Fine-tuning of the *Escherichia coli* σ^E^ envelope stress response relies on multiple mechanisms to inhibit signal-independent proteolysis of the transmembrane anti-sigma factor, RseA
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Proteolytic cascades are widely implicated in signaling between cellular compartments. In *Escherichia coli*, accumulation of unassembled outer membrane porins (OMPs) in the envelope leads to expression of σ^E^-dependent genes in the cytoplasmic cellular compartment. A proteolytic cascade conveys the OMP signal by regulated proteolysis of RseA, a membrane-spanning anti-sigma factor whose cytoplasmic domain inhibits σ^E^-dependent transcription. Upon activation by OMP C termini, the membrane localized DegS protease cleaves RseA in its periplasmic domain, the membrane-embedded protease RseP (YaeL) cleaves RseA near the inner membrane, and the released cytoplasmic RseA fragment is further degraded. Initiation of RseA degradation by activated DegS makes the system sensitive to a wide range of OMP concentrations and unresponsive to variations in the levels of DegS and RseP proteases. These features rely on the inability of RseP to cleave intact RseA. In the present report, we demonstrate that RseB, which binds to the periplasmic face of RseA, and DegS each independently inhibits RseP cleavage of intact RseA. Thus, the function of RseB, widely conserved among bacteria using the σ^E^ pathway, and the second role of DegS (in addition to RseA proteolysis initiation) is to improve the performance characteristics of this signal transduction system.
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Intracellular communication is an essential feature of living cells, permitting them to mount a coordinated cellular response to changing conditions. In *Escherichia coli*, physiological stress in the envelope compartment induced either by overproduction of outer membrane porins (OMPs) or by temperature upshift, is communicated through the inner membrane to the cytoplasmic compartment of the cell [Mecsas et al. 1993; Hiratsu et al. 1995; Raina et al. 1995; Rouviere et al. 1995]. The stress signal originates from accumulation of immature OMP species and possibly from other unfolded proteins in the extracytoplasmic space [Mecsas et al. 1993; Missiakas et al. 1996; Rouviere and Gross 1996; Walsh et al. 2003] and is transduced to the cytoplasm to activate σ^E^, the bacterial transcription initiation factor that governs the response to envelope stress. The gene encoding σ^E^, *rpoE*, is essential for viability under all conditions tested, indicating that σ^E^ transcriptional activity is required during normal growth as well as under stress [De Las Penas et al. 1997a]. In this work, we dissect the elements of the transmembrane signaling pathway that contribute to the sensitivity of the pathway to inducing signals and make the pathway unresponsive to noise.

The signal transduction cascade conveys envelope stress signals to the cytoplasmic compartment by altering the stability of RseA, a negative regulator of σ^E^ activity [Ades et al. 1999, 2003]. RseA is a membrane-spanning anti-sigma factor that binds to σ^E^ with its cytoplasmic domain, preventing σ^E^ from interacting with RNA polymerase [De Las Penas et al. 1997b; Missiakas et al. 1997, Campbell et al. 2003]. In response to stress signals generated in the envelope, a protease cascade, consisting of DegS, RseP [YaeL], and cytoplasmic proteases including ClpX, is activated to degrade RseA, thereby releasing σ^E^ from its inhibitory interaction with RseA and thus...
transmitting the signal through the inner membrane [Ades et al. 1999, Alba et al. 2002, Kanehara et al. 2002, Flynn et al. 2004; R. Chaba, unpubl.]. Both the DegS and RseP proteases are essential for E. coli viability, their essential function is to provide E. coli with active $\sigma^E$ via proper proteolysis of the anti-sigma factor [Alba et al. 2001, 2002, Kanehara et al. 2002].

The inner-membrane-anchored DegS protease initiates degradation by cleaving RseA in its periplasmic domain ~30 amino acids C-terminal to the transmembrane domain of RseA [Fig. 7A, below] [Ades et al. 1999, Alba et al. 2002, Kanehara et al. 2002]. Until DegS receives an activating signal, it exists in a proteolytically inactive conformation [that we call here unactivated] [Walsh et al. 2003; Wilken et al. 2004]. Both in vivo and in vitro evidence is consistent with the idea that DegS is activated when OMP C termini bind to its PDZ domain [Fig. 7A, C, below] [Karshikoff et al. 1994; Cowan et al. 1995; Walsh et al. 2003; Wilken et al. 2004]. The build-up of exposed OMP C termini signals that the normal OMP folding pathway is impaired, as OMP C termini are likely to be buried in the trimer interface in the native protein [Karshikoff et al. 1994; Cowan et al. 1995]. RseP then cleaves the DegS-generated membrane-localized fragment of RseA to release the cytoplasmic domain of RseA [Fig. 7A, below] [Ades et al. 1999; Alba et al. 2002, Kanehara et al. 2002]. This cleavage is supported by genetic, physiological, and biochemical evidence [Alba et al. 2002; Kanehara et al. 2002, 2003] and has been shown to occur within the transmembrane sequence of RseA in vivo and in vitro (Y. Akiyama, K. Kanehara, and K. Ito, pers. comm.). Two Gln-rich regions (Q1 and Q2) in the periplasmic domain of RseA inhibit RseP from cleaving the intact protein [Kanehara et al. 2003]. When DegS cleaves RseA, it removes these Gln-rich regions, thereby creating an attractive substrate for RseP [Alba et al. 2002, Kanehara et al. 2002; Walsh et al. 2003]. The periplasmically located PDZ domain of RseP is required for the inhibitory reaction that prevents RseP cleavage of intact RseA because RsePΔPDZ can perform that reaction [Kanehara et al. 2003; Bohn et al. 2004].

