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Abstract:

**Background:**

Intercropping legumes with cereals for forage production is a sustainable technique showing several environmental benefits.

**Aim:**

This study aimed to investigate the effect of different levels of nitrogen fertilization on forage yields and quality of hairy vetch (*Vicia villosa*, roth) –triticale (*X tritcosecale*, witmack) mixtures.

**Methods:**

The effect of five increasing nitrogen rates (0, 10, 20 30 and 50 kg N ha\(^{-1}\)) on the growth rate, forage yield, quality and interspecific competition of hairy vetch-winter triticale mixture was investigated under rainfed regime at the INRAT experimental station of Mornag.

**Results:**

Nitrogen application induced an increase in dry matter yield from 7.6 to 9 T DM ha\(^{-1}\) obtained with 30 kg N ha\(^{-1}\). This rate corresponds to the most efficient nitrogen rate as expressed by kg DM per Kg of added N. It reached a mean value of 47 kg DM kg\(^{-1}\) N. Moreover, application of increasing nitrogen rate caused an increase in LER (Land Equivalent Ratio) of the mixture over the unit (LER=1.58 at 30 kg N ha\(^{-1}\)) and the competition ability of the triticale through CRt increase, suggesting the advantage of the mixture over sole crops. Crude protein content has been increased by two points from zero nitrogen application to other rates. However, no evident variation in fibers and Metabolizable Energy (ME) content was detected along with nitrogen application, but, mean values of 18% of CP content, 34% of NDF content and 9.7 Mj kg\(^{-1}\) DM of ME were denoted as high forage nutritional values compared to other most Tunisian conventional forages.

**Conclusion:**

The results of this study indicate that hairy vetch intercrops with winter triticale produced higher dry matter than the common vetch sole crop.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The global human population is projected to reach beyond 9.8 billion by the end of the year 2050 [1]. Thus, productivity must be increased through sustainable production by taking into account climate change, rarefaction of resources like phosphorus and water, and losses of fertile lands. Crop production should be increased further without deteriorating the soil fertility, environment, and food quality [2, 3]. Diversification strategies include enhancing crop genetic diversity recognized as a crucial tool for sustainable agro-ecological development. Legumes can play an important role being a major biological nitrogen source, which are also a powerful option to reduce synthetic nitrogen fertilizers use and associated fossil energy consumption. Nevertheless, restoring a high crop-specific and genetic diversity will be difficult to achieve over the next 40 years [4] because most of these traditional crops and varieties are unattractive in comparison to modern, valuable and high-yielding crops. Grasses and other forage crops provide nutrients at low cost, maintain rumen function, thus supporting animal health, and add value to the products [5,
Intercropping of annual forage legumes with winter cereals for forage production is used extensively in the Mediterranean region [12]. Systems involving legumes as base crop and cereals as intercrops, have been observed to provide several major advantages such as higher total yield and better land use efficiency [13, 14], yield stability [9], better utilization of light, water, and nutrients [14, 15], improved soil conservation [14, 16], and maintenance of soil fertility. Inclusion of grain legumes in intercrops has increased forage protein yields, and improved forage nutritive value [17 - 19]. Niggli et al. [20] describe that intercropping is based on eco-functional intensification and may enhance crop productivity [21], increase the land utilization ratio [22] and emit significantly lower amounts of greenhouse gases compared to sole crops [23, 24]. Applying synthetic nitrogen fertilizers to increase farmland productivity in the short term and the overall farm production like for the Green Revolution, new systems could be designed based on symbiotic N₂ fixation by legumes. Synthetic N fertilizers are used in a substantial amount to increase crop productivity in the short term [2]. Unbalanced use of synthetic fertilizers, however, deteriorates the soil health in the long term [25]. Moreover, biological nitrogen fixation occurs mainly through symbiotic association as a major nitrogen source which is the main objective also because it means that less N fertilizer input is required [26], reducing CO₂ emissions [27] and lowering the carbon footprints of agricultural products [28]. The new sustainable crop production systems will heavily rely on symbiotic N fixation by legumes [29].

