Diversity and distribution of Kinorhyncha in abyssal polymetallic nodule areas of the Clarion-Clipperton Fracture Zone and the Peru Basin, East Pacific Ocean, with the description of three new species and notes on their intraspecific variation
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Abstract
Polymetallic nodule fields represent a large reservoir of undiscovered biodiversity that becomes particularly evident for meiofaunal organisms, the smallest-sized faunal group. Knowledge gaps are especially noticeable for the generally low-density metazoan groups, such as Kinorhyncha, the so-called mud dragons. Using both morphological and genetic (metabarcoding) approaches, we provide a general overview and comparison of the diversity of kinorhynchs collected during nine sampling campaigns (2016–2019) that targeted abyssal environments in several contract areas for exploration in the Clarion-Clipperton Fracture Zone (CCZ) and in the Peru Basin. Our findings from morphological analyses reveal a highly diverse mud dragon community, with 16 species present in the CCZ. Of these, 12 appear in the German contract area, including three new species described in the present contribution: Echinoderes delaordeni sp. nov., Echinoderes sanctorum sp. nov., and Echinoderes zeppilliae sp. nov. Furthermore, metabarcoding data of the kinorhynch community gathered from the area is provided, together with the geographic distribution of the known species stated per contractor area, including new records and still undescribed species. Most of the identified species in the CCZ seem to have a wide distribution, with Echinoderes sp. 4 being the most common and abundant species with a distribution spreading across the CCZ and also present in the Peru Basin. Metabarcoding analyses targeting the V1V2 hypervariable region of the 18S gene from the 253 stations of the CCZ revealed 14 amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) belonging to Kinorhyncha with grade values higher than 98% detected at 15 different stations within six different areas along the CCZ. Concurring with morphology, the family Echinoderidae was the most diverse as the genus Cephalorhyncha had five ASVs, followed by Echinoderes with four ASVs. Semnoderes, however, showed the widest spread ASV, being detected at six stations. In the CCZ, the metabarcoding data showed there were no shared ASVs between the CCZ areas as well as the highest number of uniques, which was 11. Our morphological study showed a low number of specimens inhabiting nodules (surface/crevices), suggesting that specific kinorhynch species do not typically inhabit the nodules in addition to the surrounding sediment.
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Introduction

Large, contiguous areas of the deep seafloor that are covered with polymetallic nodules are currently in the spotlight due to their potential strategic commercial value, as these nodules (potato-sized concretions) are formed by layers of iron and manganese hydroxides that contain economically interesting metals such as Cu, Ni, and Co (Halbach et al. 1975; Halbach and Fellerer 1980). Even though it is expected that nodules will be mined in the future in order to face the growing demand for these valuable metals (Clark et al. 2013), the biological diversity of such nodule fields is still poorly known, even for the mega- and macrofaunal realms (Amon et al. 2016; Glover et al. 2002; Jannesen et al. 2015; Paterson et al. 1998; Smith et al. 2008a). The International Seabed Authority (ISA), which is in charge of regulating the exploration and exploitation activities in international waters, has developed a set of exploration regulations that require identification of all faunal components from nodule areas before exploitation can proceed. The development of a comprehensive environmental baseline is crucial in order to accurately predict and assess environmental impacts and to establish mining regulations that guarantee the effective preservation of the nodule field fauna (ISA 2013).

Regarding meiofauna, the smallest-sized benthic eukaryotic component (32–1000 μm), most studies have focused on the dominant groups, such as Nematoda and Copepoda (Markhaseva et al. 2017; Miljutin et al. 2011; Singh et al. 2016), whereas the groups of lower densities, the so-called “minor phyla,” are commonly neglected. Kinorhyncha, a phylum of holobenthic, free-living, exclusively meiofaunal, marine ecdysozoans ranging in size from 100 to 1000 μm, also known as mud dragons, belongs to this pool of less common meiofaunal groups. Despite kinorhynchs having a world-wide distribution, from polar to equatorial latitudes and from the intertidal to the deep-sea floors, most described species have been recorded from relatively shallow waters while the deep-sea kinorhynch fauna is still largely unexplored (Cepeda et al. 2021; Neuhaus 2013, 2021; Yamasaki 2021). For deep-sea polynemal nodule areas of the Clarion-Clipperton Fracture Zone (CCZ), in the north-eastern Pacific Ocean, one of the nodule fields of greatest commercial interest at an average depth of ca. 4200 m, Sánchez et al. (2019) described three new cyclorhagid species belonging to three different genera: Cephalorhyncha polunga Sánchez, Pardos & Martínez Arbizu, 2019, Echinoderes shenlong Sánchez, Pardos & Martínez Arbizu, 2019, and Meristoderes taro Sánchez, Pardos & Martínez Arbizu, 2019 (Sánchez et al. 2019). Moreover, the above-mentioned contribution also reported nine still undescribed species and the presence of five additional species (Sánchez et al. 2019).

The presence of deep-sea kinorhynchs has been reported in ecological studies, but identifications were generally limited to the phylum level (Carman et al. 2004; Neuhaus 2013; Shimanaga et al. 2000). More recently, research including identifications to the species level have increased, and up to 47 deep-sea species were described or reported in the last few years, pointing to a high deep-sea kinorhynch diversity (Adrianov and Maiorova 2015, 2016, 2018a, 2018b, 2019; Álvarez-Castillo et al. 2020; Cepeda et al. 2019a; Grzelak and Sørensen 2018, 2019; Grzelak et al. 2021; Neuhaus and Blasche 2006; Neuhaus and Sørensen 2013; Sánchez et al. 2014a, 2014b, 2019; Sørensen 2008; Sørensen et al. 2018, 2019; Sørensen and Grzelak 2018; Yamasaki et al. 2018a, 2018b, 2018c, 2019).

The aim of the present study is to provide a general overview of the kinorhynch biodiversity in the exploration contract areas of the CCZ, with a strong focus on the German contract area, as well as in the Peru Basin. Furthermore, three new Echinoderes species from the CCZ are described. A metabarcoding approach has been applied in addition to morphological examinations, to analyze the diversity and composition of CCZ meiofaunal communities (no metabarcoding samples were obtained in the Peru Basin).

Material and methods

Study areas

Meiofaunal communities were collected during ten research expeditions carried out in the CCZ and the Peru Basin (Fig. 1). In the BGR contract area (German Federal Institute for Geoscience and Natural Resources), kinorhynchs were obtained from 89 multicorer deployments and 205 cores, collected during eight cruises from 2010 to 2019: MANGAN 2010 (SO205; R/V Sonne) (Rühlemann et al. 2010), MANGAN 2013 (KM13; R/V Kilo Moana) (Rühlemann et al. 2014), MANGAN 2014 (KM14-08; R/V Kilo Moana) (Rühlemann et al. 2015), MANGAN 2016 (KM16; R/V Kilo Moana) (Rühlemann et al. 2017), FLUM 2015 (SO240; R/V Sonne) (Kuhn 2015), JPIO/CCZ (Joint Programming Initiative Healthy and Productive Seas and Oceans) (SO239; R/V Sonne) (Martinez Arbizu and Haeckel 2015), MANGAN 2018 (SO262; R/V Sonne) (Rühlemann et al. 2019), and MiningImpact 2 (SO268-2; R/V Sonne) (Haeckel and Linke 2021).

Kinorhynchs were also collected from the UKSR I contract area (UK Seabed Resources Ltd), which was sampled during the ABYSSLINE II cruise (TN319; R/V Thomas G. Thompson) (Smith and Shipboard Scientific Party 2013). Similarly, kinorhynchs were found in samples obtained from the GSR (Belgium, Global Sea Minerals Resources NV), Ifremer (France, Institut Français de Recherche pour L'exploitation De La Mer), and IOM (Inter Ocean Metal) contract areas as well as the ISA’s APEI3 (Area of Particular
Environmental Interest number 3), which were sampled during the JPIO/CCZ (SO239; R/V Sonne). Finally, kinorhynchs were also collected in the Peru Basin during the JPIO/DISCOl cruise (SO242-1) (R/V Sonne), (Greinert 2015) specifically at the DEA Reference Area (DISCOL Experimental Area). Detailed information from each sampling site and cruise is provided in Online supplements and Table 1.

In order to analyze the kinorhynch community composition across different areas of the CCZ, six working areas from different contract areas were considered: BGR Reference Area, GSR area, Ifremer area, IOM area, UKSR I area, and Peru Basin area. Specific areas sampled for metabarcoding studies and kinorhynch ASVs detection are marked with white, gray, and black triangles in the maps. The water depth under the medium sea level is shown in the black/white gradient bar. Map created using the GEBCO_2014 Grid, version 20150318.
and BGR Trial area, Impact Reference Area 1 (IRA1) and IRA Trial area, GSR, and IOM. These areas show substantial differences in environmental characteristics such as sediment compositions, level of pigment and organic compounds, as well as nodule densities (e.g., Hauquier et al. 2019).

**Sampling, processing, and kinorhynch identification**

Samples were taken at abyssal depths using a multicorer with cores 9.4 cm of inner diameter, sampling a total surface area of 69 cm² by each core. See Online supplements for detailed information on each sampling site and the species found at each site. The upper 5 cm of the sediment were fixed on board with 4% buffered formalin for morphological analyses and DESS (Yoder et al. 2006) for genetic investigations. The colloidal silica polymer Levasil protocol was applied to extract metazoan meiofauna from the sediment (Neuhaus and Blasche 2006). Then, meiofauna was sorted and identified to the main taxonomic groups. When nodules were observed within cores, these were carefully removed on board and washed to obtain all sediment from their surfaces and crevices. This sediment was also fixed in 4% buffered formalin and meiofauna was subsequently sorted and identified as aforementioned. After sorting, a total of 779 kinorhynch specimens were picked and preserved in 70% or 96% ethanol.

Adult specimens were identified to species level using light microscopy (LM) or scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Specimens for SEM studies were dehydrated through a graded series of ethanol, and chemically dried using hexamethyldisilazane (HMDS) through a HMDS-ethanol series. Subsequently, specimens were examined with a JEOL Ltd. JSM-6335F field mission scanning electron microscope after mounted on SEM stubs and covered with gold (ICTS Centro Nacional de Microscopía Electrónica, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Spain). Type and additional material of the new species were deposited at the Museum für Naturkunde Berlin (MfN), Germany (Table 2).

For DNA analyses, the meiofauna from 253 cores deriving from eight cruises between 2010 and 2019, including MANGAN 2010, MANGAN 2013, MANGAN 2014, JPIO/CCZ, MANGAN 2016, MANGAN 2018, MiningImpact 2, and the ABYSSLINE II cruises, were extracted using glass sterile filters (2.7 μm particle size). Samples from MANGAN 2013 are only included in the metabarcoding analyses but not for analyses of morphology, whereas no metabarcoding samples were obtained during the JPIO/DISCOL cruise in the Peru Basin. The filters (containing meiofauna) were dried using a speed-vacuum system for 1 h at 45 °C and stored in a sterile 1.5 Eppendorf mini-tube for DNA extraction.

**DNA extraction, amplification, and high-throughput sequencing (HTS)**

Genomic DNA from meiofauna communities was extracted using E.Z.N.A.® Mollusc DNA Kit (Omega BIO-TEK) following its protocol and the DNA was eluted in 100 μl of nuclease-free water for each library (sample). In order to amplify the V1 & V2 hypervariable regions of the 18S rRNA and

---

**Table 1** Information on the cruises carried out in the CCZ and the Peru Basin in which samples were obtained for morphological studies

