Abstract: Essential oils were proposed as natural additives to ensure food safety and quality in a more sustainable approach. The chemical composition of Thymus vulgaris essential oil (TV-EO) collected from Morocco, its antioxidant and antimicrobial activity against different serotypes of Salmonella enterica was investigated. A mathematical model was implemented to predict the Salmonella behavior when exposed to TV-EO. In situ antimicrobial activity and sensory influence were tested in minced poultry meat experimentally contaminated with Salmonella and treated with TV-EO. Hydrodistillation was used to extract TV-EO, and gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) analysis found thymol as the most representative compound. Results of the antioxidant activity showed an IC₅₀ of 0.29 ± 0.04 mg/mL, EC₅₀ of 0.74 ± 0.08 mg/mL, and RC₅₀ of 0.59 ± 0.06 mg/mL. All the Salmonella strains were susceptible to TV-EO with performing results for the disc diffusion method (inhibition diameters ranged between 24 ± 0.4 mm and 32 ± 0.6 mm), determination of minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC; 0.5%) and minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC; 1%), sublethal-injured cells (7.99 ± 0.08%), in situ activity (growth inhibition after 3 days), and meat sensory preservation (up to 1 week). The implemented mathematical model well fitted the Salmonella growth curve. TV-EO with significant antioxidant and antibacterial activities was suitable to ensure food safety and quality consistent with the new sustainable trends in the food field.
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1. Introduction

Nowadays, economic and demographic growth involves greater exploitation of natural resources, with an inevitable environmental impact. Therefore, more sustainable food production is needed to meet the requirements of a constantly growing world population [1]. In this scenario, several natural compounds were more frequently used in the food industry to ensure the safety and quality of foodstuffs [2].

The use of essential oils (EOs) of aromatic plants was proposed as natural additives being a suitable and sustainable alternative to the synthetic ones, thanks to their beneficial effects and safe status [3–5]. EOs are oily mixtures of different organic compounds obtained by distillation or pressing from a single type of vegetable, of which they maintain
certain characteristics such as taste and smell [6]. Several properties of EOs have been described, including antioxidant, antimicrobial, antiparasitic, antiviral, anti-inflammatory, anti-ulcerous, and anti-carcinogenic activity [7–11]. The antimicrobial effects of EOs were tested in foods for spoilage organisms to extend their shelf-life and against foodborne pathogens to ensure their safety [12–16]. However, the use of EOs is conditioned by their influences on the organoleptic properties of foods, which are not always appreciated by consumers [5]. Therefore, the choice of EOs should be among those obtained from spices and plants commonly used in culinary preparation. In this regard, the essential oil of Thymus vulgaris (Linneo, 1753; TV-EO) represented a good compromise considering its reported antioxidant, antimicrobial, and antiparasitic effects in foods associated with pleasant organoleptic attributes [14,17]. In particular, inhibitory effects of TV-EO were observed against spoilage bacteria (Proteus mirabilis, Pseudomonas luteola, Enterococcus faecalis, and Serratia liquefaciens) [18], yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae and Zygosaccharomyces bailii) [19], and mold (Aspergillus fumigatus and Candida spp.) [20] as well as foodborne pathogens (Bacillus cereus, Yersinia spp., Pseudomonas aeruginosa; Salmonella spp., Listeria monocytogenes, Campylobacter coli, Staphylococcus aureus, and Escherichia coli O157:H7) [21,22], and zoonotic (Anisakis spp. and Trichinella spiralis) [14,23] and non-zoonotic parasites (Tetranychus cinnabarinus and Trichomonas gallinae) [24].

Worldwide, an estimated number of 600 million people fall ill after eating contaminated food, and 420,000 die every year, resulting in the loss of 33 million lives per year [25]. In this scenario, Salmonella spp. play a key role being one of the main causes of known foodborne outbreaks worldwide [26,27]. Salmonella is a ubiquitous gram-negative bacteria member of the family of Enterobacteriaceae: generally motile, facultatively anaerobic, able to infect a multitude of hosts mainly via fecal-oral route [28]. Human infection is primarily related to the consumption of contaminated water or foods, most frequently eggs and raw meat from pigs, turkeys, and chickens [29–32].

In order to ensure food safety, several authors proposed a predictive approach to study the behavior of pathogen bacteria [33,34]. Predictive microbiology can be effectively associated with new technological processes to obtain mathematical models capable of providing a useful tool in the management of food hazards.

In this scenario, this study aimed to investigate the chemical composition of TV-EO and evaluated its antioxidant activity and antibacterial effects against different serotypes of Salmonella enterica. A predictive model was implemented to study the effects of TV-EO against Salmonella based on the in vitro test results. Finally, in order to assess the in situ antimicrobial activity and the sensory influence, minced poultry meat was experimentally contaminated with Salmonella strains and then treated with different concentrations of TV-EO.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Extraction of the Essential Oil

Thymus vulgaris plants were collected in May 2017, from their natural habitat in the mountainous region of Ifrane (North center of Morocco) at an altitude of approximately 1530 m (33°38′34.0″ N; 4°59′03.7″ W) and identified according to the procedure described by Fennane et al. [35]. Then, leaves were separated from the other parts of the plants and dried in the absence of light for one week up to 10 days. The extraction of EO was carried out by hydrodistillation in a Clevenger-type apparatus (IsoLab Laborgäte GmbH, Wertheim, Germany). Then, the obtained EO was dried under anhydrous sodium sulfate (Sigma-Aldrich, Buchs, Switzerland) and stored in sealed glass vials at 4°C until its use. The yield of the TV-EO (%) was determined according to the dry matter of the plant.

2.2. Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry Analysis

The chemical composition of TV-EO was determined using the Agilent 6890 N Gas Chromatograph equipped with an HP-5MS capillary column (50 m × 0.200 mm i.d., film thickness: 0.33 μm). The gas chromatography (GC) oven temperature was programmed to increase from 60 to 250 °C at a rate of 4 °C/min and finally held for 15 min.
The transfer line temperature was 250 °C. Helium was used as the carrier gas at a flow rate of 1.1 mL/min, with a split ratio equal to 1/100. The quadrupole mass spectrometer was scanned over 35–465 amu, with an ionizing voltage of 70 eV and an ionization current of 150 mA. The percentage composition of oils was computed from the GC peak areas without correction factors. Alkane standard mixture (Sigma-Aldrich, Buchs, Switzerland) was used as calibration standard to calculate the retention index (RI). The constituents were identified by comparing their RI with those reported in the literature and the mass spectrometer (MS) with corresponding data in the computer MS libraries (NIST MS SEARCH 2.0).

