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Serologic markers of previous malaria exposure and functional antibodies inhibiting parasite growth are associated with parasite kinetics following a Plasmodium falciparum controlled human infection
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Summary

In this controlled human malaria infection study with PfSPZ Challenge, individuals with serological evidence of higher recent and cumulative malaria exposure had a longer prepatent period, lower mean parasite density at the time of treatment and fewer symptoms of malaria.
ABSTRACT

Background

We assessed the impact of exposure to *P. falciparum* on parasite kinetics, clinical symptoms, and functional immunity after controlled human malaria infection (CHMI) in two cohorts with different levels of previous malarial exposure.

Methods

Nine adult males with high (sero-high) and ten with low (sero-low) previous exposure received 3200 PfSPZ of PfSPZ Challenge by direct venous inoculation and were followed for 35 days for parasitemia by thick blood smear (TBS) and quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR). End points were time to parasitemia, adverse events and immune responses.

Results

Ten of Ten (100%) volunteers in the sero-low and 7 of 9 (77.8%) in the sero-high group developed parasitemia detected by TBS in the first 28 days (p = 0.125). The median time to parasitemia was significantly shorter in the sero-low group [9 days (7.5-11.0) vs.11.3 days (7.5-18.0), log rank test, p=0.005]. Antibody recognition of sporozoites was significantly higher in the sero-high (median 17.93 AU, IQR 12.95-24) than the sero-low volunteers (median 10.54 AU, IQR 8.36-12.12); p=0.006. Presence of blood-stage antibodies was also significantly higher (p=0.0003) in the sero-high group (median 50.98 AU, IQR 22.46-65.07) than in the sero-low group (median 3.16 AU, IQR 2.43-8.71). Growth inhibitory activity (GIA) was significantly higher in the sero-high (median 21.8%, IQR 8.15-29.65) than in the sero-low (median 8.3%, IQR 5.6-10.23) (p=0.025).
Conclusion

CHMI was safe and well tolerated in this population. Individuals with serological evidence of higher malaria exposure were able to better control infection and had higher parasite growth inhibitory activity.
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BACKGROUND

Naturally acquired immunity against malaria parasites, which limits high-density parasitemia and severe disease, develops after repeated exposure, and more rapidly in high than in low transmission areas [1, 2]. This immunity is thought to be primarily mediated by anti-blood stage antibodies, which reduce parasite multiplication and cytoadherence of infected erythrocytes to endothelial cells [3]. In contrast, there is limited evidence for immunological responses preventing blood stage infection by neutralizing sporozoites and liver-stage parasites [4, 5].

Over the past two decades malaria control measures have led to substantial reductions in malaria burden [6], with several endemic countries transitioning from high-to-low malaria transmission [7, 8]. Decreased malaria exposure leads to increased susceptibility to infection and severe disease [9, 10], and is associated with decreased levels of antibodies to blood-stage antigens [11-13].

Controlled human malaria infection (CHMI) of healthy volunteers by exposure to the bites of infected, laboratory-reared Anopheles mosquitoes or inoculation of infected erythrocytes has been used for nearly 100 years to investigate malaria pathophysiology and immunology and efficacy of vaccines and drugs [14, 15]. During the last decade CHMI studies have been expanded in the US and Europe and increasingly performed in Africa using injectable, aseptic, purified, cryopreserved, vialized Plasmodium falciparum sporozoites (PfSPZ, Sanaria® PfSPZ Challenge) [5, 16-20], including assessment of innate resistance [5], naturally acquired immunity, and pre-erythrocytic and asexual erythrocytic stage vaccines [20, 21]. In this study we assessed how exposure to P. falciparum, as measured by serology to six pre-defined antigens, affected parasite kinetics, clinical symptoms, and functional immunity after CHMI by direct venous
inoculation (DVI) of PfSPZ Challenge [16, 17] in Gambian adult males with markedly different levels of previous malarial exposure.

