Local Dissipation Scales and Integral-Scale Reynolds Number Scalings in Thermally-Driven Turbulence

Ke-Qing XIA\textsuperscript{1}, Quan ZHOU\textsuperscript{2}
\textsuperscript{1}Department of Physics, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, Hong Kong, China
\textsuperscript{2}Shanghai Key Laboratory of Mechanics in Energy and Environment Engineering, Shanghai Institute of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics, Shanghai University, Shanghai 200072, China
E-mail: kxia@phy.cuhk.edu.hk

Abstract. We present direct multi-point velocity measurements of the two-dimensional velocity fields in a cylindrical Rayleigh-Bénard convection cell using the particle image velocimetry (PIV) technique over the Rayleigh number range $5.9 \times 10^9 \leq Ra \leq 1.1 \times 10^{11}$. The longitudinal integral length scale of the horizontal and vertical velocity fields are obtained at the cell center, near the cell sidewall, and near the bottom plate of the cell, respectively. In addition, the Reynolds number based on these scales, $Re_L$, are obtained. It is found that all measured $Re_L$ scales as $Re_L \sim Ra$, with the exponent $\beta \approx 0.5$, except $Re_L$ for the horizontal velocity at the cell center, which has a $\beta \approx 0.75$. The local dissipation scale field $\eta$ at the three different places are also studied. Our results reveal two types of universality of $\eta$. The first one is that, for the same flow, the probability density functions (PDF) of $\eta$ are insensitive to turbulent intensity and large-scale inhomogeneity and anisotropy of the system. The second is that the small-scale dissipation dynamics in buoyancy-driven turbulence can be described by the same models developed for homogeneous and isotropic turbulence. However, the exact functional form of the PDF of the local dissipation scale is not universal with respect to different types of flows, but depends on the integral-scale velocity boundary condition, which is found to have an exponential, not Gaussian, distribution in turbulent Rayleigh-Bénard convection.

1. Introduction

Fluid turbulence exhibits intermittent nature ubiquitously, such as intense spikes of velocity gradients and energy dissipation rates in both space and time. Such behaviors are usually studied quantitatively by investigating the cascades of turbulent kinetic energy transferred continuously from large to small scales (Frisch, 1995; Sreenivasan & Antonia, 1997; Ishihara et al., 2009). In the classical Kolmogorov’s theory (Kolmogorov, 1941), this cascade process would stop at the smallest length scale of turbulence, known as the Kolmogorov dissipation scale $\eta_K$, below which energy is dissipated into heat. Based on dimensional arguments, $\eta_K$ can be derived as $\eta_K = (\nu^3/\langle \epsilon \rangle)^{1/4}$, where $\nu$ is the kinematic viscosity of the fluid. As a mean length obtained from the mean energy dissipation rate $\langle \epsilon \rangle$, however, $\eta_K$ precludes the intermittent nature of turbulence. To establish a connection between the dissipation scale and the intensive and localized turbulent events, Paladin & Vulpiani (1987) put forward the idea of a local dissipation scale, i.e. the local Reynolds number associated with an eddy of length scale $\eta$ is of order 1:

$$Re_\eta = \eta |\delta_v v|/\nu \sim 1.$$  

(1)
Table 1. Experimental conditions and parameters for measurements performed at cell center. $L_x$ and $L_z$ are the longitudinal integral length scales, respectively, in the horizontal and vertical directions. $Re_{Lx}$ and $Re_{Lz}$ are Reynolds numbers based on $L_x$ and $L_z$, respectively. The scales $\eta_0x$ and $\eta_0z$ are calculated as $\eta_0x = L_x Re_{Lx}^{-0.72}$ and $\eta_0z = L_z Re_{Lz}^{-0.72}$, and the averaged scale $\eta_0 = (\eta_0x + \eta_0z)/2$. $\eta_K$ is the global Kolmogorov scale.

