Synthesis and profiling of a 3-aminopyridin-2-one-based kinase targeted fragment library: Identification of 3-amino-5-(pyridin-4-yl)pyridin-2-(1H)-one scaffold for monopolar spindle 1 (MPS1) and Aurora kinases inhibition
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Abstract

Screening a 3-aminopyridin-2-one based fragment library against a 26-kinase panel representative of the human kinome identified 3-amino-5-(1-methyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)pyridin-2(1H)-one (2) and 3-amino-5-(pyridin-4-yl)pyridin-2(1H)-one (3) as ligand efficient inhibitors of the mitotic kinase Monopolar Spindle 1 (MPS1) and the Aurora kinase family. These kinases are well recognised as attractive targets for therapeutic intervention for treating cancer. Elucidation of the binding mode of these fragments and their analogues has been carried out by X-ray crystallography. Structural studies have identified key interactions with a conserved lysine residue and have highlighted potential regions of MPS1 which could be targeted to improve activity and selectivity.

© 2018 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Protein kinases play a key role in protein phosphorylation and cell signal transduction pathways representing an important therapeutic class.1–5 Deregulation of protein kinase activity, by over-expression or mutation, is intimately involved in cancer cell proliferation and survival.6 At least 28 small-molecule kinase inhibitors have been approved for use in the clinic, the majority of which target part of the highly conserved ATP-binding site, known as the hinge region.7–11 The highly conserved nature of this region presents a common challenge in developing kinase inhibitors, namely obtaining a desirable selectivity profile.12–18 High throughput screening against a kinase of interest in a target-centric manner is a commonly used approach to identify small-molecule inhibitors. These inhibitors are subsequently screened against a panel of kinases in order to gain an understanding of their selectivity profile. Optimization can then lead to a more potent inhibitor that exhibits a desirable selectivity profile. Despite the successful applications of this method, various limitations have become clear. Several mechanisms by which cancer cells can escape inhibition of a single kinase have been reported.11–14 Additionally, protein kinase drug discovery efforts have focussed on a small number of well-validated targets.15 An alternative strategy is to target multiple nodes on a signalling network simultaneously giving the cancer cell a higher evolutionary barrier to overcome in order to achieve resistance.16–18 Although the highly conserved active site of protein kinases is often cited as a cause of poor selectivity of kinase inhibitors, it may be possible to exploit this poor selectivity to target multiple kinases.19 The recent increase in protein kinase panel screens can allow selectivity profiling of inhibitors to be carried out at a very early stage.19–22 Screening small-molecule libraries in a target-blind manner against a representative panel of kinases can identify novel chemotypes as inhibitors while giving detailed information of their selectivity profiles. This approach may prove useful in identifying desirable selectivity profiles in order to discover small molecules that target multiple specific kinases that in combination are of clinical significance.

Owing to the successful application of structural biology to the development of small-molecule protein kinase inhibitors from fragments, fragment-based drug design (FBDD) has become an area of sustained interest.23–24 Fragment-based hits are generally weak binders but form high quality interactions and can exhibit high ligand efficiency.25–26 Fragment compound libraries can sample a
greater degree of chemical space than a lead like compound library containing a similar number of small molecules.\textsuperscript{25,27–29} Fragments often possess good physicochemical properties and can be subsequently grown or linked to other fragments in order to increase activity and selectivity.\textsuperscript{25,26,30} Despite their low molecular weight, fragments can possess a high degree of selectivity by exploiting very small structural differences.\textsuperscript{18} However, selectivity is not always maintained between a fragment and related lead-like molecules.\textsuperscript{14,15} The selectivity of small molecules tested against a panel of kinases can be quantitatively described by their selectivity score (S), the ratio of kinases in the panel that are inhibited above a certain % inhibition, e.g. $S_{\text{compound}(50)}$.\textsuperscript{21} The score ranges from 0 to 1, with 1 representing a completely non-selective inhibitor. A similar scoring function can be used to describe the selectivity score of a kinase ($S_{\text{kinase}(50)}$). This represents the ratio of compounds in a screening library that inhibit the particular kinase > 50%.\textsuperscript{11}

