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Abstract

While protoplanetary disks are often treated as isolated systems in planet formation models, observations increasingly suggest that vigorous interactions between Class II disks and their environments are not rare. DO Tau is a T Tauri star that has previously been hypothesized to have undergone a close encounter with the HV Tau system. As part of the DESTINYS ESO Large Programme, we present new Very Large Telescope (VLT)/SPHERE polarimetric observations of DO Tau and combine them with archival Hubble Space Telescope (HST) scattered-light images and Atacama Large Millimeter/submillimeter Array (ALMA) observations of CO isotopologues and CS to map a network of complex structures. The SPHERE and ALMA observations show that the circumstellar disk is connected to arms extending out to several hundred astronomical units. HST and ALMA also reveal stream-like structures northeast of DO Tau, some of which are at least several thousand astronomical units long. These stream appear not to be gravitationally bound to DO Tau, and comparisons with previous Herschel far-IR observations suggest that the streams are part of a bridge-like structure connecting DO Tau and HV Tau. We also detect a fainter redshifted counterpart to a previously known stream-like structure northeast of DO Tau, and comparisons with previous Herschel far-IR observations suggest that the streams are part of a bridge-like structure connecting DO Tau and HV Tau. These panchromatic observations of DO Tau highlight the need to contextualize the evolution of Class II disks by examining processes occurring over a wide range of size scales.

Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts: Protoplanetary disks (1300); Radio interferometry (1346); Polarimetry (1278); Planet formation (1241)
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1. Introduction

Planet formation has often been modeled as taking place in isolated protoplanetary disks (e.g., Lambrechts & Johansen 2012; Mordasini et al. 2012; Zhang et al. 2018). However, observations are increasingly challenging the general applicability of such an assumption. Gaps and rings have been detected in the millimeter continuum emission of Class I disks, potentially indicative of planet–disk interactions in these young embedded systems (e.g., Sheehan & Eisner 2018; Alves et al. 2020; Segura-Cox et al. 2020). Meanwhile, molecular and scattered-light observations have revealed large-scale streams, spirals, and tails associated with the ostensibly more evolved Class II protoplanetary disks, suggestive of ongoing infall or
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close encounters with other stars (e.g., Nakajima & Goli-
mowski 1995; Cabrit et al. 2006; Tang et al. 2012; Akiyama et al. 2019; Huang et al. 2021). Together, these observations indicate that many disks are perturbed by their surroundings while planet formation is ongoing. Key theorized consequences of these external perturbations include modification of the total mass budget available for planet formation, disk truncation, inducement of misalignments or instabilities, formation of disk substructures, and stellar outbursts (e.g., Clarke & Pringle 1993; Bae et al. 2015; Harsono et al. 2011; Manara et al. 2018; Cuello et al. 2019; Dullemond et al. 2019; Kuffmeier et al. 2020, 2021; Kuznetsova et al. 2022). Thus, mapping disk environments is crucial for understanding what processes control protoplanets’ formation location and timescale, mass, orbital behavior, and survival.

High-resolution panchromatic observations are essential for characterizing interactions between disks and their environments. Millimeter continuum emission primarily traces the distribution of millimeter-sized dust grains and is therefore a good probe of the bulk of the disk mass reservoir. However, because grain growth is most effective in dense regions and larger dust grains are more susceptible to inward radial drift and vertical settling, the millimeter continuum does not trace extended, more tenuous structures well. Optical and infrared scattered light trace the distribution of submicron-sized dust grains. In the context of disk observations, they have primarily been used to characterize the surface layers of disks (e.g., Krist et al. 2000; Fukagawa et al. 2004; Avenhaus et al. 2018). However, scattered-light images has also proven effective for identifying large-scale structures that do not have detected millimeter continuum counterparts (e.g., Grady et al. 1999; Garufi et al. 2020). Furthermore, because the strength of the scattered-light signal and the degree of linear polarization depend in part on the scattering angle, they can be used to infer how structures are oriented relative to the illuminating star (e.g., Stolker et al. 2016; Ginski et al. 2021). Although small dust grains and gas are thought to be well coupled, molecular line observations can still reveal material not visible in scattered light because the detectability of structures with scattered light is affected by disk self-shadowing and the rapid decrease in stellar illumination with distance (e.g., Huang et al. 2020; Garufi et al. 2022a). Molecular line observations are also critical for probing kinematics and gas mass.

The Disk Evolution Study Through Imaging of Nearby Young Stars (DESTINYS) Large Programme has been conducting a near-infrared polarimetric survey of young stars with the SPHERE instrument on the Very Large Telescope (Ginski et al. 2020, 2021). Whereas early scattered-light studies disproportionately targeted Herbig Ae stars (see, e.g., Garufi et al. 2018, and references therein), DESTINYS aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the disk structures of lower-mass pre-main-sequence stars. While the original primary aims of DESTINYS were to characterize dust evolution in disks, identify small-scale substructures that may be signs of planet–disk interactions, and search for stellar and planetary-mass companions, DESTINYS has serendipitously provided insights into interactions between disks and their environments, as demonstrated by the detection of SU Aur’s spectacular infalling tail structures and misaligned disk in Ginski et al. (2021).

Another DESTINYS target exhibiting signs of interactions with its surroundings is DO Tau, an M0.3 T Tauri star located 138.4 ± 0.7 pc away in the Taurus star-forming region (Herczeg & Hillenbrand 2014; Gaia Collaboration et al. 2021; Baillie-Jones et al. 2021). It hosts a Class II protoplanetary disk associated with a jet and molecular outflow (e.g., Hirth et al. 1994; Kenyon & Hartmann 1995; Fernández-López et al. 2020). Coronagraphic Hubble Space Telescope (HST) optical and Subaru infrared images revealed an arc-like structure extending up to a few hundred astronomical units north of the star (Grady 2004; Itoh et al. 2008). In projection, DO Tau is situated ~91″ (12,600 au) northwest of the T Tauri triple star system HV Tau. HV Tau A and B have a spectral type of M1 and have a projected separation from one another of 10 au (Herbig & Bell 1988; Simon et al. 1996). HV Tau C is an M0.5 star that hosts an edge-on protoplanetary disk and has a projected separation of ~550 au from HV Tau AB (e.g., Woitas & Leinert 1998; Duchêne et al. 2010). DO Tau and HV Tau are surrounded by nebulosities visible at both optical and far-infrared wavelengths (e.g., Struve & Straka 1962; McGroarty & Ray 2004; Howard et al. 2013). Based on the morphology of these nebulosities, Winter et al. (2018) hypothesized that DO Tau and HV Tau originally constituted a quadruple system and underwent a close encounter that stripped material from their disks and ejected DO Tau.

In this work, we combine new SPHERE observations of DO Tau with the Atacama Large Millimeter/submillimeter Array (ALMA) molecular line observations and archival optical and infrared images in order to investigate the spatial and kinematic relationship between DO Tau’s disk and its complex surroundings. The observations and data reduction are summarized in Section 2. The scattered-light structures are described in Section 3, and the molecular emission structures are described and compared to the scattered-light structures in Section 4. The properties and potential origins of the structures are discussed in Section 5, and the results are summarized in Section 6.

2. Observations and Data Reduction

In this section, we describe the various new and archival observations analyzed in this work. The observations are also listed in Table 1.

2.1. SPHERE/IRDIS Observations

DO Tau was observed with the Very Large Telescope’s SPHERE/IRDIS instrument (Beuzit et al. 2019) using the broadband H filter (central wavelength: 1.6255 μm) on 2019 November 27 (program I.D. 0104.C-0850(A), P.I.: Y. Boehler) and 2019 December 20 (corresponding to the DESTINYS Large Programme with I.D. 1104.C-0415(E), P.I.: C. Ginski). Both programs used dual-beam polarimetric imaging (DPI) with pupil tracking (de Boer et al. 2020; van Holstein et al. 2020a). In each epoch, the main observing sequence consisted of 56 frames with the DO Tau star behind an apodized Lyot coronagraph, which has an inner working angle of 92.5 mas (Carbillet et al. 2011; Guerri et al. 2011). The integration time of each frame was 64 s, for a total time of 59.7 minutes per epoch. Each coronagraphic sequence was preceded and followed by five flux calibration frames, in which DO Tau was observed offset from the coronagraph and with the ND1.0 neutral density filter to prevent saturation. Each flux calibration frame lasted for 0.84 s, for a total integration time of 8.4 s per epoch. Weather conditions were excellent on both nights. On
2019 November 27, the typical seeing was 0.6" and the typical coherence time was 8 ms. On 2019 December 20, the typical seeing was 0.7" and the typical coherence time was 10 ms.

The data from each night were individually reduced with the publicly available IRDIS Data reduction for Accurate Polarimetry (IRDAP) pipeline (van Holstein et al. 2017, 2020a, 2020b), yielding polarized intensity images through polarimetric differential imaging (PDI) and total intensity images through classical angular differential imaging (cADI). After checking that results from the two nights were consistent, the reduced images were then averaged together to increase the signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) of the faint extended features.

Because ADI results in self-subtraction artifacts, we also produced total intensity images of DO Tau using reference differential imaging (RDI). DESTINYS Large Programme observations of IP Tau (taken on 2019 December 15) were used to create a point-spread function (PSF) reference library. The observational setup and weather conditions for IP Tau were similar to those for DO Tau. As an M0.6 T Tauri star located in the Taurus star-forming region (Herczeg & Hillenbrand 2014), IP Tau provides a close color match to DO Tau. However, because IP Tau also has a disk, using it as a PSF reference is only useful for recovering structures beyond an arcsecond or so from the star. PSF subtraction was performed with the Karhunen–Loève Image Projection (KLIP) algorithm described in Soummer et al. (2012). Because the standard KLIP procedure results in the overestimation of the stellar signal when extended structures are present, we applied the iterative disk feedback reference differential imaging (IDF-RDI) approach presented in D. Vaendel et al. (in preparation) and Ginski et al. (2021). In brief, the PSF-subtracted image produced with KLIP is treated as an initial estimate of the nonstellar signal. This image is subtracted from the original stack of science images of DO Tau (i.e., the non-PSF-subtracted images) in order to remove as much nonstellar signal as possible. KLIP is then used on the modified science image stack to obtain an improved estimate of the stellar signal, and PSF subtraction is performed on this modified stack. If the resulting PSF-subtracted image still shows significant nonstellar structures, additional iterations are performed until the stellar signal estimate no longer improves. For DO Tau, 10 iterations of IDF-RDI were performed for each epoch, and the results for each epoch were averaged together. Appendix A shows a comparison of the total intensity images of DO Tau produced with the standard RDI with KLIP (equivalent to the zeroth iteration of IDF-RDI) and after 10 iterations of IDF-RDI.

