Pandemic coronavirus disease (COVID-19): challenges and a global perspective
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Abstract

The technology-driven world of the 21st century is currently confronted with a major threat to humankind in the form of the coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic, caused by the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2). As of April 22, 2020, COVID-19 has claimed 169,006 human lives and had spread to over 200 countries with more than 2,471,136 confirmed cases. The perpetually increasing figures associated with COVID-19 are disrupting the social and economic systems globally. The losses are unmatched and significantly higher compared to those from previously encountered pathogenic infections. Previously, two CoVs (SARS-CoV and Middle East respiratory syndrome-CoV) affected the human population in 2002 and 2012 in China and Saudi Arabia, respectively. Based on genomic similarities, animal-origin CoVs, primarily those infecting bats, civet cats, and pangolins, were presumed to be the source of emerging human CoVs, including the SARS-CoV-2. The cohesive approach amongst virologists, bioinformaticians, big data analysts, epidemiologists, and public health researchers across the globe has delivered high-end viral diagnostics. Similarly, vaccines and therapeutics against COVID-19 are currently in the pipeline for clinical trials. The rapidly evolving and popular technology of artificial intelligence played a major role in confirming and countering the COVID-19 pandemic using digital technologies and mathematical algorithms. In this review, we discuss the noteworthy advancements in the mitigation of the COVID-19 pandemic, focusing on the etiological viral agent, comparative genomic analysis, population susceptibility, disease epidemiology, animal reservoirs, laboratory animal models, disease transmission, diagnosis using artificial intelligence interventions, therapeutics and vaccines, and disease mitigation measures to combat disease dissemination.
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1. Introduction

The ongoing global threat, declared a pandemic by the international health agencies, originated from the novel coronavirus (CoV) and named as the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) [1]. A live animal market in the Hubei Province of Wuhan in Mainland China was identified as the hotspot of this outbreak [2]. The outbreak was suspected when a cluster of patients started admitting the local health care facilities with complaints of fever, cough, dyspnea, and fatigue, resembling symptoms common to viral pneumonia [3]. The first case of respiratory illness of unknown etiology occurred on December 8th, 2019 (Figure 1). Consequently, seven such patients reported to local health care facilities, and on December 30th, 2019, the Chinese National Health Commission (CNHC) suspected the outbreak of pneumonia of unknown etiology in Wuhan. With the increasing number of cases in neighboring countries and other areas worldwide, it was declared a Public Health Emergency of International Concern by the World Health Organization (WHO) on January 31st, 2020 (Figure 1). The epidemic intensified and spread without boundaries and affected more than 200 countries and a few international conveyances, which led the WHO to declare COVID-19 as a pandemic on March 11th, 2020 [4].

As of April 22nd, 2020, 2,471,136 cases have been reported, with 169,006 deaths, of which 776,907 confirmed cases and 37,602 deaths were from the United States of America, followed by Spain (204,178; 21,282), Italy (183,957; 24,648), France (116,151; 20,763), United Kingdom (129,048; 17,337), and China (84,287; 4642) [5]. Notably, all age groups show susceptibility to the SARS-CoV-2 infection, from newborns to the elderly [6]. The average age noted for COVID-19 infection susceptibility is 55.5 years, while that for the case fatality rates (CFR) is 75 years [7, 8]. However, the CFR varies among different age groups and is highest (14.8%) among the elderly (>80 years of age). The incidences and CFR are reportedly higher in males (67.67% and 2.8%, respectively) than in females, owing to the higher frequency of engagement in outdoor activities and smoking status in males [9]. Immunocompromised individuals and patients with comorbidities are at a higher risk. The most common reported comorbidities in COVID-19 cases include cardiovascular diseases (10.5%), diabetes (7.3%), chronic respiratory infections (6.3%), hypertension (6%), and malignancies (5.6%) [8].
Figure 1. The timeline of significant events of COVID-19 caused by the SARS-CoV-2. Major events starting from the first report of novel CoV from Wuhan, China, the declaration of COVID-19 as a worldwide pandemic by the WHO, and the situation as on April 11th, 2020, has been depicted in the timeline format.

As per the WHO observations, the COVID-19 epidemic has peaked and plateaued between January 23rd and February 2nd, 2020, in China, and then followed a declining trend, paving a path for the elimination of the epidemic. However, the WHO has simultaneously stated that other countries are reporting a continuous increase in the number of cases, with the USA reporting the highest increase in daily deaths (n = 37,602). The definitive origin of the SARS-CoV-2 remains undetermined. However, initial estimations implicate Wuhan as the epicenter and the live animal seafood market as the source of this outbreak, owing to the involvement of animals [10]. The postulation was primarily based on the significant genomic similarity between SARS-CoV-2 and bat or pangolin CoVs. The first patient to be reported in Wuhan did not visit the seafood market, and molecular dating analyses of SARS-CoV-2 genomic sequences suggest its origin in late November [11, 12]. These findings raised specific questions pertinent to the COVID-19 epidemic and the Wuhan seafood market. The well-established human transmission in the outbreak emphasizes the likelihood of better adaptability of the virus in transmission dynamics [3, 11, 13].
The events leading to the emergence of a virus capable of infecting a new host have occurred previously in the case of CoVs [14]. Earlier reports suggested the emergence of the SARS-CoV by recombination between bat SARS-like CoVs, followed by mutations in civet CoVs prior to its spillover. Similarly, the Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS)-CoV also circulated and acquired mutations in camels for approximately 30 years before the incidence of MERS in the Middle East [15, 16], indicating the adaptation of CoVs to different hosts before their spillover to humans [17]. The SARS-CoV-2 is suggested to be a chimera of two different viruses, a bat-CoV (RaTG13) and a pangolin-CoV [18].

Researchers have put forth their best efforts in developing effective treatments and vaccine candidates, and have succeeded to a significant extent in identifying several repurposed drugs and vaccine candidates. However, many such treatment options are in the different stages of pre-clinical and clinical development. To date, no approved, effective antiviral agent or vaccine against SARS-CoV-2 is available for human use. The development of next-generation effective diagnostics has undergone a great leap. This review highlights the significant progress made in the measures for the resolution of the COVID-19 pandemic using virus genomic analysis, assessment of global epidemiological trends, transmission patterns, the present-day status of therapeutics, and public health preparedness plans for controlling the wider dissemination of the disease.

2. Previous human CoV epidemics

Usually, infections caused by the Betacoronaviruses are mild to asymptomatic in nature [19, 20]. Since the first report of CoV in 1960's, humans are affected by CoV infection. To date, six strains of CoVs, causing mild to severe respiratory illnesses in humans, have been identified [21]. Of these, four CoVs (HCoV-NL63, HCoV-229E, HCoV-OC43, and HCoV-HKU1) cause mild symptoms in humans. Contrarily, two outbreaks of severe respiratory illness due to the zoonotic transmission of CoVs were noted in humans, namely the severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) and MERS.

2.1 SARS-CoV

The first incidence of SARS-CoV was reported on November 16th, 2002, in the coastal Guangdong province of southeast China bordering Hong Kong and Macau. This infection spread across 31 countries at a moderate speed. The virus was predicted to originate from bats and civet cats with animal-to-human (zoonotic) and human-to-human modes of transmission (Table 1). A winter season predilection was also noted in the pattern of this epidemic. After adopting strict public health measures consisting of quarantine and lockdown of air traffic, the disease could finally be contained by July 5, 2003 [22]. During this period, the SARS-CoV infected 8,422 people and caused 916 deaths at a CFR of 10.87%. Since then, the SARS-CoV infection has phased out, and the outbreak was managed.
2.2 MERS-CoV

Since the first occurrence of MERS in Saudi Arabia in June 2012, sporadic cases are still reported, primarily in the Arabian Peninsular region, and MERS has spread to more than 27 countries worldwide. Notably, the CFR in MERS is the highest (34.77 %) among all CoVs. To date, it has infected 2,496 people with the death of 868 patients. The disease was primarily restricted to Saudi Arabia, followed by the UAE and the Republic of Korea (Table 1). A few travel-related cases have been reported in Europe in individuals with a travel history to the Arabian Peninsular countries. The source of viral origin was traced to bats, and the camels were the intermediate host for the virus, which followed animal-to-human (zoonotic disease) and human-to-human mode of virus transmission [19, 23].

Table 1: Comparison of the typical features of human coronaviruses isolated between 2002-2020 (SARS-CoV-2, MERS-CoV, and SARS-CoV).

| Features                  | SARS            | MERS            | COVID-19        |
|---------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Causative agent           | SARS-CoV        | MERS-CoV        | SARS-CoV-2      |
| Incubation period         | 2-10 days       | 2-10 days       | 2-14 days       |
| The median age of infected human cases | 65 years      | 50 years        | 59 years        |
| Source of origin          | Bats, civet cats| Bats, camel     | Seafood, bats, and pangolin (proposed) |
| Transmission              | Animal-human    | Animal-human    | Animal-human    |
|                           | Human-human     | Human-human     | Human-human     |
|                           | Zoonotic disease| Zoonotic disease| Zoonotic disease|
| Speed of spread           | Moderate        | Low             | High            |
| Seasonal occurrence       | Winter (Dec-Jan)| Summer (May-July)| Winter (Dec-Jan)|
| Place of Origin           | Guangdong, China| Jeddah, Saudi Arabia| Wuhan, China |
| First incidence           | 16th November 2002| 13th June 2012  | 7th December 2019|
| The last case reported and present status | 5th July 2003| 18th Feb 2020 (Ongoing)| Ongoing|
| Total number of cases     | 8422            | 2496            | 1,434,426 as on 8th April, 2020 |
| Overall fatality          | 916 (10.87%)    | 868 (34.77%)    | 82,220 (5.73%) as on 8th April, 2020 |
| No Countries affected     | 31              | 27              | 212 (till now)  |
| Intermediate host         | Paguma larvata  | Camelus dromedaries| Pangolin, Mink (possible) |
| Definitive host           | Rhinolophus sinicus | Pipistrellus hesperidus | Rhinolophus affinis (possible) |
2.3 Novel coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2)

The first case of the COVID-19 pandemic was reported in the first week of December 2019 in Wuhan City, Hubei Province, China. Using deep sequencing methods, the causative agent for this deadly pandemic was identified as a new member of the Betacoronavirus genus [24, 25]. The newly identified CoV had an 82% sequence identity with the human SARS-CoV [26]. It was initially designated as the 2019-novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV). Later, the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses officially named it as the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2), owing to its significant genetic relatedness to the SARS-CoV. Subsequently, the WHO named the disease caused by SARS-CoV-2 as coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19) on February 11th, 2020 (Figure 1).

3. SARS-CoV-2 classification, structure, and genomics

SARS-CoV-2 belongs to the family Coronaviridae, subfamily Orthocoronavirinae, under the order Nidovirales. The Orthocoronavirinae subfamily consists of four genera, namely Alphacoronavirus, Betacoronavirus, Gammacoronavirus, and Deltacoronavirus [27]. SARS-CoV-2 is a Betacoronavirus. Betacoronaviruses are further subdivide into five subgenera, namely Embecovirus, Hibecovirus, Merbecovirus, Nobecovirus, and Sarbecovirus. Phylogenetically, it forms a discrete lineage within the subgenus of the Sarbecovirus [28]. CoVs, as their name suggests, have a crown-shaped appearance, as observed under the electron microscope, owing to the spikes on the surface of the virion.

SARS-CoV-2 is a 0.12 µm, enveloped, non-segmented virus, and its genome comprises a single-stranded positive-sense RNA (+ssRNA) of ≈29.8 kb [29, 30]. The genome is arranged in a structure typical to coronaviruses in the following order: 5′-ORF1a/ab-Spike (S)-Envelope (E)-Membrane (M)-Nucleocapsid (N)−3′; however, it lacks the hemagglutinin-esterase gene, similar to batSARS-like-CoVs, Human SARS-CoVs, and MERS-CoVs. Fourteen open reading frames (ORFs) have been identified that encode twenty-seven proteins, both structural and non-structural proteins.
(nsps). At the 5’ terminus, the first ORF1a/ab, which occupies approximately two-thirds of the entire genome length, encodes the pp1a and pp1ab proteins, respectively, and both collectively comprise 15 non-structural proteins (nsp 1 to 10 and nsp 12 to 16). The functions of the nsps of a typical coronavirus and SARS-CoV-2 are listed in Supplementary Table 1 [26, 31]. The ORFs toward the 3’-terminus encode at least four major structural proteins: the spike (S), matrix membrane (M), envelope (E), and nucleocapsid (N) proteins. Besides, accessory proteins (3a, 6, 7a, 7b, 8, and 10) are also encoded by the genome of SARS-CoV-2 Wuhan HU1. The 5'- and 3'- UTR sequences of SARS-CoV-2 have ≈83.0% nucleotide identity with other members of the Betacoronavirus genus. The genomes of the SARS-CoV, SARS-CoV-2, and bat-SARS CoV are considerably similar. The RNA secondary structures in the 5’-UTR were more identical to that of SARS-CoV than to the bat SARS-like CoV; however, the 3’-UTR was more conserved compared to other related Betacoronaviruses [26].

