Studies of Antioxidants and Xanthine Oxidase Inhibitory Potentials of Root and Aerial Parts of Medicinal Plant *Capparis Spinosa* L.
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Abstract *Capparis spinosa* aerial part and root extracts were prepared using solvents of varying polarity. Results showed that ethyl acetate extract (EAE) of the aerial part contains the highest concentration of phenolic compounds and flavonoids followed by the chloroform extract (CHE) of roots. The enzymatic methods were realised by the production of uric acid and reduction of cytochrome c. Result showed that all plant extracts were effective either in inhibiting the activity of XO or Cyt C. The IC50 ranges from 0.0226 ± 0.00019 to 4.32 ± 0.15g/l. The non enzymatic methods were conducted using in vitro techniques: In DPPH test, the radical scavenging activity for the root and aerial part extracts decreased in the following order CHE> EAE> CE and EAE> CE> CHE, respectively. In general the aerial part extracts had an antioxidant activity through β-carotene-linoleate model system and ferric reducing ability greater than that of root part. In conclusion, *Capparis spinosa* appears to be a valuable plant and could be used to treat conditions where inhibition of XOR and free-radicals scavenging action are warranted.
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1. Introduction

Oxidative stress is a general term used to identify the level of oxidative damage in a cell, tissue, or organ, caused by the oxygen and its reactive oxygen species (ROS) such as hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) or free radicals such as superoxide ions (O₂⁻) and hydroxyl radicals (OH•)[1]. ROS production can induce DNA damage, protein carbonylation, and lipid peroxidation, leading to a variety of chronic health problems, such as cancer, aging, Parkinson’s disease, Alzheimer’s disease and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis[2][3]. Antioxidants are vital substances which possess the ability to protect the body from damage caused by free radical induced oxidative stress. The antioxidants could attenuate the oxidative damage of a tissue indirectly by enhancing natural defences of cell and/or directly by scavenging the free radical species[4]. There are two categories of antioxidants: namely synthetic and natural ones. It has been discovered that the synthetic antioxidant have some side effects[5]. Therefore, there is an increasing interest in natural antioxidants present in medicinal and dietary plants, which might help prevent oxidative damage[6]. *Capparis spinosa* L. (Capparaceae) is a common perennial shrub in the Mediterranean regions, growing both wild and cultivated, with medicinal and aromatic properties. In the north of Algeria, *Capparis spinosa* L. is used for treatment of asthma and rheumatism. Immature flower buds, unripe fruits and shoots are consumed as foods or condiments; flower buds, fruits, seeds, shoots and bark of roots were traditionally used for pharmacological purposes, especially for rheumatism[7]. The seeds can be used to relieve toothache[8], the fruits and the root have been used in gout and also as astringents[9]. Further *C. spinosa* considered as a very important source of medicine for antifungal[10], anti-inflammatory[11], antidiabetic, antihyperlipidemic[12], anti hypertensive, poultice[13], antileishmanial[14], anthepatotoxic[15] and Antiallergic activities[16]. In the present study, the antioxidant activity of extracts from the root and aerial parts of *C. spinosa* was assayed through various in vitro Methods.
2.1. Extraction of Phenolic Compounds

The extraction procedure for phenolic compounds was conducted as described by Markham (1982)[17]. The dried plant material (100 g) was ground to a coarse powder and soaked in 1000 ml of methanol-water solution (85:15 v/v). The solution was agitated and kept for 24h in the dark at room temperature. The suspension was filtered through a Buchner funnel and concentrated under reduced pressure on a rotary evaporator to give crude extract (CE). The aqueous solution was extracted with hexane several times to eliminate lipids. The water fraction successively extracted with chloroform and ethyl acetate. Each fraction was evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure to give chloroform (CHE) and ethyl acetate (EAE) extracts. The extracts were stored at -20 °C until use.

