Hot Plasma Flows and Oscillations in the Loop-top Region During the 2017 September 10 X8.2 Solar Flare
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Abstract

In this study, we investigate motions in the hot plasma above the flare loops during the 2017 September 10 X8.2 flare event. We examine the region to the south of the main flare arcade, where there is data from the Interface Region Imaging Spectrograph (IRIS) and the Extreme ultraviolet Imaging Spectrometer (EIS) on Hinode. We find that there are initial blueshifts of 20–60 km s−1 observed in this region in the Fe XXI line in IRIS and the Fe XXIV line in EIS, and that the locations of these blueshifts move southward along the arcade over the course of about 10 minutes. The cadence of IRIS allows us to follow the evolution of these flows, and we find that at each location there is an initial blueshift in the Fe XXI line, there are damped oscillations in the Doppler velocity with periods of ~400 s. We conclude that these periods are independent of loop length, ruling out magnetoacoustic standing modes as a possible mechanism. Microwave observations from the Expanded Owens Valley Solar Array (EOVSA) indicate that there are nonthermal emissions in the region where the Doppler shifts are observed, indicating that accelerated particles are present. We suggest that the flows and oscillations are due to motions of the magnetic field that are caused by reconnection outflows disturbing the loop-top region.

Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts: Active solar corona (1988); Solar flares (1496); Solar magnetic reconnection (1504)

Supporting material: animations

1. Introduction

The general consensus is that solar flares are powered by magnetic reconnection. However, the details of the dynamics involved in this reconnection process are not fully understood. As the instrumentation observing solar flares improves in spatial resolution and temporal cadence, a wealth of measurements regarding the dynamics during flares has become available.

In the region where the flare current sheet is thought to be, there have been many observations of inflows (Yokoyama et al. 2001; Narukage & Shibata 2006; Harra et al. 2011; Savage et al. 2012; Chen et al. 2020b) and outflows (Wang et al. 2007; Savage et al. 2010; Harra et al. 2011; Liu et al. 2013; Liu 2013; Savage et al. 2012; Takasao et al. 2012; Tian et al. 2014; Longcope et al. 2018; Hayes et al. 2019; Yu et al. 2020), which are thought to be a direct consequence of the reconnection process. Above the flare loop tops, strong flows of hot plasma in the range of hundreds of kilometers per second have been observed in the direction perpendicular to the presumed location of the flare current sheet (Innes et al. 2003; Imada et al. 2013; Polito et al. 2018b). These flows have been interpreted as deflection flows in the downstream region of a termination shock, and are often accompanied by observations of broad spectroscopic lines (Innes et al. 2003; Imada et al. 2013; Doschek et al. 2014), a possible indication of turbulence.

In addition to steady flows, a variety of turbulent and oscillatory behavior has also been associated with flares. Quasiperiodic pulsations (QPPs) have been observed with a range of periods from a few seconds to a few minutes (see Van Doorsselaere et al. 2016, for a review). Spectroscopic measurements have revealed oscillations in velocity and density that have been interpreted as slow magnetoacoustic waves propagating through flare loops (e.g., Kliem et al. 2002; Wang et al. 2003b; Wang 2011; Kumar et al. 2015; Conde et al. 2020). Supra-arcade fan regions have exhibited large-scale turbulence (McKenzie 2013; Freed & McKenzie 2018), transverse kink waves (Verwichte et al. 2005; Li et al. 2016), and vortex shedding behind newly reconnected loops (Samanta et al. 2019). High-cadence instrumentation such as the Atmospheric Imaging Assembly (AIA) on the Solar Dynamics Observatory (SDO) have enabled the observation of quasiperiodic fast mode magnetoacoustic waves propagating away from flare sites (Liu et al. 2011; Shen & Liu 2012; Shen et al. 2018b). High-resolution spectroscopic observations from IRIS have found evidence for global sausage oscillations (Tian et al. 2016) and kink modes (Li et al. 2017, 2018a) in flare loops as well as oscillations in the velocities at flare ribbons (Brannon et al. 2015; Brosius & Daw 2015).

