Activity-Dependent Subcellular Cotrafficking of the Small GTPase Rem2 and Ca2+/CaM-Dependent Protein Kinase IIα
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Abstract

Background: Rem2 is a small monomeric GTP-binding protein of the RGK family, whose known functions are modulation of calcium channel currents and alterations of cytoskeletal architecture. Rem2 is the only RGK protein found predominantly in the brain, where it has been linked to synaptic development. We wished to determine the effect of neuronal activity on the subcellular distribution of Rem2 and its interacting partners.

Results: We show that Rem2 undergoes activity-and N-Methyl-D-Aspartate Receptor (NMDAR)-dependent translocation in rat hippocampal neurons. This redistribution of Rem2, from a diffuse pattern to one that is highly punctate, is dependent on Ca2+ influx, on binding to calmodulin (CaM), and also involves an auto-inhibitory domain within the Rem2 distal C-terminus region. We found that Rem2 can bind to Ca2+/CaM-dependent protein kinase IIα (CaMKII) a in Ca2+/CaM-dependent manner. Furthermore, our data reveal a spatial and temporal correlation between NMDAR-dependent clustering of Rem2 and CaMKII in neurons, indicating co-assembly and co-trafficking in neurons. Finally, we show that inhibiting CaMKII aggregation in neurons and HEK cells reduces Rem2 clustering, and that Rem2 affects the baseline distribution of CaMKII in HEK cells.

Conclusions: Our data suggest a novel function for Rem2 in co-trafficking with CaMKII, and thus potentially expose a role in neuronal plasticity.

Introduction

Activity-dependent remodelling of neurons is a key contributor to long-term plasticity in the nervous system. Neuronal stimulation activates a number of Ca2+-dependent cell signaling processes that lead to rearrangements of the cytoskeleton, thereby causing neurons to extend or retract processes, and to alter synaptic strength [reviewed in [1]]. One of the Ca2+-dependent enzymes involved in neuronal plasticity is calmodulin (CaM)-dependent protein kinase II (CaMKII). Upon strong neuronal activation, CaMKII undergoes a rapid redistribution from a diffuse to a punctate pattern [2]. This form of aggregation, also termed self-association, is thought to involve an interaction between the catalytic and regulatory domains of individual subunits from separate CaMKII multimers. Since each CaMKII multimer has 12 subunits, these interactions can thus lead to the aggregation of several multimers together [2]. This process may support the recruitment of CaMKII to postsynaptic sites after the activation of the N-Methyl-D-Aspartate receptors (NMDARs) [2], consistent with the “tower-like” structures emerging from post-synaptic densities, which have been observed by immuno-electron microscopy. The multivalent nature of CaMKII and its ability to bind a very wide range of proteins suggest that its dynamic, activity-dependent translocation in active neurons could i) be regulated by interacting structural or signaling proteins and/or ii) serve to recruit together these proteins within the CaMKII scaffolds at strategic sites such as the synapse or intra-somatic elements.

One possible regulator of CaMKII action is the RGK (Rad, Gem/Kir) family of Ras-related small GTPases, which includes the proteins Rad, Gem/Kir, Rem and Rem2 reviewed in [3]. Although commonly considered to be important regulators of high voltage activated Ca2+ channels [4–7], they are known to be involved in cytoskeletal rearrangement [8,9]. The small GTPase Rad, which is expressed predominantly in heart and muscle, has been shown to bind to CaM and to immunoprecipitate with CaMKII [10]. The neuronal homolog of Rad, Rem2 [11] also interacts with CaM [7], and furthermore has been shown to
regulate dendritic morphology in a CaM-dependent manner [12]. Given that Rem2 and CaMKII both interact with CaM and with cytoskeletal elements [13], and that both proteins regulate spine size [12,14], we hypothesized that Rem2 and CaMKII interact with each other, and thereby co-influence their subcellular trafficking in neurons upon changes in neuronal activity. Indeed, we show here that Rem2 interacts with CaMKII, and in doing so, alters the subcellular localization of CaMKII. Stimulation of hippocampal neurons mediates an NMDA-and Ca²⁺/CaM-dependent dynamic redistribution of Rem2 into clusters, which correlated spatially and temporally with clustering of CaMKII. Finally, we show that CaMKII clustering is required for that of Rem2. Our results then indicate interdependent roles of both proteins in subcellular trafficking and thus potentially in neuronal plasticity.

Results

Rem2 Redistributes in Response to Neuronal Stimulation

To investigate the spatial dynamics of Rem2 in neurons, we created a series of fluorescent protein-tagged Rem2 constructs and expressed them in cultured rat hippocampal neurons. In the absence of stimulation, neurons with YFP-Rem2 displayed a diffuse distribution of fluorescence. Following photoconductive stimulation, a non-invasive technique that uses focused light to depolarize individual neurons in cultures grown on silicon wafers [15], YFP-Rem2 fluorescence became redistributed from a diffuse to a punctate distribution (Figure 1A and B). A similar redistribution of the CFP-Rem2 signal occurred when neurons were stimulated by application of glutamate/glycine, whereas unconjugated CFP did not show any change in subcellular distribution after stimulation (Figure 1C & D). To ensure that the redistribution of Rem2 was not due to its fusion to a large CFP fluorophore, we conducted similar experiments using HA-Rem2. As shown in Figure S1, puncta of HA-Rem2 overlapped with those of GFP-Rem2, indicating that the fluorescent tag does not contribute to Rem2 redistribution. To ensure that Rem2 aggregation was not due to loss of calcium homeostasis or impeding cell death during neuronal stimulation, we stained stimulated cells expressing GFP-Rem2 with propidium iodide. Zero out of 20 Rem2-expressing cells showed propidium iodide aggregation was not due to loss of calcium homeostasis or possibly due to the predicted lower-affinity site (Figure 4C) or another site that was not predicted by the algorithm. In contrast, Rem2 redistribution (Rem2 1–310) completely abolished Rem2 redistribution. In contrast, Rem2 (1–320) formed puncta that were constitutive and not stimulation-dependent in neurons (Figure 3B) and were also present in transfected HEK cells (data not shown). A third mutant, Rem2 (1–330), behaved like full-length Rem2 in the redistribution assay, showing that the last 11 residues of Rem2, including the C7 motif, are not involved in the clustering of the protein (data not shown). Altogether, these data indicate that residues 320–330 may contain an auto-inhibitory domain that normally prevents the translocation of Rem2 into puncta, and that residues 310–320 contain a domain that is essential for the formation of Rem2 clusters inside the cell.

