Characterization of SV-40 Tag rats as a model to study prostate cancer
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Abstract

Background: Prostate cancer is the second most frequently diagnosed cancer in men. Animal models that closely mimic clinical disease in humans are invaluable tools in the fight against prostate cancer. Recently, a Simian Virus-40 T-antigen (SV-40 Tag) targeted probasin promoter rat model was developed. This model, however, has not been extensively characterized; hence we have investigated the ontogeny of prostate cancer and determined the role of sex steroid receptor and insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1) signaling proteins in the novel SV-40 Tag rat.

Methods: The SV-40 Tag rat was histopathologically characterized for time to tumor development, incidence and multiplicity and in the ventral, dorsal, lateral and anterior lobes of the prostate. Immunoassay techniques were employed to measure cell proliferation, apoptosis, and sex steroid receptor and growth factor signaling-related proteins. Steroid hormone concentrations were measured via coated well enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kits.

Results: Prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia (PIN) and well-differentiated prostate cancer developed as early as 2 and 10 weeks of age, respectively in the ventral prostate (VP) followed by in the dorsolateral (DLP). At 8 weeks of age, testosterone and dihydrotestosterone (DHT) concentrations in SV-40 Tag rats were increased when compared to non-transgenic rats. High cell proliferation and apoptotic indices were found in VP and DLP of transgenic rats. Furthermore, we observed increased protein expression of androgen receptor, IGF-1, IGF-1 receptor, and extracellular signal-regulated kinases in the prostates of SV-40 Tag rats.

Conclusion: The rapid development of PIN and prostate cancer in conjunction with the large prostate size makes the SV-40 Tag rat a useful model for studying prostate cancer. This study provides evidence of the role of sex steroid and growth factor proteins in prostate cancer development and defines appropriate windows of opportunity for preclinical trials and aids in the rational design of chemoprevention, intervention, regression, and therapeutic studies using prostate cancer rodent models.
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Background
Prostate cancer is the second most frequently diagnosed cancer in men, with 782,600 new cases projected to occur in 2007 [1]. It was estimated that 254,000 deaths would occur from prostate cancer in the past year. One of the first means of prostate cancer treatment was androgen deprivation and estrogen administration [2]. Hence, it is known that sex steroids and their receptors play a major role in prostate cancer etiology. The androgen receptor (AR) is believed to participate in prostate cancer progression, including its activation and up-regulation, point mutations, and ligand-independent activation. Testosterone, a ligand for the AR, is secreted primarily by the testes and is subsequently converted to DHT via the enzyme, 5-α-reductase. DHT has a 50-fold higher binding affinity for AR and is 10 times more potent than testosterone [3]. Whether or not elevated blood levels of androgens are a significant risk factor for prostate cancer is open for debate. Some have reported that increased testosterone levels in the blood are associated with an increased risk of prostate cancer [4]. Others have failed to support the “androgen hypothesis” that circulating testosterone and DHT are positively associated with prostate cancer risk [5].

Estrogens and their receptors, including estrogen receptor-alpha (ER-alpha) and estrogen receptor-beta (ER-beta), play an integral role in normal growth, differentiation, and development of the prostate. In a study of over 600 men, low circulating concentrations of estradiol were associated with a decreased risk of prostate cancer [4]. In the past, estrogens have been used to treat prostate cancer because it resulted in prostate growth inhibitory effects. However, toxicity and poor response rate associated with estrogen therapy have hindered its progress in treating prostate cancer. The timing of administration and dose often dictates the type of response produced by estrogens or estrogen-like chemicals [6].

