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1. Introduction

Hemorrhagic shock (HS) is a major cause of morbidity and mortality among trauma patients. Sepsis or septic shock is a leading cause of mortality in intensive care units. Even if some patients with HS survive the acute episode of blood loss, they often exhibit a systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS), which is often further complicated by the subsequent development of septic shock resulting from a harmful or damaging host response to infection [1]. HS-induced systemic response shares many features with septic response [2]. At the molecular level, it has been reported that both hemorrhage and sepsis lead to an imbalance in acetylation of proteins and that HDACIs can induce protein acetylation and restore this balance [3–5].

1.1. Lysine Acetylation and Histone Deacetylase Inhibitors

Lysine acetylation or N\(^\varepsilon\)-acetylation, identified initially on core histones in 1968 [6], is mediated by a group of enzymes called histone acetyltransferases (HATs) that transfer acetyl groups from acetyl-coenzyme A to the \(\varepsilon\)-amino group of lysines. HATs are counterbalanced by the activity of histone deacetylases (HDACs) that catalyze the hydrolytic removal of acetyl group of lysines. In humans, HDACs are divided into four classes (Table 1) based on their homology to yeast HDACs [7, 8]. Class I HDACs include HDAC1, 2, 3, and 8; these are related to the yeast enzyme Rpd3. Class II HDACs include HDAC4, 5, 6, 7, 9, and 10, which are related to the yeast protein HDA1 (histone deacetylase-A1); class II HDACs are further divided into two subclasses—IIa (HDAC4, 5, 7, and 9) and IIb (HDAC6 and 10)—according to their structural similarities. Class III HDACs are referred to as sirtuins owing to their homology to the yeast HDAC Sir2. This class includes SIRT1–SIRT7 [9, 10]. HDAC11, the most recently identified isoform, is a class IV HDAC due to its distinct structure [11]. Class I, II, and IV are zinc-dependent enzymes whereas class III HDACs are nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD\(^+\))-dependent enzymes. Based on their various subcellular localization, intratissue variation, and nonredundant activity, the different HDACs are implicated...
in various specific cellular processes, such as proliferation, metabolism, and differentiation. For example, class I HDACs are mainly nuclear enzymes whereas class II HDACs localize either to the cell nucleus or to the cytoplasm, depending on their phosphorylation and subsequent binding of 14-3-3 proteins. Moreover, class I HDACs are ubiquitously expressed [12–15] whereas class II HDACs display a tissue-specific expression.

To date, more than 15 HDACIs have been tested in preclinical and early clinical studies for cancer therapy [16]. Many of them are broad-spectrum- or pan-HDACIs which inhibit many of the Class I, II, and IV isoforms, including suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid (SAHA), trichostatin A (TSA), and valproic acid (VPA). Some clinical compounds such as MS-275, FK-228, and apicidin have been termed as “Class I-selective”, since they target several Class I isoforms of HDAC. Tubacin is one of a few HDACIs that have been reported as HDAC6-specific inhibitor [18]. Suramin, a class III HDAC inhibitor for SIRT1, SIRT2, and SIRT5, is a polysulfonated polyaromatic symmetrical urea with antiproliferative and antiviral activity [17] (Table 1). So far, almost all of the studies focus on class I and class II HDACs [19], and a few focus on class III/sirtuins.

1.2. Protein Acetylation Balance. Signals that enter the cell nucleus encounter chromatin where gene expression takes place. Regulation of gene expression has two components that act in concert: alteration of chromatin structure governed by histone modification and binding by transcription factors (TFs) including activators and repressors. Most importantly, two classes of enzymes control the alteration and binding: HAT and HDAC. HAT modify core histone tails by posttranslational acetylation of specific lysine residues and create appropriate “histone code” for chromatin modification to enhance DNA accessibility of TFs. In general, acetylation of core histones unpacks the condensed chromatin and renders the target DNA accessible to transcriptional machinery, hence contributing to gene expression. In most cases, the TFs can also be acetylated by HAT to facilitate their interactions with DNA and other proteins for transcription. By contrast, deacetylation of the histones and TFs by HDAC increases chromatin condensation and precludes binding between DNA and TFs, leading to transcriptional silencing. In normal conditions, protein concentration and enzyme activity of HAT and HDAC remain in a highly harmonized state of balance, which is named as “acetylation homeostasis” to emphasize the importance of regulated acetylation in acceding cellular homeostasis [20]. Recent studies have shown that during various neurodegenerative challenges, the balance is disturbed by loss of HAT activity while the ratio of HAT to HDAC tilts in favor of HDAC. The impaired acetylation homeostasis can cause transcriptional dysfunction and facilitate neurodegenerative cascade, which has been implicated in pathogenesis of several neurodegenerative disorders [21, 22]. Indeed, perturbation of acetylation homeostasis is emerging as a central event in the pathogenesis of neurodegeneration. Recent studies have demonstrated HDACIs to be protective in animal models of Huntington’s disease [23–26], amyotrophic lateral sclerosis [27, 28], experimental autoimmune encephalitis [29], spinal muscular dystrophy [30, 31], and others [32].

Recently, HDACIs have emerged as potent prosurvival and anti-inflammatory drugs, offering new lines of therapeutic intervention for hemorrhagic shock and septic shock. We and other groups have found that HDACIs such as VPA, SAHA, and TSA prevent hemorrhage-associated lethality in a rat and swine models of hemorrhagic shock [3, 4, 33], suppress expression of proinflammatory cytokines, and improve survival in a mouse model of septic shock [5, 34, 35]. We have also demonstrated that inhibition of HDAC can modulate the immune response following trauma/hemorrhage and inflammatory second hit in animals and humans [36]. This paper highlights the emerging roles of HDACIs as acetylation modulators in models of hemorrhagic shock and septic shock, and explains some contradictions encountered in previously published results.

