3D Ore Characterization as a Paradigm Shift for Process Design and Simulation in Mineral Processing
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Abstract: Current advances and developments in automated mineralogy have made it a crucial key technology in the field of process mineralogy, allowing better understanding and connection between mineralogy and the beneficiation process. The latest developments in X-ray micro-computed tomography (µCT) have shown a great potential to let it become the next-generation automated mineralogy technique. µCT’s main benefit lies in its capability to allow 3D monitoring of the internal structure of the ore sample at resolutions down to a few hundred nanometers, thus excluding the common stereological error in conventional 2D analysis. Driven by the technological and computational progress, µCT is constantly developing as an analysis tool and successively it will become an essential technique in the field of process mineralogy. This study aims to assess the potential application of µCT systems, for 3D ore characterization through relevant case studies. The opportunities and platforms that µCT 3D ore characterization provides for process design and simulation in mineral processing are presented.
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1. Introduction

The heterogeneous nature of the plant ore feed imposes a challenge for a better understanding of mineral processing operations. Such an understanding is important in the design and optimization of mineral processing flowsheets. Furthermore, with the increasingly complex ore bodies and stricter environmental requirements, an optimal production planning for an ore beneficiation plant is becoming ever more crucial.

Process modeling and simulation are common tools used to help engineers in production planning. Such tools usually include a set of mathematical equations for describing the mineral processing operations. The models take ore data and process control parameters as an input and predict the mineral processing performance as the output. The performance can be described through various parameters, such as recovery, product grade, par-
ticle size distribution, waste generation, as well as energy consumption.

Depending on the level of detail in the process modeling and simulation, the approaches can consider either the whole process or each unit operation as separate units. The former usually use simple equations to predict the performance of the entire process, also denoted as “black-box” model. On the other hand, detailed models for unit operations can be useful particularly in comminution circuits when one needs to consider the energy consumption of the grinding operation [1, 2].

As mentioned earlier about the heterogeneous nature of the ore feed, process models can be classified also depending on the available information about the feed ore. The properties of the feed ore that can be used for process modeling are total solids, chemical and mineralogical assays, as well as particle properties (size and mineral liberation) [1]. These properties can be made available in the bulk stream or even be split into sub-streams depending on the size classes and liberation classes. This is summarized in Table 1.

### 1.1 Automated Mineralogy in Process Modeling

With the advancement of automated mineralogical tools such as Quantitative Evaluations of Minerals by Scanning Electron Microscopy (QEMSCAN) [3] and Mineral Liberation Analyzer (MLA) [4], the incorporation of mineralogical and liberation information in process modeling has become more feasible. This allows for the most detailed level of process modeling, namely sized by liberation (Table 1).

Automated mineralogical tools can generate a population of mineral particles containing information about the mineralogical and liberation which in turn can be used to evaluate the ore beneficiation process. Data reconciliation and mass balancing techniques have also been developed so that particle populations can be reconciled with the assays and solid flowrate [5, 6]. Some simulation platforms, such as the HSC Chemistry Sim module developed by Metso Outotec, allow the usage of particle data generated by automated mineralogical tools in mass balancing [7] using the aforementioned reconciliation routines.

### 1.2 The Problem of Stereology

Automated mineralogy offers a rapid and quantitative mineralogical and liberation data acquisition. However, there is a weakness in this technique as there is a loss of dimensionality. Mineral particles are 3D objects, while automated mineralogical tools can only produce a 2D cross section of the ore samples. This loss of dimensionality can lead to an overestimation in mineral liberation as the cross section is not the actual representation of the particles [8], as represented in Fig. 1.

Stereological issues can be addressed with numerous stereological correction techniques [8–11], which estimate the 3D mineral liberation based on 2D mineral liberation. These stereological correction techniques are barely applied in practice, and their applicability to different types of ore particles have not yet been studied extensively [10]. Increasing the number of particles in the analysis will increase the statistical reliability of the mineral liberation analysis but will not completely eliminate the stereological error [12].

