Therapeutic Exercise Approaches to Nonoperative and Postoperative Management of Femoroacetabular Impingement Syndrome
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Femoroacetabular impingement syndrome (FAIS) is characterized by premature contact of the femur and acetabulum during hip motion. Morphologic variations of FAIS present as either aspherical femoral deformity (cam femoroacetabular impingement) or overcoverage (pincer femoroacetabular impingement) or both. Patients with FAIS often describe discomfort with hip flexion, adduction, and internal rotation. The use of hip arthroscopy to treat FAIS has risen substantially over the last 15 years. Given that one practice domain of the athletic training profession involves injury prevention and wellness protection, optimal FAIS treatment and management strategies warrant discussion. Sports medicine professionals often help patients with FAIS explore nonoperative exercise strategies and direct rehabilitation exercises for those who pursue surgery. Both approaches demonstrate key pillars of exercise program design, which include postural control, core stabilization, hip strength and motor control, and mobility. The purpose of this article is 2-fold: to present an overview of FAIS, including common diagnostic strategies, and commonalities in therapeutic approaches between nonoperative and postoperative rehabilitation for the treatment and management of patients with FAIS.
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Key Points
- Nonoperative and postoperative rehabilitation protocols for femoroacetabular impingement syndrome align in 4 central exercise goals: postural positioning, core strength, hip strength and motor control, and functional range of motion.
- The ability to stabilize the pelvis ensures hip alignment within the framework of the acetabulum.
- Patient care for both nonoperative and postoperative femoroacetabular impingement syndrome relies on the practitioner’s ability to individualize programming to specific desired outcomes.
- The goal of management should be to restore pain free movement and correct functional deficits.

Femoroacetabular impingement syndrome (FAIS) is caused by premature contact of the femur and acetabulum during hip motion.1,2 The 2 classifications of FAIS are cam and pincer impingement (Figure 1). Aspherical deformation of the femoral head occurs with cam deformity, whereas pincer deformity presents with excessive prominence of the outer rim of the acetabulum.3 Repetitive abutment of hip structures may damage the labrum and contribute to the early onset of osteoarthritis.4 Cam deformity in adolescent athletes increases the risk of early degenerative arthritis5 (Strength of Recommendation [SOR] Taxonomy: B; Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine [CEBM] rating: 3). Researchers5,6 have suggested a relationship between cam deformity and the volume and intensity of exercise during youth and adolescent growth. The source of pincer development remains elusive.

Surgeons perform arthroscopic hip surgery to target the deformity by reshaping the femur and socket and possibly reducing the risk of hip osteoarthritis.7,8 The use of hip arthroscopy to treat FAIS has risen substantially over the last 15 years.9-11 Reiman and Thorborg11 and Reiman et al.12 found that current evidence may not support the recent rise in arthroscopic treatment of FAIS and that standardized reporting of outcomes is needed. Contrasting results from the UK FASHIoN randomized controlled trial1 indicated that patients with FAIS who underwent hip arthroscopy had better outcomes than patients who received nonoperative treatment (SOR: B; CEBM: 3).

Athletic trainers assist patients with FAIS using nonoperative or postoperative exercise strategies. Both approaches demonstrate key exercise pillars: postural control (also known as postural positioning), core stabilization (also known as core strength), hip strength (also known as hip strength and motor control), and mobility (also known as functional range of motion [ROM]). The purpose of our current concepts review is 2-fold: to present (1) an overview of FAIS and (2) both nonoperative and postoperative exercise protocols for the management of patients with FAIS.
Diagnostic Criteria

A 2016 international consensus statement described a multidisciplinary agreement on the diagnosis and management of patients with FAIS. In this statement, FAIS was defined as a motion-related clinical disorder with pain symptoms presenting in the hip, groin, back, and buttocks. The recommended evaluation of FAIS included a 3-pronged approach: symptoms, clinical signs, and diagnostic imaging. Patient-reported symptoms of FAIS are detailed in Table 1. Symptoms may be briefly relieved with the “C” sign palpation strategy (Figure 2). Questionnaires, such as the modified Harris Hip Score (mHHS) and various International Hip Outcome Tools (iHOT-33, iHOT-12), are available to quantify a patient’s history, but no assessment tool has been cited as the criterion standard in the literature (Table 2).

Physical examination of the hip is well described but focuses on hip pain in general. Most reports on the diagnosis of FAIS have addressed either history or imaging. A limited number of strong studies focused on the clinical accuracy of physical examination tests for FAIS. The available research is impaired by low numbers of participants, differences in examination techniques, and assessments that were not limited to FAIS. Information concerning the statistical value of these physical examination maneuvers was absent or suggested the tests were inadequate as single diagnostic tools.

