A phage-encoded nucleoid associated protein compacts both host and phage DNA and derepresses H-NS silencing
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ABSTRACT

Nucleoid Associated Proteins (NAPs) organize the bacterial chromosome within the nucleoid. The interaction of the NAP H-NS with DNA also represses specific host and xenogeneic genes. Previously, we showed that the bacteriophage T4 early protein MotB binds to DNA, co-purifies with H-NS/DNA, and improves phage fitness. Here we demonstrate using atomic force microscopy that MotB compacts the DNA with multiple MotB proteins at the center of the complex. These complexes differ from those observed with H-NS and other NAPs, but resemble those formed by the NAP-like proteins CbpA/Dps and yeast condensin. Fluorescent microscopy indicates that expression of motB in vivo, at levels like that during T4 infection, yields a significantly compacted nucleoid containing MotB and H-NS. motB overexpression dysregulates hundreds of host genes; ~70% are within the hns regulon. In infected cells overexpressing motB, 33 T4 late genes are expressed early, and the T4 early gene repEB, involved in replication initiation, is up ~5-fold. We postulate that MotB represents a phage-encoded NAP that aids infection in a previously unrecognized way. We speculate that MotB-induced compaction may generate more room for T4 replication/assembly and/or leads to beneficial global changes in host gene expression, including derepression of much of the hns regulon.

INTRODUCTION

Even in the well-studied bacteriophage T4, many genes encode products that have no homologs outside the phage world and whose functions have not yet been characterized (1). These genes represent a major portion of the ‘dark matter’ of the biological universe, providing a rich source of information about novel protein functions and structures (2). For lytic phages, such as T4, most of these uncharacterized proteins are early products, whose synthesis begins shortly after infection and which are thought to usurp host functions or combat host anti-viral activities. Though typically nonessential, these early genes can optimize infection and/or be required only under certain conditions.

The T4 nonessential early gene, motB, is highly toxic for Escherichia coli when expressed heterologously, suggesting that it might encode such a ‘takeover’ protein (3). Our previous work demonstrated that MotB binds both host and T4 DNA tightly and nonspecifically, and T4 phage with a motB knockdown yields a 2-fold lower burst than wild type (WT) T4 under laboratory conditions (3).
Unexpectedly, this work also showed that when bound to DNA, MotB co-purifies with the highly abundant *E. coli* histone-like protein H-NS and its less abundant paralog StpA (3), suggesting that MotB function might involve these host proteins. In the bacterial nucleoid, H-NS and StpA, along with other members of the Nucleoid Associated Proteins (NAPs) family, form higher-order nucleoprotein complexes with the host genomic DNA, organizing the DNA within the nucleoid and leading to transcriptional effects through regulation of specific genes (4–9). In particular, H-NS targets DNA with high AT-content, generating regions of ‘stiffness’ by linearly coating the DNA and regions with loops and bridges through H-NS protein-protein interactions (10–16). Proteins within the Hha/YdgT family present in enteric bacteria can also form heterocomplexes with H-NS, increasing this silencing [reviewed in (17)]. H-NS binding typically silences gene expression of the bound DNA by preventing RNA polymerase (RNAP) from binding or by sequestering bound RNAP (4,7). In addition, in vitro H-NS binding increases transcriptional pausing and Rho-dependent transcription termination (11), which would also down-regulate gene expression. However, it is not clear yet whether this mechanism operates in vivo.

H-NS targeting of AT-rich sequences can also result in the repression of horizontally acquired pathogenicity islands and phage DNA, which are often more AT-rich than the 49% AT-containing *E. coli* genome (17–20). However, mobile elements and phages have mechanisms to thwart this repression. A family of H-NS derivatives, which lack the C-terminal DNA binding domain, but can still bind tightly to the H-NS central dimerization domain, are encoded by pathogenicity islands (10,16,21,22) while the T7 5.5 protein can also bind to H-NS (23,24). In these cases, the binding of these proteins to H-NS eliminates its ability to oligomerize and form higher-ordered complexes. In contrast, the T4 Arn protein is a DNA mimic that binds to the H-NS DNA binding domain, thus serving as a competitor for the phage genome (25). Thus, in these situations, the proteins encoded by the phage or mobile element can abrogate H-NS repression of the foreign DNA. However, in a T4 *motB* knockdown infection of *E. coli* B, there is only a slight delay in the expression of a few T4 late genes, and as infection proceeds, no significant effect on phage gene expression is observed (3). These results have suggested that shutting down H-NS repression of T4 gene expression might not be the primary function of MotB.

Here, we have used RNA-seq to determine how the presence of MotB affects host and phage gene expression in *E. coli* K12 and atomic force microscopy (AFM), fluorescent microscopy, and flow cytometry to investigate how MotB interacts with DNA and how this interaction is affected by H-NS. Our results indicate that a level of MotB that is similar to what is present during T4 infection compacts DNA both in vitro and in vivo, with multiple MotB proteins centered within the complex. The MotB/DNA complexes resemble those previously observed with the *E. coli* NAP-like proteins, DNA binding protein from starved cells (Dps) (26,27) and curved DNA-binding protein A (CbpA) (4) and with the yeast structural maintenance of chromosome (SMC) protein cohesin (28). In vitro the compaction formed in the presence of MotB is still observed in the presence of H-NS, indicating that MotB alters the types of structures that are made by H-NS alone. The overexpression of *motB* in *E. coli* K12 in the absence or presence of T4 infection dysregulates hundreds of host genes, including ~70% of genes that are within the *hns* regulon. In addition, 33 T4 late genes are expressed earlier and expression of one T4 early gene *repEB*, which is involved in the initiation of replication (29–31), is up ~5-fold at 5 min post-infection, a time when T4 replication commences. Our results suggest that MotB represents a phage-encoded NAP-like protein that can compact the host chromosome in the presence of the host NAPs. We postulate that this MotB-induced compaction early in infection could aid T4 by providing more room for subsequent phage replication and assembly and/or by the associated changes in host gene expression, including the dysregulation of H-NS repressed genes. We conclude that MotB represents a previously unrecognized way to help phage in host takeover.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Strains**

*E. coli* K12 strains TOP10F+ (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), SX454 (9,32,33), and B834 (34) have been described. As indicated, LB media (Quality Biological, Gaithersburg, MD, USA) or MOPS EZ Rich defined media (Teknova, Hollister, CA, USA) were used, and unless otherwise noted, cells were grown at 37°C with shaking at 250 rpm.

