Mitochondrial electron transport chain: Oxidative phosphorylation, oxidant production, and methods of measurement
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A B S T R A C T

The mitochondrial electron transport chain utilizes a series of electron transfer reactions to generate cellular ATP through oxidative phosphorylation. A consequence of electron transfer is the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), which contributes to both homeostatic signaling as well as oxidative stress during pathology. In this graphical review we provide an overview of oxidative phosphorylation and its inter-relationship with ROS production by the electron transport chain. We also outline traditional and novel translational methodology for assessing mitochondrial energetics in health and disease.

1. Introduction

In 1957, Peter Siekevitz branded the mitochondrion the “powerhouse” of the cell \cite{1}. Less than a decade later came the first reports that the organelle generated reactive oxygen species (ROS) as a byproduct of cellular respiration \cite{2}. Since then, it has become apparent that mitochondria are highly dynamic organelles that contribute to cellular homeostasis not only through maintaining adenosine triphosphate (ATP) levels, but also through the generation of low levels of ROS for cell signaling, and that dysfunction in either of these processes can propagate pathology. Notably, the importance of each of these functions varies by cell type. For example, cardiomyocytes rely on mitochondria to supply >95% of the energy required for their function \cite{3}. In contrast, endothelial cells rely more heavily on glycolysis than mitochondria for ATP production. Despite this variation between cell types, mitochondrial ATP generation and ROS production are intimately linked through function of the electron transport chain (ETC), and thus efficient measurement of ETC function can provide insight into mechanisms of physiology and disease pathogenesis. In this review, we will provide an overview of the function of the ETC, focusing on oxidative phosphorylation and its relationship to ROS production. Further, we will compare current methodologies to measure bioenergetic function and mitochondrial ROS production.

2. Overview of oxidative phosphorylation

Cellular metabolism comprises the utilization of carbohydrates, fats, and proteins, to synthesize energy. The processes for the catabolism of glucose (via glycolysis and subsequent pyruvate oxidation), fatty acids (via fatty acid β-oxidation), and amino acids (via oxidative deamination and transamination) are reviewed in detail elsewhere \cite{5}. However, the molecules derived from these processes are used in the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle to generate substrates that enter the ETC for oxidative phosphorylation. Here we summarize the reactions that occur in the ETC to produce energy, but for a more detailed review, refer to Zhao et al. \cite{6}.

The ETC is embedded within the extensive inner membrane of the mitochondrion, in close proximity to the mitochondrial matrix in which the TCA cycle is localized (Fig. 1). NADH and FADH\textsubscript{2} generated by the TCA cycle donate electrons to the ETC at either Complex I (NADH:ubiquinone oxidoreductase) or Complex II (succinate dehydrogenase), respectively (Fig. 1). The electrons from NADH are passed to ubiquinone (CoQ) through a chain of co-factors including a flavin mononucleotide (FMN) followed by seven low to high potential iron-sulfur (FeS) clusters in Complex I to enter the Q cycle, where CoQ is reduced to ubiquinol (QH\textsubscript{2}). This electron transfer induces the pumping of protons by
Complex I from the matrix into the intermembrane space. Though the mechanism linking electron transfer to proton pumping remains unclear, one hypothesis suggests an indirect pumping of two protons via a conformational-coupled manner and the direct pumping of the other two protons via the ubiquinone redox reaction, while another hypothesis suggests that changes in the conformation and density of water in Complex I dictates the proton translocation [6]. Regardless of the exact mechanism, the transfer of two electrons from NADH results in the pumping of four protons.

Electrons also enter the ETC through Complex II, which is a component of both the TCA cycle and the ETC. Electrons donated from FADH₂ are transferred sequentially to CoQ via the FeS cluster of Complex II, in a similar manner as at Complex I. Unlike Complex I, electron transport at Complex II is not accompanied by proton translocation from the matrix to the intermembrane space [6].

