DNA Nanoparticles for Improved Protein Synthesis In Vitro
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Abstract: The amplification and digital quantification of single DNA molecules are important in biomedicine and diagnostics. Beyond quantifying DNA molecules in a sample, the ability to express proteins from the amplified DNA would open even broader applications in synthetic biology, directed evolution, and proteomics. Herein, a microfluidic approach is reported for the production of condensed DNA nanoparticles that can serve as efficient templates for in vitro protein synthesis. Using phi29 DNA polymerase and a multiple displacement amplification reaction, single DNA molecules were converted into DNA nanoparticles containing up to about 10⁷ clonal gene copies of the starting template. DNA nanoparticle formation was triggered by accumulation of inorganic pyrophosphate (produced during DNA synthesis) and magnesium ions from the buffer. Transcription–translation reactions performed in vitro showed that individual DNA nanoparticles can serve as efficient templates for protein synthesis in vitro.

Compartmentalization and amplification of single DNA molecules inside nano- or picoliter-sized wells has opened up new opportunities for biomedical and biological sciences. The discrete nature of compartments enables digital quantification of absolute numbers of nucleic acids in a sample, accurate estimation of copy-number variation, detection of pathogens and rare cancer mutations, as well as other applications.

The most common method of amplifying DNA in a sample involves the polymerase chain reaction (PCR). However, for droplet microfluidics experiments, the large temperature gradient required for PCR is a major drawback that can cause droplet coalescence and loss of compartmentalization. In addition, amplification of long (>1 kb) templates is often inefficient, leading to decreased reaction yields. In contrast, DNA amplification under isothermal reaction conditions has been shown to generate large amounts of material from a single-copy DNA template, circumventing potential problems associated with emulsion stability. Moreover, the ability to amplify DNA and then express proteins from the clonally amplified template would greatly increase the scope of potential applications. For example, synthetic biology, directed evolution, and large-scale proteomics screens would benefit from techniques that do not rely on protein expression in living systems.

A major challenge for in vitro expression of proteins is the relatively large amounts of DNA template needed—on the order of 500 ng DNA (≈10⁸ gene copies) per 50 μL reaction—since protein synthesis from a single DNA copy is rather inefficient. An alternative approach is to compartmentalize single DNA molecules in droplets and perform clonal amplification followed by the in vitro transcription–translation (IVTT) step. However, the need for sophisticated microfluidic chips to perform complex droplet manipulations on-chip restricts broader use and further applications.

Herein we report a new approach for in vitro synthesis of proteins using condensed DNA nanoparticles comprising up to about 10⁷ copies of the clonally amplified DNA template. We employed a droplet microfluidics approach to convert single DNA molecules into DNA nanoparticles by a multiple displacement amplification (MDA) reaction driven by the bacteriophage phi29 DNA polymerase. Intriguingly, we found that inorganic pyrophosphate (produced during isothermal DNA synthesis) and magnesium ions are a prerequisite for DNA condensation into the crystalline-like globular structures. This process was enhanced when the DNA amplification reaction was performed inside droplets, which we attribute to the confined volumes and spatial accumulation of the reaction products. To demonstrate the biological functionality of the DNA nanoparticles, we used them in IVTT reactions and observed improved protein expression yields relative to standard assay conditions.

We first encapsulated pUC19 plasmid DNA in monodisperse 3 μL droplets together with phi29 DNA polymerase, exo-resistant random DNA primers, pyrophosphatase, and other reaction components (see the Supporting Information, Materials and Methods section) necessary for DNA synthesis by an MDA mechanism. The plasmid concentration was adjusted so that one droplet contained one DNA molecule on average (λ = 1.0). The microfluidics device used for encapsulation (Figure 1) was operated at a frequency of 4.6 kHz, allowing collection of 10⁸ droplets in less than an hour. The collected emulsion was incubated at 30°C for 15 h to allow the isothermal DNA amplification reaction to occur and was then stained with the cyanine dye SYBR Green I, which becomes fluorescent upon binding double-stranded DNA (dsDNA; Figure 1c).
The Poisson equation predicts that random partitioning of 0.57 pM DNA template into 3 pL droplets (λ = 1.0) will afford a population of 37% empty and 63% occupied droplets, with about 37% of the droplets containing one DNA molecule and about 26% containing two or more. Digital fluorescence analysis of the emulsion revealed that about 58% of the droplets were fluorescent after isothermal amplification. Additionally, serial dilutions of the DNA sample confirmed that droplet occupancy followed a Poisson distribution (see Figure S1 in the Supporting Information). The small differences in occupancy between the experimental results and theoretical predictions can be attributed to abortive amplification of damaged DNA plasmids, losses caused by nonspecific adsorption in the system, or pipetting errors. As expected, negative controls having no DNA template afforded few fluorescent droplets (about 0.4%), corresponding to about 2.0 fm ambient DNA.