2.2. Determination of Total Phenol Contents

The total phenol content was determined by the Folin–Ciocalteu method as described by Cliffe et al (1994)[18] with slight modification. In brief, 0.1ml of Caparis spinosa extracts were well mixed with 2.5 ml of distilled water and 0.5 ml of the Folin–Ciocalteu stock reagent, after 5 min 1.0 ml of Na2CO3 reagent (20%) was added to the mixture. They were then incubated at room temperature for 1h. The mixture absorbance was spectrophotometrically measured at wavelength 760 nm. The amount of total polyphenols in different extracts was determined from a standard curve of Gallic acid then the results were expressed in milligrams of gallic acid equivalents per gram of C. spinosa extracts.

2.3. Determination of Flavonoid Contents

Flavonoids were quantified using aluminium chloride reagent (AlCl3)[19]. Flavonoids were measured as quercetin equivalents. One ml of CSAE and CSRE samples were dissolved in methanol, 1ml of AlCl3 (2 %) in methanol was added, after incubation for 10 min, the absorbance was measured at 430 nm.

2.4. Purification of Milk Bovine Xanthine Oxidoreductase

XOR was purified from bovine milk, in the presence of 10 mM of dithiothreitol, by ammonium sulphate fractionation, followed by affinity chromatography on heparin-agarose, as described for human, bovine, sheep and camel XOR[20],[21]. The XOR concentration was determined from the UV-visible spectrum by using an absorption coefficient of 36000 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ at 450 nm. The purity of enzyme was assessed on protein/flavone ratio (PFR= A₂₈₀/A₄₅₀)[22] and sodium dodecyl sulphate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) (10 %)[23]. The activity of XOR was spectrophotometrically determined by measuring the production of uric acid from xanthine (100 µM, final concentration) at 295 nm using an absorption coefficient of 9600 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹[24]. Assays were performed at room temperature in air-saturated 50 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.4, supplemented with 0.1 Mm EDTA.

2.5. Effects of Aerial and Root Parts Extracts of C. spinosa on XO Activity

The effects of different extracts of C. spinosa on XO activity were determined spectrophotometrically by measuring the uric acid production at 295 nm[25]. The enzyme assay was performed in the presence of 100 µM of xanthine dissolved in phosphate buffer (50 mM, pH 7.4), containing 0.1 mM EDTA, supplemented with various amount of plant extracts. The reaction was initiated by the addition of XO (1176 ηmole/min/mg of enzyme). Allopurinol was used as a positive standard.

2.6. Effects of Aerial and Root Parts Extracts of C. Spinosa on Superoxide Anions Generation by XO

The XO superoxide anions generation can be measured by following the cytochrome c reduction at 550 nm (εCytc = 21100 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹)[26]. The reaction mixture containing: 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.4), 0.1 mM EDTA, 100 µM xanthine, 25 µM cytochrome c and various concentrations of plant extracts. Reaction was started by the addition of XO. The results were expressed as percentage inhibition of cytochrome c reduction.

2.7. Antioxidant Assay Using β-Carotene-Linoleate Model System

In this assay, antioxidant capacity is determined by measuring the inhibition of the volatile organic compounds and the conjugated diene hydroperoxides arising from linoleic acid oxidation[27]. Emulsion (2.5 ml) containing β-carotene, linoleic acid and Tween-40 (polyoxyethylene sorbitan monoalmonolate), was added to 0.35 ml of C. spinosa extracts, then incubated for 48 h at room temperature. The same procedure was repeated with synthetic antioxidant BHT as positive control, and blanks (MeOH and H2O). The absorbances of the mixtures were measured at 490 nm after: 0, 1, 2, 4, 6, 24 and 48 hour. The antioxidant activity (AA) of the extracts was evaluated as a percentage of bleaching of β-carotene: AA= [(A₀-Aₜ)-(A₀-Aᵢ)] / (A₀-Aᵢ)]*100

A₀: measured absorbance value of control at zero time; Aₜ: measured absorbance value of control after 24 hours; Aᵢ: measured absorbance value of sample at zero time; Aᵢ: measured absorbance value of sample after 24 hours. Anti-oxidative capacities of the extracts were compared with those of BHT and blank.

