Contributions to ventilation system demand response: a case study of an educational building
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Abstract. The increasing share of volatile renewable energy in the electricity grid increases the importance of load flexibility and Demand Response for balancing electricity supply with demand. Flexible loads in office buildings (e.g. educational buildings) are heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC) systems. This paper focuses on ventilation systems as flexible loads for providing ancillary services to the grid. A number of studies consider ventilation system control based only on demand or discuss possibilities of improving system performance. Previous studies provide little or no information about ventilation system flexibility, e.g. amount of power modulation, the rate of change, and the duration of how long the power level can be held. The described information is required by aggregators to provide load aggregation services for transmission system operators (TSO). This paper proposes a robust and model-free approach to estimate ventilation system flexibility according to CO2 concentration in extracted air. The proposed approach includes power regulation boundaries for the ventilation system and duration estimation when operating at the selected boundary. A case study is conducted on a ventilation system, which services an auditorium of an educational building. The current paper analyzes the proposed robust approach for estimating ventilation system flexibility and compares estimation to measured results.

1 Introduction

By introducing distributed volatile renewable energy generation to the electricity grid the balance between electricity supply and demand is becoming difficult to maintain and predict. This is the reason why Demand Response (DR) as a source for energy flexibility is becoming important to provide ancillary services to the grid to maintain system stability. Flexibility can be characterized by the amount of power modulation, the duration of forced power level, the rate of change, the response time, location, etc. [1].

According to the European Commission buildings consume around 40% of the energy produced in the European Union [2]. In commercial buildings, heating, ventilation, air conditioning, and lighting systems are the biggest energy consumers, where ventilation systems alone account up to 12% of the overall energy consumption [3]. While the usage of heating, cooling, and lighting is dependent on ambient conditions, their utilization in DR programs can be limited. Nonetheless, the thermal capacity of a building and its use to provide flexibility has been studied in [4], [5]. Ventilation systems on the other hand, are well suited to provide ancillary services to the grid. The load flexibility of ventilation systems is dictated by indoor air quality (IAQ) as it must provide the defined minimum requirements for indoor climate at all times. The standard CEN/TR 16798 states minimum requirements for three main IAQ parameters: CO2 concentration, temperature, and humidity.

The use of ventilation systems for providing load flexibility has been of elevated interest of research. Studies [6], [7] consider temperature based ventilation control to provide grid services. A ventilation system is considered as virtual battery storage in [6] where physics-based thermal models and machine-learning techniques are combined to achieve the required power level. In [7] HVAC system was studied to provide frequency regulation services where it was found that 15% of the rated fan power can be used to provide grid services without jeopardizing occupants’ thermal comfort. The potential use of a ventilation system in DR is discussed in [8], where the authors state that a single 13 kW ventilation system in a 12-story apartment building can provide 4.5 kW of power increase and 1.0 kW of power reduction when needed without compromising IAQ. Ventilation system shutdown when needed is discussed in [9] where it is found that CO2 concentration has the highest impact on ventilation system flexibility and the minimum duration for a residential building with a space volume of 100 m2 is 5 minutes. Mikola et al. [10], [11] have shown that the CO2-based tracer gas methods are suitable for the ventilation performance evaluation and they have also confirmed that in many cases the fully mixed ventilation is achieved.
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The studied literature does not consider all parameters that characterize flexibility and proposed methods for harvesting flexibility are tailored to function in specific systems, which means it is not universally applicable in other types of systems. One mentioned approach was to use machine-learning techniques, but to implement this approach, a vast amount of data is needed. This paper diminishes the lack of research in the given field by proposing a universal, model-free, and robust method to estimate ventilation system flexibility. Mass balance analysis is used to estimate a ventilation system load flexibility based on CO₂ concentration. This approach can be used in parallel with machine-learning methods to immediately include the selected ventilation system into an aggregator’s portfolio, which can afterward be taken over by artificial intelligence. The paper is organized as follows: in section 2, the experiment setup for conducting the case study and the method for estimating ventilation system flexibility are given, and section 3 discusses the results of the conducted case study.