METHODS

Study Design and participants

This was an open-label, non-randomized clinical trial, conducted at the Medical Research Council Unit The Gambia (MRCG). Healthy male participants aged 18–35 years were recruited between 13th and 23rd March 2018. Volunteers were preferentially recruited from tertiary learning institutions and provided written informed consent before screening. Eligible volunteers had normal haematological and biochemical tests and no abnormalities by electrocardiogram. Participants had to be *P. falciparum* negative by molecular methods on two occasions, at recruitment and just before DVI. Previous individual *P. falciparum* exposure was assessed using serologic responses to a panel of *P. falciparum* antigens using a Luminex platform [22]. These included responses associated with cumulative exposure, namely apical membrane antigen-1 (AMA-1), merozoite surface protein1.19 (MSP1.19) and glutamate-rich protein (GLURP.R2) [23], and responses associated with malaria infection in the past 6 months, namely reticulocyte-binding protein homologue (Rh2.2030), gametocyte exported protein (GEXP18) and Early transcribed membrane protein (Etramp5.Ag1) [24]. A complete description of the eligibility criteria is provided in Supplementary Appendix 1. The study received approval from the Scientific Coordinating Committee of MRCG, the Gambia Government/MRCG Joint Ethics Committee, and the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine (LSHTM) Research and Ethics committee and was conducted according to the International Conference on
Harmonization – Good Clinical Practice (ICH-GCP) guidelines and registered with ClinicalTrials.gov, Identifier: NCT03496454.

Study Objectives

The primary objectives were to assess the feasibility of the CHMI model in The Gambia and determine the parasite kinetics in naturally exposed Gambian adults after PfSPZ Challenge administration. Secondary objectives were to analyse humoral and cellular immune responses and their association with time to patency and parasite density at time of first detection, and to assess frequency, incidence, nature and magnitude of adverse events.

PfSPZ Challenge

Sanaria® PfSPZ Challenge is composed of aseptic, purified, vialled, cryopreserved, fully infectious NF54 PfSPZ isolated from Anopheles stephensi mosquitoes [16, 18, 25]. PfSPZ Challenge was supplied by Sanaria Inc. as 20µL cyrovials containing 15,000 PfSPZ and stored in liquid nitrogen vapor phase at -150° to -196°C [25]. For this study, only one lot of PfSPZ manufactured on 30th April 2015 was used. The potency (capacity to invade and fully develop in cultured human hepatocytes (HC-04)) and viability (sporozoite membrane integrity) of this lot were tested as detailed in Supplementary Appendix 2.

Study Procedures

All screened volunteers were ranked by the cumulative quartile score of the mean fluorescent intensities of the six pre-defined antigens [24]. Volunteers with the highest and lowest scores, were assigned to the sero-high and sero-low groups, respectively. This classification resulted in significantly higher responses to all individual antigens reflected by mean fluorescent intensities of cumulative and recent exposure markers that were 4-13-fold and 3-5-fold higher respectively in the sero-high group (Supplementary Appendix 3). Whilst populations were defined based on a
cumulative quartile score for all antigens combined, recognition was also statistically significantly higher for the high exposure population for each of the 6 individual antigens (p<0.014); (Figure 1). All volunteers received PfSPZ Challenge (3.2 x 10^3 PfSPZ in 0.5 mL) by DVI through a 25-gauge needle performed on a single day (29th March 2018) following Sanaria’s standard operating procedures. After injection, participants were observed for 1 hour and subsequently closely monitored on an outpatient basis, with regular visits to the study clinic. Participants were instructed to register their daily symptoms in a study diary, measure temperature twice daily and contact the clinical investigators when any symptoms occurred. From day 5 post-injection onwards, participants were seen twice daily until day 15, and daily until day 28 or day of treatment. At each follow-up visit, temperature was taken, adverse events (AEs) recorded, and blood samples collected; physical examination was done on indication. Participants had a mobile phone by which they could be contacted. As an additional safety precaution, participants stayed in a hostel close to the study clinic from the day of infection until 3 days after treatment. The following signs and symptoms were solicited at all visits: fever, headache, malaise, fatigue, dizziness, myalgia, arthralgia, nausea, vomiting, chills, diarrhoea, abdominal pain, chest pain, palpitations and shortness of breath [26]. AEs were reported as mild (grade 1, easily tolerated), moderate (grade 2, interfered with normal activity), or severe (grade 3, prevented normal activity); for fever, as grade 1 (>37.5 °C to 38.0 °C), grade 2 (38.1 °C to 39.0 °C), or grade 3 (> 39.0 °C). Laboratory values were graded using the DAIDS Table for Grading the Severity of Adult and Paediatric Adverse Events Version 2.1 March 2017.

