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SUMMARY

Group 2 innate lymphoid cells (ILC2s) are abundant in non-lymphoid tissues and increase following infectious and inflammatory insults. In solid tumors, however, ILC2s constitute a relatively small proportion of immune cells. Here, we show, using melanoma as a model, that while the IL-33/ILC2/eosinophil axis suppresses tumor growth, tumor-derived lactate attenuates the function and survival of ILC2s. Melanomas with reduced lactate production (LDHAlow) are growth delayed and typified by an increased number of ILC2s compared with control tumors. Upon IL-33 stimulation, ILC2s accompanied by eosinophils more effectively restrain the growth of LDHAlow tumors than control melanomas. Furthermore, database analysis reveals a negative correlation between the expression of LDHA and markers associated with ILC2s and the association of high expression of IL33 and an eosinophil marker SIGLEC8 with better overall survival in human cutaneous melanoma patients. This work demonstrates that the balance between the IL-33/ILC2/eosinophil axis and lactate production by tumor cells regulates melanoma growth.

INTRODUCTION

Innate lymphoid cells (ILCs) are lymphocytes that mirror the phenotypes and functions of T cells. However, ILCs lack somatically rearranged antigen receptors and cell-surface molecules that identify their immune cell ancestry (Artis and Spits, 2015). Based on the signature cytokines produced and the developmental trajectories acquired, ILCs have been classified into five subsets: natural killer (NK) cells, group 1 innate lymphoid cells (ILC1s), ILC2s, ILC3s and lymphoid tissue inducer (LTi) cells (Spits et al., 2013; Artis and Spits, 2015; Vivier et al., 2018).

ILC2s depend on GATA3 and RORγ for their development (Spits et al., 2013; Mjosberg et al., 2012; Furusawa et al., 2013). While described as lineage marker-negative (Lin−) cells, ILC2s express various cell surface markers such as c-Kit (CD117), Sca1 (Ly6a), KLRG1, ICOS, and IL-7Rα (CD127) (Mattner and Wirtz, 2017; Moro et al., 2010, 2016). ILC2s respond to the alarmin cytokines interleukin (IL)-33, IL-25, and thymic stromal lymphopoietin (TSLP, combined with IL-33) in addition to eicosanoids such as prostaglandin D2 and leukotriene D4, neuropeptides including neuromedin U, and sex hormones (Kabata et al., 2018). Following activation, they rapidly expand and produce cytokines such as IL-4, IL-5, IL-9, IL-13, and/or amphiregulin (Moro et al., 2010; Neill et al., 2010; Price et al., 2010; Kabata et al., 2013).

ILC2s are rare in secondary lymphoid organs relative to other immune cells (Vivier et al., 2016). Instead, they harbor a unique location within non-lymphoid tissues, especially skin and mucosal barriers (i.e., respiratory and intestinal mucosa), and in fat-associated lymphoid clusters (FLCs) in the visceral adipose tissue (Moro et al., 2010; Hammad and Lambrecht, 2015; Mohapatra et al., 2016; Von Moltke et al., 2016). ILC2s provide the first line of defense against helminths and protect the integrity of the epithelium (Artis and Spits, 2015). They are also implicated in the regulation of metabolic homeostasis (Brestoff et al., 2015; Sasaki et al., 2019). Notably, dysregulation of ILC2s has been associated with the development of autoimmune and inflammatory diseases (Cephus et al., 2017; Ealey et al., 2017; Ebbo et al., 2017).

Whereas the importance of NK cells in the antitumor response is firmly rooted, the role of ILC2s remains ambiguous and poorly understood, partially due to their low abundance in solid tumors (Mattner and Wirtz, 2017; Wagner et al., 2017; Careaga et al., 2015). The acquisition of antitumorigenic functions appears to depend on the context of tumor specificity and signaling intensity (Wagner et al., 2017). Recent evidence indicates that metabolic pathways within the tumor microenvironment shape the diversity of infiltrating immune cells (Biswas, 2015; Chang et al., 2015; Colegio et al., 2014; Brand et al., 2016; Lyssiotis and Kimmelman, 2017). However, the extent to which metabolic...
Figure 1. Rapidly Growing B16F10 Tumors Are Depleted of ILC2s
(A) Representative plots and analysis of ILC2 populations in the skin (n = 6) and subcutaneous (n = 6) and mesenteric adipose tissue (n = 6) from naive and tumor-bearing mice by flow cytometry.

(legend continued on next page)
deviations from normal set points affect intratumoral ILC2s has not yet been assessed.

Glucose uptake and metabolism is accelerated in tumor cells by an increased expression of glucose transporters and glycolytic enzymes such as glucose transporter 1 (GLUT1) and lactate dehydrogenase A (LDHA), respectively (Vander Heiden et al., 2009; Gatenby and Gillies, 2004; Hay, 2016). In addition, the continuous export of lactate and protons (lactic acid [LA]) by means of monocarboxylate transporters (MCTs) drives the glycolytic metabolism forward to adapt to the anabolic demands of tumor cells (Doherty and Cleveland, 2013). This process leads to the accumulation of LA and subsequent acidification of the tumor microenvironment (Doherty and Cleveland, 2013; Vander Heiden et al., 2009; Gatenby and Gillies, 2004; Hay, 2016). Increased lactate production has been associated with the enhanced progression of various tumor types, including melanoma (Girgis et al., 2014; Walenta et al., 2000; Petrelli et al., 2015; Hirschhaeuser et al., 2011).

Here, using melanoma as a model, we unravel an immunosuppressive activity imposed on ILC2s by tumor cells through the accumulation of LA in the tumor microenvironment. We show that LA inhibited proliferation and cytokine production, and subsequently decreased the survival of ILC2s in vitro. Interference with this immunosuppressive axis in B16F10 melanomas by specifically knocking down lactate dehydrogenase A (LDHA<sup>low</sup>) significantly increased the number of intratumoral ILC2s. Following stimulation with IL-33, the expansion of ILC2s within LDHA<sup>low</sup> B16F10 tumors accompanied by eosinophils more effectively controlled melanoma growth compared with control tumors. It is worth noting that an analysis of the gene expression data of human cutaneous melanomas revealed that the expression of LDHA negatively correlated with markers associated with ILC2s. Moreover, the high expression of IL33 and an eosinophil marker SIGLEC8 was associated with better overall survival in cutaneous melanoma patients, indicating the role of the IL-33/ILC2/eosinophil axis in anti-melanoma immunity.

Our results identify tumor-derived LA production as a plausible immunosuppressive mechanism that contributes to the paucity of intratumoral ILC2s.

