Reconfiguring surface functions using visible-light-controlled metal-ligand coordination
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Most surfaces are either static or switchable only between “on” and “off” states for a specific application. It is a challenge to develop reconfigurable surfaces that can adapt to rapidly changing environments or applications. Here, we demonstrate fabrication of surfaces that can be reconfigured for user-defined functions using visible-light-controlled Ru-thioether coordination chemistry. We modify substrates with Ru complex Ru-H2O. To endow a Ru-H2O-modified substrate with a certain function, a functional thioether ligand is immobilized on the substrate via Ru-thioether coordination. To change the surface function, the immobilized thioether ligand is cleaved from the substrate by visible-light-induced ligand dissociation, and then another thioether ligand with a distinct function is immobilized on the substrate. Different thioethers endow the surface with different functions. Based on this strategy, we rewrite surface patterns, manipulate protein adsorption, and control surface wettability. This strategy enables the fabrication of reconfigurable surfaces with customizable functions on demand.
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Manipulating surface properties is important for self-cleaning, guiding liquid flow, controlling protein adsorption, regulating cell adhesion, and many other applications. The properties of stimuli-responsive surfaces can be changed using external stimuli, such as light, heat, electric field, CO₂, glucose, and pH. For example, the surface properties can be manipulated using pH-controlled dynamic imine-based covalent reaction. Among these stimuli, light has attracted increasing attention because of its high spatiotemporal resolution and remote-control mechanism. To date, a variety of photolysis and photocoupling reactions have been utilized to control surface function. However, most of the reported photoreactions result in only static and irreversible surface functions because of the irreversible formation or photocleavage of C–C or C–O bonds. Reversible surface functions have been realized by modifying surfaces with photoswitchable compounds such as azobenzene, spiropyran, dithienylethene, and synthetic molecular shuttles. Such surfaces can switch only between two functional states because photoswitchable compounds interconvert between two isomers under ultraviolet (UV)/visible-light irradiation. Reconfigurable surfaces, which can be converted into multiple states, have been constructed using photoreactions such as the photodynamic disulfide exchange reaction, thiol–disulfide exchange reaction, addition–fragmentation chain-transfer reaction, and thiol–disulfide interconversion. These photoreactions enable fabrication of customized surfaces on demand. However, all these reconfigurable surfaces are manipulated with UV light, which can damage biological components and shorten the lifetime of organic/polymeric materials. Furthermore, UV light cannot penetrate deeply into tissue, which is not suitable for manipulating biointerfaces in the body (e.g., surfaces on implants). Compared to UV light, visible light is not invasive, and red light in the visible region can penetrate deeply into tissue. Therefore, it is highly desirable to construct reconfigurable surfaces that are controllable by visible light.

Ligand photosubstitution is a powerful photoreaction for constructing reconfigurable surfaces. In ligand photosubstitution, a ligand in a metal complex is replaced by another one under light irradiation. In particular, ligands on some Ru complexes can be substituted under visible light. Light-controlled ligand substitution has been applied for uncaging neurotransmitters, activating anticancer drugs, controlling drug release, actuating hydrogels, and photopatterning. In particular, we have demonstrated that red light can pass through tissue and induce photosubstitution in Ru complexes in vivo. Although the abovementioned studies use only one-way photosubstitution, photosubstitution reactions of some Ru complexes are reversible at ambient or elevated temperatures. For example, thioethers can substitute for the coordinated water molecules in Ru complexes in the dark via thermal substitution; water molecules can also substitute for the coordinated thioether ligands in Ru complexes under light irradiation. Therefore, Ru complexes can interconvert between two states (i.e., the water-coordinated and thioether-coordinated states) in solution via reversible ligand photosubstitution. However, ligand photosubstitution has never been used to construct a system that can be reconfigured among multiple states.

