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We investigate the dark matter halo density profile of M33. We find that the H/ C1 rotation curve of M33 is best described by an NFW dark matter halo density profile model, with a halo concentration of $c_{\text{vir}} = 4.0 \pm 1.0$ and a virial mass of $M_{\text{vir}} = (2.2 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{11} M_{\odot}$.

We go on to use the NFW concentration ($c_{\text{vir}}$) of M33, along with the values derived for other galaxies (as found in the literature), to show that $c_{\text{vir}}$ correlates with both spiral arm pitch angle and supermassive black hole mass.

1. Introduction

The currently favored cosmological model, LambdaCDM, is remarkably successful at reproducing the large-scale structure of the Universe [1, 2]. However, small-scale observations have proven harder to explain. High-resolution N-body simulations of LambdaCDM structure formation predict that the central density profiles of dark matter halos should rise steeply at small radii, $\rho(r) \propto r^{-\gamma}$ with $\gamma \approx 1-1.5$ ([3], henceforth NFW, [4, 5]). Observations of rotation curves of late-type disk galaxies and dwarf galaxies, on the other hand, have shown that quite often, mass distributions with lower than predicted densities or with constant density cores, where $\gamma = 0$ (i.e., a pseudoisothermal profile), are preferred [6–13]. This is known as the cusp/core problem. One possibility is that these observations are pointing to a real problem with LambdaCDM cosmology, perhaps indicating that the dark matter is not cold but rather warm [14], in which case, it is easier to produce constant density cores at the centers of dark matter halos. Another possibility is that these late-type galaxies have constant density cores because of their late formation [15] and that earlier-type bulge-dominated galaxies (which form at earlier times) will tend to conform to the standard expectations of the theory. This is because the central mass densities of galaxies tend to reflect the density of the Universe at their formation time [15].

In this paper, we have chosen to model the HI rotation curve of M33 from Crobelli and Salucci [16]. Due to its proximity, M33 can be studied in exquisite detail, and it, therefore, provides a crucial testing ground of our ideas of galaxy formation. Its Hubble classification is SA(s)cd [17], meaning that it is of particularly late type, with little or no bulge. This is reflected in the central supermassive black hole mass of $M_{\text{BH}} < 1500 M_{\odot}$ [18], and black hole masses tend to be related to the central bulge mass [19, 20]. In this paper we model the rotation curve of M33 with both a pseudoisothermal dark matter halo density model and an NFW dark matter halo density model. We then use parameters derived from these fits to look at relations between the dark matter halo and other galaxy properties, such as supermassive black hole mass and spiral arm pitch angle.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the observed data and data analysis. Section 3 describes how the rotation curve is modeled and how we derive the baryonic and dark matter halo contributions to the rotation curve. Section 4 discusses our results, and Section 5 summarizes our findings. Throughout this paper, we assume a flat LambdaCDM cosmology with $\Omega_m = 0.27$ and a Hubble constant $H_0 = 75 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$. 
2. Observations and Data Reduction

We have made use of the Spitzer/IRAC 3.6-μm image of M33. The IRAC observations were taken as part of the Gehrz Guaranteed Time Observer Program ID 5. The mapping sequence for each epoch consisted of 148 positions per channel. Each position was observed with three 12 s frames dithered with the standard, small, cycling pattern. The FWHM of the point-spread function (PSF) at 3.6-μm is 1.7′ or 6.9 pc at the distance of M33. The final mosaic spans an area of 1.0×1.2. We adopt a distance to M33 of d = 840 kpc (e.g., [21]), and it has a redshift of z = −0.000597 [17].

For dynamical measurements, we make use of the HT rotation curve of Corbelli and Salucci [16]. We also make use of the inclination corrected HT linewidth from HyperLeda (http://leda.univ-lyon1.fr/) of 100.4 ± 3.0 km s⁻¹ (e.g., [22]).

For the determination of the spiral arm morphology we have made use of an R band image from the digital sky survey (DSS).

2.1. Measurement of Spiral Arm Pitch Angle. Spiral arm pitch angles are measured using a two-dimensional fast Fourier decomposition technique, which employs a program described in Schröder et al. [23]. Logarithmic spirals are described in Schröder et al. [23]. Fourier decomposition technique, which employs a program described in Schröder et al. [23]. Logarithmic spirals are assumed in the decomposition.

