Mechanism of Translesion DNA Synthesis by DNA Polymerase II
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Bypass synthesis by DNA polymerase II was studied using a synthetic 40-nucleotide-long gapped duplex DNA containing a site-specific abasic site analog, as a model system for mutagenesis associated with DNA lesions. Bypass synthesis involved a rapid polymerization step terminating opposite the nucleotide preceding the lesion, followed by a slow bypass step. Bypass was found to be dependent on polymerase and dNTP concentrations, on the DNA sequence context, and on the size of the gap. A side-by-side comparison of DNA polymerases I, II, and III core revealed the following. 1) Each of the three DNA polymerases bypassed the abasic site analog unassisted by other proteins. 2) In the presence of physiological-like salt conditions, only DNA polymerase II bypassed the lesion. 3) Bypass by each of the three DNA polymerases increased dramatically in the absence of proofreading. These results support a model (Tomer, G., Cohen-Fix, O., O'Donnell, M., Goodman, M. and Livneh, Z. (1996) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 93, 1376–1380) by which the RecA, UmuD, and UmuC proteins are accessory factors rather than being absolutely required for the core mutagenic bypass reaction in induced mutagenesis in Escherichia coli.

The key step in induced mutagenesis is believed to be the insertion of a nucleotide opposite a DNA lesion by a DNA polymerase, a reaction termed bypass or translesion DNA synthesis (reviewed in Refs. 1 and 2). Due to the incorrect coding information of most DNA lesions, such a reaction is potentially mutagenic. In the bacterium Escherichia coli, induced mutagenesis by a variety of DNA-damaging agents was found to be dependent on particular gene products in addition to the polymerase, i.e. the UmuD, UmuC, and RecA proteins (1, 2). The fact that the expression of these proteins is under the regulation of the SOS stress response suggested that induced mutagenesis is a regulated process, which cannot occur without these SOS proteins (1, 2).

Early experiments clearly demonstrated that DNA lesions arrest DNA synthesis by a variety of DNA polymerases (3, 4), suggesting that bypass synthesis cannot occur without the UmuD, UmuC, and RecA proteins. However, a growing body of literature documents the ability of a variety of purified DNA polymerases to polymerize through DNA lesions unassisted by other proteins (5–16). Using a cell-free assay system for UV mutagenesis, we have demonstrated that crude protein extracts (17, 18) or a reconstituted system consisting of six purified proteins (19) can promote UV mutagenesis in vitro in the absence of RecA, UmuD, and UmuC. These observations create an apparent paradox; in vitro DNA polymerases can bypass lesions and produce mutations in the absence of UmuD, UmuC, and RecA, but in vivo these proteins are required in most mutagenesis assay systems. Notably, there are two exceptions, two pathways of UV mutagenesis were reported to be independent on the Umu proteins: in phage S13 (20) and in the F factor (21).

Very little is known on the ability of DNA polymerase II (pol II)3 (22, 23) to bypass DNA lesions (11). Polymerase II is UV-inducible, and its gene is SOS-regulated (24, 25). The in vivo role of pol II was unknown for many years, and only recently have several studies suggested roles for pol II in adaptive mutagenesis (26, 27), in response to oxidative damage (26), and in bypass of abasic sites in vivo (28). Our recent finding that pol III core and pol II can each function to promote UV mutations in an in vitro system reconstituted from purified components (19) prompted us to study translesion DNA synthesis by purified DNA polymerase II. Here we describe the analysis of bypass synthesis by DNA polymerase II, and provide a side-by-side comparison of bypass by the three DNA polymerases of E. coli: DNA polymerases I, II, and III core.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Materials—Nucleotides were from Boehringer Mannheim. Radiolaabeled nucleotides were from The Radiochemical Center, Amersham. Urea was obtained from ICN, EDTA, sodium glutamate, and acrylamide were from BDH. Tris base was from U. S. Biochemical Corp. Potassium glutamate and xylene cyanol were from Sigma. Bromophenol blue and dithiothreitol were from Bio-Rad, and glycerol was from Baker, and formamide was from Fluka. DNA polymerase I (6000 units/mg) and its Klenow fragment (5000 units/mg) were obtained usually from Boehringer Mannheim, and occasionally from U. S. Biochemical Corp. A mutant of the Klenow fragment lacking the proofreading exonuclease activity was obtained from U. S. Biochemical Corp. DNA polymerase II and an exonuclease-deficient mutant of DNA polymerase II were purified as described (23). DNA polymerase III core and the o subunit of DNA polymerase III were purified as described (29). Buffer B contained 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 8 µg/ml bovine serum albumin, 5 mM dithiothreitol, 0.1 mM EDTA, 4% glycerol.

