Characterization of Integrin–Tetraspanin Adhesion Complexes: Role of Tetraspanins in Integrin Signaling
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Abstract. Tetraspanins (or proteins from the transmembrane 4 superfamily, TM4SF) form membrane complexes with integrin receptors and are implicated in integrin-mediated cell migration. Here we characterized cellular localization, structural composition, and signaling properties of α3β1–TM4SF adhesion complexes. Double-immunofluorescence staining showed that various TM4SF proteins, including CD9, CD63, CD81, CD82, and CD151 are colocalized within dot-like structures that are particularly abundant at the cell periphery. Differential extraction in conjunction with chemical cross-linking indicated that the cell surface fraction of α3β1–TM4SF protein complexes may not be directly linked to the cytoskeleton. However, in cells treated with cytochalasin B, α3β1–TM4SF protein complexes are relocated into intracellular vesicles suggesting that actin cytoskeleton plays an important role in the distribution of tetraspanins into adhesion structures. Talin and MARCKS are partially codistributed with TM4SF proteins, whereas vinculin is not detected within the tetraspanin-containing adhesion structures. Attachment of serum-starved cells to the immobilized anti-TM4SF mAbs induced dephosphorylation of focal adhesion kinase (FAK). On the other hand, clustering of tetraspanins in cells attached to collagen enhanced tyrosine phosphorylation of FAK. Furthermore, ectopic expression of CD9 in fibrosarcoma cells affected adhesion-induced tyrosine phosphorylation of FAK, that correlated with the reorganization of the cortical actin cytoskeleton. These results show that tetraspanins can modulate integrin signaling, and point to a mechanism by which TM4SF proteins regulate cell motility.
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Tetraspanins, or tetraspan proteins, are a large family (transmembrane 4 superfamily [TM4SF]) of ubiquitously expressed membrane proteins that are implicated in a number of basic biological phenomena, including cell proliferation, cell migration, and tumor cell invasion (Hemler et al., 1996; Maecker et al., 1997). Although the biochemical function(s) of TM4SF proteins remains undefined, it had been proposed that the proteins may have an important role in the assembly of signaling complexes that also include other transmembrane proteins, such as CD4 and CD8 on T cells, CD21–CD19 complex on B cells, H-B-EGF on epithelial cells (Matsumoto et al., 1993; Higashiyama et al., 1995; Imai et al., 1995).

Notably, TM4SF proteins form membrane complexes with adhesion receptors from the integrin family (Hemler et al., 1996; Maecker et al., 1997). In contrast to cell lineage specific associations involving tetraspanins, integrin–TM4SF protein complexes are more common and have been detected on different cell types (Slupsky et al., 1989; Rubinstein et al., 1994; Berditchevski et al., 1995, 1996; Nakamura et al., 1995; Hadjiargyrou et al., 1996; Jones et al., 1996; Mannion et al., 1996; Radford et al., 1996; Berditchevski et al., 1997a; Tachibana et al., 1997; Claas et al., 1998; Yáñez-Mó et al., 1998). Recent data has clearly demonstrated that the function of integrin–TM4SF protein complexes is particularly relevant to cell migration. For example, ectopic expression of CD9 in various cell lines either reduces (Ikeyama et al., 1993) or enhances (Shaw et al., 1995) chemotactic migration. Similarly, when introduced into melanoma cells, CD63 suppresses cell motility on various ECM substrates (Radford et al., 1997). Other studies have shown that mAbs to CD9, CD53, CD81, CD82, and CD151 inhibit integrin-mediated cell migration (Iyake et al., 1991; Domanico et al., 1997; Lagaudriere-Gesbert et al., 1997; Yáñez-Mó et al., 1998). In spite of the phenomenological evidence, it remains unclear which cellular...
processes during migration are affected by TM4SF proteins. In attached cells TM4SF proteins are concentrated within punctate adhesion structures (Nakamura et al., 1995; Berditchevski et al., 1997b) that morphologically resemble Rαc-dependent peripheral focal complexes (Nobes and Hall, 1995) and point contacts (Nermit et al., 1991; Tawil et al., 1993). It has been suggested that focal complexes and point contacts, which contain different structural elements, may have distinct functions in cell migration where the former help to stabilize adhesive interactions at the cell front (Nobes and Hall, 1995), whereas the latter are important for a cell surface recycling of adhesion receptors (Tawil et al., 1993). Whether or not tetraspansins are indeed integrated into either types of the adhesion structures remains unknown. Recent results indicate that tetraspansins may either directly affect integrin-mediated cell attachment (Yañez-Mó et al., 1998) or be involved in post-adhesion signaling (Shaw et al., 1995). On the other hand, the fact that TM4SF proteins were detected on intracellular vesicles (Peters et al., 1991; Hama-moto et al., 1994; Berditchevski et al., 1997b) suggests that they may have a role in integrin trafficking. Thus, obtaining detailed information regarding a structural organization of integrin–TM4SF adhesion complexes may provide an important insight into the function of tetraspansins as modulators of cell motility.