The identification of novel kinase inhibitor scaffolds is highly desirable in order to develop selective kinase inhibitors. Small-molecule inhibitors of Interleukin-2-inducible T-cell kinase (Itk) that are based on the 3-aminopyridin-2-one fragment have been reported.\textsuperscript{31} Despite derivatisation of 1 yielding potent Itk inhibitors,\textsuperscript{31} this 3-aminopyridin-2-one fragment is poorly represented in small-molecule protein kinase modulators. This fragment is capable of forming multiple hydrogen bonds to the backbone of the hinge region, possesses good physicochemical properties\textsuperscript{17} and contains several suitable points for derivatisation (Fig. 1), representing a good starting point for the synthesis of a kinase-targeted fragment library. Herein, we report the synthesis and kinase profiling of a 3-aminopyridin-2-one based fragment library against a panel of 26 protein kinases. This led to the rapid identification of aminopyridin-2-one derivatives as a novel class of inhibitors of monopolar spindle 1 (MP51) and Aurora kinases, two kinases intimately involved in mitosis.

2. Results and discussion

A fragment library based on 3-aminopyridin-2-one was synthesised from 5-bromo-2-methoxypyridin-3-amine by first introducing a variety of aromatic and heteroaromatic groups at the 3-aminopyridin-2-one C5-position under standard Suzuki cross-coupling conditions, followed by deprotection of the 3-aminopyridone-2-methoxy-pyridine intermediates by generating TMS-I in situ (Figure 2).\textsuperscript{32} 3-Amino-6-methyl-5-[(pyridin-4-yl)pyridin-2-one (15) was synthesised in two steps from the phosphodiesterase inhibitor Milrinone (13). Hydrolysis of the nitrile 13 to the carboxamide intermediate 14 by treatment with concentrated sulfuric acid at 120 °C, was followed by a Hofmann rearrangement to give compound 15 (Fig. 2).\textsuperscript{33}

In order to test the biochemical activity of our fragment library, a panel of 26 structurally diverse protein kinases was used. The panel represented members of the TK, CMGC, CAMK, CK1 and AGC families (Supplementary data Table 1). By choosing this panel, we recognised that the exact composition of a kinase panel could affect selectivity scores in particular for small assay panels, as suggested by Karaman et al.\textsuperscript{21} Compounds 1–3, 5–8 and 15 were initially screened at a single concentration of 100 μM using a mobility shift-based biochemical assay from Caliper Life Sciences.\textsuperscript{34} The 3-aminopyridin-2-one fragment 1 showed very little activity against our kinase panel with the exception of Aurora A and AKT2, 58% and 77% inhibition at 100 μM, respectively (Fig. 3, and Supplementary data Table 2). This may be expected from screening such a small fragment at a relatively low concentration, as even weak activity such as a Ki of 1 mM would give a ligand efficiency of 0.52. Introduction of an aromatic ring at the C5-position generally resulted in an increase in biochemical activity. Introduction of a N-methylpyrazole (compound 2) gave high percentage inhibition against a large number of kinases in the panel, resulting in a S(50%) of 0.77 (Supplementary data Table 2) showing good potency but poor selectivity. The phosphodiesterase inhibitor Amrinone (3), which contains a 4-pyridyl substitution, showed reasonable activity against four members of the panel (MPS1, CHK1, PKCε and PKA), resulting in a S(50%) score of 0.08. Introduction of a methyl group at the C6-position of the aminopyridinone 3 gave compound 15 which showed low potency against the 26-kinease panel, only one kinase, Aurora B, was inhibited by >50% (77%) (Fig. 3, and Supplementary data Table 2).