### 2.2. ALMA Observations

DO Tau was observed with ALMA in two Band 6 spectral settings (henceforth referred to as 1.3 mm and 1.1 mm settings) as part of program 2016.1.00627.S (PI: K. Öberg). Portions of DO Tau observations from this program have been published previously: C$^{18}$O $J = 2–1$ and upper limits for H$^{13}$CN $J = 3–2$ and C$_2$H $N = 3–2$ in Bergner et al. (2019), H$_2$CO $J_{K,K} = 3_{03} - 2_{02}$ in Pegues et al. (2020), CS $J = 5–4$ in Le Gal et al. (2019), and upper limits on DCN $J = 3–2$ and HC$^{15}$N $J = 3–2$ in Bergner et al. (2020). In this article, we present the $^{12}$CO and $^{13}$CO $J = 2–1$ observations from this program for the first time. We also reprocess the C$^{18}$O and CS observations for consistency; whereas the aforementioned works only analyzed the Keplerian disk, the present article examines the extended structures in further detail. (The extended structures are not detected in the other previously published line observations.)

Details of the observations, including the dates, array, and correlator setups, and calibrators, are provided in Appendix B. Because of the narrow spectral windows used in both spectral settings, bandwidth switching was employed, which required manual calibration by ALMA/NAASC staff. The associated calibration scripts are available on the ALMA archive. Following data delivery from ALMA, we performed additional processing and imaging steps in CASA 5.6.1 (McMullin et al. 2007). For each individual execution block, a set of pseudo-continuum visibilities was produced by flagging channels with strong line emission and then averaging together the remaining channels. A preliminary continuum image was produced for each execution block using the Clark CLEAN algorithm as implemented in the tclean task. After measuring the location of the continuum peak by fitting a two-dimensional Gaussian to the disk emission, each set of visibilities was shifted to align the continuum peak with the phase center. We then compared the fluxes of different execution blocks taken with the same spectral setting. For the 1.1 mm setting, the continuum flux of the third execution block was ~10% lower than the earlier two
Note.

Table 2

| Transition  | Rest Frequency (GHz) | Synthesized Beam (arcsec x arcsec) | Peak $L_\nu$ (mJy beam$^{-1}$) | rms Noise$^a$ (mJy beam$^{-1}$) |
|-------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| $^{12}$CO $J=2\rightarrow1$ | 230.5380000 | 0.84 x 0.53 (33:7) | 576.9 | 4.7 |
| $^{13}$CO $J=2\rightarrow1$ | 220.396842 | 0.87 x 0.56 (32:9) | 201.6 | 4.7 |
| C$^{18}$O $J=2\rightarrow1$ | 219.563541 | 0.88 x 0.56 (33:3) | 61.9 | 3.9 |
| CS $J=5\rightarrow4$ | 244.9355565 | 0.69 x 0.55 (21:3) | 56.2 | 3.3 |

$^a$ With channel widths of 0.25 km s$^{-1}$.

execution blocks. For the 1.3 mm setting, the continuum flux of the second execution block was $\sim$15% lower than the first execution block. These discrepancies are comparable to ALMA’s nominal absolute flux calibration accuracy in Band 6 (e.g., Andreani et al. 2016). For the 1.3 mm setting, we rescaled the visibilities of the first execution block such that the continuum flux matched that of the second execution block, and for the 1.1 mm setting, we rescaled the visibilities of the third execution block such that the continuum flux matched that of the first two. The choice of which execution block served as the reference for rescaling is arbitrary, but the interpretation of the data in this work is not affected by this choice.

For each spectral setting, the pseudo-continuum visibilities of execution blocks were imaged together with Clark CLEAN to form an initial model for self-calibration. One round of phase self-calibration and then one round of amplitude self-calibration were applied to the pseudo-continuum visibilities. The self-calibration tables derived from continuum imaging were applied to the full-resolution spectral windows containing line data. Continuum subtraction was then performed in the $uv$ plane using the uvcontsub task. Image cubes were produced for the $^{12}$CO $J=2\rightarrow1$, $^{13}$CO $J=2\rightarrow1$, C$^{18}$O $J=2\rightarrow1$, and CS $J=5\rightarrow4$ transitions using multiscale CLEAN (scales of [0, 0’8, 1”6, 3”2]) and a Briggs robust parameter of 1.0. Because the line emission is extended and irregular, we used CASA’s auto-multithresh automasking algorithm (Kepley et al. 2020) to draw CLEAN masks. After some initial experimentation, we set the automasking parameter values to sidelobethreshold=2.0, noisethreshold=3.5, lownoisethreshold=1.5, minbeamfrac=0.4, and negativethreshold=15.0. The channel spacing was set to 0.25 km s$^{-1}$ to balance between achieving adequate sensitivity in individual channels and resolving kinematic detail. A primary beam correction was applied to all CLEANed image cubes using the impcor task. The rms of each image cube was measured within line-free channels of the non-primary-beam-corrected images. The synthesized beam size, rms, and peak intensity of each image cube are given in Table 2.

2.3. Archival Optical/Infrared Observations

2.3.1. HST STIS

DO Tau was imaged with the HST STIS instrument as part of program HST-GO-9136 (P.I.: C. Grady) on 2001 December 8 and 2003 February 12, with a total exposure time of 2268 s per epoch. The STIS CCD has a broad bandpass that extends from 2000 to 10,300 Å, with a central wavelength of 5740 Å. For each set of observations, DO Tau was placed under the coronagraphic mask at the WEDGEA1.0 location, which has a width of 1”. These STIS data were first presented in Grady (2004).

The calibrated, flat-fielded science files for DO Tau were retrieved from the Mikulski Archive for Space Telescopes. Following the procedure described in Ren et al. (2017), a median filter was applied to correct bad pixels. The two rolls were rotated such that north was up and east was to the left, and then aligned using the centerRadon package (Ren et al. 2019). The two rolls were then combined, with pixels averaged in regions where the two rolls overlapped. However, if a pixel from one roll fell within a diffraction spike, the combined image only used the pixel value from the other roll. The portion of the combined image lying within 8” of DO Tau’s stellar position was then replaced with the KLIP PSF-subtracted image presented in Ren et al. (2017). PSF subtraction over the entire field of view of the DO Tau observations was not feasible due to limitations in the field of view of the PSF reference library. The PSF wings are negligible outside a radius of 8” but the intensities are discontinuous at the boundary between the PSF-subtracted portion of the combined DO Tau image and the non-PSF-subtracted portion. Thus, caution should be applied in interpreting features at this boundary.

2.3.2. SDSS

The field around DO Tau was imaged by the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) on 2002 December 31 (MJD 52639). The original SDSS run-camcol-field identifier for the data is 3559-5-5. Details of the SDSS observations and data reduction are provided in York et al. (2000), Finkbeiner et al. (2004), and Ahn et al. (2012). We downloaded pipeline-processed SDSS g-, r-, and i-band cutsouts from NASA Skyview (McGlynn et al. 1998) and produced a color composite using the Astropy (Astropy Collaboration et al. 2013) implementation of the Lupton et al. (2004) algorithm.

2.3.3. Herschel PACS

DO Tau was imaged at 160 μm with the Herschel PACS instrument (Poglitsch et al. 2010) on 2011 March 30 as part of the GASPS Open Key Time Project (Dent et al. 2013; Howard et al. 2013). We retrieved the pipeline-processed Level 2.5 Unimap map (which combined data from observation IDs 1342217478, 1342217479, 1342217480, and 1342217481) from the Herschel Science Archive.

3. Morphology in Scattered Light

DO Tau is surrounded by a series of complex structures spanning several orders of magnitude in size scales, as shown in the SDSS, HST STIS, and SPHERE images presented in Figure 1. The SDSS optical color image shows the broad
nebulosity surrounding DO Tau and HV Tau, which are separated by \( \sim 12,600 \) au. This nebula is relatively well known, having previously been listed in catalogs such as those by Struve & Straka (1962) and Magakian (2003). Within this broad nebulosity, an arc-like structure (which we call the “large northeastern arc”) is visible to the northeast of DO Tau in the
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**Figure 1.** (a) SDSS color composite image of DO Tau and HV Tau made from $g$, $r$, and $i$-band images. The blue box shows the relative size of the STIS image shown in panel (b). The white stars mark the locations of HV Tau A and B, HV Tau C, and DO Tau (only a single star is used to mark HV Tau A and B because of their close proximity). (b) HST STIS image of DO Tau, smoothed by convolving with a 2D Gaussian with a standard deviation of 0.01" (equal to two pixels). The gray curves mark the locations of the large northeastern arc and the $\alpha$, $\beta$, and $\gamma$ streams. The yellow star shows the location of DO Tau. Pixels falling within the coronagraphic wedges or stellar diffraction spikes are masked. The dotted white circle denotes the region where PSF subtraction was performed with KLIP. The pink box shows the relative size of the SPHERE image in part (c). The axes are marked with the angular offsets from DO Tau. (c) SPHERE $H$-band total intensity image of DO Tau, produced with cADI and smoothed by convolving with a 2D Gaussian with a standard deviation of 0.0368" (equal to three pixels). The solid curves mark the northern and eastern arms, the dotted white curve marks the outflow shell, and the dotted line marks the jet. The gray circle denotes the extent of the coronagraph. Versions of the STIS and SPHERE images with color bars and no annotations are presented in Appendix C.
SDSS image but can be seen in finer detail in the HST STIS optical image. The southeastern end of the arc is located at a position angle (P.A.) east of north of \( \sim 110^\circ \) and a projected separation of \( \sim 14'' \) (\( \sim 1900 \) au) from DO Tau, while the northwestern end is located at a P.A. of \( \sim 310^\circ \) and a separation of \( \sim 27'' \) (\( \sim 3700 \) au) from the star. There are also at least three stream-like structures that are oriented nearly perpendicularly to the large northeastern arc. To our knowledge, these stream-like structures that are oriented nearly perpendicularly to the large northeastern arc. To our knowledge, these stream-like structures have not been explicitly identified in the literature; previous publications of this HST data (Grady 2004; Itoh et al. 2008; Ren et al. 2017) only presented cropped versions of the image. We label the stream-like structures as \( \alpha \), \( \beta \), and \( \gamma \) (in order from north to south). The southwestern ends of these structures appear to point toward a smaller arc north of DO Tau. This smaller arc was first detected by Grady (2004) in the same set of STIS observations.

