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Nucleoside diphosphate kinases (NDP kinases) form a family of oligomeric enzymes present in all organisms. Eukaryotic NDP kinases are hexamers composed of identical subunits (∼17 kDa). A distinctive property of human NDPK-B encoded by the gene nm23-H4 is its ability to stimulate the gene transcription. This property is independent of its catalytic activity and is possibly related to the role of this protein in cellular events including differentiation and tumor metastasis. In this paper, we report the first characterization of human NDPK-B-DNA complex formation using a filter-binding assay and fluorescence spectroscopy. We analyzed the binding of several oligonucleotides mimicking the promoter region of the c-myc oncogene including variants in sequence, structure, and length of both strands. We show that NDPK-B binds to single-stranded oligonucleotides in a nonsequence specific manner, but that it exhibits a poor binding activity to double-stranded oligonucleotides. This indicates that the specificity of recognition to DNA is a function of the structural conformation of DNA rather than of its specific sequence. Moreover, competition experiments performed with all nucleotides provide evidence for the contribution of the six active sites in the DNA-protein complex formation. We propose a mechanism through which human NDPK-B could stimulate transcription of c-myc or possibly other genes involved in cellular differentiation.

Nucleoside diphosphate kinases are essential oligomeric enzymes that play a key role in the maintenance of the intracellular pool of all (d)NTPs and NTPs. They catalyze the transfer of a phosphate from a nucleoside triphosphate to a nucleoside diphosphate via the formation of an enzymatic intermediate phosphorylated on a catalytic histidine residue (1). The crystal structures of NDP1 kinases from several organisms including prokaryotes (2) and eukaryotes (3–5) have been determined. All eukaryotic enzymes known to date are hexamers composed of identical subunits (6), all eukaryotic enzymes known to date are hexamers composed of identical subunits each containing an active site. The hexameric state is essential for enzymatic activity, and binding of ADP or ATP contribute to the hexamer stability (7).

In human cells, four members of the NDP kinase family encoded by the genes nm23-H1 (8), nm23-H2 (9), DR-nm23 (10), and nm23-H4 (11) have been identified so far. A fifth isoform, nm23-H5, was isolated very recently but it does not exhibit NDP kinase activity when overexpressed in bacteria (12). Nm23-H1 and Nm23-H2 share the highest homology (88%) and can form heterohexamers (13). Nm23-H4/NDPK-B is present both in the cytoplasm and in the nucleus (14, 15). Phylogenetic analysis (16) and GFP fusion-protein constructs indicate that Nm23-H4 is a mitochondrial form of NDP kinase. Altered levels of expression of nm23-H4, nm23-H2, and DR-nm23 are associated with a variety of cellular processes including differentiation, proliferation, apoptosis, and suppression of tumor metastasis (17). Using the serial analysis of gene expression method (18), it was shown that nm23-H2 was up-regulated in gastrointestinal tumors (19). Remarkably, the catalytic activity does not explain the role of nm23 in these cellular processes (17, 20, 21).

A role for the nm23-H2 gene product in cell regulation independent from its NDPK activity was first suggested by the observation that this protein binds to nucleic acids. Indeed NDPK-B was identified as a transcription factor based on its ability to stimulate the transcription in vitro of the c-myc gene (22). This stimulatory activity is independent from the enzymatic activity, because it was retained in a catalytically inactive mutant (23). In addition, transient transfections of a plasmid bearing the −160/−101 upstream nucleosome hypersensitive element of the c-myc promoter (also termed PuF site or CT element), have shown that NDPK-B increases the expression of a reporter gene by 3–5-fold (24, 25). Moreover, mutations in the PuF site affect the transcriptional efficiency of NDPK-B (25). However Michelotti et al. (26) using a one-hybrid system, showed that NDPK-B is not a conventional transcription factor. The nucleosome hypersensitive element contains a sequence homopurine/homopyrimidine-rich, which is prone to form non-B duplex DNA-like intermolecular triplexes (27, 28) or tetraplexes (29). This region is nucleosome-free (30) and forms single strand DNA (31), presumably resulting from the appearance of secondary structure. Using a mobility shift assay, we reported previously a qualitative analysis of the DNA-binding properties of NDPK-B (32), indicating a preference for single strand binding.

In this study, we report the first quantitative analysis of human NDPK-B-DNA complex formation using several biochemical methods. We show that NDPK-B binds to single-stranded oligonucleotides in a nonsequence specific manner, but that it exhibits strong specificity for single-stranded DNA. Moreover, competition experiments performed with NDPs show that the affinity for DNA is significantly higher than for...
nucleotides di- and triphosphates, and provide evidence that the six active sites of the hexamer form a binding template for the interaction with oligonucleotides. We propose a mechanism through which human NDPK-B could stimulate transcription of c-myc and possibly of other genes involved in cellular differentiation.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Materials and Preparation of the Oligonucleotides**

The oligonucleotides were purchased from Genentech, Pasteur Institute, All NDPs and GTP were obtained from Roche Molecular Biochemicals. T4 polynucleotide kinase was purchased from New England BioLabs.

