Disruption of the HIV-1 Envelope allosteric network blocks CD4-induced rearrangements
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The trimeric HIV-1 Envelope protein (Env) mediates viral-host cell fusion via a network of conformational transitions, with allosteric elements in each protomer orchestrating host receptor-induced exposure of the co-receptor binding site and fusion elements. To understand the molecular details of this allostery, here, we introduce Env mutations aimed to prevent CD4-induced rearrangements in the HIV-1 BG505 Env trimer. Binding analysis and single-molecule Förster Resonance Energy Transfer confirm that these mutations prevent CD4-induced transitions of the HIV-1 Env. Structural analysis by single-particle cryo-electron microscopy performed on the BG505 SOSIP mutant Env proteins shows rearrangements in the gp120 topological layer contacts with gp41. Displacement of a conserved tryptophan (W571) from its typical pocket in these Env mutants renders the Env insensitive to CD4 binding. These results reveal the critical function of W571 as a conformational switch in Env allostery and receptor-mediated viral entry and provide insights on Env conformation that are relevant for vaccine design.
HIV cell entry of HIV-1 is accomplished by the envelope glycoprotein (Env) spike. HIV-1 Env is a trimer of heterodimers comprised of gp120 and gp41 protomers, and exists in a metastable conformation capable of transitioning from a prefusion closed configuration to a fusion-competent open state upon triggering by CD4. The C-terminal gp41 domain contains a single transmembrane helix and the membrane fusion elements of the trimer. The gp120 segment binds the primary receptor CD4, triggering conformational changes leading to the binding of co-receptor CCR5/CXCR4 that causes global rearrangements in the trimer structure leading to viral and cell membrane fusion and gp120 shedding. Although many studies have sought to understand the nature of the communication between the CD4 binding site, the co-receptor binding site and the fusogenic elements of the HIV-1 Env, a complete understanding of the allosteric mechanism and metastability in HIV-1 Env remains lacking.

The design of a soluble, stabilized ectodomain Env (SOSIP), containing an engineered gp120 to gp41 disulfide (SOS) and an HR1 helix breaking I to P mutation, has revealed structural details regarding broadly neutralizing antibody (bNAb) epitopes and as an immunogen has induced autologous neutralizing antibodies. The Env open state presents highly immunogenic, conserved fusion elements that typically induce poorly neutralizing antibody responses with limited heterologous breadth. Indeed, design efforts to improve the Env SOSIP by further stabilizing the closed Env conformation have resulted in multiple prefusion stabilized trimer designs capable of inducing improved autologous, difficult-to-neutralize (tier 2) virus antibody responses. However, to date, no trimer design has successfully induced robust heterologous antibody responses.

Both the soluble and membrane-bound forms of the Env display an intrinsic ability to transition between multiple conformational states. In the pre-fusion, closed state, typical of SOSIP trimers, the gp120 domains surround a bundle of three gp41 helices, protecting conserved fusion elements of the trimer. In combination with these residues, additional sites were selected in layer-1 residues V68, W69, W112, and W427, form contacts from the CD4 binding site β20-β21 loop through to an intermediate helix, containing a portion of layer-2, to the distant gp120 layer-1 loop that is in contact with the gp41 three-helix bundle in the closed state. In the open state structures, rearrangement of the layer-1 loop, which contains W69, further suggested that layer-1 plasticity plays a role in conformational transitions. Indeed, each loop has been implicated in the regulation of Env conformational transitions. Additional structural information from antibody-stabilized, potential closed-to-open state intermediates in SOSIP trimers suggests trimer opening occurs through ordered conformational transitions.

Despite these advances in our understanding of Env transitions, atomic level details of the allosteric mechanism by which CD4 induces transitions in the Env has remained elusive, thus limiting our ability to leverage such a mechanism for the development of vaccine immunogens. To investigate the allosteric mechanism of CD4-induced Env opening and to design SOSIP and membrane bound Env trimers that are resistant to CD4-induced structural changes, we aimed to interrupt the allosteric network responsible for CD4-induced triggering. The small molecule BMS-626529 is an attachment inhibitor that stabilizes the Env SOSIP in its prefusion closed state preventing CD4 binding and triggering. BMS-626529 neutralizes Env pseudoviruses with nanomolar (nM) IC50, and has shown efficacy in blocking HIV-1 infection in vitro and in vivo. Further, BMS-626529 is known to stabilize membrane Env gp160 in its closed, functional state, which is an important target in Env immunogen design efforts. Based upon the known allosteric control elements in the Env and atomic level details of the binding site of the BMS-626529 inhibitor, we designed mutations that disrupted the Env allosteric network and rendered it unresponsive to CD4-induced structural rearrangements. Structure determination via single particle cryo-EM revealed key details regarding how allosteric elements downstream from the gp120-CD4 contact control transitions of the trimer between the Env closed and open states. These results provide a means by which to control the Env conformational ensemble and reveal details required for understanding the conformational plasticity of the HIV-1 Env.

**Results**

**Design of an allosterically decoupled Env construct.** The small molecule HIV-1 entry inhibitor BMS-626529 has been shown to prevent sCD4-induced rearrangements in both soluble gp140 SOSIP trimers and native virion bound Env gp160. We reasoned that design of stabilized Env trimers based on an understanding of BMS-626529-mediated stabilization could lead to mutations that inhibit CD4 triggering for both the soluble SOSIP and membrane-bound Env trimers in complex with BMS-626529 revealed that the compound resists in an induced pocket between the β20-β21 loop and the layer-2 a-helix, thus allowing the C-terminal gp41 domain to be exposed in a manner similar to previous stabilization strategies. Hydrophobic and/or space-filling mutations were made at each site for both the F-series and Vt-series sites. Beginning from a construct...
containing all sites in each series, smaller sets of mutations were prepared in order to examine the effect of particular mutations and to maximize the likelihood of identifying a suitable set of mutations for downstream processing (Supplementary Table 1).

