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OBJECTIVE
This descriptive study was conducted to determine the relationship between the care burden, anxiety, and social support levels of the mothers who had children with cancer and affecting factors.

METHODS
This study was conducted with 43 mothers of children with cancer in a university hospital. Data were collected by the Beck Anxiety Scale, the Zarit Burden Interview (ZBI), and the Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support.

RESULTS
The mean age of the mothers was 37.46±9.67 years and the duration of cancer diagnosis was 10.57±12.59 months. The primary caregivers of 83.7% of children were their mothers. It was determined that 76.7% of mothers had a change in their social life after their children diagnosis. There was a positive correlation between the mothers’ Beck Anxiety Scale scores and the ZBI scores (r=0.508; p=0.01). There was a statistically significant difference between the status of changes in mothers social life and Beck Anxiety Scale (t=4.454; p<0.001) and the ZBI scores (t=3.259; p=0.003). The status of mothers receiving support in household chores (t=0.864; p=0.018) affected their mean scores of ZBI scores.

CONCLUSION
In this study, as the anxiety level of the mothers increased, the burden of care increased, too. Furthermore, the level of care burden was higher for the mothers who had no support in the household chores and who had a change in social life after the diagnosis. The anxiety level of mothers who reported changes in social life after diagnosis was also high.
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Introduction
Cancer is considered one of the most important health problems today and the cases are increasing in the world and our country.[1] Childhood cancers are among the most second common causes of death in developed countries, while it is the third cause of mortality in children in Turkey.[2-4] In an international study conducted by the International Association of Cancer Registries (2017), in 62 countries, it was noted that the childhood cancer rate increased 13% in the period 2001-2010 compared to the 1980s.[5] The 5-year survival rates of children and adolescents diagnosed with cancer in high-income countries were approximately 80-84%, this ratio was 70.8% for Turkey with the advances in treatment in recent years.[3,6] Treatment
success and an increase in life expectancy caused family members to actively participate in the care process and take more responsibility.[7]

Being diagnosed with cancer for a child creates a very stressful situation for both children and their parents.[8] Children with cancer require special care and support because of their developmental features.[9,10] In the child and family, stress, and anxiety begin immediately after diagnosis and continues all of the treatments stages.[9,10] It is reported that parents who have children with cancer be more likely to have psychological problems such as anxiety, depression, and stress compared with parents of healthy children.[11,12]

Cancer lead to significant social, physical, economic, and emotional burdens[13] which are characterized by persistent worry, stress, or negative experiences.[14] The burdens for families are categorized as financial needs, work activities, communicating with the siblings of the ill child, dealing with the reactions of others, and concerns about the future of the child.[15,16] Frequent surgeries, medical visits, adverse effects, and the risk of infection all may lead to mothers’ psychological distress and increasing their care burden.[16-18] The daily life and routines of the family members who care for the child with cancer are affected seriously and may result in loss of roles in the family members.[19,20] In the literature, it is stated that primary caregivers experience emotional, cognitive, physical, social, and financial problems and these problems increase the burden of caregivers and decrease their quality of life.[7,18,21]

The care of the patients is usually carried out by their families, especially mothers, and this is perceived as a family responsibility in Turkey.[22] It was found in a studies that the mothers who played a primary role in treatment experienced more pain, anxiety, and depression than fathers.[23,24] Family members are trying to cope with their complications and also trying to provide support and care for their children with cancer.[9] Children also need their mothers more in the process of treatment and care.[25] For this reason, mothers are often the primary caregivers and most of the disease and the burden of care of the children are placed on mothers.[18] These burnout and difficulties affect both the mothers and the children negatively.[8,18] After a while, mothers experience loss of role, inability to care for their other children, inability to maintain daily life activities, deterioration in the quality of life, and various psychological problems.[26] The child’s caregivers experience difficulties and burnouts in the care and treatment process.[18] In this process, nurses and health professionals have important roles for supporting and understanding the effects of caring for children with cancer on caregivers and implementing planned education to reduce these negative emotions.[27]

This study was conducted to determine the relationship between the care burden, anxiety, and social support levels of the mothers of the children with cancer, and affecting factors.

**Study Questions**
- What is the level of care burden of mothers?
- What is the anxiety level of the mothers?
- What is the level of perceived social support of mothers?
- Is there a relationship between the care burden, anxiety levels, and perceived social support levels of the mothers?

