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Abstract

Outsourcing is recognized as a tool to gain strategic advantages. Maintenance outsourcing is a common practice in many industries, including chemical, petroleum, petrochemical, and medical equipment manufacturing. Nevertheless, outsourcing is associated with many risks. This study, based on the system dynamics, we designed a model to identify variables, influencing the effectiveness of equipment, efficacy, and profitability. We also examined the extent of the effects of these variables and assessed their relationships to decide on maintenance outsourcing in gas refineries. First, the influential variables were identified by reviewing the literature and considering the experts’ opinions. Next, a system dynamics model was designed, and the optimal values of the variables were investigated by creating five different scenarios. The results showed how the investigated variables affected our goals and how we could achieve them by keeping the values of these variables close to those determined in the selected scenarios. If the variable of equipment effectiveness was preferred by the managers, scenario 3 would be selected, as the equipment effectiveness reached its maximum level in this scenario. On the other hand, if the efficacy and profitability variables were preferred, scenario 4 would be selected in which efficacy and profitability were at maximum levels.
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1. Introduction

Automated systems have become increasingly important competitive advantages in industrial plants. Considering the need for rapid turnarounds and extensive supply of products, manual conduct can be at the expense of companies [1][2]. On the other hand, automation enables real-time control, processing, tracking, and production management, while reducing the labor costs and increasing the efficiency and accuracy. When a company invests in automated systems, it should be able to maintain and protect its technology and equipment against failure and early depreciation [3], [4]. Therefore, many factory managers are faced with
the difficult decision as to whether they should outsource the equipment maintenance or employ an internal team for this purpose [3][4].

Conventionally, it is rationalized that a company should only outsource its non-fundamental activities. However, this approach does not provide any guidance about selecting such activities. Also, the debate about fundamental and non-fundamental activities is highly controversial in many organizations adopting this approach [1][5]. On the other hand, determining the impact of failure is one of the most important considerations during the process of decision-making about maintenance outsourcing [6][7]. It is known that failure directly affects efficacy and profitability. Therefore, having in-house personnel to deal with problems in the event of failure is a significant advantage. Moreover, having a maintenance team with the proper skills can significantly reduce the repair time [2], [8]. Overall, when deciding on whether to hire a maintenance team or outsource it to a contractor, it is important to determine whether the existing team has the adequate skills to handle the problem.

As Pascual et al. [8] stated, failure affects the efficacy and profitability of an organization. Therefore, to increase efficacy and profitability, failures should be avoided as much as possible, or the maintenance time should be minimized in the event of a failure. The present study aimed to investigate the outsourcing of maintenance activities in a gas refinery plant and to analyze the post-outsourcing efficacy and profitability, using a system dynamics model. For this purpose, by identifying and determining the influential variables and examining their relationships, a system dynamics model was designed, including the three variables of equipment effectiveness, efficacy, and profitability to determine their effects on one another and to propose more suitable plans for achieving the organizational goals, based on these relationships.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 reviews the literature review and recent advances in this area. Section 3 deals with the proposed methodology. Section 4 proposes the case study and an extensive analysis, and finally, Section 5 presents practical implications, findings, and conclusions.

2. Literature review

Today, Maintenance, Repair, and Overhaul (MRO) is a cornerstone for the growth of any organization. The role of MRO is critical in organizations, such as gas refineries where the production process is continuous, and the products are essential to the community [9]. The MRO may be defined as “all the measures taken to maintain or restore an item or status in order to perform the function required” [10]. They include a combination of all technical,
administrative, management, and monitoring measures. This section reviews the literature on maintenance and outsourcing [11].

According to our literature review, previous studies have used different outsourcing approaches. For example, the repair and maintenance cost model, presented by Stenström et al. [12], aimed at evaluating performance and deciding on the pricing of maintenance services, contract negotiations, outsourcing, and life cycle cost management. Also, Krstić and Marenjak [13] developed and validated a new model for estimating the average annual costs of maintenance and exploitation of buildings at the University of Osijek (Osijek, Croatia). Moreover, Lundgren et al. [14] reported that Value-Driven Maintenance (VDM) is a maintenance management model, which aims to add value and profit to the company. Values influencing the maintenance profit, resource allocation, cost control, and health, safety, and environment (HSE) have been also described [15]. The present value of VDM is defined as the index of profit, calculated by the difference in cash outflow and inflow [16].