**Supplementary Table 1**: The functions of non-structural proteins in a typical coronavirus and SARS-CoV-2 (predicted by bioinformatics tools).

| Non-Structural proteins | Functions of a typical CoV | SARS-CoV-2 (predicted by bioinformatics tools) |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| nsp1                    | Cellular mRNA degradation, inhibiting IFN signaling | Suppress antiviral host response |
| nsp2                    | Unknown                     | Unknown |
| nsp3                    | PLP, polypeptides cleaving, blocking host innate immune response, promoting cytokine expression | Putative PL-pro domain |
| nsp4                    | DMV (double-membrane vesicle) formation | Complex with nsp3 and 6: DMV formation |
| nsp5                    | 3CLpro, Mpro, polypeptides cleaving, inhibiting IFN signaling | 3CL-pro domain |
| nsp6                    | Restricting autophagosome expansion, DMV formation | Complex with nsp3 and 4: DMV formation |
| nsp7                    | Cofactor with nsp8 and nsp12 | Complex with nsp8: primase |
| nsp8                    | Cofactor with nsp7 and nsp12, primase | Complex with nsp7: primase |
| nsp9                    | Dimerization and RNA binding | RNA/DNA binding activity |
| nsp10                   | Scaffold protein for nsp14 and nsp16 | Complex with nsp14: replication fidelity |
| nsp11                   | Unknown                     | Short peptide at the end of orf1a (2019-nCoV HKU-SZ-005b) |
| nsp12                   | Primer dependent RdRp | RNA-dependent RNA polymerase |
| nsp13                   | RNA helicase, 5’triphosphatase | Helicase |
| nsp14                   | Exoribonuclease, N7-MTase | ExoN: 3’–5’ exonuclease |
A detailed comparative genome organization of important and related *Betacoronavirus* members, including a *Deltacoronavirus* as well, is provided in Figure 2. At the genomic level, the sequence homology of SARS-CoV-2 with MERS-CoV is lower (50-51.8%) than that with SARS-CoV (79%) [32]. Phylogenetically, the SARS-CoV-2 is most similar to the bat SARS-like-CoVZC45 concerning most genes [33, 34]. Even at the amino acid level, the SARS-CoV-2 is relatively similar to SARS-like-CoVZC45, although there exist certain notable differences. For example, the 6, 7b, and 10 proteins are present in SARS-CoV-2, whereas these are absent in bat SARS-like-CoVZC45. Compared to the SARS-CoV, the sequence for 8a is absent in the SARS-CoV-2 genome; the sequence for 3b is shorter, while that for 8b has an extra 73 amino acids in SARS-CoV-2 [29]. The spike protein-encoding gene in SARS-CoV-2 (1273 amino acids) is longer than those of bat SARS-like-CoVZC45 (1246 amino acids) and SARS-CoV Tor2 (1255 amino acids). Certain accessory proteins, such as the 6 and 7b proteins of SARS-CoV Tor2, are similar to those in SARS-CoV-2, except for a marginal variation in protein length (Figure 2). The majority of the accessory proteins in MERS-CoV EMC are distinctive, including a longer spike protein (1353 amino acids), compared to those in SARS-CoV-2. In comparison to the SARS-CoV-2, the bovine CoV ENT has the longer spike protein (1363 amino acids) and a unique hemagglutinin-esterase gene, while the porcine CoV HKU15 (a delta-CoV) has the shortest spike protein (1159 amino acids) and lacks several accessory proteins. Hence, it would be interesting to characterize the spike and accessory proteins of SARS-CoV-2 and study how these proteins modulate the pathogenesis and transmissibility of the virus.
Figure 2. Genome organization of SARS-CoV-2 and its comparison with other coronaviruses. The coding regions of beta-CoVs, SARS-CoV-2 Wuhan-HU-1 (NC_045512.2; 29903 bps), bat SARS-like-CoV ZC45 (MG772933.1; 29802 bps), human SARS-CoV Tor2 (AY274119.3; 29751 bps), MERS-CoV EMC (NC_019843.3; 30119 bps), bovine CoV ENT (NC_003045.1; 31028 bps), and a delta-CoV, the porcine CoV HKU15 (NC_039208.1; 25425 bps) are presented. The genomes consist of a 5′ untranslated region (5′ UTR), an open reading frame (ORF 1a/ab) encoding non-structural proteins (nsps), structural proteins, hemagglutinin-esterase, spike (S), membrane (M), envelope (E), and nucleocapsid (N) proteins, several accessory proteins, and a 3′ untranslated region (3′ UTR). The length of the ORFs, nsps, and accessory proteins are not drawn to scale.

The trimeric spike (S) glycoprotein, the most important determinant of host tropism and transmission capability, is functionally composed of two subunits, the S1 (receptor binding) and S2 (cell membrane fusion) [33, 35]. The SARS-CoV-2 S2 subunit is comparatively conserved and closely related to that in bat SARS-like-CoVZC45, while the S1 subunit had only 70% identity with that of bat SARS-like-CoVZC45 [26, 34]. During infection, the S protein is proteolytically processed by host cell proteases at the S1/S2 cleavage site, and following cleavage, the S protein is cleaved into the N-terminal S1 subunit and C-terminal S2 subunit. The S1 subunit comprises a signal peptide, an N-terminal domain, and a receptor-binding domain (RBD). In contrast, the S2 subunit comprises a...
conserved fusion peptide, a second proteolytic site (S2'), followed by an internal fusion peptide, heptad repeats 1 and 2, a transmembrane domain, and a cytoplasmic domain [36]. The cleaved S protein remains in the metastable pre-fusion conformation [37, 38]. After the virus enters the host cell, a second cleavage (at the S2' cleavage site) occurs for membrane fusion, mediated by the endolysosomal proteases [33]. Since furin is highly expressed in the lungs, the furin-like cleavage sites (S1/S2 and S2') appear to be critical for the activation of the S protein and, therefore, for the efficient entry of virus [36, 39]. On comparing these sites, we observed a typical furin-like cleavage site rich in basic amino acid residues (SPRRAR5VAS) in SARS-CoV-2 that is absent in bat SARS-like-CoVZC45 (TASILRSTSQ) and human SARS-CoV Tor2 (TVSLLRSTSQ) (Figure 3). However, the S2' cleavage site patterns (XKPKXR5SF) in all three viruses were considerably similar.

Similarly, furin might cleave the MERS-CoV EMC spike protein less efficiently at the S2' cleavage site owing to the lack of typical basic amino acid residues observed in SARS-CoV-2, bat SARS-like-CoVZC45, and human SARS-CoV Tor2. Although the S1/S2 cleavage site in the bovine CoV ENT (KRRSR5SITT) spike protein is rich in basic amino acids, its S2' cleavage site (NKVSSRSA) lacks the typical basic amino acid residues (Figure 3). The porcine CoV HKU15 contains a monobasic cleavage site (NSTENNRFIT), which could lead to low-efficiency cleavage. Based on the findings from these comparative studies, we speculate that the high-density basic amino acid residues, preferably positioned in close proximity at both cleavage sites (S1/S2 and S2'), might have facilitated the efficient replication and transmission of SARS-CoV-2. However, these propositions need to be validated by further experimental investigations.
Figure 3. Comparative sequence and structural analyses of the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein. (A) The cleavage sites of SARS-CoV-2 spike proteins (S1/S2 and S’ protease cleavage sites) and their comparison with those in other coronaviruses. The superimposition of the receptor-binding domain (RBDs) of SARS-CoV-2 (pdb: 6VSB) on (B) human SARS-CoV (pdb: 2AJF), (C) MERS-CoV (pdb: 4KR0), (D) BtCoV-HKU4 (pdb: 4QZV), and (E) HCoV-NL63 (pdb: 3KBH). (F) The superimposition of the RBDs of porcine respiratory CoV (pdb: 4F5C) on HCoV-NL63 (pdb: 3KBH). RMSD: Root Mean Square Deviation. UCSF Chimera was used for the superposition and visualization of the RBDs of the different CoVs [40].

4. CoV receptors

Host cell receptors and viral surface glycoprotein/ligand binding serve as the most important determinants of host range, cross-species transmission, and antiviral targeting inventions [41, 42]. CoVs can infect a wide range of host species, including humans, animals, and birds (Table 2). CoVs from four genera recognize at least five different receptors and, therefore, exhibit an intricate host receptor recognition pattern. The differential usage of host receptors by different CoVs indicates the
structural diversity in the RBDs of the S glycoprotein. However, CoV infections in humans are primarily driven by interactions between envelope-anchored spike glycoprotein (S protein) of CoV and the host cell receptor, the angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) [43, 44]. Viruses from the same genus can recognize different receptors (for example, SARS-ACE2 and MERS-DPP4), while CoVs from different genera can bind to the same receptor as well, such as ACE-2 (HCoV-NL63 and SARS). The key features of the external subdomain of RBDs need to be investigated further to elucidate its role in receptor usage, transmission, and pathogenesis of SARS-CoV-2.

Table 2: Receptors recognized by prototype CoVs from different genera.

| Genus             | CoVs            | Receptors             | References |
|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|------------|
| *Alphacoronavirus*| HCoV-NL63       | ACE-2                 | [45]       |
|                   | TGEV            | APN                   | [46]       |
|                   | PRCV            | APN                   | [46]       |
| *Betacoronavirus* | MHV             | CEACAM1               | [47]       |
|                   | BCoV            | N-acetyl-9-O-acetyleneuraminic acid | [48] |
|                   | MERS            | DPP4 (CD26)           | [49]       |
|                   | SARS            | ACE-2                 | [41]       |
| *Gammacoronavirus*| IBV             | α2,3-linked sialic acid (attachment factor) | [50] |
| *Deltacoronavirus*| PDCoV           | APN                   | [51]       |

5. SARS-CoV-2 surface persistence/susceptibility

With the exponential increment in SARS-CoV-2 cases globally, the non-availability of effective and specific antiviral treatment, and the lack of specific vaccines, the prime focus has been on minimizing contact with infected persons, asymptomatic carriers, or contaminated surfaces to contain the spread of the virus. Given this, we reviewed the available literature on viral persistence on different contaminated surfaces that we commonly come in contact with daily. The SARS-CoV-2 has been shown to persist in aerosols for up to 3 hours, with a decline in the infectious virus titer from $10^{3.5}$ to $10^{2.7}$ TCID$_{50}$ per liter of air [45]. Meanwhile, the SARS-CoV-2 was found to be retained more stably on plastic and stainless steel and could retain infectivity for up to 72 hours when present on these contaminated surfaces. However, the infective titer reduced significantly from $10^{3.7}$ to $10^{0.6}$ TCID$_{50}$ on plastic surfaces and from $10^{3.7}$ to $10^{0.6}$ TCID$_{50}$ on stainless steel surfaces. On copper surfaces, both SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV-1 were rendered non-viable after 4 and 8 hours, whereas on
cardboard surfaces, no viable viruses were detected after 24 and 8 hours, respectively [46]. Other CoVs, such as MERS-CoV, remained viable for up to 48 hours on plastic and stainless steel surfaces [47]. A limited number of low pathogenicity human CoVs, such as the HCoV-229E and HCoV-OC43, were found to be viable from 2-9 hours up to 6 days on different surfaces such as aluminum, glass, plastic, PVC, silicon rubber, latex gloves, ceramic, and Teflon [48-50].

Owing to the significant number of COVID-19 cases worldwide and the lack of effective remedies for this disease, the WHO is constantly endorsing and promoting better personal hygiene practices. Social distancing and self-isolation are being encouraged to contain the spread of the virus. Therefore, the WHO recommended two hand rub formulations based on ethanol and 2-propanol [51]. Such alcohol-based hand rub formulations were found to be effective in inactivating the SARS-CoV-2 [52].

6. Phylogenomic analysis of SARS-CoV-2 and related CoVs

We analyzed the spike gene sequences (n = 38) of SARS-CoV-2, which were retrieved from the NCBI database. The spike gene sequences of CoVs representing different subgenera of Betacoronavirus, including the SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV, were also retrieved. Based on the BLASTn analysis, certain strains similar to bat SARS-like-CoVs and bat-CoVs were also included in this analysis. The nucleotide and amino acid percent similarities between these CoVs were calculated using the MegAlign software from DNASTAR. The phylogenetic analyses of spike genes from different CoVs were conducted using the GTR + G substitution model and the Maximum Composite Likelihood method. The tree was visualized using iTOL, an online tree visualization tool [53].

The whole-genome sequence of SARS-CoV-2 derived from isolates from different countries showed a high similarity of 99 to 100% at both nucleotide and amino acid levels, suggesting the stability of the viral genome. To determine the similarity index between SARS-CoV-2 and other members of Betacoronavirus, we analyzed the spike protein sequences of all subgenera. The reference SARS-CoV-2 strain (Wuhan-Hu-1/NC_045512) was closely associated with a bat-CoV (RaTG13) from Yunnan, China, with 93.1 and 97.67% nucleotide and amino acid sequence similarity, respectively (Table 3). A pangolin-CoV strain MP789 from China also had a similarity index of 89.7 and 89.78% in nucleotide and amino acid sequences with the reference strain, respectively. Besides, two bat SARS-like-CoVs (bat-SL-CoVZC45/MG772933 and bat-SL-CoVZXC21/MG772934) were also closely related to the novel SARS-CoV-2 (Table 3).