Calmodulin Binding is Essential for Rem2 Redistribution

Given the Ca²⁺ dependence of Rem2 redistribution and that Rem2 is capable of binding CaM (Moyers et al, 1997), we hypothesized that the Ca²⁺ dependence of Rem2 trafficking may be mediated by CaM. A CaM-binding site predictor highlighted two likely CaM-binding regions in Rem2, a higher-affinity site around residues 310–320, and a lower-affinity site around residues 280–290 (Figure 4A). Consistent with previous findings, HA-tagged Rem2 binds CaM in a Ca²⁺-dependent manner (Figure 4B). It was previously shown that a single point mutation in Rem2 (L317G) destabilizes the interactions between Rem2 and CaM [7]. Full-length Rem2 with the L317G mutation bound CaM robustly, possibly due to the predicted lower-affinity site (Figure 4C) or another site that was not predicted by the algorithm. In contrast, CaM-binding to a C-terminal fragment of Rem2 (Rem2 (284–341)) was abolished when the leucine residue was mutated (Figure 4D), indicating that the region around L317 indeed forms a CaM-binding site in Rem2. In spite of the robust biochemical
interaction between full length Rem2 (L317G) and CaM, this mutant showed significantly reduced stimulation-induced clustering when expressed in neurons (Figure 4E), suggesting that an interaction between Rem2 and CaM near residue 317 is necessary for Rem2 redistribution. Finally, Rem2 (1–320) L317G did not form constitutive puncta and did not undergo redistribution after

Figure 1. Rem2 overexpressed in neurons redistributes in response to neuronal stimulation. (A) Images of neurons expressing either YFP or YFP-Rem2, before and after photoconductive stimulation, a non-invasive method of stimulating individual cells in a culture using light to target neurons grown on a silicon chip. To stimulate specific cells, we targeted the cell bodies of transfected neurons using a YFP filter, then stimulated the chip using 3–5 V of current for 2 msec duration at 20 Hz for 5 sec. Cells were imaged before and after stimulation to compare Rem2 distribution. Right panel is a magnification of the left panel to show details of Rem2 puncta. Scale bars represent 10 μm. (B) Normalized pixel value variance before stimulation, 1.0±0.26; after stimulation, 2.92±0.75, N = 15; paired t-test p = 0.006. Error bars represent SEM, and numbers within the bars indicate the number of cells averaged. (C) Images of neurons expressing either CFP or CFP-Rem2, before and after chemical stimulation. Individual cells in a culture of dissociated hippocampal neurons were imaged and their positions recorded, then the culture was stimulated with bath application of 25–100 μM glutamate/2.5–10 μM glycine. Follow-up images were taken of the recorded cells within 7 minutes of stimulation. Scale bars represent 10 μm. (D) Images such as in panel C were filtered and quantified by the variance in pixel values in each image. Variance was normalized to unstimulated cells. Ratio of CFP alone after/before stimulation, 1.10±0.07, N = 40; ratio of CFP-Rem2, 3.52±0.36, N = 39; t-test p < 0.001. Numbers within the bars denote numbers of cells averaged for each bar. Error bars are SEM.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0041185.g001
stimulation (Figure 4F), again supporting an important role for CaM in the trafficking of Rem2.

Our data thus indicate that the redistribution of Rem2 may be linked to its interaction with CaM. To obtain further evidence for the putative importance of this interaction, we created a small GFP-tagged peptide based on the IQ motif of the voltage gated Ca\(^{2+}\) channel Cav1.2. This IQ motif is a CaM-binding stretch of 24 residues and interacts with either or both the N- and C-lobes of Ca\(^{2+}\)-CaM [17]. We reasoned that the overexpression of this GFP-IQ motif might competitively inhibit CaM interactions with Rem2. Indeed, when YFP-Rem2 was co-expressed with this motif, redistribution in response to stimulation with 25 \(\mu\)M glutamate/2.5 \(\mu\)M glycine was attenuated (Figure 4G). The results suggest that following NMDAR-dependent Ca\(^{2+}\) influx, Ca\(^{2+}\)-CaM binds the region of Rem2 around residue L317, leading to its redistribution.

Rem2 Interacts and Colocalizes with CaMKII

CaMKII redistributed rapidly in response to glutamate/glycine stimulation. This change in localization is dependent on NMDAR activation and Ca\(^{2+}\)/CaM activation [2,18,19]. Because Rem2 redistributes under identical conditions, we hypothesized that the two phenomena might be linked. This hypothesis was tested by both biochemical and imaging means.

