Trans-generational epigenetic regulation associated with the amelioration of Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy
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Abstract

Exon skipping is an effective strategy for the treatment of many Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy (DMD) mutations. Natural exon skipping observed in several DMD cases can help in identifying novel therapeutic tools. Here, we show a DMD study case where the lack of a splicing factor (Celf2a), which results in exon skipping and dystrophin rescue, is due to a maternally inherited trans-generational epigenetic silencing. We found that the study case and his mother express a repressive long non-coding RNA, DUXAP8, whose presence correlates with silencing of the Celf2a coding region. We also demonstrate that DUXAP8 expression is lost upon cell reprogramming and that, upon induction of iPSCs into myoblasts, Celf2a expression is recovered leading to the loss of exon skipping and loss of dystrophin synthesis. Finally, CRISPR/Cas9 inactivation of the splicing factor Celf2a was proven to ameliorate the pathological state in other DMD backgrounds establishing Celf2a ablation or inactivation as a novel therapeutic approach for the treatment of Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy.
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Introduction

With a few exceptions, most DMD mutations lead to frameshifts, resulting in the formation of premature termination codons that impair dystrophin mRNA translation (Monaco et al., 1988). Exon skipping and rescue of a correct reading frame have been demonstrated to be effective for many mutations, potentially allowing the conversion of severe Duchenne genotypes into milder Becker phenotypes (Bladen et al., 2015; Verhaart & Aartsma-Rus, 2019). Due to the large size of introns, splicing enhancers play an important role in dystrophin mRNA maturation, and indeed, their binding sequences have been used as targets for effective antisense strategies to induce exon skipping (Incitti et al., 2010; Niks & Aartsma-Rus, 2017). Antisense oligonucleotides, by pairing with exonic splicing enhancers (ESEs), interfere with recognition by the splicing machinery and effectively induce exon skipping and rescue of dystrophin synthesis (Mittrapant et al., 2009). We previously reported the case of a DMD individual (GSA44), carrying the deletion of exon 44, who showed a milder phenotype resembling the Becker one due to spontaneous skipping of exon 45 coupled to 7% of dystrophin rescue. Such endogenous exon skipping was due to the lack of Celf2a (Martone et al., 2016), an isoform of the Celf2 splicing factor (Ladd et al., 2001).

Here, we show that the absence of Celf2a expression in the GSA44 patient is due to a trans-generational epigenetic silencing that is inherited from the mother and requires the activity of a repressive long non-coding RNA (IncRNA), DUXAP8. We found that Celf2a expression resumed when induced Pluripotent Stem Cells (iPSCs), deriving from the patient’s fibroblasts, were differentiated into myocytes and that, in these conditions, exon 45 skipping was abrogated. Moreover, we demonstrate that Celf2a activation correlated with the knock down of DUXAP8; indeed, depletion of DUXAP8 in
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GSΔ44 myoblasts rescued Celf2a expression, while its overexpression in control myoblasts repressed it. We also show that inactivation of Celf2a could have a more general therapeutic application since CRISPR/Cas9 inactivation of this factor in an unrelated Δ44 genetic background resulted in exon 45 skipping and partial rescue of dystrophin synthesis.

Results

Celf2a inactivation through CRISPR/Cas9 in Δ44 myocytes induces skipping of exon 45

Figure 1A shows that the lack of the splicing factor Celf2a in GSΔ44 myocytes correlates with skipping of exon 45 from the dystrophin mRNA (Martone et al., 2016). This correlation is further supported by the lack of exon skipping when Celf2a is exogenously expressed in GSΔ44 myocytes (Martone et al., 2016). To ascertain Celf2a function in different genetic backgrounds and whether its depletion alone could be therapeutic for other Δ44 mutations, we applied CRISPR/Cas9 (Li et al., 2016) targeted genome editing to specifically delete the Celf2a isoform in iPSCs derived from a different Δ44 patient (UPA44).

Fibroblasts from a skin biopsy of UPA44 were reprogrammed into iPSCs (Somers et al., 2010; Sommer et al., 2012). After reprogramming, single iPSC-like colonies were selected and expanded as individual clones that grew with the typical morphology of pluripotent stem cells (Fig EV1A). UPA44 clones were validated as bona fide iPSCs by qPCR assessment of pluripotency marker expression (NANOG, OCT4, SOX2, REX1) and downregulation of the direct inhibitor of OCT4 (NR2F2; Fig EV1B). The exogenous OCT4 silencing was also assessed (Fig EV1C). WT#1 clone has been previously validated as iPSC clone in Lenzi et al. (2015). Cytogenetic analysis showed a normal karyotype of WT#1 and UPA44#3 clones (Fig EV1D). The genetic identity of these clones was assessed by PCR on genomic DNA, which confirmed the specific lack of exon 44 in UPA44#3 clone (a representative is shown in Fig EV1E).

