The clustering of neurons with similar response properties is a conspicuous feature of neocortex. In primary visual cortex (V1), maps of several properties like orientation preference are well described, but the functional architecture of color, central to visual perception in trichromatic primates, is not. Here we used two-photon calcium imaging in macaques to examine the fine structure of chromatic representation and found that neurons responsive to spatially uniform, chromatic stimuli form unambiguous clusters that coincide with blobs. Further, these responsive groups have marked substructure, segregating into smaller ensembles or micromaps with distinct chromatic signatures that appear columnar in upper layer 2/3. Spatially structured chromatic stimuli revealed maps built on the same micromap framework but with larger subdomains that go well beyond blobs. We conclude that V1 has an architecture for color representation that switches between blobs and a combined blob/interblob system based on the spatial content of the visual scene.
In trichromatic primates like macaques and humans, selectivity for color begins in the retina, where ganglion cells antagonistically combine signals from different parts of the visible spectrum to create cone-opponent representations\(^1\). The retina creates a “red/green” channel by comparing photon catches of long (L) and middle (M) wavelength-sensitive cones, and a “blue/yellow” channel from short (S) wavelength-sensitive cones and a combined L and M signal (L + M). This information is relayed to V1 in a highly specific pattern, with red/green inputs projecting to the middle cortical layer 4C and blue/yellow inputs terminating exclusively in superficial layers 2/3 and 4A\(^5\).

How these chromatic signals are distributed and processed within V1 is less clear. The earliest electrophysiological studies\(^3-5\) suggested that chromatic information is segregated within histochromatic columns known as cytochrome oxidase (CO) blobs\(^6\) and processed independently of spatial attributes before projecting to the ventral visual pathway. This idea of dedicated color modules was not supported by subsequent electrophysiological studies\(^7,8\), contributing to a lingering lack of consensus about the existence of a color architecture\(^9,10\). However, the development of intrinsic signal imaging\(^11,12\) rekindled the idea of chromatic maps. With their limited-resolution, bird’s-eye view of cortex, these studies have consistently described small cortical patches, roughly associated with blobs, that respond preferentially to chromatic visual stimulation\(^13-15\). Here, we examined the fine-scale arrangement of these chromatic patches in macaque V1 with single-cell resolution using two-photon calcium imaging\(^16,17\).

**Results**

**Responses to spatially uniform chromatic stimuli.** We began by recording the patterns of activity evoked when an animal views full-field, uniform color (see Methods, Supplementary Fig. 1a). Cells that respond to unstructured stimuli are part of a broad class of chromatic cells with diverse receptive-field organization\(^3,8,18-20\), including those preferring structured stimuli like color edges. However, multiple studies\(^7,21-23\) have found that the early visual system’s relative sensitivity to chromatic information increases as a stimulus approaches spatial uniformity, even if the optimal stimulus for a given color-selective cortical neuron is structured. Thus, we labeled neurons in layer 2/3 with calcium indicator dye (Fig. 1a) and asked whether flashed, uniform color fields stimulating specific cone classes reveal any fine-scale maps of chromatic preference.

When we plotted stimulus-evoked responses as \(\Delta F\) maps (change in fluorescence between visual stimulation period and blank) we observed tight clusters of increased activity (Fig. 1b). The active cells in this field of view (FOV) carry hallmarks of low-level chromatic processing, including largely opponent signals from L and M cones when both are large enough to detect, along with strong, often dominant signals from short (S) wavelength-sensitive cones. Not only are active cells unambiguously clustered, but there exists substructure within these ensembles, a micromap of sign-specific cone preference (Fig. 1c; individual cells seen as bright spots). The +S (ON response) and −S (OFF response) subclusters are completely segregated, flanking a +L and −M group at center-left which, in turn, is distinct from a weaker +M and −L group at center-right. Also, while the +S and −S domains do not coincide, they do overlap to a small extent with the L/M subclusters, contributing “blue” input to cells primarily responsive to stimuli along the red/green axis (see Supplementary Fig. 2 for single-cell response time courses; Methods for color classes).

