Radiotherapy was associated with the lower incidence of metachronous second primary lung cancer
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Our study aims to estimate the incidence of metachronous second primary lung cancer (SPLC) in initial primary lung cancer (IPLC) survivors and to determine whether radiotherapy affects the risk of metachronous SPLC in the first five years after the diagnosis of lung cancer. Incidence data of IPLC individuals who survived ≥ 2 years were obtained from SEER-18 database in 2004–2007. Joinpoint regression analysis and competing risk analysis were used to calculate the incidence of metachronous SPLC. Propensity score matching and decision analysis were available to estimate the effect of radiotherapy on metachronous SPLC. 264 of 11657 IPLC survivors with radiotherapy and 1090 of 24499 IPLC survivors without radiotherapy developed metachronous SPLC during 5-year follow-up, respectively. In joinpoint regression analysis, the 5-year incidence of metachronous SPLC in the radiotherapy group was lower than that in the nonradiotherapy group (2385 per 100,000 vs 4748 per 100,000, HR = 0.43, 95% CI: 0.39–0.47). Competing risk analysis showed that the survivors with radiotherapy were associated with the lower 5-year incidence of metachronous SPLC compared with those without radiotherapy (2.28% vs 4.47%, HR = 0.49, 95% CI: 0.43–0.57). Through propensity score matching, 4077 pairs of survivors were available to further study that radiotherapy potentially decreased the risk of developing metachronous SPLC with the adjustment of various factors (2.5% vs 3.3%, HR = 0.72, 95% CI: 0.55–0.96). Decision analysis suggested that radiotherapy was a negative independent risk factor of metachronous SPLC with clinical net benefit in a range of risk thresholds (2% to 5%). Survivors of IPLC with radiotherapy likely had a low risk of metachronous SPLC during the first five years follow-up, especially non-small cell lung cancer.

Radiotherapy is an important treatment option for lung cancer regardless of cancer forms, such as non small cell lung cancer or small cell lung cancer. In comparison with chemotherapy or surgery alone, radiotherapy combined with chemotherapy or surgery can effectively prolong the survival time of some patients of lung cancer1–3. For lung cancer patients with contraindications of chemotherapy and surgery, radiotherapy also was considered as the initial treatment option. Unfortunately, radiation exposure caused by radiotherapy may bring about some adverse events, mainly including radiotherapy-related pneumonitis and cardiac toxicity4. In addition, excessive radiation exposure likely increases the risk of cancer. Radiation exposure secondary to low dose computed tomography for lung cancer screening was found to potentially increase the lifetime attributable risk of lung cancer and major cancers, especially in women aged 50–545. The previous studies about radiotherapy and the risk of developing lung cancer in the breast cancer patients were inconsistent6–10. A recent system review declared that older radiotherapy techniques for the treatment of breast cancer seemingly increased the risk of developing lung cancer in the ipsilateral lung, while there was no explicit evidence of an increased risk with modern techniques11. However, it is noteworthy that the latency period of most radiation-induced cancers is at least 5 years to 10 years6–4. The
clinical benefit of radiotherapy in terms of cancer treatment should be verified in the early period after the diagnosis of cancer.

Some previous epidemiological studies reported that the incidence of lung cancer for initial primary lung cancer (IPLC) individuals was approximately 1% to 2% per year, which was fourfold to sixfold higher than those with no history of lung cancer12–14. Compared with normal population, IPLC individuals theoretically have more genetic predisposition and higher exposure to carcinogenic agents. Therefore, IPLC individuals can be classified as a high-risk population of lung cancer. The present results about the association between radiotherapy and the risk of second primary lung cancer (SPLC) were controversial. Tucker and his colleagues15 claimed that the risk of developing SPLC of the patients with chest radiotherapy was approximately two fold higher than those without chest radiotherapy in small cell lung cancer. Another study from Khanal et al.16 declared that patients exposed to radiotherapy harbored a lower excess risk of SPLC when compared to patients without radiotherapy in lung adenocarcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma. Han et al.17 found that age, histology, and extent of IPLC were the important factors in predicting SPLC risk, while radiotherapy had no significant impact on SPLC risk.

