Cross Talk between Chemosensory Pathways That Modulate Chemotaxis and Biofilm Formation
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ABSTRACT Complex chemosensory systems control multiple biological functions in bacteria, such as chemotaxis, gene regulation, and cell cycle progression. Many species contain more than one chemosensory system per genome, but little is known about their potential interplay. In this study, we reveal cross talk between two chemosensory pathways that modulate chemotaxis and biofilm formation in Comamonas testosteroni. We demonstrate that some chemoreceptors that govern chemotaxis also contribute to biofilm formation and these chemoreceptors can physically interact with components of both pathways. Finally, we show that the chemotaxis histidine kinase CheA can phosphorylate not only its cognate response regulator CheY2 but also one of the response regulators from the pathway mediating biofilm formation, FlmD. The phosphoryl group transfer from CheA to CheY2 is much faster than that from CheA to FlmD, which is consistent with chemotaxis being a fast response and biofilm formation being a much slower developmental process. We propose that cross talk between chemosensory pathways may play a role in coordination of complex behaviors in bacteria.

IMPORTANCE In many bacteria, two or more homologous chemosensory pathways control several cellular functions, such as motility and gene regulation, in response to changes in the cell’s microenvironment. Cross talk between signal transduction systems is poorly understood; while generally it is considered to be undesired, in some instances it might be beneficial for coregulation of complex behaviors. We demonstrate that several receptors from the pathway controlling motility can physically interact with downstream components of the pathway controlling biofilm formation. We further show that a kinase from the pathway controlling motility can also phosphorylate a response regulator from the pathway controlling biofilm formation. We propose that cross talk between two chemosensory pathways might be involved in coordination of two types of cell behavior—chemotaxis and biofilm formation.
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Chemotaxis and biofilm formation are survival strategies that allow microorganisms to successfully find and dwell in environments. Chemotaxis is a process of active swimming toward attractants or away from repellents, which allows flagellated bacteria to monitor changes in the environment. Chemotaxis is best understood in model organisms Escherichia coli and Salmonella enterica (1). A chemotactic response is initiated by chemoreceptors, also called methyl-accepting chemotaxis proteins (MCPs) (2, 3) that detect various environmental signals through their sensory domains (4). Chemoreceptor signaling domains modulate the activity of the chemotaxis histidine
kinase CheA. Following autophosphorylation, CheA transfers the phosphoryl group to the response regulator CheY. Phosphorylated CheY-P interacts with the flagellar switch protein FilM, causing a change in the direction of flagellar rotation (2, 5). Within the same chemotaxis pathway, the phosphatase CheZ (6), the methyltransferase CheR (7), and the methylesterase CheB (8) contribute to signal termination and adaptation.

In contrast to E. coli, which has only five chemoreceptors, many bacteria have a larger number of chemoreceptors; on average, fourteen chemoreceptor genes per bacterial genome were reported (9). In addition to chemotaxis, chemoreceptors and associated chemosensory pathways are implicated in regulation of twitching motility (10, 11), cell differentiation (12, 13) and aggregation (14), and biofilm formation (15–17). In Pseudomonas putida, the polyamine chemoreceptor McpU and the L-amino acids chemoreceptor McpA mediate chemotaxis and also contribute to biofilm formation (16).

Biofilm formation, a process of cell attachment and growing in aggregates on surfaces, is a regulated process that has been extensively investigated in model organisms, such as Pseudomonas species (18–22). In Pseudomonas aeruginosa, cyclic diguanosine-5′-monophosphate (c-di-GMP)-mediated signaling is the key regulatory circuit in biofilm formation. As a second messenger, c-di-GMP regulates biofilm formation by promoting the production of exopolysaccharides (23) and/or repressing synthesis of bacterial flagella (24). The Wsp chemosensory pathway in P. aeruginosa recognizes signals via its dedicated chemoreceptor WspA and contributes to biofilm formation via its response regulator WspR, which has diguanylate cyclase activity (15, 25). Together with other diguanylate cyclases and phosphodiesterases that modulate c-di-GMP levels as well as quorum sensing and small RNA signaling pathways, this chemosensory system contributes to a complex network that regulates biofilm formation (reviewed by Fazi et al. [20]).

