Pre-Messinian ecological diversity of Mediterranean sharks revealed by the study of their dermal denticles
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ABSTRACT

The Messinian salinity crisis (~5.59 Ma) is regarded as one of the most determining events for the recent evolutionary and palaeobiogeographic history of the Mediterranean biota. The impact of such episode has usually been assessed by evaluating the associated taxonomic turnover, however its consequences have rarely been interpreted from an ecological perspective. Here we assess the functional diversity of shark dermal denticles in a Serravallian locality from southeast Spain, providing a primary view into the pre-Messinian ecological diversity of shark communities from the Western Mediterranean. Our results reveal a high diversity of functional types of dermal denticles including dermal denticles that prevent the settlement of ectoparasites and epibionts, abrasion resistant dermal denticles, drag reduction dermal denticles and dermal denticles with less specific, or more generalized, functions. This variety of dermal denticles supports the presence of several ecological groups of sharks such as schooling and strong swimming species and, possibly, demersal species, slow sharks of the open water and, possibly, bioluminescent taxa. Therefore, the application of this methodology to Pliocene and Pleistocene fossiliferous sites

RESUMEN

La crisis de salinidad del Mesiniense (~5,59 Ma) es considerada como uno de los eventos más determinantes de la historia evolutiva y paleobiogeográfica reciente de la biota del Mediterráneo. Generalmente, el impacto de esta crisis se ha evaluado mediante el estudio de cambios de diversidad o reemplazamientos taxonómicos, sin embargo, sus consecuencias rara vez se han interpretado desde una perspectiva ecológica. En el presente trabajo estudiamos la diversidad funcional de escamas de tiburón encontradas en una localidad de edad Serravallienne del sureste de España, proporcionando una primera visión de la diversidad ecológica pre-Mesiniense de las comunidades de tiburones del Mediterráneo occidental. Nuestros resultados revelan una diversidad relativamente alta de tipos funcionales de denticulos dérmicos que incluyen escamas que previenen el asentamiento de ectoparasitoides y epibiontes, escamas resistentes a la abrasión, escamas de reducción de la resistencia al avance y escamas con funciones generalizadas. Esta diversidad de denticulos dérmicos apoya la presencia de varios grupos ecológicos de tiburones, como formadores de cardúmenes, nadadores activos, especies demersales,
will be crucial for unravelling the impact of Messinian salinity crisis and other recent geological events from an ecological perspective, allowing us to understand the shark community composition and diversity of the current Mediterranean Sea.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The Messinian salinity crisis (~5.59 Ma) constitutes one of the most important episodes of the recent geological history of the Mediterranean region (Krijgsman et al., 1999; Gibert et al., 2013). This event involved the almost complete desiccation of the Mediterranean Sea, drastically reducing available ecosystems and driving most species to extinction (Coll et al., 2010). Among the affected taxa, chondrichthyans were especially vulnerable to this episode, particularly if we consider its impact on deep-sea communities (Martínez-Pérez et al., 2018 and references therein). The consequences of the Messinian salinity crisis in this group have usually been assessed through the evaluation of the nature and magnitude of taxonomic turnover by comparing pre- and post-Messinian faunal listings derived from purely systematic works (e.g., Marsili, 2008; Martínez-Pérez et al., 2018). However, the impact of such event on the ecological diversity of Mediterranean sharks and rays remains poorly explored so far.

Interestingly, the squamation of sharks has been revealed as an important source of ecological information (Reif, 1978, 1982, 1985a; Reif & Dinkelacker, 1982; Fulgosi & Gandolfi, 1983; Bechert et al., 1985; Muñoz-Chápuli, 1985; Raschi & Musick, 1986; Raschi & Tabit, 1992; Ball, 1999). Their dermal denticles show an important morphological variation between different species, sexes and within the same specimen through the ontogeny and between different regions of the body (see Ferrón & Botella, 2017 and references therein). The different dermal denticle morphologies have been related with at least four different functions, including protection against abrasion with the substrate and prey, defence against the settlement of ectoparasites and epibions, reduction of the skin friction drag and enabling the accommodation of photophores in bioluminescent species (Reif, 1982, 1985a). The recent publication of some works aiming to define such specific functional types through morphometric and multivariate procedures has established the basis for making functional and ecological inferences in extinct groups with similar squamations supported on quantitative and statistically tractable data (Ferrón et al., 2014, 2018; Dillon et al., 2017; Ferrón & Botella, 2017).

