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1. Introduction
The regularity analysis for boundary value problems set on singular domains has attracted the attention of many researchers. The solvability of such problems was discussed by means of different methods such as the variational methods or the well known potential theory. For more information, we can refer the reader to [13], [14], [15] and the references cited therein.

In this work, we consider the cusp domain $\Pi \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ defined by

$$\Pi = \left\{ (x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : 0 < z < 1, \left( \frac{x}{\alpha}, \frac{y}{z^\alpha} \right) \in D \right\}, \quad \alpha > 1,$$

where

$$D = \left\{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : 0 < x^2 + y^2 < 1 \right\}.$$ 

We want to study the problem

$$\partial_t^2 u + \Delta u = h, \quad \text{on } [0, 1] \times \Pi,$$ 

associated to the following mixed boundary conditions

$$\partial_t^2 u\big|_{\{0\} \times \partial D} + \Delta_{(x, y)} u\big|_{\{1\} \times \partial D} = u_0,$$

$$\partial_t^2 u\big|_{\{1\} \times \partial D} - \Delta_{(x, y)} u\big|_{\{0\} \times \partial D} = u_1,$$

$$u\big|_{[0,1] \times \partial D} = 0.$$ 

Here, $\Delta$ stands for the standard Laplacian in $\mathbb{R}^3$ and $u_0, u_1$ are given functions.

We assume that the second member $h$ is taken in the Lebesgue spaces $L^p(0, 1; L^p(\Pi)), \frac{3}{2} < p < \infty$. Note here that the boundary conditions (1.3) are a considered as restriction of the following ones

$$\partial_t^2 u\big|_{\{0\} \times \partial D} + \Delta u\big|_{\{1\} \times \partial D} = u_0,$$

$$\partial_t^2 u\big|_{\{1\} \times \partial D} - \Delta u\big|_{\{0\} \times \partial D} = u_1,$$

$$u\big|_{[0,1] \times \partial D} = 0.$$ 

which can be viewed in some sense as a particular case of the Ventcel boundary conditions often encountered in various concrete applications, see [19]. This situation will be the subject
of a forthcoming study. In our situation, the boundary of the domain $\Pi$ given by (1.1) is at the origin of several difficulties which make the use of the classical tools a complicated task. Then, we have opted for the use of another approach based essentially on the theory of abstract differential equations. The effectiveness of this method was manifested in several works, see for example [2], [3], [5], [7], [8], [18] and the references cited therein. Our purpose is to establish existence, uniqueness and maximal regularity of the strict solution for (1.2)-(1.3). For this reason, some suitable compatibility conditions on $u_0$ and $u_1$ will be imposed.

We will prove that the study of our problem can be reduced to the study of an abstract differential equation associated to some nonlocal boundary conditions involving a linear unbounded operator. The techniques of investigation used here are based on the use of analytic semigroup’s approach combined with the sum’s operators theory developed in [6]. This work is organized as follows, in the next section, we show that our problem can be transformed by a natural change of variables into an abstract second order differential problem. Section 3, is devoted to the study of the abstract version of the transformed problem. Finally, in section 4, we go back to our first problem in the non regular cylindrical domain and we justify our main results.

2. The abstract setting of the problem

2.1 Change of variables

First, we use the following change of variables

$$T: \ [0,1] \times \Pi \rightarrow \ [0,1] \times \Omega, \ (t,x,y,z) \mapsto \ (t,\xi,\eta,\lambda), \ \lambda > \lambda_0$$

with

$$Pv(t,\xi,\eta,\lambda) = \left\{ 1 + \alpha^2 \theta^2 \left( \frac{\xi}{\lambda} \right)^2 \right\} \partial_{\xi}^2 v + \left\{ 1 + \alpha^2 \theta^2 \left( \frac{\eta}{\lambda} \right)^2 \right\} \partial_{\eta}^2 v$$

$$+ 2\alpha^2 \theta^2 \xi \eta \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} \right)^2 \partial_{\xi} \eta v + 2\alpha\theta \left( \frac{\xi}{\lambda} \right) \partial_{\xi} \lambda v$$

(2.3)

$$= \left\{ 1 + \alpha^2 \theta^2 \left( \frac{\xi}{\lambda} \right)^2 \right\} \partial_{\xi}^2 v + \left\{ 1 + \alpha^2 \theta^2 \left( \frac{\eta}{\lambda} \right)^2 \right\} \partial_{\eta}^2 v$$

$$+ 2\alpha\theta \left( \frac{\xi}{\lambda} \right) \partial_{\xi} \lambda v$$

(2.4)