Comamonas testosteroni CNB-1 belongs to a class of betaproteobacteria; it was isolated from a wastewater treatment bioreactor and grows on organic acids and aromatic compounds (26, 27). C. testosteroni is studied primarily as a promising organism for bioremediation of organics-contaminated environments: it forms organic-pollutant-degrading biofilms in natural ecosystems and water treatment systems (28). The process and mechanisms of biofilm formation in C. testosteroni are not well understood. C. testosteroni CNB-1 genome contains one chemotaxis (che) gene cluster and one chemotaxis-like (flm) gene cluster and nineteen chemoreceptor genes (29). In this study, we show that the flm cluster is involved in modulating biofilm formation in C. testosteroni and identify the FlmD protein as a response regulator for this behavior. We demonstrate that seven chemoreceptors contribute to biofilm formation, including those that are known to mediate chemotaxis. We also demonstrate that the CheA kinase can phosphorylate the FlmD response regulator, albeit at a much lower rate than its cognate response regulator CheY2. Therefore, we propose that chemotaxis and biofilm formation could be coregulated by the interplay between Che and Flm chemosensory pathways in C. testosteroni. Cross talk between chemosensory pathways in many other bacteria might play a similar role in coregulation of these and other types of cell behavior.

RESULTS
Two chemosensory pathways modulate the chemotactic response and biofilm formation in C. testosteroni. Analysis of the C. testosteroni CNB-2 (identical to CNB-1 except for the loss of the pCNB plasmid) complete genome using the MiST.2 database (30) revealed two genetic clusters (Fig. 1; see also Fig. S1 in the supplemental material) encoding chemosensory pathways. On the basis of the results obtained in this study, we termed them che and flm clusters. The che cluster contained a complete set of genes coding for chemotaxis proteins, including the flagellar motor switch components (FliG, FliM, and FliN), the histidine kinase CheA, two response regulators CheY1, and CheY2, the phosphatase CheZ, the adaptor protein CheW, the methyltransferase CheR, the methylesterase CheB, and the deamidase CheD. Matching CheA, CheW, CheB, and CheR
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sequences to hidden Markov models designed for specific chemotaxis pathways revealed that the pathway encoded by the *che* cluster belongs to the evolutionary class F7 (31). The best-studied chemotaxis pathway in the model organism *E. coli* belongs to this class. Consequently, this pathway in *C. testosteroni* was also predicted to mediate chemotaxis. We have previously deleted the *cheA* gene (32), and in-frame deletions of *cheW* and *cheY* genes were made in this study. All the mutants were characterized for chemotaxis, and results are shown in Fig. S1B. As expected, CheA and CheW were essential for chemotaxis in strain CNB-1. Two response regulators, CheY1 and CheY2, are encoded in the *che* gene cluster. Deletion of *cheY2* resulted in a complete loss of the chemotactic response, while the deletion of *cheY1* only partly reduced chemotaxis (Fig. S1B). We also found that CheA and CheY2 from strain CNB-1 were able to restore chemotactic response in the corresponding *E. coli* mutants (Fig. S1C).

The *flm* gene cluster had not been studied previously. It contains genes encoding a CheA-like histidine kinase (termed FlmA), a CheW-like adaptor protein (termed FlmC), a chemoreceptor (ctCNB1_3986, termed FlmB), and two CheY-like response regulators that contain the conserved aspartyl residue, which serves as the phosphor-acceptor site (termed FlmD and FlmE). Matching FlmA (CheA-like) and FlmC (CheW-like) sequences to hidden Markov models designed for specific chemotaxis pathways revealed that the pathway encoded by the *flm* cluster belongs to the evolutionary class Tfp (31), named after type IV pilus-mediated motility. The Tfp pathway in *P. aeruginosa* (also known as Chp/Pil) regulates twitching motility (11) and causes alterations in the cAMP levels (33). Orthologous relationships between Chp/Pil and Flm were established by showing that ChpA-FlmA, PilJ-FlmB, PilI-FlmC, PilH-FlmD, and PilG-FlmE are mutual best BLAST hits when searched against the respective genomes (see Table S1 in the supplemental material). Although Flm is orthologous to Chp/Pil, it lacks MCP-modifying enzymes CheB and CheR (searches with Tfp-specific CheB and CheR sequences failed to identify

---

**FIG 1** Flm pathway regulates biofilm formation. (A) Diagram of the *flm* genetic cluster. (B) Biofilm formation by *flm* gene deletion and overexpression mutants measured by a crystal violet assay. Data are the mean values plus standard deviations from triplicates. Values that are significantly different by Student’s *t* test are indicated by asterisks as follows: ***, *P < 0.01.**
any homologs in the *C. testosteroni* genome), and FlmB, a chemoreceptor associated with this pathway, lacks methylation sites (Fig. S3 and Table S2) that are conserved in its *P. aeruginosa* ortholog PilJ (34).