The transmembrane $\sigma^E$ activation pathway has two principal design features. First, $\sigma^E$ activity is very sensitive to the periplasmic OMP signal, varying greatly from cells expressing low OMPs to those expressing high OMPs [Mecsas et al. 1993]. Second, $\sigma^E$ activity is relatively unresponsive to variations in the levels of the DegS and RseP proteases themselves [Alba et al. 2002]. We have investigated construction features of this pathway that contribute to these characteristics. We find that the OMP signal is sensed only by DegS. Thus, coordination of the magnitude of the $\sigma^E$ response to the extent of the OMP inducing signal requires that RseA cleavage be initiated only by activated DegS and not by RseP. We show here that two additional players reinforce the inability of RseP to cleave intact RseA: RseB, a periplasmic protein that binds to the periplasmic domain of RseA, and DegS itself. Whereas in the absence of DegS, the transmembrane signal transduction pathway completely loses its sensitivity to the OMP signal, in the absence of DegS, sensitivity is suboptimal in that it does not respond to the full range of OMP signals and is affected by the levels of the proteases. Sequential proteolytic cascades are used for transmembrane signal transduction by several organisms [Brown et al. 2000, Alba and Gross 2004]. We suggest that the regulatory and construction principles that we describe here are likely to be general design features for these signal transduction circuits.

Results

$RseB$ inhibits DegS-independent proteolysis of RseA

Previous work indicated that RseA degradation increased 1.5- to 2.0-fold in the absence of RseB [Ades et al. 1999] [Fig. 1B]. This result could be explained if RseB partially shields RseA from cleavage either by DegS and/or other proteases. If other proteases are able to degrade RseA, cells lacking both DegS and RseB should have increased $\sigma^E$ activity relative to a strain lacking only DegS. We tested this prediction by comparing the activity of a chromosomal $lacZ$ reporter under $\sigma^E$ control in the two
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**Figure 1.** $\sigma^E$ activity and RseA degradation in $\Delta rseB$ strains. [A] Relative $\sigma^E$ activity in the wild-type (CAG16037), $\Delta rseB$ (CAG223951), $\Delta degSsup$ (CAG33315), $\Delta degSsup^\gamma rseB$ (CAG51021), and $\Delta degS^0\Delta rseB$ (CAG51025) strains grown in LB at 30°C. Samples were assayed for $\sigma^E$ activity by monitoring $\beta$-galactosidase activity produced from a single-copy $\Phi\lambda rpoH$ P3: $lacZ$ fusion. The differential rate of $lacZ$ synthesis was quantified as described in Materials and Methods. [B] RseA stability in the wild-type (filled squares), $\Delta rseB$ (open circles), and $\Delta degS^0\Delta rseB$ (gray triangles) strains. Cells were grown in supplemented M9 media at 30°C to O.D.450 = 0.3, pulse-labeled with $[\gamma^35S]$methionine followed by chase of cold methionine. The stability of RseA was determined as described in Materials and Methods.
strains [see Materials and Methods]. As DegS is essential, these experiments were performed in a strain that suppressed the requirement for DegS [degSsup+] [Alba et al. 2001]. To our surprise, σE activity was 6.5-fold higher in a ΔdegSsup+ ΔrseB derivative than in the original ΔdegSsup+ strain [Fig. 1A], suggesting the possibility that other proteases do degrade RseA when RseB was missing.

As the essential function of DegS is to provide active σE [Alba et al. 2001], we considered the possibility that DegS would no longer be essential in ΔrseB strains. We therefore transduced ΔdegS into a ΔrseB strain by selecting for the closely linked argR::Tn5 [KanR] marker. When tested by PCR, ~50% of the KanR transductants had acquired the ΔdegS marker, indicating that DegS is no longer essential in a ΔrseB strain [Table 1]. As previously reported, ΔdegS could not be cotransduced with this KanR marker in the wild-type strain [Table 1] [Alba et al. 2001]. The σE activity of the ΔdegS ΔrseB strain was 6.5-fold higher than that of the ΔdegSsup+ strain and was equivalent to that exhibited by the ΔdegSsup+ ΔrseB strain [Fig. 1A], indicating that the elevation in σE activity was not caused by the suppressor mutation. We directly tested whether elevated σE activity resulted from DegS-independent proteolysis of RseA by measuring RseA stability using a pulse-chase immunoprecipitation protocol. Whereas RseA was completely unstable in a ΔrseB strain [Ades et al. 1999], it was unstable in the ΔdegS ΔrseB strain, exhibiting a half-life several-fold slower than that of RseA in the wild-type [WT] strain [Fig. 1B]. A rate of RseA degradation slower than wild type was expected because the σE activity of the ΔdegS ΔrseB strain was lower than wild type [Fig. 1A; data not shown]. In conclusion, in the absence of RseB, DegS is no longer essential to cellular viability, and both σE activity and RseA degradation are significantly increased in the ΔdegS ΔrseB strain relative to the ΔdegSsup+ strain. These observations are consistent with the idea that other proteases can degrade RseA in the absence of RseB.

RseP is essential in the ΔrseB and ΔdegSsup+ ΔrseB strains

Our finding that RseA degradation is initiated in a DegS-independent manner in the ΔdegS ΔrseB strain raised the possibility that RseA was degraded by an alternative pathway that bypassed RseP as well as DegS. To test this, we asked whether rseP was dispensable in strains lacking RseB. The essential function of RseP is to provide active σE [Alba et al. 2002; Kanehara et al. 2002], therefore, RseP should not be essential if it is not required for RseA degradation in ΔrseB strains. Contrary to this expectation, we could not transduce rseP::kan either into a ΔrseB strain, or a ΔdegSsup+ ΔrseB strain, although control experiments indicated that these strains were fully transducible [Table 1]. [We had previously reported that rseP::kan could be transduced into ΔdegSsup+ [Alba et al. 2002], however, further investigation of that strain indicated that rseP was still present and the DegS suppressor could not itself substitute for RseP function [I. Grigorova, unpubl.].] Thus, rseP is still essential in strains lacking both DegS and RseB. We verified that rseP was required to generate active σE in this background by depleting plasmid-borne RseP under Para control carried in a ΔdegSsup+ ΔrseB ΔrseP strain. Upon transfer from inducing medium [arabinose] to noninducing [glucose] medium, the RseP protein was diluted out by cell growth and division, σE activity decreased, and growth ceased after three dilutions [data not shown]. This phenotype is essentially the same as that observed after depletion of RseP from wild-type strains [Alba et al. 2002], indicating that RseP is required for RseA degradation in the ΔdegSsup+ ΔrseB strain background, just as it is in wild-type cells.