**RESULTS**

**Exclusion of Intratumoral ILC2s in B16 Tumors**

Given that ILC2s are numerous in certain tissues, we assessed the number of ILC2s in the skin and subcutaneous and mesenteric adipose tissues in naive and tumor-bearing mice using B16 melanomas as a model. We subcutaneously inoculated wild-type (WT) C57BL/6 mice with highly malignant B16F10 melanoma cells (1 × 10<sup>6</sup> cells per mouse). On day 11 post-inoculation, the skin overlying the tumor mass and neighboring subcutaneous adipose tissue, together with mesenteric adipose tissue, were carefully collected and analyzed for the presence of ILC2s using flow cytometry. After gating on live and Lin<sup>−</sup>CD45<sup>−</sup> cells, the analysis revealed a roughly 3- and 4-fold increase in the number of Sca1<sup>+</sup>KLRG1<sup>+</sup> ILC2s in the skin and subcutaneous adipose tissue from tumor-bearing mice compared with homeostatic cutaneous and subcutaneous ILC2 populations, respectively (Figures 1A, upper and center panels, and S1). In contrast, there was no significant difference in the number of Sca1<sup>−</sup>KLRG1<sup>−</sup> ILC2s in the mesenteric adipose tissue between naive and tumor-bearing mice (Figure 1A, lower panel). Spurred by the observation that the tissues adjacent to the tumor mass were replete with ILC2s, we next assessed the number of intratumoral ILC2s. For that reason, we subcutaneously inoculated WT C57BL/6 mice with either weakly (B16F0) or highly metastatic (B16F10) melanoma cells (1 × 10<sup>6</sup> cells per mouse). As expected, B16F10 melanomas grew more rapidly and reached ~1 cm<sup>3</sup> (±0.1 cm<sup>3</sup>, SEM) in size, whereas their less-malignant counterparts, B16F0 melanomas, reached ~0.4 cm<sup>3</sup> (±0.04 cm<sup>3</sup>, SEM) in size within 11 days post-inoculation (Figure 1B). Tumors were then collected and analyzed for the presence of intratumoral ILC2s. While flow cytometric analysis showed a relatively low abundance of Sca1<sup>−</sup>KLRG1<sup>−</sup> ILC2s in both tumor types, a significantly lower number of ILC2s was observed in B16F10 melanomas compared with B16F0 tumors (Figure 1C). Moreover, Sca1<sup>−</sup>KLRG1<sup>−</sup> ILC2s were entirely or almost completely depleted in B16F10 melanomas following sacrifice at a later time point, on day 14 post-inoculation (Figure 1D).

To exclude the possibility that architectural changes within the skin surrounding the tumors could influence immune cell infiltration (Gajewski et al., 2013), we performed a histological analysis of paraffin-embedded tumor sections. As judged by Picrosirius Red staining, we found no apparent difference in collagen density (Figure S2A) within the skin surrounding B16F0 and B16F10 tumors. However, we did find a decreased number of dermal adipocytes in the skin surrounding B16F10 tumors, as revealed by immunohistochemical analysis using an antibody against the lipid droplet-associated protein Perilipin1 (Figures S2B and S2C). To further characterize tumor-associated adipose tissue, we implanted B16F10 melanoma cells into one of the anterior subcutaneous adipose tissue depots in WT C57BL/6 mice, as previously described (Wagner et al., 2012). Immunohistochemical analysis on day 14 post-inoculation revealed the abundance of IL-33 within subcutaneous adipose tissue from tumor-bearing mice, as particularly exemplified by the
presence of infiltrating inflammatory cells positively stained for IL-33 (Figure S2D).

Mirroring the decrease in the number of ILC2s, we found a reduced number of intratumoral T cells (CD3+ and CD8+ cells) and myeloid lineage cells (CD11b+ cells) in B16F10 tumors compared with their less malignant counterparts, B16F0 tumors, with the exception of macrophages (F4/80+ cells), as their number remained unchanged (Figures S3A and S3B). Since changes in vascular endothelium may influence the growth and contribute to the observed phenotype of B16F10 tumors, we assessed blood and lymphatic vessel densities (Lund et al., 2016; Steinskog et al., 2016). Although blood vessel density was reduced in B16F10 tumors compared with their less malignant counterparts, as analyzed by the number of CD31+ structures per field of view, the percentage of area covered by CD31+ structures was noticeably increased in B16F10 tumors (Figures S3C and S3D). There was no significant difference in lymphatic vessel densities, as revealed by the number of Lyve1+ structures (Figure S3E).

To investigate whether the low abundance of immune cells within B16F10 tumors could provide a selective growth advantage over their less malignant counterparts, we inoculated immunodeficient Rag2−/− mice (lacking mature B, T, and NK cells) and Rag2−/− Il2rg−/− mice (lacking ILCs, apart from mature B, T, and NK cells) with B16 melanoma cells. In contrast to that in Rag2−/− mice, the growth difference between B16F0 and B16F10 melanomas was lost in Rag2−/− Il2rg−/− mice (Figure 1E). Furthermore, flow cytometric analysis confirmed the absence of ILC2s within both B16F0 and B16F10 tumors in Rag2−/− Il2rg−/− mice (Figure 1F). As observed with WT mice, a significantly lower number of ILC2s was found in B16F10 melanomas compared with B16F0 tumors in Rag2−/− mice (Figure 1F).

Overall, it is likely that these results rule out the possibility that the low abundance of ILC2s in B16F10 tumors is due to their decreased ability to penetrate the tumor tissue. Instead, our data suggest that the tumor microenvironment negatively affects ILC2s to suppress their potential antitumor activity.

**IL-33 Controls B16F10 Tumor Growth and Intratumoral Expansion of ILC2s**

To reveal the potential antitumorigenic properties of ILC2s, we subcutaneously inoculated WT C57BL/6 mice with B16F10 melanoma cells alone (1 × 10⁶ cells per mouse) or together with ILC2s (1 × 10⁵ cells per mouse). The presence of ILC2s controlled the growth of B16F10 tumors (Figure 2A), although the number of intratumoral ILC2s was strikingly decreased on day 11 post-inoculation. The median number of cells was 91 (n = 6) for Sca1+ KLRG1+ ILC2s (Figure 2B). A significant increase in the number of CD11b+ Siglec-F+ eosinophils was observed in tumors growing with ILC2s compared with tumors growing alone (Figure 2C).

To experimentally probe the role of IL-33 in the generation of ILC2-mediated antitumor response against B16F10 tumors, we subcutaneously inoculated WT C57BL/6 mice with tumor cells (1 × 10⁶ cells per mouse) and intraperitoneally administered IL-33 (0.5 μg in 100 μL PBS) or PBS at the time of inoculation and every 3 days thereafter. The administration of IL-33 significantly suppressed the growth of B16F10 melanomas (Figure 2D).

In addition, flow cytometric analysis revealed a striking increase in the number of Sca1+ KLRG1+ ILC2s (Figure 2E) and CD11b+ Siglec-F+ eosinophils (Figure 2F) in B16F10 tumors from IL-33-treated mice. To determine whether the antitumor activity of IL-33 is mediated directly or indirectly through binding to its receptor, we subcutaneously inoculated Il1rl1−/− mice (referred to as ST2−/− mice) lacking the functional IL-33 receptor with B16F10 cells (1 × 10⁶ cells per mouse). In contrast to WT mice, the difference in tumor growth between mice treated with IL-33 or PBS was lost in ST2−/− mice (Figure 2G).

Although flow cytometric analysis confirmed the increase in the number of Sca1+ KLRG1+ ILC2s in B16F10 tumors from IL-33-treated WT mice, no difference was observed in tumors implanted into ST2−/− mice, regardless of the type of treatment chosen (Figure 2H). To exclude the possibility that IL-33 directly activates T cells or NK cells to mediate the observed antitumor response, we depleted Rag2−/− mice of NK cells using anti-asialo GM1 (ASGM1) antibody during IL-33 treatment. We found no difference in the tumor growth between Rag2−/− mice depleted of NK cells and Rag2−/− mice that received control immunoglobulin G (IgG) during IL-33 treatment (Figure 2I). Nevertheless, tumors in both groups of mice that received IL-33 treatment grew significantly slower compared with the group of mice treated with PBS only (Figure 2I).

In addition, histological analysis of tumor sections revealed the presence of lymphoid clusters surrounding tumors from...
IL-33-treated WT C57BL/6 mice only, as judged by hematoxylin and eosin (H&E)-stained sections of B16F10 tumors harvested at day 11 post-inoculation from PBS or IL-33-treated mice. * indicates tumor. ** indicates a lymphoid cluster, which is enlarged in the right panel.

