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Differentially Expressed Hepatic Genes Revealed by Transcriptomics in Pigs with Different Liver Lipid Contents
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The liver is the center for uptake, synthesis, packaging, and secretion of lipids and lipoproteins. The research on lipid metabolism in pigs is limited. The objective of the present study is to identify the genes related to lipid metabolism and oxidative stress in pigs by using transcriptomic analysis. Liver segments were collected from 60 Jinhua pigs for the determination of liver lipid content. The 7 pigs with the highest and lowest liver lipid content were set as group H and group L, respectively. Liver segments and serum samples were collected from each pig of the H and L groups for RNA sequencing and the determination of triglycerides (TG) content and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL) content, respectively. The HDL content in the serum of pigs in the H group was significantly higher than the L group (P < 0.05). From transcriptomic sequencing, 6162 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were identified, among which 2962 were upregulated and 3200 downregulated genes with the increase in the liver content of Jinhua pigs. After GO enrichment and KEGG analyses, lipid modification, cellular lipid metabolic process, cholesterol biosynthetic process, fatty acid metabolic process, oxidoreduction coenzyme metabolic process, oxidoreductase activity, acting on CH-OH group of donors, response to oxidative stress, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), sphingolipid metabolism, and oxidative phosphorylation pathways were involved in lipid metabolism and oxidative stress in Jinhua pigs. For further validation, we selected 10 DEGs including 7 upregulated genes (APOE, APOA1, APOC3, LCAT, CYP2E1, GPX1, and ROMO1) and 4 downregulated genes (PPARA, PPARGC1A, and TXNIP) for RT-qPCR verification. To validate these results in other pig species, we analyzed these 10 DEGs in the liver of Duroc × Landrace × Yorkshire pigs. Similar expression patterns of these 10 DEGs were observed. These data would provide an insight to understand the gene functions regulating lipid metabolism and oxidative stress and would potentially provide theoretical basis for the development of strategies to modulate lipid metabolism and even control human diabetes and obesity by gene regulations.

1. Introduction

The liver is an essential metabolic organ and the central link for the carbohydrate, lipid, and protein metabolism [1]. The liver plays a unique role in controlling the glucose metabolism by maintaining the glucose concentration within the normal range. This is achieved through a strictly regulated enzyme and kinase system. These enzymes and kinases regulate the decomposition or synthesis of glucose in liver cells. The liver is the main processor of protein and amino acid metabolism, because it is responsible for most of the proteins secreted in the blood (whether based on the quality or range of unique proteins), the processing of amino acids for energy, and disposal of nitrogenous waste from protein degradation in the form of the urea metabolism. Moreover, the liver can also secrete bile for the digestion and decomposition of lipids and the absorption of fat-soluble vitamins [2]. The liver not only oxidizes lipids but also encapsulates the excess lipids, secretes them in other tissues, and stores them, such as adipose tissue. For lipid metabolism, the liver
is the center for uptake, synthesis, packaging, and secretion of lipids and lipoproteins [3]. Hepatocytes are able to extract fatty acids from chylo micron remnants by lipoprotein lipase and oxidize fatty acids to provide energy for themselves and other organs [4]. For carbohydrate metabolism, the liver is capable to store, synthesize, metabolize, and release glucose [3]. Hepatic Krebs cycle allows the liver to maintain a high rate of biodegradation of carbohydrates and lipids to provide energy for the body [5, 6]. For protein and amino acid metabolisms, the liver could also synthesize, secrete, utilize, and metabolize proteins or amino acids [3]. Additionally, the liver could synthesize and secrete various lipoproteins after assembling fatty acids and glycerol into triglycerides. Therefore, the liver is a key connection between the lipid metabolism and glucose and protein metabolisms. Especially for pigs, the liver is one of the most important organs in regulating appetite and body weight as well as several metabolic processes [7].

Oxidative stress is caused by a sharp increase in free radicals in the body or a decline in the ability to scavenge free radicals, thereby disrupting the antioxidant-oxidation balance [8]. There are reports that oxidative stress is related to many diseases and is closely related to the health of the body [9, 10]. The free radicals that play a major role in oxidative stress are reactive oxygen species (ROS) and reactive nitrogen free radicals (RNS) [11]. Under physiological conditions, adipokines can induce the production of ROS and then produce oxidative stress, which in turn leads to the further deposition of lipid [12]. Therefore, understanding the relationship between lipid deposition and oxidative stress can reduce oxidative stress and promote body health by regulating lipid metabolism.

Transcriptome sequencing, also known as RNA sequencing (RNA-seq), is a technique to quantitatively describe the differences in gene types and expression levels at a global level [13]. RNA-seq is a powerful tool that can identify genes related to lipid metabolism and oxidative stress in the liver. Genes related to lipid metabolism were identified by using transcriptome analysis of liver samples in chickens and pigs [14, 15] to understand the effect of liver metabolism on lipid-related phenotypes. Regardless of whether it is for pigs or for humans, excessive lipid deposits will lead to obesity, which will cause a series of inflammatory reactions and eventually lead to a series of diseases, such as insulin resistance and diabetes [16].

