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Abstract: Enzymatic biofuel cells (EBFCs) is one of the branches of fuel cells that can provide high potential for various applications. However, EBFC has challenges in improving the performance power output. Exploring electrode materials is one way to increase enzyme utilization and lead to a high conversion rate so that efficient enzyme loading on the electrode surface can function correctly. This paper briefly presents recent technologies developed to improve bio-catalytic properties, biocompatibility, biodegradability, implantability, and mechanical flexibility in EBFCs. Among the combinations of materials that can be studied and are interesting because of their properties, there are various nanoparticles, carbon-based materials, and conductive polymers; all three have the advantages of chemical stability and enhanced electron transfer. The methods to immobilize enzymes, and support and substrate issues are also covered in this paper. In addition, the EBFC system is also explored and developed as suitable for applications such as self-pumping and microfluidic EBFC.
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1. Introduction

Enzymatic biofuel cells (EBFCs) is one of the branches of biofuel cells, other than microbial fuel cells (MFCs), that constitutes one of the emerging areas of green energy and nanotechnology [1] to reduce carbon dioxide emissions [2]. EBFC is easily applied and provides power [3] in implantable medical devices such as cardiac pacemakers [4], drug delivery [5], implantable artificial organs [6], and wastewater treatment [7]. The EBFC is also used as a biosensor for biomolecules detection, such as toxic pollutants [8] and glucose detection [9]. Detection of toxic pollutants can protect the environment from being exposed to the danger of molecule release at low concentrations [10]. In addition, the use of EBFC in medical devices is seen to bring advantages due to the small size of the EBFC [11], its flexibility, light weight, and easy portability [12], and more importantly, its sufficient usage at low power [13]. Making EBFCs for various uses is simple and low cost compared to other types of fuel cells. Each fuel cell has a lifetime and has its way of disposal. In contrast, EBFC, which has a small size, is accessible to disposal [14]. The EBFC operating process is also easy, rapid to start-up, eco-friendly, has an anti-interference performance [3], and is easy to operate at room temperature and neutral pH [15,16].

Despite having many advantages, EBFC also has its challenges, where there is still room for improvement to increase the potential usage of EBFC. Combining various substances with enzymes carries the challenges of biocatalytic properties, biocompatibility, biodegradability, implantability, and mechanical flexibility in EBFC [17]. The shortcoming in the EBFC system leads to integrated miniaturization issues [18], lower power density, lower operational stability, lower voltage output [19], lower energy density, inadequate durability, instability in long-term application [15,20], and incomplete oxidation of fuel [21].
Various non-toxic chemical fuels are used in EBFC, such as glucose, lactate, urate, alcohol, amines, starch, and fructose [22], and have a specific enzyme to oxide these fuels; for example, the cellobiose dehydrogenase is used to oxide the lactose in the EBFC [23]. However, glucose is widely used because it has the advantages of being abundant, easy to obtain, cheap [24], and involved in various functions [25]. An enzyme is used in EBFC as a catalyst. In the anode, the enzyme commonly oxidizes the glucose into gluconolactone and produces electrons and protons. Glucose oxidase is widely used because it has advantages such as availability [26], high selectivity [27], and high catalytic activity to oxide glucose [25], self-discharge, low mix potential [28], and ease of use in a membrane-less EBFC system. At the same time, the enzyme at the cathode will complete the reduction reaction by using electrons and protons from the anode and then generate electricity. The working principle in EFC at the anode and cathode is given below:

Complete oxidation of glucose:

\[
C_6H_{12}O_6 + 6O_2 \rightarrow 6CO_2 + 6H_2O \tag{1}
\]

Anodic glucose oxidation (GOx):

\[
C_6H_{12}O_6 \xrightarrow{\text{GOx}} C_6H_{10}O_6 + 2e^- + 2H^+ \tag{2}
\]

Cathodic oxygen reduction (laccase):

\[
\frac{1}{2}O_2 + 2H^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow H_2O \tag{3}
\]

Total:

\[
C_6H_{12}O_6 + \frac{1}{2}O_2 \rightarrow C_6H_{10}O_6 + H_2O \tag{4}
\]

2. The Enzyme, Support, and Substrate in EBFC

Enzymes are placed or immobilized on the surface of support materials, and the efficient immobilization of the enzyme is challenging. There are various ways to immobilize the enzyme on the electrode surface, such as encapsulation [3], physical adsorption, entrapment in conduction polymer [29], crosslinking [30], layer-by-layer assembly [31], and covalent attachment [32], in which the enzyme can be reusable, cost-effective, and recyclable [33]. For more understanding, the reader can view the technologies of immobilization from this reference [34]. Figure 1 shows the differences in physical adsorption, covalent attachment, and encapsulation of the enzyme immobilization [35]. The activity and stability of immobilized enzymes depend on the conditions and microenvironment in which enzyme molecules are confined [36]. Not only that, the materials to be used to immobilize the enzyme need to consider the hardness and electrical conductivity of the materials [33]. A novel approach by Sakthivel et al. [37] used the defect and dislocation of nickel-doped MoSe2 nanoplates for enzyme entrapment for both the bioanode and biocathode. In contrast, Shakeel et al. [21] used the interaction between NiMoSe2 nanoplates and a polypyrrole matrix-single walled carbon nanotube to immobilize the biomolecules. The use of 2D NiMoSe2, which has a high defect, can help increase enzyme loading and entrap the enzyme, and CNT and polypyrrole help conductivity on the electrode surface. The excellent performance and reversibility in using this material have produced high-speed kinetics with a value of 15.6 s\(^{-1}\).

A convenient immobilization method recommended for interaction between enzyme and support [30] needs to increase the enzyme's storage, solvent, pH, and thermal stability [3,33,38]. However, some factors have been detected behind enzyme–support interactions that lead to low power and energy density. There is the inadequate and inefficient interaction [16] as well as imperfect [20] and low enzyme loading between enzyme and support that reduces electron transfer [39], leaches out enzyme [26], leads to degradation in long-term operation, causes potential enzyme poisoning when using non-biocompatibility
support materials, reduced lifetime [21], and limited enzyme stability [24,39]. Various materials and methods are used to alleviate this problem that give different chemical, mechanical, and electrical properties [39,40]; for example, Ji et al. [41] reduced the leached out enzyme problem by linking the interaction between hemin and carbon nanotubes through functionalized amine groups to form amide bonds, as shown in Figure 2. Meanwhile, they conjugated the GOx enzyme with two poly (dimethyl-diallyl ammonium chloride), producing a sandwich structure, which maintains GOx loading. The stability of this chemical bonding has kept 82.1% activity for four weeks. Figure 2 also shows that various interaction experiments produce different electrochemical reaction activities. In addition to covalent bonds formed through the amino group, crosslinking agents are also possible through carboxyl (-COOH) groups [42]. Ji et al. [43] produced polyethyleneimine (PEI), in which the redox polymer is used to entrap and bind GOx enzyme with F-N/CNT and PEI, thus making physical interaction through electrostatic attraction. PEI offers advantages in increasing electron transfer because it has a lower glass transition that mobilizes between the polymer structures and has high stability, sensitivity [42], and conductivity [16]. In addition, US et al. [18] minimized enzyme leaching by producing laser-induced graphene with CNTs in microfluidic EBFCs. The high porosity and low cost of this material increase the active site for the enzyme and give 1.37 times higher power density than without CNTs.

Figure 1. Enzymes immobilized on an electrode surface via (a) physical adsorption to a polymer, (b) covalent attachment to a polymer (as shown by the black and white tethers), or (c) encapsulation

Shakeel et al. [1] produced carbon composites by combining MWCNT with Kraton (non-perfluorinated sulfonated penta block copolymer) to form an excellent matrix for enzyme immobilization. Kraton has high ion mobility, film-forming ability, and high proton conductivity that can produce a current as high as 1.14 mA/cm² at a glucose concentration of 60 mM. Kang et al. [39] developed a rectangular carbon tube with a concave surface to immobilize the GOx and laccase (LAC) from rectangular polypyrrole (RPPy). RPPy is carbonized at high temperatures so that the resulting surface structure has defects at a high degree of disorder and low degree of intralayer binding of the amorphous carbon. In addition, Arjun et al. [2] used pyrene carboxylic acid with MWCNT and reduced graphene oxide-ceria for the electrostatic immobilization of enzymes via calcium ions. The calcium ion is produced on the electrode surface by dipping the electrode in a calcium chloride solution for two hours.

