Sociodemographic and genetic determinants of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in type 2 diabetes mellitus patients
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Abstract

Background: Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) showed significant association with PNPLA3 rs738409 polymorphism in unrelated individuals. However, it is still unknown whether the relationship of NAFLD with PNPLA3 variant exists or not among subjects with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM). Therefore, the study aimed to evaluate sociodemographic and genetic determinants of NAFLD in type 2 diabetics.

Methods: The cross-sectional analytical study was conducted at the Department of Molecular Biology, Virtual University of Pakistan, Lahore, Pakistan, during 2019–2020. A total of 153 known cases of T2DM were enrolled using convenience sampling. After excluding patients (n = 24) with HCV, alcoholism, or missing information, data from 129 eligible diabetics with and without NAFLD were analyzed using SPSS. Odds ratios using crosstabs and adjusted odds ratios using binary and multinomial logistic regression were calculated to measure the risk of NAFLD.

Results: Adults 18–35 years were 7.0%, 36–55 years were 64.3%, ≥ 56 years were 28.7%, and females were 66.7%. A total of 41.1% of patients had obesity, 52.7% had NAFLD, and 29.05% carried mutant G allele of rs738409 polymorphism. Among overall diabetics, NAFLD showed association with female (OR = 2.998, p = 0.007), illiterate (OR = 3.067, p = 0.005), and obese (OR = 2.211, p = 0.046) but not with PNPLA3 genotype under any model (all p > 0.05). Among obese diabetics, NAFLD showed association with female (AOR = 4.010, p = 0.029), illiterate (AOR = 3.506, p = 0.037), GG + CG/CC (AOR = 3.303, p = 0.033), and GG/CG + CC (AOR = 4.547, p = 0.034) using binary regression and with female (AOR = 3.411, p = 0.051), illiterate (AOR = 3.323, p = 0.048), GG + CG/CC (AOR = 3.270, p = 0.029), and GG/CG + CC (AOR = 4.534, p = 0.024) using multinomial regression.

Conclusions: NAFLD and obesity were the most common comorbid diseases of T2DM. Gender female, being illiterate, and PNPLA3 rs738409 polymorphism were significant risk factors of NAFLD among obese diabetic patients.
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Background

Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is a polygenic and heritable disorder [1], characterized by excess accumulation of fat in the liver parenchyma without history of alcoholism and hepatitis [2]. Its prevalence is 25.0% in the general adult population [3] and 50.0 to 70.0% in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) [4]. NAFLD increases the risk of developing T2DM, whereas diabetes increases the progression of NAFLD to nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) and the risk of cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). Hence, a two-way relationship is present between NAFLD and T2DM [5].

Various demographic and genetic factors demonstrated greater risk for NAFLD. Age [6, 7], gender [6–8],
ethnicity [9], metabolic syndrome (MetS), and its components including dyslipidemia, obesity, hypertension (HTN), and T2DM [10] were associated with the risk of NAFLD. Genetic factors such as the patatin-like phospholipase domain containing 3 (PNPLA3), the transmembrane 6 superfamily member 2 (TM6SF2), the membrane-bound O-acyltransferase domain containing 7 (MBOAT7), and the glucokinase regulator (GCKR) [11] were also associated with the risk of NAFLD. The product of human PNPLA3 gene, i.e., triacylglycerol lipase enzyme mediates hydrolysis of triacylglycerol (TAG) in adipocytes. However, the substitution of isoleucine with methionine at position 148 (I148M) causes a loss of function [12]. Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) identified several genes such as the glucokinase (GCK), the glucokinase regulator (GCKR), the transcription factor 7-like 2 (TCF7L2), the hepatocyte nuclear factor-1A (HNF1A), and fat mass and obesity-associated (FTO) gene playing a role in developing T2DM [13]. In people with T2DM, PNPLA3 I148M or rs738409 polymorphism showed significant association with liver fibrosis independent of body mass index (BMI) [14] and with the risk of increased liver fat content (LFC) independent of serum lipids [15], but not with susceptibility of NAFLD [16]. However, it revealed association with the risk and severity of NAFLD in meta-analyses of case-control studies on unrelated individuals [17–19]. The variations across studies in terms of characteristics of study population, selection of controls, laboratory methods, and statistical approaches lead to the ambiguity, whether or not these demographic and genetic factors, particularly rs738409 polymorphism, are associated with NAFLD in T2DM cases. Therefore, the present study aimed to determine the sociodemographic and genetic determinants of NAFLD in T2DM patients.

