AtSIG6 and other members of the sigma gene family jointly but differentially determine plastid target gene expression in Arabidopsis thaliana
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Plants contain a nuclear gene family for plastid sigma factors, i.e., proteins that associate with the "bacterial-type" organellar RNA polymerase and confer the ability for correct promoter binding and transcription initiation. Questions that are still unresolved relate to the "division of labor" among members of the sigma family, both in terms of their range of target genes and their temporal and spatial activity during development. Clues to the in vivo role of individual sigma genes have mainly come from studies of sigma knockout lines. Despite its obvious strengths, however, this strategy does not necessarily trace-down causal relationships between mutant phenotype and a single sigma gene, if other family members act in a redundant and/or compensatory manner. We made efforts to reduce the complexity by genetic crosses of Arabidopsis single mutants (with focus on a chlorophyll-deficient sig6 line) to generate double knockout lines. The latter typically had a similar visible phenotype as the parental lines, but tended to be more strongly affected in the transcript patterns of both plastid and sigma genes. Because triple mutants were lethal under our growth conditions, we exploited a strategy of transformation of single and double mutants with RNAi constructs that contained sequences from the unconserved sigma region (UCR). These RNAi/knockout lines phenotypically resembled their parental lines, but were even more strongly affected in their plastid transcript patterns. Expression patterns of sigma genes revealed both similarities and differences compared to the parental lines, with transcripts at reduced or unchanged amounts and others that were found to be present in higher (perhaps compensatory) amounts. Together, our results reveal considerable flexibility of gene activity at the levels of both sigma and plastid gene expression. A (still viable) "basal state" seems to be reached, if 2–3 of the 6 Arabidopsis sigma genes are functionally compromised.
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INTRODUCTION

Despite the small number of genes in the chloroplast genome (Sugiura, 1992), plastid transcription is a surprisingly complex process. It involves two different RNA polymerases commonly named NEP (nuclear-encoded polymerase) and PEP (plastid-encoded polymerase) (Hedtke et al., 1997; Maliga, 1998). The latter is surrounded by multiple transcription factors (Shiina et al., 2005), important representatives of which are the nuclear-encoded sigma factors. Like their bacterial counterparts (Ishihama, 1988; Burgess and Anthony, 2001), these plant factors are thought to direct the (PEP) polymerase complex to its cognate promoters and ensure faithful transcription initiation. Again, as is the case in bacteria, the plastids of higher plants typically contain more than a single sigma factor species (e.g., a family comprising six proteins ATSIG1 - 6 in Arabidopsis thaliana) (Isono et al., 1997; Tanaka et al., 1997; Fujiwara et al., 2000; Shiina et al., 2009). This obvious analogy has therefore stimulated research addressing the role of individual members of the plant sigma factor family.

Work carried out with Arabidopsis knockout lines containing T-DNA insertions in single sigma genes has provided an initial picture, demonstrating the presence or absence of a recognizable mutant phenotype depending on the affected sigma gene as well as the developmental stage investigated (Tsunoyama et al., 2002; Hanaoka et al., 2003; Privat et al., 2003; Nagashima et al., 2004; Favory et al., 2005; Ishizaki et al., 2005; Loschelder et al., 2006; Schweer et al., 2006, 2009; Zghidi et al., 2007). A readily noticeable phenotype is evident for instance in the case of AtSIG6, where mutant lines tend to have strong chlorophyll deficiency and altered plastid target gene expression patterns, yet only in seedlings but not, e.g., in plants during the subsequent rosette leaf stages (Ishizaki et al., 2005; Loschelder et al., 2006; Schweer et al., 2006, 2009).

Unlike the situation in bacteria (Ishihama, 1988), none of the plastid sigma factors seems to have a "primary" essential role in a sense that its loss would confer a lethal or seriously compromised phenotype (Ortelt and Link, 2014). What then might be
the reasons for the variable (non-lethal) phenotypes noticeable in plant sigma knockout lines? A perhaps most direct explanation would be that the plastid factors function in a partially overlapping manner, yet in a highly flexible way at different developmental stages, in different organs, and under variable environmental conditions. Clues supporting this idea come, e.g., from the modular architecture of the plant sigma factors, each of which has a C-terminal conserved region (CR) responsible for basal sigma activity and a N-terminal unconserved region (UCR) of regulatory function (Ortelt and Link, 2014).

