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Abstract

We investigate the impact of extra leptons on observed tensions in the muon $g - 2$ and the first-row CKM unitarity. By introducing a new SU(2)$_L$ doublet lepton and a SU(2)$_L$ triplet lepton, we find that both of the tensions can be explained simultaneously under constraints from electroweak precision observables and Higgs-boson decays. Our model could be tested by measurements of $h \rightarrow \mu\mu$ at future collider experiments.
1 Introduction

Flavor physics provides powerful probes for new physics (NP) beyond the Standard Model (SM). At present, some of flavor measurements show tensions with their SM predictions. In this paper, we investigate a tension in the anomalous magnetic moment of muon $a_\mu = (g_\mu - 2)/2$, so-called the muon $g - 2$, and that in the first-row unitarity of the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix. They hint at NP that couples to muon.

The muon $g - 2$ exhibits a long-standing difference between the experimental measurement and the theory prediction in the SM. The two latest SM analyses on the hadronic vacuum-polarization contributions with dispersive analyses for $e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadron}$ data yield

$$\Delta a_\mu = a_\mu^{\text{exp}} - a_\mu^{\text{SM}} = \begin{cases} (26.1 \pm 7.9) \times 10^{-10} \quad \text{(2)}, \\ (27.8 \pm 7.4) \times 10^{-10} \quad \text{(3)} \end{cases}$$

which correspond to 3.3$\sigma$ and 3.8$\sigma$ discrepancies, respectively. Here the experimental value is taken to be $a_\mu^{\text{exp}} = (11 659 208.9 \pm 5.4 \pm 3.3) \times 10^{-10}$, which is calculated from the result of the E821 experiment [4–6] with the latest value of the muon-to-proton magnetic ratio in the CODATA 2018 [7]. This discrepancy implies the potential existence of NP coupled to muon.

The recent studies on the CKM matrix elements, $V_{ud}$ and $V_{us}$, also show a tension with the CKM unitarity. The most precise determination of $|V_{ud}|$ comes at present from the super-allowed $0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$ nuclear $\beta$ decays [9–11]. The extraction, however, suffers from theoretical uncertainty in the transition-independent part of hadronic contributions to electroweak (EW) radiative corrections [12]. Recent studies of them lead to

$$|V_{ud}| = \begin{cases} 0.97370 \pm 0.00014 \quad \text{(SGPR)} \quad \text{(13)}, \\ 0.97389 \pm 0.00018 \quad \text{(CMS)} \quad \text{(14)} \end{cases}$$

which are consistent with each other. On the other hand, $|V_{us}/V_{ud}|$ and $|V_{us}|$ are extracted from the leptonic-decay ratio $K_{\mu2}/\pi_{\mu2}$ and the semileptonic decays $K_{\ell3}$ ($\ell = e, \mu$), respectively [15,16]:

$$\left| \frac{V_{us}}{V_{ud}} \right| = 0.23129 \pm 0.00045, \quad |V_{us}| = 0.22326 \pm 0.00058.$$

---

#1 A recent lattice study on the leading-order hadronic vacuum polarization contribution shows no tension in the muon $g - 2$ [3].

#2 The electron $g - 2$ with a precision measurement of the fine structure constant using caesium atoms also shows a discrepancy: $\Delta a_e = (-0.88 \pm 0.36) \times 10^{-12}$ [8]. We do not consider it in the current study.
The measured values of $|V_{ud}|$, $|V_{us}/V_{ud}|$ and $|V_{us}|$ violate the first-row CKM unitarity $^{17}$ $^{18}$. Defining the amount of the violation as $|V_{ud}|^2 + |V_{us}|^2 + |V_{ub}|^2 = 1 + \Delta_{\text{CKM}}$ with $|V_{ub}| \approx 0.003683$ $^{19}$ $^{20}$, we have

$$\Delta_{\text{CKM}} = \begin{cases} 
-0.00118 \pm 0.00034, & (\text{SGPR, } K_{\mu 2}/\pi_{\mu 2}), \\
-0.00205 \pm 0.00038, & (\text{SGPR, } K_{\ell 3}), \\
-0.00079 \pm 0.00040, & (\text{CMS, } K_{\mu 2}/\pi_{\mu 2}), \\
-0.00168 \pm 0.00044, & (\text{CMS, } K_{\ell 3}), 
\end{cases}$$

(4)

which are away from zero at the $3.5\sigma$, $5.4\sigma$, $2.0\sigma$ and $3.8\sigma$ level, respectively. This violation may suggest a NP contribution to the $W-\mu-\nu$ interaction $^{17}$ $^{21}$ $^{22}$. Both of the above tensions imply NP that couples to muon. The effective field theory analysis tells us its energy scale. The effective Lagrangian for the muon $g - 2$, $\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = (1/\Lambda^2)(\bar{\ell}\sigma^{\mu\nu} \mu R)A_{\mu\nu} + \text{h.c.}$, where $\phi$ is the SM Higgs doublet, implies the NP scale $\Lambda \sim 300\text{ TeV}$ to accommodate the tension in Eq. (1). On the other hand, the NP contributions to the $W-\mu-\nu$ interaction is described by $\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = (1/\Lambda^2)(\bar{\phi}^i i \gamma^\mu \sigma^a \phi)(\bar{\ell} \gamma^\mu \sigma^a \ell)$, where $\sigma^a$ are the Pauli matrices. The CKM tension in Eq. (4) implies $\Lambda \lesssim 10\text{ TeV}$, which is one order of magnitude lower than the scale for the muon $g - 2$. Namely, we expect that NP contributions to the CKM measurements are much larger than those to the muon $g - 2$.

In this paper, we study extra lepton models as a candidate to solve the scale hierarchy in the NP contributions to the muon $g - 2$ and the CKM measurements. The extra leptons can contribute to the latter at the tree level $^{23}$ $^{24}$, while effects on the former arise first at the one-loop level $^{24}$ $^{34}$. This explains naturally the hierarchy in the NP contributions. We investigate correlations between them under constraints from EW precision observables (EWPO) and the Higgs boson decay into a muon pair $^{#4}$.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we present our extra lepton model and its matching to the SM effective field theory (SMEFT). In Sections 3 and 4 we explain constraints from the EWPO and the Higgs boson decay, respectively. In Sections 5 and 6 we discuss extra lepton contributions to the CKM measurements and the muon $g - 2$, respectively. In Section 7 we present our numerical analysis. Finally our conclusions are drawn in Section 8.

$^{#3}$ It is also noticed that the value of $|V_{us}|$ calculated by combining $|V_{us}/V_{ud}|$ from $K_{\mu 2}/\pi_{\mu 2}$ with $|V_{ud}|$ from the nuclear $\beta$ decays is in tension with that from $K_{\ell 3}$ $^{13}$.

