Synthesis and antiulcer activity evaluation of conjugates of amino acids with N-aroyl- N, N'-dicyclohexyl urea
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Abstract
Amino acids are safe molecules with variable efficacy against ulceration. Considering the good antioxidant potential of N-aroyl- N, N'-dicyclohexyl urea and antiulcer activities of amino acids, a series of amino acid conjugates of N-aroyl- N, N'-dicyclohexyl urea was synthesized and the effect against ulceration in albino rats induced by pyloric ligation was screened. All these compounds were found to be safe and active. Reduction of ulcer index was significant for all compounds. Conjugates of methionine and histidine exhibited enhanced antiulcer activity comparable to omeprazole in terms of inhibition of release of gastric juice, hydrochloric acid and neutralization activity. The promising efficacy and safety of these compounds is interesting for further investigation.

Background
Intraduodenal administration of mixed amino acid solution has been reported to significantly inhibit gastric acid secretion and gastrin release, stimulated by intragastric perfusion of peptone [1]. However studies conducted with individual amino acids have yielded varying results. Amino acids such as leucine, isoleucine and proline augment the acid release [2,3], whereas amino acids including L-serine [4], L-tryptophan, L-arginine, glycine [5,6], tyrosine- and L-glutamic acid [7] have been shown to provide protection against gastric ulcers. Conjugate of methionine with penta-O-acetylglycyrrhizic acid healed both acute and chronic ulcers of rat stomach mucous lining and had low toxicity [8]. Esters of glycine, valine, leucine, proline and tryptophan with lab dane diterpene imbricatolic acid exhibited strong gastroprotective action [9]. Although the mechanism of antiulcer action of individual amino acids is not clear, their N-acylated derivatives have been shown to have direct in vitro H⁺/K⁺ ATPase inhibitory activity as well as in vivo efficacy in pylorus ligation model [10]. Further radicals are the major causative factor in stress induced gastric ulceration [11] and antioxidants provide effective gastro protection against stress induced ulceration [12].

Results and Discussion
Chemistry
The N-cyclohexyl-N-(cyclohexylcarbamoyl) benzamide (1) was prepared by reacting N, N'-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC) with benzoic acid in the reported manner [13]. Equimolar quantity of benzoic acid and DCC were used with triethylamine (TEA) as catalyst. Reaction of dry toluene in presence of TEA generated the carboxylate anion that acted as nucleophile to undergo nucleophilic addition with DCC to give the O-aryl intermediate that upon rearrangement yielded the urea analogue N-cyclohexyl-N-(cyclohexylcarbamoyl) benzamide (scheme 1). Acylation of N-cyclohexyl-N-(cyclohexylcarbamoyl) benzamide with chloroacetyl chloride was carried out following reported method [14,15]. The TEA acted as catalyst to enhance the nucleophilicity of the secondary amine to attack the nucleophilic centre of the chloroacetyl chloride to give N-((2-chloroacetyl) (cyclohexyl) carbamoyl)-N-cyclohexyl benzamide (scheme 1). The reaction between amino acids and halohydrocarbon has been reported being carried out in presence of K₂CO₃ using methanol as solvent [15]. To develop a simple method
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for substitution reaction of N-((2-chloroacetyl) (cyclohexyl) carbamoyl)-N-cyclohexyl benzamide with amino acids, we screened the effect of the base and solvent on the yield of the reaction (Table 1). In this reaction proton is abstracted from the amino group of the amino acids producing the anion that reacts with the nucleophillic centre of the halohydrocarbon. From the table it can be seen that methanol-TEA system effectively promoted this reaction. Other base-solvent systems were less effective in facilitating the reaction. This can be attributed to the good polarity of methanol and appropriate basicity of TEA.

| No | Solvent  | Base    | Time (h) | Yield (%) |
|----|----------|---------|----------|-----------|
| 1  | MeOH     | K$_2$CO$_3$ | 9        | 63        |
| 2  | MeOH     | TEA     | 8        | 76        |
| 3  | MeOH     | KOH     | 8        | 50        |
| 4  | THF      | K$_2$CO$_3$ | 8        | 43        |
| 5  | THF      | TEA     | 9        | 48        |
| 6  | THF      | KOH     | 10       | 35        |
| 7  | Acetonitrile | K$_2$CO$_3$ | 8   | 35        |
| 8  | Acetonitrile | TEA     | 8        | 28        |
| 9  | Acetonitrile | KOH     | 10       | 22        |