2. HDACIs in Hemorrhagic Shock

HS represents a global ischemic stress, resulting from acute blood loss. Current treatment for HS focuses on pathophysiology at the level of organ systems: to maintain sufficient tissue perfusion and vital organ function through administration of fluids and blood products and to surgically control the source of hemorrhage. Unfortunately, this resource intensive protocol remains difficult to administer, particularly in austere environments, where advanced surgical interventions may not be immediately available [37]. Moreover, this approach fails to address much of the damage that takes place at the cellular level as a result of hypoperfusion (during hemorrhage) and reperfusion (during resuscitation) [38].

Focusing on the cellular pathophysiology of hemorrhagic shock, our laboratory has explored the strategy of pharmacologic resuscitation with HDACIs as the protective agents. HDACIs alter the acetylation status of proteins and therefore have the potential to modulate the genomic and proteomic changes induced by hemorrhage. We have shown that HDACIs can dramatically improve survival in lethal models of hemorrhagic shock in rat [39, 40] and swine models [41]. Moreover, these inhibitors can protect cells from apoptosis and suppress expression of proinflammatory cytokines [5, 42, and Fukudome et al., unpublished data].

The cell protective mechanisms involve (1) epigenetic regulation through post translation modification of histone proteins, (2) activation of cell survival factors such as the PI3-kinase/Akt signaling pathway, (3) blockage of gut-liver/lymph-lung axis, and (4) inhibition of inflammatory mediators such as the Toll-like Receptor 4 (TLR4) signaling pathway. All of these actions directly or indirectly involve restoration of protein acetylation.

2.1. Acetylation-Related Epigenetic Regulation. Control of epigenetic regulation with induction of histone acetylation is the strategy to restore and maintain the normal ratio of HAT/HDAC for treatment of many diseases. A number of preclinical studies have demonstrated that HDACI can improve survival in degenerative diseases, prevent brain from various insults, attenuate the effects of aging, and increase
Table 1: Classification of HDACs and selected HDACIs.

| HDAC Class | HDAC isoforms | Localization of HDAC | Specific HDAC inhibitors | Nonspecific HDAC inhibitors | References |
|------------|---------------|----------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|------------|
| Class I    |               |                      |                          |                            |            |
| (Zn⁺⁺-dependent) | HDAC1 | Nucleus              | MS-275, FK-228           | TSA                        | [9, 16]    |
|            | HDAC2         | Nucleus              | FK-228, apicidin         | SAHA                       |            |
|            | HDAC3         | Nucleus              | MS-275, Apicidin         | Butyrate                   |            |
|            | HDAC8         | Nucleus              |                          | Valproic acid              |            |
| Class IIa  |               |                      |                          |                            |            |
| (Zn⁺⁺-dependent) | HDAC4 | Nuc/Cyt              | TSA                      | SAHA                       | [9, 16]    |
|            | HDAC5         | Nuc/Cyt              |                          | Butyrate                   |            |
|            | HDAC7         | Nuc/Cyt              |                          | Valproic acid              |            |
|            | HDAC9         | Nuc/Cyt              |                          |                            |            |
| Class IIb  |               |                      |                          |                            |            |
| (Zn⁺⁺-dependent) | HDAC6 | Mainly Cyt           | Tubacin                  | TSA                        | [16]       |
|            | HDAC10        | Mainly Cyt           |                          | SAHA                       |            |
| Class III  |               |                      |                          |                            |            |
| (NAD⁺⁺-dependent) | SIRT1 | Nuc/Cyt              | Suramin                  |                            |            |
|            | SIRT2         | Nuc/Cyt              | Suramin, AGK2            |                            |            |
|            | SIRT3         | Nuc/Mitoch*          | Nicotinamide             |                            | [9, 17]    |
|            | SIRT4         | Mitochondria         |                          |                            |            |
|            | SIRT5         | Mitochondria         |                          |                            |            |
|            | SIRT6         | Nucleus              |                          |                            |            |
|            | SIRT7         | Nucleus              |                          |                            |            |
| Class IV   |               |                      |                          |                            |            |
| (Zn⁺⁺-dependent) | HDAC11 | Nuc/Cyt              | TSA                      | SAHA                       | [16]       |

life span [23, 43–45]. Our group has reported that administration of HDACIs protects organs and cells from lethal hemorrhagic shock-induced injury [36, 42, 46, 47]. Several converging lines of inquiry suggest that the protective mechanism of HDACIs may result from the fact that alter the response to ischemic injury and reduce damage in the important organs during the progress of hemorrhagic shock.

In the heart, ischemia induces histone deacetylase activity via deacetylation of histones H3/4 in vitro and in vivo [48]. Using standard murine model of heart ischemia-reperfusion, Granger demonstrated that HDACI significantly reduce infarct area, even when delivered 1 h after the ischemic insult. HDACI decrease the response to ischemic injury and lessen the size of myocardial infarction [48]. In part, this is through prevention of ischemia-induced activation of gene programs that include hypoxia inducible factor–1α, cell death, and by decreasing vascular permeability in vivo and in vitro, which reduces vascular leak and myocardial injury.

