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A B S T R A C T

The final assembly of nonlytic envelope viruses requires the coordinated transport of either subviral particles or fully formed virions to the plasma membrane for release from the cell. Recent research has delved into the mechanisms viruses employ to hijack the host cell's cytoskeletal system for active transport to the site of final assembly and release. This review will look at recent findings that relate to the transport of virions to the cell periphery and out of the cell.
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Introduction

The spatial separation between the location in the cell where viruses replicate their genomes and the plasma membrane, where final assembly and exit from the cell occurs, can be vast. When one considers the small diffusion rate in the dense cytoplasm of large macromolecular complexes such as encapsidated genomes and nucleoprotein complexes, it should come as no surprise that viruses have figured out mechanisms of commandeering their host cell's transportation system for active and directional transport during egress. The ability to genetically tag viral proteins with fluorescent proteins (FP) has advanced both the study of viral entry and egress by allowing the real-time visualization of these chimeras in live cells. This review will summarize what is currently known about the transport of virions and subviral particles through the cytoplasm toward the plasma membrane during egress and will build on several excellent reviews on viral interactions with the cytoskeleton (Diefenbach et al., 2008; Greber and Way, 2006; Lyman and Enquist, 2009; Radtke et al., 2006).
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Cytoskeletal transport

Almost all reviews on this topic (including this one) begin with an overview of the cellular transportation system along the cytoskeleton. The cytoskeleton has three major types of filaments, actin, microtubules and intermediate filaments. Although intermediate filaments can assemble into extensive networks in the cell and are involved in the sub-cellular positioning of lysosomes and mitochondria, there are no known motors that utilize them for transport (Toivola et al., 2010). The other two types of filaments, microtubules and actin, have specialized motor proteins that travel along them by converting chemical energy into mechanical work to transport cargo to various regions of the cell (Vale, 2003). Microtubules are polar filaments with a positive and a negative end. They are made up of protofilaments of α- and β-tubulin dimers. Filaments are nucleated at the microtubule-organizing center (MTOC), which is typically located next to the nucleus and represents the minus end of microtubules. From the MTOC, microtubules radiate out towards the periphery of the cell. The distal ends, or plus ends, terminate in the vicinity of the plasma membrane and are more dynamic than the negative end with a higher rate of microtubule growth and shrinkage by a process of either adding or removing α/β tubulin dimers. Two types of motors move along microtubules: kinesin and dynein (Fig. 1). Generally speaking kinesin motors move outward from the cell center toward the positive end of microtubules, although exceptions are known, and dynein moves toward the MTOC or negative end (Hirokawa, 1998; Hirokawa and Noda, 2008; Hirokawa et al., 2009). The kinesins are divided up into 15 families and mammals are thought to express over 45 individual motor proteins, called KIFs (Miki et al., 2001). This large number is thought to represent the diversity of cargo and their destinations within the cell. In their simplest form, kinesins consist of a globular head domain and a tail region (Akhmanova and Hammer, 2010; Skowronek et al., 2007). The head domain binds microtubules and hydrolyzes ATP to produce movement. Cargo binds through the tail domain. The kinesin head domain follows a stalk domain for dimerization. The two light chains also interact with the stalk region on the heavy chains. Cargo binds through a tetratricopeptide repeat domain on the light chain. Unbound kinesin is thought to be in an inactive state with the head and tail domains interacting (Akhmanova and Hammer, 2010). This interaction is disrupted by cargo binding thus activating the motor to commence transport.

In contrast to the large family of kinesin, there is only one motor that transports cargo toward the minus end of microtubules (Kardon and Vale, 2009). Cytoplasmic dynein has both a head domain and a tail domain. Unlike kinesin, the head domain contains the microtubule binding site and hydrolyzes ATP for movement. The tail domain is involved in dimerization of dynein monomers. While the dynein dimer alone is sufficient for movement in vitro, in vivo, non-catalytic subunits are typically found associated with the dimer through the tail domain (Kardon and Vale, 2009). It is believed that these subunits regulate dynein function and cargo specificity.

