Multiparametric Whole Blood Dissection: A One-Shot Comprehensive Picture of the Human Hematopoietic System
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Abstract

Human hematopoiesis is a complex and dynamic system where morphologically and functionally diverse mature cell types are generated and maintained throughout life by bone marrow (BM) Hematopoietic Stem/Progenitor Cells (HSPC). Congenital and acquired hematopoietic disorders are often diagnosed through the detection of aberrant frequency or composition of hematopoietic cell populations. We here describe a novel protocol, called “Whole Blood Dissection” (WBD), capable of analyzing in a single test-tube, hematopoietic progenitors and all major mature cell lineages composing either BM or peripheral blood (PB) through a multiparametric flow-cytometry analysis. WBD allows unambiguously identifying in the same tube up to 23 different blood cell types including HSPC subtypes and all the major myeloid and lymphoid lineage compartments at different stages of maturation, through a combination of 17 surface and 1 viability cell markers. We assessed the efficacy of WBD by analyzing BM and PB samples from adult (n = 8) and pediatric (n = 9) healthy donors highlighting age-related shift in cell composition. We also tested the capability of WBD on detecting aberrant hematopoietic cell composition in clinical samples of patients with primary immunodeficiency or leukemia unveiling expected and novel hematopoietic imbalances. Overall, WBD allows unambiguously identifying >99% of the cell subpopulations composing a blood sample in a reproducible, standardized, cost-, and time-efficient manner. This tool has a wide range of potential pre-clinical and clinical applications going from the characterization of hematopoietic disorders to the monitoring of hematopoietic reconstitution in patients after transplant or gene therapy.
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HUMAN hematopoiesis is a hierarchically organized system in which distinct cell types with disparate properties and characteristics are continuously generated from primitive hematopoietic progenitors, mainly resident in the bone marrow (BM). Several pathological conditions such as immunodeficiencies and tumors are associated with an altered hematopoiesis with unbalanced frequency of immature vs. mature cell types or aberrant cell phenotypes (1–13). Primary immunodeficiencies (PID) are a heterogeneous group of genetic inherited disorders which affect distinct components of the innate and adaptive immune system, with impairment of their differentiation and/or functions (3,4,6,10,14–17). Although the treatment of choice for the most severe variants of PID patients remains bone marrow transplantation (BMT), over the last decades, gene therapy (GT) based on autologous infusion of gene-corrected hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells (HSPC), has proven, in many cases, to be a safe and efficacious clinical alternative (18–25). Abnormal hematopoiesis can also result from the aberrant expansion of transformed hematopoietic cells.
In case of Acute Lymphoid Leukemia (ALL) and Acute Myeloid Leukemia (AML) the leukemic blasts dominate the BM compartment with profound suppression of other hematopoietic lineages (1,2,11,13,26–28). Leukemic blasts often display aberrant immunophenotypes differing from normal mature cells on the presence of immature-cell surface markers and low/mild CD45 expression (1,2,29,30). Chemo(radio)therapy and BMT from allogeneic donors are the conventional treatments for leukemic patients. Treated individuals remain monitored overtime for the detection of minimal residual disease (MRD) that might evolve in relapse events (31–33).

Human HSPC are commonly exploited for allogeneic and autologous transplantation, including GT as they have high differentiation and proliferation potential, long-term survival capacity and self-renewal ability (18,21,22,24). They are phenotypically characterized by the absence of mature lineage markers (LIN-) and by the expression of the CD34 molecule. Through the use CD38, CD90, CD45RA, CD10, CD7 and CD135 markers, seven different HSPC subsets with diverse differentiation and survival potential have been identified (34–37). Various agents are capable of mobilizing the HSPC from the BM niche to the PB, increasing the accessibility and the number of cells available for transplantation (38,39). Under physiological conditions, few HSPC are found in PB of untreated subjects (40–42) and, in absence of pharmacological mobilization, their amount in the periphery is a marker of disease progression and/or of treatment efficacy for several hematopoietic disorders (43–46).

Morphological examination is one of the routine laboratory tests performed for the diagnosis of hematopoietic/immunological disorders, when alterations of the physiological differentiation of hematopoietic cells are suspected (1,29,47). Still, this test requires experienced investigators, it is time consuming, not accurate in quantification and the identification of rare blood cell types through this method could be difficult. Conversely, through the simultaneous measurement of multiple fluorescent antibodies each binding to a specific surface or intracytoplasmatic molecule, flow cytometry provides a highly quantitative and reproducible technique (1,2,30,47–49). To assess the relative frequencies of blood cellular components, cytometric analyses are commonly performed (1,2,48–52) by splitting the available biological sample on different test tubes, each dedicated to the analysis of a restricted group of leukocyte subpopulations through a limited set of surface markers. As a result, the standard analyses do not allow identifying co-expression of >6–8 independent markers at a time and the relative frequency of all the different blood cell subtypes cannot be concomitantly assessed on the same sample (1,47,51,53). When applied to the study of human HSPC subtypes, cytometric evaluation is generally confined to the CD34+ compartment or performed on CD34+ cells purified from BM samples through magnetic beads or FACs-sorting (34–37,54). This implies collecting a relatively high amount of cells from the BM as the isolation protocol might lead to the loss of a substantial fraction of the original sample.

Recently, mass spectrometry-based flow cytometry has been developed to increase the resolution of multiparametric analyses allowing studying simultaneously a large number of different cell markers (48,55,56). CyTOF (Time-of-Flight cytometer) mass cytometer is able to combine single cell analysis typical of flow cytometry, with the high resolution and sensitivity of TOF-mass spectrometry (55,56) exploiting heavy metal isotopes conjugated to molecules bind to antibodies. Overcoming some of the existing challenges in flow cytometry, CyTOF has been exploited to characterize diverse populations of immune cells (56). However, the extensive optimization of panel design, the high cost of the instrumentation, the need for specialized personnel and the time required for the analysis limit the application of this promising technology for routine laboratory tests (55).