In the liver, low oxygen increases HDAC1, 4, and 5 protein levels by 2-fold and decreases acetylated histone H3 levels to 50%–75% of the control values in a turtle model of anoxia [49]. In a rat model of hemorrhagic shock, Gonzales reported that hemorrhage increased serum levels of lactate, lactate dehydrogenase, aspartate aminotransferase, and alanine aminotransferase. Alternatively, treatment with VPA (an HDACI) induced acetylation of histones (H2A, H3, and H4), and alleviated serum levels of these enzymes and prolonged survival (fivefold). Furthermore, hyperacetylation of the histone proteins indicated the presence of active genes and correlated with improved survival [50]. Gene expression profiling data from our group, in comparison to HS without treatment, has shown that VPA treatment upregulates expression of 17 genes at the early stage of HS, compared to HS without treatment (Fukudome et al., unpublished data). Two of these genes are peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ coactivator-1α (PGC-1α) and dual specificity protein phosphatase 5 (DUSP5). PGC-1α protects cells from oxidative stress by increasing expression of various antioxidant defense enzymes including superoxide dismutase and glutathione peroxidase [51]. DUSP5 is an inducible, nuclear, dual-specificity phosphatase, which specifically interacts with and inactivates the extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) 1/2 MAP kinases [52]. Inactivation of ERK1/2 MAP kinases by DUSP5 may be one of the mechanisms responsible for the protective properties of VPA in HS.

In the kidney, it has been discovered that ischemia/reperfusion induces a transient decrease in histone acetylation in proximal tubular cells. This is likely a result of a decrease in histone acetyltransferase activity as suggested by experiments with energy-depleted renal epithelial cells in culture [53]. During recovery after transient energy depletion in epithelial cells, the HDAC isoyme HDAC5 is selectively downregulated in parallel with the return of acetylated histone. Knockdown of HDAC5 by RNAi significantly increased histone acetylation and bone morphogenetic protein-7 (BMP7) expression [53]. In a rat model of HS, it was found that treatment of animal with VPA or SAHA markedly increases phosphorylation of Akt and decreases the expression of proapoptotic BAD (Bcl-xl/Bcl-2 associated death promoter).
protein in kidney tissue [54]. Further investigation is needed to find if there is any relationship between HDAC5 inhibition and Akt activation.

In the brain, Faraco found that in the ischemic brain (subjected to 6 h of middle cerebral artery occlusion), histone H3 acetylation levels are dramatically decreased without evidence of a concomitant change in histone acetyl-transferase or deacetylase activities. Treatment with SAHA (50 mg/kg i.p.) increased histone H3 acetylation within the normal brain (of approximately 8-fold after 6 h) and prevented histone deacetylation in the ischemic brain. These effects were accompanied by increased expression of the neuroprotective proteins heat-shock protein 70 (Hsp70) and B-cell lymphoma 2 (Bcl-2) in both control and ischemic brain tissue 24 h after the insult. At the same time point, mice injected with SAHA at 25 and 50 mg/kg had smaller infarct volumes compared with vehicle-receiving animals (28.5% and 29.8% reduction, \( P < .05 \) versus vehicle). Recently, Li reported that VPA treatment induces acetylation of histone H3, increases expression of \( \beta \)-catenin and Bcl-2 proteins, and prevents neuronal apoptosis in \textit{in vitro} hypoxic condition (0.5% \( O_2 \)) as well as in the \textit{in vivo} model of HS [42]. These findings demonstrate that pharmacological inhibition of HDAC promotes expression of neuroprotective proteins within the ischemic brain and underscores the therapeutic potential of molecules inhibiting HDAC for HS-induced brain injury.

2.2. Effect of HDACIs on Phosphoinositide 3-Kinase (PI3K)-Akt/PKB Pathway. Activation of PI3K enhances cell survival and antagonizes apoptosis via Akt/PKB activity in many cell types including cardiomyocytes, cardiac fibroblast, vascular smooth muscle cells (VSMCs), endothelial cells and hepatocytes [41, 55, 56]. Alam recently found that VPA exerts antiapoptotic effect through the Akt/PKB signaling pathway and improves survival in a swine model of traumatic hemorrhagic shock [41]. In this \textit{in vivo} study, they compared cell protective effects of the HDAC inhibitor (VPA) with resuscitation using fresh whole blood (FWB) and a normal saline control. VPA treatment increased the levels of activated Akt, deactivated glycogen synthase kinase-3\( \beta \) (GSK-3\( \beta \)), \( \beta \)-catenin, and Bcl-2 significantly when compared to FWB and saline control groups. However, the total Akt and total GSK-3\( \beta \) levels did not differ across the three treatments [41]. VPA has been reported to directly and indirectly inhibit GSK-3\( \beta \) [57]. However, it is not clear how the HDACI influences the Akt signaling in an animal model of trauma and hemorrhagic shock. There are several other possibilities for this pathway activation in addition to direct inhibition of GSK-3\( \beta \) (Figure 1).

