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1. Introduction

Protoplanetary disks are the precursors of planetary systems. These disks consist of gas and dust orbiting young stars. Over time, the dust grains collide and stick together to form pebbles, eventually growing into planetesimals and planets (e.g., Mordasini et al. 2008, and references therein). The organic compositions of pebbles, planetesimals, and planets are therefore shaped by the organic chemistry of the gas and dust in their ancestral disks. By studying this chemistry, we can ultimately model and predict the chemical formation environment of young planets (e.g., Cridland et al. 2016, 2017; Öberg & Bergin 2016) and their potential ability to develop Earth-like life.

The small organic molecule formaldehyde (H$_2$CO) is expected to be important for the overall organic chemical budget of disks. H$_2$CO is commonly and abundantly detected in disks (e.g., Dutrey et al. 1997; Aikawa et al. 2003; Thi et al. 2004; Öberg et al. 2010, 2011). Based on cometary observations, H$_2$CO was also abundant in the solar nebula; in comets it is present at levels of $\sim$0.1%–1% with respect to water (Mumma & Charnley 2011). There are several possible chemical origins of H$_2$CO in disks. H$_2$CO can form through neutral–neutral gas-phase chemistry (e.g., Fockenberg & Preses 2002; Atkinson et al. 2006), and through grain-surface chemistry via CO ice hydrogenation (e.g., Hiraoka et al. 1994, 2002; Watanabe & Kouchi 2002; Hidaka et al. 2004; Watanabe et al. 2004; Fuchs et al. 2009). Both processes can occur in disks, though likely in different locations, since neutral–neutral gas-phase chemical reactions increase with density and temperature toward the inner disk, while CO ice hydrogenation is only possible beyond the CO snowline. In addition, H$_2$CO has been detected in ices around young stellar objects (e.g., detections/tentative detections by Gibb et al. 2004; Pontoppidan et al. 2004; Boogert et al. 2008), and some of the H$_2$CO observed in disks might be inherited from this preceding evolutionary stage (e.g., Drozdovskaya et al. 2014, 2019).

Understanding H$_2$CO emission and its origins in disks is important for modeling more complex organic disk chemistry. When formed through CO ice hydrogenation, H$_2$CO serves as a stepping stone toward forming oxygen-bearing, complex organic molecules (known as COMs, and typically defined as unsaturated carbon-bearing molecules with five or more atoms;
e.g., Herbst & van Dishoeck 2009), especially methanol (CH$_3$OH; e.g., Hiraoka et al. 1994; Watanabe & Kouchi 2002). COMs are expected to be rich in disks based on astrochemical disk models (Walsh et al. 2014). Unfortunately, without the help of rare heating phenomena such as stellar outbursts (e.g., van’t Hoff et al. 2018; Lee et al. 2019), COM emission lines are predicted to be difficult to detect in disks (Henning & Semenov 2013; Walsh et al. 2014), due to their large partition functions and comparatively small abundances. To date, the largest COMs detected toward disks are cyanoacetylene (HC$_3$N; Chapillon et al. 2012), methlycyanide (CH$_3$CN; Öberg et al. 2015b), methanol (CH$_3$OH; Walsh et al. 2016), and formic acid (HCOOH; Favre et al. 2018). Only cyanoacetylene and methlycyanide have been detected in more than one disk (Bergner et al. 2018; Loomis et al. 2018). H$_2$CO, on the other hand, is readily detectable in disks. The presence/abscence of H$_2$CO emission, originating specifically from grain-surface chemistry, can thus indicate whether the disk hosts a rich organic grain-surface chemistry or not (Walsh et al. 2014; Öberg et al. 2017).

The chemical origins of H$_2$CO in disks, and its potential to form more complex organic chemistry, can be addressed through spatially resolved observations of H$_2$CO emission at millimeter and submillimeter wavelengths. To date, H$_2$CO has been spatially resolved with the Atacama Large Millimeter/submillimeter Array (ALMA) toward six disks: (1) the T Tauri disk Oph IRS 48 (van der Marel et al. 2014); (2) the T Tauri disk DM Tau (Loomis et al. 2015); (3) the Herbig Ae disk HD 163296 (Carney et al. 2017; Guzmán et al. 2018); (4) the T Tauri disk TW Hya (Öberg et al. 2017); (5) the T Tauri disk V4046 Sgr (Kastner et al. 2018); and (6) the T Tauri disk DG Tau (Podio et al. 2019). These six studies show a variety of H$_2$CO morphologies, from centrally peaked (indicative of gas-phase formation) to ring-like in the outer disk (indicative of formation through CO ice hydrogenation). Two of these studies (Carney et al. 2017; Guzmán et al. 2018) calculated H$_2$CO excitation temperatures of >20 and 24 K, which are consistent with both formation pathways. Three of these studies (Loomis et al. 2015; Carney et al. 2017; Öberg et al. 2017) determined that the detected H$_2$CO emission could be described by two distinct components of H$_2$CO: (1) a warm inner component produced through gas-phase chemistry, and (2) a cold outer component exterior to the CO snowline produced through grain-surface chemistry. A larger survey of H$_2$CO emission toward disks is necessary to explore the typical distribution and chemical origins of H$_2$CO in protoplanetary disks, as well as their connections to stellar and disk characteristics.

In this work, we present an ALMA survey of H$_2$CO toward 15 protoplanetary disks. We characterize the H$_2$CO morphologies, excitation temperatures, and column densities, evaluate their dependence on stellar and disk characteristics, and assess the chemical origins of H$_2$CO. In Section 2, we describe the disk sample, the ALMA observations, and the data reduction process. In Section 3, we present our methodology for visualizing and analyzing the imaged line emission. In Section 4, we report the detections of H$_2$CO, the H$_2$CO emission morphologies, excitation temperatures, and column densities, and any trends relating the H$_2$CO to stellar and disk characteristics. In Section 5, we discuss the results in the context of H$_2$CO formation chemistry and disk environment, and in Section 6 we summarize the key findings from our survey.

Figure 1: Stellar effective temperature vs. stellar age for our disk sample (Table 1). Each point represents a disk, and the sizes denote the sizes of the submillimeter/millimeter dust continuum. An empty vs. filled point marks whether or not the disk shows a large inner cavity in the submillimeter/millimeter dust continuum. For disks where an age range rather than a single age is given in the literature, horizontal bars span that range.

2. Observational Details

2.1. Disk Sample

Figure 1 and Table 1 summarize the stellar and disk characteristics of the 15 disks in our sample. The sample was assembled from three main ALMA observing projects, with H$_2$CO lines from other ALMA observing projects added in as available. The sample consists of well-studied T Tauri and Herbig Ae disks, and gas, dust, and temperature structures are available for most sources from previous studies. The host stars in the sample range in luminosity, mass, and age from ~0.2–26 $L_{\odot}$, ~0.5–2.0 $M_{\odot}$, and ~1–15 Myr, respectively. All disks in the sample are relatively close by, ~70–170 pc away. Six disks in the sample are associated with the Taurus–Auriga region, five with the Upper Scorpius region, and one each with the Lupus and Ophiuchus regions.

The disks vary in dust morphology and size, but most are large. Outer millimeter dust radii range from <75 to ~200 au (where we define the outer radius as the radius where the azimuthally-averaged continuum emission drops below 5% of the peak). Five of the disks are “transition disks,” i.e., have large inner holes in their submillimeter/millimeter dust continuum: DM Tau (Marsh & Mahoney 1992), GM Aur (Jensen & Mathieu 1997), J1604-2130 (Mathews et al. 2012), LkCa 15 (Bergin et al. 2004), and V4046 Sgr (Jensen & Mathieu 1997).