This may suggest the methyl group in compound 15 clashes with the protein-binding site, or induces an unfavourable conformation of the bivalent system. Retention of the exocyclic 3-NH₂ hydrogen bond donor in 3, accompanying by the removal of the hydrogen bond donor of the pyridone ring by conversion to the 3-amino-2-methoxy-pyridine analogue 5 resulted in a similar inhibitory profile against MPS1 and Aurora B compared to compound 3 (Table 1). An increase in activity against Aurora A, MPS1,ABL and CHK2 was observed when the 4-pyridyl ring in compound 3 was substituted with a quinoline moiety, giving compound 6 (Table 1, Fig. 3). This analogue displayed a selectivity score S(50%) of 0.19 (Supplementary data Table 2). A significant increase in activity across the entire panel was observed by the introduction of a substituted 2-aminopyrimidine moiety. 2-Methylaminopyrimidine analogue 7 gave >50% inhibition against 23 members of our 26-kine panel (Fig. 3) which resulted in a selectivity score S(50%) of 0.92 (Supplementary data Table 2). Substitution of 2-methylaminopyrimidine in 7 with 2-cyclopropylaminopyrimidine (compound 8) maintained activity against some members of the panel (AurA, MPS1, GSK3b, RSK1 PKD2) resulting in a selectivity score S(50%) of 0.35 (Supplementary data Table 2). The poor selectivity of the aminopyrimidine analogues 7 and 8 is possibly due to the aminopyrimidine acting as a hinge binding motif as previously reported.\textsuperscript{18,35–38} In order to validate these single point assay results, the dose–response curves for the compound libraries were obtained against Aurora A, Aurora B and MPS1 kinases. These kinases were selected because of their high $S_{\text{kinase}(50)}$ against this compound library (Supplementary data Table 4) and their biological relevance in cancer cell proliferation and survival. The Aurora kinases are well recognised as attractive targets for cancer therapeutics and have extensively been investigated.\textsuperscript{39,40} The inhibition of MPS1 has been also proposed as an effective method for treatment of human cancers, with a relatively limited number of small-molecule MPS1 modulators being reported.\textsuperscript{41–47} I50 values were obtained for an expanded version of the fragment library using the previously described mobility shift assay.\textsuperscript{48} Subsequently, K values were estimated from I50 values to allow better comparison of the activity against targets which were measured at different substrate concentrations (Supplementary data Table 1). The methylpyrazole analogue 2 showed good activity and high ligand efficiency against both Aurora A and B, with a more
modest Ki value against MPS1 (Table 1). Compound 3 demonstrated modest inhibition and good ligand efficiency against MPS1 and Aurora B but lower inhibitory activity against Aurora A (Table 1). In agreement with data provided from the single point assay (Fig. 3), compound 15 was only active against Aurora B displaying good ligand efficiency against this kinase (Table 1). The quinoline derivative 6 possessed reasonable activity against all three kinases but a reduction in LE was observed due to the increase in number of heavy atoms (Table 1). Introduction of 2-aminopyrimidine-based substituents (compounds 7, 8) resulted in an increase in potency compared to that observed for 3 (Table 1), as it would be expected by the observed trends in percentage inhibition at 100 μM (Fig. 3). Both the methylaminopyrimidine derivative 7 and cyclopropylaminopyrimidine 8 were potent in inhibiting all three kinases, maintaining high ligand efficiency (Table 1). The pyrimidine analogue 10 showed a decrease in activity against MPS1 compared to compound 3, and a moderate increase in inhibitory activity against Aurora A and B (Table 1). Compound 12 showed selectivity for inhibition of Aurora B over Aurora A and MPS1 (Table 1).

The binding mode of these biaryl fragments to MPS1 was elucidated using X-ray crystallography. The crystal structure of the N-methylpyrazole analogue 2 in complex with MPS1 was determined with a resolution of 3.00 Å (Fig. 4), and possessed the typical kinase tertiary structure. The positioning of the α C-helix and the DFG motif, and the presence of the catalytic C- and R-spine, suggest that MPS1 is in an active conformation.44,49 However, the activation loop (M671 - V684) could not be modelled, indicating that this region is not ordered, and no salt bridge was observed between K553 and E571. Clear electron density for the ligand was observed, identifying key hydrogen bonds formed from the aminopyridinone NH to the carbonyl of E603 (2.8 Å) and from the NH of G605 to the carbonyl of the 3-aminopyridin-2-one (2.7 Å).

Protein kinases frequently feature a hydrophobic channel that extends from the hinge region to the solvent exposed surface.50 Positioning of hydrophobic groups along this channel is a common strategy used to increase activity of kinase inhibitors.50 As substitution along this vector grants access to the solvent, it is also exploited to introduce solubilising groups. The amino acid sequence along this channel is less conserved between kinases than the hinge region and can also be exploited to gain selectivity.50 The crystal structure of compound 2 bound to MPS1 suggests that this channel is accessible through modification of the 3-amino position (Fig. 4). Owing to this observation and the attributes of the channel that extends from the hinge region, a compound library based on benzamido derivatives of compound 3 was synthesised including a sulfonamido-based derivative, compound 21 (Fig. 5). The amide bond formation reaction and SNAr substitution reactions shown in Fig. 5 have been previously reported for the preparation of compounds of this class.51 Compound 3 was selected as it dis-
played a less promiscuous kinase profile compared with 2, and it was hypothesised to have a kinase binding mode similar to that of fragment 2. A selection of members from this library was again screened against a panel of 26 protein kinases.