**DNA**

The following plasmids have been previously described: pMLH07, a 6 kbp plasmid containing known H-NS binding sites (35); pNW129, a pACYC-based vector plasmid containing the PBAD promoter followed by a multiple cloning site (36); pNW129-*motB* (referred to as *pmotB*) in which a codon-optimized *motB* is located downstream of the inducible promoter PBAD in pNW129 (3); pNW129-*motB-his* (referred to here as *pmotB-his*), which is the same as *pmotB*, except that *motB* has a C-terminal His6-tag (3); pTXB1-HNS, an intein expression plasmid for H-NS production (3), and pUCBB-eGFP (enhanced green fluorescent protein, referred to here as peGFP, plasmid # 32553, Addgene, Watertown, MA, USA (37)), pUCBB-motBeGFP (referred to here as pmotBeGFP) was constructed by cloning *motB* lacking its stop codon between the BglII and NdeI sites immediately upstream of the *eGFP* gene in the vector peGFP. This places *motB-eGFP* under the control of PBAD. The plasmids pTE103-*motB-his* and pTE103-*motB-eGFP* consist of the T4 DNA from nucleotide 87 of *motB* through nucleotide 40 of the T4 downstream gene *cef* with the insertion of either a his6 -TAA (stop codon) or eGFP-TAA at the C-terminus of *motB*, respectively, cloned into the vector pTE103 (38) between the BamHI and SalI sites. For both plasmids, the native stop codon of *motB* was omitted to fuse the C-terminus of *motB* to the tag or eGFP. GenScript (Piscataway Township, NJ, USA) performed gene synthesis, plasmid construction, and DNA sequencing of the constructed plasmids.

pET28b-*motB-his* and pET28b-*motB-eGFP*, kanamycin-resistant donor plasmids for the CRISPR-mediated con-
structure of T4-motB-his and T4-motB-eGFP, were constructed by PCR by first generating motB-his and motB-eGFP donor fragments using the plasmids pTE103-motB-his and pTE103-motB-eGFP, respectively, and the primers:

MotB-BglII-F: 5’TCGAGATCTGGAGTTTATATCTGCGC3’
MotB-XhoI-R: 5’ GTGCTCGAGAGTTGACGAGCATGTTACGAA3’

(The BglII and XhoI recognition sequences are underlined.) The PCR products were digested with the restriction enzymes BglII and XhoI and cloned into pET28b (EMD Millipore, Burlington, MA, USA), which had been linearized with BglII and XhoI.

For the CRISPR-Cpf1-motB spacer plasmid construction, the spacer fragment was generated as follows: First, using 2X Phusion High-Fidelity PCR Master mix (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), the CRISPR-Cpf1 backbone plasmid (referred to as CRISPR-LbCpf1/SpCas9 plasmid in (39)), and the primers listed below, two fragments were generated: a 300 bp left fragment using primers Cpf1-F and motB-ST-R and a 300 bp right fragment using primers motB-ST-F and Cpf1-R.

- Primer Cpf1-F: 5’GTAGCCAGACATCGGTAAAACAC3’
- Primer Cpf1-R: 5’AGAAAAAAAGGTACCAAGGATATCTGCG3’
- Primer motB-ST-F: 5’(0:underline)ATGTTGCTAAAAAGACCTTTTATT(0:underline)CTACTAAGTGTAGATiversatagagagctgtataAATCTGCTGTAAGCTTT3’
- Primer motB-ST-R: 5’AGAAAAAAAGGATCTCAGAATCGAG3’

(The 26 bp complementary nucleotides are underlined, and a motB-spacer sequence is shown as lower-case.)

The entire spacer fragment was then amplified by PCR in a reaction containing the purified left and right fragments (10 ng each, 50 µl reaction) without the primers to perform a template extension reaction (5–10 cycles), which allowed the overlapping regions of template to anneal and be extended by DNA polymerase. Then, the primers Cpf1-F and Cpf1-R were added to complete the generation of the entire spacer fragment. The purified motB spacer PCR product (572 bp) was digested with XhoI and EagI and the amplified DNA fragment was then glucosylated by incubation with uridine diphosphate glucose (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA, USA) and T4 β-glucosyltransferase (New England Biolabs) at 37°C overnight following the New England Biolabs protocol. The glucosylated DNA fragment (GHmeC Pβ) was electrophoresed on an E-Gel SizeSelect II 2% (w/v) Agarose Gel (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and then further purified using the Qiagen PCR clean-up kit. The sizes of the unmodified Pβ and GHmeC Pβ were compared after electrophoresis on a 0.8% (w/v) agarose gel to confirm glucosylation.

CRISPR-mediated phages T4-motB-his and T4-motB-eGFP construction

T4-motB-his and T4-motB-eGFP phages were constructed using CRISPR-Cpf1 mediated editing and recombination as described previously (39,41). The spectinomycin-resistant CRISPR-Cpf1-motB spacer plasmid and the kanamycin-resistant donor plasmid, pET28b-motB-his or pET28b-motB-eGFP were co-transformed into the E. coli strain B834. Single plasmids, either the donor plasmid or the CRISPR-Cpf1-motB spacer plasmid, were transformed into B834 as controls. T4 phage was added to B834 and incubated for 7 min at 37°C. Then, 3.5 ml of 0.75% top agar containing 50 µg/ml spectinomycin and 50 µg/ml kanamycin was added. The infection mixture was poured onto an LB plate and incubated overnight at 37°C. Single plaques (Generation 1, G1) were picked using a sterile Pasteur pipet and transferred into a 1.5 ml Eppendorf tube containing 200 µl of Pi-Mg buffer (26 mM Na₂HPO₄, 68 mM NaCl, 22 mM KH₂PO₄, 1 mM MgSO₄, pH 7.5). The diluted G1 phage was used to infect motB-spacer-containing B834 cells to eliminate any parental phage background under CRISPR pressure. The resultant single G2 plaques were selected and used to infect B834 cells (without spacer or donor) to produce G3 phages. The purified single G3 plaques were then selected and transferred into 200 µl of Pi-Mg buffer to make ‘zero stocks’. PCR was performed to confirm the presence of the his or eGFP insertion into the C-terminus of motB. One microliter of G3 phage was denatured at 94°C for 8 min and used as a template for PCR using Phusion High-Fidelity PCR Master Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The amplified DNA fragment was purified using QiAquick Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and the sequence was confirmed by DNA sequencing (Retrogene Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). A few drops of chloroform were added to the plaque-purified ‘zero stocks’ and the phage were stored at 4°C.

Plaque assays

Plaque size assays were performed as previously described (3). Single colonies of TOP10F* containing pNW129 (vector) or pmotB were obtained after growth on 1.5% LB agar
plates containing 40 μg/ml kanamycin, 12 μg/ml tetracycline, and 0.5% (w/v) glucose. Overnight cultures, grown in LB containing 40 μg/ml kanamycin, 12 μg/ml tetracycline, and 0.025% (w/v) glucose, were then used to inoculate the same media but without glucose, and cultures were grown to an OD600 of ∼0.4. Protein synthesis was induced by the addition of 0.1% (w/v) arabinose (final concentration) for 20 min. Cells were collected on ice and then used for plating WT T4.

**Protein purification**

MotB containing a C-terminal His6-tag (MotB-His) and H-NS were purified as previously described (3). After purification, MotB-His and H-NS were stored in MotB storage Buffer [50 mM Tris–HCl (pH 8.0), 0.1 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), 50 mM NaCl, 0.01% (v/v) Triton X-100, 50% (v/v) glycerol, 0.1 mM dithiothreitol (DTT)] and H-NS storage Buffer [10 mM potassium phosphate (pH 7.5), 200 mM NaCl, 0.1 mM EDTA, 50% (v/v) glycerol], respectively, at −20°C.

