Four new species of Acanthobothrium van Beneden, 1849 (Cestoda: Onchoproteocephalidea) from the spotted skate, Raja straeleni Poll, off the Western Cape, South Africa
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Abstract: The examination of eight spotted skates, Raja straeleni Poll, resulted in the discovery of four new species of Acanthobothrium van Beneden, 1849, namely A. microhabentes sp. n., A. microtenuis sp. n., A. crassus sp. n., and A. dolichocollum sp. n., located off the Western Cape of South Africa. With a total of over 200 valid species of Acanthobothrium recognised worldwide, the use of an integrative approach becomes imperative in the interest of simplifying interspecific comparisons between congener. In accordance with this, the four new species were incorporated into the category classification system established by Ghoshroy and Caira in 2001, where they were identified as category 2 species, which, at present, includes 47 recognised species of Acanthobothrium. Nevertheless, each of the four new species exhibits postovarian testes, a most intriguing and highly unusual feature among Acanthobothrium, instantly differentiating them from most congeners. This feature has been reported in 12 congeners, which have previously been considered to be restricted to waters of the Indo-Pacific Ocean. Not only do the four new congeners represent the first species of Acanthobothrium reported from southern Africa, but they also represent the first reported species with postovarian testes from the southern Atlantic Ocean. Regarding the legitimacy of the four new species, only two other category 2 species are reported to exhibit this feature, namely A. popi Fyler, Caira et Jensen, 2009, and A. bobconniorum Fyler et Caira, 2010, to which the four congeners were compared to. Acanthobothrium microhabentes sp. n. is the smallest of the congeners and differs from A. popi and A. bobconniorum by having fewer testes and postovarian testes, a shorter body, fewer proglottids, a shorter scolex, and longer cephalic peduncle. Acanthobothrium microtenuis sp. n. differs from A. popi and A. bobconniorum by having fewer testes and postovarian testes, a shorter scolex, longer cephalic peduncle, and the possession of columnar spinitriches on the anterior region of the terminal proglottid. Acanthobothrium crassus sp. n. differs from A. popi and A. bobconniorum by having fewer postovarian testes, a narrower cirrus-sac, larger vitelline follicles, and a longer cephalic peduncle. Acanthobothrium dolichocollum sp. n. is the longest of the four new species and differs from A. popi and A. bobconniorum by having fewer postovarian testes, more postoral testes, a larger body, more proglottids, larger testes and vitelline follicles, and an exceptionally long cephalic peduncle. Apart from differences in overall size, the four new species differ in a combination of measurements for the scolex, vitelline follicles, muscular pad and cephalic peduncle, and the number of proglottids and testes. The four species were recovered from a previously unexplored host and locality, expanding the host associations and geographical distribution of the genus.
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Over the past few decades, researchers have gained remarkable new perspectives on our understanding of the vast number of cestodes infecting elasmobranchs across the world’s oceans (Caira and Jensen 2014, 2017). Of the nine cestode orders known to parasite elasmobranchs, members of the Onchoproteocephalidea Caira, Jensen, Waeschenback, Olsen et Littlewood, 2014 are the only tapeworms known to parasitise other vertebrate groups such as bony fishes, reptiles and amphibians (Onchoproteocephalidea I) (de Chambrier et al. 2017) as well as elasmobranchs (Onchoproteocephalidea II) (Caira and Jensen 2014, 2017). To date, the Onchoproteocephalidea II consists of 12 valid genera, with an estimated diversity of more than 1,154 species (Caira and Jensen 2017, Caira et al. 2018). Members of this order are known to exhibit an oioxenous host specificity, with each species infecting a single definitive host species (Caira et al. 2018). They are, however, hosted by a wide range of elasmobranch groups, with the majority of species described to parasitise stingrays (Campbell and Beveridge 2002, Ivanov 2005, Fyler 2011, Maleki et al. 2015). Members of the Onchoproteocephalidea II are known from all but four of the 25 batoid families (Caira et
al. 2017), and have been reported in four of the seven orders of sharks. Species parasitising sharks typically occur in carcharhiniform, heterodontiform and squaliform sharks (Caira et al. 2017).

Although having a cosmopolitan distribution, the majority of records of onchoproteocephalideans from elasmobranchs were derived from species of *Acanthobothrium* van Beneden, 1849 in tropical and subtropical waters (Caira and Jensen 2014). *Acanthobothrium* is the species-richest genus of all elasmobranch-infecting cestode genera (Maleki et al. 2015, Caira et al. 2018). The genus is characterised by a scolex with four bothridia, each containing one pair of bi-pronged hooks.

Despite the large number of valid species of the genus, it is estimated that an additional 800, if not more, species of *Acanthobothrium* are yet to be discovered worldwide (Caira et al. 2017). One region that potentially hosts many undescribed species of *Acanthobothrium* is the extremely biodiverse, biogeographical realm of Temperate southern Africa (*sensu* Spalding et al. 2007). The diversity, biogeography and biology of elasmobranchs off southern Africa have been well documented, with 204 elasmobranch species reported from this region (Ebert and van Hees 2015). However, only 18 of these species have been examined for cestode infections (Schaeffner and Smit 2019).

As part of a larger study on the biodiversity of marine fish parasites of southern Africa, specimens of *Acanthobothrium* were collected from *Raja straeleni* Poll (Rajidae) off the Western Cape of South Africa. This study provides information on the taxonomic status of species belonging to the genus *Acanthobothrium*, based on light and scanning electron microscopy, leading to the description of four species new to science.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Eight specimens of *Raja straeleni*, seven males and one female, were collected by longline. One specimen was collected during October 2018 from Vermont (-34.4927, 19.4136), whereas the other seven specimens were collected in February 2019 from Walker Bay, Hermanus (-34.5283, 19.4102), Hawston (-34.4419, 19.3697), and De Kelders (-34.5925, 19.2925). Figure 1 indicates the sampling localities from which each species was obtained. Ethical approval for this project was received from the North-West University Animal Care, Health and Safety, Research Ethics Committee (NWU-AnimCareREC) with ethics number NWU-00065-19-A5. Permits for the collection and possession of batoid specimens for the purpose of research were issued by the South African Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (permit nos. RES2019/45 and RES2019/105 issued to BCS; RES2019/58 and RES2019/61 issued to the South African Shark Conservancy).

Skates were sacrificed by means of an adjunctive procedure of trauma to the neurocranium, followed immediately by pithing of the brain. The body cavity was opened with a mid-ventral incision to remove the spiral intestine. Spiral intestines and their contents were fixed in hot, 4% formalin for morphological observations, and ultimately transferred to 70% ethanol. Specimens of *Acanthobothrium* were hand-picked from the spiral intestines.
Table 1. Metrical information of the new species of *Acanthobothrium* van Beneden, 1849. Information are presented as the mean, followed by the standard deviation and the number of worms examined. All measurements are in micrometres, unless stated otherwise. Abbreviations: L – length; N – number; W – width.

