Promotion of importin α-mediated nuclear import by the phosphorylation-dependent binding of cargo protein to 14-3-3
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Introduction

14-3-3 proteins are abundant, chaperone-like adaptor molecules that act as homo- and heterodimers (Fu et al., 2000). Monomeric 14-3-3 consists of nine α helices, which create a COOH-terminal binding cleft for target binding and an NH2-terminal dimerization domain (Liu et al., 1995; Xiao et al., 1995). 14-3-3 proteins are predominately found in the cytoplasm (Dalal et al., 1999; Kumagai and Dunphy, 1999; Muslin and Xing, 2000), but are also found in the nucleus (Bihn et al., 1997; Todd et al., 1998; Pan et al., 1999), in the Golgi apparatus (Celsi et al., 1990), and in chloroplasts (Sehnke et al., 2000). More than 100 14-3-3 interacting proteins have been identified. In most cases, binding occurs through a consensus motif within the target protein, which contains a phosphorylated serine or threonine residue (sequence RSxpS/TxP; Muslin et al., 1996). 14-3-3 proteins have multiple effects on their targets, and the functional variability is a feature of the binding partner rather than an intrinsic 14-3-3 trait (Tzivion et al., 2001). 14-3-3 can regulate the subcellular localization of a binding partner. It may cause the nuclear exclusion (Dalal et al., 1999; Kumagai and Dunphy, 1999; Zhang et al., 1999; Grozinger and Schreiber, 2000; McKinsey et al., 2000; Wang et al., 2000; Cahill et al., 2001; Brunet et al., 2002) or the nuclear (Seimiya et al., 2000) or cytoplasmic retention (Dalal et al., 1999) of an interacting protein. So far, no role for 14-3-3 in promoting the nuclear import of a binding partner has been described.

Nuclear import is regulated at numerous levels, including the posttranslational modification of cargo proteins. Phosphorylation of some cargoes can mask their nuclear localization signal (NLS), thereby abrogating their interaction with the cytoplasmic import receptor, importin α, and blocking nuclear import. Other cargo proteins show enhanced binding to importin α after phosphorylation, resulting in an increased nuclear import rate (Jans et al., 2000). The mechanism underlying the phosphorylation-dependent nuclear import of some NLS-containing cargo proteins remains elusive. Phosphorylation may directly modulate the affinity of the NLS for importin α. Alternatively, it may cause conformational changes within the cargo or alter its binding to another protein, both of which may reveal or mask the NLS (Harreman et al., 2004).

Myopodin is a dual-compartment, actin-bundling protein that is found in the nucleus of undifferentiated myoblasts and at human bladder cancer. In muscle cells, myopodin redistributes between the nucleus and the cytoplasm in a differentiation-dependent and stress-induced fashion. We show that importin α binding and the subsequent nuclear import of myopodin are regulated by the serine/threonine phosphorylation-dependent binding of myopodin to 14-3-3. These results establish a novel paradigm for the promotion of nuclear import by 14-3-3 binding. They provide a molecular explanation for the phosphorylation-dependent nuclear import of nuclear localization signal-containing cargo proteins.
the Z-disc of differentiated myotubes, but it shuttles back to the nucleus during thermal stress (Weins et al., 2001). In normal bladder epithelium, myopodin is localized in the cytoplasm and in the nucleus (Sanchez-Carbayo et al., 2003). Of note, the loss of nuclear myopodin expression can predict the clinical outcome of human progressive bladder cancer, implying that myopodin functions as a tumor suppressor (Sanchez-Carbayo et al., 2003). The mechanism that regulates the subcellular localization of myopodin is unknown. We describe a novel mechanism for regulating the nuclear import of an NLS-containing protein. We show that the phosphorylation-dependent binding of 14-3-3 to myopodin is required for the interaction of myopodin with importin/ H9251 and the subsequent nuclear import of myopodin. We discuss 14-3-3 binding as a novel, regulatory step that promotes the nuclear import of cargo proteins.

**Results**

**Myopodin is a novel 14-3-3-binding protein**
Myopodin contains two classic nuclear localization signals, a PPXY motif, an actin-binding site (Weins et al., 2001), and two consensus 14-3-3-binding domains (Fig. 1 A). To identify myopodin-interacting proteins, we screened a mouse embryonic cDNA library using the yeast two-hybrid system. Because full-length myopodin was autoactive, we used the myopodin fragment Myo-2 (aa 187–420) as bait (Fig. 1 A). Myopodin from mouse skeletal muscle (left) and C2C12 myoblast extracts (right) specifically binds to GST–14-3-3β, but not to GST alone. (F) Coimmunoprecipitation experiments show that endogenous myopodin interacts with 14-3-3β in adult mouse heart. (left) IP with anti–14-3-3β; (right) IP with antmyopodin. No binding was found with a control IgG. (G) Myopodin binds to all 14-3-3 isoforms except 14-3-3ρ. 14-3-3β lacking the NH2-terminal dimerization domain (βΔN) can bind to myopodin, albeit to a lesser extent. In contrast, 14-3-3ε carrying a point mutation (εK49E) that is known to abrogate target binding does not interact with myopodin. (H) The two consensus 14-3-3-binding motifs, 14-3-3#1 (sequence RSLASVP) and 14-3-3#2 (sequence RSVTSP), were deleted separately (14-3-3#1 and 14-3-3#2) and together (14-3-3#1 + 2). Both FLAG–Δ14-3-3#1 and FLAG–Δ14-3-3#2 display dramatically reduced binding to 14-3-3β compared with full-length myopodin (full-length FLAG). Deletion of both 14-3-3-binding motifs (FLAG–Δ14-3-3#1 + 2) abrogates binding to 14-3-3β.
counterintuitive to the two-hybrid result and to the observed biochemical interaction between both proteins (Fig. 1, E–H). However, as shown for the U2OS osteosarcoma cell line, 14-3-3σ is also localized in the nucleus after the blockage of nuclear export by leptomycin B (LMB), implying that 14-3-3σ can shuttle between the cytoplasm and the nucleus (Brunet et al., 2002). Similarly, the inhibition of nuclear export with LMB for 90 min induced the nuclear accumulation of 14-3-3β (Fig. 1 C, bottom). We have previously reported that after heat shock and LMB treatment, myopodin relocates to the nucleus of differentiated myotubes, showing that the nuclear export of myopodin is sensitive to LMB (Weins et al., 2001). Here, we found that 14-3-3β relocates from the cytoplasm to the nucleus after heat shock (Fig. 1 D), suggesting that, similar to myopodin, the subcellular localization of 14-3-3 is altered by cellular stress.