In this work, we constructed a reconfigurable surface, which can be reconfigured into a number of functional states using visible-light-controlled metal–ligand coordination. In our design, the Ru complex [Ru(tpy-COOH)(biq)(H₂O)][PF₆]₂ (hereafter denoted Ru-H₂O, tpy-COOH = 6,2′,6′-terpyridin-4′-yloxy hexanoic acid, biq = 2,2′-biquinoline) acts as the molecular “multi-bit screwdriver”, and the thioureas with different functional groups (MeSC₂H₄-R₁, MeSC₂H₄-R₂, MeSC₂H₄-R₃… MeSC₂H₄-Rₙ) act as the molecular bits (Fig. 1a). The removal of the bit on the screwdriver is driven by visible-light-induced photosubstitution, while the attachment of another bit to the screwdriver is automatically achieved in the dark via thermal substitution. To construct a reconfigurable surface, Ru-H₂O is grafted onto a substrate (Fig. 1b). Substitution of the coordinated H₂O molecule in Ru-H₂O with the thiourea (MeSC₂H₄-R₁) endows the surface with the function of R₁ (step 1 in Fig. 1b). To change the surface function to that of R₂, MeSC₂H₄-R₁ is first substituted by H₂O under light irradiation (step 2). After washing with water and acetone to remove MeSC₂H₄-R₁, the coordinated H₂O is then substituted by MeSC₂H₄-R₂ in the dark (step 3). The surface can be reconfigured into user-defined functions using different thioureas based on the approach in Fig. 1b. This approach enables fabrication of reconfigurable surfaces with customized functions. We demonstrate rewriting surface patterns, manipulating protein adsorption, and controlling wettability based on visible-light-controlled metal–ligand coordination.

Results

Ru–thioether coordination in aqueous solutions. Ru-H₂O was designed for this study; it has a carboxylic group for surface modification and a coordinated H₂O molecule that can be substituted by thioureas (Fig. 1). Ru-H₂O was synthesized via a multi-step route and fully characterized using ¹H nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy, ¹³C NMR spectroscopy, H-H correlation spectroscopy (COSY) spectrum, and mass spectrometry (Supplementary Figs. 1–8).

To demonstrate that the Ru–thioether bond is dynamic and reversible, we studied the coordination between Ru-H₂O and a model thioether compound 2-(methylthio)ethanol (MTE) using UV–vis absorption spectroscopy (Fig. 2a). When Ru-H₂O (1 mM) and MTE (10 mM) were mixed in water, the absorption band was located at 550 nm, which is attributed to the metal-to-ligand charge transfer band of Ru-H₂O. The absorption band blueshifted to 535 nm and the absorbance decreased when the mixture was kept in the dark (Fig. 2b). This spectral change is identical to the observations of the formation of the Ru–thioether coordination bond reported in the literature, which indicated MTE coordinated with the Ru center. The absorption band did not further blueshift after 40 min, indicating equilibrium was reached. Then, the sample was irradiated with green light (530 nm, 50 mW cm⁻²) for 1 min. The absorption band returned to the original position. This result showed that MTE was substituted by water upon irradiation. The existence of an isosbestic point at 530 nm suggested that a single reaction occurred. The photosubstitution of the Ru complex can also be induced by UV, blue, and red light in solution because the Ru complex has a broad absorption band in the UV and visible regions (Supplementary Fig. 9 and Supplementary Note 1).

To demonstrate the reversibility of the substitution, we studied the ligand substitution for four cycles using absorption spectroscopy (Fig. 2c). In each cycle, an aqueous mixture of Ru-H₂O (1 mM) and MTE (10 mM) was kept in the dark for 40 min and then irradiated with green light (530 nm, 50 mW cm⁻²) for 1 min. The absorption change showed that the ligand substitution was fully reversible (Fig. 2c).

We also studied the ligand substitution using ¹H NMR spectroscopy (Supplementary Fig. 10 and Supplementary Note 2). The ¹H NMR data confirmed that MTE coordinated with the Ru center in the dark and was substituted by a water molecule upon green-light irradiation. The formation and cleavage of the Ru–thioether bond can be cycled for at least 10 times under dark/light irradiation conditions (Supplementary Fig. 11a). The MTE-coordinated Ru centers were approximately 80% in each cycle (Supplementary Fig. 11b and Supplementary Note 3). The
equilibrium constant $K$ of the MTE/Ru-H$_2$O coordination reaction was $10^7 \pm 4 \text{ M}^{-1}$ at 298 K (Supplementary Fig. 12 and Supplementary Note 4). The pseudo first-order rate constant $k'_{1}$ was $1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ at 298 K (Supplementary Fig. 13 and Supplementary Note 5). The formation of the Ru-MTE complex in the dark was also quantified using UV–vis absorption spectroscopy (Supplementary Fig. 14 and Supplementary Note 6). Based on these results, we conclude that the Ru–thioether bond is dynamic, and a reversible ligand substitution occurs under mild conditions without the addition of any other reagents such as catalysts or photoinitiators.

