Membrane Binding and Subcellular Localization of Retroviral Gag Proteins Are Differentially Regulated by MA Interactions with Phosphatidylinositol-(4,5)-Bisphosphate and RNA
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ABSTRACT The matrix (MA) domain of HIV-1 mediates proper Gag localization and membrane binding via interaction with a plasma-membrane (PM)-specific acidic phospholipid, phosphatidylinositol-(4,5)-bisphosphate [PI(4,5)P2]. HIV-1 MA also interacts with RNA, which prevents Gag from binding to membranes containing phosphatidylserine, a prevalent cellular acidic phospholipid. These results suggest that the MA-bound RNA promotes PM-specific localization of HIV-1 Gag by blocking non-specific interactions with cellular membranes that do not contain PI(4,5)P2. To examine whether PI(4,5)P2 dependence and RNA-mediated inhibition collectively determine MA phenotypes across a broad range of retroviruses and elucidate the significance of their interrelationships, we compared a panel of Gag-leucine zipper constructs (GagLZ) containing MA of different retroviruses. We found that in vitro membrane binding of GagLZ via HIV-1 MA and Rous sarcoma virus (RSV) MA is both PI(4,5)P2 dependent and susceptible to RNA-mediated inhibition. The PM-specific localization and virus-like particle (VLP) release of these GagLZ proteins are severely impaired by overexpression of a PI(4,5)P2-depleting enzyme, polyphosphoinositide 5-phosphatase IV (5ptaseIV). In contrast, membrane binding of GagLZ constructs that contain human T-lymphotropic virus type 1 (HTLV-1) MA, murine leukemia virus (MLV) MA, and human endogenous retrovirus K (HERV-K) MA is PI(4,5)P2 independent and not blocked by RNA. The PM localization and VLP release of these GagLZ chimeras were much less sensitive to 5ptaseIV expression. Notably, single amino acid substitutions that confer a large basic patch rendered HTLV-1 MA susceptible to the RNA-mediated block, suggesting that RNA readily blocks MA containing a large basic patch, such as HIV-1 and RSV MA. Further analyses of these MA mutants suggest a possibility that HIV-1 and RSV MA acquired PI(4,5)P2 dependence to alleviate the membrane binding block imposed by RNA.

IMPORTANCE MA basic residues in the HIV-1 structural protein Gag interact with phosphatidylinositol-(4,5)-bisphosphate [PI(4,5)P2] and RNA. RNA inhibits HIV-1 MA binding to non-PI(4,5)P2 acidic lipids. This inhibition may promote PM specificity of Gag membrane binding, an early essential step in virus assembly. However, whether and how relationships between these interactions have developed among retroviruses are poorly understood. In this study, by comparing diverse retroviral MA domains, we elucidated a strong correlation among PI(4,5)P2 dependence, susceptibility to RNA-mediated inhibition, and cellular behaviors of Gag. Mutagenesis analyses suggest that a large basic patch on MA is sufficient to confer susceptibility to RNA-mediated inhibition but not for PI(4,5)P2-dependent membrane binding. Our findings highlight RNA's role as a general block of large basic patches and suggest a possibility that some retroviruses, including HIV-1, have evolved to bind PI(4,5)P2, while others have adopted smaller basic patches on their MA domains, to overcome the RNA-mediated restriction of membrane binding.

Assembly and release of retrovirus particles are mediated by the viral structural protein Gag. Human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) Gag is synthesized as a precursor polyprotein, comprising four major structural domains, matrix (MA), capsid (CA), nucleocapsid (NC), and p6, and two spacer peptides, SP1 and SP2 (1–3). Each of these domains plays essential roles during assembly. MA is responsible for targeting and binding of HIV-1 Gag to the plasma membrane (PM), the site where virus assembly occurs. The N-terminal domain of CA is implicated in Gag lattice arrangement during virus particle formation, while the C-terminal domain contains the CA dimer interface. Specific encapsidation of viral genomic RNA is determined by zinc finger motifs in NC, while NC binding to RNA also promotes Gag multimerization. The late-domain motifs within NC and p6 recruit cellular ESCRT complexes that facilitate release of virus particles from the cell surface (4–6).

HIV-1 MA contains bipartite signals that mediate Gag binding to the PM: the N-terminal myristoyl moiety and the highly basic
region (HBR), which spans residues 17 to 31 in the MA domain (7–9). The myristoyl moiety is sequestered within a hydrophobic pocket of HIV-1 MA. Structural changes caused by events such as Gag multimerization and Gag–phosphatidylinositol-(4,5)bisphosphate \([\text{PI}(4,5)\text{P}_2]\) interactions trigger exposure of the myristoyl moiety, facilitating hydrophobic interactions between MA and lipid bilayer membranes (10–17). The HBR contributes to membrane binding via electrostatic interactions with the acidic phospholipids. Several studies based on a variety of approaches, including protein footprinting, nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), and liposome binding, showed that the HIV-1 MA HBR interacts with \(\text{PI}(4,5)\text{P}_2\), a phosphoinositide that is found predominantly at the cytoplasmic leaflet of the PM (14, 18–23). It has also been shown that mutations in the HBR result in alterations of HIV-1 Gag localization from the PM to either the intracellular compartments or the cytosol (21, 22, 24–28). These findings suggest that HBR also plays a role in targeting HIV-1 specifically to the PM. Notably, when cellular \(\text{PI}(4,5)\text{P}_2\) is depleted by overexpression of polyphosphoinositide 5-phosphatase IV (5ptaseIV), HIV-1 Gag fails to bind PM efficiently and either remains in the cytosol or localizes to intracellular compartments, resulting in a significant reduction in HIV-1 release (20, 21, 27, 29, 30). These results suggest that HIV-1 MA–\(\text{PI}(4,5)\text{P}_2\) interactions are important for PM binding of HIV-1 Gag.