In this study, our first aim is to estimate the incidence of developing metachronous SPLC in the individuals with IPLC who received radiotherapy through joinpoint regression analysis and competing risk analysis. The second aim of our study is to determine whether radiotherapy is associated with the incidence of metachronous SPLC in the first five years, which was performed through propensity score matching and decision analysis. According to the accepted diagnostic criterion of Martini and Melamed18, the patients who were diagnosed as metachronous SPLC need to fulfill the following situations: (1) IPLC and SPLC are reported different histology; (2) When IPLC and SPLC display same histology, the following criteria must be met: (1) the disease-free interval between IPLC and SPLC must be more than 2 years. (2) IPLC and SPLC origin from carcinoma in situ, or occur in different lobes with no metastatic carcinoma of common lymph nodes and no extrapulmonary metastasis at the time of diagnosis.

Methods

Data source and collection. Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) database of the National Cancer Institute is a population-based database with the strictest data-quality indicators and consistent criteria. We obtained the detailed data of the IPLC individuals who survived ≥ 2 years between 2004 and 2007, thus performed a five years follow-up for IPLC survivors, until the diagnosis of a new primary cancer, until death, or the end of follow-up. Histological classification was performed in accordance with the International Classification of Diseases of Oncology, 3rd edition. We focused on this period for two reasons: On the one hand, the deadline of present SEER data was Dec 2014 and provided additional treatment information. On the other hand, the stage of lung cancer was based on the American Joint Committee on Cancer sixth Edition staging system since 2004. Lung cancer was roughly divided into five categories, including adenocarcinoma, squamous cell carcinoma, large cell carcinoma, small cell carcinoma, and other. The IPLC individual was not considered when a patient's histological characteristics were unclear and could not be classified into the aforementioned five categories, such as malignant neoplasm (8000/3), malignant tumor cell (8001/3), carcinoma (8010/3), etc. All participants of this study must include positive diagnostic confirmation, explicitly diagnostic time (year and month), and survival time. The participant who had a history of lung cancer before 2004 was excluded. The information provided in the radiotherapy record and the radiotherapy sequence with surgery were used as a basis for determining whether the individuals with IPLC received radiotherapy. We also excluded the individuals whose radiotherapy information were unknown. Furthermore, we also collected the following demographic variables: Age, Sex, Race, Marital status at diagnosis, Histology, Tumor size, CS lymph nodes, CS distant metastasis, Stage group of IPLC, Tumor stage, Chemotherapy record, and survival time.

Ethics. Because all data derived from open SEER database, there were no patients involved in the recruitment and conduct of the study. This study was deemed exempt for review by the Institutional Review Board at China, Three Gorges University.

Statistical analysis. The incidence of metachronous SPLC. Surveillance research program of American National Cancer Institute recommends the application of joinpoint regression analysis to estimate cancer incidence per 100,000 and cancer incidence trend with adjustment of the potential confounding effect of age19. Based on the Monte Carlo permutation method, joinpoint analysis can be performed to describe continuous changes of cancer incidence and determine the number of joinpoints with the slope in trends as well as their corresponding significance. In joinpoint regression analysis, Monte Carlo permutation methods provide P value of each joinpoint, and Bonferroni correction maintains the overall asymptotic significance level. In addition, joinpoint analysis provides Annual Percentage Change (APC) using age-specific Poisson regression models with a log-link function19. Standard population was considered as the patients who were diagnosed between 2004 and 2007, who also had positive histology and accurate year and month of diagnosis. In recent years, competing risk analysis was widely used to estimate the incidence and trend of cancer. Because the individuals with IPLC may die before developing SPLC, standard Cox regression potentially leads to a substantial bias in risk estimation of SPLC20. Competing risk analysis can provide a cumulative incidence function to evaluate the unbiased risks of metachronous SPLC through the application of proportional subdistribution hazards regression18,20. To better assess the incidence of metachronous SPLC, we performed these two kinds of analyses and compared the difference between joinpoint regression analysis and competing risk analysis.

The impact of radiotherapy on the incidence of metachronous SPLC. Competing risk analysis was used to find the potential risk factors of developing metachronous SPLC and to estimate the interactions of all possible risk factors through stepwise forward and backward elimination methods. Akaike information criterion and the Bayesian
information criterion (BIC) were used to competing risks models. Propensity score matching analysis could better evaluate the effect of radiotherapy on the incidence of metachronous SPLC through the adjustment of various factors. As the last component in the evaluation of clinical usefulness of variable, decision analysis provided visual graphical curve to estimate the net benefit against the threshold probability of the variable. In this study, decision analysis might reveal whether radiotherapy was the independent risk factor of developing metachronous SPLC with net benefit in a threshold probability.

