Understanding and Monitoring Chronic Myeloid Leukemia Blast Crisis: How to Better Manage Patients
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Abstract: Chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) is triggered primarily by the t(9;22)(q34.13;q11.23) translocation. This reciprocal chromosomal translocation leads to the formation of the BCR-ABL fusion gene. Patients in the chronic phase (CP) experience a good curative effect with tyrosine kinase inhibitors. However, cases are treatment refractory, with a dismal prognosis, when the disease has progressed to the accelerated phase (AP) or blast phase (BP). Until now, few reports have provided a comprehensive description of the mechanisms involved at different molecular levels. Indeed, the underlying pathogenesis of CML evolution comprises genetic aberrations, chromosomal translocations (except for the Philadelphia chromosome), telomere biology, and epigenetic anomalies. Herein, we provide knowledge of the biology responsible for blast transformation of CML at several levels, such as genetics, telomere biology, and epigenetic anomalies. Because of the limited treatment options available and poor outcomes, only the therapeutic response is monitored regularly, which involves BCR-ABL transcript level assessment and immunologic surveillance, with the optimal treatment strategy for patients in CP adapted to evaluate disease recurrence or progression. Overall, selecting optimal treatment endpoints to predict survival and successful TFR improves the quality of life of patients. Thus, identifying risk factors and developing risk-adapted therapeutic options may contribute to a better outcome for advanced-phase patients.
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Introduction

Chronic myeloid leukemia is a myeloproliferative neoplasm marked by excessive malignant accumulation of myeloid cells in peripheral blood and the bone marrow (BM). The disease is caused by the BCR-ABL fusion gene generated from the t(9;22)(q34;q11) reciprocal translocation, encoding the BCR-ABL oncoprotein, which constitutively activates ABL kinase and drives hematopoietic cell proliferation and leukemic transformation.1–6 CML is a natural triphasic course disease starting with the indolent CP, which is characterized by a remarkable increase in myeloid precursors and mature cells and lasts approximately 3–5 years.7 Without therapeutic intervention, after a median interval of 3–18 months, the disease spontaneously progresses to AP and eventually to highly aggressive BP, characterized by a rapid expansion of primitive cells in the bone marrow that spill into circulation,8,9 similar to acute leukemia.

With the development of tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI) imatinib and subsequent advent of second-generation drugs such as nilotinib, dasatinib, and bosutinib,
which target the BCR-ABL fusion protein, treatment outcomes for chronic CML have improved significantly. The subsequent introduction of ponatinib, which is effective in patients with genetic abnormalities that make them resistant to TKIs, has further improved treatment outcomes, and the overall survival rate is up to 90%.6-10-12

However, a proportion of chronic patients fail to respond to tyrosine kinase inhibitors and progress to blast crisis (BC), generally regarded as a heterogeneous disease at the molecular level,9 and conventional chemotherapy has been much less effective, followed by a response rate of only 30% and a substantially shortened time before the development of resistance.13 The median overall survival (OS) and failure-free survival (FFS) of BP-CML are 12 and 5 months, respectively.14

The underlying biological basis that drives the transformation to BC remains unclear. According to a deep-sequencing study of BP-CML, genetic changes occur in almost 76.9% of cases. Gene defects and additional chromosomal abnormalities are found at a higher rate in BP-CML patients than in CP patients. However, no single mutation has been proven to play a definitive role in blast crisis.7,15 BP-CML may partly come from a critical number of accumulated mutations. Additionally, telomere biology and epigenetic anomalies are frequently present in the advanced phase but rarely in the CP.16 Disease progression may well be propelled by the “right” combination of molecular-level changes.

Considering the poor prognosis of BP patients, along with the current research being constantly updated, we present an overview of the current relevant research findings from multiple dimensions, such as the molecular mechanism of blast crisis, treatment response assessment, and risk factor identification, to establish a thorough understanding of this disease. Treatment response assessments, such as regular BCR-ABL monitoring and immunologic surveillance, and timely intervention help prevent relapse or progression after treatment. Optimal endpoint evaluation reveals how long and deep the treatment response has predictive value in improving the long-term survival and successful TFR rate. Identifying risk factors may be beneficial for developing risk-adapted therapeutic options, focusing more on and preparing for upfront HSCT.