Once in the Q-cycle, electrons are transferred to Complex III (coenzyme Q:cytochrome c reductase) and then to cytochrome c. First, QH₂ binds to the catalytically active side of Complex III and releases two protons into the intermembrane space. One electron is transferred from QH₂ to the catalytically active and high-potential iron-sulfur cluster in the Rieske center of Complex III, while the second electron is transferred to cytochrome b within the complex. This electron is then transferred from cytochrome b to a second molecule of Q that is bound to the matrix side of the complex to generate ubiquinone (Q⁻). Concomitantly, the electron at the 2Fe-2S cluster is transferred to cytochrome c₁, from where it is transferred to, and reduces the mobile carrier, cytochrome c. At this point, a second QH₂ molecule binds to the membrane side of the complex and undergoes the same oxidation process to reduce Q⁻ back to QH₂, complete the Q-cycle, and pump two more protons into the intermembrane space [6].

Once the mobile electron carrier cytochrome c is reduced, it ferries single electrons from Complex III to Complex IV (cytochrome c oxidase), where molecular oxygen binds and is reduced to water. Complex IV consists of three core subunits, namely, the central subunit I that contains the redox-active metal centers heme a (Fe₃⁺) and a bimetallic center composed of heme a₂ (Fe₄⁺) and C₄Fe₃, and flanking subunit II, that contains the other redox-active metal center, namely, C₄Fe₃ and subunit III that is involved in proton pumping. Reduced cytochrome c can simultaneously interact with subunit II of Complex IV, and transfer electrons to the Cu₄ site of subunit II to then pass these electrons from heme a to the binuclear center of subunit I, where O₂ binds and is reduced to H₂O. Therefore, at Complex IV, a total of eight protons are pumped from the matrix, of which, four are used to form two water molecules, and the other four are transferred into the intermembrane space. This process of oxygen consumption is known as mitochondrial respiration [6].

In response to electron transport, a total of ten protons (H⁺) (two from Complex III, and four from each Complex I and Complex IV) are pumped from the matrix into the intermembrane space, where they accumulate to generate an electrochemical proton gradient known as the mitochondrial membrane potential (ΔΨ). ΔΨ combined with proton concentration (pH) generates a proton motive force (Δp) which is an essential component in the process of energy storage during OXPHOS since it couples electron transport (complexes I-IV) and (oxygen consumption) to the activity of Complex V (ATP synthase), where protons re-enter the matrix to dissipate the proton gradient. Complex V is a multi-subunit complex comprised of two distinct domains, extra-membranous (termed F₁) and transmembrane (termed F₀), and functions under a rotational motor mechanism to allow for ATP production. Proton movement through F₀ from the intermembrane space is coupled to the rotation that results in the addition of a phosphate to adenosine diphosphate (ADP) to synthesize adenosine triphosphate (ATP) at sites in F₁ (Fig. 1) [6].

3. Generation of superoxide from the ETC

It is now well established that the mitochondrion is a significant source of cellular ROS (Fig. 2). While multiple sites of ROS production have been identified in the organelle and reviewed in detail elsewhere [7], this section will focus on generation of ROS by the complexes of the ETC and its interplay with cellular respiration and energetics. The predominant route of ROS production by the ETC is the premature leak of electrons from complexes I, II, and III to mediate the one electron reduction of oxygen to superoxide (O₂•⁻), which can then be dismutated...
Fig. 2. Sites of mitochondrial ROS production and antioxidant systems. Seven major ETC sites of ROS generation are shown here, with red lines indicating on which side of the membrane the ROS are formed. Superoxide (O$_2^•$) is the primary ROS generated by the ETC. O$_2^•$ is dismutated to H$_2$O$_2$ by MnSOD in the matrix and Cu/Zn SOD in the intermembrane space. Also within the matrix is the glutathione peroxidase (GPx)/glutathione reductase (GR) system and catalase, found in liver and cardiac tissues. The peroxiredoxin (Prx)/thioredoxin (TrxR) system overlaps between the cytosol and the matrix. NADPH/NADP$^+$ renews these antioxidant systems with its reducing potential. Matrix enzymes (malic enzyme (ME), glutamate dehydrogenase (GDH), and isocitrate dehydrogenase (IDH2)) and the inner membrane-associated nicotinamide nucleotide transhydrogenase (NNT) regenerate the pool of NADPH. Abbreviations: mitochondrial glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase, mGPDH; dihydroorotate dehydrogenase, DHODH, electron transfer flavoprotein oxidoreductase, ETFQO; superoxide, O$_2^•$; hydrogen peroxide, H$_2$O$_2$; water, H$_2$O; glutathione, GSH; glutathione disulfide, GSSG; glutathione peroxidase, GPx; GR; thioredoxin reductase, TR; reduced thioredoxin, Trx (SH)$_2$; oxidized thioredoxin Trx-S$_2$; peroxiredoxin, Prx; reduced peroxiredoxin, Prx (SH)$_2$; oxidized peroxiredoxin, Prx-S$_2$; malic enzyme, ME; glutamate dehydrogenase, GDH; NADP$^+$-dependent isocitrate dehydrogenase, IDH2, and nicotinamide nucleotide transhydrogenase, NNT. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)
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to hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$) [6]. The rate of O$_2^•$ production from the ETC is dependent on the concentration of the one-electron donor at a particular site and the rate at which this redox active donor reacts with oxygen O$_2$ [8]. Importantly, factors such as local O$_2$ tension, $\Delta$p, electron flux, and ATPase activity can change these factors to dynamically modulate O$_2^•$ production from the ETC, and these factors will be considered along with a brief explanation of the mechanisms of ETC O$_2^•$ generation at each complex.

