Impact of Physical Inactivity on the Multifactorial Process of Developing Cancer-Related Cognitive Impairments
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Abstract

Many cancer survivors report cognitive impairment before, during and after medical treatment. It is well known that many factors other than chemotherapy take part in the development of these cancer-related cognitive impairments (CRCI), such as cancer-related fatigue, psychological as well as molecular factors. The purpose of this review is to describe the impact of physical inactivity in the genesis of these cognitive changes in cancer patients. References for this narrative review comes primarily from PubMed, Cochrane Library and Livivo databases. It contains literature search from October 2003 to April 2016. Our search was focused on available data for the influence of physical inactivity on cognitive performance of cancer patients. Cancer patients have decreased levels of physical activity during treatment. It is suggested that lack of physical activity in general is associated with cognitive decline. At present, most available data comes from trials with heart failure patients as well as results from bed rest studies in space medicine. The development of CRCI is a multifactorial process with physical inactivity appearing to play an important role. However, the available data remains limited and future trials are needed to examine the specific role of physical inactivity on CRCI.

Keywords: Bed rest; Cancer-related cognitive impairments; Chemobrain; Cognition; Immobilization; Lack of exercise; Physical inactivity

Introduction

In 2012, about 14 million new cases of cancer were reported worldwide [1]. Due to a variety of new therapies, especially targeted treatment approaches, survival has improved substantially in recent years [2]. However, cancer itself and its medical treatment are accompanied by a number of treatment-related adverse effects and toxicities including detrimental effects on physical, psychological and social aspects [3]. An important and clinical side-effect has often been termed as “chemobrain” [3], which describes cognitive dysfunction associated to cancer treatment [4,5]. This broad term includes a variety of cognitive impairments that may occur either temporarily or persist as a long-term consequence of treatment [3,6-8]. Cognitive dysfunction mainly relates to memory, attention, executive functions, learning and speed of information processing decline [4,5,9]. Further, it is also known that other factors than cancer treatments influence the development of cognitive changes in cancer patients suggesting that this is a multifactorial process [3,10]. As a result, experts have proposed the term "cancer-related cognitive impairments" (CRCI) which we will use hereinafter [11].

It has been suggested the percentage of patient suffering from CRCI varies between 16% to 75% depending on type of treatment (e.g. chemotherapy, radiation) and time point of measurement (before, during or after medical treatment) [3], also affecting patients ‘quality of life [12].

The purpose of this narrative review is to present a descriptive overview of the multifactorial process of CRCI in cancer patients and the impact of physical inactivity during this process. Numerous studies have reviewed the effects of physical activity on health; however, few studies are available on physical inactivity or sedentary behavior [13].

Physical activity appears to decrease from time of cancer diagnosis to initiation of anticancer treatment with patients showing the lowest levels during treatment with a subsequent increase following therapy [14-16]. The role of physical inactivity in the multifactorial process of CRCI development has not been extensively described and is the focus of our review (Figure 1).

Materials and Methods

This narrative review has been divided into four sections: 1) multifactorial aspects generating CRCI, 2) the role of physical inactivity, 3) discussion, and 4) conclusion. Information from this narrative review was obtained by searching the PubMed, Livivo and Cochrane Library databases from October 2003 to June 2016. A first search focuses on the influence of physical inactivity on development of cognitive impairments in cancer patients. Therefore, a combination of following terms was used: on the one hand “cancer-related cognitive decline”, “cancer-related cognitive dysfunctions”, “cancer-related cognitive impairments”, “chemobrain”, “chemofock”, “post-chemotherapy cognitive impairment”, “cancer brain”, “cancer associated cognitive impairment”, “cancer-therapy-associated cognitive impairment” and on the other hand “bed rest”, “immobilization”, “lack of exercise”, “physical inactivity”. There were no publications referring to this aspect exclusively. As such, a second search was conducted focusing on influence of physical inactivity on cognitive performance in general. Therefore, a combination of the following terms was used: “physical inactivity”, “bed rest”, “immobilization”, “lack of exercise” and on the...
other hand “cognition” “cognitive decline”, “memory”, “attention”, “learning”, “executive functions”. As a result, six relevant articles were found in total. For background information concerning development or treatment of CRCI we also used information from Google Scholar database. Finally, data from world cancer report 2014 and American Association for Cancer Research were included in this review [1].

