Vertebroplasty in the treatment of recalcitrant lower back pain attributed to Modic 1 changes

LIAM KAVANAGH, CAOIMHE BYRNE, EOIN KAVANAGH and STEPHEN EUSTACE

Department of Radiology, Cappagh National Orthopaedic Hospital of Ireland, Finglas, Dublin, Ireland

Address correspondence to: Dr Liam Kavanagh
E-mail: liamo70@hotmail.com

ABSTRACT

Vertebroplasty is a recognised treatment for osteoporotic and pathological compression fractures. We present the case of TF, a 70-year-old male patient with a history of poor left ventricular function presenting with refractory lower back pain, thought to be secondary to Modic Type1 changes in the L2 and L3 vertebrae, accompanying L2–L3 degenerative disc disease. He was treated conservatively for approximately 9 months without success. Following recent suggestions that vertebroplasty may provide pain relief in patients with degenerative disc change and coexistent Modic 1 changes not responding to conservative treatment, we proceeded to vertebroplasty of the affected levels. This resulted in rapid resolution of pain and return to his pre low back pain level of activity. At 1-year follow-up the patient remains pain free. We review the causes of Modic 1 change, its relationship to low back pain and a rarely used but highly effective treatment option, percutaneous cement vertebroplasty, when it is unresponsive to traditional treatment options.

BACKGROUND

Lower back pain (LBP) is a source of considerable morbidity in the Western world and most people who experience an episode of back pain go on to have recurrent problems. In the USA it is estimated that 80% of the population will experience LBP at some stage. Causes of LBP include intervertebral disc, vertebral body and facet joint pathology, and are generally the result of either degeneration or trauma.

Degenerative vertebral endplate changes usually occur adjacent to degenerate or protruded intervertebral discs, and were first described on MR imaging in 1987, and subsequently classified by Modic et al in 1998. Modic Type 1 changes appear as hypointense on $T_1$ and hyperintense on $T_2$ weighted imaging, secondary to a combination of bone marrow oedema and inflammation, and represent the acute phase of degeneration. Modic Type 2 changes are hyperintense on $T_1$ and isointense to mildly hyperintense on $T_2$, secondary to ischaemia with subsequent conversion of red marrow into fatty marrow. Modic Type 3 changes are hypointense on both $T_1$ and $T_2$, as a result of sclerosis. Modic changes are associated with ageing and are seen mostly at L4–L5 and L5–S1. The prevalence of Modic change or vertebral endplate signal change (VESC) is found to be a common finding in those with non-specific lower back pain (LBP) with a median prevalence of 43%. There is also a positive association between LBP and Modic changes with Type 1 changes being strongly associated with LBP.

Vertebroplasty is a minimally invasive procedure developed in France in 1984 for the treatment of symptomatic vertebral angioma, and was subsequently used in the treatment of osteoporotic vertebral fractures and pathological fractures not responding to conservative treatment. We present a case of intractable lower back pain attributed to Modic 1 change, with complete resolution of symptoms following vertebroplasty, and in doing so emphasise its role as an effective and underused treatment option, for poor surgical candidates in whom conservative treatment fails.

CASE REPORT

Mr TF, a 70-year-old male with a history of left ventricular dysfunction, presented with a 9-month history of non-radiating LBP, which was localised to mid-lumbar spine. MRI revealed endplate degenerative Modic 1 changes in L2 and L3 vertebræ, without evidence of significant disc bulging or fissuring (Figure 1). Treatment options included the use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) that resulted in a slight exacerbation of heart failure symptoms. Owing to poor left ventricular function, he was deemed a poor surgical candidate. His quality of life was severely affected.
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Figure 1. $T_2$, $T_1$ and short tau inversion recovery Sagittal MR images demonstrate Modic 1 change in the endplates of L2 and L3.

and having exhausted all conservative measures, a decision to proceed to vertebroplasty of the affected levels was made.