2 Method

This paper is based on a case study conducted in an auditorium of an educational building and the ventilation system servicing it. In this chapter, the equipment used to conduct this case study and the methodology to estimate load flexibility for the ventilation system is covered.

2.1 Experiment setup

The case study was carried out in an auditorium of a building at the Tallinn University of Technology. The experiment included a ventilation system that was servicing only the selected auditorium. The floor area of the selected auditorium is 224.5 m² and the estimated volume of indoor air is 1122.5 m³. The maximum occupancy of the auditorium is 200 persons and the designed ventilation rate is 1.76 m³/s. The minimum allowable ventilation rate was set by the fan drive frequency of 17 Hz which is around 0.48 m³/s.

A pollution source, a CO₂ cylinder with accessories to measure and regulate gas flow, was placed in the center of the auditorium. The CO₂ cylinder was weighted before and after the experiment to estimate the average CO₂ generation rate during the experiment. An air mixing fan was placed close to the CO₂ gas outlet to introduce a vertical component into CO₂ pollution and to assist in mixing the air (Figure 1). The use of a mixing fan was required due to the low temperature of the injected CO₂ gas that does not mix well with indoor air, while the air exhaled by persons is warmer and has better mixing properties. Fresh air is introduced to the auditorium from beneath the floor with multiple fresh air inlets and polluted air is extracted from above with one air duct which can be located in Figure 1 at the right part of the room plan.

IAQ loggers were placed into the auditorium marked as LGR1 to LGR13 that are located at the height of around 0.8 m, except LGR6 that is installed on the extract air outlet at the height of around 3 m. The level of CO₂ was measured using the Evikon E6226 measurement unit (CO₂ level 0 to 10000 ppm). These loggers are added to have a better overview of CO₂ distribution inside the auditorium, but they are not used to estimate the ventilation system flexibility. Ventilation system flexibility estimations use only a single CO₂ concentration sensor located in the extract air duct, which is the preferred placement for such sensors in most ventilation systems. The described flexibility estimation methodology can be applicable for most ventilation systems with minimal investments.

![Figure 1. The auditorium and measurement equipment layout.](image1)

A mechanical ventilation system is used to change the auditorium air, where two fans are used to supply fresh air and to extract polluted air (Figure 2). The system also includes rotary heat recovery which was set to operate at a maximum rotational speed. The ventilation system also includes air filters, preheating and -cooling of the supply air that are not included in Figure 2.

![Figure 2. The ventilation system layout with added measurement equipment.](image2)

Airflow rates for extract and supply air were measured with a timestep of 1 minute. To measure the airflow, a multifunction indoor air quality meter Testo 435-4 (differential pressure 0 to 250 Pa and accuracy ±1 Pa) was used. One IAQ logger was installed into the extract air duct which measures extract air CO₂ concentration with a timestep of 1 minute. Each fan
speed is regulated with frequency converters FC1 and FC2 where each fan drive is powered from the distribution board. Each fan power consumption is measured separately with one electricity meter BFM136. This electricity meter complies with accuracy requirements stated in IEC 62053-22 for class 0.5S. The time interval for power consumption measurements is selected to be 2 min.

### 2.2 Flexibility prediction

Two main parameters that need to be predicted for flexibility estimation are (a) the amount of power modulation and (b) the duration of how long the power level can be held. The amount of power modulation can be estimated based on historical data where the assumption that each day the ventilation system is operating with the same load pattern is made. The amount of load modulation can be expressed as the available power increase \( P_{\text{inc}} \) or decrease \( P_{\text{dec}} \) which can be calculated as follows:

\[
P_{\text{inc}} = P_{\text{max}} - P_i \quad (1)
\]

\[
P_{\text{dec}} = P_i - P_{\text{min}} \quad (2)
\]

where \( P_{\text{max}} \) and \( P_{\text{min}} \) are ventilation unit power consumption at maximum and minimum ventilation rate which in this study are named as forced ventilation rate (FVR). The power consumption of the ventilation system at the time \( i \) is expressed as \( P_i \).

