric tissue and prevented these alterations from being taken place.

3.1.3. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) studies on gastric mucosa

A- C panels of Figure 3 represent the images obtained through SEM of the epithelial layer of rat gastric tissues. Here, 400X magnification (Panel 3A), 600 x magnification (Panel 3B) and 4000 x magnification (Panel 3C) of same field were done to reveal the extent of damage caused by adrenaline and the protection of surface texture of the gastric tissue offered in presence of OA in a dose dependent manner. The gastric tissue sections of rats treated with adrenaline bitartrate (0.3 mg/kg bw. s.c.) showed irregular folding of mucosal layer, damages in gastric pits and also overall necrosis of gastric epithelial tissues (ADR 0.3). However, this was found to be ameliorated when the rats were pre-treated with increasing doses of oleic acid (fed orally) with maximum protection being observed in rats treated with 10 mg/kg bw of oleic acid (ADR 0.3 + OA 10). Oleic acid at the dose of 20 mg/kg bw (fed orally) was found not to be detrimental to tissue health.

3.2. Biomarkers of oxidative stress in gastric tissue

Figure 4 represents the changes in biomarkers of oxidative stress following adrenaline bitartrate treatment and the protection rendered by pre-treatment of rats with oleic acid at increasing doses, the maximum protection being afforded at a dose of 10 mg/kg bw. No further protection was observed with OA dose of 20 mg/kg bw. Compared to control, the LPO level (Figure 4A), PCO (Figure 4B) contents were significantly (p < 0.001 vs. control) increased by 168.11%, 93.82% respectively and TSH (total thiol) content was significantly decreased (Figure 4C) in adrenaline bitartrate treated rats by 55.93% (ADR 0.3). These parameters were found to be protected dose-dependently and almost complete protection was observed when the rats were pre-treated with oleic acid (fed orally) at a dose of 10 mg/kg bw (ADR 0.3 + OA 10). However, oleic acid alone has no effect on any of these biomarkers studied.
3.3. Assessment of glutathione cycle of gastric mucosa

Levels of GSH, the endogenous antioxidant (Figure 5A) (*p < 0.001 vs. control) has been significantly decreased in adrenaline bitartrate administered rats by 43.80% compared to control rats (ADR 0.3) while its oxidized form GSSG (Figure 5B) experienced a significant increase (*p < 0.001 vs. control) by 121.05% in adrenaline bitartrate treated rats. On the other hand, a significant (*p < 0.001 vs. control) decrease in the activities of GPx and GST (76.52% and 67.30%, respectively) has been observed as shown in Figure 5C and D, respectively and an increased GR activity (137.14%, *p < 0.001 vs. control) of rat stomach tissue was observed as presented in Figure 5E following treatment of rats with adrenaline bitartrate (ADR 0.3). These alterations in antioxidant enzyme activities in gastric tissue were found to be dose-dependently and significantly (*p < 0.001 vs. adrenaline treated) protected from being altered (270.73% and 179.38% increase in case of GPx and GST respectively and 48.24% decrease in case of GR activity) when the rats were pre-treated with oleic acid (fed orally) at a dose of 10 mg/kg bw (ADR 0.3 + OA 10), showing maximum protection. Pre-treatment of rats with 20 mg/kg bw OA did not further protect the enzyme activities.

3.4. Activities of different antioxidant enzymes of gastric tissue

Compared to control, a significant (*p<0.001 vs. control) increase in the activities of rat gastric antioxidant enzymes, like, Cu–Zn SOD (Figure 6A), Mn-SOD (Figure 6B) and CAT (Figure 6C) was observed (178.59%, 81.81% and 80.83%, respectively) following treatment of rats with adrenaline bitartrate (ADR 0.3). The activities of these antioxidant enzymes were found to be protected from being increased dose-dependently in rats which were pre-treated with oleic acid (fed orally) and the maximum protection being observed at a dose of 10 mg/kg bw (ADR 0.3 + OA 10), indicating the ability of oleic acid to protect the stomach tissue against oxidative stress-induced changes due to adrenaline. However, oleic acid alone did not possess any effect on the activities of these antioxidant enzymes. It was also revealed that treatment of rats with further higher doses of OA did not provide further protection.

