CORRELATION OF LINGUISTIC AND CULTURAL IN THE GENDER SHIFT OF THE NOUN

The objective of this article is twofold, first, I intend to illustrate the tendencies of expanding the feminine forms of agent-meaning nouns (Mkhaylenko, 2015) in the Ukrainian language due to the split of the masculine or common gender form and, second, I plan to highlight the models of translation of Ukrainian feminine agent nouns into English. My hypothesis lies in the following: in translating the Ukrainian agentive nouns the change of feminine into masculine occurs and in case of translating English agentive nouns of the masculine (common) gender into Ukrainian the process of ‘domestication occurs that will be proved in the next publication. Corbett (1991) acknowledges that grammatical gender is a multilevel phenomenon – morphological, semantic (overt and covert), and syntactical. It becomes obvious, particularly, in gender assignment to human (agent) nouns, where most nouns referring to women are feminine, and those referring to men are masculine. The definition of agreement itself becomes important but, in the vast literature on gender, there seems to be no unanimous opinion on its phenomenon and its role in defining the noun gender. Corpus analysis reveals that gender assignment types in English differ. As for the Ukrainian language, we observe the same tendency, but the difference is not as clear as in English. Moreover, the gender assignment in Ukrainian is often thought of in terms of bipolar categories, sometimes even as mutually exclusive opposites – as in «the opposite sex»[17, p.468; Hellinger and Bussmann, 2001].

Butler stresses that there can be no theory of gender without translation and that Anglophone monolingualism too often assumes that English forms a sufficient basis for theoretical claims about gender [see 2].

The objective of this article is twofold, first, I intend to illustrate the tendencies of expanding the feminine forms of agent-meaning nouns [see10, p.327-329] in the Ukrainian language due to the split of the masculine or common gender form and, second, I plan to highlight the models of translation of Ukrainian feminine agent nouns into English.

My hypothesis lies in the following: in translating the Ukrainian agentive nouns of feminine gender into English the gender neutralization takes place, i.e. the change of feminine into masculine and in case of translating English agentive nouns of the masculine (common) gender into Ukrainian the process of ‘domestication occurs,’ i.e. the change of masculine (common), that will be proved in the next publication. The data is retrieved from mass media sources: Ukrainian and English newspapers, Radio and TV discourse.

DISCUSSION. In recent years, a considerable volume of academic literature and researches in the field of translation are being focused on the concept of gender in translation (Chamberlain, 1992, Simon 1996, von Flotow 2001, Livia, 2003, Talbot, 2003, Golovar, 2009, Castro, 2013, Butler, 2019). Ukrainian nouns are distinguished by gender: masculine, feminine, and neuter; therefore they can be replaced with pronouns he, she, or it, depending on their gender. Bilanik writes that her analysis focuses on language ideologies and attitudes, based on survey and matched-guise language attitude test data [1, p.49-50]. Naturally, gender is often thought of in terms of bipolar categories, sometimes even as mutually exclusive opposites – as in «the opposite sex»[17, p.468; Hellinger and Bussmann, 2001]. Corbett acknowledges that grammatical gender is a multilevel phenomenon – morphological, semantic (overt and covert), and syntactical. It becomes obvious, particularly, in gender assignment to human (agent) nouns, where most nouns referring to women are feminine, and those referring to men are masculine [5, p. 557]. R. Quirk et al. classify the nouns on the basis of their pronoun coreference into nine gender classes. In the personal gender class the authors specify the personal gender nouns, which has who–he or she pronoun coreference, for instance, artist, librarian, etc. [14, p.315]. The determining criterion of gender is agreement, for example, two genders implies that there are two classes of nouns, which can be distinguished syntactically, according to the agreements they are marked with. Thus, the definition of agreement itself becomes important but, in
the vast literature on gender, there seems to be no unanimous acceptance of what agreement means [cf.5] and a bone of contention is often whether or not agreement includes the control of anaphoric pronouns by their antecedent, e.g. the husband... he, or in Quirk’s terms “pronoun coreference. Gender in translation is an unprecedented study of panoramic feminist issues surrounding translation studies. There is a decisive impact of feminism on translation theory and therefore feminist theorists have drawn attention to the ways in which translators contribute to cultural debates as a means of literary activism [15]. The differences between source and target language may be accompanied by differences in culture and period, thus translators often work with both morphological gender and cultural gender [9, p.142]. According to Livia, “when translating from a language in which there are many linguistic gender markers into a language which has fewer, either gender information is lost, or it is overstated, overtly asserted where in the original it is more subtly presupposed [9, p. 157].

CORPUS ANALYSIS. It is generally accepted that languages can be classified according to whether they show grammatical gender or not.