As one of the most important economic animals, pigs are raised all over the world. Different breeds of pigs have significantly different genetic composition, which affects different physiological characteristics. The Jinhua pig, named after the city of Jinhua in East China’s Zhejiang Province, is a traditional, slow-growing pig breed with a high body lipid content, early sexual maturity, and low fertility [17]. In the production of pigs, lipid deposition not only affects the growth efficiency of pigs but also affects the quality of pork. Excessive lipid deposition will reduce the lean meat rate of pigs, reduce the economic benefits of the pig industry, and also affect the flavor and quality of pork. Therefore, an in-depth understanding of the mechanism of pig lipid deposition can provide scientific targets for the rational regulation of pig lipid deposition. It has been approved that pigs are very similar to humans in terms of eating style, pancreatic shape and development level, gastrointestinal tract structure, metabolic level, and blood glucose level [18–20]. For pheno typic similarities of pigs to humans, it includes cardiovascular anatomy and function, metabolism, lipoprotein profile, size, tendency to obesity, and omnivorous habits. However, the current research on lipid metabolism and oxidative stress of Jinhua pigs is limited. Therefore, in this paper, liver samples were collected from 14 Jinhua pigs for transcriptome analysis to identify differential expressed genes related to lipid metabolism and oxidative stress. In this study, all pigs were from the same breeding line and fed under the same conditions, so we supposed all of the pigs in the experiment had similar average daily intake, allowing us to focus on differentially expressed genes that regulate lipid metabolism without being affected by the internal environment. It would provide a theoretical basis for the in-depth study of genes regulating lipid metabolism and oxidative stress in pigs and even for humans to develop strategies to modulate lipid metabolism and regulate related diseases caused by obesity.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Ethics Statement. All animal procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the Zhejiang Academy of Agricultural Sciences (ZAAASDLSY2019-1910), and all methods were performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations.

2.2. Animals and Sampling of Animal Trial 1 for the Test. A total of sixty Jinhua castrated boars at 30 days old were raised in a commercial farm in Jinhua City, Zhejiang Province, China. All of the Jinhua pigs in this study were from the same breeding line, pedigreed Jinhua pigs, which is a Chinese local breed. Pigs were raised in pens with ad libitum access to diets and water. The diet was a commercial corn-soybean-based diet formulated with trace minerals and vitamins to meet the requirements of the National Research Council (NRC, 2012). After fasting for 12 h, all pigs were slaughtered at the age of 270 days. The average thickness of the backfat was measured on the first rib, last rib, and last lumbar vertebrae in the midline using a sliding caliper [18]. The liver segments were collected and stored at -80°C until RNA isolation and subsequent analysis.

2.3. Animals and Sampling of Animal Trial 2 for the Validation. In order to verify the results from animal trial 1, a total of 82 Duroc × Landrace × Yorkshire (DLY) pigs at 30 days old were fed with commercial corn-soybean-based diet under standardized environment. The commercial corn-soybean-based diet was formulated with to meet the requirements of the National Research Council (NRC, 2012). At the age of 180 days, all of pigs were sacrificed to measure backfat thickness and collect segments of liver for the determination of lipid content and lipid-related gene expression.

2.4. Determination of Liver Lipid Content. We used the Soxhlet [21] extraction method to determine the lipid content of the liver. We use an organic solvent to extract the
lipid in the sample with Soxhlet extractor, make it dissolve in the organic solvent, and then evaporate the solvent, weigh the residual, and measure the lipid content in the sample. The liver lipid content was expressed as percentage of wet weight.

2.5. Histological Staining. Histological staining was performed as previously described with minor modifications [17]. Briefly, liver segments of Jinhua pigs were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 1 h at room temperature, cryoprotected in 20% sucrose at 4°C overnight, and embedded in OCT. A series of 12 mm cryosections were prepared and stained with hematoxylin, eosin, and/or Oil Red O (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, United States). The liver sections were photographed by a light microscope (Nikon Corp., Tokyo, Japan).

2.6. Detection of Serum Biochemical Index. We determined serum biochemical parameters, including triglycerides (TG) and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL), using conventional enzymatic determination kits (Nanjing Jincheng Institute of Bioengineering, Nanjing, Jiangsu, China) and an automatic biochemical analyzer (Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan).

2.7. RNA Extraction. Total RNA from liver samples was extracted using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) strictly according to the manufacturer’s instructions. A NanoPhotometer® spectrophotometer (IMPLEN, MD, CA, USA) was used to detect RNA purity (OD260/280 and OD260/230 ratios), and an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) was used to accurately detect RNA integrity.

