DAMAGES OF THE KEEL BONE IN LAYING HENS – OVERVIEW OF THE ETIOLOGICAL ASPECTS
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ABSTRACT
The damages of the locomotor system and the skeletal system, in particular, are one of the major problems in the industrial poultry farming. The topic for the pathological changes in the keel bone in oviparous birds has become especially popular among scientists and researchers in recent years. The high incidence of keel bone damage (KBD) of laying hens in industrial complexes is one of the biggest welfare problems facing the industry. They lead to disturbance of the animal welfare, causing pain, limiting the ability to move and to perform the characteristic behaviour of the species. This in turn causes a decrease in productivity and unacceptably large losses not only for the individual producer but also for the whole sector. The problem with the KBD is widespread in Switzerland, Great Britain, the Nederland, Belgium, Germany, and Canada. Different genetic lines of laying hens are affected, as well as all types of breeding systems. In general, the etiological factors are reduced to three main groups – genetic predisposition, unbalanced diet and imperfections in housing systems. The causes and influencing factors of KBD remain unknown to the research community - a circumstance that seriously complicates the development of effective strategies to reduce their occurrence and severity.
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OVERVIEW
These days the animal welfare becomes an important part of animal husbandry. It must be and it is strictly observed. Sometimes considering this welfare, more damages could appear, especially in contemporary poultry. One of the major issues facing the egg production industry is keel bone damages (1, 2). After the 2012 EU directive (1999/74/EC) with which the European Union bans the conventional battery cages, this problem may worsen. Similar are trends in North America (16). Recently, more and more institutions and organizations are beginning to pay attention to animal welfare, especially in industrial animal husbandry. In addition to obtaining the highest possible production, the direction is also to ensure optimal living conditions so the current animal species can perform their natural behaviour. In this way the production increases, which justifies the made in this direction investments. Poultry farming develops mainly in two directions - broiler chickens and laying hens are raised, as the requirements for the two sectors are different and breeders must take into account the physiological and biological characteristics of the particular species. Numerous studies have been conducted worldwide on hen’s behaviour and the necessary conditions for realizing their natural instincts. The inability to provide these conditions to the birds causes damages of various kinds, which limit the health, welfare and productivity. The topic of this overview focuses on laying hens and in particular one of the major problems in this industrial sector – the damages of the keel bone and its etiology.

The etiological factors, leading to KBD, are reduced to three main groups – genetic predisposition, unbalanced diet, and some imperfections in housing systems. Regardless of the cause, the result is reduced productivity
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and/or increased mortality, leading to significant economic losses not only for the single producer but to the whole sector too. The damages are reduced to two main categories: fractures and deviations (3). Fractures are considered a defect in the architecture of the bones most often due to short-term traumatic effects with great force. Deviations are a result of prolonged exposures with low intensity. They are found mainly along the longitudinal axis of the bone, forming the so-called S-shape or appear as transverse depressions – C-shape (4-6).

Genetic factors
The anatomy and the location of the keel bone in birds is one of the reasons leading to increasing the risk of injury. From a selective point of view, laying hens have poorly developed pectoral muscles, which make the keel bone vulnerable to fractures. Despite the huge advances in genetics recently and the many years of observations and research, there is still no concrete data on the genetic predisposition of some laying breeds to a weaker skeletal system. Research in the field of genetics by differentiating two lines of laying hens – H-line and L-line, derived of Lohmann Selected Leghorn (LSL) hybrid was made (7). They are selected by their bone strength – the so-called „bone index“, which is given by the strength of the humerus and tibia and the radiographic density of the keel bone (8). H-line has higher bone index and the L-line – lower. This means that the genetically selected H-line has a stronger bone structure therefore it is less prone to fractures and deformations of the keel bone in comparison with the L-line, which is more likely to have fractures and deformations. This leads to the conclusion that genetics is one of the main things that need to be considered in the selection of laying hens. The main idea in the process of selection of highly productive breeds of hens is to reduce the chances of technopathies. Because of geneticists’ efforts in this direction, highly productive breeds are more resistant to fractures and deformities of the sternum than low-productive breeds (9). This is another evidence that in the aetiology of the pathologies of the keel bone, genetics takes a major position.

