Effects of the Flipped Classroom on Understanding the Thermodynamic Concept at High School Students
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Abstract This study explains the increase in 11th-grade student achievement on the thermodynamic subject with a flipped classroom approach that was assisted by learning animation videos developed using Powtoon application. This research was a quasi-experimental design with a pretest-posttest control group design. The sample of this study was two classes from five classes of 11th-grade students. Instruments for collecting data on achievement used test instruments in the form of multiple choices. Non-test instruments in the form of questionnaires with a Likert scale were used to measure student learning motivation and numeric abilities. The statistical analysis techniques were T-test, ANOVA, and linear regression. The results of this study found that there was an increase in student achievement between before and after being taught with flipped classroom learning models assisted by learning animation videos. Also, there were also significant differences in achievement between students taught with flipped classroom learning models supported by learning animation videos compared to students who were taught conventionally. In this study numerical abilities can be used as predictors. The contribution of numerical ability to students who were taught with flipped classroom models was higher than in another group. The limitation of this study is that this study did not pay attention to the strategies paired on the development of animated videos. The selection of learning strategies that are attached to the animated video will be used to determine the effect on learning. In general, research on the flipped classroom does not emphasize the type of video used. This study highlights the attractiveness of the animation made in the learning video.
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1. Introduction

The 21st century is known as the century of openness or globalization meaning that human’s life in the 21st century experiences a fundamental change. It is different from the 20th century when learning process was still teacher-centered while learning in the 21st century requires learner-centered learning [1,2]. In the learning process, the objectives are not only achievement but the process experienced by students [3,4]. The process is indeed not a difficult challenge for students because they frequently encounter difficulties in the learning process.

One of the difficulties the students frequently have in the learning process is the difficulty in finishing the homework given by the teacher. Physics is one of the subjects closed to the mathematical operation such as algorithmic translation, fraction, linear and derivative. Also, physics also needs a high capability to think and analyze both numerically and abstractly. Thus, the numeric ability is a factor that can influence a student’s physics achievement [5]. Students with excellent numeric capabilities could finish assignments or homework given by their teacher easily [6]. On the contrary, for students with the lack of numeric capability, finishing homework individually is one challenge.

Among the many factors leading to the success of the learning process is motivation [7]. It is an internal situation awakening, directing, and sustaining attitudes [8]. With learning motivation, students have learning positions; they will be addressed and will improve achievement[9,10]. Therefore, this is a strategy to enhance student's learning achievement.

Bringing the homework to school may be easier for the students with the low numeric capability to solve problems at school. They can ask friends or teachers so that they can do exercises or other activities such as debate, presentation, and discussion. The more activities, the more significant influence the students will get to improve their learning achievement.
Taking homework to school and finishing it at school is similar to the model of the flipped classroom [11]. In this learning model, students learn materials in the forms of videos or e-books at home before their learning starts [12]; therefore, in the classroom, the teacher does not explain materials. The teacher only plays a role as a facilitator at the school. The result of a research on flipped classroom showed that there is the improvement of achievement for flipped classrooms compared to conventional classrooms [13,17]. Flipped classroom changes the student’s learning strategies in the classroom which is replacing the teaching-learning process and the role of a teacher that is usually carried out at school. Now students watch the learning videos at home; therefore, engaging in learning media is needed to make sure that the students study at home.

One of the media used in the teaching-learning process with the flipped classroom model is audiovisual learning media. Such media are not only listened but also watched. Powtoon is a creator of software of animation, presentation, and video that is very fun because a cartoon supports it on the application [18]. The research on Powtoon shows that it is useful to improve learning achievement, encourage cognitive student motivation, enhance student’s memorization and understand aspects. The characteristic on the flipped classroom is its learning video, so the researchers choose Powtoon as the learning media and the material used is Thermodynamic. Thermodynamic is selected because this material is rarely practiced and hard for students. It is expected that the students can understand the content through the visual process.

However, the use of Powtoon in thermodynamic learning is not yet universal. The researchers implemented Powtoon media so that student’s achievement improved. In learning, not only learning strategies can affect learning performance. In physics learning, not only learns about concepts but also determines number manipulation to be used in applying formulas. There are many other factors influencing learning performance to support this ability, namely students' numerical skills. Also, motivation is a well-known factor that influences learning performance. In this study, to ensure that only learning strategies can affect learning performance, numerical ability and motivation are used as control variables.