2.3. Antioxidant Activity

The antioxidant activity of TV-EO was performed by using three different methods, including DPPH scavenging assay, reducing the power of iron (III) to iron (II), and β-carotene bleaching assay according to the protocols used by Ed-Dra et al. [13]. In this study, the concentration providing 50% inhibition (IC_{50}) by using DPPH scavenging assay was calculated from the curve representing the inhibition percentage and the concentrations of TV-EO, as well as the concentration providing 50% inhibition (RC_{50}) by using β-carotene bleaching assay was calculated by plotting inhibition percentage against the TV-EO concentrations. However, EC_{50}, which is the effective concentration of TV-EO at which the absorbance is 0.5, was determined by reducing the power of iron (III) to iron (II) assay.

2.4. Antibacterial Activity

2.4.1. Preparation of the Strains

The antibacterial activity of TV-EO was performed in vitro against eight different wild strains of *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* (Table 1). All the strains had been previously isolated from different meat products [30] and identified by genetic diagnosis techniques [36]. All the working cultures used in this study were prepared by inoculating a loopful from the frozen stock (−80 °C) in Tryptone Soya Agar (TSA; Biolife, Milan, Italy) and incubated at 37 °C for 24 h.

| Strains ID | Strains Information | Serotype | Origin       |
|------------|---------------------|----------|--------------|
| S1         | MG869132 *          | Typhimurium | turkey sausages |
| S2         | KX355308 *          | Typhimurium | turkey sausages |
| S3         | KX355300 *          | Kentucky  | beef sausages  |
| S4         | KX355309 *          | Corvallis | turkey sausages |
| S5         | KX355310 *          | Kentucky  | turkey sausages |
| S6         | KX355311 *          | Saintpul  | turkey sausages |
| S7         | MG869130 *          | Kentucky  | turkey sausages |
| S8         | KX355302 *          | Kentucky  | beef sausages  |

* Accession number.

TV-EO was mixed with Tween 80 (Biolife, Milan, Italy) at a concentration of 5% in order to facilitate its diffusion in the culture medium.

2.4.2. Disc Diffusion Method

Disc diffusion method was performed to preliminary test the antibacterial activity of TV-EO against the different strains of *Salmonella* according to a modified protocol of Mazzarino et al. [37]. A 0.5 McFarland (10^8 CFU/mL; Spectrophotometer, SmartSpec Plus, Biorad, Milan, Italy) of bacterial suspension in physiological water (0.9% NaCl) was prepared and inoculated by swabbing on Mueller–Hinton Agar plates (Biolife, Milan, Italy). Ten μL of TV-EO were dropped on 6 mm (diameter) sterile paper discs (Biolife, Milan, Italy). A disc with 10 μL of Tween 80 at a concentration of 5% was used as a negative control, while Cefotaxime (30 μg; CTX) was used as a reference test. Plates were incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. The inhibition diameter was measured in millimeters (disk included) and expressed as means ± standard deviation of three replicates. The antibacterial ac-
tivity was classified into three levels: weak (inhibition zone $\leq 12.0$ mm), intermediate ($12.1$ mm $\leq$ inhibition zone $\leq 20.0$ mm), and strong (inhibition zone $\geq 20.1$ mm) [37].

2.4.3. Determination of MIC and MBC with the Broth Dilution Method

The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of the TV-EO was assessed by microdilution assay. In sterile microtubes containing 100 $\mu$L of Brain Heart Infusion (BHI; Biolife, Milan, Italy), plus 5% of Tween 80 (BHI + T), decreasing concentrations of TV-EO from 4% to 0.0625% ($v/v$), were prepared. Subsequently, 4 $\mu$L of $10^8$ CFU/g bacterial suspensions were added to each tube. All suspensions were mixed and incubated at 37 $^\circ$C for 24 h. The positive control consisted of liquid media (BHI + T) without TV-EO inoculated with bacterium suspensions. Uninoculated microtubes containing liquid media (BHI + T) plus TV-EO served as the negative control. After incubation, the microtubes with the lowest concentration of TV-EO and without visible growth of bacteria were considered as the MIC. The minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) was determined by sub-culturing samples from the microtubes on TSA incubated at 37 $^\circ$C for 24 h. The lower concentration that did not present any growth on media was considered as the MBC.

2.4.4. Determination of the Percentage of Sublethal-Injured Salmonella

The percentage of sublethal-injured Salmonella was calculated according to the protocol described by Silva-Angulo et al. [38]. A Salmonella strain was inoculated in Tryptic Soy Broth (TSB; Biolife, Milan, Italy) with a concentration of TV-EO equal to the half of MIC and incubated at 37 $^\circ$C for 24 h. Series of decimal dilutions were prepared in sterile peptone water (8.5 g NaCl, 1g peptone, in 1 L of water), and 100 $\mu$L of each dilution were plated onto TSA and TSA plus 5% of NaCl (TSA-S) and incubated at 37 $^\circ$C for 24–48 h. The percentage of sublethal-injured cells was estimated using the following Equation (1):

$$\text{Sublethal-injured colonies } (%) = [1 − (\text{Count on TSA-S}/\text{Count on TSA})] * 100 \quad (1)$$

TSA, as generic media, supports the growth of both uninjured and injured bacteria, whereas the TSA-S, as not optimal media, supports only the growth of uninjured bacteria. Salmonella inoculated in TSB without TV-EO and plated onto TSA-S was considered as control samples. All the experiments were performed at triplicates in different times.

2.4.5. In vitro Effect of TV-EO on the Growth of Salmonella

The Salmonella strain S2 (the least sensible strain) was inoculated in TSB with different concentrations of TV-EO (0%, 0.25%, 0.4%, and 0.5%) and incubated both at 10 $^\circ$C and 20 $^\circ$C. The bacterial load was periodically evaluated until the growth curve of the control samples (0% TV-EO) reached the stationary phase. The bacterial count was performed by preparing a series of decimal dilutions in sterile peptone water, and 100 $\mu$L of each dilution was pour-plated into Xylose Lysine Deoxycholate Agar (XLD; Biolife, Milan, Italy). All the plates were incubated at 37 $^\circ$C for 24 h. All tests were performed in triplicates at different times.