In this study, we have characterized the properties of integrin–TM4SF protein complexes, particularly, in relation to different types of cell–ECM adhesion structures (e.g., focal adhesions, focal contacts, and point contacts). Our data indicate that TM4SF proteins are mostly excluded from the vinculin-containing adhesion complexes (both focal adhesions and focal complexes), but are co-clustered with α3β1 integrin within peripheral adhesion structures, some of which contain talin and MARCKS. Furthermore, we have demonstrated that TM4SF proteins may contribute to integrin-mediated signaling.

Materials and Methods

Cell Lines

Human breast carcinoma cell line, MDA-MB-231, was purchased from American Type Culture Collection and maintained in L-15 medium Leibovitz supplemented with 15% fetal calf serum. Human fibrosarcoma cells, HT1080, were grown in DME supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum. H8T1080 cells were grown in DME supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum. HT1080 cells were generated as follows: HinIII-Xbal fragment of CD9 cDNA (Berditchevski et al., 1996) was subcloned into pZeoSV cut with HindIII and SpeI sites, and the resulting HindIII-XbaI fragment of CD9 cDNA (Berditchevski et al., 1996) was inserted into pZeoSV cut with HindIII and SpeI sites. A standard static adhesion assay (30–35 min) was carried out as previously described (Weitzman et al., 1993). When the effect of mAb on adhesion was studied, cells were preincubated with mAbs at 4°C for 30 min and then aliquoted into 96-well plates precoated with ECM substrates (10 μg/ml). Laminin-5-containing ECM was prepared from the confluent culture of A431 cells as previously described (Weitzman et al., 1993).

Immunofluorescent Staining

For immunofluorescence analyzes cells were plated on glass coverslips coated with ECM proteins (collagen, laminin) at 10 μg/ml or mAbs at 5 μg/ml for 1 h. In some experiments collagen (2.5 μg/ml) was coimmobilized on bacteriological dishes together with purified goat anti–mouse IgG (10 μg/ml) overnight at 4°C. The dishes were subsequently blocked with heat-denatured BSA for 2 h at 37°C and then incubated with an appropriate mAb (15 μg/ml) for 3 h at 37°C. Cells were solubilized in 1% Triton X-100 lysis buffer for 1 h at 4°C, and FAK was immunoprecipitated by using polyclonal Ab immobilized on protein A–agarose beads. Immunoprecipitated material was eluted from the beads into Laemmli loading buffer and resolved in 10% SDS-PAGE. Immunoperoxidase staining was visualized using DAB–ECL reagent (Amersham).

Dr. K. Nieuwenhuis (University Hospital, Utrecht, Netherlands). Monoclonal anti-CD151 antibodies 14A.2H1 and 1B1.6G were from Dr. L. Armstrong (Institute of Medical and Veterinary Science, A. adelae, Austra-

lia). Monoclonal anti-CD81 antibody M38 and anti-CD82 antibody C33 were generous gifts from Dr. O. Yashiki (Shionogi Institute, Osaka, Japan). Monoclonal anti-CD82 antibody 4F9 and polyclonal anti-FAK sera were from Dr. C. Morimoto (Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston). Rabbit polyclonal sera against the cytoplasmic tail of α3 integrin subunit was a generous gift from Dr. F. W. Watt (ICRF, London, UK). Monoclonal anti-MARCKS antibody, 2F12, was from Dr. P. Blackshear (National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, Durham, NC). A nci-CD9 mAb, BU16, were purchased from The Binding Site; anti-CD62 mAb, J564, were purchased from Serotech. A nci-CD44 mAb, clone F10-44-2, was purchased from Novosatva Laboratories Ltd. A nti-vinculin mAb, clone H5, and anti-talin mAb, clone 8B6, were from Sigma. Other antibodies used were W6/32, anti–MHC class I (Burnet al., 1978), and P3, the negative control antibody (Lemke et al., 1978).
ized with a combination of isotype specific goat anti–mouse sera coupled to FITC (anti-IgG2A; anti-IgG2B) and to Rhodamine (anti-IgG1).

**Immunoelectronmicroscopy**

Cells were plated on 20-mm Termoplax coverslips precoated with laminin-5-containing ECM for 1 h in serum-free media. Paraformaldehyde-treated cells were stained with primary mAb as described above, and subsequently incubated with rabbit anti–mouse IgG conjugated with 10-nm gold particles (Amersham International). After washing, the stained cells were additionally fixed with 0.2% glutaraldehyde for 2 h at room temperature. Samples were dehydrated and embedded in Lowicryl HM20 resin. Ultrathin sections were taken from the embedded sample and collected on nickel grids. The sections were stained in 30% uranyl acetate in 100% methanol for 5 min, washed in water, and dried. The sections were then analyzed using Jeol 1200 EX transmission electron microscope.

