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GUI-QIANG G. CHEN AND MIKHAIL PEREPELITSA

ABSTRACT. We are concerned with spherically symmetric solutions of the Euler equations for multidimensional compressible fluids, which are motivated by many important physical situations. Various evidences indicate that spherically symmetric solutions of the compressible Euler equations may blow up near the origin at certain time under some circumstance. The central feature is the strengthening of waves as they move radially inward. A longstanding open, fundamental question is whether concentration could form at the origin. In this paper, we develop a method of vanishing viscosity and related estimate techniques for viscosity approximate solutions, and establish the convergence of the approximate solutions to a global finite-energy entropy solution of the compressible Euler equations with spherical symmetry and large initial data. This indicates that concentration does not form in the vanishing viscosity limit, even though the density may blow up at certain time. To achieve this, we first construct global smooth solutions of appropriate initial-boundary value problems for the Euler equations with designed viscosity terms, an approximate pressure function, and boundary conditions, and then we establish the strong convergence of the viscosity approximate solutions to a finite-energy entropy solutions of the Euler equations.

1. INTRODUCTION

We are concerned with the existence theory for spherically symmetric global solutions of the Euler equations for multidimensional compressible homentropy fluids:

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot (\rho v) &= 0, \\
(\rho v)_t + \nabla \cdot (\rho v \otimes v) + \nabla p &= 0,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \rho \geq 0 \) is the density, \( p \) the pressure, \( v \in \mathbb{R}^n \) the velocity, \( t \in \mathbb{R} \), \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \), and \( \nabla_x \) is the gradient with respect to \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \). The constitutive pressure-density relation for polytropic perfect gases is

\[ p = p(\rho) = \kappa \rho^\gamma, \]

where \( \gamma > 1 \) is the adiabatic exponent and, by scaling, the constant \( \kappa \) in the pressure-density relation may be chosen as \( \kappa = (\gamma - 1)^2/4\gamma \) without loss of generality.

For the spherically symmetric motion,

\[ \rho(t, x) = \rho(t, r), \quad v(t, x) = u(t, r) \frac{x}{r}, \quad r = |x|. \]
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Then the functions \((\rho, m) = (\rho, \rho u)\) are governed by the following Euler equations with geometrical terms:

\[
\begin{align*}
\rho_t + \rho r m + \frac{n-1}{r} m &= 0, \\
m_t + r \left( \frac{m^2}{\rho} + p(\rho) \right) + \frac{n-1}{r} \frac{m^2}{\rho} &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]  

(1.3)

The existence theory for spherically symmetric solutions \((\rho, v)(t, x)\) to (1.1) through form (1.2) is equivalent to the existence theory for global solutions \((\rho, m)(t, r)\) to (1.3). For any problem with a constant velocity \(v_\infty\) at infinity, i.e., \(\lim_{|x| \to \infty} v(t, x) = v_\infty\), we may assume without loss of generality that \(v_\infty = 0\), or equivalently \(\lim_{r \to \infty} u(t, r) = 0\), by the Galilean invariance.

The study of spherically symmetric solutions can date back 1950s, which are motivated by many important physical problems such as flow in a jet engine inlet manifold and stellar dynamics including gaseous stars and supernovae formation. In particular, the similarity solutions of such a problem have been discussed in a large literature (cf. \([9, 13, 23, 24, 26]\)), which are determined by singular ordinary differential equations. The central feature is the strengthening of waves as they move radially inward. Various evidences indicate that spherically symmetric solutions of the compressible Euler equations may blow up near the origin at certain time under some circumstance. A longstanding open, fundamental question is whether concentration could form at the origin, that is, the density becomes a delta measure at the origin, especially when a focusing spherical shock is moving inward the origin (cf. \([9, 23, 26]\)).

Some progress has been made for solving this problem in the recent decades. The local existence of spherically symmetric weak solutions outside a solid ball at the origin was discussed in Makino-Takeno \([21]\) for the case \(1 < \gamma \leq \frac{5}{3}\); also see Yang \([27]\). A shock capturing scheme was introduced in Chen-Glimm \([6]\) for constructing approximate solutions to spherically symmetric entropy solutions for \(\gamma > 1\), where the convergence proof was limited to be locally in time. A first global existence of entropy solutions including the origin was established in Chen \([5]\) for a class of \(L^\infty\) Cauchy data of arbitrarily large amplitude, which model outgoing blast waves and large-time asymptotic solutions. Also see Slemrod \([24]\) for the resolution of the spherical piston problem for compressible isentropic gas dynamics via a self-similar viscous limit and LeFloch-Westdickenberg \([17]\) for a compactness framework to ensure the strong compactness of spherically symmetric approximate solutions with uniform finite-energy norms for the case \(1 < \gamma \leq \frac{5}{3}\).

The approach and ideas developed in this paper yield indeed the global existence of finite-energy entropy solutions of the compressible Euler equations with spherical symmetry and large initial data, for the general case \(\gamma > 1\), based on our earlier results in \([8]\). To establish the existence of global entropy solutions to (1.3) with initial data:

\[(\rho, m)|_{t=0} = (\rho_0, m_0), \]  

(1.4)

we develop a method of vanishing viscosity and related estimate techniques for viscosity approximate solutions, and establish the convergence of the viscosity approximate solutions to a global finite-energy entropy solution. To achieve this, we first construct global smooth solutions of appropriate initial-boundary value problems for the Euler equations with designed viscosity terms, an approximate pressure function, and boundary conditions, and then we establish the strong convergence of the viscosity approximate solutions to an entropy solution of the Euler equations (1.3), which is equivalent to (1.1) via relation...
For simplicity of presentation, we focus our analysis on the physical region $1 < \gamma \leq 3$ throughout the paper, though the convergence argument also works for all $\gamma > 1$.

The viscosity terms and approximate pressure function are designed to approximate the Euler equations as follows:

$$
\begin{cases}
\rho_t + m_r + \frac{n-1}{r} m = \varepsilon (\rho_{rr} + \frac{n-1}{r} \rho_r) \equiv \varepsilon r^{-(n-1)} (r^{n-1} \rho_r)_r, \\
m_t + \left( \frac{m^2}{\rho} + p_\delta(\rho) \right)_r + \frac{n-1}{r} m^2 = \varepsilon (m_r + \frac{n-1}{r} m) \equiv \varepsilon r^{-(n-1)} (r^{n-1} m)_r,
\end{cases}
$$

(1.5)

where

$$p_\delta(\rho) = \kappa \rho^\gamma + \delta \rho^2,$$

with $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$ and $\delta(\varepsilon) \to 0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$ in an appropriate order. Notice that the positive term $\delta \rho^2$ is added into $p_\delta(\rho)$ to avoid the possibility of formation of cavitation of the solutions to the viscous system (1.5).

We consider (1.5) on cylinder $Q^\varepsilon = [0, \infty) \times (a, b)$, with $a := a(\varepsilon) \in (0, 1)$, $b := b(\varepsilon) > 1$, and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} a(\varepsilon) = 0, \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} b(\varepsilon) = \infty,$$

with the boundary conditions:

$$\left. (\rho_1, m_1) \right|_{r=a} = (0, 0), \quad \left. (\rho, m) \right|_{r=b} = (\bar{\rho}, 0) \quad \text{for} \ t > 0,$$

(1.6)

for some $\bar{\rho} := \bar{\rho}(\varepsilon) > 0$, and with appropriate approximate initial functions:

$$\left. (\rho, m) \right|_{t=0} = (\rho_0, m_0)(r) \quad \text{for} \ a < r < b,$$

(1.7)

satisfying the conditions in Theorem 1.1 below.

A pair of mappings $(\eta, q) : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^2$ is called an entropy-entropy flux pair (or entropy pair, for short) of system (1.3) if the pair satisfies the $2 \times 2$ linear hyperbolic system:

$$\nabla q(U) = \nabla \eta(U) \nabla \left( \frac{m}{\rho} + p(\rho) \right),$$

(1.8)

where $\nabla = (\partial_r, \partial_m)$ is the gradient with respect to $U = (\rho, m)$ from now on. Furthermore, $\eta(\rho, m)$ is called a weak entropy if

$$\eta \bigg|_{\rho=0, u=m/\rho \text{ fixed}} = 0.$$  

(1.9)

An entropy pair is said to be convex if the Hessian $\nabla^2 \eta(\rho, m)$ is nonnegative in the region under consideration.

For example, the mechanical energy $\eta^*(\rho, m)$ (a sum of the kinetic and internal energy) and the mechanical energy flux $q^*(\rho, m)$:

$$\eta^*(\rho, m) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{m^2}{\rho} + \frac{\kappa \rho^\gamma}{\gamma - 1}, \quad q^*(\rho, m) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{m^3}{\rho^2} + \frac{\kappa \gamma}{\gamma - 1} m \rho^{\gamma-1},$$

(1.10)

form a special entropy pair of system (1.3); $\eta^*(\rho, m)$ is convex in the region $\rho \geq 0$. 


Any weak entropy pair for the Euler system (1.3) can be expressed by
\[\eta_\psi(\rho, m) = \rho \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi\left(\frac{m}{\rho} + \rho^\theta s\right)[1 - s^2]_{+}^{\lambda} ds, \tag{1.11}\]
\[q_\psi(\rho, m) = \rho \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{m}{\rho} + \theta \rho^\theta s\right)\psi\left(\frac{m}{\rho} + \rho^\theta s\right)[1 - s^2]_{+}^{\lambda} ds, \tag{1.12}\]
with \(\lambda = \frac{3 - \gamma}{2(\gamma - 1)}\) and the generating function \(\psi(s)\).

**Theorem 1.1.** Assume that \((\rho_0, m_0) \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^+)^2\), with \(\rho_0 \geq 0\), is of finite energy:
\[\left(\frac{m_0}{\rho_0^2} + \frac{\kappa \rho_0^\theta}{\gamma - 1}\right)\] for some \(M < \infty\) independent of \(\varepsilon \in (0, 1]\). If \((\rho_0^\varepsilon, m_0^\varepsilon)\) is a sequence of smooth functions with the following properties:
(i) \(\rho_0^\varepsilon > 0\);
(ii) \((\rho_0^\varepsilon, m_0^\varepsilon)\) satisfies (1.6) and
\[\left(r^{n-1}m_0^\varepsilon\right)|_{r=a} = 0, \tag{1.15}\]
and, at \(r = b\),
\[m_{0,r}^\varepsilon = \varepsilon r^{-(n-1)}(r^{n-1}\rho_0^\varepsilon)^r, \quad \left(\frac{m_0^\varepsilon}{\rho_0^\varepsilon} + \rho_0^\varepsilon\right)_r^{n-1} = \varepsilon r^{-(n-1)}(r^{n-1}m_0^\varepsilon)_r; \tag{1.16}\]
(iii) \((\rho_0^\varepsilon, m_0^\varepsilon) \rightarrow (\rho_0, m_0)\) a.e. \(r \in \mathbb{R}^+\) as \(\varepsilon \rightarrow 0\), where we understand \((\rho_0^\varepsilon, m_0^\varepsilon)\) as the zero extension of \((\rho_0^\varepsilon, m_0^\varepsilon)\) outside \((a, b)\);
(iv) \(\int_a^b \left(\frac{m_0^\varepsilon}{2\rho_0^\varepsilon} + \frac{\kappa \rho_0^\gamma}{\gamma - 1}\right) r^{n-1}dr \rightarrow \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{m_0^\varepsilon}{2\rho_0^\varepsilon} + \frac{\kappa \rho_0^\gamma}{\gamma - 1}\right) r^{n-1}dr \) as \(\varepsilon \rightarrow 0\),
then, for each fixed \(\varepsilon > 0\), there is a unique global classical solution \((\rho^\varepsilon, m^\varepsilon)(t, r)\) of (1.5)–(1.7) with initial data \((\rho_0^\varepsilon, m_0^\varepsilon)\) so that there exists a subsequence (still labeled \((\rho^\varepsilon, m^\varepsilon)\)) that converges a.e. \((t, r) \in \mathbb{R}^2_+ := \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+\) and in \(L^p_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2_+) \times L^q_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2_+)\), \(p \in [1, \gamma + 1]\), \(q \in [1, \frac{3(\gamma + 1)}{3 \gamma - 1}]\), as \(\varepsilon \rightarrow 0\), to a global finite-energy entropy solution \((\rho, m)\) of the Euler equations (1.3) with initial condition (1.7) in the following sense:
(i) For any \(\varphi \in C^0_0(\mathbb{R}^2_+)\) with \(\varphi(t, 0) = 0\),
\[\int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} \left(\rho \varphi_t + m \varphi_r\right) r^{n-1}drdt + \int_0^\infty \rho_0(r) \varphi(0, r) r^{n-1}dr = 0; \tag{1.20}\]
(ii) For all \(\varphi \in C^0_0(\mathbb{R}^2_+)\), with \(\varphi(t, 0) = \varphi_r(t, 0) = 0\),
\[\int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} \left(\varphi_t + \frac{m^2}{\rho} \varphi_r + p(\rho)(\varphi_r + \frac{n-1}{r} \varphi)\right) r^{n-1}drdt + \int_0^\infty m_0(r) \varphi(0, r) r^{n-1}dr = 0; \tag{1.21}\]
Theorem 1.1 indicates that there is no concentration formed in the vanishing viscosity limit of the viscosity approximate solutions to the global entropy solution of the compressible Euler equations (1.3) with initial condition (1.7), which is of finite-energy (1.17) and obeys the entropy inequality (1.18).

