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Abstract
Background: The aim of this systematic review was to update, complete, and critically evaluate the evidence from placebo-controlled randomized clinical trials (RCTs) of ginseng for managing menopausal women’s health.

Methods: We searched the literature using 13 databases (MEDLINE, AMED, EMBASE, the Cochrane Library, 6 Korean Medical, and 3 Chinese Databases) from their inception to July 2016 and included all double-blind RCTs that compared any type of ginseng with a placebo control in postmenopausal women. The methodological quality of all studies was assessed using a Cochrane risk of bias tool.

Results: Ten RCTs met our inclusion criteria. Most RCTs had unclear risk of bias. One RCT did not show a significant difference in hot flush frequency between Korean red ginseng (KRG) and placebo. The second RCT reported positive effects of KRG on menopausal symptoms. The third RCT found beneficial effects of ginseng (Ginsena) on depression, well-being, and general health. Four RCTs failed to show significant differences in various hormones between KRG and placebo controls except dehydroepiandrosterone. Two other RCTs failed to show effects of KRG on endometrial thickness in menopausal women. The other RCT also failed to show the effects of American ginseng on oxidative stress markers and other antioxidant enzymes.

Conclusion: Our systematic review provided positive evidence of ginseng for sexual function and KRG for sexual arousal and total hot flashes score in menopausal women. However, the results of KRG or ginseng failed to show specific effects on hot flush frequency, hormones, biomarkers, or endometrial thickness. The level of evidence for these findings was low because of unclear risk of bias.

Abbreviations: AE = adverse event, CAM = complementary and alternative medicine, DHEA = dehydroepiandrosterone, E2 = estradiol, FSH = follicle stimulating hormone, HRT = hormone replacement therapy, ITT = intention-to-treat, KRG = Korean red ginseng, LH = luteinizing hormone, MD = mean difference, RCT = randomized clinical trial, ROB = risk of bias, SOD = superoxide dismutase.
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1. Introduction
Menopausal women experience mood changes, hot flushes, sleeplessness, vaginal dryness, night sweats, decreased libido, and impairment of cognitive function. Some of these symptoms can be effectively treated with hormone replacement therapy (HRT). However, the risks associated with HRT lead many menopausal women to use complementary therapies. One systematic review showed that 32.9% of menopausal women used CAM, and 47.7% had used CAM in the last 12 months. Herbal medicines are the most popular form of CAM, reportedly used by 34.6% of women. This review finds that ginseng is one of the frequently used single herbs for menopausal symptoms. Ginseng has been used to improve overall health, reduce stress, boost energy, and enhance the immune system. Ginseng is said to function as an “adaptogen,” an agent that promotes resistance to external and internal stresses and improves both physical and mental faculties. Reports in the literature have suggested that ginseng may offer other benefits, including reduced risk of certain cancers, hypertension, and diabetes, improved sexual function, and reduced risks of the common cold.
The evidence on ginseng for managing menopausal symptoms is limited. There is 1 systematic review of ginseng for menopausal symptoms.\(^\text{[1]}\) It included 4 randomized clinical trials (RCTs) of ginseng compared with a placebo control. This review suggested that ginseng may be beneficial for menopausal symptom management, but it is needed to provide additional evidence from recently published trials.

Therefore, the aim of this systematic review was to update, complete, and critically evaluate the evidence from placebo-controlled RCTs of ginseng for managing menopausal women’s health.

2. Methods

This study did not have the ethical approval because our data for this study were analyzed from the published primary studies.

2.1. Data sources

The following databases were searched from their inception to July 2016: MEDLINE, AMED, EMBASE, the Cochrane Library, 6 Korean Medical Databases (Korean Studies Information Service System, DBPIA, the Korean Institute of Science and Technology Information, the Research Information Service System, KoreaMed, and the Korean National Assembly Library), the China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), the Chongqing VIP Chinese Science and Technology Periodical (VIP), and Wanfang. Articles identified through reference lists of included studies and relevant systematic reviews were also considered for inclusion. The search terms used were “(ginseng OR ginseng$ OR panaxa) AND (menopause$ OR climact$ OR perimenopause$ OR peri-menopause$ OR post menopause$ OR post-menopause$ OR hot flash$ OR hot-flash$ OR hot flush$ OR hot-flush$).” In addition, our own files and a relevant journal (FACT—Focus on Alternative and Complementary Therapies) were manually searched.

