Effects of small tidal volume and positive end-expiratory pressure on oxygenation in pressure-controlled ventilation-volume guaranteed mode during one-lung ventilation
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Background: The purpose of this study was to investigate whether tidal volume (TV) of 8 mL/kg without positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) and TV of 6 mL/kg with or without PEEP in pressure-controlled ventilation-volume guaranteed (PCV-VG) mode can maintain arterial oxygenation and decrease inspiratory airway pressure effectively during one-lung ventilation (OLV).

Methods: The study enrolled 27 patients undergoing thoracic surgery. All patients were ventilated with PCV-VG mode. During OLV, patients were initially ventilated with TV 8 mL/kg (group TV8) without PEEP. Ventilation was subsequently changed to TV 6 mL/kg with PEEP (5 cmH2O; group TV6+PEEP) or without (group TV6) in random sequence. Peak inspiratory pressure (P_{peak}), mean airway pressure (P_{mean}), and arterial blood gas analysis were measured 30 min after changing ventilator settings. Ventilation was then changed once more to add or eliminate PEEP (5 cmH2O), while maintaining TV 6 mL/kg. Thirty min after changing ventilator settings, the same parameters were measured once more.

Results: The P_{peak} was significantly lower in group TV6 (19.3±3.3 cmH2O) than in group TV8 (21.8±3.1 cmH2O) and group TV6+PEEP (20.1±3.4 cmH2O). PaO2 was significantly higher in group TV8 (242.5±111.4 mmHg) than in group TV6 (202.1±101.3 mmHg) (p=0.044). There was no significant difference in PaO2 between group TV8 and group TV6+PEEP (226.8±121.1 mmHg). However, three patients in group TV6 were dropped from the study because PaO2 was lower than 80 mmHg after ventilation.

Conclusion: It is postulated that TV 8 mL/kg without PEEP or TV 6 mL/kg with 5 cmH2O PEEP in PCV-VG mode during OLV can safely maintain adequate oxygenation.
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INTRODUCTION

Development of one-lung ventilation (OLV) in anesthesiaology has greatly influenced the development of lung surgery.

During OLV, respiration is achieved only with a dependent lung, and the anesthesiologist must prevent hypoxemia due to increased shunting, as well as lung injury due to increased airway pressure, in contrast with two-lung ventilation [1-4]. According to previous studies comparing oxygenation rates between different ventilator modes during OLV, improvement in oxygenation in volume-controlled ventilation (VCV) and pressure-controlled ventilation (PCV) showed moderate differences in accordance with pulmonary function. Patients who were expected to have decreased pulmonary function based on preoperative pulmonary function tests showed bet-
ter oxygenation with PCV, compared to that with VCV [5]. In patients with normal pulmonary function, there was no difference between VCV and PCV [6]. In studies comparing VCV with pressure-controlled ventilation-volume-guaranteed (PCV-VG), PCV-VG showed better oxygenation during OLV [7,8].

In order to prevent lung injury during OLV, a protective ventilation strategy has been widely used, i.e., by lowering tidal volume and applying positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP), which reduces lung injury while maintaining oxygenation [1,2,9].

The primary goal of this study was to investigate whether a decrease in tidal volume of 6 mL/kg with or without 5 cmH2O PEEP would be enough to prevent lung barotrauma by reducing inspiratory airway pressure, while maintaining appropriate oxygenation during OLV using PCV-VG, which has the merits of PCV and the tidal volume-designating characteristic of VCV.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The study proceeded with approval from the institutional review board of our hospital. The study enrolled 27 subjects who were classified as American Society of Anesthesiologists physical status 1 or 2 and scheduled for pulmonary surgery under OLV (Table 1). All subjects provided written informed consent before surgery. Patients scheduled for pneumonectomy were excluded. All patients received pulmonary function tests preoperatively. Subjects with forced vital capacity (FVC) lower than 70% of predicted value or those with forced expiratory volume at 1 second (FEV1) lower than 70% of predicted value were excluded. Patients with previous lung surgery or heart disease were also excluded.

