Physicochemical characterization and evaluation of *in vitro* and *in vivo* toxicity of goldenberry extract nanoemulsion

Suelen Santos da Silva¹ Maiara Taís Bazana¹ Cassandra de Deus¹ Marina Lopes Machado² Larissa Marafita Cordeiro² Félix Alexandre Antunes Soares² Daniele Rubert Nogueira Libreloto¹ Clarice Madalena Bueno Rolim¹ Cristiano Ragagnin de Menezes¹b Cristiane Franco Codevilla¹

¹Departamento de Tecnologia e Ciência dos Alimentos, Universidade Federal de Santa Maria (UFSM), 97105-900, Santa Maria, RS, Brasil. E-mail: cristianefc@hotmail.com. *Corresponding author.
²Departamento de Bioquímica Toxicológica, Universidade Federal de Santa Maria (UFSM), Santa Maria, RS, Brasil.
³Departamento de Farmácia Industrial, Universidade Federal de Santa Maria (UFSM), Santa Maria, RS, Brasil.

ABSTRACT: Oil-in-water (O/W) nanoemulsion containing goldenberry extract was elaborated using a high-energy ultrasonic bath method. Physicochemical characterization of the formulation was carried out by determining pH, mean droplet diameter, polydispersity index (PDI) and zeta potential. Nanoemulsion toxicity was assessed using *in vitro* assays with tumor and non-tumor cell lines, and *in vivo* using Caenorhabditis elegans. The pH of the nanoemulsion was 3.84, the mean droplet diameter was 268 ± 7 nm, PDI 0.113 and zeta potential -13.94 mV. Results of the cytotoxicity assays employing non-tumor cells indicated that the extract associated or not with nanoemulsion maintained cell viability at different concentrations tested. In the assays using tumor lineage, it is observed that the nanoemulsion containing the extract had higher antitumor activity than the free extract. As for the *in vivo* tests, there was no change in the survival rate of the worms.
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INTRODUCTION

*Physalis peruviana* belongs to the Solanaceae family, with approximately 120 species distributed throughout the tropical and subtropical regions of Asia, Africa and America. This fruit contains bioactive compounds with antioxidant, antibacterial, anti-inflammatory, antitumor and immunosuppressive properties (CODEVILLA et al., 2018). These compounds have low stability when exposed to high temperatures, light and oxygen. Thus, nanoemulsification is an option to protect these compounds from degradation and improve their distribution and bioavailability (EZHLARASI et al., 2013).

The oil-in-water (O/W) type nanoemulsion is a system that incorporates bioactive substances from a natural extract within the formulation (MASON et al., 2006). The size range of a nanoemulsion is between 10 and 1000 nm, which confer much higher stability when compared to emulsions with larger droplet size (SOLANS et al., 2005).

The toxicity of nanoemulsions depends on the use of biodegradable and biocompatible excipients (BRUXEL et al., 2012). There may be some risks associated with the oral ingestion of...
nanoemulsions, such as their ability to alter the biological fate of bioactive components in the gastrointestinal tract and the potential toxicity of some of the components used in manufacturing (McCLEMENTS & RAO, 2011).

Cell viability assays are currently used to investigate the toxicity of a nanomaterial (CONTI et al., 2012). In addition to the formulation components, the concentration, population and type of nanoparticles should be taken into account for toxicity. Properties such as size, shape and structure of nanoparticles were recognized as being related to cytotoxicity (MENDESE et al., 2015).

*Caenorhabditis elegans* (*C. elegans*), a free-living nematode, was first introduced as an animal model in 1965 by Sidney Brenner (RIDDLE et al., 1997). It is currently widely used as a model for in vivo studies, due to its ability to grow in Petri dishes, using *Escherichia coli* as the only food source, as well as its transparency and short life cycle (about 3 days at 20º) (González-Moragas et al., 2015). Another advantage of using this model is that the worms present many basic physiological processes that are conserved in relation to humans, allowing the correspondence and comparison of molecular mechanisms (KALETTA & HENGARTNER, 2006).

