Antibacterial Effects of *Newbouldia laevis* {P. BEAUV} on the Bacteria Isolated from the Blood of Hepatitis C Virus Positive Individuals
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**ABSTRACT**

The methanol, chloroform and aqueous leaf extracts of *Newbouldia laevis* were obtained using cold extraction method. Phytochemical screening (qualitative) of the extracts was investigated and the inhibitory activity of extracts against the isolates were assayed by agar well diffusion technique. The concentrations were varied from 100 mg/ml to 400 mg/ml and zones of inhibition at every concentration were recorded. The bacterial isolates including *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Escherichia coli*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Proteus mirabilis*, *Streptococcus pneumoniae* and *Salmonella* sp. The extracts revealed the presence of flavonoids, tannins, terpenes, alkaloids, phenolics, saponins and cardiac glycosides with exception of chloroform extract that revealed the presence of alkaloids, saponins and tannins only. Antibacterial activity revealed that methanol extract had the highest potency with 23.03±0.33 mm, followed by aqueous extract with 21.75±0.22 mm zones of inhibition against *S. aureus*, and the chloroform extract had the highest activity of 16.0±0.59 mm zone of inhibition against *Salmonella* sp. while aqueous extract had the least zone of inhibition against *P. mirabilis* with 10.07±0.67 mm on isolates. All the extracts...
irrespective of the extracting solvents had a minimum inhibitory concentrations (MIC) range of 6.25 – 50 mg/ml and minimum bactericidal concentrations (MBC) range of 12.5 – 100 mg/ml. Findings from this research shows that N. laevis has high antibacterial potency against pathogens in blood even in comparison with some conventional antibiotics used.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Infectious diseases account for approximately 50 percent of all deaths in tropical countries [1]. According to World Health Organization (WHO) report, about 15 million (>25%) of 57 million annual deaths worldwide are as a result of infectious diseases [2]. Microorganisms are the commonest organisms responsible for morbidity and mortality resulting from infectious disease. As such, bacterial and fungal diseases continue to remain a major public health problem [3].

As a result of abuse in the use of synthetic antimicrobial drugs, microorganisms resistant and or multi resistant to major class of antibiotics have emerged in recent years [1,4]. Hence, biologically active extracts and compounds from plant species used in herbal medicines have received huge attention in recent years [5,6]. Research by [7,8] on antioxidant, free radical scavenging capacity and antimicrobial activities of Mirabilis jalapa revealed that traditional medicine is an important source of potentially useful new compounds for the development of chemotherapeutic agents.

Medicinal plants have received huge attention both in the developed and developing nations. Their economic importance has drawn attention of various world bodies mostly; the World Health Organization (WHO) which released a special document concerning collection practices for medicinal plants [9]. In Nigeria, a large percentage of the populace depends on herbal medicines because the commercially available orthodox medicines are becoming increasingly expensive and out of reach [1,10].

Amongst the medicinal plants commonly use in Nigeria for management/treatment of various types of ailments is Newbouldia laevis (also called the ‘Tree of life’) belonging to the family Bignoniaceae. It is commonly grown as a live fence and may be found around groves and shrines. It is called ‘Aduruku’ in Hausa; ‘Ogiris’ in Igbo and ‘Akoko’ in Yoruba. It grows to a height of about 7 - 8 (up to 15) metres, more usually a shrub of 2-3 metres, many – stemmed forming clumps of gnarled branches [11]. Newbouldia laevis has been reported to have medicinal value ranging from anti inflammatory, antioxidant, antimicrobial, anti-fungi, analgestic and wound healing properties [12,13,14,15,16,17]. Specifically, the stem bark mixed with clay and red pepper has been reported to be effective against pneumonia, fever, cold, cough and for treating different illness like bone lesions [18].

