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Abstract. Password-based authentication protocols are susceptible to various attacks. Recently, Sood, Sarje, and Singh proposed an inverse cookie-based virtual password authentication protocol. Their protocol is practical and easy to implement. They claim that their scheme is secure to against various attacks, include online dictionary attack, offline dictionary attack, eavesdropping attack, denial of service attack, phishing attack, pharming attack, man-in-the-middle attack, replay attack, leak of verifier attack, message modification or insertion attack, and brute force attack. However, we find that some weaknesses of Sood et al.’s scheme. In this article, we will show that Sood et al.’s scheme is vulnerable to the on-line guessing password attack and the denial of service attack.

1. Introduction
The password authentication systems are widespread in authentication technique to authenticate the remote legal users [1-4]. However, the Password-based authentication protocols are susceptible to various attacks such as dictionary, guessing, phishing, stolen verifier, denial of service, man-in-the-middle, insider attacks, and other attacks [5-11]. It’s an important work to prevent a password from being compromised [12-20].

In 2001, Hwang et al. proposed an improvement of the SPLICE/AS authentication system in WIDE (Widely Integrated Distributed Environment) [21]. Their method could against to the guessing password attack. In 2016, Amin proposed an ID-based user authentication scheme for multi-server environment. They claimed that his scheme resisted various possible attacks include off-line identity guessing attack, off-line password guessing attack, and smart card stolen attack [22]. However, Pan, Tsaur, and Hwang found his scheme was vulnerable to off-line identity guessing with smart card stolen attack and off-line password guessing with smart card stolen attack [23]. In order to enhance the security of user authentication scheme, many smart card-based user authentication schemes had been proposed [24-33].

Recently, Sood, Sarje, and Singh proposed an inverse cookie-based virtual password authentication protocol [34]. The virtual password helps to against the different types of attacks for password authentication protocols. Their protocol is practical and easy to implement. They claim that their
scheme is secure to against various attacks, include online dictionary attack, offline dictionary attack, eavesdropping attack, denial of service attack, phishing attack, pharming attack, man-in-the-middle attack, replay attack, leak of verifier attack, message modification or insertion attack, and brute force attack. However, we find that some weaknesses of Sood et al.’s scheme. In this article, we will show that Sood et al.’s scheme is vulnerable to the on-line guessing password attack and the denial of service attack.

For more details we divide this paper into 4 Sections as follows: In Section 2, we briefly review Sood et al.’s virtual password authentication protocol with cookie. In Section 3, the vulnerabilities of their protocol are analysed. Finally, we make a conclusion of the paper in Section 4.

2. Review of Sood et al.’s Scheme
In this section, we briefly review the second protocol, virtual password authentication protocol with cookie [34]. In the scheme, there are two participants, the user $U_i$ and the server $S$. There are three phases in their scheme: The registration, login, and authentication phases. Some notations used in the scheme are described in Table 1.

| Notation | Meaning |
|----------|---------|
| $U_i$    | The $i$th user |
| $S$      | The server |
| $ID_i$   | The identity of $U_i$ |
| $P_i$    | The password of $U_i$ |
| URL      | A URL of the destination server. |
| OTP      | A one-time password of server for each client |
| MAX_TRUST| A maximal trust assigned to $U_i$ |
| MIN_TRUST| A minimal trust assigned to $U_i$ |
| Current_TRUST | A current trust value of $U_i$ |
| PK       | The server’s public key |
| SK       | The server’s private key |
| SS       | A session key of SSL protocol |
| $H(\cdot)$ | A one-way hash function |
| $\oplus$ | An exclusive-or operation |
| $\|\|$ | A concatenation operation |

2.1. Registration Phase
A new user $U_i$ has to register to a server $S$ to be a legal user. The user $U_i$ registers to the server $S$ by the following steps:

**Step 1.** The $U_i$ submits his/her identity $ID_i$ and password $P_i$ to the server $S$ over a secure channel.

**Step 2.** After receiving the registration *request* message from the $U_i$, the server $S$ computes and stores \{ID$_i$, AI, MIN_TRUST, MAX_TRUST, CUR_TRUST, CK, Ti\} in its database:

\[
\begin{align*}
A_i &= P_i \oplus SK \oplus OTP, \\
CK &= H(Ns \| URL \| PK), \\
Ti &= OTP \oplus H(SK).
\end{align*}
\]

Here, OTP and $N_s$ are two random numbers which generated by the server; PK and SK are the server’s public key and private key, respectively; URL is the server’s Uniform Resource Locator.

**Step 3.** The server $S$ sends the cookie information $CK$ to the user $U_i$ over a secure channel.

**Step 4.** The user $U_i$ stores the cookie information $CK$ into their terminal device.

2.2. Login Phase
The user $U_i$ wants to have the service of the server $S$. The user $U_i$ needs to executes and sends a login request message to $S$ as follows:
Step 1. The U₁ chooses a session key SS and sends EPK(SS) to the server. Here, EPK(SS) is the encrypts the session key SS with the server’s public key.

Step 2. The user U₁ submits his/her identity IDᵢ and password Pᵢ to his/her terminal with the browser. If the user U₁’s browser contains a cookie CK, the user U₁’s browser computes Ki and sends {Ki, CK} to the server:

\[ Ki = H(Idᵢ \parallel URL \parallel PK \parallel Pᵢ \parallel SS \parallel CK). \]

2.3. Authentication Phase

Upon receiving the login request message {Ki, CK} from the user U₁, the server S authenticates the user as follows:

Step 1. The server S retrieves the user U₁ information in the server’s database by CK. If it’s not CK data in the database, the server rejects the login request.

Step 2. The server S compared the CUR_TRUST and MIN_TRUST. If CUR_TRUST larger or equal to the MIN_TRUST, the server computes OTP, Pᵢ, and K’I as follows:

\[ OTP = Tᵢ \oplus H(SK), \]
\[ Pᵢ = Aᵢ \oplus SK \oplus OTP, \]
\[ K’I = H(IDᵢ \parallel URL \parallel PK \parallel Pᵢ \parallel SS \parallel CK). \]

Step 3. The server S verifies K’I whether is equal to Ki. If it’s not equal, the server rejects the login request. Otherwise, the server proceeds to the next step.

Step 4. The server S computes Mi and Qi as follow:

\[ Mᵢ = Nk \oplus H(Idᵢ \parallel SS \parallel Pᵢ), \]
\[ Qᵢ = H(IDᵢ \parallel Nk \parallel Pᵢ \parallel SS), \]

where Nk is a random nonce which generated by the server.

Step 5. The server S sends the mutual authentication message {Mi, Qi} to the user Uᵢ.

Step 6. Upon receiving the mutual authentication message {Mi, Qi} from S, the user Uᵢ computes N’k and Q’I as follows:

\[ N’k = Mᵢ \oplus H(Idᵢ \parallel SS \parallel Pᵢ), \]
\[ Q’I = H(IDᵢ \parallel N’k \parallel Pᵢ \parallel SS), \]

The user checks Q’I whether is equal to Qi. If it holds, the user and server compute the common session key SK = H(SS \parallel Pᵢ \parallel Nk \parallel CK \parallel Idᵢ). Otherwise, the session is terminated.

3. Cryptanalysis of Sood et al.’s Scheme

In this section, we will show that some weaknesses in Sood et al.’s virtual password authentication protocol with cookie: On-line guessing password attack and denial of service attack.

- On-line Guessing Password Attack:

We assume that an adversary is able to operate a legal user’s computer in some reasons.

Step L1. The adversary chooses a session key SS and sends EPK(SS) to the server. Here, EPK(SS) is the encrypts the session key SS with the server’s public key.