| Cruise No. | Name of Cruise/Project | Ship | Year | Locality |
|------------|------------------------|------|------|----------|
| SO205      | MANGAN 2010            | RV SONNE | 2010 | Equatorial NE Pacific Ocean, CCZ (Clarion-Clipperton Fracture-Zone), German Licence Area |
| KM14-08    | MANGAN 2014            | RV KILO MOANA | 2014 | Pacific Ocean, CCZ (Clarion-Clipperton Fracture-Zone), German Licence Area |
| SO240      | FLUM 2015              | RV SONNE | 2015 | Pacific Ocean, CCZ (Clarion-Clipperton Fracture-Zone), German Licence Area |
| SO239      | JPIO/CCZ               | RV SONNE | 2015 | Pacific Ocean, CCZ (Clarion-Clipperton Fracture-Zone), German, Belgian & French Claim |
| TN319      | ABYSSLINE II           | RV THOMAS G. THOMPSON | 2015 | Pacific Ocean, CCZ (Clarion-Clipperton Fracture-Zone), UK & Singapore Licence Area |
| SO242-1    | JPIO/DISCOL            | RV SONNE | 2015 | Pacific Ocean, CCZ (Clarion-Clipperton Fracture-Zone), DEA, Reference Area |
| KM16       | MANGAN 2016            | RV KILO MOANA | 2016 | Pacific Ocean, CCZ (Clarion-Clipperton Fracture-Zone), German Licence Area |
| SO262      | MANGAN 2018            | RV SONNE | 2018 | Equatorial NE Pacific Ocean, CCZ (Clarion-Clipperton Fracture-Zone), German Licence Area |
| SO268-2    | MiningImpact 2         | RV SONNE | 2019 | Equatorial NE Pacific Ocean, CCZ (Clarion-Clipperton Fracture-Zone), German & Belgian Licence Area |
| Project/cruise | Contract area | Station/Core | Collecting date | Latitude, Longitude | Depth (m) | Mounting | Type status, number and catalogue number for specimens of the new species |
|----------------|---------------|--------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------|----------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Mangan 2010 SO205 BGR | TV-MUC #13 | 28/4/10 | 11°19.16’ N, 119°18.433' W | 4325 | LM | Echinoderes delaordeni sp. nov. ZMB 12427 |
| Mangan 2010 SO205 BGR | TV-MUC #06 | 26/4/10 | 11°19.254' N, 119°17.237' W | 4386 | LM | paratype Echinoderes sanctorum sp. nov. ZMB 12439 |
| Mangan 2010 SO205 BGR | TV-MUC #25 | 2/5/10 | 11°36.401’ N, 116°09.759' W | 4195 | LM | paratype Echinoderes sanctorum sp. nov. ZMB 12440 |
| Mangan 2010 SO205 BGR | TV-MUC #51 | 8/5/10 | 11°57.265’ N, 116°59.545' W | 4118 | LM | paratype Echinoderes sanctorum sp. nov. ZMB 12448 |
| Mangan 2010 SO205 BGR | TV-MUC #64 | 16/5/10 | 13°07.659’ N, 118°05.378' W | 4273 | LM | paratype Echinoderes sanctorum sp. nov. ZMB 12449 |
| Mangan 2014 KM14-08 BGR | MUC #93 | 23/5/14 | 11°16.217’ N, 117°14.315' W | 4189 | LM | Echinoderes delaordeni sp. nov. ZMB 12428 |
| Flum 2015 SO240 BGR | MUC #61 | 25/5/15 | 12°56.109’ N, 119°08.871' W | 4293 | SEM | holotype Echinoderes sanctorum sp. nov. ZMB 12438 |
| Flum 2015 SO240 BGR | MUC #74 | 29/5/15 | 12°55.601’ N, 119°08.83' W | 4295 | LM | Echinoderes sanctorum sp. nov. ZMB 12446 |
| JPIO/CZ SO239 BGR | MUC #03 | 24/3/15 | 11°51.09’ N, 117°03.05’ W | 4126 | LM | paratype Echinoderes delaordeni sp. nov. ZMB 12419 |
| JPIO/CZ SO239 GSR | MUC #146 | 2015 | 13°50.74’ N, 123°15.10’ W | 4511 | SEM | paratype Echinoderes sanctorum sp. nov. ZMB 12442 |
| JPIO/CZ SO239 IFREMER | MUC #154 | 2015 | 14°03.00’ N, 130°08.32’ W | 4890 | LM | Echinoderes sanctorum sp. nov. ZMB 12433 |
| Abyssline II TN319 UKSLR I | MC06 | 2015 | 12°34.743’ N, 116°41.211’ W | 4234 | LM | Echinoderes sanctorum sp. nov. ZMB 12432 |
| Abyssline II TN319 UKSLR I | MC16 | 2015 | 12°25.196’ N, 116°37.474’ W | 4137 | SEM | Echinoderes sanctorum sp. nov. ZMB 12437 |
| JPIO/DISCOL SO242-1 DEA, Ref. Site | 44-MUC-10 | 2015 | 07°07.51’ S, 088°27.00’ W | 4160 | LM | paratype Echinoderes delaordeni sp. nov. ZMB 12423 |
| JPIO/DISCOL SO242-1 DEA, Ref. Site | 61-MUC-13 | 2015 | 07°04.28’ S, 088°27.79’ W | 4151 | LM | paratype Echinoderes delaordeni sp. nov. ZMB 12424 |
| JPIO/DISCOL SO242-1 DEA, Ref. Site | 64-MUC-15 | 7/8/15 | 07°04.42’ S, 088°27.85’ W | 4153 | LM | paratype Echinoderes delaordeni sp. nov. ZMB 12425 |
| JPIO/DISCOL SO242-1 DEA, Ref. Site | 90-MUC-23 | 2015 | 07°04.56’ S, 088°31.57’ W | 4125 | LM | paratype Echinoderes delaordeni sp. nov. ZMB 12426 |
| JPIO/DISCOL SO242-1 DEA, Ref. Site | 109-MUC-27 | 18/8/15 | 07°04.49’ S, 088°26.84’ W | 4161 | LM | Echinoderes sanctorum sp. nov. ZMB 12445 |
| Mangan 2016 KM16 BGR | MUC #44 | 3/5/16 | 11°51.284’ N, 117°02.365’ W | 4135 | LM | Echinoderes sanctorum sp. nov. ZMB 12421 |
| Mangan 2016 KM16 BGR | MUC #45 | 3/5/16 | 11°49.687’ N, 117°00.813’ W | 4145 | SEM | holotype Echinoderes sanctorum sp. nov. ZMB 12447 |
| Mangan 2016 KM16 BGR | MUC #49 | 4/5/16 | 11°50.513’ N, 117°00.523’ W | 4121 | SEM | Echinoderes sanctorum sp. nov. ZMB 12435 |
| Mangan 2016 KM16 BGR | MUC #89 | 8/5/16 | 11°47.654’ N, 117°31.638’ W | 4356 | LM | Echinoderes sanctorum sp. nov. ZMB 12450 |
| Mangan 2016 KM16 BGR | MUC #97 | 10/5/16 | 11°54.017’ N, 117°24.822’ W | 4139 | SEM | Echinoderes sanctorum sp. nov. ZMB 12436 |
| Mangan 2016 KM16 BGR | MUC #104 | 11/5/16 | 11°53.795’ N, 117°27.905’ W | 4202 | LM | Echinoderes sanctorum sp. nov. ZMB 12422 |
| Mangan 2018 SO262 BGR | MUC #21 | 2018 | 11°55.692’ N, 117°00.954’ W | 4090 | LM | Echinoderes sanctorum sp. nov. ZMB 12444 |
| Mangan 2018 SO262 BGR | MUC #33 | 2018 | 11°55.951’ N, 117°00.823’ W | 4092 | LM | paratype Echinoderes sanctorum sp. nov. ZMB 12451 |
| Mangan 2018 SO262 BGR | MUC #66 | 2018 | 11°51.468’ N, 117°01.351’ W | 4127 | LM | paratype Echinoderes sanctorum sp. nov. ZMB 12431 |
attach the Illumina Unique Dual Nextera XT Indexes and compatible adapters, two PCRrs were performed for each library. First PCR was conducted using SSU universal primers F04 and R22 (Blaxter et al. 1998) tagged by the first part of the Illumina adapters in total volume of 20 μl containing 10 μl of Phusion Green Hot Start II High-Fidelity PCR Master Mix (ThermoFisher), 0.5 μl of each primer (10 pmol/μl), 2 μl of genomic DNA, and 7 μl of molecular grade water. Cycler settings for the first PCR encompassed an initial denaturation step at 98 °C for 2 min, 25 cycles of denaturation at 98 °C for 15 s, annealing at 60 °C for 30 s, elongation at 72 °C for 30 s, and a final elongation at 72 °C for 2 min. A total of 5 μl of the first PCR products were purified using 2 μl of ExoSAP-IT PCR Product Cleanup Reagent (Beckman Coulter) in the amount of 1.5% agarose gel containing 1% GelRed to check the amplification and target fragment length. Considering the concentration of target products, 2 out of 10 PCR reactions failed to obtain enough DNA, and 7 of the remaining 8 produced the expected fragment length. The cycler setting consisted of an initial denaturation step at 98 °C for 2 min, 15 cycles of denaturation at 98 °C for 15 s, annealing at 72 °C for 35 s, and a final elongation at 72 °C for 2 min. The PCR products were run on a 1.5% agarose gel containing 1% GelRed to check the amplification and target fragment length. Considering the concentration of target products, 2 out of 10 μl of the tagged V1V2 amplicons were pooled and purified using AMPure XP Beads for PCR Purification (Beckman Coulter) in the amount of 60% of the total volume of the pooled libraries. The purified library was denatured and 15% PhiX control was added before performing test runs using MiSeq Reagent Nanokit v2 (150-cycles paired end), and final sequencing runs by MiSeq Reagent kit v3 (300-cycles paired end). In total, the prepared libraries of 253 stations were sequenced in 3 independent runs at the DZMB Metabarcoding lab in Wilhelmshaven (Germany) on an Illumina MiSeq platform.

Data processing and bioinformatics

The MiSeq Illumina next-generation sequencing (NGS) reads from each sequencing run were trimmed by both primers using BBMap tools (https://jgi.doe.gov/data-and-tools/software-tools/bbtools/bb-tools-user-guide/installation-guide/) applying kmer size of 15, number of kmer hits of 1, and allowed hamming distance of 1 (the script applied is “trimadaptV1V2.sh”). The DADA2 pipeline (Callahan et al. 2016), a Divisive Amplicon Ae-noising Algorithm for correcting Illumina errors, was used for denoising and filtering the reads by quality scores, detecting chimeras and de-replicating to high resolution amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) (applied commands are scripted as “SGN_dada2_batch_V1V2.r”). The ASVs from the three runs were merged using the script “mergingASVs.sh” and further processed by a costume script (SGN Metabarcoding pipeline so called “SGNpipeline_dada2_V1V2_march2020.sh”) where all sequences shorter than 320 bp were eliminated. For taxonomic assignments, each ASV was blasted against the NCBI database (National Center for Biotechnology Information), and the 10 best blast hits were retrieved and pooled with the 18S reference library of the DNA barcode archive of the DZMB institute (German Center for Marine Biodiversity Research). This merged dataset was used as final blast database to assign the best and closest taxonomical assignment to each ASV. To evaluate the GenBank taxonomic assignments, a “Grade value” was designed and calculated as function of the blast query coverage multiple by 2, plus the percentage identity of each ASV divided into 3 ((qcov *2 + pident)/3), to have both parameters into account as a single value for each assignment. The ASV table containing taxonomical assignments, percentage identity, query coverage, GenBank accession number, e value, length of the read, and number of reads per library was analyzed using the “dada2pp” function (https://github.com/pmartinezzarbiza/dada2pp) and the R package vegan v. 2.2-1 (Oksanen et al. 2015) to eliminate contaminations and to extract the kinorhynch ASVs from the final meiofauna community table. In addition, the kinorhynch ASVs were processed to produce graphics using R packages following the “postprocessing.r” script. All implemented scripts and kinorhynchs metabarcoding data used in this study are provided as Online supplements. Sequences from the kinorhynch ASVs were aligned using MAFFT v7.017 (Katoh et al. 2002) and a Bayesian tree was calculated from the alignment implying 5 million MCMC generations using BEAUti & The BEAST package (https://beast.community/beauti).

Statistical analysis

Differences in kinorhynch community structure between the contract areas of the CCZ and between the whole CCZ and the Peru Basin were addressed in terms of specimen, juvenile, and adult densities (number of individuals per 10 cm²) using Kruskal–Wallis analysis (KW) available in the R v.6.3.1 software. Diversity and species composition comparisons were not analyzed and compared due to the strong sampling bias of our data in favor of the BGR contract area, which was clearly observed in the sample-based rarefaction curves for each area (R package vegan v. 2.2-1, Oksanen et al. 2015) (Online supplement). Tests of normality and homoscedasticity were also initially performed using the “shapiro.test,” “ad.test,” and “fligner.test” functions included in the R package nortest and in the R Base Package (R Core Team 2020). Morphological diversities were measured using the Shannon–Wiener diversity (H′, log-base e) and the Pielou (J) indexes for evenness, using the “diversity” function included in the R
package vegan v. 2.2-1 (Oksanen et al. 2015). Pearson rank correlations were calculated to study the relationship among the kinorhynch species and between gender and juvenile density. Correlation analyses were implemented using the “cor” and “rcorr” functions included in the R package Hmisc v. 4.6-0 (Harrell Jr 2021).

Results

Taxonomic account

Class Cyclorhagida (Zelinka, 1896) Sørensen et al. 2015
Family Echinoderidae Carus, 1885
Genus Echinoderes Claparède, 1863

Echinoderes delaordeni sp. nov.
http://zoobank.org/2FDFF50-DC80-495F-8E20-66BEE7018E3A (Figs. 2, 3, 4, and 5 and Tables 2, 3, and 4)

Synonymy Echinoderes sp. 1 (in Sánchez et al. 2019).

Material examined Holotype, adult female, collected on 29 May 2015 at the Clarion-Clipperton Fracture Zone (central-eastern Pacific Ocean), BGR license area: 12°55.601′ N 119°08.83′ W (Table 2) at 4295 m depth; mounted in Fluoromount G®, deposited at MfN under accession number: ZMB 12419. Paratypes, one adult male and six adult females, all of them collected at different stations than the holotype (Table 2), mounted in Fluoromount G® and stored at MfN under accession numbers: ZMB 12420-12426. Six additional specimens, one male and five females, mounted for LM deposited at the MfN as additional material (ZMB 12427-12432). Five additional specimens, two males and three females, mounted for SEM deposited at the MfN as additional material (ZMB 12433-12437).

Etymology The species name is dedicated to Mr. Javier de la Orden, husband of the first author, for many years of understanding, patience, and wholehearted support.

Diagnosis Echinoderes with spines in middorsal position on segments 4–8 and lateroventral position on segments 6–9, increasing in length posteriorly; tubes in ventrolateral position on segment 2, in lateroventral position on segment 5 and in laterodorsal position on segment 10; type 2 glandular cell outlets in subdorsal position on segments 2 and 4–9, bilateral symmetry not present in all referred segments; tergal extensions short, distally rounded.

Description The description refers to the most common pattern; deviations from it are mentioned otherwise. See Table 3 for measurements and dimensions, and Table 4 for summary of spine, tube, nephridiopore, glandular cell outlet, female papilla, and sensory spot locations.

Head with retractable mouth cone and introvert (Fig. 3a–c). Only one of the examined specimens had the head everted, but oral styles and scalids tend to collapse when mounted for LM, hence only some details on the morphology and arrangement of these structures are provided. Inner oral styles of ring 01 of the mouth cone composed of two jointed subunits: a narrowed, rectangular, superficially fringed basis and an elongated, hook-like distal structure. External part of mouth cone (ring 00) with nine outer oral styles, alternating in size between slightly longer and slightly shorter ones: five long styles, anterior to the odd-numbered introvert sectors; four slightly shorter ones, anterior to the even-numbered ones, except in the middorsal section 6 where a style is missing. Outer oral styles also with two jointed subunits: a widened, rectangular, apparently smooth basis and a syringe-like, poorly sclerotized, distal end-piece.

Ring 01 of introvert with ten primary spinoscalids, with a long basal sheath and a distal end-piece (Fig. 3c). Remaining rings with regular-sized scalids (Fig. 3c), similar to the primary spinoscalids but smaller and more sclerotized.

Neck with sixteen elongated, trapezoidal placids, wider at base, approximately three to four times longer than wide, with a distinct joint between the neck and segment 1 (Fig. 3a, b, d); middorsal one widest (ca. 17–18 μm wide at base) (Fig. 3b), remaining ones narrower ((ca. 8–10 μm wide at base) (Fig. 3a, b, d). Placids closely situated at base, distally separated by cuticular folds (Fig. 3a, b, d). A ring of six short, hairy trichoscalids associated with the placids of the neck present, attached to small, three-lobed trichoscalid plates (Fig. 3c).