Figure 3. IL-33-Activated ILC2s Integrate within Lymphoid Clusters Formed Around B16F10 Tumors

(A) Histological analysis of hematoxylin and eosin (H&E)-stained sections of B16F10 tumors harvested at day 11 post-inoculation from PBS or IL-33-treated mice. * indicates tumor. ** indicates a lymphoid cluster, which is enlarged in the right panel.

(B) Immunohistochemical analysis of immune infiltrates integrated within lymphoid clusters formed around tumors from IL-33-treated mice.

(C and D) Immunohistochemical analysis (C) and quantification (D) of MBP+ cells present in the periphery and throughout the interior of the tumors from PBS- or IL-33-treated mice (n = 5).

The "n" indicates the number of biological replicates. p < 0.05 considered significant; ***p < 0.01 as determined by Student’s t test. The error bars represent SEMs. The average number of cells from 5 fields of view per tumor in (D). Scale bars: H&E 100 μm (short) and 50 μm (long); immunohistochemistry (IHC) 100 μm.

compared with controls following immunohistochemical (Figures S4A and S4B) and flow cytometric analyses (data not shown). Instead, we found an increased number of macrophages typified as alternatively activated by expression of the macrophage galactose C-type lectin 10A (CLEC10A/CD301) in tumor samples from IL-33-treated mice. The majority of CD301+ macrophages, but not F4/80+ macrophages, were found in the skin surrounding the tumors (Figures S4C and S4D). A similar staining pattern was observed using another marker for alternatively activated macrophages, CD163 (data not shown).

These results support the notion of an antitumorigenic role of ILC2/eosinophil axis in melanoma, which can be further enhanced following IL-33 stimulation.

Tumor Cell-Derived LA has an Inhibitory Effect on ILC2s

To study interactions between tumor cells and ILC2s using an in vitro model that replicates many features of solid tumors in vivo, we generated spheroids from B16F0 and B16F10 melanoma cells and co-cultured them with ILC2s. Within 5 days of incubation, we noticed a significant shift in pH, as indicated by a change in the color of medium from red to light yellow in co-cultures of spheroids with ILC2s (data not shown). More importantly, the presence of spheroids selectively decreased the number of ILC2s co-cultured in medium supplemented with IL-2 plus IL-33 but not IL-2 alone (Figure 4A). We assumed that tumor cell-derived signals inhibited the proliferation of IL-33-stimulated ILC2s. To test this possibility, we collected conditioned medium (CM) supplemented with IL-2 plus IL-33 from B16F10 cells. CM was sufficient
to inhibit proliferation of ILC2s in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 4B). The pH of CM was in the range of 6.7–6.8, acidic compared with that of control medium (pH 7.4) (data not shown). Because of the shift in pH observed, we next sought to determine whether the inhibitory effect of CM was linked to the presence of protons. To prove this hypothesis, we buffered CM to pH 7.4 using sodium hydroxide (NaOH) and noted a significant reduction in its inhibitory effect (Figure 4C). The suppressive activity of CM was also heat stable since CM managed to inhibit the proliferation of ILC2s after prolonged boiling (Figure 4C). By Giemsa staining, we also found that ILC2s cultured in CM were smaller in size, with a scanty cytoplasm compared with those incubated in medium supplemented with IL-2 plus IL-33 and reminiscent of ILC2s cultured with IL-2 alone (Figures S5A and S5B). Apart from morphological changes, we examined the cytokine-producing capability of ILC2s. In line with its inhibitory effect on proliferation, CM significantly lowered the levels of IL-5 produced by ILC2s (Figure 4D). Quantification of ILC2s during long-term culture in CM demonstrated a roughly 3-fold decrease in the number of viable cells after 5 days, whereas an ~4-fold
increase was observed following incubation in medium supplemented with IL–2 plus IL–33 (Figure 4E). These results suggest that the suppression of proliferation, IL–5 production, and survival of ILC2s was initiated by tumor cell-derived signals reducing the pH.

Warburg observed that cancer cells preferentially convert glucose into lactate regardless of the amount of oxygen present (the eponymous “Warburg effect”) (Hu et al., 2017; Koppenol et al., 2011; Warburg, 1956). As a result, cancer cells export lactate together with protons, which in turn lowers the pH of the tumor microenvironment. Because the suppressive activity of CM from B16F10 cells was heat stable and linked to the presence of protons, we focused on the possibility that LA is one of the factors responsible for the constraints imposed on ILC2s. To this end, we measured the level of LA in CM from B16F10 melanoma cells and found that the concentration of LA in CM was ~20 mM (±1 mM, SEM) (data not shown). In addition, we found that the level of LA significantly increased in medium from B16F10 spheroids co-cultured with ILC2s compared with B16F0 spheroids (Figure 4F). To assess the suppressive activity of LA on ILC2 proliferation, we cultured ILC2s in medium containing different concentrations of LA and sodium lactate (the latter of which does not lead to acidification of the medium) supplemented with IL–2 plus IL–33. LA was sufficient to inhibit the proliferation of ILC2s in a dose-dependent manner, whereas sodium lactate had no effect (Figure 4G). No difference in the number of proliferating ILC2s, however, was observed following culture in medium containing 20 mM LA supplemented with IL–2 only (data not shown). The addition of 20 mM LA lowered the pH of culture media from pH 7.4 to ~pH 6.0 (data not shown). To study the effect of acidification on ILC2 proliferation, we cultured ILC2s in an acidified medium (pH 6.0) prepared using hydrochloric acid (HCl) and supplemented with IL–2 plus IL–33. We noticed a significant inhibition of the proliferative potential of ILC2s, which was similar to that of 20 mM LA and was reversed after buffering the medium to pH 7.4 (Figure 4H). The inhibitory effect was dependent on the level of acidification of the medium since the number of proliferating ILC2s decreased commensurate with a decline in pH (Figure 4I). Additionally, 24 h of incubation with 20 mM LA significantly decreased the production of IL–5 as opposed to 20 mM sodium lactate (Figure 4J). The morphology of ILC2s also changed, as revealed by Giemsa staining. ILC2s incubated in medium containing 20 mM LA or HCl (pH 6.0) were smaller in size compared with those cultured in medium supplemented with IL–2 plus IL–33 and reminiscent of ILC2s incubated in medium supplemented with IL–2 alone (Figures S5C and S5D). In contrast, the addition of 20 mM sodium lactate had no effect on the morphology of ILC2s, which resembled those stimulated with IL–2 plus IL–33 (Figures S5C and S5D). Moreover, incubation of ILC2s in medium containing 20 mM LA or HCl (pH 6.0) for 24 h did not alter the surface antigen profile expressed by ILC2s, as revealed by flow cytometric analysis (Figure S5E). Nevertheless, the quantification of ILC2s exposed to medium containing 20 mM LA or HCl (pH 6.0) for 5 days demonstrated a roughly 8-fold and 2-fold decrease, respectively, in the number of viable cells (Figure 4K).

These results identify tumor cell-derived LA as one of the signals responsible for the suppression of proliferation, IL–5 production, and survival of ILC2s.