All oligonucleotides were gel-purified following protocols derived from Sambrak et al. The elution buffer was 0.3 M sodium acetate, pH 7, and 1 mM EDTA. The short oligonucleotides (10 polymers) were precipitated out of the solution in dry ice using an ethanol/isopropanol (4:1 v/v) mixture, which improved the recovery of precipitation. The pellet was washed twice with 95% ethanol and resuspended in water. Concentrations of single strand and double strand oligonucleotides were measured by absorbance at 260 nm according to the nearest neighbor approximations of Puglisi and Tinoco for single strand and using an extinction coefficient of \(1.68 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-1}\) for double strand.

**Purification of Human NDPK-B**

**Expressed in Escherichia coli**

The expression vector pJC20 containing the complete coding sequence of the nmd29-1b cDNA was a gift from Dr. M. Konrad (Max Planck Institute for Biophysical Chemistry, Gottingen). Expression of human NDPK-B was induced by addition of 1 mM isopropyl-1-thio-β-D-galactopyranoside for 5 hours when the culture (5 liters) reached a cell density of \(A_{590 \text{nm}} \approx 0.5\). After harvesting by centrifugation, the cells were washed twice and resuspended in extraction buffer (10 mM potassium phosphate, 5% glycerol, 1 mM EDTA, 5 mM magnesium chloride, and 1 mM DTE) containing protease inhibitors. Cells were broken in a French press at 1,500 psi. The lysate was diluted 2.5-fold with extraction buffer and centrifuged at 10,000 \(\times g\) for 20 min. All subsequent steps were performed at 4°C. Following precipitation of nucleic acids by addition of Polymin P (BASF) at 0.15% (v/v) and centrifugation at 10,000 \(\times g\) for 15 min, the clear supernatant (162 ml) was applied to a POROS HQ column (PerSeptive Biosystems, 2.6 cm) equilibrated with 50% glycerol and 1 mM DTE. The recombinant Human NDPK-B was recovered in the flow-through fraction and was separated from the endogenous NDP kinase from E. coli, which binds to the matrix. Fractions containing NDP kinase activity were pooled (174 ml) and applied to a POROS HS (1.6 x 16 cm) equilibrated in 25 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.5, 5% glycerol, 1 mM EDTA, 5 mM magnesium chloride, and 1 mM DTE, and eluted with a 250-ml linear gradient of potassium phosphate (25–400 mM). Protein-containing fractions according to the optical density profile at 280 nm were pooled (45 ml), applied on a ceramic hydroxyapatite column (American International Chemical, 1.6 x 10 cm), equilibrated in 25 mM potassium phosphate, 5% glycerol, and 1 mM DTE and eluted with a 520-ml linear gradient of potassium phosphate (25–400 mM). Fractions containing NDP kinase were concentrated, and the excess salt removed by ultrafiltration (Amicon). The protein sample was then dialyzed against 25 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.5, 2 mM DTE, and 50% glycerol, and stored at –20°C. The protein at this stage was >99% pure, appearing as single band after Coomassie or silver staining of a polyacrylamide gel under denaturing conditions. Protein concentration was determined either by the method of Bradford or by absorbance at 280 nm using an extinction coefficient of 1.238 for 1 mg/ml solution. NDP kinase activity was assayed by a coupled assay using 0.2 mM dTDP as the phosphate acceptor in the presence of 1 mM ATP as described (35). The specific activity of pure NDPK-B was 1,400 units/mg (1 unit corresponds to the amount of dTDP (micromoles) transformed/min at 25°C). A summary of the purification protocol is shown in Table II.

**Detection of NDPK-B-Oligonucleotide Complexes**

**Filter-binding Assay**—All oligonucleotides used in filter-binding experiments (see Table I) were radiolabeled with [γ-32P]ATP by T4 polynucleotide kinase. Radiolabeled oligonucleotides were separated from [γ-32P]ATP on denaturing polyacrylamide gels. Labeled oligonucleotides were incubated with NDPK-B in a 30-μl total volume of binding buffer at pH 5.5 (FS buffer, 20 mM Tris/acetic acid/MES, 20% glycerol, 5 mM magnesium chloride, and 1 mM DTE) or at a different pH for 30 min at 4°C. Reaction mixtures were passed through a nitrocellulose membrane (0.45 μm pore size, Millipore HA filters) under reduced pressure in a cold room. Before sample application, the filter was pretreated with 0.5 ml of the FS buffer containing 1 mg/ml bovine serum albumin. After application of the samples, the filters were washed twice with 0.5 ml of FS buffer containing, 20, 50, 100, or 200 mM potassium chloride. The filters were then dried and counted by liquid scintillation. The filter efficiency (E) was determined from Equation 1,

