Nonlinear coupling network to simulate the development of the \( r \) mode instability in neutron stars. II. Dynamics
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Two mechanisms for nonlinear mode saturation of the \( r \) mode in neutron stars have been suggested: the parametric instability mechanism involving a small number of modes and the formation of a nearly continuous Kolmogorov-type cascade. Using a network of oscillators constructed from the eigenmodes of a perfect fluid incompressible star, we investigate the transition between the two regimes numerically. Our network includes the 4995 inertial modes up to \( n \leq 30 \) with 146,998 direct couplings to the \( r \) mode and 1,306,999 couplings with detuning \(<0.002\) (out of a total of approximately \( 10^9 \) possible couplings). The lowest parametric instability thresholds for a range of temperatures are calculated and it is found that the \( r \) mode becomes unstable to modes with \( 13 < n < 15 \). In the undriven, undamped, Hamiltonian version of the network the rate to achieve equipartition is found to be amplitude dependent, reminiscent of the Fermi-Pasta-Ulam problem. More realistic models driven unstable by gravitational radiation and damped by shear viscosity are explored next. A range of damping rates, corresponding to temperatures \( 10^8 \) K to \( 10^9 \) K, is considered. Exponential growth of the \( r \) mode is found to cease at small amplitudes \( \approx 10^{-4} \). For strongly damped, low temperature models, a few modes dominate the dynamics. The behavior of the \( r \) mode is complicated, but its amplitude is still no larger than about \( 10^{-4} \) on average. For high temperature, weakly damped models the \( r \) mode feeds energy into a sea of oscillators that achieve approximate equipartition. In this case the \( r \)-mode amplitude settles to a value for which the rate to achieve equipartition is approximately the linear instability growth rate.

I. INTRODUCTION

In a previous paper [1] (henceforth Paper I), we presented details on the computation of the coupling coefficients and damping/driving rates for the generalized \( r \) modes of a uniform density, uniformly rotating star in the limit of slow rotation. In this paper we explore the nonlinear dynamics of the oscillator network constructed in Paper I. We concentrate on the results that will have physical implications for the saturation of the \( r \)-mode instability in neutron stars. The astrophysical motivation for this problem was given in Paper I.

Consider a sea of coupled oscillators obeying the amplitude equations

\[
\dot{c}_A(t) - i w_A c_A + \gamma_A c_A = -i \frac{w_A}{\epsilon_A} \sum_{BC} \kappa_{ABC} c_B c_C, \tag{1}
\]

where the coupling coefficients \( \kappa_{ABC} \), the driving/damping rates \( \gamma_A \), and the frequencies \( w_A \) have been derived from the fully nonlinear problem by means of second order perturbation theory. Only the most significant nonlinear and driving terms have been retained. Thus, we assume that modes never achieve large amplitudes \( c_n \), and explore the dynamics in the limit of weak nonlinearity. The validity of this assumption can be assessed in retrospect.

The oscillator network for the \( r \)-mode problem has many distinct properties inherited from the original physical problem: The rescaled frequencies of the oscillators are bounded between \(-1\) and \(1\), the connectedness of the coupling graph is determined by the selection rules, and the general background of the coupling coefficients provides the landscape on which the dynamics will take place.

In neutron stars energy enters the system of coupled oscillators at a large scale via a comparatively weakly connected \( r \) mode. The energy is drained out of the system by viscosity at smaller scales, from modes that are comparatively well connected and have several couplings to other small scale modes. The passage of energy from the large scale to the damping scale is what we propose to study as a mechanism for saturating the \( r \)-mode instability.

Many examples of driven fluid systems exist in nature; Rayleigh-Bernard convection [2] and Taylor-Couette flow [3] are two particularly well-studied examples. Both display a rich pattern-forming transition from laminar base flow to more turbulent regimes. The \( r \)-mode problem can be expected to display similar complexity. The experiments that follow are designed to indicate the types of behavior that may arise in different parameter regimes representative of those in a neutron star.

We begin by considering the simplest coupled system of three modes in Sec. II. Using ideas obtained from the three-mode problem we compute possible parametric instability thresholds that arise from the nonlinear coupling [1] of the inertial modes [4] of an incompressible uniformly rotating fluid. We investigate the effect of temperature on the thresholds of the inertial modes of the three-mode system. We use the analytic results for the instability thresholds and oscillation periods obtained in Sec. II to check our integration scheme and to indicate which amplitude regimes are important.
The integration of approximately 5000 complex oscillators, in which all the inertial modes with \( n \leq 30 \) are included, coupled by approximately \( 1.3 \times 10^7 \) coupling coefficients, for integration times of \( >10^7/2\Omega \) requires Eq. (1) to be implemented as efficiently as possible. Our approach to implementing (1) is detailed in Sec. III.

We build up our intuition about the behavior of our coupling network in Sec. IV by integrating the triplet of modes in our network that go unstable first, namely, the triplet having the lowest parametric instability threshold. A second and third direct coupling to the \( r \) mode are then systematically added. Any simulation starting from negligibly small initial conditions will during its initial evolution display the dynamics observed for these low order systems.

In Section VI we begin our investigation of the large modal system by observing how rapidly if at all equiparable small initial conditions will during its initial evolution display the dynamics observed for these low order systems.

Two damping regimes exist. When dissipation is strong, the daughter modes that couple directly to the \( r \) mode can damp out the \( r \) mode’s amplitude growth effectively. The daughter-daughter couplings may alter the dynamics but are not essential in halting the growth. When dissipation is weak, the modes to which the \( r \) mode goes unstable do not damp its growth effectively and multiple generations of daughter-daughter couplings are necessary to transfer the energy to the damping modes. To explore this case fully we need to introduce more couplings. However, it is impractical to include all the couplings since their number scales roughly like \( \sim n^8 \). A criterion for identifying the important couplings is essential to render the calculation tractable. Our method of dealing with the proliferation of couplings is discussed in Secs. III and VII B.

We conclude our investigation by observing the dynamics of a few weakly damped systems in the high temperature regime. In this regime the transition to more Kolmogorov-like spectra is made and a large number of modes are excited.

II. THREE-MODE SYSTEMS

The smallest nonlinear system consists of three modes and one coupling. The undamped Hamiltonian system yields an analytic solution in terms of elliptic integrals [5] as shown in Appendix A. The period of the amplitude oscillations depends on the initial conditions of the system and can be accurately calculated numerically [6]. For the case of a large amplitude parent coupling to small amplitude daughters the period increases as the parent amplitude decreases. It was this dependence on initial amplitudes of the nonlinear oscillation frequency that was used [7] to explain the time scales involved in the catastrophic decay of the “pumped up” state of the \( r \) mode observed in hydrodynamical simulations [8].

There exists a minimum parent amplitude below which no oscillations in amplitude occur. This amplitude is the no-damping limit of the parametric instability threshold discussed in the next paragraph. Above this threshold very long oscillation periods are realized. Accurately following these oscillations, which take place over thousands of periods of the oscillators involved, is a challenge to our numerical code, and was one of the tests used to verify the reliability of our integration scheme.

Study of the damped system introduces the concept of the parametric instability, which sets the minimum amplitude the \( r \) mode has to reach before it can excite other modes in the system. No energy is transferred out of the driven mode until the instability threshold is reached. The phase space of the damped three-mode system was thoroughly explored by Dimant [9] and Wersinger et al. [10]. The stationary solutions and parametric instability thresholds are compactly rederived in Appendix B. The parametric instability threshold for an unstable parent \( \alpha \) sharing excitation with two daughters \( \beta \) and \( \gamma \) is

\[
|c_\alpha|^2 = \frac{\gamma_\beta \gamma_\gamma}{4w_\gamma w_\delta \beta \delta} \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{\delta w}{\gamma_\gamma + \gamma_\beta} \right)^2 \right].
\]

Here \( \gamma_\beta, \gamma_\gamma \) are the damping rates and \( w_\beta, w_\gamma \) are the frequencies of the daughter modes, while \( \delta w = w_\alpha - w_\beta - w_\gamma \) is the detuning. Note that the instability threshold does not depend on the linear growth rate of the parent and is subject to the correct relationship between the signs of the mode frequencies (some triplets never go unstable as shown in Appendix B).