Two sites of O$_2^•$ generation have been identified at Complex I - 1) the FMN cofactor which accepts electrons from NADH and 2) the Q binding site at which two electrons are transferred the terminal Fe-S to Q. With forward electron transport, electrons from NADH fully reduce the FMN center, which reacts with oxygen to generate O$_2^•$ [8]. Thus, O$_2^•$ production at this center is regulated by the ratio of NADH to NAD$^+$ and in physiological conditions is relatively low. However, conditions leading to the accumulation of NADH, such as low ATP demand resulting in decreased respiration or damage to the ETC, lead to greater reduction of the FMN and increased O$_2^•$ production at this site. Notably, the Complex I inhibitor rotenone, which binds to the Q binding site, stimulates O$_2^•$ production by potentiating electron accumulation and reduction of the FMN site. A second mechanism by which Complex I generates O$_2^•$ is dependent on reverse electron transport (RET) [9].

RET occurs when the Q pool is highly reduced and $\Delta$p is high. In these conditions, the energy is high enough to drive electron transport against the redox potential gradient of the ETC and electrons are driven back from QH$_2$ into Complex I. Superoxide generated by RET is thought to occur at the Q binding site of Complex I. This is supported by the fact that RET-dependent O$_2^•$ production is abolished by rotenone. Further, this mechanism of ROS production is highly sensitive to minimal changes in pH. Given that proton pumping is linked with the Q binding site, it is likely that Q$^•$ is formed during proton pumping and RET, leading to the O$_2^•$ generation observed. While RET-induced ROS production was once thought to be a strictly in vitro phenomenon, it is now known to contribute to the pathogenesis of bacterial sepsis, as well as physiological hypoxia-sensing in the carotid body [10].

It is important to note that in physiological conditions, when respiration and ATP production are high (and $\Delta$p is low), Complex I ROS production is low. While early studies suggested that physiological ROS production by Complex I was high, it is now recognized that much of the ROS measured in those studies may have originated from flavin-dependent dehydrogenases that utilize the NADH/NAD$^+$ pool and operate at a similar redox potential as Complex I. These enzymes, which include α-ketoglutarate dehydrogenase, pyruvate dehydrogenase, and branched chain 2-oxoacid dehydrogenase are now known to be
significant generators of ROS and generate O$_2^•$ in the matrix of the mitochondrion (similarly to both sites of the Complex I) (Fig. 2) [11]. However, under conditions of high NADH/NAD$^+$ or high H$_2$Q/Q and elevated $\Delta$p, O$_2^•$ production is significantly enhanced by FMN reduction and RET respectively at Complex I, significantly out-producing these dehydrogenase complexes.