To verify that the clustering of cells that responded to uniform color is significantly different from random distributions, we extracted the spatial positions of all segmented cells in a given FOV and compared the observed clusters with those arising from randomly permuted cell positions. In the experiment illustrated

---

**Fig. 1 Clustering of cells into chromatic micromaps.** a Cells stained with calcium indicator, 215 \(\mu\rm{m}\) depth. b Overlay of six single-condition \(\Delta F\) maps obtained with spatially uniform stimuli, from field of view in a. Responses are to +/−L, +/−M, and +/−S conditions. c Magnification of cell cluster in b. Each panel has two color-coded \(\Delta F\) maps, showing responses to sign-specific stimulation of a single cone type. Maps summed and rescaled at bottom-right (see Methods). Same color code in b and c, approximating color of stimulus. Scale bars, 100 \(\mu\rm{m}\).
in Fig. 2a, a chromatic micromap with distinct L–M, M–L, +S, and −S subdomains forms an elongated cluster on the left side of the FOV. A cell-based response map (Fig. 2b) was generated by plotting colored symbols corresponding to the preferred stimulus of each significantly responsive cell (see Methods, Supplementary Fig. 2). We calculated the fraction of all possible cell pairs in which both cells were responsive, as a function of pairwise distance (Fig. 2c, black line). The position-shuffled control (gray line) is flat, whereas the unpermuted distribution of responsive pairs starts well above the shuffled line (more clustered), then dips below as the distance increases, until it finally approaches the shuffled line again. We defined the characteristic length to be the point where the measured distribution crosses the control. Plotting these values for 17 fields of view from four animals verifies that populations of cells responsive to uniform color had characteristic lengths clustered tightly around ~200 µm (Fig. 2d, left). Computing an index of clustering (Fig. 2d, right), used previously in both electrophysiological and imaging studies, showed that responsive cells were significantly more clustered than position-shuffled controls in these planes ($P = 2.9 \times 10^{-4}$, Wilcoxon signed-rank test; see Methods).

Chromatic micromaps were further analyzed using a likeness ratio, defined as the fraction of each responsive cell’s nearest neighbors that also responded to uniform color. If clusters are nonrandom, then the fraction should be lower in permuted data. This prediction was confirmed for all 17 fields of view (Fig. 2e). We used the same metric to characterize the subclusters themselves, with the likeness ratio defined as the fraction of each responsive cell’s neighbors that belonged to the same color class. Responsive cell positions were shuffled only between responsive cells, and across our population, color classes formed nonrandom subclusters (Fig. 2f).

The FOV in Fig. 2b also illustrates the columnar organization of chromatic micromaps. We found that full micromaps extended to form columns in upper layer 2/3, as shown by collapsing the data collected from five different depths into a single map (Fig. 2g; see Supplementary Fig. 3a–e for individual depths). The subdomains of the cell-based maps, particularly of the most responsive cluster to the left, recapitulate those seen in the original $\Delta F$ map (Fig. 2a), although the segregation is not absolute.

We examined the relationship between chromatic micromaps and histologically defined blobs (see Methods, Supplementary Fig. 4) and found that the two were highly correlated (example in Fig. 3a; representative maps from four animals in Supplementary Fig. 5). Each significantly responsive cell was assigned a number between 0 and 1, based on the magnitude of CO staining at the cell’s location (one being the darkest pixels of each
Fig. 3 Relationship between micromaps and blobs. a Cell-based map drawn on grayscale CO histology. Hatch marks signify lower quartile of indicator brightness. Data combined from 195 and 215 μm depths. b Maps showing strongest responses (max ΔF/F) of cells in a, and minimum responses (min ΔF/F) of suppressed cells from same fields of view. Contour lines show midpoint-gray level of CO histology, outlining blobs. c Relationship between response type and CO staining, population summary. Bars represent fraction of cells at given level of CO staining (bin width = 0.05) that responded significantly to spatially uniform stimuli; either positively (top panel; n = 3365 cells) or negatively (bottom; n = 2242). Solid red lines show median CO values for each response type. Dashed red line shows median CO value for all identified cells. Scale bar, 100 μm. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

grayscale, histogram-equalized blob map). The resulting distribution of CO values showed a pronounced tendency for positively responsive cells to reside in areas of darker cytochrome staining (Fig. 3c, upper panel; n = 3365; median CO = 0.68, IQR = 0.46–0.82), and the difference in median CO between positively responsive cells and the entire population of segmented cells (n = 24,540; median CO = 0.44, IQR = 0.23–0.70) was highly significant (P < 10^-100, Wilcoxon rank-sum test).

