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Impact of Fungicide Mancozeb at Different Application Rates on Soil Microbial Populations, Soil Biological Processes, and Enzyme Activities in Soil
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The use of fungicides is the continuous exercise particularly in orchard crops where fungal diseases, such as white root rot, have the potential to destroy horticultural crops rendering them unsaleable. In view of above problem, the present study examines the effect of different concentrations of mancozeb (0–2000 ppm) at different incubation periods for their harmful side effects on various microbiological processes, soil microflora, and soil enzymes in alluvial soil (pH 6.8) collected from apple orchards of Shimla in Himachal Pradesh (India). Low concentrations of mancozeb were found to be deleterious towards fungal and actinomycetes population while higher concentrations (1000 and 2000 ppm) were found to be detrimental to soil bacteria. Mancozeb impaired the process of ammonification and nitrification. Similar results were observed for nitrifying and ammonifying bacteria. Phosphorus solubilization was increased by higher concentration of mancozeb, that is, 250 ppm and above. In unamended soil, microbial biomass carbon and carbon mineralization were adversely affected by mancozeb. Soil enzymes, that is, amylase, invertase, and phosphatase showed adverse and disruptive effect when mancozeb used was above 10 ppm in unamended soil. These results conclude that, to lessen the harmful effects in soil biological processes caused by this fungicide, addition of higher amount of nitrogen based fertilizers is required.

1. Introduction

Agriculture relies on chemicals to control weeds, pest, and diseases. Pesticides include chemicals differing in their mode of action and are used by man as intentional addition to his environmental to control or eradicate a specific pest of economically important crops. Although, they are intended for harmful organisms but they also get into the nontarget system and bring about substantial impact on the ecosystem ranging from general poisoning to carcinogenic effects [1]. Microorganism is present in all environmental situations in which pesticides are used and they will, therefore, encounter pesticides, and however, inadvertently and probably react with them in some way. There is, therefore, a close relationship between pesticides and microorganisms because (i) these chemicals may have a deleterious effect on nontarget organisms and (ii) most of these pesticides being organic in nature could be metabolized by microorganisms resulting in modification of their activity. Pesticides gain entry into soil by a variety of means and their effect on soil microbial population may lead to elimination, decrease, or modification of microbial transformations and soil enzymes considered essential for soil fertility [2].

In Himachal Pradesh (HP), apple (Malus domestica Borkh), a major temperate horticulture fruit crop, receives maximum pesticides especially fungicides to combat diseases such as apple scab, powdery mildew, collar rot, stem cankers, and white root rot. However, significant yield losses in apple production due to apple scab, caused by the fungus Venturia inaequalis, are a major concern. The fungicide Mancozeb,
in addition to other pesticides, is extensively used in apple orchards for control of apple scab, but it has the potential to affect the quality of soil, water, and air, with attendant risk to humans, flora, and fauna, mainly due to its persistence in soil [3].

Because of their relationship to soil biology and rapid response to changes in soil management, soil enzymes are recognized as sensitive indication of soil health and quality [4]. In fact, they have been related to soil physicochemical characters, microbial community structure [5], and disturbance [6]. The study of the secondary effects of fungicides on soil microbial activities and consequent impact on soil fertility is, therefore, of prime importance. Practically, little information is available on the effect of mancozeb on soil microbial activities, biological processes, and soil enzymes in HP.

### 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. Type and Preparation of Soil or Soil Sample.

The soil used for incubation experiments was collected from a cropped field of apple orchard from Chopal area of district Shimla of HP. The soil is alluvial, typical of northern origin. Twenty samples of one kilogram soil each collected randomly were pooled together to make a composite sample. Soil samples were collected from 0 to 15 cm depth, air dried, and ground to pass a 2 mm sieve and stored in a humid atmosphere (80% relative humidity) at 20°C for maximum of seven days.

#### 2.2. Physical and Chemical Analysis of the Soil.

The characteristics of the soils are summarized in Table 1. Freshly procured samples of the soil were analyzed for the physical and chemical characteristics. Soil texture was determined by the hydrometer method [7], pH was determined after shaking the soil with deionized water (1:2.5 mass ratio) for 10 min, and organic matter was determined by rapid titration method [8]. Cation exchange capacity, available phosphorus, total-nitrogen, nitrate-nitrogen, and ammoniacal-nitrogen were determined by the procedures outlined by Jackson [9].