Screening a selection of analogues from this library against the panel of 26 kinases (Fig. 6, Supplementary data Table 3). The benzamide analogue 16 demonstrated >50% inhibition against eight members of the panel including MPS1 and Aurora A (S(50%) = 0.31; Supplementary data Table 3), and the 3-methoxybenzamide analogue 17 also exhibited a selectivity score S(50%) of 0.31 (Fig. 6, Supplementary data Table 3). The N-methylpiperazine analogue 22 displayed higher inhibitory potencies compared to compound 16 while maintaining a selectivity score S(50%) of 0.38 (Fig. 6, Supplementary data Table 3). Analogue 24 showed high percentage inhibition against a large number of kinases in the panel (Fig. 6) with a S(50%) of 0.77 (Supplementary data Table 3). This trend is in agreement with the poor selectivity observed with the structurally related fragments 7 and 8 (Figs. 2, 3). The decrease in selectivity seen with analogue 24 relative to that observed with 16, 17, and 22 may be attributable to the aminopyrimidine system making additional or stronger interactions with the protein or acting as an alternative hinge binding motif. As compounds 16, 17, 22, and 24 often demonstrated >50% inhibition against Aurora A, Aurora B and MPS1, inhibitory activities against these three kinases were again determined for the expanded compound library (Table 2). The benzamide analogue 16 displayed a 15-fold increase in inhibitory activity against MPS1 relative to that seen with 3, and also showed significantly higher inhibitory activity against Aurora A compared to that observed for compound 3 (Tables 1, 2).

Mono- or disubstitution at the meta-position of the benzamide 16 with methoxy groups (compounds 17 and 18) was not well tolerated and equivalent fluorine substitutions (compounds 19 and 20) led to significant loss of activity (Table 2). The selectivity of compound 17 inhibiting MPS1 over Aurora A and Aurora B could possibly be explained by differences in the main chain conformation along the hydrophobic channel leading to the solvent exposed surface. Introduction of solubilising groups such as N-methylpiperazine (compound 22) and piperidine (compound 23) retained good activity against all three kinases but resulted in a reduction in ligand efficiency due to the increase in the number of heavy atoms (Table 2). It should be noted that compounds 16 and 23 have been reported by Charrier et al. as Itk inhibitors.31

In order to gain further structural insights into the binding modes of active members of this library, we successfully co-crystallised compounds 22 and 23 with MPS1 (Figs. 7 and 8) with both structures showing a hydrogen bonding pattern to the amino acid backbone of the hinge region identical to that seen with fragment 2 bound to MPS1 (Fig. 4). A further weak hydrogen bond between the nitrogen of the pyridyl ring in 23 and K553 was observed (2.6 Å) as

Table 1

| Compd | MPS1 Ki μM (LE) | AurA Ki μM (LE) | AurB Ki μM (LE) |
|-------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 1     | >1000 (-)      | 361.0 (0.59)   | 159.7 (0.64)   |
| 2     | 94.7 (0.39)    | 7.5 (0.53)     | 3.2 (0.53)     |
| 3     | 80.8 (0.40)    | 393.9 (0.30)   | 59.3 (0.41)    |
| 4     | 236.4 (0.33)   | 99.5 (0.36)    | 102.7 (0.36)   |
| 5     | 91.2 (0.37)    | 184.7 (0.31)   | 63.9 (0.38)    |
| 6     | 30.2 (0.34)    | 76.3 (0.31)    | 41.7 (0.33)    |
| 7     | 25.6 (0.39)    | 21.3 (0.40)    | 15.1 (0.41)    |
| 8     | 11.0 (0.37)    | 30.2 (0.34)    | 12.0 (0.37)    |
| 9     | 128.2 (0.38)   | 66.9 (0.41)    | 70.3 (0.40)    |
| 10    | 269.3 (0.35)   | 89.6 (0.39)    | 18.7 (0.46)    |
| 11    | 98.1 (0.39)    | 125.2 (0.38)   | 97.2 (0.39)    |
| 12    | 236.4 (0.33)   | >100 ( -)      | 12.9 (0.37)    |
| 13    | >100 ( -)      | >100 ( -)      | >100 ( -)      |
| 14    | >100 ( -)      | >100 ( -)      | >100 ( -)      |
| 15    | >100 ( -)      | >100 ( -)      | 25.7 (0.42)    |

Ki values were calculated from IC50 values using the Cheng-Prusoff equation.49
shown in Fig. 7. The piperidine and N-methyl piperazine groups present in compounds 23 and 22, respectively, pointed out towards the solvent exposed surface as hypothesised (Figs. 7, 8). The pyridyl ring is also involved in hydrophobic packing between V539 and I663 as shown in Fig. 7. Further study of the protein environment of the pyridyl ring system may provide greater understanding of selectivity trends observed across the initial fragment library.

