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Abstract
The research refers to the use of project method by High School students who were attending the third grade of High School in Rhodes, Greece. We did an investigation in order to determine the improvement of students’ attitudes and views towards the subject of Arts using the project method. The teaching intervention had interdisciplinary characteristics and the subject of the project was “the acropolis of Filerimos”. Questionnaires were given to the students before and after the teaching intervention which was implemented during the first quarter of the school year 2014-2015. The results showed that the use of project method vindicated the goal of the study and improved significantly the students’ views and attitudes towards the subject of Arts.
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1. Introduction
In contrary with the traditional educational models that are based on the cleavage of knowledge, the interdisciplinary teaching focuses on a holistic view of the conquest of new knowledge using a multifaceted approach of teaching. Students gather the knowledge from various subjects, examine critically the different sides of an issue and reach new experience in a comprehensive process. The implementation of the project method is an important axis of the cross-thematic teaching practice (Johnson & Johnson, 1994). The school projects include a series of teaching activities and methodologic approaches. For example a group of teachers and students decides to deal with a specific topic, plans its own program of actions, and handles the plan until the end of the project (Starko, 1995; Kampouropoulou et al., 2013). Result of this collective work can be the publication of a book, an event, a report, an exhibition, etc. The beginning of carrying out a project may be a suggestion of a teacher or a student. All members need to comment their proposals and agree on a final form of the project and contribute to the conduct of the work. These three processes, namely the freedom of members to suggest a topic, the joint configuration and its realization, are the basic characteristics of a creative project and, if it is realized properly, the teaching experience acquired by students is a significant source of learning (Kampouropoulou et al., 2012).

The subject of Arts finds fertile ground in interdisciplinary approach of knowledge. By applying the appropriate interdisciplinary project with Arts as the main thematic axis, the emphasis on traditional teaching methodology is reduced and the students’ attention shifts to concepts and processes encountered in their real lives (Scott, 1990).

2. The Didactical Intervention
During the first quarter of the school year (2014-2015) a research was conducted with the participation of 90 students who were attending the third grade of High School in Rhodes, Greece. The main research question was “Acquiring positive attitudes of students towards the subject of Arts through the use of project method”. The theme of the project that was implemented was “the acropolis of Filerimos”, which was approached using the subject of Arts as the main interdisciplinary axis of the teaching intervention (Kampouropoulou et al., 2011).

During this project, students:
- Visited the acropolis of Filerimos in the city of Ialyssos of Rhodes and areas related to history and cultural
heritage.
- Studied data related to the culture of Ialysos (Scott, 1990).
- Inspired by historical and social issues and they created their own artistic works related to the acropolis of Filerimos.
- Studied sizes and proportions and they used them in their works.
- Used geometric instruments.
- Presented their work in exhibition held in their school.

The project was realized in an interdisciplinary way and apart from the subject of Arts were served aims and objectives related to the subjects of Language, Computing and Mathematics.

From the perspective of Language: Students developed their linguistic ability, studied texts and sources, wrote and presented their work, organized texts, communicated with each other and with the wider community.

From the perspective of Computing: Students searched the internet for information related to the history and culture of the Filerimos, used Microsoft Word to write their work, chatted via Skype with children residing in Ialyssos in order to collect data from their daily life, presented their work using PowerPoint and multimedia.

From the perspective of Mathematics: Students worked on measurements of areas and volumes, designed shapes and surfaces, used geometric instruments, developed their observation and they used proportions and scales (Isaksen et al., 1994).

3. The Investigation of the Results

52 boys (57.78%) and 38 girls (42.22%), who were attending the third class of the High School, participated in the research during the school year 2014-2015. The research investigated the change of students’ views and attitudes towards the subject of Arts after the use of the project method (Torrance, 1962). The children answered a questionnaire before and after the teaching intervention. The questionnaire included items in which students were asked to answer using the five-point scale: strongly disagree, disagree neither agree nor disagree, agree, strongly agree. The items referred to students’ views and attitudes towards the subject of Arts and were the same in both questionnaires. We used the paired samples t-test in order to determine whether there is a significant difference between the students’ attitudes and views towards the subject of Arts before and after the teaching intervention with the use of the project method. Results of t-test less than 0.05 show that there is a significant difference between the two measurements (Oliver, 2000; Stefos et al., 2011). In order to extract the results of the research, we used the statistical software SPSS v.20 offered by the School of Humanities of the University of the Aegean. The results below show the change of students’ opinions. The results per item are presented in the order they appeared in both questionnaires before and after the project.