RseP can cleave full-length RseA in a ΔdegS ΔrseB strain

There are two potential alternative routes for the degradation of RseA observed in cells lacking both DegS and RseB. First, other periplasmic proteases could substitute for DegS, thereby creating an attractive substrate for RseP cleavage. Second, RseP itself might recognize and cleave full-length RseA. As RseP does not cleave intact RseA in wild-type cells, this finding would imply that RseB and/or DegS actively inhibit that cleavage. The idea that RseP initiates cleavage of full-length RseA in the ΔdegS ΔrseB cells makes several explicit predictions. First, an increased level of RseP should result in-

---

Table 1. P1 transduction experiments demonstrate that DegS is dispensable in ΔrseB cells, whereas RseP is essential in all backgrounds tested

| Donor P1 strain | ΔdegS argR::Tn5 [CAG43081] | rseP::kan [CAG43445] |
|-----------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| Recipient       | Number of KanR colonies | Number tested by colony PCR | % linkage | Number of KanR colonies |
| ΔrseB (CAG22951) | ~70ab | 9 | 67 | 0 |
| wt (CAG16037)   | ~35ab | 11 | 36 | n/d |
| ΔdegSsup+ ΔrseB (CAG51021) | n/d | n/d | n/d | 0ab |

abStand for two separate experiments. Control transductions showed that each recipient strain is transducible [data not shown].

[n/d] Not determined.
creased $\sigma^E$ activity. Second, altering the proteolytic activity of RseP should alter the capacity of overexpressed RseP to increase $\sigma^E$ activity. Finally, the proteolytic target of RseP should be full-length RseA rather than an RseA fragment generated by other proteases. We tested these predictions.

A moderate twofold increase in RseP level (as estimated from quantitative Westerns) caused a twofold increase in $\sigma^E$ activity in the $\Delta$degS $\Delta$rseB strain but did not change $\sigma^E$ activity in the wild-type background [data not shown]. Overproduction of RseP from a pTrc promoter gave a 60-fold increase in $\sigma^E$ activity in the $\Delta$degS $\Delta$rseB background but less than a twofold increase in wild-type cells [Fig. 2A]. The increase in RseP level was roughly comparable in both cases (~20- to 40-fold), indicating that the dramatic difference in $\sigma^E$ activity cannot be explained by differential induction [Fig. 2B]. Finally, comparable overexpression of RseP-E23D [Fig. 2B], an RseP active-site mutant, which cleaves the DegS-generated RseA fragment significantly slower than wild-type RseP [Alba et al. 2002], gave an eightfold increase in $\sigma^E$ activity at least sixfold [Fig. 1A], as a consequence of impaired inhibition of RseP cleavage of intact RseA. In sharp contrast, removing RseB from the $\Delta$degS$^{sup}$ strain with RseP-E23D gave little or no increase in $\sigma^E$ activity over the isogenic strain containing RseP [Fig. 3A, cf. lanes 2 and 3]. The inability of RseB to inhibit RseP-E23D cleavage of intact RseA does not result from the fact that the rate of RseA degradation is already maximal in this strain: increasing the amount of RsePΔPDZ present in the cell leads to a sig-

Figure 2. Effects of RseP overexpression on $\sigma^E$ activity and RseA stability in the wild-type and $\Delta$degS $\Delta$rseB strain backgrounds. Wild-type cells containing the plasmid pRseP [CAG51091] or vector alone (CAG43604) and $\Delta$degS $\Delta$rseB cells with vector [CAG51093], pRseP [CAG51092], and pRseP-E23D (CAG51122) were grown in supplemented M9 media in the presence of IPTG at 30°C. [A] Relative $\sigma^E$ activity in the wild-type and $\Delta$degS $\Delta$rseB cells with vector alone [white bar], with overexpressed RseP [gray bar], and with overexpressed RseP-E23D [light-gray bar] was assayed by monitoring $\beta$-galactosidase activity produced from a single-copy [F'KmRPlacP3::lacZ] fusion. The differential rate of lacZ synthesis was quantified as described in Materials and Methods. [B] Relative RseP levels in the wild-type and $\Delta$degS $\Delta$rseB cells with vector alone [white bar], with overexpressed RseP [gray bar], and with overexpressed RseP-E23D [light-gray bar]. At O.D.450 ~ 0.3, cells were collected and TCA-precipitated. RseP levels were determined by Western blot analysis as described in Materials and Methods with polyclonal antibodies to RseP. (C,D) RseA stability in $\Delta$rseB $\Delta$degS cells with overexpressed RseP from pRseP plasmid, or with vector alone. Cells were grown to O.D.450 ~ 0.3, pulse-labeled with $[35S]$methionine, and chased with cold methionine. At various time points after the chase, cells were collected and TCA-precipitated. Equal amounts of $[35S]$methionine-labeled periplasmic domain of RseA, used as the standard [RseA*], were added to the samples, and then intracellular RseA and the standard were immunoprecipitated with antibodies against peri-RseA. The stability of RseA was determined as described in Materials and Methods. Representative data are shown in C and plotted in D.
significant increase in $\alpha^E$ activity (Fig. 3B). We conclude that the ability of RseB to inhibit RseP cleavage of intact RseA is significantly impaired by the absence of the PDZ domain of RseP.