Evidence That Drives CML Progression is Increasingly Explored at Different Molecular Levels
The pathobiology of CML-BP involves multiple intricate pathways but is not fully understood.17 Higher expression and activation of BCR-ABL (P=0.0079 at the protein level, P=0.015 at the RNA level) were found.3,18 On the one hand, the cytoplasmic location advantage of the BCR-ABL oncoprotein allows it to access many cell substrates that are not accessible by major nuclear ABL proteins, which regulate the activation of survival and proliferation pathways,19 such as RAS/STAT5/PI-3K.20-23 On the other hand, growing evidence exists that uncontrolled activation of BCR-ABL is directly linked to the genetic instability observed in disease progression, initiated by reactive oxygen species (ROS) and oxidative DNA damage. BCR-ABL kinase activity seems to promote various DNA repair mechanisms but with inefficient, unfaithful DNA repair in return, facilitating the accumulation of additional cytogenetic abnormalities that cause irreversible changes in the phenotype of CML-CP cells toward that in BP and selection of BP clones.24-27 Multiple mechanisms, such as oncogene activation, loss of tumor suppressor genes, blockade of cell differentiation, malfunction of apoptosis, telomere theory, and abnormal epigenetic modification, may be involved in the disease progression of CML (Table 1, Figure 1).7,19

Genetic Events
Fortunately, with the advent of microarray gene expression profiling and next-generation sequencing (NGS) technologies, a realistic opportunity exists to identify suitable biomarkers that alter gene expression and profile disease progression gene candidates.

Mutations in the BCR-ABL kinase domain (KD) are the most frequent events that are closely associated with the reactivation of clonal BCR-ABL leukemia cells28 and are reported to account for roughly 70%-80% in BP patients.29 Notably, low platelet counts (estimated odds ratio [OR], 19.7), clonal cytogenetic evolution (estimated OR, 14.163), and prior exposure to 6-thioguanine (estimated OR, 43.3) were associated with KD mutation detection but not the imatinib treatment response, event-free survival (EFS) or OS.26 However, a study on CML by the GIMEMA Working Party identified the presence of BCR-ABL KD mutations associated with a particularly poor prognosis in terms of time to progression (p=0.0002) and survival (p=0.001). This finding is similar to that of Shah et al. Additionally, the Working Party concluded that a specific subset of mutations that fall within the ATP binding loop (P-loop) of ABL confers a worse outcome, such as a shorter time to progression (p=0.032) and shorter survival (p=0.045). It has not yet
### Table 1 Genetic, Chromosomal, Telomere Biology and Epigenetic Modification Changes Associated with BP Transformation

| Candidates | Alterations | Features | Functions and Mechanisms |
|------------|-------------|----------|--------------------------|
| **BCR-ABL kinase domain**<sup>28,30–32</sup> | Mutation | Y253F E255K | Increases carcinogenic potency, enhances autophosphorylation and tyrosine phosphorylation |
| | | T315I | Decreases sensitivity to imatinib |
| p53<sup>34,36</sup> | Loss | — | Apoptosis disorder |
| | | | Cannot eliminate genetically defective cells |
| IKZF1<sup>37</sup> | Deletion | — | Affects pre-B cell differentiation |
| MYC<sup>38–40</sup> | Upregulation | — | Mitochondrial genome instability, blocks cell growth, upregulates BCR-ABL expression, suppresses miR-150, decreases sensitivity to imatinib |
| **Genetic Events** | Mutation | H78Q, D171G, R174Q, R139G, G381fs-ter570, R174Q, V91fs-ter94 | Induces BCR-ABL-expressing mice to develop mortal CML-BP-like or CML-AP-like diseases |
| RUNX1<sup>44</sup> | Mutation | — | Interferes with the myelomonocytic differentiation of BCR-ABL-expressing BM hematopoietic stem/progenitor cells |
| **β-Catenin pathway**<sup>50,54</sup> | Activation | — | Enhances cell self-renewal ability |
| | | | Promotes DNMT1 transcription, silencing PTPRG by the hypermethylation of its promoter region |
| CBL, CBLB, IDH1/2, ASXL1, TET2<sup>55</sup> | — | — | Accessory karyotypic abnormalities in advance CML |
| CEBPA<sup>52,53</sup> | Inactivation | — | Abrogates myeloid cell differentiation |
| SOCS2, CD52 | Upregulation | — | Higher frequency in the BP population |
| MPO, PRAME, HLA antigens, JunB, Fos and FosB<sup>8</sup> | Downregulation | — | |
| **ACAs**<sup>56,58</sup> | Numeric Changes | +8, +21, i (17q), 3q26.2 rearrangement, +Ph, −7/7q, +19 | Higher frequency in the BP population |
| | Structural changes | t (1;21); t (3;21); t (7;11) | Higher frequency in the BP population; formation of the fusion protein |
| **Telomere Biology**<sup>59,60,62</sup> | Telomere length | Shortening | Higher frequency and telomere length are shorter in the BP population, accelerated telomere shortening conferring cells growth advantages |
| | Telomerase activity | Decreasing | Induces BCR-ABL-expressing cells expansion limited and stop proliferating, with morphological characteristics of apoptosis death in vitro |