Although the STIS wedges obscure much of the material within several hundred astronomical units of DO Tau, SPHERE provides a clearer view of these structures. We identify a counterpart to the HST image’s smaller arc, which we call the “northern arm.” We also more clearly identify an “eastern arm” that is only partially visible in the HST STIS image. Although the eastern and northern arms appear as though they could be a single structure in the total intensity images, we identify them as separate structures because they are kinematically distinct and because they appear to connect separately to the disk in polarized scattered light, as discussed later in this section and in Section 4. In addition, a narrow structure that is west of DO Tau and partially obscured by the wedges in the STIS image turns out to be part of a larger arc-like structure southwest of DO Tau. Based on visual inspection of the SPHERE cADI image in Figure 1, it can be approximated as an elliptical arc centered \( \sim 1''7 \) (\( \sim 240 \) au) west and \( \sim 0''4 \) (\( \sim 55 \) au) south of the star, with a P.A. of 80°, an angular extent of \( \sim 300^\circ \), a semimajor axis of \( \sim 1''65 \) (\( \sim 230 \) au), and a semiminor axis of \( \sim 1''4 \) (\( \sim 190 \) au). This geometry matches one of the blueshifted CO outflow shells identified in Fernández-López et al. (2020).

In the cADI image, the outflow shell is bisected by a linear feature that has the same orientation as the blueshifted side of the [Fe II] jet imaged by Erkal et al. (2021). Erkal et al. (2021) detected emission from the 1.53 and 1.64 \( \mu \)m [Fe II] lines, which fall within the wavelength range (but are not spectrally resolved by) of SPHERE’s broadband \( H \) filter. Outside of the arm structures, a background point source is visible \( \sim 3''5 \) east of DO Tau and is discussed further in Appendix D.

While the SPHERE cADI image is dominated by self-subtraction artifacts within \( \sim 1'' \) of the star, the \( Q_\phi \) image clarifies the relationship between some of the arm structures and the circumstellar disk (Figure 2). The quantity \( Q_\phi \) is defined as

\[
Q_\phi = -Q_{IPS} \cos(2\phi) - U_{IPS} \sin(2\phi),
\]

where \( \phi \) is the azimuthal angle in the observer frame and \( Q_{IPS} \) and \( U_{IPS} \) are the linear polarization components of the Stokes vector following correction for instrumental polarization (e.g., de Boer et al. 2020). \( Q_\phi \) is related to the linearly polarized intensity \( PL \) by \( PL = \sqrt{Q_\phi^2 + U_\phi^2} \), where \( U_\phi \) is defined as

\[
U_\phi = Q_{IPS} \sin(2\phi) - U_{IPS} \cos(2\phi).
\]

A positive signal in the \( Q_\phi \) image traces azimuthal polarization, which is expected to be dominant for relatively low-inclination disks such as DO Tau (27°6 as measured from millimeter continuum emission in Long et al. 2019).

In projection, the northern arm appears to emerge from the disk at a P.A. of \( \sim 114^\circ \) east of north. The arm curves up to a separation of \( \sim 3''3 \) (\( \sim 460 \) au) northwest of DO Tau and then turns over toward the southwest, terminating at a P.A. of \( \sim 256^\circ \) and a separation of \( \sim 3''7 \) (\( \sim 510 \) au) from the star. (These quantities are estimated via visual inspection because there is no sharp delineation between the disk and arm structures). The eastern arm extends from the north side of the
disk and terminates at a P.A. of $\sim117^\circ$ and a separation of $\sim2.3\,\text{au}$ from the star.

The circumstellar disk itself appears relatively compact in scattered light, with a radius of $\sim80\,\text{au}$ as determined by visual inspection. However, the size of the disk is not straightforward to quantify due to the aforementioned extended structures. Furthermore, scattered light only provides a lower bound on the disk size because sensitivity decreases with the square of the distance from the star and many disks are also self-shadowed (e.g., Garufi et al. 2022a). DO Tau’s disk appears about twice as large in scattered light compared to millimeter continuum. The former predominantly traces submicron-sized dust grains in the disk atmosphere, while the latter primarily traces millimeter-sized dust grains in the disk midplane. Long et al. (2019) find that 95% of the millimeter continuum flux is enclosed within a radius of only 36.4 au. The millimeter continuum image from Long et al. (2019) exhibits no substructures at an angular resolution of $\sim0.9\,\text{arcsec}$ (14 au). Likewise, no gaps or rings are visible in the scattered-light image. The disk signal has a broader extent on the west side compared to the east side, which we interpret as a projection effect of viewing a flared, inclined disk surface. Some contamination from the outflow shell may also enhance the difference between the west and east sides. Because the east side appears to be foreshortened, we infer that it is inclined toward the observer. Based on the obscuration of DO Tau’s jet by the eastern side of the disk, Erkal et al. (2021) likewise concluded that the east side is tilted toward the observer.

The degree of linear polarization (DoLP), defined as the ratio of the linearly polarized intensity to total intensity, provides constraints on the three-dimensional orientation of the extended structures around DO Tau. Because the extended structures around DO Tau are not detected in the $U_{\phi}$ images, we assume $P_l \approx Q_{\phi}$ so that we can estimate the DoLP without the additional noise contribution from the $U_{\phi}$ component. To calculate the DoLP, we use the total intensity image produced with IDF-RDI rather than cADI because the latter procedure generally results in significant self-subtraction of the extended structures. However, because IDF-RDI does not yield a perfect subtraction of the stellar PSF, the resulting DoLP values must still be treated with caution. Therefore, our analysis focuses on relative rather than absolute DoLP values. To increase the S/N of the DoLP map, we smoothed the $Q_{\phi}$ and total intensity images using a Gaussian kernel with a standard deviation of one pixel (0.013") prior to division and then binned the resulting DoLP map by a factor of 2.

Panels (a) and (b) of Figure 3 show the IDF-RDI total intensity image and DoLP map of DO Tau. Within the DoLP map, the northern arm stands out most clearly. Part (c) of Figure 3 shows how the DoLP of the northern arm varies from east to west. As the arm emerges from the eastern side of the disk, the DoLP values are low ($<0.2$). The DoLP values generally increase from east to west across the arm, peaking at a value of $\sim0.5$, but then decrease again near the western terminus of the arm. If we assume that the DoLP has a roughly bell-shaped dependence upon the scattering angle, with a peak at $\sim90^\circ$ (e.g., Min et al. 2005), then the DoLP pattern of the northern arm could be explained by one of several scenarios: (1) The scattering angles increase from east to west across the arm, starting at a value $<90^\circ$ and ending at a value $>90^\circ$. (2) The scattering angles decrease from east to west across the arm, starting at a value $>90^\circ$ and ending at a value $<90^\circ$. (3) Starting from a value $<90^\circ$, the scattering angles increase from east to west up to $90^\circ$, then decrease again at the western end of the arm. (4) Starting from a value $>90^\circ$, the scattering angles decrease from east to west down to $90^\circ$, then increase again at the western end of the arm. Given that the northern arm appears to emerge from the eastern side of the disk, which is tilted toward the observer, we expect the eastern side of the northern arm to have small scattering angles. Furthermore, the eastern side of the arm is brighter in total intensity compared to the western side, suggesting stronger forward scattering on the eastern side. This brightness difference was previously noted by Itoh et al. (2008) in Subaru/CIAO H-band observations of DO Tau and also ascribed to forward scattering. Scenarios 2 and 4 therefore appear unlikely. We favor scenario 1 over scenario 3 because we do not observe the total intensity of the arm increasing at the western terminus, as one might expect if scattering angles were decreasing from $90^\circ$ and forward scattering became stronger. However, scenario 3 is not definitively ruled out because the distance from the star also affects total intensity. The eastern arm appears to have total intensity and DoLP values comparable to the eastern side of the northern arm, suggesting that they have similar (small) scattering angles. Meanwhile, the DoLP in the vicinity of the outflow shell appears to be close to zero. Because the disk has a relatively low inclination and CO kinematics show that the outflow shell is in front of the disk (Fernández-López et al. 2020), the shell is expected to have low scattering angles and therefore a low DoLP. A schematic of the proposed geometry is presented in panel (d) of Figure 3.

4. Molecular Line Observations

4.1. Overview

Integrated intensity maps and intensity-weighted velocity maps of $^{12}$CO $J = 2-1$, $^{13}$CO $J = 2-1$, C$^{18}$O $J = 2-1$, and CS $J = 5-4$ are shown in Figure 4. The velocity integration ranges are selected based on the extent over which emission above the $3\sigma$ level is detected: $-13.75$ to $20.5\,\text{km s}^{-1}$ for $^{12}$CO, $-0.75$ to $9.25\,\text{km s}^{-1}$ for $^{13}$CO, $4.0$ to $8.75\,\text{km s}^{-1}$ for C$^{18}$O, and $4.0$ to $8.25\,\text{km s}^{-1}$ for CS. Because the spatial distribution of the emission changes substantially from channel to channel, most of the channels at any given spatial location are emission free even though the overall velocity range of the emission is large. To reduce the contribution of signal-free regions to the integrated intensity maps, we only include pixels in the image cube with intensities exceeding the $2\sigma$ level. For the intensity-weighted velocity maps, a $5\sigma$ pixel clip is used instead because higher moments are more sensitive to outliers. The full set of channel maps is presented in Appendix E.