Indeed, the mixture interactions based on functional complementarity could be a more suitable way to obtain high yield stability along with simultaneous atmospheric nitrogen inputs as compared to the more classical introduction of legumes as sole crops [30]. With intercropping vetch and triticale, low N external input should partially level out the difference without a decrease in the yield of the two species. The objective of the research was to determine the effect of nitrogen fertilizer rates on the performance of hairy vetch-winter triticale intercrop in terms of forage yield, nitrogen content and feed quality.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Site and Experiment Set Up

A field experiment was performed during 2011-2012 at the INRAT experimental station of Mornag situated in the north of Tunisia (36°37’20” N; 10°17’29” E) under rainfed conditions. The total precipitation from September 2011 to June 2012 was 667 mm (Table 1). The texture of the soil was clay-loam. The experimental structure was a replacement series, consisting of vetch (Vicia villosa, Roth) and triticale (X triticeaecale,- Witmack) in pure stands and different mixtures. Table 1 presents the mean monthly temperature and monthly precipitation of the experimental location during the growing season 2011-2012.

2.2. Measurements and Calculations

2.2.1. Agronomic, Yield and Quality Measurements

The experimental design was a Randomized Complete Block (RCB) design with 15 treatments (three treatments: triticale alone crops, hairy vetch alone crops, and triticale-vetch intercrops) and five doses of nitrogen giving place to 15 possible combinations which were arranged according to a model of replacement series of the additive type with three replications. Nitrogen treatments were applied by manually broadcasting dry ammonium nitrate (NH₄NO₃) to the soil surface. The experimental plots consisted of six rows, 1.2 x 3 m long and with 0.20 m spacing between rows. Blocks were separated by 0.5 m buffer zone. The number of seeds sown for vetch and triticale crops was 250 seeds m⁻². For the intercrops, the number of seeds sown was 200 and 50 seeds m⁻² for vetch and triticale, respectively, corresponding to a vetch-triticale ratio of 80%-20%. The applied ratio of the intercrops was selected because it was proposed as the most productive for crude protein and achieved the best LER for the local conditions [31]. All the plants in each plot were hand-harvested at full maturity, and grain yields (dry weight basis) were determined for sole crops and intercrops individually. Forage quality was assessed on dried material after it was subsampled and ground on a laboratory mill using a 1 mm screen. Analyses were conducted for Crude Protein concentration (CP) by the Kjeldahl method. Acid Detergent Fiber (ADF) and Neutral Detergent Fiber (NDF) were analyzed as described by Goering and Van Soest [32].

Nitrogen Use Efficiency (NUE) is defined as production per unit of N available in the soil. This is represented by the amount of grain or forage produced divided by the amount of N supplied to the plant by the soil. The two components of NUE are the efficiency of uptake and N utilization to produce grain or forage [33].

Metabolizable Energy (ME) content of forages was calculated according to Menke and Steingass, [34] using the equation given below:

\[
\text{ME} (\text{MJ/Kg DM}) = 2.20 + 0.136 \text{GP} + 0.0574 \text{CP}
\]

Where; GP, 24 h net gas production (mL/200 mg DM); CP, crude protein (%).

2.2.2. Competition Ratio (CR)

The competitive ratio gives a good measure of the competitive ability of the component crops in an intercropping system [35] represents the individual Land Equivalent Ratios (LERs) of the component crops and also takes into account the proportion of the crops sown in intercropping.

\[
\text{CR}_{\text{legume}} = \frac{\text{LER}_{\text{legume}}}{\text{LER}_{\text{cereal}}} \times Z_{i} / Z_{j}
\]

\[
\text{CR}_{\text{cereal}} = \frac{\text{LER}_{\text{cereal}}}{\text{LER}_{\text{legume}}} \times Z_{i} / Z_{j}
\]

