Ehrlichia chaffeensis EplA Interaction With Host Cell Protein Disulfide Isomerase Promotes Infection
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Ehrlichia chaffeensis is an obligate intracellular bacterium that invades monocytes to cause the emerging and potentially severe disease, monocytic ehrlichiosis. Ehrlichial invasion of host cells, a process that is essential for the bacterium’s survival and pathogenesis, is incompletely understood. In this study, we identified ECH_0377, henceforth designated as EplA (E. chaffeensis PDI ligand A) as an E. chaffeensis adhesin that interacts with host cell protein disulfide isomerase (PDI) to mediate bacterial entry into host cells. EplA is an outer membrane protein that E. chaffeensis expresses during growth in THP-1 monocytic cells. Canine sera confirmed to be positive for exposure to Ehrlichia spp. recognized recombinant EplA, indicating that it is expressed during infection in vivo. EplA antiserum inhibited the bacterium’s ability to infect monocytes. The EplA-PDI interaction was confirmed via co-immunoprecipitation. Treating host cell surfaces with antibodies that inhibit PDI and/or thioredoxin-1 thiol reductase activity impaired E. chaffeensis infection. Chemical reduction of host cell surfaces, but not bacterial surfaces with tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine (TCEP) restored ehrlichial infectivity in the presence of the PDI-neutralizing antibody. Antisera specific for EplA C-terminal residues 95-104 (EplA<sub>95−104</sub>) or outer membrane protein A amino acids 53-68 (OmpA<sub>53−68</sub>) reduced E. chaffeensis infection of THP-1 cells. Notably, TCEP rescued ehrlichial infectivity of bacteria that had been treated with anti-EplA<sub>95−104</sub>, but not anti-EcOmpA<sub>53−68</sub>. These results demonstrate that EplA contributes to E. chaffeensis infection of monocytic cells by engaging PDI and exploiting the enzyme’s reduction of host cell surface disulfide bonds in an EplA C-terminus-dependent manner and identify EplA<sub>95−104</sub> and EcOmpA<sub>53−68</sub> as novel ehrlichial receptor binding domains.
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INTRODUCTION

Human monocytic ehrlichiosis (HME) is a potentially fatal tick-borne disease first identified in Fort Chaffee, Arkansas in 1986 (Maeda et al., 1987; Anderson et al., 1991; Ismail and Mcbride, 2017). From 2000 to 2017, the number of reported cases in the United States increased by more than 800%. The incidence of HME is greatest in the Southeast and South-central United States...
(Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2020) where in 2017 the reported incidence of the disease was estimated at ~6 cases per million persons. This figure is considered to be an underestimate due to non-compliance with reporting and misdiagnosis. Prospective studies suggest that the incidence of HME in endemic areas may be as high as 100–200 cases per million (Olano et al., 2003; Walker, 2005; Hidalgo et al., 2009; Ismail and Mcbride, 2017). Although the majority of HME cases are reported in the U.S., cases have also been documented in Europe, Asia, South America, and Africa (Walker and Dumler, 1996; Paddock and Childs, 2003). HME presents as an acute non-specific febrile illness. Laboratory abnormalities may include leukopenia, thrombocytopenia, anemia, and elevated serum hepatic transaminases. If diagnosed and treated early, HME typically resolves without complication. In patients that receive delayed or no treatment, complications can develop including meningoencephalitis, respiratory distress syndrome, acute renal failure, and hypotensive shock. HME patients are also susceptible to opportunistic fungal and viral infections. Approximately 50–60% of patients with severe disease require hospitalization. In immunocompromised individuals, HME can be fatal (Ismail and Mcbride, 2017).

The causative agent of HME is *Ehrlichia chaffeensis*, an obligate intracellular bacterium in the *Anaplasmataceae* family that is maintained in nature in a zoonotic cycle between ticks and persistently infected hosts such as white-tailed deer and canids. It is vectored primarily by *Amblyomma americanum*, although other *Amblyomma* spp. and other genera of ticks may also contribute to disease transmission (Starkey et al., 2013; Ismail and Mcbride, 2017). *E. chaffeensis* progresses through a biphasic infection cycle similar to that of other vacuole-adapted obligate intracellular bacteria including other *Ehrlichia* spp., *Anaplasma* spp., *Chlamydia* spp., and *Coxiella burnetti* (Kocan et al., 1984, 1990; Heinzen et al., 1999; Zhang et al., 2007; Troese and Carlyon, 2009; Fischer and Rudel, 2018). The infectious dense-cored (DC) form enters host cells via pathogen-orchestrated receptor-mediated uptake to reside within a host cell-derived vacuole that avoids lysosomal fusion. The DC transitions to the non-infectious reticulate cell (RC) form that divides by binary fission. RCs convert to DCs that subsequently exit to reinfect the infection cycle (Zhang et al., 2007). While some *E. chaffeensis* adhesins and host cell receptors have been discerned (Popov et al., 2000; Cheng et al., 2011; Mohan Kumar et al., 2013, 2015), disrupting these interactions fails to ablate infection. Thus, the full complement of adhesin-receptor pairs and how they mechanistically drive ehrlichial cellular entry into monocytic cells are incompletely defined.

