Adherence to Antipsychotic Medication and Quality of Life in Latin-American Patients Diagnosed with Schizophrenia
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Purpose: The purpose of this study was to describe the association between adherence to antipsychotic medication and quality of life (QoL) in a sample of individuals diagnosed with schizophrenia.

Methods: Two hundred fifty-three patients were included from three public mental health services from Bolivia, Peru, and Chile. Data were collected using the Drug Attitude Inventory (DAI-10) and the Schizophrenia Quality of Life short-version questionnaire (SQoL-18), which considers 8 dimensions.

Results: Significant associations were found between adherence to antipsychotic medication treatment and QoL (S-QoL-18 index: $\beta = 0.26, p = 0.004$; self-esteem: $\beta = 0.37, p = 0.000$; and sentimental life: $\beta = 0.20, p = 0.033$). Associations of clinical and socio-demographic variables with QoL were identified: severity of psychotic symptoms, awareness of the disease, gender, age, and ethnicity were found to be associated with a lower level of QoL ($\beta$ from 0.14 to $-0.56$).

Conclusion: This study provides evidence of the association between adherence to treatment and quality of life in patients diagnosed with schizophrenia. Therefore, as in developed countries, improving adherence to antipsychotic medication would appear to be an important issue to address to improve patients’ QoL in Latin American countries.
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Introduction

Patients diagnosed with schizophrenia have been seen to have low adherence to antipsychotic medication treatment (poor adherence ranging from 20% to 89%).

Moreover, 30% to 50% of the patients change the dose and rate of medication after hospital discharge without consulting a clinician.

Among the most important risk factors for relapse, re-hospitalization, and resistance to treatment in patients diagnosed with schizophrenia, non-adherence to pharmacological treatment stands out, which is also associated with substance abuse, suicide attempts, violence, and the deterioration of long-term functioning in these patients.

Non-adherence is considered a complex phenomenon, which could be influenced by four factors: sociodemographic variables, psychotic symptomatology (including persecutory delusion, hostility, and cognitive impairment), variables related to treatment (frequency and intensity of side effects, subjective feeling under treatment, comorbid addiction, duration of treatment, and addictive behavior), and insight into the disorder.
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Quality of life (QoL) is severely impaired in patients diagnosed with schizophrenia.\textsuperscript{16} QoL and adherence to antipsychotics in patients have been studied extensively and independently.\textsuperscript{17–19} Some studies suggested that non-adherence may be associated with impaired QoL, beginning from the first psychotic episode.\textsuperscript{19–21} Recent studies have suggested that improving adherence to pharmacological treatment may be a key to improving QoL in patients.\textsuperscript{22,23} Non-adherence may impact QoL through psychotic exacerbations.\textsuperscript{9,24} Most of this research has been carried out in industrialized countries, but little is known about countries where health resources are scarce and important mental health inequalities exist, such as in Latin-American countries.\textsuperscript{13}

The objective of this study was to assess the associations between poor adherence and impaired QoL in community-dwelling outpatients diagnosed with schizophrenia in three Latin American countries: Peru, Bolivia, and Chile.

Methods
Design and Setting
This study is part of a larger international research project, which involved the participation of patients from three Latin American countries. It is a non-experimental study, whose design is transversal ex post facto retrospective, with a correlated scope because the variables were measured at one point in time and the phenomenon had already occurred.

Participants
This study used a convenience sampling strategy and was conducted in three Latin American countries, specifically in the cities of Arica in Northern Chile, Tacna in Southern Peru, and La Paz, the Capital of Bolivia. In 2019, the population of the cities of Arica and Tacna was approximately 250 thousand residents each, while in La Paz, there are approximately 2.7 million residents. The three mental health service systems are characterized by attendance to a large number of patients with diverse diagnoses of mental disorders.

This study used the inclusion criterion the condition that all patients had a diagnosis of schizophrenia according to the ICD-10 and were stabilized, that is, they had not experienced a psychotic episode in the last 6 months. The diagnosis was given by the psychiatrist of each Mental Health Service,\textsuperscript{25} excluding all patients with a current acute episode or a sensory or cognitive impairment that prevented them from being evaluated.

Procedure
Initially, the lead author examined the total number of patients diagnosed with schizophrenia in each department. Two clinical psychologists were trained to conduct interviews over a 3-month period in all three countries. Once the interviewers were trained, patients were invited to participate during their monthly follow-up visits. Before the assessment began, those who agreed to participate were asked for and provided informed consent. The assessment lasted 20–30 minutes, was made in pencil and paper format, and no compensation was offered.

