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Abstract The last solar minimum is characterized by several peculiar aspects and by the presence of a complex magnetic topology with two different kinds of coronal streamers: pseudo-streamers and bipolar streamers. Pseudo-streamers or unipolar streamer are coronal structures which separate coronal holes of the same polarity, without a current sheet in the outer corona; unlike bipolar streamer that separate coronal holes of opposite magnetic polarity. In this study, two examples of these structures have been identified in the period of Carrington rotation 2067, by applying a potential-field source-surface extrapolation of the photospheric field measurements. We present a spectroscopic analysis of a pseudo-streamer and a bipolar streamer observed in the period 12-17 March 2008 at high spectral and spatial resolution by the Ultraviolet Coronagraph Spectrometer (UVCS; Kohl et al., 1995) onboard Solar and Heliospheric Observatory (SOHO). The solar wind plasma parameters, such as kinetic temperature, electron density and outflow velocity, are inferred in the extended corona (from 1.7 to 2.1 R⊙) analysing the O vi doublet and Ly α line spectra. The coronal magnetic topology is taken into account and has been extrapolated by a 3D magneto-hydrodynamic model of the global corona. The results of the analysis show some peculiarities of the pseudo-streamer physical parameters in comparison with those obtained for bipolar streamers: in particular, we have found higher kinetic temperature and higher outflow velocities of O vi ions and lower electron density values. In conclusion, we point out that pseudo-streamers produce a “hybrid” type of outflow that is intermediate between slow and fast solar wind and they are a possible source of slow/fast wind in not dipolar solar magnetic field configuration.
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1. Introduction

The last solar minimum at the end of solar cycle 23 has been characterized by several aspects, very peculiar and different in comparison with the previous minimum. Polar coronal holes appear smaller, and polar magnetic flux measured at the solar surface is 40% weaker relative to 1996 minimum (Kirk et al., 2009), magnetic fields measured in the solar wind above the Sun’s poles are depleted by a similar amount (about a third; Smith and Balogh, 2008), solar wind density is decreased (by 17-20%), and the bulk solar wind speed is slightly slower (≈ 3%; McComas et al., 2008). This period has been exceptionally quiet, with sunspot numbers at their lowest in a century and the presence of low-latitude large coronal holes implies strong, long and recurring high speed streams in the solar wind at the Earth’s orbit (Gibson et al., 2009). Moreover, the coronal magnetic topology is rather unexpected for a solar minimum based on what we had observed in other space-age minima. Instead of the classical dipolar configuration with a single equatorial belt, the magnetic structure is more complex and, in particular, it is characterized by the presence of two different kinds of coronal streamers called pseudo-streamers and bipolar streamers (Riley et al., 2011). Pseudo-streamers or unipolar streamer are coronal structures which separate coronal holes of the same polarity, overlying twin loop arcades without a current sheet in the outer corona (e.g. Zhao and Webb 2003; Wang et al., 2007). Bipolar streamers or helmet streamer overlie a single (or an odd number of) loop arcades in the lower corona and they have oppositely oriented open magnetic field in the upper corona, such that a current sheet is present between the two open field domains. Slow wind in-situ measurements associated with a pseudo-streamer indicate wind speed in the range of about 350 - 550 km s\(^{-1}\) with oxygen charge-state ratio \((n_{\text{O}^{7+}}/n_{\text{O}^{6+}})\) greater than fast wind values and lower than slow wind values (Wang et al., 2012). Zhao et al. (2013) analysed Ulysses observations of solar wind proton flux extremes originating from sources middle-distant from the heliospheric current sheet, likely related to pseudo-streamer structures. Moreover, interplanetary signatures derived by the Advanced Composition Explorer (ACE) data at 1 AU show that pseudo-streamer flows have all the same characteristics as slow wind flows, that is low speed and proton temperature and high density and composition ratio, but less pronounced in pseudo-streamers (Riley et al., 2012; Crooker et al., 2014). The analysis presented here concerns a coronal pseudo-streamer and a bipolar streamer observed in the period 12-17 March 2008 by SOHO/UVCS at high spectral and spatial resolution, in order to compare the physical parameters of these coronal structures, such as kinetic temperature of H I Ly α and O vi spectral lines, electron density and outflow velocity. The diagnostic techniques that we apply to derive the electron density as a function of outflow velocity is described in Section 2, the UVCS observations and the identification of the coronal structures are described in Section 3 and the 3D magneto-hydrodynamic model used for the extrapolations of the coronal magnetic field is illustrated in Section 4. Finally, Sections 5 and 6 are dedicated to the data analysis and the discussion of the results, respectively.
2. Diagnostic Techniques for the Coronal Plasma