Each noun is of a particular gender regardless of whether it’s a living creature, a thing, or an abstract idea. Endings of nouns, which change as required by their positions in a sentence, can serve as an indicator of gender. All Ukrainian nouns have gender: masculine, feminine (because they refer to ‘biological entities” and neuter. A number of nouns ending in a hard consonant and referring to people are ultimately borrowings from European languages. They may be grammatically masculine, but they all express both sexes and can be called “common gender” nouns [13, p.47]. The true gender of such nouns can be decoded with the help of their agreement (coreference) with the following Past Sg verb form, or the personal pronoun singular he/she. In English many nouns that refer to people’s roles and jobs can be used for either a masculine or a feminine subject, for example, cousin, teenager, friend, colleague. Common nouns refer to members of a species and don’t differentiate the gender (i.e. parent, friend, client, student, etc.). Due to its dependency on societal and chronological conditions, the assignment of social gender frequently turns out to be a rather complicated part of the translation process. The following examples are intended, on the one hand, to demonstrate some ways in which translators have tried to dissect the problems and, on the other hand, to show that the choices made imply ideological considerations as well. English has a pronominal gender system based on semantic criteria that is reflected only in personal possessive and reflexive third-person pronouns. In the following section we shall correlate to gendersystems, Ukrainian and English through comparing two models of translation triggers [see multilingual study: 8, p.21-22:]

(i) Ukr. Sg. Masculine керівник from Polish kierownik, голова, feminine керівниця → Engl.Sg. head, Ukr.Pl. керівники, голови →Engl. Pl.heads.

1.1. У Запорізькій нації з нежем на керівницю громадської організації “Запорізький річковий центр “Личо Драм”

1.2. Belova heads Lacho Drome, a Roma center in Zaporizhia.

Укр. керівниця/ керівник / управління (webster) head/n

(ii) Ukr. Sg. Masculine голова, feminine голова, керівниця → Eng. Sg. Masculine.(common) head; Ukr. Pl. голови/керівники → Engl. Pl. heads

2.1. Голови Херсонської області рада Владиславу Мангеру оголосили підозру в організації вбивства активістів і чиновників Катерини Гандзюк.

2.2. Head of Kherson Oblast council named as suspect in murder of activist Gandziuk.

Укр. голова (керівник установи, об’єднання, товариства, організації та їх відділів) is rendered by its corresponding noun head (Webster: director, leader). Here we can find the Ukrainian noun голова which gender is either masculine, or feminine are differentiated by the theiragreement with the proper names, though the masculine gender was preferred [see,12, p.305-306].

(iii) Ukr. Sg. Masculine активіст (probably from Swedish in the1920s), feminine активістка → Engl.Sg. activist, Ukr.Pl. активісти → Engl. Pl.activityists

3.1. Aktivista Ukrainського культурного центру Павлінко виїхала з Криму

3.2. Kherson activist Katerina Handziuk dies after savage acid attack.

3.3. I вважаю себе активістом Майдану, і це головний вчинок, який я зробив у своєму житті.

3.3.2. Oleg Sentsov, Ukrainian filmmaker and activist, wins EU’s Sakharov Prize

(iv) Ukr. Sg. masculine радник from German Berater,feminine радниця → Engl.(common) adviser; Ukr.Pl. радники, радниці → Engl. Pl advisers.

4.1. До нападу активістика була радником мера міста В. Миколаєва

4.2. Gandziuk ... worked as an adviser to the mayor of Kherson

4.3. Нинішня радник міністра охорони здоров’я оповіла декларацію на посаду заступниці міністра.

(v) Ukr. Sg. Masculine(common) уповноважений, feminine уповноважена, омбудсмен, комісар, Engl.(common)commissioner (from Medieval Latin commissionarius), Engl. Sg. Commissioner, ombudsman (from Swedish), Ukr.Pl. уповноважені, омбудсмени, комісари → Engl.Pl. Ombudsmen, commissioners

5.1. ВР України з прав людини Людмила Денисова звернулася до російського омбудсмена Тетяни Москалькової.

In this case уповноважений reveals the masculine gender which does not agree with the feminine gender of the proper name Людмила Денисова.

5.2. Liudmyla Denisova, the Ukrainian parliament’s commissioner for human rights, wrote in 2018 after more than 110 Ukrainians were imprisoned in Greece on charges of smuggling migrants.

5.3.1. Український омбудсмени Людмила Денисова звернулася до керівництва Морського центру порятунку та координації

5.3.2. Ukraine’s Ombudsman Lyudmyla Denisova and European External Action Service officials discussed the release of Ukrainian political prisoners and prison from Russian captivity.

In illustration (5.1.) the masculine gender уповноважений does not agree with the proper noun Людмила Денисова, likewise the gender of омбудсмени does not agree with the proper name Тетяна Москалькова. There is one more finding in the Ukrainian language – the agent nouns borninowed from other European languages retain their masculine (common) gender in Ukrainian.

(vi) Ukr. Sg. Masculine (common) директор (from Anglo-French
The list of Ukrainian agent nouns which add the feminine suffixes (-к-, -иц-, -ин-, -ес-) can be continued, some of them are used regularly in the Western parts of Ukraine, like Kyiv.

