Multicellular self-organization of P. aeruginosa due to interactions with secreted trails
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Guided movement in response to slowly diffusing polymeric trails provides a unique mechanism for self-organization of some microorganisms. To elucidate how this signaling route leads to microcolony formation, we experimentally probe the trajectory and orientation of Pseudomonas aeruginosa that propel themselves on a surface using type IV pilus motility appendages, which preferentially attach to deposited exopolysaccharides. We construct a stochastic model by analyzing single-bacterium trajectories, and show that the resulting theoretical prediction for the many-body behavior of the bacteria is in quantitative agreement with our experimental characterization of how cells explore the surface via a power law strategy.

PACS numbers: 87.18.Gh, 87.17.Jj, 87.18.Ed

Chemotaxis – biasing motility in response to chemicals – is a fundamental organizing principle for guided movement in biological systems. Due to the long-range nature of the effect, it can strongly impact the dynamic organization of living [?] active matter. Chemotactic phenomena, with their implicit interpenetration between signal processing and migratory strategy [?], have a diverse range, although they are often studied in the limit when the chemicals diffuse much faster than the cells. It is interesting to examine the opposite extreme, and investigate a highly-competitive, noisy system where every cell-secreted signal is long-lived and effectively non-diffusive. A model system for this scenario is Pseudomonas aeruginosa, which can secrete exopolysaccharides (EPS) [?]. Pseudomonas aeruginosa moves on a 2D surface using the twitching motility mode via an EPS-binding motility appendage [Fig. ??(a)] known as a type IV pilus (TFP) [?]. Recent work has shown that EPS play a key role in the clustering of cells into a microcolony [?], the first social step in bacterial biofilm formation, by facilitating pilus attachment. However, a quantitative understanding of the transition from single-bacteria motion to collective patterns is needed.

In the P. aeruginosa system, the EPS surface distribution that impacts a cell trajectory is dependent on the history of all other secreting cells that have traversed the surface, due to the long-lived nature of the Psl signal on the surface. This serves as a communal memory of particle trajectories, and leads to a coupling between cells that have large spatiotemporal separations. This provides the dominant mechanism for self-organization in the dilute regime, which is relevant for early stages of biofilm formation; in the dense regime, other effects such as excluded volume interaction [?], alignment [?], depletion interaction [?], etc, will also impact the collective behavior of the system [?].

Here, we present a quantitative description of how the microscopic motion of a single EPS-depositing bacterium directly leads to clustering and microcolony formation in the collective case. We (1) experimentally probe single-bacterium dynamics by measuring the trajectories and bacterial orientations [see Fig. ??], (2) theoretically derive a microscopic model for pili-driven motility, (3) extract all parameters on a single-particle level from experiments, and (4) show that the same model quantitatively explains experimentally measured observables in the early stages of biofilm formation, without any additional adjustments [see Figs. ?? and ??]. Our multi-scale description provides a quantitative understanding of how cells interact with their system of mutually secreted trails, and spontaneously explore the surface via a power law migratory strategy. A crucial consequence of TFP sensing is the emergence of novel features in the orientational dynamics [?], which we fully characterize both experimentally and theoretically.

In our experiments we used the P. aeruginosa PA01 [?] strain ΔP_{psl}/PBAD-psl [?], which is a strain with inducible Psl secretion controlled by arabinose concentrations. For the detailed experimental information, see methods in Ref. [?]. An overnight bacteria culture in FAB medium [?] supplemented with 30 mM glutamate, was diluted and injected into a flow cell [?]. The FAB medium with 0.6 mM glutamate was continuously pumped through the flow chamber using a syringe pump with a flow rate of 3 ml/hour at 30°C. Different amounts of arabinose were added into the medium to control the production of Psl. Bright-field images were taken every 3 seconds by an EMCCD camera on an Olympus IX81.
FIG. 1: (a) Bacterial model. Pili (light blue) preferentially attach to secreted Psl films on the surface (red) and pull the bacterium forward. (b) Schematic illustration for the angles $\Delta \theta$ (left) and $\Delta \alpha$ (right). $\Delta \theta$ is the angle between the current body orientation and the bacterial trajectory (dotted line), smoothed over 21 points, which have been recorded every 3 s, using a Savitsky-Golay filter of third order. $\Delta \alpha$ is the change in orientation between two timesteps of 3 s. The resolution of an angle measurement is $\pm 5^\circ$ and about 400,000 angle measurements were performed at an early stage in a sparse population. (c) shows the best fits between the experimental (dots) and the simulated distributions (solid lines) for $\Delta \theta$ (for different arabinose concentrations corresponding to different EPS secretion rates $k$), whereas (d) shows the corresponding $\Delta \alpha$ distributions.