**Western blotting**

*E. coli* TOP10F’ or SX454 were grown to early log phase (OD600 ∼0.3), and then infected with T4-motB-his or T4-motB-eGFP, respectively, at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 10. For the TOP10F’ cultures containing the *pmotB-his* plasmid, cells were also grown to OD600 ∼0.3 and then as indicated, the synthesis of MotB-His was induced by the addition of arabinose, under the indicated conditions before T4 infection. At the indicated times, a 1 ml aliquot was centrifuged, and the pellet was resuspended in 1 × Laemmli sample buffer (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) so that 10 μl of sample was equivalent to an OD600 of 0.08. Proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE with different amounts of purified MotB-His protein as an amount marker. After electrophoresis, gels were dried onto nitrocellulose membranes (iBlot 2 NC Ministacks, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) by the iBlot 2 transfer system (Invitrogen, 20 V for 7 min). The membranes were blocked with 3% nonfat milk in PBS plus 0.1% Tween-20 (PBS-T), washed with PBS-T, and then incubated with mouse anti-His-Tag IgG diluted 1:10 000 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA) or mouse anti-GFP IgG diluted 1:1000 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) in PBS-T containing 0.3% nonfat milk at room temperature for 1 h, followed by three washes (5 min each) with PBS-T. The membrane was then incubated with goat anti-mouse IgG-HPR conjugated (1:10,000 for His detection or 1:20,000 for GFP detection, Biolegend, San Diego, CA, USA) in PBS-T containing 0.3% nonfat milk at room temperature for 1 h. After five washes (5 min each) with PBS-T, the membrane was developed using the Amersham ECL Plus Western Blotting Detection System (GE Healthcare, Chicago, IL, USA), and the signal was detected using the A1600 Chemiluminescent Imager (Amersham, Piscataway, NJ, USA).

The level of MotB present in an infected cell was determined as follows using the TOP10F’ cells infected with T4-motB-his for 5 or 10 min. From 3 independent Western analyses, the amount of MotB-His present in the 5 min T4 infection sample was estimated at 0.71 ± 0.29 pmol, which is equivalent to 4.3 × 1011 (±1.7 × 1011) monomers of MotB. (The concentration of purified MotB-His used as the standard was determined using a Bradford protein assay with bovine serum albumin as the standard protein.) A 0.28 ml of culture at 3.9 × 107 cells/ml (1.09 × 107 cells total) was obtained for the Western analysis. Consequently, there were ∼3.9 × 106 MotB monomers (±1.6 × 106) per cell at 5 min of infection. With the *E. coli* chromosome size of 4.6 × 106 bp, this yields 1 monomer of MotB per ∼120 bp of DNA. The amount of MotB present in the 10 min T4 infection sample was estimated to be 4.8 × 108 (±9.2 × 108) MotB monomers per cell. Consequently, there is one monomer per ∼95 bp of DNA.

**Atomic force microscopy**

Imaging was performed on a Multimode-8 AFM with Nanoscope-V controller (Bruker-nano, Santa Barbara, CA, USA) using the ‘tapping’ mode imaging modality. Silicon probes with nominal stiffness of 2.8 nN/nm and resonance frequency of 75kHz (FESP-V2, Bruker-nano, Camarillo, CA, USA) were used throughout. All solutions were assembled in AFM buffer containing 10 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.4), 50 mM KCl and 2 mM MgCl2. Reactant concentrations were adjusted to ratios of one protein (MotB-His, H-NS or both) monomer per the indicated number of bp of DNA. For controls and protein samples, solutions also contained 1/5th volume of both H-NS and MotB storage buffers (final concentration of 20% glycerol). Components were mixed at room temperature and incubated for at least 1h before dilution to approximately 0.5 nM of DNA for AFM sample preparation. A portion from each such dilution (5μl) was deposited to a freshly cleaved mica substrate that had been modified using aminopropyl-silatrane (APS) solution as described previously (42). APS treatment renders the surface hydrophobic and slightly positively charged. This is known to facilitate DNA attachment to the mica substrate for AFM imaging. Images were acquired at high resolution (~1 nm/pixel) and processed using the software provided with the AFM instrument (Nanoscope Analysis, v1.9).

Molecular volumes for MotB-His and H-NS were computed using ImageJ (NIH) and custom-built Matlab code (The MathWorks, Inc., Natick, MA, USA). Volume histograms and plots were constructed using Origin (OriginLab Corp., Northampton, MA, USA). The expected molecular volumes for monomers were estimated assuming typical ~35% volume hydration of the monomers in ambient air using the formula: 

\[
V_{exp} = M_{prot}(V_{pr} + d^w V_{w})/N_a
\]

where \(M_{prot}\) is the known molecular mass of the protein monomer, \(V_{pr}\) and \(V_w\) are the partial specific volumes of the protein (typically, 0.73) and water (43), respectively, and \(N_a\) is Avogadro’s number (6.022 × 10^23). The AFM molecular volumes of proteins were corrected for the finite probe size by fitting ellipsoids to the particle volumes above their half-height and using the volumes of the fitted ellipsoids as correct estimates of the actual particle volumes.

**Purification of total RNA**

*E. coli* TOP10F’ containing either pNW129 or *pmotB* was streaked on 1.5% (w/v) LB plates containing 40 μg/ml
kanamycin, 12.5 μg/ml tetracycline, and 0.5% (w/v) glucose. Overnight cultures from single colonies were grown in LB containing 40 μg/ml kanamycin, 12.5 μg/ml tetracycline and 0.025% (w/v) glucose. The next morning inoculums were diluted to an OD_600_ of 0.1 with LB, cultures were grown to an OD_600_ of ~0.3, and arabinose [final concentration 0.2% (w/v)] was added. At 20 min post-induction (OD_600_ ~0.5), RNA was isolated using Method II as described (44). For infections using TOP10F’ containing either pNW129 or pmutB, WTT4 was added to the cultures at an MOI of 10. Samples were taken at the indicated times post-infection, and RNA was isolated. In all cases, biological duplicates were obtained. Total RNA was analyzed on an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA) using the Agilent RNA 6000 Nano Kit to evaluate the quality of the sample.

RNA-seq

RNA-seq data were processed using 2 biological replicates as previously described (45). The cDNA library was prepared using a modified RNATagSeq workflow. Optimum fragmentation of the total RNA samples in this library was determined to be 3 min at 94 °C in FastAP buffer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The cDNA library was run on a Bioanalyzer using the Agilent High Sensitivity DNA Kit (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA) to evaluate the quality of the library. The concentration of the cDNA library was determined by qPCR using the KAPA Library Quantification Kit (Kapa Biosystems, Wilmington, MA, USA) and CFX96 Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). Sequencing was performed by the NIDDK Genomics Core facility using a MiSeq system with the single-end 50 bp Sequencing per Base Kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). E. coli str. K-12 substr. MG1655 (NC_000913.3) was used as the reference genome for the host, and the bacteriophage T4 reference genome (NC_000866.4) was used for T4. The CRISPR1 and CRISPR2 loci in MG1655 were found by looking for the direct repeats located in NCBI reference sequence NC_000913.3 between genomic positions 2, 877,701 and 2,878,463 [genes iap (b2753) and cas2 (b2754)] and between positions 2,904,014 and 2,904,407 [genes ygcE (b2776) and ygcF (b2777)], respectively. Differential expression between conditions was represented as fold difference (FD), and with genes a FD ≥ 2 or ≤ 0.5, an adjusted P-value ≤ 0.05, and mean reads ≥ 5 were considered significant. RNA-seq data is available in the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) database (GEO# GSE172467) and in Supplementary Tables S1–S3.