Using an in vitro liposome binding assay, we previously showed that HIV-1 Gag synthesized in vitro in rabbit reticulocyte lysates is unable to bind liposomes consisting of a neutral phospholipid phosphatidylcholine (PC) and an acidic phospholipid lysates is unable to bind liposomes consisting of a neutral phospholipid phosphatidylcholine (PC) and an acidic phospholipid phosphatidylinerine (PS) in a 2:1 ratio [PC:PS (2:1)] unless \(\text{PI}(4,5)\text{P}_2\) is also present in the liposomes (21). These results suggest that a bulk negative charge of liposomes is insufficient for efficient Gag-membrane interaction in the presence of mammalian cell lysates and that Gag membrane binding under these conditions requires the presence of \(\text{PI}(4,5)\text{P}_2\). In addition to \(\text{PI}(4,5)\text{P}_2\), HIV-1 MA binds RNA (22, 30–41). Using the same liposome binding assay described above, we found that HIV-1 Gag can bind PC:PS (2:1) liposomes when it is first treated with RNase. These and other results suggest that the MA–RNA interaction negatively regulates HIV-1 Gag membrane binding in the absence of \(\text{PI}(4,5)\text{P}_2\) by inhibiting HBR interaction with acidic lipids (22, 33, 42–44). The RNase responsiveness of Gag membrane binding is also observed for HIV-1 Gag derived from the cytosol of transfected HeLa cells, indicating that RNA present in human cells is capable of preventing Gag from binding to membrane in cells (33). Based on these results, we hypothesized that the interaction of MA HBR with RNA prevents premature or nonspecific binding of HIV-1 Gag to membranes containing prevalent acidic lipids, such as PS, and thereby consequentially ensures its specific binding to the PM, which contains \(\text{PI}(4,5)\text{P}_2\).

Basic surface patches are present not only on HIV-1 MA but on all retroviral MA domains for which structures have been determined. These surface patches are proposed or shown to interact electrostatically with phospholipid head groups (45–49). Like HIV-1 MA, the MA domains of other retroviruses are also known to interact with RNA either in cells or in vitro (39, 50–53). Furthermore, in addition to HIV-1 Gag, Gag proteins of many retroviruses, including HIV-2, equine infectious anemia virus (EIAV), murine leukemia virus (MLV), Rous sarcoma virus (RSV), and Mason-Pfizer monkey virus, were shown to either interact with \(\text{PI}(4,5)\text{P}_2\) via MA or produce virions in a manner that is susceptible to 5ptaseIV overexpression, suggesting that \(\text{PI}(4,5)\text{P}_2\) is involved in efficient assembly and release of these viruses (20, 29, 54–58). However, the degree of \(\text{PI}(4,5)\text{P}_2\) dependence may vary among different retroviruses. For instance, a study showed that even though EIAV MA can bind both \(\text{PI}(3,5)\text{P}_2\) and \(\text{PI}(4,5)\text{P}_2\), EIAV assembly was sensitive to an inhibitor of \(\text{PI}(3,5)\text{P}_2\) synthesis but not to 5ptaseIV overexpression (59). As for RSV, while \(\text{PI}(4,5)\text{P}_2\) was observed to promote Gag binding to liposome membranes (29), it remains unclear to what extent \(\text{PI}(4,5)\text{P}_2\) plays a role in PM localization of Gag and virus assembly in cells, since previous studies yielded inconsistent results on RSV Gag sensitivity to 5ptaseIV overexpression (29, 56). In this regard, a comparative study of various retroviruses in the same experimental systems should allow us to determine the spectrum of \(\text{PI}(4,5)\text{P}_2\) dependence for membrane binding, subcellular localization, and virus particle production.

Using the in vitro liposome binding assay, we previously found that, unlike HIV-1 Gag, human T-lymphotropic virus type 1 (HTLV-1) Gag does not require \(\text{PI}(4,5)\text{P}_2\) for efficient membrane binding to PC:PS (2:1) liposomes (30). We also observed that RNase treatment of HTLV-1 Gag does not increase its binding to PC:PS (2:1) liposomes, unlike HIV-1 Gag, which is highly responsive to RNase treatment. These results suggest that HTLV-1 Gag membrane binding is not inhibited by RNA, unlike that of HIV-1 Gag. However, in that study, the presence of viral RNA and the downstream NC domain complicated the interpretation of the results as to the intrinsic properties of HTLV-1 MA relative to those of HIV-1 MA. As such, several major questions, including the following, remain to be answered. (i) Is the RNA susceptibility (or lack thereof) determined primarily by MA? If so, which feature of MA determines its susceptibility to RNA? (ii) What is the relationship between \(\text{PI}(4,5)\text{P}_2\) interaction and RNA binding of HIV-1 MA? Are they inseparable or regulated differently? (iii) What is the significance of such relationships in assembly? For example, was RNA recruited to enhance the specificity for \(\text{PI}(4,5)\text{P}_2\) or did the RNA-mediated block necessitate \(\text{PI}(4,5)\text{P}_2\) interaction? (iv) Last, can \(\text{PI}(4,5)\text{P}_2\) dependence and susceptibility to RNA (or the lack thereof) serve as a general principle that can explain membrane binding and subcellular localization phenotypes of a broad range of retroviral MA domains?

In this study, in order to broadly analyze the specific roles of MA–\(\text{PI}(4,5)\text{P}_2\) and MA–RNA interactions in membrane binding, we analyzed chimeric HIV-1 Gag derivatives where HIV-1 MA is replaced with MA domains of other retroviruses, each representing a retroviral genus, i.e., HTLV-1 (deltaretrovirus), MLV (gammaretrovirus), RSV (alpharetrovirus), and human endogenous retrovirus K (HERV-K) (betaretrovirus). In this analysis, we focused on retroviruses that follow the type C assembly pathway, in which most of the virus particle assembly process takes place at the membrane (e.g., the PM) (60). To eliminate the effect of NC–RNA binding while allowing Gag multimerization, we replaced the membrane (e.g., the PM) (60). To eliminate the effect of NC–RNA binding while allowing Gag multimerization, we replaced the NC domain with a leucine zipper motif in these constructs (GagLZ) (61). We examined their localization and VLP release efficiencies in cells, as well as their membrane binding properties, using both cell-based and in vitro methods. Our data demonstrate that \(\text{PI}(4,5)\text{P}_2\) dependence and RNA-mediated inhibition are highly correlated properties among the five different retroviral MA domains. We found that there are two distinct groups among the retroviral MA domains that differ in their membrane binding phenotypes: those that are \(\text{PI}(4,5)\text{P}_2\) de-
and RNase responsive (MA of HIV-1 and RSV) and those that are neither PI(4,5)P$_2$ dependent nor RNase responsive (MA of HTLV-1, MLV, and HERV-K). Using a structure-guided mutagenesis approach, we also elucidated an MA determinant for RNA susceptibility. We found that, strikingly, single point mutations that increase the size of an MA basic patch convert HTLV-1 MA to MA that is sensitive to RNA-mediated inhibition of membrane binding. However, unlike the case with HIV-1 MA and RSV MA, which also have a large basic patch, PI(4,5)P$_2$ failed to reverse the RNA-mediated inhibition for these HTLV-1 MA mutants, suggesting that PI(4,5)P$_2$ dependence and RNA susceptibility are genetically separable. These results support the model that PI(4,5)P$_2$ dependence is an adaptation of retroviruses that contain a large basic patch on the MA surface, such as HIV-1 and RSV, to overcome the strong membrane binding block imposed by RNA. Other retroviruses, such as HTLV-1 and MLV, may have adopted smaller basic patches on their MA surface to avoid RNA-mediated membrane binding inhibition.