Endogenous myopodin specifically interacts with 14-3-3β in myocytes
Myopodin specifically interacts with 14-3-3β in GST pull-down assays. GST–14-3-3β, but not GST alone, specifically bound to myopodin from adult mouse skeletal muscle and C2C12 myoblast extracts (Fig. 1 E). To further confirm the interaction, endogenous proteins were immunoprecipitated from mouse heart blast extracts (Fig. 1 E). To further confirm the interaction, endogenous proteins were immunoprecipitated from mouse heart extracts using an anti–14-3-3 antibody and the myopodin-specific antibody SRIB2. Anti–14-3-3 precipitated 14-3-3β from the extract and also coprecipitated myopodin (Fig. 1 F, left). Conversely, antimyopodin coimmunoprecipitated 14-3-3β (Fig. 1 F, right). No interaction was found with a control IgG.

Myopodin interacts with all 14-3-3 isoforms except 14-3-3σ
Mammals express seven different 14-3-3 isoforms (β, γ, ε, η, σ, τ, and ζ; Fu et al., 2000), but the yeast two-hybrid screen had identified only the β isoform as a myopodin-interacting protein. The binding of myopodin to other 14-3-3 isoforms was tested in pull-down studies of GST–14-3-3 fusion proteins and purified FLAG-myopodin (Fig. 1 G). Myopodin strongly bound 14-3-3β, γ, η, and σ (Fig. 1 G). The interaction with 14-3-3ε and ζ was weaker, and no binding was found for 14-3-3τ (Fig. 1 G). The K49E substitution in the binding groove of 14-3-3 causes the loss of target binding (Zhang et al., 1997), and a 14-3-3ε K49E mutant also failed to bind to myopodin (Fig. 1 G), confirming the specificity of the interaction. 14-3-3 dimerization is crucial for target protein binding and 14-3-3 functionality (Tzivion et al., 2001). Therefore, the requirement of 14-3-3 dimerization for myopodin binding was tested with a 14-3-3β construct (14-3-3βΔN) that lacked the NH2-terminal dimerization domain (Seimiya et al., 2000). 14-3-3βΔN also bound to FLAG-myopodin, but to a lesser extent than wild-type 14-3-3β (Fig. 1 G).

Two consensus 14-3-3 motifs mediate the binding of myopodin to 14-3-3β
Myopodin contains two consensus 14-3-3–binding motifs (Fig. 1 H, top) that can mediate phosphorylation-dependent 14-3-3 binding (Yaffe et al., 1997). To map the 14-3-3β–binding sites in myopodin, both motifs were deleted separately (Δ14-3-3#1 and Δ14-3-3#2) or together (Δ14-3-3#1 + 2; Fig. 1 H). Full-length myopodin and deletion constructs were coexpressed with GFP–14-3-3β in HEK-293 cells and were analyzed by communoprecipitation. FLAG full-length myopodin showed a strong interaction with GFP–14-3-3β, whereas a weak binding was found for FLAG–Δ14-3-3#1 and FLAG–Δ14-3-3#2. No binding to 14-3-3β was found after the deletion of both motifs (FLAG–Δ14-3-3#1 + 2). Hence, myopodin contains two functional 14-3-3–binding sites that mediate the interaction between myopodin and 14-3-3β.

14-3-3 function is required for the nuclear import of myopodin
14-3-3 proteins can regulate the subcellular localization of binding partners (Muslin and Xing, 2000), and myopodin is a dual-compartment protein (Weins et al., 2001). This raises the possibility that 14-3-3β participates in regulating the subcellular localization of myopodin. A dominant negative mutant of 14-3-3β that lacks the NH2-terminal dimerization domain (14-3-3σΔN) redistributes the catalytic subunit of human telomerase from the nucleus to the cytoplasm (Seimiya et al., 2000). Therefore, we tested the effect of 14-3-3βΔN (Fig. 1 G) on the subcellular localization of myopodin in myoblasts. In cells expressing GFP–14-3-3βΔN, myopodin showed a cytoplasmic localization (Fig. 2 A, bottom left), whereas in cells expressing full-length GFP–14-3-3β (Fig. 2 A, top left) or GFP alone (not depicted), myopodin was localized in the nucleus.

