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ABSTRACT

We have studied high-mass X-ray binary (HMXB) populations within two low-metallicity, starburst galaxies, Haro 11 and VV 114. These galaxies serve as analogs to high-redshift (z > 2) Lyman break galaxies and, within the larger sample of Lyman break analogs (LBAs), they are sufficiently nearby (<87 Mpc) to be spatially resolved by Chandra. Previous studies of the X-ray emission in LBAs have found that the 2–10 keV luminosity per star formation rate (SFR) in these galaxies is elevated, potentially because of their low metallicities (12 + log(O/H) = 8.3–8.4). Theoretically, the progenitors of XRBs forming in lower metallicity environments lose less mass from stellar winds over their lifetimes, producing more massive compact objects (i.e., neutron stars and black holes), and thus resulting in more numerous and luminous HMXBs per SFR. In this paper, we have performed an in-depth study of the only two LBAs that have spatially resolved 2–10 keV emission with Chandra to present the bright end of the X-ray luminosity distribution of HMXBs (Lx > 1039 erg s⁻¹, ultraluminous X-ray sources, ULXs) in these low-metallicity galaxies, based on eight detected ULXs. Compared with the star-forming galaxy X-ray luminosity function (XLF) presented by Mineo et al., Haro 11 and VV 114 host ≈4 times more Lx > 1039 erg s⁻¹ sources than expected given their SFRs. We simulate the effects of source blending from crowded lower-luminosity HMXBs using the star-forming galaxy XLF and then vary the XLF normalizations and bright-end slopes until we reproduce the observed point source luminosity distributions. We find that these LBAs have a shallower bright-end slope (γ2 = 1.90) than the standard XLF (γ2 = 2.73). If we conservatively assume that the brightest X-ray source from each galaxy is powered by an accreting supermassive black hole rather than an HMXB and eliminate these sources from consideration, the luminosity distribution becomes poorly constrained but does appear to be consistent with a standard XLF.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The X-ray view of high-redshift (z > 2) galaxies, such as Lyman break galaxies (LBGs), provides unique insight into the cosmic history of X-ray binaries (XRBs), compact object evolution, and black hole growth in galaxies. X-ray emission from LBGs is expected to significantly contribute to the heating of the intergalactic medium particularly in the early universe (IGM; Mirabel et al. 2011; Mesinger et al. 2013; Pacucci et al. 2014; Pober et al. 2015).

The 2–10 keV emission from star-forming galaxies is dominated by luminous accreting binary systems composed of massive (O- or B-type) stars accreting onto black holes or neutron stars, known as high-mass X-ray binaries (HMXBs). This hard X-ray band is the one that we observe easily and directly in z > 2 LBGs.

Our current knowledge of hard (2–10 keV) X-ray emission from distant LBGs is confined to the average detection of large numbers of objects, via the statistical “stacking” technique (Brandt et al. 2001; Nandra et al. 2002; Seibert et al. 2002; Lehmer et al. 2005, 2008; Laird et al. 2006; Cowie et al. 2012; Basu-Zych et al. 2013b), with total stacked exposure times of months (to years) using the deepest X-ray surveys (e.g., the Chandra Deep Fields; see Xue et al. 2011). The few LBGs detected individually in the hard X-ray band at z ≈ 3 are typically dominated by active galactic nuclei (AGNs) rather than star formation (Brandt et al. 2001; Nandra et al. 2002; Lehmer et al. 2005; Laird et al. 2006; Basu-Zych et al. 2013b). Extremely X-ray faint “normal” (i.e., not AGN) LBGs are beyond the individual source detection limit of Chandra; studies with Athena and other future large collecting area X-ray observatories may be able to reach these great distances (z > 1).

The mode of star formation at earlier times in our universe was very different on average than star formation observed in the local universe. Local galaxies, especially those with high star formation rates (SFRs), are generally relatively dusty (Wang & Heckman 1996; Hopkins et al. 2001; Afonso et al. 2003; Buat et al. 2005; Schmitt et al. 2006; da Cunha et al. 2010; Garn & Best 2010) whereas high-z populations like LBGs are dust poor in comparison (Giavalisco 2002; Erb et al. 2006; Iglesias-Páramo et al. 2007; Reddy et al. 2008; Bouwens et al. 2009).
In addition to the differences between modes of star formation in the past compared to the present (i.e., relatively dust-poor LBGs versus dusty local star-forming galaxies), metallicity has significantly evolved over the history of the universe. In the chemically pristine early universe, generations of black holes formed in metal-poor environments. X-ray studies of nearby, metal-poor dwarf galaxies (Mapelli et al. 2009; Kaaret et al. 2011; Prestwich et al. 2013; Brorby et al. 2014; Douna et al. 2015) and of stacked \( z > 1 \) LBGs (Basu-Zych et al. 2013b; Kaaret et al. 2014) offer hints that indeed the X-ray emission may be boosted in lower metallicity galaxies. This overall higher amount of X-ray emission may be attributed to larger numbers of massive compact objects (neutron stars and black holes) and/or due to larger mass black holes (see papers on larger mass black holes in low-metallicity galaxies by Prestwich et al. 2007; Crowther et al. 2010 but see also Laycock et al. 2015a, 2015b; Binder et al. 2015).

Lyman break analogs (LBAs) are \( z < 0 \) galaxies that resemble \( z > 2 \) LBGs (Heckman et al. 2005; Hoopes et al. 2007; Overzier et al. 2008; Basu-Zych et al. 2009). LBAs, selected by far-UV surface brightness (\( > 10^{-19} L_\odot \) kpc\(^{-2} \)), constitute a rare population of compact (half-light diameters \( \approx 1–2 \) kpc), often disturbed systems, whose optical spectra indicate that they are starbursts. LBAs have low metallicities per stellar mass (Hoopes et al. 2007) compared to the mass–metallicity relation observed in local galaxies (Tremonti et al. 2004). According to theoretical predictions, lower metallicities imply higher X-ray luminosities since more numerous and luminous HMXBs are produced per SFR (Linden et al. 2010; Fragos et al. 2013b). Based on observations of six LBAs, Basu-Zych et al. (2013a) find that the hard (2–10 keV) X-ray emission (\( L_{\text{HX}} \)) per SFR is elevated in the LBA population, as expected given their low metallicities, and similar to the stacked LBG populations at \( z = 2–3 \) (Basu-Zych et al. 2013b). Comparing LBAs with other galaxy populations, this study finds that \( L_{\text{HX}} / \text{SFR} \) is anti-correlated with metallicity, following the predictions from X-ray population synthesis models (Fragos et al. 2013a). In addition, since these are relatively local galaxies, this study rules out that AGN activity contributes significantly to the elevated \( L_{\text{HX}} / \text{SFR} \) based on extremely constraining limits from high signal-to-noise optical spectra and X-ray spectral properties (see Section 3.4 of Basu-Zych et al. 2013a). Due to their unique properties (i.e., low metallicities and high SFRs), LBAs constitute an ideal sample for studying the effects of metallicity on X-ray binary populations.

