Role of Circadian Rhythms in Major Plant Metabolic and Signaling Pathways

Ajila Venkat1,2 and Sowbiya Muneer1*

1 Horticulture and Molecular Physiology Lab, School of Agricultural Innovations and Advanced Learning, Vellore Institute of Technology, Vellore, India, 2 School of Biosciences and Technology, Vellore Institute of Technology, Vellore, India

Plants require an endogenous regulatory network and mechanism to cope with diurnal environmental changes and compensate for their sessile nature. Plants use the circadian clock to anticipate diurnal changes. Circadian rhythm predicts a 24-h cycle with 16 h of light and 8 h of darkness in response to abiotic and biotic factors as well as the appropriate temperature. For a plant’s fitness, proper growth, and development, these rhythms synchronize the diurnal photoperiodic changes. Input pathway, central oscillator, and output pathway are the three components that make up the endogenous clock. There are also transcriptional and translational feedback loops (TTFLs) in the clock, which are dependent on the results of gene expression. Several physiological processes, such as stress acclimatization, hormone signaling, morphogenesis, carbon metabolism, and defense response, are currently being investigated for their interactions with the circadian clock using phenotypic, genomic, and metabolic studies. This review examines the role of circadian rhythms in the regulation of plant metabolic pathways, such as photosynthesis and carbon metabolism, as well as developmental and degenerative processes, such as flowering and senescence. Furthermore, we summarized signaling pathways related to circadian rhythms, such as defense response and gene regulatory pathways.
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INTRODUCTION

Living organisms, such as animals, cyanobacteria, and plants, have an internal endogenous oscillator known as the circadian clock, which predicts the alteration during the light and dark cycles. The term “circadian” was first coined in 1959 by Franz Halberg, originated from two different Latin words “circa” means “around” and “diem or dies” means “day” (McClung, 2006; Srivastava et al., 2019; Man et al., 2020). It permits organisms to synchronize and assemble for daily or seasonal changes depending on the surrounding environmental conditions. The circadian clock is an internal biological timekeeper that helps the plants to attain fitness, proper growth, and development (Inoue et al., 2017). This endogenous oscillator is generally comprised of three different modules: (i) input pathway, which gives information about the surrounding environment; (ii) central oscillator that consists of “canonical clock gene,” which composes the elite clock design; and (iii) the output pathway that constitutes the clock-driven downstream processes. The central oscillator includes complex TTFLs (de Dios et al., 2018) that blend with the post-transcriptional
The circadian rhythm oscillates daily for about 24 h in the period of light and dark cycles in response to biotic and abiotic factors (Kiedbrowicz-Matuk et al., 2014). From the studies of Harmer (2009), it was detected that there are observed three feedback loops, such as morning, central, and evening loops, from the central oscillator, in the model organism Arabidopsis thaliana. The central loop consists of CIRCADIAN CLOCK ASSOCIATED 1 (CCA1) and LATE ELONGATED HYOCOTYL (LHY) (Kim et al., 2016) encode MYB-related transcription factors that are classified as the morning expressed genes. CCA1 modulates clock-independent and clock-dependent responses (Lei et al., 2019). TIMING OF CAB EXPRESSION (TOC1) is an evening expressed gene from the core or central loop, which is from the family of Pseudo-Response Regulator (PRR) (Shogo et al., 2008). Thus, these core loops combine with the morning and evening loops and help in the primary construction of circadian rhythm in plants (Wang and Ma, 2013).

From the previous studies, it was perceived that in the morning loop, CCA1 or LHY gene forms a negative feedback loop by combining with a PRR7 or PRR9 and represses the expression of CCA1 and LHY (Farré et al., 2005; Nakamichi et al., 2010). Likewise, in the evening loop, the TOC1 gene forms a negative feedback loop along with GIGANTEA (GI); also it represses an unknown Y factor, which further activates the expression of the TOC1 gene (Huq et al., 2000; Mizoguchi et al., 2005; Chen et al., 2013; Saini et al., 2019). GI activates ZEITLUPE (ZLT) protein and then acts along with TOC1 and targets TOC1 protein for degradation (Figure 1). In addition, LUX ARRHYTHMO (LUX) is a gene and EARLY FLOWERING (ELF), such as ELF3 and ELF4, that is the protein of the evening complex (EC), which forms various sets of interlocked negative feedback loops (Nohales and Kay, 2016). In the previous studies (Rawat et al., 2011; Rugnone et al., 2013; Wu et al., 2016), it is mentioned that REVEILLE (RVE) genes, LIGHT-REGULATED WD (LWD) 1 and 2 genes (transcriptional coactivators) (McClung, 2019), and NIGHT LIGHT-INDUCIBLE AND CLOCK-REGULATED (LNK) genes were the positive regulators found in the loops of the circadian oscillator.

