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The IUCN considers the stony coral *Dendrogyra cylindrus* as vulnerable. However, there is insufficient information on its population structure and dynamics, conservation status, or extinction risk and population decreases have been inferred from observations of habitat degradation. In 2002 and 2012, surveys using manta tows, circular plots and satellite images were performed in Old Providence and Santa Catalina Islands (Seaflower Biosphere Reserve) to determine changes in the condition and structure of a local population of *D. cylindrus* and its habitat. Size-frequency histograms were asymmetric and leptokurtic, showing positive distribution induced by colony fragmentation, which is indicative of reef degradation. Signs of degradation were more evident in 2012, when partial mortality of living tissue in the parent colony yielded 96.6% of the asexually produced fragments. Most of the fragments were from larger colonies (≥115 cm), which exhibited the highest partial and total mortality (>50%). Three of the four benthic habitats used by the species in 2002 were seen in 2012, but with reduced areas. The results suggest that the reduction of living tissue, the dominance of colonies produced asexually, and reduced size of fragments limit population growth and species viability in an unfavorable and changing habitat within this marine protected area (MPA) of the southwestern Caribbean. In the west of the reef complex of Old Providence, a synergy of multiple stressors could cause the habitat degradation and the fragmentation of colonies, limiting the potential recovery of the species and therefore the ability to create a healthy, genetically diverse and resilient population. Thus, these stressors must be minimized to prevent local extinction. Monitoring the population trends and recording sexual recruitment continues to be vital to understand the larvae’s habitat selection and determine whether these habitats are suitable for the survival of coral recruits.

Other stressors to be monitored include anchor damage, diseases and bleaching. We recommend the MPA management program to include specific plans of conservation, recovery and restoration for coral reef builders species like *D. cylindrus*.
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INTRODUCTION

_Dendrogyra cylindrus_ Ehrenberg, 1834 is a stony coral with a restricted distribution in the Caribbean. Despite its low abundance in its habitat, this species’ construction of vertical cylindrical columns makes it conspicuous in reefs (INVEMAR, 2010), covering significant horizontal and vertical extensions, and reaching heights of two to three meters (Almy and Carrion, 1963; Prahl and Erhardt, 1985). This species’ characteristic vertical growth increases the habitat’s three-dimensionality (Acosta and Acevedo, 2006), fostering local biodiversity and making it an excellent reef builder. _D. cylindrus_ reproduces both asexually and sexually, releasing sperm and incubating fecundated eggs (Szmant, 1986; Richmond and Hunter, 1990; Marhaver et al., 2015). This coral is slow growing, 0.8–2 cm/year (Hughes, 1987; Hudson and Goodwin, 1997), long-lived, and can compete for space due to its asexual reproduction strategy (Hughes and Tanner, 2000; Darling et al., 2012). _D. cylindrus_ is usually found at depths between 5 and 12 m, as part of fringing reefs along the sheltered shores of Caribbean islands, where the substrate is mainly sand and consolidated dead coral colonized by octocorals, mixed coral, and sponges (Prahl and Erhardt, 1985; Geister and Diaz, 1997; Diaz et al., 2000; Acosta and Acevedo, 2006).

In Colombia, the habitat of _D. cylindrus_ has been reported exclusively in the Seaflower Biosphere Reserve which is a marine protected area (MPA). Within this MPA, the reef complex of Old Providence Island hosts more than 90% of the total Colombian _D. cylindrus_ population.

The Seaflower Biosphere Reserve was declared as an MPA in 2005 and covers all the Archipelago of San Andrés and it includes the islands and cays of Providence, San Andrés, Bolivar, Alburquerque, Quitasueño, Serrana and Roncador. The reserve has created specific areas where particular activities are allowed or prohibited to safeguard the marine ecosystems. Although there are no coral management and conservation plans, there is a reef monitoring program, but it does not include a detailed monitoring of _D. cylindrus_ population structure. In Old Providence Island, the only protected area is the McBean Lagoon National Natural Park in the northeast, where very few colonies of _D. cylindrus_ remain.