2.2. Types of studies

Randomized and quasi-randomized placebo controlled trials were included.

2.3. Types of participants

We included trials with the following types of participants.

Menopausal women were included. We excluded trials of patients with breast cancer, endometriosis, or those with patients who are immuno-compromised or taking multiple medications.

2.4. Types of interventions

All prospective randomized clinical studies that compared the effects of any type of ginseng on menopause symptoms with those of a placebo were included. The trials included extracts of Korean ginseng (Panax ginseng), Chinese ginseng (Panax notoginseng) or American ginseng (Panax quinqufolius), or commercial products made from Korean ginseng or American ginseng, regardless of age, processing status (e.g., fresh ginseng, white ginseng, or red ginseng), or dose. We compared placebo controls to ginseng therapies used alone or in combination with other conventional treatments. Trials in which ginseng formed part of a complex herbal medicine were excluded. Dissertations and abstracts were also included.

2.5. Types of outcome measures

2.5.1. Primary outcomes.

1. Total treatment efficacy: the number of patients whose menopausal symptoms were improved
2. Menopausal symptoms: measured by Kupperman index and other questionnaires for measuring menopausal symptoms

2.5.2. Secondary outcomes.

1. Quality of life
2. Hormones, biochemical parameters

2.6. Data extraction

All articles were read by 2 independent reviewers who extracted data from the articles according to predefined criteria. The extracted data included the authors, year of publication, country, sample size, age of the participants, types of ginseng, dose, treatment duration, main outcomes, and adverse effects. The extracted data were tabulated for analysis. No language restrictions were imposed.

2.7. Risk of bias assessment

Risk of bias (ROB) was assessed with the Cochrane ROB criteria: random sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding of participants and personnel, blinding of outcome assessment, incomplete outcome data, selective outcome reporting, and other sources of bias (we will evaluate baseline imbalance).\(^\text{[6]}\) This review will use “L, U and H” as a key for these judgments, where “Low” (L) indicates a low ROB, “Unclear” U indicates that the ROB is uncertain, and “High” (H) indicates a high ROB. Disagreements were resolved by discussion among all authors. The ROB assessment for the included studies is summarized in a table, and the results and implications were critically discussed.

2.8. Data synthesis

All statistical analyses were conducted using the Cochrane Collaboration’s software program Review Manager (RevMan), V.5.3 for Windows (Copenhagen, The Nordic Cochrane Centre). Differences between the intervention and placebo control groups were assessed. In the analysis of clinical efficacy, categorical data were assessed in terms of risk ratios, and continuous data were assessed in terms of mean difference (MD). Categorical and continuous variables were expressed as efficacy values with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). In cases of outcome variables with different scales, the standardized MD was used instead of the weighted MD. If the meta-analysis exhibited heterogeneity (defined as results of tests of heterogeneity that indicate a value of $P<0.1$ by Chi-square test and Higgins $I^2 \geq 50\%$), subgroup analyses were explored to determine the cause of the clinical heterogeneity. A random effects model was used to assess combined effect size from efficacy variables because clinical heterogeneity is highly expected across the included studies from the diversity of interventions, study design, and other conditions. Publication bias was assessed using funnel plots and Egger regression method.\(^\text{[7]}\) If missing data were detected, we requested any missing or incomplete information from the original study investigators. Subgroup analyses were conducted according to the type of ginseng, dose, and treatment duration. Where appropriate, sensitivity analysis was performed to evaluate the robustness of the meta-analysis results.
3. Results

