Autophagy is involved in endogenous and NVP-AUY922-induced KIT degradation in gastrointestinal stromal tumors
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Gastrointestinal stromal tumor (GIST) is a prototype of mutant KIT oncogene-driven tumor. Prolonged tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI) treatment may result in a resistant phenotype through acquired secondary KIT mutation. Heat shock protein 90 (HSP90AA1) is a chaperone protein responsible for protein maturation and stability, and KIT is a known client protein of HSP90AA1. Inhibition of HSP90AA1 has been shown to destabilize KIT protein by enhancing its degradation via the proteasome-dependent pathway. In this study, we demonstrated that NVP-AUY922 (AUY922), a new class of HSP90AA1 inhibitor, is effective in inhibiting the growth of GIST cells expressing mutant KIT protein, the imatinib-sensitive GIST882 and imatinib-resistant GIST48 cells. The growth inhibition was accompanied with a sustained reduction of both total and phosphorylated KIT proteins and the induction of apoptosis in both cell lines. Surprisingly, AUY922-induced KIT reduction could be partially reversed by pharmacological inhibition of either autophagy or proteasome degradation pathway. The blockade of autophagy alone led to the accumulation of the KIT protein and the induction of apoptosis in both cell lines. Therefore, the results not only highlight the potential application of AUY922 for the treatment of KIT-expressing GISTs, but also provide the first evidence for the involvement of autophagy in endogenous and HSP90AA1 inhibitor-induced KIT degradation.

Introduction

Gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GISTs) are the most common type of mesenchymal neoplasms in the gastrointestinal tract.1,2 The annual incidence of GISTs is estimated to be approximately 10–20 per million individuals. Primary gain-of-function KIT mutations occur in 60–80% of GISTs. The most common primary mutations occur in the juxta-membrane domain (exon 11), occasionally in the extracellular domain (exon 9), but rarely in the ATP-binding domain (exon 13/14) and the activation loop domain (exon 17).3–6 Clinically, the tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI), Imatinib Mesylate (IM; Gleevec®, Novartis Pharma), has been approved as a first-line therapy for metastatic or unresectable GIST. However, 50% of patients with initially IM-responsive GISTs suffer from disease progression within 2 years of beginning treatment.4 The best-recognized mechanisms
underlying the development of acquired IM resistance include the acquisition of secondary mutations in exon 13, 14 or 17 of KIT or the overexpression of wild-type KIT. Currently, sunitinib malate (SU; Sutent®, Pfizer, Inc.) is the FDA-approved second-line treatment for IM-resistant GISTs. Like IM, SU acts primarily by inhibiting KIT phosphorylation. Unfortunately, SU is not effective for IM-resistant GISTs with secondary KIT mutations in exon 17, as indicated by the difference in median progression-free survival for patients with secondary exon 13/14 and exon 17 mutations of 7.8 and 2.1 months, respectively. Apparently, alternative therapeutic strategies and novel practicable agents are urgently needed for these patients.

Although several TKIs have been investigated in clinical trials, only limited therapeutic activity is exhibited in IM/SU-resistant GISTs. In addition, the acquisition of TKI-resistant KIT genotypes can be evaded after repetitive exposure to new TKI therapy. Therefore, it is imperative to explore novel therapeutic strategies that can overcome the inevitable problem of TKI resistance in GIST patients, irrespective of the specific mutational activation mechanisms. Two potential strategies are to silence KIT gene transcription and to enhance the cellular degradation of constitutively active KIT. Fumo et al. have discovered that KIT is stabilized and protected from protein degradation by heat shock protein 90 (HSP90AA1), and that HSP90AA1 inhibition reduces the levels of wild-type or D816V-mutated KIT protein on mast cells. Bauer et al. also find that 17-AAG, an inhibitor of HSP90AA1, can substantially reduce the protein levels of both phosphorylated and total KIT in IM-sensitive and IM-resistant GIST cell lines. Moreover, HSP90AA1 overexpression is an indicator of poor prognosis that correlates with several adverse parameters, suggesting this protein as a therapeutic target for patients with high-risk IM-resistant GISTs.