Actin filaments are made up of a single protein, globular actin (G-actin). G-actin assembles into polar filaments (F-actin) with a barbed and pointed end (plus and minus ends respectively) (Carlsson, 2010; Olson and Nordheim, 2010). Unlike microtubules, actin filaments may branch and cross-link forming a mesh-like network. There is only one type of motor, the myosins, that moves along actin filaments. Myosins are divided into two classes termed conventional and unconventional (Redowicz, 2007). The conventional myosins form filaments and are typically found in muscle tissue. Unconventional myosins do not resemble the form found in muscle and can be found performing a large number of cellular functions, including intracellular trafficking. To date, only a few types of myosins are known to be involved in intracellular trafficking. Two of these, myosin V and myosin VI move toward the barbed and pointed ends, respectively (Ross et al., 2008). It should be noted, however, that with the exception of myosin VI, all known myosins move toward the barbed end. Myosin is a homodimer with the motor and actin binding functions contained within the head domain followed by a neck domain for dimerization and the cargo binding domain.

The majority of cargo, including viruses (McDonald et al., 2002; Moss and Ward, 2001; Smith et al., 2001; Suomalainen et al., 1999), is...
known to display movement in both directions (bidirectional) (Welte, 2004). This suggests that they are able to interact with both plus- and minus-end directed motors. While rapid switching between the two types of motors is possible, it is believed that both plus- and minus-end directed motors bind cargo simultaneously. In support of this notion, kinesin and dynein have been shown to be linked by the essential dynein adaptor dynactin (Berezuk and Schroer, 2007). Additionally, kinesin and myosin V interact and motors are thought to be transported together and function as a complex (Ali et al., 2008; DePina and Langford, 1999). If both types of motors are bound, it is unclear how one motor predominates to achieve overall movement in the proper direction (Welte, 2004).

**Poxvirus egress**

Poxviruses take full advantage of the cytoskeleton and its various motors for transport during egress. Vaccinia virus, the prototypical orthopoxvirus, produces two morphologically distinct forms of virions, both of which utilize intracellular transport. Viral replication occurs in the cytoplasm near the nucleus in a region termed the viral factory and results in the production of fully infectious particles termed mature virus (MV) (Moss, 2001) (Fig. 2). A subset of MV undergoes intracellular envelopment at the trans-Golgi network and endosomes to become intracellular enveloped virus (IEV) (Schmelz et al., 1994; Tooze et al., 1993). IEV are transported to the plasma membrane where they are released by fusion of their outermost membrane with the plasma membrane to become extracellular virions (EV) (Smith et al., 2002). IEV are transported to the cell periphery for fusion with the plasma membrane and release. In accordance with IEV transport toward the plus end of microtubules and the plasma membrane, conventional kinesin, KIF5b, was shown to localize to IEV (Rietdorf et al., 2001). IEV have a different set of viral proteins exposed to the cytoplasm compared to MV (Smith et al., 2002) and two IEV specific proteins, A36 and F12, have been proposed to link kinesin to IEV. Deletion of either F12L or A36R causes a reduction in plaque size on cell monolayers but not a total abrogation (Parkinson and Smith, 1994, van Eijl et al., 2000), indicating that some EV are transported and released in the absence of either protein. Mutagenesis studies of A36 revealed two distinct functions (Ward and Moss, 2001; Ward et al., 2003). The first is the activation of the cell's actin polymerization complex for actin tail formation, which enhances cell-to-cell spread. Mutations in A36 that abrogate actin tail formation cause a reduction in plaque size; whereas deletion of the entire A36R gene results in a further reduction in plaque size. These results indicate that an additional function has been lost that is likely to be IEV transport. Visualization of IEV movement in infected cells in the absence of A36 shows an overall reduction in their transport along with a drop in their processivity (Herrero-Martinez et al., 2005; Ward et al., 2003). In addition, A36 was shown to interact with the tetratricopeptide repeat domain of KLC using the yeast two-hybrid system and GST-pulldowns (Ward and Moss, 2004). This interaction was recently confirmed in infected cells using two photon-induced fluorescence resonance energy transfer fluorescence lifetime imaging microscopy (Jeshtadi et al., 2010). A recent study reported an alignment of F12 with KLC. F12 has 12% amino acid identity (50% similarity) with KLC (Morgan et al., 2010) and it was suggested that F12 acts as a KLC