Addressing these issues, we designed a novel flow cytometry protocol that we called Whole Blood Dissection (WBD), which combines 17 surface markers and 1 viability cell marker accounting for a wide spectrum of hematopoietic lineages. Starting from a limited amount of whole blood (WB), WBD allows analyzing at one time in a single test tube up to 23 different blood cell types composing either BM or PB samples including HSPC subpopulations and all the major cell lineages at different stages of maturations.

**Materials and Methods**

**Biological Sample Sources Description**

Bone Marrow (BM) and peripheral blood (PB) samples were collected at Ospedale San Raffaele in Milan with approval of the San Raffaele Scientific Institute’s Ethics Committee and consent from parents or subjects. Blood was drawn via sterile venipuncture into vacutainers containing K2EDTA.
Multiparametric immunophenotyping of human hematopoiesis

Whole Blood Dissection Staining

PB and BM aspirate were stained according the protocol described in the “Supporting Information” Section. In brief, after RBC lysis, the samples were labeled with fluorescent antibodies against CD3, CD56, CD14, CD61/41, CD135, CD34, CD45RA (Biolegend) and CD33, CD66b, CD38, CD45, CD90, CD10, CD11c, CD19, CD7, and CD71 (BD Biosciences). The conjugated fluorochromes are reported in Table 1. Titration assays were performed to assess the best antibody concentration. After surface marking, the cells were incubated with PI (Biolegend) to stain dead cells. All samples were acquired through BD LSIFortessa (BD Bioscience) cytofluorimeter after Rainbow beads (Spherotech) calibration and raw data were collected through DIVA software (BD Biosciences). The data were subsequently analyzed with FlowJo software Version 9.3.2 (TreeStar) and the graphical output was automatically generated through Prism 6.0c (GraphPad software).

Cell Sorting and Morphological Evaluation

To isolate the different whole blood subpopulations for morphological validation we set up 5 different sorting strategies. Sorting 1 was performed to isolate T, NKt, NK and all CD19+ cells. Sorting 2 was performed to isolate mature B cells, Pre-B, and Pro-B precursors. Sorting 3 was performed to isolate PMN, iPMN, Monocytes and DCs. Sorting 4 was performed to isolate erythroblasts and Myeloblasts. Sorting 5 was performed to isolate pro-erythroblasts and lymphoid-committed progenitors (Pro-Lymphocytes). The list of the markers used in each sorting panel is shown on Figure 2A. We performed our morphological validation on BM samples from HD. The samples were prepared according to the steps 1–12 described in the WBD protocol, except for sorting 3 as monocytes are very sensitive to manipulations and their isolation required a different protocol. In brief, after the lysis step, we performed two rounds of platelets elimination through centrifugation at 160 rcf RT for 10 min. Additionally, in order to keep the monocytes alive, we used the WS buffer instead of PBS-FACS for all the steps and we worked on ice (See also Supporting Information). All the samples were FACS-purified by MoFlo-XDP cell sorter (Beckman Coulter). The cells were immobilized immediately after sorting onto glass microscope slides by cytospin (Cytospin3, Shandon) centrifugation and then colored with May-Grunwald/Giemsa (Sigma) staining. Images were collected using Axioskop40 microscope and AxiosCam MRc5 camera and analyzed through Axiosvision 4.8 Software (Zeiss). Morphological evaluation was performed in blind by a specialized hematologist.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were performed with Prism 6.0c (GraphPad software). Data are shown as mean ± SEM, unless otherwise specified. Tables displaying hematopoietic cell subtype frequencies report mean ± S.D. Analytical tests for statistical significance among groups employed Mann-Whitney test (P values are specified in each figure legend).

Table 1. List of markers used to identify hematopoietic subtypes in WBD protocol: combination of markers used for the definition of the hematopoietic subpopulations upon WBD

| Cell Type | Markers |
|-----------|---------|
| iPMN      | CD45+CD33+CD66b+Ss\text{high}CD10– and/or CD11c– |
| PMN       | CD45+CD33+CD66b+Ss\text{high}CD10+CD11c+ |
| Monocyte  | CD45+CD33+CD14+ |
| DC        | CD45+CD33+CD14–CD11c+ |
| Myeloblast | CD45+CD33+CD14–CD11c–CD34– |
| T Cell    | CD45+CD33–CD66b–CD3+CD56– |
| NK Cell   | CD45+CD33–CD66b–CD3+CD58+ |
| NK Cell   | CD45+CD33–CD66b–CD3–CD19–CD56+ |
| B Cell    | CD45+CD33–CD66b–CD3–CD19+CD10–CD34– |
| PRE-B     | CD45+CD33–CD66b–CD3–CD19+CD10+CD34– |
| Pro-B     | CD45+CD33–CD66b–CD3–CD19+CD10+CD34+ |
| Pro-lymphocyte | CD45+CD33–CD66b–CD3–CD19–CD56–CD34–CD71–CD41/61–CD7+ or CD10+ |
| Pro-erythroblast | CD45+CD33–CD66b–CD3–CD19–CD56–CD34–CD71+ |
| Erythroblast | CD45–CD71+ |
| HSC       | CD45+CD14–CD11c–CD3–CD19–CD56–CD34+CD38–CD90+CD45RA– |
| MPP       | CD45+CD14–CD11c–CD3–CD19–CD56–CD34+CD38–CD90–CD45RA– |
| MLP       | CD45+CD14–CD11c–CD3–CD19–CD56–CD34+CD38–CD90–CD45RA+ |
| ETP       | CD45+CD14–CD11c–CD3–CD19–CD56–CD34+CD38+CD7–CD10+CD45RA+ |
| Pre-B/NK  | CD45+CD14–CD11c–CD3–CD19–CD56–CD34+CD38+CD7–CD10+CD45RA+ |
| CMP       | CD45+CD14–CD11c–CD3–CD19–CD56–CD34+CD38+CD7–CD10–CD135+CD45RA– |
| GMP       | CD45+CD14–CD11c–CD3–CD19–CD56–CD34+CD38+CD7–CD10–CD135+CD45RA+ |
| MEP       | CD45+CD14–CD11c–CD3–CD19–CD56–CD34+CD38+CD7–CD10–CD135–CD45RA– |

