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ABSTRACT

We performed Spitzer Infrared Spectrograph mapping observations covering nearly the entire extent of the Cassiopeia A supernova remnant (SNR), producing mid-infrared (5.5–35 μm) spectra every 5″–10″. Gas lines of Ar, Ne, O, Si, S, and Fe, and dust continua were strong for most positions. We identify three distinct ejecta dust populations based on their continuum shapes. The dominant dust continuum shape exhibits a strong peak at 21 μm. A line-free map of 21 μm peak dust made from the 19–23 μm range closely resembles the [Ar II], [O IV], and [Ne II] ejecta-line maps, implying that dust is freshly formed in the ejecta. Spectral fitting implies the presence of SiO2, Mg protosilicates, and FeO grains in these regions. The second dust type exhibits a rising continuum up to 21 μm and then flattens thereafter. This “weak 21 μm” dust is likely composed of Al2O3 and C grains. The third dust continuum shape is featureless with a gently rising spectrum and is likely composed of MgSiO3 and either Al2O3 or Fe grains. Using the least massive composition for each of the three dust classes yields a total mass of 0.020 $M_\odot$. Using the most massive composition yields a total mass of 0.054 $M_\odot$. The primary uncertainty in the total dust mass stems from the selection of the dust composition necessary for fitting the featureless dust as well as 70 μm flux. The freshly formed dust mass derived from Cas A is sufficient from SNe to explain the lower limit on the dust masses in high-redshift galaxies.

Subject headings: dust, extinction — supernovae: general — supernova remnants

1. INTRODUCTION

The recent discovery of huge quantities of dust ($10^8$–$10^9$ $M_\odot$) in very high redshifted galaxies and quasars (Isaak et al. 2002; Bertoldi et al. 2003) suggests that dust was produced efficiently in the first generation of supernovae (SNe). Theoretical studies (Kozasa et al. 1991; Todini & Ferrara 2001, hereafter TF01; Nozawa et al. 2003, hereafter N03) predicted the formation of a significant amount of dust that is likely composed of MgSiO3 and either Al2O3 or Fe grains. Using the least massive composition for each of the three dust classes yields a total mass of 0.020 $M_\odot$. Using the most massive composition yields a total mass of 0.054 $M_\odot$. The primary uncertainty in the total dust mass stems from the selection of the dust composition necessary for fitting the featureless dust as well as 70 μm flux. The freshly formed dust mass derived from Cas A is sufficient from SNe to explain the lower limit on the dust masses in high-redshift galaxies.
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4 exposure time of 11.3 hr. The Short-Low (SL: 5 nearly the entire extent of Cas A on 2005 January 13 with a total abundance (Fesen 2001) and to have a mass of 15–25 $M_{\odot}$ (Kifonidis et al. 2000; Young et al. 2006) or 29–30 $M_{\odot}$ (Pérez-Rendón et al. 2002). The predicted dust mass formed in SNe depends on the progenitor mass; for a progenitor mass of 15–30 $M_{\odot}$, the predicted dust mass is from 0.3 to 1.1 $M_{\odot}$ (NO3) and from 0.08 to 1.0 $M_{\odot}$ (TF01), respectively. In this paper, we present Spitzer IRS mapping observations of Cas A, identify three distinct classes of dust associated with the ejecta, and discuss dust formation and composition with an estimate of the total mass of freshly formed dust.

2. THE IRS SPECTRA AND DUST MAPS

We performed Spitzer IRS mapping observations covering nearly the entire extent of Cas A on 2005 January 13 with a total exposure time of 11.3 hr. The Short-Low (SL: 5–15 $\mu$m) and Long-Low (LL: 15–40 $\mu$m) IRS mapping involved ~16 $\times$ 360 and 4 $\times$ 91 pointings, producing spectra every 5" and 10", respectively. The spectra were processed with the S12 version of the IRS pipeline using the CUBISM package (Kennicutt et al. 2003; Smith et al. 2007), whereby backgrounds were subtracted and an extended emission correction was applied. The spectral resolving power of the IRS SL and LL modules ranges from 62 to 124.

The IRS spectra of Cas A show bright ejecta emission lines from Ar, Ne, S, Si, O, and Fe and various continuum shapes as indicated by the representative spectra in Figure 1. The most common continuum shape exhibits a large bump peaking at 21 $\mu$m as shown by spectrum “a” in Figure 1. This “21 $\mu$m peak” dust is often accompanied by the silicate emission feature at 9.8 $\mu$m, which corresponds to the stretching mode. A second class of continuum shapes exhibits a rather sharp rise up to 21 $\mu$m and then stays flat thereafter. This “weak 21 $\mu$m dust” is often associated with relatively strong Ne lines (in comparison with Ar lines) and is indicated by spectrum “b” in Figure 1. The third type of dust continuum is characterized by a smooth and featureless, gently rising spectrum with strong [O iv] + [Fe ii] and [Si ii] emission lines as shown by spectra “c” and “d” in Figure 1. Spectrum d shows double line structures that may be due to Doppler-resolved lines of [O iv] at 26 $\mu$m and [Si ii] at 35 $\mu$m. Note that the “featureless” dust (spectrum d in Fig. 1) is a class of dust, separate from the interstellar/circumstellar dust spectrum (see §5). The featureless dust lacks the gentle peak around 26 $\mu$m and also lacks the interstellar silicate-emission feature between 9 and 11 $\mu$m observed in the spectra from the forward shock region. Most importantly, the featureless dust accompanies relatively strong Si and S ejecta lines and mostly from the interior of the remnant (blue region in Fig. 2f).