In model organism A. thaliana, it was found that circadian cycle duration can be extended or lengthened significantly using the overexpressed transcription factors BBX18, BBX19, and BBX32 (B-Box); also BBX18 increases the speed of this biological cycle and BBX32 overexpression may even lead to late flowering (Yuan et al., 2021). Circadian gating is the process where the circadian rhythm balances the plant response with different environmental cues; thus, the response depends upon the daytime (Belbin et al., 2019). The regulation of the circadian clock is intrinsically connected with the responses toward decreasing in temperature. Adaptation of cold has proteins involved, such as C-REPEAT/DRE BINDING FACTOR (CBF), which has a role as a key regulator (Panter et al., 2019).

The input pathway reveals to extend some of the environmental variations or signals, recognized by the photoreceptors, such as Phytochrome B (PHY B) and Cryptochromes (CRYs), which develops the components of the negative loops and temperature to entrain the stage and waveform of the circadian oscillator (Aguilar-Arnal and Sassone-Corsi, 2015). The output pathway controls several processes, such as reproductive development, hormonal production, defense responses, and the minimal percent of expression of the genome (Shor et al., 2017). Various physiological processes take place, such as stress acclimatization, hormone signaling, morphogenesis, carbon metabolism, and defense response including phenotypic, genomic, and metabolic studies in the later stages, along with the interaction with this circadian clock (Sanchez et al., 2011).

Circadian research has been extremely interdisciplinary, attracting researchers from a wide range of scientific disciplines. The existence of circadian rhythms was demonstrated by astronomer Jean Jacques d’Ortous de Maíran in 1729. Jean Jacques d’Ortous de Maíran discovered that the daily leaf movements of the heliotrope plant, Mimosa pudica, continued in complete darkness that implies the existence of an endogenous time-generating mechanism in accordance with geophysical time. Büning, however, provided the first evidence for a genetic basis of circadian rhythms two centuries later. Büning reported that in common beans, the offspring’s period lengths ranged between the extremes of the parent generation’s period lengths.

Circadian rhythms generate a biological time of day measurement. Circadian regulation is an important adaptation in plants to their changing environment. The majority of our understanding of the molecular aspects of circadian regulation in plants comes from controlled laboratory experiments. However, it is becoming clear that the circadian clock plays complex roles in transcriptome coordination under natural conditions, in both naturally occurring plant populations and crop species. This review has a work scheme based on the role of circadian rhythms in the regulation of plant metabolic pathways, such as photosynthesis and carbon metabolism, the regulation of developmental processes, such as flowering and degenerative processes, i.e., senescence, the regulation of plant signaling pathways, such as defense response, and the gene regulatory pathways interrelated with the circadian rhythms. Further, the review will also be highlighted with the research gaps that identify new domains and suggest recommendations for future investigation (Table 1).

## REGULATION OF PHOTOSYNTHESIS BY CIRCADIAN RHYTHM

The continual pattern of the circadian rhythm in many organisms, mainly in plants, leads to the opening of stomata during daytime and the closing of stomata during the nighttime (Webb, 2003). The circadian rhythm plays an essential role in the interaction between photosynthesis activities and the diurnal variation based upon the availability of light (Hennessey and Field, 1991). The minute opening in the leaves is said
to be stomata; thus, the opening and closing of stomata that concern the balanced amount of carbon dioxide taken inside along with the proportion of water and oxygen are released out. Photosynthesis itself is a salient circadian rhythm in the plant, which is composed of various molecular and physiological processes, such as stomatal opening, chlorophyll contents, chlorophyll fluorescence, and net carbon assimilation rate (Rascher et al., 2001; Pan et al., 2015).