Regarding MPS1 and Aurora kinases, it should be noted that MPS1 possesses a gatekeeper residue which is different to that seen in Aurora A and B kinases. Where MPS1 has a flexible methionine residue (M602), Aurora A and B have a leucine residue (L210 in Aurora A) as shown in Fig. 8. In addition, MPS1 possesses an isoleucine (I663) residue that is involved in hydrophobic packing, the corresponding residue in Aurora A and B is alanine (A273 in Aurora A) (Fig. 8). As previously stated, introduction of a benzamide or substituted benzamide group resulted in a loss in selectivity, both between MPS1 and Aurora A/B, and against the panel of 26 kinases. The hydrophobic channel, into which these groups project, is a common feature observed in kinases. Although the amino acid sequence of the hydrophobic channel is not as highly conserved as that of the hinge region, features of this channel are relatively similar. Since the benzamide analogues 16, 17, 22 and 24 show greater percentage inhibition against a large number of the kinases in the panel, it could be assumed that they form non-directional hydrophobic interactions in this region. These findings are in agreement with previous reports suggesting that elaboration of selective fragments does not always result in selective compounds.18

3. Conclusion

In summary, screening a small compound library based on the 3-aminopyridin-2-one motif in a target-blind manner against a 26-kinase panel, representative of the kinome, identified hits that possess good activity and excellent ligand efficiency against MPS1, Aurora A and Aurora B. The binding mode of fragment 2 and compounds 22 and 23 with MPS1 was elucidated using X-ray crystallography providing structural information that could prove valuable in improving potency and obtaining a desirable selectivity profile. This scaffold may prove an attractive starting point for the development of potent inhibitors of MPS1 by providing vectors suitable for probing the P-loop, as in NMS-P715, or

Fig. 4. X-ray crystal structure of 2 (carbon atoms in yellow) bound to MPS1 (3.00 Å) with Fo-Fc omit map of 2 contoured at 3σ, clipped to 2 Å around 2 and displayed as a green mesh (PDB Code: 4CV8).

Fig. 5. Synthesis of benzamidopyridin-2-one library. For synthesis of compound 24, see Supplementary Data. Also, for synthesis of compound 21, see Supplementary Data.
positioning of a hydrophobic group in a manner that further orders the activation loop.41,44

4. Experimental section

Chemistry: All anhydrous solvents and reagents were obtained from commercial suppliers (Sigma Aldrich, Acros, Fluorochem, Alfa Aesar) and used without further purification. Reactions were performed in oven-dried, round-bottom flasks fitted with rubber septa under an atmosphere of argon unless otherwise stated. Analytical thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on pre-coated aluminium sheets of silica (60 F254, Merck) and visualised by short-wave UV light. Reactions using microwave irradiation were performed in a Biotage Initiator Sixty. Flash column chromatography was performed on a FlashMaster personal unit using isolute Flash silica columns or a Biotage SP1 purification system using Biotage Flash silica cartridges. Ion exchange chromatography was performed using acidic Isolute Flash SCX-II cartridges. Silicon carbonate columns used were Isolute Si-Carbonate from Biotage.

Semi-Preparative HPLC Method A: 1000 lL standard injections (with needle rinse) of the sample, at 20 mg/mL concentration in DMSO, were made onto a Phenomenex Gemini column (10 lL, 250 × 21.2 mm, C18, Phenomenex, Torrance, USA). Chromatographic separation at room temperature was carried out using Gilson GX-281 liquid handler system combined with a Gilson 322 HPLC pump (Gilson, Middleton, USA) over a 15 min gradient elution from 10:90 to 100:0 methanol:water (both modified with 0.1% formic acid) at a flow rate of 20 mL/min. UV-Vis spectra were acquired at 254 nm on a Gilson 156 UV-Vis detector (Gilson, Middleton, USA). Collection was triggered by UV signal, and collected using a Gilson GX-281 liquid handler system (Gilson, Middleton, USA). Raw data was processed using Gilson Trilution Software.