2.2. ALMA Observations

The core of our study contains observations of the H$_2$CO 3$_{01}$–2$_{02}$ and 4$_{03}$–3$_{04}$ lines toward eight disks each (both lines are observed toward one disk, DM Tau). These observations are complemented by the H$_2$CO 5$_{15}$–4$_{14}$ line toward two disks; the H$_2$CO 3$_{22}$–2$_{21}$ and 3$_{21}$–2$_{20}$ lines toward 11 disks each; and the H$_2$CO 4$_{14}$–3$_{13}$, 4$_{13}$–3$_{12}$, 4$_{23}$–3$_{22}$, and 4$_{22}$–3$_{21}$ lines toward two disks each. When detected, these additional lines are used
to determine H_2CO excitation temperatures and column densities.

In total, nine H_2CO lines were considered, providing a total of 48 H_2CO observations across the 15 disks. All H_2CO lines and their molecular characteristics, ALMA observing projects, and corresponding disks are listed in Table 2. The ALMA observing projects are described and referenced briefly in Table 3.

We also consider complementary dust continuum and C^{18}O observations toward all disks except GM Aur. All CO observations were taken from ALMA observing projects 2013.1.00226.S, 2015.1.00964.S, and 2016.1.00627.S.

### 2.3. Data Reduction

The ALMA/NAASC staff performed the initial calibration (including bandpass, flux, and phase calibration) for each observation. The flux calibration for HD 163296 in ALMA observing projects 2012.1.00681.S and 2013.1.00226.S was further optimized by Qi et al. (2015) and Huang et al. (2017), respectively. As the data sets were observed at different times across the past decade, we note that this initial calibration was nonuniform across the sample. After initial calibration, we used the COMMON ASTRONOMY SOFTWARE APPLICATIONS package (CASA) version 4.7.2 to self-calibrate and image each targeted

---

**Table 2**

| H_2CO Line | Frequency (GHz) | A_m (10^{13} s^{-1}) | E_u (K) | g_u | ALMA Project Code | Observed Disks |
|------------|----------------|-----------------------|--------|-----|-------------------|----------------|
| p-3_0−2_0 | 218.222 | 2.818 | 20.956 | 7 | 2016.1.00627.S | CI Tau, DM Tau, DO Tau |
| p-3_2−2_1 | 218.476 | 1.571 | 68.094 | 7 | 2015.1.00964.S | HD 143006, J1604-2130, J1609-1908, J1612-1859, J1614-2100 |
| p-3_1−2_0 | 218.760 | 1.578 | 68.111 | 7 | 2013.1.00226.S | AS 209, HD 163296, IM Lup, LkCa 15, MWC 480, V4046 Sgr |
| o-4_1−3_1 | 281.527 | 5.884 | 45.570 | 27 | 2015.1.00657.S | LkCa 15, MWC 480 |
| p-4_0−3_0 | 290.623 | 6.903 | 34.904 | 9 | 2015.1.00678.S | AS 209, DM Tau, GM Aur, IM Lup, V4046 Sgr |
| o-4_1−3_1 | 291.238 | 5.211 | 82.071 | 9 | 2015.1.00678.S | LkCa 15, MWC 480 |
| p-4_2−3_1 | 301.948 | 5.249 | 82.122 | 9 | 2015.1.00678.S | LkCa 15, MWC 480 |
| o-4_1−3_1 | 300.837 | 7.178 | 47.887 | 27 | 2015.1.00657.S | LkCa 15, MWC 480 |
| o-5_1−4_1 | 351.769 | 12.02 | 62.452 | 33 | 2011.0.00629.S | DM Tau, MWC 480 |
line. We self-calibrated using the continuum emission from all available spectral windows, after removing any strong lines. Solution intervals were 10–100 s. We used intervals within this range that maximized the number of solutions with signal-to-noise ratios $\geq 2$. We carried out two iterations of phase calibration and one iteration of amplitude calibration for each line, and we used a Briggs weighting scheme and a robust value of 0.5 during the self-calibration process for all disks. There were a few exceptions to this approach. For one observation of the H$_2$CO 312 line toward DM Tau, HD 163296, and V4046 Sgr was observed with largest angular scales of 2$''$6, 2$''$9, and 4$''$2, respectively. It is possible that we are missing extended H$_2$CO emission for these large disks.

We did not image the two weaker H$_2$CO 322–321 and 331–320 lines for the disks J1609-1908, J1612-1859, and J1614-1906, because the emission from the much brighter H$_2$CO 303–202 line was already very faint for these three disks.

### 3. Image Analysis

Our basic image products were image cubes, which are visualized as channel maps. Figure 2 displays the channel map for the H$_2$CO 303–202 line toward J1604-2130; channel maps for all detected lines and sources are shown in Appendix A. We generated Keplerian masks (e.g., Rosenfeld et al. 2013; Yen et al. 2016; Salinas et al. 2017) to extract the line emission within each channel for each disk, ultimately reducing the noise incorporated into the extracted emission. We generated each set of Keplerian masks in four steps$^{11}$: (1) calculate each disk’s line-of-sight velocities within the disk’s coordinate system, (2) incorporate thermal and turbulent broadening using the approach of Yen et al. (2016), (3) mask the pixels within each velocity channel that fall within the broadened velocity bins, and (4) convolve the masks with the average beam size. For this survey, we empirically fit for the position and inclination angles using the masks, C$^{18}$O emission toward each disk, and a grid-search algorithm ($^{12}$CO emission was used for the three disks where C$^{18}$O was not detected, and H$_2$CO emission was used for the one disk where no CO was observed). The Keplerian masks calculated for the H$_2$CO 303–202 line toward J1604-2130 are overplotted in the channel map of Figure 2. All parameters used to generate the Keplerian masks for the sample are given in Appendix A.

We created a velocity-integrated emission mask (a.k.a. a moment-0 map) for each line and disk pair by summing up the emission within the Keplerian masks across the velocity channels in the data cube. To estimate the noise of each velocity-integrated emission map, it is important to account for how each pixel in the image appears in a different number of Keplerian masks, depending on the pixel’s location. We therefore generated an “rms map” for each line and disk, which calculates the rms at each pixel through all masks. Each rms map has the same dimensions as the velocity-integrated emission map and holds different rms values for each pixel. For each line and disk, we randomly sampled 1000 rms maps across channels with no emission, and then took the standard deviation at each pixel. We used the median of the standard deviations as the estimate of the line emission map’s noise.