The distributions of the two angles $\Delta \theta$ and $\Delta \alpha$ defined in Fig. ??(b) are shown in Figs. ??(c)–??(d) for 0% and 1% arabinose. Figure ??(d) shows the distributions of the change in bacterial body orientation $\Delta \alpha$ within a 3 s time frame; they appear to be very narrow and mostly independent of the strains in the experiment, which is due to a relatively small rotational diffusion (Fig. S2(b) [? ]). On the other hand, the distribution of the angle between the bacterial trajectory and the body orientation, $\Delta \theta$, is wide. Importantly, with increasing Psl deposition the $\Delta \theta$ distribution narrows down [see Fig. ??(c)], showing that Psl stabilizes the bacterial trajectory and increases the correlation between orientation of the bacterial body and the moving direction.

We consider a mechanistic model for a rod-like bacterium that pulls itself forward on a surface using pili, taking into account the influence of a bacteria-secreted polysaccharide film on the attachment/detachment of pili, as well as on pulling forces; see Supplemental Material [? ]. The model is an extension of the model in [? ], but here we will specifically focus on $P. aeruginosa$ to better understand the experimental results for single-bacterium motion and collective behavior. Each bacterium (labeled) $a$ is assigned a position $r_a$ and an orientation $\hat{n}_a = (\cos \varphi_a(t), \sin \varphi_a(t))$ [we can also define a perpendicular unit vector $\hat{n}_{a \perp} = (-\sin \varphi_a(t), \cos \varphi_a(t))$. The bacteria secrete a Psl trail, to which pili can preferentially attach. The attached pili then pull the bacterium forward. The Psl trail has a finite width $\delta$, which we assume to be of the same order as the width of a bacterium. As a result of Psl-dependent attachment/detachment and pulling, pili can propel the bacterium along $\hat{n}_a$, but also along the Psl gradient $\nabla \psi$, depending on the distribution of pili. In addition, the Psl-dependent pulling will result in an alignment term towards the gradient $\nabla \psi$ [? ]. Finally, due to fluctuations in attachment/detachment of bacterial pili, the overall pili pulling force acting on a bacterium will fluctuate; this will introduce noise in the position and orientation Langevin equations, which we approximate as Gaussian. Overall, the equations of motion for the many-body dynamics are constructed as follows [? ]

$$
\frac{dr_a}{dt} = \left( \frac{\chi}{\gamma} \hat{\psi} \cdot \hat{n}_a \right) \hat{n}_{a \perp} + B(v) \left( \nabla \psi \cdot \hat{n}_a \right) \hat{n}_a + v(\psi) \hat{n}_a + \sqrt{2D_{\perp}^a(\psi) \eta_{a \perp}} \hat{n}_{a \perp} + \frac{\sqrt{2D_{\parallel}^a(\psi)}}{\gamma} \eta_a \hat{n}_{a \parallel},
$$

$$
\frac{d\varphi_a}{dt} = -\chi(\psi) \hat{n}_a \times [\hat{n}_a \times \nabla \psi] + \sqrt{2D_{\perp}^a(\psi) \eta_{a \perp}} \hat{n}_{a \perp}, \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_t \psi(r, t) = k \sum_a \frac{1}{2\pi r_a^2} e^{-(r-r_a)^2/2\delta^2}.
$$

