Laser-induced acceleration of Helium ions from unpolarized gas jets
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Abstract
In order to develop a laser-driven spin-polarized $^3$He-ion beam source available for nuclear-physics experiments as well as for the investigation of polarized nuclear fusion, several challenges have to be overcome. Apart from the provision of a properly polarized $^3$He gas-jet target, one of the biggest milestones is the demonstration of the general feasibility of laser-induced ion acceleration out of gas-jet targets. Of particular importance is the knowledge about the main ion-emission angles as well as the achievable ion-energy spectra (dependent on the optimal set of laser and target parameters). We report on the results of such a feasibility study performed at PHELIX, GSI Darmstadt. Both $^3$He- and $^4$He-gas jets ($n_{\text{gas}} \sim 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-3}$) were illuminated with high-intensity laser pulses, $I_0 \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{19} \text{ W cm}^{-2})$. The main ion-emission angles could be identified ($\pm 90^\circ$ with respect to the laser-propagation direction) and the ion-energy spectra for all ion species could be extracted: for the optimal laser and target parameters, the high-energy cut-offs for $^4$He $^{2+;1+}$ ions were 4.65 MeV (with a normalized energy uncertainty of $\Delta E/E = 0.033$) and 3.27 MeV ($\Delta E/E = 0.055$), respectively.
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1. Introduction

Helium-3, especially in a nuclear-polarized state, is of particular importance for fundamental research. The nuclear spin is basically carried by the single neutron in the nucleus, since the proton spins are oriented anti-parallel. That is why polarized helium-3 can be used [1], for example, as a polarized neutron target for the investigation of the neutron structure by scattering with polarized electrons [2]. For particle physics the use of polarized $^3$He-ion beams in storage rings would be advantageous.

Helium-3 gas can be polarized with long lifetimes at ambient room temperature and low magnetic holding fields ($B \sim \mathcal{O}(1 \ldots 10 \text{ mT})$). However, realizing an intense spin-polarized $^3$He-ion source with high polarization degrees is extremely challenging. Up to now, only a few approaches have been made [3–5], but the desired particle currents or an adequate beam polarization could not be realized so far. At Brookhaven National Lab’s Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) attempts are now being made to develop a spin-polarized helium-3 ion-beam source [6].

Compared with conventional acceleration technology, laser-driven particle acceleration is an ever more promising field of research as the achievable laser intensities have been increased continuously during the past decades. Therefore, new applications for laser and also particle physics are opened. Regarding spin carriers such as protons, deuterons or helium-3 ions, for instance, an open question in this context is the in-plane polarization of the accelerated particles. In general, two scenarios are possible: either the magnetic fields of the incoming laser beam or the formed plasma will manipulate the spin orientation of the particles, or, thanks to the short time scales of the laser-plasma interaction, the spins are sufficiently inert that the laser pulse has no influence on the spin alignment of a prepolarized target. The first scenario (i.e. polarization creation by laser-particle interactions) has already been experimentally investigated for protons with conventional foil targets by nuclear-polarized $^3$He, for instance, an open question in this context is the in-plane polarization of the accelerated particles. Since the 1990s, ion-acceleration experiments employing gaseous targets, to be more precise $^3$He gas-jet targets, have been conducted for various laser and target parameters [12–18]. Here, the ion-acceleration mechanisms have been described regarding the specific regimes. The first experiments at the VULCAN laser facility (50 and 180 J on target, pulse durations between 0.5 and 0.9 ps, wavelength of 1.064 μm, focus intensities of $\sim 1 \times 10^{20}$ W cm$^{-2}$, maximal plasma densities of $5 \times 10^{19}$ to $1 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-3}$) yielded helium-ion energies from $\sim 4$ MeV up to $\sim 13$ MeV in the transverse direction (ion-emission angles between 90° and 100°). The ion-energy spectra have locally been obtained with the help of ion spectrometers which had been positioned at specific angles with respect to the laser-propagation direction. The ion-acceleration mechanism in the predominant direction could be explained with ponderomotive expulsion of plasma, charge separation and Coulomb explosion, which can be enhanced by collisionless shock acceleration. In 2006, for the first time Willingale et al discovered energetic helium ions (45 MeV) in forward direction. The experiments were also conducted at the Vulcan laser (PW class: 340 J on target, pulse duration of 1.0 ps, wavelength of 1.064 μm, focus intensities of $\sim 5 \times 10^{20}$ W cm$^{-2}$, maximal plasma densities of $4 \times 10^{19}$ cm$^{-3}$). The main ion-acceleration mechanism for this set of laser and target parameters was explained to be...
TNSA-like (target normal sheath acceleration). More recently in 2019, Puyuelo-Valdes et al. conducted experiments at the PICO2000 facility at LULI (pulse duration of 1 ps, wavelength of 1.053 μm, focus intensity of 4 × 10^{19} W cm^{-2}, maximal near-critical plasma density of 1.6 × 10^{21} cm^{-3}, i.e. 1000 bar gas pressure). With the help of ion spectrometers at specific angles (0°, 30°, 60°, 90° with respect to the laser axis) the ion-energy spectra could be extracted: fully ionized helium could be detected at 90° and 60° (both 16 MeV) and even at 0° (8 MeV).

