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Abstract
The aim of this article is to describe the rationale and design of a cross-sectional study that investigated the influence of attitudinal factors on diet and lifestyle of African and Caribbean women living in the UK. The results of the study will be presented elsewhere. African and Caribbean women are at high risk of obesity which exacerbates health inequalities. In the UK, little work has been carried out to better understand attitudinal factors that contribute towards obesity, lifestyle and health practice amongst this group.

Methods: The study applied a comprehensive questionnaire to capture socio-demographic data and explore general attitudes towards diet and lifestyle of African and Caribbean women. Dietary data were collected using triple-pass 24-hour recalls. In addition, all participants had anthropometric measures, blood pressure and biochemical indices assessed using standardised methods.

Discussion: Potential strengths and limitations of the current study design, exploring health, diet, and lifestyle habits and the contextual influence on these practices among African and Caribbean in the UK are discussed. Findings from this study will contribute to the understanding of food and health practices, the role of socio-cultural factors and potential barriers and facilitators to the adoption of a healthy lifestyle, which could reduce the risk of cardiovascular disease, obesity, and type 2 diabetes, all of which have increased prevalence in these communities.
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Introduction

The purpose of this article is to detail the rationale behind a cross-sectional study designed to investigate attitudinal determinants of diet and lifestyle among African and Caribbean women living in the United Kingdom (UK). The UK population is becoming gradually more diverse, with 73% of population growth coming from the Black and Minority Ethnic (BME) groups (Office for National Statistics (ONS), 2012). West-African and Black-Caribbean ethnic groups make up around 3% of the UK population and the majority of these groups are clustered in London (ONS, 2012).

African and Caribbean (AfC) women have the highest obesity prevalence (38% and 32%, respectively) among all ethnic groups in the UK (Gatineau & Mathrani, 2011). BME groups experience both poorer physical and mental health compared to the host population and they are at high risk for obesity-related health problems, which include diabetes, cardiovascular diseases and hypertension (Health Survey for England (HSE), 2006). Although the National Health Services (NHS) provides a range of healthcare services free to UK residents, evidence points to persisting socioeconomic and ethnic inequity in healthcare use (Szczepura, 2005). While differences in socioeconomic status (SES) are the major contributor to ethnic health inequalities, SES may not explain all variations in the health status of BME groups (Chowbey & Harrop, 2016).

In general, women face particular weight-related risks to their health as a result of their reproductive and caring roles. Becoming a parent appears to worsen the eating habits of mothers, but not fathers (Berge, Larson, Bauer, & Neumark-Sztainer, 2011). Women with young children tend to consume more calories than non-mothers (Berge et al., 2011). Furthermore, pregnancy-associated weight gain and failure to lose weight subsequently may contribute to the development of obesity (Amorim, Rössner, Neovius, Lourenço, & Linné, 2007). Multi-ethnic and low-income women are not only more likely to retain weight following pregnancy and develop chronic diseases themselves (Siega-Riz et al., 2010), but their health behaviour may also play an important role in shaping the lifestyle of the family and subsequent generations (Gibson et al., 2007).

There is no straightforward relationship between obesity and ethnicity and a complex set of psychosocial, genetic and contextual factors may influence body weight in minority groups (Gatineau & Mathrani, 2011; Gilbert & Khokhar, 2008). These include limited access to safe places to exercise, stress related to migration and settlement, low-pay and long working hours and change in food habits due to acculturation within the new host country (Gilbert & Khokhar, 2008; Leung & Stanner, 2011; Smith, Kelly, & Nazroo, 2012).

There are few studies in the literature regarding cultural influences and valuations driving standards of ideal and current body weight, particularly among women (Bhuiyan, 2003). A previous study shows that overweight body size, though not obesity, is generally seen as positive among African women (Holdsworth, Gartner, Landais, Maire, & Delpeuch, 2004), and this has critical implications for prevention and education programs among these groups, as positive attitudes towards overweight body size may interfere women’s risk awareness and willingness to control their weight.