2.2.1. An Increase of Acetylated Tribbles (TRB) 3 and Phosphatase and Tensin Homolog (PTEN) May Be Associated with PI3K/Akt Activity [58]. Growth factors such as insulin, insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1), erythropoietin, and cytokines that reduce apoptosis rely almost exclusively on the PI3K/Akt pathway whereas GPCR-induced PI3K/Akt activation and cardioprotection occurs in response to several peptide agonists, including urocortin, ghrelin, and adrenomedullin as well as beta2-adrenergic receptor (\( \beta_2 \)-AR) stimulation [55, 59–61]. In the heart, overexpression of Akt/PKB causes resistance to apoptosis [55]; knockout of Akt2/PKB\( \beta \) enhances apoptosis in response to myocardial ischemia [62]. Consistent with a critical role for Akt/PKB in cell survival, loss or gain of TRB3 and PTEN activity leads to reduced or enhanced apoptosis, respectively [63, 64]. Alternatively, increased expression of TRB3 and PTEN promotes apoptosis in cardiac myocytes [63, 65]. PTEN is a dual protein/lipid phosphatase whose main substrate is phosphatidylinositol 3,4,5-triphosphate (PIP3), the product of PI3K. PTEN degrades PIP3 to an inactive form phosphatidylinositol 4,5-biphosphate (PIP2) [52, 66–68], inhibiting Akt activation. PTEN is constitutively active and is the major downregulator of PI3K/Akt [69]. PTEN also forms signaling complexes with PDZ domain-containing adaptors, such as the MAGUK (membrane-associated guanylate kinase) proteins. These interactions appear to be necessary for the metabolism of localized pools of PIP3 involved in regulating actin cytoskeleton dynamics. Acetylation is a major mechanism that regulates PTEN activity [70]. Histone acetylase p300/CREB-binding protein-associated factor (PCAF) interacts with PTEN and acetylates lysines 125 and 128 which are located within the catalytic cleft of PTEN and are essential for PIP3 specificity. PCAF functions as a negative regulator of PTEN [71]. TRB3 is an intracellular pseudokinase that modulates the activity of several signal transduction cascades. TRB3 has been reported to inhibit the activity of Akt protein kinases [72]. TRB3 gene expression is highly regulated in many cell types, and hypoxia or endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress promotes TRB3 expression. TRB3 binds to inactive and unphosphorylated Akt, thus preventing its phosphorylation [73]. It remains unknown whether and how PCAF regulates TRB3. Recently, Yao and Nyomba reported that acetylation status of TRB3 and PTEN is decreased in association with increased HDAC and decreased HAT activities. The hypoacetylated TRB3 and PTEN can inhibit the Akt-activity in a rat model of prenatal alcohol exposure [58], which suggests that HDACI treatment could inhibit the activity of TRB3 and PTEN, and therefore enhance Akt signaling.

2.2.2. Induction of Hsp70 by HDACIs May Be Associated with the PI3K/Akt Pathway. In a rat model of hemorrhagic shock, Gonzales found that VPA treatment increased the acetylation of nonhistone and histone proteins and expression of Hsp70 in rat myocardium, and significantly prolonged survival (5 fold) compared to the nontreatment control [4]. In rat cortical neurons, VPA treatment markedly upregulated Hsp70 protein levels, and this was accompanied by increased Hsp70 mRNA levels and promoter hyperacetylation and activity [74]. Other HDAC inhibitors—sodium butyrate, trichostatin A, and Class I HDAC-specific inhibitors MS-275 and apicidin—all possess the ability to induce HSP70. Hsp70 is a molecular chaperone, cell-protective, and anti-inflammatory agent. Marinova recently reported that HDACIs increase Sp1 acetylation, and promote the association of Sp1 with the histone acetyltransferases p300 and recruitment of p300 to the Hsp70 promoter. Furthermore,
Figure 1: Effect of HDACIs on cell survival in hemorrhagic shock. HDACIs induce phosphorylation of AKT by inhibition of TRB3 and PTEN. While AKT stimulates transcription of cell survival genes through several other pathways, phosphorylated AKT also phosphorylates GSK-3β. Phosphorylated GSK-3β becomes inactivated form, which cannot degrade β-catenin. HDACIs (e.g., VPA) can also directly inhibit GSK-3β. Moreover, HDACIs induce acetylation and nuclear translocation of β-catenin, leading to downstream survival gene transcription.

HDACI-induced cell protection can be prevented by blocking Hsp70 induction [74]. In addition, Gao and Newton showed that Hsp70 directly binds and stabilizes Akt/PKB as well as protein kinase A and protein kinase C, thus prolonging the signaling lifetime of the kinases [75]. Taken together, these findings suggest that the PI3K/Akt pathway and Sp1 are likely involved in Hsp70 induction by HDACIs, and this induction may in turn interact with Akt/PKB to sustain the active state of Akt to protect the cells from apoptosis.

In addition to the interaction with the Akt/PKB pathway, Hsp70 also directly interacts with different proteins of the tightly regulated programmed cell death machinery, thereby blocking the apoptotic process at distinct key points. For example, Hsp70 can inhibit the apoptotic cascade [76, 77], decrease formation of the functional apoptosome complex [78, 79], prevent late cascade-dependent events such as activation of cytosolic phospholipase A2 and changes in nuclear morphology, and protect cells from forced expression of caspase-3 [80]. Moreover, Hsp70 inhibits c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK)-mediated cell death by suppressing JNK phosphorylation either directly and/or through the upstream SEK kinase [81–83], and hampers TNF-mediated apoptosis by inhibition of ASK-1 [84].

It has been noted that Hsp70 has different functions depending on its intracellular or extracellular location. Intracellular Hsp70 has a protective function which allows the cells to survive lethal conditions [85]. Extracellularly located or membrane-bound Hsp70 mediates immunological functions. They can elicit an immune response and will be discussed later.

2.3. Effect of HDACIs on Gut and Lung in Hemorrhagic Shock. Hemorrhagic shock is characterized by insufficient tissue perfusion which is needed to meet the oxygen and nutrient demands of cells. The host response to hemorrhagic shock involves a coordinated expression of mediators that act both locally and systemically with profound effects on organ function. The accumulated evidences suggest that gut and lung, especially gut, represent important site(s) of immune mediator production and inflammation. Although two major hypotheses, gut-lymph-lung axis [86, 87] and gut-liver-lung axis [88, 89], have brought much debate based on the different findings, it is clear that hemorrhagic shock is associated with intestinal ischemia which makes gut a proinflammatory organ. For the fact that most of these mediators are produced by cells of the immune system, significant immunoregulatory actions occur within the gut-liver and/or lymph-lung axis and in peripheral immune sites (Figure 2). It is well established that the gut plays a pivotal pathogenic role in the pathogenesis of SIRS and multiorgan dysfunction syndrome (MODS) [90, 91].

