Spin echo can be used to refocus random dynamical phases caused by inhomogeneities in control fields and thereby retain the purity of a spatial distribution of quantum spins. This technique for accurate spin control is an essential ingredient in many applications, such as nuclear magnetic resonance, magnetic resonance imaging, and quantum information processing. Here, we show how all the elements of a spin echo sequence can be performed at high speed by means of transitionless quantum driving. This technique promises accurate control of rapid quantum spin evolution. We apply the scheme to universal nonadiabatic geometric single- and two-qubit gates in a nuclear magnetic resonance setting.

I. INTRODUCTION

Techniques for accurate spin control is an essential ingredient in physics and chemistry. One such technique is spin echo [1, 2], in which a spin is taken along a path twice, where the second path exactly retraces the first one but in opposite direction, and where the paths are surrounded by short $\pi$ pulses. In this way, it becomes possible to refocus random dynamical phases caused by spatial inhomogeneities in the control fields and thereby retain the purity of the spin ensemble.

More generally, the sensitive nature of the quantum regime makes the system prone to errors, where tiny disturbances can render the quantum nature of the system to disappear. This becomes in particular challenging in quantum information processing (QIP), as loss of coherence removes the advantages of using quantum degrees of freedom as information carriers. Therefore, various refocusing techniques have been used in QIP, such as, e.g., in the implementation of robust geometric gates in adiabatic cyclic evolution, in which the use of Berry phases [3] removes the dependence of experimental details [4, 5].

The use of adiabatic evolution in spin echo prolongs the exposure to errors of quantum-mechanical origin, such as environment-induced decoherence and decay. This calls for a decrease of the run time of the adiabatic paths. Here, we address this problem by combining the idea of spin echo with transitionless quantum driving (TQD) [6] to shorten the run time and to suppress nonadiabatic transitions. This scheme opens up for precise control of high-speed evolution of quantum spins, which may help to improve the accuracy of various technological applications, such as nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) [7], magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) [8], and QIP [9].

II. THE SCHEME

We consider a spin echo scheme based on closed loops of adiabatic control parameters. Our basic physical setting is a spin qubit in an NMR system in which a radio frequency (rf) magnetic field in the $xy$ plane is added to a bias magnetic field in the $z$ direction. The latter is detuned in a rotating frame by the frequency of the rf field. By sweeping the rf frequency not all away to resonance, the initial spin can be made to point at an arbitrary angle $\theta$ to the rotational $z$ axis. The spin is thereafter taken around a cone-shaped loop by rotating the rf field slowly with angular frequency $\omega$ around the $z$ axis [4, 5]. This implies that the two orthogonal spin states (we consider spin $s = \frac{1}{2}$) pick up Berry phases $\beta_{\pm} = \mp \pi (1 - \cos \theta)$.

A second loop surrounded by short $\pi$ pulses exactly retraces the first loop but in opposite direction. The basic adiabatic sequence is shown in Fig. 1.

FIG. 1: The basic spin echo sequence. (a) and (c) are the two closed adiabatic spin loops traced in opposite directions. (b) and (d) are short $\pi$ transformations, half-circle rotations of the spin around the $y$ axis.
We now demonstrate how TQD can be used to implement a modified scheme, in which all components of the spin echo sequence can be performed at high speed. While our approach is adapted to NMR, a similar technique has been used to measure the Berry phase at high speed in a superconducting phase qubit [10].