5.4 mg (Becton Dickinson (BD) Vacutainer®; REF no. 8019839). Bone marrow was drawn form the iliac crest with a bone marrow aspiration needle 16G (VIGEO REF no.VVI1625/65) in a syringe of 10 ml (BD Emerald™ REF no. 8019839). Bone marrow was drawn from the iliac crest with a bone marrow aspiration needle 16G (VIGEO REF no. 8019839).
RESULTS

Technical Set up of the WBD Protocol

The WBD workflow comprises a step of red blood cells (RBC) lysis on WB samples followed by the immunostaining for surface and viability markers, the FACS acquisition and data analysis (Fig. 1A). The complete protocol is described in details in the Supporting Information section. For the original setup we identified the most informative surface molecules capable of unambiguously discriminating cell subpopulations and the optimal fluorochrome-marker combination to avoid co-expression of markers conjugated to fluorochromes with major spectral overlap. In order to reduce at minimum artifacts due to the changes in morphology and surface antibody...
binding properties of pre-apoptotic and apoptotic cells we included in our staining a viability marker (PI). After viability selection, we excluded mature RBC and non-hematopoietic cells through the expression of CD45 pan-leukocyte marker.

To identify HSPC subtypes, we made use of the panel of markers described in Doulatov et al. (34). In particular, we exploited CD34, CD38, CD45RA, CD90, CD7, CD10 and CD135 markers to classify primitive and committed progenitors. Among the primitive subsets (LIN-/CD34+/CD38–) we identified hematopoietic stem cells (HSC), multipotent progenitors (MPP) and multi-lymphoid progenitors (MLP). The committed progenitors (LIN–/CD34+/CD38–) were dissected into early T progenitors (ETP), B and NK cell precursors (Pre-B/NK), common myeloid progenitors (CMP), granulocyte-monocyte progenitors (GMP) and megakaryo-erythroid progenitors (MEP). We then selected additional antibodies for dissecting LIN+ cell subsets. The CD33 marker is expressed on the vast majority of myeloid cells while CD66b

![Figure 2. Morphological validation of WBD protocol: tables showing the sorting strategies and the resulting morphology of myeloid (B), lymphoid (C) and immature (D) cell subtypes isolated from bone marrow of healthy donors. Cells were sorted according to the markers listed in Table A and on the basis of the gating strategy described in “Figures 1B–1E.” For each compartment, the dot plots show the physical parameters (left plot) and the markers (right plot) used to identify and sort the different subpopulations. The pictures on the right show the observed morphology of the isolated cells (May-Grunwald-Giemsa staining; magnification reported on the bottom right corner of each picture). [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]](image-url)
Importantly, CD71 transferrin receptor is expressed in the two intermediate stages of CD34, CD45, CD71 and CD235a (1,60). In particular, been described for erythroid cells on the basis of the expression of CD71 among different experiments.

We performed Fluorescent-Minus-One (FMO) controls for all the complex compensation required to avoid signal spill over, exclude false positive events, due to auto-fluorescence and to reported in Supporting Information Table S1. In order to an accurate study of marker co-expression and fluorochrome emission spectra overlap to reduce at minimum technical artifacts (61). The complete list of the markers and the fluorochrome, for identifying myeloid (CD33−/CD66b−) and nonmyeloid (CD33+/CD66b+) cells. We then further dissected myeloid subsets through their morphological complexity parameter (SSC-A) and through the presence or absence of CD14 and CD11c surface molecules. CD14 is a pan-monocytes marker, while CD11c is present on circulating mature dendritic cells and their precursors (DC). To discriminate the major lymphocyte subsets we used CD3, CD19 and CD56 surface markers. CD3 antigen is a classical marker of mature T cells. Mature B lymphocytes and different state of B-cell maturation can be identified through their expression of the pan-B CD19 marker in combination with the CD34 and CD10 markers (1,57). CD3−/CD19−/CD56+ lymphocytes are Natural Killer (NK) cells, while the co-expression of CD56 and CD3 tags the so-called Natural Killer T (NKT) cells, a population with a restricted TCR repertoire mainly involved in anti-tumor response (58,59). For the identification of platelets (PLTs), we selected a cocktail of antibodies (anti-CD41/CD61) capable of recognizing both mature (CD45+) and immature (CD45−) platelets. Four different stages of maturation have been described for erythroid cells on the basis of the expression of CD34, CD45, CD71 and CD235a (1,60). In particular, CD71 transferrin receptor is expressed in the two intermediate steps of RBC development before the nucleus extrusion. Importantly, CD71+ immature erythroid cells are not lost during the RBC lysis step. The complete list of the hematopoietic subpopulations identifiable through WBD is reported in Table 1. The high number of markers in WBD protocol required an accurate study of marker co-expression and fluorochrome emission spectra overlap to reduce at minimum technical artifacts (61). The complete list of the markers and the fluorochromes necessary for performing the WBD protocol is reported in Supporting Information Table S1. In order to exclude false positive events, due to auto-fluorescence and to the complex compensation required to avoid signal spill over, we performed Fluorescent-Minus-One (FMO) controls for all the WB markers (Supporting Information Fig. S1). All the gates were then set on the basis of the FMO control samples. Finally, Rainbow beads (61) calibration was performed before each acquisition for achieving comparable instrument setup among different experiments.