Growth factor signaling also plays a critical role in the growth and development of the prostate, including the proteins of the insulin-like growth factor axis. The two growth factors, IGF-1 and IGF-2, interact with 6 known IGF-binding proteins (IGF-BPs), which regulate binding to the 2 IGF receptors (IGF-1R and IGF-2R). Elevated levels of IGF-1 and decreased levels of IGF-BP3 in the blood serum have been associated with an increased risk of advanced stage prostate cancer [7]. Additional support to the role of IGF-1 and prostate growth can be found in IGF-1 deficient mice that exhibit decreased prostate size [8] and increased rat prostate growth with systemic administration of IGF-1 [9]. The expression of IGF-1R in prostate cancer remains controversial. It has been proposed that reduced IGF-1R action is necessary for prostate cancer progression. Tennant et al. reported that IGF-1R expression is abundant in normal and early-stage tumors, but reduced in advanced and metastatic prostate cancer [10]. The IGF-1 signal transduction cascade ultimately leads to the phosphorylation of intracellular substrates and activation of the mitogen-activated protein kinase/extracellular signal-regulated kinase (MAPK/ERK) pathway. The MAPK/ERK pathway is involved in cell differentiation, cell survival, and cell migration [11]. ERKs, downstream effectors of growth factor and sex steroid receptor signaling, also regulate cell proliferation and apoptosis and participate in prostate carcinogenesis [11]. Furthermore, the MAPK/ERK signaling has the ability to re-activate the AR pathway by a hormone-independent mechanism that may lead to androgen-independent prostate cancer [12].

Animal models that closely mimic clinical disease in humans are invaluable tools in the fight against prostate cancer. The TRAMP model developed in 1995 has been used extensively as a prostate cancer model over the past decade [13]. One limitation of the TRAMP model, however, is that it is mouse-based; therefore, the prostates are very small and necessitate several dissected prostate lobes to allow extensive mechanism of action research at the protein level. Recently, Shirai and colleagues developed another SV-40 Tag targeted probasin promoter rodent model, this one in the Sprague Dawley rat [14]. However, this model has not been extensively characterized. Accordingly, we set out to thoroughly characterize the histopathology of autochthonous prostate cancer in the SV-40 Tag rat.

The goal of this study was to define appropriate windows of opportunity for pre-clinical trials using the SV-40 Tag rat. We report the incidence and pathological changes within the VP and DLP as a function of time throughout the natural history of prostate cancer in SV-40 Tag rats. Furthermore, we evaluated the role of sex steroid receptor and IGF-1 signaling proteins, cell proliferation, and apoptosis in the development of prostate cancer in this model. This study should aid in the rational design of chemoprevention, intervention, regression, and therapeutic studies using prostate cancer rodent models.

Methods
Animals
Animal care and use were conducted according to established guidelines approved by the National Institutes of Health and the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the University of Alabama at Birmingham. Animals were housed in rooms maintained at 24 ± 1°C with a 12 hr light-dark cycle. All animals received powdered phytoestrogen-free AIN-76A diet (Harlan Teklad Global Diets, Wilmington, DE) and tap water.

SV-40 Tag rat breeders were provided for us by Dr. Tomoyuki Shirai of Nagoya City University Medical School via...
Drs. Gail Prins and Steve Swanson of the University of Illinois at Chicago. Heterozygous SV-40 Tag females were crossed with non-transgenic males to generate heterozygous SV-40 Tag male offspring (SV-40 SD females × SD male breeders). Day 21 post-conception females were anesthetized and the offspring were caesarian-derived to yield mycoplasma-free offspring that were used to establish our colony. At 3 weeks of age, the offspring were weaned and tails were clipped. DNA was extracted using a DNeasy Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA), and a PCR-based screening assay was performed to evaluate transgene incorporation [13-15].

Rats were necropsied starting at day 1 post-partum, once per week from 1 to 6 weeks, and every 2 weeks starting at 6 weeks of age and concluding at 40 weeks. At time of dissection, prostates were excised, weighed, and flash frozen in liquid nitrogen. Because it is unclear which lobe in the rodent resembles the human peripheral zone, the prostatic location in humans where prostate cancer normally occurs [16], we chose to analyze both the DLP, which has historically been referred to as the homologue of the human prostate [17] and the VP. Blood was collected at sacrifice, centrifuged at 3,000 x g and serum was stored at -80°C until time of analysis.

Histopathology
At necropsy, organs were examined for gross abnormalities. Macro-metastasis to the bone, abdominal wall, lymph nodes, liver, kidney, and lung was investigated. Prostate, testes, seminal vesicles and tumor weights were also recorded. The prostate and organs of suspected metastasis were placed in cassettes, immersed in 10% formalin, dehydrated in a series of alcohol dilutions, fixed in xylene, embedded in paraffin wax, sliced into 5 μm sections, and placed on microscope slides as described by Folkvord et al. [18]. Sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin prior to histopathological examination. Dr. Isam Eltoum, a Board Certified Pathologist, blindly scored each coded sample using the following grading scale developed specifically for rodents [16,19-21]: Grade 1 (non-cancerous), Grade 2 (low-grade PIN), Grade 3 (HG-PIN), Grade 4 (well-differentiated lesion), Grade 5 (moderately differentiated lesion), or Grade 6 (poorly differentiated lesion).