Clone UPA44#3 was transfected with constructs expressing Cas9 and guide RNAs, together with a donor plasmid carrying a selection cassette flanked by homology arms, to delete the first exon specific for the Celf2a isoform (Fig EV1F). The parental cell line (UPA44#3) and two homozygous edited clones (UPA44#3.1 and UPA44#3.5) were then induced to muscle differentiation through enhanced piggyBac vector (epB)-mediated overexpression of MyoD and Baf60c (Lenzi et al., 2016). All clones showed a fusion index comparable to the differentiated WT iPSCs (Fig 1B and C) and similar expression of muscle markers indicating the occurrence of correct myogenic differentiation (Fig EV1G). A specific transcriptional knock out of the Celf2a isoform was obtained in the edited clones, while the expression of the other Celf2 isoforms (Celf2b and Celf2c) remained unaffected (Fig 1D). Interestingly, the absence of Celf2a in the edited clones correlated with the appearance of a band of the dystrophin transcript corresponding to the fusion of exon 43 with exon 46 (Fig 1D). Sequencing of this band confirmed the perfect skipping of exon 45 (Fig EV1H). Notably, under these conditions the rescue of the dystrophin protein was obtained (Fig 1E). Western blot indicated that rescue was approximately 5% of the normal expression levels, similarly to what was observed in the biopsy and primary myoblasts of the GSΔ44 patient who has a mild Duchenne syndrome (Martone et al., 2016). These results allowed to conclude that ablation of Celf2a is likely sufficient for the rescue of dystrophin production in DMD genetic backgrounds where skipping of exon 45 could restore a functional open reading frame (ORF).

Celf2a repression in GSΔ44 is due to epigenetic silencing

iPSCs were also derived from the fibroblasts of GSΔ44 and his mother (GSM). The obtained clones (GSΔ44#2, GSΔ44#8 and GSM#1) were validated as bona fide iPSCs both morphologically (Fig EV2A) and molecularly (Fig EV2B and C). Cytogenetic analysis of GSΔ44#2 and GSΔ44#8 iPSC clones showed a normal karyotype (Fig EV2D). The genetic identity of these clones was analysed by PCR on genomic DNA, which confirmed the presence of the GSΔ44-specific deletion (Fig EV2E). Since the Celf2a isoform is specifically expressed in muscle cells, these clones, together with a control iPSC line, were differentiated into myocytes through the overexpression of MyoD (Lenzi et al., 2016) and Baf60c (Albini et al., 2013) and correct expression of muscle differentiation markers was confirmed (Fig EV2F). As opposed to GSΔ44 primary myoblasts which lack Celf2a (Fig 1A), in myocytes differentiated from GSΔ44 iPSCs (Myo, GSΔ44#2 and GSΔ44#8), a clear recovery of the expression of Celf2a was observed (Fig 2A, see in comparison with Fig 1A). Notably, in all clones, the expression levels of the Celf2b and 2c isoforms remained unaltered. In parallel to Celf2a reactivation, in myocytes derived from GSΔ44#2 and GSΔ44#8 exon 45 skipping of the dystrophin mRNA was abolished (Fig 2D). Similarly to GSΔ44, the expression of Celf2a, which was absent in myocytes obtained by direct trans-differentiation of fibroblasts from the mother (Martone et al., 2016), was instead resumed in myocytes derived from her iPSCs (Fig 2A, GSM#1).

To exclude the possibility that Celf2a expression could be mediated by MyoD overexpression or by the combined overexpression of Baf60c and MyoD, the epB vectors were transfected in GSΔ44 primary myoblasts: under these conditions, Celf2a expression was not recovered (Fig EV2G).

Altogether these data indicate, in line with the observation that the Celf2a gene of GSΔ44 does not show any obvious mutation (Martone et al., 2016), that the lack of Celf2a expression in GSΔ44 is due to epigenetic silencing and that this regulation is lost upon the reprogramming process.

To further strengthen the correlation between the lack of Celf2a and exon 45 skipping, we applied CRISPR/Cas9 to GSΔ44 iPSCs (clone GSΔ44#8) in order to specifically inactivate the Celf2a transcript. Several clones were analysed for editing, and one of them displayed a homozygous deletion of the first Celf2a exon (GSΔ44#8.2; Fig EV2H). In GSΔ44#8.2 clone, Celf2a expression was abolished while editing did not affect neither Celf2b nor Celf2c expression (Fig 2C). The ability of this clone to differentiate into myotubes under the overexpression of Baf60c and MyoD, with the same extent of WT iPSCs, was confirmed by MHC immunostaining and fusion index analyses (Fig 2B and C). Notably, when analysing the dystrophin mRNA structure by RT–PCR (Fig 2D) or by sequencing (Fig EV2I), we observed a band corresponding to exon 45 skipping; furthermore,
Figure 1. Celf2a inactivation through CRISPR/Cas9 in UPΔ44 myocytes induces skipping of exon 45.

A GSΔ44 myoblasts were transfected with an empty vector (CTRL) or with a CELF2a overexpressing construct (OE CELF2A) (Martone et al, 2016). Cells were collected after 10 days in differentiation medium (DM), and the RNA was analysed by RT–PCR for CELF2a expression and DMD exon 45 skipping. GAPDH was used as loading control. The arrow indicates the DMD exon 45-skipped band. Representative results are shown (n = 3).

B Representative immunofluorescence for myosin heavy chain (MHC in red) in combination with DAPI staining (in blue) of iPSCs obtained from WT (WT#1), UPΔ44 (UPΔ44#3) and edited clones (UPΔ44#3.1 and UPΔ44#3.5) differentiated for 9 days into myocytes by MYOD/BAF60c overexpression. Scale bar 100 μm.

C Histogram represents fusion index quantification. At least 7 randomly chosen microscope fields of two independent biological samples were analysed (n = 2). Data are presented as mean ± SD of the biological replicates.

D RNA extracted from myocytes obtained by differentiation of WT (WT#1), UPΔ44 (UPΔ44#3) and edited UPΔ44 iPSC (UPΔ44#3.1 ΔCELF2a and UPΔ44#3.5 ΔCELF2a) clones was analysed by RT–PCR for CELF2a, CELF2b, CELF2c and DMD exon 45 skipping. GAPDH was used as loading control. The arrow indicates the DMD exon 45-skipped band. Representative results are shown (n = 3).