3.5. Indirect assessment of generation of superoxide anion free radicals in gastric tissue

Figure 7 shows that treatment of rats with adrenaline bitartrate at a dose of 0.3 mg/kg bw. (ADR 0.3) s.c., every day for a period of 17 days...
generated copious amounts of superoxide anion free radicals in gastric tissues of rats which was reflected in elevated levels of activities of xanthine oxidase (XO) and xanthine dehydrogenase (XDH) (139.01% and 137.68% increases, respectively; *p < 0.001 vs. control) as shown in Figure 7A and B, respectively. The activities of these two enzymes were found to be dose-dependently and significantly protected from being elevated when the rats were pre-treated with oleic acid at a dose of 10 mg/kg bw (fed orally), this dose exhibiting maximum protection (ADR 0.3 + OA 10). Although being an indirect assessment, the results reveal generation of superoxide anion free radical in rat gastric tissue following treatment of rats with adrenaline bitartrate. Administration of further higher dose of OA did not provide additional protection.

### 3.6. Confirmation tests for determining metabolic health of isolated mitochondria

Before performing in vitro experiments with the isolated rat gastric mitochondria, the functional intactness of rat gastric mitochondria needs to be tested. For this we determined the activities of gastric peroxidase, a specific glycoprotein antioxidant enzyme of rat gastric mitochondria [6] and the activity of succinate dehydrogenase (SDH), one of the Krebs' cycle enzymes. The results were depicted in Figure 8 (A, B) which demonstrate a protein dependent increment in the activities of these enzymes thereby confirming the presence of metabolically healthy mitochondria in the incubation mixture.

### 3.7. Activities of mitochondrial respiratory chain enzymes

Figure 9 demonstrates that treatment of rats with adrenaline bitartrate (ADR 0.3) at the above mentioned dose and time period significantly (*p < 0.001 vs. control group) decreased both cytochrome-c-oxidase (Figure 9A) and NADH cytochrome-c-oxidoreductase (Figure 9B) activity (67.56% and 53.89 % decrease, respectively) of the gastric tissues of rats. The activities of both the enzymes were found to be significantly protected from being decreased dose-dependently when the rats were pre-treated with oleic acid at a dose of 10 mg/kg bw exhibiting maximum protection (ADR 0.3 + OA 10). Oleic acid at the higher dose did not provide additional protection.

### 3.8. Activities of PDH and some of the Krebs' cycle enzymes determined in the isolated gastric tissue mitochondria

The treatment of rats with adrenaline bitartrate at a dose 0.3 mg/kg bw (ADR 0.3), s.c., every day for a period of 17 days significantly (*p < 0.001 vs. Control group) decreased the activities of PDH (Figure 10A), ICDH (Figure 10B), α-KGDH (Figure 10C), and SDH (Figure 10D) by 50.37%, 43.56%, 85.34%, and 53.09 %, respectively. The activities of all of these enzymes were found to be dose-dependently and significantly (#p <0.001 vs. adrenaline-treated group) protected from being altered (77.65%, 52.43%, 65.30%, and 91.51 % increases, respectively) when the rats were pre-treated with oleic acid (fed orally) at a dose of 10 mg/kg bw.
bw (ADR 0.3 + OA 10), exhibiting maximum protection. The degree of protection did not increase further with increase in the dose of oleic acid. However, oleic acid alone did not exhibit any significant effect either on PDH activity or on the activities of Krebs’ cycle enzymes.

3.9. Evaluation of inhibition kinetics pattern of PDH and some of the Krebs’ cycle enzymes of isolated rat gastric mitochondria

Figure 11A depicts that treatment of isolated healthy gastric mitochondria with adrenaline bitartrate has decreased the Vmax of pyruvate dehydrogenase (PDH) enzyme with unaltered Km as compared to control mitochondria leading to a conviction of adrenaline mediated non-competitive inhibition of PDH enzyme complex. However, when the mitochondria were co-incubated with oleic acid (OA) and adrenaline, Vmax of this enzyme was protected from being altered. Treatment of gastric mitochondria only with OA did not show any significant alteration in Vmax and Km of PDH.