| Character                          | *Acanthobothrium microhabentes* sp. n. | *Acanthobothrium microtenus* sp. n. | *Acanthobothrium crassus* sp. n. | *Acanthobothrium dolichocollum* sp. n. |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Total length (mm)                 | 2.13 ± 0.5; 25                         | 3.22 ± 0.73; 14                     | 6.62 ± 0.90; 5                    | 9.15 ± 1.00; 6                       |
| Scolex L                          | 303 ± 2; 25                            | 314 ± 3; 14                         | 446 ± 3; 5                       | 413 ± 3; 6                           |
| Scolex W                          | 232 ± 8; 22                            | 261 ± 13; 14                        | 324 ± 11; 4                      | 353 ± 6; 6                           |
| Bothridium W                      | 109 ± 3; 20                            | 120 ± 6; 14                         | 160 ± 14; 5                      | 151 ± 3; 6                           |
| Anterior (A) loculus L            | 101 ± 3; 22                            | 141 ± 8; 14                         | 180 ± 4; 5                       | 187 ± 10; 6                          |
| Middle (M) loculus L              | 47 ± 1; 22                             | 52 ± 4; 14                          | 82 ± 6; 5                        | 80 ± 5; 6                            |
| Posterior (P) loculus L           | 51 ± 2; 22                             | 63 ± 4; 14                          | 81 ± 4; 5                        | 67 ± 4; 6                            |
| Loculus L ratio (A: M: P)         | 1.0 : 2.2 ± 0.1 : 2.0 ± 0.1 ; 22   1.0 : 2.7 ± 0.3 : 2.2 ± 0.2 ; 14 | 1.0 : 2.2 ± 0.2 : 2.2 ± 0.2 ; 5   1.0 : 2.3 ± 0.1 : 2.80 ± 0.2 ; 6 |
| Muscular pad L                    | 70 ± 3; 23                             | 70 ± 9; 13                          | 95 ± 5; 5                        | 80 ± 3; 6                            |
| Accessory sucker L                | 40 ± 2; 22                             | 48 ± 2; 12                          | 46 ± 2; 5                        | 54 ± 2; 6                            |
| Accessory sucker W                | 36 ± 3; 22                             | 41 ± 3; 12                          | 43 ± 3; 5                        | 49 ± 1; 6                            |
| Lateral hook A                    | 42 ± 2; 21                             | 46 ± 4; 14                          | 44 ± 3; 5                        | 41 ± 6; 4                            |
| Lateral hook B                    | 81 ± 5; 21                             | 85 ± 9; 14                          | 77 ± 5; 5                        | 72 ± 4; 4                            |
| Lateral hook C                    | 72 ± 6; 21                             | 77 ± 11; 14                         | 68 ± 6; 5                        | 61 ± 8; 4                            |
| Lateral hook D                    | 117 ± 7; 21                            | 122 ± 9; 14                         | 114 ± 5; 5                       | 105 ± 7; 4                           |
| Median hook A                     | 41 ± 3; 25                             | 47 ± 4; 14                          | 43 ± 2; 5                        | 45 ± 2; 4                            |
| Median hook B’                    | 81 ± 5; 25                             | 84 ± 6; 14                          | 78 ± 7; 5                        | 70 ± 6; 4                            |
| Median hook C’                    | 75 ± 6; 25                             | 80 ± 6; 14                          | 72 ± 5; 5                        | 67 ± 6; 4                            |
| Median hook D’                    | 116 ± 6; 25                            | 125 ± 6; 14                         | 115 ± 8; 5                       | 110 ± 8; 4                           |
| Cephalic peduncle L               | 521 ± 123; 22                          | 1,044 ± 320; 14                     | 1,685 ± 254; 5                   | 2,998 ± 970; 6                       |
| Cephalic peduncle W               | 52 ± 3; 25                             | 63 ± 3; 14                          | 91 ± 2; 5                        | 69 ± 2; 6                            |
| Proglottid N                      | 8 ± 1; 20                              | 13 ± 2; 9                           | 29 ± 2; 5                        | 37 ± 6; 6                            |
| Immature proglottid N             | 7 ± 1; 20                              | 12 ± 2; 9                           | 27 ± 2; 5                        | 35 ± 6; 5                            |
| Mature proglottid N               | 1 ± 1; 9                               | 1 ± 1; 9                            | 2 ± 1; 5                         | 2 ± 1; 6                             |
| Genital pore position (%)         | 69 ± 5; 22                             | 61 ± 2; 11                          | 59 ± 4; 5                        | 60 ± 4; 6                            |
| Terminal proglottid L             | 770 ± 155; 22                          | 886 ± 128; 12                       | 1,107 ± 128; 5                   | 1,227 ± 149; 6                       |
| Terminal proglottid W             | 156 ± 23; 22                           | 163 ± 30; 12                        | 222 ± 20; 5                      | 234 ± 27; 6                          |
| Terminal proglottid ratio (L: W)  | 5 ± 1; 22                              | 6 ± 1; 12                           | 6 ± 2; 5                         | 6 ± 3; 6                             |
| Cirrus-sac L                      | 68 ± 6; 24                             | 119 ± 5; 14                         | 128 ± 5; 5                       | 124 ± 2; 6                           |
| Cirrus-sac W                      | 40 ± 4; 24                             | 58 ± 6; 14                          | 52 ± 3; 5                        | 70 ± 1; 6                            |
| Testis N                          | 27 ± 3; 23                             | 29 ± 3; 12                          | 43 ± 2; 5                        | 36 ± 3; 6                            |
| Postporal testis N                | 5 ± 1; 20                              | 8 ± 1; 12                           | 9 ± 1; 5                         | 11 ± 1; 6                            |
| Postovarian testis N              | 1 ± 1; 20                              | 2 ± 1; 12                           | 2 ± 1; 5                         | 3 ± 1; 6                             |
| Testis L                          | 42 ± 5; 23                             | 54 ± 7; 14                          | 49 ± 4; 5                        | 57 ± 3; 6                            |
| Testis W                          | 29 ± 4; 23                             | 35 ± 4; 14                          | 41 ± 3; 5                        | 44 ± 3; 6                            |
| Poral ovarian arm L               | 354 ± 82; 19                           | 413 ± 65; 11                        | 542 ± 95; 5                      | 589 ± 54; 6                          |
| Aporal ovarian arm L              | 386 ± 110; 19                          | 477 ± 94; 11                        | 585 ± 107; 5                     | 600 ± 46; 6                          |
| Ovary W                           | 68 ± 8; 20                             | 106 ± 7; 12                         | 109 ± 7; 5                       | 116 ± 4; 6                           |
| Vitelline follicle L              | 9 ± 2; 24                              | 16 ± 3; 14                          | 17 ± 3; 5                        | 20 ± 2; 6                            |
| Vitelline follicle W              | 16 ± 3; 24                             | 27 ± 5; 14                          | 35 ± 2; 5                        | 38 ± 3; 6                            |

Two to three specimens of each species were used for scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Each specimen selected for SEM observation was cleaned by lightly brushing the surface of the scolex. Cleared specimens were then dehydrated in a graded ethanol series and either placed in hexamethyldisiloxane (HMDS) overnight, or dried by critical point drying. Specimens were mounted onto carbon tape, placed on aluminium stubs and sputter-coated with either 20 to 30 nm of gold/palladium (SPI-module, SPI Supplies, Pennsylvania, USA), or first with carbon (Emco TB500, Quorum Technologies, Puslinch, Ontario, USA), followed by 20 to 30 nm gold/palladium (Eiko IB2 ion coater, Eiko, Japan).

Specimens were examined with a Phenom Pro Desktop scanning electron microscope (ThermoScientific, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA) and a FEI Nova NanoSEM 450 scanning electron microscope (FEI, Hillsboro, Oregon, USA). Images were taken of similar regions of the strobila and scolex, aiding in microthrix comparisons among species. Images of microthrices of immature proglottids were taken directly posterior to the cephalic peduncle.
The presence of additional microthrix morphologies on the terminal proglottid was noted in which case images are also provided. The specific regions of micrographs taken are indicated on SEM plates for each of the respective species.