14-3-3β participates in the nuclear import of myopodin
At this point, two alternative mechanisms for the 14-3-3β–mediated nuclear localization of myopodin were possible: (1) 14-3-3β binding facilitates nuclear import or (2) blocks the LMB-sensitive (Weins et al., 2001) nuclear export of myopodin. To address this matter, we repeated the transfection studies with the GFP–14-3-3βΔN construct in the presence of LMB (Fig. 2 A, top). If 14-3-3β inhibits the nuclear export of myopodin, LMB should rescue GFP–14-3-3βΔN–transfected cells and result in the nuclear relocalization of myopodin. In contrast, if 14-3-3β binding mediates the nuclear import of myopodin, LMB should not affect the cytoplasmic localization of myopodin in cells expressing GFP–14-3-3βΔN. As shown in Fig. 2 A (bottom right), myoblasts expressing GFP–14-3-3βΔN did not show the nuclear localization of endogenous myopodin in the presence of LMB, whereas in untransfected cells or in cells expressing GFP full-length 14-3-3β (Fig. 2 A, top right), myopodin was found in the nucleus. To test the activity of LMB, we performed control experiments with transfected C2C12 myoblasts that expressed GFP–C19, the NH2-terminal fragment of integrase interactor 1, which contain an LMB-sensitive nuclear export signal (Craig et al., 2002). In untreated cells, C19 was predominantly located in the cytoplasm and accumulated in the nucleus after the inhibition of CRM1–mediated export by LMB, thereby proving the activity of LMB under these conditions (unpublished data). Together, these results suggest that 14-3-3β is involved in the nuclear import of myopodin.
Two NLSs and two 14-3-3-binding motifs are required for the nuclear import of myopodin

Myopodin contains two putative nuclear localization sequences: NLS#1 and NLS#2 (Fig. 1 A). To assess the functionality of these NLSs, we deleted them separately (ΔNLS#1 and ΔNLS#2) or in combination (ΔNLS#1 + 2), and the subcellular distribution was analyzed by confocal microscopy (Fig. 2 B). In addition, myopodin-induced F-actin filaments (Weins et al., 2001) were visualized with rhodamine-labeled phalloidin, and nuclei were visualized with DAPI. GFP full-length myopodin was mainly detected in the nucleus (Fig. 2 B). The quantitative analysis showed that 73.4% of GFP full-length myopodin was found in the nucleus, compared with 14.8% for ΔNLS#1 and 13.4% for ΔNLS#2 (Fig. 2 C). The simultaneous deletion of both NLSs (ΔNLS#1 + 2) did not further decrease the amount of nuclear myopodin (14.2%; Fig. 2 C). The differences between full-length myopodin and ΔNLS#1, ΔNLS#2, and ΔNLS #1 + 2 were significant (P < 0.01; t test). Hence, both NLSs of myopodin are functional and necessary for an efficient nuclear import of myopodin. However, even in the absence of both NLSs, ∼14% of total GFP fusion protein is still found in the nucleus, suggesting that other domains are also involved in the nuclear import of myopodin. To determine whether the observed nuclear exclusion of myopodin in the presence of 14-3-3βΔN (Fig. 2 A) resulted from a functional loss of the 14-3-3β–myopodin interaction, we next expressed myopodin deletion constructs that lacked the identified 14-3-3–binding motifs as GFP fusion proteins in C2C12 myoblasts (Fig. 2 B). The deletion of either one (Δ14-3-3#1 and Δ14-3-3#2) or both (Δ14-3-3#1 + 2) 14-3-3-binding motifs resulted in a dramatic decrease of nuclear myopodin. 20% of Δ14-3-3#1, 21.8% of Δ14-3-3#2, and 19.1% of Δ14-3-3#1 + 2 were localized in the nucleus (Fig. 2 C), which is a significant difference from the 73.4% for full-length myopodin (P < 0.01; t test). Next, we examined whether 14-3-3β can act synergistically with NLSs to maximize the efficacy of nuclear import. Therefore, we created a GFP–myopodin construct that lacked both 14-3-3–binding motifs and both NLSs (Fig. 2 B, Δ14-3-3#1 + 2 + ΔNLS#1 + 2). GFP–myopodinΔ14-3-3#1 + 2 + ΔNLS#1 + 2 showed 6.4% nuclear localization (Fig. 2 C), which was significantly less when compared with ΔNLS#1 + 2 or Δ14-3-3#1 + 2 (P < 0.01; t test). Together, both NLSs and both 14-3-3–binding sites are required for the efficient nuclear import of myopodin.

The two 14-3-3 motifs are necessary for the binding of recombinant myopodin to endogenous 14-3-3β in myoblasts

To determine whether the various myopodin mutant forms (Fig. 2 C) can bind to endogenous 14-3-3β, FLAG-tagged pro-
teins were expressed in C2C12 myoblasts and were immunoprecipitated with an anti-FLAG antibody (Fig. 3 A, bottom). Similar to full-length myopodin (FLAG-full-length), FLAG–\(\Delta 14-3-3\#1\) and FLAG–\(\Delta 14-3-3\#2\) coprecipitated endogenous 14-3-3\(\beta\), albeit to a lesser extent (Fig. 3 A, top). In contrast, FLAG–\(\Delta 14-3-3\#1 + 2\) did not bind to myopodin. These results corroborate the interaction studies in transfected HEK-293 cells (Fig. 1 H) and suggest that binding to 14-3-3\(\beta\) is required for the nuclear import of myopodin. The deletion of the two NLSs separately (FLAG–\(\Delta NLS#1\) and FLAG–\(\Delta NLS#2\)) or together (FLAG–\(\Delta NLS#1 + 2\)) did not abrogate the myopodin–14-3-3\(\beta\) interaction. Therefore, the two NLSs in myopodin are not necessary for its binding to 14-3-3\(\beta\). As expected, FLAG–\(\Delta 14-3-3\#1 + 2 + \Delta NLS#1 + 2\) did not interact with endogenous 14-3-3\(\beta\) (Fig. 3 A).