**Methods**

**Ethical approval**

The study was approved by the subcommittee of Advanced Studies and Research Board (ASRB) of the Faculty of Science and Technology, Virtual University of Pakistan, Lahore, Pakistan, vide letter no.VU/ASRB/214-5 dated December 02, 2019. Written informed consent was sought from all volunteer patients.

**Design, setting, and duration of study**

The cross-sectional analytical study was conducted at the Department of Molecular Biology, Virtual University of Pakistan, Lahore, Pakistan, during 2019–2020.

**Characteristics, size, and selection of sample**

A total of 153 known T2DM patients, of age 18–90 years, both male and female patients, belonging to any income group, caste or area of Pakistan, were enrolled by non-probability convenience sampling technique. None of 153 patients was reactive to hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg), however, patients reactive to anti-HCV antibodies (6.5%), patients with γ-GT levels ≥ 55 IU/L or history of alcoholism (8.5%), and patients with incomplete data (0.7%) were excluded.

**Data collection procedure**

An interviewer-administered close-ended proforma was used to record age, sex, education, family income, cigarette smoking, co-illness, duration of diabetes, and family history of diabetes. Body weight (in kilograms) and height (in meters) were measured to calculate the BMI using the formula as follows: formula: BMI (Kg/m²) = [weight (in kilograms)] divided by [height (in meters)]². Waist circumference (WC) in inches was measured to exclude central obesity, and abdominal ultrasonography (USG) was performed for diagnosing fatty liver. Random plasma glucose was estimated by glucose oxidase-phenol aminophenazone (GOD-PAP) method, hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) by ion-exchange resin method, and liver enzymes by the International Federation of Clinical Chemistry (IFCC) method. The screening of hepatitis B and C infection was done by immuno-chromatographic technique (ICT). PNPLA3 genotype was done by polymerase chain reaction (PCR), and

| Table 1 Composition of PCR-RFLP reaction mix and PCR conditions |
|-----------------------|------------------|------------------|
| **PCR reaction mix**   |                  |                  |
| Taq buffer (10×)       | 2.5 μL           |                  |
| MgCl₂ (25 mM)          | 2.5 μL           |                  |
| dNTP mix (20 mM)       | 2.5 μL           |                  |
| Forward primer (10 μM) | 1.5 μL           |                  |
| Reverse primer (10 μM) | 1.5 μL           |                  |
| Taq DNA polymerase (5 units per μL) | 0.5 μL |
| Water                  | 120 μL           |                  |
| Genomic DNA            | 20 μL            |                  |
| Total volume           | 250 μL           |                  |

| **PCR conditions**     |                  |                  |
| Denaturation initial   | 94 °C for 2 min  | 01 cycle         |
| Denaturation subsequent cycles each | 94 °C for 30 s | 35 cycles |
| Annealing              | 66 °C for 30 s  |                  |
| Elongation initial     | 72 °C for 30 s  |                  |
| Elongation final       | 72 °C for 5 min | 01 cycle         |

| **RFLP reaction mix**  |                  |                  |
| PCR product            | 10.0 μL          |                  |
| Nuclease-free water    | 180 μL           |                  |
| Tango buffer 10×       | 20 μL            |                  |
| BtsCl enzyme           | 1.0 μL           |                  |
| Total volume           | 31.0 μL          |                  |
restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) method and *PNPLA3* allele frequencies were measured by Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium.

**PCR-RFLP**

The genomic deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) was extracted by using the GeneJET Whole Blood Genomic DNA Purification Mini Kit. The amplification of *PNPLA3* gene was performed by PCR using the forward primer (5′-TGG GCCGAGTGCAGGCTGCTGTT-3′) and the reverse primer (5′-CCGACACCAGTGCTGCAGG-3′) as reported by Dutta (2011) [20]. The composition of PCR reaction mix and the optimized conditions are shown in Table 1. The restriction of amplified *PNPLA3* gene product (333 bp) was performed by using the BtsCI enzyme. The composition of the RFLP reaction mix is also shown in Table 1. The reaction mix was kept at 55 °C for overnight incubation. The digestion was stopped by keeping the reaction mix at 80 °C for 20 min. The restricted *PNPLA3* gene product was evaluated by 3.0% (w/v) agarose gel electrophoresis. Comparing with 50 bp DNA ladder, two DNA fragments of length 200 bp and 133 bp were labeled as *PNPLA3* genotype CC (wild-type homozygous), one DNA fragment of length 333 bp as genotype GG (mutant homozygous), and three fragments of length 333 bp, 200 bp, and 133 bp as genotype CG (mutant heterozygous) (Fig. 1).
Continuous variables
Each continuous variable was categorized into two groups to calculate the risk for NAFLD. Age categorized into \( \leq 50 \) and \( > 50 \) years, family income into \( \leq 20000 \) and \( > 20000 \) PKR, and duration of diabetes into \( < 10 \) and \( \geq 10 \) years. Similarly, WC of male categorized into \( \geq 40 \) and \( \geq 40 \) inch, WC of female into \( < 35 \) and \( \geq 35 \) inch, BMI into \( < 30.0 \) and \( \geq 30.0 \) Kg/m\(^2\), plasma glucose level into \( < 200 \) and \( \geq 200 \) mg/dl, HbA1c level into \( \leq 8.0 \) and \( \geq 8.0 \) %, and alanine aminotransferase (ALT) level into \( < 40 \) and \( \geq 40 \) IU/L.

