Evaluation of bean pod red cargamanto (BPRC) as biosorbent material in the removal of cadmium (Cd$^{2+}$) in aqueous solutions

Abstract

In this study bean pod red cargamanto (BPRC) was evaluated as biosorbent for removal of cadmium (Cd$^{2+}$) in aqueous solutions, with the characterization of the material, it was determined that the BPRC is mainly acidic and has functional groups on its surface which provide the ability to adsorb metal ions such as cadmium (Cd$^{2+}$). The effect of pH and Cd$^{2+}$ concentration on biosorption was analyzed. It was found that the optimal conditions for the process are pH 8 and 100 mg L$^{-1}$ of Cd$^{2+}$. From the optimal conditions found, it was determined that the material has an adsorption capacity of 0.070 meq g$^{-1}$ (3.907 mg g$^{-1}$) of Cadmium (Cd$^{2+}$), with a removal percentage of 78.1%. In the biosorption equilibrium, the best fit was a Freundlich isotherm with an intensity (n) of 2.547 and a correlation coefficient R$^2$ of 0.980. Kinetic behavior analysis showed the process reaches steady state within 30 minutes of contact. The fit of the experimental data indicates that the pseudo second order model is one of the most accurately describes the results. The kinetic coefficient (k$_{2}$) obtained for the model presented a value 1.135 g meq$^{-1}$ min$^{-1}$ for a correlation coefficient value of 0.999.
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Introduction

The presence of heavy metals in water due to natural and anthropogenic sources is a problem of great socio-environmental importance. Particularly, cadmium is a non-essential metal, which tends to be accumulated by living beings, for which it is toxic. Its ingestion in minimum concentrations produces effects that go from irritation of the stomach to diseases like cancer. The procedures used for the removal of heavy metals in aqueous solutions generally include distillation, coagulation, flocculation, ion exchange, reverse osmosis, electrodialysis, precipitation, adsorption and nanofiltration. These technologies present limitations that include high cost, low water recovery, special handling techniques and high volume of secondary waste. Adsorption is quite effective, but the most widely used adsorbents (such as activated carbon) present limitations with respect to their high costs. Therefore the technology known as Biosorption has been shown to be quite promising in the removal of contaminants from aqueous effluents. Agricultural waste materials may have potential to be used as low cost adsorbents because they represent unused resources that are widely available and are environmentally friendly. The sorption capacity of these materials is derived from their constituent polymers (cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin) and structure, in which an affinity for the metal ions is manifested. At the global level, in the removal of heavy metals in aqueous media, various agricultural waste materials such as fruit peels, seeds and natural fibers have been explored. For the removal of Cadmium (Cd$^{2+}$), residues such as corn cobs, rice husks, wheat stalks and others have been used. According to the characteristics described above and complying with the seventh principle of green chemistry: use of renewable raw materials, we seek agricultural waste material generated in large quantities without being used. As a result it is found that the BPRC is typically disposed as waste in landfills or incinerators. It is therefore understood that this material is being wasted without exploring its application in the agricultural sector or in the waste water treatment.

Materials and methods

Adequacy of biosorbent

The BPRC belonging to the bush variety was purchased in a market square located in Bogota D.C. Initially this material was dried in the sun, crushed in the mill of IKA universalmühle M20 and sieved. The particle size used in this study corresponds to less than 425 microns. This procedure is performed because the particle size has a significant influence on the biosorption kinetics. Crushing the material increases its contact area or surface development, and this may contribute to a greater retention of the sorbate.

Solutions and reagents

A stock solution of cadmium (Cd$^{2+}$) was prepared from cadmium chloride (CdCl$_2$, 2.5 H$_2$O) supplied by the brand Baker. In both the preparation of the stock solution and in the different experiments, dilutions were made with ultrapure water obtained in an ELGA Purelab Option. The water obtained has a conductivity <2 μS/cm. HCl (0.1N) and NaOH (0.1N) were prepared. The reagents used for the preparation of the solutions were supplied by the MERCK brand.

Characterization of BPRC

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was performed on a TESCAN Model VEGA 3 microscope. The titration to determine the active sites was performed with the Titirno Plus Metrohm 877 automatic titrator and the Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) spectral analysis was performed on the SHIMADZU IRPrestige-21 Spectrometer with a range of 400-4000cm$^{-1}$.