2.5. Predictive Model

A mathematical model was implemented to predict the effects of the different concentrations of TV-EO on the in vitro growth of Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica. The predictive approach herein adopted relied on the principles proposed by Giuffrida et al. [39]. Particularly, the model was based on the introduction of a variable that takes into account the inhibiting effect of TV-EO into the primary model rather than in a secondary one. In this way, the introduced variable reproduced the antibacterial effect without interfering with growth rate and allowing to use a generical secondary model based on the main environmental variables such as temperature. The predictive model was based on the
set of differential equations of the Baranyi and Roberts’ model [40], where the bacterial concentration \( N \) at the time \( t \) is generically expressed as follows:

\[
\frac{dN}{dt} = \mu_{\text{max}} N \ast \frac{Q}{(1 + Q)} \ast [1 - \frac{N}{N_{\text{max}}}]
\]

(2)

\[
\frac{dQ}{dt} = \mu_{\text{max}} Q
\]

(3)

Here \( \mu_{\text{max}} \) is the maximum specific growth rate and \( N_{\text{max}} \) the theoretically maximum population densities of the bacterial population; \( Q \) represents the physiological state of the species and, as expressed in Equations (2) and (3), allows to calculate the lag time duration (hours). In order to take into account the antibacterial activity of TV-EO, the term \( \xi \) is introduced into Equation (2), obtaining Equation (4):

\[
\frac{dN}{dt} = \mu_{\text{max}} N \ast \frac{Q}{(1 + Q)} \ast [1 - \frac{N}{N_{\text{max}}}] \ast \xi
\]

(4)

with \( \xi < 1 \).

In this way, the term \( \xi \) reduces the concentration of \( N \) without interfering with the calculation of \( \mu_{\text{max}} \). The expression of the antibacterial effect is inversely proportional to the \( \xi \) values.

The model was numerically solved by the Euler method, and the solver function of Microsoft Excel was used for each growth curve of each data set in order to calculate appropriate \( \xi \) values related to each data set. Furthermore, for the numerical solving of Equation (5), the secondary model of Ratowsky, as modified by Gumudavelli et al. [41] for Salmonella enteritidis in egg yolk, was introduced into Equation (4) to calculate the \( \mu_{\text{max}} \) values as a function of temperature, according to the below Equation (5):

\[
\mu_{\text{max}} = a \ast (T - T_{\text{min}})^2 \ast \{1 - \exp \ast [b \ast (T - T_{\text{max}})]\}
\]

(5)

with \( a = 0.0011; b = 0.27469; T_{\text{min}} = 6.13; T_{\text{max}} = 46.26 \).

For the resolution of the complete model (Equations (4) and (5)), the primary parameters \( Q_0 \) and \( N_{\text{max}} \) were obtained as follow: Particularly, \( N_{\text{max}} \) was expressed by the mean value of the observed data, while \( Q_0 \) was calculated by fitting each predicted curve to the observed ones.

The effect of the \( \xi \) parameter on the goodness of the prediction was evaluated by the calculation of the root-mean-squared error (RMSE). Furthermore, in order to study the relation between \( \xi \) values and the percentage of TV-EO, a linear regression test was performed.

2.6. In situ Antimicrobial Activity of TV-EO in Poultry Minced Meat

In order to evaluate the in situ inhibition of Salmonella, about 2500 g of poultry minced meat was artificially contaminated with a mix of all the eight previously tested Salmonella strains. Then, the samples were divided into five parts; one was considered as a negative control without any treatment of TV-EO, whereas the other parts were mixed with TV-EO at different concentrations (0.125%, 0.25%, 0.5%, and 1%). Three batches were prepared for each concentration. Then all the samples were stored at 4 °C and analyzed periodically (up to 13 days) for the count of Enterobacteriaceae and Salmonella. For the microbiological analysis, 25 g of the sample were mixed with 225 mL of peptone water (PW; Biolife, Milan, Italy), then the series of decimal dilutions were prepared, always in PW. Enterobacteriaceae were enumerated on Violet Red Bile Glucose Agar (VRBG; Biolife, Milan, Italy) and incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. Salmonella was counted in XLD Agar (Biolife, Milan, Italy) after incubation at 37 °C for 24 h. When loads of Salmonella were lower than the detection limit of the method (10 CFU/g), the presence of Salmonella was further checked according to ISO 6579-1:2017 [42].
2.7. Test on Poultry Minced Meat: Sensory Analysis

Sensory analysis was performed according to ISO guidelines [43–45]. Six experienced panelists were chosen among the staff of the laboratory of the Inspection of Foods of Animal Origin (Department of Veterinary Sciences, Messina, Italy). These panelists had been previously trained to gain confidence with the sensory characteristics of raw and cooked minced poultry meat. Each panelist performed three different assays in separate conditions at 0, 1, 3, 6, 9, and 13 days of storage at 4 °C for each batch of minced meat treated with different TV-EO concentrations (0.125%, 0.25%, 0.5%, 1%). Untreated minced meat was used as control. Before the assessments, each sample was kept at room temperature for 20 min. Panelists were asked to score the odor and color of the raw mince samples. For taste evaluation, each sample was cooked in a microwave (300 w) at 180 °C for 30 min and then served to the panelists. The protocol proposed by Smaoui et al. [46] was modified and used to carry out the sensory analysis based on a 10 points hedonic scale, where 10 = like extremely, 1 = dislike extremely, and the values of 5 (neither like nor dislike) and higher were considered acceptable. Samples with scores below 5 were considered unacceptable, and the sensory analysis was stopped.