**Characterizing BM and PB from Healthy Donors through WBD**

To test the efficiency of WBD on successfully identifying blood cell subtypes and on reproducibly assessing and discriminating their contribution in human BM and PB, we analyzed 4 BM and 4 PB WB samples from 8 adult (Ad) healthy donors (HD) (Figs. 1B–1E, Supporting Information Fig. S2 and Table S2). In the BM samples the CD45+ fraction contained cell subtypes with more diversified levels of CD45 expression as compared to the PB samples where the vast majority of the cells is CD45high due to their mature differentiation state. In the CD45− fraction of the BM we identified a substantial number of CD71+ erythroblasts (on average 82.4% of CD45− cells), which conversely were almost undetectable in the PB (P < 0.05 Mann-Whitney test, Supporting Information Table S2). The CD45+ cells were divided in myeloid (CD45+/CD33 + CD66b+) and nonmyeloid (CD45+/CD33−/CD66b−) populations.

Within the myeloid compartment we distinguished monocytes (SSC−/CD14+) and granulocytes (SSC−/CD14−). We then further dissected granulocytes in two populations with different degree of maturation: mature PMN (CD10+/CD11c+) and Immature PMN (iPMN; CD10− or CD11c−). In the SSC−/CD14− fraction we identified DC through the expression of CD11c while the negative events were divided into myeloid precursors (Myeloblast; CD34+) and myeloid-committed progenitors (CD34+) (Table 1). As expected, we found a higher relative contribution of iPMN and myeloblasts in the BM with respect to PB samples (on average on CD45+ cells, iPMN: 42.4% in BM vs. 1.7% in PB, P < 0.05; Myeloblasts: 9.9% in BM vs. 0.4% in PB, P < 0.05; Mann-Whitney test, Supporting Information Table S2).

Within the nonmyeloid compartment we discriminated T (CD3+/CD56−), NKt (CD3+/CD56+), B (mature: CD19+/CD10−/CD34−; Pre-B: CD19+/CD10+/CD34− and Pro-B: CD19+/CD10+/CD34+), NK (CD3−/CD19−/CD56+) cells and LIN-CD34+ cells (Table 1). We observed that mature T and NKt lymphocytes were significantly more abundant in PB than in BM samples (on average on total CD45+ cells, T cells: 36.2% in PB vs. 14.3% in BM P < 0.05; NKt cells: 2% in PB and 0.5% in BM P < 0.05; Mann-Whitney test; Supporting Information Table S2). Moreover, in accordance with what expected from the biology of B cell differentiation, we could identify, specifically and only in the BM samples, immature B cells (on average on lymphoid cells, Pre-B: 17% and Pro-B: 2.8%; Supporting Information Figs. S2C and S2D and Table S2).

Among CD45+/LIN−/CD34− cells we distinguished Pro-erythroblasts (CD71+), immature platelets (CD41/61−) and in the CD71− and CD41/61− compartment we identified two lymphoid-committed subsets (CD10+ or CD7+ (Table 1). As expected, we observed a higher frequency of immature LIN- cells in BM with respect to PB samples (on average on CD45+ cells 2.5% in BM vs. 0.2% in PB; P < 0.05 Mann-Whitney test; Supporting Information Fig. S2A and Table S2). Interestingly, Pro-erythroblasts were found only in the BM samples (on average on CD45+ LIN− cells: 21.2% in BM vs. 2.1% in PB; P < 0.05 Mann-Whitney test; Supporting Information Fig. S2E and Table S2).

We then pooled CD45+/LIN−/CD34− and CD45+/CD33 + CD66b+/CD34+ populations to analyze the entire HSPC compartment. We identified the most primitive subsets as HSC (CD90+/CD45RA−), MPP (CD90+/CD45RA−) and MLP (CD45RA+). Committed progenitors could be divided into ETP (CD7+), Pre-B/NK (CD7−/CD10), CMP (CD7−/CD10−/CD135+/CD45RA−), GMP (CD7−/CD10−/CD135+/CD45RA−).
CD45RA+) and MEP (CD7−/CD10−/CD135−/CD45RA−) (Table 1). Importantly, through WBD we could detect circulating HSPC in PB although at lower level with respect to their BM counterpart, on average 18.4% (PB) and 57.9% (BM) of CD45+/lin- cells (BM vs. PB \( P < 0.05 \) Mann-Whitney test, Supporting Information Table S2). Overall, with WBD we were able to univocally classify 23 different subpopulations covering on average the 99.7% of BM and the 99.8% of PB samples. The complete list of BM and PB cell population frequencies and comparative statistical test results are reported on Supporting Information Table S2.

Validating WBD Protocol through Morphological Assays

To assess whether the WBD was properly identifying each cell subtype, we performed five independent FACS sorting on BM samples from HD following the same gating strategy used in the WBD protocol. We then analyzed the morphology of sorted cells in blind tests to verify that it was matching their original phenotypic categorization through WBD (Figs. 2B–2D). The list of markers used for the 5 FACS sorting is reported in Figure 2A. As shown in Figures 2B and 2C, we were able to confirm that the evaluation of the morphology of all sorted myeloid and lymphoid lineages matched the results of the phenotypic characterization performed through our WBD protocol. Notably, the morphological validation of WBD allowed characterizing cell subtypes yet poorly described. Indeed, through WBD we could identify two additional blood cell subpopulations defined as CD45+/CD33−/CD66b−/lin−/CD34−/CD71−/CD10+ and CD45+/CD33−/CD66b−/lin−/CD34−/CD71−/CD7+ phenotype. We speculated that these subsets should have belonged to the lymphoid compartment due to the presence of CD10 and CD7 markers on their surface. Upon morphological evaluation we indeed confirmed that these cells displayed a lymphoid-like appearance being small round cells with a thin rim of cytoplasm (Fig. 2D). Our analysis showed also that, although having a univocal phenotypic definition (Table 1), the imPMN compartment seems actually composed by a morphologically mixed population of immature granulocytes at different stages of differentiation (Fig. 2B).

