Hyperdoping silicon with selenium: solid vs. liquid phase epitaxy
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Chalcogen-hyperdoped silicon shows potential applications in silicon-based infrared photodetectors and intermediate band solar cells. Due to the low solid solubility limits of chalcogen elements in silicon, these materials were previously realized by femtosecond or nanosecond laser annealing of implanted silicon or bare silicon in certain background gases. The high energy density deposited on the silicon surface leads to a liquid phase and the fast recrystallization velocity allows trapping of chalcogen into the silicon matrix. However, this method encounters the problem of surface segregation. In this paper, we propose a solid phase processing by flash-lamp annealing in the millisecond range, which is in between the conventional rapid thermal annealing and pulsed laser annealing. Flash lamp annealed selenium-implanted silicon shows a substitutional fraction of ~70% with an implanted concentration up to 2.3%. The resistivity is lower and the carrier mobility is higher than those of nanosecond pulsed laser annealed samples. Our results show that flash-lamp annealing is superior to laser annealing in preventing surface segregation and in allowing scalability.

C halcogen-hyperdoped silicon (Si) much above the solid solubility limits has been investigated due to its specific physical properties, such as a near-unity broadband (particularly below the Si bandgap) absorption⁴⁻⁶, a large enhancement of below-band-gap photocurrent generation⁵, and insulator-to-metal transition⁶,⁷. These novel properties make chalcogen–hyperdoped Si a promising material for applications in Si-based infrared photodetectors⁸⁻¹⁰ and intermediate band solar cells¹¹⁻¹⁴. The insulator-to-metal transition realized in sulfur and selenium doped Si is driven by deep level impurities, which opens a new material test-bed for insulator-to-metal transition, the long-standing fundamental problem. Note that chalcogen elements in Si generally have much lower solubility limits compared with III and V-column elements. For instance, the solid solubility limit for sulfur in Si¹⁵ was determined to be around 10¹⁷ cm⁻³. To realize hyperdoping above the solid solubility limits, non-equilibrium methods were used. Femtosecond (fs) or nanosecond (ns) pulsed laser irradiation was successfully applied to prepare chalcogen-hyperdoped Si by melting the Si surface in certain background gases containing chalcogens or with a powder/film of chalcogen on the surface³,¹⁶⁻¹⁷. In parallel, by ion implantation and subsequent annealing with ns laser pulses chalcogen-hyperdoped Si have been fabricated¹,⁾²⁻⁴. Both fs and ns (or even longer pulse length) laser annealing (pulsed laser annealing: PLA) at a high enough energy density melts the Si surface⁶ and renders a fast solidification after the laser is off. The solute impurities are trapped at the moving interface when the regrowth time is short enough. However, the liquid phase epitaxy associated with fs or ns laser annealing encounters some drawbacks. One is the dopant redistribution and pileup at the surface. The second is the significant loss of the dopant due to surface evaporation. The third is the formation of polycrystalline materials mainly due to the rejected excess dopants. The last but not the least is its missing scalability and reproducibility of PLA, which inhibits the application in microelectronics industry.

In this paper, we propose a novel approach, flash lamp annealing (FLA) in millisecond time duration which allows for a solid phase epitaxy from implanted Si layers, to realize selenium hyperdoped Si. We will show that the solid phase epitaxy also can achieve selenium-hyperdoped Si with larger Hall mobility. Additionally, the problems mentioned above occurring in nanosecond pulsed laser annealing can be solved by flashlamp annealing.