Sex Steroid Hormone Concentrations in Blood Serum
Serum total testosterone, DHT, and estradiol concentrations were measured in the blood serum using coated-well enzyme- and radio-immunoassays (Diagnostic Systems Laboratories, Inc., Webster, TX) as described by the manufacturer. The following kits were used: DSL 10–4000 (total testosterone), DSL 9600 (DHT), and DSL 4800 (estradiol). All samples were run in duplicate with eight samples per group by Dr. Richard Parker (Obstetrics and Gynecology Department, UAB, Birmingham, AL). Standards provided by the manufacturer were used: 0.1–25 ng/mL for total testosterone, 25–2500 pg/mL for DHT, and 20–6000 pg/mL for estradiol. Sensitivity for total testosterone, DHT, and estradiol were 0.04 ng/mL, 4 pg/mL, and 7 pg/mL, respectively.

IGF-1 Concentrations in Blood Serum
IGF-1 concentrations were measured in blood serum using a coated well ELISA kit as described by Diagnostic Systems Laboratories, Inc. All samples were run in duplicate with eight samples per group. Concentration (ng/mL) of samples and controls were determined by plotting the mean absorbance readings of the controls and unknowns against the mean absorbance readings of all standards in a four-parameter curve fit.

Cell Proliferation
Prostate tissues (VP and DLP) were harvested and processed for detecting Ki-67, a marker of cell proliferation as previously described [21]. Slides were viewed using a Nikon Labophot-2 microscope (Nikon Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) and digitally recorded using a Nikon 8.0 Mega Pixels CoolPix 8700 Digital Camera (Nikon). For Ki67 quantitation, epithelial cells were counted using Image J software (Image J, NIH). The VP and DLP were analyzed separately (a minimum of 1,000 cells counted per lobe per slide. The epithelial cells staining positive (brown) for Ki67 were counted as well as the non-proliferative epithelial cells (stained blue). The proliferative index was defined as the number of positively stained epithelial cells divided by the total number of epithelial cells counted × 100. Twenty-eight week old TRAMP prostate tumor with and without Ki67 primary antibody was used as positive and negative controls, respectively.

Apoptosis
The ApopTag® Plus Peroxidase In Situ Apoptosis Detection Kit (Chemicon International, Temecula, CA) was used to measure apoptosis following the manufacturer's instructions. The apoptotic index was defined as the number of epithelial cells stained positive (brown) for apoptosis divided by the total number of epithelial cells counted × 100. Visualization was performed using a Nikon light microscope, Nikon digital camera, and analyzed using Image J software (NIH).

Immunoblot Analyses
When possible, protein expression levels of sex steroid and growth factor receptors and their ligands were measured by western blot analysis as described previously [22]. Tissues (8 biological samples/treatment group) were homogenized in lysis buffer (1% Triton X-100, 10 mM Tris (pH 7.4), 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 1 mM Hepes (pH 7.6), 2 mM Na vanadate, 0.2 mM PMSF, 2 μg/ml leupep-
tin, 2 μg/ml aprotinin). Protein concentration of each sample was determined using the Pierce BCA Protein Assay (Pierce, Rockford, IL). The same quantity of protein from each sample was separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane (BioRad, Hercules, CA). The membranes were blocked and immunoblotted with appropriate antibodies purchased from Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, MA): total Extracellular Regulating Kinases 1 and 2 (total-ERKs 1 and 2) (#9102) and Phosphatase and Tensin Homolog (PTEN) (#9554), and from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA): AR (SC-816), ER-alpha (SC-7207), insulin-like growth factor-1 receptor alpha (IGF-1R-α) (SC-712), phospho-Akt 1/2/3 (SC-7985), and β-actin (SC-47778) was used as a loading control. Positive protein controls purchased from the suppliers of the corresponding antibodies and the use of Kaleidoscope Precision Plus Protein and Pre-stained SDS-PAGE Broad Range standards (BioRad Hercules, CA) were employed to identify the protein of interest. After incubation with HRP-conjugated secondary antibody (Cell Signaling Technology), bands were detected using chemiluminescence (Pierce) and exposed to X-ray radiography film. Band intensity was quantified using scanning densitometry.