VV 114 and Haro 11 are the only LBAs that have spatially resolved 2–10 keV emission with \( \text{Chandra} \), and therefore allow for more detailed study of their X-ray binary populations. Spatially resolving \( z > 2 \) LBGs into individual luminous binaries would likely require \( \text{Hubble Space Telescope (HST)} \)-quality spatial resolution (better than 0")1, corresponding to physical sizes of \( \sim 1 \) kpc) and a large leap in sensitivity (i.e., collecting area) over what is currently available with the \( \text{Chandra X-ray Observatory} \).

In this paper, we advance our previous work on the global X-ray emission from LBAs and examine the spatial distributions and X-ray luminosity functions (XLFs) of luminous HMXBs in VV 114 and Haro 11 (Section 2). In Section 3, we present evidence that these galaxies appear to have more ULXs per SFR than expected for star-forming galaxies. Modulo source-blending effects (Section 3.2), we test different XLF normalizations and bright-end slopes to fit the observations and find that the best-fit XLF has a shallower slope at the bright end than the standard XLF based on local star-forming galaxies (Mineo et al. 2012). While these galaxies have been carefully selected to avoid AGNs based on their global properties (e.g., optical and infrared emission lines), we discuss the potential contamination from AGNs in our XLF in Section 3.4. We present the physical interpretation for our results based on theoretical predictions in Section 3.5. In Section 3.6, we investigate how slope and normalization of the XLF can be parameterized by metallicity to describe the population of LBAs, and compare their effects of \( L_{\text{HX}} / \text{SFR} \) with other studies of low-metallicity galaxies (e.g., \( z \approx 2 \) LBGs and local dwarf galaxies). We summarize our conclusions and include discussion about future research efforts in Section 4.

Throughout this paper, we assume that the initial mass function (IMF) follows Kroupa (2001) and have corrected SFRs to this assumption when comparing with other studies. Metallicities, henceforth, refer to gas-phase metallicities estimated using the oxygen (\( [O \text{\sc{iii}}] \lambda 5007 \)) and nitrogen (\( [N \text{\sc{ii}}] \lambda 6584 \)) emission line ratios and the method described by Equation (3) in Pettini & Pagel (2004, “PP04 O3N2”). As shown by Kewley & Ellison (2008), metallicity values can vary systematically by \( \sim 0.7 \) dex when comparing different measurement methods and the PP04 O3N2 is one of the most robust.

2. SAMPLE DESCRIPTION AND ANALYSIS

In this paper, the focus is to study the luminosity distributions of XRBs in the spatially resolved, and therefore nearest, LBAs. The subsample of two galaxies is drawn from the sample of six galaxies studied in Basu-Zych et al. (2013a). We refer the reader to this paper for details on the sample selection. Briefly, LBAs are \( z < 0.3 \) galaxies that satisfy the following FUV luminosity and surface brightness selection criteria: \( L_{\text{FUV}} > 2 \times 10^{43} L_\odot \) (FUV refers to 1500 Å) and \( L_{\text{FUV}} > 10^8 L_\odot \) kpc\(^{-2} \). The only two LBAs that have spatially resolved 2–10 keV emission with \( \text{Chandra} \) are Haro 11 (Grimes et al. 2007, PI: J. Grimes) and VV 114 (Grimes et al. 2006, PI: T. Heckman), permitting the study of their HMXB populations.

Along with coordinates and redshifts, we provide a summary of the X-ray observations for these galaxies in Table 1, including the \( \text{Chandra} \) observation ID (ObsID), exposure times (\( t_{\text{exp}} \)), and limiting 2–10 keV luminosity (\( L_{\text{HX,lim}} \)). The limiting point source luminosity is based on having \( > 6 \) counts in the 2–10 keV observation, which is the minimum number of counts observed for our detected sources, using the method described below. We provide this point source estimate for comparison purposes only and note it does not include detailed calculations for, e.g., source confusion. The \( \text{Chandra} \) data were processed as described in Basu-Zych et al. (2013a). Since we are interested in the XRB properties, we minimize contributions from the diffuse X-ray gas emission by confining our analysis to the 2–10 keV (hard-band) emission. Using \text{wavdetect} \ in CIAO version 4.4 with the significance threshold set to \( 10^{-6} \) and wavelet scale sizes of 1.0, 1.4, and 1.8, we measure point source properties. We found that larger wavelet scales returned blended sources. The source intensities measured by \text{wavdetect} \ are generally not very accurate, especially in the case of blended sources embedded in diffuse.
emission. This is because *wavdetect* uses the wavelet scale that maximizes the significance of a source, which may well include surrounding diffuse emission or emission from neighboring sources. In order to assess the accuracy of the source intensities measured by *wavdetect* we manually performed the source photometry, following the same approach as in Zezas et al. (2006). For each source we defined 1.5 radius circular apertures centered on the *wavdetect* positions. This radius includes at least 80% of the encircled energy at 2–4 keV. We also defined 1.5 radius apertures used to excise the sources from the X-ray images, in order to create a source-free image used for the determination of the local background for each source. From this image we measure the background surface brightness around each source defining an annular region with an outer radius of at least 4″ (the inner radius is set by the excision region), taking care to sample in a representative way the local background of a source, while avoiding any other regions of diffuse emission. The source fluxes determined by this manual method are within errors of the *wavdetect* values. Ultimately, we chose to use the *wavdetect*-derived fluxes to maintain consistency with the method used for simulations presented later (Section 3.2). We convert the measured 2–10 keV count rate into fluxes in the same band by using PIMMS with a simple power-law model with $\Gamma = 1.9$ and Galactic column densities of $N_H = 3 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$. Applying the distances given in Table 1, we calculate luminosities from these 2–10 keV flux measurements. Detected X-ray sources are shown in Figure 1 as magenta circles whose size scales with 2–10 keV luminosities. The numbers of detections having observed $L_{HX} > 10^{40}$ erg s$^{-1}$ in each galaxy are given in Table 2.

### 3. RESULTS

Basu-Zych et al. (2013a) argue that lower metallicities in the LBA population may be driving the observed higher values of $L_X$/SFR. Figure 2, adapted from Basu-Zych et al. (2013a) to mark the specific galaxies discussed in this paper, shows how $L_X$/SFR decreases with increasing metallicity following the theoretical prediction (black solid line; Fragos et al. 2013a).
Metallicity evolution appears to explain the mild evolution in $L_X$/SFR with redshift that is observed in LBGs in deep X-ray surveys between $z = 1–4$ (Basu-Zych et al. 2013b). Metallicity evolution is expected theoretically based on the application of XRB population synthesis models on mock galaxies from the Millennium simulation (Fragos et al. 2013b). Theoretically, weaker stellar winds in low-metallicity environments allow more numerous and more massive stellar mass black holes to form, as well as producing more Roche-lobe overflow versus wind-fed systems, thereby producing more numerous and luminous HMXBs per SFR in metal-poor galaxies. Here, we use Chandra observations of the extended, low-metallicity LBGs to directly study the bright end of the HMXB populations in these galaxies. We focus on comparing how the HMXB populations in low-redshift LBGs differ from more representative local star-forming galaxies (e.g., Mineo et al. 2012) to address why the population of LBGs and high-$z$ LBGs exhibit elevated $L_X$/SFR.