\(Z_{i} = \text{sown proportion of legume in combination with intercrop cereal}\)
Precipitation (mm)  

| Month       | Precipitation (mm) | Temperature (°C) |
|-------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| September   | 22                | 25              |
| October     | 233               | 19.2            |
| November    | 111.5             | 15              |
| December    | 84.7              | 11.5            |
| January     | 27                | 9               |
| February    | 68.5              | 8.5             |
| March       | 59.5              | 12.8            |
| April       | 55                | 16              |
| May         | 6                 | 21.5            |
| June        | 0                 | 25.8            |
| Total       | 667.2             | Mean16.4        |

Table 1. Monthly precipitation (mm) and mean monthly temperature (°C) during the growing season of experimentation at INRAT experimental station of Mornag situated in the North of Tunisia.
Table 2. Average dry matter content (DM) values for three combinations (triticale alone, hairy vetch alone and triticale-hairy vetch mixture) receiving 5 levels of nitrogen intake (0, 10, 20, 30 and 50 u N ha\(^{-1}\)). Different letters indicate significant difference among the levels of nitrogen treatment at P<0.05.

| Level of Nitrogen Fertilization (kg N ha\(^{-1}\)) | Rate of DM (%) |
|-------------------------------------------------|----------------|
| 0                                              | Average        |
| 10                                             | 20             |
| 30                                             | 50             |

| Triticale | 20.1±1.4 | 18.8±0.3 | 18.7±1.1 | 19.2±1.6 | 19.3±0.0 | 19.2±0.9a |
|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|------------|
| Hairy vet | 13.8±0.5 | 12.5±0.8 | 12.4±1.1 | 14.5±0.9 | 15.2±2.1 | 13.7±1.1c  |
| Mixture   | 15.8±2.6 | 13.6±0.4 | 17.4±4.3 | 14.9±1.9 | 15.4±0.6 | 15.4±1.9b  |
| Average   | 16.6±1.5a| 15±0.5a  | 16.2±2.2a| 16.2±1.5a| 16.6±0.9a| 16.1±1.3   |

conducted an experiment on bean+maize intercropping systems where the intercrop received 0, 100 and 200 kg N. Results showed that with increasing the levels of N fertilizer, the yield of bean sole cropping decreased but the yield of maize sole cropping increased. On the other hand, in intercropping systems with N fertilizer application, the yield of both the crops increased [16]. Layek et al. [13] also reported that the application of N significantly increased the crop yield, grain yield, maize equivalent yield, and economic benefits of maize-legume intercropping systems. Increased diversification by the use of alternative crops, diversifying agro-ecosystems and rotations or cultivating mixtures is also more likely to fulfill multiple objectives like, for example: (i) increasing yield and quality of grain and forage, (ii) providing ecological services, (iii) improving adaptability of production systems to climate change [52] and (iv) potentially allowing a greater resilience of systems to biotic and abiotic stresses [53].

However, the Nitrogen Use Efficiency (NUE) of the combination, expressed in kg of DM per kg of the supplied nitrogen increased by an average value of 10 with the dose of 10 u N ha\(^{-1}\), reaching a maximum of 47 kg MS Kg\(^{-1}\) nitrogen at a dose of 30 u N ha\(^{-1}\) and then dropped drastically to a value of 13.4 at the dose of 50 u N ha\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 2). Therefore, the maximum dose of the test does not necessarily correspond to the level of “economic” nitrogen fertilization, which would make it possible to have the highest efficiency. A similar result was reported by Hassan et al. [54] for the vetch-oat association, where it has been shown that a twice-divided intake of 20 u N ha\(^{-1}\) is likely to provide efficient fertilization for optimal forage yield. Noulas et al. [55] concluded that there is a large potential for increasing NUE by improving N recirculation, use of fast and inexpensive crop N monitoring tools and higher yield and sustainability [56]. Hawkesford mentioned that NUE is a complex trait comprising two key major components, N uptake and N utilization efficiency, both also complex traits in themselves, each involving many physiological processes and biochemical pathways.