Surprisingly, this spatial organization was critically dependent on the sign of the response. Identifying those cells that gave only significant negative responses (see Methods) shifted the pattern of activation away from strongly stained CO regions (Fig. 3b; time courses in Supplementary Fig. 2). The difference in median CO between these broadly suppressed cells (Fig. 3c, lower panel; n = 2242; median CO = 0.27, IQR = 0.14–0.52) and the entire population was also highly significant (P = 4.3 × 10^-89, Wilcoxon rank-sum test).

Responses to spatially structured chromatic stimuli. We next asked whether the envelope of activity containing micromaps and suppression was linked to the spatial uniformity of our stimuli, since blobs are more responsive on average to lower spatial frequencies than interblobs and since many color cells respond best to structured stimuli. In 12 fields of view, we ran a second set of experiments in which the same chromatic stimulus conditions were presented in the form of oriented, drifting bars (Supplementary Fig. 1b). One of the clearest effects of adding structure was an expansion of responsive clusters and an increase in peak activity (example in Fig. 4a). Neurons responding to bars were significantly clustered (Fig. 4b; P = 4.9 × 10^-4, Wilcoxon signed-rank test), and there were more responsive cells on average to bars than to uniform conditions (Fig. 4c; paired t1,241 = 3.03, P = 0.011). Many cells responded to both structured and unstructured color (1242 coactive cells; 1940 uniform-only; 3705 bars-only; out of 14,576 segmented), with coactive cells responding more robustly on average to structured color (Fig. 4d; paired t1,241 = 19.4, P = 1.2 × 10^-73). Out of 1242 coactive cells, 929 showed increased peak response to drifting bars. We observed no significant difference in the distribution of CO values of these cells compared with those that showed decreased peak response (P = 0.50, two-sample Kolmogorov–Smirnov test), and median CO values were nearly identical (0.67 and 0.69, respectively). Notably, general suppression disappeared completely with structured stimuli (1660 suppressed cells to uniform stimuli; nine to bars).

Color tuning was also highly sensitive to stimulus spatial structure. Spatially uniform conditions evoked responses from cells in chromatic micromaps (e.g., Fig. 2a; time courses in Supplementary Fig. 2) similar to those in the dorsal lateral geniculate nucleus, as can be seen across the population in the distributions of responses to each color condition (Supplementary Fig. 6a) as well as in plots of overall tuning incorporating contributions from both red/green and blue/yellow color axes (Supplementary Fig. 7c, d and g, h; see Methods). There was some inter-axis mixing consistent with previous work, particularly blue/yellow contributions to red/green cell classes. However, responses to uniform stimuli were relatively pure in the sense of...
minimal intra-axis contributions, defined as responses to conditions from the opposite or sign-reversed end of a class’s dominant axis, like green (+M, M–L, −L) responses from cells in the red (−M, L–M, +L) class (Supplementary Fig. 7).

This purity of color tuning to uniform stimuli was sharpened by surprising class-specific suppression to individual color conditions (Supplementary Fig. 6a), distinct from the general suppression to all conditions shown in Fig. 3. The distributions of responses to intra-axis (green) conditions were significantly suppressed in red cells, as were most red responses in green cells. The distributions of responses to all six red and green conditions were significantly suppressed in the blue (+S, −L–M) class. And at the extreme of inter- and intra-axis suppression, seen in the yellow (−S) cell class, the median response to every condition except −S was below baseline. All classes showed suppressed responses to the luminance condition (L+M), except for the 16 cells (1.3% of 1242 coactive cells) making up the luminance class.

