Cyclohexyl-griselimycin Is Active against *Mycobacterium abscessus* in Mice
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ABSTRACT

Cyclohexyl-griselimycin is a preclinical candidate for use against tuberculosis (TB). Here, we show that this oral cyclodepsipeptide is also active against the intrinsically drug-resistant nontuberculous mycobacterium *Mycobacterium abscessus* in vitro and in a mouse model of infection. This adds a novel advanced lead compound to the *M. abscessus* drug pipeline and supports a strategy of screening chemical matter generated in TB drug discovery efforts to fast-track the discovery of novel antibiotics against *M. abscessus*.
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*Mycobacterium abscessus* causes difficult-to-cure lung disease. Multidrug regimens are administered for months to years and typically contain an oral macrolide (azithromycin or clarithromycin) and intravenously administered amikacin, imipenem/cefotaxin, or tigecycline. However, cure rates are low (<50%), and patients often undergo surgical lung resection, if feasible (1–3). Given the poor performance of the current regimens, more efficacious drugs are needed. Not surprisingly, the *M. abscessus* drug pipeline is thinly populated and largely focused on repurposing and reformulation of approved antibiotics. *De novo* drug discovery efforts (new chemotypes and/or new targets) are hindered by extremely low hit rates in screens attempting to identify chemical starting points (4, 5).

*M. abscessus* is intrinsically resistant to many antituberculosis (anti-TB) antibiotics, including all first-line drugs (6). Despite *M. abscessus* resistance to most approved antituberculars, we found that compound collections of TB actives provide a rich source for the identification of hits against *M. abscessus* (7). In contrast to the limited efforts in *M. abscessus* drug discovery, anti-TB drug discovery experienced a renaissance over the past 2 decades, resulting in a number of advanced lead series (Stop TB Working Group on New TB Drugs [https://www.newtbdrugs.org/pipeline/discovery]). The mechanism of action of many anti-TB leads has been elucidated, and pharmacokinetic (PK) properties have been optimized to enable proof-of-concept studies in animal models. Prioritization of advanced TB leads avoids the high attrition encountered in early lead optimization due to failure to introduce favorable PK properties and thus should accelerate the drug discovery process for *M. abscessus*. To leverage these advances, we screened TB leads against *M. abscessus* and identified several novel anti-*M. abscessus* compounds with demonstrated in vivo activity, including inhibitors of ATP synthase (8), leucyl tRNA synthetase (9, 10), and DNA gyrase (11). Expanding on this strategy, we asked whether the recently identified preclinical anti-TB candidate cyclohexyl-griselimycin (CGM) (12) is active against *M. abscessus*.

Griselimycins are cyclic depsipeptides that were originally isolated from *Streptomyces* species (13). Evidence for the anti-TB activity of these natural products goes back to their...
discovery in the 1960s. However, the first human studies were halted due to poor oral bioavailability (12, 14, 15). These forgotten natural products were recently revisited by investigators from Sano in association with TB Alliance to identify analogs with improved PK properties. The cyclohexyl analog CGM (Fig. 1) showed excellent in vitro potency and attractive oral bioavailability and efficacy in TB mouse models (12). Interestingly, resistance against this new drug candidate emerged at extremely low frequency and was associated with strong fitness costs (12). Genome analyses revealed that resistance was associated with amplification of large chromosomal segments, all containing the dnaN gene, suggesting dnaN overexpression as a mechanism of resistance (12). Indeed, binding studies and costructural analyses showed that griselimycins target mycobacterial DnaN (12).