We derive the outflow velocity and electron density of the coronal wind plasma from the emission of the doublet O vi 1032 and 1038 Å spectral lines observed by UVCS. These lines are formed in the extended corona via collisional and radiative excitation processes (e.g. Withbroe et al., 1982). The two components of the O vi 1032 and 1038 Å lines in an expanding plasma can be separated by using the method introduced by Antonucci et al. (2004) and already applied in the analysis by Abbo et al. (2010). Because the two components have a different dependence on the electron density (the collisional process depends on $n_e^2$, while the radiative process depends linearly on electron density $n_e$), it results that the electron density, averaged along the line-of-sight (LOS), $\langle n_e \rangle$, is proportional to the ratio of the collisional component $I_c$ to the radiative component $I_r$, and is a function of the outflow velocity of the wind, $w$, through the relationship $\langle n_e \rangle \approx \frac{I_c}{I_r} \langle \Phi(\delta \lambda) \rangle$, where $\langle \Phi(\delta \lambda) \rangle$ is the Doppler dimming function which depends on the normalized coronal absorption profile and on the intensity of the exciting spectrum along the direction of the incident radiation, $\mathbf{n}$. The quantity $\delta \lambda = \frac{\lambda_0}{c} \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{n}$ is the shift of the disk spectrum introduced by the expansion velocity, $\mathbf{w}$, of the coronal absorbing ions/atoms along the direction $\mathbf{n}$, and $\lambda_0$ is the reference wavelength of the transition. As the wavelength shift increases, the resonantly scattered emission decreases, giving origin to the Doppler dimming effect (Beckers and Chipman, 1974; Noci et al., 1987). By analysing the O vi doublet lines at 1031.93 and 1037.62 Å, it is possible to measure oxygen ion outflow velocities (averaged along the LOS on the plane of sky) up to approximately 450 km s$^{-1}$ for the effect of pumping of the C ii lines at 1037.02 and 1036.34 Å on the O vi λ 1037.61 Å line (e.g. Dodero et al., 1998; Cranmer et al., 1999). The disk intensity values of the O vi and C ii lines are obtained by Curdt et al. (2001). When the plasma is dynamic, we need a further physical constraint which is the mass flux conservation along the flow tube connecting the corona to the heliosphere by taking into account the expansion factors of the flux tubes as derived by the MHD model (see the next section) and by considering the mass flux measured in the heliosphere by Ulysses (McComas et al., 2000, 2008).

3. UVCS Observations and Identification of the Structures

We have analyzed UVCS observations of bright coronal structures performed in the period 12-17 March 2008. These observations are characterized by a good spatial coverage with heliodistances of the slit center from 1.7 to 2.1 $R_\odot$. The pointing of UVCS was carefully studied, in order to center the structures in the part of the slit which still has the maximum spatial resolution after the O vi detector’s electronic problems since January 2006 (L. Gardner, private communication). We analyse the O vi doublet lines at 1031.93 and 1037.62 Å detected on the UVCS O vi channel (984–1080 Å), and the H i Lyman α line at 1216 Å detected on the same channel following a redundant optical path (Kohl et al., 1995). The slit (the spatial direction of the detector) is oriented perpendicular to the radial direction defined by the polar angle. The spectrometer slit was 37
### Table 1
Details for the UVCS observations on 12-14 March 2008; radial distance \( r \) (\( R_\odot \)), polar angle (P.A., counterclockwise from the north pole), beginning and end of the observation, spectral line, exposure time, and slit width.