DNA Substrates—The 40-nucleotide-long templates AB1 and AB2, each containing a single abasic site analog at a unique position, were synthesized and purified as described (12, 30). The abasic site analog is a modified tetrahydrofuran moiety, which is a stable structural analog of 2'-deoxyribose in the apurinic/apyrimidinic site. It differs from an abasic site by having a hydrogen instead of a hydroxyl residue on 1'-deoxyribose in the apurinic/apyrimidinic site. It differs from an abasic site by having a hydrogen instead of a hydroxyl residue on 1'-deoxyribose in the apurinic/apyrimidinic site.
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Bypass Synthesis by DNA Polymerase II

Comparison of Bypass Synthesis by DNA Polymerase I, II, and III Core, and Their 3' → 5' Exonuclease-deficient Derivatives—The reaction mixture (50 μl), assayed at 30 °C, contained buffer B, 8 mM MgCl₂, 0.5 mM dNTPs, and 100 nM primed template AB1m3p7. The reactions were done under four conditions: with no additives, with 100 mM KCl, or with 50% glycogen to inhibit 3'-5' exoribonuclease activity of the polymerase, the primer being a 15-mer, and led to the accumulation of radiolabeled bypass products, which were identified by urea-PAGE, followed by radiolabeling. The reaction products were analyzed on a 12% PAGE-urea gel, followed by visualization and quantification using a Fuji BAS 1000 phosphorimager.

RESULTS

Polynucleotide Synthesis Bypasses an Abasic Site Analog—We have established an assay system for studying bypass synthesis on a defined synthetic gapped duplex DNA, containing an abasic site analog at a predetermined location. The DNA templates were two 40-nucleotide-long synthetic DNAs, whose sequences were derived from the lacZ' portion of M13mp2 (with minor changes), for which in vivo data on the specificity of apurinic site mutagenesis existed (31). Template AB1 corresponded to positions A59–108 (when 1 is the beginning of lacZ' mRNA), and contained an abasic site analog instead of G99, and oligonucleotide AB2 corresponded to positions 89–128, with an abasic site analog instead of A109. These sites were found to be mutated when depurinated M13mp2 ssDNA was introduced into E. coli cells (31).

The gapped DNAs were prepared by annealing two short oligonucleotides to the 40-mer template: a [32P]-labeled primer, and an unlabeled oligonucleotide, complementary to the 5' end of the template (the downstream oligonucleotide). A series of short oligonucleotides was used to create gapped substrates with varying gap lengths (Fig. 1). The names of these gapped substrates describe their structure; For example, AB1m3p7 stands for a gapped duplex prepared from template AB1, with the 3' terminus of the primer located at the minus 3 (m3) position relative to the abasic site analog (0), and the 5' end of the downstream oligonucleotide located at plus 7 (p7) relative to the abasic site analog, thus defining a particular 9-nucleotide gap (Fig. 1). Polymerization resulted in extension of the radiolabeled primer, and this was assayed by urea-PAGE, followed by quantitative measurements of the radiolabeled bands by phosphorimaging.

Fig. 2 shows the kinetics of polymerization by pol II with the gapped oligonucleotide AB2m3p7. The initial rapid synthesis stage, terminated opposite the nucleotide preceding the abasic site analog by 1 nucleotide, was followed by an extended slow phase, terminated opposite the nucleotide preceding the abasic site analog by 1 nucleotide. The extension past the lesion was much slower, and led to the accumulation of radiolabeled bypass products, which were analyzed on a 12% PAGE-urea gel, followed by visualization and quantification by phosphorimaging.

