Multiwavelength Campaign of Observations of AE Aqr
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Abstract. We provide a summary of results, obtained from a multiwavelength (TeV $\gamma$-ray, X-ray, UV, optical, and radio) campaign of observations of AE Aqr conducted in 2005 August 28–September 2, on the nature and correlation of the flux variations in the various wavebands, the white dwarf spin evolution, the properties of the X-ray emission region, and the very low upper limits on the TeV $\gamma$-ray flux.
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1. Introduction

AE Aqr is a bright ($V \approx 11$) novalike cataclysmic variable consisting of a magnetic white dwarf primary and a K4–5 V secondary with a long 9.88 hr orbital period and the shortest known 33 s white dwarf rotation period. Although originally classified and interpreted as a disk-accreting DQ Her star, AE Aqr is now widely believed to be a former supersoft X-ray binary (Schenker et al. 2002) and current magnetic propeller (Wynn, King, & Horne 1997), with most of the mass lost by the secondary being flung out of the binary by the magnetic field of the rapidly rotating white dwarf.

Because of its unique properties and variable emission across the electromagnetic spectrum, AE Aqr has been the subject of numerous studies, including the campaign of multiwavelength observations in 1993 October (Casares et al. 1996, and the series of papers in Buckley & Warner 1995). Given the many improvements in observing capabilities since that time, we undertook a campaign of multiwavelength observations of AE Aqr in 2005 August 28–September 2, built around a Chandra/HST/VLA joint observing proposal. To these coordinated
X-ray, UV, and radio observations, we added H.E.S.S. and MAGIC TeV γ-ray observations, Skinakas Observatory 1.3-m telescope and Mount Laguna Observatory 40-in telescope fast B-band optical photometry, and extensive B- and V-band optical photometry obtained by Center for Backyard Astrophysics (CBA) and American Association of Variable Star Observers (AAVSO) professional amateur astronomers B. Allen, M. Bonnardeau (BZU), P. de Ponthiere (DPP), A. Gilmore (GAM), K. A. Graham (GKA), R. A. James (JM), M. Koppelman (KMP), B. Monard (MLF), A. Oksanen (OAR), T. Richards (RIX), D. Starkey (SDB), and T. Vanmunster (VMT) (see Fig. 1).

As is maddeningly typical of multiwavelength campaigns, our efforts met with mixed success. H.E.S.S. was able to observe AE Aqr for only two brief intervals; the weather was less than ideal during the MAGIC observations; our plan to obtain HST STIS time-tagged UV echelle grating spectroscopy was thwarted by the failure of the FUV-MAMA detector’s power supply; our backup plan to
Fig. 2. Chandra X-ray; GALEX NUV; Skinakas, Mount Laguna, CBA, and AAVSO B- and V-band (blue and red points, respectively) optical; and VLA radio light curves of AE Aqr.

Fig. 3. Chandra X-ray (upper histogram); GALEX NUV (blue points); Skinakas & Mount Laguna (black points) and CBA & AAVSO (red points) B-band optical; and VLA radio (lower histogram) light curves of AE Aqr. The times of some of the more prominent optical flares are marked with dotted blue vertical lines.
obtain GALEX FUV and NUV photometry was compromised by a then ongoing problem with the FUV detector; the timings of the pulsations observed in the GALEX NUV data are uncertain because of an uncertain offset to the spacecraft clock; the observations were spread out over a longer interval and had less overlap than is ideal, and some of the acquired data never have been analyzed. Nonetheless, we have published results to summarize and a number of new results to report.

2. Light Curves

The Chandra HETG (0.5–6 keV) X-ray, GALEX NUV (1750–2800 Å) ultraviolet, Skinakas and Mount Laguna Observatory B-band optical, CBA and AAVSO B- and V-band optical, and VLA 3.6 cm radio light curves obtained during our campaign are shown in Figs. 2 and 3. Although the flux is variable in every waveband, the nature of the variability in the radio waveband is clearly different from that in the optical through X-ray wavebands. The flares in these wavebands are highly correlated, last between a few hundred and a few thousand seconds, and have an amplitude that increases from the optical through the NUV.

To quantify the degree of correlation between the various wavebands, we show in Fig. 4 scatter plots of the GALEX NUV and VLA radio flux as a function of the Chandra HETG X-ray flux. Linear fits to the data, shown by the blue lines, have correlation coefficients of +0.83 and −0.22, respectively, demonstrating a strong positive correlation between the X-ray and NUV wavebands and a weak negative correlation between the X-ray and radio wavebands. We take these results as evidence that the optical through X-ray emission regions are tightly coupled and hence in close proximity, whereas the radio emission region is not.