The clustering of SARS-CoV-2 with the bat and pangolin CoVs provided evidence of its possible origin from these animals. Another member of the subgenus Sarbecovirus, the SARS-CoV, was distantly related to the SARS-CoV-2 (Table 3).
Table 3. A summary of (a) percentage nucleotide and (b) protein sequence identity of SARS-CoV-2 (NC_045512, Wuhan-Hu-1) with other members of Betacoronavirus (subgenus-Sarbecovirus).

| CoVs | Strain name / Accession number | % Nucleotide Sequence Identity | % Protein Sequence Identity |
|------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|
| (a) Whole Genome-Based Comparison (29.9 kb) | | | |
| South Korea | SNU01/MT039890 | 99 | 99.9 |
| Australia | VIC01/MT007544 | 99 | 99.9 |
| Nepal | 61-TW/MT072688 | 99 - 100 | 100 |
| Taiwan | NTU02/MT066176 | 99 - 100 | 100 |
| USA | USA-C9/MT118835 | 99 - 100 | 100 |
| Japan | WK-501/LC522974 | 99 - 100 | 100 |
| (b) Spike Protein Based Comparison (~3800 bases) | | | |
| Pangolin CoV | MP789/MT084071 | 89.7 | 89.78 |
| Bat_SL_CoVs | bat-SL-CoVZC45/MG772933 bat-SL-CoVZXC21/MG772934 | 77.5 - 78.3 | 81.2 - 81.8 |
| Bat_CoV | RaTG13/MN996532 | 93.1 | 97.69 |
| Bat_SARS_CoVs | WIV16/KT444582 BtRs-BetaCoV/ YN2018C/ MK211377 | 69.8 - 73.9 | 72.3 - 78.1 |
| SARS_CoVs | NS-1/AY508724 GD01/AY278489 | 73.9 | 77 |
| MERS_CoVs | HCoV-EMC/JX869059 England 1/NC_038294 | 21.8 - 21.9 | 26.8 |
| CRCoVs | CRCVK39/EU983107 Respiratory/AY150272 | 23.1 - 23.2 | 28.8 - 29.1 |
| BCoVs | CAMAGUEY/HE616741 HT317/MK046011 | 18.2 - 22.7 | 16.9 - 28.7 |
| EqCoV | Obihiro12-1/LC061273 | 18.3 | 29.2 |
| HCoV | OC43/S62886 | 18.1 - 18.7 | 26.8 - 28.0 |
| Bat_CoV | Zhejiang2013/KF636752 | 33.6 | 39.0 |
| Bat_CoVs | KHU9-1/EF065513 GCCDC1 356/KU762338 | 24.3 - 25.1 | 29.1 |

Based on the spike gene phylogenetic analysis, it was observed that SARS-CoV-2 originating from different countries formed a separate clade with other members of Sarbecovirus. Four viruses, the bat-CoV (RaTG13/MN996532), pangolin-CoV (MP789/MT084071), and two bat SARS-like-CoVs (bat-
SL-CoVZC45/MG772933 and bat-SL-CoVZXC21/MG772934) closely clustered with the SARS-CoV-2, while other bat-CoVs and SARS-CoVs clustered within the Sarbecovirus subgenus clade (Figure 4). Similarly, CoVs from different subgenera, which included bat-CoVs belonging to Hibecovirus and Nobecovirus, human and camel MERS-CoV belonging to Merbecovirus, and human, canine, bovine, and equine CoVs belonging Embecovirus subgenera, clustered separately (Figure 4).

Figure 4. Maximum likelihood tree of Betacoronaviruses, including SARS-CoV-2. The phyloanalysis included SARS-CoV-2 originating from China, the USA, Australia, Japan, and South Korea. Different subgenera of Betacoronaviruses have been labeled with different colors according to the color legend on the left side of the tree. Major species of each subgenus have been depicted in front of each clade.

7. Epidemiology of SARS-CoV-2 infection

The Wuhan seafood market in China was implicated as the epicenter of the COVID-19 pandemic, and China was marked as the index country. Seven cases of pneumonia of unknown etiology were reported in Wuhan from December 8th to 18th, 2019. The first cluster of such patients was identified on December 21st, 2019. Pneumonia of unknown etiology is defined as an illness without an identified causative pathogen that fulfills the following criteria: fever (≥ 38 °C), radiographic evidence of pneumonia, low or average white-cell count or low lymphocyte count, and no symptomatic improvement after antimicrobial treatment for 3 to 5 days following standard clinical guidelines [11, 54]. The patients presented with a severe acute respiratory infection, with some developing acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) and associated complications [55].
7.1 Transmission

The information about the mode of transmission of SARS-CoV-2 is limited and continuously evolving. Transmission primarily occurs via the person-to-person route through respiratory droplets (>5-10 μm in diameter) and fomites. Droplet infection is associated mainly with coughing, sneezing, and talking to an infected person, and the virus is transmitted to humans through direct contact with mucus membranes. Transmission can also occur by touching an infected surface, followed by contact with eyes, nose, or mouth. The travel range of the droplets is less than six feet (approximately two meters), and these are not retained in the air for long. Satisfactory evidence of the airborne transmission of SARS-CoV-2 remains unavailable [45]. Although clinically infected patients readily transmit the virus [14], asymptomatic infected patients can also transmit the virus, and therefore, these carriers should be tested to effectively contain the spread [11, 56, 57].

There is evidence of intestinal infection from SARS-CoV-2, and subsequent excretion through feces [56, 58]. In China, out of ten pediatric COVID-19 patients without respiratory symptoms, eight were positive for fecal SARS-CoV-2 shedding; however, the nasopharyngeal samples from these subjects tested negative for the virus [59]. These findings were further supported by a study in which the virus was successfully cultured from feces samples [59, 60]. However, there have been no reports of fecal-oral transmission of SARS-CoV-2 to date. Some reports also suggested SARS-CoV-2 infection in newborns; however, there is no evidence for vertical transmission or intrauterine infection in a COVID-19 patient during the late pregnancy stage [55].

7.2 Incubation period

The incubation period for SARS-CoV-2 ranged from 2 to 14 days in human-to-human transmission, while, in some cases, it extended up to 24 days [57]. However, a median incubation period of 5-6 days has been reported by the WHO recently [61].

7.3 Basic Reproduction number (Ro)

The basic reproduction number plays a pivotal role in infectious disease epidemiology and indicates the risk a pathogen carries with respect to its spread. In other words, Ro provides information about the transmissibility of a virus and represents the number of new infections originating from an infected individual in a population. A recent comparison of different studies on the estimation of Ro for COVID-19 revealed that the estimated mean Ro for COVID-19 is 3.28, with a median of 2.79, which is higher than the WHO estimate of 1.95 [62]. However, considerable variability was noted owing to the use of different methods, insufficient data, and short onset time of the disease.

7.4 Population susceptibility

There is no age limit for vulnerability to SARS-CoV-2 infection. However, cases with higher morbidity and chances of mortality have been reported in older adults. Individuals above 65 years of
age or have comorbidities (such as hypertension, diabetes, cardiovascular diseases, and respiratory system diseases) are highly susceptible to the infection [25].

7.5 Global Scenario

COVID-19 has affected almost every country across the globe. On December 31st, 2019, an “urgent notice on the treatment of pneumonia of unknown cause” was issued to the Wuhan Municipal Health Center. The market premises were disinfected, and the stallholders were instructed to wear masks. Till January 3rd, 2020, 44 case-patients with pneumonia of unknown etiology were reported to the WHO from China, although the cause was not identified. On January 7th, 2020, the Chinese authorities identified a new type of CoV and shared its genetic sequence on January 12th, 2020. On January 9th, the death of a 61-year older man was the first reported case of death from the infection. The WHO published interim laboratory guide for the detection of the novel CoV [63].

Fig. 5: Worldwide distribution map depicting COVID-19 cases and deaths in major world regions. Over six regions have been described by the WHO, where the presence of COVID-19 disease, as reported by different countries, is depicted in the map along with confirmed cases and deaths represented by the pie chart and bar diagram.
Between January 13th to 20th, 2020, Thailand (the first country after China to report a case), Japan, and the Republic of Korea reported their respective first cases of COVID-19. On January 20th, the China CDC identified three different strains of this virus, confirming the mutation of the original virus. Six deaths were reported in Wuhan, of which four patients had underlying comorbidities [64].

Between January 22nd and 25th, Macau, Hong Kong, Nepal, France, Australia, Malaysia, and Canada confirmed their first cases. As in China, the WHO assessed the risk to be significantly high at both regional and global levels. On January 30th, 2020, the International Health Regulations Emergency Committee, WHO declared the outbreak as a public health emergency of international concern. Later on, the WHO named the virus as SARS-CoV-2, and the disease was named COVID-19 (coronavirus disease 2019). Thus far, the SARS-CoV-2 has affected more than 210 countries, with more than 1.5 million confirmed cases of infection and 92,000 deaths globally [65].

7.6 Socio-economic impact

The COVID-19 pandemic has resulted in the imposition of international travel restrictions in several countries, cancelation of major sporting events, and lockdown of borders, and has had a major impact on the global economy. It has also resulted in the closure of schools and universities worldwide (March 10th, UNESCO). The stock markets and crude oil prices have declined severely, possibly the most drastic occurrence in recent years. Industries related to entertainment, ceremonial activities, hotels, and tourism are also affected. It is estimated that the global GDP loss would from a range of 1.0 to 2.7 trillion US dollars. The lockdown and other associated restrictions have severely affected the economic status and the wellbeing of families and communities. The major sectors affected by this outbreak are health, education, manufacturing, transportation, and trade, among others.

8. Clinical Profile

CoVs include viruses infecting humans and other animal species such as birds, camels, cattle, bats, and cats. CoVs primarily affect the upper and lower respiratory tracts, and the enteric, hepatic, and neurological systems, with infections characterized by severe dyspnea and hypoxemia, renal dysfunction with lower urine output, tachycardia, altered mental status, and functional alterations of organs in animals and humans. Individuals infected by SARS-CoV-2 may be asymptomatic carriers or active patients with the clinical presentation of symptoms such as fever, fatigue, dry cough, myalgia, dyspnea, severe respiratory distress syndrome, and acute cardiac injury [57, 66, 67]. There are three types of ARDS: mild, moderate, and severe ARDS. An increase in body temperature up to 39.0 °C (102.2 °F), accompanied by coarse breathing sounds from both lungs at auscultation are common clinical symptoms. Symptoms such as headache, sputum production, hemoptysis, diarrhea, and pleuritic chest pain were also observed in rare cases. The infection more commonly affects people with cardiopulmonary or renal diseases, and pregnant females, infants, and the elderly (at a two-fold
greater risk than the general population). There is no evidence to suggest greater susceptibility among children. Pregnant women are at greater risk for viral infections, as suggested by data from previous outbreaks; therefore, it is advisable to avoid contact with infected individuals [68].

9. Clinical Pathology and Immunopathobiology

9.1 Clinical Pathology

Based on the time of occurrence and intensity of symptoms, the infection has been categorized into three stages; mild, severe, and critical stage [69, 70]. In the mild stage, mild pneumonia/no pneumonia develops, although symptoms of upper respiratory tract viral infection marked by mild fever, dry cough, sore throat, nasal congestion, malaise, headache, and muscle pain prevail [71]. In severely affected patients, the rate of respiration is above 30/min due to dyspnea, respiratory symptoms such as cough and shortness of breath or tachypnea are common, oxygen saturation in the blood is below 93%, indicating hypoxia, and these developments are observed within a time period of 24-48 hours. The critical stage is marked by symptoms such as severe pneumonia, septic shock, respiratory failure, cardiac arrest, and/or multiple organ failure, which may eventually lead to the death of the patient [72, 73].

Radiological images indicate that two to five lobes of the lungs may be affected. The most common and pronounced lesions are marked by patchy ground-glass opacities and patchy consolidation in the mid, external, and sub-pleural areas of the lung [74]. A chest radiograph, computer tomographic (CT) scan, and/or lung ultrasound indicate the presence of bilateral opacities [55, 75, 76]. Thoracic CT scan imaging depicted bilateral ground-glass opacity and bilateral multiple lobular and sub-segmental areas of consolidation [77-79]. The pathological image of a COVID-19 patient presented bilateral diffuse alveolar damage with cellular fibromyxoid exudates. The cytopathic effects were marked by the presence of interstitial mononuclear inflammatory infiltrates, with a majority of lymphocytes and multinucleated syncytial cells along with atypical enlarged pneumocytes containing large nuclei and amphophilic granular cytoplasm in the intra-alveolar spaces. In some infected patients, microvascular steatosis and mild lobular and portal activity were recorded in hepatic tissues, while interstitial mononuclear inflammatory infiltrates were d in the cardiac tissues [8].

9.2 Immunopathobiology

Existing literature documents that patients suffering from severe SARS-CoV-2 infection might suffer from the cytokine storm syndrome that could eventually lead to death [80, 81]. In a viral infection, cell-mediated immunity is triggered to overcome the infection; however, owing to the overproduction of cytokines, interferons, and other factors, such events can have drastic effects in certain patients [82]. Evidently, respiratory failure due to the ARDS is the leading cause of mortality, and apart from this, secondary hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis is also induced in a limited
number of adult COVID-19 patients. Usually, it is one of the less-recognized syndromes characterized by hyperinflammatory, hyperferritinemia, fulminant and lethal hypercytokinemia, cytopenias, coagulopathy, and thrombocytopenia, along with multiple organ failure. The severely affected group of COVID-19 patients presented with a marked increase in the levels of plasma pro-inflammatory cytokines, interleukin (IL)-2, IL-7, macrophage inflammatory protein 1-α, granulocyte-colony stimulating factor, tumor necrosis factor-α, interferon-γ inducible protein 10, and monocyte chemoattractant protein 1. Mortality in COVID-19 could be attributed to such hyperinflammatory reactions [80, 83].

Clinical laboratory findings suggested leucopenia (white blood cell count less than 2.91 × 10⁹/l) and lymphopenia (lymphocyte count less than 1.0 × 10⁹/l), high erythrocyte sedimentation rate, altered myocardial zymogram with elevated levels of lactate dehydrogenase and creatinine kinase, and increased levels of alanine aminotransferase or aspartate aminotransferase as indicators of irregular liver function, rise in hypersensitive troponin I (hs-cTnI) as a sign of virus-induced heart tissue damage, and a marked increase in the concentration of serum C-reactive protein and D-dimer, hyperbilirubinemia, and acidosis [3, 24].