All subjects were given an intramuscular injection of glycopyrrolate 0.2 mg before entering the operating room as premedication. In the operating room, the electrocardiogram, pulse oximeter, non-invasive blood pressure, and bispectral index were monitored. Anesthesia was induced with 4 μg/mL of propofol and 4 ng/mL of remifentanil, using target controlled infusion (TCI). For intubation, 100% oxygen was administered via mask. After loss of consciousness, 1 mg/kg rocuronium was injected for muscle relaxation. After checking Muscle relaxation, patients were intubated with a left-side double-lumen endotracheal tube (DLT, Silbroncho®, Fuji System Corp, Tokyo, Japan). The position of the DLT was checked by auscultation, followed by fiberoptic bronchoscopy (FOB) for confirmation. After these procedures, a 20-G catheter was installed for perioperative blood pressure monitoring and blood collection for arterial blood gas analysis (ABGA). A central venous catheter was inserted in the subclavian vein, on the ipsilateral side of the operation site. Anesthesia maintenance was achieved using 6 L/min 100% oxygen, propofol, and remifentanil TCI. One hour after induction, vecuronium 0.08 mg/kg/h was additionally injected for muscle relaxation.

The location of the DLT was examined again with FOB after the patient was placed in lateral decubitus position. All patients underwent surgery using the same anesthetic equipment (Avance, GE Healthcare, Wauwatosa, WI, USA), using PCV-VG as ventilation mode. After induction, tidal volumes were 8-10 mL/kg during two-lung ventilation. During OLV, patients were initially placed on TV 8 mL/kg (group TV8) without PEEP. Ventilation was subsequently changed to TV 6 mL/kg with PEEP (5 cmH2O; group TV6+PEEP) or without (group TV6), in random sequence. Peak airway pressure (Ppeak), mean airway pressure (Pmean), exhaled tidal volume (TVe), peripheral oxygen saturation (SpO2), end-tidal CO2 (ETCO2), and ABGA were measured and examined just before OLV and 30 min after each mode of OLV was applied. Ventilation was changed once more to add or eliminate PEEP (5 cmH2O), while maintaining TV 6 mL/kg. Thirty min after changing ventilator settings, the same parameters were measured once more (Fig. 1). PCV-VG mode was used under 40 cmH2O of maximum airway pressure (Pmax), I:E ratio of 1:2, and the minimal time to reach target airway pressure was set as 5 s. Respiratory rates during anesthesia were set equally for all patients at 12 times per min. When SpO2 levels were below 90%, or when arterial partial pressure of oxygen (PaO2) was below 80 mmHg in ABGA, subjects were discontinued from this study and hypoxia was corrected by tidal volume elevation, relocation of DLT, or two-lung ventilation, if needed.

Sample size was calculated as 24, with 80% power and 0.05 statistical significance, using the average and standard deviation of established values [5,9], according to all three ventilation methods. Assuming a 10% drop-out rate, 27 subjects were included in the study. Each mode of ventilation was statistically assessed using SPSS for Windows, version 17.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA) and repeated measures analysis.
Fig. 1. Consort diagram. TLV, supine two lung ventilation; TV, tidal volume; OLV, one-lung ventilation; Ppeak, peak inspiratory pressure; Pmean, mean inspiratory pressure; TVE, exhaled tidal volume; SpO2, peripheral oxygen saturation; EtCO2, end-tidal CO2; ABGA, arterial blood gas analysis; OLV, one-lung ventilation; PCV-VG, pressure-controlled ventilation-volume-guaranteed; PEEP, positive end expiratory pressure; PaO2, arterial oxygen pressure.

One-lung ventilation with PCV-VG

Fig. 1. Consort diagram. TLV, supine two lung ventilation; TV, tidal volume; OLV, one-lung ventilation; Ppeak, peak inspiratory pressure; Pmean, mean inspiratory pressure; TVE, exhaled tidal volume; SpO2, peripheral oxygen saturation; EtCO2, end-tidal CO2; ABGA, arterial blood gas analysis; OLV, one-lung ventilation; PCV-VG, pressure-controlled ventilation-volume-guaranteed; PEEP, positive end expiratory pressure; PaO2, arterial oxygen pressure.

of variance. All measured values were presented as averages and standard deviations, and p-values <0.05 were considered to indicate statistical significance.

RESULTS

Of the 27 patients, three dropped out and the study was conducted statistically on the remaining 24 subjects. In these three patients, arterial oxygen partial pressure after 30 min of respiration with tidal volume of 6 mL/kg on ABGA was 60.8, 70.2, and 71.8 mmHg, respectively. Although the peripheral oxygen saturation did not fall below 90%, tidal volume was increased after interrupting the study.

Among 24 patients, 16 (males, n=16/18) had a past smoking history and two (n=2/16) had both smoking and tuberculosis histories. No female patients (n=6) had a history of smoking or tuberculosis (Table 1).

