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Abstract
Background Fondaparinux is the first approved anticoagulant drug among factor Xa inhibitors, with proven effectiveness and safety in preventing deep vein thrombosis. However, limited data are available supporting the benefit-risk profile of fondaparinux vs enoxaparin in a real-world group of Indian patients with deep vein thrombosis.

Objective To compare the effectiveness and tolerability of fondaparinux vs enoxaparin in patients with symptomatic deep vein thrombosis in a long-term real-world setting.

Methods Data from the electronic medical records of adult patients diagnosed with deep vein thrombosis prescribed fondaparinux (n = 503) or enoxaparin (n = 508) as monotherapy were analyzed. Effectiveness was analyzed in terms of recurrence, duration, and type of deep vein thrombosis event, and tolerability as bleeding events at initial hospitalization and follow-up visits up to 3 months duration. Appropriate statistical methods were used to determine the significance (p < 0.05) between the two groups.

Results The deep vein thrombosis recurrence in the fondaparinux group was non-inferior (2.78%) when compared with enoxaparin (3.76%), with a mean duration of 47 and 48 days, respectively. The number of events and mean duration of events (in days) were not significant (p > 0.05). Major bleeding events were higher in the enoxaparin group at 3.17% than the fondaparinux group at 2.19%, and the difference was not statistically significant (p > 0.05).

Conclusions The weight-based, once-daily subcutaneous fondaparinux dose showed non-inferior effectiveness and a comparable tolerability profile when compared with the twice-daily enoxaparin dose for the management of symptomatic deep vein thrombosis.

Key Points
Therapy with a pre-filled injection dose of fondaparinux had a non-inferior effectiveness and comparable tolerability to the twice-daily enoxaparin dose in terms of reductions in thrombosis and major bleeding events.

This study adds to the existing information regarding fondaparinux with respect to low-molecular-weight heparin (enoxaparin) in terms of efficacy and tolerability in real-world practice.

1 Introduction
Deep vein thrombosis (DVT) and pulmonary embolism (PE) are the two most crucial subsets of venous thromboembolism (VTE) and are the primary preventable cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide [1–3]. Venous thromboembolism can occur as just DVT only, or as PE with DVT, or PE without DVT [2]. Venous thromboembolism is the third most usual life-threatening cardiovascular disease after stroke and myocardial infarction [3, 4]. Indications
and clinical signs reminiscent of DVT are normal in the general population and have various potential causes [1].

Deep vein thrombosis is a common condition affecting about 1–2 per 1000 adults annually that arises quickly following age 45 years [2]. Deep vein thrombosis leads to the formation of PE when a blood clot (or multiple clots) that forms inside deep veins in the lower limb disconnect from the veins (embolize) to the vena cava, right atrium, and right ventricle and lodge in pulmonary arteries that supply deoxygenated blood to the lungs for oxygenation [2]. This blockage of the bloodstream to the lungs is called PE, occurs in up to 33% of cases, and is the essential contributor to mortality. The clinical conditions most usually associated with DVT are medical procedures or injury, prolonged immobility, malignancy, congestive heart failure, pregnancy, varicose vein, advancing age, history of DVT, and obesity [5].

Anticoagulants are the mainstay of DVT treatment, aimed at preventing progression to PE and recurrence of thrombosis [5]. Traditionally, the anticoagulation approach for the treatment of acute VTE has for most patients involved a parenteral anticoagulant, for example, low-molecular-weight heparin (LMWH) or fondaparinux, overlapping with and followed by a vitamin K antagonist or novel oral anticoagulants for long-term treatment [4].

Enoxaparin is one of the anticoagulants that belongs to the LMWH family of medications. It irreversibly inactivates clotting factor Xa and helps prevent the formation of blood clots. In contrast to prophylaxis with unfractionated heparin, LMWH has shown effectiveness in reducing the rates of DVT/PE by about 60% [6, 7]. In comparison with unfractionated heparin, LMWH appears more effective in preventing VTE among patients with stroke [8].