If a thick blood smear (TBS) was positive with any parasitaemia, with or without signs and symptoms of malaria, treatment with artemether-lumefantrine was started immediately. Participants who did not develop parasitaemia by day 28 received artemether-lumefantrine on
that day. Treatment was directly observed, and all participants were seen at day 35 for an end of study visit.

**Blood sampling and laboratory assessments**

Screening for parasitaemia by microscopic examination of TBS and quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) was done twice daily from days 5 to 15 and daily from days 16 to 28. A complete blood count was done the day prior to PfSPZ Challenge injection, every three days between days 5-28, just before treatment and thereafter daily for the following three days and at day 35. Blood biochemistry was performed one day before PfSPZ Challenge injection, two days after treatment and at day 35. To check if volunteers had self-medicated with artemether-lumefantrine, lumefantrine levels were measured at baseline by high performance liquid chromatography with photodiode array detection [27]. Peripheral blood mononuclear cells were collected for immunological studies one day before PfSPZ Challenge injection and at day 35. Malaria infection was defined as asexual parasites in peripheral blood by TBS during the study and by qPCR retrospectively. The prepatent period was defined as the time between PfSPZ Challenge injection and first positive qPCR. TBS were performed according to an internationally harmonized protocol for thick smears in CHMI studies [28]. qPCR was done retrospectively using established methodologies [29] and considered positive at a parasite threshold of ≥ 5 parasites per mL.

**Immunological assays**

Assessment of sporozoite invasion inhibition by volunteer serum samples was done as described previously [30, 31] and in Supplementary Appendix 4. Antibody levels in citrate plasma from volunteers at baseline were measured by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) to NF54
sporozoite or schizont extract. Growth inhibition was determined by invasion/growth inhibition assays (GIA) as described in Supplementary Appendix 4.

**Sample size estimation and statistical analysis**

Sample size calculation was based on the difference in prepatent period between groups. Assuming a mean time to qPCR positivity of 7.1 days (SD 0.8 days) [32], it was estimated that 15 participants per cohort would be sufficient to detect a 1-day longer time to first detection of parasites by qPCR in the high exposure group (8.1 days), with 90% power and alpha of 0.05. Due to low numbers of participants presenting for screening and volunteers not meeting eligibility criteria just before study start, only 19 volunteers were enrolled. Prepatent period and parasite density at first detection by qPCR were compared between groups using the log-rank test. For the immunological analyses, differences were assessed by comparing mean values between groups or time points using either a two-tailed Student’s t-test or non-parametric equivalents. Time to patency and parasite density at first detection of infection were associated with immune responses.

**RESULTS**

**Study population**

Eighty-four volunteers were screened; of these, 8 were qPCR positive during screening. Nineteen volunteers at the extremes of the immunological spectrum (Supplementary Appendix 3) were enrolled into the study; 9 in the sero-high- and 10 in the sero-low group (Supplementary Appendix 5). Baseline characteristics are shown in Table 1. Most of the volunteers resided in the
West Coast region, an area previously reported to have low transmission compared to the other regions [33]. However, malaria transmission in The Gambia is highly heterogeneous with both high- and low-exposed individuals in all regions. Volunteers in the sero-high group were older than those in the sero-low group; mean age 25.7 years (SD 3.3) vs. 22.6 years (SD 2.3) respectively, p = 0.028.