Remark 1.2. To achieve (1.14), it suffices to choose \( \delta = \varepsilon b^{-k_1} \) and \( \bar{\rho} = \varepsilon b^{-k_2} \) for any \( k_1 \geq n \) and \( k_2 \geq \frac{n}{\bar{\gamma}} \).

2. Global Existence of a Unique Classical Solution of the Approximate Euler Equations with Artificial Viscosity

The equations in (1.5) form a quasilinear parabolic system for \((\rho, m)\). In this section, we show the existence of a unique smooth solution \((\rho, m)\), equivalently \((\rho, u)\) with \( u = \frac{m}{\rho} \), and make some estimates of the solution whose bounds may depend on the parameter \( \varepsilon \in (0, 1] \) (except the energy bound \( E_0 \) below). For \( \beta \in (0, 1) \), let \( C^{2+\beta}([a, b]) \) and \( C^{2+\beta,1+\frac{\beta}{2}}(Q_T) \) be the usual Hölder and parabolic Hölder spaces, where \( Q_T = [0,T] \times (a,b) \) (cf. [14]). For simplicity, we will drop the \( \varepsilon \)-dependence of the involved functions in this section.

Theorem 2.1. Let \(( \rho_0, m_0 ) \in (C^{2+\beta}([a, b]))^2 \) with \( \inf_{a \leq r \leq b} \rho_0(r) > 0 \) and satisfy (1.6) and (1.15)–(1.16). Then there exists a unique global solution \((\rho, m)\) of problem (1.5)–(1.7) for \( \gamma \in (1,3] \) such that

\[
(\rho, m) \in (C^{2+\beta,1+\frac{\beta}{2}}(Q_T))^2, \quad \inf_{Q_T} \rho > 0 \quad \text{for all } T > 0.
\]

The nonlinear terms in (1.5) have singularities when \( \rho = 0 \) or \(|m| = \infty \). To establish Theorem 2.1, we derive \( a \ priori \) estimates for a generic solution in \( C^{2,1}(Q_T) \) with \( \| (\rho, \frac{1}{\rho^\gamma}, \frac{m}{\rho}) \|_{L^\infty(Q_T)} < \infty \), showing by this that the solution takes values in a region (determined \( a \ priori \)) away from the singularities. With the \( a \ priori \) estimates, the existence of the solution can be derived from the general theory of the quasilinear parabolic systems, by a suitable linearization techniques; see Section 5 and Theorem 7.1 in Ladyzhenskaja-Solonnikov-Ural’tseva [14].

The \( a \ priori \) estimates are obtained by the following arguments: First we derive the estimates based on the balance of total energy. Then, in Lemma 2.2, we use the maximum principle for the Riemann invariants and the total energy estimates to show that the \( L^\infty \)–norm of \( u = \frac{m}{\rho} \) depends linearly on the \( L^\infty \)–norm of \( \rho^{(\gamma-1)/2} \). This is in turn used in Lemma 2.3 to close the higher energy estimates for \((\rho_r, m_r)\). With that, we obtain the \( a \ priori \) upper bound \( \rho \) in \( L^\infty \) and, by using Lemma 2.2 again, the \( a \ priori \) bounds of the
\(L^\infty\)-norms of \(m\) and \(u\). Finally, to show the positive lower bound for \(\rho\), we obtain an estimate on \(\int_0^t \|u_r(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} \, dt\).

We proceed now with the derivation of the \textit{a priori} estimates. Let \((\rho, m)\), with \(\rho > 0\), be a \(C^{2,1}(Q_T)\) solution of (1.5)–(1.7) with (1.15)–(1.16).

2.1. **Energy Estimate.** As usual, we denote by

\[
\eta^*_\delta = \frac{m^2}{2\rho} + h_\delta(\rho), \quad q^*_\delta = \frac{m^3}{2\rho^2} + mh_\delta'(\rho), \tag{2.1}
\]

as the mechanical energy pair \((\epsilon)\) of system (1.5) with \(\varepsilon = 0\), where \(h_\delta(\rho) := \rho \varepsilon_\delta(\rho)\) for the internal energy \(c_\delta(\rho) := \int_0^\rho \frac{p_\delta(s)}{s^2} \, ds\).

Note that \((\bar{\rho}, 0)\) is the only constant equilibrium state of the system. For the mechanical energy pair \((\eta^*\delta, q^*\delta)\) in (2.1), we denote

\[
\bar{\eta}^*(\rho, m) = \eta^*(\rho, m) - \eta^*(\bar{\rho}, 0) - (\eta^*_\delta)_\rho(\bar{\rho}, 0)(\rho - \bar{\rho}), \tag{2.2}
\]

as the total energy relative to the constant equilibrium state \((\bar{\rho}, 0)\).

**Proposition 2.1.** Let

\[E_0 := \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \int_a^b \bar{\eta}^*_\delta(\rho_0(r), m_0(r)) \, r^{n-1} \, dr < \infty.\]

Then, for the viscosity approximate solution \((\rho, m) = (\rho, \rho u)\) determined by Theorem 2.1 for each fixed \(\varepsilon > 0\), we have

\[
\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_a^b \left( \frac{1}{2} \rho u^2 + \bar{h}_\delta(\rho, \bar{\rho}) \right) r^{n-1} \, dr + \varepsilon \int_{Q_T} \left( \left| \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} \right|^2 + \rho|u| \right) r^{n-1} \, dr \, dt \leq E_0, \tag{2.3}
\]

where

\[\bar{h}_\delta(\rho, \bar{\rho}) = h_\delta(\rho) - h_\delta(\bar{\rho}) - h_\delta'(\bar{\rho})(\rho - \bar{\rho}) \geq c_1 \rho \left( \rho^\theta - \bar{\rho}^{\theta} \right)^2, \quad \theta = \frac{\gamma - 1}{2}, \tag{2.4}
\]

for some constant \(c_1 = c_1(\bar{\rho}, \gamma) > 0\). Furthermore, for any \(t \in [0, T]\), the measure of set \(\{\rho(t, \cdot) > \frac{3}{2}\bar{\rho}\}\) is less than \(c_2 E_0\) for some \(c_2 = c_2(\bar{\rho}, \gamma) > 0\).

**Proof.** We multiply the first equation in (1.5) by \((\bar{\eta}^*_\delta)_\rho r^{n-1}\), the second in (1.5) by \((\bar{\eta}^*_\delta)_m r^{n-1}\), and then add them up to obtain

\[
(\bar{\eta}^* r^{n-1})_t + \left( (q^*_\delta - (\bar{\eta}^*_\delta)(\bar{\rho}, 0)m) r^{n-1} \right)_r = \varepsilon r^{n-1} (\rho_{rr} + \frac{n-1}{r} \rho_r) (\bar{\eta}^*_\delta) - (\bar{\eta}^* \delta)(\bar{\rho}, 0)) + \varepsilon r^{n-1} (m_r + \frac{n-1}{r} m) (\bar{\eta}^*_\delta)_m
\]

that is,

\[
(\bar{\eta}^* r^{n-1})_t + \left( (q^*_\delta - (\bar{\eta}^*_\delta)(\bar{\rho}, 0)m) r^{n-1} \right)_r + (n-1) \varepsilon m (\bar{\eta}^*_\delta)_m r^{n-3}
\]

\[
= \varepsilon (\rho r^{n-1})_t (\bar{\eta}^*_\delta) - (\bar{\eta}^* \delta)(\bar{\rho}, 0)) + \varepsilon (m r^{n-1})_r (\bar{\eta}^*_\delta)_m. \tag{2.5}
\]
Integrating both sides of (2.5) over $Q_t$ for any $t \in (0, T]$ and using the boundary conditions (1.6), we have
\[
\int_a^b \tilde{\eta}_3^* r^{n-1} \, dr + \varepsilon \int_{Q_T} \left( (\rho_r, m_r) \nabla^2 \eta_3^* (\rho_r, m_r)^\top + \frac{m^2}{2\rho r^2} \right) r^{n-1} \, dr dt = E_0.
\]

Note that $(\rho_r, m_r) \nabla^2 \eta_3^* (\rho_r, m_r)^\top$ is a positive quadratic form that dominates $h_\delta^\gamma (\rho)|\rho_r|^2$ and $\rho |u_r|^2$ so that
\[
\int_a^b \tilde{\eta}_3^* r^{n-1} \, dr + \varepsilon \int_{Q_T} \left( 2\delta + \kappa \gamma \rho^{-2} \right) |\rho_r|^2 + \rho |u_r|^2 + (n - 1) \frac{\rho u_2^2}{r^2} \right) r^{n-1} \, dr dt \leq E_0. \quad (2.6)
\]

Estimate (2.6) also implies
\[
\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \int_a^b (\rho u^2 + h_\delta (\rho, \bar{\rho})) r^{n-1} \, dr \leq E_0.
\]

The function $\bar{h}_\delta (\rho, \bar{\rho})$ is positive, quadratic in $\rho - \bar{\rho}$ for $\rho$ near $\bar{\rho}$, and grows as $\rho^{\text{max} \{\gamma, 2\}}$ for large values of $\rho$. In particular, there exists $c_1 = c_1 (\bar{\rho}, \gamma) > 0$ such that (2.4) holds. Thus, for any $t \in [0, T]$, the measure of set $\{ \rho (t, \cdot) > \tfrac{3}{2} \bar{\rho} \}$ is less than $c_2 E_0$ for some $c_2 > 0$. \qed

With the basic energy estimate (2.3), we have

**Lemma 2.1.** There exists $C = C(\varepsilon, T, E_0) > 0$ such that
\[
\int_0^T \|ho(t, \cdot)\|_{L^{\infty}(a,b)}^{2\max \{2, \gamma\}} \, dt \leq C. \quad (2.7)
\]

**Proof.** In the case that the measure of set $\{ \rho (t, \cdot) > \tfrac{3}{2} \bar{\rho} \}$ is zero, we have the uniform upper bound $\tfrac{3}{2} \bar{\rho}$ for $\rho (t, r)$. Otherwise, for $r \in (a, b)$, let $r_0 \in (a, b)$ be the closest to point $r$ such that $\rho (t, r_0) = \tfrac{3}{2} \bar{\rho}$. Clearly, $|r - r_0| \leq c(\bar{\rho}) E_0$. With such a choice of $r_0$, we have
\[
|\rho^\gamma (t, r) - \rho^\gamma (t, r_0)| \leq \gamma \int_{r_0}^r \rho^{\gamma - 1} (t, y) \rho_y (t, y) \, dy \leq C \int_{r_0}^r \rho^{\gamma - 1} (t, y) \rho_y (t, y) \, dy \lesssim \left( \int_a^b \rho^{\gamma - 2} (t, y) \rho_y (t, y) \rho_{y} (t, y) \, dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_a^b \rho^{\gamma - 2} (t, y) \rho_y (t, y) \, dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \left( \int_a^b \rho^{\gamma - 2} (t, r) \rho_y (t, r) \rho_{y} (t, r) \, dr \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (2.8)
\]

Then estimate (2.6) yields
\[
\int_0^T \|\rho(t, \cdot)\|_{L^{\infty}(a,b)}^{2\gamma} \, dt \leq C, \quad (2.10)
\]

where $C$ stands for a generic function of the parameters: $\gamma, \varepsilon, \delta, T, E_0$, and $\bar{\rho}$.