#### 2.3. Effect of Mancozeb on Microbial Population

##### 2.3.1. Enumeration of Bacteria, Fungi, and Actinomycetes.

Enumeration was done by using a standard dilution technique in which three portions (each of 10 gram fresh weight) were shaken separately in 90 mL sterilized distilled water in 250 mL flasks and further 10-fold dilutions were made for each sample. Bacteria, fungi, actinomycetes, and ammonifying and nitrifying bacteria were counted by plating 0.1 mL of suitable dilutions on separate plates and incubated at temperature 28°C. Soil extract agar, streptomycin rose bengal agar, and Kuster and Williams medium were the selective media for the enumeration of soil bacteria, fungi, and actinomycetes while ammonifying and nitrifying bacteria were enumerated using Bhuiya and Walker medium.

##### 2.3.2. Nitrification.

Nitrate nitrogen and nitrate nitrogen were extracted by the method given by Jackson [9] and estimated by the method of Onken and Sunderman [10].

##### 2.3.3. Ammonification.

Hundred grams of soil was mixed with sufficient peptone to give a nitrogen content of 500 ppm. Mancozeb was added as described earlier and incubated at 28°C. Appropriate soil samples were removed at 0, 1, 2, 3, and 4 weeks intervals and ammoniacal nitrogen was extracted [9] and estimated by the method of Onken and Sunderman [10].

##### 2.3.4. Phosphorous Solubilization.

Phosphorous solubilization in soil was studied by incubating 100 g of soil with tricalcium phosphate to provide 200 ppm of phosphorous. Soil contained 0, 10, 100, 250, 500, 1000, and 2000 ppm of mancozeb and was incubated at 28°C. Soil samples were removed at 0, 1, 2, 3, and 4 weeks of intervals. Available phosphorous was extracted with alkaline sodium bicarbonate solution (pH 8.5) and estimated calorimetrically [9].

##### 2.3.5. Soil Microbial Biomass.

Microbial biomass carbon was estimated by Chloroform-fumigation incubation technique [11].

##### 2.3.6. Carbon Mineralization.

Carbon mineralization was determined by estimating the evolution of CO₂ by the method of [12].

#### 2.4. Enzymatic Determination

##### 2.4.1. Amylase [13].

Two grams of air dried soil samples was taken in a test tube and 0.3 mL of toluene was added. The mixture was shaken and allowed to stand for 15 minutes before the addition of buffer and substrate. 5 mL of 0.1 M sodium acetate buffer (pH 5.0) having 50 mg soluble starch was added to the reaction mixture. Reaction mixture was incubated for 24 h at 28°C. After incubation, 10 mL of distilled

---

**Table 1: Characteristics of the soil used in the incubation studies.**

| Characteristics                   | Average value |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|
| Water holding capacity            | 37.5%         |
| Cation exchange                   | 11 meq 100 g⁻¹|
| Soil texture:                     |               |
| (i) Sand                          | 52%           |
| (ii) Silt                         | 20%           |
| (iii) Clay                        | 28%           |
| pH                               | 6.8           |
| Nitrogen                          |               |
| (i) Ammoniacal nitrogen           | 21.4 ppm      |
| (ii) Nitrate-N                    | 5.2 ppm       |
| (iii) Nitrite-N                   | N.D*          |
| (iv) Total nitrogen               | 0.06%         |
| Organic carbon                    | 1.45%         |
| Phosphate-P                       | 32.2 ppm      |

* N.D.: Not determined.
water was added and soil suspension was centrifuged at 12,000 × g for 10 minutes. Supernatant was taken and analyzed for reducing sugars by the method of Nelson [14].

2.4.2. Invertase [13]. Invertase activity was assayed in a manner identical to that of amylase, except that buffer used was sodium acetate (0.1 M, pH 5.2) containing 18 mM sucrose and incubation period was 3 h.

2.4.3. Phosphatase [15]. One gram soil taken in a test tube was incubated with 1 mL of 5 mM buffered sodium-p-nitrophenyl phosphate in acetate buffer (pH 5.2) and 0.3 mL toluene at 37°C for 1 h. Determination of p-nitrophenol involved the calorimetric analysis of the extract obtained by treating the incubated soil sample with 4 mL water, 10 mL of 0.5 M CaCl₂, and 4 mL of 0.5 M sodium hydroxide and by filtering (Whatman no. 42) the suspension obtained by shaking the mixture for one minute and the absorbance of yellow color of p-nitrophenol released was determined spectrophotometrically at 420 nm.