**Ru–thioether coordination on surfaces.** Encouraged by the reversible ligand substitution in solution, we studied visible-light-controlled Ru–thioether coordination on surfaces. To fabricate a reconfigurable surface, a quartz substrate was modified with (3-aminopropyl)triethoxysilane (APTES) and then Ru-H$_2$O was grafted onto the substrate via amidation. Subsequently, we vertically inserted the Ru-H$_2$O-modified quartz substrate ($1 \times 2 \text{ cm}^2$) into an MTE aqueous solution (10 mM) in a quartz cuvette and studied the ligand substitution at the surface using absorption spectroscopy. The absorption band of the grafted Ru-H$_2$O at 550 nm decreased and slightly blueshifted in the dark. The absorption band returned to the initial state after green-light irradiation (530 nm, 40 mW cm$^{-2}$) for 10 min (Supplementary Fig. 15). The spectral change was similar to that observed in solution, which indicated that the ligand substitution on the surface was reversible.

We studied the released photoproduct from the surface using mass spectrometry, which showed that MTE was intact after photoinduced releasing from the surface (Supplementary Fig. 16 and Supplementary Note 7). Moreover, the surfaces were stable when stored in a fridge at $-4^\circ\text{C}$, in air and under vacuum for 5 days in the dark (Supplementary Fig. 17 and Supplementary Note 8). The photostability of the Ru-MTE-modified surfaces in solution (Supplementary Fig. 18 and Supplementary Note 9) and in air (Supplementary Fig. 19 and Supplementary Note 10) was also studied. The Ru-MTE-modified surfaces were stable in dry air even under UV or visible light irradiation.
The reversible substitution on the surface was quantified using X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). The reversible change of S 2p signal in the XPS spectra revealed that MTE was grafted on the surface in the dark and cleaved from the surface after light irradiation (Supplementary Fig. 20 and Supplementary Note 11). The quantitative analysis of XPS spectra also showed that the substitution was reversible for at least 10 cycles (Supplementary Table 1). Almost all thioether ligands were cleaved from the surface after light irradiation and washing. In the dark, 49.6 to 70.9% of Ru centers (average 62%) on the surface was reversed to the original state upon irradiation with green light for 1 min.

Rewriting surface patterns with visible light. To demonstrate the reconfigurable features of the Ru-H2O-modified surface, we synthesized thioether-containing fluorescent isothiocyanate (MeSC2H4-PEG) and thioether-containing rhodamine B iso-thiocyanate (MeSC2H4-RhB) to create rewritable patterns (Fig. 3a). First, the Ru-H2O-modified surface was immersed in an aqueous solution of MeSC2H4-FITC (10 mM) in the dark for 2 h and then washed with water and acetone. The non-fluorescent surface (Fig. 3b) developed a strong green fluorescence (Fig. 3c), showing MeSC2H4-FITC was successfully immobilized on the surface. Then, the substrate was wetted with water, covered by a photomask, and irradiated using green light (530 nm, 40 mW cm−2) for 10 min. The disappearance of the fluorescence in the exposed regions demonstrated that MeSC2H4-FITC was cleaved from the surface (Fig. 3d). After that, the substrate was immersed into an aqueous solution of MeSC2H4-RhB (10 mM) in the dark for 2 h and then washed with water and acetone. Red fluorescence appeared in the pre-irradiated regions (Fig. 3e), which suggested MeSC2H4-RhB was immobilized on the surface. Moreover, the well-defined structure showed that the visible-light-induced ligand substitution can pattern different functional ligands with a good spatial resolution. Importantly, the patterned surface returned to the original state upon irradiation with green light in water, revealing the writable feature of the Ru-H2O-modified surface.