Polynucleotide Synthesis Bypasses an Abasic Site Analog—We have established an assay system for studying bypass synthesis on a defined synthetic gapped duplex DNA, containing an abasic site analog at a predetermined location. The DNA templates were two 40-nucleotide-long synthetic DNAs, whose sequences were derived from the lacZ' portion of M13mp2 (with minor changes), for which in vivo data on the specificity of apurinic site mutagenesis existed (31). Template AB1 corresponded to positions A59–108 (when 1 is the beginning of lacZ' mRNA), and contained an abasic site analog instead of G99, and oligonucleotide AB2 corresponded to positions 89–128, with an abasic site analog instead of A109. These sites were found to be mutated when depurinated M13mp2 ssDNA was introduced into E. coli cells (31).

The gapped DNAs were prepared by annealing two short oligonucleotides to the 40-mer template: a [32P]-labeled primer, and an unlabeled oligonucleotide, complementary to the 5' end of the template (the downstream oligonucleotide). A series of short oligonucleotides was used to create gapped substrates

Carbon of the deoxyribose ring. It has been shown previously that endonuclease III and exonuclease III cleave synthetic duplexes containing this analog, and DNA polymerases can bypass it (12).
We examined the effect of polymerase concentration on bypass. This was done with the second template, AB1, with the same gap configuration (AB1m3p7). As can be seen in Fig. 3, pol II bypassed the abasic site analog in this template too; however, the product distribution and the rate of bypass were different. With this template, most of the bypass products were full-length or nearly full-length. The slight heterogeneity in the length of the long products might be due to an end effect on this template, since it was also observed with substrate AB1m3, which does not have a downstream oligonucleotide (data not shown). A small fraction of bypass products terminated at the border of the gap, with the major termination site located precisely at the end of the gap (26 nucleotides long compared to 27 nucleotides on AB2m3p7) (Figs. 2 and 3). This suggests that the displacement of the downstream oligonucleotide was easier on AB1m3p7 than on AB2m3p7. The reason may be the stability of the duplex. In AB2m3p7, 7 out of the first 8 base pairs of the downstream duplex region are GC, in contrast to 5 out of 8 in AB1m3p7 (Fig. 1).

The rate of bypass on template AB2m3p7 was 2.5–4-fold faster than on AB1m3p7 (Fig. 4). Since the gap structure was identical, this difference is likely to originate from DNA sequence differences in the vicinity of the lesion. Thus, DNA sequence context may affect the ability of the polymerase to bypass the lesion. The ease of strand displacement past the lesion did not seem to have a significant role in bypass synthesis, since strand displacement appears to be faster with template AB1, on which bypass synthesis was slower (Figs. 3 and 4).

Increasing the concentration of the polymerase led to increased bypass (Fig. 3). This was true also when the reaction...
was performed in the presence of 50 mM KCl (Fig. 3B; see below). This indicates that the association of the polymerase with the DNA at the lesion site is important for bypass synthesis. We have examined also the effect of dNTP concentration on bypass. As can be seen in Fig. 5, bypass increased linearly with dNTP concentration in the range of 50–500 μM, consistent with a slow polymerization step in the bypass reaction. Examination of the dependence of bypass on Mg²⁺ concentration revealed that 10–12.5 mM MgCl₂ were optimal for bypass (data not shown). We have examined bypass synthesis by pol II in the presence of salt. Bypass synthesis was severely inhibited by 100 mM sodium glutamate (up to 65-fold). This inhibition was largely due to the Na⁺ ions, since under salt conditions that are considered to be physiological, i.e. 0.1 M potassium glutamate (32), inhibition was much smaller (4–6-fold) (Fig. 6).

The Structure of the Gap Affects Bypass Synthesis—In order to examine the effect of structure of the gapped duplex on bypass, we examined bypass with the substrates AB1m3, AB1m3p3, and AB1m3p7 (Fig. 1). As can be seen (Fig. 7), bypass synthesis by pol II showed a dramatic dependence on the size of the ssDNA region. Increasing the ssDNA region from 5 nucleotides (AB1m3p3) to 9 nucleotides (AB1m3p7) increased bypass by up to 16-fold (0.2% versus 3.2% bypass, respectively, for the 10-min time point). Bypass on the primed ssDNA (AB1m3), which contained a single-stranded region 23 nucleotides long, was 5.8% under the same conditions, 1.8-fold higher than on AB1m3p7. Similar differences were observed also in the presence of salt (Fig. 7). These differences may be due to stronger binding of the polymerase to the longer ssDNA region, which led to increased bypass.