Species allocation followed the category classification system developed by Ghoshroy and Caira (2001) to facilitate the differentiation among congeners. Following this classification system, species were characterised and assessed based on four morphological features: total length (≤ or >15 mm), number of proglottids (≤ or > 50 proglottids), number of testes (≤ or > 80), and symmetry or asymmetry of aporal and poral ovari-an lobes. Allocating each species to a specific category consequently simplifies differentiating between congeners. Accordingly, the four new species were incorporated into this system and compared particularly to the described species assigned to the same category as each of the four new species. Since members of different categories differ from one another in either one or more of the four morphological features constituent of this classification system (Fyler and Caira 2001), this subsequently warrants the elimination of congeners designated to different categories.

Species have been deposited in the helminthological collection of the National Museum, Bloemfontein, South Africa (NMB), the Institute of Parasitology, Biology Centre of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Česke Budějovice, Czech Republic (IPCAS), and the Natural History Museum, Geneva, Switzerland (MHNG-PLAT). Specimens used for SEM were retained in the parasite collection of the Water Research Group, North-West University, South Africa.

RESULTS

Four morphologically distinct species of Acanthobothrium were collected from subsets of eight specimens of Raja straeleni measuring 64.9–74.2 cm in length, disc widths of 45.8–53.4 cm, and a weight of 1,820–6,630 g (Table 2). Of the eight skates, two were parasitised by all four morphotypes, two host specimens by three morphotypes, two by two morphotypes and two skates with a single morphotype. All four morphotypes were present in skates from two by two morphotypes and two skates with a single morphotype. Two host specimens by three morphotypes, two by two morphotypes and two skates with a single morphotype. All four morphotypes were present in skates from Vermont, Walker Bay and De Kelders, but only a single morphotype. Two host specimens by three morphotypes, two by two morphotypes and two skates with a single morphotype. All four morphotypes were present in skates from Vermont, Walker Bay and De Kelders, but only a single morphotype was found in the skate collected at Hawston. The only female caught during the present study was parasitised by only one of the four morphotypes (Table 2).

**Acanthobothrium microhabentes sp. n.**

*Description* (based on 25 whole mounts, 21 mature and four immature worms; three mature worms used for SEM observations): Worms 1.5–3.0 mm long; greatest width at level of scolex; 5–9 proglottids per worm; euapolytic. Scolex consisting of scolex proper and short cephalic peduncle. Scolex proper with four bothridia, 301–306 long by 215–248 wide. Bothridia free posteriorly, 105–115 wide; each with three loculi and specialised anterior region in form of muscular pad. Muscular pad 63–74 long by 97–113 wide, falciform in shape, with pronounced posterior margin, bearing accessory sucker and one pair of hooks at posterior margin; accessory sucker 32–41 long by 37–45 wide. Anterior loculus (A) 99–105 long; middle loculus (M) 42–48 long; posterior loculus (P) 48–56 long; loculal length ratio (A : M : P) 1 : 2.2 : 2; maximum width of scolex at level of middle loculus. Velum absent.

Hooks bi-pronged, hollow, with tubercle on proximal surface of axial prong; internal channels of axial and abaxial prongs continuous, smooth; axial prongs slightly longer than abaxial prongs; lateral and medial hooks approximately equal in size. Lateral hook measurements: A 38–46, B 69–90, C 61–82, D 102–128. Medial hook measurements: A’ 34–45, B’ 71–87, C’ 62–84, D’ 105–126. Bases of lateral and medial hooks approximately equal in length; base of lateral hooks slightly overlapping base of medial hooks along medial axis of bothridium (Fig. 2D); medial hook base slightly wider than lateral hook base. Thin layer of tissue covering essentially entire length of each prong of hooks. Long cephalic peduncle 352–695 long by 47–57 wide.

Apical pad and distal bothridial surface covered with papilliform filiriches and sparsely interspersed gladiate spinriches (Fig. 3C). Proximal bothridial surface and bothridial rims covered with gladiate spinriches, interspersed with acicular filiriches (Fig. 3D). Cephalic peduncle densely covered with gladiate spinriches (Fig. 3E). Strobila covered in papilliform filiriches (Fig. 3F).

Proglottids acraspedote. Immature proglottids 4–9 in number; one mature proglottid; gravid proglottids absent; terminal proglottid 484–1,093 long by 122–205 wide; terminal proglottid length to width ratio 3.5–7 : 1. Proglottids proterandrous; genital pores marginal, irregularly alternating, 50–66% of proglottid length from posterior end.

Testes conspicuous in mature proglottids, irregularly oval in dorsoventral view, 32–55 long by 23–35 wide, arranged in two regular columns, one layer deep, 21–31

**Table 2.** Information (sex, size, weight) on host specimens of *Raja straeleni* Poll (Rajiformes: Rajidae), including sampling sites and presence or absence of species of *Acanthobothrium* van Beneden, 1849.

| Collection code | Sex | Length (cm) | Disc width (cm) | Weight (g) | Locality | Acanthobothrium microhabentes sp. n. | Acanthobothrium microtennis sp. n. | Acanthobothrium crassus sp. n. | Acanthobothrium dolichocollum sp. n. |
|-----------------|-----|-------------|-----------------|-----------|----------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| HE18-15         | M   | 64.9        | 46.5            | 1,900     | Vermont, SA | +                                 | +                                    | +                               | +                                |
| HE19-01         | M   | 69.5        | 46.0            | 2,290     | Hermanus, SA | +                                 | +                                    | -                               | -                                |
| HE19-02         | M   | 68.0        | 48.0            | 2,550     | Hermanus, SA | -                                 | +                                    | -                               | +                                |
| HE19-05         | M   | 67.5        | 47.5            | 4,250     | Hermanus, SA | +                                 | +                                    | -                               | -                                |
| HE19-09         | F   | 73.1        | 53.4            | 6,630     | Hermanus, SA | +                                 | +                                    | -                               | -                                |
| HE19-10         | F   | 67.0        | 46.8            | 1,880     | Hermanus, SA | +                                 | +                                    | -                               | -                                |
| HE19-11         | M   | 74.2        | 48.6            | 2,250     | Hawston, SA  | +                                 | -                                    | -                               | -                                |
| HE19-12         | M   | 68.2        | 45.8            | 1,820     | De Kelders, SA | +                                | +                                    | +                               | +                                |

**Abbreviations:** + – present; - – absent.

ZooBank number for species: urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:26E2521F-A19F-4DBB-B86D-7D65CED10E82
in total number, 4–7 in postporal field, 1–2 in postovarian field. Cirrus-sac ovoid, bent posteriorly, 57–80 long by 32–47 wide, containing armed cirrus; cirrus greatly expanded at base.

Vagina narrow, relatively thin-walled and straight proximally, extending from ootype along medial line of proglottid to posterior margin of cirrus-sac, then laterally to common genital atrium. Ovary takes up approximately half proglottid length, stopping short of posterior margin of proglottid, H-shaped in dorsoventral view, lobulated. Ovary asymmetrical, poral lobe 243–444 in length, aporal lobe 210–525 in length, both lobes stopping before cirrus-sac; width of ovary at level of ovarian isthmus 54–76; ovarian isthmus located slightly posterior to mid-level of poral lobe of ovary. Mehlis’ gland posterior to ovarian isthmus.

