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**Abstract**

**Purpose:** The magnetic resonance (MR) T2 value of cartilage is a reliable indicator of tissue properties and therefore may be used as an objective diagnostic tool in early meniscal degeneration. The purpose of this study was to investigate age, gender, location, and zonal differences in MR T2 value of the posterior horns of knee menisci in asymptomatic subjects.

**Methods:** Sixty asymptomatic volunteers (30 men and 30 women) were enrolled and divided into three different age groups: 20–34, 35–49, and 50–70 years. The inclusion criteria were BMI<30 kg/m², normalized Western Ontario and McMaster Universities (WOMAC) pain score of zero, and no evidence of meniscal and ligamentous abnormalities on routine knee MR imaging. The T2 values were measured on images acquired with a T2-weighted fat-suppressed turbo spin-echo sequence at 3T.

**Results:** The mean T2 values in both medial and lateral menisci for the 20–34, 35–49, and 50–70 age groups were 9.94 msec±0.94, 10.73 msec±1.55, and 12.36 msec±2.27, respectively, for women and 9.17 msec±0.74, 9.64 msec±0.67, and 10.95 msec±1.33, respectively, for men. The T2 values were significantly higher in the 50–70 age group than the 20–34 age group (P<0.001) and in women than in men (P = 0.001, 0.004, and 0.049 for each respective age group). T2 values were significantly higher in medial menisci than in lateral menisci only in men age 50–70 (3.33 msec, P = 0.006) and in the white zone and red/white zone of the 50–70 and 35–49 age groups than that of the 20–34 age group (2.47, 1.02; 2.77, 1.16 msec, respectively, all P<0.01).

**Conclusion:** The MR T2 values of the posterior meniscal horns increase with increasing age in women and are higher in women than in men. The age-related rise of T2 values appears to be more severe in medial menisci than in lateral menisci. Differences exist in the white zone and red/white zone.

---

**Introduction**

The important role of the meniscus in knee osteoarthritis (OA) has been demonstrated in a few reports, where meniscal pathologies, such as meniscal degeneration and meniscal tear, are thought to be associated with changes in articular cartilage during the early or late stages of OA [1–3]. Modalities such as magnetic resonance (MR) imaging, recently used to examine the effects of progressive OA and to detect early structural and biochemical changes in the knee osteoarthritis cartilage, are imperative for effective diagnosis and treatment of OA [4,5]. Specifically, MR T2 values have been shown to provide important information about changes in the collagen fiber network and water content of articular cartilage [6–10]. Several MR imaging sequences (including T2, T1rho [T1 relaxation time in a rotating frame], and dGEMRIC [delayed gadolinium-enhanced MR imaging of cartilage]) have also been developed to study the meniscus matrix [10–13]. Prior studies have indicated that T1rho has a dynamic range and is a highly sensitive detector of chondral change. Higher collagen concentration and lower proteoglycan content in the meniscus may account for the stronger relationship between T2 and chondral change. Higher collagen concentration and lower proteoglycan content in the meniscus may account for the stronger relationship between T2 and chondral change. Higher collagen concentration and lower proteoglycan content in the meniscus may account for the stronger relationship between T2 and chondral change. Higher collagen concentration and lower proteoglycan content in the meniscus may account for the stronger relationship between T2 and chondral change. Higher collagen concentration and lower proteoglycan content in the meniscus may account for the stronger relationship between T2 and chondral change. Higher collagen concentration and lower proteoglycan content in the meniscus may account for the stronger relationship between T2 and chondral change.
and therefore it may be used as an objective diagnostic tool in early meniscal degeneration. Thus, MR T2 value was a more suitable measure of dynamic change in the meniscus especially in our asymptomatic subjects. Studies have suggested that meniscal T2 behavior reflects OA-related micro-structural change [10,12].

The meniscus is not a homogeneous tissue, and inner and outer areas of the meniscus differ in composition and cell metabolism [14,15]. T2 values were shown to differ significantly between zones in the posterior horn menisci of healthy young subjects [16]. Therefore, regional differences may influence the diagnostic value of quantitative T2 measurements in menisci. In addition, age and gender (both noted to have a significant effect on proteoglycan synthesis in human menisci [17,18]) may also be factors that could affect the diagnostic value of quantitative meniscal T2 measurements. Thus, regional MR T2 behavior in the knee menisci should be established as a function of age and gender before meniscal T2 is used as a diagnostic index of early OA-related biochemical change.