There are many examples of repair and maintenance in previous studies on waste reduction. In this regard, Liyanage et al. and Diallo et al. [17] introduced procedures for reducing the maintenance waste. In terms of Total Quality Maintenance (TQM), Fraser et al. [18] found that TQM a is a model, based on the Plan-Do-Check-Act (PDCA) method, used to improve any technical or management system, based on the TQM. Regarding maintenance investment, different models, including financial investment maintenance, technical impacts of operation-level investment, lower failure rates, shorter stoppage, and higher quality, have been introduced in various studies, including the one conducted by Al-Najjar et al. [19].

To increase the effectiveness of dynamic decision-making for manufacturing and maintenance, Sharma et al. [20] identified, measured, and controlled losses during production, tracked changes in maintenance, and evaluated their impact on the increased production time. Many studies have also evaluated the Reliability-Centered Maintenance (RCM), which is a planning approach for maintenance activities, focusing on the functions of a system [20][21]: this strategy was first introduced by Ross et al. [22]. Moreover, Afzali et al. [23] presented a new model of RCM prioritization for the distribution feeders. Rafiei et al. [24] also suggested a new approach for the utilization of RCM in a protection remote control system, based on smart grids.

Risk-based maintenance is another strategy, used by Cheng et al. [25] in their Risk-Based Evaluation Model for Bridge Life-Cycle Maintenance Strategy (REMBMS). Also, Ozkan et al. [26] used this strategy for repair and maintenance planning in hydroelectric power plants, while Nielsen et al. [27] used it in repair and maintenance planning for wind turbine blades.
Regarding simulation and maintenance, the simulation-based optimization algorithm has been used in many studies, including studies by Irawan et al. [28], Wakiru et al. [29], Horvath et al. [30], Packianather et al. [31], and Ganji et al. [32].

Moreover, Qin et al. [33] proposed a two-step optimization approach for aircraft hangar maintenance planning and staffing problems under outsourcing. Also, in another study, Chen et al. [34] used the distance tree and Mahala Nobis decision tree to analyze the unusual patterns of behavior in the maintenance outsourcing process. Some studies also investigated repair and maintenance outsourcing, including a study by Mokhtari [35], which focused on maintenance outsourcing strategies in the software sector. In addition, Tai et al. [36] used this method in their study for continuously degrading systems, while Bağan and Gerede [37] used the nominal group technique to explore the safety hazards of aircraft maintenance outsourcing.

In the supply chain section, Tsao et al. [7] considered a supply chain that includes a manufacturer and an external contractor, where the manufacturer wishes to outsource the preventive maintenance activities provided by the contractor. In this regard, Nabavi et al. [38] outsourced the repair and maintenance of refrigeration equipment. Moreover, Sosnowska et al. [39] evaluated the concept of global outsourcing, as well as the process of aircraft outsourcing and the related risks. Parida and Kuppens [40] focused on the transfer of knowledge about software maintenance outsourcing, based on the key roles of software knowledge and guided learning tasks. Also, Ou et al. [41] examined outsourcing and sourcing of organizational activities and evaluated the role of outsourcing mechanisms.

So far, many studies have been published on different areas of repair and maintenance; however, limited research has been conducted in applied areas, using the system dynamics models. The present study extensively evaluated maintenance resourcing. Until now, simultaneous examination of profitability, efficacy, and equipment effectiveness in the system dynamics has not been carried out, and there is limited information in this area. Therefore, the use of this model in gas refineries can be a unique strength of the present study. To cover maintenance outsourcing and analyze its profitability, the current study aimed to design a system dynamics model, based on variables presented in Table 1; to evaluate their effects on profitability, efficacy, and equipment effectiveness; and to consider the model implementation in gas refineries. In the first step, effective maintenance outsourcing indicators, identified in previous studies, were evaluated, and their impacts on the profitability, efficacy, and equipment effectiveness were examined. Based on the obtained results, the system dynamics variables (profitability, efficacy, and equipment effectiveness) were defined, and different strategies and scenarios were designed.
3. Methodology

The system dynamics is a combination of theory, methodology, and philosophy to explain the system behavior across a wide range of disciplines, such as business management, politics, economics, engineering, environmental changes, and pharmacy [38]. The system dynamics methodology provides a framework to analyze how actions and reactions create and influence one another and to explain the mechanisms and causes of elements and system changes. Moreover, it helps us understand how the system works and also predicts how situations may develop over time [39].