To the item “I like the subject of Arts” no student answered “Strongly disagree” before and after the project. 26.67% of the students answered “Disagree” before the project while no student answered “Disagree” after the project. 46.67% of the students answered “Neither agree nor disagree” before the project while 15.56% answered “Neither agree nor disagree” after the project. 17.78% of the students answered “Agree” before the project while 57.78% answered “Agree” after the project. 8.89% of the students answered “Strongly agree” before the project while 26.67% answered “Strongly agree” after the project. The result of t-test is 0.000 which shows that there is a significant difference between the beginning and the end of the teaching intervention with the use of the project.

To the item “I can understand the subject of Arts” no student answered “Strongly disagree” before and after the project. 24.44% of the students answered “Disagree” before the project while 4.44% answered “Disagree” after the project. 40.00% of the students answered “Neither agree nor disagree” before the project while 24.44% answered “Neither agree nor disagree” after the project. 26.67% of the students answered “Agree” before the project while 33.33% answered “Agree” after the project. 8.89% of the students answered “Strongly agree” before the project while 37.78% answered “Strongly agree” after the project. The result of t-test is 0.000 which shows that there is a significant difference between the beginning and the end of the teaching intervention with the use of the project.

To the item “The subject of Arts is significant” 13.33% of the students answered “Strongly disagree” before the project while 6.67% of the students answered “Strongly disagree” after the project. 55.56% of the students answered “Disagree” before the project while 2.22% answered “Disagree” after the project. 22.22% of the students answered “Neither agree nor disagree” before the project while 31.11% answered “Neither agree nor disagree” after the project. 8.89% of the students answered “Agree” before the project while 44.44% answered
“Agree” after the project. No student answered “Strongly agree” before the project while 15.56% of the students answered “Strongly agree” after the project. The result of t-test is 0.000 which shows that there is a significant difference between the beginning and the end of the teaching intervention with the use of the method project.

To the item “I like the combination of the Arts with the cultural heritage” 6.67% of the students answered “Strongly disagree” before the project while 4.44% answered “Disagree” after the project. 6.67% of the students answered “Neither agree nor disagree” before the project while 35.56% answered “Agree” after the project. 2.22% of the students answered “Neither agree nor disagree” before the project while 11.11% of the students answered “Disagree” before the project while 31.11% answered “Neither agree nor disagree” after the project. 4.44% of the students answered “Agree” after the project. 46.67% of the students answered “Neither agree nor disagree” before the project while 11.11% of the students answered “Agree” before the project while 33.33% answered “Agree” after the project. 4.44% of the students answered “Strongly agree” before the project while 35.56% of the students answered “Strongly agree” after the project. The result of t-test is 0.000 which shows that there is a significant difference between the beginning and the end of the teaching intervention with the use of the method project.

To the item “My skills in Arts will help me get a job” 42.22% of the students answered “Strongly disagree” before the project while 11.11% of the students answered “Strongly disagree” after the project. 6.67% of the students answered “Neither agree nor disagree” before the project while 26.67% answered “Neither agree nor disagree” after the project. 17.78% of the students answered “Strongly agree” before the project while 26.67% of the students answered “Neither agree nor disagree” after the project. 2.22% of the students answered “Strongly agree” before the project while 8.89% of the students answered “Strongly agree” after the project. The result of t-test is 0.000 which shows that there is a significant difference between the beginning and the end of the teaching intervention with the use of the method project.

To the item “I can learn Arts” 2.22% of the students answered “Strongly disagree” before and after the project. 11.11% of the students answered “Disagree” before and after the project. 33.33% of the students answered “Neither agree nor disagree” before the project while 28.89% answered “Neither agree nor disagree” after the project. 4.44% of the students answered “Agree” before the project while 33.33% answered “Agree” after the project. The result of t-test is 0.000 which shows that there is a significant difference between the beginning and the end of the teaching intervention with the use of the method project.