Many models have been developed in order to understand the dynamics of solar flares, which show the variety of features that can influence the dynamics during a flare. For example, termination shocks, formed where reconnection outflows impinge upon newly reconnected loops, can influence the direction and magnitude of reconnection outflows in the region above the flare loops (Forbes 1986; Forbes & Malherbe 1991;...
Fe XXIII and FeXXIV, which are formed around 10 UT. In this work, we focus on the high temperature lines from the erupting event of 2017 September 10. Many papers have been written about this event, including those studying QPPs observed in the flare (Hayes et al., 2019), dynamics in the plasma sheet region (Cheng et al., 2018; Longcope et al., 2018; French et al., 2019; Yu et al., 2020), microwave emissions from the erupting flux rope (Chen et al., 2020a), flare arcade/loop-top (Gary et al., 2018; Fleishman et al., 2020; Yu et al., 2020), and plasma sheet (Chen et al., 2020b). (E)UV spectroscopic properties of the plasma sheet and supra-arcade fan (Warren et al., 2018; Li et al., 2018b; Polito et al., 2018a; Cai et al., 2019), the eruption of the flux rope (Seaton & Darnel, 2018; Long et al., 2018; Veronig et al., 2018; Yan et al., 2018), and the global EUV wave accompanying the eruption (Liu et al., 2018; Hu et al., 2019). Uniquely, our study is an in-depth investigation of the dynamics in the flare loop-top region of the arcade to the south of the main cusp-shaped flare loops. Section 2 describes the observations that we analyze. The analysis and discussion of the results is presented in Section 3, and the conclusions are given in Section 4.

2. Observations

The well-studied 2017 September 10 flare occurred on the west limb, starting at about 15:50 UT. Coordinated IRIS and Hinode observations were taken as part of IRIS/Hinode Observing Program (IHOP) 244, titled “Joint IRIS/Hinode observations of post-eruption supra-arcade plasma.” IRIS (De Pontieu et al., 2014) observed this eruption south of the main flaring arcade with a roll of 45°. Slit jaw images were taken in the 1330 Å channel with a cadence of 9.3 s. Spectra were taken in an 8 step raster, from left to right, with an exposure time of 8 s and a cadence of about 75 s per raster. The spectral resolution of IRIS in this observation is off-limb, but the spectra at the bottom of the slit at the first slit position contain contributions from the limb, allowing us to use the CI I line from these spectra to remove the orbital contribution to the wavelength calibration.

The EIS spectrometer on Hinode scanned the region using a 8 step raster, from left to right, with an exposure time of 8 s and a cadence of about 75 s per raster. The spectral resolution of IRIS in this observation is 7 Å. The expanded EIS raster steps, resulting in a total observing time of about 8 minutes, so we do not expect the wavelength scale drift due to the orbital period (~98 minutes) to be significant during such a short time. There is a lack of cool reference lines in the EIS spectra that can be used to perform an accurate absolute wavelength calibration, and no quiet regions are located in the field of view, so we estimate the reference wavelength for the Fe XXIV 255 Å line using spectra observed in the loops of the main flaring region located at around Solar-Y ≈±15°. This location is reasonable because the high-temperature lines in the flare loops are usually observed to be at rest during the gradual phase of flares (e.g., Polito et al., 2015). We also compare the wavelength shift in other EIS lines (such as Fe XV and Fe XVI) observed in the same EIS CCD as the Fe XXIV 255 Å line. This method yields some uncertainty (±5–8 km s⁻¹), which we estimate based on the scatter of values we obtain from different lines. These values are reasonable considering the uncertainties typically associated with the EIS wavelength calibration, which are at most ±5 km s⁻¹ (e.g., Young et al., 2012).

The X-Ray Telescope (XRT; Golub et al., 2007) observed the eruption with high cadence (~6 s), full resolution Be-med filter images, interspersed with occasional Al-pol (binned 2 × 2), Al-med/Al-thick, and Be-thick images. Full-resolution XRT images have a resolution of 1.0286 per pixel. XRT observed the early part of the eruption, but does not have images between 16:04:20 UT and 16:18:00 because the flare-finding algorithm caused readout of a region of the CCD that was too far south. For this study, we use Be-med images between 16:03:50 UT and 16:04:20 UT. Images have been calibrated using xrt_prep, which removes vignetting, does the dark calibration, and removes the CCD bias (Kobeliski et al., 2014). We use calibrated effective areas from the calibration presented in Narukage et al. (2014) to generate temperature response functions.