**Immunoprecipitation**

Cells (2–3 × 10^6) were plated on ECM coated surface for 2 h in serum-free DMEM. Cells were washed 3 times with PBS and lysed in immunoprecipitation buffer (1% Brij 98 in PBS, containing 2 mM MgCl_2, 2 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 10 μg/ml aprotinin, 10 μg/ml leupeptin) for 1 h at 4°C. Insoluble materials were pelleted at 12,000 rpm for 10 min, and the

Figure 1. Distribution of TM4SF proteins in cells plated on laminin-5-containing ECM. MDA-MB-231 cells were plated in serum-free DMEM on glass coverslips coated with laminin-5-containing ECM. After 1 h cells were fixed with 2% paraformaldehyde, and permeabilized with 1% Brij 98. Indirect immunofluorescence staining was carried out using mAbs C9-BB to CD9 (A–D), M38 to CD81 (E–H), M104 to CD82 (I–L), and 5C11 to CD151 (M–P). Staining was visualized using FITC-conjugated goat anti–mouse IgG. Serial images were captured by CCD camera at various focal planes: A, E, I, M, at the plane of cell-ECM contacts; B, F, J, N, 1 μM above the plane of the attachment; C, G, K, O, 2 μM above the plane of the attachment; D, H, L, P, 3.5 μM above the plane of the attachment. Captured images were processed using the OpenLab software package and the deconvolution module. Bar, 10 μM.
cell lysates were precleared by incubation with agarose beads, conjugated with goat anti–mouse antibodies for 30 min at 4°C (Sigma). Immune complexes were collected onto the agarose beads that were prebound with appropriate mAb, followed by four washes with the immunoprecipitation buffer. In some experiments Brij 98 in the immunoprecipitation buffer was substituted for Brij 96, CHAPS, or Triton X-100 (all used at final concentration 1%). Immune complexes were eluted from beads with Laemmli sample buffer and resolved by 8–12% SDS-PAGE. In cross-linking experiments, spread MDA-MB-231 cells were washed with a cross-linking buffer (2 mM MgCl$_2$, 5 mM KCl, 5 mM glucose, 140 mM NaCl, 20 mM Hepes, pH 7.4), and subsequently treated with 0.4 mM 3’3’-Dithiobis(sulfosuccinimidyl)propionate (Pierce & Warriner) in a cross-linking buffer for 20 min at room temperature. After additional washes with PBS, the cells were scraped into a lysis buffer containing 1% Tween 20, and immunoprecipitation was carried out as described above, except that immune complexes collected on the beads were washed with a lysis buffer supplemented with 0.1% SDS.

**Flow Cytometry**

Cells were incubated with saturating concentrations of primary mouse mAbs for 45 min at 4°C, washed twice, and then labeled with fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-conjugated goat anti–mouse immunoglobulin. Stained cells were analyzed on a FACScan® (Becton Dickinson).

**Results**

**TM4SF Proteins Are Colocalized within Adhesion Complexes**

We have previously found that in human fibrosarcoma cells, HT1080, two TM4SF proteins, CD63 and CD81, are localized at the cell periphery and on intracellular vesicles (Berditchevski et al., 1997b). To examine whether other TM4SF proteins could be detected at the similar cellular locations we carried out immunofluorescence staining experiments using human mammary carcinoma cells, MDA-MB-231. In addition to CD63 and CD81, these cells abundantly express three other TM4SF proteins including CD9, CD82, and CD151/PETA-3, and, therefore, represent a good model system for a comparative study. To obtain detailed information on the distribution of TM4SF proteins, immunofluorescence images of the stained cells were first captured using CCD camera, and then analyzed by using the OpenLab computer software package (see Materials and Methods for details). When spread on laminin-5-containing ECM in serum-free media all TM4SF proteins were clustered within dot-like structures that are abundant at the plane of cell attachment, both at and out of the cell periphery (Fig. 1, A, E, I, and M). Notably, peripheral distribution was particularly prominent for CD81 and CD82 (Fig. 1, E and I). In addition, dot-like staining was detected above the plane of the cell attachment, suggesting that the TM4SF proteins may be localized on intracellular vesicles or in small aggregates at the apical surface of the cells (Fig. 1, B–D, F–H, and N–P). Likewise, prominent peripheral staining of tetraspanins was observed when cells were plated on collagen (Fig. 2, A–D) and fibronectin (not shown). To exclude a possibility of artefactual results caused by using a detergent during the staining procedure, we carried out the experiments on nonpermeabilized MDA-MB-231 cells plated on laminin-5-containing ECM (Fig. 2, E–H). As with the detergent-treated cells, strong staining was observed at the cell periphery with an additional fraction of tetraspanins present at the apical surface (compare Figs. 1 and 2, E–H). In addition, clustering of tetraspanins at the cell periphery was detected when we studied the distribution of tetraspanins in other cell types, including melanoma cells, endothelial cells, and rhabdomyosarcoma cells (not shown), suggesting that localization within punctate adhesion structures is a general property of TM4SF proteins.
To examine whether different TM4SF proteins are colocalized with each other within adhesion structures we carried out double-labeling immunofluorescent experiments. Fig. 3 shows staining of MDA-MB-231 cells with three combinations of anti-TM4SF mAbs, CD9-CD63, CD81-CD151, and CD82-CD151. In these, and in the experiments where other combinations of anti-TM4SF mAbs were used, we observed notable colocalization of the proteins within dot-like adhesion complexes that was particularly prominent at the cell periphery (Fig. 3, C, F, and I). In another set of experiments we have demonstrated that α3β1 integrin is colocalized with TM4SF proteins within these adhesion structures (Fig. 3, J–L). Similarly, tetraspanins were codistributed with one another and with α3β1 integrin in cells plated on collagen and fibronectin (data not shown). A bundance of α3β1-TM4SF protein complexes at the cell periphery was further confirmed by immunoelectron microscopy (Fig. 4, A and B). Colocalization of TM4SF proteins with α3β1 integrin agrees with the immunoprecipitation data that have shown that in MDA-MB-231 cells this integrin is associated with four different tetraspanins, including CD9, CD81, CD82, and CD151 (Fig. 5A). In contrast, mAbs recognizing α2β1 or α5β1 integrins did not coimmunoprecipitated these tetraspanins (Fig. 5A).