Results

Selenium substitution without diffusion. Figure 1 shows the representative Rutherford backscattering spectrometry (RBS) random and channeling spectra of selenium-implanted Si substrates (Sample SiSe₂.3 in Table 1) that were pulsed laser annealed (wavelength: 308 nm, pulse length: 28 ns) or flash lamp annealed...
the experimental values. After PLA, a significant redistribution of selenium upon PLA. As shown in Fig. 1(b), selenium atoms move both inward and outward upon PLA, which has been reported in many papers. There is no observable redistribution of selenium upon PLA. However, there is a unique feature in the RBS spectra, the selenium signal of the FLA sample almost overlaps with that of the as-implanted sample. The so-called snow plough effect is avoided in solid phase epitaxy. In Fig. 1(b), we also show the depth distribution of selenium obtained by SRIM (Stopping and Range of Ions in Matter) simulation. The slightly shallow and narrow distribution of selenium from simulation is well known and is possibly due to the overestimation of electronic stopping for heavy ions in semiconductors.

In Figure 2 we compare the selenium substitutional fraction for samples with different selenium concentration and with different annealing parameters. Figure 2(a) shows the substitutional fraction after FA at different temperatures with the pulse duration of 1.3 ms. The temperature is estimated according to calibration and theory. All the samples are recrystallized. The substitutional fraction is between 40 and 70% and shows a maximum at the annealing temperature of 1473 K. The substitutional fraction decreases with increasing the flash lamp pulse duration from 1.3 ms to 20 ms as shown in Fig. 2(b). This can be expected, since the diffusion length will be much larger if the sample is kept at higher temperature for a longer time. For PLA samples, when the laser energy density is below 0.6 J/cm² the sample is not fully crystallized according to our RBS/channeling spectra. The projected range of selenium in FLA samples is in a reasonable agreement with SRIM simulation after considering the well-known discrepancy in the projection range between SRIM simulation and the experimental values. After PLA, a significant redistribution of selenium is observed. The inset shows the RBS spectra for the as-implanted and FLA samples measured using 1.5 MeV He ions. There is no redistribution of selenium after FLA.

Figure 1 | (a) A sequence of 1.7 MeV He RBS/channeling spectra of selenium implanted Si single crystals after different annealing (taking sample SiSe2.3 as the example). The channeling is along Si[001]. The Si matrix is recrystallized after both FLA (1.3 ms, 3.4 kV) and PLA (308 nm, 28 ns, 0.9 J/cm²). The inset shows a zoom for the selenium signal. We can see that selenium ions are mostly substitutional to the Si sites. However, for the FLA sample, selenium ions do not substitute Si sites at the near surface range. (b) The depth profile of selenium in Si after FLA or PLA calculated from RBS spectra. The projected range of selenium in FLA samples is in a reasonable agreement with SRIM simulation after considering the well-known discrepancy in the projection range between SRIM simulation and the experimental values. After PLA, a significant redistribution of selenium is observed. The inset shows the RBS spectra for the as-implanted and FLA samples measured using 1.5 MeV He ions. There is no redistribution of selenium after FLA.

Table 1 | Sample definition and related parameters. The samples are referred as SiSe0.9PLA annealed by pulsed laser or SiSe0.9FLA annealed by flash lamp, respectively, with corresponding optimal parameters. The depth distribution of selenium (estimated thickness) is calculated using SRIM and verified by RBS measurements.

| Sample ID | Implantation parameters | Estimated thickness | Selenium peak concentration (%) |
|-----------|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|
| SiSe0.9   | 110 keV, 2.8×10¹⁵ cm⁻²  | ~150 nm             | 0.9                             |
| SiSe1.1   | 50 keV, 1.4×10¹⁵ cm⁻²   | ~100 nm             | 1.1                             |
| SiSe2.3   | 60 keV, 2.5×10¹⁵ cm⁻²   | ~100 nm             | 2.3                             |

(pulse length: 1.3–20 millisecond). We also measured the random and channeling spectra of the unimplanted virgin substrate and the channeling spectrum for the as-implanted wafers (not shown to avoid overlapping). The implanted layer is fully amorphous. As shown in Fig. 1(a), the implanted layer can be well recrystallized by both annealing methods. The RBS-channeling spectrum reveals a near-surface minimum backscattered yield \( \gamma_{\text{min}} \) (the ratio of the aligned to random yields) of about 5%, which is very close to the value of 4% determined for the virgin single crystal Si substrate.