DnaN encodes the DNA sliding clamp, also referred to as DNA polymerase III \( \beta \) subunit. This DNA sliding clamp is crucial for bacterial DNA replication and repair, acting as a protein-protein interaction (PPI) hub. The protein surrounds double-stranded DNA and functions to recruit a diverse range of accessory proteins involved in DNA metabolism (16–18). DnaN

### TABLE 1 In vitro activity of cyclohexyl-griselimycin (CGM) against *M. abscessus*

| Strains\(^b\) | erm(41) sequevar\(^c\) | CLR sensitivity\(^c\) | MIC (\(\mu\)M)\(^a\) |
|-----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| **Culture collection reference strains** | | | |
| *M. abscessus* subsp. *abscessus* ATCC 19977 | T28 | Resistant | 0.5 3 |
| *M. abscessus* subsp. *massiliense* CCUG 48898T | Deletion | Sensitive | 0.8 0.8 |
| *M. abscessus* subsp. *bolletii* CCUG 50184T | T28 | Resistant | 0.8 6 |
| **Clinical isolates\(^a\)** | | | |
| *M. abscessus* subsp. *abscessus* bamboo | C28 | Sensitive | 0.8 0.8 |
| *M. abscessus* subsp. *abscessus* M9 | T28 | Resistant | 0.8 6 |
| *M. abscessus* subsp. *abscessus* M199 | T28 | Resistant | 0.8 6 |
| *M. abscessus* subsp. *abscessus* M337 | T28 | Resistant | 0.8 6 |
| *M. abscessus* subsp. *abscessus* M404 | C28 | Sensitive | 0.2 0.6 |
| *M. abscessus* subsp. *abscessus* M422 | T28 | Resistant | 0.4 2 |
| *M. abscessus* subsp. *bolletii* M232 | T28 | Resistant | 0.4 3 |
| *M. abscessus* subsp. *bolletii* M506 | C28 | Sensitive | 0.1 0.6 |
| *M. abscessus* subsp. *massiliense* M111 | Deletion | Sensitive | 0.4 0.4 |
| *M. abscessus* subsp. *abscessus* K21 | C28 | Sensitive | 0.4 0.4 |

\(^{a}\)MICs are defined as drug concentrations causing 90\% growth inhibition compared to untreated control and are means from two independent experiments.

\(^{b}\)Described in references 21, 23, and 24.

\(^{c}\)erm(41), ribosome methylase. T28 sequevars confer inducible clarithromycin (CLR) resistance. C28 and “deletion” sequevars are CLR sensitive (25). CLR was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and included as a positive control.
Protein partners interact with a specific hydrophobic cleft on the DnaN clamp. Griselimycins bind to the same cleft, disrupting DnaN PPIs, as shown by elegant biochemical analyses (12). On-target activity was recently confirmed by de Wet and colleagues in intact mycobacteria (20). By combining inducible CRISPR interference and image-based analyses of morphological features in mycobacteria, the authors demonstrated that griselimycin copied the phenotype of a dnaN knockdown (20). Fluorescence microscopy analyses further demonstrated that griselimycins cause replisome instability and affect the structure of the nucleoid in vivo (20). Thus, the peptide antibiotic griselimycin corrupts DnaN-dependent machines involved in genome copying and maintenance by acting as a PPI inhibitor.

Interestingly, CGM not only was potent in vitro against *M. abscessus* but also was active against the nonpathogenic mycobacterial model organism *Mycobacterium smegmatis* (12). To determine whether CGM retained activity against the opportunistic pathogen *M. abscessus*, we measured its MIC against reference strains and clinical isolates of the three *M. abscessus* complex subspecies, using CGM from Evotec’s compound archive (12). Dose-response curves were established using the broth dilution method in Middlebrook 7H10 agar (BD Difco) (21). CGM MIC against *M. abscessus* ATCC 19977, 0.5 μM; CGM MIC against *M. abscessus* K21, 0.4 μM (Table 1). Note the higher CGM concentrations required to reduce the CFU of *M. abscessus* K21 cultures compared to *M. abscessus* ATCC 19977. (C and D) Results of the same experiment carried out with the mainly bacteriostatic clarithromycin (CLR) as a control. Note that *M. abscessus* ATCC 19977 harbors a functional erm(41) conferring inducible macrolide resistance (reflected by a higher MIC of 3 μM) (Table 1), whereas *M. abscessus* K21 harbors a noninducible sequence of *erm*(41) (reflected by a lower MIC of 0.4 μM) (Table 1). The results were analyzed by two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) multicomparison (****, P < 0.0001; ns, nonsignificant [P > 0.05]). Experiments were carried out three times independently. Mean values and standard deviations are shown. LoD, limit of detection.
7H9 medium (BD Difco) and optical density at 600 nm (OD600) as the readout for growth (21). CGM exhibited uniform submicromolar growth-inhibitory activity against all M. abscessus strains tested (Table 1), suggesting that CGM is broadly active against the M. abscessus complex.

