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ABSTRACT

In Saudi Arabia, most universities are seeking to implement e-learning to improve education access and processes. Although some universities have already implemented e-learning, most have not. Shaqra University is aiming to implement an e-learning system. Therefore, through the use of a questionnaire, this study examines faculty members’ readiness to use the e-learning platform and assesses their readiness based on gender differences and user experience. Factors considered were usage self-efficacy, self-confidence in dealing with e-learning, Attitude towards e-learning and educational needs towards e-learning. The results revealed that, based on all these factors, faculty members were ready to use the platform of e-learning. There were no differences between male and female participants in self-efficacy in using information and communications technology, self-confidence in e-learning and educational needs towards e-learning. The females’ mean score was significantly higher than the males’ mean score. Between faculty members with no experience and faculty members’ who were experienced in e-learning, user experience was significantly different for self-efficacy in using information and communications technology, self-confidence in e-learning and attitude towards e-learning. These results revealed that faculty members are ready to use a platform of e-learning and these results may help decision makers in Shaqra University to successfully adopt an e-learning platform.
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1. INTRODUCTION

E-learning is defined as “education that uses computerised communication systems as an environment for communication, the exchange of information, and interaction between students and instructors” [1]. Usage of e-learning is a common method of delivering education to university students and has many benefits for students, academic staff and universities [2]. Nowadays, e-learning systems are widely used by all educational systems [3]. E-learning systems help universities to provide learning and to manage and plan educational processes in order to deliver it in an effective way [4]. E-learning enhances educational outcomes and productivity as students can use it anywhere and anytime [5]. In [6] stated that e-learning is better than traditional methods because it increases the efficiency and effectiveness of learning and encourages students to innovate. E-learning platforms have many advantages such as increasing students’ focus on learning, less withdrawal from studying, improving students’ academic results and enabling students to achieve their academic goals [7].
Shaqra University in Saudi Arabia was established 2009 and has more than 30,000 students and 24 colleges. Until now, Shaqra University has not adopted any e-learning systems in its educational processes. Therefore, the decision makers in Shaqra University aim to reap the benefits of e-learning by adopting an e-learning platform, the Shaqra University platform, which includes mobile learning, virtual classrooms, analytic reports, a learning objects repository (LOR), a learning management system (Moodle) and an ePortfolio [8]. Whilst initiated with good intentions, many e-learning projects fail to be adopted. There are many reasons associated with these failed projects, however, the most important factor identified is that stakeholders are not ready to adopt the system. In other words, managers start using e-learning systems without preparing all stakeholders and users for the system’s uptake [2], [9]. E-learning readiness indicates the institution’s readiness to use and implement the e-learning project. Technological readiness plays a key role in the effective and efficient use of e-learning systems [10]. Almaiah and Masita [11] recommended that carefully considering and assessing readiness encourages universities to the effective adoption of mobile learning. A study by [12] found that technological readiness played a key role in the successful adoption of e-learning in Saudi Arabia. A lack of assessment of institutional readiness is also a key issue associated with failed e-learning system adoption [10]. According to [4], the three previous studies found that university readiness, including students’ readiness, faculty readiness and institutional readiness, are key factors in the failure of e-learning adoption in universities. A more recent study by [13] claimed that readiness for e-learning plays a key role and has a significant impact on learning.

Gender and experience significantly influence technology adoption and acceptance in Arab countries [14], [15]. A study examining the influence of gender on the e-learning readiness of Hong Kong’s primary and secondary school in-service and trainee teachers indicated that males were better at using information and communication technology (ICT) than females [16]. Differences have also been shown between female and male students regarding their satisfaction with e-learning activities in two European universities [17]. A recent study about e-learning adoption and acceptance in Pakistan showed that female students are more susceptible to social influence and more anxious than male students [18]. Previous studies have found differences between less experienced users and more experienced users regarding societal impact to accept new technologies [19]. A more recent study found that, in Pakistan, less experienced students were more impacted by social influences than more experienced students in relation to e-learning adoption and acceptance [18].

