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Abstract

Background

Gallic acid (GA) and pyrogallol are phenolic hydroxyl compounds and have diverse biological activities. Microbial-based biosynthesis of GA and pyrogallol has been emerged as an ecofriendly method to replace the traditional chemical synthesis. In GA and pyrogallol biosynthetic pathways, the low hydroxylation activity of \( p \)-hydroxybenzoate hydroxylase (PobA) towards 3,4-dihydroxybenzoic acid (3,4-DHBA) limited the high-level biosynthesis of GA and pyrogallol.

Results

This work reported a high active PobA mutant (Y385F/T294A/V349A PobA) towards 3,4-DHBA. This mutant was screened out from a PobA random mutagenesis library through a novel naked eye visual screening method. \textit{In vitro} enzyme assay showed this mutant has a \( k_{\text{cat}}/K_m \) of 0.059 \( \mu \text{M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \) towards 3,4-DHBA, which was 4.92-fold higher than the reported mutant (Y385F/T294A PobA). Molecular docking simulation provided the mechanism explanation of the high activity. Expression of this mutant in \textit{E. coli} BW25113 (F') can generate 830 ± 33 mg/L GA from 1000 mg/L 3,4-DHBA. After that, we utilized this mutant to assemble a \textit{de novo} GA biosynthetic pathway. Subsequently, this pathway was introduced into a 3,4-DHBA-producing strain (\textit{E. coli} BW25113 (F')ΔaroE) to achieve 301 ± 15 mg/L GA production from simple carbon sources. Similarly, assembling this mutant into a \textit{de novo} pyrogallol biosynthetic pathway enabled 129 ± 15 mg/L pyrogallol production.

Conclusions

This work established an efficient screening method and generated a high active PobA mutant. Assembling this mutant into GA and pyrogallol biosynthetic pathways achieved the \textit{de novo} production of these two compounds. Besides, this mutant has great potential for GA or pyrogallol derivatives production. The screening method could be used for other GA biosynthesis-related enzymes.

Background

Gallic acid (GA) is a natural phenolic acid of plants, and its phenolic hydroxyl groups endow GA with diverse biological activities such as antioxidant, antibacterial and anti-inflammatory activities [1-7]. Pyrogallol (1,2,3-trihydroxybenzene), a decarboxylated product of GA, exhibits similar biological activities to GA [8, 9]. Besides, pyrogallol has broad-spectrum antiseptic activity [10, 11]. According to the properties of GA and pyrogallol, these two compounds have been widely applied in food, pharmaceutical and cosmetic industries [12, 13]. For instance, GA can recover the antioxidase activity in the liver, brain and kidney of senescence accelerated mice [14]. Pyrogallol was a crucial precursor for synthesizing antianginal drug trimethoxybenzidine [15].

Traditional method for GA production mainly relies on the hydrolysis of tannins through acids or bases [16]. In addition, GA can be alternatively produced by fermentation of tannins using the strain with active tannase [17-19]. The main approach for pyrogallol production was decarboxylation of GA via toxic chemicals under harsh conditions. Besides, enzymatic decarboxylation induced by 3,4-dihydroxybenzoic acid decarboxylase (PDC) was also utilized to produce pyrogallol [20]. The PDC-induced decarboxylation reaction required the anaerobic environment. Besides, the PDC purification was complicated and time-consuming. The abovementioned methods for GA and pyrogallol production also suffered from other drawbacks, such as the limited amount of raw materials, harsh reaction conditions, environment pollution and low yield [21].
In recent years, microbial-based biosynthesis has been attempted to produce many value-added compounds from simple carbon sources [22-27], including GA and pyrogallol [28, 29]. GA and pyrogallol biosynthetic pathways generally extended from 4-hydroxybenzoic acid (4-HBA), which was an *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) endogenous compound generated from the shikimate pathway [28]. 4-HBA can be catalyzed to form GA through a two-step reaction, which included hydroxylation of 4-HBA into 3,4-dihydroxybenzoic acid (3,4-DHBA) and hydroxylation of 3,4-DHBA into GA. This two-step reaction was generally activated by *p*-hydroxybenzoate hydroxylase (PobA). For pyrogallol biosynthesis, PDC was generally introduced into GA-producing strain in order to convert GA into pyrogallol [29]. In GA and pyrogallol biosynthetic pathways, hydroxylase PobA plays as a significant role. Native PobA from *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (*P. fluorescens*) displays high hydroxylase activity towards 4-HBA, but very weak or negligible activity towards 3,4-DHBA [30]. To address this issue, previous studies mutated the tyrosine at 385th position of PobA into phenylalanine, which led PobA to hydroxylate 3,4-DHBA into GA [30, 31]. Further, Chen et al. rationally designed a higher active mutant (Y385F/T294A PobA) towards 3,4-DHBA [28].

Though PobA mutants with the ability of hydroxylating 3,4-DHBA have been obtained, the hydroxylation activity was still not satisfied the demand of high-level GA and pyrogallol production. Specifically, the low activity of PobA towards 3,4-DHBA can lead carbon source to flow into the byproduct (catechol) biosynthetic pathway. Therefore, PobA mutant with higher activity urgently needs to be investigated. Rational design of PobA mutants generally required to deeply understand the catalytic mechanism of PobA. In many cases, the mutants generated from rational design did not have the expected high activity [32]. Compared to rational design, random mutagenesis is a method with higher probability to obtain high active PobA mutants. Effective screening method can ensure the acquirement of ideal mutants. In this study, we established an efficient and simple method for screening high active PobA mutants. This method depended on the instability of GA in alkaline conditions and the generated degradation products could react with each other to form a phenolic mixture [33, 34]. Specifically, the mixture has a green color visible to naked eyes and the maximum absorption wavelength was at 640 nm. Based on that, we adopted this method to screen out a PobA mutant (Y385F/T294A/V349A PobA) from a PobA random mutagenesis library. The $k_{cat}$ and $k_{cat}/K_m$ of this mutant towards 3,4-DHBA were 1.78 ± 0.16 s$^{-1}$ and 0.059 µM$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$, respectively, which were 1.12- and 4.92-fold higher than that of the reported mutant (Y385F/T294A PobA), respectively. Subsequently, the in vivo conversion ability of this mutant was represented through feeding 3,4-DHBA experiments. *E. coli* BW25113 (F') with Y385F/T294A/V349A PobA expression could convert 1000 mg/L 3,4-DHBA into 830 ± 33 mg/L GA, representing a 75% molar conversion ratio. Meanwhile, *E. coli* BW25113 (F') with Y385F/T294A/V349A PobA and PDC expression could generate 323 ± 23 mg/L pyrogallol from 1000 mg/L 3,4-DHBA. After that, we employed Y385F/T294A/V349A PobA to assemble an artificial pathway for GA production from simple carbon sources and then introduced this pathway into a 3,4-DHBA-producing strain (*E. coli* BW25113 (F')ΔaroE). The de novo production of GA could reach 301 ± 15 mg/L. Correspondingly, assembling Y385F/T294A/V349A PobA into a de novo pyrogallol biosynthetic pathway could enable pyrogallol production to 129 ± 15 mg/L. This work constructed an efficient screening method to screen out a high active PobA mutant, and this mutant has great potential for industrial GA, pyrogallol and their derived compounds production.