Now, let us introduce the following change of functions

$$v = (\frac{\beta}{\alpha}) \ w,$$

and

$$f = ((\alpha - 1) \lambda) \frac{\alpha}{p(\alpha - 1)} \ g,$$

with

$$s = - \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1} \left( \frac{3}{p} - 2 \right) = - \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \left( \frac{3}{p} - 2 \right).$$

Consequently, equation (2.2) becomes

$$k(\lambda) \partial_{\xi}^2 w - \Delta w + \frac{1}{\lambda} \mathcal{L} w = f,$$

(2.4)

with

$$k(\lambda) = \left( \frac{\lambda}{\theta} \right)^s,$$

and

$$\mathcal{L} w(t,\xi,\eta,\lambda)$$

$$= \frac{\alpha \theta}{\lambda} \left\{ \xi^2 \partial_{\xi}^2 w + \eta^2 \partial_{\eta}^2 w + 2\xi \eta \partial_{\xi} \partial_{\eta} w \right\}$$

$$+ 2\alpha \theta \left\{ \xi \partial_{\xi} \lambda w + \xi \partial_{\eta} \lambda w \right\}$$

$$+ (\alpha \theta - 2s) \partial_{\xi} \lambda w$$

$$+ \frac{\alpha \theta}{\lambda} ((\alpha + 1) \theta - 2s) \left\{ \xi \partial_{\xi} w + \eta \partial_{\eta} w \right\}$$

$$+ \frac{s}{\lambda} \left( s + 1 - \alpha \theta \right) w.$$
2.2 Statement of the abstract problem

Without loss of generality, we consider the following simplified problem

\[ \partial_t^2 w - \Delta w = f, \quad (2.5) \]

under the corresponding conditions

\[ \partial_t^2 w(1, \xi, \eta, \lambda) - \Delta(\xi, \eta) w(0, \xi, \eta, \lambda) = w_0, \quad (\xi, \eta, \lambda) \in \Omega, \]

\[ \partial_t^2 w(0, \xi, \eta, \lambda) + \Delta(\xi, \eta) w(0, \xi, \eta, \lambda) = w_1, \quad (\xi, \eta, \lambda) \in \Omega, \]

\[ w(t, \xi, \eta, \lambda) = 0, \quad (t, \xi, \eta, \lambda) \in [0, 1] \times \partial \Omega. \quad (2.6) \]

Now, set \( E = L^p(\Omega) \) and \( X = L^p(0, 1; E) \) with \( \frac{3}{2} < p < \infty \) and consider the following vector-valued functions

\[ w : [0, 1] \to E : t \mapsto w(t); \quad w(t)(\xi, \eta, \lambda) = w(t, \xi, \eta, \lambda), \]

\[ f : [0, 1] \to E : t \mapsto f(t); \quad f(t)(\xi, \eta, \lambda) = f(t, \xi, \eta, \lambda). \]

Set

\[
\begin{align*}
D(M) &= W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap W^{1,p}_0(\Omega), \\
M \psi &= \Delta \psi, \quad \psi \in D(M), \\
D(N) &= W^{2,p}(D) \cap W^{1,p}_0(D), \\
N \psi &= \Delta(\xi, \eta) \psi, \quad \psi \in D(N).
\end{align*}
\]

and define the following operators.

\[
\begin{align*}
D(A) &= \{ \psi \in X : \psi(t) \in D(M), \text{ a.e } t \in [0, 1] \}, \\
(A \psi)(t) &= M(\psi(t)), \quad t \in [0, 1],
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
D(H) &= \{ \psi \in X : \psi(t) \in D(N), \text{ a.e } t \in [0, 1] \}, \\
(H \psi)(t) &= N(\psi(t)), \quad t \in [0, 1].
\end{align*}
\]

Consequently, the abstract version of (2.5)-(2.6) is given by

\[ w''(t) + A w(t) = f(t), \quad t \in [0, 1], \quad (2.7) \]

\[ w''(1) - H w(0) = w_1, \quad w''(0) + H w(1) = w_0, \quad (2.10) \]

where \( w_0, w_1 \) are given elements of the complex Banach space \( E \). Our purpose is to find a strict solution of Problem (2.9)-(2.10), i.e. a function \( w \) such that

\[
\begin{align*}
& w \in W^{2,p}(0, 1; E) \cap L^p(0, 1; D(A)), \\
& w_0, w_1 \in D(H), \\
& w \text{ satisfies (2.10)}.
\end{align*}
\]

In the sequel, we need the following results describing some spectral properties of the above cited operators.