Complex III, specifically the site of QH$_2$ oxidation, is also a generator of O$_2^•$ within the ETC. As described above, the two electrons carried by QH$_2$ when it binds Complex III are transferred from QH$_2$ to the Rieske Fe–S center and then to cytochrome c in sequence. The transfer of one electron with the other remaining on Q favors the formation of the unstable Q$^•$ species, which can react with oxygen to produce O$_2^•$. The rate of ROS production at this site is physiologically low, and favored when the site is only partially reduced, which occurs when substrate is at submaximal levels. Alternatively, inhibition of the complex downstream of Q$^•$ also potentiates ROS production [11]. For example, treatment with the Complex III inhibitor Antimycin A binds the Qi site of the complex, stabilizing the semiquinone radical in the Q$_0$ site. Addition of Q$_0$ inhibitors such as myxothiazol completely inhibit ROS production by Complex III [12]. Similarly, to dehydrogenases that contribute to the NADH/NAD$^+$ pool, several enzymes responsible for substrate catabolism and operate at the same redox potential as Complex III are generators of O$_2^•$. These enzymes include mitochondrial glyceral-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (mGPDH), the electron transferring flavoprotein/ETF:ubiquinone oxidoreductase system, and dihydrolorotate dehydrogenase (DHODH), all of which donate electrons to the CoQ pool. Of note, ROS generated by these enzymes as well as complex III are released on both the matrix and intermembrane side of the mitochondrion (Fig. 2) [11].

While Complex II is not generally considered a major source of ROS in comparison to Complexes I and III, several reports describe O$_2^•$ production by the complex. While there is some debate surrounding the exact site of ROS production in the complex, Brand and colleagues have demonstrated that the flavin site, at which FAD binds the active site of the enzyme, is responsible for the production. These studies demonstrate that in isolated mitochondria ROS generation by Complex II is highly regulated by succinate concentration, with a bell-shaped response in which ROS production is optimized when succinate concentration is not too high or too low. Moreover, the Q pool must be highly reduced such as what is observed with Complex III inhibition [11]. While the exact mechanism by which Complex II generates ROS is being elucidated, its contribution to overall mitochondrial ROS production in physiological conditions remains unknown.

Early studies estimated that 1–2% of electrons entering the ETC contributed to O$_2^•$ production. It is now appreciated that the rate of mitochondrial ROS generation is likely lower than this value in vivo [13] and fluctuates depending on ETC function. As outlined above, each site of mitochondrial ROS production is differentially sensitive to factors such as substrate supply, rate of ATP production and $\Delta$p depending on how these conditions affect the reduction of particular site of ROS production. Importantly, discussion of the impact of these factors assumes high efficiency of oxidative phosphorylation in which respiration is “coupled” to ATP generation by $\Delta$p and the membrane potential ($\Delta\psi_{mit}$) is maintained within a homeostatic range (140–160 mV) [14]. Physiologically, oxidative phosphorylation is not completely coupled, and low levels of proton leak dissipate $\Delta$p and decrease $\Delta\psi_{mit}$, which can attenuate ROS production. The level of basal proton leak is determined by inner membrane structure and composition as well as proteins such as the adenine nucleotide translocase (ANT), which regulates the $\Delta\psi_{mit}$-driven exchange of ATP for ADP across the inner membrane, but also is responsible for over half of basal proton leak [5]. In addition to ANT, the mitochondrial ATP-sensitive potassium channel (mitoKATP) also mediates uncoupling in response to high concentrations of superoxide and H$_2$O$_2$. Free fatty acids can also act as uncouplers, though this function appears to be distinct from their ability to act as substrate to fuel respiration [15]. Beyond these proteins and substrates that are constitutive to the mitochondrion, expression of specific uncoupling proteins (UCP) within the inner mitochondrial membrane facilitate the leak of protons from the intermembrane space back to the matrix without involvement of the ATP synthase. Expression of these UCP proteins is induced by many stimuli, including inner membrane hyper-polarization and high levels of ROS. Expression of UCP1 was first identified in brown adipose tissue, in which uncoupling of oxidative phosphorylation generates heat [16]. However, it is now known that at least five different UCP isoforms exist and expression of these proteins are implicated in a variety of physiological signaling pathways ranging from T-cell maturation [17] and neuroprotection [18], to mediating the protective effects of caloric restriction [19]. For an in-depth review of UCP function see Ref. [15].