The electrode consists of a substrate and support material. The support material is used to support the enzyme and will be on the surface of the substrate. The commonly used substrate is carbon cloth [44], carbon paper [45], indium tin oxide (ITO) [22], glassy carbon electrode [46], and buckypaper [47], because these carbon-based materials provide advantages such as high compatibility, being user-friendly, and being environmentally friendly and made of reusable material [40], through physical adsorption or chemical bonding to form the carbon composites [39]. Substrates are rarely studied in EBFCs, but Shen et al. [48], using graphene paper [49], used the reduction method followed by crosslinking to strengthen the mechanical stability of the material and used it for the anode and cathode in the EBFC, as shown in Figure 3. In the EBFC system developed, authors use pyrroloquinoline-dependent glucose dehydrogenation (PGG-GDH) and bilirubin oxidase (BOx) as the enzyme anode and cathode. Wan et al. [50] used carbon paper to solve the
problem of the low solubility of the gas molecule in the aqueous solution with polytetrafluoroethylene to increase its hydrophobicity. Low power due to low oxygen solubility was increased by producing power of 53.0 µW/cm² at 0.45 V. Rewatkar et al. [51] applied the buckypaper as a bioelectrode for both GOx and laccase at the bioanode and biocathode without a redox co-factor, which offers various advantages, such as highly efficient electron transfer, scalable production, high electrical conductivity, and large specific areas [52], respectively. Niiyama et al. [44] modified the carbon cloth with MgO-template porous carbon to further increase the electrochemically active surface area on the electrode surfaces. Both modified electrodes use an anodic and cathode binder, namely poly(vinylidenedifluoride) and polytetrafluoroethylene. The authors modified the carbon cloth using an MgO template to form mesoporous carbon and were able to control the pore size distribution to immobilize the enzyme.

![Figure 2. CV curves of catalysts using amine-CNT/hemin, PDDA layers and GOx.](image)

The enzyme will attach to the surface of the support material, where the oxidation and decomposition reactions take place to produce current or power. However, it should be noted that enzymes will oxidize and reduce, such as in Equations (2) and (3), and the resulting electrons need to flow to the electrode. There are two ways of electron transfer in EBFC, namely mediated electron transfer (MET) and direct electron transfer (DET) [22]. The redox mediator is used in MET as an electron acceptor for electron transfer. These mediators are encouraged to attach at the electrode [28] to increase electron transfer by covalently attaching to a polymer backbone or directly adsorbing on the electrode surface by weak noncovalent immobilization or physical adsorption by drop-casting; both methods still carry the problem of mediator leaching. Tsuruoka et al. [53] investigate various mediators, namely, polymerized phenothiazines (thionine, methylene green, methylene blue, and toluidine blue). Among the mediators, the poly(methylene green) shows a clear redox-mediating ability with a current density of 3 mA/cm². The current produced depends on the concentration of enzyme and glucose and the polymer loading on the electrode surface. The use of MET was detected to have problems such as toxicity of the mediator, leakage,
producing a low open-circuit voltage (OCV), mediator mobilization [1], being expensive, and instability of the metal ion-based redox [43], even though using MET can produce higher EBFC power than DET [15]. The production of mediators for the interaction between the enzyme and the electrode surface needs to consider the same structure between the mediator and the co-factor and add a polymerizable vinyl group to the mediator if using a mediator-containing polymeric network [54]. Korkut et al. [55] synthesized a mediator-modified working electrode through poly(methyl methacrylate-co-vinyl ferrocene) by free radical polymerization. The mediator-modified electrode showed good electrochemical reaction by the GOx and BOD for the glucose oxidation and oxygen reduction reactions, respectively, by optimizing the cell parameters such as polymer amount, temperature, and cell voltage EBFC.

The DET is when electrons are transferred directly from enzymes to conductive supports on electrodes [46]. The electrode is the direct redox acceptor. However, the barrier leading to low DET is that the redox center found in the enzyme is located deeply in 3D protein matrices [15,28]. Among the ways to increase electron transfer through MET and DET is to use small molecules of the active mediators and develop highly conductive materials with a high active site for enzyme loading, respectively [56]. Herkendell et al. [57] created a double layer of carbon electrode in which the mesoporous carbon nanoparticle and carbon-coated magnetic nanoparticle, referring to the first and second layers of carbon electrode, activated both MET and DET, respectively. The carbon-coated magnetic nanoparticle forms the immobilized enzyme through cascade formation, as shown in Figure 4. Herkendell et al. [58] produced Fe-based nanoparticles (diameter of the nanoparticle was 25 nm) and coated them on the carbon layer to trigger the DET transfer to reduce the leakage issues and prevent the destructive enzyme modification paths. Fe-based nanoparticles

Figure 3. Schematic illustration of (A) the structures of the main building block materials and (B) the assembled enzymatic biofuel cell [48]. Copyright 2019 Royal Society of Chemistry.
with a magnetic field gradient feature help the DET process from enzyme to electrode surface while increasing the lifetime of the EBFC. However, some studies use an additive or adhesive agent to help electron transfer, such as Nafion [33,59], n-type semiconductor polymer [25], and ferritin (Frt) [15].

Electrodes need to have specific characteristics so that the enzyme can be fully utilized. To achieve biocompatibility and biodegradability between enzyme and electrode in EBFC, the electrode used must have low toxicity or chemical inertness and high reproducibility. High surface activity with a large surface-to-volume ratio is required so that the electrode in EBFC can achieve high energy conversion efficiency [35]. The selection of materials for electrodes requires abundance, low cost [20], ease to produce, and scalability, so that the manufacturing and commercialization process becomes easy. The essential criteria for electrode development are a robust adsorption site [14] for enzyme and mediator interaction on the support and support–substrate interaction. The result of a strong interaction between enzyme and support, mediator and support, and support and substrate reduces overpotential, and gives high durability and stability in long-term operation.

The development of suitable and robust interaction on the support material is needed to reduce the problems encountered in the enzyme immobilization process. Good support material with a high surface area and low aggregation can provide many sites for enzyme immobilization. Not only that, the mesoporous support material will help mass transport to the enzyme and increase the electronic double layer. Producing mesostructured support material needs to look at controlling uniform pore structure and pore size because the random size will cause underutilization of the support materials [60]. Development of new support materials needs to explore some of these factors to improve the ability to use supports in EBFC, namely electron transfer rate resulting from enzyme–support/electrode interaction [12], enzyme thickness, enzyme loading [15], enzyme conformation, and interfacial stability of the enzyme–support/electrode [3].

3. Current Development on the Bioanode and Biocathode in EBFC

A strong interaction between support materials and enzymes is needed to strengthen the enzyme’s immobilization and reduce enzyme leaching. A study from Miki et al. [32] showed that the resulting covalent bond of a nitrophenyl group on the surface of a modified graphene support strengthens interaction with enzyme, which helps the DET process between the graphene support and enzyme. Meanwhile, the study from Innamuddin et al. [61] modified the CNT surface by functionalizing it with polyindole and ZnO to form an interaction support with enzyme and ferritin as a mediator. A high current density of 4.9 mA/cm² produced after consuming 50 mM glucose concentration proves an electron transfer.
transfer that applies efficiently. The same group’s research using nickel oxide and silver nanowires showed a higher current density of 5.4 [16] and 19.9 mA/cm² [56]. Investigations that use CNT as support material have been carried out widely in EBFC. For example, Sakamoto et al. [62] investigated pyrene(NHS) and Ni²⁺-NTA as a binder of the enzyme on the CNT surface for the bioanode and biocathode. Kang et al. [39] developed a carbon tube by carbonizing rectangular polypyrrole for both the electrode bioanode and biocathode. Temperature changes cause the rectangular structure to change slightly, as shown in Figure 5. The unique morphology of the carbon tube offers a high power density of 0.350 mW/cm² and 0.265 mW/mg (GOx). An exciting study from Tominaga et al. [14] used MWCNT as a support and cellulose nanofiber sheet as the electrode in EBFC. After combining the bioanode and biocathode, the very thin electrode makes it easier to dispose of but gives a high power, as high as 27 µW/cm².