Testing WBD Protocol on WB Samples from Pediatric Healthy Donors and Patients Affected by PID

To investigate the efficacy of our protocol on detecting aberrant hematopoietic cell composition in patients with hematological disorders, we performed the WBD staining on 22 individuals affected by different diseases, comprising immunodeficiencies and hematological tumors (Supporting Information Table S3). Given that the age of an individual physiologically affects the composition of hematopoietic population, we analyzed BM samples from five pediatric (Ped) HD in addition to our cohort of Ad HD in order to obtain age-matched reference datasets for comparative analyses on pediatric patients. We then applied the WBD protocol on BM samples collected from four pediatric Adenosine Deaminase (ADA)-deficient severe combined immunodeficient (SCID) patients under enzyme replacement therapy and 6 Wiskott-Aldrich Syndrome (WAS) affected individuals (3 pediatric and 3 adult). These are two PID, whose defects were well characterized in previous studies (4–7,9,16,17,62), comprising altered or partially impaired hematopoiesis. The WBD protocol was able to detect the reduction of the lymphoid compartment and the unbalanced composition of B cell compartment in both PID. In particular, through WBD, in pediatric ADA-SCID patients we could observe abnormal population frequencies in line with the characteristic block in B cell maturation. These patients displayed a higher content of immature B cells with respect to age-matched HD (5) (79.5% vs. 53.9% of PreB cells in ADA-SCID and ped HD respectively. \( P < 0.05 \) Mann-Whitney test; Fig. 3C and Supporting Information Fig. S3D and S3E; Table 2) and lower frequencies of mature B cells when calculated on CD19+ cells, on total lymphocytes and on CD45+ cells. In our mixed adult and pediatric WAS patients population, our protocol highlighted the expected lower frequency of the CD19+ lymphocytes (4.6% vs. 12.6% CD19+ cells on total CD45+ cells, \( P < 0.01 \) Mann-Whitney test) and of the immature Pre-B cell subset within the lymphoid compartment (6.9% vs. 23.5% on total lymphoid cells, \( P < 0.01 \) Mann-Whitney test; Fig. 3C, Supporting Information Figs. S3D and S3E; Table 2), as compared to the HD group (Ad + ped) (7,17). Importantly, as WBD allows concomitantly analyzing all major blood cell populations, we were able to retrieve novel information on the BM of PID patients. We could unveil a relative higher percentage of monocytes (7.3% vs. 3.3% on total CD45+ cells; \( P < 0.001 \) Mann-Whitney test) and NK cells (20.2% vs. 7% on total lymphoid cells; \( P < 0.05 \) Mann-Whitney test) (Fig. 3C, Supporting Information Figs. S3C and S3D; Table 2) and a reduced HSPC content (0.6% vs. 1.3% on total CD45+ cells; \( P < 0.001 \) Mann-Whitney test; Fig. 3C, Supporting Information Fig. S3A and Table 2) in our cohort of WAS subjects with respect to HD, while in ADA-SCID patients we found a significant decrease of primitive CD34+CD38− HSPC compartment with respect to ped HD (2% vs. 6.9% of CD34+CD38− cells on HSPC in ADA-SCID and ped HD respectively; \( P < 0.05 \) Mann-Whitney test; Fig. 3C, Supporting Information Fig. S3G and Table 2). As controls individuals with a non-hematological disease, we analyzed the BM composition of four pre-symptomatic Metachromatic Leuko-dystrophy (MLD) patients. MLD is an inherited lysosomal storage disease where pre-symptomatic individuals do not display hematopoietic alterations (63,64). As reported in Figure 3D and Supporting Information Figure S3, MLD patients have a blood cell composition similar to Ped HD, with only a slightly higher contribution of CD19+ lymphocytes probably due to the very young age of these individuals (17.6% in MLD vs. 13.4% in Ped HD of CD19+ cells on CD45+ cells; Table 2).

