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Abstract

Membrane proteins (MPs) are responsible for the interface between the exterior and the interior of the cell. These proteins are implicated in numerous diseases, such as cancer, cystic fibrosis, epilepsy, hyperinsulinism, heart failure, hypertension and Alzheimer’s disease. However, studies on these disorders are hampered by a lack of structural information about the proteins involved. Structural analysis requires large quantities of pure and active proteins. The majority of medically and pharmaceutically relevant MPs are present in tissues at very low concentration, which makes heterologous expression in large-scale production-adapted cells a prerequisite for structural studies. Obtaining mammalian MP structural data depends on the development of methods that allow the production of large quantities of MPs. This review focuses on the different heterologous expression systems, and the purification strategies, used to produce large amounts of pure mammalian MPs for structural proteomics. Copyright © 2002 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
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Introduction

Proteomics is more than the systematic partitioning and referencing of all the proteins produced in an organism, it is also the study of how proteins change structure, interact with other proteins, and ultimately give rise to disease or health in an organism [1]. Integral membrane proteins (MPs) account for 20–25% of all open reading frames in fully sequenced genomes. These proteins are of central importance to living cells. They are required for transport processes, sensing changes in the cellular environment, transmission of signals, and control of cell–cell contacts. MPs are implicated in cancer, cystic fibrosis, epilepsy, hyperinsulinism, heart failure, hypertension and Alzheimer’s disease, but studies on these and other disorders are hampered by a lack of information about the proteins involved. Knowing the structure of MPs is an essential prerequisite to understanding how MPs function and, further, how their functions can be modified by small molecules. This is of paramount importance in the pharmaceutical industry, which produces many drugs that bind to MPs (e.g. Prozac and Imigran), and recognizes the potential of many recently identified G protein-coupled receptors, ion channels and transporters as targets for future drugs. However, whereas high-resolution structures are available for a myriad of soluble proteins, three-dimensional (3D) structures have so far been obtained for only 34 integral MPs, the majority of which are from prokaryotic organisms, with only five being mammalian MPs [2,3,4,5,6] (see http://www.mpib-frankfurt.mpg.de/michel/public/memprotstruct.html). One of the major factors in dictating why these particular MPs were crystallized was their
natural abundance, circumventing all the difficulties associated with overexpression. However, the majority of medically and pharmaceutically relevant MPs are present in tissues at very low concentration, making overexpression in heterologous cells suitable for large-scale production a prerequisite for structural studies. The relative ease of overexpression of many bacterial transporters is making them prime candidates for structure determination [7,8,9]. Mammalian MPs are far more difficult to purify in large amounts than prokaryotic MPs, due to the need to express them in heterologous systems to achieve large-scale production. This review focuses on the different heterologous expression systems and purification strategies used to produce amounts of pure mammalian MPs that are adequate for structural analysis.

Heterologous expression systems

Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells

For large-scale commercial production of therapeutically important proteins like erythropoietin, recombinant CHO (rCHO) cells have been adapted to grow in suspension in serum free media which is an advantage in terms of cost, as well as of biosafety [10]. This suspension culture of rCHO cells has been shown to be an efficient system for expressing the rat 5-HT₂ serotonin receptor for drug discovery [11], but no MP has been purified from this host in an amount sufficient for structural analysis.

Insect cells

Baculovirus-mediated expression in insect cells such as Spodoptera frugiperda (SF9) is a well-established approach for the production of recombinant glycoproteins. Like rCHO cells, insect cells can be grown in suspension in serum free media. The rat synaptic vesicle monoamine transporter [12] and the human α1 glycine receptor [13] have been functionally overexpressed and purified from baculovirus-mediated expression systems, yielding 0.5 mg and 1 mg pure protein/l cell culture, respectively (Table 1). Recently, heterologous genes have been shown to be expressed by stable transfection of insect cells [14]. The major advantage of this host for expression of mammalian MPs is that many processing events known in mammalian systems also occur in insects [15]. However, large-scale production of MPs using this system is time-consuming and expensive.