E Western blot on proteins (40 μg) extracted from myocytes obtained by differentiation of WT (WT#1), UPΔ44 (UPΔ44#3) and edited UPΔ44 iPSC (UPΔ44#3.1 ΔCELF2a and UPΔ44#3.5 ΔCELF2a) clones probed with antibodies against dystrophin (DMD). Actinin (ACTN) was used as a loading control. For the WT 5% sample, 2 μg of proteins was diluted in 38 μg of proteins belonging to UPΔ44#3 to reach a total amount of 40 μg. Representative results are shown (n = 3).

Source data are available online for this figure.
under these conditions, rescue of the dystrophin protein was obtained (Fig 2E). Also in this case, Western blot indicated a rescue of approximately 5% of the normal expression levels, in line with what observed in the GS A44 patient (Martone et al., 2016).

In conclusion, we show that the lack of the CELF2a factor in the GS A44 case is due to epigenetic repression. Reactivation of the gene can be achieved by cell reprogramming followed by induction of myogenic differentiation that ensures proper tissue-specific expression of the gene.

**Chromatin accessibility and epigenetic signature in control and GS A44 myoblasts**

Given the importance of the epigenetic silencing in regulating CELF2a expression in GS A44 cells, we decided to deepen our understanding of the chromatin accessibility by performing ATAC-seq analysis on GS A44 and healthy donor myoblasts (Fig 3A). The number of peaks called in the two conditions was comparable: 125,029 in control myoblasts (WT) and 128,349 in GS A44 (Fig 3B). Notably, we did
not observe genome-wide changes in peak distribution, suggesting no major chromatin rearrangements between the two conditions (Fig 3B, correlation Fig EV3A, fragment profiles Fig EV3B). To refine these data, we analysed all the peaks with a fold change higher than 2 and with an absolute value larger than 2 units in at least one of the two samples. We identified 505 upregulated and 1,007 downregulated peaks in GS△44 cells compared to control cells. Interestingly, a striking difference was observed in the Cel2
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The four ATAC peaks identified in the Celf2 locus are likely to correspond to myogenic-specific chromatin structures.

A trans-acting lncRNA controls Celf2a expression

The Celf2 locus spans more than 300 kb and contains, besides the a, b and c isoforms, also other two annotated Celf2-like transcriptional units (Celf2x and b, Fig 4A). Moreover, several lncRNAs are embedded in this locus (Fig EV4A), and in particular, a divergent lncRNA (SFTA1P) is transcribed in the opposite direction at a distance of 2.2 kb from the TSS of Celf2x. When looking at the expression of these genes in control, A44 and GSA44 myocytes, we noticed that the downregulation of Celf2a correlated with the lack of the SFTA1P lncRNA expression and with the activation of Celf2x and Celf2β (Figs 4B and EV4B). Notably, in myocytes obtained from differentiated GSA44 iPSCs, we observed that SFTA1P followed the same reactivation as Celf2a (Fig EV4F). Interestingly, the presence of SFTA1P expression in iPSCs indicated that epigenetic remodelling of the locus was indeed already occurring during the reprogramming process; instead, the lack of Celf2a expression in iPSCs could only be ascribed to the absence of the transcriptional factors needed for its muscle-specific expression.

SFTA1P initially appeared to be a promising candidate as a cis-acting regulator, because of its correlation with Celf2a expression; however, neither its overexpression in GSA44 myoblasts resulted in a rescue of Celf2a expression (Fig EV4C), nor was its downregulation in control myoblasts able to silence Celf2a expression (Fig EV4D). Moreover, the overexpression of Celf2a in GSA44 myoblasts had no effect on SFTA1P (Fig EV4E). These data indicated that there is no reciprocal regulation of the divergent transcripts SFTA1P and Celf2a, suggesting the existence of a trans-acting factor controlling both genes.

Therefore, we re-analysed total RNA-Seq data (Martone et al, 2016) from control, GSA44 and unrelated A44 myoblasts in order to search for alterations of the transcriptome possibly associated with Celf2a repression, focusing on non-coding genes. Through differential expression analysis, we identified 4,996 loci deregulated between GSA44 and control myoblasts and 2,843 between A44 and control (q-value < 0.05). Out of these, 219 and 100, respectively, resulted non-coding genes and 59 were deregulated in both conditions (Fig EV4G). A further selection produced a list of 9 lncRNAs expressed in GSA44, and neither in A44 myoblasts nor in control ones (Table EV1). Of these, only one was previously described to be associated with chromatin (DUXAP8, Ma et al, 2017).

We were able to validate the expression of this lncRNA in GSA44 myoblasts (GM) and myocytes (DM) and its absence in control cells (Fig 4C). Moreover, in myoblasts, DUXAP8 resulted to be equally distributed between cytoplasm and chromatin fractions (Fig EV4H). In all cases, the expression of DUXAP8 and Celf2a was mutually
exclusive (Fig 4C). In agreement with such inverse correlation, DUXAP8 resulted to be expressed in fibroblasts of GSA44 and of his mother, both lacking Celf2a (Martone et al., 2016), and not in those of the father (Fig 4D), where Celf2a expression is unaffected (Martone et al., 2016). Notably, in myocytes (Myo) derived from GSA44 iPSCs (clones #2 and #8) and from his mother iPSCs (GSM#1), which re-express Celf2a (Fig 2A), DUXAP8 was absent (Fig 4E). Moreover, in these cells also SFTA1P expression resumed similarly to Celf2a (Fig EV4F) further demonstrating the regulatory link between these two genes. Altogether, these data indicate a direct relationship between the DUXAP8 expression and Celf2a/SFTA1P repression.