3.1. Excess of Luminous XRBs

Both HMXBs and low-mass X-ray binaries (LMXBs) can contribute to the hard-band ($2–10$ keV) X-ray point source populations in galaxies. HMXBs trace young stellar populations (those with lifetimes $\lesssim 10^6–8$ year), with X-ray emission proportional to the recent SFR; in contrast, the donors in LMXBs are stars with ages $\gtrsim 10^8–10$ year and the X-ray emission from these sources traces past star formation (i.e., they trace the stellar mass, $M_*$.). Basu-Zych et al. (2013a) describe the method that was used to measure metallicities, SFRs, and $M_*$ in this sample and we give these values in Table 2. Briefly, we use UV and infrared (IR) luminosities to measure SFRs from the relation given in Bell et al. (2005) and 2MASS $K_S$ magnitudes with SDSS $u' - z'$ colors to estimate $M_*$ according to Bell (2003).

Within each galaxy, we detected 2–6 X-ray sources. The galaxies presented in this paper have specific SFRs (i.e., SFR per stellar mass, $\text{sSFR} \equiv \text{SFR}/M_*$) $> 10^{-10}$ yr$^{-1}$, which suggests that HMXBs dominate the X-ray emission (Colbert et al. 2004; Lehmer et al. 2010). Therefore, we will henceforth assume that the individual X-ray sources detected within VV 114 and Haro 11 are HMXBs. In Section 3.4, we discuss the possibility that the X-ray emission in some of the sources may originate from accreting supermassive black holes (SMBHs).

Given the relatively shallow observations (see Table 1), individual XRBs are detected only at very high luminosities ($> 10^{40}$ erg s$^{-1}$) meaning that they are all well above the threshold for being considered ultraluminous X-ray sources (ULXs). By definition, ULXs are off-nuclear sources. In these morphologically irregular systems, we consider that all of the individual sources are ULXs. However, we revisit this assumption in Section 3.4.

Our first assessment of the number of HMXBs detected in each galaxy is tabulated in Table 2 (column 6), where we count the number of $2–10$ keV sources with $L_X > 10^{40}$ erg s$^{-1}$ found in each galaxy. In total, we detected 7 $L_X > 10^{40}$ erg s$^{-1}$ point sources in VV 114 and Haro 11 (and an additional source with $L_X = 2.3 \times 10^{39}$ erg s$^{-1}$ in VV 114). We compare this value with the expected number of sources with $L_X > 10^{40}$ erg s$^{-1}$ based on the XLF derived from star-forming galaxies by Mineo et al. (2012) for the SFR of each galaxy (given in column 5 of our Table 2). Based on this comparison, we find that the total number of detected ULXs with $L_X > 10^{40}$ erg s$^{-1}$ (7 sources) is $\approx 1.5–10 \times$ higher than the expected number (2.0$^{+2.6}_{-1.3}$) for the entire sample. The Poisson probabilities for detecting at least the observed number of ULXs, based on the expected number for each galaxy (which is listed in column 5 of Table 2), are $P(N > 2) = 0.008$, $P(N > 5) = 0.006$, and $P(N > 7) = 0.001$ for Haro 11, VV 114, and both galaxies combined. We show this excess of high-luminosity sources, normalized by SFR, in Figure 3 for Haro 11 (blue dashed line) and VV 114 (red long-dashed line), as well as for both galaxies combined (black solid line). Table 3 lists the individually detected HMXBs (i.e., their locations, background-subtracted counts, and $2–10$ keV luminosities) for both galaxies.

In the following section, we explore potential causes for this excess at the bright luminosity end. We start by considering whether the elevated number of luminous HMXBs is physical or artificially induced by source blending within unresolved star-forming regions within the galaxies.

3.2. Source Blending

Are the detected sources truly ULXs with luminosities $> 10^{39}$ erg s$^{-1}$ or are these “sources” actually clusterings of several lower-luminosity HMXBs blended into single Chandra point sources? In this section, we address this question and assess the level at which source confusion, referring to the blending of several individual sources into a single unresolved higher luminosity “source,” contributes to our analysis. To this end, we use the MARX version 5.0.0 ray-tracing code to construct simulated images by placing fake sources in locations that are spatially populated following the light distributions of high spatial resolution HST images (see background images in Figure 1), which act as proxies for star formation maps. To assign luminosities to these simulated sources, we draw

---

**Figure 2.** $L_{X14}$/$SFR$ depends on gas-phase metallicity, as predicted by XRB population synthesis models (thick black line; Fragos et al. 2013a). This figure is modified from Basu-Zych et al. (2013b) to show the galaxies discussed in this paper, which appear as labeled encircled points.
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Figure 3. We measure the cumulative number counts for VV 114 (red) and Haro 11 (blue) and compare with the star-forming galaxy XLF from Mineo et al. (2012, shown in gray). Based on our observations of these two LBAs (black curve shows the combined XLF from both galaxies), we find that low-metallicity LBAs appear to have more ULXs at $L_{\text{HX}} > 10^{36}$ erg s$^{-1}$ than expected.

![Figure 3](image)

Figure 4. We show the observed Chandra images (left) alongside the MARX output images for the simulations (right), assuming X-ray luminosities distributed like typical star-forming galaxies from Mineo et al. (2012), for VV 114 (top images) and Haro 11 (bottom images). Note that here we show only one realization, however, we run 100 realizations of the simulations for our full analysis. In the simulations, X-ray sources are spatially distributed according to the SFR maps, which are estimated using the HST F814W filter and shown here as red contours.

![Figure 4](image)
end of the XLF from the typical star-forming galaxy (gray solid line; Mineo et al. 2012).

The observed cumulative XLF, which combines the Haro 11 and VV 114 sources, is shown as a black histogram in Figure 3. The errors on the cumulative XLF account for uncertainties on the source intensities as well as Poisson uncertainties on the number of sources in each bin following the approach of Zezas et al. (2007). In short, for each source, we estimated 1000 samples of its intensity assuming a Poisson distribution with a mean equal to its net number of counts. We defined coarse bins of unequal size centered at the intensity of each observed source. Each one of the 1000 sampled XLFs was binned according to this binning scheme. The number of sources in each bin for each sample was determined by sampling from a Poisson distribution with a mean of one source. Then each one of these binned XLFs was converted to a cumulative XLF. From these 1000 cumulative binned XLFs we calculated the mean number of sources in each bin and its standard deviation, which is our adopted uncertainty.