Conceptually, a complete hip examination considers 4 distinct anatomical layers: osteochondral, capsulolabral, musculotendinous, and neurovascular (Table 3). In a practical sense, a hip examination assesses the patient in the standing, seated, supine, lateral, and prone positions. Hip internal-rotation and hip-flexion ROM are important measures (Table 4). Side-to-side differences may reflect a pathologic hip condition. The seated position stabilizes the pelvis help to determine morphology, but computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging may provide better information, especially if the clinician uses arthrography. Radiographic measures of cam deformities are often assessed via z angles; the most common criterion for abnormality is an z angle of 55° or greater (Figure 3). The femoral head-neck offset is another measure used; an offset of less than 10 mm strongly suggests cam deformity. Abnormal morphology does not always reflect the presence of a pathologic lesion. However, collating the patient’s symptoms with physical examination and imaging offers a holistic approach for determining the existence of FAIS (SOR: B; CEBM: 2).

Imaging

Anteroposterior and cross-table lateral radiographs of the pelvis help to determine morphology, but computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging may provide better information, especially if the clinician uses arthrography. Radiographic measures of cam deformities are often assessed via z angles; the most common criterion for abnormality is an z angle of 55° or greater (Figure 3). The femoral head-neck offset is another measure used; an offset of less than 10 mm strongly suggests cam deformity. Abnormal morphology does not always reflect the presence of a pathologic lesion. However, collating the patient’s symptoms with physical examination and imaging offers a holistic approach for determining the existence of FAIS (SOR: B; CEBM: 2).

Treatment

Management of FAIS involves patient education, nonoperative treatment, or surgical approaches. In the acute phase, reducing painful activity is warranted. Patients should increase rest and use nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory medications or analgesics as needed for pain management. Patient education should encourage improved postural awareness during sitting, gait, sleeping, and physical activity. Avoiding a cross-legged seated position or static postures for extended periods may reduce exacerbation of FAIS. Patients should decrease combined movements of FADIR during activities of daily living and exercise. Common therapy patterns, such as full squats or pivoting on the affected side, may need to be reduced or eliminated completely, especially in the acute phase. Patients with FAIS may present with swayback posture and an anterior pelvic girdle tilt. Education increases patient awareness for facilitating the posterior pelvic girdle tilt to attenuate the anterior tilt, promoting better movement patterns.

Formal nonoperative protocols to manage FAIS using high-evidence study designs are scarce. Patients who received 12 weeks of physical therapy that included hip and core strengthening, manual therapy, and lifestyle education reported improved outcomes (iHOT-33). An 8-week core strengthening program of pelvic-tilt (Video 5), bird-dog (Video 6), hip-extension (ie, bridging; Video 7), and

Table 1. Common Femoroacetabular Impingement Syndrome

| Reported Patient Symptoms |
|---------------------------|
| Clicking                  |
| Catching                  |
| Locking                   |
| Restricting               |
| Stiffening of the hip with movement |

Table 2. Core Strengthening Program

| Exercise                                      |
|----------------------------------------------|
| Stiffening of the hip with movement          |
| Restricting                                  |
| Locking                                      |
| Catching                                     |
| Clicking                                     |

Table 3. Hip Rotation ROM

| ROM Measure                          |
|-------------------------------------|
| Internal rotation                   |
| Flexion                             |
| Adduction                           |
| Internal rotation                   |
| Flexion                             |
| Adduction                           |

Table 4. Hip Flexion ROM

| ROM Measure                          |
|-------------------------------------|
| Internal rotation                   |
| Flexion                             |
| Adduction                           |
| Internal rotation                   |
| Flexion                             |
| Adduction                           |

Table 5. Hip Adduction ROM

| ROM Measure                          |
|-------------------------------------|
| Internal rotation                   |
| Flexion                             |
| Adduction                           |
| Internal rotation                   |
| Flexion                             |
| Adduction                           |

Table 6. Hip Abduction ROM

| ROM Measure                          |
|-------------------------------------|
| Internal rotation                   |
| Flexion                             |
| Adduction                           |
| Internal rotation                   |
| Flexion                             |
| Adduction                           |

Table 7. Hip Rotation ROM

| ROM Measure                          |
|-------------------------------------|
| Internal rotation                   |
| Flexion                             |
| Adduction                           |
| Internal rotation                   |
| Flexion                             |
| Adduction                           |

Table 8. Hip Flexion ROM

| ROM Measure                          |
|-------------------------------------|
| Internal rotation                   |
| Flexion                             |
| Adduction                           |
| Internal rotation                   |
| Flexion                             |
| Adduction                           |

Table 9. Hip Adduction ROM

| ROM Measure                          |
|-------------------------------------|
| Internal rotation                   |
| Flexion                             |
| Adduction                           |
| Internal rotation                   |
| Flexion                             |
| Adduction                           |

Table 10. Hip Abduction ROM

| ROM Measure                          |
|-------------------------------------|
| Internal rotation                   |
| Flexion                             |
| Adduction                           |
| Internal rotation                   |
| Flexion                             |
| Adduction                           |

Table 11. Hip Rotation ROM

| ROM Measure                          |
|-------------------------------------|
| Internal rotation                   |
| Flexion                             |
| Adduction                           |
| Internal rotation                   |
| Flexion                             |
| Adduction                           |

Table 12. Hip Flexion ROM

| ROM Measure                          |
|-------------------------------------|
| Internal rotation                   |
| Flexion                             |
| Adduction                           |
| Internal rotation                   |
| Flexion                             |
| Adduction                           |