The species’ limited sexual reproduction (Acosta and Acevedo, 2006; Brainard et al., 2011), asynchronous spawning (Marhaver et al., 2015) and propensity to fragmentation, bleaching and diseases contribute to its vulnerability. White plague is a highly virulent disease that affects this species and destroys tissue; type I destroys 3.1 mm day\(^{-1}\) and type II destroys 3.3 mm day\(^{-1}\) (Richardson et al., 1998; Weil, 2004). Its low reproductive output limits population connectivity and recovery within the metapopulation (Rockwood, 2006). These factors, combined with low juvenile survival rates, low observed recruitment, and, possibly, low frequency of reproductive colonies, have the potential to decrease population growth (Aronson et al., 2008).

According to Acosta and Acevedo (2006), the population could be maintained via asexual reproduction by fragmentation using two mechanisms. First, columns of the colony break off and fall and in some cases the ramet fixes to the substrate creating columns by positive phototropism, which allows it to grow vertically and horizontally. Alternatively, the living tissue on the columns dies by natural causes, leaving patches of live tissue on the skeleton, and creating physically isolated fragments (Meesters et al., 1997; Hughes and Tanner, 2000). Excess fragmentation, however, results in constant loss of parent colony live tissue (Lirman, 2000; Cooper et al., 2009), which is expensive to regenerate, high fragment mortality (Hughes and Jackson, 1980; Chadwick-Furman et al., 2000), reduction of average colony size (e.g., *Orbicella annularis* Pante et al., 2008; Alvarado-Chacón and Acosta, 2009b), and an imbalance in the relative proportion of size classes, resulting in lower population growth (Vandermeer and Goldberg, 2003).

The 2017 IUCN Red List of Threatened Species (Aronson et al., 2008) and NOAA (2014) list _D. cylindrus_ as a vulnerable species because of its declining populations caused by the degradation and destruction of reef habitats (Wilkinson, 2008). The IUCN recommends research on population abundance and trends, ecology and habitat status, threats and resilience, restoration and management of new protected areas. Despite the IUCN’s suggestion, population structure analyses are still scarce for most stony corals, and _D. cylindrus_ is no exception. Besides, it is unknown how disturbances in the last decade have altered the structure and colonization habitat of _D. cylindrus_ on Caribbean coral reefs (Aronson et al., 2008).

Given that the changes in the abundance and distribution over time can be an indication of the health of populations of _D. cylindrus_, as well as their sustainability in the ecosystem, in this study we evaluate the changes in its population structure and habitat over a decade in the reefs of Old Providence and St. Catalina Islands, which are part of the Seaflower Biosphere Reserve MPA.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Site

Surveys were conducted in the Old Providence and St. Catalina Islands reef complex in the Archipelago of San Andrés, Colombian Caribbean (Figure 1). This archipelago is part of the Seaflower MPA, which was recognized by UNESCO as a Biosphere Reserve. The islands have a shallow marine platform with a 285.2 km\(^2\) reef complex (Diaz et al., 2000). The study area covered the shallow reef complex (1–15 m depth) that surrounds the islands.

The data obtained by Acosta and Acevedo (2006) in 2002 was used as a baseline to evaluate the change in population structure for _D. cylindrus_ in 2012. The manta tow technique, which consist of towing a diver with a small boat to produce a general description of large areas of the reef (Rogers et al., 1994), was used to locate and create a spatial distribution map, using zigzag band transects and covering 1.6 km\(^2\). In places where more than four colonies were observed, 60 m-diameter circular plots were set _in situ_ to measure the size and condition of colonies and fragments (Figure 1). A total of 20 plots were assessed. The center point of each plot was georeferenced. The 2002 study determined that the habitat and areas with the highest population density were to the west of the islands. This information was used to
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**FIGURE 1** | Study area in Old Providence and St. Catalina Islands. Black circles with stars: plots where colonies were found in 2002 and 2012. White circles with dots: plots where colonies were found in 2002, but not in 2012. Barrier reef: striped area east of the islands. Cambell 1, Cambell 2, and Tete’s Place are popular dive sites.

The population structure for *D. cylindrus* was determined by measuring the size of the individuals (colonies and fragments) and constructing size-frequency histograms. The maximum height (cm) of each colony and the maximum length (cm) of each fragment were measured and then classified into size classes. The fragments could have two origins, as explained above: (a) physical detachment from the parent colony, where fragments were lying horizontally on the substrate around the colony, or (b) partial mortality of the parent colony, where remaining live tissue generated clones (fragments) on the standing colony. The colonies and fragments were grouped into ten categories according to their size following the Sturges Rule (Llinás and Rojas, 2006). Size-class distribution diagrams were created for the entire population, as well as for colonies and fragments separately.
to verify their respective contribution to the distribution based on the absolute frequency of individuals in each size class.