---

$^{11}$ The Keplerian mask package is available on GitHub (https://github.com/jpeuges/kepmask). Version 1.0.0 was used for this paper, which is available from Pegues (2019).
## Table 4

| Disk      | H$_2$CO Line | Flux$^a$ (mJy × km s$^{-1}$) | Peak Flux$^a$ (mJy beam$^{-1}$ × km s$^{-1}$) | Integrated Velocity Range (km s$^{-1}$) | Channel Width (km s$^{-1}$) | rms$^b$ (mJy beam$^{-1}$) | Beam Size (P.A.) | Robust Value |
|-----------|--------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| AS 209    | 4$_{20}$–3$_{19}$ | 580 ± 17                    | 19 ± 2.6                                      | 0.9–8.1                                 | 0.4                         | 2.9                    | 0.31 ± 0.22      | 69 ± 84      | 0.5          |
|           | ...           | ...                          | ...                                           | ...                                     | ...                         | ...                    | ...             | ...          |
| DM Tau    | 4$_{40}$–3$_{39}$ | 87 ± 67                     | 5.4–10.2                                      | 0.2                                     | 4.0                         | 0.87 ± 0.57           | 71 ± 56         | 2.0          |
|           | ...           | ...                          | ...                                           | ...                                     | ...                         | ...                    | ...             | ...          |
| CI Tau    | 3$_{10}$–2$_{9}$ | 37 ± 17                     | 5.4–10.2                                      | 0.2                                     | 4.0                         | 0.87 ± 0.57           | 71 ± 56         | 2.0          |
| DM Tau    | 4$_{40}$–3$_{39}$ | 87 ± 67                     | 5.4–10.2                                      | 0.2                                     | 4.0                         | 0.87 ± 0.57           | 71 ± 56         | 2.0          |
| CI Tau    | 3$_{10}$–2$_{9}$ | 37 ± 17                     | 5.4–10.2                                      | 0.2                                     | 4.0                         | 0.87 ± 0.57           | 71 ± 56         | 2.0          |

Notes.

$^a$ The velocity-integrated fluxes (column 3) were measured within the radii of the Keplerian masks (Appendix A). The 3σ upper limit is given for tentative and nondetections, and the upper limits of nondetections are additionally marked with a $^\circ$. The peak flux (column 4) are the peaks of the velocity-integrated emission maps; note the difference in unit compared to the velocity-integrated fluxes. The uncertainty in each peak flux is the standard deviation of the peaks across 1000 random samples. The 3σ upper limit is given whenever the peak flux is less than 3σ itself. Uncertainties do not include absolute flux uncertainties.

$^b$ The channel rms was estimated as the standard deviation of 1000 1°-by-1° random samples.

$^c$ The following UV tapes were applied to reduce the effects of small-scale noise in the image: 0.75 for the H$_2$CO 4$_{11}$–3$_{10}$ line toward IM Lup and V4046 Sgr, 0.75 for the 5$_{15}$–4$_{14}$ line toward MWC 480, and 0.05 for the 4$_{11}$–3$_{10}$ line toward HD 163296. UV tapes of 0.05, 0.05, and 0.05 were applied to the 3$_{02}$–2$_{01}$ (0.05), 5$_{15}$–4$_{14}$ (0.05), and 4$_{04}$–3$_{03}$ (0.05) lines toward DM Tau for the same reason, as well as to make the beam sizes comparable for these lines.

Notes.

$^a$ The velocity-integrated fluxes (column 3) were measured within the radii of the Keplerian masks (Appendix A). The 3σ upper limit is given for tentative and nondetections, and the upper limits of nondetections are additionally marked with a $^\circ$. The peak flux (column 4) are the peaks of the velocity-integrated emission maps; note the difference in unit compared to the velocity-integrated fluxes. The uncertainty in each peak flux is the standard deviation of the peaks across 1000 random samples. The 3σ upper limit is given whenever the peak flux is less than 3σ itself. Uncertainties do not include absolute flux uncertainties.

$^b$ The channel rms was estimated as the standard deviation of 1000 1°-by-1° random samples.

$^c$ The following UV tapes were applied to reduce the effects of small-scale noise in the image: 0.75 for the H$_2$CO 4$_{11}$–3$_{10}$ line toward IM Lup and V4046 Sgr, 0.75 for the 5$_{15}$–4$_{14}$ line toward MWC 480, and 0.05 for the 4$_{11}$–3$_{10}$ line toward HD 163296. UV tapes of 0.05, 0.05, and 0.05 were applied to the 3$_{02}$–2$_{01}$ (0.05), 5$_{15}$–4$_{14}$ (0.05), and 4$_{04}$–3$_{03}$ (0.05) lines toward DM Tau for the same reason, as well as to make the beam sizes comparable for these lines.
Figure 3. H$_2$CO $3_{03} - 2_{02}$ and $4_{04} - 3_{03}$ velocity-integrated emission maps. The left and right groups of columns correspond to all detected/tentatively detected H$_2$CO $3_{03} - 2_{02}$ (3–2) and $4_{04} - 3_{03}$ (4–3) lines, respectively. In each group, each row shows emission for a different disk. The first column in each group shows the dust continuum at wavelengths of either 1.3 mm (left group) or 1.0 mm (right group), the second shows the velocity-integrated emission maps above 2σ map for C$^{18}$O, and the third shows the H$_2$CO velocity-integrated emission maps above 2σ$_{map}$. Colorbars are provided for the H$_2$CO emission maps to the right of each group. The contour lines for all subplots are [3σ, 5σ, 10σ, 20σ...]; for the continuum emission σ is the continuum rms, while for the integrated emission maps σ is the corresponding σ$_{map}$. Beams are drawn in the lower right corners. The H$_2$CO 3–2 line is tentatively detected toward J1614-1906. All other H$_2$CO 3–2 and 4–3 lines are detected. C$^{18}$O is not observed toward GM Aur and is not detected toward J1609-1908 and J1614-1906.
summed the emission in the Keplerian masks as a function of velocity channel to extract a spectrum for each line and disk (shown in Appendix B).

4. Results

4.1. Detection Statistics

We define a detection as a line that fulfills the following criteria:

1. Peak emission is $\geq 3\sigma$ in the velocity-integrated emission map.
2. Emission within the Keplerian masks is $\geq 3\sigma$ in at least four velocity channels of the channel map.

We define a tentative detection as a line that fulfills at least one of the above criteria, and a nondetection as a line that fails both criteria. Based on these criteria, we detect the H$_2$CO $3_03–2_02$ line toward 6/8 disks. We tentatively detect this line toward the disk J1614-1906, and do not detect it toward the disk J1612-1859. We detect the H$_2$CO $4_{04}–3_03$ line toward 8/8 disks. Altogether, we report detections of H$_2$CO toward 13/15 disks. Of these 13 disks, we detect one line toward eight disks, two lines toward one disk, three lines toward two disks, four lines toward one disk, and six lines toward one disk. Table 4 lists the fluxes for all detected H$_2$CO lines and flux upper limits for all tentative and nondetected H$_2$CO lines. The fluxes for the associated continuum and C$^{18}$O emission are in Appendix C.

4.2. Spatial Distributions of H$_2$CO Emission

Figure 3 presents emission maps for all observed H$_2$CO $3_03–2_02$ and $4_{04}–3_03$ lines, associated dust continuum, and C$^{18}$O line emission. Figure 4 summarizes the emission morphologies of the disks detected in H$_2$CO. Figure 5 shows the radial profiles of all H$_2$CO $3_{03}–_{202}$ and $3_{03}–_{303}$ lines. We see a variety of H$_2$CO spatial structures between the 13 detected disks. The majority (8/13) of the disks are centrally peaked in H$_2$CO. Three disks have central dips (central depressions that are $\sim 1–3\sigma_{\text{peak}}$ deep) in H$_2$CO, while the last two disks have central holes (central depressions that are $\geq 3\sigma_{\text{peak}}$ deep) in H$_2$CO. About half (6/13) of the disks show outer rings or plateaus in H$_2$CO emission. DM Tau, for example, has rings in its H$_2$CO $4_{04}–3_{03}$ emission and a plateau with suggestive ring-like substructure in its H$_2$CO $3_{03}–2_{02}$ emission.