Health behaviour is influenced by beliefs, perceived susceptibility, self-efficacy and sociocultural norms (Holdsworth et al., 2006) and these factors vary across different communities (Howard, Hugo, Taylor, & Wilson, 2008). Cultural heritage has been shown to influence
beliefs and perceptions about body image, healthy eating and physical activity (Howard et al., 2008). Normative beliefs (beliefs about other people’s approval for one’s behaviour) and self-representation (how people define themselves in the context of others), degree of cultural affiliation, migration status, degree of integration and acculturation, religiosity, generational differences, and level of health literacy are likely to play a role in the dietary and lifestyle habits of AfC women (Smith et al., 2012). Understanding these influences on health behaviour will allow healthcare practitioners to better support patients via designing targeted approaches or programmes specific to the community, aimed at effective behavioural change (Fernandez, Ruiter, Markham, & Kok, 2019).

Tailoring lifestyle interventions and care to be sensitive to cultural habits and beliefs is a key public health recommendation (Archibald, 2011). Furthermore, culturally tailored care has been shown to improve outcomes (Joo & Liu, 2019). However, little work has been carried out to better understand attitudinal factors that contribute towards obesity, lifestyle and health practice amongst UK African and Caribbean communities. The aim of designing this study was to gather data to enable a better understanding of these factors in order to inform the development of culturally salient care and interventions to best support these, at risk, communities in the UK. The study design highlighted herein is an important first step to increase understanding within this community to best support positive health behaviours.

Methods

Study Design

The ATTITUDE study applied a quantitative approach, based on a cross-sectional survey, to investigate attitudinal factors influencing diet, physical activity, and body weight in AfC women living in the UK. It was particularly focused on knowledge, beliefs, and self-perceptions related to diet, exercise and body image. The primary and secondary objectives of this study are described in Table 1.

Target population and recruitment

Adult women self-ascribed as Black African or Caribbean living in London were eligible for the study. Women who had any severe medical condition or disability that significantly influenced their dietary and physical activity behaviours were excluded from the study. Pregnant women, lactating women, women with less than six weeks postpartum or cognitively or physically unable to take part were not eligible.

The sample was self-selected but an element of purposeful sampling was employed to support maximum diversity in terms of age and ethnicity. Participants were recruited across London with a particular focus on the London Boroughs (Croydon, Greenwich, Enfield, and Lewisham) with a high population density of the target ethnicities. Participants were recruited directly into the study via advertisements, flyers, and posters distributed across community venues, churches, and university campuses and via liaison with church leaders, emails and social media. Women were also opportunistically recruited (face-to-face) from community settings (faith-based institutions, community centres and libraries). Snowballing sampling was also applied.
Table 1. Objectives of the ATTITUDE study

| Primary                                                                 | Secondary                                                                 |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| ▪ To understand what attitudes and beliefs shape dietary intake and    | ▪ To describe the distribution of known or suspected risk factors          |
| engagement in physical activity among AfC women.                      |    associated with obesity and related diseases.                           |
| ▪ To understand how the country of origin and length of UK residence   | ▪ To explore the influence of social status, religious affiliation          |
| influence dietary intake and the contribution that traditional foods   |    and number of family members on food purchases and dietary intake.      |
| make to the diet.                                                     |                                                                           |
| ▪ To investigate the differences in understanding and use of the front | ▪ To investigate any relationships between use of FOP nutrition           |
| of pack (FOP) nutrition labelling between the 1st and 2nd generation   |    information and biochemical indices, anthropometric measures, and      |
| of women in the UK.                                                   |    dietary intake.                                                        |
| ▪ To assess biochemical indices (TC, HDL-C, LDL-C, TG, and plasma       | ▪ To investigate the correlation between body image perception, current    |
| glucose); anthropometric measures (BMI, % body fat, waist-to-hip ratio) |    BMI and cultural identity among AfC women.                              |
| and physiological parameters (blood pressure and resting heart rate)   |                                                                           |
| in a subset of the study population                                   |                                                                           |
| ▪ To compare the BMI and physical activity levels between women with   |                                                                           |
| and without children                                                  |                                                                           |

AfC, African and Caribbean; BMI, body mass index; FOP, front of pack; HDL-C, high-density cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density cholesterol; TC, total cholesterol; and TG, triglycerides