While the Chp pathway in *P. aeruginosa* regulates twitching motility, we did not detect twitching motility in *C. testosteroni* strain CNB-1 under any condition tested. The Flm pathway had no effect on chemotaxis (Fig. S1D). The wild-type strain CNB-1 cells form a pellicle biofilm at the boundary of medium and air when grown in broth, and we tested whether the Flm pathway is involved in biofilm formation. We found that deletion of *flmD* resulted in a significant increase in biofilm formation (Fig. 1B), which suggested that FlmD functioned as a negative response regulator. We also observed that overexpression of the kinase FlmA and the response regulator FlmD resulted in a significant reduction of biofilm formation (Fig. 1B). Neither deletion nor overexpression of *flmE* (coding for another response regulator) had a significant effect on biofilm formation (Fig. 1B).

**Multiple chemoreceptors modulate biofilm formation in *C. testosteroni***. The early draft of *C. testosteroni* genome listed 20 chemoreceptor genes (reflected in the name of the chemoreceptor-null mutant CNB-1Δ20). The latest, high-quality whole genome contains 19 chemoreceptors that have diverse domain architectures (Fig. S2). Using previously described hidden Markov models (35), we assigned FlmB (MCP3986) to the 40H class (contains 40 helical heptads in the cytoplasmic signaling domain) and all other chemoreceptors (except for MCP0846, which did not match confidently to any model) to the 36H class (contains 36 helical heptads in the cytoplasmic signaling domain) (Table S2 and Fig. S3). While FlmB (MCP3986) is an ortholog of PilJ and it is predicted to interact with the Flm pathway, the 36H class chemoreceptors are known and predicted to interact with the F7 chemotaxis class (31, 34), i.e., the Che pathway in *C. testosteroni*. We analyzed the biofilm formation abilities of *C. testosteroni* CNB-1 mutants deficient in chemoreceptor genes. Deletions of individual chemoreceptor genes did not result in significant changes in biofilm formation (Fig. S4): however, the chemoreceptor-null mutant CNB-1Δ20 was severely affected in biofilm formation (Fig. 2), while its growth rate was not affected (Fig. S5). We then complemented the CNB-1Δ20 mutant with each of the 19 chemoreceptor genes, and the biofilm formation was assessed by using crystal violet staining. Unexpectedly, not only FlmB (MCP3986) but also six other chemoreceptors, namely, MCP0838, MCP0955, MCP2201, MCP2983, MCP3064, and MCP4715, restored biofilm formation to at least 80% of the wild-type CNB-1 (Fig. 2A). MCP2201 and MCP2983 were previously identified as chemoreceptors for chemotaxis (32, 36). Using confocal laser scanning microscopy, we showed that not only the adhesion ability but also the pellicle formation was restored by MCP2201 and MCP2983 (Fig. 2B and C). We tested further whether the ligands that are recognized by these chemoreceptors and trigger chemotactic responses would also affect biofilm formation. The addition of cis-aconitate, which is the sole ligand for MCP2983 (36), resulted in a significant increase in biofilm formation. Similarly, 2-ketoglutarate, cis-aconitate, fumarate, and oxaloacetate that are known ligands for MCP2201 (32) significantly promoted biofilm formation (Fig. 2D). These effects were seen only in the presence of the corresponding chemoreceptors (Fig. 2D), and the presence of a ligand did not significantly alter cell growth (Fig. S6).

**Physical interactions between the Che and Flm proteins**. The observation that MCP2201 and MCP2983 that are known to mediate chemotaxis also affected biofilm formation suggested there could be potential cross talk between Che and Flm pathways. We used bacterial two-hybrid systems (BACTH) to identify possible protein-protein interactions between the components of the two pathways (Fig. 3). As expected, interactions between 36H class chemoreceptors (MCP2201, MCP2901, MCP2983, and MCP4715) and the histidine kinase CheA and the adaptor protein CheW were observed. Unexpectedly, we also observed interactions of these chemoreceptors with the kinase FlmA and the adaptor FlmC (Fig. 3), which suggests that physical interactions of chemoreceptors from the Che pathway with a kinase and an adaptor
FIG 2  Chemoreceptors are involved in biofilm formation. (A) Biofilm formation by the CNB-1Δ20 mutant complemented with individual chemoreceptor genes measured by a crystal violet assay. (B) Average biofilm thickness by strain CNB-1, CNB-1Δ20, and chemoreceptor-complemented strains, calculated from
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from the Flm pathway might be one of the potential mechanisms for observed cross talk.