The aim of this study was to determine the age, gender, location (medial vs. lateral) and zonal differences in MR T2 values of knee menisci in asymptomatic subjects. To our knowledge, relatively few studies have investigated such relationships in knee menisci using the T2 mapping method in a quantitative manner [11–13,16]. Since the posterior horn of the medial meniscus is the most frequent location of meniscal degeneration and tears in primary OA of the knee [19,20], the posterior horns of the menisci were selected as the focus of this study.

Materials and Methods

Ethics Statement

Each participant provided written, informed consent. The consent form and this study protocol were approved by the National Defense Medical Center and Tri-Service General Hospital institutional review board. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Subjects

Sixty asymptomatic healthy young volunteers (30 men and 30 women) were enrolled. Twenty subjects were from a prior study (with the same inclusion criteria as below) that measured MR T2 values of knee meniscus, and 46 subjects were volunteers recruited subsequently [16]. All subjects were Taiwanese and recruited from the local community by way of print media. Inclusion criteria for the enrolled subjects were: (1) BMI <30 kg/m² [21], (2) asymptomatic with normal Western Ontario and McMaster Universities (WOMAC) pain score [22] of zero for both knees for more than 30 days before the baseline clinical visit, (3) no evidence of meniscal tear or meniscal intrasubstance fluid on MR imaging, (4) no evidence of ligamentous abnormalities on MR imaging, and (5) no evidence of an absence of any portion of the meniscus or a discoid meniscus. A meniscal tear was defined as an abnormal linear or complex high signal intensity communicating meniscus or a discoid meniscus. A meniscal tear was defined as an abnormal linear or complex high signal intensity communicating meniscus or a discoid meniscus. A meniscal tear was defined as an abnormal linear or complex high signal intensity communicating meniscus or a discoid meniscus. A meniscal tear was defined as an abnormal linear or complex high signal intensity communicating meniscus or a discoid meniscus. A meniscal tear was defined as an abnormal linear or complex high signal intensity communicating meniscus or a discoid meniscus. A meniscal tear was defined as an abnormal linear or complex high signal intensity communicating meniscus. Exclusion criteria were age younger than 20 years, presence of OA risk factors, history of knee injury, obesity, high intensity exercise or sports, and loss of knee stability, history of non-OA knee arthropathy or chronic disease, or long-term medication or nutritional supplements use. As shown in the subject selection schema (Figure 1), 46 subjects underwent body height/mass measurement, completed the WOMAC OA questionnaire, and underwent routine MR examination before undergoing the T2 values examination. Six volunteers failed to meet the criteria mentioned above and were excluded. The reasons for exclusion were: age younger than 20 years (n = 1), too uncooperative to undergo the MR examination (n = 1), treatment with hyaluronic acid many years ago (n = 2), abnormal medial collateral ligament on routine MR images (n = 1), and medial meniscal tear on routine MR images (n = 1). Thus MR T2 values of unilateral knees were determined in 60 subjects divided into three age groups each containing 10 women and 10 men (see Table 1).

Routine MR Imaging

All subjects were asked to rest one hour at least before the MR examination. Left knee menisci were selected as regions of interest (ROIs) only when the subjects’ right knee did not fulfill the inclusion criteria; thus 53 right knees and 7 left knees were selected. The initial routine MR examination of the tibiofemoral joint involved taking proton density-weighted, T2-weighted, and fat suppression T2-weighted images in the coronal and sagittal planes. The MR images were interpreted by an experienced musculoskeletal radiologist (G.S.H, 21 years). All subjects in group 1 (age 20–34) had no MR evidence of cartilage lesions, while eleven volunteers (two men and nine women) had cartilage lesions in groups 2 (age 35–49) and 3 (age 50–70). Size of cartilage lesions (area of cartilage loss) was estimated to be a % of the cartilage surface area of each individual region and given a modified MRI Osteoarthritis Knee Score (MOAKS) [24] as follows: Grade 0 = none, grade 1<10%, grade 2 = 10–75%, and grade 3>75%. The femoral and tibial surfaces were divided into five separate regions: medial and lateral central femur (MFc and LFc), medial and lateral posterior femur (MFP and LFP), and medial and lateral anterior, central, and posterior tibia (MTCa, MTc, and MTp; LTa, LTC, and LTp). Thus, the regions of the medial femorotibial joint (MFTJ) were the MFc, MFP, MTa, MTc, and MTp and those of the lateral femorotibial joint (LFTJ) were the LFc, LFP, LTC, and LTp. The maximum MOAKS for the MFTJ and LFTJ was 30.

