Maternal obesity as a risk factor for developing diabetes in offspring: An epigenetic point of view
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Abstract
According to the developmental origin of health and disease concept, the risk of many age-related diseases is not only determined by genetic and adult lifestyle factors but also by factors acting during early development. In particular, maternal obesity and neonatal accelerated growth predispose offspring to overweight and type 2 diabetes (T2D) in adulthood. This concept mainly relies on the developmental plasticity of adipose tissue and pancreatic β-cell programming in response to suboptimal milieu during the perinatal period. These changes result in unhealthy hypertrophic adipocytes with decreased capacity to store fat, low-grade inflammation and loss of insulin-producing pancreatic β-cells. Over the past years, many efforts have been made to understand how maternal obesity induces long-lasting adipose tissue and pancreatic β-cell dysfunction in offspring and what are the molecular basis of the transgenerational inheritance of T2D. In particular, rodent studies have shed light on the role of epigenetic mechanisms in linking maternal nutritional manipulations to the risk for T2D in adulthood. In this review, we discuss epigenetic adipocyte and β-cell remodeling during development in the progeny of obese mothers and the persistence of these marks as a basis of obesity and T2D predisposition.
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Core Tip: According to the developmental origin of health and disease concept, maternal obesity and neonatal accelerated growth predispose offspring to metabolic diseases. White adipose tissue and pancreatic β-cells are key targets of developmental programming, although the underlying mechanisms remain elusive. Human and rodent studies have contributed to decipher the role of epigenetic mechanisms in the transgenerational inheritance of obesity and type 2 diabetes (T2D). In this review, we discuss the current understanding of the link between obesogenic maternal nutritional environment, developmental epigenetic adipocyte and β-cell remodeling and predisposition to obesity and T2D later in life.

INTRODUCTION

Obesity and related metabolic diseases have doubled since 1980 and reached epidemic proportions over the past decades[1]. Obesity and expansion and dysfunction of white adipose tissue (WAT) are major drivers of type 2 diabetes (T2D), through induction of insulin resistance[2]. Insulin resistance is observed locally in hypertrophic adipocytes long before glucose intolerance develops[3]. The inability of further WAT expansion accelerates fat spillover, leading to ectopic fat deposition in skeletal muscle and liver and insulin resistance in those tissues[4-6]. Chronic inflammation in WAT is also considered a crucial causal factor for the development of insulin resistance and T2D in obese individuals[7]. Indeed, obesity-induced WAT remodeling provides intrinsic signals capable of initiating a local inflammatory response that may spread into the circulation, resulting in systemic insulin resistance and T2D[8]. An obesogenic environment and lipotoxic conditions also result in dysfunction and loss of insulin-producing pancreatic β-cells due to dedifferentiation, transdifferentiation, or death. These phenotypic alterations ultimately hamper insulin secretion[9].

Although genetics account for the variation in body weight and T2D, the dramatic rise in their incidence cannot be solely explained by genetic predisposition. Hence, environmental factors such as overnutrition, sedentary lifestyle, xenobiotics, and chemical exposure appear to be major contributors to the rapidly increasing prevalence[10]. In particular, studies in both humans and animal models suggest that excess nutrient supply in the fetal or neonatal period result in long-term programming of body weight set-point and predispose individuals to obesity and T2D[11-13]. Thus, the developmental origin of obesity and T2D perpetuate the vicious cycle of metabolic diseases across generations[14]. Among different mechanisms of transmission, epigenetics has emerged as a very important determinant[15]. In this review, we will present data on how maternal obesity programs T2D risk via epigenetic mechanisms by focusing on changes in WAT and β-cell physiology.

HERITABILITY OF OBESITY AND T2D: THE DEVELOPMENTAL ORIGIN OF HEALTH AND DISEASE CONCEPT

The developmental origin of health and disease concept (DOHaD) proposes a link between environmental challenges during early stages of growth and predisposition to metabolic disorders later in life. In particular, this concept states that suboptimal nutritional environment (i.e., under or overnutrition) during the perinatal period can program or imprint the development of key tissues that play a central role in regulating energy homeostasis. Later in life, it might permanently determine physiological responses and ultimately produce energy balance dysfunction and metabolic diseases, such as obesity and T2D[16].