2.8. Statistical Analysis

All the measurements were performed in triplicate, and the results were presented as means ± standard deviation. The differences between the effect of the TV-EO and the CTX in the disc diffusion method were statistically analyzed using the Student’s t-test, while the effect of the TV-EO against the different strains was compared using a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). The in situ effects of the different concentrations of the TV-EO on the inhibition of bacteria and the sensory analysis of poultry minced meat were statistically analyzed using a one-way ANOVA test, and Fisher’s least significant difference (LSD) test was used as post hoc analysis. A probability level of $p < 0.05$ was used to test the statistical significance of all experimental data. All the statistical analyses were achieved using the Graph Pad Prism 8 software (San Diego, CA, USA) and XLSTAT (Addinsoft, New York, NY, USA).

3. Results

3.1. Essential Oil Content and GC-MC Analysis

The yield of TV-EO was determined on the basis of dry weight. Our results showed that Thymus vulgaris was rich in EO with a yield of about 3.12 ± 0.14%. Additionally, the chemical composition performed by GC-MS identified 16 different components, representing 91.56% of the total composition of TV-EO (Table 2). Thymol (38.677%), p-cymene (15.66%), carvacrol (14.889%), and γ-terpinene (13.308%) were the most represented. Moreover, this study showed that TV-EO was rich in oxygenated monoterpenes (54.944%) and monoterpenes hydrocarbons (33.355%).

3.2. Antioxidant Activity

TV-EO showed a good antioxidant power represented by an IC₅₀ of 0.29 ± 0.04 mg/mL, EC₅₀ of 0.74 ± 0.08 mg/mL, and RC₅₀ of 0.59 ± 0.06 mg/mL (Table 3). These results were compared with those of the standards, such as ascorbic acid (IC₅₀ = 0.031 ± 0.001 mg/mL, and EC₅₀ = 0.095 ± 0.002 mg/mL), quercetin (IC₅₀ = 0.012 ± 0.002 mg/mL, EC₅₀ = 0.019 ± 0.003 mg/mL, and RC₅₀ = 0.052 ± 0.007 mg/mL), and butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT) (RC₅₀ = 0.063 ± 0.004 mg/mL).
Table 2. Chemical composition of *Thymus vulgaris* essential oils (TV-EO).

| N° | Component             | RI<sub>obs</sub> | RI<sub>lit</sub> | Formula | Method of Identification | Concentration (Peak Area %) |
|----|-----------------------|------------------|------------------|---------|--------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1  | α-Pinene              | 941              | 933              | C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>16</sub> | RI, MSL                  | 0.659                      |
| 2  | Camphene              | 952              | 953              | C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>16</sub> | RI, MSL                  | 0.318                      |
| 3  | β-Pinene              | 982              | 978              | C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>16</sub> | RI, MSL                  | 0.060                      |
| 4  | 3-Carene              | 1012             | 1009             | C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>16</sub> | RI, MSL                  | 2.180                      |
| 5  | β-Phellandrene        | 1032             | 1023             | C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>16</sub> | RI, MSL                  | 0.080                      |
| 6  | O-Cymene              | 1024             | 1024             | C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>14</sub> | RI, MSL                  | 0.753                      |
| 7  | p-Cymene              | 1025             | 1025             | C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>14</sub> | RI, MSL                  | 15.660                     |
| 8  | Limonene              | 1030             | 1030             | C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>16</sub> | RI, MSL                  | 0.528                      |
| 9  | γ-Terpinene           | 1058             | 1058             | C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>16</sub> | RI, MSL                  | 13.308                     |
| 10 | cis-Sabinene hydrate  | 1066             | 1069             | C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>18</sub> | RI, MSL                  | 0.489                      |
| 11 | Borneol               | 1169             | 1173             | C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>18</sub>O | RI, MSL                  | 0.721                      |
| 12 | α-Terpineol           | 1193             | 1195             | C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>18</sub>O | RI, MSL                  | 0.657                      |
| 13 | Thymol                | 1302             | 1293             | C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>18</sub>O | RI, MSL                  | 38.677                     |
| 14 | Carvacrol             | 1310             | 1300             | C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>14</sub>O | RI, MSL                  | 14.889                     |
| 15 | β-Caryophyllene       | 1426             | 1424             | C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>24</sub> | RI, MSL                  | 2.728                      |
| 16 | Caryophyllene oxide   | 1575             | 1587             | C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>24</sub>O | RI, MSL                  | 0.538                      |

Total identified (%) 91.565

Monoterpene hydrocarbons 33.355
Sesquiterpene hydrocarbons 2.728
Oxygenated monoterpenes 54.944
Oxygenated sesquiterpenes 0.538

RI<sub>obs</sub>: retention index observed in this study; RI<sub>lit</sub>: retention index taken from the literature; MSL: Mass Spectral Library.

Table 3. Antioxidant activity of TV-EO.

|          | Ascorbic Acid | Quercetin | BHT | TV-EO |
|----------|--------------|-----------|-----|-------|
| IC<sub>50</sub> (mg/mL) | 0.031 ± 0.001 <sup>A</sup> | 0.012 ± 0.002 <sup>B</sup> | -   | 0.29 ± 0.04 <sup>C</sup> |
| EC<sub>50</sub> (mg/mL) | 0.095 ± 0.002 <sup>A</sup> | 0.019 ± 0.003 <sup>B</sup> | -   | 0.74 ± 0.08 <sup>C</sup> |
| RC<sub>50</sub> (mg/mL) | -            | 0.052 ± 0.007 <sup>A</sup> | 0.063 ± 0.004 <sup>A</sup> | 0.59 ± 0.06 <sup>C</sup> |

Results are expressed in mg/mL. Different letters in the same row represent significant differences. (-): not tested.

3.3. Antimicrobial Activity

3.3.1. Disc Diffusion Method, MIC, MBC, and Percentage of Sublethal-Injured Cells

The results obtained for the disc diffusion assay showed that all the *Salmonella* strains tested were susceptible to the TV-EO activity. For all the tested strains, the antimicrobial activity of TV-EO was considered strong since the diameters of inhibition were ≥20.1 mm; in particular, between 24 ± 0.4 mm and 32 ± 0.6 mm (Figure 1). Despite the zones of inhibition determined by the TV-EO were larger than those determined by the reference antibiotic (CTX), only for S1 and S2, strains there were of significant difference (<i>p</i> < 0.05) related to the resistance at the reference test; not significant differences were observed among the susceptibility of the strains to the TV-EO (<i>p</i> > 0.05).
related to the resistance at the reference test; not significant differences were observed among the susceptibility of the strains to the TV-EO ($p > 0.05$).