In order to examine their effect on the SOSIP trimer, each BG505 SOSIP mutant and the unmutated BG505 SOSIP (BG505 SOSIP) was transfected in HEK Freestyle293 (293F) cells followed by cell culture supernatant screening via biolayer interferometry (BLI) (Supplementary Fig. 2A). The antibodies used in this screening included PGT145, 17B, 19B, and VRC01 in order to assess trimer quaternary conformation, CD4i epitope exposure, V3 exposure, and gp120 folding, respectively. Comparison of these results with the differences observed in the same assay for BG505 SOSIP in the presence and absence of BMS-626529 identified the ‘F’ series mutants F11, F14, and F15 as well as the ‘Vt’ series mutant Vt-8 as candidates for replicating the effects of the BMS-626529 V255L Vt8 F14 T320M N302L N300L Q203M Q422M V208 L V68I A204V Closed Open
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Fig. 1 Disrupting HIV-1 Env allostery to block CD4-induced conformational changes. a HIV-1 Env SOSIP structure. Protomer to the left colored according to regions of allosteric control with BMS-626529 represented as black spheres. Protomer to the right in matte color scheme depicting the location of Vt-series mutations (cyan spheres) and F-series mutations (blue spheres). BMS-626529 depicted in stick representation. b Set of Vt8 residues. c Set of F14 mutations. Residue sidechains are represented as sticks with Cα atoms represented as cyan spheres. d Sequence alignment of BG505, F14, and F14/Vt8 with F14 mutations highlighted in blue and Vt8 mutations highlighted in cyan. Layers 1 and 2, the V1/V2 region, and β20-β21 are highlighted using the same color scheme as in a. e Binding antigenicity of BG505 SOSIP.664 and mutants. (left) Heatmap of bnAb binding responses, CD4 binding, and CD4 triggering. Values for CD4 binding and CD4 triggering are normalized to BG505 SOSIP. (middle) Surface representation of a closed state SOSIP (PDB ID 5CEZ) and (right) an open state structure (PDB ID 5VN3). Colors identify antibody binding locations.
Redesigned soluble SOSIP gp140 characterization. To evaluate the antigenicity of the designed Env mutants, we produced and purified the BG505 and mutant SOSIP Env variants by transient transfection in 293F cell culture followed by PGT145 affinity chromatography to select for well-folded trimers. The PGT145 purified material was further purified via size exclusion chromatography which resulted in a homogenous peak corresponding to the SOSIP trimer yielding a gp140 band when analyzed by non-reducing SDS-PAGE gel (Supplementary Fig. 2B). We verified trimer formation for each construct by negative stain (NS) electron microscopy. The NS 2D-class averages of each construct confirmed that the mutants adopted a trimeric configuration similar to that of the BG505 SOSIP trimer (Supplementary Fig. 2C).

We next examined the antigenicity of key bnAb epitope specificities for the redesigned SOSIPs via BLI using VRC01, PGT121, PG9, PGT145, PGT151, and VRC26 bnAbs, having CD4 binding site, glycan-V3, glycan-V1/V2, trimer apex, gp120/gp41 interface, and V1/V2 epitope specificities, respectively (Fig. 1e). VRC01 binding indicated a ~2-fold enhanced affinity for both V18 and F14/V18 relative to the BG505 SOSIP (Fig. 1e, Supplementary Table 3, Supplementary Fig. 3A). Fitting of the dose response curves for PGT121, PG9, PGT145, PGT151, and VRC26 indicated the mutations did not alter the affinity of the trimer for these important bnAb epitope specificities with nominal fold changes on the order of 1.0–1.3 (Fig. 1e, Supplementary Table 3, Supplementary Fig. 3A). These results indicated that the mutant designs presented a native, well-folded SOSIP trimer configuration and effectively presented multiple bnAb epitope specificities.

We next asked whether these mutations altered sCD4 binding. We determined the apparent affinity of each mutant construct and BG505 SOSIP for sCD4 via surface plasmon resonance (SPR). The affinities to CD4 determined for the F14 and V18 mutant SOSIPs matched that of BG505 SOSIP closely with $K_D$ values of 73.0 nM ± 26.2 nM, 83.9 nM ± 12.6 nM, and 67.9 nM ± 26.4 nM, respectively (Fig. 1e, Supplementary Table 2, Supplementary Fig. 3B; $N = 2$ with standard error). The BG505 F14/V18 SOSIP construct displayed a ~4-fold enhanced CD4 affinity compared to BG505 SOSIP with a $K_D$ of 15.6 nM ± 0.4 nM primarily as a result of an enhanced association rate (Fig. 1e, Supplementary Table 2, Supplementary Fig. 3B; $N = 2$ with standard error). As each construct bound CD4, we next asked whether sCD4 triggering was inhibited by the F14, V18, and F14/V18 mutations relative to the BG505 SOSIP. The CD4i antibody 17B and V3-targeting antibody 19B were used to monitor triggering of the co-receptor binding site and V3 exposed states, respectively. The results for the F14 construct indicated that sCD4 triggering of the open state is nearly eliminated based upon the lack of 17B response together with a ~11-fold reduction in 19B response (Fig. 1e, Supplementary Fig. 3C). The V18 mutations similarly reduced 19B epitope exposure by ~7-fold with triggering of the 17B epitope reduced by ~9-fold (Fig. 1e, Supplementary Fig. 3C). Importantly, the combined F14/V18 construct eliminated CD4-induced exposure of both epitopes (Fig. 1e, Supplementary Fig. 3C). We also tested binding of the BG505 and mutant SOSIP Envs, in the presence and absence of sCD4, to a larger panel of V3 targeting MAbs, including 3074, 447-52D, and F39F. The results were consistent with those observed for 19B binding demonstrating triggering of BG505 SOSIP, reduced triggering of the F14 and V18 mutants, and little to no triggering of the F14/V18 mutant (Supplementary Fig. 3F). To further validate these results, we measured 17B and PGT145 binding after incubation of BG505 and mutant SOSIP trimers with sCD4 for 30 minutes and 20 h. The BG505 SOSIP displayed increased 17B binding after the 30-min incubation with a further increase after 20 hours of incubation (Supplementary Fig. 3D). The mutant Env SOSIPs were, however, little changed (Supplementary Fig. 3D). Though the 30-minute incubation of BG505 with sCD4 had no effect on PGT145 binding, a marked reduction in binding was observed after 20 hours (Supplementary Fig. 3E). This reduction was not observed in the mutant SOSIP Envs (Supplementary Fig. 3E). Together, these results indicated that, while CD4 binding was retained, the mutant SOSIP Envs were not triggerable.

