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ABSTRACT  This article provides an overview of outstanding sessions that were supported by Assembly 9 during the recent European Respiratory Society International Congress in Paris, France. Session content was mainly targeted at allied health professionals such as respiratory physiologists, respiratory physiotherapists and respiratory nurses. Recent developments and novel findings related to pulmonary function testing, respiratory muscle function assessments and treatment, and multidimensional and multidisciplinary approaches to the assessment and management of dyspnoea were the focus of these sessions and are summarised here.
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Introduction
The 2018 European Respiratory Society (ERS) International Congress offered allied health professionals a wide range of interesting sessions. Early career members of assembly 9, including respiratory physiologists, respiratory physiotherapists and respiratory nurses were asked to report on an educational workshop on body plethysmography, an exciting “pro/con” debate on lung function testing and the two well-attended symposia on respiratory muscle function, and multidimensional and multidisciplinary approaches to managing dyspnoea. This report attempts to succinctly summarise some of the latest scientific and clinical insights gained from each session, targeting delegates who were present in Paris as well as those unable to attend in person.

Skills workshop on body plethysmography
In 2018, specialists in respiratory physiology from group 9.1 developed and delivered a skills workshop focused on improving knowledge, theory and practical skills to perform body plethysmography testing in accordance with American Thoracic Society/ERS technical standards [1]. Airways resistance techniques were also discussed. Workshops were chaired by Jellien Makonga-Braaksma and Frans De Jongh.

The aim of the workshop was to better inform current practice through small group work targeting the following four key areas: 1) quality control; 2) technical aspects and system settings; 3) test performance; and 4) clinical interpretation. Delegates were provided with a useful infographic summarising key points from each workstation (table 1).

### Quality control
*M. Swanney, Christchurch, New-Zealand and F. Burgos, Barcelona, Spain*
Quality control is essential to ensure a robust method for measurement as the results are only as good as the quality assurance process that is in practice. Poor quality control can lead to errors in measurement. The body plethysmograph requires three verification checks of volume measurement, pressure transducer and isothermal leak in order to produce accurate results. The system settings, measurement evaluation and calculations are vital to understanding how values are determined and influenced during testing.

### Technical aspects and system settings
*K. De Soomer, Burcht, Belgium and E. Oostveen, Edegem, Belgium*
The technical aspects of measurement relate to the principles behind the measurement technique with regards to the measurement of lung volumes and airways resistance. The technique was first developed by DuBois et al. [2, 3] in 1956 and is based on Boyle’s Law: pressure×volume=constant under isothermal

| TABLE 1 Summary of the skills workshop in body plethysmography |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Calibration, QC and settings     | Technical aspects                | Standardisation of test         | Reporting                       |
| Check ambient conditions         | Ensure adequate thermal          | Test performance as per         | Airways resistance should be a   |
| Perform three flow volume        | equilibration                    | international and national      | uniform overlapping shape of     |
| calibrations of sensor e.g.      | Check internal speaker is        | recommendations                  | consistent breaths              |
| pneumotach                       | working and communication        | Enter patient demographic data  | Report median breath             |
| Perform leak time verification    | with patient is clear            | into system software            | from median trial               |
| and time constant                | Ensure mouthpiece is an          | Consider patient limitations    | Report sR_eff                    |
| Perform pressure sensor          | appropriate size and fit for the | Explain the purpose of the test  | TGV should occur at the          |
| verification                     | patient and adjust the arm to    | and most suitable method        | end of a tidal breath            |
| Perform BIO QC to check          | the most comfortable position    | Check sitting position, position| ERV should be reproducible       |
| normal values                    | for patients upright sitting    | of sensor mounting arm and that | VC should be consistent          |
| Review system settings for       | position                         | patient can hold their cheeks   | within 5% variation              |
| shutter time and calculation of  | Ensure there is a filter and nose| Close the door and explain the   |                                |
| RV and TLC                       | peg available                    | test again during thermal       |                                |
| Quarterly perform isothermal     | System has been calibrated       | equilibrium                     |                                |
| calibration as part of the QA    | successfully                     | Perform linked or separated     |                                |
| programme (known volume/         | Operator understands the        | manoeuvre:                      |                                |
| resistance method)               | technical aspects of the tests   | Airways resistance              |                                |
|                                 |                                 | TGV                            |                                |
|                                 |                                 | VC manoeuvre                    |                                |

