Chemoselective Activation of $sp^3$ vs $sp^2$ C−H Bonds with Pd(II)
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ABSTRACT: The first selective coupling of a carbon nucleophile with methyl, ethyl, propyl, and butyl arenes in the absence of a directing group is described. Pd(OAc)$_2$ double C−H activation displays remarkable selectivity for the terminal methyl sites in alkyl arenes, rather than the more commonly observed arene $sp^2$ C−H activation. Mechanistic studies indicate the intermediacy of an azlactone dimer, obtained from oxidation with Pd(OAc)$_2$, and are consistent with a Pd-catalyzed C−H activation vs a radical process. The observed reactivity establishes that typical reaction solvents (e.g., toluene) can readily participate in C−H activation chemistry.

The ability of metals, and particularly Pd catalysts, to selectively insert into C−H bonds has provided a host of new, useful methods for constructing organic structures. C−H activation is highly significant because additional steps to preactivate a center for bond construction (e.g., halogenation) can be avoided, thereby increasing efficiency by reducing step-count and decreasing waste. Catalytic dehydrogenative cross-coupling (CDC) is the ideal version of this process, where C−H bonds from each of two reacting partners are selectively cleaved, accompanied by an oxidative fragment union. In this strategy, coordinating groups play a key role by complexing the substrate with the catalyst and thus lowering the energy barrier for C−H activation. Advances in such alkyl C−H activation are considerable, but much less progress has been made in systems lacking coordinating groups.

Toluene compounds are easy-to-handle, stable, and commercially available, rendering them ideal as benzylation reagents, and far more atom-economical than the corresponding benzyl halides. Even though the benzylic C−H bond in toluene is 20−30 kcal/mol weaker than the arene C−H, Pd displays a remarkable selectivity for arene C−H activation (Figure 1). In fact, methyl and other alkyl substituents on arenes are compatible with Pd-catalyzed $sp^2$ C−H functionalization. Presumably, Pd $\pi$-coordination positions the metal carboxylate for a favorable deprotonative metalation (Figure 1).

Of late, radical-mediated processes for activating toluene have shown considerable promise in CDC (Scheme 1, eqs 1 and 2). A benzylic radical is easily formed at elevated temperatures with di-tert-butyl peroxide and can merge with Pd-catalyzed processes. Cu catalysts have also been reported to form benzylic amines and alcohols from toluene and stabilized radicals. However, activating toluene by nonradical processes is a long-standing challenge. White and Shi developed an elegant system for the C−H activation of the doubly activated allylbenzene. Since the discovery of Pd-catalyzed acetoxylation of toluene in 1968 by Bryant, few advances have been made (Scheme 1, eq 3). In fact, toluene is considered benign in most Pd-catalyzed processes and is frequently used as solvent. Our ongoing interest in C−H activation prompted us to investigate the oxidative coupling of toluene. Herein, we disclose the discovery of selective Pd activation of benzylic and alkyl $sp^3$ C−H bonds relative to arene $sp^2$ C−H bonds to achieve CDC coupling reactions with a carbon nucleophile (Scheme 1, eq 4).

We initially explored the coupling of phenylglycine azlactone and toluene with late-transition metals based on their propensity for C−H activation (Table 1). Notably, only Pd(II) carboxylates provided any cross-coupled product (Table 1, entries 6 and 7). PdCl$_2$ provided the azlactone dimer, but all other Pd sources were inactive (Table 1, entries 8−12). Surprisingly, the coupling...
product 2a arose from deprotonative metalation into the sp³ C−H bond rather than the typical sp² C−H activation.²⁵

With this rare example of selective C−H activation of the benzylic position of toluene,¹² we attempted to minimize the amount of toluene. A screen of cosolvents¹⁶ revealed that 1,4-benzylic position of toluene,¹² we attempted to minimize the H bond rather than the typical sp² C−H activation.²⁵

Naphthalene analogues of benzyl bromide are relatively unstable for S₅₂ transformations and are not widely available. Use of 1- and 2-methylnaphthalene in CDC via radical-mediated processes is uncommon because the tolyl analogue is typically unstable for SN₂ transformations and are not widely available. These conditions also proved successful for several toluene derivatives. In contrast to Bryant’s results with acetoxylation of xylene, the monobenzylated product was the only product observed (Scheme 2, 2a). These conditions also proved successful for several toluene derivatives. In contrast to Bryant’s results with acetoxylation of xylene, the monobenzylated product was the only product observed (Scheme 2, 2a).