Trunk with eleven segments (Figs. 2a–d; 3a, b; and 5a). Segments 1–2 as closed cuticular rings, remaining ones with one tegeral and two sternal cuticular plates (Figs. 2a–d and 3a, b, e). Tergal plates of anterior segments slightly bulging middorsally, posterior ones more flattened, giving the animal a tapering outline in lateral view (Fig. 5a). Sternal plates reach their maximum width at segment 7, progressively tapering toward the last trunk segments; sternal plates relatively narrow compared to the total trunk length, giving the animal a slender appearance. Cuticular hairs acicular, long, bracteate, emerging from rounded to oval-shaped perforation sites (Figs. 3e; 4a, b; and 5a–h). Cuticular hairs distributed in 8–9 straight, transverse rows on segment 1; in 6–8 straight, transverse rows from paradoral to ventrolateral region on segments 2–10, becoming wavy at the ventrolateral position; absent in laterodorsal position on segments 3–10 and on segment 11 (Fig. 2a–d).

Primary pectinate fringes straight, serrated, showing a characteristic fringe alternating a longer tip and a series of 2-3 shorter tips, giving it a frayed appearance (Fig. 5b–d). Secondary pectinate fringes absent.

Segment 1 without spines and tubes (Figs. 2a, b; 3d, e; and 5a, c). Unpaired type 1 glandular cell outlet in middorsal
position, and paired type 1 glandular cell outlets in lateroventral position (Figs. 2a, b and 3d). Paired sensory spots in subdorsal, laterodorsal and sublateral positions, located at the anterior half of the segment, the latter pair situated...
next to the lateroventral type 1 glandular cell outlets (Figs. 2a, b and 3d). Sensory spots on this and following segments are extremely small, difficult to visualize under LM, with a single ring of micropapillae surrounding a tiny pore (Fig. 5b).

Segment 2 with tubes in ventrolateral position (Figs. 2a and 3e). Unpaired type 1 glandular cell outlet in middorsal position and paired ones in ventromedial position (Fig. 2a, b). Pair type 2 glandular cell outlets in subdorsal position, with a large and well-developed opening (Figs. 2b; 3a, d; and 5a–c). Two pairs of sensory spots in laterodorsal position, lateral to the subdorsal type 2 glandular cell outlets (one specimen with one sensory spot on either side of the glandular outlet), and one pair in ventromedial position (Figs. 2a, b; 3d, e; and 5b).

Segment 3 without spines and tubes (Figs. 2a, b and 5a–c). Unpaired type 1 glandular cell outlet in middorsal position, and paired ones in ventromedial position (Fig. 2a, b). Two specimens apparently with a subdorsal pair of sensory spots, and closed circles indicate type 1 glandular cell outlets; digits after abbreviations indicate the corresponding segment. Scale bars: a–b 50 μm; c–e 25 μm. dpl dorsal placid, gco2 type 2 glandular cell outlet, sc regular-sized scalid, tp trichoscalid plate, vlt ventrolateral tube

Segment 4 with a middorsal spine not exceeding half of the plate of the following segment (Figs. 2b; 4a; and 5c). Paired type 1 glandular cell outlets in laterodorsal and ventromedial positions (Fig. 2a, b). Paired type 2 glandular cell outlets in subdorsal position, with a large and well-developed opening (Figs. 2b, 3a, 4a, and 5c); deviations from the bilateral pattern were observed in some specimens, with an unpaired type 2 glandular cell outlet situated on a single side of the animal (from segment 4 to 7), on the right side in nine specimens (including the holotype) and on the left side in two specimens (Figs. 3a and 4a). Sensory spots only undoubtly observed in midlateral position in four specimens (Figs. 2b and 5b); and unpaired paradorsal sensory spots in twelve specimens. Midlateral sensory spots especially small, next to the free flap region.

Segment 5 with a middorsal spine, not exceeding half of the plate of the following segment, and paired tubes in
lateroventral position (Figs. 2a, b; 3a; 4a, b; and 5c). Paired type 1 glandular cell outlets in subdorsal and ventromedial positions (Figs. 2a, b and 4b). Paired type 2 glandular cell outlets in subdorsal position (Figs. 2b; 3a; 4a; and 5a, c); although an unpaired outlet was observed on the right side in three specimens and on the left side in eleven specimens (including the holotype) (Figs. 3a and 4a). Paired sensory spots in subdorsal position, located anterior to the type 2 glandular cell outlets (Figs. 2b and 4a); in laterodorsal position, lateral to the hairless areas (fourteen specimens, two males and twelve females). Laterodorsal sensory spots on this and on following segments especially small and difficult to observe, next to the free flap region. Unpaired paradorsal sensory spots seem to be present in at least nine specimens. Deviations from the common pattern of sensory spot distribution were also observed in the ventral side, with ventromedial sensory spots present in one female.

Segment 7 with a middorsal spine, reaching half of the tergal plate of the following segment, and paired spines in lateroventral position (Figs. 2a, b; 4a, b; and 5a, d, f). Paired
Fig. 5 SEM micrographs of Echinoderes delaordeni sp. nov. a, g–h Female, additional material; b, f female, additional material; c–e male, additional material. a Dorsolateral overview; b right half view of segments 2–4; c left half view of segments 1–5; d left half view of segments 7–8; e left half view of segments 8–11; f right half view of segments 7–9; g detail of the type 2 glandular cell outlet of segment 9; h detail of the nephridiopore, left side of segment 9. Dashed circles indicate sensory spots. Closed circle indicates the nephridiopore. Digits after abbreviations indicate the corresponding segment. Scale bar: a 30 μm; b, f, 10 μm; c–e 20 μm; g–h 5 μm. gco2 type 2 glandular cell outlet, ldt laterodorsal tube, ltas lateral terminal accessory spine, lts lateral terminal spine, lvs lateral ventral spine, mds middorsal spine, ppf primary pectinate fringe, ps penile spine
Table 3 Measurements (μm) and proportions of *Echinoderes delaordeni* sp. nov. Numbers in the first column indicate the corresponding segment. *LD* laterodorsal tube, *LTAS* lateral terminal accessory spine, *LTS* lateral terminal spine, *LV* lateroventral spine/tube, *MD* middorsal spine, *MSW* maximum sternal width, measured on segment 7, *n* number of measured specimens, *S* segment length, *SD* standard deviation, *SW* standard width, measured on segment 10; *TL* total trunk length, *VL* ventrolateral tube.

|                | Holotype | n | Mean ♂ | Mean | Range | SD  |
|----------------|----------|---|--------|------|-------|-----|
| TL             | 331      | ♂ | 313    | 332  | 330   | 302–371 | 18.84 |
| MSW 7          | 92       | ♂ | 81     | 85   | 81–93 | 4.28  |
| MSW/TL         | 28%      | ♂ | 26%    | 26%  | 23–28%| 1.8   |
| SW10           | 71       | ♂ | 65     | 67   | 63–72 | 3.13  |
| S1             | 41       | ♂ | 38     | 36   | 30–41 | 3.99  |
| S2             | 26       | ♂ | 30     | 29   | 26–34 | 2.82  |
| S3             | 34       | ♂ | 30     | 32   | 24–38 | 4.48  |
| S4             | 38       | ♂ | 33     | 36   | 32–38 | 2.26  |
| S5             | 41       | ♂ | 34     | 38   | 34–44 | 3.64  |
| S6             | 46       | ♂ | 39     | 45   | 39–49 | 3.64  |
| S7             | 47       | ♂ | 41     | 46   | 41–51 | 3.12  |
| S8             | 54       | ♂ | 47     | 54   | 47–61 | 4.20  |
| S9             | 57       | ♂ | 55     | 57   | 54–62 | 2.84  |
| S10            | 49       | ♂ | 47     | 48   | 42–55 | 4.13  |
| S11            | 37       | ♂ | 27     | 29   | 26–37 | 3.97  |
| MD4            | 19       | ♂ | 25     | 21   | 17–25 | 3.57  |
| MD5            | 19       | ♂ | 30     | 24   | 20–30 | 4.53  |
| MD6            | 19       | ♂ | 32     | 27   | 19–33 | 5.11  |
| MD7            | 25       | ♂ | 37     | 31   | 23–41 | 7.75  |
| MD8            | 28       | ♂ | 44     | 36   | 28–44 | 6.51  |
| VL2            | –        | –  | 24     | 24   | 11–46 | 19.29 |
| LV5            | 24       | ♂ | 16     | 25   | 16–31 | 5.35  |
| LV6            | 25       | ♂ | 31     | 29   | 24–34 | 3.12  |
| LV7            | 32       | ♂ | 45     | 39   | 32–45 | 4.91  |
| LV8            | 39       | ♂ | 51     | 47   | 39–54 | 5.27  |
| LV9            | 46       | ♂ | 70     | 57   | 46–70 | 6.30  |
| LD10           | 51       | ♂ | 52     | 55   | 39–73 | 9.10  |
| LTS            | 158      | ♂ | 184    | 163  | 134–184 | 14.92 |
| LTAS           | 41       | ♂ | 8      | 50   | 41–53 | 3.75  |
| LTS/TL         | 48%      | ♂ | 59%    | 50%  | 41–59%| 5.75  |
| LTAS/TLS       | 12%      | ♂ | 15%    | 15%  | 12–16%| 1.42  |
| LTAS/LTS       | 26%      | ♂ | 30%    | 30%  | 26–37%| 3.13  |

Type 1 glandular cell outlets in subdorsal and ventromedial positions (Figs. 2a, b and 4b). Paired type 2 glandular cell outlets in subdorsal position (Figs. 2b; 3a; 4a; and 5a, d, f); although an unpaired outlet was observed on the right side in four specimens (including the holotype) and on the left side in two specimens (Fig. 4a). Paired sensory spots in ventromedial position (Figs. 2a and 4b).

Segment 8 similar to segment 7 in the arrangement of spines and glandular cell outlets (Figs. 2a, b; 3a; 4a, b; and 5a, d–f). Paired subdorsal and laterodorsal sensory spots; the latter pair situated lateral to the hairless areas; unpaired sensory spots in paradorsal position could be confirmed in nine specimens (Figs. 2b; 4a; and 5d, f). Female papillae of undetermined morphology in ventromedial position, close to the type 1 glandular cell outlets (Figs. 2a and 4b), with an opening similar to a type 1 glandular cell outlet but bigger, and a crescentic, subcuticular structure (see inset of Fig. 4b). Deviations from the common pattern of sensory spot distribution were observed in six specimens, two females without the subdorsal pair; the laterodorsal sensory spots could not be confirmed in three females and three males.

Segment 9 with paired lateroventral spines exceeding the tergal tips (Figs. 2a; 4c, d; and 5a). Paired type 1 glandular cell outlets in subdorsal and ventromedial positions (Figs. 2a, b). Paired type 2 glandular cell outlets in subdorsal position (Figs. 2b; 3a; and 5a, e, g). Sensory spots in paradorsal, subdorsal, laterodorsal, and ventrolateral positions (Fig. 2a, b), with the laterodorsal pair located lateral to the hairless cuticular areas (Fig. 5f). The laterodorsal sensory spots could not be confirmed in one female and two males. Nephridiopore as a small...
opening surrounded by short papillae present in lateral accessory position (Fig. 5h).

Segment 10 with long laterodorsal tubes, almost reaching the tip of the lateral terminal accessory spines in females and surpassing the penile spines in males (Figs. 2a–d and 5a, e). Two unpaired type 1 glandular cell outlets in middorsal position, and paired type 1 glandular cell outlets in paraventral position (Figs. 2a–d and 4c). Paired sensory spots in subdorsal and ventrolateral positions (Figs. 2a–d; 4c; and 5e).

Segment 11 with lateral terminal spines long and slender, distally pointed, showing a hollow central cavity (Figs. 2a–d; 4c, d; and 5a, e). Females with paired, wide, and elongate lateral terminal accessory spines, about three to four times shorter than lateral terminal spines (Figs. 2a, b; 4c; and 5a). Males with three pairs of penile spines, arising laterally under the pectinate fringe of the preceding segment; ventral and dorsal penile spines (ps1 and ps3) filiform, midlateral one (ps2) shorter and broader (Figs. 2c, d; 4d; and 5e). Tergal and sternal extensions short, distally rounded (Figs. 2a–d and 5e). Unpaired type 1 glandular cell outlet in middorsal position (Fig. 2b, d). Paired sensory spots in subdorsal position (Fig. 2b, d).

**Echinoderes sanctorum** sp. nov.

http://zoobank.org/453970DD-C42A-42CE-A961-08D650368A65 (Figs. 6, 7 and 8 and Tables 2, 5 and 6)

**Synonymy** Echinoderes sp. 2 (in Sánchez et al. 2019).

**Material examined** Holotype, adult female, collected on 23 May 2014 at the Clarion-Clipperton Fracture Zone (central-eastern Pacific Ocean), BGR license area: 11°16.217′ N, 117°14.315′ W (Table 2) at 4189 m depth; mounted in Fluoromount G®, deposited at MfN under accession number: ZMB 12438. Paratypes, five adult females, all of them collected at different stations than the holotype (see Table 2), mounted in Fluoromount G® and stored at MfN under accession numbers: ZMB 12439-12443. Two additional females mounted for LM deposited at the MfN as additional material (ZMB 12444-12445). One additional female mounted for SEM deposited at the MfN as additional material (ZMB 12446).

**Etymology** The species name refers to the mother’s family name of the first author, Santos (Latin sanctus), taken here as a collective name (Latin sanctorum).

**Diagnosis** Echinoderes with spines in middorsal position on segments 4–8 and lateroventral position on segments 6–9, increasing in length posteriorly; tubes in ventrolateral position on segment 2, in lateroventral position on segment 5 and in laterodorsal position on segment 10; bilateral type 2 glandular cell outlets in subdorsal position on segment 1 and in laterodorsal position on segments 2-9; tergal extensions short, distally rounded.

**Description** See Table 5 for measurements and dimensions, and Table 6 for summary of spine, tube, nephridiopore, glandular cell outlet, female papilla, and sensory spot locations. Head with retractable mouth cone and introvert. None of the examined specimens had the introvert everted, hence details on oral style and scaldid morphology and arrangement cannot be provided.