Protein disulfide isomerase (PDI), a member of the thioredoxin superfamily of redox proteins, is emerging as a commonly-utilized receptor for infection by intracellular pathogens. PDI is expressed in nearly all mammalian cell types and performs thiol-disulfide oxidoreductase, disulfide isomerase, and redox-dependent chaperone activities. It is enriched in the endoplasmic reticulum, but is also found in the nucleus, cytoplasm, and at the cell surface (Ali Khan and Mutus, 2014). PDI at the cell surface functions exclusively as a thiol reductase (Jiang et al., 1999; Zai et al., 1999; Gallina et al., 2002), and this activity is important for internalization into host cells by HIV, Dengue virus, *Leishmania chagasi*, *Chlamydia trachomatis*, and another *Anaplasmataceae* member, *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* (Barbouche et al., 2003; Ou and Silver, 2006; Abromaitis and Stephens, 2009; Santos et al., 2009; Reiser et al., 2012; Stantchev et al., 2012; Wan et al., 2012; Diwaker et al., 2015; Green et al., 2020). The *A. phagocytophilum* adhesin, Asp14 (14-kDa *A. phagocytophilum* surface protein) engages PDI on myeloid cell surfaces to bring the pathogen in sufficient proximity to the enzyme such that it reduces bacterial surface disulfide bridges as a critical step in infection (Green et al., 2020).

Here, we report that the *E. chaffeensis* Asp14 ortholog, ECH_0377, hereafter designated as EplA (*E. chaffeensis* PDI ligand A), interacts with PDI to enable pathogen entry into monocytic cells. Thiol reduction of the host but not ehrlichial surface benefits infection, indicating that bacterial entry mechanisms promoted by EplA and Asp14 interactions with PDI are unique from each other. Antisera specific for the EplA C-terminus significantly inhibits *E. chaffeensis* of THP-1 cells. These data identify EplA as an *E. chaffeensis* adhesin, define how it facilitates cellular invasion, and delineate its functional domain.

**RESULTS**

**EplA, an Ortholog of *A. phagocytophilum* Asp14, Is a Surface-Localized Protein that *E. chaffeensis* Expresses During Infection of Monocytic Cells and in *Ehrlichia* spp.-Infected Dogs**

EplA is predicted to be a 12.0-kDa protein that is 104 amino acids in length (Hotopp et al., 2006). Figure 1 presents an alignment of EplA and its homologs, Asp14 and *Ehrlichia canis* tajik str. Ecaj_0636. EplA exhibits 28.2% identity and 66.9% similarity to Asp14 and 76.7% identity and 92.3% similarity to Ecaj_0636. EplA residues 95-104 and Ecaj_0636 amino acids 89-98 align with Asp14 residues 113-124 (Asp14113–124) that constitute the adhesin’s PDI binding domain (Green et al., 2020). Because of the importance of Asp14 to *A. phagocytophilum* infectivity (Kahlon et al., 2013; Green et al., 2020), the relevance of EplA to *E. chaffeensis* pathogenesis was examined. As a first step, His-tagged EplA was expressed in *E. coli*, purified, and used as an immunogen to generate rat polyclonal antisera, the specificity of which was confirmed via Western blot analysis. Anti-EplA recognized His-EplA, but not His-tagged versions of the *E. chaffeensis* P28 outer membrane protein (OMP) or *Borrelia burgdorferi* decorin binding protein A (Figure 2A). Anti-EplA detected bands with apparent molecular weights of 13.4, 47.8, and 48.5 kDa in Western-blotted lysates of *E. chaffeensis*, but not uninfected THP-1 cells (Figure 2B). The larger bands detected by EplA antiserum could be multimeric complexes that contain EplA. Consistent with this possibility, Asp14 migrates as both a 14.0-kDa band that is consistent with its predicted molecular weight and as part of a multimeric complex...
even when resolved under denaturing conditions (Kahlon et al., 2013). Anti-EplA also detected two molecular weight bands in *E. chaffeensis* and uninfected THP-1 lysates, which presumably correspond to host proteins that were recovered with the bacterial proteins following homogenization and non-specifically detected by the antiserum. As a control, P28 antiserum detected only bands in *E. chaffeensis* lysates. Anti-EplA immunolabeling of intracellular *E. chaffeensis* in THP-1 cells yielded a punctate pattern on the periphery of intracellular bacteria that was similar to that achieved using P28 antiserum (Figure 2C).