**Results And Discussion**

**Confirmation of GA performance in alkaline conditions**

This study aimed to acquire the PobA mutants with high hydroxylation activity towards 3,4-DHBA. As a product GA is unstable in alkaline conditions and the degradation products can react with each other to form a phenolic mixture [33-37]. In this work, we firstly mixed GA and alkali NaHCO$_3$. After 2 h, we found the mixture with a pH of 9.3 displayed a green color visible to naked eyes (Fig. 1A). Moreover, the green color deepened with the increase of GA concentration. Besides, UHPLC and MS were used to analyze the mixture. Fig. S1 shows 200 mg/L GA can be completely degraded in
2 hours. MS results in Fig. S2A show several new compounds were formed in the mixture. According to MS results, we speculated one of the new compounds might be ellagic acid (Fig. S2B), whose amount was highest in the mixture. Subsequently, the mixture was scanned at full wavelength (340-820 nm). Results in Fig. 1B show the mixture has a maximum absorption wavelength of 640 nm. We then confirmed the relationship between OD_{640} value and GA concentration of the mixture. Fig. 1C shows GA concentration exhibited linear relationship with OD_{640} value. These results demonstrate through adding NaHCO_{3}, the change of GA concentration can be observed by naked eyes, and GA concentration can be confirmed through detection of OD_{640} value. These suggest addition of NaHCO_{3} in the finished reaction of PobA hydroxylating 3,4-DHBA can be used as an efficient strategy for screening high active PobA mutants.

**Screening and in vitro enzyme assay of PobA mutants**

According to the performance of GA in NaHCO_{3}, we designed a complete process for screening high active PobA mutants (Fig. 1D). Firstly, error-prone PCR was conducted on gene pobA, generating a PobA mutagenesis library. The single colonies of the library were pre-incubated into 96-deep-well plates containing LB medium, and the pre-inoculum was then transferred into another 96-deep-well plates containing M9Y medium with 1000 mg/L substrate 3,4-DHBA. After 12 h, the culture samples were taken into 96-well plates which contained 0.1 M NaHCO_{3}. After reaction for 2 hours, the samples with deepest green color were picked out, and were then re-screened through detection of OD_{640} value. Based on that, a high active PobA mutant (Y385F/T294A/V349A) was screened out from PobA mutagenesis library. Subsequently, this mutant was expressed and purified. SDS-PAGE in Fig. S3 shows the purity of purified Y385F/T294A/V349A PobA was greater than 95%. Enzyme assay of the purified mutant was then performed. The non-linear regression curves of PobA mutants towards 4-HBA and 3,4-DHBA through the Michaelis-Menten equation are shown in Fig. S4. The $K_m$ of Y385F/T294A/V349A PobA was 30.3 ± 10.4 μM towards 3,4-DHBA (Table 2), which was 4.22-fold lower than that of the reported mutant (Y385F/T294A PobA), suggesting that Y385F/T294A/V349A PobA has stronger affinity towards 3,4-DHBA when compared with Y385F/T294A PobA. Besides, Y385F/T294A/V349A PobA has a $k_{cat}/K_m$ of 0.059 μM^{-1}s^{-1} towards 3,4-DHBA, a 4.92-fold higher value when compared with that of Y385F/T294A PobA. Besides, the $k_{cat}/K_m$ of Y385F/T294A/V349A PobA towards 4-HBA was 0.094 μM^{-1}s^{-1}, which was 5.22-fold higher than that of Y385F/T294A PobA. These indicate Y385F/T294A/V349A PobA possesses higher catalytic efficiency towards 4-HBA or 3,4-DHBA than Y385F/T294A PobA towards 4-HBA or 3,4-DHBA.

**Molecular docking simulation of PobA mutants**

Subsequently, molecular docking simulation was conducted to provide mechanism explanation for the high activity of Y385F/T294A/V349A PobA. Wild-type PobA (PDB code: 1IUV) was used as template for simulation of Y385F/T294A PobA and Y385F/T294A/V349A PobA. After that, molecular docking of the mutants with substrate 3,4-DHBA and cofactor FAD were conducted. As shown in Fig. 2A and B, Y385F/T294A PobA and Y385F/T294A/V349A PobA possess similar catalytic pocket. In the pocket, amino acid residues Y201, P293 and T294A of PobA mutants, and 4-OH of 3,4-DHBA composed a hydrogen-bond loop, which was same as the complex of wild-type PobA with native substrate 4-HBA [28]. Besides, 3-OH of 3,4-DHBA formed hydrogen bonds with P293 of PobA mutants and cofactor FAD. The hydrogen-bond loop is a stable binding. Based on that, we speculated the catalytic mechanism of PobA mutants towards 3,4-DHBA was similar to that of wild-type PobA towards 4-HBA [38, 39]. First, FAD cofactor in the complex is reduced by NADPH, which is responded to the binding of 3,4-DHBA to PobA mutants. Subsequently, the oxygen in environment oxidizes the reduced FAD to produce a hydroperoxide. The hydroperoxide then attacks the C-H bond at 5th position of 3,4-DHBA to generate a new hydroxyl group, forming product GA.
Compared to Y385F/T294A PobA (Fig. 2A), a new hydrogen bond was formed between S348 and V349A in Y385F/T294A/V349A PobA (Fig. 2B), which further influenced the binding of 3,4-DHBA to Y385F/T294A/V349A PobA. As a generated result, the hydrogen bond distance between P293 of Y385F/T294A/V349A PobA and 4-OH of 3,4-DHBA (1.9 Å) was 1.58-fold shorter than that of Y385F/T294A PobA and 4-OH of 3,4-DHBA, suggesting the hydrogen bond between Y385F/T294A/V349A PobA and 3,4-DHBA was stronger than that between Y385F/T294A PobA and 3,4-DHBA. Besides, the hydrogen bond distances between 3-OH of 3,4-DHBA and P293 of Y385F/T294A/V349A PobA and between 4-OH of 3,4-DHBA and Y201 of Y385F/T294A/V349A PobA was close to these in complex of Y385F/T294A PobA with 3,4-DHBA. These indicate the tight binding of 3,4-DHBA to Y385F/T294A/V349A PobA resulted in the high activity.