The search revealed 304 possibly relevant studies. Seven RCTs were excluded for the reasons given in Fig. 1. Key data from the remaining 10 RCTs are summarized in Table 1. Eight of the included studies were performed in Korea,[8–11,14–16] 1 trial was from Sweden,[10] and 1 study was from USA.[17] Six trials included the postmenopausal women who had not menstruated naturally from 6 to 12 months,[8,10,11,14,16] while the other 4 did not report in details.[12,13,15,17] Seven trials used Korean red ginseng (KRG),[8,9,11,13–16] 1 study employed fermented KRG,[12] and the other 2 used American ginseng (Ginsena and another commercial supplement). Eight RCTs adopted a 2-arm parallel group design,[8–10,12,14–17] and 2 used a cross-over design.[11,13] The doses of ginseng ranged from 200 to 3000 mg per day, and the treatment ranged from 2 to 16 weeks.

3.1. Risk of bias

Most trials had a moderate ROB (Fig. 2). Only 4 employed an adequate sequence generation method for randomization,[9,11,12,16] while the other 6 did not report it.[8,10,13–15,17] Seven trials used Korean red ginseng (KRG),[8,9,11,13–16] 1 study employed fermented KRG,[12] and the other 2 used American ginseng (Ginsena and another commercial supplement). Eight RCTs adopted a 2-arm parallel group design,[8–10,12,14–17] and 2 used a cross-over design.[11,13] The doses of ginseng ranged from 200 to 3000 mg per day, and the treatment ranged from 2 to 16 weeks.

3.2. Outcomes

3.2.1. Menopausal symptoms. Three RCTs tested the efficacy of KRG or ginseng for menopausal symptoms including hot flashes.[8–10] One RCT did not show a significant difference in hot flash frequency between KRG and placebo.[8] The second RCT reported positive effects of KRG on menopausal symptoms using Kupperman Index and the Menopause Rating Scale and improvement of hot flashes.[9] The third RCT compared a commercial ginseng preparation (Ginsena) with a placebo for menopause symptoms and found beneficial effects of ginseng on depression, well-being, and general health, while it failed to do so for vasomotor symptom improvement.[10] Six RCTs had a low ROB in selective reporting.[8,10,12,14,17] 2 trials had an unclear ROB,[13,15] and 2 had a high ROB.[9,16] Only 5 RCTs noted using an intention-to-treat (ITT) analysis.[9,10,14,16,17]

3.2.2. Sexual function. Two RCTs investigated the efficacy of ginseng for sexual function in pre- and postmenopausal women.[10] One RCT did not show a significant difference in hot flash frequency between KRG and placebo.[8] The second RCT reported positive effects of KRG on menopausal symptoms using Kupperman Index and the Menopause Rating Scale and improvement of hot flashes.[9] The third RCT compared a commercial ginseng preparation (Ginsena) with a placebo for menopause symptoms and found beneficial effects of ginseng on depression, well-being, and general health, while it failed to do so for vasomotor symptom improvement.[10] Six RCTs had a low ROB in selective reporting.[8,10,12,14,17] 2 trials had an unclear ROB,[13,15] and 2 had a high ROB.[9,16] Only 5 RCTs noted using an intention-to-treat (ITT) analysis.[9,10,14,16,17]
## Table 1
Summary of double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trials of ginseng for menopausal women's health.