Based on the application of the novel methodological framework established in Ferrón & Botella (2017), here we evaluate the functional diversity of dermal denticles in a recently described Serravallian locality from the southeast Spain, comparing our results with previous taxonomical studies of the same area.

2. MATERIALS, METHODS, AND GEOGRAPHIC CONTEXT

All the studied material comes from the “El Chorrillo” outcrop which belongs to the External Domains of the Betic Ranges, being located in the centre of the Alicante Province (southeast Spain), 3 km South from the Sax village at the left bank of the Vinalopó River (Fig. 1). “El Chorrillo” outcrop is constituted by massive sandstones and calcarenites comprising an abundant invertebrate fauna, dated as Serravallian (13.5-11.5 Ma) according to the foraminiferal association (Martínez del Olmo et al., 1978). The study area was part of the so-called North Betic Strait constituting the connection of the Atlantic Ocean with the Mediterranean during the Serravallian (Geel et al., 1992), previous to the closure and the partial desiccation of the later in Messinian time (Gibert et al., 2013) (see Martínez-Pérez et al., 2018 and references therein for more detailed information on the geological context).

The fossil specimens were recuperated after dissolving (c.a. 5-7%) 50 kg of an isolated sample taken from a calcarenitic-microconglomerate level at the base of the “El Chorrillo” outcrop (see Martínez-Pérez et al., 2018). The residues were washed and screened in sieves with decreasing mesh sizes (i.e., 2, 0.4, 0.125, and 0.063 mm, respectively). Subsequently, the micromerains were picked up under a binocular microscope and photographed using the electron microscope Hitachi S4800 of the Central Service for Experimental Research of the University of Valencia, Spain. All specimens are housed at the Museu
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de la Universitat de València d’Història Natural (MGUV). Besides, five additional dermal denticles coming from previous palaeontological campaigns in “El Chorrillo” outcrop (Martínez-Pérez et al., 2018) were incorporated to the morphometric analysis.

For the morphometric and multivariate analyses, only dermal denticles with complete crowns or showing minimal fragmentation were considered. We performed a traditional morphometric analysis by measuring ten metric variables on the dermal denticle crowns in dorsal view, some of which were later transformed in order to obtain adimensional variables (Table 1). Measured dermal denticles were then introduced as ungrouped specimens into the Canonical Variate Analysis 1 (CVA-1) established in Ferrón & Botella (2017) considering adimensional variables as discriminant variables. This allowed their assignment into the different shark dermal denticle morphotypes and functional types considered in that work (see Table 2). The original CVA-1 performed by Ferrón & Botella (2017) was based on 56 dermal denticles constituting a good representation of all the morphologies and functional types described in sharks.

Figure 1. Major divisions of the Betic Cordillera and location of the ‘El Chorrillo’ section in the middle Miocene of the Alicante Province. Modified from Martínez-Pérez et al. (2018).

Table 1. Description of the variables measured on the crown surface of “El Chorrillo” dermal denticles and the size-free variables included in the Canonical Variate Analysis-1 (CVA-1) performed by Ferrón & Botella (2017).

| Measured variables                      | Variables in the analysis |
|----------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| MLC (Maximum Length of Crown)          | MLC/MWC                   |
| MWC (Maximum Width of Crown)           | RLA/MLC                   |
| RLA (Ridge Lenght Average)             | RLA²/ACS                  |
| LLR (Length of the Longest Ridge)      | (MLC*MWC)/ACS             |
| LSR (Length of the Shortest Ridge)     | LLR/MLC                   |
| ACS (Area of the Crown Surface)        | (LLR-LSR)/MLC             |
| CP (Crown Perimeter)                   | CP/MLC                    |
| Circularity [4*π*(ACS/CP²)]            | Circularity               |
| Roundness [4*ACS/(π*Major axis²)]      | Roundness                 |
| Solidity (ACS/Convex area)             | Solidity                  |