$^{#4}$ Constraints from the lepton-flavor-universality violating ratios studied in Refs. $^{21}$ $^{22}$ are weaker, and not considered in this study.
Table 1: List of particles in the model. The quantum numbers represent (SU(2)_L)U(1)_Y.

| ℓ  | µ_R | φ  | E_{L,R} | (Δ₁)_{L,R} | (Δ₃)_{L,R} | (Σ₁)_{L,R} |
|-----|-----|-----|---------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| 2_{-\frac{1}{2}} | 1_{-1} | 2_{\frac{1}{2}} | 1_{-1} | 2_{-\frac{1}{2}} | 2_{-\frac{3}{2}} | 3_{-1} |

2 Extra lepton model

We introduce extra leptons which couple to the muons and have vectorlike masses. The particle contents are summarized in Table 1. Here, ℓ = (ν_L, µ_L)^T is the SM SU(2)_L doublet lepton in the second generation, and µ_R is the right-handed muon singlet. The Higgs doublet φ obtains a vacuum expectation value after the electroweak symmetry breaking (EWSB) as φ = \[0, (v + h)/\sqrt{2}\]^T, where the Nambu–Goldston bosons are ignored. The SU(2)_L multiplets of the extra leptons are explicitly shown as

\[Δ_1 = (∆_0^1, ∆_3^-)^T, \quad Δ_3 = (∆_3^-, ∆_3^-)^T,\]
\[Σ_1 = (Σ_1^1, Σ_1^2, Σ_1^3)^T = \left[\frac{Σ_0^1 + Σ_1^1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{i(Σ_0^1 - Σ_1^-)}{\sqrt{2}}, Σ_1^1\right]^T.\]

In each field, the superscript 0, -, - denotes the electric charge Q. Besides, Q = 0 for ν_L and -1 for µ_L and E_{L,R}. The gauge interactions are represented as

\[L_{int} = eQ\bar{f}γ^μfA_μ + \frac{g}{c_W}\bar{f}γ^μ[\left(T_{L}^{\mu} - QS_W^2\right)P_L + \left(T_{R}^{\mu} - QS_W^2\right)P_R]fZ_μ + \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}}\bar{f}γ^μ\bar{µ}_L + \bar{Σ}_j^\mu γ^μΣ_j^- + \sqrt{2}Σ_j^\mu γ^μΣ_j^-]W_μ + \text{h.c.},\]

where A_μ, Z_μ and W_μ are the gauge bosons, and f represents a fermion in Table 1 with \(i = 1L, 1R, 3L, 3R\) and \(j = 1L, 1R\) in the last line. Here and hereafter, \(s_W = \sin θ_W\) and

#5 If the extra leptons couple the electron or tau leptons simultaneously, lepton flavor violations are induced.

#6 In addition, a gauge singlet \(N \sim 1_0\) and an SU(2)_L adjoint lepton Σ \(\sim 3_0\) are not included in the table because they are likely to generate too large neutrino masses by the seesaw mechanisms [35–39].
\[ c_W = \cos \theta_W \] with the Weinberg angle \( \theta_W \). The SU(2)\(_L\) charge \( T_{L,R}^3 \) is shown as

\[
T_L^3 = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{for } \Sigma_{1L}^0, \\
1/2 & \text{for } \nu_L, \Delta_{1L}^0, \Delta_{3L}^-, \\
0 & \text{for } E_L, \Sigma_{1L}^- \\
-1/2 & \text{for } \mu_L, \Delta_{1L}^-, \Delta_{3L}^- \\
-1 & \text{for } \Sigma_{1L}^- 
\end{cases} \quad T_R^3 = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{for } \Sigma_{1R}^0, \\
1/2 & \text{for } \Delta_{1R}^0, \Delta_{3R}^- \\
0 & \text{for } \mu_R, E_R, \Sigma_{1R}^- \\
-1/2 & \text{for } \Delta_{1R}^-, \Delta_{3R}^- \\
-1 & \text{for } \Sigma_{1R}^- \quad (8)
\]

In general, the Yukawa interactions and vectorlike mass terms are given by

\[
-L_{\text{int}} = y_{\mu} \bar{\ell} \phi \mu_R \\
+ \lambda_E \bar{E}_R \phi \ell + \lambda_{\Delta_1} \bar{\Delta}_{1L} \phi \mu_R + \lambda_{\Delta_3} \bar{\Delta}_{3L} \phi \mu_R + \lambda_{\Sigma_1} \bar{\Sigma}_{1L} \phi \ell + \sigma \phi \ell \\
+ \lambda_{E_{\Delta_1}} \bar{E}_L \phi \Delta_{1R} + \lambda_{\Delta_1 E} \bar{\Delta}_{1L} \phi E_R \\
+ \lambda_{E_{\Delta_3}} \bar{E}_L \phi \Delta_{3R} + \lambda_{\Delta_3 E} \bar{\Delta}_{3L} \phi E_R \\
+ \lambda_{\Sigma_1 \Delta_1} \bar{\Sigma}_{1L} \phi \sigma \Delta_{1R} + \lambda_{\Delta_1 \Sigma_1} \bar{\Delta}_{1L} \sigma \phi \Sigma_{1R} \\
+ \lambda_{\Sigma_1 \Delta_3} \bar{\Sigma}_{1L} \phi \sigma \Delta_{3R} + \lambda_{\Delta_3 \Sigma_1} \bar{\Delta}_{3L} \sigma \phi \Sigma_{1R} \\
+ M_E \bar{E}_L E_R + M_{\Delta_1} \bar{\Delta}_{1L} \Delta_{1R} + M_{\Delta_3} \bar{\Delta}_{3L} \Delta_{3R} + M_{\Sigma_1} \bar{\Sigma}_{1L} \Sigma_{1R} + \text{h.c.}, \quad (9)
\]

where \( \sigma \) are the Pauli matrices and \( \bar{\phi} = i \sigma^2 \phi \). Here and hereafter, all the coupling constants are supposed to be real. Besides, the Yukawa couplings \( \lambda_E, \lambda_{\Delta_1}, \lambda_{\Delta_3}, \) and \( \lambda_{\Sigma_1} \) as well as the vectorlike masses \( M_i \) are chosen to be positive by rotating fields without loss of generality.

After the EWSB, the mass term of the singly-charged leptons is obtained as

\[
-L_m = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\mu}_L & \bar{E}_L & \bar{\Delta}_{1L}^- & \bar{\Delta}_{3L}^- & \bar{\Sigma}_{1L}^- \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mu_R \\ E_R \\ \Delta_{1R}^- \\ \Delta_{3R}^- \\ \Sigma_{1R}^- \end{bmatrix} + \text{h.c.}, \quad (10)
\]

where the mass matrix \( \mathcal{M}_- \) is given in terms of the Yukawa matrix \( Y_- \) as

\[
\mathcal{M}_- = \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} Y_- + \text{diag} \left( 0, M_E, M_{\Delta_1}, M_{\Delta_3}, M_{\Sigma_1} \right), \quad (11)
\]