Nevertheless, it seems likely that the current functional description of the underlying network is not yet complete. For instance, knocking out one single sigma gene may or may not have the consequence that a second (or third, fourth etc.) member of the factor family is functionally recruited in a specific developmental context. To reduce the complexity of the Arabidopsis sigma family, we took advantage of single and double mutant lines and also adopted RNAi (RNA interference) techniques (Fire et al., 1998) for that purpose. We then analyzed the gene expression situation (at RNA level) both for plastid target genes as well as for the members of sigma gene family themselves. We reasoned that such work could add novel information to help explain the flexibility of the plant sigma factor network and its phenotypic consequences.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

ARABIDOPSIS MUTANT AND RNAi LINES, GROWTH CONDITIONS, SCREENING

Single mutant lines sig1-2 (“sig1”), sig3-2 (“sig3”), and sig6-2 (“sig6”) in the Col-0 (ecotype Columbia) background of Arabidopsis thaliana were obtained from the GABI-Kat collection of T-DNA insertion lines (www.gabi-kat.de) (Rosso et al., 2003). Double knockouts sig1 sig6 and sig6 sig3 were generated from the single mutants by genetic crosses. Both single and double knockouts were transformed with sigma UCR sequences cloned into pGEM-T Easy (Promega), followed by transcription in vitro (Clough and Bent, 1998) and progeny were screened using Arabidopsis by Agrobacterium-mediated floral dip transformation (Clough and Bent, 1998) and mobilized to the destination vector as described in the Gateway system (Life Technologies). PCR-amplified primers that flank the T-DNA insertion and presence of a prod-

Double mutants, i.e., absence of an amplified PCR product using antibiotic selection, PCR and Southern blot analyses as described above, yet using vertical positioning of Petri dishes. Length determination was carried out using ImageJ (http://imagej.nih.gov/ij) followed by graphical presentation. Values were means of three independent replicates each obtained from 20 seedlings.

RT-qPCR DETECTION OF TRANSCRIPTS

Total seedling RNA (2 μg) was prepared and reverse-transcribed into cDNA as described by Loschelder et al. (2006), yet using oligo-(dT)-primers and the Moloney Murine Leukemia Virus Reverse Transcriptase (M-MLV; Promega). Quantitative real-time RT-PCR (RT-qPCR) was in an Illumina Eco System (www.illumina.com) with KAPA SybrFast qPCR MasterMix Universal (Peqlab) in a volume of 20 μL. Upon amplification (50°C for 2 min, 95°C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles at 95°C for 10 s, 60°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 15 s), melting curve analysis was carried out, using the Actin2 G value as a reference for normalization. For each primer pair, the real-time experiments were carried out with at least three biological and technical replicate samples. Primers (Table 1) were selected on the basis of minimal sequence homology among the members of the Arabidopsis sigma gene family (Schweer et al., 2009).

NORTHERN BLOT HYBRIDIZATION

Total RNA was isolated as described by Chomczynski and Sacchi (1987). RNA (2 μg per lane) was fractionated, blotted, and hybridized, using gene-specific RNA probes (Table 1). The latter were generated by cloning of PCR-amplified gene segments into pGEM-T Easy (Promega), followed by in vitro transcription of constructs using T7 RNA polymerase (Promega) as described (Loschelder et al., 2006; Schweer et al., 2006). DIG (digoxigenin) labeling conditions and immunological detection using anti-digoxigenin antibody (Roche) were as detailed in the DIG user’s manual (www.roche-applied-science.com).

RESULTS

CHARACTERIZATION OF SIGMA-DEFICIENT SINGLE AND DOUBLE MUTANTS

To raise information on the fine-tuning and complex regulation within the sigma gene family from Arabidopsis, we analyzed single and double sigma mutants. Starting material were the single three mutant lines sig1-2, sig3-2, and sig6-2 defective...
in AtSIG1, AtSIG3, and AtSIG6, respectively (Figure 1A). These lines were then used in crosses to generate double mutants and selfed progeny lines were tested by genomic PCR for presence of the T-DNA insertion. As shown in Figure 1B, the amplification products detectable with wildtype DNA (using the primer pairs detailed in Table 1) were absent in either the single or double mutant lines, indicating a loss of sigma gene function in all these lines. This was confirmed by using primer pairs across the junction between T-DNA and sigma gene sequence, which generated amplification products (data not shown).