Figure 1. Inhibition diameters (mm) of the tested EOs against the eight different strains of *Salmonella enteritidis*. CTX = Cefotaxime (30 µg) as reference test; TV-EO = *Thymus vulgaris* essential oil (10 µL).

The results obtained by the microdilution assay confirmed the performing antimicrobial activity of the TV-EO observed in the agar disk diffusion test. In detail, all the tested strains showed the same susceptibility to TV-EO that had a MIC of 0.5% and an MBC of 1% (Table 4).

Table 4. Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) in percentage (v/v) of TV-EO against *Salmonella* and percentage of sublethal-injured cell after exposition to TV-EO.

| ID Strain | MIC  | MBC  | r   |
|-----------|------|------|-----|
| S1        | 0.5% | 1%   | 2   |
| S2        | 0.5% | 1%   | 2   |
| S3        | 0.5% | 1%   | 2   |
| S4        | 0.5% | 1%   | 2   |
| S5        | 0.5% | 1%   | 2   |
| S6        | 0.5% | 1%   | 2   |
| S7        | 0.5% | 1%   | 2   |
| S8        | 0.5% | 1%   | 2   |

Table 4. Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) in percentage (v/v) of TV-EO against *Salmonella* and percentage of sublethal-injured cell after exposition to TV-EO.

| % Sublethal-Injured Cell | ID Strain | MIC  | MBC  | r   |
|--------------------------|-----------|------|------|-----|
| Control                  |           |      |      |     |
| TV-EO                    | S1        | 0.5% | 1%   | 2   |
|                          | S2        | 0.5% | 1%   | 2   |
|                          | S3        | 0.5% | 1%   | 2   |
|                          | S4        | 0.5% | 1%   | 2   |
|                          | S5        | 0.5% | 1%   | 2   |
|                          | S6        | 0.5% | 1%   | 2   |
|                          | S7        | 0.5% | 1%   | 2   |
|                          | S8        | 0.5% | 1%   | 2   |

Considering that the MIC and MBC were the same for all the tested strains, the percentages of sublethal-injured cells caused by TV-EO were established for only one *Salmonella* strain, and the results obtained are presented in Table 4. Our findings showed that TV-EO at the half-MIC concentration (0.25%) resulted in sublethal damage to *Salmonella*, which was more susceptible to the well-known bacteriostatic activity of salt [47]. In this regard, the percentage of sublethal-injured *Salmonella* was 7.99 ± 0.08%, definitely higher than those observed in the control samples of 3.72 ± 0.04%.
3.3.2. In Vitro Effect of TV-EO on the Growth of Salmonella

The obtained results showed that TV-EO inhibited the growth of *Salmonella* at all the tested concentrations (Figure 2). However, the antibacterial efficiency was significant only for the highest concentrations. In fact, the observed growth curves for 0.25% TV-EO were stackable to those observed for the control samples at both storage temperatures. On the other hand, the 0.4% and 0.5% TV-EO efficiently slowed the growth rate at both storage temperatures. When at 10 °C, the control samples reached the stationary phase (8.53 ± 0.37 Log CFU/g) after 144 h, the load of samples at both storage temperatures. When at 10 °C, the control samples reached the stationary phase (8.53 ± 0.37 Log CFU/g) after 144 h, the load of samples treated with 0.4% TV-EO was more than 2 Log lower (6.38 ± 0.37 Log CFU/g). The 0.5% TV-EO even led to complete inhibition of growth already after 48 h at 10 °C. The antimicrobial effect was also confirmed by the results obtained at 20 °C. In fact, loads of 9 ± 0.39 Log CFU/g were counted after 52 h in the control samples, while for samples treated with 0.4% and 0.5% TV-EO, lower loads of 8.15 ± 0.43 and 7.61 ± 0.44 were counted, respectively.
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**Figure 2.** The in vitro effect of TV-EO (v/v) on the growth of *Salmonella* at 20 °C (a) and 10 °C (b).

3.4. Predictive Model

The values obtained for $\xi$, $N_{\max}$, and $Q_0$ for each TV-EO concentration are reported in Table 5. Figures 3 and 4 show the predicted data obtained resolving Equations (3) and (4) with the specific $\xi$ values. As observed in Table 5 and Figures 3 and 4, the proposed model allowed to obtain a good fitting with the data of *Salmonella* growth obtained in the in vitro treatments, with the different concentrations of TV-EO. The goodness of the predictive approach derived from the proposed model was confirmed by the good fitting observed for the growth curve of the untreated samples of *Salmonella*.

**Table 5.** Primary parameters for each predicted curve.

| % TV-EO | 20 °C | 10 °C |
|---------|-------|-------|
| $\xi$ values | 0% | 0.5% | 0.4% | 0.25% | 0% | 0.5% | 0.4% | 0.25% |
| $Q_0$ | 0.5 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| $N_{\max}$ | 8.6 | 8.6 | 8.6 | 8.6 | 10.6 | 10.6 | 10.6 | 10.6 |
Figure 3. Predicted (dotted line) and observed (squares) growth curves of *Salmonella* at 20 °C. Panel (A): control series; panel (B): 0.5% TV-EO and $\xi = -2.5$; panel (C): 0.5% TV-EO and $\xi = 0.0$; panel (D): 0.4% TV-EO and $\xi = 0.85$; panel (E): 0.4% TV-EO and $\xi = 0.00$; panel (F): 0.25% TV-EO and $\xi = 0.9$; panel (G): 0.25% TV-EO and $\xi = 0.0$. 
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**Figure 3.** Predicted (dotted line) and observed (squares) growth curves of *Salmonella* at 20 °C. Panel (A): control series; panel (B): 0.5% TV-EO and $\xi = -2.5$; panel (C): 0.5% TV-EO and $\xi = 0.0$; panel (D): 0.4% TV-EO and $\xi = 0.85$; panel (E): 0.4% TV-EO and $\xi = 0.00$; panel (F): 0.25% TV-EO and $\xi = 0.9$; panel (G): 0.25% TV-EO and $\xi = 0.0$. 
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Figure 4. Predicted (dotted line) and observed (squares) growth curves of *Salmonella* at 10 °C. Panel (A): control series; panel (B): 0.5% TV-EO and $\xi = 0.4$; panel (C): 0.5% TV-EO and $\xi = 0.0$; panel (D): 0.4% TV-EO and $\xi = 0.55$; panel (E): 0.4% TV-EO and $\xi = 0.00$; panel (F): 0.25% TV-EO and $\xi = 0.7$; panel (G): 0.25% TV-EO and $\xi = 0.0$.