We generated a map of the 21 $\mu$m peak dust by summing the emission over 19–23 $\mu$m after subtracting a baseline between 18–19 and 23–24 $\mu$m. The line-free dust map (Fig. 2a) resembles the [Ar ii] and [O iv] + [Fe ii] ejecta-line maps, as shown in Figures 2b and 2c, and we also find that the [Ne ii] map is very similar to the [Ar ii] map. The [Ar ii] map shows a remarkable similarity to the 21 $\mu$m peak dust map (Figs. 2a and 2b), thereby
confirming that this dust is freshly formed in the ejecta. Maps of 
[Si ii] (Fig. 2d) and [O iv]+[Fe ii] (Fig. 2c) show significant emis-
ion at the center, revealing ejecta that have not yet been overrun
by the reverse shock (unshocked ejecta). There is also [Si ii] and
[O iv]+[Fe ii] emission at the bright ring, indicating that some of
the Si and O+Fe ejecta have recently encountered the reverse
shock. While the bright O+Fe emission outlines the same bright
ring structure as the [Ar ii] and 21 μm peak dust maps, the bright
part of the Si shell shows a different morphology from the other
ejecta maps.

We can characterize the spectra of our three dust classes by
using the flux ratios between 17 and 21 μm and between 21 and
24 μm. Although the spectra in Cas A show continuous changes
in continuum shape from strong 21 μm peak to weak 21 μm peak
and to featureless, we can locate regions where each of the three
classes dominates. Figure 2f shows the spatial distribution of our
three dust classes where red, green, and blue indicate the 21 μm peak dust, weak 21 μm dust, and featureless dust, respectively. The flux ratios used to identify the three dust classes are as follows, where $I_\lambda$ is the flux density in the extracted spectrum at wavelength $\lambda$ (μm):

1. **21 μm peak dust.**—We use the ratio $I_{21}/I_{24} > 1 + \sigma_{21/24}$, where $\sigma_{21/24}$ is the dispersion in $I_{21}/I_{24}$ over the remnant, which is equivalent to $I_{21}/I_{17} > 3.4$. The regions with 21 μm peak dust coincide with the brightest ejecta.

2. **Weak 21 μm dust.**—We use the ratio $1 - \sigma_{21/24} < I_{21}/I_{24} < 1 + \sigma_{21/24}$, which is equivalent to $2.3 < I_{21}/I_{17} < 3.4$. The regions showing the weak 21 μm continuum shape mostly coincide with faint ejecta emission, but not always.

3. **Featureless dust map.**—We use the ratio $I_{21}/I_{24} < 1 - \sigma_{21/24}$, which is equivalent to $I_{21}/I_{17} < 2.3$. This ratio also picks out circumstellar dust heated by the forward shock, so we used several methods to exclude and mitigate contamination from circumstellar dust emission. First, using X-ray and radio maps, we excluded the forward shock regions at the edge of the radio plateau (Gottelf et al. 2001). Second, there are highly structured “continuum-dominated” X-ray filaments across the face of the remnant that are similar to the exterior forward shock filaments and may be projected forward shock emission (DeLaney 2004). For our analysis, we excluded regions where there were infrared counterparts to the projected forward shock filaments. Third, for simplicity we excluded regions with gently rising spectra identified by curve c (the spectra that continues to rise to longer wavelengths) in Figure 1. This type of spectrum is mainly found on the eastern side of Cas A where there is an Hα region, the northeast jet, and other exterior optical ejecta (Fesen 2001), making it difficult to determine if the continuum emission is due to ejecta dust or circumstellar dust. However, note that some portion of the continuum in spectra c is freshly formed dust. We finally excluded regions where there was a noticeable correlation to optical quasi-stationary filaments (van den Bergh 1971), which are dense circumstellar knots from the progenitor wind.