A fellowship-trained interventional musculoskeletal radiologist with over 20 years experience performed the procedure, using fluoroscopic guidance with the patient in the prone position. The skin was infiltrated with lignocaine 1%, and the periosteum with ropivicaine 10 mg ml$^{-1}$. A left pedicular approach was used and a 13 G straight injection cannula was advanced to the anterior vertebral body of L2, and polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA) cement, consisting of 60% synthetic calcium sulphate and 40% hydroxyapatite, was injected. Once absence of leakage outside the vertebral body was confirmed, the cannula was withdrawn slightly, and further injections were performed in the mid and posterior vertebral body. The process was then repeated for the level below (Figure 2). Following the procedure, the patient remained prone for 20 min and was then rolled onto a bed, and instructed to lie motionless for the next 3 h. The patient was followed up each month for 3 months, and 6 monthly thereafter. He remains pain free approximately 3 years later.

Figure 2. Lateral fluoroscopic image demonstrates vertebroplasty of vertebral bodies L2 and L3 using a left pedicular approach, 13 G cannulae and PMMA cement.

DISCUSSION

Vertebral endplates are composed of trabecular bone, with a layer of overlying hyaline cartilage measuring approximately 0.6 mm in thickness. Blood supply comes from the highly vascular bone marrow centrally, and to a lesser extent from the outer annulus capillaries. Endplates are richly innervated and endplate damage is felt to result in vertebrogenic pain. The endplates contain tumour necrosis factor immunoreactive nerve cells, which are present in increased numbers in those with Modic 1 changes, and may result in pain.

Modic changes may result from injury to the disc resulting in increased loading and shear forces on the subjacent endplate. This causes endplate fissuring, with subsequent bone marrow depletion and degeneration and regeneration of bone. Bone marrow oedema is a result of increased intravascular pressure and local changes in the capillary wall, with subsequent capillary leakage, and is frequently associated with pain. This pain is due to the irritation of sensory nerve fibres in the neurovascular bundles of marrow.

Modic 1 changes comprise of granulation tissue that weakens the endplates, resulting in microscopic cracks extending to the overlying disc. The granulation tissue and the damage to the disc and endplate lead to prostaglandin and inflammatory cell mediator production, resulting in nerve ending growth and pain. Increased tumour necrosis factor alpha and C-reactive protein levels are seen in Modic Type 1 changes suggesting an inflammatory process. Raised C-reactive protein level is also associated with spondylodiscitis, which is a differential for signal change in the vertebral endplates; however, clinical presentation, endplate erosions, epidural enhancement and paraspinal collections will help differentiate in most cases.

Recently, Propionibacterium acnes, a bacteria that secretes propionic acid, which may dissolve fatty marrow, resulting in marrow oedema and Modic change, has been proposed as a cause of LBP. In a double-blind randomised controlled trial in those with LBP and lumbar disc herniation with 1-year follow-up, those treated with amoxicillin/clavulanic acid for 3 months had considerable improvement in symptoms than the placebo group.

Modic 1 change is associated with mechanical segmental hypermobility and instability. Surgical fusion results in increased segmental stability and accelerated evolution to Type 2 change. Vertebral body inflammation and microfractures of the overlying endplates, resulting in “intrasegmental instability,” was the basis for Masala et al treating Modic 1 changes with vertebroplasty. They hypothesised that reduction or stabilisation of the instability might improve symptoms and convert Modic 1 to Modic 2 changes. Elevated intraosseous pressures will result in bone pain by excitation of nerve fibres, and this pain can be alleviated when the bone innervation is ablated by vertebroplasty.

Type 2 changes are felt to represent stable lesions developing from Type 1 changes, and have a lesser association with pain than Modic 1 changes. Type 2 lesions can occasionally reconvert to Type 1, via superimposed stress.
Percutaneous vertebroplasty has been predominantly used to treat refractory osteoporotic compression fractures and painful pathological vertebral fractures secondary to malignancy. Other less common indications include steroid-induced vertebral fracture, multiple myeloma and unstable fractures due to osteonecrosis.

It has been found to significantly reduce pain in cancer patients with vertebral compression fractures, decrease opiate requirements and have low complication rates. In the treatment of osteoporotic fractures despite controversy triggered by two separate randomised control studies published in the New England Journal of Medicine (NEJM), multiple publications and trials have confirmed similar efficacy.