**Bioconversion of 3,4-DHBA into GA**

To test the *in vivo* conversion ability of PobA mutants towards 3,4-DHBA, Y385F/T294A PobA and Y385F/T294A/V349A PobA were individually expressed in *E. coli* BW25113 (F'), generating strains CTT1 and CTT2, respectively. 1000 mg/L 3,4-DHBA was added to the culture at 5.5 h. As shown in Fig. 3A, CTT1 accumulated 104 ± 18 mg/L GA at 6.5 h, representing an initial *in vivo* conversion rate of 27.9 ± 4.9 mg/L/h/OD. The OD<sub>600</sub> value of CTT1 raised rapidly from 5.5 h to 24 h and reached 7.58 ± 0.04 at 24 h. After this time point, the growth of CTT1 stopped. Within 24 h, about half of 1000 mg/L 3,4-DHBA was consumed and 651 ± 5 mg/L GA was generated in the culture. In the next 24 hours, the conversion ability of CTT1 decreased and no more GA was produced. At 48 h, GA titer reduced to 575 ± 7 mg/L because of the degradation induced by oxidation. Meanwhile, 3,4-DHBA with a titer of 502 ± 32 mg/L was detected, indicating that about half of 1000 mg/L 3,4-DHBA cannot be converted into GA by CTT1.

Similar to CTT1, 1000 mg/L 3,4-DHBA was also fed to CTT2 at 5.5 h. The results in Fig. 3B show OD<sub>600</sub> value of CTT2 increased steadily throughout the 48-h feeding experiment and reached 9.07 ± 0.14 at 48 h. At 6.5 h, CTT2 produced 149 ± 5 mg/L GA in the culture and displayed an initial *in vivo* conversion rate of 35.4 ± 1.2 mg/L/h/OD, which was 1.27-fold higher than that of CTT1. Within 36 h, 1000 mg/L 3,4-DHBA was completely consumed by CTT2 and 830 ± 33 mg/L GA was generated, representing a 75% molar conversion ratio. Significantly, the titer of GA was 1.27-fold higher than that of CTT1 at 24 h. These results suggest that *E. coli* BW25113 (F') with Y385F/T294A/V349A PobA expression exhibits higher *in vivo* conversion ability towards 3,4-DHBA than *E. coli* BW25113 (F') with Y385F/T294A PobA expression.

**Bioconversion of 3,4-DHBA into pyrogallol**

Further, *in vivo* conversion of 3,4-DHBA into pyrogallol were achieved by expressing PobA mutants and decarboxylase PDC in *E. coli* BW25113 (F'). Plasmids pZE-PobA** and pZE-PobA*** were individually introduced into *E. coli* BW25113 (F') harboring pCS-PDC, resulting in strains CTT3 and CTT4, respectively. 1000 mg/L 3,4-DHBA was fed to CTT3 or CTT4 at 5.5 h. As shown in Fig. 3C, CTT3 possessed a high growth rate in the first 12 hours and has an OD<sub>600</sub> value of 6.62 ± 0.05 at 12 h. Within 12 h, 9.17 ± 5.20 mg/L GA, 171 ± 26 mg/L pyrogallol and 503 ± 3 mg/L byproduct catechol accumulated in the culture. During the next 36 hours, the titer of pyrogallol increased to 222 ± 16 mg/L at 48 h, while the titer of catechol decreased to 465 ± 9 mg/L. Though CTT3 could convert 3,4-DHBA into pyrogallol, large accumulation of byproduct catechol was accompanied.

As a comparison, the results in Fig. 3D show CTT4 grew rapidly in the first 12 hours and has an OD<sub>600</sub> value of 6.66 ± 0.11 at 12 h. Meanwhile, pyrogallol with a titer of 237 ± 21 mg/L was detected in the culture, which was 1.39-fold higher than that of CTT3 at the same time point. In addition, the byproduct catechol has a titer of 367 ± 14 mg/L, a 1.37-fold lower value when compared with that of CTT3. After 12 h, OD<sub>600</sub> value has no significant increase, which
was similar to CTT3. Significantly, the titer of pyrogallol gradually increased to 323 ± 23 mg/L at 48 h, which was 1.45-fold higher than that of CTT3. These results indicate expressing PobA mutant and PDC in *E. coli* BW25113 (F') could achieve the *in vivo* conversion of 3,4-DHBA into pyrogallol. Moreover, Y385F/T294A/V349A PobA coupling with PDC represents higher *in vivo* ability of converting 3,4-DHBA into pyrogallol when compared with Y385F/T294A PobA coupling with PDC.

**Establishment of the biosynthetic pathway for 3,4-DHBA production**

Construction of an efficient 3,4-DHBA biosynthetic pathway was significant for achieving the *de novo* production of GA and pyrogallol. In previous study, 3,4-DHBA was produced from 4-HBA through expression of heterogenous PobA in *E. coli* [28]. For GA and pyrogallol production, heterogenous PobA required to catalyze two reactions, hydroxylating 4-HBA into 3,4-DHBA and hydroxylating 3,4-DHBA into GA (Fig. 4). Generally, the efficiency of two reactions induced by one kind of enzyme was lower than that of one reaction induced by one kind of enzyme. In this work, to avoid the issue of PobA-catalyzing two reactions and achieve efficient GA and pyrogallol production, *E. coli* BW25113 (F') was engineered to produce 3,4-DHBA from 3-dehydro-shikimate (DHS) (Fig. 4). Firstly, 4-HBA biosynthetic pathway in *E. coli* BW25113 (F') was blocked through knockout of gene *aroE* (strain CTT5) or knockout of genes *aroE* and *ydiB* (strain CTT6). AroE and YdiB are isoenzymes that can catalyze DHS to produce shikimate. Fig. S5 shows CTT5 can grow in M9 medium, while CTT6 cannot grow in M9 medium because it cannot synthesize the essential amino acids phenylalanine, tyrosine and tryptophan. These results were consistent with the theoretical expectation. Subsequently, the growth curves of CTT5 and CTT6 were measured in LB medium. As shown in Fig. 5A, the OD$_{600}$ values of CTT5 and CTT6 increased with the extension of culture time. At 15 h, CTT5 and CTT6 reached maximum OD$_{600}$ values, 4.13 ± 0.10 and 4.15 ± 0.13, respectively. After this time point, the growth of CTT5 and CTT6 stopped. As a comparison, the original strain *E. coli* BW25113 (F') has a maximum OD$_{600}$ value of 4.61 ± 0.13 at 16 h, which was close to that of CTT5 and CTT6 at 15 h. After 16 h, *E. coli* BW25113 (F') stopped growing. These results suggest knockout of *aroE* or knockout of *aroE* and *ydiB* has no significant influence on the cell growth of *E. coli* BW25113 (F').