QC: quality control; sR_eff: effective specific airways resistance; TGV: thoracic gas volume; ERV: expiratory reserve volume; VC: vital capacity; BIO QC: biological quality control; RV: residual volume; TLC: total lung capacity; QA: quality assurance.
conditions. The body plethysmograph measures changes in both pressure and volume to determine thoracic gas volume (TGV) at any point of lung inflation. TGV is measured at the end of a tidal breath; that is, at functional residual capacity (FRC). Expiratory reserve volume (ERV) is measured after TGV and can be used to calculate residual volume (RV); TGV−ERV=RV. The addition of a vital capacity (VC) manoeuvre allows for total lung capacity (TLC) to be derived: VC+RV=TLC. Airways resistance can also be measured but must be performed prior to lung volumes. In order to inhale, alveolar pressure must decrease below atmospheric pressure, resulting in a proportional increase in box pressure (Pbox). There is also a resulting volume change measured at the mouth (Vm), and the difference between ΔPbox and ΔVm is the specific airways resistance (sRaw). sRaw is expressed commonly as effective (sReff) or total (sRtot) depending on which part of the airways resistance loop is measured.

**Standardisation of test performance**  
M. Rutter, Cambridge, UK and A. McGowan, Dublin, Ireland

A standardised method of test performance is essential for reproducible results. Test information should be communicated clearly and concisely in simple terms to the subject. The skill of testing is the recognition of performance errors and the ability to clearly rectify these using a standard approach in subjects that struggle with the standard technique.

**Clinical interpretation**  
G. Garcia, Le Kremlin-Bicêtre, France and K. Sylvester, Cambridge, UK

Interpretation of body plethysmography results requires understanding the orientation of values on the lung function report and there is a case for prioritising interpretation via “standardised residuals” over “percentage predicted” values. Results present differently based on differing pathophysiology, in terms of obstructive versus restrictive lung disease. There are several key parameters for lung volume measurement and airways resistance: TLC, RV, VC, FRC, ERV, RV/TLC, FRC/TLC, sReff and sRtot. In addition to the values, pattern recognition of the changes in airways resistance loops, thoracic gas volume manoeuvres and volume−time curve, based on differing pathophysiology, is important. Results range from normal, restrictive, mixed and varying degrees of obstruction with related gas trapping and hyperinflation.

**Concluding remarks**

Overall, attendees were presented with a comprehensive overview of all aspects required to perform and interpret body plethysmography in accordance with current best practice. Unique access to experts sharing their knowledge and experience was of particular benefit to those in attendance. Many delegates commented upon the usefulness of the workshop and a desire for more test procedures to be presented in a similar way. We hope to see a gas diffusion workshop at next year’s Congress!

**“Pro/con” debate on current and evolving tests in lung function**

Current and evolving tests in lung function were discussed at through a spirited and enlightening “pro/con” style debate.

**FEV1 is helpful in respiratory medicine**  
R. Rodriguez Roisin, Barcelona, Spain and O. Usmani, London, UK

R. Rodriguez Roisin on the “pro” side argued that clinical evidence to date shows forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV1) to be a vital outcome of chronic airways disease and a helpful parameter for long-term follow-up of patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). According to the Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease, the diagnosis of COPD in any patient is made in the presence of symptoms along with exposure to risk factors, after spirometric assessment [4]. Therefore, quality spirometry and the accurate determination of FEV1 is one vital component in the diagnosis of COPD. The basis of treatment in COPD is then guided by the assessment of symptoms, shortness of breath and quality of life, and not by spirometry in isolation [4]. The course of airflow obstruction is not fixed and changes in FEV1 are variable over time. Data from VESTBO et al [5] in the ECLIPSE study showed that the rate of change in FEV1 was greatest among current smokers, patients with bronchodilator reversibility, and patients with emphysema. Long-term follow-up of FEV1 in patients with chronic lung disease is important because its changes over time can influence a variety of management decisions that impact upon prognosis.