Contraindications include uncorrectable coagulopathy, allergy to contrast medium or cement, pregnancy and osteomyelitis. Endplate thickness decreases with age, predominantly in the centre of the endplate with sclerosis occurring more peripherally. The strength of the endplate decreases as perfusion decreases, and the granulation tissue of Modic 1 change produces microscopic cracks that extend to the disc resulting in subsequent pain and a rise in inflammatory markers. Modic 1 change has been linked with segmental hypermobility, and surgical fusion results in improved pain scores and progression of Modic 1 change to Modic 2. Based on this theory, Masala et al propose that vertebral augmentation can stabilise endplate microfractures and underlying trabecular inflammation, by reducing “intrasegmental instability,” and in doing so, reduce pain. In doing this they believe they could accelerate Modic 1 to Modic 2 change; however, this was not followed up. Following stringent selection criteria, they performed 218 vertebral augmentations in patients with LBP attributed to Modic 1 change and found that 79% had a rapid improvement in their symptoms in the first 4 weeks.

The complications of vertebroplasty are not insignificant and include but are not limited to extravasation of cement into the dural, epidural or foraminal space, leakage into paravertebral veins and subsequent pulmonary embolus, infection, bleeding and even death. It is important therefore, to exhaust traditional and more conservative treatment options in the treatment of LBP secondary to Modic 1 change, prior to considering vertebroplasty as a treatment option. Supporting emerging data in this case suggest that when LBP is recalcitrant to standard treatments, and when it impacts severely on the quality of life of the patient as it did in our patient, it is not only a reasonable but also a potentially highly effective treatment option.

**LEARNING POINTS**

1. Modic 1 change is strongly associated with LBP, mechanical hypermobility and instability.
2. In poor surgical candidates who have significant LBP that can be attributed to Modic 1 change, and in whom conservative treatment fails, vertebroplasty can result in rapid relief of symptoms and an improved quality of life.
3. We emphasise the role of vertebroplasty as an underutilised but highly effective treatment option when conservative treatment options are exhausted in these patients.

**ETHICS APPROVAL**

All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

**CONSENT**

Written informed consent for the case to be published (including images, case history and data) was obtained from the patient(s) for publication of this case report, including accompanying images.