\#7 It is noticed that the representation of \( \Sigma_1 \) in Eq. (6) is not an eigenstate of the SU(2)\(_L\) generator \( \hat{T}^3 \). This is introduced to represent the Yukawa interactions in Eq. (9).
\[
Y_\pm = \begin{bmatrix}
y_\mu & \lambda_E & 0 & 0 & -\lambda_{\Sigma_1} \\
0 & 0 & \lambda_{E\Delta_1} & \lambda_{E\Delta_3} & 0 \\
\lambda_{\Delta_1} & \lambda_{\Delta_1E} & 0 & 0 & -\lambda_{\Delta_1\Sigma_1} \\
\lambda_{\Delta_3} & \lambda_{\Delta_3E} & 0 & 0 & \lambda_{\Delta_3\Sigma_1} \\
0 & 0 & -\lambda_{\Sigma_1\Delta_1} & \lambda_{\Sigma_1\Delta_3} & 0
\end{bmatrix}. \tag{12}
\]

The matrix \( \mathcal{M}_\pm \) is diagonalized by a biunitary transformation:
\[
U_L^{-\dagger} \mathcal{M}_\pm U_R = \text{diag}(m_{\pm\ell}). \tag{13}
\]

Similarly, the mass terms of the neutral and doubly-charged leptons are written as
\[
-\mathcal{L}_m = \begin{bmatrix}
\tilde{\nu}_L & \bar{\Delta}_{1L} & \bar{\Sigma}_{1L} \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{M}_0 \begin{bmatrix}
\nu_L^c \\
\Delta_{1L}^0 \\
\Sigma_{1L}^0
\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}
\Delta_{3L}^- & \bar{\Sigma}_{1L}^- & \mathcal{M}_-^- & \Delta_{3R}^- & \bar{\Sigma}_{1R}^-
\end{bmatrix} + \text{h.c.}, \tag{14}
\]

\[
\mathcal{M}_0 = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & v\lambda_{\Sigma_1} \\
0 & M_{\Delta_1} & v\lambda_{\Delta_1\Sigma_1} \\
v\lambda_{\Sigma_1\Delta_1} & M_{\Sigma_1}
\end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{M}_-^- = \begin{bmatrix}
M_{\Delta_3} & v\lambda_{\Delta_3\Sigma_1} \\
v\lambda_{\Sigma_1\Delta_3} & M_{\Sigma_1}
\end{bmatrix}. \tag{15}
\]

Note that the mass matrix \( \mathcal{M}_0 \) does not contribute to neutrino masses, \( \text{i.e.} \), avoiding too heavy neutrinos. These mass matrices are diagonalized as
\[
U_L^{0\dagger} \mathcal{M}_0 U_R^0 = \text{diag}(m_{\ell\phi}), \quad U_L^{-\dagger} \mathcal{M}_-^- U_R^{-\dagger} = \text{diag}(m_{\pm\ell}). \tag{16}
\]

After decoupling the extra leptons, whose masses are typically given by the vectorlike mass \( M_l \), they contribute to low-energy observables through higher dimensional operators in the SMEFT. They are represented as
\[
\mathcal{L}_{d=6} = \sum_j C_j \mathcal{O}_j, \tag{17}
\]

where the dimension-six operators relevant for the current study are
\[
\mathcal{O}_{\ell\phi} = (\phi^\dagger \ell)(\bar{\ell}\phi\mu_R), \tag{18}
\]
\[
\mathcal{O}_{\ell\phi}^{(1)} = (\phi^\dagger i\not{D}_\mu \phi)(\bar{\ell}\gamma^\mu \ell), \tag{19}
\]
\[
\mathcal{O}_{\ell\phi}^{(3)} = (\phi^\dagger i\not{D}_\mu \phi)(\bar{\ell}\gamma^\mu \sigma^a \ell), \tag{20}
\]
\[
\mathcal{O}_{\phi\ell} = (\phi^\dagger i\not{D}_\mu \phi)(\bar{\mu}_R\gamma^\mu \mu_R). \tag{21}
\]

Note that \( \ell \) denotes the lepton doublet in the second generation. Here, the derivatives mean
\[
\phi^\dagger \not{D}_\mu \phi = \phi^\dagger (D_\mu \phi) - (D_\mu \phi)^\dagger \phi, \quad \phi^\dagger \not{D}_\mu^\dagger \phi = \phi^\dagger \sigma^a (D_\mu \phi) - (D_\mu \phi)^\dagger \sigma^a \phi. \tag{22}
\]
The Wilson coefficients are obtained as

\[ C_{e\phi} = y_{\mu} \left[ \frac{\lambda_{E}^{2}}{2M_{E}^{2}} + \frac{\lambda_{\Delta_1}^{2}}{2M_{\Delta_1}^{2}} + \frac{\lambda_{\Delta_3}^{2}}{2M_{\Delta_3}^{2}} + \frac{\lambda_{\Sigma_1}^{2}}{2M_{\Sigma_1}^{2}} \right] - \frac{\lambda_{E} \lambda_{E\Delta_1} \lambda_{\Delta_1}}{M_{E} M_{\Delta_1}} - \frac{\lambda_{E} \lambda_{E\Delta_3} \lambda_{\Delta_3}}{M_{E} M_{\Delta_3}} + \frac{\lambda_{\Sigma_1} \lambda_{\Sigma_1\Delta_1} \lambda_{\Delta_1}}{M_{\Sigma_1} M_{\Delta_1}} + \frac{\lambda_{\Sigma_1} \lambda_{\Sigma_1\Delta_3} \lambda_{\Delta_3}}{M_{\Sigma_1} M_{\Delta_3}}, \]

(23)

\[ C^{(1)}_{\phi\ell} = -\frac{\lambda_{E}^{2}}{4M_{E}^{2}} - \frac{3\lambda_{\Delta_1}^{2}}{4M_{\Delta_1}^{2}}, \]

(24)

\[ C^{(3)}_{\phi\ell} = -\frac{\lambda_{E}^{2}}{4M_{E}^{2}} + \frac{\lambda_{\Delta_3}^{2}}{4M_{\Delta_3}^{2}}, \]

(25)

\[ C_{\phi e} = -\frac{\lambda_{\Delta_1}^{2}}{2M_{\Delta_1}^{2}} - \frac{\lambda_{\Delta_3}^{2}}{2M_{\Delta_3}^{2}}, \]

(26)

at the tree level. These coefficients are matched at the vectorlike mass scale. In the following analysis, we ignore renormalization group corrections below this scale for simplicity, which are induced by the SU(2)\(_L\) and U(1)\(_Y\) gauge interactions as well as the Yukawa couplings.

We define dimensionless coefficients as

\[ \hat{C}_i = v^2 C_i. \]

(27)

After the EWSB, the above operators modify the interactions of the Higgs, W and Z bosons from the SM predictions and affect low-energy observables. We will explain them in the following sections.

### 3 Electroweak precision observables

The Wilson coefficients \( \hat{C}_{e\phi}, \hat{C}_{\phi\ell}^{(1)} \) and \( \hat{C}_{\phi\ell}^{(3)} \) are constrained strongly by the measurements of the EWPO, \( i.e. \), the Z and W boson observables (see Refs. [41, 42] for flavor-dependent studies). The EWPO can be calculated with the SM input parameters: the Fermi constant \( G_F \), the fine structure constant \( \alpha \), the strong coupling constant \( \alpha_s(M_Z^2) \), the hadronic contribution \( \Delta a^{(5)}_{\text{had}}(M_Z^2) \) to the renormalization-group running of \( \alpha \), the Z-boson mass \( M_Z \), the Higgs-boson mass \( m_h \), the top-quark pole mass \( m_t \), and other SM fermion masses. The measured values of the input parameters and the EWPO considered in this study are summarized in Table 2.\(^8\) In our numerical analysis, the parameters \( G_F, \alpha \) and the light fermion masses are fixed to be constants \( [44] \).