Repeating the argument with $\rho^2$ instead of $\rho^\gamma$, we conclude (2.7). \qed

From now on, the constant $C > 0$ is a universal constant that may depend on the parameter $\varepsilon > 0$ in §2.2–§2.3, while the constant $M > 0$ below is another universal constant independent of the parameter $\varepsilon$ as $E_0$ from §3, though both of them may also depend on $T > 0$, $E_0$, and other parameters; we will also specify their dependence whenever needed.
2.2. Maximum Principle Estimates. Furthermore, we have

**Lemma 2.2.** There exists \( C = C(a, T, E_0) \) such that, for any \( t \in [0, T] \),

\[
\|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_t)} \leq C(\|u_0 + R(\rho_0)\|_{L^\infty(a,b)} + \|u_0 - R(\rho_0)\|_{L^\infty(a,b)} + \|R(\rho)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_t)}),
\]

where \( R(\rho) = \int_{\rho_0}^\rho \frac{\sqrt{p'_\delta(s)}}{s} \, ds. \)

**Proof.** Consider system (1.5). The characteristic speeds of system (1.5) without artificial viscosity terms are

\[
\lambda_1 = u - \sqrt{p'_{\delta}(\rho)}, \quad \lambda_2 = u + \sqrt{p'_{\delta}(\rho)},
\]

and the corresponding right-eigenvectors are

\[
r_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \lambda_1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad r_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix}.
\]

The Riemann invariants \((w, z)\), defined by the conditions \( \nabla w \cdot r_1 = 0 \) and \( \nabla z \cdot r_2 = 0 \), are

\[
w = m \rho + R(\rho), \quad z = m \rho - R(\rho),
\]

with \( R \) defined in (2.12). They are quasi-convex:

\[
\nabla^\perp w \nabla^2 w(\nabla^\perp w)\top \geq 0,
\]

\[
- \nabla^\perp z \nabla^2 z(\nabla^\perp z)\top \geq 0,
\]

(2.13)

Let us multiply the first equation in (1.5) by \( w(\rho, m) \), the second in (1.5) by \( w_m(\rho, m) \), and add them to obtain

\[
w_t + \lambda_2 w_r + \frac{n-1}{r} u \sqrt{p'_{\delta}(\rho)}
\]

\[
= - \varepsilon (\rho_r w_r + m_r (w_m)_r) + \varepsilon w_{rr} + \frac{(n-1)\varepsilon}{r} (w_r - \frac{1}{r} mw_m),
\]

where \( \lambda_2 \) is as above. Then

\[
w_t + (\lambda_2 - \frac{(n-1)\varepsilon}{r}) w_r - \varepsilon w_{rr}
\]

\[
= - \varepsilon (\rho_r, m_r) \nabla^2 w(\rho_r, m_r)\top - \frac{n-1}{r} u \sqrt{p'_{\delta}(\rho)} - (n-1)\varepsilon \frac{u}{r^2}.
\]

We write

\[
(\rho_r, m_r) = \alpha \nabla w + \beta \nabla^\perp w,
\]

with

\[
\alpha = \frac{w_r}{|\nabla w|^2}, \quad \beta = \frac{\rho_r w_m - m_r w_\rho}{|\nabla w|^2}.
\]

Then we can further write

\[
w_t + \lambda w_r - \varepsilon w_{rr}
\]

\[
= - \varepsilon \beta^2 \nabla^\perp w \nabla^2 w(\nabla^\perp w)\top - \frac{n-1}{r} u \sqrt{p'_{\delta}(\rho)} - (n-1)\varepsilon \frac{u}{r^2}, \quad (2.14)
\]
We multiply the first equation in (1.5) by 

\[ \lambda = \lambda_2 - \frac{(n-1)\varepsilon}{r} + \frac{\varepsilon\alpha}{|\nabla w|^2} \nabla w \nabla^2 w(\nabla w)^\top + \frac{2\varepsilon\beta}{|\nabla w|^2} \nabla^2 w(\nabla w)^\top. \]

By setting

\[ \tilde{w}(t, r) = w(t, r) - (n-1) \int_0^t \left( \sqrt{\frac{\rho^\prime_0(\rho)}{\rho}} u(\tau, r) \right) \frac{\varepsilon u(\tau, r)}{r^2} \| u(\tau, \cdot) \|_{L^\infty(a, b)} \, d\tau, \]

and using the quasi-convexity property (2.13) and the classical maximum principle applied to the parabolic equation (2.14), we obtain

\[ \max_{Q_t} \tilde{w} \leq \max \left\{ \max_{(a, b)} w_0, \max_{[0, t] \times \{a\} \cup \{b\}} \tilde{w} \right\}, \]

or

\[ \max_{Q_t} w \leq \max_{(a, b)} w_0 + \| R(\rho) \|_{L^\infty(Q_t)} + C(\tilde{\rho}, a) \int_0^t \left( 1 + \| \rho(\tau, \cdot) \|_{L^\infty(a, b)} \right) \| u(\tau, \cdot) \|_{L^\infty(a, b)} \, d\tau. \]

Similarly, we have

\[ \max_{Q_t} (-z) \leq \max_{(a, b)} (-z_0) + \| R(\rho) \|_{L^\infty(Q_t)} + C(\tilde{\rho}, a) \int_0^t \left( 1 + \| \rho(\tau, \cdot) \|_{L^\infty(a, b)} \right) \| u(\tau, \cdot) \|_{L^\infty(a, b)} \, d\tau. \]

Since \( \rho \geq 0 \), it follows that

\[ \max_{Q_t} |u| \leq \max_{(a, b)} |w_0| + \max_{(a, b)} |z_0| + \| R(\rho) \|_{L^\infty(Q_t)} + C(a) \int_0^t \left( 1 + \| \rho(\tau, \cdot) \|_{L^\infty(a, b)} \right) \| u(\tau, \cdot) \|_{L^\infty(a, b)} \, d\tau. \]

By (2.7) and \( \max\{1, \gamma - 1\} < 4\gamma \), we have

\[ \int_0^T \| \rho(\tau, \cdot) \|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{1}{2} \max\{1, \gamma - 1\}} \, d\tau \leq C. \]

Then we conclude (2.11) from (2.15). \( \square \)

2.3. Lower Bound on \( \rho \).

Lemma 2.3. There exists \( C = C(\| (\rho_0, u_0) \|_{L^\infty(a, b)}, \| (\rho_0, m_0) \|_{H^1(a, b), \gamma}) \) such that

\[ \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_a^b \left( \frac{1}{T} \int_a^t (|\rho_r|^2 + |m_r|^2) \, dr \right) + \int_{Q_T} \left( |\rho_{rr}|^2 + |m_{rr}|^2 \right) \, dr \, dt \leq C. \]  \hfill (2.16)

Proof. We multiply the first equation in (1.5) by \( \rho_{rr} \) and the second by \( m_{rr} \) to obtain

\[ -\partial_t \left( \frac{|\rho_r|^2 + |m_r|^2}{2} \right) - \varepsilon \left( \frac{|\rho_{rr}|^2 + |m_{rr}|^2}{2} \right) + (\rho \rho_r)_r + (m m_r)_r \\
= -m_r \rho_{rr} - \frac{(n-1)}{r} m \rho_{rr} - (\rho u^2 + p \delta)_r m_{rr} - \frac{n-1}{r} \rho u^2 m_{rr} \\
+ \frac{(n-1)\varepsilon}{r} \rho_r \rho_{rr} + \left( \frac{(n-1)\varepsilon}{r} m \right) \rho_{rr}. \]
We integrate this over $Q_t$ to obtain
\[
\int_a^b \left( \frac{|\rho_r|^2 + |m_{rr}|^2}{2} \right) \int_0^t dr + \varepsilon \int_{Q_t} (|\rho_{rr}|^2 + m_{rr})^2 \ dr dt
= \int_{Q_t} (m_{rr} + \frac{n-1}{r} m_{rr}) \ dr dt + \int_{Q_t} (\rho u^2 + \rho_p) m_{rr} \ dr dt \\
+ (n-1) \int_{Q_t} \left( \frac{\rho u^2}{r} - \frac{\varepsilon}{r} \rho_r \rho_{rr} \right) \ dr dt - (n-1) \varepsilon \int_{Q_t} \left( \frac{m}{r} \right) m_{rr} \ dr dt. \tag{2.17}
\]

We now estimate the term $\int_{Q_t} (\rho u^2 + \rho_p) m_{rr} \ dr dt$ first. Consider
\[
\left| \int_{Q_t} p_t^2 (\rho) \rho_r m_{rr} \ dr dt \right|
\leq \Delta \int_{Q_t} |m_{rr}|^2 \ dr dt + C_\Delta \int_{Q_t} (2\delta \rho + \kappa \rho \gamma^{-1})^2 |\rho_r|^2 \ dr dt
\leq \Delta \int_{Q_t} |m_{rr}|^2 \ dr dt + C_\Delta \int_{Q_t} \left( (1 + \|\rho(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty}) \int_a^b |\rho_r|^2 \ dr \right) \ dr., \tag{2.18}
\]

where $\Delta > 0$ will be chosen later.

Consider $(\rho u^2)_t, m_{rr} = t^2 \rho_r m_r + 2 \rho u_m m_{rr}$. We estimate
\[
\int_{Q_t} |u^2 \rho_r m_{rr}| \ dr dt
\leq \Delta \int_{Q_t} |m_{rr}|^2 \ dr dt + C_\Delta \int_0^t \left( \|u(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^4}^4 \int_a^b |\rho_r(\tau, r)|^2 \ dr \right) \ dr,
\leq \Delta \int_{Q_t} |m_{rr}|^2 \ dr dt + C_\Delta \int_0^t \left( \|u(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^4}^4 \int_a^b h_\rho^2(\rho) |\rho_r(\tau, r)|^2 \ dr \right) \ dr.
\]

Using the uniform estimates (2.11), we obtain
\[
\|u(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^4(a,b)}^4 \leq \|u\|_{L^\infty(Q_t)}^4 \leq C(\bar{\rho}, a, \|(\rho_0, u_0)\|_{L^\infty(a,b)}) \left( 1 + \|\rho\|_{L^\infty(Q_t)}^{2\max(1, \gamma - 1)} \right). \tag{2.19}
\]

Inserting this into the above inequality, we have
\[
\int_{Q_t} |u^2 \rho_r m_{rr}| \ dr dt
\leq \Delta \int_{Q_t} |m_{rr}|^2 \ dr dt + C_\Delta \int_0^t \left( (1 + \sup_{s \in [0,\tau]} \|\rho(s, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty}^{2\max(1, \gamma - 1)}) \int_a^b h_\rho^2(\rho) |\rho_r(\tau, r)|^2 \ dr \right) \ dr.
\]

On the other hand, using the estimate similar to (2.8), we can write
\[
\|\rho(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty}^{\max(4, \gamma + 2)} \leq C \left( 1 + \int_a^b |\rho_r(t, \cdot)|^2 \ dr \right) \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T]. \tag{2.20}
\]
Using (2.20) and $\gamma \in (1, 3]$, we obtain
\[
\int_{Q_t} |u^2 \rho_r m_{rr}| \, dr \, d\tau \leq \Delta \int_{Q_t} |m_{rr}|^2 \, dr \, d\tau \\
+ C \Delta \int_0^t \left( (1 + \sup_{s \in [0, \tau]} \int_a^b \left| \rho_r(s, r) \right|^2 \, dr \right) \int_a^b \left( h''_0(\rho) |\rho_r(\tau, r)|^2 \right) \, dr \, d\tau.
\tag{2.21}
\]