The experimental studies for measuring the spin-polarization degree of laser-accelerated 3He^{2+} ions from a pre-polarized 3He gas-jet target are planned to be performed at the PHELIX laser facility at GSI Darmstadt. Up to now, there has been no experimental data been obtained applying the PHELIX laser on helium-gas jets. Of course, the *sine qua non* of a polarization measurement of laser-accelerated 3He^{2+} ions at PHELIX is a successfully demonstrated laser-driven ion acceleration out of a helium gas-jet target: experimentally and computationally obtained data on helium-ion energies and the main ion-emission angles is needed for the optimization of the 3He polarimetry (preliminary results already presented at the PSTP conference [19]). With the experimental data presented in the framework of this publication, a polarization measurement of 3He^{2+} ions from a pre-polarized target can be conducted.

### 2. Experimental details

The measurements were carried out at the PHELIX laser facility at GSI Darmstadt. PHELIX is a flashlamp-pumped neodymium-doped glass (Nd:glass) laser system which delivers peak powers of up to 0.5 PW. During the beamtime, the laser pulse (beam energy after compression \( E_l = (40 \ldots 120) \text{ J} \), pulse duration \( \tau = (0.3 \ldots 3.2) \text{ ps} \), wavelength \( \lambda_l = 1.053 \mu m \)) was focused using a 90° off-axis parabolic mirror (focal length of 1500 mm, \( f \)-number of 6.8). According to the PHELIX specs, the unfocused laser beam has an elliptical shape (axes: 180 and 250 mm) and by using an 1500 mm OAP typical focus dimensions of \((\pi/4)15 \times 20 \mu m^2\) can be adjusted. In the experimental beamtime, before each laser shot, the focus was aligned to a minimal spot size of about \((\pi/4)11 \times 15 \mu m^2\) (FWHM), leading to a focus intensity of the order of \(10^{19} \text{ W cm}^{-2}\). The \( a_0 \) parameter can be calculated to \( a_0 = (7.321 \times 10^{-11} \text{ s}^{-1} \times \lambda_l^2 \times h \mu m^{-1} \approx 2.85 \).

Several ion diagnostics were aligned in order to gain information about the angular distribution of the laser-accelerated helium ions, their energies as well as their ionization stages. With the help of an RCF (radio chromic film) wrap-around detector close to the target, the EPOCH [20] predictions regarding the angular ion distribution were confirmed. In order to investigate the acceleration process quantitatively, three Thomson Parabola Spectrometers (TPS) were armed with image plate detectors (IPs). The TPSs were mounted at three specific angles relative to the laser axis. Thus, regarding the laser-propagation direction, the TPS battery covered the right side of the interaction zone (i.e. negative angles). On the other side as well as directly in forward direction, CR-39 SSNTDs (solid state nuclear track detectors) were positioned around the target in order to additionally detect the undeflected ion signal.

#### 2.1. Gas-jet target

A fast-opening solenoid valve, of the HANNIFIN SERIES 9, was used as gas source for the laser-target interaction. The total valve-opening time of 7 ms was set with the IOTA ONE PULSE DRIVER controller box with a response time of 80 \( \mu m \) relative to the external laser-trigger signal. The shape of the gas jet, i.e., the particle-density distribution, is mainly determined by the geometry of the flanged nozzle, while the maximal initial neutral gas density is proportional to the applied backing pressure. In order to generate a broad plateau-like density distribution with sharp density gradients, super-sonic de Laval nozzles have been used [21, 22]. This nozzle was made of brass (CuZn alloy Ms58/Ms63). Its minimal diameter, i.e. the nozzle throat, was 0.5 mm and the gas outlet, i.e. the exit diameter, was 1 mm which corresponds to an opening angle of 15.26° and leads to a Mach number of \( M_{\text{spec}} = 3.44 \). Since the particle density within the gas jet decreases with increasing height above the nozzle edge, it is important to align the nozzle as close as possible to the laser focus without clipping the beam or endangering the nozzle material (the position of the nozzle with respect to the laser pulse can be regarded in figure 2). For this purpose, cone-shaped cut-outs (pockets) were milled into the nozzle flange along the laser axis. A typical safety distance between the nozzle edge and the laser focus is \( \approx 500 \mu m \). During the experiments, it became obvious that the main parts of the attached equipment had not been chosen wisely for high-power laser interaction: the brass nozzle as well as the plugged valve’s teflon poppet (to seal the valve when not operated) suffered from the arising plasma temperatures—especially, when applying laser energies in the range of \((60 \ldots 120) \text{ J} \) (after compression). Next to this obvious damage, in this case a parasitic proton signal could also be observed in the attached TPS. Therefore, the optimal laser energies for this beamtime were found to be in the range of \((40 \ldots 60) \text{ J} \). For future experiments, de Laval nozzles made of titanium shall provide a better temperature resistance.