Visualization of the transcriptomics data into representative categories was performed using a modified version of the EcoCyc Omics Dashboard tool (ecocyc.org) as described (46). Each dataset was imported into an individual EcoCyc ‘Smart Table’ and the analysis was done using the Omics Dashboard Tool (47). A list of genes from each panel in the dashboard was downloaded and Supplementary Tables S1–S3 were made using pandas v1.2.5. These tables were also used to calculate the percentage of host genes that were affected by the expression of motB (Table 1). In addition, these tables were used for the calculation of percentages presented in Supplementary Figure S2, which was created using GraphPad Prism v8.1.1 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA).

Fluorescence microscopy

TOP10F’ containing pNW129 or pmutB was streaked on 1.5% (w/v) LB plates containing 40 μg/ml kanamycin and 0.5% (w/v) glucose. TOP10F’ containing peGFP or pmutB-eGFP was streaked on LB plates containing 100 μg/ml carbenicillin and 0.5% (w/v) glucose. SX454 containing peGFP or pmutB-eGFP was streaked on LB plates containing 100 μg/ml carbenicillin, 10 μg/ml chloramphenicol, and 0.5% (w/v) glucose. Overnight cultures were then started from a single colony in EZ Rich defined media containing 0.4% glycerol, the appropriate antibiotic(s), and 0.025% (w/v) glucose. The next morning the cultures were diluted in the same media but without glucose to an OD_600_ of ~0.05 and then grown to an OD_600_ of ~0.4. Arabinose [final concentration of 0.02% or 0.2%, as indicated] was added, and the culture was incubated for the indicated amount of time. Aliquots of cells (500 μl, OD_600_ of ~0.6) were harvested by centrifugation at 12,000 × g and then stained using 0.1 ng/μl Hoechst 33342 in 1× PBS (Quality Biological, Gaithersburg, MD, USA) for 20 min at room temperature. Cells were harvested by centrifugation at 12,000 × g, the supernatant was carefully removed, and the cells were resuspended in 500 μl 1× PBS. A 5 μl aliquot was transferred to a slide and covered with a 1% agar disk containing EZ Rich defined media.

Images were collected with a Nikon TiE inverted microscope with Nikon 100×/1.4 Oil Plan Apo Ph3 DM lens, Lumencor sola light engine (Beaverton, OR, USA) and ImageEM EMCCD camera (Hamamatsu, Japan) and were analyzed using ImageJ with the plugins available through the FIJI distribution (http://fiji.sc/Downloads). Cell length was measured manually using the freehand line function in ImageJ for cells that had a signal corresponding to Hoechst or Hoechst and GFP for pNW129 and peGFP samples, respectively. For the analysis of TOP10F’ cells containing peGFP or pmutB-eGFP, the number of cells with ‘No Hoechst or GFP’, ‘Hoechst, no GFP’, ‘GFP, no Hoechst’, ‘cytosolic GFP’ and ‘GFP localized to nucleoid’ (co-localized with Hoechst) was manually counted using the counter plugin for ImageJ. For each condition, cell length was measured for a minimum of 50 cells.

For peGFP samples using a 20 min induction of MotB using 0.2% arabinose (w/v), the percentage of cells stained with Hoechst and GFP ranged from 62% to 95%, for the cells induced with 0.02% arabinose, the percentage of cells stained with Hoechst and GFP ranged from 20% to 40% and from 80% to 95% for the 10 and 15 min inductions, respectively. For the GFP localization analysis with the 20 min induction at 0.2% arabinose (w/v), a minimum of 120 cells were counted; for the 10 and 15 min induction at 0.02% arabinose (w/v), 50 cells and 90 cells were counted for the 10 min and 15 min inductions, respectively. Three independent replicates were performed for all conditions.
Flow cytometry

Cultures of TOP10F’ containing pNW129 or pmotB were obtained as described above. At an OD600 of ~0.3, 0.2% (w/v) arabinose (final concentration) was added, and cultures incubated for 1 h. Aliquots were removed for subsequent SDS-PAGE to monitor MotB production, and flow cytometry analyses were performed as described (48) with an Apogee-A40 flow cytometer (Apogee Flow Systems, UK) equipped with an Ar laser emitting at 405 nm. Cells were fixed with ice-cold ethanol (final concentration 70%) and ethidium bromide (25 μg/ml), followed by incubation for 30 min at room temperature in the dark. Cell size and DNA content were determined by measuring forward scattering (at LSI detector) and fluorescence intensity (at FL2 channel), respectively. All the solutions used were filtered through 0.22 μm filters.

RESULTS

The majority of E. coli host genes affected by expression of motB are within the hns regulon

Although a motB knockdown does not affect T4 gene expression (3), heterologous overexpression of motB results in larger WT T4 plaques, when using either the E. coli B strain BL21(DE3) (3) or the E. coli K12 strain TOP10F’ (Supplementary Figure S1). In addition, this expression is toxic, resulting in cell death for BL21(DE3) and growth arrest for TOP10F’, starting about 1 h after expression (3). To investigate how gene expression was affected by overexpression of motB in an E. coli K12 strain, we isolated RNA from TOP10F’ 20 min after induction of MotB synthesis from pmotB using 0.2% arabinose. At this time point, the cells expressing motB are still growing similarly to those containing the vector (3).

Previous work had indicated that during infection the level of MotB is high, and unlike many early proteins, this level does not diminish as infection proceeds (49, 50). However, the amount of MotB in an infected cell has not previously been quantified. To compare the level of MotB in the infected cell to that in this overexpression system, we constructed a T4 phage containing motB with a C-terminal his tag. We then infected TOP10F’ with T4-motB-his and estimated the level of MotB by Western analysis using purified MotB-His as the standard. From this analysis (Figure 1A, B), we found that the level of MotB during infection is indeed quite high with ~4 × 10^4 MotB molecules/cell and ~5 × 10^4 MotB molecules/cell at 5 and 10 min post-infection, respectively. This analysis estimated that the level of MotB present in the TOP10F’ cells after motB overexpression was about 4-fold higher (Figure 1B).

Under these conditions of overexpression, 539 TOP10F’ genes were significantly up-regulated with a FD ≥ 4, adjusted P-value ≤ 0.05, and mean reads ≥ 5 (Supplementary Table S1). Although it is formally possible that MotB production downregulated the other ~3860 E. coli genes, the overall level of host proteins, as judged by SDS-PAGE, was similar with or without MotB production. This suggests that motB expression is not operating by this mechanism.

To investigate how these genes correlated with the hns regulon, we compared the genes to those previously reported to be dysregulated in a Δhns or a Δhns/stpA strain (51) or in the presence of the T7 5.5 protein or a C-terminally truncated H-NS (24), which are known to interfere with H-NS regulation. As seen in Table 1, there is a strong correlation between MotB up-regulated genes and genes affected by H-NS: 78% of the genes altered by the Δhns are upregulated by MotB and 74% of the genes, which were up-regulated by MotB, are present in at least one of the 4 categories that have been used to identify genes affected by hns (a deletion of hns, a double deletion of hns/stpA, or dysregulation by the presence of T7 5.5 protein or the C-terminally truncated H-NS).