RESULTS

MA domains of different retroviruses determine subcellular localization patterns of Gag chimeras. As a first step to compare the properties of different retroviral MA domains, we tested the subcellular localization of enhanced cyan fluorescent protein (eCFP)-tagged full-length Gag proteins of different retroviruses in transfected HeLa cells. The cell surface was stained using concanavalin A (ConA) labeled with Alexa Fluor 594 to better distinguish Gag at the PM from those at intracellular sites. As was evident in the intensity profiles of ConA and Gag-eCFP, cells expressing eCFP-tagged HIV-1 Gag, RSV Gag, or HERV-K Gag displayed the highest Gag-eCFP signals at the cell periphery (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material), indicating that these Gag-eCFP proteins localize predominantly to the PM. In contrast, cells expressing eCFP-tagged HTLV-1 Gag, MLV Gag, and EIAV Gag showed eCFP intensity peaks at both the cell periphery and cytoplasmic regions, indicating that these Gag-eCFP proteins localize to both PM and intracellular compartments (see Fig. S1). To test whether the differential localization patterns can be attributed primarily to MA domains and not downstream sequences, we constructed a panel of Gag chimeras by replacing the MA domain of HIV-1 Gag with that of RSV, HTLV-1, MLV, HERV-K, or EIAV (Fig. 1A). In these experiments, to eliminate the effect of NC-RNA binding so as to focus on the direct effect of the MA-RNA interaction, we replaced NC with a leucine zipper motif (GagLZ) in these constructs (Fig. 1A) (61). HIV-1 Gag chimeras containing HIV-1 CA and this LZ sequence were previously shown to support wild-type level VLP formation in the absence of NC (61). Like their full-length counterparts, eCFP-tagged HIV-1 GagLZ, RSV MA GagLZ, and HERV-K MA GagLZ localized predominantly at the PM in HeLa cells (see Fig. S1). In contrast, eCFP-tagged HTLV-1 MA GagLZ and MLV MA GagLZ localized to both the PM and intracellular compartments, as observed with their full-length versions. Altogether, these results suggest that the MA domains of HIV-1, HTLV-1, RSV, MLV, and HERV-K determine the subcellular localization of Gag regardless of the downstream sequences. Unlike other chimeric GagLZ constructs tested, however, EIAV MA GagLZ and its full-length counterpart, EIAV Gag, showed different localization patterns. We found that EIAV MA GagLZ localized mainly to the PM, unlike wild-type EIAV Gag, which localized to both the PM and intracellular compartments (see Fig. S1) (59). This suggests that the MA domain of EIAV Gag is not the sole determinant of Gag localization and that the downstream sequences of EIAV MA play a role as well. For this reason, we chose not to pursue EIAV MA GagLZ in subsequent comparative analyses of retroviral MA domains.

Differential effects of PI(4,5)P$_2$ depletion on subcellular localization of GagLZ chimeras in HeLa cells. We and others previously reported that 5ptaseIV overexpression abolishes localization of HIV-1 Gag to the PM and instead increases the hazy cytosolic localization in HeLa cells (21, 27, 29, 30). To assess the effect of PI(4,5)P$_2$ depletion on subcellular localization of chimeric GagLZ proteins described above, HeLa cells were transfected with a plasmid encoding yellow fluorescent protein (YFP)-tagged chimeric GagLZ constructs (GagLZ-YFP), along with a plasmid encoding either Myc-tagged full-length (FL) 5ptaseIV or its Δ1 derivative, and were examined by fluorescence microscopy (Fig. 1B; see also Fig. S2 in the supplemental material). The 5ptaseIV Δ1 derivative lacks the functional phosphatase domain and therefore does not deplete cellular PI(4,5)P$_2$ (21, 27, 29, 30). We used YFP-tagged chimeric GagLZ constructs in this experiment because they display higher signal-to-background ratios than eCFP-tagged ones. Substitution of FP in these chimeric GagLZ constructs did not alter their subcellular localizations (compare Fig. S2, 5ptaseIV Δ1, with Fig. S1). To measure the effect of 5ptaseIV expression on localization of the chimeric GagLZ constructs quantitatively, we analyzed 80 to 150 cells expressing both Myc-tagged and YFP-tagged proteins per condition and categorized them in a blind manner into 3 different groups based on GagLZ-YFP localization patterns: (i) predominant localization at the PM (black bar), (ii) localization to both PM and intracellular compartments (white bar), and (iii) hazy cytosolic localization (gray bar) (see Fig. S2A). These three localization patterns were also validated via comparison of the GagLZ-YFP signal intensity profiles with the signal intensity profiles of ConA-Alexa Fluor 594, the PM marker (see Fig. S2B). In 5ptaseIV Δ1-expressing cells, a majority of HIV-1 GagLZ, RSV MA GagLZ, and HERV-K MA GagLZ showed punctate PM localizing pattern. However, when 5ptaseIV FL was expressed, most cells expressing HIV-1 GagLZ and RSV MA GagLZ displayed hazy cytosolic signals, indicating a defect in Gag membrane binding. In contrast, HERV-K MA GagLZ still localized to the PM, with a modest increase in the population of cells showing dual localization to the PM and intracellular compartments in 5ptaseIV FL-expressing cells. On the other hand, 5ptaseIV overexpression did not drastically alter localization of HTLV-1 MA GagLZ and MLV MA GagLZ; most cells showed GagLZ localized to both the PM and intracellular compartments regardless of whether they expressed 5ptaseIV Δ1 or FL. While localization of these GagLZ proteins to intracellular compartments appeared to be increased in some of the cells expressing 5ptaseIV FL, PM localization was still observed in these cells.