The characteristic rotation velocity pattern for a Keplerian disk is visible in the velocity-weighted intensity maps of $^{13}$CO, C$^{18}$O, and CS, but $^{12}$CO is dominated by nondisk emission. The extended emission structures traced by all four of these molecules are described in more detail below.

4.2. Outflow Shells

The $^{12}$CO emission is dominated by a series of blueshifted, overlapping ring-like structures southwest of DO Tau. These structures were previously detected in an independent set of ALMA $^{12}$CO $J = 2-1$ observations by Fernández-López et al. (2020), who attributed them to a series of outflow shells. We do not detect these shells in the other three molecular tracers. A
comparison of the SPHERE H-band cADI image to the $^{12}$CO integrated intensity map shows the coincidence between the southwestern arc detected in scattered light and the innermost CO outflow shell (Figure 5).

Thanks to the longer integration time of our observations compared to those presented in Fernández-López et al. (2020) (∼4× longer), we are able to detect a fainter, redshifted outflow. Figure 6 compares integrated intensity maps of the redshifted and blueshifted outflows. The blueshifted outflow is detected at local standard of rest (Kinematic), or LSRK, velocities ranging from roughly $-13.75$ to $4.0\,\text{km}\,\text{s}^{-1}$, while the redshifted outflow is detected from approximately $9.5$ to $20.5\,\text{km}\,\text{s}^{-1}$. (Fernández-López et al. 2020 identify $^{12}$CO outflow shells at LSRK velocities between $4.4$ and $8.2\,\text{km}\,\text{s}^{-1}$, but we attribute this emission instead largely to the northern and eastern arms based on comparison with the $^{13}$CO emission in Section 4.3.) The peak integrated intensity of the blueshifted outflow is $2.1\,\text{Jy}\,\text{beam}^{-1}\,\text{km}\,\text{s}^{-1}$, while that of the redshifted outflow is only $0.21\,\text{Jy}\,\text{beam}^{-1}\,\text{km}\,\text{s}^{-1}$. Likewise, Erkal et al. (2021) found that the blueshifted side of the $\mathrm{[Fe\,II]}$ jet is brighter than the redshifted side. Whereas the blueshifted outflow manifests as multiple rings of different sizes, the redshifted outflow appears to be a single large arc west of DO Tau (although the widening of the emission at the
Figure 4. Left column: integrated intensity maps of $^{12}$CO $J = 2 - 1$, $^{13}$CO $J = 2 - 1$, C$^{18}$O $J = 2 - 1$, and CS $J = 5 - 4$ toward DO Tau (in order from top to bottom). The synthesized beam is shown as a solid white ellipse in the lower-left corner of each panel. The white star marks the position of the millimeter continuum peak, which is located at the phase center. Offsets from the phase center (in arcseconds) are marked in the lower-left panel. North is up, and east is to the left. The dotted white ellipse marks the FWHM of the ALMA primary beam. An arcinh stretch is applied to the color scale to highlight faint emission features. Right column: corresponding intensity-weighted velocity maps. Note that the color scale for $^{13}$CO is different from the other molecules to accommodate the wider velocity range over which emission is detected.
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**Figure 5.** A comparison between the SPHERE H-band cADI image of DO Tau (left) and the $^{12}$CO integrated intensity map (right) on the same relative scale. The dotted white curve marks the position of a scattered-light arc that coincides with the innermost outflow shell as traced by $^{12}$CO.

**Figure 6.** Overlaid integrated intensity maps of the high-velocity $^{12}$CO emission toward DO Tau. No clipping is applied to make these integrated intensity maps because the redshifted outflow emission levels are low in individual channels. The velocity integration ranges are given at the top of the figure. The blue contours, corresponding to the blueshifted emission, are drawn at 75, 150, 400, 700, 1000, 1300, and 1600 mJy beam$^{-1}$ km s$^{-1}$. The red contours, corresponding to the redshifted emission, are drawn at 50, 100, and 150 mJy beam$^{-1}$ km s$^{-1}$. The black star marks the location of DO Tau. The dotted black circle marks the FWHM of the primary beam. Offsets from the phase center (in arcseconds) are marked in the lower-left panel. North is up, and east is to the left.

The northern end suggests that it may trace two slightly offset rings. Because of the faintness of the redshifted outflow in individual channels (see Appendix E), it is ambiguous how the morphology varies as a function of velocity.

As shown in Figure 6, the two ends of the redshifted arc extend toward the FWHM of the primary beam, and the emission blends into the noise as sensitivity decreases away from the phase center. Given the presence of ring-like blueshifted outflow emission, we consider it likely that the redshifted arc is part of a ring-like outflow shell that extends beyond ALMA’s field of view. To constrain the geometry of the redshifted outflow, we first smoothed the image with a Gaussian kernel with a standard deviation of 0.93 to increase the S/N of the faint arc and then measured the positions $x_0$, $y_0$, $a_0$, $f$, and $\theta$, where $x_0$ and $y_0$ are offsets (in arcseconds) from DO Tau, $a_0$ is the semimajor axis (also in arcseconds), $f$ is the ratio of the semiminor axis to semimajor axis, and $\theta$ is the angle (in radians) between the semimajor axis and the northern direction. The parameters $x_0$ and $y_0$ are defined such that the positive direction is toward the east and north, respectively. The log-likelihood function is written as

$$\log \mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_i \left( \frac{d_i^2}{\sigma^2} + \log(2\pi\sigma^2) \right),$$

where $d_i$ is the smallest distance between point $i$ and the model ellipse, and $\sigma$ is the standard deviation of the major axis of the synthesized beam. We calculate $d_i$ using the analytical expressions described in Zhang (1997). Flat priors were specified for all free parameters, with bounds of [0”, 20”] for $x_0$, [-20”, 20”] for $y_0$, [5”, 20”] for $a_0$, [0, 1] for $f$, and [0, $\pi$] for $\theta$. We used emcee to explore the posteriors, with 40 walkers evolved over 2000 steps each. After discarding the first 1000 steps as burn-in, we find the following posterior medians, with the uncertainties corresponding to the 16th and 84th percentiles: $x_0 = 7.3^{+2.6}_{-1.0}$ arcseconds, $y_0 = -0.8^{+1.1}_{-0.8}$ arcseconds, $a_0 = 14.6^{+2.6}_{-1.5}$ arcseconds, $f = 0.90^{+0.07}_{-0.08}$, and $\theta = 1.2 \pm 0.9$ rad. The ellipse with the median parameter values is shown in Figure 7. The center of the ellipse is tentatively offset to the south from the axis of DO Tau’s [Fe II] jet; the former has a P.A. of $96^{+3}_{-0}$ while the latter has a P.A. of $260^{\circ} \pm 3^\circ$ (Erkal et al. 2021). However, Erkal et al. (2021) note that the redshifted side of the [Fe II] jet may be misaligned from the blueshifted side. The redshifted outflow also appears to be...
velocities between 9.5 and 20.5 km s$^{-1}$. The yellow star marks the position of DO Tau. The white dotted line segments show the P.A. of DO Tau’s jet as measured by Erkal et al. (2021). The shaded regions show the 1σ uncertainties in the jet orientation. The line segments are drawn longer than the observed extent of the jet in order to show the apparent offset from the center of the redshifted outflow.

Figure 7. Model ellipse with median parameter values (in red) overlaid on an integrated intensity map of the redshifted outflow as traced by $^{12}$CO (including velocities between 9.5 and 20.5 km s$^{-1}$). The red cross marks the center of the model ellipse and associated 1σ error bars. The dotted red points denote the positions of the local intensity maxima measured along horizontal cuts across the image. The yellow star marks the position of DO Tau. The white dotted circle denotes the FWHM of the primary beam. The dashed red and blue line segments show the P.A. of DO Tau’s jet as measured by Erkal et al. (2021). The shaded regions show the 1σ uncertainties in the jet orientation. The line segments are drawn longer than the observed extent of the jet in order to show the apparent offset from the center of the redshifted outflow.

slightly misaligned relative to the axis of the blueshifted molecular outflow, which has a P.A. of 253°6 ± 0°1 (Fernández-López et al. 2020). Deeper, mosaicked CO observations will be required to measure the full extent of the redshifted molecular outflow in order to confirm whether it is indeed misaligned. Erkal et al. (2021) inferred that DO Tau’s jet was precessing, so precession may account for misalignment of the molecular outflow.

The detected redshifted and blueshifted outflow shells have strikingly different radii. Whereas the shell radii in the blueshifted outflow range from ~200–1000 au (Fernández-López et al. 2020), the redshifted outflow shell has a much larger radius of 2000 au. We estimate the dynamical age of the redshifted outflow shell as $t_{\text{dyn}} = D_{\text{outflow}}/v_{\text{outflow}}$, where $D_{\text{outflow}}$ is the deprojected distance from DO Tau to the edge of the outflow shell and $v_{\text{outflow}}$ is the deprojected velocity of the outflow relative to DO Tau ($v_{\text{outflow}} = (v_{\text{LSRK}} - v_{\text{sys}})/\cos \iota$). DO Tau’s systemic velocity $v_{\text{sys}}$ is 5.9 km s$^{-1}$ (Pegues et al. 2020). To compute $D_{\text{outflow}}$, we make the simplifying assumption that the outflow axis is perpendicular to the outer disk, for which the inclination has been constrained. Because the outflow misalignment does not seem to be extreme, this simplification should not significantly affect the dynamical timescale estimate. We take the disk inclination to be 27°6, based on the millimeter continuum measurement in Long et al. (2019). Emission from the redshifted outflow is detected at LSRK velocities from ~9.5 to 20.5 km s$^{-1}$ and does not have a well-defined peak along the spectral axis. We therefore use the upper and lower ends of the velocity range to estimate that $t_{\text{dyn}}$ is between ~900 and 3500 yr, which is comparable to or older than the range of dynamical ages that Fernández-López et al. (2020) estimated for the blueshifted outflow shells (~460–1090 yr).