![Fig. 2. Poxvirus egress. Poxviruses such as vaccinia virus replicate in the cytoplasm producing infectious MV at the viral factory. Some MV are transported by an unidentifed motor along microtubules to be enveloped at the TGN or endosomes to become IEV. IEV are transported to the cell periphery by KIF5b, where they engage an unknown myosin or active transport across the cortical actin network, fusion at the plasma membrane, and eventual release.](image-url)
The controversy is whether capsids are enveloped immediately after or, to look at it another way, where does envelope acquisition occur? Debate has arisen as to what is actually transported in nerve cells microtubule-based transport using kinesin. Since this was bidirectional and approached speeds of ~2 μm/s, consistent with microtubule-based transport using kinesin. The thinning of the actin network makes a thick mesh just under the plasma membrane that give cells their rigidity and presents a physical barrier to cargo (both viruses and secretory vesicles) exiting the cell. The thinning of the actin in this region by down regulation of mDia would act to facilitate IEV transport through the cortex. It is thought that thinning alone would not be sufficient and that active transport by a myosin motor is needed. Indeed, imaging studies suggest that IEV undergo movement that is different than that seen by IEV outside of the actin cortex. Currently there are no reports of which myosin is involved although, myosin II has been suggested (Arakawa et al., 2007a).

**Herpesvirus egress**

Herpesviruses also undergo a complex set of events for egress. Genome replication occurs and subsequent encapsidation occurs in the nucleus. Newly formed capsids traverse the nuclear envelope and are released into the cytoplasm where membrane acquisition occurs for intracellular envelopment and eventual release from the cell (Mettenleiter et al., 2009). Members of the alphaherpesviruses, such as pseudorabies virus and HSV1, are capable of replicating in neurons and need to travel the length of the axon for release (Lyman and Enquist, 2009) (Fig. 3). Fusion of GFP to the capsid protein UL35 of pseudorabies virus that expressed a capsid red fluorescent protein (RFP) chimera and a glycoprotein-GFP chimera, it was shown that approximately 85% of the RFP capsids that were undergoing anterograde transport had GFP associated with them, while the majority of the RFP only capsids were traveling retrograde (Antinone and Smith, 2006). Studies of HSV-1 failed to find colocalization of capsids and glycoprotein membranes during anterograde transport using both EM and immunofluorescence (Holland et al., 1999; Miranda-Saksena et al., 2000; Snyder et al., 2007, 2006), suggesting a fundamental difference between these two alpha herpesviruses. In addition, a reconstituted in vitro transport system demonstrated that unenveloped capsids were capable of microtubule movement (Wolfstein et al., 2006). It was found that capsids that had their outer tegument proteins removed were still able to move along microtubules in an ATP dependent fashion. In contrast, capsids purified from the nucleus, which are devoid of tegument proteins, did not. In addition, it was shown that the cofactor dynactin, which interacts with both kinesin and dynein, was required for motility. This raised the possibility that they were visualizing both anterograde and/or retrograde transport in their system. Subsequently, this group showed that capsids that have not been treated to remove their inner tegument were capable of binding dynemin, dynactin and kinesin-1 independently of other host proteins and that a single capsid could bind multiple motors simultaneously (Radtke et al., 2010). It seems logical that capsids would interact with both minus- and plus-end directed motors because they need to be transported to the nucleus for replication after entering the cell and upon exit from the nucleus they would require some form of transport, even if it is for a short distance, to reach wrapping membranes. Using an in vitro pull-down assay, the HSV-1 tegument protein US11 was found to bind the heavy chain of conventional kinesin (Diefenbach et al., 2002). This suggests a potential link for anterograde transport of capsids but its function during infection has not been explored. In a separate study using an in vitro trafficking system, Lee et al. showed that HSV-containing vesicles that cofractionated with the trans-Golgi network marker TC46 move in an ATP dependent manner on fluorescent microtubules (Lee et al., 2006). The movement in their assay was reduced by both dynein and kinesin specific inhibitors. While these assays establish that both capsids and enveloped virions are capable of bidirectional movement on microtubules, neither resolved the question of where envelope acquisition occurs for HSV-1 in neurons.

Quite recently, papers have come out supporting the model that enveloped HSV-1 virions are transported down axons for release.

