The Use of Clinical PBL in Primary Care in Undergraduate Medical Schools

Aplicação do PBL Clínico na Atenção Primária em Cursos de Medicina

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Problem-based learning (PBL) is a collaborative student-centered learning method for small groups, based on the mobilization of previous knowledge and on critical reasoning for problem solving. Although it has been used predominantly in the classroom, when applied in clinical studies, PBL can increase the intrinsic motivation and long-term knowledge retention. In addition, Clinical PBL represents a more effective option to learn from practice considering the students’ overload in clinical clerkships in the Unified Health System (UHS). This study aimed to assess the students’ perception of a Clinical PBL model implemented in Primary Health Care (PHC) clerkships during the first four years of the Medical Course at the University of Ribeirão Preto (UNAERP) in 2017. Method: The primary outcome was assessed by the DREEM (Dundee Ready Educational Environment Measure) tool, which contains 50 items distributed in five dimensions. The questionnaire was applied to 374 medical students, corresponding to 78% of the total number of medical students from the first to the fourth year. Results: For most of the evaluated items, the students' perceptions were “positive”, including the dimensions “Perception of Teachers”, “Perception of Academic Results” and “Perception of the General Environment”. For the dimensions “Perception of Learning” and “Perception of Social Relationships” the evaluation was “more positive than negative”. The DREEM total score was 124.31, corresponding to 62.15% of the maximum score, which indicates a perception that is “more positive than negative” regarding the Clinical PBL. The internal consistency given by Cronbach’s alpha was 0.92. Conclusion: The use of Clinical PBL in PHC qualifies learning from practice, is well accepted by medical students and offers a useful option to the students’ overload in the clinical clerkship during the first four years of the Medical School.
INTRODUCTION

Problem-based learning (PBL) is a collaborative student-centered learning method for small groups and based on constructivist and hypothetico-deductive theories. Described by Neufeld and Barrows in 1974, and applied for the first time at McMaster University, the PBL conception was based on the students’ disappointment in the presence of a fragmented medical training and a growing overload of scientific information not applicable to clinical practice. For Barrows, the teaching of medicine should be based on clinical reasoning and on the solution of authentic medical problems, instead of presenting out-of-practical context and discipline-based information.

In PBL, problem situations are presented and discussed in small groups of 8 to 10 students under the supervision of a facilitator, whose main role is to promote interactions and involve students in the entire learning process. The seven classic steps described by Henk Schmidt for the PBL method are: the clarification of unknown terms, the identification of problems and their discussion based on previous knowledge, the summary and the hypotheses generated from the discussion, the creation of learning objectives based on knowledge gaps, the search for information through self-directed study and rediscussing the case by the integration of new knowledge. In the first meeting (or opening session) the problem situation is presented, and the first five steps are developed. In the second meeting (or closing session), which takes place after the self-directed study, the seventh step is developed. Problem situations can correspond to clinical cases or biomedical phenomena.

PBL is traditionally restricted to classrooms and the initial years of courses, using fictitious clinical cases, with few reports of its use in the discussion of the treatment of real patients. To favor the use of this methodology in clinical teaching, several adaptations have been described in the world literature, with Clinical PBL being one of them. According to Macallan, Kent et al., Clinical PBL is a teaching-learning model that shares the same theoretical basis as PBL, but differs in some fundamental respects:

- It is based on the care provided by the student in the practice settings.
- The student who provided the care reports the case and presents it to the group at the opening session. This report will trigger the group’s learning objectives.
- Group discussions are focused on clinical reasoning and patient approach.
- In the first meeting, the teacher acts as a learning facilitator and in the second meeting, after the self-directed study period, the teacher assumes the specialist’s role.

The use of clinical cases in PBL, in addition to increasing the students’ intrinsic motivation, also contributes to long-term knowledge retention through specific memory networks that develop from the context where a given set of information was applied (contextual dependence of learning). That is why it is desirable that the construction of knowledge be strongly linked to its context of application, and that the clinical cases used in the opening sessions of the PBL are as authentic as possible.