The duration for the selected power level is defined by IAQ, where the CO₂ concentration is measured to be used in estimations. The standard CEN/TR 16798-2 states limit conditions for IAQ, where the maximum allowable CO₂ concentration for educational buildings is around 1100 ppm.

A mass balance analysis can be used to estimate CO₂ concentration in a space where the assumption is made that CO₂ concentration can be expressed as a single variable \( C \). The change of CO₂ concentration in a given space is dependent on CO₂ generation \( G \), ambient CO₂ concentration \( C_0 \), ventilation rate \( Q \), and the space volume \( V \). The mass balance equation for mixing ventilation can be expressed as follows [8]:

\[
V \frac{dC}{dt} = G + QC_0 - QC \quad (3)
\]

CO₂ generation must be known to estimate ventilation system flexibility. Based on measurements CO₂ generation rate can be calculated as shown in (4). This equation is derived from (3) and is put into a discrete form which is more suitable for a control system.

\[
G_i = \frac{V \cdot (C_i - C_{i-1})}{\Delta t} + Q_i \cdot (C_1 - C_0) \quad (4)
\]

Knowing the CO₂ generation rate at a given timestep, estimation for ventilation system flexibility according to CO₂ concentration can be made as shown in (5). When the ventilation system is working at the minimum ventilation rate to provide power decrease then CO₂ concentration starts rising until the upper limit is reached. Therefore, (5) can be used to estimate the duration when the CO₂ concentration in a space will reach its boundary. The main restriction for the duration when the ventilation system is operating at lowered power consumption is the upper limit of CO₂ concentration. There is no lower limit for CO₂ concentration, which means that there is no limitation to increase ventilation system power consumption in the CO₂ concentration perspective.

\[
\tau_i = \frac{V \cdot (C_{\text{limit}} - C_i)}{G_i \cdot Q_{\text{min}} \cdot \frac{C_i + C_{\text{min}} + 2C_0}{2}} \quad (5)
\]

CO₂ concentration and airflow measurements can have fluctuations that do not correlate due to disturbances or measurement reading lag. This will cause unrealistic flexibility estimations (e.g. estimated duration for FVR is infinitely long). To have a good estimation, these values must be filtered. In this paper, the maximum duration \( \tau_{\text{max}} \) is chosen to be 5 hours, which was selected based on the results of the case study. All estimations that exceed this limit are considered unreasonable and will be replaced by an alternative estimation based on the average CO₂ generation during the last 5 minutes, which can be calculated as follows:

\[
\tau_i > \tau_{\text{max}} \Rightarrow G_i = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} G_{i,k} \quad (6)
\]

The same principle as described previously is used when unexpected negative CO₂ generation is detected at times the ventilation system is forced to operate at a minimum rate. The CO₂ generation data of the previous 5 minutes will be used to calculate the new duration estimation and also the next CO₂ concentration, using (3).

### 3 Results

In this section, results from the power consumption measurement of the selected ventilation system are discussed to estimate the amount of power modulation available at each hour of the day. A case study is conducted to estimate the duration of how long FVR can be held until the CO₂ concentration reaches its limit. Based on acquired data the proposed method to estimate the ventilation system flexibility can be studied.

#### 3.1 Amount of power modulation

The available amount of power modulation can be estimated statistically based on historical data. In this study, the ventilation system was monitored for one week from 23\textsuperscript{rd} November to 30\textsuperscript{th} November 2020. Results show that the ventilation system is operating
with the same schedule on all days, including weekends (Figure 3). The ventilation system is scheduled to operate at the maximum rate between 7:00 and 22:00 which means that between this time window ventilation system power consumption can only be decreased. The ventilation system is operating at the minimum rate between 22:00 and 7:00 which means that during this time the ventilation system power consumption can only be increased. Transitions from maximum rate to minimum and vice versa conducted at 7:00 and 22:00 do not happen immediately which causes outliers in the data.