Population structure changes between 2002 and 2012 were assessed by comparing the size-class distribution diagrams, calculating their asymmetry (α3) and kurtosis (α4), which were used as base parameters to evaluate the bias of the two curves (skewness and peakedness relative to a normal distribution). We also compared the absolute frequencies of the colonies and fragments by size class, as well as the total average size of the colonies and fragments (height and length, respectively) by applying non-parametric Mann–Whitney U and Z tests and the Kolmogorov–Smirnov tests for two samples. Additionally, we calculated descriptive statistics [mode, geometric mean, and coefficient of variation (CV)] to analyze size variability, in this case, colony height and fragment length.

**Colony Condition**

To determine the condition of the colonies and determine how the condition changed over time, we measured the partial mortality of each colony by identifying the lesions that physically separated part of the live tissue from the rest. We also measured the frequency of colonies with signs of white syndromes (i.e., bleaching and white diseases), as well as erosion at the base of the colony, manifested by a thinning of the colony base that can lead to a toppling. After using these three variables (i.e., average partial mortality by colony, absolute frequency of colonies with white syndromes, and absolute frequency of colonies with erosion at the base) to determine the condition of colonies, we compared the results between 2002 and 2012 using the Mann–Whitney U test. Non-parametric tests were employed because the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test showed non-normality and non-homogeneity of variances of the five dependent variables both untransformed, and after being transformed by square root, log, natural log and Box-Cox.

**Habitat Change**

We identified the habitats used by *D. cylindrus* in the shallow reef complex (<15 m depth) using the landscape units previously produced by Bernal-Sotelo (2015) for 2000 and 2012, as well as the georeferenced points of the circular plots. This identification was carried out by placing the georeferenced points on each of the maps to visualize the distribution areas of *D. cylindrus*. Using ArcGIS 10.0 and the shapefile maps of Bernal-Sotelo (2015), we assessed the relationship between the potential area of use of each habitat (i.e., the total area of each habitat where the species is present and which corresponds to the area that could potentially be colonized by *D. cylindrus*) and the active use observed in each habitat (i.e., the absolute frequency of colonies and fragments present in each plot and habitat). Similarly, we counted the number of times that *D. cylindrus* populations and their habitat changed within 10 years, and calculated the percentages of change in both cases. We then quantified the relationship between the rate of change of *D. cylindrus* populations and the rate of habitat change. Using the HaviStat 1.0 program (Montenegro and Acosta, 2008), we estimated Bailey’s confidence intervals for each year to evaluate whether the species used any of the habitats, and analyzed the changes in use by creating graphs of potential area and frequency of observed use.

**RESULTS**

**Population Structure Analysis**

In 2002, 283 individuals of *D. cylindrus* were quantified (20 plots, 0.06 km²), of which 213 were colonies and 70 were fragments (Acosta and Acevedo, 2006). In contrast, in 2012, 846 individuals were recorded, of which 261 were colonies and 585 were fragments (present in only ten plots, 0.03 km²). In 2012, the number of fragments increased by 515 in comparison to 2002 (an increase of 313%) which explains the relative increase in population after ten years. There were significant differences in the size of the total population (colonies and fragments) between 2002 and 2012 ($U$ and $Z_{K-S}$: p < 0.05, n = 1129). In both years, the size-frequency histograms were skewed to the right, showing a leptokurtic distribution (>3: 2002 = 3.7 and 2012 = 8.9), and had positive asymmetry (>0: 2002 = 1 and 2012 = 2.2). This trend was emphasized in 2012 (Figure 2). In 2002, ~65% of the colonies and fragments were in the first four size classes (<15.1–75 cm), while in 2012, ~60% of the total the population were in these classes (Figure 2). The average size of the colonies and fragments in 2012 was smaller than in 2002, but had a higher coefficient of variation compared to 2002 (Table 1).