**Materials and Methods**

This descriptive study was carried out in a university hospital in Turkey, Pediatric Hematology-Oncology clinic between October 20, 2018, and January 20, 2019. The hospital is the largest university hospital in the Thrace Region and is a 20-bed clinic.

**Sample and Recruitment**

The study subjects were mothers of children with cancer who were treated in pediatrics clinics at a university hospital. In the study, Köse et al.[28] the number of samples was calculated by using the “Beck Anxiety Inventory (BAI)” score average of their study with caregivers. The sample size of the study was determined by a computer program (G-Power 3.1 version).[29] In this program, the required sample number was found to be 51 in the calculation with 0.80 power, 95% reliability, and 0.60 effect size according to the study by Köse et al. Considering the inclusion and exclusion criteria of the study, the study was completed with 43 mothers (response rate: 84.31%) because four mothers were not willing to study and four mothers filled the questionnaires incompletely.

Mothers were eligible for selection if: Their child was diagnosed at least 1 month before the study, (2) chemotherapy or radiotherapy had started, and (3) the mothers were the direct caregivers.

**Research Variables**

Independent variables of the research; socio-demographic characteristics of children and parents (age, gender, family structure, employment status, educa-
tional level, place of residence, number of children, presence of other children with chronic disease, support status about the child care, household chores, etc.); dependent variables are; mean scores of the “Zarit Burden Interview (ZBI),” “BAI,” and “Multidimensional Perceived Social Support Scale (MPSSS).”

Data Collection Procedure
During the data collection period, the researchers interviewed the pediatric oncology clinic staff every day and evaluated them within the scope of the families’ inclusion criteria. Mothers who met the sample selection criteria first met the researchers and after a safe relationship was established, the parent was informed about the research. Written permission was obtained from mothers who volunteered to participate in the study. The data were collected in the interview room in the service for mothers to feel comfortable. The data were collected based on the self-report of the mothers through the face-to-face interview method. Data collection took approximately 10-15 min for each participant.

Research Instruments
The data were collected with “Information Form,” “ZBI,” “BAI,” and “MPSSS.”

Information Form
The form was prepared by the researchers in line with the literature.[7,19,21] The form consists of 22 questions, 15 questions including socio-demographic characteristics of children and parents (age, gender, family structure, employment status, education level, income status, place of residence, number of children, presence of other children with chronic disease, etc.) and seven questions about parents’ home care burden, anxiety, and social support status (support status about the child care and household chores, whom they get, etc.).

ZBI
The scale was developed by Zarit et al.[30] in 1980. Its Turkish validity and reliability study was performed by Inci and Erdem (2008) and the Cronbach alpha value is in the range of 0.87-0.99.[31] In the current study, the Cronbach’s a reliability coefficient of this scale was 0.84. The scale consists of 22 items to determine the effect of caregiving on the life of the caregiver. Each item is a 4-point Likert-type scale that changes to never (0), rarely (1), sometimes (2), often (3), or almost always (4). The minimum score is “0” point and maximum score is “88” point; “0-20” point is less burden, “21-40” point is a medium burden, “41-60” point is a high burden, and “61-88” point is an extreme burden.

BAI
BAI was developed in 1988 by Beck et al.[32] Its Turkish validity and reliability were done by Ulusoy et al.[33] and Cronbach’s alpha value was found to be 0.92. In the current study, the Cronbach’s a reliability coefficient of this scale was 0.79. This scale is a self-report measure of anxiety. BAI which is a Likert-type scale includes a total of 21 somatic and cognitive anxiety symptoms, and the score range is graded between 0 and 3 points, with low, moderate, and high responses. 8-15 points are determined as a low-level anxiety, 16-25 points are moderate-level anxiety, and 26-63 points are determined as score ranges that meet high-level anxiety symptoms. The maximum score that can be obtained from the scale is 63.