Previous reports suggested that TM4SF proteins may affect integrin-mediated cell adhesion (Yáñez-Mó et al., 1998). Thus, we examined the effect of anti-tetraspanin...
Collectively, these experiments indicate that the Journal of Cell Biology, Volume 146, 1999 482 ing protein complexes. Initial experiments were carried out using serum-starved MDA-MB-231 cells. Fig. 6 illustrates that under serum-free conditions vinculin was found in dot-like adhesion structures at the peripheral locations as well as in rear focal adhesions (Fig. 6 B). Notably, only a few of the vinculin-containing punctate adhesion structures and none of the focal adhesions included TM4SF proteins (Fig. 6, A and C). Because of its established role in the assembly of various types of adhesion complexes we investigated whether fetal calf serum can facilitate colocalization of tetraspansins with vinculin. As expected, in the presence of fetal calf serum the number of focal adhesions was increased, but the treatment failed to direct TM4SF proteins into vinculin-containing adhesion structures (Fig. 6, D–F). Similar results were obtained when we examined the codistribution of tetraspansins with paxillin and VASP (data not shown). In contrast, under the serum-free conditions a substantial number of tetraspan-containing peripheral adhesion structures included talin (Fig. 6, G–I). More strikingly, another actin binding protein, MARCKS, was colocalized with tetraspansins in the peripheral and in some of the centrally located adhesion complexes (Fig. 7, A–C).

Finally, we investigated whether integrin-TM4SF adhesion complexes contain components of clathrin coated structures, that are known to be associated with point contacts (Nermut et al., 1991; Tawil et al., 1993). As shown in Fig. 7 (D–F), adaptin was excluded from most of the peripheral tetraspan-containing adhesion complexes and centrally located dots. Taken together, these results suggest that (a) tetraspansins are likely to function outside of focal contacts, adhesion structures that mediate strong cell attachment; and (b) TM4SF proteins may be associated with a distinct type of adhesion contacts some of which contain structural elements of focal complexes.

Peripheral Localization of TM4SF Proteins Is Dependent on Intact Actin Cytoskeleton

A assembly of various types of adhesion complexes is intimately linked to the reorganization of cytoskeleton (Nobes and Hall, 1995; Bershadsky et al., 1996). To determine the role played by the cytoskeleton in the peripheral localization of TM4SF proteins, we analyzed the distribution of α3β1-TM4SF protein complexes in MDA-MB-231 cells treated with cytochalasin B or nocodazole, drugs that induce destabilization of actin filaments and depolymerization of microtubules, respectively. Cytochalasin B induced the collapse of lamellipodia in most cells with few residual protrusions left extending from the rounded cell bodies. Fig. 8 illustrates that in cytochalasin-treated cells both α3β1 integrin and TM4SF proteins were found predominantly on intracellular vesicles (Fig. 8, A–C). In contrast, in cells treated with nocodazole, α3β1-TM4SF protein complexes were not only retained at the cell periphery, but, in some cells, were redistributed into large peripheral clusters (Fig. 8, D–F). Immunoprecipitation experiments and subsequent densitometric measurements showed that the amount of α3β1 integrin coimmunoprecipitated with TM4SF proteins from both nocodazole- and cytochalasin-treated cells was only slightly decreased (by 45 and 20%, respectively) comparing to the control sample (Fig. 9, lanes 4–6). Thus, we have concluded that both ac-

mAbs on adhesion of MDA-MB-231 cells to various ECM ligands. In these experiments we found that mAbs to TM4SF proteins tested either separately or in combination with each other did not influence adhesion of MDA-MB-231 cells to collagen I, laminin-5-containing matrix (Fig. 5 B) and fibronectin (data not shown). Interestingly, even when combined, blocking mAbs to α3- and α6-integrin subunits had only a partial inhibitory effect on adhesion MDA-MB-231 cells to laminin-5-containing matrix, suggesting that cellular interactions with the substrate interfere other integrin receptors (e.g., α1β1 or α2β1 integrins). Collectively, these experiments indicate that α3β1-tetraspan peripheral adhesion complexes are not directly involved in mediating strong cell-ECM attachment that is tested in static adhesion assays.

TM4SF Proteins Are Absent from Focal Adhesions and Point Contacts

To analyze the composition of TM4SF-containing adhesion complexes further, we studied colocalization of tetraspansins with a number of cytoplasmic proteins, which are typically associated with various adhesion structures. In these experiments cells were labeled with a cocktail of anti-TM4SF mAbs to visualize all various TM4SF-containing protein complexes. Initial experiments were carried

Figure 4. Localization of α3β1 and CD81 in the peripheral adhesion complexes by immunoelectron microscopy. MDA-MB-231 cells were fixed with 2% paraformaldehyde and stained with mAb P1B5 to α3 integrin subunit (A) and JS64 to CD81 (B). Staining was visualized by using goat anti-mouse IgG Ab coupled to 10-nm gold particles. Bar, 0.2 μM.