Thermal stability of F14 and F14/V18 SOSIPs. To assess the thermal stability of the F14, V18, and F14/V18 SOSIP trimers, and to compare them with BG505 SOSIP, we determined thermal denaturation maxima ($T_{max}$) using differential scanning calorimetry (DSC), which showed that the F14 mutations did not alter trimer thermal stability with $T_{max}$ values of 66.3 °C ± 0.02 and 66.3 °C ± 0.06 for the BG505 and F14 constructs, respectively (Fig. 2; $N = 2$ with standard deviation). The V18 and F14/V18 constructs displayed a 2.5 °C ± 0.02 and 1.8 °C ± 0.05 increase in $T_{max}$, respectively, indicating the V18 mutations slightly improved thermal stability of the SOSIP trimer (Fig. 2; $N = 2$ with standard deviation).

Cryo-EM structures of the BG505 F14 and F14/V18 SOSIPs. To understand the structural basis for the observed lack of CD4-induced conformational rearrangements, we determined...
structures of the F14 SOSIP trimer in complex with VRC01 (Fig. 3a) and the F14/Vt8 SOSIP trimer in complex with VRC03 and 10-1074 (Fig. 3b) via single particle cryo-electron microscopy (cryo-EM). Map reconstruction was initially carried out in cryoSPARC followed by further refinement outside of cryoSPARC as described in the methods section (Supplementary Fig. 4, Supplementary Table 4). A total of 77,632 and 84,378 particles yielded final map resolutions of 3.0 Å and 2.9 Å, respectively (Supplementary Fig. 4). Fitting of atomic coordinates into each map revealed similar overall structures for both BG505 F14 and F14/Vt8 SOSIPs with a root mean square deviation of 0.70 Å for gp140 alignment (Fig. 3c). The F14 mutations predominantly reside in V1/V2 near the apical region of layer-2 and in layer-1 near the gp120 contact with gp41 HR1 (Figs. 1a–c and 3d–g). Clear densities for the F14 and Vt8 mutations were observed in both structures revealing minimal change in their positions relative to their typical SOSIP positions (Fig. 3d, f, Supplementary Fig. 5). However, map densities in the C-terminal portion of HR-1 in both F14 and F14/Vt8 trimers displayed a helical extension of the buried three helix bundle toward the trimer apex, a feature that resembles the extension observed in open and partially open SOSIP structures (Figs. 3 and 4)27,31. As a result of this gp41 restructuring, residue K567 displaced W571 from the layer-1/layer-2 pocket that was formed by residues F43, C54, W69, T71, A73, C74, D107, L111, and T217 (Fig. 4a, d). In the F14/Vt8 structure, this restructuring was associated with a rearrangement in layer-1 that reoriented residues H66 and H72 resulting in the formation of a potentially water mediated histidine triad configuration with gp41 HR1 H565 (Fig. 4b–d). Interestingly, the F14 map displayed layer-1 loop densities suggestive of multistate behavior. Specifically, density corresponding to H66 and H72 in a configuration similar to that of the F14/Vt8 structure appeared
Comparison of Env trimer structures. To understand the effect of the F14 and F14/V18 mutations on the overall structure of the SOSIP Env trimers, we examined regions of the structures distant from the F14 mutations. The individual domain coordinates of the mutant SOSIP trimer domains were found to be largely unperturbed indicating that the effects of the F14 and V18 mutations were localized. The gp120 domains within the Env trimer are capable of rigid body movement relative to one another and to the gp41 three-helix bundle. We therefore devised a set of reference positions in gp120 and gp41 capable of describing structural rearrangements associated with rigid body movement in gp120 and gp41 (Fig. 5). By comparing the closed and open state SOSIP trimer structures we identified two key points in the trimer about which the distance and angular disposition of the relevant domains could be described. Specifically, alignment of closed and open state (PDB IDs 5CEZ and 5VN3, respectively) gp41 residues G597-D664 that occur at the C-terminal end of the three-helix bundle helix demonstrated that the conformational transition from closed to open state yields a similar structure in this region with an RMSD of 0.72 Å (Fig. 5a). This identified W596 as a hinge point about which the gp41 C-terminus rotates relative to the three-helix bundle. Comparing the position of the gp120 domains from this alignment revealed an additional trimer hinge-point between the gp120 N-terminal K46 and C-terminal K490 about which gp120 rotates (Fig. 5b). We therefore devised a set of vectors connecting key points of the various trimer elements, including the W596 (A) and W571 (B) c-α, a gp120 c-α centroid (C), a K46-K490 c-α centroid (D), and a V1/V2 c-α centroid (E), to enable comparison of the relative dispositions of the trimers in the closed, open and partially open (Openp) states (Fig. 5c and Supplementary Fig. 6A). Using these vectors, we performed a meta-analysis of Env structures deposited in the PDB. A total of 82 structures were analyzed including the F14 and F14/V18 structures. We first examined the dihedral angle defined by the vectors connecting W571 c-α, W596 c-α, K46-K490 c-α centroid, and the gp120 centroid (BADc; Fig. 5d). The dihedral angles calculated from the structures of the closed Env trimers clustered together with a mean of 10.5° (Fig. 5d). Dihedral angles of the three partially open structures, PDB IDs 6CM3, 6EDU, and 5THR, showed slightly shifted values of 0.7°, -7.7°, and -16.0°, respectively, while the dihedral angles of the two open state structures displayed a marked shift at ~87° each. The angles defined by W571 c-α, W596 c-α, and K46-K490 c-α centroid (BAD; named Angle 1) and by W596 c-α, K46-K490 c-α centroid, and gp120 centroid (ADC; named Angle 2) clustered in the closed state structures with means of 35.5° and 24.9°, respectively. Interestingly, the open state structures lie in the same closed state structure BAD angle cluster while the partially open state structures show a marked shift toward higher angles with a mean of 48.9°. When comparing the ADC angle, however, the open state structures, with a mean of 10.5°, differ from the closed state structures, while the partially open state structures have similar angles. The differences in the magnitude of the vector connecting the W571 c-α and the gp120 centroid were consistent with previous observations indicating that in both the partially open and open state structures the gp120 domains are shifted away from the gp41 core. These vector terms indicate that the transition from the closed state first involves a rotation about the W596 anchor away from the gp41 three-helix bundle followed by rotation about the vector connecting the W596 c-α and K46-K490 c-α centroid with a concomitant rotation in the direction of the three-helix bundle. The ability to discern closed, partially open, and fully open state structures confirmed that these vectors effectively reported on the relative disposition of gp120 to the gp41 three-helix bundle. We therefore examined whether the distance and angle terms between vectors connecting the key points, in combination with the dihedral terms, further characterized structural similarity between various antibody bound trimers. The spread in the angle and distance distributions limited direct analysis. Therefore, we employed the principal components

