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**Background and Aim.** Although many epidemiologic studies have shown that *Helicobacter pylori* eradication has prophylactic effects on gastric cancer, it does not completely eliminate the risk of gastric cancer. We aimed to investigate the changes in histological gastritis in patients receiving rebamipide treatment after *H. pylori* eradication. Methods. 206 patients who had undergone *H. pylori* eradication were evaluated. Of these, 169 patients who achieved successful eradication were randomly allocated to 2 groups: the rebamipide group (*n* = 82) and the untreated group (*n* = 87). The primary endpoints were histopathological findings according to the updated Sydney system at the start of the study and after 1 year. Results. Final assessment for histological gastritis was possible in 50 cases from the rebamipide group and 53 cases from the untreated group. The activity and atrophy improved in both the rebamipide and untreated groups, and no significant intergroup differences were observed. Chronic inflammation affecting the lesser curvature of the corpus was significantly improved in the rebamipide group compared to in the untreated group (1.12 ± 0.08 versus 1.35 ± 0.08; *P* = 0.043). Conclusions. Rebamipide treatment after *H. pylori* eradication alleviated chronic inflammation in the lesser curvature of the corpus compared to that in the untreated group. This trial is registered with UMIN000002369.

1. **Introduction**

Infection is a major etiology of human cancer, and prevention and treatment of infectious organisms, including viruses, bacteria, and parasites, have been shown to have significant beneficial effects on cancer prevention. Gastric cancer is the second most common cause of cancer-related death worldwide and was the most common cause of cancer-related death in Japan until it was replaced by lung cancer in 1995 [1]. After the discovery of *Helicobacter pylori* in 1983 [2], causal relationships between this bacterium and gastritis and gastric cancer have been elucidated. Results from animal and epidemiological studies suggest that *H. pylori* infection and the subsequent gastritis promote the development of gastric cancer in the infected mucosa. The evidence for this causal relationship between *H. pylori* and gastric cancer [3–6] is so
overwhelming that the World Health Organization and the International Agency for Research on Cancer have declared H. pylori as a group 1 carcinogen for gastric cancer [7, 8].

In 2008, a randomized multicenter clinical study conducted in Japan revealed that eradication of H. pylori reduced the incidence of secondary gastric cancer by approximately two-thirds after endoscopic mucosal resection of early gastric cancer [9], suggesting the usefulness of H. pylori eradication for prevention of gastric cancer. As a result of this study, the Japanese Society for Helicobacter Research published a guideline in 2009, in which it recommended that all H. pylori-infected people should receive bacterial eradication therapy [10]. However, our previous study also showed that H. pylori eradication did not completely eliminate the risk of gastric cancer [11], and considering the occasional development of gastric cancer after H. pylori eradication, it is important to evaluate the need for continuing medication in patients undergoing this treatment.

The results of our previous studies indicated that residual inflammation in the lesser curvature of the corpus after H. pylori eradication is a risk factor for the development of metachronous gastric cancer [12, 13]. Rebamipide, a gastro-protective drug, was widely developed in Japan for the treatment of peptic ulcer disease, chronic gastritis, endoscopic submucosal dissection-induced ulcers, and after treatment of H. pylori eradication [14–16]. Our previous study [17] showed that long-term rebamipide treatment improved histologic gastritis in terms of mononuclear cell infiltration into the antrum and corpus and resulted in decreased serum gastrin levels in patients with H. pylori-associated gastritis for 1 year. In this study, to further elucidate the mechanisms by which rebamipide improves chronic inflammation, we aimed to investigate the changes in histological gastritis and subjective symptoms in patients receiving rebamipide treatment after H. pylori eradication.

2. Patients and Methods

This multicenter, randomized, controlled clinical trial was conducted in 6 centers throughout Japan between November 2009 and April 2012. Criteria for inclusion were patients aged 18 years or older diagnosed with early gastric cancer, gastric ulcers, or atrophic gastritis by endoscopy and proven to be H. pylori-positive. The exclusion criteria were as follows: (i) previous history of H. pylori eradication; (ii) patients who had been administered drugs that may have affected the evaluation 2 weeks before the enrollment (nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs [NSAIDs], proton pump inhibitors, or antibiotics); (iii) severe heart or pulmonary disease; (iv) pregnancy; (v) allergic habitus; and (vi) other situations that the investigators considered unsuitable for the study. The institutional review board of our hospital approved the study protocol, which conforms to the provisions of the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in Tokyo 2004), and all patients provided written informed consent to participate in the study.