With an increasing number of medical students in Brazil and increasingly restricted spaces for learning professional practice, the structuring of clinical clerkships of the pre-internship period, such as Primary Health Care (PHC), represent a great challenge for teachers and managers. In view of these difficulties, the strategies aimed at making the best use of cases treated in practice through powerful learning methodologies such as PBL are appropriate, which promote the acquisition of essential skills such as clinical reasoning, diagnostic formulation and the creation of care plans based on students’ experiences in these clerkships.

Considering these challenges, in 2016 a pilot study was carried out using a Clinical PBL model applied to 60 medical students attending the seventh semester of the medical course at Universidade de Ribeirão Preto. The use of clinical cases in this study was effective in improving students’ motivation and knowledge retention.
Preto (UNAERP). In view of the students’ positive perception about the activity, the course teachers and managers were consulted about the possibility of implementing this strategy in clinical clerkships in PHC in the pre-internship period. The proposal was approved by all and from a curricular reform, the Clinical PBL was implemented in the UNAERP Medical School in 2017.

This study aimed at assessing the perceptions of medical students about the use of Clinical PBL in PHC, as well as to evaluate the applicability, advantages, and limitations of using this strategy.

METHODS

This is an impact assessment study of an educational intervention (Clinical PBL), in PHC Teaching in the UNAERP Medical School, carried out between January and March 2018, of which Research Project was submitted to and approved by the Ethics Committee in Research by UNAERP, CIEE n°: 67795717.9.0000.5498.

Context

In the UNAERP Medical School, the PBL method has been used since 2002 for the cognitive learning of students in the pre-internship period. The PHC Teaching Module is developed in the seven initial semesters (first to seventh stages) of the UNAERP Medical School, with a total workload of 742 hours, distributed between practical and theoretical-practical activities in small groups of 8 to 10 students. Throughout the seven stages, students are placed in environments of PHC professional practice that include households, Basic Health Units (BHUs) and Family Health Units (FHUs), where they carry out activities at increasing levels of complexity under the supervision of teachers. In the first, second, third and fourth stages, home visits (HV) are carried out with an emphasis on environmental health, adult health, child health and woman health, respectively. In the several micro areas, the HV are supported by community health agents. In the fifth, sixth and seventh stages, individual consultations are performed in offices at the BHUs and FHUs with an emphasis on adult health, mental health and women’s health, respectively.

Educational intervention

In February 2017, the final model of the Clinical PBL was implemented in PHC Teaching from the first to the seventh stages of the UNAERP Medical Course. To obtain meaningful learning from practice, the Clinical PBL was structured so that the discussions were based on the treatments and HV performed by the students. In parallel with the activities developed at BHUs and FHUs, the opening and closing sessions of the Clinical PBL are held in classrooms at the university, with the same groups of 8 to 10 students working in practical scenarios, under the supervision of a facilitating teacher. The opening session follows the first five classic steps of the PBL, and the discussion trigger elements correspond to the treatments and HV records made by the students themselves. In each opening session, the report or record of at least one treatment or HV performed by 2 to 3 students is presented, so that, at the end of the academic semester, all students in a small group have presented and discussed at least one of the cases clinical or HV that they follow in the practical environment. At the end of this session, knowledge gaps are identified and learning objectives are established.

After a period of one to two weeks of self-directed study, students return for the closing session under the supervision of the same facilitator. This second session begins with the application of a multiple-choice test to verify the knowledge acquired about the learning objectives (pre-test). Next, a small group discussion takes place so that students share the new knowledge among themselves, which corresponds to the seventh stage of the PBL. At the end of the session, clinical cases or HV are presented again, health needs are identified, and a care plan is established for each case, in the light of the new knowledge. The latter corresponds to the eighth stage, added by us to the PBL, for its better adaptation to clinical use. In the week following the closing session, students return to the BHUs and FHUs and the care plan produced is discussed with the local health team to be later applied for the benefit of patients and families. Each pedagogical cycle of the Clinical PBL includes an initial care or HV in the practice environments, an opening session, a closing session, and a return care or HV, in which the care plan will be applied. During each academic semester, two or three pedagogical cycles of the Clinical PBL are developed. For the evaluation of students in the pedagogical cycles of the Clinical PBL, attitude and interest during consultations and HV, the quality of the reports and records presented, participation in the opening and closing sessions and performance in the pre-tests are taken into account. All teachers involved in this activity were trained in the PBL method before the beginning of the school semester.