![Figure 5. Characterization of the RPPy and CPPy before and after being carbonized at 1600 °C. SEM images of (A) RPPy, (B) RPPy1600, (C) CPPy, and (D) CPPy1600; TEM images of (A₁) RPPy, (B₁) RPPy1600, (C₁) CPPy, and (D₁) CPPy1600 [39]. Copyright 2019 Elsevier.](image)

To reduce the leaching and optimize GOx on the electrode surface, Hyun et al. [28] developed the crosslink with chitosan and genipin as support materials, and with nitrobenzoic acid as a new mediator attached to the electrode by chemical bonding. The authors also modified the surface chitosan by distributing the abundant functional evenly on the chitosan surface structure to help covalently bond the GOx and mediator. Analysis showed that the enzyme loading on the electrode surface reached 17.8 mg/mL and produced a current as high as 331 µA/cm² at 0.3 V vs. Ag/AgCl and low onset potential (0.05 V vs. Ag/AgCl). Duong et al. [16] directly modified the carbon cloth (CC) substrate with chitosan, commonly used as a biosensor and in biomedical applications, to support glucose oxidase enzyme. The additive assists electron transfer at the electrode, and the modification of the chitosan over the CC requires appropriate additive testing. In this study, sodium tripolyphosphate (TPP) and Nafion were selected as additives, but the results show good electron transfer using TPP. The biocompatibility of the genipin used in EBFCs is because it has lower cytotoxicity and is highly efficient to crosslink between chitosan, glucose oxidase, and the amine-containing osmium redox complex developed by Conghaile et al. [30]. The authors also increased the current density by adding multi-walled carbon nanotubes on the electrode as high as 4.9 mA/cm², higher than the previous, which produced only 440 µA/cm².

The Duong research group [63] further investigated the electrode’s scaffold structure by determining the microstructure, electrochemical property, and enzyme loading. The interplay of the electrodes consists of chitosan, Nafion, and/or tripolyphosphate. The electrode comprising all chitosan, Nafion, and tripolyphosphate produced a high power of 1.077 mW/cm², compared with the electrode containing chitosan/tripolyphosphate and chitosan/Nafion. Another study used chitosan as the composite electrode, synthesized by
Sufiaul Haque et al. [64] to increase porosity and surface area for highly optimized enzyme loading. The condition of glucose at 20 mM produced a current density of 3.5 mA/cm² using the combination of chitosan/reduced graphene oxide/polyaniline as the electrode. Lv et al. [65] developed the carboxyl-functionalized mesoporous carbon electrode and used Nafion as an electron transfer medium. The carboxyl-functionalized mesoporous carbon electrode is optimized using oxidation time, concentration, and temperature as the main factors to increase enzyme activity and enzyme immobilization up to 140.72 and 242.74%, respectively. There are various electrodes with a high density of the hydroxyl and amino groups in chitosan, which have the advantage of biocompatibility suitable for use in EBFC, and Kim et al. [66] combined them with cobalt metal and graphene oxide as a composite electrode to immobilize the enzyme. The authors claimed that improved electrochemical performance in EBFC affects rheological and morphological properties due to the acidity pH of the chitosan.

Shakeel et al. [15] produced electrode material without binder or adhesive using a combination of reduced graphene oxide (rGO), functionalized magnetic nanoparticles (f-Fe₃O₄), and polyaniline matrix. Biocompatible polymers with high conductivity, porosity, and surface area, together with the rGO and f-Fe₃O₄ tested with appropriate enzyme loading, have increased electron transfer. The good electrical conductivity in graphene leads to the production of 3D graphene as a support for glucose oxidase with an extended enzyme lifetime and reduced leaching, developed by Babadi et al. [26]. The leaching is prevented by entrapping the enzyme at the 3D graphene surface and thus produces power at 164 µW/cm². Three-dimensional graphene-related studies were also conducted by Werchmeister et al. [59] together with polyethylenimine (PEI) and ferrocene carboxylic acid (FcCOOH) using the drop-casting method. This study also tested the effect of Nafion solution on 3D graphene/PEI/FcCOOH on the carbon paper surface. The catalytic activity reduced by about 20% in the stability test, and the electrode can be stored for a week at a temperature of 4 °C. Butsyk et al. [67] synthesized the doped nitrogen and sulfur on carbon nano-onion as an enzyme support, in which the carbon nano-onion has properties of the graphene layer. The nitrogen and doped sulfur change the carbon-nano-onion capacitance characteristics and are suitable for the H₂O₂ sensor in EBFC.

Reduced graphene oxide was dispersed on the 3D carbon paper electrode as a biocathode to minimize the aggregation problem of π-π stacking in reduced graphene oxide (rGO) by Tang et al. [68]. This r-GO/3D carbon paper combination provides enzyme orientation and confinement control to increase direct electron transfer efficiency with modification at the rGO surface through linker molecule 4-aminobenzoic acid (4-ABA). The bilirubin oxidase enzyme used in this study increased the half-life by 5 hours. Kuroishi et al. [69] used a modified electrode using the graphene-coated carbon fiber to help solve insufficient oxygen dissolved in the fuel liquid. Using graphene-coated carbon fiber with high porosity and surface area allowed the permeability of the oxygen gas to reach the electrode surface.

As stated earlier, the mediator is encouraged to be directly adsorbed on the electrode surface [28]. Shen et al. [70] took the initiative to produce the immobilized mediator redox protein cytochrome c (Cyt c) and used it on both anode and cathode. In addition, this material creates supercapacitor features that are for charge storage. The results show that the performance of EBFC was maintained at 80% when used as a 50 charge/discharge pulse. Kizling et al. [71] also developed an enzymatic biosupercapacitor using gold nanoparticle-based paper and nanostructured polypyrrole/nanocellulose as electrode materials with specific characteristics capacitance of 1.8 F/cm². The supercapacitance gives one order magnitude of the current and power densities compared in the steady-state mode. A study by Niiyama et al. [44] modified the poly binder (vinylidene difluoride) and polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) on the MgO-templated carbon at the anode and cathode of the EBFC, respectively. The enzyme used will be supported by MgO/porous carbon. PTFE will control the hydrophobicity of the cathode so that the mass transport of oxygen gas becomes easy.
Gold (Au) electrode is commonly used in the EBFC cathode for oxygen reduction reactions (ORR). Wang et al. [20] produced self-powered sensors using EBFC to detect atrazine. To achieve use of the EBFC as a sensor, the authors used aptamers (Apt) supported on the Au electrode on the cathode section. ATZ was present at the cathode chamber and then bound at the Apt/Au electrode. The Apt/Au electrode has high sensitivity because it can detect ATZ as low as 7.5 mM due to the Au electrode helping to increase the electron transferability. Although a Pt metal catalyst showed good catalysis in ORR, Ji et al. [72] developed an iron and cobalt co-doped ordered mesoporous porphyrinic carbon (FeCo-OMPC) biocathode for cheaper ORR and achieved long-term stability. ORR activity increased with cobalt metal in the biocathode with a limited power density of 21.3 μW/cm² compared to without cobalt metal (9.6 μW/cm²). Mazar et al. [73] used the combination of gold nanoparticle-reduced graphene oxide and a poly neutral red polymer composite electrode to interact with both enzymes at the bioanode and biocathode. Combining the gold nanoparticle-reduced graphene oxide increases the stability, selectivity, and high chemical structure by interacting with the poly neutral red polymer that enhances the electron transfer and thus operates the EBFC at 0.2 V and power of 3.6 μW/cm². Kwon et al. [74] focused on cathode studies to improve EBFC performance by using tris-(2-aminoethyl) amine (TREN) as a linker for the connection between Au nanoparticles and the surface of CNT fibers. TREN is used to reduce electron transfer resistance because TREN is a short molecule compared to other linkers/binders. These layer-by-layer Au nanoparticles produce power as high as 1.2 mW/cm².

The arrangement of the enzyme on the electrode surface plays a vital role so that the utilization of the enzyme can be maximized and provide excellent contact between the enzyme and the electrode surface. The work of Kizling et al. [75] resulted in cascade enzyme arrangement at the anode of the EBFC. In this study, various enzymes were used, namely mutarotase, invertase, fructose dehydrogenase, and flavine adenine dinucleotide (FAD)-dependent glucose dehydrogenase in addition to using single or mixed substrates such as glucose, fructose, and sucrose. The comparison of other studies in this study shows that the resulting bioanode can produce a current as high as 2230 μA/cm² and maintain power generation for eight days before falling by 38%. Enzyme arrangement using nanoparticles that have a high surface area to volume ratio for enzyme loading [16] leads to a reduction in the distance of electron transport [75] and thus enhances the performance and stability of the EBFC [33].