3. RESULTS

A total of 24 dermal denticles were collected, of which 18 were complete enough to be included in the morphometric and multivariate analysis. Five morphotypes corresponding to four different functional types were recognized by the analysis (Figs. 2-3; Table S1). One dermal denticle (MGUV-36339) was assigned to defensive dermal denticles against ectoparasites and epibionts (morphotype 4) being characterized by a large (~1100 μm in total length) spine-like crown with the main cusp pointing in an upward-posterior direction and the presence of a long central ridge (Fig. 3a). Six dermal denticles (MGUV-35884, MGUV-36329, MGUV-36330-31, MGUV-36334, MGUV-36338) were classified into drag reduction dermal denticles (morphotype 5) showing parallel long ridges on comparatively small crowns (~300 to 550 μm). The number of ridges varies between four and seven in most cases and the distance between them ranges approximately from 60 to 150 μm depending on the dermal denticle (Fig. 3b). Only one dermal denticle (MGUV-36338) constitutes an exception to this pattern, showing more than 10 ridges and a considerably larger crown (~1100 μm) (Fig. 3c). Seven dermal denticles (MGUV-35885a-d, MGUV-36337, MGUV-36343, MGUV-36348) were included within dermal denticles that protect against abrasion, being characterized by the possession of thick rounded or oval crowns showing smooth surface (morphotype 6)
Table 2. Dermal denticle morphotypes and functional types considered in Ferrón & Botella (2017) and their occurrences in the different ecological groups. Taken from Ferrón et al. (2018). *Dermal denticle drawings modified from Compagno (1984a, 1984b, 2001).

| Functional type                     | Morphotypes                                                                 | Drawing*                                      | Occurrence in ecological groups                      |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| Accommodation of photophores        | m. 1: Dermal denticles with large crowns and concave facets                 | ![Drawing](image1)                            | Exclusive of mesopelagic luminescent species         |
| Accommodation of photophores        | m. 2: Dermal denticles with long bristle-like crowns                         | ![Drawing](image2)                            | Predominant in schooling species of low to moderate speed |
| Accommodation of photophores        | m. 3: Dermal denticles with hook-like or thorn-like crowns                   | ![Drawing](image3)                            | Predominant in strong-swimming pelagic species      |
| Defence against ectoparasites and epibionts | m. 4: Dermal denticles with spine-like crown with the main cusp pointing in an upward-posterior direction | ![Drawing](image4)                            | Predominant in demersal sharks on rocky and/or sandy and muddy substrates but present also in other ecological groups in small body areas subjected to an abrasive stress |
| Drag reduction                      | m. 5: Dermal denticles with parallel long ridges                             | ![Drawing](image5)                            | Predominant in slow species of the open water but present in other ecological groups                 |
| Protection against abrasion         | m. 6: Dermal denticles with large and thickened smooth crown                 | ![Drawing](image6)                            | Predominant in demersal sharks on rocky and/or sandy and muddy substrates but present also in other ecological groups in small body areas subjected to an abrasive stress |
| Protection against abrasion         | m. 7: Dermal denticles with large and thickened ornamented crown             | ![Drawing](image7)                            | Predominant in slow species of the open water but present in other ecological groups                 |
| Generalized functions               | m. 8: Dermal denticles with long ridges and usually well developed lateral wings | ![Drawing](image8)                            | Predominant in slow species of the open water but present in other ecological groups                 |

or being strongly ornamented with prominent short ridges (morphotype 7) (Figs. 3d-3e, respectively). These dermal denticles display a wide range of sizes with crowns that varies from 300 to 1700 µm. Finally, four dermal denticles (MGUV-36332-33, MGUV-36335, MGUV-36344) were assigned to dermal denticles with generalized functions (morphotype 8), all of them presenting medium-sized crowns (500 to 700 µm), long noticeable ridges and, most of them, well-developed wings or lateral expansions (Fig. 3f). One dermal dentine (MGUV-36344) classified in such functional type shows also characteristic concave facets on the most anterior part of the crown and the distal part of the lateral expansions (Fig. 3g).
Posterior probabilities (i.e., probability of belonging to a given group) are high, very close to 1 in most of cases and above 0.7 in all cases (Table S1). With few exceptions, Mahalanobis distance to the centroid and conditional probabilities (i.e., probability of obtaining a discriminant punctuation as obtained or more extreme within a given group) of analysed dermal denticles are similar to those of the morphotypes and functional types to which they have been assigned (compare Table S1 with Ferrón & Botella, 2017: S6 Table C) (see also Fig. 2). Together, these results support that the assignments of dermal denticles from “El Chorrillo” outcrop into morphotypes and functional types have been done reliably.

Figure 2. Canonical Variate Analyses results showing the discriminant punctuations of “El Chorrillo” dermal denticles. Results are plotted based on the first two discriminant functions (DF). The analysis was performed taking eight dermal denticle morphotypes (m. 1 - m. 8, corresponding to five functional types) as defined groups and ten size-free variables of the crown surface as discriminant variables. Modified from Ferrón et al. (2018).

