Prospective study of the functional outcome of uncemented bipolar hemiarthroplasty in displaced fracture neck of femur in elderly
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ABSTRACT

Background: Hip fractures in older patients are associated with impaired mobility, excess morbidity, mortality and loss of independence. To avoid the drawbacks of internal fixation and for early mobilization, hemiarthroplasty is performed in elderly. The bipolar prosthesis was developed to overcome the shortcomings of the unipolar fixed-head prosthesis. An additional site of motion would be expected to decrease the amount of loosening, dislocation, and head migration. The aim of the study is to assess the functional outcome of the patients with fracture neck of femur treated with bipolar hemiarthroplasty.

Methods: In this study, 20 patients above the age of 60 years with acute displaced fracture neck of femur underwent hemireplacement arthroplasty with bipolar prosthesis in Department of Orthopaedics, KIMS, Bangalore. The patients were followed up on 6th, 12th, 18th, 24th week post operatively. Functional outcome was assessed with Harris hip score.

Results: In our study, mean average age was 72.3 years with predominantly female patients with 75% of the patients with left sided fracture. 95% of the patients had slight or no pain, 85% of patients had slight or no limp. 50% of the patients could walk without support, 65% of the patients could walk a distance of 6 blocks or more. Average Harris hip score was 82%.

Conclusions: These results suggest functional outcome following bipolar hemiarthroplasty is good. Early mobilisation, pain free hip and with good level of activity is obtained following bipolar hemiarthroplasty.
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INTRODUCTION

Hip fracture is a major public health problem. The incidence rises dramatically in the elderly population with osteoporosis, occurring in 87% to 96% of cases in people aged over 65 years. An estimated number of more than 6 million hip fracture is expected worldwide in 2050.1

The treatment of displaced femoral neck fractures is based on the patient’s age and activity level. Young active patients should undergo open reduction and internal fixation and older, less active patients are usually treated with hemiarthroplasty, either uncemented or cemented. Regardless of treatment method, the goal is to return the patient to his or her prefracture level of function.2

Hemiarthroplasty is associated with satisfactory functional recovery, early ambulation and a better clinical outcome compared to screw fixation.3
Development of bipolar hemiarthroplasty was based on clinical experience and complication experienced with unipolar prosthesis.

**Aims and objectives**

The aims were to study functional outcome in patient with fracture neck of femur treated with hemiarthroplasty with bipolar prosthesis, to facilitate early mobilisation, weight bearing and rapid rehabilitation after surgery, to avoid complication of internal fixation in elderly osteoporotic fracture like non-union, avascular necrosis, prolonged immobilisation and to study associated complication.

**METHODS**

The present study of 20 cases of intracapsular fracture neck of femur in elderly patient above the age 60 years treated by using hemireplacement arthroplasty with bipolar endoprosthesis in the Department of Orthopaedics at KIMS, Bangalore. Clearance has been taken by ethical committee.

The study was carried out to evaluate functional outcome of hemireplacement arthroplasty for fracture neck of femur using bipolar prosthesis.

**Inclusion criteria**

Patients with fracture neck of femur above the age of 60 years with all types of fracture under garden classification are considered. Only closed fracture is included.

**Exclusion criteria**

Patients aged less than 60 years of age with systemic and local infection excluded. All Compound fracture are excluded. Patients with neurovascular injury and pathological fracture are excluded.

In this study hemi replacement arthroplaty of hip is done in 20 cases of fracture neck of femur using bipolar prosthesis. Patients were followed up at an interval of 6 weeks, 12 weeks, 18 weeks, 24 weeks. Functional outcome was analysed by Harris hip scoring system. At each follow up radiograph of the hip was taken for radiological analysis.

An anterioposterior radiograph of the pelvis with bilateral hip is taken. Routine blood investigation, blood urea, serum creatinine, serology, ECG and 2D echo was done. Fitness for surgery was taken. Consent of the patient was taken.

**Surgical procedure**

All surgeries were performed on an elective basis using standard aseptic precautions under spinal or general anaesthesia. Patient was positioned laterally with posterior or southern - Moore approach.

**Post operative management**

IV fluids given and after 6 hours orals fluids are given after bowel movements reappeared.

Intravenous antibiotics and analgesics given and continued for 5 days postoperatively.

Abduction pillow is kept between lower limbs to prevent dislocation. Drain was removed at the first change of dressing after 48 hours. Check radiograph as done after 48 hours. Patients were made to sit up on the second day, stand up with support (walker), on the third day, and were allowed to full weight bear and walk with the help of a walker depending on his or her pain tolerance and were encouraged to walk thereafter. Sitting cross-legged and squatting were not allowed.

Suture removal was done on the 12 postoperative day. The patients were assessed for any shortening or deformities if any and discharged from the hospital.

Patients who had infection and bedsores were treated accordingly before discharging them from the hospital.
Regular follow-up of all cases was done at 6 weeks, 12 weeks, 18 weeks and 24 weeks. At each follow-up, patients were evaluated clinically using the Harris hip score and radiologically with appropriate X-rays. Harris hip score was used to evaluate the functional outcome in the present study.