Bioactivity

Oral acute toxicity study of the synthesized compounds was made by guidelines of Organization of Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD-423) for testing of chemicals. The dose was increased up to 3000 mg/kg (ip) and lethality was not observed till 3000 mg/kg indicating the safety of these compounds (3a-j). The antulcer effects of the compounds were evaluated on white albino rats [16,17] according to internationally recognized guidelines with approved protocols from institutional animal ethics committee of School of Pharmaceutical Sciences with registration number 1171/c/08/cpcsea. One day before the induction of ulcers, the animals were divided into groups (n = 6) and drugs/vehicle was administered to white albino rats. The animals were then fasted (with free access to water) for a period of 24 h, so as to ensure complete gastric emptying and a steady state gastric acid secretion. The 24 h fasted animals were again administered with the drugs/vehicle on the morning of the experiment. Sixty minutes after administration of the drug/vehicle, the animals were anaesthetized using anesthetic ether and a midline incision was made just below the xiphoid process. The stomach was lifted out and ligated at the level of the pylorus following which it was replaced and the abdomen wall was closed by interrupted sutures. The animals were kept deprived of food and water and were sacrificed by an overdose of anesthetic ether after 4 h. The stomach was then dissected out, gastric contents were collected and subjected to centrifugation (1000 rpm for 10 min) and analysed for volume and pH. Free acidity and total acidity were estimated by titrating 1 mL of the centrifuged sample diluted to 10 mL with 0.01 N NaOH using Topfer’s reagent and phenolphthalein indicator respectively. For estimation of ulcer index inner surface of the stomach was examined for ulceration with magnifying glass. Omeprazole (1 mg/kg) was used as the standard drug for comparison purpose. The difference between the groups was determined using the one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA).

The newly synthesized compounds exhibited variable antulcer and antisecretory activity (Table 2). Reduction in volume of gastric juice was significant (P < 0.001) compared to control for 3a, 3b, 3c, 3d, 3g, 3h, 3i and 3j indicating their good antisecretory potential. The extent of reduction of secretion of gastric juice for compound 3b, 3c and 3j (Figure 1) was similar to that of omeprazole. The acid neutralizing capacity as indicated by pH of the gastric fluid was found to be more for compound 3j. All other compounds except 3e, 3f and 3h exhibited significant (P < 0.001) acid neutralizing capacity. Compound 3b and 3c showed antisecretory activity comparable to omeprazole but their acid neutralizing activity was found to be lower than the standard.

Scheme 1 Synthesis of amino acid conjugates of N-aryl- N, N'-dicyclohexyl urea.
Significant (P < 0.001) reduction in free acidity in all compounds except compound 3a indicated the ability of test compounds to inhibit secretion of hydrochloric acid. This inhibition was higher for the compound 3j and 3c followed by that of 3b. Reduction in free acidity of compound 3j and 3c was comparable to that of standard. All the compounds that inhibited free acidity, a proportionate inhibitory activity on total acidity was also observed (Figure 2). From the reduction in total acidity value, capacity of these compounds to reduce secretion as well as neutralize acid can be suggested. The inhibition of total acidity was highest for compounds 3c and 3j. The potential of the test compounds for inhibiting hydrochloric acid, gastric juice and neutralizing acidity has resulted in protection of gastric mucosa as observed from the significant (P < 0.001) decrease in ulcer index. From the analysis of the data it was observed that compound 3c containing methionine as the substituent and 3j with histidine as the substituent exhibited enhanced antiulcer activity that is comparable to omeprazole in terms of inhibition of release of gastric juice, hydrochloric acid and neutralization activity.