The single mutant lines sig1-2 and sig3-2 each have a visible phenotype resembling that of the wildtype (Figure 1C, panels 2 and 3). In contrast, the two double mutants sig1 sig6 and sig6 sig3, each resulting from crosses with the sig6 mutant line (panels 4), both reveal yellowish to white cotyledons, with only minimal light-green leaf primordia recognizable at day 10 (panels 5 and 6). Previous work (Ishizaki et al., 2005; Loschelder et al., 2006) had shown that mutant alleles of the Arabidopsis sigma gene AtSIG6 account for reduced chlorophyll content during seedling development. As is evident from Figure 1D, the sig6-2 line (in comparison with the wildtype) reveals approximately 80% loss of chlorophyll at the 6 d and 10 d stages, respectively, but only 20% loss in 20 day old rosette plants. Chlorophyll quantification of the double mutants likewise shows highly reduced amounts, which (in sig1 sig6) are similar to or (in sig6 sig3) are even more pronounced than those of the sig6 parental line at the 6 d and 10 d time-points. At day 20, there is an even further reduction by 15% in sig1 sig6 and by almost 30% in sig6 sig3 beyond the sig6-2 level.

Root length (Figure 1E) is likewise reduced in the single mutants compared to wildtype, with a stronger effect noticeable for sig6 than for sig1-2 and sig3-2. The double mutant lines again show similar (sig1 sig6) or even greater (sig6 sig3) length reduction compared to sig6-2.

### Table 1 | Primers used for construction of RNAi lines, northern hybridization, and RT-qPCR.

| Gene | Forward primer (5' → 3') | Reverse primer (5' → 3') |
|------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| SIG1 | GGGGACGACCTTGGTACACAAA | GGGGACGACCTTGGTACACAAA |
| SIG2 | GGGGACGACCTTGGTACACAAA | GGGGACGACCTTGGTACACAAA |
| SIG3 | GGGGACGACCTTGGTACACAAA | GGGGACGACCTTGGTACACAAA |
| SIG4 | GGGGACGACCTTGGTACACAAA | GGGGACGACCTTGGTACACAAA |
| SIG5 | GGGGACGACCTTGGTACACAAA | GGGGACGACCTTGGTACACAAA |
| SIG6 | GGGGACGACCTTGGTACACAAA | GGGGACGACCTTGGTACACAAA |

### NORTHERN HYBRIDIZATION

- **atpB**: TTTTCTGCAATTTGTTTGA
- **rbcL**: TGCAGCACTTCTGCAATTTG
- **rbcS**: TGCAGCACTTCTGCAATTTG
- **rrn16**: GAAATCTGTGCAATTTG
- **trnE**: CTACCCCAACGAGAAGTC

### RT-qPCR

- **SIG1**: GGGGACGACCTTGGTACACAAA
- **SIG2**: GGGGACGACCTTGGTACACAAA
- **SIG3**: GGGGACGACCTTGGTACACAAA
- **SIG4**: GGGGACGACCTTGGTACACAAA
- **SIG5**: GGGGACGACCTTGGTACACAAA
- **SIG6**: GGGGACGACCTTGGTACACAAA

PLASTIC GENE EXPRESSION AT RNA LEVEL IN WILDTYPE, SINGLE AND DOUBLE KNOCKOUT MUTANTS

To assess consequences of sigma gene inactivation on plastid transcript patterns, we carried out northern blot analyses using total RNA from 6- and 10-day old single and double mutant lines. Since many plastid genes give rise to distinct (single or multiple) transcripts of relatively high abundance, this technique was selected to rapidly reveal stage-specific RNA expression patterns of organellar target genes of sigma-dependent transcription. Representatives of all three major classes of plastid genes, i.e., those for proteins (atpB and rbcL), the genes for the β subunit of the organellar ATP synthase and the large subunit of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase-oxygenase or abbreviated Rubisco, respectively), ribosomal RNAs (rrn16, the gene for 16S rRNA) and transfer RNAs (trnE, the gene for glutamic acid-specific trRNA), were included in this analysis (for map position and sequence see www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/7525012).