Bacteria

Prokaryotic homologues have been most amenable for obtaining structural information on MPs because they can often be expressed in bacteria in large quantities [16]. However, this method cannot easily be extended to mammalian MPs, since these proteins are mostly expressed in inclusion bodies, from which they are usually impossible to purify under non-denaturing conditions. However, derivatives of E. coli BL21(DE3) strain, CD41(DE3) and CD43(DE3), selected to grow to high saturation cell density and to overproduce proteins without toxic effect for the host cell [17], have been successfully used for production of mitochondrial MPs [18,19]. Very recently, the human Na⁺/glucose transporter has been functionally expressed and purified using an E. coli BL21(DE3) mutant (defective in the outer membrane protease OmpT) and incubation temperatures below 20 °C, reducing proteolytic degradation (Table 1) [20]. The green fluorescent protein (GFP) reporter was used to show that this Na⁺/glucose recombinant transporter was inserted into the bacterial plasma membrane and not into inclusion bodies [21,20]. In contrast to the case for the serotonin transporter, which could not be functionally expressed in E. coli [22], cholesterol (a steroid present in eukaryotic membranes but not in E. coli) and N-glycosylation (bacteria do not possess glycosylation machinery) are not required for the glucose transporter to show activity.

Yeast

This simple eukaryotic cell is a multi-purpose host, performing many of the post-translational modifications seen in higher eukaryote cells (glycosylation, disulphide bond formation and proteolytic processing), combined with the ease of growing a large volume of cells in short period of time. Cloning, functional expression, identification of interacting partners, mutagenesis of the protein, or its partners, and overexpression for biophysical analysis can all be achieved in yeast [23]. Exciting possibilities also exist for the exploitation of yeast genetics to tailor yeast strains
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Table 1. Examples of mammalian MPs successfully expressed and purified in heterologous expression systems

| MPs expressed                                      | Heterologous expression systems | Purification procedure                                      | Amount of purified MP per culture (mg/l) |
|---------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Rabbit SERCA1a Ca^{2+}-ATPase [26]                | Yeast S. cerevisiae             | Ni^{2+} affinity chromatography followed by reactive red chromatography | 0.05                                   |
| Rat vesicular monoamine transporter [24]          | Yeast S. cerevisiae             | Ni^{2+} affinity chromatography                              | nd                                     |
| Human P-glycoprotein [25]                         | Yeast S. cerevisiae             | Ni^{2+} affinity chromatography                              | 0.4                                    |
| N-glycosylation mutant mouse and human P-glycoproteins [31] | Yeast P. pastoris              | Ni^{2+} affinity chromatography                              | 1.0                                    |
| Mutant mouse P-glycoprotein [30]                  | Yeast P. pastoris              | Ni^{2+} affinity chromatography                              | 1.0                                    |
| Biotinylated mouse P-glycoprotein [29]            | Yeast P. pastoris              | Ni^{2+} affinity chromatography and avidin affinity chromatography | 1.4                                    |
| Human peptide transporter [32]                    | Yeast P. pastoris              | Ni^{2+} affinity chromatography                              | 0.2                                    |
| Human Na^{+}/glucose transporter [21]             | Bacteria, E. coli, BL21(DE3)   | Anti-FlagM2 affinity chromatography                          | 0.3                                    |
| Human α1 glycine receptor [13]                    | Insect cells                   | Aminostrychnine affinity chromatography                      | 1.0                                    |
| Rat synaptic-vesicle monoamine transporter [12]   | Insect cells                   | Ni^{2+} affinity chromatography                              | 0.5                                    |

nd, not determined.

so that they are optimized for the expression of MPs. Two yeast species have so far been used successfully for heterologous expression of mammalian MPs: *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Pichia pastoris*.