Bypass Synthesis Increases in the Absence of the Proofreading Exonuclease Activity—In order to examine the role of the 3′ → 5′ proofreading exonuclease activity in bypass synthesis, a mutant pol II deficient in the 3′ → 5′ exonuclease was used. As can be seen (Fig. 8), the rate of bypass synthesis by the mutant polymerase was 15-fold higher than with the wild-type polymerase. A similar result was observed with the second template (AB1), both in the absence and presence of salt (Fig. 9). Notice that the two polymerases gave rise to different distributions of bypass products on template AB1 (Figs. 8 and 9). The mutant, but not the wild-type polymerase exhibited a major pause as it approached the end of the gap on template AB1, with a major pause product of 26 nucleotides, marking the end of the gap. This suggests that the mutant polymerase performs strand displacement slower than the wild-type polymerase. The highly increased bypass capacity of the mutant polymerase was also observed in the presence of 0.1 M sodium or potassium glutamate, and the general patterns of bypass products were similar (Fig. 9A). Overall, the percentage of shorter bypass products was 2–3-fold higher with the mutant polymerase as compared to the wild-type enzyme. Interestingly, although there was a significant reduction in the full-length bypass products in the presence of salt, there was only a marginal effect on the overall bypass. This was because a larger proportion of bypass products were terminated at the border of the gap. Thus, salt has little effect on bypass by the mutant polymerase, but it inhibited strand displacement, possibly due to stabilization of the duplex structure under higher ionic strength conditions (Fig. 9).

Comparison of Bypass Synthesis by DNA Polymerases I, II, and III—DNA polymerase III in its holoenzyme form, the major replication machine, is composed of at least 10 subunits, and has very high processivity (33, 34). Since we were studying the filling-in of short ssDNA gaps, we used pol III core, rather than the holoenzyme. Polymerase III core is a tight complex composed of three subunits: α, containing the polymerase catalytic site (35), ε, carrying the proofreading 3′ → 5′ exonuclease (36), and δ, for which there is no assigned function yet (29). Pol III core is present in 40 copies/cell, in excess over the holoenzyme, estimated to be present at 8 copies/cell (34). It can be
purified as an independent entity from extracts of *E. coli* (37), and it may function also in the absence of the other accessory subunits (19).

We have compared bypass synthesis, side by side, by each of the three DNA polymerases of *E. coli*. This was done on template AB1m3p7, both in the absence and presence of 0.1M potassium glutamate. A scan can be seen in Fig. 10, pol III core was able to bypass the abasic site analog, albeit at a low rate (0.5% bypass at 20 min). On this particular template, in the absence of salt, bypass by pol I was fastest. It was 2-fold faster than by pol II, and 35-fold faster than by pol III core (Fig. 10B). This picture changed dramatically in the presence of 0.1M potassium glutamate. No bypass was observed with pol III core, bypass by pol I was inhibited 20–30-fold, whereas the rate of bypass by pol II was only slightly affected (Fig. 9C). Thus, under physiological salt conditions, only pol II is capable of bypass synthesis. It should be pointed out, however, that the *in vivo* significance of this result is not straightforward, since the intracellular environment, and in particular interactions with other proteins may affect the final activities of the polymerases.

**Bypass Synthesis by DNA Polymerases I, II, and III Increases Dramatically in the Absence of Proofreading**—We compared the bypass properties of the three DNA polymerases in the absence of proofreading. We used the pol II mutant described above, and an exonuclease-defective mutant of the Klenow fragment of pol I. Instead of pol III core, we used the α subunit of pol III, which contains the polymerase catalytic site, but not the exonuclease active site (35). Each of the exonuclease-deficient polymerases was much more efficient in bypass synthesis than its cognate wild-type enzyme (Fig. 11). This includes the α subunit of pol III, that exhibited a rate of bypass 20–25-fold higher than by pol III core (Fig. 11C). The α subunit synthesized bypass products that were shorter than those synthesized by the other polymerases (mostly 22–26 nucleotides long; Fig. 11A), most likely due to a reduced strand displacement activity. With pol I and pol II, the rates of bypass by the exonuclease-deficient polymerases were 54- and 194-fold higher than with the respective wild-type polymerases (Table I). The addition of salt completely inhibited bypass by the α subunit, whereas the effects on pol I and pol II were smaller (inhibition of 2.7- and 1.4-fold, respectively; Fig. 11, Table I). Under these conditions the rates of bypass by the exonuclease-deficient pol I and pol II were 432- and 147-fold higher that with the respective wild-type enzymes (Table I). The differences here were greater than in Fig. 8, most likely due to the higher polymerase and DNA concentrations. Thus, in the absence of proofreading, the rate of bypass synthesis increased dramatically both in the absence and presence of 0.1 M potassium glutamate.