For this observation, AIA images are taken every 12 s in the six Fe dominated EUV channels with alternating long and short exposure times, and images in 1600 Å and 1700 Å are taken every 24 s. The AIA data are calibrated using the aia_prep routine, available in SSW. This routine aligns the channels from the four AIA telescopes, accounts for rotation in the images, and re-factors the images so that they all have the same plate scale of 0.6 per pixel. The Expanded Owens Valley Solar Array (EOVSA) took data of the full solar disk (including this region of interest) from 16:14:30 UT to 01:10 UT of the next day. An overview of the EOVSA observations of this event in different flare phases and initial imaging spectroscopy results are discussed in Gary et al. (2018). More detailed discussions on calibration and imaging strategies are available in Chen et al. (2020a). Briefly, EOVSA obtained data of this event in 2.5–18 GHz with 134 frequency channels spread over 31 equi-spaced spectral windows (SPWs). Each SPW has a bandwidth of 160 MHz. The center
The frequencies of these SPWs are given by \( \nu = 2.92 + n/2 \) GHz, where \( n \) is the SPW number from 0 to 30. Images were made by combining the spectral channels within each of the 31 spectral windows using the CLEAN algorithm. A circular beam with a size of \( 73^\circ.0/\nu_{\text{GHz}} \) is used for restoring the CLEAN images below 14.5 GHz. The nominal FWHM angular resolution is \( 113^\circ.7/\nu_{\text{GHz}} \times 53^\circ.0/\nu_{\text{GHz}} \) at the time of the observation.

A summary of the observations is shown in Figure 1. The main eruption occurred at about 15:50 UT. We will focus on the area to the south of the main eruption and bright cusp-shaped flare loops that are visible at the top of the AIA images in Figure 1. Shortly after the eruption, at 15:55 UT, the EOVSA 2.9 GHz emission extends south from the bright flare loops, as seen in the top panel of Figure 1. At this time, there is some faint emission in the AIA 131 Å channel close to the limb, and some strands of emission in the IRIS 1330 Å channel that look similar to coronal rain. As the eruption progresses (lower panels of Figure 1), faint, diffuse emission is seen extending to the south of the bright flare arcade in the AIA 131 Å and 193 Å channels (and also in the AIA 94 Å and 335 Å channels, which are not shown), and in the IRIS 1330 Å SJI image.

### 2.1. Spectral Parameters from IRIS and EIS

For this observation, the IRIS slit is in the perfect position to document the dynamics of the emission extending to the south of the main bright flare arcade. IRIS was pointed off-limb, and the spectra show that the emission seen in IRIS early in the eruption is largely from the Fe XXI line, which is sensitive to \( \sim 10 \) MK plasma, though there is also some cool coronal rain visible that appears as emission in the C II lines. We fit a Gaussian to the Fe XXI line in the spectra at every raster position in the yellow box shown in Figure 1. The intensities, Doppler velocities, and nonthermal widths in this region are shown in Figure 2 for eight consecutive rasters covering times between 16:05 UT and 16:16 UT.

The plot of the Doppler shifts (middle row in Figure 2) shows that the diffuse emission seen in the IRIS SJI images is largely blueshifted at the bottom of the yellow box shown in Figure 1, and redshifted toward the top. In some locations, there are prominent blueshifts that appear above the redshifted emission. The locations of these blueshifts (indicated by yellow stars in Figure 1) are at or near the top of the diffuse emission seen in the AIA 131 Å and the IRIS SJI images. We speculate that this blueshifted emission is at or above the tops of newly formed, hot loops, and we will therefore refer to these features as “loop-top blueshifts.” We will substantiate this speculation below in Section 3.1.

The nonthermal widths of the Fe XXI line are shown in the bottom row of Figure 2. We note that the pixels at the top have broader nonthermal widths than the rest of the emission, especially for the later rasters. This result is consistent with previous observations that have found that nonthermal widths tend to increase with height in the supra-arcade region (Doschek et al. 2014). We note that an increasing nonthermal width with height was also reported by both Warren et al. (2018) and Li et al. (2018b) for the plasma sheet above the central flare arcade in the same event, which has a nearly edge-on viewing perspective.

The Gaussian parameters from the EIS raster of the region, shown in Figure 3, have similar features. There is only one EIS map for the time period of interest, since it takes EIS almost 10 minutes to scan the region. Figure 3(a) shows the intensity in the EIS Fe XXIV line. Similar to the AIA and IRIS SJI images, a structure is visible in the Fe XXIV intensity extending to the south of the bright flare loops, indicated by the dashed-line boxes in Figure 3(a). The plot of the Doppler shift of the EIS Fe XXIV line (Figure 3(b)) shows that this emission is mostly redshifted, except for one location that shows prominent blueshifts. The location with prominent blueshifts is marked by a black diamond in Figures 3(a)–(c), and a white diamond shows the same position relative to the AIA and IRIS images in Figure 1. We emphasize that, despite the EIS Doppler shifts being affected by a significant uncertainty (as discussed in Section 2), the values measured here and the relative shifts between different regions of the flare arcade are well above this uncertainty, as shown in Figures 3(d) and (e). Similar to the IRIS Fe XXI results, the plot of the nonthermal widths of the EIS line (Figure 3(c)) shows an area of enhanced nonthermal velocity at the top edge of the Fe XXIV emission.

