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Abstract
The present task describes the participation of the JU NITM team in IJCNLP-2017 Shared Task 5: “Multi-choice Question Answering in Examinations”. One of the main aims of this shared task is to choose the correct option for each of the multi-choice questions. We represent each of the questions and its corresponding answer in vector space and find the cosine similarity between two vectors. Our proposed model also includes supervised classification techniques to find the correct answer. Our system was only developed for the English language, and it also obtains an accuracy of 40.07% for the test dataset and 40.06% for validation dataset, respectively.

1 Introduction
In the era of computer and internet, we are getting any information at our fingertips. Besides, Natural Language Processing (NLP) is used to improve computer intelligence and to understand the natural languages given by us. If we consider information retrieval, semantic level of matching also holds a major role to retrieve similar documents (Onal et al., 2016). Question Answering (QA) as a sub field of Natural Language Processing (NLP) and Information Retrieval (IR) aims to give answer of a given question in natural language. In the present task, we proposed a method to choose correct answer in multi-choice question answering domain. First, we find the relation between the question and answers in the same vector space using cosine similarity. After that, we used a supervised classification approach to predict the correct answer from multiple options that are considered as our classes.

2 Task Overview
IJCNLP-2017 Task 5: ”Multi-choice Question Answering in Examinations” challenged the participants to automatically predict the correct answer in multi choice Question Answering in exams. This shared task contains complex questions like in real exam. All questions are from the elementary and middle school level. Each question contains four possible answers. Questions are collected from different domains like biology, physics, chemistry etc. The format of the dataset is given in Table 1. In Table 1 in Correct answer column 0,1,2,3, denote answer A, answer B, answer C, answer D respectively.

3 Related Work
In recent time community question answering (cQA) plays an important role to find desired information. Many researchers proposed different type of approaches to deal with community question answering. To solve this problem most of the researcher used traditional information retrieval system.

Besides the use of neural network in information retrieval is gradually increasing because of their advantages. In the same time, distributed semantic model plays an important role to find the similarity between two sentences or documents (Sarkar et al., 2016a). Neural language model for learning distributed vector representations of
words is known as word embedding. The name of those methods are the continuous bag-of-words model and the skip-gram model. These methods capture higher order relationships between words and sentences. SemEval- 2015 Task 3 on Answer Selection in cQA is similar type of shared task (Agirre et al., 2015). The main aim of SemEval-2015 Task 3 shared task was to develop a system that automatically detects the most relevant answers from the irrelevant ones.

4 System Framework

To deal with this shared task we use word embeddings to find the relation between questions and answer options. Word embeddings is well known approach for semantic textual similarity, question answering and information retrieval system(Řehůřek and Sojka, 2010; Elman, 1990). For classification, we used Matlab toolkit. ¹ classification module have been used with respect to each of the corresponding runs submitted by our team to the shared task.

In this shared task, we build a complex decision tree classifier using word2vec ² feature to predict the correct answer. Figure 1 describes our system architecture.

4.1 Distributed Semantic Similarity

Distributional semantic is very useful to capture the textual similarity between sentences. The model is mainly based on one hypothesis that the meaning of a word depends on the surrounding words. (Pennington et al., 2014) The underlying

¹http://in.mathworks.com/help/stats/classification-trees-and-regression-trees.html
²https://radimrehurek.com/gensim/models/word2vec.html
idea of this concept is that "a word is characterized by the company it keeps". (Firth, 1957) Researchers are trying to improve this model that can be achieved from integrating distributional vectors semantics which is also known as word embeddings. Two such methods are the continuous bag-of-words model and the skip-gram model. These methods have been shown to produce embeddings that capture higher order relationships between words that are highly effective in natural language processing tasks involving the use of word similarity and word analogy. (Zuccon et al., 2015)

To find the relation between question and options we used the GoogleNews vectors dataset which is available on Google word2vec website. The vocabulary size of this trained model is 3,000,000 word and the size of word vector is 300-dimensional. The model is trained on 100 billion words.

**4.2 Classification Approach**

Classification technique is used in different scientific fields to build a classification models from features of input data set. Different types of classification approach have been proposed like probabilistic neural network, rule based classifier, decision tree classifier, support vector machine to solve different types of problem (Sarkar et al., 2016b). In decision tree classification approach a series of question are asked and each time an answer is given to make a decision. Finally, we derived a conclusion of the problem. The series of question and answer are organized in the form of hierarchical structure.

For our experiment, we used complex decision tree approach to predict the correct answer. The training dataset set is used to build a classification model, which is used to predict the class labels of test dataset. The accuracy of a classification model is calculated using the count of correct and incorrect prediction by the model.

**5 Dataset**

The 2017 IJCNLP Task 5 shared task collect questions from different subjects i.e. biology, chemistry, physics, earth science and life science. The variety of the questions is very challenging for the participants. The questions are given in two languages English and Chinese. We are participating only English dataset. The statistics of IJCNLP English dataset is described in the Table 2. In this shared task questions are provided in csv file where each row defines each question.

| English Subset          | Train | Valid | Test | Total |
|-------------------------|-------|-------|------|-------|
| Biology                 | 281   | 70    | 210  | 561   |
| Chemistry               | 775   | 193   | 581  | 1549  |
| Physics                 | 299   | 74    | 224  | 597   |
| Earth Science           | 830   | 207   | 622  | 1659  |
| Life Science            | 501   | 125   | 375  | 1001  |
| **English Total**       | 2686  | 669   | 2012 | 5367  |

Table 2: Statistics of IJCNLP Dataset

**6 Results**

In this section, we discuss about our experiment results on valid and test dataset. In the same time, we also shown the comparison between winner score and our system score on those datasets. Table 3 shows that our model gives better result with compare to winner score. Our model is not based on traditional information retrieval system. Besides our model is simple and easily implemented on different types of dataset. However, our system face problem to capture the semantic meaning of chemical equations as well as integer values.

The accuracy is calculated using the following equation

\[
\text{Accuracy} = \frac{\text{number of correct questions}}{\text{total number of questions}}
\]

Organizer implemented simple retrieval based method as a baseline, and they used Apache Lucene which is a well-known software for information retrieval. For baseline system organizer, concatenate question with option and generate the query. Next use this query to find the relevant documents. In equation 2 the query and document are denoted by \(q\) and \(d\) respectively similarity between query and document is calculated using \(\text{Sim}(q,d)\).

Finally, they are taking top three similarity to calculate the score using following equation

\[
\text{Score}(q, a) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{Sim}(q, d)
\]

**7 Conclusion and Future Work**

In this paper we present distributed semantic model on IJCNLP-2017 Task 5 dataset in "Multi-choice Question Answering in Exams" shared
Table 3: Comparison between Winner Score and Our System Score

| Dataset     | Valid | Test |
|-------------|-------|------|
| Winner Score| 0.487 | 0.456|
| JU_NITM     | 0.407 | 0.406|

task. Our proposed method achieved good result compare with winner score. The advantage of distributed semantic model is that this model is simple and robust. This model not only find exact terms from the questions and answers from resources but also find semantic information from resources. Dealing with IJCNLP dataset we observe that our proposed method can be easily implemented into complex applied systems. In the same time, we face some problem to deal with chemistry dataset because our model does not represent the chemical equations in vector space.

Our future aim is to overcome from this problem. We are trying to improve our dataset from which we build our distributed semantic model so that we can represent chemical equation in vector space. In the same this we are also trying to implement doc2vec model to deal with complex system.
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