Hepatitis A Outbreak in a Facility for the Disabled, Gyeonggi Province, Korea: An Epidemiological Investigation
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Objectives: The number of cases of hepatitis A virus (HAV) infections has sharply increased in Korea, especially among young adults. In this study, an HAV outbreak in a facility for disabled people was investigated, and we found epidemiological differences both between 2 different generations and between generally abled and disabled groups.

Methods: We analyzed the incubation period and attack rate of an HAV outbreak and investigated the prevalence of HAV antibodies among the staff and residents of a facility for the disabled. We performed a retrospective cohort study during the HAV outbreak, which lasted from February 8 to 25, 2019, including examinations of HAV antibody tests and post-exposure HAV vaccination for the staff or residents of the facility.

Results: There were 9 confirmed cases in 2 staff members and 7 residents. Among 53 people (30 staff and 23 residents), except for the 9 confirmed cases and 1 staff member with a known history of HAV infection, HAV seroprevalence was seen in 16.7% of the staff under 40 years of age and 95.2% of those over 40 years of age, while the corresponding rates in the residents were 0.0% and 58.8%, respectively.

Conclusions: This result implies that it is necessary to prioritize HAV vaccination for vulnerable groups and workers of residential care facilities.
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INTRODUCTION

Hepatitis A virus (HAV) is a single-stranded RNA virus belonging to the Picornaviridae family [1-4]. There are 7 genotypes of HAV, 4 of which (I, II, III, IV) induce infection in the human body [1-3,5,6]. HAV is transmitted by contaminated food or water and has an average incubation period of 28 days (range, 15-50) [1,7-9]. The clinical symptoms of HAV vary from mild to severe, and in symptomatic cases, dark urine and jaundice appear rapidly after the initial manifestation of systemic symptoms such as fever, headache, and fatigue [8,10].

In Korea, HAV infection is managed as a grade 2 communicable disease. Although HAV infection is preventable by vaccination, the number of cases of HAV infection has sharply increased recently in Korea [11-13]. Additionally, the prevalence of HAV infection in young adults accounts for a large proportion of the HAV infection burden in Korea [3,10,12,14-16]. This might be because general hygienic conditions have improved over the years; therefore, young adults have not been provid-
ed the opportunity to acquire natural immunity against HAV infection [10,17-19].

In this study, we investigated an HAV outbreak in a facility for disabled individuals, wherein we found epidemiological differences both between 2 different generations (aged under and over 40 years) and between generally abled and disabled groups. These results can be used as evidence to establish public health strategies, especially for prioritizing vulnerable groups to prevent HAV infection in Korea.

METHODS

Identification of the Outbreak
On February 18, 2019, the index case (a resident of facility A) of an HAV infection outbreak in facility A was reported to the Paju Health Center. The facility’s regular physician treated the index case’s fever and fatigue, and transferred him to a local medical facility. General laboratory tests were carried out for the index case, including an immunoglobulin M (IgM) anti-HAV test, and the individual was diagnosed with HAV infection on February 18, 2019. After 3 days, 1 more case was confirmed and 2 more were suspected by the Paju Health Center. On February 22, 2019, as multiple cases were either confirmed or suspected in the same place and at the same time, the situation was judged to be an outbreak. Therefore, an epidemiological investigation was initiated to determine the size and source of infection and prevent further transmission. Since HAV infection can be transmitted by contaminated food or feces, it is crucial to rapidly find and block the source of infection [1,9].

Case Definition
We defined a case as any individual who resided in or worked at facility A and whose laboratory test results were positive for the anti-HAV IgM test, regardless of their symptoms, from December 12, 2018 (i.e., the day before the maximum incubation period for HAV infection—50 days), to the day of symptom onset of the primary case (i.e., February 9, 2019). The risk population was defined as all staff and residents belonging to facility A during the period in which cases were confirmed and suspected. According to the Waterborne and Foodborne Infectious Diseases Management Guidelines of the Korea Disease Control and Prevention Agency, the rest of the residents and staff in facility A were defined as exposed (i.e., people who reside in the same place, regularly eat together, or share a toilet during the infectious period).