Originally called the Barker hypothesis or fetal programming, this concept arises...
from epidemiological studies. Indeed, David Barker was the first to report that intrauterine growth retardation (IUGR) and low birth weight were associated with increased risk of metabolic syndrome-related diseases during adulthood[14]. As illustrated by the Dutch famine of 1944-45, offspring of mothers exposed to the famine presented with low birth weight associated with an increase in the incidence of dyslipidemia, obesity, and T2D later in life[15]. More recently, adults born during the Chinese famine of 1959-61 were also predisposed to overweight and T2D, constituting a major contributor to China’s current T2D epidemic[16]. David Barker proposed the notion of a “thrifty phenotype,” which placed an emphasis on development, arguing that nutritionally inadequate conditions in pregnancy not only affected fetal growth but also induced permanent changes in insulin secretory capacity and in glucose metabolism[17]. In humans with low birth weights, postnatal hypercaloric nutrition, and more specifically rapid catch-up growth, are also important accelerators in the etiology of adult-onset diseases[18]. As stated by the predictive adaptive response concept, the degree of mismatch between the pre-and postnatal environments is the key paradigm in developmental metabolic programming[19].

This concept has evolved from undernutrition to overnutrition. As shown in Figure 1, epidemiological and clinical studies have reported that individuals exposed to maternal overnutrition and/or obesity during pregnancy and lactation are also predisposed to increased risk of metabolic syndrome-related diseases later in life[20]. Subsequent meta-analyses have highlighted birth weight as a predictor of obesity and T2D. A U-shaped curve was proposed to explain the relationship between birth weight (a marker of fetal nutritional exposure) and the propensity to develop obesity in adulthood. Hence, it is currently well accepted that individuals born small or large (i.e., low or high body fat percentage) have similar increased risks of obesity and related diseases later in life[21]. Over the past decades, animal studies have confirmed that maternal obesity during gestation and lactation, gestational diabetes, and accelerated growth of neonates predispose offspring to obesity and T2D[20,21].

Two main questions arise about the DOHaD concept. First, what is the basis of the persistent cellular memory of a developmental event, even when the initial stimulus has disappeared and despite continuous cellular turnover? Second, how do opposite maternal nutritional manipulations (under- vs. overnutrition) may result in similar outcomes in adult offspring. Little is known about the cellular and molecular mechanisms underlying the phenomenon known as developmental programming. Among them, epigenetic modifications are likely to play a key role in the heritability of obesity and T2D[22,23].

**EPIGENETIC MECHANISMS AS A BASIS OF THE DOHaD CONCEPT**

Epigenetics can be defined as somatically heritable states of gene expression resulting from changes in chromatin structure without alterations in the DNA sequence[24]. Epigenetic modifications are transmitted from one cell generation to the next (mitotic inheritance) and can also be transmitted across generations (meiotic inheritance)[25].

These processes include DNA (hydroxy) methylation, histone post-translational modifications (PTMs) such as acetylation, phosphorylation, ubiquitination, and sumoylation, and noncoding RNA that regulates gene expression at both transcriptional and post-transcriptional levels[26]. DNA methylation, which results from the transfer of a methyl group, by DNA methyltransferase (DNMT), takes place at cytosines, mainly in the CpG islands, to form 5-methylcytosine (5mC). It serves to establish long-term gene silencing[27]. 5-hydroxymethylcytosine (5hmC) is another important cytosine modification catalyzed by the enzymes of the ten-eleven translocation methylcytosine dioxygenase (TET) family[28]. It serves as an intermediate for demethylation of 5hmC and is enriched in active transcriptional regulatory regions. Based on the histone code hypothesis, PTMs play crucial roles in controlling gene expression by adapting the local chromatin architecture and accessibility, allowing the recruitment of partners that modulate the transcriptional machinery. For example, acetylation of histone H3 lysine residues (H3Kac) and methylation of H3K4 (H3K4me1/3) are associated with active transcription while methylation of H3K9 (H3K9me3) generally indicates silenced chromatin. These histone PTMs are catalyzed by various enzymes including histone acetyltransferase (HAT), histone deacetylase (HDAC), histone methyltransferases (MTs)/demethylases[29]. Thus, the term epigenome refers to the combination of all chromatin modifications (i.e., DNA methylation and PTMs) of a given cell type in an individual.