**Enzyme Linked Immunosorbent Assays**

IGF-1 protein levels were quantified in the prostate by ELISA as described by Crowther et al. [23] with modifications [22]. Prior to analysis, kinetic curves were set up to establish zero order kinetics. Samples were run in triplicate and the absorbance at 450 nm was read in an OPTI max Microplate reader (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA). Rat liver with and without IGF-1 primary antibody was used as a positive and negative control, respectively.

**Statistics**

Statistical comparisons were performed using two-tailed Students t-test assuming unequal variances for immunoblot analysis and ELISA. Trend analysis was implemented to compare cell proliferation and apoptosis between non-transgenic and SV-40 Tag rats. P < 0.05 was considered to be significant. GraphPad™Prism 5.0 and GraphPad™ InStat 3.0 (San Diego, CA) were used to assist in statistical analysis.

**Results**

**Histopathology**

Via the ontogeny study, we found that precursor lesion and prostate tumor progression was more rapid in the VP than the DLP of SV-40 Tag rats (Table 1). Prostates were graded normal (Grade 1) from day 1 through 1 week post-partum. However, low-grade PIN (Grade 2) quickly developed as early as 2 weeks of age in the VP and DLP. High-grade PIN (Grade 3), the precursor to prostate adenocarci-

| Age   | n   | Ventral Prostate | Dorsolateral prostate |
|-------|-----|------------------|-----------------------|
| 1 day | 2   | 1, 1            | 1, 1                  |
| 1 week| 4   | 1, 1, 1, 1      | 1, 1, 1, 1            |
| 2 weeks| 6  | 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2| 1, 1, 2, 2, 2         |
| 3 weeks| 2  | 2, 2            | 2, 2                  |
| 4 weeks| 2  | 3, 3            | 2, 2                  |
| 5 weeks| 4  | 2, 2, 3, 3      | 1, 2, 2, 3            |
| 6 weeks| 3  | 3, 3, 3        | 3, 3                  |
| 8 weeks| 5  | 3, 3, 3, 3, 3  | 3, 3, 3, 3            |
| 10 weeks| 5  | 3, 3, 3, 4, 4  | 3, 3, 3, 3            |
| 12 weeks| 5  | 4, 4, 4, 4, 5  | 3, 3, 3, 3            |
| 14 weeks| 5  | 4, 4, 4, 4, 4  | 4, 4, 4, 4            |
| 16 weeks| 5  | 4, 5, 5, 5, 5  | 3, 4, 4, 4, 4         |
| 18 weeks| 5  | 4, 5, 5, 5, 5  | 3, 4, 4, 4, 4         |
| 20 weeks| 4  | 5, 5, 5        | 4, 4, 4, 4            |
| 22 weeks| 4  | 5, 5, 5, 5, 5 | 5, 5, 5, 5            |
| 24 weeks| 4  | 5, 5, 5, 5, 5 | 5, 5, 5, 5            |
| 26 weeks| 4  | 5, 5, 5, 6    | 3, 5, 6, 6            |
| 28 weeks| 3  | 5, 6, 6        | 5, 5, 6               |
| 30 weeks| 3  | 4, 6, 6        | 4, 6, 6               |
| 32 weeks| 3  | 5, 5, 5        | 5, 5, 5               |
| 36 weeks| 3  | 5, 5, 5        | 5, 5, 5               |
| 40 weeks| 4  | 5, 5, 5, 5, 5 | 5, 5, 5, 5            |

Table 1: Histopathological analysis of the ventral and dorso lateral prostate lobes of SV-40 Tag rats fed control AIN-76A diet

Tissue sections were evaluated for histopathological scores of 1 (no tumor), 2 (low grade PIN), 3 (high-grade PIN), 4 (well-differentiated tumor), 5 (moderately differentiated tumor), and 6 (poorly differentiated tumor) depending on the presence and progression of lesions. Individual grades are presented for each age group and lobe.
noma, developed as early as 4 and 5 to 6 weeks of age in the VP and DLP, respectively. None of the animals aged 8 weeks or younger developed cancerous lesions (Grade 4 or greater).