With drifting bars, class-specific suppression disappeared. Median responses significantly increased to almost every condition in every cell class (Supplementary Fig. 6b), resulting in significantly more inter- and intra-axis mixing (Supplementary Figs. 7 and 8a). Responses to red/green conditions increased far more than to blue/yellow across all classes (Supplementary Fig. 6d), eroding the clear distinctions between classes seen with uniform stimuli (compare Supplementary Fig. 7d, h and l, p). Using an alternative, vector-based classification of color tuning (since the best-response classification used with uniform stimuli does not capture intra- and inter-axis mixing; see Methods and Supplementary Table 2, right columns), we found that this unbalanced gain between the two color axes caused a redistribution of color tuning to uniform stimuli were maintained with bars (Supplementary Fig. 9; single-condition maps in Supplementary Fig. 9; single-condition maps in Supplementary Fig. 7d, h and l, p). Using an alternative, vector-based classification of color tuning (since the best-response classification used with uniform stimuli does not capture intra- and inter-axis mixing; see Methods and Supplementary Table 2, right columns), we found that this unbalanced gain between the two color axes caused a redistribution of color tuning to uniform stimuli were maintained with bars (Supplementary Fig. 9; single-condition maps in Supplementary Fig. 9).
with structured stimuli (Supplementary Table 2), the latter figure more comparable to an early electrophysiological survey of color cells in well-delineated blobs3. In general, the observation that color tuning strongly depends on the spatial structure of the stimulus is broadly consistent with earlier electrophysiological studies that examined this question2,23, with exceptions31. Crucially, however, the class-specific suppression results suggest that the geniculate-like responses seen with uniform stimuli are not the result of cells receiving input from, and functioning as cortical relays of, single geniculate pathways. Instead, there is substantial convergence of red/green and blue/yellow inputs to many of these micromap cells, and intra-cortical mechanisms sensitive to the spatial content of the stimuli shape the overall color tuning.

The receptive-field structure of neurons in chromatic micromaps remains an open question. Some were almost certainly Type II cells3, which respond best to uniform color, but the increased maps remains an open question. Some were almost certainly Type 10 creating off-axis color responses. These roughly segregated cone L/M and S inputs at subdomain borders (Supplementary Fig. 10b), and intra-cortical mechanisms sensitive to the spatial content of the geniculate pathways. Instead, there is substantial convergence of responses seen with uniform stimuli are not the result of cells studies2,7,19,20,23,28 but avoided as primary stimuli for this study (Supplementary Fig. 8). These were largely overlapping distributions. Color tuning metrics between coactive cells in blobs and interblobs, or color stimuli were not limited to the lowest preferred frequencies, diverged most clearly with respect to CO staining (Fig.4f; P = 0.0028, two-sample Kolmogorov–Smirnov test), were over-lapping with no significant difference in medians (P = 0.61, Wilcoxon rank-sum test). Thus, cells that responded to uniform color stimuli were not limited to the lowest preferred frequencies, again arguing against a largely Type II population. The groups diverged most clearly with respect to CO staining (Fig. 4f; P = 4.0 × 10–13, two-sample Kolmogorov–Smirnov test; same cells as in Fig. 4e). Cells responding to both uniform and bar stimuli clustered near blobs, but bars-only cells were distributed evenly between blobs and interblobs. We observed small or no significant differences in color tuning metrics between coactive cells in blobs and interblobs, or between coactive cells and either bars-only or uniform-only cells (Supplementary Fig. 8). These were largely overlapping distributions.

In a subset of experiments, we measured peak spatial frequency of the two populations that responded to structured color (bars-only and coactive cells) using achromatic, drifting gratings (Fig. 4e). The distributions, while significantly different (n_bar = 788, n_coactive = 230; P = 0.0028, two-sample Kolmogorov–Smirnov test), were overlapping with no significant difference in medians (P = 0.61, Wilcoxon rank-sum test). Thus, cells that responded to uniform color stimuli were not limited to the lowest preferred frequencies, again arguing against a largely Type II population. The groups diverged most clearly with respect to CO staining (Fig. 4f; P = 4.0 × 10–13, two-sample Kolmogorov–Smirnov test; same cells as in Fig. 4e). Cells responding to both uniform and bar stimuli clustered near blobs, but bars-only cells were distributed evenly between blobs and interblobs. We observed small or no significant differences in color tuning metrics between coactive cells in blobs and interblobs, or between coactive cells and either bars-only or uniform-only cells (Supplementary Fig. 8). These were largely overlapping distributions.