The coefficients are related, and can be written in terms of two independent ones (we choose $D_r$ and $v$): $\chi = \frac{\gamma c_1}{2\gamma_c} \partial_{\psi} v$, $D_r = \left[ \frac{4\gamma_r^3 c_1 c_2}{(1+c_2)^2 \gamma_c^2} \right] D_r$, $D_{\perp} = \left( \frac{2\gamma_{\perp}}{\gamma_{\perp}^2} \right)^2 D_r$, $A = \left[ \frac{c_1(1+c_2)\gamma_{\perp}}{c_1 c_2 \gamma_{\perp}^2} \right] \partial_{\psi} v$, and $B = \left[ \frac{c_2 \gamma_{\perp}}{c_1 \gamma_{\perp}^2} \right] \partial_{\psi} v$. Here $\ell$ is the length of a bacterium, $\ell_p$ is the length of a pili, and $\gamma_i$, $\gamma_{\perp}$, $\gamma_{\perp}$ are the friction coefficients for the translation parallel/perpendicular to $\hat{n}_a$ and for rotational motion. $c_1 = (\cos \vartheta)$ and $c_2 = (\cos^2 \vartheta)$ denote averages involving the distribution of pili angles $\vartheta$ relative to the bacterial body axis [see Fig. ??(a)]. The noise terms, $\eta_a^{\perp}$ and $\eta_a^\parallel$, are independent Gaussian white noise of unit strength [? ]. We note that the particular geometry for the pili has made the orientational noise identical to the transverse translational noise; see Eq. (2). This is a significant feature of our model. Our choice of a Gaussian shape for the bacterial Psl trail is motivated by the assumption that...
FIG. 2: Snapshots of bacterial positions (white) and surface areas visited over time from experiments (red) and simulations (green) for the case of low Psl deposition (a)–(d) and high Psl deposition (e)–(h). An enlarged version of this figure can be found in the supplement, Fig. S1. (a)–(b) surface coverage for $\Delta P_{\text{psl}}/P_{\text{BAD-psl}}$ under 0% arabinose. Most of the surface has been visited after a total number of $S_{\text{visits}} = 100,000$ bacterial visits. (c)–(d) Typical simulation outcome for the case of a low Psl deposition ($k = 0.073 s^{-1}$ corresponding to the best fit for 0% arabinose [see Fig. ??(c)–??(d)]), starting from a random distribution of bacteria. In (d) bacteria explore most of the field. (e)–(f) show experimental data for 1% arabinose. The visited area is much smaller and bacteria tend to aggregate more. (g)–(h) show a typical simulation outcome of high Psl deposition ($k = 0.18 s^{-1}$, corresponding to 1% arabinose), starting from a random distribution. As shown in (h) bacteria aggregate in a small, Psl-rich surface area, making it more attractive for others by secreting more Psl there.

Psl just secreted from the bacteria will initially diffuse and then the diffusion will come to an effective stop due to stickiness of Psl. Similar models have been applied in previous studies [?].

Experimentally, the length of a bacterium has been determined as $\ell \approx 2 \mu m$, and the length of a pilus $\ell_p$ is of the order of the body length. The apparent width of a bacterium has been determined as approximately 0.5 $\mu m$. Since the bacteria are approximately rod-like, we estimate $\gamma_\perp/\gamma_{\text{rot}} \approx 5/\ell^2$ [?]. In addition, the anisotropy in friction (perpendicular and parallel to the long axis) is $(\gamma_\perp - \gamma_\parallel)/\gamma_\parallel \approx 0.2$ [? ] where all values have been rounded. Experimentally, in the absence of EPS secretion we find a diffusion constant $D_{\text{total,0}} = 1.1 \times 10^{-2} \mu m^2 s^{-1}$ (Fig. S2(a) [? ]), which is the result of parallel and perpendicular diffusion, $D_{\text{total,0}} = D_{\parallel,0} + D_{\perp,0} = D_{\parallel,0}[1 + \cos^2 \theta/(1 - \cos^2 \theta)]$. With $D_\parallel \approx 3.6 \times 10^{-3} s^{-1}$ for 0% arabinose (Fig. S2(b) [? ]) we get $D_{\perp} = 2.3 \times 10^{-3} \mu m^2 s^{-1}$ and $c_2 = \langle \cos^2 \theta \rangle \approx 0.7$. As an approximation, in our simulations we will set $c_1 = \langle \cos \theta \rangle \approx \sqrt{c_2} = 0.8$. The characteristic width of the Gaussian Psl trail has been chosen as $\delta = 0.25 \mu m$, half the width of the bacterium.