The featureless dust emission appears primarily across the center of the remnant, as shown in Figure 2d (blue). The featureless dust is accompanied by relatively strong [Si ii] and [S ii] and [O iv]+[Fe ii] lines, as shown by spectrum d of Figure 1. The [O iv]+[Fe ii] line map (Fig. 2c) shows significant emission at the center as well as at the bright ring of the reverse shocked material. The [Si ii] line map shows different morphology than other line maps and the 21 μm peak dust map, depicting center-filled emission with a partial shell, as shown in Figure 2. This poses the following important question: why is the Si map more center-filled than the Ar map? The answer is unclear because Si and Ar are both expected at similar depths in the nucleosynthetic layer (e.g., Woosley et al. 2002). The relatively faint infrared emission of Si and S at the reverse shock may imply relatively less Si and S in the reverse shock. We suspect it is because the Si and S have condensed to solid form such as Mg protosilicate, MgSiO3, Mg2SiO4, and FeS. In contrast, Ar remains always in the gas and does not condense to dust, so it should be infrared or X-ray–emitting gas. An alternate explanation is that the ionization in the interior is due to photoionization from the X-ray shell (see Hamilton & Fesen 1988); in this case, the lack of interior Ar II relative to Si II might be due to its much higher ionization potential (16.4 eV compared to 8 eV). Theoretical models of nucleosynthesis, accounting for heating, photoionization, and column density of each element would be helpful for understanding the distribution of nucleosynthetic elements.

The Si and S emission detected at the interior is most likely unshocked ejecta where the reverse shock has not yet overtaken the ejecta. The radial profile of unshocked ejecta is centrally peaked at the time of explosion, as shown by Chevalier & Soker (1989). The radial profile of unshocked Fe ejecta is also expected to be center-filled for the ~1000 yr old Type Ia SNR of SN 1006 (Hamilton & Fesen 1988). The morphology of the featureless dust resembles that of unshocked ejecta, supporting the conclusion that the featureless dust is also freshly formed dust. The spectrum in Figure 1 (curve d) shows the resolved two lines at 26 and at 35 μm. The two respective lines at ~26 μm may be resolved lines of [O iv] and [Fe ii], and at ~35 μm [Si ii] and [Fe ii] (as expected that the unshocked ejecta near the explosion center have a low velocity); alternatively, they could be highly Doppler-shifted lines (e.g., in this case the two lines at 26 μm are both [O iv], and the two lines at 35 μm are both [Si ii]). The newly revealed unshocked ejecta deserves extensive studies; preliminary Doppler-shifted maps were presented in DeLaney et al. (2006), and the detailed analysis of velocities and abundances of unshocked and shocked ejecta will be presented in future papers (T. DeLaney et al. 2008, in preparation; Smith et al. 2007).

3. **SPECTRAL FITTING AND DUST COMPOSITION**

We performed spectral fitting to the IRS continua using our example regions in Figure 1. Included in the fitting are MIPS 24 and 70 μm fluxes (Hines et al. 2004), and the contribution of synchrotron emission (Figs. 3 and 5), estimated from the radio fluxes (DeLaney 2004) and Infrared Array Camera (IRAC) 3.6 μm fluxes (Ennis et al. 2006). We measured synchrotron radiation components for each position using radio maps and assuming the spectral index $\alpha = -0.71$ (Rho et al. 2003), where log $S \propto \alpha \log \nu$. Because the FWHM of 24 μm is smaller than the IRS extracted region, the surface brightnesses for 24 μm were measured using a 15′′ box, the same size as the area used for the extracted IRS LL spectra. We also made color corrections to each MIPS 24 μm data point based on each IRS spectrum and band-filter shape; the correction was as high as 25% for some positions. While the uncertainty of calibration errors in IRAC is 3%–4%, that of MIPS 24 μm is better than 10%. The MIPS 70 μm image (Hines et al. 2004), shown in Figure 2e, clearly resolves Cas A from background emission, unlike the 160 μm image (Krause et al. 2004). Most of the bright 70 μm emission appears at the bright ring and corresponds to the 21 μm dust map and the shocked ejecta, particularly [Ar ii], indicating that the 70 μm emission is primarily from freshly formed dust in the ejecta. The 70 μm emission also appears at the interior, as shown in Figure 2e. We measured the brightness for 70 μm within a circle of radius 20′′ for each position, accounting for the point-spread function (note that when the emission is uniform, the aperture size does not affect the surface brightness). We estimated the uncertainties of the 70 μm fluxes to be as large as 30%. The largest uncertainty comes from background variation due to cirrus structures based on our selection of two background areas, 5′ to the northwest and south of the Cas A.

The dust continuum is fit with the Planck function $B_\nu(T)$ multiplied by the absorption efficiency $Q_{abs}$ for various dust compositions, varying the amplitude and temperature of each component. To determine the dust composition, we consider not only the grain species predicted by the model of dust formation in SNe (TF01, N03), but also Mg protosilicates (ADM99) and FeO (Henning et al. 1995) as possible contributors to the 21 μm feature. The optical constants of the grain species used in the calculation are the same as those of Hiraishi et al. (2005) except for amorphous Si (Piller 1985), amorphous SiO2 (Philipp 1985),
amorphous Al₂O₃ (Begemann et al. 1997), and FeO (Henning et al. 1995); we apply Mie theory (Bohren & Huffman 1983) to calculate the absorption efficiencies, \(Q_{\text{abs}}\), assuming the grains are spheres of radii \(a = 0.01\ \mu\text{m}\). We fit both amorphous and crystalline grains for each composition, but it turned out that the fit results in Cas A (see §3) favor amorphous over crystalline grains. Thus, default grain composition hereafter indicates amorphous. For Mg protosilicate, the absorption coefficients are evaluated from the mass absorption coefficients tabulated in Dorschner et al. (1980), and we assume that the absorption coefficient varies as \(k / \lambda^2\) for \(\lambda > 40\ \mu\text{m}\), typical for silicates. We fit the flux density for each spectral type using scale factors \(C_i\) for each grain type \(i\), such that 
\[
F_i / \lambda^2 = \sum C_i B_\lambda Q_{\text{abs},i} / \lambda.
\]
Note that the calculated values of \(Q_{\text{abs}} / \lambda\) are independent of the grain size as long as \(\lambda^2 / a \ll 1\), where \(m\) is the complex refractive index. Thus, the derived scale factor \(C_i\) as well as the estimated dust mass (see §4) are independent of the radius of the dust. The dust compositions of the best fits are summarized in Table 1.

