Revisiting binary stars in population synthesis models
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ABSTRACT
We report results of a population synthesis model that follows the evolution of single and binary stars. In this model we include the 2HeWD merger channel, suggested by Han et al. (2002), for the formation of EHB stars. The physical parameters of the resulting EHB stars are derived from the BaSTI database, and are thus realistic and observationally supported. The predictions of this model are in good agreement with traditional population synthesis models, except when the spectrum of the stellar population is dominated by binary stars or their products, e.g., EHB stars in the UV of ETGs. We reproduce successfully the observed CMD and SED of the metal rich open cluster NGC 6791. The stellar population in this cluster may be archetypal of the stellar population in ETGs that show the UVX phenomenon. Our models should be appropriate to study the UV upturn in ETGs.
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1 INTRODUCTION
Stellar population synthesis models are a useful tool to interpret the spectrum and colours of the light emitted by galaxies, as well as the properties of resolved stellar populations in the colour-magnitude diagram (CMD). Crucial ingredients for these models are complete sets of evolutionary tracks that describe in detail the time dependence of the physical properties of stars of different initial mass and chemical composition in the Hertzsprung-Russell diagram (HRD). Equally complete spectral libraries are needed to compute the spectro-photometric properties of the stars at each position in the HRD. Weighting the stellar spectrum by the number of stars at each of these positions at any given time, we obtain the integrated spectrum of the stellar population at this age. The number of stars of each mass born whenever there is a star formation event is given by the initial mass function (IMF), and the mass of gas transformed into stars as a function of time in each of these events is provided by the star forma-
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ary path of EHB stars is not well understood. EHB stars, being less luminous but hotter than canonical HB stars, are almost invisible in the optical range, but contribute significantly in the ultraviolet (UV), especially in old stellar populations. Even though we do not understand well how EHB stars form (for many years these stars were hypothetical), the observational fact is that they have been observed in many open clusters [Kaluzny & Udalski 1992], globular clusters [Catelan 2009], and nearby galaxies like M32 [Brown et al. 2004]. See [Catelan 2009] for a review of open problems and the status of observations of EHB stars.

It has long been thought that EHB stars are responsible of the UV upturn phenomenon observed in the spectrum of early type galaxies (ETGs). This increment in the flux emitted shortward of 2000 Å, also known as UV excess (UVX), was first detected in ETGs by [Code & Welch 1979]. Since then, its origin has been a topic of debate. [Carter et al. 2011], [Bureau et al. 2011], and [Smith, Lucey & Carter 2012] have shown beyond doubt that the UV upturn is present in passively evolving galaxies with no sign of recent star formation. Thus, the UV upturn must arise from evolved stars, and not from residual star formation. Were the UV excess produced by young stars, it should show signs of evolution, e.g., the temperature of the UV spectrum should evolve in time. This is not supported by observations of ETGs [Smith et al. 2012, Carter et al. 2011]. It is thus fundamental to include properly the evolution of EHB stars in population synthesis models that describe ETGs.

Several mechanisms have been proposed for the origin of EHB stars. Single star evolution provides one such mechanism. When a low mass star ascends the red giant branch (RGB), its evolution is governed by the mass of the stellar envelope. If the mass loss rate is low, the star forms a deep and optically thick envelope, and, when the He flash occurs, the star reaches the HB. In high metallicity and enhanced He abundance stars, mass loss is more effective and the star may loose all, or nearly all, of its envelope. The star then becomes bluer and fainter, and reaches the hottest region of the HB, the so called EHB. RGB stars of all metallicities with envelope mass < 0.05 $M_\odot$ evolve into the EHB [Dorman, Rood & O’Connell 1993]. The EHB evolutionary phase is short-lived (~ 10^6 yr) [Pietrinferni et al. 2004]. However, the number of expected EHB stars rises with age. This suggests that if the UV upturn is related to the presence of EHB stars, the strength of the upturn should increase with metallicity and age [Smith et al. 2012].

