Critical Dipole Length for the Wetting Transition Due to Collective Water-dipoles Interactions

Chunlei Wang1, Bo Zhou1,2, Yusong Tu3, Manyi Duan4, Peng Xiu5, Jingye Li1 & Haiping Fang1,6

1Laboratory of Physical Biology and Division of Interfacial Water, Shanghai Institute of Applied Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, P.O. Box 800-204, Shanghai 201800, China, 2Graduate School of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100080, China, 3Institute of Systems Biology, Shanghai University, Shanghai, 200444, China, 4School of Physical Science and Technology, Sichuan University, Chengdu, 610064, China, 5Bio-X Lab, Department of Physics, Department of Engineering Mechanics, and Soft Matter Research Center, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, China, 6Modern Mechanics Division, E-Institutes of Shanghai University, Shanghai, 200444, China.

The wetting behavior of water on the solid surfaces is fundamental to various physical, chemical and biological processes. Conventionally, the surface with charges or charge dipoles is hydrophilic, whereas the non-polar surface is hydrophobic though some exceptions were recently reported. Using molecular dynamics simulations, we show that there is a critical length of the charge dipoles on the solid surface. The solid surface still exhibited hydrophobic behavior when the dipole length was less than the critical value, indicating that the water molecules on the solid surface seemed not “feel” attractive interactions from the charge dipoles on the solid surface. Those unexpected observations result from the collective interactions between the water molecules and charge dipoles on the solid surface, where the steric exclusion effect between water molecules greatly reduces the water-dipole interactions. Remarkably, the steric exclusion effect is also important for surfaces with charge dipole lengths greater than this critical length.

A microscopic understanding of the wettability of solid surfaces is fundamental to various physical1–20, chemical21–27 and biological processes28–33, such as microfluidics34,35 and sensing36,37. Particularly, the hydrophobic effect plays a key role in protein folding38–40, nano-scale dewetting transition41–43 and self-assembly of amphiphiles44-45. However, the physics of the hydrophilic or hydrophobic effects is not fully understood because the hydrophilicity or hydrophobicity of a surface is usually subject to various factors, including temperature46 and morphology47. Conventionally, the surface with charge dipoles is hydrophilic, whereas the non-polar surface is hydrophobic. However, some exceptions were recently reported. Zangi and Berne48,49 showed that a high value (e.g., 1.5 e) of electrolyte particles in solution can induce hydrophobic particles to be more hydrophobic. Giovambattista et al.50 performed a series of simulations on polar hydroxylated silica (Si-OH) surfaces, finding that the surface is macroscopically hydrophobic, even though the dipole moment magnitude equaled to 41% that of the water molecule. The topography of the surface was also found to play a significant role in the wetting behavior of the protein surface or hydroxylated silica surface51,52. Boron-nitrogen nanotubes with a partial charge of ~0.4e53 have been shown to still exhibit hydrophobicity54. Moreover, in 2006, Li et al.55 showed that van der Waals interactions are the dominant interactions between the superhydrophobic surface molecule CF3(CF2)x(CH2)y and the water molecules on the surface with a charge of ~0.2 e in CF3 groups. Very recently, we showed that hydrophobic-like behavior occurs on extremely polar hexagonal surfaces due to the ordered water monolayer for very large partial charges56, which was recently confirmed experimentally57. However, a relatively complete picture about the effect of the surface charges and charge dipoles on the wetting property is still lacking.