**LDHAlow Melanoma Is More Vulnerable to Intratumoral ILC2s**

To reveal the in vivo significance of LA, we specifically knocked down Ldha encoding lactate dehydrogenase A responsible for the generation of LA from pyruvic acid in B16F10 melanoma cells. We used small hairpin RNAs (shRNAs) complementary to Ldha to reduce its expression and established LDHAlow B16F10 melanoma cells. Cells transfected with unspecific, scrambled shRNA were used as controls (CTRL). The enzymatic activity of LDHA assessed by the concentration of LA in cell supernatants revealed the decreased production of lactate by LDHAlow cells compared with untreated and CTRL B16F10 cells (Figure S6A). No significant difference in the proliferation was observed between LDHAlow and CTRL cells in vitro (data not shown). However, subcutaneous inoculation of WT C57BL6 mice revealed that the growth of LDHAlow tumors was significantly delayed compared with that of CTRL tumors (Figure 5A). On day 11 post-inoculation, a larger number of Sca1+KLRG1+ ILC2s was observed in LDHAlow melanomas compared with CTRL tumors (Figure 5B). In addition, LDHAlow tumors were enriched with CD3+ and CD8+ cells compared with CTRL tumors, further supporting the idea of the immunosuppressive activity of tumor-derived LA (Figures S6B and S6C). However, no difference was observed in the number of F4/80+ macrophages (Figures S6B and S6C). We next wanted to determine the effect of IL–33 on the growth of LDHAlow tumors. For that reason, we subcutaneously inoculated WT C57BL6 mice with either LDHAlow or CTRL B16F10 cells and intraperitoneally administered IL–33 (0.5 μg in 100 μL PBS) or PBS alone at the time of inoculation and every 3 days thereafter. Intraperitoneal administration of IL–33 significantly inhibited the growth of LDHAlow tumors compared with CTRL tumors (Figure 5C). LDHAlow tumors were also typified by a massive infiltration of Sca1+KLRG1+ ILC2s (Figure 5D). Mirroring the increase in the number of IL–33-activated ILC2s in LDHAlow tumors, we found an increased infiltration of eosinophils as determined by immunohistochemical analysis and quantification of MBP+ cells compared with CTRL tumors (Figures 5E and 5F).

Overall, these data support the idea that tumor-derived LA production negatively affects ILC2s and their antitumorogenic function in B16F10 melanoma.

**LDHA Expression Correlates Negatively with Markers Associated with ILC2s in Human Cutaneous Melanomas**

Since IL–33 strongly activates ILC2s, we used OncoLnc to conduct overall survival analysis for IL33 expression in 458 human skin cutaneous melanoma (SKCM) samples from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database (Anaya, 2016). We found that the high expression of IL33 was associated with better overall survival (p = 0.00053S) in melanoma patients (Figure 6A). Similarly, we found a significant correlation between overall survival in melanoma patients and the expression of an eosinophil marker SIGLEC8 (p = 0.000971) (Figure 6B).

To identify the possible source of IL–33, we performed immunohistochemical analysis of sections of paraffin-embedded human control skin and cutaneous melanoma tissue samples. Although immunohistochemical analysis of control skin revealed the expression of IL–33 strictly restricted to epithelial and
endothelial cells, the presence of infiltrating inflammatory cells positively stained for IL-33 typified the majority of cutaneous melanomas investigated (14 of 18 samples) (Figure 6C).

To estimate a possible genetic correlation between IL33 and markers associated with ILC2s, we next analyzed 470 human SKCM samples from TCGA database. Although none of the markers used were completely specific to ILC2s, we found strong correlations with one another among the gene expression levels of KLRG1, GATA3, CCR6, ICOS, KLRB1, and NCR3 (data not shown), which we defined here as markers associated with ILC2s. The gene expression levels of IL33 correlated very strongly with those of markers associated with ILC2s, indicating a possible positive impact of IL-33 on tumor-infiltrating ILC2s in human melanomas (Figure 6D). Furthermore, the expression of IL33 correlated with that of markers for eosinophils such as SIGLEC8, ADGRE1, and ITGAM (Figure 6E). The gene expression levels of IL33 also correlated with CD14 (expressed by monocytes and macrophages), as well as CD163 and CLEC10A (both markers expressed by alternatively activated macrophages) (Figure 6F). Using the same database, we next estimated a possible genetic correlation between LDHA and markers associated with ILC2s. We found that the expression of LDHA negatively correlated with that of markers associated with ILC2s such as KLRG1, GATA3, CCR6, ICOS, KLRB1, and NCR3 (Figure 6G). In addition, the expression of LDHA correlated negatively with that of markers expressed by eosinophils, including SIGLEC8, ADGRE1, and ITGAM (Figure 6H) and to a lesser extent, CD14 and CLEC10A, but not that of CD68 (expressed by tissue macrophages) (Figure 6I). We also found no correlation between the expression of SIGLEC8 and IL1RL1. Instead, we noticed the correlation between the expression of SIGLEC8 and genes encoding a heterodimeric receptor for IL-5, namely IL5RA and CSF2RB (Figure 6J).

These data are consistent with our findings in the mouse system and indicate that the IL-33/ILC2/eosinophil axis plays an
antitumorigenic role and that tumor-derived LA may have a negative effect on tumor-infiltrating ILC2s in human cutaneous melanomas.

DISCUSSION

An increased lactate production favors the progression and metastasis of various tumor types, including melanoma (Girgis et al., 2014; Walenta et al., 2000; Petrelli et al., 2015; Hirschhaeuser et al., 2011). While the metabolic reprogramming enables rapid proliferation, continuous growth, and survival of tumor cells, the ensuing accumulation of metabolites imposes considerable constraints on infiltrating immune cells (Biswas, 2015).

In this study, we unraveled an immunosuppressive axis initiated by melanoma cells on ILC2s. We showed that LA profoundly inhibited the proliferation, cytokine production, and survival of activated ILC2s in vitro. In vivo interference with LDHA function in B16F10 tumors also was sufficient to significantly increase the number of intratumoral ILC2s. In turn, ILC2s more effectively restrained the growth of LDHA-low B16F10 melanomas than control tumors upon IL-33 treatment. Our results corroborate and extend previous reports demonstrating that LA exerts inhibitory effects on a range of immune cells. For example, tumor-derived LA impairs the differentiation of dendritic cells (DCs) (Gottfried et al., 2006). Furthermore, LA inhibits the proliferation and cytokine release from human T cells in vitro, whereas tumor lactic acidosis hinders tumor immunosurveillance by T and NK cells and their survival in vivo (Fischer et al., 2007; Brand et al., 2016). It has been suggested that activated T cells upregulate glycolytic metabolism, which leads to the production of LA, a process that depends on a gradient between cytoplasmic and extracellular lactate concentrations (Brand et al., 2016). Subsequently, increased concentrations of extracellular LA may facilitate its uptake, which lowers the intracellular pH in T cells and disturbs their energy metabolism, as witnessed by decreased levels of ATP (Fischer et al., 2007). Consequently, increased LA concentrations (≥20 mM) cause apoptosis of T and NK cells (Fischer et al., 2007; Brand et al., 2016). In line with these observations, we found the viability of activated ILC2s substantially decreased following in vitro exposure to medium containing 20 mM LA or HCl (pH 6.0) for 5 days. However, tumor-associated macrophages have been found to resist the apoptosis-inducing effect of LA and acquire a protumorigenic alternatively activated phenotype (Colegio et al., 2014).