In order to gain knowledge about the temporal response of the valve (i.e. the opening and closing behavior) as well as about the particle-density distributions within the gas jet for different backing pressures, a time-resolved interferometrical characterization was conducted before the experimental beamtime (Mach–Zehnder interferometer [22, 23]: widened and cleaned He–-Ne-laser beam with \( \lambda_{\text{HeNe}} = 632.8 \text{ nm} \), SPEEDCAM MEGAVIS CCD camera, spatial resolution of \( s_{\text{res}} = 1.079 \mu m \text{ pixel}^{-1} \), 50:50 non-polarizing beam-splitter cubes for generating two identical beamlets). The setup was aligned such that one laser beamlet propagated through vacuum (where the gas-jet source was placed) while the other one propagated through air. Afterwards, both beamlets superposed and were directed to the CCD camera. The camera recorded the magnified region around the de Laval
nozzle within the horizontally aligned interference pattern, i.e.
the fringes. The valve’s opening time was set to 10 ms. If the
valve is opened and a gas jet is built up, local changes in
particle density, i.e. a varying refractive index, cause local
fringe displacements which are due to a given phase shift. The
CCD camera had a fixed side view on the nozzle region.
Therefore, only the projection of the accumulated phase shift
along the laser-propagation direction could be recorded. Since
the phase is proportional to the integral of the refractive index
$n$ along the optical propagation length $L$ and assuming a
cylindrically symmetrical gas jet, the particle-density distri-
bution or, to be more precise, the radial refraction-index
distribution within the gas jet can be deduced from the
extracted 2D phase-shift data. Such an Abel inversion was
performed with self-written MATLAB codes. For each mea-
surement, a movie was recorded which was split into single
video frames (each 0.83 ms one frame). Together with a
reference image (no gas flow, i.e., no fringe shift) the single
frames (carrying the gas information, i.e. a given fringe shift)
served as input for the data-analysis code. The particle-den-
sity distribution within the gas-jet region could be plotted as a
2D pseudo color plot out of which lineouts, e.g. for a desired
height above the nozzle exit, could be extracted. Figure 1
demonstrates such a lineout at a height of 500 μm above the
nozzle edge and for a backing pressure of $p_0 = 26$ bar. The
measured density profile can be described by a superposition
of two 6th-order superGaussian fit functions: $n_{26\text{bar}}(x) = \exp \left(-\frac{x}{502.03 \mu m}\right)^6 + \exp \left(-\frac{x}{800 \mu m}\right)^6 \text{cm}^{-3}$. The symmetry axis at $x = 0 \mu m$ is
referred to as the central gas-jet axis. For such a pressure regime,
the maximal neutral particle density around the gas-jet center
$n_{26\text{bar}}$ is given with $\sim 5.5 \times 10^{19} \text{cm}^{-3}$. During the PHELIX
beamtime, different backing pressures were applied: in case of
$^4$He gas, the pressures were 30 bar and 15 bar, and, in case of
unpolarized $^3$He gas, a maximal backing pressure of 8 bar was
available. The maximal particle densities for these pressure
regimes in the focus height were $6 \times 10^{19} \text{cm}^{-3} \approx 0.06 n_c$, $3.25 \times 10^{19} \text{cm}^{-3} \approx 0.03 n_c$, and $1.67 \times 10^{19} \text{cm}^{-3} \approx 0.02 n_c$, respectively. Here, $n_c = 1.0 \times 10^{21} \text{cm}^{-3}$ is the critical density
for PHELIX.

2.2. Angular ion distribution

2.2.1. Setup. An RCF wrap-around detector was used in
order to cover a wide angular range around the plasma target.
The self-made wrap-around holder, shown in figure 2, was
built of aluminum. Rolled endwalls were welded on a ground
plate with circular cross-section area (diameter of 104 mm). In
order not to clip the focusing/diverging laser beam, an
entrance/exit gap was given between the endwalls: $s_{\text{entr}} = 26.2 \text{mm}$ (entrance), and $s_{\text{exit}} = 20 \text{mm}$ (exit). Hence, at each side an angular range of $11.1^\circ - 165.4^\circ$ relative to the
laser axis could be covered by the RCFs. The RCF wrap-
around holder could be mounted directly on the valve holder
without disassembling the setup. This is important since the
previously defined nozzle position had not to be lost in any
case. At the right side with respect to the laser axis, a bore
hole in the well wall allowed to simultaneously operate the
TPS at $-90^\circ$ during the wrap-around RCF measurements.

These RCFs do not possess any protective layer on top of the
active radiation-sensitive medium which would filter out
incoming helium ions. The Al shielding is important in order
to block side-scattered laser light and to protect the RCFs
from arising plasma temperatures. According to SRIM [24],
5.09 μm corresponds to the range of 1.6 MeV $^4$He$^{2+}$+ ions in
aluminum. Thus, ions with an energy $>1.6$ MeV could reach
the RCF’s active layer. For the sake of convenience, the RCF
detectors were fixed with paper-clips at the endwalls.

2.2.2. Results. The RCF wrap-around measurements were
conducted for the first laser shots in order to experimentally
obtain the main ion-emission angles and to compare them to

Figure 1. Particle-density profile in a height of 500 μm above the
nozzle exit ($p_0 = 26$ bar): experimental data (blue dots) and 6th-
order superGaussian fit function (red curve).