Figure 6 compares the H$_2$CO radial profiles with the dust continuum and C$^{18}$O radial profiles. The majority (10/13) of the disks show H$_2$CO emission beyond the edges of the dust continuum (defined as the radial extent at which the azimuthally-averaged continuum emission is 5% of its peak). Of the five disks that show inner holes in the dust continuum at our resolution, only one disk, J1604-2130, shows a similar inner hole in the H$_2$CO emission. Dust and H$_2$CO emission substructure thus do not necessarily coincide. The C$^{18}$O and H$_2$CO emission morphologies are more similar than the dust and H$_2$CO: seven disks have similar radial extents in H$_2$CO and C$^{18}$O, and five have similar outer slopes in H$_2$CO and C$^{18}$O emission. However, there are also distinct differences between the H$_2$CO and C$^{18}$O emission morphologies, indicating that H$_2$CO substructure is set by chemistry as well as by the disk gas distribution.

A priori, one possible explanation for the central H$_2$CO depressions observed is dust opacity, i.e., optically thick dust blocking out molecular emission. C$^{18}$O emission profiles provide an avenue to test this. We do see C$^{18}$O depressions in three disks with H$_2$CO inner dips or holes: IM Lup, J1604-2130, and LkCa 15 (Figure 6). However, for two of these disks (J1604-2130 and LkCa 15) the C$^{18}$O depressions coincide with central holes in the dust continuum and are therefore explained by holes in gas and dust rather than by dust opacity. This leaves IM Lup as the only case where the central H$_2$CO depression is likely due to dust opacity, at least in part. For all disks except IM Lup, optically thick dust is likely not a major cause for the H$_2$CO emission substructure in the sample.

4.3. Rotational Diagram Analysis

Three or more H$_2$CO lines are detected toward four disks: DM Tau, J1604-2130, LkCa 15, and MWC 480. The velocity-integrated emission maps are shown in Figure 7, while the radial profiles and spectra are shown in Figure 8. For these four disks, we fit for the area-averaged H$_2$CO excitation temperatures ($T_{\text{ex}}$) and column densities ($N_{\text{tot}}$) using the rotational diagram method (e.g., Blake et al. 1987; Goldsmith & Langer 1999). This method assumes that the lines are in local thermodynamic equilibrium (LTE), that all lines originate from the same disk environment, and that the emission fills the beam. LTE should be a reasonable approximation, since the H$_2$CO critical densities are lower than typical disk densities in the midplane and warm molecular disk layers (see Appendix D). It is a reasonable first approximation that the emission fills the beam, since the H$_2$CO emission is always resolved; however unresolved emission substructure may be present.

Following Loomis et al. (2018), we construct opacity-corrected rotational diagrams by relating $T_{\text{ex}}$ and $N_{\text{tot}}$ to the area-averaged column density $\overline{N}$ and degeneracy $g_u$ of each
where \( N_u^\text{thin} \) is the area-averaged column density if the line is actually optically thin, \( \int Sdv \) is the area-averaged velocity-integrated flux (in units of spectral flux density \( \times \) velocity), \( A_u \) is the Einstein coefficient, and \( \Omega_u \) is the solid angle of area over which \( \int Sdv \) is averaged. Next, \( h \) is the Planck constant, \( c \) is the speed of light, \( Q(T) \) is the partition function at temperature \( T \) (interpolated from \( T \) and \( Q(T) \) values from CDMS; Müller et al. 2005), and \( E_u \) is the upper energy level. Finally, \( \tau_{ul} = \tau_{ul}/(1 - e^{-\tau_{ul}}) \) is the opacity correction factor (e.g., Goldsmith & Langer 1999), where the opacity of the line \( \tau_{ul} \) can be calculated as:

\[
\tau_{ul} = \left( \frac{A_u c^3}{8 \pi \nu^3 \Delta \nu} \right) N_u^\text{thin} (e^{h\nu/(k_u T_{ex})} - 1) - \left( \frac{A_u c^3}{8 \pi \nu^3 \Delta \nu} \right) N_u^\text{thin} (e^{h\nu/(k_u T_{ex})} - 1)
\]

\[
(1 - e^{-\tau_{ul}}) = \left( \frac{A_u c^3}{8 \pi \nu^3 \Delta \nu} \right) N_u^\text{thin} (e^{h\nu/(k_u T_{ex})} - 1)
\]

\[
\tau_{ul} - \frac{\tau_{ul}^2}{2} + \frac{\tau_{ul}^3}{6} \approx \left( \frac{A_u c^3}{8 \pi \nu^3 \Delta \nu} \right) N_u^\text{thin} (e^{h\nu/(k_u T_{ex})} - 1),
\]
where \( (1 - e^{-\tau_{ul}}) \approx \tau_{ul} - \frac{\tau_{ul}^2}{2} + \frac{\tau_{ul}^3}{6} \), with accuracy within 99\%, 95\%, and 90\% at \( \tau_{ul} \) of 0.6, 1.0, and 1.25 respectively. \( \nu \) is the line frequency, \( k_B \) is the Boltzmann constant, and \( \Delta \nu_i \) is the intrinsic line width, assumed to be a combination of thermal and turbulent broadening (e.g., Flaherty et al. 2015):

\[
\Delta \nu_i \approx \sqrt{\frac{2k_B T_{ex}}{m_{\text{HCO}}} + \left( \frac{k_B T_{ex}}{1.01m_{\text{H}}} \right)^2},
\]

where \( m_{\text{HCO}} \) and \( m_{\text{H}} \) are the masses of H\(_2\)CO and H respectively, \( \mu = 2.37 \) is the assumed mean molecular weight of the disk, and \( l_0 \approx 0.01 \) is the assumed prefactor for the turbulent contribution (e.g., Flaherty et al. 2015).

Combining Equations (1) and (2), we initially solve for the opacity-uncorrected \( T_{ex} \) and \( N_{tot} \) using a linear fit assuming \( C_{\nu} = 1 \), and then we correct the rotational diagram for the opacity using an iterative approach: (1) solve for each \( \tau_{ul} \) using Equation (2), (2) recalculate each \( \Delta \nu_i \) using Equation (1), (3) redo the linear fit to the rotational diagram (the last line of Equation (1)), and (4) use the fit to recalculate the values for \( T_{ex} \) and \( N_{tot} \) from the fit’s slope and y-intercept, respectively. We repeat this process until we reach convergence, defined as when the difference in the \( \tau_{ul} \) values and \( T_{ex} \) between two iterations is at most 0.001\% (or, in the case of the uncertain spike in excitation temperature for LkCa 15, until 5000 iterations have passed).

Figure 9 displays the disk-averaged rotational diagrams. The disk area is measured out to where at least one H\(_2\)CO line has azimuthally-averaged intensities above 1\( \sigma \). Table 6 lists the extracted disk-averaged excitation temperatures and column densities. The disk-averaged excitation temperatures span \( \sim 11-37 \) K. The disk-averaged column densities span a little more than an order of magnitude: J1604-2130 has the largest column density at \( \sim 2.1 \times 10^{13} \) cm\(^{-2} \), while MWC 480 has the lowest at \( \sim 1.9 \times 10^{12} \) cm\(^{-2} \). Notably the energy ranges covered by the H\(_2\)CO lines for each disk are similar, implying that these results are not due to excitation effects from using different H\(_2\)CO lines.