The first incidence of prostate cancer (well-differentiated lesions/Grade 4) developed at 10 and 14 weeks of age in the VP and DLP, respectively. By 10 weeks of age, 40% of animals developed prostate cancer in the VP, whereas by 14 weeks of age 100% of animals developed prostate cancer in the DLP. In addition, 100% of the rats had prostate cancer in each lobe by 14 weeks of age. Moderately-differentiated lesions (Grade 5) developed as early as 16 and 22 weeks of age in the VP and DLP, respectively. Poorly-differentiated lesions (Grade 6) developed at 26–30 weeks of age in the VP and DLP. When one lobe demonstrated Grade 6 lesions, the other lobe usually also exhibited Grade 6 lesions. Interestingly, from 32–40 weeks only moderately-differentiated lesions (Grade 5) and not poorly differentiated lesions (Grade 6) were found. Only 5% of SV-40 Tag rats developed macroscopic prostate tumors in which prostate lobes were indistinguishable at dissection (3.18 ± 2.16 grams). In 30 week old SV-40 Tag rats which developed Grade 4–6 lesions without macroscopic tumors, the prostate tissue weighed 1.27 ± 0.19 grams. This is to be compared to age matched non-transgenic rat prostates weighing 1.04 ± 0.20 grams. Metastasis to the pelvic lymph nodes, urethra, or kidneys was observed in less than 5% of SV-40 Tag rats at 30 weeks of age or older. Macro- or micrometastasis was not observed in any animals younger than 30 weeks of age.

**Blood Sex Steroid Concentrations**

Circulating androgens were measured in the blood via immunoassay to investigate their potential role in prostate cancer etiology. In non-transgenic rats peak total testosterone concentrations were found at 12 weeks (Figure 1A). However, in SV-40 Tag rats, peak testosterone concentrations were found at 8 weeks at concentrations that were 4 fold of those in non-transgenic rats a the same age (p < 0.001). This preceded prostate cancer development in the VP by 2 weeks in SV-40 Tag rats (Table 1). Total testosterone in the blood serum of SV-40 Tag rats at 8, 12, 20, and 30 weeks of age were significantly higher by 11-fold, 10-fold, 6-fold, and 3.3-fold, respectively, when compared to concentrations at 4 weeks of age in SV-40 Tag rats (P < 0.05).

In non-transgenic rats, serum DHT concentrations were highest in the prepubertal period, that is, at 4–6 weeks old (Figure 1B). Thereafter, DHT concentrations decreased. In SV-40 Tag rats, serum DHT concentrations were similar at 4–6 weeks, but at 8 weeks, DHT concentrations were found to be significantly increased 2.3-fold in the SV-40 Tag rats when compared to non-transgenic rats at the same age (p < 0.001). Then in SV-40 Tag rats, DHT concentrations progressively decreased. Increasing DHT concentrations at 8 weeks in SV-40 Tag rats accompanied PIN development between 4 to 8 weeks of age (Figure 1B and Table 1). Calculating DHT to testosterone ratios revealed significantly higher values at 4 weeks of age when compared to 8 weeks or older in SV-40 Tag rats and non-transgenic rats (data not shown). At all ages investigated, however, there were no significant differences in DHT to testosterone ratios between transgenic and non-transgenic rats.

---

**Figure 1**

**Androgen concentrations in the blood serum of SV-40 Tag and non-transgenic rats fed AIN-76A diet.** A) Testosterone concentrations. B) Dihydrotestosterone concentrations. Each age group had at least 5 animals per group. Values are presented as the mean ± SEM. In non-transgenic rats, androgen concentrations were not determined at 24 and 30 weeks.
Serum estradiol 17-β concentrations in non-transgenic rats were highest at 6 to 8 weeks, and then gradually decreased (Figure 2). In the SV-40 Tag rats, serum estradiol 17-β concentrations at 4, 6, 8 and 12 weeks were significantly lower than those in non-transgenic rats at these same ages ($P < 0.05$). Thereafter, serum estradiol 17-β from SV-40 Tag rats increased to concentrations similar to those of non-transgenic rats at 20 to 30 weeks. In non-transgenic compared to SV-40 Tag rats, estrogen to testosterone ratios were calculated to be 24 and 5.5 fold higher at 4 and 8 weeks, respectively. At other times that were investigated, the estrogen to testosterone ratios were not significantly different. From 4 weeks through 20 weeks, the estrogen to DHT ratios were 2–4 fold higher in non-transgenic compared to SV-40 Tag rats.