Figure 4 shows the locations of large nonthermal velocities from EIS and IRIS plotted on top of AIA 131 Å images. Early in the eruption at 15:57:46 UT (Figure 4(a)), large nonthermal widths in the IRIS Fe XXI line are seen at the top of the diffuse AIA 131 Å emission that extends southward from the main flare arcade. As the eruption progresses, large nonthermal widths in this line spread throughout the diffuse structure seen in the AIA 131 Å images (Figure 4(b)). After about 16:13 UT, the persistently high nonthermal velocities in the IRIS Fe XXI line remain just above the brightest emission in that part of the 131 Å images, but are not as prevalent closer to the limb (Figure 4(c)). When the EIS slit reaches this region at about 16:17 UT (Figure 4(d)), the Fe XXIV line also exhibits high nonthermal widths just above the brightest 131 Å emission in the southern part of the image.

Because the IRIS rasters take less time than the EIS rasters (\( \sim 75 \) s each) there are repeated scans of the region of interest, allowing us to examine the behavior of the loop-top Doppler shifts as a function of time. Figure 5 shows time series of the Doppler shift for the pixels in each box in Figure 2. We average the Doppler shifts observed in all of these pixels, and fit the resulting curve to a damped oscillator. We find that the periods of these oscillations are all fairly similar, and are between 340 and 470 s. We note that the cadence of the IRIS observations at each location is about 75 s, and thus the oscillations in the Doppler shift could have a shorter period that is not detected due to the sampling frequency at each location. For example, all of the oscillations shown in Figure 5 can also be fit with oscillations with shorter periods of 61–64 s. Interestingly, Hayes et al. (2019) find QPPs in this same event with a period of about 65 s between 15:50 to 16:15 UT, which overlaps with our time period of interest, though the location of these QPPs is interpreted to be in the main flare arcade. Higher cadence spectroscopic observations would be needed to determine if there is indeed a shorter period in the Doppler shift observations.

### 2.2. Ribbon Motion Observed in AIA

This flare occurred right on the limb, and one of the ribbons of the flare arcade is visible as the flare progresses. Figure 6 shows images from the AIA 1700 Å channel, with the locations
Figure 1. Images from the AIA 131 Å (left), AIA 193 Å (center), and IRIS SJI 1330 Å (right) channels during the flare. White contours show the EOVSA 2.9 GHz emission at 50%, 75%, and 90% intensity. The colored boxes marked A–D are the locations of the EOVSA light curves plotted in Figure 7. The yellow box shows the region of IRIS slit coverage shown in Figure 2, and the white box shows the area of the EIS slit coverage. The yellow star shows the location of blueshifted regions observed by IRIS, and the diamond shows the location of the blueshifted region observed by Hinode/EIS.
Figure 2. The intensity (top row), the Doppler velocity (middle row), and the nonthermal velocity (bottom row) for pixels along the slit in eight-step rasters, corresponding to the yellow box shown in Figure 1. The time given in the top row is the time for the first raster step. Color bars for each row are shown to the right. The field of view is the yellow box shown in Figure 1. Boxes show the pixels that are averaged together to get average Doppler shifts in Figure 5.

Figure 3. Panel (a) shows the intensity of the EIS Fe XXIV line, panel (b) shows the Doppler velocity, and panel (c) shows the nonthermal velocity for spectra in the EIS raster that was taken between 16:09 and 16:18 UT. Panels (d) and (e) show Fe XXIV line profiles from the locations marked by the diamond and triangle, respectively.
of the loop-top blueshifts plotted as colored asterisks. From these images and the animation accompanying Figure 6, it is clear that the flare ribbon is spreading to the south at the same time that the loop-top blueshifts are seen moving south in the IRIS field of view. Previous studies of the active region while it was on the disk have found that there was a significant neutral line extending approximately from north to south between two strong patches of magnetic flux (e.g., Hou et al. 2018) along with a pre-existing reverse S-shaped filament (Chen et al. 2020a). This configuration suggests that the intensity increase southward seen in the AIA 1700 Å images is an intensity front moving along the ribbon.
2.3. Loop-top Microwave Source Observed by EOVSA

Figure 7(a) shows contours of microwave emission as a function of frequency observed at 15:57 UT (40% of the maximum at the respective frequency) superimposed on an AIA 131 Å image. Boxes B–D indicate locations where blueshifts are observed in the IRIS Fe XxI line a few minutes later. Box A indicates the location of the flare arcade, for reference. (b) The spectrum of the microwave emission from box C (symbols) and a fit (solid line). (c) Microwave brightness temperature as a function of time for the emission from boxes A–D. The vertical dashed line indicates the timing of the microwave image and spectrum shown in panels (a) and (b).