Analyzing Acute Myeloid and Lymphoid Leukemia Samples with WBD

In order to provide a qualitative assessment on the capability of WBD technology to detect unbalances in hematological tumors, we tested the efficacy of our protocol on BM samples from patients with ALL (n = 1) and AML (n = 6)
We analyzed 4 BM samples from patients at diagnosis/relapse, where the expanded clones accounted for the 80–90% of the analyzed materials, and 3 BM samples from patients after chemotherapy, in which only a residual fraction of the aberrant clone was detectable. As shown in Figure 4, through WBD we detected abnormal compositions of hematopoietic compartment and the presence of immature blasts displaying low levels of CD45 marker in all the BM samples analyzed. Analyzing the expression of CD33 in combination with CD34 marker we could discriminate between myeloid and lymphoid leukemia according to the accumulation of blasts bearing myeloid (Supporting Information Fig. S4B and S4C) or lymphoid (Supporting Information Fig. S4D) markers. Indeed, while in the HD we could discriminate the two major branches of hematopoietic differentiation (Supporting Information Fig. S4A, immature not-myeloid-biased
| % on CD45- | N = 4 | N = 5 | N = 4 | VERSUS PED HD | N = 6 | VERSUS HD | N = 4 | VERSUS PED HD |
|-----------------|--------|--------|--------|----------------|--------|-----------|--------|----------------|
| Erythroblast | 82.4 ± 8.7 | 85.61 ± 2.4 | 58.1 ± 7.9 | * | 45.7 ± 36.4 | * | 60 ± 30.2 | ns |
| Myeloid | 67 ± 7.6 | 70.3 ± 8 | 62.6 ± 3.8 | | 79.8 ± 7.9 | | 65.9 ± 12.9 | ns |
| iPMN | 42.4 ± 7.2 | 43.4 ± 10.1 | 42.9 ± 5 | | 33.3 ± 10.5 | | 35.5 ± 10.5 | ns |
| PMN | 20.3 ± 2.8 | 22.2 ± 3.2 | 13.3 ± 2.2 | * | 38.1 ± 14.1 | * | 24.2 ± 13.3 | ns |
| Monocyte | 3.1 ± 1.1 | 3.4 ± 0.8 | 4.9 ± 2 | | 7.3 ± 2.3 | | 4.7 ± 2.2 | ns |
| DC | 0.3 ± 0.1 | 0.2 ± 0.1 | 0.3 ± 0.1 | | 0.3 ± 0.2 | | 0.4 ± 0.1 | ns |
| Myeloblast | 0.9 ± 0.1 | 1 ± 0.3 | 1.2 ± 0.4 | | 0.9 ± 0.4 | | 1.2 ± 0.5 | ns |
| Lymphoid | 29.3 ± 6.7 | 26.7 ± 7.9 | 32.3 ± 4.6 | | 18.1 ± 7.2 | * | 26.73 ± 7.9 | ns |
| T Cell | 14.3 ± 3.5 | 11.7 ± 5.8 | 12.9 ± 3.8 | | 9.4 ± 4.7 | | 6.7 ± 5.3 | ns |
| NKt Cell | 0.5 ± 0.4 | 0.3 ± 0.2 | 0.1 | | 0.3 ± 0.4 | | 0.2 ± 0.3 | ns |
| NK Cell | 2.9 ± 1.6 | 1.3 ± 0.7 | 1.7 ± 0.5 | | 3.7 ± 3.4 | | 1.8 ± 1.7 | ns |
| CD19+ Cell | 11.6 ± 4.5 | 13.4 ± 4.5 | 17.6 ± 2.1 | | 4.6 ± 2.3 | ** | 20.5 ± 14.2 | ns |
| B Cell | 5.7 ± 2.6 | 4.7 ± 1.4 | 5.9 ± 1.9 | | 3.1 ± 1.5 | | 1.3 ± 1.3 | * |
| Pre-B | 5.1 ± 1.9 | 7.6 ± 3.7 | 9.9 ± 2.7 | | 1.2 ± 1.1 | | 15.8 ± 10.5 | ns |
| Pro-B | 0.8 ± 0.5 | 1.1 ± 0.6 | 1.8 ± 0.8 | | 0.3 ± 0.2 | ** | 3.3 ± 3 | ns |
| Immature cell | 2.5 ± 0.7 | 2.1 ± 0.3 | 3.9 ± 1.6 | | 1.3 ± 0.7 | * | 3.5 ± 2.9 | ns |
| Pro-lymphocyte | 0.5 ± 0.2 | 0.3 ± 0.1 | 1.1 ± 0.9 | | 0.6 ± 0.4 | | 0.7 ± 0.4 | ns |
| Pro-erythroblast | 0.5 ± 0.2 | 0.7 ± 0.2 | 0.3 ± 0.1 | | 0.1 ± 0.1 | *** | 0.3 ± 0.3 | ns |
| HSPC | 1.5 ± 0.6 | 1.2 ± 0.2 | 2.6 ± 1.0 | | 0.6 ± 0.4 | *** | 2.6 ± 2.8 | ns |
| CD38− | 0.14 ± 0.18 | 0.08 ± 0.03 | 0.16 ± 0.03 | | 0.03 ± 0.03 | * | 0.04 ± 0.03 | ns |
| CD38+ | 1.32 ± 0.41 | 1.05 ± 0.17 | 2.36 ± 0.92 | * | 0.56 ± 0.31 | ns | 2.49 ± 2.75 | ns |
| % on myeloid (CD45+CD33+CD66b+LIN+) | 63 ± 5.8 | 61.1 ± 9.2 | 68.4 ± 4.3 | | 42.3 ± 14.4 | ** | 54 ± 13.5 | ns |
| iPMN | 30.5 ± 4.3 | 32.1 ± 7.3 | 21.3 ± 4.2 | * | 47.1 ± 15.4 | | 35.6 ± 14.7 | ns |
| PMN | 4.7 ± 1.6 | 4.9 ± 1.5 | 7.9 ± 3.4 | | 9.1 ± 2.7 | ** | 7.8 ± 5.2 | ns |
| Monocyte | 0.5 ± 0.2 | 0.4 ± 0.2 | 0.5 ± 0.2 | | 0.3 ± 0.3 | | 0.6 ± 0.3 | ns |
| Myeloblast | 1.3 ± 0.1 | 1.5 ± 0.4 | 1.9 ± 0.6 | | 1.1 ± 0.6 | | 2 ± 1.2 | ns |
| % on lymphoid (CD45+CD33−CD66b−LIN−) | 49.6 ± 12.1 | 43.3 ± 12.4 | 39.5 ± 7.4 | | 52.8 ± 16.8 | ns | 29.4 ± 27.5 | ns |
| T Cell | 2 ± 1.4 | 1.2 ± 0.4 | 0.3 ± 0.1 | * | 1.5 ± 1.2 | ns | 0.7 ± 1.3 | ns |
| NKt Cell | 9.4 ± 3.7 | 5 ± 2 | 5.2 ± 1.7 | | 20.2 ± 16.1 | * | 5.7 ± 3.8 | ns |
| NK Cell | 50.5 ± 14.5 | 39 ± 11.5 | 55 ± 8 | | 25.5 ± 7.7 | ** | 64.2 ± 28.5 | ns |
| B Cell | 18.8 ± 4.7 | 18.1 ± 5.5 | 17.9 ± 3.5 | | 17.1 ± 6.4 | ns | 4 ± 2.4 | * |
| Pre-B | 17.4 ± 6.4 | 28.5 ± 14 | 31.5 ± 11.7 | | 6.9 ± 4.6 | ** | 50.1 ± 20 | ns |
| Pro-B | 2.8 ± 1.8 | 3.9 ± 2 | 5.6 ± 2.3 | | 1.5 ± 1.3 | * | 10.2 ± 8.3 | ns |
| % on CD19+ cells (CD45+CD33−CD66b−CD19+) | 49 ± 7.4 | 38.5 ± 17 | 33.5 ± 9.9 | | 69.4 ± 22.6 | * | 7 ± 3.7 | * |
| B Cell | 44.3 ± 5.4 | 53.9 ± 15 | 56 ± 11.6 | | 25.1 ± 17.5 | * | 79.5 ± 4.4 | * |
| Pre-B | 6.7 ± 2.4 | 7.6 ± 2.2 | 10.4 ± 4.8 | | 5.6 ± 5.3 | ns | 13.6 ± 7.4 | ns |
| % on immature cell (CD45+LIN−) | 20.9 ± 7.7 | 15.4 ± 5.4 | 24 ± 13.6 | | 38.5 ± 15.2 | ** | 28 ± 19.6 | ns |
| Pro-lymphocyte | 21.2 ± 6.8 | 30.9 ± 7.2 | 9.8 ± 5 | * | 16.8 ± 18 | ns | 8.6 ± 2.7 | * |
| HSPC | 57.9 ± 7.7 | 53.7 ± 6.2 | 66.2 ± 13.5 | | 44.8 ± 13.5 | ns | 63.3 ± 20 | ns |
| % on HSPC (CD45+LIN−CD34+) | 7.3 ± 7.1 | 6.9 ± 2.3 | 6.7 ± 2 | | 4.2 ± 1.8 | ns | 2 ± 1.5 | * |
| CD38− | 4.3 ± 5 | 2.7 ± 0.9 | 1.4 ± 0.7 | | 1.7 ± 0.6 | ns | 0.5 ± 0.3 | * |
| MPP | 2.1 ± 2.4 | 3.1 ± 2.5 | 3.9 ± 1.4 | | 1.4 ± 1.1 | ns | 0.9 ± 0.9 | ns |
| MLP | 0.8 ± 0.7 | 1.1 ± 0.8 | 1.4 ± 0.8 | | 1.1 ± 1 | ns | 0.6 ± 0.5 | ns |
| CD38+ | 90.6 ± 6.3 | 90.3 ± 6.3 | 91.5 ± 2.9 | | 93.8 ± 3.6 | ns | 97 ± 0.9 | * |
| TABLE 2. Continued |
|---------------------|
| ADHD N=4 | PED HD N=5 | MLD N=4 | VERSUS PED HD | WAS N=6 | VERSUS HD | ADA-SCID N=4 | VERSUS PED HD |
| ETP | 0.7 ± 1.1 | 0.2 ± 0.1 | 1.7 ± 1.9 | ns | 0.2 ± 0.3 | ns | 1 ± 1.2 | ns |
| Pre-B/NK | 20 ± 6.2 | 20 ± 6.2 | 16.7 ± 8.3 | * | 29.7 ± 14.9 | ns | 42.4 ± 6.9 | ns |
| CMP | 25.8 ± 7 | 23.4 ± 11.3 | 10.7 ± 3.9 | ns | 20.5 ± 9.2 | ns | 15.4 ± 7.3 | ns |
| GMP | 31.9 ± 9.9 | 28.3 ± 5 | 23.5 ± 6.1 | ns | 29.6 ± 6.7 | ns | 31.8 ± 3.9 | ns |
| MEP | 12.3 ± 4.4 | 12.7 ± 5.5 | 3.8 ± 0.7 | * | 13.8 ± 9.4 | ns | 6.4 ± 2.4 | ns |