Head with sixteen elongated, trapezoidal placids, wider at base, approximately two to three times longer than wide, with a distinct joint between the neck and segment 1 (Figs. 6a, b
and 7a–d); midventral one widest (ca. 17–18 μm wide at base), remaining ones narrower (ca. 8–10 μm wide at base) (Figs. 6a, b and 7a–d). Placids closely situated at base, distally separated by cuticular folds. A ring of six short, hairy trichoscalids associated with the placids of the neck is present, attached to small, three-lobed trichoscalid plates (Fig. 6a, b).

Trunk with eleven segments. Segments 1–2 as closed cuticular rings, remaining ones with one tergal and two sternal cuticular plates (Figs. 6a, b; 7a–h; and 8a, b). Tergal plates of anterior segments slightly bulging middorsally, posterior ones more flattened, giving the animal a tapering outline in lateral view (Fig. 8a). Sternal plates reaching their
Fig. 7 DIC photographs of *Echinoderes sanctorum* sp. nov. a–h Holotypic female. i–k Paratypic female. a Ventral overview; b dorsal overview; c dorsal view of neck and segments 1–5; d ventral and lateral views of neck and segments 1–5; e dorsal view of segments 6–8; f ventral and lateral views of segments 6–8; g dorsal view of segments 8–11; h ventral and lateral views of segments 8–11; i right lateral view of segments 9–11; j lateral view of right half of segments 5–7, from subdorsal to lateroventral positions; k right lateral view of segments 8–9, from laterodorsal to paraventral positions. Dashed black circles indicate sensory spots. Dashed white circles indicate female papillae. Closed circles indicate type 1 glandular cell outlets. Digits after abbreviations indicate the corresponding segment. Scale bar: a 100 μm; b 50 μm; c–k 25 μm. dpl dorsal placid, gco2 type 2 glandular cell outlet, ldt laterodorsal tube, ltas lateral terminal accessory spine, lts lateral terminal spine, lvs lateroventral spine, lvt lateroventral tube, mds middorsal spine, mvpl midventral placid, ne nephridiopore, vlt ventrolateral tube.
Fig. 8 SEM micrographs of female of *Echinoderes sanctorum* sp. nov., additional material. **a** Lateral overview; **b** ventral overview, **c** left half view of segments 1–4; **d** left half view of segments 5–7; **e** right sternal plate of segments 7–9; **f** detail of type 2 glandular cell outlets and sensory spots of segments 6–7; **g** left half view of segments 8–11; **h** detail of the left paradorsal sensory spot of segment 8. Dashed circles indicate sensory spots. Digits after abbreviations indicate the corresponding segment. Scale bar: **a, b** 50 μm; **c–f** 10 μm; **g** 5 μm, gco2 type 2 glandular cell outlet, ldt laterodorsal tube, ltas lateral terminal accessory spine, lts lateral terminal spine, lvs lateroventral spine, mds middorsal spine, pff primary pectinate fringe.
maximum width at segment 7, progressively tapering toward the last trunk segments; sternal plates relatively narrow compared to the total trunk length, giving the animal a slender appearance. Cuticular hairs acicular, long, non-bracteate, emerging from rounded to oval-shaped perforation sites (Figs. 7j, k and 8a–h). Cuticular hairs distributed in 5–6 straight, transverse rows on segment 1; in 3–4 straight, transverse rows from middorsal to ventrolateral region on segment 2; in 5–7 straight, transverse rows from middorsal to ventrolateral region on segments 3–7 and from paradorsal to ventrolateral region on segments 8 and 9, becoming wavy from the laterodorsal position to the ventral side (Fig. 7j, k); absent on laterodorsal position (except on segment 1) and on the whole segment 11. Primary pectinate fringes straight, strongly serrated, showing a fringe with long tips (Fig. 8c–h). Secondary pectinate fringes absent.

Segment 1 without spines and tubes (Figs. 6a, b; 7a–d; and 8a–c). Unpaired type 1 glandular cell outlet in middorsal position, and paired in lateroventral position (Figs. 6a, b and 7c, d). Paired type 2 glandular cell outlets in subdorsal position (Figs. 6b; 7b, c; and 8c); common size openings, all specimens showing a bilateral pattern on this and the following segments. Two specimens with the outlets in laterodorsal position. Paired sensory spots in subdorsal and midlateral positions, located at the anterior half of the segment (Figs. 6a, b; 7c; and 8c).

Segment 2 with tubes in ventrolateral position (Figs. 6a and 7d). Unpaired type 1 glandular cell outlet in middorsal position and paired ones in ventromedial position (Fig. 6a, b). Paired type 2 glandular cell outlets in laterodorsal position (Figs. 6b; 7b, c; and 8c). Paired sensory spots in paradorsal, laterodorsal and ventromedial positions (Figs. 6a, b; 7c, d; and 8c) Laterodorsal pair of sensory spots not easily observed in most of the specimens.

Segment 3 without spines and tubes (Figs. 6a, b; 7a–d; and 8a–c). Unpaired type 1 glandular cell outlet in middorsal position, and paired ones in ventromedial position (Figs. 6a, b and 7c). Paired type 2 glandular cell outlets in laterodorsal position (Figs. 6b; 7b, c; and 8c). Paired sensory spots in subdorsal position (Figs. 6a, b; 7c). Unpaired type 1 glandular cell outlet in middorsal position and paired ones in ventromedial position (Figs. 6a, b; 7c, d; and 8a). Unpaired type 1 glandular cell outlets in paradorsal and ventromedial positions (Figs. 6a, b and 7c, d). Paired type 2 glandular cell outlets in laterodorsal position (Figs. 6b; 7b, c; and 8e). Paired sensory spots in subdorsal position (Figs. 6b; 7b, c; and 8e). Paired sensory spots in laterodorsal position (Figs. 6b and 8c).

Segment 4 with a middorsal spine not exceeding half of the plate of the following segment (Figs. 6b; 7c; and 8a). Paired type 1 glandular cell outlets in paradorsal and ventromedial positions (Figs. 6a, b and 7c, d). Paired type 2 glandular cell outlets in laterodorsal position (Figs. 6b; 7b, c; and 8c). Paired sensory spots in subdorsal position (Figs. 6b and 7c).

Segment 5 with a middorsal spine, not exceeding half of the plate of the following segment, and tubes in lateroventral position (Figs. 6a, b; 7c, d; and 8a). Unpaired type 1 glandular cell outlets in middorsal position, and paired in ventromedial position (Figs. 6a, b; 7c, d; and 8a). Paired type 2 glandular cell outlets in laterodorsal position (Figs. 6b; 7b, c; and 8d). Paired sensory spots in laterodorsal position (Figs. 6b; 7b, c; and 8d).

Segment 6 with a middorsal spine, not exceeding half of the plate of the following segment, and paired spines in lateroventral position (Figs. 6a, b; 7a, e, f; and 8a, b, d). Paired type 1 glandular cell outlets in paradorsal and ventromedial positions (Figs. 6a, b and 7e, f). Paired type 2 glandular cell outlets in laterodorsal position (Figs. 6b; 7b, e, j; and 8d, f). Paired sensory spots in subdorsal and laterodorsal

### Table 5. Measurements (μm) and proportions of Echinoderes sanctorum sp. nov. Numbers in the first column indicate the corresponding segment. LD lateral dorsal spine, LTAS lateral terminal accessory spine, LTS lateral terminal spine, LV lateroventral spine/tube, MD middorsal spine, MSW maximum sternal width, measured on segment 7; n number of measured specimens, S segment length, SD standard deviation, SW standard width, measured on segment 10; TL total trunk length, VL ventrolateral tube

|       | Holotype | n   | Mean | Range   | SD  |
|-------|----------|-----|------|---------|-----|
| TL    | 327      | 5♀  | 300  | 234–377 | 54.15 |
| MSW 7 | 74       | 6♀  | 70   | 65–75   | 4.35 |
| MSW/TL| 23%      | 4♀  | 24%  | 20–30%  | 4.17 |
| SW10  | 54       | 4♀  | 55   | 51–59   | 2.96 |
| S1    | 29       | 5♀  | 33   | 29–41   | 5.30 |
| S2    | 29       | 5♀  | 26   | 23–29   | 2.77 |
| S3    | 33       | 5♀  | 33   | 30–37   | 2.49 |
| S4    | 40       | 5♀  | 37   | 28–51   | 8.55 |
| S5    | 35       | 4♀  | 40   | 35–46   | 4.44 |
| S6    | 47       | 4♀  | 48   | 41–58   | 7.06 |
| S7    | 53       | 4♀  | 52   | 46–61   | 6.65 |
| S8    | 57       | 4♀  | 59   | 54–68   | 6.20 |
| S9    | 58       | 4♀  | 58   | 52–64   | 5.09 |
| S10   | 53       | 5♀  | 49   | 43–55   | 5.06 |
| S11   | 30       | 5♀  | 29   | 25–34   | 3.53 |
| MD4   | –        | 3♀  | 30   | 29–31   | 1.21 |
| MD5   | –        | 4♀  | 38   | 34–42   | 3.29 |
| MD6   | –        | 3♀  | 40   | 35–46   | 5.64 |
| MD7   | –        | 5♀  | 59   | 44–70   | 10.25 |
| MD8   | –        | 3♀  | 103  | 97–111  | 8.86 |
| VL2   | –        | –   | –    | –       | –   |
| LV5   | –        | 1♀  | 18   | 1       | –   |
| LV6   | 45       | 5♀  | 41   | 34–47   | 6.20 |
| LV7   | 55       | 5♀  | 56   | 48–64   | 6.52 |
| LV8   | 73       | 7♀  | 73   | 68–83   | 4.93 |
| LV9   | 75       | 6♀  | 76   | 70–85   | 5.44 |
| LD10  | 28       | 3♀  | 30   | 25–37   | 5.94 |
| LTS   | 217      | 5♀  | 215  | 185–236 | 16.52 |
| LTAS  | 78       | 5♀  | 75   | 62–91   | 10.60 |
| LTS/TL| 67%      | 5♀  | 75%  | 63–93%  | 11.76 |
| LTAS/TL| 24%    | 5♀  | 27%  | 20–39%  | 8.13 |

|       | LTAS/LTS| 5♀  | 35%  | 32–42%  | 4.05 |
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positions (Figs. 6a, b; 7e, j; and 8d, f). One specimen with ventromedial sensory spots.

Segment 7 with a middorsal spine, reaching half of the tergal plate of the following segment, and paired spines in lateroventral position (Figs. 6a, b; 7a, e, f, j; and 8a, b, d, e, g). Unpaired type 1 glandular cell outlets in middorsal position and paired in ventromedial position (Figs. 6a, b and 7e, f). Paired type 2 glandular cell outlets in laterodorsal position (Figs. 6b; 7b, e, j; and 8d, f). Paired sensory spots in laterodorsal and ventrolateral positions (Figs. 6a, b; 7e, f, j; and 8d, f). Laterodorsal pair of sensory spots apparently absent in one specimen. One specimen with a papilla instead of sensory spot in the right sternal plate and another specimen with likely papillae in ventrolateral position instead of the sensory spots.

Segment 8 with a middorsal spine, reaching half of the tergal plate of the following segment, and paired spines in lateroventral position (Figs. 6a, b; 7a, e–i, k; and 8a, b, e, g, h). Paired type 1 glandular cell outlets in paradorsal and ventromedial positions (Figs. 6a, b and 7e, f). Paired type 2 glandular cell outlets in laterodorsal position (Figs. 6b; 7b, e, g, k; and 8g). Paired sensory spots in laterodorsal position (Figs. 6b; 7e, g, k; and 8g). Sensory spots in subdorsal position could only be undoubtedly observed in the SEM specimen (Fig. 8h). Cuticular structure likely female papillae of undetermined morphology in ventromedial position, close to the type 1 glandular cell outlets (Figs. 6a and 7f, h, k).

Segment 9 with paired lateroventral spines (Figs. 6a and 7a, h, k). Paired type 1 glandular cell outlets in paradorsal and ventromedial positions (Figs. 6a, b and 7h). Paired type 2 glandular cell outlets in laterodorsal position (Figs. 6b; 7b, g, i, k; and 8g). Sensory spots in paradorsal, laterodorsal and ventrolateral positions (Figs. 6a, b; 7g, h, k; and 8g). Nephridiopore as a small sieve plate present in lateral accessory position (Figs. 6a and 7h, k).

Segment 10 with paired, short, narrow laterodorsal tubes (Figs. 6b; 7h, i; and 8g). Two unpaired type 1 glandular cell outlets in middorsal position, and paired in paraversal position (Figs. 6a, b and 7g, h). Paired sensory spots in subdorsal and ventrolateral positions (Figs. 6a, b and 7g, h).

Segment 11 with lateral terminal spines long and slender, distally pointed, showing a hollow central cavity (Figs. 6a, b; 7h, i; and 8a–b, g). Females with paired, also conspicuous slender lateral terminal accessory spines, about two to three times shorter than lateral terminal spines (Figs. 6a, b; 7h, i; and 8a–b, g). Tergal and sternal extensions short, distally rounded (Figs. 6a, b; 7a, h; and 8b, g). Two unpaired type 1 glandular cell outlets in middorsal position (Fig. 6b). Paired sensory spots in subdorsal position (Figs. 6b and 7g).

**Echinoderes zeppilliae** sp. nov.

http://zoobank.org/C0FEF431-7834-43F6-9CCA-D14AF6D9FFAD (Figs. 9 and 10 and Tables 2, 7, and 8)

**Synonymy** *Echinoderes* sp. 3 (in Sánchez et al. 2019).

**Material examined** Holotype, adult female, collected on 3 May 2016 at the Clarion-Clipperton Fracture Zone (central-eastern Pacific Ocean), BGR license area: 11°51.284′ N, 117°02.365′ W (Table 2) at 4135 m depth; mounted in Fluoromount G®, deposited at MfN under accession number: 12447. Paratypes, four adult females collected at different stations than the holotype (see Table 2), mounted in Fluoromount G® and stored at MfN under accession numbers: ZMB 12448-12451.