Studies on the pathogenesis of COVID-19 have not been undertaken thus far; however, understanding the mechanisms underlying the pathogenesis of MERS-CoV and SARS-CoV may help delineate key factors associated with COVID-19 pathogenesis. Once the virus enters the cells through a specific interaction between the S protein and ACE-2, the antigen-presenting cells are likely to process the viral proteins through the major histocompatibility complex (MHC; or human leukocyte antigen in humans). Following the MHC antigen presentation of SARS-CoV-2, both humoral and cellular immunity are activated, mediated by the antibody-producing B cells and virus-specific T lymphocytes. The seroconversion in COVID-19 patients was observed to occur at a similar point or slightly earlier than in SARS-CoV infection [84, 85]. A recently released antibody profile against the SARS-CoV-2 has indicated that the seroconversion rates for antibodies, IgM, and IgG were 93.1% (161/173), 82.7% (143/173), and 64.7% (112/173), respectively [86]. Studies on cellular immunity against SARS-CoV-2 are limited. A recent study reported a significant reduction in the levels of CD4 and CD8 T cells in the peripheral blood of SARS-CoV-2-infected patients [87]. Studies on COVID-19-recovered patients can help significantly in elucidating the role of specific memory T cell-mediated immune responses against SARS-CoV-2.

Increasing evidence suggests that fatalities resulting from SARS-CoV-2 infection could be primarily attributed to the ARDS [88, 89], which may be associated with comorbidities and followed by multiple organ failure, leading to death [90]. ARDS is an immunopathological feature common to SARS-CoV-1, MERS-CoV, and the more recent SARS-CoV-2 infections [87, 91]. ARDS occurs primarily in response to the cytokine storm, the fatal uncontrolled systemic inflammatory response
resulting from the release of an excess quantity of pro-inflammatory cytokines (IL-6, IL-1β, IL-2, IL-8, IL-17, G-CSF, GM-CSF, IP10, MCP1, MIP1α and TNF from immune effector cells during the SARS-CoV-2 infection [89, 91-95, 96]. In this context, the increased levels of IL-6 were considered a reliable indicator of poor prognosis in the severe stage of the disease [96]. The cytokine storm triggers a severe attack by the immune system on the lung and the body, inducing ARDS and multiple organ failure, and finally leading to death in severe cases of SARS-CoV-2 infections, similar to that in SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV infections [87, 92]. A recent study also supported the proposition that the SARS-CoV-2 acts on lymphocytes and leads to a cytokine storm and a series of immune dysregulation events in the body [92, 93, 95].

10. Animal Reservoirs

Identification of animal reservoirs or intermediate host(s) and the evolution of SARS-CoV-2 is critical to our understanding of the molecular mechanism underlying interspecies transfer, and hence, devising effective control measures to prevent its further spread.

The preliminary whole-genome analyses of SARS-CoV-2 denoted a high genetic identity (96.2%) with a bat (BetaCoV/bat/Yunnan/RaTG13/2013) virus, detected in Rhinolophus affinis in the Yunnan province of China [97], leading to speculation that bats might act as a possible reservoir host. Notably, the homology modeling studies of RBD of SARS-CoV-2 suggest that it has a structure similar to that of SARS-CoV RBD, with few differences in the key residues at the amino acid level [33]. Another study based on synonymous codon usage bias suggested snakes as an intermediate host; however, this virus has not been detected in any snake species yet [98]. Later on, pangolins were found to be a potential intermediate host. The recent detection and whole-genome sequence analysis of the SARS-CoV-2 from Malayan pangolins indicated a sequence identity of 85.5 to 92.4% with pangolin SARS-CoV-2, which is lower compared to that with bat CoV RaTG13 (96.2%). However, the RBD of the S protein from pangolin SARS-CoV-2 exhibited 97.4% amino acid similarity to that of SARS-CoV-2, higher compared to that of RaTG13 (89.2%) [99]. Furthermore, the SARS-CoV-2 RBD shares five identical key residues with that of pangolin-CoV, while it shares only one residue with that of RaTG13 [99]. This finding suggests that pangolins may act as a potential intermediate host. However, studies suggesting bats as a reservoir host and pangolins as an intermediate host for SARS-CoV-2 need to be investigated further.

The susceptibility in different animals has recently been evaluated through an experiment on SARS-CoV-2 infection, where SARS-CoV-2 was found to replicate efficiently in cats and ferrets, while it replicated poorly in pigs, dogs, chickens, and ducks [100]. Viral transmission via respiratory droplets was also noted in cats in this study. A dog and a tiger tested were reported to test positive for the SARS-CoV-2 [101]. The gene sequence analysis of the viral isolate from a dog indicates that the dog might have acquired the infection from infected individuals. These findings suggest low chances
of SARS-CoV-2 transmission from pet animals to the human population; however, further investigation is warranted

11. Animal models in SARS-CoV-2 research

There is an ongoing search for a suitable animal model to study SARS-CoV-2 infection [102]. After the SARS outbreak, numerous inbred mouse strains were evaluated as models for the SARS-CoV disease with varying levels of results [103-105]. The genetically engineered mouse model, known as the humanized ACE2, was developed in response to the SARS outbreak; this model could be infected by SARS-CoV-2 and develop mild pneumonia. The other promising animal models for SARS-CoV-2 vaccine research could include hamsters and monkeys [106]. Recently, ferrets have been identified as an infection and transmission animal model for studying the SARS-CoV-2; this finding may facilitate the development of SARS-CoV-2 drugs and vaccines [107].

12. Diagnosis of COVID-19

12.1 Sample collection

For patients under investigation, the collection of upper respiratory samples (nasopharyngeal and oropharyngeal swabs) and lower respiratory samples (sputum, if possible) is recommended irrespective of the presentation. Nasopharyngeal swab, bronchoalveolar lavage, endotracheal aspirates or wash, oropharyngeal swab, and saliva are the preferred samples. Stool and urine samples can also be tested to exclude other unidentified modes of transmission [3]. Respiratory samples should be collected in viral transport medium with antibiotics and antifungals and transported in the cold chain. Sample collection and packaging have to be conducted using proper personal protective equipment. Triple packaging of samples is necessary to prevent spillage and should be conducted in a negative pressure room with Level II Biosafety cabinets to reduce the scope of transmission. However, depending upon available resources, certain modifications can be incorporated in the Standard Operating Procedures to minimize the risk. Lately, saliva samples have been found to test positive for SARS-CoV-2 in 91.7% of the symptomatic cases, and a declining trend in viral load has also been reported. This finding is of immense importance as the collection of saliva is a non-invasive technique, requiring less stringent conditions, and this can also be used as a screening tool [112]. Zhang et al. used anal swabs in addition to respiratory samples and found a higher number of positive cases using anal swabs than respiratory swabs, especially in the later stages of infection [60].

12.2 Diagnostic technologies

Sensitive and specific diagnostic methods are always preferred, especially in cases of epidemics or pandemics, for rapid and accurate diagnosis of viruses. Molecular (RT-PCR) assays are fast, highly sensitive, and specific, and are gradually replacing the conventional methods. According to data maintained by the Foundation for Innovative New Diagnostics (FIND), one of WHO’s partners for the assessment of diagnostics, at least 143 COVID-19 molecular diagnostic kits are in commercial use.
worldwide [113]. Among these, 22 kits have already been assigned for emergency use authorization (EUA) by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). The details of the selected kits are provided in Table 4.

Table 4. A summary of selected molecular diagnostic tests that received emergency use authorization (EUA) from regulatory bodies.

| Company                              | Assay                                             | Targets                                          | Regulatory Status |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Centers for Disease Control and Prevention’s (CDC) | CDC 2019-nCoV Real-Time RT-PCR Diagnostic Panel (CDC) | N1, N2 and RNase P (control)                     | USA FDA-EUA       |
| Roche Molecular Systems, Inc. (RMS)  | cobas SARS-CoV-2                                  | ORF1ab, E gene, RNase P (control)                | USA FDA-EUA       |
| Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.       | TaqPath COVID-19 Combo Kit                        | ORF1ab, N gene, S gene, M52 (control)           | USA FDA-EUA       |
| Primerdesign Ltd                     | Primerdesign Ltd COVID-19 genesig Real-Time PCR assay | RdRp gene                                      | USA FDA-EUA       |
| Abbott Molecular                     | Abbott RealTime SARS-CoV-2 assay                  | RdRp and N genes                               | USA FDA-EUA       |
| Cepheid                              | Xpert Xpress SARS-CoV-2 test                      | N2 and E genes                                 | USA FDA-EUA       |
| DAAN Gene Co., Ltd. of Sun Yat-sen University | Detection Kit for 2019 Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV) RNA (PCR-Fluorescence Probing) | ORF1ab and N gene                              | China EUA         |
| Seegene, Inc.                        | Allplex 2019-nCoV assayS                          | E, RdRp and N genes                            | Korea EUA         |

The successful limitation of virus spread in Singapore, Taiwan, and Hong Kong despite their geographical proximity to China indicates that widespread and intense testing combined with strict quarantine measures for positive and suspected cases are crucial for combatting the SARS-CoV-2. Large-scale screening is arduous with the currently used molecular tests; therefore, deployment of
rapid point-of-care tests (POCTs) can act as a breakthrough in the current scenario [114]. In this context, a recent breakthrough in COVID-19 diagnosis was marked by the Xpert® Xpress SARS-CoV-2 test (an RT-qPCR test) developed in the USA and reported as a rapid diagnostic test that provides result in 45 minutes. Moreover, it is an automated POCT with EUA from the FDA and enables the detection of the SARS-CoV-2 in the nasal wash, nasopharyngeal swab, and aspirated samples [115]. The Abbott ID NOW™ COVID-19 test, a rapid point-of-care (POC) PCR isothermal test, which can deliver results in five minutes, has recently received the EUA from the FDA. Another FDA-approved POC test, a rapid IgM-IgG-combined antibody test for COVID-19, developed by Biomedomics (Becton Dickinson) can detect antiviral antibodies with 88.7% sensitivity and 90.6% specificity within 10-15 minutes [116]. These POC tests, which can be used at the community level, are likely to improve the testing capacities, and hence, would be pivotal in disrupting the SARS-CoV-2 transmission cycle. Recently, a CRISPR-based Specific High Sensitivity Enzymatic Reporter UnLOCKing (SHERLOCK) technique has been used to diagnose COVID-19 in less than an hour without using multiple instruments [117]. A study employed the combination of RT-PCR, CRISPR, and metagenomic next-generation sequencing (mNGS) for the detection of SARS-CoV-2 and confirmed the effective clinical diagnosis of COVID-19 [118].

12.3 Artificial intelligence in COVID-19 diagnosis

Artificial intelligence (AI) tools are being tested and applied for preliminary screening of possible early infections of SARS-CoV-2 among individuals. Based on the AI-based learning framework, individuals are being categorized as high, moderate, or minimal risk individuals [108]. However, multiple experts differ in their opinion over the data collected by these AI tools, as it is often considered sensitive owing to concerns of national security [109].

The chest CT-based diagnosis, usually in COVID-19, has certain limitations in terms of differentiation between COVID-19 and community-acquired pneumonia. Therefore, a deep learning model for COVID-19 was developed and employed to distinguish the common community-acquired pneumonia from COVID-19 [110]. With a lack of point-of-care diagnostics, AI-driven tools can prove useful in determining the risk involved and the transmission dynamics among different population groups. Presently, owing to the involvement of active learning processes in AI tools, the rate of confidence in decision-making processes has increased [28, 111]. Therefore, there is an urgent need to standardize protocols to develop AI-based devices that can be used during such disasters.

13. Vaccines and Therapeutics

13.1 Vaccine development

The most common preventive and effective approach to decelerate the COVID-19 pandemic is the use of vaccines in humans. Currently, there are significant research efforts being made globally to develop safe and effective vaccines against the SARS-CoV-2 [119]. Approximately 78 vaccine
candidates have already been developed worldwide and are at different stages of clinical evaluation [120]. Most of these vaccine candidates have been developed using at least seven different approaches: mRNA vaccine, viral vector vaccine, DNA vaccine, recombinant protein-based vaccine, peptide-based, virus-like particles, and live-attenuated vaccine. Currently, the COVID-19 Treatment and Vaccine Tracker maintained by the Milken Institute School of Public Health; the USA provides comprehensive publicly-available information on all drugs and vaccines against the SARS-CoV-2 that are currently being studied.

Notably, two vaccine candidates have already been tested in phase I clinical trials. The first one, the mRNA-1273, a novel lipid nanoparticle encapsulated mRNA vaccine which encodes a prefusion-stabilized form of the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein, has been jointly developed by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID), USA and Moderna, Cambridge, Massachusetts (Table 5) [121]. The potential advantage of such a vaccine is its ability to mimic natural infection to trigger a more potent immune response and rapid production. The phase I clinical trial has recently commenced at the Kaiser Permanente Washington Health Research Institute in Seattle, USA. However, the availability of this vaccine for global immunization is likely to take more time as it is faced with numerous challenges associated with clinical development, as no mRNA vaccine has been approved previously. The second vaccine, the Ad5-nCov, a replication-defective adenovirus vector expressing the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein, has been developed jointly by Cansino Biologics Inc. and the Beijing Institute of Biotechnology. The Sartorius’ Biostat STR single-use bioreactor system was used for the upstream preparation of the recombinant vaccine. The phase I clinical trial of this vaccine candidate has recently commenced at the Tongji Hospital in Wuhan, China [122].