A final consideration in the factors regulating O$_2^•$ production from the ETC is the availability of oxygen as an electron acceptor. While decreased availability of oxygen should attenuate O$_2^•$ production, a number of studies in cell systems demonstrate that mitochondrial ROS production is paradoxically increased in hypoxic conditions [12,20]. Several hypotheses have been proposed to explain this effect including the notion that oxygen limitation at Complex IV causes accumulation of electrons and increased reduction of Complexes I-III. However, given the low Km of Complex IV for O$_2$ (<1 μM), limitation at Complex IV should also limit oxygen available for reduction to O$_2^•$ [21]. More recent studies have suggested that hypoxia induces a conformational change in Complex III such that O$_2^•$ is stabilized or that there is more access of O$_2$ to the Q$^•$ [12,20]. Notably, Brooks and colleagues showed in controlled experiments utilizing isolated mitochondria and fixed substrate concentration that unlike in cells, ROS production by the ETC decreased as O$_2$ concentrations were lowered below ~1 μM O$_2$ [21]. These data demonstrate a discrepancy between the effect of hypoxia in isolated mitochondria and cell systems, and suggest that cellular factors outside the mitochondrion may regulate ETC ROS production during hypoxia in cells. These factors may include nitric oxide (NO) production, which regulates the ETC at Complex V [22], changes in substrate entry into the ETC or utilization, or cellular kinase activity that potentially regulates ETC activity through phosphorylation [23].

4. Mitochondrial antioxidant systems and ROS-mediated signaling

While the mitochondrial is a site for ROS production, antioxidant systems contribute to the regulation of the concentration and redox species in the organelle. Though the proximal ROS generated by the ETC is O$_2^•$, this species is rapidly dismutated to H$_2$O$_2$ by manganese superoxide dismutase (MnSOD) localized in the mitochondrial matrix and low concentrations of copper/zinc SOD located in the intermembrane space [6]. Notably, unlike O$_2^•$, H$_2$O$_2$ is stable and uncharged, and thus able to leave the mitochondrion to mediate cytosolic cell signaling. This signaling occurs predominantly through the oxidation of either metal cofactors or reduced thiols on cytosolic proteins, changing their function. It is now well recognized that mitochondrial H$_2$O$_2$ regulates a number of signaling pathways by this mechanism. While discussion of each of these specific pathways is outside the scope of this article, we direct the reader to reviews of the essential role of mitochondrial ROS signaling in hypoxic adaptation [20,24], apoptosis [25], regulation of phosphorylation signaling [26,27], and cell growth and differentiation [28,29].

The concentration of H$_2$O$_2$ that leaves the mitochondrion is regulated by two main antioxidant systems in the mitochondrial matrix: the glutathione and thioredoxin/peroxiredoxin systems (Fig. 2). Glutathione (GSH) is oxidized by H$_2$O$_2$ to form the glutathione disulfide (GSSG), a reaction catalyzed by glutathione peroxidase (GPx). GSSG is then reduced back to GSH by Glutathione Reductase (GR). Similarly, H$_2$O$_2$ oxidizes a cysteine residue in the catalytic site of peroxiredoxin (PRx), which forms a disulfide bridge with a neighboring cysteine. The reductive power of thioredoxin (TRx) reduces PRx through a disulfide
exchange reaction and TRx is then reduced by Thioredoxin reductase (TR). Importantly, both GR and TR require NADPH for their reductive activity. The pool of reduced NADPH is maintained by several enzymes in the mitochondrial matrix including malic enzyme (ME), glutamate dehydrogenase (GDH), and isocitrate dehydrogenase (IDH2) [30]. Additionally, membrane-associated nicotinamide nucleotide transhydrogenase (NNT) is particularly important in maintaining NADPH pools through its function of pumping protons into the matrix to regenerate NADPH by coupling oxidation of NADH to the reduction of NADP⁺. Importantly, the ability of NNT to renew the pool of available NADPH is dependent on ΔΨ. States of low ΔΨ decrease the amount of available reduced NADPH which then decreases the amount of reduced glutathione and thioredoxin to buffer H₂O₂.

5. Measurement of mitochondrial bioenergetics in human disease

In the previous sections we have outlined the mechanisms by which the ETC functions to generate ATP and produce ROS, highlighting the interplay between these two processes. While physiological function of the ETC generates ROS at levels required for homeostatic signaling, ETC dysfunction, particularly that which decreases mitochondrial energy production and enhances ROS generation, has been linked to the onset and development of a number of biological changes including obesity and aging, and pathology in all organ systems including cardiovascular diseases. Thus, accurate and efficient assessment of energetic function and mitochondrial ROS production in humans could potentially enable the diagnosis of disease and the mechanistic understanding of pathogenesis. In this section, we focus on a translational approach to the measurement of ETC function. Currently, no standard methodologies exist to directly measure mitochondrial ROS production in humans. Thus, we will give an overview of methodology to assess mitochondrial energetic function in humans.