Upon enrollment in the trial, all patients received eradication triple therapy with 20 mg of omeprazole, 750 mg of amoxicillin, and 200 mg of clarithromycin twice daily for 1 week. Eradication of H. pylori infection was determined by the 13C-urea breath test (UBT) (Δ13C cutoff value: 2.5%) 8 weeks after the eradication [18]. Patients with confirmed eradication were randomly allocated to two groups: the rebamipide group (100 mg of rebamipide three times daily) or the untreated group (no treatment) for 1 year. During the study period, the use of all other antiulcer agents, antibacterial agents, and NSAIDs was prohibited.

Prior to the start of the study, and at 1 year after the study start, all patients were subjected to endoscopy with histology, frequency scale for the symptoms of gastroesophageal reflux disease (FSSG) questionnaire, and serological marker (serum gastrin and pepsinogen) evaluations. If the first course of eradication therapy failed, the patients received a second eradication course with 20 mg of omeprazole, 750 mg of amoxicillin, and 250 mg of metronidazole twice daily for an additional week. After assessment of the treatment by 13C-UBT, the patients who succeeded in the second eradication therapy were randomly allocated to the two groups; however, patients who failed the treatment again were excluded from the study. The primary endpoints were assessment of the histological findings according to the updated Sydney system [19] at the start of the study and after 1 year. The secondary endpoints were assessment of FSSG questionnaires and serological markers.

Three biopsy specimens were obtained from the greater curvature of the middle antrum and the lesser and greater curvatures of the middle corpus for evaluation of gastritis. Biopsy specimens were cut into 4 μm thick slices and evaluated using hematoxylin and eosin or Gimenez staining. The histological specimens were independently assessed by two gastroenterologists with no knowledge of the clinical findings of the patients. Disagreements were resolved by joint review and discussion. The presence of H. pylori was observed using Gimenez staining. Neutrophil infiltration, chronic inflammation, atrophy, and intestinal metaplasia were scored on a scale of 0 to 3 according to the updated Sydney classification system: 0 = normal, 1 = mild, 2 = moderate, and 3 = severe.

The FSSG was used to evaluate subjective symptoms of reflux esophagitis, as a Japanese gastroesophageal reflux disease-specific, self-administered questionnaire that corresponds to multidimensional symptoms [20, 21]. The FSSG consists of 12 questions, with the score of each question determined according to the frequency of symptoms (never: 0; occasionally: 1; sometimes: 2; often: 3; always: 4). The scores for each question were calculated and evaluated as a total score. The questions were classified into two types: reflux-related symptoms and dysmotility-related symptoms. This scale is characterized by a simple form and can be applied for the early diagnosis of gastroesophageal reflux disease and functional dyspepsia and to evaluate the efficacy of medical therapy [22].

Fasting sera were collected at the start of the study and after 1 year and stored at −80°C until use. Secondary objectives included measurement of serum gastrin (Gastrin RIA Kit II; Dainabot, Tokyo, Japan) and pepsinogen I and II levels (LZ test; Eiken, Tokyo, Japan) by radioimmunoassay and enzyme immunoassay, respectively [23]. Serum gastrin ≤ 200 pg/mL was regarded as normal, and both PG I ≤ 70 ng/mL.
Table 1: Demographic characteristics of the patients.

|                      | Rebamipide group \((n = 82)\) | Untreated group \((n = 87)\) | \(P\) value |
|----------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|
| Mean age (range)     | 57.7 ± 1.5 (22–85)              | 56.7 ± 1.4 (27–80)          | 0.6138     |
| Gender (male/female) | 42/40                           | 36/51                       | 0.1997     |
| Endoscopic diagnosis | Gastric ulcer, 13               | Gastric ulcer, 4            |            |
|                      | Atrophic gastritis, 64          | Atrophic gastritis, 80      | 0.0317     |
|                      | Early GC after ESD, 5           | Early GC after ESD, 3       |            |
| Alcohol (yes/no)     | 31/40 (unknown, 11)             | 23/55 (unknown, 9)          | 0.0722     |
| Smoking (yes/no)     | 11/59 (unknown, 12)             | 8/69 (unknown, 10)          | 0.3365     |
| Past history (yes/no)| 15/36 (unknown, 31)             | 17/32 (unknown, 38)         | 0.5714     |

Data are presented as mean ± standard error or as \(n\). GC: gastric cancer and ESD: endoscopic submucosal dissection.