For each disk we also construct azimuthally-averaged rotational diagrams. For each fit, we extract the integrated flux for each line from within the same narrow (0\"1) rings of the deprojected disk around the host star. We then construct a rotational diagram for each ring, assuming that each ring has uniform temperature and column density (see Loomis et al. 2018 for more details). Figure 10 displays the azimuthally-averaged excitation temperatures. In all disks except J1604-2130, the radially resolved temperature profiles are close to
consistent with a constant temperature. The excitation temperature increase toward J1604-2130 coincides with the inner dust edge, and may be due to direct radiation from the host star. Otherwise, the H$_2$CO excitation temperature across disks are $\sim$20–50 K, with the exception of DM Tau, where most H$_2$CO appears to be at $\sim$10–15 K. We note that DM Tau is an M-star with a massive disk, and it is therefore perhaps unsurprising that it hosts the coldest H$_2$CO.
Values were derived from the linear MWC 480 21 LkCa 15 29 are 1 linear DM Tau 11 V4046 Sgr 40 AS 209 50 – IM Lup 38 HD 163296 69 – HD 143006 59 – GM Aur 40 – DM Tau 45 only disk of these four that is irradiated by a Herbig Ae star.

Figure 9. Disk-averaged rotational diagrams. The purple points represent the $N/\theta_A$, values extracted from the observations, while the black lines indicate the best linear fits taking into account calculated line opacities. Disk areas extend out to where at least one H$_2$CO line has azimuthally-averaged intensities above 1σ. Error bars are 1σ and include 10% absolute flux uncertainties, added in quadrature to the velocity-integrated flux uncertainties.

| Disk         | $T_{\text{ex}}$ (K) | $N_{\text{H}_2\text{CO}}$ (10$^{17}$ cm$^{-2}$) | $\tau_{\text{ex}}$ (H$_2$CO Line) |
|--------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| DM Tau       | 11 ± 0.39           | 2.4 ± 0.34                                  | 0.85 ± 0.20 (303–202), 0.35 ± 0.08 (404–303), 0.19 ± 0.06 (515–414)  |
| J1604-2130   | 37 ± 3.6            | 21 ± 3.2                                    | 0.44 ± 0.06 (303–202), 0.07 ± 0.01 (321–232), 0.07 ± 0.01 (332–221)  |
| LkCa 15      | 29 ± 8.8            | 3.1 ± 1.4                                   | 0.14 ± 0.04 (404–303), 0.26 ± 0.08 (413–321), 0.26 ± 0.07 (414–313)  |
| MWC 480      | 21 ± 2.2            | 1.9 ± 0.47                                  | 0.16 ± 0.03 (404–303), 0.32 ± 0.07 (413–321), 0.22 ± 0.04 (414–313), 0.19 ± 0.05 (515–414)  |

Note. Values were derived from the linear fits of Figure 9. Errors and fits include 10% absolute flux uncertainties, added in quadrature to the velocity-integrated flux uncertainties.

| Disk         | CO Midplane Snowline Range (au) | Reference         |
|--------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|
| AS 209       | 50–111                          | Bergner et al. (2019) |
| CI Tau       | 64–166                          | Bergner et al. (2019) |
| DM Tau       | 45–85                           | Qi et al. (2019)   |
| DO Tau       | 76–310                          | Bergner et al. (2019) |
| GM Aur       | 40–58                           | Qi et al. (2019)   |
| HD 143006    | 59–251                          | Bergner et al. (2019) |
| HD 163296    | 69–83                           | Qi et al. (2015)   |
| IM Lup       | 38–69                           | Bergner et al. (2019) |
| J1604-2130   | 43–64                           | Bergner et al. (2019) |
| J1609-1908   | 36–73                           | Bergner et al. (2019) |
| J1612-1859   | 36–78                           | Bergner et al. (2019) |
| J1614-1906   | 31–71                           | Bergner et al. (2019) |
| LkCa 15      | 48–64                           | Qi et al. (2019)   |
| MWC 480      | 90–150$^a$                     | Loomis et al. (2020, submitted) |
| V4046 Sgr    | 40–85                           | Bergner et al. (2019) |

Note. All locations have been scaled to new *Gaia* distances (Table 1).

$^a$ The CO snowline was estimated as 120 au by Loomis et al. (2020, submitted); here we broaden the snowline by ±30 au to account for the resolution of the data.

4.4. Radial $H_2CO$ Column Density Profiles across the Sample

For each disk where a single $H_2CO$ line was detected, we estimate a range of azimuthally-averaged column density profiles using Equation (1) for $H_2CO$ excitation temperatures between 20–50 K. Figure 11 displays the resulting $H_2CO$ column density profiles, demonstrating that they are quite insensitive to excitation temperature assumptions in this range. Across the disks, estimated column densities span nearly three orders of magnitude, from $\sim5\sim5000 \times 10^{11}$ cm$^{-2}$. The highest column densities are seen at about 25 and 100 au for the disks GM Aur and J1604-2130, respectively; notably, these locations are near the inner edges of the holes in the disks’ dust continuum. The lowest column densities are seen for the disks HD 163296, MWC 480, and V4046 Sgr, which present low column densities across their entire disks. Notably HD 163296 and MWC 480 are the only Herbig Ae disks in the sample, and V4046 Sgr is a very old disk.

Figure 12 plots the median radial $H_2CO$ column density profiles from Figure 11, grouped by stellar age on the left and stellar type (T Tauri or Herbig Ae) on the right. The right-hand panel of Figure 12 shows that the median Herbig Ae $H_2CO$ column densities are generally lower than the T Tauri medians. Note that this trend is tentative, since there are only two Herbig Ae disks in the sample, and there is overlap between the T Tauri and Herbig Ae distributions. The left-hand panel shows that the median $H_2CO$ column densities for the old disks ($\geq5$ Myr) are similar to the Herbig Ae medians, because both Herbig Ae disks are $\geq5$ Myr. However, given that the $\geq5$ Myr disk J1604-2130 hosts some of the largest $H_2CO$ column densities in the survey, it seems more likely that the tentative trend is explained by stellar type rather than stellar age. There is no trend in $H_2CO$ column density with dust continuum size (not shown).

Figure 10 also displays the azimuthally-averaged $H_2CO$ column densities. The column density profiles generally vary between the four disks, closely following their $H_2CO$ line emission profiles. Notably the observed $H_2CO$ line emission plateau toward DM Tau seems to be due to a near-flat column density profile beyond $\sim100$ au in this disk. Interestingly, $H_2CO$ has the smallest column density toward MWC 480, the only disk of these four that is irradiated by a Herbig Ae star.
5. Discussion

5.1. H$_2$CO Formation Chemistry

Interpretations of our observed H$_2$CO morphologies and profiles rely on an understanding of the possible astrochemical origins of H$_2$CO in disks, which we briefly review here.

Astrochemical laboratory experiments and models have shown that H$_2$CO can form efficiently from both gas-phase and grain-surface chemistry. In the gas phase, H$_2$CO can form through multiple pathways, including the neutral-neutral reaction (e.g., Fockenberg & Presses 2002; Atkinson et al. 2006):

$$\text{CH}_3 + \text{O} \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{CO} + \text{H}.$$ 

Under laboratory conditions from ~290 to 930 K, the H$_2$CO yield from this reaction is roughly constant (e.g., Fockenberg & Presses 2002). Astrochemical disk modeling has shown that at low temperatures (<100 K), this pathway is the dominant source of gas-phase H$_2$CO formation (van der Marel et al. 2014; Loomis et al. 2015), and that gas-phase production of H$_2$CO in general is most efficient in the warm, dense inner regions of the disk (Loomis et al. 2015).