Step L2. The adversary submits U₁’s identity IDᵢ and guesses U₁’s password P’ᵢ to U₁’s terminal with the browser. If the user U₁’s browser contains a cookie CK, the user U₁’s browser computes Ki and sends {Ki, CK} to the server:

\[ Ki = H(Idᵢ \parallel URL \parallel PK \parallel P’ᵢ \parallel SS \parallel CK). \]

Upon receiving the login request message {Ki, CK} from the adversary, the server S authenticates the user as follows:

Step A1. The server S retrieves the user U₁ information in the server’s database by CK. If it’s not CK data in the database, the server rejects the login request. Since the CK is stored in the user’s client browser, therefore the server will authenticate the adversary.

Step A2. The server S compared the CUR_TRUST and MIN_TRUST. If CUR_TRUST larger or equal to the MIN_TRUST, the server computes OTP, Pᵢ, and K’I as follows:

\[ OTP = Tᵢ \oplus H(SK), \]
\[ P_i = A_i \oplus SK \oplus OTP, \]
\[ K'_i = H(ID_i \| URL \| PK \| P'_i \| SS \| CK). \]

**Step A3.** The server S verifies \( K'I \) whether is equal to \( K_i \). If it’s not equal, the server rejects the login request. Otherwise, the server proceeds to **Steps 4 – 6** of the authentication phase in Sood et al.’s protocol.

**Step A4.** If the adversary receives the mutual authentication message \( \{M_i, Q_i\} \) from the server, the guessed password is correct. Otherwise, the adversary repeatedly guesses the user’s password and executes **Step L1**.

- **Denial of Service Attack:**

  In this attack, an adversary will make the server to cost a large of computation. If the adversary intercepted the user’s login request \( \{K_i, CK\} \), the adversary attacks the server as follows:

  **Step C1:** The adversary by passes all steps of the login phase in Sood et al.’s protocol.

  **Step C2:** The adversary sends the intercepted login request message \( \{K_i, CK\} \) to the server. Upon receiving the login request message \( \{K_i, CK\} \) from the adversary, the server S authenticates the user as follows:

  **Step A1.** The server S retrieves the user \( U_i \) information in the server’s database by \( CK \). If it’s not \( CK \) data in the database, the server rejects the login request. Since the \( CK \) is stored in the user’s client browser, therefore the server will authenticate the adversary.

  **Step A2.** The server S compared the CUR_TRUST and MIN_TRUST. If CUR_TRUST larger or equal to the MIN_TRUST, the server computes OTP, Pi, and K’I as follows:

  \[ OTP = T_i \oplus H(SK), \]
  \[ P_i = A_i \oplus SK \oplus OTP, \]
  \[ K'_i = H(ID_i \| URL \| PK \| P'_i \| SS \| CK). \]

  **Step A3.** The server S verifies \( K'I \) whether is equal to \( K_i \). If it’s not equal, the server rejects the login request. Otherwise, the server proceeds to **Steps 4 – 6** of the authentication phase and compute the common session key \( SK = H(SS \| Pi \| Nk \| CK \| Idi) \). Since the \( \{K_i, CK\} \) is generated by the legal user \( U_i \), the server will authenticate and send \( \{M_i, Q_i\} \) to adversary.

  Although the adversary is unable to derive the common session key, he/she will result in costing a large of computation in the server.

4. **Conclusion**

In this paper, we have shown that the vulnerabilities of Sood et al.’s protocol. Their scheme could not against the on-line guessing password attack and the denial of service attack. The main weakness of Sood et al.’s protocol is that the login request message \( \{K_i, CK\} \) is always the same as that for each login session of the user. To resist these vulnerabilities of Sood et al.’s protocol, concatenate a timestamp \( Ti \) to \( K_i \) in the login phase: \( K_i = H(ID_i \| URL \| PK \| P'_i \| SS \| CK \| Idi) \). The user sends the login request message: \( \{K_i, CK, Ti\} \). The login request message \( \{K_i, CK, Ti\} \) is thus always different from that for each login session of the user.
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