Parasite kinetics and clinical malaria

Seventeen of the 19 volunteers (89%) developed parasitemia detected by microscopy in the first 28 days of follow up: all individuals in the sero-low group (100%; 10/10) and 7 (77.8%; 7/9) in the sero-high group (p = 0.125), Table 2. One of the two volunteers who remained microscopy negative was qPCR positive at day 18 (Figure 2A and 2B). All volunteers reported no prior or current use of antimalarial drugs and none had measurable concentrations of lumefantrine at baseline. Median prepatent period was significantly shorter in the sero-low than in the sero-high group [9.0 days (SD 1.6) vs.11.0 days (SD 6.3), log rank test, p=0.005] (Table 2, Figure 2B). Parasite density by qPCR on day of treatment was significantly higher in the sero-low than in the sero-high group, p = 0.01(Figure 2C). Individual level parasite kinetics showed faster parasite multiplication in the sero-low group (Figure 3). Parasite multiplication rates (PMR_{48}) were calculated for all available 48-hour intervals following first detection of parasites by qPCR until treatment. Median PMR_{48} were non-significantly higher in the sero-low group (p=0.143) and were negatively associated with antibody titres against asexual parasite lysate (r = -0.5074, p=0.0376), (Supplementary Appendix 6).

Participants in the sero-low group had a significantly higher probability of having clinical malaria symptoms (90.0%, 9/10) than those in the sero-high (33%, 3/9), log rank p = 0.0008 (Table 2, Figure 4).
Safety and tolerability of PfSPZ Challenge

There were minimal AEs in the first 7 days after PfSPZ Challenge. Fourteen volunteers, 5 in the sero-high (55.6%) and 9 in the sero-low (90.0%) group experienced 82 AEs, including hematological and biochemistry abnormalities, that were possibly or probably related to malaria (Table 3). Seventy of the 82 (85.4%) AEs occurred in the sero-low, while only 12 (14.6%) occurred in the sero-high group (p <0.0001). Most AEs (89.0%, 73/82) were mild to moderate and occurred around the time parasitemia became detectable by TBS. Moderate and severe AEs were only observed in the sero-low group (Table 3, Figure 4). Headache was the most frequently reported AE in both the sero-high (25%, 3/12) and sero-low (20%, 14/70) groups. Fever was only observed in the sero-low group (5/70, 7.1%), (Table 3). Of the 20 hematological and biochemistry abnormalities recorded, 75% (15/20) were in the sero-low and 25.0% (5/20) were in the sero-high group, p= 0.002. No serious AEs or cardiac AEs were reported, and all AEs had resolved by day 35.

Humoral and functional immunity

Antibody recognition of sporozoites by sporozoite-binding ELISA was significantly higher in plasma of sero-high (median 17.93 AU, IQR 12.95-24) compared to the sero-low volunteers (median 10.54 AU, IQR 8.36-12.12); (p=0.006; Figure 5A). However, the groups did not differ in their ability to block sporozoite invasion of HC04 hepatocytes, (sero-high: median 88.26% invasion, IQR 83.52-100.1%; sero-low: 91.74% invasion, IQR 90.54-103, p=0.18); (p=0.18); (Figure 5B). Invasion was indexed as a percentage relative to invasion in the presence of non-immune serum from naïve donors, where 100% meant no invasion inhibition. The presence of blood-stage antibodies, determined by schizont extract was also significantly higher (p=0.0003) in the sero-high group (median 50.98 AU, IQR 22.46-65.07; Figure 5C) than in the sero-low
group (median 3.16 AU, IQR 2.43-8.71). We observed indications for functional differences in blood-stage immune responses, with significantly higher GIA in the sero-high (median 21.8%, IQR 8.15-29.65) than in the sero-low (median 8.3%, IQR 5.6-10.23) (p=0.025; Figure 5D). Length of prepatent period correlated positively with sporozoite-binding antibody titres (r=0.64, p=0.003), blood-stage antibody titres (r=0.48, p=0.036) and blood-stage GIA activity (r=0.65, p=0.003) but not with sporozoite invasion inhibition (r = -0.29, p=0.236). For individual antibody responses, Rh2.2030 (r = 0.5357, p=0.018) and AMA-1 (r = 0.4959, p=0.031) were the most predictive of prepatent period (Supplementary Appendix 7). Significant correlation was also seen between the different immunological responses (Supplementary Appendix 8).