**REFERENCES**

1. Hoy D, Brooks P, Blyth F, Buchbinder R. The epidemiology of low back pain. Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol 2010; 24: 769–81. doi: 10.1016/j.berh.2010.01.002
2. Freemont AJ, Watkins A, Le Maitre C, Jeziorska M, Hoyland JA. Current understanding of cellular and molecular events in intervertebral disc degeneration: implications for therapy. J Pathol 2002; 196: 374–9. doi: 10.1002/path.1050
3. de Roos A, Kressel H, Spritzer C, Dalinka M. MR imaging of marrow changes adjacent to end plates in degenerative lumbar disk disease. AJR Am J Roentgenol 1987; 149: 531–4. doi: 10.2214/ajr.149.3.531
4. Modic MT, Steinberg PM, Ross JS, Masaryk TJ, Carter JR. Degenerative disk disease: assessment of changes in vertebral body marrow with MR imaging. Radiology 1988; 166: 1 Pt 1: 193–9. doi: 10.1148/radiology.166.1.3336678
5. Kuisma M, Karpinnen J, Niinimäki J, Kurunlahti M, Haapela M, Vanharanta H, et al. A three-year follow-up of lumbar spine endplate (Modic) changes. Spine 2006; 31: 1714–8. doi: 10.1097/01.brs.0000224167.18483.14
6. Karchevsky M, Schweitzer ME, Carrino JA, Zoga A, Montgomery D, Parker L. Reactive endplate marrow changes: a systematic morphologic and epidemiologic evaluation. Skeletal Radiol 2005; 34: 125–9. doi: 10.1007/s00256-004-0886-3
7. Jensen TS, Karpinnen J, Sorensen JS, Niinimäki J, Leboeuf-Yde C. Vertebral endplate signal changes (Modic change): a systematic literature review of prevalence and association with non-specific low back pain. Eur Spine J 2008; 17: 1467–22. doi: 10.1007/s00586-008-0770-2
8. Hancock MJ, Maher CG, Latimer J, Spindler ME, McAuley JH, Laslett M, et al. Systematic review of tests to identify the disc, SI or facet joint as the source of low back pain. Eur Spine J 2007; 16: 1539–50. Epub 2007 Jun 14. doi: 10.1007/s00586-007-0391-1
9. Modic MT. Modic type 1 and type 2 changes. J Neurosurg Spine 2007; 6: 150–1. doi: https://doi.org/10.3171/spi.2007.6.2.150
10. Galibert P, Deramond H, Rosat P, Le Gars D. Preliminary note on the treatment of vertebral angioma by percutaneous acrylic vertebroplasty. Neurochirurgie 1987; 33: 166–8.
11. Fagan A, Moore R, Vernon Roberts B, Blumbergs P, Fraser R. ISSLS prize winner: The innervation of the intervertebral disc: a quantitative analysis. Spine 2003; 28: 2570–6. doi: https://doi.org/10.1097/01.brs.0000096942.29660.b1
12. Ohtori S, Inoue G, Ito T, Koshi T, Ozawa T, Doya H, et al. Tumor necrosis factor-immunoreactive cells and PGP 9.5-immunoreactive nerve fibers in vertebral endplates of patients with discogenic low back pain and Modic type 1 or type 2 changes on MRI. Spine 2006; 31: 1026–31. doi: https://doi.org/10.1097/01.brs.0000215027.87102.7c
13. Albert HB, Kjaer P, Jensen TS, Sorensen JS, Bendix T, Manniche C. Antibiotic treatment in patients with chronic low back pain and vertebral bone edema (Modic type 1 changes): a double-blind randomized clinical controlled trial of efficacy. Eur Spine J 2013; 22: 697–707. doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00586-013-2675-y
14. Ito H, Matsuno T, Minami A. Relationship between bone marrow edema and development of symptoms in patients with osteonecrosis of the femoral head. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2006; 186: 1761–70. doi: https://doi.org/10.2214/AJR.05.0086
15. Eustace S, Keogh C, Blake M, Ward RJ, Oder PD, Dimasi M. MR imaging of bone oedema: mechanisms and interpretation. Clin Radiol 2001; 56: 4–12. doi: https://doi.org/10.1053/crad.2000.0585
16. Schmid G, Witteler A, Willburger R, Kuhnen C, Jergas M, Koester O. Lumbar disk herniation: correlation of histologic findings with marrow signal intensity changes in vertebral endplates at MR imaging. Radiology 2004; 231: 352–8. Epub 2004 Apr 2. doi: https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.2312021708
17. Albert HB, Sorensen JS, Christensen BS, Manniche C. Antibiotic treatment in patients with chronic low back pain and vertebral bone edema (Modic type 1 changes): a double-blind randomized clinical controlled trial of efficacy. Eur Spine J 2013; 22: 697–707. doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00586-013-2675-y
18. Vital JM, Gille O, Pointillart V, Pedram M, Bacon P, Razanabola F, et al. Course of Modic 1 six months after lumbar posterior osteosynthesis. Spine 2003; 28: 715–20. doi: https://doi.org/10.1097/01.brs.00001924.39568.31
19. Masala S, Anselmetti GC, Marcia S, Nano G, Taglieri A, Calabria E, et al. Treatment of painful Modic type 1 changes by vertebral augmentation with bioactive resorbable bone cement. Neuroradiology 2014; 56: 637–45. doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00234-014-1372-9
20. Niv D, Gofeld M, Devor M. Causes of pain in degenerative bone and joint disease: a lesson from vertebroplasty. Pain 2003; 105: 387–92. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3959(03)00277-X
21. Rahme R, Moussa R. The modic vertebral endplate and marrow changes: pathologic significance and relation to low back pain and segmental instability of the lumbar spine. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2008; 29: 838–42. doi: https://doi.org/10.3174/ajnr.A0925
22. Health Quality Ontario. Vertebral augmentation involving vertebroplasty or kyphoplasty for cancer-related vertebral compression fractures: a systematic review. Ont Health Technol Assess Ser 2016; 16: 1–202.
23. Buchbinder R, Golmohammadi K, Johnston RV, Owen RI, Homik J, Jones A. Percutaneous vertebroplasty for osteoporotic vertebral compression fracture. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2015; 30: CD006349: CD006349:
24. Klazen CA, Lohle PN, de Vries J, Jansen FH, Tielbeek AV, Blonk MC, et al. Vertebroplasty versus conservative treatment in acute osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures (Vertos II): an open-label randomised trial. Lancet 2010; 376: 1085–92. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(10)60954-3
25. Mattie R, Laimi K, Yu S, Saltychev M. Comparing percutaneous vertebroplasty and conservative therapy for treating osteoporotic compression fractures in the thoracic and lumbar spine: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2016; 98: 1041–51. doi: https://doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.15.00425