Table 13. Hip Adduction ROM

| ROM Measure                          |
|-------------------------------------|
| Internal rotation                   |
| Flexion                             |
| Adduction                           |
| Internal rotation                   |
| Flexion                             |
| Adduction                           |

Table 14. Hip Abduction ROM

| ROM Measure                          |
|-------------------------------------|
| Internal rotation                   |
| Flexion                             |
| Adduction                           |
| Internal rotation                   |
| Flexion                             |
| Adduction                           |

Table 15. Hip Rotation ROM

| ROM Measure                          |
|-------------------------------------|
| Internal rotation                   |
| Flexion                             |
| Adduction                           |
| Internal rotation                   |
| Flexion                             |
| Adduction                           |
isometric core-strength (planks) exercises (Video 8) and lifestyle management improved hip flexion and hip-adduction strength. Pennock et al. explored the use of a nonoperative exercise protocol to manage FAIS in 76 adolescent and young adult athletes. Seventy percent were successfully treated using structured therapy, activity and sport-skill modification, and rest. In a recent meta-analysis of 5 randomized controlled trials, Hoit et al. observed that nonoperative treatment was an effective initial option for managing patients with FAIS. Collective-ly, the nonoperative programs that were focused on hip and core strengthening in a supervised environment resulted in better patient-reported outcomes (PROs).

More comparisons of nonoperative and operative approaches to treat FAIS are needed. Mansell et al. examined the effectiveness of arthroscopic surgery and physical therapy for FAIS management in active-duty service members at multiple points up to 2 years. Exercise sessions included joint mobilizations, soft tissue mobility, stretching, and motor-control exercises. The authors noted improved Hip Outcome Score values in both groups and no difference between groups at 2 years. However, the high rate of crossover from physical therapy to arthroscopic surgery reduced group sizes, decreasing the ability to ascertain differences between treatments.

In a large-scale randomized controlled trial, Griffin et al. compared the effectiveness of nonoperative treatment and hip arthroscopy for FAIS. Participants were assigned to receive either hip arthroscopy or personalized physiotherapy. The nonoperative intervention was modeled on the study of Wall et al. Contact time with a physiotherapist over 12 to 24 weeks ranged from 6 to 10 visits. Both groups reported improved iHOT-33 scores at 12 months. The mean difference in iHOT-33 scores was 6.8 in favor of hip arthroscopy (P = .009) but the arthroscopic treatment group experienced more adverse effects (SOR: B; CEBM: 3).

Figure 2. Examples of clinical tests. A–C, Flexion, adduction, internal-rotation test. D and E, Supine log-roll test. F, “C” sign palpation. G–I, Dynamic internal-rotatory impingement test. J–L, Dynamic external-rotatory impingement test.
Comparing hip arthroscopy and nonoperative protocols presents challenges. That gap may preemptively influence a patient’s decision toward surgery. Nonetheless, the ability to correct bony morphology, repair labral and cartilage integrity, and mitigate potential degenerative hip changes often supports the use of arthroscopy to treat patients with FAIS.

The average time for return to sport is approximately 7 months. Elite-level athletes have displayed a return-to-sport success rate of 84% to 93% after arthroscopic surgery. Yet Ishøi et al found that only 57% of athletes who underwent arthroscopy for FAIS returned to sport at their preinjury level. They contended that this contrasting result was due to a stricter definition of return to sport. This aligns with other reports that PROs lack the standardization needed for informed decisions related to surgery. Returning to sport is different from returning to the preinjury level of activity, which increases the difficulty of determining timelines for returning to sport. Appropriate rehabilitation exercise progressions specific to the patient’s goals and response to therapeutic interventions are needed.

Postoperative PROs depend on the preexisting level of hip degeneration. Patients with symptoms that lasted 12 to 24 months or longer had worse surgical outcomes. This suggests that surgical intervention may be needed if symptoms have not resolved with nonoperative treatment within 3 to 6 months (SOR: B; CEBM: 3). Generally, as patients age, the likelihood of successful outcomes after surgery declines, although adults over 40 years of age have described favorable outcomes when no substantial underlying degenerative changes were present.

Operative treatment of FAIS has risen substantially over the last 15 years. Physicians rely heavily on diagnostic imaging as the most important criterion for pursuing surgery to treat FAIS; however, assuming that morphologic changes indicate pathologic lesions may create a “self-evident” philosophy that lowers the surgical threshold for FAIS. Surgical complications from hip arthroscopy may result in additional surgical intervention and patient costs.