Data collection and sample size

To address the aims, data collection was organized into three phases: I) survey, II) additional biochemical indices, anthropometric and physiological measures for a proportion of willing participants who completed the survey (health screen) and III) dietary assessment for same participants in phase II. Data collection was completed in October 2017.
According to the Cochran sample size formula (Bartlett II, Kotrlik, & Higgins, 2001) for a 5-point scale variable, it was estimated that with an alpha level of 0.05 and 5% margin of error, a sample size of 267 would be required to achieve statistical validity. Taking into account incomplete data (approximately 10%), the recruitment target for the survey was sent to a minimum of 300 participants. Regarding the dietary assessment, the recruitment target was based on the sample size used in previous studies. Studies using dietary recall data typically ranged from a minimum of 50 (Goff, Timbers, Style, & Knight, 2015) up to 150 (Blissett & Bennett, 2013) participants. It was estimated that a sample of at least 100 women would allow an accurate assessment of dietary patterns. The same sample size was applied for the health screen.

Phase I
This phase included self-completion of a pre-piloted online survey (n= 307), designed in Qualtrics software (Qualtrics, Provo, UT) primarily distributed via social media, study website, email and quick response (QR) code in flyers and posters. Reminder emails were sent biweekly (a total of three reminders after the initial email invitation). The online survey was tested on iPhones, androids, desktops using different software and hardware configurations. The online version of the survey was also piloted for operational and typological errors. A paper-based survey was available upon request. Data obtained from manual completion of the surveys were entered by the research team into the survey software tool to generate a collective dataset for data analysis.

The online survey included an introductory text, informed consent, and three binary eligibility questions: 1) Are you female? 3) Are you aged between 18 and 65 years? and 3) Are you of Black British, Black African or Black Caribbean ethnicity? The survey included 83 questions, which were subdivided into six sections 1) demographic data (including migrant history), self-rated health and SES; 2) diet-related knowledge and attitudes; 3) shopping and understanding and use of food labels; 4) body weight and body image and shape; 5) physical activity, and 6) cultural affiliation and religion. To avoid excess missing data in the section located towards the end of the questionnaire, sections appeared at random order and only section 1 was fixed. As part of the survey, participants were given the opportunity to indicate whether they would like to take part in the health screen (phase II) and dietary assessment (phase III). Details about the sections in the survey are described in Table 2.

Phase II
A subsample of participants who completed the survey also took part in a health screen (n= 122). These participants were self-selected, either responding to the online questionnaire or to recruitment advertisements and posters. The biochemical indices (health screen) included measurements of lipids (high-density cholesterol (HDL-C), low-density cholesterol (LDL-C) and triglycerides (TG)), non-fasting plasma glucose, blood pressure, resting heart rate and anthropometric data. Anthropometric data were collected in accordance with standardized procedures (Centres for Disease Control and Prevention, 2007) which includes: weight (kg), height (m), body mass index (BMI), waist and hip circumferences (cm), waist-to-hip ratio and percentage of body fat (%BF). The %BF was measured using bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA) (Tanita Total Body Composition Analyzer, TBF-300). BMI was calculated as weight/height (kg/m²) and blood pressure was measured using a validated digital monitor (Omron HBP-1300)(Meng et al., 2016), prior to blood sampling.
Table 2. List of survey variables

| Survey sections                                      | Variables                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Socio-demographic and health status questions**    | Age, date of birth, country of birth, year of arrival in the UK and migration history, birth country of parents and grandparents, civil status, type of household, number of children, employment status, occupation of the main income provider in the household, education, self-rated health and any confirmed diagnoses of diseases. |
| **Diet**                                             | Self-rated diet quality, food choice, attitudes towards healthy eating, knowledge of healthy eating, dietary self-efficacy, barriers and motivators to healthy eating and consumption of dietary supplements.                           |
| **Shopping and food labelling**                      | Use of front of food packaging (FOP), use of the back of food packaging (BOP); understanding of FOP, preference of types of FOP, type of information read in FOP and BOP, factors influencing food purchase and frequency of take-away consumption. |
| **Body image and weight status**                     | Body satisfaction, body image picture and body perception scale, knowledge of healthy body weight, and self-reported weight and height.                                                                          |
| **Physical Activity (PA)**                           | Barriers and motivators to PA, leisure PA, and occupational PA.                                                                                                                                              |
| **Culture**                                          | Language spoken at home, cultural affiliation and identity, religious affiliation, frequency of attendance of places of worship and importance of the place of worship in social life.                                    |