This study uses four factors identified in a previous study [2] to measure faculty members’ readiness to use the e-learning platform in Shaqra University, namely, self-efficacy of using ICT, self confidence in dealing with e-learning, attitude towards e-learning and educational needs towards e-learning (Figure 1). The definition of educational needs towards e-learning readiness is “one’s perception of his/her educational requirements in e-learning along with one’s colleagues' needs”. Self-efficacy of usage towards ICT is defined as "the degree to which one believes in his/her ability to use basic computer programs and internet tools”. Attitude towards using e-learning refers to "one's reactions towards e-learning, intention to use it, and opinion on whether it is beneficial or not". The definition of self-confidence in dealing with e-learning is "one's perception pertaining to having more advanced e-learning-related abilities and knowledge such as using learning/content management systems and software and to create e-learning material" [2].

Figure 1. Conceptual framework for this study
To conclude this discussion of the research problem, until now there have been no e-learning systems used in educational processes in Shaqra University. Shaqra University is now seeking to adopt an e-learning system called the Shaqra University platform for e-learning. Based on a review of the literature, the assessment of readiness of stakeholders is an important factor to consider in the adoption and use of any electronic system. Because the absence of an assessment of institutional readiness is also a key issue that is associated with failed e-learning system adoption [10], it is very important to examine the acceptance of new systems to facilitate their successful implementation [4], [20]. Therefore, as faculty members have a key role in successfully implementing e-learning, this study aims to assess their readiness to use an e-learning platform in Shaqra University. The study will also examine the effects of gender differences and differences in usage experience on faculty members’ readiness to use the e-learning platform. More specifically, the research questions are: i) Are faculty members ready to use the platform e-learning in Shaqra University?; ii) Are there any differences between female and male faculty members’ readiness to use the e-learning platform in Shaqra University?; iii) Are there any differences between faculty members’ who have never experienced e-learning and faculty members’ who are experienced regarding their readiness to use the e-learning platform at Shaqra University?

2. METHODOLOGY

This study adopted a quantitative method by adopting an online questionnaire. A questionnaire was used to collect the data as it is a cost-effective, efficient and appropriate tool to reach female and male participants [21]. As the education system in Saudi Arabia is gender separated, a questionnaire was considered to be an appropriate method to collect data from male and female faculty members.

2.1. Questionnaire

The questionnaire used in this study to collect data was validated and utilized by previous studies such as [2] and it was modified slightly in phrases to suit the study aims. As the majority of the target population were native Arabic speakers, the questionnaire was translated into Arabic, and it was validated by three experts of translation in order to avoid wording issues. The questionnaire has three parts. Part 1 contains the general information about the study and instructions on how to complete the questionnaire. It also includes ethical information, to obtain consent from the participants. Part 2 relates to the demographic data of the participants. Part 3 measures the faculty members’ readiness to use the e-learning platform via four factors: self-efficacy of usage towards ICT, self-confidence in e-learning, attitude towards e-learning and educational needs towards e-learning. Each of the factors was scored on a five-point Likert scale (5=strongly agree (strongly ready), 4=agree (ready), 3=neutral (moderate), 2=disagree (not ready) and 1=strongly disagree (strongly not ready)).

2.2. Participants and sampling technique (data collection)

In this study, faculty members in Shaqra University in all departments were the target population. Random sampling was used to collect data to generalize the results to the whole population [22]. The questionnaire was made available to faculty members on the 10th of February 2020. To ensure all faculty members could be reached and that the questionnaire was available to all of them, the researcher sent the link to the questionnaire to the Deans of each college to distribute to the faculty members in two sections (male and female). More than 400 questionnaires were distributed and 128 were returned with full responses.

