Proteome changes in the small intestinal mucosa of broilers (Gallus gallus) induced by high concentrations of atmospheric ammonia
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Abstract

Background: Ammonia is a well-known toxicant both existing in atmospheric and aquatic system. So far, most studies of ammonia toxicity focused on mammals or aquatic animals. With the development of poultry industry, ammonia as a main source of contaminant in the air is causing more and more problems on broiler production, especially lower growth rate. The molecular mechanisms that underlie the negative effects of ammonia on the growth and intestine of broilers are yet unclear. We investigated the growth, gut morphology, and mucosal proteome of Arbor Acres broilers (Gallus gallus) exposed to high concentrations of atmospheric ammonia by performing a proteomics approach integrated with traditional methods.

Results: Exposure to ammonia interfered with the development of immune organ and gut villi. Meanwhile, it greatly reduced daily weight gain and feed intake, and enhanced feed conversion ratio. A total of 43 intestinal mucosal proteins were found to be differentially abundant. Up-regulated proteins are related to oxidative phosphorylation and apoptosis. Down-regulated proteins are related to cell structure and growth, transcriptional and translational regulation, immune response, oxidative stress and nutrient metabolism. These results indicated that exposure to ammonia triggered oxidative stress, and interfered with nutrient absorption and immune function in the small intestinal mucosa of broilers.

Conclusions: These findings have important implications for understanding the toxic mechanisms of ammonia on intestine of broilers, which provides new information that can be used for intervention using nutritional strategies in the future.
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Background

Ammonia is a colorless and highly water-soluble gas, which is a well-known toxicant both in aquatic and atmospheric system. In animal houses, ammonia may be formed mainly from animal manure by hydrolysis, mineralization, and volatilization [1]. Animal produced ammonia accounts for almost 50% of the total annual anthropogenic emission of ammonia, in which poultry operations produced the highest ammonia emission as compared with other animal groups [2,3]. The limiting level of ammonia for poultry is under 25 μL/L. But in practice, birds are often exposed to higher concentrations of ammonia (50–200 μL/L) in some poorly ventilated facilities. High level of atmospheric ammonia induces several problems in broiler production, such as decreased growth rate, body weight, and increased feed conversion [4,5]. Longtime exposure can create many health issues in broilers and severely interfere with broiler welfare [6,7].

In previous research, degenerative vacuole and necrosis of renal tubulae were observed in livers and kidneys of ammonia-exposed broilers, respectively [8]. Apoptosis of epithelium cells of tracheal mucosa has been demonstrated in ammonia-exposed broilers in our study (unpublished data). The neurotoxicity of ammonia induces an increase in expression of tumor necrosis factor α (TNF-α) and interleukin 1 β (IL-1β), which can be associated with the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS), nitric oxide (NO) involved with protein kinase A...
(PKA), extracellular signal regulated kinase (ERK) pathway and nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB) activation in astrocytes in rats [9].

Negative effects of ammonia on gastrointestinal (GI) tract were also reported in previous studies that may be related to nutrient metabolism and energy production. In rat colonocytes, it showed that ammonia suppressed short-chain fatty acid (SCFA) oxidation [10]. Inhibition of oxygen consumption induced lower energetic efficiency and decreased cellular energy production were also observed in the similar animal model due to elevated concentration of ammonia by the ingestion of high protein diet [11]. Tsuji et al. [12] reported that ammonia impaired mitochondrial and cellular respiration, and energy metabolism of gastric mucosa, which triggered a decrease of mucosal cell viability leading to mucosal damage subsequently. Moreover, Igarashi et al. [13] demonstrated that ammonia accelerated cytokine-induced apoptosis in human gastric epithelial cell lines.

Gastrointestinal (GI) tract is regarded as the essential sensory organ for nutrition absorption, immune response, and pathogen prevention [14]. Previous research have demonstrated that changes of animal growth performance are closely related to alterations of protein expression in the small intestinal mucosa [15-17]. There are numerous enzymes in the small intestinal mucosa involved in different physiological functions, such as protein metabolism, lipid metabolism, carbohydrates metabolism, energy production, mucosal integrity and so on [18-21]. However, it is almost impossible to detect a huge number of proteins in the GI mucosa at the same time using traditional methods, for example western blots, immunohistochemical staining or ELISAs. Currently, most studies relevant to toxic mechanisms of ammonia are on mammals and aquatic animals. Little is known about the alteration of proteins in the small intestinal mucosa of broilers that have been exposed to high concentrations of atmospheric ammonia.

Based on previous research, we hypothesized that high concentrations of atmospheric ammonia exposure can confer negative effects on growth via changes of proteins involved in different physiological processes in the small intestinal mucosa of broilers, which requires further study to elucidate. Therefore, in this study, we utilized a label-based iTRAQ procedure (isobaric tags for relative and absolute quantitation), followed by LC-MS/MS to quantitate altered proteins that are induced differentially in the small intestinal mucosa of broilers exposed to high concentrations of atmospheric ammonia.