In the present study, CP of intercrops with different levels of nitrogen was significantly similar than that of zero N application. This was obviously the effect of the high vetch contribution (80%) in the mixtures tested in the present work (Fig. 3). Similarly Dordas et al. [57], reported that when the contribution of legume in the intercrops was high, then there was a significant increase in CP. The CP content of the mixture studied remains very high compared with the conventional fodder usually used in Tunisian, in this case, oats which, at best, have a protein content of just over 8% [58]. With a moderate nitrogen intake, the hairy vetch-winter triticale mixture studied had a CP content well above the average of 14% content reported by Mariotti et al. [59]. for the durum-bean combination, in the average vetch-oats content 17%, [60] and the ability of legumes to biologically fix N allows grasses to accumulate higher concentrations of tissue N in mixture than in monoculture [61]. Grass monocultures had lower CP than legume monocultures and legume-grass mixtures.

Variance analysis revealed a significant effect of the nitrogen fertilizer factor as the percentage of the vetch in the mixture was at its maximum level (80% on average) with treatments at 0 and 10 kg N ha\(^{-1}\). This proportion decreased to 62% for the treatment corresponding to 50 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) (Table 3). Bennila and Rebai [31] concluded that hairy vetch should be sown at a proportion in the mixture greater than 60%. Other works conducted on the association between hairy vetch and cereal have proved that hairy vetch should be in a greater amount in the initial mixture to ensure good attendance at adult plant stage. We cite, among other studies, the work done on the vetch-barley association by Shobeiri et al., and Tosti et al., and those conducted on the association between vetch-triticale by Yucel and Avice [61 - 63]. Common vetch (Vicia sativa L.) is frequently grown in the Mediterranean countries for animal feeding, a yearly legume with a climbing habit and high protein, is very popular to grow with cereals in intercropping [64]. Various distinctive cereal crops like wheat, oat (Avena sativa L.), and barley are tried to fit in intercropping with the common vetch [65].

Fig. (1). Intercropping systems tested at Mornag experimental station, Tunisia, with A 30 u N ha\(^{-1}\) and B 0 u N ha-1at their growth period.
Table 3. Mean value of the proportion of hairy vetch (%) in the final mixture at harvest. Different letters indicate significant difference among levels of nitrogen treatment at P<0.05.

| Dose of Nitrogen (u N ha⁻¹) | Proportion of Hairy Vetch (%) |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 0                          | 79.0 ab                      |
| 10                         | 81.5 a                       |
| 20                         | 77.3 ab                      |
| 30                         | 72.9 b                       |
| 50                         | 62.5c                        |
| PPDS                       | 8.1                          |

Table 4. Acid Detergent Fiber (ADF) and Metabolizable Energy (ME) for 3 combinations (triticale alone, hairy vetch alone and triticale-hairy vetch mixture). Different letters indicate significant difference among levels of nitrogen treatment at P<0.05.

| Treatments       | NDF (%) | ME (MJ/kg DM) |
|------------------|---------|---------------|
| Triticale        | 41.1a   | 13.4a         |
| Hairy vetch      | 33.8b   | 9.7b          |
| Mixture          | 34.8b   | 9.7b          |

The analysis of variance (Table 5) for the three combinations (triticale alone, hairy vetch alone and association) and for the 5 doses of nitrogen showed a highly significant variation in the NDF content between the three combinations but did not show any apparent effect on nitrogen fertilization. For example, triticale in monoculture had the highest NDF content of 41.1%. The intercrops and hairy vetch in monoculture exhibited average grades of 34.8 and 33.8%, respectively (Table 4). These intercropping and monocropping systems are significantly equivalent and this can be explained by the preponderance of the hairy vetch in the final mixture regardless of the nitrogen dose used. These levels can be compared to those obtained on triticale alone or on the triticale-vetch mixture described by Yucel and Avci, or durum wheat-vetch studied by Kara and Sirin [70 - 74].