Histone-modifying enzyme activity is sensitive to cellular energy status and
hormonal response. In particular, it is highly dependent on intermediary metabolites that act as enzyme cofactors. For example, HATs use acetyl-coenzyme A (CoA), histone MTs use S-adenosyl methionine (SAM), HDACs can use nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide, and histone demethylases can use flavin adenine dinucleotide or symbol-ketoglutarate as coenzymes. Interestingly, sirtuin 1 is a nutrient-sensing HDAC and is associated with the risk of metabolic syndrome including T2D. Hence, modifications of nutritional and hormonal milieu in offspring from obese dams may affect the developmental program of adipocyte and β-cells by impairing chromatin remodeling activities and DNA methylation. Several studies have also reported the role of noncoding RNAs in WAT and pancreas development as well as in adipocyte and β-cell differentiation and function.

However, here we emphasize DNA methylation and chromatin modifications in progenitor cells, whose inappropriate editing during gestation and lactation may serve as a deleterious memory of exposure to a maternal obesogenic environment. The persistence of these marks throughout life and across generations may program permanent changes in gene expression and may account for inheritance of metabolic diseases.

**DEVELOPMENTAL ORIGIN OF ADIPOSITY AND T2D**

Based on the DOHaD concept, there are two main reasons why adipocyte and β-cells are key targets of perinatal programming. First, numerous studies have shown that manipulation of epigenetic machinery can alter cell fate and identity as well as cell-type-specific gene expression during both adipogenesis and β-cell neogenesis (i.e., adipocyte and β-cell formation, respectively). Epigenetic mechanisms are also known to play a crucial role in the control of β-cell identity and plasticity (i.e., dedifferentiation and transdifferentiation) in adulthood. Second, adipogenesis and β-cell neogenesis occur primarily during the second part of gestation in rodents, accelerate during early postnatal life, and remain active after weaning. The deleterious effects of maternal obesity operate during periods of development in which precursor cells are plastic (i.e., possess the flexibility to adapt to the changing microenvironment) and where epigenetic remodeling is particularly dynamic and sensitive to the nutritional and hormonal milieu. Hence, disturbance of the developmental program might result in severe dysfunctions in fetal pancreatic β-cells and adipose tissue and a profound perturbation of systemic glucose homeostasis in adulthood.
Adipose tissue development

WAT exists in multiple locations in the body and has two major subtypes, visceral and subcutaneous
develops during late gestation and lactation whereas WAT formation is mainly initiated after birth. The development of adipocytes
remain elusive. Cell lineage tracing, adipocyte precursor fate studies and subsequent molecular analysis demonstrated a heterogeneity of the adipose lineage between fat depots, but also within individual adipose depots, in terms of cell origin, spatio-temporal adipogenic potential, gene expression profile, growth rate, and biological properties. Hence, the ability of adipose precursors to differentiate during adipogenesis is dependent on the anatomical location and the local microenvironment, leading to the concept of depot-specific adipogenesis.

Adipocytes are derived from multipotent mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs), which are first committed to the adipogenic lineage and then transformed into preadipocytes. This phase is then followed by terminal differentiation during which preadipocytes become mature adipocytes that develop the ability to store lipids in a large monolocular lipid droplet and display endocrine properties. The process of adipocyte differentiation involves three defined steps. The first step is the commitment of MSCs to the adipocyte lineage. The second step is mitotic clonal expansion involving DNA replication and duplication of cells. The third step is terminal differentiation, which involves transcriptional factors such as CCAAT-enhancer-binding proteins (C/EBP), β and δ, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ (PPARγ), and significant expression of adipocyte-specific genes such as adiponectin and leptin.

Our understanding of adipogenesis comes mainly from studies using preadipocyte cells such as 3T3-L1. Adipogenesis involves a dynamic reorganization of the chromatin landscape at specific developmental stages that is associated with the recruitment of multiple transcription factors controlling the expression of adipocyte-specific genes. This process is regulated through the remodeling of cell-specific histone marks and DNA (hydroxy)methylation. Thus, in undifferentiated adipocytes, the master adipogenic transcription factors, zinc finger protein 423 (ZFP423), C/EBPβ, and PPARγ, are in a poised state owing to the bivalent presence of the active H3K4me3 and repressive H3K9me3 marks in their promoters. ZFP423 was identified as crucial for adipogenesis by promoting PPARγ expression. During the early phase of adipocyte differentiation, the reorganization of the chromatin structure and chromatin opening along with the recruitment of several early transcriptional factors, such as C/EBPβ/δ, coincide with the removal of repressive histone marks (i.e., H3K9me3) and the enrichment of active chromatin marks, including H3K27ac, and H3K4me3, as well as DNA hydroxymethylation, in their promoters. During differentiation, chromatin remodeling primes genomic regions to allow the expression of CEBPα and PPARγ, their specific binding on the chromatin as well as their target genes. For instance, H3K4 MT mixed-lineage leukemia (MLL) 3 and MLL4 are recruited by C/EBPβ to activate the enhancers of C/EBPα and PPARγ and induce C/EBPα and PPARγ expression during adipogenesis. Once induced, C/EBPα and PPARγ recruit MLL3/MLL4 to further activate enhancers of downstream target genes.