While for several years the standard therapy of LMWH has been in use, the trend has been changing towards factor Xa inhibitors (fondaparinux) because of their selectivity in action [9]. Fondaparinux was the first approved drug among factor Xa inhibitors [10] and is a synthetic pentasaccharide that selectively binds to antithrombin, making it rapidly inhibit factor Xa, a key enzyme in the coagulation pathway [9–18]. Pharmacokinetic analysis suggested that fondaparinux has rapid and complete absorption and a longer half-life, resulting in 24-h coverage without cross-reacting with heparin-induced antibodies, and no need for monitoring of platelet counts [9–15].

The noninferiority of fondaparinux over enoxaparin could be correlated with its ability to initiate selective inhibition of factor Xa, its predictable linear pharmacokinetics with 100% bioavailability (subcutaneous route), its pharmacological characteristics (in which it is not considered a heparin-related molecule and does not appear to cause heparin-induced thrombocytopenia with no effect on platelet function and aggregation), the minimal risk of drug interactions, and its long duration of action for 17–21 h, which make it a drug of choice for symptomatic DVT.

Several trials have shown the benefit-risk ratio of fondaparinux over enoxaparin in preventing VTE in various patient profiles. Much of the evidence was either generated from randomized or specific cohort studies, under controlled clinical conditions [4, 6, 12–15, 18]. Hence, it is important to re-evaluate the performance of fondaparinux under uncontrolled clinical conditions such as real-world settings. Nevertheless, there were some limited data showing the same results could be reproduced in a general population in regular practice with no specific selection criteria. Additionally, the net clinical benefit-risk description of this anticoagulant, enoxaparin as a comparator, has not been comprehensively studied in a real-world group of Indian patients with DVT or, even if studied, has insufficient evidence available. Therefore, in the present study, we compared the effectiveness and tolerability of a once-daily subcutaneous dose regimen of fondaparinux with that of a twice-daily subcutaneous dose regimen of enoxaparin in long-term real-world clinical practice in an Indian population.

2 Methods

In this retrospective, longitudinal, real-world, observational study, data were collected from the department of cardiology of multiple tertiary care centers, over a period of 5 years, starting from June 2015 to May 2020. A total of 1018 electronic medical records (EMRs) were screened for the selection of the study population. Among those patients who had met all the inclusion criteria, there were 503 in the fondaparinux group and 505 in the enoxaparin group (Fig. 1).

An independent ethics committee located in Pune, India approved the study protocol. This was a retrospective study that used the anonymized or anonymous data (existing medical records available as of the date of the independent ethics committee submission) without any additional prospective components for research purposes. Hence, the process did not necessitate obtaining informed consent because the study did not involve identifiable individuals. Accordingly, the independent ethics committee gave permission for the informed consent form waiver before the initiation of the data collection process for this study. Adult patients (> 18 years of age) who presented with an established diagnosis of symptomatic DVT (involving the popliteal, femoral, or iliac veins or the trifurcation of the calf veins or any other distal vein) confirmed by venous ultrasound and/or computed tomography scan and who required antithrombotic therapy were included in the study.

Patients were excluded from the study if they had a symptomatic PE in the first visit; received a therapeutic dose of anticoagulant or oral anticoagulant therapy for more than 24 hours; required thrombolysis, thrombectomy, or vena
cava filter; had a contraindication to anticoagulant therapy (e.g., active bleeding, thrombocytopenia [platelet count < 50,000]); estimated glomerular filtration rate < 30 mL/min; had a contraindication to contrast medium; had uncontrolled hypertension (systolic blood pressure > 180 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure > 110 mmHg); pregnant and lactating women, or had a life expectancy of fewer than 3 months.