A number of non- and minimally-invasive technologies such as near-infrared spectroscopy (NIRS), magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS), and positron emission tomography (PET) can be utilized to indirectly assess mitochondrial function in humans (Fig. 3). Near-infrared spectroscopy capitalizes on the principle that near-infrared light is absorbed at differential wavelengths by the oxygenated and deoxygenated heme groups of hemoglobin and myoglobin, allowing the calculation of tissue oxygen consumption, which is proportional to mitochondrial respiration. While NIRS is relatively inexpensive, one major disadvantage of
this method is that near infrared light applied non-invasively cannot reach solid organs such as the heart, and thus is best utilized for exercising large muscles closer to the skin [31]. Magnetic resonance spectroscopy is a non-invasive and ionizing-radiation-free method that can be used in the heart and other solid organs to measure the presence of metabolites such as choline-containing compounds, creatine, glucose, alanine and lactate by measuring the resonance of MR-visible isotopes [32]. 31Phosphorous (31P) is most frequently utilized to visualize concentrations of ATP, phosphocreatine, and inorganic phosphate, which can provide a dynamic measure of ATP production in the tissue. 13Carbon (13C) and proton NMR (1H) can also be used to measure intermediates in the TCA cycle [33,34]. In contrast to NMR, PET imaging employs the detection of injected positron-emitting radionuclide tracers to measure the accumulation or consumption of metabolic intermediates [35,36]. While PET and MRS provide a solid assessment of energetics, both techniques require costly equipment and a high degree of training for data acquisition and analysis. In addition, PET has the added obstacle of radiopharmaceutical production and the exposure of patients to radiation. Further, these technologies are highly specialized and not suited for high throughput clinical application, limiting their mainstream use.

The gold standard for the direct assessment of mitochondrial ETC function in humans is the measurement of mitochondrial function in small biopsies of tissue [37,38]. Once obtained, biopsies are most frequently subjected to measurement of mitochondrial oxygen consumption, which provides information on multiple facets of ETC function. The Clark-type oxygen electrode, developed in the 1960’s, enabled the polarographic measurement of oxygen consumption rate (OCR) by isolated mitochondria or cells in a sealed chamber. This technology was later utilized by the Oroboros O2k system to provide amperometric measurements of O2 consumption with maximal sensitivity and precision. In addition, the Oroboros O2k contains closed, air-tight reaction chambers that minimize O2 back-diffusion, thus limiting over estimations of OCR. Further, it allows the measurement of OCR at fixed oxygen tensions and the potential for simultaneous measurement of multiple parameters including pH, Δψm, [Ca2+], and NO in the same chamber. More recently, development of the Seahorse extracellular flux (XF) analyzer, has enabled the measurement of OCR in an intact monolayer of cells in a high throughput multi-well format. The Seahorse analyzer simultaneously measures extracellular pH to enable the estimation of glycolytic rate. Despite key differences between the two systems, such as a greater sample size required for the Oroboros and the need for a monolayer for the Seahorse versus sample in suspension for the Oroboros, both systems can be used with a series of pharmacologic mitochondrial modulators to provide a profile of ETC function (Fig. 4). In a typical bioenergetic profile, basal OCR is measured to determine the current turnover of the ETC. This is followed by the addition of oligomycin A, an inhibitor of the ATP synthase, in order to measure OCR that is not contributing to ATP production (proton leak). A protonophore, such as trifluoro-methoxy-carbonyl cyanide-4-phenylhydrazone (FCCP) is then added to uncouple respiration, yielding the maximal rate of OCR. Finally, a mitochondrial inhibitor is added such that the rate of non-mitochondrial OCR is revealed [39]. Notably, if intact cells are being utilized, pharmacologic inhibitors of glucose, fatty acid, or amino acid oxidation/entry into the mitochondrion can be utilized (as shown in Fig. 1) to determine the source of substrate for the ETC.