The evolution of binary stars leads naturally to the formation of EHB stars. [Han et al. 2002, 2003] have shown that there are three channels by which interacting binaries may form EHB stars, referred to as hot subdwarf stars in their papers: (a) the stable Roche overflow (RLOF) channel, which results in the formation of hot subdwarf binaries with long orbital periods, (b) the common envelope (CE) ejection channel, which results in the formation of hot subdwarf binaries with short orbital periods, and (c) the merger of two He white dwarfs (2HeWD) to form a single hot subdwarf star. In the RLOF channel, the donor star fills its Roche lobe near the tip of its first giant branch ascent, experiences stable mass transfer until its envelope is stripped off, resulting in a naked He core with a thin H envelope. In the CE ejection channel, the donor star also fills its Roche lobe near the tip of its first giant branch ascend, but dynamically unstable mass transfer leads to the formation of a CE. The ejection of this CE produces a naked He core with a thin H envelope. In both cases, the He core ignites to produce a hot subdwarf star. In the 2HeWD merger channel, a close He WD pair coalesce due to angular momentum loss via gravitational wave radiation. The merger product ignites He to become a hot subdwarf. This binary scenario successfully explains the main observational characteristics of field hot subdwarf stars: their distributions in the orbital-period vs. minimum-companion-mass and in the effective-temperature vs. surface-gravity diagrams; their distribution of orbital periods and mass function; their binary fraction, and the fraction of hot subdwarf binaries with WD companions; their birth rates; and their space density. In a stellar population, the formation of EHB from binary stars dominates the far-UV part of the population’s SED at ages above 1 Gyr (see Figure 9 of [Han et al. 2007]). The fraction of EHB stars formed through the 2HeWD merger channel becomes larger than 50% after 10 Gyr (Figure 1 of [Han 2008]). This channel produces more massive and more luminous EHB stars, which are the major sources of the FUV flux after 3.5 Gyr (Figure 7 of [Han et al. 2007]).

Both scenarios for EHB star formation (single and binary) are plausible. However, the properties of the resulting EHB star depend on the formation channel, since the distributions of the basic properties of their progenitors are different [Han et al. 2007]. [Smith et al. 2013] measured the UV upturn as a function of a few spectral indices and stellar velocity dispersion. They find that the $(F_{\mu V} - i)$ colour anti-correlates with age, contrary to the predictions of [Han et al. 2007], and conclude that binary evolution as the source of the UV upturn is inconsistent with the observations.

In this paper we revisit the inclusion of binary star evolution in population synthesis models, paying special attention to the predicted UV spectrum. First, we construct evolutionary tracks for single and binary stars using the [Hurley et al. 2002] public code. We add to this code the possibility that EHB stars form via the 2HeWD channel proposed by [Han et al. 2002]. The physical parameters ($T_{\text{eff}}$, $L$) of the resulting EHB stars are ob-
tained from the BaSTI database. From our tracks we compute isochrones for stellar populations that include both single and binary stars, and build the corresponding spectral energy distribution (SED). We compare our models with the BC03 models, based on single star evolutionary tracks.

The structure of the paper is as follows. In §2 we describe how binary star evolution is included in our population synthesis models. In §3 we compare the results of this work with previous investigations. In §4 we analyze recent observations of the old metal rich open cluster NGC 6791, contrast them with our model predictions, and explore what this cluster can tell us about the UV upturn in ETGs. The conclusions of our work are summarized in §5.

## 2 A STELLAR POPULATION SYNTHESIS MODEL INCLUDING BINARY STARS

As indicated in §1, close binary systems may be progenitors of EHB stars through mass transfer or coalescence. We want to explore the effects of including binary stars, and hence EHB stars, in population synthesis models. We take a Monte Carlo approach to build from scratch a stellar population formed by both single and binary stars. To include the binary star population we must specify: (a) the mass distribution of the primary (the more massive) and secondary stars in the binary pairs, (b) the binary fraction as a function of the mass of the primary star, and (c) the distributions of the orbital period and orbit eccentricity of the binary pairs. For these distributions we follow as close as possible the observations reported in the literature, as explained in the following subsections.

