Stability of magnetohydrodynamic flow around a circular cylinder
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Abstract. We consider the stability problem of the two-dimensional flow of an incompressible electroconducting viscous liquid near a circular cylinder in the presence of magnetic field. A Rvachev function method with the Chebyshev collocation is used for the stability analysis. We construct an approximate solution that satisfies all boundary conditions exactly. The results coincide well with the reference data without the magnetic field. The method is simpler than the widely used spectral/hp element method, in particular, because it does not require mesh generation, and the collocation algorithm does not handle the boundary conditions or any geometric information.

1. Introduction

The development of new numerical methods that will be more efficient than existing ones is an important and interesting problem of hydrodynamic stability. There is a group of little-known methods for boundary value problems, based on Rvachev functions (see the review [1]). The Rvachev method allows picking out geometric and boundary conditions data from a numeric algorithm, which leads to significant simplifications. Some examples of this method in fluid mechanics and bibliography are presented in [2].

We consider the stability problem of an incompressible two-dimensional magnetohydrodynamic flow near a circular cylinder. This flow has been well-studied and the numerical results without magnetic field can be checked by comparison with the data from [3-5].

![Flow geometry setup](image-url)
The references [6-7] review the linear instability analysis of flows in complex two-dimensional (2D) and 3D geometries. This research area is called global stability (or instability) analysis. These articles describe the methodology and main results of global stability analysis from the past three decades. It includes finite element and finite volume methods, finite difference methods, and spectral methods. High-order methods are strongly preferable when they allow minimizing the computational cost. One of the best and most widely used techniques is the spectral/hp element method [8,9], which employs the meshes with rectangular or (and) triangular elements in complex domains. In each element of the computational domain, the solution is represented by a series of high order polynomials. Recent approaches in global stability analysis include: cavity and duct flows, flows near airfoils and cylinders, flows over steps and in corners, jet flows, etc.

The method proposed below is simpler than the spectral/hp element method, in particular, because it does not require generating a mesh or the numerical algorithm handling either the boundary conditions or any geometric information.

### 2. Problem formulation

Suppose that the \(x\)-axis of a Cartesian coordinate system is collinear with the free-stream flow velocity and the origin of this coordinate system matches is on the center line of a circular cylinder. The cylinder boundary is defined by \(\Omega_c\) (see Fig.1). The \(y\)-axis is perpendicular to the \(x\)-axis. The computational domain is the rectangle \(\Omega\) with dimensions \(L, L_1,\) and \(L_y\). The magnetic field \(B_0\) direction is parallel to the \(x\)-axis.

The Navier–Stokes equation with magnetic forces for the stream function \(\Psi\) is

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Delta \psi - \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \Delta \psi}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} \frac{\partial \Delta \psi}{\partial x} + St \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} = \frac{1}{Re} \Delta^2 \psi, \tag{1}
\]

We define the Reynolds number as \(Re = \frac{U_{\infty}d}{v}\), the magnetic interaction parameter (Stuart number) as \(St = \frac{\sigma B_0^2 d^2}{\rho U_{\infty}}\), where \(U_{\infty}\) is the magnitude of the free-stream flow velocity, \(B_0\) is the magnetic field, \(d\) is the diameter of the cylinder, \(\sigma\) is the electrical conductivity, and \(v\) is the kinematic viscosity.

Our interest is in the evolution of infinitesimal disturbances of a base flow. According to [4,6,7,10], the linearized Navier–Stokes equations governing these disturbances are found by substituting

\[
\Psi = \Psi_0(x,y) + \psi(x,y)e^{ct}, \tag{2}
\]

into (1) and keeping the linear terms. In (2), \(\Psi_0(x,y)\) denotes the base flow, \(\psi(x,y)e^{ct}\) is the infinitesimal disturbance, \(\psi(x,y)\) is the amplitude, \(C = X + 2\pi i Y, X\) is the growth rate, and \(Y\) is the frequency of the disturbance. The resulting equations are