2.8. Library Preparation and Transcriptome Sequencing. A total amount of 1 μg of RNA per sample was used as the input material for RNA sample preparation. Sequencing libraries were generated using the NEBNext® Ultra™ RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina® (NEB, Ipswich, CA, USA) following the manufacturer’s recommendations, and index codes were added to attribute sequences to each sample. To preferentially select cDNA fragments that were 250–300 bp in length, the library fragments were purified with the AMPure XP system (Beckman Coulter, Beverly, USA). Finally, PCR products were purified (AMPure XP system) (Beckmankurt life sciences division, Indianapolis, Indiana, USA), and library quality was assessed on the Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100 system.

For RNA-seq, a 2 × 150 bp paired-end sequencing was performed in the present study. Clustering of the indexed samples was performed on a cBot Cluster Generation System using TruSeq PE Cluster Kit v3-cBot-HS (Illumina) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. After cluster generation, the library preparations were sequenced on an Illumina NovaSeq 6000 platform, and paired-end reads were generated. The raw transcriptome read data are available in the SRA database under accession number PRJNA721126.

2.9. Data Processing. Raw reads of fastq format were firstly processed through in-house Perl scripts to remove adapter sequences [22], ploy-N sequences, and low-quality reads. Quality parameters of Q20, GC content, and sequence duplication level were used for further data filtration. All the downstream analyses were based on the clean reads. The reference genome and gene model annotation files were downloaded from genome website directly (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome/?term=Sus+sscrofa). Hisat2 v2.0.5 was used to build the index of the reference genome and align the paired-end clean reads to the reference genome [23].

2.10. Differential Expression Analysis. Read counts were generated for each gene using featureCounts v1.5.0-p3 [24]. The expression level for each gene was normalized to quantify fragments per kilobase of transcript sequence per million base-pairs sequenced (FPKM) [25, 26]. The differential expression analysis of two groups was performed using the DESeq2 R package (v1.16.1) [27]. Gene-based expression matrix (with default normalization) was used to compare boars from the H and L groups. DESeq2 provides statistical routines for determining differential expression in digital gene expression data using a model based on the negative binomial distribution. The resulting P values were adjusted using the Benjamini and Hochberg approach for controlling the false discovery rate [28]. Genes with an adjusted Padj < 0.05 and | log 2fold change | >1 found by DESeq2 were assigned as differentially expressed.

The clusterProfiler R package was used to implement Gene Ontology (GO, http://www.geneontology.org/) enrichment analysis of the differentially expressed genes (DEGs) and test the statistical enrichment of DEGs in Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG, http://www.genome.jp/kegg/) pathways [29]. GO terms and KEGG pathways with corrected P value less than 0.05 were considered significantly enriched. GO terms and KEGG pathways were annotated with InterProScan (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/pfa/iprscan/) [30] and KOBAS (3.0.3) [31], respectively.

2.11. Validation of Differentially Expressed Genes by RT-qPCR. To demonstrate the repeatability and precision of the RNA-seq gene expression data derived from the liver tissue libraries, a CFX384 multiple real-time fluorescence quantitative PCR instrument was used for analysis. The real-time quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) system (20 μL) was as follows: power SYBR® Green Master Mix, 10 μL; upstream and downstream primers (10 μmol/L), 0.5 μL; sterilized distilled water, 8 μL; and cDNA template, 1 μL. The reaction conditions were as follows: 95°C for 1 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 sec and 63°C for 25 sec (for collecting fluorescence data). Finally, the melting curve was drawn at 55-95°C. The reaction for each sample was repeated three times, and the relative expression level of each gene was statistically analyzed as 2 (Ct internal reference gene-Ct target gene). The primers used for quantification in the study were designed using Primer-BLAST on the NCBI website (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/tools/primeralst/). The gene information for real-time PCR is shown in Table 1, with GAPDH serving as the internal reference gene [32].

2.12. Statistical Analysis. Data are expressed as the mean ± standard error of mean (SEM). All statistical analyses were
performed in SPSS version 23. All figures are generated in GraphPad Prism V8.0 and OriginLab 2018. The difference in liver lipid content and backfat thickness between two groups was analyzed by unpaired two-tailed Student’s t-test and considered significant when the P value was no more than 0.05.

3. Results

3.1. Lipid-Related Phenotypes of Jinhua Pigs. To study the relationship between backfat thickness and liver lipid, we conducted a correlation analysis of backfat thickness. The average backfat thickness and average liver lipid content were 3.347 ± 0.5567 cm and 5.976 ± 0.664%, respectively (Figure 1). The linear regression revealed a positive correlation between backfat thickness and liver lipid content ($R = 0.6407$, $P < 0.001$).

For further investigation, we sorted these 60 Jinhua pigs from high to low according to the liver lipid content and set the highest 7 pigs and the lowest 7 pigs as group H and group L, respectively. The mean value of liver content in the H group was 7.19% while that in the L group was 5.08%, showing a significant difference ($P < 0.0001$, Figure 2(a)). As expected, the backfat thickness in group H was significantly higher than that in group L ($P = 0.0003$, Figure 2(b)).