BOP, back of food packaging; FOP, front of pack; PA, physical activity

The health screen data collection was performed within churches, community centres and university premises by the lead researchers and a team of trained under- and postgraduate students. The biochemical indices were assessed using finger-prick blood samples using a portable analyser (CardioChek, PA). After completion of the data collection, participants were asked if they wanted to be informed about their results and a leaflet with general information on a healthy lifestyle and feedback regarding their results were provided.

**Phase III**

Habitual dietary intake was estimated using the standardized triple-pass 24h recall methodology (Nelson, Holmes, & Roberts, 2013) in a subsample (n= 122) of the study population who took part in the survey (Phase I) and health screen (Phase II). The lead researchers were trained in administering this methodology and a series of two or three recalls were taken. Prior to the data collection, a comprehensive list with the most common foods consumed by West-Africans and Black-Caribbean and mode of preparation was created. The researchers also attended cooking demonstrations to get familiar with African and Caribbean traditional cuisine. The first recalls were completed face-to-face and the subsequent ones by telephone. At least one weekend day and one weekday were included to ensure the capture of maximum dietary variation. Participants were asked to indicate their portion sizes using a photographic food atlas, and household measures (Nelson, Atkinson, & Meyer, 1997). Information about brand and portion sizes were collected for pre-packaged and processed foods. Recipes and methods used for cooking (e.g. frying, roasting, steaming, etc.)
were recorded for home-prepared foods. Participants were given a £10 gift voucher on completion of the final recall.

**Ethical considerations**
Consent was sought from venues that agreed to host data collection and from women who agreed to take part in the study. Participants’ data were anonymised using a unique reference number. Participants were given an information sheet when they were informed about the study. Data were stored on University premises and are password protected. The coding key for participants was stored separately from the data so there is no possibility of linking the two. Ethical approval was granted by the University of Westminster ethics committee under reference VRE1415-1345.

**Analytical plan**
Dietary intake will be coded and analysed using Nutritics Software (Nutrics, 2018). If any food items are not available within the database, a new entry will be generated, especially for homemade recipes. A ‘West African Food Composition Table’ will be used to find the nutritional composition information (Stadlmayr et al., 2012). The distribution of macronutrient intake in the sample population will be obtained, as well as a calculation of fibre intake and non-starch polysaccharides. Potential under-reporting will be assessed using age- and sex-specific standard equations used to estimate Basal Metabolic Rate (BMR) based on the measured weight of participants (Frankenfield, Roth-Yousey, & Compher, 2005). The caloric contribution (in percentage) that traditional foods make to nutrient intakes in the AfC women will be calculated.

A new UK Nutrient Profiling Model (NPM) which reflects current UK dietary recommendations will be to classify ‘healthy’ and ‘less healthy’ foods and drinks (PHE, 2018) for future analysis. A principal component analysis will be carried out to determine dietary patterns associated with obesity.

Descriptive statistics will be used to look at the general distributions and quality of the data collected. Likert (5-point) ordinal scale data will be displayed by clustered bar charts. The Chi² test and independent t-test will be used for comparison between ethnicity (African vs Caribbean) and categorical (percent, %) and continuous (means) normally distributed variables, respectively. Mann–Whitney U-test will be performed for comparing medians of non-parametric variables.

Simple linear and logistic regressions will be used to explore factors associated with higher BMI (continuous variable) and overweight/obesity (BMI ≥ 25/30 kg/m²). Multiple linear and logistic regression will be used to test whether individual variables are independently predictive of BMI and overweight/obesity, respectively. Other outcomes such as waist circumference, waist-to-hip ratio, and %BF will also be explored. Statistical analysis will be performed using SPSS 24 (SPSS, 2016).