| \( r \) (\( R_\odot \)) | P.A. (°) | Start obs. (dd/mm–hh:mm) | End obs. (dd/mm–hh:mm) | Spectr. line | Exp. time (s) | Slit width (µm) |
|-------------------|--------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|
| 1.68              | 203    | 12/03–18:42            | 12/03–19:43            | H \( \text{I} \) Ly\( \alpha \) | 3600         | 100            |
| 1.78              | 205    | 12/03–21:00            | 12/03–21:00            | H \( \text{I} \) Ly\( \alpha \) | 4500         | 100            |
| 1.88              | 207    | 12/03–22:33            | 12/03–22:33            | H \( \text{I} \) Ly\( \alpha \) | 5400         | 100            |
| 1.71              | 203    | 12/03–22:35            | 13/03–06:06            | O \( \text{VI} \) | 26700       | 100            |
| 1.81              | 205    | 13/03–06:07            | 13/03–14:34            | O \( \text{VI} \) | 30000       | 100            |
| 1.91              | 207    | 13/03–14:35            | 13/03–18:38            | O \( \text{VI} \) | 14400       | 100            |
| 1.88              | 207    | 13/03–18:40            | 13/03–19:56            | H \( \text{I} \) Ly\( \alpha \) | 4500         | 100            |
| 1.98              | 208    | 13/03–19:57            | 13/03–22:55            | H \( \text{I} \) Ly\( \alpha \) | 10500        | 100            |
| 1.91              | 207    | 13/03–22:56            | 14/03–05:42            | O \( \text{VI} \) | 23700       | 100            |
| 2.01              | 208    | 14/03–05:43            | 14/03–18:33            | O \( \text{VI} \) | 45600       | 100            |

### Table 2
Details for the UVCS observations on 14-17 March 2008; radial distance \( r \) (\( R_\odot \)), polar angle (P.A.), beginning and end of the observation, spectral line, exposure time, and slit width.

| \( r \) (\( R_\odot \)) | P.A. (°) | Start obs. (dd/mm–hh:mm) | End obs. (dd/mm–hh:mm) | Spectr. line | Exp. time (s) | Slit width (µm) |
|-------------------|--------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|
| 1.66              | 315    | 14/03–18:59            | 14/03–20:00            | H \( \text{I} \) Ly\( \alpha \) | 3600         | 100            |
| 1.76              | 314    | 14/03–20:01            | 14/03–21:17            | H \( \text{I} \) Ly\( \alpha \) | 4500         | 100            |
| 1.86              | 313    | 14/03–21:18            | 14/03–22:50            | H \( \text{I} \) Ly\( \alpha \) | 5100         | 100            |
| 1.68              | 314    | 14/03–22:51            | 15/03–06:22            | O \( \text{VI} \) | 26700       | 100            |
| 1.78              | 313    | 15/03–06:24            | 15/03–14:50            | O \( \text{VI} \) | 30000       | 100            |
| 1.88              | 312    | 15/03–14:52            | 15/03–18:55            | O \( \text{VI} \) | 14400       | 100            |
| 1.86              | 313    | 15/03–18:56            | 15/03–20:07            | H \( \text{I} \) Ly\( \alpha \) | 4200         | 100            |
| 1.96              | 312    | 15/03–20:09            | 15/03–22:41            | H \( \text{I} \) Ly\( \alpha \) | 9000         | 100            |
| 1.88              | 312    | 15/03–22:42            | 16/03–05:07            | O \( \text{VI} \) | 228000      | 100            |
| 1.99              | 312    | 16/03–05:09            | 16/03–17:49            | O \( \text{VI} \) | 44700       | 100            |
| 2.06              | 311    | 16/03–19:02            | 16/03–20:44            | H \( \text{I} \) Ly\( \alpha \) | 6000         | 150            |
| 2.09              | 311    | 17/03–00:52            | 17/03–05:56            | O \( \text{VI} \) | 18000       | 150            |

arcmin long, with spatial pixels of 7 arcsec binned in groups of 4 for both the O \( \text{VI} \) doublet and the H \( \text{I} \) Ly\( \alpha \) lines. The slit width of the spectrometer, which determines the spectral resolution of the observation, was selected to be 100 µm (corresponding to 28 arcsec and 0.36 Å) up to 2.0 \( R_\odot \) and it was 150 µm (corresponding to 42 arcsec and 0.54 Å) at 2.1 \( R_\odot \). In order to increase the statistics in the analysis, we have grouped together a number of contiguous exposures at the same height.