Instruments
Adherence to Antipsychotic Medication
The Drug Attitude Inventory (DAI-10)\textsuperscript{26} is a brief 10-item scale designed to assess experiences, attitudes, and beliefs about antipsychotic drugs. This scale contains items such as “I take medications of my own free choice,” “Medication makes me feel tired and sluggish,” or “My thoughts are clear on medication”. Response options range from −10 (very bad attitude) to +10 (best possible attitude), where scores of 6 to 10 consider the patient to be adherent, 0 to 5 moderately adherent, and negative scores as nonadherent.\textsuperscript{26–28} The scale reported evidence of validity based on the relationship with other variables, where it was significantly associated with PANSS and GAF, in addition to showing adequate test–retest reliability (0.79).\textsuperscript{27,28} The Spanish version, adapted and translated by Ramírez, Robles, Salazar, and Páez,\textsuperscript{29} also showed evidence of validity based on relationship with other variables through association with the treatment attitude questionnaire (ITAQ) (r = 0.47; p = 0.001) and levels close to acceptable internal consistency (0.57).

Quality of Life of Patients with Schizophrenia
The Schizophrenia Quality of Life Questionnaire (S-QoL-18)\textsuperscript{30} is an 18-item scale designed to assess quality of life in patients diagnosed with schizophrenia across 8 dimensions: psychological well-being (PsW), self-esteem (SE), family relationships (RFa), relationships with friends (RFr), resilience (RE), physical well-being (PhW), autonomy (AU), and sentimental life (SL). This scale contains items such as “I feel free to make decisions,” “I am helped and supported by my family,” or “I feel cut off from the outside world.” The dimension and index scores range
from 0 to 100, where the higher the score, the higher the quality of life. The factor structure of the scale accounted for 78% of the total variance and showed satisfactory levels of internal consistency (Cronbach’s alpha coefficients ranged from 0.72 to 0.84). This scale has been adapted and translated for Latin American countries, reporting the same factor structure as the original scale, adequate levels of internal consistency (Cronbach’s alpha coefficient >0.70) and significant associations with PANSS.31

Socio-Demographic Data
Data were collected on patients’ gender, age, educational level, marital status, and ethnicity. Aymara is an ethnic minority that faces complex interculturality issues across the 3 countries despite a long tradition of indigenous and interbreeding, particularly in Perú and Bolivia.32

Clinical Data
Psychotic symptoms were assessed using the Positive And Negative Syndrome scale for Schizophrenia (PANSS).33 A 30-item questionnaire was designed to specifically assess psychotic symptoms in individuals diagnosed with schizophrenia in 5 dimensions: positive, negative, cognitive, depressive, and excitement psychopathology. The PANSS was translated and validated in Spanish by Peralta and Cuesta.34 Both the original and adapted versions showed evidence of adequate construct validity and modest internal consistency (Cronbach’s alpha coefficient >0.60). Furthermore, data were collected on the duration of the illness in years and the type of mental health treatment. Finally, the scale to assess unawareness of mental disorder (SUMD)35 was used to measure awareness of the illness (insight). High scores represent a lack of awareness. The SUMD was translated and validated in Spanish by Ruiz and collaborators.36 Both the original and adapted versions showed satisfactory levels of internal consistency (Cronbach’s alpha coefficient >0.70).

Ethical Concerns
This study complied with the Declaration of Helsinki.

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of Tarapacá and the National Health Service of Chile and was authorized by the directors of the Public Mental Health Services of Bolivia and Peru. Before commencement of the survey, written informed consent was requested from the patient. The objectives of the study and the voluntary and anonymous nature of participation were explained. No compensation was offered for participation in the study.

Statistical Analysis
All analyses were performed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 25.37 To characterize the sample, means, proportions, and standard deviations were obtained for each variable. Associations between S-QoL18, DAI-10, and PANNS scores were performed using Spearman correlation test since previous analysis using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test showed that the sample was not normally distributed (p = 0.000).

