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In this paper, we investigate the dynamics of the Bloch vector of a single two-level atom which interacts with a single quantized electromagnetic field mode according to the Jaynes-Cummings model, where the field is initially prepared in a thermal state. The time evolution of the Bloch vector $S(t)$ seems to be in complete disorder because of the thermal distribution of the initial state of the field. Both the norm and the direction of $S(t)$ oscillate hard and their periods seem infinite. We observe that the trajectory of the time evolution of $S(t)$ in the two- or three-dimensional space does not form a closed path. To remove the fast frequency oscillation from the trajectory, we take the time-average of the Bloch vector $S(t)$. We examine the histogram of $\{S_z(n\Delta t)\vert n = 0, 1, ..., N\}$ for small $\Delta t$ and large $N$. It represents an absolute value of a derivative of the inverse function of $S_z(t)$. (When the inverse function of $y = S_z(t)$ is a multi-valued function, the histogram represents a summation of the absolute values of its derivatives at points whose real parts are equal to $y$ on the Riemann surface.) We examine the dependence of the variance of the histogram on the temperature of the field. We estimate the lower bound of the entanglement between the atom and the field.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The Jaynes-Cummings model (JCM) is a solvable quantum mechanical model of a single two-level atom in a single electromagnetic field mode [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. This model is originally designed for studying a spontaneous emission. The interaction term is obtained by the rotating wave approximation. In this interaction, each photon creation causes an atomic de-excitation and each photon annihilation causes an atomic excitation.

If the photon number is sharply defined in the initial state of the field, the JCM shows the Rabi oscillations in the populations of the atomic levels. If the initial state of the field is a coherent state, the oscillation of the mean photon number collapses and revives in the JCM. In this way, the JCM reveals the quantum natures of the radiation.

Because the JCM is exactly solvable, it is investigated from various viewpoints. The JCM whose boson field is prepared in a thermal state is discussed in Refs. [6, 7, 8]. Thermodynamics of the JCM is discussed in Ref. [9]. In this analysis, the grand partition function of both the atom and the boson field is considered. Extended JCMs are studied as dissipative models [10, 11].

Recently, the JCM has been used for describing the evolution of the entanglement between the atom and the field [12, 13]. In Refs. [12, 13], the electromagnetic field is assumed to be initially prepared in a thermal state. In these papers, the JCM is regarded as a source of the entanglement between the atom and the field. In Ref. [14], this idea is advanced and generation of the entanglement between two atoms interacting with a single-mode thermal field according to the JCM is discussed. In this model, the atoms which are initially in a separable state obtain the entanglement through the time evolution.

In Ref. [15], evolution of the entanglement between an atom and a single-mode field described with the JCM under phase damping is studied. In Ref. [16], an atom interacting with two cavity modes is considered and it is shown that this system can be reduced to the JCM. Using this system, generation of entangled coherent states is discussed. In Refs. [17, 18], the entanglement in an extended JCM where two atoms interacts with a single-mode field is studied.

As an interesting phenomenon related with the time evolution of the entanglement in the JCM, so called sudden death effect is studied [19, 20, 21]. In these references, two isolated atoms, each of which is located in its own Jaynes-Cummings cavity, are assumed. If these atoms are in a certain entangled state initially, the entanglement disappears in a finite time. This phenomenon is experimentally demonstrated in Ref. [22]. In Ref. [23], an attempt to find invariant entanglement among atoms and fields in two isolated JCM is done.

In this paper, we consider the dynamics of the Bloch vector of a two-level atom interacting with a single mode boson according to the JCM, where the initial state of the boson is in thermal equilibrium. The time evolution of the
Bloch vector $\mathbf{S}(t)$ seems to be in a state of complete disorder because of the thermal distribution of the initial state of the boson field. The trajectory of $\mathbf{S}(t)$ oscillates hard and seems to wander without a purpose. We try to find a property that characterizes this confused movement of $\mathbf{S}(t)$.

If $S_x(0) \neq 0$ or $S_y(0) \neq 0$, the time evolution of the Bloch vector $\mathbf{S}(t)$ draws a trajectory in the two- or three-dimensional space. We observe that this trajectory does not form a closed path. To remove the fast frequency oscillation from the trajectory, we take the time-average of the $\mathbf{S}(t)$ as

$$\langle \mathbf{S} \rangle = \lim_{T \to \infty} (1/T) \int_0^T dt \mathbf{S}(t).$$

We find that $\langle S_x \rangle$ and $\langle S_y \rangle$ are equal to zero $\forall \beta$, $\omega$ and $\omega_0$, where $\beta$ is an inverse of the temperature for the initial thermal state of the field, $\omega$ is an angular frequency of the field and $\hbar \omega_0$ is an energy gap of the two-level atom. $\langle S_z \rangle$ takes a certain function of $\beta$, $\omega$ and $\omega_0$.

We take the histogram of samples $\{S_z(n \Delta t)|n = 0, 1,...,N\}$ for small $\Delta t$ and large $N$. We understand that it represents an absolute value of a derivative of the inverse function of $S_z(t)$. (When the inverse function of $y = S_z(t)$ is a multi-valued function, the histogram represents a summation of the absolute values of its derivatives $|(d/dy)S_z^{-1}|$ at points whose real parts are equal to $y$ on the Riemann surface, where $S_z^{-1}$ is the inverse function of $y = S_z(t)$.) We approximate obtained histograms at a high temperature by the probability function of the normal distribution. We examine the dependence of the variance of the samples on the temperature $\beta$.

We examine the time evolution of the entanglement between the atom and the field in our model by estimating the entanglement of formation of the density matrix which lies on the 2-dimensional space. We observe that this trajectory does not form a closed path. To remove the fast frequency oscillation from the trajectory, we take the time-average of $\mathbf{S}(t)$ for the case where the field is resonant with the atom. In Sec. [V] we take the histogram of data of $S_z(t)$ sampled at intervals of $\Delta t$. In Sec. [VI] we take the time-average of $\mathbf{S}(t)$ for the non-resonant case. In Sec. [VII] we consider the lower bound of the entanglement of formation between the atom and the field. In Sec. [VIII] we give brief discussions.