Figure 2. RCF wrap around holder for recording the angular ion
distribution as well as for simultaneously measuring the ion-energy
spectra at $-90^\circ$.
the EPOCH-simulation data being described in section 3 (laser-beam energy after compression 43.8 J, pulse duration 3.2 ps, which leads to a focus intensity of \( I_L = 2.65 \times 10^{18} \text{ W cm}^{-2} \)). The original yellow RCF-dye color turns green when exposing the RCF to ionizing radiation. Due to the protective Al shielding, only x-rays and laser-accelerated He ions with energies > 1.6 MeV could reach the active RCF layer, but no scattered laser light. Hence, the color change is due to ions and x-rays. It becomes obvious that a nearly homogeneous color change is present (exposure to x-rays, background (BG) signal) which is interspersed with more intense regions (laser-accelerated \(^{4}\text{He}^{1+,2+}\) ions). A “horizontal” lineout at the height of the laser focus provides the angular ion distribution in the laser-target interaction plane: see figure 3. Here, the BG-corrected RCF data is plotted against the angle relative to the laser-propagation direction (the insert in the top right corner illustrates the RCF scan in pseudo colors from the left side with respect to the laser direction). A sharp peak in ion signal (both ion species) around \( \pm 90^\circ \) with respect to the laser-propagation direction with a FWHM of 23° is given. This result is in line with the EPOCH-simulated data. Furthermore, both in forward and in backward direction intense ion signals could be detected in the angular ranges of 23.4° \( \leq \varphi \leq 42.9° \) and 143.4° \( \leq \varphi \leq 165.4° \), respectively. In both cases, the helium ions got a vertical momentum component, i.e. ion signals could be detected above the focal plane. Moving from both RCF boundaries to the RCF center, the ion distribution narrows with increasing height. In order to investigate the ion distribution also in larger heights above the laser-target interaction region, a second RCF wrap-around measurement was conducted with GAFCHROMIC HD-V2 RCFs with a height of 100 mm (same length as before). The basic shape of the angular distribution was comparable to the prior data. It became obvious, that with increasing height the narrowing in ion signal continues but peters out, i.e. the RCFs were exposed to less dose. Either the number of laser-accelerated \(^{4}\text{He}^{1+,2+}\) ions decreases for these angular ranges, or the particle energies do (and since the 5 \( \mu \text{m} \) Al shielding filters low-energy ions, less dose will be absorbed by the RCF). The occurrence of negative gray scale values is due to the BG subtraction.

Due to the fact that it is not possible to distinguish between singly and fully-ionized helium ions, this measurement served as qualitative investigation of the predominant ion-acceleration directions in the focal plane. The ion species as well as their energies cannot be extracted from the raw data. Therefore, attendant TPS measurements were conducted around \(-90^\circ\) relative to the laser direction (see section 2.3). Here, the TPSs were aligned in the height of the laser focus.

2.3. Ion-energy spectra

2.3.1. Setup. Within the PHELIX experiment, a modified TPS was used which is based on a novel design [25, 26]. In contrast to the conventional concept, a wedge-shaped capacitor replaces the parallel electric plates. By applying HV to the modified capacitor, a gradient electric field is built up which results in an increased particle deflection. Furthermore, for the sake of a compact design, the wedge-shaped capacitor is inserted into the magnetic field.

Following the experimental results from the angular ion measurements, the three TPSs were mounted at \(-80^\circ\), \(-90^\circ\), and \(-100^\circ\). In the further course of this paper, the TPSs are denoted as follows: TPS-80, TPS-90, and TPS-100, respectively. The pinhole diameters (entrance into each TPS) were chosen according to the angular distribution of the accelerated ions: TPS-90 was equipped with a 200 \( \mu \text{m} \) pinhole (\( \approx 170 \text{ nsr} \)), while TPS-80 and TPS-100 with less signal compared to the center TPS got a 350 \( \mu \text{m} \) one (\( \approx 356 \text{ nsr} \) and \( \approx 370 \text{ nsr} \), respectively). A HV of 3 kV was applied to the capacitor plates and a maximal magnetic field of 0.5 T was given.

The TPS measurements for extracting the energy spectra for both helium-ion species were performed with AGFA MD4.0 IPs. These IPs are not equipped with any protective layer on top of the sensitive detector surface. Such a layer would block incoming helium ions. Due to the lack of a proper \(^{4}\text{He}\)-ion source as well as slitted CR-39 detector plates, the IPs could not be calibrated with \(^{3,4}\text{He}^{1+,2+}\) ions. Therefore, the obtained IP signals did not yield any credible quantitative information about the ion number, but the achieved ion energies could be determined for various laser-target parameters. The ion-energy spectra, i.e. the normalized signal intensity (per MeV and sr, \( \log_{10}\)-scale) against the ion energy (in MeV, \( \text{lin.-scale}\) ), could be extracted from the IP scans. A TPS-analysis MATLAB code was used for this purpose. Here, the zero order had to be defined manually (oversaturated single pixels), while the ion-species properties (charge, mass) as well as the field parameters (length, strength) had to be set. According to these input parameters, the code simulates the corresponding particle parabola along which the image data, i.e. the gray scale values, are extracted, and henceforward, related to the particle energies. Furthermore, the BG values are instantly subtracted. In addition, the obtained ion energies were also compared to CST( PARTICLE STUDIO)-simulated data regarding the energy-deflection dependencies. For each TPS and each helium-ion species an energy-deflection fit function
\( \mathcal{E}(y_{\text{TPS}}) = av_{\text{TPS}}^{-2} + b \) (\( a, b = \text{const} \), \( a \) in keV m\(^2\), \( b \) in keV) could be extracted. In case of TPS-90, the parameters are \( a = 2.317 \text{ keV} \text{ m}^2 \) and \( b = 24.57 \text{ keV} \). With the help of the CST-simulated energy-deflection fit parameters, any desired vertical deflection \( y_{\text{TPS}} \) (on the ion parabolas from the IP raw images) of a specific helium-ion species in a particular TPS directly could be transformed into the corresponding ion energy. The normalized uncertainty in energy \( |\Delta \mathcal{E}/\mathcal{E}| \), i.e. the energy resolution of a specific TPS, is determined by the size of the TPS pinhole \( d_{\text{ap}} \) at an adjusted distance \( l_{\text{c}} \) from the laser-target interaction region. Due to the ion ‘imaging’, a broadening in width of the TPSs, \( \delta_{\text{ip}} \), on the detector is caused, which can be calculated via \( \delta_{\text{ip}} = d_{\text{ap}}(l_{\text{c}} + l_{\text{ip}})^{-1} \), where \( l_{\text{ip}} \) is the distance between the pinhole and the detector plane inside the TPS [27, 28]. Now, the intrinsic spectrometer resolution is given by \( |\Delta \mathcal{E}/\mathcal{E}| = (d\mathcal{E}/dy_{\text{TPS}})\delta_{\text{ip}}\mathcal{E}^{-1} \) which leads to \( |\Delta \mathcal{E}/\mathcal{E}| = 2\delta_{\text{ip}}y_{\text{TPS}}^{-1} \propto \sqrt{\mathcal{E}} \) [29].