This research aims to determine the influence of student's motivation on learning Thermodynamic, numeric ability towards achievement; and to evaluate the effectiveness of learning by using the Flipped Classroom learning model with Powtoon.

2. Methods

2.1. Research Context

The research was a quasi-experimental design with a posttest-only controlled group design. The population of the study was all eleventh-grade students of a state senior high school in Yogyakarta. The sampling technique was random cluster sampling. Two classes with the total number of 70 students were used as the samples assigned as experimental group and control group.

There were three variables in this research. The independent variable was the learning strategy (IVAR). The dependent variable was learning achievement (DVAR), and the covariates were numeric capability (COV1) and motivation (COV2).

The test was administered to collect the data. Concerning the instrument, the test consisted of 30 questions (25 questions were multiple choices, and five were in essay type). All the items tested were reliable, and in term of difficulty level, among the 30 questions (14 items were easy, and 16 items were fair).

Further, the researcher used posttest to measure the students’ achievement. Non-test instruments were used for measuring student’s motivation by using student’s independency questionnaires and numeric capability by using intelligence and Talent Test for arithmetic and number. The researchers checked the instruments before using them in the validity test, the reliability test, and the difficulty level test. To analyze the data, on the result of posttest the researchers employed T-test, ANCOVA test, and Lin-ear regression.

2.2. Learning Activity

The flipped classroom is learning that reverses between classroom learning and homework. Students see short videos related to teaching the material at home before they study in class. In class students learn by discussing, working on projects or contextual exercising activities. In classroom learning, for the experimental group, the teacher invites students to discuss, so that critical thinking skills emerge. The teacher in this activity discusses problems or issues related to thermodynamic material and links this concept to solve mathematical problems. Thus students not only memorize formulas but can explain this formula with particular phenomena. Table 1 summaries the activity of each group.

| Groups                           | At home                        | In-class                        |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Conventional lectures (Control group) | Solving problems (homework) | Listening to lecture (direct lesson) |
| Flipped classroom (Experiment group) | Listening to lecture (short video) | Solving problems (learning activity) |

The mechanism or the procedure of the implementation of the flipped classroom learning model is:

- The teacher prepares and provides a short video created using Powtoon that students will watch and learn about at home.
• Students watch the video and learn the instructions
given by the teacher through the video so that they are
familiar with the concepts and material that will be
presented at the next meeting.
• In the classroom, students work on tasks based on
instructions that have been delivered previously via
video. In this case, students can focus more on the
difficulties in understanding the material or its ability
to solve problems related to the content.
• The teacher acts as a facilitator who accompanies
students in working on the task.

3. Result

3.1. The Powtoon Video

There are three videos made to support this learning.
Each video contains different material. Media developed
with Powtoon that has characteristics of dynamic videos
that can attract student interest. There are three main
activities in each video which are studying physics
concepts, critical thinking to solve contextual problems,
and building knowledge of the concepts learned. Students
learn independently when watching material through this
video, so it is possible that there is still a lack of
understanding or misconception. This lack of knowledge is
corrected when students are in class.

Three videos produced to support this learning activity are

• The video explains the first law of thermodynamics
that covers systems and the environment, works and
internal energy. See http://youtube.be/WG_m_rZ5LLg.
• The video explains the second law of thermodynamics
including the Carnot cycle and the Coolant Engine.
See http://youtube.be/uMm1ZGmOHIU.
• The video describes processes in thermodynamic
gases such as isothermic, isobaric, and adiabatic. See
http://youtube.be/g_IVEdYDW34.

3.1.1. Learning the Physics Concept

The concept is explained using audio that accompanies
text or images or motion images. The teacher uses simple
language to be quickly understood by students. The teacher
also provides several examples in everyday life related to
the concept. Figure 1 is the sample of the screenshot
representing the Carnot cycle concept.

3.1.2. Critical Thinking Activity

After students learn the material, they are given a case or
problem-related to a particular concept. The teacher
conveys the problem and asks students to think about the
solution. The teacher presents the issue in several forms
such as text, picture, or video. Students can repeat this
video again to reach an understanding of the concept and
be able to solve the problem given by the teacher. Figure 2
and Figure 3 show the example of the issue in the video.
3.1.3. Building the Knowledge

The understanding as the achievement in this learning includes being able to explain thermodynamic concepts, can use formulas to solve thermodynamic cases, and be able to analyze phenomena in daily life related to this material. To integrate these outcomes, in the video several examples were given related to the application of formulas and explanations.