When co-expressed with CaM in HEK cells, GFP-CaMKII coprecipitated with HA-Rem2 in the presence of Ca\(^{2+}\). Experiments involving C-terminal deletions of Rem2 revealed that CaMKII also interacted with a Rem2 fragment encompassing residues 1–149 (data not shown), indicating that CaMKII interacts with Rem2 at a site distinct from the CaM interaction region. Indeed, CaMKII did not bind to the C-terminal fragment Rem2 (284–341) (Figure 5A, lane 5). Interactions between WT Rem2 and CaMKII were less robust when CaM was omitted, and did not occur at all when CaM was replaced with CaM1234, a Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding deficient mutant, suggesting that Ca\(^{2+}\)-CaM is an essential component of this complex (Figure 5A, compare lanes 4 and 6). Because the interaction between Rem2 and CaMKII occurred in the absence of the main CaM interaction site on Rem2, the dependence of the interaction on CaM may be due to CaM binding to CaMKII rather than to Rem2, although we cannot exclude the possibility that Rem2 has an additional CaM interaction site towards the N-terminus. We also attempted to co-immunoprecipitate native Rem2 and CamKII from whole brain tissue, however, the unavailability of high quality Rem2 antibodies precluded this experiment.

We next performed live imaging on rat hippocampal neurons co-transfected with GFP-Rem2 and mCherry-CaMKII. Figure 5B shows extensive colocalization between Rem2 and CaMKII both before and after stimulation. Subcellular distribution of Rem2 showed greater covariance with mCherry-CaMKII than with mCherry alone. Rem2 (1–320) also co-localized with CaMKII, but only after stimulation, showing that upon stimulation CaMKII may be drawn to the constitutive puncta formed by Rem2 (1–320) (Figure 5C).

Because neurons contain large amounts of endogenous CaMKII [20,21] and significant amounts of Rem2 [12], we could not determine whether the distribution of the transfected fluorescent proteins was affected by the presence of endogenous proteins. To simplify the experimental environment, we expressed GFP-CaMKII and mCherry-Rem2 in HEK cells and examined the subcellular localization of CaMKII in the presence and absence of co-expressed Rem2. GFP-CaMKII showed diffuse fluorescence that was similar to GFP alone, except for the exclusion from the nucleus. When mCherry-Rem2 was cotransfected, however, the distribution of GFP-CaMKII signal became more heterogeneous, and strongly overlapped with mCherry-Rem2 (Figure 5D), suggesting that Rem2 can direct CaMKII to specific subcellular compartments or components, where it resides. By contrast, the distribution of mCherry-Rem2 fluorescence in the cells did not change when CaMKII was co-expressed (Figure 5E).

Thus, Rem2 can alter the distribution of CaMKII under basal intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) levels but CaMKII does not affect Rem2 distribution.

To determine if there is a reciprocal CaMKII effect on Rem2 trafficking, we used an inhibitor of CaMKII aggregation to examine its consequences on Rem2 distribution. CaMKII aggregation is thought to occur when the autoinhibitory domain of a CaMKII subunit of one holoenzyme binds to the catalytic domain of a subunit of an adjacent holoenzyme [2,22]. CaMKII activity and aggregation are inhibited by CaMKIIN, a 79-amino acid natural peptide that binds specifically to the catalytic pocket of activated CaMKII [23,24]. We co-expressed mRuby-CaMKII and GFP-Rem2 in neurons with and without CaMKIIN and imaged cells before and after stimulation. Co-expression of CaMKIIN substantially reduced aggregation of both CaMKII and Rem2 (Figure 6A & B), suggesting that CaMKII contributes to Rem2 redistribution. Moreover, the time course of puncta formation following glutamate/glycine stimulation was similar for mRuby-CaMKII and GFP-Rem2 (see Movie S1), both in the absence and presence of the inhibitor (Figure 6C). CaMKIIN effectively reduced CaMKII clustering in both the cell body and dendrites. Rem2 clustering was much more prominent in the cell bodies, whereas it occurred variably in spines, and CaMKIIN also inhibited Rem2 aggregation (Figure S2).

Because there is some sequence similarity between the C-terminus of Rem2 and the auto-inhibitory region of CaMKII, we wanted to ensure that the effect of CaMKIIN on Rem2 aggregation was mediated through CaMKII rather than Rem2.

Figure 2. Rem2 redistribution is dependent on calcium influx via the activation of NMDA receptors. (A) Neuronal cultures were stimulated for 60 seconds with either 100 \(\mu\)M glutamate/10 \(\mu\)M glycine (glu/gly and No Ca conditions) or 100 \(\mu\)M NMDA/10 \(\mu\)M glycine. In the No Ca condition, neurons were incubated in EBS without 3 mM CaCl\(_2\), in the presence of 30 nM TTX to reduce spontaneous activity, then stimulated as above. Ratio after/before of Rem2 stimulated with glutamate/glycine, 5.88±0.47, N=30; with NMDA/glycine, 3.41±0.49, N=29, one-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni post-hoc test p<0.0005 compared to glutamate/glycine; no calcium + TTX, 1.58±0.28, N=26, p<0.001 compared to glutamate/glycine. Numbers within the bars denote N, and error bars represent SEM. (B) Redistribution of Rem2 is blocked by MK-801. Neuronal cultures were treated with the NMDAR open-pore blocker MK-801 (62.5 \(\mu\)M) for 20 minutes, and then stimulated for 60 seconds with glutamate/glycine. Controls experienced the same stimulation protocol in the absence of MK-801. This treatment abolished redistribution (ratio after/before of Rem2 alone, 3.17±0.31, N=50; Rem2+ MK-801, 1.16±0.10, N=41; t-test p<0.001). (C) Rem2 redistribution is reversed by removing calcium. (Upper) Serial images of individual cells were taken before and after stimulation for 60 seconds with 100 \(\mu\)M glutamate/10 \(\mu\)M glycine. 5 minutes after stimulation, calcium was removed by replacing the bath solution with chelation solution lacking calcium and containing 1 mM EGTA. Images were taken about 5 minutes and 15 minutes after incubation of the neurons in chelation solution. (Lower) Quantitation of Rem2 redistribution reversal. Pixel value variance is significantly different after stimulation (882±177 after vs. 164±21 before, one-way repeated measures ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s post-hoc test: N=27 for each, p<0.001), but goes back to baseline level following calcium chelation (5 min after chelation, 430±130, N=27; 12 min after chelation, 237±17, N=9; difference from before stimulation is NS). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0041185.g002
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To do this, we performed a co-immunoprecipitation assay with Rem2 and CaMKIIN in the presence and absence of Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-CaM/CaMKII. HA-CaMKIIN did not interact with myc-Rem2, and did not interfere with the interaction between myc-Rem2 and GFP-CaMKII (Figure 6D), showing that the effects of CaMKIIN were mediated via CaMKII and not as a result of a direct inhibitory effect on Rem2.