The opening of stomata is an essential process for net carbon assimilation. It is also essential for the carbon dioxide diffusion into mesophyll cells from the atmosphere, based on the cost of water. Naturally, photosynthesis production gets affected by the action of circadian responsiveness or closing of stomata (Youngsung et al., 2017). Furthermore, the rhythm produced in stomatal conductance could affect the carbon assimilation rate by restricting the flow of carbon dioxide into the leaves. The process of photosynthesis influences plant metabolism; thus, it synchronizes the Photosystem II activity or stomatal opening and movement of the chloroplast. From the previous study on A. thaliana (Dodd et al., 2015), the overexpression of CCA1 in the plant could lead to the reduction of net carbon assimilation, which may also lead to the reduction of net carbon assimilation maximal plant fitness.

There is a bidirectional relationship between the biological clock and photosynthesis because photosynthesis gets affected by circadian regulation. In addition, the circadian clock has a core structure due to which it gets affected by photosynthesis (Haydon et al., 2013). The regulation of this oscillator affects the diurnal fluctuation in the stomatal conductance and photosynthesis process and various processes, such as respiration and growth. Even the behavior of stomata gets affected by circadian regulation (de Dios et al., 2020). The fraction of the diurnal pattern of variation in the stomatal conductance, which is allocated to the clock, is higher in amount during the changes in the daytime than in the changes allocated to the clock by photosynthesis. Thus, this indicates that the stomatal conductance has a vigorous circadian regulation than photosynthesis (de Dios et al., 2018).

Several studies on A. thaliana found that the endogenous rhythm controls photosynthesis and physiology, and the plant attains a peak of fitness (Green et al., 2002; Dodd et al., 2005; Müller et al., 2014). The regulation of the circadian oscillator raises the plant productivity, viability of seed, and...
plant survival. From de Dios et al. (2018), it is known that, in the Calvin cycle, the rate of photosynthesis depends upon the stomatal conductance and the mesophyll conductance and the biochemical process. The adjustment made in the accumulation of carbon dioxide present within the intercellular spaces by the stomatal conductance helps in modulating the process of photosynthesis. It is also known that there is a negative interaction or lack of interaction between photosynthesis and stomatal conductance. This suggests that the circadian regulation in stomatal conductance is implausible to guide the regulation of photosynthesis by circadian oscillation (Males and Howard, 2017). There is a primary role for endogenous rhythm in mesophyll conductance in balancing the accumulation of carbon dioxide in the chloroplast.

An endogenous rhythm in the framework of the light-harvesting compounds is an essential feature for the circadian rhythm in photosynthesis. The chlorophyll synthesis rate is controlled by the circadian rhythm and diurnal variations in chlorophyll a/b, which is also connected with an endogenous rhythm in the process of photosynthesis. The gene light-harvesting complex A/B protein (LHCb) activation occurs when the CCA1 and LHY moderate; it also directly gets binding with the promoters. The circadian regulation synchronizes the gene transcription linked with the Calvin cycle. Photosynthesis interacts with the PRR7 gene to manage the maintenance and entrainment to the environmental cycle.

In addition to this, the expression level of LHY/CCA1 and PRR7/PRR9 has the capability to induce the performance of plant growth and development (Müller et al., 2014).

In the C3 photosynthesis pathway or photosynthetic carbon reduction (PCR) cycle (Furbank and Taylor, 1995), plants consist of a single chloroplast that conducts many reactions; mainly, it helps in the conversion of light energy into chemical energy. Ribulose-1, 5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (RuBisCO) is the protein used to activate the initial fixation of carbon called ribulose-1, 5-bisphosphate (RuBP), which is a five-carbon sugar-phosphate, where carbon dioxide is getting converted as two molecules in a three-carbon compound called 3-phosphoglyceric acid.