The main idea of system dynamics involves feedback loops that examine the interactions between different parts [34]. These loops show how changes in one part of the system may affect others, as well as the overall pattern of development [35]. Oil and gas industries in Iran are among the key components of economy, which continuously contribute to prosperity. To gain a competitive advantage in globalization, reputable manufacturing plants seek high production efficiency and substantial cost reduction [42]. It should be noted that production performance is strongly influenced by the efficacy of repair and maintenance practices [40], since production in oil and gas industries is achieved by equipment and machinery, purchased and installed with heavy capital investments.

Major maintenance activities, such as Turn Around Maintenance (TAM), are key aids in the overall reliability and efficiency of oil and gas refinery plants. The challenges of international competition have imposed significant pressure on repair and maintenance systems. On the other hand, repair and maintenance is a key element in the production processes of oil and gas industries. Generally, maintenance management aims to select the most appropriate maintenance strategy for the equipment to attain an acceptable level of safety and to achieve high reliability and availability of assets, without any unnecessary investments.

The present study aimed at investigating the impact of maintenance outsourcing on the efficiency, profitability, and effectiveness of equipment in a gas refinery plant, based on a system dynamics model. The implementation steps, which are shown in Figure 1, will be explained as a case study in the following section.

Insert Figure 1 here

4. Case study
Today, MRO of industrial complexes is one of the most important parts of production management in industries. Overall, maintaining physical assets, besides reducing the maintenance costs through proper operation and implementation of production methods, can reduce the production costs; this can be a type of competitive advantage for factories. In Iran, oil and gas industries are of great significance in the country’s economy. The Ministry of Petroleum has been always faced with the challenges of optimizing maintenance, repairing systems, and reducing the overhead costs of the industry, considering the fluctuations in the prices of petroleum products. One of the most important solutions to reduce the cost and government ownership in this industry is to outsource the MRO of oil, gas, and petrochemical complexes.

In the history of oil industry in Iran, MRO has been discussed by the officials and authorities of the Ministry of Petroleum. Over time, the private sector was assigned the maintenance and repair responsibilities. According to outsourcing and Operation and Maintenance (O&M) contracts, production complexes, including refineries and petrochemical complexes, were handed over to the private sector. Overall, outsourcing in Iran requires an organizational culture, as well as reforms in some current laws and regulations. However, what is important in production on a global scale is that we should use novel methods and techniques in this industry to reduce the production costs and compete with other countries.

In the present study, we aimed to evaluate the new concept of outsourcing the O&M of oil, gas, and petrochemical complexes to the private sector to reduce the cost of production by the government and to increase production. We analyzed the 9th Refinery of the South Pars Gas Complex (Persian Gulf, Iran) in terms of cost. The required information was provided by Petro Sanat Co. as the operator of the mentioned refinery during 2017-2018. We also used the opinions of experts and managers of this company, as the first company operating in the sector of refineries. Using the system dynamics, we investigated the repair and maintenance outsourcing of the gas refinery and evaluated its impact on the equipment profitability, efficiency, and effectiveness. As mentioned earlier, there are very few studies with high levels of pragmatism and practicality on the outsourcing of maintenance and repair in gas refineries. However, in the present study, we attempted to reduce the gap between science and practice. Also, considering the profitability, productivity, and effectiveness of equipment in the real world and the high cost of equipment, it is important to analyze them together via system dynamics. For this purpose, the following steps were performed:
Step 1: Background and problem defining: The dynamic, uncertain, and complex environment of trading seems to define what is important for the commercial success of oil and gas trades. Asset operations and maintenance processes in such environments have received increasing attention in recent years. Considering the current pace of development in engineering and management, asset management and its maintenance process, particularly in high-risk and high-capital industries, have increased dramatically. In particular, the oil industry requires performance appraisal systems for the better management of oil and gas production assets. Oil and gas producers and regulatory authorities have taken initiatives in this area. The need for a better insight into important business processes in line with the business goals appears to have helped redefine the business impact of maintenance operations.

- In response to the growing demands of oil and gas industries, a joint industry project for the development and implementation of MRO indicators in the petroleum industry seems essential for asset and maintenance management centers. To address the alienation of technical and maintenance outsourcing in oil and gas industries, we aimed to establish a relationship between oil and gas businesses, oil and gas production assets, and maintenance and repair operations through outsourcing. The basic assumption was that the portfolio of oil and gas industries plays a significant role in the trade success of any organization with respect to important factors; this portfolio is the basis for redefining the purpose of maintenance. It is also the starting point for the systematic development of operations and maintenance architecture.