Here, using molecular dynamics simulations, we show that the length of the charge dipoles on the solid surface plays a key role on the wetting behavior. Moreover, there is a critical length of the charge dipoles on the solid surface, below which the charge dipoles on the solid surface plays unexpectedly negligible role in the wetting property. The solid surface still exhibits hydrophobic behavior when the dipole length is less than the critical value, indicating that the water molecules on the solid surface seem not to “feel” attractive interactions from the charge dipoles on the solid surface, no matter how large the moment magnitude of the charge dipoles is. Those unexpected observations result from the collective interactions between the water molecules and charge dipoles on the solid surface, where the steric exclusion effect between water molecules prevents those hydrogen atoms of
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A microscopic understanding of the wettability of solid surfaces is fundamental to various physical1–20, chemical21–27 and biological processes28–33, such as microfluidics34,35 and sensing36,37. Particularly, the hydrophobic effect plays a key role in protein folding38–40, nano-scale dewetting transition41–43 and self-assembly of amphiphiles44-45. However, the physics of the hydrophilic or hydrophobic effects is not fully understood because the hydrophilicity or hydrophobicity of a surface is usually subject to various factors, including temperature46 and morphology47. Conventionally, the surface with charge dipoles is hydrophilic, whereas the non-polar surface is hydrophobic. However, some exceptions were recently reported. Zangi and Berne48,49 showed that a high value (e.g., 1.5 e) of electrolyte particles in solution can induce hydrophobic particles to be more hydrophobic. Giovambattista et al.50 performed a series of simulations on polar hydroxylated silica (Si-OH) surfaces, finding that the surface is macroscopically hydrophobic, even though the dipole moment magnitude equaled to 41% that of the water molecule. The topography of the surface was also found to play a significant role in the wetting behavior of the protein surface or hydroxylated silica surface51,52. Boron-nitrogen nanotubes with a partial charge of ~0.4e53 have been shown to still exhibit hydrophobicity54. Moreover, in 2006, Li et al.55 showed that van der Waals interactions are the dominant interactions between the superhydrophobic surface molecule CF3(CF2)x(CH2)y and the water molecules on the surface with a charge of ~0.2 e in CF3 groups. Very recently, we showed that hydrophobic-like behavior occurs on extremely polar hexagonal surfaces due to the ordered water monolayer for very large partial charges56, which was recently confirmed experimentally57. However, a relatively complete picture about the effect of the surface charges and charge dipoles on the wetting property is still lacking.

Here, using molecular dynamics simulations, we show that the length of the charge dipoles on the solid surface plays a key role on the wetting behavior. Moreover, there is a critical length of the charge dipoles on the solid surface, below which the charge dipoles on the solid surface plays unexpectedly negligible role in the wetting property. The solid surface still exhibits hydrophobic behavior when the dipole length is less than the critical value, indicating that the water molecules on the solid surface seem not to “feel” attractive interactions from the charge dipoles on the solid surface, no matter how large the moment magnitude of the charge dipoles is. Those unexpected observations result from the collective interactions between the water molecules and charge dipoles on the solid surface, where the steric exclusion effect between water molecules prevents those hydrogen atoms of
water molecules from staying very close to the negative charge and those oxygen atoms of water molecules from staying very close to the positive charge, reducing the interactions between the water molecules and the charge dipoles. Interestingly, the steric exclusion effect was also important for surfaces with charge dipole lengths greater than this critical length. When the charge dipole lengths are greater than this critical length, it is found that the surfaces become more hydrophilic with the dipole lengths increase. We note that the bond lengths of most of common materials are less than the critical lengths, suggesting that the observation should be of general importance to the surface wetting properties.

The geometry of the solid surface system is shown in Fig. 1(a,b) together with snapshots of some water molecules. Positive and negative charges of the same magnitude, $q$, were assigned to every bonding atom of the hexagonal arrangement, represented by green and blue triangles, respectively, which was similar to the graphene and boron-nitrogen monolayer. The surface was neutral. The length of charge dipoles was denoted as $l$. Initially, 924 water molecules in a rectangular shape were located on solid surface composed of 2376 atoms in a box. Each system was simulated by molecular dynamics (MD) for 10 ns, and the data of the last 2 ns were collected for analysis. For all simulations, the water slab was in phase-level coexistence with the vapor at 300 K.