---

### TABLE 1 | Specific genes and proteins present in plant circadian rhythm and its major functions.

| S. no. | Names of the genes or proteins | Major functions | References |
|--------|-------------------------------|----------------|-----------|
| 1.     | CCA1/LHY                      | It is induced by the light; also they trigger the genes managed by the clock that is expressed fast in the daytime. Whereas, suppressing genes are expressed in the nighttime. | Green and Elaine, 2002 |
| 2.     | CRY                           | It is a blue light-dependent photoreceptor, which has a major role in the development and growth of plants. It helps in promoting flowering duration in plants; mainly it induces hypocotyl growth. | Lopez et al., 2021 |
| 3.     | PHY                           | It mainly helps in controlling the development of plants, from the seed germination step to the flowering stage. It is a red light-dependent photoreceptor, which interacts directly with ELF 3. Also, in circadian rhythm, it has an essential role in the Red (R) light-mediated entrainment. | Yeom et al., 2014 |
| 4.     | GI                            | It has a major role in the flowering pathway; mainly it helps in regulating the circadian rhythm and also the flowering stage. GI acts in between the circadian rhythm and CO, where CO stimulates the flowering by increasing the mRNA abundance of CO protein and FT gene. | Mizoguchi et al., 2005 |
| 5.     | PRR 9/PRR 7/PRR 5             | These are triple alleles of PRR, and it has a crucial role in the formation of either positive or negative loop in plant circadian rhythm. Along with their severe phenotype, the photoperiod-dependent flowering can be noticed which can even be the late flowering stage. PIF (Phytochrome Interacting Factors) activity gets inhibited with the help of PRR 5 and PRR 7, which could further result in the lodging of plants. | Nakamichi et al., 2005, 2010; Franklin, 2020 |
| 6.     | ELF 3                         | ELF 3 gene has its main role in the input pathway, along with light. It also sends an altered signal about the sensitivity of the central oscillator to the light at a specific time during the circadian cycle. | Hicks et al., 2001 |
| 7.     | ELF 4                         | Generally, these ELF genes have an important role during the flowering stage in various light conditions in the presence of circadian rhythm. It is crucial for the sustainability of rhythmicity under certain constant conditions and the entrainment to the environmental cycle. | McWatters et al., 2007; Fukuda et al., 2020 |
| 8.     | LUX ARRHYTHMO (LUX or PHYTOCLOCK1) | These LUX genes along with ELF genes has a vital role in the maintenance of circadian rhythm and also in adjusting the development and growth of plants | Liew et al., 2017; Zhang C. et al., 2019 |
| 9.     | ZTL                           | It is an important E3 ligase that helps in maintaining proper periodicity during circadian rhythm. | Feke et al., 2021 |
| 10.    | TOC 1                         | It is present in controlling the elongation of hypocotyl growth which is phytochrome dependent; it also, helps in inducing the red-light production of CCA 1/LHY. It mainly helps in synchronizing the signaling of light from PHY to outputs of the clock. It also has a role in controlling gene expression and light-dependent development processes. | Más et al., 2003 |
acid (PGA) or 3-carbon acid (Locke et al., 2018). It is also found that the RuBisCO protein dispersed inside the chloroplast changes according to the rhythmic duration; additionally, this dispersal of RuBisCO protein interacts with the rhythm of carbon dioxide fixation (Nassoury et al., 2001).

During the daytime, nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH) and adenosine triphosphate (ATP) are produced with the help of a light reaction to charge the assimilation of carbon. The carbon present, in addition, is deposited as starch inside the chloroplast, which ends up as the diurnal variations of starch concentration and reduction (Locke et al., 2018). Photosynthesis is the process where the oxygen fixation gets activated, and then it directly takes place in the carbon dioxide fixation. The coherence of C3 photosynthesis in the air can be estimated based on the conflict between carbon dioxide and oxygen and the cost of energy related by reclaiming phosphoglycolate. In C4 plants, photosynthesis occurs with the help of phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase (PPC) and malate dehydrogenase activity during nighttime (Boxall et al., 2020). The activity of PPC has synchronized the mixture of PPC with circadian-regulated expression along with the PPC phosphorylation, which further ends up changing the activity of carboxylase. The circadian oscillator-related PPC activity initiates malate, then deposited into vacuoles as the malic acid (Hartwell et al., 2016). During the night, malate comes out of vacuoles. It enters the cytosol, where the decarboxylation takes place with the help of an enzyme Phosphopyruvate Carboxykinase (PCK) or by NAD-malic enzyme (NAD-ME) on CAM variants. This could further lead to an increase in the level of carbon dioxide in leaves, which influences the stomatal closure authorizing RuBisCO to return the carbon dioxide into the Calvin Benson cycle in the presence of light.