Figure 5 shows that the observations (black points) are not consistent with a normal XLF that suffers from source blending (dashed gray line). Based on the Kolmogorov–Smirnoff (K–S) test, the standard XLF can be ruled out at >99% confidence level (discussed in more detail in the following section). We find that the observed points still show an excess above the simulated data, possibly suggesting that a different XLF may better describe the HMXBs in these galaxies. We further explore this possibility in the following section.

3.3. The Bright End of the XLF

Rather than adopting the star-forming galaxy XLF given by Mineo et al. (2012) (henceforth referred to as the “standard XLF”), in this section we ask: can a different distribution of X-ray luminosities describe the observed detections more consistently for the LBAs? We follow the same prescription as described above, but use a grid of models that vary the slope of the bright end ($\gamma_2$) and the normalization ($\xi$) of the input XLF.

The XLFs follow the form:

$$dN/dL_X = \xi \text{ SFR} \times \begin{cases} L_{38}^{-\gamma_1}, & L_{38} < L_b, \\ L_b^{-\gamma_2} L_{38}^{-\gamma_1}, & L_b \geq L_{38} \geq L_{\text{cut}}, \end{cases}$$

where $L_{38} = L_X / 10^{38}$ erg s$^{-1}$, $L_b$ is the break luminosity and $\xi$ is the average normalization. We use the following values from Mineo et al. (2012): $L_b = 110^{+13}_{-34}$ erg s$^{-1}$ (2012); $\gamma_1 = 1.6 \pm 0.02$, $L_{\text{cut}} = 5 \times 10^{35}$, but we vary $\gamma_2$ and $\xi_2$. Our grid samples bright-end XLF slopes between the standard XLF ($\gamma_2 = 2.73$; Mineo et al. 2012) and a “no break” scenario ($\gamma_2 = 1.58$; Mineo et al. 2012) in four nearly equal steps: $\gamma_2 = 2.73, 2.30, 1.90, 1.58$. The “no break” case explores a scenario similar to the one observed for the Antennae pair of galaxies (Zezas et al. 2007). Zezas et al. (2007) conclude that the lack of break implies that the ULXs in the Antennae galaxies are not entirely a new population (e.g., intermediate mass black holes, IMBHs) but, mainly, a luminous extension of massive ($M_{BH} \sim 80 M_\odot$) HMXBs accreting at the Eddington limit.

We sample only a few normalizations$^{11}$, one that is equivalent to the standard XLF ($\xi = 1.95$; Mineo et al. 2012),$^{12}$ one that is $1.5\times$ higher than the standard XLF ($\xi = 2.93$), and a final one that is metallicity dependent and based on the theoretical metallicity enhancement of $L_{\text{HX}}$/SFR versus metallicity ($\xi = 4.83$ for Haro 11 and 3.37 for VV 114). The metallicity enhancement is measured using the prediction for X-ray luminosity per SFR made by Fragos et al. (2013a), shown as a gray solid line in Figure 2, based on XRB population synthesis models, compared to that observed by Mineo et al. (2012) ($\log L_X/\text{SFR} \sim 39.3$ erg s$^{-1}$ ($M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$)$^{-1}$; solid black line) given the galaxy’s metallicity (provided in Table 2). We note that the Fragos et al. (2013a) models give a prediction about how the integrated emission varies with metallicity but does not give any information about the underlying distribution and whether the normalization or the shape of the XLF is changing. Here, we are making the assumption that the increase in $L_{\text{HX}}$/SFR is due to a higher normalization value. While the first value of $\xi$ tests variations of the slope assuming a local star-forming galaxy, the final normalization value tests a scenario where low-metallicity galaxies have intrinsically more HMXBs at all luminosities.

The middle value for $\xi$, which is not physically motivated, allows us to test the effect of an intermediate normalization on final observations for comparison.

$^{11}$ In practice, the values of the normalizations are actually $\xi/1.6$. In order to compare the parameters with Mineo et al. (2012), we state the “normalization” as $\xi$. Since the units for the normalization are given in ($M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$)$^{-1}$, the factor of 1.6 comes from converting the SFR-normalized XLF from a Salpeter IMF to Kroupa.

$^{12}$ The quoted value for $\xi$ in Mineo et al. (2012) is 1.49. However, $\xi = 1.95$ is the value used to derive their Equation (20) and is the more appropriate value to use here. (S. Mineo 2015, private communication).
Due to computational limitations, a finer grid of XLF model parameters was unfeasible. Using the grid of 12 unique models for each galaxy and the method described above, we simulate the source-blended XLFs. We applied the Cash (Cash 1979) statistic within the *sherpa* package in *ciao* to determine the best model for both of the galaxies. Specifically, we compared the observed numbers of detected sources within some luminosity bin in log units ($dN/d\log L_X$) with the output $dN/d\log L_X$ from our *MARX* simulation of blended sources given the input models described above to test the effects of source blending for different XLF inputs. The bin size that we used, $d(\log L_X) = 0.07$ dex, corresponds to $\sim$1 count ($\approx 3 \times 10^{38}$ erg s$^{-1}$) around the detection limit of our observations, given in the last column of Table 1. The advantage of using the Cash statistical measure is especially evident for cases such as ours where the smooth distribution of simulated model values is compared to data in which most of the luminosity bins have no sources while a few have a single detected source.

We display the simulated data of potentially blended sources in Figure 6, showing the effects of varying normalizations ($\xi = 1.95, 2.93$, and $Z$-dependent) with different colors (gray, red, blue, respectively) and bright-end slopes ($\gamma_2 = 2.73, 2.30, 1.90, 1.58$) with different line styles (solid lines, dashed, long-dashed, and dotted, respectively). From the Cash statistic (C), the best model for the Haro 11 and VV 114 data sets is Model #7: the model with $\gamma_2 = 1.90$ and the $1.5\times$ higher normalization than the star-forming galaxies ($\xi = 2.93$). We display this model in black in Figure 5, solid lines showing the input XLF and dashed lines showing the effect of source blending on this model. By performing a two-sample K-S test we find that the observed XLF is inconsistent with a parent distribution described by models #01 (standard XLF) and 02 at above the 99% confidence level. Since the K-S test does not take into account the total number of sources, which however, is a critical parameter in the description of the XLF, we also calculate the probability that from each model we can obtain the observed number of sources down to a luminosity of $10^{40}$ erg s$^{-1}$, assuming the Poisson distribution. We limit ourselves to sources brighter than $10^{40}$ erg s$^{-1}$ since from Table 3 we see that the faintest source has very large uncertainty in its luminosity (it is not even a $3\sigma$ detection). This analysis showed that we can reject models #1 (the standard model), 2, and 11 at the 90% confidence level on the basis of the expected number of counts, albeit with limited statistics at the bright end where the difference between models is maximized. It is noteworthy that Mineo et al. (2012) find that NGC3310, another low-metallicity galaxy ($Z = 0.4Z_\odot$; de Grijs et al. 2003a, 2003b), deviates from the mean XLF by $\sim 2\sigma$, also exhibiting a flatter slope than the rest of the sample of star-forming galaxies. This galaxy also has an $L_X$/ (XRB)/SFR value that is a factor of $\approx 5$ times higher than average (Lehmer et al. 2015).