**Results**

Generally, the existence of polarity on the surface enhances the hydrophilicity. Surprisingly, our simulation shows that the contact angle discrepancy ($\theta_0 - \theta_q$) is less than a critical value, i.e., $15^\circ$, for all $q$ value in the interval of $[0, 1.0 \text{ e}]$, or in the interval of $[0, 0.4 \text{ e}]$, denoted by $l_c$ and $l_c^{0.4}$, respectively. $l_c = 0.202 \text{ nm}$ and $l_c^{0.4} = 0.302 \text{ nm}$, which are shown by grey and light blue shadows in Fig. 1(c), respectively. We note the bond lengths of many common materials are smaller than $l_c = 0.202 \text{ nm}$ and most of common materials are smaller than $l < l_c^{0.4} = 0.302 \text{ nm}$ (see Fig. 1d and the Table S1 in the Supporting Information). This also explains that boron-nitrogen nanotubes still exhibit hydrophobicity although there are partial charges of $\sim 0.4 \text{ e}$ on the boron nitrogen atoms.

![Figure 1](www.nature.com/scientificreports) | **Introduction to the model system and its wetting behaviour.** (a,b) Structure of the model surface with $l = 0.142 \text{ nm}$ and $0.262 \text{ nm}$ at $q = 1.0 \text{ e}$ together with snapshots of some water molecules. The green and blue triangles represent the atoms on the solid surface with positive charges and negative charges, respectively. The oxygen and hydrogen atoms of the water molecules are shown by (bright or soft) red and white spheres. The oxygen atom of a water molecule with one OH bond pointing downward is shown by soft red. (c) Contact angle discrepancy ($\theta_0 - \theta_q$) with respect to the dipole length ($l$) for the charge ($q$) 0.2 e (black), 0.4 e (red), 0.6 e (blue), 0.8 e (green), and 1.0 e (magenta). $\theta_0$ and $\theta_q$ are the contact angles of the liquid droplets on the surface with a charge of $q$ and without any charge, respectively. The saturation values of $\theta_0 - \theta_q$ increases from $-102^\circ$ for $l = 0.242 \text{ nm}$ to $-130^\circ$ for $l = 0.482 \text{ nm}$ due to the modification of the lattice length, which correspond to complete wetting of the surface ($\theta_0 = 0$). (d) Critical lengths for some typical surfaces of binary compound crystals. Here, the critical length is defined as the dipole length below which the contact angle discrepancy ($\theta_0 - \theta_q$) is less than $15^\circ$ for all $q$ value in the interval of $[0, 1.0 \text{ e}]$, or in the interval of $[0, 0.4 \text{ e}]$, denoted by $l_c$ and $l_c^{0.4}$ and shown by black and red columns, respectively. The first surface type is the structure shown in (a,b). The other surface types, increasing from 2 to 6, corresponds to the square, rhombic and rectangle lattice, (110) surface of a face-centered cube, and (110) surface of a body centered tetragonal, respectively (see Fig. S1 in Supplementary Information).
Discussions