IGF-1 Concentrations in Blood Serum

IGF-1 concentrations in the blood of non-transgenic and SV-40 Tag rats were found to be lowest at the youngest age investigated, 4 weeks postpartum (Figure 3). In non-transgenic rats, IGF-1 concentrations increased 2-fold and peaked at 12 weeks, and then gradually decreased with age. In the SV-40 Tag rats, serum IGF-1 concentrations significantly increased by 3-fold between 4 and 6 weeks of age ($P < 0.05$), increased steadily thereafter, and peaked at 20 to 30 weeks of age. Thereafter, serum IGF-1 concentrations significantly decreased by 2.5 fold at 36–40 weeks of peak concentrations. Only at 4 weeks postpartum were serum IGF-1 concentrations found to be significantly different between SV-40 Tag and non-transgenic rats with the concentrations being two fold less in the SV-40 Tag rats ($P < 0.05$).

Cell Proliferation and Apoptosis

Cell proliferation and apoptosis were investigated at different ages to gain insight into critical stages of prostate cancer development. Trend analysis demonstrated that starting at 2 weeks postpartum, cell proliferative indices were significantly higher in the VP and DLP of SV-40 Tag rats than in non-transgenic rats ($P < 0.05$) (Figure 4). At
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**Figure 2**

**Estrogen concentrations in the blood serum of SV-40 Tag and non-transgenic rats fed AIN-76A diet.** Each age group had at least 5 animals per group. Values are presented as the mean ± SEM.
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**Figure 3**

**Insulin-like Growth Factor-1 concentrations in the blood serum of SV-40 Tag and non-transgenic rats fed AIN-76A diet.** Each age group had at least 5 animals per group. Values are presented as the mean ± SEM.
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**Figure 4**

**Cell proliferation as determined by immunohistochemical staining of Ki-67 in the ventral and dorsolateral prostates of SV-40 Tag and non-transgenic rats fed AIN-76A diet.** Each age group had at least 3 transgenic and 2 non-transgenic animals per group. Values are presented as total number of proliferating epithelial cells divided by total number of epithelial cells $\times 100$ ± SEM. Picture inserts are examples of brown staining for Ki-67 in ventral prostates of 32 week old rats. A) Example of rare proliferating cells (brown staining – arrow) for Ki-67 in ventral prostate from 12 week old non-transgenic rat. B) Numerous proliferating Ki-67 positive cells (brown stain) in ventral prostate of a SV-40 Tag rat; a prostatic duct with high grade intra-epithelial lesion showing marked tufting, papillary formation, nuclear crowding and high nuclear cytoplasmic ratio.
10 weeks of age, when 100% of the rats had developed high grade-PIN, cell proliferation was 7-fold and 13 fold higher in the VP and DLP of SV-40 Tag rats than in those of non-transgenic rats. Furthermore, at 12 weeks of age, the cell proliferative indices in the VP and DLP of SV-40 Tag rats were 50 fold and 4.5 fold higher than those in non-transgenic rats. The increase in cell proliferation in SV-40 Tag versus non-transgenic rat prostate continued through the time of necropsy at 30–32 weeks where the proliferation indices were 54 and 51 fold higher in the VP and DLP, respectively. The picture inserts in Figure 4 illustrate typical staining for the Ki-67 protein in the VP of 12 week old SV-40 Tag and non-transgenic rats.

At all ages investigated, apoptotic indices were higher in VP and DLP of SV-40 rats than in non-transgenic rats. At 10 weeks of age, apoptotic index in the VP of SV-40 Tag rats was 3.8% compared to less than 0.01% in the VP of non-transgenic rats (Figure 5). The lowest apoptotic indices in the VP of SV-40 Tag rats were observed between 12–14 weeks of age, the stretch of time in which 100% of animals develop prostate cancer. The picture inserts illustrate typical staining for apoptotic cells in the VP of 12 week old SV-40 Tag rats and non-transgenic rats.