We further examined the relationship between Rem2, CaMKII and CaMKIIN in HEK cells using a stimulation protocol that previously allowed dissecting the mechanisms of CaMKII clustering [2]. Following this protocol, GFP-CaMKII expressed alone formed puncta, whereas mCherry-Rem2 expressed alone did not. However, co-expression of CaMKII and Rem2 resulted in co-aggregation. As expected, co-expression of CaMKIIN inhibited clustering of CaMKII as well as co-clustering of CaMKII and Rem2 (Figure 6E).

![Figure 3. The Rem2 C-terminus directs redistribution.](image-url)

(A) Schematic of the Rem2 protein. The last 30 residues of the C-terminal extension contain a previously identified PIP lipid binding domain as well as a calmodulin binding site. Small triangles in the N-and C-termini represent 14-3-3 binding sites. We created truncated Rem2 proteins ending at residues 310 and 320 to determine if these interaction sites are relevant for Rem2 redistribution. (B) Effect of Rem2 truncations on redistribution. (Left panel) Full-length Rem2 (top) redistributed into puncta on glutamate/glycine stimulation. 1–310 Rem2 (center) showed no redistribution upon stimulation, while 1–320 Rem2 (bottom) formed puncta constitutively. Scale bars indicate 5 μm. (Right panel) WT Rem2 shows a significant difference in pixel intensity variance after stimulation (normalized mean (± SEM) pixel value variance before stimulation, 1.00±0.08; after, 1.74±0.17; paired t-test p<0.001). Additionally, 1–320 Rem2 distribution is different from WT before but not after stimulation (one-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni’s test; before, p<0.001; after, p = 0.19). Pixel intensity variances were normalized to the variance of full-length Rem2 before stimulation. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0041185.g003
Figure 4. Calmodulin binding is necessary for Rem2 redistribution. (A) Predicted calmodulin binding sites in Rem2. An online predictor of calmodulin binding sites (http://calcium.uhnres.utoronto.ca/) suggests that Rem2 has two predominant sites, a higher affinity one close to the C-terminus and a lower affinity one further upstream. The colored numbers under the residues indicate how strongly a stretch of residues is predicted to bind CaM: grey 0 indicates no binding predicted, while red 9 indicates a likely CaM binding site. (B) Rem2 interacts with CaM in the presence of calcium. Untransfected HEK cells (ctrl) and HEK cells expressing full-length HA-tagged Rem2 (Rem2) were lysed, the lysates (input) cleared and passed over calmodulin-conjugated agarose beads in the presence of 3 mM calcium (+calcium) or 2 mM EGTA/2 mM EDTA (−calcium). Beta-actin was used as a loading control (actin). The eluate was subjected to Western blot analysis using HA antibody (top three panels) or actin antibody (bottom panel). (C) The point mutation L317G does not affect the association of full-length Rem2 with CaM. HEK cell lysates containing wild-type HA-Rem2 or the point mutation L317G were used for a pulldown assay with CaM-sepharose beads. Precleared lysates (input) and the eluates pulled down in buffer containing 2 mM EDTA/2 mM EGTA in place of calcium (−calcium) or 3 mM CaCl2 (+calcium) were separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes, then probed with anti-HA antibody. Control cells are untransfected. Note less signal in the presence of EDTA/EGTA. (D) The same mutation abolishes interaction between CaM and the Rem2 C-terminal extension. Upper panel shows cleared lysates (input) from HEK cells expressing Rem2 (284–341) and Rem2 (284–341) L317G. Lower panel (+ calcium) shows Rem2 protein pulled down with CaM-sepharose in the presence of 2 mM calcium. (E) Rem2-calmodulin interaction is necessary for Rem2 redistribution. Neurons expressing either wildtype or Rem2 (L317G) protein were imaged before and after stimulation with 100 μM glutamate/10 μM glycine. Ratio after/before stimulation of WT Rem2, 1.59 ± 0.07, N = 20; Rem2 (L317G), 1.19 ± 0.08, N = 29; t-test p = 0.001. (F) Pixel intensity variances are shown before (dark bars) and after (light bars) stimulation. The variance of full length Rem2 changes upon stimulation (before, 858 ± 53; after, 1429 ± 61; N = 29; paired t-test p = 0.001). The truncated mutant Rem2 (1–320) shows high variance before and after stimulation (before, 2053 ± 165; after, 1871 ± 161, N = 39), indicating constitutive puncta, while the Rem2 L317G and the 1–320 truncation carrying the L317G mutation show low variances (Rem2 L317G before, 784 ± 87; after, 918 ± 117, N = 29; Rem2 (1–320) L317G before, 390 ± 102; after, 590 ± 283, N = 10), indicating no constitutive puncta and little redistribution on stimulation. One-way ANOVA + Bonferroni post-hoc test comparing variances before stimulation shows significant differences between full length Rem2 and Rem2 (1–320) (p < 0.0001), and no difference between full length and Rem2 L317G or Rem2 (1–320) L317G (p = 1 and 0.42, respectively), Rem2 (1–320) L317G data come from 10 cells from a single transfection. (G) Coexpression of a CaM-binding IQ motif reduces Rem2 redistribution. Neurons cotransfected with YFP-Rem2 and CFP-IQ (TVGKFYATFLIQEYFRKFKKRKEQ) were imaged before and after stimulation with 25 μM glutamate/2.5 μM glycine. Ratio after/before stimulation of Rem2 alone, 3.12 ± 0.22, N = 66; Rem2+ IQ motif, 2.07 ± 0.23, N = 54; t-test p = 0.0013. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0041185.g004
and F, Figure S3). Thus, Rem2 alone does not aggregate in HEK cells, but it forms puncta when CaMKII is present, and this co-aggregation is inhibited by CaMKINH. These data indicate that CaMKII is an important determinant of Rem2 clustering.