Colony frequency and height in all size classes were similar in 2002 and 2012 ($U$ and $Z_{K-S}$: p > 0.05, n = 474), showing a leptokurtic distribution ($α_4$: 2002 = 3.5, 2012 = 4.3) and positive asymmetry ($α_3$: 2002 = 0.9, 2012 = 1.3). In both years, most of the colonies (2002 = 67.6%, 2012 = 70.5%) were in the first five size classes (Figure 2). The colonies in 2012 were 4.7 cm higher (on average) than those in 2002 (Table 1). The frequency and length in all size classes of fragments changed significantly between 2002 and 2012 ($U$ and $Z_{K-S}$: p < 0.05, n = 655). The distribution of fragment sizes was also leptokurtic (>3) and had positive asymmetry (>0); this trend was heightened in 2012 ($α_4$: 2002 = 4.6, 2012 = 6.0; $α_3$: 2002 = 1.2, 2012 = 1.6). In 2002, ~70% of the fragments were in the first four size classes, while in 2012, ~78% were in the first two categories (Figure 2). The fragments in 2002 were, on average, larger than those in 2012 by 22.7 cm, a decrease of 47.3% (Table 1). This size reduction occurred because 96.6% of the fragments in 2012 were clones (living tissue surrounded by the skeleton), while in 2002 this type of fragmentation only represented 30% of the total. The fragments on the columns were smaller than the fragments lying on the substrate near the colony.

**Colony Condition**

The number of colonies affected by some level of partial mortality in 2012 (61.3%) was significantly greater ($U$: p = 0.03, n = 474) than in 2002 (56.8%; Figure 3). In addition, partial colony mortality was higher in 2012 (25% ± 32.8%) than in 2002 (15.3% ± 21.9%). However, in both years, partial mortality had higher average values in the larger size categories (Figure 3). Partial mortality was significantly higher in 2012 than in 2002 in the largest size classes: 135.1–155 cm ($U$: p = 0.001, n = 23),
155.1–175 cm ($U: p = 0.02, n = 21$), and $> 175$ cm ($U: p = 0.02, n = 19$). In 2012, the colonies in these three classes had an average of 50–80% dead tissue, while in 2002 the percent of dead tissue did not exceed 27% (Figure 3).

The frequency of white syndromes in 2012 was lower compared to 2002, but the difference was not statistically significant ($U: p = 0.1, n = 474$). However, the number of colonies with erosion at the base was significantly different ($U: p = 0, n = 474$); more than 50% of colonies in 2002 were eroded, while in 2012, the percentage of colonies with eroded bases did not exceed 25% (Table 2). The most significant differences in frequency of erosion were found in three size classes: 75.1–95 cm ($U: p = 0.01, n = 52$), 115.1–135 cm ($U: p = 0.03, n = 32$), and 135.1–155 cm ($U: p = 0.04, n = 23$) (Table 2). The most notable change was observed in the 115.1–135 cm class, which went from 17 colonies with erosion in 2002 to only three colonies in 2012.

**Habitat Change**

In 2002, *D. cylindrus* was present in four habitats of the reef complex, defined by the association of *D. cylindrus* with other dominant benthic species and substrate types (Figure 4, *sensu* Bernal-Sotelo, 2015). These habitats are represented
**TABLE 1** | Temporal comparison of the average size of colonies and fragments comprising the population of *Dendrogyra cylindrus*, measured as height of colonies and length of fragments in 2002 and 2012.

| Year    | Population                  | n   | Mean             | Geometric mean (cm) | Mode (cm) | CV  |
|---------|-----------------------------|-----|------------------|---------------------|-----------|-----|
|         | Colonies + Fragments        | 283 | 68.5 ± 44.6      | 53.5                | 40        | 0.65 |
| 2002    | Colonies                    | 213 | 75.2 ± 45.0      | 62                  | 40        | 0.59 |
|         | Fragments                   | 70  | 48.0 ± 36.5      | 34.2                | 40        | 0.76 |
|         | Colonies                    | 261 | 79.9 ± 51.7      | 64.9                | 80        | 0.64 |
|         | Fragments                   | 585 | 25.3 ± 20.3      | 18.5                | 8         | 0.8  |

| Year    | Colonies + Fragments        | 846 | 42.1 ± 41.8      | 27.3                | 8         | 0.99 |
| 2012    | Colonies                    | 261 | 79.9 ± 51.7      | 64.9                | 80        | 0.64 |
|         | Fragments                   | 585 | 25.3 ± 20.3      | 18.5                | 8         | 0.8  |

n: frequency; CV: coefficient of variation.