In addition to the physicochemical characterization of the nanoemulsion containing goldenberry extract, this study aimed to verify its toxicity in *in vitro* and *in vivo* models.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Materials**

Goldenberry was obtained from Italbraz (Vacaria, Brazil) located at 28° 0’ 44” S and 50° 56’ 02” W. Ethanol and acetone were obtained from Dynamics (São Paulo, Brazil). Tween 80 was purchased from Synth (São Paulo, Brazil). Span 80 was purchased from Fluka (São Paulo, Brazil), Medium Chain Triglycerides (MCT) from delaware (Porto Alegre, Brazil). MTT and DMSO were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, USA). The wild type strain of *C. elegans* N2 (var. Bristol) was supplied by the Caenorhabditis Genetics Center (University of Minnesota, USA). The strain was maintained at 20 °C in nematode growth medium (NGM) on plates seeded with *Escherichia coli* OP50 as a food source (BRENNER, 1974).

**Extract preparation**

Hydroalcoholic extract of the fruit was prepared following the methodology developed by CODEVILLA et al. (2018). A mass of 15 g of fresh fruit was weighed, macerated and mixed with 25 mL of 60% (v/v) ethanol and shaken (200 rpm) for 2 hours, away from the light. The extract was then filtered into a polyamide (Sartorius Stedim Biotec) filter with 0.45 μm porosity and stored under refrigeration. The concentration of physalis extract (PE) was 600 mg mL⁻¹.

**Nanoemulsion preparation**

Formulations containing the extract and without extract (called white nanoemulsion) were elaborated following the methodology of BOUCHEMAL et al. (2004) with modifications. 0.077 g of Span 80, 0.120 g of MCT, 20 mg mL⁻¹ of goldenberry extract and 27 mL of acetone were used in the organic phase. After homogenization of this phase, it was sonicated for 10 minutes in an ultrasonic bath with 37 kHz frequency and 40% amplitude (Elma ultrasonic, Germany), in the previously homogenized aqueous phase, consisting of 0.077 g Tween 80 and ultrapure water (Table 1). The organic solvent was removed by means of a rotary evaporator (Rotavapor R-210, Buchi, Switzerland) and the final volume of the formulation was fixed in 10 ml.

**Physicochemical characterization**

The mean droplet diameter and the polydispersity index (PDI) were determined by photon correlation spectrometry (ZetaSizer Nanoseries, Malvern, UK) with the dilution of an aliquot of the formulation in ultrapure water at a ratio of 1: 500. The zeta potential was measured by means of electrophoretic mobility, using the same equipment, with the nanoemulsion diluted in a solution of 10 mM NaCl at a ratio of 1: 500. The pH analysis was performed using a pH meter (MS Tecnopon, Piracicaba, Brazil), previously

| Table 1 - Composition of the nanoemulsion formulation. |
|--------------------------------------------------------|
| **Goldenberry nanoemulsion**                            |
| **White nanoemulsion**                                  |
| Acetone                                                | 27 mL | 27 mL |
| Span 80<sup>b</sup>                                     | 0.077 g | 0.077 g |
| MCT                                                    | 0.120 g | 0.120 g |
| Goldenberry extract                                    | 20 mg mL⁻¹ |  - |
| Ultrapure water                                        | 54 mL | 54 mL |
| Tween 80<sup>b</sup>                                    | 0.077 g | 0.077 g |
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calibrated, with direct immersion of the electrode in the formulation.

Toxicity assessment

In vitro toxicity

The in vitro cytotoxicity of both extract of goldenberry and nanoemulsions, white (NB) and containing extract (NP), was measured using non-tumor cell lines, murine (3T3) and human breast cancer cells (MCF-7). The 3T3 cells were seeded in 96-well plates with a density of 1 x 10^4 cells mL^{-1}. After incubation for 24 h under 5% CO_2 at 37 °C, the spent medium was replaced with 100 μL of fresh medium containing extract, nanoemulsion containing extract and white nanoemulsion in the concentration range of 15.6-2000 μg mL^{-1} or with 100 μl medium only for untreated control cells. After 24 h the medium was removed and 100 μl of MTT solution (3- [4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl] -2,5-diphenyltetrazolium) (0.5 mg ml^{-1}) were added. Plates were further incubated for 3 h after the medium was removed. Subsequently, 100 μL of DMSO or a solution containing 50% absolute ethanol and 1% acetic acid in distilled water were added. The absorbance of the solutions was measured at 550 nm using the SpectraMax M2 microplate reader (Molecular Devices, CA, USA). Cytotoxicity of extract and nanoemulsions was expressed as percent viability over untreated control cells (the mean optical density of untreated cells was fixed at 100% viability).

