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Abstract

Shipibo-Konibo is a low-resource language from Peru with prior results in statistical machine translation; however, it is challenging to enhance them mainly due to the expensiveness of building more parallel corpora. Thus, we aim for a continuous improvement framework of the Spanish–Shipibo-Konibo language-pair by taking advantage of more advanced strategies and crowd-sourcing. Besides the introduction of a new domain for translation based on language learning flashcards, our main contributions are the extension of the machine translation experiments for Shipibo-Konibo to neural architectures with transfer and active learning; and the building of a conversational agent prototype to retrieve new translations through a social media platform.

1 Introduction

The focus on low-resource Machine Translation (MT) has driven further work with different machine learning settings to take advantage of Neural MT (NMT) methods, where the amount of training data is relevant to obtain quality results (Koehn and Knowles, 2017). For instance, with a Transfer Learning approach, we can learn specific components in a system from a resource-rich domain (e.g. a language-pair) and transfer the updated parameters to the real target (Zoph et al., 2016), usually in a resource-poor domain. Regarding the size of available corpora, with Active Learning methods, we can rank new samples to label (e.g. sentences to translate) to improve a learning system efficiently (Haffari et al., 2009). Besides, crowdsourcing strategies and platforms, such as Amazon Mechanical Turk, have gained attention in translation studies and MT to retrieve less expensive corpora (Jiménez-Crespo, 2017).

Given the background, Peru offers a diversity-rich language context for MT research with more than 40 native languages (Simons and Fenning, 2019) that are typologically different from Castilian Spanish (spa), the primary official language in the country. Specifically, Shipibo-Konibo (shp) is an Amazonian language that has been addressed in Natural Language Processing (NLP) recently, including a statistical MT (SMT) study with religious and educational domain corpora (Galarreta et al., 2017). However, the language is far from being considered a rich-resource one with less than 20,000 sentences for the spa–shp language-pair. Thus, it is crucial to look for different approaches that could deliver better MT systems, and also, new parallel corpus.

Therefore, this study extends previous MT studies of Shipibo-Konibo by introducing a new domain for translation based on flashcards for language learning (see §4), experimenting with transfer and active learning strategies in neural architectures (see §5), and proposing a conversational agent prototype in social media to retrieve new translations from native speakers (see §6). Our main goal is to mount an initial framework able to continuously improve MT for Peruvian languages, with the potential to include further NMT features. To complement the article, §2 presents previous work on MT for Peruvian languages, §3 introduces more details about the target language, and finally, §7 concludes and proposes further steps.
2 Related work

In Peru, the Quechuan language family has been the primary target in MT. According to the survey of Mager et al. (2018), there are studies in rule-based MT (RBMT), based on the Aperitium platform (Forcada et al., 2011), for Quechua Eastern Apurimac (qve) and Quechua Cuzco (quz) (Cavero and Madariaga, 2007). Another study in RBMT, from the project AVENUE, also targeted quz (Monson et al., 2006). Regarding topics closer to SMT, Ortega and Pillaipakkamnatt (2018) improved alignments for a Quechua variant by using an agglutinative language as Finnish as a pivot. The source for their parallel corpus is Rios et al. (2012), so we know that they worked with Quechua Cuzco (quz) too.

Apart from the Quechuan languages, just Ayamar in RBMT (Coler and Homola, 2014), and Shipibo-Konibo in SMT (Galarreta et al., 2017) has been studied in MT, with Spanish as their paired language. Besides, the latter is the only Amazonian language in this scope. Moreover, to the best of our knowledge, there are not experiments with neural architectures or further learning strategies for languages of Peru or the Amazon.

Furthermore, and besides the Peruvian scope, there is a large body of knowledge on transfer learning for low-resource MT (Zoph et al., 2016), active learning for MT (Haffari et al., 2009), and collaborative translation (Jiménez-Crespo, 2017).

3 Language specifics

Shipibo-Konibo (shp) belongs to the Panoan language family, and there are more than 30,000 native speakers. It is a morphologically-rich language with agglutinative processes. Besides, there is a preponderance of suffixes in contrast to prefixes, and it includes some clitics particles. In contrast to Spanish, Shipibo-Konibo presents different word orders (e.g. predominance of SOV against SVO), which implies a more challenging scenario.

One of the reasons to target Shipibo-Konibo is the robust capabilities of the ethnic group to preserve its culture and language despite the several years of contact with Spanish speakers (Crevels, 2012). Moreover, they are one of the few native communities in Peru with a socio-political and cultural organisation\(^1\).

Regarding the research and development in language technologies, there are outcomes in different levels, such as a spell-checker (Alva and Onceway, 2017), a morphological analyser (Cardenas and Zeman, 2018), a lemmatiser with POS-tagger (Pereira-Noriega et al., 2017), a syntax dependency parser (Vásquez et al., 2018) and an SMT system paired with Spanish (Galarreta et al., 2017). Each study includes resources carefully crafted by bilingual speakers and linguists.