Materials and methods

Animals and exposure conditions

A total of 60 1-day-old Arbor Acers (AA) male broilers were obtained from a commercial hatchery in Beijing (Beijing Arbor Acers Broiler Co., Beijing, China). All birds were housed in individual wire-bottom cages in an environmentally controlled room under standard brooding practices, and given ad libitum access to water and a maize-soybean basal diet during the first 21 days. Then, broilers were transferred to environmentally controlled exposure chambers. The diet during the experiment was formulated to achieve the National Research Council (NRC, 1994) recommended requirements for all nutrients containing ME, 12.76 MJ kg\(^{-1}\); and crude protein 19.94% (Additional file 1: Table S1). The concentrated ammonia was delivered in a whole-body animal exposure chamber [7] from days 22 to 42. Each exposure chamber was a 4500 × 3000 × 2500 mm (length × width × height) sealed unit, sectioned for housing 30 birds per chamber. Temperature and airflow were controlled during the exposures to ensure adequate ventilation, minimize buildup of animal-generated contaminants (dander, H\(_2\)S, CO\(_2\)) and to avoid thermal stress [22].

The setting of the concentration of ammonia in the present study was according to previous studies that the growth performance of broilers was severely interfered with ammonia level over 70 μL/L [4,7,8]. Treatment (TRET) group of broilers were exposed to 75 ± 3 μL/L ammonia during the experimental period. Control (CTRL) broilers were raised in a separated chamber without ammonia for the same period, and the concentration of ambient ammonia was kept at 3 ± 3 μL/L. The concentration of ammonia in both chambers was monitored with a LumaSense Photoacoustic Field Gas Monitor Innova-1412 (Santa Clara, CA, USA) during the entire experimental period. Body weight (BW) and feed consumption were recorded weekly for feed-conversion ratio evaluation. This study was carried out in strict accordance with the Regulations for the Administration of Affairs Concerning Experimental Animals of the State Council of the People’s Republic of China. The protocol was approved by the Committee on Experimental Animal Management of Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences.

Sample collection

At day 42, all birds were weighed after a 12 h-fasting (12 h food withdrawal) period. The growth parameters (n = 30) including body weight gain, feed intake and feed-conversion ratio were determined. Twelve birds (6 per each group) were randomly selected for blood and small intestine sample collection. Each blood sample was obtained from a wing vein using a sterilized syringe within 30 s. Blood was incubated in a water bath for 1 h at 37°C then centrifuged at 400 × g for 10 min at 4°C, and the sera obtained were stored at −80°C for further analysis [23]. After blood sampling, the chickens were sacrificed by cervical dislocation and then exsanguinated. Immediately
after death, the intestinal mucosa was scraped from the intestine tenue with the back of a surgery knife as described by Luo et al. [24], frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at −80°C for further proteome and qPCR analyses. Samples of about 1 cm of medial duodenum (apex of the duodenum), medial jejunum (midway between the point of entry of the bile duct and Meckel’s diverticulum) and medial ileum (midway between Meckel’s diverticulum to the ileocecal junction) were taken and fixed in buffered 4% formal-saline solution before processing for embedding in paraffin. To calculate the indices of immune organs, another twelve birds (6 per each group) were killed as described above, and the bursa of Fabricius, spleen, thymus and intestine of were excised and weighted, respectively.

Biochemical and histological analyses
For biochemical analysis, the activities of creatine kinase (CK) and total superoxide dismutase (T-SOD) in the serum were measured using a corresponding diagnostic kit (Nanjing Jiancheng Bioengineering Institute, Nanjing, China) according to the instructions of the manufacturer. Histological examination was carried out according to the method described by [25]. Briefly, villus height was determined from the tip of the villus to the villus crypt junction and crypt depth was defined as the depth of invaginations between adjacent villi.

Small intestinal mucosa preparation and protein extraction
Sample pooling is a commonly used strategy to reduce the influence of individual variation on candidate target selection in proteomic studies [24,26,27]. To avoid erroneous conclusions due to individual variations, the same amount of the intestinal mucosa (weight: weight as 1: 1 ratio) from two chickens in the same group was pooled as a biological replicate, and three biological replicates were acquired for each group.

Each pooled small intestinal mucosal sample (~0.5 g) was ground in a Dounce glass grinder using liquid nitrogen. Ground samples were precipitated with 10% trichloroacetic acid (TCA) (v/v), 90% ice-cold acetone at −20°C for 2 h. The samples were then centrifuged at 20,000 × g for 30 min at 4°C. The supernatants were decanted and the pellets washed with ice-cold acetone. The pellets were lysed in lysis buffer consisting of 8 M urea, 30 mM 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid (HEPES), 1 mM phenylmethanesulfonyl fluoride (PMSF), 2 mM ethylene diamine tetracetic acid (EDTA), and 10 mM dithiothreitol (DTT). The crude tissue extracts were centrifuged for 30 min at 20,000 × g to remove the undissolved pellets. The tissue lysates were reduced for 1 h at 36°C in water bath by addition of 1 M dithiothreitol (DTT) to a final concentration of 55 mM in the dark. After reduction and alkylation, proteins were precipitated by adding 4 volumes of ice-cold acetone. The pellets were then washed three times with ice-cold pure acetone and resuspended in buffer consisting of 50% tetraethyl ammonium bromide (TEAB) and 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfonate (SDS). The samples were then centrifuged for 30 min at 20,000 × g and the undissolved pellets were removed and protein quantitation performed using a Bio-Rad Bradford Protein Assay Kit (Hercules, CA, USA).