To achieve the *de novo* production of 3,4-DHBA, 3-dehydroshikimate dehydratase (AroZ) which can catalyze 3-dehydro-shikimate to produce 3,4-DHBA, was individually introduced into *E. coli* BW25113 (F'), CTT5 and CTT6, resulting in strains CTT7, CTT8 and CTT9, respectively. Results in Fig. 5B show 3,4-DHBA titers of CTT8 and CTT9 continued to increase during the 48-h fermentation. The growth curves of CTT8 and CTT9 were similar. The OD$_{600}$ values of CTT8 and CTT9 raised rapidly in first 12 hours and have no significant improvement during the next 36 hours. At 48 h, CTT8 produced 752 ± 17 mg/L 3,4-DHBA. Meanwhile, the OD$_{600}$ value was 2.03 ± 0.06. For CTT9, 420 ± 26 mg/L 3,4-DHBA accumulated in the culture at 48 h, which was 1.79-fold lower than that of CTT8. These indicate the ability of strain CTT8 to produce 3,4-DHBA was higher than that of CTT9. As a comparison, CTT7 has negligible 3,4-DHBA accumulation throughout the 48-h fermentation, suggesting without knockout of *aroE* or *ydiB* *E. coli* BW25113 (F') could not synthesize 3,4-DHBA in large amount. These results suggest the engineered *E. coli* BW25113 (F') (CTT8 or CTT9) has ability to *de novo* produce 3,4-DHBA and can be used as host for *de novo* GA and pyrogallol production.

**De novo production of GA**

To achieve the *de novo* production of GA, plasmid pZE-AroZ-PobA** was individually introduced into *E. coli* BW25113 (F'), CTT5 and CTT6, generating strains CTT10, CTT11 and CTT12, respectively. The fermentation results are displayed in Fig. 6. For strain CTT10, the production of GA lasted up to 36 h. At 36 h, only 14.1 ± 1.0 mg/L GA accumulated in the culture, meanwhile, the OD$_{600}$ value was 2.03 ± 0.06 (Fig. 6A). Besides, negligible 3,4-DHBA was observed in the culture, suggesting the generated 3,4-DHBA could be immediately converted into GA by strain CTT10. For strain CTT11, 3,4-DHBA and GA titers, as well as the cell growth, kept increasing throughout the 48-h fermentation (Fig. 6B).
Significantly, within 48 h, 3,4-DHBA with a titer of 400 ± 17 mg/L and GA with a titer of 180 ± 31 mg/L were detected in the culture. At the same time point, CTT11 has an OD<sub>600</sub> value of 9.10 ± 0.51. Notably, CTT11 produced 12.8-fold higher amount of GA when compared with CTT10, indicating that knockout of <i>aroE</i> significantly increased the ability of <i>E. coli</i> BW25113 (F') to <i>de novo</i> produce GA. For strain CTT12, GA titer and OD<sub>600</sub> value continued to increase during the 48-h fermentation (Fig. 6C). CTT12 has a GA titer of 46.5 ± 8.0 mg/L and an OD<sub>600</sub> value of 4.35 ± 0.84 at 48 h. Significantly, GA titer of CTT12 was 3.87-fold lower than that of CTT11, suggesting that <i>E. coli</i> BW25113 (F')Δ<sup>aroE</sup>Δ<ydiB> has lower ability to <i>de novo</i> synthesize GA when compared with <i>E. coli</i> BW25113 (F')Δ<sup>aroE</sup>.

As a comparison, plasmid pZE-AroZ-PobA*** was individually introduced into <i>E. coli</i> BW25113 (F'), CTT5 and CTT6, generating strains CTT13, CTT14 and CTT15, respectively. As shown in Fig. 6D, CTT13 produced trace amount of GA (10.9 ± 2.3 mg/L) as CTT10. For strain CTT14, the increasing trends of 3,4-DHBA and GA titers, and OD<sub>600</sub> value were similar to that of CTT11 (Fig. 6E). Within 48 h, the accumulation of 3,4-DHBA reached 344 ± 11 mg/L, which were 1.16-fold lower than that of CTT11. Meanwhile, GA production reached 301 ± 15 mg/L, a 1.67-fold higher value when compared with that of CTT11, suggesting mutant Y385F/T294A/V349A PobA has stronger ability to <i>de novo</i> produce GA when compared with mutant Y385F/T294A PobA. Besides, results in Fig. 6F show strain CTT15 produced 208 ± 20 mg/L 3,4-DHBA and 204 ± 17 mg/L GA at 48 h, which were 1.25- and 4.39-fold higher than these of CTT12, respectively. Compared to that of CTT14, 3,4-DHBA and GA titers of CTT15 were 1.65- and 1.48-fold lower, respectively. Overall, introducing the designed artificial pathway into <i>E. coli</i> could achieve GA biosynthesis from simple carbon sources. <i>E. coli</i> BW25113 (F')Δ<sup>aroE</sup>demonstrates stronger ability for <i>de novo</i> producing GA when compared with <i>E. coli</i> BW25113 (F') or <i>E. coli</i> BW25113 (F')Δ<sup>aroE</sup>Δ<ydiB>. Assembling mutant Y385F/T294A/V349A PobA into GA biosynthetic pathway enabled more GA production than that of assembling Y385F/T294A PobA into GA biosynthetic pathway, which were consistent with the results of <i>in vitro</i> enzyme assay and <i>in vivo</i> conversion experiments.