While the respirometric techniques described above can be applied to any cell type, traditionally for human studies, skeletal muscle biopsies or cells cultured from skin grafts have been most frequently used as a source of viable tissue/mitochondria. However, the invasiveness of obtaining these samples is prohibitive of their widespread use for routine mitochondrial measurement. Recently, a number of labs have advanced the concept of performing respirometric measures in

| Drug        | ETC Target | Bioenergetic Parameter                        | Significance                                                      |
|-------------|------------|-----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|
| No treatment| Basal Respiration | Current rate of physiologic respiration               |                                                                  |
| Oligomycin A| Complex V | ATP-linked Respiration (Basal minus Proton Leak) | Respiration coupled to ATP production                             |
|             |            | Proton Leak (OCR remaining after treatment)      | "Inefficient" respiration - not linked to ATP production           |
| FCCP        | Protonophore | Maximal Uncoupled Respiration                  | Maximal capacity for respiration                                  |
|             |            | Reserve Capacity                                | Reserve of the ETC that can be used to respond to metabolic demand|
| Rotenone    | Complex I | Non-mitochondrial Respiration                  | Oxygen consumption by non-mitochondrial processes in the cell     |
| Antimycin A | Complex III | Non-mitochondrial Respiration                  | Oxygen consumption by non-mitochondrial processes in the cell     |

Fig. 4. Respirometric methods of bioenergetic assessment. Panel (A) shows the common pharmacologic modulators of the respiratory chain utilized to generate a bioenergetic profile (along with their chemical structures), the target of these modulators, the bioenergetic parameter measured in their presence, and the significance of each parameter. (B) A typical bioenergetic profile generated in the Oroboros system showing oxygen consumption in the chamber over time (blue trace) and the calculated oxygen flux (red trace). (C) A typical bioenergetic profile generated by the Seahorse XF analyzer in which oxygen consumption rate (OCR) over time is shown. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)
circulating blood cells, including platelets and peripheral blood mononuclear cells, as an assessment of systemic bioenergetic function [40–42]. Blood cells contain fully functional mitochondria, and are abundant, self-renewing, and minimally invasive to obtain. Our group and others have successfully employed Seahorse XF and/or Oroboros to circulating cells to demonstrate that this methodology is feasible [43, 44], reproducible in human populations [40], and that bioenergetics of circulating cells reflect bioenergetics in solid tissues such as the heart [42], skeletal muscle [42,45], brain [46], and lungs [47]. Further, these studies demonstrate alterations in blood cell bioenergetics in a number of natural biological conditions (e.g. aging) or pathologies, and correlate with physical or clinical parameters of these conditions [45,47–49].

Altered platelet bioenergetics have been measured in neurologic [46], metabolic [46], hematologic [44], cardiopulmonary [47,49,50], and infectious diseases [51] (Fig. 5).

One example of platelet alterations in cardiovascular disease is in sickle cell disease in which a bioenergetic “screen” of platelets from a cohort of sickle cell patients demonstrated that basal OCR was decreased but maximal uncoupled OCR was higher (leading to decreased basal OCR). Direct measurement of $\Delta \psi m$ confirmed this hypothesis, and further measurement of individual complex activities demonstrated the increased $\Delta \psi m$ was due to Complex V inhibition and resulted in increased $O_2^{•−}$ production. This production of mitochondrial $O_2^{•−}$ is linked to platelet activation in sickle cell patients (REF 44) and the measurement of Complex V activity and markers of ROS in platelets from these patients can now be utilized to not only elucidate the pathogenesis of the disease but also test the ability of potential therapeutics to attenuate this mitochondrial-driven oxidative pathogenesis [52]. These data demonstrate the potential for the measurement of ETC function to reveal changes in both mitochondrial energetic and redox function and highlight the potential use of blood bioenergetic measurements as a translational and clinical tool.

In summary, the mitochondrial ETC is a redox hub that regulates cellular homeostasis through the production of ATP and the generation of ROS, two processes that are intimately linked. Given that alterations in both of these processes have been associated with the pathogenesis of a myriad of diseases spanning all organ systems, the ability to accurately and efficiently measure ETC function in humans may provide useful diagnostic and mechanistic information.
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