**Discussion**

The small GTPase Rem2 is one of several members of the family of RGK proteins that also includes Rem, Rad, and Gem/Kir. Investigations concerning the functional roles of these

---

**Figure 5. Rem2 interacts and redistributes with CaMKII.** (A) Calcium-calmodulin is essential for Rem2-CaMKII association. HEK cells were transfected with the indicated GFP-CaMKII, CaM, the calcium-insensitive mutant CaM1234 or HA-Rem2 constructs. Cell lysates were coprecipitated with anti-GFP antibody coupled to proteinA/G beads. Coprecipitated proteins were eluted from beads in sample buffer and separated using 10%/16% tricine SDS-PAGE followed by Western blotting with anti-HA antibody. Preincubated lysates (left) and coprecipitated proteins (right) are shown. CaMKII binds only to full length Rem2 (lane 4) and not to a C-terminal fragment of Rem2 (lane 5). CaMKII also shows no binding when Rem2 and CaMKII are coexpressed with CaM1234 (lane 6). (B) Fluorescent signals of GFP-Rem2 and mCherry-CaMKII redistribute together. Rem2 and CaMKII cotransfected neurons were fixed following no stimulation or 60 seconds of 100 μM glutamate/10 μM glycine stimulation and 5 minutes of recovery. Left subpanel of each panel shows a cell coexpressing CaMKII and Rem2. Right subpanel shows a higher resolution of the subcellular distribution of GFP-Rem2 (top), mCherry-CaMKII (center) and an overlay (bottom). Scale bars are 5 μm. (C) Rem2 and CaMKII are colocalized before (dark bars) and after (light bars) stimulation. Intensity correlation of GFP and mCherry signal is given for neurons coexpressing mCherry-CaMKII and GFP-Rem2 wild-type or C-terminal truncations. The higher the intensity correlation quotient (ICQ), the greater the covariance of the two colors across the cell, i.e. higher colocalization within the cell. Correlation of GFP-WT Rem2 and unconjugated mCherry is given as control. ICQ of GFP-WT Rem2+ mCherry-CaMKII before stimulation, 0.312±0.015; GFP-WT Rem2+ mCherry alone, 0.137±0.018; two-way ANOVA, p<0.001. Only the constitutively punctate 1–320 Rem2 mutant shows a different distribution from CaMKII after stimulation, although it correlates with CaMKII after. Before stimulation, 0.117±0.026; after stimulation, 0.258±0.030; t-test, p = 0.004. (D) Rem2 alters basal CaMKII distribution. HEK cells expressing GFP-CaMKII alone (left panels) or GFP-CaMKII coexpressed with mCherry-Rem2 (right panels) were fixed and imaged using confocal microscopy. Note the diffuse distribution of GFP-CaMKII when Rem2 is absent. (E) Rem2 alters CaMKII distribution. Mean pixel intensity variance in fixed HEK cells of GFP-CaMKII (alone, 51106±9158, N = 69; with Rem2, 94591±10689, N = 60) or GFP fluorescence (alone, 17232±2775, N = 60; with Rem2, 19442±4145, N = 57).

**doi**:10.1371/journal.pone.0041185.g005
Figure 6. Rem2 and CaMKII redistribution is co-dependent. (A) CaMKII inhibits redistribution of Rem2 and CaMKII. Rat hippocampal neurons coexpressing (left) GFP-Rem2 and (right) mRuby-CaMKII without (left panels) and with (right panels) HA-CaMKIIN. Cells were imaged before stimulation (top panels), then stimulated with 100 μM glutamate/10 μM glycine and imaged again (middle panels). Neurons were fixed and probed for HA to detect CaMKIIN (bottom panel). The scale bar represents 20 μm. (B) CaMKII reduces redistribution of coexpressed CaMKII and Rem2. Clustering factor determined as in [2]. Mean ± SEM clustering factor for CaMKII without CaMKIIN: before stimulation, 0.004 ± 0.002; after, 0.021 ± 0.004; with CaMKII: before, 0.002 ± 0.0002; after, 0.009 ± 0.002. Rem2 clustering without CaMKIIN: before stimulation, 0.001 ± 0.002; after, 0.001 ± 0.0002; with CaMKII: before, 0.001 ± 0.0002; after, 0.003 ± 0.0006. Data is from 4 separate experiments with a total of N = 27 neurons/condition. Error bars are ± SEM; asterisks represent p < 0.05 (Kruskal-Wallis test followed by Tukey’s post hoc test). (C) Rem2 and CaMKII redistributions overlap temporally. A timecourse of aggregation of Rem2 and CaMKII shows little clustering before stimulation with glutamate/glycine, and Rem2 and CaMKII clustering occurs at similar rates. N = 27 neurons/condition. (D) CaMKII does not interact with Rem2, and expression of CaMKII does not interfere with Rem2-CaMKII interaction. HEK cells were transfected with the indicated plasmids. Cell lysates were subjected to co-precipitation assays using a mix of proteinA/G beads and anti-HA,-myc or-GFP. Eluates were separated on SDS-PAGE and probed with anti-HA (top) and anti-myc (bottom). (E) CaMKII aggregates in HEK cells following a pH drop/high Ca protocol [2] (mean clustering factor before simulation 0.030 ± 0.002; after 0.166 ± 0.06). Aggregation is inhibited by CaMKIIN (before simulation, 0.16 ± 0.002; after, 0.068 ± 0.010) but unaffected by coexpression of Rem2 (before, 0.031 ± 0.003; after, 0.15 ± 0.009). CaMKII inhibition is also insensitive to Rem2 coexpression (before, 0.031 ± 0.003; after, 0.071 ± 0.006). N = 20 cells and p < 0.05 for all before-after pairs. (F) Rem2 does not aggregate using the same protocol (without CaMKII: before stim, 0.037 ± 0.004; after, 0.032 ± 0.004; with CaMKII: before, 0.018 ± 0.002; after, 0.022 ± 0.003), unless CaMKII is also present (without CaMKII: before stim, 0.012 ± 0.002; after, 0.073 ± 0.008). CaMKII-induced Rem2 aggregation is also inhibited by CaMKIIN (before, 0.008 ± 0.001; after, 0.021 ± 0.004). Error bars are ± SEM; asterisks represent p < 0.05 (Kruskal-Wallis test followed by Tukey’s post hoc test). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0041185.g006
proteins have focused mainly on their regulation of high voltage activated Ca^{2+} channels in heart, muscle and brain [23–20; for review, see [29]]. However, relatively little is known about other physiological functions of this family of proteins. Here, we show that Rem2 undergoes activity-dependent changes in subcellular distribution, and that this effect is mediated by NMDAR-dependent activation of CaM (Figures 1 and 2). Furthermore, we show that Rem2 colocalizes and co-traffics with CaMKII, thus hinting at a possible role of Rem2 in the well-documented effects of CaMKII on neuronal plasticity (reviewed in [30,31]).