Manipulating protein adsorption with visible light. Surfaces that either resist or enhance protein adsorption are important for biomedical applications. Visible-light-controlled metal–ligand coordination enables reconfiguration of a surface from a protein-repellent state to a protein-adsorptive state. To fabricate a protein-resistant surface, a thioether-terminated polyethylene glycol ligand (MeSC2H4-PEG) was synthesized, which can form a dynamic coordination bond with Ru-H2O (Supplementary Fig. 21). The coordination of MeSC2H4-PEG and Ru-H2O was reversible in solution (Supplementary Fig. 22). To fabricate a protein-resistant surface, MeSC2H4-PEG was immobilized on a Ru-H2O-modified surface via Ru-thioether coordination (Fig. 4a, left). The Ru-MeSC2H4-PEG-modified surface was non-fluorescent (Fig. 4b). Then, the Ru-MeSC2H4-PEG-modified surface was immersed in a solution of fluorescently labeled bovine serum albumin (BSA) (0.5 mg mL−1) for 2 h and washed with an aqueous solution of NaCl (1 mM, pH = 9). After this treatment, the Ru-MeSC2H4-PEG-modified surface was still non-fluorescent (Fig. 4c). The Ru-MeSC2H4-PEG in the exposed areas was cleaved from the surface and the fluorescently labeled BSA was captured by the exposed regions via electrostatic interactions (Fig. 4d). These results demonstrate that visible-light-controlled metal–ligand coordination can manipulate protein adsorption.

Manipulating protein adsorption on biomaterials in the body (e.g., implants) with light requires light that can penetrate deeply into tissue. We have demonstrated that red light can pass through biological tissue and activate Ru complexes with absorption and photoreactivity properties similar to Ru-MeSC2H4-PEG31,32. To test the potential deep-tissue applications of the Ru-MeSC2H4-PEG-modified surface, we placed a piece of 4-mm-thick pork tissue between the Ru-MeSC2H4-PEG-modified surface and a red laser (671 nm, 110 mW cm−2). The surface was wetted with the fluorescently labeled BSA solution (0.5 mg mL−1) and irradiated with masked red light for 40 min (Fig. 5a). The exposed areas
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Fig. 2 Visible-light-controlled Ru-thioether coordination in solution. a Interconversion of Ru-H2O and Ru-MTE in solution. b UV–vis absorption spectra of an aqueous solution containing Ru-H2O (1 mM) and MTE (10 mM) in the dark for 10, 40, and 50 min and subsequently irradiated with green light for 1 min. c Absorption changes in the aqueous solution containing Ru-H2O (1 mM) and MTE (10 mM) for alternating dark/light irradiation cycles.
Ru-MeSC$_2$H$_4$-FITC-modified part (green) and Ru-MeSC$_2$H$_4$-RhB-modified part (red). Scale bars are 300 µm.

Fig. 3 Rewriting surface patterns on the Ru-H$_2$O-modified substrate using visible light. a Schematic illustration of the rewriting surface patterns. The fluorescence microscopy images of b the Ru-H$_2$O-modified substrate, c Ru-MeSC$_2$H$_4$-FITC-modified substrate, d patterned substrate consisting of a Ru-MeSC$_2$H$_4$-FITC-modified part (green) and Ru-H$_2$O-modified part (dark), and e patterned substrate consisting of a Ru-MeSC$_2$H$_4$-FITC-modified part (green) and Ru-MeSC$_2$H$_4$-RhB-modified part (red). Scale bars are 300 µm.

Controlling wettability with visible light. Another application of visible-light-controlled metal–ligand coordination is manipulating the wettability of surfaces. We can adjust the wettability using suitable thioether ligands and switch the wettability with visible light. As a proof of concept, we prepared a surface that showed reversible hydrophilic-to-superhydrophobic transitions (Fig. 6). For this purpose, we prepared a porous silica coating, which was created from a candle soot template developed in our previous work$^{55}$ (Fig. 6a, b and Supplementary Fig. 24). The coating changed from non-fluorescent to fluorescent after light illumination, which indicated the proteins were adsorbed on the exposed areas (Fig. 5b, c). The laser wavelength (671 nm) is in the therapeutic window (600–1000 nm) and the laser intensity (110 mW cm$^{-2}$) is lower than the maximum permissible exposure for skin exposure (200 mW cm$^{-2}$)$^{33,54}$. Therefore, manipulating protein adsorption using our system is a noninvasive method for deep-tissue applications. Furthermore, we tested biocompatibility of Ru-H$_2$O-modified surfaces by measuring cell viability using another thioether (Supplementary Fig. 27 and Supplementary Note 12). The biocompatibility of Ru-H$_2$O-modified quartz is comparable to unmodified quartz.