ILC2s rapidly respond to IL-33 through their expression of IL-33 receptors containing IL1RL1 (also known as ST2). IL-33 is constitutively present in the nuclei of non-hematopoietic cells, with particular abundance in certain epithelial and endothelial cell populations (Molofsky et al., 2015). Because IL-33 lacks an export signal sequence, the activation of ILC2s within the tumor microenvironment may be triggered by IL-33 passively released by necrotic and/or necroptotic cells in damaged tissues surrounding the growing tumor mass (Molofsky et al., 2015). For example, tumor-associated subcutaneous adipose tissue has been characterized by a robust infiltration of macrophages, triggered by necrosis and release of another nuclear alarmin, HMGB1 (Wagner et al., 2013; Wagner and Dudley, 2013). Of note, we found that the number of dermal adipocytes within the skin surrounding B16F10 melanomas was decreased compared with their less malignant and less invasive counterparts, B16F0 melanomas. Further analysis of tumor-associated subcutaneous adipose tissue revealed an abundance of IL-33, particularly exemplified by the presence of infiltrating inflammatory cells positively stained for IL-33. It is now clear that during inflammatory response cells of hematopoietic origin, including mast cells, DCs and macrophages actively secrete IL-33 (Furukawa et al., 2017; Schmitz et al., 2005; Mirchandani et al., 2012). Therefore, it is unlikely that the low abundance of ILC2s in B16F10 melanomas is due to the absence of signals involved in their activation.

The lack of specific immunohistochemical markers for ILC2s makes it additionally difficult to localize ILC2s within complex tissues such as tumors. However, upon IL-33 treatment, we observed lymphoid clusters in the skin surrounding implanted B16F10 melanomas. Although lymphoid clusters were present within the adjacent tissue, once incorporated into the growing tumor mass, their components will likely be exposed to the conditions within the tumor microenvironment.

The extent to which ILC2s are involved in antitumorigenic responses remains unclear, as they have been separately associated with both tumor-promoting as well as tumor-suppressing activities (Mattner and Wirtz, 2017; Wagner et al., 2017). The acquisition of antitumorigenic functions, however, seems to depend on the context of tumor specificity, justifying the reason for the use of melanoma in our study. For example, ILC2s have been implicated in the suppression of the metastatic spread of B16F10 melanoma cells within the lungs through IL-5-mediated eosinophilia (Ikutani et al., 2012). In addition, ILC2s have been shown to eliminate B16F10 melanoma cells...
genetically engineered to express IL-33 (Kim et al., 2016). It
should be noted, however, that those studies did not focus on in-
tratumoral ILC2s or rely on a chronic and non-physiological pro-
duction of IL-33 by transgenic melanoma cells, which signifi-
cantly alters the number of ILC2s in the course of tumor
development. ILC2s may exert their antitumorigenic function
through various means. In tumors engineered to express IL-33,
including B16F10 melanomas, infiltration of ILC2s has drastically
reduced the tumor growth and induced tumor-cell specific
apoptosis (Kim et al., 2016). In addition, ILC2s adoptively trans-
ferred into Rag2−/−Il2rg−/− mice inhibited the growth of EL4 lymph-
omas expressing IL-33 through CXCR2 signaling (Kim et al.,
2016). In our model, we observed the growth of B16F10 melano-
as similarly delayed following co-injection with ILC2s with
tumors typified by an increased number of eosinophils. It re-
 mains to be deciphered, however, to what extent ILC2s
contribute to the induction of tumor-cell specific apoptosis.

In addition to immunosuppressive cytokines such as IL-4 and
IL-13, ILC2s produce high levels of IL-5 (Moro et al., 2010). IL-5 is
essential for the expansion of eosinophils, since its localized pro-
duction induces tissue eosinophilia (Sanderson et al., 1985;
Leitch et al., 2009; Rosenberg et al., 2013). An increased inci-
dence of methylcholanthrene (MCA)-induced fibrosarcomas
has been observed in the eosinophil-deficient Rag2−/− mice (Nair
et al., 2009). It has also been shown that alternatively activated macrophages
suppress T cell expansion, which could in part explain the lack of
increased T cell responsiveness in our model (Taylor et al., 2006;
Schebesch et al., 1997; Huber et al., 2010; Nair et al., 2009).
Therefore, it is tempting to speculate whether selective depletion
of alternatively activated macrophages could further tip the scales
toward a microenvironment that impedes tumor growth,
especially since some tissue-resident macrophages are rela-
tively long-lived cells (Shaw et al., 2018). Of no less importance
is the fact that we abstained from using the ovalbumin-express-
ing melanoma cells frequently used to augment tumor-specific
adaptive immune responses.

Several immunotherapeutic strategies to treat cancer patients
appear to be dependent upon the preexistence of an immune
infiltrate (Springer et al., 2015; Ji et al., 2012; Tumeh et al.,
2014). Using a cohort of 458 cutaneous skin melanoma patients,
we demonstrated that the expression of IL33 and SIGLEC8 is
associated with better overall survival. The expression of
IL33 correlated positively, whereas that of LDHA correlated nega-
tively with markers associated with human ILC2s and eosino-
phil. Therefore, our results indicate that the expression of
LDHA may serve as a predictive marker of ILC2 infiltration in
human melanomas. It should also be noted, however, that no
correlation was observed between the expression levels of
IL33 and SIGLEC8 and overall survival for some other tumors
such as lung squamous cell carcinoma and pancreatic ade-no-
carcinoma (data not shown), suggesting that the antitumor
activity of ILC2s is tumor-type specific.

It remains to be deciphered how other metabolic factors and
hypoxia affect ILC2s within the tumor microenvironment
(Wagner and Koyasu, 2019). Our results identify the tumor-
derived LA production as a plausible immunosuppressive
mechanism that contributes to the paucity of intratumoral
ILC2s. With an increasing understanding of the immune sys-
tem, it remains to be seen to what extent the antitumorigenic
potential of ILC2s can be used for the development of new
immunotherapies.
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### STAR METHODS