\[
C \Delta \psi = \frac{1}{Re} \Delta^2 \psi - V \Delta \psi_x - U \Delta \psi_y + \psi_x \Delta U + \psi_y \Delta V - St \psi_{xx}, \tag{3}
\]

where derivatives are denoted by subscripts, \(U\) and \(V\) are the \(x\) and \(y\) components of the base flow \((U = (\Psi_0)_y, V = - (\Psi_0)_x)\), and \(\Delta = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y^2}\). The boundary conditions are considered simple homogeneous on all boundaries as discussed in [3,4]:

\[
\psi = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} = 0. \tag{4}
\]
3. Methodology
A solution structure is a function that satisfies the boundary conditions exactly and contains the necessary degrees of freedom in order to approximate a solution of the problem. According to [1,2], for the considered problem, a solution structure can be written

$$\psi = \omega^2 \sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{k} a_{ij} T_i(x) T_j(y),$$

(5)

where $\omega(x, y)$ is the boundary distance function, $T(x)$ and $T(y)$ are Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind on appropriate intervals, and $a_{ij}$ are unknown coefficients. The set of collocation points is chosen as the set of zeros of the Chebyshev polynomials. We posit that (5) satisfies the equation (3) at the set of collocation points and get the algebraic eigenvalue problem

$$Av = \mathcal{C}Bv,$$

(6)

where $v = \{a_{00}, a_{01}, \ldots, a_{n(k-1)}, a_{nk}\}$. So we carry out discretization in coefficient space. Iteration methods implemented by the SLEPc software package [11] have been used for the eigenvalue problem (6). We compute the part of spectrum with the largest growth rates.

The boundary distance function $\omega_R$ is considered as a function which should only be equal to zero on the boundary $\Gamma$. This function changes sign only on the boundary. Obviously, the boundary function may be guessed for simple geometrical figures such as lines or circles. For complex domains, this function is constructed by algebraic combination (conjunction or disjunction) of more simple figures by $R$-operations (see works [1,2,12]). For example, $R$-conjunction makes

$$\omega_R(x, y) = x_{S1} \land x_{S2} \equiv x_{S1} + x_{S2} - \sqrt{x_{S1}^2 + x_{S2}^2},$$

(7)

where the boundary distance function of rectangle $\omega_R(x, y)$ has been obtained from the functions of two stripes $x_{S1} = k_1(L_y - y^2)$ and $x_{S2} = k_2(x - L)(L_1 - x)$. For the cylinder, we consider the boundary distance function as $x_{cyl} = -k_3(x - L - 10)(x^2 + y^2 - 0.25)$ and use the $R$-conjunction (7) again. Plots of $\omega_R(x, y)$ and $\omega(x, y)$ are shown in figures 2 and 3. $\omega(x, y)$ has the form
\begin{equation}
\begin{align*}
    k &= \sqrt{1.0 \times 10^{-4} (6.25 - y^2)^2 + 0.01 (x - 10)^2 (x + 5)^2} \\
    \omega &= k_4 \left( - \left( -k + 0.01 (6.25 - y^2) - 0.1 (x - 10) (x + 5) \right)^2 + \\
    &+ 1.0 \times 10^{-4} (20 - x)^2 (y^2 + x^2 - 0.25)^2 \right) - k + 0.01 (20 - x) \times \\
    &\times (y^2 + x^2 - 0.25) + 0.01 (6.25 - y^2) - 0.1 (x - 10) (x + 5). \\
\end{align*}
\end{equation}