Figure 7 (D–F), adaptin was excluded from most of the peripheral tetraspan-containing adhesion complexes and centrally located dots. Taken together, these results suggest that (a) tetraspansins are likely to function outside of focal contacts, adhesion structures that mediate strong cell attachment; and (b) TM4SF proteins may be associated with a distinct type of adhesion contacts some of which contain structural elements of focal complexes.
proteins and this hypothesis we studied the solubility of TM4SF protein complexes and the cytoskeleton is weak. To test

Linked to Cytoskeleton

Berditchevski and Odintsova noticed that in cells that were permeabilized with detergents (e.g., Triton X-100 or CHAPS), TM4SF proteins and other than Brij 98 (e.g., Triton X-100 or CHAPS), TM4SF proteins and α3β1 integrin could no longer be detected at the peripheral locations (not shown). These results suggested to us that the linkage between α3β1-tetraspanin protein complexes and the cytoskeleton is weak. To test this hypothesis we studied the solubility of TM 4SF protein complexes in Tris-soluble fraction. Importantly, similar results were obtained regardless of whether MDA-MB-231 cells were plated on laminin-5-containing matrix or collagen I, suggesting that direct ligation of α3β1 integrin by its most avid ligand (laminin-5) does not affect solubility of α3β1-tetraspanin complexes. In contrast, ~30–35% of CD 44 was insoluble under the same experimental conditions. As not all α3β1 and TM 4SF proteins presented on the cell surface are engaged in interactions with each other (Berditchevski et al., 1996), it is theoretically possible that 5–10% of the proteins that remain insoluble in Triton represent in fact these minor fractions of them that form the complexes. Thus, we searched for a detergent that would allow more even distribution of α3β1 and tetraspanins between soluble and insoluble fractions thus permitting to assess directly association of the complexes with the cytoskeleton. Of various detergent tested for this purpose, including CHAPS, Brij 96, Brij 98, and Tween 20, we found that the latter gives the most reproducible results when 20–50% of the total amounts of tetraspanins and α3β1 integrin could be solubilized from the membranes of MDA-MB-231 cells (Fig. 10 B). To assess the solubility of the cell surface fraction of α3β1-TM 4SF protein complexes directly, we pretreated intact MDA-MB-231 cells with a membrane-impermeable chemical cross-linker before solubilization with Tween. Subsequently, we purified the complexes from the Tween-soluble fraction and from the pellet (that was reextracted with Tween containing 0.1% SDS) by immunoprecipitation using a mixture of anti-tetraspanin mAbs, and compared the amounts of α3β1 integrin present in the immunoprecipitates. Importantly, all immunoprecipitation steps were carried out in the presence of 0.1% SDS to dissociate the intracellular complexes, which were inaccessible to the action of the cross-linker. As shown in Fig. 10 C, α3β1 integrin was almost exclusively detected in the Tween-soluble fraction. Together these results provide a strong support for the idea that the linkage of α3β1-TM 4SF protein complexes to the cytoskeleton is weak.

Figure 5. Specific association of tetraspanins with α3β1 integrin in MDA-MB-231 cells, and a role of α3β1/tetraspanin protein complexes in cell adhesion. (A) MDA-MB-231 cells were lysed in the buffer containing 1% Brij 96, and protein complexes were immunoprecipitated from the cellular lysate using specific anti-integrin mAbs (A3-X8 to α3β1, A2-7C6 to α2β1, and A5-PUJ2 to α5β1). Immunoprecipitated proteins were separated in 10% PAGE, and transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane. The membrane was probed with anti-tetraspanin mAbs (C9-BB to CD9, J564 to CD81, C33 to CD82, and 11G1B4 to CD151), or polyclonal sera to β1 integrin subunit. The mAb P3 was used as a negative control. (B) BCECF-AM–labeled MDA-MB-231 cells were preincubated with anti-integrin (15 μg/ml) or a mixture of anti-TM 4SF mAbs (used at 10 μg/ml each) and tested for adhesion to 96-well microtiter plate coated with collagen type I (10 μg/ml) or laminin-5-containing ECM as described in Materials and Methods. The mAbs used were A 3-IVA 5 to α3; A 2-IIE 10 to α2; G 0H 3 to α6; C9-BB, anti-CD9; 6H1, anti-CD63; M 38 and J564, anti-CD81; M 104, anti-CD82, 5C11, anti-CD151. The results are presented as a ratio of relative fluorescence values obtained for cells attached in the presence of mAbs to the value of untreated cells.