Furthermore, we have
\[
\int_{Q_t} \left| \rho uu_r m_{rr} \right| \, dr \, d\tau \leq \Delta \int_{Q_t} |m_{rr}|^2 \, dr \, d\tau \\
+ C \Delta \int_0^t \left( \|\rho u^2(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} \int_a^b \rho(r, \tau) |u_r(\tau, r)|^2 \, dr \right) d\tau.
\tag{2.22}
\]

Arguing as in (2.19) and (2.20), we obtain
\[
\|\rho u^2(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \left( 1 + \sup_{s \in [0, \tau]} \|\rho(s, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty}^{\max\{2, \gamma\}} \right) \\
\leq C \left( 1 + \sup_{s \in [0, \tau]} \int_a^b |\rho_r(s, r)|^2 \, dr \right).
\tag{2.23}
\]

Inserting this into (2.22), we obtain
\[
\int_{Q_t} \left| \rho uu_r m_{rr} \right| \, dr \, d\tau \leq \Delta \int_{Q_t} |m_{rr}|^2 \, dr \, d\tau \\
+ C \Delta \int_0^t \left( 1 + \sup_{s \in [0, \tau]} \int_a^b |\rho_r(s, r)|^2 \, dr \right) \int_a^b \rho(r, \tau) |u_r(r, \tau)|^2 \, dr \, d\tau.
\tag{2.24}
\]

Combining (2.18), (2.21), and (2.24), we obtain
\[
\left| \int_{Q_t} (\rho u^2 + p) m_{rr} \, dr \, d\tau \right| \leq \Delta \int_{Q_t} |m_{rr}|^2 \, dr \, d\tau \\
+ C \Delta \int_0^t \Phi_1(\tau) \left( 1 + \sup_{s \in [0, \tau]} \int_a^b |\rho_r(s, r)|^2 \, dr \right) d\tau,
\]
where
\[
\Phi_1(\tau) = \int_a^b \left( h''_0(\rho) |\rho_r(\tau, r)|^2 + \rho(\tau, r) |u_r(\tau, r)|^2 \right) \, dr
\]
is an $L^1(0, T)$–function with the norm depending on $a, \varepsilon$, and $E_0$; see (2.3) and (2.7).
Consider now
\[
\left| \int_{Q_t} \frac{2\rho u^2}{r} m_{rr} drd\tau \right| \leq \Delta \int_{Q_t} |m_{rr}|^2 drd\tau + C \Delta \int_{t_0}^t \left( \|\rho u^2\|_{L^{\infty}} \int_a^b (\rho u^2)(\tau, r) dr \right) d\tau
\]
\[
\leq \Delta \int_{Q_t} |m_{rr}|^2 drd\tau + C \Delta \int_{t_0}^t \left( 1 + \sup_{s \in [0, \tau]} \int_a^b |\rho_r(s, r)|^2 dr \right) d\tau,
\]
where, in the last inequality, we have used (2.3) and (2.23). All the other terms in (2.17) can be estimated by similar arguments. Thus, we obtain
\[
\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \int_a^b \left( |\rho_r(t, s)|^2 + |m_r(t, s)|^2 \right) dr + \varepsilon \int_{Q_t} \left( |\rho_{rr}|^2 + |m_{rr}|^2 \right) drd\tau
\]
\[
\leq \Delta \int_{Q_t} \left( |\rho_{rr}|^2 + |m_{rr}|^2 \right) drd\tau
\]
\[
+ C \Delta \int_{t_0}^t \left( 1 + \Phi(\tau) \right) \left( 1 + \sup_{s \in [0, \tau]} \int_a^b \left( |\rho_r(s, r)|^2 + |m_r(s, r)|^2 \right) dr \right) d\tau,
\]
where \( \Phi(\tau) = \Phi_1(\tau) + \|\rho(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L_{\infty}^{2\max\{2, \gamma\}}}^2. \)

Choosing \( \Delta \) small enough and using the Gronwall-type argument and Lemma 2.1, we complete the proof.

As a corollary, we can first bound \( \|\rho\|_{L_{\infty}(Q_T)} \), which follows directly from (2.16) and (2.20), and then bound \( \|u\|_{L_{\infty}(Q_T)} \) from Lemma 2.2.

**Lemma 2.4.** There exists an a priori bound for \( \|(\rho, u)\|_{L_{\infty}(Q_T)} \) in terms of the parameters \( T, E_0, \|(\rho_0, u_0)\|_{L_{\infty}(a; b)} \), and \( \|(\rho_0, u_0)\|_{H_1^1(a; b)} \).

Define
\[
\phi(\rho) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{\rho} - \frac{1}{\bar{\rho}} + \frac{\rho - \bar{\rho}}{\rho^2}, & \rho < \bar{\rho}, \\
0, & \rho > \bar{\rho}.
\end{cases}
\]

**Lemma 2.5.** There exists \( C > 0 \) depending on \( \|\phi(\rho_0)\|_{L_1^1(a; b)} \) and the other parameters of the problem such that
\[
\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \int_a^b \phi(\rho(t, \cdot)) dr + \int_{Q_T} \frac{|\rho_r|^2}{\rho^3} drdt \leq C.
\]

**Proof.** Indeed, multiplying the first equation in (1.5) by \( \phi'(\rho) \), we have
\[
\phi_t + (u \phi)_r - \varepsilon \phi_{rr} + (n - 1) \varepsilon \frac{|\rho_r|^2}{\rho^3} \chi_{\{\rho < \bar{\rho}\}}
\]
\[
= 2 \left( \frac{1}{\rho} - \frac{1}{\bar{\rho}} \right) u_r \chi_{\{\rho < \bar{\rho}\}} + \frac{n - 1}{r} \rho u \left( \frac{1}{\rho^2} - \frac{1}{\bar{\rho}^2} \right) \chi_{\{\rho < \bar{\rho}\}} + \frac{n - 1}{r} \varepsilon \left( \frac{1}{\rho^2} - \frac{1}{\bar{\rho}^2} \right) \rho_r \chi_{\{\rho < \bar{\rho}\}}.
\]
Integrating the above equation in \((t, r)\) and using the boundary conditions (1.6), we have

\[
\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_a^b \phi(\rho) \, dr + \varepsilon(n - 1) \int_{Q_T \cap \{\rho < \bar{\rho}\}} \frac{|\rho_r|^2}{\rho^3} \, dr \, dt 
\leq \left| \int_{Q_T \cap \{\rho < \bar{\rho}\}} 2\left( \frac{1}{\rho} - \frac{1}{\bar{\rho}} \right) u_r \, dr \, dt \right| + \left| \int_{Q_T \cap \{\rho < \bar{\rho}\}} \frac{n - 1}{r} \rho u \left( \frac{1}{\rho^2} - \frac{1}{\bar{\rho}^2} \right) \, dr \, dt \right|
\leq \left| \int_{Q_T \cap \{\rho < \bar{\rho}\}} \frac{(n - 1)\varepsilon}{r} \rho_r \left( \frac{1}{\rho^2} - \frac{1}{\bar{\rho}^2} \right) \, dr \, dt \right|
= I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \tag{2.26}
\]

Integrating by parts, we have

\[
I_1 \leq 2 \int_{Q_T \cap \{\rho < \bar{\rho}\}} \frac{\rho_r u}{\rho^2} \, dr \, dt 
\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{8} \int_{Q_T \cap \{\rho < \bar{\rho}\}} \frac{|\rho_r|^2}{\rho^3} \, dr \, dt + C_\varepsilon \int_{Q_T \cap \{\rho < \bar{\rho}\}} \frac{|u|^2}{\rho} \, dr \, dt.
\]

Since \(\rho^{-1} \leq \phi(\rho)\) for small \(\rho\), \(u\) is bounded in \(L^\infty\), and \(|\{\rho(t, \cdot) \leq \bar{\rho}\}|\) is bounded independently of \(T\), then the last term in the above inequality is bounded by

\[
C \left( 1 + \int_{Q_T} \phi(\rho) \, dr \, dt \right).
\]

Thus, we have

\[
I_1 \leq 2 \int_{Q_T \cap \{\rho < \bar{\rho}\}} \frac{\rho_r u}{\rho^2} \, dr \, dt 
\leq \Delta \int_{Q_T \cap \{\rho < \bar{\rho}\}} \frac{|\rho_r|^2}{\rho^3} \, dr \, dt + C \Delta \left( 1 + \int_{Q_T} \phi(\rho) \, dr \, dt \right). \tag{2.27}
\]

Also, by the similar arguments,

\[
I_2 = \left| \int_{Q_T \cap \{\rho < \bar{\rho}\}} \frac{n - 1}{r} \rho u \left( \frac{\rho}{\rho^2} - \frac{\rho}{\bar{\rho}^2} \right) \, dr \, dt \right| 
\leq C \left( 1 + \int_{Q_T} \phi(\rho) \, dr \, dt \right), \tag{2.28}
\]

and

\[
I_3 \leq C \int_{Q_T \cap \{\rho < \bar{\rho}\}} \frac{\varepsilon |\rho_r|}{\rho^2} \, dr \, dt 
\leq \Delta \int_{Q_T \cap \{\rho < \bar{\rho}\}} \frac{|\rho_r|^2}{\rho^3} \, dr \, dt + C \Delta \left( 1 + \int_{Q_T} \phi(\rho) \, dr \, dt \right). \tag{2.29}
\]

Combining the last three estimates in (2.26), choosing \(\Delta > 0\) sufficiently small, and using the Gronwall-type inequality, we obtain the \(a priori\) estimate we need.

Then we have the following estimate:

\[
\int_0^T \left\| \frac{1}{\rho(t, \cdot)} \right\|_{L^\infty(a,b)} \, dt \leq C \left( 1 + \left( \int_{Q_T} \frac{|\rho_r|^2}{\rho^3} \, dr \, dt \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_{Q_T} \phi(\rho) \, dr \, dt \right)^{1/2} \right) 
\leq C \left( 1 + \left( \int_{Q_T} \frac{|\rho_r|^2}{\rho^3} \, dr \, dt \right)^{1/2} \right). \tag{2.30}
\]
Lemma 2.6. There exists $C$ depending on $\|\phi(\rho_0)\|_{L^1(a,b)}$ and the other parameters as in Lemma 2.4 such that
\[
\int_0^T \left\| \left( \frac{m_r}{\rho}, \frac{\rho_r}{\rho}, u_r(t, \cdot) \right) \right\|_{L^\infty(a,b)} dt \leq C, \tag{2.31}
\]
and
\[
C^{-1} \leq \rho(t, r) \leq C. \tag{2.32}
\]

Proof. Indeed, by the Sobolev embedding and (2.30), we have
\[
\int_0^T \left\| \frac{m_r(t, \cdot)}{\rho(t, \cdot)} \right\|_{L^\infty(a,b)} dt \leq \int_0^T \left\| m_r(t, \cdot) \right\|_{L^\infty(a,b)} \left\| \rho^{-1}(t, \cdot) \right\|_{L^\infty(a,b)} dt 
\]
\[
\leq C \int_0^T \left( \int_a^b |m_{rr}|^2 dr \right)^{1/2} \left( 1 + \left( \int_a^b \frac{|\rho_r|^2}{\rho^5} dr \right)^{1/2} \right) dt,
\]
which is bounded by (2.16) and (2.25). The estimate for $r$ is the same. The estimate for $u_r$ follows from $u_r = \frac{m_r}{\rho} - \frac{u_r}{\rho}$, the estimates above, and Lemma 2.4.

Now we can obtain a uniform estimate for $v = \frac{1}{\rho}$. Notice that $v$ verifies the inequality:
\[
v_t + \left( u - \frac{\varepsilon(n-1)}{r} \right) v_r - \varepsilon v_{rr} \leq \left( u_r + \frac{(n-1)u}{r} \right) v.
\]
By the maximum principle, we have
\[
\max_{\overline{Q}_T} v \leq C \max \{ \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(a,b)}, \bar{v} \} e^{C \int_0^T \int_a^b |(u_r, u)(\tau, r)| L^\infty(a,b) d\tau dr} \leq C \max \{ \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(a,b)}, \bar{v} \}, \tag{2.33}
\]
by Lemma 2.4 and (2.31).