Figure 1: Flowchart of the study participants. Analysis at 1 year after the start of the study was possible in 50 cases from the rebamipide group and 53 cases from the untreated group.

and PG I/II \(\leq 3\) were regarded as PG-positive, indicative of gastric mucosal atrophy [24]. PGII concentrations of 12 ng/mL or more or I/II ratio of 4.0 or less were used as the cutoff points for the diagnosis of \(H.\) pylori infection [25].

3. Statistical Evaluation

The demographic characteristics, FSSG scores, serum markers, and histological grading before treatment and after treatment were compared between the two groups using Student’s \(t\)-test. Within each group, the FSSG scores and serum markers were compared before and after therapy by using the paired \(t\)-test. The results are expressed as mean ± standard error. \(P\) values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. All statistical computations were performed using SPSS (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

4. Results

Of the 206 patients in this study who underwent eradication therapy, 28 were lost to follow-up and 9 failed the eradication assessment. The remaining 169 patients, who were confirmed to have achieved successful eradication, were randomly allocated to two groups: the rebamipide group \((n = 82)\) and the untreated group \((n = 87)\). During the treatment, 29 patients were lost to follow-up and 3 were not tested for histological assessment in the rebamipide group; in the untreated group, 24 patients were lost to follow-up and 10 were not tested for histological assessment. Finally, assessment for histological gastritis, FSSG scores, and serological markers before and 1 year after the start of the study was possible in 50 cases from the rebamipide group and 53 cases from the untreated group (Figure 1).

Comparison of the demographic characteristics showed that there were no significant differences between the rebamipide and untreated groups in terms of gender, age, alcohol and smoking habits, and past medical history, as shown in Table 1. However, the prevalence of gastric ulcer in the rebamipide group (15.6%) was significantly higher, and atrophic gastritis (78.0%) in the rebamipide group was significantly lower than that of the untreated group. In addition, there were no significant differences between the two groups in terms of the FSSG score, serum gastrin and pepsinogen levels, and histological assessment (neutrophil infiltration, chronic inflammation, atrophy, and intestinal metaplasia) before the treatment (Figures 2 and 3).

The FSSG scores, including both the reflux and dysmotility-related scores, significantly improved in both groups after \(H.\) pylori eradication, and no significant intergroup
The FSSG scores, which included the reflux- and dysmotility-related scores, significantly improved in both groups after *H. pylori* eradication, but no significant intergroup differences were observed. *Compared with baseline (rebamipide group). **Compared with baseline (untreated group). ***Rebamipide versus untreated group.
Figure 3: Differences in the serum gastrin and pepsinogen (PG) levels between the two groups before and after treatment. The serum gastrin and pepsinogen levels significantly improved in both groups after *H. pylori* eradication, but no significant intergroup differences were observed. *Compared with baseline (rebamipide group). **Compared with baseline (untreated group). ***Rebamipide versus untreated group.

5. Discussion

Our study demonstrated that *H. pylori* eradication significantly improved not only chronic inflammation but also mucosal atrophy for only 1 year. Additionally, our study also showed that rebamipide treatment after *H. pylori* eradication significantly alleviated chronic inflammation in the lesser curvature of the corpus compared to the untreated group.

Several animal studies have demonstrated an association between gastric carcinogenesis and *H. pylori* infection [26, 27], and a large meta-analysis of several epidemiological studies confirmed the association between the organism and gastric cancer development [3]. In 2001, a prospective observational study showed that 2.9% of patients with *H. pylori* infection develop gastric cancer, in contrast to 0% of *H. pylori*-negative patients [6]. Additionally, Fukase et al. [9] conducted a multicenter, open-label, randomized trial, which recruited 544 patients with early gastric cancer treated by endoscopic resection who were evenly randomized to either...
Table 2: Comparison of histological gastritis before and after *H. pylori* eradication in the rebamipide group.