Definition 2.1. Let \( E \) be a complex Banach space and \( B \) be a closed linear operator in \( E \), denoting by \( \rho(B) \) its resolvent set. Then, \( B \) is said to be sectorial if there are constants \( \mu \in \mathbb{R}, \delta \in (\pi/2, \pi), M > 0 \) such that

\[
\begin{align*}
\rho(B) &\supseteq S_{\delta, \mu} = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : \arg(z - \mu) < \delta \}, \\
|| (B - zI)^{-1} ||_{L(E)} &\leq \frac{C}{|z - \mu|}, \quad z \in S_{\delta, \mu}.
\end{align*}
\]  

Lemma 2.2. The densely closed linear operator \( (A, D(A)) \) defined by (2.7) is a sectorial operator with \( \mu = 0 \) and \( \delta = \frac{\pi}{2} \).

Proof. See section 3.1.1 in [16], in where a complete study of more general elliptic operator was discussed. The density of \( D(A) \) follows from Proposition 2.1.1 in [11]. \( \square \)

Similarly, one has

Lemma 2.3. The densely closed linear operator \( (H, D(H)) \) defined by (2.8) is a sectorial operator with \( \mu = 0 \) and \( \delta = \frac{\pi}{2} \).

Remark 2.4. In our situation, observe that

1. it is easy to see that

\[ AH = HA, \]

2. the estimate (2.11) implies that

\[ Q = -\sqrt{-A}, \]

is well defined. Furthermore, there exists a sector

\[ \Pi_{\delta, r_0}, \]

\[ \{ z \in \mathbb{C}^* : |\arg(z) - \delta + \pi/2| \leq \delta \}, \]

(with some positive \( \delta, r_0 \)) and \( C > 0 \) such that

\[
\begin{align*}
\rho(Q) &\supseteq \Pi_{\delta, r_0} \\
\forall z \in \Pi_{\delta, r_0}, \quad \| (Q - zI)^{-1} \| &\leq \frac{C}{|z|}.
\end{align*}
\]

Thus, one has for all \( t \in [0, 1] \) and \( \varphi \in E \),

\[ e^{Q \varphi} = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\gamma} e^{z(Q - zI)^{-1}} \varphi \, dz, \]

where \( \gamma \) is a suitable sectorial curve in the complex plane.

From Remark 1 in [4], it follows that

Lemma 2.5. For any \( \varphi \in E, k \in \mathbb{N}^+ \) and \( t \in [0, 1] \), one has

\[
\begin{align*}
& e^{Q_{k} \varphi} \in D(Q_{k}), \\
& e^{Q_{k} \varphi} \in L^p(0, 1; E), \\
& Q_{k} e^{Q_{k} \varphi} = e^{Q_{k}} Q_{k} e^{Q_{k} \varphi}, \\
& H e^{Q_{k} \varphi} = H e^{Q_{k} \varphi}.
\end{align*}
\]
3. Regularity results for the transformed problem

3.1 Representation of the solution

Using the Krein’s method, we know that the unique solution $w$ of (2.9)-(2.10) is given by

\[ w(t) = b_1 e^{Q} + b_2 e^{(1-t)Q} + I(t) + J(t), \]

where

\[ I(t) = \frac{Q^{-1}}{\pi} \int_0^t e^{Q(t-s)} f(s) ds, \]
\[ J(t) = \frac{Q^{-1}}{\pi} \int_1^t e^{Q(s-t)} f(s) ds. \]

The constant $b_1$ and $b_2$ are uniquely determined via the boundary conditions (2.10). For more informations about this technique, we refer the reader to [1] and the references therein.