Notably, RNAi against DUXAP8 in GSA44 myoblasts resumed Celf2a expression without affecting the levels of Celf2b and Celf2c (Fig 4F). Interestingly, the expression of the divergent SFTA1P transcript was also resumed upon inhibition of DUXAP8 (Fig 4F), supporting the hypothesis that this lncRNA controls both transcriptional units.
We then tested whether the exogenous expression of DUXAP8 in control myoblasts could downregulate Celf2a expression. High levels of DUXAP8 were obtained, equally partitioned among subcellular fractions (Fig EV4I). Under these conditions, we observed that in parallel to the strong DUXAP8 accumulation, a clear decrease in Celf2a expression was obtained (Fig 4G).

Figure 5. Schematic representation of the four analysed situations.

First panel: In WT condition, the lncRNA DUXAP8 is not expressed and the chromatin of Celf2a regulatory region is characterized by a permissive signature (H3K27ac and H3K4me3) that allows the expression of this factor. In the presence of Celf2a protein, the ex45 is normally included in the mature form of DMD mRNA. Second panel: In GSΔ44 cells, the lncRNA DUXAP8 is aberrantly expressed. The chromatin of Celf2a regulatory region is marked by the repressive H3K27me3, Celf2a is not expressed, and the ex45 is not included in the mature form of DMD mRNA allowing the production of an in-frame DMD transcript (Δ44–Δ45). Third panel: in Δ44 condition DUXAP8 is absent, Celf2a is expressed and ex45 is included in the mature form of DMD mRNA producing an out of frame transcript (Δ44). Fourth panel: In Δ44 cells, the depletion of Celf2a isoform is paralleled by a recovery of dystrophin protein (5%) obtained by the exclusion of ex45 from the mature DMD transcript (Δ44–Δ45).
Collectively, these results point to a functional role for DUXAP8 in the repression of Celf2a expression and suggest that increased expression of DUXAP8 in GSA44 (and his mother) is the primary event leading to “therapeutic” exon 45 skipping.

Discussion

The study of the molecular mechanisms through which a mild Duchenne phenotype is obtained in the GSA44 case has revealed several novel peculiar features which go far beyond the direct genotype-phenotype correlation. Among them, there is the fact that the lack of Celf2a could not be attributed to any mutation in the gene, but instead to a maternally inherited epigenetic silencing of the Celf2a genomic region. Indeed, ATAC-seq and ChIP-seq for several histone marks performed in GSA44 myoblasts showed that the Celf2a genomic region is much less accessible than in control cells.

Notably, such repression was lost when fibroblasts from GSA44 were reprogrammed into iPSCs and afterwards converted into myocytes where the Celf2a isoform is specifically expressed; in such case, efficient rescue of Celf2a expression and failure of DMD exon 45 skipping were observed. Interestingly also the mother’s fibroblasts, which are devoid of Celf2a expression, recovered production of such a factor when reprogrammed into iPSCs and differentiated into myocytes.

We previously showed that, differently from controls, GSA44 fibroblasts directly trans-differentiated into myocytes through MyoD treatment did not recover Celf2a expression (Martone et al., 2016); therefore, only reprogramming and not direct trans-differentiation allows the removal of the epigenetic repression of Celf2a. However, reprogramming has to be followed by myogenic differentiation since iPSCs are devoid of specific myogenic transcriptional activators required for Celf2a isoform transcription.

The process of reprogramming somatic cells into iPSCs has been described to reset epigenetic marks established during somatic differentiation (Maherali et al., 2007; Hewitt & Garlick, 2013). However so far, the extent of epigenetic changes associated with reprogramming and their implications in human disease is still amply debatable (Lister et al., 2011). In some cases, reprogramming enabled the reversion of pathological phenotypes linked to a very severe disease; this was observed in epigenetically compromised dermal fibroblasts, which were shown to successfully generate normal iPSCs upon reprogramming (Li et al., 2017). Here, we show an opposite case where inherited epigenetic control is clearly associated with the amelioration of a pathological state which is lost upon reprogramming.

Moreover, in this study we discovered that there is a direct correlation between the epigenetic repression of Celf2a and the expression of the lncRNA DUXAP8: in fact, this non-coding RNA is expressed in GSA44 fibroblasts and mature myocytes when Celf2a is repressed, while it is absent in myocytes derived from reprogrammed iPSCs when Celf2a is reactivated. In these conditions, Celf2a was re-expressed and exon 45 skipping was lost. Moreover, DUXAP8 modulation by knock down or overexpression further supported an indirect correlation with Celf2a transcription. Further studies will be required in order to underscore the molecular mechanisms regulating the expression of this lncRNA in GSA44 and its effects on Celf2a transcription. Interestingly, DUXAP8 proximity to centromeric regions, which are known to contain repeated sequences and extensive epigenetic repression marks, could be involved in this mis-regulation.

Finally, the demonstration that Celf2a depletion is sufficient to induce skipping of exon 45 and partial rescue of dystrophin synthesis in two different DMD genetic backgrounds indicates that ablation or inactivation of this factor could become an additional therapeutic approach for all those cases where the skipping of exon 45 can recover a functional ORF (Fig 5).