**Etymology** The species is named after Dr Daniela Zeppilli, colleague, friend and expert researcher on meiofauna from

---

**Table 6** Summary of relevant cuticular characters and positions of *Echinoderes sanctorum* sp. nov.

| Position segment | MD | PD | SD | LD | ML | LA | LV | VL | VM | PV |
|------------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| 1                | gco1 | ss | gco2 | ss | gco1 |    |    |    |    |    |
| 2                | gco1 | ss |    | gco2,ss |    | t  | gco1,ss |    |    |    |
| 3                | ac  | gco1 | ss | gco2 |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 4                | ac, gco1 | ss | gco2,ss |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 5                | ac, gco1 | ss | gco2,ss |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 6                | ac  | gco1 | ss | gco2,ss |    | ac |    | ss |    |    |
| 7                | ac  | gco1 | ss | gco2,ss |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 8                | ac  | gco1 | ss | gco2,ss |    | si |    | ac |    |    |
| 9                | gco1,ss | ss | gco2,ss |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 10               | gco1,gco1 | ss |    | t  |    |    |    |    | ss |    |
| 11               | gco1, gco1 | ss |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |

*ac* = acicular spine, *gco1/2* = type 1/2 glandular cell outlet, *ltas* = lateral terminal accessory spine, *lts* = lateral terminal spine, *ps* = penile spine, *si* = sieve plate, *ss* = sensory spot, *t* = tube, ♀ = female condition of sexually dimorphic characters.
extreme environments, currently working on nematodes from the CCZ French area.

**Diagnosis** *Echinoderes* with spines in middorsal position on segments 4–8 and lateroventral position on segments 6–9, increasing in length posteriorly; tubes in ventrolateral position on segment 2, in lateroventral position on segment 5 and in laterodorsal position on segment 10; bilateral type 2 glandular cell outlets in subdorsal position on segments 2, 5 and 7–9; tergal extensions short, distally rounded.

**Description** See Table 7 for measurements and dimensions, and Table 8 for summary of spine, tube, nephridiopore, glandular cell outlet, female papilla, and sensory spot locations. Head with retractable mouth cone and introvert. None of the examined specimens had the introvert everted, hence...
Neck with sixteen elongated, trapezoidal placids, wider at base, approximately two to three times longer than wide, with details on oral style and scalid morphology and arrangement cannot be provided.

Fig. 10 DIC photographs of Echinoderes zeppilliae sp. nov. a, d–e, g–i Paratypic female. b, j Holotypic female. c, f, k Paratypic female. a Dorsal overview; b ventral overview; c ventral view of neck and segments 1–4; d left sternal plates of segments 5–8; e left sternal plates of segments 8–9; f dorsal view of segments 4–7, from middorsal to subdorsal positions; g dorsal view of left half of segments 4–7, from middorsal to subdorsal positions; h dorsal view of segment 9; i segments 10–11; j dorsal view of segments 7–8, from middorsal to subdorsal positions; k dorsal view of segments 1–3. Dashed black circles indicate sensory spots. Dashed white circles indicate female papillae. Closed circles indicate type 1 glandular cell outlets. Dashed line indicates midventral division of segment 2. Digits after abbreviations indicate the corresponding segment. Scale bar: a–b, 100 μm; c–j, 25 μm. gco2 type 2 glandular cell outlet, ldt laterodorsal tube, ltas lateral terminal accessory spine, lvs lateroventral spine, lvt lateroventral tube, mds middorsal spine, ppf primary pectinate fringe, vlt ventrolateral tube.
Table 7  Measurements (μm) and proportions of *Echinodermes zeppilliae* sp. nov. Numbers in the first column indicate the corresponding segment. 

| Holotype | n | Mean | Range | SD |
|----------|----|------|-------|----|
| TL       | 372 | 5♀  | 386   | 357–426 | 27.61 |
| MSW 7    | 106 | 4♀  | 98    | 93–106  | 5.62  |
| MSW/TL   | 29% | 4♀  | 25.35%| 23–29%  | 2.56  |
| SW10     | 75  | 4♀  | 73    | 71–75   | 2.07  |
| S1       | 35  | 4♀  | 42    | 35–50   | 6.94  |
| S2       | 29  | 4♀  | 36    | 29–41   | 5.39  |
| S3       | 40  | 4♀  | 39    | 34–42   | 3.63  |
| S4       | 39  | 4♀  | 44    | 39–50   | 5.70  |
| S5       | 48  | 4♀  | 50    | 43–56   | 6.05  |
| S6       | 60  | 4♀  | 60    | 57–61   | 1.76  |
| S7       | 67  | 3♂  | 67    | 66–67   | 0.49  |
| S8       | 65  | 3♂  | 69    | 65–74   | 4.13  |
| S9       | 72  | 3♂  | 71    | 70–72   | 1.36  |
| S10      | 54  | 3♂  | 54    | 54–54   | 0.36  |
| S11      | 39  | 3♂  | 39    | 37–40   | 1.80  |
| MD4      | 31  | 3♂  | 31    | 29–33   | 1.75  |
| MD5      | 36  | 4♀  | 37    | 35–40   | 2.19  |
| MD6      | 42  | 5♀  | 40    | 35–42   | 2.87  |
| MD7      | 66  | 3♂  | 65    | 63–66   | 1.31  |
| MD8      | –   | 3♂  | 86    | 83–88   | 2.53  |
| VL2      | 10  | 4♀  | 20    | 10–31   | 9.38  |
| LV5      | 36  | 5♀  | 25    | 13–36   | 9.08  |
| LV6      | 47  | 5♀  | 46    | 44–49   | 1.95  |
| LV7      | 63  | 5♀  | 64    | 62–69   | 3.08  |
| LV8      | 79  | 5♀  | 80    | 73–86   | 4.81  |
| LV9      | 95  | 5♀  | 94    | 89–102  | 5.19  |
| LD10     | 29  | 3♂  | 42    | 29–61   | 16.57 |
| LTS      | 242 | 4♀  | 228   | 216–242 | 12.06 |
| LTAS     | 69  | 5♀  | 71    | 68–76   | 3.31  |
| LTS/TL   | 65% | 4♀  | 58%   | 51–65%  | 5.89  |
| LTAS/TL  | 19% | 4♀  | 19%   | 16–21%  | 1.72  |
| LTAS/LTS | 29% | 4♀  | 31%   | 29–32%  | 1.67  |

| Segment 1 | without spines and tubes (Figs. 9a, b and 10a–c, k). Unpaired type 1 glandular cell outlet in middorsal position, and paired type 1 glandular cell outlets in lateroventral position (Figs. 9a, b and 10c, k). Paired sensory spots in paradorsal, laterodorsal and sublateral positions, located at the anterior half of the segment, except the latter that are situated at the middle region of the plate (Figs. 9a, b and 10k). | Unpaired type 1 glandular cell outlet in middorsal position, and paired ones in ventromedial position (Figs. 9a, b). | Paired type 2 glandular cell outlets in subdorsal position, common size openings (Figs. 9b and 10a, k); all specimens show a bilateral pattern of type 2 glandular cell outlets on this and the following segments. | Unpaired sensory spot in middorsal position, and paired ones in laterodorsal and ventromedial positions (Figs. 9a, b and 10k). | Unpaired type 1 glandular cell outlet in middorsal position, and paired ones in ventromedial position (Figs. 9a, b and 10c). | Paired sensory spots in subdorsal position (Figs. 9b and 10k). | Unpaired type 1 glandular cell outlet in middorsal position, and paired ones in ventromedial positions (Fig. 9a, b and 10a–c, k). Paired type 1 glandular cell outlets in subdorsal and ventromedial positions (Figs. 9a, b and 10d). Paired type 2 glandular cell outlets in laterodorsal position (Figs. 9b and 10f, g). |

A distinct joint between the neck and segment 1 (Figs. 9a, b and 10a–c, k); midventral one widest (ca. 16–18 μm wide at base), remaining ones narrower (10–12 μm wide at base) (Figs. 9a, b and 10a–c, k). Placids closely situated at base with distal cuticular folds between adjacent ones. A ring of six short, hairy trichoscalids associated with the placids of the neck is present, attached to small, three-lobed trichoscalid plates (Fig. 9a, b).

Trunk with eleven segments (Figs. 9a, b and 10a, b). Segments 1–2 as closed cuticular rings, segment 2 as circular ring with a partial midventral division, remaining ones with one tergal and two sternal cuticular plates (Figs. 9a, b and 10a–c). Tergal plates of anterior segments slightly bulging middorsally, posterior ones more flattened, giving the animal a tapering outline in lateral view. Sternal plates reach their maximum width at segment 7, progressively tapering toward the last trunk segments; sternal plates relatively narrow compared to the total trunk length. Cuticular hairs acicular, long, emerging from rounded to oval-shaped perforation sites. Dorsal cuticular hairs distributed in 3–4 and 5–6 straight, transverse rows on segments 1–4 and 5–10 respectively; with longitudinal, laterodorsal bald bands on segments 3–10 (Figs. 9b and 10f–g); segment 9 furthermore with a longitudinal, middorsal bald band (Figs. 9b and 10h). Ventral cuticular hairs distributed in 4–5 more wavy transverse rows extending up to the ventromedial glandular cell outlets type 1; absent in paraventral position and on segment 11 (Figs. 9a and 10c, d, e). Primary pectinate fringes straight, strongly serrated, showing a fringe with long tips (Fig. 9a, b). Secondary pectinate fringes not detected.

Segment 1 without spines and tubes (Figs. 9a, b and 10a–c, k). Unpaired type 1 glandular cell outlet in middorsal position, and paired type 1 glandular cell outlets in lateroventral position (Figs. 9a, b and 10c, k). Paired sensory spots in paradorsal, laterodorsal and sublateral positions, located at the anterior half of the segment, except the latter that are situated at the middle region of the plate (Figs. 9a, b and 10k).

Segment 2 with a partial midventral division and tubes in ventrolateral position (Figs. 9a and 10c). Unpaired type 1 glandular cell outlet in middorsal position, and paired ones in ventromedial position (Fig. 9a, b). Paired type 2 glandular cell outlets in subdorsal position, common size openings (Figs. 9b and 10a, k); all specimens show a bilateral pattern of type 2 glandular cell outlets on this and the following segments. Unpaired sensory spot in middorsal position, and paired ones in laterodorsal and ventromedial positions (Figs. 9a, b and 10k).

Segment 3 without spines and tubes (Figs. 9a, b and 10a–c, k). Unpaired type 1 glandular cell outlet in middorsal position, and paired ones in ventromedial position (Figs. 9a, b and 10c). Paired sensory spots in subdorsal position (Figs. 9b and 10k).

Segment 4 with a middorsal spine not exceeding half of the plate of the following segment (Figs. 9b and 10a, f–g). Paired type 1 glandular cell outlets in subdorsal and ventromedial positions (Fig. 9a, b). Paired sensory spots in paradorsal position (Figs. 9b and 10f).

Segment 5 with a middorsal spine, not exceeding half of the plate of the following segment, and tubes in lateroventral position (Figs. 9a, b and 10a, d, f, g). Paired type 1 glandular cell outlets in subdorsal and ventromedial positions (Figs. 9a, b and 10d). Paired type 2 glandular cell outlets in laterodorsal position (Figs. 9b and 10f, g).
Table 8 Summary of relevant cuticular characters and positions of *Echinoderes zeppilliae* sp. nov. LA lateral accessory, LD laterodorsal, LV lateroventral, MD middorsal, PD paradorsal, PV paraventral, SD subdorsal, SL sublateral, VL ventrolateral, VM ventromedial, ac acicular spine, gco1/2 type 1/2 glandular cell outlet, lts lateral terminal accessory spine, lts lateral terminal spine; pa, papilla, ps penile spine, si sieve plate, ss sensory spot, t tube, ♂ female condition of sexually dimorphic characters

| Position segment | MD | PD | SD | LD | SL | LA | LV | VL | VM | PV |
|------------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| 1                | gco1| ss | ss | ss | ss | gco1| t  | gco1,ss |
| 2                | gco1,ss | gco2 | ss | ss | gco1 | gco1 | gco1 |
| 3                | ac  | ss | gco1 | ss | t  | gco1 | gco1 |
| 4                | ac  | ss | gco1, gco2 | ss | ac | gco1 | gco1 |
| 5                | ac  | gco1, gco2 | ss | ss | ac | gco1, ss | gco1, pa(♂) |
| 6                | ac  | gco1, gco2 | ss | ss | ac | gco1, ss | gco1, ss |
| 7                | ac  | gco1, gco2 | ss | ss | ac | gco1, ss | gco1, ss |
| 8                | ac  | gco1, gco2 | ss | ss | ac | gco1, ss | gco1, ss |
| 9                | ac  | gco1, gco2 | ss | ss | ac | gco1, ss | gco1, ss |
| 10               | ac  | gco1, gco1 | ss | t  | ss | lts(♂) | lts |
| 11               | gco1 | ss | ss | t  | ss | lts(♂) | lts |

Segment 6 with a middorsal spine, not exceeding half of the plate of the following segment, and paired spines in lateroventral position (Figs. 9a, b and 10a, d, f, g). Paired type 1 glandular cell outlets in subdorsal and ventromedial positions (Figs. 9a, b and 10d). Paired sensory spots in paradorsal, subdorsal and laterodorsal positions (Figs. 9b and 10f, g).

Segment 7 with a middorsal spine, almost reaching the edge of the tergal plate of the following segment, and paired spines in lateroventral position (Figs. 9a, b and 10a, d, f, j). Paired type 1 glandular cell outlets in subdorsal and ventromedial positions (Figs. 9a, b and 10d). Paired type 2 glandular cell outlets in laterodorsal position, aligned with those of segment 5 (Figs. 9b and 10f, g). Paired sensory spots in subdorsal and ventromedial positions, the former located aligned with those of the previous segment and anterior to the type 2 glandular cell outlets (Figs. 9a, b and 10d, g).