Beta cell development

The pancreas is derived from the ventral and dorsal endoderm, which stepwise differentiate into exocrine and endocrine lineages. Most of our current understanding of neogenesis is derived from rodent studies. In the developing pancreas, cell fate commitment towards specific endocrine subtypes is controlled by a complex orchestrated expression of specific transcription factors. In rodents, pancreas development undergoes two transitional periods, a first wave transition (from embryonic days E9.5-E12.5) during which the endocrine cells that are formed are primarily β-cells or multi-hormonal cells and a second wave transition (from E12.5 to birth), which is the main period of endocrine cell formation including β-cells.

Pancreatic endocrine cells arise from multipotent endocrine progenitor-precursors (EPs) that express PDX1. The master regulator of EP formation and differentiation is Ngn3, which is indispensable for endocrine cell formation. Higher expression levels of Arx and Pax4 favor formation of - and β/δ-cells, respectively. Differentiation toward β-cell fate depends on the expression of several other transcription factors such as...
FOXA2, NKX6-1, NEUROD1, NKX2-2 and MAFA which are important for the establishment and maintenance of β-cell identity. In rodents, embryonic β-cells appear during the perinatal period, are immature, highly proliferative, and plastic but respond poorly to glucose stimulation. After birth, β-cells follow a biphasic pattern of maturation. The first 2 wk of life define a first maturation wave characterized by active proliferation that results in an increase in the β-cell mass, a characteristic that is progressively lost. The second wave of maturation coincides with the third week of life and the weaning period. During that time, β-cells differentially regulate metabolic pathways and acquire physiological functions, such as glucose-stimulated insulin secretion in response to extracellular glucose. In rodents, the postnatal maturation of β-cells is driven by weaning (dietary change from high-fat milk to high-carbohydrate chow), and studies have suggested that microRNAs (miRNAs) have a central role in regulating postnatal β-cell maturation.

Several studies support the notion that the development and heterogeneity of EPs are regulated at the chromatin level. Our understanding of epigenetic mechanisms involved in β-cell formation and maintenance of identity comes from in vitro differentiation protocols of pluripotent stem cells and studies using inhibitors of epigenetic enzymes or employing mice deficient for different classes of epigenetic modifiers. First, the use of HDAC inhibitors on embryonic pancreas explants resulted in increased numbers of endocrine progenitors and β-cells whereas embryonic pancreatic overexpression of HDAC reduced the β-cell mass. Generation of HDAC5- or -9-deficient mice increased the insulin-secreting β-cell mass. Second, deletion of Jmjd3, a histone demethylase for the repressive H3K27me3 mark, at the pancreatic progenitor stage, impaired the efficiency of endocrine cell fate transition and subsequent islet formation in mice. Third, numerous genes critical for β-cell function that are bivalently marked in -cells by both active H3K4me3 and repressive H3K27me3 histone modifications are monovalently marked by active H3K4me3 in β-cells. This bivalency suggests a plastic epigenetic state for key β-cell genes in α-cells indicating a paused state with potential for activation. To maintain β-cell identity, genes important for -cell function have to be actively repressed by the DNA methyltransferases DNMT1 and DNMT3a in β-cells, suggesting that repression of the cell program (i.e., methylation of the ARX promoter) is necessary for β cell identity to be maintained. Recent studies have also shown that inhibiting DNA methylation in pancreatic progenitor cells promotes α-cell production. In addition, the hypermethylation of CpG islands can reduce the expression of Hnf4α (hepatocyte nuclear factor 4) gene and affect the differentiation of β-cells. Four, the β-cell-specific deletion of embryonic ectoderm development, a component of the polycomb repressive complex 2 (PRC2) in mice results in β-cell dysfunction, dedifferentiation, and diabetes development associated with chromatin-state-associated transcriptional dysregulation. Of note, PRC2 methylates the histone lysine residue H3K27, especially H3K27me3 that acts to silence gene expression. βEcd KO cells exhibited reduced levels of the mature β-cell markers Pdx1, MafA, Nkx2-2, and Nkx6-1, and thus loss of β-cell identity. At the same time, they upregulated immature β-cell and progenitor-specific genes. The finding of the role of loss of PRC2 activity in β-cell plasticity suggests that maintaining proper and specific histone marks and chromatin state at precise loci is crucial to maintain normal β-cell development and functionality.