Joinpoint software, Stata software, SPSS software and R software were used to complete the above-mentioned analyses. R package ‘cmprsk’ was adopted to complete competing risk analysis. Decision analysis was performed through R package ‘rmda’. When \( P \) values were less than 0.05, a two-sided statistical significance was considered.

Results

Study design and patients’ outcome. A total of 201614 individuals were diagnosed lung cancer between 2004 and 2007 in SEER 18 registries. Approximately 26.7% of lung cancer, including 53822 individuals, survived more than two years. According to our inclusion criteria and exclusion criteria, 36156 IPLC individuals were incorporated in this study, including 11657 individuals with radiotherapy and 24499 individuals without radiotherapy respectively. After 5 year follow-up, there were 264 (2.3%) individuals who developed metachronous SPLC, 8185 (70.2%) individuals who died and 3208 (27.5%) individuals who survived in the radiotherapy group, respectively. In the control group, there were 1090 (4.45%) individuals who developed metachronous SPLC, 9622 (39.3%) individuals who died and 13787 (56.3%) individuals who survived, respectively. The study algorithm was presented in Fig. 1.

Clinical characteristics of initial primary lung cancer. Table 1 showed the distribution of demographic, clinical, treatment and survival time stratified by radiotherapy or no radiotherapy. Female individuals were more than men in both two groups. Patients aged 70 to 79 years in the nonradiotherapy group were more compared with those in the radiotherapy group (33.9% vs 26.8%). The individuals were distributed equally among the age groups from 0 to 49 years, from 60 to 69 years, and 80 years or older. The number of patients with tumour sizes of less than 3.5 cm in the nonradiotherapy group was more than that in the radiotherapy group (70.6% vs 30.8%). In histological aspect, there were less adenocarcinoma (41.3% vs 58.7%) and more small cell carcinoma (19.9% vs 0.7%) in the radiotherapy group than those in the nonradiotherapy group. In terms of tumor stage, early stage patients (stage I and stage II) and advanced stage patients (stage III and stage IV) in the nonradiotherapy group respectively were 78.3% and 13%, while early stage patients and advanced stage patients in the radiotherapy group respectively were 26.4% and 76.4%. In terms of treatment, the proportion of patients who received chemotherapy in the radiotherapy group was significantly higher than that in the nonradiotherapy group (77.5% vs 19.3%). Figure 2 showed survival curves for patients among different groups. On account of more early stage patients in the nonradiotherapy group,
their survival time was significantly longer (HR = 0.33, 95% CI: 0.32–0.35, P < 0.001) than that in the radiotherapy group (see Fig. 2A). After the occurrence of SPLC, survival times among two groups were similar (HR = 1.0, 95% CI: 0.76–1.33, P = 0.96, see Fig. 2B). The development of metachronous SPLC seemingly did not significantly decrease the overall survival of the patients, whether in the radiotherapy group (see Fig. 2C) or in the nonradiotherapy group (see Fig. 2D). The estimated survival time was longer among patients with metachronous SPLC than that among patients without metachronous SPLC (HR = 1.54, 95% CI:1.36–1.74, see Fig. 2C). No significant difference of survival time between patients with metachronous SPLC and patients without metachronous SPLC was found in the nonradiotherapy group (HR = 0.91, 95% CI:0.83–1.00, see Fig. 2D).

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of included. Abbreviations: CS, Condensed stage; I PLC, Initial primary lung cancer; SPLC, Second primary lung cancer.
Clinical characteristics of metachronous second primary lung cancer. A total of 1354 IPLC individuals developed metachronous SPLC during 5 years follow-up, with 712 females and 642 males. The detailed histological distributions of IPLC with metachronous SPLC were shown in Table S1. In the radiotherapy and non-radiotherapy group, adenocarcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma were the main histology of metachronous SPLC, followed by small cell carcinoma, other histology and large cell carcinoma. The mean interval time between IPLC and metachronous SPLC was 52.7 ± 17.07 months in radiotherapy group, which was similar to that in no radiotherapy group (51.7 ± 17.13 months, \( P = 0.45 \)).