Multifactorial Aspects Generating CRCI

In past years, CRCI was placed at the center of numerous clinical trials (e.g. by Hermelink et al. [18], Lepage et al. [19], Nudelman et al. [20], Wefel et al. [7] and Whitney et al. [8]), particularly in patients with breast cancer, and also in some rodent-based research (e.g. by Briones et al. [4], Han et al. [21] and Seigers et al. [22-24]).

Chemotherapeutics

Several studies have shown that chemotherapeutics negatively impacts on a variety of ways the central nervous system functions. For example, cytostatic agents such as cyclophosphamide, 5-flouracil (5-FU), doxorubicin and methotrexate (MTX) have shown to decrease neurogenesis and/or cell proliferation in the hippocampus. These changes might be associated with impaired memory performance and difficulties in learning [4,5,22-27]. In rodent research, it is also reported that cytostatic agents (e.g. carmustine, cytarabine, cisplatin, 5-FU, MTX) affect the oligodendrocytes which may lead to damage of the white matter [21,24,26-28] hence potentially reducing speed of information processing [27]. Furthermore, cytostatic therapy induces reduction of the gray matter in certain brain areas, such as the cerebellum and the prefrontal cortex. Loss of gray matter density seems to be associated with impairment in executive functions, verbal memory and working memory [9,19,29].

Several anti-tumor therapies also appear to lead to alterations in cerebral blood flow. For example, some medical treatments induce cerebral hyperperfusion accompanied by impairment in neuropsychological test performance. In contrary, a decrease of gray matter density in those brain areas is associated with cerebral hypoperfusion [20].

Hormone therapy

CRCI may even occur after hormone therapy (e.g. tamoxifen, aromatase inhibitors, androgen deprivation therapy) [3,9,10].
Consequently, androgen deprivation therapy seems to be associated with a reduced response time considering to cognitive function [3]. Tamoxifen is an estrogen receptor antagonist and its use is accompanied by impaired cognitive capabilities [3]. Aromatase inhibitors intervene in the estrogen metabolism by blocking the conversion of androgens to estrogens resulting in reduced levels of estrogen and leading to cognitive impairments [3].

**Radiation therapy**

Research undertaken with animal models has suggested that cranial radiation decreases neurogenesis in the hippocampus which leads to impaired hippocampal memory functions [30]. It has been suggested that irradiation doses of a least 5 Gray (Gy) are sufficient to induce these changes [30]. Furthermore, it is assumed that radiation-induced neuroinflammation is associated with hippocampal dysfunction [30]. Among other factors oxidative stress and proinflammatory cytokines such as tumor necrosis factor α (TNF-α) and interleukin-1β (IL-1β) are involved in these inflammatory processes leading to neuronal impairments (e.g. through affecting mitochondrial functions). As a result, survival and differentiation of neural progenitor cells can be negatively affected. Finally, decrease of hippocampal neurogenesis is also induced [30].

Brain- derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) is an important modulator in the context of the proliferation, differentiation and survival of cerebral neurons. Moreover, it seems to take a significant role in hippocampus dependent learning and memory processes. Cerebral irradiation leads to a decrease of the BDNF levels in the serum associated with disruption of these processes [30]. Furthermore, data from animal research suggests an association between low levels of BDNF and the emergence of depressive symptoms [30].

**Molecular underspinning mechanisms**

On a molecular level some inflammatory cytokines such as IL-1, IL-6 and TNF-α seem to take part on the genesis of CRCI [2]. It is well documented that an increased BMI which can be driven by a sedentary lifestyle contributes to elevated PR inflammatory cytokine levels. A prospective cohort study with breast cancer patients staged I-III has shown impairment of speed performance in patients with increased serum levels of IL-1β and poorer verbal memory in patients with increased levels of TNF-α. An increased level of IL-6 seems to favor a rise of self- perceived cognitive impairment. In contrast, higher serum levels of IL-4 were associated with improvement in speed performance [31]. A positive relationship has been reported between higher serum levels of IL-6 and an increase of cancer- related fatigue [32]. Increased levels of several inflammatory cytokines appear to be a consequence of both cancer itself and anticancer treatment [3,31].