- There are few studies on repair and maintenance outsourcing in gas refineries, and only several reliable papers have been published regarding its impact on the equipment profitability, efficiency, and effectiveness. Accordingly, in the present study, we aimed to identify factors, affecting maintenance outsourcing in a cause-and-effect model by defining different scenarios. For this purpose, we introduced the nodes, reviewed the literature, and interviewed experts to identify important goals. First, the indices influencing repair and maintenance outsourcing in previous studies were evaluated, and the effects of these indices on the profitability, efficacy, and effectiveness of the equipment were investigated. Next, based on the collected information, the stock variables (i.e., profitability, efficacy, and effectiveness of equipment) were defined in the system dynamics, and different strategies and scenarios were designed.
• **Step 2: Identify the variables:** In the first step, the key variables were extracted, using the data presented in the reviewed studies, and in the second step, the experts’ opinions were extracted. Table 1 presents the key variables used in modeling the research problem.

Insert Table 1 here

• **Step 3: Create the system dynamics model:** The behavior of the model over time was specified by the reference pattern and displayed as a figure, graph, or table. The improved functional ground state is illustrated in Figure 2. The optimal conditions could be determined when the profitability, efficiency, and effectiveness of the equipment were at maximum levels; this was achieved by considering the subsequent changes in the values of influential variables in the model.

Insert Figure 2 here

- **Modeling of time horizon:** In most empirical studies on diversification, a yield of 3-5 years has been identified. The system dynamics modeling is built over 60 months to investigate the dynamics of collaboration among organizations. In the present study, based on the expert opinion and research background, a 60-month (five-year) time horizon was used to evaluate the feedback performance.

- **Formulation of dynamics hypothesis:** A suitable dynamics hypothesis and a well-defined underlying mechanism can provide enough information to rate equations for starting the system, and then, the next stage of the modeling process can be targeted (Pruyt, 2013). After interviewing experts and reviewing the articles, it was found that some criteria were more influential. Some variables with similar effects were eliminated to simplify the model. It was concluded that the effectiveness, efficiency, and profitability of equipment can be achieved by planning to change or confront the changes of the following variables, depending on their impact on the goals: costs of overhaul, repair, and preventive maintenance; costs of upgrading and updating the technology; maintenance personnel costs; the stock price index; the equipment and machinery price index; the volume of outsourced activities; the efficiency
percentage of optimal physical asset management; the efficiency percentage of supply chain management; costs of HSE; and the initial input price of the plant.

- **Modeling of boundary setting:** Generally, all models have certain limitations; therefore, setting boundaries to the system is of particular importance. All-important members of the system that interact with other members should be identified as internal variables. All components that affect the system, but are not influenced by the system, are external variables, and other system components must be eliminated. The authorities, who are responsible for implementing the system, believe that the existing limitations confine the model. These individuals have a systematic view, which successfully reflects the ability to observe all existing conditions or concepts that interact with the environment. A dynamic system helps extend the boundaries of conceptual models. Table 1 presents the key variables in modeling the research problem.

- **Research loops:** In the current study, the cause-and-effect relationships and their conversion to flow diagrams were used for modeling and illustrating the relationships between the influential variables. As mentioned earlier, the variables presented in Table 1 were examined, and the cause-and-effect relationships, as well as the flow diagrams of stock and auxiliary variables, were assessed and shown as the equipment efficacy, effectiveness, and profitability in Figures 3, 4, and 5.

Insert Figure 3 here

According to a study by Ou et al. [41], the equipment availability (ease of access) and equipment efficiency rates influence the effectiveness of equipment. According to a study by Fekri and Avakh [43], the efficiency percentage of optimal physical asset management affects the availability rate, the efficiency rate, and the depreciation expense of equipment and machinery. Therefore, easier access to the equipment is associated with the higher efficacy percentage of optimal physical asset management and the more accurate location of the equipment. Also, depreciation of physical assets can be reduced by correct management of their usage and maintenance.

