Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.
Short communication

Immunogenicity and safety of booster dose of S-268019-b or BNT162b2 in Japanese participants: An interim report of phase 2/3, randomized, observer-blinded, noninferiority study

Masaharu Shinkai a, Takuhiro Sonoyama b, Akari Kamitani b, Risa Yokokawa Shibata b, Naomi M. Seki c, Shinya Omoto c, Masahiro Shinoda a, Takashi Sato a, Naoki Ishii d, Kenji Igarashi b, Mari Ariyasu b,⇑

a Department of Respiratory Medicine, Tokyo Shinagawa Hospital, 6-3-22 Higashiho, Shinagawa-ku, Tokyo 140-8522, Japan
b Shionogi & Co., Ltd., Drug Development and Regulatory Science Division, 8F, Nissay Yodoyabashi East Bldg., 3-3-13, Imabashi, Chuo-ku, Osaka 541-0042, Japan
c Shionogi & Co., Ltd., Pharmaceutical Research Division, 1-1, Futaba-cho 3-chome, Toyonaka, Osaka 561-0825, Japan
d Department of Gastroenterology, Tokyo Shinagawa Hospital, 6-3-22 Higashiho, Shinagawa-ku, Tokyo 140-8522, Japan

Article info

Article history:
Received 1 April 2022
Received in revised form 3 June 2022
Accepted 7 June 2022
Available online 21 June 2022

Keywords:
Booster
Clinical trial
Neutralization test
SARS-CoV-2
Recombinant spike protein
Immunization

Abstract

In this randomized, observer-blinded, phase 2/3 study, S-268019-b (n = 101), a recombinant spike protein vaccine, was analyzed for noninferiority versus BNT162b2 (n = 103), when given as a booster ≥6 months after 2-dose BNT162b2 regimen in Japanese adults without prior SARS-CoV-2 infection. Interim results showed noninferiority of S-268019-b versus BNT162b2 in co-primary endpoints for neutralizing antibodies on day 29: geometric mean titer (GMT) (124.97 versus 109.70; adjusted-GMT ratio [95% CI], 1.14 [0.94–1.39]; noninferiority P-value, <0.0001) and seroresponse rate (both 100%; noninferiority P-value, 0.0004). Both vaccines elicited anti-spike-protein immunoglobulin G antibodies, and produced T-cell response (n = 29/group) and neutralizing antibodies against Delta and Omicron pseudovirus and live virus variants (n = 24/group) in subgroups. Most participants reported low-grade reactogenicity on days 1–2, the most frequent being fatigue, fever, myalgia, and injection-site pain. No serious adverse events were reported. In conclusion, S-268019-b was safe and showed robust immunogenicity as a booster, supporting its use as COVID-19 booster vaccine.

© 2022 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Cases of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) are increasing periodically because of several reasons. A third vaccine dose (booster) is recommended because of concerns regarding waning humoral immunity over 6 months after the second dose [1] and consequent reduced effectiveness against SARS-CoV-2 infection [2], as well as threats from new mutant strains that may escape the vaccine-mediated immunity. Booster immunization can substantially improve the humoral immune response against the emerging variants, including Omicron [3,4].

S-268019-b is a novel vaccine candidate comprising a modified recombinant spike protein of SARS-CoV-2 (S-910823, antigen) produced using the baculovirus expression system in insect cells and a squalene-based adjuvant (A-910823). In a double-blinded, phase 1/2 trial, S-268019-b showed tolerability and a robust immunogenicity after two doses [5]. Here, we present the interim results of a phase 2/3, randomized trial in Japan, wherein the immunogenicity and safety of a single booster dose of S-268019-b or BNT162b2 (tozinameran, Pfizer/BioNTech mRNA vaccine) were assessed.

2. Methods

2.1. Study design and participants

This phase 2/3, single-center, randomized, observer-blinded, active-controlled, noninferiority trial comprised three periods: screening (day −28 to −1), evaluation (day 1–29), and follow-up (day 30–365) (Fig. 1).

Participants were healthy immunocompetent Japanese adults (aged ≥20 years) who had received two doses of BNT162b2, with the second dose received ≥6 months ago. Individuals with laboratory-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection at screening or known
history of SARS-CoV-2 infection were excluded (See Supplementary Methods for details).

Eligible participants were randomized 1:1, stratified by age (<40 and ≥40 years) and sex, to receive an intramuscular injection of either 0.5 mL of S-268019-b (10 μg antigen prepared with 50% v/v oil-in-water adjuvant emulsion) or 0.3 mL of BNT162b2 (30 μg in saline) on day 1.

The study (jRCT2031210470) was conducted in compliance with the protocol, the Declaration of Helsinki and Council for International Organizations of Medical Sciences International Ethical Guidelines, the International Council for Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Pharmaceuticals for Human Use Good Clinical Practice Guidelines, other applicable laws and regulations, and was approved by Institutional Review Board of Tokyo Shinagawa Hospital Medical Corporation Association Tokyokuno-kai. All participants gave their written informed consent.