Programming mechanisms of obesity and T2D

Adipose tissue programming

Clinical observations among human and animal studies have determined two major determinants for metabolic health and insulin sensitivity. On one hand, the ability of sWAT to store excess fat (i.e., storage capacity) rather than allowing it to accumulate in ectopic depots such as liver, muscle and vWAT, is of prime importance. On the other hand, the ability to recruit and differentiate new adipocytes (i.e., activation of adipogenesis resulting in increased storage capacity) in sWAT also reduces risk of metabolic diseases including T2D in overweight individuals. In obesity, WAT expands either by hyperplasia (increase in adipocyte number) or hypertrophy (increase in adipocyte size), where the latter is associated with insulin resistance and inflammation.

Numerous studies have shown that maternal obesity modifies the expansion capacity of WAT in offspring throughout life. In particular, the activity of key adipogenic transcription factors was impacted by maternal obesity during development, leading to impaired expandability of WAT in offspring. One of the
most well-studied mechanisms is the modulation of Zfp423 gene expression and activity in rodents. Zfp423 expression defines committed preadipocytes, and its expression persists throughout adipocyte differentiation\cite{92,93}. Inactivation of Zfp423 during WAT development results in arrested differentiation, specifically of sWAT\cite{94}. As a key developmental gene, Zfp423 promoter has a bivalent region with enrichment of both H3K27me3 and H3K4me3 histone marks\cite{95}. Offspring of obese mice were overweight, with an increased fat mass that was correlated with persistently elevated Zfp423 activity in WAT\cite{96}. Developmental epigenomic remodeling of these marks in the Zfp423 promoter accounts for persistent higher Zfp423 expression later in life.

During the second part of gestation (E14.5) in which adipogenic activity was elevated, the H3K27me3 histone mark and DNA methylation were lower in the Zfp423 promoter, whereas the H3K4me3 histone mark was higher in the fetuses of obese dams\cite{97}. At weaning, WAT neonates still showed elevated Zfp423 activity and exacerbated adipogenesis resulting in increased numbers of adipocytes and adiposity\cite{98,99}. Overweight adult mice showed persistent increased gene expression with DNA hypomethylation in the Zfp423 promoter despite impaired hyperplasia when fed a high-fat (HF) diet\cite{100}.

A possible interpretation is that individuals from obese mothers with dysfunctional WAT (i.e., hypertrophic adipocytes), inflammation, and insulin resistance displayed a failure of WAT plasticity and inappropriate expansion of the adipose progenitors in sWAT. This might be due to maternal obesogenic environment and premature exhaustion of the stock of resident adipocyte progenitors during development that favors hypertrophy vs hyperplasia to store excess energy later in life\cite{101}. In line with these findings, human MSC from the umbilical cords of infants born to obese mothers exhibit a greater potential for adipogenesis\cite{102}. Godfrey et al\cite{103}, also reported a strong association between methylation of the retinoid-X-receptor α (RXRα) promoter and the reduction of both H3K27me3 and H3K4me3 histone marks in the promoters of several genes involved in inflammation across generations.