|                      | Before eradication | After eradication | *P* value   |
|----------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------|
| **GC of the middle antrum** |                   |                  |             |
| Activity             | 1.00 ± 0.12 (48)  | 0.00 ± 0.00 (47) | <0.0001     |
| Inflammation         | 2.44 ± 0.10 (48)  | 1.21 ± 0.08 (47) | <0.0001     |
| Atrophy              | 1.27 ± 0.07 (48)  | 0.96 ± 0.10 (47) | 0.0270      |
| Intestinal metaplasia| 0.69 ± 0.16 (48)  | 0.43 ± 0.11 (47) | 0.0887      |
| **GC of the middle corpus** |                 |                  |             |
| Activity             | 1.08 ± 0.11 (49)  | 0.02 ± 0.01 (47) | <0.0001     |
| Inflammation         | 2.24 ± 0.09 (49)  | 1.11 ± 0.10 (47) | <0.0001     |
| Atrophy              | 0.90 ± 0.09 (49)  | 0.34 ± 0.08 (47) | <0.0001     |
| Intestinal metaplasia| 0.14 ± 0.09 (49)  | 0.19 ± 0.09 (47) | 0.5039      |
| **LC of the middle corpus** |               |                  |             |
| Activity             | 1.00 ± 0.11 (49)  | 0.00 ± 0.00 (49) | <0.0001     |
| Inflammation         | 2.29 ± 0.10 (48)  | 1.12 ± 0.08 (49) | <0.0001     |
| Atrophy              | 1.17 ± 0.09 (48)  | 0.71 ± 0.10 (49) | 0.0005      |
| Intestinal metaplasia| 0.14 ± 0.09 (49)  | 0.19 ± 0.09 (49) | 0.5039      |

Data are presented as mean ± standard error (*n*). GC: greater curvature and LC: lesser curvature.

Table 3: Comparison of histological gastritis before and after *H. pylori* eradication in the untreated group.

|                      | Before eradication | After eradication | *P* value   |
|----------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------|
| **GC of the middle antrum** |                   |                  |             |
| Activity             | 0.94 ± 0.11 (52)  | 0.06 ± 0.02 (52) | <0.0001     |
| Inflammation         | 2.31 ± 0.10 (52)  | 1.37 ± 0.07 (52) | <0.0001     |
| Atrophy              | 1.25 ± 0.07 (52)  | 0.96 ± 0.10 (52) | 0.0098      |
| Intestinal metaplasia| 0.73 ± 0.16 (52)  | 0.44 ± 0.11 (52) | 0.0719      |
| **GC of the middle corpus** |                 |                  |             |
| Activity             | 1.21 ± 0.10 (52)  | 0.00 ± 0.00 (49) | <0.0001     |
| Inflammation         | 2.35 ± 0.09 (52)  | 1.29 ± 0.09 (49) | <0.0001     |
| Atrophy              | 1.02 ± 0.09 (52)  | 0.36 ± 0.08 (49) | <0.0001     |
| Intestinal metaplasia| 0.23 ± 0.08 (52)  | 0.27 ± 0.09 (49) | 0.7988      |
| **LC of the middle corpus** |               |                  |             |
| Activity             | 0.94 ± 0.11 (48)  | 0.00 ± 0.00 (49) | <0.0001     |
| Inflammation         | 2.40 ± 0.10 (48)  | 1.35 ± 0.08 (49) | <0.0001     |
| Atrophy              | 1.08 ± 0.09 (48)  | 0.80 ± 0.10 (49) | 0.0178      |
| Intestinal metaplasia| 0.96 ± 0.17 (48)  | 0.78 ± 0.16 (49) | 0.3537      |

Data are presented as mean ± standard error (*n*). GC: greater curvature and LC: lesser curvature.

Table 4: Comparison of histological gastritis after *H. pylori* eradication between the two groups.

|                      | Rebamipide group (*n* = 50) | Untreated group (*n* = 53) | *P* value   |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|
| **GC of the middle antrum** |                 |                            |             |
| Activity             | 0.00 ± 0.00 (47)           | 0.06 ± 0.24 (52)           | 0.0963      |
| Inflammation         | 1.21 ± 0.08 (47)           | 1.37 ± 0.07 (52)           | 0.1457      |
| Atrophy              | 0.96 ± 0.10 (47)           | 0.96 ± 0.10 (52)           | 0.9765      |
| Intestinal metaplasia| 0.43 ± 0.11 (47)           | 0.44 ± 0.11 (52)           | 0.9146      |
| **GC of the middle corpus** |               |                            |             |
| Activity             | 0.02 ± 0.01 (47)           | 0.00 ± 0.00 (49)           | 0.3077      |
| Inflammation         | 1.11 ± 0.10 (47)           | 1.29 ± 0.09 (49)           | 0.1839      |
| Atrophy              | 0.34 ± 0.08 (47)           | 0.36 ± 0.08 (49)           | 0.8094      |
| Intestinal metaplasia| 0.19 ± 0.09 (47)           | 0.27 ± 0.09 (49)           | 0.5751      |
| **LC of the middle corpus** |               |                            |             |
| Activity             | 0.00 ± 0.00 (49)           | 0.00 ± 0.00 (49)           | —           |
| Inflammation         | 1.12 ± 0.08 (49)           | 1.35 ± 0.08 (49)           | 0.0437      |
| Atrophy              | 0.71 ± 0.10 (49)           | 0.80 ± 0.10 (49)           | 0.5518      |
| Intestinal metaplasia| 0.84 ± 0.16 (49)           | 0.78 ± 0.16 (49)           | 0.7808      |