MPSSS
It was developed by Zimet et al.[34] in 1988 and its validity and reliability study was carried out in 1995 by Eker et al.[35] The scale consists of 12 items in total. The scale is a 7-point Likert-type scale with the form of “Absolutely Yes/No.” Three subgroups reflect the support resources of the scale: Family, friend, and private person support, and each subgroup consists of 4 items and the reliability scores are 0.89 on the whole scale and 0.85 on the subgroups. Items 3, 4, 8, and 11 in the scale measure family support, 6, 7, 9, and 12 items measure friend support, and 1, 2, 5, and 10 items measure private person support. The lowest score that can be obtained from the subscales is 4, and the highest score is 28. The lowest score that can be obtained from the total scale score obtained by adding the scores obtained from the subscales is 12, and the highest score is 84. The scale does not have a cutoff point, and the high score obtained from the scale indicates that perceived social support is also high. In the current study, the Cronbach’s a reliability coefficient of this scale was 0.95.

Ethical Approach
The Institutional Ethical Committee of the hospital approved the study (approval number: 19/09, date: 19.11.2018). The purpose of the research was explained to the mothers and children before the questionnaire and scales were distributed. Mothers were informed that they do not write names on the form and scales and that the data obtained will be used for only scientific purposes and verbal and written consent was obtained from them.
Data Analysis
Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20.0 (IBM SPSS Corp.; Armonk, NY, USA) statistical program was used to analyze the data. The sociodemographic characteristics of mothers and children, data on mothers’ home care burden, anxiety, and social support status were evaluated by number, percentage, mean, and standard deviation. To examine the normality of the distribution of the data, the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was applied. The parametric analysis methods were used for data analysis. The relationships between the sociodemographic characteristics of children and mothers (age, gender, number of children, presence of other children with chronic disease, etc.) and the total and sub-dimensions mean scores of “ZBI,” “BAI,” and “MPSSS” were analyzed with Student’s t-test. Relationships between scale scores were evaluated by Pearson correlation analysis. The results were evaluated at a 95% confidence interval and p<0.05 significance level.

Results
The mean age of the mothers was 37.46±9.67 years old and the mean age of children was 10.12±5.28 years old and 58.1% of the children were girls. The mean number of children was 2.11±0.87 in the family. Children’s mean of cancer treatment duration was 10.57±12.59 months and 60.5% of the children were receiving chemotherapy treatment, also 95% of the children in the remission term. It was found that mothers were primary caregiver of the children (83.7%), and 55.8% of mothers received support from their husband for children’ care. The mothers’ 76.7% stated that their social life was changed, 20.9% of them stated that they received support related to household chores, and 34.9% of them had any disease.

The mean total score of the BAI of the mothers was 20.62±15.74 and 37.2% of mothers had high levels of anxiety. The mean total score of the ZBI of the mothers was 29.83±20.92. The mean total score of the MPSSS of the mothers was 56.83±22.06, “family” sub-dimension mean score was 20.62±7.65, “friend” sub-dimension mean score was 18.69±8.67, and “private person” sub-dimension mean score 17.51±8.49 was found (Table 1).

There was a significant difference between the mothers’ status of change in the social lives and their BAI total score averages (t=4.454; p<0.001) and the mean score of the ZBI (t=3.259; p=0.003). Mothers who report their social life was changed had higher anxiety levels and caregiver burden (Table 2).

There was a significant difference between the mothers’ getting help at household chores and their total mean scores of the ZBI (t=0.864; p=0.018). The caregiving burden of mothers who did not receive help at household chores was higher (Table 2).

A moderate positive correlation was found between the total scores of BAI and ZBI of mothers (r=0.508; p=0.001). As mothers’ anxiety increased, caregiver burden also increased (Table 3).

There was no statistically significant correlation between the ZBI and MPSSS scores of mothers. Furthermore, there was no statistically significant correlation

Table 1 Distribution of mothers’ BAI, ZBI, and MPSSS total and sub-dimension mean scores (n=43)

| Scales and Sub-dimensions | n | %  | Mean±SD          |
|---------------------------|---|----|------------------|
| BAI                       |   |  - | 20.62±15.74      |
| Anxiety level             |   |  - |                  |
| No anxiety                | 11| 25.6| 22.07±11.98      |
| Low                       |  8| 18.6| 20.00±16.00      |
| Moderate                  |  8| 18.6| 22.50±15.76      |
| High                      | 16| 37.2| 19.00±15.00      |
| ZBI                       |   |  - | 29.83±20.92      |
| MPSSS                     |   |  - | 56.83±22.06      |
| Family                    |   |  - | 20.62±7.65       |
| Friend                    |   |  - | 18.69±8.67       |
| Private person            |   |  - | 17.51±8.49       |