| Ref                  | Sample size, conditions, age (years) | Intervention dose (mg/d) Treatment duration (wks) | Main outcome measures | Main results | Adverse events; funding design/ITT |
|----------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|
| Kim et al[9]         | 26 postmenopausal women (who had not menstruated naturally for at least 6 months and had severe hot flashes); 45–65 | KRG (300 mg/d, 8 wks); Placebo (Ginseng flavor and the same appearance) | Hot flashes frequency | 4 wks: MD, -1.31 [-3.23 to 0.61], P = 0.18; 8 wks: MD, 0.84 [-1.32 to 0.00], P = 0.45 | None; KGC supported (ginseng and fund); Parallel/n.r. |
| Kim et al[9]         | 72 postmenopausal women (who had not menstruated naturally for at least 6 months and had hot flashes in at least 7 in a day of the previous 14 days); 45–60 | KRG (3000 mg/d, 12 wks); Placebo (Ginseng flavor and the same appearance) | 1) Kupperman Index; 2) MRS; 3) Hot flashes; 4) Lipid profiles, CRP, E2 | 1) P = 0.032 in favor of KRG; 2) P = 0.035 in favor of KRG; 3) P = 0.046 in favor of KRG; 4) TC: P = 0.009; LDL-C: P = 0.015; HDL-C, TG, CRP, E2, NS | n.r. (unclear); KGC supported (ginseng and fund); Parallel/ITT |
| Wiklund et al[10]    | 384 postmenopausal women (who had not menstruated naturally for at least 6 months and had hot flashes in at least 3 of the previous 7 days); 45–60 | Ginseng (Ginsana, 2000 mg/d, effective compound: G115, 16 wks); Placebo (the same appearance) | 1) Women’s Health Questionnaire; 2) Psychological General Well-Being Index | 1) NS for all domains, including sexual function and vasomotor symptom; 2) Total: NS; anxiety: NS; depression: P = 0.046; well-being: P = 0.05; Self-control: NS; health: P = 0.03; vitality: NS | 181 women reported but no significant difference between two groups: Severe (G: 7; C: 9); Probable (G: 1, C: 4); - Possible AE (G: 6, C: 7, 10); Unknown (G: 36, C: 42); No relation (G: 80, C: 77); Influenza or cold (45), Headache and migraine (18); diarrhea and gastrointestinal (40); Pharamton supported (ginseng and fund); Parallel/ITT |
| Oh et al[11]         | 32 menopausal women (who had not menstruated naturally for at least 12 months); 40–60 | KRG (300 mg/d, 8 wks); Placebo (Ginseng flavor and the same appearance) | 1) Female Sexual Function Index; 2) Improvement of sexual function (Global Assessment Questionnaire) | 1) NS for total and other subscales except sexual arousal; 2) P = 0.046 | 12 AEs: Probable (various bleedings, CRP: 2, once during KRG period); No relation (10, n.r. in details); KGC supported (ginseng); Cross-over (14 days washout) |
| Lee et al[12]        | 117 postmenopausal women (n.r. in details); 50–73 | KRG Fomented, 2100 mg/d, 2 wks; Placebo (n.r. in details) | Hormones (ACTH, cortisol, E2, GH, DHEAS, insulin, glucose, FSH, LH, TSH, T3), FFA, brachial pulse rate | P < 0.05 for DHEAS and insulin; NS for others | n.r. (unclear); Billido Inc. supported (ginseng); Parallel/PP; One of the authors is belong to Billido |
| Kang et al[13]       | 43 postmenopausal women (n.r. in details); n.r. | KRG (1200 mg, 8 wks); Placebo (the same appearance) | Hormones (E1, E2, LH, FSH, SHBG, TG, insulin, lipids) | NS for all hormones | n.r. (unclear); Published as abstract; Cross-over (1 month washout) |
| Chon et al[14]       | 72 postmenopausal women (who had menstruation symptoms, but had not undergone HT for 6 months); 45–60 | KRG (300 mg/d, 12 wks); Placebo (the same appearance) | 1) Endometrial thickness; 2) E2 | 1) 0.01 [-0.10 to 0.12], P = 0.86; 2) -1.09 [-1.96 to 0.78], P = 0.84 | n.r. (unclear); KGC supported (ginseng); Parallel/ITT; Doctoral thesis |
| Noe et al[15]        | 72 postmenopausal women (n.r. in details); 45–60 | KRG (n.r., 12 wks); Placebo (n.r. in details) | Endometrial thickness; 2) E2 | 1) NS (n.r. in details); 2) NS (n.r. in details.) | n.r. (unclear); Published as abstract; KGC supported (ginseng and fund); Parallel/n.r. |
| Seo et al[16]        | 82 postmenopausal women (cessation of menstrual periods for 1 y and was confirmed by a serum FSH); 45–60 | KRG (3000 mg, 12 wks); Placebo (Ginseng flavor and the same appearance) | 1) Antioxidant enzymes (SOD, GPx, MDA, 8-OHdG); 2) Inflammation marker (IL-6, AST, ALT, y-GT) | 1) P = 0.004; SOD: NS; GPx, MDA, 8-OHdG, 2) NS | n.r. (unclear); KGC supported (ginseng and fund); Parallel/ITT |
| Dickman et al[17]    | 25 postmenopausal women (n.r. in details); 55–75 | AG (100 mg, 16 wks); Placebo (the same appearance) | Blood-borne metabolic parameters (Glutamate, glucose, lactate, Hb); Oxidative stress markers (MDA, GSH, GSSG, 8-OHdG, TAC, SOD, GPx activity, GR activity) | 1) NS for all parameters; 2) P < 0.05: plasma MDA, 8-OHdG, TAC, SOD, GR activity; NS: GSH, GSSG, GPx activity | n.r. (unclear); n.r. (unclear); Parallel/ITT |