Adsorption experiments

The experiments for this project were carried out by the batch method of contacting the biosorbent with the aqueous solution containing the metal, maintaining a homogeneous mixture under...
agitation to promote adsorption, and then performing the separation by filtration for further analysis. The pH in the different experiments was measured with a Handylab pH11 SCHOTT potentiometer. The agitation processes were carried out on a KASAI Heidolph plate with temperature, time and velocity control, and the cadmium (Cd\(^{2+}\)) analyses were performed on the Perkin Elmer AAAnalyst 300 Atomic Absorption Spectrometer by the Direct Method of air-Acetylene.

The adsorption of the metal by biosorbent is determined by the mass balance equation:  
\[
q_e = V \frac{(C_0 - C_e)}{m}
\]  
(1)

where \(q_e\) is the amount of metal adsorbed at equilibrium (meq of metal / g dry weight of adsorbent material); \(V\), corresponds to the volume of the solution (batch) with the biosorbent (L); \(C_0\) and \(C_e\) correspond to the initial and final concentration of the metal in the solution (meq L\(^{-1}\)) and \(m\) corresponds to the mass of dry biosorbent added (g). To calculate the percentage of sorbate removal, we consider the \(C_e / C_0\) which is the residual concentration of the sorbate in the solution (mg L\(^{-1}\)):

\[
\text{Re(\%) } = \left(\frac{C_0 - C_e}{C_0}\right) \times 100%
\]  
(2)

**Adsorption isotherms**

The distribution of the metal ions in the biosorbent and the metal solution, when the system is in equilibrium, is important in determining the maximum adsorption capacity of the biosorbent. The adsorption and affinity capacity between the biosorbent active sites and the metal ions (indicated by the constants of each model) is analyzed or represented by means of isothermal models. For the present study we chose the Freundlich and Langmuir equations that correspond to the most used models.

Freundlich’s general equation\(^{16,18}\) has the form:

\[
q_e = K_f q^n
\]  
(3)

Where \(q_e\) is the amount of metal adsorbed at equilibrium (meq of metal / g dry weight of adsorbent material); \(K_f\) and \(n\) are empirical constants.

The biosorption capacity \(K_f\) (meq g\(^{-1}\)) and the intensity \(n\) are calculated from the intersection and slope of the Freundlich isotherm respectively and these represent the highest efficiency of biosorption sorption. The linear form of the Freundlich equation is:

\[
\log q_e = \log K_f + \frac{1}{n} \log C_e
\]  
(4)

Langmuir equation\(^{15,19}\) has the general form:

\[
q = \frac{b q_e C_e}{1 + b C_e}
\]  
(5)

where \(q\) represents the equilibrium concentration of the metal remaining in the solution (mg L\(^{-1}\)); \(q_e\) is the amount of metal adsorbed at equilibrium and \(b\) is the Langmuir constant related to adsorption capacity (meq g\(^{-1}\)) and adsorption energy (L meq\(^{-1}\)), respectively.

The values for \(q_e\) and \(b\) are determined from the slope and intersection of the Langmuir isotherm, respectively. The linear form is:

\[
\frac{C_e}{q} = \frac{1}{q_e b} + \frac{C_e}{q_e}
\]  
(6)

**Adsorption kinetic behavior**

Kinetic analyses are performed to determine the rate at which the metal or sorbate is removed from the aqueous solution. The most used models to describe the behavior of adsorption processes are the pseudo first order kinetic model, the pseudo second order model, and the intraparticular diffusion model. For the present study, we used the equations corresponding to these three models.

The pseudo first order model\(^{16,20}\) is defined by the equation that follows:

\[
\frac{dq_t}{dt} = k_1 (q_e - q_t)
\]  
(7)

where \(q_t\) and \(q_f\) represent the sorption capacity at equilibrium (meq g\(^{-1}\)) at time \(t\), and \(k_1\) is the rate constant (min\(^{-1}\)). Integrating equation (7) gives the linear form of the pseudo first order model:

\[
\log (q_e - q_t) - \log (q_e - q_f) = k_1 t
\]  
(8)

The pseudo second order model\(^{20,22}\) is mathematically defined through the following expression:

\[
\frac{dq_t}{dt} = k_2 (q_e - q_t)^2
\]  
(9)

Its linear form is:

\[
\frac{t}{q_t} = \frac{1}{k_2 q_e^2} + \frac{t}{q_e}
\]  
(10)

where \(q_f\) represents the amount of adsorbed metal (meq g\(^{-1}\)); \(t\) is the time (min); \(q_e\) is the equilibrium adsorption capacity (meq g\(^{-1}\)) and \(k_2\) is the constant of the equation (g meq\(^{-1}\) min\(^{-1}\)). By plotting \(t/q_t\) vs \(t\), i.e. the linearized form of the kinetic equation of pseudo second order, the value of \(k_2\) can be obtained from the slope of the straight line obtained.