(Continued)
been intensively investigated why the P-loop mutation seems to be associated with this aggressive manifestation; however, a previous study reported that P-loop mutations such as Y253F and E255K represent pronounced increasing carcinogenicity and transformation potency. Griswold et al suspected that diversity in intrinsic kinase activity might partially explain why differences in the transformation potential exist.\(^{28,30}\) Additionally, compared with wild-type BCR-ABL, when the mutation is located in E255K, along with the hydrogen bond location with imatinib (T315I), the abilities for the autophosphorylation and tyrosine phosphorylation of many important cellular proteins are enhanced, including STAT5.\(^{28,31}\) Mutations in the abovementioned sites have also significantly decreased sensitivity to imatinib at both the biochemical and cellular levels.\(^{32}\) There are many other mutations in BCR-ABL KD, such as V299L, F359V/I/C, and F317L,\(^{6,23}\) which have not been enumerated, and we must further explore their relationship with CML blast crisis. Additionally, Etienne et al showed that the disease phase at the time of mutation testing affects prognosis, regardless of whether a mutation is present. Thus, early mutation analysis

| Candidates | Alterations | Features | Functions and Mechanisms |
|------------|-------------|---------|-------------------------|
| Epigenetic Modification\(^{63–66}\) | Methylated CpG | Upregulation | HIC1, MEG3 promoter, miR-147 promoter |
| | | Downregulation | EPB4I1L3, PRDX2, PLCL1, TUSC1, BCL11B, NDRG2 |
| Histone modification | Increased | RBP2 |

**Notes:** This table represents the molecular mechanisms involved in CML progression.

**Abbreviations:** CML, chronic myeloid leukemia; AP, accelerated phase; BP, blast phase; BM, bone marrow.

![Figure 1](https://doi.org/10.2147/CMAR.S314343)

**Figure 1** Disease progression of CML. During chronic phase, the CML stem/progenitor cells remain capable of differentiation and result in over production of mature granulocytes. In blast phase, differentiation of CML stem/progenitor has become arrested, leading to excessive accumulation of immature blasts that spill into the circulation. The biological mechanism that are responsible for CML blast transformation involves in genetic events, additional chromosomal aberrations, telomere biology and epigenetic alterations.
together with accessibility to 2nd- and 3rd-generation TKIs are recommended because in preclinical studies, the worst outcome associated with BCR-ABL kinase domain mutations was reversed.\textsuperscript{33}

In addition to BCR-ABL kinase domain mutations, other genetic defects are also associated with the progression of chronic myeloid leukemia, including MYC, RUNX1, IKZF1, PP2A, PTEN, p53, and GATA-2 genes, resulting in various outcomes.

The p53 gene, which maps to chromosome 17, is one of the most frequent tumor suppressor genes associated with human cancers. Accumulated evidence has shown that changes in the p53 gene are usually associated with blast crisis in CML, where they manifest as rearrangements, deletions, and point mutations.\textsuperscript{34} p53 gene deletion is uncommon in CP-CML, whereas it can be detected in 25%–30% of the BP-CML population.\textsuperscript{35} In 1996, Stuppia et al reported that the loss of the p53 gene is correlated with a reduction in the apoptosis process and blast transformation of CML.\textsuperscript{36} When BCR/ABL-expressing mice were injected with p53-deficient bone marrow cells, in contrast with the wild-type p53 counterpart, a fatal acute leukemia-like disease developed. The cells were homogeneously morphologically and immunophenotypically undifferentiated, had stronger resistance to apoptosis induced by growth factor deprivation, and maintained high clonal potential in the growth factor deprivation culture environment.\textsuperscript{20,35}

Indeed, wild-type p53 works as a “molecular policeman” in the normal cell cycle. When DNA is injured by either irradiation or reagents, p53 mediates cell cycle arrest and the DNA damage response by increasing self-overexpression or inducing the expression of the cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK) inhibitors p21 WAF1/CIP1 and p16 to stop the transition of G1 to S phase simultaneously; thus, the cells can repair the damage or undergo apoptosis to eliminate cells with irreparable genetic defects.\textsuperscript{34} In the absence of a functional p53 gene, genomic instability occurs, and aberrant cells develop reproductive advantages.

The IKZF1 (Ikaros) gene is another tumor suppressor gene in human hematopoietic cells that was originally identified in genetic target studies of mice. The Ikaros protein, encoded by IKZF1, belongs to the zinc finger DNA-binding protein family and is an essential transcription factor for the development of the blood lymphatic system.\textsuperscript{23} Deletions of the IKZF1 gene were noted in 50%–55% of patients with lymphoid BP.\textsuperscript{7} A convincing study concluded that Ikaros activity could be affected by the overexpression of dominant-negative Ik-6, a transcription factor gene and non-DNA-binding splice isoform of Ikaros, involved in pre-B cell differentiation, through interacting with larger Ikaros isoforms, such as Ik-1, Ik-2, and Ik-3. Additionally, when this aberrant Ik-6 is silenced in Ph-positive pre-B cells using siRNA or reduced expression by imatinib treatment, differentiation along the pre-B cell lineages that have ceased before experimental management is partially restored,\textsuperscript{16,37} suggesting that genetic lesions resulting in the loss of Ikaros function are an important event in the development of BP.

