The electromagnetic effects in $K_{e4}$ decay.
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Abstract

The final state interaction of pions in $K_{e4}$ decay allows to obtain the value of the isospin and angular momentum zero $\pi\pi$ scattering length $a_0^0$. We take into account the electromagnetic interaction of pions and isospin symmetry breaking effects caused by different masses of neutral and charged pions and estimate the impact of these effects on the procedure of scattering length extraction from $K_{e4}$ decays.

1 Introduction

For many years the decay

$$K^\pm \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- e^{\pm}\nu$$

(1)

was considered as the cleanest method to determine the isospin and angular momentum zero scattering length $a_0^0$ [1]. At present the value of $a_0^0$ is predicted by Chiral Perturbation Theory (ChPT) with high precision [2] and its measurement with relevant accuracy can provide useful constraints on the ChPT Lagrangian. The appearance of new precise experimental data [3–6] requires approaches which can take into account the effects neglected up to now in extracting the scattering length from experimental data on $K_{e4}$ decays.

The common way to get the scattering length $a_0^0$ from the decay probability
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is based on the classical works [7, 8]. The transition amplitude for decay (1) can be written as the product of the lepton and hadronic currents:

$$A = \frac{G_F \sin \theta_e}{\sqrt{2}} \langle \pi^+ \pi^- | J_{\mu}^{\text{had}} | K^+ \rangle \langle e^+ \nu_e | J_{\mu}^{\text{lep}} | 0 \rangle. \quad (2)$$

The leptonic part of this matrix element is known exactly, while the hadronic part can be described by four hadronic form factors $F, G, R, H$ [8]. Making the partial-wave expansion of the hadronic current with respect to the angular momentum of the dipion system the hadronic form factors can be written in the following form:

$$F = f_s e^{i \delta_s(s)} + f_p e^{i \delta_p(s)} \cos \theta, \quad G = g_p e^{i \delta_p(s)}, \quad H = h_p e^{i \delta_p(s)} \quad (3)$$

Here $s = M^2_{\pi \pi}$ is the square of dipion invariant mass; $\theta$ is the polar angle of the pion in the dipion rest frame measured with respect to the flight direction of dipion in the K meson rest frame. The coefficients $f_s, f_p, g_p, h_p$ can be parameterized as functions of pion momenta $q$ in the dipion rest system and of the invariant mass of lepton pair $s_{\ell \nu}$ in the known way [9]. It is widely accepted that the s and p-wave phases $\delta_s, \delta_p$ coincide with the corresponding phases in elastic $\pi \pi$ scattering (Fermi—Watson theorem [10]) and can be related to the scattering lengths using the set of Roy equations [1]. Nevertheless, the different masses of charged and neutral pions lead to the isospin symmetry breaking [11, 12, 13] and require the new approach to connect the phases with scattering lengths.

Another isospin symmetry breaking effect is the electromagnetic interaction in the dipion system [13, 14, 15], which would have an impact on the value of scattering length extracted from $K_{e4}$ decay rates. In the present work we develop the approach allows one to take into account the electromagnetic interaction in the dipion system and estimates its impact on the value of scattering lengths extracted from $K_{e4}$ decay.

## 2 Isospin symmetry breaking due to pions mass difference

The s-wave phase shift $\delta_s$ has an impact only on axial form factor $F$, whereas the axial form factors $G$ and vector form factor $H$ depend only on p-wave
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phase shift $\delta_p$. If one confines by s and p waves, the inelastic process $\pi^0\pi^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ and the reversed one are forbidden due to identity of neutral pions in $l=1$ state. Thus inelastic transitions can change only the first term in the form factor $F$, relevant to production of pions in s-wave.