Finally, the intraparticular diffusion model\(^{23}\) is mathematically defined by the following equation:

\[
q_t = k_p t_0.5 + C
\]  
(11)

Where \(K_p\) (meq g\(^{-1}\) h\(^{0.5}\)) represents the intraparticular diffusion rate constant and \(C\) is a constant.

According to this model, a graph of \(q_e\) as a function of \(t^{0.5}\) must be linear if intraparticle diffusion is involved in the adsorption process. The intraparticle diffusion model considers that the velocity of the adsorption process is limited only by intraparticle diffusion if the correlation passes through the origin (\(C=0\)), otherwise some other mechanisms besides intraparticle diffusion are participating in the process.

**Results and discussion**

**Characterization of BPRC**

Figure 1 shows the microphotographs of the surface of the BPRC, which show the structure and porosity characteristic of this type of material. In this analysis of the active sites, it was found that the material has acidic characteristics. According to this result and following Boehm,\(^{11}\) it could be said that the surface of the BPRC has carboxyl groups (RCOOH), carboxylic acid anhydrides (RCO)\(_2\)O, lactones (RCOOR), lactols (RCOOOH) and phenolic hydroxyl groups (ROH).
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Figure 1 Microphotographs of BPRC.

Figure 2 shows the FTIR spectrum of the BPRC. In this Figure it is possible to determine that there is a marked intensity signal at the point 3040 cm^{-1}, which corresponds to the vibration of the O-H bond, from the lignin. At a frequency of 2638 cm^{-1} a symmetric vibration corresponding to the CH_{3} bond from the ether of the lignin is also observed. At a frequency of 2030 cm^{-1} a weak signal is observed produced by the vibration of the C-O bond of the acetal. At 1380 cm^{-1}, a band related to the R-CH_{3} groups corresponding to the symmetric deformation of the C-H bond is found, and finally a vibration of the O-H bond occurs at a frequency of 1263 cm^{-1}. The presence of the functional groups determined by the FTIR analysis are those that give the material the ability to adsorb some contaminants. For this specific case the presence of OH groups facilitates the adsorption of metal ions such as cadmium (Cd^{2+}).

Adsorption experiments

In order to analyze the influence of pH factors and initial concentration of Cadmium (Cd^{2+}) on the efficiency of the biosorption process, an experimental design of composite central type was proposed. The pH of the aqueous solution was adjusted to levels 4 and 8 and the concentration of cadmium (Cd^{2+}) in solution was adjusted to values between 50 mg L^{-1} and 100 mg L^{-1}. All experiments were performed in batch mode. Table 1 shows the analysis of variance that indicates the most influential parameters in the process. All factors or interactions among themselves that obtain a probability value P <0.05 (last column of Table 1) are considered the most relevant in the adsorption process. In this case, factors A and B are the most significant.

According to the adjustment, a correlation (R^{2}) of 99.43% was obtained. This value explains the percentage of variables that affect the adsorption capacity of the BPRC. The difference of 0.57% shows the minimization of possible causes of error. Equation (12) that fitted the model is given by:

\[
q = 0.26768 + (0.032352 \cdot pH) + (0.032091 \cdot [Cd]) - (5.45 \times 10^{-4} \cdot pH \cdot [Cd]) + (3.3512 \times 10^{-3} \cdot pH^2) + (3.746 \times 10^{-5} \cdot [Cd]^2)
\]

Table 1 Analysis of variance

| Source        | Sum of squares | d.f. | Middle square | F value | P-value probability> F |
|---------------|----------------|------|---------------|---------|------------------------|
| Model         | 5.97           | 5    | 1.19          | 243.19  | <0.0001 (significant)  |
| A-pH          | 0.032          | 1    | 0.032         | 6.55    | 0.0375                 |
| B-Concentration| 5.93          | 1    | 5.93          | 1207.85 | <0.0001                |
| AB            | 2.970 \times 10^{-3} | 1    | 2.970 \times 10^{-3} | 0.6    | 0.4622                 |
| A^{2}         | 1.251 \times 10^{-3} | 1    | 1.251 \times 10^{-3} | 0.25   | 0.6292                 |
| B^{2}         | 3.813 \times 10^{-3} | 1    | 3.813 \times 10^{-3} | 0.78   | 0.4074                 |
| Residual      | 0.034          | 7    | 4.910 \times 10^{-3} |          |                        |
| Lack of adjustment | 0.028    | 3    | 9.465 \times 10^{-3} | 6.34   | 0.0533 (not significant) |
| Total         | 6.028          | 12   | 0.9943        |         |                        |