---

8-OHdG = 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine, ACTH = adrenocorticotropic hormone, ALT = alanine aminotransferase, AST = aspartate aminotransferase, CRP = C-reactive protein, DHEAS = dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate, E1 = estrone, E2 = estradiol, FFA = free fatty acid, FSH = follicle stimulating hormone, GH = growth hormone, GPx = glutathione peroxidase, GR = glutathione reductase, GSH = glutathione, GSSG = glutathione disulfide, IL-6 = interleukin-6, ITT = intention-to-treat; KGC = Korean Ginseng Company, KRG = Korean red ginseng, LDL-C = low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, LH = luteinizing hormone, MDA = malondialdehyde, MRS = Metabolic Rating Scale, n.r. = not reported, NS = not significant, SHBG = sex hormone-binding globulin, PP = per protocol, SOD = superoxide dismutase, T3 = tri-iodothyronine, TAC = total antioxidant capacity, TC = total cholesterol, TG = triglyceride, TSH = thyroid-stimulating hormone, y-GT = gamma-glutamyltransferase.
The second RCT did not report favorable effects of KRG on sexual functions except for arousal subscale and improvement of sexual function in postmenopausal women. The meta-analysis of 2 studies showed favorable effects of ginseng for sexual function score (n = 432, SMD, 0.23, 95% CIs: 0.04–0.42, \( P = 0.02, I^2 = 0\% \), Fig. 3B).

### 3.2.3. Hormone level

Three trials tested the effects of KRG on hormone levels, including testosterone, \( E_2 \), luteinizing hormone (LH), follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH), and others. All 4 of the included RCTs failed to show significant differences in various hormones between KRG and placebo controls except DHEA.

### 3.2.4. Other biochemical variables

One RCT assessed the antioxidative effects of KRG compared with a placebo. The results showed positive effects of KRG on superoxide dismutase (SOD), while they failed to do so for other antioxidant enzymes, including glutathione peroxidase, malondialdehyde, and 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine. The other RCT failed to show the effects of American ginseng on oxidative stress markers and other antioxidant enzymes.

### 3.2.5. Endometrial thickness

Two RCTs assessed the effects of KRG on endometrial thickness and \( E_2 \) level. Both RCTs failed to show effects of KRG on endometrial thickness in menopausal women.

### 3.2.6. Adverse events

Three RCTs assessed adverse events (AEs), while the other 7 studies did not. One RCT noted no AEs. The second RCT reported the most frequent AEs, including influenza and common cold (45), headache and migraine (18), and diarrhea and other gastrointestinal symptoms (40) in 181 of 384 participants without a significant difference between the 2 groups. The third RCT noted 12 AEs including vaginal bleeding (2), which was most likely related to KRG use, but the other 10 AEs were unrelated to ginseng.