To identify the potential association between adherence to antipsychotic medication and quality of life, multiple linear regression analyses were performed. The dimensions of S-QoL18 and its total index were considered as separate dependent variables. To introduce the DAI-10 variable in the regression models, it was categorized as non-adherent, moderate, and adherent, and transformed into a dummy variable: therefore, moderate and adherent were considered as separate independent variables. Further, in an effort to control for the influence of clinical and socio-demographic variables on regression models, psychotic symptoms, awareness of the disease, type of mental health treatment, gender, age, educational level, marital status, and ethnicity were included. The final multiple regression models were reported using the standardized beta coefficients, which represent changes in the standard deviation of the dependent variable (S-QoL18) based on the change of one standard deviation in the different independent variables. Independent variables with larger beta standardized coefficients suggest a higher effect on the QoL of patients diagnosed with schizophrenia.

An ANOVA test was used to analyze the differences in means in each dimension of QoL between the different degrees of adherence (three groups: adherent, moderate, and non-adherent). In groups in which a significant difference between means was found, a post hoc analysis of multiple comparisons was carried out using the Bonferroni method.

Results
Two hundred and fifty-three patients diagnosed with schizophrenia were included from public mental health services. Of the participants, 32.8% were from Bolivia, 33.6% from Chile, and 33.6% from Peru. The DAI-40 questionnaire was completed by 247 of the participants.
The mean age of participants was 35.6 years (SD = 15.5), 164 (66.4%) were men, 237 (93.6%) did not have a partner, and 213 (84.2%) had less than 12 years of education. In the ethnic group, 117 (46.2%) were Aymara. The DAI-10 scores showed moderate adherence to antipsychotic treatment (3.0, SD = 4.8). Overall, 49 (19.8%) participants were considered nonadherent. The prevalence of nonadherence was 11.8% in Chile, 25.0% in Peru, and 23.2% in Bolivia. S-QoL index scores showed moderate levels of quality of life (54.3, SD = 14.4). Figure 1 shows the participation flowchart. Sociodemographic details are shown in Table 1.

Spearman correlation analysis showed that the PANSS total score had statistically significant inverse correlations with S-QoL18 index (r = −.36), psychological well-being (PsW) (r = −.27), self-esteem (SE) (r = −.21), family relationships (RFa) (r = −.16), resilience (RE) (r = −.15), physical well-being (PhW) (r = −.19), autonomy (AU) (r = −.26), and sentimental life (SL) (r = −.31). The DAI-10 score, unlike the PANSS total score, had direct, statistically significant correlations with the S-QoL18 index (r = 0.18) and self-esteem (SE) (r = 0.26).

In the multiple linear regression models, moderate adherence was significantly associated with the S-QoL18 index (β = 0.23; p = 0.008), the dimensions of self-esteem (SE) (β = 0.22; p = 0.018), family relationships (RFa) (β = 0.23; p = 0.013), autonomy (AU) (β = 0.21; p = 0.023), and sentimental life (SL) (β = 0.19; p = 0.031), while adherence was significantly associated with the S-QoL18 index (β = 0.26; p = 0.004), the dimensions of self-esteem (SE) (β = 0.36; p = 0.000), and sentimental life (SL) (β = 0.20; p = 0.041), suggesting that patients with greater adherence to antipsychotic treatment will have better QoL. Clinical variables, such as the severity of psychotic symptoms measured by PANSS, showed significant relationships with the S-QoL18 index (β = −.44; p = 0.000) and autonomy (AU) (β = 0.28; p = 0.000). The awareness of the patients evaluated through the SUMD scale showed significant relationships with the S-QoL18 index (β = 0.34; p = 0.000), psychological well-being (PsW) (β = 0.18; p = 0.017), self-esteem (SE) (β = 0.27; p = 0.001), relationships with friends (RFr) (β = 0.17; p = 0.042), physical well-being (PhW) (β = 0.28; p = 0.001), autonomy (AU) (β = 0.20; p = 0.014), and sentimental life (SL) (β = 0.35; p = 0.000), which implies that, the greater the lack of awareness, the higher the QoL levels of patients. Furthermore, the duration of the disorder was significantly associated with resilience (RE) (β = 0.44; p = 0.002) and physical well-being (PhW) (β = 0.31; p = 0.029). Finally, the type of mental health treatment was only significantly associated with resilience (RE) (β = 0.16; p = 0.028). Sociodemographic variables also showed significant relationships with QoL: women had lower levels for the family relationship dimension (RFa) (β = −0.28; p = 0.000). Aymara patients had lower levels for sentimental life (SL) (β = −0.19; p = 0.002), and older patients had lower levels of S-QoL18 (β = −0.39; p = 0.003), self-esteem (SE) (β = −0.29; p = 0.036), resilience (RE) (β = −0.56; p = 0.000), and physical well-being (PhW) (β = −0.34; p = 0.014) than younger patients. Finally, educational level was significantly associated with the S-QoL18 index (β = 0.21; p = 0.001), psychological well-being (PsW) (β = 0.31; p = 0.000), self-esteem (SE) (β = 0.14; p = 0.038), autonomy (AU) (β = 0.16; p = 0.022), and sentimental life (β = 0.11; p = 0.015). All multiple regression models were able to predict between 4% and 26% of the variation in QoL levels, with the clinical variables standing out as the most predictive. The details of the multiple linear regression models are shown in Table 2.