EBFC can be applied as self-powered sensors to detect environmental pollutants. Pollutants such as atrazine are very harmful to humans and the environment, even at low ppb levels. Therefore, application of the EBFC as a biosensor electrode should have the ability to detect and quantify different analytes, good anti-interference performance [3], and lead to more efficient energy generation [42]. The diagram in Figure 6 shows the working schematic of the self-powered sensors using EBFC. Applications using EBFC as a biosensor need to develop electrodes using specific materials that can detect target molecules. Yu et al. [76] used EBFC for the detection of pathogenic bacteria. As shown in Figure 6, the aptamer is added in the developed system, and attached at the electrode surface, which is used to catch bacterial pathogens. Conventionally, in the absence of pathogens, glucose will be oxidized and generate electricity. The presence of pathogens will be trapped in the aptamer and will prevent glucose from oxidizing.
ppb levels. Therefore, application of the EBF C as a biosensor electrode should have the ability to detect and quantify different analytes, good anti-interference performance [3], and lead to more efficient energy generation [42]. The diagram in Figure 6 shows the working schematic of the self-powered sensors using EBFC. Applications using EBFC as a biosensor need to develop electrodes using specific materials that can detect target molecules. Yu et al. [76] used EBFC for the detection of pathogenic bacteria. As shown in Figure 6, the aptamer is added in the developed system, and attached at the electrode surface, which is used to catch bacterial pathogens. Conventionally, in the absence of pathogens, glucose will be oxidized and generate electricity. The presence of pathogens will be trapped in the aptamer and will prevent glucose from oxidizing.

Figure 6. Schematic illustration of EBFCs-based self-powered biosensor with the visual self-checking function for Vp determination. (A) GDH would oxidize glucose to generate electrons, which could reduce blue PB to white PW; (B) when connected with the biocathode electrode, the PW electrode would be oxidized to the original PB state and (C) the color conversion between PB and PW realized the self-checking function via visual detection [76]. Copyright 2020 Elsevier.

Immobilization of laccase enzyme (LAC) using the encapsulation technique through the highly porous and large surface area of the metal–organic frameworks (zeolitic imidazolate framework-8, ZIP-8) for both the anode and cathode was carried out by Li et al. [3]. To increase biocompatibility in the EBFC electrode, LAC-ZIP-8 is supported on a combination of bacterial cellulose and CNTs. The resulting EBFC system can detect bisphenol A (BPA) linearly by increasing the concentration of BPA due to the good anti-interference performance improvement of the enzyme’s thermal, organic solvent, and pH stability using the ZIP-8. Adachi et al. [60] introduced the platinum nanoparticle (Pt) in the enzyme–Au electrodes interaction to achieve the DET process. The enzyme used commonly requires a mediator, flavin adenine dinucleotide-dependent glucose dehydrogenase (FAD-GDH). The Pt nanoparticle synthesized is located close to the enzyme, but the current difference produced without Pt is 1 mA/cm². Wang et al. [12] introduced the SnS₂ nanoflower/Au nanoparticles as a support material for thrombin detection. The presence of SnS₂ nanoflower/Au nanoparticles has shown that the resulting electrode has capacitor characteristics and produces very high sensitivity detection (18.4 times) compared to a standard electrode. All developed electrode materials are summarized in Table 1.
Table 1. Summarized development of the electrode materials in EBFC.

| Enzyme (GOx); glucose dehydrogenase (GDH); favin adenine dinucleotide (FAD)-dependent, and laccase (LAC) | Electrode Materials | Electrode Size | Storage Conditions/Lifetime/Stability | Working Conditions/Response Time/Measurement Range | Performance | Ref |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Glucose oxidase (GOx) | Kraton/MWCNTs; mediator = ferritin (Frt); glassy carbon electrode (GCE) | 3 mm diameter | - | 1M PBS (pH 7.0) solution at an ambient temperature at a scan rate of 100 mV/s | Current density = 1.14 mA/cm² at 60 mM | [1] |
| Glucose oxidase (GOx) | Multi-walled carbon nanotube-pyrene carboxylic acid (MWCNTePCA) nanocomposite; carbon cloth (CC) | 4 cm² | - | PBS solution (pH 7.4) (N₂ saturated) containing 500 mM glucose | OCP = 140 mV, peak power density = 6.25 µW/cm² at 60 µA/cm² | [2] |
| Glucose oxidase (GOx) and Laccase (LAC) | Zeolitic imidazolate framework-8 (ZIF-8), bacterial cellulose (BC)/carboxylated multi-walled carbon nanotubes (c-MWCNTs) | - | After being stored at 4 °C for 20 days, the residual activity of free LAC retained was only 38%, while the ZIF-8-LAC retained fairly residual activity at 53% | Linear dynamic range from 0.01 to 0.4 mM with a lower detection limit of 1.95 × 10⁻³ mM for BPA concentrations | Maximal power density of 3.68 W/m³ | [3] |
| Glucose oxidase (GOx); glucose dehydrogenase (GDH); favin adenine dinucleotide (FAD)-dependent, and laccase (LAC) | Mediator (PAA-PVI-[Os(dmobpy)2Cl]⁺/²⁺), and PEGDGE (4:4:1 v/v%), BOD (10 U/mL in PBS), PAA-PVI-[Os(dCl-bpy)2Cl]⁺/²⁺ (0.5 mg/mL in DW), and PEGDGE (10.0 mg/mL in DW) in a 4:4:1 volume ratio (v/v%) | - | 20% of the power density remained after 24 h incubation in 25 mM glucose (in 1X PBS) compared to the initial power density | −0.4 to 0.8 V scan range and 0.01 V/s scan rate at 25 °C; increases in cell viability (~150%) and cell migration (~90%) with a relatively low inflammatory response | Power densities of 15.26 to 38.33 nW/cm² depending on the enzyme concentration in media supplemented with 25 mM glucose; extreme cytotoxicity (~10%) due to the lethal concentration of H₂O₂ byproducts (~1500 µM) | [6] |
| Laccase (LAC) | Carbon nanotubes (CNTs), bacterial cellulose (BC), amidoxime-modified BC, carboxylated multi-walled CNTs | - | The residual activities of A0BC/c-MWCNTs-LAC and AOBC-LAC/c-MWCNTs remained at 44% and 61% of the initial catalytic activity after 10 reuse times, respectively | Anode and cathode were separated by a proton exchange membrane of Nafion (5 wt%), buffer solution (pH 4.5) acted as the electrolyte in cathode chamber | OCV = 0.14 V, power density at 1.897 W/cm³ | [7] |
| Glucose oxidase (GOx) | Metallic cotton fibers, gold nanoparticles | Diameter 200 µm, length 5.0-mm, active external surface area 3.14 mm² | Scan rate of 5 mV/s in a phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) solution | Power density = 3.7 mW/cm² | | [9] |
| Enzyme                              | Electrode Materials                                                                 | Electrode Size   | Storage Conditions/Lifetime/Stability                                                                 | Working Conditions/Response Time/Measurement Range | Performance                                                                 | Ref |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Oxalate decarboxylase (ethanol as fuel) | Pyrene-TEMPO (2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidinyl-N-oxyl), carboxylated multi-walled carbon nanotube, carbon paper | 1 cm²            | A stable amperometric curve and an excellent current density value over a duration of 10 h; after 30 days of storage the electrode showed 14% loss in power density | Able to oxidize ethanol to CO₂ after 10 h of electrolysis | OCP = 598 mV, power density = 388 W/cm²                                            | [10]|
| Glucose oxidase (GOx)              | SnS₂ nanoflowers/Au nanoparticles, DNA–carbon nanotubes bioconjugate, aptamer       | CP (0.5 cm × 0.5 cm) | Continuous operation for 2000 s, EOCV remained about 98%, demonstrating the self-powered biosensor has a good stability | Sensitivity of 42.4 µA/(ng/mL) can be discharged with an increase of 18.4 times that of pure EBFCs; exhibited a wide linear range (0.02–5 ng/mL) and a low detection limit (7.90 pg/mL) | -                                                                             | [11]|
| Glucose oxidase (GOx) and bilirubin oxidase (BOD) | Nitrogen-doped ultra-thin carbon shell/gold nanoparticles | Carbon paper (CP) electrode (1 cm × 1 cm) | The EOCV kept almost unchanged after 5-days save, and it still remained at 98.63% after two weeks, suggesting good stability | A wide linear range of 0.1–2000 ng/mL with a low detection limit of 21.5 pg/mL (S/N = 3) | -                                                                             | [12]|
| Flavin adenine dinucleotide-dependent glucose dehydrogenase | Cellulose nanofiber, multi-walled carbon nanotubes, | 10 × 5 mm²       | -                                                                                                           | 30 mmol dm⁻³ glucose at a potential sweep rate of 10 mV/s. Temperature range: 15–18 °C | The maximum voltage and maximum current density of the biofuel cell were 434 mV and 176 mA/cm², respectively, at room temperature (15–18 °C). The maximum power output was 27 mW/cm² | [14]|
| Glucose oxidase (GOx)              | Reduced graphene oxide (rGO) and functionalized magnetic nanoparticles (f-Fe₃O₄ NPs) in polyaniline matrix | 3 mm diameter glassy carbon electrode | The lifetime of the rGO/PANI/f-Fe₃O₄/Frt/GOx bioelectrode when stored at 4 °C was estimated to be 45 days | 0.3 M [K₄Fe(CN)₆] as supporting electrolyte at ambient conditions | Maximum current density of 32.9 mA/cm² at the optimum glucose concentration of 50 mM | [15]|
| Glucose oxidase (GOx)              | Polythiophene®NiO/Frt/GOx, nano-inspired nickel oxide nanoparticles (NiO) and polythiophene (Pth), mediator ferritin | Glassy carbon electrode (GCE) | Electrode was kept in the refrigerator at 4 °C prior to use | 40 mM glucose dissolved in PBS of pH 7.0 | The current density of Pth®NiO/Frt/GOx bioanode was found to be 5.4 mA/cm² | [16]|
### Table 1. Cont.