Mann-Whitney test was performed to evaluate statistical significance of the difference reported between patients and age-matched HD. Since WAS group is composed by both pediatric and adult individuals we tested statistical significance with respect to Ad ± Ped HD pooled group (* = P < 0.05; ** = P < 0.01; *** = P < 0.001; WAS: Wiskott-Aldrich Syndrome, ADA-SCID: Adenosine Deaminase-deficient Severe Combined Immunodeficiency; MLD: Metachromatic Leuko-dystrophy).

As several human blood disorders are associated with an unbalanced distribution of hematopoietic cells in both PB and BM, the generation of tools for the analysis of the hematopoietic system composition remains one crucial goal to understand the causes of hematological diseases, to design novel therapeutic approaches and to test their efficacy. Over the past three decades, flow cytometry has been widely applied for immune-phenotyping in clinical and research settings (1,2,9,10,17). A 17-multiparametric assay has been developed in the past for analyzing in details PB mature cells (68). However, this earlier protocol could not discriminate progenitor cells and therefore its application could not be extended to BM samples. Additionally, differently from the technique describe in this study, the previous assay could not benefit from the recent advancement in the fluorochromes design that makes our WBD protocol up-to-date with the most recent technology favoring its exploitation in the years to come. The low amount of blood required to achieve a comprehensive picture of the hematopoietic composition of a given sample makes WBD suitable for clinical screenings when limited amount of starting material is available. When tested on samples from Ad and Ped HD, WBD proved its sensitivity. Although we observed an overall similar cellular distribution among the different leukocyte compartments in Ped and Ad HD (Fig. 3B and Supporting Information Figs. S3A and S3B), we could detect the known subtle age-related differences in the hematopoietic composition, particularly related to the lymphoid immature B cell lineages (28.5% vs. 17.4% Pre-B cells on lymphocytes in Ped HD and in Ad HD respectively, Fig. 3B and Supporting Information Fig. S3D). This difference was found further accentuated in the very young individuals affected by MLD (Fig. 3D and Supporting Information Fig. S3D), where we found a higher content of immature B cells as compared to Ad HD (Supporting Information Figs. S3D and S3E). Thanks to the human-specific WBD design, one could envisage that this assay could be also exploited to comprehensively analyze the human-in-mouse graft in pre-clinical studies, fundamental for assessing the safety and efficacy of treatments and for studying the biology of human hematopoietic system (36,37,69–72).