2.3. Analysis tests

To analyse the data, the researchers analysed the data using SPSS Statistics version 25. Firstly, demographic data was analysed using frequencies of descriptive statistics. Then, the researchers measured the instrument consistency using Cronbach’s alpha test. After that, the researchers used a mean score statistic and standard deviation (see Table 1) as used in a previous studies [23]. Also, T-tests were used to analyse the differences in gender and usage experience of faculty members’ readiness to use the e-learning platform.

| Mean Score Range | Interpretation of Readiness |
|------------------|----------------------------|
| 1.00 to ≤ 1.80   | Strongly Not Ready         |
| > 1.80 to ≤ 2.61 | Not Ready                  |
| > 2.61 to ≤ 3.41 | Neutral                   |
| > 3.41 to ≤ 4.21 | Ready                     |
| > 4.21 to ≤ 5.00 | Strongly Ready             |

Table 1. Interpretation of Faculty members’ readiness to use the e-learning platform [23]
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3. RESULTS

3.1. Characteristics of participants

As seen in Table 2, the majority of participants, 90 (70.3%), were male. Most participants, 67 (52.3%), were assistant professors, lecturers, 25 (19.5%) and associate professors, 18 (14.1%). Most participants were non-Saudi, 77 (60.2%), with 51 Saudi participants. Most participants had experience with e-learning, 103 (80.5%), while 25 (19.5%) were inexperienced.

| Information                      | Number of participants | Percentage of sample |
|----------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| Gender                           |                        |                      |
| Male                             | 90                     | 70.3                 |
| Female                           | 38                     | 29.7                 |
| Total                            | 128                    | 100.0                |
| Academic ranking                 |                        |                      |
| Professor                        | 5                      | 3.9                  |
| Associate Professor              | 18                     | 14.1                 |
| Assistant Professor              | 67                     | 52.3                 |
| Lecturer                         | 25                     | 19.5                 |
| Teaching Assistant               | 13                     | 10.2                 |
| Nationality                      |                        |                      |
| Total                            | 128                    | 100.0                |
| Saudi                            | 51                     | 39.8                 |
| Non-Saudi                        | 77                     | 60.2                 |
| Experience of e-learning         |                        |                      |
| Total                            | 128                    | 100.0                |
| Yes                              | 103                    | 80.5                 |
| No                               | 25                     | 19.5                 |
| Total                            | 128                    | 100.0                |

3.2. Survey instrument reliability

Cronbach’s alpha is used to assess the strength of instrument consistency [24]. According to [25] the acceptable value of Cronbach’s alpha should be more than 0.70. Based on the results in Table 3, all Cronbach’s alpha reliability coefficients for each group were greater than 0.70 and the overall reliability for this instrument was 0.947, which is considered excellent. Therefore, the results of this study can be considered reliable.

| Section                                      | Number of items | Cronbach alpha value |
|----------------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| Self-Efficacy of usage towards ICT           | 5               | 0.894                |
| Self confidence in e-learning                | 10              | 0.923                |
| Attitude towards e-learning                  | 14              | 0.963                |
| Educational needs towards e-learning         | 4               | 0.840                |
| Total                                        | 33              | 0.956                |

3.3. Faculty members’ readiness

Faculty members who participated in this study were ready to use an e-learning platform in Shaqra University (Table 4). The rankings were as follows: self-efficacy of usage towards ICT (SE) > educational needs towards e-learning (EN) > attitude towards e-learning (AT) > self confidence in e-learning (SC). Self-efficacy of usage towards ICT was rated as being ready (Table 5). The item ‘I can use search engines (Google) with confidence’ had the highest mean value, while ‘I can solve problems that I encounter during computer use’ had the lowest mean. Self confidence in e-learning (SC) was rated as being ready, although some items were neutral (Table 6). The item ‘I can access online libraries and resources’ had the highest mean, while ‘I can design a Web page’ had the lowest mean.

| Category                                      | Mean   | Standard deviation |
|-----------------------------------------------|--------|--------------------|
| Self-Efficacy of usage towards ICT (SE)       | 4.48   | 0.676              |
| Self confidence in e-learning (SC)            | 3.55   | 0.834              |
| Attitude towards e-learning (AT)              | 3.71   | 0.868              |
| Educational needs towards e-learning (EN)     | 4.27   | 0.770              |
| Overall                                       | 4.0025 | 0.784              |
Table 5. Participant self-evaluation of self-efficacy of usage towards ICT (N=128)

| Self-efficacy of usage towards ICT                  | Mean | Standard deviation |
|-----------------------------------------------------|------|-------------------|
| I can use the computer with confidence              | 4.59 | 0.758             |
| I can use office programs (Power Point, Word and Excel) with confidence | 4.62 | 0.722             |
| I can safely use web browsers (Internet Explorer, Google and Chrome) with confidence | 4.56 | 0.858             |
| I can use search engines (Google) with confidence   | 4.67 | 0.677             |
| I can solve problems that I encounter during computer use | 3.97 | 0.980             |
| Overall                                             | 4.482| 0.799             

