INTRODUCTION

Skin is peppered with landmines, irrelevant bacterial bombs fundamentally lying in accept that the correct second will detonate vivaciously. For whatever timeframe that we are solid, they are typically squashed; an individual who is cleared out, notwithstanding, may have an undermined safe framework unequipped for raising protection. A careful cut might be all the provoking microorganisms need for the fight to begin. Despite the advances made in pre-employable asepsis, clean procedures, and prophylactic anti-toxins, patients exposed to tasks normally need to confront the danger of complexities.
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overwhelming. This has been proved in many cases of frank purulent peritonitis, where the surgical incision healed without any signs of inflammation or suppuration.

Aside from bacterial sullying of the injury, there are numerous variables inside the patient and his condition, both neighbourhood and general, which at last decide the ultimate result.

Surgeons and their associates are taking efforts to prevent wound infection. Despite these efforts infections still develop and although our understanding of asepsis has improved, many infections in clean wounds remain unexplained. Also, the scope of surgery has broadened so greatly that operations are sometimes performed when body resistance is known to be decreased. For example in emergency surgery, in certain other cases as in transplant surgery, such reduction of resistance is inevitable.

The hospital environmental organisms are more often reported to be resistant to most of the antibiotics in routine use. The management of such infection raises multifold problems and so its early identification is of prime importance.

The occurrence of post-usable injury contamination changes from emergency clinic to the medical clinic and fluctuates in various examinations which have been accounted for now and again. The current investigation was thus attempted to consider the issue of post-employable injury contamination concerning different factors straightforwardly or by implication identified with post-usable injury disease and its administration.

METHODS

The study was approved by the institutional ethics committee. A study of 200 patients was done who have undergone operative procedure due to some surgical problem, under the Department of Surgery.

I. Study of Patients

All the cases that have undergone any type of surgery for any surgical cause were selected randomly. There were no specific criteria for age, sex, etc.

A. Preoperative Phase

In the preoperative period, thorough clinical examination was done and diagnosis and preoperative assessment was recorded regarding any factors that could lead to postoperative wound infection such as age, sex, socio-economic status, educational status, occupation, preoperative stay in the hospital, the preoperative focus of infection (local, distant or systemic), built of the patient, nutritional status (average, malnourished or obese) assessed by visual impression, history of diabetes mellitus, tuberculosis, jaundice, malignancy, ischemic heart disease, etc. Personal history of addiction to tobacco, smoking, and mishri use was also recorded.

Biochemical investigations and bacteriological screening using swab cultures were done.

B. Intraoperative Phase

In this phase, indication for operation and nature of operation i.e. elective or emergency were noted. Other factors such as operation theatre used, duration of operation, wound drainage, suction used, number and type of incision, blood loss during surgery, a surgical technique such as excessive handling of tissue, closure under tension, hemostasis, the material used for closure etc. were noted.

C. Postoperative Phase

In postoperative period dressings were opened on 3rd postoperative day for clean cases and 2nd postoperative period for infected cases. Then they were kept open after cleaning daily with spirit except for soakage, in such situation it was opened immediately and the new dressing was applied. Daily examination of the wound was done for assessment of the formation of a hematoma. The occurrence of induration, suppuration, gaping was noted.

II. Study of Environmental Factors

In this part of the study, an attempt was made to find out the source of infection. Because of this, the patient himself, his doctors, nurses, ward attendants, wards, operation theatre, as well as the articles, which he came in contact with, were swabbed and sent for culture.

A. From Patients

Swabs from the incision site, before and after preparation of the skin with Savlon, betadine and spirit were taken.

B. From Wards

Various material present in the wards were subjected to bacteriology. This included floor, walls, cots, blankets, mattresses, bedsheets, ward instruments, dressing trolleys, antiseptic solutions etc. were studied.

C. From Operation Theatre

Samples from the floor, tabletops, instrument trolleys, antiseptic solutions, dressing material, gowns, gloves etc. were obtained.