The results obtained in the adsorption capacity evaluation of the BPRC, are summarized in Table 2. Using the model, the response variable (adsorption capacity, q) was optimized. Table 3 summarizes the values of the parameters found by the model to obtain the highest adsorption capacity of cadmium (Cd^{2+}) in aqueous solution. The optimal values for the maximization of the response variable are...
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within the study levels previously determined for the experimental design. Figure 3(A) shows the sloped response surface that describes the behavior of the adsorption capacity (q) of Cadmium (Cd\(^{2+}\)) on the experimental region, and Figure 3(B) shows the different contour lines of the surface. The two variables significantly affect the biosorption process, but the concentration has a greater influence than the pH and this is clear if it is considered that at a higher concentration the driving force for mass transfer becomes greater.

Table 2 Results obtained for the BPRC adsorption capacity

| Experiment | Variable     | Observed value | Value adjusted by model |
|------------|--------------|----------------|-------------------------|
|            | pH [Cd\(^{2+}\)] |                |                         |
| 1          | 4            | 50             | 2                       | 2.04                    |
| 2          | 8            | 50             | 2.29                    | 2.22                    |
| 3          | 4            | 100            | 3.73                    | 3.82                    |
| 4          | 8            | 100            | 3.91                    | 3.89                    |
| 5          | 3.17         | 75             | 2.98                    | 2.89                    |
| 6          | 8.83         | 75             | 3                       | 3.07                    |
| 7          | 6            | 39.64          | 1.76                    | 1.78                    |
| 8          | 6            | 110.36         | 4.26                    | 4.22                    |
| 9          | 6            | 75             | 2.92                    | 2.95                    |
| 10         | 6            | 75             | 3                       | 2.95                    |
| 11         | 6            | 75             | 2.92                    | 2.95                    |
| 12         | 6            | 75             | 2.94                    | 2.95                    |
| 13         | 6            | 75             | 2.99                    | 2.95                    |

Table 3 Optimization of the adsorption process

| Factor     | Low  | High | Optimum |
|------------|------|------|---------|
| pH         | 4    | 8    | 8       |
| [Cd\(^{2+}\)] mg L\(^{-1}\) | 50   | 100  | 100     |

Optimum value of Cadmium adsorption capacity (Cd\(^{2+}\)) = 3.907 mg g\(^{-1}\)

Figure 3 (A) Response surface describing the behavior of the adsorption capacity (q) over the experimental region and (B) boundary lines for the adsorption treatment.

Adsorption isotherms

Table 4 presents values of the correlation of the experimental data to the linearized forms of the analyzed isotherm models. Based on these correlations, we determined the values of the parameters in each model, as well as the respective correlation coefficient, by which we can determine the isotherm model that best fits the experimental results. The comparison of the correlation coefficient (R\(^2\)), allows us to determine that the most adjusted adsorption isotherm model was the Freundlich model with an R\(^2\) of 0.980 versus a value of 0.955 for the Langmuir model. The adjustment to the Freundlich model indicates that the adsorption is carried out by layers, with a heterogeneous distribution of active sites accompanied by the interaction between adsorbed molecules, which finally leads to the formation of multiple layers on the initial layer found on the surface of the material. According to the Freundlich isotherm model, the capacity coefficient K\(_f\) was determined to be 4.40 mg/g. Also, the adsorption intensity (n) presented a value of 2.55, indicating a favorability in the adsorption process.

Table 4 Equations obtained corresponding to the Isotherm models analyzed

| Sorbate (Cd\(^{2+}\)) | \(q = 4.40 C_{eq}^{0.39}\) | \(0.980\) | \(q = \frac{0.07 \times 27.14 C_{eq}}{1 + 0.07 C_{eq}}\) | 0.955 |