II. THE TIME EVOLUTION OF THE BLOCH VECTOR

In this section, we give the equation of the time evolution of the Bloch vector of the atom. The Jaynes-Cummings model is a system that is described by the following Hamiltonian:

$$H = \frac{\hbar}{2} \omega_0 \sigma_z + \hbar \omega a^\dagger a + \hbar g(\sigma_+ a + \sigma_- a^\dagger),$$

where

$$\sigma_\pm = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_x \pm i \sigma_y),$$

$$\sigma_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and $[a, a^\dagger] = 1$. The Pauli matrices ($\sigma_i$, $i = x, y, z$) are operators of the atom and $a$ and $a^\dagger$ are operators of the field. In this paper, we assume that $g$ is a constant, so that it does not depend on $\omega_0$ or $\omega$. Let us divide $H$ as follows [4] [5]:

$$H = \hbar(C_1 + C_2),$$

$$C_1 = \omega(\frac{1}{2} \sigma_z + a^\dagger a),$$

$$C_2 = g(\sigma_+ a + \sigma_- a^\dagger) - \frac{\Delta \omega}{2} \sigma_z,$$

where $\Delta \omega = \omega - \omega_0$. We can confirm

$$[C_1, C_2] = 0.$$  

Because $C_1$ can be diagonalized at ease, we take the following interaction picture. We write a state vector of the whole system in the Schrödinger picture as $|\psi(t)\rangle$. A state vector in the interaction picture is defined by

$$|\psi_1(t)\rangle = \exp(iC_1 t)|\psi(t)\rangle.$$
(We assume $|\psi_1(0)\rangle = |\psi(0)\rangle$.) Because of Eq. (7), the time evolution of $|\psi_1(t)\rangle$ is given by

$$|\psi_1(t)\rangle = U(t)|\psi(0)\rangle,$$

where

$$U(t) = \exp(-iC_2 t).$$

We define the density operator of the initial state of the atom and the boson field as

$$\rho_{AF}(0) = \rho_A(0) \otimes \rho_F,$$

$$\rho_A(0) = \sum_{i,j \in \{0,1\}} \rho_{A,ij}(0)|i\rangle_{AA}\langle j|,$$

$$\rho_F = \frac{\exp(-\beta \hbar \omega a\dagger a)}{\text{Tr} \exp(-\beta \hbar \omega a\dagger a)}$$

$$= (1 - e^{-\beta \hbar \omega}) \exp(-\beta \hbar \omega a\dagger a),$$

where

$$|0\rangle_A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad |1\rangle_A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and $\beta$ is an inverse of the temperature. (The indices A and F imply the atom and the field, respectively.)

The density operator of the atom in the interaction picture evolves according to

$$\rho_A(t) = \sum_{i,j \in \{0,1\}} \rho_{A,ij}(t)|i\rangle_{AA}\langle j|,$$

$$\rho_{A,ij}(t) = \sum_{k,l \in \{0,1\}} \rho_{A,kl}(0) A_{kl,ij}(t)$$

for $i,j \in \{0,1\}$,

$$A_{kl,ij}(t) = \lambda \langle i| \text{Tr}_F[U(t)(|k\rangle_{AA}\langle l| \otimes \rho_F)U^\dagger(t)]|j\rangle_A,$$

where $\text{Tr}_F$ means a partial trace over the field. Because $\rho_{A,10}(t) = \rho_{A,01}(t)^* \text{ and } \rho_{A,11}(t) = 1 - \rho_{A,00}(t)$, we examine $\rho_{A,00}(t)$ and $\rho_{A,01}(t)$ only. We can derive $A_{kl,ij}(t)$ as follows: The unitary evolution operator of the whole system $U(t)$ given by Eqs. (6) and (10) is rewritten as

$$U(t) = \exp[-it \begin{pmatrix} -\Delta \omega/2 & ga \\ ga\dagger & \Delta \omega/2 \end{pmatrix}]$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n t^{2n}}{(2n)!} \begin{pmatrix} (D + g^2)^n & 0 \\ 0 & D^n \end{pmatrix}$$

$$+ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{i(-1)^n t^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!} \begin{pmatrix} -\Delta \omega(D + g^2)^n/2 & ga D^n \\ ga\dagger(D + g^2)^n & \Delta \omega D^n/2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} u_{00} & u_{01} \\ u_{10} & u_{11} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$D = \left(\frac{\Delta \omega}{2}\right)^2 + g^2 a\dagger a,$$
\[ u_{00} = \cos(t\sqrt{D + g^2}) - \frac{i}{2}\Delta\omega \frac{\sin(t\sqrt{D + g^2})}{\sqrt{D + g^2}}, \]

\[ u_{01} = ig\frac{\sin(t\sqrt{D})}{\sqrt{D}}, \]

\[ u_{10} = ig\frac{\sin(t\sqrt{D + g^2})}{\sqrt{D + g^2}}, \]

\[ u_{11} = \cos(t\sqrt{D}) + \frac{i}{2}\Delta\omega \frac{\sin(t\sqrt{D})}{\sqrt{D}}. \]  

From Eqs. (17), (18), (19) and (20), we obtain

\[ A_{00,00}(t) = (1 - e^{-\beta\hbar\omega}) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\Delta\omega/2)^2 + g^2(n + 1)\cos^2(\sqrt{\tilde{D}(n + 1)t})}{\tilde{D}(n + 1)} e^{-n\beta\hbar\omega}, \]

\[ A_{11,00}(t) = (1 - e^{-\beta\hbar\omega}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g^2n \frac{\sin(\sqrt{\tilde{D}(n)t})}{\tilde{D}(n)} e^{-n\beta\hbar\omega}, \]

\[ A_{01,01}(t) = (1 - e^{-\beta\hbar\omega}) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [\cos(\sqrt{\tilde{D}(n + 1)t}) - \frac{i}{2}\Delta\omega \frac{\sin(\sqrt{\tilde{D}(n + 1)t})}{\sqrt{\tilde{D}(n + 1)}}] \]

\[ \times [\cos(\sqrt{\tilde{D}(n)t}) - \frac{i}{2}\Delta\omega \frac{\sin(\sqrt{\tilde{D}(n)t})}{\sqrt{\tilde{D}(n)}}] e^{-n\beta\hbar\omega}, \]  

\[ A_{01,00}(t) = A_{10,00}(t) = A_{00,01}(t) = A_{10,01}(t) = A_{11,01}(t) = 0, \]  

where

\[ \tilde{D}(n) = \left( \frac{\Delta\omega}{2} \right)^2 + g^2n. \]  

(The trace over the field is taken by the basis vectors of the photon number states.)