![Ventilation System Power Consumption](https://example.com/ventilation.png)

**Figure 3.** Studied ventilation system power consumption during one day.

During the operation at maximum ventilation rate, the average power consumption of the ventilation system was around 4.77 kW, where the maximum deviation of 0.56 kW was metered between 9:00 and 10:00. During the operation at the minimum ventilation rate, the average power consumption of the ventilation system was around 0.35 kW, where the deviation between the maximum and minimum value was less than 0.1 kW. Based on the measurements carried out, it can be estimated that the amount of power modulation for the selected system is around 4.42 kW.

### 3.2 Case study

A case study was conducted on the 9th of October, where the ventilation system that services an auditorium of an educational building was switched from normal to minimum operation (Figure 4). The objective was to reduce the power consumption of the system and to estimate the load flexibility. The case study was divided into events where one disturbance caused by the mixing fan was added (Table 1).

The average power consumption of the ventilation system before switching it to the minimum rate was around 4.88 kW which corresponds to the airflow rate of approximately 1.79 m³/s. Calculated specific fan power (SFP) before the FVR was around 2.73 kW/(m³/s). During operation at the FVR, the system power consumption was around 0.34 kW, which corresponds to the airflow rate of approximately 0.48 m³/s. Calculated SFP at the FVR was around 0.71 kW/(m³/s). According to the standard EN 13779, SFP should be less than 2.0 kW/(m³/s), which means that the ventilation system has poor efficiency at maximum ventilation rate. The ventilation system took around 90 seconds to reach from one power level to another which means that the rate of power change was approximately 50 W/s.

**Table 1.** The case study events and schedule.

| Event | Description | Time |
|-------|-------------|------|
| I     | Start of the experiment, CO₂ gas injection into the auditorium | 11:52 |
| II    | The ventilation system is switched to the minimum rate | 12:44 |
| III   | Start of the disturbance, the CO₂ gas mixing fan is switched on | 13:03 |
| IV    | End of the disturbance, the CO₂ gas mixing fan is switched off | 13:14 |
| V     | The maximum allowable CO₂ concentration of 1100 ppm reached | 13:39 |
| VI    | End of the experiment, the ventilation system is returned to normal operation | 13:46 |

![Ventilation System Power Consumption](https://example.com/ventilation.png)

**Figure 4.** The ventilation system power consumption and airflow rate during the experiment.

The experiment started at 11:52 with the opening the valve for the CO₂ cylinder (Figure 5). During the experiment, the CO₂ gas flow rate into the auditorium was kept around 22 l/min which is roughly equal to the CO₂ generated by 66 persons, which corresponds to 33% of room usage. At 12:44, the ventilation system was switched to operate at the FVR. The time before this action was used to stabilize the CO₂ concentration in the auditorium and to estimate the time duration of how long the ventilation system can be held at the minimum rate. At 13:03 the mixing fan for CO₂ gas was switched off and at 13:14 its operation was restored. This caused a temporary decrease of CO₂ concentration in extract air, which was the result of poor CO₂ gas mixing in the auditorium air. The flexibility estimation algorithm identified this event as an unexpected negative CO₂ generation rate and started to calculate the CO₂ concentration in the auditorium based on the CO₂ generation data of the previous 5 minutes. This part of the algorithm is only activated when the ventilation system is forced to operate at a certain rate that is below normal operating condition to achieve a decreased power consumption.

The boundary for CO₂ concentration was reached at 13:39 after which the ventilation system operated for 7 minutes at the lowest rate. At 13:46, the ventilation system was set to operate at the normal rate and the
valve for the CO$_2$ cylinder was closed, which marked the end of the experiment. Poor mixing of the CO$_2$ gas with the air inside the auditorium resulted in a high CO$_2$ concentration. The build-up of the CO$_2$ concentration is not expected when the CO$_2$ is generated by people inside the auditorium instead of a gas dispensing system.