Study Design and Response Measures
A retrospective cohort study was conducted, including all residents and staff. Considering that the exposed individuals were strictly limited to facility A and the size of this population did not change during the investigation period, a retrospective cohort study design was appropriate for this case. After identification of the outbreak and as per the instructions of the infectious disease investigator of Gyeonggi Province, data were collected on a history of common food consumption, genotype analysis of HAV from the cases, and water use (cooking, drinking, and living water). After collecting the above data, the following was carried out: an assessment of contact history and intensity between the residents and staff, HAV antibody testing for all exposed individuals, vaccination for people who were identified as being susceptible to HAV infection, isolation and medical treatment of people who showed HAV infection-related symptoms, and vaccination for people who did not belong to facility A.

Data Collection and Analysis
We obtained demographic and medical information on the at-risk population through face-to-face interviews. Due to the medical conditions of the residents, most of them were unable to converse; the investigator therefore collected general information mainly from the staff. We used R version 4.0.2 (https://www.r-project.org/) for the statistical analyses.

Ethics Statement
The Institutional Review Board of Seoul National University Bundang Hospital exempted this study from review because the data in this study did not include any personal or identifiable information (X-2103-673-904).

RESULTS

Outbreak Investigations
From the onset of the index case’s symptoms on February 8, 2019, the outbreak lasted for 17 days and resulted in 9 cases of HAV infection (Figure 1). Not every case immediately underwent laboratory testing for HAV because 5 cases identified earlier visited different hospitals individually. After the outbreak was identified, on February 22, 2019, the infectious disease investigator of Gyeonggi Province ordered a screening test for all members of facility A, which identified 4 more cases. Exposure to the pathogen was assumed to have occurred between
January 1 and 31, 2019, considering that the incubation period of HAV is known to be 15 days to 50 days, with an average of 28 days, and the peak of the epidemic curve was located on February 15, 2019. The age of the at-risk population varied from 25 years to 77 years, while that of the cases varied from 32 years to 51 years (Table 1). The overall attack rate in facility A was 14.3%. The attack rates were not significantly different according to sex. There were no confirmed cases in the age groups under 30 years and over 60 years. The 31-year to 40-year age group showed the highest attack rate (43.8%). The attack rates in the staff and residents were 6.1% and 23.3%, respectively.

To identify the impact of disability and its related characteristics on HAV infection, we calculated the attack rate according to disability classification and related characteristics, including level of communication ability and requirement for assistance when eating (Table 2). Regarding the disability classification, residents with physical disability had the highest attack rate (33.3%). Likewise, those who had difficulties communicating fluently had a higher attack rate (33.3%) than other groups defined by communication ability. Regarding the requirement for assistance while eating, residents who needed total assistance showed the highest HAV infection rate (50.0%).

Among the 9 cases, 7 were symptomatic. The major symptoms in the 7 symptomatic cases were fever (77.8%), nausea (55.6%), jaundice, abdominal pain, vomiting, general weakness, and others (33.3%), and abnormal urine (hematuria, oliguria). Six of 7 patients had a high fever (over 38°C), and one had a fever of 37.8°C. Minor symptoms included cough, headache, ascites, and anorexia.

To rule out person-to-person transmission, the contact history between each confirmed case was investigated. However, no epidemiological association between them was identified. It was found that all confirmed cases were located on the first floor of facility A; however, this was not a meaningful discovery because the second floor was mostly composed of rooms for staff (office), couples, or for common use.