3.2. Media Validation

3.2.1. Learning Subject Validation

Validated aspects related to the subject matter include the accuracy of the concepts described in the video (accuracy of the concept), the depth of the material taught in video (depth of the concept), the possibility of videos to support understanding concepts with several strategies provided (mastery of the concept) and video capabilities to explain the application of concepts in implementation. The results of the material expert validation are shown in Figure 4. From this figure, in all aspects, the validators give values above 75%. This score is in an excellent category.

3.2.2. Media Validation

As a learning medium, the videos made are validated in aspects of clarity of sentence, language, physical appearance, sound, picture, and functionality to the usage. The highest score given by the three validators is on the feasibility of the usage aspect. This finding supports the assumptions used that Powtoon is an excellent application software for use in making learning media. With the score given by the three validators, this video is in a good category (see Figure 5).
3.3. The Student's Response to the Video

The learning media developed in this research is video in Powtoon application as a student's learning materials at home. The quality of the learning media is categorized feasible as learning media with evaluation from the materials expert, and the media expert after validation, we tested this media to 30 students. There are four aspects to be considered: the concept in the video (81.62%), video as reference materials (86.27%), motivating students (82.35%), and video design (91.18%). Figure 6 shows this result. In general, based on this percentage, the video is feasible to be used in learning.

3.4. Statistical Analysis

3.4.1. Ancova

Before analyzing with ANCOVA, we analyze the descriptive statistics from the results of the study. Table 2 shows the analysis. From Table 1, the experimental group (CL1) had a higher average learning outcome (76.67) than one (67.17) of the control groups (CL2). The standard deviation value (CL1) has a smaller standard deviation (8.34) than one (11.35) of (CL2).

| Independent Variable | Mean   | Std. Deviation | N  |
|-----------------------|--------|----------------|----|
| Experiment group      | 76.6667| 8.33908        | 30 |
| Control group         | 67.1667| 11.34744       | 30 |
| Total                 | 71.9167| 10.97345       | 60 |

To find out the increase of achievement of the experimental class and control class, and the correlation between motivation, numeric capability on thermodynamic learning, ANCOVA test was employed. Before the analysis, prerequisite condition analysis was carried out such as normality test and homogeneity test. On the normality test of pretest and posttest data, numeric capability and motivation data were normally distributed, and so were the data on homogeneity test; the data were homogenous. The result of ANCOVA analysis is represented in Table 3.
T-test analysis was used to determine the significant difference in the result of numeric capability, posttest and motivation between experimental group and control group. The result is that there is a difference in achievement between the experiment group and the control group with a significance level of 0.05. It means that there is a significant difference in numeric capability between experimental group and control group. On motivation covariate, the significant result is less than the significance level 0.05. Thus, there is a considerable difference in learning motivation between experimental classes and control classes.

Table 3. Tests of Between-Subjects Effects

| Source       | Type III Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F        | Sig. |
|--------------|-------------------------|----|-------------|----------|------|
| Corrected Model | 6032.805               | 3  | 2010.935    | 105.071  | .000 |
| Intercept    | 1513.054               | 1  | 1513.054    | 79.056   | .000 |
| COV1         | 4435.499               | 1  | 4435.499    | 231.753  | .000 |
| COV2         | 39.164                 | 1  | 39.164      | 2.046    | .158 |
| Class        | 1156.423               | 1  | 1156.423    | 60.423   | .000 |
| Error        | 1071.778               | 56 | 19.139      |          |      |
| Total        | 317425.000             | 60 |             |          |      |
| Corrected Total | 7104.583              | 59 |             |          |      |

R Squared = .849 (Adjusted R Squared = .841)

3.4.2. Variable Contribution

Predictor contributions (COV1 and COV2) can be seen in relative contributions and effective contributions. Linear regression analysis can be used to find out both types of contribution. The sum of the effective contributions of these two covariates is the same as the R square value or the coefficient of determination. The relative contribution is the magnitude of predictor contribution to the square of regression. Table 4 shows the result of the linear regression analysis for the experimental group.

Table 4. Coefficient of linear regression

| Model | Unstandardized Coefficients | Standardized Coefficients | t     | Sig. |
|-------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-------|------|
|       | B                           | Std. Error                | Beta  |      |
| (Constant) | -117.536                    | 36.916                    | -3.184| .004 |
| 1     | COV1                        | 1.847                     | .319  | 5.784| .000 |
|       | COV2                        | -1.119                    | .120  | -1.26| .293 |

Table 5 shows the correlation coefficients of each variable to others.