### 2.1 Statistics of binary stars

#### 2.1.1 The binary star population

The first step in our approach is to generate the mass distribution of the primary and secondary stars in each binary pair. First, we build the distribution of the mass $M_1$ of the primary star. The values of $M_1$ are obtained by populating stochastically the IMF, which we choose, without loss of generality, to follow the Chabrier (2003) parametrization:

$$\xi(\log m) \propto \begin{cases} \exp \left( -\frac{(\log m - \log m_c)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right), & \text{if } m \leq 1 M_\odot, \\ m^{-1.3}, & \text{if } m > 1 M_\odot, \end{cases}$$

where $m_c = 0.08 M_\odot$, and $\sigma = 0.69$. For the lower and upper mass cutoffs we adopt $m_L = 0.1 M_\odot$, and $m_U = 100 M_\odot$, respectively. Each star of mass $M_1$ will be the primary star in a pair according to the probability discussed in the next subsection and listed in Table 1. Stars in our initial pool that are not selected as binaries remain as single stars.

In order to obtain the mass $M_2$ of the secondary star, it is necessary to establish a mass ratio distribution. Milone et al. (2012) studied the properties of photometric binaries in 59 Galactic globular clusters observed with the HST WFC/ACS, and concluded that the distribution of the mass ratio $q = M_2/M_1$ is almost flat. Therefore, we assume that $M_2$ follows from a uniform distribution in $q$:

$$f(q) = 1 \quad \text{with} \quad 0 \leq q < 1.$$  (1)

It should be remarked that our procedure does not alter the global IMF. Adding the binary and single star mass distributions we recover the original IMF.

#### 2.1.2 The fraction of binaries

In the last decades, surveys of binary systems have shown that stellar multiplicity is not the same for all spectral types. For example, Duquennoy & Mayor (1991) find a multiplicity fraction of 0.58 ± 0.1 for solar type stars, whereas it is 0.42 ± 0.09 for M dwarfs (Fisher & Marcy 1992). Lada (2006) reviewed recent data on stellar multiplicity and concluded that (a) most (∼69%) of the stars in the Galaxy are single, and (b) the binary fraction depends on the stellar spectral type of the primary star in such a way that this fraction increases with the mass of the primary star. Table 1 summarizes these results.

#### 2.1.3 Orbital parameters

A binary pair is also characterized by its orbital period and orbit eccentricity. Surveys of binary systems have

### Table 1. Binary fraction in function of spectral type

| Spectral Type | Binary Fraction | Reference |
|---------------|-----------------|-----------|
| O             | 0.72            | Mason et al. (1998) |
| O-B           | 0.65            | Pleisch et al. (1999) |
| B-A           | 0.62 ± 0.2      | Patience et al. (2002) |
| G-K           | 0.58 ± 0.1      | Duquennoy & Mayor (1991) |
| M             | 0.49 ± 0.09     | Fisher & Marcy (1992) |
| Late M        | 0.26 ± 0.1      | Barsi & Reiners (2006) |

1 Bag of Stellar Tracks and Isochrones (BaSTI) is a robust and fast interface which uses the evolutionary tracks of Pietrinferni et al. (2004, 2006) to obtain the stellar parameters of HB stars in old stellar populations, including EHB stars. The tracks by Pietrinferni et al. (2004, 2006) are computed with realistic stellar physics. The good agreement between their theoretical predictions and observations is clear. We do not include their α-enhanced stars in our database.
shown that the distribution of the orbital period is not uniform. We assume that the orbital period of our binary pairs follows the gaussian (in log $P$) distribution of period found by Duquennoy &Mayor (1991):

$$f(\log P) = C \exp \left( -\frac{(\log P - \log P_0)^2}{2\sigma_{\log P}^2} \right),$$

where $P$ is the period in days, $\log P = 4.4$, and $\sigma_{\log P} = 2.3$. For the orbit eccentricity $e$, we assume that it follows a uniform distribution (Zhang et al. 2004, 2005a):