2.8. Free Radical Scavenging Activity Using 1,1-Diphenyl-2-Picyrlyl Hydrazyl (DPPH) Radical

The free radical scavenging activities of C. spinosa extracts were measured by decrease in the absorbance of methanol solution of DPPH[28]. An equal volume of each extracts with different concentrations were added to methanolic solution of DPPH and shaken vigorously at room temperature. After 30 min, the absorbance was recorded at 517 nm. Radical scavenging activity was expressed as the inhibition percentage and was calculated using the following formula I % = (A_blank - A_sample / A_blank ) x 100
2.9. Determination of Ferric Reducing Ability of Plasma (FRAP) as Measure of Antioxidant Power “the FRAP Assay”

Antioxidant activity of different extracts of *C. spinosa* was measuring colorimetrically using the ferric reducing ability of plasma (FRAP) assay[29] following the modification by Pulido *et al.* (2000)[30]. FRAP assay was determined based on the reduction of Fe^{3+}-TPTZ to a blue coloured Fe^{2+}-TPTZ.

Briefly, the FRAP reagent was prepared from sodium acetate buffer (300 mM, pH 3.6), 10 mM TPTZ solution in 40 mM HCl and 2.5 ml FeCl$_3$ solution (20 mM). The FRAP reagent was prepared fresh daily and was warmed to 37°C before using. FRAP reagent (900µl) was pipetted into test tubes. A total of 30µl of sample and 300µl of bidistilled water was then added to the same test tubes, and incubated at 37°C for 30 min in the dark condition. Gallic acid, ascorbic acid, rutin and quercetine were used as the standard. Reading of the colored product was then taken at 595 nm. The standard curve was using iron (II) sulphate solution (0 - 2000 µM), the reducing power were expressed as equivalent concentration (EC1). This parameter was defined as the concentration of antioxidant having a ferric reducing ability equivalent to that 1 mM FeSO$_4$. All of the measurements were taken in duplicate and the mean values were calculated.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Determination of Total Phenolics and Flavonoid Contents

Phenolic compounds are commonly found in both edible and non-edible plants, and they have been reported to have multiple biological effects, including antioxidant activity[31]. Table 1 presents the yields and the amount of phenolic and flavonoid compounds in different extracts of aerial parts and root of *C. spinosa*. Total phenolic contents were expressed as mg gallic acid equivalents per gram dry weight (mg GA-Eq/g) and total flavonoids contents as mg quercetin equivalents per gram dry weight (mg Q-Eq/g).

In roots material, the chloroform extract (CHE) presents the highest amount of phenolic compounds (58.66 ± 2.14) followed by ethyl acetate extract (EAE) (45.96 ± 5.86) and crude extract (CE) (4.49 ± 1.53). In aerial part extracts, the ethyl acetate extract (EAE) contained the highest concentration of phenolic compounds (87.48 ± 2.04) followed by the chloroform extract (CHE) (25.01 ± 1.64) and the crude extract (CE) (14.86 ± 0.62), respectively. Flavonoids contents were in the following order: EAE > CHE > CE for both root and aerial part extracts. Except the chloroform root extract which contains flavonoid concentration higher than that of the same extract in the aerial part. In general the total phenolics and flavonoids compounds were found in higher concentration in aerial part than in root extract (Table 1).