**REGULATION OF CARBON METABOLISM BY CIRCADIAN OSCILLATIONS**

The circadian oscillation has been intimated for modulating many enzymes included in plant carbon (primary) metabolism (Harmer et al., 2000). The photosynthate inflation notifies the advancement of this biological system. The providence of carbon plays an essential role in plants while scrutinizing the different methods for improving crop productivity. Many different enzymes are involved in isolation during carbon metabolisms, such as chlorophyll a/b binding protein (CAB), RuBisCO (RBCC), phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (PEPC-K), phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase (PEPC), ME, pyruvate kinase (PPDK), sucrose phosphate synthase (SPS), pyruvate kinase (PK), sucrose-non-fermentation1-related protein kinase1 (SnRK1), and the DNA-binding with one finger (Dof) co-expression; these were the genes looked over during the diurnal conditions (Kanwal et al., 2014).

Generally, in carbon metabolism, the efficacy of light is considered a driving force, and an entraining signal of the Calvin-Benson-Bassham (CBB) cycle or C3 cycle tries to isolate their parts. These spotted genes have recognized an endogenous rhythm present in the genotypes and the various patterns during the high range of expression. At the time of dawn, the compulsion of photosynthetic carbon dioxide directs the sucrose synthesis and the accumulation of starch; thus, it assists for the pursued production of starch during the time of dusk (Graf and Smith, 2011; Stitt and Zeeman, 2012; Pokhilko and Ebenhoh, 2015; Kim et al., 2017). At dusk, the starch stockpiled throughout the day before night will be deteriorated and devoured. Starch yield disruption occurs due to an unpredicted rapid arrival of dusk, which leads to the early consumption of starch and malnourishment of carbon. This malnourishment of carbon can end up in the swift alternate in gene expression; metabolism can lead to the minute difference in the rate of growth, which could further result in a higher difference in biomass production within a few weeks. It is feasible to directly or indirectly manage these mechanisms of endogenous clock genes (Lu et al., 2005; Graf et al., 2010; Kotting et al., 2010). From previous (Sulpice et al., 2014) studies, it is known that the clock incidentally regulates the synthesis of starch by the modulation of starch degradation.

Mostly, in plants, the amount of carbon secured along with starch is higher in level when compared to wild type depending upon the decreasing range of circadian rhythm period (Kepling et al., 2015; Jones, 2017). They reveal the transcriptional alternation, which is an indication for the starvation of carbon compounds before night. The plants were usually grown in a 12-h light and 12-h dark period for 3 continual weeks. It is known from Graf et al. (2010), when the plants are further relocated into dark conditions only after the 8 h of light exhibited a high range of starch degradation, and also there was no indication of carbon starvation found throughout the next 16 h of dark condition. In well-sustained plants, when the plant growth is strictly restricted by the carbon supply then the growth is managed either by CCA1 or LHY (Yazdanbakhsh et al., 2011; Müller et al., 2014).

The oilseed and vegetable varieties have various morphologies and different harvestable sections. This oilseed type of crop has a minimal period of circadian rhythm and maximal range of net carbon assimilation when compared to the vegetable varieties (Yarkhunova et al., 2016). From Smith and Stitt (2007), it is known that the rate of photosynthesis becomes limited under the condition of a shorter duration of photoperiods (or low irradiance). In such a case, it could lead to a limited source phenotype, which is the condition of insufficient or lack of carbon and minimal plant growth. Whereas, whenever more carbon is obtained from the atmosphere for the better growth of plants, having higher irradiance or long timing of photoperiod and the plants are of limited sink phenotype.

The clock maintains a certain level of carbon supply rate with the help of clock component CCA1/LHY, which could be further stored and used until the next day. During the nighttime, the
instability of starch can be managed by the clock component CCA1/LHY in *A. thaliana*. This regulation plays a major role in avoiding either the lack or breakdown of sucrose and decreased growth level during nighttime (Müller et al., 2014). The rhythmic clock component TOC1 provides a specific idea for the biological system in the maintenance of cellular mechanisms (Cervela-Cardona et al., 2021).

**REGULATION OF SUGAR METABOLISM BY THE CIRCADIAN CLOCK**

Due to the fluctuation in the photoperiod, the rise in sugars changes, that is, the increase or decrease of sugars depends upon the presence of light and photosynthesis rate. Thus, it indicates sugar does not increase in the early morning or in late evening; also clock loses the sensitivity at the end of the light period, as it is not phase advanced (Haydon et al., 2013). The early rise of sugar takes place on sunny days, which helps the circadian clock in showing the appropriate phase for driving processes that are linked with sugar metabolism. Sugar accumulation in the morning helps in the activation of the photosynthesis process on all days of the cycle.