Finally, as a bridge to previous work, we also ran cone-isolating gratings (Supplementary Fig. 1c), commonly used in color studies2,7,19,20,23,28 but avoided as primary stimuli for this study because they include both positive and negative contrasts. Although the gratings and bars had different chromatic and spatial characteristics, responses to gratings showed the same expansion and overlap of individual color subdomains and gave virtually identical maps to those obtained with sign-specific bars (Supplementary Fig. 10). There was also considerable mixing of L/M and S inputs at subdomain borders (Supplementary Fig. 10b), creating off-axis color responses. These roughly segregated cone maps are entirely consistent with recent two-photon work33 examining hue maps in V1 with drifting grating stimuli.

Taken together, the structured stimulus experiments suggest that cells within chromatic micromaps are heterogeneous in the spatial organization of their chromatic receptive fields. Given the existence of different color cell types in upper layers of V1, there is very likely richer organization within the architecture of micromaps, whose description will require detailed characterization of spatiotemporal receptive fields.

Discussion

Interpretating these results within the context of known circuitry suggests a more general hypothesis about the functional design of V1. Parvocellular neurons in the lateral geniculate nucleus, which provide the red/green input to V1, act as low-pass spatial filters for color information but are bandpass filters for achromatic or luminance stimuli21, switching between the dual roles of chromatic and high-acuity signaling based on the spatial frequency of the stimulus. Unlike blue/yellow koniocellular geniculate afferents, which target upper layers directly22 and are part of a system with lower acuity and less spatial antagonism, parvocellular signals arrive at the deeper input layer 4C5, where individual cells send axon collaterals to both blobs and interblobs in layer 2/319,34. We hypothesize that the significance of this parallel input to blobs and interblobs may lie in the way cortex processes color and form. Sculpted by full-field suppression, the representation is restricted to blobs when the dominant stimulus feature is spatially uniform color, and within these blobs are micromaps containing ensembles that represent the convergence of both red/green parvocellular and blue/yellow koniocellular signals. With spatially structured stimuli the interblobs are no longer silent, so parvo-cellular contributions to form vision are incorporated into the cortical system, as are the chromatic boundary-detection contributions of blob cells. Thus, V1 may perform as a switch, shifting the ensembles it uses to represent spatial and chromatic information across CO compartments.

Methods

Animal preparation and surgery. We used four adult macaque monkeys (Macaca mulatta, three males and one female, 8–12 years old, 9–18 kg) in this study. Each animal was initially anesthetized with ketamine HCl (10–20 mg kg–1) and xylazine (0.5 mg kg–1), tracheotomized, and placed in a standard stereotaxic apparatus. Anesthesia was maintained with sufentanil citrate (4–15 µg kg–1 hr–1, i.v.) during surgery and recording. Dexamethasone (0.5 mg kg–1, i.m.) was given every 24–48 hours to reduce brain swelling. A stainless steel chamber was implanted on the skull to support a head assembly, and a cranialotomy (~3 × 3 mm) and duralotomy were performed posterior to the lambda suture above the operculum, exposing the parietal representation of V1. Cortex was covered with agarose (2–3% in artificial cerebrospinal fluid). The animal was paralyzed (pancuronium bromide, 0.1–0.2 mg kg–1 hr–1, i.v.) after the completion of all initial surgery. Eyes were dilated with 1% atropine and cornes protected with gas-permeable contact lenses. Optimal refraction was achieved using a streak retinoscopy and external lenses. Body temperature, electroencephalography, electrocardiogram, expired CO2, and heart rate was monitored continuously to judge the animal’s health and maintain proper anesthesia levels. All surgical and experimental procedures were in accordance with National Institutes of Health and United States Department of Agriculture guidelines and were approved by the Harvard Medical Area Standing Committee on Animals.