Table 1: Primers for RT-qPCR.

| Gene name | Primer sequences (5’ to 3’) | TM (°C) | Product size (bp) |
|-----------|-----------------------------|---------|-------------------|
| GAPDH     | F: CCAGGGCTGCTTTAAATCTG     | 60      | 104               |
|           | R: GGGTTGGAATCATTGACAT      |         |                   |
| APOE      | F: GGTGCAGTCCCTGCTGTA       | 60      | 79                |
|           | R: CTCTATCAGTCCTAGGTCTC     |         |                   |
| APOC3     | F: GACACCCTCCCTGAGCAA       | 60      | 86                |
|           | R: GACTCCCTGACCTGATTG       |         |                   |
| APOA1     | F: GAAGGATTTCGCCACGTGTAG    | 60      | 107               |
|           | R: GGAGTTTGAGTGGATGTGTTTC   |         |                   |
| LCAT      | F: CCGCAGAGCCAGTATATG       | 60      | 144               |
|           | R: CCAGCAAGCCTAGGACAT       |         |                   |
| PPARA     | F: GGTGCAAGGGCTTCTTCGG      | 60      | 129               |
|           | R: CCGAGAGGACACTGGGAAA      |         |                   |
| PPARC1A   | F: GGTGACAGGGCTTCTTCAG      | 60      | 100               |
|           | R: GTTCCTCAACACGAGCAA       |         |                   |
| CYP2E1    | F: CACAAAGCAGAAAAAGGTCAATT | 60      | 110               |
|           | R: TGCTATTGCTGGTTTCC        |         |                   |
| GPX1      | F: TCCAGTGTGCTCGAATGACA     | 60      | 102               |
|           | R: TCGATGGTCAGAAAGGACAG     |         |                   |
| ROMO1     | F: GGGTGAGATGGGCTTGTG       | 60      | 135               |
|           | R: TCTGACTAGGTTTTCCGGA      |         |                   |
| TXNIP     | F: CATTGCCGCTTACCTTGTG      | 60      | 100               |
|           | R: ACAGATGCACACTTTCTGCGT    |         |                   |

To convince the liver lipid content, we performed the H.E. staining of liver segments. As shown in Figures 2(c) and 2(d), it was clear that liver lipid content in group H is higher than that in group L.
The average concentration of TG in the serum of group H was 1.033 mmol/L, the average concentration of group L was 0.649 mmol/L, and there was no difference, but group H was higher than group L ($P = 0.0916$, Figure 2(e)). The average concentration of HDL in the serum of group H was 1.591 mmol/L, the average concentration of group L was 0.5515 mmol/L, group H was higher than group L, and the difference was significant ($P = 0.0034$, Figure 2(f)).

3.2 Summary of RNA-seq Data. The average number of original reads for 14 samples was 46996754. After quality control of the original reads with Q20, sequence duplication level, and GC content, there was an average of 45809803 clean reads per sample, accounting for 97.48% of the original reads. The average Q30 value, which is the percentage of bases for which the recognition accuracy exceeds 99.9%, was 95.12%. The samples were of good quality, and the
The average number of clean bases was 6.87 GB, averaging over 6 GB. The percentage of reads aligned to the unique location of the reference genome was 95.73% to 96.89% among the clean reads, and the average mapping rate of clean reads mapped to reference genes was 96.41% (Table 2). In summary, the sequencing data was qualified for the subsequent data analysis. To assess intergroup differences and intragroup sample duplication, we performed PCA analysis on readcount of all samples, and the results showed intragroup aggregation and intergroup isolation (Figure 3).

### Table 2: Summary of sequence quality and alignment information from the liver transcriptome analysis of Jinhua pigs.

| Sample | Read numbers | Clean reads | Clean ratio (%) | Clean bases | Q30 (%) | Total mapped | Mapped ratio (%) |
|--------|--------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|---------|--------------|-----------------|
| H1     | 46615168     | 45213222    | 96.99           | 6.85GB      | 95.29   | 43613957     | 96.46           |
| H2     | 47224788     | 46062522    | 97.54           | 6.76GB      | 95.22   | 44406844     | 96.41           |
| H3     | 46528606     | 45425370    | 97.63           | 6.72GB      | 95.36   | 43487077     | 95.73           |
| H4     | 45712164     | 44501604    | 97.77           | 6.77GB      | 95.24   | 42959852     | 96.54           |
| H5     | 46089942     | 45064114    | 97.94           | 6.88GB      | 95.33   | 43422246     | 96.36           |
| H6     | 49504486     | 48370168    | 97.97           | 6.79GB      | 94.72   | 4645521      | 96.43           |
| H7     | 47692912     | 46119656    | 97.71           | 7.24GB      | 95.43   | 44351571     | 96.17           |
| L1     | 46532476     | 45643802    | 97.98           | 6.78GB      | 95.31   | 44141776     | 96.71           |
| L2     | 46016438     | 45069046    | 97.94           | 6.91GB      | 95.45   | 43475540     | 96.46           |
| L3     | 46299018     | 45354188    | 97.96           | 6.83GB      | 94.81   | 43944203     | 96.89           |
| L4     | 46875078     | 45157476    | 97.96           | 6.68GB      | 95.03   | 43471601     | 96.27           |
| L5     | 46972136     | 45833438    | 97.78           | 6.76GB      | 94.99   | 44268232     | 96.59           |
| L6     | 46450896     | 45278662    | 97.47           | 7.26GB      | 95.16   | 43693079     | 96.50           |
| L7     | 49440452     | 4824974     | 97.58           | 6.92GB      | 94.37   | 46387276     | 96.15           |