| No. | Ra    | $L_x$ (m) | $L_z$ (m) | $Re_{Lx}$ | $Re_{Lz}$ | $\eta_0x$ (mm) | $\eta_0z$ (mm) | $\eta_0$ (mm) | $\eta_K$ (mm) | $\Delta_l/\eta_0$ |
|-----|-------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|
| 1   | $5.9 \times 10^9$ | 0.091     | 0.066     | 219       | 136       | 1.77            | 1.83           | 1.80         | 1.30         | 0.33            |
| 2   | $1.1 \times 10^{10}$ | 0.103     | 0.070     | 379       | 217       | 1.34            | 1.37           | 1.36         | 1.07         | 0.44            |
| 3   | $2.9 \times 10^{10}$ | 0.111     | 0.069     | 717       | 367       | 0.91            | 0.93           | 0.92         | 0.79         | 0.64            |
| 4   | $5.7 \times 10^{10}$ | 0.130     | 0.062     | 1238      | 428       | 0.72            | 0.74           | 0.73         | 0.63         | 0.81            |
| 5   | $1.1 \times 10^{11}$ | 0.161     | 0.070     | 2068      | 709       | 0.61            | 0.58           | 0.59         | 0.51         | 1.00            |

Here, $\delta_v v = v_r (r + \eta) - v_r (r)$ is the longitudinal velocity increment over a separation $\eta$. Such a definition implies a local balance between the inertial force $(\delta_v v)^2/\eta$ and the viscous force $\nu |\delta_v v|/\eta^2$ at a particular point in space and time. The resulting $\eta$ is therefore a field that fluctuates in both space and time and hence may be used to reflect intermittency. Recently, the probability density function (PDF) of $\eta$, $Q(\eta)$, within the range $0 < \eta < L$ ($L$ is the integral length scale of the turbulence) was proposed analytically by Yakhot (2006, 2008) based on the Mellin transform of structure functions and by Biferale (2008) based on the multifractal formalism. Both analytical predictions consist of distributions of scales varying from very fine sub-Kolmogorov scales, related to the very intense velocity gradients in the form of slender vortex filaments with diameters of order $\eta_K$ or even less, to those much larger than $\eta_K$. Results obtained later from high-resolution numerical simulations of homogeneous and isotropic box turbulence (Schumacher, 2007; Schumacher, Sreenivasan & Yakhot, 2007) and experiments in turbulent pipe flows (Bailey et al., 2009) were both found to agree well with the theoretical distributions. These results seem to suggest a universality of the smallest-scale fluctuations around $\eta_K$, but further tests in different types of turbulent flows are needed.

In this paper we want to generalize these ideas into the thermally-driven turbulence, an important class of turbulent flows that plays an essential role in many natural processes. The flow at hand is turbulent convection in a fluid layer heated from below and cooled from above, i.e. turbulent Rayleigh-Bénard convection (RBC), which has become a paradigm for understanding the thermally-driven turbulence (Ahlers et al., 2009; Lohse & Xia, 2010). Cascades of velocity and temperature fluctuations in such a system have been studied extensively in the past two decades (Lohse & Xia, 2010). Here, we report measurements of the integral-scale Reynolds number scaling and the local dissipation scale distribution at three representative locations in a cylindrical turbulent RBC cell. Some of the results concerning local-dissipation scale presented here have been previously reported elsewhere (Zhou & Xia, 2010), in the present paper we provide more experimental details and measured parameters. In addition, we report new results concerning the integral-scale Reynolds number scaling.

2. Experimental setup and parameters
The convection cell is similar to that used in previous experiments (Sun et al., 2005), but has a different size (Zhou & Xia, 2010; Zhou et al., 2011). Briefly it is a vertical cylinder with top and bottom copper plates and plexiglas sidewall, with its height $H$ and inner diameter both being 50 cm. During the experiment the entire cell was wrapped by several layers of Styrofoam and the cell was tilted by a small angle of about 0.5° so that the measurements were carried out within the vertical plane of the large-scale circulation. The mean temperature of water was kept at 29°C, corresponding to a Prandtl number $Pr = \nu/\kappa = 5.5$. The experiment covered the range $5.9 \times 10^9 \lesssim Ra \lesssim 1.1 \times 10^{11}$ of the Rayleigh number $Ra = \alpha g \Delta T H^3/\nu \kappa$, with $g$ being the gravitational acceleration, $\Delta T$ the temperature difference across the fluid layer, and $\alpha$ and $\kappa$ being, respectively, the thermal expansion coefficient and the thermal diffusivity of the working fluid (water). The velocity field was measured by the particle image velocimetry (PIV) technique with a measuring area of $3.7 \times 3.7$ cm² and a spatial resolution $\Delta l = 0.59$ mm, corresponding to $63 \times 63$ measured velocity vectors. Hollow glass spheres of 10-µm-diameter were used as seed particles. The PIV measurements were performed at three different places of the cell: its center, 2 cm from the sidewall at mid-height, and 2 cm above the center of the bottom plate. Denote the laser-illuminated plane as the $xz$ plane, the horizontal velocity component $u(x, z)$ and the vertical one $w(x, z)$ were then obtained. Each measurement lasted 3 hours in which a total of 25 000 two-dimensional vector maps were acquired with a sampling rate $\sim 2.3$ Hz.