3. Results

3.1. Effect of Mancozeb on Soil Biological Processes

3.1.1. Effect of Mancozeb on Soil Microflora. The results showed gradual increase in bacterial population with increase in mancozeb concentration up to 250 ppm at all the incubation period studied. Bacterial population at higher concentrations (1000 and 2000 ppm) decreased significantly. Fungal population was not affected by the presence of 10 ppm mancozeb in the soil. Increase in mancozeb concentrations up to 100 ppm and above decreased fungal population. Mancozeb generally decreased actinomycetes population at all the concentrations studied and, at 1000 and 2000 ppm, it adversely affected the actinomycetes population. With increase in incubation period, actinomycetes population decreased while the fungal population increased slightly. The bacterial population on average regained the original level after four weeks of incubation (Data not shown).

3.1.2. Effect of Mancozeb on Nitrification. The results on the effect of varying concentrations of mancozeb on nitrification of diammonium hydrogen phosphate in soil (Figure 1) revealed that average nitrate-nitrogen (NO₃⁻–N) decreased significantly with the increase in mancozeb concentrations from 0 ppm (34.74 ppm NO₃⁻–N) to 2000 ppm (20.63 ppm NO₃⁻–N). However, the difference in NO₃⁻–N between 250 and 500 ppm and 1000 and 2000 ppm was nonsignificant amongst themselves. The effect of incubation period revealed significant increase in average NO₃⁻–N after one week of incubation. Further increase in incubation period had inhibitory effect on nitrification, as average NO₃⁻–N decreased to 20.26 ppm at the end of four weeks of incubation.

3.1.3. Effect of Mancozeb on Nitrifying Bacteria. The results on varying concentrations of mancozeb (0–2000 ppm) on nitrifying bacteria (Figure 2) showed gradual decrease in average number of nitrifying bacterial population from 2.14 log cfu at zero ppm to 1.44 log cfu at 2000 ppm. Increase in incubation period had inhibitory effect on nitrifying bacterial population as it decreased gradually with increase in incubation period.

Interaction studied between mancozeb concentration and incubation period was found to be statistically significant. On day zero, the difference in nitrifying bacterial population was nonsignificant at 1000 and 2000 ppm mancozeb concentrations. At the end of one-week incubation period, the population increased considerably at 0, 10, 100, 250, and 500 ppm mancozeb. However, nitrifying bacterial population
decreased significantly at the end of second week of incubation. At the end of the third and fourth week of incubation period, nitrifying population decreased considerably at higher mancozeb concentrations (1000 and 2000 ppm).

3.1.4. Effect of Mancozeb on Ammonification. The effect of mancozeb (0–2000 ppm) on the production of ammoniacal-nitrogen ($\text{NH}_4^+ - \text{N}$) from applied peptone was studied in apple orchard soil. The findings (Figure 3) showed significant decrease in the average $\text{NH}_4^+ - \text{N}$ in mancozeb treated soil as compared to control. The amount of average ammoniacal-nitrogen was at par amongst 250 and 500 ppm and 1000 and 2000 ppm of mancozeb concentrations. Average ammoniacal-nitrogen decreased with increase in incubation period irrespective of mancozeb concentrations.

The interaction between mancozeb concentration and incubation period was found to be statistically significant. Ammoniacal-nitrogen decreased in presence of different concentrations of mancozeb at all the incubation period except in the presence of 10 ppm mancozeb at the end of second and third week of incubation where $\text{NH}_4^+ - \text{N}$ increased significantly over the values obtained on day zero. Thus, mancozeb markedly decreased ammonification even at as low as 10 ppm in apple orchard soil.

3.1.5. Effect of Mancozeb on Ammonifying Bacteria. The results on the effect of mancozeb (0–2000 ppm) on ammonifying bacteria (Figure 4) indicated significant decrease in their average population at various concentrations. Incubation period studied also had significant effect on ammonifying bacteria. On an average, ammonifying bacteria decreased gradually with increase in incubation period.

The interaction studied between mancozeb concentration and incubation period was statistically significant. At the end of one-week incubation, there was decrease in number of ammonifying bacteria at mancozeb concentration above 10 ppm. Incubation of soil sample for second, third, and fourth weeks, however, decreased ammonifying bacteria at all the concentrations studied.