DISCUSSION

This study demonstrated the feasibility and successful implementation of CHMI with PfSPZ Challenge in The Gambia, increasing the capacity of conducting such studies in endemic areas: CHMI with PfSPZ Challenge has now been done in 6 African countries [5, 18-21]. A study in Gabon with PfSPZ Challenge reported that previous exposure to P. falciparum and sickle cell trait both impacted the rate of blood stage infection, prepatent period, and clinical manifestations of malaria [5]. Whilst previous studies in Kenya also associated immune responses to parasite kinetics among CHMI volunteers [34], ours is the first assessment of the effect of previous exposure to P. falciparum as measured by a pre-defined serology panel of six antigens on parasite kinetics, clinical symptoms and functional immune responses. Individuals with serological evidence of higher recent and cumulative malaria exposure had a longer prepatent period, lower mean parasite density and fewer symptoms of malaria. Whereas there was considerable variability in individual responses, the pre-screening panel used to define exposure
in this population correlated directly with clinical outcomes [22]. Using functional assays for pre-erythrocytic immunity and blood-stage immunity, this study also sheds light on the mechanisms underlying these differences. Anti-spore zoite responses were higher in highly exposed individuals but did not translate into responses preventing liver-stage infection *in vitro* whilst antibody responses controlling blood-stage parasite multiplication *in vitro* were markedly stronger in this group.

Understanding the impact of declining malaria exposure on malaria immunity is highly relevant in the context of wide-scale and often pronounced reductions in malaria burden in African and non-African settings [35, 36]. More direct methods for assessing immunity are needed to quantify the clinical consequences of declined exposure. Whilst we directly defined our cohorts based on serological markers that have been presented as indicators of recent and cumulative exposure [24, 37], several previous studies have indirectly determined malaria exposure based on self-reported clinical history of malaria episodes and long-term residence in malaria endemic areas [5, 23] or by measuring responses to whole parasite lysate and the blood stage antigen MSP-2 with a very long half-life [38]. In line with our findings, these studies observed a lower likelihood of parasite positivity post-CHMI in the highly exposed group [5, 23, 38]. Lell and colleagues postulated that mechanisms for the control of parasitemia included a combination of adaptive immune mechanisms such as prevention of hepatocyte infection, elimination of infected liver cells by T-cell mediated cytotoxicity or immune mediators and highly effective clearance of the first generation of merozoites leaving infected hepatocytes [5]. Our study directly examined differences in functional pre-erythrocytic and blood-stage immunity using established methodologies. Though we found no evidence for differences in inhibition of sporo zoite invasion, we observed stronger parasite growth inhibition in the sero-high cohort. Since
volunteers were selected based on distinct immune profiles, our functional immune parameters must be interpreted with caution given challenges in disentangling functional immune responses from markers of exposure [39]. The single volunteer who remained parasite-negative by qPCR had median levels of pre-erythrocytic antibodies (17.93 AU), moderate HC04 invasion (104.13%; mean 95.41% invasion), very low levels of asexual antibodies (5.98 AU; mean 47.42 AU), and average GIA (23% inhibition; mean 21.94%). The striking difference in growth inhibition in our two cohorts suggests that functional blood-stage antibodies contributed significantly to the differences in clinical symptoms and parasite kinetics. There was a weak, negative correlation (r = -0.4474, p = 0.0548) between levels of sporozoite-binding antibodies and functional invasion-blocking activity, suggesting a minor invasion-blocking role for naturally acquired antibodies. Sporozoite-targeting antibodies in this study may be markers of exposure only or may enhance cellular immunity but lack direct invasion-blocking activity.