**DegS itself inhibits DegS-independent proteolysis of RseA by RseP**

The above experiments were performed in the absence of both DegS and RseB, raising the possibility that DegS also contributes to the inability of RseP to cleave full-length RseA in wild-type cells. We tested this idea by measuring the inhibitory effect of DegS in the absence of its contribution to RseA proteolysis using a catalytically dead DegS mutant, DegS-S201A (Ades et al. 1999; Walsh et al. 2003). Simultaneous overexpression of DegS-S201A and RseP decreases $\sigma^E$ activity three- to fourfold in a $\Delta$degS $\Delta$rseB strain compared with overexpression of RseP alone, indicating that DegS inhibits RseP cleavage of full-length RseA independently from RseB (Fig. 3C). Importantly, the RseP PDZ domain is unnecessary for this inhibitory mechanism as overexpression of DegS-S201A inhibited cleavage of RseA by RsePΔPDZ as well as wild-type RseP (Fig. 3A, cf. lanes 2 and 4). DegS-S201A inhibits RsePΔPDZ whether or not RseB is present (Fig. 3A, cf. lanes 3 and 5). DegS-mediated inhibition is not an artifact of using the catalytically dead mutant as we can demonstrate inhibition by wild-type DegS in a circumstance in which constitutive cleavage by RseP is likely to contribute to $\sigma^E$ activity. In $\Delta$rseB cells, RseA degradation will be initiated by RseP as well as by activated DegS. This may be the reason that $\Delta$rseB cells exhibit a 1.6-fold increase in $\sigma^E$ activity. Interestingly, overexpression of wild-type DegS decreased $\sigma^E$ activity of $\Delta$rseB cells to that of wild-type cells (~1.6-fold) but did not affect $\sigma^E$ activity in wild-type cells (data not shown), consistent with the idea that DegS can inhibit constitutive cleavage of RseA by RseP.

**The alternative degradation pathway is not induced by OmpC**

In the usual RseA degradation pathway, OMPs directly activate DegS, thereby initiating RseA proteolysis and activating $\sigma^E$ (Ades et al. 1999; Walsh et al. 2003). We tested whether OMP overproduction also induces $\sigma^E$ activity via the DegS-independent pathway, either by triggering RseB from RseA or by directly activating RseP cleavage of intact RseA. In $\Delta$degS$^+$ cells, removal of RseB increased $\sigma^E$ activity about sixfold (Fig. 1A). Therefore, if OmpC removed RseB from RseA, overexpression of OmpC would significantly increase $\sigma^E$ activity. It was...
Figure 5. Down-regulation of $\sigma^E$ activity by deletion of ompR. Relative $\sigma^E$ activity was assayed as described in Figure 1A. (A) Down-regulation of $\sigma^E$ activity upon deletion of ompR in the wild-type and $\Delta$ompE strains. Wild-type and $\Delta$ompE cells with wild-type ompR (CAG16037 and CAG51050, white bar) or with deleted ompR (CAG43217 and CAG 51055, light-gray bar) were grown in LB at 30°C. (B) Induction of $\sigma^E$ activity in the $\Delta$ompR and $\Delta$ompR $\Delta$ompE strains by overexpression of OmpC. $\Delta$ompR and $\Delta$ompR $\Delta$ompE cells carrying vector alone (CAG51057 and CAG51059, light-gray bar) and pOmpC plasmid (CAG51058 and CAG51060, gray bar) were grown in LB with arabinose at 30°C.

previously observed [Ades et al. 1999] and we show here that OmpC overexpression did not increase $\sigma^E$ activity in $\Delta$degSsup cells [Fig. 4, cf. lanes 5 and 6], indicating that OMPs cannot remove RseB from RseA. We then tested whether OmpC overexpression activated RseP. OmpC overexpression did not increase $\sigma^E$ activity in the $\Delta$degS $\Delta$ompE background, where $\sigma^E$ activity is dependent on the rate of RseP cleavage [Fig. 4, cf. lanes 7 and 8]. Therefore OmpC does not activate RseP. Control experiments demonstrated appropriate induction when OmpC overexpression was performed in wild-type or $\Delta$ompE cells [Fig. 4, lanes 1–4]. In addition, overexpression of OmpC in the $\Delta$degSsup and $\Delta$degS $\Delta$ompE backgrounds was confirmed by monitoring OmpC levels in the outer membranes of the cells, as described in Mecsas et al. [1993; data not shown]. We conclude that DegS remains the only identified sensor of the OMP signal.

Appropriate down-regulation of $\sigma^E$ activity requires RseB

Removal of OmpR, an activator of ompC and ompF transcription, decreases OMP expression [Forst et al. 1988; Mizuno and Mizushima 1990]. This results in fewer OMP intermediates to bind to the PDZ domain of DegS and activate the initiating protease, thereby down-regulating $\sigma^E$ activity [Mecsas et al. 1993]. As cells lacking RseB have lost one mechanism for inhibiting RseA, we suspected that RseF would make a significant contribution to initiating RseA cleavage in such cells. As RseF cleavage does not depend on the OMP signal, it should not be down-regulated in response to decreased concentration of OMP intermediates. We therefore tested whether a $\Delta$ompE strain was partially defective in down-regulating $\sigma^E$ activity in response to decreased OMP expression. Whereas deletion of ompR in wild-type cells resulted in an ∼20-fold drop in $\sigma^E$ activity, only a fourfold decrease in $\sigma^E$ activity was observed in $\Delta$ompE cells [Fig. 5A]. The $\Delta$ompR derivatives have not lost their sensitivity to the OMP signal as overexpressing OmpC from a plasmid strongly induced $\sigma^E$ activity in both strains [Fig. 5B]. These results indicate that RseB is required for appropriate down-regulation of $\sigma^E$ activity in the absence of the OMP inducing signal, and thus is necessary for the full range of response of the system.