Due to sugars, the activation of the CCA1 promoter increases; also simultaneously, it also suppresses the PRR7 (Haydon et al., 2013; Dodd et al., 2015). The regulation of sugar with the circadian clock has the capability to develop the action of the oscillator for dealing with the clock timing; this may also form a mechanism where biological processes, which are considered as the outputs of biological oscillators, are getting affected by sugars. These all are because sugars can manage the outputs of the circadian clock directly, even without performing any role in the core region of the clock. The circadian clock may get affected by sugars in long-term response pathway, which strengthens the rhythmicity in leaves of *A. thaliana* during the nighttime, after which there will be a need for GI (Dalchau et al., 2010).

With the help of PHY and CRY photoreceptors, the entrainment cues, including signals of light, are obtained. It also comprises the regulation of FLOWERING LOCUS T (FT) through the sugar signaling of Trehalose-6-Phosphate (T6P); even though the photoperiod synchronization of FT is not totally dependent upon this T6P (Dodd et al., 2015). In the late daytime, during the process of photosynthesis, sugars are synthesized and these sugars are then conserved and further exploited in the process of respiration during the nighttime. On the basis of different carbohydrate transports along with the various stages of cycle rhythmicity, it develops the diel oscillation of sugars (Haydon et al., 2011).

**REGULATION OF FLOWERING AND SENESCENCE IN PLANTS BY CIRCADIAN RHYTHM**

The development of flowers is an important stage in plant development. The formation of flower organs occurs when the gene expressions are accurately controlled during the flower development stage (Schaffer et al., 1998). This process is activated by the circadian rhythm (Schaffer et al., 1998). The flowering genotype depends upon the merged reactions of external and internal features, such as photoperiod and temperature (Min, 2005). The clock recognizes the length of photoperiod and regulates the phloem companion cells FT and CONSTANS (CO); where CO is a cue regulator for flowering when there is a conflict between the length of photoperiod and the circadian rhythm based on external coincidence theory (Sawa et al., 2007). The leaf senescence regulators ORESARA 1 (ORE 1) are controlled by endogenous rhythm and activated by various other components, such as CCA1, PRR9, ELF3, ELF4, and LUX.

The *ORE 1* gene is present downstream of ETHYLENE INSENSITIVE 2 (*EIN 2*), which activates the ethylene signaling pathway. This *ORE 1* gene encodes with protein-specific transcriptional factor (TF) NAM, ATAF, and CUC (NAC), which then positively activates the senescence in leaves and moderates various pathways of leaf senescence by activating SENESCENCE ASSOCIATED GENES (SAGs) expression, such as SAG 29 and BIFUNCTIONAL NUCLEASE 1 (*BNF 1*) (Matallana-Ramirez et al., 2013). The *ORE 1* expression increases during cell aging, leading to age-induced cell apoptosis. The microRNA164 (miR164) negatively activates the expression of *ORE 1*. The trifurcate feed-forward loop is formed with the combination of *EIN 2*, miR164, and *ORE 1*, which changes the senescence of the leaf (Kim et al., 2009). During leaf aging, the circadian rhythm gets shortened, which is found in the model organism *Arabidopsis* (Kim et al., 2016). From the previous study, it is known that the duration of flowering in *Arabidopsis* takes long days (LDs) and also its mechanism is well explained (Corbesier and Coupland, 2005).

In *A. thaliana*, the GI, which is the component of the clock, activates the photoperiodic flowering. This GI binds along with the CO promoter to activate the expression of CO (Figure 2). GI controls leaf senescence as the time of flowering, where it depends on the location. GI often acts as the mediator to make the interaction between leaf senescence and flowering and develops the productivity and fitness of plants. The ELF 4 links directly with the GI and suppresses its interaction with the ORE 1 promoter (Kim et al., 2020). The result of the regulatory network controls the transcription of CO, and CO mRNA gets collected after the night in a short-time duration. Then CO stops to assemble in the dusk due to degradation of protein by the combination of CONSTITUTIVE PHOTOMORPHOGENESIS 1 (*COP 1*) and SUPPRESSOR OF PHYA-105 1 (*SPA 1*) (Laubinger et al., 2006; Jang et al., 2008). The CRYPTOCHROME 2 (*CRY 2*), which is photoactivated, combines with COP 1 and SPA 1 to make a complex compound to inhibit the degradation of CO (Zuo et al., 2011).