### Table 2. Experimental conditions and parameters for measurements performed near the cell sidewall.

| No. | $Ra$  | $L_x$ (m) | $L_z$ (m) | $Re_{L_x}$ | $Re_{L_z}$ | $\eta_{0x}$ (mm) | $\eta_{0z}$ (mm) | $\eta_0$ (mm) | $\eta_K$ (mm) | $\Delta l/\eta_0$ |
|-----|------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|
| 1   | $5.9 \times 10^9$ | 0.031 | 0.151 | 58 | 568 | 1.59 | 1.47 | 1.53 | 1.30 | 0.39 |
| 2   | $1.1 \times 10^{10}$ | 0.031 | 0.145 | 87 | 816 | 1.19 | 1.08 | 1.14 | 1.07 | 0.52 |
| 3   | $2.0 \times 10^{10}$ | 0.033 | 0.149 | 117 | 945 | 1.03 | 1.00 | 1.02 | 0.88 | 0.58 |
| 4   | $2.9 \times 10^{10}$ | 0.034 | 0.147 | 147 | 1091 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.79 | 0.66 |
| 5   | $4.0 \times 10^{10}$ | 0.029 | 0.143 | 144 | 1340 | 0.77 | 0.75 | 0.76 | 0.71 | 0.78 |
| 6   | $5.7 \times 10^{10}$ | 0.033 | 0.156 | 196 | 1820 | 0.69 | 0.65 | 0.67 | 0.63 | 0.88 |
| 7   | $8.1 \times 10^{10}$ | 0.033 | 0.146 | 229 | 1946 | 0.62 | 0.58 | 0.60 | 0.56 | 0.99 |
| 8   | $9.5 \times 10^{10}$ | 0.031 | 0.134 | 258 | 2160 | 0.54 | 0.49 | 0.52 | 0.54 | 1.15 |
| 9   | $1.1 \times 10^{11}$ | 0.031 | 0.155 | 252 | 2577 | 0.54 | 0.50 | 0.52 | 0.51 | 1.14 |

### Table 3. Experimental conditions and parameters for measurements performed near the bottom plate.

| No. | $Ra$  | $L_x$ (m) | $L_z$ (m) | $Re_{L_x}$ | $Re_{L_z}$ | $\eta_{0x}$ (mm) | $\eta_{0z}$ (mm) | $\eta_0$ (mm) | $\eta_K$ (mm) | $\Delta l/\eta_0$ |
|-----|------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|
| 1   | $5.9 \times 10^9$ | 0.093 | 0.029 | 353 | 67 | 1.29 | 1.32 | 1.30 | 1.30 | 0.45 |
| 2   | $1.1 \times 10^{10}$ | 0.101 | 0.028 | 496 | 94 | 1.09 | 1.03 | 1.06 | 1.07 | 0.56 |
| 3   | $2.0 \times 10^{10}$ | 0.109 | 0.029 | 695 | 122 | 0.92 | 0.86 | 0.89 | 0.88 | 0.67 |
| 4   | $2.9 \times 10^{10}$ | 0.105 | 0.028 | 883 | 152 | 0.74 | 0.72 | 0.73 | 0.79 | 0.81 |
| 5   | $4.0 \times 10^{10}$ | 0.104 | 0.028 | 966 | 174 | 0.69 | 0.64 | 0.67 | 0.71 | 0.90 |
| 6   | $5.7 \times 10^{10}$ | 0.105 | 0.028 | 1098 | 206 | 0.63 | 0.57 | 0.60 | 0.63 | 0.99 |
| 7   | $8.1 \times 10^{10}$ | 0.103 | 0.028 | 1315 | 246 | 0.55 | 0.50 | 0.52 | 0.56 | 1.13 |
| 8   | $9.5 \times 10^{10}$ | 0.113 | 0.029 | 1599 | 273 | 0.52 | 0.48 | 0.50 | 0.54 | 1.18 |
3. Results and discussions