HSP90AA1 is a molecular chaperone involved in the conformational maturation, stability and function of its substrates, or “client proteins,” within a cell. Many HSP90AA1 client proteins, including receptor tyrosine kinases, signaling molecules and kinases, and transcription factors, participate in a variety of critical cellular processes, such as signal transduction, survival, proliferation, invasion, metastasis, angiogenesis, cell cycle regulation and apoptosis. Nevertheless, HSP90AA1 has not been pursued as a drug target until the discovery and characterization of naturally-derived inhibitors of HSP90AA1, such as geldanamycin (GA) and radicicol (RD). The prevalence of a high-affinity form of HSP90AA1 in tumor cells, the critical roles played by oncogenic client proteins in cancer cells and the greater HSP90AA1 dependency of cancer cells as compared with normal tissues have been proposed as rationales for the selectivity of HSP90AA1 inhibitors for cancer vs. normal cells. Furthermore, Kamal et al. and Vilenchik et al. have demonstrated that the inhibition of HSP90AA1 selectively kills cancer cells compared with the effect for normal cells. However, the role of the autophagy pathway in HSP90AA1 inhibition-induced protein degradation remains unclear.

The GA analog 17-AAG was the first HSP90AA1 inhibitor to undergo clinical trials. Further clinical trials have been initiated with 17-AAG as a single agent or in combination therapies in numerous cancer types, including multiple myeloma, lymphoma, breast cancer, prostate cancer and kidney cancer. HSP90AA1 inhibitors are now a major focus of cancer therapies and as novel compounds because their ability for interfering with multiple oncogenic pathways is thought to confer a broad antitumor activity and less susceptibility to acquired drug resistance. However, despite the high antitumor activity and progress in clinical treatment of 17-AAG, this compound has several potential limitations, including poor solubility, limited bioavailability, hepatotoxicity and extensive metabolism by polymorphic enzymes.

In the present study, the next-generation HSP90AA1 inhibitor AUY922, which inhibited HSP90AA1 with higher affinity in vitro, was examined for its antitumor activity in mutant KIT-expressing GIST48 and GIST882 cell lines. Moreover, the detailed mechanisms of AUY922-induced KIT downregulation were investigated at the posttranslational level. These results represent an important step toward identifying the optimal therapy for IM-resistant GISTs.

**Results**

AUY922 downregulated phospho- and total KIT expression. First we investigated whether AUY922, which had a high affinity to HSP90AA1, could reduce phospho- and total KIT expression in COS-1 cells expressing its wild-type or mutant proteins. Experiments using transient transfection of COS-1 cells with KIT constructs containing either a wild-type, or mutant KIT gene (mimicking primary GISTs with or without secondary mutations in clinical GIST samples such as exon 11V560DΔΔ555–576, exon 17N822KΔΔ555–576, exon 17N822KΔΔ555–576/17N822KΔΔ555–576, respectively) in the presence of AUY922 for the indicated time courses and doses were performed. In COS-1 cells transfected with the wild-type and KIT exon 11V560DΔΔ555–576, exon 17N822KΔΔ555–576, exon 17N822KΔΔ555–576/17N822KΔΔ555–576, AUY922 downregulated both phospho- and total KIT protein in dose- (0.01–1 μM) and time- (0.5–8 h) dependent manners (Fig. 1A and B).

To exclude the possibility that AUY922 induced KIT downregulation in COS-1 due to the influence of the KIT plasmid on the CMV promoter, the LacZ gene was constructed into pcDNA3.1 and acted as a control plasmid. COS-1 cells were transfected with the LacZ/pcDNA3.1 or KITV560DΔΔ555–576/pcDNA3.1 plasmid and treated with 1 μM AUY922 for 6 h. The expression level of KIT was greatly reduced, while that of LacZ was not significantly affected by AUY922 treatment of either 0.1 or 1 μM (Fig. 1C), indicating that downregulation of KIT by AUY922 in COS-1 cells was a protein-specific event.