A possible second role for RseB!

Because RseB inhibits DegS-independent proteolysis of RseA by RseP, RseB titration by unfolded proteins could be exploited as an alternative way to induce the $\sigma^E$ pathway. RseB has been shown to colocalize with the periplasmic inclusion bodies, formed by the MalE31 unstable mutant [Betton and Hofnung 1996; Collinet et al. 2000]. We therefore tested whether overexpression of MalE31 would activate $\sigma^E$ in the $\Delta$degSsup background, where complete removal of RseB should result in a sixfold induction. Upon overproduction, $\sigma^E$ activity increased ∼1.7-fold, indicating that MalE31 is likely to titrate a small fraction of RseB (25%–30%) from RseA [Fig. 6, cf. lanes 1 and 2, and see the inset, which presents these data in an expanded scale]. We confirmed that induction resulted from removal of RseB rather than activation of DegS or RseP by showing that no detectable $\sigma^E$ induction was observed in $\Delta$seB or $\Delta$degS $\Delta$seB backgrounds [Fig. 6, lanes 3–6]. MalE31 overexpression did not perceptibly induce wild-type cells [Fig. 6, cf. lanes 7 and 8]. As complete removal of RseB results in only a 1.6-fold increase in $\sigma^E$ activity in wild-type cells, the limited RseB titration by MalE31 is not expected to result in significant induction in this strain background. This latter finding is in accord with a recent report that...

Figure 6. Induction of $\sigma^E$ activity by overexpression of MalE31 in various strains. Relative $\sigma^E$ activity of cells grown in LB with IPTG at 30°C, determined as described in Figure 2A. Shown are the average values from three experiments. [White bar] Cells carrying vector alone; [gray bar] cells carrying pMalE31 in the following strain backgrounds: wild-type (CAG43604 and CAG51070), $\Delta$seB (CAG43341 and CAG51072), $\Delta$degSsup+ (CAG43605 and CAG51074), and $\Delta$degS $\Delta$seB (CAG51080 and CAG51079). [Inset] Data for the $\Delta$degSsup+ background on an expanded scale.
the previously observed increase in DegP synthesis upon accumulation of MalE31 in wild-type cells is caused by activation of the Cpx pathway, rather than the $\sigma^E$ pathway [Hunke and Betton 2003].

**Discussion**

The signal transduction pathway linking periplasmic stress with $\sigma^E$ activity converts the accumulation of OMP intermediates into activation of the DegS protease. DegS, RseP, and ClpX, together with as-yet-unidentified cytoplasmic proteases, then degrade RseA, the membrane-spanning anti-sigma factor that inhibits $\sigma^E$ activity [Fig. 7] [Mecsas et al. 1993; Alba et al. 2002; Kanehara et al. 2002; Walsh et al. 2003; Flynn et al. 2004; Wilken et al. 2004]. The principal goal of this work was to elucidate design features that make the $\sigma^E$ pathway sensitive to the OMP signal and unresponsive to variations in the levels of DegS and RseP proteases themselves. We find that only DegS senses the OMP signal. Thus, effective coupling of signal to degradation necessitates that only DegS initiates the proteolytic cascade. We show here that RseB and, to a lesser extent, DegS itself inhibit RseP-mediated degradation of RseA, thereby contributing to the sensitivity and robustness of the $\sigma^E$ pathway [Fig. 7].

Examples of protease cascades that carry out intercompartmental signaling are common from bacteria to humans [Brown et al. 2000]. The $\sigma^E$ signal transduction pathway itself is broadly present in Gram-negative bacteria. In addition, similar protease cascades have been identified in Gram-positive bacteria [Raivio and Silhavy 2001, Alba and Gross 2004]. For example, it was recently shown that upon alkaline shock in *Bacillus subtilis*, RsiW [an RseA ortholog] is degraded from the extracytoplasmic side to a 14-kDa fragment that is further degraded by YluC, an ortholog of RseP [Schobel et al. 2004]. Finally, the DegS family of proteases is widely distributed among prokaryotic and eukaryotic organisms, where they have been implicated in stress signaling pathways [Clausen et al. 2002]. For example, murine HtrA2 has been suggested to sense mitochondrial stress [Li et al. 2002]. Although the signals inducing these other responses may be entirely different [Brown et al. 2000], these cascades will need to use mechanisms comparable to those described here to ensure signal-dependent initiation of proteolysis. Strategies similar to those elucidated here may be used by many such cascades to block the degradation of intact regulator by proteases meant to function after the initiating event.

**RseP is able to cleave intact RseA in cells lacking DegS and RseB**

In wild-type cells, cleavage of RseA is overwhelmingly initiated by DegS, as demonstrated by the fact that in the absence of this protease, $\sigma^E$ activity is very low and RseA is a stable protein [Fig. 7A] [Ades et al. 1999; Alba et al. 2002; Kanehara et al. 2002]. In the present work, we demonstrate that removal of RseB in addition to DegS significantly increases $\sigma^E$ activity and RseA cleavage. Elimination of RseB could either expose RseA to proteases that substitute for DegS in performing initial cleavage of RseA or allow RseP to cleave intact RseA more efficiently. Our data support the latter idea.