The medical records of the patient visit from the baseline up to a period of 90 days (3 months) were taken into consideration. The patients in the fondaparinux group had received a weight-based once-daily subcutaneous injection of 5 mg if they had a body weight < 50 kg, 7.5 mg if they had a body weight between 50 and 100 kg, or 10 mg if they had a body weight > 100 kg. The patients in the enoxaparin group had received a twice-daily subcutaneous injection of 1 mg/kg of body weight and both drugs had to be given for a minimum of 5 days during hospitalization as the per-protocol requirement.

2.1 Outcome Assessment

The effectiveness outcomes were assessed from the incidences of recurrent symptomatic thrombosis events (DVT or PE), duration of the event from the baseline (in days), and the type of recurrence (fatal PE, non-fatal PE, and DVT) at initial hospitalization and follow-up visits at 30 (first visit), 60 (second visit), and 90 (third visit) days in each group during the 3-month study period. The tolerability outcomes were evaluated by major and minor bleeding events at initial hospitalization and at the end of every follow-up visit in each group during the 3-month study period. The definitions of the outcomes are presented in the Electronic Supplementary Material.

2.2 Statistical Analysis

All outcomes were presented using descriptive statistics. Continuous data were expressed as mean and standard deviation and categorical data as numbers and percentages. The comparison of mean differences of the data was analyzed by a $t$-test and categorical variables by a Chi-square test. A $p$-value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3 Results

3.1 Baseline Demographic Characteristics

The demographic parameters were comparable between the two groups (Table 1). The overall mean age for the fondaparinux group was 57.21 (± 8.86) years, and 57.78 (± 9.57) years for the enoxaparin group. The proportions of
male and female individuals were comparable between both groups, fondaparinux [male 70.97% (n = 357) and female 29.02% (n = 146)] and enoxaparin [male 70.69% (n = 357) and female 29.30% (n = 148)]. The mean bodyweight was comparable between the two groups under the 50–100 kg category (87.67% of patients in the fondaparinux group vs

| Table 1 Baseline demographic characteristics (n = 1008) | Fondaparinux \(n = 503\) | Enoxaparin \(n = 505\) | \(p\) Value |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Demographic profile** | | | |
| Age (in years), mean (SD) | 57.21 ± 8.86 | 57.78 ± 9.57 | 0.32 |
| Sex, \(n\) (%) | | | |
| Male | 357 (70.97) | 357 (70.69) | 0.92 |
| Female | 146 (29.02) | 148 (29.30) | – |
| Weight (kg), mean (SD) | | | |
| < 50 | 16 (3.18) | 13 (2.57) | 0.564 |
| 50–100 | 441 (87.67) | 442 (87.52) | 0.943 |
| > 100 | 46 (9.15) | 50 (9.90) | 0.682 |
| Height (cm), mean (SD) | | | |
| 161.89 ± 4.17 | 161.66 ± 3.88 | 0.364 |
| Pulse (BPM), mean (SD) | | | |
| 82.91 ± 12.13 | 79.65 ± 11.81 | 0.0001 |
| SBP (mmHg), mean (SD) | | | |
| 118.62 ± 18.33 | 116 ± 17.87 | 0.022 |
| DBP (mmHg), mean (SD) | | | |
| 75.41 ± 12.33 | 74.14 ± 12.34 | 0.102 |
| **Comorbidities, \(n\) (%)** | | | |
| Diabetes mellitus | 97 (19.28) | 90 (17.82) | 0.550 |
| Heart failure | 86 (17.09) | 87 (17.22) | 0.956 |
| ICU stay and immobilization | 83 (16.50) | 78 (15.44) | 0.647 |
| CAD | 78 (15.51) | 78 (15.44) | 0.974 |
| Dyslipidemia | 63 (12.52) | 60 (11.88) | 0.755 |
| Obesity | 59 (11.72) | 61 (12.08) | 0.864 |
| Active cancer | 22 (4.37) | 21 (4.16) | 0.864 |
| Surgery/trauma (< 3 months) | 22 (4.37) | 23 (4.55) | 0.890 |
| Chemotherapy/radiotherapy | 22 (4.37) | 21 (4.15) | 0.864 |
| Varicose vein | 14 (2.78) | 18 (3.56) | 0.479 |
| Stroke | 9 (1.78) | 6 (1.18) | 0.431 |
| Chronic kidney disease | 4 (0.79) | 6 (1.188) | 0.755 |
| Other | 1 (0.19) | 2 (0.39) | 0.565 |
| Lifestyle related | | | |
| Smokers | 82 (16.30) | 72 (14.25) | 0.367 |
| Alcoholics | 78 (15.50) | 76 (15.05) | 0.839 |
| **Medical history** | | | |
| Past history of DVT | 41 (8.15) | 42 (8.32) | 0.924 |
| Family history of DVT | 83 (16.50) | 86 (17.03) | 0.823 |
| Mother | 43 (8.55) | 43 (8.55) | – |
| Father | 19 (3.78) | 18 (3.58) | – |
| Other (brother and sister) | 20 (3.98) | 25 (4.97) | – |
| Other family history | 88 (17.50) | 93 (18.42) | 0.703 |
| Hypertension | 51 (10.14) | 56 (11.13) | – |
| Hypothyroidism | 32 (6.36) | 32 (6.36) | – |
| CAD | 2 (0.40) | 1 (0.20) | – |
| No details (no data) | 3 (0.60) | 4 (0.80) | – |
| Time between onset of symptoms and initiation of DVT treatment (in days) | 1.59 ± 0.80 | 1.56 ± 0.84 | 0.0001 |