Consider a spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ in a time dependent magnetic field \( \mathbf{B}_0 = B_0(\sin \theta \cos \omega t, \sin \theta \sin \omega t, \cos \theta) \), defining the ‘root’ Hamiltonian

\[
H_0(t) = \gamma \mathbf{B}_0(t) \cdot \mathbf{S},
\]

of the TQD setting. Here, \( \gamma \) is the gyromagnetic ratio and \( \mathbf{S} = \frac{1}{2} \hbar \mathbf{\sigma} \) with \( \mathbf{\sigma} = (\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z) \) the standard Pauli operators. Nonadiabatic transitions between the instantaneous eigenstates \( |\phi_\pm(t)\rangle \) of \( H_0(t) \) are completely suppressed by adding a correction term, yielding the TQD Hamiltonian [6]

\[
H(t) = [\gamma \mathbf{B}_0(t) + \mathbf{b}_0(t) \times \partial_t \mathbf{b}_0(t)] \cdot \mathbf{S} = \gamma \mathbf{B}(t) \cdot \mathbf{S}
\]

with \( \mathbf{b}_0(t) = \mathbf{B}_0(t)/|\mathbf{B}_0(t)| \) the direction of the magnetic field. In this way, the spin prepared at \( t = 0 \) in an eigenstate \( |\phi_\pm(0)\rangle \) of \( H_0(0) \) exactly monitors the instantaneous eigenstate \( |\phi_\pm(t)\rangle \) of \( H_0(t) \). In other words, the exact state at \( t \geq 0 \) reads

\[
|\psi(t)\rangle = e^{i \int_0^t \mathbf{B}(\tau) \cdot d\mathbf{S}} |\phi_\pm(t)\rangle
\]

no matter how fast \( H_0(t) \) varies with time.

By using \( \mathbf{b}_0(t) \), one finds the explicit form of the TQD field [6):

\[
\gamma \mathbf{B}(t) = (\omega_0 - \omega \cos \theta) \sin \theta [\mathbf{e}_x \cos(\omega t) + \mathbf{e}_y \sin(\omega t)]
+ (\omega_0 \cos \theta + \omega \sin^2 \theta) \mathbf{e}_z,
\]

where from now on the field strength \( \mathbf{B}_0(t) = B_0 \) is taken to be constant and we have put \( \omega_0 = \gamma B_0 \). This leads to the TQD Hamiltonian

\[
H(t) = \frac{1}{2} \hbar \left[ (\omega_0 - \omega \cos \theta) \sin \theta \right] [\cos(\omega t) \sigma_x + \sin(\omega t) \sigma_y]
+ \frac{1}{2} \hbar (\omega_0 \cos \theta + \omega \sin^2 \theta) \sigma_z.
\]

The refocusing sequence shown in Fig. 1 is

\[
C \rightarrow \pi \rightarrow \bar{C} \rightarrow \pi,
\]

where \( C \) is the loop traced by the eigenstates \( |\phi_\pm(t)\rangle \) of the Hamiltonian \( H_0(t) \) exactly driven by \( H(t) \), and \( \bar{C}(\omega) = C(-\omega) \) is the same loop traced backwards. The \( \pi \) transformation is enacted after each loop and described by the Hamiltonian

\[
H(t) = \frac{1}{2} \hbar \omega_x \sigma_y.
\]

by applying the magnetic field \( \gamma \mathbf{B}_x(t) = (0, \omega_x, 0) \). The sequence can now be described by a Hamiltonian divided up as

\[
H(t) = \begin{cases} 
H^{(a)}(t), & 0 \leq t \leq \frac{2\pi}{\omega}, \\
H^{(b)}(t), & t_1 \leq t \leq t_1 + \frac{\pi}{\omega}, \\
H^{(c)}(t), & t_2 \leq t \leq t_2 + \frac{\pi}{\omega}, \\
H^{(d)}(t), & t_3 \leq t \leq t_3 + \frac{2\pi}{\omega} \equiv \tau,
\end{cases}
\]

where \( t_1 > \frac{2\pi}{\omega}, t_2 > t_1 + \frac{\pi}{\omega}, \) and \( t_3 > t_2 + \frac{2\pi}{\omega} \) (the Hamiltonian is assumed to vanish during the intermediate time intervals \([\frac{2\pi}{\omega}, t_1], [t_1 + \frac{\pi}{\omega}, t_2], \) and \([t_2 + \frac{2\pi}{\omega}, t_3])\). Here, the TQD Hamiltonians \( H^{(a)}(t) \) and \( H^{(c)}(t) \) exactly implement the two spin loops \( C \) and \( \bar{C} \), respectively, while \( H^{(b)}(t) \) and \( H^{(d)}(t) \) correspond to the two \( \pi \) pulses.