The LER index was greater than high levels of nitrogen intake (20, 30 and 50 kg N ha⁻¹), suggesting a definite advantage in yield in intercropping against the cultivation of cereal and legume (intercrops) in isolation (sole cropping). (Fig. 4). In contrast, LER is lower than one (1.0) for 0 and 10 Kg N ha⁻¹, suggesting a disadvantage of vetch-triticale’s association with monocultures of both the crops. The highest LER was obtained with the 30 kg ha⁻¹ treatment, reaching a value of 1.58. This value means that to achieve the same yield of the combination, monocultures require 58% more surface area. In addition, an intake higher than 30 u N ha⁻¹ is not accompanied by a significant increase in the LER index suggesting that the dose 30 u N ha⁻¹ is the optimum dose for improving the productivity in relation to the pure cultures of its two crops. Moreover, this dose also corresponds to the maximum efficiency of an intercropping system to use the limited available resources as against their pure stand (Fig. 4).

The LER of the maize-soybean intercropping system was recorded to be about 1.30, which means that there was 30% advantage in intercropping against the sole cropping of maize and soybean separately [75]. In several studies, the LER index has always been reported to be higher in the absence or in the presence of low nitrogen fertilization of intercropping system [76]. This is the case for common vetch-barley [77], soya-bean and the pea-durum combination [78] because the mineral nitrogen supply hinders the symbiotic fixation and consequently the growth of the legume, thus making it more vulnerable to the competition of the cereal. However, our results are in agreement with those of [79] Mariotti et al. (2011) who found that for the faba bean-durum combination, the LER index increased with the addition of nitrogen. The analysis of the variance relative to the two competition parameters LER (Land Equivalent Ratio) and CRt (Competition Ratio) of triticale, calculated for the forage yield is recorded in Table 5. It reveals a significant variation of these two parameters with the nitrogen fertilization factor.

Similarly, the results related to the competitiveness index of triticale against the legume (CRt) revealed a significant variation of this parameter with the different nitrogen dose used. Thus, CRt was the lowest at the dose of 10 u N ha⁻¹. It increased thereafter with the increase of the level of the nitrogen supply to reach a maximum at the dose 50 u N ha⁻¹ (Fig. 5).

This suggests that the contribution of nitrogen to the association has led to a significant increase in the competitiveness of triticale compared to the legume through the stimulation of its growth and the increase of the contribution of triticale in the final mixture. Moreover, a positive and highly significant correlation coefficient was calculated between the competitiveness index CRt and the proportion (%) of triticale in the final mixture (r = 0.68 ***, n = 15) (Table 5).

The contribution of mineral nitrogen to the intercrops stimulated the development of the cereal, increased its proportion in the mixture and the competitiveness with respect to the majority vetch in the mixture as confirmed by the coefficient of competitiveness CRt. Thus the triticale could play its role of tutor facilitator for vetch hair naturally with tendrils. In fact, the height of triticale and vetch increased significantly with the increase in nitrogen intake. This gain in height is of some agronomic interest in that the triticale hairy vetch intercropping recorded the highest value of a cutting height which makes it easier to harvest mechanically compared to the hairy vetch in the monoculture. Moreover, Rakeh et al. [80], concluded that the CR values of cereals exhibited an increasing trend from the first cutting date through the second one, while the opposite was observed in CR of legumes which
Fig. (2). Variation in forage yield with different levels of nitrogen and nitrogen use efficiency (NUE) at zero N application. The bars at the top of each histogram represent the standard deviations. Histograms with different letters represent significantly different yields.