Figure 7. (a) Contours of EOVSA microwave emission as a function of frequency observed at 15:57 UT (40% of the maximum at the respective frequency) superimposed on an AIA 131 Å image. Boxes B–D indicate locations where blueshifts are observed in the IRIS Fe XxI line a few minutes later. Box A indicates the location of the flare arcade, for reference. (b) The spectrum of the microwave emission from box C (symbols) and a fit (solid line). (c) Microwave brightness temperature as a function of time for the emission from boxes A–D. The vertical dashed line indicates the timing of the microwave image and spectrum shown in panels (a) and (b).

2.3. Loop-top Microwave Source Observed by EOVSA

Figure 7(a) shows contours of microwave emission as a function of frequency at 15:57 UT, plotted over an AIA 131 Å image from the same time. Boxes on the image indicate locations of the main flare arcade (box A) and the locations of the observed loop-top blueshifts (boxes B–D). In Figure 7(c), we show the microwave brightness temperature light curves for several locations indicated in the IRIS image. The peak brightness temperature at 2.9 GHz is very large (>100 MK), indicating the presence of nonthermal emission at these locations (Chen et al. 2020a). An example of a spatially resolved microwave spectrum at 15:57 UT is shown in Figure 7(b) for box C. The spectrum
Figure 8. (a) AIA 131 Å image used in the DEM calculation. (b) XRT Be-med image made from averaging six images between 16:03:50 and 16:04:20. Boxes in the left and middle panels indicate the location of the pixels averaged to calculate the DEM. (c) DEM (solid line) calculated at the indicated location on the images. Dark gray, gray, and light gray boxes on the DEM plot encompass 95%, 80%, and 50% of the Monte Carlo solutions, respectively.

displays a power-law shape with a negative spectral index, which is characteristic of optically thin gyrosynchrotron radiation. Spectral analysis (using the techniques described in Fleishman et al. 2020 and references therein) suggests that the magnetic field strength in this region is on the order of ~100 G. The relatively weak magnetic field (compared to those in the central flaring region in, e.g., Fleishman et al. 2020; Chen et al. 2020b) combined with the soft electron distribution (spectral index $\delta \approx 5.1 \pm 0.7$) are likely responsible for the microwave spectrum peaking at a low frequency (at or below 2.9 GHz). However, EOVSA (or RHESSI) images do not show a loop-top source at the same time and location as the loop-top blueshifts observed with IRIS and EIS. The absence of the loop-top source in the microwave image at this time is probably due to the instrumental dynamic range, which would be a limiting factor in detecting an already diminished source after ~16:01 UT given the presence of the very bright source in the main cusp-shaped loop to the north (see Figure 7(c)).

3. Analysis and Discussion

3.1. Flare Loops and Cooling Times

In Section 2, we speculate that the initial strong blueshifts located in the diffuse emission in the IRIS SJI images are located at or near the tops of newly formed loops. In order to determine if this assumption is a reasonable one, we assume that during the early phase of the flare the cooling is primarily due to conduction, but radiative cooling dominates later in the event, when the flare loops appear in the IRIS SJI images. Thus we estimate the cooling times of possible loops using the formula (e.g., Cargill et al. 1995)

$$\tau_{\text{cool}} = 0.0235 \frac{L^{5/6}}{(T_{\text{fl}})^{5/6}},$$

where $T$ and $n$ are the initial temperature (in K) and density (in cm$^{-3}$), respectively, in the loop, and $L$ is the loop half-length (in cm). To get $L$, we assume that the loops are semicircular, and that the measured height above the limb is the loop radius. We will use two methods to get $T$ and $n$, as described below. Equation (1) holds for evaporative (rather than static) conductive cooling, and assumes that the initial conductive cooling time is much shorter than the initial radiative cooling time. This assumption is reasonable given that the first indication of these loops is in the AIA channels that observe emission hotter than 10 MK, a temperature at which conductive cooling times are short.

