Development of the human dentition is a very complex process. Any aberrations in the different stages of tooth development can result in unique manifestations, either in the primary or in the permanent dentition. The terms “double teeth”, “double formations”, “joined teeth”, “fused teeth” or “dental twinning” are often used to describe fusion or gemination, both of which are primary developmental abnormalities of the teeth.

Fusion is a rare developmental disorder characterized by the union of two adjacent teeth at the crown level (enamel and dentin), causing the formation of a tooth with an enlarged clinical crown. Incidence of this anomaly is approximately 0.1% in the permanent and 0.5% in the primary dentition. However, reports of its distribution according to gender, race and location are conflicting in literature.

Fusion may be partial or total, depending on the stage of tooth development at the time of union. Both of the conjoint buds may be normal, or one may be supernumerary. A fused tooth usually has two separate root canals and a single wide crown.
There may be one pulp chamber divided into two root canals or two independent endodontic systems. Clinically, the crowns of the teeth appear to be melded together, with a small groove between the mesial and distal sections.4

Talon cusp is a morphologically well-delineated accessory cusp-like structure projecting from the cingulum area or cementoenamel junction towards the incisal ridge of the maxillary or mandibular anterior teeth in both the primary and permanent dentitions. This anomalous structure is composed of normal enamel, dentin and varying extension of pulp tissue.5

The term talon cusp was coined by Mellor and Ripa6 due its resemblance to an eagle’s talon. The diverse clinical manifestations of the anomaly, have led the talon cusp to be described in many different ways: exaggerated cingula, cusp-like hyperplasia, accessory cusp, supernumerary cusp, interstitial cusp.7 The prevalence of talon cusps is low, with an incidence of less than 0.06%8 to approximately 8%9 of the population.

Due to a wide variation in the size and shape of talon cusp and in order to have diagnostic criteria, Hattab et al10 classified the anomaly into three types:

Type 1 (Talon): a morphologically well-delineated additional cusp that prominently projects from the palatal (or facial) surface of a primary or permanent anterior tooth and extends at least half the distance from the cement-enamel junction to the incisal edge.

Type 2 (Semi talon): an additional cusp of a millimeter or more but extending less than half the distance from the cement-enamel junction to the incisal edge. It may blend with the palatal surface or stand away from the rest of the crown.

Type 3 (Trace talon): an enlarged or prominent cingula and their variations, i.e. conical, bifid or tubercle-like.

Even though hypodontia is a common trait in modern populations, often encountered by dental practitioners, its prevalence is as low as 1-2.2% in the mandibular incisor region.7 A tooth may be considered to be developmentally missing when it cannot be discerned clinically or radiographically and no history exists of its extraction. Dhanrajani11 classified hypodontia according to the severity of the condition. The term “mild-to-moderate hypodontia” is used to denote agenesis of teeth up to five, while the absence of six or more teeth, excluding the third molars, indicates “severe hypodontia”.

This article describes a unique case of a lingual talon cusp on fused permanent mandibular incisors associated with hypodontia of an adjacent tooth.

CASE REPORT

An eleven year-old male visited the Department of Pediatric Dentistry at the Pacific Dental College & Hospital, Udaipur, India, for routine dental checkup. The medical and dental histories were noncontributory. On extraoral examination, the patient had a symmetrical straight facial profile. Intraorally, a mixed dentition was observed with fair oral hygiene and dental caries in the mandibular right second primary molar. The upper arch was U-shaped, whereas the lower arch was parabolic, with the midline shifted to the right. The molar relationship was Angle’s class I on either side. Clinically, only two incisors were seen in the mandibular arch, one with increased mesio-distal coronal width of 9.5 mm. (Figure 1).

In the anterior region of the mandible, a double tooth was observed with pyramidal-shaped cusp-like projections from the lingual surface, extending to more than half the height of the tooth crown (Figures 2 and 3). Clinically, the crowns of the teeth appeared to be melded together, without any distinct labio-lingual groove. The fused teeth were clinically asymptomatic. Although, the talon cusp neither irritated the tongue during speech and mastication nor interfered with occlusion, the patient complained of the bulkiness in the area. Esthetics was compromised because of the large size of one tooth and the absence of another. Neither the parent nor the patient could recall any similar anomalies in the primary dentition. No other family members were known to have the same trait.

Periapical radiograph of the double tooth revealed two separate root canals terminating in two apical foramina that emanated from a conjoined pulp chamber. The talon cusp resembled a V-shaped structure superimposed on the image of the affected crown (Figure 4).

Panoramic radiograph showed the presence of a normally developed permanent dentition except for the lower right central incisor, which was
found to be congenitally absent (Figure 5).

Based on the clinical and radiographic findings, lingual talon cusp type 1 described by Hattab et al. on fused permanent mandibular incisors associated with hypodontia of an adjacent tooth has been diagnosed.