Figure 3: Differences between transcriptome replicates of the H and L groups’ ducks based on the principal component analysis. Note: the abscissa is the first principal component, and the ordinate is the second principal component.

3.3. Differentially Expressed Genes Analysis. Group H compared with group L, with \( P_{adj} < 0.05 \) and \( |\log 2 \text{fold change}| > 0 \) as the threshold, a total of 6162 DEGs were identified. Among them, we identified 2962 upregulated genes and 3200 downregulated genes when comparing group H to group L (Figure 4). The DEG expression patterns of each sample were clustered on the basis of the log2 (fold change) values of their expression ratios, which exhibited good repeatability of samples in two groups (Figure 5).

3.4. GO Annotation and Enrichment Analysis of Differentially Expressed Genes. To further elucidate the functional roles of the 6162 DEGs, GO term enrichment analysis was performed to search for significantly overrepresented categories. A total of 178 terms (Table S1) were significantly enriched in the three categories \( (P < 0.05) \), including biological process, cellular component, and...
There were 2 pathways involved in lipid metabolism into the KEGG pathway database, and a total of 33 pathways were some genes involved in lipid metabolism and oxidative response to oxidative stress (GO:0006979). In addition, there were 3 terms (Table 4) related to lipid metabolism, namely, lipid modification (GO:00030258), cellular lipid metabolic process (GO:0044255), cholesterol biosynthetic process (GO:0006695), and fatty acid metabolic process (GO:0006641). And three terms (Table 4) were related to oxidative stress, oxireductase activity, acting on CH-OH group of donors (GO:0016614), and response to oxidative stress (GO:0006979). In addition, there were some genes involved in lipid metabolism and oxidative stress in these terms, such as APOE, APOA1, APOC3, LCAT, CYP2E1, PPARGC1A, and TXNIP.

3.5. KEGG Pathway Analysis of DEGs. To identify the pathways those DEGs involved, we integrated the 6162 DEGs into the KEGG pathway database, and a total of 33 pathways (P < 0.05) were significantly enriched (Figure 7, Table S2). There were 2 pathways involved in lipid metabolism (Table 5), including nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) (ssc04932) and sphingolipid metabolism (ssc06000). And oxidative phosphorylation (ssc00190) was related to oxidative stress (Table 5). There were 10 significantly enriched genes shown in Table 6 which were related to lipid metabolism and oxidative stress. Among them, 4 genes, APOE, PPARGC1A, CYP2E1, and TXNIP, were highly enriched both in GO terms and significantly expressed in KEGG pathways; 5 genes, APOA1, APOC3, LCAT, GPX1, and ROMO1, were only enriched in GO terms; and PPARA was only enriched in KEGG pathways.

3.6. DEG Expression in the Liver of Jinhua Pigs. To validate the RNA-seq results, 10 DEGs, including 7 upregulated genes (APOE, APOA1, APOC3, LCAT, CYP2E1, GPX1, and ROMO1) and 3 downregulated genes (PPARA, PPARGC1A, and TXNIP), were selected for RT-qPCR analysis in the liver of Jinhua pigs. As expected, all the selected DEGs showed a concordant expression pattern between the RNA-seq and qPCR results (Figure 8).

3.7. Validation of the Lipid-Related Phenotypes and Gene Expression in DLY Pigs. In order to validate the results from trial 1, we determined the liver lipid content of 82 DLY pigs, and we set the 7 pigs with the highest liver lipid content as the validation high (VH) group and the 7 pigs with the lowest liver lipid content as the validation low (VL) group. The mean of liver lipid content in the VH group was 6.24% while that in VL group was 4.26%, showing a significant difference (P < 0.0001, Figure 9(a)). As expected, the backfat thickness in the VH group was significantly higher than that in the VL group (P < 0.0001, Figure 9(b)).