The details of the observations are shown in Table 1 (12-14 March) and Table 2 (14-17 March); radial distance \( r \) of the center of the slit, polar angle (P.A., counterclockwise from the north pole), dates of beginning and end of the observation, spectral line, exposure time and slit width. The **Extreme-Ultraviolet**
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Figure 1. Observations on March 2008; disk image from SOHO/EIT 195 Å, visible light image of the corona from SOHO/LASCO C2, and SOHO/UVCS field of view (white lines) on 12-14 (left) and on 14-17 (right).

Imaging Telescope (EIT; Delaboudinière et al., 1995) 195 Å solar disk images are shown in Figure 1 overlapped with the coronal images in visible light from the Large Angle Spectroscopic Coronagraph (LASCO; Brueckner et al., 1995) C2 coronagraph and the field of view of UVCS indicated by white lines (left panel for observations on 12-14 March, right panel for observations on 14-17 March). In order to identify the kind of streamer observed by UVCS, we have studied the location of the heliospheric current sheet (HCS) which contains magnetic polarity reversal and is associated with bipolar streamers, and the plasma sheet (without polarity reversal) associated with pseudo-streamer structures, by applying a potential-field source-surface (PFSS) extrapolation to photospheric field measurements for Carrington rotation (CR) 2067 (20 February – 21 March 2008). We have determined the locations and polarities of all open field regions (coronal holes) at the solar surface. Figure 2 shows synoptic maps showing the large-scale field and distribution of coronal holes during CR 2067. The top panel shows the photospheric field data from the National Solar Observatory (NSO/Kitt Peak), the middle panel shows the Fe xvi 284 Å emission by the Extreme Ultraviolet Imager (EUVI; Wuelser et al., 2004) on the Ahead (A) spacecraft of the Solar-Terrestrial Relations Observatory (STEREO A; Kaiser et al., 2008), and the bottom panel shows PFSS-derived coronal holes, where the polarity of the underlying photospheric field is indicated by dark gray (if $B_r < 0$) or light gray (if $B_r > 0$). The colored dots in the bottom panel represent footpoints of open field lines and are coded according to the associated expansion factors at the source surface (see the figure caption for the numerical values). It is clear that at the longitude and latitude corresponding to the observations at south-west limb (of about 180° and −30°, respectively) there is an equatorward extension of the south polar hole where the source surface values of the expansion factor are very low. In this region, a pseudo-streamer is present. This is also supported by Figure 3, where the top panel shows the locations of the HCS/helmet streamer (white pixels) and of the plasma sheet/pseudo-streamers (gray pixels), derived
Figure 2. Synoptic maps of CR 2067 between 23 February and 21 March 2008 showing NSO/Kitt Peak photospheric magnetic field (top), Fe xvi 284 Å emission recorded by the STEREO-A/EUVI, and PFSS-derived coronal holes (bottom). In the bottom panel, colored dots represent footpoints of open field lines and are coded according to the associated expansion factors at the source surface as $f_{ss} > 20$ (blue), $10 < f_{ss} < 20$ (green), $7 < f_{ss} < 10$ (yellow), $4.5 < f_{ss} < 7$ (white), and $f_{ss} < 4.5$ (red). The polarity of the underlying photospheric field is indicated by dark gray (if $B_r < 0$) or light gray (if $B_r > 0$).

from a PFSS extrapolation of a photospheric field map from the Mount Wilson Observatory. The middle panel shows the white-light observations above the west limb at 10 $R_\odot$, with the COR2 coronagraph on STEREO-A and the bottom panel illustrates the corresponding simulated white-light patterns. The method for generating the simulated brightness is based on Thomson scattering of photospheric radiation from the helmet-streamer and pseudo-streamer plasma sheets and is described in detail by Wang et al. (2014). On the one hand, we can see that the white-light structure observed in the northern hemisphere at longitudes $\approx 90-180^\circ$ is likely the bipolar streamer observed by UVCS at the west limb on 14–17 March; on the other hand, the white-light structure observed near longitude $180^\circ$ in the southern hemisphere is definitely a pseudo-streamer observed by UVCS at the west limb on 12–14 March.
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4. Extrapolations of the Coronal Magnetic Field