Using the commutativity of the two operators, namely $(A,D(A))$, $(H,D(H))$, we obtain the following abstract system

\[
\begin{cases}
(Q^2 e^Q - H) b_1 + (Q^2 - H e^Q) b_2 = w_1 - Q^2 I(1) + H J(0), \\
(Q^2 + H e^Q) b_1 + (Q^2 e^Q + H) b_2 = w_0 - Q^2 I(0) - H J(1).
\end{cases}
\]

The abstract determinant of (3.1) is given by

\[ \Lambda = -(1 - e^{2Q}) (Q^4 + H^2), \]

and one has

**Lemma 3.1.** The operator

\[ \Lambda = -(1 - e^{2Q}) (Q^4 + H^2), \]

is closed and boundedly invertible. Furthermore

\[ \Lambda^{-1} = -(Q^4 + H^2)^{-1} (1 - e^{2Q})^{-1}, \]

**Proof.** Thanks to Proposition 2.3.6 in [16], we know that the operator $(1 - e^{2Q})$ has a bounded inverse

\[ (1 - e^{2Q})^{-1} = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\gamma_2(\zeta)} \frac{g(z) - 1}{g(z)} (Q - z)^{-1} dz + I, \]

where

\[ g(z) = 1 - e^{2z}, \]

and $\gamma_1$ is a suitable curve in the complex plane.

On the other hand, The Dore-Venni sum’s theory allows us to confirm that

\[ Q^4 + H^2, \]

is closed and $(Q^4 + H^2)^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(E)$. Furthermore, one has

\[ (Q^4 + H^2)^{-1} = -\frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\gamma_2} (AH)^{2z} H^{-2} \frac{dz}{\sin \pi z}, \]

$\gamma_2$ is also a suitable curve in the complex plane, see Theorem 2.1 in [6]. Using the Dunford’s operational calculus, we write

\[ (Q^4 + H^2)^{-1} (1 - e^{2Q})^{-1} = \left( -\frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\gamma_2} \frac{g(\mu) - 1}{g(\mu)} (Q - \mu I)^{-1} d\mu \right) \left( -\frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\gamma_2} (AH)^{2z} H^{-2} \frac{dz}{\sin \pi z} \right) \left( -\frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\gamma_2(\zeta)} \frac{g(z) - 1}{g(z)} (Q - z)^{-1} dz + I \right) \]

At this level, Fubini’s theorem allows us to write

\[ (Q^4 + H^2)^{-1} (1 - e^{2Q})^{-1} = \left( -\frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\gamma_2} \frac{g(\mu) - 1}{g(\mu)} (Q - \mu I)^{-1} d\mu \right) \left( -\frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\gamma_2} (AH)^{2z} H^{-2} \frac{dz}{\sin \pi z} \right) \left( -\frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\gamma_2(\zeta)} \frac{g(z) - 1}{g(z)} (Q - z)^{-1} dz + I \right) \]

**Summing up,** we deduce that the formal solution of (2.9)-(2.10) is given by the formula

\[ w(t) = e^{Q} \Lambda^{-1} [H w_1 - Q^2 w_0] + e^{Q} e^{Q} \Lambda^{-1} [H w_0 + Q^2 w_1] + e^{(1-t)Q} \Lambda^{-1} [H w_0 + Q^2 w_1] - e^{(1-t)Q} e^{Q} \Lambda^{-1} [H w_1 - Q^2 w_0] + e^{Q} (1 - e^{2Q})^{-1} J(0) + e^Q e^{Q} [2 \Lambda^{-1} Q^2 I(1) + (1 - e^{2Q})^{-1} I(1)] + e^{(1-t)Q} (1 - e^{2Q})^{-1} [I(1) - J(0)] + I(t) + J(t). \]
Putting
\[
\begin{align*}
d_0 &= \Lambda^{-1} \left[ Hw_1 - Q^2w_0 \right], \\
d_1 &= \Lambda^{-1} \left[ Hw_0 + Q^2w_1 \right], \\
d\bar{0} &= (1 - e^{2o})^{-1} J(0), \\
d\bar{1} &= 2\Lambda^{-1} Q^4(1) + T I(1), \\
d\bar{2} &= (1 - e^{2o})^{-1} [I(1) - J(0)].
\end{align*}
\]

Then, \( w \) is given by the following compact expression
\[
w(t) = G_1(t) + G_2(t) + G_3(t) + G_4(t),
\]
with
\[
\begin{align*}
G_1(t) &= e^{Qt}d_0 + e^{(1-t)Q}d_1 + e^{Qt}d_0, \\
G_2(t) &= e^{Qt}e^0d_1 - e^{(1-t)Q}e^0d_0, \\
G_3(t) &= e^{Qt}d\bar{0} + e^{(1-t)Q}d\bar{1} + e^{(1-t)Q}d\bar{2}, \\
G_4(t) &= I(t) + J(t).
\end{align*}
\]

### 3.2 Study of regularity of the solution

From [6], one has

**Lemma 3.2.** Let \( f \in L^p(0,1;E) \), \( \frac{3}{2} < p < \infty \). Then, the following applications
\[
\begin{align*}
t \to \int_0^t e^{(t-s)Q} f(s)ds, \\
t \to \int_0^t e^{(s-t)Q} f(s)ds,
\end{align*}
\]
are well defined for a.e. \( t \in [0,1] \) and belong to \( L^p(0,1;E) \).