3.1. Properties of integral scales

A key response of the RBC system to the imposed $Ra$ is the extent of turbulence, characterized by a Reynolds number. Experimentally, the magnitude of the velocity field at different locations and the circulation frequency of the large-scale circulation are often used to define the system Reynolds number (see, for a recent review, Ahlers et al., 2009). However, the study on the relationship between $Ra$ and the Reynolds number based on the integral length scale, $Re_{Li}$, defined as

$$Re_{Li} = \frac{\sigma_{Li} L_i}{\nu}, \quad (2)$$
is very limited. Here, \( i = x, y, \) and \( z, \) \( L_i \) is the longitudinal integral length scale obtained by integrating the longitudinal autocorrelation function of the \( i \)th component of the velocity, and 
\[
\sigma_{L_i} = \langle (v_i(x) - v_i(x))^2 \rangle^{1/2}
\]

is the RMS value of the longitudinal velocity increment across the scale \( L_i. \) In the present study, the technique of spatial multi-point velocity measurements, i.e. the PIV technique, enables us to measure directly \( L_i \) and \( \sigma_{L_i}, \) and hence \( Re_{L_i}. \) Figure 1 shows the \( Ra \)-dependence of \( L_x/H \) and \( L_z/H \) at the three different locations. It is seen that \( L_x/H \) increases with increasing \( Ra \) at the cell center, whereas all other \( L_x/H \) and \( L_z/H \) are essentially independent of \( Ra \) within the error bar. A possible reason for this is that with increasing \( Ra \) the sloshing motion of the large-scale circulation (Xi et al., 2009; Zhou et al., 2009) may increase the coherence of the horizontal motion of the fluid at the cell center, resulting in an increase of \( L_x/H \) of the flow field. Figure 2 shows in a log-log plot the measured \( Re_{L_x} \) and \( Re_{L_z} \) vs \( Ra \) at the three different locations. The lines are power-law fits to the data, which give

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{At the cell center,} & \quad \begin{cases} Re_{L_x} = (9.9 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-6} Ra^{0.75 \pm 0.05} \\
Re_{L_z} = (9.8 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-4} Ra^{0.53 \pm 0.05}.
\end{cases} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Near the cell sidewall,} & \quad \begin{cases} Re_{L_x} = (8.4 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-4} Ra^{0.50 \pm 0.04} \\
Re_{L_z} = (8.4 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-3} Ra^{0.49 \pm 0.04}.
\end{cases} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Near the bottom plate,} & \quad \begin{cases} Re_{L_x} = (3.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3} Ra^{0.51 \pm 0.04} \\
Re_{L_z} = (1.1 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-3} Ra^{0.49 \pm 0.04}.
\end{cases} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
\]

One sees that all measured \( Re_{L_i} \) scales as \( Ra^{0.75} \) except \( Re_{L_x} \) obtained at the cell center, which scales as \( Ra^{0.53}. \) Such a large scaling exponent between \( Re_{L_x} \) and \( Ra \) may be due to the increase of \( L_x/H \) with increasing \( Ra. \) For comparison with the traditional Reynolds number of the large-scale circulation, we also plot in figure 2 the \( Ra \)-dependence of \( Re_{side} \) and \( Re_{bot}. \) Here, \( Re_{side} = w_{sm} H/\nu \) and \( Re_{bot} = u_{bm} H/\nu \) with \( w_{sm} \) and \( u_{bm} \) being the maximum vertical velocity near the cell sidewall and \( u_{bm} \) the maximum horizontal velocity near the bottom plate. The best power-laws to the data give

\[
\begin{align*}
Re_{side} &= (0.052 \pm 0.005) Ra^{0.49 \pm 0.04} \\
Re_{bot} &= (0.034 \pm 0.003) Ra^{0.50 \pm 0.04},
\end{align*}
\]

which share the same scaling exponents as those previously found in cylindrical (Lam et al., 2002) and rectangular (Xia et al., 2003, 2005) cells. It can be seen that except for \( Re_{L_x} \) at the cell center, all \( Re_{L_x} \) and \( Re_{L_z} \) share the same scaling exponents as \( Re_{side} \) and \( Re_{bot} \) within experimental uncertainty, but \( Re_{side} \) within experimental uncertainty, but \( Re_{side} \) and \( Re_{bot} \) have a much larger magnitude.