Using plastid RNA probes rrn16, trnE, and rbcL (Figure 2), no appreciable deviation from the Col-0 wildtype pattern (lane 1 and 7) was noticeable at 6 or 10 days for either the sig3-2 or sig1-2 mutant lines (lane 2, 3 and 8, 9), except for a relative increase in the intensity of the rrn16 signal at 6 d in the sig3-2 line (lane 3). The atpB probe (top panel) revealed another difference, i.e., preferential loss of the 2.6 kb (PEP-dependent) transcript (Schweer et al., 2006) in the sig1-2 line (lane 2 and 8). Unlike both the sig3-2 and sig1-2 lines, the sig6-2 line shows overall less intense hybridization bands compared to wildtype (lane 4 and 10), although to a variable extent depending on the gene investigated. This is most evident for atpB (Loschelder et al., 2006), with a loss of the 2.6 kb (PEP-dependent) transcript and concomitant appearance of the 4.8 kb (NEP-dependent) “SOS” transcript (Schweer et al., 2006), particularly at the 6 day stage. Another gene showing dramatic down-regulation of transcript intensity in the sig6 line is trnE, whereas the rbcL (Loschelder et al., 2006) and rrn16 gene expression appears somewhat less affected and that of a nuclear (RbcS) control gene remains substantially unaffected (lane 4 and 10).

The double mutant lines sig1 sig6 and sig6 sig3 (lane 5, 6 and 11, 12) show a reduction in transcript intensities, which however only partly reflects the patterns of their parental single mutant lines. Following hybridization with the atpB probe (top panels), both double mutants show highly reduced signal intensity at the position of the 2.6 kb transcript (lane 2, 5, 6 and 8, 11, 12). In addition, also the 2.0 kb (NEP-dependent) signal is reduced at day 6 but reappears at day 10. The transcript detected with the rrn16 probe (second panels) is present in reduced amounts in the sig1 sig6 mutant (lane 5, 11) and is virtually absent in sig6 sig3 (lane 6 and 12). The trnE signal (third panels) is not detectable in both double mutants at either time-point. In the sig6 sig3 mutant (lane 6 and 12), the intensity of the rbcL hybridization signal (forth
FIGURE 1 | Characterization of Arabidopsis thaliana sigma mutants.

(A) Exon/intron architecture of AtSIG1 (At1G64860), AtSIG3 (At3G53920), and AtSIG6 (At2g36990). Regions colinear with the mature messenger RNA are boxed, including protein-coding (gray) and non-coding regions (white), while regions corresponding to introns of the RNA precursor are depicted as a single line. T-DNA insertion sites (in exon 9 of AtSIG1, exon 7 of AtSIG3, and exon 5 of AtSIG6, respectively) are marked by triangles. Genomic sequence, without T-DNA, is drawn to scale (scale bar on top).

(B) RT-PCR detection of sigma factor transcripts in single mutant lines. Total RNA was prepared from 10 d seedlings, reverse transcribed, and cDNA was amplified using gene-specific full-length primer pairs (Table 1) as described in “Materials and Methods.”

(C) Visible phenotype. Arabidopsis wildtype (Col-0) as well as sigma single and double knockout lines were photographed at three different time-points during seedling and rosette leaf development (6, 10, and 20 d after sowing). Scale bars: 2 mm.

(D) Chlorophyll content of 6 d, 10 d, and 20 d seedlings.

(E) Root lengths measurements (for details, see “Materials and Methods”).
Sigma-deficient Arabidopsis lines

**Figure 2** Northern-blot analysis of plastid gene expression. Col-0 wildtype as well as single and double knockout mutants were grown for 6 d (left panels) or 10 d (right panels). Total RNA (2 μg/lane) was fractionated, blotted and hybridized with the DIG-labeled RNA probes (atpB, rnr16, trnE, rbcL, and RbcS) as indicated the left margin. Ethidium bromide-stained loading controls (25S rRNA) are shown at the bottom. These experiments were carried out at least in triplicate with RNA from independent preparations. Right margin: transcript sizes (kb).

Panels) resembles that of the parental sig6-2 single mutant (lane 4, 10) and is diminished compared to the sig3-2 signal (lane 3 and 9). The sig1 sig6 signal (lane 5 and 11), however, is increased relative to that for sig6-2 (lane 4 and 10) at both time points. It is comparable to that for sig1-2 (lane 2) at 6 d (lane 5) but shows some relative decrease at 10 d (lane 11 vs. lane 8). Finally, the nuclear (RbcS) control reveals more uniform transcript intensity in all tested lines at 6 d (lane 1–6) than at 10 d (lane 7–12). At the latter time-point, the most notable effect is the relative increase in signal intensity for the sig1 sig6 line (lane 11) compared to the parental mutants and even the wildtype. This may reflect the known plastid to nuclear signaling (Woodson et al., 2012) in response to altered sigma-dependent chloroplast transcription (see “Discussion”).