The findings were further validated in GIST cells in the IM-sensitive GIST882 and IM-resistant GIST48 cells expressing mutant KIT proteins. Because HSPA1A, also known as HSP70, accumulation is known as a functional index of HSP90AA1 inhibition, we also measured the protein level of HSPA1A. These results showed that 1 μM AUY922 elevated the level of HSPA1A.
expression in a time-dependent manner, indicating that AUY922 effectively inhibited the HSP90AA1 activity. This inhibitory effect of AUY922 on HSP90AA1 activity also caused reduction of the phospho- and total KIT protein levels in both GIST48 and GIST882 cells treated with AUY922 for 0.5–8 h (Fig. 1D and E). Consistently, its downstream signaling molecules, such as MAPK1/3 and AKT, were inactivated by the AUY922 treatment after 0.5–2 h. In the dose-dependent experiments, a 2 h
exposure to 0.05–1 μM AUY922 downregulated the expression levels of phospho- and total KIT proteins in both GIST48 and GIST882 cell lines. The minimum concentrations of AUY922 for completely abolishing the phospho-KIT in GIST cells were at 0.05–0.1 μM. With the reduced levels of phospho-KIT, the phospho-MAPK1/3 and phospho-AKT levels were also decreased by AUY922 treatment in GIST882 cells, whereas the phospho-AKT level was found to be decreased only in GIST48 cells. In addition, the exposure of 0.1 μM AUY922 for 24 h was able to sustainably reduce the levels of total and phospho-KIT as compared with the effect of 1 μM 17-AAG in GIST48 cells, as shown in Figure 1F. These findings indicated that AUY922 is a potent and durable anticancer drug for reducing KIT expression in GIST cells expressing mutant forms of KIT.

The cytotoxic effect of AUY922 in GIST48 and GIST882 cells. To examine the cytotoxicity of AUY922, GIST48 and GIST882 cells were incubated with this drug at the indicated doses and analyzed by cell viability assay (Fig. 2A) and clonogenic assay (Fig. 2B). Both 17-AAG and IM acted as controls. The IC50 values of AUY922, 17-AAG, and IM were 31, 38, and 370 nM, respectively, in GIST48 cells and 39, 569, and 96 nM, respectively, in GIST882 cells (Fig. 2A). Figure 2B showed that the IC50 results of clonogenic assay were 38, 648, and 2070 nM for AUY922, 17-AAG, and IM, respectively, in GIST48 cells, and 45, 916, and 394 nM for them, respectively, in GIST882 cells. We then incubated GIST48 or GIST882 cells with 0.1 or 1 μM AUY922 for 12–48 h to determine whether AUY922 could induce cell apoptosis. IM was included as a control. These data showed that the apoptotic cell populations, as identified by Annexin V-positive and PI-negative staining, were increased from 27–40% in GIST48 cells and from 5–12% in GIST882 cells (accompanied by extended exposure time from 12–48 h) (Fig. 2C). Moreover, PARP1 cleavage, another apoptotic marker, was observed after AUY922 treatment for 12 h in GIST 48 cells and 24 h in GIST882 cells, and cleavage was markedly increased at 48 h (Fig. 2D). In accordance with the finding of 0.1 μM of AUY922 was able to completely abolish the phospho-KIT in both cell lines, the cell apoptotic effects of 0.1 μM AUY922 were equivalent to those of 1 μM in both Annexin V staining and PARP1 cleavage studies.

The enhancement of KIT protein degradation by AUY922. We have demonstrated that AUY922 could downregulate KIT expression in cells expressing its wild-type or mutant forms, regardless of their IM sensitivity. To examine whether AUY922 might enhance KIT protein degradation, GIST48, GIST882 or COS-1 cells harboring KIT mutants were treated with the protein synthesis inhibitor cycloheximide (CHX) alone or in combination with AUY922, and then the KIT protein levels were analyzed by western blotting analysis. The half-life of the KIT protein in each cell lines was estimated after normalization of ACTIN control. The data showed that the KIT half-lives in CHX-treated cells vs. AUY922/CHX combination-treated cells were 42 ± 1.9 min vs. 34 ± 1.7 min, 96 ± 15 min vs. 57 ± 6 min, and > 480 min vs. 55 ± 1 min for GIST48, GIST882, and COS-1V560D cells, respectively (Fig. 3A–C). These consistent results demonstrated that AUY922 treatment could accelerate KIT protein degradation, as other HSP90AA1 inhibitors, for instance, 17-AAG and IPI-504, did.

AUY922-induced autophagy led to KIT reduction. To further distinguish which protein degradation pathway(s) were involved in AUY922-induced KIT degradation, cells were pretreated with the proteasome degradation inhibitor MG-132 or the autophagy inhibitor 3-MA for 4 h and followed by co-incubation with 1 μM of AUY922 for further 6 h. These results showed that downregulation of KIT protein by AUY922 could be partially rescued by MG-132 or 3-MA in both GIST48 and GIST882 cells (Fig. 4A). The findings were further validated by alternative inhibitors of proteasome degradation (lactacystin) and autophagy (bafilomycin A1), as shown in Figure 4B, and also confirmed in COS-1 cells expressing mutant KIT (Fig. 4A). Taken together, these results demonstrated that both autophagy- and proteasome-mediated degradation pathways played roles in the AUY922-induced KIT protein downregulation in GIST48, GIST882, and COS-1 cells.