The parametric instability thresholds for the 146,998 direct couplings to the \( r \) mode were calculated for a range of temperatures. All modes with \( n \leq 30 \) were included. The behavior of the lowest three thresholds as a function of temperature is shown in Fig. 1.

The graph begins with the temperature at which gravitational radiation first destabilizes the \( r \) mode of a neutron...
star rotating at $\Omega = 0.37\sqrt{\pi G\rho}$, namely, where the balance between the damping due to shear viscosity of the $r$ mode and the gravitational radiation (GR) driving rate occurs. At this temperature all modes have strong viscous dissipation. The $r$ mode has to attain a relatively large amplitude before the nonlinear term dominates the linear damping of the daughters [Eq. (2)]. By contrast, in a system where the daughters are less strongly damped parametric instability occurs more readily. As the damping is decreased the instability amplitudes decrease monotonically until the limiting value of $|\delta w/4\kappa \sqrt{w^2 \omega^2}|$ is reached.

The inset in the graph gives the conversion of the numbers labeling a particular coupling to the eigenmodes labeled according to degree, $n$, and order, $m$, of the Legendre functions that make up the eigenmodes’ functional representation. The lowest parametric instability in the network we considered occurred for modes with reasonably high degree, namely $n = 13$ and $n = 14$. For low enough temperature, the shear damping is large enough so that the three-mode system can dissipate all the energy with which the $r$ mode is driven, stopping its growth. However, as the damping decreases the $r$ mode is driven at a rate that cannot be halted by mere three-mode coupling, and more modes become important.

III. NUMERICAL IMPLEMENTATION OF THE AMPLITUDE EQUATIONS

We implement the amplitude equations (1) numerically by means of a Bulirsch-Stoer method with adaptive stepsize control [6]. Adaptive stepsize is a feature that is essential to any scheme adopted. The evolution begins with slow exponential growth described by linear perturbation theory, during which there is little excitation of the rest of the network, allowing the use of larger stepizes, but proceeds to the excitation of many modes via nonlinear effects, which demands shorter stepizes. A further criterion for the selection of an integrator is that it must minimize the number of function evaluations of the right hand side (RHS), since looping over a large number of coupling coefficients becomes very expensive.

Another integration scheme that was explored was the fourth-order Runge-Kutta scheme, also with adaptive stepizing [6]. However, this method displayed a larger systematic secular drift in the energy of a Hamiltonian system than the Bulirsch-Stoer method did. Symplectic integrators were also investigated, but we were not able to find one with adaptive stepizing that could compete with the high order accuracy of the Bulirsch-Stoer method with roughly the same number of function evaluations. Furthermore, as soon as dissipation is added the advantage of using the symplectic integrator is no longer clear. Semi-implicit extrapolation methods, such as the stifbs routine [6], allow larger stepizes to be taken at the cost of the construction of the Jacobian matrix. Although Eq. (1) is well suited to the construction of the Jacobian analytically, limitations on memory, and the cost of communicating large matrices in a parallel architecture, made this integrator viable only for a system with a small number of modes. Regardless of the integrator used, the majority of the CPU time will be spent in the evaluation of the RHS of Eq. (1). This is a major limiting factor in integrating over very long times.

To implement the RHS of Eq. (1) we maintain an ordered list of all possible nonzero couplings $\kappa_{\alpha \beta \gamma}$. For each entry in the list we store the three modes that are coupled, their coupling coefficient, and the frequency detuning. The modes are identified by the one-dimensional index $j$ introduced in Paper I which arranges the inertial modes labeled by the three numbers $(n, m, k)$ consecutively. A vector $c$ contains the complex amplitudes indexed by the same index $j$.

After initializing the derivative terms to zero ($\dot{c} = 0$), we loop through all the couplings, adding the contribution from each nonlinear three-mode coupling to the RHS. Since the amplitude vector is indexed by the mode number this can be done very efficiently. (Note that all the coupling coefficients for the problem we are considering are real.)

Two possible cases exist. If $\beta = \gamma$, then the rule for updating the amplitudes due to this coupling is

$$
\dot{c}_\alpha \rightarrow \dot{c}_\alpha - i w_\alpha \kappa \pi \beta \beta \epsilon \beta \epsilon \beta,
$$

$$
\dot{c}_\beta \rightarrow \dot{c}_\beta - i 2 w_\beta \kappa \pi \beta \beta \epsilon \beta \epsilon \beta.
$$

Otherwise (the general case), when the coupling is among three distinct modes, the rule for updating the RHS is

$$
\dot{c}_\alpha \rightarrow \dot{c}_\alpha - i 2 w_\alpha \kappa \pi \beta \gamma \epsilon \beta \epsilon \gamma,
$$

$$
\dot{c}_\beta \rightarrow \dot{c}_\beta - i 2 w_\beta \kappa \pi \beta \gamma \epsilon \beta \epsilon \gamma,
$$

$$
\dot{c}_\gamma \rightarrow \dot{c}_\gamma - i 2 w_\gamma \kappa \pi \beta \gamma \epsilon \beta \epsilon \gamma.
$$

The factor of $2$ takes into account the symmetry in the last two components of the coupling. [See [11], Eq. (4.17). Note that for our modes, the $m$-selection rule forbids the last term of Eq. (4.17) from occurring.]

Constructing the RHS in this manner lends itself to parallelization especially when systems with large numbers of coupling coefficients are integrated. Distributing the coupling coefficients over the various processors, letting each processor compute the contribution due to its local set of coupling coefficients, and then combining the result by communicating only the relatively small derivative vector results in a very efficient parallel code, with only a small overhead in communication costs. The computation time decreases roughly linearly with the number of processors added for systems with a large number of coupling coefficients.

After communication between the processors has taken place, the linear part of the equation is added using

$$
\dot{c}_\alpha \rightarrow \dot{c}_\alpha + (i w_\alpha - \gamma_\alpha) c_\alpha.
$$
This implementation follows each individual oscillation of the real and imaginary parts of the modes involved. It yields very accurate results for energy conservation of the Hamiltonian system,

\[ E = \sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha}^* c_{\alpha} - 4 \sum_{\beta \neq \gamma} \kappa_{\beta \gamma} \mathcal{H}(c_{\alpha}^* c_{\beta} c_{\gamma}) - 2 \sum_{\sigma_{\beta \beta}} \kappa_{\beta \beta} \mathcal{H}(c_{\alpha}^* c_{\beta}^2). \] (6)

In Eq. (6) summation is implied over all nonzero couplings (not mode indices as used in [11]), and \( \mathcal{H} \) indicates the real part of a complex number.

For the scheme outlined above, the accurate integration of the frequency terms \( iw_{\alpha} c_{\alpha} \) limits the time steps to less than a rotation period, making it impractical for achieving long integration times of approximately \( 10^7/2\Omega \). The coordinate transformation

\[ c_{\alpha} = C_{\alpha} e^{iw_{\alpha} t} \] (7)

removes the explicit oscillatory term. However, it does so at the expense of introducing a few rapidly oscillating terms, proportional to \( e^{i\delta w t} \) [see Eq. (B2)] in the nonlinear coupling terms. These terms may have frequencies up to 3 times those found in the original system, Eq. (1). Thus the coordinate transformation itself results in no significant speed up of the integration. However, the couplings that play the most important role in the long term evolution of the problem are those near resonance, with detuning close to zero. Couplings with large detuning that oscillate very rapidly limit the stepsize of the simulation, but on average contribute very little to the longer term dynamics. Retaining only couplings with a detuning less than some preselected cutoff allows much larger time steps to be achieved. For example, retaining only couplings with \( \delta w < 0.002 \) for the \( n \leq 30 \) system allows step sizes \( \approx 400/2\Omega \) to be taken initially and as more modes become active this decreases to about \( 40/2\Omega \). The validity of this assumption and the effect of choosing different cutoffs are explored in greater detail in Sec. VII B.