Discussion
The detailed ontogeny study revealed that prostate cancer in SV-40 Tag rats developed first and most extensively in the VP as contrasted to the TRAMP (mouse) model where prostate cancer is reported to originate in the DP/DLP [20,24]. From our ontogeny study in SV-40 Tag rats, we found that low grade PIN (Grade 2) developed as early as 4 weeks in the VP and at 5 to 6 weeks in the DLP. This is 2 weeks earlier than had been previously reported [14]. High-grade PIN (Grade 3), the precursor to prostate adenocarcinoma, was observed as early as 4 weeks in the VP and at 5 to 6 weeks in the DLP. The first incidence of prostate cancer (well-differentiated lesions – Grade 4) developed at 10 and 14 weeks of age in the VP and DLP, respectively. By 12 weeks of age 100% of SV-40 Tag rats developed prostate cancer in the VP, whereas it was not until 14 weeks of age that 100% animals developed prostate cancer (Grade 4) in the DLP. Hence, this detailed ontogeny study revealed that prostate cancer occurred 3–5 weeks earlier than at 15 weeks of age as previously reported for SV-40 Tag rats [25]. In contrast, PIN and invasive adenocarcinoma developed in TRAMP mice at 10 and 18 weeks of age, respectively [26]. Even though SV-40 Tag rats are larger than TRAMP mice (526 grams and 36 grams, respectively at 30 weeks), prostate tumors are larger in TRAMP mice (7.59 ± 0.1.49 grams) when compared to prostate tumors/lesions in SV-
40 Tag rats (1.71 ± 0.37 grams). Furthermore, when one considers prostate weights in non-transgenic mice (83 ± 9 mg) and non-transgenic rats (1.04 ± 0.20 gram) at 30 weeks, this results in prostate tumor to prostate tissue weight increases of 91 fold in mice and 1.6 fold in rats. The differences in prostate cancer origin, progression and tumor size in these models illustrates the fact that despite both being driven by the probasin/SV-40 Tag transgene, there are stark differences in the development of prostate cancer in these two rodent model.

Based on the ontogeny study, we chose 12 weeks of age as time at which to kill rats for future mechanism of action studies since these animals were transitioning from high grade-PIN to prostate adenocarcinoma. For future chemoprevention studies, we suggest 30 weeks of age to sacrifice rats since the majority of animals at this age have developed poorly differentiated tumors, but not severe morbidity. Animals aged 32–40 weeks were not observed with poorly differentiated tumors, most likely due to the fact that several animals over the age of 30 weeks died because of disease progression and related factors prior to their designated sacrifice date.

Testosterone concentrations were lowest in the youngest animals investigated (4 weeks old), peaked at 8 and 12 weeks in SV-40 Tag and non-transgenic rats, respectively, and declined thereafter to level off at 24 to 30 weeks of age. DHT concentrations were moderately high at 4 weeks of age, peaked at 8 weeks in SV-40 Tag rats, and decreased thereafter, with the lowest concentrations at 24 to 30 weeks. Comparing blood androgen levels at 8 weeks, we found the testosterone and DHT concentrations to be 3.9-fold and 2.3-fold higher in SV-40 Tag rats than in non-transgenic rats. Since the androgen levels peak at 8 weeks, it is quiet plausible that increasing high concentrations of these androgens in the SV-40 Tag rats at time of high grade-PIN facilitates early prostate cancer development (well differentiated lesions). These changes may lay the foundation and provide the optimal environment for further prostate cancer progression.

As reviewed by Prins et al., researchers have speculated that estrogens may play a role in prostate cancer causation and promotion [27]. Our assay of estradiol-17β in blood showed that at 4–12 weeks, non-transgenic rats compared to SV-40 Tag rats had two fold more serum estradiol. Although the estrogen to DHT ratio, but not the estrogen to testosterone ratio, increased in non-transgenic rats and SV-40 Tag rats as a function of age, this ratio was constantly higher in non-transgenic rats compared to SV-40 Tag rats. Therefore, the estrogen to androgen ratio was not correlated with prostate cancer development in the SV-40 Tag rats compared to non-transgenic rats. Testosterone concentrations (free and conjugated testosterone) in the blood serum were consistent with previously reported levels in SV-40 Tag rats [28-30], whereas DHT and estradiol concentrations in SV-40 Tag rats have yet to be published. Moreover, testosterone and DHT concentrations, as well as DHT to testosterone ratios in the blood serum of Sprague Dawley non-transgenic rats, were within range of previously reported values [31-33].