In the selenium signal, two interesting features can be observed. One is the channeling effect, which occurs in both FLA and PLA samples. It proves the substitution of selenium onto the Si lattice sites, which is expected and has also been proved by other experiments. The substitutional fraction can be approximated by \( \gamma_{\text{min}} \) (selenium), which is shown in Fig. 2 for different samples. The substitutional fraction in FLA samples is generally lower than for FLA samples. It is worthy to note that for both FLA or PLA samples, the substitutional fraction for sample SiSe1.1 is slightly smaller than for sample SiSe2.3, for which the reason is not clear and more investigation is required. The substitutional fraction has been estimated by comparing the integration of the selenium signal in channeling and random RBS spectra.
Therefore, the relative error in the substitutional fraction values when comparing different samples is very small.

**Structural properties: epitaxial recrystallization.** The structural properties were characterized by Raman scattering and by X-ray diffraction (XRD). Figure 3(a) shows the Raman spectra obtained from selenium implanted Si as well as a reference single crystalline Si. The as-implanted sample shows a broad Raman band at around 460 cm\(^{-1}\), which corresponds to the amorphous silicon formed during ion implantation\(^{36}\). After PLA or FLA, both samples exhibit a peak at 520 cm\(^{-1}\), corresponding to the transverse optical (TO) phonon mode of crystalline Si. Moreover, an additional peak at about 303 cm\(^{-1}\) which corresponds to the second-order transverse-acoustic phonon (2TA) scattering from crystalline Si is observed for both samples as for the Si reference sample, which is a fingerprint of high crystallinity of the probed layer. The Raman results prove the complete recrystallization of selenium implanted Si by both PLA and FLA with relatively high quality.

As shown in Fig. 3(b), in the XRD \(\theta–2\theta\) scans the as-implanted sample shows a broad shoulder at the left side (lower angles). It is due to the crystalline damage induced by ion implantation. The implanted layer is amorphous-like as confirmed by Raman scattering (Fig. 3(a)). After annealing, the left-side shoulder disappears, indicating the recrystallization. There is large difference in the XRD patterns between the PLA and the FLA samples. When selenium ions substitute the Si sites, they form covalent bonds. The covalent radii for selenium and Si are 120 and 111 pm, respectively. The doping of selenium in the Si matrix up to a large enough concentration is expected to increase the lattice parameter, like in antimony doped Si\(^{37}\). We do observe this effect in the FLA sample. Note that the appearance of the x-ray interference effect (Pendelösung) in the FLA sample is due to two layers with different lattice spacing. By fitting the XRD pattern, we obtain a top Si:Se layer with a lattice constant larger by around 0.60% compared with the Si substrate. The presence of the interference peaks also proves the high crystalline quality and the sharp interface after flashlamp annealing. However, the XRD result for the PLA sample is rather puzzling: it contains a broader shoulder at a larger diffraction angle corresponding to a smaller lattice constant.