Although m-xylene (2c) was highly effective, mesitylene proceeded in only 14% yield; increased temperature and longer reaction times did not improve the outcome. We speculate that the three alkyis of mesitylene hinder the coordination of the Pd to the π-system needed to initiate C−H activation (Figure 1).

Naphthalene analogues of benzyl bromide are relatively unstable for S₅₂ transformations and are not widely available. Use of 1- and 2-methylnaphthalene in CDC via radical-mediated processes is uncommon because the tolyl analogue is typically used neat, a difficult proposition with these solids. With the optimal conditions, naphthyl derivatives of phenylglycine azlactone were formed in good yield (Scheme 2). Hydrolysis of these compounds permits facile access to unnatural α,α-disubstituted α-amino acids.¹⁷

Success with the selective sp³ C−H bond activation of primary benzylic sites prompted exploration of secondary benzylic compounds (Scheme 3).¹⁸ Unexpectedly, the secondary benzylic product was not observed; rather, with each substrate, substitution occurred at the primary methyl.¹⁸ Most surprisingly, propylbenzene and butylbenzene gave rise to products 5 and 6, respectively, while <5% of the other cross-coupling isomers were observed. This chemoselectivity provides access to chemical space that would not be feasible via a radical-mediated process.

Furthermore, the novel activation of benzylic, homobenzylic, and bis/tris-homobenzylic sp³ C−H bonds by Pd(OAc)₂ without concomitant arene sp² C−H reaction represents a paradigm shift in the behavior of Pd catalysts. We initially reasoned that a catalytic cycle might involve Pd(II) deprotonative carbopalladation of the benzylic component, preceded or followed by ligand exchange with the azlactone. Subsequent reductive elimination would yield the product and Pd(0), consistent with the observed formation of Pd black.²⁰ With this reasoning, we turned our attention to identifying a suitable oxidant to allow turnover.

A PME screen²¹ with 23 diverse oxidants (2 equiv) and 2 Pd carboxylates (30 mol%)¹⁶ revealed that 2,6-dimethylbenzoquinone (2,6-DMBQ) was superior. 2,6-DMBQ loading could be reduced with a co-oxidant, MnO₂, but the most successful additive was PivOH,¹⁶ which presumably promotes dissociation of the hydroquinone anion from Pd(II).²² Benzylation of phenylglycine azlactone with a series of tolyl and methylnaphthyl derivatives under the optimal conditions for Pd catalysis revealed that the transformation was substrate dependent. Further studies on additives and cosolvents found that dioxane (cf. Scheme 2) as a solvent permitted the use of smaller amounts of the benzylic compound, even when catalytic Pd was employed. Among known Pd(0) stabilizers (DMSO, DMA, White’s sulfoxide ligand,¹³b phenanthroline, BIPY, and cyclohexene), activated carbon was found to improve upon the initial catalytic findings (Scheme 4). These conditions were also explored in a homobenzylic system and provided the ethylated phenylglycine azlactone product in good yield.

With these novel findings in hand, the mechanism of C−H activation was investigated. An equimolar mixture of toluene and d₄-toluene with phenylglycine azlactone and Pd(OAc)₂ provided k₂₅/k₁₅ = 3.5. Parallel experiments²³ with the two substrates also revealed that the deuterated analogue was 2−4 times slower, suggesting a metal-catalyzed C−H activation step as rate-determining (Scheme 5).²⁵a,²⁶ Radical-mediated processes (e.g., Scheme 1, eqs 1 and 2) typically exhibit a more significant isotopic effect >5.⁷a,¹⁰b The absence of an isotope effect and deuterium scrambling with d₄-toluene indicates that initial Pd metalation of an arene C−H is unlikely.
In the course of these studies, the dimer of the azlactone was observed frequently, prompting further studies to determine if it was necessary for benzylation or was a side product. Dimer formation can be initiated with a metal oxidant (e.g., NiO₂, MnO₂) or with air in a polar solvent (DMSO). We thus developed mild conditions to form the azlactone dimer in 83% yield with Pd(OAc)₂ (5 mol%) using Ag₂O (100 mol%) at room temperature. When this dimer was subjected to catalytic Pd(OAc)₂ and toluene (no added oxidant), the benzylated product was formed in 72% yield (Scheme 6, top). The high yield in the absence of additional oxidant suggests that, once the azlactone dimer is formed, the benzylation is redox neutral, unlike other processes involving dimeric Pd.