**Fig. 4 Atomic level structural details of BG505 F14 and F14/V18 SOSIP.**

a (top) Side view of VRC01 bound BG505 F14 SOSIP, with the rectangle highlighting the location of interior conformational change. (bottom) Zoomed-in view showing the gp41 region around residues K567 and W571 (shown as sticks), with the cryo-EM map shown as a mesh, and the underlying fitted coordinates in cartoon representation. b (top) VRC01-bound BG505 F14 SOSIP apex-facing trimer orientation, with the rectangle highlighting the location of interior conformational change. (bottom) Zoomed-in view showing the apparent histidine triad (shown as sticks) with the cryo-EM map shown as a mesh, and the underlying fitted coordinates in cartoon representation. c Side view of histidine triad relative to gp120 and residue K567. The viewing angles in Panels b and c are identical. d Top down view of histidine triad relative to gp120. e, f Comparison between the BG505 F14/V18 and BG505 SOSIP layer-1/2 pairwise L200 inner/outer domains.

alongside additional distinct densities, which may correspond to differing H72 sidechain configurations (Supplementary Fig. 5). This suggested that the addition of the V18 mutations in the F14/V18 construct further stabilized the topological layer region facilitating the observed reduction in sCD4 triggering. Together, these results showed that structural changes in the region of gp120 contact with the C-terminal portion of gp41 HR1 caused by the F14 mutations effectively decoupled key allosteric control elements in the HIV-1 Env SOSIP trimer.
analysis method to reduce the dimensionality of the dataset to enable clustering of similar SOSIP Env structures. Due to the increased number of terms and the possibility of fit uncertainty influencing the results, for this analysis we only used structures with reported overall resolution better than 4.5 Å. Additionally, the partially open and open state structures were excluded since they could dominate the PCs in this analysis. This resulted in the inclusion of a total of 59 structures. Clustering of the PCA results indicated that the F14 and F14/Vt8 structures indeed differed from the majority of the published SOSIP structures, residing in distinct clusters closer to a cluster of fusion peptide-directed antibody-bound SOSIP structures including those induced in mice and Rhesus macaques (Fig. 5e, Supplementary Table 5). This finding indicated that the changes observed in the layer1/2 contact region with gp41 indeed altered the overall orientation of gp120 relative to gp41, and showed that these changes were structurally similar to those induced by fusion peptide-directed antibodies. We next examined the structure of the vFP antibodies in the region of the F14 conformational changes. All four mouse vFP-bound, stabilized BG505 SOSIP trimers displayed density consistent with the helical extension and W571 rearrangement suggesting the rearrangement in the F14 and F14/Vt8 structures...
represented a functionally accessible state of the Env and that vFp antibodies may neutralize via inhibition of HIV-1 Env triggering (Fig. 5f, Supplementary Fig. 6). The F14 cluster contained one vFp bound structure and a BG505 RC1 SOSIP\textsuperscript{40}, designed to enhance vaccine induced V3-glycan responses, in complex with 10-1074 and a CD4bs Fab. Interestingly, antibodies in the F14/Vt8 cluster included the RC1 SOSIP bound to vaccine induced V3-glycan targeting Fabs and the partially open state stabilizing 8ANC195 Fab suggesting the 8ANC195 Fab shifted the configuration relative to the 10-1074 bound structure. The remaining structure in the F14/Vt8 cluster was for a CH505 SOSIP Env (Fig. 7). A number of factors may influence the observed binding by cytometry to determine the percentage of positive cells and mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) for bnAb binding (Supplementary Fig. 7). A number of factors may influence the observed binding including the presence of misfolded or degraded Env. We therefore primarily focused on the relative differences between the constructs under the conditions tested. The percentage of cells testing positive for binding to each bnAb were similar in each construct with the exception of BG505 DS binding to CH01, which displayed a marked reduction in cells positive for CH01 binding (Fig. 6a, Supplementary Fig. 8A, Supplementary Table 6). We next examined binding of non-bnAbs 19B and 17B, which target the open state V3-tip and bridging sheet epitopes, respectively. Binding to cell surface expressed Env trimer was assessed via flow cytometry to determine the percentage of positive cells and mean fluorescence intensities (MFI) for bnAb binding (Supplementary Fig. 7). A number of factors may influence the observed binding including the presence of misfolded or degraded Env. We therefore primarily focused on the relative differences between the constructs under the conditions tested.