Elevated levels of blood IGF-1 have been associated with an increased risk of advanced stage prostate cancer [7,34-36]. Contrary to what might be expected, serum IGF-1 lev-

### Table 2: Biomarker protein expressions in the prostate lobes of 12 week old non-transgenic and SV-40 Tag rats

|                       | Non-transgenic Ventral Prostate | SV-40 Tag Ventral Prostate | Non-transgenic Dorsolateral Prostate | SV-40 Tag Dorsolateral Prostate |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Androgen Receptor     | 100 ± 12                         | 185 ± 22*                   | 100 ± 7                             | 116 ± 11                         |
| Estrogen Receptor-alpha| 100 ± 6                          | 49 ± 7*                     | 100 ± 9                             | 51 ± 7*                          |
| Insulin-like Growth Factor-I| 100 ± 3                      | 110 ± 4*                    | 100 ± 2                             | 146 ± 6*                          |
| Insulin-like Growth Factor-I-alpha Receptor| 100 ± 3                  | 253 ± 32*                   | 100 ± 6                             | 138 ± 3*                          |
| Total-Extracellular Regulating Kinase-I| 100 ± 5                | 302 ± 64*                   | 100 ± 19                            | 174 ± 21*                          |
| Total-Extracellular Regulating Kinase-2| 100 ± 4                | 298 ± 58**                  | 100 ± 16                            | 157 ± 16**                          |

Protein expressions were determined by immunoblot analysis, except for IGF-1 levels that were determined by ELISA (n = 6 per group). Protein expressions for non-transgenic rats were set to 100 and those of transgenic rats are presented as percent of non-transgenic rats ± SEM. *P < 0.05 and **P < 0.01 compared to non-transgenic rats by two-tailed Students t test.
els were not the highest later in life during the progression to poorly differentiated (advanced) prostate cancer in the SV-40 Tag rats. Despite a poorly differentiated tumor frequency of 66% at 30 weeks of age, serum IGF-1 concentrations gradually declined after 30 weeks of age. The lowest post-pubertal levels were noted in the oldest animals (40 weeks of age). IGF-1 levels in the blood serum of SV-40 Tag rats during postpartum were roughly twice as expensive as mice, the ability to use fewer animals. Although the cost of housing rats is higher than in the former (31 ± 2 mg), allowing for a greater number of mechanistic action experiments to be conducted using fewer animals. Although the cost of housing rats is roughly twice as expensive as mice, the ability to use fewer animals reduces the overall cost in the long run.

The SV-40 Tag rat displays other unique characteristics including reported complete androgen dependence, low metastasis, and androgen-independent metastasizing.
taste bud neuroblastomas [43]. In fact, Asamoto et al. reported that castration at 20 weeks of age (after prostate tumors had developed) caused complete regression and involution of these adenocarcinomas and earlier castration at 5 weeks of age completely inhibited prostate adenocarcinomas [14]. More detailed studies are merited to confirm this important characteristic. In contrast, castrated 12 week old TRAMP mice still developed poorly differentiated tumors with metastatic potential [15,44]. When castrated at 4 weeks of age (prior to high grade-PIN development), some TRAMP mice developed androgen-independent prostate cancer. Although the SV-40 Tag rat may never replace the TRAMP model, it is an additional model that can be tailored to specific studies. In the TRAMP model, the ability to progress to androgen independence [15,44,45], allows it to be used to study late stage prostate cancer. On the other hand, because of its reported androgen dependence [14] and the fact that most human prostate cancer is androgen dependent before androgen ablation treatment, the SV-40 Tag rat model could be useful in studying early stage prostate cancer. The models compliment each other. It is currently unknown which lobe in the rat prostate is homologous to the peripheral zone, where prostate cancer occurs in the humans. Therefore, both the DLP and VP should be investigated. Since prostate cancer develops first and to the greatest degree in the DP/DLP of the TRAMP model, it is an ideal model to study prostate cancer in that portion of the prostate. On the other hand, prostate cancer develops first and most extensively in the VP prostate of SV-40 Tag rats, thus providing a basis to study prostate cancer in the VP with this model.
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