**DISCUSSION**

This study establishes the capability of each of the three DNA polymerases of *E. coli* to bypass an abasic site analog, unassisted by other proteins (Table I). Depending on reaction conditions, the extents of bypass vary considerably and can reach substantial extents of up to 80% (*e.g.* Fig. 11), much higher than previously reported (*e.g.* Ref. 11). Several common bypass principles can be drawn based on our experiments. Like any other enzymatic reaction, bypass synthesis was dependent...
on the concentrations of the polymerase, and the two substrates: the DNA and the dNTPs. The involvement of a slow bypass step underscores the importance of these parameters; however, they were frequently not given enough attention in bypass studies for technical reasons. The concentration of the damaged DNA is often kept low in bypass experiments because of quantity limitations, and polymerase concentration is often kept low because of the same reason, or due to interference by associated activities (e.g., the 5' → 3' exonuclease activity of pol I) or by impurities present in the polymerase preparation. Notably, the intracellular concentration of pol I in E. coli was estimated to be 500–700 nM (34), whereas 10–100-fold lower concentrations were usually used in bypass studies. The importance of substrates and enzyme concentrations can be illustrated by the conflicting reports on the ability of the Klenow fragment to bypass a site-specific acetyaminofluorene-guanine adduct. The investigators who reported that bypass was not

Fig. 9. Salt inhibits bypass synthesis by pol II but not by an exonuclease-deficient mutant of pol II. A, a mutant of pol II (56 nM) deficient in the 5' → 3' proofreading exonuclease activity was used in replication on gapped duplex AB1m3p7 (35 nM) under the same reaction conditions described in Fig. 3 and in the presence of salts. Reactions were carried out at 30 °C for the indicated time periods. Replication products were separated by PAGE on a 20% sequencing gel containing urea and visualized by a phosphorimager. Lane M contains oligonucleotide markers 17 and 40 bases long. The 40-mer marker was the non-modified template. It migrates slower than the full-length bypass product because of sequence differences. B, quantification of the image shown in A was done by scanning with a phosphorimager. Dotted bar, no salt; dark gray bar, 100 mM potassium glutamate; light gray bar, 100 mM sodium glutamate.

Fig. 10. Comparison of bypass synthesis by DNA polymerases I, II, and III core. A, a time course of replication by pol I, pol II, and pol III core on gapped duplex AB1m3p7. The reaction mixture contained buffer B, 8 mM MgCl₂, 0.5 mM dNTP, 100 nM gapped duplex AB1m3p7, and 150 nM of the indicated polymerase. Reactions were carried out at 30 °C for the indicated time periods. Replication products were separated by PAGE on a 20% sequencing gel containing urea and visualized by a phosphorimager. Lane M contains oligonucleotide markers 17 and 40 bases long. The 40-mer marker was the non-modified template. It migrates slower than the full-length bypass product because of sequence differences. B, quantification of the image shown in A was done by scanning with a phosphorimager. C, comparison of bypass synthesis by DNA polymerases I, II, and III core in the presence of 100 mM potassium glutamate. Squares, pol I; circles, pol II; triangles, pol III core.

---

2 T. Paz-Elizur and Z. Livneh, unpublished observation.
observed (38) used a 100-fold lower concentration of DNA, a 10-fold lower concentration of enzyme, and a 2.5-fold lower concentration of dNTPs than the investigators who reported a significant extent of bypass (13).

In addition to these factors, which are common to all enzymatic reactions, several other parameters were found to have a substantial effect on bypass synthesis. The structure of DNA affected bypass in two ways. The DNA sequence context of the lesion can have a considerable effect on bypass, as illustrated by the fact that bypass by pol II on template AB2 was 2.5–4-fold faster than on template AB1. The reasons for these differences are not understood. For a gapped duplex substrate, the structure of the gap can greatly affect bypass synthesis, as indicated by the 16-fold variation in bypass by pol II. The critical parameter seems to be the distance of the 5' terminus of the downstream oligonucleotide from the lesion, which needs to be of a minimal length in order to ensure optimal bypass. Due to the length limitation of the substrates used in our studies, we could not cover a wide range of ssDNA gaps. However, it seems that a ssDNA region of around 10 nucleotides downstream to the lesion is optimal for bypass, at least for pol II. This size, which is similar to the size of a nucleotide excision repair gap (39), may represent an optimal binding site for pol II.