Removal of 14-3-3 motifs results in the loss of myopodin binding to importin \(\alpha\)

Lysine-rich, classical NLSs (sequence K-K/R-X-K/R) that were originally identified in the SV40 T antigen (Kalderon et al., 1984; Lanford and Butel, 1984) are recognized by the importin \(\alpha/\beta\) dimer. Importin \(\alpha\) directly binds to the basic NLSs of cargo proteins but needs importin \(\beta\) to cross the nuclear pore complex (Weis, 1998). To study the interaction between the NLSs of myopodin and importin \(\alpha\), recombinant FLAG-myopodin proteins were analyzed for their ability to bind importin \(\alpha\) (Fig. 3 B). FLAG-myopodin proteins were expressed in HEK-293 cells, were immunoprecipitated with anti-FLAG antibody, and were incubated with total protein extract from Xenopus laevis embryos. Eluates were analyzed with anti–14-3-3\(\beta\) (Fig. 3 B, top) or anti–importin \(\alpha\) (Hachet et al., 2004; Fig. 3 B, middle) antibodies. Immunoprecipitation with anti-FLAG antibody demonstrated that each binding reaction included equal amounts of the various FLAG-tagged myopodin proteins (Fig. 3 B, bottom). Using full-length FLAG-myopodin, 14-3-3\(\beta\) and importin \(\alpha\) were coprecipitated, showing an interaction between myopodin and both proteins (Fig. 3 B). As shown for the binding of endogenous 14-3-3\(\beta\) in myoblasts (Fig. 3 A), the deletion of the NLSs (FLAG–\(\Delta NLS#1\), FLAG–\(\Delta NLS#2\), and FLAG–\(\Delta NLS#1 + 2\)) did not impair the myopodin–14-3-3\(\beta\) interaction (Fig. 3 B). In contrast, FLAG–\(\Delta NLS#1 + 2\) did not bind importin \(\alpha\). The deletion of either NLS (FLAG–\(\Delta NLS#1\) or FLAG–\(\Delta NLS#2\)) did not abrogate the interaction between myopodin and importin \(\alpha\) (Fig. 3 B). The removal of the two 14-3-3–binding domains, separately (FLAG–\(\Delta 14-3-3\#1\) and FLAG–\(\Delta 14-3-3\#2\)) or together (FLAG–\(\Delta 14-3-3\#1 + 2\)), resulted in the loss of the 14-3-3 and importin \(\alpha\) binding (Fig. 3 B). Hence, the presence of 14-3-3 motifs is necessary for the interaction of myopodin with importin \(\alpha\), whereas the two NLSs are dispensable for the binding of myopodin to 14-3-3.

Inhibition of 14-3-3 binding with a high-affinity peptide antagonist abrogates the interaction between myopodin and importin \(\alpha\)

To further confirm this finding with another approach, the experiment was repeated with full-length myopodin in the absence or presence of the R18 peptide, a well-established, high-affinity competitive inhibitor of 14-3-3 binding (Wang et al., 1999). R18 abolished the interaction between FLAG-myopodin and endogenous 14-3-3\(\beta\) and between the binding of myopodin and importin \(\alpha\) (Fig. 3 C). This result lends further support to our hypothesis that the binding of myopodin to importin \(\alpha\) critically depends on the interaction of myopodin with 14-3-3.

The direct binding of myopodin to importin \(\alpha\) requires the presence of 14-3-3

To determine whether myopodin can directly bind to importin \(\alpha\), we conducted in vitro reconstitution studies with purified importin \(\alpha\), myopodin, and 14-3-3\(\beta\). To do so, purified GST-tagged importin \(\alpha\) was incubated with purified, FLAG-tagged myopodin, FLAG-tagged 14-3-3\(\beta\), or both together and analyzed by GST pull-down studies (Fig. 3 D). When importin \(\alpha\) was incubated with myopodin (Fig. 3 D, left) or 14-3-3\(\beta\) (Fig. 3 D, middle) alone, no interaction was found. In contrast, when all three proteins were present, both myopodin and 14-3-3\(\beta\) could be eluted together with importin \(\alpha\), but not with GST alone (Fig. 3 D, right). These results confirm that 14-3-3 cannot directly bind to importin \(\alpha\) as expected from the absence of a functional NLS (Brunet et al., 2002). Furthermore, the direct binding of purified