Figure 11B reveals that upon incubation of isolated healthy gastric mitochondria with adrenaline bitartrate, a significant decrease in Vmax of isocitrate dehydrogenase (ICDH) was observed along with a significant increase in Km compared to control mitochondria confirming mixed inhibition of ICDH enzyme complex by adrenaline bitartrate. However, when the mitochondria were co-incubated with OA, the Vmax and Km of this enzyme were protected in some degrees from being altered by adrenaline. But when gastric mitochondria were treated with OA only, an increase in Vmax and a much significant decline in Km of this enzyme were observed as compared to control also indicates the efficacy of OA in facilitating the binding of its substrate isocitrate to ICDH.

Figure 11C shows a marked decline in Vmax of alpha ketoglutarate dehydrogenase (α-KGDH) enzyme in presence of adrenaline (compared to control) indicating non-competitive inhibition of α-KGDH by adrenaline bitartrate. Whereas Vmax of the enzyme has been shown to be protected from being altered upon co-incubation with OA, Km has been increased even higher than control group also. Treatment of gastric mitochondria only with OA did not show any marked changes in velocity of the enzyme.

Figure 11D exhibits a significant decline in Vmax with a slight alteration of Km of Succinate dehydrogenase enzyme (SDH) of Krebs’ cycle upon incubation of mitochondria in presence of adrenaline compared to control, indicating adrenaline as a non-competitive inhibitor for SDH. Upon co-incubation of isolated gastric mitochondria with OA, maximum velocity of the enzyme catalyzed reaction was found to be protected well at control level. Treatment of gastric mitochondria only with OA did not show any marked changes in velocity of the enzyme catalyzed reaction.

Eadie-Hofstee kinetics of PDH and different Krebs’ cycle enzymes exhibit decreases in initial velocities of enzymes with concomitant decreases in substrate concentrations. Figure 12A exhibits a sharp decline in slope with decreased magnitude of both x and y intercepts when gastric
mitochondria was incubated with adrenaline in comparison to control mitochondria. But, as the changes in magnitude of both intercepts are exactly correlated it seems that the substrate and the inhibitor possess similar dissociation constant for the concerned enzyme. Hence, Eadie-Hofstee plot of the enzyme kinetics also presents adrenaline as a non-competitive inhibitor to PDH.

ICDH enzyme kinetics also have been shown to be affected by adrenaline treatment as declines in x and y intercept magnitudes have been evidenced from Figure 12B in adrenaline treated mitochondria Figure 6.

Dose-dependent protection by oleic acid against adrenaline–induced alterations in the activities of antioxidant enzymes of the rat gastric tissue such as (A) Cu-ZnSOD, (B) MnSOD, (C) Catalase as observed in the following groups:(CON) – Control rats, (ADR 0.3) – only adrenaline treated rats at 0.3 mg/kg bw, (OA2.5, OA5, OA10, OA20) – only oleic acid fed rats at the doses of 2.5, 5, 10 and 20 mg/kg bw respectively & (ADR 0.3 + OA 2.5, ADR 0.3 + OA5, ADR 0.3 + OA10 and ADR 0.3 + OA20) – Oleic acid fed at the doses of 2.5, 5, 10 and 20 mg/kg bw respectively and then adrenaline treated rats at 0.3 mg/kg bw. Values are expressed as means ± S.E. for six samples for each group. (*p < 0.001 vs. control; #p < 0.001 vs. adrenaline treated).

Figure 6. Dose-dependent protection by oleic acid against adrenaline–induced alterations in the activities of antioxidant enzymes of the rat gastric tissue such as (A) Xanthine oxidase and (B) Xanthine dehydrogenase of the following groups:(CON) – Control rats, (ADR 0.3) – only adrenaline treated rats at 0.3 mg/kg bw, (OA2.5, OA5, OA10, OA20) – only oleic acid fed rats at the doses of 2.5, 5, 10 and 20 mg/kg bw respectively & (ADR 0.3 + OA 2.5, ADR 0.3 + OA5, ADR 0.3 + OA10 and ADR 0.3 + OA20) – Oleic acid fed at the doses of 2.5, 5, 10 and 20 mg/kg bw respectively and then adrenaline treated rats at 0.3 mg/kg bw. Values are expressed as means ± S.E. for six samples for each group. (*p < 0.001 vs. control; #p < 0.001 vs. adrenaline treated).
comparing to control. But, with the increase in substrate concentration, the initial velocity has been shown to be regained by some means to towards control level convicting the occurrence of mixed inhibition.