The phase I clinical trials for two other vaccine candidates (BNT-162 and INO-4800) are due in April 2020 in China and the USA, respectively. The BNT-162, an mRNA vaccine candidate expressing codon-optimized undisclosed SARS-CoV-2 protein(s) encapsulated in lipid nanoparticles, has been jointly developed by Biontech AG, Shanghai Fosun Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd. and Pfizer Inc [13] (Hodgson, 2020). Another candidate, the INO-4800, a DNA vaccine supported by CELLECTRA® 3PSP, a hand-held smart device for intradermal delivery, and developed by Inovio Pharmaceuticals Inc. and Beijing Advaccine Biotechnology Co. Ltd [13], is undergoing phase I clinical trials. The globally coordinated efforts to combat the SARS-CoV-2 have led to the development of potential vaccine candidates in the shortest possible time; however, these candidates need to be subjected to rigorous clinical trials to prove their efficacy and safety before being considered for global immunization. Therefore, in the current situation, the therapeutic drugs are the most effective alternative for the containment of the virus and restoration of public health. Recently, two more vaccine candidates also entered phase I clinical trials, the details of which are summarized in Table 5.
13.2 Development of antiviral therapeutics

The rapid spread of the SARS-CoV-2 demands the immediate development of effective and safe therapeutic strategies. In the absence of licensed vaccines and approved antiviral drugs, the most promising approach adopted by researchers is the repurposing of drugs (identifying new uses for approved drugs to deliver effective treatment in the shortest possible time) [12, 123]. However, no specific anti-SARS-CoV-2 treatment has been recommended by the US FDA, yet owing to the lack of concrete evidence.

Favilavir (Favipiravir), the first antiviral drug tested against SARS-CoV-2, approved by the National Medical Products Administration of China in February 2020, is commonly used to treat influenza infection in China and Japan and has shown promising results in shortening the course of SARS-CoV-2 infection [12, 123, 124]. This drug acts by inhibiting the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase activity (RdRp) of SARS-CoV-2. Despite its potential effectiveness, the drug is yet to be approved by the U.S. FDA. Remdisivir has also been reported to treat COVID-19 patients to full recovery [125, 126]. In fact, remdisivir is also a repurposed drug that was initially developed for the treatment of Ebola virus infection. Remdisivir is reported to prevent viral replication by the premature termination of RNA transcription. Currently, remdisivir is under clinical trials for the treatment of COVID-19 patients. Furthermore, a combination of lopinavir and ritonavir has been reported to improve the condition of a COVID-19 patient significantly in South Korea [126]. Similar to remdisivir, a combination of lopinavir and ritonavir was developed to treat HIV-1 infections in adults. However, the combination of lopinavir and ritonavir failed to serve its initial purpose when used for the treatment of COVID-19 patients in recent clinical trials in China [127]. Hydroxychloroquine, an anti-malarial drug, in combination with azithromycin, has shown promising results in an open-label non-randomized clinical trial in reducing the viral load in COVID-19 patients [128]. However, its associated side-effects could be more severe in COVID-19 patients with pre-existing chronic medical conditions.

Apart from these drugs, convalescent plasma therapy (plasma from recovered COVID-19 patients that contains antibodies against SARS-CoV-2) could also be used as an alternative treatment strategy to improve the survival rate of COVID-19 patients [129]. Recently, the FDA allowed the use of plasma therapy for critically ill patients under an emergency investigational new drug protocol (FDA, 2020). The treatment strategies must focus on curbing hyper inflammation, and the existing readily available therapies may prove beneficial in patients with severe SARS-CoV-2 infection. A humanized monoclonal antibody viz. tocilizumab competitively binds to IL-6 receptors and subsequently prevents the binding of IL-6 to its receptor [130]. Notably, the blocking of the IL-6 receptor by tocilizumab may prove to be crucial and reduce the number of deaths associated with severe stage COVID-19. In this context, a clinical trial (ChiCTR2000029765) using tocilizumab
reported its significant effectiveness in controlling fever and improving the respiratory function in individuals with severe COVID-19 [96]. Apart from the aforementioned drugs, there are several other drugs that are either under clinical trials or are being considered for a clinical trial for the treatment of COVID-19. Of note, the information provided in this study is neither exhaustive nor should be used for self-medication.

**Table 5.** A summary of vaccine and drug candidates being used or under consideration (phase I clinical trials) for the prevention/treatment of COVID-19.

| Vaccine/drug candidates | Developer | Status | Remarks |
|-------------------------|-----------|--------|---------|
| **Vaccines candidates** |           |        |         |
| mRNA-1273               | Moderna/NIAID | Phase 1 (NCT04283461) | Clinical trials started at Kaiser Permanente Washington Health Research Institute in Seattle, USA |
| Ad5-nCov                | CanSino Biological Inc. and Beijing Institute of Biotechnology | Phase 1 (ChiCTR2000030906) | Clinical trials recently started at Tongji Hospital in Wuhan, China |
| INO-4800                | Inovio Pharmaceuticals | Phase I (NCT04336410) | DNA plasmid encoding S protein delivered by electroporation |
| LV-SMENP-DC             | Shenzhen Geno-Immune Medical Institute | Phase I (NCT04276896) | Dendritic cells modified with lentiviral vector and expressing synthetic minigene based on domains of selected viral proteins |
| Pathogen-specific aAPC | Shenzhen Geno-Immune Medical Institute | Phase I (NCT04299724) | Artificial antigen-presenting cells (aAPCs) modified with lentiviral vector and expressing synthetic minigene based on domains of selected viral proteins |
| **Drug candidates**     |           |        |         |
| Favilavir               | Hisun Pharmaceutical, China | Approved by NMPA, China | Inhibition of RNA-dependent RNA polymerase of SARS-CoV-2 |
| Hydroxychloroquine      | USA       | Clinical trials are under | Both have shown *in-vitro* activity against SARS-CoV-2 |
and azithromycin  |  progress  |  2  
---|---|---
Remdesivir  |  Gilead Sciences, USA  |  Clinical trials are under progress  |  Developed for treatment of Ebola virus infection  
Lopinavir + Ritonavir  |  AbbVie, USA  |  Further research is under process  |  Developed to treat HIV-1 infections

14. Mitigation strategies

In the absence of approved antiviral agents and vaccines, the most effective strategies are based on the disruption of the transmission cycle of the virus (human-to-human) via droplets or close contact. To achieve this, the most critical step would involve maintaining strict hand hygiene and adherence to basic cough etiquettes, across all strata from children to the elderly. A study reported the employment of prevention and control strategies at three levels: case-related population, general population, and national level [131]. Additionally, the National Health Commission of China issued protocols for the mitigation of COVID-19 in order to contain further spread of the virus by a “big isolation and big disinfection” policy during the Chinese spring festival [132]. A national-level mitigation strategy has also been adopted for the elderly population and in rural areas [133, 134]. Awareness regarding the connotations of hand hygiene amongst the general public, instead of stressing on the usage of masks, is the need of the hour in view of the multiple modes of transmission. The use of sanitizers or soaps is one of the essential components of the prevention and control of COVID-19. Sanitizers with 60% ethanol or 70% isopropanol are effective against SARS-CoV-2. Similarly, household detergents or soaps are also useful in sanitization. While sanitizers are sufficient for relatively clean hands, soiled hands would need to be washed using soap and water for 20 seconds. Additionally, the WHO issued guidelines on the use of face masks during care at home and in the community, along with health care settings in the context of COVID-19 [135]. Moreover, the use of particulate respirators, such as certified N95 or FFP2, is recommended for health care workers who perform aerosol-generating procedures.

In contrast, medical masks are recommended while providing clinical care to the suspected or confirmed COVID-19 cases [135]. The WHO has approved the use of N95 masks for patients at all times for source control and for healthcare providers only in certain situations, such as when they are in close vicinity of a patient (within 3 feet) or are performing aerosol-generating procedures on symptomatic patients; these masks are not recommended for use by the general public. In situations of close contact (within three to six feet) with a suspected or confirmed case, a facemask ought to be used instead of an N95 or powered, air-purifying respirator, whereas if the patient is not using a facemask, the health care provider should wear an N95 mask even when at a distance of three to six feet from the patient. In low-resource outbreak settings, the reuse of N95 masks after ethylene oxide
sterilization may be considered. General public awareness should be increased by the display of posters with clear “DO’s” and “DON’Ts,” illustrating symptoms, transmission modes, and preventive measures, with emphasis on personal hygiene to curtail transmission. Physical and social distancing campaigns should be promoted to lessen the physical contact and to contain the spread. Fitbit devices and other smartphone fitness devices can be used during such outbreaks to monitor the symptoms [136, 137]. Smartphones and internet services can also be utilized to disseminate information regarding the presentation and prevention of the infection.

Disposable protective clothing, eye gear, gloves, masks, and shoe covers should be used while handling animal and animal products, and the same should be restricted to the workplace to prevent the spread to family members. Consumption of undercooked and raw meat should strictly be avoided. Proper heating of food to a temperature of 60 °C for thirty minutes, mimicking thermal shock conditions, practice a common in India, is sufficient to kill the virus. Breath analyzers and other public sharing equipment should use a disposable mouthpiece to prevent the human-to-human transfer of the virus. Proper cleaning of all surfaces should be practiced in hospitals, airports, at workplaces, and in domestic settings to allow the appropriate action of detergents since the SARS-CoV is an enveloped virus. The virus has been found to persist on inanimate surfaces from two hours up to nine days at room temperature, depending upon the type of surface and at temperatures, 4 °C and certain strains remain viable for up to 28 days. Surface disinfection with 0.1% hypochlorite or 62-71% ethanol was found to be effective in reducing the infectivity of a surface within an exposure time of one minute [138].

Unfortunately, this is the third event of the spillover of a zoonotic respiratory virus to humans in this decade, highlighting the necessity of prerequisite multidisciplinary coordination for curbing the emergence of novel viruses and controlling such apocalyptic events [139-141]. The ‘One health approach’ is one such initiative that incorporates the preventive strategies for the emergence of zoonosis in all three arms; humans, animals, and the environment [142, 143]. WHO initiatives such as the Battle against Respiratory Viruses (BRaVe) that support innovative multidisciplinary research in the field of emerging and re-emerging respiratory viruses are encouraging [144]. Consortiums and open-access platforms such as ProMed, International Severe Acute Respiratory and Emerging Infection Consortium, and BioDiaspora act as benefactors by partaking in, stipulating, and disseminating comprehensive knowledge on the prevention and management of such events worldwide.

Travelers coming from COVID-19 affected countries need to be quarantined appropriately, as these have been the root cause of spreading SARS-CoV-2 from China to more than 200 countries subsequently. Public awareness programs on the COVID-19 associated health risks and how to avoid contact transmission, issuing updated advisories from time to time, visas cancellation to avoid
travelers coming in from affected countries, understanding high socio-economic implications of SARS-CoV-2 with follow up of suitable ameliorating measures, closing schools, offices, malls, and other public places, staying at home in affected areas during lockdown condition in countries where this virus has affected severely, strengthening medical facilities, adopting high biosafety measures for doctors, nurses and health-care workers along with following high standards of hygiene and health practices while diagnosing and treating COVID-19 patients are few of the critical prevention and control measures to check the high spread of this pandemic virus.

Because of the current pandemic situation affecting more than 200 countries, as well as the global threats imposed by SARS-CoV-2/COVID-19, as indicated by the increasing number of cases and deaths, researchers are working to develop effective vaccines and therapeutics at the earliest. Appropriate surveillance, prevention, and control measures are being implemented worldwide, while taking lessons from earlier virus outbreaks, such as the SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, Ebola, Zika, Nipah, and others, as well as from the advances in science and technology in the modern era, to halt the further spread of this virus and to restrain COVID-19 [117, 145-152].

15. Conclusions and prospects

The emergence of the SARS-CoV-2 highlights the gaps in our knowledge about the human-animal barrier, as well as the necessity of a prerequisite for extensive comprehensive research encompassing all three arms of the One Health concept, to determine the origin and crossroads of interspecies transfer impediment by identification of the ecological and evolutionary carriers of the infection. Several questions on the SARS-CoV-2 remain unanswered, including the factors behind the virus crossing the species barrier, the definitive origin of the virus, the exact roles of distinct ORFs, and critical point mutation differences in virus transmission and pathogenesis, the reason for the death of specific infected individuals, others remained asymptomatic, and the reappearance of infection in specific cured individuals, among others.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at ...............
16. References

1. Gorbatenya, A. E.; Baker, S. C.; Baric, R. S.; de Groot, R. J.; Drosten, C.; Gulyaeva, A. A.; Haagmans, B. L.; Lauber, C.; Leontovich, A. M.; Neuman, B. W.; Penzar, D.; Perlman, S.; Poon, L. L. M.; Samborskiy, D.; Sidorov, I. A.; Solá, I.; Ziebuhr, J., Severe acute respiratory syndrome-related coronavirus: The species and its viruses – a statement of the Coronavirus Study Group. *bioRxiv* 2020, 2020.02.07.937862.

2. Seven days in medicine: 8-14 Jan 2020. *BMJ Case Rep.* 2020, 368.

3. Huang, C.; Wang, Y.; Li, X.; Ren, L.; Zhao, J.; Hu, Y.; Zhang, L.; Fan, G.; Xu, J.; Gu, X.; Cheng, Z.; Yu, T.; Xia, J.; Wei, Y.; Wu, W.; Xie, X.; Yin, W.; Li, H.; Liu, M.; Xiao, Y.; Gao, H.; Guo, L.; Xie, J.; Wang, G.; Jiang, R.; Gao, Z.; Jin, Q.; Wang, J.; Cao, B., Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. *Lancet* 2020, 395 (10223), 497-506.

4. Cucinotta, D.; Vanelli, M., WHO Declares COVID-19 a Pandemic. *Acta Biomed.* 2020, 91(1), 157-160.

5. WHO Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) Situation Report–93. https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200422-sitrep-93-covid-19.pdf?sfvrsn=35cf80d7_4 (accessed 23rd April 2020).

6. Wei, M.; Yuan, J.; Liu, Y.; Fu, T.; Yu, X.; Zhang, Z. J., Novel Coronavirus Infection in Hospitalized Infants Under 1 Year of Age in China. *JAMA* 2020, 323 (13), 1313-4.