In addition to the analysis of cell populations described above, we also sought to measure quantitatively the effect of 5ptaseIV expression on the chimeric GagLZ localization on single-cell bases. To this end, we acquire images using confocal microscopy and calculated Pearson’s correlation coefficient (PCC) between YFP-tagged GagLZ constructs and ConA-Alexa Fluor 594. Confocal imaging (Fig. 1B) showed qualitatively same localization patterns for GagLZ chimeras as observed by epifluorescence microscopy (see Fig. S2 in the supplemental material) but eliminated out-of-focus signals. In the PCC analysis (Fig. 1D), we used the
FIG 1  PM Localization of HTLV-1 MA GagLZ, MLV MA GagLZ, and HERV-K MA GagLZ persists upon 5ptaseIV overexpression, unlike that of HIV-1 GagLZ and RSV MA GagLZ. (A) Schematic illustrations of HIV-1 Gag, HIV-1 GagLZ, RSV MA GagLZ, HTLV-1 MA GagLZ, MLV MA GagLZ, and HERV-K MA GagLZ are shown. The first amino acid, methionine, is included in the numbering of MA residues, although the methionine is removed upon N-terminal myristylation. All Gag proteins were expressed from the same CMV-promoter-driven vector backbone. (B) HeLa cells expressing YFP-tagged HIV-1 GagLZ, RSV MA GagLZ, HTLV-1 MA GagLZ, MLV MA GagLZ, and HERV-K MA GagLZ, along with Myc-tagged full-length (FL) 5ptaseIV or the Δ1 derivative, were stained with ConA (Continued)
distributions of wild-type HIV-1 Gag-YFP, a chimeric HIV-1 Gag-YFP which contains HTLV-1 MA (HTMA Gag-YFP) (30), and a membrane-binding-defective HIV-1 mutant (1GA Gag-YFP) as controls (Fig. 1C). These Gag constructs were previously determined to display predominantly PM, PM plus intracellular, and hazy cytosolic localizations, respectively. The PCC of ConA with HIV-1 Gag-YFP was found to be above 0.6; ConA with HTMA Gag-YFP was around 0.5; and ConA with 1GA Gag-YFP was below 0.1 (Fig. 1D). In this analysis, we found that in 5ptaseIV Δ1-expressing cells, HIV-1 GagLZ and RSV MA GagLZ showed high PCC values with ConA (above 0.6) (Fig. 1D). When 5ptaseIV FL was expressed, HIV-1 GagLZ and RSV MA GagLZ showed great reductions in PCC with ConA (below or near 0). In contrast, only modest (while statistically significant) changes were observed in PCC values for HTLV-1 MA GagLZ, MLV MA GagLZ, or HERV-K MA GagLZ with ConA between 5ptaseIV Δ1- and FL-expressing cells. These data (Fig. 1D) quantitatively support our observation shown in Fig. S2, which revealed that GagLZ chimeras containing HTLV-1 MA, MLV MA, and HERV-K MA are able to localize at the PM regardless of the 5ptaseIV expression.

Altogether, these results suggest that while PI(4,5)P₂ is required for localization of HIV-1 GagLZ and RSV MA GagLZ to the PM, it is not essential for PM localization of chimeric GagLZ constructs containing HERV-K MA, HTLV-1 MA, or MLV MA in HeLa cells.

Virus-like particle production of HIV-1 GagLZ and RSV MA GagLZ but not that of HTLV-1 MA GagLZ, MLV MA GagLZ, and HERV-K MA GagLZ is severely inhibited upon cellular PI(4,5)P₂ depletion in HeLa cells. In parallel with the microscopy analysis, we also sought to determine the effect of PI(4,5)P₂ depletion on virus-like particle (VLP) release of the GagLZ chimeras with different retroviral MA domains. To this end, we examined the effect of 5ptaseIV expression on VLP production of the untagged GagLZ constructs. We transfected HeLa cells with a plasmid encoding one of the GagLZ chimeras, along with expression plasmids for Rev, humanized Vpu (Vphu), and either 5ptaseIV FL or the Δ1 derivative. Sixteen hours posttransfection, cell and viral lysates were collected. GagLZ proteins in lysates were then detected by immunoblotting using HIV immunoglobulin, and the virus release efficiency was calculated. Consistent with the diminished PM localization, we observed that VLP release efficiency of HIV-1 GagLZ and RSV MA GagLZ was greatly reduced (~3 to 10-fold) upon coexpression of 5ptaseIV FL relative to that when they were coexpressed with 5ptaseIV Δ1 (Fig. 2). In contrast, the VLP production of HTLV-1 MA GagLZ, MLV MA GagLZ, and HERV-K MA GagLZ was only modestly reduced (less than 2-fold) upon 5ptaseIV FL coexpression, consistent with the PM localization of these GagLZ proteins, which was not visibly altered upon 5ptaseIV FL expression (Fig. 2). We noticed that the expression levels of RSV MA GagLZ in cells (and hence in VLPs) were much higher than those of other GagLZ tested (such as MLV MA GagLZ), potentially affecting quantification of RSV MA GagLZ (Fig. 2A).
However, when reduced amounts of lysates were loaded, VLP release of RSV MA GagLZ still showed significant sensitivity to 5ptaseIV FL, whereas MLV MA GagLZ VLP release did not (see Fig. S3A and S3B in the supplemental material). Consistent with findings of the immunoblotting experiments described above, analyses of VLP release efficiency using metabolic labeling followed by radioimmunoprecipitation showed that VLP release efficiency of RSV MA GagLZ was reduced 5-fold in cells expressing 5ptaseIV FL relative to that in cells expressing 5ptaseIV Δ1, whereas that of HTLV-1 MA GagLZ was reduced only 2-fold (unpublished data). Of note, the lower-molecular-weight band of RSV MA GagLZ, which has also been observed with its full-length counterpart (62), is likely due to internal initiation from methionine residue 139. Deletion of this site led to the elimination of this band but did not affect the sensitivity of VLP release to 5ptaseIV FL expression (see Fig. S3C). Overall, these results indicate that cellular PI(4,5)P2 is essential for efficient VLP production in HeLa cells for GagLZ chimeras containing HIV-1 MA or RSV MA but not for those containing HTLV-1 MA, MLV MA, or HERV-K MA.