**Electrical properties: selenium hyperdoping.** Selenium is a deep donor in Si and its energy level is around 200–300 meV below the Si conduction band\(^ {38}\). Upon high concentration doping, an insulator-metal transition was observed in selenium doped Si\(^{7}\). We also measured the electrical properties of selected samples. Figure 4 shows the sheet resistance in the temperature range 4–30 K. Since we use nearly intrinsic Si substrate with a sheet resistance around 1.5\( \times 10^5\) ohm/\( \Omega \) at room temperature, the parallel resistance from the substrate is much larger than the selenium doped layer. Therefore, we only measure the conductivity from the doped Si layer. For the PLA samples, an insulator-metal transition occurs with increasing selenium concentration: Sample SiSe1.1 behaves like an insulator with its sheet resistance sharply rising at low temperature. Its conductivity is thermally activated. On the other hand, for the higher doped sample SiSe2.3 the resistance increases only very slightly at low temperature and its conductivity appears to remain finite when the temperature
approaches zero. In sharp contrast, flash lamp annealing renders both samples metallic like - the higher doped SiSe2.3, but also the lower doped SiSe1.1. The sheet resistance of sample SiSe1.1FLA is even lower than SiSe2.3PLA with a higher Se concentration, clearly showing the superior (flash-lamp) annealing behavior by solid-phase epitaxy. Finally sample SiSe2.3FLA exhibits the smallest sheet resistance and a clear metal-like conductivity. Its sheet resistance at 5 K is around 190 ohm cm. It corresponds to a conductivity of 500/(ohm cm) if assuming a thickness of 100 nm. This conductivity is three times larger than sample SiSe2.3PLA. We attribute the large conductivity to the high quality of the recrystallized layer by FLA, which results in a large Hall mobility.

Figure 5 shows the comparison of the electron concentration and Hall mobilities measured at 300 K for different FLA and PLA samples with increasing selenium concentration. The carrier concentration is calculated by assuming the effective thickness of the selenium doped layer as 150 nm for sample SiSe0.9 and 100 nm for samples SiSe1.1 and SiSe2.3 (see Table 1), respectively. As can be seen, the carrier concentration is in the range of 3–13×10^{19} cm^{-3}. The activation efficiency is thus around 10%. Although the FLA samples generally have a larger electron concentration than the FLA samples, the mobility is around 3 times smaller. The Hall mobility in FLA samples is in the range of 80–100 cm^{2}/V·s. The values achieved here are almost of the same order as in Si doped with normal shallow donors in the high doping regime (10^{19–20} cm^{-3})^{39}. It is worth to note that the FLA samples have a larger substitutional fraction and a smaller carrier concentration than that of PLA samples. This discrepancy may be due to the fact that in PLA samples selenium diffuses out- and in-ward resulting in a larger effective doping depth. On the other hand the interstitial selenium or other defects probably also contribute to free electrons as for the case of titanium supersaturated Si^{40,41}.

**Discussion**

How to understand the success of hyperdoping Si with selenium via solid phase epitaxy? Let us revisit the mechanism for realizing hyperdoped semiconductors. The hyperdoping is attributed to the so-called solute trapping at the moving amorphous/crystalline interface when the dopant retain time is larger than the time required for one monolayer regrowth^{20,42–45}. This process is determined by the diffusion coefficient, which is much larger in liquid phase than in solid phase for most of dopants. This reminds us that a compromise approach might exist for chalcogen elements which are relatively slow diffusers in the solid phase compared with transition metals. Indeed, supersaturation of tellurium in Si up to 3×10^{20} cm^{-3} has been realized by furnace annealing at 550 C and the substitutional fraction is around 70% in tellurium implanted Si^{20}. However, the substitutional fraction is largely decreased to 45% when the implantation fluence is increased as for the case of selenium implanted Si^{44}.

We try to characterize the competition between the solute trapping and diffusion by estimating the time needed to regrow (\(t_G\)) or to diffuse (\(t_D\)) over a Si monolayer (0.27 nm). In other words, the speed of the resolidification and the speed at which the impurity atoms can move determine how likely they will stay ahead or be trapped by the moving amorphous/crystalline interface. If \(t_D\) is larger than \(t_G\), the dopants are able to be trapped in the crystalline matrix. In Figure 6, we compare \(t_G\) and \(t_D\) estimated from data published in literature. \(t_D\) is calculated according to the data in ref. 45. The large uncertainty in \(t_G\) comes from the large scattering in the regrowth velocity, which exhibits different values reported by various groups^{20,46–49}. However, as shown in Fig. 6, in solid phase Si \(t_D\) is generally larger than \(t_G\). That means selenium impurities can be trapped in the Si matrix if an optimized thermal treatment is applied even in solid phase processing. Particularly, in the low temperature regime, \(t_D\) is much larger than \(t_G\), which well explains the realization of doping above solubility limit by low temperature annealing^{20}.