3.2. Xanthine Oxidase Purification

XOR was purified from bovine milk following the method described by[32] modified by Baghiani *et al.*[21]. This method allowed obtaining the crude extract of XOR. The purity of enzyme was assessed by using protein/flavin ratio (PFR = $A_{280}/A_{450}$)[22] and sodium dodecyl sulphate poly-acrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) (10%)[23]. Bovine milk was found to yield around 23.21 mg XOR protein per litre milk, comparable to the amounts reported by Baghiani *et al.*[20],[21], the obtained enzyme was largely (more than 90 %) under the oxidase form. The purified bovine XO showed an ultraviolet/visible spectrum with three peaks at 280, 330, 450 nm with $A_{280}/A_{450}$ (protein to flavin, PFR) ratio of 5.15 indicating a high degree of purity[22]. This results are comparables with those found by Abadeh *et al.*, (1992)[33] (5.13) and Benboubetra *et al.* (2004)[34] (5.34). Run on SDS/PAGE, purified enzyme showed one major band of approximately M, 150 KDa. This is analogous to the well-studies Camel XOR enzyme[20].

3.3. Effects of Aerial and Root Parts Extracts of *C. spinosa* on XO Activity

XOR catalyses the hydroxylation of hypoxanthine to xanthine and xanthine to uric acid yielded superoxide anion and hydrogen peroxide. The reactive oxygen species producing by XO, can modify the structure and function of macromolecules including proteins, lipids, carbohydrates, and nucleic acids[35]. The inhibitory effect of different plant extracts on XO activity were determined spectrophotometrically by measuring uric acid production at 295 nm. All the plant extracts inhibited the activity of xanthine oxidase in a concentration dependent manner (Fig. 1), with an IC$_{50}$ (mg/ml) value of (0.32 ± 0.015, 0.0226 ± 0.00019), (0.36 ± 0.0085, 0.09 ± 0.0883) and (4.32 ± 0.15, 1.35 ± 0.0154) for CHE, EAE and CE for root and aerial parts of *C. spinosa L.*, respectively. The effects of aerial part extracts were higher than those of root part extracts (Fig. 1).

| Extracts | %yield(w/w) | Total phenolic content (mg GA-Eq/g) | Total flavonoids (mg Q-Eq/g) |
|----------|-------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
|          | Root        | Aerial Part                        | Root                        | Aerial Part | Root | Aerial Part |
| CE       | 12.55±0.72  | 6.65±1.34                         | 4.49±1.53                   | 14.86±0.62  | 0.25±0.12 | 23.50±0.76  |
| CHE      | 0.30±0.041  | 0.38±0.091                        | 58.66±2.14                  | 25.01±1.64  | 2.16±0.71 | 31.37±4.27  |
| EAE      | 0.31±0.022  | 0.38±0.058                        | 45.96±5.86                  | 87.48±2.04  | 2.12±0.55 | 298.33±1.70 |

Values are expressed as mean ± SD (n=6)
Figure 1. Inhibitory actions of root and aerial parts extracts of *C. spinosa* on xanthine oxidase activity. Results are expressed as percentage of control where no inhibitor was added. Each value is represented as mean ± S.D (n = 3). Curve a, a’ represent crud extracts; b, b’: chloroform extracts; c,c’: ethyl acetate extracts. (**p ≤0.01; *p ≤0.05)

Flavonoids can contribute to a decrease in oxidative stress via inhibition or activation of key regulating enzymes[36] such as xanthine oxidase, phospholipase and nitric oxide synthase. The inhibition of the enzymes by some flavonoids could be due to a reaction of the flavonoid with free radicals generated at the active site of the enzymes. The antioxidant activity of flavonoids is due for both the presence of aromatic OH groups and their number per molecule who are considered to play a pivotal role[37]. From the results of Montoro and her collaborators (2005)[38], it appears that, for the inhibition of XO activity by flavonoids, the hydroxyl groups at C-5 and C-7 and the 2,3- double bond are important: between flavonols, quercetins 3 and 6 and myricetins 11 and 12 were the most active, while myricetin derivatives 13-15, without the hydroxyl group at C-5, were less active.

