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Dalal Alrowaili $^1$, Faraha Ashraf $^2$, Rifaqat Ali $^3$, Arsalan Shoukat $^2$, Aqila Shaheen $^2$, Mehran Azeem $^4$, and Imran Siddique $^5$

$^1$Department of Mathematics, College of Science, Jouf University, P.O. Box: 2014, Sakaka, Saudi Arabia
$^2$School of Mathematics, Minhaj University, Lahore, Pakistan
$^3$Department of Mathematics, College of Science and Arts, King Khalid University, Muhayil, Abha 61413, Saudi Arabia
$^4$Department of Mathematics, University of Engineering and Technology (RCET), Lahore, Pakistan
$^5$Department of Mathematics, University of Management and Technology, Lahore 54770, Pakistan

Correspondence should be addressed to Imran Siddique; imransmsrazi@gmail.com

Received 26 September 2021; Accepted 7 October 2021; Published 21 October 2021

Academic Editor: Gohar Ali

Copyright © 2021 Dalal Alrowaili et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Topological descriptors are mathematical values related to chemical structures which are associated with different physico-chemical properties. The use of topological descriptors has a great contribution in the field of quantitative structure-property relationship (QSPR) and quantitative structure-activity relationship (QSAR) modeling. These are mathematical relationships between different molecular properties or biological activity and some other physicochemical or structural properties. In this article, we calculate few vertex degree-based topological indices/descriptors of the organometallic monolayer structure. At present, the numerical programming of the biological structure with topological descriptors is increasing in consequence in invigorating science, bioinformatics, and pharmaceutics.

1. Introduction

Metal-organic structures (MOSs) are presented by the three-dimensional systems that are produced by the connections of natural linkers and metal center atoms. In the MOS, natural linkers associate all metal center atoms to develop networks that can contain a scope of visitor particles. This class of material get consideration by more objective ways to deal with their reticular plan and amalgamation. Moreover, there have been tens of thousands of metal-organic frameworks inserted. It extends the degree of their probable applications. These MOs have been found to be useful in the field of gas catalysis [1–3], drugs’ conveyance [4–6], detection [7, 8], separations [9, 10], storage [11–13], and adsorption [14–18]. These structures have a lot of open metal terminals that can catch industrial exhaust gases such as NO, CO, NO$_2$, CO$_2$, and SO$_2$. The discharge of CO$_2$ from flue gases has a negative impact on the environment from consuming of nonsustainable power sources which is a critical issue for the ecological change and greenhouse effect. Gases such as SO$_2$ and NO$_2$ are primary justification acceptance of corrosive downpour just as smog, while NO and CO are dangerous for us. In this way, to have a sound air, controlling the entrance of these dangerous gases into the atmosphere is necessary. They may be able to capture CO$_2$ from the flue gases of power plants. At room temperature and with a low pressing factor, MOS-74 can capture CO$_2$. More information on the ability of metal-organic frameworks to trap pipe gas particles can be found in [19, 20].

2. Preliminaries

A molecular graph represents the structural formula of a chemical molecule. The atoms are denoted by the vertices, whereas the bonds between the vertices are denoted by the edges. Assume $G(V(G), E(G))$ is a molecular graph, with the vertex and edge sets $V(G)$ and $E(G)$, respectively. They
are together if two vertices \( z \) and \( r \) are end vertices of a shared edge \( e = zr \). \( N_{z} \) represents the collection of neighbors of a vertex \( z \) and is defined as \( N_{z} = r \in V(G): zr \in E(G) \). The cardinality of the set \( N_{z} \) is represented by the degree of a vertex \( z \), which is represented by \( dz \). \( S_{z} = \sum d_{r}: r \in N_{z} \) \( S_{z} \) explains the sum of degrees of neighbors of \( z \).