Table 6. Participant self-evaluation of self-confidence in e-learning (N=128)

| Self-confidence in e-learning                          | Mean | Standard deviation |
|--------------------------------------------------------|------|-------------------|
| I have knowledge about e-learning                       | 3.93 | 1.005             |
| I have the knowledge and skills to prepare e-learning materials | 3.77 | 1.013             |
| I can use content management software (Blackboard and Moodle) with confidence | 3.63 | 1.093             |
| I can design a Web page                                | 2.88 | 1.217             |
| I can use software that will prepare e-learning materials (Photoshop, Publisher, and Camtasia) | 3.10 | 1.209             |
| I can manage online forums                             | 3.27 | 1.126             |
| I can develop guidelines for e-learning to benefit students | 3.52 | 1.047             |
| I know the legal issues related to e-learning (copyright and privacy) | 3.51 | 1.177             |
| I can access online libraries and resources            | 4.06 | 0.858             |
| I feel ready to use e-learning in my teaching activities | 3.85 | 1.095             |
| Overall                                                | 3.55 | 0.834             |

Attitude towards e-learning was rated as being ready, although one item was neutral (Table 7). The item ‘I think I will not be nervous when studying through e-learning’ had the highest mean, while ‘I believe that e-learning will be more effective than traditional classroom education’ had the lowest mean. The factor, educational needs towards e-learning was rated as being ready (Table 8). The item ‘University administrators need training on e-learning’ had the highest mean, while ‘I need training on e-learning’ had the lowest mean.

Table 7. Participant self-evaluation of attitude towards e-learning (N=128)

| Attitude towards E-learning                          | Mean | Standard deviation |
|------------------------------------------------------|------|-------------------|
| I would like to give my lectures within the scope of e-learning | 3.74 | 0.998             |
| I believe that e-learning will improve the quality of my education | 3.77 | 1.052             |
| I think I will not be nervous when studying through e-learning | 3.85 | 0.981             |
| I believe that e-learning will increase my productivity in the courses I will teach | 3.78 | 1.049             |
| I believe that e-learning will be more effective than traditional classroom education | 3.35 | 1.147             |
| I believe that it will communicate more effectively with my students by using e-learning environments | 3.69 | 1.099             |
| I believe that I will deliver teaching materials to my students more effectively by using e-learning environments | 3.68 | 1.136             |
| I believe that I will follow the progress of my studies more effectively by using e-learning environments | 3.80 | 1.030             |
| I believe that e-learning is necessary for the courses I will give | 3.70 | 1.039             |
| I will use e-learning even if not compulsory          | 3.51 | 1.027             |
| I do want to teach with e-learning                    | 3.74 | 1.096             |
| I think it would be good idea to teach in the e-learning environment | 3.81 | 1.041             |
| I think e-learning is for me                          | 3.80 | 0.989             |
| I am willing to prepare teaching materials within the scope of e-learning | 3.74 | 1.067             |
| Overall                                              | 3.71 | 0.868             |

Table 8. Participant self-evaluation of educational needs towards e-learning (N=128)

| Educational needs towards E-learning                  | Mean | Standard deviation |
|-------------------------------------------------------|------|-------------------|
| I need training on e-learning                         | 3.95 | 1.082             |
| My students need training in e-learning               | 4.41 | 0.901             |
| Other faculty members in the department need training on e-learning | 4.30 | 0.892             |
| University administrators need training on e-learning | 4.42 | 0.857             |
| Overall                                               | 4.27 | 0.770             |

3.4. Difference of gender groups and usage experience groups on faculty members’ readiness to use an e-learning platform at Shaqra University

3.4.1. Gender

The results of the T-test analysis presented in Table 9 show that self-efficacy of usage towards ICT was rated as strongly ready for both males and females who participated in this study. They also show that,
although the females’ mean score was higher than the males’ mean score, the difference in readiness between males and females was not significant. Self-confidence in e-learning was rated as ready for both males and females who participated in this study (Table 10). The difference in readiness between males and females was not significant.