Our microscopic model shows that the dependencies of $v$ and $D_r$ on $\psi$ are controlled by the pil pulling force $f$, the friction coefficients $\gamma_\parallel$ and $\gamma_{\text{rot}}$, the attachment rate $\lambda$, and the detachment rate $\mu$: $v(\psi) \propto f/\gamma_\parallel(\lambda + \mu)$ and $D_r(\psi) \propto f^2/\gamma_{\text{rot}}^2(\lambda + \mu)^3$ (see Supplemental Material [?] ). While in principle all of these quantities depend on $\psi$, we can make some intuitive assumptions about the general trends; e.g. the friction coefficients increase with $\psi$, and better attachment to Psl implies the attachment rate increases, and the detachment rate decreases, as $\psi$ is increased. This, in turn, suggests that by extracting information about the dependence of $v$ and $D_r$ on $\psi$ from experiments, we can gather information about the above mentioned components. If the Psl concentrations are small, we can Taylor-approximate $v \approx v_0 + v_1 \psi$ and $D_r \approx D_{r,0} + D_{r,1} \psi$. For a mutant without any EPS secretion, the experimental data for the translational MSD shows no propulsive component of the motion at the shortest timescale that we have resolved (Fig. S2(a) [? ]), and hence we conclude that in the absence of Psl the velocity component $v_0 \approx 0$. We therefore model the velocity as $v = v_1 \psi$. This also implies that $A(\psi), B(\psi)$ and $\chi(\psi)$ are larger than zero for non-zero EPS secretion. In addition, noting that the rotational diffusion $D_r$ varies only weakly between 0% and 1% arabinose, we set $D_{r,1} \approx 0$, which also introduces non-zero translational diffusion constants $D_1$ and $D_2$.

We performed Brownian dynamics simulations of the dynamical equations with the above choices for the coefficients and parameters. Note that the Psl deposition strength $k$ always appears in combination with $v_1$ and hence does not constitute an additional parameter. This leaves us with the one parameter $k v_1$ to be determined. In our simulations we only varied the Psl deposition $k$ and set $v_1 = 1 \mu m/\text{frame} = 9.3 \times 10^{-5} \mu m^3 s^{-1}$. The parameters in the numerical simulations were chosen such that they correspond to the experimental parameters. The unit of length was 1 $\mu m = 0.06536 \mu m$ and the unit of time was 1 frame = 3 $s$. For all our simulations, we regarded the above parameters as characteristic constants of the bacteria and only varied $k$ between simulation runs to emulate a situation where the amount of Psl produced depends on an external factor (like the arabinose concentration on the substrate for the $\Delta P_{\text{psl}}/P_{\text{BAD-psl}}$ mutant).