Imposing an upper limit on \( \delta w \) also decreases the number of couplings retained in the nonlinear interaction terms, thus speeding up the calculation. Additional speedup is achieved by dynamically adding couplings as the simulation progresses. Consider an initial condition in which all the modes lie below a certain noise threshold, chosen small enough that the nonlinear interactions are negligible. Since at most a few \( r \) modes are unstable at first, the evolution is simply exponential growth of these modes. As these unstable modes grow in amplitude, they drive the modes that couple to them directly. All couplings that do not couple directly to the unstable modes during this early phase can be neglected. As an unstable mode passes its first parametric instability threshold, the daughter modes coupling directly to this mode will increase in amplitude, rise above the noise, and start playing a significant role in the dynamics of the simulation. When the daughters rise above a preselected threshold their contribution to the nonlinear driving will become important for other modes, and all direct couplings to the daughters are then added to the existing coupling list. The stepsize is decreased and the integration continued with the updated coupling list. In practice, to prevent repeatedly restarting the simulation with new couplings, when a certain mode is tagged as becoming unstable, the direct couplings to all latent modes with an amplitude within 50% of the amplitude of the unstable mode are also activated. Successively adding couplings in this manner allows the simulation to move through the initial phase of computation more rapidly.

We used a number of checks on our integration scheme. Analytic results for the three-mode Hamiltonian system are reproduced, including the sensitive dependence of the nonlinear period for parent amplitudes just above the parametric instability threshold. The parametric instability thresholds for the damped three-mode system are accurately observed. (These thresholds continue to be used as an analytic guide for larger systems although there is no strict mathematical proof that ensures the modes should become unstable at the lowest three-mode parametric instability.) We also checked that for zero damping and growth rates the energy of the Hamiltonian system (6) drifts very slowly compared to the growth rate of the \( r \)-mode instability.

IV. INTEGRATIONS OF SMALL SYSTEMS

As was mentioned in Sec. II and Appendix B, the damped three-mode system has been studied extensively [9,10,12]. However, there have been only a few attempts at quantifying the dynamics in the case where the steady state solution is unstable, for example, when the detuning is small or the daughter mode damping is slow. As a result it is instructive to have a closer look at the particular three-mode coupling in our network that goes unstable first for various damping rates, as its dynamics sets the initial development of any simulation. The existence and character of steady state solutions and the behavior of the three-mode problem when the daughters can no longer effectively damp out the parent govern which modes are excited subsequently.

The evolution of the three-mode system with the lowest (zero damping) parametric instability threshold is shown in Fig. 2 for various damping rates relevant to our problem. The qualitative picture in which the parent mode is damped by two daughter modes and reaches a steady state solution presented by Arras et al. [13] is somewhat simplistic. Rather, the parametric instability threshold’s strong dependence on frequency detuning [see Eq. (2)] implies that a coupling with small detuning will go unstable first. Even if this coupling is strongly damped, the small detuning places it in a regime [as clearly depicted by Dimant in
Fig. 1(b) of his paper [9] where no constant amplitude states exist, and all solutions vary with time. Furthermore, the closer to resonance the coupling is, the more complex the solutions become, displaying increasingly many periods and entering chaotic regimes [10]. The first unstable coupling of the $n = 3, m = 2$ $r$ mode falls in this regime, so large unpredictable changes in its amplitude may result, perhaps causing the $r$ mode to be hard to detect conclusively in the strong damping regime.

In plots (a) to (c) of Fig. 2 the daughter modes are sufficiently damped to saturate the growing $r$ mode via a single three-mode interaction. The maximum amplitude the $r$ mode reaches is roughly of the same order of magnitude as the parametric instability threshold. As shown in Fig. 1, large damping has the counter-intuitive effect of resulting in large amplitudes. One of the identifying features of these strongly damped systems is the sawtooth shape of the modal evolution: exponential growth of the parent, followed by excitation of the daughter modes, and rapid dissipation. This sawtooth feature is also seen in evolutions with large numbers of modes. As the damping is decreased, the nature of the three-mode solution changes from a system bounded in phase space and energy to a system that is diverging with ever-increasing frequency oscillations, Fig. 2(d). High temperature neutron stars most likely fall into this regime.

The energy for diverging three-mode systems is shown in Fig. 3(a) for various temperatures. A period of exponential growth increases the parent amplitude above the parametric instability threshold, whereupon energy begins to be transferred to the daughter modes. Subsequently the total energy diverges at a slower rate. The divergence rate increases roughly linearly with decreasing daughter mode damping. High temperature simulations diverge more quickly than the more damped low temperature simulations. Introducing the couplings with the second and third lowest parametric instability thresholds decreases the growth rate of the Hamiltonian energy further, as is shown in Figs. 3(b) and 3(c). Empirically, the dynamics begin to differ from the unstable three-mode evolution once the parametric instability thresholds of the additional mode couplings are exceeded. The instability thresholds of the
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couplings used to make these plots as well as the modes involved are shown in Fig. 1. The first and second parametric instabilities occur for relatively low order modes with \( n \sim 13, 14, 15 \) where the viscous damping cannot stop the growth entirely. The third instability is to modes with \( n \sim 23, 24 \), where dissipation is large enough to stop the growth, so the system energy levels off.

For the most rapidly diverging, \( T = 3.7 \times 10^7 \) K case shown in Fig. 4(a), the isolated three-mode solution has wild oscillations with ever-increasing frequency and amplitudes. Adding the second coupling Fig. 4(b) changes the dynamics dramatically: After the second parametric instability is exceeded, the second coupling dominates, while the initially unstable three-mode coupling is almost entirely suppressed. The third coupling Fig. 4(c) becomes important at an amplitude between the parametric instability thresholds for the second coupling and the third couplings. When the \( r \) mode reaches the third parametric threshold, the dynamics changes once again; thereafter, it appears as if the second coupling no longer suppresses the first triplet, whose daughter modes begin to grow again.

General three-mode systems have been extensively studied by Baesens et al. [14] and can display very complicated behavior in various regions of parameter space. Adding additional oscillators further increases the phase space to be explored, and the complexity of solutions that can be attained. Our study of these systems is not comprehensive, and only serves as one example of what can happen as additional degrees of freedom are added. It is, however, the example that is most relevant to our larger network. One can hope to recognize the effects of individual three-mode couplings in the larger systems. This can allow one to differentiate between the effects of individual three-mode couplings and those that result from the combined couplings of many modes.

The previous examples do not take into account the couplings among the daughter modes which will be present in a larger system. As we turn to coupled systems with numerous modes, additional factors such as the effective number of degrees of freedom in the phase space available at a certain time, and the structure of the coupling network, will become important.

V. LARGER SYSTEMS

During subsequent sections we explore the characteristics of an oscillator network that includes all of the inertial modes up to \( n = 30 \), with the gravitational driving fixed to the value for a star rotating at \( \Omega = 0.37\sqrt{\pi G \rho} \) (i.e., \( \nu = 695 \) Hz). This corresponds to a principal \((n = 3, m = 2, \) or \( j = 4) \) \( r \)-mode driving rate of \( \gamma_p = 5.9 \times 10^{-7}(2\Omega) \) in our dimensionless units. Including all couplings among these modes is impractical. There are a total of approximately \( 10^9 \) nonzero couplings. So we only account for couplings among modes with frequency detunings below a preselected maximum value. The effects of employing different detuning criteria are explored in greater detail in Sec. VII B. The majority of simulations are integrated using a criterion of \( \delta w < 0.002 \) which results in the inclusion of \( 1 \) million couplings between the \( 4995 \) modes. We begin by integrating the Hamiltonian system; this checks our integration scheme, and also yields insights on the interaction among numerous modes. We then continue to explore our large network for various shear damping regimes in Sec. VII.

VI. LARGE HAMILTONIAN SYSTEMS

Without damping and driving, the Hamiltonian energy of the oscillator network [Eq. (6)] should be conserved for any subset of couplings. Having an integration scheme that
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accurately conserves energy over a long period of time is particularly important because otherwise the slow growth and damping rates of the \( r \)-mode problem may be overshadowed by spurious numerical effects. Figure 5 (top) shows the fractional change of the Hamiltonian energy \( E \) for a system in which the \( r \)-mode is initially excited to an amplitude just above the second parametric instability threshold. Note that initially \( E = 1.69 \times 10^{-8} \).

The fractional energy change was \( 4 \times 10^{-7} \) over a time period of \( 9 \times 10^6 / 2 \Omega \); in the same time span, exponential growth of the \( r \)-mode would result in \( \Delta E / E \approx 10^{-5} \) on time scales \( \sim 10^7 / 2 \Omega \) for fully nonlinear systems including the gravitational radiation instability and viscous decay.