**Sigma Gene Expression Network in Sigma Single and Double Knockout Lines**

To assess correlations between plastid target gene expression and sigma gene activity, transcript levels for all members of the sigma family were determined. To detect and quantitate these low-abundant transcripts, real-time qPCR rather than northern-blot hybridization was used. Whole-cell RNA preparations from 10 day-old homozygous single and double knockout lines as well as from the Col-0 wildtype were reverse-transcribed and subjected to real-time qPCR. Data were normalized to Actin2 RNA expression levels (see “Materials and Methods”). As the RNA patterns of plastid target genes (Figure 2) indicated a close similarity of double mutant lines primarily with the sig6-2 single mutant line, we tested these lines for correlation of target gene expression with sigma gene expression patterns themselves. As shown in Figure 3A for the sig6-2 single mutant line, most sigma transcripts are down-regulated compared to wildtype, while the SIG1 transcript seems to be strongly enhanced. In both double mutant lines, however, all six sigma transcripts including that of SIG1 are reduced. Hence, except for SIG1, the expression phenotype of the sigma gene family in each double knockout substantially reflects that of the sig6-2 parental line.

**Figure 3** Sigma transcripts in 10 d old knockout lines. (A) RT-qPCR analysis. Transcript levels were calculated using the comparative threshold cycle method (Pfaffl, 2001) with wildtype plants as control and Actin2 (At3g18780) as reference gene. Data are given as percentage of wildtype values (means ± SD of three biological replicates). Significance of deviation from Col-0 values was tested using Student’s t-test and is indicated by two or three asterisks (∗∗P < 0.05 and ∗∗∗P < 0.001, respectively). (B) RT-PCR detection of a partial SIG1 transcript spanning the distance between the start AUG and T-DNA insertion site. Total RNA was prepared from 10 d old seedlings of the Col-0 wildtype (lane 2), sig1-2 single knockout (lane 3), and sig1 sig6 double knockout line (lane 4). Following reverse transcription, the cDNA was amplified using the primer pair 5′-ATGGCTACTGCAGCTGTTATA-3′ and 5′-GAGTGTTGCACTTATAGCTT-3′ for detection of partial SIG1 transcripts. RNA markers (Promega) were used in lane 1 and their sizes (ntd) are given in the left margin.
To test for possible similarity with other parental mutants, we also investigated sig1-2 and sig3-2 lines. In terms of their real-time transcript patterns (Figure 3A), these two lines each can be clearly set apart from sig6-2 as well as from the two double mutants. In the sig3-2 single knockout line, the only strong down-regulation is noticeable for transcripts detected by the SIG3 primers, which is consistent with a major or full loss of SIG3-related transcripts in this mutant. While SIG4 transcript levels are almost at wild-type level, those of SIG2 and SIG5 are moderately elevated and transcript levels of SIG1 and SIG6 are even strongly enhanced.

The sig1-2 knockout line reveals transcripts levels that are decreased in the case of SIG3 and SIG5, but are increased for SIG2, SIG4, and SIG6. In addition, despite the T-DNA insertion interrupting the SIG1 gene (Figure 1A) and the concomitant loss of a full-size SIG1 transcript (Figure 1B), the RT-qPCR data for the sig1-2 line (Figure 3A) suggested the existence of significant amounts of SIG1-related transcripts. The latter may represent partial (non-functional) transcripts upstream of the T-DNA insertion site. To test this, RT-PCR was carried out with primers that flank the distance from the ATG of the first exon to a site directly in front of the T-DNA insertion (Figure 3B). Both the wildtype (lane 2) and the sig1-2 single mutant (lane 3) showed a PCR signal consistent with a transcript spanning the entire region defined by this primer pair. In contrast, such signal was not detectable in the double mutant line (sig1 sig6) (lane 4).