$$f(e) = 1 \text{ with } 0 \leq e < 1.$$  

2.2 Evolution of binary stars
2.2.1 Evolutionary tracks for binary stars

One important limitation to include binary stars in population synthesis models is the lack of evolutionary tracks computed ex professo for binary stars, which are needed to evolve in time the binary pairs described in §2.1.1. In the last decade there has been some important developments in this area, e.g., Hurley et al. (2002). The BSE code developed by Hurley et al. (2002) provides the evolution of the stellar luminosity, effective temperature, mass, and evolutionary phase of both members of a binary pair. The algorithm covers all evolutionary phases from the zero age main sequence, up to the remnant stage (black hole, neutron star, or white dwarf), and includes the AGB phase. However, the AGB phase is recognized only by a sharp increase in the star radius following the core He burning phase. The thermally pulsing AGB stage is not modeled, but its main effects on the long term evolution of the star are taken into account Hurley et al. (2000).

The BSE code is designed to model complex binary systems, in addition to model all aspects of single star evolution (SSE). Important aspects of binary interactions, such as mass transfer, mass accretion, angular momentum loss, supernova kicks, CE evolution, and the stable RLOF are modeled in the code. Even the most complex binary systems in a small amount of CPU time (near to one second per system). The code is thus ideal to compute tracks for large populations of binaries considering realistically their interactions.

2.2.2 2HeWD merger

Hurley et al. (2002) assume in their BSE code that if 2HeWD stars coalesce, the temperature becomes hot enough to start the triple-$\alpha$ process, and the merger transforms into a COWD. The process of this transformation, i.e., the EHB phase, is not followed in detail. However, Han et al. (2002) conclude that under specific conditions the 2HeWD star merger can lead to the formation of a single EHB (see also Webbink 1984). This mechanism becomes very important in populations older than $\sim 3.5$ Gyr (see Figure 3 of Han et al. 2010 and Figure 7 of Han et al. 2007).

In order to understand the formation of EHB stars Han et al. (2002, 2003) made a detailed investigation of the three main formation channels that can originate an EHB star. These channels are: the RLOF, the CE ejection, and the 2HeWD coalescence.

RLOF occurs when a star in a binary pair fills its Roche lobe as a consequence of its evolution, e.g. during the RGB phase, or when the system looses angular momentum, causing a reduction in the diameter of the orbit. If mass transfer becomes unstable, e.g., if the donor star is a giant and the companion can not capture all the mass at the rate that it is transferred, this mass is accumulated and forms a CE surrounding both stars. It is then possible that this CE may be lost by the time the two cores spiral in to form a close system. The third channel occurs when 2HeWD stars coalesce, and the resulting object ignites He in its core. This last event is not followed in the Hurley et al. (2002) BSE code, nor included in their tracks.

To include the 2HeWD star merger in our models, we follow the recipe described by Han et al. (2002), §4, which gives the conditions for He ignition in the 2HeWD

$L \sim 1 - 1.5$ and $\log T_{\text{eff}} \sim 4.5$). At the same time, the secondary star (in grey) increases its mass and becomes a BS, later on evolving to the EHB phase. It is important to note that the RGB tip is not reached by any of the components of the binary pair. In contrast, in the bottom left panel (single stars of the same mass as the pair above) both stars reach the RGB tip at log $L \sim 4$. The single stars do not go through the EHB or BS phase. The top middle and right panels show two binary systems with different orbital period ($P$), eccentricity ($e$) and mass. Their single star counterparts are shown in the bottom middle and right panels. The luminosity at the tip of the RGB is lower if the stars evolve in a binary system. The origin of these differences is the mass transfer between the stars in binary systems. A plus of the BSE code is that it can follow the entire evolution of even the most complex binary systems in a small amount of CPU time (near to one second per system). The code is thus ideal to compute tracks for large populations of binaries considering realistically their interactions.
merger. The conditions for He to ignite depend on the mass of the more massive component, its accretion history, and other parameters related to its thermal structure. In order to determine these parameters, Han et al. (2002) performed a series of calculations that allowed them to follow in detail the evolution of the two WDs, the merger, and its products. An important result of their work is the mass distribution of the merger products. This mass is restricted to a narrow range from ∼0.4 to ∼0.65 M☉. This result is not sensitive to the stellar metallicity. If a 2HeWD star merger occurs in one of our BSE binary star tracks, we assume that the mass of the product is the sum of the mass of the two merging WDs at the moment of the coalescence. The resulting single star will ignite He if its mass is in the range indicated above.