*BPM beats per minute, CAD coronary artery disease, DBP diastolic blood pressure, DVT deep vein thrombosis, ICU intensive care unit, SBP systolic blood pressure, SD standard deviation

*Known prothrombotic state, hyperhomocysteinemia, antiphospholipid antibodies
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87.52% patients in the enoxaparin group), whereas in the < 50 kg category, it was 3.18% of patients in the fondaparinux group and 2.57% of patients in the enoxaparin group, and in the > 100 kg category, it was 9.15% of patients in the fondaparinux group and 9.90% of patients in the enoxaparin group. The once-daily fondaparinux injection and the twice-daily enoxaparin injection mean duration in days was 5.27 ± 0.97 (standard deviation). The minimum duration of both the drugs was 3 days and the maximum duration was 9 days.

### 3.2 DVT Type and Location Details at Baseline

All patients were reported to have unilateral thrombosis (Table 2). Thrombosis was reported in proximal veins, the femoral and trifurcation veins in about 20% of the patients in both the groups. Iliac was of 19% each and popliteal was 16% and 18.6% in the fondaparinux and enoxaparin groups, respectively. While in distal veins, peroneal veins were majorly reported thrombosis with 47% and 45% in fondaparinux and enoxaparin, respectively.

### 3.3 Effectiveness Outcomes

The recurrent incidences of DVT in patients treated with fondaparinux was lower than in patients treated with enoxaparin (Fig. 2A). The total episodes of clinically suspected recurrence of symptomatic VTE in the fondaparinux and enoxaparin groups were 14 (2.78%) and 19 (3.76%), respectively, among which major episodes were reported in 3 months [13 (2.58%) in the fondaparinux group and 17 (3.37%) in the enoxaparin group] from the baseline and minimal episodes (n = 1) during the hospitalization (fondaparinux group) and the first and second months (enoxaparin group). The difference in events between the groups was not statistically significant (p > 0.05).

The duration of a recurrent event (in days) was after 30 (one event), 61 (one event), and 88 (17 events) days in the enoxaparin group and 5 (one event) and 89 (13 events) days for the fondaparinux group (Fig. 2B). The difference in the total duration of event occurrence between two groups was statistically not significant (p > 0.05). Only DVT events were recurrently reported in the study sample for both the drugs. No fatal and non-fatal PE events were reported (Fig. 3).