2.3.2. Results. Simultaneously to the RCF wrap-around measurement during the first laser shot \((\mathcal{E}_L \approx 44 \text{ J}, l_{\text{c}} = 3.2 \text{ ps}, n_{\text{max}} = 0.66 n_{\text{e}})\), TPS-90 was armed with an AGFA MD4.0 IP detector. 23 min after exposure, the IP was scanned. Figure 4(c) illustrates the IP scan in pseudo colors (originally, the 16 bit tif-files were saved in gray scale values). One pixel corresponds to 25 \( \mu \text{m} \). The \( x \) and \( y \) axes, i.e. the \( E \)- and \( B \)-deflection direction, were added to the plot. The right side of the image actually is the top side of the IP within the TPS. Two sharp TPs were recorded. Directly after having extracted the scanned data, the signal in the \( x_{\text{TPS}} \) and \( y_{\text{TPS}} \)-direction were roughly analyzed and cross-checked with the CST-simulated data for \( ^4\text{He}^{+}, ^2\text{He}^{+} \) ions in order to verify the experimental outcome regarding the accelerated ion species. Additional information: The BG level is increased for \( y_{\text{TPS}} \gtrsim 4.5 \text{ cm} \) (top side of the IP) so that the low-energy branch of the ion TPs smear. The high BG signal was due to an insufficient lead shielding of the TPS housing at the beginning of the experimental beamtime. Therefore, the thickness of the attached lead sheath was doubled from 2 mm to 4 mm so that the disturbing BG signal could be suppressed. In figure 4(a) the corresponding \( ^4\text{He}^{+}, ^2\text{He}^{+} \) ion-energy spectra are illustrated. The normalized gray scale values from the TPs are plotted against the ion energies. It has to be considered that in case of \( ^4\text{He}^{+} \) the minimal energies are not plotted due to the aforementioned BG issue. Therefore, energies between 0.24 and 0.5 MeV had to be cut. In case of fully-ionized He, the signal was much stronger, and thus, it was distinguishable from the BG signal. Taking into account that the gray scale values depend on the ion dose (particle number and ion energy), then roughly speaking a larger gray scale value corresponds to a larger particle number at a constant energy. The normalized energy uncertainties for a specific TP (Thomson parabola) broadening (in case of TPS-90: \( \delta_{\text{ip}} = (360 \pm 50) \mu \text{m} \approx 365 \mu \text{m} \)) are exemplified in figure 4(b). The high-energy cut-offs are given with \( \mathcal{E}_{\text{cut-off}} = 4.65 \text{ MeV} \) for \( ^4\text{He}^{+}, ^2\text{He}^{+} \) \((\Delta \mathcal{E}/\mathcal{E} = 0.033)\) and \( \mathcal{E}_{\text{cut-off}} = 3.27 \text{ MeV} \) for \( ^4\text{He}^{+}, ^4\text{He}^{+} \) \((\Delta \mathcal{E}/\mathcal{E} = 0.055)\).

For the subsequent laser shots, the RCF wrap-around holder was removed and the ion-energy spectra were obtained with TPS-80 to TPS-100. The highest signals regarding signal intensity and ion energy were recorded in TPS-90 which is in good agreement with the RCF measurements for the angular ion distribution. Both in TPS-80 and TPS-100, the signal intensities as well as the achieved ion energies were lower compared to the transversal acceleration direction. Furthermore, it could be measured that the signal intensity in TPS-80 was lower than in TPS-100 (which is in good agreement to the experimental data presented in [12]). In the further course of this paper, the focus is set on the main ion-emission angle.