In one loop approximation of nonperturbative effective field theory (see e.g. [16]) the decay amplitude relevant to dipion in the state with $I=l=0$ reads:

$$T = T_1(1 + ik_c a_c(s)) + ik_n a_x(s) T_2. \quad (4)$$

Here $T_1, T_2$ are the so called “unperturbed” amplitudes [17, 18] corresponding to the decays with charged and neutral dipions in the final state. $k_n = \sqrt{s-4m_0^2}$, $k_c = \sqrt{s-4m^2}$ are the pion momenta in the $\pi^0\pi^0$ and $\pi^+\pi^-$ systems with the same invariant mass $s= M_{\pi\pi}^2$. The real functions $a_c(s), a_x(s)$ are relevant to elastic scattering $\pi^+\pi^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ and charge exchange reaction $\pi^0\pi^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$. In the case of isospin symmetry they can be expressed through the s-wave ”amplitudes” with certain isospin $a_0(s), a_2(s)$, which at threshold are equal to relevant scattering lengths $a_0^0, a_2^0$. In the case of isospin symmetry breaking we adopt the relations followed from ChPT [16]:

$$a_c(s) = \frac{2a_0(s) + a_2(s)}{3} (1 + \eta); \quad a_x(s) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} (a_0(s) - a_2)(1 + \frac{\eta}{3}); \quad \eta = \frac{m_c^2 - m_0^2}{m_c^2} \quad (5)$$

In the isospin symmetry limit ($k_c = k_n = k; \eta = 0$) a simple relation takes place between the “unperturbed” amplitudes $T_1 = \sqrt{2}T_2$, which follows from the rule $\Delta I = 1/2$ for semi-leptonic decays. In this limit it is easy to obtain:

$$T = T_1(1 + ika_0(s)) = T_1 \sqrt{1 + k^2 a_0(s)^2} e^{i\delta_0^0}. \quad (6)$$

This equation is nothing else than the Fermi-Watson theorem [10] for the $\pi\pi$ interaction in the final states.

In the general case using the expressions (4),(5) and relations between the s-wave ”amplitudes” and relevant phases:

$$\tan \delta_s(s) = k_c a_c(s); \quad \tan \delta_0^0 = k_c a_0(s); \quad \tan \delta_2^0 = k_c a_2(s) \quad (7)$$

after a bit algebra it is easy to obtain:

$$\delta_s = \arctan(A_s \tan \delta_0^0 + B_s \tan \delta_2^0); \quad A_s = \frac{2(1 + \eta) + \lambda(1 + \frac{\eta}{3})}{3}, B_s = \frac{(1 + \eta) - \lambda(1 + \frac{\eta}{3})}{3}; \quad \lambda = \frac{k_n}{k_c} \quad (8)$$
Another isospin breaking effect which can be important in the procedure of the scattering lengths extraction from the experimental data on $K_{e4}$ decay, is the Coulomb interaction between the charged pions. The widely spread wisdom is that in order to take this effect into account it is sufficient to multiply the square of matrix element (2) by Gamov factor

$$G = \frac{2\pi \xi}{1 - e^{-2\pi \xi}}; \xi = \frac{\alpha}{v}; v = \frac{\sqrt{1 - 4\beta}}{1 - 2\beta}; \beta = \frac{2k_c}{\sqrt{s}};$$

Here $v$ is the relative velocity in the dipion system and $\alpha = \frac{e^2}{4\pi}$ is the fine structure constant.

Later on we show that besides this multiplier the electromagnetic interaction between pions also change the expression (8) for the strong phase and add the proper Coulomb phase.

### 3 Electromagnetic interaction in $\pi\pi$ system

In order to take into account the electromagnetic interactions between pions, we take an advantage of the trick successfully used in [19]. To switch on the electromagnetic interaction, we replace the charged pion momenta $k_c$ in (7) by a logarithmic derivative of the pion wave function in the Coulomb potential at the boundary of the strong field $r_0$:

$$ik_c \rightarrow \tau = \frac{d \log[G_0(kr) + iF_0(kr)]}{dr} \bigg|_{r=r_0}. \tag{10}$$

Here $F_0, G_0$ are the regular and irregular solutions of the Coulomb problem. In the region $kr_0 \ll 1$, where the electromagnetic effects are significant, this expression can be simplified:

$$\tau = ik - \alpha m \left[\log(-2ikr_0) + 2\gamma + \psi(1 - i\xi)\right] = Re \tau + i Im \tau$$

$$Re \tau = -\alpha m \left[\log(2kr_0) + 2\gamma + Re\psi(1 - i\xi)\right];$$

$$Im \tau = \frac{\pi k\xi}{\sinh \pi \xi} e^{\pi \xi} \tag{11}$$

Here $\gamma = 0.5772$ is Euler constant and $\psi(z) = \frac{d \log \Gamma(z)}{dz}$ digamma function. Using the above relations one can express the modified phase for $\pi^+\pi^-$ state ($l=1=0$) through the known [11] phases $\delta_0$, $\delta_0^*$. Representing the modified s-wave phase as a sum of strong $\delta_{str}$ and electro-
magnetic $\delta_{em}$ terms, we obtain:

\[
\tilde{\delta}_s = \delta_{str} + \delta_{em}
\]

\[
\delta_{str} = \arctan(A_{em} \tan \delta_0^0 + B_{em} \tan \delta_2^0); \quad \delta_{em} = \arctan \left( \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \right);
\]

\[
A_{em} = \frac{2G(1 + \eta) + \lambda(1 + \frac{2}{3})}{3}; \quad B_{em} = \frac{G(1 + \eta) - \lambda(1 + \frac{2}{3})}{3} \quad (12)
\]

Let us note that, whereas the electromagnetic phase $\delta_{em}$ has a common textbook form \[20\], the strong phase is essentially modified by electromagnetic effects (the Gamov factor $G$ in $\delta_{str}$) as well as by isospin symmetry breaking effects provided by pions mass difference.

Using the same approach one can show that the modified p-wave phase reads:

\[
\tilde{\delta}_p = \arctan \left( G(1 + \frac{\alpha^2}{\beta^2} \tan \delta_1^1) \right). \quad (13)
\]

Setting $a_0^0 = 0.225mc^{-1}; a_0^2 = -0.03706mc^{-1}$ and using the relevant phases $\delta_0^0, \delta_1^1$ from Appendix D of \[1\], we calculated the modified phases differences $\delta = \tilde{\delta}_s - \tilde{\delta}_p$ as a function of the invariant mass of dipion $M_{\pi\pi}$.

The dashed line on Fig.1 corresponds to exact isospin symmetry limit $m_0 = mc; \alpha = 0$. The solid line gives the dependence of modified phases difference accounting for all isospin breaking effects. The experimental data are from \[4\].

The considered above isospin breaking effects change remarkably $\delta$ and would have impact on the values of scattering lengths extracted from experimental data.

In table 1 we cite $\delta$ as a function of dipion invariant mass $M_{\pi\pi}$ in respect to different isospin breaking corrections. This allows one to estimate separately the contribution of considered above effects.
Table 1: The impact of considered corrections on phase difference $\delta = \delta_s - \delta_p$:
1) Standard case \[1\] with $a^0_0 = 0.225 m_c^{-1}; a^2_0 = -0.03706 m_c^{-1}$
2) With charge exchange process $\lambda = \frac{k_0}{k_c}$
3) With parameter $\eta$ (expression (5))
4) With electromagnetic interaction.
5) With the additional Coulomb phase

| $M_{\pi\pi}$ | 1   | 2    | 3    | 4    | 5    |
|--------------|-----|------|------|------|------|
| 0.285        | 0.048 | 0.059 | 0.061 | 0.063 | 0.082 |
| 0.300        | 0.096 | 0.103 | 0.108 | 0.110 | 0.122 |
| 0.315        | 0.134 | 0.140 | 0.147 | 0.149 | 0.159 |
| 0.330        | 0.170 | 0.175 | 0.184 | 0.186 | 0.195 |
| 0.345        | 0.205 | 0.210 | 0.220 | 0.223 | 0.231 |
| 0.360        | 0.239 | 0.244 | 0.256 | 0.259 | 0.267 |
| 0.375        | 0.274 | 0.279 | 0.292 | 0.296 | 0.304 |
| 0.390        | 0.309 | 0.314 | 0.328 | 0.333 | 0.340 |

4 Conclusions

The isospin symmetry breaking corrections considered above increase the phase difference $\delta$. Their contribution is the largest near the threshold, but they are essential even far from it.

The $K_{e4}$ decay amplitude in the real world with isospin symmetry breaking depends on two scattering lengths $a^0_0, a^2_0$, unlike the common approach. The proposed approach allows one to extract the values of scattering lengths with higher accuracy than in standard approximation.
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Figure 1: The dependence of phases difference $\delta = \delta_s - \delta_p$ on dipion invariant mass in the exact isospin symmetry case (dashed line) and with all isospin symmetry breaking corrections taken into account (solid line).