**EXERCISE PROTOCOLS**

**Nonoperative Exercise Protocol Goals**

Modifying activity while implementing a well-structured exercise program based on resistance training and

| Table 2. Common Questionnaires for Documenting Patient-Reported Outcomes13,14 |
| Questionnaire | Description |
| Modified Harris Hip Score | This questionnaire was modified from the original Harris Hip Score. The questionnaire assesses the following functional areas: gait (limp, assistive devices, distance); stair climbing, squatting, and sitting with lower extremities crossed; ability to use public transportation; hip range of motion; and overall pain. Total score ranges from 0 to 100, with <70 indicating a poor result and ≥90 indicating an excellent result. |
| International Hip Outcome Tool-33 | This 33-item questionnaire is used to assess health-related quality of life and was developed predominantly for research purposes. Questions relate to symptoms, functional limitations, sport and recreational activities, job-related concerns, and lifestyle concerns. Visual analog scale scores are summed, and the total score ranges from 0 to 100, with 100 representing the best score. |
| International Hip Outcome Tool-12 | This 12-item questionnaire was modified from the 33-item International Hip Outcome Tool that is used to assess the following domains: symptoms; functional limitations; sport and recreational activities; job-related concerns; and social, emotional, and lifestyle concerns. Each item is scored on a visual analog scale ranging from 0 to 100: 100 indicates the best function and fewest symptoms. |

| Table 3. The 4 Layers of the Hip and Associated Structures14,16 |
| Hip Layer | Associated Structure |
| Osteochondral | Femur, Acetabulum, Pelvis |
| Capsulolabral | Labrum, Joint capsule, Ligamentous complex, Ligamentum teres |
| Musculotendinous | Muscles of hemipelvis, Lumbosacral muscles, Pelvic floor |
| Neurovascular | Thoracolumbosacral plexus, Lumbopelvic tissue, Lower extremity structures |

Figure 3. The α angle. This measure is used to locate the point of loss of concavity at the femoral head-neck junction. A line is drawn along the femoral neck axis through the center of the femoral head to form 1 ray of the α angle. A circle of best fit is then placed over the femoral head, and the point at which the femoral head-neck junction exits the circle is noted. A line is drawn from the center of the femoral head to this exit point to designate the other ray of the α angle.2,16,23,24
| Assessment                              | Description                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Positive Sign                                                                 | Sensitivity | Specificity | Positive Predictive Value | Negative Predictive Value |
|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Flexion, adduction, internal-rotation test | With the patient supine, the clinician places the symptomatic hip in 90° of flexion, adducts the hip across the midline, and maximally internally rotates the hip.                                                                 | Reproduction of pain and limited internal rotation                           | 0.2–0.94   | 0.08–0.8   | 0.16–0.67                 | 0.44–0.89                 |
| Supine log-roll test                    | With the patient supine, the clinician gently rolls the thigh internally and externally, moving the articular surface of the femoral head in relation to the acetabulum without stressing the surrounding extra-articular structures.                                | Reproduction of pain                                                         | 0.3        | NA          | NA                        | NA                        |
| Drehmann sign                           | The examiner performs a passive hip-flexion maneuver on the supine patient.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Unavoidable passive external rotation of the hip                             | 0.44       | NA          | NA                        | NA                        |
| C-palpation sign                        | While standing, the patient forms a “C” with 1 hand and places it above the greater trochanter, with the thumb posterior to the trochanter and fingers extending into the groin. Pressure is applied.                                                                                                                  | If the pressure attenuates symptoms temporarily, it may indicate an intra-articular pathologic condition. | NA         | NA          | NA                        | NA                        |
| Dynamic internal-rotatory impingement test | The test assesses anterior femoroacetabular congruence. The patient holds the contralateral extremity in flexion to achieve the 0-set point of the pelvis. The hip is dynamically moved in a wide arc from abduction or external rotation to flexion, adduction, and internal rotation. | Reproduction of pain; the degree of flexion causing impingement must be noted to determine the degree, type, and location of anterior impingement. | NA         | NA          | NA                        | NA                        |
| Dynamic external-rotatory impingement test | The patient flexes the contralateral extremity to establish the 0-set point of the pelvis. The hip is dynamically moved from 90° of flexion or beyond through a wide abduction and external-rotation arc into extension. The test evaluates superolateral and posterior femoroacetabular impingement. | Reproduction of pain or feeling of instability                            | NA         | NA          | NA                        | NA                        |
| Hip scouring                            | The hip is abducted to 45° and flexed to 90°. Axial pressure is placed along the length of the femur while the thigh is rotated internally and externally.                                                                                                                                                                                   | Reproduction of pain with rotation                                           | NA         | NA          | NA                        | NA                        |
| Normal hip range of motion              | Internal rotation: 35°–45°; flexion: 120°–130°; extension: 10°–20°                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |                                                                              | NA         | NA          | NA                        | NA                        |

Abbreviation: NA, not applicable.
Table 5. Nonoperative Protocol for Femoroacetabular Impingement Syndrome: Exercise Focal Areas and Sample Exercise Progressions\(^{a,27,29–31,41,42}\)