When He ignition happens in the merger product, we must assign an effective temperature and a luminosity to the star. This is done by interpolation in mass in a database constructed for this purpose from the output of the BaSTI web tool (ver. 5.0.1). The resulting effective temperatures are in the range 20000 K ≲ T eff ≲ 30000 K, whereas Han et al. (2002) quote for these stars temperatures in the range of 20000 K to 45000 K. This difference comes from the fact that in the Pietrinferni et al. (2004, 2006) tracks, the range of He core burning masses is narrower than the Han et al. (2002) distribution. We do not include the lowest and highest values of mass for 2HeWD merger products in Figure 13 of Han et al. (2002). For the EHB stars formed through other channels, we use the temperature and luminosity provided by the BSE code.

2.3 Isochrone synthesis

The isochrone describing the position of single and binary stars in the HRD at time t is built by interpolation in our set of BSE evolutionary tracks for the specific metallicity. Blue Stragglers (BS) are present in these isochrones. BS are stars which are currently burning H in the core, but their mass is larger than the turn off mass. They are located above and blue-

---

**Figure 1.** Evolutionary tracks computed with the BSE (binary) and SSE (single) stellar evolution code of Hurley et al. 2002. The top panels show tracks for binary stars with different period (P), eccentricity (e) and masses. Note the differences in the tracks when the same star evolves as a single star (bottom panels). The track of the secondary star of the pair is shown in grey colour. The stellar masses are given in units of M☉ and the orbital periods are in days.
ward of the turn off point in a CMD. This suggests that BS form through a mechanism that permits the star to stay in the main sequence (MS) despite its old age. There are two possible mechanisms to form BS. Both require that H is replenished in the stellar core by chemical mixing. One possibility is the coalescence of two MS stars to form a more massive MS star (Sigurdsson et al. 1994). Other possible mechanism is mass transfer in a binary system (McCrea 1964). Here we focus on the mass transfer model, included in the BSE tracks. Collisions are important mostly in high stellar density environments (Sigurdsson et al. 1994). BS have been observed in all stellar systems: globular and open clusters (Milone et al. 2012, Sigurdsson et al. 1994, Cenarro et al. 2010, de Marchi et al. 2006), dwarf spheroidal galaxies (Mapelli et al. 2009), ultra faint dwarf galaxies (Okamoto et al. 2012), elliptical and spiral galaxies, and even in our own Milky Way galaxy (Monachesi et al. 2011, Clarkson et al. 2011).

Another important aspect of the BSE isochrones is the presence of EHB stars, formed as described above. It should be noticed that HB stars in single star evolutionary tracks do not reach as hot temperatures as the EHB stars, even at the lowest metallicities. In Figure 2, we show isochrones corresponding to the evolutionary tracks computed using the recipes described in §2.2. EHB and BS stars are clearly seen. The existence of these stars is explained naturally by the evolution of interacting binary stars, which are thought to be present in star clusters and galaxies. The possibility that EHB stars form via the 2HeWD merger mechanism is still debated, and this hypothesis requires more theoretical and observational support.
2.4 Spectral energy distributions

The integrated SED of the stellar population is obtained by adding the spectrum of the star at each position in the HRD along the isochrone, weighted by the number of stars at this position given by the IMF (see BC03 for details on the isochrone synthesis approach). We use the BaSeL 3.1 stellar spectral library (Westera et al. 2002) to compute the spectrophotometric properties of all the models discussed in this paper. The Chabrier (2003) IMF is used throughout.