Enzyme kinetics of alpha ketoglutarate dehydrogenase (α-KGDH) (Figure 12C) exhibits a steep fall in initial velocity when incubated with adrenaline leading to the establishment of adrenaline as a non-competitive inhibitor of alpha ketoglutarate to the enzyme α-KGDH. In adrenaline treated mitochondria, escalation of substrate concentration failed to enhance the initial velocity of α-KGDH also.

Similarly, initial velocity of the SDH reaction also has been found to be affected in adrenaline treated mitochondria with decrease in magnitude in both x and y intercepts indicating the occurrence of a non-competitive inhibition. In co-incubated mitochondria, all the enzyme kinetics show initial velocity of the enzymes near to the control indicating unaltered, protected structure of the enzymes.

3.10. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) of gastric mitochondria

Figure 13 depicts the images obtained through SEM of gastric mitochondria at 20000X magnification. The mitochondria obtained from adrenaline bitartrate (0.3 mg/kg bw. s.c.) treated rat stomach tissues were found to be leaky, with damages of the outer membrane which is indicative of loss of intactness of their architecture (ADR 0.3). Here also, a dose-dependent protection was observed when the rats were pre-treated with oleic acid with maximum protection being exhibited at a dose of 10 mg/kg bw (ADR 0.3 + OA 10). Interestingly, the mitochondria obtained from rats pre-treated with OA of 20 mg/kg bw (fed orally) did not show any sign of morphological deterioration.

3.11. In vitro studies on comparative evaluation of the effects on biomarkers of oxidative stress using other antioxidants

To determine whether other known anti-oxidants exhibit a similar effect like oleic acid on the biomarkers of oxidative stress in isolated rat gastric mitochondria, we have extended our studies on lipid peroxidation (Figure 14A) and GSH levels (Figure 14B) with anti-oxidants like ascorbic acid and melatonin. Upon incubation of isolated gastric mitochondria with adrenaline a significant elevation of lipid peroxidation level (38.11% increase vs control) and a concomitant decrease in reduced glutathione level (32.33% decrease vs control) were observed. But co-incubation of gastric mitochondria separately with ascorbic acid, melatonin and oleic acid with adrenaline, protected the above alterations significantly. However, incubation of isolated gastric mitochondria at identical conditions with ascorbic acid alone, melatonin alone and oleic acid alone did not show any significant alterations in both oxidative stress biomarkers studied.
3.12. Studies on the effects of oleic acid and adrenaline treatment on liver tissue

In addition to the effect of oleic acid on gastric acid injury, the effect of oleic acid treatment on liver tissue was also evaluated as some literature suggests that oleic acid can have damaging effects on the liver. Hence, we analyzed the changes in body weight of rats before and after treatment and found that no significant change in body weight was found between the initial and final weights suggesting that a 17 day treatment with oleic acid at different doses (2.5, 5, 10 and 20 mg/kg bw) along with and without adrenaline did not show any significant weight gain or loss thus indicating that oleic acid alone had no effect on body weight as seen in Figure 15A.

We also investigated the serum injury markers for liver tissue such as serum glutamate pyruvate transaminase activity (SGPT) as well as serum lactate dehydrogenase 5 (LDH 5) which is a liver injury marker as shown in Figure 15B & C respectively. We observed that both the parameters showed a non-significant change upon adrenaline treatment as compared to control (*p < 0.001 vs control) and therefore the protection was also found to be non-significant in case of oleic acid treatment at a dose of 10 mg/kg bw (#p < 0.001 vs adrenaline treated).

We also investigated the histopathological changes that takes place in the liver tissue upon oleic acid treatment with or without adrenaline treatment and found that H & E stained liver tissues that were treated with oleic acid at the doses of 2.5, 5, 10 and 20 mg/kg bw did not show any damaging effects in histological parameters as compared to control (Figure 16A).

Although no significant change was observed upon adrenaline treatment at the dose of 0.3 mg/kg bw as compared to control, there was a slight change in histomorphology of liver tissue. However, liver tissues isolated from rats treated with both oleic acid and adrenaline showed slight improvement of histoarchitecture in comparison to adrenaline treated rats although it was not significant suggesting that like stomach, oleic acid also protects the liver tissue against adrenaline induced damage.