**De novo production of pyrogallol**

<i>E. coli</i> BW25113 (F') harboring pZE-AroZ-PobA** and pCS-PDC (CTT16), CTT5 harboring pZE-AroZ-PobA** and pCS-PDC (CTT17) and CTT6 harboring pZE-AroZ-PobA** and pCS-PDC (CTT18) were constructed to <i>de novo</i> produce pyrogallol. The fermentation results of CTT16 in Fig. 7A show trace amount of 3,4-DHBA, GA, pyrogallol and byproduct catechol accumulated in the culture throughout the 48-h fermentation. For CTT17, the OD<sub>600</sub> value increased during the first 24 hours and has no remarkable raise during the next 24 hours (Fig. 7B). Pyrogallol and byproduct catechol titers increased throughout the 48-h fermentation, and reached 48.6 ± 12.0 and 121 ± 12 mg/L at 48 h, respectively. At the same time point, the OD<sub>600</sub> value was 3.02 ± 0.21. Besides, the accumulation of GA cannot be significantly detected in the culture, indicating that the generated GA was immediately converted into pyrogallol. For CTT18, pyrogallol and byproduct catechol titers raised continuously in 48 hours, and reached 19.2 ± 5.4 and 43.7 ± 4.8 mg/L at 48 h, respectively (Fig. 7C). Significantly, pyrogallol and byproduct catechol titers were 2.53- and 2.77-fold lower than these of CTT17, which suggest <i>E. coli</i> BW25113 (F')Δ<sup>aroE</sup> performed better than <i>E. coli</i> BW25113 (F')Δ<sup>aroE</sup>Δ<ydiB> for <i>de novo</i> production of pyrogallol.

Subsequently, plasmids pZE-AroZ-PobA*** and pCS-PDC were co-transferred into <i>E. coli</i> BW25113 (F'), CTT5 and CTT6, resulting in strains CTT19, CTT20 and CTT21, respectively. As shown Fig. 7D, CTT19 hardly produced 3,4-DHBA, GA, pyrogallol and catechol as CTT16. In Fig. 7E, CTT20 continued to grow in the first 24 hours and stopped growing in the subsequent 24 hours. CTT20 yielded 67.4 ± 9.7 mg/L pyrogallol at 48 h, which was 1.39-fold higher than that of CTT17. Meanwhile, 99.7 ± 20.3 mg/L catechol accumulated in the culture, which was 1.21-fold lower than that of CTT17. These indicate the efficiency of mutant Y385F/T294A/V349A PobA was higher than that of mutant Y385F/T294A PobA for <i>de novo</i> biosynthesis of pyrogallol. For CTT21, pyrogallol was continuously synthesized in the
first 36 hours (Fig. 7F) and has a titer of 129 ± 15 mg/L at 36 h, a 1.91-fold higher value when compared with that of CTT20. Meanwhile, only 6.12 ± 0.46 mg/L catechol were detected, which was 12.0-fold lower than that of CTT20 at 36 h. Within 48 h, pyrogallol titer decreased to 68.5 ± 5.0 mg/L and catechol increased to 15.8 ± 2.6 mg/L. These suggest CTT21 could achieve efficient de novo pyrogallol production, meanwhile, the accumulation of byproduct catechol was trace. In all, E. coli containing the designed artificial pathway could achieve the de novo biosynthesis of pyrogallol. Among the engineered strains, E. coli BW25113 (F')ΔaroEΔydiB with overexpression of Y385F/T294A/V349A PobA and PDC demonstrates strongest ability for de novo production of pyrogallol.

Conclusion

The low hydroxylation activity of native PobA towards 3,4-DHBA limited the high-level production of GA and pyrogallol. Random mutagenesis was an efficient method to generate high active PobA mutants. This work first established a simple screening method which based on the instability of GA under alkaline conditions. Using this screening method a PobA mutant (Y385F/T294A/V349A PobA) with high activity towards 3,4-DHBA was screen out from a PobA random mutagenesis library. In vitro enzyme assay demonstrates Y385F/T294A/V349A PobA possesses higher catalytic efficiency towards 4-HBA or 3,4-DHBA than Y385F/T294A PobA towards 4-HBA or 3,4-DHBA. Moreover, Y385F/T294A/V349A PobA represents higher in vivo ability of converting 3,4-DHBA into GA or pyrogallol when compared with Y385F/T294A PobA. Assembling Y385F/T294A/V349A PobA into the de novo GA or pyrogallol biosynthetic pathway achieved GA or pyrogallol production from simple carbon sources. In all, this work constructed an efficient method for screening high active hydroxylase PobA, and this method could be applied for screening other GA biosynthesis-related enzymes. The generated high active PobA has great potential for GA or pyrogallol derivatives production.

Materials And Methods

Media, strains and plasmids

Luria-Bertani (LB) medium containing 10 g NaCl, 10 g tryptone and 5 g yeast extract per liter, was used for cell inoculation and propagation. For solid medium, 20 g/L agar was added. Modified M9 (M9Y) medium which contains 11.28 g/L 5×M9 Minimal Salt, 10 g/L glycerol, 2.5 g/L glucose, 1 mM MgSO₄, 0.05 mM CaCl₂, 2 g/L MOPS and 5 g/L yeast extract, was used for feeding experiments and de novo production of GA and pyrogallol. Terrific Broth (TB) medium which contains 12 g/L tryptone, 24 g/L yeast extract, 4 g/L glycerol, 12.5 g/L K₂HPO₄ and 2.31 g/L KH₂PO₄, was used for protein expression. If needed, 100 μg/mL ampicillin, 50 μg/mL kanamycin or 25 μg/mL chloramphenicol was added to the culture. E. coli XL10-Gold and E. coli BL21(DE3) were used for plasmid construction and protein expression, respectively, while E. coli BW25113 (F') was used for feeding experiments and de novo biosynthesis of GA and pyrogallol. Plasmids pZE12-luc and pCS27 were used for pathway construction. Plasmid pETDuet-1 was used for protein expression. Plasmids pKD46 and pCP20 were used for knockout of genes. Strains and plasmids used in this study are depicted in Table 1.

DNA manipulation

Genes Y385F/T294A pobA [28] and Y385F/T294A/V349A pobA were individually cloned into pZE-luc by Gibson assembly, generating plasmids pZE-PobA** and pZE-PobA***. Genes Y385F/T294A pobA and Y385F/T294A/V349A pobA were individually cloned into pETDuet-1, generating plasmids pETDuet-PobA** and pETDuet-PobA***. To realize the efficient biosynthesis of 3,4-DHBA, gene aroZ (GenBank: VCW80955.1) was synthesized by OE-PCR, and was then inserted into pZE-luc by Gibson assembly, generating pZE-AroZ. In order to achieve GA production from simple carbon
Establishment of a PobA mutagenesis library by error-prone PCR

To construct a PobA mutagenesis library, error-prone PCR was carried out on Y385F/T294A pobA. Plasmid pZE-pobA** was used as a template of error-prone PCR. First, 10× unbalanced dNTPs mixture which contained 2 mM dATP, 2 mM dGTP, 10 mM dCTP and 10 mM dTTP, was prepared. A 100 μL error-prone PCR reaction mixture included 1 μL 100 ng/μL pZE-pobA**, 10 μL 10× unbalanced dNTPs mixture, 0.5 μL 25 mM MnCl2, 1 μL 200 mM MgCl2, 1 μL 10 μM forward primer, 1 μL 10 μM reverse primer, 2 μL 5 U/μL HieffTM Taq DNA Polymerase, 10 μL 10× M5 Taq PCR Buffer (Mg2+ free) and 73.5 μL ddH2O. The amplification program was as follows: 94 °C initial denaturation for 3 min, and then 30 cycles of 94 °C denaturation for 1 min, 58 °C annealing for 1 min and 72 °C extension for 1.5 min. After that, the PCR product was confirmed and purified via agarose gel electrophoresis. The purified product was digested by DpnI at 37 °C for 1.5 h and then cloned into pZE-luc, generating a PobA mutagenesis library.