**Phosphotransfer between CheA and response regulators of the Che and Flm pathways.** The phosphotransfer from the histidine kinase CheA to the response regulator CheY is the first step in signal transduction during chemotaxis. In order to measure phosphotransfer between kinases and response regulators of the two pathways, we purified recombinant CheA, CheY1, and CheY2, as well as FlmD and FlmE. Efforts to purify the histidine kinase FlmA were unsuccessful. As expected, we recorded a strong and clear phosphotransfer from CheA to one of the chemotaxis response regulators, CheY2 (Fig. 4A). We also observed a phosphotransfer from CheA to CheY1, but with much faster CheY autodephosphorylation rate (Fig. 4C and D), which implied that CheY1 might play a role as a phosphate sink, as previously suggested for other chemotaxis pathways with two CheY response regulators (37, 38). Unexpectedly, we observed that the kinase CheA phosphorylated FlmD (but not another response regulator, FlmE) (Fig. 4E and F). Compared to CheY2, the phosphotransfer from CheA to FlmD occurred at a significantly lower rate. FlmD received an equivalent level of phosphorylation from CheA at 600 s, while CheY2 achieved it at 15 s (Fig. 4C and G). CheY2 was quickly phosphorylated by CheA, and the phosphorylated CheY2 decayed after 15 s (Fig. 4A). FlmD was continuously phosphorylated even after 600 s of incubation.

The conserved aspartate residues serving as phosphorylation sites (39) were unchanged in all three response regulators: D52 in CheY1, D56 in CheY2, and D55 in FlmD. When the phosphorylation site was mutated from aspartate to alanine, the phosphotransfer from CheA to each mutant response regulator was no longer observed (Fig. 4).

**FlmD modulates biofilm formation in the presence and absence of FlmA.** On the basis of the observation that kinase CheA can phosphorylate the response regulator FlmD (potential signal cross talk between Che and Flm pathways), we carried out experiments using mutants to demonstrate in vivo that such interplay might affect biofilm formation. To exclude the influence from the kinase FlmA (whose cognate...
The phosphoryl group transfers from CheA to CheY1, CheY2, and FlmD. (A to H) Representative phosphotransfer images (A, B, E, and F) and time courses of the phosphotransfer from CheA-P to CheY1, CheY2, FlmD, and FlmE (C, D, G, and H). The data are presented as the mean values of three independent experiments. Error bars represent the standard deviations (SD).
target is FlmD/FlmE) on the potential phosphotransfer from CheA to the response regulator FlmD, we used the flmA knockout mutant. As shown in Fig. 5A, deletion of flmD resulted in upregulation of biofilm formation, whereas overexpression of FlmD resulted in significant reduction of biofilm formation, and deletion of cheA also caused a significant decrease in biofilm formation. These results demonstrated that the response regulator FlmD and the kinase CheA modulate biofilm formation in the absence of the FlmA kinase. This was further confirmed by the fact that the D55A FlmD mutant deficient in the phosphor-acceptor site showed enhanced biofilm formation compared to the FlmA mutant and the wild type (Fig. 5A and B). On the basis of these results, we conclude that a phosphorylated FlmD negatively regulates biofilm formation. This further supported by experiments showing that in the presence of the kinase FlmA, the phosphorylated response regulator FlmD (overexpressed as wild-type FlmD) also negatively regulates biofilm formation (Fig. 5B).

DISCUSSION

Chemotaxis and biofilm formation are processes that are important for different lifestyles in bacteria. Chemotaxis is a rapid response to fluctuating conditions in the microenvironment, such as gradients of nutrients. Biofilm formation is a response to persistent changes, such as transition from a liquid environment to a surface. Actively moving chemotactic cells live in a planktonic state, whereas cells in biofilms live in a sessile state. Switching from one lifestyle to another requires coordinated regulation, and cross talk between regulatory systems might be one type of such coordination. We found that the same signals—organic acids—serve as chemoattractants and stimulate biofilm formation, which might seem counterintuitive, because typically, these are inversely regulated processes. One possible explanation is that chemotaxis allows C. testosteroni cells to detect low concentrations of organic acids and by moving along their gradients to find higher concentrations that sustain metabolism and proliferation and trigger biofilm formation. In such a scenario, biofilm helps bacteria to establish themselves and to remain in a favorable microenvironment.

Cross talk between chemotaxis and other signaling pathways, such as pili-mediated surface motility or virulence induction signaling system, has been proposed (40, 41) but not demonstrated. In this study, we showed that two chemosensory pathways in C. testosteroni modulate chemotaxis and biofilm formation. Comparative genomic analysis
revealed that the che pathway in C. testosteroni belongs to the most abundant type of the chemotaxis signal transduction class, F7, which controls flagellar motility in a closely related model organism E. coli. Seventeen chemoreceptors from the C. testosteroni genome were predicted computationally to feed into the Che pathway, and three of them were previously shown to govern chemotaxis (32, 36, 42). By showing that the chemotaxis response was lost in cheA (32), cheW, and cheY (this study) mutants, we firmly established the role for this signal transduction pathway.