In 1975, the primary degree-based topological descriptor was presented by Milan Randić [21]. Randić index is denoted by \( R_{1/2}(G) \). It is characterized as follows:

\[
R_{1/2}(G) = \sum_{st \in E(G)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_{s} + d_{t}}}.
\]  

(1)

The first and second Zagreb indices, which are beneficial for branching questions, were proposed by Gutman [22] in 1972. These are represented by the variables \( M_{1}(G) \) and \( M_{2}(G) \), which are defined as follows:

\[
M_{1}(G) = \sum_{st \in E(G)} (d_{s} + d_{t}),
\]

\[
M_{2}(G) = \sum_{st \in E(G)} (d_{s} \times d_{t}).
\]  

(2)

The Zagreb indices and their variants have been employed to study subatomic intricacy [23, 24], chirality, ZE-isomerism, and heterosystems, and the general Zagreb indices have a reasonable relevance for inferring multilinear relapse models. The Zagreb indices are used by a number of analysts in their QSAR and QSPR investigations. The sum-connectivity index is also a highly valuable index. It is closely linked to hydrocarbons’ \( \pi \) electron energy. It is defined as follows:

\[
SCI(G) = \sum_{st \in E(G)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_{s} + d_{t}}}.
\]  

(3)

Currently, Zhou and Trinajstić [25] have proposed the general sum-connectivity index. It has the following definition:

\[
\chi_{a}(G) = \sum_{st \in E(G)} (d_{s} + d_{t})^{a}.
\]  

(4)

Recently, hyper-Zagreb index was introduced by Shirdel et al. [26]. It is defined as

\[
HM(G) = \sum_{st \in E(G)} [d_{s} + d_{t}]^{2}.
\]  

(5)

Estrada et al. [27] presented the atom-bond connectivity (ABC) index in 1998. It is defined as follows:

\[
ABC(G) = \sum_{st \in E(G)} \sqrt{d_{s} + d_{t} - \frac{2}{d_{s}d_{t}}}
\]  

(6)

It presents a better representation for adjusting direct and spread alkanes. Moreover, the energy is stored in cycloalkanes due to the deformation of cycloalkanes. A very common connectivity index is known as the geometric-arithmetic (GA) index which was discussed by Xiao et al. in [28]. For any graph \( G \), the geometric-arithmetic index (GA) is denoted and defined as follows:

\[
GA(G) = \sum_{st \in E(G)} 2\sqrt{d_{s}d_{t}}
\]  

(7)

In the case of octane isomers, it has been presented that the GA index has good relationships with a range of different physicochemical properties [29, 30].

3. Computational Aspects

In this section, the two-dimensional organometallic monolayers of the TM\textsubscript{3}C\textsubscript{12}S\textsubscript{12} coordination network will be discussed. The TM\textsubscript{3}C\textsubscript{12}S\textsubscript{12} metal-organic framework is a kind of very important chemical structure for the purpose of its significant application in the field of drug industry. In the recent times, the researchers and scientists have begun to pay more attention to the catalytic performance of the organometallic structures which are the combination of some kinds of transition metal and organic structures. The metals which can be used in the place of TM\textsubscript{3} are (\( M = Fe, Co, Ni, Ru, Rh, Pd, Os, Ir, and Pt \)). Now, the basic purpose of our work is to provide basic and necessary mathematical values in the form of topological indices to the researchers and scientists which can help them in enlarging the physical and chemical properties of metal-organic frameworks.

Figure 1 shows the schematic geometric structure of the TM\textsubscript{3}C\textsubscript{12}S\textsubscript{12} monolayer; (a) and (f) are top views of one unit cell and \( 2 \times 2 \) supercells, respectively. Only (b), (d), and (e) are visible after structural optimization, as shown in side views of four alternative beginning configurations. The C, S, and TM atoms of the first transition metal are represented by the black, yellow, and purple balls, respectively. The molecular graph of a 2D metal-organic supercell with \( g \) unit cells in each row and \( h \) unit cells in each column is \( G_{2}(g,h) \). The graph \( G_{2}(3,2) \) is shown in Figure 1. A quick look at the graph \( G_{2}(g,h) \) reveals that it has \( 36gh \) vertices and \( 36gh + 2g + 2h \) edges.

The general Randic index and general sum-connectivity index of \( G_{2}(g,h) \) are computed in the next theorem.