In order to verify the reproducibility of the above results on the other breeds of pigs, RT-qPCR verification of 10 lipid-related genes, namely, APOE, APOA1, APOC3, LCAT, CYP2E1, GPX1, ROMO1, PPARA, and PPARGC1A, were performed on the liver tissues of the DLY pigs in the VH and VL groups. It was found that the expression patterns of these 10 genes were consistent with those in Jinhua pigs (Figure 10) with different liver lipid contents.

4. Discussion

As one of the most popular local pig breed in China, Jinhua pigs are famous for the superior meat quality with a higher body lipid content than the commercial pig breeds such as Landrace, Yorkshire, and Duroc [33]. The liver plays an important role in lipid metabolism, which is capable to secrete bile and bile acid salt emulsifying lipids to promote the digestion and absorption of lipids [4]. However, the data on lipid metabolism in Jinhua pigs is limited. In this study, transcriptome analysis was performed in the 14 liver samples to investigate the possible regulation of lipid deposition in Jinhua pigs. Similarly, Wang et al. [34] used RNA-seq technology to study the lipid metabolism mechanism in the liver of Yorkshire pigs and Anqing six-end-white pigs. Several genes responsible for lipid metabolism have been identified including PPARA, PCK1, CYP7A1, PLIN1, ACSL3, and RetSat. Xing et al. [35] conducted RNA-seq analysis on...
the livers of Songliao black pigs with high and low backfat thickness and identified genes involved in lipid regulation which played an important role in liver lipid and fatty acid metabolism, such as FABP1, LCN2, PLIN2, CYP1A1, CYP1A2, CYP2A6, and CYP26A1. Obesity is caused by excessive accumulation of lipid and is considered to be the main potential factor for the onset of many diseases (diabetes, cardiovascular, liver diseases, etc.), and these symptoms are all related to oxidative stress [12]. And oxidative stress is one of the factors restricting the pig industry, which has a certain impact on the production performance and health of pigs [8]. We used a larger pig population with 60 individuals and analyzed 14 liver samples, making our results more typical. In order to verify the repeatability of Jinhua pig lipid-related phenotypes and gene expression in other pig species, we conducted RT-qPCR to verify the expression levels of related genes in DLY white pigs, and the results showed that the expression patterns of related genes in DLY pigs were consistent with those in Jinhua pigs. This shows that our study is universal.

Apolipoproteins is a part of plasma lipoprotein, which is mainly divided into five categories: apolipoproteins A, B, C, D, and E. The basic function of apolipoproteins is to carry lipids and stabilize the structure of lipoproteins. Some apolipoproteins also have functions such as activating lipoprotein metabolism enzymes and recognizing receptors. It plays an important role in lipid transportation and metabolism. Additionally, apolipoproteins are the main component of very low-density lipoprotein (VLDL), chylomicrons (CM), and HDL [36]. Apolipoprotein A1 (APOA1), apolipoprotein C3 (APOC3), and apolipoprotein E (APOE) were positively regulated lipid deposition in the liver of Jinhua pigs. Studies

Figure 5: Liver tissue expression profiles of 6162 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in the H vs. L groups. Hierarchical clustering analysis of z-scored FPKM was performed for each DEG between Jinhua pigs in the H and L groups. Colour scale represents FPKM normalized log10 transformed counts. Horizontal bars represent genes. The vertical column represents samples. Red colour indicates upregulated genes, while blue colour indicates downregulated genes.
have shown that APOE could also mobilize cholesterol in cells and tissues. A special class of amphiphilic apolipoproteins, like APOA1 and APOE, could combine with ATP to interact with ATP-binding cassette subfamily A member 1 (ABCA1), forming a discoidal complex of phospholipids and apolipoproteins [37–39]. The role of this discoidal complex is to dissolve excess cholesterol existing in the plasma membrane of the cell or cholesterol shed from the cell to the extracellular matrix [40, 41]. Lechithin cholesterol acyltransferase (LCAT) can enhance the ability of this discoidal complex to dissolve cholesterol [42]. LCAT is an enzyme secreted by the liver that can esterify cholesterol in the center of HDL. With the actions of APOA1, APOD, and APOE, it would result in the further increase in the volume of HDL.
Figure 7: KEGG pathway enrichment analysis of differentially expressed genes (DEGs).
and promote lipid deposition [43]. APOC3 was related to the regulation of LPL activity. Studies have found that the overexpression of APOC3 will inhibit the activity of LPL, thereby increasing the triglyceride content, leading to lipid deposition [44]. Therefore, it is not surprising to find APOE, APOA1, APOC3, and LCAT giving a higher expression in the liver of the pigs in the H group than that in the L group.

Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor alpha (PPARA) and PPAR coactivator 1 alpha (PPARGC1A) belong to peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPARs) family. Among them, PPARA is mainly expressed in liver cells, cardiomyocytes, and brown adipocytes. PPARA participates in the metabolism of sphingolipids in the liver. Sphingolipids are a class of complex lipids with a ceramide structure, which are essential for various biological processes including development and growth [45]. PPARA is not only involved in lipid metabolism in the liver but also related to the β-oxidation of mitochondria. Studies have shown that the constitutive mitochondrial β-oxidation activity in the liver of PPARA knockout mice is significantly reduced [46]. PPARGC1A was first discovered and reported in the screening of mouse brown lipid cDNA library [47]. As a key nuclear transcription coactivator,

| Pathway ID | Description                        | P value          | Gene number |
|-----------|------------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| ssc04932  | Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) | 1.55503E – 05   | 49          |
| ssc00600  | Sphingolipid metabolism            | 0.02170968      | 25          |
| ssc00190  | Oxidative phosphorylation          | 2.1411E – 05    | 73          |

Table 5: The significantly enriched pathways associated with lipid metabolism and oxidative stress.

| Gene name | Gene ID | log2FoldChange | P value          | Descriptions                                      |
|-----------|---------|----------------|------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| APOE      | 397576  | 1.34           | 4.58E – 10       | Apolipoprotein E                                  |
| APOA1     | 397691  | 1.26           | 1.69E – 07       | Apolipoprotein A1                                 |
| APOC3     | 406187  | 1.12           | 4.14E – 08       | Apolipoprotein C3                                 |
| LCAT      | 10030723| 1.04           | 1.41E – 07       | Lecithin-cholesterol acyltransferase              |
| PPARA     | 397239  | -1.67          | 1.66E – 13       | Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor alpha  |
| PPARGC1A  | 397013  | -0.99          | 0.001012566      | PPARG coactivator 1 alpha                         |
| CYP2E1    | 403216  | 0.66           | 0.00042474       | Cytochrome P450 family 2 subfamily E member 1    |
| GPX1      | 397403  | 0.89           | 1.49E – 10       | Glutathione peroxidase 1                          |
| ROMO1     | 100154394| 1.16         | 2.48E – 08       | Reactive oxygen species modulator 1              |
| TXNIP     | 733688  | -1.18          | 1.89E – 05       | Thioredoxin interacting protein                   |

Table 6: Information of 16 DEGs associated with lipid metabolism and oxidative stress.

Figure 8: Validation of 10 DEGs by RT-qPCR in the liver of Jinhua pigs. The log2(fold change) was calculated when each indicated DEGs in the H group was compared to the L group. For the results of RT-qPCR, the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method was used to determine the relative expression level of each indicated DEGs in the H group over the L group.
PPARGC1A can bind to many different transcription factors, participate in a series of orderly metabolic processes, and play an important role in regulating mitochondrial biosynthesis, sugar metabolism, fatty acid oxidation, and muscle fiber type conversion [48–50]. PPARGC1A also plays a key role in regulating the redox environment of cells by upregulating the functions of antioxidant genes and their derivatives [51] and interacts with PPARs to increase fatty acid oxidation (FAO) [52]. Studies have shown that lysosomes can inhibit the expression of PPARA by inhibiting the expression of PPARGCIA, thereby causing lipid accumulation in the liver [53]. In this experiment, the expression levels of PPARA and PPARGCIA were both downregulated, and the lysosomal pathway was enriched and expressed in the KEGG pathway, so this can regulate lipid metabolism in the liver through the above process.

Among the members of the cytochrome P-450 family, the cytochrome P450 family 2 subfamily E member 1 (CYP2E1) has been extensively studied because it is metabolically activated by a variety of xenobiotics and carcinogens (including nitrosamines, benzene, vinyl chloride, and halogenated solvents). It is a key enzyme and has a significant contribution to the metabolism of ethanol to acetaldehyde [54]. In the liver, CYP2E1 is mainly expressed in the endoplasmic reticulum of hepatocytes in the lobular center, which has high NADPH oxidase activity, that can lead to the production of ROS and significantly promote the induction of oxidative stress in many pathological conditions [55]. CYP2E1 is related to oxidative stress. In this experiment, CYP2E1 was upregulated in the H group. One of the most important proteins in the inner mitochondrial membrane is ROS modulator 1 (ROMO1), which interferes with the production of ROS, and as the rate of this protein increases, oxidative stress increases, which ultimately leads to some diseases [56]. Studies have shown that the increase in Romo1 expression enhances cellular ROS levels and oxidative DNA damage [57], which consistent with our experimental results. The thioredoxin-interacting protein (TXNIP) is a multifunctional adaptor protein for different signaling pathways. TXNIP is a multifunctional adaptor protein for different signaling pathways [58]. The main role of TXNIP is to negatively regulate the function of thioredoxin (TRX) by inhibiting its reducing ability and promoting cellular oxidative stress [59]. Studies have shown that high levels of

Figure 9: The liver lipid content and backfat thickness of DLY pigs in the VH and VL groups. (a) The liver lipid content of different groups (n = 7). (b) The thickness of backfat in different groups (n = 7). Data was expressed as mean ± SEM with statistical analysis by unpaired two-tailed Student’s t-test. VH: validation high group including pigs with high liver lipid content; VL: validation low group including pigs with low liver lipid content.