Study sample and population

The study population included 480 students regularly enrolled in the first to the seventh semesters of the UNAERP Medical Course in January 2018, one year after the implementation of the Clinical PBL in PHC. A non-probabilistic sampling of convenience was used, and everyone was invited to participate. The invitation was made by the teachers during the presentation of the academic semester and the inclusion in the study was attained when the participants signed the Free and Informed Consent (FIC) form. Students who were not enrolled in the PHC Teaching module, those who were absent on the day the FIC was filled out, and those who did not freely agree to participate were excluded from the study.

Assessment of students’ perception

The perception of medical students about the use of Clinical PBL in PHC was assessed by applying the validated Portuguese version of the DREEM (Dundee Ready Educational Environment Measure) questionnaire. The DREEM questionnaire is internationally recognized as a valid and reliable instrument to assess medical students’ perceptions of educational environments. It has been used to assess the impact of new interventions on these environments, allowing the identification of positive aspects and weaknesses through structured feedback. Additionally, it allows the standardized analysis between different activities and scenarios. The instrument consists of 50 items that assess five dimensions related to the educational environment: perception of learning (12 items), perception of teachers (11 items), perception of academic results (8 items), perception of the general environment (12 items) and perception of social relationships (7 items). Each item is assessed on a five-point Likert scale, with “0 = fully disagree”, “1 = partially disagree”, “2 = neither agree nor disagree”, “3 = partially agree” and “4 = fully agree”. There are nine negative items, of which scores should be analyzed in reverse.
Analysis procedures

The analysis of the results was based on the average score for each item, the sum of the scores for each dimension and the total score of the instrument. For the individual analysis of the individual items, the average score ≥ 3.5 was considered highly satisfactory, between 2 and 3.5, satisfactory and ≤ 2.0, unsatisfactory⁴⁵. For the purposes of results presentation and analysis, the scores on the negative items (4, 8, 9, 17, 25, 35, 39, 48 and 50) were inverted.

The sum of the scores obtained in each dimension was analyzed according to the criteria used by Jiffry, McAleer et al.⁷. The total score obtained at the instrument application was analyzed according to Edgreb, Haffling et al.¹⁸ in relation to the maximum value of 200, and the more the score of an activity approaches this value, the more positive is its perception¹⁶.

The internal consistency was analyzed using Cronbach’s alpha coefficient, considering acceptable values between 0.7 and 0.95 for reliability¹⁹.

RESULTS

Of the 480 recruited students, 374 (78%) answered the DREEM questionnaire. The mean age of the respondents was 21.7 years, 242 (64.7%) of which were females, with representatives from all semesters of the medical course.

Table 1 shows the average scores of the items for the “learning perception” dimension. The scores for items 25 and 48 were reversed. According to the established criteria, the students’ perception was “satisfactory” for most items of this dimension, except for items 7, 20 and 24, of which perception was “unsatisfactory”. Among the positive aspects, it is worth noting the fact that the methodology is centered on the student (2.54) and not on the teacher (2.74), with the purpose of developing skills (2.30) and self-confidence (2.08) through self-learning (2.28) and continuing education (2.38). The objectives are clear (2.11) and students are encouraged to participate in the activities (2.26). Some aspects suggested attention and improvements such as the fact that the activity is not always stimulating (1.69), cohesive and focused (1.72) and the time allocated to it is not always well used (1.45). The total score in this dimension was 25.6 of a maximum of 48. According to the established criteria, the students’ perception of the “learning perception” dimension was “more positive than negative”.