Materials and Methods

Generation and maintenance of human iPSCs

GSA44, GSA44’s mother (GSM), GSA44’s father (F) and UPA44 skin biopsies were previously obtained as already described in refs. Lenzi et al. (2016), Martone et al. (2016). The whole study was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and all the patients provided written informed consent before the inclusion in the study. Dermal fibroblasts were isolated from these explants and cultured in DMEM high-glucose supplemented with 15% FBS, 1× L-Glu and 1× penicillin-streptomycin (all from Sigma-Aldrich). For reprogramming experiments, fibroblasts in a 35-mm dish were infected in serum-free conditions and in the presence of 5 mg/ml polybrene with the lentiviral vector hSTEMCCA (Somers et al., 2010), carrying the four reprogramming factors OCT4, SOX2, KLF4 and cMYC in a single polycistrionic unit. The iPSC lines were generated according to the method described in Lenzi et al. (2015). Generation and characterization of WT#1 iPSCs are described in Lenzi et al. (2015). All iPSC lines were maintained in NutriStem hPSC XF (Biological Industries) in plates coated with hESC-qualified Matrigel (Corning) and passed every 4–5 days with 1 mg/ml Dispase (Gibco).

Karyotype analysis

For cytogenetic analysis, iPSCs were treated with colchicine (10 μg/ml) overnight at 37°C, followed by an incubation of 20 min with KCl 0.56%. Then, iPSCs were fixed in methanol and acetic acid (4:1), were stained with 2% Giemsa at pH 6.8 (RHG banding, R-bands by heat- ing using Giemsa). The analysis was performed using the ECLIPSE 1000 NIKON and the GENIKON System v 3.9.8.

Differentiation of iPSCs into muscle cells

iPSCs were passaged (passage number around 10–20) in 35-mm dishes. Differentiation into myocytes was performed as described in ref. Lenzi et al. (2016) by dissociating iPSC colonies into single cells and using the co-expression of MyoD (epB-Puro-TT-mMyoD plasmid) and Baf60c (epB-Bsd-TT-Baf60c) to induce myogenic conversion (Albini et al., 2013). For the differentiation of CRISPR/Cas9-edited iPSC clones, the puromycin selection cassette present in the epB-Puro-TT-mMyoD plasmid was substituted with neomycin resistance (epB-Neo-TT-mMyoD) using Infusion® HD Cloning Kit (Takara Bio USA, Inc). Oligonucleotides are listed below:
> NeoR + PT (inverse) F: CCGCATGTTAGAAGACTTC
> NeoR + PT (Inverse) R: TTCCCATCTATAACAAGAAAAT
> NeoR + PT (Insert) F: TGTTATAGATGGGAAACCATGATTACGC-CAAGCTC
> NeoR + PT (Insert) R: TCTTCTAACATGCGGCGAATTAATTCTGTGGAATGTGTG

**Microscopy and image analysis**

Differentiated iPSCs were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (Electron Microscopy Sciences, Hatfield, PA) in PBS at 4°C for 20 min. MHC immunofluorescence was performed as previously described (Cazzella et al., 2012), using anti-MHC (MF20 clone hybridoma supernatants) incubation O/N at 4°C. After serial washes in 1% fetal bovine serum/PBS, samples were incubated in 1% FBS/PBS with goat anti-mouse Cy5 conjugated (1:400; Jackson ImmunoResearch). The incubations were performed for 1 h at room temperature. The nuclei were stained with DAPI (4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole).

Samples were imaged on inverted microscope Zeiss AxioObserver A1 equipped with AxioCam MRM R camera and Plan-Neofluar EC 10×/0.3 M27 objective. Images were acquired with AxioVision Rel.4.8 software. At least 7 randomly chosen microscope fields of two independent biological samples were analysed. The fusion index indicates the percentage of nuclei in multinucleated myotubes (defined by at least two nuclei) divided by total number of nuclei in a microscope field.

**RNA extraction and RT–PCR**

RNA extraction was performed using Direct-zol Miniprep RNA Purification Kit (Zymo Research) with on-column DNase treatment, following the manufacturer’s instructions.

Reverse transcription was performed on 300 ng of total RNA using PrimeScript™ RT Master Mix (Perfect Real Time) (Takara), and PCR was performed using MyTaq HS DNA Polymerase (Bioline). Oligonucleotides are listed below:

> DMD E42F GAAGACATGCCTTTGGAAATTTCT
> DMD E43F CTACAACAAAGCTCAGGTCG
> DMD E46R CTCTTTTCCAGGTTCAAGTGG
> DMD E52R GATCCGTAATGATTGTTCTA
> CELF2 E1aF CTATGAGAAATGAAGAGCTGC
> CELF2 E1bF GATTTCCTCCCGGACATGACG
> CELF2 E1cF CTCTGCTCGACAGCAGCACG
> CELF2 aF GGGATAACACAGCCCAGGAAG
> CELF2 bF TCCTTGGTCTCTGACTTGGA
> CELF2 E2R GAGGACGTTGATCTGGTAGAC
> SFTA1p E1F TGTGGCACGAGTAAGCCAAA
> SFTA1p E3R GGTGGTCTGCCATCTCACTT
> LNC00710 F TCTTGGCCTCTCAGTAGACT
> LNC00710 R TTCATGCTACCTTCTATTCC
> RP1-251M9.2 F ACATCTTCTCCTCTCTGG
> RP1-251M9.2 R ATTTGGAATGAAGAGGTGAGT
> RP1-251M9.3 F AGAGAAGTGAGGCATGGGAT
> RP1-251M9.3 R TCATGTCTTGCAGTGCCAAT
> CELF2-AS2 F GAAGGGGAAAACGACGGACT
> CELF2-AS2 R TTGTGAGGCTGAGTGTGAC
> CELF2-AS1 F CAGGGCACTTGATCCTGGCA
> CELF2-AS1 R TTGTGAGGCTGAGTGTGAC
> CELF2-AS1 R TTGTGAGGCTGAGTGTGAC