\(^8\) The value of \( \alpha_s(M_Z^2) \) is a lattice average calculated by the Flavour Lattice Averaging Group (FLAG) [43]. Also, the data of \( m_t \) is found in the review section on “Electroweak Model and Constraints on New Physics” of Ref. [44].
Table 2: Experimental measurement of the SM input parameters and EWPO.

The operator $O^{(3)}_{\phi \ell}$ alters the charged-current interactions of muon after the EWSB. Therefore the measured value of the Fermi constant $G_F$ from the muon decay involves a contribution from $O^{(3)}_{\phi \ell}$:

$$G_F = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} v^2} \left( 1 + \tilde{C}^{(3)}_{\phi \ell} \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} v^2} (1 + \delta_{G_F}),$$

(28)

where $\delta_{G_F} = \tilde{C}^{(3)}_{\phi \ell}$. The modification of $G_F$ affects the $W$-boson mass as

$$m_W = (m_W)_{\text{SM}} \left[ 1 - \frac{s_W^2}{2(c_W^2 - s_W^2)} \delta_{G_F} \right].$$

(29)

Here and hereafter, a quantity with the subscript “SM” denotes the corresponding SM prediction calculated with the measured values of the input parameters $G_F$, $\alpha$, $M_Z$, etc. The $W$-boson partial widths, which receive the corrections to $M_W$ and those to the charged-current couplings, are given by

$$\Gamma(W^+ \to \mu^+ \nu_\mu) = \Gamma(W^+ \to \mu^+ \nu_\mu)_{\text{SM}} \left[ 1 - \frac{1 + c_W^2}{2(c_W^2 - s_W^2)} \delta_{G_F} + 2 \tilde{C}^{(3)}_{\phi \ell} \right],$$

(30)
\[ \Gamma(W^+ \rightarrow ij) = \Gamma(W^+ \rightarrow ij)_{\text{SM}} \left[ 1 - \frac{1 + \frac{c_W^2}{2(c_W^2 - s_W^2)} \delta_{GF}}{2(c_W^2 - s_W^2)} \right]. \]  

(31)

where \(ij\) represents other final states including \(e^+\nu_e, \tau^+\tau_\nu \bar{d}u\) and \(\bar{s}c\).

The operator \(O_{\phi\ell}^{(3)}\) also affects the neutral-current interactions of left-handed muon and muon neutrino. In addition, the operators \(O_{\phi\ell}^{(1)}\) and \(O_{\phi e}\) modify the neutral-current interactions. Taking account of the NP contribution in \(G_F\), the \(Z\)-boson couplings to the SM fermions \(f\) are modified as

\[
L_Z = \frac{g}{c_W} \bar{f} \gamma^{\mu} \left[ (T_L^{\alpha} - Q s^2_W + \delta g_L) P_L + (T_R^{\alpha} - Q s^2_W + \delta g_R) P_R \right] f Z^\mu, \tag{32}
\]

where the corrections \(\delta g_L\) and \(\delta g_R\) are given by

\[
\delta g_L = \begin{cases} 
- \frac{1}{2} \left[ T_L^{\alpha} + \frac{Q s^2_W}{c_W^2 - s_W^2} \right] \delta_{GF} - \frac{1}{2} C_{\phi\ell}^{(1)} + T_L^{\alpha} \hat{C}_{\phi\ell}^{(3)} & \text{for } f = \nu_L, \mu_L, \\
- \frac{1}{2} \left[ T_L^{\alpha} + \frac{Q s^2_W}{c_W^2 - s_W^2} \right] \delta_{GF} & \text{otherwise},
\end{cases} \tag{33}
\]

\[
\delta g_R = \begin{cases} 
- \frac{Q s^2_W}{2(c_W^2 - s_W^2)} \delta_{GF} - \frac{1}{2} \hat{C}_{\phi e} & \text{for } f = \mu_R, \\
- \frac{Q s^2_W}{2(c_W^2 - s_W^2)} \delta_{GF} & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases} \tag{34}
\]

The \(Z\)-boson observables in Table 2 are written in terms of the effective \(Zff\) couplings as shown, e.g., in Ref. [48].

We perform a Bayesian fit of the Yukawa couplings \(\lambda_{\Delta 1}, \lambda_{\Delta 3}\), and \(\lambda_{\Sigma 1}\) to the experimental data of the EWPO, taking their correlations into account [45–47]. The \(Z\)-pole data at the LEP experiments have been updated recently in Ref. [45], based on a sophisticated calculation of the Bhabha cross section, including beam-induced effects [49], for the measurement of the integrated luminosity. The fit is carried out with the HEPfit package [50], which is based on the Markov Chain Monte Carlo provided by the Bayesian Analysis Toolkit (BAT) [51]. The SM contributions to \(M_W\) and the \(Z\)-boson observables are calculated with the full two-loop EW corrections using the approximate formulae presented in Refs. [52–54], while the \(W\)-boson widths are calculated at one-loop level [55,56].

4 Higgs decay

The Higgs interactions are affected by the extra leptons through the SMEFT operators. The muon Yukawa interaction is affected by \(O_{\phi e}\) as

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\text{Yukawa}} = -y_\mu \bar{\ell} \phi \mu_R + C_{\phi e}(\phi^\dagger \phi)(\bar{\ell} \phi \mu_R), \tag{35}
\]
and thus, we obtain
\[ y_\mu = \sqrt{2} \frac{m_\mu}{v} + \frac{1}{2} \hat{C}_{e\phi}, = (y_\mu)_{\text{SM}} \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{GF} \right] + \frac{1}{2} \hat{C}_{e\phi}, \] (36)

after the EWSB. Then, the Yukawa interaction is rewritten as
\[ \mathcal{L}_{\text{Yukawa}} = -m_\mu \bar{\mu} L_\mu - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( y_\mu \right)_{\text{SM}} \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{GF} - \frac{1}{(y_\mu)_{\text{SM}}} \hat{C}_{e\phi} \right] h \bar{\mu} L_\mu + \cdots, \] (37)

where 2h or 3h interactions are omitted. Consequently, the signal strength of the Higgs decay rate into muon pair is modified from the SM prediction as
\[ \mu^{\mu\mu} \equiv \frac{\Gamma(h \rightarrow \mu\mu)}{\Gamma(h \rightarrow \mu\mu)_{\text{SM}}} = \left| 1 - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{GF} - \frac{1}{(y_\mu)_{\text{SM}}} \hat{C}_{e\phi} \right|^2. \] (38)

Experimentally, only the upper limits are set at 95% CL as \( \mu^{\mu\mu} < 2.1 \) by ATLAS \[57\] and < 2.9 by CMS \[58\].