MR Image Data Acquisition

All subjects were scanned in a supine position using a 3.0 T MR system (Achieva, Philips Medical Systems, Best, Netherlands). The selected joint of each subject was centered in an eight-channel
Knee coil (Philips Medical Systems). To minimize the magic angle effect on the meniscal T2 measurement [25,26], the imaged leg was positioned straight with the long axis parallel to the main magnetic field \( B_0 \), and immobilized with MR-compatible plastic pads.

After obtaining pilot images in three orthogonal planes, 20 contiguous axial T1-weighted images were acquired using a spin-echo sequence for positioning with the following parameters: repetition time (TR) = 600 ms, echo time (TE) = 14 ms, number of excitations (NEX) = 1, matrix size = 256 \( \times \) 256, slice thickness = 5 mm, and acquisition time = 2 min 35 s. Subsequently, oblique sagittal T2-weighted fat suppression images were obtained by using a multislice turbo spin-echo sequence. The sequence was prescribed to cover the medial and lateral menisci and had the following parameters: TR = 2500 ms; TE = 6.4, 9.4, 12, and 15 ms; echo train length = 3; slice thickness = 1 mm; slice gap = 1 mm; matrix size = 258 \( \times \) 324 (zero-filled to 560 \( \times \) 560); NEX = 2; 18 slices (9 slices per meniscus); and acquisition time = 14 min 40 s. Fat suppression was used to improve the tissue contrast of the images so that the derived T2 value was less prone to inaccuracy due to fat signal contamination from partial volume effects. One set of image data was discarded from subsequent statistical analysis because of poor image quality caused by severe motion artifact.

**Image Processing**

**Motion correction.** After imaging acquisition was completed, all data were transferred to a stand-alone personal computer. A two-dimensional auto-correlation method was used for motion correction, in which the first image acquired with TE = 6.4 ms was used as a reference image to coregister the other three echo images acquired with TE = 9.4, 12, 15 ms, and between-slice two-dimensional correlation coefficients were calculated [16]. Since the signal intensities of all tissues except the menisci were similar at

---

**Table 1. Subject characteristics.**

| Subjects and Characteristics | Group 1 | Group 2 | Group 3 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| All subjects                | No. of subjects | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Age (y)                     | 26.5 \( \pm \) 2.7 | 39.9 \( \pm \) 4.1 | 61.4 \( \pm \) 6.2 |
| Body mass index*            | 21.5 \( \pm \) 3.1 | 22.3 \( \pm \) 2.5 | 25.0 \( \pm \) 2.9 |
| WOMAC pain score            | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Women                       | No. of subjects | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Age (y)                     | 25.8 \( \pm \) 1.8 | 39.7 \( \pm \) 3.9 | 61.4 \( \pm \) 5.3 |
| Age range (y)               | 22–28 | 35–47 | 50–70 |
| Body mass index*            | 20.2 \( \pm \) 2.7 | 21.3 \( \pm \) 2.3 | 25.9 \( \pm \) 2.9 |
| Men                         | No. of subjects | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Age (y)                     | 27.2 \( \pm \) 3.4 | 40.0 \( \pm \) 4.6 | 59.7 \( \pm \) 5.9 |
| Age range (y)               | 22–32 | 35–48 | 50–69 |
| Body mass index*            | 22.7 \( \pm \) 2.9 | 23.3 \( \pm \) 2.5 | 23.8 \( \pm \) 2.8 |

*Mean values \( \pm \) standard deviations.

