Colorectal cancer conventional radiotherapy treatment technique with sparing the testicles
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Abstract

Colorectal cancer was the third most common cancer in 2012, with higher percentage of incidence in less developed countries. In a less developed countries usually conventional radiotherapy technique is used for treatment of colorectal cancer. Using this technique testicles receive a dose from scattered radiation, due to the divergence of fields towards the testicles. We tried to reduce a testicular dose avoiding the divergence of fields towards the testicles. For that aim 28 male patients (mean age 51.8 years), treated with 45 Gy using the conventional 3 field technique were selected and subjected to retrospective dosimetric study using the avoiding divergence technique. Significant statistical difference was showed between the two proposed techniques (5.66 Gy, 3.53 Gy; p <0.0001) in favor of avoiding divergence technique (median of the min-imum doses to the testicles: 0.20 Gy, 0.09 Gy and the median of the maximum doses to the testicles: 15.32 Gy, 14.00 Gy).

Patients with rectal cancer who are going to receive radiotherapy treatment with conventional radiotherapy technique, are at risk of permanent infertility. This paper presents conventional radiotherapy technique, for the patients with rectal cancer which will, due to reduction of medium testicular dose, reduce the risk of infertility.

Introduction

Colon and rectum cancer is the third of all cancers by its incidence. It is in second place by the mortality caused by cancer. Colorectal cancer is the most frequent type of cancer after breast cancer and ovarian cancer in women and lung cancer in male [1]. At least 60% of patients with rectal cancer can expect long-term survival after multi-modal treatment. About 80% of male patients were sexually active at the time of diagnosis of rectal cancer, but often develop sexual dysfunction [2]. Radiotherapy treatment is recommended for patients to improve local control of the disease [3,4]. Sexual dysfunction often occurs after external radiotherapy [5,6].

During radiation testicles are normally outside the radiation target volume, however they may be exposed to scatter radiation. In the low passed rectal cancers testicles may be in the field of radiation, and exposed to direct radiation. The magnitude of scatter radiation depends on the distance from the border of radiation field to the testicles [2]. Therefore, the radiotherapy treatment of rectal cancer causes significant damage to the testicles. Most of the dose is delivered using AP-PA fields, due to the divergence of AP-PA fields to the testicles [7,8]. If we take into account that the majority of male patients with rectal cancer are younger and middle age, sexual dysfunction is a serious problem associated with the dose their testicles receive during the treatment [9]. There is a significant heterogeneity in access to modern radiotherapy equipment in Europe. While in the countries of Northern and Western Europe modern radiotherapy equipment is available, other countries face significant disadvantages in equipment. In particular, there is a lack of machinery capable of delivering high precision conformal treatment (IMRT, IGRT) [10]. In contrary, 57% of all cancers (excluding non-melanoma skin cancer) occur in less developed countries and 43% in more developed countries [11]. In the Balkans there are many centers that irradiate rectal cancer with conventional technique. The conventional technique involves a technique with 3 or 4 pelvic fields. The technique with 3 fields using wedges gets homogeneous distribution within irradiated volume, while the technique with 4 fields (the box technique) does not use wedges. Both techniques have a problem with divergence of the fields towards the testicles.

The aim of this study is to compare the dose to the testicles of radiotherapy course for rectal cancer by conventional techniques and radiotherapy course for rectal cancer conventional technique with avoidance divergence of the fields towards the testicles.

Materials and methods

We selected the 28 male patients treated in the period of three years at the Univer-sity Clinical Centre Tuzla. Primary rectal cancer was diagnosed to patients. The exclusion criteria for selected patients, was an age over 60 years. The prescribed dose for the radiotherapy treatment was 45 Gy. All patients underwent CT simulation in the prone position with the use of a belly board pad immobilization tool. Patients were scanned from the L2 / L3 boundary to 2 cm below the outer border of the testicles with a thickness of 5 mm scan. On FOCAL planning system, a conventional plan with three fields (3FCRT - 3 fields conventional radiation therapy) was made: one posterior (PA) and two lateral fields – right lateral (RLAT) and left lateral (LLAT) (Figures 1 and 2).
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The calculation of the dose distribution was made on XiO treatment planning system (TPS). The specifications of the treatment fields were presented in Table 1.

Retrospectively, for each patient the delineation of testicles and a treatment plan preparation were made using the same treatment fields. On this way the divergence of the fields was avoided. Field divergence avoidance has been used routinely during irradiation of breast with supravacular lymph nodes. Avoiding divergence in this case has been achieved by rotating the table, or using the half field beams. In patients treated in this study, because of the size of pelvic fields, avoiding the divergence of the field was achieved by rotating a treatment table (3FCDRT - 3field conventional divergence radiation therapy) (Figures 3 and 4).

The aim was, by rotating the table, to bring the edges of all three fields into one axial plane. The specifications of the treatment fields were presented in Table 2. The specifications of the treatment fields of a three fields conventional divergence radiation therapy. Same geometry of the fields and MLC protection was retained.

The dose volume histograms (DVHs) for testicles were calculated and the mean, maximum and minimum doses, to the testicles, were compared. The maximum and minimum doses correspond to the dose in one voxel.