Table 5. Analysis of variance of hairy vetch-triticale intercrops for Dry Matter Yield (DM), Land Equivalent Ratio (LER), Competition Ratio (CRt), crude protein concentration (MAT), Acid Detergent Fiber (ADF) and Neutral Detergent Fiber (NDF) across treatments of nitrogen level (N) and blocs.

| Source of Variation | Df DM t ha⁻¹ | LER | CR, MAT NDF ADF |
|---------------------|--------------|-----|-----------------|
| Bloc                | 2 Ns         | Ns  | Ns Ns Ns        |
| N                   | 4 **         | *   | * Ns -          |
| erreur              | 6 -          | -   | -               |
| Modèle              | 6 -          | -   | ---             |
| R²                  | - 70.6       | 78.5 | 76       |
| CV                  | - 6.18       | 18  | 22              |

*a* Significant at 0.05 level of probability. **Significant at 0.01 level of probability.

Fig. (3). Variation of the crude protein content of the hairy vetch-triticale mixture as a function of the nitrogen doses. Histograms with different letters are significantly different at the 5% threshold.
decreased, indicating the dominance of cereals under these crop mixtures. Aşci et al. [81] indicated that increasing forage pea ratios in mixtures can also improve N supply to triticale. Therefore, increasing aggressivity levels were observed in triticale with decreasing triticale sowing rates in mixtures. The present findings comply with the results of Dordas et al. [82] indicating varying interspecies competitiveness levels with species and sowing rates in mixtures. The growth rate of species was lower in the intercrops than in monocrops due to the strong competitive ability of triticale. Aggressivity and partial actual yield loss indicated cereals as the dominant species [83].

Intercropping has also been shown to: (i) improve soil conservation [84], (ii) favour weed control [85], (iii) reduce pests and diseases [86] and (iv) provide better lodging resistance [87]. Cereals nutrient uptake is the principal crop that absorbs nutrients from upper soil layers [88, 89]. Legume, being able to fix atmospheric N in the soil, improves the soil fertility and reduces the completion of limited soil nutrients within the soil [90, 91]. Although the cereal in an intercropping system that was reported to positively respond to a higher dose of N (120 kg N/ha), the associated legume (pigeon pea) responded only to the application of 80 kg N/ha [92]. The supply of biofertilizer (Azotobacter) along with 150 kg N/ha
also reported to increase the productivity of maize intercropped with soybean [92, 93]. Intercropping of suitable component crops has several socioeconomic [94] biological [95] and ecological [96] advantages over monocropping. Intercropping increased biodiversity, productivity and stability of agroecosystems [97] as the component crops provide a suitable habitat for a number of insects and soil organisms which otherwise is not present in a monocrop situation [98].

CONCLUSION

The triticale hairy vetch association increased the proportion of triticale in the final mixture and its competitiveness, expressed in terms of the CRt index, and thus its facilitating effect on the legume is proved beneficial for the intercrops whose yield in MS is higher at high doses of nitrogen. However, the maximum efficiency of nitrogen utilization was obtained with the 30 u N ha⁻¹ dose, which represents an optimal and economical dose for the biomass and should not be exceeded. In addition, the MAT content of the combination was higher in the presence of nitrogen. It reached an average value of 18.3% against 16.2% under the treatment 0 u N ha⁻¹. It is thought to be due to the increase in the triticale MAT content, since the MAT content of the monoculture hairy vetch, unlike that of the triticale alone, did not change with increasing nitrogen doses. The average MAT content of the combination was 17.97%. It exceeds to that of triticale by 10 points and remains very close to that of hairy vetch alone (average of 19%) and indicates a high forage value of the intercropping system. In conclusion of this study, triticale-vetch intercrop is a system efficiently acquiring nitrogen even when other resources are scarcely hindering dry matter accumulation by plants. The lower the external N input, the greater the gain from cereal-legume intercropping due to more effective biological N fixation. Our results suggest that under severe conditions of light, soil N fertilizer rate for triticale-vetch hairy intercrop should not exceed 30 kg/ha. That meets the requirements for a more sustainable low-input agriculture. Most intercrops of a legume with cereal showed significant advantages relative to their monocrops due to better DM production, resource-use efficiency and economics under low-input farming.
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