A large Hamiltonian system involving three-mode couplings that has been extensively studied is the Fermi-Pasta-Ulam problem (FPU) [15,16]. First performed in 1954, this numerical study of a chain of coupled nonlinear oscillators yielded a number of surprises. Fermi et al. expected to observe relaxation to an equipartitioned state, when starting from an initial state in which only a large scale mode was excited. Instead, they observed that only a few modes were excited; moreover after a long enough time, the system appeared to return to approximately the initial state (recurrence). The remaining modes never rose out of the noise. Subsequently the FPU problem has been studied extensively [17–20]. Because the dispersion relation of the FPU problem admits very few direct resonances among modes, in retrospect one might have expected equipartition to fail to be achieved for the small initial amplitudes chosen by Fermi et al. Although the FPU problem does tend to equipartition for initial amplitudes above a certain amplitude threshold [21], its failure to do so at small amplitudes cautions us that a Kolmogorov spectrum [22] (of which the equipartition solution is a special case), may never arise in a system in which relatively little energy is fed into a mode that has few direct resonances to other modes.

FIG. 5 (color online). Evolution of the Hamiltonian system including the 1306999 couplings with \( \delta w < 0.002 \). An initial excitation of \( 1.3 \times 10^{-4} \) is placed in the principal \( r \)-mode. \( n = m + 1 \) \( r \)-modes are indicated in black; all other modes are indicated in light gray/cyan on the lower panel. The parametric instability thresholds are indicated with dashed lines. The relative energy change during the simulation is indicated on top.
where \( s = 1 \) when the energy is restricted to a small number of modes, and \( s = 0 \) for equipartition. Figure 6 shows \( s(t) \) for three different initial \( r \)-mode amplitudes, chosen to lie between successive parametric instability thresholds.

For larger initial energies, the decay to an equipartitioned state occurs rapidly. As the initial amplitude is decreased, the time scale to reach equipartition increases. A system with driving and damping may remain far from equilibrium, with dynamics dominated by just a few modes if the time to equipartition exceeds the damping and driving time scales. In this situation, the random phase approximation fails, and the evolution of the system may be rich and varied. The pattern-forming transition to turbulence, which is well-studied in Rayleigh-Bernard convection, is an example of this regime [2].

For our oscillator network, ergodicity begins to fail for initial \( r \)-mode amplitudes between \( c_a(0) = 0.8 \times 10^{-4} \) and \( c_a(0) = 1.3 \times 10^{-4} \). The approach to equipartition for \( c_a(0) = 0.8 \times 10^{-4} \) is very slow. As is shown in Fig. 7, a small subset of modes maintain apparently phase-coherent dynamics, reminiscent of the FPU problem.

An alternative mechanism for damping the \( r \)-mode growth is nonlinear interactions with a sea of coupling modes. The observed decay toward equipartition can be considered as an effective many-mode damping effect. For sufficiently large amplitudes, details of the network appear to be insignificant and the time to equilibration rapid. In the absence of dissipation, the energy originally in the \( r \) mode will be shared rapidly among the network of coupled modes. In a very large system of modes, the “specific heat” is roughly proportional to the number of modes. As a result, the amount of energy needed to raise the effective amplitude of the coupled system is very large. In a system that has achieved equipartition, the amplitudes of all modes are (statistically) the same so the energy cost to raise the amplitude of any single mode—including the \( n = 3, m = 2 r \) mode—by a specific amount is increased by the number of modes in nonlinear contact.

As the initial \( r \)-mode amplitude and energy in the system are decreased, the details of the network become more significant, governing which modes can be excited and what pathways the energy transfer follows. The time to equipartition becomes larger as the amplitudes decrease, effectively trapping the energy in a few modes. If the \( r \) mode is driven by gravitational radiation starting from very small amplitudes, its energy will change rapidly at first compared with the time scale to equipartition. However, as its amplitude rises further, the \( r \) mode will begin to share energy with other modes, so that its amplitude will increase much more slowly subsequently. Thus, the effective rate of growth of the \( r \)-mode instability will vary as the mode...
amplitude evolves, but eventually settles down to a rate slower than indicated by linear theory as a result of nonlinear interactions.

In Sec. IV the concept of decreasing the \( r \)-mode growth rate due to viscous damping of one or several daughter modes was introduced. In a many-mode system, the effect of dissipation is more complex than just a drain of energy. Large damping increases the parametric thresholds, thus limiting the pathways available for energy flow, and effectively decreasing the “specific heat” or many-mode damping effect, which results in a greater growth rate of the \( r \) mode.

VII. LARGE NONCONSERVATIVE SYSTEMS

A. Strong damping case

The inclusion of driving and damping terms adds new nondynamical time scales to the problem, and changes the instability thresholds. An estimate of the time scale introduced by gravitational radiation for our model is \( 1/\gamma_A = 1.7 \times 10^6/(2\Omega) \). For very strong damping, the instability threshold of the daughter modes increases, so the \( r \) mode reaches large amplitudes before the daughters begin to grow. However, at these large amplitudes, the effective nonlinear energy transfer rate is also rapid, resulting in rapid energy transfer from the daughters to higher order modes. These high order modes dissipate energy effectively, and the \( r \) mode can damp almost to the noise level before the daughter modes themselves decay. At such strong damping, only a small number of modes are important to the dynamics, and the behavior is qualitatively very similar to the sawtooth chaotic motion observed in the strongly damped three-mode case shown in Fig. 2.

The integrations of the large network of modes corresponding to the temperatures used to produce Figs. 2(a)–2(d) are shown in Fig. 8. In these simulations only a small number of modes attain significant amplitudes, while the rest simply decay via shear viscosity. The amplitude spectrum from the results in Fig. 8(b) is compared with the equipartitioned spectrum obtained for zero damping with \( c_p(0) = 5 \times 10^{-4} \) in Fig. 9. Both simulations start with an initial noise level of \( \sqrt{2} \times 10^{-6} \) (indicated by means of a dashed line in Fig. 9). The imprint of the shear damping is clearly visible in the damped spectrum (compare with the analytic results in Paper I). The equipartitioned result just increases the energy in every mode by the same amount causing the spectrum to rise above the noise level, whereas there is distinct structure in the power spectrum for the dissipative system. These spectra indicate the two extreme cases that could exist in our simulations.

FIG. 8 (color online). Top panels: Time evolution of large strongly damped systems for temperatures (a) \( T = 5 \times 10^6 \) K, (b) \( T = 9 \times 10^6 \) K, (c) \( T = 1.5 \times 10^7 \) K, and (d) \( T = 2 \times 10^7 \) K. Bottom panels: Corresponding distribution of averaged modal amplitudes that rise above the initial noise level. In the top panels, the parametric instability thresholds are indicated with dashed lines.

FIG. 9 (color online). Modal spectra for strongly damped system, \( T = 9 \times 10^6 \) K (black) and an undamped system, “equipartition solution” (light/cyan). Initial noise level indicated with a dashed line.
Note that the set of modes to which the $r$ mode in Fig. 8(a) goes unstable first is different from the first unstable triplet in Figs. 8(b)–8(d) and the triplet used to produce Fig. 2(a). The parametric instability to which it does go unstable is correctly predicted in Fig. 1. The difference between the modes excited in Fig. 8(a) as compared to those excited in Figs. 8(b) and 8(c) indicates the influence the first unstable triplet has on the subsequent second generation daughter modes. Figs. 8(b) and 8(c) are very similar to the pure three-mode problems depicted in Figs. 2(b) and 2(c); this is to be expected since the damping is strong enough to saturate the $r$ mode using the three-mode coupling only. Figure 8(d) corresponds to a diverging solution in the three-mode problem Fig. 2(d). This case shows a larger number of modes rising above the noise.

**B. The effect of detuning**

In our simulations, we select the couplings that we expect to play an important role in an evolution based on their detuning, because including all the couplings between the modes up to $n = 30$ is impractical. Both the time to calculate all the couplings and the time to run the evolution would be absurdly long.