Statistical analysis
Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 26 was used for data analysis. Continuous variables were reported by using mean \( \pm \) standard deviation and categorical variables by number (percent). PNPLA3 genotype CC (wild-type homozygous), genotype GG (mutant homozygous), and genotype CG (mutant heterozygous) were categorized into dominant, recessive, and codominant model. The dominant model (GG + CG/CC) hypothesizes that the combination of mutant homozygous allele and mutant heterozygous allele can increase the risk of disease. The recessive model (GG/CG + CC) hypothesizes that mutant homozygous alleles can increase the risk of disease. The codominant models (GG/CC and CG/CC) hypothesize that mutant homozygous allele and mutant heterozygous allele can independently increase the risk of disease. The study population was categorized into NAFLD vs. non-NAFLD groups. Independent sample \( t \)-test and chi-square test were used to compare the means and frequencies between groups, respectively. Crosstabs analyses were performed to calculate the odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence intervals for NAFLD. Then, the study population was categorized into obese-NAFLD, NAFLD alone, obese alone, and nonobese non-NAFLD groups. Binary and multinomial logistic regression analyses were performed under codominant, dominant, and recessive models. For each regression model, a total of 10 covariates were entered at step 1 with outcome variables obese NAFLD. The covariates were as follows: age, sex, income, education, smoking, comorbidity, duration of diabetes, family H/o diabetes, HbA1c level, and PNPLA3 genotype. \( p \)-value \( \leq 0.05 \) was considered as significant.

Results
Population characteristics
The participation of middle-aged adults (36–55 y) was the highest 64.3%, followed by older adults (\( \geq 56 \) y)
28.7% and young adults (18–35 y) 7.0%. The participation of females was twice higher than of males (66.7% vs. 33.3%). The respective means of family income and duration of diabetes were 25542 ± 18766 PKR/month and 6.8 ± 5.7 years. Among diabetics with any comorbidity (48.1%), the frequency of HTN was 38.8%, HTN + CVD 7.0% and CVD 2.3%. The frequency of central obesity was almost 3 times higher in females than in males (87.2% vs. 30.2%). The overall frequencies of overweight and obesity were 37.2% and 41.1%, respectively. Only 12.4% diabetics had good glycemic control (HbA1c < 7.0%). Overall, 52.7% diabetics were diagnosed with NAFLD, while 20.9% diabetics were carriers of PNPLA3 genotype GG, 16.3% of CG, and 62.8% of CC. Consequently, the frequency of diabetics carrying mutant allele G was 29.05%. Other characteristics of the study population are shown in Table 2.

NAFLD in T2DM

Overall (n = 129), means of WC (39.5 ± 3.2 vs. 38.0 ± 3.9 inch; p = 0.022) and BMI (30.9 ± 5.8 vs. 28.1 ± 5.2 Kg/m²; p = 0.006) were significantly higher in NAFLD vs. non-NAFLD cases. In crosstabs analyses, females (OR = 2.998, 95% CI 1.398–6.340; p = 0.007), females with central obesity (OR = 5.333, 95% CI 1.301–21.869; p = 0.019), illiterates (OR = 3.067, 95% CI 1.446–6.505; p = 0.005), and obese (OR = 2.211, 95% CI 1.075–4.545; p = 0.046) showed significantly higher risk for NAFLD among overall diabetics. However, genotypes GG vs. CC (OR = 1.831, 95% CI 0.748–4.478; p = 0.266), CG vs. CC (OR = 1.436, 95% CI 0.545–3.780; p = 0.624), GG + CG vs. CC (OR = 1.644, 95% CI 0.797–3.391; p = 0.243), and GG vs. CG + CC (OR = 1.700, 95% CI 0.711–4.067; p = 0.326) had higher risk for NAFLD, but the association was not significant among overall diabetics (see Table 3).