In order for the second loop to retrace the first one, the corresponding magnetic fields \( \mathbf{B}^{(c)} \) and \( \mathbf{B}^{(a)} \) must have different opening angles to the rotational axis. Indeed, from Eq. [4], we find the relative vector \( \gamma \Delta \mathbf{B} = \gamma (\mathbf{B}^{(d)} - \mathbf{B}^{(c)}) = 2 \sin \theta (-\omega \cos \omega t \sin \omega t, \omega_0 \sin \omega t, \omega \sin \theta) \), which is clearly nonzero and scales linearly with \( \omega \), meaning that the speed of the evolution dictates the difference in applied magnetic fields. This is a direct consequence of the TQD since the \( \omega \) factor arose from the correction term, and since the adiabatic regime is entered when \( |\omega/\omega_0| \ll 1 \), in which the relative vector would be close to zero. The respective magnitudes do not differ because \( \gamma |\mathbf{B}^{(a)}| = \gamma |\mathbf{B}^{(c)}| = \omega_0 \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{\omega}{\omega_0} \sin \theta \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} \) is unaffected by a sign change of \( \omega \). The discussed aspects are illustrated in Fig. 2.

![FIG. 2: The difference in magnetic field for the first and second loop, in the \( xz \) plane.](image-url)
yielding
\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Tr} \{ [\mathbf{b}_0(t) \times \partial_t \mathbf{b}_0(t)] \cdot \mathbf{\sigma} \rho_\pm(t) \} \\
= \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \{ [\mathbf{b}_0(t) \times \partial_t \mathbf{b}_0(t)] \cdot \mathbf{\sigma} \} \\
\pm \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \{ [\mathbf{b}_0(t) \times \partial_t \mathbf{b}_0(t)] \cdot \mathbf{\sigma} \rho_\pm(t) \} = 0, \quad (10)
\end{align*}
\]
where we have used that \( \mathbf{\sigma} \) is traceless, \( \mathbf{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{\sigma} = \mathbf{I} \), and that the triple product \([\mathbf{b}_0(t) \times \partial_t \mathbf{b}_0(t)] \cdot \mathbf{b}_0(t) \) vanishes. In other words, the extra term in the \( \text{TQD} \) Hamiltonian causes no extra contribution to the spin energy, which implies that the dynamical phases are unaltered.

Apart from the complete suppression of nonadiabatic corrections achieved by \( \text{TQD} \), it should be noted that the \( \text{TQD} \) spin echo scheme can be expected to have a similar kind of resilience to parameter noise and systematic errors as in standard adiabatic spin echo. The key advantage is instead the possibility to perform the spin echo sequence at high speed. In this way, the total run time can be made much shorter than that of spin relaxation and dephasing, which, e.g., in some \( \text{QIP} \) implementations may be as short as a few \( \mu \text{s} \). This can be achieved by using fields that rotates at frequencies in the order of GHz or faster, which is feasible in typical NMR experiments.

### III. APPLICATION: UNIVERSAL GEOMETRIC GATES

\( \text{TQD} \) has been used to optimize NMR quantum information processing \cite{14} and to implement geometric quantum gates in nitrogen-vacancy (NV) centers \cite{12, 13}. Motivated by these earlier results, we demonstrate that spin echo combined with \( \text{TQD} \) can be used for implementing a set of universal nonadiabatic geometric single- and two-qubit gates, providing means to speed up earlier geometric schemes \cite{3, 5} in NMR.