DegS cleavage of RseA is the rate-limiting proteolytic step in wild-type cells. Thus, increasing the amount or altering the activity of RseP has little effect on $\sigma^E$ activity [Alba et al. 2002]. It is probably the case because RseP cleavage of intact RseA is effectively inhibited, whereas DegS-cleaved RseA is a very attractive substrate for RseP. Elimination of RseB could either expose RseA to proteases that substitute for DegS in performing initial cleavage of RseA or allow RseP to cleave intact RseA more efficiently. Our data support the latter idea. DegS cleavage of RseA is the rate-limiting proteolytic step in wild-type cells. Thus, increasing the amount or altering the activity of RseP has little effect on $\sigma^E$ activity [Alba et al. 2002]. It is probably the case because RseP cleavage of intact RseA is effectively inhibited, whereas DegS-cleaved RseA is a very attractive substrate for RseP [Kanehara et al. 2003]. In cells lacking RseB and DegS, the situation is very different. We have conclusively demonstrated that $\sigma^E$ activity correlates with the level and activity of RseP, indicating that RseP cleavage is now the rate-limiting step in generating active $\sigma^E$ in such cells. This finding rules out the idea that some other protease cleaves in the vicinity of the DegS cleavage site to generate an attractive RseP substrate. In that case, the other protease, not RseP, would be rate-limiting for the reaction. In further validation of the idea that RseP itself performs the initial cleavage event, we show that in $\Delta$degS $\Delta$rseB cells, RseP overexpression increases the rate of degradation of full-length RseA rather than an intermediate formed by preliminary cleavage by other proteases. Together these data support the idea that removing both RseB and DegS partially relieves the inhibitory mechanisms that prevent RseP cleavage of intact RseA [Fig. 7B].
Our data suggest that at least two independent mechanisms inhibit RseP from cleaving intact RseA. The first mechanism requires the presence of the PDZ domain of RseP. Both the two Gln-rich regions of RseA [Kanehara et al. 2003] and RseB inhibit cleavage of intact RseA by RseP but not by RsePΔPDZ. The most parsimonious interpretation of these data is that the RseP PDZ domain, RseB, and the Gln-rich RseA region all participate in the same inhibitory reaction. We tested whether RseB binding to the Gln-rich regions of RseA might make RseA refractory to RseP cleavage. As periplasmic RseA variants with amino acid substitutions in one or both Gln-rich regions (Gln to Ala) bind RseB indistinguishably from wild-type RseA, this idea is incorrect [data not shown] [Kanehara et al. 2003]. As an alternative, RseB binding to RseA might facilitate a conformational change in RseA that makes its Gln-rich regions more accessible to binding by the PDZ domain of RseP, thereby facilitating the inhibitory reaction. Of course, we cannot eliminate the possibility that RseB binds independently to the RseP PDZ domain to inhibit cleavage. We note that bacterial RseP orthologs all contain PDZ domains. Therefore, it is likely that these domains play a similar role[s] in other systems.

The second mechanism for inhibiting RseP cleavage of intact RseA involves a reaction mediated by unactivated DegS and is independent of the PDZ domain of RseP. DegS could negatively regulate RseP by forming a complex with RseA, thereby either blocking or altering the RseA recognition sites for RseP. Because overexpression of catalytically dead DegS-S201A does not inhibit σE activity in wild-type cells [data not shown], we believe it is unlikely that DegS-S201A occludes RseA, as this binding should also reduce the ability of wild-type DegS to initiate cleavage. Alternatively, unactivated DegS could form a complex with RseP, thereby reducing its ability to cleave intact RseA. Interestingly, whereas unactivated DegS-S201A inhibits RseP cleavage, DegS-S201A activated by overexpression of Omp C termini increases the rate of RseP cleavage [data not shown]. Together, these experiments suggest that complex interactions between DegS and RseP may promote cleavage of RseA in response to the activation signal.

RseB and DegS increase both the sensitivity and robustness of the signal transduction pathway activating σE

To provide adequate response to signals, signal transduction pathways must sense inducing signals over a wide concentration range, and to be unresponsive to variations in the concentrations of the signal transduction molecules themselves. The work reported here documents the roles of RseB and DegS in enhancing these two properties of the σE signal transduction pathway.

In wild-type cells, the signal transduction pathway activating σE is sensitive to a wide range of OMP concentrations. σE activity changes >40-fold from its low point in a ΔompR strain, which should have a very low concentration of unassembled OMFs, to its high point in a wild-type strain with overproduced OMPs [Fig. 5A,B]. Cells can modulate σE activity over such a broad range because the system is designed so that the rate-limiting step in activation is sensitive to the OMP signal. This is achieved as follows. OMP binding to the PDZ domain of DegS activates a corresponding fraction of the DegS molecules [Fig. 7A]. Because DegS-dependent initiation of RseA degradation is the rate-limiting step in proteolysis, the rate of RseA degradation is set to be proportional to the amount of active DegS and thus to the OMP signal. Therefore, a graded σE response over a wide range of OMP signals requires initiation of RseA proteolysis via active DegS. In the present work, we showed that RseB is required to make RseA proteolysis completely dependent on DegS. In the absence of RseB, RseP, which ordinarily degrades only DegS-cleaved RseA, is able to cleave intact RseA [Fig. 7B]. As RseP is not responsive to the OMP signal, this decreases the extent to which σE activity reflects the concentration of OMP intermediates. This deficit is clearly seen in a ΔompR strain, where ΔrseB cells show a fourfold higher activity than wild-type cells. Additionally, DegS plays a second role by reinforcing sequential cleavage. It not only senses the OMP signal but also, in its unactivated form, inhibits RseP cleavage of intact RseA, thereby reinforcing the dependence on activated DegS for initiating proteolysis [Fig. 7C]. Together, these two mechanisms increase the sensitivity of the system to OMP signal.