**Theorem 1.** The Randic and general sum-connectivity indices of the graph \( G_{2}(g,h) \) are as follows:

\[
R_{a}(G_{2}(g,h)) = (4g + 4h)(4)^{a} + (12gh)(6)^{a} + (12gh - 4g - 4h)(8)^{a} + (12gh)(9)^{a},
\]

\[
\chi_{a}(G_{2}(g,h)) = (4g + 4h)(4)^{a} + (12gh)(5)^{a} + (12gh - 4g - 4h)(6)^{a} + (12gh)(6)^{a}.
\]  

(8)

**Proof.** The edge partition of the graph \( G_{2}(g,h) \) will be obtained first in order to measure the general Randic and general sum-connectivity indices. The degree of the end vertex is needed in this regard. In Table 1, the partition is mentioned.

Similarly, in the definitions of the aforementioned indices, the values of the edge partition are used. The computation of the Randic index is as follows:
The connectivity index can be calculated as follows:

Using Theorem 1, with values of \( \alpha = -\frac{1}{2}, 1, \) and \(-1\), the Randic connectivity index, the first Zagreb index, the second Zagreb index, and the sum-connectivity index can be calculated as follows:

\[
R_{-\frac{1}{2}}(G_2(g, h)) = \frac{4547}{346} gh + \frac{577}{985} g + \frac{577}{985} h,
\]

\[
M_1(G_2(g, h)) = 204gh - 8g - 8h,
\]

\[
M_2(G_2(g, h)) = 276gh - 16g - 16h,
\]

\[
SCI(G_2(g, h)) = \frac{5899}{389} gh + \frac{396}{1079} g + \frac{396}{1079} b,
\]

\[
HM(G_2(g, h)) = 1164gh - 80g - 80h.
\]

**Table 1:** The edge partition of graph \( G_2(g, h) \) based on degrees of end vertices of each edge.

| \((d_i, d_j)\) where \( st \in E(G_2(g, h))\) | Number of edges |
|-----------------------------------------------|----------------|
| \((2, 2)\)                                    | \(4g + 4h\)    |
| \((2, 3)\)                                    | \(12gh\)       |
| \((2, 4)\)                                    | \(12gh - 4g - 4h\) |
| \((3, 3)\)                                    | \(12gh\)       |

\[
R_\alpha(G_2(g, h)) = \sum_{st \in E_{\alpha,0}(G_2(g, h))} (d_i + d_j)^{\alpha} + \sum_{st \in E_{\alpha,1}(G_2(g, h))} (d_i d_j)^{\alpha} + \sum_{st \in E_{\alpha,2}(G_2(g, h))} (d_i d_j d_k)^{\alpha} + \sum_{st \in E_{\alpha,3}(G_2(g, h))} (d_i d_j d_k d_l)^{\alpha},
\]

\[
= (4g + 4h)(2 \times 2)^{\alpha} + (12gh)(2 \times 3)^{\alpha} + (12gh - 4g - 4h)(2 \times 4)^{\alpha} + (12gh)(3 \times 3)^{\alpha}
= (4g + 4h)(4)^{\alpha} + (12gh)(6)^{\alpha} + (12gh - 4g - 4h)(8)^{\alpha} + (12gh)(9)^{\alpha},
\]

\[
\chi_\alpha(G_2(g, h)) = \sum_{st \in E_{\alpha,0}(G_2(g, h))} (d_i + d_j)^{\alpha} + \sum_{st \in E_{\alpha,1}(G_2(g, h))} (d_i + d_j)^{\alpha} + \sum_{st \in E_{\alpha,2}(G_2(g, h))} (d_i + d_j)^{\alpha} + \sum_{st \in E_{\alpha,3}(G_2(g, h))} (d_i + d_j)^{\alpha},
\]

\[
= (4g + 4h)(2 + 2)^{\alpha} + (12gh)(2 + 3)^{\alpha} + (12gh - 4g - 4h)(2 + 4)^{\alpha} + (12gh)(3 + 3)^{\alpha}
= (4g + 4h)(4)^{\alpha} + (12gh)(5)^{\alpha} + (12gh - 4g - 4h)(6)^{\alpha} + (12gh)(6)^{\alpha}.
\]

(9)

**Corollary 1.** Using Theorem 1, with values of \( \alpha = -\frac{1}{2}, 1, \) and \(-1\), the Randic connectivity index, the first Zagreb index, the second Zagreb index, and the sum-connectivity index can be calculated as follows:

In the next theorem, the atom-bond connectivity index and geometric-arithmetic index of the graph \( G_2(g, h) \) are calculated.