Fluorescence imaging of an emulsion after the MDA reaction revealed a mean fluorescence intensity of 440 ± 88 RFU (RFU = relative fluorescence units) for occupied droplets, which translates to 110 ± 30 ng mL⁻¹ of DNA, or about a 10⁵-fold amplification of the starting template (Figure S2). Previous reports found a similar degree of amplification when phi29 reactions were performed in bulk[8] and in droplets.[10]

Unexpectedly, during the course of DNA amplification, we noticed that excluding pyrophosphatase (PPase) from the reaction mix leads to the formation of highly fluorescent nanoparticles inside the droplets (Figure 2a). PPase is an enzyme that catalyzes the hydrolysis of inorganic pyrophosphate into two orthophosphate molecules[11] and is used to increase the amplification yields of nucleic acids.[8] To confirm that inorganic pyrophosphate is indeed a prerequisite for formation of condensed DNA nanoparticles, we encapsulated pUC19 DNA (0.23 μM; λ = 4 × 10⁵) dissolved in 8 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.6) containing sodium pyrophosphate (4 mM) and MgCl₂ (10 mM), while excluding other components such as the phi29 enzyme, primers, and deoxynucleoside triphosphates (dNTPs) from the reaction mix. As expected, we observed formation of fluorescent precipitants in the droplets (Figure S3), confirming that inorganic pyrophosphate and magnesium ions are major triggers for the formation of DNA nanoparticles. In agreement with our observations, others have recently reported the formation of DNA/RNA:pyrophosphate:Mg complexes under PCR/RCA conditions (RCA = rolling-circle amplification).[12] Taken together, our findings and the literature data allow us to conclude that inorganic pyrophosphate, produced during the
phi29-driven DNA amplification reaction, associates with magnesium ions and promotes condensation of newly synthesized DNA in the particle.

Electrophoretic analysis confirmed that DNA amplification, with or without PPase, was specific (Figure S4). We used digital image analysis to quantify the number of DNA copies and found that single DNA nanoparticles contained about 6000 copies of the original template (Figure 2c; Note S1 in the Supporting Information). To gain further insight into the structural features of the DNA material produced during isothermal amplification, we broke the droplets and analyzed the released material by transmission electron microscopy (TEM), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), atomic force microscopy (AFM), and dynamic light scattering (DLS). The DLS measurements confirmed the presence of particles with a diameter of $152 \pm 37$ nm (Figure 3a), in good agreement with the AFM measurements (Figure 3b). The TEM and SEM analysis revealed individual, densely packed nanoparticles of uniform size and a petal-like surface structure (Figure 3c and 3d). Similar crystalline-like, globular microstructures were recently generated from short, circular DNA[13] and RNA[14] templates. Nevertheless, despite detailed microscopic characterization of these DNA/RNA microstructures, the importance of pyrophosphate:magnesium complexes for the nucleation and condensation process remained unappreciated. Performing the MDA reaction in bulk at different template concentrations (0.1–100 pm) led to the formation of DNA aggregates, albeit without clearly defined structures (Figure S5).

To test whether individual DNA nanoparticles (DNA-NPs) could serve as templates for gene expression, we performed IVTT reactions (Figure 4). To monitor protein production, we used a pET29b(+) expression plasmid encoding enhanced green fluorescent protein (eGFP) under the control of the T7 promoter. DNA-NPs were first prepared from this plasmid encapsulated in droplets and were then purified by preparative agarose gel electrophoresis and centrifugation. The purified material largely retained its densely packed structure, judging from TEM images of purified particles (Figure S6). Additionally, electrophoretic analysis of the sample confirmed highly specific amplification of the original template (Figure S7).

To evaluate the in vitro biological functionality of the synthesized material we added purified DNA-NPs to the IVTT mix and created 5 µl droplets using the same microfluidics device shown in Figure 1. We used diluted suspensions
of DNA-NPs ($\beta = 0.05$) to ensure that each droplet contains no more than a single DNA nanoparticle. The collected emulsion was incubated at 37°C for 3 h to allow in vitro gene expression to occur. Fluorescence analysis confirmed that droplets containing single DNA-NPs expressed high levels of the eGFP, as evidenced by the appearance of highly fluorescent droplets (Figure 4b; Figure S8). Considering that a single DNA-NP carries approximately 6000 copies of an initial template (Note S1 in the Supporting Information), we compared eGFP yields for droplets containing similar amounts of free plasmid (7000 copies) to droplets containing a single DNA-NP and found that the latter gave about 2.5-times higher eGFP expression (Figure 4c). Traces of free DNA molecules that co-purified with the DNA-NPs showed negligible levels of eGFP expression (background droplets in the right panel of Figure 4b). Importantly, although the protein levels produced using a single DNA nanoparticle as a template were broadly distributed (coefficient of variation, CV = 0.33), the overall yield of in vitro expressed protein was much higher than could be obtained from a single DNA plasmid (Figure 4c). These results indicate that a DNA nanoparticle produced from a single-copy template contains a sufficiently large number of functional gene copies to afford high yields of protein.

Condensed DNA structures, in the form of hydrogels, have similarly been shown to increase RNA and protein yields in vitro. Taking advantage of the densely packed DNA structure, conventional DNA purification techniques can be used to separate DNA-NPs from the original reaction components (salts, enzymes). Such an option will be important for performing sequential multi-step reactions that are inhibited or incompatible with standard biochemical conditions. As exemplified previous work, the DNA amplification mix may inhibit the subsequent protein synthesis step because of differences in salt concentration, pH values, and other components. Nonetheless, purified DNA-NPs not only retain their compact structure but also, by virtue of the large number of clonal gene copies, significantly increase the yield of protein produced in vitro.

The importance of in vitro protein synthesis is easy to appreciate in the context of directed evolution, proteomics, synthetic biology, or various types of screening assays that rely on cell-free systems. In addition, DNA nanoparticles can be combined with hydrophobic materials to exploit numerous drug-delivery applications. Consequently, this type of biomaterial may offer attractive possibilities for a range of biochemical and biomedical applications.
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