It is clear that gut ischemia can cause lung injury, but how can it be prevented? We proposed that HDACIs could protect lung from gut-originated damage. To test this
Figure 2: Effect of HDACIs on gut-liver/lymph-lung axis in response to hemorrhagic shock. Hemorrhagic shock causes destruction of the gut barrier due to tight junction protein (e.g., claudin-3) loss. Bacteria, endotoxin, and inflammatory cytokines enter into circulation and lung. In the lung tissue, MAPKs are stimulated and neutrophils infiltrated, resulting in acute lung injury. HDACIs block these processing by inhibition of tight junction protein loss in gut and inactivation of MAPKs in lung.

Proposal, the superior mesenteric artery (SMA) of rats was clamped for 60 min to induce ischemia and then released for reperfusion. Without any treatment, gut ischemia induced production of proinflammatory cytokines or prostaglandin-like compound such as IL-6, cytokine-induced neutrophil chemoattractant (CINC), 8-isoprostane in lung tissues, and increased neutrophil lung infiltration. However, treatment with VPA significantly reduced these mediators in lung tissues and improved survival in a rat model of ischemia and reperfusion (Kim, unpublished data). It is not clear how VPA protects gut from ischemic damage and prevents acute lung injury. However, based on our recent findings, it is conceivable that VPA maintains gut barrier in part through stabilizing intestinal tight junctions (TJ) (Figure 2).

The function of gut barrier is based on intestinal tight junctions. Encircling epithelial cells, the intestinal TJ is a region where the plasma membrane of epithelial cells forms a series of contacts that appear to completely occlude the extracellular space and create an intercellular barrier and intramembrane diffusion fence [92]. Normally, TJ is anchored in the cell via TJ proteins and filamentous actin (F-actin) cytoskeleton. Hypoperfusion, or ischemia, can cause disruption of F-actin cytoskeleton with subsequent TJ loss and barrier failure. Bacterial translocation to mesenteric lymph nodes, liver, and spleen is found at a very early stage of hemorrhagic shock [89]. Loss of gut wall integrity not only leads to paracellular leakage of microbial products [93, 94] but also contributes to the development of systemic inflammation and distant organ failure [95].

One of the major TJ proteins is claudin-3. Although the exact function of claudin-3 within TJ is not completely clear, it appears to be important in TJ formation and function [96]. Recent studies from our group [97] and others [89] have shown that HS leads to destruction of the gut barrier due to TJ protein loss. Also, it was found that the claudin-3 protein is released into circulation very early (30–60 min) after the onset of HS [97]. Alternatively, CINC, a chemokine that promotes neutrophil chemotaxis, is significantly elevated in serum and lung tissue with increased myeloperoxidase (MPO) levels at 4 h after hemorrhage. However, VPA treatment reverses HS-induced claudin-3 loss from the intestine and reduces the levels of CINC and MPO in serum and lung significantly (Fukudome, unpublished data). These findings suggest that VPA can stabilize claudin-3 in gut TJ, maintain the intestinal barrier, and prevent harmful gut-derived substances from getting into the systemic circulation.

Furthermore, we recently demonstrated that sublethal hemorrhagic shock (40% blood loss) results in phosphorylation (activation) of ERK, JNK and p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) in lung tissues at 1 and 4 hours compared to the sham group. Postshock administration of VPA (300 mg/kg, iv) significantly attenuates the MAPK activation but does not affect expression of total ERK, JNK and p38 proteins [98]. These kinases are globally expressed and known to be key regulators of stress-mediated cell fate decision. Activation of these proteins has been strongly associated with poor outcomes while inhibition of MAP kinases has been associated with survival in hemorrhage models [98].
VPA can also directly modulate MAPK activation. Cao has studied the effects of HDACI treatment on LPS-induced activation of p38 MAPK and found that HDACIs inhibit p38 phosphorylation. In this experiments, HDACIs induce acetylation of MAP kinase phosphatase-1 (MKP-1), a protein that dephosphorylates MAPK and inactivates MAPK pathways. These results demonstrated that HDACI treatment and MKP-1 acetylation increases the interaction between MKP-1 and p38 MAPK, and results in p38 inactivation, reduced inflammation and increased survival among LPS-exposed mice [34]. Whether similar acetylation-mediated mechanisms exist for the regulation of ERK and JNK is still unknown but is highly plausible.

3. HDACIs in Septic Shock

The progression of infection to septic shock begins with the release of inflammatory mediators at the local site of microbe invasion. This induces the migration of white blood cells and platelets to the infection site and contributes to endothelial damage and increased microvascular permeability. Blood flow is also reduced which sets the stage for ischemia-reperfusion injury. These physiologic processes are part of the exaggerated SIRS, which can lead to MODS.