In vivo toxicity

Maintenance of animals

C. elegans of strain N2 (wild type) was maintained on NGM plates (nematode growth medium) seeded with E. coli OP50 bacteria at 20 °C. Worms in the young adult stage used in all the exposures were obtained by a synchronization process, which consists in exposing the pregnant worms to a lysis solution (1% NaCl, 0.25 M NaOH) to separate the eggs from the worms. After 14 h, the eggs hatched and released the larvae of l1, which were added to the surface of NGM plates containing E. coli OP50, where they remained at 20 ºC until reaching young adult stage.

Treatment

The young adult stage of C. elegans were exposed to 0.5; 1.0 and 2.0 mg mL^{-1} of EP, NP, NB or vehicle for 2 h at 20 ºC. The EP was diluted in methanol (final concentration 1%) and the NP and NB nanoemulsions were diluted in water. Treatments were performed with about 1000 worms per group in M9 buffer. After 2 h the worms were washed 3 times and transferred to NGM plates seeded with E. coli OP50. After 24 h at 20 °C, evaluations were performed.

Survival test

Survival assay was performed following the protocol previously described, with some modifications (VELASQUES et al., 2018). About 100 nematodes per group were evaluated for viability under a Nikon E200 microscope (Tokyo, Japan). Animals that reacted to a mechanical stimulus were classified as alive and non-responding animals were classified as dead. Analyses were performed in three independent trials. Results were expressed as percentage of survivors.

Pharyngeal beat rate

Number of pharyngeal beats over a range of 10 s (HUANG et al., 2004) was measured with a Nikon E200 microscope. The analyses were performed in three independent trials with ten worms per group. Results were expressed as pharyngeal beats/minute.

Cycle of defecation test

The defecation frequencies were performed by observing the duration between BPC steps (the contraction of the posterior muscle of the body) of three consecutive defects (MIGLIORI et al., 2011) in a Nikon E200 microscope. The analyses were performed in three independent trials with ten worms per group. Results were expressed as defecation cycle (s).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism® (Version 7.0, San Diego, CA). All experiments were independently replicated and the results were plotted as the mean ± SEM of at least three individual experiments. Significance was assessed using One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Bonferroni post hoc test. Values of p<0.05 were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Physicochemical characterization

Nanoemulsions (Figure 1) had an average diameter of 268±7 nm, a PDI of 0.113 and a zeta potential of - 13.94 mV. Polydispersity index, which provides information on the homogeneity of the
size distribution, was low (<0.3), indicating the formation of monodisperse systems. The pH value provides information on the stability of the system, since its reduction may indicate the presence of free fatty acids in the formulation, resulting from the hydrolysis of the surfactant system (phospholipids) and the triglycerides of the oily nucleus. The hydroalcoholic extract of physalis had an acidic pH of 4.40 (CODEVILLA et al., 2018); consequently, the associated nanoemulsion also had an acidic pH of 3.84. The low pH value of the formulation is due to the phenolic acid content contained in the extract of goldenberry (ROCKENBACH et al., 2008).

The negative zeta potential of the nanoemulsion is due to the fact that polysorbate 80 (Tween 80) molecules adsorbed at the oil-water interface, because even though it is a nonionic surfactant, the presence of impurities, such as fatty acids, causes droplets of negative charge oil (SALVIA-TRUJILLO et al., 2015).

Nanoemulsions containing goldenberry extract and white were evaluated for 60 days, under refrigeration. The zeta potential decreased slightly at the end of the 60 days for both samples. However, this does not represent a lack of stability, since the size did not vary much and the polydispersity index remained below 0.3. The pH, which is also an important indicator of stability, showed no significant difference (p>0.05) over the 60 days.

Toxicity

In vitro evaluation

Because of its increased surface area, nanomaterials may have toxic effects on the body, which will not be apparent as in macro-sized materials. Thus, evaluation of cytotoxicity in non-tumor cell lines is an important tool to predict the risk of bioactive compound absorption and potential toxicity (EISENBRAND et al., 2002).