**Laboratory Tests**

The laboratory test results of the samples are described in Table 3. On February 23 and February 25, 2019, all staff and residents were tested for HAV antibodies, which resulted in 3 additional IgM-positive cases. Among the 7 residents identified as HAV cases, stool polymerase chain reaction tests were

---

**Table 1. Descriptive table of the hepatitis A outbreak in facility A, Gyeonggi Province, Korea**

| Characteristics | Cases (n=9) | Risk population (n=63) | Attack rate (%) |
|-----------------|-----------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| **Sex**         |           |                       |                 |
| Female          | 5         | 35                    | 14.3            |
| Male            | 4         | 28                    | 14.3            |
| **Age, range (y)** |         |                       |                 |
| 21-30           | 0         | 9                     | 0.0             |
| 31-40           | 7         | 16                    | 43.8            |
| 41-50           | 1         | 11                    | 9.1             |
| 51-60           | 1         | 18                    | 5.6             |
| 61-70           | 0         | 7                     | 0.0             |
| Over 70         | 0         | 2                     | 0.0             |
| **Occupation**  |           |                       |                 |
| Staff           | 2         | 33                    | 6.1             |
| Resident        | 7         | 30                    | 23.3            |

---

**Table 2. Attack rate of residents by classification of disability, level of communication ability, and requirement for assistance when eating in facility A, Gyeonggi Province, Korea**

| Characteristics | Cases (n=7) | Risk population (n=30) | Attack rate (%) |
|-----------------|------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| Classification of disability |           |                       |                 |
| Intellectual disability | 5         | 20                     | 25.0            |
| Physical disability | 1         | 3                      | 33.3            |
| Both            | 1         | 7                      | 14.3            |
| Level of communication ability | |                       |                 |
| Communication possible | 1         | 8                      | 12.5            |
| Challenged      | 6         | 18                     | 33.3            |
| Unable          | 0         | 4                      | 0.0             |
| Requirement for assistance when eating | |                       |                 |
| Total assistance | 3         | 6                      | 50.0            |
| Partial assistance | 1        | 3                      | 33.3            |
| No assistance needed | 3        | 21                     | 14.3            |

---

**Figure 1. Date of symptom onset for the hepatitis A infection cases at facility A, Gyeonggi Province, Korea.**
Hepatitis A Outbreak in a Facility for Disabled

The inside of the cooking room was well divided into the inspection area, washing area, and pre-treatment area. Refrigeration and freezing temperatures were properly maintained, and no ingredients were past the expiration date. There were 3 cooks, all of whom had health certificates and were wearing sanitary clothes and hats while cooking, indicative of good health compliance. The staff and residents were served the same meals and ate in a shared dining facility. To rule out the possibility of transmission via food, the investigator also examined the menu served during the incubation period (50 days before and 14 days after symptom onset of the index case). The
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The inside of the cooking room was well divided into the inspection area, washing area, and pre-treatment area. Refrigeration and freezing temperatures were properly maintained, and no ingredients were past the expiration date. There were 3 cooks, all of whom had health certificates and were wearing sanitary clothes and hats while cooking, indicative of good health compliance. The staff and residents were served the same meals and ate in a shared dining facility. To rule out the possibility of transmission via food, the investigator also examined the menu served during the incubation period (50 days before and 14 days after symptom onset of the index case). The

also positive for 6 cases. HAV 1A was suspected to be the causative pathogen of the outbreak. As the same HAV genotype was found in the groundwater, the source of infection was suspected—but not concluded—to be groundwater due to the unclear temporal order between the outbreak and the contamination of the groundwater.

Table 4 shows the seroprevalence of HAV antibodies. Among the commuting staff, 95.2% of individuals (n = 21) over 40 years old were positive for immunoglobulin G (IgG) antibodies against HAV; however, only 2 of 12 staff members under the age of 40 (16.7%) had anti-HAV IgG antibodies. Among the residents, 10 of the 17 people aged over 40 years (58.8%) were positive for IgG antibodies, and none of them had IgM antibodies. IgM antibody positivity was found in 2 staff members and 5 residents under 40 years of age, as well as in 2 residents aged over 40 years.