MTE was used as the hydrophilic thioether ligand and (3,3,4,4,5,5,6,6,7,7,8,8,9,9,10,10-heptadecafluorodecyli)(methyl) sulfane (HFDMS) was used as the hydrophobic thioether ligand (Supplementary Fig. 25). The coordination of HFDMS and Ru-H$_2$O was also reversible in solution (Supplementary Fig. 26). The coating with these two thioether ligands can be interconverted via visible-light-controlled metal–ligand coordination (Fig. 6c). First, the Ru-MTE-modified coating was prepared by immersing the Ru-H$_2$O-modified substrate into an aqueous solution of MTE (10 mM) for 2 h in the dark, and the coating had a static water contact angle of 27 ± 2° (Fig. 6d). The low contact angle is because of the nanostructure of the coating and the hydrophilic feature of the surface groups. Subsequently, MTE was cleaved from the surface by irradiating the coating with green-light irradiation (530 nm, 40 mW cm$^{-2}$, 10 min) in water. After that, HFDMS was immobilized on the substrate by immersing the substrate into an acetone/H$_2$O (1/1) solution of HFDMS (10 mM) in the dark for 2 h and washing with acetone. After drying, the coating had a static water contact angle of 154 ± 2° and a roll-off angle less than 2°. This result shows the coating changed from hydrophilic to superhydrophobic because of the low surface free energy of the fluorocarbon chains. To switch the coating back to the hydrophilic state, the Ru-HFDMS-modified coating was irradiated with green light (530 nm, 40 mW cm$^{-2}$, 10 min) in an acetone/H$_2$O mixture and immersed into an aqueous solution of MTE (10 mM) for 2 h in the dark. The hydrophilic-to-superhydrophobic transitions were recyclable (Fig. 6d). Our reconfigurable surfaces based on the Ru–thioether dynamic bond are different from conventional photoswitchable surfaces based on photoisomerization. Conventional photoswitchable surfaces have two steady states. We can endow the surface with multiple steady states. As a proof of concept, we demonstrated the wettability of the surface can also be switched between a hydrophilic state and a hydrophobic state using another thioether (Supplementary Fig. 27 and Supplementary Note 13).
Discussion

In conclusion, we showed a universal strategy for constructing dynamic surfaces that can be reconfigured into user-defined functional states using visible-light-controlled metal–ligand coordination. As a proof of concept, we demonstrated rewriting surface patterns using Ru-MeSC2H4-FITC and Ru-MeSC2H4-RhB coordination, manipulating protein adsorption using Ru-MeSC2H4-PEG coordination, and controlling wettability using Ru-MTE, Ru-HFDMS and Ru-DMS coordination. In principle, customizable surfaces can be readily obtained using desirable thioether ligands, which are either commercially available or can be easily synthesized by modifying MTE, 3-(methylthio)propionic acid, or 2-(methylthio)ethylamine. The highly customizable functions of thioether ligands can endow the Ru–thioether-modified surfaces with different applications. The functions and applications can be easily reconfigured with visible light, which is a noninvasive stimulus. Importantly, the reported reconfigurable surfaces were also responsive to red light, which can penetrate deeply into tissue for biomedical applications. We believe that our strategy is versatile for customizable surface functionalization and opens exciting opportunities for a wide range of applications.

Methods

Synthesis. Detailed procedures for the synthesis and characterization of the Ru complexes and ligands are provided in the Supplementary Information.

Modifying quartz substrates with Ru-H2O. First, a quartz substrate (2 × 2 cm2) was immersed in piranha solution (H2SO4/H2O2 = 2/1) for 1 h at 90°, washed with water, ethanol, and acetone, and dried using a stream of N2. Then, the substrate was immersed in an ethanol solution of 1% APTES for 24 h, washed with water, ethanol, and acetone, and dried using a stream of N2. Afterwards, the substrate was immersed into a dry dichloromethane (DCM) solution (10 mL) of Ru-H2O (100 mg, 0.097 mmol), N-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-N'-ethylcarbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC, 68 mg, 0.355 mmol), and 4-(dimethylamino)pyridine (DMAP, 40 mg, 0.327 mmol) for 24 h before it was washed with water, ethanol, and acetone, and finally dried using a stream of N2.