**TABLE 2** | Absolute frequency of colonies of *D. cylindrus* with white syndromes or erosion at the base in 2002 and 2012.

| Size classes (cm) | White syndromes | Erosion at base |
|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                   | 2002            | 2012            | 2002            | 2012            |
| <15.1             | 0               | 0               | 1               | 0               |
| 15.1–35           | 1               | 1               | 11              | 5               |
| 35.1–55           | 5               | 0               | 19              | 11              |
| 55.1–75           | 1               | 2               | 16              | 12              |
| 75.1–95           | 2               | 0               | 12              | 7               |
| 95.1–115          | 3               | 0               | 17              | 10              |
| 115.1–135         | 3               | 0               | 17              | 3               |
| 135.1–165         | 2               | 1               | 9               | 4               |
| 155.1–175         | 1               | 0               | 4               | 6               |
| >175              | 2               | 0               | 3               | 6               |
| Σ                 | 20              | 4               | 109             | 64              |
| %                 | 9.4             | 1.5             | 51.2            | 24.5            |

Σ: sum; %: proportion to total colonies; n 2002 = 213; n 2012 = 261.

According to Bailey’s confidence intervals, the population of *D. cylindrus* on the island primarily used habitat 13 because, in both years, it was the habitat with the highest potential area (2002 = 5.7 km$^2$, 2012 = 4.4 km$^2$) and the habitat with the highest observed use (2002 = 132 individuals, 2012 = 411 individuals), which means that the species used the most available habitat (**Figure 5**). Habitat 13, where most of the population of *D. cylindrus* was concentrated, was characterized by the dominance of components such as macroalgae, sand, octocorals, and dead coral, mainly on the leeward fore-reef terrace. Mixed sponges and octocorals (habitat 10) were also found to a lesser extent in 2002.

The unfavorable change in habitat was also reflected in the change of population’s size class structure (**Table 3**). Habitat 13 lost 23% of its area for *D. cylindrus*. This generated a population change 11 times greater than in 2002, largely because fragmentation followed partial mortality, whereas habitat 10 lost a relatively smaller area (8.4%) and had a relatively lower
FIGURE 4 | Habitats where D. cylindrus was present in the Old Providence reef complex and their changes over time: (A) 2002 and (B) 2012. Detailed changes in habitat 13 (C) and habitat 10 (D). Map legends are organized from habitats where D. cylindrus had the highest frequency (habitats 11 and 13) to habitats where D. cylindrus had the lowest frequency (habitats 12 and 26). In c and d, the 2000 and 2012 maps show changes in habitat distribution. Cumulative bar plots on the right show the changes in the total area and the area covered by the main components of each habitat. "•" indicate habitat exclusive of 2012, whereas habitats without symbols were present in both years. The bars within dotted boxes represent the habitats corresponding to coral communities. The area (km²) of each habitat is presented on each bar. Other components: octocorals, rubble and sand. 4 = IB; A. palmata-P. strigosa, Millepora spp.-dead coral, sand and (Continued)
FIGURE 4 | Continued
octocorals-macroalgae. 10 = FR: Agaricia spp.-mixed corals, sponges-macroalgae and octocorals-antipatharia. 11 = FR: Cyanobacteria, macroalgae-dead coral and mixed corals-octocorals-sand. 12 = FRp: Dead coral, Orbicella spp.-mixed corals, macroalgae, sand and octocorals. 13 = FRp-Lp: Macroalgae, sand, octocorals and dead coral. 22 = L: Sand, cyanobacteria and octocorals. 23 = FR-BR-L: Sand, macroalgae, rubble and octocorals. 24 FR-BR-L: Cyanobacteria and sand. 25 FR-BR-L: Cyanobacteria and sand. 26 = FR-BR-L: Sand and macroalgae. The first letters of each habitat refer to the geomorphological zone and the type of reef (when it is a coral formation). IB = Internal reef barrier. BR = Backreef terrace. FR = Forereef terrace. FRp = Patch reef on the forereef terrace. L = Lagoon. Lp = Reef in patch (or strip) of the lagoon. In grayscale are the areas where D. cylindrus was not observed. "+" indicate habitat exclusive of 2002.