It is usually assumed that velocity increments \( \delta_{LV} \) across the integral length scale \( L \) are Gaussian distributed, i.e. \( P(\delta_{LV}) \sim \exp(-\delta_{LV}^2/2). \) However, such an assumption of Gaussianity has not been verified in the turbulent RBC system. To test this, we measured the global velocity field over an area of \( 49 \times 49 \) cm\(^2\) (\( \Delta_x = 7.76 \) mm) in the convection cell using the PIV method and obtained the integral-scale velocity increments at the three locations. Figure 3 shows the PDF of \( \delta_{LV} \) at cell center and near the sidewall and that of \( \delta_{LV} \) near the bottom plate. One finds surprisingly that the measured PDFs exhibit decaying exponential tails at all three locations, rather than Gaussian distribution (dashed curve in the figure). This is different from all known experiments and simulations of isotropic turbulence. To offer a plausible explanation, we note that the turbulent flow in our system is driven by buoyancy in the vertical direction, which is also believed to be the dominant force governing the cascade dynamics above the so-called Bolgiano scale (Lohse & Xia, 2010). In the time domain, it has been found that the Bolgiano timescale...
Figure 3. PDFs of the vertical velocity increments $\delta Lw$ at cell center (left) and near the sidewall (center) and that of the horizontal velocity increments $\delta Lu$ near the bottom plate (right) at $Ra = 9.5 \times 10^{10}$ and $Pr = 5.5$. The dashed line is a Gaussian distribution for reference.

Figure 4. PDFs of the local dissipation scales for the horizontal and vertical velocity components, $Q(\eta_x/\eta_0)$ (open circles) and $Q(\eta_z/\eta_0)$ (solid triangles), measured at different locations and for $Ra = 5.9 \times 10^9$ (a) and $5.7 \times 10^{10}$ (b). For clarity, results obtained near the sidewall and near the bottom plate are shifted upwards by one and two decades, respectively, with respect to those obtained at cell center.

in turbulent RBC is of order one second (Ching et al., 2004; Zhou & Xia, 2008), which is only a factor of 2 or 3 smaller than the integral timescale. The observed exponential distributions of $\delta Lu$ and $\delta Lw$ may thus come from the buoyancy-induced intermittency, which is then transferred from large to small scales.

3.2. Properties of local dissipation scales

The PDF $Q(\eta)$ is determined from the measured velocity fields in the following way. We first fix a length $\ell$ that is an integral multiple of the spatial resolution $\Delta l$, i.e. $\ell = n\Delta l$. The longitudinal velocity increments across the separation $\ell$ in both horizontal and vertical directions, $\delta Lu$ and $\delta Lw$, are then calculated for each velocity vector measured at each discrete time $t$. If either of the obtained values of $\ell|\delta u|/\nu$ and $\ell|\delta w|/\nu$ are between 0.9 and 2 Bailey et al. (2009), they contribute to the occurrence of local dissipation at a scale $\ell = \eta$. $Q(\eta)$ is then determined as

$$Q(\eta) = q n(\eta)/N(\eta),$$

where $N(\eta)$ is the total number of calculated velocity increments over a separation $\eta$, $n(\eta)$ is the count of events among $N(\eta)$ that satisfy the local balance at scale $\eta$, and $q$ is a normalization parameter determined from $\int Q(\eta) d\eta = 1$. In the following, three PDFs are presented. $Q(\eta_x)$ is obtained from the $u$ component only and $Q(\eta_z)$ from the $w$ component. Whereas, $Q(\eta)$ contains contributions from both the horizontal and vertical velocity components.
Figures 4(a) and (b) show log-log plots of $Q(\eta_z/\eta_0)$ (open circles) and $Q(\eta_z/\eta_0)$ (solid triangles) measured at the three locations. As one can see in tables 1, 2, and 3, the scales $\eta_{0x} = L_x Re_L^{-0.72}$ and $\eta_{0z} = L_z Re_L^{-0.72}$ (Schumacher, 2007) are nearly the same at all locations and for all $Ra$. Therefore, we use the averaged scale $\eta_0 = (\eta_{0x} + \eta_{0z})/2$ to rescale the results. One sees that at each measuring location the distributions obtained in horizontal and vertical directions coincide excellently with each other within all measured scales, suggesting that the turbulent dynamics of the dissipative range in buoyancy-driven turbulence is isotropic. As the flow is driven by buoyancy in the vertical direction, this result is somewhat unexpected and surprising, especially for data obtained in the plume-dominated regions near the sidewall and near the bottom plate where the turbulent flow is highly anisotropic. The results shown in figure 4 reveal that such buoyancy-induced anisotropy cannot be captured efficiently by $Q(\eta)$ for velocity components along different directions. Hereafter, we will discuss only $Q(\eta)$.

