NON-NEOFORMANS CRYPTOCOCCEMIA IN A PATIENT WITH HODGKIN’S LYMPHOMA
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ABSTRACT

Cryptococemia attributed to non-neoformans cryptococci has not received much attention due to the relative scarcity of reported cases. The primary aim of this case report is to highlight several notable characteristics of Cryptococcus laurentii. A 35-year-old woman presented with a right supraclavicular mass and a history of low-grade fever. A yeast was isolated from her blood. It formed cream-colored colonies which turned yellowish after 72 h of incubation and had a microscopic morphology of elongated budding yeast cells. Its identity was confirmed to be C. laurentii through carbohydrate assimilation testing (ID 32 C). The patient responded clinically to fluconazole and cleared the cryptococccemia. She was subsequently diagnosed with Hodgkin’s lymphoma through histopathological examination of the supraclavicular mass.
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INTRODUCTION

Non-neoformans cryptococci are generally regarded as non-pathogenic saprophytes [1,2]. Moreover, it has also been established that Cryptococcus laurentii (a non-neoformans Cryptococcus species) is less virulent than Cryptococcus neoformans [3]. However, as the number of immunocompromised patients increases, so must the recognition that non-neoformans cryptococci can become clinically important human pathogens [4]. Although non-neoformans cryptococci can also cause serious infections (e.g., central nervous system and bloodstream infections), the clinical manifestations are often indistinguishable from those attributable to C. neoformans [5]. C. laurentii has been reported to have reduced susceptibility to fluconazole [5], a commonly prescribed antifungal agent which may be employed to treat C. neoformans infections. This case report highlights some notable characteristics of C. laurentii which may be important for diagnostic and clinical purposes.

CASE REPORT

A 35-year-old woman presented to a tertiary medical center for investigation of a right supraclavicular mass. The mass was initially painless and of thumb-sized swelling when it was first noticed by the patient 5 months back. Subsequently, the mass progressively increased in size and became painful. The local symptoms were also associated with low-grade fever. On examination, the patient was fully conscious, not tachypneic but febrile with a body temperature of 37.6°C. A large swelling was noted at her right supraclavicular region, measuring 20×15 cm. A sinus was seen to discharge hemoserous fluid. The mass was firm and fixed to the overlying skin. No other neck masses or lymph nodes were palpable. Examination of respiratory, cardiovascular, and other systems was unremarkable. A provisional diagnosis of staphylococcal supraclavicular abscess was made and she was empirically treated with intravenous cloxacillin 500 mg q6h.

Preliminary laboratory investigations of the patient’s blood revealed leukocytosis (total white cell count of 21.8×10^9 cells/L) with evidence of neutrophilia (18.1×10^9 cells/L) and a raised C-reactive protein level (9.22 mg/dL). Her retroviral screening was non-reactive. A swab of the discharge and a blood specimen were sent for microbiological culture.

The supraclavicular mass was also biopsied for histopathological examination. After 4 days of incubation, the blood specimen in the BACTEC Myco/F Lytic vial was positive for microbial growth. A Gram stain revealed elongated and budding yeast cells with no pseudohyphae. The yeast was cultured on Sabouraud dextrose agar and identified as Cryptococcus laurentii. A yeast was isolated from her blood. It formed cream-colored colonies which turned yellowish after 72 h of incubation and had a microscopic morphology of elongated budding yeast cells. Its identity was confirmed to C. laurentii through carbohydrate assimilation testing (ID 32 C). The patient responded clinically to fluconazole and cleared the cryptococccemia. She was subsequently diagnosed with Hodgkin’s lymphoma through histopathological examination of the supraclavicular mass.

DISCUSSION

Non-neoformans cryptococci are encapsulated yeasts with a worldwide prevalence and they can be isolated from numerous environmental sources and foods [5]. The term “non-neoformans cryptococci” traditionally refers to Cryptococcus spp. other than C. neoformans and Cryptococcus gattii because the latter was previously considered a variant of C. neoformans [4]. Approximately 80% of non-neoformans cryptococcal infections are caused by C. laurentii and Cryptococcus albidus [4]. Most cases of non-neoformans cryptococcal fungemia are nosocomially acquired and the presence of invasive devices is a significant predisposing factor particularly when associated with C. laurentii infections [6]. Although in the present case, the patient did not have any indwelling medical device (e.g., central venous line), by having a hematological
fungemia has been reported before in patients with underlying non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma [4,7], to the best of our knowledge, there has been no report associating the infection with classical Hodgkin’s disease.

Although C. laurentii is India Ink positive and produces urease, these characteristics are common to all cryptococci. However, the capsule of C. laurentii in the India Ink mount is narrow/slight [1,8]. Furthermore, its microscopic morphology is that of elongated and budding yeast cells [9] rather than the globose yeast cells which are more typical of C. neoformans and C. gattii. Another clue to the presence of C. laurentii is yellow (or orange) colonies on SDA, especially after extended incubation [10]. A negative caffèic acid test is another supplementary test which would distinguish C. laurentii from C. neoformans [8]. Non-neoformans cryptococci are less likely to produce positive results in cryptococcal antigen detection tests [4], and thus, ghucuronoluphamann antigen assays should not be relied on to diagnose cases of C. laurentii fungemia. For laboratories without molecular identification (i.e., DNA sequencing) capabilities, commercial carbohydrate assimilation kits for yeasts such as ID 32 C (bioMerieux, France) may be utilized [8].

There are no established guidelines for the treatment of infections caused by C. laurentii [9,10]. However, with regard to the in vitro susceptibility profile of C. laurentii, a study on 39 isolates revealed that amphotericin B was the most active compound, fluconazole had limited activity, and flucytosine as well as echinocandins were inactive [2]. In contrast, fluconazole resistance has been virtually absent in C. neoformans isolates from Asia [11]. Admittedly, we did not perform antifungal susceptibility testing on our C. laurentii isolate because there were no published Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute breakpoints for the antifungal susceptibility testing of cryptococci. We were, however, fortunate that our patient responded clinically to fluconazole. Other authors have also reported positive outcomes with fluconazole therapy, either as a sole therapeutic agent [9] or as a subsequent antifungal agent following amphotericin B therapy [1]. Other azoles (e.g., voriconazole) were also used successfully to treat infections caused by C. laurentii [10]. The recent discovery of new antifungal compounds from plants such as fenugreek and clove basil could also potentially expand the antifungal arsenal against C. laurentii [12,13].

CONCLUSION

All clinical microbiology laboratories should attempt to identify non-neoformans cryptococci to the species level to aid therapeutic decisions. Due to the unpredictable fluconazole susceptibility profile, the threshold to switch to amphotericin B should be low for patients with C. laurentii infections who are initially managed with fluconazole.
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