Trypsin digestion, iTRAQ labeling and strong cation exchange chromatography
Modified sequence grade trypsin (Promega Corporation, Madison, WI) was added to each sample at a 1:30 ratio (3.3 µg trypsin : 100 µg target) and digested overnight at 37°C.

Each isobaric tag was solubilized in 70 µL isopropanol. Tags (113, 114, 115, 116, 117 and 121) were added to respective pooled samples (3 pooled replicates in each group) individually and incubated at room temperature for 2 h. Additional isopropanol was added to samples to ensure an organic composition > 60% prior to incubation.

The strong cation exchange fractionation protocol followed a previous report [28] with slight modification. Briefly, the samples were loaded onto a strong cation exchange column (Phenomenex Luna SCX 100A) equilibrated with buffer A (10 mM KH₂PO₄ in 25% acetonitrile, pH 3.0) using an Agilent 1100 (Santa Clara, CA) system. The peptides were separated using a linear gradient of buffer B (10 mM KH₂PO₄ and 2 M KCl in 25% acetonitrile, pH 3.0) increasing to 5% after 36 min, 50% after 66 min and 100% after 71 min, at a flow rate of 1 ml/min. Elution was monitored by setting the absorbance at 214 nm. The eluted peptides were pooled into 10 fractions, desalted with a Strata X C18 column (Phenomenex) and vacuum-dried.

Mass spectrometry
Each fraction was resuspended in buffer A (2% acetonitrile, 0.1% formic acid) and centrifuged at 20,000 × g for 10 min. In each fraction, the final concentration of peptides was approximately 0.25 µg/µl. Using an autosampler, 20 µl of supernatant was loaded onto a 2 cm C18 trap column (inner diameter 200 µm) on an Ultimate® 3000 Nano LC system (Bannockburn, IL). Peptides were eluted onto a resolving 100 mm × 75 µM analytical C18 column containing 5-µm particles that was assembled in-house. Samples were loaded at 15 µl/min for 4 min and eluted with a 45-min gradient at 400 nL/min from 5 to 60% buffer B (98% acetonitrile, 0.1% formic acid), separated with a 3 min linear gradient to 80% B, maintained at 80% B for 7 min, returned to 5% B over
3 min, and finally combined with a Q-Exactive mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific, MA, USA). The mass spectrometer was operated in data dependent acquisition mode, with MS performed in the Q-Exactive at a resolution of 70,000 full width at half maximum (FWHM). MS/MS was performed in high-energy collision dissociation (HCD) operating mode and product ions were detected in the Q-Exactive at 17,500 FWHM resolution. Data were acquired using a data-dependent data acquisition mode in which, for each cycle, the ten most abundant multiply charged peptides (2⁺ to 4⁺) with an m/z between 350 and 2000 were selected for MS/MS with a 15-s dynamic exclusion setting.

Data processing and analyses

For iTRAQ protein identification, the raw mass data were processed with Proteome Discoverer 1.3 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and searched with in-house MASCOT software (Matrix Science, London, U.K.; version 2.3.0) against the database Uniprot_Gallus_gallus_9031 (Apr 11th, 2014) the following parameters: enzyme: trypsin; fixed modification: carbamidomethyl (C); variable modifications: oxidation (M), gln-pyro-glu (N-term Q), iTRAQ 8-plex (N-term, K, Y); peptide tolerance:15 ppm; MS/MS tolerance: 20 mmu; maximum missed cleavages: 1. All identified peptides had an ion score above the Mascot peptide identity threshold, and a protein was considered identified if at least one such unique peptide match was apparent for the protein. For iTRAQ quantitation, MASCOT software was also used. Protein quantitative values were derived only from uniquely assigned peptides. Intra-sample channels were normalized based on the median ratio for each channel across all proteins. Ratios for each iTRAQ label were obtained using a pooled sample in the control group (sample tagged with 113) as the denominator. Inter-sample, protein reference, and spectrum normalizations were performed. Differential expression in the TRET samples was then presented as a log₂-fold change relative to the CTRL. Thus, the fold change for each individual reporter ion is based on referencing a reporter channel which is then log transformed to base 2. Proteins were deemed to be differentially expressed using Student’s t-test corrected for multiple testing using the Benjamini and Hochberg correction [29]. Proteins with a 1.2-fold change or greater were considered to be differentially expressed.

Bioinformatics analysis of proteins differential abundance

Gene Ontology (GO) distribution for all of the proteins that were significantly altered in the small intestinal samples of ammonia exposed chickens were classified using Blast2GO software (http://www.blast2go.com/) and WEGO (http://wego.genomics.org.cn) that were provided by the Institute for Genomic Research [30,31].