4.3. The Northern and Eastern Arms

The northern and eastern arms detected in scattered light also have counterparts in $^{12}$CO, $^{13}$CO and CS emission (Figure 8). A C$^{18}$O counterpart is not visible in the moment maps, but a portion of it is tentatively visible at an LSRK velocity of 5.5 km s$^{-1}$ in the channel maps (Appendix E). In $^{12}$CO emission, the northern arm is only partially visible due to spatial filtering in channels near the systemic velocity and to contamination from other structures. The northern arm is more clearly visible in $^{13}$CO emission and appears to extend farther south compared to its scattered-light counterpart. It is also possible, though, that this apparent southward extension is contamination from another structure. In CS, only the eastern side of the northern arm is detected. Peculiarly, the portion of the arm brightest in CS emission coincides with the region where the arm becomes more tenuous in $^{13}$CO emission. Observing additional transitions of CS and $^{13}$CO to better constrain the physical conditions across the arm may yield useful insight into why CS exhibits this emission pattern.

The kinematics of the northern and eastern arms are best probed by $^{13}$CO, which is less contaminated by outflows compared to $^{12}$CO but has a higher S/N compared to CS. Figure 9 compares the SPHERE $Q_{\alpha}$ image to the $^{13}$CO channel maps. Emission from the northern arm is detected roughly between 5.00 and 7.75 km s$^{-1}$. The eastern end of this arm emerges from the side of the Keplerian disk that is blueshifted relative to the systemic velocity (5.9 km s$^{-1}$). The behavior of the western side of the northern arm is more difficult to interpret. Blueshifted emission overlapped the western side of the arm in the channels from 5.50 to 5.75 km s$^{-1}$. Little to no emission is detected on the western side of the arm in the channels from 6.00 to 6.25 km s$^{-1}$. Then, a bright redshifted component overlapped the northern arm from 6.50 to 7.75 km s$^{-1}$. The two distinct kinematic components may indicate that what appears to be a single continuous arm in the SPHERE image may actually be multiple structures overlapping in projection, or perhaps that the arm is expanding. Meanwhile, the eastern arm is detected between 6.00 and 7.75 km s$^{-1}$, emerging from the redshifted side of the Keplerian disk.

In Section 3, we inferred from the total intensity and DoLP map that the northern arm is oriented such that the eastern side is tilted toward the observer. If this is indeed the case, then its blueshifted motion indicates that it is moving away from the disk. Meanwhile, the redshifted motion of the eastern arm indicates that it is moving opposite to the eastern side of the northern arm.

4.4. Stream-like Structures

We detect several stream-like structures (labeled $\alpha_{\text{CO}}$, $\beta_{\text{CO}}$, $\delta_{\text{CO}}$, and $\epsilon_{\text{CO}}$) northeast of DO Tau in $^{12}$CO emission at velocities between 6.75 and 8.75 km s$^{-1}$ (Figure 10), which is redshifted relative to DO Tau’s systemic velocity of 5.9 km s$^{-1}$. We also tentatively identify a $\gamma_{\text{CO}}$ feature. Its geometry is less certain due to low sensitivity outside the primary-beam FWHM as well as confusion with the $\delta_{\text{CO}}$ and $\epsilon_{\text{CO}}$ structures. In $^{13}$CO emission, we identify counterparts to $\beta_{\text{CO}}$, $\delta_{\text{CO}}$, and $\epsilon_{\text{CO}}$. Much
of this emission appears at a velocity range (5.75 km s\(^{-1}\) to 6.5 km s\(^{-1}\)), where \(^{12}\)CO exhibits severe spatial filtering. Thus, in the intensity-weighted velocity maps (Figure 4), the stream-like structures appear blueshifted in \(^{13}\)CO compared to \(^{12}\)CO. \(^{13}\)CO also exhibits extended emission that overlaps with the \(^{12}\)CO feature.

In projection, \(\alpha_{\text{CO}}, \beta_{\text{CO}}, \gamma_{\text{CO}},\) and \(\epsilon_{\text{CO}}\) all appear to converge on the western side of DO Tau’s northern arm. The \(\alpha_{\text{CO}}\) and \(\beta_{\text{CO}}\) streams can be traced up to the edge of the image, with a projected separation of \(\sim 19''\) (~2600 au) from DO Tau. The appearance of the streams is adversely affected by foreground contamination, loss of sensitivity away from the phase center, and spatial filtering (the maximum recoverable scale of the ALMA configuration used is \(\sim 4''\)). However, the correspondence between the \(\alpha_{\text{CO}}\) and \(\beta_{\text{CO}}\) and the \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) streams detected in the HST STIS image lends greater confidence to the characterization of their geometry (Figure 11). The \(\gamma_{\text{CO}}\) stream appears to be slightly offset to the southeast from the \(\gamma\) stream identified with HST, but observations with better \(uv\) coverage and sensitivity should be obtained to confirm whether this offset is genuine.

A comparison of the stream locations with the Herschel PACS 160 \(\mu\)m image (Figure 11) suggests that the \(\alpha_{\text{CO}}\) and \(\beta_{\text{CO}}\) (and perhaps \(\gamma_{\text{CO}}\)) streams form the base of an elongated structure that extends northeast of DO Tau. This elongated structure has previously been described as a tidal tail that forms part of a bridge structure connected to HV Tau (Winter et al. 2018). Because the Herschel PACS image has a relatively low spatial resolution (~11'' at 160 \(\mu\)m), we are unable to identify individual infrared counterparts to the ALMA and HST streams.

To determine whether the stream-like structures are gravitationally bound, we compute the radius \(r\) at which the line-of-sight velocity relative to DO Tau is equal to the escape velocity, \(\sqrt{2GM_{\odot}/r}\). Structures outside this radius must be moving faster than the escape velocity and are thus gravitationally unbound. (Structures inside this radius may or may not be unbound depending on what the other velocity components are.) In making this calculation, we assume that the mass of the gas and dust surrounding DO Tau is small compared to the stellar mass of \(0.54 \pm 0.07\ M_{\odot}\) (Braun et al. 2021). The resulting escape velocity radii are plotted in Figure 12. Because the streams generally lie outside these radii, we conclude that they are not gravitationally bound.

4.5. Mass Constraints on Structures Surrounding DO Tau

Under the assumption that \(^{13}\)CO is optically thin outside DO Tau’s Keplerian disk, we can use it to estimate a lower bound for the gas mass of the structures around the disk. However, it should be kept in mind that the flux may be significantly underestimated due to spatial filtering. Furthermore, the ALMA field of view does not capture the full extent of these structures.

In the LTE limit, column density can be estimated from optically thin emission with

\[
N = \frac{Q(T)}{g_u} \exp \left( \frac{E_u}{T} \right) \frac{4\pi}{A_{\text{ul}}hc} \int I_d dv, \tag{4}
\]

where \(Q\) is the partition function, \(g_u\) is the upper-state degeneracy, \(E_u\) is the upper-state energy, \(T\) is the excitation temperature, \(A_{\text{ul}}\) is the Einstein \(A\) coefficient, and \(\int I_d dv\) is the integral of the intensity over the velocity axis (e.g., Goldsmith & Langer 1999). For \(^{13}\)CO \(J = 2-1\), \(g_u = 10\) (note that the Cologne Database for Molecular Spectroscopy includes hyperfine splitting in its partition function and degeneracy), \(E_u = 15.9\ \text{K}\), and \(A_{\text{ul}} = 6.08 \times 10^{-7}\ \text{S}^{-1}\) (Müller et al. 2001, 2005).

To estimate the flux, we made a \(^{13}\)CO integrated intensity map without clipping in order to avoid biasing measurements upward. We then extracted the flux within the highlighted region shown in Figure 13, finding a value of \(\sim 4.5\ \text{Jy km s}^{-1}\). This value excludes emission from the disk, which we estimate has a radial extent of \(\sim 250\ \text{au}\) based on visual inspection. Although the boundary between the disk and the extended structures is not well defined, the exact choice of value does not affect the order of magnitude of the mass estimate for the extended structures because they dominate the flux due to their large emitting area. The mean integrated intensity within the region of extraction is \(\sim 11\ \text{mJy km s}^{-1}\). To derive a mean column density, we adopt a gas temperature of 30 K, based on temperatures that
Winter et al. (2018) estimated from Herschel dust maps of the region around DO Tau. At this temperature, $Q = 23.4$ for $^{13}$CO (Müller et al. 2001, 2005). We find a mean $^{13}$CO column density of $\sim 3 \times 10^{14}$ cm$^{-2}$. We assume that the composition of the gas is similar to that of local molecular clouds, i.e., the gas is predominantly molecular hydrogen,
\[^{12}\text{CO}:^{13}\text{CO} = 69 \text{ (e.g., Frerking et al. 1982; Wilson 1999)}\). We estimate a lower bound of ~4 x 10^{-4} M_\odot \text{ for the gas mass of the extended structures. Because } ^{13}\text{CO} \text{ is likely optically thick within the Keplerian disk, we do not use it to estimate the disk mass. However, Kwon et al. (2015) estimated a total disk mass of 0.014 M_\odot \text{ based on radiative transfer modeling of the millimeter continuum. Thus, the mass of the extended structures appears to be at least a few percent of the disk mass.}

Based on Herschel far-infrared maps of dust emission, Winter et al. (2018) derived a lower bound on 10^{-2} M_\odot \text{ for the total mass of extended structures surrounding DO Tau and HV Tau. This is much higher than our lower bound of ~4 x 10^{-4} M_\odot , which is due at least in part to the Herschel measurements being taken over a much larger area. DO Tau and HV Tau have a projected separation of ~91'', whereas the FWHM of the primary beam of the ALMA } ^{13}\text{CO image is only ~29''. Spatial filtering of } ^{13}\text{CO} \text{ is almost certainly responsible for part of the discrepancy as well. Other possibilities are that the gas-to-dust ratio or } ^{12}\text{CO}:H_2 \text{ ratio differs from interstellar medium (ISM) values. This could be the case if the material originated from within the protoplanetary disks because the gas-to-dust and } ^{12}\text{CO}:H_2 \text{ ratios in disks are both expected to decrease over time (e.g., Gorti et al. 2015; Miotello et al. 2019). Sturm et al. (2022) find evidence from } [\text{CI}] \text{ observations that carbon is depleted by a factor of 17 from ISM levels in the outer regions of the DO Tau disk, which would in turn reduce the } ^{12}\text{CO}:H_2 \text{ ratio. Wide-field observations with better } uv \text{ coverage will be necessary to robustly examine the consistency between the } ^{13}\text{CO}-\text{derived mass and the Herschel-derived mass.}

4.6. Summary of Structures Associated with DO Tau

Figure 14 presents a schematic showing the spatial relationship between the various structures around DO Tau identified in scattered-light and molecular line emission. The smallest structure characterized is the circumstellar disk itself, with a radial extent of ~80 au in scattered light. The northern and eastern arms, both connected to the disk, trace structures up to several hundred astronomical units from DO Tau. The streams and the large northeastern arc are much larger in scale, with extents of several thousand astronomical units. The scales of the molecular outflow shells range from hundreds to thousands of astronomical units.