The incidence of metachronous SPLC. During 5 years follow-up, the cumulative incidence of metachronous SPLC was 2.3% (260/11657) in the radiotherapy group, which was lower than that in the nonradiotherapy group (4.45%, 1090/24499). In joinpoint analysis, age-adjusted 1 and 5 years cumulative incidence of metachronous SPLC respectively was 617 per 100000, and 2385 per 100000 in the radiotherapy group. By comparison, the risk of developing metachronous SPLC in the nonradiotherapy group seemed to be higher with 1448 per 100000 of age-adjusted 1 year cumulative incidence and 4748 per 100000 of age-adjusted 5 year cumulative incidence. When considering the competing risk of all cause death, the patients with radiotherapy still were associated with lower 5 year incidence of metachronous SPLC compared with those without radiotherapy (2.28% vs 4.47%, \( HR = 0.49, 95% CI:0.43–0.57 \)). The 1 year incidence of metachronous SPLC in the radiotherapy group and the

| Year | Joinpoint regression analysis(per 100,000) | Competing risk analysis(%) |
|------|------------------------------------------|---------------------------|
|      | Radiotherapy | APC | No Radiotherapy | APC | HR | Radiotherapy | No Radiotherapy | HR |
| 1    | 616.81       |      | 1448.42       |      | 0.5 |      | 3.08 |
| 2    | 864.93       | 34.4 | 1948.96       | 40.2 | 0.43 | 1.03 | 2.06 |
| 3    | 1212.87      | 14.85| 2622.46       | 15.99| 0.39| 0.47 | 1.43 | 2.98 |
| 4    | 1700.78      | 0.8  | 3528.71       |      | 1.88 |      | 3.75 |
| 5    | 2384.95      | 1.9  | 4748.14       |      | 2.28 |      | 4.47 |

Table 2. Cumulative risk of metachronous second primary lung cancer. Note: APC, Annual Percentage Change.
nonradiotherapy group respectively was 0.5% and 1.08%, respectively. Overall, the risk of developing metachronous SPLC seemingly was higher in patients without radiotherapy than patients with radiotherapy (see Table 2).

### The impact of radiotherapy on the incidence of metachronous SPLC

Through competing risk analysis of single factor and various factors, two potential risk factors of SPLC, namely, stage group and histology, were found in patients with IPLC in the radiotherapy group. With regard to the stage group, the patients with IPLC at stage I were associated with the highest risk of SPLC among the stage groups (see Table 3). In the histological aspect, the IPLC patients with small cell carcinoma had the largest risk of developing metachronous SPLC, followed by other histology, squamous cell carcinoma, large cell carcinoma, and adenocarcinoma (see Table 3). Subsequently, propensity score matching analysis was used to adjust the differences in the abovementioned factors between the radiotherapy group and the nonradiotherapy group. A total of 4077 pairs of IPLC patients were available to evaluate the impact of radiotherapy on the incidence of metachronous SPLC (see Table 4). The 5-year cumulative incidence of metachronous SPLC in the radiotherapy group was less than that in the nonradiotherapy group (2.5% vs 3.3%, HR = 0.87, 95% CI: 0.55–0.95). Decision analysis indicated that radiotherapy was a negative independent risk factor of developing metachronous SPLC with clinical net benefit in a range of risk thresholds (2% to 5%, see Fig. 3).

### Discussion

In our study, the age-adjusted incidence of developing metachronous SPLC was 0.62% at 1 year and 2.39% at 5 years among the IPLC survivors with radiotherapy. When considering the competing risk of all cause death, the cumulative incidence of developing metachronous SPLC was 0.5% at 1 year and 2.28% at 5 years among IPLC survivors with radiotherapy. The IPLC survivors without radiotherapy seemed to have a higher risk of metachronous SPLC than those with radiotherapy, which also were identified in propensity score matching and decision analysis. In addition, we also found that the presence of metachronous SPLC did not reduce overall survival of IPLC patients, either in the radiotherapy group or in the nonradiotherapy group. Our study demonstrated that the 5-year incidence of small cell lung cancer in radiotherapy group was similar to that in non-radiotherapy group (3.1% vs 2.8%, sbHR = 0.87, 95% CI: 0.33–2.07). However, radiotherapy for initial primary non small cell lung cancer seemingly decreased the 5-year incidence of metachronous SPLC compared with that in the radiotherapy group, mainly including adenocarcinoma, large cell carcinoma, and squamous cell carcinoma. To the best of our knowledge, this study was the largest one that focused on the incidence of metachronous SPLC after IPLC with radiotherapy through jointpoint regression analysis and competing risk analysis. In addition, our study suggested that the occurrence of metachronous SPLC did not significantly shorten the overall survival of patients with IPLC.