The MYC proto-oncogene is upregulated in blast crises of CML patients.\textsuperscript{21} Albajar et al showed that MYC overexpression is a key event in mitochondrial genome instability, such as unscheduled DNA replication and aberrant DNA synthesis in the presence of imatinib.\textsuperscript{38} By recognizing the SKP2 subunit of the SCF-SKP2 complex, MYC reduces p27KIP1 expression to block cell growth.\textsuperscript{39,40} Lower levels of miR-150 were identified in BP-CML; in fact, the MYC oncogene suppresses miR-150, and this process is enhanced by BCR-ABL. More importantly, MYC upregulates BCR-ABL expression by activating the partner MYB gene and then combines with the BCR promoter.\textsuperscript{40,41} This hypothesis is confirmed by inhibiting MYC with antisense oligonucleotides or dominant-negative constructs to inhibit BCR-ABL transformation or leukemia in vitro.\textsuperscript{27} These findings, along with the established role of MYC in myeloid differentiation,\textsuperscript{42} imply that dysregulation of the MYC gene is a powerful promoter of BC transformation in CML.

In addition to MYC, mutations of RUNX1 (also known as AML1) are aggressive drivers of hematologic malignancies,\textsuperscript{23,43} followed by a poor prognosis. A high frequency of RUNX1 mutations of 12.9% to 33.3% is found in the advanced-phase population.\textsuperscript{44} RUNX1 mutants such as H78Q and V91fs-ter94 (located in the runt homology domain selected) can abrogate granulocytic differentiation in vitro. When BCR-ABL-expressing mice were injected with H78Q or V91fs-ter94 BM cells, interestingly, 80% of H78Q- and 33.3% of V91fs-ter94-harboring mice developed mortal CML-BP-like or CML-AP-like diseases mostly recognized in advanced-phase CML but are not
detected among CP patients. GATA-2 mutants interfered with the myelomonocytic differentiation of BCR-ABL-expressing BM hematopoietic stem/progenitor cells by inhibiting the main hematopoiesis regulator of PU.1.36 Awad et al revealed characteristic transcriptional programming in RUNX1mut cases, including B-lymphoid markers such as CD19 and CD7. In a newly published study, CD19 CAR T cells revealed potent activity against RUNX1mut BP-CML patient cells in vivo. Additionally, CD19-CAR T cells showed enhanced killing of RUNX1mut BP-CML blasts when combined with TKI. Consequently, CAR-T cell immunotherapy in BP-CML individuals, particularly those who harbor CD19-positive RUNX1 mutations, represents a potentially promising therapeutic option.44,47

To shed light on the transcriptional signatures of chronic myeloid leukemia, a study that analyzed gene expression profiles in CD34+ BCR-ABL-positive BP patients has yielded some new findings. Suppressor of cytokine signaling 2 (SOCS2) was the most obviously upregulated in BP-CML, followed by CAMPATH-1 antigen (CD52), while MPO declined the most; others, such as HLA antigens, PRAME, JunB, Fos, and FosB, showed varying degrees of dysregulation.8,48 Recently, a newly defined ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme E2A gene (UBE2A) mutation was recurrently obtained when evolving to fatal advanced-stage CML. Decreased UBE2A activity abrogates myeloid cell differentiation.9 The tumor suppressor PP2A is a major cellular serine-threonine phosphatase that provides negative feedback to signal triggers and is sustained by protein kinases. Inactivation of PP2A is mainly induced by BCR-ABL, increasing the expression of the phosphoprotein SET, which is a critical element of disease progression. CCAAT/enhancer binding protein (CEBPA), a major regulator of granulocytic differentiation, is also suppressed by elevated expression of BCR-ABL.23,27,49–53 β-Catenin, a pivotal regulator of leukemia stem cell (LSC) maintenance and CML proliferation, is overexpressed in primary BP-CML cell samples, correlating with enhanced self-renewal of CML cells. Additionally, activation of β-catenin promotes DNMT1 transcription, silencing protein tyrosine receptor type γ (PTPRG, a crucial tumor suppressor gene) through hypermethylation of its promoter region.50,54 Mutations such as CBL, CBLB, TET2, ASXL1, and IDH1/2 have been extensively reviewed elsewhere and have only been detected in accelerated and blast phase CML, but they may play an accessory role in the pathogenesis of evolution into the aggressive phase of CML.55