Table 2 shows the average scores of the items for the “teachers’ perception” dimension. The scores for items 8, 9, 39 and 50 were inverted. According to the established criteria, the students’ perception was “satisfactory” for all items of this dimension. Some aspects deserve to be highlighted, especially those related to the faculty profile and attitude. According to the students’ perceptions, the activity is significant for medical training (2.80), provides adequate preparation for the profession (2.51) and promotes the development of skills for interpersonal relationships (2.64) and for problem-solving (2.55). There is a continuity of learning between the

Table 1
Score of items in the “Learning Perception” dimension of the DREEM questionnaire

| Order | Item                                      | Score |
|-------|-------------------------------------------|-------|
| 1.    | I am encouraged to participate in class    | 2.26  |
| 7.    | The teaching is often stimulating         | 1.69  |
| 13.   | The teaching is student-centered          | 2.54  |
| 16.   | The teaching is sufficiently concerned to develop my competence | 2.30 |
| 20.   | The teaching is well focused              | 1.72  |
| 22.   | The teaching sufficient concerned to develop my confidence | 2.08 |
| 24.   | The teaching time is put to good use       | 1.45  |
| 25.   | The teaching over-emphasizes factual learning | 2.05 |
| 28.   | I am clear about the learning objectives of the course | 2.11 |
| 44.   | The teaching encourages me to be an active learner | 2.28 |
| 47.   | Long-term learning is emphasized over short term | 2.38 |
| 48.   | The teaching is too teacher-centered       | 2.74  |

Obtained Score 25.6

Source: The authors.

Table 2
Score of items in the “Teachers’ Perception” dimension of the DREEM questionnaire

| Order | Item                                      | Score |
|-------|-------------------------------------------|-------|
| 2.    | The teachers are knowledgeable            | 2.45  |
| 6.    | The teachers are patient with patients    | 3.27  |
| 8.    | The teachers ridicule the students         | 3.14  |
| 9.    | The teachers are authoritarian             | 2.84  |
| 18.   | The teachers have good communications skills with patients | 3.22 |
| 29.   | The teachers are good at providing feedback to students | 2.72 |
| 32.   | The teachers provide constructive criticism here | 2.75 |
| 37.   | The teachers give clear examples           | 2.66  |
| 39.   | The teachers get angry in class            | 2.88  |
| 40.   | The teachers are well prepared for their classes | 2.70 |
| 50.   | The students irritate the teachers         | 2.67  |

Obtained Score 31.3

Source: The authors.

According to the students’ perceptions, the activity is significant for medical training (2.80), provides adequate preparation for the profession (2.51) and promotes the development of skills for interpersonal relationships (2.64) and for problem-solving (2.55). There is a continuity of learning between the
academic semesters (2.15) and confidence in the semester approval by the majority (2.93). The total score in this dimension was 19.73 of a maximum of 32. According to the established criteria, the students’ perception for the dimension “perception of academic results” was “positive”.

Table 4 shows the average scores of the items for the dimension “perception of the general environment”. The scores for items 17 and 35 were reversed. According to the established criteria, the students’ perception was “satisfactory” for all items of this dimension. It is worth mentioning the fact that the activity takes place in a quiet environment in the practice scenarios (2.35), in the opening (2.87) and closing of the cases (2.76), providing satisfaction (2.23) and stimulating the learning (2.21) and the practice of interpersonal relationships (2.84). Students feel comfortable (2.51) and feel free to clarify their doubts (2.71). The total score in this dimension was 30.63 of a maximum of 48. According to the established criteria, the students’ perception of the dimension “perception of the general environment” was “positive”.

Table 5 shows the average scores of the items for the dimension “perception of social relationships”. The score for item 4 was inverted. According to the established criteria, the students’ perception was “satisfactory” for most items of this dimension, except for items 3 and 14, of which perception was “unsatisfactory”. Among the positive aspects, good housing conditions (3.47), social life (2.69) and friendships established at Medical School (3.28) deserve to be highlighted. Some aspects suggested attention and improvements, such as the desire for a support good program for stressed students (0.69) and greater stimulation for the development of the activity (1.42). The total score in this dimension was 17.05 of a maximum of 28. According to the established criteria, the students’ perception of the “perception of social relationships” dimension was “more positive than negative”.

The total score of responses to the DREEM questionnaire was 124.31, corresponding to 62.15% of the maximum score of 200 points. According to the established criteria, the students’ perception of this activity was “more positive than negative”. The internal consistency of the responses to the instrument analyzed using Cronbach’s alpha was 0.92.