The strong 21 \(\mu\text{m}\) peak dust is best fit by Mg protosilicate, amorphous SiO₂, and FeO grains (with temperatures of 60–120 K), as shown in Figure 3. These provide a good match to the 21 \(\mu\text{m}\) feature. ADM99 suggested that the 21 \(\mu\text{m}\) feature is best fit by Mg protosilicate, while D01 suggested it is best fit by SiO₂ instead. We found, however, that SiO₂ produced a 21 \(\mu\text{m}\) feature that was too sharp. We also fit the observations using Mg₂SiO₄, which exhibits a feature around 20 \(\mu\text{m}\) and the overall variation of absorption coefficients of Mg₂SiO₄ whose wavelength might be similar to that of Mg protosilicate (Dorschner et al. 1980; Jäger et al. 2003). However, with Mg₂SiO₄, the fit is not as good as that of Mg protosilicate, not only at the 21 \(\mu\text{m}\) peak, but also at shorter (10–20 \(\mu\text{m}\)) and longer (70 \(\mu\text{m}\)) wavelengths. Thus, we use Mg protosilicate and SiO₂ as silicates to fit the 21 \(\mu\text{m}\) peak.

### Table 1: Properties of Freshly Formed Dust in Cas A

| Dust Type         | Model          | Compositions | Strong Lines | Nucleosynthesis Layers | Mass (\(M_\odot\)) |
|-------------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| 21 \(\mu\text{m}\) peak (spectrum a) | A   | Mg protosilicate, MgSiO₃, SiO₂, FeO, FeS, Si, Al₂O₃ | Ar | Inner O, S-Si | 0.0030 |
| 21 \(\mu\text{m}\) peak | B   | Mg protosilicate, MgSiO₃, FeO, SiO₂, FeO, FeS, Si, Fe | Ar | Inner O, S-Si | 0.0120 |
| Weak 21 \(\mu\text{m}\) (spectrum b) | C   | C glass, FeO, Al₂O₃, Si, MgSiO₃ | Ne, Si, Ar (S, O+Fe) | C burning | 0.0120 |
| Weak 21 \(\mu\text{m}\) | D   | C glass, FeO, Al₂O₃, Si, FeS, Mg protosilicate | Ne, Si, Ar (S, O+Fe) | C burning | 0.0157 |
| Featureless (spectrum d) | E   | MgSiO₃, Si, FeS, Fe, Mg₂SiO₄ | Si, S, (O+Fe) | O, Al burning (Fe-Si-S) | 0.0245 |
| Featureless | F   | MgSiO₃, Si, FeS, Fe, Al₂O₃ | Si, S, (O+Fe) | O, Al burning (Fe-Si-S) | 0.0171 |
| Featureless | G   | MgSiO₃, Si, FeS, Al₂O₃, Mg₂SiO₄ | Si, S, (O+Fe) | O, Al burning (Fe-Si-S) | 0.0009 |

\(a\) Compositions in the best fit, where a few primary compositions are set in bold, and alternative dust compositions are set in italics.
dust feature. The fit with Mg protosilicate, SiO\(_2\), and FeO is improved by adding aluminum oxide (Al\(_2\)O\(_3\), 83 K) and FeS (150 K), where Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) improved the overall continuum shape between 10 and 70 \(\mu\)m and FeS improved the continuum between 30 and 40 \(\mu\)m (underneath the lines of Si, S, and Fe), as shown in Figure 3. The silicate composition is responsible for the 21 \(\mu\)m peak, suggesting that the dust forms around the inner-oxygen and S-Si layers and is consistent with Ar being one of the oxygen-burning products. We also include amorphous MgSiO\(_3\) (480 K) and SiO\(_2\) (300 K) to account for the emission feature around 9.8 \(\mu\)m. The composition of the low-temperature (40–90 K) dust component necessary for reproducing 70 \(\mu\)m is rather unclear. Either Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) (80 K) (model A in Table 1) or Fe (110 K) (model B in Table 1 and Fig. 4) can fit equally well, as listed in Table 1. We could use carbon instead of Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) or Fe, but the line and dust compositions suggest that the emission is from inner O, S-Si layers, where carbon dust is not expected. There are still residuals in the fit from the feature peaking at 21 \(\mu\)m (20–23 \(\mu\)m) and an unknown dust feature at 11–12.5 \(\mu\)m (it is not a part of typical PAH feature), as shown in Figure 3. The former may be due to nonspherical grains or different sizes of grains. The mass and temperature of each composition are listed in detail in Table 2.