Let us start with the single-qubit case. The root Hamiltonian \( H_0(t) \) is diagonalised by its instantaneous eigenvectors
\[
|\phi_0(t)\rangle = \cos \frac{\theta}{2} |0\rangle + \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \ e^{i \omega t} |1\rangle, \\
|\phi_1(t)\rangle = -\sin \frac{\theta}{2} |0\rangle + \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \ e^{i \omega t} |1\rangle \quad (11)
\]
with \( \sigma_z |p\rangle = (-1)^p |p\rangle \), \( p = 0, 1 \), where we for notational convenience use the conventional qubit notation \( |0\rangle, |1\rangle \). Clearly, the initial eigenvectors \( |\phi_0(0)\rangle \equiv |\phi_0\rangle \) are parametrised solely by the spherical angle \( \theta \), and evolve exactly under the \( \text{TQD} \) Hamiltonian into the instantaneous eigenvectors \( |\phi_p(t)\rangle \) up to phase factors. After completing a loop, each such phase factor comprises a dynamical (\( \delta_p \)) and a geometric (\( \beta_p \)) component, which, as shown above, are the same as those of adiabatic evolution driven by \( H_0(t) \) alone. Explicitly, one finds
\[
\delta_p = (1 - 2p) \delta, \\
\beta_p = (2p - 1) \frac{\Omega}{2}, \quad (12)
\]
where \( \Omega \) is the solid angle enclosed by the loop. Note that while \( \delta \) is independent of the orientation of the loop, \( \Omega \) changes sign when the loop is reversed. Thus, \( \delta_p = \delta_p \) and \( \beta_p = -\beta_p \), which applied to the spin echo scheme results in
\[
|\phi_p\rangle \xrightarrow{C_{\delta_p}} e^{i(\delta_p + \beta_p)} |\phi_p\rangle \xrightarrow{\pi} e^{i(\delta_p + \beta_p)} |\phi_{p\oplus 1}\rangle \\
\xrightarrow{C_{\delta_p}} e^{i(\delta_p + \beta_{p\oplus 1})} |\phi_{p\oplus 1}\rangle = e^{i(\delta_p + \delta_{p\oplus 1} + \beta_p - \beta_{p\oplus 1})} |\phi_{p\oplus 1}\rangle \xrightarrow{\pi} e^{i(\delta_p + \delta_{p\oplus 1} + \beta_p - \beta_{p\oplus 1})} |\phi_p\rangle, \quad (13)
\]
where \( \oplus \) is addition modulo 2. By combining Eqs. (12) and (13), we see that the spin echo sequence cancels the dynamical phases and thereby results in the purely geometric gate \( |\phi_p\rangle \rightarrow U(\Omega) |\phi_p\rangle = c^{i(\delta_p - \beta_p)} |\phi_p\rangle \), i.e.,
\[
U(\Omega) = e^{-i\Omega} |\phi_0\rangle \langle \phi_0| + e^{i\Omega} |\phi_1\rangle \langle \phi_1|, \quad (14)
\]
By rewriting this in terms of the computational basis \{\( |0\rangle, |1\rangle \}\) via the expressions for the initial eigenstates \( |\phi_p\rangle \), one obtains the unitary
\[
U(\theta, \Omega) = e^{-i\Omega n \cdot \mathbf{\sigma}}, \quad (15)
\]
where \( n = (\sin \theta, 0, \cos \theta) \).