**Chromosomal Abnormalities**

Compared with CML-CP, numeric chromosomal changes are observed at a 50-fold higher frequency, and structural changes are observed at a 12-fold higher frequency in CML-BP. More sensitive comparative genomic hybridization (CGH) and single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) analyses have detected multiple genetic aberrations in CP, but the karyotype of BP patients is much more complex.7,16 Existing evidence suggests that BCR-ABL fusion is the root of acquired genetic instability and leads to the continued acquisition of additional chromosomal aberrations (ACAs) and mutations.56 The incidence of ACAs among CP-CML patients is 5%, while 60%-80% ACAs are detected in BP-CML.2,57,58 Previously, the European Leukemia Network (ELN) recommended that ACAs present at the time of a new diagnosis be defined as a warning signal and that newly emerging ACAs for treatment be defined as a failure. However, it was later found that the ACA subtype could be used to predict the patient outcome. A randomized German CML Study IV showed a significantly negative prognostic impact of major-route ACAs at diagnosis on the time to complete cytogenetic response (CCyR) and MMR (major molecular response) and progression-free survival (PFS) and OS.56 For example, 3q26.2 rearrangement, a newly defined major-route ACA with a high frequency of ABL1 mutations, indicates a poor prognosis. Other major-route changes, such as +8, +19, +21, and +Ph alone, are less powerful predictors, but a strong possibility of promoting the progression to BP exists when other cytogenetic alterations coexist. However, 3q26.2 rearrangements, −7/7q− and i(17q), particularly 3q26.2 rearrangements and −7/7q−. are more potent. The coincidence of +8 and other ACAs, despite the nature of other concurrent ACAs, suggests a poor treatment response and poor survival during TKI therapy, whereas +8 alone is associated with an optimal response to TKI therapy and good survival.23,57 This finding is consistent with a retrospective study of 2,326 CML patients. However, it also revealed that all ACAs have a risk of evolving to myeloid BP (MyBP), whereas only −7/7q− had a higher incidence with progression to lymphoid BP (LyBP); and the underlying reason for the difference between blast transformation and phenotype is not well understood. Importantly, the main determinant of prognosis is the time from ACA emergence to the onset of
BP, rather than from the initial diagnosis to ACA development or after the onset of BP to ACA occurrence, which largely depends on the subtype of ACA.\(^{58}\)

In addition to t(1;21), which involves RUNX1 expression, structural chromosomal changes known to occur in the blast phase are relatively infrequent (<5%). The most notable recurrent translocation is the acquisition of t(3;21) and t(7;11), resulting in the formation of dominant-negative transcription factors, such as the AML-1/EVI-1 and NUP98-HOXA9 fusion proteins, respectively. Coexpression of BCR-ABL1 and both transcription factors can cause CML-BP–like disease in mouse models by intervening with the signal required for the correct activation of self-renewal and differentiation programs.\(^{16,19,27}\)

### Telomere Biology

Telomere biology is closely related to the disease evolution of human cancers and plays a pivotal role in the progression of chronic myelogenous leukemia.\(^{59}\) Furthermore, by establishing a CML-like cell culture of telomerase knockout (mTR-/-) BMCs in a mouse model, experts have shown that accelerated telomere shortening, rather than critically shortened telomeres, is frequently found in the advanced phase. Intriguingly, murine CML-like bone marrow cells with critically short telomeres (CML-iG4) underwent senescence and growth limitation, whereas preshortedened (generation G2) CML-like BMCs expanded rapidly and expressed a significantly upregulated telomere-associated secretory phenotype (TASP), comprising complex chemokines, cytokines, and other growth factors, thereby conferring selective growth advantages on cells driven by the BCR-ABL oncogene.\(^{10,60}\) Consistent with an earlier retrospective study in 2004, the telomere length in BP cells was significantly shortened (P<0.0001) compared with that in early CP. Additionally, in de novo CP CML patients, telomere shortening proceeds rapidly and may be considered a precursor to early disease evolution because of telomere dysfunction and serious genetic instability.\(^{61}\)

However, telomerase maintains the normal structure of chromosome ends in eukaryotic cells, but telomerase activity is upregulated in many human tumors, including late-phase CML. To investigate the impact of telomerase inhibition by dominant-negative human telomerase reverse transcriptase (DN-hTERT) in BCR-ABL-positive BMCs, Tauchi et al introduced DN-hTERT into BCR-ABL-transformed BMCs compared with their control counterparts injected with wild-type (WT)-hTERT and expressed only a puromycin resistance marker. The experimental cells showed a significant decline in telomerase activity and a shortened telomere length. Cell expansion was limited, and the cells finally stopped proliferating, with morphological characteristics of apoptosis death. More importantly, they also showed enhanced sensitivity to imatinib, suggesting that imatinib combined with telomerase inhibition may be effective in treating BCR-ABL-positive leukemia,\(^{62}\) even in the advanced phase of CML.