The amplitude of each Fourier component is given by

\[
A(m, p) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{I} \sum_{j=1}^{J} I_{ij} (\ln r, \theta) \exp \left[-i(m\theta + p\ln r)\right]}{\sum_{i=1}^{I} \sum_{j=1}^{J} I_{ij} (\ln r, \theta)},
\]

where r and θ are polar coordinates, I(\ln r, θ) is the intensity at position (\ln r, θ), m represents the number of arms or modes, and p is the variable associated with the pitch angle P, defined by P = -(m/P_max). Throughout this work, we measure the pitch angle P of the m = 2 component.

Pitch angles are determined from peaks in the Fourier spectra, as this is the most powerful method to find periodicity in a distribution [24, 25].

The image was first projected to face on. Mean uncertainties of position angle and inclination as a function of inclination were discussed by Considère and Athanassoula [24]. For a galaxy with low inclination, there are clearly greater uncertainties in assigning both a position angle and an accurate inclination. These uncertainties are discussed by Block et al. [26] and Seigar et al. [27, 28] who took a galaxy with low inclination (<30°) and one with high inclination (>60°) and varied the inclination angle used in the correction to face on. They found that for the galaxy with low inclination, the measured pitch angle remained the same. M33 has a relatively low inclination of ∼30°, and so the uncertainty in the inclination angle in this case does not result in a large error in the pitch angle we measure for M33. Our deprojection method assumes that spiral galaxy disks are intrinsically circular in nature.

![Figure 1: The Spitzer 3.6-μm surface brightness profile with decomposition into bulge and disk components. The bulge has been fitted with a Sérsic model (short-dashed line), and the disk has been fitted with an exponential model (long-dashed line).](image)

3. Mass Modeling

3.1. The Baryonic Contribution. Our goal is to determine a mass model for M33 from the direct fitting of mass models to its rotation curve. We perform a bulge-disk decomposition in order to estimate the baryonic contribution. We then determine several different models and try to recreate the nuclear spiral by minimizing reduced-χ².

We first extract the surface brightness of M33 using the Spitzer 3.6-μm image and the IRAF ELLIPSAE routine, which fits ellipses to an image using an iterative method described by Jedrzejewski [29]. In order to mask out foreground stars, SExtractor [30] was used. An inclination correction was then applied to the surface brightness profile [31, 32] as follows:

\[
\mu_i = \mu - 2.5C\log\left(\frac{d}{\lambda}\right),
\]

where μ_i is the surface brightness when viewed at some inclination i, μ is the corrected surface brightness, a is the major axis, b is the minor axis, and C is a factor dependent on whether the galaxy is optically thick or thin; if C = 1 then the galaxy is optically thin; if C = 0, then the galaxy is optically thick (e.g., [31, 32]). Graham [33] showed that C = 0.91 is a good value to use for the near-infrared K_s band. Adopting a simple reddening law, where extinction falls as the square of wavelength, it can be shown that a value of \( C = 0.97 \) is appropriate at 3.6-μm [34], and we adopt this value here.

The resulting surface brightness profile Figure 1 reaches a surface brightness of \( \mu_{3.6} \sim 20.7 \) mag arcsec⁻² at a radius of \( \sim 13.2 \) kpc (equivalent to 54.0 arcmin). From this surface brightness profile, we perform a one-dimensional bulge-disk decomposition, which employs the Sérsic model for
the bulge component and an exponential law for the disk component (e.g., [32, 35–39]; see [40] for a review). The Sersic [41, 42] $R^{1/n}$ model is most commonly expressed as a surface brightness profile such that

$$\mu(R) = \mu_e \exp\left(-b_n \left(\frac{R}{R_e}\right)^{1/n} - 1\right),$$

where $\mu_e$ is the surface brightness at the effective radius $R_e$ that encloses half of the total light from the model [43, 44]. The constant $b_n$ is defined in terms of the parameter $n$, which described the overall shape of the light profile. When $n = 4$, the Sersic model is equivalent to a de Vaucouleurs [45, 46] $R^{1/4}$ model, and when $n = 1$, it is equivalent to an exponential model. The parameter $b_n$ has been approximated by $b_n = 1.9992n - 0.3271$, for $0 < n < 10$ [47, 48]. The exponential model for the disk surface brightness profile can be written as follows:

$$\mu(R) = \mu_0 \exp\left(-\frac{R}{h}\right),$$

where $\mu_0$ is the disk central surface brightness and $h$ is the disk exponential scalelength. The results of our surface brightness fitting are summarized in Table 1.