EplA has 12 lysine and six arginine residues distributed throughout its sequence (Figure 1A), making it susceptible to trypsin digestion. If EplA or domains thereof are present on the ehrlichial surface, then exposure of intact DC organisms to trypsin should proteolytically cleave EplA at these sites and consequently reduce one’s ability to detect it by immunoblot. This method has been used to validate surface localization of multiple *A. phagocytophilum* and *Chlamydia trachomatis* proteins (Wang et al., 2006; Ojogun et al., 2012; Kahlon et al., 2013; Seidman et al., 2014). Trypsin-treated DC bacteria were solubilized, Western-blotted, and probed with antiserum against EplA, P28, and *E. chaffeensis* DnaK, a chaperone expected to be inaccessible to trypsin (Zhang et al., 2013). Following surface trypsinolysis, immunosignal for P28 as well as the EplA bands, but not DnaK was pronouncedly reduced (Figure 2D). Next, to determine if EplA is expressed and elicits a humoral immune response during natural infection, a panel of sera from client-owned dogs that had previously tested positive for *Ehrlichia* spp. infection or serum from an uninfected dog was screened in an ELISA against His-EplA. All *Ehrlichia* spp.-positive sera recognized recombinant EplA (Figure 2E). These data implicate EplA as a surface-localized protein that *E. chaffeensis* expresses during infection of monocytic host cells in tissue culture and that it or its orthologs are expressed during natural *Ehrlichia* spp. infection in dogs.

### EplA Contributes to *E. chaffeensis* Infection of Host Cells and Interacts With PDI

To investigate if EplA participates in *E. chaffeensis* infection of monocytic cells, DC organisms were treated with heat-inactivated EplA antiserum followed by incubation with THP-1 cells, which have been previously verified to have PDI on their surfaces (Langer et al., 2013). At 24h, the cells were examined by immunofluorescence microscopy for the presence of *E. chaffeensis*-containing vacuoles (EcVs). Anti-EplA reduced the percentage of infected cells and mean number of EcVs by ~30% (Figures 3A,B), thereby confirming that EplA contributes to cellular invasion. Next, the ability of EplA to bind PDI was assessed. Flag-PDI was co-expressed in HEK-293T cells with GFP-EplA, GFP-Asp14 as a positive control, or GFP alone as a negative control. The cells were lysed and incubated with Flag antibody-coated beads to immunoprecipitate Flag-PDI and interacting proteins. Flag-PDI co-immunoprecipitated GFP-EplA and GFP-Asp14, but not GFP (Figure 4). Thus, EplA is capable of interacting with PDI.

### Host Cell Surface PDI and Thioredoxin-1 Thiol Reductase Activity Benefits *E. chaffeensis* Infection

Asp14 binding to PDI exploits its thiol reductase activity to facilitate *A. phagocytophilum* cellular invasion (Green et al., 2020). Thioredoxin-1 (Trx1) is another cell surface reductase that contributes to *A. phagocytophilum* infection. It was therefore examined if PDI and Trx1 enzymatic activities are important for *E. chaffeensis* infection. THP-1 cells were treated with monoclonal antibody BD34, which catalytically neutralizes PDI (Popescu et al., 2010), or 2B1, a Trx1-specific antibody that
FIGURE 2 | EplA is expressed during *E. chaffeensis* infection of monocytic cells *in vitro* and during natural ehrlichial *in vivo* infection. (A) Confirmation of EplA antiserum specificity. Antibody against the 6X-His tag (αHis) or rat antiserum raised against His-tagged EplA (αEplA) was used to screen Western-blotted nickel affinity-purified His-P28, His-EplA, and His-DbpA. (B) *E. chaffeensis* expresses EplA during infection of monocytic cells. Antisera raised against His-P28 or His-EplA
inhibits Trx1 activity-dependent HIV cellular entry (Stantchev et al., 2012). Both antibodies also antagonize *A. phagocytophilum* infection (Green et al., 2020). The THP-1 cells were incubated with *E. chaffeensis* DC bacteria and examined for EcVs 24 h later. As a positive control for the inhibition of infection, BD34-, or 2B1-treated HL-60 cells were incubated with *A. phagocytophilum* and assessed in parallel. Relative to isotype control, BD34 and 2B1 significantly inhibited infection by both pathogens (Figures 5A,B). To further confirm that disulfide bond reduction benefits *E. chaffeensis* infection, THP-1 cells were treated with BD34, 2B1, or isotype control and incubated with DC organisms in the presence of tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine-HCl (TCEP) or vehicle. TCEP is a membrane-impermeable reducing agent that reduces disulfide bonds on bacterial and host cells to complement antibody-mediated inactivation of host cell surface thioldeductases (Uehara et al., 2006; Abromaitis and Stephens, 2009; Green et al., 2020). TCEP restored the ability of *E. chaffeensis* to infect THP-1 cells that had been treated with BD34, 2B1, or both antibodies (Figures 5C,D). Thus, PDI and Trx1 reduction of disulfide bonds on the bacterial and/or host cell surface contributes to *E. chaffeensis* infection.