7. Chen, N.; Zhou, M.; Dong, X.; Qu, J.; Gong, F.; Han, Y.; Qiu, Y.; Wang, J.; Liu, Y.; Wei, Y.; Xia, J.; Yu, T.; Zhang, X.; Zhang, L., Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of 99 cases of 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a descriptive study. *Lancet* 2020, 395 (10223), 507-513.

8. Wang, D.; Hu, B.; Hu, C.; Zhu, F.; Liu, X.; Zhang, J.; Wang, B.; Xiang, H.; Cheng, Z.; Xiong, Y.; Zhao, Y.; Li, Y.; Wang, X.; Peng, Z., Clinical Characteristics of 138 Hospitalized Patients With 2019 Novel Coronavirus-Infected Pneumonia in Wuhan, China. *JAMA* 2020.

9. Cai, H., Sex difference and smoking predisposition in patients with COVID-19. *Lancet Respir Med* 2020, 8 (4), e20.

10. Yu, W.-B.; Tang, G.-d.; Zhang, L.; Corlett, R., Decoding the evolution and transmissions of the novel pneumonia coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2) using the whole genomic data. 2020.

11. Li, Q.; Guan, X.; Wu, P.; Wang, X.; Zhou, L.; Tong, Y.; Ren, R.; Leung, K. S. M.; Lau, E. H. Y.; Wong, J. Y.; Xing, X.; Xiang, N.; Wu, Y.; Li, C.; Chen, Q.; Li, D.; Liu, T.; Zhao, J.; Liu, M.; Tu, W.; Chen, C.; Jin, L.; Yang, R.; Wang, Q.; Zhou, S.; Wang, R.; Liu, H.; Luo, Y.; Liu, Y.; Shao, G.; Li, H.; Tao, Z.; Yang, Y.; Deng, Z.; Liu, B.; Ma, Z.; Zhang, Y.; Shi, G.; Lam, T. T. Y.; Wu, J. T.; Gao, G. F.; Cowling, B. J.; Yang, B.; Leung, G. M.; Feng, Z., Early Transmission Dynamics in Wuhan, China, of Novel Coronavirus-Infected Pneumonia. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2020, 382 (13), 1199-1207.

12. Guo, Y. R.; Cao, Q. D.; Hong, Z. S.; Tan, Y. Y.; Chen, S. D.; Jin, H. J.; Tan, K. S.; Wang, D. Y.; Yan, Y., The origin, transmission and clinical therapies on coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) outbreak - an update on the status. *Mil Med Res* 2020, 7 (1), 11.

13. J., H., The pandemic pipeline. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 2020.

14. Rothe, C.; Schunk, M.; Sothmann, P.; Bretzel, G.; Froeschl, G.; Wallrauch, C.; Zimmer, T.; Thiel, V.; Janke, C.; Guggemos, W.; Seilmaier, M.; Drosten, C.; Vollmar, P.; Zwigrlmaier, K.; Zange, S.; Wölfel, R.; Hoelscher, M., Transmission of 2019-nCoV Infection from an Asymptomatic Contact in Germany. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2020, 382 (10), 970-971.

15. Cui, J.; Li, F.; Shi, Z. L., Origin and evolution of pathogenic coronaviruses. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 2019, 17 (3), 181-192.

16. Müller, M. A.; Corman, V. M.; Jores, J.; Meyer, B.; Younan, M.; Liljander, A.; Bosch, B. J.; Lattwein, E.; Hilali, M.; Musa, B. E.; Bornstein, S.; Drosten, C., MERS coronavirus neutralizing antibodies in camels, Eastern Africa, 1983-1997. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 2014, 20 (12), 2093-5.

17. Ellwanger, J. H.; Chies, J. A. B., Wind: a neglected factor in the spread of infectious diseases. *The Lancet Planetary Health* 2018, 2 (11), e475.
18. Lam, T. T.-Y.; Shum, M. H.-H.; Zhu, H.-C.; Tong, Y.-G.; Ni, X.-B.; Liao, Y.-S.; Wei, W.; Cheung, W. Y.-M.; Li, W.-J.; Li, L.-F.; Leung, G. M.; Holmes, E. C.; Hu, Y.-L.; Guan, Y., Identifying SARS-CoV-2 related coronaviruses in Malayan pangolins. Nature 2020.

19. Fung, T. S.; Liu, D. X., Human Coronavirus: Host-Pathogen Interaction. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 2019, 73, 529-557.

20. Corman, V. M.; Albarak, A. M.; Omrani, A. S.; Albarak, M. M.; Farah, M. E.; Almasri, M.; Muth, D.; Sieberg, A.; Meyer, B.; Assiri, A. M.; Binger, T.; Steinhagen, K.; Lattwein, E.; Al-Tawfiq, J.; Müller, M. A.; Drosten, C.; Memish, Z. A., Viral Shedding and Antibody Response in 37 Patients With Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus Infection. Clin. Infect. Dis. 2016, 62 (4), 477-483.

21. Su, S.; Wong, G.; Shi, W.; Liu, J.; Lai, A. C.; Zhou, J.; Liu, W.; Bi, Y.; Gao, G. F., Epidemiology, genetic recombination, and pathogenesis of coronaviruses. Trends Microbiol. 2016, 24 (6), 490-502.

22. Vijayanand, P.; Wilkins, E.; Woodhead, M., Severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS): a review. Clin Med (Lond) 2004, 4 (2), 152-60.

23. Ramadan, N.; Shaib, H., Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV): A review. Germs 2019, 9 (1), 35-42.

24. Zhou, P.; Yang, X. L.; Wang, X. G.; Hu, B.; Zhang, L.; Zhang, W.; Si, H. R.; Zhu, Y.; Li, B.; Huang, C. L.; Chen, H. D.; Chen, J.; Luo, Y.; Guo, H.; Jiang, R. D.; Liu, M. Q.; Chen, Y.; Shen, X. R.; Wang, X.; Zheng, X. S.; Zhao, K.; Chen, Q. J.; Deng, F.; Liu, L. L.; Yan, B.; Zhan, F. X.; Wang, Y. Y.; Xiao, G. F.; Shi, Z. L., A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat origin. Nature 2020, 579 (7798), 270-273.

25. Yang, J.; Zheng, Y.; Hou, X.; Pu, K.; Chen, Z.; Guo, Q.; Ji, R.; Wang, H.; Wang, Y.; Zhou, Y., Prevalence of comorbidities in the novel Wuhan coronavirus (COVID-19) infection: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Int. J. Infect. Dis. 2020, 90, 128-135.

26. Chan, J. F.; Kok, K. H.; Zhu, Z.; Chu, H.; To, K. K.; Yuan, S.; Yuen, K. Y., Genomic characterization of the 2019 novel human-pathogenic coronavirus isolated from a patient with atypical pneumonia after visiting Wuhan. Emerg. Microbes Infect. 2020, 9 (1), 221-236.

27. de Groot, R. J.; Baker, S.; Baric, R.; Enjuanes, L.; Gorbalenya, A.; Holmes, K.; Perlman, S.; Poon, L.; Rottier, P.; Talbot, P., Family coronaviridae. Virus Taxonomy 2012, 806-28.

28. Zhu, N.; Zhang, D.; Wang, W.; Li, X.; Yang, B.; Song, J.; Zhao, X.; Huang, B.; Shi, W.; Lu, R.; Niu, P.; Zhan, F.; Ma, X.; Wang, D.; Xu, W.; Wu, G.; Gao, G. F.; Tan, W., A Novel Coronavirus from Patients with Pneumonia in China, 2019. N. Engl. J. Med. 2020, 382 (8), 727-733.

29. Wu, A.; Peng, Y.; Huang, B.; Ding, X.; Wang, X.; Niu, P.; Meng, J.; Zhu, Z.; Zhang, Z.; Wang, J.; Sheng, J.; Quan, L.; Xia, Z.; Tan, W.; Cheng, G.; Jiang, T., Genome Composition and Divergence of the Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV) Originating in China. Cell Host Microbe 2020, 27 (3), 325-328.

30. Fehr, A. R.; Perlman, S., Coronaviruses: an overview of their replication and pathogenesis. Methods Mol. Biol. 2015, 1282, 1-23.

31. Chen, Y.; Liu, Q.; Guo, D., Emerging coronaviruses: Genome structure, replication, and pathogenesis. J. Med. Virol. 2020, 92 (4), 418-423.

32. Malik, Y. S.; Sircar, S.; Bhat, S.; Sharun, K.; Dhama, K.; Dadar, M.; Tiwari, R.; Chaicumpa, W., Emerging novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV)-Current scenario, evolutionary perspective based on genome analysis and recent developments. Vet. Q. 2020, 40 (1), 1-12.

33. Lu, G.; Wang, Q.; Gao, G. F., Bat-to-human: spike features determining 'host jump' of coronaviruses SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, and beyond. Trends Microbiol. 2015, 23 (8), 468-78.

34. Lu, R.; Zhao, X.; Li, J.; Niu, P.; Yang, B.; Wu, H.; Wang, W.; Song, H.; Huang, B.; Zhu, N.; Bi, Y.; Ma, X.; Zhan, F.; Wang, L.; Hu, T.; Zhou, H.; Hu, Z.; Zhou, W.; Zhao, L.; Chen, J.; Meng, Y.; Wang, J.; Lin, Y.; Yuan, J.; Xie, Z.; Ma, J.; Liu, W. J.; Wang, D.; Xu, W.; Holmes, E. C.; Gao, G. F.; Wu, G.; Chen, W.; Shi, W.; Tan, W., Genomic characterisation and epidemiology of 2019 novel coronavirus: implications for virus origins and receptor binding. Lancet 2020, 395 (10224), 565-574.
35. He, Y.; Zhou, Y.; Liu, S.; Kou, Z.; Li, W.; Farzan, M.; Jiang, S., Receptor-binding domain of SARS-CoV spike protein induces highly potent neutralizing antibodies: implication for developing subunit vaccine. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 2004, 324 (2), 773-81.
36. Le Coupene, A.; Desorif, M.; Meessen-Pinard, M.; Dube, M.; Day, R.; Seidah, N. G.; Talbot, P. J., Cleavage of a Neuroinvasive Human Respiratory Virus Spike Glycoprotein by Proprotein Convertases Modulates Neurolurine and Virus Spread within the Central Nervous System. PLoS Pathog. 2015, 11 (11), e1005261.
37. Kirchdoefer, R. N.; Cottrell, C. A.; Wang, N.; Pallesen, J.; Yassine, H. M.; Turner, H. L.; Corbett, K. S.; Graham, B. S.; Mclellan, J. S.; Ward, A. B., Pre-fusion structure of a human coronavirus spike protein. Nature 2016, 531 (7592), 118-21.
38. Walls, A. C.; Tortorici, M. A.; Snijder, J.; Xiong, X.; Bosch, B. J.; Rey, F. A.; Veesler, D., Tectonic conformational changes of a coronavirus spike glycoprotein promote membrane fusion. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2017, 114 (42), 11157-11162.
39. Bassi, D. E.; Zhang, J.; Renner, C.; Klein-Szanto, A. J., Targeting proprotein convertases in furin-rich lung cancer cells results in decreased in vitro and in vivo growth. Mol. Carcinog. 2017, 56 (3), 1182-1188.
40. Yang, Z.; Lasker, K.; Schneidman-Duhovny, D.; Webb, B.; Huang, C. C.; Pettersen, E. F.; Goddard, T. D.; Meng, E. C.; Sali, A.; Ferrin, T. E., UCSF Chimera, MODELLER, and IMP: an integrated modeling system. J. Struct. Biol. 2012, 179 (3), 269-78.
41. Li, F., Receptor recognition and cross-species infections of SARS coronavirus. Antiviral Res. 2013, 100 (1), 246-54.
42. Li, F., Receptor recognition mechanisms of coronaviruses: a decade of structural studies. J. Virol. 2015, 89 (4), 1954-64.
43. Hoffmann, M.; Kleine-Weber, H.; Schroeder, S.; Kruger, N.; Herrler, T.; Erichsen, S.; Schiergens, T. S.; Herrler, G.; Wu, N. H.; Nitsche, A.; Muller, M. A.; Drosten, C.; Pohlmann, S., SARS-CoV-2 Cell Entry Depends on ACE2 and TMPRSS2 and Is Blocked by a Clinically Proven Protease Inhibitor. Cell 2020.
44. Wong, S. K.; Li, W.; Moore, M. J.; Choe, H.; Farzan, M., A 193-amino acid fragment of the SARS coronavirus S protein efficiently binds angiotensin-converting enzyme 2. J. Biol. Chem. 2004, 279 (5), 3197-201.
45. van Doremalen, N.; Bushmaker, T.; Morris, D. H.; Holbrook, M. G.; Gamble, A.; Williamson, B. N.; Tamin, A.; Harcourt, J. L.; Thornburg, N. J.; Gerber, S. I.; Lloyd-Smith, J. O.; de Wit, E.; Munster, V. J., Aerosol and Surface Stability of SARS-CoV-2 as Compared with SARS-CoV-1. N. Engl. J. Med. 2020.
46. van Doremalen, N.; Bushmaker, T.; Morris, D. H.; Holbrook, M. G.; Gamble, A.; Williamson, B. N.; Tamin, A.; Harcourt, J. L.; Thornburg, N. J.; Gerber, S. I.; Lloyd-Smith, J. O.; de Wit, E.; Munster, V. J., Aerosol and Surface Stability of SARS-CoV-2 as Compared with SARS-CoV-1. N. Engl. J. Med. 2020.
47. Van Doremalen, N.; Bushmaker, T.; Munster, V., Stability of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) under different environmental conditions. Eurosurveillance 2013, 18 (38), 20590.
48. Sizun, J.; Yu, M.; Talbot, P., Survival of human coronaviruses 229E and OC43 in suspension and after drying on surfaces: a possible source of hospital-acquired infections. J. Hosp. Infect. 2000, 46 (1), 55-60.
49. Warnes, S. L.; Little, Z. R.; Keevil, C. W., Human coronavirus 229E remains infectious on common touch surface materials. MBio 2015, 6 (6), e01697-15.
50. Rabenau, H.; Cintal, J.; Morgenstern, B.; Bauer, G.; Preiser, W.; Doerr, H., Stability and inactivation of SARS coronavirus. Med. Microbiol. Immunol. 2005, 194 (1-2), 1-6.
51. Kratzel, A.; Todt, D.; V’kovski, P.; Steiner, S.; Gultom, M. L.; Thao, T. T. N.; Ebert, N.; Holwerda, M.; Steinmann, J.; Niemeyer, D.; Dijkman, R.; Kampf, G.; Drosten, C.; Steinmann, E.; Thiel, V.; Pfleiderer, S., Efficient inactivation of SARS-CoV-2 by WHO-recommended hand rub formulations and alcohols. bioRxiv 2020, 2020.03.10.986711.
52. Kratzel, A.; Todt, D.; V’Kovski, P.; Steiner, S.; Gultom, M.; Thao, T. T. N.; Ebert, N.; Holwerda, M.; Steinmann, J.; Niemeyer, D.; Dijkstra, R.; Kampf, G.; Drosten, C.; Steinmann, E.; Thiel, V.; Pfander, S., Inactivation of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 by WHO-Recommended Hand Rub Formulations and Alcohols. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 2020, 26 (7).