Figure 3. 14-3-3 binding to myopodin is required for the interaction between myopodin and importin \(\alpha\).  [A] Endogenous 14-3-3\(\beta\) from C2C12 myoblasts coimmunoprecipitates with myopodin (FLAG-full-length). FLAG–\(\Delta 14-3-3\#1\) and FLAG–\(\Delta 14-3-3\#2\) show impaired binding to 14-3-3\(\beta\). No binding to 14-3-3\(\beta\) is found for FLAG–\(\Delta 14-3-3\#1 + 2\). In contrast, deletion of one or both NLSs (FLAG–\(\Delta NLS#1\), FLAG–\(\Delta NLS#2\), and FLAG–\(\Delta NLS#1 + 2\)) does not interfere with the binding of myopodin to 14-3-3\(\beta\). Combined deletion of all four motifs (FLAG–\(\Delta 14-3-3\#1 + 2 + \Delta NLS#1 + 2\) abrogates the binding of myopodin to 14-3-3\(\beta\). [B] Binding of 14-3-3\(\beta\) (top) and importin \(\alpha\) (middle) to FLAG-tagged myopodin (bottom). Single NLS deletions (FLAG–\(\Delta NLS#1\) and FLAG–\(\Delta NLS#2\)) do not impair the expression of myopodin or the interaction of myopodin with 14-3-3\(\beta\) or importin \(\alpha\). In contrast, the absence of both NLSs (FLAG–\(\Delta NLS#1 + 2\)) causes loss of importin \(\alpha\) binding, whereas 14-3-3\(\beta\) binding is preserved. Deletion of 14-3-3–binding motifs in myopodin (FLAG–\(\Delta 14-3-3\#1\), FLAG–\(\Delta 14-3-3\#2\), and FLAG–\(\Delta 14-3-3\#1 + 2\) abrogates the interaction of myopodin with 14-3-3\(\beta\) and with importin \(\alpha\). [C] The 14-3-3 inhibitory peptide R18 abrogates the binding of myopodin to 14-3-3\(\beta\) (top) and to importin \(\alpha\) (bottom). [D] Immobilized GST–importin \(\alpha\), but not GST alone, binds purified FLAG–myopodin in the presence of purified FLAG–14-3-3\(\beta\). In contrast, no interaction is found between GST–importin \(\alpha\) and FLAG–14-3-3\(\beta\) or FLAG–myopodin alone.
myopodin to importin α only occurs in the presence of 14-3-3. Finally, these results demonstrate that the interaction between 14-3-3β and importin α is indirect and is mediated by myopodin.

**Myopodin is phosphorylated in vivo**

14-3-3 proteins are phosphoserine/threonine-binding proteins (Muslin et al., 1996), and many 14-3-3 interactions depend on the phosphorylation of the target protein (Yaffe, 2002). Therefore, we examined whether the myopodin–14-3-3 interaction is regulated by phosphorylation. To this end, purified FLAG-myopodin was dephosphorylated with λ protein phosphatase (λ-PPase), which reduced the molecular size of myopodin (Fig. 4 A). Moreover, λ-PPase treatment converted the fuzzier signal of the phosphorylated protein into a more compact and sharper band (Fig. 4 A, top). These findings show that myopodin is phosphorylated in vivo.

**The binding to 14-3-3β requires the phosphorylation of myopodin**

Next, we determined whether the phosphorylation of myopodin is required for binding to 14-3-3β (Fig. 4 B). In the phosphorylated state (Fig. 4 B, left), myopodin bound to 14-3-3β, but not after dephosphorylation with λ-PPase (Fig. 4 B, right). This binding was also abrogated by the 14-3-3 inhibitory peptide R18 (Fig. 4 B). Therefore, we concluded that the interaction between myopodin and 14-3-3β is dependent on the phosphorylation of myopodin. To confirm this finding, we analyzed the ability of dephosphorylated, recombinant myopodin to bind endogenous 14-3-3β from C2C12 myoblasts (Fig. 4 C). FLAG-tagged myopodin was purified from HEK-293 cells and was incubated with C2C12 protein extract. As shown above for recombinant proteins (Fig. 2 A), myopodin binds endogenous 14-3-3β, whereas the dephosphorylation with λ-PPase abolished the interaction (Fig. 4 C, top). 14-3-3 proteins, including 14-3-3β, can also be phosphorylated (Fu et al., 2000). In a converse experiment, to determine whether the phosphorylation of 14-3-3β is required for myopodin binding, purified FLAG–14-3-3β was incubated with C2C12 protein extract. 14-3-3β could bind endogenous myopodin independent of λ-PPase treatment (Fig. 4 C, bottom). This finding is consistent with the ability of GST–14-3-3β that is purified from bacteria to bind myopodin (Fig. 1 E). Together, the phosphorylation of myopodin is required for 14-3-3 binding, whereas the phosphorylation of 14-3-3 is not required for myopodin binding.

**Dephosphorylation of myopodin abrogates importin α binding**

To further determine whether the phosphorylation of myopodin is not only required for 14-3-3 binding but also for importin α binding, FLAG-tagged myopodin was treated with λ-PPase and was incubated with X. laevis protein extract (Fig. 4 D). In the absence of λ-PPase treatment, FLAG–myopodin bound to 14-3-3β and importin α (Fig. 4 D, left). In contrast, the dephosphorylation of myopodin with λ-PPase abrogated the interaction with 14-3-3β and importin α (Fig. 4 D, right). These findings further support the hypothesis that the interaction of myopodin with importin α requires the phosphorylation-dependent binding of myopodin to 14-3-3.