3.1.6. Effect of Mancozeb on Phosphorous Solubilization. From the results (Figure 5), it was observed that lower
The effect of mancozeb on carbon mineralization.

3.1.7. Effect of Mancozeb on Carbon Mineralization. The results on the effect of mancozeb on evolution of carbon dioxide (CO\textsubscript{2}) in soil (Figure 6) showed that average CO\textsubscript{2}-evolution decreased gradually with increase in mancozeb concentrations from 10 ppm (19.80 mg) to 2000 ppm (13.21 mg) with statistically nonsignificant difference amongst 250, 500, 1000, and 2000 ppm. The effect of incubation period revealed significant decrease in average CO\textsubscript{2}-production with increase in incubation period.

The interaction between incubation period and mancozeb concentration was also found to be significant. The amount of CO\textsubscript{2}-evolved decreased gradually with increase in mancozeb concentration irrespective of the incubation period.

3.1.8. Effect of Mancozeb on Soil Microbial Biomass Carbon. Effect of mancozeb concentrations (0–1000 ppm) on soil microbial biomass was studied by measuring CO\textsubscript{2}–C production from soil. The results (Figure 7) revealed gradual decrease in average microbial biomass carbon with increase in mancozeb concentrations from 9.52 μg/g at 0 ppm to 1.86 μg/g at 1000 ppm. Incubation period also had significant effect on soil microbial biomass C and it decreased with increase in incubation period.

Interaction studied between mancozeb concentration and incubation period was found to be significant. After incubating soil sample for 10 days, maximum microbial biomass C was obtained in the absence of mancozeb (18.8 μg/g) and lowest value was obtained at 1000 ppm (1.02 μg/g). After incubating soil samples for 20 days, microbial biomass C decreased at 0 and 10 ppm and increase in microbial biomass C at 100, 250, and 500 ppm was statistically nonsignificant amongst themselves. Further incubation of soil sample for thirty days increased microbial biomass at all the concentrations with nonsignificant difference amongst 100 and 250 ppm. At the end of forty days, microbial biomass C decreased with nonsignificant difference amongst 100 and 250 ppm and 500 and 1000 ppm.

3.1.7. Effect of Mancozeb on Carbon Solubilization. After incubating soil sample for 10 days, maximum microbial biomass C was obtained in the absence of mancozeb (18.8 μg/g) and lowest value was obtained at 1000 ppm (1.02 μg/g). After incubating soil samples for 20 days, microbial biomass C decreased at 0 and 10 ppm and increase in microbial biomass C at 100, 250, and 500 ppm was statistically nonsignificant amongst themselves. Further incubation of soil sample for thirty days increased microbial biomass at all the concentrations with nonsignificant difference amongst 100 and 250 ppm. At the end of forty days, microbial biomass C decreased with nonsignificant difference amongst 100 and 250 ppm and 500 and 1000 ppm.

The interaction between mancozeb concentration and incubation period was found to be significant. After incubating soil sample for 10 days, maximum microbial biomass C was obtained in the absence of mancozeb (18.8 μg/g) and lowest value was obtained at 1000 ppm (1.02 μg/g). After incubating soil samples for 20 days, microbial biomass C decreased at 0 and 10 ppm and increase in microbial biomass C at 100, 250, and 500 ppm was statistically nonsignificant amongst themselves. Further incubation of soil sample for thirty days increased microbial biomass at all the concentrations with nonsignificant difference amongst 100 and 250 ppm. At the end of forty days, microbial biomass C decreased with nonsignificant difference amongst 100 and 250 ppm and 500 and 1000 ppm.
3.2. Effect of Mancozeb on Soil Enzyme

3.2.1. Amylase. Amylase activity (Figure 8) at 10 ppm mancozeb concentration was significantly increased over the control. Increase in mancozeb concentration above 10 ppm decreased average amylase activity. Average amylase activity decreased significantly with increase in incubation period irrespective of mancozeb concentrations.

The interaction studied indicated significant relationship between mancozeb concentration and incubation period. Amylase activity on day zero was at par irrespective of the mancozeb concentrations. After one week of incubation, amylase activity decreased significantly at all the concentrations with nonsignificant difference amongst 10 and 100 ppm mancozeb concentrations. When soil was incubated for two weeks, amylase activity decreased significantly. At the end of three- and four-week incubation period, amylase activity at 0 and 10 ppm was restored to the original value obtained on day zero. However, the increase was statistically nonsignificant amongst them. Further increase in mancozeb concentrations significantly decreased amylase activity. Thus, mancozeb at 100 ppm and above adversely affected amylase activity and the inhibitory effect was not overcome even after four weeks of incubation.