Another criterion to be considered is the annealing duration. In the regrown metastable layer, the impurity concentration is much above the thermal equilibrium solubility limit. During the prolonged annealing to finish the regrowth completely, the metastable solubility returns to the equilibrium value as dopants come out of their substitutional positions. This has been observed in sulfur hyperdoped Si^{20}. The decrease in the substitutional fraction with increasing dop-
Annealing in the microsecond or millisecond range might be optimums and other unconventional dopants in semiconductors. Fusion in carefully optimized annealing condition. Our finding is not within milliseconds (much shorter than furnace or rapid epitaxy. The success of FLA lies in the facts that the selenium impurity and conductivity than the samples prepared by liquid phase. The FLA-prepared sample exhibits larger Hall mobility and conductivity than sample SiSe1.1PLA (shown in Fig. 4) with the most resistive sample in our experiment. Therefore, the parallel conductivity from the Si substrate can be neglected.

Implanted Si samples were flash-lamp annealed for 1.3, 3 and 20 ms. The FLA system employed in our experiments has been introduced in details in Ref. 33. It consists of two annealing systems. At the top there are twelve 30 cm long xenon (Xe) lamps spaced by about 3 cm representing together with the reflector the FLA system, and at the bottom a lower bank of halogen lamps allows the wafer to be preheated to a selected temperature - a type of rapid thermal annealing system. The Xe lamps are energized by discharging a capacitor/inductor unit in the millisecond time scale. The maximum energy which can be delivered to the sample during a single 20 ms shot is 250 kJ which is sufficient to melt silicon. The emission spectrum of Xe lamps used in our system falls in the visible spectral range in between 350 and 800 nm. For effective annealing, FLA treated materials should have a high absorption coefficient in this spectral region, e.g. silicon is perfectly suited for FLA processes. Wafers up to 100 mm in diameter can be processed with a lateral homogeneity better than 5%. The temperature simulation was carried out based on the wave transfer matrix method for modelling the absorption of the flash light, and the numerical solution of the one-dimensional heat equation. The optical system taken into consideration for temperature simulation comprises the inert gas atmosphere of argon, amorphous Si layer formed during ion implantation and the bulk Si. The energy delivered to the sample during the FLA process depends on the overall absorption and transmission of the investigated system. The implanted Si absorb about 85% of the incident flash lamp spectrum, while the virgin Si wafer absorb only about 65% of the light delivered to the sample surface. Therefore the annealing parameters used to obtain the same final temperature during the FLA process in different materials have to be carefully adjusted. As one example, according to the simulation of the temperature distribution in Si wafer during 3 ms annealing, the maximum temperature at the surface is reached after 2.5 ms with temperature gradient of about 1 °C/μm.

The laser annealing system is a Coherent XeCl excimer laser with 308 nm wavelength and 28 ns duration which was focused to a 5 mm×5 mm square spot, where the lateral intensity variation was less than 3%. Samples were irradiated with different energy fluences ranging from 0.5 J/cm² to 1.2 J/cm². The structural properties of the annealed samples were investigated by Raman spectroscopy, Rutherford backscattering spectrometry/channeling (RBS/channeling) and X-ray diffraction (XRD). The RBS measurements were performed with a collimated 1.7 MeV He⁺ beam at a backscattering angle of 170°. For the results in the inset of Fig. 1(b), the measurements were performed with 1.5 MeV He⁺ ions. The sample was mounted on a three-axis goniometer with a precision of 0.01°. The channeling spectra were measured by aligning the sample to make the impinging He⁺ beam parallel with the [001] axis. The XRD experiment were performed at Rossendorf Beamline (ROBL), European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (ESRF), using a X-ray with wavelength of 0.1078 nm. The phonon modes were determined by Raman spectroscopy in a backscattering geometry in the range of 200 to 600 cm⁻¹ using a 532 nm Nd:YAG laser with a liquid nitrogen cooled charge coupled device camera. Electrical properties were measured in van der Pauw geometry using a
commercial Lakeshore Hall System. Gold electrodes were sputtered onto the four corners of the square-like samples. The sputtering process also removed the native SiO2 layer to some extent. Silver glue was used to contact the wires to the gold electrodes. All contacts are confirmed to be ohmic as we checked by measuring current-voltage curves at different temperatures.