### Epigenetic Modification

Previous studies have indicated that epigenetic anomalies, including DNA methylation and histone modification, play an important role in the progression of CML from CP to BP.\(^{24}\) Heller et al identified 10-fold more differentially methylated CpG sites in BP patients than in CP patients, and methylation is increased in most of the affected CpG sites. Methylated genes that are tumor suppressor genes (EPB41L3 and PRDX2), putative tumor suppressor genes (PLCL1 and TUSC1), regulators of cell proliferation (BCL11B, NDRG2, and P1D1), or regulators of drug metabolism (CYP1B1) are downregulated.\(^{63}\) In a larger methylation group study, the number of methylated CpG sites increased 100-fold.\(^{64}\) HIC1 hypermethylation was detected in 100% of blast crisis CML.\(^{65}\) Long noncoding RNAs MEG3 and miR-147 showed lower expression levels, MEG3 and miR-147 promoter methylation was detected, and the expression levels of DNMT1, DNMT3B, MBD2, MECP2, and HDAC1 were higher. Low MEG3 expression levels result in hyperphosphorylation of the JAK2 and STAT pathways, which promote proliferation, inhibit apoptosis, and affect genetically related genes. Treatment with chidamide increased MEG3 and miR-147 expression levels while decreasing the expression levels of DNMT1, DNMT3B, MBD2, MECP2, and HDAC1.\(^{66}\)

Next, we concentrated on histone modification. Retinoblastoma-binding protein 2 (RBP2) is a member of the KDM5 family. Underexpressed RBP2 in CML-BP mediates CML blast crisis transition in a BCR-ABL-independent pathway and BCR-ABL-dependent pathway at the transcriptional level. The former pathway downregulates miR-21 expression, while the latter activates an RBP2/PTEN/BCR-ABL cascade. RBP2 reduces the expression of PTEN by binding to its promoter directly. At the protein level, PTEN targets the protein phosphatase activity of BCR-ABL to mediate the dephosphorylation of BCR-ABL, which finally regulates the downstream
signaling pathways of BCR-ABL such as the p-STAT5 and p-ERK signaling pathways.²⁴

What is a Better Method of Management?

BCR-ABL Monitoring to Prevent the Onset of CML Blast Crisis Transformation

Patients with CML-CP have a comparable prognosis to the normal population in the TKI era, although some patients who respond initially later become resistant, most of whom may at some stage acquire additional genetic and/or epigenetic changes that cause leukemia transformation.⁶⁷ Notably, progression in patients who progressed mainly occurred during the first 3 years of treatment. A more rapid decline in the leukemic clone burden is linked to an optimized outcome as well as reduced rates of transformation.⁶⁸,⁶⁹ Hence, even if a good curative response is achieved, monitoring must be performed frequently and regularly to prevent blast crises, particularly in the first 3 years.

Detection of BCR-ABL transcripts in patients with CML by quantitative reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (QR-PCR) is clinically important for determining the treatment response and selecting the optimal treatment strategy (as well as for providing prognostic information).⁴,⁷⁰ For example, leukemia cell lines expressing high levels of BCR-ABL are less sensitive to imatinib; when a response occurs, it is rarely sustained, and the development of refractoriness is often rapid because it yields mutant subclone resistance in chronic myeloid leukemia.³

Furthermore, several “molecular response milestones” at specific time points might help predict the outcome and select treatment drugs. The first crucial molecular milestone is an early molecular response (EMR), defined as the achievement of BCR-ABL IS (international scale) transcript levels <10% (1-log reduction) at 3 months and 6 months after the onset of TKI therapy. This approach has been standard of care because it is highly predictive of long-term outcomes, including OS, PFS, and event-free survival (EFS). Approximately 70% of patients face a 5-year OS of 95% after achieving EMR within 3 months of imatinib treatment. In 2014, Branford et al showed that, although patients did not achieve EMR, those with BCR-ABL that declined from their baseline to a halving time of no more than 76 days had significantly superior outcomes (OS: 95% vs 58%, P=0002; PFS: 92% vs 63%, P=0.008; MMR: 54% vs 5%, P=0.008). Last year, in 2020, a similar outcome was shown in the halving time in the ≤40 days group. More importantly, the German C. M. L. Study Group demonstrated precisely that a 3-month BCR-ABL transcript elimination rate of 0.46 log (approximately equivalent to a half log) from baseline accurately identified patients at risk for disease progression (high risk: 48/301 (16%) patients; 5-year OS: 83% vs 98%; hazard ratio (HR): 6.3; P=0.001). The second crucial time point is after 6 months of therapy, when the BCR-ABL IS transcript levels are expected to be <1% (2-log reduction). This time point helps identify a higher-risk subgroup of patients who failed to achieve EMR at 3 months after imatinib treatment. For example, group 2, who achieved BCR-ABL IS transcripts <1% IS at 6 months after failing to achieve an EMR at 3 months, did well in the absence of a significant difference in the short- or long-term outcomes compared with group 1, who achieved an EMR at 3 months. The higher-risk patients in group 4, who failed to attain levels <10% IS at 3 and 6 months, showed the worst short- and long-term outcomes, supporting the panel of the new ELN recommendations that a single failure to achieve the BCR/ABL transcript level <10% at 3 months is insufficient to define “failure”. Therefore, it is recommended to recheck molecular response more frequently in case of early failure, even and preferably before 6 months.