2.2. Outcomes

The primary objective of the study was to assess the noninferiority of S-268019-b versus BNT162b2 as a booster dose in inducing SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies against the live wildtype virus strain (WK-521) on day 29. The co-primary endpoints included day 29 geometric mean titer (GMT) and seroresponse rate (SRR) for SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies. SRR was defined as the proportion of participants with a post–vaccination antibody titer ≥4-fold higher than the baseline.

Secondary endpoints comprised other immunogenicity parameters and safety. These included GMT, geometric mean fold rise (GMFR), and SRR for neutralizing antibodies and anti-spike protein immunoglobulin G (IgG) antibodies on days 15 and 29. Exploratory analyses in a smaller representative sample included neutralizing antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 pseudovirus variants (D614G, Delta, and Omicron) and live virus variants (wildtype, Delta, and Omicron) on day 29 and T-cell response on day 15. Safety endpoints included incidence of adverse events (AEs), serious AEs, AEs of special interest, treatment-related AEs (TRAEs), medically attended TRAEs, solicited TRAEs, and changes in laboratory test values. Immunogenicity variables with titer values below the lower limit of quantification (LLOQ) were replaced with 0.5 × LLOQ.

2.3. Statistical analyses

The study used a noninferiority design. Noninferiority of S-268019-b to BNT162b2 is confirmed when the lower limit of 95% CI is >0.67 for GMT ratio (S-268019-b/BNT162b2) derived from the analysis of covariate model with age and sex as covariates, and more than −10% for SRR difference (S-268019-b minus BNT162b2) by the Farrington-Manning method for neutralizing antibodies on day 29 [6]. The immunogenicity subset included participants who received ≥1 dose of the study intervention, had ≥1 post–vaccination immunogenicity data, and were negative for anti-SARS-CoV-2N-protein antibody at screening. The safety analysis subset included participants who received ≥1 dose of the study intervention. All analyses were conducted based on the actual intervention administered.

Data were summarized using measures of central tendency, dispersion, and frequency distribution. Unless otherwise noted, all statistical tests were performed at the two-sided α = 0.05. Missing values were not imputed. All analyses were performed using SAS® v9.4 (SAS Institute, NC, USA) (see Supplementary Appendix for detailed methods and statistical analyses).
3. Results

3.1. Trial participants

All 206 participants screened were enrolled in the study during December 3–22, 2021. Of these, two participants with unclear randomization code were excluded from the outcome analysis and 204 were analyzed (S-268019-b, n = 101; BNT162b2, n = 103) (Supplementary Fig. 1). Baseline demographics and participant characteristics were balanced across S-268019-b and BNT162b2 groups: median age (range) was 30.0 (21–59) and 31.5 (21–60) years; male population, 70% and 71%, respectively (Supplementary Table 1).

3.2. Immunogenicity

GMTs (95% CIs) for neutralizing antibodies at baseline were 5.47 (4.81–6.21) for S-268019-b group and 6.65 (5.73–7.72) for BNT162b2, which increased to 124.97 (108.33–144.18) and 109.70 (95.73–125.70), respectively, on day 29 (adjusted-GMTR 1.14; 95% CI 0.94–1.39; noninferiority P-value, <0.0001). The SRR was 100% for both groups (SRR difference 0.0; 95% CI –5.9 to 5.9; noninferiority P-value, 0.0004) (Fig. 2A and Table 1). Thus, both co-primary endpoints were met: as a booster, S-268019-b was noninferior to BNT162b2 in SARS-CoV-2 neutralization. The GMTs (95% CIs) for anti-spike protein IgG antibodies at baseline were 1453.4 (1259.1–1677.8) for S-268019-b and 1808.2 (1546.8–...
2113.7) for BNT162b2; these were elevated to 48464.8 (41429.9–56694.2) and 55214.8 (49013.5–62200.7), respectively, on day 29 (Fig. 2B), with both groups showing 100% SRR (Supplementary Table 2). The GMFR and GMT results were consistent (Supplementary Table 2 and Fig. 2).

Furthermore, neutralizing antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 pseudovirus and live virus variants on day 29 were assessed in a representative sample selected from the immunogenicity subset (n = 24/group) (Supplementary Table 3). Serum samples from both vaccine groups neutralized Delta and Omicron pseudovirus and live virus variants with similar potency; however, GMT against live Omicron was 4-fold lower versus wildtype (Fig. 2C and D). T-cell responses were assessed for a subgroup (n = 29/group) sampled from participants who gave consent for cellular immunity assessments. Both vaccines induced antigen-specific polyfunctional CD4 T-cell responses, as reflected in the interferon-gamma and interleukin-2 expression on day 15 (Supplementary Fig. 2). A strong bias toward the T-helper type 1 phenotype was noted.