Another well-studied epigenetic mechanism is the modulation of Ppary expression and activity in offspring of obese dams. The regulation of Ppary expression in WAT via DNA methylation and histone modification at its promoter region is well illustrated\cite{104-106}. Decreased Ppary expression in the WAT of adult rat offspring is generally associated with tissue dysfunction\cite{107}. Several studies suggest that the reduction in Ppary expression may be due to epigenomic remodeling occurring during WAT development. In weanling rats from obese dams, reduced Ppary mRNA levels were observed together with DNA hypermethylation and decreased enrichment of H3ac and H3K4me3 active marks in the Ppary promoter region. In adulthood, DNA hypermethylation of the Ppary promoter and the reduction of Ppary mRNA expression levels were still observable\cite{108}. It is tempting to speculate that the decreased expression of the master regulator of adipogenesis and lipid storage is an adaptive mechanism to avoid further deleterious adipocyte hypertrophy\cite{109}. Interestingly, adult offspring from dams fed an HF diet only during lactation were predisposed to obesity, with increased expression of stearoyl-CoA desaturase 1 (SCD1), a key enzyme of lipid storage. Higher Scd1 gene expression was associated with reduced DNA methylation in the Scd1 promoter surrounding a Ppary-binding region\cite{110}.

Maternal obesity also predisposes to the development of a chronic low-grade proinflammatory state associated with insulin resistance in WAT offspring\cite{111,112,113}. Several studies showed that elevated proinflammatory adipokytone production such as leptin, WAT inflammation, and macrophage infiltration can be transmitted across generations via epigenetic mechanisms. For instance, obesity-prone offspring from obese rats displayed elevated leptin gene expression, hyperleptinemia, and adipocyte hypertrophy in WAT\cite{114,115}. During lactation, increased leptin gene expression arises from higher DNA hydroxymethylation and active histone H3K4me1/H3K27ac marks in an enhancer region\cite{116}. These histone marks persisted in the WAT of adult offspring in hypertrophic adipocytes\cite{117}. Maternal obesity in mice also results in persistent hypermethylation of the H4K20 histone mark in the promoter region in offspring that persists across generations\cite{117,118-120}. Interestingly, multigenerational HF diet feeding in female mice resulted in gradually increased WAT weight, proinflammatory markers, and immune cell infiltration associated with a gradual decrease in DNA methylation of inflammation-associated genes (i.e., Toll-like receptors) in WAT across generations (up to F2)\cite{121,122}. However, the effects of a maternal HF diet vs maternal obesity on offspring WAT inflammation and glucose homeostasis remain to be determined\cite{123,124}.

It is interesting to note that programmed changes in miRNAs may also account for both adipose tissue expandability and insulin resistance in offspring from...
malnourished dams. On the one hand, an increase in miR-483 and parallel reduction in growth differentiation factor 3 have been reported in WAT from the offspring of dams fed a low protein (LP) diet\[^{34}\]. That may lead to a reduction in the expandability of WAT, and therefore, increased ectopic fat deposition. Similar observations were also described in WAT from individuals with low birthweights, showing conservation of this programmed mechanism. On the other hand, maternal obesity resulted in higher miR-126 levels in WAT of mice offspring which led to reduced expression of key insulin signaling proteins, including insulin receptor substrate-1\[^{102,106}\].

### β-cell programming

The pancreas is an organ that is particularly sensitive to nutritional imbalance during intrauterine organogenesis\[^{106}\]. Rodent models have been mainly used to investigate the effects of maternal obesity on islet development and function and to decipher underlying programming mechanisms. In rodent models, maternal obesity results in decreased β-cell mass and insulin secretion at birth\[^{107}\]. Islets from offspring born to obese or HF diet-fed mothers have decreased pancreatic insulin content, Pdx1 expression in adult islets\[^{108,109}\] with remodeling of the architecture of the islets, characterized by an increase of α-cells in the centers of pancreatic islets\[^{110,111}\]. Interestingly, pancreatic β-cell dysfunctions occur in offspring from obese dams in a sex-dependent manner. Very few data are available regarding the effects of maternal obesity on the β-cell programming in offspring in terms of epigenetics. However, consistent with the DOHaD concept, several studies highly suggest that maternal nutritional manipulations have a transgenerational influence on β-cell development and function through long-lasting effects on the offspring epigenome, predisposing to T2D later in life.