CHARACTERIZATION OF RNAI-MODIFIED SIGMA KNOCKOUT LINES
Attempts to further reduce the complexity of the sigma family were initially hampered by our inability to generate sigma triple-mutant lines, possibly because of their lethality. We therefore chose RNAI in combination with the existing single and double sigma mutants as an alternative strategy. “Combined” RNAI/knockout lines were created by transformation of the sig6-2 and sig6 sig3 mutants using constructs that contain the complete sequence for the unconserved sigma region (UCR) in pHELLSGATE12 (Wesley et al., 2001). Lines that could be stably maintained included those with the UCR sequences of SIG2 or SIG4 in the sig6-2 single mutant background as well as those with the UCR sequences of SIG1 or SIG4 in the sig6 sig3 double mutant background.

At each time-point (6, 10, and 20 days after sowing) in Figure 4A, the combined RNAI/knockout line sig6::UCRSIG4 (panels 3) has a pigment-deficient visible phenotype which resembles that of the parental sig6 line (panels 1). The same is true for sig6::UCRSIG2 (panels 2) as well as for combined lines sig6 sig3::UCRSIG1 (panels 5) and sig6 sig3::UCRSIG4 (panels 6), and their parental double mutant line sig6 sig3 (panels 4) (see also Figure 1C). The pigment-deficient visible appearance of all investigated RNAI/knockout lines is reflected by their chlorophyll content (Figure 4C). Likewise, root length measurements (Figure 4D) establish growth deficiency similar to that noticeable for the parental single (sig6-2) and double mutant lines (sig6 sig3) (see Figures 1D,E).

As shown in Figure 4B, transcripts of plastid target genes were found to be even more compromised than those of the (single and double mutant) parental lines (see also Figure 2), although differentially and to a variable extent. For instance, except for the loss of the 4.8 kb atpB transcript, the relative intensity of hybridization signals of sig6::UCRSIG4 (panels 5 and 6) is comparable to that of sig6-2 (panels 1 and 2) both at 6 d and 10 d, respectively. In contrast, sig6::UCRSIG2 (panels 3 and 4) shows a weak but discernible signal (especially at 6 d) at the position of the 4.8 kb atpB transcript, while all other signals are virtually absent or highly reduced at both time-points in comparison with sig6 (panels 1 and 2). This also includes the 2.0 kb band at the position of the NEP-dependent atpB transcript (Schweer et al., 2006), whereas the PEP-dependent 2.6 kb atpB transcript is absent both in sig6 itself and in all sig6-derived lines. The transcript patterns of sig6 sig3::UCRSIG1 (lanes 9 and 10) and sig6 sig3::UCRSIG4 (panels 11 and 12) are similar to, but are more strongly affected than, that of their parental line sig6 sig3 (panels 7 and 8). While all three lines show the 4.8 kb atpB transcript (at day 10 but not day 6), none of them reveals the 2.6 kb (PEP-dependent) atpB transcript and the 2.0 kb (NEP-dependent) transcript seems to be diminished in the combined RNAI/double knockout lines (panels 9–12). The trnE transcript is absent in all three lines (panels 7–12), and the rbcL transcript is highly reduced in the “combined” lines (lanes 9–12) compared to the parental double knockout (panels 7 and 8).

The same RNAI/knockout lines were also tested for their sigma transcript patterns in comparison with those of the sig6 and sig6 sig3 parental lines (Figure 5). In the case of the sig6::UCRSIG4 line, the steady-state transcript concentrations of all sigma genes are further reduced compared to those of sig6 itself. In contrast, sig6::UCRSIG2 shows a more diverse pattern, with a moderate further reduction of the SIG2, SIG3, and SIG6 transcripts compared to the sig6-2 line, substantially unchanged levels of the SIG1 and SIG5 transcripts, and strongly increased concentration of the SIG4 transcript (Figure 5A). The RNAI/double knockout lines sig6 sig3::UCRSIG1 and sig6 sig3::UCRSIG4 (Figure 5B) both reveal moderate to strong further reduction of the SIG2–SIG6 transcripts but enhanced levels of the SIG1 transcript compared to the parental sig6 sig3 line. Their transcript patterns thus seem more similar to one another than to those shown in Figure 5A.