| Focal Area (Videos) | Sample Exercise | Progression 1 | Progression 2 | Progression 3 |
|---------------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Posture (Videos 5, 9–11) | Supine anterior and posterior pelvic-floor tilts to achieve neutral pelvic alignment and improve awareness of pelvic tilt | Prone lumbar flexion and extension in a quadruped position to achieve neutral pelvic alignment and improve awareness of pelvic tilt | Series of seated anterior to posterior pelvic-girdle tilt oscillations to achieve neutral pelvic alignment and improve awareness of pelvic tilt (use chair or exercise ball) | Standing anterior to posterior pelvic-girdle tilt oscillations to achieve neutral alignment and awareness of pelvic tilt |
| Core stabilization (Videos 6, 8, 12–21) | Quadruped bird-dog variations | Plank variations | Dead-bug variations using Watkins-Randall progressions | Seated, kneeling, and standing rotational exercises |
| Hip strength and motor control (Videos 7, 22–35) | Side-lying open chain hip abduction, such as leg raises or clam shell | Supine hip-extension variations (ie, bridging) | Resisted side stepping with resistance band at various lower extremity locations | Unilateral stepping challenges, such as step-downs and multiplanar lunges |
| Flexibility and mobility (Videos 36–54) | Static stretching of hip in all 3 planes | Myofascial release of hip using a lacrosse ball or foam roller to target hip muscles in all 3 planes | Hip self-mobilization, such as long-axis distractions or lateral distractions in a nonweightbearing position | Dynamic mobility drills, such as pendulum swings at a wall, internal and external rotation (ie, open and close gate), lunge and reach, and toy soldier |

\(^a\) Not an all-inclusive exercise list.
improves, they can progress to rotational exercises from seated, kneeling, and standing postures (Video 18; SOR: B; CEBM: 4). Using a nonoperative protocol, patients demonstrated improved core strength and endurance when they achieved a score of 4/5 on the double straight-legged raise test and maintained neutral alignment for 60 seconds while in a prone plank. A timed side plank has also been used to assess patient progress. During this 60-second test, the patient must hold a plank position with at least 50% of the pelvic width in the anteroposterior and vertical directions.

**Hip Strength and Motor Control.** Nonoperative exercise protocols need to include exercises to address hip strength and motor control. Hip-abductor weakness is often present in patients with FAIS. Weakness in the 3 primary hip abductors (gluteus maximus, minimus, and medius muscles) is perpetuated by compensatory overactivity of the tensor fascia lata muscle. Although the tensor fascia lata functions as an abductor, it has strong internal-rotation capabilities. More internal rotation tends to increase the symptoms of FAIS. Restoring gluteal strength can start with floor exercises, such as side-lying hip abduction, clamshells, and bridging variations (Videos 22–24). Patients can progress to standing and dynamic exercises that increase both strength and motor control (Video 25). Side stepping with a resistance band positioned at the metatarsals effectively activates the gluteal muscles by increasing the lever arm and band torque without eliciting additional tensor fascia lata muscle activity (Videos 26–31).

Progression to unilateral tasks, such as step-downs in multiple planes, challenges strength and neuromuscular control.
control of the hip (Videos 32–34). Women typically demonstrate greater hip flexion in both resisted side stepping with an elastic resistance band and the forward step-down, which could further exaggerate symptoms, especially if anterior pelvic tilt is also increased. Clinicians should monitor pelvic control during the advance to dynamic activities. Variations, such as reverse lunges with front tap, ipsilateral Romanian deadlift with a dowel rod, and lateral step-down with heel hovers, help the patient achieve strength and motor control. Completing 3 sets of 10 repetitions, 3 to 4 days per week, can result in favorable outcomes. Medicine balls, kettlebells, or dumbbells can be added to promote hip strength and motor control (Video 35). Hip strength can be assessed using manual muscle testing; normal (100%) strength is achieved when the patient completes ROM against gravity with maximal resistance. Isometric strength can be recorded with a handheld dynamometer, which provides useful clinical information.

**Flexibility and Mobility.** Flexibility and mobility exercises should not elicit pain and should be performed at least 1 to 2 times per day. Static stretches should be held for 15 to 30 seconds (Video 36). If a static supine piriformis muscle figure-4 stretch triggers pain in the knee-crossed position, modification should include the use of a high flat surface (Video 37). Static stretching, myofascial release using lacrosse balls (Video 38) and foam rollers (Videos 39–42), and self-mobilization techniques (eg, banded distraction from the supine, prone, kneeling, half-kneeling, and standing positions; Videos 43 and 44) will improve flexibility and mobility in all of the hip and lower extremity muscles. Dynamic drills, such as internal and

---

**Figure 5.** Examples of core training exercises. Bird dog: A, start position, B, lift opposite upper and lower extremities and hold, and C, lower to start. Repeat other combination. Prone plank: D, start position, E, add hip extension (sample variation), and F, side plank (sample variation). Dead bug: G, start position, H, lower opposite upper and lower extremities and hold, and I, repeat other combination (with or without ball). Half-knee rotation: J, start position (high), K, middle position, and L, end position. Repeat sequence.
external hip rotation (ie, open and close gate; Videos 45–49), pendulum swings (Video 50), kickers (Videos 51 and 52), and traveling lunges (Videos 53 and 54), should be performed within a painfree ROM with proper posture (Figure 7; SOR: C; CEBM: 4). Flexibility of the lower extremity muscles with attachments at the hip or pelvis can be evaluated using the Thomas and Ober tests. Hamstrings flexibility can be determined by passively flexing the hip to 90° with concurrent knee extension; the goal is to achieve more than 20° of knee extension. With the patient lying supine, the examiner can assess the piriformis muscle by passively flexing the hip to 90° and externally rotating it, with the goal of achieving more than 40° of external rotation.