In the assay using cell surface Env gp160s, BG505, a previously engineered BG505 stabilized design termed BG505 DS\textsuperscript{55}, and BG505 F14/Vt8, BG505 F14, and BG505 Vt8 were first tested for binding to bnAbs N6, CH01, PGT125, and PGT145 targeting CD4bs, V1/V2, glycan-V3, and trimer apex epitopes, respectively. Binding to cell surface expressed Env trimer was assessed via flow cytometry to determine the percentage of positive cells and mean fluorescence intensities (MFI) for bnAb binding (Supplementary Fig. 7). A number of factors may influence the observed binding including the presence of misfolded or degraded Env. We therefore primarily focused on the relative differences between the constructs under the conditions tested. The percentage of cells testing positive for binding to each bnAb were similar in each construct with the exception of BG505 DS binding to CH01, which displayed a marked reduction in cells positive for CH01 binding (Fig. 6a, Supplementary Fig. 8A, Supplementary Table 6). We next examined binding of non-bnAbs 19B and 17B, which target the open state V3-tip and bridging sheet epitopes, respectively. Binding to these open state-prefering antibodies was tested in the presence and absence of sCD4 or potently neutralizing eCD4-Ig\textsuperscript{42}. In order to ensure comparable levels of Env surface expression between constructs, the near-pan neutralizing bnAb N6\textsuperscript{43} was used as a benchmark yielding comparable binding for all constructs tested with MFIs of 457.3 ± 137.9, 338.0 ± 72.6, 314.3 ± 43.2, 568.0 ± 152.6, and 378.3 ± 73.1 for BG505, BG505 DS, BG505 F14/Vt8, BG505 F14, and BG505 Vt8, respectively (Supplementary Fig. 8B, C, Supplementary Table 7; N = 3 with standard deviation). Pairwise percentage positive cell comparison of each construct in each replicate experiment demonstrated a consistent reduction of intrinsic exposure of the 17B open state epitope in both the BG505 DS and BG505 F14/Vt8 stabilized gp160 trimers as compared to BG505 gp160 (Fig. 6b, Supplementary Fig. 8D, E, Supplementary Table 6). Intrinsic exposure of the V3 tip targeting 19B epitope was relatively similar between BG505 and DS gp160s while the BG505 F14/Vt8 gp160 displayed a consistent ~2-fold reduction in 19B epitope exposure relative to BG505 gp160 (Fig. 6b, Supplementary

**Redesigned gp160 trimer characterization.** To determine whether the effects of the F14/Vt8 mutations observed in the soluble SOSIP trimers translated to native, membrane-bound gp160 trimers, we used two measures: (1) we assessed the antigenicity and CD4-triggering of cell surface Env gp160s using 293 F cells displaying full-length trimers on their surface and (2) we performed smFRET experiments on BG505 Env on the virion surface.

In the assay using cell surface Env gp160s, BG505, a previously engineered BG505 stabilized design termed BG505 DS\textsuperscript{55}, and BG505 F14/Vt8, BG505 F14, and BG505 Vt8 were first tested for binding to bnAbs N6, CH01, PGT125, and PGT145 targeting CD4bs, V1/V2, glycan-V3, and trimer apex epitopes, respectively. Binding to cell surface expressed Env trimer was assessed via flow cytometry to determine the percentage of positive cells and mean fluorescence intensities (MFI) for bnAb binding (Supplementary Fig. 7). A number of factors may influence the observed binding including the presence of misfolded or degraded Env. We therefore primarily focused on the relative differences between the constructs under the conditions tested. The percentage of cells testing positive for binding to each bnAb were similar in each construct with the exception of BG505 DS binding to CH01, which displayed a marked reduction in cells positive for CH01 binding (Fig. 6a, Supplementary Fig. 8A, Supplementary Table 6). We next examined binding of non-bnAbs 19B and 17B, which target the open state V3-tip and bridging sheet epitopes, respectively. Binding to these open state-prefering antibodies was tested in the presence and absence of sCD4 or potently neutralizing eCD4-Ig\textsuperscript{42}. In order to ensure comparable levels of Env surface expression between constructs, the near-pan neutralizing bnAb N6\textsuperscript{43} was used as a benchmark yielding comparable binding for all constructs tested with MFIs of 457.3 ± 137.9, 338.0 ± 72.6, 314.3 ± 43.2, 568.0 ± 152.6, and 378.3 ± 73.1 for BG505, BG505 DS, BG505 F14/Vt8, BG505 F14, and BG505 Vt8, respectively (Supplementary Fig. 8B, C, Supplementary Table 7; N = 3 with standard deviation). Pairwise percentage positive cell comparison of each construct in each replicate experiment demonstrated a consistent reduction of intrinsic exposure of the 17B open state epitope in both the BG505 DS and BG505 F14/Vt8 stabilized gp160 trimers as compared to BG505 gp160 (Fig. 6b, Supplementary Fig. 8D, E, Supplementary Table 6).

**Discussion**

The HIV-1 Env is an intricate conformational machine that propagates receptor-mediated structural changes from a neutralization-resistant closed conformation to a fusion-competent open conformation that exposes immunodominant epitopes for non-neutralizing antibodies. The closed conformation of the HIV-1 Env is of interest for vaccine design since it presents the epitopes for broadly neutralizing antibodies, and the expectation is that effective presentation of the native, closed conformation of the Env by vaccination is essential to elicit broadly neutralizing antibodies.

---
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Indeed, many studies have succeeded in stabilizing the closed conformation of the HIV-1 Env both in the soluble Env format, as well on the cell surface, with varying levels of success in eliciting autologous and heterologous neutralization10–21.