Statistical analysis- Descriptive statistical analysis was done with the help of SPSS 20 version.
RESULTS

Table 1: Age and Sex Incidence

| Sr. No. | Age in years | Male Total | Male Incidence | Male Incidence % | Female Total | Female Incidence | Female Incidence % | Total Incidence % |
|---------|--------------|------------|----------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| 1.      | 0-9          | 9          | 3              | 33.33%           | 3            | 0                | 0                 | 33.07%            |
| 2.      | 10-19        | 11         | 3              | 27.27%           | 10           | 2                | 20                | 33.07%            |
| 3.      | 20-29        | 22         | 3              | 13.63%           | 10           | 3                | 30                | 33.07%            |
| 4.      | 30-39        | 19         | 1              | 5.26%            | 6            | 2                | 33.33%            | 33.07%            |
| 5.      | 40-49        | 10         | 5              | 50%              | 25           | 6                | 24                | 33.07%            |
| 6.      | 50-59        | 23         | 10             | 43.47%           | 6            | 1                | 16.66%            | 33.07%            |
| 7.      | 60-69        | 20         | 11             | 55%              | 6            | 2                | 33.33%            | 33.07%            |
| 8.      | 70-79 onwards| 13         | 6              | 46.15%           | 7            | 4                | 57.14%            | 33.07%            |
| Total   | 127          | 42         | 73             | 33.07%           | 20           | 27.39%           |                   |                   |

Table 1 reveals that the majority of 46.15% males were in the age group of 70-79 and above followed by 43.47% were from the age group of 50 to 59 years. While in females majority were 57.14% at the age group of were 70-79 followed by 33.33% in 60-69 and 30-39 years of age group. This is the incidence of infection in various age groups in both the sexes. The above table no 1 showed the incidence of infection in various age groups in both the sexes.

Table 2: Nutritional Status

| Sr. No. | Nutritional Status       | Total | Infected | %     |
|---------|--------------------------|-------|----------|-------|
| 1.      | Malnourished              | 46    | 19       | 41.30 |
| 2.      | Well or Averagely nourished | 142  | 40       | 28.16 |
| 3.      | Obese                     | 12    | 3        | 25    |
| Total   |                          | 200   | 62       | 31    |

This table no 2 shows the significance of nutrition in postoperative wound infection. Majority 41.30% were malnourished followed by 28.16% were from averagely nourished.

Haemoglobin

The small increase in the incidence of postoperative wound infection in patients with higher haemoglobin is actually due to dehydration and hemoconcentration masking the existing anaemia.

Preoperative Wound Type- Incidence of postoperative wound infection rises as the degree of contamination of preoperative wound increases.

### Table 3: Preoperative Focus of Infection

| Sr. No. | Focus      | Total | Infected | %     |
|---------|------------|-------|----------|-------|
| 1.      | Nil        | 42    | 2        | 4.76  |
| 2.      | Local      | 133   | 48       | 36.09 |
| 3.      | Distant    | 20    | 9        | 45    |
| 4.      | Systemic   | 5     | 3        | 60    |
| Total   |            | 200   | 62       | 31    |

This table no 3 shows 36.09% had the preoperative focus of infection locally followed by 60% systemic focus of infection increased incidence of postoperative wound infection if there was a preoperative focus of infection.

Table 4: Duration of Surgery

| Sr. No. | Duration in hours | Total | Infected | %     |
|---------|-------------------|-------|----------|-------|
| 1.      | Less than 1       | 85    | 34       | 40    |
| 2.      | 1 to 2            | 94    | 19       | 20.21 |
| 3.      | More than 2 to 3  | 21    | 9        | 42.85 |
| Total   |                    | 200   | 62       | 31    |

Table no 4 reveals that the duration surgery. mostly surgery time more than 2-3 hours were 42.85% followed by 1 to 2 hours for 20.21%. The incidence of postoperative wound infection rises. There is a higher incidence in the first category because of minor abscesses, which had been drained in a short period but still got infected.

This is the incidence of infection according to the grades of infection, which has been already mentioned above.

DISCUSSION

Incidence

The overall incidence of postoperative wound infection in this study is 31%.

Frequency of postoperative injury contamination fluctuates significantly in different examinations and various emergency clinics. In created nations, it was accounted for from as low as 0.83% to as high as 28%\(^3\). The infection rates in US National Nosocomial Infection Surveillance (NNIS) system hospitals were reported to be: clean 2.1%, clean-contaminated 3.3%, contaminated 6.4% and dirty 7.1%\(^4\). In another study, it was reported that SSIs account for 14% to 16% of hospital-acquired infections\(^5\).

