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Apolipoprotein E gene polymorphism is not a strong risk factor for diabetic nephropathy and retinopathy in Type I diabetes: case-control study
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Abstract

Background: The gene encoding apolipoprotein E (APOE) has been proposed as a candidate gene for vascular complications in Type I diabetes. This study aimed to investigate the influence of three-allelic variations in the APOE gene for the development of diabetic retinopathy and nephropathy.

Results: Neither APOE alleles frequencies or APOE genotypes frequencies differed between Type I diabetic groups either with or without nephropathy. Similar results were found for patients with and without diabetic retinopathy.

Conclusions: APOE gene polymorphism does not determine genetic susceptibility for the development of diabetic retinopathy in Type I diabetes patients. Association between APOE gene polymorphism and diabetic nephropathy may be weak or moderate, but not strong.

Background

Familial and epidemiological studies have indicated that there is a strong genetic component in the aetiology of diabetic nephropathy in Type I diabetes patients [1,2]. Apolipoprotein E (apoE) was discovered as a plasma protein involved in the metabolism of lipoproteins. Recently, the apolipoprotein E (APOE) gene has been suggested to be a risk factor for the development of micro- and macrovascular complications in diabetic patients.

The APOE gene is polymorphic. There are three common alleles, E2, E3, and E4, which code for three major isoforms, resulting in six common genotypes [3,4]. Individuals with apoE2 have higher triglyceride levels and are associated with lower cholesterol compared to individuals with apoE3. Individuals with apoE4 often have elevated plasma cholesterol levels. There is an increased prevalence of cardiovascular disease and particularly Alzheimer's disease [5,6,7].

Apolipoprotein E polymorphism may influence the metabolism of lipoproteins in diabetic patients. Several recent studies have suggested that this polymorphism may be associated with a genetic predisposition for diabetic nephropathy [8,9,10,11]. Thus, APOE is an important candidate gene for the development of microvascular complications in Type I diabetes patients.

The aim of this study was to investigate the influence of APOE gene polymorphism in the development of diabetic nephropathy and retinopathy in Type I diabetes patients.
Materials and Methods

Patients

In this study, all patients were Russians with unrelated Type I diabetes. All diabetic patients for the case-control study were recruited from St.Petersburg Diabetological Centres and had participated in the program "Diabetes mellitus" (which included monitoring of trends and examinations of the determinants of vascular complications in Type I diabetes) carried out in St. Petersburg, Russia since 1997.

The diabetic patients included in this study satisfied the World Health Organization criteria for diabetes mellitus [12]. Glycated haemoglobin (HbAlc) was measured by ion exchange chromatography [13]. At entry the patients had HbAlc levels of 5.7 to 16.8%.

The Type I diabetes groups consisted of 74 subjects with nephropathy and 92 subjects without. The study covered 76 Type I diabetes patients with diabetic retinopathy and 96 patients without. Some subjects had more than one type of diabetic complication, and consequently were analysed in more than one group.

For Type I diabetes patients, all the information was examined for evidence of nondiabetic renal disease. Then questionnaires, medical records, and measurements of albumin excretion were used to determine whether they had diabetic nephropathy. Those patients were considered to have diabetic nephropathy if they were receiving treatment for renal disease and either suffered from persisting proteinuria, or had persisting high albuminuria. Urinary albumin excretion was measured by nephelometry [14]. Nephropathy was defined as a persistent urinary albumin excretion of more than 20 µg/min, or 30 mg/24 h in two out of three consecutive urinalyses. Individuals with no history of nephropathy and no albumin excretion were considered free of nephropathy.

Fundus ophthalmoscopy and angiofluorography were used to diagnose retinopathy. The diabetic retinopathy group consisted of those showing retinal change, while Type I diabetes control group were those who showed no signs of retinopathy.

DNA genotyping

Blood was collected from each individual and stored in ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) tubes at -20°C. Genomic DNA was obtained from lysed white blood cells by phenol-chloroform extraction. The APOE gene polymorphism was detected by polymerase chain reaction (PCR). APOE genotyping was performed by the method of Hixson and Vernier [15] using a modified version described by Skobeleva et al. [16].