Figure 3 shows the evolution in time of the SED of a solar metallicity SSP computed from our BSE tracks. At the youngest ages the UV light is dominated by short-lived massive MS stars, which rapidly evolve and leave the MS, causing a drop in the UV flux. At ages older than 1 Gyr, the evolution of binary systems triggers the formation of EHB stars through the 2HeWD merger mechanism. Even though these stars are also formed at younger ages through the CE and RLOF channels, its presence is not apparent because massive MS stars are about 100 times more luminous and dominate the UV spectrum. When the massive stars leave the MS, the EHB stars are responsible for the far ultraviolet emission.

The Hurley et al. (2002) evolutionary tracks show the expected behavior with stellar metallicity, e.g., stellar lifetime increases with metallicity; the evolution of single low mass stars through the RGB phase determines the position of the star in the HB, at fixed initial stellar mass, lower metallicity stars evolve into hotter HB stars after the He flash. However, the formation of EHB stars through binary interactions depends only on the orbital parameters of the binary pair and not on the stellar metallicity. Thus, everything else being equal, the number of EHB stars present in a stellar population, should not depend on metallicity. This has been reported previously by Han et al. (2002, 2007). Figure 4 shows the expected similarity of the SED of SSPs of different metallicities at 12 Gyr, the UV upturn is clearly seen at all metallicities.

In Figure 4, we compare the evolution of the UV-optical colours predicted by our models for SSPs of different metallicities. FUV and NUV refer to the GALEX filters of the same name, and i and r to the SDSS filters. The four colours shown in the figure become bluer as the population ages. The behavior of the FUV-NUV colour is quite remarkable. This colour is dominated at older ages by EHB stars, and becomes very blue for the four higher metallicities shown in the figure. In the two lower metallicity SSPs, the NUV flux is increased at older ages by HB stars, making FUV-NUV less blue than in their higher metallicity counterparts.

3 COMPARISON WITH PREVIOUS WORK

Most of the population synthesis models available in the literature (Fioc & Rocca-Volmerange 1997, Leitherer et al. 1999, BC03, Maraston 2005) are based on evolutionary tracks for single stars and do not follow the evolution of the binary stars known to be present in all stellar populations. Despite this fact, these models reproduce surprisingly well the spectra of most galaxies at all redshifts that have been sampled. This has been used as an argument in favour of the idea that binary stars are irrelevant in modeling the spectral evolution of galaxies. However, in the last decade there have been several important efforts to include binary star evolution in population synthesis models. In this session we compare some results of our models with previous work.

In Figure 5, we plot the spectrum of a 12 Gyr old stellar population of solar metallicity computed with three different codes. It is clear that below ~ 2000 Å the present model shows an upturn in the flux because of the presence of the EHB stars, especially compared...
Figure 6. UV-optical colour evolution for SSPs of different metallicity. Although the appearance of EHB stars is independent of metallicity, the presence of an extended HB in the lower metallicity populations increases the NUV flux and makes the FUV-NUV less blue than for the higher metallicity SSPs.

with the model that uses the SSE tracks, which is completely flat in this wavelength range. The BC03 model shows a modest increment in the UV flux because of the contribution of the central star of planetary nebulae (CSPNe) included in this model, and not in the other two.

Figure 7, analogous to Figure 6, compares the evolution of the UV-optical colours of our models for $Z = 0.008$ and 0.02 with the BC03 models for the same values of $Z$. In the NUV-optical colours, the BC03 models remain red as the population ages, whereas the models with binaries become bluer by more than one magnitude. In the colours including the FUV filter the BC03 models also get bluer with age, due to the appearance of the CSPNe, but much less than our present models, dominated in this wavelength region by EHB stars. 