The estimates in Lemma 2.4 and (2.33) are the required a priori estimates. The proof of Theorem 2.1 is completed.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

In this section, we provide a complete proof of Theorem 1.1. As indicated earlier, the constant $M$ is a universal constant, independent of $\varepsilon > 0$, from now on.

3.1. A Priori Estimates Independent of $\varepsilon$. We will need the following estimate.

Lemma 3.1. Let $l = 0, \cdots, n-1$, and $a_1 \in (a,1]$. There exists $M = M(\gamma, a_1, E_0)$ such that, for any $T > 0$,
\[
\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \int_{a_1}^b \rho(t, \cdot)^\gamma r^l dr \leq M \left( 1 + \bar{\rho}^\gamma b^n \right). \tag{3.1}
\]

Proof. The proof is based on the energy estimate (2.3). Let
\[
\hat{\varepsilon}(\rho) = \rho^\gamma - \bar{\rho}^\gamma - \gamma \bar{\rho}^{\gamma-1}(\rho - \bar{\rho})
\]
Using the Young inequality, we find that there exists $M(\gamma) > 0$ such that
\[
\rho^\gamma \leq M(\gamma)(\hat{\varepsilon}(\rho) + \bar{\rho}^\gamma).
\]
Then we have
\[
\int_{a_1}^b \rho^\gamma r^l dr \leq M \left( \int_{a_1}^b \hat{\varepsilon}(\rho) r^l dr + \bar{\rho}^\gamma b^{l+1} \right).
\]
Since \(0 < a(\varepsilon) < 1 < b(\varepsilon) < \infty\), we have
\[
\int_{a_1}^{b} \ddot{\varepsilon}(\rho(t, r)) r^l \, dr \leq a_1^{l+1-n} \sup_{\tau \in [0,t]} \int_{a}^{b} \tilde{\eta}^*(\rho(\tau, r), m(\tau, r)) \, r^{n-1} \, dr \leq a_1^{1-n} E_0,
\]
by Proposition 2.1 for \(E_0\), independent of \(\varepsilon\), which implies that, for all \(l = 0, \cdots, n - 1\),
\[
\int_{a_1}^{b} \rho^\gamma r^l \, dr \leq M(a_1^{1-n} E_0 + \tilde{\rho}^\gamma b^n) \leq M(1 + \tilde{\rho}^\gamma b^n).
\]

\[\square\]

**Lemma 3.2.** There exists \(M = M(T)\), independent of \(\varepsilon\), such that
\[
\varepsilon \int_0^T \int_r^b \rho^3 y^{n-1} \, dy \, dt \leq M \left(1 + \frac{b^n}{\varepsilon}\right) \quad \text{for any } r \in (a,b).
\] (3.2)

**Proof.** Consider first the case \(\gamma \in (1, 2)\). We estimate
\[
\varepsilon \int_0^T \int_r^b \rho^3 y^{n-1} \, dy \, dt \leq M \varepsilon \int_0^T \sup_{(r,b)} \rho^{3-\gamma}(t, \cdot) \, dt
\]
\[
\leq M + M \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_r^b \rho^{3-3\gamma}(r, \cdot) \, dy \, dt
\]
\[
\leq M + M \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_r^b \rho^{6-3\gamma}(y^{n-1}) \, dy \, dt
\]
\[
= M + M \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_r^b \rho^{6-3\gamma}(y^{n-1})^{2-\gamma}(y^{n-1})^{\gamma-3} \, dy \, dt
\]
\[
\leq M + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_0^T \int_r^b \rho^3 y^{n-1} \, dy \, dt,
\]
where, in the last inequality, we have used the Jensen inequality. It follows from the above computation that
\[
\varepsilon \int_0^T \int_r^b \rho^3 y^{n-1} \, dy \, dt \leq M(T) \quad \text{for all } r \in (a,b),
\]
which arrives at (3.2).

Let now \(\gamma \in [2, 3]\). First, we notice that
\[
\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_r^b \rho^\gamma y^{n-1} \, dy \leq \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left( \int_a^b \rho^\gamma r^{n-1} \, dr \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \left( \int_r^b y^{n-1} \, dy \right)^{\frac{2-1}{\gamma}}
\]
\[
\leq M b^{\frac{\gamma(n-1)}{\gamma}} \leq M b^n
\]
since \(b > 1\).
Then we argue as above:

\[
\int_0^T \int_r^b \rho^3 y^{n-1} dydt \leq \int_0^T \left( \sup_{(r,b)} \rho^2(t, \cdot) \int_r^b \rho y^{n-1} dy \right) dt
\]

\[
\leq Mb^n \left( 1 + \int_0^T \int_r^b \rho |\rho_r| dydt \right)
\]

\[
= Mb^n \left( 1 + \int_0^T \int_r^b \rho^{2-\frac{\gamma}{2-\gamma}} |\rho_y| dydt \right)
\]

\[
\leq Mb^n \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + \int_0^T \int_r^b \rho^{4-\gamma}(y^{n-1})^{-1} dydt \right)
\]

\[
\leq Mb^n \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right)
\]

\[
\leq M \frac{b^n}{\varepsilon},
\]

where, in the last inequality, we have used the Jensen inequality with powers \(\frac{\gamma}{2-\gamma}\) and \(\frac{2}{2}\frac{1}{\gamma-4}\) and the energy estimate (2.3).

\[ \square \]

**Lemma 3.3.** Let \(K\) be a compact subset of \((a,b)\). Then, for \(T > 0\), there exists \(M = M(K,T)\) independent of \(\varepsilon\) such that

\[
\int_0^T \int_K (\rho^{\gamma+1} + \delta \rho^3) drdt \leq M. \tag{3.3}
\]

**Proof.** We divide the proof into five steps.

1. Let \(\omega(r)\) be a smooth positive, compactly supported function on \((a,b)\). We multiply the momentum equation in (1.5) by \(\omega\) to obtain

\[
(\rho u \omega)_t + ((\rho u^2 + p_\delta) \omega) + \frac{n-1}{r} \rho u^2 \omega - \varepsilon (\rho (m_r + \frac{n-1}{r} m)) \omega_r.
\]

Integrating (3.4) in \(r\) over \((r,b)\) yields

\[
\left( \int_r^b \rho u \omega dy \right)_t + \int_r^b \frac{n-1}{y} \rho u^2 \omega dy + \varepsilon \omega (m_r + \frac{n-1}{r} m) = \omega (\rho u^2 + p_\delta) + f_1, \tag{3.5}
\]

where

\[
f_1 = \int_r^b (\rho u^2 + p_\delta - \varepsilon (m_r + \frac{n-1}{r} m)) \omega_y dy.
\]

2. Multiplying (3.5) by \(\rho\) and using the continuity equation (1.5), we have

\[
\left( \rho \int_r^b \rho u \omega dy \right)_t + \left( (\rho u)_r + \frac{n-1}{r} \rho m - \varepsilon (\rho_{rr} + \frac{n-1}{r} \rho_r) \right) \int_r^b \rho u \omega dy
\]

\[
+ \rho \int_r^b \frac{n-1}{y} \rho u^2 \omega dy + \varepsilon \rho \omega (m_r + \frac{n-1}{r} m)
\]

\[
= (\rho^2 u^2 + \rho p_\delta) \omega + \rho f_1,
\]
and
\[
\left( \rho \int_r^b \rho u \omega \, dy \right)_t + \left( \rho u \int_r^b \rho u \omega \, dy \right)_r
+ \varepsilon \left( - (\rho_{rr} + \frac{n-1}{r} \rho_r) \int_r^b \rho u \omega \, dy + \rho \omega (m_r + \frac{n-1}{r} m) \right)
= \rho \psi \omega + f_2,
\]
(3.6)
where
\[
f_2 = \rho f_1 - \frac{n-1}{r} \rho m \int_r^b \rho u \omega \, dy - \rho \int_r^b \frac{n-1}{y} \rho u^2 \omega \, dy.
\]
Notice that
\[
- (\rho_{rr} + \frac{n-1}{r} \rho_r) \int_r^b \rho u \omega \, dy + \rho \omega (m_r + \frac{n-1}{r} m)
= - \left( \rho \int_r^b \rho u \omega \, dy \right)_r - (n-1) \rho \int_r^b \rho u \omega \, dy - \frac{n-1}{r} \rho^2 u \omega
\]
\[
+ \frac{n-1}{r^2} \rho \int_r^b \rho u \omega \, dy + \rho^2 u_r \omega + \rho u r \omega + \frac{n-1}{r} \rho^2 u \omega
= - \left( \rho \int_r^b \rho u \omega \, dy \right)_r - (n-1) \rho \int_r^b \rho u \omega \, dy
\]
\[
+ \rho^2 u_r \omega + \frac{n-1}{r^2} \rho \int_r^b \rho u \omega \, dy.
\]
It then follows that
\[
\left( \rho \int_r^b \rho u \omega \, dy \right)_t + \left( \rho u \int_r^b \rho u \omega \, dy \right)_r - \epsilon \left( \rho \int_r^b \rho u \omega \, dy \right)_{rr} - \varepsilon (\rho^2 u \omega)_r
- \varepsilon \left( \frac{n-1}{r} \rho \int_r^b \rho u \omega \, dy \right)_r + \varepsilon \rho^2 u_r \omega
= \rho \psi \omega + f_3,
\]
(3.7)
where \( f_3 = f_2 - \varepsilon \frac{n-1}{r^2} \rho \int_r^b \rho u \omega \, dy \).

3. We multiply (3.7) by \( \omega \) to obtain
\[
\left( \rho \omega \int_r^b \rho u \omega \, dy \right)_t + \left( \rho u \omega \int_r^b \rho u \omega \, dy \right)_r - \varepsilon \left( \rho \omega \int_r^b \rho u \omega \, dy \right)_r - \varepsilon (\rho^2 u \omega)_r
+ \varepsilon \left( \rho \omega \int_r^b \rho u \omega \, dy \right)_r - \varepsilon (\rho^2 u \omega)_r - \varepsilon \left( \frac{n-1}{r} \rho \omega \int_r^b \rho u \omega \, dy \right)_r
+ \varepsilon \rho^2 u_r \omega^2 + \varepsilon \rho^2 u \omega \omega_r
= \rho \psi \omega^2 + f_4,
\]
(3.8)
where \( f_4 = \omega f_3 + \rho u \omega \int_r^b \rho u \omega \, dy - \frac{n-1}{r} \rho \omega \int_r^b \rho u \omega \, dy \).
We integrate (3.8) over \([0,T] \times [a,b]\) to obtain
\[
\int_{Q_T} (\delta \rho^3 + \kappa \rho^{\gamma+1}) \omega^2 \, drdt = \int_{Q_T} (\varepsilon \rho^2 u_r \omega^2 + \varepsilon \rho^2 u \omega \omega_r) \, drdt \\
+ \int_a^b \left( \rho \omega \int_r^b \rho u \omega dy \right)_T^0 \, dr - \int_{Q_T} f_4 \, drdt \\
\leq \varepsilon \int_{Q_T} \rho^3 \omega^2 \, drdt + \varepsilon M \int_{Q_T} (\rho |u_r|^2 \omega^2 + \rho |u|^2 |\omega_r|^2) \, drdt \\
+ \int_a^b \left( \rho \omega \int_r^b \rho u \omega dy \right)_T^0 \, dr - \int_{Q_T} f_4 \, drdt \\
\leq \varepsilon \int_{Q_T} \rho^3 \omega^2 \, drdt + M(\supp \omega, T, E_0). \tag{3.9}
\]

The last inequality follows easily from (2.3)–(2.6) and the formula for \(f_4\).