### 4. Discussion

Few rigorous RCTs have assessed the efficacy of ginseng for menopausal women’s health. Our systematic review provided
suggestive evidence of ginseng for sexual function and sexual arousal in menopausal women. However, the results failed to show specific effects of ginseng on vasomotor symptoms, hormones, biomarkers, and endometrial thickness. The level of evidence for these findings was low because of unclear ROB. Furthermore, the number of trials and total sample size of the included trials were not sufficient to draw firm conclusions.

Although all of the 11 RCTs were double blinded, only 4 reported random sequence generation,[9,11,12,16] while all of the included studies suffered from a lack of adequate allocation concealment. Trials with inadequate blinding and inadequate allocation concealment are likely to show exaggerated treatment effects.[18] Only 3 trials used assessor blinding when appropriate[8,9,12] and 5 trials used an ITT analysis.[9,10,14,16,17] Two were published as an abstract, 1 was a doctoral thesis[14]; thus, they did not undergo a peer review process. Two studies,[14,15] which tested the effects of KRG on endometrial thickness, seem to be the same study. One study was as a published doctoral dissertation,[14] and the other was in abstract only.[15] They had the same characteristics of participants and outcomes except different authors. Even if this is true, it would not influence our conclusion.

Although all of the RCTs used placebo controls, none reported the success of blinding. Four RCTs used a placebo with ginseng flavor and the same appearance as the ginseng group.[8,9,11,16] However, 4 RCTs did not note the flavor[10,13,14,17] and 2 RCTs did not report such details.[12,15] Unblinding would lead to an overestimation of treatment effects, known as performance bias.

The therapeutic effects of ginseng may depend on the availability and amounts of various constituents in the preparation. The relevant details are sometimes missing in many publications. Daily dosage of included trials ranged from 200 to 3000 mg, but no studies have been done for the optimum dose for improving the menopausal symptom. When we analyzed the outcome direction and dosage, treatment time, dosage and time, and type of outcomes, there were no clear relationships between dose and treatment time for significant changes of various outcomes. The heterogeneities of trials prevent to show clear association. Various types of ginseng were tested compared with placebo with several different regimens. The difference in significance may come from the type of ginseng and treatment dosages. The dosage and frequency of ginseng used in the included trials maybe insufficient to generate a significant effect for biochemical variables. The dose-ranging studies comparing various and escalating amounts of ginseng to outcomes are needed to answer these questions.

Possible mechanisms of action of ginseng on menopausal women’s health include hormonal effects similar to those of estrogen. Ginsenosides, which are thought to be the principle active components of red ginseng, have been shown to exert estrogen-like actions in several studies. The anti-angiogenic active components of red ginseng, have been shown to exert estrogen. Ginsenosides, which are thought to be the principle needed to answer these questions.

Daily dosage of included trials ranged from 200 to 3000 mg, but no studies have been done for the optimum dose for improving the menopausal symptom. When we analyzed the outcome direction and dosage, treatment time, dosage and time, and type of outcomes, there were no clear relationships between dose and treatment time for significant changes of various outcomes. The heterogeneities of trials prevent to show clear association. Various types of ginseng were tested compared with placebo with several different regimens. The difference in significance may come from the type of ginseng and treatment dosages. The dosage and frequency of ginseng used in the included trials maybe insufficient to generate a significant effect for biochemical variables. The dose-ranging studies comparing various and escalating amounts of ginseng to outcomes are needed to answer these questions.

Reports of AEs with ginseng are few, and these depend on the type of ginseng. Only 3 RCTs assessed AEs.[6,10,11] One RCT reported no AEs from KRG.[15] Vaginal bleeding was reported in 1 RCT.[11] Another RCT showed that half of the participants (n = 181) reported AEs from ginseng without a significant difference in AEs between the 2 groups.[15] Most of reported AEs are unlikely related with AEs of ginseng, for example, influenza or cold. Vaginal bleeding is probably related with ginseng because of anticoagulant effects of ginseng.[28,29] There are no available studies with post-marketing surveillance of KRG or other types of ginseng. One review showed no evidence of AEs with normal doses of KRG or ginseng, but it also noted a lack of data on long-term use.