Zhang et al. (2004) and Zhang, Li & Han (2005b) also use the Hurley et al. (2002) evolutionary tracks to follow binary star evolution in their models. However, we adopt the default BSE values for the physical parameters governing the RLOF and CE channels. The CE efficiency parameter ($\alpha_{CE}$) is taken as 3.0, the Reimers mass loss coefficient ($\eta$) is assumed to be 0.5, and the tidal enhancement parameter is taken as 0.0. Zhang et al. (2004) and Zhang, Li & Han (2005b) use a different set of values. The models by Li & Han (2008) also use the Hurley et al. (2002) tracks. They assume the binary fraction to be 50% for all spectral types, and examine mostly the optical range and NIR, and compute the Lick indices. We think that our approach that uses observationally supported distributions of orbital periods and binary fractions makes our models more realistic.
Figure 7. Comparison of the UV-optical colour evolution for two of our SSPs with the BC03 models of the same metallicity. The CSPNe dominate the FUV flux in the BC03 models at late ages and make the model become bluer, but never as much as our present models, dominated by EHB stars in this wavelength range.

4 NGC 6791: AN OPEN CLUSTER WITH UV UPTURN

The open cluster NGC 6791 is an interesting stellar system which shows several particular features: (a) it lacks RGB stars (Kalirai et al. 2007), (b) the mass distribution of its WD cooling sequence is bimodal (Kalirai et al. 2007; Bedin et al. 2008), and (c) shows bimodality in the HB morphology (Buzzoni et al. 2012). All of these peculiarities are thought to be driven by a mechanism of enhanced mass loss. Particularly, Bedin et al. (2008) have shown that the observed fraction of submassive WDs in this cluster can be naturally accounted for if ∼ 34% of the WDs in NGC 6791 are in binary systems. Additionally, from asteroseismology observations by the Kepler space mission, Stello et al. (2011) found evidence of the existence of unresolved binaries in this cluster. It is thus plausible that the origin of these peculiarities is related...
Figure 5. SED of a solar metallicity stellar population at 12 Gyr computed with three different synthesis codes. From top to bottom in the UV: (a) Present models, (b) BC03, and (c) SED corresponding to the evolutionary tracks computed with the Hurley et al. (2000) SSE code. (a) includes and (b,c) ignore the evolution of binary stars.

Table 2. Open cluster NGC 6791

| Observational parameter | Reference               |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Age ~ 8.3 Gyr           | Brogaard et al. (2012)  |
| [Fe/H] = 0.4 ± 0.1      | Carraro et al. (1999, 2006) |
| $\alpha$/Fe = solar     | Origlia et al. (2006)   |
| Y = 0.3                 | Brogaard et al. (2012)  |
| m-M = 13.51             | Brogaard et al. (2011)  |
| E(B-V) = 0.09-0.18      | Carney et al. (1999)    |

to the evolution of a large number of binary star systems present in the cluster.

The bimodality of the HB morphology in this cluster is relevant for our study. EHB and red clump (RC) stars co-exist in this cluster, suggesting that a fraction of its RGB stars were subject to enhanced mass loss, evolving into EHB stars, while another fraction evolved normally into RC stars. To explore the HB morphology of this cluster, we compute a synthetic model with the characteristics of this cluster, following the prescriptions of $^2$. The main observational parameters of the cluster are listed in Table 2. The metallicity of the cluster is $Z=0.03$.

Figure 8 shows that there is very good agreement between the observed (left panel) and the synthetic (right panel) CMD of NGC 6791 in $(B,B-V)$. The position and the extension of the region occupied by the BS are very well accounted for. The locations of the RC, the RGB and the EHB are also in good agreement in both diagrams. A remarkable feature is that in our model the bimodality in the HB is evident. It is important to emphasize that the points located at the right of the MS in the synthetic CMD represent stars that are members of a binary system with a BS companion. These stars have lost a considerable amount of mass, becoming fainter and cooler as they evolve through the sub giant and RGB phase corresponding to their lower mass. This suggests that it is possible that the mechanism of enhanced mass loss mentioned above (Kalirai et al. 2007; Bedin et al. 2008; Buzzoni et al. 2012), could be driven by binary interactions. This will explain in a natural manner why only a fraction of the stars passing through the RGB experience enhanced mass loss, leading to the coexistence of an EHB and a RC normal for this metallicity and He abundance.

In our simulated cluster, the fraction of EHB stars with respect to the total of He burning stars is $20\%$. Kalirai et al. (2007) argues that $\sim 30\%$ of the HB stars are hot, which is a extreme value for a high metallicity cluster. However, Dorman et al. (1995) using population synthesis models found that for the highest UV upturn galaxies a fraction of 15% - 20% hot HB stars is enough to produce the observed colours.