We also conducted laboratory tests on the environment of facility A, including samples collected from kitchens, living rooms, and other common places, as well as water. We found that the 15 samples collected from inside facility A were negative for the pathogen; however, HAV 1A was found in the groundwater that was used for bathing, washing, and cleaning.

DISCUSSION

In this study, 9 individuals out of 33 staff members and 30 residents at a facility for the disabled were diagnosed with HAV 1A infection in February 2019. According to the laboratory test results, groundwater was suspected to be the source of the outbreak, but this hypothesis was not confirmed due to a lack of information that clarified the temporal order of groundwater contamination and the HAV outbreak. Considering that the incubation period of HAV infection extends up to 50 days [1,7–9], the epidemic curve of this outbreak (Figure 1) showed a point source outbreak pattern. The overall attack rate at facili-
ty A was 14.3%, with the highest attack rate (43.8%) among individuals aged 31-40 years, which is consistent with previous studies finding that younger adults are more vulnerable to HAV infection [10,17-19]. Considering that previous studies have explained the vulnerability of younger adults by lowered opportunity of exposure to naturally circulating pathogens due to improved sanitary and hygiene systems, the difference in the attack rate between staff and residents (6.1 and 23.3%, respectively), implies that residents’ relatively low opportunities for external or social activities resulted in higher susceptibility [17-19].

Furthermore, the difference between the staff and residents was especially pronounced when stratified by age, as shown in Table 4, which presents the differences in anti-HAV seroprevalence between the groups aged under and over 40 years. Intergenerational differences in anti-HAV seroprevalence have been discussed in several previous studies, but the magnitude of the differences found herein reinforces the importance of vaccination for vulnerable populations. The anti-HAV seroprevalence of the residents was lower than that of both the staff members and the general population [3,17,20,21]. Since people with physical and mental disabilities mostly cannot explain their conditions by themselves, further HAV outbreaks can occur in other residential facilities due to delayed recognition and identification [22]. Therefore, it would be helpful to immunize those working and living at facilities for the disabled.

The results for the attack rate of residents by classification of disability, level of communication ability, and necessity of assistance when eating reflects the possibility of person-to-person transmission. The reason for this is that physically disabled people generally require more direct contact with the staff. Furthermore, the necessity of assistance when eating showed consistent results, as the residents who required total assistance showed the highest attack rate (50.0%). This result aligns with those of a previous study analyzing an HAV outbreak at a residential facility for disabled people in 2011, which found that the attack rate of the residents was significantly higher than that of the teachers or staff [23]. This result indicates a higher susceptibility of residents given an evenly distributed intensity of exposure in the high-risk population.

Even with the immediate response by the public health authorities, there are several limitations of this investigation and study. First, since regular checks for groundwater do not include HAV testing in Korea, it was difficult to clarify the temporal order of the incident, even though regular groundwater tests were never missed during the investigation period. The investigator examined the latest results of groundwater testing for facility A; however, it was irrelevant, as the results did not include HAV. Second, considering that HAV is transmitted mainly via the fecal-oral route, the most suspected source of infection, kimchi, could not be tested. At the time of investigation, the suspected kimchi had already been consumed by the staff and residents; therefore, the investigator could not request a laboratory test for the kimchi. Lastly, since most of the confirmed cases were disabled and unable to communicate fluently, it was difficult to track person-to-person transmission. Although the investigation was conducted with the hypothesis that there was an even level of exposure to pathogens in the risk population since the daily life of the residents was confined to facility A, an evaluation of person-to-person transmission could have enabled more accurate research results.

Despite these limitations, this study emphasizes the necessity of establishing infectious disease management policies, such as infection prevention management rules, systematic HAV antibody testing, and prioritization of vaccinations for staff and residents of facilities. People living in groups should be aware of the risk of exposure to HAV and should be proactively vaccinated.
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