Computational analysis showed that the second chemosensory pathway in C. testosteroni, which we termed Flm, belongs to the evolutionary class Tfp (31), and it is orthologous to the Chp/Pil pathway, which modulates twitching motility and virulence in P. aeruginosa (11, 33, 43). In comparison with Chp/Pil, the Flm pathway lacks three components: the additional adaptor protein ChpC, the methyltransferase CheR, and the methyltransferase CheB. Furthermore, FlmB, the only chemoreceptor predicted to feed into the Flm pathway, lacks methylation sites, which is consistent with the loss of methylation/demethylation enzymes. Therefore, Flm function was expected to be somewhat different from that of the Chp/Pil pathway. We have found that the Flm pathway modulates biofilm formation in C. testosteroni and that the response regulator FlmA, which is the preferred target of FlmA kinase phosphorylation, serves as a negative response regulator. FlmA and FlmC, another response regulator of the Chp/Pil pathway, which is also the preferred target of ChpA phosphorylation (44), but its function is not well understood. PilG, another response regulator of the Chp/Pil pathway, is required for Tfp function as a motility organelle and mechanosensor in P. aeruginosa (33, 45). We did not detect twitching motility in C. testosteroni under any condition tested, but we showed that FlmE, the PilG ortholog, has no role in biofilm formation. We searched for CyaA or CyaB homologs in the C. testosteroni genome that would potentially suggest that the Flm pathway might regulate cAMP levels, as does the orthologous pathway in P. aeruginosa (33); however, these searches failed to identify any proteins orthologous to CyaA and CyaB.

A chemosensory pathway modulating biofilm formation (namely, Wsp) was previously identified in P. aeruginosa (15, 25) and P. putida (16). The response regulator WspR contains a c-di-GMP cyclase domain (GGDEF) as the pathway output. As a result of chemosensory signal transduction, increased levels of c-di-GMP enhance biofilm formation (15, 25). The Wsp pathway belongs to a different evolutionary class—ACF (named ACF for alternative cellular functions) (31), and the Flm response regulators do not contain GGDEF domains. Furthermore, they are both comprised of a single response regulator receiver domain, similar to the chemotaxis response regulator CheY. Such single domain response regulators are ubiquitous, and they might have multiple, spatially separated targets (46). Biofilm formation is a very complex process (47), and the target for the response regulator FlmA remains to be identified.

We documented cross talk between the two chemosensory systems in C. testosteroni at two potential sites (Fig. 6): (i) chemoreceptor interaction with a nonpartner pathway and (ii) phosphotransfer from a kinase to a nonpartner response regulator. Results obtained in bacterial two-hybrid screens raise the possibility that chemoreceptors from the Che pathway may interact with the adaptor protein FlmA and the histidine kinase FlmA. These interactions might be insignificant in vivo, because chemoreceptors from Che and Flm pathways belong to different length classes. Chemoreceptors of different length classes in orthologous systems in P. aeruginosa were found to possess pathway specificity determinants (34) that likely target them to “preferred” partners in spatially separated signaling arrays.

Phosphotransfer from the histidine kinase CheA to the response regulator FlmA is a more likely site for cross talk. Our results supporting FlmA phosphorylation by CheA (both in vitro and in vivo) were obtained in the absence of the histidine kinase FlmA. Similar cases of phosphotransfer from a histidine kinase to a noncognate response regulator in the absence of its own histidine kinase have been reported previously (48). However, a series of studies argue that such cross talk is physiologically irrelevant in wild-type cells in vivo (49–51). One of the key arguments is that in systems where cross
talk was observed, the output of the system is blind to input stimulus (49, 52). In our case, the FlmD modulated output, i.e., biofilm formation, appears to be responsive to input stimulus: ligands specific to a chemoreceptor, which signals through CheA, modulated biofilm formation, and it was observed only in the presence of a corresponding chemoreceptor. Observations consistent with the proposition that components of chemosensory pathways controlling chemotaxis also modulate biofilm formation have been previously reported. The BdlA chemoreceptor in \textit{P. aeruginosa}, which is predicted to feed into the chemotaxis pathway (34), is essential for biofilm dispersal (53, 54). Inactivation of the chemotaxis methyltransferase CheR (55) and the response regulator CheY (56) in \textit{P. aeruginosa} also led to defects in biofilm formation, although the basis for this behavior is unknown. In a closely related bacterium \textit{Shewanella oneidensis}, a chemosensory pathway was also implicated in biofilm formation (57), likely via the interaction of its response regulator CheY3 with the c-di-GMP-binding protein (58). The results described here provide potential mechanisms for these and other observations linking chemotaxis and biofilm formation and suggesting their coregulation.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Bacterial strains and plasmids.** The bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Table 1. \textit{C. testosteroni} and its mutants were cultivated and maintained at 30°C in LB broth or on LB plates with 1.5% (wt/vol) agar; antibiotic (200 μg/ml kanamycin) was added when necessary. For \textit{E. coli}, strains were grown at 37°C in LB, and kanamycin was used at 50 μg/ml when needed. Genetic disruption and complementation in \textit{C. testosteroni} CNB-1 were conducted using pK18mobSacB and pBBR1MCS-2, respectively. The plasmids for overexpression were pBBR1MCS-2 derivative (pBBR1MCS2pfer) whose promoter was replaced with a strong promoter from \textit{C. testosteroni} (Table 1).