Table 2 Antiulcer activity of compounds (3a-j)

| Group   | R       | Volume (ml) | pH            | Ulcer index | FA (meq./l) | TA (meq./l) |
|---------|---------|-------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Control | -       | 6.40 ± 0.31 | 1.90 ± 0.26   | 16.80 ± 1.15| 44.50 ± 3.09| 48.72 ± 3.12|
| Standard| -       | 2.16 ± 0.28 | 6.10 ± 0.28   | 2.75 ± 0.42  | 11.48 ± 1.52 | 12.78 ± 1.73 |
| 3a      | -       | 4.33 ± 0.35 | 3.50 ± 0.28   | 5.91 ± 0.51  | 33.17 ± 2.86 | 37.83 ± 2.28 |
| 3b      | -       | 2.83 ± 0.31 | 3.98 ± 0.25   | 4.45 ± 0.32  | 22.83 ± 3.17 | 29.17 ± 2.66 |
| 3c      | -       | 2.38 ± 0.25 | 4.23 ± 0.26   | 3.81 ± 0.20  | 14.05 ± 0.97 | 16.82 ± 1.20 |
| 3d      | -       | 4.00 ± 0.36 | 4.53 ± 0.34   | 5.01 ± 0.47  | 27.67 ± 2.87 | 34.00 ± 3.36 |
| 3e      | -       | 5.03 ± 0.33 | 2.61 ± 0.30   | 6.93 ± 0.32  | 26.33 ± 2.29 | 31.67 ± 2.80 |
| 3f      | -       | 4.86 ± 0.35 | 3.06 ± 0.39   | 5.85 ± 0.54  | 24.67 ± 1.99 | 29.50 ± 2.83 |
| 3g      | -       | 3.13 ± 0.44 | 3.51 ± 0.28   | 6.48 ± 0.41  | 32.17 ± 2.52 | 38.17 ± 2.63 |
| 3h      | -       | 4.38 ± 0.32 | 3.13 ± 0.34   | 5.31 ± 0.49  | 28.83 ± 1.81 | 33.33 ± 1.99 |
| 3i      | -       | 4.03 ± 0.53 | 3.38 ± 0.28   | 6.20 ± 0.40  | 30.67 ± 3.49 | 37.17 ± 3.60 |
| 3j      | -       | 2.36 ± 0.31 | 5.28 ± 0.11   | 3.31 ± 0.25  | 13.32 ± 1.70 | 14.92 ± 1.86 |

Data are represented as mean ± S.E.M Statistical analysis was done with one way analysis of variance (ANOVA). * P < 0.001, b P < 0.05 as compared to control (n = 6 in each group)
Conjugates of tyrosine, serine, glutamine and cysteine exhibited similar anti-secretory and acid neutralizing capacity. Their antiulcer activity was significant compared to control but were less effective compared to conjugates of methionine and histidine. Conjugates of valine and threonine were least effective in reducing gastric volume. However the reduction of ulcer index was significant. This can be attributed to their effect on reduction of hydrochloric acid as observed from their free acidity and total acidity values. This study has yielded some very safe new compounds with good anti-ulcer potential.

**Experimental**
All reagents and solvents were procured and used without further purification. Melting points were determined
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**Figure 1** Effect of compounds (3a-j) on ulcer index, pH and gastric volume.

**Figure 2** Reduction in free acidity and total acidity by the compounds (3a-j).
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**Key**
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N-cyclohexyl-N-(cyclohexylcarbamoyl) benzamide (1)

N, N'-dicyclohexyl carbodiimide (1 mmol), benzoic acid (1 mmol) and triethylamine (1 mmol) in dry toluene (50 ml) was heated up to 110°C overnight to yield N-benzoyl-N, N'-dicyclohexylurea (1). The purity of the compound was checked with TLC. Yield 74%; Off-white; mp

To the well stirred solution of 1 (2 mmol) and triethylamine (1.2 mmol) in methanol (25 ml) was neutralized with triethylamine (1.2 mmol). To this K2CO3 (600 mg) was added. Later the solution of 2 (1 mmol) in methanol (50 ml) was added drop by drop for 30 min. The reaction mixture was refluxed for 8 h. The progress of the reaction was monitored by TLC. The reaction mixture was then desolvatized and compound was extracted in ethyl acetate. The ethyl acetate layer was washed with water and dried over anhydrous Na2SO4. Yield 56%; mp 130-132°C; Brown; Mol Wt. Anal. Found: 484.79. Calcd. for (C27H39N3O5): 485.29; FT-IR (KBr, cm-1): 3317.45 (O-H), 2979.21 (Ar-H), 3032.65 (Ar-H), 2854.33 (C-H), 1627.03 (C = O); 1H-NMR (CDCl3, 400 MHz): 1.11-1.49 (m, 20H), 2.12 (s, 1H), 3.45-3.47 (m, 1H), 3.89 (s, 1H), 5.86(s, 1H), 6.8-8.1 (m, 9H). Anal. Found:C, 66.77; H, 8.09; N, 7.67 (%); MS (m/z): 487 (M+).