We introduce the Bloch vector \( \mathbf{S}(t) = (S_x(t), S_y(t), S_z(t)) \) which is given by

\[ \rho_A(t) = \frac{1}{2}(I + \mathbf{S}(t) \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}), \]  

where \( I \) is the identity operator and \( \boldsymbol{\sigma} = (\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z) \). Because \( \rho_A(t) \dagger = \rho_A(t) \) and \( \rho_A(t) \geq 0, \mathbf{S}(t) \) is a real vector and satisfies \( |\mathbf{S}(t)|^2 \leq 1 \). From Eqs. (16), (22) and (24), we obtain

\[ \mathbf{S}(t) = L_{\Delta\omega}(t)\mathbf{S}(0) \]

\[ = \left( \begin{array}{ccc} L_{\Delta\omega}^{(1)}(t) & L_{\Delta\omega}^{(2)}(t) & 0 \\ -L_{\Delta\omega}^{(2)}(t) & L_{\Delta\omega}^{(1)}(t) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & L_{\Delta\omega}^{(3)}(t) \end{array} \right) \mathbf{S}(0) + \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ L_{\Delta\omega}^{(4)}(t) \end{array} \right), \]  

where

\[ L_{\Delta\omega}^{(1)}(t) = \text{Re}[A_{01,01}(t)], \]

\[ L_{\Delta\omega}^{(2)}(t) = \text{Im}[A_{01,01}(t)], \]

\[ L_{\Delta\omega}^{(3)}(t) = A_{00,00}(t) - A_{11,00}(t), \]

\[ L_{\Delta\omega}^{(4)}(t) = A_{00,00}(t) + A_{11,00}(t) - 1. \]  

This is the equation of the time evolution of \( \mathbf{S}(t) \).
III. THE TRAJECTORY OF THE BLOCH VECTOR

In this section, we observe the trajectory of the time evolution of $S(t)$. To simplify the discussion, we concentrate on the case where the field is resonant with the atom, that is, $\Delta \omega = 0$. Furthermore, we regard $\omega_0$ as a constant. Thus, the model has two variables, $t$ and $\beta$. We replace $|g|t$ with $t$ and $\beta\hbar\omega = (\beta\hbar\omega_0)$ with $\beta$, where we assume $g \neq 0$ and $\omega_0 \neq 0$. They imply that the time $t$ is in units of $|g|^{-1}$ and the inverse of the temperature $\beta$ is in units of $(\hbar\omega_0)^{-1}$. From the above assumptions and Eqs. (21), (23), (25) and (26), we obtain the following equation:

$$S(t) = \mathcal{L}(t)S(0) = \begin{pmatrix} L_1(t) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & L_1(t) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & L_3(t) \end{pmatrix} S(0) + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ L_4(t) \end{pmatrix},$$  \hspace{1cm} (27)

where

$$L_1(t) = (1 - e^{-\beta}) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \cos(\sqrt{n+1}t) \cos(\sqrt{n}t)e^{-n\beta},$$  \hspace{1cm} (28)

$$L_3(t) = \frac{1}{2}(1 - e^{-\beta}) + \frac{e^{2\beta} - 1}{2e^\beta} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \cos(2\sqrt{n}t)e^{-n\beta},$$  \hspace{1cm} (29)

$$L_4(t) = -\frac{1}{2}(1 - e^{-\beta}) + \frac{(e^\beta - 1)^2}{2e^\beta} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \cos(\sqrt{n}t)e^{-n\beta}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (30)

(We note $\text{Im}[A_{01,01}(t)] = 0$ for $\Delta \omega = 0$. In the algebraic form of $\text{Im}[A_{01,01}(t)]$, summations of $\cos(\sqrt{n+1}t)\sin(\sqrt{n}g|t|)e^{-n\beta\hbar\omega}/\sqrt{n}$ and $\cos(\sqrt{n+1}t)\sin(\sqrt{n}g|t|)e^{-n\beta\hbar\omega}/\sqrt{n+1}$ for the index $n$ from 0 to $\infty$ appear, where $\sin(\sqrt{n}g|t|)/\sqrt{n} = |g|t$. However, they converge to finite values. Similar discussions are explained in Sec. [IV]). Looking at Eq. (27), we understand that the trajectory (a curve in the three-dimensional space of $S$ parameterized by $t$) is uniquely determined by the initial state $S(0)$ for given $\beta$.

Figure [Fig. 1] shows a trajectory of $S(t)$ whose initial state and temperature are given by $S(0) = (1, 0, 0)$ and $\beta = 0.5$, respectively. In this case, $S_y(t) = 0$ for $t \geq 0$ and the curve of $S(t)$ lies on the $xz$-plane. The trajectory of Fig. [Fig. 1] oscillates hard and seems to be in complete disorder. This is because $S(t)$ is a tuple of superpositions of $\cos(\sqrt{n+1}t)\cos(\sqrt{m}t)e^{-n\beta}$ and $\cos(2\sqrt{n}t)e^{-n\beta}$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ as shown in Eqs. (28) and (30).