High-throughput screening of PobA mutants

Single colonies of PobA mutagenesis library were pre-inoculated into 96-deep-well plates which contained 1 mL LB and 100 μg/mL ampicillin, and were then aerobically cultured at 37 °C for 12 h to acquire the seed cultures. After that, 10 μL seed cultures were transferred into 990 μL M9Y medium which was supplemented with 100 μg/mL ampicillin, 0.5 mM isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) and 1 g/L 3,4-DHBA in 96-deep-well plates. The cultures were left at 30 °C for incubation. After 12 h, samples were taken. The samples were firstly centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 10 min to remove the cells and sediments in medium. After that, 50 μL supernatant was taken into 96-well plates which contained 0.1 M NaHCO3. After reaction for 2 hours, the samples which with deepest green color among all the samples were screened out. The screened samples were then re-screened through detecting their optical densities at 640 nm with microplate reader (BioTek Cytation 3). The screened pobAs were sequenced to confirm the mutations.

Expression and purification of PobA mutants

E. coli BL21 (DE3) containing pETDuet-PobA** or pETDuet-PobA*** was pre-inoculated in 5 mL LB medium which contained 100 μg/mL ampicillin, and was then cultured overnight at 37 °C. Then, 1 mL of pre-inoculum was transferred into 100 mL of fresh TB containing 100 μg/mL ampicillin and cultured at 37 °C until OD600 reached around 0.6. After that, 0.5 mM IPTG was added to the culture to induce protein expression at 16 °C. After 12 h, the cells were harvested via centrifugation (4000 rpm for 30 min at 4 °C) and then resuspended in 20 mL lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5). The cells were disrupted by ultrasonic processor. The lysed mixture was centrifuged (10000 rpm for 60 min at 4 °C). The supernatant was collected. The proteins were purified by nickel column. Quick StartTM Bradford Protein Assay (BIO-RED) was used for measuring protein concentrations.

In vitro enzyme assay and modeling of PobA mutants

Mutant PobAs activity was assayed by measuring the oxidation of NADPH at 340 nm using a microplate reader. A 500-μL reaction system which contained 100 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 10 μM FAD, 1000 μM NADPH, 500 nM purified enzyme and 0-1000 μM 4-HBA or 3,4-DHBA, was used for kinetic parameters measurement. The reactions were conducted at 30 °C for 2 min. The kinetic parameters were estimated through non-linear regression of the Michaelis-Menten equation in OriginPro8.5.
Wild-type PobA (PDB code: 1IUV) was used as template to model the tertiary structure of PobA mutants by Swiss-model (https://swissmodel.expasy.org/). The complexes of PobA mutants with 3,4-DHBA and FAD were modeled by AutoDock (version 4.2.6). Hydrogen bonds in the complexes were analyzed by PyMOL (version 2.4).

**Feeding experiments**

To testify the *in vivo* conversion efficiency of PobA mutants towards 3,4-DHBA, feeding experiments were conducted. *E. coli* BW25113 (F’) containing pZE-PobA** (CTT1), *E. coli* BW25113 (F’) containing pZE-PobA*** (CTT2), *E. coli* BW25113 (F’) containing pZE-PobA** and pCS-PDC (CTT3), and *E. coli* BW25113 (F’) containing pZE-PobA*** and pCS-PDC (CTT4) were used. The single colonies were pre-inoculated into 5 mL LB with 100 µg/mL ampicillin and then cultured at 37 °C overnight. 200 µL overnight cultures were inoculated into 20 mL M9Y medium containing 100 µg/mL ampicillin. The cultures were cultivated at 37 °C for 2.5 h, and then induced with 0.5 mM IPTG at 30 °C. After induction for 3 hours, 1000 mg/L 3,4-DHBA was fed to the culture. For GA production, samples were taken at 5.5, 6.5, 9, 12, 24, 36 and 48 h. For pyrogallol production, samples were taken at 12, 24, 36 and 48 h. Cell growth was confirmed through measuring OD<sub>600</sub>. Products and intermediates were analyzed by UHPLC.

**Knockout of genes aroE or ydiB**

To acquire 3,4-DHBA-producing strains, gene *aroE* or *ydiB* of *E. coli* BW25113 (F’) was knocked out. First, donor fragments for pre-knockout genes needed to be constructed. For knockout of gene *aroE*, 530 bp at the 5’-terminus of *aroE*, FRT from pRecA-FRT [40], kan, FRT and 340 bp at the 3’-terminus of *aroE* were sequentially assembled through OE-PCR, generating *aroE*-donor. For knockout of gene *ydiB*, *ydiB*-donor was constructed like *aroE*-donor. To construct *E. coli* BW25113 (F’)Δ*aroE*, the *aroE*-donor fragment was transferred into *E. coli* BW25113 (F’) containing pKD46, and then cultured at 30 °C overnight. To eliminate pKD46, the overnight cultures were then spread on LB solid medium with 50 µg/mL kanamycin and then cultured at 37 °C overnight. After that, plasmid pCP20 was transferred into the generated strain and cultured at 30 °C overnight. To induce FLP recombinase and eliminate plasmid pCP20, the single colonies were picked and individually incubated on LB solid medium with 100 µg/mL ampicillin, LB solid medium with 50 µg/mL kanamycin and LB solid medium overnight at 43 °C. The colonies which can grow on LB solid medium and cannot grow on LB solid medium with ampicillin or kanamycin, were the colonies of strain *E. coli* BW25113 (F’)Δ*aroE* (CTT5). The construction of *E. coli* BW25113 (F’)Δ*aroE*Δ*ydiB* (CTT6) was similar like CTT5.