Attitude towards e-learning was rated as ready for both males and females who participated in this study (Table 11). The females’ mean score was significantly higher than the males’ mean score, suggesting females had a better attitude towards e-learning. The factor as shown in (Table 12), educational needs towards e-learning was rated as strongly ready for both males and females who participated in this study. Although the females’ mean score was higher than the males’ mean score, the difference in readiness between males and females was not significant.

Table 9. Readiness based on gender and self-efficacy of usage towards ICT

|     | Female |     | Male |     |
|-----|--------|-----|------|-----|
| N   | Mean   | SD  | N    | Mean |
| 38  | 4.54   | 0.487 | 90  | 4.46 |
| 0.742 | -0.660 | 0.510 |

Table 10. Readiness based on gender and self-confidence in e-learning

|     | Female |     | Male |     |
|-----|--------|-----|------|-----|
| N   | Mean   | SD  | N    | Mean |
| 38  | 3.55   | 0.848 | 90  | 3.55 |
| 0.812 | 0.044  | 0.965 |

Table 11. Readiness based on gender and attitude towards e-learning

|     | Female |     | Male |     |
|-----|--------|-----|------|-----|
| N   | Mean   | SD  | N    | Mean |
| 38  | 4.01   | 0.741 | 90  | 3.59 |
| 0.891 | -2.572 | 0.011 |

Table 12. Readiness based on gender and educational needs towards e-learning

|     | Female |     | Male |     |
|-----|--------|-----|------|-----|
| N   | Mean   | SD  | N    | Mean |
| 38  | 4.39   | 0.739 | 90  | 4.22 |
| 0.781 | -1.178 | 0.241 |

3.4.2. Usage experience

The results of the T-test analysis presented in Table 13 show that self-efficacy of usage towards ICT was rated as ready for all faculty members regardless of experience with e-learning. The experienced group’s mean score was significantly higher than the non-experienced group’s mean score. Self confidence in e-learning was rated as ready for experienced faculty members and as neutral for faculty members with no experience (Table 14). The difference in readiness between experienced faculty members and those with no experience was significant.

Attitude towards e-learning was rated as ready for experienced faculty members and neutral for faculty members with no experience (Table 15). The difference in readiness between experienced faculty members and those with no experience was significant. The factor, educational needs towards e-learning was rated as ready for experienced faculty members’, and strongly ready for faculty members’ who had no experience (Table 16). Although the mean score was higher for the non-experienced group than the experienced group, the difference was not significant.

Table 13. Readiness based on usage experience and self-efficacy of usage towards ICT

|     | Female |     | Male |     |
|-----|--------|-----|------|-----|
| N   | Mean   | SD  | N    | Mean |
| 25  | 2.74   | 0.823 | 103 | 3.73 |
| 0.713 | 6.128  | 0.000 |

Table 14. Readiness based on usage experience and Self-confidence in e-learning

|     | Female |     | Male |     |
|-----|--------|-----|------|-----|
| N   | Mean   | SD  | N    | Mean |
| 25  | 3.18   | 0.827 | 103 | 3.84 |
| 0.832 | 3.548  | 0.001 |
Table 15. Readiness based on usage experience and attitude towards e-learning

|       | Female |       | Male |       | T   | P   |
|-------|--------|-------|------|-------|-----|-----|
| N     | 25     |       | 103  |       |     |     |
| Mean  | 3.18   |       | 3.84 |       | 3.548 | 0.001 |
| SD    | 0.827  |       | 0.832|       |     |     |

Table 16. Readiness based on usage experience and educational needs towards e-learning

|       | Female |       | Male |       | T   | P   |
|-------|--------|-------|------|-------|-----|-----|
| N     | 25     |       | 103  |       |     |     |
| Mean  | 4.53   |       | 4.21 |       |     |     |
| SD    | 0.579  |       | 0.800|       |     |     |