O. Usmani on the “con” side presented the caveats of treating patients by numbers (specifically the FEV1). He pointed to NISHIMURA et al [6] and their study that indicated that dyspnoea is a better predictor of 5-year survival than measures of airways obstruction in patients with COPD. In eosinophilic asthmatics, mepolizumab has been shown to improve exacerbation rates but does not show any consistent effect on objective measures such as FEV1 [7, 8]. O. Usmani referenced the clinical utility of forced vital capacity
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(FVC) (measured during the same manoeuvre as FEV1). BURNEY and HOOPER [9] in their general population sample from the USA indicated that FVC could predict survival in asymptomatic adults without a chronic respiratory diagnosis or persistent respiratory symptoms. The fully adjusted hazard ratio associated with high FVC was 0.90 in men (95% CI 0.80–1.00; p=0.049) and 0.82 in women (95% CI 0.70–0.95; p=0.01). This compares with 0.98 for FEV1 in men (95% CI 0.90–1.07; p=0.72) and 1.01 in women (95% CI 0.89–1.15; p=0.84). In this study the association between FVC and survival could not be explained by age, anthropometry, smoking, income occupation or blood pressure.

**Forced oscillometry**

R. Dandurand, Beaconsfield, Canada and P. Calverley, Liverpool, UK

Discussion was led on the “pro” side by R. Dandurand arguing that “the technique is now ready for screening respiratory disease”. Setting out the clinical utility of the technique, he quoted KORHLAUF et al. [10] and their study of the effects of bronchial challenge with methacholine in healthy nonsmokers and asymptomatic smokers comparing FEV1 and oscillometry measures. This study found that resistance and reactance at low frequencies showed a three to four times higher post-challenge reaction compared with FEV1. Pre- and post-challenge FEV1 did not correlate with resistance and reactance over the whole frequency spectrum. R. Dandurand also discussed data from a study by OPPENHEIMER et al. [11] that looked at oscillometry in symptomatic first responders (in the presence of normal spirometry) following exposure to World Trade Centre dust. These researchers found that mean resistance at 5 Hz, 5–20 Hz and reactance area were elevated (mean±SE 4.36±0.12 cmH2O·L·s−1, 0.86±0.05 cmH2O·L·s−1 and 6.12±0.50 cm H2O·L·s−1, respectively). In this study resistance and reactance where shown to be normalised after bronchodilation. R. Dandurand reported that since 2016 all manufacturers have been working together on an oscillometry harmonisation project to standardise outputs between devices. The deadline for harmonisation and retesting is 2020.

P. Calverley on the “con” side cautioned that oscillometry is not interchangeable with spirometry and as yet there are no data to support oscillometry for screening in a population of individuals without signs or symptoms or respiratory disease. There are also limited data in interstitial lung disease, chest wall disease or bronchiectasis. P. Calverley illustrated with data from CHEM et al. [12] and their ECLIPSE study the degree of day-to-day variation in oscillatory mechanics compared to serial spirometry measurements taken over the same time period. This element of variability has implications for effective decision making when using the technique in the clinical setting, away from large population-based study groups. P. Calverley concluded that oscillometry is an important new tool that can help in disease management but cautioned it is not yet ready to use as a screening tool.

**Multiple breath washout (MBW) technique is a helpful tool for assessing respiratory disease**

B. Thompson, Melbourne, Australia and P. Latzin, Bern, Switzerland

In the final “pro/con” debate B. Thompson pointed out that MBW is not a new technique; rather, it is well established in the research setting but has been slow to translate into the clinical setting. Through the work of ROBINSON et al. [13], there is a published consensus statement for the MBW technique. He showed that STUART-ANDREWS et al. [14] and VERBANCK et al. [15] have produced analysis techniques and equations to facilitate rapid quantification of MBW test findings. This work will support the application of the technique in the clinical setting and add to its ultimate clinical utility. According to B. Thompson, the clinical utility for the device can be demonstrated with published data from studies in asthma, COPD, lung transplantation, haematopoietic stem cell transplantation and idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis disease [16–20].