Vitellarium follicular, in form of two lateral bands each consisting of two columns of relatively large follicles; bands extending from posterior margin of anterior-most testes to near posterior margin of ovary, interrupted by vagina and cirrus-sac; vitelline follicles 6–13 long by 10–24 wide. Vitelline follicle length relative to testis length 0.1–0.2 : 1. Uterus thin-walled, extending from ovarian isthmus to near anterior margin of proglottid. Eggs not observed.

Type host: Spotted skate, *Raja straeleni* (Rajiformes: Rajidae).

Type locality: South-eastern Atlantic Ocean off De Kelders (-34.5925, 19.2925), South Africa.

Site of infection: Spiral intestine.

Prevalence of infection: 75% (six of eight skates examined).
**Type material:** Holotype deposited at NMB (P-604), paratypes in NMB (P-605–P-606), IPCAS (C-848) and MHNG (PLAT-137324, 137334).

**Etymology:** The species name “microhabentes” is derived from Latin (“micro”, small; “habentes”, stumpy) and relates to the small size of the specimens.

**Remarks.** *Acanthobothrium microhabentes* sp. n. is identified as a category 2 species according to specifications set by Ghoshroy and Caira (2001). At present, 47 species of *Acanthobothrium* recognised as category 2 species were reported worldwide (Maleki et al. 2013, Yang et al. 2016, Zaragoza-Tapia et al. 2019, 2020). Additionally, Franzese and Ivanov (2020) recognised two more species belonging to both category 1 and 2 as a result of intraspecific variability observed in ovarian symmetry. For the purpose of a more reliable comparison, the latter is considered as a category 2 species in this study. *Acanthobothrium microhabentes* features the presence of testes located posterior to the ovarian isthmus, therefore clearly distinguishing it from 45 of the recognised category 2 species, as well as the additional two species recognised by Franzese and Ivanov (2020).

Only 12 species of *Acanthobothrium* have been described to bear this unique characteristic feature, from which only two, *Acanthobothrium popi* Fyler, Caira et Jensen, 2009, and *Acanthobothrium bobconniorum* Fyler et Caira, 2010, fall into the category 2 (Fyler et al. 2009, Fyler and Caira 2010, Maleki et al. 2015). *Acanthobothrium microhabentes* is morphologically similar to *A. bobconniorum*. However, when comparing the unique trait responsible for associating these species, there are clear differences between *A. microhabentes*, *A. bobconniorum* and *A. popi* in the number of testes (less than 31 vs 36–49 and 37–50, respectively) and postovarian testes (1–2 vs 6–10 and 7–11, respectively).

**Fig. 3.** Scanning electron micrographs of *Acanthobothrium microhabentes* sp. n. A – entire specimen; letters indicate where micrographs of microtriches were taken; B – scolex, dorsoventral view; letters indicate where micrographs of microtriches were taken; C – distal bothridial surface; D – proximal bothridial surface near medial margin of bothridium; E – cephalic peduncle; F – first proglottid.
Furthermore, A. microhabentes differs from both A. bobconniorum and A. popi in the following characteristic features: a shorter body (less than 3 mm vs 3–5 mm and 3.9–7.1 mm, respectively), a shorter scolex (less than 306 μm vs 387–490 μm and 426–580 μm, respectively), a shorter anterior loculus (less than 105 μm vs 177–232 μm and 193–282 μm, respectively), a shorter middle loculus (less than 48 μm vs 55–87 μm and 53–93 μm, respectively), a shorter posterior loculus (less than 56 μm vs 62–110 μm and 67–130 μm, respectively), a narrower accessory sucker (less than 45 μm vs 50–56 μm and 50–88 μm, respectively), fewer proglottids (less than 9 vs 10–14 and 14–20, respectively), a shorter cirrus-sac (less than 80 μm vs 120–150 μm and 108–152 μm), and a narrower cirrus-sac (less than 47 μm vs 60–117 μm and 70–138 μm).

**Acanthobothrium microtenuis** sp. n. Figs. 4, 5

ZooBank number for species: urn: lsid: zoobank.org: act: B578A547-CB56-420E-9382-9B7F1422F9E9

**Description** (based on 14 whole mounts, nine mature and five immature worms; three mature worms used for SEM observations): Worms 1.6–4.1 mm long; greatest width at level of scolex; 11–18 proglottids per worm; euapolytic. Scolex consisting of scolex proper and longer cephalic peduncle. Scolex proper with four bothridia, 311–318 long by 237–278 wide. Bothridia free posteriorly, 109–134 wide; each with three loculi and specialised anterior region in form of muscular pad. Muscular pad 64–76 long by 105–115 wide, falciform in shape, with pronounced posterior margin, bearing accessory sucker and one pair of hooks at posterior margin; accessory sucker 38–43 long by 44–45 wide. Anterior loculus (A) 130–150 long; middle loculus (M) 47–56 long; posterior loculus (P) 59–68 long; loculus length ratio (A : M : P) 1 : 2.7 : 2.2; maximum width of scolex at level of middle loculus. Velum absent.

Hooks bi-pronged, hollow, with tubercle on proximal surface of axial prong; internal channels of axial and abaxial prongs continuous, smooth; axial prongs slightly longer than abaxial prongs; lateral and medial hooks approximately equal in size. Lateral hook measurements: A 40–52, B 69–97, C 54–94, D 105–135. Medial hook measurements: A’ 41–54, B’ 72–82, C’ 69–89, D’ 114–134. Bases of lateral and medial hooks approximately equal in length; base of lateral hooks slightly overlapping base of medial hooks along medial axis of bothridium (Fig. 4D); lateral hook base slightly wider than medial hook base. Thin layer of tissue covering essentially entire length of each prong of hooks. Long cephalic peduncle 695–1,858 long by 56–68 wide.

Apical pad and distal bothridial surface covered with papilliform filtriches and sparsely interspersed gladiate spinitriches (Fig. 5D). Proximal bothridial surface covered with gladiate spinitriches, sparsely interspersed with papilliform filtriches (Fig. 5E); papilliform filtriches pronounced along bothridial rims (Fig. 5E). Cephalic peduncle densely covered with gladiate spinitriches (Fig. 5F). Papilliform filtriches pronounced on strobila (Fig. 5G). Anterior part of terminal proglottid with prominent columnar spinitriches (Fig. 5H).

Proglottids acraspedote. Immature proglottids 8–18 in number; mature proglottids 1–2 in number; gravid proglottids absent; terminal proglottid 639–1,065 long by 134–211 wide; terminal proglottid length to width ratio 3.2–7.5 : 1. Proglottids protandrous; genital pores marginal, irregularly alternating, 58–64% of proglottid length from posterior end; testes conspicuous in mature proglottids, irregularly oval in dorsoventral view, 44–64 long by 25–41 wide, arranged in two regular columns, one layer deep, 24–33 in total number, 6–8 in postporal field, 1–2 in postovarian field. Cirrus-sac elongate, straight, 112–126 long by 48–72 wide, containing armed cirrus; cirrus greatly expanded at base.

Vagina narrow, relatively thin-walled and coiled proximally, greatly expanded distally, extending from ootype along medial line of proglottid to anterior margin of cirrus-sac, then laterally along anterior margin of cirrus-sac to common genital atrium. Ovary takes up approximately half of proglottid length, stopping short of posterior margin of proglottid, H-shaped in dorsoventral view, lobulated. Ovary asymmetrical, poral lobe 340–523 in length, aporal lobe 350–610 in length, both lobes extending past cirrus-sac; width of ovary at ovarian isthmus 95–116; ovarian isthmus located slightly posterior to mid-level of poral lobe of ovary. Mehlis’ gland posterior to ovarian isthmus.