\[ E = \frac{C_S - C_B}{C_P - C_B} \tag{1} \]

where \(C_S\) represents the counts retained on the filter when the oligonucleotides are saturated with NDPK-B (NDPK-B \(\rightarrow\) DNA), \(C_B\) represents the background counts obtained when the oligonucleotide is filtered without NDPK-B and \(C_P\) is the total input counts.

**Ionic Strength Dependence of Complex Formation**

The salt dependence of the binding of NDPK-B to the oligonucleotide was determined by conducting titration experiments in FS buffer and washing buffer at pH 5.5 with different potassium chloride concentrations. The effect of the salt concentration on complex formation has been described by the relation (36),

\[ \frac{\Delta G_{obs}^0}{m} + \delta \ln K_i = -m^i + \psi \] \tag{2}

where \(m^i\) is the number of ion pairs formed and \(\psi\) is the fraction of counterion bound per phosphate group, which is assumed to be 0.71 (36). Assuming that \(m^i\) is equivalent to \(N\), the number of ion pairs, the non-electrostatic contributions to the free energy of binding (\(\Delta G_{ne}^0\)) has been estimated according to Equation 3,

\[ \Delta G_{obs}^0 = \Delta G_{ne}^0 (1 \times K^i) - N\Delta G_{0,ne} \] \tag{3}

where \(\Delta G_{obs}^0\) is the standard free energy at \([K^i] = 1 \times M\), \(\Delta G_{ne}^0\) is the standard free energy of formation of a single lysine-phosphate ionic interaction and corresponds to \(-0.18\) kcal mol\(^{-1}\) at \([K^i] = 1 \times M\) (36, 37).

**Competition Experiments**—To measure the effect of NDP on NDPK-B-oligonucleotide binding, competition experiments were performed. The oligonucleotide c-myc/ssPu-30 (70 nt), radiolabeled with [γ-32P]ATP was incubated at 4°C with NDPK-B (120 nm) in FS buffer. Variable amounts of NDP (10 nm \(\rightarrow\) 50 μM) were then added (final volume; 30 μl). After binding was complete (30 min), the samples were filtered and washed with 2×500 μl washing buffer (FS) containing 20 mM potassium chloride. Using mass balance and equilibrium binding equations, the equilibrium mixture of γ-32P-oligonucleotide (DNA) and competitor NDP (P) binding to NDPK-B (B) can be described by Equation 4,

\[ \frac{[DNA \cdot P]}{[DNA]} = K_P (1 + ([I/K_i]) + [DNA_P] + [P] - [K_P(1 + ([I/K_i]) + [DNA_P] + [P] - 4[DNA_P][P]^2/[DNA])^2]} \tag{4} \]
where $[\text{DNA}]_{T}$, $[\text{P}]_{T}$ are the total concentration of the radiolabeled oligonucleotide and NDPK-B, respectively, and $I$ is the free concentration of inhibitor NDP, which in our conditions is very close to the total concentration. $K_{f}$ is the dissociation constant for the DNA-NDPK-B interaction and $K_{i}$ is the inhibition constant for the NDP-NDPK-B interaction.

Fluorescence Studies—All fluorescence measurements were performed on a PTI spectrophotometer Quantamaster® at 20 °C, in a buffer 20 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.0, containing 75 mM potassium chloride, 5% glycerol, 5 mM magnesium chloride, and 1 mM DTE. The excitation wavelength was 304 nm to minimize the contribution of tyrosyl residues to the total fluorescence and the inner filter due to light absorption by the oligonucleotide. Protein fluorescence was measured at 340 nm and the excitation and emission bandwidths were both set to 2.5 nm. The oligonucleotide titrations were carried out with NDPK-B monomeric concentrations of 0.5–2 μM (1 ml) by adding varying amounts of DNA to a constant concentration of NDPK-B. In all titration experiments, the progressive dilution during the course of titration never exceeded 5%. Following the addition of the saturating oligonucleotide concentration, the equilibrium state was checked by recording the protein fluorescence during 5 min. In the fluorescence titrations each reading was collected for 60 s after a 1-min period of equilibration.

The extent of photobleaching was determined by performing experiments without adding the oligonucleotide. The decrease in fluorescence due to photobleaching is less than 0.6% in a 15-point titration.