(2S)-2-((2-(3-benzoyl-1, 3-dicyclohexylureido)-2-oxoethyl) amino)-3-phenyl propanoic acid (3b)

Yield 64%; mp 133-135°C; White color; Mol Wt. Anal. Found: 532.72. Calcd. for (C31H39N3O5): 533.29; FT-IR (KBr, cm-1): 3456.48 (O-H), 3211.13 (N-H), 3035.21 (Ar-H), 2854.58 (C-H), 1654.89 (C = O); 1H-NMR (CDCl3, 400 MHz): 1.1-1.4 (m, 20H), 2.1 (s, 1H), 3.49-3.51 (m, 1H), 3.74 (1 H, s), 7.1-8.1 (9 H, m); Anal. Found: C, 64.11; H, 8.03; N, 7.25 (%). Calcd. for (C31H39N3O5): C, 69.76; H, 7.36; N, 8.78 (%); MS (m/z): 533 (M+).

(2S)-2-((2-(3-benzoyl-1, 3-dicyclohexylureido)-2-oxoethyl) amino)-3-hydroxy propanoic acid (3c)

Yield 55%; mp 117-119°C; Pale yellow; Mol Wt. Anal. Found: 473.1. Calcd. for (C25H35N3O6): 473.55; FT-IR (KBr, cm-1): 3456.48 (O-H), 3211.13 (N-H), 3035.21 (Ar-H), 2854.58 (C-H), 1654.89 (C = O); 1H-NMR (CDCl3, 400 MHz): 1.11-1.47 (20 H, m), 3.41 (1 H, m), 4.17 (2 H, s), 7.1-8.25 (5 H, m). Anal. Found:C, 64.04; H, 7.64; N, 8.61 (%); MS(m/z): 487 (M+).

(2R)-2-((2-(3-benzoyl-1, 3-dicyclohexylureido)-2-oxoethyl) amino)-3-hydroxy propanoic acid (3d)

Yield 55%; mp 117-119°C; Pale yellow; Mol Wt. Anal. Found: 473.1. Calcd. for (C25H35N3O6): 473.55; FT-IR (KBr, cm-1): 3456.48 (O-H), 3211.13 (N-H), 3035.21 (Ar-H), 2854.58 (C-H), 1654.89 (C = O); 1H-NMR (CDCl3, 400 MHz): 1.11-1.47 (20 H, m), 3.41 (1 H, m), 4.17 (2 H, s), 7.1-8.25 (5 H, m). Anal. Found:C, 64.04; H, 7.64; N, 8.61 (%); MS(m/z): 487 (M+).

(2R)-2-((2-(3-benzoyl-1, 3-dicyclohexylureido)-2-oxoethyl) amino)-3-methyl butanoic acid (3e)

Yield 55%; mp 130-132°C; Brown; Mol Wt. Anal. Found: 502.978. Calcd. for (C25H35N3O5S): 503.25; S, 5.98; FT-IR (KBr, cm-1): 3456.48 (O-H), 3211.13 (N-H), 3035.21 (Ar-H), 2865.45 (C-H), 1654.89 (C = O); 1H-NMR (CDCl3, 400 MHz): 1.11-1.7 (m, 20H), 2.1 (s, 1H), 3.89 (1 H, s), 7.6-8.2 (5 H, m); Anal. Found: C, 62.03; H, 7.11; N, 7.67 %.
(%) Calcd. for (C\(_{27}\)H\(_{40}\)N\(_{2}\)O\(_{6}\)): C, 62.64; H, 7.59; N, 8.11 (%); MS(m/z): 503(M\(^+\)).