Figure 10: Validation of 10 related genes by RT-qPCR in the liver of DLY pigs. For the results of RT-qPCR, the 2−ΔΔCt method was used to determine the relative expression level of 10 related genes in the VH group over the VL group. VH: validation high group including pigs with high liver lipid content; VL: validation low group including pigs with low liver lipid content.
TXNIP inhibit the redox activity of cytoplasmic TRX1 and increase the level of ROS. On the contrary, ROS can negatively regulate the expression of TXNIP. GPX1 is an important antioxidant enzyme involved in preventing the harmful accumulation of hydrogen peroxide in cells [60]. It is present in all cells and has been found to be more effective than catalase in removing intracellular peroxides under many physiological conditions [61]. GPX1 can also reduce lipid hydroperoxides and other soluble hydroperoxides [62] and can reduce 1-linoleoyl lysophosphatidylcholine hydroperoxide, but not tri- or diacylglycerol hydroperoxides [63]. GPX1 cannot be replaced by any other selenoproteins in protecting against systemic oxidative stress, and GPX1 has the main antioxidant function in the body [64]. Studies have shown that overexpression of GPX1 can protect mice under oxidative stress, but it can still cause obesity and diabetes [65].

The accumulation of lipid in the liver is mainly due to a problem in the balance between lipid acquisition and processing [66]. Based on the results above, we summarized the pathways of the lipid metabolism in the liver of Jinhua pigs (Figure 11). APOA1 is produced and secreted from the liver and released into the plasma to combine with free fatty acids (FFA) to form a ndHDL. Once ndHDL is produced, it will cause cholesterol efflux, and then, ndHDL will absorb the efflux cholesterol; then, it will be esterified by LCAT to produce HDL, which carries with APOA1, APOC3, and APOE. It is then transported back to the liver by scavenger receptor B1 (SRB1), leading to lipid deposition in the liver. On the other hand, lysosomes could inhibit the expression of PPARGC1A, thereby reducing the expression of PPARA as well as resulting in lipid deposition in the liver. Excessive lipid deposition as well as overexpression of CYP2E1 and ROMO1 would promote oxidative stress, further inducing the expression of the antioxidant enzyme GPX1 with high ROS levels suppressing the expression of TXNIP.

5. Conclusions

In this study, we analyzed the DEGs in pigs with different liver lipid contents. Through GO enrichment analysis and KEGG analysis, it is found that 10 DEGs, namely, APOE, APOA1, APOC3, LCAT, PPARA, PPARGC1A, CYP2E1, GPX1, ROMO1, and TXNIP, displayed a crucial regulatory role in lipid metabolism and oxidative stress in the liver of pigs. This study provided insights into the molecular mechanism for regulating lipid metabolism and oxidative stress in pigs even humans.

Abbreviations

| Abbreviation | Description |
|--------------|-------------|
| ABCA1: | ATP-binding cassette subfamily A member 1 |
| APOA1: | Apolipoprotein A1 |
| APOC3: | Apolipoprotein C3 |
| APOE: | Apolipoprotein E |
| CM: | Chylomicrons |
| CYP1A1: | Cytochrome P450 family 1 subfamily A member 1 |
| CYP2A6: | Cytochrome P450 family 2 subfamily A member 6 |
CYP7A1: Cytochrome P450 family 7 subfamily A member 1
CYP26A1: Cytochrome P450 family 26 subfamily A member 1
CYP2E1: Cytochrome P450 family 2 subfamily E member 1
DEGs: Differentially expressed genes
FABP1: Fatty acid-binding protein 1
FAO: Fatty acids oxidation
FFA: Free fatty acids
FPKM: Fragments per kilobase of transcript sequence per million base-pairs sequenced
GAPDH: Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase
GO: Gene Ontology
GPX1: Glutathione peroxidase 1
HDL: High-density lipoproteins
KEGG: The Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes
LCAT: Lecithin-cholesterol acyltransferase
LCN2: Lipocalin-2
LDL: Low-density lipoproteins
LPL: Lipoprotein lipase
nHDL: Nascent discoidal HDL
PCK1: Phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase 1
PPARA: Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor alpha
PPARGC1A: PPARG coactivator 1 alpha
PPARs: Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors
RCT: Reverse cholesterol transport
RetSat: Retinol saturase
ROMO1: ROS modulator 1
ROS: Reactive oxygen species
RNA-seq: RNA sequencing
SCOA: Succinyl-CoA : 3-ketoacid CoA-transferase
SEM: Standard error of mean
SRBI: Scavenger receptor class B type 1
TG: Triglycerides
TRX: Thioredoxin
TXNIP: Thioredoxin-interacting protein
VLDL: Very low-density lipoproteins.
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