*There was a significant difference \( P<0.05 \) in BMI between Group 2 and Group 3 but not a significant difference in age between Group 1 and Group 2.

---

**Figure 2. Representative ROIs in a nearly sagittal slice of a knee meniscus are shown.** The selection of ROIs was performed on the first-echo MR image, which is enlarged on the right side. The black arrows indicate the separation between the three zones, and each zone was about one-third the width of the meniscus.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0059769.g002
these TEs, the slices corresponding to the maximum correlation coefficient were regarded as having optimal coregistration. In this manner, all images were coregistered with the first-echo image (with minimal translational in-plane motion).

Region of Interest (ROI) definition. The ROIs (including the entire meniscus and three different meniscal zones) for measurements of the T2 values in the posterior horns of the medial and lateral menisci were defined on the sagittal MR images of the first echo (Figure 2). The three different meniscal zones have been previously described in detail [16]. The meniscus was divided on the basis of vascularization into the red zone (i.e., the middle zone or transition between the outer vascular and inner avascular zones [27]), and the red/white (R/W) zone (i.e., the middle zone or transition between the outer vascular and inner avascular zones [27]). To avoid partial volume effects, the upper and lower borders of the meniscus were not included in the ROIs. Two experienced operators (SWC and PHT; 2 years and 4 years) selected ROIs for three randomly selected subjects and 4 years) selected ROIs for three randomly selected subjects separately, discussed their disagreements together, and reached a consensus. The inter-operator disagreement in the ROI selection was less than 6%, leading to less than 4% discrepancies in the resulting T2 estimation for all subjects.

Statistical Analysis

Paired-\( t \) tests with Bonferroni correction were used to compare the differences in T2 values between the medial and lateral menisci for the total region and the three different zones. Zonal dependence of T2 on age, BMI, and gender was analyzed using multiple linear regression with generalized estimating equations (GEE) and an exchangeable working correlation matrix to characterize the possible homogeneous correlations between T2 values. The GEE method with second-order age effect was used to fit the regression lines for the relationship between T2 values in the total posterior horns and participant age. Statistical analyses were performed on a personal computer using SPSS v.18.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL). Statistical significance was defined as \( P<0.05 \).

Table 2. Meniscal T2 measurements in different groups.

| Subjects and menisci | T2 (msec) | Group 1 | Group 2 | Group 3 |
|----------------------|----------|---------|---------|---------|
| Women                |          |         |         |         |
| Both menisci         | 9.938±0.940 | 10.728±1.554 | 12.355±2.274 |
| Medial meniscus      | 10.006±1.207 | 10.808±2.449 | 14.133±2.185 |
| Lateral meniscus     | 9.863±0.501  | 10.628±1.090  | 10.800±2.265  |
| Men                  |          |         |         |         |
| Both meniscus        | 9.169±0.744  | 9.644±0.671  | 10.945±1.330  |
| Medial meniscus      | 9.191±0.744  | 9.732±0.559  | 11.388±0.752  |
| Lateral meniscus     | 9.129±0.412  | 9.557±0.789  | 10.591±1.205  |

Note.-Data are mean values ± standard deviations.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0059769.t002

Table 3. Meniscal T2 measurements in three zones.

| Subjects | T2 (msec) | Group 1 | Group 2 | Group 3 |
|----------|----------|---------|---------|---------|
| Women    |          |         |         |         |
| White zone         | 8.019±0.608 | 9.086±1.356 | 11.183±1.885 |
| R/W zone         | 9.243±0.945  | 10.163±1.583  | 12.293±2.642  |
| Red zone        | 13.04±1.407  | 12.696±1.990  | 14.200±2.274  |
| Men           |          |         |         |         |
| White zone         | 8.077±0.626 | 8.267±0.796  | 9.791±1.101  |
| R/W zone         | 8.362±0.952  | 9.260±0.655  | 10.144±1.054  |
| Red zone        | 11.497±1.283 | 11.237±1.123 | 12.396±1.839  |