5. Discussion

Here we discuss potential origins for the arms, stream-like structures, and large northeastern arc near DO Tau. We limit the discussion of the jet and molecular outflow because they have already recently been analyzed at length by Fernández-López et al. (2020) and Erkal et al. (2021).

5.1. Evidence for an Encounter between DO Tau and HV Tau

Winter et al. (2018) presented stellar encounter simulations reproducing the general morphology of the dust “bridge” connecting DO Tau and HV Tau, as imaged by Herschel. They inferred that DO Tau and HV Tau began as a quadruple system, but then subsequently became either unbound or only loosely bound. However, the simulation resolution was not high enough for comparison with the complex network of structures detected by HST, SPHERE, and ALMA. Arm-like structures reminiscent of DO Tau’s northern and eastern arms, though, do appear in high-resolution stellar flyby simulations of other
systems (e.g., Clarke & Pringle 1993; Pfalzner et al. 2008; Dai et al. 2015; Cuello et al. 2019).

The DO Tau system also bears notable similarities to the RW Aur system, which has been hypothesized to have undergone a recent stellar encounter (e.g., Dai et al. 2015; Rodriguez et al. 2018). Like DO Tau, RW Aur features multiple arm-like structures in CO emission (Cabrit et al. 2006; Rodriguez et al. 2018). Both systems have also been noted for their unusually strong photometric variability on timescales of several weeks or longer (Rodriguez et al. 2016; Rigon et al. 2017). Among other explanations, a misaligned disk has been hypothesized to be responsible for RW Aur’s dimming events (Facchini et al. 2016; Koutoulaki et al. 2019). A similar link may exist for DO Tau, which has been hypothesized to have a misaligned inner disk based on evidence of a precessing jet (Erkal et al. 2021). Hydrodynamical simulations have demonstrated that stellar encounters can lead to disk warps and misalignments, albeit with rapid realignment timescales (e.g., Clarke & Pringle 1993; Cuello et al. 2019; Nealon et al. 2020). In general, disk misalignments have been more commonly attributed to the presence of a misaligned embedded companion, which simulations suggest can yield more extreme and longer-lasting disk warps compared to stellar encounters (e.g., Facchini et al. 2018; Zhu 2019; Nealon et al. 2020). Nevertheless, the evidence for misalignments in both the RW Aur A and DO Tau disks motivates further examination of the long-term impact of external perturbations on the evolution of the inner disk. Characterizing the mechanisms that can lead to disk misalignment is key for determining the extent to which processes that occur during the gas-rich protoplanetary disk phase may be responsible for the misaligned orbits observed in mature planetary systems (e.g., Batygin 2012; Lai 2014; Spalding & Batygin 2016).

One characteristic that sets DO Tau apart from most other systems that have been hypothesized to have undergone a stellar encounter (e.g., RW Aur, AS 205, UX Tau, FU Ori) is that DO Tau’s current projected separation from HV Tau is ∼12,600 au, whereas the current projected separations between
the other hypothesized stellar encounter pairs are typically only on the order of a few hundred astronomical units (e.g., Forgan & Rice 2010; Kurtovic et al. 2018; Zapata et al. 2020; Ménard et al. 2020; Borchert et al. 2022). Dong et al. (2022) recently identified a more extreme case in which Z CMa appears to have undergone an encounter with a source now separated in projection by ~4700 au, but this is still considerably smaller than the projected separation between DO Tau and HV Tau. A difficulty with the stellar encounter scenario for DO Tau and HV Tau is that in general, simulations suggest that arm-like structures produced by stellar encounters should dissipate within several thousand years (e.g., Cuello et al. 2019). However, a kinematic analysis by Winter et al. (2018) indicates that an encounter between DO Tau and HV Tau would have had to take place ~0.1 Myr ago to match their current separation. (The simulated bridge structure between DO Tau and HV Tau in Winter et al. 2018 starts to dissipate...
before the stars reach their present-day separation, but this behavior was due to numerical limitations of the SPH simulation setup.

Winter et al. (2018) raised the caveat that the proper motions of DO Tau and HV Tau AB suggest that rather than moving away from each other (as one would expect if DO Tau had been ejected after an encounter), the systems may be moving toward one another. The Gaia EDR3 (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2021) proper motion of DO Tau is $\mu_\alpha = 6.27 \pm 0.04$ mas yr$^{-1}$, $\mu_\delta = -21.05 \pm 0.03$ mas yr$^{-1}$ and the proper motion of HV Tau AB is $\mu_\alpha = 4.78 \pm 0.06$ mas yr$^{-1}$, $\mu_\delta = -21.4 \pm 0.05$ mas yr$^{-1}$. Proper motion information is not available for HV Tau C. However, Winter et al. (2018) also point out that because HV Tau AB is not resolved by Gaia, its derived proper motion does not necessarily correspond to that of its center of mass and could therefore give an inaccurate impression of its velocity relative to DO Tau (see also Clarke 2020).

Stellar ejections have been hypothesized as a way to power molecular outflows (e.g., Bally et al. 2011). Based on the dynamical ages of the outflow shells estimated in this work and Fernández-López et al. (2020), though, the launch of these outflow shells appears to be a more recent event than the hypothesized encounter between DO Tau and HV Tau, which Winter et al. (2018) estimated took place 0.1 Myr ago. Nevertheless, it is worth considering how the effect of the stellar encounter might have affected the behavior of the outflow, which is noticeably asymmetric. One possible cause for jet and outflow asymmetries is environmental heterogeneity (e.g., Hirth et al. 1994; Arce & Goodman 2002). DO Tau’s local environment is quite complex, which may be due in part to the disruptive influence of a stellar encounter. However, molecular clouds are also intrinsically heterogeneous, so it is not necessarily straightforward to isolate the influence of an encounter, as discussed below.

5.2. Evidence for Remnant Envelope Material

DO Tau has traditionally been identified as a Class II system based on its SED (e.g., Kenyon & Hartmann 1995; Luhman et al. 2010). Because of the disk’s bright gas and dust emission, it has frequently been included in studies aimed at characterizing trends in Class II disk properties (e.g., Najita et al. 2013; Howard et al. 2013; Williams & Best 2014; Long et al. 2019; Bergner et al. 2019). Stellar age estimates for DO Tau have spanned a wide range, from ~0.4 to 6 Myr (e.g., Andrews et al. 2018; Long et al. 2019), but the system exhibits several attributes more characteristic of the younger side of the estimated age range. DO Tau’s large northeastern arc resembles the arc-like reflection nebulæ that have been observed around the partially embedded HL Tau and DG Tau systems (e.g., Nakajima & Golimowski 1995; Stapelfeldt et al. 1995). In addition, CO emission maps of HL Tau and DG Tau have revealed arcs and streams on scales of hundreds to thousands of astronomical units, reminiscent of those detected in the CO maps of DO Tau (e.g., Yen et al. 2017; Güdel et al. 2018). DO Tau’s strong CO outflow is also suggestive of youth, although molecular outflows have on rare occasions been detected in other Class II systems (e.g., Frank et al. 2014; Louvet et al. 2018). Another potential indication of relative youth is DO Tau’s spectral energy distribution (Figure 15), which exhibits higher mid-IR and far-IR emission than most other Class II systems in Taurus. While a value of $A_v = 3$ from Andrews et al. 2013 was assumed in order to deredden DO Tau’s SED, the discrepancy with other Taurus Class II sources is still present if we instead adopt $A_v = 0.75$ from Herczeg & Hillenbrand 2014.) This excess may be due to the presence of residual envelope material and/or to DO Tau’s disk being comparatively less settled (e.g., Wilking et al. 1989; Dullemond & Dominik 2004). Both characteristics are often (though not exclusively) associated with younger systems.

While the simulations in Winter et al. (2018) could qualitatively reproduce the large bridge structure connecting DO Tau and HV Tau solely through the ejection of disk material following a close encounter, the morphological similarities between DO Tau and sources known to be partially embedded raise the question of whether some or perhaps even most of the material surrounding DO Tau actually originated from outside the disk. The formation of bridge-like structures between stars is not uniquely an outcome of tidal stripping by stellar encounters. Bridge-like structures appear in simulations of binary formation through turbulent fragmentation, although separations exceeding $10^4$ au are uncommon past 100 kyr (e.g., Offner et al. 2016; Lee et al. 2019). Simulations by Kuffmeier et al. (2019) demonstrate that bridge-like structures can form between protostars in multiple systems due to compression of their natal filament. However, given that bridge structures that form in this manner only survive for a few tens of thousands of years in the simulations, this mechanism does not provide a fully satisfactory explanation for the bridge structure connecting DO Tau and HV Tau.