The sequence of the sense oligonucleotide primer was 5’-AGATGCAGGACGGCTTCAAGGA-3’, and the antisense primer was 5’-CCCTCGGAGCCCCGTGTA-CAC-3’ [16]. Each amplification reaction contained 50 mM KCl, 10 mM tris-HCl pH 8.4, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 0.25 µM of each primer, 200 µM dNTP, 10% DMSO, 0.1 µg DNA and 1 U Tag polymerase in a final volume of 20 µl. After denaturation step at 95°C for 5 minutes followed by 30 cycle of denaturation at 92°C (1 min), annealing at 64°C (1 min), extension at 72°C (1 min) and a final extension at 72°C (5 min) using a MiniCycler (MJ Research, Watertown, MA, USA). Genotypes were determined by Hin61 (Fermentas, Vilnius, Lithuania) digestion of a 244-base pair PCR-amplified fragment spanning the two polymorphic sites. The digested DNA fragments were separated using migration on 12% polyacrylamide gels and visualized under UV illumination af-

| Table 1: Clinical characteristics by study groups |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| Type I diabetes subjects with nephropathy (DN+) | Type I diabetes subjects without nephropathy (DN-) | Type I diabetes subjects with retinopathy (DR+) | Type I diabetes subjects without retinopathy (DR-) |
| -----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Total subjects                                 | 74                                           | 92                                           | 76                                           | 96                                           |
| Age (years)                                    | 25.1 ± 11.9                                  | 20.8 ± 8.7                                   | 29.8 ± 10.1                                  | 22.9 ± 9.1                                   |
| Sex (male/female)                              | 40/34                                        | 40/52                                        | 34/42                                        | 50/46                                        |
| Diabetes duration (years)                      | 14.8 ± 8.8                                   | 10.9 ± 4.3                                   | 17.4 ± 8.6                                   | 11.2 ± 3.1                                   |
| Diabetes onset (years)                         | 11.1 ± 6.2                                   | 10.3 ± 6.8                                   | 12.2 ± 8.1                                   | 11.5 ± 5.2                                   |
| HbA1c(%)                                       | 10.5 ± 2.2                                   | 9.8 ± 1.9                                    | 10.6 ± 1.7                                   | 9.9 ± 1.7                                    |

Data are means ± SD
ter ethidium bromide staining [16]. The codominant alleles $E_2$, $E_3$, and $E_4$ determine the six $APOE$ genotypes.

**Statistical testing**

All data are presented as means ± SD. Groups were compared using the Student's $t$ test for comparison of two groups for quantitative variables. Allele frequency among control subjects and case subjects were compared using standard $\chi^2$ tests. The difference in genotype frequencies between the groups was tested by Fisher's exact test. A value of $P < 0.05$ was considered significant.

**Results**

Clinical characteristics by study group are shown in Table 1. No significant difference was seen between groups with vascular complications (nephropathy and retinopathy) and those without, no matter the age, diabetes duration, age at diabetes onset, and mean HbAlc.

### Table 2: Distribution of $APOE$ genotypes and alleles in the case-control association study

|                      | Type I diabetes subjects with nephropathy (DN+) | Type I diabetes subjects without nephropathy (DN-) | P value | Type I diabetes subjects with retinopathy (DR+) | Type I diabetes subjects without retinopathy (DR-) | P Value |
|----------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------|
|                      | n (%) m/f (%)                                  | n (%) m/f (%)                                  |         | n (%) m/f (%)                                  | n (%) m/f (%)                                  |         |
| Total subjects       | 74 (100) 40/34                                 | 92 (100) 40/52                                 |         | 76 (100) 44/55                                 | 96 (100) 50/46                                 |         |
| $E_{2}E_{2}$         | 0 0/2                                         | 2 (2.2) 0/3.8                                 | NS      | 0 0/1                                         | 1 (1.0) 0/2                                   | NS      |
| $E_{3}E_{3}$         | 48 (64.9) 54/58.7                             | 54 (58.7) 67.5/51.9                           | NS      | 45 (59.2) 61/63.6                             | 21 (24) 66.9/60.9                             | NS      |
| $E_{4}E_{4}$         | 0 0/0                                         | 48 (64.9) 54/58.7                             | NS      | 9/6                                          | 33/28                                         | NS      |
| $E_{2}E_{3}$         | 8/5 13/17.6                                   | 20 (21.7) 5/15                                | NS      | 18 (23.7) 9/9                                 | 6 (6.0) 16/16                                 | NS      |
| $E_{3}E_{3}$         | 25/23 8/5 (20.1/14.7)                         | 27/21 12.5/28.8                               | NS      | 21 (24) 3/9                                   | 6 (6.0) 16/16                                 | NS      |
| $E_{2}E_{4}$         | 0/3 (0/8.9)                                  | 0 0/0                                         | NS      | 0/3 1/0                                       | 0/1 1/0                                       | NS      |
| $E_{3}E_{4}$         | 10/13.5 7/3                                  | 16 (17.4) 8/6                                | NS      | 10 (13.2) 8/6                                 | 16/16                                         | NS      |
| $E_{2}$              | 16/10.8 8/12                                 | 24 (13.0) 8/19                                | NS      | 21 (13.8) 9/12                                | 7/12                                          | NS      |
| $E_{3}$              | 119/80.4 65/54                                | 144 (78.3) 67/77                              | NS      | 118 (77.6) 55/63                              | 154 (80.2) 82/72                              | NS      |
| $E_{4}$              | 13/8.8 7/6                                  | 16 (8.7) 8/8                                  | NS      | 13 (8.6) 4/9                                  | 19 (9.9) 11/8                                 | NS      |
| Total chromosomes    | 148 (100) 80/68                              | 184 (100) 40/104                              |         | 152 (100) 68/84                               | 192 (100) 100/92                              |         |