P. Latzin on the “con” side contended that the true clinical utility of MBW has not yet been defined and questioned the feasibility of its use in a clinical setting. P. Latzin argued that the interpretation of results is still not clear and more data are needed to show what the actual minimal clinically important difference is for MBW. The debate also raised the issue of lack of standardisation of software algorithms between manufacturers, along with the use of different gasses and system setups becoming obstacles to clear decision making in the clinical setting. During the oscillometry debate we heard how manufacturers can come together to work in harmony with clinicians and scientists to standardise and improve the clinical utility of emerging (and sometimes re-emerging) technologies. Perhaps this co-operation will be replicated for MBW.

**Current challenges and future directions**

B. Cooper, Edgbaston, UK

The debate was followed up with a talk on the current challenges and future direction of the Global Lung Function Initiative (GLI). B. Cooper spoke on behalf of the ERS GLI network. The initial stated purpose of the GLI was to derive internationally valid “all-age” spirometry reference equations with a specific focus on defining normal ranges and accurately representing ethnic differences. Reference ranges are important
because they directly affect the interpretation of patient results [21, 22]. The GLI reference values cover the
life span and some ethnic populations (there was a call out for more data, see the GLI website: lungfunction.org) and provide insight into lung growth throughout puberty and in young adults. The GLI have since published reference values for spirometry and gas transfer testing (transfer factor for carbon monoxide (TLCO)) [23, 24]. The GLI values for TLCO allow for interpretation of TLCO in young children, during adolescence and during the transition from paediatric to adult care. The reference values for TLCO provide accurate estimates of limits of normal and avoid fixed cut-offs. The GLI have published toolkits to calculate reference values for spirometry and TLCO, thus making the GLI reference equations and related toolkits highly accessible and freely available for all to use (see: lungfunction.org). Before ending the session, B. Cooper offered insight into the future of the GLI, with objectives being to produce reference values for 1) respiratory muscle pressures; 2) cardiopulmonary exercise testing; 3) oscillometry; 4) airways resistance; and 5) exhaled nitric oxide levels.

Symposium on the respiratory muscles at rest, during exercise and in the critically ill patient
In this session, four expert speakers provided an update on the latest knowledge about respiratory muscle dysfunction, its evaluation and management.

Respiratory muscle dysfunction in COPD: update on causes and biological findings
S. Vergès, Grenoble, France
The muscle dysfunction observed in patients with COPD features reductions in muscle strength and muscle endurance [25]. Previous evidence indicates that the dysfunction does not occur equally in both peripheral and respiratory muscles [26]. There are larger reductions in muscle strength in the respiratory muscles than in peripheral muscles [26]. As a consequence, patients with important muscle dysfunction may present with reduced quality of life, increased mortality and impaired exercise tolerance [25]. Specific to the respiratory muscles, muscle dysfunction is linked to symptoms of dyspnoea and has a role in respiratory failure. There are some known factors affecting respiratory muscle function in patients with COPD. The chronic overload of respiratory muscles imposes some level of chronic fatigue to the muscles. Static and dynamic hyperinflation alter chest mechanics leading to a reduced ability of a shortened diaphragm to produce pressure and an increased elastic load that needs to be overcome by the respiratory muscles [27]. The acute effects of dynamic hyperinflation play an important role in disturbing load–capacity balance of the respiratory muscles and contribute to breathlessness during physical activity.

Other factors contributing to muscle dysfunction include cigarette smoking, malnutrition, ageing, comorbidities, concomitant treatments, exacerbations, reduced physical activity levels and epigenetic factors. It is, therefore, important to measure respiratory muscle function. Muscle strength can be assessed by voluntary and evoked manoeuvres, while muscle endurance can be assessed using time limits during resistive breathing or isocapnic hyperpnoea [25]. More details on muscle testing will be discussed in the upcoming ERS statement on respiratory mechanics and muscle testing at rest and during exercise.