The clinician should note that this nonoperative exercise protocol for FAIS does not differ substantially from regimens used to manage an injury with substantial acute tissue irritability and pain. Patients who pursue nonoperative approaches often have the same goals as patients who choose surgery: to return to the preinjury or sport-performance level after an intervention. In 6 weeks, the central goals should be to reduce pain in the affected hip to 0 to 2/10 on a numeric pain scale with repetitive transitions from supine to sitting and sitting to standing. Patients should be able to walk on varied terrain; jog for at least 30 minutes; and complete sport-specific tasks that involve cutting, jumping, and pivoting.

**Postoperative Exercise Protocol Goals**

Nonoperative exercise protocols have been used to successfully manage FAIS, but patients often pursue
surgery with the goal of returning to recreational and sporting activities as soon as possible. Postoperative hip rehabilitation should prioritize painfree motion and optimal hip-joint function. Participants in a 5-phase rehabilitation program after hip arthroscopy reported mHHSs of 80.1 ± 19.9 (good = 80–89) 12 months postoperatively. Return to function after hip arthroscopy aligns with the goals of nonoperative protocols: progressive exercises to challenge core stabilization and lower body neuromotor control with improved mobility in the lower extremity (Videos 5–54). Patient education is critical to facilitate appropriate healing and recovery. Progression through rehabilitation varies extensively based on the surgical procedure. A patient whose arthroscopic surgery included loose-body removal and labral debridement may advance more quickly to weightbearing in the acute recovery phase than a patient whose procedure included labral repair and refixation or microfracture. Phase timelines are fluid and based on the individual patient’s response, but during the acute postoperative phase, careful attention must be paid to protecting the soft tissue and reducing joint inflammation. Phase goals, precautions, sample exercises, and common assessments in a 5-phase postoperative approach modeled from the literature are detailed in Tables 6 and 7 (SOR: B; CEBM: 3).

**Phase 1: Postoperative Week 1.** Immediately postoperatively, controlling pain, reducing swelling, and protecting the repaired tissues are critical. Using crutches reduces weightbearing on the operative extremity. If microfracture surgery or labral tear repair was performed, limited weightbearing may be required for up to 8 weeks. Otherwise, partial weightbearing with foot-flat walking is

---

**Figure 7.** Examples of hip-flexibility and -mobility exercises. Sample static stretches: A, hip rotators, B, anterior: hip flexors, and C, posterior: hamstrings. Self-myofascial release with, D, lacrosse ball, E, foam rolling, Banded distraction: F, lateral, G, posterior. Example of dynamic rotation: hip rotation to, H, close, I, open gate. Examples of dynamic exercise: J and K, pendulum swings at a wall, L, kickers march.
Table 6. Postoperative Protocol for Femoroacetabular Impingement Syndrome: Goals, Precautions, and Sample Exercises and Benchmarks for Progression7,19,40–48 Continued on Next Page

| Variable | Description |
|----------|-------------|
| Acute (1–7 d) |  |
| Goals | Reduce swelling and inflammation and protect soft tissue repair  
Establish ROM within painfree limits  
Advance from using crutches to weightbearing if painfree and demonstrate noncompensatory ambulation  
Reduce side effects of immobilization  |
| Precautions | Weightbearing too soon  
Improper gait patterning  
Extreme ROM  |
| Sample exercises | Passive internal- and external-rotation ROM within painfree limits  
Isometric strengthening of gluteal, hamstrings, quadriceps, and transversus abdominis muscles with precaution in hip flexion  |
| Benchmark | Upright bicycling without resistance and without reaching 90° of hip flexion  |
| Weeks 2–4 |  |
| Goals | Reduce swelling and inflammation and protect soft tissue  
Restore normal mobility  
Improve ROM and hip and core muscle strength  
Normalize gait mechanics  
Continue low-level cardiovascular activity  |
| Precautions | Too much weightbearing beyond patient strength and endurance  
Improper gait patterning  |
| Sample exercises | Self-directed mobility, such as quadruped rocking exercise  
Therapist-assisted mobility, such as manual mobilization and distraction along long axis of femur  
Isotonic hip strength in all 3 planes with caution in hip flexion  
Focused hip-extension drills to improve gait  
Advancement from partial to full weightbearing positions  
Core stability exercises  |
| Benchmark | In the initial stages (acute through wk 3–4), prioritize passive ROM with restrictions in flexion (90°), extension (0°), abduction (25°–30°), internal rotation at 90° of hip flexion (0°), internal rotation in prone position limited by comfort, external rotation at 90° of hip flexion (30°), and external rotation in prone position (20°)  
After 3 wk, ROM progression within painfree range  
Achieve full weightbearing by wk 4  |
| Weeks 5–8 |  |
| Goals | Restore normal mobility and ROM  
Increase lumbopelvic-hip complex  
Improve balance, proprioception, and cardiovascular endurance  |
| Precautions | Avoid contact activities and forced stretching that elicits pain  
Caution against advancing exercise volume and intensity too quickly  |
| Sample exercises | Lower body stretching program  
Open and closed chain lower body strength exercises focused on lower weights and more repetitions targeting gluteus medius and maximus muscles  
Progress balance challenges using unstable surfaces, single-legged lateral stepping, slide board, and step-downs with heel hover to improve motor control  
Involve core strength in prone (plank), supine (bridging), and kneeling (chop) positions  
Increase bicycling duration, introduce interval training, or both with continued caution against excessive hip flexion  
Core stability exercises  |
| Benchmark | Full, painfree hip active ROM in all planes  
Painfree, normal gait; hip-flexor strength of 4/5 on manual muscle testing; and hip-abduction, adduction, extension, and internal- and external-rotation strength of 4/5 on manual muscle testing  |
| Weeks 9–12 |  |
| Goals | Achieve full ROM, increased amplitude, increased speed, and demonstrated force generation and attenuation ability in functional positions  
Include cross-training  |
| Precautions | Caution in advancing exercise volume and intensity too quickly  
Avoidance of contact activities, aggressive hip-flexor strengthening, and forced or aggressive stretching that elicits pain  |
| Sample exercises | Lower body stretching program with advancement to some dynamic drills and end-range stretching of hip-flexor group  
Closed kinetic chain lower body strength exercises, such as miniband work in lateral stepping and minisquats  
Multiplanar stepping drills from elevated surface to improve motor control  
Involve core strength in prone (plank), supine (bridging), and kneeling (chop) positions with advancement to unstable surfaces  
Introduce cross-training, such as elliptical trainer, bicycling, stair stepping for up to 30 min of continuous exercise with heightened focus on achieving moderate intensity (ie, rating of perceived exertion of 5–7/10)  |
| Benchmark | Criteria for progression to sport-specific training includes hip-flexor muscle strength of 4+/5 and 5/5 in all other lower extremity musculature  |
allowed, and crutches may be discontinued after week 1.7Recommended exercises include isometric strengthening of the gluteal, hamstring, quadriceps, and transverse abdominal muscles with caution in hip flexion; passive internal- and external-rotation ROM may be started within painfree limits. Caution during hip flexion in the first postoperative week can prevent irritation of the iliopsoas muscle group, protect the tissue, and diminish pain and inflammation.7