53. Letunic, I.; Bork, P., Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v4: recent updates and new developments. Nucleic Acids Res. 2019, 47 (W1), W256-w259.

54. Xiang, N.; Havers, F.; Chen, T.; Song, Y.; Tu, W.; Li, L.; Cao, Y.; Liu, B.; Zhou, L.; Meng, L.; Hong, Z.; Wang, R.; Niu, Y.; Yao, J.; Liao, K.; Jin, L.; Zhang, Y.; Li, Q.; Widdowson, M. A.; Feng, Z., Use of national pneumonia surveillance to describe influenza A(H7N9) virus epidemiology, China, 2004-2013. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 2013, 19 (11), 1784-90.

55. Chen, H.; Guo, J.; Wang, C.; Luo, F.; Yu, X.; Zhang, W.; Li, J.; Zhao, D.; Xu, D.; Gong, Q.; Liao, J.; Yang, H.; Hou, W.; Zhang, Y., Clinical characteristics and intrauterine vertical transmission potential of COVID-19 infection in nine pregnant women: a retrospective review of medical records. Lancet 2020, 395 (10226), 809-815.

56. Yeo, C.; Kaushal, S.; Yeo, D., Enteric involvement of coronaviruses: is faecal-oral transmission of SARS-CoV-2 possible? Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol 2020, 5 (4), 335-337.

57. Bai, Y.; Yao, L.; Wei, T.; Tian, F.; Jin, D. Y.; Chen, L.; Wang, M., Presumed Asymptomatic Carrier Transmission of COVID-19. JAMA 2020.

58. Hindson, J., COVID-19: faecal-oral transmission? Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. 2020.

59. Xu, Y.; Li, X.; Zhu, B.; Liang, H.; Fang, C.; Gong, Y.; Guo, Q.; Sun, X.; Zhao, D.; Shen, J.; Zhang, H.; Liu, H.; Xia, H.; Tang, J.; Zhang, K.; Gong, S., Characteristics of pediatric SARS-CoV-2 infection and potential evidence for persistent fecal viral shedding. Nat. Med. 2020.

60. Zhang, W.; Du, R. H.; Li, B.; Zheng, X. S.; Yang, X. L.; Hu, B.; Wang, Y. Y.; Xiao, G. F.; Yan, B.; Shi, Z. L.; Zhou, P., Molecular and serological investigation of 2019-nCoV infected patients: implication of multiple shedding routes. Emerg Microbes Infect 2020, 9 (1), 386-389.

61. WHO Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) Situation Report – 30. https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200219-sitrep-30-covid-19.pdf?sfvrsn=3346b04f_2 (accessed 8th April, 2020).

62. Liu, Y.; Gayle, A. A.; Wilder-Smith, A.; Rocklöv, J., The reproductive number of COVID-19 is higher compared to SARS coronavirus. J. Travel Med. 2020, 27 (2).

63. WHO Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) technical guidance. https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/technical-guidance/laboratory-guidance (accessed 28th March, 2020).

64. WHO Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) Situation Report – 2. https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200219-sitrep-30-covid-19.pdf?sfvrsn=3346b04f_2 (accessed 15th March, 2020).

65. WHO Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) Situation Report – 81. https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200410-sitrep-81-covid-19.pdf?sfvrsn=ca96eb84_2 (accessed 22nd March, 2020).

66. Rothan, H. A.; Byrareddy, S. N., The epidemiology and pathogenesis of coronavirus disease (COVID-19) outbreak. J. Autoimmun. 2020, 102433.

67. Hu, Z.; Song, C.; Xu, C.; Jin, G.; Chen, Y.; Xu, X.; Ma, H.; Chen, W.; Lin, Y.; Zheng, Y.; Wang, J.; Hu, Z.; Yi, Y.; Shen, H., Clinical characteristics of 24 asymptomatic infections with COVID-19 screened among close contacts in Nanjing, China. Sci China Life Sci 2020.

68. CDC Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) Pregnancy and Breastfeeding. https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/need-extra-precautions/pregnancy-breastfeeding.html (accessed 5th April, 2020).

69. Singhal, T., A Review of Coronavirus Disease-2019 (COVID-19). Indian J. Pediatr. 2020, 87 (4), 281-286.

70. He, F.; Deng, Y.; Li, W., Coronavirus disease 2019: What we know? J. Med. Virol. 2020.

71. Xu, Z.; Shi, L.; Wang, Y.; Zhang, J.; Huang, L.; Zhang, C.; Liu, S.; Zhao, P.; Liu, H.; Zhu, L.; Tai, Y.; Bai, C.; Gao, T.; Song, J.; Xia, P.; Dong, J.; Zhao, J.; Wang, F. S., Pathological findings of
COVID-19 associated with acute respiratory distress syndrome. **Lancet Respir Med** 2020, 8 (4), 420-422.

72. Chan, K. H.; Peiris, J. S.; Lam, S. Y.; Poon, L. L.; Yuen, K. Y.; Seto, W. H., The Effects of Temperature and Relative Humidity on the Viability of the SARS Coronavirus. **Adv. Virol.** 2011, 2011, 734690.

73. Chan, J. F.; Yuan, S.; Kok, K. H.; To, K. K.; Chu, H.; Yang, J.; Xing, F.; Liu, J.; Yip, C. C.; Poon, R. W.; Tsui, H. W.; Lo, S. K.; Chan, K. H.; Poon, V. K.; Chan, W. M.; Ip, J. D.; Cai, J. P.; Cheng, V. C.; Chen, H.; Hui, C. K.; Yuen, K. Y., A familial cluster of pneumonia associated with the 2019 novel coronavirus indicating person-to-person transmission: a study of a family cluster. **Lancet** 2020, 395 (10223), 514-523.

74. Yao, X. H.; Li, T. Y.; He, Z. C.; Ping, Y. F.; Liu, H. W.; Yu, S. C.; Mou, H. M.; Wang, L. H.; Zhang, H. R.; Fu, W. J.; Luo, T.; Liu, F.; Chen, C.; Xiao, H. L.; Guo, H. T.; Lin, S.; Xiang, D. F.; Shi, Y.; Li, Q. R.; Huang, X.; Cui, Y.; Li, X. Z.; Tang, W.; Pan, P. F.; Huang, X. Q.; Ding, Y. Q.; Bian, X. W., A pathological report of three COVID-19 cases by minimally invasive autopsies. **Zhonghua Bing Li Xue Za Zhi** 2020, 49 (0), E009.

75. Xu, Y. H.; Dong, J. H.; An, W. M.; Lv, X. Y.; Yin, X. P.; Zhang, J. Z.; Dong, L.; Ma, X.; Zhang, H. J.; Gao, B. L., Clinical and computed tomographic imaging features of novel coronavirus pneumonia caused by SARS-CoV-2. **J. Infect.** 2020, 80 (4), 394-400.

76. Pan, F.; Ye, T.; Sun, P.; Gui, S.; Liang, B.; Li, L.; Zheng, D.; Wang, J.; Hesketh, R. L.; Yang, L.; Zheng, C., Time Course of Lung Changes On Chest CT During Recovery From 2019 Novel Coronavirus (COVID-19) Pneumonia. **Radiology** 2020, 200370.

77. Lei, J.; Li, J.; Li, X.; Qi, X., CT Imaging of the 2019 Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV) Pneumonia. **Radiology** 2020, 295 (1), 18.

78. Mehta, P.; McAuley, D. F.; Brown, M.; Sanchez, E.; Tattersall, R. S.; Manson, J. J., COVID-19: consider cytokine storm syndromes and immunosuppression. **Lancet** 2020, 395 (10229), 1033-1034.
88. Zhu, N.; Zhang, D.; Wang, W.; Li, X.; Yang, B.; Song, J.; Zhao, X.; Huang, B.; Shi, W.; Lu, R., A novel coronavirus from patients with pneumonia in China, 2019. New England Journal of Medicine 2020.

89. Huang, C.; Wang, Y.; Li, X.; Ren, L.; Zhao, J.; Hu, Y.; Zhang, L.; Fan, G.; Xu, J.; Gu, X., Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. The Lancet 2020, 395 (10223), 497-506.

90. Chen, N.; Zhou, M.; Dong, X.; Qu, J.; Gong, F.; Han, Y.; Qiu, Y.; Wang, J.; Liu, Y.; Wei, Y., Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of 99 cases of 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia in Wuhuan, China: a descriptive study. The Lancet 2020, 395 (10223), 507-513.

91. Cameron, M. J.; Bermejo-Martin, J. F.; Danesh, A.; Muller, M. P.; Kelvin, D. J., Human immunopathogenesis of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS). Virus research 2008, 133 (1), 13-19.

92. Promptetchara, E.; Ketloy, C.; Palaga, T., Immune responses in COVID-19 and potential vaccines: Lessons learned from SARS and MERS epidemic. Asian Pac J Allergy Immunol 2020.

93. Qin, C.; Zhou, L.; Hu, Z.; Zhang, S.; Yang, S.; Tao, Y.; Xie, C.; Ma, K.; Shang, K.; Wang, W., Dysregulation of immune response in patients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China. China (February 17, 2020) 2020.

94. Tetro, J. A., Is COVID-19 receiving ADE from other coronaviruses? Microbes and Infection 2020.

95. Mehta, P.; McCaulay, D. F.; Brown, M.; Sanchez, E.; Tattersall, R. S.; Manson, J. J., COVID-19: consider cytokine storm syndromes and immunosuppression. The Lancet 2020.

96. Cao, X., COVID-19: immunopathology and its implications for therapy. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 2020.

97. Li, X.; Zai, J.; Zhao, Q.; Nie, Q.; Li, Y.; Foley, B. T.; Chaillon, A., Evolutionary history, potential intermediate animal host, and cross-species analyses of SARS-CoV-2. J. Med. Virol. 2020.

98. Ji, W.; Wang, W.; Zhao, X.; Zai, J.; Li, X., Cross-species transmission of the newly identified coronavirus 2019-nCoV. J. Med. Virol. 2020, 92 (4), 433-440.

99. Lam, T. T.; Shum, M. H.; Zhu, H. C.; Tong, Y. G.; Ni, X. B.; Liao, Y. S.; Wei, W.; Cheung, W. Y.; Li, W. J.; Li, L. F.; Leung, G. M.; Holmes, E. C.; Hu, Y. L.; Guan, Y., Identifying SARS-CoV-2 related coronaviruses in Malayan pangolins. Nature 2020.

100. Shi, J.; Wen, Z.; Zhong, G.; Yang, H.; Wang, C.; Huang, B.; Liu, R.; He, X.; Shuai, L.; Sun, Z.; Zhao, Y.; Liu, P.; Liang, L.; Cui, P.; Wang, J.; Zhang, X.; Guan, Y.; Tan, W.; Wu, G.; Chen, H.; Bu, Z., Susceptibility of ferrets, cats, dogs, and other domesticated animals to SARS-CoV-2 in Ferrets. Cell Host Microbe 2020.
108. Rao, A. S. S.; Vazquez, J. A., Identification of COVID-19 Can be Quicker through Artificial Intelligence framework using a Mobile Phone-Based Survey in the Populations when Cities/Towns Are Under Quarantine. *Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology* **2020**, *1*, 1-18.

109. Allam, Z.; Jones, D. S. In *On the Coronavirus (COVID-19) Outbreak and the Smart City Network: Universal Data Sharing Standards Coupled with Artificial Intelligence (AI) to Benefit Urban Health Monitoring and Management*, Healthcare, Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute: 2020; p 46.

110. Li, L.; Qin, L.; Xu, Z.; Yin, Y.; Wang, X.; Kong, B.; Bai, J.; Lu, Y.; Fang, Z.; Song, Q., Artificial Intelligence Distinguishes COVID-19 from Community Acquired Pneumonia on Chest CT. *Radiology* **2020**, 209095.

111. Santosh, K., AI-Driven Tools for Coronavirus Outbreak: Need of Active Learning and Cross-Population Train/Test Models on Multitudinal/Multimodal Data. *Journal of Medical Systems* **2020**, 44 (5), 1-5.