1H NMR spectra were recorded at 500 MHz on a Bruker Avance-500 using an internal deuterium lock. Chemical shifts were measured in parts per million (ppm) relative to tetramethylsilane (δ = 0) using the following internal references for residual protons in the solvent: CDCl3 (δ 7.26), CD3OD (δ 3.32) and (CD3)2SO (δ 2.50). Data is presented as follows: chemical shift, integration, multiplicity, coupling constant (J) in Hz. 13C NMR spectra were recorded at 126 MHz on a Bruker Avance-500 using an internal

---

Table 2

| Compd | MPS1 Ki μM (LE) | AurA Ki μM (LE) | AurB Ki μM (LE) |
|-------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 16    | 5.4 (0.33)     | 3.3 (0.34)     | 41.0 (0.27)    |
| 17    | 24.8 (0.26)    | >100 (-)       | >100 (-)       |
| 18    | 30.1 (0.24)    | >100 (-)       | 32.9 (0.23)    |
| 19    | >100 (-)       | >100 (-)       | >100 (-)       |
| 20    | >100 (-)       | >100 (-)       | >100 (-)       |
| 21    | >100 (-)       | >100 (-)       | >100 (-)       |
| 22    | 4.8 (0.25)     | 14.9 (0.23)    | 5.5 (0.25)     |
| 23    | 2.9 (0.27)     | 2.6 (0.27)     | 7.4 (0.25)     |
| 24    | 3.2 (0.29)     | 17.3 (0.25)    | 3.6 (0.28)     |

Ki values were calculated from IC50 values using the Cheng-Prusoff equation.48
deuterium lock. Chemical shifts were measured in parts per million (ppm) relative to tetramethylsilane (δ = 0) using the following internal references: CDCl₃ (δ = 77.0), CD₂OD (δ = 49.0) and (CD₃)₂SO (δ = 39.5). Data is presented as follows: chemical shift, integration, multiplicity, coupling constant (J) in Hz.

LC-MS analysis was performed on a Waters LCT with a Waters Alliance 2795 separations module and Waters 2487 dual wavelength absorbance detector coupled to a Waters/Micromass LCT time of flight mass spectrometer with ES+ source. Analytical separation was carried out at 30 °C either on a Merck Chromolith SpeedROD column (RP-18e, 50 × 4.6 mm) using a flow rate of 2 mL/min in a 3.5 min gradient elution with detection at 254 nm or on a Merck Purospher STAR column (RP-18e, 30 × 4 mm) using a flow rate of 1.5 mL/min in a 3.5 min gradient elution with detection at 254 nm. The mobile phase was a mixture of methanol (solvent A) and water (solvent B) both containing formic acid at 0.1%. Gradient elution was as follows: 1:9 (A/B) for 0.2 min, then reversion back to 1:9 (A/B) over 0.3 min, finally 1:9 (A/B) for 0.2 min.

HRMS analysis was performed on an Agilent 1200 series HPLC and diode array detector coupled to a 6520 Quadrupole-Time of flight mass spectrometer with dual multimode APCI/ESI source. Analytical separation (Method A) was carried out at 30 °C on a Merck Purospher STAR column (RP-18e, 30 × 4 mm) using a flow rate of 1.5 mL/min in a 4 min gradient elution with detection at 254 nm. The mobile phase was a mixture of methanol (solvent A) and water (solvent B) both containing formic acid at 0.1%. Gradient elution was as follows: 1:9 (A/B) for 1 min and then reversion back to 1:9 (A/B) over 0.3 min, finally 1:9 (A/B) for 0.2 min. The following references masses were used for HRMS analysis: caffeine [M + H]⁺ 195.087652; hexakis(1H,1H,3H-tetrafluoropentox)phosphazene [M + H]⁺ 922.009798; hexakis(2,2-difluoroethoxy)phosphazene [M + H]⁺ 622.02896; and reserpine [M + H]⁺ 609.280657.