#### KEY RESOURCES TABLE

| REAGENT or RESOURCE                     | SOURCE                          | IDENTIFIER         |
|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|
| Antibodies                             |                                 |                    |
| Anti-mouse LYVE1                        | Abcam                           | Catalog# ab14917; RRID: AB_301509 |
| Anti-mouse CD31                         | Santa Cruz Biotechnology        | Catalog# sc1506; RRID: AB_2161037 |
| Anti-mouse Perilipin1 (D1D8)            | Cell Signaling Technology       | Catalog# 9349; RRID: AB_10829911 |
| Anti-mouse CD3 (CD3-12)                 | Abcam                           | Catalog# ab11089; RRID: AB_369097 |
| Anti-mouse CD8 (EPR21769)               | Abcam                           | Catalog# ab217344  |
| Anti-mouse CD4 (EPR19514)               | Abcam                           | Catalog# ab183685; RRID: AB_2686917 |
| Anti-mouse CD11b                        | Abcam                           | Catalog# ab75476; RRID: AB_1310048 |
| Anti-mouse F4/80 (Cl:A3-1)              |                                 | Catalog# ab6640; RRID: AB_1140040 |
| Anti-mouse CD301 (ER-MP23)              | Bio-Rad                         | Catalog# MCA2392; RRID: AB_872014 |
| Anti-mouse MBP (AA100-215)              | Antibodies online               | Catalog# ABIN1174871 |
| Anti-mouse IL-33                        | Cloud-Clone Corp                | Catalog# PAB980Mu01 |
| Anti-human IL-33                        | R&D Systems                     | Catalog# MAB36252  |
| Anti-rabbit IgG (H+L), Biotin-conjugated| Vector Laboratories             | Catalog# BA-1000  |
| Anti-rat IgG (H+L), Biotin-conjugated   | Vector Laboratories             | Catalog# BA-4000  |
| Anti-goat IgG (H+L), Biotin-conjugated  | Vector Laboratories             | Catalog# BA-5000  |
| Anti-mouse Ly6A/E (Scal1) (D7), BV421-conjugated | BD Biosciences | Catalog# 562729; RRID: AB_2737750 |
| Anti-mouse Ly6A/E (Scal1) (E13-161.7), FITC-conjugated | BD Biosciences | Catalog# 553335; RRID: AB_394791 |
| Anti-mouse KLRG1 (2F1), APC-conjugated  | BD Biosciences                  | Catalog# 561620; RRID: AB_10895798 |
| Anti-mouse CD45.2 (104), PE-conjugated  | BD Biosciences                  | Catalog# 560695; RRID: AB_1272493 |
| Anti-mouse c-Kit (2B8), PE/Cy7-conjugated | BD Biosciences | Catalog# 558163; RRID: AB_647250 |
| Anti-mouse Thy1.2 (53-2.1), V500-conjugated | BD Biosciences | Catalog# 561616; RRID: AB_10894013 |
| Anti-mouse T1/ST2 (DJ8), FITC-conjugated | BD Biosciences | Catalog# 101001F; RRID: AB_10718414 |
| Anti-mouse CD25, APC/Cy7-conjugated     | BD Biosciences                  | Catalog# 557658; RRID: AB_396773 |
| Anti-mouse Siglec-F (E50-2440), BV421-conjugated | BD Biosciences | Catalog# 562681; RRID: AB_2722581 |
| Anti-mouse CD11b (M1/70), APC-conjugated | BD Biosciences | Catalog# 553312; RRID: AB_398535 |
| Anti-mouse NK1.1 (PK136), FITC-conjugated | BD Biosciences | Catalog# 553164; RRID: AB_394676 |
| Anti-mouse CD3c (145-2C11), APC-conjugated | BD Biosciences | Catalog# 553066; RRID: AB_398529 |
| Anti-mouse CD45.2 (104), APC/Cy7-conjugated | BD Biosciences | Catalog# 560694; RRID: AB_1272492 |
| Anti-mouse CD3c (145-2C11), Biotin-conjugated | BD Biosciences | Catalog# 553060; RRID: AB_394593 |
| Anti-mouse CD8x (53-6.7), Biotin-conjugated | BD Biosciences | Catalog# 553029; RRID: AB_394567 |
| Anti-mouse CD4 (GK1.5), Biotin-conjugated | BD Biosciences | Catalog# 553728; RRID: AB_395012 |
| Anti-mouse CD11c (HL3), Biotin-conjugated | BD Biosciences | Catalog# 553800; RRID: AB_395059 |
| Anti-mouse FcγRⅡa (MAR-1), Biotin-conjugated | BioLegend | Catalog# 134304; RRID: AB_1626106 |
| Anti- mouse NK1.1 (PK136), Biotin-conjugated | BD Biosciences | Catalog# 553163; RRID: AB_394675 |
| Anti-mouse CD19 (1D3), Biotin-conjugated | BD Biosciences | Catalog# 553784; RRID: AB_395048 |
| Anti-mouse CD11b (M1/70), Biotin-conjugated | BD Biosciences | Catalog# 553309; RRID: AB_394773 |
| Anti-mouse TER119 (TER119), Biotin-conjugated | BD Biosciences | Catalog# 553672; RRID: AB_394985 |
| Anti-mouse GR1 (RB6-8C5), Biotin-conjugated | BD Biosciences | Catalog# 553125; RRID: AB_394641 |
| Anti-mouse CD5 (53-7.3), Biotin-conjugated | BD Biosciences | Catalog# 553019; RRID: AB_394557 |
| Streptavidin, V500-conjugated           | BD Biosciences                  | Catalog# 561419; RRID: AB_10611863 |
| Streptavidin, APC-conjugated            | BD Biosciences                  | Catalog# 554067; RRID: AB_10050396 |
| Anti-mouse CD16/32 (2.4G2), purified    | Hybridoma                       | N/A                |

(Continued on next page)
**LEAD CONTACT AND MATERIALS AVAILABILITY**

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Shigeo Koyasu (shigeo.koyasu@riken.jp).

This study did not generate new unique reagents.

**EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS**

**Mice**

Eight-week-old male or retired female C57BL/6 mice were purchased from Charles River Laboratories, Japan or CLEA, Japan and maintained under specific pathogen-free conditions in the animal facility at the RIKEN Center for Integrative Medical Sciences, Yokohama, Japan. Retired female mice, defined as C57BL/6 mice older than four months of age and withdrawn from any further

**REAGENT or RESOURCE SOURCE IDENTIFIER**

| REAGENT or RESOURCE NAME | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
|--------------------------|--------|------------|
| Anti-mouse ASGM1, rabbit | Fujifilm Wako | Catalog# 014-09801 |
| Rabbit IgG Control Antibody, Unconjugated | Sigma-Aldrich | Catalog# I8140; RRID: AB_1163661 |
| **Biological Samples** | | |
| Human malignant melanoma tissue array | US Biomax; https://www.biomax.us | Catalog# ME803b |
| **Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins** | | |
| PI (propidium iodide) | Sigma-Aldrich | Catalog# 81845 |
| L-(-)-Lactic acid | Sigma-Aldrich | Catalog# L1750 |
| Sodium L-lactate | Sigma-Aldrich | Catalog# L7022 |
| Recombinant Mouse IL-2 Protein | R&D Systems | Catalog# 402-ML |
| Recombinant Mouse IL-33 Protein | R&D Systems | Catalog# 3626-ML |
| Proteinase K | Dako | Catalog# S3020 |
| Vectastain® Elite® ABC HRP Reagent | Vector Laboratories | Catalog# PK-7100 |
| Liquid DAB+ Substrate Chromogen System | Dako | Catalog# K3468 |
| Entellan® | Merck | Catalog# 107960 |
| Corning Cell-Tak Cell and Tissue Adhesive | Thermo Fisher Scientific | Catalog# 354240 |
| Liberase TH | Roche | Catalog# 5401135001 |
| Collagenase D | Roche | Catalog# 11088858001 |
| **Critical Commercial Assays** | | |
| CellTiter 96® AQueous One Solution Cell Proliferation Assay (MTS) | Promega | Catalog# G3580 |
| L-Lactate Assay Kit | Abcam | Catalog# ab65331 |
| Mouse IL-5 Platinum ELISA Kit | Thermo Fisher Scientific | Catalog# BMS610 |
| **Experimental Models: Cell Lines** | | |
| Mouse: B16F0 cells | ECACC | EC92101204 |
| Mouse: B16F10 cells | ATCC | CRL-6475 |
| **Experimental Models: Organisms/Strains** | | |
| Mouse: C57BL/6 | CLEA Japan | N/A |
| Mouse: C57BL/6 | Charles River Laboratories | N/A |
| Mouse: B6.129S6-Rag2tm1Fwa N12 | Taconic | Taconic: RAGN12 |
| Mouse: B10;6-Rag2tm1Fwa Il2rgtm1Wjl | Taconic | Taconic: 4111 |
| Mouse: Il1rl1−/− | Laboratory of Dr. K. Nakanishi | N/A |
| **Oligonucleotides** | | |
| LDHA (Gene ID 16828) Mouse shRNA | OriGene | Catalog# TF515371 |
| **Software and Algorithms** | | |
| GraphPad Prism 6 | GraphPad Software | https://www.graphpad.com |
| FlowJo 10 (version 10.0.7) | Tree Star | https://www.flowjo.com |
| NIS-Elements AR (version 4.11) | Nikon | https://www.nikon.com |
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Conditioned medium (CM) was prepared from B16F10 cells (6x10^6 cells) plated into three 25 cm^2 BD Falcon flasks (BD Biosciences). Tumor size was measured daily using a vernier caliper.