There are several important limitations in this study. We cannot be certain that our searches located all relevant RCTs, although strong efforts were made to retrieve all RCTs. Moreover, publication bias and poor reporting by clinical trials are major sources of bias. Several unpublished negative RCTs could distort the overall picture. Another possible bias is that 9 of the included trials were carried out in Korea,[8,9,11–16] which is one of the regions that has been shown to produce largely positive results, and the generalization of these results to other countries might be limited. In addition to these limitations, the failure to follow the CONSORT guideline may lead to score a high ROB for a trial regardless of whether the trial was done well. It is notable that most studies were supported by a ginseng company, which may have introduced a degree of bias. Most of the industry-sponsored studies have been tended to report positive outcomes.[30–32] Eight trials were funded by KRG (Korea Ginseng Corp)[8,9,11,14–16] Bifido,[12] or Pharmaton,[10] and are opened to potential publication bias. Further limitations include the paucity and often suboptimal methodological quality of the primary data. Some of the RCTs included in the present review were not successful at minimizing bias. These issues limit the conclusiveness of this systematic review.

In conclusion, the existing trials showed positive effects of ginseng on sexual function, KRG for sexual arousal, and total hot flashes score in menopausal women. However, the included studies failed to show significant differences in hot flashes frequency, hormone levels, or endometrial thickness. The level of evidence is low owing to the small number of studies and the unclear ROB. Further rigorous RCTs are needed to overcome the many limitations of the current evidence.

References

[1] Burrous N, Morris E. Menopausal symptoms. Clin Evid 2011;06:804.
[2] Posadzki P, Lee MS, Moon TW, et al. Prevalence of complementary and alternative medicine (CAM) use by menopausal women: a systematic review of surveys. Maturitas 2013;75:14–43.
[3] Angelova N, Kong HW, van der Heijden R, et al. Recent methodology in the phytochemical analysis of ginseng. Phytochem Anal 2008;19:2–16.
[4] Jia L, Zhao Y, Liang XJ. Current evaluation of the millennium phytomedicine- ginseng (II): collected chemical entities, modern pharmacology, and clinical applications emanated from traditional Chinese medicine. Curr Med Chem 2009;16:2924–42.
[5] Kim M-S, Lim H-J, Yang HJ, et al. Ginseng for managing menopause symptoms: a systematic review of randomized clinical trials. J Ginseng Res 2011;37:30–6.
[6] Higgins JPT, Altman DG, Sterne JAC, on behalf of the Cochrane Statistical Methods Group and the Cochrane Bias Methods Group. Assessing risk of bias in included studies. In: Higgins JPT, Green S, editors. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 5.1.0 [updated March 2011]. The Cochrane Collaboration. Available at: http://handbook.cochrane.org/ 2011; 187–241. Accessed September 6, 2016.
[7] Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, et al. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. BMJ 1997;315:629–34.
Kim HS, Yoon YJ, Lee JM, et al. A clinical study on the effect of red ginseng for postmenopausal hot flushes. J Orient Obstet Gynecol 2009;22:132–9.

Kim SY, Seo SK, Choi YM, et al. Effects of red ginseng supplementation on menopausal symptoms and cardiovascular risk factors in postmenopausal women: a double-blind randomized controlled trial. Menopause 2012;19:461–6.

Wiklund IK, Mattsson LA, Lindgren R, et al. Effects of a standardized ginseng extract on quality of life and physiological parameters in symptomatic postmenopausal women: a double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Swedish Alternative Medicine Group. Int J Clin Pharmacol Res 1999;19:89–99.

Oh KJ, Chae MJ, Lee HS, et al. Effects of Korean red ginseng on sexual arousal in menopausal women: placebo-controlled, double-blind crossover clinical study. J Sex Med 2010;7(4 Pt 1):1469–77.

Lee KJ, Ji GE. Free-fatty-acid-regulating effects of fermented red ginseng are mediated by hormones and by the autonomic nervous system. J Ginseng Res 2014;38:97–105.