**qPCR**

qPCR was performed on cDNA using PowerUp SYBR Green Master Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Oligonucleotides are listed below:

> NANOG F CCAAATTCTCCTGCCAGTGAC
> NANOG R CACGTGGTTTCCAAACAAGAAAA
> OCT4 F ATGCATTTCAACGAGGTGGCTTG
> OCT4 R AACCTCAGGCTTCTCCACGAGAT
> SOX2 F TCAGAGGTTGTCAGGCGAGAAGAA
> SOX2 R GCCGCCGCGAGATGATTGTTATTA
> REX1 F AAGACATGCCTTTGGAAATTTCT
> REX1 R TGCCGTTCTAGTTATGACT
> NRF2 F GCCCATGCTCCTGTTCACTCA
> NRF2 R AATCTCTGCTGCTGGTGTG
> DUXAP8 E7 F AAAAGAAGAAAACAAACCTCC
> DUXAP8 E8 R GTGCGCATTTTCTTGGAAC
> MYH1: Hs_MYH1_2_SG QuantiTect Primer Assay, Cat.N. QT01671005
> Myogenin: Hs_MYOG1_2_SG QuantiTect Primer Assay, Cat.N. QT00017222
> Dystrophin: Hs_DMD_2_SG QuantiTect Primer Assay, Cat.N. QT00085778
> MYOD: Hs_MYOD1_2_SG QuantiTect Primer Assay, Cat.N. QT00209713
> MEF2C: Hs_MEF2C_2_SG QuantiTect Primer Assay, Cat.N. QT0053368

**Western blot analysis**

Protein extracts were loaded onto a NuPAGE Tris-Acetate Minigel 3–8% 1 mm (Invitrogen). Running and blotting were performed in an XCell SureLock Minicell (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions, and proteins were transferred to a nitrocellulose transfer membrane (Amersham Protran). Membranes were blocked with 10% non-fat dry milk and incubated O/N with primary antibodies. Protein detection was carried out with Clarity ECL Western Blotting Substrate (Bio-Rad). Primary antibodies were as follows: anti-dystrophin (NCL-DYS1 Novocastra Laboratories, 1:80); anti-actinin (ACTN sc-15335 Santa Cruz, 1:1,000) Secondary antibody was as follows: ImmunoPure Goat Anti-Mouse IgG Peroxidase Conjugated (34140 Pierce, 1:10,000).

**Genome editing using CRISPR/Cas9**

sgRNA sequences were designed with a CRISPR design tool (Li et al, 2016) (http://crispr.mit.edu/ site, no longer available) to minimize potential off-target editing. Three top ranking guides for the region containing the first exon of Cell2a (Chr10:11047177-11047403,
GRCh37/hg19 assembly were selected and cloned into the CRISPR/Cas9 plasmid pX330 (Li et al., 2016; Addgene #42230). The sgRNA sequences are listed below:

> sgRNA1: CACCCTCTCATAGTAAACAGCGGATTT
> sgRNA2: CACCGGCTTTAGTGACGAGCGACTG
> sgRNA3: CACCGGTTTGAAGCATCTTCTGAAC

The homology-directed repair construct (donor plasmid) contains 800 bp of homologous sequences flanking a floxed puromycin resistance gene (HR110 Pa-1, System Bioscience). The homologous sequences were designed to produce a 245-bp depletion in the CELF2 locus that encompasses the Cel2a first exon (196-bp upstream ATG and 53-bp downstream ATG).

Oligonucleotides are listed below:

> 5’ arm F: AAAAgagctcGAGCAGGATCTTGCTTCAG
> 5’ arm R: AAAAggctcCTCTCTCAGATTTACTAATGAGAAAATAA
> 3’ arm F: AACCTAGATGAGGATCTTGTCGTTTCTCTTTTG
> 3’ arm R: CAGTCGACGAGGATCTCCCAATCCATACCCAGGCGAG

iPSCs were co-transfected with 2 μg of donor plasmid and 1 μg of each sgRNA/CRISPR/Cas9 construct, using the Neon Transfection System (Life Technologies) as previously described (Lenzi et al., 2015). Selection in 0.5 μg/ml puromycin allowed to isolate only the colonies that had integrated the selection cassette, in which substitution of CELF2a first exon was confirmed by gDNA PCR with oligonucleotides listed below:

> CELF2 E1a F (F2): CTATGAGAATGAAGAGCTGC
> 5’ arm R (R2): GGTGCCTCCTTCCTGGTATG
> CASS HR F (F1): CCGTGGCTGAATCAACCT
> Post 3’ R (R1): GACCCGAAATTGTGAGGGCAAA

Genomic DNA extraction and analysis

Genomic DNA was extracted using genomic DNA mini kit (Blood/cultured cells) (Geneaid), following manufacturer’s instructions. Oligonucleotides are listed below:

> DMD E43 F: CTACAAACAAAGTCAGGTCG
> DMD E43 R: TTGAGATCAGATCATGTTGAG
> DMD E44 F: GATTTGAGCATCTGTTGAG
> DMD E44 R: AGCATGTTCCAATTTCTCAGG
> DMD E45 F: ATGCCATGGGCAGCCGGCAAC
> DMD E45 R: ACCTTGCCACCGCAGTTCA
> DMD E10 F: GCAGTTCTGTAGTGGAGAGTG
> DMD E10 R: CACCGTTCACATCATTAGA
> GSA44 DMD deletion F: CCAGTTGATCATTGTCGCAAC
> GSA44 DMD deletion R: GTCTTTCTCGGTGTGGT

ATAC Sequencing and bioinformatics analyses

ATAC-seq libraries were prepared starting from 25,000 cells as in ref. Buenrostro et al. (2015) with minor modifications. Two pair-end libraries were prepared and sequenced for each sample (50 bp).