The knowledge of recent microbial resistance to antibiotics and the need to screen for new potent antimicrobial drugs from plants. This research was conducted to determine the antimicrobial effects of the aqueous, methanolic, chloroform leaf extracts of N. laevis on opportunistic bacteria isolated from the blood of HCV infected individuals and as well screen the plant extracts for the presence of secondary metabolites.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Collection and Identification of Plant Sample

Newbouldia laevis leaves were harvested from Ola-Ejigbo, Osun State, Nigeria around August – October 2016. The plant was authenticated by a botanist at the Biology Department of Federal University of Technology, Akure, Ondo-State.

2.2 Preparation of Crude Extracts from the Plant Sample

The fresh leaves of N. laevis harvested were washed with distilled water to remove dust and other foreign particles. The leaves were then left on a clean surface to air dry at room temperature and ground into fine powder using a blender. Exactly 400 g of powdered leaf sample of N. laevis was each soaked in clean container containing methanol, chloroform and distilled water. The mixtures were allowed to stand for 72 hrs with intermittent stirring. This was followed by repeated filtration using sterile muslin cloth, non absorbent cotton wool and What man No 1 filter paper, in order to remove the marcs. The filtrates were concentrated in vacuo at 40°C using a...
rotary evaporator to drive off organic solvent (Bibby Sterlin Ltd, England, RE. 2000) after which the aqueous part was lyophilized using a lyophilizer (Aqua Lyovac GT2, Germany). The crude extracts obtained were stored in a tight container and kept in the refrigerator 4°C for further use.

2.3 Determination of Amount of Extract in Yield of Solvent

After the extraction, amount of extract recovered was calculated using the following formula:

\[
\frac{\text{Weight of dried extract after extraction}}{\text{Initial weight of plant part before extraction}} \times 100
\]

2.4 Phytochemical Analysis

A small portion of the dried leaf extract of *N. laevis* was subjected to phytochemical tests for the screening and identification of bioactive chemical constituents using standard procedure [17,19,20,21].

2.4.1 Test for terpenoids and steroids

Exactly 9 ml of ethanol was added to 1 g of the sample, refluxed for a few minutes and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated to 2.5 ml on a boiling water bath, and 5 ml of hot water was added. The mixture was allowed to stand for 1 hour and the waxy matter filtered off. The filtrate was extracted with 2.5 ml of chloroform using a separating funnel. One millilitre of concentrated sulphuric acid was added to 0.5 ml of the chloroform extract in a test tube to form a lower layer. A reddish-brown interface showed the presence of steroids.

Another aliquot of 0.5 ml of the chloroform extract was evaporated to dryness on a water bath and heated with 3 ml of concentrated sulphuric acid for 10 minutes on water bath. A grey colour indicated the presence of terpenoids.

2.4.2 Test for tannins

Two drops of 5% FeCl₃ was added to 1 cm³ of solution of the extract. A dirty-green precipitate was observed in the extract confirming the presence of tannins.

2.4.3 Test for glycosides

Exactly ten 10 cm³ of 50% H₂SO₄ was added to 1 cm³ of each solution of the extract in a test tube. The mixture was heated in boiling water for 5 minutes. Ten cm³ of Fehling’s solution (5 cm³ of each solution A and B) was added and boiled. A brick red precipitate indicated the presence of glycosides.

2.4.4 Tests of saponins

Exactly 2 cm³ of each extract in a test tube was shaken vigorously for 2 minutes. Frothing indicated the presence of saponin.

2.4.5 Test for flavonoids

Exactly 2 cm³ of extract solution was heated with 10 cm³ of ethyl acetate on a water bath and cooled. The layers separated and the colour of the NH₃ layer was noted (red colouration formation).

2.4.6 Test for phenolic compounds

Exactly 500 mg of each of the extract was dissolved in 5 ml of distilled water. Few drops of neutral 5% ferric chloride solution were added. A dark green colour indicated the presence of phenolic compounds.