Calculation of Binding Parameters—Bimolecular binding data were fitted to the Langmuir binding equation. The independent variable in these experiments was either total oligonucleotide or total NDPK-B concentrations. The equation was then converted to a function of total NDPK-B and total oligonucleotide for fluorescence experiments (Eq. 5):

$$[\text{DNA} \cdot \text{P}] = K_{f} + [\text{DNA}]_{T} \times [\text{P}]_{T}$$

$$-K_{i} + [\text{DNA}]_{T} \times [\text{P}]_{T} - 4[\text{DNA}][\text{P}] = A \quad (\text{Eq. } 5)$$

where $K_{f}$ represents the dissociation constant, $[\text{P}]_{T}$ is the total NDPK-B concentration, $n$ is the number of equivalent binding sites, and $[\text{DNA}]_{T}$ is the total oligonucleotide concentration (a similar scheme can be worked out for titration of DNA by NDPK-B using the filter-binding assay; $[\text{DNA}]_{T}$ is substituted for $n[\text{DNA}]_{T}$ and $[\text{P}]_{T}$ for $[\text{P}]_{T}$). For fluorescence experiments, because the quenching was directly proportional to binding, the theoretical quenching was $F_{0} - F_{T} = F_{0} - F_{0} - F_{0} = F_{0}$ $+ F_{0}$ (Eq. 5) where $F_{0}$ is the initial fluorescence intensity before addition of the oligonucleotide, $F_{T}$ is the fluorescence after saturation with the oligonucleotide, and $A'$ is the molar fraction of protein site occupied in the filter-binding assay, the fraction of oligonucleotide retained on a filter, $R$, was calculated as follows: $R = A'/A$ where $A'$ is the molar fraction of oligonucleotide bound. All experimental data were fitted by nonlinear regression analysis using the Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm in the commercially available graphics software package KaleidaGraph (Synergy Software, Reading PA). For fluorescence experiments, because it is hazardous to fit the experimental data with two parameters estimated ($n$ and $K_{f}$), we determined graphically the stoichiometry $n$ using a NDPK-B concentration (2 μM) higher than the $K_{f}$ expected, such that the abscissa of the intersection between the initial tangent and the asymptote is practically equal to $n$ [NDPK-B]. Then, the $K_{f}$ was calculated by fitting the equation (Eq. 5) using a NDPK-B concentration of 0.5 μM.

Analytical Ultracentrifugation—Sedimentation equilibrium experiments were performed at 9,000, 12,000, 16,000, and 18,000 rpm at 15 or 10 °C in a Beckman Optima XL-A analytical ultracentrifuge, using an An-60 Ti rotor and a double-sector cell of 12-mm path-length. Enzyme samples (400 μl) were dialyzed exhaustively against three changes of buffer (500 ml each) described in Table III. Equilibrium was verified from the superimposition of duplicate scans recorded at 3 h intervals. The experimental data were first fitted to a model for a single homogeneous species following Equation 6 (38),

$$c_{p} = c_{0} \exp \left[\frac{1}{4RT} \left(\frac{c_{p} - c_{0}}{c_{p} - c_{0}}\right)^{2} \right] + \delta \quad (\text{Eq. } 6)$$

where $c_{p}$ is the concentration of the protein at radial position $r$, $c_{0}$ is the concentration of the protein at an arbitrary reference distance $r_{ref}$, $M$ is the molecular mass, $\tau$ is the partial specific volume of the solute, $p$ is the density of the solvent, $\omega$ is the angular velocity, $R$ and $T$ are the molar gas constant and the absolute temperature, respectively, and $\delta$ is the base-line offset. The partial specific volume was calculated from the amino acid composition of the protein, and the density of the buffers was determined from published tables (38). After collecting data at sedimentation equilibrium, samples were centrifuged at 40,000 rpm for 12 h to sediment the protein, and radial scans were again collected to obtain a base-line correction for each cell. In all cases, because inclusion of a second virial coefficient gave no improvement of the fit, nonideality in the system was not detected.

### RESULTS

**Purification of Recombinant NDPK-B—**Because human NDPK-B is a basic protein, its ability to bind nucleic acids in cellular extracts could interfere with the purification process. Indeed, the protein obtained using the previous purification procedure (32, 39) was contaminated by nucleic acids. We developed a new purification method including a precipitation of the nucleic acids with Polymyxin-P, followed by three ion-exchange chromatography steps (see Table II). It should be noted that, despite Polymyxin-P precipitation and the passage on a strong anion-exchanger (POROS HQ), nucleic acids were still present in the flow-through fraction containing NDP kinase activity, as shown by the absorption spectrum of the protein. Two additional steps on cation-exchanger columns with extensive washing, were necessary to obtain a pure protein free from nucleic acids as judged from SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis stained by silver nitrate and from the shape of the absorption spectra (data not shown).