Most studies have reported that the rate of developing SPLC was 1% to 2% per patient per year. In 2001, Jeremic and colleagues reported that the incidence of developing metachronous SPLC in long-term survivors of early (I/II) non small cell lung cancer after radiotherapy alone was 1.0% (95% CI: 0.1–1.9%) per year during the first 5-year period, which was slightly higher than our study. One possible explanation is that the study population in the study of Jeremic et al. focused on early (I/II) lung cancer patients with radiotherapy alone. The present studies demonstrated that early lung cancer patients harbored higher risk of metachronous SPLC compared with those in the advanced stage groups. This observation was similar to the result obtained by Jeremic and colleagues. However, the occurrence rate of SPLC may increase during the first and second 5-year periods. Therefore, the surveillance of SPLC should be a constant and long-term process.

All the time, radiation exposure during radiotherapy is considered a potential risk factor of lung cancer. Early studies have reported that the relative risks for metachronous SPLC of small-cell lung cancer patients with radiotherapy increased approximately twofold than patients without radiotherapy. However, our study suggested that the risk of developing metachronous SPLC for IPLC patients with radiotherapy was lower compared with that of patients without radiotherapy in the first 5 years follow-up period, which was consistent with the study of

| Stage group | 1-year | 2-year | 3-year | 4-year | 5-year | Univariate analysis | P | Multivariate analysis | P |
|-------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------------------|---|-----------------------|---|
| I           | 0.98%  | 1.72%  | 2.40%  | 3.24%  | 3.83%  | —                   | — | —                     | — |
| II          | 0.29%  | 0.97%  | 1.26%  | 1.65%  | 1.95%  | 0.49 (0.29–0.84)    | 0.01 | 0.49 (0.29–0.85)    | 0.01 |
| III         | 0.48%  | 1.04%  | 1.47%  | 1.93%  | 2.41%  | 0.60 (0.44–0.81)    | 0.00 | 0.58 (0.41–0.82)    | 0.00 |
| IV          | 0.21%  | 0.42%  | 0.59%  | 0.72%  | 0.81%  | 0.23 (0.13–0.38)    | <0.01 | 0.25 (0.14–0.45)  | <0.01 |
| Unknown     | 0.55%  | 1.11%  | 1.39%  | 1.81%  | 2.23%  | 0.56 (0.31–1.02)    | 0.06 | 0.60 (0.32–1.13)   | 0.11 |

Table 3. Risk factors associated with metachronous second primary lung cancer in radiotherapy group.
Abdel-Rahman et al.25. Even when we adjusted various factors of metachronous SPLC through propensity score matching analysis, this decreased risk of metachronous SPLC in the radiotherapy group still remained. A recent study from Abdel-Rahman and his colleagues25 assessed the risk of subsequent primary thoracic cancer among IPLC patients in 9 SEER registries, treated between 1988 and 2013. Relative risk for the development of metachronous SPLC in the nonradiotherapy group was 1.83 (95% CI: 1.65–2.03) than in the radiotherapy group during 1 to 5 years follow-up since lung cancer diagnosis. However, no significant difference in the incidence of metachronous SPLC between the radiotherapy group and the nonradiotherapy group was found at both 5–10 years (relative risk 0.95 [95% CI 0.85–1.07]) and 10 or more years of follow-up (relative risk 0.98 [95% CI 0.82–1.16]). Khanal and colleagues 16 reported on 12,246 patient with stage Ia non-small cell lung cancer registered with SEER-13 between January 2004 and December 2010. The excess risk of metachronous SPLC was significantly lower in the radiotherapy group than in the nonradiotherapy group after approximately 5 years median follow-up. Another study from Han et al.17 evaluated the risk of developing metachronous SPLC in 20,032 long-term survivors (>5 years) of IPLC between 1988 and 2003. There was no significant difference in the risk of developing metachronous SPLC between radiotherapy group and non-radiotherapy group after 8 years median follow-up. On the basis of