3.2.2. Invertase. The results on invertase activity (Figure 9) showed increase in average invertase activity from 26.54 U to 29.40 U when mancozeb concentration was increased from 0 to 10 ppm. The average invertase activity was decreased gradually with increase in mancozeb concentrations above 10 ppm. However, the activity was at par amongst 100, 250 ppm and 500 and 1000 ppm of mancozeb. Incubation period had significant effect on average invertase activity. After one week of incubation, the invertase activity was reduced from 51.19 U (0 days) to 23.03 U (1 week). At the end of second week of incubation, invertase activity increased from 23.03 U (first week) to 34.50 U (second week). Continued incubation significantly decreased invertase activity. Interaction studied between mancozeb concentration and incubation period showed significant difference in invertase activity at different intervals of time and mancozeb concentrations. On day zero, the invertase activity at various mancozeb concentrations was nonsignificant. At the end of one week of incubation, invertase activity decreased significantly over day zero at all the concentrations. The
activity was statistically the same at 0 and 10 ppm; 250 and 500 ppm; and 1000 and 2000 ppm. At the end of two-week incubation period, invertase activity increased at all the mancozeb concentrations with maximum activity (42.70 U) in presence of 10 ppm mancozeb. It was observed that, at the end of three and four weeks of incubation period, invertase activity decreased significantly with statistically nonsignificant difference between various mancozeb concentrations.

3.2.3. Phosphatase. The results on phosphatase activity (Figure 10) indicated maximum average phosphatase activity at zero ppm (121.8 U). Average phosphatase activity decreased significantly to 113.2 U at 100 ppm mancozeb concentration. The increase in mancozeb concentrations above 100 ppm decreased phosphatase activity with nonsignificant difference between various mancozeb concentrations used. With the increase in incubation period, significant decrease in average phosphatase activity was observed. Minimum average phosphatase activity (38.7 U) was obtained after incubating soil sample for four weeks.

Interaction studied indicated a nonsignificant difference in enzyme activity on day zero. At the end of one-week incubation period, the activity was significantly decreased and varied between 105.3 U (0 ppm) to 114.0 U (500 ppm). The difference in enzyme activity between various mancozeb concentrations was nonsignificant. Increase in incubation period for two weeks resulted in increase in enzyme activity. The activity at 0 ppm (168.2 U) decreased to 117.0 U at 10 ppm but increased to 131.7 U at 100 ppm and 132.1 U at 250 ppm mancozeb concentrations. Further increase in mancozeb concentrations did not affect the activity as the difference was statistically nonsignificant. Continued incubation for four weeks decreased enzyme activity at all the mancozeb concentrations.

4. Discussion

When any pesticide is applied to control harmful microorganisms, it invariably affects the abundance and performance of other microorganisms. In the present study, it has been observed that mancozeb increased bacterial population up to 250 ppm at all the time intervals but higher concentrations (1000 and 2000 ppm) had adverse effect. Fungal and actinomycetes populations decreased in mancozeb treated soil at concentrations above 10 ppm and degree of reduction is related to the amount of fungicide applied initially. This effect on soil microflora may be either due to the effect of mancozeb or due to the toxic degradation products like carbon disulphide. Similar disruptive effect on soil microflora by dithiocarbamates [16], mancozeb [17], and other fungicides [18, 19] has also been reported earlier in the nonrhizosphere soil. The increase in bacterial population might be due to decrease in competition for existence and nutrients with fungi and actinomycetes which are inhibited by mancozeb [20].

In the present study, mancozeb is found to decrease nitrification (Figure 1) at all the concentrations studied which is well agreed with earlier reports [21]. Concentrations of mancozeb above 10 ppm may have inhibitory effect on the specialized group of nitrifying bacteria and inhibitory effect was constant beyond 250 ppm to 500 ppm. This is supported by the results (Figure 2), where nitrifying bacteria are adversely affected by the concentrations of mancozeb above 10 ppm. The effect of mancozeb concentrations up to 100 ppm in soil is removed by the end of four weeks of incubation as \( \text{NO}_3^- - \text{N} \) is equal to that on day zero. This may be due to the degradation of lower concentration of mancozeb by soil microflora to the level which is not inhibitory to nitrifying bacteria. Higher concentration seems to be persistent in its toxicity towards microorganisms involved in nitrification. The effect of lower concentrations of mancozeb on nitrification is in agreement with the earlier reports [22] in the soil with entirely different physical and chemical characteristics.