For \( U(\theta, \Omega) \) to be universal \( \theta \) and \( \Omega \) must be able to vary independently, but this is clearly not the case since \( \Omega = 2\pi(1 - \cos \theta) \), and so another control parameter \( \vartheta \) is required. This can be obtained by rotating the \( \text{TQD} \) magnetic fields around some symmetry axis \( \mathbf{B} \), here, the \( y \) axis, such that \( \mathbf{B}' = R_y(\vartheta - \theta) \mathbf{B} \), in turn producing the rotated direction \( \mathbf{n}' = R_y(\vartheta - \theta) \mathbf{n} \), i.e.,
\[
\mathbf{n}' = \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \cos(\vartheta - \theta) & 0 & \sin(\vartheta - \theta) \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
-\sin(\vartheta - \theta) & 0 & \cos(\vartheta - \theta) \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} \sin \theta \\
0 \\
\cos \theta \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} \sin \vartheta \\
0 \\
\cos \vartheta \end{array} \right). \quad (16)
\]
The result is the universal unitary
\[
U(\theta, \Omega) = \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-i\Omega} + \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\Omega} & -i \sin \vartheta \sin \Omega & \frac{\sin \vartheta}{2} e^{-i\Omega} + \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\Omega} \\
-i \sin \vartheta \sin \Omega & \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-i\Omega} + \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\Omega} & 0 \\
-\frac{\sin \vartheta}{2} e^{-i\Omega} - \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\Omega} & 0 & \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-i\Omega} + \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\Omega} \end{array} \right) e^{-i\Omega n' \cdot \mathbf{\sigma}}, \quad (17)
\]
where, by definition, \( \vartheta \) and \( \Omega \) can now be varied independently. Moreover, due to the spherical symmetry the Berry phases remain the same. This is because the same loops are traced, only in a rotated frame, and as such the solid angles remain the same.

For two unitaries \( U(\theta_1, \Omega_1), U(\theta_2, \Omega_2) \) to contribute to universality it is necessary that \( [U(\theta_1, \Omega_1), U(\theta_2, \Omega_2)] \neq 0 \), which can be shown to equate to \cite{13}
\[
\sin \Omega_1 \sin \Omega_2 \sin(\vartheta_1 - \vartheta_2) \neq 0. \quad (18)
\]
Simply choosing \( \vartheta_1 - \vartheta_2 \neq n\pi \), where \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \) takes care of the issue. By letting \( \vartheta_1 = 0 \), the unitary
\[
U(0, \Omega_1) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\Omega_1} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\Omega_1} \end{pmatrix},
\]
(19)
is obtained which is equivalent to the \( \phi \)-gate \( |p\rangle \rightarrow e^{i\pi \Omega_1} |p\rangle \) up to a global phase \( e^{-i\Omega_1} \). Now for the second gate, let \( \vartheta_2 = \pi/2 \), then
\[
U \left( \frac{\pi}{2}, \Omega_2 \right) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \Omega_2 & -i \sin \Omega_2 \\ -i \sin \Omega_2 & \cos \Omega_2 \end{pmatrix}.
\]
(20)
Furthermore, either set \( \Omega_2 = \pi/2 \) to obtain
\[
U \left( \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\]
(21)
being the equivalent to spin-flip up to the phase factor \(-i\), or set \( \Omega_2 = \pi/4 \) to obtain
\[
U \left( \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{4} \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -i \\ -i & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]
(22)
which is an “equal weighted superposition gate”, being roughly equivalent to the Hadamard gate.