The suitability of a maximum detuning criterion as a method of selecting important modes can be seen from Eq. (B2). Modes with large detuning will oscillate very rapidly while a smaller detuning implies a slower variation and will on average have a greater effect on the modal evolution. Taken to the extreme, the selection of modes based on a detuning criterion results in including only modes that are in exact resonance. This assumption is inherent in the random phase approximation used by Arras et al. [13] in their cascade solution. This simplifying assumption has been very successful in explaining spectra observed in various systems of coupling waves [22]. The frequency spectrum for large scale modes is, however, rather sparse and all couplings to large modes have a finite detuning. The resonance assumption thus fails in the case of large scale modes as it will exclude all direct couplings to the driven modes. A finite detuning cutoff thus has to be selected.

In systems where only a few modes are excited, such as the strongly damped cases, the parametric instability thresholds, Eq. (B7), play an important role in determining the dynamics of the evolution. These thresholds are strongly dependent on the detuning, further emphasizing the importance of near-resonant couplings. In computing the parametric instability thresholds for all the direct couplings to the $r$ mode we found that the lowest three thresholds all had detuning $< 3 \times 10^{-4}$.

To explore the effect of selecting couplings by means of a maximum detuning we ran the coupling network for $T = 5 \times 10^7$ K system with various cutoffs in $\delta w$. The results are displayed in Fig. 10 for $\delta w < 0.0001, 0.0005, 0.001, 0.002$.

The smaller the maximum allowed $\delta w$, the smaller the number of pathways available for the energy to leave the $r$ mode or subsequently excited daughter modes. A detuning criterion of $\delta w < 0.00005$ was found to be too restrictive, and the solution diverged after $10^9 / 2 \Omega$. A detuning criterion of $\delta w < 0.0001$ excludes the coupling with the third lowest parametric instability to the $r$ mode and, although the evolution remains stable for $> 10^9 / 2 \Omega$, the dynamics differ from evolutions with less restrictive detuning criteria. The absence of the strong damping due to the coupling to the triplet with third lowest parametric instability threshold allows the mode to grow to larger amplitudes before decaying. Characteristics such as the average energy contained in the system and average $r$-mode amplitude are roughly the same as observed using a less restrictive detuning criterion.

The benefit of selecting a strict detuning criterion is displayed in Fig. 11.

Not only does the number of couplings scale linearly with detuning, but the average time step also increases as the maximum allowed $\delta w$ becomes smaller. Note that because the runs for large detuning have not yet reached a regime in which many modes become excited, the average stepsizes shown in the Fig. 11 for $\delta w = 0.001, 0.002$ are overestimates, and can decrease by up to a factor of 100 as more modes start interacting. All subsequent runs reported here are done for a maximum detuning $\delta w = 0.002$. Although the detailed modal evolutions may differ from

![FIG. 10 (color online). Evolution of the $(n = 3, m = 2)$ $r$ mode (bottom) and total energy (top) for a $T = 5 \times 10^7$ K system with different detuning criteria. The parametric instability thresholds are indicated by horizontal dashed lines.](image-url)
that of the complete system, we believe that the averaged dynamics will not be sensitive to increases in the maximum $\delta w$. Since many of the couplings are near resonance, the system displays a sensitive dependence on initial conditions, especially later in the evolution when higher order modes become excited. Small changes in the round-off accuracy and architecture of different computers results in modal evolutions that differ in detail (for example, the positions of sawtooth meanderings of the $r$ mode in Figs. 12 and 13 shift). Global properties such as the total energy of the system and time averaged modal amplitudes are, however, found to be robust.

C. Weakly damped evolutions

As the temperature increases, the shear damping rates decrease, and the amplitude decay from the initial noise spectrum slows. The energy from the unstable $r$ mode has more time to drain into the other modes before they are damped, and therefore numerous modes become important dynamically.

Figure 12 displays an intermediate case, $T = 5 \times 10^7$ K, for which the ratios of the decay rates of the daughter modes (414 and 538) involved in the first parametric instability to the growth rate of the $r$ mode are 0.14 and 0.18; for the second parametric instability (daughter modes 494 and 592) the ratios are 0.16 and 0.18. Crudely, we would then expect to need the $r$ mode to couple to about ten modes with similar damping rates to curb its growth directly. Instead, energy is rapidly distributed among the daughter modes, which excite many more modes in turn. If one makes a plot like Fig. 9 for the simulation shown in Fig. 12, then it looks very similar to the strongly damped case except that all the amplitudes are displaced upward, so that on average they lie at the initial noise level. Approximately half of the modes in the system rise above the noise, while only the most strongly damped modes decay below the noise level. This causes the energy to be shared among the accessible modes. In comparison with a more weakly damped case, $T = 5 \times 10^8$ K displayed in Fig. 13, larger mode amplitudes are attained in certain modes in Fig. 12 even though the energies of the two systems are comparable.

Figure 13 represents the most weakly damped case considered during this investigation. In a realistic neutron star, it lies in the temperature range where the effect of shear viscosity becomes small, and other damping effects such as bulk viscosity begin to dominate. In this slowly damped case, the parametric instability thresholds are very close to their limiting zero damping values. For the first parametric instability the damping rates of the daughters (414 and 538) are 0.0014 and 0.0018 times the $r$-mode growth rate. It would take approximately 1000 couplings to similarly damped modes of comparable amplitude to curb the $r$-mode growth. Our network of 4995 oscillators includes enough modes with sufficient damping to satisfy the criteria for a stable Kolmogorov spectrum [22], namely, that the net damping of all the modes is positive. In the weakly damped regime all the modes rise above the noise.
Energy sharing among the daughters is rapid, with few individual daughter modes rising substantially above the average amplitude. The \( r \) mode, though, maintains an amplitude well above the ever-increasing amplitude level of the daughter modes. Energy tends to be “trapped” in the \( r \) mode because it has few direct nearly resonant couplings. With the exception of the unstable \( r \) mode, the modal spectrum closely resembles the one observed for the Hamiltonian case, Fig. 9, with a slightly larger variance in the modal amplitudes about their mean. By the end of the simulation no trace of the characteristic shear damping structure could be seen.

In contrast to the more strongly damped case displayed in Fig. 12, where the total energy peaks and then drops, the total energy in this system has not yet reached a maximum turning point. The modal amplitudes of Fig. 12 are expected to continue to bounce around in the same amplitude range as seen in the simulation so far and the energy is not expected to resume monotonic growth. This expectation is supported by simulations with a much more restrictive detuning criterion, \( \delta w < 0.0001 \) evolved for \( 2 \times 10^8/2\Omega \). The outcome of the evolution in Fig. 13 is less certain. The \( r \) mode might remain at nearly the same amplitude \( \sim 10^{-4} \), since any increase in amplitude is severely penalized by an increase in the rate to equipartition, resulting in energy flow out of the \( r \) mode. As can be read off Fig. 6, the time to achieve equipartition for an \( r \)-mode amplitude of \( 1.3 \times 10^{-4} \) is \( 10^8/2\Omega \) which nicely balances the characteristic driving time due to GR of \( 1/\gamma_4 \). If it were to remain at this fixed amplitude, the \( r \) mode would pump energy into the sea of inertial modes until they reach sufficiently high amplitudes that the cumulative damping of the sea of modes halts the growth in Hamiltonian energy. At this stage, the modal amplitude distribution may change slightly to reflect the stronger damping at the higher order modes. One of the problems in obtaining this state numerically is that as the energy increases, and the noise level is raised, the nonlinear time scales of the modal oscillations decrease, which cuts the time step and therefore increases integration times. The current simulation shown in Fig. 13 took 20 days on 32 processors of the NCSA Platinum cluster.