In this study we combined structural and mechanistic information to construct a mutant Env that is no longer responsive to triggering by the CD4 receptor. Two strategies were employed, each aimed at shifting the equilibrium of Env dynamics towards its closed state. The first strategy that led to the F14 series of mutations identified the conserved W571 as a conformational switch and effectively disabled a communication network that relied on the movement of topological layers 1 and 2 to transmit structural changes from the CD4 binding site. The second path aimed to prevent V3 exposure via mutation of buried hydrophilic residues to hydrophobic residues in order to prevent the infiltration of water into the space between V3 and V1/V2. This second approach was similar to recent stabilization strategies also aimed at preventing V3 exposure and yielded similar results10,13,17. Comparison of the F14 and Vt8 designs with previous stabilization strategies via the vector-based analysis (Fig. 5d, e) revealed that most stabilized SOSIP structures, with the exception of those bound to fusion peptide-directed antibodies and the RC1 structures, resided in two dominant closed state clusters (Fig. 5e; Supplementary Table 5). Interestingly, unlike previous stabilization strategies that either reduce or prevent CD4 binding12,13,17,45, the F14/Vt8 trimer retained interaction with CD4 while still preventing CD4-induced exposure of open state epitopes. Together, the findings presented here indicated close coupling of sCD4-induced internal rearrangements in gp120 and the N-terminal portion of the gp41 three-helix bundle.

Fig. 6 F14/Vt8 mutations stabilize native, membrane bound Env. a Percentage of cells positive for binding of bnAbs N6, CH01, PGT125, and PGT145 to 293F cell surface expressed BG505, BG505 DS, and BG505 F14/Vt8 trimers. N = 3 independent experiments, error bars represent the standard deviation of the mean. b Chart of the percentage of positive cells from each independent triggering experiment colored according to the first (red), second (green) and third (blue) experiments with BG505 represented as squares, BG505 DS represented as upward oriented triangles, and BG505 F14/Vt8 represented as downward oriented triangles. c Single molecular FRET distribution for BG505 (dashed cyan line) and F14/Vt8 (solid blue line) virus Env. d Single molecular FRET distribution for BG505 (dashed pink line) and F14/Vt8 (solid red line) virus Env in the presence of dodecameric CD4. e Mechanism for transition between a closed state trimer to an open state trimer.

Layer-1, Layer-2, V1/V2, V3, gp41, β20-β21, gp 120 inner/outer domains

1- Pre-triggered state19. 2- CD4 engagement induces rearrangement in β20-β21 triggering V1/V2 instability19 leading to V3 exposure24,51. 3- Rearrangement in layer-2 allowing release of gp41 W571 via V1/V2 rearrangement31 [this study]. 4- Release of layer-1 allowing gp120 rotation away from the internal gp41 13-helix bundle [this study]. 5- Single protomer open, layer-1 rearranged intermediate yielding an asymmetric intermediate44. 6- Final open state27,51.
While the F14 and V18 designs were made and tested in the context of the soluble SOSIP Env, the observed effects translated to the Env on the native virion surface, suggesting that despite potential differences between the native Env and the engineered SOSIPs, an allosteric network that was common to both formats was disabled by the mutations. Indeed, the structure of a PGT151-bound full-length Env trimer that was purified by detergent solubilization of cell surface expressed gp160 demonstrated contacts between the topological layers of gp120 and the gp41 three-helix bundle consistent with the conclusion that the mutations were targeting an allosteric network common to both the native Env and engineered SOSIPs. Recent structures of the full length, detergent-solubilized gp160 SOSIP trimers in complex with PGT145 or PG16 showed a similar overall structure of the SOSIP Env, and also showed similar gp120 topological layer contacts with the gp41 3-helix bundle, lending further support for the generality of these contacts and the proposed mechanism of Env allostery. Based on these results, we propose a mechanism by which the HIV-1 Env transitions from the pre-fusion closed state to a fully open, fusion-competent state through a series of sequential steps (Fig. 6e). Beginning from a closed state in which the trimer apex and topological layers surround the gp41 three-helix bundle, engagement of a single CD4 induces β20-β21 rearrangement and is associated with Env triggering via residue 1432 in β20-β21 and residue L193 in V1/V2. Instability in V1/V2 associated with these changes would allow V3 exposure and initial apex dissociation of a single gp120 protomer from the gp41 three-helix bundle. Internal configurational changes in V1/V2 could then propagate to layers-1 and 2, thereby releasing W571, thus lowering the potential energy barrier to full gp120-gp41 three-helix bundle dissociation resulting in a single gp120 open, asymmetric trimer configuration. Indeed, viruses with substitution of W571 are replication defective and non-infectious due to abolished membrane fusion activity despite effective cell surface expression, processing, and retention of CD4 binding, demonstrating the importance of this residue in downstream CD4-induced changes.