Only three genes [cueO, which is involved in Cu homeostasis; marC, which encodes an inner membrane protein; and ydlW (also known as digH), which encodes a peptidoglycan specific hydrolase] were significantly down-regulated (FD of ≤ 0.5, adjusted P-value ≤ 0.05, and mean reads ≥ 5) (Supplementary Table S1). None of these genes are known to be affected by H-NS, and to our knowledge, none are known to be involved in T4 biology.
To investigate if and how MotB affects host and T4 gene expression during phage infection of TOP10F', we performed RNA-seq on RNA isolated from the strain containing either pNW129 or p\textit{motB}. MotB synthesis was induced the same way, but cells were infected with WT T4 for 5 or 10 min, times that correspond to high levels of middle and late T4 gene expression, respectively (52). In these cases, 687 and 672 host genes were up-regulated, while only 17 genes and 34 genes were down-regulated by overexpression of \textit{motB} at 5 and 10 min post-infection, respectively (Supplementary Tables S2 and S3). Again, there was a strong correlation between MotB up-regulated genes and genes identified as being affected by H-NS. At either 5 or 10 min after infection, 81% of genes affected by a \textit{Δ}hns were up-regulated by MotB, and \textasciitilde70% of the genes up-regulated by MotB were present in at least one of the four categories that have been used to identify genes affected by \textit{hns} (Table 1). Thus, when \textit{motB} is overexpressed in TOP10F' either in the uninfected cell or during infection, the majority of affected genes involve those under H-NS regulation. Among the down-regulated genes, nine genes were affected at both times of infection. Only one, \textit{ompF}, which was down at 10 min post-infection, is known to be affected by H-NS.

The effects of MotB on T4 gene expression were much less dramatic than those on the host (Supplementary Tables S2 and S3). At 10 min post-infection, no T4 genes were significantly affected. However, at 5 min, when late gene expression is just beginning, we observed higher expression of 33 (\textasciitilde60\%) of T4 late genes. In addition, one early gene \textit{repEB}, which encodes a protein needed for efficient initiation at T4 origins of replication (29–31), was up-regulated \textasciitilde5-fold. Since both T4 replication and late gene expression begin around 5 min (52) and late transcription requires DNA synthesis (53), these results are consistent with the idea that a higher level of RepEB results in earlier replication that then leads to earlier synthesis of late RNA. However, there is no significant effect on the level of any late RNAs at 10 min. These results are in agreement with our
previous studies with the *E. coli* B strain BL21(DE3) (3), where we observed that a T4 *motB*− strain infection resulted in delayed expression of a few T4 late genes at 5 min post-infection, but at 10 min, again no T4 genes were affected. However, in this analysis, we did not obtain reads from rep*EB*.

To visualize the host transcriptomic data in more detail, we used a modified version of the ‘Pathway Tools Omics Dashboard’ (ecocyc.org) (Supplementary Figure S2) in which a series of panels present genes broadly related to cellular systems. We considered an effect of > 50% of a particular pathway as possibly significant. Given this criteria, in the uninfected cell, *motB* overexpression affected genes involved in two pathways: cell killing (2 out of 4, 50%) and pilus (17 out of 32, 53%). During T4 infection overexpression of *motB* also affected these particular pathways (cell killing, 2 out of 4, 50% at either 5 or 10 min after infection; pilus, 24 out of 32 at 5 min, 75%; 25 out of 32 at 10 min, 78%). In addition, two other pathways were up-regulated: adhesin (33 out of 57 at 5 min, 58%; 34 out of 57 at 10 min, 60%) and LPS metabolism (40 out of 80 at 5 min, 50%; 40 out of 80 at 10 min, 50%). It is known that T4 can use LPS as a receptor in addition to OmpC. (54,55), suggesting that upregulation of LPS might be related to T4 adsorption. However, it is unclear why affecting the other pathways might be advantageous for the phage, and in all these cases as well as in the other affected pathways, there was again a strong correlation between the affected genes and genes within the hns regulon (Supplementary Tables S1-S3). These results suggest that perhaps dysregulation of H-NS control might be the primary correlation here rather than the particular pathway itself. We conclude that MotB does not simply target a specific host operon or pathway, but instead results in global changes that predominantly include the hns regulon.

Finally, as H-NS paralogs have been implicated in altering CRISPR-Cas (56), we also investigated whether any genes involved in this system were affected by overexpression of *motB*. As seen in Supplementary Tables S1-S3, we observed a significant up-regulation of the entire *E. coli* K12 Type I-E cas operon [cas3, casABCDE, cas1 and cas2; (57–59)] at 5 min after infection (Supplementary Table S2) and up-regulation of all of these genes except cas3 either in the absence of infection (Supplementary Table S1) or 10 min after infection (Supplementary Table S3). In addition, the CRISPR 1 locus [also known as CRISPR 2.1, located between *iap* (gene ID: b2753) and cas2 (gene ID: b2754) (57,58)] was up-regulated after 10 min of infection (Supplementary Table S3). This cas operon is part of the H-NS regulon as it has previously been shown that the cas genes are repressed by H-NS (58,60). Furthermore, casABCDE is up-regulated in both the ∆hns and ∆hns/stopA strains, while cas1 and cas2 are up-regulated in the ∆hns/stopA strain (51).

**AFM shows that MotB compacts DNA in the presence and absence of H-NS**

Previous work has shown that H-NS can bind to DNA in two modes, linear or bridged, and these modes are dependent on protein concentration and buffer conditions (14–16). In the linear binding mode, H-NS binds and oligomersizes along a segment of DNA, whereas in the bridged mode, H-NS binds two distant segments or separate pieces of DNA, ‘zippering’ them together. To investigate the binding by H-NS and MotB under our conditions, we used AFM, a technique that has been used previously to assess H-NS binding (10,11,13).

To first check our purified H-NS, we observed its binding to a 6 kbp plasmid (pMLH07) (35), which is known to contain H-NS binding sites (61). AFM revealed H-NS/DNA interactions that were consistent with both the linear and bridged forms, as seen in reports of H-NS binding to DNA (10,13) (Supplementary Figure S3A, white circles indicate binding that appears to be bridged or linear). The directionality of the DNA in these images arises from the direction of flow in the preparation of the samples. When deposited on the APS-treated mica, the supercoiled DNA alone exhibited the same compaction, most likely from the Mg"+" present in the buffer, but the effect of H-NS on the DNA is still observable. In addition, in other work (62) we have demonstrated that H-NS generates a DNase I protection pattern on *E. coli proV* DNA that is similar to what has been previously reported (63).

We then visualized the binding of MotB-His, H-NS, or both proteins together to a 1620 bp fragment that was either unmodified or modified by the presence of [5-glucosylated, hydroxymethyl cytosine (GHmC)]. This modification was generated by using T4 β-glucosyltransferase to glucosylate DNA that had been synthesized using hydroxymethyl C. In the case of T4 DNA itself, the hydroxymethyl C's are modified by this transferase or by a T4-encoded α-glucosyl transferase, resulting in a mixture of stereoisomers (64). Consequently, we also tested WT T4 gDNA, which contains both the α and β forms of glucosylation. Our previous work (3) indicated that either MotB or H-NS bind unmodified, modified, and T4 DNA. There is no appreciable difference in DNA affinity depending on whether the modifications are present. However, the type of complexes were not investigated.