Oral hygiene prophylaxis was performed to improve the patient’s oral hygiene. With parental consent, a periodic reduction of the talon cusp was carried out at 6-8 week intervals, using a diamond bur in a high-speed water-cooled hand-piece. Following each grinding procedure, the exposed surface was treated with fluoride varnish as a desensitizing agent. In addition, the patient was scheduled for periodic dental examination.

**DISCUSSION**

Fused dentition and talon cusps are developmental anomalies with inherently bizarre anatomy. These anomalies may develop during tooth bud morpho-differentiation as a result of a developmental aberration of both the ectoderm and mesoderm. Several mechanisms have been proposed to explain the etiology of fusion including the influence of pressure or physical forces producing close contact between two developing teeth, necrosis of epithelial tissue between two developing teeth, embryological persistence of the interdental lamina between two germs, genetic predisposition, and environmental factors such as thalidomide embryopathy, fetal alcohol exposure, or hypervitaminosis A of the pregnant mother. It may also occur with several syndromes such as achondrodysplasia, chondroectodermal dysplasia, focal dermal hypoplasia, and osteopetrosis. Brook and Winter elucidated the difficulty of deciding whether a tooth is fused or geminated and proposed that these anomalies be referred to in a neutral term, such as “double teeth”. Definite categorization of joined teeth as either gemination or fusion however is often difficult. Several clinical and radiographic criteria are used to distinguish fusion from gemination, such as morphology of the crown and the pulp chamber, location, and number of teeth.

Fusion is the incomplete attempt of two tooth buds to fuse into one, whereas gemination is the incomplete attempt of one tooth bud to divide into two. Hence the tooth count in gemination will show a full complement of teeth, while in fusion, the tooth count of the arch is one tooth less. Clinically, gemination results in a bifid crown, with the coronal halves appearing as mirror images, whereas fusion takes place at an angle causing the tooth to have a crooked appearance. Radiographic examination reveals usually two separate
canals in case of fusion, whereas in gemination there is usually one large conjoint root canal. After careful clinical and radiographic evaluations, we judged the double tooth in the present case report to be most likely a fusion of the permanent left mandibular central and lateral incisors. It had two separate root canal systems, and no supernumerary teeth were present in the arch.

Similar to fusion, talon cusps are believed to originate during the morpho-differentiation stage of tooth development, as a result of an outward folding of the inner enamel epithelial cells and a transient focal hyperplasia of the mesenchymal dental papilla.10 The exact etiology of talon cusps is yet to be known; however, there is strong support for a multifactorial etiology, involving both genetic and environmental factors. They have also been reported in patients with Mohr syndrome,13 Sturge-Weber syndrome,14 Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome,15 cleft lip and palate,16 incontinentia pigmenti achromians,17 hypomelanosis of Ito,18 Ellis-van Creveld syndrome19 and Alagille’s syndrome.20

Tooth development is a complex process, in which reciprocal and sequential interactions between epithelial and mesenchymal cells regulate the cell activities like proliferation, condensation, adhesion, migration, differentiation and secretion, which lead to the formation of a functional tooth organ. Any aberration among these will result in tooth agenesis, the most common type of craniofacial malformations.21 Although tooth agenesis is occasionally caused by environmental factors, in the majority of cases hypodontia has a genetic basis. In familial hypodontia, the type of inheritance in the majority of families seems to be autosomal dominant with incomplete penetration and variable expressivity.22
Mandibular talon cusps are less common when compared to 94% involvement of maxillary dentition. On the other hand, fused dentition exhibiting a talon cusp is extremely rare. Although talon cusp has been associated with other dental anomalies elsewhere in the oral cavity, only one case of talon cusp with hypodontia of adjacent tooth has been reported in the literature. The striking uniqueness of the present case is the concomitant occurrence of fusion and talon cusp with single tooth hypodontia involving the adjacent tooth, which strongly points to an interplay of multiple etiological factors.

Asymptomatic anterior fusion should be left alone unless problems arise with esthetics, spacing, and dental caries. Simple composite restorations can be used to camouflage and prevent caries, and dental caries. Simple composite restoration may compromise the pulpal status and should be deferred until the root apex is mature.

The treatment of talon cusp requires careful clinical judgment and is dependent on its size and shape. Management includes no treatment, sequential grinding, pit and fissure sealing, pulp therapy, restorative treatment, full crown coverage and extraction of the affected tooth.

In the present case, gradual reduction of the talon cusps was carried out, at 6-8 week intervals to allow the deposition of reparative dentin to preserve pulpal vitality. Because most of the odontoblasts lie along the length of the cusp, grinding was done on the side of the cusp to initiate reparative dentin deposition.

**CONCLUSIONS**

It is important for dental professionals to be familiar with common dental developmental anomalies. Patients should be properly informed of the potential risk factors and problems associated with these anomalies. Early diagnosis of and appropriate treatment approaches to dental anomalies can minimize possible complications.
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