The lid was then flipped and placed on a culture dish containing PBS to avoid evaporation. Hanging drop cultures were grown at 37°C in 5% CO_2 in a humidified incubator in RPMI-1640 (Sigma Aldrich), supplemented with 10% FCS (Japan Bioserum), 100 µM nonessential amino acids (Sigma-Aldrich), 10 mM HEPES (Sigma-Aldrich), 1 mM sodium pyruvate (GIBCO), 100 U/ml penicillin (GIBCO), 100 µg/ml streptomycin (GIBCO), 50 µM 2-mercaptoethanol (GIBCO) and 400 µM L-glutamine (Lonza). Cells were detached with trypsin-EDTA (GIBCO) and passaged every 3 days.

B16F0 or B16F10 spheroids (3 spheroids/well) were enumerated with a stereomicroscope. B16F0 or B16F10 spheroids (3 spheroids/well) were seeded in the bottom chamber, whereas ILC2s (25,000 cells/well) in the top chamber of a 6.5 mm (0.4 µm pore size) 24-well transwell (Corning) in complete RPMI-1640 medium in the presence of 10 ng/ml IL-2 (R&D Systems) and/or 10 ng/ml IL-33 (R&D Systems) for a period of 5 days. After 5 days of co-culture, ILC2s were collected and the number of viable cells counted in a haemocytometer using the Trypan Blue exclusion method. The same method was applied for the assessment of the survival of ILC2s cultured in CM or complete medium containing lactic acid, sodium lactate or HCl.

Conditioned Medium Preparation

B16F0 or B16F10 cells were transfected with the short hairpin RNA (shRNA) constructs cloned into the pGFP-V-RS plasmids to specifically knockdown expression of Ldha (Gene ID16828, OriGene). Untransfected cells (WT) and cells transfected with a non-specific, scrambled shRNA (CTRL) served as controls.

Human Samples

Commercially available formalin-fixed paraffin embedded (FFPE) human malignant melanoma tissue array was purchased from US Biomax, Inc (USA).

METHOD DETAILS

Tumor Cell Implantation

For tumor cell implantation, mice were anesthetized with 1% isoflurane in combination with O_2 and N_2. Tumor cells (1x10^5 cells per mouse) alone or admixed with ILC2s (1x10^5 cells per mouse) in 100 µL PBS were inoculated subcutaneously and dorsolaterally. For adipose tissue studies, tumor cells were inoculated into the anterior subcutaneous adipose tissue depot as previously described (Wagner et al., 2012). Tumor-associated adipose tissue was collected by microdissection under a stereoscopic microscope. Control adipose tissue studies, tumor cells were inoculated into the anterior subcutaneous adipose tissue depot as previously described (Moro et al., 2015) and cultured at 2,500 cells per well in 96-well round-bottom plates at 37°C in 5% CO_2 in a humidified incubator in RPMI-1640 (Sigma Aldrich), supplemented with 10% FCS (Japan Bioserum), 100 µM nonessential amino acids (Sigma-Aldrich), 10 mM HEPES (Sigma-Aldrich), 1 mM sodium pyruvate (GIBCO), 100 U/ml penicillin (GIBCO), 100 µg/ml streptomycin (GIBCO), 50 µM 2-mercaptoethanol (GIBCO) and 400 µM L-glutamine (Lonza). Cells were detached with trypsin-EDTA (GIBCO) and passaged every 3 days.

B16F10 cells were transfected with the short hairpin RNA (shRNA) constructs cloned into the pGFP-V-RS plasmids to specifically knockdown expression of Ldha (Gene ID16828, OriGene). Untransfected cells (WT) and cells transfected with a non-specific, scrambled shRNA (CTRL) served as controls.

Conditioned Medium Preparation

Conditioned medium (CM) was prepared from B16F10 cells (6x10^6 cells) plated into three 25 cm^2 BD Falcon flasks (BD Biosciences). Samples of CM were collected on days 1, 2, 3 and 4, transferred to 15 mL BD Falcon tubes (BD Biosciences) and centrifuged at 1300 rpm for 10 minutes as described elsewhere (Colegio et al., 2014). Samples were then sterile-filtered (0.22 µm) (Millipore) and kept at -20°C for future experiments.

Hanging Drop Culture for Spheroid Formation and Co-Culture with ILC2s

B16F0 or B16F10 cells were plated as hanging drops on an inverted culture dish lid in 25 µL of complete medium at 10,000 cells/drop. The lid was then flipped and placed on a culture dish containing PBS to avoid evaporation. Hanging drop cultures were grown at 37°C up to 7 days in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO_2. The medium was changed after 4 and 6 days of the culture period. The generation of spheroids in hanging drops was monitored using a stereomicroscope. B16F0 or B16F10 spheroids (3 spheroids/well) were then seeded in the bottom chamber, whereas ILC2s (25,000 cells/well) in the top chamber of a 6.5 mm (0.4 µm pore size) 24-well transwell (Corning) in complete RPMI-1640 medium in the presence of 10 ng/ml IL-2 (R&D Systems) and/or 10 ng/ml IL-33 (R&D Systems) for a period of 5 days. After 5 days of co-culture, ILC2s were collected and the number of viable cells counted in a haemocytometer using the Trypan Blue exclusion method. The same method was applied for the assessment of the survival of ILC2s cultured in CM or complete medium containing lactic acid, sodium lactate or HCl.
MTS Proliferation Assay
ILC2s were seeded into 96-well flat-bottom plates at a final density of 20,000 cells/well in 100 µL of medium supplemented with 10 ng/ml IL-2 (R&D Systems) and 10 ng/ml IL-33 (R&D Systems) with or without the presence of different concentrations of HCl, lactic acid (Sigma Aldrich) and sodium lactate (Sigma Aldrich) or in 100 µL of CM and its derivatives supplemented with 10 ng/ml IL-2 (R&D Systems) and 10 ng/ml IL-33 (R&D Systems). Unless stated otherwise, after 24 hours of incubation, each well was treated with 20 µL of a solution composed of 1.9 mg/ml of MTS [3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-5-(3-carboxymethoxyphenyl)-2-(4-sulphophenyl)-tetrazo- lium, inner salt; MTS] in PBS (pH 6.0). The plate was then incubated for an additional 1 hour. The absorbance of the soluble formazan salt was measured against a tetrazolium standard, MTS solution.

Measurements of IL-5
IL-5 ELISA (Thermo Fischer Scientific) was performed as recommended by the manufacturer using supernatants of ILC2s seeded into 96-well round-bottom plates at a final density of 20,000 cells/well and cultured for 24 hours in 100 µL of medium supplemented with 10 ng/ml IL-2 (R&D Systems) and 10 ng/ml IL-33 (R&D Systems) with or without the presence of HCl, lactic acid (Sigma Aldrich) and sodium lactate (Sigma Aldrich) or in 100 µL of CM and its derivatives supplemented with 10 ng/ml IL-2 (R&D Systems) and 10 ng/ml IL-33 (R&D Systems).

Measurements of Lactic Acid
Cells were seeded into 96-well flat-bottom plates at a final density of 2,500 cells/well and cultured for 24 hours. Lactic acid concentration was measured in medium using L-Lactate Assay Kit (Abcam) and following the manufacturer’s directions.