In order to estimate the plasma temperature and density, we perform a differential emission measure (DEM) calculation using the routine xrt_dem_iterative2 (Weber et al. 2004; Golub et al. 2004; Cheng et al. 2012) using data from the six Fe-dominated AIA EUV channels and the XRT Be-med filter. This routine has been used previously to determine temperatures and emission measures in the supra-arcade fan region of flares (Hanneman & Reeves 2014; Reeves et al. 2017). The results of the DEM calculation are shown in Figure 8. The signal in the XRT images is low, so we average six images taken between 16:03:50 UT and 16:04:20 UT. We spatially average the signal in the six AIA filters and the time-averaged XRT image in a box centered on the location of the first loop-top blueshift observed with IRIS. The error in the observed intensities is taken as the standard deviation of intensities in the box in each filter. We calculate 1000 Monte Carlo iterations of the DEM using the measured intensities varied by a normally distributed random error. The right panel of Figure 8 shows the DEM, with bars encompassing 50%, 80%, and 95% of the solutions. There is a clear peak at about 16 MK, and the emission measure is $3.3 \times 10^{29}$ cm$^{-5}$. In order to calculate the density, we use the equation

$$n_e \approx \sqrt{\frac{E\text{M}_{\text{fl}}}{0.83 \cdot h}},$$

where $E\text{M}_{\text{fl}}$ is the line-of-sight emission measure calculated by integrating the DEM over $T$, and we assume a fully ionized gas with helium abundance relative to hydrogen equal to 0.1 such that $n_e n_{\text{H}} = 0.83 n_e^2$. The variable $h$ is the depth of the plasma column, which we take to be $10''$.6, following Warren et al. (2018). Using this equation, we obtain a density of $2.3 \times 10^{10}$ cm$^{-3}$. Cai et al. (2019) performed a DEM of a similar time and location, and found much lower values for the temperature and emission measure ($9.65$ MK and $7.99 \times 10^{27}$ cm$^{-5}$, respectively), possibly because they only used the AIA filters, and did not include the XRT, which is sensitive to hotter plasma.

In order to verify our values for $T$ and $n$, we use the ratio of the temperature sensitive (see, e.g., Polito et al. 2018a) EIS Fe XXIII 263.76 Å and Fe XXIV 255.10 Å lines, at the time and location of the loop-top blueshift observed in EIS. The Fe XXIII and Fe XXIV line intensities are converted from data numbers to
physical units using the radiometric calibration of Del Zanna (2013). In the same location and time, we estimate the electron number density by calculating the emission measure of the Fe XXIV plasma that dominates the signal in the AIA 193 Å images, following the method detailed in Polito et al. (2018a). The emission measure can be expressed as the ratio of the intensity in that filter and the filter response function at the $T$ calculated using the EIS temperature diagnostics described above. We assume coronal abundances, and a filling factor of 1. Once the emission measure is known, the density can be obtained using Equation (2). With this method, we find that the temperature is about 14.5 MK, and the density is $2.0 \times 10^{10}$ cm$^{-3}$. These values are similar to the temperatures and densities found in the main plasma sheet above the cusp-shaped loops by Warren et al. (2018) using a similar method, and also agree very well with the values we obtain via the DEM calculation.

Since our values of temperature and density calculated with two different methods (DEM and EIS ratio + AIA 193 Å EM) are similar, we use the values of temperature and density derived from the DEM as reasonable estimates for all the loops, and we use these values to calculate the cooling time, $\tau_c$, for the loops. In the top panels of Figure 9, we show the locations of some of the “loop-top” blueshifts plotted on the IRIS SJI 1330 Å image closest in time to when the blueshift occurred. In the bottom panels, we show the same blueshift locations superimposed on an IRIS SJI 1330 Å image advanced in time by $\tau_c$. In these images, the locations of the blueshifts correspond quite nicely to the tops of the loops that appear in the IRIS 1330 Å SJI images that are due to emission from cool lines such as C II. This result is strong evidence in favor of the hypothesis posed in Section 2.1 that the Doppler shifted emission we observe with IRIS is near the tops of newly formed loops.