It was observed that the viability of 3T3 cells was reduced (Figure 2a) from 8 to 11% when exposed to PE. However, this reduction does not represent cytotoxicity of the extract to the cells, because for it to be considered toxic, the viability must be less than 75% (ISO 10993-5) (WANG et al., 2015). CODEVILLA et al. (2018) also observed that there was no cytotoxicity of the hydroalcoholic extract of goldenberry. For NP, there was no reduction in cell viability, that is, it did not show cytotoxicity against 3T3 cells (Figure 2a). The NB, however, influenced...
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an approximately 9% reduction in growth when cells were exposed to 400 μg mL\(^{-1}\) of formulation (Figure 2a). However, results were above 80% cell viability, indicating that the formulations did not show cytotoxicity. Authors have highlighted the low cytotoxicity of nanoemulsions when associated with essential oils or plant extracts (MENDES et al., 2015).

For MCF-7 (tumor) cells, EP was not effective in inhibiting cell viability (Figure 2b), since it corroborates DEMIR et al. (2014) who reported the same result for the Physalis ethanolic extract (80% v/v). The NP and NB showed high inhibition values in the growth of these cells, as the concentration of the formulations increased. This may be related to what has already been described in the literature, namely that the components of the nanoemulsion have antioxidant power and also to the size of the droplet, which facilitates absorption by tumor cells (SHEKHAR, 2009). The presence of the nonionic surfactant, polysorbate 80, has also been indicated as a substance that can cause toxicity in cell cultures; although, they are better tolerated than ionic surfactants, especially cationic surfactants. CONTI et al. (2012) observed that the toxicity of nanocapsules was due to the use of polysorbate 80 in the formulation.

3.2.2 \textit{In vivo} evaluation

The \textit{in vivo} toxicity of the formulations, using the \textit{C. elegans} model, was evaluated by the survival rate (Figure 3), control of pharyngeal beats (Figure 4), and defecation cycle (Figure 5). None of the EP, NP and NB concentrations altered the survival rate of the worms (Figure 3). This means that exposure to the samples did not stress the animals.

Subsequently, the pharyngeal beats were evaluated in order to verify whether the extracts and formulations alter feeding behavior. In this study, there was a small decrease in the pharyngeal beats of the worms (Figure 4), in relation to the control, in all samples evaluated. According to YOU et al. (2008), the worm ingests the food through the propulsive action of the pharynx and the speed of contraction of the pharynx is modulated by the presence of the food, by its quality, among others, showing that the decrease of the pharyngeal beats represents the decrease of the food intake of the worm. We believed that the reduction of the rate of pharyngeal beats is caused by the simple presence of the samples, since animals of the control group eat only M9.

In \textit{C. elegans}, defecation is independent of temperature, but slow when food is scarce (the cycle increases to 80 s) and may even be inhibited as food ceases and is only resumed when food is available (RIDDLE et al., 1997). The defecation cycle is considered a marker of toxicity due to changes that may occur in the permeability of the intestinal barrier (STEFANELLO et al., 2015). All the samples evaluated reduced the time of the defecation cycle (Figure 5), that is, the interval between cycles of defecation, probably to increase the excretion of undigested materials.

Figure 3 - Survival of young adult worms of strain N2 exposed to EP (a), NP (b) and NB (c) at different concentrations.

Figure 4 - Pharyngeal beats min\(^{-1}\) young adult worms of the N2 strain exposed for 2 h at different concentrations of EP (a), NP (b) and NB (c).
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CONCLUSION

The nanoemulsion containing extract of goldenberry presented good physicochemical characteristics, desirable for a stable system. The in vitro and in vivo tests were instrumental in assessing possible toxicity, demonstrating that the extract and nanoemulsions showed no toxicity in a non-tumor cell line and the C. elegans model, respectively. The survival of C. elegans was not affected, whereas the rate of pharyngeal and defecation rate was reduced, confirming that worms absorb the formulations and that there are no toxic effects. However, both nanoemulsion containing extract and that containing no extract showed cytotoxicity in the in vitro assay for the tumor cell line, demonstrating possible antitumor activity of the formulations. Thus, a future application for this nanoemulsion can be studied and better developed.
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