Furthermore, it is suspected that the tumor itself may negatively affect cognitive function in different ways [10,27]. Among other aspects, it is suggested that presence of cancer is accompanied by reduced levels of BDNF. There might also be an association with damaged DNA and damaged repair mechanisms of DNA [27,33].

As mentioned above, studies investigating the relationship between physical inactivity and CRCI are sparse. However, exercise intervention studies in both, humans and animals suggest that physical activity induces an anti-inflammatory cytokine profile, e.g. by increasing the number of IL-10 releasing regulatory T-cells and by reducing fat mass [34,35]. Additionally, physical activity induces a number of neuroprotective and neurotrophic factors such as BDNF, VEGF, IGF-1 and 5-HT [36]. Interestingly, such increase impact both peripheral and central concentrations of the named factors [37].

**Patient-associated factors**

It is also proposed that there are patient-associated factors like genetic predisposition or changes in hormonal status (e.g. decreased levels of neuroprotective estrogen) which favor the development of CRCI [3,29]. Among several aspects, risk for developing CRCI seems to be associated with genotype of catechol o- methyltransferase (COMT) thereby where the gene coding for this enzyme is located on chromosome 22q11. At codon 158 either valine and / or methionine are incorporated. Depending on the installed amino acid, COMT is modified in its function by the breakdown of dopamine in the prefrontal cortex. If valine is located on this place degradation takes 3-4x. As a result, CRCI appears to be more pronounced in valine carriers than in methionine carriers [10,38,39]. Moreover, cancer patients with the allele e4 of the apolipoproteine E (ApoEe4) have an increased risk in developing CRCI. For example, Kolec et al. have shown in a prospective, longitudinal study that cancer patients with ApoEe4 have worse results in verbal learning and memory than controls [39]. ApoEe4 is also often present in patients suffering from Alzheimer’s disease [3,29].

**Psychological factors**

CRCI can be divided into self- perceived and objective-measured cognitive impairments. They may not necessarily have to appear together in cancer patients suffering from cognitive changes [18]. Subjectively perceived cognitive impairments correlates with fatigue, extent of depression and level of negative attitude of the patient [3,18]. Interestingly, in some patients CRCI is present before the initiation of anticancer treatment [40,4]. Wefel et al. suggested an association between level of patient’s distress and this phenomenon [40]. Data on the prospective “Cognicare study” by Hermelink et al. also indicated cancer-related posttraumatic stress as an important causal factor for pretreatment cognitive decline [41].

**Lifestyle Factors**

Severity of CRCI is likely to also be dependent on lifestyle factors. Hartmann et al. described decreases of cognitive performance to be associated with sleep deprivation in women with breast cancer. Moreover, higher levels of physical activity appear to prevent CRCI [42].

**Physical inactivity and CRCI**

Several clinical trials with cancer patients have shown that physical activity decreases after diagnosis [14,16,43,44]. For instance, physical inactivity is associated with a decrease of hippocampal neurogenesis and loss of hippocampal volume, and this may result in cognitive impairment [45]. This leads to memory impairment and problems in decision-making. Inactivity is also associated with a decreased neurogenesis in the cerebellum, inducing problems in motor programs, and in the olfactory bulb [45]. Alisco et al. described a loss of gray matter volume and cortical thickness in patients with poor physical fitness in patients with heart failure. Importantly, the authors have suggested that such changes were not caused by cardiac disease or any other medical conditions [46]. In a longitudinal trial with 65 heart failure patients, Alisco et al. concluded that physical inactivity is closely associated with cerebral hypoperfusion. Reduced blood flow seems to have a negative effect especially on executive functions and attention [47]. Lipnicki et al. has also found a negative effect on executive functions and a delayed reaction time by 60 days of head-down bed rest [48]. Similarly, Liu et al. provided similar conclusions in their head-down bed rest- experiments [49]. However, results from bed rest studies concerning the influence on cognitive performance are inconsistent with some studies reporting an
improvement in cognitive performance, others reporting no significant effect and some reporting a detrimental effect [50]. These findings were independent of bed rest position and have been found in different types of cognition tests [50].