Segment 8 with a middorsal spine, reaching the edge of the tergal plate of the following segment, and paired spines in lateroventral position (Figs. 9a, b and 10a, e, h, j). Paired type 1 glandular cell outlets in subdorsal and ventromedial positions (Figs. 9a, b and 10e). Paired type 2 glandular cell outlets in laterodorsal position, aligned with those of the previous segment (Figs. 9b and 10j). Paired sensory spots in laterodorsal position, slightly posterior to the type 2 glandular cell outlets (Fig. 9b). Paradoral sensory spots could not be observed. Female, sexually dimorphic papillae in ventromedial position, located near to the type 1 glandular cell outlets (Figs. 9a and 10d, e); detailed morphology of these papillae not determined.

Segment 9 with paired lateroventral spines (Figs. 9a and 10e). Paired type 1 glandular cell outlets in subdorsal and ventromedial positions, the latter ones more oval than previous ones (Fig. 9a, b). Paired type 2 glandular cell outlets in laterodorsal position (Figs. 9b and 10h). Sensory spots in paradorsal, laterodorsal, and ventromedial positions (Figs. 9a, b and 10e). Nephridiopore as a small sieve plate present in sublateral position (Fig. 9a).

Segment 10 with paired, long, narrow laterodorsal tubes (Figs. 9b and 10i). Two unpaired type 1 glandular cell outlets in middorsal position, and with oval, paired type 1 glandular cell outlets in paraventral position (Fig. 9a, b). Paired sensory spots in subdorsal and ventrolateral positions (Fig. 9b).

Segment 11 with lateral terminal spines long and slender, distally pointed, showing a hollow central cavity (Fig. 9a, b). Females with paired, also slender lateral terminal accessory spines, about three times shorter than lateral terminal spines (Figs. 9a, b and 10l). Tergal and sternal extensions short, distally rounded (Fig. 9a, b). Unpaired type 1 glandular cell outlet in middorsal position (Fig. 9b). Paired sensory spots in subdorsal position (Fig. 9b).

**Morphological diversity of Kinorhyncha**

Clarion-Clipperton Fracture Zone

In the BGR contract area, densities of 0.4 ± 0.2 total kinorhynch specimens per 10 cm$^{-2}$ (mean per core of 2.7 ± 1.7 specimens), 0.1 ± 0.1 adults per 10 cm$^{-2}$ (mean per core of 0.6 ± 0.7 specimens), and 0.3 ± 0.2 juveniles per 10 cm$^{-2}$ (mean per core of 2.2 ± 1.6 specimens) were registered. Of the 558 kinorhynch specimens collected from the BGR area, ca. 80% were juveniles (Fig. 11) and therefore unidentified to the species level. Concerning the 116 adult specimens, 112 could be morphologically identified to species level, yielding 12 species belonging to seven families and 10 genera (including the new species described herein) (H′ 1.9; J′ 0.3) (Figs. 12 and 13 and Online supplements):

- *Echinoderes* Claparède, 1863: two new, still undescribed species; *E. delaordenii* sp. nov., *E. sanctorum* sp. nov., *E. zeppilliae* sp. nov., and the recently described *E. shenlong* from the CCZ (Sánchez et al. 2019).
Cephalorhyncha Adrianov, 1999 in Adrianov & Malakhov 1999: one recently described species from the CCZ, C. polunga (Sánchez et al. 2019).

Meristoderes Herranz et al., 2012 one recently described species from the CCZ, M. taro (Sánchez et al. 2019).

Semnoderes Zelinka, 1907: one new, still undescribed species; and S. pacificus Higgins, 1967 (Higgins 1967; Sánchez et al. 2019).

Campyloderes Zelinka, 1907: Campyloderes cf. vanhoeffeni.

Fissuroderes Neuhaus & Blasche, 2006: Fissuroderes higinsi Neuhaus & Blasche, 2006 (Neuhaus and Blasche 2006; Sánchez et al. 2019).

Therefore, the current kinorhynch knowledge for the BGR contract area encompasses nine undescribed or recently described species, plus three already known species. Regarding the kinorhynch assemblage, Echinoderes is the most diverse genus in the BGR area (six species), followed by Semnoderes (two species), whereas the remaining four genera, namely Cephalorhyncha, Campyloderes, Fissuroderes, and Meristoderes, are represented by single species (Fig. 12). Echinoderes sp. 4 is the most abundant species so far (45 specimens in 33 stations), followed by Cephalorhyncha polunga (15 specimens in 12 stations), Echinoderes delaordeni sp. nov. (12 specimens in 10 stations), and M. taro (11 specimens in six stations) (Fig. 13 and see Online supplements for specific locations). Contrarily, two species are known from the area only as single reports, namely Echinoderes sp. 6 and Semnoderes sp.1 (see Online supplements for specific locations).

One hundred eleven kinorhynch specimens were collected from the remaining contract areas studied herein and from APEI3, of which 30 were adults and could be subsequently identified to the species level (Figs. 12-13 and Online supplements):

- GSR contract area: Densities of 0.7 ± 0.3 of total kinorhynch specimens per 10 cm$^2$ (mean per core of 4.8 ± 2.3 specimens), 0.2 ± 0.1 adults per 10 cm$^2$ (mean per core of 1.0 ± 0.7 specimens) and 0.6 ± 0.2 juveniles per 10 cm$^2$ (mean per core of 3.8 ± 1.6 specimens) were registered. Five adult specimens belonging to five species were identified (ca. 80% were juveniles; see Fig. 11) (H$'$ 1.6; J$'$ 0.5): Condyloderes kurilensis Adrianov & Maiorova, 2016 (Adrianov and Maiorova 2016; Sánchez et al. 2019), Echinoderes juliae Sørensen et al., 2018 (Sørensen et al. 2018) present as a single report in this area within the CCZ (Sánchez et al. 2019), Echinoderes delaordeni sp. nov., Semnoderes pacificus (Sánchez et al. 2019), and one Centroderes specimen that could not be identified to the species level.

- Ifremer contract area: Densities of 0.2 ± 0.1 of total kinorhynch specimens per 10 cm$^2$ (mean per core of 1.4 ± 0.5 specimens), 0.1 ± 0.1 adults per 10 cm$^2$ (mean per core of 0.8 ± 0.8 specimens) and 0.1 ± 0.1 juveniles per 10 cm$^2$ (mean per core of 0.6 ± 0.9 specimens) were registered. Four adult specimens belonging to three species were identified (ca. 43% were juveniles; see Fig. 11) (H$'$ 1.0; J$'$ 0.6): Cephalorhyncha polunga, Echinoderes sanctorum sp. nov., and a new, still undescribed species, Echinoderes sp. 4.

- IOM contract area: Densities of 0.45 ± 0.1 of total kinorhynch specimens per 10 cm$^2$ (mean per core of 3.1 ± 1.0 specimens), 0.1 ± 0.1 adults per 10 cm$^2$ (mean per core of 0.6 ± 0.7 specimens), and 0.4 ± 0.2 juveniles per 10 cm$^2$ (mean per core of 2.5 ± 1.3 specimens) were
registered. Five adult specimens belonging to four species were identified (ca. 80% were juveniles; see Fig. 11) (H: 1.3; J: 0.5): *Semnoderes pacificus*, *Meristoderes taro*, *Cephalorhyncha polunga*, and *Echinoderes* sp. 4, a new, still undescribed species (Sánchez et al. 2019).

- **UKSR I contract area**: Densities of 0.3 ± 0.1 of total kinorhynch specimens per 10 cm$^{-2}$ (mean per core of 1.8 ± 0.9 specimens), 0.1 ± 0.1 adults per 10 cm$^{-2}$ (mean per core of 0.5 ± 0.7 specimens), and 0.2 ± 0.2 juveniles per 10 cm$^{-2}$ (mean per core of 1.3 ± 1.1 specimens) were registered. 15 adult specimens belonging to five species were identified (ca. 71% were juveniles; see Fig. 11) (H: 1.2; J: 0.4): *Cephalorhyncha polunga*, *Fissuroderes higginsi*, *Echinoderes delaordeni* sp. nov. and two still undescribed species, *Echinoderes* sp. 4, and *Mixtophyes* sp.1 (Sánchez et al. 2019).

- **APEI3 (Area of Particular Environmental Interest number 3)**: Densities of 0.2 ± 0.1 of total kinorhynch specimens per 10 cm$^{-2}$ (mean per core of 1.3 ± 0.6 specimens), 0.1 ± 0.1 adults per 10 cm$^{-2}$ (mean per core of 0.3 ± 0.6 specimens), and 0.1 ± 0.1 juveniles per 10 cm$^{-2}$ (mean per core of 1.0 ± 1.0 specimens) were registered. Only one adult specimen of *Cephalorhyncha polunga* was found (ca. 75% were juveniles; see Fig. 11) (H: 0.0).

Once again, *Echinoderes* sp. 4 is the most abundant species considering these six contract areas (11 specimens at nine stations), followed by *Cephalorhyncha polunga* (five specimens at five stations), *Echinoderes delaordeni* sp. nov. (three specimens at three stations), and *Semnoderes pacificus* (three specimens at three stations) (Fig. 13 and Online supplements for specific locations). Except for *Mixtophyes* sp.1, the remaining species are known from the referred areas only as single reports, namely *Echinoderes* sp. 6, *Fissuroderes higginsi*, *Echinoderes juliae*, *Echinoderes sanctorum* sp. nov., *Meristoderes taro*, *Centroderes* sp.1, *Condyloderes kurilensis* (see Online supplements for specific locations).

Analyses showed statistically significant differences in densities of total kinorhynch specimens (KW test, $p = 1.909e-06$), adults (KW test, $p = 0.002$), and juveniles (KW test, $p = 3.104e-05$) between areas. The pairwise comparison test showed that APEI3, the UKSR I and the Ifremer areas shared similar densities of total kinorhynchs, adults and juveniles, which were significantly lower than in the other CCZ areas. Specifically, the GSR area had significantly higher densities of total kinorhynchs and juveniles than in the other studied areas (KW test, $p < 0.04$); the BGR area only had significantly higher densities of total kinorhynchs and juveniles compared to the Ifremer and the UKSR I areas; the IOM area had significantly higher densities of total kinorhynchs and juveniles than the Ifremer, the UKSR I and APEI3 areas (KW test, $p < 0.02$), except density of juveniles in APEI3. These analyses also showed that all contract areas in the CCZ had similar adult densities (KW test, $p > 0.05$), but if
the total density of kinorhynchs differed significantly, it always occurred together with differences in the density of juveniles as well (see Fig. 14 and Online supplements).

Considering all the studied contract areas together, the kinorhynch community in the CCZ reached densities of 0.4 ± 0.2 of total kinorhynch specimens per 10 cm$^{-2}$ (mean per core of 2.6 ± 1.7 specimens), 0.1 ± 0.1 adults per 10 cm$^{-2}$ (mean per core of 0.6 ± 0.7 specimens), and 0.3 ± 0.2 juveniles per 10 cm$^{-2}$ (mean per core of 2.1 ± 1.6 specimens), being composed of a total of 16 morphospecies (Fig. 13) (H’ 2.0; J’ 0.3), with most of the aforementioned species also being present in the BGR contract area, except for *Mixtophyes* sp.1 (UKSR I), *Centroderes* sp.1, *Condyloderes kurilensis* and *Echinoderes juliae* (GSR). No correlations were found between males, females, and juveniles neither between the different kinorhynch species present in the CCZ.

**Peru Basin**

Of the 110 kinorhynch specimens collected from the reference area of the DEA, ca. 69% were juveniles (Fig. 11), and could not be identified to the species level. The 34 adult specimens, contrarily, could be identified to the lowest taxonomic level (H’ 2.3; J’ 0.3). Seven of them were also present in the CCZ (Figs. 12-13 and Online supplements): *Cephalorhyncha polunga*, *Meristoderes taro*, *Echinoderes delaordeni* sp. nov., *Echinoderes sanctorum* sp. nov., *Echinoderes sp.4*, *Semnoderes* sp.1, and *Campyloderes cf. vanhoeffeni*. *Echinoderes shenlong* was previously reported from the Peru Basin (Sánchez et al. 2019), but new observations suggest that these records may belong to a different but morphologically very similar species (pers. obs. Dr. Hiroshi Yamasaki). The remaining five species from the Peru Basin have not yet been observed in the CCZ (Fig. 13): four exclusive, undescribed species so far, namely *Antygomonas* sp.1, *Dracoderes* sp.1 (identified as *D. cf. toyoshioae* Yamasaki, 2015 in Sánchez et al. 2019), *Echinoderes* sp.5 and *Crystaphyes* sp.1; together with *Condyloderes kurilensis*.

The kinorhynch community from the reference area of the DEA reached densities of 0.6 ± 0.3 of total kinorhynch specimens per 10 cm$^{-2}$ (mean per core of 4.2 ± 2.1 specimens), 0.1 ± 0.1 adults per 10 cm$^{-2}$ (mean per core of 0.6 ± 1.1 specimens) and 0.3 ± 0.2 juveniles per 10 cm$^{-2}$ (mean per core of 2.9 ± 1.6 specimens). No correlations were found between males, females and juveniles neither between the different kinorhynch species in the Peru Basin. Statistical analyses showed significant differences between the kinorhynch community inhabiting the CCZ and the Peru Basin in terms of total specimen, adult, and juvenile density ($p = 5.828 \cdot 10^{-5}; p = 4.279 \cdot 10^{-5}; p = 0.006$) (see Fig. 14).

**DNA-based diversity of Kinorhyncha**

Out of the 253 samples analyzed from the CCZ area, *Kinorhyncha* ASVs were only detected in 15 samples from three cruises and six areas: one station in the IRA1 area, eight specimens; c density of juvenile specimens. Boxplots represent the median value (horizontal line within the box), the distributions of 50% of the data (the box), and the highest and lowest values within 95% of the distribution (the whisker).
stations in IOM (three), GSR (three) and IRA Trial (two) areas, and six stations in the BGR Trial (two) and BGR Reference (four) areas; while no kinorhynch ASVs were detected in the other areas of the CCZ (see Table 9). Amplicon sequencing of the V1&V2 hypervariable region of the 18S rRNA gene yielded 624 reads that matched to Kinorhyncha based on GenBank taxonomic assignments and eventually resulted in 14 ASVs. Of these, six blasted with different kinorhynch species from the NCBI database. These species found in the CCZ area include *Cephalorhyncha* sp., *Condyloides* sp., *Echinoderes ajax* Sørensen, 2014, *E. rex* Lundby et al., 2011, *Semnoderes armiger* Zelinka, 1928, and *Zelinkadeneres brightae* Sørensen et al., 2007 (Lundby et al. 2011; Sørensen 2014; Sørensen et al. 2007; Zelinka 1928) (Table 10). All detected kinorhynch ASVs had a grade value higher than 98% (Fig. 15), which further ensures taxonomic assignments with high precision.