To the item “I feel comfortable when I am doing Arts homework” 2.22% of the students answered “Strongly disagree” before the project while 13.33% of the students answered “Strongly disagree” after the project. 42.22% of the students answered “Disagree” before the project while 20.00% answered “Disagree” after the project. 22.22% of the students answered “Neither agree nor disagree” before the project while 35.56% answered “Neither agree nor disagree” after the project. 2.22% of the students answered “Agree” before the project while 8.89% of the students answered “Agree” after the project. The result of t-test is 0.000 which shows that there is a significant difference between the beginning and the end of the teaching intervention with the use of the method project.
the use of the method project.

To the item “I can do Arts homework” no student answered “Strongly disagree” before and after the project. 15.56% of the students answered “Disagree” before the project while no student answered “Disagree” after the project. 40.00% of the students answered “Neither agree nor disagree” before the project while 11.11% answered “Neither agree nor disagree” after the project. 35.56% of the students answered “Agree” before the project while 46.67% of the students answered “Agree” after the project. 8.89% of the students answered “Strongly agree” before the project while 42.22% of the students answered “Strongly agree” after the project. The result of t-test is 0.000 which shows that there is a significant difference between the beginning and the end of the teaching intervention with the use of the method project.

To the item “Arts is useful for every person” 6.67% of the students answered “Strongly disagree” before the project while 11.11% of the students answered “Strongly disagree” after the project. 51.11% of the students answered “Disagree” before the project while 13.33% answered “Disagree” after the project. 35.56% of the students answered “Neither agree nor disagree” before the project while 28.89% answered “Neither agree nor disagree” after the project. 4.44% of the students answered “Agree” before the project while 28.89% of the students answered “Agree” after the project. 2.22% of the students answered “Strongly agree” before the project while 17.78% of the students answered “Strongly agree” after the project. The result of t-test is 0.000 which shows that there is a significant difference between the beginning and the end of the teaching intervention with the use of the method project.

To the item “I make many mistakes in the subject of Arts” 20.00% of the students answered “Strongly disagree” before the project while 51.11% of the students answered “Strongly disagree” after the project. 42.22% of the students answered “Disagree” before the project while 26.67% answered “Disagree” after the project. 22.22% of the students answered “Neither agree nor disagree” before the project while 17.78% answered “Neither agree nor disagree” after the project. 11.11% of the students answered “Agree” before the project while 4.44% of the students answered “Agree” after the project. 4.44% of the students answered “Strongly agree” before the project while no student answered “Strongly agree” after the project. The result of t-test is 0.000 which shows that there is a significant difference between the beginning and the end of the teaching intervention with the use of the method project.

To the item “The subject of Arts is useful because it helps me understand other subjects” 55.56% of the students answered “Strongly disagree” before the project while 6.67% of the students answered “Strongly disagree” after the project. 26.67% of the students answered “Disagree” before the project while 24.44% answered “Disagree” after the project. 13.33% of the students answered “Neither agree nor disagree” before the project while 22.22% answered “Neither agree nor disagree” after the project. 2.22% of the students answered “Agree” before the project while 31.11% of the students answered “Agree” after the project. 2.22% of the students answered “Strongly agree” before the project while 15.56% of the students answered “Strongly agree” after the project. The result of t-test is 0.000 which shows that there is a significant difference between the beginning and the end of the teaching intervention with the use of the method project.

To the item “It is difficult for me to connect the subjects of Arts with other subjects” 8.89% of the students answered “Strongly disagree” before the project while 22.22% of the students answered “Strongly disagree” after the project. 26.67% of the students answered “Disagree” before the project while 53.33% answered “Disagree” after the project. 33.33% of the students answered “Neither agree nor disagree” before the project while 15.56% answered “Neither agree nor disagree” after the project. 22.22% of the students answered “Agree” before the project while 4.44% of the students answered “Agree” after the project. 8.89% of the students answered “Strongly agree” before the project while 4.44% of the students answered “Strongly agree” after the project. The result of t-test is 0.000 which shows that there is a significant difference between the beginning and the end of the teaching intervention with the use of the method project.