MMR is the third time point, defined as a reduction in the BCR-ABL IS transcript levels by at least three logs after 12 months of imatinib treatment. More recently, the more effective second-generation TKI allows for a deep molecular response (DMR), defined as a transcription level of BCR-ABL ≤0.01%. BCR-ABL monitoring sorted CML patients into “optimal” for continuously using the same TKI, “warning” to be considered for a possible TKI alteration, and “failed patients” who do not reach EMR, MMR, or DMR, and an immediate switch of treatment is required. When evaluating patients who are eligible for TKI discontinuation, more stringent TFR criteria should include typical BCR-ABL transcripts (b2a2 or b3a2), a minimal duration of TKI treatment of 4–5 years, and a duration of DMR (MR4 or better) of more than 2 years. BCR-ABL monitoring should be performed monthly for the first 6 to 12 months during TKI discontinuation and may be tapered off after that to avoid recurrence.⁴,⁶,⁶⁹,⁷¹–⁷⁷

Finally, in the posttransplant population, persistently positive BCR-ABL levels after 6–12 months can be regarded as a precursor for disease relapse. The BCR-
ABL transcripts also differed significantly between molecular and cytogenetic recurrence (0.004% VS 0.4%; P<0.001), and the clinical significance is that unequivocal therapeutic decisions are allowed, such as the withdrawal of immunosuppressive agents to prevent and treat graft-versus-host disease (GVHD), application of donor leukocyte infusion, or therapy with interferon (IFN)-α. Notably, patients with very low or undetectable BCR-ABL transcripts (BCR-ABL <0.1%) after 10 years of transplantation are least likely to experience relapse.78,79

Prospects of Immunologic Surveillance in the Warning of CML Blast Crisis Transformation

Dysregulation of the immunological response turns out to be critical pathogenesis of CP-CML. Additionally, approximately half of the patients who have achieved an optimal therapy response can discontinue their treatment without relapse, partly because of the immunological changes observed during TKI treatment.80 The immune system, notably natural killer (NK) cell-mediated immune surveillance, is now the most consistently identified predictor of TFR success following TKI cessation. This is due to the decreased NK cell cloning frequency in CP at diagnosis and worsening as the disease progresses to AP and BC, whereas successful TFR patients are recorded to have elevated NK cells at TKI discontinuation.77,81,82 Conversely, immune suppressor cells such as regulatory T-cells (Tregs) and myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) expand during disease progression or relapse and are reduced following TKI therapy.77,80 Other immunological factors, such as dendritic cells (DCs),83 plasmacytoid dendritic cells (pDCs),84 CD8+ cytotoxic T-cells (CTLs),85 leukemia-associated antigens (LAAs),86 and B-cells,87 also play a pivotal role in contributing to CML; however, further investigation should be launched to explore their impact on therapy responses. Additionally, studies have also indicated that imatinib and dasatinib treatment generates a more active immune system, and changes were not observed during bosutinib treatment.82,86,88,89

Thus, immunologic surveillance, similar to BCR-ABL monitoring, is a promising method to prevent CML progression. Additionally, imatinib and dasatinib have potent immunomodulatory effects in CML.

Selecting Optimal Endpoints During Treatment

Patients in the chronic phase who achieve CCyR have a life expectancy close to that of those achieving MMR or the general population.6,90 In contrast, the 5-year survival rate of CML-BP patients with CCyR (12.2%) is similar to that of patients with HR (11.0%) and significantly lower than that of patients with MMR. CML-BP patients who achieve MMR have a poor 5-year survival rate of only 34.4%. Therefore, achieving CCyR and MMR is not the optimal endpoint for optimal survival. By contrast, the survival advantage of molecularly undetectable leukemia (MUL) is easily appreciated, with a 5-year survival rate of 71.8%.90 Consequently, MUL should be the ultimate goal for the best long-term outcome in CML-BP patients.