To determine whether CGM retained bactericidal activity as observed against M. tuberculosis (12), dose-response time-kill experiments were carried out with the type strain M. abscessus ATCC 19977 (21). Treatment with CGM at the MIC (0.5 μM) resulted in 10-fold and 1,000-fold reductions in CFU after 1 and 3 days, respectively, indicating pronounced time-dependent bactericidal activity (Fig. 2A). Time kill experiments were also carried out for M. abscessus K21, the strain we employ in our mouse infection studies (see below). Interestingly, the bactericidal activity of CGM against M. abscessus K21 was lower than that against M. abscessus ATCC 19977. Despite showing similar MICs against both strains (∼0.5 μM) (Table 1), higher concentrations of CGM were required to achieve comparable reduction of CFU in M. abscessus K21 cultures (Fig. 2B). The reason for the apparent strain-dependent bactericidal activity of CGM remains to be determined. Figure 2C and D show the results of the time-kill experiments for the mostly bacteriostatic clarithromycin as control. Consistent with previous results (22), treatment with the macrolide did not result in significant reduction of CFU.

To assess the in vivo efficacy of CGM, we infected 8-week-old female NOD.CB17-Prkdcscid/NCrCrl (NOD SCID) mice (Charles River Laboratories) by intranasal delivery of 10⁶ CFU M. abscessus K21 as described previously (23). In this immunodeficient mouse model, the K21 strain produces a sustained infection resulting in a largely constant bacterial lung burden over time, thus allowing the effects of drugs to be evaluated (23). Drugs or the vehicle control was administered once daily for 10 consecutive days by oral gavage, starting 1 day postinfection. CGM was formulated in Cremophor RH 40–Capryol 90–Miglyol 812 N (10/20/70 [wt/wt/wt]) and administered at 250 mg/kg of body weight. Clarithromycin, formulated in 0.4% methylcellulose–sterile water, was used as a positive control at the human-equivalent dose of 250 mg/kg. All mice were euthanized 24 h after the last dose, and bacterial load in the lungs and spleen was determined by plating serial dilutions of the organ homogenates onto Middlebrook agar. All experiments involving live animals were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the Center for Discovery and Innovation, Hackensack Meridian Health. As expected, treatment with the vehicle did not affect the bacterial lung burden (Fig. 3A). Compared to the vehicle control, treatment with 250 mg/kg CGM reduced lung CFU 10-fold and thus more than the positive-control clarithromycin at 250 mg/kg (Fig. 3A). CFU reduction in the spleen followed a similar pattern (Fig. 3B). Thus, CGM is efficacious in a mouse model of M. abscessus infection.
In conclusion, we show that the cyclohexyl analog of griselimycin, CGM, is broadly active against the *M. abscessus* complex *in vitro*. The advanced anti-TB lead compound displayed bactericidal activity *in vitro* and reduced the bacterial lung burden in a mouse model of *M. abscessus* infection. This work adds a new advanced lead compound to the preclinical *M. abscessus* drug discovery pipeline and suggests that the new anti-TB drug candidate could be explored for the treatment of *M. abscessus* lung disease. The demonstration that yet another TB active displays anti-*M. abscessus* activity supports the paradigm of exploiting chemical matter generated for TB drug discovery to accelerate *de novo* drug discovery for *M. abscessus*.
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