If the operator $\mathcal{L}(t)$ defined in Eq. (27) satisfies the relation $\mathcal{L}(t_2) = \mathcal{L}(t_2 - t_1)\mathcal{L}(t_1)$ for all $t_1, t_2$, the following thing can happen. If the trajectory reaches a point (at $t_2$) where it has passed before (at $t_1 < t_2$), it forms a closed path and $S(t)$ moves along this closed path for $t \geq t_2$. (See Fig. [Fig. 1]) However, this thing does not happen because
FIG. 2: The trajectory of $S(t)$ whose initial state is given by $S(0) = (1, 0, 0)$. (We assume $\Delta \omega = 0$.) The horizontal and vertical lines represent $S_x$ and $S_z$, respectively. They are dimensionless quantities. If $L(t_2) = L(t_2 - t_1)L(t_1) \forall t_1, t_2$, the trajectory forms a closed loop. If the trajectory passes a point $P$ at $t_1$ and reaches $P$ at $t_2 (> t_1)$ again, $S(t)$ moves along the closed loop for $t \geq t_2$. This is because the evolution of $S(t)$ is determined by $L(t - t_2)S(t_2)$. Actually, $L(t_2) = L(t_2 - t_1)L(t_1)$ does not hold in general and the trajectory does not form the closed path.

FIG. 3: The trajectory of $S(t)$ ($0 \leq t \leq 6$) whose initial state and temperature are given by $S(0) = (1, 0, 0)$ and $\beta = 0.5$, respectively. (We assume $\Delta \omega = 0$.) The horizontal and vertical lines represent $S_x$ and $S_z$, respectively. They are dimensionless quantities. We can observe that the trajectory intersects itself and does not form a closed loop. In the numerical calculation of $L_1(t)$ and $L_4(t)$ defined in Eqs. (28) and (30), the summations of the index $n$ is carried out up to 500.

$L(t_2) = L(t_2 - t_1)L(t_1)$ does not hold in general. In fact, we can observe an example where the trajectory intersects itself and does not form a closed path in Fig. 3.

If $S_x(0) \neq 0$ or $S_y(0) \neq 0$, $S(t)$ draws a trajectory in the two- or three-dimensional space. From the above considerations, we understand that this trajectory does not form a closed loop in general.

IV. THE TIME-AVERAGE OF THE BLOCH VECTOR FOR $\Delta \omega = 0$

In this section, we take the time-average of the Bloch vector for the case where the field is resonant with the atom ($\Delta \omega = 0$). As shown in Fig. 1, the evolution of $S(t)$ is in complete disorder. To remove the fast frequency oscillation, we consider the time-average of $S(t)$ as

$$
\langle S \rangle = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T dt \, S(t).
$$

(31)
From Eqs. (27), (28), (29) and (30), we obtain
\[
\langle S \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \langle L_1 \rangle & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \langle L_1 \rangle & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \langle L_3 \rangle \end{pmatrix} S(0) + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]
(32)

\[
\langle L_1 \rangle = (1 - e^{-\beta}) \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\sqrt{n} + 1) \sin(\sqrt{n} + 1)T \cos(\sqrt{n}T) - \sqrt{n} \cos(\sqrt{n} + 1)T \sin(\sqrt{n}T)e^{-n\beta},
\]
(33)

\[
\langle L_3 \rangle = \frac{1}{2} (1 - e^{-\beta}) + \frac{e^{2\beta} - 1}{4e^\beta} \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(2\sqrt{n}T)}{\sqrt{n}} e^{-n\beta},
\]
(34)

\[
\langle L_4 \rangle = -\frac{1}{2} (1 - e^{-\beta}) + \frac{(e^\beta - 1)^2}{4e^\beta} \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sin(2\sqrt{n}T) e^{-n\beta}.
\]
(35)

Let us take the limit of \( T \to \infty \) in Eqs. (33), (34) and (35). First, we evaluate \( \langle L_1 \rangle \). We can obtain the following relation about \( \langle L_1 \rangle \) from Eq. (33):
\[
- V_1 \leq \langle L_1 \rangle \leq V_1,
\]
(36)

where
\[
V_1 = (1 - e^{-\beta}) \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\sqrt{n} + 1) e^{-n\beta}
= \frac{e^{2\beta} - 1}{e^\beta} \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-n\beta}(\geq 0).
\]
(37)

From Eq. (37), we can derive the following relation:
\[
V_1 < \frac{e^{2\beta} - 1}{e^\beta} \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-n\beta}.
\]
(38)

Because
\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n e^{-n\beta} = \frac{e^{2\beta}}{(e^\beta - 1)^2},
\]
(39)
we obtain
\[
V_1 < \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \frac{e^\beta + 1}{e^\beta - 1}.
\]
(40)
Thus, if \( \beta \neq 0 \), \( V_1 = 0 \) and \( \langle L_1 \rangle = 0 \). Moreover, we can derive \( \langle L_1 \rangle = 0 \) for \( \beta = 0 \) from Eq. (33) in direct. Hence, we obtain \( \langle L_1 \rangle = 0 \ \forall \beta \).

Second, we evaluate \( \langle L_3 \rangle \). We can obtain the following relation about \( \langle L_3 \rangle \) from Eq. (34):
\[
- V_3 \leq \frac{e^{2\beta} - 1}{4e^\beta} \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(2\sqrt{n}T)}{\sqrt{n}} e^{-n\beta} \leq V_3,
\]
(41)

where
\[
V_3 = \frac{e^{2\beta} - 1}{4e^\beta} \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-n\beta}(\geq 0).
\]
(42)

Moreover, we can derive the following relation:
\[
V_3 < \frac{e^{2\beta} - 1}{4e^\beta} \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-n\beta}.
\]
(43)
Because $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-n\beta} = 1/(e^\beta - 1)$, we obtain

$$V_3 < \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \frac{e^\beta + 1}{4e^\beta} = 0. \tag{44}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\langle L_3 \rangle = \frac{1}{2} (1 - e^{-\beta}). \tag{45}$$

By a similar derivation, we can obtain

$$\langle L_4 \rangle = \frac{1}{2} (1 - e^{-\beta}). \tag{46}$$

V. THE HISTOGRAM OF $S_4(t)$ SAMPLED AT INTERVALS OF $\Delta t$

In this section, we think about the histogram of $\{S_4(n\Delta t)|n = 0, 1, \ldots, N\}$ for small $\Delta t$ and large $N$. To simplify the discussion, we assume $\Delta \omega = 0$ and $S(0) = (0, 0, 0, 0)$. The time evolution of $S(t)$ is described as $\dot{S}(t) = (0, 0, L_4(t))$, where $L_4(t)$ is given by Eq. (30).