1. Kim, T. G., Warrender, J. M. & Aziz, M. J. Strong sub-band-gap infrared absorption in silicon supersaturated with sulfur. Appl. Phys. Lett. 88, 241902; DOI:10.1063/1.2212051 (2006).

2. Bob, P. P. et al. Fabrication and subband gap optical properties of silicon supersaturated with chalcogens by ion implantation and pulsed laser melting. J. Appl. Phys. 107, 123506; DOI:10.1063/1.3415544 (2010).

3. Tabbal, M., Kim, T., Woolf, D., Shin, B. & Aziz, M. Fabrication and sub-band-gap absorption of single-crystal Si supersaturated with Se by pulsed laser mixing. Appl. Phys. A. 98, 589–594; DOI:10.1007/s00339-009-5462-1 (2010).

4. Umeyama, J. et al. Emergence of very broad infrared absorption band by hyperdoping of silicon with chalcogens. J. Appl. Phys. 113, 213501; DOI:10.1063/1.4809493 (2013).

5. Hu, S. et al. Structural and optoelectronic properties of selenium-doped silicon formed using picosecond pulsed laser mixing. Phys. Status Solidi (a) 209, 2531–2526; DOI:10.1002/pssa.201228202 (2012).

6. Winkler, M. T. et al. Insulator-to-Metal Transition in Sulfur-Doped Silicon. Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 177801; DOI:10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.177801 (2011).

7. Ertekin, E. et al. Insulator-to-Metal Transition in Selenium-Hyperdoped Silicon: Observation and Origin. Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 026401; DOI:10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.026401 (2012).

8. Carey, J. E., Crouch, C. H., Shen, M. & Mazur, E. Visible and near-infrared photoresponse characteristics in Se-doped Si photodiodes. Appl. Phys. Lett. 99, 073503; DOI:10.1063/1.3609871 (2011).

9. Hu, S. et al. Improved photoresponse characteristics in Se-doped Si photodiodes fabricated using picosecond pulsed laser mixing. Semicond. Sci. Technol. 27, 102002; DOI:10.1088/0268-1242/27/10/102002 (2012).

10. Lisgoe, A. & Marti, A. Increasing the Efficiency of Ideal Solar Cells by Photon Induced Transitions at Intermediate Levels. Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 5014–5017; DOI:10.1103/PhysRevLett.78.5014 (1997).

11. Antolin, E. et al. Lifetime recovery in ultrathin titanium-doped silicon for the implementation of an intermediate band material. Appl. Phys. Lett. 94, 042115; DOI:10.1063/1.3077202 (2009).

12. Sánchez, K., Aguilera, L., Palacios, P. & Wahlón, P. Formation of a reliable intermediate band in Si heavily doped with chalcogens (Se, Te) and group III elements in Si and SiO2. J. Phys. Condens. Matter 11, 9053–9059; DOI:10.1088/0953-8984/11/39/306 (2000).

13. Smith, M. J. et al. Improving dopant incorporation during femtosecond-laser processed sulfur doped silicon by means of sub-bandgap illumination. Appl. Phys. Lett. 104, 042107; DOI:10.1063/1.3663439 (2014).