Neural respiratory drive in respiratory disease patients
C. Jolley, London, UK
Respiratory drive originates from the respiratory centres and is tightly linked to the load–capacity balance of the respiratory muscles [28]. A common consequence of an increased drive (caused by load–capacity imbalance) is the presence of breathlessness [28]. Although breathlessness is a disabling symptom in patients with severe disease, it is also highly prevalent in earlier stages of the disease, especially during physical exertion [29]. In patients with COPD, there is a typical increase in end-expiratory lung volume during exertion termed dynamic hyperinflation [30]. As consequence, there is an increase in the work of breathing accompanied by a reduction in capacity of the respiratory muscles during exercise resulting in increased diaphragm muscle activation [31]. Patients with COPD experience a neuromechanical uncoupling between an increased neural respiratory drive and a plateau in force generation of the diaphragm [32]. In other words, as the intensity of an exercise increases patients will try very hard to breath, but ineffective thoracic mechanics will hamper increases in ventilation leading to breathlessness [28]. C. Jolley also showed that neuromechanical uncoupling occurs in conditions other than COPD. Patients with cystic fibrosis [33] and interstitial lung diseases [34] experience a close relationship between increases of both muscle activation and symptoms of dyspnoea. SCHAFFER et al. [35] recently published a study showing neural respiratory drive to be an independent predictor of breathlessness in fibrotic interstitial lung disease patients.

The respiratory muscles in intensive care unit patients
A. Demoule, Paris, France
A large proportion of patients with respiratory failure admitted to an intensive care unit experience acute diaphragm dysfunction 24 h after intubation [36]. During an intensive care stay, patients also experience
ventilator-induced diaphragm dysfunction (i.e. reductions in muscle force, ultrastructural injuries and decreased cross-sectional area of diaphragm fibres) that worsens with longer periods of mechanical ventilation [37]. Mechanical ventilation also unloads respiratory muscles and evidence suggests there is a progressive reduction of diaphragm thickness that worsens over time [38]. Patients also experience intensive care unit-acquired neuromuscular muscle disorders due to infections, hyperglycaemia, neuromuscular blockers and other factors. As a consequence, there is a high prevalence of diaphragm dysfunction [39], even in patients who recover from illness and are eligible to wean from mechanical ventilation. The presence of the dysfunction is linked to weaning failure [40]. A cost-effective way to evaluate diaphragm dysfunction is the use of diagnostic ultrasound, with measurements of diaphragm excursion and thickness appearing most useful. Low values of either excursion or thickness are directly linked to diaphragm dysfunction [40, 41] and intubation risk in patients with COPD [42].

*Respiratory muscles/mechanics and dyspnoea in disease: what kind of rehabilitation?*

*R. Gosselink, Leuven, Belgium*

Rehabilitation aims to restore the balance between load and capacity of the respiratory pump [27]. This can be done by either unloading the pump (e.g. reducing work of breathing or ventilatory requirements, improving gas exchange, removing secretions) or by increasing the capacity of the pump (e.g. with inspiratory muscle training (IMT)). In stable patients, IMT used in isolation confers benefits across several outcomes [43], whilst its combination with exercise training might be useful to a specific group of patients with respiratory muscle weakness [43, 44]. One recurrent problem of IMT is the way it is delivered. Current evidence of the benefits of IMT using mechanical threshold loading (Threshold IMT; Philips N.V., Amsterdam, the Netherlands) has the limitation that the method is mostly delivered as home-based unsupervised training with limited control on quality and quantity of the training stimulus. Tapered flow resistive loading (TFRL), by contrast, allows the therapist to store all the information about sessions in the device allowing a better monitoring of the training [45]. R. Gosselink also pointed to other important physiological differences between the two devices [45]. A recent study demonstrated that TFRL combined with pulmonary rehabilitation for patients with respiratory muscle weakness increased inspiratory muscle strength and endurance, increased duration and reduced symptoms at isotime during a constant workload endurance cycling test to a larger extent than a control group [46]. In critically ill patients, specifically those with difficult/prolonged weaning, IMT has been shown as an adjunct to the treatment of patients with weaning failure to improve weaning success and reduce mechanical ventilation duration [47]. Importantly, in patients with severely impaired respiratory function, such as those with prolonged weaning, optimal IMT including TFRL may result in better training outcomes. Recently, the protocol of a randomised controlled trial comparing TFRL versus sham in patients that are difficult to wean has been published with no final data available at the moment (expected study completion: September 2021) [48].