4. DISCUSSION

4.1. Ecological diversity revealed by dermal denticle analysis

Ecomorphological approaches on dermal denticles have been successfully applied in several works for basing ecological inferences in extinct taxa (Ferrón et al., 2014; Dillon et al., 2017; Ferrón & Botella, 2017). We applied here the comparative framework developed by Ferrón & Botella (2017) to the dermal denticles sample from “El Chorrillo” outcrop in Alicante. Our results reveal a high diversity of dermal denticle morphotypes (including m.4-m.8 dermal denticles) being represented all considered functional types excepting dermal denticles that enable the accommodation of photophores (although see below). Ferrón & Botella (2017) defined the squamation patterns typical of a number of ecological groups of sharks noticing the presence, distribution and coverage of their functional types. According to such information, the occurrence of different ecological groups in this locality can be inferred from our results. In this sense, the detection of defensive dermal denticles against ectoparasites and epibionts and drag reduction dermal denticles denotes the existence of schooling and strong-swimming pelagic species in the shark palaeocommunity of “El Chorrillo”, as these dermal denticles seem to be exclusive of such lifestyles. Two ecological subgroups were defined by Reif & Dinkelacker (1982) and Reif (1985a) in strong-swimming pelagic species on the basis of the distance between the ridges of their dermal denticles. Thus, fast pelagic hunting sharks such as lamnids and some carcharhinids show inter-ridge average distances comprised between 35 and 80 μm, whereas these values are typically above 80 μm in slower large nearshore hunters. According to this, the range of inter-ridge distances showed by the dermal denticles of “El Chorrillo” assemblage suggests that these two ecological subgroups could be represented in our sample. Ecological inferences from the other two functional types detected by the analysis (i.e., dermal denticles that protect against abrasion and dermal denticles with generalized functions) are more difficult to be supported, as these can be found in more than one ecological group (Ferrón & Botella, 2017). Abrasion resistant dermal denticles are common in demersal sharks living on sandy and muddy substrates or inhabiting rocky substrates or reefs. These dermal denticles are also found in all ecological groups covering small areas subjected to an important abrasive stress, such as the snout, the circumoral region or the claspers (see also Reif, 1978). Dermal denticles with generalized functions are usual in demersal sharks on sandy and muddy substrates and slow species of the open water, but can be also present in small proportions in schooling and mesopelagic luminescent species. In any case, the presence of these functional types could entail the existence of other different ecological groups and altogether reveals an important ecological diversity.

4.2. Ecological diversity revealed by teeth analysis

Alternative procedures for palaeoecological inferences on Mesozoic and Cenozoic neoselachians have been
based on the assumption of ecological similitude with closely related living taxa, especially in species with extant representatives at family or generic level (e.g., Thies & Müller, 1993; Adnet et al., 2008; Underwood & Schlögl, 2012; Adolfsson & Ward, 2014; Carrillo-Briceño et al., 2016, 2019; Perez et al., 2017; Martínez-Pérez et al., 2018). However, conclusions reached by this kind of proxies should be cautiously considered particularly when the ecological extrapolation entails large temporal or phylogenetic distance between the involved species. This is especially drastic if we consider that extant species belonging to the same family, or even the same genera, can display disparate lifestyles (Compagno et al., 2005; Ebert et al., 2013). Martínez-Pérez et al. (2018) provided a fauna listing of the chondrichthyans present in “El Chorrillo” outcrop from the study of dental remains noticing the presence of at least 13 different taxa (Deania calcea, Isistius triangulus, Squaliolus cf. S. schaubi, Paraetmopterus sp., Pristiophorus sp., Scyliorhinus sp., cf. Squaliformes indet, Cosmopolitodus hastalis, Isurus sp., Hemipristis serra, Odontaspis sp., Carcharhinus spp., and Otodus (Megaselachus) megalodon). Assuming ecological similarity with living relatives, we can argue that this association comprised taxa with disparate lifestyles. Thus, schooling species would be represented by Deania calcea and Pristiophorus sp., demersal species by Scyliorhinus sp., and strong-swimming species by Cosmopolitodus hastalis, Isurus sp., Hemipristis serra, Odontaspis sp., Carcharhinus spp., and Otodus (Megaselachus) megalodon. Beside this, an important number of the taxa described in Martinez-Pérez et al. (2018) putatively belong to bioluminescent groups (i.e., Isistius triangulus, Squaliolus cf. S. schaubi, Paraetmopterus sp.). However, dermal denticles that enable the accommodation of photophores were not recognized in our sample. This could be expected as dermal denticles of many bioluminescent sharks are covered by skin and are not discarded in life (Reif, 1985a, 1985b). However, taphonomic causes or sampling bias cannot be discarded. In any case, one of the dermal denticles classified within dermal denticles with generalized functions (MGUV-36334) shows traits, such as the presence of concavities, that are exclusive of some bioluminescent groups playing an important role in the accommodation of photophores (see Reif, 1985b: figs. 4 and 5a-b; Reif, 1985a: plate 10). Note that similar concavities have only been described in one non-bioluminescent shark, i.e., Chlamydoselachus anguineus (Reif, 1985a: plate 1C2). These aspects were not considered by Ferrón & Botella (2017) because of methodological restrictions of the discriminant analysis, which does not allow for the inclusion of characters that are not measurable in all the specimens to be analysed. As consequence, more broad approaches considering and combining both categorical (e.g., presence or absence of morphological traits) and quantitative data could be helpful in order to better characterize dermal dentine morphotypes and functional types, particularly regarding dermal denticles involved in bioluminescence. Notwithstanding this, ecological data based on information derived from dermal denticles and teeth seem to match properly in our study. However, ecomorphological approaches should be favoured in future studies dealing with ecological aspects of extinct taxa.