D. Rapid rotation

Up until this point the dynamics of a star rotating at \( \Omega = 0.37 \sqrt{\pi G \rho} \), \( e = 0.5 \) have been considered. The maximum rotation rate that can be realistically handled by our perturbative model of Maclaurin spheroids is \( \Omega = 0.61 \sqrt{\pi G \rho} \) or \( e = 0.81267 \) where the system displays a bifurcation to the Jacobi sequence [24]. To demonstrate the most extreme case of rapid rotation we consider the damping for a star \( e = 0.82 \); this will serve as an upper bound for the maximum possible driving rate that can be represented in our model. The temperature we use to determine the shear damping is \( T = 5 \times 10^7 \) K (i.e., the intermediate damping case of Fig. 12). Since the time variable is \( 2\Omega t \), damping rates become “less effective” for a more rapidly rotating star. The numerical values for the shear damping are effectively decreased by a factor of 0.6; the nonlinear couplings are the same for either \( \Omega \) in our units. In these units the driving rate of the most unstable \( r \) mode is \( \gamma_4 = 7.49 \times 10^{-6}(2\Omega) \), as opposed to \( \gamma_4 = 5.92 \times 10^{-7}(2\Omega) \) considered previously. This implies that the rate to achieve equipartition will balance the instability driving rate at an \( r \)-mode amplitude \( \sim 5 \times 10^{-4} \) (see Fig. 6). For such a rapidly rotating star, gravitational radiation strongly damps certain low order modes and dominates viscosity in the low order region. However, the number of modes affected is so small that it does not influence the dynamics at all. The evolution of the modal amplitudes is shown in Fig. 14.

An interesting feature is that the \( r \) mode grows so rapidly via its linear instability that it passes its first parametric instability threshold without any permanent slowdown. This is because the instability grows faster than the nonlinear period associated with the first unstable coupling. (A similar dynamical effect is expected with an artificially ramped up radiation reaction force. If the mode grows too rapidly it bypasses possible instability thresholds and does not excite the daughter modes.)
FIG. 14 (color online). Evolution of the $T = 5 \times 10^7$ K, $e = 0.82$ system with $\delta w < 0.002$. The parametric instability thresholds are indicated by horizontal dashed lines. Modal amplitudes are indicated below and the total Hamiltonian energy in the top panel.

VIII. DISCUSSION

We have studied the effects of second order nonlinear couplings among inertial modes of an incompressible star in order to understand how they may truncate the $r$-mode Chandrasekhar-Friedman-Schutz (CFS) instability of the $n = 3, m = 2$ $r$ mode. Although the system we study is not a realistic version of a neutron star, it illustrates certain general principles that apply to the nonlinear evolution of the instability.

As in our earlier studies [7,11,13], we have adopted the philosophy that nonlinear effects can slow or stop the growth of the amplitude of the inertial modes of a neutron star so that large amplitudes are never attained. Thus, we can employ an expansion of the fully nonlinear hydrodynamical equations of motion, keeping only the lowest order nonlinear terms. This philosophy can be justified in retrospect, since as shown in this paper nonlinear effects do indeed limit the dynamics to small amplitudes.

We may idealize further by restricting attention only to the inertial mode sector of perturbations of a (slowly) rotating neutron star. One reason we can do this is that these modes have frequencies that lie between $\pm 2\Omega$, which are generally far below the frequencies of the $p$ and $f$ modes of the star, which have frequencies $\approx \sqrt{\pi G \rho}$ and, for small mode wavelengths, $\approx \sqrt{\pi G \rho}$. Thus, we do not expect any instability involving the $r$ mode to excite the higher frequency modes efficiently. Moreover, nonlinear effects are magnified by near resonances even at small modal amplitudes. Such resonances are likeliest in the rather dense inertial mode sector. Indeed, one of the general principles governing the importance of nonlinearity in weakly nonlinear perturbation theory of the kind we present is that modes with small relative detunings dominate the dynamics.

In this paper, we have presented a sequence of calculations of increasing complexity in order to illustrate the kinds of nonlinear effects that might arise. We began by treating the simplest system: three nonlinearly interacting modes. Here, a key concept is parametric instability: when the amplitude of the unstable “parent” mode exceeds a well-defined threshold [Eq. (2)], it will drain energy into the pair of “daughters” to which it couples. If the daughters dissipate energy very strongly, then the amplitude of the unstable parent generally cannot grow more than a factor of a few beyond its parametric instability threshold. However, even in such strongly damped cases, the dynamics can be quite complex, as is shown in Figs. 2(a)–2(c). Characteristically, the amplitude of the parent exhibits a sawtooth evolution and the system as a whole shows multiply periodic temporal behavior. As the dissipation drops, though, the three-mode system evolves toward a single period, divergent solution, in which the amplitude of the unstable mode increases secularly. In a realistic system, additional modes would be excited at such low dissipation rates. Indeed, adding the triplet interactions with the second and third lowest parametric instability thresholds slowed and even halted the rate of growth of the instability (Fig. 3).

In order to understand what happens in a system involving a multitude of modes, we began by studying a Hamiltonian system (i.e., no unstable or damped normal modes). For this system, we could track the evolution starting from given initial $r$-mode amplitudes and noise level. We found that, in general, the sea of inertial modes tends toward equipartition, but the behavior of the $r$ mode and even a few other lower order modes is richer. For example, starting with an $r$-mode amplitude above its second parametric instability threshold leads to equipartition in the sea of modes, but the $r$ mode itself appears to asymptote toward its first parametric instability amplitude.

We also studied the approach to equipartition as a function of the initial $r$-mode amplitude at fixed initial noise level. To measure the rate of evolution toward equipartition we introduced the time-dependent entropy [Eq. (8)]. In general, the approach to equipartition is faster for larger initial $r$-mode amplitudes. At smaller amplitudes, the approach to equipartition can become very slow (see Fig. 6) and may not occur at all. At small amplitudes the $r$ mode, as well as a few other low order modes, continue to exhibit phase-coherent oscillations up to the end of our simulation at $t \sim 10^7/2\Omega$. This maintenance of phase coherence signals a failure of the system to become ergodic, and also
warns against assuming the random phase approximation too casually in trying to understand nonlinear truncation of the instability.

Finally, we turned to multimode non-Hamiltonian systems with growth due to the CFS instability, and damping due to (shear) viscous dissipation. There are a number of competing elements that determine how such a complicated system evolves. The two simplest are the growth or decay rates of the modes dictated by linear perturbation theory, but nonlinear interactions introduce several other important time scales. One was already evident in our study of the three-mode system: in the absence of dissipation, the modal amplitudes evolve periodically as long as the total energy is not too large. A second was indicated by our study of the multimode Hamiltonian system: the rate of approach to equipartition represents a kind of multimode dissipation rate for the phase-coherent low lying modes. Here we interpret dissipation broadly as the loss of energy from large scale coherent motion to small scale incoherent excitations.

For the full multimode system, we found that at strong damping only a few modes matter to the dynamics. The sawtooth, chaotic behavior seen in the three-mode system is also evident in strongly damped multimode systems. Many of the modes in the sea simply decay via viscous damping, never having achieved substantial excitation.

At very weak damping, though, numerous modes become involved in the evolution, which comes to resemble more closely what we observed in the approach to equipartition for a Hamiltonian system. Modal spectra resemble that of the equipartition, Hamiltonian system, except with larger variances of some amplitudes about the mean. In the weak damping regime, the total energy of the system continued to rise throughout our integration time, but the $r$-mode amplitude appeared to level off. At its apparent asymptotic value, the rate of approach to equipartition— as indicated by Fig. 6 for our Hamiltonian system—would be just comparable to the linear growth rate of the CFS instability. Thus, in this regime, the truncation of the $r$-mode amplitude itself is due to the “collective dissipation” in which energy disappears into a sea of coupling modes, a completely nonlinear effect. We expect that if we were to continue the calculations further in time, the energy growth would continue until the amplitudes of small scale, more highly damped modes became large enough for them to dissipate energy as quickly as the instability causes it to grow.

The behavior outlined above for the weakly damped system also depends on the relative rates of the CFS instability, approach to equipartition, and viscous dissipation. We examined this by increasing the stellar rotation rate, thus increasing the gravitational radiation rate. For this case, we did not observe any leveling of the $r$-mode amplitude, although we also did not run the simulation long enough (for practical reasons) for the amplitude to reach the value at which the growth rate of the CFS instability would be balanced by the rate of approach to equipartition. Although this does not indicate any inconsistency in the picture we have presented for how the instability is limited by nonlinear effects, it also cautions us that any calculation that enhances the instability growth rate could overshoot the amplitude of the $r$ mode at which it would level off in reality.