*Symposium on dyspnoea: a multidimensional and multidisciplinary approach*

The symposium “Dyspnoea: a multidimensional and multidisciplinary approach” was chaired by Pierantonio Laveneziana and Janelle Yorke. The symposium provided an overview of state-of-the-art advances in the multidimensional and multidisciplinary aspects of dyspnoea by focusing on four themes: the neurophysiology of dyspnoea; exercise and dyspnoea; the multidimensional assessment of dyspnoea; and the clinical impact and management of dyspnoea. The session targeted a wide-ranging audience, including respiratory clinicians, scientists and healthcare policy makers from trainee levels to experienced consultant pulmonologists. The large audience in attendance was rewarded with a session of high quality that included presentations from several renowned experts in the field as well as a patient testimony from an individual living with COPD.

*Multidimensional assessment methods for dyspnoea profiling in clinical practice and laboratory research*

*C. Morelot-Panzini, La Varenne Saint-Hilaire, France*

As there is widespread appreciation that dyspnoea is a multidimensional experience, attendees were offered a detailed overview of some of the leading instruments emerging as the most suitable candidates for its comprehensive measurement. The Multidimensional Dyspnoea Profile (MDP) [49] and Dyspnoea-12 are fast gaining recognition as important instruments for this purpose. While both share similar psychometric properties at the level of single and subdomain scores, the instruments were clarified as serving different purposes and pertaining to different sensations or emotions, making them non-interchangeable [50]. C. Morelot-Panzini offered a detailed account of the strengths of the MDP, in particular, including data demonstrating its validity against a range of patient-reported outcomes and clinical data [51], its application in the field of pulmonary rehabilitation [52], and its associated determinants (physical activity type, depression and FEV1%) in community-based people living with COPD [51]. We were reminded that differences in the sensory processing, affective and emotional experiences of dyspnoea between patients
means simple quantification of dyspnoea intensity alone may fail to reflect the true effectiveness of interventions that improve important dyspnoea sub-domains such as the sensation of “unpleasantness”.

Patient testimonial

K. Mogensen, Reykjavik, Iceland

This presentation reminded attendees of the precise reason for their engagement with ERS and dedication to the field of respiratory medicine: to improve the patient experience of living with chronic lung disease. K. Mogensen travelled to Paris to offer personal reflections that were raw, but honest, describing considerable frustration at the loss of his previous ability to rise early in the day and maintain high levels of physical activity in the community. Despite living with long-term oxygen therapy 24 h per day, K. Mogensen has clearly adopted a proactive, positive approach to life that sees him exercise 4 days per week, including regular sessions in the pool. He reflected on the learned value of accepting his condition for what it is, and not feeling shame at carrying portable oxygen. He urged others living with chronic lung disease to “talk – talk to family, talk to friends, talk to anyone”. K. Mogensen’s closing remarks reflected his clear “can do” approach to living with COPD: he did not want to tell others what to do, instead urging them to “do whatever works, but do something!”.