In wild-type cells, σE activity is unaffected by variations in the levels of RseP or DegS [Alba et al. 2002]. This situation results from the fact that the rate of RseA degradation is determined solely by the amount of active DegS, which is defined by the extent of the OMP signal. In contrast, when cells lack RseB, changes in either RseP or DegS levels are translated into changes in σE activity. Because RseB can initiate RseA degradation constitutively in cells lacking RseB, σE activity increases with increased amounts of RseP. For this same reason, σE activity decreases with increased amounts of DegS, as accumulation of DegS inhibits RseP cleavage of intact RseA. The σE pathway might be unresponsive to the protease levels to suppress the contribution of “noise” in the system, which could arise from stochastic variation, lack of tight control, or alteration in the levels of these proteases as part of another physiological pathway. In conclusion, by turning off RseP-initiated proteolysis of RseA, RseB and DegS make the system both sensitive to the OMP signal, and insensitive [robust] to variations in the absolute levels of DegS and RseP.

RseB is a possible sensor of other periplasmic stress signals

It is rather curious that cells use a separate protein, RseB, in addition to interactions between RseA and RseP to dampen RseP activity and adjust the sensitivity and robustness of the system, and that RseB is not removed by OmpC overexpression. One possibility is that RseB plays an additional role in the signal transduction pathway. The full extent of signals inducing the σE pathway is...
**Table 2. Strains and plasmids used in this study**

| Strains/plasmids | Relevant genotype | Source/reference/P1 transduction donor strains |
|------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Strains          |                   |                                               |
| MC1061           | araD (ara-lev)759 Δ(co2tl-bac) galK16 galE15 mcrA0 relA1 rpsL50 spoT1 mcrB999 hisR2 | Casadaban and Cohen 1980, E. coli Genetic Stock Center |
| CAG16037         |                   | Mecas et al. 1993                            |
| CAG22951         |                   | De Las Penas et al. 1997b                     |
| CAG22955         |                   | A. De Las Penas, unpubl.                      |
| CAG33315         |                   | A. Des et al. 1999                           |
| CAG41000         |                   | Alba et al. 2001                             |
| CAG43216         |                   | Walsh et al. 2003                            |
| CAG43217         |                   | This work                                    |
| CAG43256         |                   | This work                                    |
| CAG43263         |                   | This work                                    |
| CAG43278         |                   | This work                                    |
| CAG43341         |                   | This work                                    |
| CAG43445         |                   | Jennifer Leeds                               |
| CAG43509         |                   | Alba et al. 2002                             |
| CAG43586         |                   | This work                                    |
| CAG43604         |                   | Walsh et al. 2003                            |
| CAG43605         |                   | Walsh et al. 2003                            |
| CAG43629         |                   | This work                                    |
| CAG51021         |                   | This work                                    |
| CAG51025         |                   | This work                                    |
| CAG51032         |                   | This work                                    |
| CAG51033         |                   | This work                                    |
| CAG51034         |                   | This work                                    |
| CAG51035         |                   | This work                                    |
| CAG51036         |                   | This work                                    |
| CAG51050         |                   | This work                                    |
| CAG51055         |                   | This work                                    |
| CAG51057         |                   | This work                                    |
| CAG51058         |                   | This work                                    |
| CAG51059         |                   | This work                                    |
| CAG51060         |                   | This work                                    |
| CAG51070         |                   | This work                                    |
| CAG51072         |                   | This work                                    |
| CAG51074         |                   | This work                                    |
| CAG51076         |                   | This work                                    |
| CAG51077         |                   | This work                                    |
| CAG51079         |                   | This work                                    |
| CAG51083         |                   | This work                                    |
| CAG51084         |                   | This work                                    |
| CAG51085         |                   | This work                                    |
| CAG51091         |                   | This work                                    |
| CAG51092         |                   | This work                                    |
| CAG51093         |                   | This work                                    |
| CAG51098         |                   | This work                                    |
| CAG51108         |                   | This work                                    |
| CAG51115         |                   | This work                                    |
| CAG51116         |                   | This work                                    |
| CAG51120         |                   | This work                                    |
| CAG51122         |                   | This work                                    |
| CAG51138         |                   | This work                                    |
| CAG51139         |                   | This work                                    |
| CAG51140         |                   | This work                                    |
| CAG51143         |                   | This work                                    |
| CAG51144         |                   | This work                                    |
| CAG51146         |                   | This work                                    |
| CAG51147         |                   | This work                                    |
| CAG51148         |                   | This work                                    |
| CAG51149         |                   | This work                                    |
| CAG51150         |                   | This work                                    |
| Plasmids          |                   |                                               |
| pLC261           | degS-S201A and degS promoter in pSU21, CmR  | Ades et al. 1999 |
| pAH184           | relP in pBAD45, CmR | Alba et al. 2002 |
| pBA169           | pTrc99A ΔNcoI, ApR | Walsh et al. 2003 |
| pBA191           | degS-6His in pBA169, ApR | Walsh et al. 2003 |
| pBAD33           | ompC in pBAD33, CmR | Guzman et al. 1995 |
| pBAD34           | Vector, pACYC orl, Para, CmR | Bartolome et al. 1991 |
| pSU21            | Vector, p15A orl, lac promoter, CmR | Gazman et al. 1995 |
| pTrc99a          | Vector, pBR322 orl, ApR | Amersham Pharmacia Biotech |
| pBAD45           | Vector, p15A orl, Para, CmR | Beckwith lab |
| pRseP            | relP in pTrc99A, ApR | This work |
| PRSeP-E23D       | relP-E23D in pTrc99A, ApR | This work |
| pRseP∆PDZ        | relP∆PDZ in pTrc99A, ApR | This work |
| pLG02            | maIE31 in pBA169, ApR | This work |
currently unknown, as is the essential activity of this system. Based on our indication that overexpression of MalE31 could partially titrate RseB from RseA, we speculate that in addition to the OMP signal, other signals may exist that activate σ5 by titrating RseB from RseA and thus activate RseP-dependent proteolysis of the anti-sigma factor. In this scenario, RseB would act as a “switch” between the two modes of RseA degradation: DegS-dependent and OMP-sensitive versus RseP-dependent and OMP-insensitive. RseB would then be responsible for adjusting the sensitivity of the pathway to these different types of signals. We are currently determining whether physiologically relevant signals of this type exist.