Out of the species detected, the most widespread ASV was *Semnoderes armiger*, recovered at six stations (out of 15) from three CCZ areas (see Fig. 16): BGR Trial, BGR Reference, and IOM; whereas *Zelinkadeneres brightae* was collected at one station in the IOM, BGR Reference, and IRA1 areas, despite the low number of reads in the two latter areas. On the other hand, the genus *Cephalorhyncha* showed the highest diversity, with 5 ASVs detected in the CCZ, followed by the members of *Echinoderes*, which was represented by 4 ASVs. The phylogenetic tree from the kinorhynch ASVs of the CCZ area showed a distinct clustering pattern, with high posterior probabilities of different kinorhynch species according to GenBank taxonomic assignments (Fig. 17). Regarding the composition of kinorhynch ASVs among the six defined areas (Fig. 18, Table 10), the highest richness was observed in the GSR area (with seven different ASVs), followed by the IOM area (with six different ASVs). The BGR Reference area brought three different ASVs of which one is unique to this area (*Semnoderes armiger* ASV number 22242). Two different ASVs were detected in the IRA Trial area, including one unique ASV (*Echinoderes ajax* ASV number 12177). There is one common kinorhynch ASV revealed from the BGR Trial and BGR Reference areas as well as the IOM (*Semnoderes armiger* ASV number 8122). Only one ASV was detected from the IRA1 area which is shared with the IRA Trial area (*Zelinkadeneres brightae* ASV number 17043).

**Discussion**

**Notes on diagnostic features in *Echinoderes zeppilliae* sp. nov., *Echinoderes delaordeni* sp. nov., and *Echinoderes sanctorum* sp. nov.**

The three new species are easily distinguished from their congeners by the combination of two conspicuous features: middorsal spines from segments 4 to 8 and type 2 glandular cell outlets on most trunk segments. Specifically, *Echinoderes sanctorum* sp. nov. has the largest number of type 2 glandular cell outlets, from segments 1 to 9. *Echinoderes ohtsukai* Herranz & Leander, 2016 is the only congener characterized by the presence of this kind of outlets in most of the segments so far. Thus, *E. ohtsukai* has type 2 glandular cell outlets on segments 2–9, being absent on segment 1 (Herranz and Leander 2016). Moreover, *E. ohtsukai* has a middorsal spine only on segment 4 (vs. middorsal spines of *Echinoderes*

| DNA extraction ID | Cruise | Databank ID | Area | Core | Station | Fixative | Latitude | Longitude |
|-------------------|--------|-------------|------|------|---------|----------|----------|-----------|
| 121               | KM14-08, Mangan 2014 | 85   | IRA1 | 8    | 8       | DESS | 11° 47.400' N | 117° 32.740' W |
| 72                | SO239, JPIO/CCZ 2015 | 124 | GSR 4 | 11   | 896     | DESS | 11° 51.28' N | 123° 14.69' W |
| 73                | SO239, JPIO/CCZ 2015 | 125 | GSR 7 | 8    | 912     | DESS | 11° 51.06' N | 123° 14.22' W |
| 74                | SO239, JPIO/CCZ 2015 | 141 | GSR 6 | 8    | 1041    | DESS | 11° 50.80' N | 123° 04.66' W |
| 69                | SO239, JPIO/CCZ 2015 | 104 | IOM 4 | 12   | 793     | DESS | 11° 03.89' N | 119° 39.18' W |
| 67                | SO239, JPIO/CCZ 2015 | 92  | IOM 11| 4    | 602     | DESS | 11° 04.38' N | 119° 39.35' W |
| 58                | SO239, JPIO/CCZ 2015 | 86  | IOM 14| 1    | 527     | DESS | 11° 45.02' N | 119° 39.81' W |
| 65                | SO239, JPIO/CCZ 2015 | 64  | IRA1TR | 12  | 407     | DESS | 11° 48.97' N | 117° 30.13' W |
| 63                | SO239, JPIO/CCZ 2015 | 64  | IRA1TR | 1    | 419     | DESS | 11° 48.97' N | 117° 30.13' W |
| 36                | SO268-2, Mining Impact 2 | 387 | DredgeTestArea | 11 | 185     | DESS | 11° 51.774' N | 117° 00.760' W |
| 27                | SO268-2, Mining Impact 2 | 307 | BGR Reference | 9   | 178     | DESS | 11° 50.456' N | 117° 03.323' W |
| 23                | SO268-2, Mining Impact 2 | 244 | BGR Reference | 9   | 171     | DESS | 11° 51.003' N | 117° 03.323' W |
| 16                | SO268-2, Mining Impact 2 | 250 | BGR Reference | 9   | 39      | DESS | 11° 55.844' N | 117° 01.339' W |
| 17                | SO268-2, Mining Impact 2 | 267 | BGR Trial | 8   | 43      | DESS | 11° 55.844' N | 117° 01.339' W |
| 4                 | SO268-2, Mining Impact 2 | 32  | BGR Trial | 6   | 6       | DESS | 11° 55.798' N | 117° 01.474' W |
Table 10  List of the kinorhynch ASVs/species detected by metabarcoding in this study, specifying the extraction identification code and the station/area of collection in the CCZ

| ASV number | Species | BGR Trial | BGR Reference | IRA Trial |
|------------|---------|-----------|---------------|-----------|
| 4222       | Echinoderes ajax | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| 20386      | Echinoderes ajax | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12177      | Echinoderes ajax | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18056      | Echinoderes rex | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8122       | Semnoderes armiger | 2 | 3 | 11 | 0 |
| 22242      | Semnoderes armiger | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8233       | Zelinkaderes brightae | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8793       | Cephalorhyncha sp. HY 2012a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8996       | Cephalorhyncha sp. HY 2012a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13095      | Cephalorhyncha sp. HY 2012a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13156      | Cephalorhyncha sp. HY 2012a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13816      | Cephalorhyncha sp. HY 2012a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11363      | Condyloderes sp. MVS2014b | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17043      | Zelinkaderes brightae | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| ASV number | Species | IOM | GSR | IRA1 |
|------------|---------|-----|-----|------|
| 4222       | Echinoderes ajax | 0 | 0 | 137 |
| 20386      | Echinoderes ajax | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 12177      | Echinoderes ajax | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18056      | Echinoderes rex | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8122       | Semnoderes armiger | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 22242      | Semnoderes armiger | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8233       | Zelinkaderes brightae | 64 | 0 | 0 |
| 8793       | Cephalorhyncha sp. HY 2012a | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8996       | Cephalorhyncha sp. HY 2012a | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13095      | Cephalorhyncha sp. HY 2012a | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13156      | Cephalorhyncha sp. HY 2012a | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13816      | Cephalorhyncha sp. HY 2012a | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11363      | Condyloderes sp. MVS2014b | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17043      | Zelinkaderes brightae | 0 | 0 | 0 |
Echinoderes sanctorum sp. nov. being present on segments 4 to 8), and lacks lateroventral spines on segment 9, a feature present in *Echinoderes sanctorum* sp. nov. The presence of these rather uncommon glandular cell outlets on segment 1 was only reported in three additional *Echinoderes* species: *Echinoderes unispinosus* Yamasaki et al., 2018b, *Echinoderes anniae* Sørensen et al., 2018, and *Echinoderes hamiltonorum* Sørensen et al., 2018. However, all of them show additional type 2 glandular cell outlets only on segments 2 and 8, plus on segment 5 in the two former species. The three referred species furthermore have middorsal spines in fewer segments than *Echinoderes sanctorum* sp. nov.: *E. unispinosus* has a single middorsal spine on segment 4, and *E. anniae* and *E. hamiltonorum* on segments 4, 6, and 8 (Sørensen et al. 2018; Yamasaki et al. 2018b).

*Echinoderes delaordeni* sp. nov. has type 2 glandular cell outlets on segments 2 and 4–9. The four congeners that show most resemblance in this outlet distribution are *Echinoderes multiporus* Yamasaki et al., 2018b, *Echinoderes hwiizaa* Yamasaki & Fujimoto, 2014, *Echinoderes serratulus* Yamasaki, 2016, and *Echinoderes schwieringae* Yamasaki et al., 2019. Of them, *E. multiporus* has the outlets on the same segments as *Echinoderes delaordeni* sp. nov., but may be easily distinguished from the new species by its tergal extensions, which are elongated and spiny-shaped in *E. multiporus* (shortened and distally rounded in *E. delaordeni* sp. nov.), and by the middorsal spine pattern, only present on segments 4, 6, and 8 in *E. multiporus* (Yamasaki et al. 2018b) (throughout segments 4 to 8 in *E. delaordeni* sp. nov.). The remaining three species also show a different middorsal spine pattern: spines completely absent in *E. hwiizaa* (Yamasaki and Fujimoto 2014), spines only present on segment 4 in *E. serratulus* (Yamasaki 2016) and spines on segments 4, 6, and 8 in *E. schwieringae* (Yamasaki et al. 2019). Furthermore, these three species also lack type 2 glandular cell outlets on some of the segments present in *Echinoderes delaordeni* sp. nov.: *E. schwieringae* lacks them on segment 6, and *E. hwiizaa* and *E. serratulus* on segment 9. Finally, *E. hwiizaa* and *E. serratulus* possess ventral glandular cell outlets type 2 on segment 2, instead of the ventral tubes found in *E. delaordeni* sp. nov.

Out of the three new species described herein, *Echinoderes zeppilliae* sp. nov. is the one with the lowest number of type 2 glandular cell outlets, only present on segments 2, 5 and 7–9. The presence of type 2 glandular cells on segments 2, 5, and 8
(consequently absent on segments 1, 3–4, and 6) is a relatively common pattern among species with this kind of outlets, shared by *Echinoderes cernunnos* Sørensen et al., 2012, *Echinoderes drogoni* Grzelak & Sørensen, 2018, *Echinoderes romanoi* Landers & Sørensen, 2016, and *Echinoderes xalkutaat* Cepeda et al., 2019a. Nevertheless, only *E. cernunnos* also shows this feature on segment 7 as *Echinoderes zeppilliae* sp. nov. (Sørensen et al. 2012). Though, none of the aforementioned species share with *Echinoderes zeppilliae* sp. nov. the presence of the type 2 glandular cell outlets on segment 9. The four mentioned congeners furthermore have more than one pair of these outlets on segment 2 (Cepeda et al. 2019a; Grzelak and Sørensen 2018; Landers and Sørensen 2016; Sørensen et al. 2012), and the new species has not such additional pairs but ventrolateral tubes instead. Additionally, *E. cernunnos* and *E. xalkutaat* also differ from the new species by the presence of elongated, spinous-shaped tergal extensions (Cepeda et al. 2019a; Grzelak and Sørensen 2018; Landers and Sørensen 2016; Sørensen et al. 2012), which are short and distally rounded in *E. zeppilliae* sp. nov.

Regarding the midventral fissure on segment 2 of *Echinoderes zeppilliae* sp. nov., few congeners share the presence of such uncommon feature whose appearance varies with the developmental maturation of a specimen. Consequently, as it becomes only visible in older adults and not in recently hatched specimens, the fissure cannot be used as a useful and reliable diagnostic character to discriminate the new species from those with a similar but weaker feature (*Echinoderes aureus* Adrianov et al., 2002, *Echinoderes setiger* Greeff, 1869, *Echinoderes eximus* Higgins & Kristensen, 1988, *Echinoderes peterseni* Higgins & Kristensen, 1988, *Echinoderes obtuspinosus* Sørensen et al., 2012, and *Echinoderes truncatus* Higgins, 1983) (see Grzelak and Sørensen 2018; Herranz et al. 2017; Neuhaus 2013; Neuhaus and Blasche 2006; Sørensen 2006); or with a more conspicuous fissure (*Echinoderes tubilak* Higgins & Kristensen, 1988, *Echinoderes angustus* Higgins & Kristensen, 1988, *Echinoderes aquilonius* Higgins & Kristensen, 1988, *Echinoderes pennaki* Higgins, 1960) (Grzelak and Sørensen 2018; Herranz et al. 2017; Neuhaus 2013; Neuhaus and Blasche 2006). In any case, the referred species differ from the new one in the lack of ventral tubes on segment 2 and dorsal ones on segment 10, as well as in the pattern of type 2 glandular cells, as their outlets appear on segments 2, 4, 5, and 8 (also on segment 10 for *E. angustus* and *E. aquilonius*).