Abbreviation: R/W zone, red/white or intermediate zone.
Note.-Data are mean values ± standard deviations.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0059769.t003

Figure 3. T2 values of both menisci for three age groups of women and men. In women, T2 values increase significantly from group 1 to group 3 (\( P<0.001 \) as compared with group 1). Women show significantly greater T2 values than men in all three age groups (with \( P=0.001, 0.004, \) and \( 0.049, \) respectively).
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0059769.g003
Results

The characteristics of all subjects are summarized in Table 1. The T2 values in the posterior horns of both menisci (lateral and medial menisci combined), medial and lateral menisci separately are summarized in Table 2. The T2 values in the posterior horns of both menisci for three zones are summarized in Table 3. Good curve fitting of the T2 data was obtained by using the nonlinear least-square algorithm ($R^2 = 0.998 \pm 0.058$).

### Age-dependent Variation in Posterior Horn T2 Values

The results of multiple linear regression with GEE showed that both age and gender (with or without adjustment for the BMI effect) but not BMI (with adjustment for age and gender effects; $P=0.209$; Table 4) significantly affected the T2 value in both menisci. More specifically, the T2 value (both menisci) was significantly higher in women age 50–70 and 35–49 (1.777 and 0.741 ms, respectively) than in women age 20–34 ($P<0.001$ and $=0.088$, respectively; Table 4). The differences in T2 value (both menisci) among the three age groups were non-significant in men. Moreover, the differences in T2 value (both menisci) between the male 35–49 group and male 20–34 group and between the male 50–70 group and 20–34 group (0.313 and 0.109 ms, respectively) were lower (though not significantly) than these differences between the corresponding female age groups ($P=0.535$ and 0.841; Table 4 and Figure 3). In addition, the T2 value (both menisci) was 0.878 ms lower in men than in women of the 20–34 group ($P=0.001$; Table 4), and 1.084 and 1.062 ms lower in men than in women ($P=0.004$ and $=0.049$, respectively) of the 50–70 and 35–49 groups.

### Location-dependent Variation in T2 Value

Analysis of the T2 values of the medial and lateral menisci using the multiple linear regression model (Table 5) and after adjusting for the effects of age and gender, showed no significant effect of BMI on T2 values in the medial and lateral menisci ($P=0.606$ and 0.239, respectively) of subjects with BMI $>30$ kg/cm$^2$. Gender also had no effect on T2 values in medial and lateral menisci for subjects aged 20–34 ($P=0.186$ and 0.085, respectively). However, the T2 value in the medial meniscus was significantly higher for women age 50–70 than for women aged 20–34 years ($P<0.001$) but not for women aged 35–49 ($P=0.251$). Although significant in women, the differences in the T2 value in the medial meniscus among the three age groups were non-significant in men. On the other hand, differences in the T2 value in the lateral meniscus

### Table 4. Comparisons of meniscal T2 values among three age groups and two gender groups after adjusting for the BMI effect in multiple linear regression analysis with GEE.

| Parameters          | B    | SE   | 95% Confidence Interval | Chi-square | P-value |
|---------------------|------|------|-------------------------|------------|---------|
| BMI                 | 0.052| 0.042| –0.029 – 0.134          | 1.578      | 0.209   |
| Sex (M vs. W)       | –0.878| 0.266| –1.399 – 0.358          | 10.926     | 0.001   |
| Group 3 vs. Group 1*| 1.777| 0.473| 0.850 – 2.704           | 14.117     | <0.001  |
| Group 2 vs. Group 1*| 0.741| 0.434| –0.109 – 1.591          | 2.920      | 0.088   |
| Sex (M) x Group 3    | –0.109| 0.544| –1.176 – 0.958          | 0.040      | 0.841   |
| Sex (M) x Group 2    | –0.313| 0.504| –1.301 – 0.109          | 0.385      | 0.535   |

*Group 1: 20≤Age≤34; Group 2: 35≤Age≤49; Group 3: 50≤Age≤70 (Group 1 is the reference group).

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; B, regression coefficient; SE, standard error.