|                | No Radiotherapy | Radiotherapy | P-value |
|----------------|-----------------|--------------|---------|
| N              | 4077            | 4077         |         |
| Age            | 67.1 ± 10.1     | 67.2 ± 11.5  | 0.692   |
| Sex            |                 |              | 0.163   |
| Female         | 2051 (50.3%)    | 2114 (51.9%) |         |
| Male           | 2026 (49.7%)    | 1963 (48.1%) |         |
| Race           |                 |              | 0.56    |
| Black          | 403 (9.9%)      | 400 (9.8%)   |         |
| White          | 3420 (83.9%)    | 3399 (83.4%) |         |
| Other          | 254 (6.2%)      | 278 (6.8%)   |         |
| Marital status |                 |              | 0.224   |
| Married        | 2374 (58.2%)    | 2428 (59.6%) |         |
| Unmarried      | 1703 (41.8%)    | 1649 (40.4%) |         |
| Laterality     |                 |              | 0.486   |
| Left           | 1675 (41.1%)    | 1706 (41.8%) |         |
| Right          | 2402 (58.9%)    | 2371 (58.2%) |         |
| Histology      |                 |              | 0.738   |
| Squamous cell  carcinoma | 2381 (58.4%) | 2387 (58.5%) |     |
| Adenocarcinoma | 1281 (31.4%)    | 1269 (31.1%) |         |
| Small cell carcinoma | 192 (4.7%)     | 192 (4.7%)   | 0.492   |
| Large cell carcinoma | 73 (1.8%)      | 89 (2.2%)    |         |
| Other          | 150 (3.7%)      | 140 (3.4%)   |         |
| Tumor size     | 35.9 ± 20.8     | 35.5 ± 19.4  | 0.392   |
| CS lymph nodes |                 |              | 0.608   |
| N0             | 2257 (55.4%)    | 2280 (55.9%) |         |
| N1/N2/N3      | 1820 (44.6%)    | 1797 (44.1%) |         |
| Distance metatasis |            |              | 0.492   |
| M0             | 3608 (88.5%)    | 3588 (88.0%) |         |
| M1             | 469 (11.5%)     | 489 (12.0%)  |         |
| Stage group    |                 |              | 0.363   |
| I              | 1584 (38.9%)    | 1544 (37.9%) |         |
| II             | 657 (16.1%)     | 708 (17.4%)  |         |
| III            | 1367 (33.5%)    | 1336 (32.8%) |         |
| IV             | 469 (11.5%)     | 489 (12.0%)  |         |
| Chemotherapy   |                 |              | 0.158   |
| No/Unknown     | 1708 (41.9%)    | 1771 (43.4%) |         |
| Yes            | 2369 (58.1%)    | 2306 (56.6%) |         |
| Survival times | 58.6 ± 23.1     | 58.9 ± 22.7  | 0.613   |
| Outcomes       |                 |              | 0.028   |
| Alive          | 1455 (35.7%)    | 1396 (34.2%) |         |
| Death          | 2487 (61.0%)    | 2578 (63.2%) |         |
| SPLC           | 135 (3.3%)      | 103 (2.5%)   |         |

Table 4. Baseline characteristics after propensity score matching analysis. Abbreviations: SPLC = Second primary lung cancer.
these studies, we found that the risk of SPLC among patients with IPLC subjected to radiotherapy was lower than that among patients with IPLC not subjected to radiotherapy in the first 5 year follow-up period, while the difference among two groups would become inconspicuous in the subsequent follow-up period. Our decision analysis also suggested that radiotherapy could obtain clinical net benefit in a range of risk thresholds (2% to 5%). When the cumulative risk of metachronous SPLC gradually increases with the extension of follow-up and exceeds 5%, clinical net benefit should disappear. The benefit of SPLC caused by radiotherapy may be significantly greater than the risk of SPLC secondary to radiotherapy, which was more obvious in the first 5 year follow-up period.