**Harris hip scoring system**

Total functional outcome was graded as following depending on the total. Failure was Harris hip less than 60, poor was Harris hip score between 60-69, fair was Harris hip score between 70-79, good was Harris hip score between 80-89, excellent was Harris hip score between 90-100.

Data collected was recorded and analysed using MS Excel. The observations are presented in number and percentages.

**RESULTS**

There are 20 cases of fracture neck of femur above 60yr of age included in the study, at department of orthopaedics, KIMS, Bangalore. All 20 cases were managed with hemiarthroplasty with bipolar prosthesis. The following observations were made from the data collected during the study.

**Table 1: Age distribution of patients.**

| Age in years | No. of patients | %   |
|--------------|-----------------|-----|
| 60-69        | 8               | 40.0|
| 70-79        | 6               | 30.0|
| 80-90        | 6               | 30.0|
| Total        | 20              | 100.0|

**Table 2: Gardens type distribution of patients.**

| Gardens type | No. of patients | %   |
|--------------|-----------------|-----|
| Type 3       | 3               | 15.0|
| Type 4       | 17              | 85.0|
| Total        | 20              | 100.0|

**Table 3: Pain distribution of patients.**

| Pain         | No. of patients | %   |
|--------------|-----------------|-----|
| None         | 6               | 30.0|
| Mild         | 1               | 5.0 |
| Slight       | 13              | 65.0|
| Total        | 20              | 100.0|

In our study among 20 cases most of the patients were in the age group of 60-70 years of age with mean age group is 72.30±8.93 years. Females were more common 65% than male patients in which 13 patients were female and 7 patients were male. Left sided fracture were predominant 75% with 15 cases fracture was on the left side and 5 cases were on the right side.

**Table 4: Use of stairs distribution of patients.**

| Stairs          | No. of patients | %   |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----|
| No              | 1               | 5.0 |
| In any manner   | 1               | 5.0 |
| Unable          | 2               | 10.0|
| Using a railing | 10              | 50.0|
| Without a railing | 6          | 30.0|
| Total           | 20              | 100.0|

**Assessment of functional result**

In our study, 17 cases had type 4 fracture neck of femur according to gardens classification making 85% of the patients. Postoperatively 60% of the patients stayed in hospital till day 11-15 with mean post operative duration was 13.00 days. Postoperatively 50% of the patients were mobilised on postoperative day 2 and 30% of the patients were mobilised on post operative day 3. In this study 40% of the prosthesis were of size 43 with mean±SD: 44.90±3.58.

**Table 5: Results of Harris hip score (n=20).**

| Result     | No. of patients | %   |
|------------|-----------------|-----|
| Excellent  | 7               | 35.0|
| Good       | 8               | 40.0|
| Fair       | 2               | 10.0|
| Poor       | 1               | 5.0 |
| Failure    | 2               | 10.0|
| Expired    | 0               | 0.0 |
| Total      | 20              | 100.0|

Trivial trauma was most common mode of injury of about 85% and 15% of the patients met with road traffic accident.

In our study, 17 cases had type 4 fracture neck of femur according to gardens classification making 85% of the patients. Postoperatively 60% of the patients stayed in hospital till day 11-15 with mean post operative duration was 13.00 days. Postoperatively 50% of the patients were mobilised on postoperative day 2 and 30% of the patients were mobilised on post operative day 3. In this study 40% of the prosthesis were of size 43 with mean±SD: 44.90±3.58.

**Table 5: Results of Harris hip score (n=20).**

| Result     | No. of patients | %   |
|------------|-----------------|-----|
| Excellent  | 7               | 35.0|
| Good       | 8               | 40.0|
| Fair       | 2               | 10.0|
| Poor       | 1               | 5.0 |
| Failure    | 2               | 10.0|
| Expired    | 0               | 0.0 |
| Total      | 20              | 100.0|

The patients were enquired about the kind of pain experience by them during their daily routine activities and recorded according to the Harris hip score. 65% of the patient had slight pain during their daily activity and 30% had no pain. 55% of the patients had slight limp at end of final follow-up and 30% patients had no limp. 50% of the patients had no need of support while walking and 50% of the patients needed support for walking. All the patients in the study were enquired about the distance that they were able to walk and graded. 35% of the were able to walk unlimited 20% patients were able to walk indoors only. All patients were enquired about the duration of ability to sit on a chair 90% of the patients were comfortable to sit on a chair for more than an hour in a chair.
In our study, out of all patients 50% of the patients were able to climb stairs with support of a railing and 30% of patients able to climb stairs without support and rest were unable to climb stairs. In our study, 70% of the patients were able to use public transport and remaining 30% of patients did not use public transport. In our study, out of all patients 65% of the patients were able to wear shoes with difficulty. In our study, patients were assessed for deformity at the end of 24 weeks. Only one patient had fixed external rotation deformity.
In our study, cumulative range of movements was assessed. 15% of the patients had ROM in range of less than 210° and 85% of patients had ROM in range 211-300°. In our study, 35% of the patients had HHS score in range of 91-100 and 35% in 81-90 range. In our study, 5% of the patient had dislocation of the prosthesis and 10% of the patients had superficial wound infection.