Validation of proteins of differential abundance

Real-time quantitative PCR (qPCR) was used to verify seven intestinal mucosal proteins of differential abundance at the mRNA level.

Total RNA from intestinal mucosal samples was isolated using Qiagen RNeasy Plus Mini Kit (Valencia, CA). The quality of the RNA was evaluated by electrophoresis on an agarose gel, and the quantity of the RNA was measured with a spectrophotometer (Nanodrop 2000, Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA).

Reverse transcription was performed immediately following total RNA isolation using PrimeScript™ Reverse Transcriptase, D2680A (Takara, Dalian, China). RT-qPCR was performed using an Applied Biosystems 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR System (Foster City, CA). RT-qPCRs were performed at 95°C for 30 s, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 10 s and 60°C for 30 s. SYBR green fluorescence was detected at the end of each cycle to monitor the amount of PCR product. A standard curve was constructed using a 10-fold dilution series, and its slope was used to calculate the efficiency of the qPCR primers. Primer sequences are listed in Additional file 2: Table S2.

The relative amount of a target gene mRNA was calculated as previously described [23]. The expression level of a target gene mRNA was normalized to the mRNA level of β-actin. The ΔΔC_T was calibrated against an average from the control group. The linear amount of the target gene expression to the calibrator was calculated by 2^(-ΔΔC_T). Therefore, all gene expression results are reported as the fold difference between treated and control groups. The specificity of the real-time PCR product was verified using a melting curve and DNA sequencing.

Statistical analysis

Data on growth parameters, immune organ indices, serum parameters, gut morphological structure and gene expressions were analyzed by one-way ANOVA (SAS Version 9.2, SAS institute Inc., Cary, NC). A group difference was assumed to be statistically significant when P < 0.05. All results were expressed as means ± S.D.

Results

Body weight gain, feed intake, feed-conversion ratio and immune organ indices

The body weight gain and feed intake are key parameters to assess the growth of animal. In this study, all birds (CTRL and TRET) started at the same age (d 22). During the entire experimental period (20 days), TRET birds had 15.4% less (P < 0.05) body weight gain and 9.6% less (P < 0.05) feed intake. On the contrary, feed-conversion ratio (FCR) in TRET group was greatly increased (P < 0.05) compared with CTRL group (Table 1).
Table 1: Effect of atmospheric ammonia on the body weight gain, feed intake, feed-conversion ratio and immune organ indices of broilers

| Group          | Control       | Treatment      |
|----------------|---------------|----------------|
| Body weight gain (g/day) | 91 ± 3.6°     | 77 ± 2.5°      |
| Feed intake (g/day)     | 150 ± 1.9°    | 135 ± 2.8°     |
| Feed-conversion ratio  | 1.64 ± 0.09°  | 1.75 ± 0.05°   |
| Index of bursal (%)     | 0.73 ± 0.06°  | 0.61 ± 0.05°   |
| Index of spleen (%)     | 1.07 ± 0.04°  | 0.75 ± 0.02°   |
| Index of thymus (%)     | 2.35 ± 0.50°  | 2.19 ± 0.44°   |
| Index of intestine (%)  | 3.70 ± 0.15°  | 2.67 ± 0.11°   |

Values within a column not sharing a common superscript letter indicate significant difference at $P < 0.05$. Numbers are means ± S.D. (n = 6 for indices of immune organs).

Table 2: Effect of atmospheric ammonia on the serum biochemical parameters of broilers

|                  | Control       | Treatment      |
|------------------|---------------|----------------|
| CK (U/L)         | 6224.50 ± 172.26° | 7173.63 ± 309.05° |
| T-SOD (U/mL)     | 77.81 ± 6.55°   | 61.12 ± 2.11°   |

Values within a column not sharing a common superscript letter indicate significant difference at $P < 0.05$. Numbers are means ± S.D. (n = 6).

GO annotations of proteins of differential abundance

In the cellular component group, the differentially expressed proteins are concentrated in intracellular organelles (mitochondrion, cytoskeletal part and nuclear part) and the cytoplasm part (Figure 3). In the molecular functional group, the differentially expressed proteins that are metabolic enzymes (oxidoreductase activity and hydrolase activity), binding proteins (protein binding and nucleotide binding) and enzyme regulator were ranked at the top of the category occupancy, suggesting that the relevant functions were important in the small intestinal mucosa of broilers (Figure 3). In the biological process category, the proteins that participate in cellular processes, metabolism and biological regulation were at the top ratio in the differentially expressed proteins (Figure 3), suggesting that exposure to ammonia changes the cellular metabolic process, like cellular biosynthetic process, nucleotide and nucleic acid metabolic process, alters metabolism in the intestine, such as growth and proliferation (9.3%), oxidative stress (7.0%), apoptosis (7.0%), cell cytoskeleton (4.7%), lipid metabolism (4.7%), amino acid metabolism (4.7%), vitamin metabolism (2.3%) and neurotoxicity (2.3%) (Figure 2).
nutrient (carbohydrate, amino acid and lipid) metabolism, and have various effects on biological processes, for example transcriptional and translational regulation, cell growth and proliferation, oxidative stress and so on.