We identified two regions of the Rem2 C-terminus that appear to be involved in the regulation of redistribution (Figure 3). The truncated Rem2 mutant 1–310 was incapable of undergoing redistribution upon stimulation, while the 1–320 mutant showed constitutive puncta that did not change substantially upon stimulation. These data imply that the residues in the 311–320 region comprise a domain that is essential for stimulation-induced redistribution. A critical residue in this sequence, L317, appears to be necessary both for CaM binding and for redistribution, suggesting that CaM interactions with Rem2 at this site are a prerequisite for Rem2 trafficking (Figure 4). This fits with our observation that the redistribution of Rem2 required Ca^{2+} and that Rem2 interacted biochemically with Ca^{2+}-CaM, but not apoCaM. The adjacent region spanning residues 321–330 appears to form a regulatory domain to mediate auto-inhibition of Rem2 trafficking under basal (i.e., non-stimulated) conditions, as removal of this region resulted in constitutive Rem2 puncta. We thus envision a mechanism in which Ca^{2+}-CaM interactions with residues 310–320 result in a conformational change in Rem2 that in turn removes the auto-inhibition mediated by residues 320–330 (see Figure 7). This is reminiscent of what has been described for CaMKII, in which an autoregulatory region is adjacent to the CaM-binding domain (reviewed in [22]).

The cellular determinants known to be involved in CaMKII translocation in neurons also appear to be required for Rem2 translocation. This includes a reliance on NMDAR-mediated Ca^{2+} entry and dependence on Ca^{2+}-bound CaM. Full-length Rem2 also immunoprecipitated with CaMKII, as did substantially C-terminally truncated Rem2 proteins lacking the main CaM interaction site, consistent with similar experiments using the related RGK protein Rad [10]. Following activation of NMDARs, Rem2 translocated to the same subcellular loci as CaMKII (Figure 5B and C), suggesting that Rem2 and CaMKII traffic as a protein complex.

This then begs the question as to whether the trafficking of Rem2 and CaMKII are influenced by one another. The cellular distribution of these proteins correlated in neurons and in HEK cells, a first suggestion that one of the two proteins might impact the localization of the other. To determine if this is the case, we simply examined whether expression of one influenced the cellular distribution of the other (and vice versa) in HEK cells. Rem2 did affect the baseline distribution of CaMKII, but not the reverse (Figure 5D and E). Thus at basal Ca^{2+} levels, Rem2 might be restricting CaMKII to specific subcellular regions. This analysis could not be done in neurons because of pre-existing levels of both native proteins, but if this conclusion also applies to neurons, Rem2 could directly influence the nature of Ca^{2+} signals that CaMKII is exposed to. Indeed, the source of Ca^{2+} entry into the cytosol is a critical determinant in the downstream signaling mechanisms that are activated by the second messenger. One way to mediate this spatial specificity of Ca^{2+} signaling is to place and hold the signaling machineries at specific sites, a role that Rem2 might fill for CaMKII signaling. Given that RGK proteins can alter cell morphology by regulating the actin and microtubule cytoskeletons [8,32–38], and Rem2 overexpression leads to neurite outgrowth [7], it is possible that Rem2 helps anchor CaMKII to cytoskeletal elements, thus potentially facilitating CaMKII-mediated insertion of NMDARs. We propose that Rem2 may help retain a significant fraction of CaMKII in subcellular domains in neurons under basal conditions. Following NMDAR activation, CaMKII and Rem2 move together into clusters, likely as part of a larger protein complex.