Figure 4. T2 values of the medial and lateral menisci in three age groups of women and men. The T2 values of the medial meniscus for women increase significantly from group 1 to group 3 (*$P<0.001$ as compared with group 1). But the T2 values of the lateral meniscus for women and men were not significantly different among three age groups.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0059769.g004
among the three age groups were non-significant in both men and women (Table 5 and Figure 4). Medial menisci were found to contribute significantly to the age-related variation in T2 values of both women and men (Figure 4). T2 value was significantly higher in the medial menisci than those in the lateral menisci in only women age 50–70 ($P=0.006$). Medial-lateral differences for all three zones were not significant in women and men in all three age groups.

### Zonal-dependent Variation in T2 Value

Multiple linear regression analysis after adjusting for the effects of age and gender showed that BMI had no significant effect ($P=0.186$) on zonal T2 values (not shown). Table 6 showed the multiple linear regression analysis of differences in zonal T2 values among three age groups. More specifically, the T2 values in the white zone were significantly higher for women aged 50–70 and 35–49 than for women aged 20–34 (2.470 and 1.024, ms respectively; $P<0.001$ and $P=0.009$, respectively). R/W zone ($P<0.001$ and $P=0.004$, respectively) T2 values but not red zone ($P=0.071$ and 0.905, respectively) T2 values were significantly higher in women of all three age groups. Moreover, the age-related differences in white zone T2 values were significantly lower in men than in women (–1.019 and –0.962 ms; both $P=0.035$; Table 6 and Figure 5). The white zone and R/W zone were found to contribute significantly to the age-related variation in T2 values of both women and men (Figure 5). On the other hand, for both men and women in all age groups, T2 values tended to increase from the white zone, R/W zone, to the red zone (Table 3 and Figure 5).

Eleven volunteers had degeneration of the tibiofemoral joint cartilage: two were women aged 35–49 with MOAKS score 3; two, men aged 50–70 with score 4; four, women aged 50–70 with score 4; and three, women aged 50–70 with score 6. Pearson correlation coefficient analysis failed to find any significant

### Table 5. Comparisons of T2 values of medial/lateral menisci among three age groups and two gender groups after adjusting for the BMI effect in multiple linear regression analysis.

| Parameters | B     | SE    | 95% Confidence Interval | Wald Chi-square | P-value |
|------------|-------|-------|-------------------------|-----------------|---------|
| **Medial** |       |       |                         |                 |         |
| BMI        | 0.038 | 0.074 | –0.107                  | 0.184           | 0.266   |
| Sex (M vs. W) | –0.929 | 0.703 | –2.307                  | 0.449           | 1.745   |
| Group 3 vs. Group 1 | 3.190 | 0.812 | 1.599                  | 4.781           | 15.451  |
| Group 2 vs. Group 1 | 0.754 | 0.657 | –0.534                  | 2.042           | 1.317   |
| Sex (M vs. W) | –1.093 | 1.012 | –3.077                  | 0.891           | 1.166   |
| Sex (M vs. W) | –0.224 | 0.923 | –2.032                  | 1.585           | 0.059   |
| **Lateral** |       |       |                         |                 |         |
| BMI        | 0.052 | 0.044 | –0.034                  | 0.138           | 1.388   |
| Sex (M vs. W) | –0.795 | 0.462 | –1.702                  | 0.111           | 2.960   |
| Group 3 vs. Group 1 | 0.570 | 0.454 | –0.320                  | 1.461           | 1.575   |
| Group 2 vs. Group 1 | 0.699 | 0.407 | –0.099                  | 1.496           | 2.950   |
| Sex (M vs. W) | –1.019 | 0.962 | –3.077                  | 0.891           | 1.166   |
| Sex (M vs. W) | –0.224 | 0.923 | –2.032                  | 1.585           | 0.059   |

*Group 1: 20–34; Group 2: 35–49; Group 3: 50–70 (Group 1 is the reference group).

Sex (M: Men; F: Women [the reference group]).