4 Dataset

A previous study of spa–shp introduced two corpora: religious and educational (Galarreta et al., 2017). The former is a compilation with post-processing steps of the Bible entries, whereas the latter contains translated sentences of bilingual educational texts from the Peruvian Government\(^2\).

Besides those domains, we introduce a new parallel corpus that was built from a sample of sentences of the Tatoeba project, specifically, the Tab-delimted Bilingual Sentence Pairs in English–Spanish\(^3\). A few thousands of short sentences were translated from Spanish into Shipibo-Konibo for a certified bilingual translator. We named the new corpus Flashcards, as it is based on flashcards with bilingual sentences to easier memorisation in a language learning context\(^4\).

Table 1 describes the corpus per domain and overall, including information about the number of

|        | \(S\)  | \(\tau_{\text{shp–spa}}\) | \(T\)   | \(|V|\)  | \(\text{HLT}\) |
|--------|-------|------------------|--------|--------|-------------|
| Religious | 12,547 | 0.9476 | 195,887 | 185,638 | 13,620 | 19,091 | 6,426 | 11,115 |
| Educational | 5,982 | 0.9148 | 53,710 | 49,135 | 4,351 | 6,568 | 1,649 | 4,044 |
| Flashcards | 7,740 | 1.0966 | 20,858 | 22,874 | 6,382 | 5,133 | 4,234 | 3,312 |
| Total     | 26,269 | 0.9526 | 270,455 | 257,647 | 21,710 | 28,024 | 10,954 | 16,875 |

\(^1\)Coshikok: http://www.coshikoxperu.org/
\(^2\)We used an updated version: http://chana.inf.pucp.edu.pe/resources/parallel-corpus/
\(^3\)http://www.manythings.org/anki/
\(^4\)The new parallel corpus is going to be published
translated sentences, an average of the ratio of tokens per sentence between the Shipibo-Konibo and Spanish translations (Galarreta et al., 2017), the total number of tokens, the vocabulary size, and the amount of *hapax legomenon* tokens (HLT) or terms with frequency equals to one.

We observe that the Flashcards domain is proportionally bigger in vocabulary size and HLT regarding the other two, even when the amount of tokens per sentence in average is lower ($T / S$). Moreover, the averaged ratio of tokens ($T_{shp–spa}$) has a particular value, as it is the only domain with more tokens per parallel sentence in the Shipibo-Konibo side than in the Spanish one. The following example illustrates a related case:

**shp:** Westiora kafe keniresa ea ike.
**spa:** Sólo quería un café.
**eng:** I just wanted a coffee.

where there is a null subject in Spanish (*ea* or *I*), and Shipibo-Konibo merges *sólo quería* (*just wanted*) into *keniresa* and adds *ike* as an auxiliar.

5 **Neural Machine Translation for Shipibo-Konibo**

The NMT paradigm has achieved state-of-the-art results mostly with large-resource settings. The training of NMT systems is an open challenge for low-resource language-pairs (Koehn and Knowles, 2017), but we consider a must the alignment to this paradigm, as it is going to be the main focus of the MT research for the following years.

NMT is based on an encoder-decoder framework to perform an end-to-end translation using sequence-to-sequence neural networks (Sutskever et al., 2014). For the encoder, we have a recurrent neural network that receives a source sentence and outputs a dense encoded vector. Similarly, the decoder is another recurrent network that transforms the vector into a target sentence.

In this paper, we use a two-layer encoder-decoder LSTM network. Additionally, we use teacher forcing with 0.5 in the encoder and an attention mechanism in the decoder to look back at the source (Luong et al., 2015). Besides, the number of units of the hidden layer is 1024, the embedding size is 128, and the batch size is 64. We use Adam optimiser and train for ten epochs.

Given the baseline settings, we performed the first experiments at word- and subword-level. For the latter, we use Byte Pair Encoding (BPE) (Sennrich et al., 2016) with different merge operations.

| BLEU<sub>5k</sub> | BLEU<sub>15k</sub> |
|------------------|------------------|
| Religious        | 1.29             | 1.33             |
| Educational      | 4.10             | 4.91             | 3.21             |
| Flashcards       | 11.95            | 11.15            | 11.11            |
| Total            | 3.76             | 3.94             | 2.46             |

Table 2: BLEU scores with the NMT baseline settings at word- (w) and subword-level using joint BPE with 5,000 (5k) and 15,000 (15k) merge operations for the latter.

Whereas for evaluation, we take 10% of the corpus as development and other 10% as testing sets per each domain and overall.