NAFLD in obese T2DM

In binary logistic regression analyses, a total of 10 covariates were entered at step 1 with outcome variables obese NAFLD (n = 34) versus all others (n = 95). None out of ten covariates showed risk for NAFLD under codominant models; females (AOR = 4.010, 95% CI 1.156–13.912; p = 0.029) and PNPLA3 genotype GG + CG (AOR = 3.303, 95% CI 1.099–9.920; p = 0.033) revealed significantly higher risk for NAFLD under dominant model, and illiterates (AOR = 3.506, 95% CI 1.080–11.375; p = 0.037) and PNPLA3 genotype GG (AOR = 4.547, 95% CI 1.123–18.408; p = 0.034) revealed significantly higher risk for NAFLD under recessive model (see Table 4).

In multinomial logistic regression analyses, a total of 10 covariates were entered at step 1 with outcome variables obese NAFLD (n = 34) versus obese alone (n = 19) or NAFLD alone (n = 34) or nonobese non-NAFLD (n = 42). In obese NAFLD versus obese alone and NAFLD alone, none out of ten covariates showed risk for NAFLD under any of three PNPLA3 genotype models. Similarly, in obese NAFLD versus nonobese non-NAFLD, none showed risk for NAFLD under codominant model; however, females (AOR = 3.411, 95% CI 0.997–11.671; p = 0.051), and PNPLA3 genotype GG + CG (AOR = 3.270, 95% CI 1.131–9.455; p = 0.029) revealed significantly higher risk for NAFLD under dominant model, and illiterates (AOR = 3.323, 95% CI 1.010–10.937; p = 0.048) and PNPLA3 genotype GG (AOR = 4.534, 95% CI 1.221–16.826; p = 0.024) revealed significantly higher risk for NAFLD under recessive model (see Table 5).

Discussion

NAFLD is a multisystem disease that not only results in progressive liver disease but also affects extrahepatic organs [21]. Various demographic [6–9], clinical [10], and genetic factors [11] showed association with the risk of NAFLD. Among genetic risk factors, PNPLA3
rs738409 polymorphism demonstrated significant association with NAFLD. However, the characteristics of the study population varied across studies [17–19]. It is still unknown whether the association of PNPLA3 rs738409 polymorphism with NAFLD exists or not among type 2 diabetic patients. Therefore, the present study aimed to evaluate the sociodemographic and genetic determinants of NAFLD in T2DM patients. The results showed that PNPLA3 rs738409 polymorphism had higher risk for NAFLD under codominant, dominant, and recessive models, but was not associated with NAFLD in Pakistani adults with T2DM (all \( p > 0.05 \)). It is in agreement with the results of Hsieh et al. (2015) who reported that rs738409 polymorphism had no association with NAFLD in Taiwanese patients with T2DM (\( p = 0.344 \)) [16].