MA domains of HIV-1 and RSV but not those of HTLV-1, MLV, and HERV-K mediate membrane binding of GagLZ in a PI(4,5)P2-dependent manner. The results described above demonstrate that there are two groups of retroviral MA domains that differ from each other in terms of PI(4,5)P2 dependence: while PI(4,5)P2 is essential for the PM localization of GagLZ and VLP production mediated by some retroviral MA domains (HIV-1 and RSV domains), it is dispensable for those facilitated by other retroviral MA domains (HTLV-1, MLV, and HERV-K domains). To further examine the role of PI(4,5)P2 in mediating membrane binding via retroviral MA domains, we performed an in vitro liposome binding assay. We observed that similar to full-length HIV-1 Gag, HIV-1 GagLZ bound poorly to control liposomes containing PC and PS in a 2:1 ratio [here referred to as PC:PS (2:1) liposomes], but its binding efficiency increased significantly when containing PC and PS in a 2:1 ratio [here referred to as PC:PS (2:1) liposomes]. We observed that similar to full-length retroviral MA domains, we performed an in vitro membrane binding assay. We observed that similar to full-length HIV-1 Gag, HIV-1 GagLZ is responsive to RNase treatment, indicating that MA-bound RNA rather than NC-
bound RNA is likely responsible for the membrane binding block to PC:PS (2:1) liposomes (Fig. 3C and D). Similar to that of HIV-1 GagLZ, binding of RSV MA GagLZ to PC:PS (2:1) liposomes was poor, and its binding efficiency increased greatly upon RNase treatment (Fig. 3C and D). In contrast, chimeric Gag LZ containing either HTLV-1 MA, MLV MA, or HERV-K MA, which bound to PC:PS (2:1) liposomes efficiently in the absence of PI(4,5)P2, did not respond to RNase treatment (Fig. 3C and D). These results indicate that while RNA suppresses membrane binding via HIV-1 MA and RSV MA, the membrane binding mediated by HTLV-1 MA, MLV MA, and HERV-K MA is insensitive to RNA-mediated suppression.

Altogether, we observed a striking correlation between PI(4,5)P2 dependence and susceptibility to an RNA-mediated block of membrane binding across MA domains of different retroviruses. A retroviral MA that requires PI(4,5)P2 for efficient membrane binding is responsive to RNase treatment. Conversely, if a retroviral MA domain binds membrane in a PI(4,5)P2-independent manner, its membrane binding does not change upon RNase treatment. This correlation also extends to the Gag L LZ behaviors in cells. While PM localization and VLP release efficiency of PI(4,5)P2-dependent, RNase-responsive GagLZ (containing HIV-1 MA or RSV MA) are highly sensitive to 5ptaseIV overexpression, the PI(4,5)P2-independent and RNase-nonresponsive GagLZ (containing HTLV-1 MA, MLV MA, or HERV-K MA) are minimally sensitive to 5ptaseIV overexpression.

RNA serves as an inhibitor for membrane binding of retroviral MA with a large basic surface patch. Our results thus far suggest that there is a correlation between PI(4,5)P2 dependence and sensitivity to RNA-mediated inhibition in the membrane binding of retroviral MA domains. To examine whether these properties could be attributed to a feature of the retroviral MA structures, we compared the basic patch distribution on surfaces of previously solved retroviral MA structures, namely, HIV-1 MA, RSV MA, MLV MA, and HTLV-2 MA (63–65), as done previously (49) (see Fig. S4 in the supplemental material). The comparison of electrostatic potential maps suggests that both HIV-1 MA and RSV MA contain a large basic patch on their surfaces, whereas HTLV-2 MA and MLV MA contain several smaller basic patches. We also modeled the structure of HTLV-1 MA based on the HTLV-2 MA structure (PDB 1JVR) (45) using SWISS-MODEL, a protein structure homology-modeling server, (66, 67). When we compared the distributions of basic amino acids between the predicted model of HTLV-1 MA and an NMR structure of HIV-1 MA (2HMX), we found that HIV-1 MA contains a larger basic surface patch than those found on HTLV-1 MA (Fig. 4A). To further examine whether the size of a surface basic patch on retroviral MA plays a role in regulating membrane binding, we introduced acidic-to-basic substitutions for 2 amino acid residues close to a small basic patch (D42 and E55) in HTLV-1 MA. These changes were made in the HTLV-1 MA GagLZ context and tested for their effects on binding to PC:PS (2:1) liposomes with or without RNase treatment. Despite the increased positive charge, which could enhance electrostatic interaction with negatively charged liposomes, we found that all mutants show reduced binding to PC:PS (2:1) liposomes relative to that of wild-type HTLV-1 MA GagLZ (Fig. 4B). While the E55K mutation reduced lipidosome binding only slightly, the reduction in lipidosome binding was prominent with mutants with the D42K change. Upon RNase treatment, however, all mutants bound to PC:PS (2:1) liposomes as efficiently as wild-type HTLV-1 MA GagLZ (Fig. 4B). These results indicate that the mutant MA domains retain the ability to bind membrane as revealed by RNase treatment and hence are unlikely to be grossly misfolded. More importantly, these results demonstrate that single or double amino acid substitutions, which increase the size of a basic patch, can readily convert an otherwise RNA-insensitive MA to one that is susceptible to RNA-mediated inhibition. Altogether, these results suggest that the size of MA basic patches is a determinant for susceptibility of retroviral-MA-mediated membrane binding to RNA-mediated inhibition.
RNA inhibits membrane binding of HTLV-1 MA GagLZ mutants that contain an expanded basic patch in the MA domain. (A) A comparison of HIV-1 MA (PDB 2HMX) and HTLV-1 MA as represented by their molecular surfaces. The structure of HTLV-1 MA was modeled based on the NMR structure of HTLV-2 matrix (PDB 1JVR) using the SWISS-MODEL server. Basic residues are represented in blue, while acidic residues are represented in red. Note that HIV-1 MA contains a larger basic patch than those present in HTLV-1 MA. The red arrows identify residues D42 and E55 of HTLV-1 MA, which are mutated to lysine in the experiments shown in the following panels. (B) 35S-labeled WT and mutant HTLV-1 MA GagLZ proteins were synthesized using rabbit reticulocyte lysates and either treated or not treated with RNase A. The reaction mixtures were incubated with the control liposomes [PC:PS (2:1)] and were