**Phase 2: Postoperative Weeks 2–4.** In phase 2, protecting the repaired tissue while concomitantly improving ROM, hip strength, and core strength are priorities. Performing ROM exercises to restore capsular extensibility reduces adhesions in the joint: quadruped rocking is an example that should be conducted within patient tolerance.7 Therapist-assisted mobilizations and distractions can be helpful. Achieving proper gait mechanics is critical.40 With improved core stabilization and hip strength, the patient will be able to efficiently distribute weight and transfer compressive forces.7 Closed kinetic chain exercises with proprioceptive challenges may be introduced to achieve neuromuscular control.7 Exercise volume and intensity should be progressed via increased sets, repetitions, and external loading.46 If the surgical incision has healed, pool should be progressed via increased sets, repetitions, and proprioceptive challenges may be introduced to achieve functional positions, such as quadruped, kneeling, and achieving hip and core strength to promote control in functional ROM, normalized gait, and mastery of activity phase 3 are advancing through therapy protocols with example that should be conducted within patient tolerance.7

| Variable | Description |
|----------|-------------|
| Phase 3: Postoperative Weeks 5–8. Priorities during phase 3 are advancing through therapy protocols with functional ROM, normalized gait, and mastery of activity and achieving hip and core strength to promote control in functional positions, such as quadruped, kneeling, and standing.46,47 Improving hip-flexor strength is also a main goal. Balance and proprioception exercises should proceed from stable to unstable surfaces.7 Patients should adhere to a daily lower body stretching program. Lower body strengthening exercises include both open and closed kinetic chain exercises using lower weights and more repetitions. Single-legged lateral stepping, slide board, and step-downs with heel hovers will improve motor control. A stable pelvic girdle position is pursued via core exercises in the prone, supine, and kneeling positions. The cardiovascular conditioning progression includes longer-duration bicycling or the introduction of interval training with continued caution against excessive hip flexion. To continue advancing, the patient should achieve full, pain-free hip active ROM in all planes.38 Also important are a hip-flexor strength of 4/5 on manual muscle testing; and hip abduction, adduction, extension, and internal- and external-rotation strength of 4/5 on manual muscle testing.48

**Phase 4: Postoperative Weeks 9–12.** Similar to the previous phases, the goal of phase 4 is to advance through the protocol of functional ROM. Increasing the amplitude and speed of exercises while maintaining motor control in functional positions enables the patient to approach a return to sport activities.36,37 Restoration of hip-flexor strength and improved balance, proprioception, and cardiovascular endurance are also prioritized.7 Miniband work along with multiplanar stepping drills and cross-training help the patient progress to near return-to-play status.36 Cardiovascular conditioning can proceed to cross-training with different modalities, such as an elliptical trainer or stair stepper, at moderate intensity (ie, rating of perceived exertion of 5 to 7/10).36 Precautions include avoiding contact activities, aggressive hip-flexor strengthening, and any forced stretching that elicits pain. Criteria for progression to sport-specific training include a manual muscle testing score of 4+5 for hip-flexor strength and 5/5 for all other lower extremity muscles.48