The feminine журналистка has become a regular nomination.

In English the gender of minister can be decoded from its agreement with the proper name. In Ukrainian there is an additional gender marker -- the Past sg verb form of the predicate. And the feminine suffix --ка becomes popular in mass media due to the mass media become frequent (очільниця, očil'ниця).

In (6.4.2.) the term manager can be rendered as директор or очільниця.

(vii) Ukr. Sg. Masculine (common) міністр (from Old French ministre «servant, administrator, etc." 12c.), Sg.feminine міністри → Engl. Pl. ministers.

7.1. Оксана Маркарова – від серпня вже міністерка фінансів в уряді під керівництвом Олексія Гончарука.

7.1.1. Гість програми «Ваша Свобода»: міністр фінансів України Оксана Маркарова.

7.1.2. Ukrainian finance minister: Gambling revenues may reach 5-9 bln

7.2.2. Minister of Finance Oksana Markarova presented the draft Law of Ukraine “On the State Budget of Ukraine for 2020”.

7.3.1. Пратавини міністерства охорони здоров’я України заявляють про загрозу зниву медичної реформи та політичний тиск від команди нової міністерки Зорини Скалецької.

7.3.2. Ukrainian Health Minister says she is convinced that there were «misunderstandings» within her Ministry as the inconsistency in its structure «delays the solution of numerous issues," although certain progress is being seen.

In English the gender of minister can be decoded from its agreement with the proper name. In Ukrainian there is an additional gender marker -- the Past sg verb form of the predicate. And the feminine suffix --ка becomes popular in mass media due to the mass media become frequent (очільниця, očil’ниця).

8.1. Переможницею конкурсу на посаду прес-секретаря Президента Володимира Зеленського стала журналістка Юлія Менедь.

8.2. Journalist Yulia Mendel became the winner of the competition for the position of the Press Secretary of President of Ukraine.

The feminine journalistка has become a regular nomination.

(xii) Ukr. Sg. Masculine (common) мер (from Old French maire «head of a city or town government» 13c.), originally «greater, superior» (adj.), from Latin maior, major, comparative of magnus «great, large, big» (of size), «abundant» (of quantity), «great, considerable» (of value), «strong, powerful» (of force); of persons, «elder, aged,» also, figuratively, «great, mighty, grand, important,» from PIE 
*mag-nó-, from root *meg- «great»), Sg.feminine мер → Engl mayor; Ukr. Pl. мери → Engl.Pl. mayors.

9.1. Україна має виплатити колишньому меру Слов’янська Донецької області Нелі Штепі 3 600 євро компенсації.

9.2. The European Court of Human Rights (ECHR) has ordered Ukraine to pay former Sloviansk Mayor Nelia Shtepa EUR 3,600.

9.3. The feminine journalistка has become a regular nomination.

10.3.1. World-renowned Ukrainian artist Ivan Marchuk has opened his exhibition Secrets of Genius at the ARTAREA art space in Kyiv.

The feminine мистецтва (sf masculine митць/мистецтво) becomes also popular in the contemporary Ukrainian mass media.

The list of Ukrainian agent nouns which add the feminine suffixes (-с-, -ц-, -ч-, -с-) can be continued, some of them are used regularly in the Western parts of Ukraine, like плавчина, майстриня and others due to the mass media become frequent (очільниця, očil’ниця, ректорка, ректор, депутатка, директорка) in most of the country. We have demonstrated the patterns of translating Ukrainian feminine agent nouns into English which prove the thesis that translation synthesizes linguistics and culture [cf.16, p.79-80]. And the choice of the language unit much depends upon the translator/interpreter who can either show the changes in the language reflecting the changes in the society, or avoid them following the older forms [see 4]. But we do not introduce so-called stereotypes, because the language is in a constant flow as well as the society [see 17, p.472]. We hope that we have met Livia’s challenge who says that “if the languages encode gender in very different ways, translators need to devise a system to encompass the differences” [9, p.154]. The translator/interpreter as ун-yang is a linguist and a cultural guide.
FINDINGS AND PERSPECTIVES. The investigation of the gender transformation of Ukrainian agent-meaning nouns in Mass Media Discourse reveals that the English translator does not take into consideration the Ukrainian culture and the present day social changes in the country which are mirrored in the language and namely in the gender system. When we translate we should keep in mind that in translation/interpreting linguistics and culture are closely related. No doubt, the reader/listener is the ultimate appraiser of the Target Text. And the text must not be either domesticised or foreignised. In both cases the text misses the cultural component of the Source language. As a perspective of our further research we plan to have a field study of penetrating feminitives which may substitute masculine correspondences into other registers of discourse. Primarily, I must embark on the semantic classification of Ukrainian agentive nouns which are differentiated into masculine and feminine genders.
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