**PDI-Mediated Thioli Reduction at the Host Cell Surface, but Not the Bacterial Surface, Contributes to *E. chaffeensis* Infection**

To determine if PDI-mediated thioli reduction of a host or bacterial surface protein benefits *E. chaffeensis* infection, the TCEP restoration of infectivity assay was repeated with two additional conditions. First, BD34-treated THP-1 cells were exposed to TCEP to reduce disulfide bonds exclusively on host cell surfaces followed by PBS washing to remove TCEP and then incubation with untreated *E. chaffeensis* DCs. Second, DCs were exposed to TCEP, washed, and subsequently incubated with BD34-treated THP-1 cells so that only ehrlichial surface disulfide bonds were reduced. TCEP treatment of THP-1 cells alone, but not *E. chaffeensis* alone rescued the bacterium’s ability to infect BD34-treated host cells (Figure 6). These results demonstrate that PDI-catalyzed disulfide bond reduction of one or more host cell surface proteins is important for *E. chaffeensis* infection.

**Antibody Specific for the EplA C-Terminus Impairs the Ability of *E. chaffeensis* to co-opt PDI Thiol Reductase Activity**

The ability of *A. phagocytophilum* Asp14 to engage PDI and co-opt its thiol reductase activity is dependent on its C-terminal domain, which is encompassed by residues 113-124 and exhibits homology to the EplA residues 95-104 (EplA95-104) (Figure 1). Accordingly, it was next examined if EplA95–104 contributes to *E. chaffeensis* infection and if it is important for co-opting host cell surface thiol reductase activity. DC organisms were treated with preimmune serum or antisera specific for EplA, OmpA residues 53-68 (EcOmpA53–68) followed by incubation with THP-1 cells in the presence or absence of TCEP. Anti-EcOmpA53–68 was included as a control because EcOmpA participates in *E. chaffeensis* infection and is homologous to the *A. phagocytophilum* adhesin, OmpA (ApOmpA) (Cheng et al., 2011; Ojogun et al., 2012). The EcOmpA functional domain has not yet been defined, but its residues 53-68 are homologous to the ApOmpA99–71 receptor binding domain (Ojogun et al., 2012; Seidman et al., 2015) (Figure 7A). The specificity of EplA95–104 and EcOmpA53–58 antisera for their respective antigens was confirmed by ELISA (Figure 7B). Each antisera significantly
reduced *E. chaffeensis* infection (Figures 7C,D). However, TCEP restored *E. chaffeensis* infectivity in the presence of anti-EplA95–104, but not anti-EcOmpA33–68. Thus, EplA95–104 and EcOmpA33–68 each contribute to *E. chaffeensis* infection, but only EplA95–104 does so by exploiting host cell surface PDI-mediated disulfide reduction. Additionally, because TCEP did not nullify the ability of EcOmpA33–68 antiseraum to inhibit ehrlichial infection, it can be inferred that the TCEP-mediated rescue of infectivity in the presence of anti-EplA95–104 as well as BD34 and 2B1 was not simply due to antibody inactivation.