Adsorption kinetic behavior

In the evaluation of the kinetic behavior of cadmium adsorption, it was verified that at 30 minutes of agitation, the BPRC removed 97.6% of the Cadmium (Cd\(^{2+}\)) present in aqueous solution from an initial concentration of Cadmium (Cd\(^{2+}\)) of 100 mg L\(^{-1}\). The data obtained in the evaluation of the kinetic behavior were represented by the pseudo first order, pseudo second order and intra-particular diffusion models. The corresponding data can be seen in Table 5. According to the results presented in Table 5, it can be observed that the highest correlation coefficient or adjustment of the data is presented with the pseudo second order model, for which the correlation coefficient...
presents a value of 0.999, a value significantly higher than the coefficient obtained for the other two models applied. The result of the adsorption capacity at equilibrium \( (q_e) \), presents precision when compared to the capacity obtained in the experimental design. For the experiments carried out, an adsorption capacity of 3.91 mg g\(^{-1}\) was obtained in the experimental design and at equilibrium a value of 4.40 mg g\(^{-1}\).

**Table 5** Values of the constants obtained by correlation corresponding to the kinetic models analyzed

| Kinetic model                  | Values on optimal conditions with respect to q | Constants   |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|-------------|
| Pseudo first order            |                                              |             |
| \( q_0 \) (meq g\(^{-1}\))  | 0.010                                        |             |
| \( k_1 \) (min\(^{-1}\))     | 0.189                                        |             |
| \( R^2 \)                     | 0.519                                        |             |
| Pseudo second order           |                                              |             |
| \( q_0 \) (meq g\(^{-1}\))  | 0.089                                        |             |
| \( k_2 \) (g meq\(^{-1}\) min\(^{-1}\)) | 1.135                                        |             |
| \( R^2 \)                     | 0.999                                        |             |
| Intraparticular diffusion     |                                              |             |
| \( C \)                       | 3.309                                        |             |
| \( R^2 \)                     | 0.505                                        |             |

**Table 6** Comparison of adsorption equilibrium capacity and time obtained between this and other studies in biosorption with unmodified lignocellulosic materials

| Sorbate Bioskorbent | Adsorption capacity obtained (mg g\(^{-1}\)) | Equilibrium adsorption time (min) | Reference              |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|
| Bean pod red cargamanto (BPRC) | 2.29 & 3.91 | 30 | Present study |
| Broad bean peel      | 147.71                                      | 180                               |                        |
| Peas peel            | 118.91                                      | 180                               |                        |
| Fig leaves           | 103.09                                      | 240                               |                        |
| Medlar peel          | 98.14                                       | 180                               |                        |
| Rice husk            | 8.58                                        | 240                               |                        |
| Coffee grounds       | 15.65                                       | 120                               |                        |
| Estersified spent grain | 335.57                                      | 15                                |                        |
| Wheat bran           | 15.71                                       | 25                                |                        |
| Eucalyptus bark      | 14.53                                       | 25                                |                        |
| Corn stalk           | 3.39                                        | 30                                |                        |
| Mung bean husk       | 35.41                                       | 15                                |                        |
| Wheat bran           | 0.55                                        | 75                                |                        |
| Areca residue        | 1.12                                        | 60                                |                        |
| Kaolin               | 3                                           | -                                 |                        |
| Bagasse fly ash      | 2                                           | -                                 |                        |

**Comparison of the capacity and time of adsorption of some agricultural residual materials**

Table 6 shows the adsorption capacity and time of some similar studies. Some studies show higher adsorption capacities than that obtained with BPRC. However, the BPRC has a short equilibrium time which, from the operational point of view, turns out to be convenient.\(^{29-31}\)

**Conclusion**

Through the chemical characterization of the material, it was found that BPRC has acidic characteristics. The concentration of acid sites is higher than that of basic sites, which allows cadmium (Cd\(^{2+}\)) to be fixed on the surface of the BPRC. The FTIR spectrum denotes the presence of functional groups that potentiate the capacity of the BPRC for the removal of Cadmium (Cd\(^{2+}\)) present in aqueous solution. The pH and concentration factors significantly affect the biosorption process. However, the results of the experimental tests indicate that concentration has a greater influence if it is considered that when the concentration increases, the driving force for mass transfer increases. The experiments allowed to determine that the equilibrium of the process can be represented with greater precision through a Freundlich isotherm model. This model typically describes physical adsorption models. The kinetic behavior of the process is characterized by a short adsorption period (between 5 and 30 minutes), from which time it is well known that the concentration of the sorbate tends to stabilize in the aqueous solution in contact with the adsorbent. The experimental data fit the kinetic model of pseudo second order. The adsorption time is efficient compared to other untreated agricultural waste materials which, although reaching adsorption capacities higher than the material analyzed in this study, require longer contact times to achieve process stability.
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