*Saccharomyces cerevisiae* has been successfully used to functionally express and purify several mammalian MPs, like the human P-Glycoprotein [24], the rat vesicular monoamine transporter [25] and, very recently, the rabbit SERCA1a Ca^{2+}-ATPase [26] (Table 1). The expression vectors used are 2µ multicopy plasmids with the inducible GAL1 promoter or the strong constitutive promoter from the yeast plasma membrane ATPase (PMA1). Fliger et al. [24] show that human P-glycoprotein expression can reach 8% of total MPs using a protease-deficient strain, and glycerol (thought to enhance the post-translational stability of the protein). The level of expression reached is comparable to that of mammalian cells (COS, HEK293), yet at only a fraction of the cost, time and effort. Yelin and Schuldiner [25] showed that the expression of the vesicular monoamine transporter was enhanced by modification of certain codons in the translation initiation region to codons preferred by *S. cerevisiae*, and by reducing the temperature to 16°C, which also allowed the expressed transporters to undergo core glycosylation. Lowering the temperature from 30°C to 16–18°C seems to be a general rule for enhancing the functional expression of MPs, since it has also been observed for the SERCA1a Ca^{2+}-ATPase [26].

The methylotrophic yeast, *P. pastoris*, has been used for the expression of more than 300 heterologous proteins and has been used successfully as a tool for large-scale recombinant soluble protein production [27]. *P. pastoris* is a poor fermenter, a major advantage relative to *S. cerevisiae*. In high cell density cultures, ethanol (a product of *S. cerevisiae* fermentation) rapidly builds to toxic levels that limit further growth and foreign protein production. With its preference for respiratory growth, *P. pastoris* can be cultured at extremely high densities (5000OD600 U/ml) in the controlled environment of a fermenter. Moreover, foreign genes are stably integrated in single or multiple copy behind the AOX1 (alcohol oxidase 1) promoter, one of the strongest, most regulated promoters known. However, the full potential for *P. pastoris* as a host for MPs is yet to be realized, although promising results have been obtained with the purification of about 0.2 mg/l culture of the human β2 adrenergic receptor [28], the human and mouse P-glycoproteins [29,30,31] and the human peptide transporter [32] (Table 1).

Although yeast appears to be the most amenable expression system for many mammalian MPs, some MPs cannot be functionally expressed in yeast, e.g. the serotonin transporter (SERT) can only be functionally expressed in insect and
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mammalian cells due to the requirement for: N-linked glycosylation (for folding and stability of the transporter), the molecular chaperone calnexin (involved in the folding of SERT) and cholesterol (to maintain the protein structure) [22].

**Purification strategies**

Most of the mammalian MPs successfully expressed in heterologous systems have been purified using a single-step affinity chromatography Ni²⁺-NTA column that binds a polyHis tag fused at the N- or C-terminus of the protein (Table 1). This single purification step results in a 70–80% enrichment of the protein of interest. Further chromatographic steps are required to obtain pure protein, often resulting in a dramatic reduction in the amount of protein.

Séraphin and collaborators have developed a purification strategy allowing efficient recovery of proteins present at low levels in the cell: the tandem affinity purification (TAP) method [33]. IgG-binding units of protein A from *Staphylococcus aureus* (ProtA) and the calmodulin binding peptide (CBP) were fused in tandem, linked by a TEV cleavage site. The TAP tag is fused to the protein of interest and the construct is introduced into the cognate host cell or organism. The TAP method has been optimized for rapid purification of proteins expressed at their natural level, under native conditions, allowing protein complex identification and proteome exploration [34]. This method could be useful for large-scale purification of mammalian MPs. The fusion of a TAP tag at the N- or C-terminus of the MP of interest would allow the use of two affinity chromatography steps for efficient recovery of that MP. Because it is generic and rapid, the TAP procedure may constitute an important new tool for structural proteomics of MPs.