We now assign masses to the disk and bulge of M33. The stellar mass-to-light ratio in the $K_s$ band is a well-calibrated quantity [49] which depends on $B-R$ color. Seigar et al. [34] extended this to a 3.6-$\mu$m image of M31 using the population synthesis codes of Bruzual and Charlot [50] and Maraston [51]. Using their results, we find a central mass-to-light ratio of $M/L_{K_s,0} \approx 1.25 \pm 0.10$ with a gradient of $-0.014$ kpc$^{-1}$. This results in a disk mass of $M_{\text{disk}} = (3.81 \pm 0.47) \times 10^9 M_\odot$ and a bulge mass of $M_{\text{bulge}} = (1.14 \pm 0.14) \times 10^9 M_\odot$ for M33.

A concern in using the 3.6-$\mu$m Spitzer waveband to determine the underlying stellar mass is the effect of emission from hot dust in this waveband although this is probably only important in or near HII regions. In order to place some constraint on this, we have chosen to explore the emission from dust in the near-infrared $K$ band at 2.2 $\mu$m. Using near-infrared spectroscopy at 2.2 $\mu$m, it has been shown that hot dust can account for up to 30 per cent of the continuum light observed at this wavelength in areas of active star formation, that is, spiral arms [52]. When averaged over the entire disk of a galaxy, this reduces to a 2 percent effect if one assumes that spiral arms can be up to $12^\circ$ in width. At 3.6 $\mu$m, this would, therefore, result in 3 percent of emitted light from dust.

Another concern for the 3.6-$\mu$m waveband would be the contribution from the polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) emission feature at 3.3 $\mu$m. However, an infrared space observatory (ISO) spectroscopic survey of actively star-forming galaxies by Helou et al. [53] found that the 3.3-$\mu$m feature was very weak when they analysed the average 2.5–11.6-$\mu$m spectrum of 45 galaxies. The contribution of the PAH feature to the 3.6-$\mu$m Spitzer waveband is therefore, not a major concern.

One other important contribution to the baryonic mass of M33 is the gas mass. Corbelli and Salucci [16] have shown that beyond a radius of 10 kpc, the gas contributes about 5 per cent of the total mass. Corbelli and Salucci [16], has shown that the contribution from the polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) emission feature at 3.3 $\mu$m. However, an infrared space observatory (ISO) spectroscopic survey of actively star-forming galaxies by Helou et al. [53] found that the 3.3-$\mu$m feature was very weak when they analysed the average 2.5–11.6-$\mu$m spectrum of 45 galaxies. The contribution of the PAH feature to the 3.6-$\mu$m Spitzer waveband is therefore, not a major concern.

3.2. The Dark Halo Contribution. A range of allowed dark matter halo masses and density profiles is now explored, using two models for dark matter halo density profiles, the pseudoisothermal model (e.g., [9], see [54]), and the Navarro, Frenk, and White ([3]; hereafter NFW) profile. A pseudoisothermal density profile is given by

$$\rho(R) = \rho_0 \frac{R_e^2}{R^2 + R_e^2},$$

which in terms of rotational velocity becomes

$$V_c^2(R) = V_c^2(\infty) \left(1 - \frac{R_e^2}{R^2} \tan^{-1} \frac{R}{R_e}\right),$$

where $R_e$ is the core radius and $\rho_0 = V_c^2(\infty)/4\pi G R_e^3$. The NFW profile is given by

$$\rho(R) = \frac{\delta c \rho_0}{(R/R_c)(1 + R/R_c)^2},$$

where $R_c$ is a characteristic “inner” radius, $\rho_0$ is the present critical density, and $\delta c$ is a characteristic overdensity. This overdensity is defined as

$$\delta c = \frac{100 c_{\text{vir}}^3}{3},$$

where $c_{\text{vir}} = R_{\text{vir}}/R_c$ is the concentration parameter and

$$g(c_{\text{vir}}) = \frac{1}{\ln(1 + c_{\text{vir}}) - c_{\text{vir}}/(1 + c_{\text{vir}})}.$$
The circular velocity associated with this density is given by Battaglia et al. [55] and is

\[ V_c^2 = \frac{V(\infty)^2 (c_{\text{vir}})}{s} \left[ \ln(1 + c_{\text{vir}} s) - \frac{c_{\text{vir}} s}{1 + c_{\text{vir}} s} \right] \]

Figure 2: The HI rotation curve from Corbelli and Salucci [16] modeled using a pseudoisothermal model (core model; blue solid line) and an NFW model (red dotted line). The squares represent the total rotation velocities, and the circles represent the contribution of the dark matter to the rotation velocities (after subtraction of the stellar and gas mass).