4.1.3-Amino-5-(1-methyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)pyridin-2(1H)-one (2)

5-Bromo-2-methoxy-pyridin-3-amine (100 mg, 0.493 mmol, 1 equivalent), 1-methyl-1H-pyrazol-4-ylboronic acid (74.4 mg, 0.591 mmol, 1.2 equivalents), potassium phosphate (0.591 mmol, 1.2 equivalents), bis(dicyclohexylphosphino)2,4,6-trisopropylbiphenyl (47 mg, 0.099 mmol, 0.2 equivalents) and tris(dibenzylideneacetone)dipalladium(0) (22.55 mg, 0.025 mmol, 0.05 equivalents) were dissolved in n-butanol (4 mL) and stirred at 110 °C for 3 h. The solution was then cooled and filtered through a pad of Celite, which was then washed with methanol. The solution was concentrated and purified by SCX column (eluting at room temperature with 2 M ammonia in methanol) and concentrated. The residue was then dissolved in acetone and sodium iodide (222 mg, 1.484 mmol, 3 equivalents) was added, followed by dropwise addition of trimethylsilyl chloride (0.190 mL, 1.484 mmol, 3 equivalents) and the reaction mixture stirred for 16 h. The solution was concentrated, taken up in methanol and purified by SCX column (eluting at room temperature with 2 M ammonia in methanol) to give a grey solid (25 mg, 27%). Rf = 0.36 (5% MeOH in EtOAc). mp: 264 °C. 1H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 3.81 (3H, s), 5.09 (2H, s), 6.63 (1H, d, J = 2.3 Hz), 6.83 (1H, d, J = 2.3 Hz), 7.59 (1H, s), 7.83 (1H, s), 11.38 (1H, s). 13C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 157.3 (C), 139.2 (C), 135.6 (CH), 127.0 (CH), 119.7 (C), 115.8 (CH), 112.3 (C), 110.1 (CH), 39.0 (CH₃). HRMS: Found 291.0932, calculated for C₂₉H₂₇N₅O (M + H)⁺: 291.0927.

4.2. 6-Methoxy-3,4′-bipyridin-5-amine (5)

4.2.1. General procedure A

2-Dicyclohexylphosphino-2,4,6-trisopropylbiphenyl (141 mg, 0.296 mmol, 0.2 equivalents), tris(dibenzylideneacetone)dipalladium(0) (68 mg, 0.074 mmol, 0.05 equivalents), 4-pyridinylboronic acid (218 mg, 1.773 mmol, 1.2 equivalents) and potassium phosphate (627 mg, 2.96 mmol, 3 equivalents) were added to 5-bromo-2-methoxy-pyridin-3-amine (300 mg, 1.478 mmol, 1 equivalent) in n-butanol (10 mL) and heated to reflux for 3 h. The reaction mixture was then cooled, filtered through a pad of Celite, which was subsequently washed with methanol and concentrated. The residue was then purified using a SCX column, eluting at room temperature with 2 M ammonia in methanol and concentrated to give a brown oil that crystallized upon standing to give a red solid (292 mg, 98%). Rf = 0.45 (10% MeOH in CHCl₃). mp: 204 °C. 1H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 3.92 (3H, s), 5.17 (2H, s), 7.24 (1H, d, J = 2.3 Hz), 7.58 (2H, dd, J = 1.7 Hz, 4.5 Hz), 7.82 (1H, d, J = 2.3 Hz), 8.58 (2H, dd, J = 1.6 Hz, 4.5 Hz). 13C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 153.1 (C), 150.6 (CH), 145.6 (C), 133.3 (C), 131.2 (CH), 127.5 (C), 121.1 (CH), 116.6 (CH), 53.6 (CH₃). HRMS: Found 202.0986, calculated for C₁₁H₁₂N₃O (M + H)⁺: 202.0975.

4.2.2. 2-Methoxy-5-phenyl-pyridin-2-one (6)