The systemic and laboratory AEs observed were consistent with uncomplicated malaria, with most AEs recorded at the time of positive microscopy. Severe symptoms, including chills, fatigue, malaise and headache reported in 3 sero-low volunteers were also consistent with uncomplicated malaria and resolved within 48 hours post-treatment. Two sero-low volunteers had grade 3 reductions in total lymphocyte count considered related to malaria and resolved by day 4 of malaria treatment. Similar declines have been reported previously [40]. This study does not allow us to extrapolate findings to other populations.

In summary, CHMI was safe and well tolerated in this population and the manifestations of malaria, although significantly different between the two exposure groups, were consistent with previous CHMI studies. Volunteers with high previous exposure to malaria infection were
able to better control the infection as shown by the significantly lower parasite densities, less severe symptoms and a lower incidence of symptoms associated with parasitemia.
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Table 1. Demographic characteristics of volunteers enrolled in the Gambia controlled human malaria infection study

|                                | High Exposure group | Low Exposure group | p-value |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------|
| Number of participants (n)     | 9                   | 10                 | 0.752   |
| Age in years (mean, SD)        | 25.7 (3.3)          | 22.6 (2.3)         | 0.028   |
| Male gender: n (%)             | 9 (100%)            | 10 (100%)          | -       |
| Height in cm                   | 177.0 (174.0-182.0) | 177.0 (174.0-181.0)| 0.968   |
| Weight in Kg                   | 62.8 (59.8-80.1)    | 64.8 (52.8-86.7)   | 0.490   |
| BMI in Kg/m²                   | 21.0 (18-26)        | 20.7 (18-26)       | 0.936   |
| Ethnicity: n (%)               |                     |                    |         |
| Mandika                        | 2 (22.2)            | 7 (70.0)           | 0.043   |
| Fula                           | 5 (55.6)            | 1 (10.0)           | 0.038   |
| Other                          | 2 (22.2)            | 2 (20.0)           | 0.909   |
| Residence: n (%)               |                     |                    |         |
| West coast region              | 7 (77.8)            | 7 (70.0)           | 0.707   |
| Upper river region             | 2 (22.2)            | 0 (0.0)            | 0.125   |
| Central river region           | 0 (0.0)             | 3 (30.0)           | 0.081   |

Median (range) for all continuous variables except where noted
|                                      | High Exposure group | Low Exposure group | P value |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------|
|                                      | N= 9.0              | N = 10.0           |         |
| Number of subjects positive by       | 7.0 (77.8%)         | 10.0 (100.0%)      | 0.125   |
| microscopy                           |                     |                    |         |
| Number of subjects positive by       | 8.0 (88.9%)         | 10.0 (100.0%)      | 0.292   |
| qPCR                                 |                     |                    |         |
| Days to parasitemia by               | 14.0 (6.6)          | 13.5 (1.5)         | 0.327   |
| microscopy*                          |                     |                    |         |
| Days to parasitemia by qPCR*         | 11.0 (6.3)          | 9.0 (1.6)          | 0.016   |
| Days from qPCR positivity to         | 3.0 (2.6)           | 5.0 (0.5)          | 0.156   |
| microscopy positivity*               |                     |                    |         |
| Number of subjects who developed     | 3.0 (33.3%)         | 9.0 (90.0%)        | 0.013   |
| symptoms**                           |                     |                    |         |
|                           | Median (range)       | Geometric mean (range)     |    |
|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|----|
| Peak parasite density     | 3748.9 (50.6-71264.3)| 49340.3 (5186.5-205850)    | 0.088 |
| (qPCR, parasites/mL)      |                      |                            |    |
| Area under the curve      | 8035 (0-122054)      | 34504 (3404-120441)        | 0.173 |
| (AUC) of parasitemia until|                      |                            |    |
| treatment (qPCR) (median  |                      |                            |    |
| range)                    |                      |                            |    |