| Enzyme                        | Electrode Materials                                                                 | Electrode Size               | Storage Conditions/Lifetime/Stability                                                                 | Working Conditions/Response Time/Measurement Range                          | Performance                                                                                     | Ref   |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|
| Glucose oxidase (GOx)         | Chitosan-modified carbon cloth via tripolyphosphate                                   | CCs (8.2 × 8.2 cm²)          | The cell right after each testing was stored in a refrigerator at −4 °C, as suggested by the enzyme manufacturer, to prevent the degradation of GOx from ambient temperature | Phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.4) with and without 0.1 M C₆H₁₂O₆ at 100 mV/s | 53% improvement in area power density; efficient area and volume power density of 0.549 mW/cm² and 114.52 mW/cm³ | [17]  |
| Glucose dehydrogenase (GDH)  | Cathode = aptamers (Apt) and Au, anode = carboxylated multi-walled carbon nanotubes | Anode = glassy carbon electrode (GCE); cathode = Au electrode (d = 3 mm) | Stored at 4 °C; the relative power output ratio and the relative ratio (R)—R% values in the presence of these pollutants were all less than 10%; the EOCV was maintained at over 95% after 9 h of continuous operation | ATZ detection limit 7.5 nM                                                                                                                   | The self-powered Pmax reached 15.3 µW/cm²                                                 | [20]  |
| Glucose oxidase (GOx)         | Defective NiMoSe2 nanoplates, functionalized SWCNTs doped polypyrrole,                | GCE 0.07 cm²                 |                                                                                                       | PBS (pH 7.0) as supporting electrolyte at ambient conditions; 50 mM glucose concentration | Open circuit potential (OCV) of 0.35 V and delivered the maximum current density of 9.01 mA/cm² in 50 mM glucose concentration | [21]  |
| Glucose oxidase (GOx)         | SWCNTs, gold nanoparticles                                                           | Indium tin oxide (ITO) electrodes 1.25 cm × 2.75 cm | Cell voltage was maintained at 0.54 V under 66.7 µA/cm² of discharge current density for 48 h | PBS (pH 7.0) supplemented with 30 mM of glucose at scan rate of 10 mV/s                                                                  | Maximum power density of 38.2 ± 2.0 µW/cm² at 0.57 ± 0.03 V of a cell voltage | [22]  |
| Glucose oxidase (GOx)         | Three-dimensional graphene                                                          | GCE, diameter 3 mm           |                                                                                                       | Scan rate 50 mV/s and the solution PBS                                                                                                     | Power density of 164 mW/cm² at 0.4 V                                                                                  | [26]  |
| Oxalate oxidase (ethanol as fuel) | TEMPO-modified linear poly(ethylenimine) (LPEI), carboxylated multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNT-COOH) | GC electrode                 |                                                                                                       | 50 mM citric acid-phosphate buffers, pH = 5.5, ν = 10 mV/s and 25 °C                                                                      | -                                                                                                                   | [27]  |
| Glucose oxidase (GOx)         | Cross-linking of chitosan and genipin, 4-nitrobenzoic acid mediator, carbon nanotube | -                             |                                                                                                       |                                                                                                                                             | Anodic current (331 µA/cm² at 0.3 V vs. Ag/AgCl) with a low onset potential (0.05 V vs. Ag/AgCl); open-circuit voltage of 0.54 V and a maximum power density of 38 µW/cm² | [28]  |
| Enzyme | Electrode Materials | Electrode Size | Storage Conditions/Lifetime/Stability | Working Conditions/Response Time/Measurement Range | Performance | Ref |
|--------|---------------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|-------------|-----|
| Glucose oxidase (GOx) | Fe$_3$(CN)$_6$-polypyrrole, CNB | Carbon paper 1 cm$^2$ | Stored at 4 °C | The measurements were performed at a working temperature of 37 °C with phosphate-buffered solution of pH 7 as an electrolyte, and 10 mM glucose was added to the anode as a fuel | Continuous 16 h, the maximum power density achieved for a hydrophobic electrode was approximately 80 µW/cm$^2$ at 0.13 V | [29] |
| Glucose oxidase (GOx) | Amine-containing osmium redox complexes, genipin to crosslink chitosan, Functionalised MWCNTs | - | Genipin cross-linked hydrogels delivered a 3-fold increase in stability for continuous amperometric current production over a 20 h period; 13% activity retained after 20 h; very low GOs retention (16% retained after 20 h) | 50 mM phosphate-buffered saline (150 mM NaCl, pH 7.4, 37 °C) containing 100 mM glucose | Glucose oxidation current densities of 730 µA/cm$^2$ at an applied potential of 0.45 V (vs. Ag/AgCl) | [30] |
| Alcohol deshydrogenase (ADH) | Tetrabutylammonium bromide and Nafion and subsequently immobilized on TiO$_2$ nanotubes (TN1), NAD$^+$ | 2 × 0.3 cm titanium dioxide nanotube plate | Optimal conditions for preserving 70% of the enzymatic activity | The assays were carried out at 25 °C, using 15 min of reaction time; pH 8.86 and 35 °C | Open circuit potential greater than 0.9 V; 5.93 mW/cm$^2$ of power density operating at 1.0 V | [33] |
| Glucose oxidase (GOx); laccase | Transition-metal-doped molybdenum diselenides (NiMoSe$_2$); | Nickel foam 1 × 1 cm$^2$ | The oxidation current response was recorded before and after 24 h electrode storage in electrolyte solution; cell kept 89.5% of its initial performance after 3 days | 1 mg of enzyme/1 mL of phosphate buffer, pH 5 | | [37] |
| Two dehydrogenases and a diaphorase | - | 1 cm$^2$ carbon felt | The Tm6PGDHmutant 3-3 exhibited a 42-fold increase in catalytic efficiency at pH 5.4 compared to the original enzyme. | Anodic pH of 5.4 | Maximum power density of 0.13 mW/cm$^2$ at pH 5.4 | [38] |
Table 1. Cont.