**Theorem 2.** The atom-bond connectivity (ABC) index and geometric-arithmetic (GA) index of the graph \( G_2(g, h) \) are as follows:

\[
\text{ABC}(G_2(g, h)) = \frac{985}{1393} gh + \frac{985}{1393} g + \frac{2}{3} b,
\]

\[
\text{GA}(G_2(g, h)) = \frac{14765}{421} gh - \frac{277}{1189} g - \frac{277}{1189} h.
\]

(11)

**Proof.** In the description of the atom-bond connectivity index, different values of the edge partition are used. It can be calculated in the following manner:
the given graph \( \mathcal{G}_2(g, h) \) and fifth type of geometric-arithmetic index \( GA_5 \) of the given graph \( \mathcal{G}_2(g, h) \) are as follows:

\[
ABC_4(\mathcal{G}_2(g, h)) = (4g + 4h)\left(\begin{array}{c}985 \\ 1193\end{array} \right) + (12gh)\left(\begin{array}{c}985 \\ 1193\end{array} \right)
+ (12gh - 4g - 4h)\left(\begin{array}{c}1121 \\ 1189\end{array} \right) + (12gh)\left(\begin{array}{c}2 \\ 3\end{array} \right),
\]

\[
= \frac{28841}{1155} gh + 0g + 0h.
\]

(12)

Similarly, the geometric-arithmetic (GA) index can be calculated as follows:

\[
GA(\mathcal{G}_2(g, h)) = (4g + 4h)(1) + (12gh)\left(\begin{array}{c}4704 \\ 4801\end{array} \right)
+ (12gh - 4g - 4h)\left(\begin{array}{c}1121 \\ 1189\end{array} \right) + (12gh)(1),
\]

\[
= \frac{14765}{421} gh - \frac{272}{1189} g - \frac{272}{1189} h.
\]

(13)

Moreover, in the following theorem, the fourth version of the atom-bond connectivity (ABC₄) index and the fifth version of the geometric-arithmetic (GA₅) index are obtained.

**Theorem 3.** The fourth type of atom-bond connectivity index (ABC₄) and fifth type of geometric-arithmetic index (GA₅) of the given graph \( \mathcal{G}_2(g, h) \) are as follows:

\[
ABC_4(\mathcal{G}_2(g, h)) = \frac{6149}{358} gh + \frac{587}{963} g - \frac{587}{963} h,
\]

\[
GA_5(\mathcal{G}_2(g, h)) = \frac{7405}{206} gh - \frac{217}{1888} g - \frac{217}{1888} h.
\]

(14)

**Proof.** To discover the values of these indices, the edge set must be divided into partitions depending on the sum of degrees of neighbors of end vertices of each edge. In Table 2, the partition can be observed.

The calculation of the ABC₄ index can be done in the following way:

\[
ABC_4(\mathcal{G}_2(g, h)) = (4g + 4h)\left(\begin{array}{c}423 \\ 715\end{array} \right) + (4g + 4h)\left(\begin{array}{c}462 \\ 881\end{array} \right)
+ (24gh - 8g - 8h)\left(\begin{array}{c}702 \\ 1457\end{array} \right) + (12gh)\left(\begin{array}{c}896 \\ 1858\end{array} \right),
\]

\[
= \frac{6149}{358} gh + \frac{587}{963} g + \frac{587}{963} h.
\]

(15)

\[
\begin{array}{|c|c|}
\hline
\text{Number of edges} & \text{ga} \\
\hline
4g + 4h & 4g + 4h \\
5g & 4g + 4h \\
7g & 24gh - 8g - 8h \\
8g & 12gh \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

(16)

**4. Graphical Analysis and Conclusion**

In this article, we have proposed some novel topological indices based on the neighborhood degree sum of end vertices of edges. Now, the results of topological indices will be compared. The comparison between the sum-connectivity index (SCI), first Zagreb index, second Zagreb index, Randic index, and hyper-Zagreb (HM) index is shown in Table 3. The graphical representation of Table 3 is given in Figure 2.