Before discussing the physical interpretation for these results, we address the possible contribution from AGNs to the observed XLFs.

### 3.4. Caveats: Potential AGNs

Several authors have concluded that AGNs do not contribute significantly to the global emission in Haro 11 (Grimes et al. 2007; Cormier et al. 2012) and VV 114 (Grimes et al. 2006). In these galaxies, star-formation-related processes dominate the total emission in the infrared, optical, and ultraviolet. Both of these galaxies reside firmly in the “star-forming” region of the BPT diagram (Baldwin et al. 1981; Veilleux & Osterbrock 1987; Kauffmann et al. 2003), which is a useful diagnostic for distinguishing “AGNs” from “star-forming galaxies” based on emission-line ratios ([O iii] $\lambda$5007/H$\beta$ versus [N ii] $\lambda$6584/H$\alpha$; shown explicitly in Figure 4 from Basu-Zych et al. 2013a). Jia et al. (2011) study the X-ray emission within a different population of $z = 0.1–0.3$ LBGs, those that occupy the “composite” rather than the “star-forming” region of the BPT diagram, and find these to have
$L_{\text{HX}} > 10^{42} \text{erg s}^{-1}$. They argue that these composite LBAs likely harbor Type 2 AGNs but that star formation dominates the bolometric luminosity in these galaxies, potentially mimicking the growth of SMBHs within dense stellar clumps which is believed to occur within galaxies in the early universe ($z > 3$).

It is plausible that hidden AGNs may be present since most studies have not investigated individual localized regions within the LBAs to discern whether any are consistent with accreting SMBHs. For Haro 11, James et al. (2013) have detailed spectral analysis of the different knots and refer to them as super star clusters, and do not discuss the possibility that AGNs lie within these regions. Recently, Prestwich et al. (2015) published a detailed X-ray study of the two X-ray sources found in Haro 11 and do consider the possibility that X-1 (coincident with Knot B and shown as a cross in Figure 1) may be an AGN, but also argue that this source is consistent with being an intermediate mass black hole ($M_{\text{BH}} > 7600 M_{\odot}$) in the low–hard state. Iono et al. (2013) used ALMA data to argue that a highly obscured AGN most plausibly produces the observed high ratio of HCN (4–3) to HCO$^+$ (4–3) molecular emission lines ($R_{\text{HCN/HCO}^+} = 1.34 \pm 0.09$), which also appear to be broad ($\sim 290 \text{km} \text{s}^{-1}$), within the eastern nucleus of VV 114 (defined as the position of the peak emission in the $K_s$ band; see also Saito et al. 2015, also shown as a cross in Figure 1).

If we conservatively speculate that X-ray sources coincident with the optical nuclei of each galaxy are indeed obscured AGNs (bearing in mind that these galaxies appear as disturbed systems, possibly the mergers of two galaxies, each containing an SMBH), we consider two possible obscured AGNs in Haro 11 and one in VV 114 (Table 5 provides details about each source). We only consider one potential AGN in VV 114 because peak nuclear optical emission in the western component of VV 114 does not coincide with any X-ray source. We mark these potential AGN candidates with crosses in Figure 1. The numbers of 0.3–7.0 keV net counts for these sources range from 292 to 610 counts, therefore detailed spectral fitting is not possible. However, we perform a simple analysis: placing 175 source apertures around the sources and subtracting background using $10''$ apertures located $20''$ away from the galaxy. Using XSPEC with the maximum-likelihood statistics set to CSTAT, we fit the resulting background-subtracted spectrum with an absorbed power law that only includes the Galactic column density ($N_H/10^{20} \text{cm}^{-2} = 1.3$ and 2.0 for VV 114 and Haro 11, respectively) and find $\Gamma$ values ranging from 0.2 to 1.7 (see Table 5). Additional spectral analyses of these sources is beyond the scope of this paper, but detailed analyses of these spectra can be found in Prestwich et al. (2015, Knots B and C in Haro 11) and Grimes et al. (2006, VV114E). Since the observed spectra in this method include emission from a diffuse background, the spectra of the point sources may be flatter than the fits imply. We note, however, that flatter slopes do not necessarily prove that the sources are AGNs. ULXs with significant obscuration, for example those located within intense star-forming regions, could be consistent with these spectral constraints as well (e.g., the central ULX in NGC 253; Lehmer et al. 2013).

Based on the steep ($\Gamma = 1.7$) slope for Haro 11 (Knot C), we decide this source is consistent with ULX spectra and do not consider this a potential obscured AGN (see also Prestwich et al. 2015). We note that unobscured AGNs may have $\Gamma = 1.7$, but optical and infrared emission line constraints rule out such AGNs in Haro 11. Treating the remaining two X-ray sources (one from Haro 11 and one from VV 114) as AGNs rather than XRBs, we proceed to correct our previous XLF, shown in Figure 7.

We eliminate these sources to produce an “AGN-corrected” observed XLF (black points). We also show new source-blended simulations for a luminosity distribution given by the standard XLF (gray dashed curve) and that which was our previous best fit (Model #7, black dashed curve; see Section 3.3: $\gamma_2 = 1.90$, $\xi = 2.93$). These simulated curves follow the prescription described in Section 3.2, but mask regions (using circular masks of 0.75 radii) at the assumed AGN locations to produce new spatial distributions. In Haro 11, this masking blocks 9% of the optical light, whereas in VV 114, only 0.4% of the optical emission is masked. In this case, we arrive at the best-fit simulated XLF (dotted red curve), which is based on the Model #5 XLF (with $\xi = 2.93$ and the same slope as the standard XLF; shown as a red dotted line). Based on this analysis, we find that if the brightest sources in these galaxies are truly AGNs, then the observed luminosity distribution and numbers of ULXs, can be explained by source-blending HMXBs drawn from the standard XLF (the K-S test cannot rule this out at the 99% confidence level; see Table 4).

We emphasize that we have taken the approach of performing a very conservative treatment of potential AGNs, yet the results in this case are not very constraining. Since the models diverge with increasing luminosity, losing two data points from the bright end of the luminosity distribution means that the constraints on these models are even weaker than in the previous fit (Section 3.3).