### 3.4 Tolerability Outcome

The major bleeding events were numerically higher in the enoxaparin group, 16 (3.17%) [11 (2.19%) in the fondaparinux group], among which two events (0.40%) occurred during the hospitalization and ten events (1.98%) occurred during the first follow-up visit (at 30 days), followed by four (0.79%) events at the time of the second visit (at 60 days) (Fig. 4). The minor bleeding events were numerically higher in the fondaparinux group, 47 (9.3%) [43 (8.51%) in the enoxaparin group], among which 19 events (3.78%) occurred during the initial hospitalization treatment and 20 events (3.98%) occurred during the first follow-up visit (at 30 days), followed by eight (1.59%) events during the second visit (at 60 days). None of the events between the groups was statistically significant (p > 0.05).

### 3.5 Impact of Bodyweight

The majority of the thromboembolism (Fig. 5) and major bleeding events (Fig. 6) occurred in the ‘50–100 kg’ body weight category of the study population. The incidences of major events were reported during the follow-up period from the baseline and the minimal events (n = 1) were reported during the hospitalization.

### 4 Discussion

The occurrence of DVT is followed by several thrombotic factors that promote the formation of a new clot and/or event that would, in turn, re-establish the venous lumen [29]. Thus, DVT is rather difficult to prevent with only anticoagulant therapy, as one of the most important challenges in its management is to deal with its considerable recurrence risk [18–22]. Although prolonged treatment of DVT with anticoagulant therapy offers effective prevention of the recurrence, because of its associated bleeding risk it is not universally acceptable [21, 23]. Additionally, the likelihood of either a thrombotic or bleeding event is high, and both risks will persist over an individual’s lifetime [24, 25]. The pentasaccharide fondaparinux is the first of the new class of synthetic antithrombotic agents that acts through specific inhibition of factor Xa, devoid of direct activity against thrombin (factor IIa). This inactivation of factor Xa via antithrombin results in active inhibition of thrombin generation [18], while the

---

Table 2 Deep vein thrombosis (DVT) type and location details (n = 1008)

| DVT details       | Fondaparinux n = 503, n (%) | Enoxaparin n = 505, n (%) | p Value |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------|
| Iliac             | 94 (18.68)                  | 99 (19.60)                | 0.711   |
| Femoral           | 103 (20.47)                 | 108 (21.38)               | 0.722   |
| Popliteal         | 83 (16.50)                  | 94 (18.61)                | 0.378   |
| Trifurcation      | 102 (20.27)                 | 100 (19.80)               | 0.849   |
| Anterior tibial   | 74 (14.71)                  | 69 (13.66)                | 0.633   |
| Posterior tibial  | 139 (27.63)                 | 140 (27.73)               | 0.974   |
| Peroneal          | 237 (47.11)                 | 231 (45.73)               | 0.662   |
| Muscular vein     | 33 (6.56)                   | 36 (7.13)                 | 0.720   |
| Bilateral         | 2 (0.39)                    | 2 (0.39)                  | 0.619   |
| Unilateral        | 501 (99.60)                 | 503 (99.60)               | –       |
LMWH drug enoxaparin acts by binding to antithrombin and irreversibly inactivates clotting factor Xa [6].

The study objective was to compare the effectiveness and tolerability parameters of weight-based once-daily subcutaneous fondaparinux to those of twice-daily per body weight subcutaneous enoxaparin, for recurrent symptomatic DVT in a real-world patient. The study results demonstrated the noninferiority effectiveness of fondaparinux when compared with enoxaparin in terms of the number of events and the duration of an event from the baseline. During a follow-up of 90 days, the total number of recurrent events were numerically lower in fondaparinux [14] when compared with enoxaparin [19] \( (p > 0.05) \). The type of recurrent events assessed as fatal and non-fatal PE events was not reported in both of the treatment groups.