Rewriting surface patterns with visible light. First, FITC and RhB were separately dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (20 mg mL⁻¹). Subsequently, the FITC (0.96 mL, 0.05 mmol) and RhB (1.35 mL, 0.05 mmol) solutions were added into 4.04 mL and 3.65 mL aqueous MTE solutions (0.05 mmol), respectively, and stirred overnight to obtain FITC- and RhB-modified thioethers (MeSC2H4-FITC and MeSC2H4-RhB). Afterwards, the Ru-H2O-modified substrate was immersed into a MeSC2H4-FITC solution (10 mM) in the dark for 2 h, and washed with water and acetone. After drying with a stream of N2, the Ru-MeSC2H4-FITC-modified substrate was wetted by water, covered by a photomask, and irradiated using green light.
light (530 nm, 40 mW cm$^{-2}$) for 10 min. After it was washed and dried again, the substrate was immersed into a MeSC$_2$H$_4$-RhB solution (10 mM) in the dark for 2 h to form a patterned surface. To regenerate the Ru-H$_2$O-modified surface, the patterned surface was irradiated with green light (530 nm, 40 mW cm$^{-2}$) in water for 10 min. The substrate was imaged using an inverted fluorescence microscope (DMi8, Leica).

**Manipulating protein adsorption with visible light.** A Ru-H$_2$O-modified substrate was immersed into an aqueous solution of MeSC$_2$H$_4$-PEG (10 mL, 10 mM) in the dark overnight, washed with water and acetone, and dried using a stream of N$_2$. Afterwards, the substrate was wetted by the fluorescently labeled BSA (0.5 mg mL$^{-1}$) and irradiated with green light (530 nm, 40 mW cm$^{-2}$) with a photomask for 10 min. Subsequently, the light was turned off, and the substrate was kept in the dark for 10 min. After washing and drying, the substrate was imaged using an inverted fluorescence microscope.

For the deep-tissue protein adsorption experiment, a piece of 4-mm-thick pork tissue (671 nm, 110 mW cm$^{-2}$) were used. First, the Ru-H$_2$O-modified substrate was immersed into an aqueous solution of MeSC$_2$H$_4$-PEG (10 mL, 10 mM) in the dark. Then, the substrate was washed with water and acetone, and dried using a stream of N$_2$. Afterwards, the substrate was wetted by the fluorescently labeled BSA solution (0.5 mg mL$^{-1}$) and placed between the red laser and a photomask covered with the tissue. After irradiation for 40 min, the laser was turned off, and the substrate was kept in the dark for 10 min. After washing and drying, the substrate was imaged using an inverted fluorescence microscope.

**Ru-H$_2$O-modified porous silica coating.** The porous silica coating (2 x 2 cm$^2$) was prepared according to our previous work$^{35}$. First, the candle soot-coated substrate was deposited by tetraethoxysilane using chemical vapor deposition for 72 h. Afterwards, the substrate was heated at 600 °C in air for 4 h to remove the carbon cores. Subsequently, the substrate was treated with oxygen plasma for 2 s and then placed into an ethanol solution of 1% APFES for 24 h. After that, the substrate was immersed in a dry DCM solution (10 mL) of Ru-H$_2$O (100 mg, 0.097 mmol), EDC (68 mg, 0.353 mmol), and DMAP (40 mg, 0.327 mmol) for 24 h. After washing and drying, the Ru-H$_2$O-modified porous silica coating was obtained.

**Reversible surface wettability.** To obtain a hydrophilic surface, the Ru-H$_2$O-modified porous silica coating was immersed in an aqueous solution of MTE (10 mL, 10 mM) for 2 h in the dark, washed with acetone and water, and dried using a stream of N$_2$. To switch the hydrophilic surface to a superhydrophobic surface, the hydrophilic Ru-MTE-modified coating was immersed into water and irradiated with green light (530 nm, 40 mW cm$^{-2}$) for 10 min to cleave the MTE, washed with acetone and water, and dried using a stream of N$_2$. After that, the coating was immersed into an acetone/H$_2$O mixed solution of HFDMS or DMS (10 mL, 10 mM) for 2 h in the dark, washed with acetone, and dried with a stream of N$_2$ to obtain a superhydrophobic/hydrophobic surface. The reversible hydrophilic-to-superhydrophobic/hydrophobic transitions were induced using the procedure mentioned above. The static water contact angles were measured using a contact angle meter (Dataphysics OCA35) by placing a 10 µL water droplet on each sample.

**Data availability**

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding authors upon reasonable request.
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