4. DISCUSSION

Faculty members who participated in this study were generally ready to use the proposed e-learning platform in Shaqra University. More specifically, the results revealed that self-efficacy of usage towards ICT had the highest mean score (the greatest influence on readiness), while self-confidence in e-learning had the lowest mean score (the lowest influence on readiness). This result contradicts those of many studies such as [26], [27] which found that Educational needs towards e-learning had the highest influence on readiness to use e-learning. However, our result is supported by [2] who found that self-efficacy of usage towards ICT had the highest mean score, and self-confidence in e-learning had the lowest mean score in Hacettepe University in Turkey. These results suggest that Shaqra University will face few staff readiness issues in using the platform. They also indicate that faculty members are able to use basic computer programs and internet tools. In addition, these results show that the faculty members are aware of and able to use learning/content management systems and software and create e-learning material. Faculty members have a positive attitude towards and intention to use the platform because they believe that it is a good idea. As this factor is important in using an e-learning platform successfully, Shaqra University should make available awareness courses and seminars about this project in order to improve attitudes toward using the platform. These results may also indicate that faculty members have not enough confidence to use an e-learning platform, so Shaqra University should develop specific programs, such as an awareness program, in order to increase their confidence in using e-learning. These results also showed that faculty members scored highly on self-efficacy of usage towards ICT, indicating that they will face difficulties in using an e-learning platform because they have adequate technical skills. However, Shaqra University should make training courses available to faculty members to ensure they are ready to use the technical aspects of an e-learning platform. Finally, faculty members have sufficient knowledge about educational requirements in e-learning. However, Shaqra University should conduct some awareness workshops and training sessions to make sure that all faculty members are not only ready to use the platform but that they are also encouraged to use it.

There were no differences between males and females in the factors of self-efficacy of usage towards ICT, self confidence in e-learning, and educational needs towards e-learning. These results indicate that generally males and females have the same readiness to use the new e-learning platform. There were significant differences between males and females in the factor of Attitude towards e-learning. This result indicates that the females’ mean score was higher than the males’ mean score, suggesting that females had a better attitude towards e-learning. This was supported by [28], who found a significant difference between males and females in their attitudes towards using an e-learning platform, with females having better attitudes in two different universities in Spain and Chile. As expected, our results revealed that there was a significant difference in self-confidence in e-learning and self-efficacy of usage towards ICT between faculty members with no experience and faculty members who were experienced e-learning users, with higher scores for experienced users. This result indicates that the experienced faculty members had more knowledge of and better ability with learning/content management systems, software and creation of e-learning material than faculty members’ with no experience. The results also revealed that experienced faculty members have a more positive attitude toward using e-learning than faculty members with no experience because they have already obtained the benefits and believe that using e-learning is a good idea. This result was supported by [12], who found that attitude towards e-learning was rated as ready for experienced faculty members. There was no difference in educational needs towards e-learning between experienced faculty members and those with no experience.

5. CONCLUSION

This study aimed to measure faculty members’ readiness to use an e-learning platform and to assess the differences between males and females and users with different levels of experience with e-learning. The results revealed that the faculty members who participated in this study were ready to use an e-learning platform in Shaqra University based on several factors. The readiness factors were ranked as follows: self-Efficacy of
usage towards ICT (SE)> educational needs towards e-learning (EN)> attitude towards e-learning (AT)> self-confidence in e-learning (SC). There were few differences between males and females but user experience did make a difference on self-efficacy of use of ICT, self-confidence in e-learning, and Attitude towards e-learning. Most of these results are supported by previous studies which were discussed above and these may be reasonable results given the big revolution in technology in Saudi society. The results of this study indicate that faculty members need some training courses in e-learning in order to increase their confidence in elearning, given that the factor of self-confidence in e learning scored the lowest. This study may make a practical contribution to knowledge by providing information to help decision makers in Shaqra University successfully implement an e-learning platform. The factors used in this study may be used in other contexts to assess faculty members’ readiness to adopt e-learning systems.
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