Furthermore, by forming a blue light-dependent complex with FKF1 (FLAVIN-BINDING, KELCH REPEAT, F-BOX 1), GI regulates the expression of floral activators CO and FT (Imaizumi et al., 2003, 2005; Sawa et al., 2007; Sawa and Kay, 2011). CYCLING DOF FACTOR 1 (*CDF1*), a protein that represses CO and FT transcription, is degraded by the GI-FKF1 complex (Imaizumi et al., 2005; Fornara et al., 2009; Song et al., 2012). CO protein levels, which are stabilized by FKF1 at the end of
Venkat and Muneer Circadian Biology and Abiotic Stress

FIGURE 2 It is a diagrammatic representation of the circadian rhythm for providing a clear idea on the same. It has three different stages, such as (i) input pathway that contains light and temperature, which is then followed by (ii) central oscillator, in the presence of photoreceptors, such as PHY and CRY; further leading to the (iii) output pathway, which consists of many different physiological and developmental processes, such as (A) protein phosphorylation, (B) gene expression, (C) flowering, (D) petal opening, (E) cotyledon and leaf movement, (F) stomatal opening, (G) chloroplast, (H) calcium levels, and (I) biotic and abiotic stress. All these processes indicate the step-by-step variations that take place in every plant during the changes in photoperiodic rhythm.

the long photoperiod, control the activation of FT expression in LDs (Suárez-López et al., 2001; Song et al., 2012). Furthermore, light regulates CO protein, so CO levels are low in red light and high in blue light. While blue and far-red light help to keep CO stable (Valverde et al., 2004). This control increases the floral signal by reinforcing the accumulation of CO protein levels in the evening of LDs. These molecular interactions theoretically result in a double external coincidence mechanism that involves multiple clock outputs, but the combined effects of this rhythmic mechanism have not been quantitatively tested or incorporated into previous mathematical models (Song et al., 2012).

Similarly, the senescence in plants requires a functional endogenous system; also, many different senescence-related genes encode the TFs in which NAC and WRKY show a clear circadian rhythm pattern (Kim and Hong, 2019). The clock rhythm has combined the function of both flowering and leaf senescence, due to which the early leaf senescence takes place because of the ELF period as per the causes of embryonal carcinoma (EC) mutants (Kim et al., 2018). The analysis of transcriptional profiling exhibits the senescence regulatory genes; not only the ORE 1, NAP, WRKY 53, and WRKY 70 but also the phytohormone jasmonate (JA) responsive and signaling genes are getting activated at the stage of EC-mediated senescence of leaf (Zhang et al., 2018). The salicylic acid (SA) pathway has a connection with the PHY-associated leaf senescence mechanism. The regulation of senescence on the basis of circadian oscillator and light has been explained in a detailed manner in a recent study (Lee et al., 2021).

DEFENSE RESPONSE IN PLANTS BY CIRCADIAN RHYTHMS

The circadian rhythm can also be expressed with the help of the plant defense system, also in the absence of the pathogen. The glycine-rich RNA binding protein is a pathogen responsive gene, and it was already seen in several crops that exhibit the regulation of the clock (Lu et al., 2017). Defense response plays a significant role in plants for the normal functioning of cells against the infection caused by the pathogen (Spoel and Dong, 2008). In the case of a pathogen attack, the cuticle supply, which is a physical plant barrier, is considered the first line of plant defense. This
form of defense system blocks the pest and pathogen invasion. Whenever a swap takes place in the cuticle, it is immediately realized by the plant and instantly, it begins the defense response during the time of pathogen attack (Malik et al., 2020). The instigated interaction, which occurs between pattern recognition receptors and pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs), activates a considerable amount of plant defense systems, such as stomatal closure, to keep away from the invasion of the pathogen (Jones and Dangl, 2006; Miller et al., 2017; Butt et al., 2020).