4. Claim: There exists \(M = M(\supp \omega, T, E_0)\) such that
\[
\varepsilon \int_{Q_t} \rho^3 \omega^2 \, drdt \leq M + M \varepsilon \int_{Q_t} \rho^{\gamma+1} \omega^2 \, drdt. \tag{3.10}
\]

If \(\gamma \geq 2\), the claim is trivial. Let \(\gamma < \beta \leq 3\). We estimate
\[
\varepsilon \int_{Q_T} \rho^\beta \omega^2 \, dxdt \\
\leq \varepsilon \sup_{\supp \omega} (\rho^{\beta-\gamma} \omega^2) \int_{Q_T \cap \supp \omega} \rho^\gamma \, drdt \\
\leq \varepsilon M \sup_{\supp \omega} (\rho^{\beta-\gamma} \omega^2) \\
\leq \varepsilon M \int_{Q_T} \rho^{\beta-\gamma-2} |(\rho^2)^r| \omega^2 \, drdt + \varepsilon M \int_{Q_T} \rho^{\beta-\gamma} |\omega_r| \, drdt \\
\leq \varepsilon M \left( \int_{Q_T \cap \supp \omega} \rho^\gamma \, drdt + \int_{Q_T} |(\rho^2)^r| \omega^2 \, drdt + \int_{Q_T} \rho^{2\beta-3\gamma} \omega^2 \, drdt \right) \\
\leq M \left( 1 + \varepsilon \int_{Q_T} \rho^{2\beta-3\gamma} \omega^2 \, drdt \right). \tag{3.11}
\]

If \(2\beta - 3\gamma \leq \gamma + 1\), the estimate of the claim follows. Otherwise, since \(2\beta - 3\gamma < \beta\) (note that \(\beta \leq 3\)), we can iterate (3.11) with \(\beta\) replaced by \(2\beta - 3\gamma\) and improve (3.11):
\[
\varepsilon \int_{Q_T} \rho^\beta \omega^2 \, drdt \leq M \left( 1 + \varepsilon \int_{Q_T} \rho^{4\beta-9\gamma} \omega^2 \, drdt \right). \tag{3.12}
\]

If \(4\beta - 9\gamma\) is still larger than \(\gamma + 1\), we iterate the estimate again. In this way, we obtain a recurrence relation \(\beta_n = 2\beta_{n-1} - 3\gamma\), \(\beta_0 = \beta \leq 3\), and the estimate
\[
\varepsilon \int_{Q_T} \rho^\beta \omega^2 \, drdt \leq M(n) \left( 1 + \varepsilon \int_{Q_T} \rho^{2\beta_n} \omega^2 \, drdt \right). \tag{3.13}
\]
Solving the recurrence relation, we obtain
\[ \beta_n = 2^n \beta - 3\gamma (2^{n-1} - 1). \]
For some \( n \), the expression is less than \( \gamma + 1 \) (note that \( \beta \leq 3 \)). Then the expected estimate is obtained.

5. Now returning to (3.9), we have
\[ \int Q_T (\rho + 1 + \delta \rho^2) \omega^2 dr dt \leq M(\text{supp} \omega, T, E_0) \]
for all small \( \varepsilon > 0 \).

The following lemma holds for weak entropies \( \eta \) (also cf. [12]).

**Lemma 3.4.** Let \( \eta' (\rho, m) \) be the mechanical energy of system (1.3), and let \( (\eta, q) \) be an entropy pair (1.11)–(1.12) with the generating function \( \psi(s) \) satisfying
\[ \sup_s |\psi''(s)| < \infty. \]
Then, for any \( (\rho, m) \in \mathbb{R}^2_+ \) and any vector \( \bar{a} = (a_1, a_2) \),
\[ |\bar{a} \nabla^2 \eta \bar{a}^\top| \leq M_{\psi} |\bar{a} \nabla^2 \eta^* \bar{a}^\top| \quad \text{for some} \ M_{\psi} > 0. \] (3.14)

**Lemma 3.5.** Let \( K \subset (a, b) \) be compact. There exists \( M = M(K, T) \) independent of \( \varepsilon > 0 \),
\[ \int_0^T \int_K (\rho |u|^3 + \rho^{\gamma + \theta}) dr dt \leq M(1 + \tilde{\rho}^n b^n + \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon} b^n). \]

**Proof.** We divide the proof into five steps.

1. Let \( (\tilde{\eta}, \tilde{q}) \) be an entropy pair corresponding to \( \psi(s) = \frac{1}{2} s |s| \). Define
\[ \tilde{\eta}(\rho, m) = \tilde{\eta}(\rho, m) - \nabla_{(\rho, m)} \tilde{\eta}(\bar{\rho}, 0) \cdot (\rho - \bar{\rho}, m) \geq 0, \]
\[ \tilde{q}(\rho, m) = \tilde{q}(\rho, m) - \nabla_{(\rho, m)} \tilde{\eta}(\bar{\rho}, 0) \cdot (m, \frac{m^2}{\rho} + p). \]

Note that the entropy pair \( (\tilde{\eta}, \tilde{q}) \) is defined for system (1.3) with pressure \( p = \kappa \rho^\gamma \), rather than \( p_\delta \). Then \( (\tilde{\eta}, \tilde{q}) \) is still an entropy pair of (1.3).

We multiply the continuity equation in (1.5) by \( \tilde{\eta}_m r^{n-1} \), the momentum equation (1.5) by \( \tilde{\eta}_m r^{n-1} \), and then add them to obtain
\[ (\tilde{\eta} r^{n-1})_t + (\tilde{q} r^{n-1})_r + (n - 1) r^{n-2} (\tilde{q} + m \tilde{\eta}_\rho + \frac{m^2}{\rho} \tilde{\eta}_m + \tilde{\eta}_m (\bar{\rho}, 0) p(\rho)) \]
\[ = \tilde{\rho} r^{n-1} \left( \left( \rho r + \frac{n - 1}{r} \rho r \right) \tilde{\eta}_\rho + (m r + \frac{n - 1}{r} m r) \tilde{\eta}_m \right) - (\delta \rho^2) r \tilde{\eta}_m r^{n-1}. \] (3.15)
2. It can be checked directly that, for some constant \( M = M(\gamma) > 0 \),
\[
\tilde{q}(\rho, m) \geq \frac{1}{M}(\rho|u|^3 + \rho^{\gamma+\theta}) - M(\rho + \rho|u|^2 + \rho^{\gamma}),
\]
(3.16)
\[
-\tilde{q} + m(\tilde{\eta}_\rho + \tilde{u}\tilde{\eta}_m) \leq 0,
\]
(3.17)
\[
|\tilde{\eta}_m| \leq M(|u| + \rho^{\theta}), \quad |\tilde{\eta}_\rho| \leq M(|u|^2 + \rho^{2\theta}),
\]
(3.18)
\[
|\tilde{\eta}| \leq M(\rho + \rho|u|^2 + \rho^{\gamma}), \quad \rho|\tilde{\eta}_\rho + u\tilde{\eta}_m| \leq M(\rho + \rho|u|^2 + \rho^{\gamma}),
\]
(3.19)
and, for \( \tilde{\eta}_\rho + u\tilde{\eta}_m \) considered as a function of \((\rho, u)\),
\[
|\tilde{\eta}_\rho + u\tilde{\eta}_m| \leq M(\rho^{-1}|u| + \rho^{2\theta-1}), \quad |(\tilde{\eta}_\rho + u\tilde{\eta}_m)_u| \leq M(|u| + \rho^{\theta}).
\]
(3.20)
Also see [8] for these inequalities.

Moreover, note that, at \( r = b \),
\[
\tilde{q}(\tilde{\rho}, 0) = \tilde{q}(\tilde{\rho}, 0) = c_0(\gamma)\tilde{\rho}^{\gamma+\theta}, \quad |\tilde{\eta}_m(\tilde{\rho}, 0)| = c_1(\gamma)\tilde{\rho}^\theta, \quad \tilde{\eta}_\rho(\tilde{\rho}, 0) = 0,
\]
(3.21)
for some positive \( c_i(\gamma), i = 0, 1 \), depending only on \( \gamma \).

3. We integrate equation (3.15) over \((0, T) \times (r, b)\) to find
\[
\int_0^T \tilde{q}(\tau, r) r^{n-1} d\tau = c(\theta)\tilde{\rho}^{\gamma+\theta} b^{n-1}T + \int_r^b (\tilde{\eta}(T, y) - \tilde{\eta}(0, y)) y^{n-1} dy
\]
\[\quad + (n - 1) \int_0^T \int_{\gamma}^b \left(-\tilde{q} + m\tilde{\eta}_\rho + \frac{m^2}{\rho}\tilde{\eta}_m\right) y^{n-2} dy d\tau
\]
\[\quad + (n - 1) \int_0^T \int_{\gamma}^b y^{n-2}\tilde{\eta}_m(\tilde{\rho}, 0)(p(\rho) - p(\tilde{\rho})) dy d\tau
\]
\[\quad + \int_0^T \int_{\gamma}^b \varepsilon y^{n-1}((\rho_{yy} + \frac{n-1}{y}\rho_y)\tilde{\eta}_\rho + (m + \frac{n-1}{y}m)\tilde{\eta}_m) dy d\tau
\]
\[\quad + \int_0^T \int_{\gamma}^b \varepsilon y^{n-1}((\tilde{\eta}_m)_\rho\rho_y + (\tilde{\eta}_m)_u u_y) y^{n-2} dy d\tau
\]
\[\quad + (n - 1) \int_0^T \int_{\gamma}^b \varepsilon y^{n-2} dy d\tau
\]
\[= I_1 + \cdots + I_7.
\]
(3.22)

4. Now we estimate the terms in (3.22). Clearly,
\[
|I_1| \leq M\tilde{\rho}^{\gamma+\theta} b^{n-1} \leq M\tilde{\rho}^{\gamma} b^n,
\]
since \( \tilde{\rho} < 1 \) and \( b > 1 \) for small \( \varepsilon > 0 \).

Notice that \(|\tilde{\eta}(\rho, m)| \leq \eta^*(\rho, m)|. It then follows that
\[
|I_2| \leq \int_{\gamma}^b |\tilde{\eta}(\rho(T, r), m(T, r))| r^{n-1} dr
\]
\[\leq \int_{\gamma}^b \eta^*(\rho(T, r), m(T, r)) r^{n-1} dr.
\]
By the energy estimate (2.6), \(|I_2(t, r)| \leq E_0.|
The term $I_3$ is nonpositive by (3.17) and can be dropped.

Using Step 2, we have
\[
|I_4(t, r)| \leq M(a_1, T)(1 + \tilde{\rho}^\gamma b^n) \quad \text{for any } (t, r) \in [0, T] \times [a_1, b].
\]

(3.23)

5. Consider $I_5$. We write
\[
r^{n-1}(\rho_{rr} + \frac{n-1}{r} \rho_r)\tilde{\rho} = (r^{n-1}\rho_r)\tilde{\rho},
\]
\[
r^{n-1}(m_r + \frac{n-1}{r}m)\tilde{\eta}_m = (r^{n-1}m_r)\tilde{\eta}_m - (n-1)r^{n-3}m\tilde{\eta}_m,
\]
and employ integration by parts (note that $\tilde{\eta}_b \int \omega d\tau$)
\[
I_5 = -\varepsilon \int_0^t \int_r^b \left( \rho_y(\tilde{\eta}_\rho y + m_y(\tilde{\eta}_m)y) y^{n-1}d\tau - (n-1)\varepsilon \int_r^b \tilde{m}_m y^{n-3}d\tau
\]
\[
+ \varepsilon \int_0^t \tilde{\eta}_r(\tau, r) r^{n-1}d\tau
\]
\[
= J_1 + J_2 + J_3.
\]

Using the energy estimate (2.3) and Lemma 3.4, we have
\[
|J_1(t, r)| \leq ME_0.
\]

Also, using Step 2 and (3.21), we have
\[
|m\tilde{\eta}_m| \leq M(\rho|u|^2 + \rho^\gamma + \rho|\tilde{\eta}_m(\tilde{\rho}, 0)|) \leq M(\eta^\gamma(\rho, m) + \tilde{\rho}^\gamma\rho).
\]

It follows by the energy estimate (2.3) that
\[
|\int_a^b J_2 \omega dr| \leq M(\text{supp } \omega, T)(1 + \tilde{\rho}^\gamma b^n),
\]
for any nonnegative smooth function $\omega$ with supp $\omega \subset (a, b)$.
We write
\[
\tilde{\eta}_r = \rho_r\tilde{\eta}_\rho + m_r\tilde{\eta}_m = \rho_r(\tilde{\eta}_\rho + u\tilde{\eta}_m) + \rho\tilde{\eta}_mu_r.
\]

Then we consider the integral
\[
\int_a^b J_3 \omega dr = \varepsilon \int_{Q_T} \rho(\tilde{\eta}_\rho + u\tilde{\eta}_m) \omega r^{n-1}d\tau - (n-1)\varepsilon \int_{Q_T} \rho(\tilde{\eta}_\rho + u\tilde{\eta}_m) \omega r^{n-2}d\tau
\]
\[
- \varepsilon \int_{Q_T} \rho(\rho_r(\tilde{\eta}_\rho + u\tilde{\eta}_m)\rho + u_r(\tilde{\eta}_\rho + u\tilde{\eta}_m)u - \tilde{\eta}_mu_r) \omega r^{n-1}d\tau.
\]

Noticing that $\tilde{\eta}_\rho + u\tilde{\eta}_m = \tilde{\eta}_\rho + u\tilde{\eta}_m + \text{const.}$ and using Step 2 and estimates (2.3)–(2.6) and (3.10), we obtain
\[
|\int_a^b J_3(t, r) \omega dr| \leq M(a_1, T, \|\omega\|_{C^1}) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{Q_T} (\rho|u|^2 + \rho^\gamma + \rho^\gamma\rho) \omega r^{n-1}d\tau.
\]

To estimate $I_6$, employing that $|\tilde{\eta}_m| \leq M\rho^{\gamma-1}$, $|\tilde{\eta}_m| \leq M$, and the energy estimate (2.3), we have
\[
|I_6| \leq M \frac{\delta^2}{\varepsilon} \int_0^T \int_r^b \rho m r^{n-3}d\tau \leq M \frac{\delta^2}{\varepsilon} b^n \leq \frac{M}{\varepsilon} b^n.
\]
We divide the proof into seven steps.