The weak 21 \(\mu\)m continuum is fit by FeO and Mg\(_2\)SiO\(_4\) or Mg protosilicate (models C and D in Table 1) since the curvature of the continuum changes at 20–21 \(\mu\)m, as shown in Figure 5. To fit the rest of the spectrum, we use glassy carbon dust and Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) grains. The glassy carbon grains (220 K) can account for the smooth curvature in the continuum between 8 and 14 \(\mu\)m. Carbon dust (80 K) and Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) (100 K) contribute to the continuum between 15 and 25 \(\mu\)m. We could use Fe dust instead, but we suspect carbon dust because of the presence of relatively strong Ne line emission with the weak 21 \(\mu\)m dust class. Ne, Mg, and Al are all carbon-burning products. We cannot fit the spectrum replacing carbon by Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) with a single or two temperatures because \(Q_{\text{abs}}/\alpha\) of Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) has a shallow bump around 27 \(\mu\)m; thus, the fit requires three-temperature components of Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) or a combination of two-temperature components of Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) and a temperature component of carbon. The continuum between 33 and 40 \(\mu\)m (underneath the lines of Si, S, and Fe) can be optimally fit by FeS grains.

The 70 \(\mu\)m image shown in Figure 2e shows interior emission similar to the unshocked ejecta, but that may also be due to projected circumstellar dust at the forward shock. In order to fit the featureless spectrum out to 70 \(\mu\)m, we must first correct for possible projected circumstellar dust emission. The exterior forward shock emission is most evident in the northern and northwestern shell. Taking the typical brightness in the northwest shell (~20 MJy sr\(^{-1}\)), and assuming that the forward shock is a shell with 12% radial thickness, the projected brightness is less than 4%–10% of the interior emission (~40 MJy sr\(^{-1}\) after background subtraction). We assume that the remaining wide-spread interior 70 \(\mu\)m emission is from relatively cold, unshocked ejecta. Using the “corrected” 70 \(\mu\)m flux, the featureless spectra are equally reproduced by three models (models E, F, and G) in Table 1 and Figures 6 and 7. All fits include MgSiO\(_3\), FeO, and Si, and either aluminum oxide, Fe, or a combination of the two are required at long wavelength. Carbon dust can also produce featureless spectra at low temperature, but we exclude this composition because of the lack of Ne (produced from carbon burning). Aluminum oxide and Fe dust are far more likely to be associated with the unshocked ejecta because they result from O-burning and Si-burning, respectively, and the unshocked ejecta exhibit Si, S, and O+Fe line emission. However, one of the key challenges in SN ejecta dust is to understand featureless dust such as Fe, C, and aluminum oxide, and to link it to the associated nucleosynthetic products.

4. DUST MASS

We estimated the amount of freshly formed dust in Cas A based on our dust model fit to each of the representative 21 \(\mu\)m
| Composition | Model A | Model B | Model C | Model D | Model E | Model F | Model G |
|-------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Al₂O₃        | 6.66E−05 (083) | 0.00E+00 (000) | 5.13E−05 (105) | 1.03E−04 (100) | 0.00E+00 (000) | 8.13E−04 (050) | 6.50E−04 (060) |
| C glass      | 0.00E+00 (000) | 0.00E+00 (000) | 2.08E−03 (80/180) | 1.07E−03 (80/220) | 0.00E+00 (000) | 0.00E+00 (000) | 0.00E+00 (000) |
| MgSiO₃       | 1.19E−08 (480) | 1.19E−08 (480) | 0.00E+00 (000) | 0.00E+00 (000) | 2.55E−05 (110) | 3.19E−05 (110) | 2.55E−05 (110) |
| Mg₂SiO₄      | 0.00E+00 (000) | 0.00E+00 (000) | 7.89E−05 (120) | 0.00E+00 (000) | 1.72E−06 (130) | 0.00E+00 (000) | 3.00E−06 (130) |
| Mg protosilicate | 5.00E−05 (120) | 4.67E−05 (120) | 0.00E+00 (000) | 3.77E−05 (120) | 0.00E+00 (000) | 0.00E+00 (000) | 0.00E+00 (000) |
| SiO₂         | 2.23E−03 (060/300) | 1.40E−03 (065/300) | 0.00E+00 (000) | 0.00E+00 (000) | 0.00E+00 (000) | 0.00E+00 (000) | 0.00E+00 (000) |
| Si           | 4.34E−04 (096) | 4.34E−04 (100) | 1.63E−03 (090) | 8.17E−03 (080) | 9.32E−04 (090) | 1.24E−04 (120) | 6.21E−05 (120) |
| Fe           | 0.00E+00 (075) | 9.82E−03 (110) | 0.00E+00 (000) | 0.00E+00 (000) | 2.16E−02 (95/135) | 1.36E−02 (100/150) | 0.00E+00 (000) |
| FeO          | 1.13E−04 (105) | 2.11E−04 (095) | 1.39E−02 (060) | 5.97E−03 (065) | 0.00E+00 (000) | 0.00E+00 (000) | 0.00E+00 (000) |
| FeS          | 1.20E−04 (150) | 2.11E−04 (150) | 0.00E+00 (000) | 3.40E−04 (120) | 1.94E−03 (055) | 2.59E−03 (055) | 1.29E−04 (100) |