112. To, K. K.; Tsang, O. T.; Chik-Yan Yip, C.; Chan, K. H.; Wu, T. C.; Chan, J. M. C.; Leung, W. S.; Chik, T. S.; Choi, C. Y.; Kandamby, D. H.; Lung, D. C.; Tam, A. R.; Poon, R. W.; Fung, A. Y.; Hung, I. F.; Cheng, V. C.; Chan, J. F.; Yuen, K. Y., Consistent detection of 2019 novel coronavirus in saliva. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **2020**.

113. COVID-19 diagnostics resource centre. https://www.finddx.org/covid-19 (accessed 12th April, 2020).

114. Sheridan, C., Fast, portable tests come online to curb coronavirus pandemic. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **2020**.

115. Xpert®Xpress SARS-CoV-2. https://www.cepheid.com/coronavirus (accessed 2nd April, 2020).

116. Li, Z.; Yi, Y.; Luo, X.; Xiong, N.; Liu, Y.; Li, S.; Sun, R.; Wang, Y.; Hu, B.; Chen, W.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, J.; Huang, B.; Lin, Y.; Yang, J.; Cai, W.; Wang, X.; Cheng, J.; Chen, Z.; Sun, K.; Pan, W.; Zhan, Z.; Chen, L.; Ye, F., Development and Clinical Application of A Rapid IgM-IgG Combined Antibody Test for SARS-CoV-2 Infection Diagnosis. *J. Med. Virol.* **2020**.

117. Zheng, J., SARS-CoV-2: an Emerging Coronavirus that Causes a Global Threat. *Int. J. Biol. Sci.* **2020**, 16 (10), 1678-1685.

118. Ai, J. W.; Zhang, Y.; Zhang, H. C.; Xu, T.; Zhang, W. H., Era of molecular diagnosis for pathogen identification of unexplained pneumonia, lessons to be learned. *Emerg Microbes Infect* **2020**, 9 (1), 597-600.

119. Pang, J.; Wang, M. X.; Ang, I. Y. H.; Tan, S. H. X.; Lewis, R. F.; Chen, J. I.; Gutierrez, R. A.; Gwee, S. X. W.; Chua, P. E. Y.; Yang, Q.; Ng, X. Y.; Yap, R. K.; Tan, H. Y.; Teo, Y. Y.; Tan, C. C.; Cook, A. R.; Yap, J. C.; Hsu, L. Y., Potential Rapid Diagnostics, Vaccine and Therapeutics for 2019 Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV): A Systematic Review. *J Clin Med* **2020**, 9 (3).

120. Thanh Le, T.; Andreadakis, Z.; Kumar, A.; Gómez Román, R.; Tollefsen, S.; Saville, M.; Mayhew, S., The COVID-19 vaccine development landscape. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* **2020**.

121. Safety and Immunogenicity Study of 2019-nCoV Vaccine (mRNA-1273) for Prophylaxis SARS-CoV-2 Infection (COVID-19). https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04283461 (accessed 10th April, 2020).

122. Chinese clinical trial registry. http://www.chictr.org.cn/showprojen.aspx?proj=51154,ChiCTR2000030906 (accessed 10th April, 2020).

123. Guo, D., Old Weapon for New Enemy: Drug Repurposing for Treatment of Newly Emerging Viral Diseases. *Virol. Sin.* **2020**.

124. Mifsud, E. J.; Hayden, F. G.; Hurt, A. C., Antivirals targeting the polymerase complex of influenza viruses. *Antiviral Res.* **2019**, 169, 104545.

125. Wang, C.; Li, W.; Drabek, D.; Okba, N. M. A.; van Haperen, R.; Osterhaus, A. D. M. E.; van Kuppevelt, F. J. M.; Haagmans, B. L.; Grosveld, F.; Bosch, B.-J., A human monoclonal antibody blocking SARS-CoV-2 infection. *bioRxiv* **2020**, 2020.03.11.987958.

126. Sheahan, T. P.; Sims, A. C.; Leist, S. R.; Schafer, A.; Won, J.; Brown, A. J.; Montgomery, S. A.; Hogg, A.; Babusis, D.; Clarke, M. O.; Spahn, J. E.; Bauer, L.; Sellers, S.; Porter, D.; Feng, J. Y.; Cihlar, T.; Jordan, R.; Denison, M. R.; Baric, R. S., Comparative therapeutic efficacy of
remdesivir and combination lopinavir, ritonavir, and interferon beta against MERS-CoV. *Nat Commun* 2020, 11 (1), 222.

127. Cao, B.; Wang, Y.; Wen, D.; Liu, W.; Wang, J.; Fan, G.; Ruan, L.; Song, B.; Cai, Y.; Wei, M.; Li, X.; Xia, J.; Chen, N.; Xiang, J.; Yu, T.; Bai, T.; Xie, X.; Zhang, L.; Li, C.; Yuan, Y.; Chen, H.; Li, H.; Huang, H.; Tu, S.; Gong, F.; Liu, Y.; Wei, Y.; Dong, C.; Zhou, F.; Gu, X.; Xu, J.; Liu, Z.; Zhang, Y.; Li, H.; Shang, L.; Wang, K.; Li, K.; Zhou, H.; Dong, X.; Qu, Z.; Lu, S.; Hu, X.; Ruan, S.; Luo, S.; Wu, J.; Peng, L.; Cheng, F.; Pan, L.; Zou, J.; Jia, C.; Wang, J.; Liu, X.; Wang, S.; Wu, X.; Ge, Q.; He, J.; Zhan, H.; Qiu, F.; Guo, L.; Chuang, C.; Jaki, T.; Haydern, F. G.; Horby, P. W.; Zhang, D.; Wang, C., A Trial of Lopinavir-Ritonavir in Adults Hospitalized with Severe COVID-19. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2020.

128. Gautret, P.; Lagier, J. C.; Parola, P.; Hoang, V. T.; Meddeb, L.; Mailhe, M.; Doudier, B.; Courjon, J.; Giordanengo, V.; Vieira, V. E.; Dupont, H. T.; Honore, S.; Colson, P.; Chabriere, E.; La Scola, B.; Rolain, J. M.; Brouqui, P.; Raoult, D., Hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin as a treatment of COVID-19: results of an open-label non-randomized clinical trial. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents* 2020, 105949.

129. Chen, L.; Xiong, J.; Bao, L.; Shi, Y., Convalescent plasma as a potential therapy for COVID-19. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* 2020, 20 (4), 398-400.

130. Rubbert-Roth, A.; Furst, D. E.; Nebesky, J. M.; Jin, A.; Berber, E., A Review of Recent Advances Using Tocilizumab in the Treatment of Rheumatic Diseases. *Rheumatol Ther* 2018, 5 (1), 21-42.

131. Adhikari, S. P.; Meng, S.; Wu, Y. J.; Mao, Y. P.; Ye, R. X.; Wang, Q. Z.; Sun, C.; Sylvia, S.; Rozelle, S.; Raat, H.; Zhou, H.; Epidemiology, causes, clinical manifestation and diagnosis, prevention and control of coronavirus disease (COVID-19) during the early outbreak period: a scoping review. *Infect Dis Poverty* 2020, 9 (1), 29.

132. NHC National Health Commission of People’s Republic of China. Notice on printing and distributing the work plan for prevention and control of pneumonia caused by novel coronavirus infection in the near future. http://www.nhc.gov.cn/tigs/s7848/202001/808bbf75e5ce415aa19f74c78ddc653f.shtml (accessed April 11, 2020).

133. NHC National Health Commission of People’s Republic of China. Notice on prevention and control of novel coronavirus infection pneumonia in the elderly people. http://www.nhc.gov.cn/lljks/tggg/202001/96e82ba8a14d41b283da990d39771493.shtml (accessed 31st January, 2020).

134. NHC National Health Commission of People’s Republic of China. Notice on further prevention and control of pneumonia caused by novel coronavirus infection in rural areas. http://www.nhc.gov.cn/jkj/s3578/202001/f8d45f6af1d24ef18151c1d9cf8a028.shtml (accessed 31st January, 2020).

135. WHO Advice on the use of masks in the community, during home care and in health care settings in the context of the novel coronavirus 2019-nCoV outbreak (Interim guidance). http://www.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/328208/WHO2019-nCov-IPC_Masks2020.3-eng.pdf?ua=1 (accessed 8th April, 2020).

136. V., C.; S., M., Fitbit-informed influenza forecasts. *The Lancet Digital Health* 2020, 2 (2), 2.

137. M., R. J.; E., W. N.; J., T. E.; R., S. S., Harnessing wearable device data to improve state-level real-time surveillance of influenza-like illness in the USA: a population-based study. *The Lancet Digital Health* 2020, 2 (2), 9.

138. G., K.; D., T.; S., P.; E., S., Persistence of coronaviruses on inanimate surfaces and their inactivation with biocidal agents. *J. Hosp. Infect.* 2020, 114 (3), 6.

139. Murdoch, D. R.; French, N. P., COVID-19: another infectious disease emerging at the animal-human interface. *N. Z. Med. J.* 2020, 133 (1510), 12-15.

140. Malik, Y.; Sircar, S.; Bhat, S.; Vinodkhumar, O.; Tiwari, R.; Sah, R.; Rabaan, A.; Rodriguez-Morales, A.; Dhama, K., Emerging Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19), a pandemic public health emergency with animal linkages: Current status update. *The Indian Journal of Animal Sciences* 2020, 90, In Processing.
141. Dhama, K.; Patel, S.; Khan, S.; Pathak, M.; Tiwari, R.; Yatoo, D.M.; Sah, R.; Rabaan, A.; Bonilla-Aldana, D.; Rodriguez-Morales, A., SARS-CoV-2: Jumping the Species Barrier, Lessons from SARS and MERS, Its Zoonotic Spillover, Transmission to Humans, Preventive and Control Measures and Recent Developments to Counter This Pandemic Virus. 2020.

142. Bonilla-Aldana, D.; Dhama, K.; Rodriguez-Morales, A., Editorial: Revisiting the One Health Approach in the Context of COVID-19: A Look into the Ecology of this Emerging Disease. Advances in Animal and Veterinary Sciences 2020, 8, 1-3.

143. Dhama, K.; Verma, A.; Tiwari, R.; Chakraborty, S.; K, V.; S, K.; R, D.; Karthik, K.; Singh, R.; M, M.; Natesan, S., A perspective on applications of geographical information system (GIS); an advanced tracking tool for disease surveillance and monitoring in veterinary epidemiology. Advances in Animal and Veterinary Sciences 2013, 1, 14-24.

144. WHO Battle against Respiratory Viruses (BRaVe) initiative. https://www.who.int/influenza/patient_care/clinical/brave/en/ (accessed 19th April, 2020).

145. Singh, R. K.; Dhama, K.; Chakraborty, S.; Tiwari, R.; Natesan, S.; Khandia, R.; Munjal, A.; Vora, K. S.; Latheef, S. K.; Karthik, K.; Singh Malik, Y.S.; Singh, R.; Chaicumpa, W.; Mourya, D. T., Nipah virus: epidemiology, pathology, immunobiology and advances in diagnosis, vaccine designing and control strategies - a comprehensive review. Vet. Q. 2019, 39 (1), 26-55.

146. Rodriguez-Morales, A.; Bonilla-Aldana, D.; Tiwari, R.; Sah, R.; Rabaan, A.; Dhama, K., COVID-19, an Emerging Coronavirus Infection: Current Scenario and Recent Developments - An Overview. Journal of Pure and Applied Microbiology 2020, 14, 6150.

147. Rabaan, A. A.; Al-Ahmed, S. H.; Haque, S.; Sah, R.; Tiwari, R.; Malik, Y. S.; Dhama, K.; Yatoo, M. I.; Bonilla-Aldana, D. K.; Rodriguez-Morales, A. J., SARS-CoV-2, SARS-CoV, and MERS-CoV: A comparative overview. Infez. Med. 2020, 28 (2), 174-184.

148. Rabaan, A.; Al-Ahmed, S.; Sah, R.; Tiwari, R.; Yatoo, D. M.; Patel, S.; Pathak, M.; Malik, Y.S.; Dhama, K.; Bonilla-Aldana, D.; Haque, S.; Rodriguez-Morales, A., SARS-CoV-2/COVID-19 and Advances in Developing Potential Therapeutics and Vaccines to Counter this Emerging Pandemic Virus - A Review. 2020.

149. Munjal, A.; Khandia, R.; Dhama, K.; Sachan, S.; Karthik, K.; Tiwari, R.; Malik, Y. S.; Kumar, D.; Singh, R. K.; Iqbal, H. M. N.; Joshi, S. K., Advances in Developing Therapies to Combat Zika Virus: Current Knowledge and Future Perspectives. Front. Microbiol. 2017, 8, 1469.

150. Dhama, K.; Sharun, K.; Tiwari, R.; Dadar, M.; Malik, Y. S.; Singh, K. P.; Chaicumpa, W., COVID-19, an emerging coronavirus infection: advances and prospects in designing and developing vaccines, immunotherapeutics, and therapeutics. Hum. Vaccin. Immunother. 2020, 0 (0), 1-7.

151. Dhama, K.; Karthik, K.; Khandia, R.; Chakraborty, S.; Munjal, A.; Latheef, S. K.; Kumar, D.; Ramakrishnan, M. A.; Malik, Y. S.; Singh, R.; Malik, S. V. S.; Singh, R. K.; Chaicumpa, W., Advances in Designing and Developing Vaccines, Drugs, and Therapies to Counter Ebola Virus. Front. Immunol. 2018, 9, 1803.

152. Chatterjee, P.; Nagi, N.; Agarwal, A.; Das, B.; Banerjee, S.; Sarkar, S.; Gupta, N.; Gangakhedkar, R. R., The 2019 novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic: A review of the current evidence. Indian J. Med. Res. 2020.