Many of the body’s reactions to infection with gram-negative organisms are due to lipopolysaccharide (LPS), a component of the outer bacterial cell wall membrane, also referred to as endotoxin. It can induce septic shock physiology and has been used extensively to produce shock in laboratory models. LPS exerts the downstream signals through the Toll-like Receptor-4 (TLR4). TLR4 activates the inflammatory response molecules [103]. In the nucleus, p50 and p60 can be regulated by acetylation. The function of acetylated NF-κB is complicated. Acetylation of p50 at K431, K440, and K441 promotes DNA-binding affinity towards NF-κB target sequences correlating with increased p300 (histone acetyltransferase) recruitment and transcriptional activation [104, 105]. The p300 can acetylate p65 at multiple lysine residues and result in different consequence. Acetylation of p65 at K221 and K310 is associated with an increased transcription of NF-κB target genes [106] and is required for the full activity of p65 [107]. In contrast, HDAC1 and HDAC3 deacetylate p65 at either K221 or K310, resulting in the inhibition of NF-κB. Additionally, K122 and K123 acetylation reduces p65 DNA-binding affinity accompanied with increased IκB interaction and nuclear export [108]. The p300-mediated acetylation of K314 and K315 in p65 has no obvious effect on NF-κB DNA binding or translocation.

Indeed, HDACIs have been shown to induce hyperacetylation and repress NF-κB signaling and expression of several target genes [109–111]. Conversely, other groups reported that HDACIs enhance NF-κB-dependent gene expression but were in the presence of TNFα [112–115]. Presumably, inhibitory or enhancive effects of HDACIs on NF-κB rely on the cell type, expression of a different set of HDAC isoforms, acetylation/deacetylation of NF-κB at different lysine or by different enzyme, as well as the source of cell stimulation (e.g., LPS, cytokines and high glucose levels) [99].

3.2. HDACIs Inhibit MAPK Activity. In mammalian cells, JNK and p38 MAPKs activate mitogen and stress-activated protein kinase 1 (MSK1) such as ribosomal S6 kinase 2 (RSK2). RSK2 has a strong activity towards phosphorylation of histone H3 at Ser10 [116]. The phosphorylation of histone H3 occurs on the promoters of the subset on the stimulus-induced cytokine and chemokine genes, recruits NF-κB to the promoters, and stimulates transcription of inflammatory genes such as IL-6, IL-8, IL-12, and macrophage chemo-attractant protein 1 (MCP-1) [117].

It has been reported that HDAC inhibitor TSA enhances the activity of mitogen-activated protein kinase phosphatase-1 (MKP-1) [34, 118]. MKP-1 is a nuclear-localized dual-specificity phosphatase and preferentially dephosphorylates in innate immunity and other critical processes. The NF-κB family consists of p50, p52, p65 (Rel A), c-Rel, and Rel B, which form homo- or hetero-dimers. The p50/p65 heterodimer is the most frequently found combination in mammals. Inactive NF-κB complexes are retained in the cytoplasm by the IκB inhibitor. In innate immune signaling, host cells can respond to the threat of bacterial pathogens (e.g., LPS) via extracellular receptor TLRs (e.g., TLR4). TLR4 interacts with MyD88 and recruits interleukin-1 receptor-associated kinase 1 (IRAK1) and IRAK4 to the receptor complex. IRAK1 phosphorylates TNF receptor-associated factor 6 (TRAF6) leading to the activation of the IκB kinase (IKK). The activation of IKK results in IκB phosphorylation, triggering its ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation. Free NF-κB then translocates to the nucleus to regulate the transcription of chemokines, cytokines, and other inflammatory response molecules [103].

In the nucleus, p50 and p60 can be regulated by acetylation. The function of acetylated NF-κB is complicated. Acetylation of p50 at K431, K440, and K441 promotes DNA-binding affinity towards NF-κB target sequences correlating with increased p300 (histone acetyltransferase) recruitment and transcriptional activation [104, 105]. The p300 can acetylate p65 at multiple lysine residues and result in different consequence. Acetylation of p65 at K221 and K310 is associated with an increased transcription of NF-κB target genes [106] and is required for the full activity of p65 [107]. In contrast, HDAC1 and HDAC3 deacetylate p65 at either K221 or K310, resulting in the inhibition of NF-κB. Additionally, K122 and K123 acetylation reduces p65 DNA-binding affinity accompanied with increased IκB interaction and nuclear export [108]. The p300-mediated acetylation of K314 and K315 in p65 has no obvious effect on NF-κB DNA binding or translocation.

Indeed, HDACIs have been shown to induce hyperacetylation and repress NF-κB signaling and expression of several target genes [109–111]. Conversely, other groups reported that HDACIs enhance NF-κB-dependent gene expression but were in the presence of TNFα [112–115]. Presumably, inhibitory or enhancive effects of HDACIs on NF-κB rely on the cell type, expression of a different set of HDAC isoforms, acetylation/deacetylation of NF-κB at different lysine or by different enzyme, as well as the source of cell stimulation (e.g., LPS, cytokines and high glucose levels) [99].

3.1. HDACIs Affect NF-κB Activity. NF-κB is an ubiquitously expressed transcription factor that plays an important role
MAPKs such as p38 and JNK. Recently, Cao showed that MKP-1 interacts with HAT and that acetylation of MKP-1 inhibits TLR4 signaling [34]. They immunoprecipitated the histone acetylase p300 and showed that it was associated with MKP-1. Moreover, MKP-1 was acetylated by p300 on lysine residue K57 within its substrate-binding domain. Acetylation of MKP-1 induced by TSA enhanced the interaction between MKP-1 and p38 MAPK, suggesting that HDACI could increase the phosphatase activity and inactivate p38 MAPK. Indeed, TSA increased MKP-1 acetylation and blocked MAPK signaling in wild-type (WT) cells. However, TSA had no effect in cells lacking MKP-1. Furthermore, TSA reduced inflammation and mortality in WT mice treated with LPS, but failed to protect MKP-1 knockout mice. These findings suggest that acetylation of MKP-1 inhibits innate immune signaling, and targeting the MAPK pathway by HDACI may be an important approach in the treatment of septic shock.