![Fig. 3. Alphaherpesvirus egress in neurons. Herpesviruses replicate their genome in the nucleus. After nuclear encapsidation, capsids are released to the cytoplasm where they undergo envelopment at TGN or endosomes. Enveloped viruses engage kinesin for transport down the axon. At the axon terminal, virions engage myosin V for transport across the cortical actin network for fusion at the plasma membrane and release into the synapse.](image-url)
Using a similar approach as they did previously for pseudorabies virus, Antinone et al. created a recombinant HSV-1 that expressed a capsid protein-RFP fusion and an envelope protein–GFP fusion (Antinone et al., 2010). Imaging of neurons infected with the recombinant showed that over 60% of the anterograde moving capsids were associated with GFP, indicating that enveloped virions are transported down the axon for egress. These results are supported by two studies that found enveloped particles in axons using electron microscopy (Huang et al., 2011; Negatsch et al., 2010). Notably, it was reported that found enveloped particles in axons down the axon for egress. These results are supported by two studies associated with GFP, indicating that enveloped virions are transported et al., 2010). Imaging of neurons infected with the recombinant release (Roberts and Baines, 2010). Myosin V is known to transport membrane. A recent article reported that expression of a dominant negative form of the actin motor myosin V reduced herpesvirus release (Roberts and Baines, 2010). Myosin V is known to transport secretory vesicles across the cortical actin network, suggesting that crossing the cortical actin network is an active process and that myosin V is involved. The dominant negative form consists of the cargo binding and dimerization domains but has the actin binding head domain replaced with GFP. The dominant negative functions by binding cargo and preventing its interaction with normal myosin V and, therefore, transport. A protein involved in tethering HSV to the motor was not reported, but considering that the outer envelope is cellular in origin, the linking protein could be either viral or cellular.

Transport of HIV

Much like viruses that assemble in the cytoplasm, viruses that assemble at the plasma membrane must transport their replicated genomes safely to the plasma membrane for assembly and release. A key step in the assembly of lentiviruses is the transport of genomic RNA to the plasma membrane for incorporation into virions (Fig. 4). Genomic RNA is produced in the nucleus and, at some point during assembly, needs to associate with the major viral structural protein Gag (Resh, 2004). Four domains and corresponding functions have been uncovered for Gag. Interaction with membranes occurs through the p17 matrix domain and requires myristylation. The p6 domain is involved in membrane pinch off and release. The p24 capsid domain and p7 nucleocapsid domains are involved in particle assembly and multimerization. In addition, the p7 domain interacts with specific packaging signals on the genome. Using a yeast two-hybrid assay and immunoprecipitation the p17 domain of Gag was shown to interact with the C-terminal tail of KIF4 (Kim et al., 1998; Tang et al., 1999). Subsequently, it was shown that knockdown of KIF4 led to an accumulation of Gag in the perinuclear region (Martinez et al., 2008). This raised the possibility that the genome and Gag were transported as a complex to the plasma membrane for assembly but it was unclear where in the cell the interaction first occurred. Using the ssRNA binding coat protein of the bacteriaphage MS2 fused to a fluorescent protein (MS2-XFP), Kemler et al. were able to visualize HIV and FIV RNA that was engineered to contain MS2 phage specific sequences (Kemler et al., 2010). In the absence of other viral proteins, the RNA/MS2-XFP complex appeared to localize to the nuclear envelope. The addition of Gag caused a redistribution of the RNA to the cytoplasm with a concentration at the plasma membrane. The redistribution was dependent on the RNA containing the packaging signal and a corresponding Gag protein. These results suggest that Gag binds newly replicated RNA genomes as they exit the nucleus. The Gag-RNA complexes interact with KIF4 in the perinuclear region and are transported to the plasma membrane for incorporation into budding virus.