Validation of proteins of differential abundance
Seven differentially expressed proteins (GLUD1 involved in amino acid metabolism; fatty acid translocase (CD36) involved in lipid metabolism; IRF3 involved in immune response; FTH involved in oxidative stress; SDHA

Figure 1 Effects of atmospheric ammonia on villus height (VH) and crypt depth (CD) of duodenum (A and B), jejunum (C and D) and ileum (E and F) in control and treatment groups. Vertical lines represent ± S.D, and different letters denote significant difference at $P < 0.05$ (n = 6).

Figure 2 Functional classification of the proteins of differential abundance identified from the small intestinal mucosa of 42-day-old broilers.
| Accession | Description | Gene symbol | Theoretical MW/pI | Score | Pep. no. | Log2 fold change | P-value | Biological process | GO term |
|-----------|-------------|-------------|-------------------|-------|---------|----------------|---------|-------------------|---------|
| F1NTZ0    | Uncharacterized protein (Fragment) OS = Gallus gallus GN = ADH6 PE = 3 SV = 2 - [F1NTZ0_CHICK] | ADH6 | 39.5/7.49 | 565.75 | 14 | −0.60 | 0.0162 | Oxidoreductase activity |
| R4G36     | Phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase, cytosolic [GTP] OS = Gallus gallus GN = PCK1 PE = 3 SV = 1 - [R4G36_CHICK] | PCK1 | 61.3/7.75 | 64.06 | 2 | −0.35 | 0.0038 | Gluconeogenesis |
| P07322    | Beta-enolase OS = Gallus gallus GN = ENO3 PE = 1 SV = 3 - [ENO3CHICK] | ENO3 | 47.2/7.61 | 259.39 | 5 | −0.29 | 0.0497 | Gluconeogenesis |
| E1C4U7    | Uncharacterized protein OS = Gallus gallus GN = NDUFB3 PE = 4 SV = 1 - [E1C4U7_CHICK] | NDUFB3 | 11.1/9.82 | 35.42 | 1 | 0.39 | 0.0179 | Electron transport chain |
| E1BQ6     | Uncharacterized protein OS = Gallus gallus PE = 3 SV = 2 - [E1BQ6_CHICK] | | 257.7/15 | 23.38 | 1 | 0.87 | 0.0490 | ATP binding |
| Q9YHT1    | Succinate dehydrogenase (ubiquinone) flavoprotein subunit, mitochondrial OS = Gallus gallus GN = SDHA PE = 1 SV = 2 - [SDHA_CHICK] | SDHA | 72.9/7.08 | 773.5 | 18 | 1.02 | 0.0211 | Succinate dehydrogenase (ubiquinone) activity |
| F1NZ4     | Uncharacterized protein OS = Gallus gallus GN = ATHL1 PE = 4 SV = 2 - [F1NZ4_CHICK] | ATHL1 | 77/5.73 | 30.07 | 1 | 1.15 | 0.0239 | Catalytic activity |
| Q2MJT5    | Fatty acid translocase OS = Gallus gallus PE = 2 SV = 1 - [Q2MJT5_Chick] | CD36 | 52.6/8.37 | 110.81 | 4 | −0.45 | 0.0471 | Lipid uptake |
| E1BS15    | Uncharacterized protein OS = Gallus gallus GN = ACSF2 PE = 4 SV = 2 - [E1BS15_CHICK] | ACSF2 | 68.7/8.7 | 441.08 | 15 | −0.33 | 0.0192 | Fatty acids catalytic activity |
| P00568    | Glutamate dehydrogenase 1, mitochondrial OS = Gallus gallus GN = GLUD1 PE = 1 SV = 1 - [DHE3_CHICK] | GLUD1 | 55.7/8.28 | 641.29 | 19 | −0.50 | 0.0155 | Glutamate dehydrogenase (NAD(P)+) activity |
| F1P3F9    | Glutamate dehydrogenase OS = Gallus gallus GN = GLUD1 PE = 3 SV = 2 - [F1P3F9_CHICK] | GLUD1 | 47.6/8.18 | 695.44 | 19 | −0.39 | 0.0288 | Glutamate dehydrogenase (NAD(P)+) activity |
| F1P4K4    | Uncharacterized protein OS = Gallus gallus GN = ALDH8A1 PE = 3 SV = 2 - [F1P4K4_CHICK] | ALDH8A1 | 53.2/7.83 | 31.26 | 1 | −0.54 | 0.0080 | 9-cis-retinoic acid biosynthetic process |
| Q90WF1    | Filamin OS = Gallus gallus PE = 2 SV = 1 - [Q90WF1_CHICK] | FLNA | 272.8/6.35 | 103.29 | 2 | −0.72 | 0.0018 | Actin-binding |
| D2Z1L9    | LIM and SH3 protein 1 OS = Gallus gallus GN = LASP1 PE = 2 SV = 1 - [D2Z1L9_CHICK] | LASP1 | 29.6/7.4 | 417.26 | 11 | −0.43 | 0.0284 | Zinc ion binding |
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| Protein ID       | Description                                                | Fold Change | p-value  | -log10 p-value | Function                                                                 |