To establish more regularity results, we need to introduce for any closed operator \( B \) and \( \delta \in [0,1] \), the following classical real interpolation spaces between \( D(B) \) and \( E \) defined by
\[
(E,D(B))_{\delta,p} = (D(B),E)_{1-\delta,p}.
\]

For more details about these spaces, see [9]. In our situation, since \( Q \) generates an analytic semigroup, it follows from [17] that beginlemma For all \( \frac{3}{2} < p < \infty \) and \( \varphi \in E \), one has
\[
\begin{align*}
Qe^{Q}\varphi &\in L^p(0,1;E) \Leftrightarrow \varphi \in (D(A),E)_{\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p},p}, \\
Ae^{Q}\varphi &\in L^p(0,1;E) \Leftrightarrow \varphi \in (D(A),E)_{\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p},p}.
\end{align*}
\]

Keeping in mind that \( I(0) \) and \( J(1) \) are bounded and due to the regularizing effect of \( e^{Q}, \Lambda^{-1} \) and \( T \), the following regularity results are a direct consequence of Lemma 3.2.

**Proposition 3.3.** Let \( d_0, d_1, \bar{d}_0, \bar{d}_1, \bar{d}_2 \) given by (3.5). Then, for \( \frac{3}{2} < p < \infty \), one has
\[
1. t \to Q^2G_1(t) \in L^p(0,1;E) \text{ if and only if } d_0, d_1 \in \left( D(Q^2),E \right)_{\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p},p}.
\]
\[
2. t \to Q^2G_2(t) \in L^p(0,1;E).
\]
\[
3. t \to Q^2G_3(t) \in L^p(0,1;E).
\]
\[
4. t \to Q^2G_4(t) \in L^p(0,1;E).
\]

The above proposition can be equivalently formulated as follows

**Theorem 3.4.** Let \( f \in L^p(0,1;E) \), \( \frac{3}{2} < p < \infty \), and \( w_0, w_1 \in E \). Then, the following assertions are equivalents
\[
1. Hw_1, Aw_0, Hw_0, Aw_1 \in \left( D(A),E \right)_{\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p},p}.
\]
\[
2. w \text{ given by (3.4) is the unique strict solution of (2.9)- (2.10) satisfying the maximal regularity property, that is } \frac{w'' + Aww}{w''} \in L^p(0,1;E).
\]

In order to state our main results, we recall the definition of the following Besov space for \( \nu \in (0,1) \)
\[
\mathcal{B}_{\nu,p}^p(\Omega) : = \left\{ \psi \in L^p(\Omega) : \int_\Omega \int_\Omega \frac{|\psi(\xi_1) - \psi(\xi_2)|^p}{||\xi_1 - \xi_2||^{1+\nu p}} d\xi_1 d\xi_2 < \infty \right\}
\]
where \( \xi_1 \) and \( \xi_2 \) are a two generic points of \( \mathbb{R}^3 \), see [9], p. 680, and one has

**Lemma 3.5.** Let \( A \) the operator defined by (2.7) and \( \frac{3}{2} < p < \infty \). Then
\[
(D(A),E)_{\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p},p} = L^p\left(0,1;\mathcal{B}_{\nu,p}^p(\Omega)\right): \psi = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega
\]
\[
: = L^p\left(0,1;\mathcal{B}_{\nu,p}^p(\Omega)\right).
\]

**Proof.** One has
\[
(D(A),E)_{\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p},p} = L^p\left(0,1;\left(W_0^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap L^p(\Omega)\right)_{\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p},p}\right)
\]
First, it is well known that
\[
L^p\left(0,1;W_0^{2,p}(\Omega)\right) \subset L^p\left(0,1;\left(W_0^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap L^p(\Omega)\right)_{\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p},p}\right)
\]
\[
\subset L^p\left(0,1;L^p(\Omega)\right).
\]
From [9], Proposition 3, P. 683, one has
\[
(W_0^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap L^p(\Omega))_{\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p},p} = \mathcal{B}_{\nu,p}^p(\Omega)
\]
and as in p. 708 in the same work cited above, we get
\[
\left( W^{2,p}_0(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{L}^p(\Omega) \right)^{1/2 + 1/p} = \left\{ \psi \in \mathcal{B}^{1-\frac{1}{p}}_p(\Omega) : \psi = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega \right\}
\]

Then, we can formulate our main results in the transformed domain as follows.