Two-photon calcium imaging. Cortex was bulk-loaded16,17 with calcium indicator. A patch pipette (tip broken to a diameter of 2.5–4 µm) was filled with 1–2 mM Oregon Green 488 BAPTA-1 AM (OGB-1 AM) dissolved in 8% dimethylsulfoxide, 2% pluronic acid, and 40 µM Sulforhodamine 101 (all from Molecular Probes). The pipette tip was inserted to a depth of 250–300 µm below the pial surface, where dye solution was pressure-injected (5–25 psi, 1 s pulses every 10 s for 10–15 min). The injection procedure was repeated 2–3 times, with pipette insertions laterally spaced ~500 µm apart. Multiple overlapping injections resulted in labeled fields spanning >1000 µm across, labeling up to ~2000 neurons for simultaneous imaging. Since blobs are spaced ~350–550 µm apart6, these imaged areas were sufficient to monitor cells in multiple blobs, along with large expanses of interblobs.

The cranioectomy was sealed with a glass coverslip, and calcium fluorescence in neurons was monitored with a Sutter MOM two-photon microscope coupled to a Chameleon Ultra (Coherent Systems) mode-locked Ti:sapphire laser. Data acquisition and microscopy control was achieved with custom code and the MPIScope software package57. Excitation light (920 nm) was focused by a 16x water immersion objective (Nikon, 0.80 NA). The angle of view was 765 µm × 765 µm, with an average power delivered to the brain was <70 mW. Images from multiple depths, spaced ~20 µm apart, were obtained for most stimulus protocols.

Visual stimuli. All stimuli were presented on a CRT monitor at 75 Hz refresh rate, driven by a custom stimulus package based on DirectX on a PC graphics card. The additivity of the red, green, and blue guns was confirmed, and gun intensities linearized with respect to frame buffer value. Cone-specific directions were calculated from human 10° cone fundamentals36 and the measured spectral power distributions of the monitor phosphors38 obtained with a PhotoResearch PR.
650 spectrophotometer. Stimulus presentation was not synchronized with data acquisition.

The primary stimulus set consisted of spatially uniform flashes stimulating specific cone classes (ten conditions: L-M, +M, M-L, –L, –L-M, –M-L, +L, +S, –S; Supplementary Fig. 1a). Each condition was flashed at a 2.3 Hz rate for 3 s from a neutral gray background (linearized guns at half-maximal intensity; mean luminance ~37 cd m\(^{-2}\) and CIEL color coordinates x = 0.28, y = 0.31). Conditions were presented at the maximum cone contrast achievable by the instrument. Michelson contrasts \([I(\lambda)−I(\lambda_o)]/I(\lambda_o)\), where \(I\) is the intensity of the chromatic stimulus for a given cone type, and \(I_o\) is the intensity of the neutral gray), were 0.20, 0.07, 0.04, 0.08, 0.06, 0.04, 0.07, 0.33, 0.80, respectively. Conditions included two colors, a red (\(\lambda_o\)) and a green (\(\lambda\)), and matched for each cone class, represented by the given value. In a second stimulus set (Supplementary Fig. 1b), we presented the same ten color conditions as flashing bars (2 Hz temporal frequency, 20–30% spatial duty cycle, barwidth of 0.7–\(^{+}\)1.5°). A third stimulus set (Supplementary Fig. 1c) consisted of cone-specific, sinusoidal gratings (five conditions: L, M, S, L+M, M–L; drifting at 3 Hz temporal frequency, spatial period of 1.25°, with grating peak and trough modulating about neutral gray. Cone contrasts for L (M, S) were 0.15 (0.18, 0.89), and for the L±M conditions, L and M were matched at 0.08. A fourth stimulus set consisted of achromatic gratings at full contrast with logarithmically spaced spatial frequencies (0.125–29 cycles per degree, 4 Hz temporal frequency). For bars and gratings, responses to different directions of motion (up to four) were collected as separate runs. Each condition was followed by a blank of the same duration (3 s for uniform, 3–6 s for bars and gratings), and all stimuli were presented sequentially and repeated 10–20 times.

Conditions were not randomized, but the long duration of neutral gray between each condition likely mitigates adaptation concerns, particularly with respect to general map structure and how the spatial content of stimuli affects the functional organization.