The one-factor ANOVA shows that non-adherence has lower levels in all dimensions except the physical well-being dimension. In addition, only statistically significant differences were observed between the degree of adherence.

Figure 1 Patient flowchart.
Table 1 Sociodemographic Characteristics of Sample (N = 253)

| Patients                        | M (SD) ± Range or N (%) |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Gender (men)                   | 164 (66.4%)              |
| Age                            | 35.6 (15.5) ± 15–73      |
| Aymara                         |                          |
| Yes                            | 117 (46.2%)              |
| No                             | 136 (53.8%)              |
| Marital status                 |                          |
| With a partner                 | 16 (6.3%)                |
| Without a partner              | 237 (93.6%)              |
| Educational level (≥ 12 years) |                          |
|                                | 40 (15.8%)               |
| Illness duration (years) (ID)  |                          |
|                                | 14.6 (11.8) ± 0–55       |
| Type of mental health treatment|                          |
| Only pharmacological            | 222 (87.7%)              |
| Integrated                     | 31 (12.3%)               |
| DAI-10 score                   | 3.0 (4.8) ± 10.0–10.0    |
| DAI-10 categorized             |                          |
| Non-adherent                   | 49 (19.8%)               |
| Moderate                       | 100 (39.5%)              |
| Adherent                       | 98 (38.7%)               |
| Missing                        | 6 (2.0%)                 |
| S-QoL18                        |                          |
| PsW                            | 47.6 (24.7) ± 0.0–100.0  |
| SE                             | 57.3 (22.3) ± 0.0–100.0  |
| RFa                            | 63.2 (23.3) ± 0.0–100.0  |
| RFr                            | 37.3 (38.3) ± 0.0–100.0  |
| RE                             | 68.4 (17.5) ± 0.0–91.6   |
| PhW                            | 58.2 (21.5) ± 0.0–100.0  |
| AU                             | 57.0 (22.6) ± 0.0–100.0  |
| SL                             | 45.2 (27.6) ± 0.0–100.0  |
| Index                          | 54.3 (14.4) ± 0.0–100.0  |
| PANSS                           |                          |
| Positive factor                | 8.3 (4.6) ± 3.0–21.0     |
| Negative factor                | 18.6 (8.3) ± 6.0–37.0    |
| Cognitive factor               | 7.3 (4.0) ± 3.0–20.0     |
| Depression factor              | 6.4 (3.7) ± 3.0–20.0     |
| Excitement factor              | 11.5 (5.9) ± 7.0–44.0    |
| PANSS total                    | 71.3 (28.2) ± 30.0–191.0 |
| SUMD                           | 7.1 (4.4) ± 2.0–15.0     |

Notes: M (SD), Mean (standard deviation); N, Number of people; (%), Effective (percentage); S-QoL18, High scores suggest a better quality of life; DAI-10, High scores suggest a better attitude towards medication.

Abbreviations: S-QoL18, PHV psychological well-being; SE self-esteem; RFa, family relationships; RFr, relationships with friends; RE, resilience; PhW, physical well-being; AU, autonomy; SL, sentimental life; DAI-10, Drug Attitude Inventory; PANSS, Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale; SUMD, Scale to assess Unawareness of Mental Disorder.

Post-hoc analysis showed that the mean S-QoL18 index score of the non-adherent group was significantly lower than that of the moderately adherent group (p = 0.032) and the adherent group (p = 0.016), while no statistically significant difference was observed between the moderately adherent group and the adherent group. When analyzing subdimensions, only self-esteem (SE) was significantly impaired in the non-adherent group compared to the moderately adherent group (p = 0.006) and the adherent group (p = 0.000). No significant differences were found between the moderately adherent group and the adherent group. The details of the post hoc analysis (one-way ANOVA) between the degree of adherence and QoL dimensions are presented in Table 4.