2.4.7 Test for alkaloids

Exactly 2 g of each of the extract was stirred in 10 ml of 1% aqueous hydrochloric acid on steam bath for 30 minutes. The contents were then filtered and 1.0 ml of the filtrate was treated with a few drops of Meyer’s reagents. A Whitish or cream coloured precipitate indicates the presence of alkaloids.

2.5 Source of Clinical Isolates

The clinical isolates used for this research work were obtained from the Microbiology Laboratory of Federal University of Technology, Akure prior to the work done on HCV positive blood samples.

2.6 Standardization of Test Organisms

A loopful of test organisms was inoculated into 5.0 ml of nutrient broth and incubated at 37°C for 24 hrs. A 0.2 ml from the 24 hrs inoculum of the organisms was dispensed into 20 ml sterile nutrient broth and incubated for 3-5 hrs to standardize the culture to 10⁶ CFU/ml [22].

2.7 Antibacterial Assay

The pour plate method described by Ogundare [23] was adopted for this test. Exactly 1 ml of nutrient broth containing the test organism was introduced into sterile Petri dish, and sterile Mueller Hinton agar medium which has cooled to
about 45°C was poured on it. The plate was gently agitated and the agar was allowed to solidify. Afterwards, wells were dug in the plates with the aid of a sterile cork borer of 6 mm in diameter. The extract was allowed to diffuse into the medium for one hour at room temperature. This was then incubated at 37°C for 24 hrs after which the zones of inhibition were measured and recorded in millimeter. The control was set up in a similar manner with 30% DMSO and conventional antibiotic (ciprofloxacin) respectively.

2.8 Determination of Minimum Inhibitory Concentrations (MIC)

Prior to the results obtained from the antimicrobial assay, the MIC for the *N. laevis* leaf extracts using the susceptible organisms was carried out as described by Ubulom et al. [24]. Broth dilution method was employed in the experiment. From the stock solution, extract concentrations were obtained using double fold serial dilution. Standardized inculcums {1×10^6 CFU/ml} of the test bacterial species were each introduced into the test tubes containing different concentrations of the extracts. All the tubes were covered with sterile cotton wool to avoid cross contamination and incubated at 37°C for 24 hrs. The tubes were thereafter examined for turbidity. Clear tubes were recorded as negative (no growth) and turbid tubes recorded as positive (Growth). The least concentration of the extract with no turbidity was taken as the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) [1,25].

2.9 Determination of Minimum Bactericidal Concentrations (MBC)

The minimal bactericidal concentration was determined from broth dilution test resulting from the MIC tubes as described previously [17,26] by inoculating the content of each test tube on a sterile Mueller Hinton agar plate. The plates were then incubated at 37°C for 24 hrs. The lowest concentration of the extract that showed no growth was noted and recorded as the minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Percentage Yield of Extract Obtained from Leaves

The result of the percentage yield of extracts obtained from the leaves which revealed aqueous extract having the highest yield of 34.8 g (8.7%) and chloroform extract having the lowest yield of 20.3 g (5.1%).

3.2 Qualitative Phytochemical Constituents of *Newbouldia laevis* Leaf Extracts

Table 1 shows the qualitative phytochemical screening of three crude extracts (methanolic, chloroform and aqueous leaf extracts).

Phytochemical analysis of *N. laevis* leaf extracts revealed the presence of flavonoids, tannins, terpenoids, steroids, cardiac glycosides, alkaloids and saponins with the exception of chloroform extract which only shows the presence of alkanoids, tannins and saponins, this may be due to the poor solubility of these phytochemicals in chloroform. This signifies the inefficiency of chloroform to be used as phytochemical extraction solvent for *N. laevis* plant leaf. It conformed to the report of Fatunla et al.[27], on antibacterial effect of *N. laevis* leaf extract on vancomycin and methicillin resistant bacterial isolates and [28] on some important phytochemical of *N. laevis*. It was also similar to the report of Usman and Osuji [17], on phytochemical and *in vitro* antimicrobial assay of the leaf extract of *N. laevis* and [29], on involvement of tannins and flavonoids in the in-vitro effects of *N. laevis*.