Stimuli were displayed full field with the monitor –40 cm from the animal’s eyes. Stimuli covered ~23 × 30 visual degrees. We did not measure receptive-field sizes of recorded neurons, but recording locations corresponded to ~8–9 degrees eccentricity. The optical section of the mapping chamber (~2 mm diameter) was positioned so that the best response to uniform conditions simplified the interpretation of cell-based maps, both visually and in analyses like subclustering within micromaps (Fig. 2f). They were justified by comparing with an alternative, vector-based quantification of color centers, in which we plotted responses on a plane defined by orthogonal red/green and blue/yellow axes (red/green coordinate from Supplementary Equation 2, blue/yellow from Supplementary Equation 3, in Supplementary Fig. 7). Each cell’s overall color tuning in this plane was given by the angle between the positive x axis and the vector from the origin to the cell’s location in the plot. For uniform stimuli, all cells shared a single color center (Supplementary Fig. 7d, h; see also Supplementary Table 2). However, the preferred-condition method was not appropriate for classifying cells based on responses to bars, independent of uniform stimuli, since intra- and inter-axis mixing suggests a single color ambiguous assignment to a single color best response (see Supplementary Figs. 6 and 7). To independently classify cells based on structured stimuli, we used the vector analysis method described above (Supplementary Table 2).

For analyses related to CO, numerical CO values for cells were calculated by aligning each two-photon FOV with its grayscale blob map and averaging the pixel values of the map found within the contour of each segmented cell.

An index of clustering42–49 (Figs. 2d and 4b) was determined for each FOV by first calculating the median absolute pairwise distance between responsive cells across all pairs located within 200 μm (approximately the mean characteristic size of all FOV's), and then dividing this value by the Kruskal-Wallis position-shuffled controls. The clustering index for each FOV was then defined as the median of the median pairwise distances of all position-shuffled controls (the grand median) divided by the median pairwise distance of the observed data. If this ratio is 1, the observed clustering is taken to be similar to a random distribution. The more the ratio exceeds 1, the greater the clustering.

The modified kernel density estimation (Supplementary Fig. 9a, b) was done using cells in the upper quartile of response strength in each single-condition map. We used a two-dimensional Gaussian kernel with amplitude proportional to cell response:

\[
K(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi \sigma^2} e^{-\frac{(x-a)^2 + (y-b)^2}{2\sigma^2}},
\]

where \(K\) is the Gaussian kernel density estimation at location \((x, y)\); \(a\) and \(b\) are the coordinates of the cell’s position in the FOV; and \(\sigma\) is the standard deviation of the Gaussian kernel \(e = 30\) pixels. KDE maps were obtained by summing \(K\) over the population of cells in each FOV. Peaks were determined by comparing neighboring pixels, as implemented in the findpeaks2 algorithm in Matlab. Two-dimensional correlation coefficients (Supplementary Fig. 9e) were computed with the corr2 algorithm in Matlab.

In our spatial frequency experiments, peak spatial frequency was extracted from a fit of each cell’s ΔF/ΔF₀ responses using a difference of Gaussians model31 of the form:

\[
F(x, y) = F_0 + K_x e^{-\frac{(x-a)^2}{2\sigma_x}} - K_y e^{-\frac{(y-b)^2}{2\sigma_y}},
\]

where \(F_0\) is the peak ΔF/ΔF₀ response of the cell; \(x_0\) and \(y_0\) are the coordinates of the cell’s position in the FOV; and \(\sigma_x\) and \(\sigma_y\) are the standard deviations of the Gaussian kernels \(e = 30\) pixels. Discrete classes based on uniform stimuli were carried through to bar analyses, keeping comparisons within the same cell populations. Discrete classes based on the best response to uniform conditions simplified the interpretation of cell-based maps, both visually and in analyses like subclustering within micromaps (Fig. 2f).

For analyses relating to CO, numerical CO values for cells were calculated by aligning each two-photon FOV with its grayscale blob map and averaging the pixel values of the map found within the contour of each segmented cell.

An index of clustering42–49 (Figs. 2d and 4b) was determined for each FOV by first calculating the median absolute pairwise distance between responsive cells across all pairs located within 200 μm (approximately the mean characteristic size of all FOV’s), and then dividing this value by the Kruskal-Wallis position-shuffled controls. The clustering index for each FOV was then defined as the median of the median pairwise distances of all position-shuffled controls (the grand median) divided by the median pairwise distance of the observed data. If this ratio is 1, the observed clustering is taken to be similar to a random distribution. The more the ratio exceeds 1, the greater the clustering.