Recombinant HIV-1 envelope SOSIP gp140 production. BG505 S332 SOSIP gp140 envelope production was performed with Freestyle293 cells (Thermo Fisher Cat No. R79007). On the day of transfection, Freestyle293 were diluted to 1.25 x 10⁶ cells/mL with fresh Freestyle293 media up to 1 L total volume. The cells were co-transfected with 650 µg of SOSIP expressing plasmid DNA and 150 µg of fluorescing expressing plasmid DNA complexed with DNA complexation reagent (Thermo Fisher Cat No. 12347019). On day 6 cell culture supernatants were harvested by centrifugation of the cell culture for 30 min at 3500 rpm. The cell-free supernatant was filtered through a 0.8 µm filter and concentrated to 100 µL with a single-use tangential flow filtration cassette and 0.8 µm filter again. Trimeric supernatant was purified using a 200 kDa molecular weight cut off (MWCO) spin column (GE Healthcare). The CD4 binding activity of the purified supernatant was assayed using a microtiter plate (Nunc-Row, GE Healthcare) and stored in PBS supplemented with 0.05% sodium azide. Cell-free supernatant was applied to the column at 2 mL/min using an AKTA Pure (GE Healthcare), washed, and protein was eluted off of the column with 3 M MgCl₂ saturated Tris with 200 µM MgCl₂. Supramutant was then diluted to immediately eluting protein at 100 µM for the association phase. The sensor tips were immersed in PBS for 600 s for the dissociation phase after which the sensor tips were immersed in PBS for 600 s for the dissociation phase. The sensor tips were regenerated using glycine pH 2.0 for an immersion time of 20 s between measurements. For the dose response experiments, the sensor tips were immersed in the SOSIP-containing wells for 180 s for the association and 60 s for dissociation phase using a shake speed of 1000 rpm beginning from the lowest SOSIP concentration. Regeneration was not performed between measurements of increase SOSIP concentration. A longer, final dissociation phase of 600 s was measured for the highest concentration SOSIP containing well. Non-specific binding was accounted for via subtraction of sensorgrams obtained using the anti-Flu antibodies and Ab82 control mAb. Reported binding corresponded to the fluororesent signal and the end of the association phase. Data was evaluated using the Octet Data Analysis 10.0 software (FortiBio) with dose response curves fitted in GraphPad Prism using the one site specific binding model.

Surface plasmon resonance. Triggering of SOSIP gp140 Env by soluble CD4 (sCD4) was monitored via surface Plasmon resonance and was performed on a BIAcore 3000 instrument (GE Healthcare). Antibodies were immobilized using direct immobilization using amine coupling on CM3 sensor chips (GE Healthcare) at ~5000 RU. The SOSIP concentration in the presence and absence of a 1:3 mixture of sCD4 was 200 nM. Samples were injected over at a rate of 30 µL/min for a total of 90 µL using the high performance kinjet injection mode with a dissociation phase of 600 s over four flow cells containing 17B, 19B, VRC01, or the Ab82 control. The chip surface was regenerated between measurements using two 100 µL aliquots of 20 µL of glycine pH 2.0 at a flow rate of 100 µL/min. The resulting response curves were processed using the BIAevaluation 4.1 (GE Healthcare) software using a double reference subtraction. Reported response values were determined taking the average response from 170 to 175 s after the start of the injection.

Thermal denaturation. Thermal denaturation experiments were performed using the NanoDSC platform (TA Instruments). Samples were dialyzed into HEPES buffered saline (HBS; 10 mM HEPES, 130 mM NaCl, pH 7.4), dialyzed in dialysate to 0.2 to 0.4 mg/mL, and degassed for 15 min. Following condition of the DSC cells in dialysate, samples were loaded and heated from 20°C to 100°C at 3 atmin of pressure at a rate of 1°C/min using the dialysate as a reference. The obtained denaturation profiles were buffer subtracted and base line corrected using a 6th-order polynomial using the NanoAnalyze software (TA Instruments). The reported T_{max} corresponds to the average maximum observed heat capacity from three independent measurements.

Methods

Recombinant antibody production. Expi293 cells (ThermoFisher Cat No. A14527) were diluted to a final volume of 0.3 L at a concentration of 2.5 x 10⁶ cells/mL in Expi293 media. Four hundred microliters of heavy chain and light chain plasmid were complexed with ExpiFectamine (ThermoFisher Cat No. A14526) and added to the Expi293 cells. On day 5, cells were cleared from transfection cell culture media by centrifugation and 0.8 µM filtration. The supernatant containing recombinant antibody was incubated with protein A resin (ThermoFisher) overnight at 4°C. The protein A resin was collected by centrifugation and washed with 1× PBS. The resin was washed with 25 mL of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) containing a total of 340 mM NaCl. Thirty mL of 10 mM glycine pH 2.4, 150 mM NaCl were used to elute the antibody off of the protein A resin. The pH of the eluted antibody solution was increased to approximately 7 by the addition of 1 M Tris pH 8.0. The antibody solution was buffer exchanged into PBS with successive rounds of centrifugation, filtered, and stored at ~80°C.

Bacterial identifier. Cell supernatant mAb binding and dose response curves were obtained using bacterial identifier (BLI; OctetRed96, FortiBio). Antibodies were immobilized on anti-Human IgG Fc capture (AHIC; FortiBio) sensor tips via immersion in 20 µg/mL mAb in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for 300 s followed by washing in PBS for 60 s at 1000 rpm. For the cell supernatant assays, the mAb captured sensor tips were then immersed in 200 µL of the transfection supernatant for 400 s at 1000 rpm for the association phase after which the sensor tips were immersed in PBS for 600 s for the dissociation phase. The sensor tips were regenerated using glycine pH 2.0 for an immersion time of 20 s between measurements. For the dose response experiments, the sensor tips were immersed in the SOSIP-containing wells for 180 s for the association and 60 s for dissociation phase using a shake speed of 1000 rpm beginning from the lowest SOSIP concentration. Regeneration was not performed between measurements of increase SOSIP concentration. A longer, final dissociation phase of 600 s was measured for the highest concentration SOSIP containing well. Non-specific binding was accounted for via subtraction of sensorgrams obtained using the anti-Flu antibodies and Ab82 control mAb. Reported binding corresponded to the fluorescent signal and the end of the association phase. Data was evaluated using the Octet Data Analysis 10.0 software (FortiBio) with dose response curves fitted in GraphPad Prism using the one site specific binding model.
Cryo-EM sample preparation. The BG505 F14 and F14/V8 SOSIP trimers complexes were prepared using a stock solution of 2 mg/ml trimer incubated with a six-fold molar excess of VRC01 or VRC03 and 10^{-74}, respectively. To prevent interaction of the trimers complexes with the air-water interface during vitrification, the samples were incubated in 0.085 mM n-dodecyl β-D-maltoside (DDM). Samples were applied to plasma-cleaned QUANTIFOIL holey carbon grids (EMS, R1.2/1.3 Cu mesh) followed by a 30-s adsorption period and blotting with filter paper. The grids were plunge frozen in liquid ethane using an EM GP2 plunge freezer (Leica, 90–95% relative humidity).