3.2. Origins of Loop-top Doppler Shifts

Flows observed at or above the tops of flare loops have previously been interpreted as deflection flows from a termination shock (e.g., Innes et al. 2003; Imada et al. 2013; Polito et al. 2018b) and recent work by Cai et al. (2019) showed that the diffuse emission visible in the IRIS SJI images of this event is a probable location for a termination shock. However, we observe damped oscillations in the Doppler shift, which are not consistent with the idea of these velocities being simple deflection flows. Wang et al. (2003b) find similar damped oscillations in the Doppler shifts with periods of $420–1860$ s in hot flare lines ($T > 6$ MK) from SoHO/SUMER data. They interpret these oscillations as a standing slow mode wave caused by the injection of hot plasma at one footpoint. Some aspects of this interpretation fit our data quite well: the periods are similar, the oscillations are damped, and there are corresponding brightenings at the footpoints seen in the AIA 1700 Å data. There is one aspect of this interpretation that does not fit our observations, however, which is the relationship of the oscillation period to the loop length. The period of the slow standing mode is related to loop length in the following manner:

$$\tau_s = \frac{4L}{jc_j},$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $L$ is the half loop length as before, $c_j$ is the phase speed (which is approximately the sound speed), and $j$ is a mode number that can be taken as 1 or 2, as these modes are the easiest to excite (Roberts et al. 1984; Nakariakov et al. 2004).

Figure 10 shows the period of our oscillation as a function of loop half-length, where the loop half-length is estimated by assuming that the loops are semicircular, and that the measured height above the limb is the loop radius, as before. There is no clear relationship between the loop half-length and the period.
of the oscillation, so the oscillations cannot be due to the standing slow mode.

Another possible explanation for oscillations at the flare loop tops was given by Takasao & Shibata (2016), who found that colliding shock fronts due to reconnection outflows in 2D MHD simulations resulted in oscillations with periods of tens to hundreds of seconds. The oscillations disappear when the shock front becomes horizontal. Similarly, modeling done by Cai et al. (2019) found that plasmoids impacting the loop tops could cause significant transverse oscillations with a period of about 50 s. In both of these models, the oscillations in the velocity are expected to appear near the top or above the increase in density due to the outflows impinging on the loops below. In our observations, the Doppler flows are located near the top of the diffuse supra-arcade emission seen in the IRIS SJI and AIA 131 and 193 Å images (see Figure 1). We note that the oscillations shown in Figure 5 have similar amplitudes, which would require impacting plasmoids to have similar momenta in the scenario suggested by Cai et al. (2019).

A potential scenario explaining our observations based on the modeling of Takasao & Shibata (2016) is shown in Figure 11. First, magnetic fields are reconnected and electrons are accelerated, creating a microwave source at the base of the current sheet with a nearly face-on viewing perspective (as described in detail in Chen et al. 2020a) and causing brightenings at the flare ribbons. As the reconnection outflows impinge on the loops below, they create a horn-shaped magnetic field line that oscillates due to the rebounding reconnection outflow (or “backflow”), creating magnetooacoustic waves. Takasao & Shibata (2016) refer to this structure as a “magnetic tuning fork,” because of its similarity to a musical tuning fork that vibrates and generates sound waves. Oscillations in this region cause the Doppler oscillations that we observe in the IRIS data. Additionally, a dense blob of material is created within the arms of the magnetic tuning fork due to the compression of the reconnection flow. We speculate that if the magnetic structure depicted in Figure 11 were turned to the side and elongated, this dense blob could correspond to the extended diffuse loop-top emission observed to the south of the main arcade in the AIA 131 and 193 Å images and the IRIS SJI images. This orientation and the possible location of the blob is indicated in the lower left panel of Figure 11.

The Takasao & Shibata (2016) model is a good comparison for the observed flare because the physical parameters used in the model are comparable to the observations. The heights of the reconnected loops are observed to be on the order of 30 Mm (see Figure 10), and previous studies of this flare have shown that the reconnection point is on the order of 50 Mm at around 16:20 UT (Yu et al. 2020), which are similar length scales to the model. Additionally, we calculate the reconnection rate for this event using the ratio of the inflow (18–22 km s$^{-1}$) and outflow (350–800 km s$^{-1}$) velocities previously measured for this flare at 16:00–16:10 UT (Figures 2 and 3 in Cheng et al. 2018). This calculation gives an estimate of 0.02–0.06 for the reconnection rate, which encompasses the modeled value of 0.06.