Since we want to determine the structure of the coronal magnetic field for CR 2067 and to derive the expansion factors of the flow tubes corresponding to the UVCS observations, we have used MAS (Magnetohydrodynamics outside A Sphere), the three-dimensional magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) model of Linker et al. (1999) and Mikić et al. (1999), in the so-called polytropic approximation of the energy equation (the model is also capable of calculating the plasma properties solving a more realistic energy equation; e.g. Lionello et al., 2009). Starting from a prescribed magnetic flux distribution at the base of the computational domain, the code integrates the time-dependent, full MHD equation in spherical coordinates \((r, \theta, \phi)\) until the configuration reaches a steady state. For the present case, the magnetic field data has been derived from synoptic observations at Na-
tional Solar Observatory (Kitt Peak) on the days of observation considered in the analysis. Beside the magnetic flux distribution, to solve the full MHD equations we need to prescribe at the solar surface the fixed values of temperature and density, and the components of the velocity. The latter are determined by solving the gas characteristic equations along magnetic field lines as Mikić et al. (1999). The same equations are also solved to determine all the properties at the outer boundary, where the flow is supersonic and super Alfvénic. Pseudostreamers are readily identified in MAS, by tracing the associated magnetic field lines, which do not exhibit a polarity reversion. The coronal magnetic field line map extrapolated through the 3D MHD model is shown in Figure 4 where we have labeled pseudo-streamer as 'PS' at the southern-west limb and a bipolar streamer as 'BS' at the north-west limb. The pseudo-streamer presents open magnetic field lines while the bipolar streamer is characterized by closed field lines in the region observed by UVCS.

![Figure 4](image)

**Figure 4.** Extrapolations of coronal magnetic field on 13 March 2008. We have identified a pseudo-streamer at the south-west limb and a bipolar streamer at the north-west limb indicated in the figure with the labels 'PS' and 'BS', respectively.

5. Data Analysis

In order to derive the plasma conditions of the coronal structures, the intensities of the spectral lines are integrated in the two regions defined between 230° and 233° (counterclockwise from the north pole, 84 arcsec along the slit) for the pseudo-streamer and between 285° and 300° (counterclockwise from the north pole, 616 arcsec along the slit) for the bipolar streamer. Stray light correction is applied and counts are transformed to intensity, \( I(\lambda) \), by applying a radiometric calibration developed by the UVCS group of the Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics (J. Kohl, private communication). It has been applied an ad-hoc procedure for these observations and for the Whole Heliosphere Interval, an international campaign to study the three-dimensional solar-heliospheric-planetary connected system near solar minimum which started on 20 March.
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2008, just after the observations that we planned and here presented analysed. The integrated emissions are then fitted with a Gaussian function, representing the coronal profile, convolved with a Lorentzian curve which accounts for the instrumental broadening and a rectangular function accounting for the width of the spectrometer slit. The function resulting from the convolution is added to a background linearly dependent on wavelength. The best fit is obtained by applying the least square method, deriving the observed line intensity as the integral over the Gaussian line profile. The electron density and the outflow velocity are derived, as discussed in the previous section, by applying the method which is based on the hypothesis of the expansion factors of the flux tubes connecting the corona and heliosphere. We have obtained these values from the extrapolations of the coronal magnetic fields of the MHD model. In Figure 5, the red solid line corresponds to pseudo-streamer, compared with those derived by the same MHD code for a bipolar streamer boundary in 1996 (cyan dashed line), for the bipolar streamer boundary in 2008 (blue dotted line) and for the northern coronal hole in 2008 (green dash-dotted line). It is evident that the expansion factors of pseudo-streamer are much lower than the values for bipolar streamer, of a factor 2-3. They are closer to coronal hole values but with a different derivative in the height range 1.5-2.5 \( R_\odot \), which is the region of UVCS observations.