Methanol, chloroform and aqueous extracts exhibited range of susceptibility against the bacterial isolates at different concentrations (concentrations varied from 100 mg/ml – 400 mg/ml) but their activities was concentration based (i.e. higher the concentration higher the antibacterial activities) of which 400 mg/ml exhibited the highest antibacterial activities. At 400 mg/ml, bacteria isolated from HCV infected blood, Methanol extract has the highest activity on *Staphylococcus aureus* with zone of inhibition of 23.03±0.33 mm and aqueous extract had the least zone of inhibition of 10.07±0.67 mm against *P. mirabilis*.

Salmonellosis is a symptomatic infection caused by bacteria of the *Salmonella* type [30]. *Salmonella* species are intracellular pathogens, Typhoid fever occurs when Salmonella invades the bloodstream - the typhoidal form; or in addition spreads throughout the body, invades organs, and secretes endotoxins - the septic form. This can lead to life-threatening hypovolemic shock and septic shock [31]. The antibiotic ciprofloxacin was used as positive
This study, the MIC was 6.25 mg/ml, 12.5 mg/ml and 25 mg/ml concentration against S. aureus for methanol, water and chloroform extracts respectively. Minimum inhibitory concentration of 25 mg/ml concentration of all extracts inhibited the growth of all the isolates except for chloroform extract against E. coli, S. pneumoniae and P. aeruginosa with MIC of 50 mg/ml concentration, while both methanol and water extracts inhibited Salmonella sp. at lower MIC concentration of 12.5 mg/ml. Minimum inhibitory concentrations are used by diagnostic laboratories to establish resistance or to determine in vitro activity of new antimicrobials [36].

On the other hand, the minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) is the least concentration of a plant extract that will completely kill a particular microorganism and showed no growth on media. Methanol and aqueous extracts showed the lowest MBC value of 12.5 and 25 mg/ml concentration respectively against S. aureus. At 25 mg/ml concentration, methanol extract was effective in killing all bacterial isolates except E. coli which was killed at 50 mg/ml. With the concentration of 50 mg/ml all extract was able to exerted cidal effects on the most of the isolates; except for the aqueous extract against K. pneumoniae, E. coli, S. pneumoniae and for Chloroform against S. pneumoniae which MBC of 100 mg/ml concentration was observed.

In an earlier work on N. laevis extract [17], found the MIC of methanol extract against test isolates to be lower than that obtained in this present study. This high MIC could be due to nature of the isolates, geographical location, age of plant at harvest, season of harvest and method of extraction, all of which affect the yield of active constituents of medicinal plants [37,21].

The basic qualitative measures of the in vitro activity of antimicrobials are the minimum inhibitory concentrations (MIC) or minimum bacterial concentration (MBC) [35]. The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) is the least concentration of an antimicrobial that shows no growth of microbial isolates in broth or agar. In this study, the MIC was 6.25 mg/ml, 12.5 mg/ml and 25 mg/ml concentration against S. aureus for methanol, water and chloroform extracts respectively. Minimum inhibitory concentration of 25 mg/ml concentration of all extracts inhibited the growth of all the isolates except for chloroform extract against E. coli, S. pneumoniae and P. aeruginosa with MIC of 50 mg/ml concentration, while both methanol and water extracts inhibited Salmonella sp. at lower MIC concentration of 12.5 mg/ml. Minimum inhibitory concentrations are used by diagnostic laboratories to establish resistance or to determine in vitro activity of new antimicrobials [36].

On the other hand, the minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) is the least concentration of a plant extract that will completely kill a particular microorganism and showed no growth on media. Methanol and aqueous extracts showed the lowest MBC value of 12.5 and 25 mg/ml concentration respectively against S. aureus. At 25 mg/ml concentration, methanol extract was effective in killing all bacterial isolates except E. coli which was killed at 50 mg/ml. With the concentration of 50 mg/ml all extract was able to exerted cidal effects on the most of the isolates; except for the aqueous extract against K. pneumoniae, E. coli, S. pneumoniae and for Chloroform against S. pneumoniae which MBC of 100 mg/ml concentration was observed.