Discussion

The present study has shown a significant positive correlation between medication non-adherence and impaired QoL in community-dwelling subjects with schizophrenia. These results are similar to those of previous studies. Indeed, it is possible that as Puschnier and collaborators indicated that medication non-adherence might affect patients’ QoL “indirectly” via severity associated with uncontrolled symptomatology and/or side effects produced by the drugs.

Considering the control of clinical and sociodemographic variables, regression models also showed that adherence and moderate adherence, as opposed to non-adherence, influence the increase in self-esteem (SE), sentiment life (SL), family relationships (RFr), and autonomy (AU), which translates into higher levels of quality of life. These findings are consistent with a considerable number of studies showing that compliance with medication is beneficial for the stabilization of the psychopathological state and therefore higher levels of QoL for schizophrenia patients.

In relation to the influence of clinical variables, the severity of psychotic symptoms is found to be associated with low quality of life in patients diagnosed with schizophrenia. Several studies have reported that the intensity and durability of psychotic symptomatology reduces the QoL of patients, in addition to highlighting it as one of the key variables in the increase or decrease of QoL. Similarly, awareness of the disease was associated with lower levels of QoL, expressed through lower psychological well-being (PsW), self-esteem (SE), relationships with friends (RFr), physical well-being (PhW), autonomy (AU), and sentimental life (SL). Some reasons that could explain...
Table 2 Results of Multiple Regression Analysis by Dimension of S-QoL18

|                | PsW  | SE   | RFa  | RFr  | RE   | PhW  | AU   | SL   | Index |
|----------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|
| F              | 4.886| 3.092| 3.796| 1.508| 2.041| 2.110| 2.703| 4.546| 5.645 |
| p              | 0.000| 0.000| 0.000| 0.100| 0.012| 0.009| 0.001| 0.000| 0.000 |
| adj. R²        | 0.228| 0.137| 0.175| 0.037| 0.073| 0.078| 0.114| 0.212| 0.260 |

Standardized Coefficient & p-value

|                | β    | p   | β    | p   | β    | p   | β    | p   | β    | p   | β    | p   | β    | p   |
|----------------|------|-----|------|-----|------|-----|------|-----|------|-----|------|-----|------|-----|
| (Constant)     | —    | 0.000| —    | 0.000| —    | 0.000| —    | 0.000| —    | 0.000| —    | 0.000| —    | 0.000|
| Moderate       | —0.11| 0.092| 0.224| 0.018| 0.231| 0.013| 0.134| 0.178| 0.119| 0.222| —0.017| 0.859| 0.217| 0.023|
| Adherent       | 0.055| 0.557| 0.363| 0.000| 0.035| 0.718| 0.167| 0.122| 0.113| 0.273| 0.119| 0.249| 0.193| 0.056|
| Gender         | 0.085| 0.229| 0.069| 0.355| —0.275| 0.000| —0.078| 0.302| —0.012| 0.876| —0.066| 0.937| —0.006| 0.933|
| Age            | —0.205| 0.125| —0.269| 0.058| —0.221| 0.111| 0.024| 0.872| —0.598| 0.000| —0.318| 0.030| —0.126| 0.377|
| Marital status | 0.069| 0.317| —0.013| 0.854| 0.044| 0.532| —0.033| 0.664| —0.110| 0.146| 0.056| 0.458| 0.040| 0.582|
| Educational level (≥ 12 years) | 0.309| 0.000| 0.142| 0.038| —0.004| 0.949| 0.110| 0.128| 0.059| 0.405| 0.037| 0.597| 0.160| 0.022|
| Illness duration (years) | 0.180| 0.161| 0.180| 0.184| 0.124| 0.347| —0.156| 0.275| 0.440| 0.002| 0.307| 0.029| 0.124| 0.365|
| Type of mental health treatment | 0.087| 0.186| 0.117| 0.094| 0.070| 0.304| —0.009| 0.901| 0.159| 0.028| 0.098| 0.173| 0.041| 0.556|
| PANSS positive | —1.56| 0.293| —0.75| 0.635| —0.057| 0.712| —0.69| 0.677| —0.079| 0.626| —0.039| 0.812| 0.288| 0.071|
| PANSS negative | —2.52| 0.231| —0.252| 0.911| 0.278| 0.202| —0.050| 0.830| —0.076| 0.743| 0.023| 0.921| 0.291| 0.197|
| PANSS cognitive | —0.25| 0.861| 0.221| 0.139| —0.127| 0.385| 0.050| 0.751| 0.198| 0.201| 0.208| 0.177| 0.349| 0.039|
| PANSS depression | —0.075| 0.465| —0.156| 0.149| 0.111| 0.295| 0.087| 0.443| —0.081| 0.468| —0.065| 0.559| 0.030| 0.782|
| PANSS excitement | 0.098| 0.497| 0.219| 0.151| —0.017| 0.911| 0.083| 0.607| —0.004| 0.978| 0.134| 0.393| 0.386| 0.013|
| PANSS total    | —0.40| 0.932| —0.410| 0.410| —0.289| 0.552| —0.250| 0.634| —0.056| 0.913| —0.506| 0.326| 0.279| 0.000|
| SUMD           | 0.183| 0.017| 0.266| 0.001| 0.042| 0.597| 0.174| 0.042| 0.116| 0.167| 0.275| 0.001| 0.203| 0.014|
| Ethnicity      | 0.031| 0.616| —0.003| 0.961| —0.109| 0.093| —0.130| 0.064| 0.023| 0.740| —0.031| 0.645| —0.054| 0.417|