**DISCUSSION**

This study demonstrates that EplA binds PDI on monocytic cell surfaces to exploit the enzyme's thiol reductase activity to mediate *E. chaffeensis* cellular invasion. The mechanism is thematically similar to that orchestrated by the EplA *A. phagocytophilum* ortholog, Asp14 (Green et al., 2020). Both adhesins are expressed during natural infection and their PDI binding domains are C-terminally located. However, whereas Asp14 binding to PDI brings it and the *A. phagocytophilum* surface in sufficient proximity to mediate reduction of bacterial OMP disulfide bonds as a critical step in infection (Green et al., 2020), EplA engages PDI to facilitate reduction of host cell surface disulfide bonds to promote infection. Thus, while the two *Anaplasmataceae* adhesins have evolved to co-opt host cell PDI enzymatic activity, they do so by distinct mechanisms. The host and *A. phagocytophilum* proteins that are reduced during EplA- and Asp14-induced invasion, respectively, remain unidentified. The observed reduction in EplA levels following trypanolyis of *E. chaffeensis* DC organisms confirms that EplA is present on the bacterial surface as has been shown for Asp14 (Kahlon et al., 2013). Also, like Asp14, EplA is not predicted to carry a canonical signal sequence or a transmembrane domain (Kahlon et al., 2013). How either adhesin is translocated to or associates with the bacterial outer membrane is unclear. Neither exhibits homology to known crystal structures. EplA and Asp14 might be anchored to the cell wall via a posttranslational modification or could simply be peripheral membrane proteins.

Antibody-mediated neutralization of PDI or Trx1 each inhibits *E. chaffeensis* infection comparably and in a TCEP-reversible manner. Thus, the EplA-PDI interaction could bring the host cell substrate close enough to PDI or Trx1 such that it becomes reduced. Alternatively, EplA could interact with a domain that is shared between the two enzymes. If so, this presumably a conformational domain because, while members of the thioredoxin superfamily do not have a high level of sequence similarity, they are structurally similar (Carvalho et al., 2006). The reduction in the *E. chaffeensis* load achieved by anti-EplA95–104, BD34, 2B1, or both BD34 and 2B1 does not exceed more than ~40%, which supports that this pathogen's cellular invasion is multifactorial and involves redundant/complementary entry routes. Indeed, at least three other *E. chaffeensis* proteins—EtpE (entry triggering protein of *Ehrlichia*), TRP120 (120-kDa tandem-repeat protein), and EcOmpA—also facilitate infection (Popov et al., 2000; Cheng et al., 2011; Mohan Kumar et al., 2013, 2015; Luo et al., 2015). Like EplA, they are expressed by the infectious DC form (Popov et al., 2000; Cheng et al., 2011; Mohan Kumar et al., 2013). Their expression is regulated by the two-component response regulator, CtrA (Cheng et al., 2011; Mohan Kumar et al., 2013). Whether CtrA controls EplA expression is unknown. EtpE engages glycosphatidylinositol-anchored DNase X, CD147, and heterogenous nuclear ribonuclear protein K (hnRNP-K) (Mohan Kumar et al., 2013). The EtpE-hnRNP-K interaction activates neuronal Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome protein to mobilize actin polymerization-dependent *E. chaffeensis* internalization (Mohan Kumar et al., 2015). TRP120 is a multifunctional effector that mediates uptake by activating canonical/β-catenin-dependent and non-canonical/β-catenin-independent Wnt pathways (Luo et al., 2015). EcOmpA is involved in eriblichial cellular adherence and invasion, but its cognate receptor is undefined (Cheng et al., 2011). The identified EtpE and TRP120 receptors are not known to be modified by cell surface PDI. However, PDI, hnRNP-K, and E-selectin, which is a putative *E. chaffeensis* receptor, all localize to lipid rafts in monocytes (Zhang et al., 2003, 2008). *E. chaffeensis* entry into monocytes depends on lipid rafts and GPI-anchored proteins like DNase X (Lin and Rikihisa, 2003; Mohan Kumar et al., 2015). Given that dengue virus utilizes PDI to enter monocytes via lipid rafts (Diwaker et al., 2015), our data and these reports collectively implicate the EplA receptor, PDI, as part of a complex of *E. chaffeensis* receptors that cluster in lipid rafts.