On grain surfaces, H$_2$CO can form through the sequential hydrogenation of CO ice, which also produces CH$_3$OH if the hydrogenation continues (e.g., Hiraoka et al. 1994, 2002; Watanabe & Kouchi 2002; Hidaka et al. 2004; Watanabe et al. 2004; Fuchs & Atkinson 2009):

$$\text{CO} \xrightarrow{+\text{H}} \text{HCO} \xrightarrow{+\text{H}} \text{H}_2\text{CO} \xrightarrow{+\text{H}} \text{CH}_2\text{OH}.$$ 

We expect CO hydrogenation to produce H$_2$CO efficiently beyond the CO snowline. However, since H$_2$CO grain-surface production is possible as long as some CO is on the grains long enough to react with atomic hydrogen, H$_2$CO grain-surface production likely also occurs somewhat interior to the CO snowline (Öberg et al. 2017).

H$_2$CO is less volatile than CO; the desorption energies of H$_2$CO off of different icy surfaces range from 3300–3700 K (Noble et al. 2012), while the desorption energies of $^{13}$CO range from 900–1600 K (Fayolle et al. 2016). Therefore H$_2$CO freezes out at temperatures higher than ~80 K, which is interior to the CO snowline at smaller radial distances in the disks than we are sensitive to. However, frozen-out H$_2$CO beyond the H$_2$CO snowline can still be released into the gas via nonthermal desorption (e.g., Walsh et al. 2014; Loomis et al. 2015; Öberg et al. 2017; Féaud et al. 2019).

5.2. H$_2$CO and the CO Snowline

To constrain H$_2$CO formation pathways in our survey, we compare the observed H$_2$CO emission profiles with estimated locations of CO snowlines at the disk midplane (Table 5). Studies have inferred CO snowlines for disks using CO isotopologues and chemical tracers of CO freeze-out, and found freeze-out temperatures between ~17 and 26 K (e.g., Mathews et al. 2013; Qi et al. 2013, 2015; Öberg et al. 2015a; Schwarz et al. 2016; Pinte et al. 2018). For DM Tau, GM Aur, HD 163296, and LkCa 15, we use the CO snowline locations fitted using N$_2$H$^+$ as a tracer by Qi et al. (2015, 2019). For the nine other disks with detected H$_2$CO, we estimate midplane snowlines at locations corresponding to a similar temperature range of 17–25 K, extracted from the disk models of Bergner et al. (2019) and Le Gal et al. (2019). Note that these model-based estimates are very uncertain, and in at least two cases (AS 209 and V4046 Sgr) they overestimate the CO snowline location compared to the location based on N$_2$H$^+$ (Qi et al. 2019). Three disks (DO Tau, HD 143006, and J1609-1908) have CO snowline ranges that are too broad to be informative, and we exclude them from further analysis.

Figure 5 compares the H$_2$CO profiles and the inferred CO snowline locations. There is significant H$_2$CO emission both interior and exterior to the CO snowline of all disks. A majority (6/10) of the H$_2$CO radial profiles peak interior to the CO snowline, but have rings or plateaus in H$_2$CO at or beyond the CO snowline. Two of the remaining disks, IM Lup and J1604-2130, have H$_2$CO radial profiles that peak beyond the CO snowline. One of the last two disks, MWC 480, may show more substructure at higher resolution. The simplest explanation for these H$_2$CO morphologies is that H$_2$CO is produced through both gas-phase and grain-surface chemistry in disks.
The substantial central H$_2$CO emission found interior to the CO snowline implies an inner component of H$_2$CO produced in the gas phase and/or due to sublimation of inward-moving grains. The rings and local enhancements in H$_2$CO emission found at or beyond the CO snowline are best explained by grain-surface production, since the efficiency of H$_2$CO gas-phase formation is expected to decrease with disk radius. It is possible that H$_2$CO ices inherited from the preceding protostellar stage are contributing to the observed H$_2$CO emission in disks. While we would expect inherited H$_2$CO ices to mainly contribute to emission in the inner disk, where H$_2$CO desorption would be efficient, we cannot exclude a smaller contribution in the outer disk, which may arise from the mixing of midplane ices into the warm upper layers of the disk. However, there should be no relationship between this emission and the CO snowline, which suggests that inheritance alone cannot explain the observed H$_2$CO emission morphologies.

Our results thus indicate that the two-component H$_2$CO model discussed by Loomis et al. (2015), Carney et al. (2017), and Öberg et al. (2017) for three specific disks, containing one warm inner component and one cold component exterior to the CO snowline, likely holds for disks generally. Furthermore, it is likely that H$_2$CO is commonly produced through both gas-phase and grain-surface chemistry in disks. We expect that the H$_2$CO formed through CO hydrogenation beyond the CO snowline will coincide with the formation of complex oxygen-bearing organics, particularly methanol (CH$_3$OH), as these molecules can form along the same hydrogenation pathway as H$_2$CO (e.g., Hiraoka et al. 1994; Watanabe & Kouchi 2002). However, since there is also some contribution from gas-phase chemistry to the total H$_2$CO budget at these radii, it is difficult to assess how much of these COMs are present. Detailed modeling, as well as a few benchmarking disks with both H$_2$CO and COM detections, are needed to quantify the relationship.
between H$_2$CO and COM production in disks. In the meantime, we conclude that a majority of disks do have an active organic ice chemistry, and that COM production should be expected in the outer regions of most protoplanetary disks.

The exception to this conclusion may be disks around Herbig Ae stars, because their warmer temperatures allow for limited CO freeze-out. Our survey tentatively shows that T Tauri disks have more H$_2$CO than Herbig Ae disks, despite how the Herbig Ae disks present the highest C$^{18}$O flux densities. This finding is consistent with the single-dish survey of H$_2$CO in disks conducted by Guilloteau et al. (2013), which showed that warmer disks tended to have less H$_2$CO emission. It is also consistent with the Submillimeter Array survey conducted by Öberg et al. (2010), which noted that the lower detection rates toward Herbig Ae disks could be because their midplanes are too warm for a cold CO-ice-based chemistry. Therefore our results could be explained by a small or non-existing production of H$_2$CO through CO ice hydrogenation in Herbig Ae disks. More spatially resolved observations of H$_2$CO toward Herbig Ae disks are needed to further evaluate this tentative trend.

5.3. Relationship Between H$_2$CO and Dust Substructure
Carney et al. (2017) and Öberg et al. (2017) note three ways that H$_2$CO could be affected by a hole or edge in the dust continuum: (1) through enhanced temperatures due to greater irradiation in regions with fewer solids (Cleeves et al. 2011; Cleeves 2016); (2) through increased photodesorption of H$_2$CO off the grains from the increased irradiation (Öberg et al. 2015a); and/or (3) through increased CO photodissociation in the upper layers, since more CO in the upper layers could produce more hydrocarbon radicals and atomic oxygen in the gas, and then possibly allow for more efficient gas-phase production of H$_2$CO (Carney et al. 2017; Öberg et al. 2017).

Five of the disks in our survey (DM Tau, GM Aur, J1604-2130, LkCa 15, and V4046 Sgr) have inner holes in the submillimeter/millimeter dust continuum that are detected at our spatial resolution. For these five disks, we see no universal trend in H$_2$CO emission morphologies in the inner disks. Four of the five disks show centrally peaked H$_2$CO emission despite the central depletions in dust continuum. The final disk, J1604-2130, does show an inner hole in the H$_2$CO emission. Notably, J1604-2130 also has a large inner hole in its C$^{18}$O emission (see also Zhang et al. 2014; van der Marel et al. 2015). We also do not see a consistent trend in H$_2$CO emission morphologies at the outer dust continuum edges, but four of the disks show clear bumps in H$_2$CO emission right at those edges. It therefore appears that while dust substructure does influence the distribution of H$_2$CO in disks, there is no single relationship between the two.