Affective and emotional dimensions of dyspnoea in chronic lung disease

A. von Leupoldt, Leuven, Belgium

A. von Leupoldt explored the physiological basis of changes in electroencephalography in response to challenges, highlighting how functional magnetic resonance imaging can identify brainwave activation in the cerebellum in “anticipation” of dyspnoea. This led to an interesting area of research exploring the effect of catastrophising in chronic lung disease, commonly quantified by the Breathlessness Catastrophizing Scale. A. von Leupoldt highlighted the fascinating interrelationship between brain activation and structure that occurs in anticipation of dyspnoea, demonstrating that patients with COPD show enhanced neural activation in the hippocampus and amygdala, both of which are key areas in the processing of fear, aversive learning and pain. The assumption underpinning this observation is that increased activation of the hippocampus and amygdala in anticipation of increased dyspnoea closely relates to clinical characteristics such as reduced exercise capacity and quality of life, and positively correlates with disease duration [53]. Interestingly, increased COPD disease duration was also shown to relate to reduced grey matter volume in the anterior cingulate cortex [54], a key structure responsible for antinocepcion and regulation of emotional states, that is tightly interconnected with the amygdala [53].

Common mechanisms of exertional dyspnoea in chronic lung disorders

D. O’Donnell, Kingston, Canada

D. O’Donnell discussed the complex pathways that govern dyspnoea, particularly during exercise, and their relationship with the sensation of dyspnoea. The central factor underpinning this experience is a disruption to the physiological regulation of dyspnoea: a process governed by a deformity of “demand” (neural pathways in the brain) versus “capacity” (the lung mechanics). This deformity was proposed as responsible for the completely different patient experience of dyspnoea reported by people with COPD compared to that of healthy controls (e.g. the sensation of “air hunger”) [34]. D. O’Donnell demonstrated through a typically elegant series of laboratory studies that the core mechanism explaining this deformity is dysfunction to inspiratory neural drive. As exertional dyspnoea is a problem encountered by patients across the spectrum of COPD severity, D. O’Donnell also reiterated the salient avoidance behaviours such as reductions in daily physical activity levels that are commonly adopted in order to minimise the onset of distressing respiratory sensations. It was interesting to note that dyspnoea in mild COPD appears largely explained by increased “wasted” ventilation in the physiological dead space, thereby heightening the drive to breathe and worsening the inspiratory mechanical constraints [55]. Linking patients’ disproportionate dyspnoea to specific pulmonary function abnormalities raises the notion of symptoms being related to obstructive physiology, thereby identifying a potentially valuable treatment target for symptom control [56].

Nonpharmacological interventions for the management of breathlessness

M. Johnson, Hull, UK

The final speaker in this symposium eloquently tied together the previous presentations through a review of a novel model of care that encompasses a holistic approach to the management of dyspnoea. This “Breathing – Thinking – Functioning” model may serve as a useful framework for the development of dyspnoea clinics befitting comprehensive multidisciplinary care [57]. This model was developed after an extensive qualitative review of 101 studies that highlighted many negative impacts of dyspnoea upon daily life reported by people living with chronic lung disease (e.g. “the breathlessness feeds the anxiety, and the anxiety feeds the fear”). This model is only in its relative infancy (e.g. data regarding any optimal duration are currently lacking) but offers many opportunities for advanced practitioner roles for nursing, medical
and allied health professionals. A brief overview of the many differing nonpharmacological approaches to patient care for the management of dyspnoea [58] was also provided, with particular attention given to the simple and effective, but under-utilised, strategy of portable hand-held fans [59]. The nonpharmacological intervention with the best evidence base in respiratory practice is pulmonary rehabilitation, yet this modality is still only provided for less than 4% of patients with COPD in the UK [60]. By contrast, we were reminded that several pharmacological therapies with significantly smaller demonstrated impacts are widely available [60]. This highlights the crucial role for more effective implementation of evidence-based respiratory medicine. Several important clinical research priorities were proposed in order to help boost the credibility of nonpharmacological interventions in the clinical community. These included a call for clearer definitions of nonpharmacological interventions; more investigations of them as single components; and greater learning of how and when they should be most effectively implemented [59].

Concluding remarks
We hope this review of some of the most memorable 2018 ERS International Congress sessions provides readers with a valuable insight into some of the emerging and future areas affecting clinical allied health practice. The authors also hope to inspire readers’ enthusiasm about the value of keeping abreast of an ever-changing clinical landscape within their field of interest. With this in mind, the authors welcome everyone to join them in Madrid in 2019 for the next rendition of the highly successful ERS International Congress. Adiós!
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