To further confirm the involvement of autophagy in the KIT downregulation induced by AUY922, we first examined MAP1LC3B accumulation, an index of autophagy activity, after AUY922 treatment. Following exposure to 1 μM of AUY922, a sustained increase in the MAP1LC3B level was noted from 0.5–8 h in GIST48 cells; in contrast, the MAP1LC3B level was increased from 1–4 h but decreased to the basal level afterward in GIST882 cells. Furthermore, attenuating the expression of BECN1 or ATG5, the essential molecules for autophagosome formation, by their gene-specific siRNA resulted in a partial rescue of the AUY922-induced KIT expression downregulation in both GIST48 and GIST882 cells (Fig. 4D and E). By confocal microscopic examination of immunofluorescence staining of GIST cells, we found that there was no obvious nonspecific staining in normal rabbit, goat or mouse antibody-incubated cells. After treatment, AUY922 led unequivocally to more puncta of MAP1LC3B and more aggregates of SQSTM1 staining, as well as KIT condensation and aggregation, as shown in Figure 4F–K. In addition, aggregation of SQSTM1 and accumulation of MAP1LC3B- or acridine orange (AO)-labeled autophagosomes were colocalized with KIT in the autophagosomes visualized as yellow dots. These results indicate that AUY922 promoted autophagy and translocation of KIT to the autophagosomes.

Autophagy was also involved in endogenous KIT turnover in GIST cells. Interestingly, we found that knockdown of BECN1 or ATG5 upregulated KIT expression (Fig. 4D and E) and colocalization of KIT proteins with SQSTM1, MAP1LC3B and more aggregates of SQSTM1 staining, as well as KIT condensation and aggregation, as shown in Figure 4F–K. These phenomena implied that autophagy may participate in endogenous KIT protein turnover. Hence, we used CHX alone or in combination with either MG-132 or 3-MA to examine the protein turnover of KIT. Figure 5A and B showed that endogenous KIT protein level was rapidly reduced to 48–50% of its initial level at 1 h and continually degraded to 5–7% at 8 h in GIST48 and GIST882 cells treated with CHX alone. However, the cotreatment with inhibitor of either autophagy or proteasome degradation pathways sustained KIT protein levels at 70% and 40% at 8 h after translational blockade in
Figure 2. AUY922 showed antitumor activity in GIST48 and GIST882 cells. GIST48 and GIST882 cells were incubated with AUY922, 17-AAG, and IM, respectively, with indicated doses. IC_{50} was determined by cell viability assay (A) or clonogenic assay (B), respectively. GIST48 and GIST882 cells were treated with 0.1 or 1 μM AUY922 or 1 μM IM for 12–48 h and then analyzed by Annexin V staining (C) or immunoblotting against PARP1 (D). All experiments were repeated at least three times. The data are expressed as the mean ± SE of two or more independent experiments.
Figure 3. For figure legend, see page 212.
pro-drug IPI-493, purine derivative BIIB021 and synthetic STA-9090, have been evaluated and demonstrated their antitumor activities on TKI-resistant GIST cells in preclinical models. Unfortunately, further clinical studies have failed to demonstrate their potential clinical usefulness in patients with TKI-refractory GIST. For instance, a previous randomized, phase III trial of IPI-504 vs. placebo control has been terminated early because of high incidence of unexpected treatment-related severe adverse events, including renal failure, liver failure, metabolic acidosis and cardiopulmonary arrest in experimental arm. Additionally, paired biopsy sample analysis from first stage of STA-9090 in a phase II trial has shown that weekly administration of STA-9090 is unable to sustainably inhibit the activation of KIT and its downstream pathways in patients with TKI-refractory advanced GIST. In the present study, we demonstrated that AUY922 could effectively downregulate the expression of both phospho- and total KIT in time- and dose-dependent manners, as most of other HSP90AA1 inhibitors did. However, AUY922

**GIST48 and GIST882 cells, respectively. These data support our previous observation that autophagy-mediated degradation was also involved in endogenous KIT protein turnover.**