Figures ??(c)–??(d) show the angle distributions for $\Delta \theta$ and $\Delta \alpha$ from experiments and simulations. The qualitative characteristics from the experiments, in particular the very narrow distribution for $\Delta \alpha$, the wide distribution for $\Delta \theta$ and the narrowing down of $\Delta \theta$ with increasing Psl deposition have been reproduced. As highlighted in the Supplemental Material [? ], the translational and alignment terms proportional to $\nabla \psi$ in Eqs. (1) and (2) confine the bacteria to the trail center and enable them to follow trails of other bacteria, thus increasing the correlation between the trail and body orientation at long times. Comparison between simulations and experiments suggests that the experimental data for low Psl deposition (0% arabinose) best correspond to $k = 0.073 s^{-1}$ [Fig. ??(c)], whereas the data for high Psl deposition (1% arabinose concentration) are best matched by $k = 0.18 s^{-1}$ [Fig.
Theoretical and experimental exponents are in good quantitative agreement, as seen in Fig. ??(a). On a larger scale, studies using single-particle tracking have shown that the Type IV-pili-mediated twitching motility of *P. aeruginosa* plays an essential role in the early stages of biofilm formation due to complex interactions with secreted Psl. The result of this is a “rich get richer mechanism” which helps *P. aeruginosa* accumulate at just a few sites, whereas other sites are not visited at all or visited infrequently. To put our microscopic model to stringent test, we compare the long-time prediction of the simulation with the corresponding results from our experiments without any additional fitting or adjustments. We find that the simulations predict exactly the same behavior as we observe experimentally, including the power law distribution of visited sites and the percentage of surface coverage; see Figs. ??(b)–??(d). Figures ??(a)–??(d) show a typical Psl field $\psi(r,t)$ over a long period of time. In Figs. ??(a)–??(d) the Psl deposition strength is very small, which makes the bacterial behavior essentially diffusive for long times. Therefore in the long run the spatial distribution of Psl is relatively uniform since the bacteria are not attracted to any particular sites. This is reflected in a high surface coverage over time [Fig. ??(b)], as well as a relatively small power-law exponent for the distribution, Figs. ??(c)–??(d).

On the other hand, in Figs. ??(e)–??(h), which show the Psl field $\psi$ for a large Psl deposition rate, sites are preferentially visited where bacteria have already been previously, due to the strong interaction with the Psl field. As a result, bacteria visit a smaller fraction of sites; Fig. ??(b). Analyzing the distribution of total bacterial visits to a given pixel one observes a power-law behavior when plotting the total visits per pixel against the number of pixels with this visit frequency. For an increasing Psl deposition rate, the exponent increases, which implies a less egalitarian visit distribution, i.e. many sites with very few bacterial visits and a few sites where bacteria aggregate and spend a long period of time. This leads to a hierarchical Psl distribution, with a larger power-law exponent; Figs. ??(c)–??(d). The distribution of visits is directly related to the distribution of Psl concentrations per pixel [? ].

The distribution of bacterial visits to surface sites (and consequently the Psl concentration) has the hallmark of a Pareto-type power-law distribution, similar to wealth distributions in capitalist economies [? ? ]. Moreover, experiments with the $\Delta P_{psl}/P_{BAD-psl}$ mutant, which produces Psl depending on the arabinose concentration on the substrate (varied between 0% and 1%), suggest that the corresponding power-law exponents change from $-3.1$ to $-1.8$, making the Psl and surface visit distributions more hierarchical with increasing Psl deposition [? ]. Theoretical and experimental exponents are in good quantitative agreement, as seen in Fig. ??(d). The simulations for Figs. ??(b)–??(d) were performed on a field with 30 bacteria for 16667 frames, i.e. a total of $S_{visits} = 500,000$.

In summary, based on microscopic interactions observed in *P. aeruginosa* experiments, we have shown that the microcolony formation can be a direct consequence of trail deposition and pili-mediated motility, without any active signal processing by the bacteria. This leads to efficient surface exploration and self-organization. We have found that the combination of translational and orientational gradient response facilitates social trail-following and thus quantitatively explains crucial effects at the early stages of microcolony formation. We expect these trail-induced motility effects to strongly modify the complex motility from competitive deployment of multiple type IV pili [? ]. Our results have a broad range of impact, ranging from...
self-guided active matter to bacterial biofilm microbiology to embryonic development.
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