Table 2 The comparison of some characteristics of mothers and children with total mean scores and sub-dimension scores of BAI, ZBI, and MPSSS (n=43)

| Characteristics                        | BAI Mean±SD | ZBI Mean±SD | MPSSS Mean±SD |
|----------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|
| Change in social life                   |             |             |               |
| Yes                                    | 24.06±16.27 | 33.87±21.48 | 56.03±22.12   |
| No                                     | 9.30±5.43   | 16.50±12.02 | 59.50±22.81   |
| t                                      | 4.454       | 3.259       | 0.625         |
| p                                      | <0.001*     | 0.003*      | 0.668         |
| Getting help in household chores       |             |             |               |
| Yes                                    | 26.77±11.15 | 26.02±19.74 | 66.00±11.96   |
| No                                     | 19.00±16.50 | 44.22±19.89 | 54.41±23.57   |
| t                                      | 1.330       | 0.864       | 2.040         |
| p                                      | 0.191       | 0.018*      | 0.052         |

*p<0.05 (statistically significant). SD: Standard deviation; t: Mann Whitney U test; BAI: Beck anxiety inventory; ZBI: Zarit burden interview; MPSSS: Multidimensional perceived social support scale
between the duration of cancer treatment of the children and total mean scores of ZBI, MPSSS, and BAI (p>0.05) (Table 3).

**Table 3**

| Scales | ZBI total | MPSSS family | MPSSS friend | MPSSS private person | MPSSS total |
|--------|-----------|--------------|--------------|-----------------------|-------------|
| BAI total | r        | 0.508  | 0.150  | 0.071  | 0.034  | 0.093  |
|       | p        | 0.001*  | 0.338  | 0.650  | 0.829  | 0.554  |
| ZBI    | r        | -0.022  | -0.068  | 0.161  | 0.028  |         |
|       | p        | -0.890  | 0.664  | 0.303  | 0.861  |         |
| Duration of diagnosis | r        | -0.029  | 0.116  | 0.149  | 0.090  | 0.132  |
|       | p        | 0.858   | 0.463  | 0.347  | 0.569  | 0.405  |

*p<0.05 (statistically significant); r: Pearson correlation analysis; BAI: Beck anxiety inventory; ZBI: Zarit burden interview; MPSSS: Multidimensional perceived social support scale

**Discussion**

Children with cancer need more mothers to meet their needs and take care of them during the cancer treatment process.[36] Therefore, it is the mother who experiences more challenges and who is most affected by the treatment phase and as well as the cancer care process for the child.[37] In the literature, the care burden and psychosocial problems of pediatric oncology patients’ mothers are generally examined as descriptive and qualitative studies.[37-39] In the literature, the care burden, psychosocial problems, and support system experienced by mothers who had children with cancer were examined separately.[16,17,36] There are limitations in this studies that explain how these variables affect each other. Therefore, this study was conducted to determine the relationship between the care burden, anxiety, and social support levels of the mothers of children with cancer and affecting factors.

The incidence of childhood cancers is increasing rapidly in the world.[28] The long course of treatment affects both families and children negatively. [8] In Turkey, it is usually allowed the mothers to stay with their children in the oncology clinic. As primary caregivers are mothers so, their anxiety, stress, anxiety, care burden are more than other family members.[36] In this study, it was determined that 37.2% of mothers had high anxiety level, the mean total score of the ZBI, and the MPSSS of the mothers showed that mother’s had moderate care burden, and moderate perceived social support, and mothers mostly receive social support from their families (Table 1). In the literature, similar to these findings, it is seen that the level of social support of the mother is moderate and they received social support mostly from their families.[9,28,40] In a study conducted by Baran, the mothers who had children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) had high care burden.[18] van Warmerdam et al.[7] conduct a meta-analysis to determine the prevalence of psychological problems in parents children with cancer and they found that parents of the children with cancer show a greater incidence of anxiety. It can be said that childhood cancer not only affects children but also all the family members: Parents, well siblings, and other members.[41] According to the research results, primer caregiver especially mothers experiences more stress, anxiety, and care burden than other family members.[16-18,42] It is very important for mothers need to be supported by their families and health professionals to reduce the care burden and negative feelings that they experience.[43] Health professionals who spend the most time with mothers in hospitals are nurses. Nurses have important roles in guiding mothers to cope with their negative emotions.[44] The literature suggests that nurses plan the care of the child together with their parents, creating environments where parents will feel comfortable, informing families during and after treatment, and actively involve the family in the entire care of the child.[44-46] These nursing interventions should be implemented for reducing the mother’s anxiety and care burden’s level.