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; B, regression coefficient; SE, standard error.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0059769.t005

![Figure 5. T2 values of the three zones in three age groups of women and men.](https://example.com/f5.png)

The differences in T2 values for the white zone and R/W zone in women and men are significantly greater between group 3 and group 1 and between group 2 and group 1 ($P<0.01$) but no corresponding differences exist for the red zone in women and men.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0059769.g005
Table 6. Comparisons of zonal T2 values among three age groups and two gender groups after adjusting for the BMI effect in multiple linear regression analysis with GEE.

| Parameters   | B    | SE   | Confidence Interval | χ² value | P-value |
|--------------|------|------|---------------------|----------|---------|
| **White zone** |      |      |                     |          |         |
| Group 3 vs. Group 1 | 2.496 | 0.4369 | 1.640  | 3.533 | 32.636  | <0.001 |
| Group 2 vs. Group 1 | 1.071 | 0.3916 | 0.303  | 1.838 | 7.475   | 0.006  |
| Sex (M) vs. Group 3 | –1.019 | 0.4834 | –1.967 | –0.072 | 4.447   | 0.035  |
| Sex (M) vs. Group 2 | –0.962 | 0.4571 | –1.857 | –0.066 | 4.424   | 0.035  |
| **Red/White zone** |      |      |                     |          |         |
| Group 3 vs. Group 1 | 2.767 | 0.5556 | 1.678  | 3.856 | 24.803  | <0.001 |
| Group 2 vs. Group 1 | 1.161 | 0.4033 | 0.370  | 1.951 | 8.282   | 0.004  |
| Sex (M) vs. Group 3 | –1.059 | 0.6360 | –2.305 | 0.188 | 2.771   | 0.096  |
| Sex (M) vs. Group 2 | –0.357 | 0.4585 | –1.255 | 0.542 | 0.605   | 0.437  |
| **Red zone** |      |      |                     |          |         |
| Group 3 vs. Group 1 | 1.171 | 0.6485 | –0.100 | 2.442 | 3.260   | 0.071  |
| Group 2 vs. Group 1 | –0.079 | 0.6600 | –1.373 | 1.214 | 0.014   | 0.905  |
| Sex (M) vs. Group 3 | –0.181 | 0.7847 | –1.718 | 1.357 | 0.053   | 0.818  |
| Sex (M) vs. Group 2 | –0.077 | 0.7615 | –1.569 | 1.416 | 0.010   | 0.920  |

*Group 1: 20≤Age≤34; Group 2: 35≤Age≤49; Group 3: 50≤Age≤70 (Group 1 is the reference group).
Sex (M: Men; F: Women [the reference group]).
Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; B, regression coefficient; SE, standard error.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0059769.t006

correlation between the T2 value (both menisci) and MOAKS score (R = 0.389, P = 0.132).

Discussion

In this study, the age-related increase in T2 values was more prominent in the medial meniscus than that of the lateral meniscus. In women age 50–70, T2 was significantly higher in the medial meniscus than that of the lateral meniscus. This location-dependent variation may be attributed to the presence of less collagen, less proteoglycans, and more water in the medial meniscus than in the lateral meniscus [29,30]. Under normal conditions, proteoglycans are responsible for hydration within the meniscus, restricting water movement and adding compressive stiffness to the meniscus. The scarcity of proteoglycan in the medial meniscus leads to a concomitant increase in the space inside the porous matrix, which in turn is filled by an influx of water in a way similar to that which occurs in the OA patients [11] and in the articular cartilage [9]. As the mobility and the amount of water both increase, the regional T2 value increases [11,12], suggesting susceptibility to degenerative damage is elevated in the medial meniscus. Previous reports demonstrated a higher prevalence of meniscal abnormalities in the posterior horn of the medial meniscus in OA patients [11,12], which is in agreement with the location-dependent T2 variations in our study.

Due to zonal differences in meniscal composition and vascularization [18], T2 value increased steadily from the white zone to the red zone in all age groups, which confirms initial observations made in young populations [16]. In addition, the age-related variation in T2 also depended on zone, being significantly greater in the white and R/W zones than in the red zone, especially in women. In fact, during the natural degeneration of human meniscus, one of the most common degenerative tears (the horizontal-cleavage tear) usually begins near the inner margin of the meniscus and extends outward toward the periphery [31,32], suggesting that the white zone may be more prone to early degenerative tears than the R/W and red zones. This is consistent with our observation of a higher rate of T2 value increase in the white zone. Moreover, since the zonal dependence of meniscal healing has been reported in patients undergoing anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction [33], further investigations of zonal differences in meniscal T2 may identify possible potential factors for assessing meniscal healing [34,35]. All of these studies reconfirm the value of regional analysis.