The circular velocity associated with this density is given by Battaglia et al. [55] and is

\[ V_c^2 = \frac{V(\infty)^2 (c_{\text{vir}})}{s} \left[ \ln(1 + c_{\text{vir}} s) - \frac{c_{\text{vir}} s}{1 + c_{\text{vir}} s} \right] \]

where \( V(\infty) \) is the circular velocity at the virial radius \( R_{\text{vir}} \) and \( s = R/R_{\text{vir}} \). This NFW profile is a two-parameter function and completely specified by choosing two independent parameters, for example, the virial mass \( M_{\text{vir}} \) (or virial radius \( R_{\text{vir}} \)) and concentration \( c_{\text{vir}} = R_{\text{vir}}/R_e \) (see [56] for a discussion). Similarly, given a virial mass \( M_{\text{vir}} \) and the dark matter circular velocity at any radius, the halo concentration \( c_{\text{vir}} \) is completely determined.

We now proceed by finding the best-fitting NFW and pseudoisothermal (or constant density core) dark matter halo density profiles that describe the complete HI rotation curve of M33 as observed by Crobelli and Salucci [16]. The result of this is shown in Figure 2. The pseudoisothermal fit is shown as the solid blue line, with best-fitting parameters of \( V(\infty) = 105.4 \pm 6.1 \) km s\(^{-1}\) and \( R_e = 1.39 \pm 0.04 \) kpc, and a reduced-\( \chi^2 \) value of \( \chi^2/\mu = 3.19 \), where \( \mu \) is the degrees of freedom. The NFW fit is shown as a dotted red line, with best-fitting parameters \( c_{\text{vir}} = 4.0 \pm 1.0 \) and \( M_{\text{vir}} = (2.2 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{11} \) M\(_{\odot}\), with a reduced-\( \chi^2 \) value of \( \chi^2/\mu = 1.18 \). As can be seen from Figure 2, the pseudoisothermal model (or core model in the figure) underestimates the rotation velocities beyond \( \sim 7 \) kpc. However, the NFW fit more closely recreates

| Parameter | NFW model |
|-----------|-----------|
| \( M_{\text{vir}} \) | \((2.2 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{11} \) M\(_{\odot}\) |
| \( c_{\text{vir}} \) | 4.0 \pm 1.0 |
| \( \chi^2/\mu \) | 1.18 |

The observed data. This is also clear from the values of reduced-\( \chi^2 \). We therefore, conclude that the NFW model best represents these data, and this is consistent with the results of Corbelli and Salucci [16]. This is somewhat surprising for a late type, bulgeless galaxy like M33, since these late-type galaxies are often shown to have constant density cores (e.g., [10, 11]).

Table 2 lists the best-fit parameters of the best-fit NSF and pseudoisothermal models based upon direct fitting to the HI rotation curve data.

Table 2: M33 rotation curve modeling results, showing the best-fitting NFW and pseudoisothermal models.

| Parameter | Core model |
|-----------|-----------|
| \( R_e \) | 1.39 \pm 0.04 kpc |
| \( V(\infty) \) | 105.4 \pm 6.1 km s\(^{-1}\) |
| \( \chi^2/\mu \) | 3.19 |

It is probably worthwhile noting that our best-fitting NFW model yields a concentration parameter, \( c_{\text{vir}} = 4.0 \pm 1.0 \). This is somewhat lower than the concentration parameter of \( c_{\text{vir}} = 5.6 \) reported by Corbelli and Salucci [16]. Furthermore, we derive a virial mass of \( M_{\text{vir}} = (2.2 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{11} \) M\(_{\odot}\), which is significantly lower than the virial mass of \( M_{\text{vir}} = 7.4 \times 10^{11} \) M\(_{\odot}\) found by [16]. Here, we discuss some reasons that could account for these apparent differences. Since we use the same gas distribution as in Crobelli and Salucci [16], the only difference can come from the stellar mass component. The main difference between our stellar mass component, and that of Crobelli and Salucci [16], is that ours is determined from a Spitzer 3.6-\( \mu \)m observation in 2007, and that of Crobelli and Salucci [16] is determined from a K band image reported by [57]. The K band image from 1994 was taken when near-infrared arrays were really in their infancy, and so, it is probably more important to rely on the more modern datasets when possible. Furthermore, Crobelli and Salucci [16] assume a distance to M33 of 0.7 Mpc, whereas we use the more accurate measurement of 0.84 Mpc from Magrini et al. [21]. As a result of this underestimation in the distance to M33, Crobelli and Salucci [16] has underestimated the size of the visible galaxy by a factor of \( \sim 17 \) percent and this in turn has probably affected the total mass of M33 that they derive. Taking into account the different distances to M33, the disk scalelength of \( h = 1.2 \) kpc used by Crobelli and Salucci [16] would become \( h = 1.4 \) kpc if they had used the more accurate distance of 0.84 Mpc. This is still lower than the scalelength of \( h = 1.7 \) kpc that we report here. In converting this light distribution into stellar mass, we have then used a combination of the stellar mass-to-light ratios from Bell et al. [49] and the population synthesis codes from Maraston [51]. These papers provide the best estimates currently available for determining the stellar mass-to-light ratios, and they were not available to
Corbelli and Salucci when they performed their analysis. One final difference between our results and those of Crobelli and Salucci [16] is that we include the bulge mass although considering the bulge-to-disk ratio of $B/D = 0.03$ this is unlikely to have a significant effect on the mass models. As a result, we conclude that the differences between our results and those of Corbelli and Salucci [16] are caused by the different treatment of the disk starlight, updated stellar mass-to-light ratios, and more recent data.