|------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|----------|----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| E1BTA6           | Uncharacterized protein OS = Gallus gallus GN = SEPT12 PE = 2 | SEPT12      | 47.1/8.68| 52.61          | 2 − 0.33                                                                 |
| F1POC0           | Uncharacterized protein OS = Gallus gallus GN = HMGN1 PE = 1 | HMGN1       | 11.1/9.26| 68.36          | 1 − 0.55                                                                 |
| E1C9F0           | Uncharacterized protein OS = Gallus gallus GN = DYN2CH1 PE = 2 | DYN2CH1     | 491.7/6.43| 22.2           | 1 − 0.50                                                                 |
| E1BT82           | Uncharacterized protein OS = Gallus gallus GN = EIF252 PE = 1 | EIF252      | 37.9/6.13| 71.23          | 4 − 0.44                                                                 |
| Q5ZJ39           | Density-regulated protein OS = Gallus gallus GN = DENR PE = 1 | DENR        | 22.1/5.21| 60.49          | 1 − 0.41                                                                 |
| F1NS60           | Uncharacterized protein (Fragment) OS = Gallus gallus GN = MMS19 PE = 4 SV = 2 | MMS19      | 111.8/5.99| 55.46          | 1 − 0.38                                                                 |
| E1C4N0           | Uncharacterized protein OS = Gallus gallus GN = RPS10 PE = 2 | RPS10       | 184.9/10.15| 183.89         | 6 − 0.36                                                                 |
| R4GL23           | Uncharacterized protein OS = Gallus gallus GN = CHTOP PE = 4 | CHTOP       | 26.3/12.23| 83.09          | 2 − 0.30                                                                 |
| F1NL8T           | Uncharacterized protein (Fragment) OS = Gallus gallus GN = ARHGDIB PE = 4 SV = 1 | ARHGDIB   | 23.2/5.2 | 235.16         | 7 − 0.29                                                                 |
| F1NA5S           | Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2A OS = Gallus gallus GN = EIF2A PE = 2 SV = 2 | EIF2A     | 62.7/8.79| 62.63          | 1 0.27                                                                 |
| Q800W4           | TIA-1 OS = Gallus gallus GN = TIA1 PE = 2 SV = 1 | TIA1        | 41.3/7.72| 62.06          | 1 0.29                                                                 |
| P40618           | High mobility group protein B3 OS = Gallus gallus GN = HMGB3 PE = 3 SV = 3 | HMGB3     | 23.8/12  | 126.17         | 4 − 0.59                                                                 |
| Q9YH6            | High mobility group protein B1 OS = Gallus gallus GN = HMGB1 PE = 1 SV = 1 | HMGB1     | 24.9/5.74| 165.42         | 6 − 0.44                                                                 |
| E1C9O            | Unconventional myosin-Ig OS = Gallus gallus GN = MYO1G PE = 4 SV = 2 | MYO1G      | 115/8.78 | 203.91         | 7 − 0.47                                                                 |
| E1BTE2           | Uncharacterized protein OS = Gallus gallus GN = SERPINB5 PE = 3 SV = 2 | SERPINB5  | 42.6/5.96| 218.9          | 7 − 0.40                                                                 |
| Q90643           | Interferon regulatory factor 3 OS = Gallus gallus GN = IRF3 PE = 2 SV = 1 | IRF3       | 54.4/5.21| 45.52          | 2 − 0.33                                                                 |
| E1BWS0           | Uncharacterized protein OS = Gallus gallus GN = GIT2 PE = 4 SV = 2 | GIT2       | 84.5/6.98| 29.12          | 1 − 0.32                                                                 |
| E1BUY6           | Uncharacterized protein OS = Gallus gallus GN = HMHA1 PE = 4 SV = 2 | HMHA1     | 103/7.97 | 29.79          | 1 − 0.31                                                                 |
| E1BVP2           | Uncharacterized protein OS = Gallus gallus GN = PLD1 PE = 4 SV = 2 | PLD1       | 118.9/8.98| 50.12          | 2 − 0.27                                                                 |