Figure 5 shows $Q(\eta/\eta_0)$ for all values of $Ra$. The figure appears to show that the shape of $Q(\eta)$ is independent of $Ra$. However, as we shall see below, predictions of theoretical models, i.e. equations (8) and (9), both show a dependence on $Re_L$ (thus $Ra$). This is because comparing to the dependence on $\eta$, the dependence on $Re_L$ is weak and over the parameter range of our experiment this weak $Re_L$-dependence cannot be manifested clearly in the measured $Q(\eta)$. With the measured $Q(\eta/\eta_0)$, one can estimate a mean dissipation scale from its first moment. Here, we find that $\langle \eta \rangle = 7.1\eta_0$, 7.7$\eta_0$, and $8.1\eta_0$ for distributions obtained at cell center, near the sidewall, and near the bottom plate, respectively. These mean values of $\eta$ are close to $10\eta_K$, which is located at the lower end of the inertial range (Sun et al., 2006; Zhou et al., 2008). Note that because of the limited resolution our present results could not properly resolve the left tail of $Q(\eta/\eta_0)$ when $Ra \gtrsim 5.7 \times 10^{10}$ [figure 4(b)].

In figure 6, we compare $Q(\eta/\eta_0)$ measured at the three representative locations: at nearly homogeneous and isotropic cell center (circles) and in the plume-dominated regions near the sidewall (up-triangles) and near the bottom plate (down-triangles). It is seen that the three distributions are nearly identical with each other. This suggests that $Q(\eta/\eta_0)$ is insensitive to the large-scale inhomogeneity of turbulent RBC, further indicating the universality of $Q(\eta/\eta_0)$ (for the same type of flow). Nevertheless, one can also see that $Q(\eta/\eta_0)$ measured in the plume-dominated regions exhibit a less-steep left tail than that measured at cell center. An increase of the probabilities at the smallest values of $\eta/\eta_0$ indicates the enhanced velocity gradients at these scales and hence is a manifestation of the increased level of small-scale intermittency. As the $Ra$-dependence of $Q(\eta/\eta_0)$ is weak, this feature could be attributed to the presence of coherent structures, like plumes, in the near-wall regions. In the figure, numerical and experimental data from homogeneous isotropic box turbulence (Schumacher, 2007) and from turbulent pipe flows (Bailey et al., 2009) are also shown. (The two data were both taken from figure 4 of Bailey et al. (2009) using a data capturing software.) Good agreements can be seen in the right tails, whereas,
In the evaluations of equations (9) and (11), the log-Poisson spectrum (She & Leveque, 1994), 
directly the theoretical $Q_{\eta}$ multifractal dimension spectrum, and $\tilde{A}$ where $A$ is the Batchelor parametrization, Biferale (2008) obtained
within the range $0 < \eta < L$, where $a = 0.383$, $b = 0.0166$, and $c = O(1)$ is a fitting parameter (Schumacher, 2007; Bailey et al., 2009). Based on the multifractal formalism and using the Batchelor parametrization, Biferale (2008) obtained
\begin{equation}
Q(\eta) = 2/\{\pi b \log(L/\eta)^{1/2}\} \int_{0}^{\infty} dx \exp[-x^2 - \frac{\log[\sqrt{2\pi} Re_L (\eta/L)^{a+1}]}{4b \log(L/\eta)}],
\end{equation}
within the range $0 < \eta < L$, where $a = 0.383$, $b = 0.0166$, and $c = O(1)$ is a fitting parameter (Schumacher, 2007; Bailey et al., 2009). Based on the multifractal formalism and using the Batchelor parametrization, Biferale (2008) obtained
\begin{equation}
Q(\tilde{\eta}) = \int dh A^{4-h-D(h)} Re_L^{3h+3D(h)-10}/\eta^{1-h-D(h)} \exp[-0.5A^{2(1-h)} Re_L^{3h-1/2} \tilde{\eta}^{-2(1+h)}],
\end{equation}
where $A = O(1)$ is a fitting parameter, $h$ is the local scaling (or Hölder) exponent, $D(h)$ is the multifractal dimension spectrum, and $\tilde{\eta} = \eta/\eta_K$ with $\eta_K = LR^{-3/4}$. In figure 6 we compare directly the theoretical $Q(\eta/\eta_0)$ according to equations (8) and (9) with our measured results.\(^1\)
\(^1\) In the evaluations of equations (9) and (11), the log-Poisson spectrum (She & Leveque, 1994), $D(h) = 3(h - 1/3)/\log(\tilde{\xi}) [\log(3(\frac{3}{4} - h)/2 \log(\tilde{\xi})) - 1]$ + 1, was chosen and the integral was evaluated within the range $h_{\text{min}} < h \leq h_{\text{max}}$, where $h_{\text{min}} = \frac{1}{3}$ and $h_{\text{max}} = 0.38$ is obtained such that $D(h)$ reaches its maximum value of 3 at $h = h_{\text{max}}$.
\(^2\) Note that both the parameters $A$ and $c$ essentially control the peak position of the theoretically-predicted $Q(\eta)$.