The first evidence comes from a rat model of IUGR caused by bilateral uterine artery ligation leading to a lower body weight at birth\[^{112}\]. This deficient intrauterine environment affects fetal development through progressive and permanent dysregulation of gene expression and function of β-cells resulting in the development of T2D. For instance, adult IUGR rats exhibited a persistent reduction of Pdx1 expression levels in β-cells associated with chromatin remodeling throughout development. In the fetus, prior to the onset of T2D, deacetylation of histones H3 and H4 and recruitment of H3K9me3 in the promoters Pdx1 were associated with decreased mRNA expression levels. Loss of acetylation was accompanied by loss of binding of the key transcription factor Usf-1. In neonates, the active histone H3K4me3 mark was lower, and the repressive histone H3K9me2 mark was higher, at the hypomethylated Pdx1 promoter of IUGR islets. Once T2D occurs in adulthood, the promoter was hypermethylated, resulting in permanent silencing of the Pdx1 gene\[^{113}\]. To achieve a more complete picture of DNA methylation changes, Thompson et al\[^{112}\] have generated a whole DNA methylation map of the rat genome in IUGR pancreatic islet cells. They showed that IUGR changes cytosine methylation at approximately 1400 loci in IUGR rats before the onset of diabetes. Interestingly, epigenetic dysregulation occurred preferentially at intergenic sequences close to genes regulating cellular processes that were impaired in IUGR islets, including β-cell proliferation, insulin secretion, and apoptosis. The modifications of the DNA methylation were associated with changes in mRNA expression levels\[^{112}\]. Consistent with this notion, early postnatal overnutrition (newborns suckled in a small litter) accelerates aging-associated epigenetic DNA hypermethylation in dysfunctional pancreatic islets of weaned and adult offspring\[^{114}\].

Data obtained from a rat model of maternal protein restriction (LP) during pregnancy and lactation that resulted in IUGR reinforce this hypothesis. Maternal LP altered the pancreatic structure, islet areas and quantities and resulted in abnormal morphological changes during pancreatic development\[^{115}\]. LP offspring had normal glucose tolerance in young adult life but suffered from an age-dependent loss of glucose tolerance and developed T2D in adulthood\[^{116}\]. The authors showed that the Hnf4a gene encoding a transcription factor required for β-cell differentiation, and which has been implicated in the etiology of T2D, is epigenetically regulated by maternal LP diet and aging in rat islets\[^{116}\]. IUGR offspring have progressive epigenetic silencing at the promoter-enhancer regions (i.e., decreased active H3ac and H3K4me1/3 and increased repressive H3K9me2 and H327me3 histone marks), which weakens their interaction and results in a permanent reduction in Hnf4a expression\[^{116}\]. It is interesting to note that changes in DNA methylation also take place in the pancreatic islets of mice born to mothers with gestational diabetes mellitus. In the offspring, hypermethylation of the imprinted Igf2/H19 (insulin-like growth factor-2) locus in pancreatic islets may account, at least in part, for impaired islet ultrastructure and function that has been shown to be transmitted to subsequent generations\[^{117}\].
Although precise mechanisms linking offspring β-cell programming and maternal obesity are lacking, it is tempting to speculate that the developmental pathways of pancreatic endocrine lineages could be epigenetically reprogrammed through similar mechanisms, ultimately resulting in impaired β-cell number and plasticity.

INTER- AND TRANSGENERATIONAL INHERITANCE OF OBESITY AND T2D

Growing evidence suggests that epigenetic dysregulation of key metabolic genes implicated in adipocyte and β-cell development and function in offspring contribute to developmental programming of T2D\(^{[10]}\). As summarized in Figure 2, chromatin remodeling and changes in DNA methylation may account, at least in part, for the molecular basis of intergenerational effects. The establishment of epigenetic marks on somatic stem cells will give rise to mature adipocytes and β-cells of the fetus (F1) as well as the germline of the fetus (the future F2). The persistence of these marks into adulthood may program obesity-associated insulin resistance up to F2. Consistent with this notion, maternal obesity before and throughout pregnancy and lactation results in altered development of the pancreas in F1 and F2 mouse offspring\(^{[11]}\). However, it is less clear so far how developmentally induced epigenetic modifications may persist beyond the F2. Only persistent phenotypes in the F3 and subsequent generations represent true transgenerational epigenetic inheritance, as they are stably transmitted through the F2 germline, which is not directly exposed to the initial maternal nutritional insult\(^{[9,11]}\). While the mitotic heritability of epigenetic marks is widely accepted, the existence and role of transgenerational epigenetic inheritance in mammals remain controversial. Most of our knowledge concerning germ cell formation in mammals comes from mouse models. In mice, primordial germ cells undergo a global epigenetic remodeling during germline development and following fertilization resulting in a complete resetting of the epigenetic memory arising from the parents and the establishment of sex-specific gamete identity. This event limits the stable transmission of epigenetic marks acquired during development or imposed by the environment from one generation to the next. However, in rodents, it has been clearly demonstrated that the epigenetic states are not entirely reprogrammed. Some imprinted genes escape demethylation processes resulting in intergenerational inheritance\(^{[12]}\).