Now, the results of the metal-organic framework’s atom-bond connectivity (ABC) index and geometric-arithmetic (GA) index of TM₃C₁₂S₁₂ will be compared as shown in Table 4. The graphical explanation of Table 4 is given in Figure 3.

Similarly, the results of the fourth type of the atom-bond connectivity (ABC) index ABC₄ and fifth type of the GA index GA₅ are compared numerically as well as graphically. The comparison of ABC₄ and GA₅ of TM₃C₁₂S₁₂ is shown in Table 5. The graphical view of ABC₄ and GA₅ is shown in Figure 4.

In this paper, we have computed some physical properties of the metal-organic network in terms of topological indices; for these different TIs such as Randic, atom-bound connectivity, geometric-arithmetic, first, second, and hyper-Zagreb indices, general and sum-connectivity indices, atom-bond connectivity index, and geometric-arithmetic index are calculated. This computational work will motivate the researchers to have a clear idea about the chosen structure. The computational method considered here is useful to analyze the physicochemical properties of the stated network, cost effective, and time efficient.
Figure 2: Comparison between SCI, Randic, $M_1$, $M_2$, and HM of $TM_{3C12S_{12}}$.

Table 3: Comparison between SCI, Randic, $M_1$, $M_2$, and HM of $TM_{3C12S_{12}}$.

| $(s, t)$ | SCI | Randic | $M_1$ | $M_2$ | HM |
|----------|-----|--------|-------|-------|----|
| (1, 1)   | 159/10 | 229/16 | 188 | 244 | 1004 |
| (2, 2)   | 497/8  | 604/11 | 784 | 1040 | 4336 |
| (3, 3)   | 3051/22 | 2314/19 | 1788 | 2388 | 9996 |
| (4, 4)   | 1719/7 | 4514/21 | 3200 | 4288 | 17984 |
| (5, 5)   | 5359/14 | 1672/5 | 5020 | 6740 | 28300 |
| (6, 6)   | 28617/52 | 3841/8 | 7248 | 9744 | 40944 |
| (7, 7)   | 3741/5  | 4565/7 | 9884 | 13300 | 55916 |
| (8, 8)   | 4882/5  | 13607/16 | 12928 | 17408 | 73216 |
| (9, 9)   | 18524/15 | 69876/65 | 16380 | 22068 | 92844 |
| (10, 10) | 36571/24 | 10607/8 | 20240 | 27280 | 114800 |

Table 4: Comparison between ABC and GA of $TM_{3C12S_{12}}$.

| $(s, t)$ | ABC | GA |
|----------|-----|----|
| (1, 1)   | 849/34 | 604/17 |
| (2, 2)   | 799/8  | 706/5 |
| (3, 3)   | 3371/15 | 22825/72 |
| (4, 4)   | 6792/17 | 19141/34 |
| (5, 5)   | 11861/19 | 12307/14 |
| (6, 6)   | 15282/17 | 20245/16 |
| (7, 7)   | 11012/9  | 22382/13 |
| (8, 8)   | 14383/9  | 20234/9 |
| (9, 9)   | 16181/8  | 25604/9 |
| (10, 10) | 44947/18 | 35117/10 |

Table 5: Comparison between $ABC_4$ and $GA_5$ of $TM_{3C12S_{12}}$.

| $(s, t)$ | $ABC_4$ | $GA_5$ |
|----------|---------|--------|
| (1, 1)   | 92/5    | 250/7  |
| (2, 2)   | 498/7   | 430/3  |
| (3, 3)   | 4589/29 | 1937/6 |
| (4, 4)   | 3636/13 | 5168/9 |
| (5, 5)   | 871/2   | 27823/31 |
| (6, 6)   | 12513/20 | 12927/10 |
| (7, 7)   | 16153/19 | 15838/9 |
| (8, 8)   | 72086/65 | 9195/4 |
| (9, 9)   | 12620/9  | 14548/5 |
| (10, 10) | 32866/19 | 39516/11 |
Data Availability

No data were used in this manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments

The author Rifaqat Ali would like to express his gratitude to the Deanship of Scientific Research at King Khalid University, Saudi Arabia, for providing funding groups under research grant no. R. G. P. 1/162/42.