Phytohormones, such as JA and SA, play an essential role in defense responses (Roden and Ingle, 2009; Tamaoki et al., 2013). Depending upon the mode of pathogen attack, the phytohormones are synthesized by the plants (Loake and Grant, 2007). If the pathogen moves inside the plant cells, the polymorphic Nucleotide Binding and Leucine-Rich Repeat (NB-LRR) proteins available within the host cell interconnect with specifically effecting molecules of the pathogen (Jones and Dangl, 2006; Miller et al., 2017). The growth by the addition of SA influences the strengthening of the cell wall, phenolic accumulation, and production. It also triggers resistance (R) and other different defense response genes (activated of clock gene LUX). The PRRs recognize the PAMPs that stop the formation of pathogen colonies, which end up in the PAMP triggered immunity (PTI). This PTI restricts the released action of the pathogen inside the host cell, and also it switches on the defense system, which functions in the way of non-host-specific R. The four stages of plant immunity have become a well-known process from a recent study (Butt et al., 2020).

The prompt in reactive oxygen species (ROS) production is considered the initial defense response state in plants. The interaction between the clock system and redox state in the cellular system confirms the stability between the immunity of plants and their proper growth (Brody, 2019; Zhang J. et al., 2019). The LUX gets bonded with EDS 1 and JASMONATE ZIM DOMAIN (JAZ) 5 promoters, which could affect the signaling of JA and SA (Zhang C. et al., 2019). The formation of co-receptor complex takes place with the association of transcriptional repressor proteins, such as JASMONOYL-ISOLEUCINE (JA-Ile), JAZ, and CORONATINE-INSSENSITIVE1 (COI1), which is an F-Box protein in the existence of vital appearance of JA (Nitschke et al., 2016). This leads to the JAZ repressor degradation due to the ubiquitin-proteasome system (Thines et al., 2007), and then it rescues the TFs, such as MYC 2. Thus, the transcription for response genes of JA is enabled, such as JAZ genes, also MYC 2, and all the biosynthesis genes of JA, i.e., LIPPOXYGENASE (LOX) and OXO PHYTODIENOATE REDUCTASE 3 (OPR3) (Chung et al., 2008; Wasternack and Hause, 2013).

The clock entrainment with the light is conciliated by CRY and PHY; they are translocated to the nucleus concerning the light where the gene expression is activated. Several defense genes are moderated by the function of PHY and the circadian clock (Roden and Ingle, 2009). Plants having the deficiency of PHY A/B show the complete reduction in the expression of PRR; thus, this stipulates the importance of the defense signaling pathway (Genoud et al., 2002; Butt et al., 2020). From Griebel and Zeier (2008), it is known that the plant defense has an increased function during the time of dawn rather than dusk; also, they have used the pressure inoculum in the leaves of the organism *Pseudomonas syringae* (*P. syringae*) along with the diversion of defense response in stomata. The rhythmicity of the clock blocks the susceptibility of the plants toward many different pathogens and insects, where the plant susceptibility will have the most little part at the time of interaction of endogeneous clock with the defense hormones, such as JA and SA (Goodspeed et al., 2012; Korneli et al., 2014; Ingle et al., 2015).

**CONCLUSION**

The plant circadian rhythm is cell-independent and self-assisting under the seasonal and diurnal input sources from the environmental cue for encountering the stimulant-navigated response. The endogenous clock components will be synchronized at either transcriptional or post-transcriptional extent. The role and the function of CCA1 and LHY (the two main clock components in light signaling) in circadian timing and control of the flowering plant could be explained with the separation and depiction of clock genes. It has been found that after dawn, the genes help much in controlling the chlorophyll; it was also known that these clock components help maintain the fitness of plants with improved immunity for the best performance.

In the present review, we have tried to explain the different biological or developmental processes of plants through the circadian clock, which will also help the researchers understand how the genes present in the circadian system, are expressed, and how they are functioning. The circadian rhythm has been analyzed with the model organism *A. thaliana* and in other plants, such as *Nicotiana benthamiana* (*N. benthamiana*), *Chrysanthemum*, and *Petunia* plant. Yet, there are many vegetable crops or flowering plants, which could be readily available. In addition, it can be used to analyze circadian biology to provide a clear vision about the same in a very detailed manner. Both *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies for the analysis of this photoperiodic rhythmity along with the abiotic stress conditions using molecular work can be performed as a brief future study. The study can be accomplished to increase the growth and yield of edible crops that are highly tolerant toward the abiotic stresses, for example, salinity, heat, and cold stress conditions, based on the functioning of their circadian rhythm.
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