In these AFM experiments, we used a ratio of protein to DNA ranging from 1 monomer to ∼80–160 bp of DNA for the linear fragments and one monomer to 100 bp or one monomer per 10 bp for T4 gDNA. Based on our quantification of the amount of MotB in an infected cell (Figure 1A, B), there are ∼4 × 10^4 MotB molecules/cell and ∼5 × 10^4 MotB molecules/cell at 5 and 10 min post-infection, respectively. Consequently, this would yield a ratio of MotB/DNA of 1 MotB monomer/120 bp DNA at 5 min and one MotB monomer/95 bp at 10 min. Given that over the course of the infection ∼50% of the host DNA is degraded, but also at 5 min post-infection T4 DNA begins to be replicated, this is a rough estimate. Nonetheless, we conclude that the MotB/bp ratio used for the AFM experiments is in the range of that in a T4 infection.

In the AFM analysis, when no protein was present, the unmodified DNA appeared linear, as expected; despite the high density of DNA on the APS mica substrate, individual strands were discernible (Figure 2A). However, in the presence of MotB the DNA fragments became significantly compacted (Figure 2B). Unbound DNA fragments were also observed, suggesting that MotB binding was cooperative. Due to the extent of compaction, it was not possible
Figure 2. MotB compacts unmodified DNA in the presence and absence of H-NS. Representative AFM images of 1.6 kbp linear, unmodified Pl8 DNA alone (A), with MotB (B), with H-NS (C), or with both proteins together (D). In each case, a larger field image is shown on top and various magnified samples are shown underneath; the height (z) scale is shown and lengths are indicated. In Panel C, white circles indicate regions of DNA that appear to be consistent with H-NS binding the DNA, as seen in previous reports (13,33). The ratio of protein monomer to DNA bp was 1:80–160 bp.

Figure 2. MotB compacts unmodified DNA in the presence and absence of H-NS. Representative AFM images of 1.6 kbp linear, unmodified Pl8 DNA alone (A), with MotB (B), with H-NS (C), or with both proteins together (D). In each case, a larger field image is shown on top and various magnified samples are shown underneath; the height (z) scale is shown and lengths are indicated. In Panel C, white circles indicate regions of DNA that appear to be consistent with H-NS binding the DNA, as seen in previous reports (13,33). The ratio of protein monomer to DNA bp was 1:80–160 bp.

To quantify the number of DNA fragments present in each complex or the fraction of DNA bound by MotB. However, it was clear that these large complexes contained more than one DNA fragment and multiple MotB monomers.

The large, compacted complexes observed with MotB were quite distinct from complexes formed by H-NS (10,13) as well as AFM complexes that have been reported for other NAPs: Fis (65), HU (66), and IHF (67). However, they did resemble compacted DNA complexes that have previously been observed with the E. coli NAP-like proteins, DNA-binding protein from starved cells (Dps) (26,27) and curved DNA-binding protein A (CbpA), which are involved in nucleoid compaction during the stationary phase of E. coli growth (4), and with yeast structural maintenance of chromosome (SMC) protein cohesin (28). All of these proteins are involved in the compaction of chromosomal DNA.

As expected, in the presence of H-NS alone, we observed binding of the protein to the DNA. Several of these interactions (marked in Figure 2C) appeared to represent linear or bridged forms as have been seen in previous reports (10,13); however, we cannot definitively conclude that H-NS binding is indeed in those forms from this analysis alone. In addition, to confirm that the presence of H-NS did indeed result in more ‘zippered’ DNAs, we used images of DNA ± H-NS with similar DNA density (35−40 DNA molecules/μm²), to measure lengths of bridged sections of parallel DNA stands, using the thickness of a single DNA chain as a threshold. We then graphed the data as violin plots with the size of the DNA vs. the ‘population size’, i.e., the number of occurrences in which zippered segments were of the size indicated on the y-axis (Supplementary Figure S3B). In the absence of H-NS, we could only find a few segments above the threshold, hence the low ‘N’ value for the analyses with DNA alone. However, in the presence of H-NS, we observed significantly more and longer zippered segments, consistent with H-NS-binding to the DNA.

When both MotB and H-NS were present, the large, compacted DNA-protein complexes, like those seen with MotB alone, were observed rather than the complexes seen with H-NS alone. Based on their size, some of these complexes appeared to contain multiple DNA fragments and multiple protein monomers (Figure 2D). However, the complexes
were heterogeneous. Some DNA fragments contained no detectable protein, others contained protein together with a moderately-sized node at the center of the compacted DNA, and others were found with protein within very large, compacted species. However, despite the heterogeneity, it was clear that in the presence of both MotB and H-NS the complexes resembled those formed in the presence of MotB alone rather than those formed by H-NS alone. It was not possible from this analysis to determine if MotB alone or both proteins were present together on the DNA. Similar results were obtained using either the modified DNA fragment (Figure 3A-D) or T4 WT gDNA (Figure 3E-H, Supplementary Figure S4A).

Our results indicated that both H-NS and MotB bound to both T4 gDNA and unmodified host DNA, forming complexes with similar appearances. Thus, the phase modification does not appear to affect the complexes formed by either protein. However, with either unmodified or modified DNA, MotB compacts the DNA in a manner that is distinct from that of H-NS, and this compaction does not appear to be altered, as can be discerned by AFM, in the presence of H-NS.

AFM analyses of MotB protein indicate 2 species, consistent with a mixture of monomers and dimers, and show no evidence of MotB aggregation

Previous work has used AFM analyses to estimate the presence of protein monomers and multimers in solution (27,68). With MotB alone, which is 17 kDa, AFM yielded 2 species, whose estimated sizes were consistent with a mixture of monomers and dimers (Supplementary Figure S4B, top panel). Likewise, H-NS (15.5 kDa) also appeared to be in monomer and dimer forms (Supplementary Figure S4B, middle panel). Monomer, dimer, and higher oligomeric states for H-NS have been reported previously (69–72). Images of both proteins together gave similar results, although the range of sizes observed with MotB alone narrowed in the presence of H-NS (Supplementary Figure S4B, bottom panel). The AFM issue of finite probe shape convolution affects the shape of small protein particles and increases the probability that some particles that appear as dimers are merely the result of random landing of large numbers of molecules on the mica substrate. Consequently, we cannot definitively conclude from this analysis alone that these species truly represent monomers and dimers. However, it is clear that under our conditions neither protein alone or together forms large multimers or aggregates in the absence of DNA. Whether large multimers of MotB form under different conditions remains to be determined.

MotB co-localizes with compacted DNA in vivo

The AFM results suggested that MotB binding to DNA results in significant DNA compaction in vitro. To ask whether compaction is also seen in vivo, we first employed fluorescent microscopy using exponentially growing TOP10F’. To simultaneously observe MotB and H-NS protein induction assays indicated that the MotB-eGFP fusion protein is also toxic when produced in the TOP10F’ cells (Figure 4A). However, at times less than 1 h after induction with 0.2% arabinose, the growth of cells expressing motB-eGFP is similar to that of cells expressing the vector.

As expected in cells containing the vector, the DNA, as visualized by Hoechst staining, appeared to fill the nucleoid, which took up most of the cell, and the eGFP was present throughout the cytoplasm (Figure 4B, top). In contrast, in the presence of MotB-eGFP, the DNA was compacted, and MotB-eGFP localized with the DNA (Figure 4B, bottom), a result consistent with the idea that overexpression of motB results in compaction of the DNA in vivo. An analysis of hundreds of cells (Figure 4C) indicated that in nearly every cell in which MotB-eGFP was observed, it was found within the nucleoid.