**Oligomeric State of Recombinant NDPK-B—**A tetrameric structure was recently reported for human recombinant NDPK-B expressed in *E. coli* (39). However, the crystal structure of NDPK-B (5, 40) showed that its oligomeric state is $\alpha_{4}$. We previously demonstrated that DNA binding to the *Dictyostelium* enzyme is strongly dependent on its oligomeric state. Indeed, while a dimeric mutant from *Dictyostelium* binds to a single strand oligonucleotide, the hexameric wild-type does not (41). We checked the oligomeric state of our purified recombinant NDPK-B by equilibrium sedimentation. Fig. 1 shows the experimental distribution at 12,000 rpm under buffer conditions used by Schaefl (39). The relative concentration of NDPK-B was fitted to an ideal monodisperse solute of 105,000 ± 3,000 (Table III). Considering that the initiator methionine is removed by methionine aminopeptidase (39, 42), this value precisely matches the theoretical calculated mass of the hexameric species 103,002 Da (6 × 17, 167 Da). The distribution of NDPK-B, obtained at two other rotor speeds (9,000

### Table II

| Purification step | Total protein | Total activity | Specific activity | Yield | Relative purification factor |
|------------------|---------------|----------------|------------------|-------|-----------------------------|
| Crude extract    | 2,555 mg      | 195,500        | 78               | 69    | 100                         |
| Polymyxin-P supernatant | 1,409 mg   | 182,250        | 130              | 130   | 93                          |
| POROS HQ         | 487 mg        | 169,650        | 348              | 348   | 87                          |
| POROS HS         | 90 mg         | 118,215        | 1,314            | 1,314 | 61                          |
| Ceramic hydroxyapatite | 60 mg      | 84,000         | 1,400            | 1,400 | 43                          |
|                  |               |                |                  |       |                             |
and 18,000 rpm) could also be fitted to a single ideal homogeneous species with a molecular mass corresponding to a hexamer (Table III), indicating that no dissociated form could be detected in our enzymatic preparation. The stability of the hexameric protein was also examined. Lowering the temperature to 10 °C and removing KCl in a more acidic buffer, pH 6, did not alter the oligomeric structure (Table III).

**Characterization of the NDPK-B-Single Strand DNA Complex**—We first quantified the interactions between NDPK-B and DNA by the filter-binding assay, a fast and simple method. We used the purine-rich single strand of the c-myc promoter, because it has been shown previously that most of purine bases composing this strand are protected in vitro by the complex DNA-NDPK-B after treatment with chemical probe (c-myc/ssPu-29, Table I) (25, 43). Fig. 2 shows a typical binding isotherm for the interaction of various concentrations of protein with a fixed concentration of either the c-myc/ssPu-29 oligonucleotide or another purine-rich strand, the AML/ssPu-30 oligonucleotide from the major late promoter of adenovirus (Table I). In each case, the shape of the binding curve is not sigmoidal, and the corresponding Scatchard plot is linear (Fig. 2, inset), indicating that NDPK-B binds to the oligonucleotide without cooperativity. Assuming a filter-retention efficiency of 85 and 80% for c-myc and AML oligonucleotides, respectively, the stoichiometry of the two complexes computed from the intersection point of the two linear portions of the curve corresponds to one oligonucleotide bound per protein subunit. Taking into account this stoichiometry, the apparent $K_D$ for c-myc/ssPu-29 and AML/ssPu-30 is 140 nM and 260 nM, respectively, indicating a weak preference for the c-myc strand as compared with the AML strand.

**pH and Salt Dependence of the Binding of NDPK-B to DNA**—The stability of the c-myc-ssPu complex with NDPK-B under several pH is shown in Table IV. The dissociation constant is 17-fold higher at pH 8.5 than at pH 5.5, indicating that at acidic pH the stability of the nucleoprotein complex is increased. At pH 5.5 or 7 and with a washing buffer at pH 5.5 (Table IV), similar $K_D$ values (140 and 100 nM, respectively) were measured. This shows that the stability of complex retained on the filter depends essentially on the acidic pH of the washing buffer under reduced pressure. This underlines the lability of NDPK-B-single strand DNA interactions.