We next extend the scheme to enact a geometric two-qubit gate by using the Ising interaction. The original Hamiltonian is
\[
H_0(t) = \gamma_1 B_0(t) \cdot S_I \otimes \mathbb{1} + 1 \otimes \gamma_2 B_0(t) \cdot S_H
+ \frac{2J}{\hbar} S_{Iz} \otimes S_{Hz}
\]
(23)
with I and II denoting the two qubits. By assuming \( \gamma_1/\gamma_2 \gg 1 \), the Hamiltonian reduces to
\[
H_0(t) = \gamma_1 B_0^{(q)}(t) \cdot S_I \otimes |0\rangle \langle 0|
+ \gamma_2 B_0^{(j)}(t) \cdot S_I \otimes |1\rangle \langle 1|.
\]
(24)
Now, we shall assume the rf field is swept to resonance, yielding \( \gamma_1 B_0^{(q)}(t) = \omega t (\cos \omega t, \sin \omega t, (1-2q)J/\omega t) \) with \( q = 0, 1 \) indexing the state of qubit II and we have defined \( \omega_1 = \gamma_1 B_0 \). Thus, I plays the role of the target qubit that sees two different effective magnetic fields \( B_0^{(q)}(t) \) conditioned on the state of the control qubit II. These effective fields are modified in the TQD scheme. If we define
\[
\cos \theta_q \equiv (1-2q) \frac{J}{\sqrt{\omega_1^2 + J^2}} \equiv (1-2q) \cos \tilde{\theta},
\]
(25)
the direction of each modified magnetic field is \( b_0^{(q)}(t) = (\cos \omega t \sin \tilde{\theta}, \sin \omega t \sin \tilde{\theta}, (1-2q) \cos \tilde{\theta}) \). For the correction to the magnetic field in order to achieve the transitionless driving is then
\[
b_0^{(q)} \times \partial t b_0^{(q)} = -(1-2q) \omega \sin \tilde{\theta} \cos \tilde{\theta}
\times (e_x \cos \omega t + e_y \sin \omega t)
+ \omega \sin^2 \tilde{\theta} e_z,
\]
(26)
which define the full TQD effective fields
\[
\gamma_1 B^{(q)}(t) = \gamma_1 B_0^{(q)}(t) + b_0^{(q)} \times \partial t b_0^{(q)}
= (\omega t - (1-2q) \omega \sin \tilde{\theta} \cos \tilde{\theta})
\times (e_x \cos \omega t + e_y \sin \omega t)
+ \left[ (1-2q)J + \omega \sin^2 \tilde{\theta} \right] e_z
\]
(27)
The root Hamiltonian in Eq. (24) is diagonalised by its instantaneous eigenvectors
\[
|\phi_{00}(t)\rangle = \cos \frac{\tilde{\theta}}{2} |00\rangle + e^{i\omega t} \sin \frac{\tilde{\theta}}{2} |10\rangle,
|\phi_{10}(t)\rangle = -\sin \frac{\tilde{\theta}}{2} |00\rangle + e^{i\omega t} \cos \frac{\tilde{\theta}}{2} |10\rangle,
|\phi_{01}(t)\rangle = \sin \frac{\tilde{\theta}}{2} |01\rangle + e^{i\omega t} \cos \frac{\tilde{\theta}}{2} |11\rangle,
|\phi_{11}(t)\rangle = -\cos \frac{\tilde{\theta}}{2} |01\rangle + e^{i\omega t} \sin \frac{\tilde{\theta}}{2} |11\rangle,
\]
(28)
where we have used that \( \theta_0 = \tilde{\theta} \) and \( \theta_1 = \pi - \tilde{\theta} \). The refocusing scheme extended to two qubits is
\[
C \rightarrow \pi_1 \rightarrow C \rightarrow \pi_H \rightarrow C \rightarrow \pi_1 \rightarrow C \rightarrow \pi_H,
\]
(29)
where the Berry phases picked up are \( \beta_{pq} = (2p-1)1/2 \Omega_q \)
with
\[
|\Omega_q| = 2\pi \left[ 1 - (1-2q) \cos \tilde{\theta} \right] = 2\pi \left[ 1 - (1-2q) \frac{J}{\sqrt{\omega_1^2 + J^2}} \right].
\]
(30)
Again, the solid angle changes sign depending on the direction of the path, thus \( \beta_{pq} = -\beta_{pq} \), while \( \delta_{pq} = \delta_{pq} \). The spin echo scheme results in
\[
|\phi_{pq}\rangle \rightarrow e^{i(\beta_{pq} - \beta_{p+1,q} + \beta_{p+1,q+1} - \beta_{p,q+1})} |\phi_{pq}\rangle.
\]
(31)
Thus, the refocusing scheme ensues a purely geometric gate \( |\phi_{pq}\rangle \rightarrow U(\Delta \Omega) |\phi_{pq}\rangle = e^{i(\pi + 2\Delta \Omega)} |\phi_{pq}\rangle \), i.e.,
\[
U = e^{2i\Delta \Omega} (|00\rangle \langle 00| + |11\rangle \langle 11|)
+ e^{-2i\Delta \Omega} (|01\rangle \langle 01| + |10\rangle \langle 10|),
\]
(32)
where we have defined the differential solid angle \( \Delta \Omega \equiv (\Omega_f - \Omega_0)/2 \). Again, the prerequisite rotation of the magnetic field is required so that the substitution \( \pi \rightarrow \theta \) can be made. This makes the unitary in the computational basis parameter dependent as \( U(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_1, \Delta \Omega) \). By choosing \( \vartheta_0 = \vartheta_1 = 0 \) the phase gate
\[
U(0, 0, \Delta \Omega) = e^{i2\Delta \Omega} (|00\rangle \langle 00| + |11\rangle \langle 11|)
+ e^{-i2\Delta \Omega} (|01\rangle \langle 01| + |10\rangle \langle 10|),
\]
(33)
is obtained. This is a conditional gate where a phase difference of \( 4\Delta \Omega \) is picked up depending on whether the qubits are parallel or not.
Since the TQD correction $b_0^{(q)} \times \partial_t b_0^{(q)}$ of the effective magnetic field $B_q(t)$ seen by the target qubit I is conditionalized upon the state of the control qubit II, it becomes difficult to realize experimentally the TQD Hamiltonian directly. This can be resolved by reformulating the system in terms of the time independent Hamiltonian