**S225 and T272 mediate the phosphorylation-dependent binding of myopodin to 14-3-3β**

The removal of the 14-3-3-binding sites (Fig. 1 H and Fig. 3, A and B) and the dephosphorylation of myopodin (Fig. 4, B–D) abrogate 14-3-3 binding, suggesting that the amino acids mediating the phosphorylation-dependent interaction are localized within the two 14-3-3-binding motifs. According to the consensus 14-3-3-binding motifs RXxxP/TxP (mode 1) and RXxxP/S/TxP (mode 2; Yaffe et al., 1997), we predicted that S225 and T272 were phosphoacceptor sites (Fig. 4 E). To test...
this hypothesis, we mutated these amino acids to alanine (S225A and T272A), which cannot be phosphorylated by protein kinases. As a control, S273, which was not expected to be crucial for 14-3-3 binding, was also mutated (S273A; Fig. 4 E). Myopodin and its point mutation variants were expressed as FLAG fusion proteins in HEK-293 cells and were purified and incubated with immobilized GST–14-3-3β. Wild-type myopodin could bind GST–14-3-3β, whereas no interaction was found for S225A, T272A or S225AT272A (Fig. 4 F). In contrast, S273A showed normal 14-3-3 binding. As expected from the single mutation S225A, the combined removal of serine 225 and serine 273 (S225AS273A) also resulted in the loss of 14-3-3 binding (Fig. 4 F). Together, S225 and T272, but not S273, are crucial for the interaction of myopodin with 14-3-3β. It also confirms the finding that the two 14-3-3–binding motifs in myopodin are necessary and sufficient for the efficient interaction with 14-3-3β (Fig. 1 H). To determine whether the phosphorylation of S225 and T272 is required for 14-3-3 binding, the phosphorylation of both residues was mimicked by the substitution of negatively charged amino acids. S225 was replaced by aspartic acid (S225D) and T272 by glutamic acid (T272E; Fig. 4 G). The single (S225D and T272E) or combined substitutions (S225DT272E) did not alter binding to GST–14-3-3β (Fig. 4 G, top). In contrast, after dephosphorylation with λ-PPase and before GST–14-3-3β binding (Fig. 4 G, bottom), the binding of wild-type myopodin was abrogated, whereas the binding of S225D and T272E was dramatically reduced. In contrast, S225DT272E retained strong binding to 14-3-3β, demonstrating that the phosphorylation of S225 and T272 is necessary for the efficient binding of myopodin to 14-3-3β.

**S225 and T272 mediate the phosphorylation-dependent nuclear import of myopodin**

To determine whether the aa S225 and T272 of myopodin are not only required for 14-3-3 binding but are also required for the nuclear import of myopodin, the subcellular distribution of GFP-tagged wild-type and mutant myopodin was analyzed by confocal microscopy (Fig. 5 A) and was quantified as described above (Fig. 2 C). As shown previously (Fig. 2 B; Weins et al., 2001), wild-type myopodin was mainly localized in the nucleus (Fig. 5 A). The quantitative analysis showed 77.4% nuclear localization (Fig. 5 B). The removal of single phosphorylation sites (S225A and T272A) resulted in a significantly decreased nuclear localization (20.1% for S225A and 20.5% for T272A; Fig. 5 B) versus 77.4% for wild-type myopodin (P < 0.01; t test). The combined replacement of S225 and T272 (Fig. 5 A, S225AT272A) further decreased nuclear myopodin to 10.5% (Fig. 5 B), a significant difference from the single mutations S225A and T272A (P < 0.01; t test). In contrast, S273A showed normal nuclear localization (78.8% nuclear; Fig. 5 B). The active mutants S225D, T272E, or S273D showed 75.6%, 80.3%, and 75.7% nuclear localization, respectively. The highest percentage of nuclear myopodin was found for S225DT272E (Fig. 5 A). However, the difference to wild type (83.5% for S225DT272E versus 77.4% for wild-type myopodin) was not significant. Altogether, these experiments show that the phosphorylation of S225 and T272 is required for the efficient nuclear import of myopodin.

**Discussion**

This study uncovered a novel mechanism for the phosphorylation-dependent nuclear import of NLS-containing proteins. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to demonstrate that 14-3-3 promotes the nuclear import of a cargo protein. In particular, we established that phosphorylated myopodin directly interacts with 14-3-3 proteins, and that this interaction determines transport efficiency (Weis, 2003). Therefore, targeting sequence recognition is a key control point in the regulation of nuclear import (Jans et al., 2000). One way to regulate nuclear transport is by changing the phosphorylation status of the cargo protein. Phosphorylation of the SV40 large tumor antigen and the Drosophila melanogaster morphogen dorsal upstream of the NLSs directly enhances the affinity between NLSs and importin α/β1 (Hubner et al., 1997; Briggs...
et al., 1998). The deletion of the phosphorylation sites in these nuclear cargoes causes a decreased nuclear import rate, which reveals the importance of serine/threonine phosphorylation for nuclear import efficiency. Phosphorylation of a cargo protein may modulate the affinity between NLS and importin α or may cause a conformational change in the cargo, thereby exposing an NLS (Harreman et al., 2004). In addition, phosphorylation may cause the release or binding of a heterologous, NLS-masking protein.

Recent proteomic analyses of 14-3-3–binding proteins revealed that importins were putative 14-3-3 targets (Meek et al., 2004). However, 14-3-3 proteins do not have an intrinsic NLS, and, therefore, the direct binding of importins to 14-3-3 is unlikely (Brunet et al., 2002). Instead, these interactions are indirect and are mediated by proteins like myopodin that bind both 14-3-3 proteins and importin α (Fig. 3 D).

14-3-3 proteins are highly acidic, chaperone-like molecules that can induce conformational changes in their binding partners. Myopodin, on the other hand, is a very basic protein with an isoelectric point of 9.34 (Weins et al., 2001). Hence, it seems conceivable that 14-3-3 binding neutralizes the negative charge of myopodin. This, in turn, may change the structure of myopodin, thereby unmasking and exposing NLSs. In this study, we have shown that myopodin contains two functional 14-3-3–binding sites that are required for efficient 14-3-3 binding (Fig. 1 H). Because each monomer of a 14-3-3 dimer binds its target in opposite directions (Yaffe et al., 1997), the binding of a 14-3-3 dimer could change the predicted linear structure of myopodin (Weins et al., 2001) to a U-shaped conformation. This is a potential regulatory conformational change in myopodin that could alter its biochemical features, such as the capability to interact with other proteins (e.g., importin α). Clearly, structural analysis of the myopodin–14-3-3 interaction will be required to confirm or refute this hypothesis.