Kang SH, Kim BS, Lee SJ, et al. Effect of red ginseng on blood estrogen, leptin concentration and renal estrogen metabolites in menopausal women. Proceeding of Annual Congress of the Korean Surgical Society. Seoul: Grand Inter Continental; 2006. 139.

Chon SJ. Effects of Korean Red Ginseng Extract Supplementation on Endometrial Stromal Cells and Endometrial Cancer Cells. Seoul: Kyungwon University; 2015.

Noe E, Yun B, Cho S, et al. Effects of Korean red ginseng on endometrium in postmenopausal women. Hum Reprod 2015;30:413.

Seo SK, Hong Y, Yun BH, et al. Antioxidative effects of Korean red ginseng in postmenopausal women: a double-blind randomized controlled trial. J Ethnopharmacol 2014;154:753–7.

Dickman JR, Koenig RT, Ji LL. American ginseng supplementation induces an oxidative stress in postmenopausal women. J Am Coll Nutr 2009;28:219–28.

Schulz KF, Chalmers I, Hayes RJ, et al. Empirical evidence of bias. Dimensions of methodological quality associated with estimates of treatment effects in controlled trials. JAMA 1995;273:408–12.

Leung KW, Cheung LW, Pon YL, et al. Ginsenoside Rb1 inhibits tube-like structure formation of endothelial cells by regulating pigment epithelium-derived factor through the oestrogen beta receptor. Br J Pharmacol 2007;152:207–15.

Hien TT, Kim ND, Pokharel YR, et al. Ginsenoside Rg3 increases nitric oxide production via increases in phosphorylation and expression of endothelial nitric oxide synthase: essential roles of estrogen receptor-dependent PI3-kinase and AMP-activated protein kinase. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 2010;246:171–83.

Furukawa T, Bai CX, Kahtarz A, et al. Ginsenoside Re, a main phytosterol of Panax ginseng, activates cardiac potassium channels via a nongenomic pathway of sex hormones. Mol Pharmacol 2006;70:1916–24.

Nakaya Y, Mawatari K, Takahashi A, et al. The phytoestrogen ginsenoside Re activates potassium channels of vascular smooth muscle cells through PI3K/Akt and nitric oxide pathways. J Med Invest 2007;54:381–4.

Cho J, Park W, Lee S, et al. Ginsenoside-Rb1 from Panax ginseng C.A. Meyer activates estrogen receptor-alpha and -beta, independent of ligand binding. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2004;89:3510–5.

Lee Y, Jin Y, Lim W, et al. A ginsenoside-Rh1, a component of ginseng saponin, activates estrogen receptor in human breast carcinoma MCF-7 cells. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 2003;84:463–8.

Anderson JJ, Garner SC. Phytoestrogens and bone. Baillieres Clin Endocrinol Metab 1998;12:543–57.

Chen MN, Lin CC, Liu CF. Efficacy of phytoestrogens for menopausal symptoms: a meta-analysis and systematic review. Climacteric 2015;18:260–9.

Strotkin AV, Harrath AH. Phytoestrogens and their effects. Eur J Pharmacol 2014;741:230–6.

Jin YR, Yu JY, Lee JJ, et al. Antiin thrombotic and antplatelet activities of Korean red ginseng extract. Basic Clin Pharmacol Toxicol 2007;100:170–5.

McEwen BJ. The influence of herbal medicine on platelet function and coagulation: a narrative review. Semin Thromb Hemost 2015;41:300–14.

Finlay DD, Nugent CD, Wang H, et al. Mining, knowledge and decision support. Stud Health Technol Inform 2010;152:158–71.

Flacco ME, Manzoli L, Boccia S, et al. Head-to-head randomized trials are mostly industry sponsored and almost always favor the industry sponsor. J Clin Epidemiol 2015;68:811–20.

Lexchin J, Bero LA, Djulbegovic B, et al. Pharmaceutical industry sponsorship and research outcome and quality: systematic review. BMJ 2003;326:1167–70.