The last term \( I_7 \) is estimated in the similar fashion:
\[
\left| \int_a^b I_7 \omega \, dr \right| \leq M(\text{supp} \omega) \frac{\delta^2}{\varepsilon} b^n \leq M(\text{supp} \omega) \frac{\delta b^n}{\varepsilon},
\]
since \( \delta < 1 \) for small \( \varepsilon > 0 \).

Finally, we multiply equation (3.22) by the nonnegative smooth function \( \omega \), integrate it over \( (a, b) \), and use estimate (3.16), together with the above estimates for \( I_j, j = 1, \cdots, 7 \), and an appropriate choice of \( \delta \) to obtain
\[
\int_{Q_t} (\rho|u|^3 + \rho^\gamma \theta) \omega \, r^{n-1} \, dr \, d\tau \\
\leq M(1 + \bar{\rho}^\gamma b^n + \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon} b^n) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{Q_t} (\rho|u|^3 + \rho^\gamma \theta) \omega \, r^{n-1} \, dr \, d\tau.
\]
This completes the proof. \( \square \)

3.2. Weak Entropy Dissipation Estimates. Let \( a = a(\varepsilon) \to 0 \) and \( b = b(\varepsilon) \to \infty \). We choose \( \bar{\rho} = \bar{\rho}(\varepsilon) \to 0 \) and \( \delta = \delta(\varepsilon) \to 0 \) such that
\[
\bar{\rho}^\gamma b^n + \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon} b^n \leq M \quad \text{uniformly in} \ \varepsilon.
\] (3.25)
With this choice \((\bar{\rho}, \delta)\), the estimates on the lemmas in §3.1 are uniform in \( \varepsilon \to 0 \).

Given a sequence of the initial data functions as in Theorem 1.1, denote \((\rho^\varepsilon, m^\varepsilon)\) by the corresponding solution of the viscosity equations (1.5) on \( Q^\varepsilon = [0, \infty) \times [a(\varepsilon), b(\varepsilon)] \) with \( \bar{\rho} = \bar{\rho}(\varepsilon) \) as above.

**Proposition 3.1.** Let \((\eta, q)\) be an entropy pair of system (1.3) with form (1.11)–(1.12) for a smooth, compactly supported function \( \psi(s) \) on \( \mathbb{R} \). Then the entropy dissipation measures
\[
\eta(\rho^\varepsilon, m^\varepsilon), q(\rho^\varepsilon, m^\varepsilon) \quad \text{are compact in} \ \ H^{1}_{\text{loc}}.
\] (3.26)

**Proof.** We divide the proof into seven steps.

1. Denote \( \eta^\varepsilon = \eta(\rho^\varepsilon, m^\varepsilon) \), \( q^\varepsilon = q(\rho^\varepsilon, m^\varepsilon) \), and \( m^\varepsilon = \rho^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon \). We compute
\[
\eta^\varepsilon + q^\varepsilon = -\frac{n-1}{r} \rho u^\varepsilon (\eta^\varepsilon_\rho + u^\varepsilon \eta^\varepsilon_m) + \varepsilon \frac{n-1}{r} \left( \rho^\varepsilon \eta^\varepsilon_r + r(1 - m^\varepsilon) \eta^\varepsilon_m \right) \\
- \varepsilon \left( (\rho^\varepsilon)^2 \eta^\varepsilon_r + m^\varepsilon (\eta^\varepsilon_m)_r \right) + \varepsilon \eta^\varepsilon_{rr} - (\delta \rho^2) \eta^\varepsilon_m.
\]
\[
= I^\varepsilon_1 + \cdots + I^\varepsilon_5.
\] (3.27)

2. We notice that
\[
|I^\varepsilon_1(t, r)| \leq M \rho^\varepsilon |u^\varepsilon|(1 + (\rho^\varepsilon)^\theta) \leq M(\rho^\varepsilon |u^\varepsilon|^2 + \rho^\varepsilon + (\rho^\varepsilon)^\gamma),
\] (3.28)
bounded in \( L^1(0, T; I^\varepsilon_{\text{loc}}(0, \infty)) \), independent of \( \varepsilon \) (all of the functions are extended by 0 outside \((a, b)\)).

3. Next,
\[
I^\varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon \frac{n-1}{r^2} (\eta^\varepsilon - m^\varepsilon \eta^\varepsilon_m) + \varepsilon (\frac{n-1}{r} \eta^\varepsilon)_r =: I^\varepsilon_{2a} + I^\varepsilon_{2b}.
\] (3.29)
Since
\[ |\eta^\varepsilon - m^\varepsilon \eta^\varepsilon_m| \leq M \left( \rho^\varepsilon + \rho^\varepsilon |u^\varepsilon|^2 \right), \]
then
\[ I_{2a}^\varepsilon \to 0 \quad \text{in } L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2_+) \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \to 0. \] (3.30)
On the other hand, if \( \omega \) is smooth and compactly supported on \( \mathbb{R}^2_+ \), then
\[ \varepsilon \int_{Q^\varepsilon} I_{2b}^\varepsilon \omega(t, r) \, dr dt = \varepsilon \int \frac{n - 1}{r} \eta^\varepsilon \omega_r \, dr dt \leq \varepsilon M(\supp \omega) \|\rho^\varepsilon\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2_+)} \|\omega\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^2_+)}. \]
Since \( \|\rho^\varepsilon\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2_+)} \) is bounded, independent of \( \varepsilon \) (see (3.3)), the above estimate shows that
\[ I_{2b}^\varepsilon \to 0 \quad \text{in } H^{-1}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2_+) \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \to 0. \] (3.31)

4. For \( I_{3}^\varepsilon \), we use Lemma 2.1 to obtain
\[ |I_{3}^\varepsilon| = \varepsilon |\langle \nabla^2 \eta(\rho^\varepsilon, m^\varepsilon)(\rho^\varepsilon_r, m^\varepsilon_r), (\rho^\varepsilon_r, m^\varepsilon_r) \rangle| \leq M \varepsilon \|\rho^\varepsilon\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2_+)} \|\omega\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^2_+)} \]
Combining (3.32) with Proposition 2.1 and Lemma 3.4, we conclude that
\[ I_{3}^\varepsilon \quad \text{is uniformly bounded in } L^1(0, T; L^1_{\text{loc}}(0, \infty)). \] (3.32)

5. To show that \( I_{4}^\varepsilon \to 0 \) in \( H^{-1}_{\text{loc}} \) as \( \varepsilon \to 0 \), we need the following claim, adopting the arguments from [18].

Claim: Let \( K \subset (0, \infty) \) be a compact subset. Then, for any \( 0 < \Delta < 1 \) and \( \varepsilon > 0 \),
\[ \int_0^T \int_K \varepsilon^2 |\rho^\varepsilon_r|^2 \, dr dt \leq M(\sqrt{\varepsilon \Delta^2} + \Delta + \varepsilon). \] (3.33)
In particular,
\[ \int_0^T \int_K \varepsilon^2 |\rho^\varepsilon_r|^2 \, dr dt \to 0, \]
and
\[ \varepsilon \eta^\varepsilon_r \to 0 \quad \text{in } L^p(0, T; L^p_{\text{loc}}(0, \infty)) \quad \text{for } p := 2 - \frac{2}{\gamma + 1} \in (1, 2). \]

Now we prove the claim. For the simplicity of notation, we suppress superscript \( \varepsilon \) in all of the functions. Define
\[ \phi(\rho) = \begin{cases} \frac{\rho^2}{2}, & \rho < \Delta, \\ \frac{\Delta^2}{2} + \Delta(\rho - \Delta), & \rho \geq \Delta, \end{cases} \]
so that
\[ \phi''(\rho) = \chi_{(\rho < \Delta)}(\rho), \]
\[ \rho \phi'(\rho) - \phi(\rho) = \frac{\rho^2}{2} \quad \text{for } \rho < \Delta, \]
\[ \rho \phi'(\rho) - \phi(\rho) = \frac{\Delta^2}{2} \quad \text{for } \rho \geq \Delta, \]
where \( \chi_A(\rho) \) is the indicator function that is 1 when \( \rho \in A \) and 0 otherwise.
Let $\omega(r)$ be a nonnegative smooth, compactly supported function on $(0, \infty)$. We compute from the continuity equation, the first equation in (1.5):

\[
(\phi \omega)_t + (\phi u \omega)_r - \phi u \omega_r - \frac{1}{2} \left( \rho^2 \chi_{\{\rho < \Delta\}} + \delta^2 \chi_{\{\rho > \Delta\}} \right) \omega u_r + \frac{n-1}{r} \rho \min\{\rho, \Delta\} = \varepsilon (\phi' \omega_r) - \varepsilon \min\{\rho, \Delta\} \omega' \rho_r + \frac{(n-1)\varepsilon}{r} \omega \min\{\rho, \Delta\} \rho_r - \varepsilon \omega |\rho_r|^2 \chi_{\{\rho < \Delta\}}. \tag{3.34}
\]

Integrating (3.34) over $(0, T) \times (0, \infty)$, we obtain

\[
\int_0^T \int \varepsilon |\rho_r|^2 \chi_{\{\rho < \Delta\}} \, dr \, dt = - \int \phi \omega_0^T \, dr + \int_0^T \int \phi u \omega_r \, dr \, dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int (\rho^2 \chi_{\{\rho < \Delta\}} + \delta \chi_{\{\rho > \Delta\}}) \omega u_r \, dr \, dt - \int_0^T \int \frac{n-1}{r} \rho \min\{\rho, \Delta\} \, dr \, dt \nonumber
\]

\[
- \int_0^T \int \varepsilon \min\{\rho, \Delta\} \omega' \rho_r \, dr \, dt + \int_0^T \int \frac{(n-1)\varepsilon}{r} \omega \min\{\rho, \Delta\} \rho_r \, dr \, dt = J_1 + \cdots + J_6. \tag{3.35}
\]

We estimate the integrals on the right:

\[
|J_1| \leq M(\text{supp } \omega) \left( \Delta^2 + \Delta \int_0^T \int_{\text{supp } \omega} \rho \, dr \, dt \right) \leq M(\text{supp } \omega, T) \Delta; \tag{3.36}
\]

\[
|J_2| \leq \int_0^T \int_{\text{supp } \omega} \left( \Delta |\rho u| \chi_{\{\rho < \Delta\}} + (\Delta^2 + \Delta \rho)|u| \chi_{\{\rho > \Delta\}} \right) \, dr \, dt \nonumber
\]

\[
\leq \Delta \int_0^T \int_{\text{supp } \omega} (\rho + |u|^2) \, dt \, dt \leq M(\text{supp } \omega, T) \Delta; \tag{3.37}
\]

\[
|J_3| \leq \frac{\Delta^2}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \int_0^T \int_{\text{supp } \omega} (\rho + \varepsilon \rho |u_r|^2) \, dr \, dt \leq M(\text{supp } \omega, T) \frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}; \tag{3.38}
\]

\[
|J_4| \leq M(\text{supp } \omega) \Delta \int_0^T \int_{\text{supp } \omega} (\rho + |u|^2) \, dr \, dt \leq M(\text{supp } \omega, T) \Delta; \tag{3.39}
\]

\[
|J_5| \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon} \Delta^2 \int_0^T \int_{\text{supp } \omega} \sqrt{\varepsilon} \rho^{-2} |\rho_r| \, dr \, dt + \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_{\text{supp } \omega} \rho |\rho_r| \chi_{\{\rho < \Delta\}} \omega' \, dr \, dt \nonumber
\]

\[
\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \int_0^T \rho^{-2} |\rho_r|^2 \, dr \, dt + 2\varepsilon \int_0^T \int_{\text{supp } \omega} \rho^2 |\omega'|^2 \omega \, dr \, dt + \sqrt{\varepsilon} \Delta^2 M(\text{supp } \omega, T) \nonumber
\]

\[
\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \int_0^T \int |\rho_r|^2 \omega \, dr \, dt + \varepsilon M(\text{supp } \omega, T) + \sqrt{\varepsilon} \Delta^2 M(\text{supp } \omega, T). \tag{3.40}
\]
Moreover, $J_6$ is estimated in the same way as $J_5$. Thus, estimate (3.33) is proved.