The α3β1–TM 4SF Protein Complexes Are Not Directly Linked to Cytoskeleton

During the course of immunofluorescence staining we noticed that in cells that were permeabilized with detergents other than Brij 98 (e.g., Triton X-100 or CHAPS), TM 4SF proteins and α3β1 integrin could no longer be detected at the peripheral locations (not shown). These results suggested to us that the linkage between α3β1-tetraspanin protein complexes and the cytoskeleton is weak. To test this hypothesis we studied the solubility of TM 4SF proteins in Triton X-100. As shown in Fig. 10 A, TM 4SF proteins and α3β1 integrin were detected mostly in Triton-soluble fraction. Importantly, similar results were obtained regardless of whether MDA-MB-231 cells were plated on laminin-5-containing matrix or collagen I, suggesting that direct ligation of α3β1 integrin by its most avid ligand (laminin-5) does not affect solubility of α3β1-tetraspanin complexes. In contrast, ~30–35% of CD 44 was insoluble under the same experimental conditions. As not all α3β1 and TM 4SF proteins presented on the cell surface are engaged in interactions with each other (Berditchevski et al., 1996), it is theoretically possible that 5–10% of the proteins that remain insoluble in Triton represent in fact these minor fractions of them that form the complexes. Thus, we searched for a detergent that would allow more even distribution of α3β1 and tetraspanins between soluble and insoluble fractions thus permitting to assess directly association of the complexes with the cytoskeleton. Of various detergent tested for this purpose, including CHAPS, Brij 96, Brij 98, and Tween 20, we found that the latter gives the most reproducible results when 20–50% of the total amounts of tetraspanins and α3β1 integrin could be solubilized from the membranes of MDA-MB-231 cells (Fig. 10 B). To assess the solubility of the cell surface fraction of α3β1-TM 4SF protein complexes directly, we pretreated intact MDA-MB-231 cells with a membrane-impermeable chemical cross-linker before solubilization with Tween. Subsequently, we purified the complexes from the Tween-soluble fraction and from the pellet (that was reextracted with Tween containing 0.1% SDS) by immunoprecipitation using a mixture of anti-tetraspanin mAbs, and compared the amounts of α3β1 integrin present in the immunoprecipitates. Importantly, all immunoprecipitation steps were carried out in the presence of 0.1% SDS to dissociate the intracellular complexes, which were inaccessible to the action of the cross-linker. As shown in Fig. 10 C, α3β1 integrin was almost exclusively detected in the Tween-soluble fraction. Together these results provide a strong support for the idea that the linkage of α3β1-TM 4SF protein complexes to the cytoskeleton is weak.

A Role of Integrin–TM 4SF Protein Complexes in Signaling

Three approaches were used to study a contribution of TM 4SF proteins in integrin-mediated signaling. First, we examined phosphorylation of focal adhesion kinase in...
duced by adhesion of MDA-MB-231 cells to immobilized anti-integrin and anti-TM4SF mAbs. After 1 h of incubation, serum-starved MDA-MB-231 cells appeared well spread on a control ECM substrate (collagen) and anti-integrin mAbs. In contrast, most cells plated on a mixture of anti-TM4SF or anti-MHC class I mAbs were rounded and developed only short projections. As expected, in cells attached to collagen and anti-integrin mAbs the level of tyrosine phosphorylation of FAK was 8–15 times higher of that in cells kept in suspension (Fig. 11 A, top, lanes 1–4). Surprisingly, tyrosine phosphorylation of FAK in cells attached to a mixture of anti-TM4SF mAbs (lane 5) was re-
duced by 2.5-fold comparing to suspended cells. Importantly, we found that tyrosine phosphorylation of FAK was essentially identical in suspended cells and in cells attached to anti–MHC class I mAb (Fig. 11 A, top, lane 6). In additional experiments we found that the levels of tyrosine phosphorylation of FAK were also lower in the cells plated on the separately immobilized anti-TM4SF mAbs (Fig. 11 B, top) with a stronger effect observed for the anti-CD63, -CD82, and -CD151 Ab. Control experiments confirmed that similar amounts of FAK were immunoprecipitated in each case (Fig. 11, A and B, bottom panels). Second, we investigated the effect of the clustering of tetraspanins with mAbs on tyrosine phosphorylation of FAK in cells plated on ECM ligand. To this end, the MDA-MB-231 cells were plated on a mixed substrate that included collagen and anti-tetraspanin mAbs. To standardize the amounts of the immobilized mAb in each case, the immobilization of the substrates was carried out in two steps. First, the bacteriological dishes were incubated with a solution containing collagen and purified goat anti–mouse IgG Ab (see Material and Methods for details). Second, the anti-TM4SF or anti–MHC class I mAbs were captured on the dishes after an additional incubation at 37°C. As illustrated in Fig. 11 C, all tested anti-TM4SF mAbs (but not a control anti–MHC class I mAb) potentiated collagen-induced tyrosine phosphorylation of FAK.

Finally, we investigated how the ectopic expression of TM4SF proteins affects ECM-stimulated tyrosine phosphorylation of FAK. For these experiments we used a newly developed pair of cell lines HT1080/zeo and HT1080/CD9. When analyzed by flow cytometry, expression levels of β1 integrins on HT1080/zeo and HT1080/CD9 cells were comparable (Table I). Furthermore, HT1080/zeo and HT1080/CD9 cells showed no differences in their ability to...
attach and spread on various ECM substrates, including collagen, fibronectin, and laminins (Fig. 12). Tyrosine phosphorylation of FAK was assessed in cells spread on collagen type I and laminin-1. As seen in Fig. 11A, adhesion of HT1080/zeo cells to collagen caused the increase of FAK tyrosine phosphorylation by ~17-fold (lanes 1 and 3), whereas in HT1080/CD9 cells the increase was somewhat less dramatic (~8-fold, lanes 2 and 4). On the contrary, when attached to laminin-1 induction of tyrosine phosphorylation of FAK was stronger for HT1080/CD9 cells (~5.5- versus ~3.5-fold for HT1080/zeo cells; Fig. 11A, lanes 5 and 6). Time-course experiments with the cells plated on laminin have demonstrated that initial increase in tyrosine phosphorylation of FAK (20 min) was comparable in both cell lines (Fig. 11B, lanes 2 and 6). However, by 2 h the differences became apparent: in HT1080/zeo cells the level of FAK phosphorylation has gradually decreased whereas no significant changes were observed in HT1080/CD9 cells (Fig. 11B, lanes 4 and 8). Taken together, these results imply that signaling through integrin–TM4SF protein complexes may contribute to adhesion-dependent activation of FAK.