**Theorem 3.6.** Let \( f \in \mathcal{L}^p((0,1) \times \Omega), \frac{3}{2} < p < \infty \). Then, the following assertions are equivalents.

1. \( \Delta(\tilde{\xi},\tilde{\eta})w_1, \Delta w_0, \Delta(\tilde{\xi},\tilde{\eta})w_0, \Delta w_1 \in \mathcal{L}^p \left( 0, 1; \mathcal{B}^{1-\frac{1}{p}}_p(\Omega) \right) \).

2. Problem (2.5)-(2.6) has a unique strict solution \( w \in W^{2,p}(0,1; \mathcal{L}^\infty(\Omega)) \cap \mathcal{L}^p(0,1; W_0^{2,p}(\Omega)), \) satisfying the maximal regularity property, that is \( \partial^2_\xi w \) and \( \Delta w \in \mathcal{L}^p(0,1; \mathcal{L}^\infty(\Omega)) \).

Using identically a classical perturbation argument as in [10], we conclude that

**Theorem 3.7.** Let \( f \in \mathcal{L}^p((0,1) \times \Omega), \frac{3}{2} < p < \infty \). Then the following assertions are equivalents.

1. \( \Delta(\tilde{\xi},\tilde{\eta})w_1, \Delta w_0, \Delta(\tilde{\xi},\tilde{\eta})w_0, \Delta w_1 \in \mathcal{L}^p \left( 0, 1; \mathcal{B}^{1-\frac{1}{p}}_p(\Pi) \right) \).

2. Problem (2.4)-(2.6) has a unique strict solution \( w \in W^{2,p}(0,1; \mathcal{L}^\infty(\Omega)) \cap \mathcal{L}^p(0,1; W_0^{2,p}(\Omega)), \) satisfying the maximal regularity property, that is \( \partial^2_\xi w \) and \( \Delta w \in \mathcal{L}^p(0,1; \mathcal{L}^\infty(\Omega)) \).

**4. Regularity results for problem (1.2)-(1.3)**

From [2], the go back to our original domain use the following inverse change of variables

\[
T^{-1} : [0,1] \times \Omega \rightarrow [0,1] \times \Pi, \quad (t, \tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}, \lambda) \mapsto \left( t, \left( \frac{\theta}{\lambda} \right)^{\alpha/\beta} \tilde{\xi}, \left( \frac{\theta}{\lambda} \right)^{\alpha/\beta} \tilde{\eta}, \left( \frac{\theta}{\lambda} \right)^{1/\beta} \right).
\]

Since
\[
w = \left( \frac{\theta}{\lambda} \right)^{3\alpha/p\beta} z^{-2\alpha} u
\]

then, a direct computation leads to
\[
\left\{
\begin{align*}
\partial^2_\xi w &= \left( \frac{\theta}{\lambda} \right)^{3\alpha/p\beta} z^{-\alpha} \partial u = z^{3\alpha/p - \alpha} \partial u, \\
\partial_\eta w &= \left( \frac{\theta}{\lambda} \right)^{3\alpha/p\beta} z^{-\alpha} \partial u = z^{3\alpha/p - \alpha} \partial u,
\end{align*}
\right.
\]

and
\[
\left\{
\begin{align*}
\partial^2_\xi w &= \left( \frac{\theta}{\lambda} \right)^{3\alpha/p\beta} \partial^2 u = z^{3\alpha/p} \partial^2 u, \\
\partial_\eta w &= \left( \frac{\theta}{\lambda} \right)^{3\alpha/p\beta} \partial^2 u = z^{3\alpha/p} \partial^2 u,
\end{align*}
\right.
\]

As an immediate consequence of the preceding maximal regularity results with respect to \((t, \tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}, \lambda)\), we deduce that
\[
z^{-2\alpha} u, z^{-\alpha} \partial u, z^{-\alpha} \partial^2 u \in \mathcal{L}^p(0,1; \mathcal{L}^\infty(\Omega)), \quad \frac{3}{2} < p < \infty
\]