Finally, we note that the saturation amplitudes found here are substantially lower than those estimated in the cascade picture in Arras et al. [13], but are larger than but perhaps comparable to those relevant to the spin evolution of a neutron star estimated by Wagoner [25]. Our study of nonlinear effects on the development of the $r$-mode instability also suggests that the temporal evolution of the modal amplitude is not smooth on time scales $\sim$millions of stellar rotation periods. The erratic behavior seen in our simulations could, if realized in nature, complicate searches for gravitational radiation emission that depend on simple, phase-coherent oscillations of the star.

Because of practical limitations our modal expansion is truncated at $n = 30$. Within this truncation the $r$ mode is found to go unstable first to modes with $13 \leq n \leq 15$. It is possible that some of the dynamics observed in this paper are dependent on our modal truncation. The most dramatic effect would result if the minimum parametric instability threshold were lowered. In this case the $r$ mode may go unstable to a very high order mode and dynamics such as equipartition rates may change considerably. It should be noted that this would imply that the maximum amplitude reached is smaller than or equal to those observed in this paper. Should the mode ever grow to amplitudes comparable to those observed here, all mechanisms explored in the current truncation will still limit its growth. Our truncated expansion thus sets an upper bound for outcomes with larger $n$. The fact that no lower parametric thresholds were found in the region with $30 \leq n < 15$ could, however, be an indication that we have gone far enough. Increasing the number of modes will have a secondary effect of increasing the “specific heat” of the system since more degrees of freedom have been introduced. This may be particularly relevant to the evolution in Fig. 13, causing the noise level to rise more slowly. In a large enough system, the flat spectrum observed in Fig. 13 should have a tail that is grounded below the noise level, indicating a damping dominated region.

For more strongly damped regimes the modal dependence of the damping will play a significant role in determining the accessible phase space. Sources of damping that are on average weak, but have a few very strongly damped modes will have very little effect on $r$-mode dynamics; they will only remove a few degrees of freedom from a very large phase space. On the other hand damping sources that have a fixed scaling, with large regions of modes damped roughly equally, will have a larger effect in
restricting the phase space. Thus it is not the maximum damping rate that is important to the dynamics, but rather the distribution of the most weakly damped modes.

For realistic neutron stars the eigenfunction structure will change somewhat and this will affect the couplings to some extent. Changes in the eigenfrequencies could have a more important effect, since subtle changes could shift various daughter modes into or out of close resonance. Such changes could strongly influence the parametric instability thresholds.

The modal frequency structure, namely, that for each $n$ and $m = 0 \cdots n - 1$ there exist $n - m$ frequencies distributed between $-2\Omega$ and $2\Omega$, should persist for more realistic models. It is this structure that ensures the near equipartition rate with increasing amplitude is also likely to be the result of the topology of the frequency spectrum and should persist in other models.
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APPENDIX A: THREE-MODE HAMILTONIAN SYSTEM

Consider the amplitude equations without driving or damping:

\[ \begin{align*}
\dot{c}_a &= iw_a c_a - 2iw_a k_c c_\beta c_\gamma, \\
\dot{c}_\beta &= iw_\beta c_\beta - 2iw_\beta k_c c_a c_\gamma, \\
\dot{c}_\gamma &= iw_\gamma c_\gamma - 2iw_\gamma k_c c_a c_\beta.
\end{align*} \]  

(A1)

Using amplitude and phase variables $c_i = \sqrt{w_i} A_i e^{i\phi_i}$, $\phi = \phi_a - \phi_\beta - \phi_\gamma$, $\delta \omega = w_a - w_\beta - w_\gamma$ the system can be rewritten as

\[ \begin{align*}
\dot{A}_i &= -4\kappa \epsilon_i \sqrt{w_a w_\beta w_\gamma} A_a A_\beta A_\gamma \sin \phi, \\
\dot{\phi} &= \delta \omega - \frac{2\kappa w_a w_\beta w_\gamma \cos \phi}{\sqrt{w_a w_\beta w_\gamma}} \times ( -A_a A_\beta - A_\gamma A_a - A_\gamma A_\beta )
\end{align*} \]  

(A2)

where $\epsilon_\beta = \epsilon_\gamma = -1$ and $\epsilon_a = 1$. The Hamiltonian for the three-mode system with one coupling is

\[ H = L - 4\kappa \cos \phi c_a c_\beta c_\gamma \]  

(A3)

where $L = |c_a|^2 + |c_\beta|^2 + |c_\gamma|^2$ denotes the linear energy.

Define $w^3 = w_a w_\beta w_\gamma$ and $\delta \dot{w} = \delta w / w$. Note that dividing the amplitude equations (A2) by each other yields $A_a - A_0^0 = \epsilon_i (A_i - A_0^0)$, where the superscript $0$ indicates initial value at time $t = 0$. The difference $l = L - L_0$ between the initial linear energy, $L_0$, and the linear energy at time $t$ can be used to rewrite the three variables $A_i$ in terms of the single variable $l$ and the three constants dependent on the initial amplitudes $A_0^0$:

\[ A_i = \frac{\epsilon_l l + A_i^0}{\delta w}. \]  

(A4)

The nonlinear term in the Hamiltonian can now be rewritten as follows,

\[ c_a^2 c_\beta c_\gamma^2 = \left( \frac{w}{\delta w} \right)^3 F(l), \]  

(A5)

where

\[ F(l) = (l + \delta w A_0^0)(l - \delta w A_0^0) \]  

(A6)

The evolution equation for $l$ is

\[ \dot{l} = -4\kappa \delta w c_a c_\beta c_\gamma \sin \phi \]  

(A7)

or, using the Hamiltonian to rewrite $\sin \phi$ in terms of $c_i$ and thus $l$,

\[ \dot{l} = \mp \delta w \sqrt{(4\kappa^2 c_a c_\beta c_\gamma)^2 - (l - H) \delta w} \]  

(A8)

\[ \dot{l} = \mp \delta w \sqrt{(4\kappa^2)^2 \left( \frac{w}{\delta w} \right)^3 F(l) - (l - H_{nl})^2} \]  

where $H_{nl} = H - L_0$.

Equation (A8) yields an elliptic integral for the time dependence of $l$ that can be conveniently expressed in terms of Carlson’s $R_F$ function [5]. The $R_F$ function is defined by

\[ R_F(U_3, U_2, U_1) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{dt}{\sqrt{G}} \]  

(A9)

where $G$ is a cubic polynomial:

\[ G(t) = (t + a_1)(t + a_2)(t + a_3) = t^3 + at^2 + bt + c. \]  

(A10)

The quantities $U_i(x)$ can be written in terms of the roots or coefficients of the polynomial:

\[ U_i^2 = U_0^2 + a_i, \quad U_0^2 = \frac{2\sqrt{cG(x)} + 2c + bx}{x^2} \]  

(A11)

Specializing to our problem, let

\[ G(l) = F(l) - Y(l - H_{nl})^2 \]  

(A12)

where

\[ Y = \frac{1}{(4\kappa)^2} \left( \frac{\delta w}{w} \right)^3. \]  

(A13)

Thus
\[ G = l^3 + l^2 \left[ \frac{\delta w(A_0 - A_\beta - A_\gamma)}{\delta w} - Y \right] + l \left[ 2H H + \delta w^2 (A_0 A_\beta - A_0 A_\gamma - A_\gamma A_\beta) \right] + \delta w^3 A_0 A_\beta A_\gamma - YH^2_n, \]  
(\text{A14})

The time dependence can be found by integrating Eq. (A8) from \( t = 0 \) to \( t \) to yield

\[ t = \frac{\sqrt{Y}}{\delta w} \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{G(l)}} dt = 2 \frac{\sqrt{Y}}{\delta w} R_F(U_3', U_2', U_1') \]  
(\text{A15})

where \( U_2' = U_0 + l_i \). Equation (A15) gives \( t \) a function of \( l \), and can be inverted in terms of the Jacobian elliptic functions.

The phase dependence of \( \phi \) can be found using

\[ \sin^2 \phi = \frac{G(l)}{F(l)} \]  
(\text{A16})

or

\[ \cos \phi = \frac{-\sqrt{Y} \left( H_{nl} - l \right)}{\sqrt{F(l)}}. \]  
(\text{A17})

1. Period of oscillation

Theoretically the period of oscillation can be obtained directly from Eq. (A15). However numerical calculation of the solution turns out to be tricky for a large range of energies and initial values. Scalings of the variables and parameters turns out to be important to ensure accurate results in some cases.