Diet
The strength of both bones and eggs does not depend only on the genetic talents of the individual. The medical and economic performance of animals is largely determined by the nutritional balance. The lack of some important nutrients can be a reason of the unappropriated development of bones, which may lead to damages. An important structural element of the skeletal system and of the eggshell as well is calcium. It is known that laying hens reach their sexual maturity at the age of 16 to 18 weeks (10). The ossification of the skeletal system though continues until approximately 40 weeks of age (11) and since hens start laying eggs once they get sexually matured, lots of the calcium is used for the forming for the eggshell instead for the ossification. That is the reason why several centimetres of the caudal part of the keel bone remains cartilaginous (12). One eggshell contains approximately 2g calcium (13). The main source of calcium for laying hens is food. It delivers about 60 to 70% of the calcium needed for shell formation. The remaining 35-40% the birds obtain by resorption from their bones (14, 15). The intensive egg laying with age leads to a gradual “weakening” of the bones as not only the medullary but also the cortical part of the bone is involved as a source of calcium (16). The authors mention that laying hens between 16 and 31 weeks of age have used as much of the structural composition of their bones as is necessary for the production of 14 eggshells (17). This leads to the conclusion that in the absence of sufficient calcium in the diet of birds, higher levels will be extracted from the bones, which implies their fragility and is prerequisite for fractures and deformations. Other authors report that adding Omega-3 fatty acids to the diet of 50-days-old birds contributes to better bone growth and their higher density. Accordingly, the deficiency could lead to problems with mineralization and increase the risk of deformation. (18)

Housing systems and management
There are different types of housing systems for laying hens (commercial conventional cage, enriched colony cage and cage-free avairy housing systems) because of the differences in legislation and regulations and because of the preferences of specific manufacturers. The possibilities for providing the necessary area, ancillary facilities, etc. are decisive; the points of view on the "best conditions" are also different. At the end, all manufacturers strive to achieve the highest possible productivity with minimum effort and cost which may lead to some health problems.
in hens. The de- and repopulation of the halls is also the main reason for KBDs – about 62% of the keel bone fractures occur at depopulation (19). The main reason behind these damages is the caused stress. Large differences in systems also play a key role in laying hens’ breeding (20, 21). In housing systems, special attention is paid to the perches (22). According to some authors more KBDs after laying (92%) in hen, kept in conventional cages have been registered, in comparison with the birds, in which environment metal perches are absent (83%) (23). Other researchers report that the rate of fractures of the keel bone is getting 10-34% higher after putting perches in organic farming systems (21).

The type of perches and the material used for it is also important for preventing KBD. Round metal perches cannot provide sufficient stability for birds, which confirms the results obtained. Rubber and therefore more flexible perches with a larger diameter take away from the force of the impact in case of accidental balance losing from the hen and it its more convenient for the birds to catch on them. This information could be useful in attempts to prevent keel bone injuries (24, 25). Perches coated with softer rubber could be used with the same success in cell housing systems (26). This not only alleviates the possible damage of the keel bone but also improves the grip of the bird while landing (27).

The percentage of laying hens, living in cages in which KBDs are registered is about 23-30% (9), while in those bred freely, the percentage is 56-96% (20, 28). According to the same authors, the reason is, because birds in cages have fewer abilities to move. These housing systems are preferred by most manufacturers because the costs for treatment/prevention are less. However, it is debatable whether this system has been developed and taken into account the needs and natural behaviour of laying hens and whether their well-being is ensured. Free-range housing systems, on the other hand, must be constructed in a way to allow hens to move freely to the different parts of it. It is reported report that the installation of ramps in commercial aviary systems reduces the falls by 55%, collisions by 41%, and the keel bone fractures by 24% (29).

Another problem, which is one of the etiological factors for KBD, is the insufficient lighting in the premises. It is intentionally reduced in order to prevent feather pecking and cannibalism between the birds (19). However, the hens need a certain amount of light to properly assess their movements and land safety (30). Natural sunlight, on the other hand, promotes the synthesis of Vitamin D, in the absence of which the development of rachitis in young and adolescent birds is possible, as well as subsequent osteomalacia. Abrupt changes from light to dark and vice versa can also increase the risk of sternal fractures due to sudden stress and inability to adapt (22).

CONCLUSION
The most important etiological factor after proper genetic selection is the housing system and management, and in parts – the nutrition (31). From this, it can be concluded that properly selected birds with a good genetic profile and high bone index, placed in specially built housing systems with carefully calculated distances between the components, as well as the appropriate material for their manufacture would reduce the risk of KBD. A good and on-time investment will lead to a preserved animal welfare, higher production, and consequently better profits for both - the individual producer and the whole sector.
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