**Structural analysis**

Structural information is a powerful tool for understanding the working mechanisms of macromolecules at the molecular level. Structural proteomics is therefore of great interest to the pharmaceutical industry: the 3D structure of biomedical target proteins can be exploited to develop small molecule effectors against diseases. At the fundamental level, structural proteomics is a cornerstone of functional genomics: the uncovering of the biochemical and cellular function of all ORFs in an organism. The 3D structure of a protein can be used to deduce function from unknown proteins, to make molecular models of related proteins, which can then serve biochemical studies and to predict interactions with small molecule effectors or protein partners (van Tilbeurgh, ‘From Genome to Life’ Cargèse Summer School 2002). The genomic approach that consists of resolving the structure of several bacterial members of an MP family has been developed for different types of MPs, such as the ABC transporters MsbA and BtuCD [9,35] and chloride channels [8]. Other groups have chosen to perform crystallographic studies on MPs from thermophilic archaea because they are more stable and easier to purify [36,37]. This approach is an alternative to mammalian MP structure determination because bacterial MPs are usually easier to express, purify and crystallize, and the 3D structures can serve as models for the study of their mammalian homologues.

The different structural proteomics projects under development for *Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum* [36] and yeast (http://genomics.eu.org/) provide databases with a number of soluble protein structures, but very few MP structures.

For X-ray crystallography, the techniques used are nearly identical to that used for soluble proteins, except that the object being crystallized is not just protein but a protein–detergent complex. Obtaining crystals that diffract well requires a homogeneous protein–detergent complex that may be affected by the presence of impurities, especially lipids, which are difficult to remove because of their stabilizing effect. Despite these difficulties, the number of 3D structures of integral MPs is increasing rapidly: 21 of the 34 known integral MP structures have been solved in the last 3 years (see http://www.mpib-frankfurt.mpg.de/michel/public/memprotstruct.html).

Two methods have improved the success rate in 3D crystallization: the use of antibody Fv fragments to increase the effective hydrophilic area of the MP [38,39,40]; and 3D crystallization in cubic lipid phases, which provides an environment that allows solubilized MPs to diffuse and merge into micro-crystals within the labyrinth of the cubic lipid system [41,42,43,44].
A powerful alternative is reconstitution into two-dimensional (2D) crystals in the presence of lipids [45], restoring the native environment of MPs as well as their biological activity. These crystals can be studied both by cryo-electron microscopy, which allows the 3D structure of the vitrified protein to be assessed at atomic resolution, and atomic force microscopy (AFM), which depicts biological membranes in aqueous solutions and permits the monitoring of the movement of single polypeptide loops. The combined application of these two techniques can establish the 3D structure of MPs and allow the visualization of their conformational changes during catalytic cycles [46].

Spectroscopic techniques such as fluorescence and infrared are useful to probe aspects of transmembrane segment orientation, structure and dynamics in lipid bilayers. The fluorescence from tryptophan contains valuable information about the local environment of the indole side-chain. This environment sensitivity, coupled with the ability to incorporate a single tryptophan residue at specific sites in a polypeptide sequence, has provided the membrane biophysicist with tools for examining the structure and dynamics of MPs [47,48].

**Conclusion**

MPs represent one of the most challenging classes of proteins in the areas of overexpression/purification and structural biochemistry. The heterologous expression problems associated in particular with mammalian MPs are a major bottleneck to overcome in studies of the structure and function of these MPs. Purification of mammalian MPs such as the serotonin transporter (SERT) requires the growth of tens of litres of insect or mammalian cells, which is extremely time consuming and costly. The use of yeast, and to a lesser extent of bacteria, as hosts for mammalian MP overexpression has been heavily studied over the last few years and is becoming more and more successful. Some prerequisites seem to favour mammalian MP expression in these hosts: the modification of the codon usage of the initiation region with codons shown to stabilize the mRNA in yeast, the combined use of protease-deficient strains and a growth temperature of 16–18 °C to avoid proteolytic degradation and favour core glycosylation, and the use of chemical chaperones such as glycerol to stabilize proteins. Moreover, the tandem affinity purification strategy should allow more efficient purification and facilitate the production of pure MPs for structural studies. The recent progress in heterologous expression in bacteria and yeast, and in purification strategies, encourages the development of structural proteomics programs on MPs.
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