5.4. H$_2$CO Excitation Temperatures
The H$_2$CO excitation temperature should reflect the temperature of the vertical layer in the disk within which the gas resides, as long as the densities are high enough for LTE. T Tauri disk models predict that beyond ~50 au (the scale we are most sensitive to), the midplane will be 10–30 K, the molecular layer 20–50 K, and the atmosphere 50–500 K (e.g., Walsh et al. 2010). Herbig Ae disks will have warmer temperatures at the same radial distances, while low-mass M-star disks will have colder temperatures. In the four disks where we could measure H$_2$CO excitation temperatures, three are consistent with the warm molecular layer, and one (DM Tau) with the disk midplane, indicating that the vertical distribution of H$_2$CO may vary between disks.

Our radially resolved rotational diagram analysis is largely consistent with a constant radial temperature per disk in the outer disks beyond 100–200 au. This suggests that for three of the four disks, H$_2$CO is consistently being emitted from the molecular layer at/about CO freeze-out temperatures. This is in accordance with model predictions, which find the most abundant H$_2$CO in the molecular layer above 20 K (Walsh et al. 2010). However, since error bars are currently large (typically ~10 K or more), we need more precise observations in order to better constrain radial H$_2$CO excitation temperatures.

6. Summary
We have conducted an ALMA survey of H$_2$CO toward 15 protoplanetary disks. We have analyzed the H$_2$CO morphologies, excitation temperatures, and column densities, and their
relationships to stellar and disk characteristics. We summarize our main findings below.

1. We report a total of 26 H$_2$CO detections toward 13/15 disks, consisting of one line toward eight disks, two lines toward one disk, three lines toward two disks, four lines toward one disk, and six lines toward one disk. We report an additional 11 tentative H$_2$CO detections across 7/15 disks.

2. Over half of the disks have centrally peaked H$_2$CO emission, while the rest have central dips or holes in H$_2$CO emission. About half of the disks show rings or a plateau in H$_2$CO emission in the outer disk.

3. We measure disk-averaged and azimuthally-averaged H$_2$CO excitation temperatures for four disks with multiple H$_2$CO line detections. Three of the four disks have disk-averaged excitation temperatures of 21–37 K, while the fourth disk, DM Tau, is colder at 11 K. The azimuthally-averaged temperatures show an increase at the inner dust edge of J1604-2130, but otherwise close to constant radial temperatures for the disks.

4. In addition to the disks we could directly model, we estimate radial H$_2$CO column densities for all disks assuming excitation temperatures of 20–50 K. The column densities between and across the disks of our survey span three orders of magnitude, from $\sim$5–5000 $\times$ 10$^{13}$ cm$^{-2}$. The highest H$_2$CO column densities are found near the inner dust continuum edges of the disks GM Aur and J1604-2130. The lowest H$_2$CO column densities are found across the Herbig Ae disks HD 163296 and MWC 480, as well as in the old disk V4046 Sgr.

5. Based on simple estimates of CO midplane snowline locations, significant H$_2$CO emission is present both interior and exterior to the CO snowline in all disks, with ring-like structures in the emission occurring beyond the CO snowline in half of the disks. This suggests that H$_2$CO is commonly formed through both gas-phase and grain-surface pathways in protoplanetary disks, and that a majority of disks present an active organic ice chemistry that is likely also producing COMs. The low H$_2$CO column densities toward the two Herbig Ae disks in the sample may be due to a smaller degree of CO ice chemistry in these warmer disks.
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Appendix A

Channel Maps

The channel maps for all detected lines and sources are provided in Figure Sets 13–15. Keplerian masks are overplotted in each map. The parameters used to generate Keplerian masks for the sample are given in Table 7.

Figure 13. H$_2$CO $4_{04-303}$ toward AS 209 above 2$\sigma$.
(The complete figure set (14 images) is available.)
**Figure 14.** \(^{18}\)O toward AS 209 above 2\(\sigma\).
(The complete figure set (11 images) is available.)

**Figure 15.** \(^2\)CO \(5_{15}-4_{14}\) toward DM Tau above 2\(\sigma\).
(The complete figure set (11 images) is available.)

**Table 7**
Keplerian Mask Parameters

| Disk     | Position Angle\(^a\) (\(\circ\)) | Inclination Angle\(^a\) (\(\circ\)) | \(^2\)CO and Dust Continuum Mask Radius\(^b\) (\(\arcsec\)) | C\(^{18}\)O Mask Radius\(^b\) (\(\arcsec\)) | Systemic Velocity (km s\(^{-1}\)) |
|----------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| AS 209   | 6.6                               | 31.2                                | 2.0                                              | 2.9                             | 4.600                         |
| CI Tau   | 260.4                             | 41.6                                | 3.4                                              | 4.7                             | 5.840                         |
| DM Tau   | 110.3                             | 23.2                                | 4.0                                              | 4.1                             | 6.070                         |
| DO Tau   | 113.4                             | 18.5                                | 3.5                                              | 2.3                             | 5.900                         |
| GM Aur   | 33.8                              | 37.2                                | 2.7                                              | ...                             | 5.550                         |
| HD 143006| 277.1                             | 24.0                                | 1.7                                              | 1.7                             | 7.755                         |
| HD 163296| 136.9                             | 43.7                                | 4.8                                              | 4.1                             | 5.790                         |
| IM Lup   | 305.3                             | 46.2                                | 3.4                                              | 3.4                             | 4.400                         |
| J1604-2130| 194.6                            | 6.2                                 | 2.3                                              | 2.0                             | 4.600                         |
| J1609-1908| 103.4                            | 32.1                                | 1.7                                              | ...                             | 3.800                         |
| J1612-1859| 151.9                            | 30.9                                | 0.8                                              | ...                             | 4.700                         |
| J1614-1906| 262.5                            | 40.9                                | 0.8                                              | ...                             | 3.800                         |
| LkCa 15  | 33.1                              | 37.8                                | 4.0                                              | 4.1                             | 6.300                         |
| MWC 480  | 122                               | 37.4                                | 3.6                                              | 5.0                             | 5.100                         |
| V4046 Sgr| 191.9                             | 29.3                                | 5.6                                              | 4.0                             | 2.900                         |

**Notes.** All Keplerian masks were generated assuming the combined thermal and turbulent line width is \(\Delta v \sim v_0 (r_0/100)^{0.9}\) (Yen et al. 2016). For all disks, we fixed \(r_0\) and \(q\) to be 100 au and \(-0.3\), respectively. For most disks, we fixed \(v_0\) to be 0.3 km s\(^{-1}\). The exceptions were the broader \(^2\)CO \(4_{14}-3_{13}\) and \(4_{15}-3_{12}\) lines, for which we fixed \(v_0\) to be 0.6 km s\(^{-1}\), as well as the nearly face-on disk J1604–2130, for which we fixed \(v_0\) to be 0.15 km s\(^{-1}\).

\(^a\) The position and inclination angles were estimated using a grid-search algorithm and the fixed broadening parameters. We stress that these estimates are not exact measurements of the disk angles. They are parametric values used only to maximize the masked emission.

\(^b\) The Keplerian mask radii were set where the azimuthally-averaged emission intensities first reached zero. For disks with multiple \(^2\)CO lines observed, we used the same (largest) mask radius for all lines to maintain a consistent area of \(^2\)CO emission.
Appendix B

Spectra

The $\text{H}_2\text{CO} \ 3_03-2_02$ and $4_04-3_03$ spectra are shown in Figure 16.