On the other hand, depreciation of equipment, besides management, is affected by the cost of overhaul, repair, and preventive maintenance. In other words, a more accurate overhaul, repair, and preventive maintenance reduces the depreciation of equipment. Likewise, overhaul,
repair, and preventive maintenance affect the equipment life, as well as the failure and cost of defective products. According to previous studies, the purpose of overhaul, repair, and preventive maintenance is to extend the equipment life and reduce equipment failure. The healthier the equipment is, the fewer defective products will be produced. On the other hand, the efficiency rate of equipment affects the cost of spare parts and consumables. In other words, the higher the equipment efficiency is, the fewer spare parts and consumables will be needed. Another influential variable in these loops is the cost of training. It has been shown that more qualified and trained staff can produce less defective products and increase the rate of production accuracy. The outputs of the stock variable include the equipment effectiveness, sales rate, and customer satisfaction. Also, use of more efficient equipment increases the quality of products, and consequently, enhances the product quality and customer satisfaction. The stock and auxiliary variables, as well as the rate of variables, are shown in Figure 4.

Moreover, Idris and Nayan [44] found that many variables affect the equipment efficacy, including the production quality, training, technology, identification of operational risks, and environmental issues, some of which were included in the current study. According to previous research, a higher equipment efficacy is associated with higher customer satisfaction. Also, repair and maintenance staff, who are adequately trained, can reduce the average time to repair and increase the equipment efficacy. Efficacy can be also improved by identifying and preventing the operational risk. Regarding HSE, Idris and Nayan [44] believed that a healthier and safer environment is correlated with a greater workforce productivity and increased efficacy of the organization.

In terms of technology, it is also clear that the use of appropriate technologies can reduce the average time to repair. In other words, a shorter average time to repair is associated with a greater efficacy gain due to the rapid addition of faulty equipment to the production cycle. The goal of outsourcing is to reduce the costs and increase efficacy; therefore, the greater the effectiveness of outsourcing is, the greater the efficiency will be. In addition, process bugs affect the production of defective products. In other words, if the manufacturing or any other related process, such as maintenance or repair, fails, the risk of producing defective products increases, which in turn affects customer satisfaction; however, these bugs can be mitigated by proper staff training.
By increasing the efficacy of supply chain management, the operational and executive risks can be better identified due to more process oversight, and the quality of final products can be enhanced. Regarding the volume of outsourcing, it was observed that the efficacy loops also influence the effectiveness rate of outsourcing; obviously, the higher this volume is, the more difficult it will be to manage. Also, regarding the output variables, as efficacy increases, the cost of emergency repairs and stoppage is reduced, as high efficiency ensures timely repair and proper use of equipment; consequently, such events are reduced. In addition, higher efficacy is associated with the greater allocation of resources to other goals of the organization. The profitability loops are presented in Figure 5.

Insert Figure 5 here

Nomikos and Doctor [45] believed that the concept of profit is based on subtracting the expenses from revenue. In this system, profitability is derived by subtracting the revenue from the variables of sales rate, customer satisfaction, the price of equipment and machinery, the cost of production stoppage at the time of overhaul, the price of stock, the initial input price of the plant, and the cost of outsourcing, all of which are conceptually called the “average product cost”, influencing profitability.

Other effective variables in these loops include the efficiency percentage of business management, sales, and outsourcing volume. Overall, the effectiveness of business management and sales affects the costs, as the costs of business teams are of great significance. In addition, the more skilled the team is, the higher the sales rate and customer satisfaction will be. The volume of outsourcing also has a direct impact on its cost, as an increase in the outsourcing volume increases the costs, as well.

- **Step 4 and step 5: Implementation and validation of the system dynamics model:**
  Sterman, citing Coyle and Exelby [46], presented a set of tests for model validation. The validity of the model, designed in the current study, was assessed using the following tests:

  1. **Boundary adequacy test:** The effects of variables on one another were confirmed, based on previous research and expert approval, besides eliminating the variables from the model one at a time, as shown in the following section. Figure 6 demonstrates the impact of eliminating the variable of “efficacy percentage of business management and sales”. This variable was found to have a significant effect on profitability. By
eliminating this variable, the profitability criterion was almost constant and did not increase over five years.

Insert Figure 6 here

Figure 7 demonstrates the effect of eliminating the variable of “volume of outsourcing” on the efficacy variable.

Insert Figure 7 here

According to Figure 8, lack of attention to the volume of outsourcing in the long run reduces the efficacy to zero (efficacy is expressed as percentage). Figure 8 presents the effect of eliminating the variable of “service life and failure” on the effectiveness of the equipment.

Insert Figure 8 here

We determined the extent to which the elimination of outsourcing volume could affect the effectiveness of equipment and reduce it (effectiveness is expressed as percentage).

2. **Structure verification test:** Structural verification means that the actual relationships related to the research goals are sufficiently included in the model. Since the model equations were written in Vensim software in the current study, it verified the model equations (Figure 9).