A statistical analysis was performed with Graph Pad Prism software. Two-tailed paired t test was used with level of statistical significance of

| Field  | Beam energy (MV) | Gantry angle (degrees) | Collimator angle (degrees) | Couch angle (degrees) | Wedge (degrees) |
|--------|------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| PA     | 15               | 0                      | 0                         | 0                    | -               |
| RLAT   | 15               | 270                    | 90                        | 0                    | 40              |
| LLAT15 | 15               | 90                     | 270                       | 0                    | 40              |

Table 1. The specifications of the treatment fields of a conventional plan

| Field  | Beam energy (MV) | Gantry angle (degrees) | Collimator angle (degrees) | Couch angle (degrees) | Wedge (degrees) |
|--------|------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| PA     | 15               | 5 to 7                 | 90                        | 354 to 356           | 40 to 50        |
| RLAT   | 15               | 270                    | 90                        | 40 to 50             |
| LLAT15 | 15               | 90                     | 270                       | 4 to 6               | 40 to 50        |

Table 2. The specifications of the treatment fields of a three fields conventional divergence radiation therapy

![Figure 1. 3FCDRT set up of fields-coronal view](image1)

![Figure 2. 3FCDRT set up of fields-sagital view](image2)

![Figure 3. 3FCDRT set up of fields-coronal view](image3)
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Results

For 28 selected male patients with radiotherapy treatment of rectal cancer, a com-parison of 3FCRT and 3FCDRT planning techniques was performed. The mean age of patients was 51.8 years with a range of 34-60 years. The results show a statistically significant difference between dose to the testicles received by 3FCRT and 3FCDRT. Table 1 summarizes the characteristics of various planning techniques. There is sig-nificant statistical difference between the two proposed techniques (p <0.0001) in favour of avoiding divergence to the testicles technique. If considering the median of the minimum doses to the testicles, 0.20 Gy (0 Gy-6.75 Gy), 0.09 Gy (0 Gy-4.49 Gy) and the median of the maximum doses to the testicles, 15.32 Gy (0.63 Gy-22.43 Gy) 14.00 Gy (0.51 Gy-20.48 Gy), a statistical difference (p = 0.0091 and p = 0.0013) in favour of avoiding divergence to the testicles technique was showed. However, we noticed a higher maximum value of medium dose to the testicles with 3FCDRT than in 3FCRT (15.24 Gy vs. 15.14 Gy). Namely, when the testicles are in the field of radiation by their entire volume, turning the table and gantry for PA field will increase contribution of dose to the testicles, due to the fact that, even partial volume of the testicles is not coming out of the radiation field.

In addition, reducing the dose to the testicles leads to an increase in dose to the small bowel. The difference between the two plans indicates an increase in dose to the small bowel of up to 15%. Within the target volume bounded with three fields there is no difference in dose greater than 5% Figure 5.

Conclusions

The developed countries are using the advanced radiation techniques (IMRT, VMAT) for radiotherapy treatment of rectal. In less developed countries, mainly is in use conventional radiation technique for rectal cancer. During radiotherapy treatment with use of conventional radiation technique testicles may be exposed to direct or scattered radiation. It causes the male patients, often younger age, after radiotherapy treatment, have lower level of testosterone compared to the pre-treatment level or with patients subjected only to the surgical treatment. A reduced level of testosterone contributes to sexual dysfunction [2]. There are many studies that determined the effect of radiation on the level of male sex hormones [9,12,13]. Mazonakis, et al. measured scattered testicular dose of radiation during radiotherapy treatment [14]. They irradiated phantom with 6 MV photon beams and examined the influence of various factors of radiotherapy treatment. They offered the equation for estimating testicular dose taking into account the number of fields, beam weight, field size, wedge, a distance of testicles from field isocentre, and tissue thickness along the beam axis. The mean difference between in vivo measured doses to the testicles and doses calculated by proposed equation was 5.8%. Mazonakis showed that gonadal shields significantly reduce the dose to the testicles. However, if the gonadal shields are in the field of radiation, due to the production of secondary electrons, the testicular dose can increase [15]. Piroth, et al. performed measurements of scattered testicular dose during radiotherapy treatment for rectal cancer. The measurements were performed using TLDs on the testicles of 18 patients. Patients were treated with 3 field’s tech-nique. Estimated cumulative mean dose to the testicles was 1.6 Gy. However, they proved that variations in measured doses during these measurements can be significant. The possible reasons were the dosimeter has been moved or the testicles have been in different position during the treatment session [16]. Various studies have shown that the distance of the testicles from the lower border of the radiation field is the most important factor affecting testicular dose [8,14,17-19]. Herman, et al. showed that the percentage of the dose testicles received due to the scattered radiation is inversely proportional to the distance of the testicles from the lower border of the radiation field [8]. In comparison of the three fields technique and four fields tech-nique, also prone and supine with aim to reduce testicular dose, some authors prefer radiotherapy course in supination with 4 fields technique [20]. Our study was carried out with three fields technique, but the principle is applicable to the four fields technique.

Our results show that the use of avoiding fields divergence radiotherapy techniques of radiation to the testicles, the mean testicular dose can be reduced. In centres where advanced techniques of radiation are not available, patients with rectal cancer who will receive the radiotherapy treatment are at risk of permanent infertility. We pre-sented radiotherapy technique for the patients with rectal cancer that will, due to re-duction of medium testicular dose, reduce the risk of infertility. Although this tech-nique slightly increases the dose to the small intestines, it can be an option technique, especially in younger patients.
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