3. Spin Period Ephemeris

de Jager et al. (1994) used optical and UV photometry of AE Aqr, obtained over the interval 1978 June–1992 November, to show that (1) the 33 s pulsation follows the white dwarf around the binary center of mass, with a projected semi-amplitude \( (a \sin i)/c = 2.04 \pm 0.13 \) s, and (2) the rotation period of the white dwarf is spinning down at a rate \( \dot{P} = 5.642(20) \times 10^{-14} \) d\(^{-1}\). Mauche (2006) used the Chandra data obtained during our campaign to show that the 33 s X-ray pulsation also follows the white dwarf around the binary center of mass, with a projected semi-amplitude \( (a \sin i)/c = 2.17 \pm 0.48 \) s. He also found that pulse timings derived from Chandra and from previous XMM-Newton (2001 November) and ASCA (1995 October) observations are not consistent with the de Jager quadratic spin ephemeris, although the ephemeris could be fixed with the addition of a cubic term \( \ddot{P} = 3.46(56) \times 10^{-19} \) d\(^{-1}\). Dulude (2009) derived pulse timings from three out of four nights of the Skinakas and Mount Laguna B-band optical photometry...
obtained during our campaign. He found that the optical pulse timings are consistent with the Chandra X-ray pulse time within the errors, and used all the available data to further investigate the white dwarf spin evolution. We discovered a few errors in his transcription of the de Jager pulse timing data, so redid this analysis for both quadratic and cubic ephemerides. The resulting fit residuals are shown in Fig. 5 and the spin ephemeris constants are listed in Table 1, which includes the de Jager quadratic spin ephemeris constants for reference.

In each case, the fits are unweighted and the error estimates are derived by assuming that the timing errors are given by the standard deviation of the data relative to the fit (hence, $\chi^2 = 1$). While the cubic ephemeris is not required by the data, the spin-down rate $\dot{P}$ in the quadratic ephemeris differs from de Jager’s value by $5.1\sigma$; regardless of how it is parameterized, we confirm that the white dwarf in AE Aqr is spinning down at a rate that is faster than predicted by de Jager et al. (1994).

4. X-ray
We summarize here and in Fig. 6 the results obtained by Mauche (2009) from our Chandra HETG observation of AE Aqr. First, the X-ray spectrum is that of an optically thin multi-temperature thermal plasma; the X-ray emission lines are broad, with widths that increase...
with the line energy, from 510 km s$^{-1}$ for O VIII to 820 km s$^{-1}$ for Si XIV; the X-ray spectrum is well fit by a plasma model with a Gaussian emission measure distribution that peaks at log $T(K) = 7.16$, has a width $\sigma = 0.48$, an Fe abundance equal to 0.44 times solar, and other metal (primarily Ne, Mg, and Si) abundances equal to 0.76 times solar; and for a distance $d = 100$ pc, the total emission measure $EM = 8 \times 10^{33}$ cm$^{-3}$ and the 0.5–10 keV luminosity $L_X = 1 \times 10^{31}$ erg s$^{-1}$.

Second, based on the $f/(i+r)$ flux ratios of the forbidden ($f$), intercombination ($i$), and recombination ($r$) lines of the He $\alpha$ triplets of N VI, O VII, and Ne IX measured by Itoh et al. (2006) in the XMM-Newton RGS spectrum and those of O VII, Ne IX, Mg XI, and Si XIII in the Chandra HETG spectrum, either the plasma electron density increases with temperature by over three orders of magnitude, from $n_e \approx 6 \times 10^{10}$ cm$^{-3}$ for N VI [log $T(K) \approx 6$] to $n_e \approx 1 \times 10^{14}$ cm$^{-3}$ for Si XIII [log $T(K) \approx 7$], and/or the plasma is significantly affected by photoexcitation. Third, the radial velocity of the X-ray emission lines varies on the white dwarf spin phase, with two oscillations per spin cycle and an amplitude $K \approx 160$ km s$^{-1}$.

5. TeV $\gamma$-ray

We summarize here the results obtained by Sidro et al. (2008) during four consecutive nights and a total of 15.3 hr of observations of AE Aqr with the 17-m MAGIC atmospheric Cherenkov telescope. Unfortunately,
on all four nights of observations, the cosmic trigger rate was low and the optical extinction was high, indicating that the sky was veiled by calima (airborne dust and sand, blown off the Sahara Desert). All of the MAGIC data were analyzed using the standard reconstruction and analysis software, and a set of special Monte Carlo simulations were employed to correct the energy scale and gamma efficiency of our analysis. Because of the relatively poor observing conditions, the lack of a detection, and the absence of an entirely independent analysis of the data — because the analysis has not been thoroughly vetted by the MAGIC consortium — the following results should be considered preliminary. Nevertheless:

No evidence was found for steady γ-ray emission on any night or during the sum of the four nights of our observations. On three of the four nights, the upper limits for steady emission are $\sim 8.0 \times 10^{-12}$ photons cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ above 340 GeV and $\sim 2.5 \times 10^{-12}$ photons cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ above 650 GeV. Note that, using a total of 68.7 hr of exposure of AE Aqr obtained with the Whipple Observatory 10-m atmospheric Cherenkov telescope, Lang et al. (1998) derived an upper limit for steady γ-ray emission of $4 \times 10^{-12}$ photons cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ above 900 GeV. These upper limits are three orders of magnitude lower than the flux reported by others during the purported γ-ray burst activity.