We investigate the time evolution of $L_4(t)$ by the following way. Fixing $\beta$ at a certain value and defining a small time interval $\Delta t$, we collect $(N + 1)$ samples of $L_4(n\Delta t)$ for $n = 0, 1, \ldots, N$, where $N$ is large enough. Next, we make a histogram of these $(N + 1)$ samples $\{L_4(0), L_4(\Delta t), \ldots, L_4(N\Delta t)\}$. We adjust the class interval of bins of the histogram, so that the line graph of the histogram approaches a smooth curve.

This histogram represents an absolute value of a derivative of the inverse function of $L_4(t)$. As shown in Fig. 4, the probability that there is a sample $L_4(n\Delta t)$ in the range of the bin of the histogram $[y, y + \Delta y)$ is proportional to

$$\left| \frac{L_4^{-1}(y + \Delta y) - L_4^{-1}(y)}{\Delta y} \right|, \tag{47}$$

where $t = L_4^{-1}(y)$ is an inverse function of $y = L_4(t)$ and $\Delta y$ is a class interval of the bin. (Here, we assume $L_4^{-1}(y)$ is not a multi-valued function.) If we take the limit of small $\Delta y$, this probability reaches

$$\propto \left| \lim_{\Delta y \to 0} \frac{L_4^{-1}(y + \Delta y) - L_4^{-1}(y)}{\Delta y} \right| = \left| \frac{d}{dy} L_4^{-1}(y) \right|. \tag{48}$$

If $L_4^{-1}(y)$ is a multi-valued function, the probability is proportional to a summation of $|(d/dy)L_4^{-1}|$ at points whose real parts are equal to $y$ on the Riemann surface.

Let us evaluate the histogram of $L_4(t)$ in the low temperature limit. In the low temperature limit, we can describe $L_4(t)$ as

$$y = \lim_{\beta \to \infty} L_4(t) = -\frac{1}{2} (1 - \cos 2t). \tag{49}$$

Thus, the histogram is proportional to

$$\left| \frac{dt}{dy} \right| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - (2y + 1)^2}} \quad \text{for } -1 \leq y \leq 0. \tag{50}$$

Next, we consider the histogram of $L_4(t)$ for the high temperature. If $\beta \ll 1$, we can expect that $L_4(t)$ varies at random around a mean with a certain variance. Hence, we can approximate the histogram by the probability function of the normal distribution,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-(y-\mu)^2/(2\sigma^2)}, \tag{51}$$
FIG. 4: The graph of $y = L_4(t)$. The horizontal and vertical lines represent $t$ and $y$ (or $L_4$), respectively. $t$ is in units of $|g|^{-1}$ and $y$ (or $L_4$) is a dimensionless quantity. $t = L_4^{-1}(y)$ is an inverse function of $y = L_4(t)$.

where $\mu$ and $\sigma^2$ are a mean and a variance of samples, that is,

$$\mu = \frac{1}{N + 1} \sum_{n=0}^{N} L_4(n\Delta t), \quad (52)$$

and

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{N + 1} \sum_{n=0}^{N} [\mu - L_4(n\Delta t)]^2. \quad (53)$$

If $N\Delta t$ is large enough, $\mu$ is nearly equal to $\langle L_4 \rangle$. [From Eq. (30), we can show $-1 \leq L_4(t) \leq 0$. Thus, we can expect that $L_4(t)$ varies at random in the range of $[-1, 0]$. However, Eq. (51) is defined on $-\infty < y < \infty$. Here, we neglect this inconsistency.]

In Figs. 5, 6 and 7, we show the histogram of $L_4(t)$ for $\beta = 10, 1$ and 0.01, respectively. In Fig. 5, Eq. (50) fits for the histogram well. In Fig. 7, Eq. (51) fits for the histogram well, too. [In Fig. 7, the shape of the histogram is not symmetrical. A slope of the left side is steeper than a slope of the right side. The author cannot find a physical meaning of this observation.]

In Fig. 6, we plot the variance $\sigma^2$ of samples against $\beta$ for $0.01 \leq \beta \leq 10$. For small $\beta$, we can approximate plotted points by $\sigma^2(\beta) = c_1\beta^{c_2}$ for $0.01 \leq \beta \leq 0.1$, where $c_1 = 0.0516$ and $c_2 = 2.95$. The author cannot find a reason why the function of $\sigma^2(\beta)$ for small $\beta$ has such a simple form.

VI. THE TIME-AVERAGE OF THE BLOCH VECTOR FOR $\Delta \omega \neq 0$

In this section, we evaluate the time-average of the Bloch vector for the non-resonant case ($\Delta \omega \neq 0$). Here, we put $\hbar = 1$ ($\beta$ is in units of $\hbar$). We fix $g$ and $\omega_0$ and regard them as constants. Thus, the model has three variables, $\omega$, $\beta$ and $t$. From Eqs. (21), (25), (26) and (31), we obtain the following relation:

$$\langle S \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \langle L^{(1)}_{\Delta \omega} \rangle \\ \langle L^{(2)}_{\Delta \omega} \rangle \\ \langle L^{(3)}_{\Delta \omega} \rangle \\ \langle L^{(4)}_{\Delta \omega} \rangle \end{pmatrix} S(0) + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (54)$$

where

$$\langle L^{(1)}_{\Delta \omega} \rangle = (1 - e^{-\beta \omega}) \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n + 1}{D(n + 1)} \sin(\sqrt{D(n + 1)} T) \cos(\sqrt{D(n)} T)$$

and

$$\langle L^{(2)}_{\Delta \omega} \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{D(n + 1)}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sin(\sqrt{D(n + 1)} T) \cos(\sqrt{D(n)} T)$$

and

$$\langle L^{(3)}_{\Delta \omega} \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{D(n + 1)}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sin(\sqrt{D(n + 1)} T) \sin(\sqrt{D(n)} T)$$

and

$$\langle L^{(4)}_{\Delta \omega} \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{D(n + 1)}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \cos(\sqrt{D(n + 1)} T) \cos(\sqrt{D(n)} T)$$
FIG. 5: The histogram of \( \{ L_4(n\Delta t) \} \) where \( \beta = 10, \Delta t = 0.05 \) and the class interval of each bin is equal to 0.005. The horizontal line represents \( L_4 \) that is a dimensionless quantity. The vertical line represents the number of samples in each bin and it is a dimensionless quantity, as well. A thin line graph represents the histogram of samples \( \{ L_4(n\Delta t) \} \). A thick curve represents the approximate function \( a/\sqrt{1-(2y+1)^2} \) where \( a = 35.5 \). In the figure, the thick curve is lying on the thin line graph and we can hardly distinguish between them. In the numerical calculation of \( L_4(t) \) defined in Eq. (30), the summation of the index \( n \) is carried out up to 1000.