Vitellarium follicular, in form of two lateral bands, each consisting of two columns of relatively large follicles; bands extending from posterior margin of anterior-most testes to near posterior margin of ovary, interrupted by vagina and cirrus-sac; vitelline follicles 11–21 long by 20–34 wide. Vitelline follicle length relative to testes length 0.2–0.3 : 1. Uterus thin-walled, sacciform, extending from ovarian isthmus to near anterior margin of proglottid. Eggs not observed.

**Type host:** Spotted skate, *Raja straeleni* (Rajiformes: Rajidae)

**Type locality:** South-eastern Atlantic Ocean off De Kelders (-34.5925, 19.2925), South Africa.

**Site of infection:** Spiral intestine.

**Prevalence of infection:** 88% (seven of eight skates observed).

**Type material:** Holotype deposited at NMB (P-607), paratypes in NMB (P-608–P-609), IPCAS (C-849) and MHNG (PLAT-137335, 137340).

**Etymology:** The species name “microtenuis” is derived from Latin (“micro”, small; “tenuis”, slender) and relates to the small and slender body shape of specimens.

**Remarks.** *Acanthobothrium microtenuis* sp. n., as a category 2 species, differs from all except three of the category 2 species, along with those described from Franzese and Ivanov (2020), in its possession of postovarian testes. It resembles *A. bobconniorum*, *A. microhabentes* sp. n. and *A. popi* morphologically but differs from these three species by its possession of an elongate cephalic peduncle (exceeding 700 μm vs 212–650 μm, 352–695 μm, and 328–450 μm, respectively). When comparing the length of the cephalic peduncle relative to the total body length for each species, the distinct individuality of *A. microtenuis* becomes even stronger.
more prominent, as the cephalic peduncle equates 1/3 of the total length, whereas in *A. bobconniorum* and *A. popi*, the cephalic peduncle equates to 1/8 and 1/16 relative to the total body length, respectively. Apart from the cephalic peduncle, additional morphological differences between *A. microtenuis*, *A. bobconniorum* and *A. popi* can be observed in the following characteristic features: fewer testes (less than 33 vs 36–49 and 37–50, respectively), fewer postovarian testes (1–2 vs 6–10 and 7–11, respectively), a shorter scolex (less than 306 μm vs 387–490 μm and 426–580 μm, respectively), a shorter anterior loculus (less than 150 μm vs 177–232 μm and 193–282 μm, respectively), a shorter muscular pad (less than 76 μm vs 76–110 μm and 88–118 μm, respectively), and a narrower accessory sucker (less than 45 μm vs 50–65 μm and 50–88 μm, respectively).

*Acanthobothrium microtenuis* differs from *A. microhabentes* by a longer anterior loculus (exceeding 130 μm vs 99–105 μm), a longer posterior loculus (exceeding 60 μm vs 48–56 μm), greater number of proglottids (11–18 vs 5–9), a longer cirrus-sac (exceeding 112 μm vs 57–80 μm), and a wider ovary (exceeding 95 μm vs 54–76 μm). One additional morphological characteristic of *A. microtenuis*,

---

**Fig. 4.** Line drawing of *Acanthobothrium microtenuis* sp. n. A – entire specimen; B – scolex; C – mature proglottid; D – hooks.
contributes to the validity of this species, is the presence of columnar spintriches observed on the anterior margin of the terminal proglottid (Fig. 5H). This feature has not been reported for any of the three congeners before.

_Acanthobothrium crassus_ sp. n.  
Figs. 6, 7  
ZooBank number for species: urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:D08145EC-E8B2-4FBD-9B40-3A66BEF3E45C

**Description** (based on five whole mounts, five mature worms; two mature worms used for SEM observations): Worms 5.6–8.1 mm long; greatest width at level of scolex; 27–33 proglottids per worm; euapolytic. Scolex consisting of scolex proper and long cephalic peduncle. Scolex proper with four bothridia, 442–450 long by 318–337 wide. Bothridia free posteriorly, 138–173 wide; each with three loculi and specialised anterior region in form of muscular pad. Muscular pad 91–102 long by 102–108 wide, falciform in shape, with pronounced posterior margin, bearing accessory sucker and one pair of hooks at posterior margin; accessory sucker 40–46 long by 44–49 wide. Anterior loculus (A) 175–185 long; middle loculus (M) 76–88 long; posterior loculus (P) 77–85 long; loculus length ratio (A : M : P) 1 : 2.2 : 2.2; maximum width of scolex at level of middle loculus. Velum absent.
Hooks bi-pronged, hollow, with tubercle on proximal surface of axial prong; internal channels of axial and abaxial prongs continuous, smooth; axial prongs slightly longer than abaxial prongs; lateral and medial hooks approximately equal in size. Lateral hook measurements: A 42–48, B 72–85, C 62–75, D 106–118. Medial hook measurements: A’ 40–46, B’ 71–89, C’ 66–80, D’ 107–126. Bases of lateral and medial hooks approximately equal in length; base of lateral hooks slightly overlapping base of medial hooks along medial axis of bothridium (Fig. 6D); lateral hook base slightly wider than medial hook base. Thin layer of tissue covering essentially entire length of each prong of hooks. Long cephalic peduncle 1,331–2,009 long by 88–94 wide.

Apical pad and distal bothridial surfaces covered with papilliform filitriches and sparsely interspersed gladiate spintriches (Figs. 7C, D). Proximal bothridial surfaces covered with aristate gladiate spintriches interspersed with acicular filitriches (Fig. 7E); capilliform filitriches pronounced along bothridial rims. Cephalic peduncle densely covered with gladiate spintriches (Fig. 7F). Capilliform filitriches pronounced on strobila (Fig. 7G).

Proglottids craspedote. Immature proglottids 25–30 in number; mature proglottids 1–3 in number; gravid proglottids absent; terminal proglottid 980–1,285 long by 138–173 wide; terminal proglottid length to width ratio 4–7.5 : 1. Proglottids protandrous; genital pores marginal, irregularly alternating, 54–63% of proglottid length from posterior end.

Fig. 6. Line drawing of Acanthobothrium crassus sp. n. A – entire specimen; B – scolex; C – mature proglottid; D – hooks.
Testes conspicuous in mature proglottids, irregularly oval in dorsoventral view, 45–54 long by 36–43 wide, arranged in two regular columns, one layer deep, 40–46 in total number, 8–10 in postporal field, 1–3 in postovarian field. Cirrus-sac elongate, bent posteriorly, 123–133 long by 48–55 wide, containing armed cirrus; cirrus greatly expanded at base.

Vagina narrow, relatively thin-walled and coiled proximally, greatly expanded distally, extending from ootype along medial line of proglottid to anterior margin of cirrus-sac, then laterally to common genital atrium. Ovary takes up approximately half of proglottid, stopping short of posterior margin of the proglottid, H-shaped in dorsoventral view, lobulated. Ovary asymmetrical, poral lobe 440–652 in length, aporal lobe 464–711 in length; both lobes extending shortly past cirrus-sac; width of ovary at ovarian isthmus 98–115; ovarian isthmus located slightly posterior to midpoint of poral lobe of ovary. Mehlis’ gland posterior to ovarian isthmus.

Vitellarium follicular, in form of two lateral bands, each consisting of two columns of relatively large follicles; bands extending from posterior margin of anterior-most testes to near posterior margin of ovary, interrupted by vagina and cirrus-sac; vitelline follicles 12–19 long by 32–38 wide. Vitelline follicle length relative to testes length 0.2–0.3 : 1. Uterus thin-walled, sacciform, extending from ovarian isthmus to near anterior margin of proglottid. Eggs not observed.