Insert Figure 9 here

3. **Parameter assessment test:** The model parameters and variables were assessed using trial and error through comparative analysis with the reference model and review of previous studies; they were finally confirmed after expert consultation.

4. **Boundary conditions test:** This test examines the behavior of the model when the model inputs are in the boundary condition, that is, when they are at their minimum or maximum levels. In the present study, we considered whether the model was stable under such conditions. In this test, the variables were examined in the infinite state (i.e., maximum and minimum).

**Condition 1:** The equipment availability rate reaches zero (Figure 10).
If the equipment availability rate drops too low, the equipment effectiveness reduces by almost one-third after five years. In other words, the lower the availability rate is, the lower the efficiency of the equipment will be.

**Condition 2:** The average time to repair is at maximum (Figure 11).

If the average time to repair tends to go upward, as shown in Figure 11, the efficacy strongly drops.

**Condition 3:** The average cost is at minimum (Figure 12).

As shown in Figure 12, if the average cost reaches its minimum, the profitability will rise sharply, since it both directly and inversely depends on the average cost.

5. **Integration error test:** This test represents the sensitivity of the model results to the selected interval. In the current study, the 60-month interval was changed to a 72-month interval, as shown in Figure 13. No changes were observed in the model behavior in both 60- and 72-month intervals, and it was found that factors influencing performance, if controlled, could improve performance.

6. **Behavior reproduction test:** This test determines whether the system can reproduce the actual conditions. Based on previous studies, it considers factors affecting the three main variables considered in the current study, that is, the equipment effectiveness, efficiency, and profitability. Therefore, this model can predict the behavior of the system. As shown in Figures 14-16, by controlling the equipment availability rate, the
average time to repair, and the average cost, it is possible to increase the equipment
effectiveness, efficacy, and profitability, respectively. However, there are many factors
involved in improving performance that require more time to coordinate.

Insert Figures 14-16 here

- **Step 6:** Analysis of the results and presentation of the scenario: In the final step,
according to the results of the model and its validity and effectiveness, five different
scenarios were designed and implemented by changing the model parameters.
Depending on these scenarios, different strategies can be defined and implemented. For
this purpose, based on the experts’ opinions and the values measured in studies
published in scientific journals, different values were considered for the variables, and
five scenarios were simulated and defined. The variables and the values corresponding
to the scenarios are shown in Table 2. Figures 17-19 illustrate the scenarios for the three
variables investigated in this study, that is, the equipment effectiveness, efficacy, and
profitability. These five scenarios were designed based on variables, controlled by the
organization and uncontrollable variables in the environment.

Insert Table 2 here

The values presented in Table 2 represent the percentage of increase in variables in the
scenarios.

- **Scenario 1:** The overhaul, repair, and preventive maintenance costs increased by 10%,
which in turn affected the increase in the depreciation expenses of machinery and
equipment, the price of defective products, and the average time to repair. Also, the
cost of upgrading and updating the technology increased by 8%, which could also affect
the cost of equipment and machinery depreciation and the average time to repair.
Moreover, the maintenance personnel cost increased by 15%, which in turn affected the
training costs and the efficiency percentage of business management and sales. On the
other hand, the stock price index reduced by 5%, indicating its effect on the average
cost. A 10% increase in the equipment and machinery price index increased the use of
a new technology, which in turn influenced the average cost and the stoppage cost in
overhaul and repair processes. On the other hand, the outsourcing volume increased by
8%; the efficiency percentage of optimal physical asset management increased by 12%;
the efficiency percentage of supply chain management increased by 10%; the HSE costs increased by 7%; and the initial input price increased by 3%.

- **Scenario 2:** The overhaul, repair, and preventive maintenance costs increased by 12%; the cost of upgrading and updating the technology increased by 7%; the maintenance personnel costs increased by 10%; the stock price index increased by 9%; the equipment and machinery price index increased by 7%; the outsourcing volume increased by 10%; the efficacy percentage of optimal physical asset management increased by 17%; the efficiency percentage of supply chain management increased by 11%; the HSE costs increased by 10%; and the initial input price increased by 5%.

- **Scenario 3:** The overhaul, repair, and preventive maintenance costs increased by 8%; the cost of upgrading and updating the technology increased by 9%; the maintenance personnel costs increased by 13%; the stock price index increased by 7%; the equipment and machinery price index increased by 10%; the outsourcing volume increased by 13%; the efficiency percentage of optimal physical asset management increased by 9%; the efficiency percentage of supply chain management increased by 9%; the HSE costs increased by 9%; and the initial input price increased by 6%.