FIGURE 5 | Habitat used by individual D. cylindrus (including colonies and fragments), in terms of absolute frequency (Fi, white) and area of the six potential habitats (black), in 2002 and 2012.

population growth (6.1 times). Habitats 4 and 26 were not analyzed because of the low number of colonies and fragments present in the two samples (n was not representative for a change analysis).

DISCUSSION

The right-skewed size distribution reported for most coral populations is usually considered an indicator of stable to moderately deteriorated environments (Bak and Meesters, 1998; Meesters et al., 2001; Smith et al., 2005), where the populations are recovering as a result of successful sexual recruitment (Bak and Meesters, 1999; Cooper et al., 2009; Rodríguez-Martínez et al., 2010). However, the increase in positive skew over time for D. cylindrus in Old Providence indicates the opposite; a response to a modified environment created an increase in the frequency of smaller sizes produced by fragmentation or fission, resulting from partial mortality with fragments remaining fixed to a parental colony that is slowly dying while standing (Figure 6).
In 2012, 96.6% of the total fragments quantified were the result of partial colony mortality. This value surpasses reports of fragmentation for branching species like A. palmata that presented 40% of colonies fragmented after storms (Lirman, 2000) or for massive species such as O. annularis, which, in theVirgin Islands had 9% of colonies undergoing fission by partial mortality (Edmunds and Elahi, 2007). The increase in the average partial mortality per colony of D. cylindrus (2002 = 15.3% and 2012 = 25.0%) indicates that the Old Providence reefs are no longer in good condition; mortality even exceeds the expected values for highly degraded areas (Fong and Glynn, 2001; Bauman et al., 2013).

Larger colonies of D. cylindrus (>115.1 cm) were the most affected by partial and total mortality, contributing to the majority of fragments. The direct relationship between colony size and partial mortality has also been reported for other species from the Pacific and Caribbean (Babcock, 1991; Pante et al., 2008; Bauman et al., 2013). Partial mortality could be a strategy to maximize the area:volume ratio in a colony facing adverse environmental conditions, as less energy is required to sustain a smaller area of living tissue (Hughes and Tanner, 2000; Alvarado-Chacón and Acosta, 2009b). However, a smaller area of living tissue in D. cylindrus (−9.7% in 2012) may result in a reduction of sexual reproduction (van Weesik and Jordán-Garza, 2011). This situation is further exacerbated in the smaller fragments of D. cylindrus, which must invest the energy that was destined for reproduction to repair damaged tissue and compete against invaders.

The absence of sexual recruits could be explained by the lower coverage of D. cylindrus living tissue, which limits the reproductive output of the species (Marhaver et al., 2015). The absence of recruits affects the recovery by self-seeding, as observed by Hughes and Tanner (2000) and Alvarado-Chacón and Acosta (2009a) for other corals. Lack of recruitment could also be attributed to the reproductive biology of this species, which involves asynchronous spawning and rapid embryonic development (Marhaver et al., 2015), possibly preventing dispersal and crossbreeding between populations. Thus, the probability of recovery of this declining population in Old Providence by importing larvae from other populations is unlikely. Besides, the closest D. cylindrus population is 70 km away in Quitasueño Bank (Díaz et al., 2000). Therefore, the viability of the species on the island is dependent on the self-recruitment of large reproductive colonies, which are precisely the ones being lost.

Coral population skewness caused by asexual reproduction have been associated with factors such as temperature (Bauman et al., 2013), diseases (Edmunds and Elahi, 2007), overgrowth of macroalgae and cyanobacteria (Hughes and Tanner, 2000; Edmunds and Elahi, 2007), bioerosion (Fong and Glynn, 1998; McClanahan et al., 2008), eutrophication (Lewis, 1997), hurricanes (Bythell et al., 1993; Taylor et al., 2007), and sedimentation (Pante et al., 2008). All of these stressors have been observed in Old Providence in the last decades and affect the various size classes differently. The cumulative effect of these multiple stressors may explain the demise of D. cylindrus colonies present in the ten sampling plots with only skeletal remains of this species.