The modified kernel density estimation (Supplementary Fig. 9a, b) was done using cells in the upper quartile of response strength in each single-condition map. We used a two-dimensional Gaussian kernel with amplitude proportional to cell response:

\[
K(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi \sigma^2} e^{-\frac{(x-a)^2 + (y-b)^2}{2\sigma^2}},
\]

where \(K\) is the Gaussian kernel density estimation at location \((x, y)\); \(a\) and \(b\) are the coordinates of the cell’s position in the FOV; and \(\sigma\) is the standard deviation of the Gaussian kernel \(e = 30\) pixels. KDE maps were obtained by summing \(K\) over the population of cells in each FOV. Peaks were determined by comparing neighboring pixels, as implemented in the findpeaks2 algorithm in Matlab. Two-dimensional correlation coefficients (Supplementary Fig. 9e) were computed with the corr2 algorithm in Matlab.

In our spatial frequency experiments, peak spatial frequency was extracted from a fit of each cell’s ΔF/ΔF₀ responses using a difference of Gaussians model31 of the form:

\[
F(x, y) = F_0 + K_x e^{-\frac{(x-a)^2}{2\sigma_x^2}} - K_y e^{-\frac{(y-b)^2}{2\sigma_y^2}},
\]

where \(F_0\) is the peak ΔF/ΔF₀ response of the cell; \(x_0\) and \(y_0\) are the coordinates of the cell’s position in the FOV; and \(\sigma_x\) and \(\sigma_y\) are the standard deviations of the Gaussian kernels \(e = 30\) pixels. Discrete classes based on uniform stimuli were carried through to bar analyses, keeping comparisons within the same cell populations. Discrete classes based on the best response to uniform conditions simplified the interpretation of cell-based maps, both visually and in analyses like subclustering within micromaps (Fig. 2f).

For analyses relating to CO, numerical CO values for cells were calculated by aligning each two-photon FOV with its grayscale blob map and averaging the pixel values of the map found within the contour of each segmented cell.

An index of clustering42–49 (Figs. 2d and 4b) was determined for each FOV by first calculating the median absolute pairwise distance between responsive cells across all pairs located within 200 μm (approximately the mean characteristic size of all FOV’s), and then dividing this value by the Kruskal-Wallis position-shuffled controls. The clustering index for each FOV was then defined as the median of the median pairwise distances of all position-shuffled controls (the grand median) divided by the median pairwise distance of the observed data. If this ratio is 1, the observed clustering is taken to be similar to a random distribution. The more the ratio exceeds 1, the greater the clustering.

The modified kernel density estimation (Supplementary Fig. 9a, b) was done using cells in the upper quartile of response strength in each single-condition map. We used a two-dimensional Gaussian kernel with amplitude proportional to cell response:

\[
K(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi \sigma^2} e^{-\frac{(x-a)^2 + (y-b)^2}{2\sigma^2}},
\]

where \(K\) is the Gaussian kernel density estimation at location \((x, y)\); \(a\) and \(b\) are the coordinates of the cell’s position in the FOV; and \(\sigma\) is the standard deviation of the Gaussian kernel \(e = 30\) pixels. KDE maps were obtained by summing \(K\) over the population of cells in each FOV. Peaks were determined by comparing neighboring pixels, as implemented in the findpeaks2 algorithm in Matlab. Two-dimensional correlation coefficients (Supplementary Fig. 9e) were computed with the corr2 algorithm in Matlab.
Supplementary Table 1, and none were excluded except for failed labeling with calcium indicator. Representative micrographs (e.g., color clusters in Fig. 1) highlight observations consistent across all FOVs.

**Reporting summary.** Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

**Data availability**

Source data for Fig. 2c–f; 3c; 4b–f; and Supplementary Figs. 6a–c; 7a, c, e, g, i, k, m, o; 8a–c; and 9c–e are provided with this paper. Other data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request. Source data are provided with this paper.

**Code availability**

Analyses were performed using MATLAB and ImageJ. Code available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.
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