\textbf{Figure 6.} Comparison among $Q(\eta/\eta_0)$ measured at the three representative locations in the cell for all values of $Ra$, the experimental result from turbulent pipe flow Bailey et al. (2009), the numerical result for box turbulence Schumacher (2007), and the theoretical distributions according to Eqs. (8), (9), (10), and (11) obtained with $Re_L = 217$ (the Reynolds number obtained at cell center for $Ra = 1.1 \times 10^{10}$).
Here we see that our measured $Q(\eta)$ have much higher values at small $\eta$ than both theoretical predictions.

To understand such a discrepancy, we note that both theoretical approaches (Yakhot, 2006; Biferale, 2008) used the assumption that velocity increments $\delta_L v$ across the integral length scale $L$ are Gaussian distributed, i.e. $P(\delta_L v) \sim \exp(-\delta_L v^2/2)$. Specifically, the left tail of $Q(\eta)$ is dominated by contributions from the stretched exponential in equation (9), which stems from the Gaussian-distributed integral-length-scale velocity. However, in the present system, figure 3 has shown that the measured PDFs of $\delta_L v$ exhibit decaying exponential tails at all three locations, rather than Gaussian distribution. Motivated by this, we now modify the two models proposed by Yakhot and Biferale, respectively. Following the same derivations as in references Yakhot (2006) and Biferale (2008), but instead using the exponential distribution $P(\delta_L v) \sim \exp(-|\delta_L v|)$ for the integral-length-scale velocity increments, we obtain, respectively,

$$Q(\eta) = 1/\{\pi [b \log(L/\eta)]^{1/2}\} \int_0^\infty dx \exp[-x - \frac{\log[x Re(L)^{a+1}]}{4b \log(L/\eta)}],$$

and

$$Q(\tilde{\eta}) = \int dh A^{4-h-D(h)} \eta^{3h+D(h)-10}/4 \tilde{\eta}^{1-h-D(h)} \exp[-A^{1-h}] \eta^{(3h-1)/4} \tilde{\eta}^{-(1+h)}].$$

Figure 6 shows direct comparison of $Q(\eta/\eta_0)$ according to equations (10) (blue solid line) and (11) (red solid line) and our measured results. The excellent agreement between the experimental and theoretical results for nearly all measured $\eta$ can be seen, except for equation (10) at large $\eta$. This excellent agreement with both predictions suggests that the models developed for isotropic and homogeneous turbulence can also be applied to buoyancy-driven turbulence, like turbulent RBC. It is in this sense that our results reveal that the local dissipation scale dynamics is universal, whereas the exact shape of the local dissipation scale PDF (specifically, its left part) obviously depends on the integral-scale velocity boundary condition.
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