There were the following strengths in our study. Firstly, our study population came from SEER-18 database, including 36156 IPLC individuals and covering approximately 28% of the U.S. population. Large sample multicenter studies could potentially decrease the selection and referral bias of single-center study and small-sample study. Meanwhile, the strictest data-quality indicators and the consistent criteria for collecting data in all SEER registries can ensure the quality of its variables. Secondly, the authors estimated the incidence of metachronous SPLC in IPLC patients with radiotherapy at 1 year and 5 years through joinpoint regression analysis and competing risk analysis. By comparison, competing risk analysis be applied to obtain unbiased risks in the presence of competing risks and produce more accurate estimates for the incidence of metachronous SPLC. Thirdly, this study was the first to simultaneously use propensity score matching and decision analysis for evaluating the effect of radiotherapy on the incidence of SPLC.

The absence of information on detailed smoking history was an important limitation for our study. Some studies indicated that small cell lung cancer patients with the history of smoking harbored a significantly increased risk of SPLC secondary to radiotherapy. A comparison of 365 early-stage non small cell lung cancer patients with stereotactic body radiation therapy reported that the risk of developing metachronous SPLC was 1.8%(6/327) for patients with the history of smoking, and 0%(0/37) for nonsmokers (P < 0.05). A recent study by Kono et al. indicated that the number of pack-years of smoking and smoking status do not affect the incidence of metachronous SPLC for small cell lung cancer with radiotherapy and chemotherapy. Our study showed that the patients with squamous cell carcinoma (known to be associated with heavy smoking) harbored the higher risk of metachronous SPLC compared with adenocarcinoma in non small cell lung cancer with radiotherapy (2.62% vs 1.55% sbHR = 1.68, 95% CI:1.21–2.53, P < 0.001). SEER-18 database did not include the detailed information about smoking and other potentially important factors of metachronous SPLC, like any exposure to carcinogen and family history of cancer. The lack of these data possibly influenced the estimate about the association between radiotherapy and metachronous SPLC. Another important limitation was that SEER database did not report specific details with regard to the recipient of anatomic site radiation. A few stage IV patients maybe only receive radiotherapy for bone or brain metastases, which potentially affected the results. Although we evaluated the impact of radiotherapy on metachronous SPLC through multiple methods, our retrospective study inevitably harbored some potentially selective biases. Although the authors have utilized Martini and Melamed criteria to define SPLC, we should also understand that some of these malignancies are either recurrent or metastatic disease of IPLC.

For long term survivors who underwent radiotherapy after the diagnosis of IPLC, the incidence of metachronous SPLC gradually increased over time with approximately 0.5% to 0.6% per year. The risk of SPLC was likely higher in the nonradiotherapy group than in the radiotherapy group during the first 5 year follow-up period. With the development of modern techniques, the risk of developing lung cancer secondary to radiotherapy is likely to decrease. Radiotherapy possibly provides clinical benefits to the treatment of IPLC and the prevention of SPLC. However, these conclusions are yet to be verified by conducting further studies.
Data availability
The data underlying this study were obtained from the National Cancer Institute's Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results Program (SEER ID: huzg). All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information Files.

Received: 12 July 2019; Accepted: 29 November 2019;
Published online: 17 December 2019