DISCUSSION

The National Curricular Guidelines (NCG) predict the inclusion of medical students in professional practice environments in the Brazilian Unified Health System (SUS, Sistema Único de Saúde) since the beginning of the course. In the first years, many clinical clerkships are developed in PHC environments, a learning space shared by other courses in the health area, such as nursing, physiotherapy, and pharmacy. Associated to that, in
the last 20 years, the number of undergraduate medical courses in Brazil has more than tripled, from 113 schools at the end of the 20th century to 323 courses in 2018. This increase in the number of medical schools and in the number of students generated pressure on all learning spaces in the Unified Health System. In order to rebalance this relationship in favor of qualified training, it is necessary to incorporate more effective learning methodologies based on the practice in these clerkships, such as the PBL. Faced with these challenges, the UNAERP Medical Course decided to adopt the Clinical PBL in PHC as a learning methodology based on practice in the BHUs and FHUs.

Since the 2000s, PBL has been used as a preferred teaching methodology in many undergraduate medical courses in Brazil and worldwide. In most of these curricula, this strategy is used in the pre-clinical or pre-internship phase and is aimed at developing the cognitive domain of medical skills. When appropriately developed, the PBL provides the student with a deeper understanding of the underlying causes of physiological and pathophysiological phenomena, in addition to promoting the development of communication skills, clinical reasoning and teamwork. According to learning theories, the acquisition of knowledge in PBL occurs through a similar way to that of clinical clerkships, both in terms of self-learning and in terms of information processing and collaborative learning. In the PBL, the problem situation or “trigger element” promotes the mobilization of prior knowledge and critical reasoning to solve it through group discussion. Knowledge gaps are identified and after a self-directed study the problem is revisited, with the advantage of more in-depth knowledge. All of these stages mirror the clinical learning that occurs from experiencing real practical cases and, therefore, the application of PBL in clinical clerkships would follow a natural path. Moreover, the main resources necessary for the implementation of the PBL are already available in the clinical settings, including the clinical cases that serve as triggers, the supervisors who can act as facilitators and the small groups that go through the clerkship stages.

Despite the advantages attributed to the use of clinical cases, it is notable that PBL is almost always a method restricted to classrooms and fictitious cases, with little experience reported in the literature on its application for discussing real clinical cases of practice. Some studies have found favorable perceptions of medical students in relation to the Clinical PBL applied to bedside discussions. However, regarding its application in PHC, we still have no reports in the literature and this work originally reports on this experience. In a systematic review carried out in 2007, only five good quality articles were found portraying the use of PBL in clinical education in undergraduate courses in the health area. The comparison between the results of these studies was hindered by the heterogeneity of designs and outcomes. The main reasons attributed to the paucity of the use of PBL in clinical settings were the lack of preparation and the lack of knowledge of the basic principles of this methodology by the preceptors and supervisors of the clinical clerkships. Given the lack of experience and security for using this method, clinical supervisors tend to abandon the facilitating model and revert to traditional teacher-centered teaching methods.

The clinical PBL model in PHC implemented in the UNAERP Medical Course, was created based on the accumulated experience of more than 15 years with the use of this methodology by the faculty. We can cite as an example the incorporation of pre-tests at the beginning of the closing session, which in our previous experience had produced favorable educational impacts. The initially proposed model was validated and incorporated suggestions from teachers, students, managers, and health professionals. Prior to the start of activities, all teachers involved were trained in the PBL methodology. During the training program, teachers participated as observers of PBL tutoring sessions and then clarified their doubts with more experienced facilitators. The importance of teacher training in the implementation of new educational interventions has already been described by several authors.

The evaluation of students' perceptions on this activity was carried out using the validated version of the DREEM instrument in Portuguese. This is an internationally recognized instrument, used to assess the perceptions and degree of satisfaction of medical students about new educational interventions, as well as the case with clinical PBL. The instrument corresponds to a questionnaire of 50 items distributed into five domains, which requires a long period of attention to produce conscious responses, making its completion sometimes exhausting and wearying. To verify the students' degree of commitment when completing the instrument, there are nine inverted items, where the positive perception will be given by disagreement instead of agreement. Since the average score of these items did not reflect discrepancies regarding the response in relation to the other items of the instrument, it must be assumed that there was not a significant degree of unconscious responses for most respondents.