*Median (standard deviation), **only possibly or probably related to study, ***Geometric mean (range), qPCR = quantitative polymerase chain reaction (≥5 parasites/mL), ****The area under the curve (AUC) represents the total parasite exposure over time until treatment (parasite load).
Table 3: Adverse events following controlled human malaria infection in the two exposure groups

|                                                | Sero-high group | Sero-low group |
|------------------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|
|                                                | N= 9            | N = 10         |
| Number of participants with any adverse events (including laboratory abnormalities) | 5.0 (55.6%) | 9.0 (90.0%) |
| Number of participants with adverse events ≥ grade 2 | 2.0 (22.2%) | 8.0 (80.0%) |

**Grade 1 and 2 adverse events**

| Total           | 12.0          | 61.0          |
|-----------------|---------------|---------------|
| Headache        | 3.0 (25.0%)   | 12.0 (19.7%)  |
| Fever           | 0.0           | 5.0 (8.2%)    |
| Chills          | 1.0 (8.3%)    | 4.0 (6.6%)    |
| Fatigue/malaise | 1.0 (8.3%)    | 8.0 (13.1%)   |
| Myalgia         | 0.0           | 4.0 (6.6%)    |
| Arthralgia      | 2.0 (16.7%)   | 1.0 (1.6%)    |
| Anorexia        | 0.0           | 5.0 (8.2%)    |
| Nausea          | 0.0           | 2.0 (3.3%)    |
| Vomiting        | 0.0           | 1.0 (1.6%)    |
| Abdominal pain  | 0.0           | 2.0 (3.3%)    |
| Dizziness       | 0.0           | 3.0 (4.9%)    |
| Diarrhoea       | 0.0           | 1.0 (1.6%)    |
| Ribcage pain    | 0.0           | 1.0 (1.6%)    |
| Low platelet count | 1.0 (8.3%) | 2.0 (3.3%) |
| Low lymphocyte count | 1.0 (8.3%) | 5.0 (8.2%) |
| Low absolute neutrophil count | 1.0 (8.3%) | 0.0 |
| Elevated total bilirubin | 0.0 | 2.0 (3.3%) |
| Elevated lactate dehydrogenase | 0.0 | 1.0 (1.6%) |
| Elevated aspartate transaminase* | 0.0 | 1.0 (1.6%) |
| Elevated Gamma-glutamyl transferase | 1.0 (8.3%) | 0.0 |
| Elevated Sodium levels | 1.0 (8.3%) | 1.0 (1.6%) |

**Grade 3 adverse events**

| Total | 0.0 | 9.0 |
|-------|-----|-----|
| Headache | 0.0 | 2.0 (22.2%) |
| Chills   | 0.0 | 2.0 (22.2%) |
| Fatigue/malaise | 0.0 | 2.0 (22.2%) |
| Low lymphocyte count | 0.0 | 3.0 (33.3%) |

*No clinically significant elevations in alanine aminotransferase (ALT) were observed*
Figure Legends

Figure 1: Antibody histogram plots for screened volunteers in the Gambia controlled human malaria infection study**

** Light colors are the sero-low group, dark colors are the sero-high group and grey colors are the other screened volunteers with intermediate immunological profile.

Figure 2. Comparison of parasite kinetics between the two exposure groups following controlled human malaria infection

Kaplan Meier curve for time from inoculation to parasitemia detected by thick blood smear (A) and qPCR (B). Differences in parasite density by qPCR at treatment (C) and peak parasitemia (D)

Figure 3. Individual level kinetics of parasitemia by qPCR following controlled human malaria infection

Figure 4. Differences in clinical outcomes following controlled human malaria infection in the two exposure groups§

§ Shows proportion of participants without symptoms, number of AEs per participant and total number of AEs per group

Figure 5. Antibody-mediated responses to P. falciparum in high- and low-exposure groups

A) The sero-high group had significantly higher (p=0.006) titres of antibodies to sporozoite antigens, expressed as arbitrary units (AU). (B) There were no significant differences between groups in their ability to block sporozoite invasion of HC04 hepatocytes. (C) Plasma from the sero-high group also had significantly higher (p=0.0003) levels of antibodies to asexual-stage antigens, also expressed as AU. (D) Purified IgG from the sero-high exposure group also had significantly higher growth inhibitory activity (p=0.025) against blood-stage 3D7 parasites.
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