In our study, 35% and 40% of the patients have excellent and good outcome respectively. 10% of the patients had fair results and 5% had poor results.

**DISCUSSION**

Out of 30 patients, the average age of the patients in our study was 72.30 years of age. The average age group is 60-70 years. Female preponderance noted in our study. 65% of the patients were female. Elderly female is prone to fracture neck of femur due to osteoporosis. Similar results were reported by other author with female preponderance. In our study, out of 20 patients fracture neck of femur as common on left side compared to right side. 75% of the patients had fracture on left side. Similar results were reported in Boyd et al reported 55%. All fracture belonged to displaced fracture according to garden classification type 3 and 4. In Kulkarni et al 82% of his patients belonged to type 3 and 4 gardens classification. Mukherjee et al had 85%.

In our series, the nature of injury was 85% of the patients had met with trivial trauma and 15% of the patients met with road traffic accidents. Similarly, in other studies Solomon (1968), Evarts, Ingalhalikar et al, Seth believe that the intracapsular fracture are stress fractures through pathological bone secondary to osteoporosis or osteomalacia.

In our study, all patients were discharged once they were fit and after active mobilisation with walker support. 60% of the patients were discharged 11-15 days of hospitalisation.

Most of the patients in our hospital come from rural region with financial difficulties and lack of transportation were main reasons for delay. The interval of surgery to mobilisation 50% of the patients were mobilised on postoperative day 2 and 30% of the patients were mobilised on day 3.

**Complication**

**Dislocation of the prosthesis**

Among 20 cases 1 patient had posterior dislocation of the prosthesis on postoperative day 12. An attempt of closed reduction under GA was attempted but were unable to reduce. Patient as taken for revision surgery as done with bipolar prosthesis and immobilised for 6 weeks and later mobilised. The cause for dislocation was malalignment of the prosthesis.

The rate of dislocation can be minimized with repair of the posterior capsule and posterior soft tissue. Proper placement of the implants is of the utmost importance to minimize complications. Other contributing factors that lead to dislocation are implant malpositioning and patient factors.

Incidence of dislocation of prosthesis ranging from 1.1% at one year follow-up to 5% at 20 years.

Madanat et al found that a smaller centre edge angle (CEA) and decreased femoral offset (FO) resulted in higher dislocation rates.
Ninth study, they also found a shorter residual femoral neck to be significant (13 mm versus 16 mm, p=0.029).10

In our study, we had 2 cases of superficial infection. It was managed conservatively with antibiotics, based on the culture growth. Reported incidences of superficial infection after primary prosthetic arthroplasty include Salvatti et al 8.3%, Saraf et al 3.7%, Mukherjee et al 3%, Bavadekar et al 7.6%.11,14,20

In our study, 60% of the patients had slight pain which did not interfere with their daily activities and 30% of the patients had no pain. 95% of the patients had none to slight pain compared to other studies Sherwani et al 88%, Labelle et al 79.2%16,17

In our study 50% of the patients did not need any support while walking and 20% of the patients needed a cane to walk. Saraf et al reported 52.7% patients using cane regularly, 23.1% occasionally and 21.8% were not using it.14 In our study, 85% of the patients had ROM more than 210°. Cornell et al who reported that patients with bipolar prosthesis did better on walk tests and had better range of motion at 6 months.15 Radiological assessment in present study, there as one case of dislocation of prosthesis. There was no incidence of heterotrophic ossification, femoral loosening, acetabular erosion, protrusion.

All the cases in our series were assessed according to Harris hip score and graded accordingly as excellent, good, fair, poor and failure. We got average score of 82.3% as compared to other studies Cadler et al 72%, Nottage 85%.20 At the end 6 months, 35% of the patients had excellent score, 40% of the patients had good score and 10% had fair result as shown and compared in Table 6.

CONCLUSION

In our present study, 20 cases with fracture neck of femur studied. All patients underwent hemiarthroplasty with bipolar prosthesis. The clinical data was assessed, analysed evaluated and following conclusion were made.

Fracture neck of femur is common in elderly population. Increased incidence of fracture neck of femur is due to osteoporosis and other medical comorbidities.

Increased risk of fracture neck of femur is noted following trivial trauma. Increased female preponderance is noted and most cases were left sided. Bipolar hemiarthroplasty can be performed safely in elderly population yielding satisfactory results. Early mobilisation, pain free hip and with good level of activity is obtained following bipolar hemiarthroplasty

We conclude that functional outcome following bipolar hemiarthroplasty is good, thus bipolar hemiarthroplasty is ideal treatment for fracture neck of femur in elderly.
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