* a The numbers in parentheses are dust temperatures, and two numbers indicate two temperatures.
Fig. 5.— Weak 21 μm dust superposed on the dust fit of model D. A second type of dust continuum in Cas A. The distribution of this type of dust is shown in Fig. 2f in green.

Fig. 6.— Featureless dust spectrum. The continuum can be fit with MgSiO$_3$ and Fe (model E). The featureless spectra is accompanied with S, Si, and O/Fe lines. The green dashed line is the predicted synchrotron emission model.
peak, weak 21 μm, and featureless spectra (Fig. 1). The dust mass of i-grain type is given by

\[ M_{\text{dust},i} = \frac{F_i d^2}{B_i(T_{d,i}) \kappa_i} = \frac{F_i d^2}{B_i(T_{d,i}) \Omega Q_{\text{abs},i}} \]

where \( F_i \) is the flux from i-grain species, \( d \) is the distance, \( B_i \) is the Planck function, \( \rho_i \) is the bulk density, and \( a \) is the dust particle size. By employing the scale factor \( C_i \) and the dust temperature \( T_{d,i} \) derived from the spectral fit, the total dust mass is given by

\[ M_{\text{dust}} = \sum_i \rho_i \Omega d^2 C_i / 3, \]

where \( \Omega \) is the solid angle of the source. The total mass of the 21 μm peak dust is then determined by summing the flux of all the pixels in the 21 μm peak dust region (red region in Fig. 2f) and assuming each pixel in this region has the same dust composition as the spectrum in Figure 3. We took the same steps for the weak 21 μm dust and the featureless dust.

The estimated total masses for each type of dust using a distance of 3.4 kpc (Reed et al. 1995) are listed in Table 1. Using the least massive composition in Table 1 for each of the three dust classes yields a total mass of 0.020 \( M_\odot \) (the sum of masses from models A, D, and F). Using the most massive composition for each of the three dust classes yields a total mass of 0.054 \( M_\odot \) (the sum of masses from models B, C, and E). The primary uncertainty in the total dust mass between 0.020 and 0.054 \( M_\odot \) is due to the selection of the dust composition, in particular for the featureless dust.

We also extracted a global spectrum of Cas A, but excluding most of the exterior forward shock regions. The spectrum is well fit with the combination of our three types of dust (including all compositions from models A to G), as shown in Figure 8. We used the dust composition of models A–G as a guideline in fitting the global spectrum, because the dust features (which were noticeable in representative spectra) were smeared out. Our goal in fitting the global spectrum is to confirm consistency between the mass derived from global spectrum and that derived from representative spectra described above. The total estimated mass from the global spectrum fit is ~0.028 \( M_\odot \), being consistent with the mass determined from the individual fits to each dust class. The respective dust mass for each grain composition is listed in Table 3. The masses of MgSiO3, SiO2, FeS, and Si are more than a factor of 10 smaller than the predictions; the predictions (N03 and TF01) also have the dust features at 9 μm for MgSiO3, 21 μm for SiO2, and 30–40 μm for FeS stronger than the observed spectra if the dust mass is increased. The carbon mass is also a factor of 10 lower than the predictions. We were not able to fit the data with as much carbon dust mass as expected, even if we use the maximum carbon contribution allowed from the spectral fits.

5. DISCUSSION

We find that an estimated total freshly formed dust mass of 0.020–0.054 \( M_\odot \) is required to produce the mid-infrared continuum up to 70 μm. The dust mass we derive is orders of magnitude higher than the two previous infrared estimates of 3.5 \( \times 10^{-3} \) and 7.7 \( \times 10^{-3} \) \( M_\odot \), which are derived from extrapolation from 1.6 \( \times 10^{-4} \) \( M_\odot \) (D01) and 2.8 \( \times 10^{-6} \) \( M_\odot \) (ADM99) for selected knots, respectively. One of the primary reasons for our higher mass estimate is that we include fluxes up to 70 μm, while the fits in D01 and ADM99 accounted for dust emission only up to 30 and ~40 μm, respectively. The cold dust (40–150 K) has much more mass than the warmer (>150 K) dust. In addition, our IRS mapping over nearly the entire extent of Cas A with higher spatial and spectral resolutions provides more accurate measurements, while D01 and ADM99 covered only a portion of the remnant. In addition, ADM99 use only Mg protosilicate dust; the
absorption coefficient for Mg protosilicate is a few times larger than those of other compositions.