**De novo production of 3,4-DHBA, GA and pyrogallol**

*E. coli* BW25113 (F’) containing pZE-AroZ (CTT7), CTT5 containing pZE-AroZ (CTT8) and CTT6 containing pZE-AroZ (CTT9), were used for *de novo* biosynthesis of 3,4-DHBA. *E. coli* BW25113 (F’) containing pZE-AroZ-PobA** (CTT10), CTT5 containing pZE-AroZ-PobA** (CTT11), CTT6 containing pZE-AroZ-PobA** (CTT12), *E. coli* BW25113 (F’) containing pZE-AroZ-PobA*** (CTT13), CTT5 containing pZE-AroZ-PobA*** (CTT14) and CTT6 containing pZE-AroZ-PobA*** (CTT15), were used for *de novo* biosynthesis of GA. *E. coli* BW25113 (F’) containing pZE-AroZ-PobA** and pCS-PDC (CTT16), CTT5 containing pZE-AroZ-PobA** and pCS-PDC (CTT17), CTT6 containing pZE-AroZ-PobA** and pCS-PDC (CTT18), *E. coli* BW25113 (F’) containing pZE-AroZ-PobA*** and pCS-PDC (CTT19), CTT5 containing pZE-AroZ-PobA*** and pCS-PDC (CTT20) and CTT6 containing pZE-AroZ-PobA*** and pCS-PDC (CTT21), were used for *de novo* biosynthesis of pyrogallol. Transformants were pre-inoculated into 5 mL LB medium with appropriated antibiotics and cultured overnight at 37 °C. Then, 200 µL seed cultures were transferred into 20 mL M9Y medium containing appropriated antibiotics and 0.5 mM IPTG. The cultures were cultivated at 30 °C for 48 hours. Samples were collected at 12, 24, 36 and 48 h. OD<sub>600</sub> values were measured. UHPLC was used for analyzing the products and intermediates.
UHPLC analysis

The standards (3,4-DHBA, GA, catechol and pyrogallol) were purchased from J&K Chemicals. UHPLC (Agilent Technologies 1290 Infinity II), equipped with a reverse phase column (Agilent ZORBAX SB-C18, 5 μm, 4.6×250 mm), was used for analyzing and quantifying standards and samples. Firstly, the samples were centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 10 min to remove the cells and sediments in medium. Then, the supernatants were filtered by 0.22 μm membrane and loaded. The column temperature was set at 30 °C. Flowing phase containing solvent A (water with 0.1% formic acid) and solvent B (100% methanol) were used, with a flow rate of 1 mL/min. The gradients were used as follows: 5% to 40% solvent B for 20 min, 100% solvent B for 2 min, 100% to 5% solvent B for 2 min and 5% solvent B for an additional 6 min. 3,4-DHBA, GA, catechol and pyrogallol were quantified based on their peak areas at 268 nm.
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Tables
| Plasmids and strains | Description | Source |
|----------------------|-------------|--------|
| Plasmids             |             |        |
| pZE12-luc            | $P_L\text{lacO1; colE1; amp}^\prime$ | Storage |
| pCS-PDC              | $P_L\text{lacO1-PDC; P15A; kan}^\prime$ | Storage |
| pETDuet-1            | $P_T\beta; pBR322; \text{amp}^\prime$ | Storage |
| pKD46                | $P_{araB}\text{gam-beta-exo; pCS101; amp}^\prime$ | Storage |
| pCP20                | $P_{Apr}\text{flp; pCS101; amp}^\prime; \text{cm}^\prime$ | Storage |
| pZE-PobA**           | $P_L\text{lacO1-Y385F/T294A PobA; colE1; amp}^\prime$ | This study |
| pZE-PobA***          | $P_L\text{lacO1-Y385F/T294A/V349A PobA; colE1; amp}^\prime$ | This study |
| pETDuet-PobA**       | $P_T\beta\text{Y385F/T294A PobA; pBR322; amp}^\prime$ | This study |
| pETDuet-PobA***      | $P_T\beta\text{Y385F/T294A/V349A PobA; pBR322; amp}^\prime$ | This study |
| pZE-AroZ             | $P_L\text{lacO1-AroZ; colE1; amp}^\prime$ | This study |
| pZE-AroZ-PobA**      | $P_L\text{lacO1-AroZ; P_L\text{lacO1-Y385F/T294A PobA; colE1; amp}^\prime}$ | This study |
| pZE-AroZ-PobA***     | $P_L\text{lacO1-AroZ; P_L\text{lacO1-Y385F/T294A/V349A PobA; colE1; amp}^\prime}$ | This study |
| E. coli strains      |             |        |
| XL10-Gold            | $Tet\text{rD(mcrA)183 D(mcrCB-hsdSMR-mrr)173 endA1 supE44 thi-1recA1 gyrA96 relA1 lac Hte [F' proAB laclqZ\Delta M15 Tn10 (Tet') Amy Cam]'}$ | Storage |
| BW25113 (F')         | $\Delta\text{lacZWJ16 hsdR514 \Delta araBADAH33 \Delta rhaBADLD78 F[traD36 proAB lacI^q Z\Delta M15 Tn10(Tet')]}$ | Storage |
| BL21(DE3)            | $F^-\text{ompT hsdS (rB^-mB^-) gal dcm (DE3)}$ | Storage |
| CTT1                 | BW25113 (F') with pZE-PobA** | This study |
| CTT2                 | BW25113 (F') with pZE-PobA*** | This study |
| CTT3                 | BW25113 (F') with pZE-PobA** and pCS-PDC | This study |
| Plasmids and strains | Description | Source |
|---------------------|-------------|--------|
| CTT4                | BW25113 (F') with pZE-PobA*** and pCS-PDC | This study |
| CTT5                | BW25113 (F')ΔaroE | This study |
| CTT6                | BW25113 (F')ΔaroEΔydiB | This study |
| CTT7                | BW25113 (F') with pZE-AroZ | This study |
| CTT8                | CTT5 with pZE-AroZ | This study |
| CTT9                | CTT6 with pZE-AroZ | This study |
| CTT10               | BW25113 (F') with pZE-AroZ-PobA** | This study |
| CTT11               | CTT5 with pZE-AroZ-PobA** | This study |
| CTT12               | CTT6 with pZE-AroZ-PobA** | This study |
| CTT13               | BW25113 (F') with pZE-AroZ-PobA*** | This study |
| CTT14               | CTT5 with pZE-AroZ-PobA*** | This study |
| CTT15               | CTT6 with pZE-AroZ-PobA*** | This study |
| CTT16               | BW25113 (F') with pZE-AroZ-PobA** and pCS-PDC | This study |
| CTT17               | CTT5 with pZE-AroZ-PobA** and pCS-PDC | This study |
| CTT18               | CTT6 with pZE-AroZ-PobA** and pCS-PDC | This study |
| CTT19               | BW25113 (F') with pZE-AroZ-PobA*** and pCS-PDC | This study |
| CTT20               | CTT5 with pZE-AroZ-PobA*** and pCS-PDC | This study |
| CTT21               | CTT6 with pZE-AroZ-PobA*** and pCS-PDC | This study |