As we can see in Table 2, the initial results were meagre as expected, with an exception in the new Flashcards domain, where the BLEU score might be higher due to the short length of the sentences. Regarding the subword evaluation with BPE, there are slightly better values in some cases (with the lower amount of merge operations), which is an anticipated trend for the agglomerative nature of the language. Nevertheless, the scores in both religious and educational domains are lower than the SMT system of Galarreta et al. (2017), and the overall result confirms the neediness of using additional strategies for improving the low-resource NMT setting. We examine the next steps only at word-level to control the variables.

5.1 **Transfer learning**

Following the study of Zoph et al. (2016), we defined a parent language-pair (Spanish to L or spa–L) to benefit a child language-pair (Spanish to Shipibo-Konibo) by pre-initializing parameters of the child using the updated values at the end of the parent training in the encoder-decoder. For exploration purposes, we use a short but diverse set of L languages regarding their potential closeness to Shipibo-Konibo in typological properties.

Table 3 presents the set of languages analysed\(^5\). The parallel corpora aligned with Spanish is retrieved from several sources: Turkish from OPUS (Tiedemann, 2012), German and Hebrew from the TED Multilingual Parallel Corpus\(^6\), and English from the same source as the new Flashcards corpus. In the case of Hebrew, we transliterated the corpus to the Latin alphabet.

\(^5\)We choose four languages to make the experiments: English, German, Turkish and Hebrew. The four languages were chosen due to the availability of the datasets

\(^6\)https://github.com/ajinkyakulkarni14/TED-Multilingual-Parallel-Corpus
Table 3: Transfer learning experiments using spa–L as a parent language-pair. \(S\) indicates the size of the corpus, BLEU the score of translation in the child language-pair spa–shp, and \(D\) is the Hamming distance between \(L\) and shp.

| \(L\) (lang.) | \(S\)spa–L | BLEUspa–shp | \(D\)(shp,\(L\)) |
|--------------|------------|-------------|-----------------|
| English      | 120,566    | 6.34        | 0.2822          |
| German       | 452,661    | 4.45        | 0.3382          |
| Turkish      | 7,177      | 9.22        | \textbf{0.1764} |
| Hebrew       | 486,466    | \textbf{12.34} | 0.4264          |

Additionally, we include the transfer learning results for translation, in terms of BLEU score, using the entire spa–shp corpus\(^7\). We observe that English and German slightly overcome the NMT baseline results; however, Turkish and Hebrew show a significant improvement. The case of Turkish is even more promising, as its corpus size is the smallest among the four languages.

We also present a language similarity score with Shipibo-Konibo. Alike Agić (2017), we compute a language distance using the Hamming distance function with language vectors extracted from the WALS (World Atlas Language Structure) knowledge base of linguistic typology (Dryer and Haspelmath, 2013). We only considered syntactic properties (e.g. word order), as we were performing translation at word-level, and we took advantage of the 103 binary features processed in \textsc{lang2vec} (Littell et al., 2017). However, it is worth noting that there are several missing values, especially for Shipibo-Konibo, due to the sparsity of WALS. Thus, we solely preserved the categories with completed entries across the five languages involved, lowering the dimensionality to 68.

A recent study in transfer learning for MT (Kocmi and Bojar, 2018) argued that it might be more important the size of the corpus of the parent language-pair rather than the similarity of the languages concerned. Our results are partially aligned with their claim, but we observe that English and German cannot overcome Turkish despite the large difference in corpus size. However, we cannot derive further conclusions about language distance as a proper measurement for improving transfer learning results, as Hebrew was the most different language, in terms of syntax, and obtained the best translation score in the transfer setup. Nevertheless, we think the metric should be reviewed carefully, as there are several missing records in WALS. Moreover, the Spanish–Turkish parallel corpus is composed only by GNOME and Ubuntu localisation files, a scanty and limited domain for translation.

A more objective analysis could be performed using similar size and domain corpora, although those requisites are tough to satisfy in MT. Furthermore, if we want to evaluate a subword-level transfer context, we should include morphological features to the language similarity measure as well. Nonetheless, for the next experimental setting, we take as a basis the parameter values learned in the Spanish–Hebrew language-pair.

Table 4: BLEU scores for the 40% incremental step over the initial 50% in the Active Learning experimental setting.

|                | Initial | + Rand | + AL  |
|----------------|---------|--------|-------|
| Religious      | 4.12    | 4.70   | \textbf{5.78} |
| Educational    | 5.65    | 5.89   | \textbf{6.30} |
| Flashcards     | 10.20   | 12.30  | \textbf{14.71} |
| Total          | 9.12    | 9.75   | \textbf{10.43} |

5.2 Active learning

In this part of the study, we emulate a pool-based active learning setting, where we need to select iterations of sample batches to incrementally improve the MT system. For the sampling query, we partially adapt elemental heuristics proposed for SMT (Haffari et al., 2009). Specifically, we focus on n-gram heuristics (1-gram) to select new sentences based on out-of-vocabulary (OOV) words and term frequency. Due to the high presence of unique and HLT in the corpora, it is relevant to deal with OOV terms, and even more when the target language is an agglutinative one. Besides, this heuristic could be insightful for further subword-level experimentations using BPE.