The possibilities that DO Tau underwent a stellar encounter and that the system is partially embedded are not mutually exclusive. Stellar interactions are expected to be more probable during the embedded phase because protostars often form in close groups and because the presence of an envelope increases the cross section of a system (e.g., Gutermuth et al. 2005; Bate 2018). The large-scale structures around DO Tau could therefore represent some combination of disk material stripped by a stellar encounter and disturbed remnant envelope material.

At any rate, the observations of DO Tau provide further motivation to reexamine the environments of other disks...
traditionally considered to be Class II disks to determine if they likewise are (partially) embedded or interacting with cloud material. Star formation simulations from Padoan et al. (2014) suggest that Class II sources experience infall from nearby cloud material even up to ages of at least several million years. In this case, DO Tau’s complex large-scale structures would not necessarily be indicative of youth. A growing number of Class II disks have been identified as potentially experiencing “late infall,” at ages of roughly a Myr or more (e.g., Tang et al. 2012; Dullemond et al. 2019; Ginski et al. 2020; Huang et al. 2021; Mesa et al. 2022). Ongoing accretion of external material during the Class II phase has been suggested as a way to increase the budget of material available to form planets within a given disk (Manara et al. 2018; Dullemond et al. 2019).

5.3. Avenues for Clarifying the Origins of DO Tau’s Extended Structures

Determining the extent to which the material surrounding DO Tau is primordial is key for understanding how disks evolve. Under the assumption that all the material surrounding DO Tau and HV Tau was stripped from their disks, the simulations in Winter et al. (2018) indicated that the stellar encounter caused the disks to lose half their mass. However, if some of the external material is primordial, then the impact of stellar encounters on disk mass may not be so extreme. Furthermore, if DO Tau is still interacting with its envelope, it may not be appropriate to group it with envelope-free Class II disks in population studies.

One limitation in our analysis is that some of the structures detected by ALMA extend beyond its field of view, so we do not know their complete morphology. While the low-resolution Herschel dust maps suggest that the streams extend toward HV Tau, mosaicked ALMA observations would be needed to check if they are connected. Meanwhile, the kinematics of the large northeastern arc are unknown because it does not have a clear counterpart in the molecular emission maps, which could be due to spatial filtering. Mosaicked maps with better $uv$ coverage could be used to investigate whether the structure is gravitationally bound to DO Tau.

High-resolution hydrodynamical simulations of stellar encounters with disks both with and without envelopes could help to clarify where DO Tau’s extended structures might have originated. Attempting to reproduce the morphology and kinematics of DO Tau’s structures in detail would be a very
to increase between the Class I and II stages
improve constraints on DO Tau

Ribas et al.
dereddened photometric measurements presented in Andrews et al. (2013) and Ribas et al. (2017). An $A_V$ value of 3 is adopted based on Andrews et al. (2013).

The red curve corresponds to the Spitzer/IRS spectrum retrieved from the Cornell Atlas of Spitzer/IRS Sources (Lebouteiller et al. 2011, 2015). The dashed black curve shows the estimated stellar photosphere. The solid black curve corresponds to the median dereddened Taurus Class II SED from Ribas et al. (2017), while the gray shaded area corresponds to the interquartile range.

**Figure 15.** A comparison between the spectral energy distribution of DO Tau and other Class II disks in Taurus. The blue points (with 1σ error bars) denote dereddened photometric measurements presented in Andrews et al. (2013) and Ribas et al. (2017). An $A_V$ value of 3 is adopted based on Andrews et al. (2013). The red curve corresponds to the Spitzer/IRS spectrum retrieved from the Cornell Atlas of Spitzer/IRS Sources (Lebouteiller et al. 2011, 2015). The dashed black curve shows the estimated stellar photosphere. The solid black curve corresponds to the median dereddened Taurus Class II SED from Ribas et al. (2017), while the gray shaded area corresponds to the interquartile range.

computationally formidable task, though, because of the wide range of size scales involved and the large space of initial conditions that would need to be explored. Simulations spanning disk to sub-parsec scales have generally focused on the first couple hundred thousand years of a protostellar system’s life (e.g., Kuffmeier et al. 2017; Bate 2018; Kuffmeier et al. 2018; Lebreuilly et al. 2021), but observations of complex large-scale structures associated with more evolved systems are highlighting the need to extend these types of simulations to later stages.

Another potential avenue to distinguish between material ejected from the disk and remnant material from the star formation process is to compare the composition of the gas around DO Tau to that of (partially) embedded systems, isolated Class II disks, and Class II stellar flyby candidate systems. While spatially resolved molecular observations of Class I and II disks have been steadily growing (e.g., Pegues et al. 2020; Bergner et al. 2020; van’t Hoff et al. 2020), published spatially resolved molecular line observations of stellar flyby candidates have largely been limited to CO (e.g., Kurtovic et al. 2018; Rodriguez et al. 2018; Zapata et al. 2020). Further molecular line observations of the latter type of object, in conjunction with astrochemical modeling, will be needed to determine whether material stripped from the disk has a chemical signature distinct from envelope material. For example, CS emission in the embedded DG Tau system exhibits arm-like structures reminiscent of those detected around DO Tau (Garufi et al. 2022b). However, it remains to be seen whether other stellar flyby candidate systems have similar CS emission arms. Other species worth targeting in DO Tau and other stellar flyby candidates include SO and SO$_2$, which often appear to be associated with accretion shocks in embedded systems but are rarely detected in envelope-free Class II systems (e.g., Sakai et al. 2014; Artur de la Villarmois et al. 2019; Garufi et al. 2022b). It will also be useful to improve constraints on DO Tau’s C/O ratio, which is expected to increase between the Class I and II stages (e.g., Cleeves et al. 2018; Miotello et al. 2019). Results have thus far been mixed;

Sturm et al. (2022) derived a relatively high C/O ratio of $\sim 1$ based on spatially unresolved [C I] observations but found that the C$_2$H upper limit from Bergner et al. (2019) was better explained by an ISM-like ratio of 0.47. Obtaining deeper and higher resolutions of [C I] and C$_2$H, or estimating the C/O ratio from SO/CS, can help to resolve this ambiguity.

While DO Tau is not itself an FU Ori object, McGroarty & Ray (2004) suggested that the presence of nearby Herbig–Haro objects may be evidence of a past FU Ori–like outburst. The complex system of extended structures around DO Tau is also reminiscent of scattered-light and molecular emission images of FU Ori systems (Liu et al. 2016; Zurlo et al. 2017). The mechanism responsible for triggering the strong outbursts in FU Ori–type objects (and potentially sculpting complex large-scale structures around them) is still debated; hypotheses include gravitational instability, accretion from surrounding cloud material, binary interactions, and stellar encounters (e.g., Bonnell & Bastien 1992; Vorobyov & Basu 2005; Forgan & Rice 2010; Audard et al. 2014; Dullemond et al. 2019; Borchert et al. 2022; Dong et al. 2022). Further observational and theoretical investigation of FU Ori–type systems may provide insight into DO Tau’s history as well.

**6. Summary**

We analyzed new and archival scattered-light and molecular line observations to map the extent, relative orientation, and kinematics of the series of complex structures surrounding DO Tau. Our findings are as follows:

1. We detect DO Tau’s circumsellar disk in polarized scattered light for the first time. Like the millimeter continuum emission presented in Long et al. (2019), the disk does not exhibit any clear substructure in scattered light.
2. The SPHERE scattered-light and ALMA molecular line observations show that the disk is connected to two arm-like structures, one on the eastern side and one on the northern side. They extend up to several hundred astronomical units away from the disk.
3. The HST scattered light and ALMA CO emission trace multiple stream-like structures extending northeast of DO Tau over scales of at least a couple thousand astronomical units. In projection, these streams mostly appear to converge at DO Tau’s northern arm. The streams are redshifted with respect to DO Tau’s systemic velocity and are not gravitationally bound to DO Tau. Based on their orientation, the streams appear to be part of the bridge-like structure connecting DO Tau and HV Tau as seen in Herschel far-infrared images.
4. We also detect a faint redshifted counterpart to the blueshifted outflow previously detected in CO emission. The redshifted outflow appears to be misaligned with respect to the jet (as traced by [Fe II]), but wider-field CO mapping will be necessary to confirm the geometry of the redshifted outflow.
5. While some of DO Tau’s complex structures are compatible with a previously hypothesized stellar encounter, the system also shows signposts of still being partially embedded. Future high-resolution simulations of protostellar evolution up to the Class II stage and observational characterization of the composition of the
gas in and around DO Tau will be key for clarifying the origins of these structures.

This case study of DO Tau highlights the utility of spatially resolved panchromatic observations for probing the environments of protoplanetary disks. For DO Tau, no single tracer reveals all of the associated large-scale structures. Similar joint analyses of scattered-light and molecular line observations of other systems will be key for understanding how the disk population is affected by interactions with their environments.
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Appendix A
Comparison of Standard RDI and IDF-RDI Images of DO Tau

Figure 16 compares total intensity images of DO Tau produced through standard RDI with KLIP and through IDF-RDI. While the extended structures around DO Tau appear similar in the two images, IDF-RDI mitigates the over-subtraction artifacts visible in the RDI image.
Appendix B
Details of ALMA Observational Setup

Details of the ALMA observations of DO Tau are provided in Tables 3, 4, and 5.