Table 5. The correlation coefficient

|          | DVAR  | COV1  | COV2  |
|----------|-------|-------|-------|
| Pearson Correlation |       |       |       |
| COV1     | .742  | 1.000 | -.063 |
| COV2     | -.172 | -.063 | 1.000 |
| DVAR     | .     | .000  | .181  |
| Sig. (1-tailed) | COV1 | .000  | .370  |
|          | COV2  | .181  | .370  |
|          | DVAR  | 30    | 30    |
| N        | COV1  | 30    | 30    |
|          | COV2  | 30    | 30    |

The model summary of this case that is used to calculate the COV1 and COV2 contribution shows that the R-square is equal to .657 and R is equal to .753. These numbers are used to calculate the relative contribution of the independent variable to the dependent variable. The final calculation of the contribution is in Table 6.

Table 6. Contribution of covariates towards dependent variable

| Variable | Regression Coefficient | Correlation | Effective Contribution | Relative Contribution |
|----------|------------------------|-------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| COV1     | 0.734                  | 0.742       | 54.4628                | 96.0543               |
| COV2     | -0.126                 | -0.172      | 2.1672                 | 3.8222                |
The contribution value in Table 4 shows that COV1 has a higher contribution to DVAR than COV2. This value means that COV1 is a better predictor to estimate DVAR than COV2. If you look at the total effective contribution (56.62), there are other variables that affect DVAR equal to (43.38) which cannot be explained in this study.

4. Discussion

Based on the result of the ANCOVA, there is no significant influence between motivation covariate and learning achievement, but for numeric capability, it is very substantial for student's learning achievement. Therefore, it can be concluded that motivation does not influence learning achievement, while numeric ability has a significant influence towards this research.

In the experimental class, it appears that there is a contribution of numeric capability and motivation towards learning achievement. The total of effective contribution is 56.63% which means there are 43.7% other variables that can influence the dependent variable. The number of effective contribution and the relative contribution of numeric capability towards learning achievement were 56.63% and 96.17% respectively. The numeric ability gave the highest contribution to the posttest score of student's learning achievement. Also, the number of effective contribution and the relative contribution of motivation towards learning achievement are 2.16% and 3.82% respectively. Motivation in this research contributes less towards the posttest score of student's learning achievement.

For the control class, there is a contribution of numeric capability and motivation towards learning achievement. The total number of effective contribution is 96.21%, which means that 3.78% of other variables are the number of influence on the dependent variable. The amount of effective contribution of the numeric capability towards learning achievement is 95.15%, while the one of relative contribution is 98.9%. The numeric ability gives the highest contribution towards the posttest score of student's learning achievement. Meanwhile, the number of the effective contribution of motivation towards learning achievement is 1.05%, and the one of relative contribution is 1.09. The score of motivation in this research, in fact, gives a small contribution to the posttest score of student's learning achievement.

The value of numerical ability in this study gives the highest contribution to the posttest score of student achievement. This skill is followed by the review of numerical skills. Students who have excellent numerical skills can efficiently complete assignments or homework given by their teachers [19]. Flipped classrooms require significant effort for the preparation of videos created by the teacher. However, the results obtained provide high benefits for students in improving achievement. The scenario in the video that designed with specific sequences can be used to encourage the achievement of learning competencies [20,21].

Learning with flipped classroom assisted videos shows a positive impact on improving students’ achievement. We want to highlight some of the factors based on the results of this study. There are still other factors besides numerical ability and interest in learning that have a significant influence on students’ learning success. These factors will be related to the characteristics of teaching material, student characteristics, types and levels of competencies that must be achieved, and learning strategies are developed by the teacher [22,23]. Issues related to how to build a conducive learning atmosphere in the classroom when students carry out learning activities after learning from videos need to be studied more deeply to be able to know more comprehensively in explaining the ability of flipped classroom to improve achievement [24,25]. Although there have been many studies related to the flipped classroom, there are still many research opportunities associated with this strategy related to the development of information and communication technology like how to use flipped classroom in online learning. There are also other studies on variables that affect achievements previously discussed.

5. Conclusions

Learning media developed with Powtoon applications have good potential to improve student achievement in physics learning with flipped classroom models. This potential is shown in videos that use simple language, associated with issues and examples encountered in everyday life, combining learning with concepts and numerical skills. In this study, achievement has been measured in understanding concepts and critical thinking skills. It’s just that the two factors are not analyzed separately. Further analysis will be able to find out how this flipped classroom has an impact on broader aspects of learning.
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