This study identifies EplA95–104 as being important for binding PDI and EcOmpA33–68 as being critical for EcOmpA-mediated infection. EcOmpA33–68 is homologous to *A. phagocytophilum* ApOmpA59–74 and *A. marginale* OmpA33–68, which bind siaiy Lewis x and structurally similar siaiyalated
and fucosylated glycans, respectively (Seidman et al., 2015; Hebert et al., 2017). Considering the similarity among these three domains, perhaps EcOmpA also binds to a similar glycan on monocytes. TCEP nullified the inhibitory effect on *E. chaffeensis* infectivity achieved by anti-EplA<sub>95–104</sub>, but not anti-EcOmpA<sub>53–68</sub> thereby confirming that the ability to co-opt PDI activity is EplA-specific. Nonetheless, these data identify two linear peptide determinants that can be targeted to inhibit *E. chaffeensis* infection. While the data presented herein collectively argue that EplA or EplA<sub>95–104</sub> antibodies inhibit *E. chaffeensis* infection by specifically antagonizing the EplA-PDI interaction, it cannot be ruled out that inhibition could also occur via the antibodies bound to the bacterial surface sterically hindering pathogen-host cell interactions or by promoting Fcγ receptor-mediated phagocytosis by THP-1 cells.

In closing, this report identifies EplA as a novel adhesin that exploits cell surface PDI to promote *E. chaffeensis* infection of monocytes, demonstrates that this general strategy is shared between this pathogen and *A. phagocytophilum* but the two mechanisms are distinct from each other, delineates the EplA and EcOmpA functional domains, and establishes them as potential protective antigens.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Cell Lines and Cultivation of *E. chaffeensis* and *A. phagocytophilum***

*E. chaffeensis* str. Arkansas was kindly provided by Dr. J. Stephen Dumler (Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences). Uninfected non-adherent human monocytic THP-1 cells [TIB-202; American Type Culture Collection (ATCC), Manassas, VA] were cultivated in RPMI 1640 medium containing 1% L-glutamine (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) and supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Gemini Bio-Products, West Sacramento, CA) at 37°C in a humidified incubator in 5% atmospheric CO₂. *E. chaffeensis*-infected THP-1 cells were grown...
The EplA coding sequence and was performed and the His-EplA and Immunoreactive band size was determined using His-P28 were used for generating rat polyclonal antisera as described previously (Izac et al., 2020) and was performed under the approval of the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Virginia Commonwealth University (protocol number AM10000387). Keyhole limpet hemocyanin-conjugated peptides corresponding to EplA95–104 and ECH_0462–68 were synthesized and injected into rabbits to yield monospecific antiserum against each antigen by New England Peptide (Gardner, MA). SDS-PAGE and Western blot analyses were performed as previously described (Viebrock et al., 2014; Evans et al., 2018). Immunoreactive band size was determined using Image Lab 6.0 software (BioRad).

Preparation of E. chaffeensis DC and RC Organisms and Surface Trypsinolysis
Heavily infected (>80%) THP-1 cells and any ehrlichiae in the culture medium were pelleted by centrifugation at 5,200 g for 15 min at 4°C. The pellet was resuspended in 6 ml of ice-cold PBS. To isolate host cell-free E. chaffeensis DC and RC bacteria, the suspension was repeatedly passed through a 27-gauge blunt-ended needle. Bacteria were separated from unbroken host cells and debris by centrifugation at 750 g for 5 min at 4°C. The supernatant was subjected to two rounds of centrifugation at 15,000 g for 15 min at 4°C, each time transferring the supernatant to a new tube. The resulting supernatant was transferred to a new tube and subjected to a centrifugation at 5,200 g for 6 min at 4°C.

Commercial Antibodies, Chemicals, and Reagents
Commercial antibodies were BD34 (product number 610947; BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA); 2B1 (MA1-82452; ThermoFisher Scientific, Rockford, IL); mouse IgG1 isotype control (554121; BD Biosciences); anti-GFP (product number A6455; Invitrogen); anti-Flag (F7425; Invitrogen); Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated goat anti-rat IgG and goat anti-rabbit IgG (A21210 and A11034, respectively; Invitrogen); Alexa Fluor 594-conjugated goat anti-rat IgG (A21471, Invitrogen); horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG, anti-rabbit IgG, and anti-rat IgG (7076, 7074, and 7077, respectively; Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA); and anti-6X-His tag (372900, Invitrogen) M2 Flag affinity resin was purchased from MilliporeSigma (Burlington, MA). TCEP, Lipofectamine 2000, and protein A/G agarose resin were obtained from ThermoFisher Scientific.