| Enzyme                                         | Electrode Materials                        | Electrode Size                                | Storage Conditions/ Lifetime/Stability                                                                 | Working Conditions/Response Time/Measurement Range                                                                 | Performance                                                                 | Ref |
|------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Glucose oxidase (GOx)- or laccase (Lac)-modified | Rectangular carbon tube polypyrrole (RPPy) | Glassy carbon electrode ($\varphi$ 3 mm); 0.5 × 0.5 cm² nickel foam; 2 × 2 cm² nickel foam | Stored at 4 °C; after 14 days, the power density was still 82.02%                                         | Anodic compartment was 50 mL Ar-saturated 0.1 M SDA (pH 5.0) with 0.05 M glucose; the cathodic compartment was filled with 50 mL 0.1M B-R buffer (pH 5.0) with 0.5 mM ABTS and continuously bubbled with oxygen; discharge time reached 49.9 h at a discharge current of 0.2 mA before the voltage was lower than 0.8 V | Open-circuit voltage reached 1.16 V; power density was measured to 0.350 mW/cm², which correlated to the gravimetric power density of 0.265 mW/mg (per mg of GOx) at 0.85 V | [39]|
| GOx for bioanode and laccase for biocathode    | 3D-printed carbon bioelectrodes             | 20 mm × 2 mm × 1 mm (length × width × height) | Stored at 4 °C; CB bioanode exhibited almost 65.5% of current response of its first day whereas AM bioanode showed about 50% of performance of its first day | Enzyme solutions of glucose oxidase (5 mg/mL, pH 7) and laccase (5 mg/mL, pH 5); bioelectrodes were dried in atmospheric conditions for 2 h and preserved in PBS at 4 °C until use; the electrolyte solutions consisted of mediators such as PBQ (1 mM, pH 7) and ABTS (1 mM, pH 5) in anolyte and catholyte, respectively. | CB bioelectrodes gave a power density of 0.1 µW/cm² with a current density of 3 µA/cm² at an open circuit potential of 105 mV | [40]|
| Glucose oxidase (GOx)                          | Hemin bonded with amine-functionalized carbon nanotube; poly(dimethyl-diallylammonium chloride) | GCE, diameter of 5 mm                          | Activity preserved 82.1% after four weeks                                                               | 0.01 M PBS (pH 7.4) was used as electrolyte and potential scan rate was 20 mV/s at N₂ state condition             | Membraneless EBFC adopting this catalyst is measured, maximum power density is 24.1 mW/cm² | [41]|
| Glucose oxidase (GOx)                          | Dimethylferrocene-modified linear poly(ethyleneimine); either glutaraldehyde (GA) or ethylene glycol diglycidyl ether (EGDGE) | -                                             | Stored at 2-8 °C for 24 h; 48% of the initial OCP value is retained after 21 days of storage; 60% of the initial current density was lost in that period of time | Solutions of b-D-glucose in 0.1 M pH 7.4 PB were prepared at concentrations ranging from 0 to 10 mM in 2 mM increments; applying potentials from 0.3 to | OCP of around 0.82 V and a maximum current and power of about 440 mA/cm² and 86 mW/cm² | [42]|
Table 1. Cont.