Our dust mass estimate is also at least 1 order of magnitude higher than the estimate of $3 \times 10^{-3} M_\odot$ by Hines et al. (2004). They fitted MSX and Spitzer MIPS data with Mg protosilicate. Note that they used only one composition. They derived a freshly synthesized dust mass of $3 \times 10^{-3} M_\odot$ at a temperature of 79–82 K and a smaller dust mass of $5 \times 10^{-4} M_\odot$ at a higher temperature of 226–268 K, and they explained that the mass estimate depends on the chosen dust temperature. As ADM99 mentioned, the absorption coefficient for Mg protosilicate is a few times larger than those of other compositions. Therefore, even including the long-wavelength data, the estimated mass was small since only Mg protosilicate was modeled. With the photometry in Hines et al. (2004), one could easily fit the data with only Mg protosilicate and would not need additional grain compositions. However, with the accurate IRS data, many dust features and the detailed continuum shape could not be fit solely with the Mg protosilicate. Note that the continuum shapes of weak 21 μm dust and featureless dust are very different from the shape of the protosilicate absorption coefficient. Therefore, it was necessary to include many other compositions in order to reproduce the observed IRS spectra.

It should be noted here that, in contrast with the previous works, we introduced Si- and Fe-bearing materials such as Si, Fe, FeS, and FeO. We explain why we included such dust in our model fitting as follows. First, we included Si and Fe dust because these elements are significant outputs of nucleosynthesis;

| Composition      | Predicted ($M_\odot$) | Model (A+D+G) | Model (B+C+E) | Mass (global) |
|------------------|------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Al$_2$O$_3$      | 2.40E-04                | 8.20E-04      | 5.1300E-05    | 1.22E-04 (105) |
| Carbon           | 7.00E-02                | 1.07E-03      | 2.0767E-03    | 2.04E-03 (070/265) |
| MgSiO$_3$        | 2.00E-03                | 2.55E-05      | 2.5500E-05    | 1.65E-04 (110) |
| Mg$_2$SiO$_4$    | 3.70E-02                | 3.00E-06      | 8.0620E-05    | 3.21E-05 (120) |
| Mg protosilicate | None$^a$                | 8.77E-05      | 4.6710E-05    | 6.70E-05 (110) |
| SiO$_2$          | 2.50E-02                | 2.23E-03      | 1.3964E-03    | 1.35E-03 (065) |
| Si               | 7.00E-02                | 8.66E-03      | 2.9989E-03    | 4.42E-03 (080) |
| Fe               | 2.00E-02                | 0.00E+00      | 3.1459E-02    | 1.03E-02 (090) |
| FeO              | None$^a$                | 6.08E-03      | 1.4136E-02    | 6.23E-03 (070) |
| FeS              | 4.00E-02                | 5.90E-04      | 2.1501E-03    | 2.90E-03 (090) |

$^a$ The sum of the masses using the least massive composition among models.

$^b$ The sum of the masses using the most massive compositions.

$^c$ The mass using the global spectrum.

$^d$ See the text for details.
Indeed, Woosley & Weaver (1995) show that Si and Fe are primary products in the innermost layers of the ejecta. Second, we observed strong Si and Fe lines in the infrared and X-ray spectra; strong Si lines were detected in the Spitzer spectra, as shown in Figure 1 (also see D01), and the Fe line detection at 17.9 \( \mu m \) is also shown in Figure 5. (The Fe maps at 17.9 and 1.64 \( \mu m \) were presented in Ennis et al. [2006] and Rho et al. [2003], respectively.) Si and Fe lines from ejecta are also bright in X-ray emission (Hwang et al. 2000). Third, dust such as Si, Fe, FeO, and FeS is predicted to form in the ejecta of Population III supernovae (N03). TF01 and N03 predict \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \) instead of \( \text{FeO} \) in the uniformly mixed ejecta where the elemental composition is oxygen-rich, but the kind of iron-bearing grains in oxygen-rich layers of the ejecta is still uncertain, partly because the surface energy of iron is very sensitive to the concentration of impurities such as O and S (as was discussed by Kozasa & Hasegawa 1988) and partly because the chemical reactions at the condensation of Fe-bearing dust is not well understood. Depending on the elemental composition and the physical conditions in the ejecta, it is possible that Fe, FeO, and/or FeS form in the oxygen-rich layers of Galactic SNe. The observations of Cas A favor FeO dust over \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \), in order to match the spectral shape of the 21 \( \mu m \) peak dust and the weak 21 \( \mu m \) dust. This aspect should be explored theoretically in comparison with the observations in the future.