3.4. Effects of Aerial and Root Parts Extracts of

*C. spinosa* on Superoxide Anions Generation by XO System

The superoxide anion generated by xanthine/xanthine oxidase system can reduce the cytochrome c<sup>3</sup> to cytochrome c<sup>2</sup>[39]. The scavenger ability of different extracts of *C. spinosa* on superoxide anions radicals produced by xanthine oxidase (XO) can be measured by following the cytochrome c reduction at 550 nm[26]. All the extracts were able to reduce the cytochrome c<sup>3</sup> in concentration-dependent manner (Fig. 2) (p < 0.001). The aerial part extracts have a potent scavenging activity of superoxide anion radical higher than those of the root part extracts (p < 0.001), with an IC<sub>50</sub> (mg/ml) value of (CE = 1.08 ± 0.014, 2.58 ± 0.021), (CHE = 0.58 ± 0.006, 1.17 ± 0.016) and (EAE = 0.62 ±0.0016, 1.30 ± 0.0076 respectively). The *C. spinosa* extracts has an inhibitory effect on XO activity, so their scavenger effects on superoxide anion is due to dual effect of the extracts on XO.
activity and superoxide anion scavenging. Structure–activity relationships of flavonoids in the inhibition of XO and in the scavenging of superoxide anion appeared similar[38]. Structural differences between flavonols, flavones, flavanones, and dihydroflavonols influenced the inhibitory effect on XO and superoxide anion. The insaturation in the C ring and the free hydroxyl group at C-7 enhanced the activity.

3.5. Free Radical Scavenging Activity Using 1, 1-Diphenyl-2-Picryl Hydrazyl (DPPH) Radical

DPPH radicals are widely used in the model system to investigate the scavenging activities of several natural compounds. When DPPH radical is scavenged, the colour of the reaction mixture changed from purple to yellow with decreasing of absorbance at wavelength 517 nm. The free radical scavenging activity of the aerial and root parts extracts of *C. spinosa* were tested through DPPH method and the results are presented in the (Fig. 3 a,b). In the present study all the extracts of *C. spinosa* were able to decolourise DPPH. The radical scavenging activity for the root and aerial plant extracts decreased in the following order CHE> EAE> CE and EAE> CE> CHE, respectively. It has been found that the highest radical scavenging activity was showed for the aerial part extracts. Therefore, the antioxidant effects of CE, CHE and EAE of aerial part were greater than those of the same extracts in root part with 34, 3 and 43 folds, respectively. This may be related to the high amount of flavonoid and phenolic compounds in these plant extracts.

![Figure 3](image.png)

**Figure 3.** IC₅₀ values of plant extracts for free radical scavenging activity by DPPH method. Lower IC₅₀ value indicates higher antioxidant activity. (A): root part extracts, (B): aerial part extracts. CE; crud extract, CHE; chloroform extract, EAE; ethyl acetate extract

![Figure 2](image.png)

**Figure 2.** Inhibition of superoxide anion radicals generating from xanthine/xanthine oxidase system by the root and aerial parts extracts, respectively. a,a'; crud extract, b, b'; chloroform extract, c,c' ethyl acetate extract. (**p ≤0.01; ***p ≤0.01; *p ≤0.05)**
Previous chemical studies on C. spinosa have shown the presence of alkaloids, lipids, polyphenols, flavonoids, indole and aliphatic glucosinolates[40]. The high scavenging activity of aerial part extracts may be due to hydroxyl groups existing in the phenolic compounds. Phenolic compounds are called high-level antioxidants because of their ability to scavenge free radicals and active oxygen species such as singlet oxygen, superoxide free radicals and hydroxyl radicals[41].