**Transcriptional and translational regulation**

**Immune response**
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| Apoptosis | I3VQH4 | Interleukin enhancer binding factor 3-like protein OS = Gallus gallus GN = ILF3 PE = 2 SV = 1 - [I3VQH4_CHICK] | ILF3 | 95/8.81 68.88 3 0.30 0.0025 | Participate in the apoptosis |
|-----------|--------|-------------------------------------------------|------|-------------------|-------------------------------|
|           | R4GLP0 | Uncharacterized protein OS = Gallus gallus GN = COX7C PE = 4 SV = 1 - [R4GLP0_CHICK] | COX7C | 7.2/10.96 27.81 1 0.55 0.0380 | Cytochrome-c oxidase activity |
|           | F1NICS | Uncharacterized protein (Fragment) OS = Gallus gallus GN = TIMM8A PE = 4 SV = 2 - [F1NICS_CHICK] | TIMM8A | 12.2/7.78 135.92 4 0.57 0.0346 | Protein transport (metal ion binding) |
| Oxidative stress | H9L201 | Uncharacterized protein OS = Gallus gallus GN = PNKD PE = 3 SV = 2 - [H9L201_CHICK] | PNKD | 48/9.35 31.96 1 −0.46 0.0095 | Glutathione biosynthetic process |
|           | F1NQC3 | Glutamine synthetase OS = Gallus gallus GN = GLUL PE = 3 SV = 2 - [F1NQC3_CHICK] | GLUL | 46.5/7.72 479.53 13 −0.40 0.0483 | Glutamate-ammonia ligase activity |
|           | P08267 | Ferritin heavy chain OS = Gallus gallus GN = FTH PE = 2 SV = 2 - [FRIH_CHICK] | FTH | 21.1/6.21 311.58 8 0.34 0.0248 | Ferroxidase activity |
| Neurotoxicity | F1P4B2 | Protein piccolo (Fragment) OS = Gallus gallus GN = PCLO PE = 4 SV = 2 - [F1P4B2_CHICK] | LOC768552 | 560.2/6.77 33.63 1 0.62 0.0247 | cAMP-mediated signaling |

*a*Uniprot_Gallus_gallus_9031 database accession number.

*b*The name of the protein exclusive of the identifier that appears in the database.

*c*Theoretical molecular mass (kDa).

*d*Theoretical pI.

*e*The sum of the scores of the individual peptides.

*f*The number of distinct peptide sequences in the protein group.

*g*Differential protein expression in the treatment group was presented as a log2 fold change relative to the control group.
involved in energy metabolism; SFN involved in cell growth and proliferation; and EIF2A involved in transcriptional and translational regulation) were selected for functional validation at the mRNA level using qPCR (Figure 4). The protein levels of GLUD1, CD36, SDHA and EIF2A were consistent with their mRNA expression levels. The results for the remaining three proteins were inconsistent between the mRNA levels and the protein levels. Possible reasons for these inconsistent results include the following: 1) the relationships between the mRNA levels and the protein levels were indirect, 2) there were some post-translational effects and/or the function of other regulatory mechanisms, and 3) there was a time delay between responses on the mRNA and protein levels [24].

Discussion
Ammonia influences different organs and physiological functions in animals due to oxidative stress and inflammation; therefore, excess concentration of ammonia lead to plenty of health problems in the body. More and more evidence demonstrates that high concentrations of ammonia impairs energy metabolism, and induces cell apoptosis and mitochondrial damage in the mucosa of GI tract [10,12,13]. To identify the molecular mechanisms related to the exposure to high concentration of atmospheric ammonia in broilers, we compared the growth parameters, immune organ development, gut morphology, serum parameters and small intestinal mucosa proteome of control (ammonia concentration, 3 ± 3 μL/L) with those exposed to high level of ambient ammonia (ammonia concentration, 75 ± 3 μL/L). On the whole, exposure to high concentrations of ambient ammonia (75 ± 3 μL/L) greatly reduced the growth of broilers.

In addition to the reduction of growth performance, exposure to high concentrations of ammonia also resulted in interference with multiple physiological functions in broilers. As two of the most important immune organs, indices of intestine and spleen were reduced in TRET group compared to CTRL group. Moreover, ammonia-exposed chickens had much lower villus height and crypt depth among different segments of small intestine. These results indicated that exposure to high concentrations of atmospheric ammonia mainly exerts negative impacts on intestine mucosal structure and immune organ development of chickens [25], which may cause huge damages to nutrients absorption and immune system. Increased activity of serum CK and decreased activity of serum T-SOD indicated oxidative stress in ammonia-exposed broilers. Previous study also reports that obvious pathomorphological changes were observed in kidneys and livers in broiler chickens.
under the dynamic range of atmospheric ammonia (31–95 ppm) [8].

A total of 43 proteins related to nutrient metabolism, apoptosis, immune and oxidative response, transcriptional and translational regulation, and cell cytoskeleton and growth altered in abundances corresponding to the change in intestinal histomorphology of ammonia-exposed broilers. Of these, up-regulation proteins involved in energy metabolism and apoptosis may induce the mitochondrial apoptosis resulting in an increase rate of oxidative phosphorylation under stress, whereas down-regulation of immune and nutrient metabolic proteins may decrease the anti-microbial ability and nutrient absorption in the intestine itself.