To the item “I am interested in the subject of Arts” 11.11% of the students answered “Strongly disagree” before the project while 6.67% of the students answered “Strongly disagree” after the project. 37.78% of the students answered “Disagree” before the project while 8.89% answered “Disagree” after the project. 33.33% of the students answered “Neither agree nor disagree” before the project while 20.00% answered “Neither agree nor disagree” after the project. 8.89% of the students answered “Agree” before the project while 20.00% of the students answered “Agree” after the project. 8.89% of the students answered “Strongly agree” before the project while 44.44% of the students answered “Strongly agree” after the project. The result of t-test is 0.000 which shows that there is a significant difference between the beginning and the end of the teaching intervention with the use of the method project.
To the item “I want to learn to create artistic works” 33.33% of the students answered “Strongly disagree” before the project while 2.22% of the students answered “Disagree” before the project. 33.33% of the students answered “Disagree” before the project while 2.22% of the students answered “Neither agree nor disagree” after the project. 11.11% of the students answered “Agree” before the project while 31.11 of the students answered “Agree” after the project. The result of t-test is 0.000 which shows that there is a significant difference between the beginning and the end of the teaching intervention with the use of the method project.

To the item “I like to deal with issues related to Art” 20.00% of the students answered “Strongly disagree” before the project while 6.67% of the students answered “Strongly disagree” after the project. 37.78% of the students answered “Disagree” before the project while 11.11% answered “Disagree” after the project. 31.11% of the students answered “Neither agree nor disagree” before the project while 17.78% answered “Neither agree nor disagree” after the project. 11.11% of the students answered “Agree” before the project while 31.11 of the students answered “Agree” after the project. No student answered “Strongly agree” before the project while 31.11 of the students answered “Strongly agree” after the project. The result of t-test is 0.000 which shows that there is a significant difference between the beginning and the end of the teaching intervention with the use of the method project.

To the item “Arts will be useful in my profession” 64.44% of the students answered “Strongly disagree” before the project while 8.89% of the students answered “Strongly disagree” after the project. 28.89% of the students answered “Disagree” before the project while 15.56% answered “Disagree” after the project. 4.44% of the students answered “Neither agree nor disagree” before the project while 35.56% answered “Neither agree nor disagree” after the project. 4.44% of the students answered “Agree” before the project while 37.78% of the students answered “Agree” after the project. 4.44% of the students answered “Strongly agree” before the project while 15.56% of the students answered “Strongly agree” after the project. The result of t-test is 0.000 which shows that there is a significant difference between the beginning and the end of the teaching intervention with the use of the method project.

To the item “I want to participate in artistic activities” 40.00% of the students answered “Strongly disagree” before the project while 8.89% of the students answered “Strongly disagree” after the project. 28.89% of the students answered “Disagree” before the project while 20.00% answered “Disagree” after the project. 17.78% of the students answered “Neither agree nor disagree” before the project while 35.56% answered “Neither agree nor disagree” after the project. 8.89% of the students answered “Agree” before the project while 24.44% of the students answered “Agree” after the project. 4.44% of the students answered “Strongly agree” before the project while 11.11 of the students answered “Strongly agree” after the project. The result of t-test is 0.000 which shows that there is a significant difference between the beginning and the end of the teaching intervention with the use of the method project.

4. Discussion

During the first quarter of the school year 2014-2015 a teaching intervention in the subject of Arts with the use of the project method was realized in Rhodes, Greece. The subject of the project theme was “the acropolis of Filerimos”. High School students worked on activities that go beyond the typical classroom practice, faced the interdisciplinary view of learning, came out of school, moved to the acropolis of Filerimos in the city of Ialysos, visited cultural sites, discussed, researched, designed, wrote reports, worked with new technologies, etc. (Gallagher, 1964; Guilford, 1952).

A questionnaire was given to the students before and after the teaching intervention and the results fully justified the research question posed initially: “Acquiring positive attitudes of students towards the subject of Arts
through the use of project method” (Osborn, 1953; Perkins, 1993).

The teaching intervention using the method project that was realized in Arts in the frame of this study satisfies the objectives of the subject. The research showed that the students’ views and attitudes towards the Arts improved significantly (Murno, 1957; Nielsen, 1999).

The research also showed that the acceptance of the combination of the subject of Arts with activities related to cultural heritage was great as well as the recognition of the interdisciplinary nature of Arts and its connection with other subjects during the teaching process (Schroeder, 1993).
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