3.6. Antioxidant Assay Using β-Carotene-Linoleate Model System

In the β-carotene bleaching assay, linoleic acid produces hydroperoxides as free radicals during incubation. The presence of antioxidants in the extracts will minimise the oxidation of β-carotene by hydroperoxides. Hydroperoxides formed in this system will be neutralized by the antioxidants from the extracts. The antioxidant activity through β-carotene-linoleate model system of C. spinosa extracts and butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT) is presented in. Both root and aerial parts extracts gave a high inhibition and exhibited varying degrees of antioxidant capacity when compared (Fig 4). EAE, CHE and CE showed 82.84 %, 77.38 % and 23.51 % respectively, in root part extract. In the aerial part extract, the antioxidant activity decreases in the following order CHE > CE > EAE. Except for the ethyl acetate root extract which exhibited a power antioxidant effect (82.84%) all the aerial part extract had an antioxidant activity higher than those of root part extracts (Fig 4).

Since the chemical composition and structures of active extract components are important factors governing the efficacy of natural antioxidants, the antioxidant activity of an extract could not be explained on the basis of their phenolic content, which also needs their characterization[45]. A high antioxidant activity could also be due to other compounds besides phenolics which are soluble in different solvents.

3.7. Determination of Ferric Reducing Ability of Plasma (FRAP) as Measure of Antioxidant Power “the FRAP Assay”

Several methods have been developed to evaluate the total antioxidant activity of fruits or other plants and animal tissues. In the present studies, the ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) assay, that giving an indication of the reducing ability of the plant extract, was used to evaluate the antioxidant activity of different extracts of C. spinosa. Results showed that the reduction kinetics of TPTZ-Fe³⁺ to TPTZ-Fe²⁺ was stable at 4min for ascorbic acid and crude extract (CE), agreeing with data reported by Benzie and Strain (1996)[29], whereas all the other assayed compounds showed a continues increment of absorbance and it even doubled their initial absorbance after 30 min of reaction[30].

All aerial part extracts of C. spinosa showed an antioxidant power higher than those of root part extracts (P<0.001) (Fig. 5A). In general the aerial part extracts had a ferric reducing ability greater than that of gallic acid at 4 and 30 min (P<0.001) (Fig. 6) which gave the strongest antioxidant activity compared with other standards by an EC₁ value (g/l) of (0.05±0.0005, 0.037±0.0012) at 4 and 30 min (P<0.01), respectively (Fig. 5B). whereas rutin had a ferric reducing ability lower than those of other standards with an EC₁ value (g/l) of (0.39 ± 0.014, 0.20 ± 0.0003) at 4 and 30 min (P<0.01), respectively.

The difference in antioxidant activities of the root and aerial parts extracts of C. spinosa might be attributed to a difference in total phenolic content. Several studies showed a correlation between antioxidant activity and phenolic content[42],[43]. However, based on β-carotene bleaching assay, the study showed no correlation between antioxidant activity and phenolic content for both parts extracts. Our finding is in agreement with Othman et al (2007)[44], who reported that cocoa beans extract of Ghana and Ivory Coast with the lowest phenolic content, exhibited the highest antioxidant activity.
Plants compounds are an important source of active natural products which differ widely in terms of structure and biological properties. Phenolic compounds are commonly found in both edible and non-edible plants, and they have been reported to have multiple biological effects, including antioxidant activity[31].

The antioxidant activity of polyphenols can largely be predicted on the basis of their chemical structure. Antioxidant and antiradical activities of flavonoids are related to the presence of two neighboring hydroxyl groups on the B-ring, the number of free hydroxyl groups, a C2-C3 double bond in the C-ring, or the presence of a 3-hydroxyl group[46].

**Figure 6.** The antioxidant power of aerial part extracts compared with the gallic acid. CE; crud extract, CHE; chloroform extract, EAE; ethyl acetate extract

### 4. Conclusions

In conclusion, various antioxidant mechanisms action of the extracts in their antioxidant activity appeared to be identical, throughout the good correlation observed between different applied techniques. However, the magnitude of antioxidative potency varies with the type of extracts, which being related to the content in phenolic compounds and other yet to be discovered antioxidant compounds. These results are preliminary, it would be interesting to test the activity of high purified fractions and isolate the responsible molecules underlie the various detected activities in different extracts by more efficient methods.
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