FIG. 6: The histogram of \( \{ L_4(n\Delta t) \} \) where \( \beta = 1, \Delta t = 0.05 \) and the class interval of each bin is equal to 0.0035. The horizontal line represents \( L_4 \) that is a dimensionless quantity. The vertical line represents the number of samples in each bin and it is a dimensionless quantity, as well. In the numerical calculation of \( L_4(t) \) defined in Eq. (30), the summation of the index \( n \) is carried out up to 1000.

\[
-\frac{n}{\sqrt{D(n)}} \cos(\sqrt{D(n+1)}T) \sin(\sqrt{D(n)}T) e^{-n\beta_\omega},
\]

\[
\langle L_4^{(2)} \rangle = -\frac{\Delta \omega}{2} (1-e^{-\beta_\omega}) \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(\sqrt{D(n+1)}T) \sin(\sqrt{D(n)}T)}{\sqrt{D(n+1)}D(n)} e^{-n\beta_\omega},
\]

\[
\langle L_4^{(3)} \rangle = (1-e^{-\beta_\omega}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{\beta_\omega}(\Delta \omega/2)^2}{D(n)} + \frac{1}{2}(e^{\beta_\omega} - 1) \frac{g^2 n}{D(n)} D(n) e^{-n\beta_\omega} + \frac{e^{2\beta_\omega} - 1}{4e^{\beta_\omega}} \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{g^2 m}{D(m)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{D(m)}} \sin(2\sqrt{D(m)}T) e^{-m\beta_\omega},
\]

\[
\langle L_4^{(4)} \rangle = (1-e^{-2\beta_\omega}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{2\beta_\omega}(\Delta \omega/2)^4}{D(n)} + \frac{1}{8}(e^{2\beta_\omega} - 1) \frac{g^4 n^2}{D(n)^2} D(n) e^{-2n\beta_\omega} + \frac{e^{4\beta_\omega} - 1}{16e^{2\beta_\omega}} \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{g^4 m^2}{D(m)^2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{D(m)}} \sin(4\sqrt{D(m)}T) e^{-2m\beta_\omega},
\]
FIG. 7: The histogram of \( \{L_4(n\Delta t)\}_{n=0,1,\ldots,9999} \) where \( \beta = 0.01, \Delta t = 0.05 \) and the class interval of each bin is equal to \( 1.0 \times 10^{-5} \). The horizontal line represents \( L_4 \) that is a dimensionless quantity. The vertical line represents the number of samples in each bin and it is a dimensionless quantity, as well. A thin line graph represents the histogram of samples \( \{L_4(n\Delta t)\} \). A thick curve represents the approximate function \( a/[\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}] \exp\left( -\frac{(y - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right) \) where \( a = 163, \mu = -0.00497 \) and \( \sigma^2 = 6.31 \times 10^{-8} \). (We note \( \langle L_4 \rangle = -0.00498 \).) In the numerical calculation of \( L_4(t) \) defined in Eq. (30), the summation of the index \( n \) is carried out up to 1000.

FIG. 8: Plots of the variance \( \sigma^2 \) of samples \( \{L_4(n\Delta t)\} \) against \( \beta \) for \( 0.01 \leq \beta \leq 10 \). Black circles represent plotted data. For each black circle, ten thousands samples are taken \( (n = 0,1,\ldots,9999) \) and we put \( \Delta t = 0.05 \). The horizontal line represents \( \beta \) that is in units of \( (\bar{\hbar} \omega_0)^{-1} \). The vertical line represents \( \sigma^2 \) that is a dimensionless quantity. In both horizontal and vertical lines, ticks are put in the logarithmic scale. In the range of \( 0.01 \leq \beta \leq 0.1 \), plots can be approximated by \( \sigma^2(\beta) = c_1\beta^c_2 \) where \( c_1 = 0.0516 \) and \( c_2 = 2.95 \). This approximate function is shown as a line graph in the figure.

\[
\langle L_{(4)}^{(4)} \rangle = (1 - e^{-\beta\omega}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{\beta\omega} \frac{(\Delta \omega/2)^2}{D(n)} + \frac{1}{2} \left( e^{\beta\omega} + 1 \right) \frac{g^2 n}{D(n)} e^{-n\beta\omega}
\]

\[
+ \frac{(e^{\beta\omega} - 1)^2}{4e^{\beta\omega}} \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{g^2 m}{D(m)} \frac{\sin(2\sqrt{D(m)T})}{\sqrt{D(m)}} e^{-m\beta\omega} - 1.
\]  

(55)

Using the method shown in Sec. IV, we can take the limit \( T \to \infty \) in Eq. (55) and we obtain

\[
\langle L_{(4)}^{(1)} \rangle = \langle L_{(4)}^{(2)} \rangle = 0,
\]

\[
\langle L_{(4)}^{(3)} \rangle = (1 - e^{-\beta\omega}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{\beta\omega} \frac{(\Delta \omega/2)^2}{D(n)} + \frac{1}{2} \left( e^{\beta\omega} - 1 \right) \frac{g^2 n}{D(n)} e^{-n\beta\omega},
\]
\[
\langle L^{(4)}_{\Delta\omega} \rangle = (1 - e^{-\beta\omega}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ e^{\beta\omega \left( \frac{\Delta\omega}{2} \right)^2} \frac{1}{D(n)} + \frac{1}{2} \left( e^{\beta\omega} + 1 \right) \frac{g^2 n}{D(n)} \right] e^{-n\beta\omega} - 1.
\] (56)