The ATAC-seq samples were mapped against the hg19 human genome assembly using Bowtie with the option –m 1 to discard those reads that could not be uniquely mapped to one region only, and with the option –X 2,000 to define the maximum insert size for paired-end alignment (Langmead et al., 2009). Mitochondrial reads were removed from each resulting map, and down-sampling was applied to obtain the same number of mapped fragments per sample. Correlation between biological replicates was assessed to ensure high reproducibility before pooling each set of replicates.

Model-based analysis of ChiP-Seq (MACS) was run with the default parameters but with the shift-size adjusted to 100 bp to perform peak calling (Zhang et al., 2008).

The peaks reported in both conditions were gathered on a single set of peaks, and the maximum number of normalized reads within each peak in WT and GSAA44 conditions was determined. We defined as differential peaks the ones with (i) at least twofold enrichment in one of the conditions and (ii) peaks that have normalized value > 2 in at least one of the two conditions.

The genome distribution of each set of peaks was calculated by counting the number of peaks fitted to each region’s class according to RefSeq annotations (O’Leary et al., 2016). Promoter is the region between 2.5 kb upstream and 2.5 kb downstream of the transcription start site (TSS). Genic regions correspond to the rest of the gene (the part that is not classified as promoter), and the rest of the genome is considered to be intergenic. Peaks that overlapped with more than one genomic feature were proportionally counted the same number of times.

Each point on the scatterplots of ATAC-seq intensities between two conditions was calculated by determining the maximum value of the sample inside each peak at each condition.

ChiP assay

ChiP assay for MyoD was performed with the MAGnify ChiP (Thermo fisher scientific) kit, according to manufacturer’s instructions. 3 million WT and GSAA44 human myoblasts were cultured in growth conditions and fixed, after 72 h, in 1% paraformaldehyde. Cells were then washed and collected in PBS. Cell extracts were sonicated using a Bioruptor UltraSonicator (Diagenode). 6 μg of anti-MyoD (M-318: sc-760 Santa Cruz Biotechnology) or appropriate IgG control (provided in the kit) was used for immunoprecipitation overnight. The oligonucleotides used in the qPCR analyses are listed below:

> Peak A F: GTGGCAAGATCCGCTTCAG
> Peak A R: TTGAGATCAGATCATGTTGAG
> MAF A F: TTGCTTTATCCCCATGGCAACT
> MAF A R: GGCCCGTCCCCATCTCTTCTT
> LztS2 F: GTCTGTGCATCCCTGGAGGTT
> LztS2 R: GAGTGAGGTTGGTGAGGA

The qPCR signal derived from the sequence of interest (calculated as percentage of INPUT) was divided by the signal derived from the positive sequence controls (calculated as percentage of INPUT). Positive controls (MAF A and LSTZ2) were selected based on the intensity of the MyoD peak that is comparable with the one observed for the peak A on Cel2a (Peak A, Fig EV3D). Moreover, the chromatin signature derived from ChiP-seq data at the control sequences did not differ between samples.
ChIP Sequencing and bioinformatics analyses

ChIP-seq libraries were prepared starting with 20 million cells as in ref. Beringer et al (2016). Single-read libraries were prepared and sequenced for each sample (50 bp).

ChIP-seq samples normalized by spike-in were mapped against a synthetic genome constituted by the human and the fruit fly chromosomes (hg19 + dm3) using Bowtie with the option -m 1 to discard reads that did not map uniquely to one region only (Orlando et al, 2014).

Model-based analysis of ChIP-Seq was run with the default parameters but with the shift-size adjusted to 100 bp to perform the peak calling against the corresponding H3 control sample (Zhang et al, 2008).

The genome distribution of each set of peaks was calculated as for ATAC-seq analysis.

The aggregated plots of ChIP-seq samples containing a spike-in were generated by counting the number of reads mapped in human around the TSS for each gene and then averaging these values for the total number of reads mapped on the fruit fly genome and the number of targets of the gene list, as previously described (Taruttis et al, 2017).

The UCSC genome browser was used to generate the screenshots for each group of experiments along the manuscript (Kent et al, 2002).

RNA Sequencing and bioinformatic analyses

Trimmomatic software (Bolger et al, 2014) was used in order to remove adapter sequences and poor quality bases from raw reads, setting the minimum read length after trimming to 20. Reads were mapped to human GRCh38 genome and Ensembl 90 transcriptome setting the minimum read length after trimming to 20. Reads were remove adapter sequences and poor quality bases from raw reads, providing a mask GTF file.

The reads aligning to small non-coding RNAs were ignored by mode for multi-mapped reads, and setting the library type to fr-first-of-matches.

–outFilterFilterType BySJout –outFilterMultimapNmax 20 –alignSJoverhangMin 8
–alignSJDiroverhangMin 1 –outFilterMismatchNmax 999 –outFilterMismatchNoverLmax 0.04
–outFilterIntronMotifs RemoveNoncanonical.

Cuffdiff 2 software (Trapnell et al, 2013) was used to perform differential expression analysis using bias correction and rescue mode for multi-mapped reads, and setting the library type to fr-first-strand; reads aligning to small non-coding RNAs were ignored by providing a mask GTF file.