The prevalence of NAFLD is 50.0 to 70.0% in diabetic subjects [4]. An equivalent higher frequency of NAFLD 52.7% is obtained in the present study. Among sociodemographic factors, age, gender, obesity, and ethnicity are the most frequently reported risk factors for NAFLD. Hsieh et al. (2015) observed an increasing trend between advance age and occurrence of NAFLD (\( OR = 1.049; \ p = 0.607 \)), but after adjustment, age had an inverse relation with NAFLD in adult Chinese (\( OR = 0.844; \ p = 0.157 \)) [7]. Ferreira et al. (2010) also reported that age was not related with NAFLD in adults with T2DM (57.1 ± 10.9 vs. 57.6 ± 9.5 years; \( p = 0.818 \)) [6]. In the same way, the present study found no significant relation between age and NAFLD (\( OR = 1.459; \ p = 0.382 \)); however, an opposite trend was observed, where the highest frequency of NAFLD 57.1% was in age < 40 years and the lowest 42.9% in age > 60 years. Hu et al. (2018) reported that gender male was significantly associated with NAFLD in Chinese adults (\( OR = 3.484; \ p = 0.001 \)) [7]. Oppositely, Summart et al. (2017) reported that females had higher risk (\( OR = 1.3, 1.2–1.4 \)) for NAFLD in Thai adults (> 40 years) [8]. Differently, Ferreira et al. (2010) reported that gender female was not associated with NAFLD in adults with T2DM (\( p = 0.939 \)) [6], whereas gender female revealed significantly higher risk for NAFLD in the present study.
| Variable                        | Dominant model | Recessive model |
|--------------------------------|----------------|----------------|
|                                | 2 × 2 crosstabs | AOR            |
|                                |                 | 95.0% CI for AOR | p-value |
|                                |                 | Lower          | Upper   |
| n (%)                          | n (%)          |                |         |
| Age (years)                    |                |                |         |
| ≤ 50                           | 20 (46.5)      | 1.180          | 1.671   |
| > 50                           | 14 (42.4)      |                | 0.493   |
| Sex                            |                |                | 5.668   |
| Female                         | 28 (58.3)      | 5.130          | 3.411   |
| Male                           | 06 (21.4)      |                | 0.997   |
| Family income (PKR/month)      |                |                | 11671   |
| ≤ 20000                        | 22 (44.9)      | 1.020          | 0.786   |
| > 20000                        | 12 (44.4)      |                | 0.255   |
| Education                      |                |                | 2.424   |
| Illiterate                     | 19 (61.3)      | 3.170          | 2.626   |
| Literate                       | 15 (33.3)      |                | 0.818   |
| Smoking                        |                |                | 8.429   |
| Yes                            | 0 (0.0)        | 0.000          | 3.123   |
| No                             | 34 (45.9)      |                | 3.407   |
| Any comorbidity                |                |                | E-008   |
| Yes                            | 18 (51.4)      | 1.650          | 1.567   |
| No                             | 16 (39.0)      |                | 0.558   |
| Family H/o diabetes            |                |                | 4.401   |
| Yes                            | 26 (48.1)      | 1.630          | 1.567   |
| No                             | 08 (36.4)      |                | 0.375   |
| Duration of diabetes           |                |                | 4.205   |
| > 10 years                     | 08 (53.3)      | 1.540          | 1.614   |
| ≤ 10 years                     | 26 (42.6)      |                | 0.378   |
| HbA1c (%)                      |                |                | 6.885   |
| > 8.0                          | 22 (51.2)      | 1.830          | 2.153   |
| ≤ 8.0                          | 12 (36.4)      |                | 0.758   |
| PNPLA3 genotype                |                |                | 6113    |
| GG + CG                        | 19 (61.3)      | 3.170          | 3.270   |
| CC                             | 15 (33.3)      |                | 1.131   |
| PNPLA3 genotype                |                |                | 9455    |
| GG                             | 12 (70.6)      | 4.040          | 3.270   |
| CG + CC                        | 22 (37.3)      |                | 1.131   |

n (%) row percent; NAFLD, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease; T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus; BMI, body mass index; OR, odds ratio; AOR, adjusted odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; p-value ≤ 0.05 considered statistically significant.
(OR = 2.998; p = 0.007). After adjustment, risk for NAFLD was further increased in obese females (AOR = 4.010; p = 0.029). Noteworthy, females with central obesity revealed significantly greater risk for NAFLD than of females without central obesity (OR = 5.333; p = 0.019). Education status also showed a significant relationship with the risk for NAFLD in the present study. Illiterates had significantly higher risk for NAFLD (OR = 3.067; p = 0.005); and after adjustment, risk for NAFLD was further increased in obese illiterates (AOR = 3.506; p = 0.037). Similar significant relation between low education level and FLD (OR = 0.704; p = 0.001) or NAFLD had been reported in other studies [22, 23]. The present study also showed association of elevated ALT levels with PNPLA3 genotype GG/CC (p = 0.024), GG + CG/CC (p = 0.054), and GG/C + CC (p = 0.018), which is consistent with other studies, where rs738409 polymorphism was associated with elevated AST levels (d = 0.039) [24] and ALT levels (d = 0.47) [17].

The human PNPLA3 gene is expressed in various tissues of the body mainly in the liver. Its gene product, i.e., triacylglycerol lipase enzyme, mediates hydrolysis of TAG in adipocytes. However, PNPLA3 rs738409 polymorphism causes loss of enzyme function resulting in the accumulation of TAG in the liver [12]. PNPLA3 variants are the most common genetic risk factors leading to NAFLD in obese across different ethnic groups [25]. PNPLA3 rs738409 variant had been reported as a significant risk factor for NAFLD in all genetic models [19] and in codominant model [17, 24, 26] among different study populations. However, the present study found no relationship between rs738409 polymorphism and risk of NAFLD in the whole T2DM group, which is in agreement with the findings of Hsieh et al. (2015) [16]. Differently, both binary and multinomial logistic regression analyses in the present study revealed that rs738409 polymorphism was significantly associated with NAFLD in obese diabetics, under dominant and recessive models (all p < 0.05).

Conclusions
NAFLD and obesity were the most common comorbid diseases of T2DM in the setting. Gender female, being illiterate, and PNPLA3 rs738409 polymorphism were significant risk factors of NAFLD among obese diabetic patients. Further research studies are needed to evaluate the association of PNPLA3 rs738409 polymorphism and other genetic factors with the NAFLD particularly among obese diabetics.
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