**Discussion**

In the present study, we demonstrated that a novel resorcylic pyrazole/isoxazole amide class of HSP90AA1 inhibitor, AUY922, had a much higher ability to downregulate the KIT protein (Fig. 1) and to inhibit the growth of GIST cells (Fig. 2) as compared with 17-AAG. In addition, autophagy was one of the molecular mechanisms partially involved in the endogenous and AUY922-induced KIT degradation. In 2006, Bauer et al. report the potential use of HSP90AA1 inhibitor as a treatment option for TKI-resistant GIST and show that HSP90AA1 inhibition would result in KIT ubiquitination followed by proteasome-mediated degradation, and GIST cells apoptosis. Several HSP90AA1 inhibitors, including analogs of GA 17-DMAG, IPI-504 and its pro-drug IPI-493, purine derivative BIIB021 and synthetic STA-9090, have been evaluated and demonstrated their antitumor activities on TKI-resistant GIST cells in preclinical models.

Unfortunately, further clinical studies have failed to demonstrate their potential clinical usefulness in patients with TKI-refractory GIST. For instance, a previous randomized, phase III trial of IPI-504 vs. placebo control has been terminated early because of high incidence of unexpected treatment-related severe adverse events, including renal failure, liver failure, metabolic acidosis and cardiopulmonary arrest in experimental arm. Additionally, paired biopsy sample analysis from first stage of STA-9090 in a phase II trial has shown that weekly administration of STA-9090 is unable to sustainably inhibit the activation of KIT and its downstream pathways in patients with TKI-refractory advanced GIST. In the present study, we demonstrated that AUY922 could effectively downregulate the expression of both phospho- and total KIT in time- and dose-dependent manners, as most of other HSP90AA1 inhibitors did. However, AUY922
Figure 4F–K. Cell lysates were extracted and analyzed by immunoblotting against BECN1, ATG5 and KIT. GIST48 and GIST882 cells were treated with 1 μM AUY922 for 1 h and then stained with KIT, MAP1LC3B (F and G), AO (H and I) or SQSTM1 (J and K). After immunostaining, cells were visualized by confocal microscopy and images were acquired through the Cy2, Rhodamine or DAPI channels (600×). The data were representative ones of 5 fields/pictures of each sample. The inserted figure in the corner showed magnified (1500×) and representative cells of each image.

appeared to be more potent than 17-AAG to inhibit the growth of both IM-sensitive GIST882 and IM-resistant GIST48 cells, as shown in Figure 2. The minimum concentration to abolish expression of the phospho-KIT and the IC_{50} to inhibit the growth of both GIST882 and GIST48 cell lines was approximately 50 nM. In addition, a 24 h exposure was able to induce sustainable downregulation of the phospho- and total KIT protein for more than 96 h in GIST48 cells (Fig. 1F). These findings along with its prolonged half-life in human pharmacokinetic study would support the use of weekly administration as an optimal schedule for AUY922 to treat patients with TKI-resistant GIST (NCT01389583 and NCT01404650).38

Based on the study of Bauer et al., the underlying mechanisms mediated by the HSP90AA1 inhibition-induced KIT downregulation are thought to involve enhanced degradation of KIT via an ubiquitin-dependent proteasome pathway. In the present study, we found that 3-MA could partially reverse the effects of AUY922 on KIT protein degradation in both GIST cells with endogenous mutant KIT expression and COS-1 cells transfected with KIT-containing plasmid, as MG-132 did. The involvement of autophagy in the AUY922-induced KIT degradation was further validated by experiments with an alternative autophagy inhibitor, knockdown of the expression of either BECN1 or ATG5 using their specific siRNAs, and colocalization of KIT protein with autophagosomes in confocal microscopy after fluorescent immunostaining. Our findings were also supported by recent studies that ubiquitinated proteins can lead to either proteasome- or autophagy-mediated degradation, and there is cross-talk between these two protein-degradation pathways.39,40