The prevalence and severity of coral diseases in the Caribbean have been intensified by factors such as eutrophication, sewage inputs and water temperature (Santavy et al., 2001; Weil, 2004; Cooper et al., 2009). This was not observed in the Old Providence reefs, where the incidence of white syndromes in D. cylindrus decreased from 9.4% in 2002 to 1.5% in 2012. Possible explanations for this result include a more intense impact of bleaching (in 2005 and 2010) and white diseases in the past. D. cylindrus is considered to have medium resistance to white plague and bleaching.

### Table 3: Relationship between D. cylindrus population and habitat change (bold).

| Habitat | 2002 | 2012 | Change in terms of | Area of greatest change |
|---------|------|------|--------------------|------------------------|
|         | Number of times | %      |                     |                        |
| 13 Colonies (Fi) | 104 | 188 | 1.8 | 3 | Northwest |
| Fragments (Fi) | 28 | 257 | 9.2 | 11 | Southwest |
| Total | 132 | 445 | -23.4 | 6.1 | 9.2 | 0.8 |
| Area (km²) | 5.7 | 4.4 | 3 | 3 | Habitat fragmentation | 13 Colonies (Fi) 104 188 1.8 | 3 | Northwest |
| Composition | Dead coral | Cyanobacteria | 3 | 3 | Habitat fragmentation | 10 Colonies 38 | 38 | 0 | 3 | Northwest |
| Fragments | 23 | 141 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 8.4 | 9.2 | 0.8 |
| Total | 61 | 179 | -8.4 | 6.1 | 3 | Habitat fragmentation | 13 Colonies (Fi) 104 188 1.8 | 3 | Northwest |
| Area (km²) | 1.3 | 1.1 | 3 | 3 | Habitat fragmentation | 10 Colonies 38 | 38 | 0 | 3 | Northwest |
| Composition | Cyanobacteria and | Dead coral | 3 | 3 | Habitat fragmentation | 13 Colonies (Fi) 104 188 1.8 | 3 | Northwest |

Because the colonies and fragments occupy the same space, although the original habitat changes, the number of colonies and fragments present on each habitat in 2012 were calculated as follows: for colonies = 180 colonies of habitat 13 + 8 colonies of habitat 12, and 38 colonies of habitat 10 + 0 colonies of habitat 11; for fragments = 231 fragments of habitat 13 + 26 fragments of habitat 12, and 0 fragments of habitat 10 + 141 fragments of habitat 11.
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**FIGURE 6** Colonies of *D. cylindrus* in different condition including: (a) healthy, (b) healthy with associated fauna, (c) fragmented due to anchors breakage, (d) with tissue mortality at the base likely to lead to fragmentation, and (e) dead and colonized by macroalgae and sponges.

(Ward et al., 2006; Quinn and Kojis, 2008; Bruckner and Hill, 2009). For example, in Florida (Jaap, 1985), Honduras (Riegl et al., 2009), Jamaica (Quinn and Kojis, 2008) and Puerto Rico (Bruckner and Hill, 2009) from 1983 to 2008, 0–8% of *D. cylindrus* colonies experienced bleaching, whereas >40% of *Acropora* spp., *Agaricia* spp., *Helioseris cucullata*, *O. annularis*, *Porites* spp. and *Siderastrea siderea* colonies experienced bleaching.

The highest frequency of colonies with erosion at the base in 2002 (51.2% vs. 24.5% in 2012) may be explained by the presence of bioeroders such as *Diadema antillarum* (Acosta and Acevedo, 2006). In 2002, this urchin was found in the proximity of 13.8% of the colonies with signs of erosion, while in 2012, the urchins were only present in 3.8% of colonies. Also, in 2012, there was less associated fauna with the colonies, an indicator of deterioration of the system and reduced habitat quality. Echinoids of the genus *Diadema* and *Echinometra* can exert an adverse effect on *Pocillopora* spp., *Porites* spp. and *Siderastrea siderea* colonies experienced bleaching.