\section{CKM unitarity}

In the current setup, although the unitarity of the CKM matrix is maintained, the extra leptons can affect extractions of the CKM elements from experimental data. In determining \( |V_{ud}| \) from the superallowed \( 0^+ \rightarrow 0^+ \) nuclear \( \beta \) decays, its transition rate is influenced by the extra leptons. For example, the decay rate of a \( \beta \) decay, \( u \rightarrow d e^+ \nu \) is represented as
\[ \Gamma_\beta \propto \frac{1}{v^4} |V_{ud}|^2 = 2 G_F^2 |V_{ud}|^2 \left( 1 + \hat{C}_{\phi\ell}^{(3)} \right)^{-2}, \] (39)

via \( \delta_{GF} \), where we used Eq. (28) in the last equality. Thus, by taking the EW radiative corrections into account, the superallowed \( \beta \) decays satisfy the relation, (cf. Ref. \[11\])
\[ |V_{ud}|^2 = \left( 1 + \hat{C}_{\phi\ell}^{(3)} \right)^2 \frac{K}{2 F t G_F^2 (1 + \Delta Y)} \]
\[ = \left( 1 + \hat{C}_{\phi\ell}^{(3)} \right)^2 \times \begin{cases} (0.97370 \pm 0.00014)^2 \quad \text{(SGPR)}, \\ (0.97389 \pm 0.00018)^2 \quad \text{(CMS)}, \end{cases} \] (40)

\#9 To be exact, the upper limits are imposed on \( \sigma(pp \rightarrow h) \times B(h \rightarrow \mu\mu)/\sigma(pp \rightarrow h)_{\text{SM}} \times B(h \rightarrow \mu\mu)_{\text{SM}} \). However, corrections of the extra leptons to the production cross section and the total decay rate of the Higgs boson are smaller by \( \delta_{GF} \) than the SM values, and thus, can be ignored safely.
where $K = 8120.2776(9) \times 10^{-10}$ GeV$^{-4}$ and $\mathcal{F} t = 3072.07(63)$ s. Also, the EW radiative corrections are given as

$$\Delta V_R = \begin{cases} 0.02467 \pm 0.00022 & \text{(SGPR)} \ [13], \\ 0.02426 \pm 0.00032 & \text{(CMS)} \ [14]. \end{cases}$$

(41)

The CKM element $|V_{us}|$ is determined by measuring the $K$ meson decays. A ratio $|V_{us}/V_{ud}|$ is extracted from a ratio of the leptonic decay rates of the $K$ and $\pi$ mesons [16]:

$$\left| \frac{V_{us}}{V_{ud}} \right|^2 = \frac{\Gamma(K_{\mu 2(\gamma)})}{\Gamma(\pi_{\mu 2(\gamma)})} \frac{f_\pi^2}{f_K^2} \frac{m_{\pi^\pm}(1 - m_\mu^2/m_{\pi^\pm}^2)^2}{m_K^2(1 - m_\mu^2/m_{K^\pm}^2)^2} (1 - \delta) = (0.23129 \pm 0.00045)^2. \quad (42)$$

Here, $f_K/f_\pi$ is a ratio of the $K$ and $\pi$ meson decay constants in the isospin limit, where the lattice results with $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$ are adopted. The term $\delta$ takes account of EW radiative corrections and isospin-breaking effects. It is noticed that Eq. (42) is independent of the extra lepton contributions because the decay rates depend on $\hat{C}_\phi^{(3)}$ as [21,22] 

$$\Gamma(K_{\mu 2(\gamma)}, \pi_{\mu 2(\gamma)}) \propto \frac{1}{v^4} \left| V_{us,ud} \right|^2 \left( 1 + \hat{C}_\phi^{(3)} \right)^2 = 2G_F^2 \left| V_{us,ud} \right|^2, \quad (43)$$

where Eq. (28) is employed.

The semileptonic $K$ meson decay rates are also used to determine $|V_{us}|$. Their dependence on $\hat{C}_\phi^{(3)}$ are found as [21,22]

$$\Gamma(K_{e 3}) \propto \frac{1}{v^4} \left| V_{us} \right|^2 = 2G_F^2 \left| V_{us} \right|^2 \left( 1 + \hat{C}_\phi^{(3)} \right)^{-2}, \quad (44)$$

$$\Gamma(K_{\mu 3}) \propto \frac{1}{v^4} \left| V_{us} \right|^2 \left( 1 + \hat{C}_\phi^{(3)} \right)^2 = 2G_F^2 \left| V_{us} \right|^2. \quad (45)$$

Hence, $|V_{us}|$ satisfies the relation,

$$|V_{us}| = |V_{us}^{K_{e 3}}| \left( 1 + \hat{C}_\phi^{(3)} \right), \quad |V_{us}| = |V_{us}^{K_{\mu 3}}|, \quad (46)$$

where $|V_{us}^{K_{e 3},K_{\mu 3}}|$ are obtained by ignoring the extra lepton contributions, i.e., evaluated in the SM. They are estimated as

$$|V_{us}^{K_{e 3}}| = 0.22320 \pm 0.00062, \quad |V_{us}^{K_{\mu 3}}| = 0.22345 \pm 0.00068, \quad (47)$$

where the input values are summarized in Ref. [15]. In particular, the form factor $f_+(0) = 0.9698(18)$ is obtained by lattice calculations with $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$ [62,63].

#10 There may be additional nuclear corrections to $\mathcal{F} t$, which can introduce extra uncertainties [59,60].

#11 In Ref. [13], the systematic uncertainty in the FNAL/MILC 18 result is taken to be 0.0011, but it has been updated to 0.0012 in the published version of the FNAL/MILC paper [63]. Accordingly, the uncertainty in $f_+(0)$ changes from 0.0017 to 0.0018.
The above CKM elements satisfy the first-row CKM unitarity,

$$|V_{ud}|^2 + |V_{us}|^2 + |V_{ub}|^2 = 1,$$

which gives a constraint on $\hat{C}^{(3)}_{\phi \ell}$ as

$$\hat{C}^{(3)}_{\phi \ell} = \begin{cases} (5.9 \pm 1.8) \times 10^{-4} & \text{(SGPR, } K_{\mu_2}/\pi_{\mu_2}) , \\ (3.9 \pm 2.1) \times 10^{-4} & \text{(CMS, } K_{\mu_2}/\pi_{\mu_2}) , \\ (10.4 \pm 2.0) \times 10^{-4} & \text{(SGPR, } K_{\ell_3}) , \\ (8.5 \pm 2.3) \times 10^{-4} & \text{(CMS, } K_{\ell_3}) , \\ (10.4 \pm 2.2) \times 10^{-4} & \text{(SGPR, } K_{\mu_3}) , \\ (8.4 \pm 2.5) \times 10^{-4} & \text{(CMS, } K_{\mu_3}) , \end{cases}$$

where $|V_{ub}| = 0.003683(75)$ is used [19,20].