In order to validate the WBD efficacy in detecting hematopoietic alterations in clinically relevant settings, we analyzed BM samples from ADA-SCID and WAS patients. We could show that WBD is capable not only of confirming the previously described hematopoietic alterations occurring in these individuals (4–7,9,10,17) but also of providing novel information on the hematopoietic landscape of these diseases. Indeed, together with the known defects in B cell contribution (Fig. 3C and Supporting Information Figs. S3D and S3E Table 2), we could observe alterations in HSPC compartment, supporting the notion that the functional...
impairment causative of these PID also affects the very primitive stem-cell compartment (Supporting Information Fig. S3G and Table 2). Although these findings need to be further confirmed on a larger cohort of PID patients, they already support the use of WBD technology as a screening tool for the first characterization of immunodeficiencies, directing the choice of additional assays on specific cellular compartments. In this regard, WBD could also provide a relevant instrument for the evaluation of the BM composition of donor grafts prior to infusion and could be applied for the characterization of other cell sources enriched of HSPC, including PB upon administration of mobilizing agents (38,39). Additionally, our protocol is suitable for the clinical monitoring of PID patients after allogeneic transplantation or GT to evaluate, at the same time, the level of re-establishment of mature blood cells and the long-term maintenance of all HSPC populations and for studying the dynamics of the hematopoietic reconstitution (73).

As a proof of principle of the qualitative evaluation of WBD potential, we tested our technology on samples from leukemic patients, both at diagnosis/relapse and after treatments (Supporting Information Table S3). Through WBD we

---

**Figure 4.** WBD analyses of BM samples from patients with myeloid or lymphoid leukemia. From A to E: WBD graphical representation showing BM composition in 1 representative adult HD (A), 6 patients with acute myeloid leukemia (AML) (B and C), 1 patient with acute lymphoid leukemia (ALL) (D) and 1 patient with multiple myeloma (MM) (E) The percentages indicate the relative frequency of the cells with immature markers likely belonging to leukemic blasts on total CD45+ cells. For the MM sample, we highlighted in black the fraction of CD38high putative plasmablasts belonging to an elsewhere undefined compartment. [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
could clearly identify the blast populations and categorize the types of leukemia even on the samples with expected low blast content. Indeed, we found that the four acute leukemia samples displayed a highly skewed repertoire with respect to HD and a clear myeloid phenotype (Fig. 4B and Supporting Information Fig. S4B). On the other hand, in the samples analyzed after chemotherapy, we could identify residual myeloid and lymphoid leukemic blasts and evaluate their contribution, which was to levels comparable to the estimations made through the standard diagnostic assays based on multiple staining procedures (Figs. 4C and 4D, Supporting Information Table S3). Moreover, WBD was able to detect the presence of a large fraction of previously unidentified cells with “plasmablast-like” phenotype in the MM BM sample (Fig. 4E and Supporting Information Fig. S4E), suggesting that WBD technology is capable to provide a first categorization of the BM of leukemic patients that could guide investigators toward more detailed down-stream analyses. Importantly, the presence of antibodies specific for the HSPC subtypes represents a substantial advantage of WBD over the standard phenotypic characterizations allowing identifying with higher precision the level of maturation of the leukemic blasts. Indeed, through WBD we could unveil that AML-1 and AML-4 displayed a more immature phenotype with respect to AML-2 and AML-3 (Fig. 4B and Supporting Information Fig. S4B). Thus, this technology can provide in less than 2 hours important and timely readouts for directing therapeutic strategies on hospitalized individuals. Of note, by analyzing the phenotype of the residual blasts in two different AML patients (AML-5 and AML-6, Fig. 4) after chemotherapy, we could observe a diverse degree of differentiation of chemo-resistant blasts, with AML-5 displaying a “CMP-like” phenotype, while AML-6 showing a “HSC/MPP-like” phenotype. One could speculate that WBD may provide a tool for the detection of Leukemic stem cells (LSC) which represent a subset of AML cells showing an early stem/progenitor phenotype with the potential of preserving the leukemic population and generate relapses after treatment (74–76). In this regard, the actual sensitivity of WBD remains to be assessed on a wider cohort of patients with different types and degrees of leukemia. The capability of WBD on detecting residual clones in patients who underwent chemotherapy or BMT suggests that this technique could be also potentially exploited for the monitoring of MRD (11,12,26). MRD tracking is currently performed by assessing the so-called Leukemia Associated Aberrant Immunophenotype at diagnosis and monitoring it during the patient follow-up after treatments (50,53,77), but a major disadvantage of this approach is the current lack of uniformity on performing this assay among centers (50). Once implemented, the standardized and reproducible nature of WBD could overt these intrinsic constraints providing a common platform to reduce at minimum interlaboratory variability. Overall, we believe that the WBD protocol, allowing the simultaneous analysis of 23 different cell types including all the major mature lineage compartments, progenitors at different stages of maturation and rare subsets of HSPC, constitute a novel relevant tool for the analysis of PB and BM samples. The WBD has substantial advantages on the analysis of HSPC compartment with respect to current technologies based on CD34+ isolation and purification, which might introduce analytical biases and negatively affect the cell yield. The possibility through WBD to analyze at the same time HSPC and mature cells starting from very small amounts of BM or PB allows studying the composition of human hematopoietic progenitors in different experimental settings like at steady-state hematopoiesis or upon stressed conditions, such as the ones related to hematopoietic defects, hematological tumors, pharmacological therapies, bone marrow transplantations, and gene therapy. Importantly, WBD could represent a useful tool for preclinical studies involving the use of humanized animal models where investigators might aim at analyzing small changes in BM and PB human blood cell composition upon treatment. In conclusion, WBD allows unambiguously identifying >99% of the cell sub-populations composing a blood sample in a reproducible, standardized, cost- and time-efficient manner. This technology represents a powerful tool for the analysis of human hematopoiesis with a wide spectrum of potential preclinical and clinical applications.
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