Although proteasome and autophagy pathways are assumed to be two independent degradation systems, increasing evidence suggests that there are numerous intersections between these two degradation machineries. As an example, SNCA/α-synuclein, is degraded by both the proteasome and autophagy after the ubiquitination of the co-chaperone carboxyl terminus of HSPA1A-interacting protein (STUB1).41 Ubiquitination, a major characteristic of proteasome-mediated degradation, demonstrates that proteins ubiquitinated on K63 chains are recognized and degraded through the autophagic machinery.42 Histone deacetylases 6 (HDAC6) and SQSTM1 are two of molecular links between the two systems. HDAC6 could interact with polyubiquitinated proteins and rescue defects of the proteasomal degradation by increasing autophagy.43 On the other hand, SQSTM1 could act as a cargo receptor delivering ubiquitinated substrates
Figure 5. For figure legend, see page 215.
to autophagosome, named as a selective autophagy. In our study, Figure 4A and 4B, and Figure 5 showed that the amount of KIT was still reduced through either autophagy or other degradation pathways such as lysosome, when the proteasome pathway was blocked, and vice versa. Figure 4F–K indicated that SQSTM1 might shuttle KIT to autophagosome. Based on the reports in the literature and our findings, Figure 6 summarizes the mechanisms involved in KIT degradation. It shows that most KIT proteins were protected by HSP90AA1 from degradation and few were degraded via the proteasome and autophagy pathways, as part of endogenous protein turnover. While HSP90AA1 function was inhibited by AUY922, KIT was released, supposed to be ubiquinated, and degraded via proteasomal and autophagy machineries. The SQSTM1 and HDAC6 might be involved in delivering ubiquinated KIT to autophagosome to induce selective autophagy. In addition, other protein degradation pathway, as lysosome, might also play a role in KIT degradation. This highlighted the importance of clarifying the involvement of autophagy in KIT turnover and HSP90AA1 inhibitor-induced degradation.

Autophagy is a self-degradation process that contributes to the turnover of long-lived proteins as well as to the physiological elimination of old or damaged organelles to providing cells nutrition support under various stresses. It has also been linked to the various pathogenesis, including tumorigenesis. Recently, autophagy has been shown to be involved in the degradation of oncoproteins, for instance, all-trans-retinoid acid-mediated degradation of promyelocytic leukemia-retinoic acid receptor-α (PRAM1) fusion oncoprotein in acute promyelocytic leukemias (APL) and oxazoline analog of apratoxin A (oz-apraA)-induced degradation of EGFR. All-trans retinoic acid (ATRA) is the current first-line treatment for APL. It could induce PRAM1 degradation, differentiation of leukemic cells, and clinical remission. Isakson et al. have found that autophagy is the major pathway accounting for both the basal turnover as well as the ATRA-induced degradation of PRAM1. Wang et al. have further demonstrated that blockage of autophagy by RNA interference against autophagy essential proteins and by pharmacological inhibitor 3-MA can prevent PRAM1 degradation and subsequently, differentiation of human myeloid leukemic cells. Recently, by recognizing a conserved KFERQ-like motif in EGFR, Shen et al. propose and have demonstrated that oz-apraA-induced HSP90AA1 client EGFR degradation occurs through chaperone-mediated autophagy (CMA).

Our study demonstrated that AUY922 treatment could destabilize KIT through both proteasome- and autophagy-dependent pathways. The reduction of total and phospho-KIT expression level was associated with inactivation of downstream AKT and MAPK1/3 signaling and consequently caused cell apoptosis as previously reported. The mechanisms of AUY922-induced KIT degradation include both AKT inactivation-induced activation of autophagy and HSP90AA1 inhibition-induced increase of unchaperoned, polyubiquitinated KIT accumulation in autophagosome. These findings suggest the potential combination of AUY922 and autophagy inducer in the treatment of TKI-resistant, KIT expressing GIST.

In treating patients with advanced GIST, IM resistance is categorized into two patterns. In the first, 10–20% of patients...
experience disease progression within 3–6 mo after the initiation of IM treatment. This is classified as primary or early resistance and is usually associated with either a KIT mutation in exon 9, a D842V mutation in platelet-derived growth factor receptor α (PDGFRα), or overexpression of wild-type KIT and PDGFRα. Meanwhile, late resistance is defined as progression occurring more than 6 mo after beginning the IM treatment. Late resistance can be associated with several mechanisms, including secondary KIT mutations, genomic KIT amplification, the activation of alternative survival pathways, altered pharmacokinetics or the elevated expression of drug efflux proteins.54,55 Therefore, the overexpression of wild-type KIT presents another challenge for therapy. Our studies showed that AUY922 could effectively downregulate not only mutant KIT but also wild-type KIT, implying that it could overcome IM resistance in GIST patients whose tumors express KIT with double mutations or even wild-type.