In similar to the nuclear $\beta$ decays, the decays of the $\pi$ meson or the $\tau$ lepton are sensitive to the deviations of the $W$ boson interactions from the SM predictions. In the current setup, the extra leptons couple only to the muons, and thus, violate the LFU between $\pi \rightarrow \mu \nu$ and $\pi \rightarrow e \nu$ or between $\tau \rightarrow \mu \nu\bar{\nu}$ and $\tau \rightarrow e \nu\bar{\nu}$. Although these decay modes give constraints on $\hat{C}^{(3)}_{\phi \ell}$, the experimental uncertainties [44,64–67] are still large, and the constraints are weaker.

6 Muon $g - 2$

The muon $g - 2$ receives corrections from the extra leptons which couple to the muons. In the mass eigenstate basis, the Higgs and gauge interactions are represented as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} g^{Hij} \bar{\psi}_i \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \psi_j h + \frac{g}{c_W} g^{Zij}_{L,R} \bar{\psi}_i \gamma^K L \gamma_\mu L R \psi_j Z_\mu$$

$$+ \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} g^{W_{ij}}_{L,R} \bar{\psi}_i \gamma^K \gamma_\mu L R \psi_j W^+ + \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} g^{W_{ij}}_{L,R} \bar{\psi}_i \gamma^K \gamma_\mu L R \psi_j W^- + \text{h.c.},$$

where the couplings are given by

$$g^{Hij} = \sum_{f,g} (U_L^{-1})_{if} (Y_-)_{fg} (U_R^{-1})_{gj},$$

$$g^{Zij}_{L,R} = \sum_f (U_L^{-1})_{if} (T^3)_{L,R} - s_W^2 (U_R^{-1})_{fj},$$

$$g^{W_{ij}}_{L,R} = \sum_f (U_L^{-1})_{if} (U_R^{-1})_{fj} \times \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } f = \ell, \Delta_{1L}, \Delta_{1R}, \\ \sqrt{2} & \text{for } f = \Sigma_{1L}, \Sigma_{1R}, \end{cases}$$
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Figure 1: One-loop diagrams that contribute to the muon $g - 2$, where $f^-$, $f^0$ and $f^{--}$ are extra leptons, and the photon attaches to charged particles.

$$g_{L,R}^{W_{ij}} = \sum_f (U'_{L,R}^{-1})_{ij} (U_{L,R})_{fj} \times \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } f = \Delta_{3L}, \Delta_{3R}, \\ \sqrt{2} & \text{for } f = \Sigma_{1L}, \Sigma_{1R}. \end{cases}$$  \quad (54)

Here, the fields indexed by $i,j$ are in the mass eigenstate basis, and $f,g$ in $g^{H_{ij}}$ represent $\mu, E, \Delta_{1,3}$, and $\Sigma_1$ in the model basis. Also, $f$ in $g^{Z_{ij}}$ represent all the fields in Table 1. Those in $g^{W_{1,2}ij}$ run over the fields which include the charge-neutral component, $\ell$, $\Delta_{1L,1R}$ and $\Sigma_1$. Similarly, $f$ in $g^{W_{2,3}ij}$ is effective for $\Delta_{3L,3R}$ and $\Sigma_1$ and vanishing for the others.

As shown in Fig. 1, the loop diagrams for the muon $g - 2$ are provided by exchanging the Higgs boson and singly-charged fermions for a $H\mu$, the $Z$ boson and singly-charged fermions for a $Z\mu$, the $W$ boson and neutrally-charged fermions for a $W^1\mu$, and the $W$ boson with doubly-charged fermions for a $W^2\mu$. The formulae for those contributions are found in Ref. [27] for the $W$ and $Z$ loop diagrams, while the reference [24] is used for the Higgs one. The results of the extra lepton contributions are summarized as

$$a^\mu_{EL} = a^H_\mu + a^Z_\mu + a^{W1}_\mu + a^{W2}_\mu,$$  \quad (55)

where

$$a^H_\mu = \frac{m^2_\mu}{32\pi^2 m^2_H} \sum_{f \neq \mu} \left[ (g^{Hf-1})^2 + (g^{Hf-})^2 \right] F_{FFS}(x_{f-h}) + g^{Hf-1} g^{Hf-} \frac{m_f}{m_\mu} G_{FFS}(x_{f-h}),$$  \quad (56)

$$a^Z_\mu = \frac{m^2_\mu G_F}{2\sqrt{2}\pi^2} \sum_{f \neq \mu} \left[ (g^{Zf-1})^2 + (g^{Zf-})^2 \right] F_{FFV}(x_{f-Z}) + g^{Zf-1} g^{Zf-} \frac{m_f}{m_\mu} G_{FFV}(x_{f-Z}),$$  \quad (57)

$$a^{W1}_\mu = \frac{m^2_\mu G_F}{4\sqrt{2}\pi^2} \sum_{f^0 \neq \nu} \left[ (g^{W1f^01})^2 + (g^{W1f^0})^2 \right] F_{VVF}(x_{f^0W}) + g^{W1f^01} g^{W1f^0} \frac{m_{f^0}}{m_\mu} G_{VVF}(x_{f^0W}),$$  \quad (58)
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with $x_{ij} = m_i^2/m_j^2$. Here, the unitary matrices $U_i$ in Eqs. (51)–(54) are defined such that the mass eigenstates are ordered from lightest to heaviest, and thus, “1” in the indices of the coupling constants in Eqs. (56)–(59) means the muon-like fermion in the mass eigenstate basis. The loop functions are defined as [27]

$$F_{FFS}(x) = \frac{1}{6(x-1)^4} \left[ x^3 - 6x^2 + 3x + 2 + 6x \ln x \right],$$

$$G_{FFS}(x) = \frac{1}{(x-1)^3} \left[ x^2 - 4x + 3 + 2 \ln x \right],$$

$$F_{FFV}(x) = \frac{1}{6(x-1)^4} \left[ -5x^4 + 14x^3 - 39x^2 + 38x - 8 + 18x^2 \ln x \right],$$

$$G_{FFV}(x) = \frac{1}{(x-1)^3} \left[ x^3 + 3x - 4 - 6x \ln x \right],$$

$$F_{VVF}(x) = \frac{1}{6(x-1)^4} \left[ 4x^4 - 49x^3 + 78x^2 - 43x + 10 + 18x^3 \ln x \right],$$

$$G_{VVF}(x) = \frac{1}{(x-1)^3} \left[ -x^3 + 12x^2 - 15x + 4 - 6x^2 \ln x \right].$$

All of the extra lepton contributions, Eqs. (56)–(59), can be enhanced by $\lambda_i/y_\mu$ where $\lambda_i = \lambda_{E\Delta_1}, \lambda_{E\Delta_3}, \lambda_{\Sigma_1\Delta_1}$, and $\lambda_{\Sigma_1\Delta_3}$. In fact, any contribution to the muon $g - 2$ involves a chirality flippling on the fermion line, and it is provided by these Yukawa couplings rather than the muon one. Consequently, $\Delta a_\mu$ is approximated as

$$a_\mu^{\text{EL}} = \sum_{i,j} \delta_{ij} \frac{v^2 \lambda_i \lambda_j}{M_i M_j},$$

for $M_E \sim M_{\Delta_1} \sim M_{\Delta_3} \sim M_{\Delta_1} \gg v$. The coefficients are estimated as $\delta_{ij} \sim -2 \times 10^{-6}$, $-1 \times 10^{-5}$, $-2 \times 10^{-6}$, and $2 \times 10^{-6}$ for $(i,j) = (E, \Delta_1), (E, \Delta_3), (\Sigma_1, \Delta_1), \text{and} (\Sigma_1, \Delta_3)$. It is noticed that the sign of each contribution is determined by $\lambda_i$. Besides, the Yukawa couplings $\lambda_{\Delta_1 E}, \lambda_{\Delta_3 E}, \lambda_{\Delta_1 \Sigma_1}, \lambda_{\Delta_3 \Sigma_1}$ do not affect the muon $g - 2$ significantly.