Giems Staining
Slides were coated with cell and tissue adhesive Cell-Tak (Coming) as recommended by the supplier. ILC2s cultured for 24 hours in 100 µL of medium supplemented with 10 ng/ml IL-2 (R&D Systems) alone or in combination with 10 ng/ml IL-33 (R&D Systems) accompanied with the presence of lactic acid (Sigma Aldrich), sodium lactate (Sigma Aldrich), HCl or in 100 µL of CM and its derivatives supplemented with 10 ng/ml IL-2 (R&D Systems) and 10 ng/ml IL-33 (R&D Systems) were transferred onto slides, washed, dried, fixed with methanol (Sigma Aldrich) and stained with Giemsa (Muto). The diameter of ILC2s was determined from 100 cells/group using NIS-elements AR software from Nikon.

Immunohistochemistry
Tumor or adipose tissue samples were collected and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, paraffin-embedded, and cut into 4 µm sections. For immunolabeling, paraffin-embedded tissue sections were dewaxed, rehydrated and boiled in a water bath at 98°C for 20-40 minutes in 10 mM citrate buffer (pH 6.0) or Tris/EDTA buffer (pH 9.0). Antigen retrieval for F4/80 staining was performed with Proteinase K (Dako) treatment following the manufacturer’s instructions. The sections were then blocked with diluted serum from the secondary antibody host for 30 minutes, and incubated for 1 hour or overnight at 4°C with the primary antibody. Endogenous peroxidase activity was blocked for 30 minutes with 3% hydrogen peroxide (Sigma-Aldrich) and a biotinylated anti-rat, anti-rabbit or anti-goat secondary antibody (Vector Laboratories) was applied for 30 minutes. The antigen-antibody complex reaction was augmented with avidin-biotin-peroxidase for 30 minutes following the manufacturer’s instructions (Vectastain Elite ABC HRP Reagent, Vector Laboratories) and incubated for 1-10 minutes with diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride (DAB, Dako). Next, sections were counterstained with hematoxylin (Thermo Fisher Scientific), dehydrated, and mounted with Entellan (Merck). The following antibodies were used for immunohistochemical analysis: rabbit anti-LYVE1 (1:250, catalog ab14917, Abcam), goat anti-CD31 (1:250, catalog sc1506, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), rabbit anti-Perilipin1 (1:250, catalog 9349, Cell Signaling), rat anti-CD3 (CD3-12) (1:100, catalog ab11089, Abcam), rabbit anti-CD8 (EPR21769) (1:1000, catalog ab217344, Abcam), rabbit anti-CD4 (EPR19514) (1:1000, catalog ab183685, Abcam), rabbit anti-CD11b (1:4000, catalog ab75476, Abcam), rat anti-F4/80 (CI:A3-1) (1:250, catalog ab6640, Abcam), rat anti-CD301 (ER-MP23) (1:100, catalog MCA2392, Bio-Rad), rabbit anti-MBP (AA100-215) (1:250, catalog ABIN1174871, Antibodies online), rabbit anti-IL-33 (1:250, catalog PAB980Mu01, Cloud-Clone Corp) and rabbit anti-IL-33 (1:50, catalog MAB36252, R&D Systems).

Picrosirius Red Staining
Paraffin-embedded sections were deparaffinized and rehydrated. The slides were then stained with picrosirius red solution composed of picric acid and Direct Red 80 (both Sigma-Aldrich) following the manufacturer’s instructions and dehydrated. Tissue sections were then washed in 2 changes of acidified water after picrosirius red staining.

Flow Cytometry
Tumor samples were incubated in Collagenase D (1 mg/ml, Roche) for 1 hour at 37°C followed by 100 mM EDTA and then mechanically dissociated by passage through a 70-µm strainer to create a single-cell suspension. ILC2s were isolated from the mesenteric and inguinal subcutaneous adipose tissue as previously described (Moro et al., 2010, 2015). To isolate cutaneous ILC2s, 1.5 × 1.5 cm skin samples overlying tumors were incubated in Liberase TH (0.1 mg/ml, Roche) for 1 hour at 37°C then mechanically dissociated using gentleMACS (Miltenyi Biotec) and purified by density centrifugation with 30% Percoll (Sigma Aldrich). ILC2s from lymph nodes were passaged through a 70-µm strainer. Isolated cells were suspended in HBSS containing 2% FBS and 0.2% sodium azide.
The following anti-mouse antibodies were used for flow cytometry: CD3ε (145-2C11), CD4 (GK1.5), CD8α (53-6.7), CD11c (HL3), NK1.1 (PK136), CD19 (1D3), CD11b (M1/70), TER119 (TER119), Gr-1 (RB6-8C5), CD5 (53-7.3), Ly6A/E (Sca1) (D7 or E13-161.7), KLRG1 (2F1), CD45.2 (104), c-Kit (2B8), Thy1.2 (S3-2.1), T1/ST2 (DJ8), CD25 (APC/Cy7), Siglec-F (E50-2440) and fluorochrome-conjugated streptavidin were purchased from BD Biosciences whereas antibodies against mouse FcεRIα (MAR-1) were from BioLegend. Antibodies against mouse CD16/CD32 (2.4G2) were purified from hybridoma culture supernatants in our laboratory. Cell viability was determined using propidium iodide. Cells were analyzed using FACSCalibur and sorted using FACSAria III. All data were analyzed using FlowJo software (TreeStar). The gating strategy is provided as supplemental information (Figure S1). A hemocytometer-based trypan blue dye exclusion cell quantitation was performed to estimate the total number of cells.

**QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

**Database Analysis**

The association between gene expression and survival rate of 458 melanoma patients was determined with recently described OncoLnc platform (www.oncolnc.org) using the publicly available TCGA (https://www.cancer.gov/about-nci/organization/ccg/research/structural-genomics/tcga) database (Anaya, 2016). According to the expression level of IL33 or SIGLEC8, the 458 melanoma samples were assigned into two groups of low and high expression levels of IL33 or SIGLEC8, each of them containing 229 samples. Expression data were extracted and the Kaplan–Meier estimation curve was plotted using Prism software (GraphPad Inc.).

The gene expression correlation analysis was performed on the “Tumour Skin Cutaneous Melanoma - TCGA - 470 - rsem – tcgars” dataset containing data from 470 melanoma patients from the publicly available TCGA (https://www.cancer.gov/about-nci/organization/ccg/research/structural-genomics/tcga) database using the microarray analysis and visualization platform R2 (https://hgserver1.amc.nl:443/). Pearson’s correlation coefficient, r, was calculated with the transform 2log setting. Expression data of the genes of interest were extracted and represented using Prism software (GraphPad Inc.).

**Statistics**

Unless otherwise stated, results are representative of at least two independent experiments. Statistical significance was analyzed by the unpaired, two-tailed Student’s t test or one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s multiple comparisons test using Prism software (GraphPad Inc.). A p value less than 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. p values less than 0.05, 0.01 or 0.001 were labeled with *, ** or ***, respectively. Data were expressed as average ± s.e.m. Whenever possible, the investigator was partially blinded for assessing the outcome (e.g., measurements in mouse studies and immunohistochemistry). To determine the correlation between gene expression levels in skin cutaneous melanoma patients in the TCGA dataset, Pearson’s correlation coefficient, r, was calculated. To demonstrate the association between gene expression levels and survival rate of skin cutaneous melanoma patients in the TCGA dataset, multivariate Cox regression and Kaplan–Meier analysis were performed. Expression data of the genes of interest were extracted and the Kaplan–Meier estimation curve was plotted using Prism software (GraphPad Inc.).

**DATA AND CODE AVAILABILITY**

This study did not generate any unique datasets or code.