On the other hand, since \( \partial^2_\xi w = \Delta w - \Delta(\tilde{\xi},\tilde{\eta})w \), then
\[
\partial^2_\xi w \in \mathcal{L}^p(0,1; \mathcal{L}^\infty(\Pi)).
\]

Regarding now the cross derivatives \( \partial^2_{\xi\eta} w \) and \( \partial^2_{\eta\lambda} w \), one has
\[
\partial^2_{\xi\eta} w = \left( \frac{\theta}{\lambda} \right)^{3\alpha/p\beta} \left\{ \alpha \left( 2\alpha - \frac{3\alpha}{p} \right) \partial^2 u + \theta^2 \partial^2_{\xi\eta} u - \theta \partial^2_{\xi u} - \partial^2_{\eta u} \right\},
\]

and
\[
\partial^2_{\eta\lambda} w = \left( \frac{\theta}{\lambda} \right)^{3\alpha/p\beta} \left\{ \alpha \left( 2\alpha - \frac{3\alpha}{p} \right) \partial^2 u + \theta^2 \partial^2_{\eta\lambda} u - \theta \partial^2_{\eta u} - \partial^2_{\xi u} \right\},
\]

from which, we deduce that
\[
\partial_{\xi u}, \partial_{\eta u} \in \mathcal{L}^p(0,1; \mathcal{L}^\infty(\Pi)), \quad \frac{3}{2} < p < \infty.
\]

Finally, one has
\[
\partial_{\xi} w = \left( \frac{\theta}{\lambda} \right)^{3\alpha/p\beta} \left\{ \left( 2\alpha - \frac{3\alpha}{p} \right) \theta z^{-2\alpha} u \right\}
\]

it follows then that
\[
z^{-\alpha} \partial_{\xi} u \in \mathcal{L}^p(0,1; \mathcal{L}^\infty(\Pi)), \quad \frac{3}{2} < p < \infty.
\]

Summing up, one has
\[
z^{-2\alpha} u, z^{-\alpha} \partial_{\xi} u, z^{-\alpha} \partial_{\eta} u, z^{-\alpha} \partial_{\xi u}, z^{-\alpha} \partial_{\xi u}, z^{-\alpha} \partial_{\eta u}, z^{-\alpha} \partial_{\eta u} \partial^2 u \in \mathcal{L}^p(0,1; \mathcal{L}^\infty(\Pi)).
\]
Similarly, the concrete version of the compatibility conditions on \( u_0 \) and \( u_1 \) are given by

\[
\begin{align*}
&z^{-2\alpha}u_0, z^{-\alpha}\partial_0u_0, z^{-\alpha}\partial_1u_0, z^{-\alpha}\partial_2u_0, z^2u_0, \partial^2_xu_0, \partial^2_yu_0, \\
&z^{-2\alpha}u_1, z^{-\alpha}\partial_0u_1, z^{-\alpha}\partial_1u_1, z^{-\alpha}\partial_2u_1, \partial^2_xu_1, \partial^2_yu_1
\end{align*}
\]

\( \in \mathcal{B}_{p,\#}^{2-\frac{1}{p}}(\Pi) \),

and

\[
\begin{align*}
&z^{-2\alpha}u_0, z^{-\alpha}\partial_0u_0, z^{-\alpha}\partial_1u_0, z^{-\alpha}\partial_2u_0, z^2u_0, \partial^2_xu_0, \\
&z^{-2\alpha}u_1, z^{-\alpha}\partial_0u_1, z^{-\alpha}\partial_1u_1, z^{-\alpha}\partial_2u_1, \partial^2_xu_1
\end{align*}
\]

\( \in \mathcal{B}_{p,\#}^{2-\frac{1}{p}}(\Pi) \).

Then problem (1.2)-(1.3) has unique strict solution

\[ u \in W^{2,p}(0,1; \mathcal{B}_{p,\#}^{2-\frac{1}{p}}(\Pi)), \]

such that

\[
\begin{align*}
&\partial^2_xu, \partial^2_yu, \partial^2_zu, \partial^2_{\partial_0}u, \partial^2_{\partial_1}u, \partial^2_{\partial_2}u
\end{align*}
\]

\( \in L^p(0,1; \mathcal{B}_{p,\#}^{2-\frac{1}{p}}(\Pi)) \).
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