1. Age and Sex Incidence

It can be seen that there is an increased incidence of postoperative wound infection in old age. This is probably due to decreased body resistance to infection, increased chances of...
atherosclerosis with less blood supply and oxygenation to the wound.

It has been observed that 70% of wound infections occur above the age of 50 years. It is concluded that the age of the patient plays a significant and direct role in determining the risk of SSI.

2. Nutritional Status
It has been observed that nutrition plays an important role in wound healing and resistance to infection. Increased incidence of infection in obesity has also been noted in several studies. Accordingly, to prevent postoperative wound infection, serum proteins, electrolytes, fluid volume and haemoglobin levels should be corrected. They also have shown the relationship between low levels of plasma transferrin and wound infection along with low plasma prealbumin.

In the present study, we too have results that indicate malnutrition (41.30%) and obesity (50%) have a higher SSI rate than that of averagely nourished patients (28.16%).

3. Hemoglobin
The observation explains the importance of oxygenation in wound healing. Several studies also have proved that anaemia increases the incidence of SSI.

4. Preoperative Focus of Infection
It has been shown that distant trauma increases the susceptibility of wound infection by decreasing the blood supply to the wound. Also, the rate of SSIs is increased by the concurrent presence of remote and asymptomatic infections. They are easily overlooked and can triple the clean wound infection rate.

5. Associated Diseases
The number of patients encountered in this study suffering from diabetes was 15, that with malignancy was 7 and those with tuberculosis was 4. Out of these patients, 12 diabetics, 3 tuberculous and 5 malignant patients got infected. So the results revealed that these factors increase the incidence of postoperative wound infection.

6. Shock
Of these 200 cases, 20 patients were in shock at the time of operation. 16 patients of these developed postoperative wound infection.

It has been proved that local blood supply is essential for local host resistance. Shock induced in experimental animals to the point of impaired skin perfusion showed that the minimum dose of bacteria necessary to initiate infection reduced by 10,000 folds. This explains the high rate of infection in patients having shock.

7. Preoperative Hospitalization
A definite relationship was found between preoperative hospitalization and the occurrence of infection.

It can be seen that the rate of infection is proportional to the duration of preoperative stay in the hospitalization. A drawn-out preoperative remain with an introduction to medical clinic condition and its pervasive demonstrative methods, treatments and microflora has been appeared to expand the pace of SSI.

8. Other Host Factors
Great progress has been made to find out various host defence mechanisms. Role of immunity in prevention of infection and various factors like abnormality of neutrophil function, opsonin defect, abnormal immunoglobulin, etc. has been studied. These complicated laboratory procedures are not routinely clinically applicable.

INTRAOPERATIVE PHASE

1. Operative Technique
In this study of 200 cases, there was some variation in the surgical technique depending upon seniority and experience of the operating surgeon. However, by and large, the technique followed in each unit was identical to the pattern set by the senior surgeon of that unit. The strict aseptic technique right from the scrubbing of the wound till its dressing was followed in the operation theatre.

2. Nature of Operation
High incidence of infection in emergency cases was because-

i. Such cases was relatively unprepared.
ii. They were not clean.
iii. They were usually contaminated.
iv. Patients were in poor general condition.

Overcrowding by junior doctors and staff nurses, the poor general condition of the patient and contaminated wound are responsible for higher infection rate in emergency cases.

In this study, most of the emergency cases were exploratory laparotomies and debridements.

3. Duration of Surgery
As the duration of surgery increases, the incidence of SSI increases. There is a direct relationship between the length of operating time and rate of infection.

4. Wound Type
It has been shown that the SSI rate is least in clean wounds and increases with the degree of contamination. Infection rates in US National Nosocomial Disease Surveillance
(NNIS) framework clinics were accounted for to be-perfect 2.1%, clean-tainted 3.3%, sullied 6.4%, and filthy 7.1%4.

5. Wound Drainage
In our study, we mainly used vacuum drains and corrugated rubber drains. A drain invariably produces some necrosis of tissue with which it comes in contact and decreases the power of resistance of that tissue. But given for necrotic tissue and infection, a drain becomes almost indispensable13.