Treatment-free remission is emerging as an important motivation in patients with CML to reduce the long-term complications of TKIs, such as relieving the financial burden and having fewer late adverse effects, particularly in younger patients. DMR is the most relevant clinical endpoint for CP-CML patients and is currently required to consider a test of TFR. More importantly, 40%-60% of patients who attained deep and durable molecular responses successfully maintained remission after TKI discontinuation.12,22,23,68 Numerous studies have identified correlations between TFR and the cellular immune system, including a higher number of NK cells and a lower Treg proportion, as previously mentioned, compared with patients who show disease relapse or transformation, suggesting that TFR is based on immune regulation and should be considered to identify promising immunotherapeutic targets, allowing more patients to achieve successful TKI cessation.81,82,91,92 However, to further evaluate the impact of the immune system on TFR, additional immunological parameters must be studied, preferably quantified, to determine the optimal therapeutic endpoint for successful TFR.

Identifying Prognostic Factors

BP-CML is treatment refractory and has a poor prognosis. Although there is an initial response in most patients, CCyR is relatively rare, and less than 30% of patients may achieve CCyR after extensive chemotherapy; however, it is generally short-lived, with a median duration of response of approximately 6 months.93 Allogeneic hematopoietic stem-cell transplantation (HSCT) is the sole curative approach and is recommended for patients who have
a complete hematologic response (CHR). However, with transient responses less than 10%, more than 50% eventually undergo leukemic relapse, only 5%-10% of patients show long-term leukemia-free survival, and the 2-year and 5-year OS rates are only 18% and 6%, respectively. Risk factors must be identified and risk-adapted therapeutic options must be developed, such as upfront HSCT once a second chronic phase is achieved. In a single-institution survey, BP-CML patients who had undergone lymphocyte transformation and were treated with tyrosine kinase inhibitors were two independent positive prognostic factors for CHR, and age >60 years, a hemoglobin level <100 g/L, and a complex chromosomal karyotype were associated with shorter survival. However, no favorable evidence was found on the relationship between combined chemotherapy with TKIs and OS. A hemoglobin level <100 g/L, no second CP after TKI therapy and TKI therapy alone rather than combination therapy are independent adverse predictors of OS and EFS. Another larger-scale study in 477 BP-CML patients suggested that lymphoid immunophenotype, no previous TKI treatment, age less than 58 years, LDH <1227 IU/L, platelet count >102 K/μL, stem cell transplantation (SCT) recipients, and de novo BP predicted a superior prognosis but that chromosome 15 aberrations (a type of minor route ACA), hemoglobin <130 g/dL, and 5% blast cells in BM are independent adverse predictors of OS and EFS. BP-CML patients with lymphoid phenotype have a superior prognosis likely because they respond effectively to vincristine and prednisone. They have certain unique clinical, hematological, and other laboratory features, including a higher proportion who progress to BP directly without the intermediate AP (P=0.002), limited enlargement of organs such as the liver (P=0.02) and spleen (P=0.03), a higher percentage of bone marrow blasts (P <0.0001), fewer circulating basophils (P <0.0001), and a higher level of serum albumin (P=0.001). Another study also reported other features, such as a significantly younger patient population, less anemia, lower white blood cell and peripheral blood pro- tokel counts, and lower serum lactate dehydrogenase levels. Guidelines call for TKI therapy based on the mutation profile for blast crisis patients combined with chemotherapy or TKI alone, with the goal of achieving a second chronic phase and proceeding to allogeneic stem cell transplantation as quickly as possible.

Clinically, flow cytometry is rarely employed to diagnose CP-CML because of differentiated leukemia cells. However, a cohort study indicated that flow cytometry can be applied to predict early blast lineage transformation in CP-CML by detecting the surface markers of leukemia cells. Among 33 CP patients, 2 expressed lymphoid markers and 31 expressed myeloid markers; both lymphoid patients rapidly progressed to lymphoid blast crisis after 6 and 11 months, and three of the 31 myeloid patients progressed to lymphoid BP at 4, 20, and 72 months after therapy. Furthermore, flow cytometry was performed to identify that spleen tyrosine kinase (Syk) was significantly overexpressed, constitutive phosphorylation of Syk Y348 only appeared at the time of disease progression, and further clinical studies strongly indicated that SYK activation is a relevant biomarker of CML progression. Flow cytometry can be applied as a valuable tool to predict patients who may progress to blast crisis early.

Studies on CML found that the expression of PD-1 in CD8+ T cells in CHR is lower than that in newly diagnosed, AP and BP patients. PD-1 is positively correlated with the BCR-ABL gene; furthermore, PD-1 expression in CD4+ T cells in BP is higher than that in patients who achieve CHR, emphasizing the role of detecting PD-1 expression in CD8+ T cells in predicting disease recurrence or progression. Thus, PD-L1 inhibitors may be considered.

In conclusion, the mechanism underlying chronic myeloid leukemia blast crisis is complicated, resulting from synthetic anomalies at different levels. Recognizing these underlying mechanisms contributes to the identification of promising target medicines for precise treatment. By discerning high-risk prognostic factors, monitoring effectively for posttreatment patients, alerting for minor discrepancies and adopting timely countermeasures, we believe that disease relapse or transformation can be prevented.
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