In order to understand the mechanism underlying this behavior, we have calculated radial distribution functions (RDF) between water oxygen (O) and hydrogen (H) atoms and the surface positive and negative charged atoms, denoted as \( g_{O+}(r) \), \( g_{H+}(r) \), \( g_{O-}(r) \) and \( g_{H-}(r) \), respectively. Some typical results for \( q = 0.4 \) e are shown in Fig. 2. Interestingly, the RDF profiles are almost independent of the charge positivity or negativity; \( g_{O+}(r) \cong g_{O-}(r) \), and \( g_{H+}(r) \cong g_{H-}(r) \) for all values when \( l \) is very small (0.142 nm). The peaks of all the RDF profiles are at \( r \approx 0.4 \) nm. We have shown that the RDFs of the O and H for \( q = 0 \) are consistent with the RDFs for \( q = 1.0 \) e when \( l = 0.142 \) nm (see Fig. S1 in the Supporting Information). In fact, we find that the RDF profiles are almost independent of the charge for \( q \leq 1.0 \) e, suggesting that the dynamics of the surface water is independent of the quantity of the charge dipoles on the surface for \( l = 0.142 \) nm. In Fig. 1(a) we show the snapshot of the water molecules in the layer with a thickness of 0.5 nm from the top view for \( l = 0.142 \) nm at \( q = 1.0 \) e. These water molecules do not show any ordering structure, indicating that water molecules seem not “see” the surface charges even \( q = 1.0 \) e. The RDF profiles for \( l = 0.182 \) nm were quite similar to the RDF profiles for \( l = 0.142 \) nm. When \( l = 0.222 \) nm, a clear but small peak appears at \( r = 0.20 \) nm for \( g_{H-}(r) \). At \( l = 0.362 \) nm, clearer peaks appear at \( r \approx 0.18 \) nm for \( g_{H-}(r) \) profiles and at \( r \approx 0.27 \) nm for \( g_{O-}(r) \) profiles. The two peaks become clearer for \( l = 0.402 \) nm. The distance between those two peaks is found to be about 0.09 nm, which is very close to the bond length of O-H in the SPC/E water model of 0.1 nm used here. Careful examination finds that most of the O atoms near the peak at \( r \approx 0.27 \) nm chemically bond H atoms near the peak \( r \approx 0.18 \) nm. This explains the observation that the peak in the RDF profile of \( g_{O-}(r) \) moves towards left as \( l \) increases since the first peak of \( g_{H-}(r) \) moves towards left. From the snapshot for \( l = 0.262 \) nm at \( q = 1.0 \) e shown in Fig. 1(b), one can observe that the water molecules close to the solid surface show clear structure ordering, i.e., the water molecules above the negatively charged atoms have one OH bond pointing downward to the surface, and the water molecules above the positively charged atoms have neither OH bond pointing downward, indicating that the oxygen atoms are nearest to the positively charged atoms on the surface. Thus, the water molecules on the surface with \( l = 0.262 \) nm behaves qualitatively different from the water molecules on the surface with \( l = 0.142 \) nm, consistent with the RDF profiles. There is also a peak for the RDF profile of \( g_{H+}(r) \) at \( r = 0.32 \) nm. As \( l \) increases more, the locations of the peaks in the profile of \( g_{O+}(r) \) moves towards smaller values of \( r \), whereas the profiles of \( g_{H+}(r) \) show different characteristics. All of these observations show that the charge dipoles on the solid surface have a more profound effect on the distribution of the water orientation on the solid surface when \( l \) is larger. Due to the small van der Waals radius of an H atom, H is much closer to the negative charges compared to the distance from the positive charges to O atoms.

The interactions between water molecules and the solid surface are usually regarded as the key factor in determining the wetting property of the surface. We have computed the average electrostatic interaction energy between each single charge (average value from both cases of positive and negative charges) on solid surface and all the water molecules near the charge, with respect to \( l \) for various \( q \), denoted as \( E_{\text{electro}} \) (see Fig. 3). \( E_{\text{electro}} \) is very small for \( l \leq l_c \) (the grey shadows in Fig. 3). The water on the solid surface seems not to “feel” the attractive interactions from the charge on the solid surface, contrary to intuition, but consistent with our simulation results that solid surfaces with charge dipoles still exhibit hydrophobic behavior for \( l \leq l_c \). As \( l \) increases from \( l_c \), the electrostatic interaction increases monotonically. We have also computed the electrostatic interaction energies from the RDFs of water oxygen O and hydrogen H atoms, denoted by \( E_{\text{theory}} \). Herein, the partial charges on an oxygen and hydrogen atoms are \(-0.8476 \) e and \( 0.4238 \) e, respectively, from the SPC/E water model. As shown in Fig. 3, \( E_{\text{theory}} \) agrees with \( E_{\text{electro}} \) very well.