According to Takasao & Shibata (2016), the period of the above the loop oscillations increases as a function of the plasma $\beta$, and the maximum velocity of these motions decreases as a function of $\beta$. In order to determine $\beta$, it is necessary to determine the magnetic field as well as the plasma temperature and density. Using microwave data, Chen et al. (2020b) found that the magnetic field in the loop-top region of the main, brightest part of the flare is about 500 G during the early impulsive phase at 15:54 UT, and Fleishman et al. (2020) found that within a few minutes, the magnetic field had decayed to $\sim$200–300 G. At an even later time in the gradual phase, 16:28 UT, the magnetic field strength in the main flare arcade had decreased further to 50–200 G, according to an examination of the Stokes profiles of the Ca II 8542 Å line from the Swedish Solar Telescope (Kuridze et al. 2019). The EOVSA data shown in Figure 7 indicates that the magnetic field in our region of interest (in the loop top region to the south of the main flare arcade) is on the order of 100 G at 15:57 UT, and given the studies above, the field strength has probably decreased somewhat by the time the Doppler shifts are observed in IRIS after $\sim$16:05 UT. Therefore, we estimate the magnetic field strength at 16:05–16:15 UT to be 50–100 G. Using this magnetic field strength to calculate magnetic pressure and our estimates of the temperature and density in this region to calculate the thermal pressure gives a $\beta$ of 0.2–0.8. We compare our observation with the Takasao & Shibata (2016) model by assuming that the plasma beta in the loop-top region is similar to that in the reconnection inflow region. In Takasao & Shibata (2016), models with values of $\beta$ in the range of 0.2–0.8 exhibit periods of a few hundred seconds (their Figure 8). The maximum velocities for these values of $\beta$ in the model are on the order of 100–200 km s$^{-1}$, which is somewhat faster than the velocities we observe with IRIS. We note, however, that the model in Takasao & Shibata (2016) is two-dimensional, and the averaging effects of integrating over the line of sight could contribute to lowering the observed velocities.

The Takasao & Shibata (2016) model was built to explain quasiperiodic fast-mode (QPF) magnetosonic waves propagating away from the flare site. In this event, there are no obvious funnel-shaped, QPF wave trains off-limb in the immediate neighborhood of the flare as have been observed in previous events (e.g., Liu et al. 2011). However, a study by Liu et al. (2018) does find some possible QPF signals projected on the disk, and captured in time slices originating from the flare (see their Figure 4(b)). These signals have periods of $\sim$4 minutes,
temperature plasma Doppler shift measurements of the IRIS FeXXI line. We X8.2 eruption and identify oscillating plasma motions via IRIS data, so it is possible that the two phenomena are related.
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similar to the periods we observe in the Doppler shift in the IRIS data, so it is possible that the two phenomena are related.

4. Conclusions

We examine the loop-top region in the 2017 September 10 X8.2 eruption and identify oscillating plasma motions via Doppler shift measurements of the IRIS FeXXI line. We find that the oscillations are damped, and their periods are on the order of 400 s. Using two different methods, we find that the temperature and density in the region where these oscillations are observed are around 14–16 MK and \( \sim 2 \times 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-3} \), respectively. We use these values to calculate the loop cooling time, and find that flare loops appear in IRIS SJI 1330 Å images (dominated by the CII line sensitive to transition region temperature plasma) after the cooling time has passed. The locations of the oscillations move southward along the flare arcade, coincident with brightenings of flare ribbons seen in the AIA 1700 Å channel. A few minutes before the oscillations appear in IRIS, microwave data from EOVSA indicates that nonthermal electrons are present in the location where the oscillations are seen.

The oscillation periods do not appear to correlate with loop length, ruling out a slow acoustic mode (e.g., Wang et al. 2003a). Instead, we suggest that these oscillations are the result of loop-top dynamics that occur when the outflow impinges on the reconnected loops below, creating a “magnetic tuning fork” as described in Takasao & Shibata (2016).

These observations illustrate the power of high-cadence spectroscopic observations for understanding the dynamics that occur during solar flares. Future high-throughput instruments such as the EUVST instrument on the Japanese Solar-C mission, or the proposed Multi-Slit Solar Explorer (MUSE) will provide pivotal data for understanding the mechanisms that create these dynamics.
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Figure 11. A cartoon showing a possible scenario to describe the observed oscillations. Drawing (a) shows the early phase of the eruption, when the microwave source is visible, as in Figure 7. Drawing (b) shows the formation of the “magnetic tuning fork” caused by reconnection outflows hitting the closed loops below and causing the Doppler oscillations shown in Figure 5. Drawing (c) shows the relaxed state of the flare arcade, when the oscillations have stopped and the loops have cooled, as in the bottom row of Figure 9. The AIA 131 Å image at the lower left shows the orientation of the reconnecting arcade and the location of the dense “blob”.