The KCl concentration dependence of the dissociation constant for the c-myc-ssPu-29 oligonucleotide was also examined (Table V). Increasing the KCl concentration from 20 to 200 mM reduces the apparent affinity by a factor of more than 50, revealing a strong contribution of the polyelectrolyte effect to the stability of the complex under our titration conditions.
When the data of Table V were plotted as $2\ln[K_D]$ versus $2\ln[K_{Cl}]$, a linear dependence of the binding on KCl concentration was found (Fig. 3). The slope of the linear regression of the data allows the calculation of the number of counterions ($K_1$) released from backbone phosphates upon binding of the protein (36). A value of $n = 1.73$ was obtained. Because $\psi$, the fraction of a counterion bound per phosphate for single strand DNA is 0.71 (36), $m'$ corresponding to the number of ion pairs formed between basic residues of monomeric NDPK-B and the phosphate backbone is equal to 2.4. The non-electrostatic contributions to the free energy of binding can also be estimated using Equation 3 (see “Experimental Procedures”) (44). In our case, the value of $D_{G_{ne}}$ is about $-4.0$ kcal/mol$^{-1}$ (extrapolated from the plot of $2\ln[K_D]$ versus $2\ln[K^+]$ at 1 mM). Assuming that Na$^+$ and K$^+$ have an equivalent effect, that $\Delta G_{{\psi,Na}}^0 = \Delta G_{{\psi,Arg}}^0 = 0.18$ kcal/mol$^{-1}$, and that $N$ represents the ions released (36), the non-electrostatic contributions $\Delta G_{ne}^0$ corresponds to $-4.4$ kcal/mol$^{-1}$. This indicates that under our filter-binding conditions about 50% of the total free energy is contributed by electrostatic interactions.

### Competition by NDPs of the Binding of c-myc/ssPu-29 to NDPK-B

In an attempt to determine whether DNA binding involves the mono-NDP-binding site of the protein, we performed competition assays of oligonucleotide binding to NDPK-B in the presence of GDP, ADP, dTDP, or CDP. To improve the sensitivity of the competition assay, we used variable concentrations of NDP and a constant concentration of radiolabeled oligonucleotide and protein such that [NDPK-B] $= \psi$. The data were analyzed as described under “Experimental Procedures.” A representative fit using a quadratic equation (see Eq. 4 under...
shows a typical emission spectrum where the excitation wavelength was 304 nm to minimize the absorptive screening effects (inner filter). The presence of a saturating concentration of c-\textit{myc}/ssPu-29 results in a weak (5%) yet reproducible quenching of the intrinsic fluorescence of NDPK-B with no shift in the emission maximum at 348 nm. This fluorescence quenching by the oligonucleotide could be used to study the binding of various other oligonucleotides to NDPK-B.

To determine the relationship between the extent of fluorescence quenching and the fraction of protein bound, a series of fluorescence titrations with the c-\textit{myc}/ssPy-30 pyrimidine-rich strand or c-\textit{myc}/ssPu-29 purine-rich strand was carried out at pH 5.5 or pH 7.0 (Fig. 6 and Table VI). For these studies, we used a higher salt concentration (75 mM potassium chloride and 20 mM potassium phosphate) and a higher temperature (20 °C) to reduce the polyelectrolyte effect as described above, and to increase the hydrophobic contribution to the total free energy. Fig. 6 shows several fluorescence titrations of 30-mer oligonucleotides with NDPK-B at pH 5.5 or pH 7.0. Except with c-\textit{myc}/ssPy-30 at pH 5.5 where the \( K_D \) is high, the stoichiometry computed from the intercept between the tangent of the initial part of the \( AF \) and the asymptote is equal to 1 oligonucleotide bound per 2.5 monomer. Taking this stoichiometry into account, a \( K_D \) of 35 nM was obtained at pH 5.5 for the c-\textit{myc}/ssPu-29 strand. In contrast, the affinity of c-\textit{myc}/ssPy-29 was very poor (\( K_D > 5 \mu M \)). This result confirms the previous filter-binding data, and indicates an excellent correlation between the fluorescence quenching and the fraction of NDPK-B bound to the oligonucleotide. No significant difference in the \( K_D \) of the c-\textit{myc}/ssPu-29 complex was observed at pH 5.5 or pH 7, showing that binding of DNA by NDPK-B is not affected under acidic conditions. Interestingly, a \( K_D \) of 83 nM was measured at pH 7.0 with the c-\textit{myc}/ssPy-30 pyrimidine strand. This affinity is very similar to that of the purine strand c-\textit{myc}/ssPu-29 (45 nM), indicating only a weak preference for the 29-mer c-\textit{myc} purine-rich strand. Similar results with about 2-fold difference were obtained with shorter oligonucleotides (10-mer) purine-rich or pyrimidine-rich strands corresponding to only one repeat of the motif contained in the positive cis-element of the c-\textit{myc} gene (see Table I). Thus, lowering the length of the oligonucleotide from 30- to 10-mer did not change the stoichiometry and affinity, suggesting that the main oligonucleotide/protein contacts are preserved within the 10-mer oligonucleotides. The fact that NDPK-B binds to these oligonucleotides with high but similar affinity shows that its recognition of DNA is not sequence specific. These data are further supported by the fact that another promoter (AML) displays a high affinity (\( K_D = 70 \) nM) for NDPK-B (Table VI). Why then does it recognize specifically the upstream positive element of c-\textit{myc} gene? To clarify this point, we measured the dissociation constant of the c-\textit{myc} 30-mer in its double-stranded form (c-\textit{myc}/ds-30). In contrast with single strand forms c-\textit{myc}/ssPu-29 or c-\textit{myc}/ssPy-30, the addition of c-\textit{myc}/ds-30 to a constant protein concentration during fluorescence titration, generates a quenched signal which diminishes slowly as a function of time (0.2%/min), reflecting that the fluorescence titration with the double-stranded oligonucleotide is not at equilibrium. This is explained by the fact that single strand is formed from double strand in the presence of NDPK-B, as previously demonstrated using a single-strand generation assay (32). Assuming that the c-\textit{myc}/ds-30 binding occurs very quickly under our conditions, we could estimate an apparent \( K_D \) of 5 \( \mu M \) for the double strand oligonucleotide, corresponding to an affinity for c-\textit{myc}/ds-30 about 110-fold less than for the purine-rich single strand, c-\textit{myc}/ssPu-29.