DISCUSSION
This work was carried out to help reach a fuller understanding of the plant sigma genes, i.e., the small nuclear gene family for chloroplast transcription factors resembling the bacterial sigma transcription initiation factors. We sought to analyze phenotypic and molecular consequences of altered patterns of sigma gene activity in Arabidopsis thaliana. Such changes can be “homeostatic,” i.e., balanced and compensatory, which can be anticipated during developmental and/or physiological transitions. In more extreme situations, however, the sigma network can be thought to become “disrupted,” i.e., (irreversibly) imbalanced and rendered non-functional, as might be expected in lethal or heavily compromised mutants and/or under strong environmental stress.

To narrow down the limits of homeostatic vs. imbalanced states of the sigma gene family, we have chosen strategies to differentially affect the sigma network, including single and double sigma mutants in combination with RNAI.

The parental single mutants, sig1-2, sig3-2, and sig6-2, were chosen for reasons of their specific phenotypic characteristics. SIG6 single mutant lines reveal a clear-cut chlorophyll-deficient
The single knockout line sig6 and the double knockout line sig6 sig3 each were transformed with the pHELLSGATE12 vector carrying SIG1, SIG2, or SIG4 cDNA segments that represent the full-size UCR of sigma factors SIG1, SIG2, or SIG4, respectively (Table 1). (A) Phenotype of the RNAi/knockout lines and their parental lines (Continued)
expression at RNA level was strongly compromised in both double mutants. Assessment of sigma gene expression itself using RT-qPCR showed both losses but also increases in transcript frequency for individual members of the gene family in the single mutants. In contrast, however, a global decrease of sigma transcripts was noticeable in the double mutants. Hence, due to functional redundancy and compensation of sigma family members, a homeostatic balance seems still to prevail in the single knockout lines, while the balance may be strongly shifted or completely lost in the double mutants.

Attempts to further reduce the complexity of the sigma family by construction of triple mutants were unsuccessful, likely because of lethality of the progeny from these crosses. As an alternative, we therefore combined knockout mutant with RNAi technology. Using various sigma-specific unconserved regions (UCRs) in the pHELLSGATE12 RNAi vector, single (sig6) and double (sig6 sig3) mutant lines were transformed by constructs based on this vector. Resulting progeny lines generated by transformation of sig6 showed a chlorophyll-deficient phenotype similar to or even stronger than that of the parental knockout line. Those generated from sig6 sig3 did not reveal a further enhanced phenotype compared to the parental double knockout line, suggesting that a “basal” (minimal) state which cannot be further reduced without loss of viability may have been reached already in the latter.

An argument against this notion, however, comes from results of the target gene expression studies, showing that the rbcL transcript is readily detectable in sig6 sig3 but is highly reduced or absent in the “combined” RNAi/double knockout lines (Figure 4B). Furthermore, the RT-qPCR analysis (Figure 5B) shows enhanced transcript levels of the SIG1 transcript in sig6 sig3::UCRSIG1 and sig6 sig3::UCRSIG4 as compared to the parental line sig6 sig3, which may reflect a still balanced functional state of the sigma gene family in these lines. In any case, it is notable that down-regulation of the expression of a single sigma gene can both negatively or positively affect that of another functional state of the sigma gene family in these lines. In any case, it is notable that down-regulation of the expression of a single sigma gene can both negatively or positively affect that of another

and developmental-stage-specific (seedling) phenotype, indicating a specialized and/or functionally dominating role of this factor (Ishizaki et al., 2005; Loschelder et al., 2006; Schweer et al., 2006, 2009). In contrast, sig3 lines do not show pronounced pigment deficiency and the corresponding factor SIG3 has been assigned a functionally redundant role, perhaps as a possible safeguard in case of loss of other sigma factor(s) (Schweer, 2010; Lerbs-Mache, 2011). Although sig1 knockout mutants of Arabidopsis have not yet been presented in terms of their gene expression characteristics, such mutants are of considerable interest in view of recent findings that sigma factor 1 (SIG1) is subject to phosphorylation control (Shimizu et al., 2010), as is known for sigma factor 6 (Schweer et al., 2010a,b; Türkeri et al., 2012).