Type host: Spotted skate, Raja straeleni (Rajiformes: Rajidae).

Type locality: South-eastern Atlantic Ocean off De Kelders (-34.5925, 19.2925), South Africa.

Site of infection: Spiral intestine.

Prevalence of infection: 38% (three of eight skates examined).

Type material: Holotype deposited at NMB (P-610), paratypes in NMB (P-611), IPCAS (C-850) and MHNG (PLAT-137341).

Etymology: The species name “crassus” is derived from Latin (“crassus”, thick) and relates to the form of proglottids, which are considerably thicker in comparison to congeners from South Africa.

Remarks. Acanthobothrium crassus sp. n. following the classification criteria set by Ghoshroy and Caira (2001), is identified as a category 2 species. By including both A. microhabentes sp. n. and A. microtenuis sp. n., A. crassus is among the 50th category 2 species reported to
Acanthobothrium crassus differs from all but four of its congeners in the possession of postovarian testes. Acanthobothrium crassus is distinguished from A. bobconniorum, A. microhabentes, A. microtenuis, and A. popi by a combination of morphological features. One such feature is the presence of craspedote proglottids in A. crassus, whereas its congeners possess acraspedote proglottids.

Acanthobothrium crassus has a larger body composed of more proglottids (i.e. 27–33), in comparison to congeners with a smaller body not exceeding 20 proglottids. In addition, the new species differs from A. microhabentes and A. microtenuis in the following characteristic features: a larger body (exceeding 6 mm vs 1.5–3 mm and 1.6–4.1 mm, respectively), a larger scolex (exceeding 442 μm × 320 μm vs 301–306 μm × 215–248 μm and 311–318 μm × 237–278 μm, respectively), a wider bothridium (exceeding 140 μm vs 105–115 μm and 109–134 μm, respectively), a longer muscular pad (exceeding 90 μm vs 63–74 μm and 64–76 μm, respectively), and a greater number of testes (exceeding 40 vs 21–31 and 24–33, respectively). The cephalic peduncle is considerably longer in A. crassus (exceeding 1,330 μm) than the one of A. bobconniorum (212–650 μm), A. microhabentes (352–695 μm) and A. popi (328–450 μm). Additionally, A. crassus differs from A. bobconniorum by its body size (exceeding 6 mm vs 3–5 mm), a wider scolex (exceeding 320 μm vs 215–282 μm, respectively), a narrower cirrus-sac (less than 55 μm vs 60–117 μm, respectively), less postovarian testes (3 vs 6–10, respectively), longer testes (exceeding 45 μm vs 17–45 μm, respectively), and much wider vitelline follicles (exceeding 32 μm vs 12–25 μm, respectively).

Acanthobothrium crassus is morphologically similar to A. popi, but differs from the latter by having a narrower muscular pad (less than 108 μm vs 112–163 μm, respectively), a shorter lateral hook C (less than 75 μm vs 75–100 μm, respectively), a shorter medial hook C’ (less than 80 μm vs 87–108 μm, respectively), a narrower cirrus-sac (less than 55 μm vs 70–138 μm, respectively), fewer postovarian testes (1–3 vs 7–11, respectively), and larger vitelline follicles (exceeding 32 μm vs 13–25 μm, respectively).

Acanthobothrium dolichocollum sp. n.

Description (based on six whole mounts, six mature worms; two mature worms used for SEM observations):

- **Scolex**: Consisting of two columns of relatively large follicles; bands extending from posterior margin of anterior-most testes to near posterior margin of ovary, interrupted by vagina and cirrus-sac; vitelline follicles 17–23 long by 34–68 wide. Bothridial peduncle densely covered with gladiate filitriches (Fig. 9C); acicular filitriches prominent along bothridial rims. Cephalic peduncle densely covered with gladiate filitriches (Fig. 9E); Strobila covered with papilliform filitriches (Fig. 9F).

- **Proglottids**: Cylindrical, acraspedote. Immature proglottids 28–44 in number; mature proglottids 2–4 in number; gravid proglottids absent; terminal proglottid 1,046–1,484 long by 182–260 wide; terminal proglottid length to width ratio 3.9–10.7 : 1. Proglottids prolansorous; genital pores marginal, irregularly alternating, 56–66% of proglottid length from posterior end.

- **Testes**: Conspicuous in mature proglottids, irregularly oval in dorsoventral view, 52–60 long by 41–49 wide, arranged in two regular columns, one layer deep, 33–39 in total number, 10–13 in postporal field, 2–4 in postovarian field. Cirrus-sac pyriform, bent anteriorly, 122–127 long by 68–72 wide, containing armed cirrus; cirrus greatly expanded at base.

- **Vagina**: Narrow, relatively thin-walled and extensively coiled proximally, thick-walled and greatly expanded distally, extending from ootype along medial line of proglottid to anterior margin of cirrus-sac, then laterally along anterior margin of cirrus-sac to common genital atrium. Ovary takes up almost half of length of proglottid, stopping short of posterior margin of proglottid, H-shaped in dorsoventral view, lobulated. Ovary asymmetrical, poral lobe 538–692 in length, aporal lobe 565–689 in length, both lobes extending past cirrus-sac; width of ovary at ovarian isthmus 108–120; ovarian isthmus located slightly posterior to mid-level of poral lobe of ovary. Mehlis’ gland posterior to ovarian isthmus.

- **Bothridia**: Free posteriorly, 126–134 long by 320 μm wide. Anterior lobe at level of middle loculus. Velum absent.

- **Hooks**: Bi-pronged, hollow, with tubercle on proximal surface of anterior prong; internal channels of anterior and abaxial prongs continuous, smooth; anterior prongs slightly longer than abaxial prongs; lateral and medial hooks approximately equal in size. Bothridial hook measurements: A’ 0.24–0.26, B’ 0.61–0.74, C’ 0.59–0.73, D’ 0.98–1.16. Bases of lateral and medial hooks approximately equal in length; base of lateral hooks slightly overlapping base of medial hook along medial axis of bothridium (Fig. 8D); lateral hook base wider than lateral hook base. Thin layer of tissue covering essentially entire length of each prong of hooks. Extremely long cephalic peduncle 2.07–4.78 long by 66–73 wide.

- **Uterus**: Thin-walled, sacciform, extending from gyna and cirrus-sac; vitelline follicles 17–45 μm, respectively, and much wider vitelline follicles (exceeding 32 μm vs 12–25 μm, respectively).

- **Vagina**: Narrow, relatively thin-walled and extensively coiled proximally, thick-walled and greatly expanded distally, extending from ootype along medial line of proglottid to anterior margin of cirrus-sac, then laterally along anterior margin of cirrus-sac to common genital atrium. Ovary takes up almost half of length of proglottid, stopping short of posterior margin of proglottid, H-shaped in dorsoventral view, lobulated. Ovary asymmetrical, poral lobe 538–692 in length, aporal lobe 565–689 in length, both lobes extending past cirrus-sac; width of ovary at ovarian isthmus 108–120; ovarian isthmus located slightly posterior to mid-level of poral lobe of ovary. Mehlis’ gland posterior to ovarian isthmus.

- **Vitellaria**: Filiform, in form of two lateral bands, each consisting of two columns of relatively large follicles; bands extending from posterior margin of anterior-most testes to near posterior margin of ovary, interrupted by vagina and cirrus-sac; vitelline follicles 17–23 long by 34–41 wide. Vitelline follicle length relative to testes length 0.3–0.4 : 1. Uterus thin-walled, sacciform, extending from...
ovarian isthmus to near anterior margin of proglottid. Eggs not observed.