Figure 8 shows the SED corresponding to our synthetic model for NGC 6791, computed as described.
in §2.4 As expected, the large number of EHB stars present in the simulated cluster contribute to the UV flux, and the spectrum shows a UV upturn below \( \sim 2500 \) Å. Our spectrum is comparable with the synthetic spectrum shown by Buzzoni et al. (2012). Their spectrum is built adding the contribution of the stars in the observed CMD of Figure 9. The slope and the flux level is similar in both spectra in the UV below 2000 Å. In the optical range, \( \lambda \gtrsim 4800 \) Å, our spectrum is somewhat fainter. This is not surprising because in our model the binary interactions prevent some stars from reaching the RGB tip. From the synthetic SED we can compute the model cluster colours over the entire wavelength range. Table 3 compares the colours.
computed from our synthetic SED with those listed by Buzzoni et al. (2012). Both sets of colours are in good agreement. However, the NUV - V and FUV - V colours are bluer in our model. The bluer FUV - V colour may indicate a lack of RGB stars in our model. There are several possibilities to explain a low number of RGB stars. i) If the donor star in a binary pair is in the sub giant or RGB phase, it may loose enough mass to not be able to reach the tip of the RGB. This may be due to the adopted CE ejection efficiency parameter. ii) The initial orbital period distribution or binary fractions adopted in this paper may not be good enough for NGC 6791. In reality there may be more binary interactions preventing the primary star (star 1) of the pair to reach the RGB. We should keep in mind that CE evolution is the most important but the least understood process in binary evolution (Podsiadlowski 2001).

The study of old metal rich open clusters may provide an important clue to the study of the UV upturn in ETGs, since in the clusters it is possible to resolve the hot component of the HB, which could act as a proxy to constrain the source of the UV excess in ETGs. Recent work by Rosenfield et al. (2012) shows that emission from EHB stars can be responsible of the UV upturn in ETGs. In a subsequent paper Hernández-Pérez & Bruzual (2013) we consider a sample of (∼ 3400) ETGs that have been detected in both the SDSS/DR8 and the GALEX/GR6 surveys, and analyze the possible scenarios that can influence the formation of EHB stars in these galaxies, determining the variety observed in the UV spectrum of ETGs.

In Table 1 we compare our synthetic cluster colours with the typical UV-optical colour of UV strong ETGs. FUV-V and NUV-V are taken from Table 1 of Buzzoni et al. (2012), which is constructed from a sample of ETGs from Bureau et al. (2011) and Buzzoni & González-Lópezlira (2008). The FUV-NUV and NUV - r colours are from Hernández-Pérez & Bruzual (2013). This sample contains ∼ 340 UV strong ETGs with no signs of recent star formation. The values listed in the table are the average colours for all of these galaxies. The synthetic cluster defines a blue limit to the observed averages.

5 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

We have built a population synthesis model that includes, using a simple approach, the evolution of binary stars. In this model we include the 2HeWD merger channel, suggested by Han et al. (2002), for the formation of EHB stars. An important aspect of our model is the use of the Pietrinferni et al. (2004, 2006) evolutionary tracks to estimate the stellar parameters of the EHB stars produced via the 2HeWD merger process.

The predictions of our model are in good agreement with other well established single star models (e.g., BC03), except in those instances where the spectrum of the stellar population is dominated by the binary stars or their products (e.g., EHB stars in the UV of ETGs).

The CMD diagram predicted by our model for the metal rich open cluster NGC 6791 is in very good agreement with the observed CMD of this cluster. Our model reproduces well the position and relative number of BS and EHB stars seen in this cluster (Figure 9), as well as its expected SED (Figure 8) computed by Buzzoni et al. (2012).

Despite the good match between model predictions and observations, the formation of EHB stars via the 2HeWD merger process is still debated. The frequency of occurrence of this process in real stellar populations remains as an open question.
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