Similar results were observed by Bauer et al., wherein the efficacy and safety of fondaparinux were compared with enoxaparin for the initial treatment of symptomatic DVT and reported a significantly lower incidence of VTE (12.5%) in the fondaparinux group than the enoxaparin group (27.8%) \( [p < 0.06] \) [6]. A comparative outcome by Migita et al. [26] in the Japanese population also demonstrated a significant reduction in the incidence of DVT in the fondaparinux group compared with the enoxaparin group. A study by Eriksson et al. demonstrated the incidence of VTE was significantly lower \( (p < 0.001) \) in the fondaparinux group (8.3%) than in...
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the enoxaparin group (19.1%) [27], and also reported the low incidence of symptomatic VTE and fatal and non-fatal PE with no difference between the two groups [27]. A similar investigation by Lassen et al. had also demonstrated the same conclusion exhibiting superior efficacy of fondaparinux ($p < 0.001$) [10] in patients who underwent elective hip replacement surgery. A meta-analysis by Turpie et al. had likewise affirmed this observation [11]. The real-world studies also reported the same effectiveness of fondaparinux [16, 24, 26].

Major bleeding is considered the primary safety concern with the use of any anticoagulant, and the appropriate responses are important to consider [4, 6]. In the current study, the bleeding events up to 3 months from the baseline were compared, and the fondaparinux group had a numerically lower rate of major bleeding events as compared with the enoxaparin group (2.19% with fondaparinux and 3.17% with enoxaparin). However, the difference observed between the two treatments concerning the risk of major bleeding was
not significant (p > 0.05). A study by Turpie et al. reported no difference in clinically relevant bleeding between the two groups [11].

During the subgroup analyses, it seems that both the treatments had effectiveness (2.58% in the fondaparinux group and 3.38% in the enoxaparin group) and tolerability (1.7% in fondaparinux and 2.37% in enoxaparin) independent of the body weight. Bauer et al. reported no influence of body weight on the clinical outcomes [6], while Eichinger et al. [28] demonstrated the risk of recurrence shows an almost linear relationship with an increase in body weight. In our study, we have seen no such relationship with body weight.

The other outcomes of the present study were baseline parameters, namely age, sex, weight, and height of the study sample were comparable between the two groups; however, in the present study, the male population was predominant. In a study by Donath et al. [28], female individuals were more often in the fondaparinux group. Diabetes mellitus, coronary artery disease, heart failure, obesity, and dyslipidemia were the most common comorbidities observed. The most affected veins in DVT involved peroneal vein, posterior tibial vein, and femoral vein with no difference in both the groups. Both serum creatinine and D-dimer values were comparable in both the groups. A significant relationship between the onset of symptoms and the initiation of DVT treatment between the two drugs was observed. However, in the study by Turpie et al. [18], the relationship between the onset of symptoms and the timing of the first injection was not significant.

4.1 Limitations

In this retrospective study, like other retrospective EMR-based RWE studies, the EMRs contain only the prescription data, thus we cannot exclude the possibility of some patients not adhering to the treatment, leading to one or
multiple missed doses, which could have contributed to a variation in treatment outcomes. Furthermore, there were different methods used, instead of a single protocol, to assess the recurrence of DVT at various centers, and patients were switched to oral anticoagulants after hospitalization because of the injectable form of the treatment and the cost. This might have caused a variation in the measurements. The methods used to evaluate DVT have also not been covered in the EMRs, thus no proper estimation has been made for the same.

5 Conclusions

In the current study, therapy with a pre-filled injection dose of fondaparinux had a non-inferior effectiveness and comparable tolerability to the twice-daily enoxaparin dose in terms of a reduction in thrombosis and major bleeding events. This EMR-based, retrospective real-world evidence study has provided additional information regarding the effectiveness and tolerability of fondaparinux towards the management of symptomatic DVT in Indian patients.
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