One of the important results of this study is that mothers who report changes in social life had a high score of the anxiety and care burden (Table 2). This result is consistent of the literature. Chiou et al.[47] found that cancer caregivers who received social support had a low level of care burden and the care burden decreased as perceived social support increased. The child being diagnosed with cancer does not only change the life of the child but also the routine life and responsibilities of caregivers.[15] The mothers are often depressed and isolated because of the treatment and the care burden of the disease and therefore restrict relationships within their environments.[17] These problems may continue for a few years.[17] According to the Theory of Human Ecology, people’s environment
and social supports play an important role in their responses to stressors.[48] According to this theory, if the mothers of children with cancer are socially supported, their psychological problems and care burdens can be reduced.[17] Furthermore, social interaction is a cultural component that has the effect of reducing parents’ distress, care burden, and anxiety.[17]

In a recent study, it was found that the care burden of mothers who did not receive help at chores was high (Table 2). This finding consisted of the literature. Wang et al.[40] explored that care burden among parents of children with ALL and it was found that parents with low care burden tend to have more time for daily care, more co-caregivers, higher incomes, less depression, better emotional well-being, and social support. Caregivers are usually responsible for about 80% of the duties that were performed by health professionals (e.g., side effects control, and late medication effects), in addition to their personal responsibilities.[15] In this process, when mothers cannot get help from anyone, the burden of care increases.[21] In the literature, it was reported that many of the treatments are provided in the outpatient setting, minimizing hospitalization, but this situation increases the care burden of caregivers, especially mothers, at home.[41] Other family members in the home can assist in the care, otherwise, as indicated in the research results, the care burden of mothers may increase and this may have other consequences.

Childhood cancers creates a vulnerability of psychosocial problems for both parents and children, including a unique risk of decreasing quality of life. [49] Since the diagnosis of cancer, caregivers have experienced fear, anxiety, insomnia, and post-traumatic stress.[8,36,49] Providing emotional and physical care to the child not only raises the care burden of the mother, but it may also lead to their physical well-being to worsen.[36] Consistent with the literature, we found that when mothers’ anxiety increased, the their care burden also increased (Table 3). Köse et al.[28] analyzed the relationship between the care burden and anxiety levels of parents whose child has cancer and they stated that positive correlation between the care burden and anxiety levels of parents. Furthermore, Santo et al.[16] determined the care burden and quality of life of children’s caregivers, it was reported that the negative correlation between care burden and mental well-being. It should be remembered that cancer is a major challenge for both children and families and causes high levels of anxiety.[9] Nurses should support both the child and the family in all processes beginning from the diagnosis.[44] Swanson’s theory of care suggests that the nurse, patient, and parent relationship is essential for care, and the implementation of initiatives that “promote, restore, and maintain optimal health for individuals.”[50] Therefore, nurses should build a relationship with parents to meet parent’s needs.

Limitations of the Study
This study has some limitations. The small sample size is limited by the number of mothers with cancer children being treated who may not be representative of the general population. Furthermore, the present study did not examine possible effects of treatment intensity (i.e., radiation dose and chemotherapy protocol).

Conclusion
As a consequence of the present study, care burden and perceived social support levels by Turkish mothers of children with cancer were moderate, but 37.2% of them high level of anxiety. It was found that mothers received the most support from their “families.” Mothers who reported social life changes had a higher level of anxiety and care burden. One of the important results of the study is that it was determined a correlation between the mother’s anxiety score and mother’s care burden score. In the light of all these findings, mothers should be included in the care of the child by the nurses and they should inform mothers about diseases, treatments, and all interventions to reduce the negative effect of the care. Nurses should build relationships with family members from the beginning of the diagnosis. Besides, nurses should assess both children and mother’s concerns and psychological needs. Based on the assessment outcomes, programs, and activities such as parental training and psychological counseling can implemented for mothers.

Note: This study was presented at the 2nd International 7th National Pediatric Nursing Congress as a verbal presentation (27-30 November 2019/Izmir, Turkey).
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