Finally, it should be noted that Corbelli and Walterbos [58] revealed that M33 has a weak central bar. This could potentially have the effect of inducing noncircular motions in the central regions, that is, within 1 kpc. However, Kuzio de Naray and Kaufmann [59] have shown that, even in the case of barred galaxies, it is difficult to confuse an NFW dark matter halo profile with that of a pseudoisothermal profile. In other words, our result that M33 is best described by an NFW profile still holds, and given that the potential of the stellar bar is weak, the concentration is unlikely to change significantly.

In the following discussion, we use the NFW concentration parameter to reveal some interesting relationships.

4. Discussion

Seigar et al. [27, 28, 60] have demonstrated that a relationship exists between spiral arm pitch angle and rotation curve shear. Rotation curve shear is defined as:

$$S = \frac{A}{\omega} = \frac{1}{\omega} \left(1 - \frac{R}{V} \frac{dV}{dR}\right), \quad (11)$$

where $A$ is the first Oort constant, $\omega$ is the angular velocity, and $V$ is the velocity measured at radius $R$. Using this equation it is possible to determine the shear from a rotation curve. We have performed such an analysis on the H I rotation curve for M33 and found a value for its shear of $S = 0.46 \pm 0.01$. We have also measured the spiral arm pitch angle for M33, which turns out to be $P = 42.2^\circ \pm 0.3^\circ$ [61]. This pitch angle is in good agreement with previous measurements [62, 63]. Figure 3 shows the relationship between spiral arm pitch angle and rotation curve shear. One can easily see that the pitch angle and shear values for M33 are consistent with the overall relationship.

Given the spiral arm pitch angles of a number of other galaxies, we can also now compare this quantity with the NFW concentration parameters for the galaxies listed in Table 3. Figure 4 shows a plot of NFW concentration as a function of spiral arm pitch angle in degrees. This plot may only be for 5 galaxies, but a relatively strong correlation appears to exist between these two quantities. Indeed, Pearson's linear correlation coefficient is 0.95 for this plot although the significance at which the null hypothesis of zero correlation is disproved in only 54 percent, probably due to low number statistics. Nevertheless, an interesting correlation seems to exist between spiral arm morphology and dark matter concentration, and this could be further studied by targeting more galaxies in an observational campaign. Indeed, these data seem consistent with the suggestion that pitch angle and mass concentration are related [27, 28].

Finally Figure 5 shows a plot of supermassive black hole mass as a function of NFW concentration parameter.
The black hole mass estimates are taken from (5) Ghez et al. [69], (6) Bender et al. [54], and (7) Gebhardt et al. [18].

Braun [67]. The NFW concentration value is taken from (1) Seigar [64], (2) Klypin et al. [68], (3) Seigar et al. [34], and (4) Seigar et al. [28].

We have shown that the H5.

Unfortunately, here, we only have data for three galaxies. Nevertheless, a hint of a correlation is starting to show, and seeing that such a correlation has been suggested by Seigar [64], as well as Satyapal et al. [70] and Booth and Schaye [71], this plot is somewhat intriguing. This hint of a correlation should, of course, be expanded on by studying more galaxies along the Hubble sequence from type Sa to Sd.

5. Summary

We have shown that the HI rotation curve of M33 can be best modeled with a dark matter halo that follows a NFW profile with low NFW concentration of \( c_{\text{vir}} = 4.0 \). Using the NFW concentration parameter from this fit, we find that interesting correlations between (1) spiral arm pitch angle and NFW concentration and (2) central supermassive black hole mass and NFW concentration start to appear. Although the second correlation is only for three galaxies, on the surface, it appears to be in disagreement with the argument made by Kormendy and Bender [72] that the dark matter halos of galaxies have no affect on the masses of supermassive black holes found in their centers. These correlations are very intriguing and our results warrant further investigation, as we have been limited to data that was available for just a few galaxies.
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