References

[1] J. Lee, O. K. Farha, J. Roberts, K. A. Scheidt, S. T. Nguyen, and J. T. Hupp, “Metal‐organic framework materials as catalysts,” Chemical Society Reviews, vol. 38, no. 5, pp. 1450–1459, 2009.
[2] E. A. Hall, L. R. Redfern, M. H. Wang, and K. A. Scheidt, “Lewis acid activation of a hydrogen bond donor metal‐organic framework for catalysis,” ACS Catalysis, vol. 6, no. 5, pp. 3248–3252, 2016.
[3] S. Roy, C. B. George, and M. A. Ratner, “Catalysis by a zinc-porphyrin-based metal-organic framework: from theory to computational design,” Journal of Physical Chemistry C, vol. 116, no. 44, pp. 23494–23502, 2012.
[4] P. Horcajada, C. Serre, G. Maurin et al., “Flexible porous metal-organic frameworks for a controlled drug delivery,” Journal of the American Chemical Society, vol. 130, no. 21, pp. 6774–6780, 2008.
[5] M. Vallet‐Regí, F. Balas, and D. Arcos, “Mesoporous materials for drug delivery,” Angewandte Chemie International Edition, vol. 46, no. 40, pp. 7548–7558, 2007.
[6] B. Mandal, J. S. Chung, and S. G. Kang, “Exploring the geometric, magnetic and electronic properties of Hofmann MOFs for drug delivery,” Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics, vol. 19, no. 46, pp. 31316–31324, 2017.
[7] L. Sarkisov, “Toward rational design of metal-organic frameworks for sensing applications: efficient calculation of adsorption characteristics in zero loading regime,” Journal of Physical Chemistry C, vol. 116, no. 4, pp. 3025–3033, 2012.
[8] C. Chakravarty, B. Mandal, and P. Sarkar, “Bis(dithiolene)-based metal-organic frameworks with superior electronic and magnetic properties: spin frustration to spintronics and gas sensing,” Journal of Physical Chemistry C, vol. 120, no. 49, pp. 28307–28319, 2016.
[9] J.-R. Li, R. J. Kuppler, and H.-C. Zhou, “Selective gas adsorption and separation in metal-organic frameworks,” Chemical Society Reviews, vol. 38, no. 5, pp. 1477–1504, 2009.
[10] J. Y. Kim, R. Balderas-Xicoténcatl, L. Zhang et al., “Exploiting diffusion barrier and chemical affinity of metal-organic frameworks for efficient hydrogen isotope separation,” Journal of the American Chemical Society, vol. 139, no. 42, pp. 15135–15141, 2017.
[11] L. J. Murray, M. Dincă, and J. R. Long, “Hydrogen storage in metal-organic frameworks,” Chemical Society Reviews, vol. 38, no. 5, pp. 1294–1314, 2009.
[12] N. L. Rosi, J. Eckert, M. Eddaoudi et al., “Hydrogen storage in microporous metal-organic frameworks,” Science, vol. 300, no. 5622, pp. 1127–1129, 2003.
[13] R. D. Kennedy, V. Krungleviciute, D. J. Clingerman et al., “Carborane-based metal-organic framework with high methane and hydrogen storage capacities,” Chemistry of Materials, vol. 25, no. 17, pp. 3539–3543, 2013.
[14] A. U. Czaia, N. Trukhan, and U. Müller-Plathe, “Industrial applications of metal-organic frameworks,” Chemical Society Reviews, vol. 38, no. 5, pp. 1284–1293, 2009.
[15] S. J. Geier, J. A. Mason, E. D. Bloch et al., “Selective adsorption of ethylene over ethane and propylene over propane in the metal-organic frameworks M2(dobdc) (M = Mg, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Zn),” Chemical Science, vol. 4, no. 5, pp. 2054–2061, 2013.
[16] B. Mu, P. M. Schoenecker, and K. S. Walton, “Gas adsorption study on mesoporous Metal–Organic Framework UMC-M-1,” Journal of Physical Chemistry C, vol. 114, no. 14, pp. 6464–6471, 2010.
[17] W. L. Queen, M. R. Hudson, E. D. Bloch et al., “Comprehensive study of carbon dioxide adsorption in the metal-organic frameworks M2(dobdc) (M = Mg, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn),” Chemical Science, vol. 5, no. 12, pp. 4569–4581, 2014.
[18] J. Park, J. D. Howe, and D. S. Sholl, “How reproducible are isotherm measurements in metal-organic frameworks?” Chemistry of Materials, vol. 29, no. 24, pp. 10487–10495, 2017.
[19] H. Wu, W. Zhou, and T. Yildirim, “High-capacity methane storage in Metal–Organic Frameworks M2(dhtp): the important role of open metal sites,” Journal of the American Chemical Society, vol. 131, no. 13, pp. 4995–5000, 2009.
[20] P. D. C. Dietzel, V. Besikiotis, and R. Blom, “Application of metal-organic frameworks with coordinatively unsaturated metal sites in storage and separation of methane and carbon dioxide,” Journal of Materials Chemistry, vol. 19, no. 39, pp. 7362–7370, 2009.
[21] K. Lee, J. D. Howe, L.-C. Lin, B. Smit, and J. B. Neaton, “Small-molecule adsorption in open-site metal-organic frameworks: a systematic density functional theory study for rational design,” Chemical Reviews, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 668–678, 2015.
[22] I. Gutman, “Degree-based topological indices,” Croatica Chemica Acta, vol. 86, no. 4, pp. 351–361, 2013.
[23] J. D. Howe, Y. Liu, L. Flores, D. A. Dixon, and D. S. Sholl, “Acid gas adsorption on metal-organic framework nanosheets
as a model of an “All-Surface” material,” *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 1341–1350, 2017.