**Integrin–TM4SF Protein Complexes Are Involved in Reorganization of Actin Cytoskeleton**

Activation of FAK is known to be dependent on the organization of actin cytoskeleton. Thus, we examined whether observed differences in phosphorylation of FAK in HT1080/zeo and HT1080/CD9 cells were related to the distribution of filamentous actin. No significant differences were found between the cell lines when we quantified the F-actin contents in the cells plated on collagen or laminin (not shown). Surprisingly, immunofluorescence staining with rhodamine-conjugated phalloidin revealed that in ~60–70% of HT1080/zeo cells plated on collagen, actin filaments at the cortical areas were more abundant than in HT1080/CD9 cells (Fig. 14A and B). However, no significant differences were observed when the cells were plated on laminin (Fig. 14C and D). Thus, although tetraspanins do not...
seem to have a role in integrin-induced actin polymerization, their function may be related to adhesion-dependent reorganization of actin filaments in the cortical areas.

Discussion

Migrating cells interact with the extracellular matrix using various types of adhesion structures, including initial adhesion contacts that the cell makes at the periphery of extending protrusions, point contacts, focal complexes, and focal adhesions. Focal complexes and focal adhesions, that represent anchoring sites for actin filaments, confer strong cell–ECM interactions and, therefore, may play an important role in guiding cellular protrusions and generating traction forces. On the other hand, adhesive interactions that take place at the edge of cellular extensions are more dynamic: by using real-time video microscopy it was observed that the frontal edge of lamellipodial protrusions and tips of filopodia frequently undergo a few cycles of detachment and reattachment before the protrusions are firmly fixed on the substrate (Nobes and Hall, 1995; Rabinovitz and Mercurio, 1997). Based on the results presented in this study we propose that TM4SF proteins are structural components of these dynamic adhesion complexes. First, TM4SF proteins are specifically clustered with α3β1 integrins at the most distal parts of lamellipodial and filopodial extensions, an appropriate location for proteins that are involved in attachment-detachment cycles. Second, the link between α3β1-TM4SF protein complexes and cytoskeleton appears to be weak, suggesting that adhesive interactions mediated by the complexes are

Table 1. Expression of Integrins in HT1080/ZEO and HT1080/CD9 Cells

|         | HT1080/ZEO | HT1080/CD9 |
|---------|------------|------------|
| Neg. control | 0.37       | 0.32       |
| CD9     | 0.51       | 45.8       |
| α3β1    | 50.0       | 48.1       |
| α2β1    | 42.6       | 43.4       |
| α6β1    | 38.5       | 37.8       |

Cells were stained using mAbs to CD9 (C9-BB) and α3- (A3-X8), α2- (A2-7C6), and α6 (A6-ELE) subunits. mAb P3 was used as a negative control. Staining was analyzed by FACScan®. The numbers are mean of fluorescent intensity values.
not constrained by the cytoskeletal proteins. Third, depending on receptor occupancy, integrin–TM4SF protein complexes may deliver functionally opposite signals, causing rapid reorganization of actin cytoskeleton at the periphery of cellular extensions, thus supporting dynamic interactions between the protrusions and a substrate.

Most cells simultaneously express more than one member of TM4SF and at least one tetraspanin-associated integrin (Hemler et al., 1996, Maecker et al., 1997) which together form a whole variety of integrin–TM4SF and TM4SF–TM4SF protein complexes on the plasma membrane. It has been suggested that these complexes may be linked to one another, forming a “tetraspan web” on the cell surface, and tetraspanins may have overlapping functions within this structural entity (Lagaudriere-Gesbert et al., 1997). On the other hand, recent data has clearly demonstrated that by changing the composition of the web it is possible to modulate its functional properties (Ikeyama et al., 1993; Dong et al., 1995; Shaw et al., 1995). Given this complexity it is important to understand what might be the functional contributions of each of the individual integrin-TM4SF associations in the adhesion-related phenomena.

As the initial step to addressing this question we compared cellular distribution of different tetraspanins. The results presented in this study together with earlier data indicate that in different cell types various tetraspanins are clustered into adhesion complexes at the cell–ECM attachment sites. Notably, by carrying out detailed microscopic analysis, we demonstrated that TM4SF proteins are colocalized within punctate adhesion structures (particularly at the cell periphery), and, therefore, may indeed function as a network in adhesion-related events. This idea is further supported by the fact that mAbs to various tetraspanins induced similar modulatory effects when a signaling pathway leading to tyrosine phosphorylation of FAK was studied. It should be noted, however, that within more centrally located adhesion structures individual tetraspanins could be separated from one another, raising the possibility that these incomplete adhesion complexes may contribute to integrin signaling as separate functional units.