Transport of other ribonucleoprotein complexes

The Rab family of small GTPases is known for their role in regulating intracellular fusion events (Akhmanova and Hammer, 2010; Grosshans et al., 2006; Schimmoller et al., 1998; Stenmark, 2009). Over the past several years, they have been shown to interact with various motors and are now thought to recruit specific motors, through adaptor proteins, to specific organelles for vesicle transport. RabS are capable of recruiting different types of motors to the same organelle. For example, Rab11 can recruit both myosin Vb and kinesin-2 to recycling endosomes through different adaptor proteins (Akhmanova and Hammer, 2010). Recently, it was shown that the ribonucleocapsid from Sendai virus (vRNP), a member of the Paramyxoviridae, trafficked with Rab11 (Chambers and Takimoto, 2010). This was accomplished by fusing the viral polymerase protein L, which is packaged into nascent vRNP, to GFP. In cells infected with a recombinant Sendai virus expressing the L-GFP chimera, fluorescent particles could be visualized moving through cells with speeds between 0.41 and 1.04 μm/s. This movement was sensitive to nocodazole indicating that it was microtubule based. In addition, the

![Fig. 4. HIV egress. RNA genomic copies are produced in the nucleus and transported to the cytoplasm where they interact with Gag at the nuclear envelope. The RNP complex engages KIF4 for microtubule transport to the plasma membrane where the RNP is incorporated into budding virions and released from the cell.](image-url)
recycling endosome marker transferrin was imaged moving with vRNP. Taken together, these results suggest that the vRNP are transported through the cytoplasm along microtubules with vesicles from the recycling endosome. It also implies that Sendai vRNP is transported to the plasma membrane by kinesin-2.

The fusion of the coronavirus nucleocapsid protein to GFP permitted the visualization of its intracellular trafficking in cells cotransfected with plasmids that express M and E (Siu et al., 2008). Like Sendai virus, the N protein was found associated with vesicles in the cytoplasm. The vesicles moved and merged with each other. Although there was no analysis of the movement or use of nocodazole, the transport was sensitive to Brefeldin A, indicating that it may be interacting with secretory vesicles.

In a similar fashion, the phosphoprotein P of VSV, a subunit of the viral RNA polymerase was tagged with GFP (PeGFP) (Das et al., 2006). PeGFP was both stable and functional and incorporated into virions although at reduced levels compared to wild type P. The fusion permitted the visualization of RNP in live cells, which was reported to associate with mitochondria as they moved toward the periphery of the cell. The movement was sensitive to nocodazole, indicating that it was microtubule dependent and averaged 0.03 μm/s.

Summary and future directions

In order to exit the cell without lysis, viruses need to be efficiently transported to the plasma membrane for final assembly and egress. The microtubule network is emerging as the preferred choice for this transportation. This is not surprising, as it seems to be the most direct route to the plasma membrane. Of key interest is to determine which viral proteins mediate motor recruitment either directly or indirectly and how this recruitment is regulated. For viruses that undergo intracellular envelopment, proteins in the wrapping membrane that interact with motor proteins need to be regulated to ensure that they only interact after envelopment has occurred. Vaccinia virus has set up an interaction cascade that may be involved in just such a regulation (Ward, 2005a). Understanding how viruses regulate this interaction will not only give greater insight into viral processes but also help in understanding motor recruitment and activation by cellular membranes.

From the few examples known, there appears to be a trend of RNA viruses to transport their vRNP to the plasma membrane in conjunction with cellular membranes of the secretory system. Understanding this process for other RNA viruses will help determine if this is a common theme or if there are differences. Utilizing GFP fusions has proven to be a powerful tool for tracking vRNP egress. However, viruses that have strict genome packaging limits, such as orthomyxoviruses and arenaviruses, may not tolerate the addition of GFP to critical proteins. The use of packaging cell lines, in which some of the viral proteins are encoded by the cell (Martinez-Sobrido et al., 2010), could alleviate part of this restriction and allow GFP fusions and subsequent trafficking studies of the capsids and vRNP in these viruses.

The cortical actin network presents a barrier that all viruses must cross for egress. Poxviruses and herpesviruses seem to have taken a proactive approach for transport through the network to the plasma membrane by thinning the network and engaging myosin. It seems likely that other viruses may employ a similar strategy. Exactly which myosin mediates transport across the network needs to be determined for each virus as different myosins are expressed in different cell types. As it seems likely that viruses carry both types (kinesin and myosin) with them as they travel through the cytoplasm, the molecular events that coordinate the switch from microtubule transport in the cytoplasm to actin transport at the cortical actin network will need to be worked out.
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