The stereological error issues are more prevalent in multiminerall systems, which is often the case in mineral beneficiation processes [10]. The complexity of addressing stereological error in mineral process simulation and mass balancing has been raised by dos Santos and Galery [13]. It was argued that, due to the interdependencies of the liberation data with the mass balance reconciled assay data, the stereologically corrected liberation data would most likely be incompatible with the reconciled assay data.

### 1.3 3D Ore Characterization with X-ray Microcomputed Tomography

Over the last decades, the development of X-ray Microcomputed Tomography (µCT) in geosciences has created a potential for 3D ore characterization free from stereological errors. Several studies have been devoted to evaluating the potential application of µCT for mineral characterization [14–17].

The µCT system has shown to be capable of extracting 3D ore features such as mineralogy and mineral liberation.
Fig. 2: Ore feature extraction using µCT. The X-ray source originates from a small focal spot and illuminates a planar detector. The projections are reconstructed to µCT images (slices) which are then stacked to 3D image of the ore sample. The stacked 3D images are often the raw data for further data processing and feature extraction.

[18–20], particle morphology [21], as well as ore texture [14, 22]. Furthermore, with the additional depth of information offered by µCT systems, some other ore features such as mineral surface exposure [23, 24] can also be extracted.

This study seeks to evaluate the potential application of µCT systems, in particular a laboratory cone beam scanning configuration, for 3D ore characterization, especially in relation to process design and simulation. Relevant case studies are discussed in this study.

2. Principles of 3D Ore Characterization with µCT

The configuration of the µCT system is shown in Fig. 2. During acquisition, the ore sample is exposed to the incident X-ray beam and rotated 180° to obtain a number of projections. The projections are then reconstructed to create 2D projection images of the measured ore volume. The pixels in the 2D projection images have the spatial information of the measured sample so that these 2D images can be stacked into a 3D volume. The 3D volume can then be rendered for visualization on 2D screens or alternatively further processed as the “raw data” for feature extraction (size, shape, mineralogy) of the sample.

The µCT system acquires the differences of X-ray attenuation of the different mineral phases in the ore sample. The attenuation of the mineral phases depends on the atomic number and the density of the mineral. Using different energy levels of the beam will affect the sensitivity of the attenuation to the density and atomic number. The higher energy of the beam will render the attenuation more dependent on density. This creates difficulties as many minerals have a similar density. On the lower energy spectra, the attenuation will be more sensitive to the atomic number; therefore, it would be easier to segment the mineral phases. Nevertheless, the lower energy of the beam would mean a longer exposure time to achieve a good signal-to-noise ratio.

3. 3D Mineralogical Analysis with µCT

The mineralogical analysis using µCT often involves image-processing techniques to differentiate the minerals in the 3D volume based on the voxel grayscale values, which correspond to the attenuation coefficient of the mineral phases. Several image-processing techniques are quite popular with regard to mineral segmentation using µCT. Some case studies with respect to these techniques are discussed in this section.

When dealing with intact core samples, the initial segmentation between the pores and rock matrix are rather straightforward, as techniques such as simple Otsu thresholding has been applied [25]. Furthermore, the subsequent mineral segmentation between the gangue minerals and “valuable” minerals (often higher in density) is also rather straightforward, with multiple techniques available [26, 27].

Further complications arise when differentiating minerals of similar properties (density and/or atomic number). This is the main challenge with µCT as a mineralogical analysis tool compared to 2D-based automated mineralogy, as no information about the chemical composition of the phases is available to determine the mineralogy of the various phases in the core sample.

Several researchers have proposed a cross-correlation technique between SEM-EDS automated mineralogy and µCT [18, 19], an example being shown in Fig. 3. The proposed techniques could help µCT systems in differentiating minerals with similar properties, such as pyrite and chalcopyrite, copper sulfides minerals, and more. Others have proposed calibrating the µCT system with pure minerals [28] or optimization of scanning energies [29, 30]. The latter mentioned techniques are post-processing techniques, namely techniques that seek to optimize the data acquisition process, instead of the data processing sequences.
4. 3D Ore Texture Analysis

It can be argued that one of the significant advantages of the µCT system is that it is relatively free from sample preparation as compared to 2D automated mineralogy. When dealing with core samples, µCT offers an in-depth analysis technique to acquire mineralogical and texture information of the core sample. As with the development of the use of computer vision and image analysis to quantify ore texture of core samples [31–33], µCT offers a yet new alternative in acquiring 3D ore texture.