Taken together, these results suggest that specific recogni-
tion of the upstream element of c-myc is essentially due to the presence of a single strand form in this DNA region rather than to base-specific contacts with the particular sequence or to a predominant homopurine/homopyrimidine composition of the stretch of DNA involved in the interaction.

DISCUSSION

In this study, two independent quantitative methods were employed to characterize the DNA-binding properties of human NDPK-B, the product of gene nm23-H2. We found that NDPK-B binds to oligonucleotides with considerably higher affinity as compared to its enzymatic substrates. However, different stoichiometries of the complex were observed, depending on the method and the type of titration used. In the filter-binding assay, the oligonucleotide concentration used was near the dissociation constant, conditions in which the stoichiometry could be in error (47). In contrast, a fixed protein concentration and variable oligonucleotide concentrations, such as [protein] = 1 mM and 0.5 mM DTE. Fluorescence excitation and emission wavelengths were 304 and 340 nm, respectively. Stoichiometry values presented here result from the analysis of two independent saturation curves, similar to those shown in Fig. 6, performed with 0.5 and 2 μM of NDPK-B. The stoichiometry is calculated for monomers of NDPK-B.

![Figure 5](http://www.jbc.org/)

**Table VI**

Dissociation constants measured by fluorescence titration

The intrinsic fluorescence of NDPK-B was measured in the presence of several oligonucleotides in 20 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.0, buffer or 20 mM Tris/acetic acid/MES, pH 5.5, as indicated, both containing 75 mM potassium chloride, 5% glycerol, 5 mM MgCl2, and 0.5 mM DTE. Fluorescence excitation and emission wavelengths were 304 and 340 nm, respectively. Stoichiometry values presented here result from the analysis of two independent saturation curves, similar to those shown in Fig. 6, performed with 0.5 and 2 μM of NDPK-B. The stoichiometry is calculated for monomers of NDPK-B.

| Oligonucleotide      | pH 5.5 | pH 7 | Stoichiometry |
|----------------------|--------|------|---------------|
| c-myc/ssPy-30        | 2,000  | 85   | 0.4           |
| c-myc/ssPu-29        | 25 ± 5 | 45 ± 7| 0.4           |
| c-myc/ds-30          | nd     | 600  | 0.4           |
| c-myc/ssPu-10        | nd     | 30 ± 5| 0.4           |
| c-myc/ssPy-10        | nd     | 80 ± 8| 0.4           |
| AML/ssPu-30          | nd     | 70 ± 10| 0.4          |

![Figure 6](http://www.jbc.org/)

**FIG. 5.** Quenching of the fluorescence emission spectrum of NDPK-B by the c-myc/ssPu-29 oligonucleotide. The intrinsic fluorescence emission spectra of NDPK-B (1 μM) were recorded at 20 °C in the presence or absence of c-myc/ssPu-29 (2 μM) in 20 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.0, containing 75 mM potassium chloride, 5% glycerol, 5 mM MgCl2, and 1 mM DTE. The excitation wavelength was 304 nm.