The linear regression observed at 20 °C (Figure 5A) showed a linear relationship between the $\xi$ values and the percentage of TV-EO, whereas the data obtained at 10 °C (Figure 5B) were not linearly related to the TV-EO concentration.
The results obtained for ξ parameter by the calculation of RMSE are reported in Table 6; the introduction of the ξ parameter was specific for each TV-EO concentration and reproduced the antibacterial effect regardless of the prediction of growth rate; therefore, it was not introduced into the secondary model. The numerical parametrization of ξ was easily obtained with a simple fitting procedure and allowed to find a set of ξ values concentration-dependent.

|                  | 20 °C       | 10 °C       |
|------------------|-------------|-------------|
|                  | ξ Included  | ξ Not Included | ξ Included  | ξ Not Included |
| 0.50% TV-EO      | 0.4089      | 1.4310      | 0.0683      | 6.5311         |
| 0.40% TV-EO      | 0.1615      | 1.1290      | 0.3421      | 0.6519         |
| 0.25% TV-EO      | 0.2385      | 0.4418      | 0.6474      | 0.5521         |

3.5. Antimicrobial Activity in Poultry Minced Meat

The results obtained for the in situ antimicrobial activity of TV-EO in poultry minced meat were presented in Table 7. The 1% TV-EO showed the highest efficiency against *Salmonella* growth, which was completely inhibited after 3 days of storage. The effectiveness of 1% TV-EO was corroborated by the counts of the *Enterobacteriaceae*, which decreased significantly after one day of treatment and then remained constant until after 13 days. The 0.5% TV-EO also showed antimicrobial activity against *Salmonella*, while no significant effect was observed against *Enterobacteriaceae*. In this regard, despite no substantial differences were observed until the 6th day of storage compared to the CTX samples, *Salmonella* growth was significantly inhibited already starting from the 9th day and then completely inhibited on the 13th day of treatment. Nevertheless, no significant antimicrobial effects were observed against *Salmonella* and *Enterobacteriaceae* for the 0.25% and 0.125% TV-EO, comparing the results of the control samples.
Table 7. Variation rate of Salmonella and Enterobacteriaceae during the storage of poultry minced meat treated with different concentrations of TV-EO.

| Bacteria        | Time (Days) | Concentrations of TV-EO   |
|-----------------|-------------|---------------------------|
|                 | 0% (Control) | 0.125% | 0.25% | 0.5% | 1% |
| Salmonella      |             |        |       |      |    |
| 0               | 3.150 ± 0.0065 A | 3.150 ± 0.0065 A | 3.151 ± 0.0065 A | 3.151 ± 0.0065 A | 3.151 ± 0.0065 A |
| 1               | 3.015 ± 0.100 A  | 3.067 ± 0.0368 A  | 2.933 ± 0.0429 A  | 2.951 ± 0.0685 A  | 0.92 ± 0.1651 C  |
| 3               | 3.075 ± 0.0362 A | 3.037 ± 0.0169 A  | 2.841 ± 0.0883 A  | 2.806± 0.0192 A   | 0.000 ± 0.000 B  |
| 6               | 2.963 ± 0.0267 A | 2.923 ± 0.0439 A  | 2.648 ± 0.0963 A  | 2.612 ± 0.0450 A  | 0.000 ± 0.000 B  |
| 9               | -            | -       | -     | 0.500 ± 0.1707 B | 0.000 ± 0.000 B  |
| 13              | -            | -       | -     | 0.000 ± 0.000 B | 0.000 ± 0.000 B  |
| Enterobacteriaceae |             |        |       |      |    |
| 0               | 4.276 ± 0.0032 D | 4.276 ± 0.0032 D | 4.276 ± 0.0032 D | 4.276 ± 0.0032 D | 4.276 ± 0.0032 D |
| 1               | 4.430 ± 0.0455 D | 4.576 ± 0.0154 D | 4.475 ± 0.0625 D | 3.782 ± 0.0708 E  | 2.539 ± 0.3373 G |
| 3               | 5.346 ± 0.1386 E | 4.979 ± 0.0354 D | 4.878 ± 0.0649 D | 4.396 ± 0.0123 D  | 2.522 ± 0.1283 G |
| 6               | 6.555 ± 0.1079 F | 6.374 ±0.0977 F  | 5.972 ± 0.1298 EF | 5.481 ± 0.0708 E  | 2.50 ± 0.067 G   |
| 9               | -            | -       | -     | 6.212 ± 0.0433 F | 2.00 ± 0.2814 G   |
| 13              | -            | -       | -     | 6.539 ± 0.2003 F | 1.739 ± 0.445 G   |

(\cdot): not calculated. Different letters stand for statistically significant differences at \( p < 0.05 \) according to Fisher’s least significant difference (LSD) test.

3.6. Sensory Analysis

The results obtained for the sensory analysis are presented in Table 8. On day 0, the flavor, color, and taste of the minced samples achieved the highest score for all tested TV-EO concentrations. During storage, the sensory properties of the mince decreased following the bacterial growth and oxidation processes. However, the acceptability limit of the samples treated with TV-EO was higher than that of the control samples for all tested concentrations. The decay of color and taste proceeded significantly slower in the samples treated with different concentrations of TV-EO than in the control ones. Less significant differences were observed for the flavor, although, in no analysis interval the score of the treated samples lower than that of the control ones for all concentrations tested. At the end of the experiment, the color, flavor, and taste were still acceptable in the mince samples treated with 1% and 0.5% of TV-EO after 13 days. Instead, odor, color, and taste scores of minces treated with 0.25% and 0.125% of TV-EO reached the limit of acceptability on the 6th day. After cooking, the flavor of the mice was more acceptable (data are not shown). The 1% of TV-EO influenced the typical taste of the treated mince samples, even if it was not considered unpleasant by any of the panelists. A lower influence on the typical taste of the mince was perceived by the panelists for those samples treated with 0.5% of TV-EO.