| Enzyme | Electrode Materials | Electrode Size | Storage Conditions/Lifetime/Stability | Working Conditions/Response Time/Measurement Range | Performance | Ref |
|--------|---------------------|----------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------|-----|
| Glucose oxidase (GOx) | Iron–nitrogen doped carbon nanotube (Fe–N/CNT); polyethylenimine (PEI) | - | Preserving 81.2% of its initial value even after four weeks | 0.01 M phosphate-buffered solution (PBS, pH 7.4); 0.03 M glucose solution (air purge) was circulated from an external bottle to the EBFC kit at a flow rate of 0.1 mL/min, while within the cathode of the membrane EBFC, 0.01 M of pH-adjusted PBS on the injection of 8 mM glucose solution; constant and maximum current density were 139.4 mM and 347.1 µA/cm² | Onset potential and current density (0.17 V and 74.3 µA/cm²) with the injection of 8 mM glucose solution; constant and maximum current density were 139.4 mM and 347.1 µA/cm² | [43] |
| Flavin adenine dinucleotide-dependent glucose dehydrogenase | Carbon cloth modified with MgO-templated porous carbon; 1,4-naphthoquinone | 1.0 or 4.0 cm² | FAD-GDH exhibited 30% of the initial activity | mV s⁻¹ in 1.0 M phosphate-buffered pH 7.0; 1.0 M glucose | Open circuit potential was 0.75 V and maximum output power density was 2 mW/cm² at 0.4 V | [44] |
| Sulfite oxidase (sulfite) | Three-dimensional sulfite oxidase; graphene-functionalized carbon paper | 0.50 × 0.50 cm² | Loss rate remained at 4–5% of the initial signal | Oxygen-free Tris-acetate buffer solutions (750 mM, pH 8.4) and 1.0 mM Na₂SO₃; scan rate, 5 mV/s | Open-circuit voltage (OCV) of 0.64 V and a maximum power density of 61 µW/cm² (122 mW/m³) at 30 °C | [45] |
| Pyrroloquinoline quinone-dependent glucose dehydrogenase (PQQ-GDH) and bilirubin oxidase (BOx) | Two-dimensional graphene paper, Meldola blue (MB) | 0.25 cm² | Stored at 4 °C | 2D-GP electrode was immersed in a 10 mM MB aqueous solution and left overnight; 10 mM phosphate-buffered (PB) solution at pH 7.0 was used as the electrolyte for electrochemical experiments | Open circuit voltage = 0.665 V; maximum power density = 4 mW/cm² | [48] |
| Glucose oxidase (GOx) or laccase (Lac) | Porous structured carbon paper (CP) | Diameter: 2 cm; thickness: 4 mm for one piece and 1 mm for the other 4 pieces | Stored at 4 °C; the cell was operated continuously for 2000 s in 5 mM glucose containing PB under ambient air; it maintained 75% of its power | Cyclic voltammetry (CV) in 0.1 M, pH 7.4 PB containing 0.4 mM HAuCl₄ solution with a potential scan rate of 50 mV/s and a scanning range of 1.0 to 0.5 V for 20 cycles | 9.64 mW/cm² at 0.43 V and 53.0 mW/cm² at 0.45 V for the cell in 5 mM glucose | [50] |
| Enzyme                                                   | Electrode Materials                                                                 | Electrode Size | Storage Conditions/ Lifetime/Stability                                                                 | Working Conditions/Response Time/Measurement Range                                    | Performance                      | Ref |
|---------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----|
| Flavin adenine dinucleotide-dependent glucose dehydrogenase (FAD-GDH) | Poly(methylene green) grafted on the carbon surface; glassy carbon electrode         | 0.196 cm²      | Stored at room temperature; n(GOx-SFAD-PAM) retained most activity at 60 and 70 °C, retaining more than one third of activity at 80 °C; at pH 3.0 n(GOx-SFAD-PAM) retained activity 70.3%; 30-day storage at room temperature n(GOx-SFAD-PAM) still maintained 90% | Cyclic voltammetry measurements using poly(phenothiazine)-modified GC electrodes as working electrodes were carried out in a 0.1 M phosphate buffer between −300 and 600 mV at a scan rate of 10 mV/s in the presence and absence of 0.1 M glucose and 1.0 µM FAD-GDH; response time in 10% using five different electrodes | 3 mA/cm² of glucose oxidation current | [53] |
| Glucose oxidase (GOx)                                   | GOx nanocapsule with SFAD-containing polymeric network (n(GOx-SFAD-PAM))               | -              | Stored at room temperature; n(GOx-SFAD-PAM) retained most activity at 60 and 70 °C, retaining more than one third of activity at 80 °C; at pH 3.0 n(GOx-SFAD-PAM) retained activity 70.3%; 30-day storage at room temperature n(GOx-SFAD-PAM) still maintained 90% | Low detection potential (−0.4 vs. Ag/AgCl), high sensitivity (64.97 µA mM⁻¹ cm⁻²); high maximum power density (1011.21 µW/cm²) | Response time in 3–5 s            | [54] |
| Glucose oxidase; bilirubin oxidase                       | Copolymer poly(methyl methacrylate-co-vinylferrocene)                                 | Gold (diameter = 2 mm) | The peak currents were the same up to 38 cycles, declined only about 7 µA at the last 12 cycles | The aerated 100 mM, pH 7.4 phosphate buffer; −1 and +1 V | Power density of 323 µW/cm² at 10 mM glucose at 0.4 V | [55] |
| Glucose oxidase (GOx)                                   | rGO-PEI/Naph-SH/AgNWs/Frt/Gox                                                       | 3 mm diameter glassy carbon electrode (GCE) | Stored at 4 °C; the bioelectrodes maintained comparable activity with the freshly prepared electrode after two days' storage; the activity decreased by 28%, while the noncatalytic current density dropped slightly after one-week’s storage | Limiting glucose concentration of 50 mM in PBS (pH 7.0) as supporting electrolyte at a scan rate of 100 mV/s | Maximum current density 19.9 mA/cm² | [56] |
| Glucose oxidase (GOD)                                   | Matrix of reduced graphene oxides (RGOs), polyethylenimine (PEI), and ferrocene carboxylic acid (FcCOOH) on carbon paper (CP) | 1.0 × 5.0 cm²  | Stored at 4 °C; the bioelectrodes maintained comparable activity with the freshly prepared electrode after two days’ storage; the activity decreased by 28%, while the noncatalytic current density dropped slightly after one-week’s storage | GOD-graphene electrode in 20 mM PBS with pH ranging from 5.3 to 8.1 | Maximum power density of 5.1 µW/cm² and an open circuit voltage of 0.40 V at 25 °C | [59] |
| Enzyme                        | Electrode Materials                              | Electrode Size                  | Storage Conditions/Lifetime/Stability | Working Conditions/Response Time/Measurement Range | Performance                                                                 | Ref |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| FAD-dependent glucose dehydrogenase | Porous gold electrodes; platinum nanoclusters | Au electrodes (3 mm in diameter) | Stored 2 h at 4 °C                  | 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) at 25 C under quiescent conditions in an Ar atmosphere at v = 10 mV s⁻¹ | Current density with PtNCs (~1 mA cm⁻² at 0 V vs. Ag|AgCl|sat. KCl) was considerably higher than that without PtNCs | [60] |
| Glucose oxidase (GOD)         | ZnO nanoparticles decorated on polyindole-functionalized MCNTs; ferritin | Glassy carbon electrode (GCE) of diameter 3 mm | Stored at 4 °C                      | 50 mM glucose concentration in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (pH 7.4) as the testing solution by applying 100 mV/s scan rates | Maximum current density of 4.9 mA/cm²                                         | [61] |
| PQQ-glucose dehydrogenase (GDH) | Carbon nanotube; multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNT); pyrene butyric acid N-hydroxyssuccinimide ester, and then N-(5-amino-1-carboxypentyl) iminodiacetic acid (AB-NTA) and NiCl2 were added to modify the NTA-Ni2+ complex on the CNT surface | Gold (geometrical area: 0.02 cm²) | -                                    | Anode cell, 0.1 M HEPES buffer, pH 7.5, was used as an electrolyte along with 20 mM D-glucose | Power density 32 µW/cm²                                                       | [62] |
| Glucose oxidase (GOD)         | Cross-linked chitosan/TPP matrices with Na® polymers; carbon cloth (CC) | CC (3 × 2 cm², 131.5 mg)        | Retained 89.2% of its beginning performance after 240 h testing | 0.1 M PBS (pH 7) at 100 mV/s                      | Higher peak power density (1.077 mW/cm²) than that utilizing GOx[CS/TPP]CC (0.776 mW/cm²) and GOx[CS/Na]CC (0.682 mW/cm²) | [63] |
| Glucose oxidase (GOD)         | Chitosan (CHI)-reduced graphene (rGO) polyaniline (PAni)/ferritin (Frt)/glucose oxidase (GOx) | Glassy carbon (GC) electrode with 0.07 cm² surface area | Stored at 6 °C; better storage stability after one week and it retained 95% of its initial current response | 0.1 M PBS of pH 7.0 at a sweep rate of 100 mV/s                                 | A stable current response of 3.5 ± 0.02 mA/cm² in 20 mM glucose. The coverage of enzyme on 0.07 cm² area of electrode modified with CHI@rGO-PAni/Frt was calculated to be 3.80 × 10⁻⁸ mol/cm² | [64] |
| Enzyme                        | Electrode Materials                        | Enzyme Electrode Materials Electrode Size | Storage Conditions/Lifetime/Stability | Working Conditions/Response Time/Measurement Range | Performance                                         | Ref     |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|---------|
| Glucose oxidase (GOD)        | Carboxyl-functionalized mesoporous carbon  | 2.25 cm²                                 | Stored at 4 °C                        | CV scans were performed at 20–200 mV/s scan in the potential range from −1.0 to 1.0 V (v.s. Ag/AgCl) in air-saturated 0.1 M pH 7.0 PBS supplemented with 10 mM glucose at room temperature | The Gox immobilization and enzyme activity in MC-COOH increased 140.72 and 252.74% | [65]    |
| Glucose oxidase (GOD)        | Graphite oxide/cobalt/chitosan             | -                                        | Stored at 4 °C                        | -                                                 | Potential voltage of 0.548 V vs. Ag/AgCl with power density of 1198.09 mW/cm² | [66]    |
| Bilirubin oxidase            | RGO on three-dimensional (3D) carbon paper electrodes | 0.50 × 0.50 cm²                          | Stored at 4 °C; after two-week storage, the RGO-A bioelectrodes retained 50% of initial catalytic response while the RGO and RGO-A(N) bioelectrodes only retained 25% of the initial value; RGO-A bioelectrode showed superior operational stability with a half-lifetime of 55 h compared to RGO (13 h) and RGO-A_ads/BOD (40 h) | CVs at 50 mV/s of electrodes in 100 mM O₂-free PBS (pH 7.0) | Maximum power density of 22 µW/cm² and an open circuit voltage of 0.51 V | [68]    |
| Bilirubin oxidase and pyrroloquinoline quinone-dependent glucose dehydrogenase (PQQ-GDH) | Supercapacitor/biofuel cell               | Stored 4 °C for 2 h; 80% residual activity after 50 charge/discharge pulses | CV scanning −0.2–0.5 Ag/AgCl, 3mM glucose | Power density = 4.5 µW/cm²                          |                                                     |         |
| Glucose oxidase (GOD)        | Iron and cobalt co-doped ordered mesoporous porphyrinic carbon (FeCo-OMPC) | GCE, diameter of 5 mm                    | -                                     | 0.01 M PBS (pH 7.4) was used as the electrolyte and the potential scan rate was 10 mV/s | Maximum power density of 21.3 ± 2.97 µW/cm² with open circuit voltage (OCV) of 0.17 ± 0.016 V | [72]    |
| Enzyme                                                                 | Electrode Materials                                      | Electrode Size | Storage Conditions/Lifetime/Stability               | Working Conditions/Response Time/Measurement Range                                                                 | Performance                                      | Ref    |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|--------|
| Glucose oxidase (GOD)                                                 | RGO/AuNPs/PNR                                            | $1 \times 15 \text{ mm}^2$ | Retained activity in 2 h                             | 25°C and 50 µL/min of serum stream flows                                                                           | Open circuit voltage (OCV) and maximum power density = 0.2 V and 3.6 µW/cm$^2$ at a flow rate of 50 µL/min | [73]   |
| Glucose oxidase (GOD)                                                 | Gold nanoparticle-modified carbon nanotube hybrid fibers | -              | Operating stability (~85% of the initial power performance after 15 days) | 50 mL PBS solution (20 mmol L$^{-1}$ phosphate, 0.14 mol/L NaCl, pH: ~7.4) at 37°C                                  | Power output of 1.2 µW/cm$^2$ under a fixed external resistance (cyclic voltammetry measurement ~2.1 mW/cm$^2$) at 300 mmol/L glucose | [72]   |
| Invertase, mutarotase, flavine adenine dinucleotide (FAD)-dependent glucose dehydrogenase and fructose dehydrogenase | Gold nanoparticles—covalently bound naphthoquinone moieties—cellulose/polypyrrole (CPPy) paper | -              | Mellvaine buffer, pH 5.5 at a scan rate of 1 mV/s     | Power density = 0.81 mW/cm$^2$                                                                                       | The EOCV decreased while the color of the mediated electrode would return back to blue | [75]   |
| Bilirubin oxidase                                                     | Prussian blue (PB)/russian white (PW); carbon nanotubes  | -              | -                                                   | Electrolyte solution was 0.1 M PBS (0.1 M, pH = 6.5) with 2 mM NAD$^+$/NADH and 5 mM glucose                   | The EOCV decreased while the color of the mediated electrode would return back to blue | [76]   |
| Glucose oxidase                                                       | Carbon cloth with Prussian blue (PB) nanoparticle         | Single electrode sizing of 1 cm$^2$ | 4°C and 24 h                                        | 0.1 M acetate buffer solution (mixed solution of acetic acid and sodium acetate) at pH 5 and GOD concentrations (6 mg/mL) | 5 stacks produced a maximal power of 13 W with an output voltage of 0.88 V when load resistance was 40 kW | [77]   |
4. System in EBFC