14-3-3 proteins can participate in protein translocation into mitochondria (Alam et al., 1994) and chloroplasts (May and Soll, 2000) by serving as cytoplasmic chaperones. In this study, we describe a novel role of 14-3-3 as a regulator of nuclear import efficiency by showing that 14-3-3 binding enables myopodin to interact with importin α. Protein import into mitochondria and chloroplasts is mechanistically and evolutionarily very different from nuclear import. Nevertheless, the results of this study suggest that cargoes with different subcellular destinations may share 14-3-3 as a cytoplasmic chaperone in order to achieve an import-compatible structure.

14-3-3 proteins are involved in the regulation of cell proliferation, differentiation, and cell death (van Hemert et al., 2001). For example, the epithelial 14-3-3 isoform σ serves as a tumor suppressor (Hermeking, 2003), and the loss of 14-3-3σ expression can cause cell transformation (Dellambra et al., 2000). Several studies have shown a decrease or loss of 14-3-3σ expression in transformed cells (Simooka et al., 2004; Urano et al., 2004), including transitional urinary bladder carcinomas (Ostergaard et al., 1997; Moreira et al., 2004). Myopodin not only binds to 14-3-3σ but also acts as a tumor suppressor in bladder carcinomas (Sanchez-Carbayo et al., 2003). In fact, the relocalization of myopodin from the nucleus to the cytoplasm predicts the clinical outcome of these tumors (Sanchez-Carbayo et al., 2003). Based on the results of this study, it is tempting to speculate that the loss of nuclear myopodin in invasive bladder tumors results from the loss of 14-3-3σ expression or from the loss of myopodin phosphorylation, which, in turn, abrogates 14-3-3 and importin α binding. Future studies will explore the phosphorylation stage of myopodin in normal urothelium and in bladder tumors.

To summarize, this study has helped to identify a functional role for 14-3-3 in promoting nuclear import. In particular, we have shown that importin α binding and the subsequent nuclear import of the tumor suppressor myopodin are regulated by the serine/threonine phosphorylation-dependent binding of myopodin to 14-3-3 (Fig. 6). Altogether, these data provide a novel paradigm for the regulation of nuclear import by 14-3-3 in that 14-3-3 regulates the binding of a phosphorylated cargo protein to importin α and the nuclear import machinery.

Materials and methods

Yeast two-hybrid screen

The mouse myopodin fragment Myo-2 (nt 559–1260) that was fused to the GAL4 DNA-binding domain was used to screen a pretransformed mouse embryonic [E17.5] cDNA library according to the manufacturer’s protocol (MATCHMAKER Two-Hybrid System 3; CLONTECH Laboratories, Inc.).

Cloning and vectors

All cDNA fragments used in this study were amplified by RT-PCR using Pfu DNA polymerase (Stratagene) and were cloned into pEGFP-N1 (CLONTECH Laboratories, Inc.), pFLAG-cytomegalovirus-5a, b, and c (Sigma-Aldrich), or a modified glutathione transferase fusion vector (pGEX; Ron and Dressler, 1992), which was provided by Ben Margolis (University of Michigan Medical School, Ann Arbor, MI). Point mutations were generated with the QuikChange Multi Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Stratagene). All constructs were verified by DNA sequencing.

Mouse myopodin cDNA and its mutated variants were cloned into pEGFP-N1 and pFLAG vectors. GST–14-3-3β, ε, and η cDNAs were provided by Andrey Shaw (Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO), and GST–14-3-3εK49E, γ, α, τ, and ζ were provided by Michael Yaffe (Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA). The 14-3-3β cDNA and the NH2-terminal deletion mutant βΔN (aa 128–246) were subcloned into pEGFP-N1, pFLAG, and pGEX. The X. laevis importin α1a cDNA (provided by Ian Mattaj, European Molecular Biology Laboratory, Heidelberg, Germany) was amplified by PCR and subcloned into pEGFP-N1.
Germany) was cloned into pGE. The GFP-C19 construct was provided by Ganjam Kalpana (Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, NY).

**Antibodies**

Rabbit antmyopodin [Weins et al., 2001] and rabbit anti–X. laevis importin α [Hanch et al., 2004] have been previously described. Rabbit anti–14-3-3β (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) and mouse monoclonal anti–α-actinin (sarcomeric, clone EA-53) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich; and the goat anti-GST was purchased from Amersham Biosciences. HRP-coupled secondary antibodies were purchased from Promega. For immunofluorescence, Texas red-conjugated goat anti–rabbit (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories) and AlexaFluor 488 (Molecular Probes) or Cy3-conjugated goat anti–mouse (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories) were used. For immunoprecipitation of FLAG fusion proteins, monoclonal anti–FLAG-M2 antibody that was covalently attached to agarose (Sigma-Aldrich) was used. GFP fusion proteins were detected with rabbit anti-GFP (Living Colors A.v. peptide antibody; CIONTECH Laboratories, Inc.), and FLAG fusion proteins were detected with anti–FLAG-M2 antibody.