Cytoskeletal proteins have crucial roles in the maturation, migration, and renewal of epithelial cells along the crypt-villus axis [24,34,35]. In this study, two differential protein species related to cytoskeleton were down-regulated in the small intestinal mucosa of ammonia-exposed broilers. FLNA is an actin cross-linking protein that is crucial for actin cytoskeleton organization participating in cellular architectural and signaling functions [36-38]. LASP1 is a cytoskeletal adaptor protein, which has been reported as a signal molecule playing role in the differentiation of parietal cells [39,40]. This is consistent with the finding in this study that lower villus height and crypt depth among different segments of small intestine was observed in TRET group. As a result, the surface area of the intestine was decreased, and finally resulted in impairment of digestion and absorption efficiency in the gut. Other proteins involved in cell growth and proliferation, including SFN, RPL23, ZNF598 and SEPT12, are also down-regulated, and may harm the mucosal regeneration in GI tract due to ammonia exposure related injury [41-43]. Furthermore, the reduced abundance of proteins relevant to transcriptional and translational regulation, including HMGN1, DYNC2H1, EIF2S2, DENR, MMS19, RPS10, CHTOP and ARHGDIB, is observed in TRET group, which indicates a decreased capacity for protein synthesis to impair overall gut function and integrity [44-50].

Excess concentration of ammonia induces oxidative stress in various tissues, which can trigger inflammation and subsequent apoptosis [13]. In the present study,
three and three differential protein species were identified in the categories of oxidative stress and apoptosis, respectively. Of these proteins, PNKD protein plays an important role in maintaining cellular redox status [51]; GLUL activity is an indicator of free radical-mediated oxidative damage in tissue injury [52]; FTH is a core subunit of iron-binding protein ferritin, which is induced to protect against oxidative stress [53,54]; ILF3 participates in apoptosis, its expression is up-regulated during apoptosis induced by H₂O₂ in murine macrophages [55]; COX7C is shown to represent the rate-limiting step of mitochondrial electron transport chain in normal condition [56], however, its expression cannot be controlled under oxidative stress induced apoptosis, increasing thermogenesis and the rate of oxidative phosphorylation [57]; TIMM8A is a mitochondrial intermembrane space (IMS) protein that is involved in caspase-independent cell death [58]. Down-regulation of PNKD and GLUL along with up-regulation of FTH, ILF3, COX7C and TIMM8A in the treatment group suggests that, the small intestinal mucosa of ammonia-exposed broilers are under oxidative stress, which triggers the elevation of apoptosis. Moreover, previous research has proven that oxidative stress is related to the impairment of energy metabolism [59]. In this study, proteins involved in oxidative phosphorylation, including NDUFB3, SDHA and ATHL1 [60,61], are up-regulated, and indicate that ATP production and oxidative phosphorylation are uncoupled due to oxidative stress induced by ammonia, which may explain why the feed-conversion efficiency is reduced in ammonia-exposed broilers.

As the biggest immune organ in the body, intestine plays very important roles in defense of invasion of harmful bacteria and xenobiotics [14]. In the present study, eight differential protein species related to immune response were down-regulated in the treatment group. HMGB1 and HMGB3 serve as immunogenic nucleic acids binding proteins that are generally involved in the nucleic acid receptor-mediated activation of innate immune responses [62,63]; MYO1G is a plasma membrane-associated class I myosin contributing to T-cell activation [64]; SERPINB5 is a tumor suppressor that plays a role in protein binding [65]; IRF3 is a transcription factor that plays distinct role in innate antiviral response [66]; GIT2 is one of regulators of G protein-coupled receptor (GPCR), and loss of GIT2 in vivo leads to an immunodeficient state [67]; HMHA1 is a major target of immune responses also playing a role in T-cell activation [68]; and PLD1 contributes to the essential function of macrophages for protecting against a wide variety of invading microorganisms [69]. Down-regulation of these proteins in the treatment group suggests that, the immunity of gut is under low condition in ammonia-exposed broilers, which increases possibilities of bacterial or viral infection and probably leads to lower growth rate.

Ammonia has been reported to interfere with nutrient metabolism in mammals, such as reduced fatty acid oxidation, vitamins and amino acids synthesis disorder, and inhibitory of gluconeogenesis [10,70,71]. In the intestinal mucosa of ammonia-exposed broilers, differential proteins involved in carbohydrate/amino acid/lipid/vitamin metabolism indicate that impairment of nutrient absorption and digestion is related to metabolic changes in the intestine, which affects gluconeogenesis, vitamin A synthesis and fatty acid metabolism.

**Conclusions**

This study integrates traditional nutritional, morphological and state of the art proteomic approaches to identify the impact of high concentrations of atmospheric ammonia exposure on intestine of broilers. Reduced growth rate was observed in broilers exposed to high level of environmental ammonia. Possible reasons for exposure to ammonia derived influence on broilers are related to intestinal immune and histomorphology. Integrative data analysis indicates that exposure to high amount environmental ammonia resulted in significant changes in the development of immune organs and intestinal villi, and mucosal proteome of AA broilers. These changes might be resulting from oxidative stress induced by ammonia. Several proteins are identified to related to immune response, oxidative stress, apoptosis and mucosal structure, and thus play key roles in nutrient consumption and absorption. This study identifies the potential molecular mechanisms of high concentrations of atmospheric ammonia exposure to broilers and provides new knowledge that can be used for possible intervention using nutritional strategies in the future.
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