The contributions that are not chirally enhanced are safely negligible in the limit of $M_i \gg v$. In particular, we do not include extra contributions from the SM loop diagrams, i.e., $f^-, f^0 \neq 1$ in Eqs. (56)–(59). The SM Higgs, $Z$ and $W$ coupling constants are modified by the extra leptons via the unitary matrices $U_i$. Such deviations induce extra contributions by exchanging the SM particles in the loop diagrams. However, they are not chirally enhanced, and thus, ignored in the analysis.
Figure 2: $\hat{C}^{(3)}_{\phi \ell}$ in the extra lepton models with the vectorlike masses $M_i = 2\,\text{TeV}$. In the green band, $\hat{C}^{(3)}_{\phi \ell}$ favored by the CKM unitarity is explained at the 1σ level, where the SGPR value and the decay rates of $K_{\mu 2}, \pi_{\mu 2}$ are used.

7 Result

First of all, let us study the CKM unitarity in the extra lepton models. As we explained in Sec. 5, $|V_{ud}|$ determined by the nuclear β decays and $|V_{us}|$ by $K_{e3}$ are affected by $\hat{C}^{(3)}_{\phi \ell}$, i.e., by $E$ and $\Sigma_1$ among the extra leptons. The result is shown in Fig. 2, where $\hat{C}^{(3)}_{\phi \ell}$ is plotted as functions of the Yukawa couplings $\lambda_i$. Here, the vectorlike masses are set to be $M_i = 2\,\text{TeV}$. The green band shows the 1σ region of $\hat{C}^{(3)}_{\phi \ell}$ favored by the CKM unitarity, where the SGPR result is adopted for $|V_{ud}|$ and the decay rates of $K_{\mu 2}, \pi_{\mu 2}$ are used for $|V_{us}|$. It is found that only $\Sigma_1$ can relax the tension in the CKM unitarity. Depending on the evaluations of $\Delta_Y$ and $|V_{us}|$, the CKM unitarity favors the regions,

$$\lambda_{\Sigma_1} = \begin{cases} 
0.40 \pm 0.06 & \text{(SGPR, } K_{\mu 2}/\pi_{\mu 2}) , \\
0.32^{+0.08}_{-0.10} & \text{(CMS, } K_{\mu 2}/\pi_{\mu 2}) , \\
0.53 \pm 0.05 & \text{(SGPR, } K_{e3}) , \\
0.47^{+0.06}_{-0.07} & \text{(CMS, } K_{e3}) , \\
0.52^{+0.05}_{-0.06} & \text{(SGPR, } K_{\mu 3}) , \\
0.47^{+0.06}_{-0.07} & \text{(CMS, } K_{\mu 3}) .
\end{cases}$$ (67)
at the 1σ level. This result is scaled by a ratio \( \lambda_{\Sigma_1}/M_{\Sigma_1} \) for \( M_{\Sigma_1} \neq 2 \text{ TeV} \) because \( \tilde{C}_{\phi \ell}^{(3)} \) is proportional to the ratio squared. On the other hand, since the extra lepton \( E \) decreases \( \tilde{C}_{\phi \ell}^{(3)} \), its contribution is favored to be decoupled by suppressing \( \lambda_E \) or assuming \( M_E \gg v \). In the following analysis, we assume \( \lambda_E = 0 \).

Next, let us consider the tension in the muon \( g - 2 \). According to Eq. \((66)\), the contributions of \( \Sigma_1 \) can be chirally enhanced if it is accompanied by \( \Delta_1 \) or \( \Delta_3 \). Once \( \lambda_{\Delta_1} \) or \( \lambda_{\Delta_3} \) is turned on, EWPO is also affected via \( C_{\phi e} \) in similar to \( \lambda_{\Sigma_1} \) through \( C_{\phi \ell}^{(1,3)} \). In Fig. \( 3 \) the muon \( g - 2 \) and EWPO as well as the CKM elements are evaluated as functions of the Yukawa couplings; in the top (bottom) plots, \( \lambda_{\Sigma_1} \) and \( \lambda_{\Delta_1} \) (\( \lambda_{\Delta_3} \)) are turned on, while \( \lambda_{\Delta_1} = 0 \) (\( \lambda_{\Delta_3} = 0 \)) is assumed. Here, all the vectorlike masses are set to be \( M_i = 2 \text{ TeV} \).

In the left (right) plots, \( |\lambda_{\Sigma_1,\Delta_1,3}| = 1 \) (2) is chosen, and its sign is determined such that the extra lepton contribution to the muon \( g - 2 \) becomes positive. Also, since all the observables are insensitive to \( \lambda_{\Delta_1,3,\Sigma_1} \), it is set to be zero here and hereafter. For each observable, the current data is explained at the 1σ (2σ) level in the thick (thin) colored region. Here, the SGPR value is adopted for \( |V_{ud}| \) and the decay rates of \( K_{\mu 2}, \pi_{\mu 2} \) are used for \( |V_{us}| \). Also, the muon \( g - 2 \) is required to be in the range \( \Delta a_\mu = (27.8 \pm 7.4) \times 10^{-10} \).

It is found that both of the tensions in the CKM unitarity and the muon \( g - 2 \) can be solved under the constraint from EWPO for \( |\lambda_{\Sigma_1,\Delta_1,3}| = \mathcal{O}(1) \) at \( M_i = 2 \text{ TeV} \). Since the extra lepton contributions to the CKM elements and EWPO are proportional to powers of \( \lambda_i/M_i \), the corresponding parameter regions are simply scaled from Fig. \( 3 \) as \( M_i \) is varied. On the other hand, since those to the muon \( g - 2 \) are scaled by \( \lambda_{\Sigma_1,\lambda_{\Delta_1,3}}/M_{\Sigma_1,\Delta_1,3} \) and the parameter region favored by the muon \( g - 2 \) depends on \( \lambda_{\Sigma_1,\Delta_1,3} \) in the figure.