Type host: Spotted skate, *Raja straeleni* (Rajiformes: Raji-
dae).

Type locality: South-eastern Atlantic Ocean off Hermanus
(-34.6355, 19.4933), South Africa.

Site of infection: Spiral intestine.

Prevalence of infection: 50% (four of eight skates
observed).

Type material: Holotype deposited at NMB (P-612), para-
types in NMB (P-613), IPCAS (C-851) and MHNG (PLAT137342, 137343).

Etymology: The species name “*dolichocollum*” is derived
from Latin (“*dolicho*”, elongate; “*collum*”, neck) and relates
to the unique morphological characteristic of the species i.e.,
its elongate cephalic peduncle.

Remarks. *Acanthobothrium dolichocollum* sp. n.,
following the classification criteria set by Ghoshroy and
Caira (2001), is identified as a category 2 species. With
the description of *A. dolichocollum*, we now recognise 51
species of *Acanthobothrium* assigned to category 2. *Acan-
thobothrium dolichocollum* presents testes located posteri-
or to the ovarian isthmus, differentiating it from 45 of the
recognised category 2 species, as well as the two additional
species described by Franzese and Ivanov (2020). *Acan-
thobothrium dolichocollum* differs from the remaining five
category 2 species, *A. bobconniorum*, *A. popi*, *A. micro-
habentes* sp. n., *A. microtenuis* sp. n. and *A. crassus* sp. n.,
by having more postporal testes (exceeding 10 vs 6–10,
5–9, 4–7, 6–8, and 8–10, respectively).

Another truly exceptional feature of *A. dolichocollum*
is the possession of an extraordinarily long cephalic pe-
duncle (exceeding 2 mm), equivalent to 1/3 of its total
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**Fig. 8.** Line drawing of *Acanthobothrium dolichocollum* sp. n. A – entire specimen; B – scolex; C – mature proglottid; D – hooks.
body length. The cephalic peduncle of *A. bobconniorum* and *A. popi* is much shorter (212–650 μm and 328–450 μm, respectively), equivalent to 1/8 and 1/17 of the body length, respectively. Furthermore, *A. dolichocollum* differs from *A. bobconniorum*, *A. popi*, *A. microhabentes* and *A. microtenuis* by having a larger body (exceeding 7.7 mm vs 3–5 mm, 3.9–7.1 mm, 1.5–3 mm and 1.6–4.1 mm, respectively), more proglottids (exceeding 30 vs 10–14, 14–20, 5–9 and 11–18, respectively), and wider vitelline follicles (exceeding 34 μm vs 12–25 μm, 13–25 μm, 10–24 μm and 20–34 μm, respectively).

Differences between *A. dolichocollum*, *A. microhabentes*, *A. microtenuis* and *A. crassus* can be seen in the scolex length (410–416 μm vs 301–306 μm, 311–318 μm and 442–450 μm, respectively), scolex width (344–360 μm vs 215–248 μm, 237–278 μm and 318–337 μm, respectively), muscular pad length (77–84 μm vs 63–74 μm, 64–76 μm and 91–102 μm, respectively), muscular pad width (120–126 μm vs 97–113 μm, 105–115 μm and 102–108 μm, respectively), number of testes (33–39 vs 21–31, 24–33 and 40–46, respectively), and testes width (41–49 μm vs 23–35 μm, 25–41 μm and 36–43 μm, respectively). *Acanthobothrium dolichocollum* differs from *A. bobconniorum* in a wider scolex (exceeding 344 μm vs 215–282 μm, respectively), smaller lateral hooks (A less than 47 μm vs 47–52 μm; B less than 76 μm vs 72–92 μm; C less than 68 μm vs 70–86 μm; D less than 112 μm vs 111–134 μm, respectively), less postovarian testes (4 vs 6–10, respectively), and longer testes (exceeding 52 μm vs 17–45 μm, respectively).

The new species also differs from *A. popi* in a shorter scolex (less than 416 μm vs 426–580 μm, respectively), a shorter muscular pad (less than 84 μm vs 88–118 μm, respectively), shorter lateral hooks (A less than 47 μm vs 47–65 μm; B less than 76 μm vs 71–120 μm; C less than 68 μm vs 75–100 μm; D less than 112 μm vs 111–173 μm, respectively), shorter medial hooks (A’ less than 46 μm vs 48–60 μm; B’ less than 74 μm vs 75–119 μm; C’ less than 73 μm vs 87–108 μm; D’ less than 116 μm vs 120–175 μm, respectively), less postovarian testes (4 vs 7–11, respectively), and larger testes (exceeding 52 μm vs 28–50 μm, respectively).

**DISCUSSION**

Sampling efforts focused on parasitological observations of elasmobranchs off South Africa have been extremely sparse (Smit and Hadfield 2015, Schaeffner and Smit 2019). This particularly applies to the spotted skate,
Raja straeleni, a relatively common species along the western and southern coastlines of southern Africa that has only once been examined for cestode infections (see Caira et al. 2014). Caira et al. (2014) discovered a new diphylid- ean cestode, a species that has not been encountered in the present study. On a global scale, a total of 18 species of Acanthobothrium have thus far been reported from 12 of the 23 valid species of Raja Linnaeus (Global Cestode Database 2020), clearly expressing the fact that host species are not limited to the infection of merely a single species of Acanthobothrium. Not only has this been previously reported in multiple studies (Fyler et al. 2009, Fyler and Caira 2010, Maleki et al. 2015), but this observation was also reflected in the present study, where four new species have been described from a single host species.

The present study marks the first to report species of Acanthobothrium from South Africa. With the addition of these four species, a total of 207 valid species of Acanthobothrium are currently recognised worldwide (Caira et al. 2017, Franzese and Ivanov 2018, 2020, Maleki et al. 2018, 2019, Rodriguez-Ibarra et al. 2018, Zaragoza-Tapia et al. 2019, 2020), making the taxonomic classification of new species, and intrageneric comparisons between congeners within this genus taxing (Ghoshroy and Caira 2001). Hence, in the interest of simplifying this matter, Ghoshroy and Caira (2001) have developed a category classification system on the basis of four morphological characteristics. The South African species all measure less than 15 mm in total length, with fewer than 50 proglottids, fewer than 80 testes, and an asymmetric ovary, designating all of them as category 2 species.

Comparisons between the four species presented in the current study suggest the possibility that these congeners could be each other’s closest relatives. This assumption, however, is not only grounded upon the fact that these species resembled each other in numerous morphological characteristics, but also due to the presence of four syn hospitalic congeners parasitising the same host species (Caira and Tracy 2003, Caira et al. 2004, Fyler and Caira 2006). To ensure that the South African species are in fact new to science, interspecific comparisons were made between all category 2 species recognised worldwide.

With the addition of the South African species, the total number of species of Acanthobothrium allocated to category 2 increased to 51 species. With this still being quite a substantial number of species, the South African congeners are very easily distinguished from all except two of these congeners by the presence of postovarian testes, undoubt- ably being a very interesting, yet highly unusual feature among species of Acanthobothrium (see Fyler et al. 2009, Fyler and Caira 2010, Maleki et al. 2015). In point of fact, this feature has been previously documented in merely 6% (12 out of 203) of all species of Acanthobothrium known to infect elasmobranchs (Subhapradha 1955, Robinson 1959, Campbell and Beveridge 2002, Fyler and Caira 2006, Rey da and Caira 2006, Twohig et al. 2008, Fyler et al. 2009, Fyler and Caira 2010, Maleki et al. 2015).