In addition to steady emission, we searched for pulsed γ-ray emission on each night and during the sum of the four nights of our observations, using both the Rayleigh test and phase-folding on frequencies near the white dwarf spin frequency and its first harmonic. No evidence above $2\sigma$ was found for pulsed γ-ray emission on any of the four nights, with an upper limit of $\sim 1.5 \times 10^{-12}$ photons cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ above 650 GeV.

6. Summary and Conclusions

- We interpret the weak negative correlation in the flux variations between the X-ray and NUV wavebands in AE Aqr as evidence that the flaring component of the optical through X-ray emission regions is tightly coupled and hence in close proximity.
- We interpret the strong correlation in the flux variations between the X-ray and NUV wavebands in AE Aqr as evidence that the flaring component of the optical through X-ray emission regions are tightly coupled and hence in close proximity.
- The close association of the optical through X-ray emission regions is demonstrated by the common phase and 2-s semi-amplitude of the binary-phase dependence of the pulse timings. More specifically, the pulsating component of the optical through X-ray emission regions follow the white dwarf around the binary center in mass.
- Although the fit parameters of the spin ephemeris of the white dwarf depend on how the pulse timings are modeled and how the pulse timing errors are handled, we confirm that the white dwarf in AE Aqr is spinning down at a rate that is faster than predicted by the quadratic ephemeris of de Jager et al. (1994).
- The results of the Chandra HETG observation of AE Aqr (Mauche 2009), specifically the high inferred plasma densities and the spin-phase radial velocity variations of the X-ray emission lines, are inconsistent with the recent models of Itoh et al. (2006), Ikhsanov (2006), and Venter & Meintjes (2007) of an extended, low-density source of X-rays in AE Aqr, but instead support earlier models in which the dominant source of X-rays is of high density and in close proximity to the white dwarf.
- Given the non-detections of AE Aqr by the Whipple Observatory (Lang et al. 1998) and MAGIC (Sidro et al. 2008) atmospheric Cherenkov telescopes, and absent any publication of a positive TeV γ-ray detection since the mid-1990’s, we suggest the possibility that, contrary to previous claims and common belief, AE Aqr is not a TeV γ-ray source.
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DISCUSSION

ROBERT CONAN SMITH: Given the measured radial velocity amplitude of the X-ray emission lines and the known spin period, can you estimate the radius at which the X-ray plasma is trapped?

CHRIS MAUCHE: Evidently not. The measured $K$ velocity of the X-ray emission lines is approximately 160 km s$^{-1}$, whereas the rotation velocity of material trapped on, and rotating with, the white dwarf is $2\pi r/P_{\text{spin}} \approx 1300(r/R_{\text{wd}})$ km s$^{-1}$. A simple two-spot model of the X-ray emission region produces $K \approx 500$ km s$^{-1}$, but this is still too large by a factor of $\sim 3$. This remains a puzzle.

MANABU ISHIDA: You said that the estimate of the size of the X-ray emission region will be reduced by an order of magnitude relative to that given by Itoh et al. (2006); isn’t it still larger than the size of the white dwarf? Also, the maximum plasma temperature is too low for accretion onto the white dwarf.

CHRIS MAUCHE: I actually said orders of magnitude: At the peak of the emission measure distribution, the emission measure $EM \approx 5.8 \times 10^{52}$ cm$^{-3}$, the density $n_e \approx 1 \times 10^{14}$ cm$^{-3}$, and the linear scale $l = (EM/n_e^2)^{1/3} \approx 1.4 \times 10^8$ cm $\sim 0.2 R_{\text{wd}}$. I interpret the observed low maximum plasma temperature in AE Aqr as evidence that the accreting plasma does not pass through a strong hydrodynamic shock on its way to the white dwarf surface. The absence of such a shock might be expected for the low accretion rate, hence the low specific accretion rate, in AE Aqr.

PIETER MEITJES: I disagree with your claim that AE Aqr is not a TeV source. We found in early TeV observations that the duty cycle is very small ($<10\%$). So, many more observations, especially with H.E.S.S., are required.

CHRIS MAUCHE: Although I agree that the question of AE Aqr’s purported TeV emission can be settled only with additional observations, Whipple and MAGIC already have observed AE Aqr for a combined 84 hr and seen nothing. Either we have been extraordinarily unlucky, or the original claims that AE Aqr is a TeV source are wrong. I feel lucky.