During the PHELIX beamtime, laser energies between ~40 and 120 J were available. Within the first laser shots, the optimal laser parameters for the whole experiment could be determined. Of course, the maximal laser energy was applied which led to a high ion dose on the TPS IPs (high signal intensity and an ion energy of ~11 MeV). But, accelerating helium ions to maximal ion energies was not the goal in this feasibility study. Furthermore, laser energies between 60 and 120 J came along with a couple of disadvantages: on the one hand, the BG level regarding disturbing x-rays increased, followed by parasitic proton signals from impurities on the nozzle surface in the TPS spectra (the nozzle was cleaned with acetone beforehand—it is recommended to use isopropanol instead). On the other hand, the arising plasma temperatures caused dramatic material damage in the nozzle throat. It turned out that brass is not a suitable nozzle material for higher laser-energy shots. Instead of brass, titanium is a more temperature-resistant processible material. In the laser-energy range of 40–60 J, these problems did not occur. Instead, stable and clean IP signals could be extracted and the helium-ion energies were in the right range regarding future spin-polarization measurements of laser-accelerated \(^4\text{He}^{+} \) ions from a pre-polarized \(^4\text{He}^{+} \) gas-jet target. Thus, the further laser shots were conducted with these lower laser energies. Figure 5 illustrates \(^4\text{He}^{+}, ^2\text{He}^{+} \) ion-energy spectra for the maximal available laser-energy (119 J) as well as for laser energies of about 40 J. The neutral particle density within the gas jet was constant (0.06 \( n_{\text{e}} \)). In case of fully-ionized helium, the high-energy cut-off is 10.9 MeV, i.e. a factor of about 3 times \((\mathcal{E}_{\text{cut-off}} = 3.6 \text{ MeV for } \mathcal{E}_L = 38 \text{ J})\) and 2.3 times \((\mathcal{E}_{\text{cut-off}} = 4.6 \text{ MeV for } \mathcal{E}_L = 44 \text{ J})\) larger than in the lower laser-energy regime. For singly-ionized helium, the high-energy cut-offs are comparable to each other. Only the signal intensity is about one order of magnitude enlarged in case of the high-energy laser shot. It is obvious, that independently from the laser and density parameters (0.06 or 0.03 \( n_{\text{e}} \)) the \(^4\text{He}^{+} \) spectra were shaped in a similar vein: thermal spectrum with high-energy cut-offs of ~2–3 MeV. In former publications, such a result (and even the presence of \(^4\text{He}^{+} \) ions) was explained with the assumption that fully-ionized helium recombines during the propagation through the gas-jet regions, loses energy due to collisions and can pick up an electron from neutral helium atoms [12, 14]. Furthermore—regarding the high laser-intensities—a nearly fully-ionized plasma would be expected. In a perfect environment this argument is true. But, regarding the ion energies as well as the gas-jet densities, the acceleration of singly-ionized atoms cannot be explained only with recombination processes.
Additionally, also the contrast ratio of the attached laser pulse as well as its focusing into the gas-jet region has to be considered. Single ionization caused by the pre-pulse as well as taking place in the outer (lower-)intensity regions are a stronger explanation for the $\text{He}^{+}$-ion yield. The high-energy data are in good agreement to the experimental data in [14].

The ion-energy spectra (fully-ionized $^{4,3}\text{He}$) for different maximal particle densities in the gas-jet target as well as different laser parameters are plotted in figure 6. In total, three different particle densities were applied: 0.06 $n_c$ and 0.03 $n_c$ for $^{4}\text{He}$, and 0.02 $n_c$ for $^{3}\text{He}$. The attached laser parameters vary for each shot ($\xi_L \sim (40 \ldots 60) J$, $\tau_L = (0.3 \ldots 3.2)$ ps). The largest high-energy cut-off and highest normalized signal intensity was achieved for the highest pulse duration of 3.2 ps in combination with the largest target density of 0.06 $n_c$. On the other hand, the ion fluxes are drastically reduced at the lowest target density of 0.02 $n_c$.

When comparing the ion-energy spectra in figures 5 and 6 with regard to the applied laser and density parameters, diversities in the flux and high-energy cut-offs become obvious. To further discuss the experimentally obtained data and to systematically scan the target density-pulse duration parameter space, extensive EPOCH simulations were conducted (see section 3).

In order to get an impression about the ion numbers, TPS-80 was armed with a TASTRAK CR-39 detector for one laser shot. In order not to run the risk of oversaturating the SSNTD, TPS-80 was chosen according to the EPOCH simulations as well as according to the RCF measurements. Furthermore, within this laser shot a decreased helium backing pressure was applied in order to ensure a decreased particle density within the gas-jet target. TPS–80 was equipped with a 350$\mu$m pinhole which corresponds to a solid angle of 355.8 nsr. The laser-target parameters were set to: $n_{\text{He}}^{\text{max}} = 0.03 n_c$, $\xi_L = 39 J$, and $\tau_L = 0.3$ ps leading to a focus intensity of $I_L = 2.5 \times 10^{-19} \text{ W cm}^{-2}$.

After the etching procedure, two sharp helium-ion TPs became visible on the CR-39 SSNTD. Furthermore, also the zero order which defines the origin of the TP-coordinate system was visible as a milky white spot. From the $^{4}\text{He}$ ion-energy spectra the high-energy cut-offs could be determined to 3.28 MeV ($\Delta E E^{-1} = 0.045$) for $^{4}\text{He}^{+}$ ions and 2.48 MeV ($\Delta E E^{-1} = 0.077$). Within the covered 355.8 nsr, the total number of $^{4}\text{He}^{2+}$ was $2.02 \times 10^4$. 