### 3.5. Physical Interpretation

We have studied how HMXB populations compare between star-forming galaxies and low-metallicity LBAs to explain the observed elevated $L_{\text{HX}}/SFR$ in low-metallicity, spatially
resolved galaxies. Many other studies have observed a surplus of ULXs in metal-poor galaxies (Mapelli et al. 2009, 2010, 2011; Kaaret et al. 2011; Prestwich et al. 2013; Brorby et al. 2014; Kaaret 2014; Douna et al. 2015). These studies, in addition to compounding evidence that LBAs and LBGs have elevated $L_X$ per SFRs (Basu-Zych et al. 2013b, 2013a), suggest that metallicity influences the bright end of the XRB luminosity function in an important way. In addition, the consistency between observations and XRB population synthesis models in these galaxies, spanning the past 12.2 Gyr of cosmic history (Basu-Zych et al. 2013b, 2013a), further offers theoretical insight into the role that metallicity plays in the formation and evolution of HMXBs. In this study, we find evidence that the XLFs in our sample of low-metallicity galaxies have shallower slopes at the bright end ($\gamma_2 = 1.90$) compared to what has been observed for typical star-forming galaxies ($\gamma_2 = 2.73$).

In Figure 8, we compare the different measurements of $L_{\text{HMXB}}$/SFR based on global emission from the galaxies (encircled gray points), the sum of detected sources in the 2–10 keV Chandra observation (black solid point), the sum of simulated source-blended sources assuming our best-fit XLF (open circle), and the sum of detected sources without including our conservative assessment of potential AGNs (addressed in Section 3.4, red points). We note that the sum of the luminosities in the detected sources does not account for the total global emission, especially in VV 114, because undetected HMXBs produce an additional 2–10 keV emission (which is also evident in the Chandra images shown in the left panels of Figure 4). The gray solid lines show the Fragos et al. (2013a) theoretical prediction, which is based on X-ray binary population synthesis models (StarTrack; Belczynski et al. 2008) combined with cosmological simulations (Millennium II; Boylan-Kolchin et al. 2009). Figure 8 illustrates that the two galaxies studied in our paper, simulated with a flatter slope than the standard XLF, match the Fragos et al. (2013a) prediction fairly well. The black dashed line gives the $L_{\text{HMXB}}$/SFR expected based on integrating our best-fit XLF down to $10^{36}$ erg s$^{-1}$ and shows good agreement with the other LBAs (gray points) and the stacked LBGs (blue shaded region). The red dotted line gives a similar result for the best-fit XLF measured from excluding potential AGNs, predicting values of $L_{\text{HMXB}}$/SFR that are higher than those for star-forming galaxies (black solid line).

Studying the HMXB populations within galaxies with a range of metallicities, Douna et al. (2015) also find evidence that $L_X$/SFR depends inversely on metallicity and the main cause for this is that low-metallicity galaxies ($12 + \log [\text{O/H}] \lesssim 8.0$, based on a different but roughly comparable metallicity estimate from ours) have a higher number of HMXBs/SFR. A weaker trend is that low-metallicity galaxies also have more luminous HMXBs. In terms of the luminosity function, the stronger effect should be the normalization of the XLF, with a secondary effect that is consistent with a flatter XLF slope. Similarly, Brorby et al. (2014) measure the XLF from 25 metal-poor ($12 + \log [\text{O/H}] < 8.0$) blue compact dwarfs (BCDs) and find a normalization $9.7 \pm 3.2$ higher than the standard XLF. While our results do not appear to show the factor of ~10 enhancement in the normalization like these other works, the metallicities of our sample are somewhat higher ($12 + \log [\text{O/H}] = 8.3–8.4$) than their “low-metallicity” cases ($12 + \log [\text{O/H}] < 8.0$). Instead, our sample of slightly sub-solar metallicity galaxies appears to have a stronger effect on the bright-end slope. Having a metallicity similar to our sample ($Z = 0.4 Z_{\odot}$; de Grijs et al. 2003a, 2003b), NGC3310 appeared as a $2\sigma$ outlier from the typical XLF found by Mineo et al. (2012) in their sample, also exhibiting a flatter slope (at $L_X \lesssim 10^{39}$ erg s$^{-1}$) than the standard XLF.

Although detailed modeling of metallicity effects on the XLFs for ULXs has not been done yet (see the discussion in Linden et al. 2010), several theoretical arguments have been suggested to explain the increased $L_{\text{HMXB}}$/SFR with decreasing metallicity:

1. Lower metallicity massive stars have weaker wind mass loss during their evolution and are more massive on average when they reach the core-collapse phase. This results in a more numerous and more massive black hole
population, and thus a more luminous HMXB population (Linden et al. 2010; Fragos et al. 2013a, 2013b).

2. Wind mass loss leads to angular momentum loss from the orbit and thus to an orbit expansion. Hence, the lower metallicity binaries will have, on average, tighter orbits which will result in an increased number of Roche-lobe overflow (RLO-HMXBs) versus wind-fed systems. Since the former can drive much higher accretion rates, lower metallicity HMXB populations are expected to be more luminous (Fragos et al. 2013a, 2013b).

3. All RLO-HMXBs go through a common-envelope (CE) phase before the formation of the compact object. According to Taam & Sandquist (2000), CE phases initiated while the donor star is in the asymptotic giant branch (AGB) will most likely lead to a merger, as AGB stars have not yet developed a clear core-envelope boundary. Hence, in order for a binary to survive the CE, the latter must be initiated while the radius of the donor star is larger than the maximum AGB radius and smaller than the maximum radius of the star in the super-giant phase. The maximum super-giant radius is not strongly dependent with metallicity, in contrast to the maximum AGB radius which decreases steeply with metallicity. Therefore, the range of initial orbital separations that can lead to survivable CE events increases strongly with decreasing metallicity and so does the formation of luminous RLO-HMXBs (Linden et al. 2010).

4. An alternative explanation has been recently put forth by Justham et al. (2015) who suggests that since low-metallicity massive stars tend to expand later in their evolution compared to high-metallicity ones, they will have developed a higher mass core by the time they go into the CE phase. These higher mass cores will in turn result in an increased number of black holes formed.

A second class of luminous HMXBs are wind-fed HMXBs with (super)giant donor stars. Given their current, very wide orbits, these systems are not expected to have undergone a CE phase prior to the compact object formation. This class of systems is not expected to have the same metallicity dependence as RLO-HMXBs. The metallicity dependence in these cases is complicated by two competing effects that contribute to higher X-ray luminosities. In higher metallicity regions, stronger winds from donors drive higher accretion rates. Lower metallicity systems can have closer orbits (i.e., the maximum radius during the Hertzsprung gap stage is smaller), which lead to more luminous HMXBs. Therefore, the number of bright HMXBs peaks for intermediate metallicities ($Z \sim 0.2 Z_{\odot}$; Linden et al. 2010). However, we should note here that wind-fed HMXBs are not expected to reach super-Eddington luminosities (Valsecchi et al. 2010; Wong et al. 2012, 2014) in contrast to RLO-HMXBs with either black hole or neutron star accretors that can easily drive mass-transfer rates in excess of $\sim 10$ times the Eddington limit (Podsiadlowski et al. 2003; Rappaport et al. 2005; Fragos & McClintock 2015; Fragos et al. 2015). Hence, we expect that ULXs at sub-solar metallicities are a population of bright HMXBs that experience mild super-Eddington accretion and undergo stable Roche-lobe overflow. This is the most consistent explanation for observations of ULXs thus far (e.g., Gladstone et al. 2009; Bachetti et al. 2013; Walton et al. 2013, 2014; Rana et al. 2015).