The potential application of µCT in drill core texture analysis has been subject of several investigations [14, 22, 34]. The principles of texture analysis used in these studies are quite commonplace in image processing communities, as such texture analysis is often used in face pattern recognition [35].

The development towards quantitative ore texture analysis is essential in process modelling as it has been shown that ore texture affects the behavior of the ore in the beneficiation process. Pérez-Barnuevo et al. [36] have shown that the drill cores that are often misclassified in the automated texture recognition routines ultimately behave similarly in the laboratory tests. Guntoro et al. [20] have demonstrated that drill cores that were classified into different texture classes using automated 3D texture recognition routines have a different breakage patterns and are therefore affecting the mineral liberation (and subsequently the behavior in separation processes).

The aforementioned cases describe the potentials of quantitative texture classification into discrete classes, in which each class is expected to behave differently in the beneficiation process. However, the future development of quantitative texture analysis should create the potential for incorporating continuous textural descriptors in the process models, rather than descriptors based on discrete classes. This would then result in models similar to first order kinetics models for assays in the flotation process but using texture rather than assays. Such a development would fully incorporate the mineralogy and texture in process modeling and simulation.

5. 3D Mineral Liberation and Process Simulation

The previous sections primarily described the use of µCT for drill core samples. Automated mineralogy mostly deals with particulate samples, in which the particle data can then be used for process simulation. There have been several studies on using µCT for mineral liberation analysis [19, 37, 38]. These studies are, however, limited in their mineralogical definition of the samples as compared to a traditional SEM-EDS mineral liberation analysis. For example, the µCT analysis in these studies simplified the gangue mineralogy as one group of gangue minerals. This can pose challenges as some beneficiation processes are significantly affected by the type of gangue minerals, such as those employed in trace and refractory ores like gold and platinum group element ores [39].

Other challenges in dealing with particulate samples include the partial voxel effect in which this effect is more pronounced in the boundaries between the mineral phases. This creates a gradient boundary instead of a sharp boundary, making the mineral quantification uncertain. Several corrections for this bias have been developed [40, 41]. Furthermore, there are also challenges with the limited spatial resolution, as typical µCT systems have spatial resolutions ranging from 10–50 µm [42], which makes it challenging to analyze small grains in that particle size range. Nevertheless, some newer µCT systems can go below 1 µm (sub-µCT) [43], which makes it possible to analyze small grains, but at the expense of exposure time and sample size.

Another approach used simulated 3D liberation ([20, 44]; Fig. 4), in which the resulting particle data can be used in process simulation. Such a simulated liberation can avoid the complications in dealing with a particulate sample, but a calibration of the liberation model is still required to build an accurate liberation model.
6. Conclusions

The following conclusions can be made with respect to the application of μCT for 3D ore characterization:

1. While advanced image processing techniques of the μCT images can help in mineralogical analysis, the path forward should focus more on the optimization of the acquisition system as well as scanning conditions so that simple image processing techniques can be used instead for mineralogical analysis. The development of multiple detector systems, such as a combination of μCT with X-ray Diffraction or X-ray Fluorescence, can be an interesting way forward [45, 46].

2. The potential application of μCT in drill core texture analysis is certainly quite promising as here lies the main advantage of such a system with less sample preparatory work and the huge amount of data that it generates, even including texture-based simulated particle data for process simulation. Further studies on incorporating the quantitative ore texture analysis techniques with the process models should be conducted.

3. A further optimization in the reconstruction and post-processing techniques for μCT system could also help alleviate the problems in using μCT for particulate samples. Coupled with technological developments towards nano-scale μCT, the application of μCT for particulate samples and mineral liberation analysis could become more feasible.
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