(25)-2-((3-benzoyl-1, 3-dicyclohexylureido)-2-oxoethyl) amino-3-(1H-indol-3-yl) propanoic acid (3g)

Yield 53%; mp 127-129°C; Off-white; Mol Wt. Analy. Found: 571.65. Calcd. for (C\(_{23}\)H\(_{40}\)N\(_{2}\)O\(_{6}\)): 572.3; O:13.13; FT-IR (KBr, cm\(^{-1}\)): 3445.53 (O-H), 3240.11(N-H), 3023.87 (Ar-H), 2854.43 (C-H), 1651.77 (C = O); H-NMR (CDCl\(_3\), 400 MHz): 1.2-1.74 (20 H, m), 2.1 (1 H, s), 3.54-3.7 (1 H, m), 7.18-8 (9 H, m), 9 (1 H, s); Anal. Found: C, 68.68; H, 7.11; N, 9.41 (%). Calcd. for (C\(_{23}\)H\(_{40}\)N\(_{2}\)O\(_{6}\)): C, 69.20; H, 7.03; N, 9, 78 (%).

5-amino-(2S)-2-((2-(3-benzoyl-1, 3-dicyclohexylureido)-2-oxoethyl)amino)-5-oxo pentanoic acid (3h)

Yield 44%; mp 141-143°C; White; Mol Wt. Anal. Found: 513.74. Calcd. for (C\(_{28}\)H\(_{42}\)N\(_{5}\)O\(_{5}\)): 514.28; FT-IR (KBr, cm\(^{-1}\)): 3407.6(O-H), 2930.31(Ar-H), 2855 (C-H), 1627.83(C = O); 1H-NMR (CDCl\(_3\), 400 MHz): 1.1-1.74 (20 H, m), 1.8-1.95 (4 H, m), 2.1 (1 H, s), 3.49-3.7 (1 H, m), 6.5 (1 H, s), 7.7-8.3 (5 H, m); Anal. Found: C, 62.59; H, 7.11; N, 10.62 (%). Calcd. for (C\(_{28}\)H\(_{42}\)N\(_{5}\)O\(_{5}\)): C, 63.01; H, 7.44; N, 10.88 (%); MS(m/z): 514(M\(^+\)).

(2R)-2-((3-benzoyl-1, 3-dicyclohexylureido)-2-oxoethyl) amino-3-mercapto propanoic acid (3i)

Yield 58%; mp 131-133°C; Brownish; Mol Wt. Anal. Found: 488.86. Calcd. for (C\(_{27}\)H\(_{40}\)N\(_{3}\)O\(_{5}\)S): 489. FT-IR (KBr, cm\(^{-1}\)): 3423.12 (O-H), 3189.65 (N-H), 3033.79 (Ar-H), 2843.34 (C-H), 1666.54 (C = O); 1H-NMR(CDCl\(_3\), 400 MHz): 1.1-1.74 (20 H, m), 2.79-3.1 (2 H, m), 2 (1 H, s), 3.52-3.69 (1 H, m), 7.6-8.1 (5 H, m); Anal. Found: C, 54.98; H, 6.32; N, 9.10 (%). Calcd. for (C\(_{27}\)H\(_{40}\)N\(_{3}\)O\(_{5}\)S): C, 61.34; H, 7.15; N, 8.58 (%).

(2S)-2-((3-benzoyl-1, 3-dicyclohexylureido)-2-oxoethyl) amino-3-(1H-imidazole-5-yl) propanoic acid (3j)

Yield 59%; Brown; Mol Wt. Analy. Found: 522.86. Calcd. for (C\(_{28}\)H\(_{37}\)N\(_{3}\)O\(_{5}\)): 523.28; FT-IR (KBr, cm\(^{-1}\)): 3407.6(O-H), 2930.31(Ar-H), 2855 (C-H), 1627.83(C = O); 1H-NMR (CDCl\(_3\), 400 MHz): 1.1-1.88 (20 H, m), 2.12 (1 H, s), 2.54-2.56 (2 H, m), 3.39-3.44 (1 H, t), 3.77-3.81(1 H, m), 7.38-7.85 (5 H, m), 7.2-8.13 (5 H m,), 8.35 (1 H, s); Anal. Found: C, 64.42; H, 7.48; N, 13.24 (%). Calcd. for (C\(_{28}\)H\(_{37}\)N\(_{3}\)O\(_{5}\)): C, 64.22; H, 7.12; N, 13.37 (%); MS(m/z): 523.2(M\(^+\)).
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