During NMDAR activity, CaMKII can translocate to synaptic and extra-synaptic sites, and it was proposed that the multivalent nature of CaMKII could support co-aggregation with additional binding partners in large complexes [2]. Indeed, we found that stimulation of HEK cells to induce CaMKII clustering also caused co-clustering of Rem2 (Figure S3). Additionally, in neurons, we found that 1–310 Rem2 could form clusters in the presence of overexpressed CaMKII but not its absence (Figure 5C). Furthermore, co-expression of the natural inhibitor of CaMKII, CaMKIIN, reduced the co-clustering of both proteins in HEK cells and neurons (Figure 6, Figure S3). Thus, CaMKII seems to be an important determinant of Rem2 redistribution. On the other hand, co-expression of Rem2 altered the subcellular distribution of CaMKII in HEK cells, indicating that Rem2 can potentially direct CaMKII to specific cellular compartments. Furthermore, upon neuronal stimulation, CaMKII forms clusters at the constitutive puncta of 1–320 Rem2, further suggesting that aggregation of Rem2 can attract CaMKII to focal points. The sites to which Rem2 might attract CaMKII inside neurons have not been precisely determined. Ghiretti and Paradis (2011) performed immunohistochemistry with a custom-designed antibody and showed that Rem2 was expressed throughout somato-dendritic domains, yet did not seem particularly enriched in spines. This fits with our observation that the majority of Rem2 clusters were found in the cell body rather than in the dendritic compartment.

Knockdown of Rem2 leads to reduced numbers of synapses in developing neurons as well as alterations in dendritic and synaptic development, spine shape and stability [12,39]. Because many of these functions have been also attributed to CaMKII [14,40–42], we can speculate that the co-trafficking of Rem2 and CaMKII may support some of these processes. For example, the NMDAR-dependent translocation of CaMKII is thought to have important roles in synaptic plasticity and remodelling. In this context, our evidence that Rem2 co-aggregates with CaMKII may indicate a collaborative role between CaMKII and Rem2 in synaptic development and plasticity. Such a role for Rem2 in regulating neuronal function may well involve voltage-gated Ca^{2+} channels, a major target of RGK protein signaling (reviewed in [3]).

Conclusions

We have shown here that the small GTPase Rem2, expressed ectopically in neurons, redistributes upon neuronal stimulation under conditions almost identical to those which are required for CaMKII redistribution. We also found a biochemical interaction between Rem2 and CaMKII in the presence of Ca^{2+}-CaM. Rem2 and CaMKII co-distribute in neurons before and after stimulation, and an inhibitor of CaMKII self-association also inhibits Rem2 redistribution. This co-trafficking of Rem2 and CaMKII suggests that Rem2 may modulate the subcellular positioning of CaMKII, thus potentially affecting its function within neurons.

Materials and Methods

DNA Constructs

Rem2 cDNA was amplified by RT-PCR from rat brain mRNA and cloned into the pCMV-HA (Clontech) expression vector,
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Figure 7. Possible mechanism underlying Rem2 activity-dependent redistribution. Top: Representation of the C-terminus of Rem2, with the putative CaM-binding determinant leucine residue highlighted in pink. (A) In the absence of stimulation, Rem2 resides 320–330 (regulatory region) may allosterically inhibit Rem2 association with a putative cytoskeletal regulatory element, and consequently no Rem2 puncta are observed. (B) Upon stimulation, Ca+2-CaM may bind the region around residue L317, allowing redistribution to occur by association with the cytoskeleton. (C) The Rem2 mutant 1–320 lacks the regulatory region. This would result in constitutive association with CaM and constitutive puncta. (D) The Rem2 mutant 1–310 lacks the CaM-binding region, and would not redistribute to puncta unless CaMKII is overexpressed. (E) Under basal conditions, Rem2 (blue circles) and CaMKII (red circles) are diffusely distributed in neurons. (F) Upon neuronal stimulation, Ca+2 influx through the NMDAR (black brackets) leads to aggregation of Rem2 and CaMKII, possibly at cytoskeletal elements (green lines).
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Propidium Iodide Staining for Dying Cells Following Stimulation

To determine if glutamate/glycine stimulation of Rem2 expressing cells caused rapid cell death, we stained cells with the DNA intercalating dye propidium iodide (PI). Immediately following a 60-second application of glutamate/glycine, the stimulating solution was replaced with EBS containing PI at 2 μg/ml. The chip or coverslip was incubated in this solution for 4 minutes prior to imaging. Images were taken at 100x before stimulation and again after stimulation and addition of PI.

Analysis of Rem2 Redistribution with ImageJ convolution Filters

To minimize noise, our image-capture software averaged 8 images to get one “before stimulation” and one “after stimulation” image. All subsequent manipulations were performed in ImageJ (NIH) using default or specialized plugins. 8-bit images were compiled into an avi file and subjected to the Stackreg plugin followed by the Smooth function. In epifluorescence, the signal from diffuse Rem2 across the neuronal cell body was not consistent. Some parts of the cell were brighter than others, possibly reflecting the thickness of the cell at that point. Thus, although the Rem2 puncta that formed on stimulation appeared brighter than the background across the cell, subtracting the background from the signal posed a problem, because it was not uniform across the cell. To solve this, we used a simple spatial convolution filter which applied a 5×5 matrix to each pixel in the image. This filter multiplied the value of a given pixel (0–255) by 24, then subtracted the values of the 24 surrounding pixels and output the final value to a new image. If a given pixel was therefore about the same intensity as its neighbours, the filter would give a low output value. Conversely, if a pixel value were much higher than its neighbours of a 5×5 area, as is the case of a Rem2 punctum, the output value would be high. Thus, the convolution filter maximizes signal from small spots that are brighter than their surroundings, while minimizing fluctuations in background fluorescence due to cell thickness or other factors (Figure S4).

To quantify differences in Rem2 redistribution before and after stimulation, we determined the variance in the intensity values of the output images. Using ImageJ ROI tools, we drew non-overlapping regions of interest (ROIs) around as much of the cell interior as possible. Each ROI was measured to determine the variance in the intensity values of the output images. The ICQ was calculated as described above for Rem2 redistribution.