One of the main objectives of this study was to relate TM4SF-containing adhesion complexes to other types of adhesion structures (e.g., focal contacts/focal adhesions,
focal complexes, and point contacts). In the previous report, we have found that CD63 and CD81 are clustered in punctate adhesion structures that are morphologically distinct from focal contacts (Berditchevski et al., 1997b). Moreover, the specific location of these adhesion structures at the cell periphery led us to suggest that tetraspanins are specific structural components of focal complexes. Indeed, our current findings confirmed that TM4SF proteins could not be detected in focal adhesions even when cells were treated with fetal calf serum or sphingosin monophosphate, two factors that promote their assembly. TM4SF proteins were also excluded from the vinculin-containing dot-like adhesion structures that resemble focal complexes. Similarly, we did not observe a colocalization of tetraspanins with paxillin and VASP, two proteins that are also associated with focal complexes and focal adhesions (not shown). On the other hand, some tetraspanin adhesion structures contained talin (Fig. 4) and FA K (Berditchevski, F., manuscript in preparation). As both talin and FA K could be recruited into assembling adhesion complexes before vinculin and paxillin (DePasquale and Izzard, 1991; Miyamoto et al., 1995), we suggest that tetraspanins are present only in initial (talin-/FA K-negative) and early (talin-/FA K-positive) focal complexes, and dissociate from the complexes as other proteins (e.g., vinculin, paxillin, and VASP) join them. Alternatively, tetraspanin-containing complexes may represent a new class of adhesive structures that share some of their structural components with focal complexes. Finally, our data argue against the idea of the integrin/TM4SF adhesion complexes being equivalent to point contacts as only a few of them contain adaptin, an important component of clathrin coated structures that are associated with this type of adhesive contacts (Nermut et al., 1991; Tawil et al., 1993). Based on their cellular distribution and structural composition we propose that integrin/tetraspanin protein com-
plexes do not regulate the attachment strength of immobile cells. Indeed, both antibody blocking experiments and comparative adhesion study using cell transfectants support this notion. Instead, integrin–tetraspanin protein complexes may regulate organization of cortical cytoskeleton at the peripheral attachment sites during the extension of lamellipodial and filopodial protrusions, thus affecting cell migration (also see below).

The cytoskeleton (both actin filaments and microtubules) appears to play an important role in the cellular distribution of the integrin–TM4SF protein complexes. One possibility is that the actin-based cell cortex is acting as a physical barrier to endocytosis of the complexes, a process that, in turn, may be dependent on the microtubular cytoskeleton. This would explain the apparent incompatibility of tetraspanins with focal adhesions: destabilization of actin filaments at the cell cortex that occurs while cell extends its protrusions will make integrin–TM4SF protein complexes more susceptible to internalization and therefore preclude their occurrence in focal adhesions. In this regard, colocalization of tetraspanins with MARCKS, a protein that is thought to promote actin dynamics at the cell periphery during cell spreading (Wiederkehr et al., 1997), may be a key factor that provides a functional link between integrin–TM4SF protein complexes and actin cytoskeleton, and, ultimately, regulates complex turnover on the cell surface.

Our results clearly demonstrate direct involvement of TM4SF protein complexes in integrin-dependent signaling. Tyrosine phosphorylation of FAK is a well established hallmark of outside-in integrin signaling that depends on the rearrangement of the actin cytoskeleton. Given the fact that the level of FAK tyrosine phosphorylation can be affected by tetraspanins (either via antibody clustering or by comparing adhesion-dependent responses using cell transfectants), we propose that TM4SF proteins are signaling modulators of integrin-mediated reorganization of the cortical actin cytoskeleton. In principal, ligated integrins can affect the actin cytoskeleton in two ways. First, certain cytoskeletal proteins are constitutively associated with integrin cytoplasmic tails, thus providing docking sites for the attachment of actin filaments. In this regard, the accumulation of talin and MARCKS (both actin-binding proteins) within integrin–TM4SF adhesion complexes may be sufficient for tetraspanins to sterically transmit their modulatory effect to the actin cytoskeleton. A though possible, the idea that integrin–TM4SF protein complexes play only a mechano-scaffolding role in the spatial organization of actin filaments is somewhat confronting the fact that a link between the complexes and the cytoskeleton is weak. On the other hand, the signaling scenario proposes that integrins may affect actin cytoskeleton by triggering distinct signaling pathways (for example, through activation of protein tyrosine phosphatases (Miyamoto et al., 1995; Parsons and Parsons 1997; Shen et al., 1998; Tamura et al., 1998). Thus, it will be important to establish which of these signaling cascades is regulated by TM4SF proteins. In this regard, the fact that ectopic expression of CD9 in HT1080 cells could alter the kinetic of FAK dephosphorylation points to a possible connection between tetraspanins and activation of protein tyrosine phosphatase(s).

Whether influenced by TM4SF proteins directly (through
the actin cytoskeleton rearrangement) or indirectly (via activation of other signaling pathways), the level of tyrosine phosphorylation of FAK is a critical factor that determines a molecular architecture of adhesion complexes, their signaling capacities, and, ultimately, their role in cell migration (Guan, 1997). Thus, our data demonstrating involvement of tetraspanins in this process provide an important insight into the mechanisms that may link the function of TM 4SF proteins with cell motility.
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