**Chemotaxis, twitching motility, and biofilm formation assays.** Chemotaxis assays were performed using semisolid agar plates with tryptone broth (TB) supplemented with 0.26% agar. Bacterial cells...
TABLE 1 Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study

| Strain or plasmid | Relevant genotype and/or description | Reference or source |
|------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|
| **Comamonas testosteroni** strains | | |
| CNB-1            | All putative chemoreceptor genes were disrupted in CNB-1 | 26 |
| CNB-ΔA20         | CheY(ΔTN-CNB1_0474) disrupted in CNB-1 | 31 |
| CNB-ΔCheY2       | CheY2(CtCNB1_0455) disrupted in CNB-1 | This work |
| CNB-ΔCheA        | CheA(CtCNB1_0475) disrupted in CNB-1 | 31 |
| CNB-ΔCheW        | CheW(CtCNB1_0476) disrupted in CNB-1 | This work |
| CNB-ΔFlmA        | FlmA(CtCNB1_3985) disrupted in CNB-1 | This work |
| CNB-ΔFlmD        | FlmD(CtCNB1_3988) disrupted in CNB-1 | This work |
| CNB-ΔFlmE        | FlmE(CtCNB1_3989) disrupted in CNB-1 | This work |
| CNB-ΔFlmAΔFlmD   | FlmA FlmD double disruptions in CNB-1 | This work |
| CNB-ΔFlmAΔCheA   | FlmA CheA double disruptions in CNB-1 | This work |

**Escherichia coli strains**

| Strain or plasmid | Relevant genotype and/or description | Reference or source |
|------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|
| DH5α             | F− u80d lacZΔM15 Δ(lacZYA-argF)U169 recA1 endA1 hsdR17(rk− mK−) supE44 λ− thi−1 gyrA96 relA1 phoA1; host for DNA manipulations | TransGen |
| BL21(DE3)        | F− ompT hsdS(rk− mK−) gal dcm (DE3) | Novagen |
| RP9535           | CheA disrupted in E. coli RP437 | Parkinson’s lab |
| RP5232           | CheY disrupted in E. coli RP437 | Parkinson’s lab |