Various EBFC systems have been designed for the suitability of EBFC applications. Making the EBFC system is essential for the application involved. For example, to detect blood glucose, the EBFC system developed should be easy to use and dispose of [77]. Most EBFC systems exhibit decreased power and limited lifetime because solvent evaporation in the hydrostatic electrolyte and biofuel depletion require paper exchange replacement and electrolyte refilling [77]. To reduce external components such as pumps, Duong et al. [17] have produced self-pumping EBFCs, as shown in Figure 7. Most fuel cell systems try to minimize the use of external components because it increases the weight of the fuel cell. In addition, the EBFC system that uses a self-pumping technique is suitable for miniaturization applications, where it is ideal for use in portable, wearable, and implantable devices [23]. To produce the self-pumping EBFC system, the flow field produced is driven by the capillary effect, as shown in Figure 7, to supply the fuel, increase efficient mass transfer [75], and reduce fabrication cost and volume of microfluidic biofuel cell [78]. Duong et al. [63] further investigate the factor of electrode microstructure, electrochemical property, and enzyme loading using a self-pumping EBFC that can retain 89.2% on the stability test for 240 h. Rewatkar et al. [51] also developed a self-pumping EBFC but using the Y-shaped flow structured cell. Meanwhile, Mazar et al. [73] created a Y-shaped flow structured cell using the printed circuit board (PCB)/double-sided pressure-sensitive adhesive (PSA) method to fabricate the cell. The authors also stated that this method is more accessible than using the thermal- or plasma-coating method and photolithography. This Y-shaped microchannel provides an advantage in reducing the depletion boundary layer and cross-diffusional mixing between fuel and oxidant [79].

Figure 7. The design of flow channel plates for (a) typical EBFC (with pump) and (b) self-pumping EBFC (without pump); (c) schematic diagram of top and bottom end plates in the self-pumping EBFC stack and (d) photograph of self-pumping EBFC assembly [17]. Copyright 2019 Elsevier.
Several researchers have developed microfluidic EBFCs [73,80–82] without using the proton exchange membrane (PEM). Although this system successfully eliminates the use of expensive PEM, problems such as poor mass transport, mixing between fuel and oxidant, high internal resistance, insufficient fuel utilization, and interactive interference still occur [83]. Poor mass transport occurs when reactant/glucose slowly reaches the enzyme for the catalytic to happen. The system of microfluidic EBFC with cross-diffusional mixing was developed by Khan et al. [79]. This study examined the differences in flow rates and microchannel heights that lead to the optimal output of power density and current density at 153 µW/cm² and 450 µA/cm² at a flow rate of 25 mL/h and microchannel height of 450 µm, respectively. Gai et al. [83] introduced the nanocarrier in a membrane-less EBFC metal-organic framework \([\text{[Fe(CN)}_6]^{3-}\) to reduce the internal resistance in EBFC and enhance the power output 700 times. The ability of the enzyme to have high stability and selectivity is essential in EBFC. Therefore, the need to analyze the product resulting in the reaction at the EBFC electrode is needed. Varnićić et al. [84] produced membrane-less EBFCs and used nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy to determine the production of two main products: D-arabinose and formic acid. The authors found that the production of this product was influenced by the enzyme selection on the cathode side of EBFC.

Needle-type EBFCs have also been developed to detect glucose by poking the needle on the skin. Two types of microneedles that have been used are polymeric needles and metallic hollow needles. Yin et al. [85] used needle-type EBFC to detect the glucose in apple, grape, and kiwifruit and gave the EBFC a value of 33, 55, and 44 µW, respectively. The resulting system had anti-biofouling 2-methacryloyloxyethyl phosphorylcholine (MPC) polymer and waterproof tape at the cathodic chamber to maintain power output and lifetime EBFC.

To expedite and facilitate EBFC cell fabrication with high precision and uniformity [40], 3D printing was used by Rewatkar et al. [81] due to the quick fabrication process, cost-effectiveness, and simplicity. In this study, polylactic acid and conductive composite graphene materials were used to form microchannels and electrodes. The power and current of the EBFC produced using this fabrication are 4.15 µW/cm² and 13.36 µA/cm² at different flow rates, respectively. In other work by the same research group [80], they used non-toxic pencil graphite electrodes (PGE) as electrode materials in the 3D printing fabrication process. MWCNT coats the PGE as support for enzyme immobilization. The microchannel used in this study was a Y-shaped type that produced 18 µW/cm² at 0.433 V. The fabrication methods used in making EBFC are fused deposition modeling (FDM), paper-based, photolithography, laser micromachining, soft lithography, and xurography. Although various fabrication techniques can be chosen, advantages such as simple processing procedure, cost-efficiency, speedy production, high security, and durability are given priority [40].

Stacking fuel cells combine several cells to increase power. Yoshida et al. [86] combined six EBFC cells in series, as shown in Figure 8, and used fructose as fuel. This, combined with a very thin electrode suitable for the skin patch, increased the voltage and current by 1.5 V and 80 µA, respectively. Shitanda et al. [87] also combined six cells, but shapes such as hexagons were fabricated using screen printing. These cells were used to detect glucose in the urine, and the detectable glucose concentration was in the range of 1 to 25 mM. It seems that the fabrication of these two stacks shows that EBFC is easy to dispose of after use. Markovic et al. [88] also used the screen printing technique to produce a single cell of EBFC. Rewatkar et al. [78] developed the four series-parallel arrangements (series, parallel, and combined series-parallel) of microfluidic paper-based analytical EBFCs using a mini 3D-printed platform. In this study, the 4-series arrangement produced a stable open-circuit voltage of 1.65 V with a power density of 46.4 µW/cm² at 0.8 V. The feedforward control of the DC-DC PWM (pulse width modulation) boost converter was used in the power management circuit for stacking EBFC so that the power generated had a high power conversion efficiency. Seok et al. [77] studied the effect of EBFC power when changing the number and size of cells to optimize the DC output voltage. The efficiency of the DC-DC
converter of 50% produced a capacity of 13 µW with an output voltage of 0.88 V on five stacks of EBFC.

Figure 8. The series connection of EBFC [86]. Copyright 2020 ACS Publication.

5. Conclusions
EBFC has advantages over other fuel cells, such as non-toxic chemical fuel, simplicity, low cost, small size, easy disposal, rapid start-up, eco-friendliness, anti-interference performance, and being easy to operate at room temperature and at neutral pH. However, the electrode materials used must have characteristics such as biocatalytic properties, biocompatibility, biodegradability, implantability, and mechanical flexibility in EBFC. Enzymes that become the heart of the EBFC need to immobilize on the surface electrode. Various methods such as polymer conduction, crosslinking, layer-by-layer assembly, and covalent attachment are used. The current trend mainly uses polymer conduction and crosslinking methods to immobilize the enzyme where the interaction between this material and the enzyme needs to be seen in terms of storage, solvent, pH, and thermal stability, function well, and have high efficiency. However, there is still inadequate and ineffective interaction between the materials used for enzyme immobilization, including imperfect and low enzyme loading, leaching out of enzyme that leads to degradation in long-term operation, potential enzyme poisoning when using non-biocompatibility support materials, reduced lifetime, and limited enzyme stability. Recent studies also use nanoparticle materials to increase electron transfer, although mediators are still used and have higher power performance in EBFCs. However, the mediator also has problems, such as the mediator itself being toxic in the EBFC environment, leakage, producing a low open-circuit voltage (OCV), mediator mobilization, high cost, and instability of the metal ion-based redox. Meanwhile, EBFC systems have various types depending on the use or application of EBFC, such as developing a self-pumping technique suitable for miniaturization applications, while the needle-type EBFCs have been designed to detect glucose poking the needle on the skin. The development of EBFC requires 3D printers due to the quick fabrication process, cost-effectiveness, and simplicity. Therefore, overall, among the combinations of materials that can be studied, namely nanoparticles, graphene, and conductive polymers, these three have the advantage of chemical stability and enhanced electron transfer, and the interaction between these materials can improve EBFC performance. The development of chitosan selection in EBFC is also prevalent in publications because chitosan has the advantages of sensitivity, selectivity, stability, and reproducibility.
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