To ensure that these results were not affected by the eGFP fusion to MotB, we also compared TOP10F’ cells expressing motB without the fusion (p motB) to those with the vector control. As in the experiment of Figure 4, cells were analyzed 1 h after induction (Supplementary Figure S1B), and we observed compacted DNA in the presence of MotB (Supplementary Figure S1C). We also used the same induction conditions (20 min) that were used to obtain the RNA for the overexpression analyses described above and again observed compacted nucleoids containing MotB (Supplementary Figure S1D).

MotB and H-NS co-localize with compacted DNA under biologically relevant conditions

The previous results indicated that under these conditions, overexpression of motB in TOP10F’ resulted in significant compaction of the DNA. However, it was important to determine whether this effect was observed using a biologically relevant level of MotB. Thus, we constructed a T4 phage containing motB with the C-terminal eGFP tag. We then used the E. coli K12 strain SX454, which encodes a chromosomal copy of hns with a C-terminal mCherry fusion in order to localize H-NS and MotB within the cell. This strain has been used previously to observe the localization of H-NS within the nucleoid (9,32,33) and to show that the presence of the tagged H-NS does not alter the growth rate relative to the untagged strain (32).

We first determined the levels of MotB-eGFP produced in SX454 after various times of motB induction relative to the levels expressed during a T4 infection of this strain after 5 and 10 min, times which correspond to high levels of T4 middle and late gene expression, respectively (52) (Figure 1C). This analysis indicated that the level of MotB present after an induction of 10 min using an arabinose concentration of 0.02% was similar to that present in T4 infected cells after 5 min, while the level present after a 15 min induction was similar to that present in T4 infected cells after 10 min. Consequently, we performed fluorescence microscopy to localize MotB-eGFP, H-NS-mCherry, and the DNA in SX454 with levels of MotB similar to those after 5 min and 10 min of infection (Figure 5A and 5B, respectively).

In the absence of motB expression, eGFP was observed throughout the cell, while H-NS-mCherry protein was seen with the DNA throughout the nucleoid. This pattern is typical of what has been previously reported for H-NS in vivo.
Figure 3. MotB compacts modified and T4 gDNA in the presence and absence of H-NS. Representative AFM images of GHmeC-modified 1.6 kbp linear P₁₈ DNA alone (A), with MotB (B), with H-NS (C), or with both proteins together (D) and T4 gDNA alone (E), with MotB (F), with H-NS (G), or with both proteins together (H). For A−D, a larger field image is shown on top and magnified samples are shown underneath; the height (z) scale is shown to the right of each image in A−D and to the right of H for all the images in E−H. Lengths are indicated. In the enlarged image in (C), the intensity of the signal was consistent with almost all of the DNA being coated with H-NS. For A−D, the ratio of protein monomer to DNA bp was 1:80−160 bp; for E−H, the ratio was ~1:100.

and shows the binding/compaction performed by H-NS and other NAPs in the E. coli nucleoid (9,33,73). However, in the presence of MotB-eGFP produced after an induction of either 10 min (Figure 5A, large field Supplementary Figure S5A) or 15 min (Figure 5B, large field Supplementary Figure S5B), a different picture emerged. In many cells, the DNA was significantly more compacted and co-localized with both MotB-eGFP and H-NS-mCherry.

As more cells showed the DNA compaction with the 15 min induction of MotB synthesis (Figure 5B) than with the 10 min induction (Figure 5A), we also investigated how an even higher level of MotB would affect the DNA by inducing MotB production for 20 min with 10-fold more arabinose (0.2%). In this case, the results mirrored what was observed before except that DNA compaction was seen in every cell (Figure 6). Taken together, our results indicate that MotB compacts the DNA within the nucleoid and that MotB and H-NS co-localize with this DNA. The presence of H-NS with the DNA suggests that it remains within the nucleoid in the presence of MotB.

Expression of motB in TOP10F’ cells results in significant cell lengthening

In addition to the DNA compaction observed after induction of MotB in TOP10F’ and SX454 cells, fluorescent microscopy also indicated that the cells expressing high levels of motB or motB-eGFP appeared to be longer than those containing the vector or expressing eGFP alone (Figure 4D, Supplementary Figures S6A, S1E, F, S6D, E).

To investigate this further, we employed flow cytometry to determine the size of TOP10F’ E. coli containing either the vector or the motB expression plasmid (pmotB) 1 h after MotB induction. At this time, the level of MotB was checked by SDS-PAGE to confirm the presence of MotB within the cells containing pmotB (Figure 7A). Light scattering histograms showed that the size distribution of TOP10F’ E. coli cells expressing motB cells (purple filled) was shifted to the right compared to vector (black line) (Figure 7B), again indicating cell lengthening with MotB overexpression. Furthermore, cells expressing motB, which were
increased in cell length, showed comparatively less DNA content than cells with the vector (Figure 7C red versus black lines, respectively) as measured by levels of ethidium bromide. These results suggest that the presence of MotB inhibits host DNA replication, which could explain the cell elongation. However, we cannot eliminate the possibility that the DNA compaction mediated by MotB inhibits ethidium bromide uptake, resulting in the appearance of less DNA.

DISCUSSION

Phage genes, particularly those expressed early in infection, provide a large resource of functions that are needed to take over the host. Thus, characterizing these functions can provide information about novel antibacterial strategies. In T4, expression of these genes begins immediately after infection and typically continues until about 5 min. Although some of these early genes encode products essential for the next (middle) phase of T4 gene expression, the majority are not required and presumably provide functions that contribute to host takeover or optimize the host for the ensuing infection.

The T4 MotB protein is an early product that is produced abundantly. Our analyses indicate that there are ~40,000 MotB monomers per cell at 5 min after infection, and this amount does not diminish as infection proceeds. However, the biological function(s) of MotB has not been determined. Our previous work indicated that the presence of MotB improves phage fitness and that it binds tightly, but nonspecifically, to either the unmodified DNA of the host or the T4-modified DNA (3). It is highly conserved among Tevenvirinae, suggesting an important conserved function(s); our in silico analyses have predicted that MotB contains both a KOW domain and an OB-fold (62). Both of these motifs have been associated with DNA binding function.

In the presence of DNA, MotB copurifies with the E. coli NAPs, H-NS and its paralog StpA (3). Bacterial NAPs are responsible for the organization of genomic DNA through the formation of high-order complexes that condense DNA, impacting major processes including replication, recombination, repair, and transcription (4–8,32,73). NAPs include sequence-specific DNA binding proteins, e.g., CbpB, DnaA, Fis, and IHF, as well as sequence-nonspecific DNA binding proteins, e.g., H-NS, HU, StpA, and IHF when it binds in a non-sequence specific mode. The highly abun-
Figure 5. DNA compaction and co-localization of MotB-eGFP and H-NS-mCherry are observed in vivo with biologically relevant levels of MotB. Representative cell images show SX454 cells at (A) 10 min or (B) 15 min after induction of plasmids producing eGFP or MotB-eGFP by adding 0.02% arabinose, conditions that correspond to the level of MotB present in T4 infection of SX454 at 5 and 10 min, respectively. Panels from left to right show phase contrast, DNA stained with Hoechst (blue), eGFP or MotB-eGFP (green fluorescence), H-NS-mCherry (red fluorescence), and merged image of eGFP and mCherry.