### 4.3. Unravelling the impact of the Messinian salinity crisis in sharks

Our results show that pre-Messinian communities of sharks from the western Mediterranean were ecologically diverse, paralleling the high taxonomical diversity described in previous studies (Martínez-Pérez et al., 2018 and references therein). The characterization of this ecological (and taxonomical) diversity is crucial to understand the recent evolutionary and palaeobiogeographic history of sharks and rays in this area, especially since the recent chondrichthyan diversity in the Mediterranean Sea shows a severe diversity impoverishment, mainly in the deep-water community (Perès, 1985; Marsili, 2008). The demise of Mediterranean deep-sea groups has been usually attributed to different aspects derived from the Messinian salinity crisis (e.g., Bouchet and Taviani, 1992; Krijgsman et al., 1999; Galil, 2004; Sardà, et al., 2004; Coll et al., 2010; among many others). However, the study of the shark and ray fossil record provides evidence supporting an important recolonization of the Mediterranean Sea during the Pliocene (Marsili, 2008) and as consequence other more recent geological events, such as the oxic-anoxic events during the Pleistocene-Quaternary (see Rossignol-Strick et al., 1982; Rohling 1994; Rohling et al., 2015), have been suggested as determinant factors in this sense (Martinez-Pérez et al., 2018). Therefore, the systematic application of the frame established in Ferrón & Botella

---

**Figure 3.** Selection of shark dermal denticles from “El Chorrillo” outcrop, covering the whole diversity of morphotypes and functional types revealed by the Canonical Variate Analyses. **a** Morphotype 4 corresponding to defensive dermal denticle against the settlement of ectoparasites and epibionts (MGUV-36339). **b-c** Morphotype 5 corresponding to drag reduction dermal denticles (MGUV-36334, MGUV-36338). **d** Morphotype 6 corresponding to abrasion resistant dermal denticles (MGUV-36343). **e** Morphotype 7 corresponding to abrasion resistant dermal denticles (MGUV-36337). **f-g** Morphotype 8 corresponding to dermal denticles with generalized functions (MGUV-36333, MGUV-36344). From left to right, dermal denticles are in dorsal, lateral and frontal views respectively. Scale bars: a-b = 200 µm; c-g = 100 µm.
(2017), or an equivalent ecomorphological approach, to Pliocene-Pleistocene shark dermal denticle assemblages of the Mediterranean could contribute to elucidate the impact of the Messinian salinity crisis and more recent events on current shark ecological diversity.

5. CONCLUSIONS

The morphometric study of the Serravallian shark dermal denticle assemblage of “El Chorrillo” outcrop from Alicante (southeast Spain) revealed a high functional diversity of dermal denticles that most likely correspond to an important ecological diversity of sharks. We found dermal denticles that protect against the settlement of ectoparasites and drag reduction dermal denticles, which most likely belonged to schooling and pelagic strong swimming species respectively. The finding of abrasion resistant dermal denticles and dermal denticles with generalized function denotes the possible presence of slow species associated to the open water and demersal species. Finally, no dermal denticles that enable the accommodation of photophores were recognized although one dermal denticle showed some traits typical of the dermal denticles of bioluminescent species. The methodology here applied has an important potential for assessing palaeoecological hypotheses in groups with micromeric squamations. In this sense, the application of this methodology for assessing the ecological diversity of Pliocene and Pleistocene fossiliferous sites will be crucial for disentangling the consequences of Messinian salinity crisis, and other recent geological events, on the ecological diversity of current Mediterranean shark communities.
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