Figure 5. Expansion factors as a function of heliocentric distance as derived by the MHD model for the south-western pseudo-streamer of this study (red solid line), for the north-western streamer boundary (blue dotted line), for the northern coronal hole in 2008 (green dash-dotted line) during the same Carrington rotation, and for a streamer boundary observed in 1996 (cyan dashed line).

Moreover, as already described by Antonucci et al. (2005) and by Abbo et al. (2010), the distribution of the oxygen ion velocity in three dimensions has to be
assumed, since, in a given volume, the absorption of the photons of the exciting spectrum is controlled by the coronal absorption profile along the incident direction in a solid angle subtending the disk of the Sun. The solar wind is assumed to be radial and the velocity distribution along the two directions perpendicular to the radial is considered to be the same. The kinetic temperature of oxygen ions, $T_k$, expressed in terms of the spectral line width observed by UVCS, is a measure of the velocity distribution width along the LOS. Some assumptions are needed for the perpendicular directions to the LOS; an isotropic Maxwellian velocity distribution with the width defined by the observed $T_k$, and a bi-Maxwellian velocity distribution of the ions. In this last case, the ion kinetic temperature corresponds to the observed line width in the plane perpendicular to the radial direction, and the ion kinetic temperature along the radial direction is equal to the electron temperature (maximum anisotropy). The isotropic hypothesis is applied inside the bipolar streamer since the electron and ion densities are higher and due to the approximately static conditions of the plasma, the isotropy of the ion velocity distribution is established via ion-ion collision. For the pseudo-streamer, we assume both an isotropic and anisotropic velocity distribution since they represent an intermediate condition between closed field regions and the core region of coronal holes, where the ion velocity distributions are found to be highly anisotropic (e.g. Cranmer et al., 1999; Antonucci et al., 2000). The coronal electron temperature, $T_e$, assumed in the analysis, is that derived by Gibson et al. (1999) for the minimum of solar activity and varies between $1.5 \times 10^6$ K and $9.5 \times 10^5$ K in the range of distance $1.5 - 2.5 R_\odot$. We point out that the $T_e$ values do not influence significantly the results of the analysis of electron density and outflow velocity: by assuming the electron temperature values of coronal hole, the obtained results are within the errors shown in Figures 7 and 8 (see the following section).

6. Results and Conclusions

The coronal plasma physical parameters have been derived for a pseudo-streamer and a bipolar streamer which are now compared with those of a bipolar streamer and of a coronal hole observed by SOHO/UVCS in 1996 and already published by Abbo et al. (2010) and by Antonucci et al. (2000), respectively. The kinetic temperature of ions, $T_k$, expressed in terms of the spectral line width observed by UVCS, $\sigma_\lambda$, is a measure of the velocity distribution of the ions along the line of sight and can be written as $T_k = \frac{A_i m_p c^2}{k_B \lambda_0^2} \sigma_\lambda^2$, where $A_i$ is the ion mass number, $m_p$ is the proton mass, $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant, $c$ is the light speed and $\lambda_0$ is the center wavelength of the spectral line. The width of the velocity distribution of the atoms/ions is the result primarily by thermal motions. However, also other motions of the atoms/ions can be induced by plasma waves, turbulence and other microscopic or bulk motions along the line of sight. The kinetic temperature is a quantity measuring all motions of both thermal and non-thermal origin.

Figure 6 shows the kinetic temperature of H i atoms (triangles) and O vi ions (full dots) as a function of heliodistance for pseudo-streamer (red), streamers (blue for 2008 data-set and cyan for 1996 data-set) and coronal hole (green).
The values of neutral hydrogen and O vi ions in the coronal hole are from Antonucci et al. (2000), while the values in streamer on 1996 are from Abbo et al. (2010). It is worth noting for the pseudo-streamer results that, on one hand, the $T_k$ of H i Lyγ values slightly decrease starting at 2.1 $R_\odot$; on the other hand the oxygen ion kinetic temperatures show a rapid increase from 2.1 $R_\odot$.