Recently, our group has found that HDAC inhibitor SAHA can reduce the expression of MyD88 gene and protein \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo} after LPS insult [101]. Moreover, SAHA acetylates heat shock protein 90 (Hsp90) and deassociates the protein from IRAK1, resulting in IRAK1 degradation (Chong, unpublished data). Our new findings have provided evidence that inhibition of HDAC can block, at least in part, activity of NF-κB and MAPKs in the initial steps of the TLR4-MyD88- NF-κB/MAPK pathway (Figure 3).

3.3. TLR4 Signaling – a Converged Immune Response Pathway for Hemorrhage and Sepsis. Hemorrhage and sepsis activate several inflammatory and innate immune signaling pathways [119]. Systemically, these pathways promote recruitment of neutrophils and release of inflammatory cytokines [120]. Within the cells, the inflammatory stimuli induce MAPK-dependent phosphorylation or phosphoacetylation of histone proteins and modulate the epigenetic accessibility of DNA in the cell [117]. Downstream, these signals change the gene expression profile of the cells insulted with hemorrhage or sepsis, altering the competing signals (e.g. prosurvival and prodeath, or anti-inflammation and proinflammation), that ultimately determine their fate. Two key pathways in hemorrhage- and sepsis-induced cellular injuries are the mitogen activated protein (MAP) kinase and NF-κB pathways. These proteins, ERK1/2, JNK, p38 protein kinase, and NF-κB, are globally expressed and known to be key regulators of cell fate decisions [121, 122], which are involved in TLR4 signaling pathway.

It is well known that mammalian TLR4 serves as a key sensor of pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs)
such as LPS. More recently, an additional role for TLR4 has been proposed. A number of reports have emerged to suggest that diverse molecules of host-cell origin may also serve as endogenous ligands of TLR4 [2, 123, 124]. These molecules represent members of a recently identified family of molecules, including Hsp70, fibrinogen, high-mobility group box 1 (HMGB1), nucleolin, and annexins [123]. They have been found to serve as mediators of inflammation that may be expressed or released in response to tissue damage from trauma including HS. These molecules have been described as “alarmins”, which are the equivalent of PAMPs but are endogenous molecules. They are rapidly released following nonprogrammed cell death but are not delivered by apoptotic cells. Immune cells can also be induced to produce and release alarmins without dying. Generally, this is done by using specialized secretion systems or by the endoplasmic reticulum (ER)-Golgi secretion pathway. Endogenous alarmins and exogenous PAMPs can be considered subgroups of a larger family of damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs). They convey a similar message and elicit similar responses through TLR4 (Figure 3) leading to activation of MAPKs and NF-κB pathways [123, 125].

HDACs have been described above for their pro-survival and anti-inflammatory properties. The combined pro-survival and anti-inflammatory effectiveness makes them a highly attractive choice for the treatment of lethal hemorrhagic shock and its septic complications. In our preliminary studies, we have already discovered that HDACIs not only inhibit expression of proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines in cells but also prevent some alarmins from being released from cells in hemorrhagic shock and septic shock (Li, unpublished data). Further investigation with different models (e.g., “two-hit” model) are being planned to further clarify the precise mechanisms of action and the role played by protein acetylation.

4. Contradiction and Explanation

Although most studies have demonstrated that HDACIs induce expression of prosurvival/anti-inflammatory genes and proteins, some authors have reported different results [126]. Wetzel et al. found that TSA causes inhibition of glioma cell growth by both cell cycle arrest and apoptosis [127], which contradicts the study of Avila et al. that TSA increases neurons survival [31]. Aung et al. observed that treatment of bone marrow-derived macrophages with TSA enhances LPS-induced expression of proinflammatory genes [128], which disagrees to the finding of Han and Lee that TSA significantly decreases mRNA and protein levels of the proinflammatory cytokines in macrophages [129]. This discrepancy of pro/anti-apoptosis and pro/anti-inflammation may be due to differences in cell types, HDAC isoform, acetylation/deacetylation of a protein by different enzymes or at different lysine residues, and variances in the type of cellular stimulation, and so forth [99].

For instance, HDACIs have been shown to potentiate TNF-α expression in microglial cells [130] but to suppress TNF-α expression in cultured human peripheral blood mononuclear cells and macrophages in response to LPS [5, 131]. It is not clear how HDACIs function differently in these different cell types. However, there are numerous reasons for the differential effects of HDACIs between normal and transformed cells. HDACIs induce different phenotypes in various transformed cells, including growth arrest, activation of the extrinsic and/or intrinsic apoptotic pathways, autophagic cell death, reactive oxygen species (ROS)-induced cell death, mitotic cell death, and senescence. In comparison, normal cells are relatively more resistant to HDACI-induced cell death [132, 133]. In many transformed cells, ROS-oxidation-reduction pathways are important mechanisms of HDACI-induced transformed cell death [134]. Thioredoxin (Trx) acts as a hydrogen donor required for the activation of many proteins, including ribonucleotide reductase which is essential for DNA synthesis and transcription factors and is an antioxidant scavenger of ROS [135]. HDACIs upregulate the expression of Trx-binding protein 2 (TP2) [136], which binds and inhibits Trx activity, and can cause downregulation of Trx in transformed but not normal cells [134, 136]. Trx is an inhibitor of apoptosis signal regulating kinase 1 (ASK1) [137]. Therefore, inhibition of Trx by HDACIs in transformed cells subsequently results in cell apoptosis.