Figure 6. DNA compaction and co-localization of MotB-eGFP and H-NS-mCherry are observed with overexpression of motB. Representative cell images show SX454 cells containing chromosomal H-NS-mCherry and either the vector, pEGFP (A) or pMotB-eGFP (B) after induction of protein synthesis for 1 hr with 0.2% arabinose. Panels from left to right show phase contrast, DNA stained with Hoechst (blue), eGFP or MotB-eGFP (green fluorescence), H-NS-mCherry (red fluorescence), merged image of eGFP and mCherry, and large field of a merged image.
Importantly, this compaction is observed using live cells when observed by fluorescence microscopy (Figures 4–6). As xenogeneic genes are often AT-rich, H-NS can serve to repress foreign genes acquired by horizontal transfer and has been implicated as a host defense mechanism against both lytic and lysogenic phages (74). However, it also silences specific host genes, and previous studies have shown that both host and phage proteins affect H-NS silencing (18–20). Proteins within the Hha/YdgT family can form heterocomplexes with H-NS, increasing H-NS repression [reviewed in (17)]. In contrast, H-NS derivatives that lack the C-terminal DNA binding domain (10,16,21,22) and the T7 5.5 protein (23,24) bind to H-NS to inhibit the formation of higher-order structures and relieve repression while T4 Arn protein, a structural DNA mimic, sequesters H-NS (25). Finally, DNA binding by the host HU and IHF proteins also appears to alter H-NS silencing at several loci, by changing the nucleoid structure (19), since both HIF (75) and HU (76,77) alter the physical organization of DNA. Both of these abundant proteins are involved in the nucleoid structure of the genomic DNA [reviewed in (73,78)] and interactions of HU and IHF with a large portion of the E. coli genome are known to globally affect gene expression (79). For example, the binding of IHF at specific loci, such as at the early promoter of phage Mu, alleviates H-NS repression (80).

Our results argue that MotB is a phage-encoded NAP-like protein that compacts genomic DNA, and like HU and IHF, this interaction with the DNA results in changes to the nucleoid itself with resulting alterations in gene expression. We have shown that MotB interacts with the DNA, resulting in compacted structures when observed in vitro by AFM (Figures 2 and 3) and in compacted nucleoids in live cells when observed by fluorescent microscopy (Figures 4–6). Importantly, this compaction is observed using levels of MotB that are similar to those during T4 infection. Taken together, these results suggest that MotB reorganizes the genomic DNA in the presence of H-NS as well as all of the other host NAPs. Global alterations in host gene expression accompany these changes in DNA compaction, but our transcriptomics pathways analyses do not identify a particular pathway that is targeted by MotB. Rather, the majority of affected host genes (Table I, Supplementary Tables S1–S3) correlate with those that have been identified as part of the hns regulon (24,51). Thus, it seems likely that the global host expression changes that accompany motB overexpression reflect the changes within the nucleoid that affect DNA compaction and alter H-NS silencing. Our previous work indicated that MotB and H-NS (and StpA) copurify in the presence of DNA (3). However, whether there is a direct interaction between MotB and H-NS in the absence of DNA is not yet clear. Our attempts to observe a direct protein-protein interaction between MotB and H-NS in the absence of DNA by native protein gels or by pull-down assays have been inconclusive.

The gene expression changes associated with motB overexpression also include the up-regulation of the Type I-E CRISPR locus present in E. coli that is known to be repressed by H-NS (57,60). This could reflect a counter-strategy by the host to thwart T4 infection. Such a phage/host arms race is common, as phage genes that aid in infection may also result in a counter defense from the host (81). However, because the E. coli CRISPR system is thought to be ineffective against phage (59,82), it is still unclear whether this cas up-regulation is particularly meaningful or simply reflects the overall derepression of the hns regulon. Previously, other NAP-like proteins have been found within mobile genetic elements (83). In these cases, the proteins appear to function by locally altering NAP/DNA complexes, rather than by a dramatic change in the nucleoid itself. However, MotB appears to compact DNA in a different manner. It more resembles that of the NAP-like E. coli proteins Dps (26) and CbpA (27) and yeast cohesin (28). High levels of Dps and CbpA, which accumulate during stationary phase, result in nucleoid condensation and yield AFM images similar to what we have seen with MotB (26,27). Yeast cohesin generates protein—DNA clusters with multiple cohesin holocomplexes at the center of the DNA and DNA loops seen at the outer edges. Such AFM structures are also similar to those seen with MotB. As with MotB, cohesin compacted clusters are only seen in the presence of DNA (28), while Dps itself forms aggregates in the absence of DNA (26). However, unlike MotB, cohesin requires a minimum length of 3 kbp for cluster formation,
while we have shown that in gel shift assays MotB will bind to short DNA fragments (<50 bp) (3). Despite these differences, both the bacterial NAP-like proteins DpsA/CbpA and yeast cohesin are involved in the global organization of chromosomal DNA. The nucleoid compaction we observe with MotB in vivo suggests that it too serves this function.

We speculate that the synthesis of MotB as an early protein and its high level in the infected E. coli cell at 5 min will result primarily in compaction of host DNA at this time, since at 5 min, T4 DNA replication is just beginning. It seems likely that this could aid in T4 infection in multiple ways. First, it has been well-established that shortly after infection T4 DNases begin to degrade the E. coli genome, in preparation for the substrates needed for T4 replication. The degradation results in the eventual loss of ~50% of the host DNA (84). In addition, heterologous expression of another T4 early protein, Ndd, has been shown to disrupt the host nucleoid, dispersing the host DNA throughout the cell (85). Consequently, we speculate that early in infection MotB may serve as a ‘housekeeper’, i.e., the early abundance of MotB could benefit T4 by ‘sweeping’ the host DNA back up, which could then provide more room for subsequent phage replication and assembly that commences 5 min post-infection. Second, it is also known that the host genome is degraded by a loose complex of T4 enzymes, which then channels the nucleotide substrates directly to the T4 replication machinery (86). Having a more compacted host genome could potentially provide a more efficient “funnel” for this process. Finally, the MotB-mediated dysregulation of specific host genes and the overall depression of H-NS silencing of host DNA could also provide an extra benefit for the phage. However, these changes might also just be a by-product of the MotB compaction.

Later in infection, it seems likely that MotB may also serve a more direct role for the phage since we have found that MotB binds T4 gDNA similarly to the unmodified host DNA. It seems unlikely that this role is to provide general protection for the T4 DNA from H-NS repression, since the expression of T4 early/middle genes, except for repEB, is not affected by motB overexpression. However, the higher level of RNA for repEB, which encodes a protein involved in the initiation of DNA replication (29-31), suggests that MotB binding to T4 gDNA could affect the timing of phage replication. As late gene expression requires replication (53), this up-regulation of repEB would then explain early expression of many late RNAs at 5 min post-infection when motB is overexpressed. In addition, the abundance of MotB at 10 min, a time that coincides with high levels of phage replication/packaging, suggests that it might also have a role in these processes later in infection. Ongoing work centers on determining the effect of MotB during T4 infection.
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