Overexpressing constructs and plasmid transfection

The construct for the overexpression of CELF2a was obtained as described in ref. Martone et al (2016).

The construct for the overexpression of SFTA1p was obtained by cloning SFTA1p sequence in pCDNA3.1(−) plasmid (Invitrogen). The SFTA1p sequence was amplified by PCR from WT myoblast cDNA using the oligonucleotides: 5’-aaaaGTTAACCtgcccagagcgcct-3’ and 5’-aaaaGCGGCCGCTcagagcttacctg-3’. The uppercase bases are KpnI and NotI restriction sites.

The construct for the overexpression of DUXAP8 was obtained by cloning DUXAP8 sequence in pCDNA3.1(+) plasmid (Invitrogen). The DUXAP8 sequence was amplified by PCR from GSA44 myoblast cDNA using the oligonucleotides: 5’-aaaaGTTAACCgaggcccctgcagcag-3’ and 5’-aaaaGCGGCCGCTcagagcttacctg-3’. The uppercase bases are KpnI and NotI restriction sites.

Transient transfection of plasmids was performed using Lipofectamine-2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to manufacturer’s specifications.

RNA interference on human myoblasts

Interference with Antisense LNA GapmeR against SFTA1p was performed using Lipofectamine-2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer’s specifications, media was replaced after 5 h with fresh media, and cells were harvested and analysed 24 h after the transfection. DUXAP8 siRNA interference was performed using Lipofectamine RNAi-MAX (Thermo Fisher Scientific) overnight, in total media without antibiotics, according to the manufacturer’s specifications. The next morning, the media was replenished, and cells were harvested and analysed 48 h after the transfection. SFTA1p (GapmeR) and DUXAP8 (siRNA) and their, respectively, scrambled negative control were purchased from Qiagen.

The nucleotide sequences of siRNAs for SFTA1P and DUXAP8 are listed below:

>lnaSFTA1P CACTTGAAGAGGTGCT
>siDUXAP8 CCATACGAGAATGGGTCTAAA

The expression levels of both SFTA1P and DUXAP8 were quantified by RT–PCR. The primers are listed in the RT–PCR paragraph.

Nucleus–cytosol fractionation

500,000 myoblasts were harvested and fractioned using the Paris Kit (Thermo Fisher scientific). GAPDH and pre-GAPDH were amplified as positive controls of fractionation.

Chromatin–nucleus–cytosol fractionation

2 million myoblasts were harvested and fractioned as in ref. Conrad and Ørom (2017) with minor modifications.

Muscle cell cultures and treatments

WT1, WT2, A44 (from the Telethon Network of Genetic Biobanks) and GSA44 human primary myoblasts were cultured in Human skeletal muscle growth medium (PromoCell) and grown in a humidified incubator, at 5% CO2 and 37°C. Cells were induced to differentiate with human skeletal muscle differentiation medium (PromoCell; Choi et al, 1990). GSA44 primary myoblasts at early passages were co-transfected with 4 μg of epB-Puro-TT-mMyoD (or 2 μg of epB-Puro-TT-mMyoD plus 2 μg epB-Bsd-TT-Baf60c; Lenzi et al, 2016) and 1 μg of the piggyBac transposase using the Neon Transfection System (Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer’s specifications.

Statistical analyses

Experiments were performed in three biological replicates.
The paper explained

Problem
Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy (DMD) is a rare X-linked recessive neuromuscular disease; it is caused by mutations in the dystrophin gene that lead to the absence of the dystrophin protein. At present, possible therapeutic approaches for the cure of DMD range from exon skipping to stem cells delivery and CRISPR/Cas9 methodology. However, all these strategies are quite laborious and expensive. This is why the availability of pharmacological treatments able to provide skipping of specific exons is strongly needed. So far, only for a specific subclass of mutations including premature stop codons, a specific drug, Ataluren, is under clinical test. However, in phase III trial a significant difference between treated patients and the placebo group was not observed.

Results
In a previous paper, we described a case study (GSΔ44) in which the lack of a specific splicing enhancer protein (Celf2a) contributes to natural exon 45 skipping and recovery of dystrophin synthesis (Martone et al, 2016).

In this paper, we were able to demonstrate that Celf2a regulation occurs at the epigenetic level and is mediated by the DUXAP8 lncRNA. Moreover, the epigenetic silencing in our case study is inherited from the mother. Interestingly, upon reprogramming of GSΔ44 fibroblasts into induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) the expression of Celf2a is restored, confirming the hypothesis of the epigenetic regulation. We were also able to demonstrate that Celf2a depletion, obtained by CRISPR/Cas9 strategy applied to iPSCs derived from DMDΔ44 fibroblasts, different from the GSΔ44 ones, was able to restore dystrophin synthesis upon induction of muscle differentiation.

Impact
We found a new therapeutic target to treat DMD pathology and identified its mechanism of regulation. Our findings pave the way to design and set up pharmacological treatments, such as available epigenetic drugs, to inhibit Celf2a expression/activity to achieve exon 45 skipping and rescue of dystrophin synthesis in a subgroup of DMD patients (almost 10%).

Statistical significance of differences between means was assessed by a two-tailed t-test, and \( P < 0.05 \) was considered significant. Normal distribution was assessed with Shapiro–Wilk test.

Data availability
The data sets produced in this study are available in the following databases:
- ATAC-seq and ChiP-seq: National Center for Biotechnology Information Gene Expression Omnibus (Barrett et al., 2013) GSE139571 (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE139571)
- Expanded View for this article is available online.
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