References
1. Strom, H. H. et al. Concurrent palliative chemoradiation leads to survival and quality of life benefits in poor prognosis stage III non-small-cell lung cancer: A randomised trial by the Norwegian Lung Cancer Study Group. Br J Cancer. 109, 1467–1475 (2013).
2. Robinson, C. G. et al. Postoperative radiotherapy for pathologic N2 non-small-cell lung cancer treated with adjuvant chemotherapy: a review of the National Cancer Data Base. J Clin Oncol. 33, 870–876 (2015).
3. Moeller, B. et al. Palliative thoracic radiation therapy for non-small cell lung cancer: 2018 Update of an American Society for Radiation Oncology (ASTRO) Evidence-Based Guideline. Pract Radiat Oncol. 8, 245–250 (2018).
4. Guberina, M. et al. Heart dose exposure as prognostic marker after radiotherapy for resectable stage IIIA/B non-small-cell lung cancer: secondary analysis of a randomized trial. Ann Oncol. 28, 1084–1089 (2017).
5. Rampinelli, C. et al. Exposure to low dose computed tomography for lung cancer screening and risk of cancer: secondary analysis of trial data and risk benefit analysis. BMJ. 356, j347 (2017).
6. Huang, Y. J. et al. Radiation Therapy for Invasive Breast Cancer Increases the Risk of Second Primary Lung Cancer: A Nationwide Population-Based Cohort Analysis. J Thorac Oncol. 12, 782–790 (2017).
7. Schapveld, M. et al. Risk of new primary nonbreast cancers after breast cancer treatment: a Dutch population-based study. J Clin Oncol. 26, 1239–1246 (2008).
8. Kaufman, E. L., Jacobson, J. S., Hershman, D. L., Desai, M. & Neugut, A. I. Effect of breast cancer radiotherapy and cigarette smoking on risk of second primary lung cancer. J Clin Oncol. 26, 392–398 (2008).
9. van Dongen, J. A. et al. Long-term results of a randomized trial comparing breast-conserving therapy with mastectomy: European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer 10801 trial. J Natl Cancer Inst. 92, 1143–1150 (2000).
10. Blachert-Toft, M. et al. Long-term results of breast conserving surgery vs. mastectomy for early stage invasive breast cancer: 20-year follow-up of the Danish randomized DBCG-82TM protocol. Acta Oncol. 47, 672–681 (2008).
11. Lorigan, P., Califano, R., Fairey-Finn, C., Howell, A. & Thatcher, N. Lung cancer after treatment for breast cancer. Lancet Oncol. 11, 1184–1192 (2010).
12. Thakur, M. K. et al. Risk of Second Lung Cancer in Patients with Previously Treated Lung Cancer: Analysis of Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) Data. J Thorac Oncol. 13, 46–53 (2018).
13. Blichert-Toft, M. et al. Second primary cancers related to smoking and treatment of small-cell lung cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst. 89, 1782–1788 (1997).
14. Tucker, M. A. et al. Secondary primary cancers related to smoking and treatment of small-cell lung cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst. 92, 1143–1150 (2000).
15. Khanal, A. et al. The risk of second primary malignancy in patients with stage Ia non-small cell lung cancer: a U.S. population-based study. Acta Oncol. 57, 239–243 (2018).
16. Han, S. S. et al. Risk Stratification for Second Primary Lung Cancer. J Clin Oncol. 35, 2893–2899 (2017).
17. Martini, N. & Mameladze, M. R. Multiple primary lung cancers. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 70, 606–612 (1975).
18. National Cancer Institute. Joinpoint regression program, version 4.2.0.2. 2015, http://surveillance.cancer.gov/joinpoint/. Accessed July 14 (2015).
19. Fine, J. P. & Gray, R. J. A proportional hazards model for the subdistribution of a competing risk. J Am Stat Assoc. 94, 496–509 (1999).
20. D’Agostino, R. B. Jr. Propensity score methods for bias reduction in the comparison of a treatment to a non-randomized control group. Stat Med. 17, 2263–2281 (1998).
21. Balachandran, V. P., Gonen, M. & Smith, J. J. Nomograms in oncology: more than meets the eye. Lancet Oncol. 16, e173–180 (2015).
22. Jeremic, B. et al. Second cancers occurring in patients with early stage non-small-cell lung cancer treated with chest radiation therapy alone. J Clin Oncol. 19, 1056–1063 (2001).
23. Kono, M. et al. Incidence of second malignancy after successful treatment of limited-stage small-cell lung cancer and its effects on survival. J Thorac Oncol. 12, 1696–1703 (2017).
24. Abdel-Rahman, O. & Cheung, W. Subsequent thoracic cancers among patients diagnosed with lung cancer: a SEER database analysis. Curr Med Res Opin. 33, 2009–2017 (2017).
25. Thomas, P. A., & Rubinstein, L. & The Lung Cancer Study Group. Cancer recurrence after resection: T1 N0 non-small cell lung cancer. Ann Thorac Surg. 1990.
26. Spratt, D. E. et al. Recurrence patterns and second primary cancers after stereotactic body radiation therapy for early-stage non-small-cell lung cancer: implications for surveillance. Clinical lung cancer. 17, 177–183 (2016).

Acknowledgements
Zhigang Hu especially wishes to thanks my wife(Xiaoqiao Hu) and my son(Yanzhi Hu), who have given their powerful spiritual support over the past one year.

Author contributions
Z.H. and Y.T. designed the project and supervised research; W.L. and F.Z. performed research; Y.T., Z.H. and W.L. analyzed data; F.Z. provided significant intellectual input; Z.H. wrote the manuscript; all authors contributed to the editing of the manuscript.

Competing interests
The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information
Supplementary information is available for this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-55538-4.