Cryo-EM data collection. Cryo-EM imaging was performed on a FEI Titan Krios microscope (Thermo Fisher Scientific) operated at 300 kV. Data collection images were acquired with a Falcon 3EC Direct Electron Detector operated in counting mode with a calibrated physical pixel size of 1.08 Å with a defocus range between −1500 and 1500 nm. Data were processed using proprietary software (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Energy filter or Cs corrector was installed on the microscope. The dose rate used was 0.8 e/Å²·s to ensure operation in the linear range of the detector. The total exposure time was 60 s, and intermediate frames were recorded every 2 s giving an accumulated dose of −42 e/Å² and a total of 30 frames per image. A total of 2350 images for BG505-F14/SOSIP and 2060 images for BG505-F14/V8-SOSIP were collected over 2 days, respectively.

Data processing. Cryo-EM image quality was monitored on-the-fly during data collection using automated processing routines. Initial data processing was performed within cryoSPARC including particle picking, multiple rounds of 2D classification, ab initio reconstruction, homogenous map refinement, and non-uniform reference-based refinement yielding 3.5 Å and 3.7 Å maps for the BG505-F14/SOSIP and the BG505-F14/V8-SOSIP complexes, respectively. Further processing was done outside of cryoSPARC as described next. Movie frame alignment was carried out using UNBLUR and CTF determination using CTFFIND4. Particles were picked automatically using a Gaussian disk of 90 Å in radius as the search template. For the BG505-F14/SOSIP dataset, 1,518,046 particles were picked from 2350 micrographs, extracted using a binning factor of 2 and subjected to 8 rounds of refinement in cisTEM, using an abinitio model generated by cryoSPARC. The distribution of scores assigned to each particle by cisTEM showed a clear bimodal distribution and only particles in the group containing the higher scores was selected for further processing (Supplementary Fig. 4). This subset of 77,632 particles was re-extracted without binning and subjected to 10 rounds of local refinement followed by five additional rounds using a shape mask generated with EMAN. Per-particle CTF refinement was then conducted until no further improvement in the FSC curve was observed. At this point, particle frames were re-extracted from the raw data and subjected to per-particle motion correction using all movie frames and applying a data-driven dose weighting scheme. The per-particle refinement procedure was iterated two additional times using the newly generated map as a reference and at that point no further improvement was observed. The resolution of the final map was 3.0 Å measured according to the 1.43-cutoff FSC criterion (C45 Å=0.143 = 0.143) was applied to the reconstruction for purposes of visualization. For the BG505-F14/V8-SOSIP dataset, 869,323 particles were picked from 2060 micrographs, and a subset of 84,378 particles was used for further local refinement using a similar strategy as that used to process the BG505-F14/SOSIP dataset. The estimated resolution for the final map in this case was 2.9 Å according to the 0.143-cutoff FSC criterion.

Cryo-EM structure fitting. Structure fitting of the cryo-EM maps was performed in Chimera using the gp120 and gp41 segments from PDB ID 5C7E (chains G and B, respectively) with F14 and V8 mutations added using PyMol. Coordinates for VRC01, VRC03, and 10-1074 were obtained from PDB ID 3NGB (chains H and L), PDB ID 5E8H (chains H and L), and PDB ID 5T3Z (chains H and L), respectively. Initial coordinate refinement was performed using Rosetta. The best fit from 110 models was then iteratively with manual refinement in Coot followed by real-space refinement in Phenix. Structure fit and map quality statistics were determined using MolProbity and EMRinger, respectively. Structure and map analyses were performed using a combination of PyMol and Chimera.

Vector-based structure analysis. Centroids for the vectors in the analysis included a K464/V396 Ca centroid, W571 and W596 c-centroids, F14 and V8 mutations added using Peptide epitope tags. The gp120 trimer was constructed by introducing two labeling tag peptides (GQQQLG; MDLQGG) into variable loops V1 and V2 from 110 models was then iteratively with manual refinement using Rosetta. Last but not least, monitoring of signal-to-noise (S/N) ratio as well as anti-correlated features between Iα and Iαt (12xCD4) were used to build FRET histograms. The basis of the observations from original FRET traces and the correlation by Huygens modeling. FRET histograms were further fitted into the sum of three Gaussian distributions. Relative state occupancy of each FRET state was estimated as the area under each Gaussian curve, where standard deviations were calculated from thousands of individual points that corresponds to the indicated number (N) of molecules in FRET histogram. The smFRET approach has been extensively validated as described in Lu et al. Specifically, the fluorophores applied are not observed to exhibit abnormal dye behavior or photo-physical effects. The smFRET data for labeled HIV-1 Env with perturbed donor and acceptor labeling revealed resolution limitations. Similarly, identical results are observed when fluorophores are attached to Env using amino acids rather than peptide epitope tags. Last but not least, monitoring
conformational dynamics of Env from a different perspective identifies the same three conformational states.  

**Reporting summary.** Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

**Data availability.** The datasets generated and/or analyzed during this study are available from the corresponding authors on reasonable request. The source data underlying Figs. 2 and 6a, b and Supplementary Tables 6 and 7 and Supplementary Figs. 3A, D-F, and BA–G are provided as a Source Data file. The cryo-EM maps and coordinates for the VRC01 bound BG505 F14 and VRC03 bound 10–1074 bound BG505 F14/V08 SOSIP trimers have been deposited in EMDB (EMDB IDs EMD-21111 and EMD-21112, respectively) and PDB (PDB IDs 6V8X and 6V8Z, respectively).

**Code availability.** The code developed during this study is available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.
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