Rem2–CaMKII Covariance Analyzed with ImageJ Intensity Correlation Analysis

To quantify Rem2-CaMKII colocalization, we used the Intensity Correlation Analysis (ICA) set of plugins bundled with ImageJ from the Wright Cell Imaging Facility at the University of Toronto. This protocol is detailed in [44], and determines an Intensity Correlation Quotient (ICQ) to quantify how much two fluorescent signals covary across a region of interest. The ICQ ranges from −0.5 (segregated signals) to 0 (random signals) to +0.5 (dependent signals). Confocal hyperstacks were converted to 8-bit, separated by color and then background-subtracted using a region of the image that did not contain a cell. ROIs were chosen with the caveat that the cell must fill the ROI in all slices of the stack. Slices taken from the top and very bottom of the cell were discarded for this reason. For fear of losing information, we did not threshold the images as recommended by the protocol. In this way we generated a single ICQ value for each cell, and averaged the cells in each condition.

Rem2–CaMKII Redistribution in Neurons

To quantify the co-clustering of CaMKII and Rem2 in neurons we used primary rat hippocampal cultures plated at high density (1 million cells/coverlip) on 18 mm glass coverslips. We then cotransfected the cells at 12–14 DIV using Lipofectamine 2000 with mRuby-CaMKII and GFP-Rem2, with or without HA-CaMKII. The live imaging experiments were performed the following day on a Zeiss Axiovert inverted microscope using an oil 63x/1.4 NA objective and a Xenon lamp. Coverslips were washed for 2 min, stimulated with glutamate/glycine for 1 min and washed again for 4 min in a 37 °C heated chamber with HBSS-based solution [2]. The number of clusters was measured on the time lapse images using a morphometric analysis using MetaMorph software. A cluster was detected when a contiguous group of pixels with an area ranging from 0.1 to 1.0 μm² was at least twice as bright as the average fluorescence of all the pixels in the cell and had a shape factor (4πA/P², A, area; P, perimeter) >0.5. The clustering factor is defined as the total brightness of all clusters divided by the fluorescence of the whole cell.

CaMKII Expression

To confirm the expression of the HA-tagged CaMKII inhibitor, cells were fixed immediately after the end of the live imaging in 37 °C 4% paraformaldehyde solution (0.1 M PB, pH 7.4, 4% sucrose, 2 mM EGTA, pH 7.4) for 10 minutes and then washed twice in PBS and once in PBS/0.1 M glycine for 10 minutes. For immunostaining, the coverslips were incubated 30 min in blocking solution (PBS, 2% goat serum and 0.05% Triton X-100), 2 hours in rabbit anti-HA (clone DW2, 1:500, Upstate) and 45 minutes in goat anti-rabbit ATTO 647 (1:1000, Alexis Biochem), then mounted with Prolong Gold (Invitrogen). We imaged the coverslips on a Zeiss LSM510 META confocal microscope using an oil 63x/1.4 NA objective. GFP, mRuby and ATTO647 fluorophores were excited at 488 nm, 543 nm and 633 nm respectively.

Rem2 and CaMKII Localization and Redistribution in HEK Cells

To determine whether Rem2 affects CaMKII distribution in HEK cells (or vice-versa), we fixed HEK cells that were transfected 24 hr before, using Lipofectamine 2000 with either one or a combination of the following plasmids: mGFP-CaMKII, mCherry-Rem2 and mGFP. Confocal images were analysed using MetaMorph software to evaluate the standard deviation of the fluorescence distribution within ROIs taken from 20–30 HEK cells per condition. The mean variance for each condition was calculated as described above for Rem2 redistribution.

To assess the impact of Ca²⁺ stimulation on Rem2 and CaMKII clustering in HEK cells, we used a Ca²⁺/ionomycin + nigericin-based protocol, followed by cell fixation and confocal imaging, as described in [2].

Statistical Analysis

All analyses were performed using Microsoft Excel, Origin Pro 8.0 or MatLab.
Supporting Information

Figure S1 Rem2 redistribution is independent of epitope tag. (A) Confocal images of GFP-Rem2 (top) and HA-Rem2 (center) and their overlay (bottom) after stimulation of a neuronal culture. Neurons were stimulated for 60 seconds with 100 μM glutamate/10 μM glycine, recovered for 5 minutes then fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde. Cells were then probed with rat anti-HA antibody followed by anti-rat secondary conjugated to Alexa-594. (B) Intensity Correlation Analysis of the overlap between Alexa-594 and GFP signals in glutamate/glycine-stimulated cells transfected with HA-Rem2+ GFP or HA-Rem2+ GFP-Rem2. Puncta formed by GFP-Rem2 overlapped those formed by HA-Rem2 (ICQ for HA-Rem2+ GFP alone, 0.085±0.007, N = 5; HA-Rem2+ GFP-Rem2, 0.283±0.026, N = 8; t-test p = 0.0001), indicating that the Rem2 foci were consistently formed upon stimulation, regardless of the molecular tag.

Figure S2 CaMKII and Rem2 clusters in dendrites and cell body. Data represented in Figure 6C are broken down here by cellular compartment. The upper panels show the mean clustering factor of CaMKII and Rem2 in the presence and absence of CaMKIIin in dendrites, while the lower panels show clustering in the cell body. Note that Rem2 clustering in the dendrites persists, while clusters in the cell body begin to dissipate after about 5 minutes.

Figure S3 CaMKII drives Rem2 aggregation in HEK cells. (A) HEK cells transfected with Rem2, CaMKII or both show no aggregation under basal conditions (upper panels). In response to a pH drop/ionomycin protocol, CaMKII forms robust aggregates, while Rem2 does not (lower panels). Rem2 can aggregate upon stimulation in the presence of CaMKII. (B) CaMKIIin inhibits CaMKII aggregation upon stimulation (left panel set), while Rem2 is unchanged by CaMKIIin (right panel set). Coexpression of these three plasmids results in lowered CaMKII-Rem2 co-aggregation (bottom panel set). Scale bar represents 10 μm.
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