The estimated CO$_2$ concentration reached the boundary of 1100 ppm before it was measured which is why the estimations are lower than measured results.

The measured duration shows how much time was left until the CO$_2$ concentration boundary was reached from the start of the FVR. At 13:07, the algorithm detected an unexpected negative CO$_2$ generation rate, which meant that the CO$_2$ concentration level was estimated and the measurements did not affect the duration estimation.

In this paper, IAQ loggers located inside the auditorium were used to have an overview of conditions in the auditorium during the experiment. During normal operation, it was found that there is a weak positive correlation with a coefficient of approximately 0.48 between the CO$_2$ concentration measured inside the extract air duct and the average CO$_2$ concentration inside the auditorium. It can be seen from Figure 7 that during the ventilation system normal operation the extract air CO$_2$ concentration was in the range of CO$_2$ concentration inside the auditorium measured in multiple points. During the period when the ventilation system operated at the FVR CO$_2$ concentration measured inside the extract air duct and average CO$_2$ concentration inside the auditorium did not correlate, which can be also seen from Figure 7. This can be caused by poor mixing of CO$_2$ gas in the auditorium or inertia between the auditorium and the extracted air measurements. To have a better understanding of the reasons this experiment should be repeated with real persons, where thorough planning and ethical reasons should be addressed.
4 Conclusion

In this paper, a method to estimate ventilation system load flexibility was proposed. To test the method, a case study was conducted in one auditorium of an educational building, where air exchange was provided by one ventilation system. The case study included the investigation of ventilation system power consumption, the impact of FVR on the CO₂ concentration, and how it affects flexibility. Three main flexibility parameters were considered: the amount of power modulation, the rate of change, and the duration how of long the minimum power level can be held.

The amount of power change was estimated with statistical analysis and it is found that during measurements ventilation system operated at two scheduled power levels. During daytime or working hours the ventilation system is operating at the maximum ventilation rate which corresponds to approximately 4.77 kW. During night hours the ventilation system operates at the minimum rate and the power consumption is around 0.35 kW. This means that available power modulation is around 4.42 kW, but according to the schedule, the ventilation system power consumption can only be decreased during the daytime. The rate of change was measured during the experiment and it is found to be around 50 W/s for the selected system.

The duration of how long FVR can be held is based on mass balance analysis. Firstly, the CO₂ generation is calculated based on the extract air CO₂ concentration change, airflow rate, and space volume. Secondly, based on the calculated CO₂ generation rate estimation for the duration is made. High fluctuations and unrealistic estimations are filtered out. The experiment showed that with 33% of auditorium usage the ventilation system can operate at the minimum rate for 55 min while the estimated duration was around 53 min. To keep the developed method robust and applicable for different ventilation systems, only one CO₂ sensor in the extract air duct of the ventilation system is used, thus the developed method does not consider non-uniformity in CO₂ concentration. It is the distinct aim of the authors to avoid the limitation of the developed method to only selected ventilation systems, due to alternating locations of the CO₂ sensor. Based on the results, the proposed method can be used to estimate ventilation system flexibility.

Future work considers more ventilation systems and buildings to have a higher amount of available power to regulate. Typically, ventilation systems are configured to operate according to building occupancy, thus to have sufficient load flexibility available, commercial and residential buildings should be combined. In the study pollution source was CO₂ gas which was injected into the auditorium from the gas cylinder, but in further studies, heaters should be added to introduce conditions that are closer to reality. Method to estimate duration will be improved by considering the inertia in CO₂ concentration, adding historical data to the estimation, and including multi-zone ventilation systems, where estimations can be based on the highest CO₂ concentration zone. Also, changing the CO₂ concentration limit according to a space size, air exchange type, and airflow rate should be addressed in further studies.
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