Specific to our study, Linden et al. (2010) predict that the number of ULXs increases by a factor of 2.5 between $Z = Z_{\odot}$ and $Z = 0.4 Z_{\odot}$ (i.e., the metallicity for Haro 11; see Figure 2). We note that this is close to the increase predicted by Fragos et al. (2013b, a factor of 2.7), shown in Figure 2. Linden et al. (2010) find that the metallicity dependence on the number of ULXs evolves with the age of the stellar population (time since burst of star formation). Specifically, the metallicity dependence is complex between 5–10 Myr post-burst, peaking at $Z = 0.4 Z_{\odot}$. Then from $\approx 10$ Myr after the star formation episode, the trend between ULX number and lower metallicities emerges.

In light of the theoretical discussion above, Haro 11 provides an interesting example. In Haro 11, high spatial resolution multi-band photometric (using HST imaging in eight optical bands and VLT NaCo adaptive optics K-band imaging; Adamo et al. 2010) and spectroscopic (using the VLT/FLAMES optical integral field unit; James et al. 2013) studies estimated the ages of the three most prominent star-forming regions (see Figure 1 for reference): Knot A is 4.9 $\pm$ 0.4 Myr, Knot B is 4.3 $\pm$ 0.5 Myr, and Knot C is 7.8 $\pm$ 0.3 Myr. Therefore the ages for the star-forming regions within Haro 11 fall within $\approx 5$–10 Myr and, given that the galaxy’s metallicity is $Z = 0.4 Z_{\odot}$, are consistent with the predictions presented above. The age range for Knot C is consistent with the RLO-HMXB pathway for HMXBs found in low-metallicity environments. However, Knots A and B apparently have slightly younger ages, but also slightly higher metallicities ($12 + \log(O/H) = 8.0, 8.2$ for Knots A and B, respectively, compared to 7.8 for Knot C; James et al. 2013). Modulo source blending, the simulated and Chandra-detected sources do coincide with these star-forming regions (Knots B and C). We note, however, that our source blending simulation using the metallicity-dependent XLF uses the average metallicity for this galaxy (given in Table 2) without accounting for the frequency in metallicities of the different star-forming regions since the metallicities for individual star-forming regions is not well measured, in general. For example, no study has been published thus far estimating metallicities for different regions within VV 114.

The relationship between metallicity and populations of ULXs is complex, depends on the age of the stellar population, and is linked to the XRB formation pathway. In our one example where we have sufficient information to investigate this relationship, we find good agreement with the theoretical predictions by Linden et al. (2010). LBAs, by definition based on their UV-selection, are some of the youngest galaxies in the local universe and the LBAs in this paper contain stellar populations younger than $\lesssim 10$ Myr. The fact that these LBAs host large numbers of ULXs offers direct evidence for an X-ray formation pathway. In our one example, no study has been published thus far estimating metallicities for different regions within VV 114.

The relationship between metallicity and populations of ULXs is complex, depends on the age of the stellar population, and is linked to the XRB formation pathway. In our one example where we have sufficient information to investigate this relationship, we find good agreement with the theoretical predictions by Linden et al. (2010). LBAs, by definition based on their UV-selection, are some of the youngest galaxies in the local universe and the LBAs in this paper contain stellar populations younger than $\lesssim 10$ Myr. The fact that these LBAs host large numbers of ULXs offers direct evidence for an excess of ULX rates at $<20$ Myr timescales. Based on our source-blending simulation, we find that the observed bright end of the XLF in these LBAs arises from multiple blended HMXBs, which have a luminosity distribution that is flatter than the standard XLF. Therefore, the ultraluminous “sources” in these LBAs are better described as blended HMXBs rather than an excess of individual ULXs.

### 3.6. Applying the Full LBA Sample

In addition to these two LBAs, LBA 082355 is also relatively nearby, at a distance of 210 Mpc, and shows spatially extended X-ray emission (see Figure 9). The current available Chandra data for this galaxy are insufficiently deep (9 ks...
exposure; 2–10 keV luminosity limit of $7.6 \times 10^{40}$ erg s$^{-1}$) to
detect any sources in the 2–10 keV band or perform any
detailed investigation into their XRBs. In Figure 8 we show
that the best fit (Model #7) integrated down to $10^{36}$ erg s$^{-1}$ describes $L_{\text{HX}}$/SFR better for LBA 082355 and
the other LBAs than the standard XLF (Model #1).

Next, we use the full sample of 6 LBAs from Basu-Zych
et al. (2013a) to parameterize the values for $\gamma_2$ and
the normalization, $\xi$ as functions of metallicity ($12 + \log(O/H)$),
matching to the standard XLF parameters at solar metallicity
($12 + \log(O/H) = 8.69$; Asplund et al. 2009) and adding an
average measurement from blue compact dwarfs (BCDs) at
low-metallicity based on studies by Brorby et al. (2014) and
Douna et al. (2015). Explicitly, we integrate the XLFs given
by the parameterized $\xi(X)$ and $\gamma_2(X)$, where $X \equiv 12 + \log(O/H)$, down to $10^{36}$ erg s$^{-1}$ to model $L_{\text{HX}}$/SFR for our
parameterization and fit with the observed $L_{\text{HX}}$/SFR for all 6
LBAs. We fit these functional forms to the normalization
(fixing the slope) and slope (fixing the normalization):

$$\xi(X, \gamma_2 = 2.73) = 1.95 + A(10^{X-X_0} - 1.0) + B(X-X_0)$$

$$\gamma_2(X, \xi = 1.95) = 2.73 + C(10^{X-X_0} - 1.0) + D(X-X_0)$$

where $X_0 = 8.69$. These functional forms follow the curve
given by Fragos et al. (2013a), which is a polynomial fit to
metallicity in Z units corresponding to our first term at first
order. However, we found that we also needed to add a linear
term (in $12 + \log(O/H)$ units) to follow the $L_{\text{HX}}$/SFR shape at
higher metallicities in the simplest way. The best fits yield
$A = -8.8^\pm.7$, $B = -4.6^\pm.7$, $C = -0.7^\pm.3$, $D = 2.5^\pm.4$.
The effects that these parameterizations have on $L_{\text{HX}}$/SFR
are shown as orange long-dashed and green short-dashed lines in
Figure 10. In the lower two panels, we show the functions of
$\xi(X)$ [middle] and $\gamma_2(X)$ [lowest]. The black star marks the
standard XLF at solar metallicity. We expanded the metallicity
range to extend to the extremely low metallicity dwarf galaxies
studied by Brorby et al. (2014, dashed–dotted line) and Douna
et al. (2015, dotted line), shown as blue lines in the top panel,
and the average value from these studies (shown by the blue
square) was included in our fit. These studies used Bayesian
probability methods to measure the normalization and slope of
their samples’ XLF, assuming the XLF is described by a single
power law with a cut-off around $10^{40}$ erg s$^{-1}$. Although we did
not include the LBGs (marked by the shaded cyan region) in
our fits for the normalization and slope parameterization, our
curves in the top panel show good agreement with their \( L_{HX}/SFR \) values.