The Ppeak values were significantly higher in the TV8 group (21.8±3.1 cmH2O) compared to the TV6 (19.3±3.3 cmH2O) and TV6+PEEP (20.1±3.4 cmH2O) groups (p<0.002). The Pmean values were significantly higher in the TV6+PEEP group (10.7±1.4 cmH2O) compared to those in the other groups (p=0.000). The TVE values were significantly higher in the TV8 group (464.2±93.0 mL), but there was no statistically significant difference between TV6 (363.2±69.3 mL) and TV6+PEEP (359.6±74.7 mL). The ETCO2 values were significantly lower in the TV8 group (30.6±4.2 mmHg) compared to those in the other groups (p=0.003), but there were no significant differences between TV6 (32.8±4.8 mmHg) and TV6+PEEP (33.1±4.7 mmHg) (p>0.05) (Table 2).

The arterial oxygen partial pressure was significantly higher in TV8 group (242.5±111.4 mmHg) than in TV6 group.
Table 1. Demographic variables

| Variable                        | Value       |
|--------------------------------|-------------|
| Age (yr)                        | 66.0±9.9    |
| Sex (male/female)               | 18/6        |
| Height (cm)                     | 162.5±9.1   |
| Weight (kg)                     | 63.7±10.0   |
| BMI (kg/m²)                     | 24.1±3.0    |
| Smoking history (male/female)   | 16/0        |
| Tuberculosis history (male/female) | 2/0    |
| Duration of operation (min)     | 261.6±68.1  |
| Preoperative FVC (% of predictive) | 95.4±10.7 |
| Preoperative FEV1 (% of predictive) | 96.6±12.5  |

Diagnosis

- Lung cancer: 20
- Empyema: 2
- Secondary pneumothorax: 2

Operation site (left/right) | 11/13

Surgical approach

- VATS: 18
- Open thoracotomy: 6

BMI, body mass index; VATS, video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery.

Table 2. Respiratory parameters according to tidal volume and application of positive end-expiratory pressure during one-lung ventilation

| Respiratory parameter | TLV (n=24) | TV8 (n=24) | TV6 (n=24) | TV6+PEEP (n=24) |
|-----------------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------------|
| Ppeak (cmH₂O)         | 17.8±4.5   | 21.8±3.1   | 19.3±3.3   | 20.1±3.4        |
| Pmean (cmH₂O)         | 7.3±1.5    | 9.4±1.3    | 8.6±1.3    | 10.7±1.4        |
| TVe (mL)              | 508.8±92.6 | 464.2±93.0 | 363.2±69.3 | 359.6±74.7      |
| SpO₂ (%)              | 99.7±0.6   | 99.0±1.7   | 99.1±1.3   | 99.5±1.1        |
| EtCO₂ (mmHg)          | 30.4±4.3   | 30.6±4.2   | 32.8±4.8   | 33.1±4.7        |

Data are presented as mean±standard deviation.

Ppeak, peak inspiratory pressure; Pmean, mean inspiratory pressure; TVe, exhaled tidal volume; SpO₂, peripheral oxygen saturation; TLV, supine two-lung ventilation; TV8, one-lung ventilation with tidal volume 8 mL/kg; TV6, one-lung ventilation with tidal volume 6 mL/kg; PEEP, 5 cmH₂O positive end expiratory pressure.

a)p<0.05 compared with TLV, b)p<0.05 compared with TV8, c)p<0.05 compared with TV6.

Table 3. Arterial blood gas values according to tidal volume and application of positive end-expiratory pressure during one-lung ventilation

| Arterial blood gas value | TLV (n=24) | TV8 (n=24) | TV6 (n=24) | TV6+PEEP (n=24) |
|-------------------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------------|
| PaO₂ (mmHg)             | 444.7±115.9| 242.5±111.4| 202.1±101.3| 226.8±121.1     |
| PaCO₂ (mmHg)            | 38.5±4.0   | 39.3±5.7   | 42.6±6.8   | 43.4±7.5        |
| SaO₂ (%)                | 99.8±0.1   | 98.7±1.8   | 98.9±0.9   | 98.9±1.2        |
| Hematocrit (%)          | 34.0±4.0   | 34.6±3.8   | 34.3±3.8   | 34.4±4.0        |

Data are presented as mean±standard deviation.

PaO₂, arterial oxygen pressure; PaCO₂, arterial carbon dioxide pressure; SaO₂, arterial oxygen saturation; TLV, supine two lung ventilation; TV8, one-lung ventilation with tidal volume 8 mL/kg; TV6, one-lung ventilation with tidal volume 6 mL/kg; PEEP, 5 cmH₂O positive end expiratory pressure.

a)p<0.05 compared with TLV, b)p<0.05 compared with TV8, c)p<0.05 compared with TV6.