The correction to the muon Yukawa interaction \((36)\) is magnified if the extra lepton contribution to the muon \( g - 2 \) is enhanced. It is required to be as large as the SM value in the parameter region where the muon \( g - 2 \) is explained. Hence, tight parameter tunings between \( y_\mu \) and the extra lepton contribution are not necessary to achieve the muon mass. However, such a contribution is limited by the Higgs decay rate into muon pair. In Fig. \( 4 \) the signal strength of the Higgs decay rate \( \mu^{\mu} \) is shown as a function of the Yukawa coupling \( \lambda_i \equiv \lambda_{\Sigma_1} = \lambda_{\Delta_1,3} \). In the left plot, \( \lambda_{\Delta_1} \) is turned on with \( \Delta_3 = 0 \), and \( \Delta_1 \leftrightarrow \Delta_3 \) in the right plot. Here, \( |\lambda_{\Sigma_1,\Delta_1,3}| = 2 \) and \( M_i = 2 \text{ TeV} \). The blue region is allowed at 95% level by ATLAS. On the other hand, the discrepancy in the muon \( g - 2 \) is explained at the 1σ (2σ) level by the Yukawa couplings in the orange (yellow) region. It is found that almost a half of the muon \( g - 2 \) parameter region is already excluded by \( h \rightarrow \mu \mu \).

The constraint from \( \mu^{\mu} \) is also shown in Fig. \( 3 \) The left region of the black dashed line is allowed at 95% level. We conclude that both of the tensions in the CKM unitarity
Figure 3: The CKM elements (green), $a_\mu$ (orange, yellow), EWPO (blue) and $\mu^{\mu\mu}$ are evaluated as functions of $\lambda_{\Sigma_1}$ and $\lambda_{\Delta_{1,3}}$. The other Yukawa couplings which are not shown explicitly in each plot are set to be zero. For each observable, the current result is explained at the 1$\sigma$ (2$\sigma$) level in the thick (thin) colored region, while the upper limit from the Higgs signal strength $\mu^{\mu\mu}$ is drawn by the black dashed line, where the left side is allowed at 95% CL by ATLAS. Here, the SGPR value and the decay rates of $K_{\mu2}, \pi_{\mu2}$ are used to obtain the CKM regions. Also, $\Delta a_\mu = (27.8 \pm 7.4) \times 10^{-10}$ is adopted.
Figure 4: Signal strength of $h \rightarrow \mu\mu$ as a function of the Yukawa coupling $\lambda_i$. Here, $\lambda_i \equiv \lambda_{\Sigma_i} = \lambda_{\Delta_i}$ with $\lambda_{\Delta_3} = 0$ (left) and $\lambda_i \equiv \lambda_{\Sigma_1} = \lambda_{\Delta_3}$ with $\lambda_{\Delta_1} = 0$ (right). Also, $|\lambda_{\Sigma_1\Delta_{1,3}}| = 2$ and $M_i = 2\text{TeV}$. The blue region is allowed at 95% level by a search for $h \rightarrow \mu\mu$ at ATLAS. The discrepancy in the muon $g-2$ is explained at the 1$\sigma$ (2$\sigma$) level by the Yukawa couplings in the orange (yellow) region, where $\Delta a_\mu = (27.8 \pm 7.4) \times 10^{-10}$ is adopted.

and the muon $g-2$ can be solved simultaneously under the constraints from $\mu^{\mu\mu}$ as well as the EWPO. Note that since the correction to the Yukawa interaction $\hat{C}_{e\phi}$ is dominated by $\hat{C}_{e\phi}$, its parameter dependence on $\lambda_i$ and $M_i$ is the same as that of the chirally-enhanced contribution to the muon $g-2$ (66). Thus, the above conclusion is insensitive to the choice of $\lambda_{\Sigma_1\Delta_{1,3}}$ and $M_i$.

The extra leptons also contribute to the decay rate of the Higgs boson into two photons. The corrections are induced by $\delta_{GF}$ and the extra lepton loops. They are estimated to be $O(0.1\%)$ of the SM prediction, which is well within the current experimental uncertainty [68, 69] and could be probed by future experiments (see e.g., Ref. [70] for future prospects).

In Fig. 3, the CKM elements are evaluated by adopting the SGPR result and the decay rates of $K_{\mu2}$ and $\pi_{\mu2}$: If we use the CMS evaluation for $\Delta V_R^Y$, the parameter overlapping with the EWPO region becomes better (see Eq. (67) for a favored value of $\lambda_{\Sigma_1}$). On the other hand, larger $\lambda_{\Sigma_1}$ is favored by $V_{us}$ determined by $K_{\ell3}$. The CKM region becomes consistent with the EWPO constraint at the 2$\sigma$ level if the CMS evaluation is adopted, while it is not the case for the SGPR. In any case, the tension between $V_{ud}$ determined by the nuclear $\beta$
decays and $V_{us}$ by the $K$ meson decay is relaxed by the extra lepton $\Sigma_4$.

In the analyses, we adopted $\Delta a_\mu = (27.8 \pm 7.4) \times 10^{-10}$ based on Ref. [3] for an evaluation of the hadronic vacuum-polarization contribution to the muon $g - 2$. It is easy to check that the conclusion does not change even if $\Delta a_\mu = (26.1 \pm 7.9) \times 10^{-10}$ [2] is used.

Before closing this section, let us comment on the direct searches for the extra leptons. At collider experiments, they can be produced by exchanging the SM gauge bosons and decay predominantly into the SM bosons $W, Z, h$ and the muonic leptons $\mu, \nu$. Such particles have signatures with multilepton final states. Although there are no experimental analyses based on the full dataset of LHC Run-II, the model may be excluded if the vectorlike masses are $M_i \sim 100$ GeV (cf. the CMS analysis [71] for the tauonic extra lepton search at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, and Refs. [72–76] based on the LHC result at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV). Thus, the setup with $M_i = 2$ TeV safely avoids the direct searches for the extra leptons at the LHC experiments. On the other hand, since future proton-proton colliders such as HL-LHC or higher energy colliders have potentials to probe those particles in multi-TeV scales [77], the extra leptons which solve the tensions in the CKM unitarity and the muon $g - 2$ could be discovered.

8 Conclusions

Motivated by the tensions reported in the CKM unitarity and the muon $g - 2$, we studied the models of extra leptons which couple to the muon and have vectorlike masses. It was shown that the former tension is solved by introducing an SU(2)$_L$ triplet $\Sigma_1$. In addition, the contribution to the muon $g - 2$ can be enhanced if it is accompanied by an SU(2)$_L$ doublet $\Delta_1$ or $\Delta_3$. At the same time, the models are constrained by the EWPO and the Higgs boson decays. We found that both of the tensions can be solved simultaneously under these constraints. In particular, the Higgs decay rate into two muons is likely to be modified from the SM prediction significantly, and thus, could be useful to test the model at future experiments (see e.g., Ref. [70]).

The above tensions are planned to be checked in future. Prospects for the test of the CKM unitarity and the LFU violations are discussed in Ref. [22]. Also, the experimental value of the muon $g - 2$ will be updated in the near future [78–81]. Once the tensions would be confirmed, the extra lepton models can provide one of the attractive scenarios.

#12 According to Eq. (49), it is noticed that the discrepancy between $V_{us}$ determined by $K_\mu^2, \pi_\mu^2$ and that by $K_{\ell_3}$ cannot be solved in the current framework.
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