The quantity of \( \text{NH}_4^+ - \text{N} \) in mancozeb treated soil is found to decrease considerably as compared to the control even at lower rates of its application (Figure 3). The magnitude of decrease has been related to the amount of fungicide applied initially as minimum \( \text{NH}_4^+ - \text{N} \) is obtained at highest mancozeb concentration (2000 ppm) which is agreed to the reports shown earlier by [23, 24]. A higher mancozeb concentration seems to be persistent in its toxicity towards ammonifiers. Dubey and Rodriguez [25] reported nonpersistent effect of ethylene-bis-dithiocarbamate on ammonification in acid laterite, clay, and alluvial soil. The significant decrease in the population of ammonifying bacteria is in agreement with the earlier results [17] which observed decrease in aerobic nitrogen cycle population which adversely affected nitrogen mineralization.

The present study reveals that the process of phosphorus solubilization is not disturbed by mancozeb treatment as high as 250 ppm but is found to increase at higher rates of its application. Ahemad and Khan [26, 27] similarly showed that P-solubilization was in a minor way affected at recommended doses but majorly affected at higher doses of fungicides. It
may be because of the reason that soil microorganisms which can solubilize added insoluble phosphates are enriched in presence of higher mancozeb concentrations. In addition, the number of total microbial population is decreased and it may result in the lesser utilization of the released phosphorus.

The effect of mancozeb on evolution of carbon-dioxide ($\text{CO}_2$) in unamended soil (Figure 6) showed that average $\text{CO}_2$-evolution decreased gradually with increase in mancozeb concentrations from 10 ppm (19.80 mg) to 2000 ppm (13.21 mg) and coincides with the results of Cernohlavkova et al. 2009 [28] which showed that carbon mineralization was affected with higher doses of fungicides. The slight increase in $\text{CO}_2$-evolution from soil amended with leaf litter over nonamended soil (data not shown) might be partly due to decomposition of leaf litter resulting in the evolution of $\text{CO}_2$ and due to selective increase in the population of some fungal species in treated leaf litter. The present results are in agreement with those obtained with mancozeb where 5 to 100 times decrease in population of carbon-mineralizing microorganisms at 10 ppm concentration have been reported [17].

In the present study, the amount of microbial biomass C in the unamended soil (Figure 7) was less as compared to the biomass C in apple leaf litter amended soil (data not shown). Presumably, since no substrate in the form of apple leaf litter was added into the soil, biomass must have utilized soil organic matter as its principle energy source [29]. Smith et al. [30] also showed that benomyl fungicide has no effect on soil microbial biomass C only due to the addition of organic matter.

In the present study, the differences in enzyme activities indicate that effect of mancozeb on soil enzymes is variable [18]. However, the enzyme activities are invariably present and enzymes are not rendered completely inactive. This may be due to the fact that enzyme may become established in the soil due to the formation of clay-enzyme complex [31]. The decrease in enzyme activity may be due to decrease in the microbial population, destruction, or inactivation of preexisting soil enzymes and substrate limiting for enzyme induction. These results were also supported by [19, 32] who found that invertase enzyme activity decreased with increase in fungicide concentration. Sukul [2] also reported that the activity of soil enzymes was considerably affected by the time of fungicide action.

Lower concentrations of mancozeb increase soil phosphatase activity. This can be due to the presence of zinc and manganese ions in mancozeb which might enhance the enzyme activity. Dick and Tabatabai [33] also found that zinc and manganese at low concentrations activated soil phosphatase activity, presumably by formation of a substrate-metal-enzyme bridge. Same results were also found in case of amylase and invertase where the enzymatic activities increased to some extent at low concentration with increase in incubation time. These results were also supported by Tu [1] where captan and chlorothalonil suppressed invertase activity for one day temporarily in a sandy loam soil and later on, after 2 days, the inhibitory effect diminished.

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, the fungicide mancozeb has a considerable deleterious impact on soil microflora, nitrification, ammonification, soil microbial biomass, carbon mineralization, and soil enzymes which may result in harmful effects on nutrient uptake and plant growth. These findings suggest that the use of mancozeb to control plant diseases in apple orchard soil requires simultaneous application of large quantities of nitrogen based fertilizers.
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