Prepared using general procedure A to give a brown solid (174 mg, 88%). 2-Dicyclohexylphosphino-2,4,6-trisopropylbiphenyl (94 mg, 0.197 mmol, 0.2 equivalents), tris(dibenzylideneacetone)dipalladium(0) (45 mg, 0.049 mmol, 0.05 equivalents), 4-phenylboronic acid (144 mg, 1.182 mmol, 1.2 equivalents), potassium phosphate (627 mg, 2.96 mmol, 3 equivalents), 5-bromo-2-methoxy-pyridin-3-amine (200 mg, 0.985 mmol, 1 equivalent) and n-butanol (7 mL). Rf = 0.5 (DCM:EtOAc 1:1). mp: 218 °C. 1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.87 (2H, s), 4.05 (3H, s), 7.13 (1H, d, J = 2.1 Hz), 7.32–7.37 (1H, m), 7.42–7.46 (2H, m), 7.51–7.54 (2H, m), 7.82 (1H, d, J = 2.1 Hz). 13C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 152.6 (C), 138.5 (C), 133.3 (CH), 131.0 (C), 130.7 (C), 128.8 (CH), 127.1 (CH), 126.8 (CH), 119.2 (CH), 53.5 (CH₃). HRMS: Found 201.0080, calculated for C₁₃H₁₁N,O (M + H)⁺: 201.0072.
red for 2 h at room temperature. The solvent was then removed under reduced pressure and the residue purified using a SCX column to give a grey solid (32 mg, 20%). Rf = 0.67 (DCM:EtOAc 1:1). mp: 280 °C. 1H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d6) 3.83 (6H, s), 6.75 (1H, t, J = 2.2 Hz), 7.61 (2H, dd, J = 4.6 Hz, 1.5 Hz), 7.83 (1H, d, J = 2.5 Hz), 8.59 (2H, dd, J = 4.6, 1.5 Hz), 8.70 (1H, d, J = 2.5 Hz), 9.36 (1H, s), 12.58 (1H, s). 13C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-d6) 165.4 (C), 161.1 (C), 157.9 (C), 144.0 (C), 136.5 (C), 129.6 (C), 128.4 (CH), 123.3 (CH), 120.1 (CH), 115.5 (C), 105.6 (CH), 104.3 (CH), 56.0 (CH3). HRMS: Found 352.1291, calculated for C19H18N3O4 (M+H)+: 352.1292.

4.4.3. Fluoro-N-(2-oxo-5-(pyridin-4-yl)-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)benzamide (19)
Prepared using general procedure C to give a yellow solid (24 mg, 29%): 3-fluorobenzoylchloride (0.028 mL, 0.27 mmol, 1 equivalent), 3-amino-(5-pyridin-4-yl)-pyridin-2-one (50 mg, 0.27 mmol, 1 equivalent). Rf = 0.74 (DCM:EtOAc 1:1). mp: 292 °C. 1H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d6) 7.50 (1H, td, J = 2.1 Hz, 8.2 Hz), 6.72 (1H, t, J = 8.0 Hz), 7.77 (1H, d, J = 2.0 Hz), 7.82 (1H, d, J = 7.8 Hz), 8.23 (2H, d, J = 6.8 Hz), 8.26 (1H, brs), 8.82 (1H, d, J = 2.6 Hz), 8.83 (2H, d, J = 6.8 Hz), 9.62 (1H, s), 13.01 (1H, s). 13C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-d6) 164.8 (C), 161.2 (d, J = 244.8 Hz, CF), 158.1 (C), 152.2 (CH), 142.8 (C), 136.5 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, C), 132.7 (CH), 131.5 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, CH), 129.5 (C), 124.0 (d, J = 1.9 Hz, CH), 123.5 (CH), 121.9 (CH), 119.6 (d, J = 21.2 Hz, CH), 114.9 (d, J = 23.0 Hz, CH), 113.0 (C). HRMS: Found 310.0984, calculated for C17H17N2O4F (M+H)+: 310.0986.

4.4.5. Kinase profiling
IC50 values for MPS1, Aurora A and Aurora B inhibition were determined as previously described.44,45 K values were estimated from the mean IC50 values using the Cheng-Prusoff equation.48 The protocol for screening against the 26-kinase panel using a mobility shift assay is provided in the Supplementary Material.

4.4.6. Crystallography
Co-crystals of 22 and 23 with MPS1 were produced by vapour diffusion using the hanging-drop method. The well buffer contained 0.2 M NaCO2H, 0.1 M BTP (pH 7.5) and 15% (w/v) PEG 3350. The protein solution contained 11.59 mg/mL MPS1, 50 mM HEPES (pH 7.5), 14.25% PEG 3350 supplemented with 2 mM DTT, and 0.08 M Bis-Tris propane pH 7.5. Drops contained a 1:1 mixture of well buffer and protein solution. Crystals grew over 3 days at 18 °C. Harvested crystals were briefly transferred to cryoprotectant (22.5% ethylene glycol, 15.5% (w/v) PEG 3350 and 0.08 M Bis-Tris propane pH 7.5) and flash cooled in liquid nitrogen. Crystals of MPS1 in complex with 2 were obtained by back-soaking a crystal of MPS1 with 23 in a new solution of 0.19 M NaCO2H, 0.095 M BTP (pH 7.5), 14.25% PEG 3350 supplemented with 2 mM BTP for 48 h prior to harvesting. Structure solution and refinement data are presented in supplementary material.
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