(Continued)
Sequences were fixed and stained with ConA conjugated with Alexa Fluor 594. Note that punctate signals of WT HTLV-1 MA GagLZ localized to both PM and between Gag-YFP and ConA were calculated and are shown as means ± standard deviations.

DISCUSSION

In this work, we have revealed a clear correlation between PI(4,5)P₂ dependence and RNA-mediated inhibition of the membrane binding mediated by various retroviral MA domains. Using chimeric GagLZ constructs that differ only in MA domains allowed us to compare intrinsic properties of different MA domains without the potentially differential effects of the downstream regions, while allowing the Gag chimeras to multimerize and form VLPs (61, 69, 70). Using this approach, we demonstrated that GagLZ chimeras that are PI(4,5)P₂ dependent (those containing HIV-1 MA and RSV MA) in their membrane binding are susceptible to RNA-mediated inhibition. In contrast, GagLZ chimeras that do not require PI(4,5)P₂ for efficient membrane binding (those containing HTLV-1 MA, MLV MA, and HERV-K MA) are not inhibited by RNA. Since the chimeric GagLZ constructs displayed subcellular localization patterns that were indistinguishable from those of their full-length counterparts, membrane binding properties of the different retroviral MA domains in the GagLZ backbone examined in this study are likely to reflect those in the native contexts. Consistent with our results, a recent fluorescence fluctuation spectroscopy study showed that unlike HIV-1 MA, which is known to be inefficient in membrane binding, HTLV-1 MA can readily bind membrane in cells (71). It should be noted that our assays are designed to analyze PI(4,5)P₂ dependence of Gag proteins in the presence of RNA and mammalian cell components. Therefore, the lack of observed PI(4,5)P₂ dependence for GagLZ membrane binding via MLV MA does not necessarily contradict previous analyses of the interaction between PI(4,5)P₂ and purified unmyristoylated MLV MA, which were focused on the affinity between the purified components (55).

A comparison of the electrostatic potential of previously solved retroviral MA structures showed that HIV-1 MA and RSV MA contain a large basic surface patch compared to HTLV-2 MA and MLV MA. Furthermore, point mutations in HTLV-1 MA, which increase the size of a small basic patch on the MA surface, rendered the protein defective in binding to PC:PS (2:1) liposomes and RNase responsive, the membrane binding phenotypes reminiscent of those of HIV-1 GagLZ and RSV MA GagLZ. Conversely, we previously observed that multiple single point mutations that reduce the size of the basic surface patch of HIV-1 MA enhanced binding of HIV-1 MA mutants to PC:PS (2:1) liposomes (22). The susceptibility of Gag membrane binding to RNA-mediated inhibition does not appear to be a mere consequence of the increased total charge of MA. The net charges of HIV-1 MA and HTLV-1 MA (residues 1 to 116, which are present in our GagLZ chimeras) are similar (+4.16 and +4.39, respectively, at pH 7) even though RNA suppresses membrane binding of only the former but not the latter, highlighting the importance of how basic residues are distributed over the MA surface. Altogether, these results suggest that an increase in the size of a basic surface patch on retroviral MA results in an increase in stable RNA binding, which in turn imposes a stronger block on retroviral MA membrane binding.

Interestingly, HTLV-1 MA GagLZ mutants (D42K and D42K/E55K), which have larger basic patches and are susceptible to RNA-mediated inhibition, were unable to bind efficiently to PI(4,5)P₂-containing liposomes, unlike HIV-1 MA GagLZ and RSV MA GagLZ. The inability to bind PI(4,5)P₂, and the susceptibility to RNA block were also observed with an HIV-1 Gag mutant, HBR/RKswitch, where all lysine and arginine residues within HIV-1 HBR are swapped with each other (72). The failure of the HTLV-1 MA GagLZ mutants and HBR/RKswitch in utilizing PI(4,5)P₂ for membrane binding indicates that the presence of a large basic surface patch is insufficient for PI(4,5)P₂ interaction yet is sufficient for MA-RNA interaction. Therefore, it appears likely that HIV-1 MA and RSV MA, which contain a large basic surface patch, have evolved to counteract RNA-mediated inhibition by interacting specifically with PI(4,5)P₂. On the other hand, HTLV-1 MA and MLV MA avoid strong RNA-mediated suppression of membrane binding, possibly due to their smaller basic patches. In light of the observation that both PI(4,5)P₂-dependent and -independent MA domains mediate efficient VLP production, the significance of PI(4,5)P₂ dependence for HIV-1 and RSV may be to counteract RNA-mediated suppression, which inevitably results in PM-specific localization.

The model described above also suggests an alternative mechanism for localization of Gag to intracellular vesicles observed for several retroviruses. Such intracellular localization has been explained as the consequence of nonspecific endocytosis of virions formed at the PM (73, 74). However, in our comparison, while HIV-1 GagLZ and RSV MA GagLZ were found almost exclusively at the PM, where PI(4,5)P₂ localizes in cells, HTLV-1 MA GagLZ and MLV MA GagLZ localized at both the PM and intracellular
compartments despite the fact that they all share the same multimerization domains. This suggests that intracellular localization via VLP endocytosis for these GagLZ proteins would have to be an MA-specific process rather than nonspecific internalization. Alternatively, according to our model, due to the weaker RNA-mediated block of membrane binding, HTLV-1 MA GagLZ and MLV MA GagLZ are able to bind to different cellular membranes via prevalent acidic lipids and thus localize to both PM and intracellular compartments in cells. We observed that HTLV-1 MA GagLZ and MLV MA GagLZ bind liposomes containing PS or other acidic lipids (phosphatidylylycerol or phosphatidic acids) with similar efficiencies (unpublished data). Nevertheless, because of the relative abundance and broad subcellular distribution (75, 76), it is still quite possible that PS mediates Gag membrane binding via HTLV-1 MA or MLV MA in cells and thus leads to their intracellular localization.