Figure 16. $\text{H}_2\text{CO} \ 3_03-2_02$ and $4_04-3_03$ spectra. $\text{H}_2\text{CO} \ 3_03-2_02$ and $4_04-3_03$ lines are depicted in light and dark purple, respectively, above and below the horizontal black line. DM Tau appears twice because both lines are observed toward DM Tau.
Appendix C

Flux Measurements

The flux measurements for the dust continuum and $^{13}$CO emission are given in Tables 8 and 9, respectively.

Table 8
Dust Continuum Flux Measurements

| Disk     | $\lambda$ (mm) | Size (au) | Sum (mJy) | Peak Emission (mJy beam$^{-1}$) | rms (mJy beam$^{-1}$) | Beam Size (P.A.) |
|----------|----------------|-----------|-----------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| AS 209   | 1.0            | 66.5      | 297       | 45                              | 0.18                  | 0°31 x 0°21 (70'80) |
| CI Tau   | 1.3            | 206.7     | 161       | 54                              | 0.16                  | 0°63 x 0°44 (30'36) |
| DM Tau   | 1.3            | 159.5     | 99        | 37                              | 0.10                  | 0°60 x 0°44 (35'26) |
| ...      | 1.0            | 65.2      | 89        | 8.7                             | 0.15                  | 0°18 x 0°15 (14'33) |
| ...      | 0.9            | 159.5     | 224       | 91                              | 0.31                  | 0°65 x 0°44 (28'59) |
| DO Tau   | 1.3            | 98.0      | 127       | 102                             | 0.27                  | 0°68 x 0°44 (29'50) |
| GM Aur   | 1.0            | 79.5      | 255       | 26                              | 0.13                  | 0°29 x 0°19 (-1'65) |
| HD 143006| 1.3            | 148.5     | 53        | 21                              | 0.12                  | 0°46 x 0°47 (75'15) |
| HD 163296| 1.0            | 121.2     | 956       | 219                             | 0.87                  | 0°44 x 0°33 (84'74) |
| IM Lup   | 1.0            | 189.6     | 237       | 78                              | 0.35                  | 0°40 x 0°33 (78'16) |
| J1604-2130| 1.3          | 178.8     | 69        | 11                              | 0.14                  | 0°63 x 0°43 (77'99) |
| J1609-1908| 1.3          | 95.9      | 22        | 18                              | 0.14                  | 0°63 x 0°43 (76'80) |
| J1612-1859| 1.3          | 96.6      | 3.6       | 3.0                             | 0.13                  | 0°63 x 0°43 (76'23) |
| J1614-1906| 1.3          | 100.1     | 19        | 17                              | 0.14                  | 0°62 x 0°43 (76'99) |
| LkCa 15  | 1.0            | 94.8      | 281       | 23                              | 0.11                  | 0°32 x 0°26 (17'58) |
| MWC 480  | 1.0            | 209.3     | 482       | 347                             | 0.27                  | 1°05 x 0°84 (5'72)  |
| ...      | 0.9            | 161.0     | 848       | 448                             | 0.44                  | 0°78 x 0°42 (23'28) |
| V4046 Sgr| 1.0            | 80.3      | 542       | 75                              | 0.18                  | 0°50 x 0°39 (70'09) |

Notes.

a The radial extent of the dust continuum is defined as where the continuum emission is 5% of its peak.
b The fluxes and peak fluxes were measured within the same Keplerian mask radii used for H$_{2}$CO (Table 7). Errors are small relative to the fluxes.
c The average rms across 1000 1°-by-1° random samples, extracted 3°-7° away from the disk center.

Table 9
$^{13}$CO Emission Flux Measurements

| Disk      | Flux (mJy beam$^{-1}$) | Peak Flux (mJy beam$^{-1}$) | Integrated Velocity Range (km s$^{-1}$) | Channel Width (km s$^{-1}$) | Channel rms (mJy beam$^{-1}$) | Beam Size (P.A.) |
|-----------|------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|
| AS 209    | 429 ± 30               | 53 ± 6                      | 0.9-8.1                                | 0.4                         | 8.0                           | 0°53 x 0°50 (37'32) |
| CI Tau    | 549 ± 17               | 44 ± 3                      | 2.6-9.0                                | 0.2                         | 5.4                           | 0°67 x 0°46 (31'32) |
| DM Tau    | 998 ± 17               | 66 ± 3                      | 3.6-8.6                                | 0.2                         | 5.6                           | 0°63 x 0°47 (36'01) |
| DO Tau    | 210 ± 12               | 82 ± 3                      | 3.2-8.8                                | 0.2                         | 5.3                           | 0°72 x 0°46 (30'42) |
| HD 143006 | 135 ± 10               | 43 ± 3                      | 5.4-10.2                               | 0.2                         | 5.5                           | 0°70 x 0°48 (79'98) |
| HD 163296 | 5040 ± 31              | 355 ± 6.7                   | -1.4-13.0                              | 0.2                         | 4.9                           | 0°61 x 0°52 (62'26) |
| IM Lup    | 1203 ± 14              | 80 ± 4.1                    | 0.2-8.6                                | 0.2                         | 4.9                           | 0°58 x 0°43 (64'43) |
| J1604-2130| 1267 ± 7.2             | 141 ± 1.7                   | 3.7-5.5                                | 0.2                         | 5.4                           | 0°65 x 0°45 (80'59) |
| LkCa 15   | 619 ± 18               | 53 ± 3.7                    | 2.3-10.3                               | 0.2                         | 4.7                           | 0°55 x 0°43 (11'85) |
| MWC 480   | 1648 ± 20              | 290 ± 11                    | -1.0-11.2                              | 0.2                         | 4.6                           | 0°64 x 0°41 (13'23) |
| V4046 Sgr | 1184 ± 18              | 385 ± 5.1                   | -4.3-10.1                               | 0.2                         | 4.5                           | 0°73 x 0°49 (89'68) |

Notes.

a The velocity-integrated fluxes (column 2) were measured within the bounds of the Keplerian masks (Table 7). The peak fluxes (column 3) are the peaks of the velocity-integrated emission maps; note the difference in unit compared to the velocity-integrated fluxes. The uncertainty in each peak flux is the standard deviation of the peaks across 1000 random samples. Uncertainties do not include absolute flux uncertainties.
b The channel rms was estimated as the standard deviation of 1000 1°-by-1° random samples.
### Appendix D

**H$_2$CO Critical Densities**

The estimated critical densities for all H$_2$CO lines observed in the survey are plotted in Figure 17.
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**Figures**

**Figure 17.** H$_2$CO critical densities as a function of gas temperature. The critical density $n_{\text{crit}}$ is approximately $n_{\text{crit}} \approx A_\text{E}/(\sigma \nu)$ (e.g., Condon & Ransom 2016), where $A_\text{E}$ is the Einstein coefficient (Table 2), $\sigma$ is the collisional cross section (assumed here to be $\approx 90 \times 10^{-14}$ cm$^2$ for H$_2$CO), $\nu \approx \sqrt{k_B T_{\text{gas}}}/m$ is the average gas velocity, $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant, $T_{\text{gas}}$ is the gas temperature, and $m$ is the mass of the molecule. The critical densities for all H$_2$CO lines in this survey (plotted above, grouped by upper energy $E_u$) exceed $10^6$ cm$^{-3}$ only at temperatures below 40 K. Based on the T Tauri protoplanetary disk modeling of Walsh et al. (2010), we expect typical disk densities to be below $10^6$ cm$^{-3}$ only in the disk’s atmospheric layer, where gas temperatures would be $\geq$50 K.
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