Our total mass estimate is also about 1 order of magnitude higher than the estimate of \( 6.9 \times 10^{-3} \, \text{M}_\odot \) by Dwek et al. (1987), who used \textit{IRAS} fluxes (possibly confused by background cirrus) and assumed a silicate-type dust as stellar or supernova condensates being present in supernova cavity and heated up by the reverse shock. Our estimated mass is much less than 1 \( \text{M}_\odot \), which Wilson & Battrla (2005) suggested may still be associated with the ejecta, after accounting for results of high-resolution CO observations. Our estimated mass of 0.020–0.054 \( \text{M}_\odot \) is only derived for wavelengths up to 70 \( \mu m \), so it is still possible that the total freshly formed dust mass in Cas A is higher than our estimate because there may be colder dust present. Future longer wavelength observations with Herschel, SCUBA-2, and ALMA are required to determine if this is the case. Also note that we did not include any mass from fast-moving knots projected into the same positions as the forward shock, such as in the northeast and southwest jets, and the eastern portions of the SNR outside the 21 \( \mu m \) peak dust region (see Fig. 2e), because such dust could not be cleanly separated from the interstellar/circumstellar dust.

We can use our dust mass estimate in conjunction with the models of N03 and TF01 to understand the dust observed in the early universe. If the progenitor of Cas A was 15 \( \text{M}_\odot \), our estimated dust mass (0.020–0.054 \( \text{M}_\odot \)) is 7%–18% of the 0.3 \( \text{M}_\odot \) predicted by the models. If the progenitor mass was 30 \( \text{M}_\odot \), then the dust mass is 2%–5% of the 1.1 \( \text{M}_\odot \) predicted by the models. One reason our dust mass is lower than that predicted by the models is that we cannot evaluate the mass of very cold dust residing in the remnant from the observed spectra up to 70 \( \mu m \) as described above, unless the predicted mass is overestimated. Another reason is that when and how much dust in the remnant is swept up by the reverse shock is highly dependent on the thickness of the hydrogen envelope at the time of explosion and that the evolution and destruction of dust grains formed in SNe strongly depend not only on their initial sizes but also the density of ambient interstellar medium (Nozawa et al. 2007). Dust formation occurs within a few hundred days after the SN explosion (Kozasa et al. 1989; TF01; N03). Without a thick hydrogen envelope, given an age for Cas A of \( \sim 300 \) yr, a significant component of dust may have already been destroyed if dust grains formed in the ejecta were populated by very small-sized grains; otherwise, it is possible that some grain types may be larger, which would increase the inferred mass.

We observed most of the dust compositions predicted by SN Type II models, and the global ejecta composition is consistent with the unmixed-case N03 model rather than the mixed-case model; however, note that different morphologies of Ar and Si maps imply that some degree of mixing has occurred. Our estimated dust mass with Spitzer data is 1 order of magnitude smaller than the predicted models of dust formation in SN ejecta by N03 and TF01, but 1–2 orders of magnitude higher than the previous estimations. We now compare the dust mass in high-redshift galaxies with the observed dust mass of Cas A based on the chemical evolution model of Morgan & Edmunds (2003). By a redshift of 4, SNe have been injecting dust in galaxies for over 2 billion years, and there is enough dust from SNe to explain the lower limit on the dust masses (~7 \times 10^7 \( \text{M}_\odot \)) inferred in submillimeter galaxies and distant quasars (Chini & Kruegel 1994; Isaak et al. 2002). It should be noted with the dust mass per SN implied by our results for Cas A alone that the interpretation of dust injection from SNe is limited, because the amount of dust built up over time is strongly dependent on the initial mass function, stellar evolution models, and star formation rates (Morgan & Edmunds 2003), and destruction rates in supernovae are believed to be important at timescales greater than a few billion years. Additional infrared/submillimeter observations of other young supernova remnants and supernovae are crucial to measure physical processes of dust formation in SNe, including the dust size distribution, composition, and dependence on nucleosynthetic products and environment, and to understand the dust in the early universe in terms of dust injection from SNe.

6. CONCLUSION

1. We presented Spitzer IRS mapping covering nearly the entire extent of Cas A and examined if SNe are primary dust formation sites that can be used to explain the high quantity of dust observed in the early universe.

2. The ejecta maps show a remarkable similarity to the dust maps, thereby confirming that dust formation occurs in the SN ejecta.

3. The IRS spectra of Cas A show a few dust features such as a unique 21 \( \mu m \) peak in the continuum from Mg protosilicate, \( \text{SiO}_2 \), and FeO. We observed most of the dust compositions predicted by SN Type II dust models. However, the dust features in Cas A favor Mg protosilicate rather than \( \text{Mg}_2\text{SiO}_4 \), and FeO rather than \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \). The composition infer that the ejecta are unmixed.

4. Our total estimated dust mass with Spitzer observations ranging from 5.5 to 70 \( \mu m \) is 0.020–0.054 \( \text{M}_\odot \). 1 order of magnitude smaller than the predicted models of dust formation in SNe ejecta by N03 and TF01, but 1 or more orders of magnitude higher than the previous estimations. The freshly formed dust mass derived from Cas A is sufficient from SNe to explain the lower limit on the dust masses in high-redshift galaxies.
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