Table 3
Summary of ALMA Observational Setup

| Date       | Antennas | Baselines (m) | Time on Source (minutes) | Flux Calibrator | Bandpass Calibrator | Phase Calibrator |
|------------|----------|---------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|-----------------|
|            |          |               |                          |                |                     |                 |
| 1.3 mm Setting |        |               |                          |                |                     |                 |
| 2016 Dec 1 | 44       | 15–704        | 10                       | J0423-0120     | J0237+2848          | J0426+2327       |
| 2016 Dec 2 | 44       | 15–704        | 10                       | J0423-0120     | J0510+1800          | J0426+2327       |
| 2016 Dec 3 | 44       | 15–704        | 9                        | J0423-0120     | J0237+2848          | J0426+2327       |
|            |          |               |                          |                |                     |                 |
| 1.1 mm Setting |        |               |                          |                |                     |                 |
| 2016 Dec 1 | 44       | 15–704        | 9                        | J0510+1800     | J0510+1800          | J0426+2327       |
| 2016 Dec 2 | 45       | 15–704        | 9                        | J0510+1800     | J0510+1800          | J0426+2327       |
| 2016 Dec 3 | 44       | 15–704        | 9                        | J0423-0120     | J0237+2848          | J0426+2327       |

Figure 16. Left: total intensity image of DO Tau produced with standard RDI (equivalent to the zeroth iteration of IDF-RDI). Right: total intensity image of DO Tau after 10 iterations of IDF-RDI.
### Table 5
1.1 mm Spectral Setting

| Center Frequency<sup>a</sup> (GHz) | Bandwidth (MHz) | Native Channel Spacing (MHz) |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| 242.903                          | 937.5           | 0.244                         |
| 244.212                          | 117.2           | 0.061                         |
| 244.925                          | 117.2           | 0.061                         |
| 258.146                          | 117.2           | 0.061                         |
| 259.001                          | 117.2           | 0.061                         |
| 260.507                          | 117.2           | 0.061                         |
| 262.031                          | 117.2           | 0.061                         |

Note.
<sup>a</sup> Topocentric frequency.

### Table 4
1.3 mm Spectral Setting

| Center Frequency<sup>a</sup> (GHz) | Bandwidth (MHz) | Native Channel Spacing (MHz) |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| 217.227                          | 58.6            | 0.061                         |
| 218.210                          | 58.6            | 0.061                         |
| 218.313                          | 58.6            | 0.061                         |
| 218.721                          | 58.6            | 0.061                         |
| 219.548                          | 117.2           | 0.061                         |
| 220.387                          | 117.2           | 0.061                         |
| 230.525                          | 117.2           | 0.061                         |
| 231.309                          | 117.2           | 0.061                         |
| 232.406                          | 937.5           | 0.244                         |

Note.
<sup>a</sup> Topocentric frequency.
Appendix C
Nonannotated versions of Scattered-light Images

Nonannotated versions of the HST and SPHERE images presented in the main text are shown in Figure 17.

Appendix D
Redetection of a Point Source in the Field of DO Tau

Itoh et al. (2008) reported the detection of a point source east of DO Tau in H-band observations taken with the Subaru CIAO instrument on 2005 November 12. We redetect this point source in both epochs of the SPHERE H-band observations as well as in archival NICMOS observations in the F110W and F160W filters. Together, these observations provide a 21 yr baseline to examine whether the point source is bound to DO Tau.

D.1. Archival Data Reduction

Because Itoh et al. (2008) did not report a position angle for the point source in their Subaru H-band observations from program o05146 (PI: M. Tamura), we retrieved the raw data from Subaru’s
SMOKA archive for reanalysis. Details of the observations are provided in Itoh et al. (2008). We performed dark subtraction, filtered bad pixels, recentered the central star, and median-combined the individual exposures.

To obtain a longer baseline to analyze the motion of the point source, we checked the HST archive for earlier observations. DO Tau was imaged by HST NICMOS with the NIC2 coronagraph on 1998 December 02 in the F110W (central wavelength: 1.1 μm) and F160W (central wavelength: 1.6 μm) filters as part of program HST-GO-7418 (P. I.: D. Padgett). The exposure time in each filter was 512 s. The observations were processed with the ALICE pipeline as described in Choquet et al. (2014) and Hagan et al. (2018). The sizes of the DO Tau images included in the ALICE public data release24 are truncated to 6″ × 6″; however, because the point source reported by Itoh et al. (2008) is outside the field of view of the public version of the images, we produced new 10″ × 10″ versions. In brief, the input science images and PSF reference library images come from the database of NICMOS observations recalibrated by the LAPLACE project (Schneider et al. 2010). PSF subtraction for DO Tau was performed with KLIP. We used a PSF library of 18 frames and subtracted two KL modes for the F110W image, while we used a PSF library of 100 frames and subtracted one KL mode for the F160W image.

The NICMOS, CIAO, and SPHERE images of the point source are shown in Figure 18. The point source is not detected in the field of view of the public version of the images, we produced new 10″ × 10″ versions. In brief, the input science images and PSF reference library images come from the database of NICMOS observations recalibrated by the LAPLACE project (Schneider et al. 2010). PSF subtraction for DO Tau was performed with KLIP. We used a PSF library of 18 frames and subtracted two KL modes for the F110W image, while we used a PSF library of 100 frames and subtracted one KL mode for the F160W image.

The NICMOS, CIAO, and SPHERE images of the point source are shown in Figure 18. The point source is not detected in the HST STIS optical image, for which Itoh et al. (2008) estimated a detection limit of 28 mag.

D.2. Astrometric Analysis

For the NICMOS observations, the astrometry was performed following the methodology described in Choquet et al. (2015). For each filter, a synthetic NICMOS PSF was generated using the Tiny Tim software (Krist et al. 2011). The synthetic PSF was used as a matched filter template to find the position and flux values that maximize the correlation with the data. The 1σ astrometric uncertainty was taken to be half the size of the NIC2 pixel. The S/N was estimated by measuring the standard deviation in an annulus around the point source.

![Figure 18](image_url) Figure 18. A gallery of images showing the point source detected in the vicinity of DO Tau. Each panel is labeled with the instrument, filter, and date of observation. The white arrow points to the location of the point source. The axes of the leftmost image are labeled with the offset in arcseconds with respect to DO Tau. The black circles in the NICMOS images and gray circles in the CIAO and SPHERE images denote the extent of the coronagraphic mask. An azimuthally averaged radial profile has been subtracted from the CIAO image to make the point source stand out more clearly. The two SPHERE cADI images have been convolved with a Gaussian with a standard deviation of 3 pixels (0″0368).

![Figure 19](image_url) Figure 19. Measurements of the P.A. of the point source in the field of DO Tau, shown as a function of time. The gray ribbon shows the expected values for a stationary background source. The dashed black lines show the expected position angle as a function of time if the point source had a face-on circular orbit (i.e., the orientation at which the change in P.A. would be maximized). The blue dashed-dotted line marks the P.A. measured for the most recent observation.

However, the background estimates may be skewed by the extended structures surrounding DO Tau.

In the Subaru observations, the point source noted by Itoh et al. (2008) is visible near the bright diffraction spike to the east of the central star. To extract the position of the point source in detector coordinates, a 2D Gaussian was fitted at the source position, which yields an uncertainty in the P.A. of the source relative to the DO Tau of 0.33. However, this uncertainty does not account for systematic offsets from a dedicated true north astrometric calibration. Following the discussion in Ginski et al. (2014), we incorporate an additional systematic offset of ~1° into the uncertainties that we report.

The astrometric extraction of the SPHERE H-band data was performed at the SPHERE Data Center (Delorme et al. 2017; Galicher et al. 2018) by applying the TLOCI reduction algorithm (Marois et al. 2010; Galicher et al. 2018) to the intensity data and injecting negative point sources to null the source signal. While the astrometric calibration of SPHERE is

---

24 https://archive.stsci.edu/resolve/reslove.html?doi=10.17909/T9W99V
very stable (Maire et al. 2016), we still used a dedicated astrometric calibration epoch with the cluster 47 Tuc, which was acquired within three weeks of the observations of DO Tau. The initial TLOCI extraction yielded an uncertainty in the P.A. of 0°.1. We then accounted for the uncertainty in the true north alignment of the detector, which is also 0°.1.

The astrometric measurements for the different epochs are given in Table 6. The position angles of the point source are plotted in Figure 19 and compared to the expected P.A. of a bound source on a face-on circular orbit (i.e., the orientation at which the change in P.A. would be maximized) and of a stationary (distant) background source. The P.A. of the latter is calculated given a proper motion for DO Tau of \( \mu_\alpha^* = 6.27 \pm 0.04 \) mas yr\(^{-1} \), \( \mu_\delta = -21.05 \pm 0.03 \) mas yr\(^{-1} \) (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2021). The change in P.A. of the observed point source is much larger than expected for a bound source but smaller than for a stationary background source. Based on the SPHERE and NICMOS observations (and excluding the more uncertain Subaru observations), we estimate that the proper motion difference between the point source and DO Tau is \( \Delta \mu_\alpha = -4.7 \pm 2.0 \) mas yr\(^{-1} \), \( \Delta \mu_\delta = 13.6 \pm 2.4 \) mas yr\(^{-1} \). To examine whether the object could be a background source that is still within Taurus, we retrieved Gaia DR2 proper motions of the Taurus members listed in Luhman (2018) and computed their dispersions, which were 3.5 mas yr\(^{-1} \) in the R.A. direction and 4.0 mas yr\(^{-1} \) in decl. Because the relative proper motion of the point source deviates by more than 3\( \sigma \) in the decl. direction from the dispersion in Taurus, we conclude that the point source is most likely not a member of Taurus, but not distant enough from the observer to appear stationary.

### Appendix E

**Channel Maps of Molecular Line Observations**

Channel maps of \(^{12}\text{CO}\) \(J = 2–1 \), \(^{13}\text{CO}\) \(J = 2–1 \), \(^{18}\text{O}\) \(J = 2–1 \), and \(CS\) \(J = 5–4 \) toward DO Tau are provided in Figure 20.
Figure 20. Channel maps of $^{12}$CO $J = 2 - 1$ emission toward DO Tau, part 1. The LSRK velocity (km s$^{-1}$) appears in the top right of each panel. The synthesized beam is shown as a solid white ellipse in the lower-left corner. A gray cross marks the position of the millimeter continuum peak, which is located at the phase center. Offsets from the phase center (in arcseconds) are marked in the lower-left panel. North is up, and east is to the left. An arcinsh stretch is applied to the color scale to highlight faint emission features.

(The complete figure set (8 images) is available.)