In an earlier work on N. laevis extract [17], found the MIC of methanol extract against test isolates to be lower than that obtained in this present study. This high MIC could be due to nature of the isolates, geographical location, age of plant at harvest, season of harvest and method of extraction, all of which affect the yield of active constituents of medicinal plants [37,21].

| Constituents | Methanol extract | Chloroform extract | Aqueous extract |
|--------------|------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| Alkanoids    | Present          | Present            | Present         |
| Tannins      | “                | “                  | “               |
| Phenols      | “                | Absent             | “               |
| Saponins     | “                | Present            | “               |
| Terpenoids   | “                | “                  | “               |
| Steroids     | “                | “                  | “               |
| Cardiac Glycoside | “            | “                  | “               |
| Flavonoids   | “                | “                  | “               |

Table 1. Qualitative phytochemical constituent of Newbouldia laevis leaf extracts
Table 2. Antibacterial activity of *N. laevis* leaf extract at 100, 200, and 400 mg/ml on isolates from HCV infected blood samples

| Isolates | Zones of inhibition (diameter in mm) | 100 mg/ml | 200 mg/ml | 400 mg/ml | Control |
|----------|-------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------|
|          | ME | CE | AE | ME | CE | AE | ME | CE | AE | CIP | DMSO |
| SA       | 8.03±0.03<sup>a</sup> | 7.00±10<sup>e</sup> | 3.33±0.07<sup>b</sup> | 16.00±0.58<sup>c</sup> | 9.79±0.20<sup>c</sup> | 9.33±0.03<sup>c</sup> | 23.03±0.33<sup>c</sup> | 15.33±0.16<sup>c</sup> | 21.75±0.22<sup>c</sup> | 28.11±0.01<sup>c</sup> | 0.00±0.00<sup>a</sup> |
| KP       | 6.93±0.03<sup>d</sup> | 4.20±0.58<sup>d</sup> | 5.73±0.17<sup>c</sup> | 15.00±0.12<sup>c</sup> | 7.70±0.15<sup>c</sup> | 10.00±0.10<sup>j</sup> | 21.78±0.18<sup>d</sup> | 15.70±0.21<sup>d</sup> | 21.67±0.06<sup>d</sup> | 28.67±0.18<sup>d</sup> | 0.00±0.00<sup>a</sup> |
| EC       | 1.70±0.21<sup>a</sup> | 3.33±0.03<sup>d</sup> | 2.33±0.08<sup>b</sup> | 5.80±0.20<sup>b</sup> | 4.33±0.89<sup>c</sup> | 7.86±0.08<sup>e</sup> | 15.00±0.10<sup>a</sup> | 13.33±0.33<sup>b</sup> | 14.27±0.27<sup>c</sup> | 27.13±0.19<sup>c</sup> | 0.00±0.00<sup>a</sup> |
| PM       | 2.00±0.05<sup>a</sup> | 9.70±0.15<sup>i</sup> | 2.77±0.18<sup>b</sup> | 4.13±0.89<sup>a</sup> | 14.73±0.15<sup>e</sup> | 6.00±0.05<sup>a</sup> | 19.73±0.13<sup>c</sup> | 20.40±0.00<sup>e</sup> | 10.07±0.67<sup>a</sup> | 23.40±0.21<sup>b</sup> | 0.00±0.00<sup>a</sup> |
| PA       | 3.40±0.06<sup>b</sup> | 3.33±0.13<sup>c</sup> | 3.33±0.03<sup>c</sup> | 9.77±0.15<sup>d</sup> | 8.01±0.14<sup>c</sup> | 6.07±0.05<sup>c</sup> | 15.01±0.00<sup>d</sup> | 12.15±0.02<sup>a</sup> | 15.00±0.58 | 19.73±0.22<sup>a</sup> | 0.00±0.00<sup>a</sup> |
| SP       | 4.53±0.23<sup>c</sup> | 0.00±0.00<sup>a</sup> | 3.73±0.08<sup>d</sup> | 9.00±0.57<sup>c</sup> | 5.66±0.12<sup>b</sup> | 6.66±0.12<sup>b</sup> | 22.97±0.03<sup>c</sup> | 15.17±0.13<sup>c</sup> | 21.73±0.15<sup>d</sup> | 28.43±0.09<sup>de</sup> | 0.00±0.00<sup>a</sup> |
| SS       | 4.17±0.09<sup>c</sup> | 2.53±0.18<sup>b</sup> | 3.67±0.13<sup>d</sup> | 9.00±0.57<sup>c</sup> | 5.66±0.12<sup>b</sup> | 6.66±0.12<sup>b</sup> | 22.10±0.58<sup>d</sup> | 16.01±0.59<sup>d</sup> | 22.20±0.15<sup>b</sup> | 32.30±0.12<sup>c</sup> | 0.00±0.00<sup>a</sup> |