There was great support from the student body for this work, considering the number of respondents to the questionnaire, which corresponded to 78% of students enrolled between the first and seventh semesters of the UNAERP Medical Course. It is a high rate of respondents, both in absolute terms and in relative terms. Other studies have also shown high adherence by medical students to questionnaires on the perception of course activities. The mean age of the participants is compatible with the first three years of the course and the predominance of female students follows a national trend for medical courses. The greater number of participants in the first two semesters probably reflects the increase in the number of vacancies for the UNAERP Medical Course from January 2017, increasing from 60 to 90 vacancies per semester.

The results of the instrument application showed that for the dimension “Perception of Learning”, the activity was considered “more positive than negative”, being identified as student-centered and promoting self-confidence through self-learning, where the students are encouraged to participate in group discussions. Self-learning and collaborative learning constitute knowledge acquisition processes present both in PBL and in learning from practice. In Clinical PBL, this learning occurs in a more consistent and structured way.

In the “Perception of the Teachers” dimension, the activity was rated as “positive”, with the profile, qualification and training of the faculty being recognized for its development. The qualification of the teaching staff to act in the teaching-learning process is pointed out as a crucial point for the success of curricular changes in medical schools. In our research, the teaching staff responsible for the Clinical PBL in PHC consists of 18 teachers, including 12 (66%) doctors and 6 (33%) nurses who act as supervisors of activities in the practice environments (HV, BHUs and FHUs) and as facilitators of the opening and closing sessions of the Clinical PBL in the classroom. Among the doctors, there are two family doctors, two pediatricians, two general practitioners, two psychiatrists and four gynecologists. All teachers have great professional experience in PHC activities and have been properly trained in the application of...
clinical PBL, which probably justifies the high degree of satisfaction of students with this teaching staff. Student satisfaction with PBL seems to be greater when the facilitators are specialists and have more experience in their area of expertise6,15. In a previous study carried out at the UNAERP Medical Course, the perceptions of 252 students about the participation of expert and non-expert facilitators in the PBL35 sessions were evaluated. There was a clear preference for expert facilitators, who were associated with greater cognitive learning (95%), better conduction of the learning process (93%), easier identification of study objectives (87%) and greater motivation for self-directed study (82%). Similar results were also found in a study carried out by Macallan, Kent et al.,1 using the Clinical PBL.

In the dimension “Perception of Academic Results”; the activity was rated as “positive”. In this dimension, the several positively evaluated items reflect the significant learning provided by clinical PBL. The activity was considered “relevant” for medical training, providing “adequate preparation for the profession” in terms of developing “interpersonal skills” and “problem-solving skills”. Clinical PBL uses problem-based learning and formative assessment with feedback as a strategy for developing these skills. The use of clinical cases of patients treated by the students themselves and the application of the acquired knowledge in the construction of the care plan for the same patients produce significant and long-lasting learning16,37.

In the dimension “Perception of the General Environment”; the students’ evaluation was “positive”, with emphasis on the fact that the activity takes place in a “peaceful and respectful environment”, both in the classroom and in the practice environments, where students feel “comfortable and encouraged to clarify their doubts”. Such aspects are unique attributes to active teaching methodologies centered on the student, as is the case of Clinical PBL. In this activity, teachers act as facilitators, allowing each student to freely express their ideas and perceptions about a certain subject in the opening session (brainstorming) and clarify their doubts with the facilitators at the end of the closing session. As all facilitators of the activity have great practical experience in PHC, they can also act as consultants at the end of the second session and help students in the construction of the care plan.

In the “Perception of Social Relations” dimension, the activity was rated as “more positive than negative”. In this dimension, some evaluated items transcend the limits of a single activity and often reflect the students' personal conditions in relation to their colleagues and the medical course. It is possible that the good living conditions and social life reported by most respondents reflect the profile of most medical students in private institutions.