$$H_{\text{exp}} = \omega I^I \sin \theta' S_x \otimes I + \omega J_{zz} \cos \theta' S_z \otimes I$$

$$+ \frac{2 J_{xx}}{h} S_x \otimes S_x + \frac{2 J_{yy}}{h} S_y \otimes S_y.$$  

as the starting point for the scheme. In this way, the TQD Hamiltonian can be simulated by rotating the sample described by $H_{\text{exp}}$ with angular frequency $\omega$ around the $z$ axis. This can be seen by evaluating the Hamiltonian in the rotating frame, yielding

$$\hat{H}_{\text{exp}}(t) = \gamma_1 \hat{B}_{\text{exp}}^{(0)}(t) \cdot S \otimes |0\rangle \langle 0|$$

$$+ \gamma_1 \hat{B}_{\text{exp}}^{(1)}(t) \cdot S \otimes |1\rangle \langle 1| + \omega I \otimes S_z.$$  

with

$$\gamma_1 \hat{B}_{\text{exp}}^{(q)} = [\omega I^I \sin \theta' + \omega J_{zz} | J_{xx} |] (e_x \cos \omega t + e_y \sin \omega t)$$

$$+ \omega J_{zz} \cos \theta' \omega + (1 - 2q) J_{zz} e_z.$$  

Now, the extra control qubit term $\omega I \otimes S_z$ in Eq. (35) commutes with the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_{\text{exp}}(t)$ and will therefore be cancelled by the spin echo. We may thus simulate the effect of the two-qubit TQD system by a suitable choice of experimental parameters so that Eqs. (36) and (37) coincide. This yields

$$J_{xx} = -\omega \sin \theta' \cos \theta = -\frac{\omega J_{zz}}{\omega^2 + J_z^2} J_z,$$

$$J_{zz} = J_z,$$

$$\tan \theta' = -\frac{\omega I^I}{\omega \cos^2 \theta} = -\frac{\omega I^I}{\omega J_z^2} (\omega^2 + J_z^2),$$

$$\omega' = \sqrt{\omega^2 + \omega^2 \cos^4 \hat{\theta}}$$

$$= \frac{\sqrt{\omega^4 (\omega^2 + J_z^2)^2 + \omega^2 J_z^4}}{\omega^2 + J_z^2}. $$  

IV. CONCLUSIONS

A technique for accurate spin control based on a combination of spin echo with transitionless quantum driving has been proposed. This provides means to perform all elements in the spin echo sequence at high speed. We have demonstrated that the technique can be used to implement robust one- and two-qubit geometric gates in NMR quantum information processing. This form of high-speed geometric gates differs from previous proposals of nonadiabatic geometric quantum computation. Another potentially important technological application of the high-speed spin echo scheme is MRI, for which the suppression of nonadiabatic transitions may help to improve scanning resolution.
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