The descriptions of Acanthobothrium microhabentes, A. microtenuis, A. crassus and A. dolichocollum increases the total number of species of Acanthobothrium known to possess postovarian testes to 16 species. Interestingly, with respect to the 12 previously reported species of Acanthobothrium known to bear this feature, all of these species were reported from hosts in waters of the Indo-Pacific Ocean (Fyler et al. 2009, Fyler and Caira 2010, Maleki et al. 2015), consequently suggesting that this feature might be restricted to this particular geographical region (Fyler and Caira 2006, Reyda and Caira 2006, Twohig et al. 2008, Fyler et al. 2009, Maleki et al. 2015). However, this could be argued, because species with this same feature off the south-western coast of South Africa clearly contradict the earlier conception.

To date, the majority of species reported to possess postovarian testes, however, seems to be identified as category 1 species, with seven species assigned to category 1, six to category 2, one to category 3, and two to category 4 (Twohig et al. 2008, Fyler et al. 2009, Fyler and Caira 2010, Maleki et al. 2015, present study). These congeners, including the South African species, all seem to parasitise host species from the families Dasyatidae and Rajidae. Seven species (Acanthobothrium cannoni Campbell et Beveridge, 2002, A. fouliki Reyda et Caira, 2006, A. larsoni Reyda et Caira, 2006, A. marymichaelorum Twohig, Caira et Fyler, 2008, A. saliki Fyler et Caira, 2006, Acanthobothrium popi and A. zimmeri Fyler, Caira et Jensen, 2009) are reported from species of Himantura Müller et Henle (Campbell and Beveridge 2002, Fyler and Caira 2006, Reyda and Caira 2006, Twohig et al. 2008), six (A. blairi Campbell et Beveridge, 2002, A. microhabentes, A. microtenuis, A. crassus, A. dolichocollum and A. wedli Robinson, 1959) from species of Raja (Campbell and Beveridge 2002, Robinson 1959, present study), two (Acanthobothrium bobconniourom and A. jamesi Maleki, Malek et Palm, 2015) from species of Rhynchosurus Müller et Henle (Fyler and Caira 2010, Maleki et al. 2015), and one (A. southweli Subhapradha, 1955) from Rhinobatos schlegelii Müller et Henle (Subhapradha 1955).

The South African congeners not only parasitise the same host species, but also share the rare feature of postovarian testes with 12 other species of Acanthobothrium. This makes the possibility of their relatedness even more evident. Given the fact that two other species with postovarian testes have also been documented in two other species of Raja (i.e. Zearaja nasuta [Müller et Henle], and Spiniraja whitleyi [Iredale]), the four new congeners might not only be grouped together as each other’s closest relatives, but also with the 12 species of Acanthobothrium exhibiting the same unique feature, in dorsing the notion that these species might comprise their own monophyletic clade (Fyler and Caira 2010). Moreover, as this is the first time that species of Acanthobothrium have been reported in R. straeleni, we can assume that this is the result of a single host invasion. Conversely, molecular analyses conducted by Fyler et al. (2009), including numerous syn hospitalic congeners, concluded that these species do not represent closely related lineages. Fyler and Caira (2010) conducted a similar study, subsequently reaching the same conclusion.
Phylogenetic trees do not only serve insights on host associations but also on the geographical distribution of species. According to earlier studies (Goldstein 1967, Williams 1969, Ghoshroy and Cairn 2001, Fyler 2009), congeners displaying the same geographical affinities are more likely to group together. Surprisingly, species of Acanthobothrium parasitising the same host species of different geographical localities do not group in the same clade (Fyler 2009).

If a phylogenetic tree would display the four new species as distinct or independent lineages it would indicate that the infection of R. straeleni does not resemble a single host invasion as previously suggested, but rather be the result of multiple disparate host invasions (Fyler 2009). Regardless of the host’s specific nature, extensive host substitutions may have occurred throughout a much longer evolutionary time, accordingly proceeding unnoticed during short-lived investigations (Fyler 2009). The combined effect of different geographical affinities as well as host substitution possibly resulted in an extraordinary host specificity, as they have been documented from eight of 11 elasmobranch orders (Caira et al. 2017).

This is truly intriguing, considering the fact that the South African species are the first species to possess postovarian testes outside of the Indo-Pacific realm. Furthermore, the host from which the species were obtained, R. straeleni, has only been documented to populate the Eastern Atlantic Ocean from the coastline of the western Sahara to southern Africa. Nevertheless, regardless of geographical affinities, the possibility of potential relatedness between species of Acanthobothrium possessing this rare feature still persists, supported by the fact that two of these species (i.e. A. popi and A. zimmeri) have already grouped together, during a molecular study performed by Fyler et al. (2009). Unfortunately, as of yet, the degree of relatedness among these unique species is still unknown, recognising the need for further investigation and a collection of additional specimens of R. straeleni from the same localities. A more conclusive molecular analysis including both the South African and Indo-Pacific species is furthermore fundamental in defining their phylogenetic relationships, informing us on whether these species do, in fact, form a monophyletic clade.

In addition, a molecular phylogenetic analysis on newly collected specimens will reveal their phylogenetic position and will highlight new perspectives on the evolutionary relationships between these cestodes and their elasmobranch hosts. With merely 9% (18 of 204) of elasmobranchs (Ebert and van Hees 2015, Schaeffner and Smit 2019) off southern Africa examined for cestode infections, it is also expected that the species diversity of cestodes infecting elasmobranchs in South African waters is much higher than currently reported (Schaeffner and Smit 2019) and future large-scale surveys on elasmobranch parasites will, without any doubt, lead to numerous new species discoveries.

Caira and Jensen (2017) estimated that 5,126 species of cestodes infect approximately 1,269 elasmobranch species worldwide, representing an average of four cestode species per elasmobranch host. As a consequence, the diversity of cestodes in the waters of South Africa is predicted to be either equal to, or, more likely, greater than the diversity of the chondrichthyan hosts present in these waters (Schaeffner and Smit 2019). Nevertheless, the current extensive and rapid rate of loss in biodiversity, not just in this region, but globally, limits the time remaining for the discovery and description of the cestode diversity. However, since species of Acanthobothrium are known to parasitise multiple elasmobranch orders, along with the ability to switch hosts, parasites of this genus could possibly be able to avoid extinction. Nonetheless, when accounting other cestode orders and genera parasitising elasmobranchs (i.e. cestodes without the ability to switch hosts), the loss of parasite diversity would then likely be higher than that of their hosts (Dobson et al. 2008). This is alarming given this will likely result in the extinction of numerous cestode species before they have even been discovered.

New, sustainable conservation schemes should be enforced, including a combination of multidisciplinary approaches. Hereby, information can be gained from parasites, providing us with a better understanding of the host, such as its evolutionary and demographic history and migratory patterns (Gomez and Nichols 2013). Collections of additional hosts and localities, such as the ocean basins surrounding southern Africa, which have previously not been properly explored, are required in this regard. New studies should also be concurrent with previously applied methods (i.e. taxonomy, molecular systematics) and the incorporation and implementation of novel, multisource approaches (i.e. biogeography, ecology, conservation science, ecotoxicology). When we consider to use these parasites as indicator organisms for pollution and global change, we may yield a much better understanding on the evolutionary interrelationships of host-parasite systems present within our world’s remarkable ecosystems, contributing to various scientific fields, and ultimately contributing to the conservation of declining populations of endangered species and positive environmental change.
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