Differential cellular expression of HDACs is another factor that causes the discrepancy. The HDACs have been found to interact with different proteins and transcription factors. HDAC1 interacts with retinoblastoma protein [138] and SP1 [139]. HDAC2 interacts with NF-κB [140]. HDAC1 and HDAC2 also interact directly with DNA topoisomerase II to modify topoisomerase activity [141]. HDAC3 interacts with NF-κB [142]. HDAC4 and HDAC5 interact with myocyte enhancer factor 2 (MEF2) [143]. Due to the protein-protein interaction, inhibition of HDAC with different HDAC inhibitor results in different outcome. In cultured neonatal mouse cardiomyocytes, Zhu et al. have shown that LPS insult increases HDAC3 activity and suppresses TNF-α expression. The upregulation of HDAC3 activity was abrogated by a pan-HDAC inhibitor TSA and class I HDAC inhibitor apicidin, but not by class II HDAC inhibitors [142].

Posttranslational modifications of a protein by different enzymes or at different amino acid residues can cause different results. For instance, phosphorylation of NF-κB p65 preferentially interacts with p300/CBP, an acetyltransferase, resulting in p65 acetylation at multiple sites. Acetylation of K221 and K310 is associated with an increased transcription of NF-κB target genes [106], while K122 and K123 acetylation reduces p65 DNA-binding affinity accompanied with increased kB interaction and nuclear export [108]. Deacetylation of p65 K310 by SIRT1 inhibits transcription of NF-κB target genes [144], and deacetylation of p65 at either K221 or K310 by HDAC1 and HDAC3 results in the inhibition of NF-κB [145].

In addition, a study from Wilson and colleagues suggests that the opposite effects of HDACIs may result from the effects of acetylation on specific combinatorial interactions required for efficient transcription of individual genes [146]. They used the mouse mammary tumor virus (MMTV) promoter to assess the consequences of inhibiting histone deacetylation activity on transcriptional activation mediated by the progesterone receptor in vivo. In human breast cancer
cells, TSA induced global histone hyperacetylation, and this effect occurred independently of the presence of the hormone. Interestingly, chromatin immunoprecipitation analysis revealed no significant change in the level of acetylated histones associated with MMTV promoter following TSA treatment. In these cells, the MMTV promoter adopted a constitutively “open” chromatin structure. Treatment with TSA converted the MMTV promoter into a “closed” chromatin structure, evicted the transcription factor nuclear factor-1 from the promoter, and downregulated chromatin remodeling proteins and coregulatory molecules known to participate in the activation of the promoter-effects that occurred in the absence of histone acetylation of the local promoter chromatin structure.

The effects of HDACIs depend upon the “cell context” which in turn influences acetylation or the interaction of HDACs with histone and nonhistone proteins. Ideally, comprehensive consideration of cell type, activity of HDAC isoforms and the nature of cell stimulation should be taken into account when effects of the inhibitor are examined in an experimental model. Lack of attention to these details can create an erroneous impression of contradictory results.

5. Future Perspectives

Current therapies for massive hemorrhage and severe sepsis largely focus on restoring tissue perfusion through resuscitation but have failed to address the specific cellular dysfunction caused by shock. Acetylation is rapidly emerging as a key mechanism that regulates the expression of numerous genes (epigenetic modulation through activation of nuclear histone proteins), as well as functions of multiple nonhistone proteins involved in key cellular functions such as cell survival, repair/healing, and anti-inflammation. HDACIs hold great promise as a new class of agents for restoration of protein acetylation and treatment of hemorrhagic and septic shock.

Future studies should further elucidate the function of individual HDAC isoforms in severe hemorrhage and inflammation and assess potential effects of HDACIs on sepsis following hemorrhagic shock. Since individual HDAC isoforms have distinctive physiological functions, it is important to develop next generation of HDACIs. The new HDACIs could then target specific HDAC isoforms and presumably would result in improved efficacy relative to the first generation pan inhibitors such as SAHA and TSA but with little adverse effects. In addition to being used as prosurvival agents for severe trauma hemorrhage, HDACIs could be used as the combined prosurvival and anti-inflammatory drugs to prevent hosts from sepsis and even to treat sepsis following hemorrhage.

6. Summary

Experimental evidence has shown that treatment with HDACIs increases endurance of animals subjected to lethal blood loss. The survival benefit is seen even when the drugs are administered post insult, and is reproducible in different species including large animal models of polytrauma. Protective properties of HDACIs are not limited to hemorrhagic shock; HDACI treatment can also improve survival in LPS models of septic shock. Administration of HDACIs modulates the immune system to create a favorable phenotype not only during the acute phase of hemorrhagic shock but also later when the septic complications are likely to occur. Repeated successes of HDACIs in well-designed animal models of hemorrhagic shock (small and large animals) and septic shock (pre- and postshock treatments) suggest that modulation of protein acetylation is potentially a very useful strategy for the treatment of these critical diseases.
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MAPK: Mitogen-activated protein kinase
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MSK1: Mitogen and stress-activated protein kinase 1
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NF-κB: Nuclear factor kappa B
PAMPS: Pathogen-associated molecular patterns
PCAF: p300/CBP-associated factor
p300: p300 histone acetyl transferase
PGC-1α: Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ coactivator-1α
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PKB: Protein kinase B
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SIRS: Systemic inflammatory response syndrome
SIRT: Sirtuins
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RT-PCR: Reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction
TBP2: Trx binding protein 2
TFs: Transcription factors
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