The broadening of the spectral lines can be a signature of energy deposition in the extended corona, which causes the solar wind acceleration, as suggested by the interpretation of coronal hole observations (e.g. Antonucci et al., 2000), and likely it is what happens also in pseudo-streamers. We have also derived the electron density for pseudo-streamer and bipolar streamer and the results are shown in Figure 7 as a function of heliocentric distance. The electron density values relative to the bipolar streamers are shown as dots for the 1996 and 2008 data set, in color cyan and blue respectively. The results for pseudo-streamer are shown as a red region which includes the values obtained with the anisotropic and isotropic hypothesis of the ion velocity distribution (see previous section) and they are intermediate between those derived for streamers by Gibson et al. (1999; dashed line) and for coronal holes by Guhathakurta et al. (1999; dotted line). The $n_e$ values of bipolar streamer are comparable with those derived by Gibson et al. (1999).

The outflow velocity values found in the pseudo-streamer are in the range 105-200 km s$^{-1}$ from 1.95 to 2.22 $R_\odot$ and they are shown in Figure 6 by the red region which includes the values obtained with the anisotropic and isotropic hypothesis of the ion velocity distribution. These results are compared with those obtained from a bipolar streamer on 1996 at the boundary with coronal hole (light blue dots) and at the cusp (cyan dot). For the bipolar streamer on 2008, we assume a static condition since the analysed structure is located.
Figure 7. Electron density as a function of heliocentric distance relative to pseudo-streamer (red region) and bipolar streamers (blue for 2008 data-set, cyan for 1996 data-set). The dashed line shows the values derived by Gibson et al. (1999) from visible light observations for streamers and the dotted line shows results obtained by Guhathakurta et al. (1999) for coronal holes.

Figure 8. Outflow velocity as a function of heliocentric distance relative to pseudo-streamer (red region), compared to the bipolar streamer at the boundary with coronal hole (light blue dots) and at the cusp (cyan dot). As reference, the outflows of a coronal hole are shown as solid line and the grey bands show the range of outflow velocities for the slow wind obtained with SOHO/LASCO C2 in 1996 from 4 to 8 $R_\odot$ and with STEREO/COR1 from 1.5 to 3.5 $R_\odot$ (see the text for references).
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within the closed magnetic field lines region, inside the helmet streamer cusp. As reference, the outflows of a coronal hole are shown as solid line (derived by UVCS observations; Antonucci et al., 2000) and the grey bands show the range of outflow velocities of 'blobs'/inhomogeneities, tracers for the slow wind, obtained with LASCO C2 in 1996 from 4 to 8 \( R_\odot \) (Sheeley et al., 1997) and with STEREO/COR1 from 1.5 to 3.5 \( R_\odot \) (Jones and Davila, 2009). The results of the analysis show some peculiarities of the pseudo-streamer physical parameters in comparison with those obtained for bipolar streamers. In particular, we have found higher kinetic temperature, higher outflow velocities of O\textit{vi} ions and lower electron density values. In conclusion, pseudo-streamers are coronal structures much studied in recent years, and the subject of debate as to their contribution to the fast or slow solar wind. Empirically based models applied to pseudo-streamers give different results: in particular, according to the boundary layer model of slow wind (interchange reconnection between open and closed field lines at coronal hole boundaries), pseudo-streamers should present a slow flow, while for the expansion factor model (related to the geometrical properties of flux tubes as they expand into the heliosphere) they have a faster flow, even faster than wind originating from coronal holes (Riley et al., 2012). Panasenco and Velli (2013) pointed out that, from a global magnetic configuration reconstructed with PFSS models, the expansion factor of pseudo-streamers does not increase monotonically with heliocentric distance, but depend on the entire 3D magnetic field configuration; hence, these structures can originate fast or slow wind. From our study, we point out that pseudo-streamers produce a "hybrid" type of outflow that is intermediate between slow and fast solar wind, in accordance with the in-situ observations of velocity and elemental composition (Wang et al., 2012), and they are a possible source of slow/fast wind in not dipolar solar magnetic field configuration.
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