**FIG. 6.** Fluorescence titration of NDPK-B by c-myc purine-rich or pyrimidine-rich strands. Fluorescence measurements were carried out as described under "Experimental Procedures." Using an excitation wavelength of 304 nm and recording the emission at 340 nm. Samples contained 20 mM KPO4, pH 7.0 (○, ●) or 20 mM Tris/acetic acid/MES, pH 5.5 (□, ▼) buffer or 20 mM Tris/acetic acid/MES, pH 5.5 (○, ■), 75 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl2, 5% glycerol, 1 mM DTE, 500 nM monomeric NDPK-B, and varying amounts of c-myc/ssPu-29 (○, ●) or c-myc/ssPy-30 (□, ■). The lines are the best-fit curves using Eq. 5 described under "Experimental Procedures."
der et al. (46) reported recently that the nucleotide analogue PAPS can adopt a new conformation inside the active site of Dictyostelium NDP kinase. Indeed, the 5′-phosphate of bound PAPS points out from the binding pocket, whereas the 3′-phosphate binds near the active site histidine. It is tempting to speculate that this new binding mode may also apply to the binding of an oligonucleotide to NDPK-B.

The potassium chloride dependence of NDPK-B-binding to c-myc/5’sPu-29 shows that 2.4 ion pairs between basic residues of each monomer of NDPK-B and the phosphate backbone of the oligonucleotide contribute to the formation of the NDPK-B-DNA complex. These results are in accordance with those of Postel et al. (48) who showed that two strictly conserved basic residues (Arg-34 and Lys-135) are major determinants of the DNA binding.

In a previous study (32) using the mobility shift assays, we showed that NDPK-B binds preferentially single-stranded pyrimidine-rich sequences. However, this assay could be biased because of the possibility that a double strand could be generated with purine-rich (but not with pyrimidine-rich) sequences in the presence of an excess of poly(dIdC). As shown above, the affinity for single-stranded DNA is more than 100-fold better than for a double strand, which is likely to explain why no band-shift was observed with the c-myc/5’sPuRNAe strand in the presence of an excess of poly(dIdC). In this study, filter binding failed to demonstrate sequence specificity, although the acidic conditions used are appropriate to isolate protein-DNA oligonucleotide complexes. However, such specificity is clearly demonstrated using the fluorescence method. NDPK-B binds with high affinity either poly-purine or poly-pyrimidine strands, albeit with a slight preference for the c-myc/purine-rich strand. This indicates that the enzyme recognizes DNA without significant sequence specificity. However, the finding that NDPK-B discriminates single strand from double strand oligonucleotides is important, and it may explain how human NDPK-B recognizes specifically the 100 to 150 upstream element of the P1 promoter (49). Indeed this element forms single-stranded DNA, according to the mapping with chemical (like KMN or) or enzymatic (like S1 nuclease) single strand probes. Other single strand DNA-binding proteins that bind to this upstream element and act on the regulation of c-myc expression have also been reported (50). These include the ribonucleoprotein hRNP-K, a single strand-specific transcription factor that interacts with at least two nonadjacent repeats of a cytidine-rich nonanucleotide. hRNP-K forms protein-protein interactions with components of the RNA polymerase II transcription machinery such as the TATA-binding protein (31), and its efficiency for c-myc gene activation is strongly dependent on the presence of single strand DNA (51). Interestingly, the specificity of NDPK-B for single strand oligonucleotides compared with double strands shown in this paper is even more pronounced than that reported for hRNP-K (31). We propose that the transcriptional activation of c-myc by NDPK-B could be due to its ability to stabilize or to generate the cytosine-rich element in a single strand form to allow hRNP-K to recognize its DNA site more efficiently. This mode of action could be related to the hexameric structure of NDPK-B containing six putative binding sites to anchor single-stranded DNA. This mechanism might be enhanced in a dimeric nucleotide NDPK-B, which could be generated in tumoral cells because of an alteration of the hexameric interface. Indeed, a dimeric NDP kinase from Dictyostelium generated by site-directed mutagenesis has an affinity for single strand DNA 500-fold higher than for the hexameric form (41). Whereas these results were obtained by the filter-binding assay, similar evidence was also obtained using fluorescence titration. Assuming a similar behavior for human NDPK-B, an affinity for single strand equal to about 0.5 nM could be predicted and thereby would accentuate the transcriptional activation of c-myc.

In a more general way, the single strand specificity of DNA binding by NDPK-B demonstrated in this study could be a clue to explaining a more general role of this protein in transcription regulation. Indeed, NDPK-B could generate a single-stranded hinge in DNA that would allow the capacity of many cis elements to cooperate even when these elements are distant from each other. The relative abundance of NDPK-B presumably required for maintenance of the nucleoside triphosphate pool could then promote or restrict the interaction of conventional transcription factors during differentiation. An effect of NDPK-B on single-stranded DNA flexibility might also contribute to mitosis-specific single-stranded features at the promoters of active genes (52). Finally, this single-stranded specificity predicts another physiological role involved in the maintenance of discrete segments in the unwound state, which may associate the enzyme to other cellular process such as DNA recombination and replication.
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