Now we may capture the physics behind this unexpected behavior. The key is the collective effect of the interaction of the water molecules with the charge dipoles on the solid surface, where the steric exclusion effect between the water molecules may become of importance. When \( l \) is large enough, the negative charges on the solid surface attract the H atoms and the positive charges attract the O atoms so that some of the H atoms are very close to the negative charges and some of the O atoms are very close to the positive charges. When \( l \) decreases to a smaller value, the steric exclusion effect prevents those hydrogen atoms from staying very close to the negative charge and those oxygen atoms from staying very close to the positive charge. The electrostatic interactions between water molecules and surface charge dipoles decrease correspondingly. When \( l \) is small enough, it becomes almost impossible to arrange the positions and orientation of the water molecules so that the O atoms are close to the positive charges while the H atoms are close to|
the negative charges. It is clear that this value of \( l \) should be comparable or smaller than the size of the water so that the positions and orientations of the water molecules near the solid surface cannot be arranged according to the charges on the surfaces. Our numerical results have shown that the critical surface dipole length \( l_c = 0.202 \text{ nm} \) and \( l_c^{\sigma_4} = 0.302 \text{ nm} \) are close to the diameter of a water molecule (~0.28 nm). Consequently, when \( l \leq l_c \), the atom densities are almost independent of the signs and the qualities of the charges and the water molecules on the solid surface seem not to ‘see’ the charges on the solid surface.

The existence of the critical length is universal. We have studied the wetting behavior of the surfaces of other five typical types. Three of them have 1:1 ratio, and the other two have 1:2 ratio of the number of positively charged atoms to the number of negatively charged atoms. Explicitly, the three typical types of surfaces of 1:1 ratio are the type 2 surface obtained from (100) surface of a face-centered cube (such as the NaCl(100) surface), the type 3 surface obtained from (110) surface of simple cube (such as the CsCl(110) surface) and the type 4 surface obtained from (110) surface of face-centered tetragonal (such as the BaO2(110) surface), as shown in Fig. 4(d)(e), respectively. The other two typical types of surfaces of 1:2 ratio are the type 5 surface obtained from (110) surface of a face-centered cube (such as the CaF2(110) surface) and the type 6 surface obtained from (110) surface of a body centered tetragonal (such as the CaO(110) surface), which are shown in Fig. 4(a)(b)(c), respectively. For the two typical types of surfaces of 1:2 ratio, in order to keep the system charge neutral, we set the quantity of each positive charge twice of the quantity of each negative charge. Thus, for easy description, the notion \( q_5 \) in the contexts below corresponds to the quantity of the positive charge for the two types of 1:2 ratio. The structure shown in Fig 1(a) is denoted as type 1. In Fig. 1(d), we show the critical lengths of the charge dipoles for various types of the solid surfaces. One can observe that the explicit value of the critical value depends on the geometry of the solid surface and the dipole structures. Explicitly, the critical length of the charge dipoles on the solid surface is \( l_c = 0.202 \text{ nm} \) for \( q = 1.0 \text{ e} \) and \( l_c^{\sigma_4} = 0.302 \text{ nm} \) for \( q = 0.4 \text{ e} \) for the types 1–3. For the type 4, the critical length of the charge dipoles on the solid surface is \( l_c = 0.162 \text{ nm} \) for \( q = 1.0 \text{ e} \) and \( l_c^{\sigma_4} = 0.262 \text{ nm} \) for \( q = 0.4 \text{ e} \), which are smaller than the case of type 1–3. As for the type 5 and 6, the critical length of the charge dipoles on the solid surface is \( l_c^{\sigma_4} = 0.162 \text{ nm} \) for \( q = 1.0 \text{ e} \) and \( l_c^{\sigma_8} = 0.302 \text{ nm} \) for \( q = 0.4 \text{ e} \); \( l_c^{\sigma_4} = 0.202 \text{ nm} \) for \( q = 1.0 \text{ e} \) and \( l_c^{\sigma_8} = 0.362 \text{ nm} \) for \( q = 0.4 \text{ e} \), respectively. The close values of the critical lengths in the solid surfaces of the first three types may be attributed to that there is only one characteristic length for each type. For the type 4 solid surface, there are two characteristic lengths, where the longer one is 2 times larger than the shorter one. Here we only consider the shorter one as the critical length so that the critical length shows a smaller value. As for the types 5 and 6, the number of positively charged and negatively charged atoms is different and there are also two characteristic lengths so that the critical lengths show different behavior from those in the surfaces of the first three types. The lengths of the chemical bonds of some typical binary compound crystals or chemical groups are shown in Fig. 1(d), including the lengths of the C-O bond in –COOH group, C-N bond in –CONH2 group, and the bonds of BN, CuO, Al2O3, CaF2 and CaO. It is clear that all of them are less than \( l_c^{\sigma_4} \) and most of them are less than \( l_c \). This demonstrates that the observations should be of general importance.