4. Discussion

Chronic physiological and psychological stress are considered as the major causes of gastro-epithelial layer injury since elevated circulatory adrenaline in blood plays a major role in the generation of stress induced gastric lesion [7] which might be caused by gastric vaso-constriction, leading to focal ischaemia, hypoxia, and oxidative stress [12]. Being amino unsaturated fatty acid (MUFA), the susceptibility of oxidation is less in case of oleic acid than the polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) [42]. Infact, perhaps, we were the first to report that oleic acid can protect adrenaline induced myocardial injury through antioxidant mechanisms [20]. Additionally, the role of oleic acid in the amelioration of different oxidative stress induced disorders were also reported [43, 44].
Sub-cutaneous administration of adrenaline bitartrate at pharmacological dose causes gastric lesions as evidenced by our tissue morphological studies. Photomicrographs of H & E stained gastric tissue sections of control group showed normal and healthy gastro-epithelial layer while tissue sections showed edema and focal hemorrhage in adrenaline bitartrate group. Study on total wall thickness (Table 1) revealed that oleic acid pre-treatment may protect the gastric wall from deleterious effects of adrenaline-induce oxidative stress mediated gastric injuries.

Figure 11. The Lineweaver Burk double reciprocal plots of the activities of enzymes related to energy metabolism of rat gastric tissue: (A) Pyruvate dehydrogenase, (B) Isocitrate dehydrogenase, (C) Alpha-ketoglutarate dehydrogenase and (D) Succinate dehydrogenase. Values are expressed as means ± S.E. for six samples for each group.

Figure 12. The Eadie-Hofstee plots of the activities of enzymes related to energy metabolism of rat gastric tissue: (A) Pyruvate dehydrogenase, (B) Isocitrate dehydrogenase, (C) Alpha-ketoglutarate dehydrogenase and (D) Succinate dehydrogenase. Values are expressed as means ± S.E. for six samples for each group.
Interestingly, acid Sirius stain of gastric tissue sections clearly indicated altered tissue architecture and decreased collagen content of the gastric tissues following adrenaline bitartrate treatment. Moreover, decreased amount of collagen fibers in the gastric tissue framework gives rise to loosened tissue indicating towards a pathological condition. SEM of the gastric mucosal layer of adrenaline bitartrate treated rats showed irregular arrangement of mucosal folding. Presence of some gastro epithelial pits and several corrosive spots also indicate gastric injury in damaged and ruptured tissue.

Significant increase in LPO, PCO and GSSG levels, the primary biomarkers of oxidative stress indicated the possibility of ROS mediated damage of the gastric mucosa. Oleic acid at different doses prevented all these parameters from being altered dose dependently while 10 mg/kg bw oleic acid showed excellence either by interfering with the steps in catecholamine metabolism or by scavenging the free radicals, generated due to redox-active transition metals like copper or iron [6]. GSH, an important endogenous antioxidant, plays an integral role in scavenging free radicals and also in repairing radical induced biological damage. Significant reduction in gastric GSH content following adrenaline bitartrate treatment strongly indicates oxidative stress induced gastric injury [38]. However, pre-treatment of rats with oleic acid dose-dependently protected the gastric tissues from these alterations indicating that oleic acid has the potential to increase the antioxidant capacity of GSH [45].

Superoxide dismutase, an important antioxidant defense enzyme known to catalyze the dismutation of superoxide anion free radicals, increased in both cytosol and mitochondria of stomach tissue of adrenaline bitartrate administered rats which may be an adaptive response towards oxidative stress. The decrease in catalase activity following adrenaline treatment may be due to over production of H2O2 in gastro-epithelial cells or excessive generation of O2- leading to the inactivation of the enzyme. However, when the rats were pre-treated with oleic acid at the different pharmacological doses, the activities of the key antioxidant enzymes were found to be significantly protected from being altered pointing toward the protective role of oleic acid against adrenaline bitartrate-induced chronic stress induced injury to rat stomach.