Subcellular localization patterns and the severity of the effect of 5ptaseIV expression on VLP production generally correlated well with the membrane binding phenotypes of GagLZ chimeras in this study. However, subcellular localization of HERV-K MA GagLZ was inconsistent with membrane binding and VLP production phenotypes of this GagLZ protein. HERV-K MA GagLZ localized predominantly to the PM, and upon 5ptaseIV expression, a substantial fraction localized to intracellular compartments, even though in vitro membrane binding of this GagLZ derivative and its VLP release in cells are independent of the presence of PI(4,5)P₂. One can speculate that in cells, HERV-K MA GagLZ may be able to bind both PI(4,5)P₂ and another PM-specific molecule, only the latter of which promotes productive assembly.

The direct comparison of 5 different retroviral MA domains in this study highlighted the difference in severity of VLP release inhibition by 5ptaseIV expression. In particular, it is of note that 5ptaseIV overexpression inhibits GagLZ particle production mediated by RSV MA but not as severely as that mediated by HIV-1 MA. While the cause of the difference between the two GagLZ chimeras remains unknown, these results potentially reconcile two apparently contradicting previous studies; while Chan et al. reported that 5ptaseIV has a minimal effect on full-length RSV Gag VLP production compared to HIV-1 Gag (29), Nadarai-Hoke et al. reported that PM localization and virus release are reduced upon 5ptaseIV overexpression (56). Thus, as was the case with RSV MA GagLZ in this study, it is possible that the effect of 5ptaseIV overexpression on full-length RSV Gag may be less severe than that on HIV-1 Gag but still detectable in a sensitive assay (as speculated in a recent review [77]).

Our current study demonstrated that VLP release efficiency of MLV MA GagLZ is only modestly reduced upon 5ptaseIV overexpression (less than 2-fold), whereas previous studies showed that full-length MLV Gag VLP production is greatly reduced by 5ptaseIV overexpression (3- to 8-fold) (20, 55). Such discrepancies exist possibly due to the difference in downstream sequences and/or the difference in the type of cell lines in which the experiments were carried out. In particular, the native NC domain may modulate membrane binding phenotypes, possibly by facilitating capture of RNA that can in turn bind to MA and nesseitate PI(4,5)P₂ interaction for efficient membrane binding. While this study focused on intrinsic properties of various retroviral MA domains compared in the same context, ongoing studies are aimed at the modulatory role of NC-mediated RNA interactions in lipid-RNA competition over MA domains.

In summary, this study has demonstrated that membrane binding of retroviral MA can be either PI(4,5)P₂ dependent and sensitive to RNA-mediated inhibition or PI(4,5)P₂ independent and RNA insensitive. We also showed that an RNA-insensitive MA can be readily converted to an RNA-sensitive one by expanding an MA surface basic patch, but such expansion is insufficient for the ability to utilize PI(4,5)P₂ for efficient membrane binding in the presence of the RNA block. Based on our study, we propose that the block imposed by RNA on membrane binding has driven retroviruses with a large basic patch on the MA surface to acquire the ability to use PI(4,5)P₂, whereas other retroviruses may have maintained smaller basic patches on their MA domains to evade this inhibition. Our study also highlights a potential role for RNA as a broad inhibitor that negatively regulates membrane binding of cytoplasmic proteins that have basic patches.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plasmids. pCMV-RRE-HIV-1 GagLZ was constructed from pCMV-RRE-HIV1.5-Gag, which was described previously (30). To focus specifically on the role of MA-RNA interaction in Gag localization, the NC region of HIV-1 Gag, which also contain an RNA-binding domain, was replaced with leucine zipper dimerization motif (LZ) from yeast GCN4 activator (a kind gift from H. Göttlinger) (61). This construct, called HIV-1 GagLZ, is able to multimerize and form virus-like particles similarly to wild-type HIV-1 Gag. pCMV-RRE-HTLV-1 MA GagLZ was constructed by replacing HIV-1 MA of pCMV-RRE-HIV-1 GagLZ with HTLV-1 MA spanning residues 1 to 116. pCMV-RRE-RSV MA GagLZ contains RSV MA-p2-p10 (RSV Gag residues 1 to 239) of the RHR construct (a kind gift from V. Vogt) (78) in place of HIV-1 MA. pCMV-RREE-MLV MA GagLZ was constructed by replacing HIV-1 MA with MLV MA (resides 1 to 131) from pNCA (a kind gift from A. Telesnitsky) (79). Finally, pCMV-RRE-HERV-K MA GagLZ contains HERV-K MA-SP1 (resides 1 to 148) of pCRVI/HERV-K/GagPro (a kind gift from P. Bieniaz) (80, 81) in place of HIV-1 MA. Acidic-to-basic point mutants of HTLV-1 MA GagLZ (D42K, E55K, and D42K/E55K) were constructed using standard molecular cloning techniques.

pCMV-RRE-HIV-1 Gag-eCFP and pCMV-RRE-HTLV-1 Gag-eCFP were described previously (30). pCMV-RRE-RSV Gag-eCFP, pCMV-RRE-MLV Gag-eCFP, and pCMV-RRE-HERV-K Gag-eCFP were constructed by replacing the HIV-1 gag reading frame in pCMV-RRE-HIV-1 Gag-eCFP with that of RSV gag in an expression plasmid (78), MLV gag in pNCA (79), and HERV-K gag in pCRVI/HERV-K/GagPro (80, 81), respectively, using standard molecular cloning techniques.