The students’ perceptions about the Clinical PBL in PHC were “positive” in three dimensions and “more positive than negative” in two dimensions of the instrument and according to the general score, they were “more positive than negative”, which shows the favorable impact of this educational intervention. The internal consistency of responses to the analyzed instrument using Cronbach’s alpha was 0.92, showing that the reliability of the results was high in this student sample17.

Other educational institutions that use active and student-centered methodologies, such as the PBL, also showed positive perceptions of students after the application of the DREEM38 questionnaire and the activities with this profile tend to be better evaluated when compared to traditional teaching activities, centered on the teacher and distributed in large discipline blocks39,40. In situations where student-centered teaching methodologies have been implemented in traditional curricula, there has been an increase in the questionnaire response scores after curricular changes17. Several items of the DREEM Questionnaire incorporate new ideas and principles characteristic of active teaching and learning methodologies, such as the implementation of student-centered activities, the provision of feedback, continuing education, the encouragement of self-learning and the development of interpersonal skills. Therefore, innovative teaching and learning methods tend to be valued in relation to the others when assessed through this instrument38,40.

Some experiences with clinical PBL described in the literature have also found similar results to ours. In 2009, Macallan, Kent et al. evaluated the perceptions of 97 medical students about the use of PBL in pre-internship clinical clerkships, including psychiatry, pediatrics and gynecology6. Most students' perceptions were positive for the academic results. The real clinical cases were considered appropriate for the discussion and, from them, it was possible to identify gaps in knowledge and learning objectives that were aligned with the curricular structure of the course5. A negative aspect pointed out by the students in the study by Macallan, Kent et al. was the loss of follow-up of patients whose cases were discussed in the opening session, due to the change in clerkships by the students after the closing sessions.

In our experience, we use clinical PBL in PHC clerkships, where students follow the same patients and visit the same families throughout the school semester, thus allowing the care plan developed in the closing session to be taken to the patient or the family after the appropriate discussion with the local health team. Thus, students act as liaisons between the university and the health service, bringing to the classroom the reports of treatments and HV's in the opening session and taking the care plan created in the closing session to the BHUs and FHUs. Therefore, this activity not only uses a student-centered teaching methodology (PBL), but also places the student in a central role in the production of care. In addition to acquiring more consistent and lasting knowledge from the provided care, medical students contribute to a more qualified care of patients and families, through care plans based on scientific bases that incorporate references from person-centered Medicine. Under a broader concept, we can consider that clinical PBL is a teaching strategy centered on the student and the patient.

In some dimensions of the applied questionnaire, items with “unsatisfactory” evaluation were observed, which aroused the attention of teachers and managers and served as the basis for changes and adjustments to the activity structure. In the “learning perception” dimension, according to the respondents’ opinions, the activity is not always stimulating, and the time allocated to it is not always well spent. In clinical PBL, the quality of the discussion depends largely on the quality of the presented reports. Superficial or incomplete reports generate little challenging and discouraging discussions. In view of these perceptions, the quality of the case reports started to be scored and became one of the components of the activity assessment. After this adjustment, there was a noticeable improvement in the quality of reports and student participation in discussions.

In the “perception of social relationships” dimension, the longing for a good program to support stressed students was identified as a point of dissatisfaction. It is known the fact that the overload of activities and medical school demands constitute an emotional stress factor for
students. Faced with the knowledge of these students’ expectations, in 2018 a psychological support service was established for all students in the UNAERP Medical Course, which was supported by professors from the Psychology course.

This study presents as a limitation the application of the DREEM questionnaire only after the implementation of the Clinical PBL in PHC. However, the percentage of respondents was high, the reliability of the instrument application was satisfactory, and the results showed a clear positive perception of students in relation to most of the assessed items, which demonstrates the wide acceptance of Clinical PBL by the student body.

This investigation sought to evaluate the use of Clinical PBL applied to PHC as an effective pedagogical option considering the overload of medical students in the BHUs and FHUs, and the need for more consistent learning based on the treated cases. According to our results, we concluded that Clinical PBL is a teaching strategy that is well-accepted by the students, enhances learning from practice and qualifies care. We believe that the topic addressed herein will be of great importance for health professionals, teachers and managers who need to deal with the challenges of medical education in increasingly scarce and overburdened health care settings daily.
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