Intracellular thiol status is generally maintained by the coordinated activities of enzymes like GR, GPx and GST. In this situation, the rate of GSH utilization overpowered the rate of GSH production and that was reflected in total GSH content of gastric tissue. GR, responsible for the reduction of GSSG to GSH faced significant increase in activity in the gastric tissues of adrenaline bitartrate administered rats indicating

---

**Figure 13.** Scanning electron microscope images of rat gastric tissue mitochondria indicating a dose-dependent protection by oleic acid at 20,000X magnification. The groups are as follows: (CON) – Control rats, (ADR 0.3)- only adrenaline treated rats at 0.3 mg/kg bw, (OA2.5,OA5,OA10,OA20) – only oleic acid fed rats at the doses of 2.5,5,10 and 20 mg/kg bw respectively & (ADR 0.3 + OA 2.5, ADR 0.3 + OA5, ADR 0.3 + OA10 and ADR 0.3 + OA20)- Oleic acid fed at the doses of 2.5,5,10 and 20 mg/kg bw respectively and then adrenaline treated rats at 0.3 mg/kg bw. The red arrows indicate the areas of lesions on the mitochondrial surface in adrenaline treated groups.

**Figure 14.** Effect of ascorbic acid, melatonin and oleic acid on adrenaline mediated changes in (A) lipid peroxidation and (B) reduced glutathione content in isolated rat gastric tissue mitochondria, C=Control, OA= only Oleic acid, As= only ascorbic acid, Mel = only melatonin, A = adrenaline treated mitochondria, A + OA = Co-incubation of mitochondria with oleic acid in presence of adrenaline, A + As= Co-incubation of mitochondria with ascorbic acid in presence of adrenaline, A + Mel = Co-incubation of mitochondria with melatonin in presence of adrenaline, (*p < 0.001 Vs. Control in case of LPO and *p < 0.05 Vs. Control in case of GSH, #p < 0.001 Vs. adrenaline treated).
increased endogenous GSSG production to cope up with the stressed situation as the abated GPx activity aggravates the level of oxidative stress simultaneously. This indicates that GSH metabolizing pathway is disturbed in adrenaline bitartrate-treated rats. Adrenaline bitartrate treated rats also exhibit reduced GST activity which is a multi-functional iso-enzyme that play an important role in detoxification of toxic electrophiles by catalyzing the conjugation of those electrophiles with GSH. The GST utilizes GSH to neutralize the toxic substances. In this study, due to oxidative stress huge amount of GSH was utilized to cope with the situation so the GSH concentration reached that critical limit which further reduced the GST activity significantly. Pre-treatment of rats with oleic acid exhibited a significant protection of the activities of all of these enzymes and thus indicates a protective effect of oleic acid.

XO may play an important role in contributing towards free radical mediated damage. In normal conditions, XO exists in dehydrogenase form (XDH) and uses NAD$^+$ and there is no or very little production of O$_2$. Under ischaemic conditions, depletion of ATP and subsequent loss of membrane Ca$^{2+}$ gradient cause escalated endogenous Ca$^{2+}$ level that activate Ca$^{2+}$ dependent proteases leading to selective proteolysis of XDH into XO which acts both on hypoxanthine and xanthine at the expense of molecular oxygen to produce O$_2$. Hence, significant increase in XO and XDH activities in gastric tissue confirms, though indirectly, generation of ROS following adrenaline bitartrate treatment. However, oleic acid pre-treated rats showed unaltered enzyme activities compared to control indicating again toward the protective role of oleic acid and its antioxidant mechanisms behind such protection.

The stomach needs a continuous supply of ATP to fulfill its energy need. Being the major seat of ROS generation and a principal target of oxidative stress, mitochondria, the ATP generating organelle deserves special exploration especially its structural and functional aspects. To ensure that experiments were carried out with healthy mitochondria, the viability and status of mitochondria were ascertained by determining the activities of SDH and GPO.

Mitochondria has been confirmed as a major site of adrenaline induced stress as activities of two major ATP generating enzymes i.e.; cytochrome c oxidase and cytochrome c oxidoreductase were found to be decreased in mitochondria of adrenaline treated rats whereas the activity of these enzymes has been found to be protected from being altered in oleic acid pre-treated group. This observation made us curious to study the kinetics of some of the Krebs’ cycle enzymes, the major mitochondrial energy generating pathway.