NS, not significant ($P$ value > 0.05)
The frequency of each genotype in each group conformed to the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium.

The distribution of the APOE genotypes is shown in Table 2. There was no significant difference in APOE genotype and allele frequency between nephropathic and normoalbuminuric diabetic patients. In this study, no association was found between diabetic retinopathy and APOE polymorphisms. APOE allele frequencies for males and females were similar within groups and in different groups.

Discussion
Diabetic nephropathy and diabetic retinopathy are the two most important microangiopathic complications in Type I diabetic patients. Many of the environmental factors that influence the risk of vascular disease are known, but genetic components of the risk for diabetic microangiopathy are poorly understood. Several candidate genes have been investigated to elucidate the genetic factors responsible for vascular complications. The most important of these are the aldose reductase gene (ALR2) [17], the insertion/deletion (I/D) polymorphism of the angiotensin I – converting enzyme (ACE) gene [18,19], C825T polymorphism of the gene encoding the beta-3 subunit of heterotrimeric G-proteins (GNB3) [20,21,22], and C677T polymorphism of the methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase gene (MTHFR) [23].

The genetic polymorphism of apolipoprotein E is associated with lipid abnormalities. Eto et al. [9] suggested that lipid abnormalities may contribute to the development and progression of kidney disease, including diabetic nephropathy. The E2 allele frequency was significantly higher in Type II diabetes patients with nephropathy (7.2%) and with renal failure (9.7%) than in diabetic patients without nephropathy (2.6%) [9]. Apolipoprotein E polymorphism is associated with the progression of diabetic nephropathy. Presence of the apolipoprotein E4 allele is a protective factor, and other alleles entail risk factors [24].

The genes responsible for genetic predisposition to vascular complications are still unknown, and little is known about the molecular basis of these complications. The influence of the APOE gene polymorphism to the development of diabetic microangiopathy is uncertain. Chowdhury et al. [10] and Araki et al. [11] demonstrated an association between the presence of the APOE E2 allele and diabetic nephropathy in Caucasian patients with Type I diabetes, although some investigators do not support this association [25,26].

This study elucidated the role of APOE gene polymorphism in the predisposition to diabetic vascular complications. No association of APOE gene polymorphism with diabetic nephropathy was demonstrated. This result for diabetic nephropathy confirms the findings of Tarnow et al. [25] and Onuma et al. [26]. It should be mentioned that sample sizes in [10,11] were larger than in this study. With increased sample size comes the possibility to demonstrate an association. But the larger the necessary and sufficient minimal sample size, the weaker the association demonstrated.

We also analysed the association of the APOE gene polymorphism with diabetic retinopathy in the present study. No significant differences were found between groups with and without this vascular complication. A similar result has been shown by Tarnow et al. [25] for diabetic retinopathy.

Conclusions
The present study found no evidence for a role of the APOE gene polymorphism in genetic susceptibility for the development of diabetic retinopathy in Type I diabetic patients. Association between APOE gene polymorphism and diabetic nephropathy may be weak or moderate, but not strong.
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