14. Carlson, R., Hall, R. & Pelt, E. Sulfur in silicon. J. Phys. Chem. Solids 8, 81–83; DOI:10.1016/0022-3697(69)90273-9 (1969).

15. Sheehy, M. A., Tull, B. R., Friend, C. H., Mazur, E. & Wahlón, P. Formation of a reliable intermediate band in Se-doped Si. J. Phys. Condens. Matter 11, 2983–2989; DOI:10.1088/0953-8984/11/14/002 (2002).

16. Smith, M. J. et al. Improving dopant incorporation during femtosecond-laser doping of Si with a Se thin-film dopant precursor. Appl. Phys. A. 114, 1009–1016; DOI:10.1007/s00339-013-6763-8 (2014).

17. Tabbal, M. et al. Formation of single crystal sulfur supersaturated silicon based junctions by pulsed laser melting. J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B 35, 1847–1852; DOI:10.1116/1.2796184 (2007).

18. Strititzer, B., Pospieszczyn, A. & Tagle, J. Measurement of lattice temperature of silicon during pulsed laser annealing. Phys. Rev. Lett. 47, 356; DOI:10.1103/PhysRevLett.47.356 (1981).

19. Campisano, S. U., Foti, G., Baeri, P., Grimaldi, G. M. & Rimini, E. Solute trapping by moving interface in ionimplanted silicon. Appl. Phys. Lett. 37, 719–722; DOI:10.1063/1.92057 (1980).

20. Aziz, M. Model for solute redistribution during rapid solidification. J. Appl. Phys. 53, 1158–1168; DOI:10.1063/1.329867 (1982).

21. Aziz, M., Tsao, J., Thompson, M. O., Peercy, P. & White, C. Solute trapping: comparison of theory with experiment. Phys. Rev. Lett. 56, 2489; DOI:10.1103/PhysRevLett.56.2489 (1986).

22. Aziz, M. J. & Kaplan, T. Continuous growth model for interface motion during alloy solidification. Acta metallurgica 36, 2335–2347; DOI:10.1016/0001-6160(88)90033-1 (1988).

23. Keller, G., Poate, J. & Kimerling, L. Controlled crystal regrowth of ion-implanted silicon by laser irradiation. Appl. Phys. Lett. 32, 464–466; DOI:10.1063/1.91019 (1978).

24. Dubon, O., Scarpulla, M., Farshchi, R. & Yu, K. Doping and defect control of ferromagnetic semiconductors formed by ion implantation and pulsed laser melting. Physica B 376, 630–634; DOI:10.1016/j.physb.2005.12.159 (2006).

25. Baeri, P., Campisano, S. U., Foti, G. & Rimini, E. Segregation Effects in Cu-Implanted Si after Laser-Pulse Melting. Phys. Rev. Lett. 41, 1246–1249; DOI:10.1103/PhysRevLett.41.1246 (1978).

26. Roshchupkina for her assistance with synchrotron XRD. The Helmholtz-Association would like to thank the ion implanter group at HZDR for technical support and Olga Roșchupkina for her assistance with synchrotron XRD. The Helmholtz-Association (HGF-VH-NG-715) is gratefully acknowledged. The author (FL) thanks the support by
China Scholarship Council (File No. 201307040037). The discussion with Karl-Heinz Heinig is greatly acknowledged.

Author contributions
S.Z. designed the research and wrote the manuscript. F.L. did the pulsed laser annealing and RBS measurements. S.P. performed flashlamp annealing. K.G. and M.K. carried out the Raman and transport measurements, respectively. C.B. contributed to the XRD measurement and data analysis. M.P. contributed to the thermal dynamic estimation. W.S. and M.H. supervised the work. All authors contributed to discussion and correction for the manuscript.

Additional information
Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.
How to cite this article: Zhou, S. et al. Hyperdoping silicon with selenium: solid vs. liquid phase epitaxy. Sci. Rep. 5, 8329; DOI:10.1038/srep08329 (2015).