6. Irrigation
Irrigation was invariably done after the end of operation before the closure of the wound. Irrigation is helpful only when gross contamination or soiling during surgery occurs. This can be explained on the basis that peritoneal irrigation with normal saline removes the debris, foreign material, exudates and reduces the dose of organisms which can be suitably handled by the host immune mechanism and thus reducing the chances of SSI.

On the other hand, unnecessary irrigation can make a localized infection generalized. Thus, the decision should be taken wisely. In our study, most of the irrigated wounds were grossly contaminated and so have a higher incidence of SSI.

7. Suction
Use of suction in clean cases is not required. In our study, it was only used in clean-contaminated, contaminated and dirty wounds, which shows 28.23% of infection rate. It has been shown that contaminated material still spills with suction to other non-infected sites in the operative field and spreads infection.

8. Operative Procedures:
The rate of SSI is higher in minor operations because it included the majority of the contaminated and dirty cases, e.g. abscesses.

The difference in the incidence of infection in various body systems is probably due to various proportions of the following factors inherent to the part of the system or operation.

Analysis of Antibiogram
The observation shows that Netilmycin is the most potent antibiotic. Out of the 62 cases infected, 30 were sensitive to Netilmycin, 25 were sensitive to Cefotaxime, 22 were sensitive to Cephalexin, 16 were sensitive to Bactrim and so on. None of them was resistant to all antibiotics.

Bacteriology of Postoperative Wound Infection
The observations show that Staphylococcus aureus is the commonest organism isolated from the postoperative wound infection followed by E.coli, Klebsiella etc.

Operation Theatre
In this part of our study, we took random samples from several places in the theatre during the span of this study. However, it has been found that very few numbers of positive swab cultures were obtained. The swabs were sent at two months interval and after surgery of an infected case from the operation theatre floor, walls, tables, instruments, instrument trolleys etc.

Operating Team
A potential source of wound infection other than the air of the operation theatre is the operating team itself. They not only contribute bacteria to pollute the operation theatre air but also contaminate the wounds by unnoticed punctured gloves and incomplete masking.

Medical Research Council (1968) pointed out that wearing a single mask for a prolonged operative session might increase the risk of contamination of wound from the outer surface. Several workers have stressed the need for changing mask and thorough scrubbing of hands for every new operation15.

Surgical Wards
In the wards, we have studied the immediate environment of the patient. It is well known that pseudomonas and klebsiella survive for a long time in damp surroundings such as found by us around the sinks16. We may point out here that the blankets in our hospital are not washed as frequently as other linen. This leads to cross-infection if a blanket used for an infected patient is reused for a clean patient without washing it. So far all the instruments, dressing material, catheters, instrument trays, Savlon, etc. were found sterile on repeated culture.

Ward Personnel
It appears that there is a risk of cross-infection from one to another during dressing. This mode of exogenous infection is known since a long time e.g. ‘Typhoid Mary’ who was responsible for typhoid fever in and around New York. However, there is a good deal of controversy regarding the possibility of closed surgical wounds getting contaminated or infected in the wards.

POSTOPERATIVE PHASE

Dressings
Dressings following surgery play a major role in the prevention of wound contamination during the early postoperative period. In this study, we have used plaster-sealing adhesives over sterile gauze. Though there is evidence that a perfectly approximated wound seldom gets infected postoperatively, the dressing does play a role14.
Management of Postoperative Wound Infection

Above observation, the table shows the management of postoperative wound infection in our hospital. In 138 patients, healing occurred with primary intention. In 40 cases, minor stitch abscess or superficial wound was gapping, which healed by the daily dressing. In 22 patients, we did secondary suturing for deep wound gapping and burst abdomen.

Appropriate Polyantimicrobial drug therapy was given in severe postoperative wound infections.

CONCLUSIONS

Infection rate rises with increasing degree of operative contamination; Risk of wound infection in elective and clean surgeries is airborne or endogenous, while in other categories. The rate of postoperative wound infection increases with poor nutritional status, poor hygiene and low socioeconomic status. To reduce the infection rate, nutritional status and hygiene of the patient should be improved, at least in elective surgical procedures.
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