Materials and methods

**Media and antibiotics**

Luria-Bertani (LB) and M9 minimal medium were prepared as described (Sambrook et al. 1989). M9 was supplemented with 0.2% glucose, 1 mM MgSO4, 2 μg/mL thiamine, and all amino acids [40 μg/mL], except methionine. When required, the media was supplemented with 30 μg/mL kanamycin (Kan), 30 μg/mL chloramphenicol (Cm), and/or 100 μg/mL ampicillin (Ap). A final concentration of 0.2% L-α-arabinose was used to induce the expression of rseP and ompC from the arabinose-inducible promoter P ara. 0.2% glucose was used to repress expression of rseP from P ara. Isopropyl-β-D-galactoside (IPTG) at a final concentration of 0.1 mM was added to induce the expression of rseP, rseP-E23D, malE31, and degS from the P trc promoter.

**Strains**

Bacterial strains used in this study are described in Table 2.

**Plasmids**

pRseP was constructed in two steps. The rseP gene was amplified from E. coli MG1655 DNA with primers 5’-GGGGTACCA TCATGCCTGAGTTTTCTCTGGGATTTGGC-3’ and 5’-GGGTTCACTACATTGCGGATATTGCC-3’. The product was then digested with restriction enzymes BamHI/EcoRI and cloned at the corresponding sites of pBA169. The malE gene was amplified from E. coli MG1655 DNA with primers 5’-GGACCATAGATTATGAAAATAAAAACAGGTGCA-3’ and 5’-CTCAACCGTGACTTTCGAGATCATGC-3’. The PCR-amplified product was ligated between KpnI/HindIII and ligation at the corresponding sites of pBA169. The pRseP was constructed in two steps. The malE31 gene was amplified from E. coli MG1655 DNA with primers malE1 (5’-TTCCGAGAAGATATCCGACCCGGAAATGACCCGGAGGTGGAG-3’) and malE4 (5’-CTCAACCGTGACTTTCGAGATCATGC-3’). The PCR-amplified product was ligated between KpnI/HindIII and ligation at the corresponding sites of pBA169. The malE double mutant (Gly 32 changed to aspartic acid and Ile 33 changed to proline) was generated by quick-change mutagenesis using primers malE3 (5’-TTCCGAGAAGATATCCGACCCGGAAATGACCCGGAGGTGGAG-3’) and malE4 (5’-CTCAACCGTGACTTTCGAGATCATGC-3’). The final product was ligated between KpnI/HindIII and ligation at the corresponding sites of pBA169.

**β-Galactosidase assays**

Overnight cultures were diluted to an O.D.600 – 0.03 [in LB] or O.D.650 – 0.02 [in supplemented M9 minimal medium] and grown at 30°C. In experiments with rseP, rseP-E23D, rsePΔPDZ, degS, degS-S201A, ompC, and malE31 overproduction, arabinosine or IPTG was added immediately after dilution to turn on transcription from P ara or P trc promoters, respectively. σ5 activity was measured by monitoring β-galactosidase expression from a single-copy σ5-dependent lacZ reporter gene. β-Galactosidase activity/0.5 mL cells was plotted versus O.D.600 of the culture. The observed plots showed two linear regions: the first linear region, at O.D.600 ≤0.25–0.3, had a smaller slope, and the second, at O.D.600 between 0.3 and 0.6, had a bigger slope (data not shown). Existence of the two phases implied that there was a growth-phase-dependent increase in σ5 activity at O.D.600 around 0.3. Interestingly, in the cells lacking wild-type degS, the second slope was not observed. β-Galactosidase activity/0.5 mL cells was plotted against O.D.600 (O.D.450) ranging from 0.3 to 0.6. The slope of the data, representing the differential rate of β-galactosidase synthesis and a measure of σ5 activity, was calculated. All assays were performed at least twice reproducibly, and data from a single experiment are shown. In some cases, where differences were small, assays were performed at least three times, and data from all samples with error are shown. Assays were performed as described [Miller 1972; Mecsas et al. 1993; Ades et al. 1999].

**Determination of RseA stability by pulse-chase immunoprecipitation**

Cells were grown in supplemented M9 minimal medium lacking methionine [with added antibiotics and arabinosine/IPTG when necessary] at 30°C. At O.D.650–0.3, the culture was pulse-labeled for 1 min by L-[35S]methionine, followed by a chase of 0.1% cold methionine, and samples were processed as described [Ades et al. 2003].

**RseP depletion in vivo**

CAG43509 and CAG51036 were grown at 30°C in LB/Cm/ arabinose to an O.D.600 – 0.3. The culture was poured onto a 0.45 μm Millipore filter (Millipore) in a Nalgene filtering system and washed with 10 mL of 30°C LB. The cells were resuspended in 30°C LB/Cm containing glucose to an O.D.600 – 0.03. The culture was maintained in exponential growth phase by periodically diluting the culture [to O.D.600 – 0.03] into a flask with fresh, prewarmed media. Aliquots were sampled for Western blots.

**Western blotting**

Western blotting of RseP, cyto-RseA was performed as described [Alba et al. 2002]. The Western blots were developed with the SuperSignal West Dura Extended Duration Substrate from Pierce. Epi Chemi II Darkroom [UVP Laboratory Products] was used for development, and Western blots were scanned and quantified using Quantity One software (Bio-Rad).
used to capture the light emitted from the blots. The band’s intensity was quantified using associated software [Labworks].
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