Our aims for parameterizing the normalization and slope of the XLF as a function of metallicity are two-fold: (1) to perform a consistency check with the integrated XLF properties by comparing observed values of \( L_{HX}/SFR \) for different galaxies as shown in the top panel of Figure 10 and (2) to provide the first-ever metallicity-dependent XLFs given by Equations (2) and (3). We remind readers that parameterizations mainly fit the \( 12 + \log(O/H) = 8.15-8.69 \) range (including only the average of the low-metallicity galaxies at \( 12 + \log(O/H) = 7.5 \)) and caution that this relation needs to be further tested for metallicities \( 12 + \log(O/H) < 7.5 \), between \( 7.5-8.1 \), or >8.7. We find that the Fragos et al. (2013a) model can be approximated fairly well by a parameterization of the normalization and slope even though the XRB population synthesis models do not themselves predict the shape of the XLF as a function of metallicity.

4. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

We have studied the HMXB populations within two spatially extended LBAs, analogs of high SFR galaxies from the early universe. Previous studies have shown that LBAs exhibit elevated \( L_{HX}/SFR \), which appears to be inversely correlated with metallicity according to X-ray binary population synthesis models (e.g., Fragos et al. 2013a). This paper focuses on the bright end of the luminosity distribution of HMXBs in these low-metallicity star-forming galaxies to study how the HMXB populations within such galaxies compare with more typical (solar metallicity, on average) star-forming galaxies to address the question: Can a different luminosity distribution (e.g., higher normalization or flatter bright-end slope) of HMXBs within low-metallicity galaxies explain their elevated \( L_X/SFR \) compared to local star-forming galaxies?

We find evidence that there is an increased number of high luminosity (\( >10^{40} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \)) HMXBs. Since our galaxies have distances where source blending plays a role, we account for these effects by simulating luminosity distributions using \textit{HST} images as priors for the spatial distributions and the typical star-forming galaxy XLF (referred to as the “standard” XLF; a broken power law with normalization, \( \xi = 1.95, \gamma_1 = 1.58 \) for \( L_{HX} < 1.1 \times 10^{40} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \equiv L_b, \gamma_2 = 2.73 \) above \( L_b \); Mineo et al. 2012) as the prior for the luminosity distribution. We tested different input XLFs by varying the normalizations and bright-end slopes (\( \gamma_2 \)). We find that the standard XLF does not reproduce the observed data (ruled out at the 99% confidence level by the K-S test). Our best-fit XLF (Model #7) has a flatter bright-end slope (\( \gamma_2 = 1.90 \)) and higher normalization (\( \xi = 2.93, 1.5 \times \) higher) than the standard.

Based on optical and infrared emission line ratios, AGNs do not contribute significantly to the global emission in Haro 11 (Grimes et al. 2007; Cormier et al. 2012) and VV 114 (Grimes et al. 2006). However, we also removed all potential AGN sources from our analysis in a conservative attempt to test XLFs for that case. The best fit simulated XLF to the data which excludes potential AGNs (Model #5) draws from an XLF with the same shape (\( \gamma_2 = 2.73 \)) but higher normalization (\( 1.5 \times \)) than the standard. However, neither the standard XLF nor the previous best-fit XLF (Model #7, with a shallower bright-end slope, \( \gamma_2 = 1.90 \), and the same normalization as Model #5) can be ruled out at the 99% confidence level by the K-S test and Model #7 appears to provide the next best fit after Model #5. However, without data at the bright end (inhabited by the potential AGNs) the models are not well constrained.

We parameterize the XLF normalizations and bright-end slopes with changing metallicity to fit \( L_{HX}/SFR \) for the full sample of LBAs, the average BCD, and the local star-forming population at solar metallicity. To avoid overfitting we only fit one parameter at a time, keeping the other fixed at the standard XLF value. For the normalization fit, we keep the bright-end slope fixed to \( \gamma_2 = 2.73 \) and for the bright-end slope fit, we fix the normalization to \( \xi = 1.95 \). We find that the fits (given by Equations (2) and (3)) also describe the \( L_{HX}/SFR \) elevation observed in LBGs.

Deeper observations of larger samples of low-metallicity, high-SFR galaxies would offer better constraints on the XLFs that characterize HMXBs. In particular, deeper observations on low-metallicity galaxies provide more robust constraints on the XLF by probing fainter luminosities. Observing additional galaxies (more LBAs and metal-poor starbursts) can improve upon our results to differentiate better between models by sampling the high luminosity end of the XLF where the difference between models is maximized.

Finally, future theoretical modeling of the luminosity distributions of HMXBs for different metallicities and stellar population star formation history scenarios would provide meaningful comparisons with the observations. Recent advances in our understanding of uncertain phases of binary evolution such as the CE (e.g., Ivanova et al. 2015; Nandez et al. 2015) and the availability of modern and computationally efficient detailed binary evolution codes (e.g., Paxton et al. 2015) will soon enable population studies of ULXs that go beyond the approximations of parametric population synthesis codes (e.g., the implicit assumption of thermal stability of the stars and the simplistic common-envelope evolution prescriptions). These “next generation” population models will capture in much greater detail the physical effects of the varying metallicity and stellar age, in both the internal stellar structure and the evolution of the binary, and thus predict more accurately both the formation efficiency and the X-ray luminosity distribution of ULXs as a function of these two factors. Preliminary hybrid studies toward this direction, which combine approximate population synthesis calculations with grids of detailed binary calculations, already showed promising results for the study of a subset of ULXs, namely ULXs with neutron star accretors (Fragos et al. 2015; Shao & Li 2015).

This research impacts our understanding of the high-redshift universe. The early universe contained few metals, and galaxies forming at these epochs had high SFRs, thereby producing numerous luminous HMXBs. It is likely the HMXBs produced in these high-redshift galaxies contributed significantly to heating the universe (Mirabel et al. 2011; Mesinger et al. 2013; Pacucci et al. 2014; Pofer et al. 2015; Ryu et al. 2015). By understanding the HMXB populations in low-metallicity star-forming galaxies locally we can better interpret the X-ray emission from early generations of galaxies and estimate their significance.
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