- **Scenario 4:** The costs of overhaul, repair, and preventive maintenance increased by 13%; the cost of upgrading and updating the technology increased by 10%; the maintenance personnel costs increased by 17%; the stock price index increased by 10%; the equipment and machinery price index increased by 12%; the outsourcing volume increased by 15%; the efficiency percentage of optimal physical asset management increased by 15%; the efficiency percentage of supply chain management increased by 13%; the HSE costs increased by 11%; and the initial input price increased by 7%.

- **Scenario 5:** The overhaul, repair, and preventive maintenance costs increased by 15%; the cost of upgrading and updating the technology increased by 5%; the maintenance personnel costs increased by 20%; the stock price index increased by 8%; the equipment and machinery price index increased by 15%; the outsourcing volume increased by 17%; the efficacy percentage of optimal physical asset management increased by 10%; the efficacy percentage of supply chain management increased by 7%; the HSE costs increased by 10%; and the initial input price increased by 5%.

4.1. Discussion
Based on these scenarios, the model was re-implemented, and the stock variable changes in these scenarios were examined with respect to changes in the variables (Figures 17-19).

Insert Figures 17-19 here

In these figures, the results of model simulation were plotted using Scenario 5, while Scenario 4 showed the best performance regarding the efficacy and profitability variables when the cost of overhaul, repair, and preventive maintenance increased by 13%; the cost of upgrading and updating the technology increased by 10%; the maintenance personnel costs increased by 17%; the stock price index increased by 10%; the equipment and machinery price index increased by 12%; the outsourcing volume increased by 15%; the efficacy percentage of optimal physical asset management increased by 15%; the efficacy percentage of supply chain management increased by 13%; the HSE costs increased by 11%; and the initial input price increased by 7%. Scenario 3 also showed the best performance regarding the equipment effectiveness, as the overhaul, repair, and preventive maintenance costs increased by 8%; the costs of upgrading and updating the technology increased by 9%; the maintenance personnel costs increased by 13%; the stock price index increased by 7%; the equipment and machinery price index increased by 10%; the outsourcing volume increased by 13%; the efficacy percentage of optimal physical asset management increased by 9%; the efficacy percentage of supply chain management increased by 9%; the HSE costs increased by 9%; and the initial input price increased by 6%.

According to the results of these scenarios, we should determine whether the rate of increase in profitability and productivity in scenario 4 and the strategies used to achieve the desired values of variables in this scenario are economically more favorable than scenario 3. To overcome this problem, managers need to analyze the decision-making problem, according to the conditions of the refinery. However, future studies need to evaluate the decision-making model and implement appropriate strategies.

5. Conclusion

There are many reasons for outsourcing. Outsourcing service providers often promise lower costs and higher reliability in purchases. A contract should rely on guaranteed reliability and lower costs. There are successful examples of maintenance outsourcing, which can be suitable for many organizations. However, they have only considered maintenance outsourcing, without paying attention to reliability motives. Outsourcing contracts, which are
based on total maintenance outsourcing, often focus on reducing the maintenance costs rather than improving maintenance. Also, reasoning often depends on cost savings that can be achieved. Therefore, service providers often need more appropriate work management systems to reduce the total costs of labor and management. Today, this is considered a major challenge due to the shortage of skilled workforce. Considering the need to investigate these variables to reach the desired equipment effectiveness, efficiency, and profitability in maintenance outsourcing, the effects of variables on one another were considered in the dynamic system approach, besides factors affecting the equipment efficiency, effectiveness, and profitability. In the current study, these factors were investigated using the dynamic system approach, and their relationships were addressed. Next, a model was designed and evaluated, and five different scenarios were established; the applicable ones were examined by assuming changes in the main variables, affecting the stock variables of the model.

After designing different scenarios, by approximating the values of variables in Table 2 to the values of Scenario 4, the efficacy variable showed an upward trend over five years; profitability was also at its maximum level. In other words, by changing the values of variables to the levels presented in Scenario 4, the products purchased by the consumer were the most profitable. On the other hand, the equipment effectiveness reached its optimal level in Scenario 3. Therefore, managers should approximate the values of variables to those of Scenario 4 to maximize profit. Given the heavy capital spent on equipment, to bring the values of the variables closer to the values of Scenario 3 and to increase the effectiveness of equipment, managers should be less concerned about the profit and consider the health of equipment. Based on the results of the present study, users can achieve their desired goals in scenarios 4 and 5 by considering different solutions and plans.