In conclusion, we found that AUY922 treatment induced downregulation of KIT protein and apoptosis in both IM-sensitive and -resistant GIST cells. In addition to proteasome-dependent pathway, autophagy-mediated protein degradation may also play an important role in both endogenous and HSP90AA1 inhibitor induced KIT degradation in GIST. Our data supported the potential use of AUY922 in treating TKI-refractory, KIT-negative GIST patients encoding the exon 13 K642E and exon 11 V560D/17D820A mutant KIT oncoprotein, respectively, were gifts from Dr. Jonathan Fletcher (Harvard Medical School). GIST882 cells were maintained in RPMI 1640 containing 20% fetal bovine serum (FBS). GIST48 cells were incubated in F10 with 15% FBS, 0.5% Mito+ serum extender (BD Biosciences, 355006) and 1% pituitary gland extract bovine (BD Biosciences, 354123). COS-1 cell line was obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) and maintained in DMEM containing 10% FBS. COS-1 cells encoding the exon 13 K642E and exon 11 V560D/17D820A mutant KIT oncoprotein were provided by Dr. Shih (Neng-Yao laboratory, National Health Research Institute) and maintained in DMEM containing 10% FBS. COS-1 cells were transfected using Lipofectamine 2000® according to the manufacturer's protocol. In brief, COS-1 cells were grown to approximately 90% confluence in 6-well plates and then admixed with 2 μg of plasmid KIT pcDNA3.1 and 2 μl of Lipofectamine 2000® for 6 h. Transfected cells were allowed to recover in DMEM plus 10% FBS for 18 h and were then treated with AUY922 or other inhibitors.

**Materials and Methods**

**Chemicals and antibodies.** AUY922 and IM were kindly supplied by Novartis. CHX (C1988), MG-132 (C2211), lactacystin (L6785), 3-MA (M9281), bafilomycin A₁ (B1793), 17-AAG (A8476), AO (158550), protease inhibitor cocktail (P8340), PMSF (P7626), sodium orthovanadate (S6508), bovine serum albumin (A7906), CellLytic cell lysis reagent (C2978), and rabbit anti-MAP1LC3A/B (L7543) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. Primary antibodies against KIT (A4502) or phospho-KIT (Tyr703) (44-492) were obtained from Dako and Invitrogen, respectively. Antibodies against MAPK1/3 (9102), phospho-MAPK1/3 (Tyr204/Thr202) (9101), AKT (4691), phospho-AKT (Ser473) (9271), BECN1 (3738), and ATG5 (2630) were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology. Normal rabbit IgG (SC-2027), normal goat IgG (SC-2028), normal mouse IgG (SC-2025), goat anti-MAP1LC3B (SC-16755), rabbit anti-PARP1 (SC-7150), and mouse anti-SQSTM1 (SC-28359) were obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. Antibodies against HSPA1A (SPA-810) and actin (MAB1501) were obtained from Stressgen and Millipore, respectively. Horseradish peroxidase-labeled secondary antibodies and Cy3® 2 goat anti-rabbit (111-225-144), rhodamine donkey anti-goat (705-025-147), and rhodamine goat anti-mouse (115-025-146) antibodies were purchased from Jackson ImmunoResearch.

**Construction of the KIT mutants.** Messenger RNA (mRNA) was isolated from the peripheral blood mononuclear cells of a healthy volunteer. A 2.9 kbp full-length complimentary DNA (cDNA) of wild-type KIT was obtained by reverse transcription PCR (RT-PCR) using primers matching sequences in exon 1 and 21 of KIT and was cloned into pcDNA™ 3.1/Zeocin (Invitrogen, V86020). The sequence fidelity of KIT was then confirmed by bidirectional sequencing. Common single and/or double KIT mutants were constructed using the QuickChange Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Stratagene, 200518), while a 63-bp deletion of exon 11 Δ555–576 was generated by slicing overlap extension PCR, as described previously.56 The primers used for site-directed mutagenesis and SOE are listed in Table 1.

**Cell lines and transient transfection.** GIST882 and GIST48 cells encoding the exon 13 K642E and exon 11 V560D/17D820A mutant KIT oncoprotein, respectively, were gifts from Dr. Jonathan Fletcher (Harvard Medical School). GIST882 cells were maintained in RPMI 1640 containing 20% fetal bovine serum (FBS). GIST48 cells were incubated in F10 with 15% FBS, 0.5% Mito+ serum extender (BD Biosciences, 355006) and 1% pituitary gland extract bovine (BD Biosciences, 354123). COS-1 cell line was obtained from Dr. Shih (Neng-Yao laboratory, National Health Research Institute) and maintained in DMEM containing 10% FBS. COS-1 cells were transfected using Lipofectamine 2000® (Invitrogen, 11668-019) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. In brief, COS-1 cells were grown to approximately 90% confluence in 6-well plates and then admixed with 2 μg of plasmid KIT pcDNA3.1 and 2 μl of Lipofectamine 2000® for 6 h. Transfected cells were allowed to recover in DMEM plus 10% FBS for 18 h and were then treated with AUY922 or other inhibitors.