[24] K. Tan, S. Zuluaga, H. Wang et al., “Interaction of acid gases SO₂ and NO₂ with coordinatively unsaturated metal organic frameworks: M-MOF-74 (M = Zn, Mg, Ni, Co),” *Chemistry of Materials*, vol. 29, no. 10, pp. 4227–4235, 2017.

[25] B. Zhou and N. Trinajstić, “On general sum-connectivity index,” *Journal of Mathematical Chemistry*, vol. 47, no. 1, pp. 210–218, 2010.

[26] G. H. Shirdel, H. R. Pour, and A. M. Sayadi, “The hyper-Zagreb index of graph operations,” *Journal of Mathematical Chemistry*, vol. 4, pp. 213–220, 2013.

[27] E. Estrada, L. Torres, L. Rodriguez, and I. Gutman, “An atom-bond connectivity index: modelling the enthalpy of formation of alkanes,” *Indian Journal of Chemistry*, vol. 37, pp. 849–855, 1998.

[28] L. Xiao, S. Chen, Z. Guo, and Q. Chen, “The geometric-arithmetic index of benzenoid systems and phenylenes,” *International Journal of Contemporary Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 5, no. 45, pp. 2225–2230, 2010.

[29] R. Cheng, A. Gohar, G. Rahmat, M. Y. Khan, A. Semanicova-Fenovcikova, and J. Liu, “Investigation of general power sum-connectivity index for some classes of extremal graphs,” *Complexity*, vol. 2021, Article ID 6623277, 2021.

[30] A. Iqbal, G. Ali, J. Khan, M. N. G. Rahmat, and A. Kausar, “On topological indices of dual graph of benzene ring embedded in P-type surface in 2D network,” *International Journal of Advanced Trends in Computer Science and Engineering*, vol. 10, no. 3, 2021.