OA is more prevalent in women [36]. Several studies evaluated the effect of gender on meniscal morphology and biomechanics [35,37,38]. Kerrigan et al. indicated that a gender difference in walking pattern could result in a difference in mechanical stress and could thereby account for the difference in OA prevalence [39]. Webber et al. examining gender differences in meniscal fibrochondrocyte quantity and proliferation showed that their number was greater in women than in men, regardless of age [40]. Although the mechanism is complicated and controversial, our current results demonstrate that T2 values in the posterior meniscal horns are higher in women than in men regardless of age, suggesting the need for investigation of this gender difference during meniscal degeneration.

To our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate age-, gender-, location-, and zone-related changes in the meniscus using noninvasive MR T2 values. T2 values of both posterior meniscal horns were found to increase with increasing age, possibly reflecting disruption of the articular cartilage architecture and consequent changes in water content [9,41,42]. Furthermore, previous papers indicated that marked collagen content decreases are accompanied by exponentially increased pentosidase concentrations and increased water content during degeneration of the menisci and lead to loss of elasticity, less solubility of collagen, and less digestibility of collagen [15,18,43]. Consequently, information about the effect of age on meniscal degradation and the initiation of OA can be non-invasively obtained from an assessment of change in T2 value. Rauscher et al. mentioned that gross analysis of the meniscus without investigation of zonal variation provides only limited information [14]. In our study, analysis of zonal characteristics disclosed the age-related differences in MR T2 values.

In a previous study comparing healthy subjects and patients with OA, meniscal T2 values were significantly correlated with cartilage WORMS score [11]. Although, in our study, the correlation between meniscal T2 values and cartilage MOAKS score was not significant, a trend toward correlation was noted, with correlation coefficient R² value similar to that found in the previous study. The point of difference between the previous study and our study may be the cartilage, which in our subjects was not severely damaged by OA.

Our study had several limitations. First, we placed the ROIs manually for T2 estimation. Drawing the ROIs manually could be prone to operator-dependent error. Thus we assessed the inter-operator agreement before assessing the image data of our 60 subjects. The low interoperator variability of less than 4% in T2...
seemed to be minor compared with the zonal/gender/location/age differences. Second, the signal intensity and T2 value may vary because of the magic angle effect which depends on the orientation of the cartilage fiber relative to the main magnetic field [25]. In this study, the magic angle effect was nullified by not recruiting the knee out of flexion by positioning the long axis of the leg parallel to the main magnetic field. Nevertheless, some of the T2 deviations observed in our study may still be due to changes in cartilage fiber orientation. Third, after grouping according to age and gender, each group had only ten subjects. While studies with small numbers of subjects are prone to selection bias, in this prospective study we used wide inclusion criteria so that a relatively large number of asymptomatic subjects could be recruited. The statistical power of our data seemed to be sufficient to preliminarily identify factors that may affect meniscal T2. Therefore, findings from our study should at least guide hypothesis generation and thereby assist larger scale investigations in the future. Fourth, we investigated only the posterior meniscal horn because it is highly susceptible to injury and degradation based on its anatomic and biomechanical architecture [29,41]. It will be crucial in a more advanced study to comprehensively investigate the MR T2 behavior in different segments of the knee meniscus for early detection and clinical management of degeneration of the meniscus, including the body and the anterior horn. Finally, T2 measurement in the meniscus is only an indirect index reflecting possible disruption of cartilage architecture and consequent changes in water content. Even if validation of these changes histologically and biochemically [11] adds diagnostic value to meniscal T2 measurement, the specificity of meniscal T2 value prolongation remains to be explored in more comprehensive examinations.

Conclusion
The MR T2 values of the posterior meniscal horns are diverse and related to age, gender, location, and zone. The T2 value findings in this study may increase our understanding of meniscal disease.
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