The azlactone dimer was discovered to form metal complex upon treatment with Pd(TFA)₂ (Scheme 6, bottom), as judged by a downfield ¹H NMR shift of the C-4 phenyl o-H (7.31−9.47 ppm) and X-ray crystallography. Treatment of this complex with toluene at elevated temperatures provided the benzyl product in 72% yield (Scheme 6, top). The high yield in the absence of additional oxidant suggests that, once the azlactone dimer is formed, the benzylation is redox neutral, unlike other processes involving dimeric Pd.

The azlactone dimer was discovered to form metal complex upon treatment with Pd(TFA)₂ (Scheme 6, bottom), as judged by a downfield ¹H NMR shift of the C-4 phenyl o-H (7.31−9.47 ppm) and X-ray crystallography. Treatment of this complex with toluene at elevated temperatures provided the benzyl product in 72% yield (Scheme 6, top). The high yield in the absence of additional oxidant suggests that, once the azlactone dimer is formed, the benzylation is redox neutral, unlike other processes involving dimeric Pd.

The azlactone dimer was discovered to form metal complex upon treatment with Pd(TFA)₂ (Scheme 6, bottom), as judged by a downfield ¹H NMR shift of the C-4 phenyl o-H (7.31−9.47 ppm) and X-ray crystallography. Treatment of this complex with toluene at elevated temperatures provided the benzyl product in 72% yield (Scheme 6, top). The high yield in the absence of additional oxidant suggests that, once the azlactone dimer is formed, the benzylation is redox neutral, unlike other processes involving dimeric Pd.

The azlactone dimer was discovered to form metal complex upon treatment with Pd(TFA)₂ (Scheme 6, bottom), as judged by a downfield ¹H NMR shift of the C-4 phenyl o-H (7.31−9.47 ppm) and X-ray crystallography. Treatment of this complex with toluene at elevated temperatures provided the benzyl product in 72% yield (Scheme 6, top). The high yield in the absence of additional oxidant suggests that, once the azlactone dimer is formed, the benzylation is redox neutral, unlike other processes involving dimeric Pd.

However, the C-acetoxy byproduct (C) of this event was not observed. Pd(IV) intermediate D may instead form by oxidative addition of the labile C−C bond of the azlactone dimer to A (path b). Reductive elimination would yield the benzylated azlactone product and E, both of which could also form via metathesis path c. Pd(OAc)₂ would be regenerated from E in the presence of AcOH. Re-forming phenylglycine azlactone consumes the remaining Pd(OAc)₂, accounting for the 72% yield observed commencing from the azlactone dimer in the absence of oxidant (Scheme 6).

A deuterium labeling study conducted with d₃-ethylbenzene revealed positional deuterium scrambling (eq 5), supporting initial Pd metalation of the benzyl C−H bond (Figure 2b). Subsequent β-hydride elimination to a styrene, re-addition of Pd onto the terminal C, and cross-coupling with the azlactone would
account for the ethylated product (Figure 2b); however, styrenes added to the reaction did not incorporate into the product.

Selective sp³ C–H activation of ethylbenzene provided a unique opportunity to interrogate potential radical pathways. When phenylglycine azlactone was treated with ethylbenzene at 90 °C with Pd(OAc)₂ and (t-BuO)₂, only reaction at the terminal site (3) was observed (Scheme 7, entry 1). In the absence of Pd, but above the homolysis temperature of (t-BuO)₂, only the benzylated product was seen (entry 2). With Pd(OAc)₂ and (t-BuO)₂ together at this higher temperature, products from both contraindicate a radical mechanism for this process.
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