DISCUSSION

During OLV with PCV-VG, a tidal volume of 8 mL/kg and a tidal volume of 6 mL/kg with PEEP of 5 cmH₂O could safely be applied for appropriate oxygenation. Tidal volume of 6 mL/kg without PEEP showed the lowest maximum and average inspiratory pressure. However, about 11% of our subjects (3/27) showed hypoxemia with arterial oxygen partial pressures under 80 mmHg at a tidal volume of 6 mL/kg without PEEP. Therefore, the authors believe that single application of tidal volume 6 mL/kg with PCV-VG without PEEP creates a high risk of intraoperative hypoxemia.

PCV-VG is the latest addition to respiration methods used in anesthetic machines; it calculates the patient's lung com-
Table 4. Hemodynamic variables according to tidal volume and application of positive end-expiratory pressure during one-lung ventilation

| Hemodynamic variable | TLV (n=24) | TV8 (n=24) | TV6 (n=24) | TV6+PEEP (n=24) |
|----------------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------------|
| SBP (mmHg)           | 119.8±18.5 | 129.5±21.6 | 129.9±19.3 | 126.3±13.4      |
| DBP (mmHg)           | 66.0±9.9   | 67.4±12.8  | 67.6±9.6   | 65.9±9.1        |
| Heart rate (beats/min)| 66.8±14.6  | 67.7±14.2  | 68.3±15.1  | 66.7±14.3       |

Data are presented as mean±standard deviation. No significant differences were noted among the groups.

SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; TLV, supine two lung ventilation; TV8, one-lung ventilation with tidal volume 8 mL/kg; TV6, one-lung ventilation with tidal volume 6 mL/kg; PEEP, 5 cmH2O positive end expiratory pressure.

In OLV using VCV mode, a minimum tidal volume of 8 mL/kg maintains oxygenation while not causing atelectasis [12]. Moreover, tidal volume of 9 mL/kg or lower has not been reported to cause lung injury [13]. Kim et al. [14] reported that the probability of hypoxemia is 70% when tidal volume of 6 mL/kg is used during OLV with VCV mode and 65% when 5 cmH2O of PEEP is added to tidal volume of 6 mL/kg. These data show a much higher hypoxemia incidence compared with 5% hypoxemia incidence when tidal volume 10 mL/kg was used for OLV with VCV. In addition, at tidal volume of 10 mL/kg, there were no cases showing expansion pressure of up to 37 cmH2O, leading to the conclusion that there was no pulmonary damage. Therefore, in patients with normal lung function, high tidal volume such as 10 mL/kg increases the arterial oxygen partial pressure, and OLV does not cause complications such as lung injury. In the present study, using tidal volume of 6 mL/kg in PCV-VG mode caused hypoxemia in 11% of patients. Hypoxemia did not occur when using tidal volume of 8 mL/kg or 6 mL/kg with 5 cmH2O of PEEP in PCV-VG mode, thus leading to the conclusion that both methods can be applied safely while using PCV-VG mode. In addition, it is thought that there was low risk of barotrauma in PCV-VG mode, since peak inspiratory pressures were measured at about 20 cmH2O in all three groups.

The limitation of this study is the consistent application of ventilator modes, i.e., tidal volume of 8 mL/kg, followed by 6 mL/kg with or without 5 cmH2O of PEEP. In addition, the shunt ratios during lung surgery may have changed due to pulmonary artery ligation, or handling of the surgical site. As a result, the surgery itself may have influenced the arterial oxygen partial pressure. Since the authors conducted the study in the routine order noted above, the early period of OLV may have maintained better arterial oxygenation. Another limitation is that ideal body weight was not used in calculating the tidal volume. In overweight patients, the tidal volumes may have been overestimated, resulting in possible high airway pressure and causing negative influence on oxygenation. However, since our subjects’ mean body mass index was approximately 24 kg/m², its effect on the study was thought to be minimal. In addition, further study on the clinical implication of low tidal volume in PCV-VG is needed because the postoperative pulmonary status was not measured in this study.

In conclusion, while using PCV-VG mode during OLV, a tidal volume of 8 mL/kg or 6 mL/kg with added PEEP of...
5 cmH₂O is thought to be a safe method of artificial ventilation, and can reduce airway pressure while maintaining appropriate oxygenation.
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