The evaluation of the active learning approach is performed per domain and altogether. We separate 20% of the parallel corpora as the validation and test sub-sets with 10% each, and the rest of the corpus is used for the pool-based evaluation. We take half of the sentences available as the baseline subset (Initial), and we perform a one-step increment (+40%).

Table 4 presents the BLEU scores, and we observe that the Active Learning criterion achieved better results than random in all the experiments. Although, it is worth noting that the overall results are very low, mainly due to the amount of data.
available. We expect to integrate novel queries and active learning settings proposed directly in the NMT paradigm (Liu et al., 2018). Nevertheless, as the primary goal of the study is the development of a continuous improvement framework, we consider that different AL strategies could offer a proper foundation to incrementally enhance the MT systems for Shipibo-Konibo.

6 Conversational agent prototype for crowd-sourcing

We take inspiration on the actions taken in a humanitarian emergency (Munro, 2010), where there was a need to solve translation queries on-the-fly. In our context, we consider that the endangerment of a native language is an emergency for the community as well, and we would like to reach the speakers to involved them in this revitalisation effort from a computational perspective. Thus, to support the continuous improvement of the MT system developed so far, we expect to retrieve collaborative and crowd-sourced translations from native speakers through social media, which provides extended channels with few access constraints or limitations. For this reason, we decided to build a conversational agent, and we describe our work in progress of the current prototype.

6.1 Interaction strategies

To apply the collaborative learning for translation, we designed a persistent model to support the interaction between the user and the application. The model includes features such as: the storage of potential translations from users and non-translated sentences (in Spanish), the selection of non-translated sentences to be presented to the users, and the integration of the new translations in following training iterations.

The model can be adjusted with different parameters, such as a limited sentence length or term frequency for the selection process, or the number of references translations required from different users given a non-translated sentence. The latter is a significant feature in crowd-sourcing settings, as we cannot assume that a professional translator is going to provide all the feedback, thus, we need to take many references from the crowd to reduce potential noise.

Figure 1: First story: a user requests an automatic translation from Spanish into Shipibo-Konibo. User says: “Translate: This is my life”, and the conversational agent answers with the translation (“Traducción”)

Figure 2: Second story: a user offers its help and the system requests for a new translation. First, the user writes “AL” to start the interaction (more natural expressions are going to be integrated). Then, the system requests for a translation (“I want to pay”) and the user answers. Finally, the system ends the interaction with thanks in Shipibo-Konibo.

6.2 Design and implementation

We built a framework for developing a webhook that supports the interaction with the Facebook Messenger API (version 3.2). The webhook supports two types of interactions, known as stories.

The first story refers to requests from users to the conversational agent for translating a phrase or sentence. The translation request must be from Spanish to Shipibo-Konibo, as we can see in Figure 1. The aim of this first story is to engage potential learners interested in the language, or professional translators that want to analyse and post-edit the output of MT systems.

The second story, in contrast with the first one, takes advantage of crowd-sourcing, as it involves a translation requirement from the system to the user after receiving a manifest of support. In Figure 2 we observe the conversational agent asking for the translation of a sentence. The text has been extracted from the pool of non-translated flashcards by using the active learning criterion.

We chose this platform because it has been our main communication channel with the certified translators during the corpus development. Official site: https://developers.facebook.com/docs/graph-api
6.3 Further development

Apart from technical details to support the model persistence in a large-scale number of interactions, there must be a focus in building a robust communication flow in the stories. For instance, the first story could be extended to accept feedback of the users in a post-edition setting, although there should be a mechanism to distinguish professional translators from other speakers. In case of the second story, the system could ask to retrieve more translations instead of ending the interaction immediately. Moreover, there should be a usability test for the conversational agent to identify the best interaction flow for the users (native speakers).

7 Conclusion

We presented additional MT results for Shipibo-Konibo using sequence-to-sequence neural networks, altogether with transfer learning and active learning strategies. We also introduced a new parallel corpus domain which texts are used in a language learning context. Overall results are aligned to the amount of data available; however, we observed a promising upward trend in the performance, even more when the new domain is involved. Thus, we integrated an NMT model within a conversational agent prototype to retrieve crowdsourcing and collaborative translations through social media. These have been the initial steps to set up a continuous improvement framework for MT in Shipibo-Konibo.

Furthermore, as we built the current system in the NMT paradigm, we could integrate novel features to steadily improve the performance. Also, we plan to complete the pairwise-system with the translation direction from Shipibo-Konibo into Spanish, and take advantage of monolingual data in Shipibo-Konibo to enhance the encoder-decoder components at subword-level.
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