To reduce the number of functional sigma genes, the sig6-2 knockout line (Loschelder et al., 2006; Schweer et al., 2006, 2009) was crossed with either of two other single mutant lines, sig1-2 and sig3-2, giving rise to the double mutants sig1 sig6 and sig2 sig3. The latter reveal a growth-retarded and highly chlorophyll-deficient phenotype at seedling stage, even exceeding that of the parental sig6-2 knockout. Plastid target gene

FIGURE 4 | Continued
during development (6, 10, and 20 d after sowing). Scale bars: 2 mm. (B) Northern blot analysis of plastid gene expression in RNAi/knockout lines and their parental lines. Total RNA (2 μg/lanes) from 6 and 10 d old seedlings was fractionated, blotted and hybridized with atpB, rmd6, or rbcL probes as indicated in the left margin. Ethidium bromide-stained loading controls (25S rRNA) are shown at the bottom. These experiments were carried out at least in triplicate with RNA from independent preparations. Right margin: transcript sizes (kb). (C) Chlorophyll content of seedlings and young plantlets 6 d, 10 d, or 20 d after sowing. Measurements involved 8 replicates of 10 samples each from three series of independently grown plant material. Weighed samples were ground in 80% (v/v) acetone and photometric chlorophyll determination at 663 and 645 nm was carried out. (D) Root lengths. Following growth of seedlings and young plantlets on vertically positioned Petri dishes, length measurements were carried out using ImageJ (http://imagej.nih.gov/ij). Values were means of three independent replicates using samples representing 20 seedlings or plantlets each.

FIGURE 5 | RT-qPCR analysis of sigma transcript levels in 10 d old RNAi/knockout lines compared to their parental lines sig6 (A) and sig6 sig3 (B). Data are percent values of those of the parental mutant lines (set to 100%) and were normalized using Actin2 as a reference gene. Data are means ± SD (n = 3). Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences compared to wildtype as tested by Student’s t-test (P < 0.05).
FIGURE 6 | Scheme symbolizing the transcript pattern of the sigma family in Arabidopsis mutant lines as revealed by RT-qPCR (Figure 3A). For simplicity, the balanced network of sigma factor transcripts (SIG1—SIG6) in the wildtype is indicated by equal length and strength of the arrows arranged in a hexagonal pattern (top). As indicated by the altered length and strength of arrows, the contribution of individual sigma transcripts varies considerably in the single (middle portion) and double mutant lines (bottom). Compensation of a completely lacking and/or non-functional transcript by overexpression of different members of the gene family is noticeable in the single mutants (shifted, but probably still balanced, network). In the double mutants, this “valve” may no longer be available, as is suggested by the down-regulation of all remaining transcripts (imbalance scrambled network). It should be noted, however, that the majority of the “combined” RNAi/knockout lines (Figure 5) still reveal increased (compensatory?) transcript levels of a single sigma gene (not shown here), suggesting that a (still viable) “basal state” may not have been reached yet in the double knockout mutants (bottom row).

(Pfannschmidt, 2010). It can be envisaged that the nuclear-encoded plastid polymerase might be regulated via this route, which in turn could explain the loss of the 2.0 kb NEP-dependent atpB transcript (Hanaoka et al., 2005).

Concerted regulation of both the PEP and NEP transcription systems via functional alterations of one or several sigma factors can be considered as efficient and flexible mechanism to achieve interorganellar integration. For instance, it might be interesting to investigate if the increased RbcS transcript level seen in the sig1 sig6 double mutant (Figure 2) is primarily due to an altered sigma network in this mutant and/or involves NEP-dependent regulation. Clearly, differential and compensatory expression of sigma genes as studied here is only one of several control levels. Posttranslational modification such as phosphorylation (Schweer et al., 2010a,b; Shimizu et al., 2010) as well as interactions of sigma facors with other regulatory proteins are equally important (Morikawa et al., 2002; Chi et al., 2010), as is the topology of the plastid transcriptome (Yagi et al., 2012; Zhelyazkova et al., 2012). In any case, our current work points to a causal relationship between the expression status of the sigma gene family and responses at the level of target gene expression.

Finally, it should be recalled that all RNAi lines described here were generated using the “constitutive” pHELLSGATE12 silencing vector (Wesley et al., 2001). A somewhat similar picture also emerges from initial recent work with chemically inducible dexamethasone (DEX)-responsive) RNAi lines based on the pOpOff2 vector (Wielopolska et al., 2005), providing proof of principle for DEX-responses that are visible at both target gene and sigma expression patterns (data not shown). Usage of such inducible “knock-down” system, also including, e.g., virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS) (Ratcliff et al., 1997), can be expected to open up new avenues in studies on temporal and spatial activities of the gene-containing plant cell organelles. This way, it should become possible to successfully analyze stages throughout the entire Arabidopsis life cycle.
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