Now we prove the second part of the claim. Notice that

$$|\eta| \leq M (|\rho_r| |\eta| + |\rho| u_r |) \leq M (|\rho_r| (1 + \rho^q) + |\rho| u_r |).$$

(3.41)

Let $q \in (1, 2)$ to be chosen later on. Compute

$$\int_0^T \int_{K} \epsilon^q |\eta|^{q} \, dr \, dt \leq M \int_0^T \int_{K} \epsilon^q |\rho_r|^{q} \, dr \, dt + \int_0^T \int_{K} \epsilon^q (|\rho| |\rho| + |\rho| u_r |)^q \, dr \, dt$$

$$\leq \Delta + \frac{M}{\Delta} \int_0^T \int_{K} \epsilon^{2q} |\rho_r|^{2} \, dr \, dt$$

$$+ M \int_0^T \int_{K} \epsilon^{q} |\rho|^{q} (|\rho|^{q} |\rho| + |\rho| u_r |^{q}) \, dr \, dt$$

$$\leq \Delta + \frac{M}{\Delta} \int_0^T \int_{K} \epsilon^{2} |\rho_r|^{2} \, dr \, dt$$

$$+ \epsilon^{q-1} M \int_0^T \int_{K} (\epsilon |\rho|^{q} |\rho|^{2} + |\rho| u_r |^{2}) + \epsilon \rho^{2-\gamma} \, dr \, dt$$

$$\leq \Delta + \frac{M}{\Delta} \int_0^T \int_{K} \epsilon^{2} |\rho_r|^{2} \, dr \, dt + \epsilon^{q-1} C(T, K),$$

(3.42)

provided that $\frac{2}{2-q} = \gamma + 1$, which holds if and only if $q = 2 - \frac{2}{\gamma+1}$. Combining this with estimate (3.33), we arrive at the conclusion of the claim.

6. Consider the last term $I_6^\epsilon$. This term is bounded in $L^1(0, T; L^{1, \infty}(0, \infty))$. Indeed, for a compact set $K \subset (0, \infty)$, using the energy estimates (2.3) and Lemma 3.2, we obtain

$$\int_0^T \int_{K} |I_5| \, dr \, dt \leq M_{\psi} \int_0^T \int_{K} \delta |\rho_r| \, dr \, dt$$

$$\leq M(\psi, K) \left(1 + \frac{\delta^2}{\epsilon} \int_0^T \int_{K} \rho^{4-\gamma} \, dr \, dt \right)$$

$$\leq M(\psi, K) \left(1 + \frac{\delta^2}{\epsilon} + \frac{\delta^2}{\epsilon} \int_0^T \int_{K} \rho^3 \, dr \, dt \right)$$

$$\leq M(\psi, K) \left(1 + \frac{\delta^2}{\epsilon} + \frac{\delta^2}{\epsilon} b^2 \right).$$

From the choice of $\delta$, the term on the right is uniformly bounded in $\epsilon$.

7. Combining Steps 1–6, we conclude

$$\eta(\rho^\epsilon, m^\epsilon)_t + q(\rho^\epsilon, m^\epsilon)_r = f^\epsilon + g^\epsilon,$$

(3.43)

where $f^\epsilon$ is bounded in $L^1(0, T; L^{1, q}(0, \infty))$ and $g^\epsilon \to 0$ in $W^{-1,q}(R^2_+)$ for some $q \in (1, 2)$. This implies that, for $1 < q_1 < 2$,

$$\eta(\rho^\epsilon, m^\epsilon)_t + q(\rho^\epsilon, m^\epsilon)_r \text{ are confined in a compact subset of } W^{-1,q_1}_r.$$  

(3.44)
On the other hand, using formulas (1.11)–(1.12) and the estimates in Proposition 2.1 and Lemma 3.5, we obtain that, for any smooth, compactly supported function \( \psi(s) \) on \( \mathbb{R} \),
\[
\eta(\rho, m) \text{ are uniformly bounded in } L_{loc}^{q_2}(\mathbb{R}^2_+),
\]
for \( q_2 = \gamma + 1 > 2 \) when \( \gamma > 1 \). This implies that, for some \( q_2 > 2 \),
\[
\eta(\rho, m)_t + q(\rho, m)_r \text{ are uniformly bounded in } W_{loc}^{-1,q_2}(\mathbb{R}^2_+).
\]

The interpolation compactness theorem (cf. [3, 11]) indicates that, for \( q_1 > 1, q_2 \in (q_1, \infty] \), and \( q_0 \in [q_1, q_2) \),
\[
\text{(compact set of } W_{loc}^{-1,q_1}(\mathbb{R}^2_+)) \cap \text{(bounded set of } W_{loc}^{-1,q_2}(\mathbb{R}^2_+))
\subset \text{(compact set of } W_{loc}^{-1,q_0}(\mathbb{R}^2_+)),
\]
which is a generalization of Murat’s lemma in [22, 25]. Combining this interpolation compactness theorem for \( 1 < q_1 < 2, q_2 > 2 \), and \( q_0 = 2 \) with the facts in (3.44)–(3.45), we conclude the result.

3.3. **Strong Convergence and the Entropy Inequality.** The a priori estimates and compactness properties we have obtained in §3.1–§3.2 imply that the viscous solutions satisfy the compensated compactness framework in Chen-Perepelitsa [8]. Then the compactness theorem established in [8] for the case \( \gamma > 1 \) (also see LeFloch-Westdickenberg [17]) yields that
\[
(\rho^\varepsilon, m^\varepsilon) \to (\rho, m) \quad \text{a.e. } (t, r) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times L_{loc}^p(\mathbb{R}_+^2) \times L_{loc}^q(\mathbb{R}_+^2)
\]
for \( p \in [1, \gamma + 1] \) and \( q \in [1, \frac{3(\gamma+1)}{\gamma+3}] \). This requires the uniform bounds (3.3)–(3.5) and the estimate:
\[
|m|^q = \rho^{\frac{q}{3}}|u|^q \rho^\frac{2q}{3} \leq \rho |u|^3 + \rho^{\gamma+1}
\]
for \( q = \frac{3(\gamma+1)}{\gamma+3} \).

From the same estimates, we also obtain the convergence of the energy as \( \varepsilon \to 0 \):
\[
\eta^\varepsilon(\rho^\varepsilon, m^\varepsilon) \to \eta^\varepsilon(\rho, m) \quad \text{in } L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^2_+).
\]

Since the energy \( \eta^\varepsilon(\rho, m) \) is a convex function, by passing to the limit in (2.5), we obtain
\[
\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_0^\infty \eta^\varepsilon(\rho, m)(t, r) r^{n-1} dr dt \leq \int_0^\infty \eta(\rho_0, m_0)(t, r) r^{n-1} dr dt,
\]
from which (1.17) follows. This implies that there is no concentration formed in the density \( \rho \) at the origin \( r = 0 \).

Finally, the energy estimates (2.3)–(2.6) and the estimates in Lemmas 3.3–3.5 imply the equi-integrability of a sequence of
\[
\eta^\varepsilon, \quad q^\varepsilon, \quad m^\varepsilon \partial_\rho \eta^\varepsilon, \quad \frac{(m^\varepsilon)^2}{\rho^\varepsilon} \partial_\rho \eta^\varepsilon, \quad q^\varepsilon,
\]
for any \( \psi(s) \) that is convex with subquadratic growth at infinity: \( \lim_{s \to \infty} \frac{|\psi(s)|}{s^2} = 0 \).

Passing to the limit in (3.27) multiplied by \( r^n \) and integrated against a smooth compactly function supported on \( (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty) \), we obtain (1.18).
3.4. Limit in the Equations. Let \( \varphi(t,r) \) be a smooth, compactly supported function on \([0, \infty) \times [0, b(\varepsilon)]\), with \( \varphi_r(t,0) = 0 \) for all \( r \) close to 0. Assume that the viscosity solutions \((\rho^\varepsilon, m^\varepsilon)\) are extended by 0 outside of \([a(\varepsilon), b(\varepsilon)]\). Multiplying the first equation in (1.5) by \( r^{n-1} \varphi \) and then integrating it over \( \mathbb{R}^2_+ \), we have

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} \left( \rho^\varepsilon \varphi_t + m^\varepsilon \varphi_r + \varepsilon \rho^\varepsilon (\varphi_{rr} + \frac{n-1}{r} \varphi_r) \right) r^{n-1}drdt + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \rho_0^\varepsilon(0, r) r^{n-1}dr = 0. \tag{3.46}
\]

Note that, by the energy inequality, \( \int_0^1 (\rho^\varepsilon)^\gamma r^{n-1}dr \) is bounded, independent of \( \varepsilon \), which implies that there is no concentration of mass at \( r = 0 \).

Passing to the limit in the above equation, we deduce

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} (\rho \varphi_t + m \varphi_r) r^{n-1}drdt + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \rho_0(0, r) r^{n-1}dr = 0,
\]

which can be extended to hold for all smooth, compactly supported function \( \varphi(t,r) \) on \([0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)\), with \( \varphi_r(t,0) = 0 \).

Consider now the momentum equation in (1.3). Let \( \varphi(t,r) \) be a smooth, compactly supported function on \([0, \infty) \times (a(\varepsilon), b(\varepsilon)]\). Multiplying the first equation in (1.5) and then integrating it over \( \mathbb{R}^2_+ \), we obtain

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} m^\varepsilon \varphi_t + \frac{(m^\varepsilon)^2}{\rho^\varepsilon} \varphi_r + p_\delta \varepsilon (\rho^\varepsilon) (\varphi_{rr} + \frac{n-1}{r} \varphi_r) + \varepsilon m^\varepsilon \varphi_{rr} \right) r^{n-1}drdt + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} m_0^\varepsilon(0, r) r^{n-1}dr = 0.
\]

Passing to the limit, we find

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} \left( m \varphi_t + \frac{m^2}{\rho} \varphi_r + p(\rho) (\varphi_{rr} + \frac{n-1}{r} \varphi_r) \right) r^{n-1}drdt + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} m_0(0, r) r^{n-1}dr = 0.
\]

Note that the term containing \( \delta \rho^2 \) converges to zero by Lemma 3.2 since \( \delta = \delta(\varepsilon) \to 0 \) as \( \varepsilon \to 0 \).

This equation can be extended for all smooth compactly supported function \( \varphi(t,r) \) on \([0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)\) with \( \varphi(t,0) = \varphi_r(t,0) = 0 \), since \( (m^2/\rho + \frac{n}{\rho}) (t, r) r^{n-1} \in L^1_{loc}([0, \infty) \times [0, \infty))\).
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