**Discussion**
Little is known about diet-related lifestyle choices in the BME communities or factors influencing such decisions. Current acculturation among BME women and attitudes to diet, lifestyle and body image research is limited to certain ethnic groups. A need is evident to broaden the research to include the experiences of those who have also shown changes in
body image and eating behaviour post-migration, such as AfC groups (Gramaglia, Delicato, & Zeppegno, 2018). This study will enable better elucidation of the relationships between dietary and biologic variables, as well as behavioural and attitudinal issues underpinning the nutritional and health status of the AfC migrant population in the UK. Consequently, this study will assist in translating epidemiological knowledge into future preventive actions.

To design responsive interventions, a first step is to understand contextual influences and determinants of behaviour. A quantitative study is well-placed to collect data on factors influencing behaviour. Understanding these influences will inform the theory underpinning intervention design to support these women. Theoretical underpinned interventions are more effective than those purely empirically designed (Fernandez et al., 2019). Furthermore, this study will provide a detailed assessment of the role of cultural/traditional foods in the diets of these communities and present nutritional information. This may guide health-care practitioners in individualising their care for service users from these communities and enabling identification of types of foods that might need to be targeted or encouraged in advising patients on dietary modifications. Ultimately, the findings may enable care to be more culturally tailored (Di Noia, Furst, Park, & Byrd-Bredbenner, 2013).

Strengths and limitations

The majority of participants completed a self-administrated online survey. However, participants were given an opportunity to use a paper-based format and assisted interview. It is known that the mode of questionnaire administration can have an impact on data quality. Within any mode of administration, there are a number of potential factors (e.g. wording, question order, response format, questionnaire layout) acting at different levels, which could also influence responses making it difficult to isolate the effects of each factor on the accuracy of the data collected (Bowling, 2005). Computerized self-administration methods may place a cognitive and literacy burden on participants compared to face-to-face interviews. (Bowling, Bond, Jenkinson, & Lamping, 1999) However, face-to-face interviews can yield an excess of positive responses, ‘yes-saying’ or acceptance and social desirability biases, compared to self-administration mode, due to the fact that it is perceived to be ‘easier’ to agree than disagree with others (Bowling, 2005). Some people also tend to respond to certain questions in a way they perceive they should respond. ‘Yes-saying’ can also be frequent on self-administered questionnaires; however, it seems to be less evident than in interviews. Computer-based and self-administration methods can potentially slow down the pace of the interview, allowing participants more time to think, thus providing more accurate responses. However, if a particular question is misread or misunderstood, the results could be skewed.

This study applies a more meaningful classification of ethnicity compared to the previous studies (Gilbert & Khokhar, 2008) in which no distinction is made between Black Africans, Black Carribeans and other Black groups. In the design of the current study, ethnicity was self-ascribed and also confirmed by parental and grandparental country of birth. Questions on cultural identity, languages spoke at home and religious affiliation were also included, as it is recommended that ethnicity should reflect a way of grouping individuals with some shared identity, including, but not restricted to, place of birth, religion, language, cultural practices and traditions, and common geographical origins (Phinney, 1992).

While multiple recalls using triple-pass methodology has a number of advantages over other methods of dietary assessment, all methods have limitations (Nightingale et al., 2016; Vucic
et al., 2009). In this case, the method is dependent upon the participant’s ability to recall intake accurately and there are possible recall biases, as with all self-report methods. Although using photographic food atlas illustrating a range of portion sizes for different types of meals is a useful tool for portion size estimation, the risk of over- or underestimation is not completely eliminated. Participants’ age and BMI are commonly reported factors influencing the estimation of food consumption when using food photographs (Nelson, Atkinson, & Darbyshire, 1996). Additionally, since the research team comprised of both Caucasian and AfC researchers, it is possible that the non-concordant ethnicity of some researchers may influence the accuracy of the data collected. The impact of this was minimised by all researchers being familiar with cultural foods and slang/common names reassuring participants that the research team had this knowledge.

Conclusions

Despite its limitations, the ATTITUDE study is novel in that it focuses on exploring the attitudes and determinants of diet, lifestyle and health behaviour of women in both African and Caribbean populations. Understanding the motivations and beliefs of our ethnic communities can help to improve the salience of healthcare offered. The ATTITUDE study could then provide a “road map” for how future tailored interventions might be designed.
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