Looking at Eq. (56), we obtain
\[
\lim_{\beta \to 0} \langle L^{(3)}_{\Delta\omega} \rangle = \lim_{\beta \to 0} \langle L^{(4)}_{\Delta\omega} \rangle = 0,
\] (57)
\[
\lim_{\beta \to \infty} \langle L^{(3)}_{\Delta\omega} \rangle = \frac{(\Delta\omega)^2 + 2g^2}{(\Delta\omega)^2 + 4g^2},
\] (58)
and
\[
\lim_{\beta \to \infty} \langle L^{(4)}_{\Delta\omega} \rangle = -\frac{2g^2}{(\Delta\omega)^2 + 4g^2}.
\] (59)

The above results imply \( \lim_{\beta \to 0} \langle S \rangle = 0 \) \forall \( S(0) \) and
\[
\lim_{\beta \to \infty} \langle S \rangle = (0, 0, \frac{(\Delta\omega)^2 + 2g^2}{(\Delta\omega)^2 + 4g^2} - 2g^2) \end{equation}
\( \forall S(0) \). (60)

From Eq. (60), we can say the following: If we start from \( S(0) = 0 \) [the complete mixed state \( \rho_A(0) = \frac{1}{2} I \) in the low temperature limit, we can expect to obtain a slightly purified state of \( S = (0, 0, -2g^2/(\Delta\omega)^2 + 4g^2) \) on average after the enough time evolution.

**VII. ENTANGLEMENT BETWEEN THE ATOM AND THE THERMAL FIELD**

In this section, we consider the evolution of the lower bound of the entanglement of formation between the atom and the thermal field in the JCM. In our model defined in Sec. II, the initial state of the atom and the field is separable. However, because of the Jaynes-Cummings interaction, we can expect that the entanglement is generated between the atom and the field during the time evolution and their bipartite state becomes inseparable. Such a mechanism of entanglement generation is discussed in Refs. [12, 13], as well. Recently, many researchers have been regarded the JCM as a source of the entanglement [14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23].

Let us pursue the time evolution of the entanglement between the atom and the field in our model. To simplify the discussion, we assume \( \Delta\omega = 0 \) and \( g > 0 \). Furthermore, we assume the atom initially to be in a pure state of \((1/\sqrt{2})(|0\rangle_A + |1\rangle_A)\), which implies the Bloch vector \( S(0) = (1, 0, 0) \) and the density matrix \( \rho_A(0) \).

The time evolution of the whole state is described as
\[
\rho_{AF}(t) = U(t) \rho_A(0) \rho_F U^\dagger(t),
\] (62)
where \( \rho_A(0) \) is given by Eq. (61), \( \rho_F \) is given by Eq. (13), and \( U(t) \) is given by Eq. (18).

Here, we are interested in studying the entanglement for the mixed state of the bipartite system AF (the atom and the field). [Because \( \rho_F \) given by Eq. (13) is a mixed state, \( \rho_{AF}(t) \) in Eq. (62) is also a mixed state in general.] Moreover, although the dimension of the system A is finite (the two-dimensional system), the dimension of the system F is infinite. Entanglement for such a system is difficult to define.

Some measures of entanglement are proposed at present, for example, the relative entropy of entanglement, entanglement of formation, and so on. However, because analytical methods are not found for these measures of the entanglement in general, it is difficult to compute the value of the entanglement for an arbitrary bipartite state. However, exceptionally, an explicit formula of the entanglement of formation for an arbitrary \( 2 \times 2 \) state is obtained [24]. Thus, as the measure of the entanglement, we choose the entanglement of formation.
To investigate the entanglement of the bipartite mixed state $\rho_{AB}(t)$ given by Eq. (62), we take the following method. To reduce the dimension of the system $F$ (the field) from an infinite number to a finite number, we project the entire state of the atom and the field $\rho_{AB}(t)$ onto a subspace whose dimension is given by $2 \times 2$ as

$$R_{AF}(t) = (|0\rangle_F F(0) + |1\rangle_F F(1))_{AF}(t)(|0\rangle_F F(0) + |1\rangle_F F(1)),$$

where $\{|0\rangle_F, |1\rangle_F\}$ are the photon number states. (We consider the subspace spanned by the basis vectors $\{|i\rangle_A \otimes |j\rangle_F : i, j \in \{0, 1\}\}$. Because this operation (the projection) is carried out only in the system $F$ locally, the entanglement never increases. Hence, the entanglement of $R_{AF}(t)$ is a lower bound of the entanglement of $\rho_{AF}(t)$.

After slightly long calculation, we can obtain $R_{AF}(t)$ in the form of a matrix which is represented in the basis vectors $\{|0\rangle_A |0\rangle_F, |0\rangle_A |1\rangle_F, |1\rangle_A |0\rangle_F, |1\rangle_A |1\rangle_F\}$,

$$R_{AF}(t) = \frac{1}{2}(1 - e^{-\beta}) \begin{pmatrix} r_{00,00} & r_{00,01} & r_{00,10} & r_{00,11} \\ r_{00,01} & r_{01,01} & r_{01,10} & r_{01,11} \\ r_{00,10} & r_{01,10} & r_{10,10} & r_{10,11} \\ r_{00,11} & r_{01,11} & r_{10,11} & r_{11,11} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$r_{00,00} = \cos^2 t + e^{-\beta} \sin^2 t, \quad r_{00,01} = i e^{-\beta} \sin t \cos \sqrt{2}t,$$
$$r_{00,10} = \cos t, \quad r_{00,11} = -i \sin t \cos t + i e^{-\beta} \sin t \cos t,$$
$$r_{01,01} = e^{-\beta} \cos^2 \sqrt{2}t + e^{-2\beta} \sin^2 \sqrt{2}t, \quad r_{01,10} = 0,$$
$$r_{01,11} = e^{-\beta} \cos t \cos \sqrt{2}t, \quad r_{10,10} = 1,$$
$$r_{10,11} = -i \sin t, \quad r_{11,11} = \sin^2 t + e^{-\beta} \cos^2 t,$$

and we replace $\beta \hbar \omega$ with $\beta$ and $gt$ with $t$. (Here, we assume $g > 0$.)