The idea that inhibition of the proofreading of DNA polymerases is needed to enable bypass synthesis was suggested 18 years ago by Radman and colleagues (40). They reasoned, based on the extensive turnover of dNTPs on UV-irradiated DNA, that nucleotides incorporated opposite a damaged nucleotide are partially mismatched and are thus excised by the proofreading exonuclease activity of the polymerase. They proposed that, in the presence of proofreading, the polymerase idles opposite the lesion by performing repeated cycles of addition and excision of the newly added nucleotide, without being able to bypass the lesion. It is clear from our studies, as well as from studies performed by others, that bypass occurs in the presence of normal proofreading and thus inhibition of proofreading is not a prerequisite for bypass. However, the present quantification results of the comparison of bypass synthesis without salts or with 100 mM potassium glutamate by exonuclease-deficient mutants of the Klenow fragment of pol I and of pol II. Squares, pol I; circles, pol II; solid symbol, no salt; open symbol, 100 mM potassium glutamate. C, comparison of bypass synthesis by DNA polymerase III core and its α subunit. Solid circles, pol III core; solid triangles, the α subunit of pol III; open triangles, the α subunit of pol III with 100 mM potassium glutamate.

### Table I
Comparison of bypass synthesis of E. coli DNA polymerases through an abasic site analog

| Wild-type polymerase | Exonuclease-deficient polymerase |
|----------------------|----------------------------------|
| No salt + K glu      | No salt + K glu                  |
| **fmol lesions bypassed/min** |                                |
| pol I                | 39.5                             |
| pol II               | 18.0                             |
| pol III core         | 1.3, 0.1                         | 2.4, 0.1 |
study shows clearly that bypass by each of the E. coli DNA polymerases was dramatically increased (20–400-fold) in the absence of proofreading (Figs. 8, 9, and 11 and Table I).

Previous studies have demonstrated increased bypass in the absence of proofreading by the Klenow fragment of pol I on templates containing a thymine glycol (14), and an acetylamino-fluorene adduct (13), and by the phage T7 DNA polymerase on a template containing acetylamino-fluorene modified guanines (41). The absence of bypass by an exonuclease-deficient derivative of the Klenow fragment of pol I, and by the α subunit of pol III on a site-specific acetylamino-fluorene-guanine adduct (38) was caused most likely by the use of overly low enzyme, dNTP, and DNA concentrations.

Since extension past the lesion seems to be slow for several DNA polymerases (10, 41, 42), the critical parameter might be the relation between the residence time of the polymerase at the damaged site, and the rate of excision opposite the damaged site. If the residence time is long compared to the rate of excision, inhibition of excision is expected to have a profound effect on bypass synthesis by maintaining the primer terminus opposite the lesion available to repeated extension attempts. These might be the cases presented in the current study. If the residence time is short relative to the excision rate, like was suggested for pol III holoenzyme, inhibition of exo-nuclease activity might not suffice without additional factors that would stabilize the polymerase-DNA interaction, and thus increase residence time (1, 42–44).

The DNA substrate used in our studies was a synthetic gapped duplex DNA containing a site-specific abasic site analog in the ssDNA region. The examination of bypass synthesis in a duplex containing short ssDNA gaps was motivated by our discovery of a UV mutagenesis pathway associated with nucleotide excision repair gaps (17, 18). The minimal components for this mutagenesis pathway were recently identified in a reconstituted system (UvrA, UvrB, UvrC, and DNA helicase II).

Bypass synthesis is thought to be the key step in mutagenesis associated with DNA damage. In vitro this process depends indirectly to inhibit competing reactions, e.g. recombinational repair (45). Alternatively, the UmuD/C and RecA proteins may serve as accessory loading factors that stabilize the binding of the polymerase to DNA, thus enabling bypass under unfavorable conditions (e.g. low polymerase concentration). The bypass system developed in this study is currently used to test these ideas.
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