Notes: F, Statistical F; p, Significance; adj. R², Coefficient R squared corrected; β, Standardized regression coefficient; Values in bold indicate a statistically significant (p < 0.05); moderate and adherent are the result of the transformation of the DAI-10 into a dummy variable.

Abbreviations: S-QoL18, Psychosocial Quality of Life; SE, self-esteem; RFa, family relationships; RFr, relationships with friends; RE, resilience; PhW, physical well-being; AU, autonomy; SL, sentimental life; DAI-10, Drug Attitude Inventory; SUMD, Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale; SUMD, Scale to assess Unawareness of Mental Disorder.
### Table 3 Mean Differences (One-Way ANOVA) in Medication Adherence and Quality of Life

| DA1-10 | Non-Adherent (N = 49) | Moderate (N = 100) | Adherent (N = 98) | F | p  |
|--------|-----------------------|-------------------|------------------|---|----|
|        | M | SD | M | SD | M | SD |     |     |
| PsW    | 38.3 | 3.0 | 46.8 | 2.7 | 46.4 | 2.5 | 0.379 | 0.685 |
| SE     | 46.4 | 2.6 | 58.3 | 2.0 | 61.9 | 2.1 | 8.435 | **0.000** |
| RFa    | 58.2 | 2.9 | 64.4 | 2.0 | 62.0 | 2.3 | 2.185 | 0.115 |
| RFr    | 29.1 | 3.0 | 37.5 | 2.9 | 39.9 | 4.8 | 1.327 | 0.267 |
| RE     | 50.5 | 2.0 | 58.9 | 2.1 | 58.0 | 2.4 | 1.155 | 0.317 |
| PhW    | 46.6 | 2.4 | 58.2 | 4.4 | 48.7 | 2.4 | 0.872 | 0.419 |
| AU     | 51.1 | 2.4 | 57.4 | 2.2 | 59.9 | 1.9 | 2.478 | 0.086 |
| SL     | 65.5 | 1.9 | 70.1 | 1.5 | 68.6 | 1.8 | 1.816 | 0.165 |
| Index  | 48.7 | 1.5 | 55.1 | 1.2 | 55.7 | 1.4 | 4.370 | **0.014** |

**Notes:** M, mean; SD, standard deviation; N, number of people; F, statistical F; p, significance; values in bold indicate a statistically significant (p < 0.05); S-QoL18, High scores suggest a better quality of life; DA1-10, High scores suggest a better attitude towards medication.

**Abbreviations:** S-QoL18, PsW psychological well-being; SE, self-esteem; RFa, family relationships; RFr, relationships with friends; RE, resilience; PhW, physical well-being; AU, autonomy; SL, sentimental life; DA1-10, Drug Attitude Inventory (non-adherent, moderately adherent, and adherent).