The cumulative effect of multiple stressors is the key factor affecting coral survival around the world (McClanahan et al., 2008). This was observed in this study at Old Providence where habitats 10 and 13 are located (habitats most used by *D. cylindrus*). Human population density is highest on the west coast of Old Providence (>1000 people/km²). This area contains 95% of dive sites, as well as high tourist use, and the Santa Isabel pier (Vides and Sierra-Correa, 2003; Vivas-Aguas et al., 2012). This implies a higher risk of contamination and physical damage (e.g., anchoring) to colonies. The west coast of Old Providence is also the area with the majority of permanent and occasional freshwater streams and where the sanitary landfill that discharges leachate to the sea is located. Furthermore, in Old Providence wastewater is discharged directly into the sea or disposed of in septic tanks that leak liquid waste into the sea (Vivas-Aguas et al., 2012). These stressors contribute to nutrient enrichment and decrease water quality. The average concentration of dissolved inorganic nitrogen and phosphorus (DIN and DIP) in Old Providence (Vivas-Aguas et al., 2012) indeed exceeded the corals' limit of physiological stress (DIN = 14 µg l⁻¹, DIP = 62 µg l⁻¹; Fabricius, 2005).

The excess of nutrient loading has caused cyanobacteria and macroalgae to proliferate in Old Providence (Puyana et al., 2015). The habitat considered typical for *D. cylindrus* has changed because of the growth and dominance of cyanobacteria in habitat 10, which led to its transformation after ten years into habitat 11, where 31.9% of coverage was benthic cyanobacteria. This finding agrees with Ford et al. (2018) who found that mats of cyanobacteria have become prevalent on different reefs of the world, playing an important role in the ecosystem degradation. During blooms, planktonic cyanobacteria also affect the living tissue of *D. cylindrus* (Puyana et al., 2015). Benthic cyanobacteria colonize skeletal areas exposed between coral fragments, competing for space with...
living tissue (Ritson-Williams et al., 2005; Kuffner et al., 2006). Competition decreases the individual growth rate of remaining tissue and colonies by up to 30% in places with low water quality (Vermeij and Bak, 2002; Edmunds, 2007). D. cylindrus colonies at Old Providence were 4.7 cm higher in 2002 than in 2012, so colonies around the island may grow 0.47 mm per year (assuming a constant growth rate), which is lower than 0.8–2 cm/year (Hughes, 1987; Hudson and Goodwin, 1997).

Surviving colonies and fragments of D. cylindrus are enduring suboptimal conditions when we compare their current habitat quality with previous decades (Pralh and Erhardt, 1985; Geister and Diaz, 1997). Biogenetic simplification of the habitat or dominance of some unwanted components was the primary driver of the decrease in abundance and density of the associated fauna, as well as the loss of functional and taxonomic diversity (Bustamante et al., 2017). We argue this is the case in Old Providence, with a higher fragmentation of colonies in locations with a higher loss of usable habitat area used by D. cylindrus. For example, habitat 13 lost 23.4% of its suitable area in 10 years and had the number of colonies and fragments increase by 11 times in 2012 than in 2002.

Our results suggest that D. cylindrus populations in Colombia are under high extinction risk, and should be considered as extremely vulnerable. The fragmentation of colonies and habitat transformation is a consequence of the synergy of multiple stressors that can limit the potential recovery of the species in changing habitats. These stressors must be minimized to prevent the species' local extinction, especially in the west of Old Providence. Therefore, to reduce the local stressors and help improve the resilience of local D. cylindrus populations to climate change, we suggest the following mediation efforts: (a) the improvement of water quality; (b) the evaluation of management strategies effectiveness of the Seaflower MPA and the regulation of land- and water-based activities; (c) the monitoring of population tendencies and recording of sexual recruitment to understand the larva's habitat selection and whether these habitats are suitable for recruit survival; (d) the monitoring of natural (e.g., hurricanes, temperature change) and anthropic stressors (e.g., anchors, diving, eutrophication), that can affect the species to collect evidence of the cause-effect relationships and for evidence-based decision making; and (e) the formulation of conservation, recovery and restoration plans that are specific for coral reef builders species like D. cylindrus and can be included in the MPA program. The Seaflower MPA currently has a no-take management zone (Garcia et al., 2005), but diving and tourism activities have not been limited, which implies a risk to coral due to anchoring (Figure 6). The results of these mediation efforts should benefit not only D. cylindrus, but other species and habitats within the MPA, and will contribute to a greater understanding of larval habitat selection and recruitment habitat potential that will enhance the future D. cylindrus populations in Colombia.
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