**Cell culture and transfection**

Cell culture of C2C12 myoblasts and HEK-293 cells as well as heat shock were performed as described previously [Weins et al., 2001]. For biochemical analyses, transient transfections were performed using Lipofectamine2000 [Invitrogen].

**Immunofluorescence and confocal microscopy**

Immunofluorescence microscopy was performed as described previously [Weins et al., 2001]. Anti–14-3-3β was used at 1:50; anti–α-actinin was used at 1:1,000; rhodamine-labeled phalloidin (Molecular Probes) was used at 1:500; and DAPI was used at 1:5,000.

**Quantification of nuclear myopodin**

We used a high resolution Nomarski objective (60×, NA 1.4; Olympus) and a high resolution epifluorescence with a precision stepper motor (model IX70; Olympus), the axial depth that was detected was deeper than 5 μm, and, therefore, fluorescence was accurately detected from the entire depth of the cells. To analyze transfected cells, whole cell areas, nuclei, and backgrounds of 25 cells per construct were traced using IPLab Image Analysis software [Scanalytics]. For further calculations, Excel software (Microsoft) was used. The mean background level was subtracted from the means of the entire cell and the nucleus alone. To determine the content of GFP fusion protein in the whole cell versus that in the nucleus, the mean intensity of the entire cell versus the nucleus was multiplied by the area of each. Total GFP fusion protein in the cytoplasm was calculated by subtracting the mass of the nucleus from the mass of the total cell. The percentage of nuclear myopodin was calculated by dividing the mass of the nucleus by the mass of the cytoplasm.

**Tissue and cell lysates**

GST pull-down experiments were performed as described previously [Schwarz et al., 2001]. For communoprecipitation of endogenous proteins from the mouse heart, the tissue was homogenized in extraction buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% SDS, 0.5% deoxycholic acid, and 1% NP-40) in a 1:3 wt/vol ratio. To purify FLAG-tagged proteins, HEK-293 cells were cultured in a 10-cm dish to ~90% confluency and were transfected with FLAG cDNA constructs. After 24 h, cells were washed with PBS and were harvested with a cell scraper in 900 μl of modified radioimmunoprecipitation buffer [40 mM Tris, pH 7.4, 200 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, 0.25% deoxycholic acid, 1 mM EDTA, and 1 mM EGTA]. Lysates were incubated on ice for 30 min and were cleared at 14,000 g for 15 min at 4°C. For communoprecipitation of FLAG- or GFP-tagged fusion proteins, HEK-293 cells were grown on a 10-cm dish, were co-transfected at a confluence of ~90%, and were harvested on ice after 24 h using 5 ml PBS/50 mM EDTA. Cells were pelleted using centrifugation at 1,000 g for 5 min at 4°C and were washed twice with 5 ml PBS. For cell lysis, the pellet was resuspended in 1 ml of immunoprecipitation (IP) buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 50 mM KCl, 10 mM EDTA, 10 mM EGTA, 1.5% Triton X-100, and 0.75% NP-40) and was incubated on ice for 30 min. The cell lysate was cleared by centrifugation for 10 min at 5,000 g. To coinmunoprecipitate endogenous 14-3-3β from myoblasts, C2C12 cells were grown on a 10-cm dish and were transfected with FLAG constructs at a confluence of ~90%. FLAG fusion proteins were isolated as described for HEK-293 cells. For X. laevis protein extracts, 100 2-d-old embryos were homogenized in 2 ml IP buffer. After centrifuging at 10,000 g for 30 min at 4°C, the supernatant was used for binding studies.

**Western blotting and endogenous communoprecipitation**

SDS-PAGE and Western blotting were performed as described previously [Mundel et al., 1997; Weins et al., 2001]. Antibodies were used at the following ratios: myopodin-specific antibody SB12 at 1:300; anti–14-3-3β antibody at 1:2,000; anti–importin α antibody at 1:1,000; antibody against FLAG at 1:10,000; antibody against GFP at 1:300; anti–GST at 1:10,000; and HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies at 1:20,000. The immunoreaction was visualized by ECL (Amersham Biosciences). To immuno precipitate endogenous protein complexes, 1 ml of mouse heart extract was incubated overnight with 2 μg of antibodies at 4°C under rotation. Immune complexes were precipitated with 50 μl of Protein A/G-Sepharose (Sigma-Aldrich) and were eluted in 100 μl SDS sample buffer. Anti-GFP IgG served as a negative control.

**GST-binding assays**

GST pull-down studies from tissue and cell extracts were performed as reported previously [Schwarz et al., 2001]. To study the interaction between GST fusion proteins and FLAG-tagged proteins, GST proteins were expressed in bacteria and were purified using glutathione-coupled agarose as described previously [Schwarz et al., 2001]. 1 μg of purified GST fusion protein in 500 μl PBS was added to the glutathione beads, and the reaction was incubated at 4°C under rotation for 2 h. For 14-3-3 inhibition studies, 1 μM R18 peptide (provided by Thomas McDonald, Albert Einstein College of Medicine; Wang et al., 1999) was added to the FLAG fusion protein in PBS. For the triple binding assay with purified importin α, myopodin, and 14-3-3β, the immobilized GST fusion protein was incubated with 1 μg each of two purified FLAG proteins. Silver staining and Western blot analysis confirmed the purity of FLAG-tagged myopodin and FLAG-tagged 14-3-3β. The beads were collected by centrifugation and were washed five times in 1 ml PBS, and bound proteins were eluted by boiling in 150 μl Laemmli buffer. Eluates were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and by immunoblotting.
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