GFP-Asp14 and Flag-PDI expressing plasmids were produced previously (Green et al., 2020). A pUC57 vector carrying mammalian codon-optimized DNA sequences encoding full-length EplA (UniProtKB identification number Q2GH86) with flanking 5’ EcoRI and 3’ Sall restriction sites was provided by Genscript (Piscataway, NJ). The insert sequence was excised by restriction enzyme digestion using EcoRI and Sall and ligated into pEGFP-C1 (Clontech, Palo Alto, CA) as described previously (Viebrock et al., 2014). The EplA coding sequence was provided by Genscript in pET45b+ (+) (MilliporeSigma). The constructs encoding His-tagged EplA and P28 were transformed into E. coli BL21 (DE3) for protein production, and purification by immobilized metal-affinity chromatography as described (Mcdowell et al., 2009; Miller et al., 2011). His-EplA and His-P28 were used for generating rat polyclonal antisera as described previously (Izac et al., 2020) and was performed under the approval of the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Virginia Commonwealth University (protocol number AM10000387). Keyhole limpet hemocyanin-conjugated peptides corresponding to EplA95–104 and ECH_0462–68 were synthesized and injected into rabbits to yield monospecific antiserum against each antigen by New England Peptide (Gardner, MA). SDS-PAGE and Western blot analyses were performed as previously described (Viebrock et al., 2014; Evans et al., 2018). Immunoreactive band size was determined using Image Lab 6.0 software (BioRad).

FIGURE 6 | PDI-mediated thiol reduction at the host cell surface contributes to E. chaffeensis infection. THP-1 cells were treated with antibody BD34 to inhibit cell surface PDI activity or with isotype control antibody. The THP-1 cells were then incubated in culture medium containing TCEP for 30 min to reduce host cell surface disulfide bonds or culture medium alone followed by incubation with E. chaffeensis DC bacteria. Alternatively, DC organisms were treated with TCEP for 30 min to reduce ehrlichial surface disulfide bonds followed by PBS washing and then incubation with BD34- or isotype control-treated THP-1 cells. At 24 h, the host cells were examined using immunofluorescence microscopy to determine the percentage of infected cells (A) and number of EcVs per cell (B). Data are the mean ± SD of triplicate samples and are representative of four separate experiments. Statistically significant values are indicated. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001; ****P < 0.0001. Asterisks above columns indicate statistically significant differences in infection relative to isotype control-treated cells, while asterisks over brackets denote statistically significant difference between the indicated pairs.

in RPMI 1640 medium containing 1% L-glutamine and 5% FBS. Uninfected and A. phagocytophilum str. NCH-1-infected HL-60 cells (CCL-240, ATCC) and human embryonic kidney HEK-293T cells were cultured as described previously (Truchan et al., 2016; Green et al., 2020).

Commercial Antibodies, Chemicals, and Reagents
Commercial antibodies were BD34 (product number 610947; BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA); 2B1 (MA1-82452; ThermoFisher Scientific, Rockford, IL); mouse IgG1 isotype control (554121; BD Biosciences); anti-GFP (product number A6455; Invitrogen); anti-Flag (F7425; Invitrogen); Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated goat anti-rat IgG and goat anti-rabbit IgG (A21210 and A11034, respectively; Invitrogen); Alexa Fluor 594-conjugated goat anti-rat IgG (A21471, Invitrogen); horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG, anti-rabbit IgG, and anti-rat IgG (7076, 7074, and 7077, respectively; Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA); and anti-6X-His tag (372900, Invitrogen) M2 Flag affinity resin was purchased from MilliporeSigma (Burlington, MA). TCEP, Lipofectamine 2000, and protein A/G agarose resin were obtained from ThermoFisher Scientific.
4°C. To isolate DC organisms, the infected THP-1 cell suspension in PBS was subjected to four 8-s bursts on ice interspersed with 8-s rest periods using an amplitude setting of 30, which destroys host cells and RCs but not DCs (Huang et al., 2010). The resulting \textit{E. chaffeensis} pellet was washed three times with ice-cold PBS and either resuspended in sample buffer for Western blot analyses or resuspended in PBS and used for cellular infection assays. \textit{E. chaffeensis} DC organisms were subjected to surface trypsinolysis as described previously for \textit{A. phagocytophilum} DC bacteria (Ojogun et al., 2012).