Table 8. Average grade of sensory analysis of poultry minced meat treated with different concentrations of TV-EO.

| Parameters  | Time (Days) | Concentrations of TV-EO   |
|-------------|-------------|---------------------------|
|             | 0% (Control) | 0.125% | 0.25% | 0.5% | 1% |
| Color       |             |        |       |      |    |
| 0           | 10 ± 0.000 L | 10 ± 0.000 L | 10 ± 0.000 L | 10 ± 0.000 L | 10 ± 0.000 L |
| 1           | 8.25 ± 0.353 JJ | 9 ± 0.0707 K | 9 ± 0.0707 K | 9 ± 0.000 K | 9 ± 0.000 K   |
| 3           | 6.25 ± 0.353 DE | 7.5 ± 0.707 GH | 8 ± 0001 HI | 8.75 ± 0.353 JK | 8.75 ± 0.353 JK |
| 6           | 4.25 ± 0.353 A | 5.25 ± 0.353 BC | 5 ± 0.000 B | 8 ± 0.000 HI | 8.25 ± 0.353 JJ |
| 9           | -            | -       | -     | 6.75 ± 0.353 EF | 7.25 ± 0.353 FG |
| 13          | -            | -       | -     | 5.75 ± 0.353 CD | 5.75 ± 0.353 CD |
| Flavor      |             |        |       |      |    |
| 0           | 10 ± 0.000 J | 10 ± 0.000 J | 10 ± 0.000 J | 10 ± 0.000 J | 10 ± 0.000 J |
| 1           | 8 ± 0.000 F  | 8.25 ± 0.353 FG | 8.5 ± 0.353 GH | 8.75 ± 0.000 HH | 9.75 ± 0.353 J |
| 3           | 6.75 ± 0.353 D | 6.75 ± 0.353 D | 6.75 ± 0.353 D | 8 ± 0.000 F  | 9 ± 0.000 I   |
| 6           | 4.25 ± 0.353 A | 5 ± 0.00 B  | 5.25 ± 0.353 B | 7.25 ± 0.353 E | 8.25 ± 0.353 FG |
| 9           | -            | -       | -     | 6.75 ± 0.353 D | 7.25 ± 0.353 E |
| 13          | -            | -       | -     | 5.25 ± 0.353 B | 6 ± 0.000 C   |
Table 8. Cont.

| Parameters | Time (Days) | 0% (Control) | 0.125% | 0.25% | 0.5% | 1% |
|------------|-------------|---------------|--------|-------|------|-----|
| Taste      |             |               |        |       |      |     |
| 0          | 10 ± 0.000 M| 10 ± 0.000 M  | 10 ± 0.000 M | 10 ± 0.000 M | 10 ± 0.000 M |
| 1          | 8.017 ± 0.206 V | 8.326 ± 0.553 V | 9.052 ± 0.051 W | 8.706 ± 0.124 W | 9.543 ± 0.395 M |
| 3          | 6.331 ± 0.332 E | 7.077 ± 0.329 Y | 7.128 ± 0.176 Y | 8.121 ± 0.164 V | 8.723 ± 0.254 W |
| 6          | 4.664 ± 0.487 N | 5.864 ± 0.116 O | 5.3 ± 0.511 O | 7.293 ± 0.156 Y | 8.016 ± 0.134 V |
| 9          | -            | -             | -      | 6.864 ± 0.320 E | 6.96 ± 0.053 EY |
| 13         | -            | -             | -      | 5.178 ± 0.307 O | 5.657 ± 0.493 O |

(-): not calculated. Different letters stand for statistically significant differences at $p < 0.05$ according to Fisher’s LSD test.

4. Discussions

The results of our study showed that TV-EO had an extraction yield of 3.12 ± 0.14%. These results were higher than those reported for the TV-EO collected from the National Institute of Medicinal and Aromatic Plants in Taounate (Morocco; 1.9%) [48], those described in TV-EO collected from Barzo Mountain Shirvan (North Khorsan Province of Iran) at different stages of plant growth (0.83–1.39%) [49], and those collected from Caxias do Sul (Rio Grande do Sul State, Brazil) with a yield of 0.25% [50]. On the other hand, they were lower than those described previously in the Ash-Shoubak region in the South of Jordan (4.7%) [50]. These discrepancies are related to different internal and external factors that affected the yield of plant EOs, including physiological variations of plant species, environmental conditions, growth stage, and genetic factors and evolution [51,52]. Therefore, it is not surprising that different chemical compositions for the same EO were reported. The results obtained showed that the TV-EO tested in the present study was rich in thymol (38.677%), followed by p-cymene (15.66%), carvacrol (14.889%), and γ-terpinene (13.308%). These findings were consistent with those of previous studies that reported the dominance of thymol in TV-EO [4,15,17,48]. However, linalool (76.2%), geraniol (59.8%), and cis-sabinene hydrate (30.8%) were identified as the major components of TV-EO collected from France and Serbia [53], and camphor (38.54%) in TV-EO collected from the eastern regions of Morocco [54].

Oxidation is a chemical reaction that leads to the deterioration of food products and the loss of organoleptic quality [55]. This reaction is under the effect of different factors such as light, oxygen partial pressure, temperature, relative humidity, and lipid oxidation [56]. The inhibition of food oxidation is a great challenge for industrialists and scientists, taking into consideration the increased demand for bio-preservatives and the limited use of chemical substances [55]. The antioxidant activity of EOs corresponds to its capacity to reduce and retard the oxidation of an oxidizable material, even when used in a very modest amount [57]. The results of our study showed that TV-EO had good antioxidant activity and, thanks to the antimicrobial activity, could be used to extend the shelf life of food products and pleasantly influence their sensory characteristics [58]. Our results are comparable to those reported previously in different studies, which classified TV-EO with moderate to high antioxidant power [59–62]. The powerful antioxidant activity of TV-EO, compared to other EOs [13], could be explained by its chemical composition and its richness in thymol and carvacrol. In this regard, Aeschbach and his group showed that thymol and carvacrol had good antioxidant activity and could be used as natural antioxidant compounds [63]. Further, γ-terpinene showed good antioxidant properties as well when used alone or in synergy with other components [64–66]. Moreover, β-caryophyllene displayed a strong antioxidant effect and could improve the activity of EOs even at a low concentration [67].