Increasing evidence suggests that epigenetic modifications of the sperm and the spermatozoa are key players in transgenerational epigenetic inheritance in subsequent F2 male generations and beyond\(^{[9,12,125-127]}\). Indeed, a significant increase in body size, adiposity and reduced insulin sensitivity were reported in F1 and F2 after maternal obesity through both maternal and paternal lineages\(^{[12]}\). However, in the F3 generation, those metabolic alterations were only displayed by females and only \textit{via} paternal lineage in the absence of any further nutritional stimulus\(^{[128,129]}\). Although the implication of DNA methylation and histone modifications cannot be totally excluded, noncoding RNAs have emerged as an alternative mode of transgenerational epigenetic inheritance from the male germline\(^{[129-131]}\).

To our knowledge, there are very few data providing evidence for transgenerational epigenetic inheritance in humans. Human epidemiological studies suggest that grandparental overnutrition increases the rates of diabetes and cardiovascular diseases risk in F2\(^{[132]}\). Increased risk for obesity and related metabolic diseases was observed in children whose parents were of normal weight but whose grandparents were obese\(^{[133]}\).

CONCLUSION

Consistent with the DOHaD concept, epigenetic research conducted on inter- and transgenerational inheritance of obesity and T2D has shed light on new molecular mechanisms. An important challenge for the scientific community is that the solutions to the transmission of obesity and T2D beyond the scope of health system prevention programs. In this context, a better understanding of the underlying mechanisms involved in the epigenetic regulation of adipocyte and β-cells programming becomes a necessity. Of high interest, deciphering the epigenetic mechanisms leading to enhanced β-cell mass, β-cell proliferation, and function defects during T2D should guide toward the identification of novel therapeutic targets.

The reversible nature of epigenetic modifications, together with recent advances in
epigenetic programming mechanisms and offspring gene targets. Gene targets with epigenetic modifications in the offspring of obese mothers are indicated. Gene targets indicated with a question mark have been evidenced by maternal nutritional manipulations other than maternal obesity. ZFP423: Zinc finger protein 423; SCD1: Stearoyl-coenzyme A desaturase 1; PPARG: Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ; GDF-3: Growth differentiation factor 3; RXR: Retinoid-X-receptor; IRS1: Insulin receptor substrate-1; HNF4α: Hepatocyte nuclear factor 4 α; Igf2: Insulin-like growth factor-2.

epigenome-targeting methods, provide a new opportunity for alternative epigenetic therapies\textsuperscript{134,135}. Indeed, targeting epigenetic machinery during early development is an attractive way to reduce adverse outcomes of maternal obesity. On one hand, it is crucial to better understand when and how an obesogenic environment may affect the fate of stem cells during adipocyte and β-cell development via epigenetic mechanisms. In the near future, determining the nature and kinetics of recruitment of enzymes controlling the PTMs of histones and DNA methylation involved in the complex transcriptional program will be needed. High-throughput DNA sequencing approaches in epigenomics for genome-wide profiling of global DNA methylation and histone modifications should allow determining changes in chromatin landscape throughout development. As a follow-up, high-throughput CRISPR-Cas9 technologies for epigenome editing might allow efficient targeting of key epigenetic marks as therapeutic option\textsuperscript{136}.

On the other hand, the use of natural compounds or pharmacological agents leading to DNA methylation and histone modifications, such as DNMT inhibitors and HDAC inhibitors have been already validated as an innovative approach\textsuperscript{132}. Dietary supplementation of methyl donors during perinatal period was found to alleviate the adverse consequences of maternal malnutrition\textsuperscript{135,136}. For instance, pharmacological modulation of epigenetic enzymatic machineries via drugs to improve β-cell functionality has already been recognized as promising new avenue for future therapeutic purposes\textsuperscript{138,139}. Most important, targeting transient and reversible epigenetic modifications during early stages of life, either by genetic or pharmacological means, provides a promising therapeutic way to counteract adverse programming effects on maternal obesity in the progeny.
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