As described above, similarities between developing CRCI and the impact of physical inactivity on cognitive performance in general exist. Both lack of exercise and cancer treatment lead to alterations in cerebral blood flow associated with impairment in attention and executive function [20,47]. Further, they both induce partly comparable changes in brain structures like loss of gray matter in some brain regions [9,19,29,46]. Moreover, both lack of exercise and several anticancer treatments (chemotherapeutic agents, radiation) lead to a decreased hippocampal neurogenesis and hippocampal volume [29,46]. These changes are associated with memory impairment and problems in decision making [4,5,22-25,27,45].

Exacerbation of fatigue, depression and anxiety favor the development of self-reported cognitive impairments in cancer patients [3,6,18]. Lack of exercise is also associated with an increased risk for fatigue and depression [51], which may consequently reduce the ability to exercise [52]. Further, data from clinical trials concerning the effect of physical activity on CRCI shows an improvement of self-reported cognitive impairment [53,54]. There might also be a positive effect on verbal memory, attention and function of frontal brain [55,56]. Moreover, levels of IL-1β, IL-6 and TNF-α are lower in patients engaging in regular exercise [54,57].

Discussion

The development of CRCI is a multifactorial process. In addition to anticancer treatment numerous other factors such as depression, anxiety, patient-associated factors, molecular factors, tumor itself and cancer-related fatigue takes part in this process [3,10,27]. As a result of the above mentioned communaliies between CRCI’s genesis and influence of physical inactivity on cognitive performance in general, we also suggest that physical inactivity is another important causative factor of CRCI. However, data concerning influence of physical inactivity on cognitive performance are limited and are primarily from studies in patients with heart failure or from bed rest studies in space medicine [46-50].

Despite numerous clinical trials and some rodent research in the last years, the phenomenon of CRCI is not yet fully understood. Current data suggests that especially anthracycline- based chemotherapeutic-regimes have a negative effect on verbal memory performance and left prefrontal connectivity [58]. Furthermore, the exact influence of hormone therapies is still unknown and therefore their exact role remains to be determined [3]. In addition, knowledge about the side effects of antibody therapy on cognitive impairment is very weak [59]. Moreover, currently data on the influence of psychological factors on development of CRCI differs among studies [3,7,18].

Due to the large number of potential causative factors different treatment strategies exists to address CRCI. Time from active treatment seems to be one of the most important factors in the regression of CRCI as most patients’ cognitive performance improves over the time [60]. Pharmacological treatment options are available but unfortunately are not well supported by clinical data [3,61]. Psychological intervention can also take place in treatment of mood disorders and cancer-related fatigue [62,63]. In addition, other interventions such as skill-focused cognitive training seem to improve executive functions, memory functions, and speed of information processing [64]. Physical exercise intervention studies have also shown good efficacy in the management of CRCI [11,54-57,64,65]. Amongst several positive effects, improvement of verbal memory, attention and prefrontal lobe functions have been reported [55,56]. Physical exercise seems to also decrease level of proinflammatory cytokines like IL-6, IL-1β and TNF-α as well as levels of inflammation in general in humans [52,57]. Moreover, physical exercise leads to improvement in self-perceived cognitive impairment and quality of life [53,54,57]. Although drawing similar conclusions, results from these studies must be critically interpreted due to methodological limitations and small sample sizes. Further randomized controlled studies with larger sample sizes are required to confirm and expand current preliminary findings.

Conclusion

The development of CRCI is a multifactorial process. In this narrative review, we provided the multifactorial genesis of CRCI and particularly the role of physical inactivity in this context. Existing data on changes of physical activity levels in cancer patients and its associated effects on CRCI remains limited. Future trials are encouraged to focus on these aspects to confirm and expand current findings. Importantly, the influence of physical inactivity on development of CRCI needs to be determined via randomized-controlled trials.
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