The performing results obtained for the antioxidant activity were also corroborated by the sensory analysis of the in situ experiments. In fact, the color score of the treated samples was higher than in the control ones for all the concentration tested, and at 0.5% and 1% the color acceptability limit increased up to 7 days.
The results of the antibacterial activity showed that TV-EO effectively inhibited the growth of *Salmonella*. Different mechanisms were proposed to explain the inhibition effect of EOs against bacteria [7,68]. In particular, EOs may determine damage to the bacterial wall by increasing the cell membrane permeability, changing their morphology, and decreasing the ATP synthesis [7]. These effects could increase the effectiveness of other preservative compounds, as demonstrated for the salt during the evaluation of the injured cells treated with a low concentration of TV-EO. The obtained percentage of sublethal-injured cells of *Salmonella* exposed to TV-EO was higher than those determined by EOs of *Origanum majorana*, *Mentha suaveolens*, *Rosmarinus officinalis*, *Salvia officinalis*, and *Mentha pulegium* [13]. The antibacterial activity of TV-EO was related to the high content of thymol and carvacrol, whose antibacterial activity is well known and was reported by several authors [69]. Additionally, the antibacterial activity of p-cymene and γ-terpinene was demonstrated and could also participate in improving the effectiveness of TV-EO [70].

The observed MIC of 0.5% (5 mg/mL) for all the eight tested strains was in accordance with those reported by Bartkiene et al. [71] that ranged from 1% to 0.1% on similar *Salmonella* strains; while the essential oil obtained from the Brazilian thyme plant showed more effectiveness on *Salmonella choleraesuis* with a MIC of 0.6 mg/mL [72]. These differences were related to the strong variability in the chemical composition of the EOs and to the different susceptibility of strains [73].

Satisfactory results were obtained with the proposed predictive model that allowed to describe the in vitro *Salmonella* behavior exposed to TV-EO with confidence. The growth curves predicted for *Salmonella* well-fitted the results obtained during the in vitro microbiological analysis at both 20 °C and 10 °C of exposition. The presence and count of a food pathogen can change during all steps of food processing based on the way the food is handled. The implemented model might be a useful tool for food industries to assess the effects of different storage conditions on the final *Salmonella* contamination of a product at the time of consumption. Therefore, further studies are needed directly in food matrices, in which more parameters (pH, water activity, presence of nutrients, atmosphere conditions, etc.) must be considered to establish the applicability of the presented model.

Results of the regression analysis showed that the antimicrobial effects of the different TV-EO concentrations against *Salmonella* varied on the basis of temperatures. In detail, linear regression observed at 20 °C (Figure 5A) showed a linear relationship between the percentage of TV-EO and its antimicrobial activity, whereas no linear correlation was observed from the data obtained at 10 °C (Figure 5B). This result is probably related to the fact that the antimicrobial activity of essential oils increases at lower storage temperatures due to a greater susceptibility of the bacteria [74].

The results of the in situ antimicrobial activity and sensory evaluation showed that TV-EO could be a suitable natural preservative to manage the *Salmonella* risk in foods. In this regard, *Salmonella* growth in experimentally contaminated mince poultry meat was completely inhibited (at 4 °C, 3 Log reduction) after 3 days of treatment with 1% TV-EO, and the sensory properties of the meat were maintained for up to one week longer than in the untreated samples. Our findings were in line with those reported by other authors [14,44]. Olaimat et al. reported that the addition of TV-EO at a concentration of 0.5–1.5% to hummus reduced *Salmonella* by 1.0–2.9 log CFU/g after storage of 10 days at 4 °C [14]. Same, Boskovic et al. reported the reduction of *Salmonella* in minced pork by 1.69–4.05 log CFU/g after treatment with TV-EO (0.3–0.9%) packaged under vacuum or MAP (30% O2; 50% CO2; 20% N2) and storage at 3 ± 1 °C for 15 days [44]. The antimicrobial effect against *Salmonella* herein reported for TV-EO was also comparable to that observed for other EOs such as sage, basil, and rosemary tested in chicken meat [75,76]. The literature published so far pointed out that the use of thyme in foods was almost exclusively limited to meat products [77], although its effects were also studied on fish [78] and cheese [79]. The main goal of using thyme in foods was to extend their shelf-life. In this regard, several studies reported that the EO of thyme delayed the rancidity development of fat and inhibited the growth of spoilage microorganisms in different types of foods [61,77].
These latter considerations may underlie the slower decay of sensory properties (color, odor, and taste) observed in the present study for the minced poultry meat treated with TV-EO at 0.5% and 1%. However, the main limiting factor of the use of TV-EO was related to its influences on the typical organoleptic properties of foods. Although thyme is widely used as a food condiment, it is important that its use did not excessively mask the sensory characteristics of the product, making it unpleasant to the consumer. In this regard, despite the sensory influence, 1% TV-EO was not considered unpleasant by any of the panelists, 0.5% TV-EO was considered the best compromise to extend the sensory acceptability of the meat without significantly influencing its typical taste. Interestingly, the results of our study showed that, after cooking, the flavor and taste of the minced poultry meat were more acceptable. In this regard, we might speculate that the high temperature influenced the stability of the volatile compounds of the TV-EO, which were partly mixed with meat aromas as previously reported by Boskovic et al. [80] and Van Haute et al. [81], resulting in a pleasant flavor and taste.

5. Conclusions

The results of this study showed that thymol and p-cymene were the main constituents of the EO of *Thymus vulgaris* collected from the mountainous region of Ifrane (Morocco) and principally responsible for its beneficial effects. TV-EO revealed a good antioxidant activity as well as relevant antibacterial effects against all the *Salmonella* strains tested. Determination of the percentage of sublethal-injured cells showed that TV-EO could be used in synergy with other compounds like salt to improve their effects. Furthermore, TV-EO overcame the limits related to the organoleptic influences on foods which were appreciated during the sensory analysis. This study confirmed that TV-EO could be considered a suitable natural alternative to ensure food safety and quality according to the new green trends in the food field for more natural, healthy, and low environmental impact foodstuffs.
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