Here, $k_1 = k_3 = 0.01$, $k_2 = 0.1$, and the common multiplier $k_4 = 100$. For analytical computations of boundary functions we use the Maxima computer algebra system. This method allows us to manipulate simple geometry, but it is adequate for our method demonstration with only standard software. The methods of calculation of the boundary distance function are reviewed in work [13], as it states that such a function may be calculated fully automatically for arbitrary complex geometry using the special software SAGE[13] and POLE [12].
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\caption{Growth rates $X$ as a function of Reynolds number for $M = 0,1$.}
\end{figure}
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\caption{Frequencies $Y$ as a function of Reynolds number for $M = 0,1$.}
\end{figure}
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\caption{Streamlines of the real part of leading eigenmode at $Re = 80$, $M = 0$.}
\end{figure}
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\caption{Streamlines of the real part of leading eigenmode at $Re = 50$, $M = 1$.}
\end{figure}
4. Results

The base flow was computed using the spectral/hp element MHD solver [15]. The dimensions of the domain are taken to be $L = 100$, $L_1 = 20$, and $L_y = 10$. A uniform flow $(U = U_0, V = 0)$ is imposed at the inflow, top, and bottom boundaries; no-slip conditions are imposed on the cylinder surface. A zero traction condition is imposed at the outflow boundary of the domain. The base flow can be stabilized by setting $V = 0$ at $y = 0$ in the cylinder trace as recommended in [3,4]. An unstructured triangle mesh was obtained from the grid generator gmsh. The flow fields were verified by data from the review [5].

We have proved the method for the example of the stability problem of plane Poiseuille flow [14]. In this work, the authors have compared their method with the spectral/hp element method (Nektar++ framework). These two approaches are similar in terms of precision and calculation time, but not in terms of memory volume. Our method requires a high volume of memory for the big dense matrices $A$ and $B$.

The size of the domain for the stability calculations is taken to be smaller than that for the base flow: $L = 50$, $L_y = 7.5$, and $L_1 = 10$. We compute growth rates $X$ for some range of $n$ and $k$ in order to verify and validate the method. The results are presented in Table 1 for $M = 0$ and $Re = 45, 46, 47, 50$.

| $Re$ | $n$ | 40 | 80 | 100 | 180 | Nektar++ |
|------|-----|----|----|-----|-----|----------|
|      | $k$ | 20 | 40 | 50  | 60  |          |
| 45   |     | 0.4088 | 0.0872 | 0.0010 | -0.0037 | -0.0024 |
| 46   |     | 0.4022 | 0.0868 | 0.0020 | 0.0150 | 0.0020 |
| 47   |     | 0.3408 | 0.0852 | **0.0066** | 0.0040 | 0.0066 |
| 50   |     | 0.3958 | 0.0490 | 0.0106 | **0.0170** | 0.0170 |

The conclusion based on the data in Table 1 is that increasing the number of modes above some limit does not lead to an increase in precision. We assume that the precision lost is caused by the errors at the stage of the algebraic eigenvalue problem. Methods for solving the large dense algebraic eigenvalue problems are still insufficiently investigated and frequently unstable. Figures 4 and 5 show the growth rates and frequencies as functions of the Reynolds number ($L = 50$, $L_y = 5$, and $L_1 = 10$; $n = 200$ and $k = 60$). This result matches the data from [3] in the case $M = 0$. The number of collocation points on the $x$-axis is $n = 200$, and on the $y$-axis, $k = 60$. The critical Reynolds number lies between 45 and 46 at $M = 0$ (which is close to the result from [3,4]) and between 80 and 90 at $M = 1$. Figures 6 and 7 show the streamlines of the real part of the leading eigenmode at $Re = 80$ ($M = 0$) and $Re = 50$ ($M = 1$), $L = 25$, $L_1 = 5$ and $L_y = 5$.

Conclusion

So we can conclude that the new method has an error value close to that of the spectral/hp-element method, but is simpler and allows the problems similar to those in the reviews [6,7] to be solved. The simplicity of the method lies in the separation of geometric data from the computation algorithm. The solution structure (5) was determined once before the start of calculations and it contains the boundary conditions and shape of the domain. Further ones can construct the algorithm as the usual spectral scheme. The method does not directly manipulate the geometric data and boundary conditions at this stage and can be implemented simpler than the finite difference and finite element methods.
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