3.3. Safety

Both, S-268019-b and BNT162b2, displayed an acceptable safety profile as a booster. There were no treatment-emergent serious AEs, deaths, grade 4–5 solicited TRAEs, or AEs of special interest reported until data cutoff date (February 4, 2022) (Supplementary Table 4). Overall, 96.0% (97/101) participants reported 364 TRAEs in the S-268019-b group, and 98.1% (101/103) participants reported 466 TRAEs in the BNT162b2 group. Furthermore, solicited systemic TRAEs were reported by 69.3% (70/101) and 79.6% (82/103) participants and solicited local TRAEs by 67.3% (68/101) and 72.8% (75/103) participants in the S-268019-b and BNT162b2 groups, respectively. The most frequently reported solicited TRAEs within 7 days in both booster groups were injection-site pain, fatigue, fever, myalgia, and headache (Table 2). Most of the solicited TRAEs were grade 1–2 and were reported on day 1–2 of the booster dose injection (Supplementary Table 5). One participant in the S-268019-b group and four participants in the BNT162b2 group experienced grade 3 solicited TRAEs.

4. Discussion

This study showed that S-268019-b as a booster (third) dose was noninferior to BNT162b2 in inducing SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies. Both vaccines induced neutralizing antibodies against pseudovirus and live virus variants, and elicited anti–spike protein IgG antibodies and T-cell responses within a month after the booster dose.

In other booster-dose studies, a third dose of either homologous or heterologous vaccines administered 3–9 months after the initial vaccination elicited robust immunogenicity against SARS-CoV-2 variants [7,8,9,10,11,12]. In the COV-BOOST trial, immunogenicity of various types of vaccines given as the third dose was assessed in participants with primary vaccination with either BNT162b2 or AZD-1222 [12]. While the booster dose of all types of vaccines (mRNA, protein subunit, adenovirus vector, and inactivated virus) amplified immune responses, mRNA vaccines (BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273) induced more potent immunogenicity than other types of vaccines [12]. Considering that S-268019-b booster is as immunogenic as the BNT162b2 booster, S-268019-b may elicit more potent humoral immunogenicity than other types of vaccines.

Table 1
Co-primary endpoints (GMT and SRR) with GMTR and SRR difference in SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibody response on day 29, and GMT and SRR at baseline and on day 15.

| Outcome | BNT162b2 (n = 102) | S-268019-b (n = 101) |
|---------|---------------------|----------------------|
| **GMTr** | 6.65 (5.73, 7.72) | 6.42 (5.54, 7.35) |
| Adjusted-GMTrd | – | – |
| SRRd | 99.0 (94.6, 100.0) | 98.8 (94.4, 100.0) |
| SRR difference | – | 0.0 (–5.9, 5.9) |

GMT, geometric mean titer; GMTr, geometric mean titer ratio; SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2; SRR, seroresponse rate. Criteria for noninferiority confirmed when lower 95% CI > 0.67 for GMT (S-268019-b/BNT162b2) and > 10% for SRR difference (S-268019-b – BNT162b2).

* On day 15 and day 29, BNT162b2 group had 101 participants. The GMFs with corresponding 95% CIs were estimated by back transformation from the arithmetic mean and the 95% CIs based on the Student’s t distribution of log-transformed titers to the original scale. The adjusted-GMTr and its 95% CI were obtained using analysis of covariance model fitted on the log-transformed titers; the model included intervention group as the fixed effect as well as age (continuous) and sex as covariates. The 95% CIs were constructed using the Clopper-Pearson method for SRR and the Farrington-Manning method for SRR difference.

Table 2
Incidence of solicited local and systemic treatment–related adverse events (experienced within 7 days after the booster) by severity in the study groups.

| Outcome | Any grade | Grade 1 | Grade 2 | Grade 3 |
|---------|-----------|--------|--------|--------|
| **Any systemic solicited TRAEs** | 82 (79.6) | 47 (45.6) | 31 (30.1) | 4 (3.9) |
| Fatigue | 56 (54.4) | 33 (32.0) | 22 (21.4) | 1 (1.0) |
| Fever | 61 (59.2) | 52 (50.5) | 7 (6.8) | 2 (1.9) |
| Myalgia | 50 (48.5) | 43 (41.7) | 7 (6.8) | – |
| Headache | 43 (41.7) | 31 (30.1) | 12 (11.7) | – |
| Arthralgia | 12 (11.7) | 7 (6.8) | 5 (4.9) | – |
| Nausea/vomiting | 5 (4.9) | 5 (4.9) | – | – |
| Diarrhea | 6 (5.8) | 4 (3.9) | 1 (1.0) | 1 (1.0) |
| Chills | 7 (6.8) | 3 (2.9) | 4 (3.9) | – |
| Any local solicited TRAEs (at the injection site) | 75 (72.8) | 70 (68.0) | 5 (4.9) | – |
| Pain | 75 (72.8) | 70 (68.0) | 5 (4.9) | – |
| Erythema/redness | 10 (9.7) | 10 (9.7) | – | – |
| Swelling | 1 (1.0) | 1 (1.0) | – | 1 (1.0) |

TRAEs, treatment–related adverse events.

Data are presented as number (%) of participants. No participants reported grade 4 or 5 solicited TRAEs.
5. Conclusion

The booster dose of S-268019-b vaccine was noninferior to BNT162b2 booster as per the findings of GMT and SRR for SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies, and was well-tolerated in fully vaccinated adult Japanese participants. S-268019-b booster was comparable with BNT162b2 booster in neutralizing the pseudovirus and live virus variants, Delta and Omicron. Thus, S-268019-b might be a future option for COVID-19 booster vaccine in adults.
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