In summary, we have shown that the wetting properties of a solid surface significantly depend on the surface topology parameter, which can be characterized by the length of the charge dipoles \( l \). Counter to intuition, the length of the charge dipoles on the solid surface plays a key role on the wetting behavior and there is a critical length of the charge dipoles, below which the charge dipoles on the solid surface have a negligible role in the wetting property. The solid surface still exhibits hydrophobic behavior when the dipole length is less than the critical value, indicating that the water molecules on the solid surface seem not to ‘feel’ attractive interactions from the charge dipoles on the solid surface, no matter how large the moment magnitude of the charge dipoles is. Those unexpected observations result from the collective interactions between the water molecules and charge dipoles on the solid surface, where the steric exclusion effect between water molecules prevents those hydrogen atoms of water molecules from staying very close to the negative charge and those oxygen atoms of water molecules from staying very close to the positive charge. Reducing the interactions between the water molecules and the charge dipoles. Remarkably, the steric exclusion effect is also important for surfaces with charge dipole lengths \( l \) greater than this critical length. When charge dipole lengths are greater than this critical length, the surfaces become more hydrophilic with the dipole lengths increase. We note that the bond lengths of most of common materials are less than the critical lengths, suggesting that the observation should be of general importance to the surface wetting properties. We further note that the critical length of the surface still exists for different Lennard-Jones parameters of the atoms on the substrate within a reasonable range (see Table S2 in the Supplementary Information). Moreover, when the charge dipoles are provided from planting groups, such as –COOH, the critical values will be smaller due to the flexible behavior of the groups, which decreases the steric exclusion effect. These findings also have biological significance and are helpful for interfacial engineering, new functional material design including carbon and silicon nanostructures, and offer insights into many unexpected observations on wetting behavior.

### Methods

A constant temperature and constant volume (NVT ensemble) MD simulation was performed using a time step of 1.0 fs with Gromacs 3.3.19. The periodic boundary conditions were applied in all directions. The selection of a vapor-liquid coexistence system was used to maintain the ambient conditions, and a Berendsen thermostat18 with a time constant of 1.0 ps for coupling was used to maintain the temperature of the water at 300 K. The solid atom with Lennard-Jones parameters \( \varepsilon_{ss} = 0.105 \text{ kcal/mol} \) and \( \sigma_{ss} = 3.343 \text{ Å} \), and the SPC/E water model was used as the explicit solvent. A cutoff of 10 Å was used for both the particle-mesh Ewald method19 with a real space to model long-range electrostatic interactions, and for the van der Waals interactions. The contact angle of a water droplet was calculated from the density profile. For simplicity, when all of the water molecules completely spread on the surface, we assumed that the contact angle was 0. In the computation of the radial distribution function (RDF) of the water molecules next to a given surface and the interaction between those water molecules and the surface, we considered the solid surface with 1664 atoms immersed in the center of a water box with 4 nm thickness of water layer, where periodic boundary conditions apply along the three dimensions20.
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