Figure 4. (a) Ion-energy spectra, (b) normalized energy uncertainty $\Delta E/E$ due to TP broadening $\delta_{bp}$, exemplified for TPS-90, (c) $^{4}\text{He}^{+,2+}$ TPs from TPS-90, recorded simultaneously to the RCF wrap-around measurements. Dashed curves: $^{4}\text{He}^{+}$, solid curves: $^{4}\text{He}^{2+}$.
protons is given which is measurable in the angular distribution of the fusion protons. Thus, an asymmetric distribution in the proton signal is expected (for instance, one receives a left-right or top-bottom asymmetry). The number of protons on each detector can be calculated by $n_p = L \times \sigma(\Omega)d\Omega = N_{3\text{He}^2+} \times \rho_c \times A \times \Delta \Omega$, with the luminosity $L = N_{3\text{He}^2+} \times \rho_c \times A$, the total number of $^3\text{He}^2+$ ions $N_{3\text{He}^2+} = 17.84 \times 10^9$ entering the polarimetry setup and hitting the CD$_2$ foil with areal density of $\rho_c \times A = 2.7 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, the resonance value of the differential cross section of the fusion reaction of $d \sigma(\Omega)d\Omega = 60 \text{ mb sr}^{-1}$, and the covered solid angle inside the polarimetry (between CD$_2$ and proton detector) $\Delta \Omega = 6.25 \text{ sr}$. This leads to a proton number of 1800 per detector per laser shot which is a useful value for a polarization measurement. It has to be noted that this is the lower limit for the estimated proton yield: for the main ion-emission angle ($\pm 90^\circ$), a longer pulse duration (3.2 ps), and a higher gas-jet density (in case of polarized $^3\text{He}$ gas: 30 bar which corresponds to 0.06 $n_c$), this proton number will be enhanced.

Simultaneously to the TPS monitoring, CR-39 SSNTDs were mounted in different distances to the laser-target interaction region. After etching the additional CR-39 SSNTDs for data analysis, a color change from translucent to milky/cloudy white became apparent on the target-facing surface. These colored CR-39 plates could not be analyzed with a microscope scanner since a clear transmission of light was not possible and no ion tracks were visible. The color change after etching was due to x-ray radiation from the laser-plasma interaction.

### 3. PIC-simulations

The laser interaction with the gas jet and ensuing ion-acceleration processes were simulated using the Particle-in-Cell (PIC) [30, 31] code EPOCH-2D [20] on the Jülich supercomputer JURECA, with which several 2D simulations were carried out. In each case the simulation box was filled with $50000 \times 6250$ grid points distributed over an area of $2000 \mu \text{m} \times 250 \mu \text{m}$ in $x$ and $y$, resulting in a spatial resolution of $40 \text{ nm}$. Compared to the $1 \mu \text{m}$ wavelength of the PHELIX system, a resolution of approximately 26 grid points per wavelength was given in both dimensions.

Within the simulation box, a neutral $^3\text{He}$-gas jet was initially represented by $2.3 \times 10^8$ pseudoparticle atoms distributed over $37000 \times 6250$ grid points (gas-jet region within the simulation box). Subsequent ionization by the focusing laser pulse (propagation direction in $x$, centered in $y$) was handled by a field ionization model to compute the relative $\text{He}^{1+}$, $\text{He}^{2+}$ and electron populations. In the first four simulations the laser parameters were defined according to the nominal PHELIX parameters: focal intensity $I_L = 1.4 \times 10^{19} \text{ W cm}^{-2}$, wavelength $\lambda_L = 1.053 \mu \text{m}$, pulse duration $\tau_L = 0.8 \text{ ps}$, focal diameter (FWHM) $25.7 \mu \text{m}$, critical density $n_c = 1.0 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ (relativistic correction of $n_c$ is included), giving a normalized vector potential of $a_0 \approx 3.3$.

### Figures

- **Figure 5.** Ion-energy spectra for three different laser energies $\varepsilon_L$, obtained in TPS-90 for $n_{\text{gas}} = 0.06 n_c$; red curve 120 J, blue curve 44 J, and brown curve 38 J. (top) $^3\text{He}^+$, and (bottom) $^4\text{He}^+$. and $4.92 \times 10^4$ in case of $^4\text{He}^{1+}$ ions. With this $\text{He}^{2+}$-ion number (for 0.03 $n_c$ at 80°) a lower limit for the polarimetry reaction can be estimated. For the polarimetry, the fusion reaction $\text{D}(\text{He},p)^4\text{He}$ with a $Q$-value of 18 MeV can be used. In the polarized case ($^3\text{He}^{2+}$ is polarized and fuses with deuterium in a CD$_2$ foil), a polarization transfer from the ions to the fusion
Two more simulations were carried out for \( \tau L = 1.6 \) ps and \( \tau L = 3.2 \) ps.

Four different effective target densities emulating the actual experimental gas jet were taken in order to study the influence of the target density on the channel properties and the He\(^{2+}\) acceleration. The target properties (particle-density distribution in the focus height above the nozzle edge, as well as the gas-jet width) were derived from an experimental interferometrical characterization of the real gas flow out of a supersonic de Laval nozzle (see figure 1 in section 2.1). Along the \( x \)-direction, the particle-density distribution was assumed to be super-Gaussian with maximum atomic density \( \sim 5.6 \times 10^{19} \) cm\(^{-3} \) (equation see p. (4), with the effective target length (FWHM) of 1 mm. In \( y \)-direction, a constant density was assumed. This approximation is reasonable since a variation in real particle density in height along a distance comparable to the laser-focus dimension is negligible. A further simulation was also made with the same simulation box, resolution and density profile, but larger pulse duration to check the effect of using a longer pulse.

Since laser-driven ion-acceleration mechanisms in underdense (helium) gas-jet targets have already been discussed for various laser and target properties [12–18] in detail, the current EPOCH simulations mainly served as a theoretical prediction of the ion emission angles for planning the ion diagnostics in the experiment.