Data are presented as mean ± standard error (*n*). GC: greater curvature and LC: lesser curvature.
an eradication regimen or control group. They found that *H. pylori* eradication could reduce the subsequent development of metachronous gastric cancer after endoscopic resection of early gastric cancer. However, the effects of suppressing gastric cancer by eradication of *H. pylori* are somewhat limited, as gastric cancer is known to recur even after eradication.

It is well known that histological gastritis, including mucosal atrophy, activity, and inflammation, gradually improves after *H. pylori* eradication. Kodama et al. [28] showed that, 10 years after *H. pylori* eradication, the inflammation, activity, and atrophy scores at all sites and intestinal metaplasia in the lesser curvature of the corpus gradually and significantly decreased. These results suggest that the improvement of gastric atrophy and intestinal metaplasia might be associated with the reduction of gastric cancer occurrence. In our study, except for intestinal metaplasia, histological gastritis after *H. pylori* eradication significantly improved at all sites in both the rebamipide and the untreated groups. In addition, in the rebamipide group, chronic inflammation in the lesser curvature of the corpus was significantly improved compared to that in the untreated group, suggesting that continuous treatment of rebamipide for 1 year after eradication might contribute to improving the chronic inflammation. Moreover, our data showed that the serum gastrin was significantly lower, and the pepsinogen I/II ratio was significantly higher than before eradication, confirming the improvement of histological gastritis by *H. pylori* eradication.

The results of our previous studies suggested that persistent corpus gastritis after eradication of *H. pylori* might be a risk factor for cancer development [12, 13]. For example, after eradication, the scores for inflammation in the corpus were significantly higher in the gastric cancer group than in the control group, indicating that treatment of corporal inflammation after eradication might contribute to preventing the development of *de novo* gastric cancer. Rebamipide’s mechanisms of actions are different from antisecretory drugs; it has stimulation of prostaglandin and mucus glycoprotein synthesis [29], free radical scavenging activity, antioxidant activity [30], and anti-inflammatory activity [17], although it has no effect on the eradication of *H. pylori*. Therefore, these mechanisms of rebamipide may contribute to improving the residual inflammation in the lesser curvature of the corpus after *H. pylori* eradication which is a risk factor for the development of metachronous gastric cancer.

Although eradication of *H. pylori* infection in patients with functional dyspepsia continues to be a matter of debate, *H. pylori* eradication has been shown to have statistically significant effects on the symptom relief in these patients [31–33]. A meta-analysis on 17 randomized controlled trials (*n* = 3186) found a small but statistically significant benefit of *H. pylori* eradication therapy at 3–12 months, with a relative risk reduction of 8% (95% confidence interval [CI], 3–12%) and a number needed to treat (NNT) of 18 (95% CI, 12–48) [32]. Recently, Zhao et al. analyzed 14 randomized controlled trials (*n* = 2993) on dyspeptic syndrome in Asian, European, and American populations and found that eradication therapy was almost 1.4 times more likely to result in symptom improvement compared to a placebo or other traditional therapies (odds ratio, 1.38; 95% CI, 1.18–1.62; *P* < 0.0001; NNT, 15) [33]. Similarly, in the present study conducted in a Japanese population, reflux and dyspeptic symptoms were found to improve 1 year after eradication of *H. pylori*, especially in the rebamipide group.

There is one limitation to this study. In our subjects, the percentage of patients with gastric ulcer in the rebamipide group (15.6%) was significantly higher than that in the untreated group (4.6%). Otherwise, the percentage of patients with atrophic gastritis (78.0%) was significantly lower than that of the untreated group (92.0%). These differences may have introduced a minor bias into our results.

In conclusion, our study demonstrated that *H. pylori* eradication significantly improved not only chronic inflammation but also mucosal atrophy for only 1 year, and rebamipide treatment after *H. pylori* eradication significantly alleviated chronic inflammation in the lesser curvature of the corpus compared to the untreated group. Long-term rebamipide treatment after *H. pylori* eradication might be able to prevent the onset of gastric cancer; and large-scale, long-term endoscopic surveillance studies are therefore required in the future to assess the effects of rebamipide after *H. pylori* eradication on the prevention of gastric cancer.
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