**Phase 5: Postoperative Weeks 13–16.** Force production and control while advancing from rehabilitation to performance are emphasized in phase 5.37 Patients who
Table 7. Common Assessments Recommended for Progression Through the Femoroacetabular Impingement Syndrome Exercise and Rehabilitative Protocols,19,30,31

| Focal Area                        | Sample Assessment to Determine Patient Progress | Description |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|--------------|
| Posture                           | Qualitative analysis using technology, such as smartphone applications, photographs, and video; implementation of inclinometer and goniometry | The supine patient’s hips are flexed to 90°. A blood pressure cuff is placed under the lumbar spine at L4–5 and inflated to 40 mm Hg. The clinician raises the patient’s lower limbs until noticeable posterior rotation of the pelvis occurs. The patient performs an abdominal-bracing procedure to prevent more pelvic motion and then attempts to slowly lower the limbs to the table, maintaining abdominal contraction. When the cuff measures a fluctuation in pressure or anterior pelvic rotation is noticeable, the test is concluded. The clinician measures the amount of hip motion from the table before pelvic tilting: • Normal (5/5): 0°–15° • Good (4/5): 16°–45° • Fair (3/5): 46°–75° • Poor (2/5): 76°–90° |
| Core stabilization                | Double straight-legged test                    | The supine patient’s hips are flexed to 90°. A blood pressure cuff is placed under the lumbar spine at L4–5 and inflated to 40 mm Hg. The clinician raises the patient’s lower limbs until noticeable posterior rotation of the pelvis occurs. The patient performs an abdominal-bracing procedure to prevent more pelvic motion and then attempts to slowly lower the limbs to the table, maintaining abdominal contraction. When the cuff measures a fluctuation in pressure or anterior pelvic rotation is noticeable, the test is concluded. The clinician measures the amount of hip motion from the table before pelvic tilting: • Normal (5/5): 0°–15° • Good (4/5): 16°–45° • Fair (3/5): 46°–75° • Poor (2/5): 76°–90° |
| Hip strength and motor control    | Manual muscle testing                          | Manual muscle testing of gluteal muscles graded on 6-point scale, ranging from 0 (no contraction palpated) to 5 (normal [100%], complete range of motion against gravity with maximal resistance). Positions: • Gluteus medius muscle: side lying with extremity in 10°–15° of hip extension in neutral rotation • Gluteus maximus muscle: prone with 90° of knee flexion and 10° of hip extension • Gluteus minimus muscle: side lying with extremity in 10°–15° of hip flexion in neutral rotation |
| Flexibility and mobility          | Goniometry measurements of the hip in multiple planes | Hip goniometry measurements in multiple planes: • Internal rotation: 35°–45° • Hip flexion: 120°–130° • Hip external rotation: 40°–50° • Hip extension: up to 10°–20° |
|                                  | Thomas test                                    | Detects hip flexion by extending the affected hip while the contralateral hip is held flexed: a positive test results in excessive lordosis or the inability to keep the ipsilateral thigh on the table |
|                                  | Ober test                                       | Identifies iliotibial band tightness; the patient lies on the side of the unaffected extremity with the shoulder and pelvis in line; the lower hip and knee are flexed to remove any lumbar spine lordosis |

Not an all-inclusive list.

are considered for a return to play or the job must have full hip ROM and cardiovascular endurance that correspond to the demands of sport or work.48 Lower body strengthening exercises should be accomplished in both bilateral and unilateral positions. Squatting should not reveal any lateral deviation of the hip or lower extremity away from the operative side.48 A benchmark for single-legged squatting is the demonstration of minimal hip internal rotation or valgus such that the ipsilateral patella does not cross the plane of the great toe at full squat.48 Balance, strength, and motor-control benchmarks include proficiency on the Y-balance test, with a limb-to-limb comparison in the anterior-reach direction within 4 cm and in the posteromedial- and posterolateral-reach directions within 6 cm.48 Video analysis of the lower extremity while athletes perform high-level maneuvers, such as cutting, pivoting, single-legged hops, box landings, and sport-specific plyometrics, is recommended.12 Executing a single hop for distance, triple hop for distance, and triple crossover hop for distance with at least 90% limb symmetry provides a benchmark for clearance to play.48 Similar to the single-legged squat, careful attention should be given to alignment at takeoff and landing and displaying good control without hip internal rotation or valgus on the plant limb.48 The timeline for return to play depends on the procedure performed and varies from patient to patient.46,48

SUMMARY

For patients with FAIS, the nonoperative and postoperative rehabilitation protocols align on 4 central exercise goals: postural positioning, core strength, hip strength and motor control, and functional ROM. The ability to stabilize the pelvis ensures hip alignment within the framework of the acetabulum. Both nonoperative and postoperative FAIS management rely on the practitioner’s ability to individualize the rehabilitation program to the patient’s desired outcomes. A standard documentation of benchmarks and goals is not available in the current literature. We believe that the measures we described are useful for the clinician caring for patients with FAIS. In either scenario, the goal should be to restore pain-free movement and correct functional deficits.7
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