**Plasmids**

| Strain or plasmid | Relevant genotype and/or description | Reference or source |
|------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|
| pBBR1MCS-2       | Km'; lacPOZ' broad-host vector with R-type conjugative origin | 66 |
| pBBR1MCS2-mcp0033| Carries mcp0033 to generate complementation | This work |
| pBBR1MCS2-mcp0034| Carries mcp0034 to generate complementation | This work |
| pBBR1MCS2-mcp0838| Carries mcp0838 to generate complementation | This work |
| pBBR1MCS2-mcp0846| Carries mcp0846 to generate complementation | This work |
| pBBR1MCS2-mcp0955| Carries mcp0955 to generate complementation | This work |
| pBBR1MCS2-mcp1646| Carries mcp1646 to generate complementation | This work |
| pBBR1MCS2-mcp1647| Carries mcp1647 to generate complementation | This work |
| pBBR1MCS2-mcp2001| Carries mcp2001 to generate complementation | This work |
| pBBR1MCS2-mcp2005| Carries mcp2005 to generate complementation | This work |
| pBBR1MCS2-mcp2201| Carries mcp2201 to generate complementation | This work |
| pBBR1MCS2-mcp2342| Carries mcp2342 to generate complementation | This work |
| pBBR1MCS2-mcp2901| Carries mcp2901 to generate complementation | This work |
| pBBR1MCS2-mcp2923| Carries mcp2923 to generate complementation | This work |
| pBBR1MCS2-mcp2983| Carries mcp2983 to generate complementation | This work |
| pBBR1MCS2-mcp3064| Carries mcp3064 to generate complementation | This work |
| pBBR1MCS2-mcp3329| Carries mcp3329 to generate complementation | This work |
| pBBR1MCS2-mcp3986| Carries mcp3986 to generate complementation | This work |
| pBBR1MCS2-mcp4715| Carries mcp4715 to generate complementation | This work |
| pBBR1MCS2-flmD   | Carries flmD to generate complementation | This work |
| pBBR1MCS2-flmDD55A| A mutation from an aspartate to an alanine in 55th residue | This work |
| pBBR1MCS2-flmE   | Carries flmE to generate complementation | This work |
| pBBR1MCS2-flmED58A| A mutation from an aspartate to an alanine in 58th residue | This work |
| pBBR1MCS2pflm    | Adds a strong constitutive promoter in pBBR1MCS-2 | Our lab |
| pBBR1MCS2pflmA   | Carries flmA to overexpression | This work |
| pBBR1MCS2pflmD   | Carries flmD to overexpression | This work |
| pET28a-cheA      | pET28a derivative for expression of CheA | 41 |
| pET28a-cheY1     | pET28a derivative for expression of CheY1 | This work |
| pET28a-cheY1(Δ52A)| pET28a derivative for expression of CheY1 with Δ52A mutation | This work |
| pET28a-cheY2     | pET28a derivative for expression of CheY2 | This work |
| pET28a-cheY2(Δ56A)| pET28a derivative for expression of CheY2 with Δ56A mutation | This work |
| pET28a-flmD      | pET28a derivative for expression of FlmD | This work |
| pET28a-flmE      | pET28a derivative for expression of FlmE | This work |
| pET28a-flmE(Δ58A)| pET28a derivative for expression of FlmE with Δ58A mutation | This work |
| pBT              | Bacterial two-hybrid bait plasmid with λ repressor protein (λcl) | Stratagene |
| pBT-cheA         | pBT derivative with λcl linked to the N-terminal region of CheA | This work |
| pBT-flmA         | pBT derivative with λcl linked to the N-terminal region of FlmA | This work |
| pBT-mcp2201      | pBT derivative with λcl linked to the C-terminal region of MCP2201 | This work |
| pBT-mcp2901      | pBT derivative with λcl linked to the C-terminal region of MCP2901 | This work |
| pBT-mcp2983      | pBT derivative with λcl linked to the C-terminal region of MCP2983 | This work |
| pBT-mcp3986flmA  | pBT derivative with λcl linked to the C-terminal region of MCP3986 | This work |
| pBT-mcp4715      | pBT derivative with λcl linked to the C-terminal region of MCP4715 | This work |
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in logarithmic phase (OD\textsubscript{600} of 0.4 to 0.7) from TB cultures were inoculated into the solidified agar and incubated at 30°C. Pictures were taken after 20 h of incubation. The twitching motility assay was performed as previously described (11). Briefly, colonies grown overnight on LB agar plates were picked using sterile toothpicks and stabbed into the bottom of petri dishes filled with medium and supplemented with 1% agar. Following incubation at 30°C in a humidified incubator for 24 h or 48 h, the agar and petri dish interface was inspected for a zone of motility. The biofilm formation assay was conducted by the method of O’Toole and Kolter (18) with slight modifications. Overnight cultures were diluted to an OD\textsubscript{600} of 1.5, and 100 µl of the diluted sample was added to a 96-well PVC plate (Corning, MA, USA) as previously described (59). The plates were incubated at 30°C in a humidified incubator for 48 h. Planktonic cells were poured out carefully, and plates were washed with phosphate-buffered saline three times. One hundred twenty-five microliters of crystal violet (0.1%) was added to the wells and incubated for 30 min. After three washes, 150 µl of 30% acetic acid was added to dissolve the crystal violet, and the OD\textsubscript{590} was measured on a multiwell plate reader. To determine whether the addition of ligands affects the growth of biofilm, bacteria were grown the same way as in the biofilm assay. Overnight cultures were diluted to an OD\textsubscript{600} of 1.5, and the samples were divided into two equal parts. Ligands (final concentration, 2 mM) were added to one part, and the second part was left as a control. To determine growth, OD\textsubscript{600} was measured in both samples. To compare growth of wild-type and mutant cells, 1% (vol/vol) bacteria from LB cultures were inoculated into minimal medium containing 2 mmol aromatic compounds as the sole carbon sources. A 200-ml mixture of bacteria and minimal medium were inoculated into each well of sterilized 100-well honeycomb plates, and the cell density at OD\textsubscript{600} was monitored by using Bioscreen C automated growth curve analysis system.

**Confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM) and image acquisition.** In LB cultures, C. testosteroni CNB-1 and other strains grew to an OD\textsubscript{600} of 2.0, and then bacteria were statically incubated at 30°C for 48 h. The air-liquid interface biofilms (pellicles) which grew in glass test tubes were moved onto glass slides. Biofilms were stained using SYTO9 and washed with phosphate buffer three times. Double-sided tape was used around the biofilm to maintain the gap between cover glass and biofilm. All fluorescent images were acquired by a Leica SP8 (Leica Microsystems, Germany). CLSM-captured images were subjected to quantitative image analysis using COMSTAT software (60).

**Genetic cloning, overexpression, and protein purification.** Overexpression and purification of proteins were performed as previously described (42). Briefly, genes were cloned into pET28a to generate an N-terminal His-tagged fusion protein. Expression of the CheA gene was induced by the addition of 0.1 mM IPTG for 5 h at 30°C, while the expression of the response regulator genes (CheY\textsubscript{E}, CheY\textsubscript{C}, FlmD, and FlmE) and their mutants was induced at 16°C for 12 h. All proteins were then purified using AKTA FPLC equipped with a HisTrap HP column. Buffer desalting and protein concentration were performed by an Amicon Ultra-15 concentrator (Merck, MA, USA).

**Bacterial two-hybrid assay.** The BacterioMatch II Two-Hybrid system (Stratagene, CA, USA) was used to test the interaction between targeted proteins. Plasmid construction and screening were performed as previously described (61) and according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, overnight cultures were collected and washed by ddH\textsubscript{2}O three times. Bacteria (3 µl) were inoculated onto a selective screening medium plate containing 5 mM 3-aminol-1,2,4-triazole (3-AT), 12.5 µg/ml streptomycin, 15 µg/ml tetracycline, and 25 µg/ml chloramphenicol to select positive growth cotransformants.

**Phosphotransfer assay.** All reactions were performed in TGMNKD (50 mM Tris-HCl, 10% [vol/vol] glycerol, 5 mM MgCl\textsubscript{2}, 150 mM NaCl, 50 mM KCl, 1 mM dithiothreitol [pH 8.0]) buffer at 25°C (62). To initiate the phosphorylation reaction, 10 µCi [γ\textsuperscript{32}P]ATP (PerkinElmer, MA, USA) was added to 100 µl of TGMNKD buffer that was previously mixed with 5 µM CheA. After 15 min, 10 µl of sample was taken (T = 0) prior to the addition of any response regulators and quenched with 5 µl of SDS sample buffer. Then, the response regulators were added to mixtures to a final concentration of 10 µM. After specified time intervals, 10-µl samples were collected, and the reactions were stopped by the addition of 5 µl of sample buffer. The proteins were separated by 15% SDS-PAGE and exposed to a phosphorimaging screen. Quantitative analysis of the phosphotransfer efficiency was performed using Quantity One (Bio-Rad, CA, USA).

**Data sources, software, and analysis.** Sequences of chemotaxis proteins and associated information from C. testosteroni CNB-2 genome (identical to CNB-1 except for the loss of pCNB plasmid) were obtained from the MIST2.2 database (30). Multiple-sequence alignments were built using the L-INS-I algorithm from the MAFFT v4.182 package (63). Complete domain architectures for chemoreceptor sequences were obtained using the CDvist server (64). Chemoreceptors were assigned to heptad classes, and CheA, CheY\textsubscript{C}, and FlmE were assigned to evolutionary classes using previously described hidden Markov models (31, 35) and HMMER v.2.0 package (65). Methylation sites were identified from multiple-sequence alignment of the chemoreceptor signaling domain, using the consensus sequence [ASTG]-[ASTG]-x(2)-[EQ]-[EQ]-x(2)-[ASTG]-[ASTG] (35).

---

| Table 1 (Continued) |
|---------------------|
| **Strain or plasmid** | Relevant genotype and/or description | Reference or source |
|----------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|
| pTRG                 | Bacterial two-hybrid bait plasmid with α-subunit of RNA polymerase (RNAP) | Stratagene         |
| pTRG-cheA            | pBT derivative with RNAP linked to the N-terminal region of CheA | This work          |
| pTRG-flmA            | pBT derivative with RNAP linked to the N-terminal region of FlmA | This work          |
| pTRG-cheW            | pBT derivative with RNAP linked to the N-terminal region of CheW | This work          |
| pTRG-flmC            | pBT derivative with RNAP linked to the N-terminal region of FlmC | This work          |
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