### Table 4 Post Hoc Analysis (One-Way ANOVA) with Statistically Significant Differences

| Comparison | Mean Difference | SE | p   |
|------------|-----------------|----|-----|
| SE         |                 |    |     |
| Non-adherent | Moderate     | -11.82 | 3.77 | **0.006** |
| Moderate   | Adherent       | -15.43 | 3.79 | **0.000** |
| Moderate   | Adherent       | -3.61  | 3.07 | 0.726 |
| Index      |                 |    |     |
| Non-adherent | Moderate     | -6.40  | 2.48 | **0.032** |
| Moderate   | Adherent       | -6.98  | 2.49 | **0.016** |
| Moderate   | Adherent       | -0.38  | 1.03 | 1.000 |

**Notes:** SE, standard error; p, significance; values in bold indicate a statistically significant (p < 0.05); S-QoL18, High scores suggest a better quality of life; DA1-10, High scores suggest a better attitude towards medication; post hoc analyses of multiple comparisons are corrected using Bonferroni's method.

**Abbreviations:** S-QoL18, SE self-esteem; DA1-10, Drug Attitude Inventory (non-adherent, moderately adherent, and adherent).

This result are probably that being aware of the disease would be associated with increased depressive symptomatology, substance abuse, and being more likely to be stigmatized, which will impact periods of non-adherence, which could consequently affect patients’ QoL.\(^{43,44}\)

Sociodemographic variables were also associated with QoL. This result suggests that women, older patients, those with lower education levels, and those belonging to an ethnic group may have lower QoL levels. These findings are consistent with those of previous studies in similar samples.\(^{17,45,46}\)

Although some of the clinical and socio-demographic variables showed major impacts within the regression models, adherence to antipsychotic treatment also has an impact on QoL levels. Therefore, in order to improve the quality of life of patients diagnosed with schizophrenia, interventions that promote adherence to pharmacological treatment should be essential in mental health services, with special attention to non-adherent patients and adjustment of the sociodemographic and clinical profile mentioned above.

The medication non-adherence rate of 19.8% was lower than that reported in other studies where the expected range was 25%-78%.\(^{21,47-49}\) The moderate mean of the total DA1 score in this sample reflects a general positive attitude toward antipsychotic medication. This result is to be expected, since the study considers patients who have received community and outpatient treatment in mental health services.\(^{10}\) However, a previous study (part of the larger International Research Project) showed a difference between the countries involved in this research where patients in Chile reported greater adherence to medication.\(^{53}\) This last point can be explained by the Mental health policies in Chile, where there is a program Garantías Explicitas en Salud (GES) (Explicit Health Guarantees) that constitute a set of benefits guaranteed by law for individuals diagnosed with schizophrenia and other disorders, which ensures the delivery of antipsychotic medication to the patient, unlike Peru and Bolivia, where access to medication is not universal for the population of patients diagnosed with schizophrenia.\(^{50}\)

This study has two limitations. First, the self-report measure used to assess adherence to antipsychotic treatment has not been without its critics, particularly because of the subjective way in which adherence is assessed as opposed to other methods such as pill counting, electronic monitoring, and/or plasma concentrations, which are characterized as being more quantifiable. Patient behaviors with regard to pharmacological adherence are not easy to detect and quantify, so any form of detection has limitations. However, there is evidence that mental health service users can make reliable ratings of the outcome data.\(^{51}\) Second, the research design used in this research, being non-experimental and transversal, prevents the establishment of causal relationships, and is vulnerable to omitted-variable bias. Therefore, it is imperative to carry out longitudinal studies to evaluate the stability of these
findings and provide more evidence about the temporal order among the variables used, and to incorporate others to complement their explanation.

Future studies should consider objective and subjective measures of adherence as well as clinicians’ reports. Furthermore, the effect of adherence (non-adherence) to treatments with first or second-generation medicines should be evaluated in a differential way with reference to their respective effect on the quality of life of patients, considering the current occurrence and types of side effects, since the association between adherence and quality of life could be modified. Furthermore, it would be interesting for future research to compare the associations reported in this study, in samples of Aymara and non-Aymara patients, in order to evaluate the possible cultural effect.

**Conclusion**

This study provides evidence on the association between treatment adherence and QoL of schizophrenia patients; therefore, in developed countries, improving adherence to antipsychotic medication would seem to be essential to improve the quality of life of patients in Latin America. These findings should be an input for designing interventions to promote adherence to antipsychotic medication in mental health services.
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