**Table 2** Kinetic parameters of PobA mutants towards 4-HBA and 3,4-DHBA
| PobA mutants | 4-HBA | 3,4-DHBA |
|--------------|-------|----------|
|               | $V_{\text{max}}$ | $K_m$ | $k_{\text{cat}}$ | $k_{\text{cat}}/K_m$ | $V_{\text{max}}$ | $K_m$ | $k_{\text{cat}}$ | $k_{\text{cat}}/K_m$
|               | (µM·s$^{-1}$) | (µM) | (s$^{-1}$) | (µM$^{-1}$·s$^{-1}$) | (µM·s$^{-1}$) | (µM) | (s$^{-1}$) | (µM$^{-1}$·s$^{-1}$) |
| Wild type     | 0.35 ± 0.04 | 34.67 ± 9.51 | 14.12 ± 1.49 | 0.41 | - | - | - | - |
| Chen et al. [28] |       |          |                |    |   |   |   |   |
| Y385F        | 0.20 ± 0.001 | 19.55 ± 0.32 | 0.20 ± 0.001 | 0.01 | 0.39 ± 0.06 | 228.02 ± 53.57 | 0.39 ± 0.06 | 0.002 |
| Y385F/T294A  | 0.45 ± 0.01 | 48.38 ± 3.00 | 0.90 ± 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.84 ± 0.09 | 157.02 ± 31.41 | 1.69 ± 0.18 | 0.012 |
| This study   | 0.800 ± 0.020 | 89.9 ± 11.2 | 1.60 ± 0.05 | 0.018 | 0.79 ± 0.12 | 128 ± 52 | 1.59 ± 0.32 | 0.012 |
| Y385F/T294A/V349A | 0.680 ± 0.020 | 14.5 ± 2.5 | 1.36 ± 0.04 | 0.094 | 0.89 ± 0.08 | 30.3 ± 10.4 | 1.78 ± 0.16 | 0.059 |

**Figures**
Figure 1

GA performance in alkaline conditions and the whole screening process of PobA mutants. (A) The reaction mixture of GA and NaHCO3. GA concentrations in tube 1-12 were 0, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.7, 0.8, 0.9, 1.0, 1.5 g/L, respectively. NaHCO3 concentration in the tube was 1 M. (B) The full wavelength (340-820 nm) scan results of the mixture. (C) The linear relationship of GA concentration and the optical density at 640 nm. (D) The whole screening process for screening high active PobA mutants.
Figure 2

The catalytic pockets of PobA mutants. (A) Close view of the catalytic pocket of Y385F/T294A PobA with FAD and 3,4-DHBA complex. (B) Close view of the catalytic pocket of Y385F/T294A/V349A PobA with FAD and 3,4-DHBA complex. The hydrogen bonds were shown as dashed line.
Figure 3

In vivo conversion of 3,4-DHBA into GA or pyrogallol. 3,4-DHBA with a concentration of 1000 mg/L was fed to the culture at 5.5 h. (A) Strain E. coli BW25113 (F') with pZE-PobA** (CTT1) was used. (B) Strain E. coli BW25113 (F') with pZE-PobA*** (CTT2) was used. (C) Strain E. coli BW25113 (F') with pZE-PobA** and pCS-PDC (CTT3) was used. (D) Strain E. coli BW25113 (F') with pZE-PobA*** and pCS-PDC (CTT4) was used.
Figure 4

The de novo biosynthetic pathway of GA and pyrogallol. Black-colored arrows indicate the native pathways in E. coli; blue-colored arrow indicates the heterologous steps; yellow-colored arrow indicates side-reaction step. PEP, phosphoenolpyruvate; E4P, D-erythrose 4-phosphate; DAHP, 3-deoxy-D-arabinoheptulosonate 7-phosphate; DHS 3-dehydroshikimate; 3,4-DHBA, 3,4-dihydroxybenzoic acid; GA, gallic acid; PpsA, phosphoenolpyruvate synthetase; TktA, transketolase; AroG, 2-dehydro-3-deoxyphosphoheptonate aldolase; AroD, 3-dehydroquinate dehydratase; AroE, shikimate dehydrogenase; YdiB, quinate/shikimate dehydrogenase; AroZ, 3-dehydroshikimate dehydratase; AroL, shikimate kinase II; UbiC, chorismate lyase; PobA mutant, p-hydroxybenzoate hydroxylase with mutations; PDC, 3,4-dihydroxybenzoic acid decarboxylase.
Figure 5

The cell growth and de novo production of 3,4-DHBA. (A) The growth curves of E. coli BW25113 (F'), E. coli BW25113 (F')ΔaroE (CTT5) and E. coli BW25113 (F')ΔaroEΔydiB (CTT6) in LB medium. (B) 3,4-DHBA production of strains E. coli BW25113 (F') with pZE-AroZ (CTT7), CTT5 with pZE-AroZ (CTT8) and CTT6 with pZE-AroZ (CTT9) in M9Y medium.

Figure 6

De novo production of GA. (A) Strain E. coli BW25113 (F') with pZE-AroZ-PobA** (CTT10) was used. (B) Strain CTT5 with pZE-AroZ-PobA** (CTT11) was used. (C) Strain CTT6 with pZE-AroZ-PobA** (CTT12) was used. (D) Strain E. coli BW25113 (F') with pZE-AroZ-PobA*** (CTT13) was used. (E) Strain CTT5 with pZE-AroZ-PobA*** (CTT14) was used. (F) Strain CTT6 with pZE-AroZ-PobA*** (CTT15) was used.
De novo production of pyrogallol. (A) Strain E. coli BW25113 (F') with pZE-AroZ-PobA** and pCS-PDC (CTT16) was used. (B) Strain CTT5 with pZE-AroZ-PobA** and pCS-PDC (CTT17) was used. (C) Strain CTT6 with pZE-AroZ-PobA** and pCS-PDC (CTT18) was used. (D) Strain E. coli BW25113 (F’) with pZE-AroZ-PobA*** and pCS-PDC (CTT19) was used. (E) Strain CTT5 with pZE-AroZ-PobA*** and pCS-PDC (CTT20) was used. (F) Strain CTT6 with pZE-AroZ-PobA*** and pCS-PDC (CTT21) was used.
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