Even though *Echinoderes zeppilliae* sp. nov., *Echinoderes delaordeni* sp. nov., and *Echinoderes sanctorum* sp. nov. can be easily recognized and discriminated from their congeners by their distribution of middorsal spines and type 2 glandular cell outlets, they also resemble each other in several ways and a detailed discussion focusing on the three new species becomes necessary in order to accomplish proper specimen identifications. Beside the general sensory spot distributions...
through the trunk, which can exhibit minor intraspecific variation in position and whose observation becomes hard in many cases, there are many other useful features to discriminate between them. First, the pattern of type 2 glandular cell outlets, a feature traditionally used to distinguish among Echinoderes species (being even the single mayor character for discrimination between E. horni Higgins, 1983 and E. parahorni Cepeda et al., 2019b), differs among the three species: on segments 2, 5–9 in Echinoderes zeppilliae sp. nov., on segments 2, 4–9 in Echinoderes delaordeni sp. nov., and on segments 1–9 in Echinoderes sanctorum sp. nov. These patterns are constant for all the specimens of Echinoderes zeppilliae sp. nov. and Echinoderes sanctorum sp. nov.; although it is true that Echinoderes delaordeni sp. nov. shows certain degree of intraspecific variation in the distribution of the referred features, this variability only affects to the bilateral pattern and do not imply the complete lack of the structure on any segment in any specimen, being always present at least in odd number at one side of the tergal plates. Additionally, the rare, consistent dorsal distribution of the type 1 glandular cells in Echinoderes delaordeni sp. nov., shifting the position between adjacent segments as a zig-zag alignment (segments 4–7) rather than following the common arrangements present among their congeners and in the other two species described herein, helps in the discrimination of the species. Moreover, there are four additional morphological characters that allow us to distinguish Echinoderes delaordeni sp. nov. from Echinoderes zeppilliae sp. nov. and Echinoderes sanctorum sp. nov. While the last two species show serrated primary pectinated fringes with long tips, a common character among Echinoderes species, Echinoderes delaordeni sp. nov. has very unique primary pectinate fringes, with marked indentations alternating longer tips and 2–3 shorter tips, giving a frayed appearance to the edge of the segments (the character is consistent between specimens and independent of sampling protocols). Regarding measurements, the spine lengths in Echinoderes delaordeni sp. nov. are conspicuously shorter compared to the total trunk length than in the other two new species. This fact is more evident in the middorsal series and toward the posterior segments (see Tables 3, 5, and 7): middorsal spines of segment 7 have a proportion compared to the total trunk length of 9%, while those of Echinoderes zeppilliae sp. nov. and Echinoderes sanctorum sp. nov. reach values of 17% and 23%, respectively; middorsal spines of segment 8 have a proportion compared to the total trunk length of 11% in Echinoderes delaordeni sp. nov., while those of Echinoderes zeppilliae sp. nov. and Echinoderes sanctorum sp. nov. reach values of 24% (twice) and 40% (fourfold), respectively. Moreover, it is noteworthy that Echinoderes delaordeni sp. nov., though being the species with the shorter length spine ratio, has the largest laterodorsal tubes on segment 10 (LD10:TL average ratio 16%; Echinoderes zeppilliae sp. nov. 11%; and Echinoderes sanctorum sp. nov. 7%).
Concerning *Echinoderes sanctorum* sp. nov., as noted above among other characteristics, it can be readily discriminated from its congeners described herein by the presence of larger middorsal spines compared to the total trunk length, a more evident feature toward the posterior trunk segments (MD7:TL average ratio 23%; MD8:TL average ratio 40%). Moreover, out of the three species discussed in this section, females of *Echinoderes sanctorum* sp. nov. and *Echinoderes zeppilliae* sp. nov. show thin and slender lateral terminal accessory spines, more robust in *Echinoderes delaordeni* sp. nov. However, once more, the dimensions of the features help in the morphological identification of the species: *Echinoderes sanctorum* sp. nov. has the largest lateral terminal accessory spines compared to the lateral terminal spines (see Table 5, average ratio 40%), while those of *Echinoderes delaordeni* sp. nov. and *Echinoderes zeppilliae* sp. nov. are 30% (see Tables 3 and 7). Finally, the presence of sensory spots in the lateral series on segments 2–9, except on segment 4, may be useful for the identification of the species, since laterodorsal and midlateral sensory spots are only present on segments 2, 4, 6, 8–9 in *Echinoderes delaordeni* sp. nov.; and on segments 2, 6, 8–9 in *Echinoderes zeppilliae* sp. nov.

### The Kinorhyncha community from the CCZ and the Peru Basin

The kinorhyncha community of the CCZ is moderately diverse (16 morphologically detected species), but of low density (669 specimens in 254 cores), following the common trends of meiofaunal animals in abyssal plains and in nodule-bearing areas particularly (Glover and Smith 2003; Lambshead et al. 2003). Among the contractor areas, the BGR area is the best studied area by far in terms of sampling effort and hence the higher diversity found there could be expected compared to the other studied areas. Regarding the BGR area, the kinorhyncha community is dominated by the family Echinoderidae, which includes two exclusive species (*Echinoderes zeppilliae* sp. nov. and *Echinoderes sanctorum* sp.6). It is likely that the species absent at the BGR area but found in the other studied contract areas (*Mixtophyes* sp.1, *Centroderes* sp.1, *Condyloderes kurilensis*, and *Echinoderes juliae*) are present here too, but may not have been gathered yet because of their low abundance, since their presence was restricted to only one or two specimens in the UKSR I and GSR areas. Therefore, the kinorhyncha community in terms of presence of morphospecies seems quite homogeneous across the CCZ, independently of the contract area.

Agreeing with morphological examinations, metabarcoding data revealed 14 ASVs with low numbers of reads, which further confirms the low density and biomass of kinorhynchs in the manganese nodule area of the CCZ compared to other meiofauna taxa. In line with morphological analyses too, Echinoderidae is the most common family found in genetic analyses, with *Cephalorhyncha* (5 ASVs, detected in IOM and GSR areas) and *Echinoderes* (4 ASVs, present in IOM, GSR, and IRA Trial areas) as the two most common genera. Most of the morphospecies from *Cephalorhyncha* and *Echinoderes* are new and therefore not available in GenBank, which explains why none of them were revealed by metabarcoding analyses at the species level. All the 9 ASVs from these two genera had grade values, between 98.2 and 99.8, which may indicate a low 18S genetic variability between species of kinorhynchs. It is noteworthy that none of the 14 ASVs detected by genetic analyses blasted with the genus *Meristoderes* even though *M. taro* was revealed as the fourth taxa in abundance. This genus is unresolved phylogenetically since it was recovered as a paraphyletic group, with its members nested within *Echinoderes* species (Sørensen et al. 2015). Therefore, one or more ASVs of the *Echinoderes* genus of our data may actually represent *M. taro*. This hypothesis may also be applied to the remaining genus of the family Echinoderidae found only in the morphological records, namely *Fissuroderes*. A single species, *F. higginsi*, was identified in our samples although in low number (four specimens). There are no available sequences in GenBank from this genus, but knowing the unresolved phylogenetic relationships within the family Echinoderidae (Sørensen et al. 2015), the ASVs assigned to *Echinoderes* or *Cephalorhyncha* found in our data may belong to *F. higginsi*. Our argument acquires more strength when comparing both molecular and morphological results since 9 ASVs were assigned to Echinoderidae and 10 morphospecies of Echinoderidae were identified, with two species of the latter group represented by singletons (*E. juliae* and *E. sp.6) and hence with lower probabilities of being sampled and detected by metabarcoding. Two other taxa identified by genetics, *Semnoderes* and *Condyloderes*, were both recorded by morphology in the CCZ; however, there are no available sequences in GenBank from *S. pacificus* or from *C. kurilensis* to confirm the species-level assignment in metabarcoding. A single specimen from *Centroderes* was found in morphological records but not detected by metabarcoding, most probably due to the rarity of the taxa. The species *Zelinkaderes brightae* (Sørensen et al., 2007) revealed by metabarcoding analyses (99.9 grade value, 74 reads and 2 ASVs) was described from the Atlantic Ocean, off Fort Pierce, Florida (Sørensen et al. 2007), and other members of this genus were recorded from the North Sea and Red Sea; however, further analyses are recommended, as neither the species nor the genus have ever been found in the studied area by morphological examination.

Most of the morphospecies and ASVs found in the CCZ appear to have a wide geographic distribution, being present in several contract areas, including *Fissuroderes higginsi*, *Semnoderes pacificus*, *Cephalorhyncha polunga*, *Meristoderes taro*, *Echinoderes delaordeni* sp. nov., *Echinoderes sanctorum* sp. nov., and *Echinoderes* sp. 4. The
last five species, plus Condyloderes kurilensis and Campyloderes cf. vanhoeffeni, were also found in the reference area of the DEA, in the Peru Basin, together with four exclusive, undescribed species (Antygomonas sp.1, Dracoderes sp.1, Echinoderes sp.5, and Cristaphyes sp.1). Our findings in the CCZ and the Peru Basin increase the distribution pattern of these species, or fill some gaps in the distribution area across the Pacific Ocean for other ones. Campyloderes cf. vanhoeffeni was recorded world-wide, from the Faroe Islands to Antarctica (Neuhaus and Sørensen 2013; Zelinka 1913; Condyloderes kurilensis, Echinoderes juliae, Fissuroderes higginsi, and Semnoderes pacificus were originally described from the Pacific (C. kurilensis from the northwest, see Adrianov and Maiorova 2016; E. juliae from USA west coast, see Sørensen et al. 2018; S. pacificus and F. higginsi from the southwest, see Higgins 1967 and Neuhaus and Blasche 2006); and reported also in deep-sea waters of the Pacific Ocean or the Caribbean Sea (F. higginsi and C. kurilensis between southern Oregon and southern California, and S. pacificus in Panama; see Sørensen 2006; Sørensen et al. 2018, 2019). Thus, it is not surprising that these species also inhabit the CCZ and/or the Peru Basin according to our results. Although the Peru Basin was not included in the metabarcoding analyses of this study, the occurrence of one species (Zelinkaderes brightae) in three defined areas across the CCZ (IOM, BGR Reference, and IRA1) (Fig. 16) can support the hypothesis of the widespread distribution pattern of some kinorhynch species, congruent with morphological analyses of this study and previous records of Campyloderes cf. vanhoeffeni, Condyloderes kurilensis, E. juliae, F. higginsi, and S. pacificus (Adrianov and Maiorova 2016; Neuhaus and Blasche 2006; Neuhaus and Sørensen 2013; Sørensen 2006; Sørensen et al. 2018, 2019).

Significant environmental differences between the GSR, BGR Reference, and IOM areas associated with, for example, total organic carbon, chloro-plastic pigments equivalents, mud content, level of nodule coverage, and/or depth (Macherirotou et al. 2020; Hauquier et al. 2019), may explain the low number of shared ASVs (Fig. 18). Out of the 14 ASVs, four were unique to the GSR and three to the IOM areas, and these two areas shared only two ASVs. None ASV was shared between all the 6 areas and just 4 ASVs occurred in more than one area. A couple of studies have investigated the CCZ meiofauna assemblages using a metabarcoding approach but mainly focused on nematodes, as it is the most abundant group among metazoans (Macherirotou et al. 2020, 2021), or foraminiferans (Lejzerowicz et al. 2021); therefore, no comparison could be provided here in respect to Kinorhyncha. However, among the main results of the referred studies, the authors highlighted the uniqueness of fauna and the significant differences in alpha diversity among the CCZ areas and in comparison with the mid and south Atlantic, northwest Pacific, and southern oceans (Lejzerowicz et al. 2021); a pattern that could be also inferred from the kinorhynch community analyzed in this study.

It is noteworthy to mention the high abundance of juveniles at the CCZ (80%) and the Peru Basin (70%), and the low number of specimens collected from nodules (inhabiting the surface or the crevices). Only one adult specimen of Cephalorhyncha polunga and one of Echinoderes sp.4 were found in the sediment of washed nodules, whereas the remaining specimens sorted from nodules were juveniles (eight specimens). Nevertheless, specimens of both species were also present in soft sediment samples of the CCZ, which at least for the moment suggests that none of the studied kinorhynch species have a clear preference for nodule habitat. In contrary to the patterns shown by other meiofaunal groups in the CCZ, such as nematodes, that show a lower juvenile proportion than in other deep-sea environments (Gambi et al. 2003; Miljutina et al. 2010), the high proportion of kinorhynch juveniles irrespective of the contract area and the year or season of sampling might point to a reproduction strategy that enables minimization of energetic investment. Due to the food limitation at abyssal depths (Smith et al. 2008b), many meiofauna groups grow slowly and have long life cycles with low energetic expenditure (Seibel and Drazen 2007; Gierke 2009), shifting from a “latent” to a “reproductive” community with more juvenile specimens (Ingels et al. 2013). Knowledge on lifecycle duration of kinorhynchs is largely scarce, but the registered kinorhynch densities in our study are worthy of attention in this context. Differences in the total density of kinorhynchs were accompanied by differences in the density of juveniles, although densities of adult specimens were similar between all the contract areas of the CCZ. This fact points to population regulation processes, such as predation or competition for food supply, which could potentially limit the juvenile growth and eventually increase death rates, controlling the adult population size. Conversely, the Peru Basin community had higher survival rates of juveniles, reaching the adult stage and enhancing adult densities compared to the CCZ. Even though food input is one of the main driving factors of abyssal populations at regional to global scale (Smith et al. 2008b), it does not appear to play the decisive role in juvenile survival rates in the exploration contract areas, all located within a mesotrophic zone, and hence with intermediated levels of productivity. Otherwise, the juvenile and adult densities would then be expected to decrease according to the east-to-west drop in sea-surface primary productivity in the CCZ (Bonifácio et al. 2019). This idea must be taken with caution due to the seasonality of the campaigns and the low number of our samples from all the areas, except for the BGR. On the other hand, and even though the low amount of data from the APEI3, the low kinorhynch density found is in line with previous faunistic studies carried out at this oligotrophic area (Bonifácio et al. 2019; Brix et al. 2020), where food supply is minimal and does can negatively affect the total kinorhynch density acting as a
crucial limiting factor, while the kinorhynch density showed higher values in the investigated mesotrophic areas. Considering these facts, APEI3 does not seem to be a proper reservoir either for the kinorhynch community of the studied contractor areas (at least in terms of density), as has also been determined for other fauna groups such as isopods and polychaetes (Bonifácio et al. 2019; Brix et al. 2020). Finally, as already observed in shallow waters by Hoffinan et al. (2021), no relation was found between the number of juveniles and gender of the adults that could help clarify the distribution patterns of these communities. Therefore, as is also the case for other meiofaunal groups (Rosli et al. 2018), the kinorhynch communities of the CCZ and the Peru Basin appear to be regulated by a complex mix of biological processes, biotic and abiotic factors that cannot be deciphered due to the relative paucity of adequate data at this stage.

Conclusions

The Clarion-Clipperton Fracture Zone harbors a moderately diverse Kinorhyncha community, with 16 morphologically detected species and 14 ASVs. The high number of singletons at the studied sites likely reflects a diversity underestimation of the whole area and thus new species may be collected during future sampling campaigns. More data from APEI3 or indeed from other APEIs in the CCZ are urgently needed in order to assess the representativeness and accuracy such areas to preserve the biodiversity of the CCZ, including Kinorhyncha. Further investigations combining both morphological and molecular (barcoding) taxonomic approaches from many more samples of all the studied contractor areas would be desirable in order to properly characterize the kinorhynch biodiversity and to estimate the current distribution ranges of the species, since both issues are crucial for the management of deep-sea mining activities.
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