As shown in Figure 16, if scenario 3 is preferred to scenario 4, the effectiveness of equipment will increase by about 10% in scenario 3, relative to scenario 4, whereas if scenario 4 is implemented according to Figures 17-18, productivity increases by about 12%, and profitability increases by about 10 billion units. These results indicate that managers should select a scenario, according to the cost of equipment, besides the impact of equipment on the cost of breakdowns and repairs. Also, when uncontrolled and external variables approach the states defined in these scenarios, users can respond to changes by changing their strategy. In future studies, researchers must develop strategies to reach the desirable values of variables and provide effective management strategies. Moreover, crisis management strategies can be explored when imposed and unmanaged variables are changed by the organization.
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Table 1: Key variables influencing maintenance outsourcing

| Item | Index | Auxiliary Variable | State Variable | Variable Rate |
|------|-------|--------------------|----------------|---------------|
| 1    |       | The initial input price of the plant | *              |               |
| 2    |       | Maintenance personnel cost | *              |               |
| 3    |       | Equipment and machinery price | *              |               |
| 4    |       | Education costs | *              |               |
| 5    |       | HSE costs | *              |               |
| 6    |       | Sales and customer satisfaction rate | *              |               |
| 7    |       | High-quality production rate | *              |               |
| 8    |       | Operational and executive risks | *              |               |
| 9    |       | Production cost of defective or poor quality products | *              |               |
| 10   |       | Efficacy percentage of supply chain management | *              |               |
| 11   |       | Efficacy percentage of business management and sales | *              |               |
| 12   |       | Releasing resources for other goals of the organization | *              |               |
| 13   |       | Outsourcing costs | *              |               |
| 14   |       | Cost of spare parts and consumables | *              |               |
| 15   |       | Stock price | *              |               |
| 16   |       | Equipment and machinery price | *              |               |
| 17   |       | Average cost | *              |               |
| 18   |       | Equipment effectiveness rate | *              |               |
| 19   |       | Equipment life and failure | *              |               |
| 20   |       | Cost of emergency repairs and stoppage | *              |               |
| 21   |       | Equipment efficacy rate | *              |               |
| 22   |       | Outsourcing effectiveness rate | *              |               |
| 23   |       | Average time to repair | *              |               |
| 24   |       | Overhaul and recurrent maintenance costs | *              |               |
| 25   |       | Technology upgrading and updating costs | *              |               |
| 26   |       | Process problems costs | *              |               |
| 27   |       | Defective products costs | *              |               |
| 28   |       | Lost production cost due to emergency stoppage | *              |               |
| 29   |       | Stoppage costs during overhaul and recurrent maintenance | *              |               |
| 30   |       | Outsourcing volume | *              |               |
| 31   |       | Profitability | *              |               |
| 32   |       | Efficacy | *              |               |
| 33   |       | Efficacy percentage of optimal management of physical assets | *              |               |
| 34   |       | Equipment availability rate | *              |               |

Table 2: The Research Scenarios

| Variable | Scenario 1 | Scenario 2 | Scenario 3 | Scenario 4 | Scenario 5 |
|----------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
|          |            |            |            |            |            |
| Overhaul, recurrent, and preventive maintenance costs | 10 | 10 | 13 | 13 | 15 |
| Technology upgrading and updating costs | 8 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 5 |
| Maintenance personnel costs | 15 | 10 | 13 | 17 | 20 |
| Stock price | 5 | 9 | 7 | 10 | 8 |
| Equipment and machinery price | 10 | 7 | 10 | 12 | 15 |
| Outsourcing volume | 10 | 7 | 10 | 12 | 15 |
| Efficacy percentage of optimal management of physical assets | 12 | 17 | 9 | 15 | 10 |
| Efficacy percentage of supply chain management | 20 | 11 | 9 | 13 | 7 |
| HSE costs | 7 | 10 | 9 | 11 | 10 |
| The initial input price of the plant | 3 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 5 |
Figure 1: shows the study flowchart

Figure 2: Research problem model
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Figure 5: Flow chart for profitability variable
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Figure 8: Effect of elimination of “service time and failure” factor on equipment effectiveness
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Figure 10: Model behavior when the equipment availability rate brings to zero
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Figure 12: Model behavior in boundary conditions of average cost
Figure 13: Model outputs at 60- and 72-month intervals
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