Data are presented as Mean±S.E (n=3). Values with the same superscript letter[s] along the same column are not significantly different (P<0.05)

Key: SA: Staphylococcus aureus; KP: Klebsiella pneumoniae, EC: Escherichia coli; PM: Proteus mirabilis; PA: Pseudomonas aeruginosa; SP: Streptococcus pneumoniae; SS: Salmonella sp.; ME: Methanol extract; CE: Chloroform extract; AE: Aqueous extract, CIP: Ciprofloxacin; DMSO: Dimethylsulfoxide
Table 3. Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MIC) for methanol, chloroform and aqueous extracts of \textit{N. laevis}

| Bacterial Isolates         | Methanol extract MIC (mg/ml) | Chloroform extract MIC (mg/ml) | Aqueous extract MIC (mg/ml) |
|----------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| \textit{Staphylococcus aureus} | 6.25                         | 25                            | 12.5                        |
| \textit{Klebsiella pneumoniae}   | 25                           | 25                            | 25                          |
| \textit{Salmonella sp.}         | 12.5                         | 25                            | 25                          |
| \textit{Pseudomonas aeruginosa} | 12.5                         | 25                            | 25                          |
| \textit{Escherichia coli}        | 25                           | 50                            | 25                          |
| \textit{Streptococcus pneumoniae} | 25                          | 50                            | 50                          |
| \textit{Proteus mirabilis}      | 12.5                         | 25                            | 25                          |

Table 4. Minimum bactericidal concentrations (MBC) for methanol, chloroform and aqueous extracts of \textit{N. laevis}

| Bacterial Isolates         | Methanol extract MIC (mg/ml) | Chloroform extract MIC (mg/ml) | Aqueous extract MIC (mg/ml) |
|----------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| \textit{Staphylococcus aureus} | 12.5                         | 50                            | 25                          |
| \textit{Klebsiella pneumoniae}   | 50                           | 100                           | 100                         |
| \textit{Salmonella sp.}         | 25                           | 50                            | 50                          |
| \textit{Pseudomonas aeruginosa} | 25                           | 100                           | 50                          |
| \textit{Escherichia coli}        | 50                           | 100                           | 100                         |
| \textit{Streptococcus pneumoniae} | 50                           | 100                           | 100                         |
| \textit{Proteus mirabilis}      | 25                           | 50                            | 50                          |

4. CONCLUSION

The phytochemical investigation of \textit{N. laevis} leaf extracts revealed the presence of constituents which could be the basis for their medicinal potency against multiple antibiotics resistant organisms isolated from both HCV infected blood. The leaf extracts of \textit{N. laevis} showed broad spectrum activity and compared favourably with the standard antibiotics used as positive control.
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