Introduce the parameter

\[ X = \frac{4^2 \kappa^2 w_\alpha w_\beta w_\gamma}{\delta w^2}. \]  
(\text{A18})

The quantity \( 1/X \) is the limit of the parametric instability threshold in the absence of damping. Then Eq. (A8) can be rewritten

\[ l_H = \sqrt{\delta w X H} \left[ \left( l_H + \frac{\delta w c_\alpha(0)^2}{H} \right) \left( l_H + \frac{\delta w c_\beta(0)^2}{H} \right) \right] \times \left( \frac{l_H + \frac{\delta w c_\gamma(0)^2}{H}}{H} \right) \]  
(\text{A19})

where \( l_H = (L_0 - L)/H \).

Note that the Hamiltonian rescales the detuning, so the smaller the relevant energies the more important the detuning becomes. Expanding the polynomial in \( l \) in the square brackets in Eq. (A19) gives

\[ -l_H^3 + l_H^2 \frac{\delta w}{H} \left( D_\alpha - D_\beta - D_\gamma - \frac{1}{X} \right) + l_H \frac{\delta w^2}{H^2} \left( D_\alpha D_\beta + D_\alpha D_\gamma - D_\beta D_\gamma - \frac{2H_{nl}}{X \delta w} \right) + D_\alpha D_\beta D_\gamma \frac{\delta w^3}{H^3} - \frac{\delta w H_{nl}^2}{H^3 X} \]  
(\text{A20})

where \( D_i = c_i(0)^2/w_i \).

The roots of a cubic polynomial of the form \( x^3 + ax^2 + bx + c = 0 \) can be computed using the intermediaries \([6]\)

\[ Q = \frac{a^2 - 3b}{9} \quad \text{and} \quad R = \frac{2a^3 - 9ab + 27c}{54}. \]

If \( R^2 < Q^3 \) there are three real roots, which is the only case that will be considered here. Setting \( \theta = \arccos(R/\sqrt{Q^3}) \) gives the roots as

\[ x_k = -2\sqrt{Q} \cos \left( \frac{\theta + 2\pi k}{3} \right) - \frac{a}{3}, \quad k = -1, 0, 1. \]  
(\text{A21})

If the roots of the polynomial in \( l_H \) are ordered as \( l_1 < l_2 < l_3 \), and assuming that the \( l = 0 \) initial conditions lie between roots \( l_1 \) and \( l_2 \), the period of the oscillation \( P \) is

\[ P = 2 \int_{l_1}^{l_2} \frac{1}{l_H} dl_H = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\delta w X H}} \times \frac{2}{\sqrt{l_3 - l_1}} K(k) \]

\[ = \frac{4}{\sqrt{\delta w X H}} R_F(0, l_3 - l_2, l_3 - l_1) \]  
(\text{A22})

where \( k^2 = (l_2 - l_1)/(l_3 - l_1) \) and \( K(k) \) is the complete elliptic integral of the 1st kind.

Note that for initial conditions with a large parent and two small daughter amplitudes, \( k \) gets very close to 1 (since \( l_3 \to l_2 \)) and the integral diverges logarithmically.

APPENDIX B: DAMPED THREE-MODE SYSTEM

This appendix summarizes the main properties of the damped three-mode system relevant to our problem. The various ways of rewriting the equations provide different possible approaches to the numerical integration of the oscillator net. The scheme presented by Eq. (B2) has turned out to be particularly fruitful, especially if only modes of small detuning are kept. Consider the amplitude equations.

\[ \dot{c}_\alpha = i w_\alpha c_\alpha + \gamma_{GR} c_\alpha - 2i w_\alpha c_\beta c_\gamma, \]
\[ \dot{c}_\beta = i w_\beta c_\beta - \gamma_{\beta} c_\beta - 2i w_\beta c_\alpha c_\gamma, \]
\[ \dot{c}_\gamma = i w_\gamma c_\gamma - \gamma_{\gamma} c_\gamma - 2i w_\gamma c_\alpha c_\beta. \]  
(\text{B1})

Explicitly following the rapid oscillations of the individual oscillators can be avoided by changing variables to \( C_j = c_j e^{-i\omega_j t} \), in which case the equations become
Using amplitude and phase variables \( c_i = |c_i|e^{i\phi_i} \), \( \phi = \phi_A - \phi_B - \phi_Y \), \( \delta \omega = \omega_A - \omega_B - \omega_Y \) gives

\[
\begin{align*}
|c_A| &= \gamma_{GR}|c_A| - \gamma_{GR}|c_B| |c_A| |c_Y| \sin(\phi), \\
|c_B| &= -\gamma_{GR}|c_B| + \gamma_{GR}|c_B| |c_A| |c_Y| \sin(\phi), \\
|c_Y| &= -\gamma_{GR}|c_Y| + \gamma_{GR}|c_A| |c_B| |c_Y| \sin(\phi), \\
\phi &= \delta \omega - 2\kappa \cos(\phi)
\end{align*}
\]

\[(B2)\]

The solutions are stable if \( \Re(\lambda) < 0 \) where \( \Re \) indicates the real part of a complex number. The solution becomes unstable when \( \Re(\lambda) = 0 \) or \( T_A^2 = [\Re(\lambda)]^2 \) if \( T_A < 0 \). If \( T_A > 0 \) the solution is always unstable.

If a complex number \( u + iv = (x + iy)^2 \), then \( u = x^2 - y^2, v = 2xy \) or \( 4x^4 - 4v^2 = 4x^2u \). In our problem \( u = T_A^2 - 4\gamma_{GR} \gamma_Y - 2\delta \omega + 16\omega_B \omega_A \kappa^2 |c_A|^2 \), \( v = -2(\gamma_{GR} - \gamma_B)\delta \omega + x = T_A \) at the instability point. As a result \( 4T_A^2 = -4\gamma_{GR} \gamma_Y - 2\delta \omega + 16\omega_B \omega_A \kappa^2 |c_A|^2 = -4(\gamma_{GR} - \gamma_B)\delta \omega + \text{the threshold value at which the daughters or damped modes become unstable, known as the parametric threshold, is}

\[
|c_A|^2 = |c_B|^2 = \gamma_{GR} \gamma_Y / 4\omega_B \omega_A \kappa^2 \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{\delta \omega}{\gamma_Y + \gamma_A} \right)^2 \right].
\]

\[(B7)\]

The signs of the frequencies are important. If in the above example \( \omega_B \omega_A < 0 \), no parametric instability takes place and the zero solution remains stable for all amplitudes \( C_a \).

\begin{align*}
\hat{C}_A &= \gamma_{GR} C_A - 2i\omega_A \kappa C_B C_Y e^{-i\delta \omega t}, \\
\hat{C}_B &= -\gamma B C_B - 2i\omega_B \kappa C_A C_Y e^{i\delta \omega t}, \\
\hat{C}_Y &= -\gamma Y C_Y - 2i\omega_Y \kappa C_C C_B e^{i\delta \omega t}.
\end{align*}

\[(B2)\]

Let \( S = [S_B S_Y] \). Then Eqs. (B5) become \( \dot{S} = AS \), where \( A \) is a matrix with trace \( T_A = -(\gamma_B + \gamma_Y) \) and determinant

\[
d_A = \gamma_B \gamma_Y + (\delta \omega / 2 - 4\omega_B \omega_A \kappa^2 |c_A|^2 + (\gamma_Y - \gamma_B)\delta \omega / 2).
\]

\[(B6)\]

1. Parametric instability

If we treat the parent or driven mode as a known function of time, then in the limit of slow driving the parent can be considered constant \[12\]. Let \( C_i = S_i e^{i\delta \omega t / 2} \) so that Eqs. (B2) become

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{c}_A &= \text{constant}, \\
\dot{c}_B &= \left(-\frac{i\delta \omega}{2} - \gamma_B\right)c_B - 2i\omega_B \kappa c_A c_Y, \\
\dot{c}_Y &= 2i\omega_Y \kappa c_C c_B + \left(\frac{i\delta \omega}{2} - \gamma_Y\right)c_Y.
\end{align*}
\]
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