Our current studies have shown that the activities of PDH and some of the Krebs’ cycle enzymes related to ATP production, particularly, ICDH, α-KGDH and SDH which have been found to be drastically declined following adrenaline bitartrate administration to rats can be protected from being decreased when the animals were pre-treated with oleic acid. This supports a previous study establishing oleic acid rich virgin olive oil as a better option to cope with mitochondrial oxidative stress than other n-6 polyunsaturated fatty acid [46].
Mitochondrial enzyme kinetics studies reveal that none of the enzymes studied have been competitively inhibited by adrenaline which affirmed that there is no structural similarities between their substrate and adrenaline and hence, there is no possibility of binding of this biological molecule to at or near the active sites of the enzymes. Both double reciprocal (LBDR) and Eadie–Hofstee plot revealed that adrenaline treatment declined the initial and maximum velocity of PDH, without disturbing the affinity of PDH for its substrate pyruvate and thus non-competitively inhibit PDH. Unaltered Km may be an indication of disturbing the affinity of PDH for its substrate pyruvate and thus non-competitively inhibit PDH. Retention of maximum velocity of PDH upon co-incubation with adrenaline binding with those enzymes attenuates the binding of adrenaline or enhance the affinity of their own substrate and thereby provide protection both structurally and functionally.

Moreover, our histo-morphological study of gastric tissue mitochondria has further strengthened our biochemical observations. The gastric tissue mitochondria of adrenaline bitartrate induced changes in mitochondrial surface morphology were found to be significantly and dose dependently protected from being taken place when rats were pre-treated with oleic acid. These adrenaline bitartrate induced changes in mitochondrial surface morphology were found to be significantly and dose dependently protected from being taken place when rats were pre-treated with oleic acid.

Moreover, evaluation of oxidative stress biomarker levels in isolated gastric mitochondria co-treated with melatonin and ascorbic acid, in vitro, also provide a well comparable picture with classical established antioxidants in favor of establishing and confirming oleic acid as a restorative supplementation against gastric injury.

Although there have been reports of oleic acid affecting the liver tissue, our studies in Figures 15 and 16 suggest that oleic acid alone has no damaging effect on the liver histoarchitecture and that oleic acid treatment, at the highest specified dose of 20 mg/kg body weight used in the study, does not affect the liver tissues and showed no significant change in body weight of rats. We have also provided histological evidences of rat liver tissues (Figure 16) as well as graphical changes in the initial and final body weights of rats treated with oleic acid and adrenaline (Figure 15A) which clearly depict that adrenaline induced damage to liver tissue is protected by oleic acid at a dose of 10 mg/kg bw and that oleic acid alone has no damaging effects on liver tissue at the doses used.
in the study. However the possibility of oleic acid acting as a pro-oxidant at higher doses cannot be ruled out.

Thus, it may be stated that oleic acid pre-treatment at a dose of 10 mg/kg bw maximally protected all the biochemical and histopathological alterations in the gastric tissues as well as in gastric mitochon-dria from being occurring when animals were challenged with 0.3 mg/kg bw adrenaline-bitartrate in vivo. Further increase of oleic acid dose (i.e., 20 mg/kg bw, fed orally, for the same time-period) did not demonstrate better results. Moreover, as our earlier isothermal titration calorimetry study confirmed strong and high affinity binding of oleic acid with adrenaline bitartrate [47], we may infer that oleic acid in one hand prevent exposure of adrenaline to tissue system and thus the ROS generation and on other hand it is capable of scavenging ROS [20]. Thus, oleic acid seems to be a potential candidate to provide protection against adrenaline bitartrate induced gastric injury in vivo and antioxidant mechanisms seem to be associated with such protection.

5. Conclusion

Oleic acid is a natural constituent of different nuts, fruits and oil seeds. Among them, olive oil is the richest source of oleic acid and it is an important ingredient of Mediterranean diet which has been proven to be a highly beneficial antioxidant. Consumption of foods rich in oleic acid may help reduce oxidative stress induced disorders. This study clearly indicates that chronic oral administration of oleic acid is capable of protecting and ameliorating adrenaline bitartrate induced gastric injury probably through its antioxidant mechanism(s) though participation of other mechanisms in corollary may not be ruled out and needs further investigation. Although the present study clearly indicates the antioxidant effect of oleic acid at a dose of 10 mg/kg bw against adrenaline induced oxidative stress, one must be careful while selecting oleic acid as a general antioxidant because arguably, higher doses of oleic acid may not be beneficial.
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