GagLZ proteins were C-terminally fused to a linker (Ala-Gly-Ser-Pro-Ala) and either an eCFP or the Venus variant of YFP to yield fluorescently tagged chimeric GagLZ constructs. The first methionine residue of eCFP or YFP was deleted to prevent the fluorescent protein from being translated by internal ribosomal entry. The 5ptaseIV expression plasmid, pDNA4TO/Myc5ptaseIV, and its derivative that lacks a functional phoshatase domain (pCDNA4TO/5ptaseIV Δ1) were previously described (21, 27, 82). The pRS-HRevX plasmid (a gift from D. Derse) is derived from the pKS-Bluescript vector encoding the HIV-1 rev gene driven by an RSV promoter. pCMV-VpHu, which encodes the codon-optimized HIV-1 vx gene, was kindly provided by K. Strebel (83). The Gag expression plasmids used for in vitro transcription-translation-coupled reactions, pGEM-HIV-1 GagLZ, pGEM-HTLV-1 MA GagLZ, pGEM-RSV MA GagLZ, pGEM-MLV MA GagLZ, and pGEM-HERV-K MA GagLZ, were constructed using pGEM-1 (Promega) as a vector plasmid and Gag-encoding fragments derived from pCMV-RRE-HIV-1 GagLZ, pCMV-RRE-HTLV-1 MA GagLZ, pCMV-RRE-RSV MA GagLZ, and pCMV-RRE-HERV-K MA GagLZ, respectively, using standard molecular cloning techniques. The resulting plasmids en-
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code the Kozak sequence, followed by HIV-1 GagLZ, HTLV-1 MA GagLZ, RSV MA GagLZ, MLV MA GagLZ, and HERV-K MA GagLZ.

Cells and transfection. HeLa cells were cultured as described previously (21, 22, 25, 84). For microscopy, 4.2 × 10^5 cells were plated in each well of eight-well chamber slides (Lab-Tek; Nalge Nunc International), grown for 24 h, and transfected with DNA using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. For VLP release, 5.6 × 10^5 cells were plated in each well of six-well plates (Corning), grown overnight, and transfected as described above. For microscopy, Gag expression plasmids were transfected along with pRS-HRevX. For VLP release assays, Gag expression plasmids were transfected along with pRS-HRevX and pCMV-Vphu. Coexpression of pCMV-Vphu does not lead to any change in chimeric GagLZ localization (unpublished data).

VLP release assay and immunoblotting. The VLP release assay using immunoblotting was previously described (30). In some experiments, VLP release was examined using metabolic labeling and immunoprecipitation as previously described with modifications (21, 27, 85). Briefly, HeLa cells were transfected with a cytomegalovirus (CMV) promoter-driven plasmid encoding a chimeric GagLZ construct, along with pRS-HRevX and pCMV-Vphu. Sixteen hours posttransfection, culture medium was changed to RPMI 1640 lacking both methionine (Met) and cysteine (Cys) and supplemented with 2% FBS (RPMI-2 lacking Met and Cys [−Met−/−Cys]) and incubated for 30 min. Subsequently, these cells were metabolically labeled with [35S]Met/Cys (PerkinElmer) in fresh RPMI-2 (−Met−/−Cys) for 4 h. Cell and virion lysates were prepared and subjected to immunoprecipitation with HIV Ig antisera (NIH AIDS Research and Reference Reagent Program). The virus release efficiency was calculated as the amount of virion-associated Gag as a fraction of the total amount of Gag synthesized during the labeling period.

Immunostaining and fluorescence microscopy. Fixation and immunostaining of transfected HeLa cells expressing Gag or Gag-fluorescent protein fusions were performed as described previously (27, 30). The presence of Sptase1 in cells was visualized by immunostaining with mouse anti-Myc antibody (clone 9E10; Santa Cruz Biotechnologies). For visualization of the plasma membrane, cells were incubated with Alexa Fluor 594-conjugated concanavalin A (ConA) (Invitrogen) for 2 min after fixation. Cells were then imaged using a Leica confocal fluorescence microscope. Pearson’s correlation coefficients of ConA and Gag-YFP were calculated using the Coloc2 plugin in the ImageJ software program. Twenty to fifty cells were analyzed for each condition. For determining the total amount of Gag synthesized during the labeling period, was calculated as the amount of virion-associated Gag as a fraction of the total amount of Gag synthesized during the labeling period. Immunostaining and fluorescence microscopy. Fixation and immunostaining of transfected HeLa cells expressing Gag or Gag-fluorescent protein fusions were performed as described previously (27, 30). The presence of Sptase1 in cells was visualized by immunostaining with mouse anti-Myc antibody (clone 9E10; Santa Cruz Biotechnologies). For visualization of the plasma membrane, cells were incubated with Alexa Fluor 594-conjugated concanavalin A (ConA) (Invitrogen) for 2 min after fixation. Cells were then imaged using a Leica confocal fluorescence microscope. Pearson’s correlation coefficients of ConA and Gag-YFP were calculated using the Coloc2 plugin in the ImageJ software program. Twenty to fifty cells were analyzed for each condition. For determining the total amount of Gag synthesized during the labeling period, was calculated as the amount of virion-associated Gag as a fraction of the total amount of Gag synthesized during the labeling period.

Liposome-binding assay. Preparation of liposomes, in vitro Gag translation, and sucrose gradient flotation centrifugation were performed as described previously (21, 30, 33). The RNase treatment experiments were also performed as described previously (30, 33).

Electrostatic potential calculations. The calculations of electrostatic potential of previously solved retroviral MA domains were performed using the DelPhi software program (86). The electrostatic potentials were then mapped to the molecular surface and visualized using the Chimera program (87). The retroviral MA structures used for comparison are as follows: HIV-1 MA (PDB 2HMX), RSV MA (PDB IA6S), HTLV-2 (PDB 1JVR) and MLV MA (PDB 1MBH) (45, 63–65). The HTLV-1 MA structure was predicted based on the NMR structure of HTLV-2 MA (PDB 1JVR) (45) using the SWISS-MODEL server (66, 67). The molecular surface of HIV-1 MA and HTLV-1 MA was visualized using the software program Protein 3D.

Statistical analysis. Two-tailed Student’s t tests were performed using the software program Microsoft Excel. The paired t test was used for comparing data obtained from the same set of experiments. P values of <0.05 were considered statistically significant.
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