**E. chaffeensis and A. phagocytophilum Cellular Infection Assays**

\textit{E. chaffeensis} DC organisms that had been recovered from \(1 \times 10^6\) infected THP-1 cells were incubated with 250,000 naïve THP-1 cells in 100 µl of culture medium for 1 h at 37°C in a humidified incubator with 5% atmospheric CO\(_2\) with gentle agitation every 10 min. Unbound DCs were removed by washing three times with PBS using 300 g centrifugation steps at room temperature and discarding the supernatant. The cells were resuspended in RPMI 1640 containing 10% (vol/vol) FBS and incubated at 37°C in a humidified incubator with 5% atmospheric CO\(_2\). At 24 h post-infection, the cells were washed, screened with DAPI to label intracellular \textit{E. chaffeensis} bacteria, and examined by immunofluorescence microscopy to determine the percentage of infected cells and number of EcVs per cell as previously described (Ojogun et al., 2012). To determine the relevance of EplA, EplA\(_{95-104}\), and EcOmpA\(_{53-68}\) to infection, \textit{E. chaffeensis} DCs were incubated with antisera specific for these antigens or preimmune serum for 1 h on ice prior to being added to THP-1 cells. To determine the contribution of host cell surface disulphide reductase activity to infection, THP-1 cells were treated with 10 µg ml\(^{-1}\) BD34, 2B1, both antibodies, or isotype control in RPMI 1640 containing 10% (vol/vol) FBS for 1 h at 37°C, followed by incubation with \textit{E. chaffeensis} DC bacteria. In some cases, antibody-treated THP-1 cells were incubated with ehrlichial DCs followed by the addition of TCEP in culture medium to a final concentration of 0.01 mM for 30 min at 37°C prior to PBS washing and processing for immunofluorescence microscopy. In other instances, either antibody-treated THP-1 cells or untreated DCs were incubated in culture medium containing 0.01 mM TCEP at 37°C for 30 min followed by PBS washing and subsequent incubation with each other. Some experiments were performed in parallel in which BD34, 2B1, or isotype control were used to treat HL-60 cells followed by incubation with \textit{A. phagocytophilum} DC organism and subsequent assessment for infection as previously described (Ojogun et al., 2012; Green et al., 2020).
Immunoprecipitation
HEK-293T cells grown in six-well-plates to 80% confluency were co-transfected with 2 μg each of plasmid encoding GFP, GFP-EplA, or GFP-Asp14 together with 2 μg plasmid encoding Flag-PDI using Lipofectamine 2000 as described (Evans et al., 2018). Sixteen hours post-transfection, the cells were lysed and Flag-PDI and interacting proteins were precipitated using Flag-affinity agarose resin (MilliporeSigma) as described (Beyer et al., 2017). Eluates were resolved by SDS-PAGE in 4–20% mini-Protein gels (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) as described (Viebrock et al., 2014). Western blot analyses were performed as described (Evans et al., 2018) using GFP and Flag tag primary antibodies at a 1:1,000 dilution and HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies at a 1:10,000 dilution. Input lysates were subjected to Western blot analysis using GAPDH antibody at a 1:2,500 dilution to confirm that immunoprecipitations were performed using equivalent amounts of lysate per sample.

ELISA
Polystyrene 96-well-microplates (Corning, Corning, NY) were coated with 500 ng of His-EplA per well in bicarbonate buffer (15 mM sodium carbonate, 24.9 mM sodium bicarbonate; pH 9.6) overnight at 4°C followed by blocking with 5% (vol/vol) non-fat dry milk in PBS with 0.5% Tween-20 (PBST) for 2 h at room temperature. The plates were then incubated with canine sera that had tested positive for *Ehrlichia* spp. infection or serum from an uninfected dog. In some cases, the plates were coated with 500 ng EplA53–104 or EcOmpA53–68 peptide and screened with rabbit antisemur that had been raised against either peptide conjugated to KLH or preimmune serum. Serum samples were diluted 1:100 in 5% (vol/vol) non-fat dry milk in PBST for 1 h at room temperature, washed three times with PBST, incubated with HRP-conjugated anti-mouse or anti-rabbit IgG at a 1:15,000 dilution at room temperature, and washed again. ABTS (2, 2’-Azino-bis (3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) diammonium salt) substrate (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) was added in the presence of citrate buffer (10.4 mM citric acid, pH 4.1) and 30% (vol/vol) hydrogen peroxide (Sigma-Aldrich) for 30 min followed by absorbance reading at 405 nm in an ELx 808 plate reader (Fisher Scientific, Hanover Park, IL).

Sequence Alignment
EplA, Ecaj_0636, and Asp14 were aligned using Clustal Omega (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalo/). The resulting alignment was manually adjusted to align Asp14 residues 115-124, Ecaj_0636 residues 91-98, and EplA residues 95-104 based on the conservation of functionally essential Asp14 residues 121-123 (Kahlon et al., 2013; Green et al., 2020) between the proteins.

Statistical Analyses
Statistical analyses were performed using the Prism 7.0 software package (GraphPad, San Diego, CA). The student’s *t*-test was used to test for a significant difference among pairs. Statistical significance was set at *P* < 0.05.
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