**RNA interference.** Small interfering RNA (siRNA) specific to human BECN1 (1299003) and negative control siRNA were
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purchased from Invitrogen. Specific siRNA targeted to Human ATG5 was synthesized by Invitrogen, using published sequences 5’-GGA CGA AUU CCA ACU UGU U-3’ and 5’-GGA CGA AUU CCA ACU UGU U-3’. GIST828 and GIST48 cells were transfected with BECNI, ATG5 or negative control siRNA using Amaxa electroporation (Amaxa Biosystems, VCA-1003) or Lipofectamine 2000, respectively, according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Briefly, 2 × 10^6 trypsinized GIST828 cells were transfected with annealed RNA duplexes, transferred to 6-well culture plates and grown in 2 ml antibiotic-free RPMI 1640 for 72 h. GIST48 cells among 90% confluency in the 6-well plates were transfected with indicated dose of annealed RNA duplexes mixed with 2 μl of Lipofectamine 2000 for 6 h, and then replaced with serum-containing complete medium for 72 h. The influence of siRNA on BECN1 or ATG5 protein expression was confirmed by immunoblotting with specific antibodies.

**Cell viability assay.** For this assay, 4 × 10^4 GIST828 or 2 × 10^4 GIST48 cells were seeded per well in 24-well plates. GIST828 and GIST48 Cells were exposed to various concentrations of drugs for 96 h and 72 h, respectively. The methylene blue dye assay was used to evaluate the effects of each drug on the relative number of viable cells. Data were measured with a SpectraMax assay was used to evaluate the effects of each drug on the relative number of viable cells.58 Data were measured with a SpectraMax device. The drug concentration that inhibited cell growth by 50% (IC_{50}) was determined after plotting growth relative to the untreated controls. All experimental points were measured in duplicate wells for each plate and replicated in at least three plates.

**Clonogenic assay.** One × 10^5 of GIST828 or GIST48 cells in logarithmic growth phase were seeded per well in 6-well plates. GIST48 and GIST828 cells were incubated with indicated doses of drugs for 1 d, replaced with growth media for further 14 and 21 d, respectively, and stained with 50% ethanol containing 0.5% methylene blue for 5 h. The plates were washed five times with water and allowed to air-dry. Colonies were counted manually. The IC_{50} value resulting from 50% inhibition of cell growth was determined after plotting growth relative to the untreated controls. All experimental points were measured in duplicate wells for each plate and replicated in at least three plates.

**Immunoblotting studies.** Cells were lysed in CelLytic™ M Cell Lysis Reagent containing protease inhibitor cocktail, PMSF, sodium fluoride and sodium orthovanadate. The protein concentration was determined using the Bradford method (Bio-Rad, 500-0006). Sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) was performed after loading equal amounts of protein into each lane. Proteins were transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membranes for immunoblotting. After blocking with bovine serum albumin, the membranes were blotted by adding primary antibodies against KIT, KIT^Ty, MAPK1/3, MAPK1^Z204/3^202, AKT; AKT^{S473}, HSPA1A, MAP1LC3A/B, PARP1 or ACTIN, followed by the appropriate secondary antibodies. Protein bands were detected by enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) (PerkinElmer, NEL104001EA), developed by autoradiography and quantified using 1Dscan EX gel analysis software (Scanalytics). The half-life of the KIT protein in each group was estimated after plotting KIT protein expression relative to the untreated control. The data are expressed as the mean ± SE.

**Flow cytometry.** Apoptosis was determined by staining cells with Annexin V-FITC (BD Biosciences, 556419) and PI (BD Biosciences, 556463) according to the manufacturer’s protocol, followed by flow cytometry analysis. In brief, GIST828 and GIST48 cells were incubated with AUY922 or IM for the indicated time and dose and then trypsinized. Samples containing 1 × 10^5 cells were washed with cold PBS and resuspended in 100 μl binding buffer. Then, 2 μl Annexin V-FITC and 5 μl PI were added to the cells and incubated for 15 min at RT in the dark. An additional 400 μl binding buffer was added to the reaction prior to analysis.
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