Here, for convenience, we rewrite $R_{AF}(t)$ as follows:

$$R_{AF}(t) = p_{AF}(t) \sigma_{AF}(t),$$

where

$$p_{AF}(t) = \text{Tr}[R_{AF}(t)] = (1/4)(1 - e^{-\beta})(4 + 3 e^{-\beta} + e^{-2\beta} + e^{-\beta}(1 - e^{-\beta}) \cos 2\sqrt{2}t),$$

and

$$\sigma_{AF}(t) = \frac{R_{AF}(t)}{\text{Tr}[R_{AF}(t)]}.$$ 

$[\sigma_{AF}(t)$ is a normalized density matrix of $R_{AF}(t)$, that is, $\text{Tr}[\sigma_{AF}(t)] = 1$.$]$

The original definition of the entanglement of formation of an arbitrary density matrix $\rho_{AB}$, which is a bipartite state of systems $A$ and $B$, is as follows. Let us suppose $\rho_{AB}$ is shared by Alice and Bob. And suppose that, asymptotically as $n \to \infty$, Alice and Bob can prepare $(\rho_{AB})^\otimes n$ from $k$ Bell pairs using local operations and classical communication. The entanglement of formation of $\rho_{AB}$ is given by

$$E(\rho_{AB}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{k_{\text{min}}}{n},$$

where $k_{\text{min}}$ is the minimum of $k$ for given $n$ [28].

An explicit formula of the entanglement of formation of a $2 \times 2$ dimensional (normalized) bipartite density matrix $\rho_{AB}$ is given as follows. First, we compute the concurrence of $\rho_{AB}$ from which we can calculate the entanglement of formation of $\rho_{AB}$. We define a matrix $\tilde{\rho}_{AB}$ as

$$\tilde{\rho}_{AB} = (\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_y) \rho_{AB}^* (\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_y),$$

where $\rho_{AB}$ is

$$\rho_{AB} = (\sigma_x \otimes \sigma_y) \rho_{AB}^* (\sigma_x \otimes \sigma_y).$$
complicated, it is difficult to obtain numerically. The variations of $E$\ takes a large value (at a low temperature), a certain amount of the entanglement arises between the atom and the field. As $\beta$ becomes smaller (as the temperature becomes higher), the amplitude of oscillation of $E$ becomes smaller. However, this observation does not imply that the entanglement of formation of the entire system (the atom and the field) becomes smaller as the temperature becomes higher, because the analytical form of $E$ is not a normalized density matrix. The entanglement of formation of $\rho_{AB}$ is given by

$$C(\rho_{AB}) = \max\{0, \sqrt{\lambda_1} - \sqrt{\lambda_2} - \sqrt{\lambda_3} - \sqrt{\lambda_4}\}.$$

(71)

The entanglement of formation of $\rho_{AB}$ is given by $E(C(\rho_{AB}))$, where

$$E(C) = -\frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - C^2}}{2} \log_2 \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - C^2}}{2} - \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - C^2}}{2} \log_2 \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - C^2}}{2}.$$

(72)

Here, we want to estimate the entanglement of formation of $R_{AF}(t) = \rho_{AB}(t)\sigma_{AF}(t)$, which is not a normalized density matrix. The entanglement of formation of $R_{AF}(t)$, $E(R_{AF}(t))$, should be written as $E(R_{AF}(t)) = \rho_{AF}(t)E(C(\sigma_{AF}(t)))$. Because the analytical form of $\sigma_{AF}(t)$ obtained from Eqs. (63), (65) and (68) is very complicated, it is difficult to obtain $C(\sigma_{AF}(t))$ in an explicit formula. Thus, we estimate $C(\sigma_{AF}(t))$ [and $E(R_{AF}(t))$] numerically. The variations of $E(R_{AF}(t))$ against $t \in [0, 2\pi]$ with fixed $\beta = 10, 2$ and 1 are shown in Fig. 9.

In Fig. 9, $E(R_{AF}(t))$ against $t$ seems to vary periodically. Because we concentrate on the photon number states $|0\rangle_F$ and $|1\rangle_F$ only, the effect of the thermal distribution of the field is neglected. Looking at Fig. 9, we notice that if $\beta$ takes a large value (at a low temperature), a certain amount of the entanglement arises between the atom and the field. As $\beta$ becomes smaller (as the temperature becomes higher), the amplitude of oscillation of $E(R_{AF}(t))$ becomes smaller. However, this observation does not imply that the entanglement of formation of the entire system (the atom and the field) becomes smaller as the temperature becomes higher, because $E(R_{AF}(t))$ is just the lower bound of the entanglement of formation of $\rho_{AF}(t)$. From these results, we may regard the thermal JCM as the source of the entanglement.

VIII. DISCUSSIONS

In this paper, we examine the dynamics of the Bloch vector of the two-level atom in the thermal Jaynes-Cummings model (JCM). In the evolution of the Bloch vector, for example, if $\Delta \omega = 0$, infinite summation of $\cos(\sqrt{n+1}t)\cos(\sqrt{m}t)e^{-n\beta}$ and $\cos(2\sqrt{m}t)e^{-n\beta}$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ appears and this makes it difficult to treat the problem exactly.

In our model, the thermal effects are introduced only in the initial state of the boson field. To discuss the thermodynamics of the JCM strictly, we have to think the grand partition function of the whole system (the atom and the boson field) and pursue its non-equilibrium time evolution. Although the JCM has been studied by many researchers, understanding about the thermal JCM seems not to be enough.
In this paper, we try to obtain a global property that characterizes the confused behavior of the Bloch vector. We observe the trajectory of the Bloch vector and take its time-average. We take the histogram of the $z$ components of the Bloch vector sampled at intervals of $\Delta t$. However, the author wonders whether these results are good global aspects of the trajectory that is in complete disorder.

Recently, entanglement generation during the evolution of JCM has been studied from the viewpoint of the quantum information theory [12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18]. On the other hand, the entanglement sudden death in the JCM is also discussed [19, 20, 21, 22, 23]. These matters are explained in Sec. I. In Sec. VII, we consider the evolution of the entanglement of formation between the atom and the thermal field. The thermal JCM may become an important source of the entanglement in future.
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