Figure 7 summarizes the simulation results: in (a), the normalized He\(^{2+}\) ion-number densities in pseudocolors at \( t = 6.5 \) ps using the experimental PHELIX laser parameters with target density \( n_{He} = 0.02 \) \( n_c \), \( n_{He} = 0.03 \) \( n_c \), \( n_{He} = 0.06 \) \( n_c \), \( n_{He} = 0.12 \) \( n_c \) from top to bottom, respectively; (b) angular He\(^{2+}\) ion-energy distribution at \( t = 6.5 \) ps; (c) the He\(^{2+}\) ion-energy spectra at \( \pm(90^\circ \pm 5^\circ) \).

Figure 7. (a) Simulated normalized He\(^{2+}\) ion-number density in pseudocolors at \( t = 6.5 \) ps using the experimental PHELIX laser parameters with target density \( n_{He} = 0.02 \) \( n_c \), \( n_{He} = 0.03 \) \( n_c \), \( n_{He} = 0.06 \) \( n_c \), \( n_{He} = 0.12 \) \( n_c \) from top to bottom, respectively; (b) angular He\(^{2+}\) ion-energy distribution at \( t = 6.5 \) ps; (c) the He\(^{2+}\) ion-energy spectra at \( \pm(90^\circ \pm 5^\circ) \).
The corresponding angular ion-energy distribution in figure 7(b) for 0.06 $n_c$ at $t = 6.5$ ps (particle number indicated by pseudocolours) shows two peaks in ion energy $\mathcal{E}$ in transversal direction, i.e. around $\pm 90^\circ$ relative to the laser-propagation direction. Although the simulated data predicts maximal ion energies of about 12 MeV at $-90^\circ$ as well as an ion signal in forward direction, the density of the plotted pseudocolours, i.e. the lower flux at higher energies means that there may not be experimentally detectable signals at this energy and angular range.

Figure 7(c) shows the $^3\text{He}^{2+}$ energy spectra at $\pm 90^\circ$ summed over an angular spread of $\pm 5^\circ$ for each of the six simulations. The distributions have been analyzed at $t = 6.5$ ps for $\tau_L = 0.8$ ps, $t = 8$ ps for $\tau_L = 1.6$ ps, and $t = 11$ ps for $\tau_L = 3.2$ ps, respectively, i.e. at equal interaction times of the laser with the target. As it can be seen, higher densities lead to a higher maximum energy, in agreement with previous simulations of transverse ion emission at somewhat higher intensities [14, 15]. On the other hand, in our case the ion flux for the 2–6 MeV range appears to peak at 0.06 $n_c$, consistent with the truncation of the channel seen in figure 7(a). At the highest density, 0.12 $n_c$, the early onset of filamentation and dispersion of the laser pulse leads to a shorter channel, with a correspondingly reduced source layer for accelerated ions at the channel walls. In the simplest picture of ponderomotively driven charge separation and subsequent radial ion acceleration [12], their acquired energy is roughly given by $U_{\text{tang}} \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} Zm_e c^2 a_0$, resulting in energies of 1–2 MeV, while one would not expect a strong dependency of the energy on the target density. But, since the laser intensity used here ($\sim 100$ TW) is far above the threshold for laser pulse self-focusing, $P_L = 17(n_c/n_0) \text{GW} \sim \mathcal{O}(100) \text{ GW}$, any increase in laser intensity due to this effect may be reflected in higher ion energies. As for the experimental data (see the blue and brown curves in figure 6), the highest $^3\text{He}^{2+}$ flux in the energy range of 2–4 MeV (red and black dashed curves compared to the red solid curve in figure 7(c)) is observed for longer pulse durations of $\tau_L \geq 1.6$ ps. It has to be noted, that compared to the experimental conditions (regarding experimentally detectable ion doses, i.e. the lowest ion-detection limit) the particle-number estimation equal to or below $10^{13}$ can be neglected. Instead, ion-number estimations in the order of several $10^{13}$–10$^{15}$ are sufficient for experimental ion detection.

4. Conclusions

The main ion-emission angle for laser-induced helium-ion acceleration from underdense gas jets from supersonic de Laval nozzles at PHELIX was determined to $\pm 90^\circ$ with respect to the laser-propagation direction. The RCF wraparound-obtained qualitative angular ion distribution showed a FWHM in ion signal of 23° between 80° and 100°. Attendant IP-armed TPS measurements at $-80^\circ$, $-90^\circ$, and $-100^\circ$ yielded ion-energy spectra for various laser and target parameters. For the best set of adjust parameters, the high-energy cut-offs of fully and singly ionized $^3\text{He}$ were 4.65 MeV (with a normalized energy uncertainty of $\Delta \mathcal{E} \mathcal{E}^{-1} = 0.033$) and 3.27 MeV ($\Delta \mathcal{E} \mathcal{E}^{-1} = 0.055$), respectively. Full-energy laser shots caused several disadvantages like material damage of the nozzle and the main valve sealing as well as higher BG levels and parasitic proton signals on the TPS detectors. For a future spin-polarization measurement of laser-accelerated $^3\text{He}^{2+}$ ions from a pre-polarized $^3\text{He}$ gas-jet target the best parameters are: ion-emission angle for the $^3\text{He}^{2+}$ polarimeter $\pm 90^\circ$, maximal neutral particle density of $n_{\text{gas}}^{\max} = 0.06 \ n_c$ (i.e. a gas-backing pressure of 30 bar), an optimal laser-energy range of $\mathcal{E}_L = (40 \ldots 60) \ \text{J}$, and an optimal pulse-duration range of $\tau_L = (1.6 \ldots 3.2) \ \text{ps}$.
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