CASE REPORT

Pineal germinoma in a young adult: A case report
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Abstract

**Background:** Intracranial germinomas (GN) are rare cancers that primarily affect children, making them rarer still in adults. Standard treatment for this neoplasm includes neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NC) followed by radiotherapy (RT) or RT at a higher dose and larger field. These recommendations are based on studies focused mostly on children; it is currently unclear whether this treatment is applicable to adults.

**Case:** We present a case of a 23-year-old adult male with no underlying pathologies, drug allergies, or family history of cancer, who presented for medical evaluation with blurred vision, diplopia, forgetfulness, and weight loss starting 3–4 months before the evaluation. Clinical examination indicated Parinaud’s Syndrome. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and computed tomography (CT) revealed a pineal tumor with ependymal dissemination in both lateral ventricles, which was causing obstructive hydrocephalus. The patient had surgery consisting of ventriculostomy, Holter shunt insertion, cisternal ventricular intubation, and cisterna magna anastomosis to improve ventricular drainage. Pathology confirmed pineal germinoma. Cerebrospinal fluid cytology and MRI of the axis were negative. Four cycles of NC were given to the patient (carboplatin, etoposide, and ifosfamide), with reduced dosage. Once a partial volumetric response was confirmed, whole-ventricular radiotherapy (WVR) was initiated with a total tumor bed dose of 45 Gy over 25 sessions in 5 weeks. Optimum clinical results were observed, and no short-term (<90 day) radiation toxicity was observed. The patient was able to resume his normal activities soon after treatment. Follow-ups over 2 years post-surgery indicated continued control of the lesion and absence of symptoms except for mild diplopia.

**Conclusion:** Although this is a case report, these data suggest that a reduced NC course and WVR may effectively treat adult GN. This protocol likely decreases the risk of undesirable NC and RT secondary effects, while providing excellent local control; however, using a narrower RT field is not recommended.
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INTRODUCTION

Primary central nervous system germ cell tumors (CNS-GCT) are a rare form of neoplasm that are likely caused by germ cells trapped in midline locations during fetal development. They are most found in children, with approximately 90% of cases diagnosed in patients less than 20 years old. CNS-GCT comprises about 0.5% – 1% of all primary brain tumors diagnosed in children and young adults, giving an incidence of about 0.1 per 100,000 person-years. Some studies show that the prevalence of CNS-GCT is higher in Asia and Europe than in the USA, while others have not found this regional variation.

The most common type of CNS-GCT is the germinoma (GN), which comprises about 75% of all CNS-GCT. As with other CNS-GCT, most patients are diagnosed before 20 years of age and are predominately male. GN is most frequently found in the brain midline, the pineal gland, and/or suprasellar regions, where it slowly spreads into adjacent tissues and the subarachnoid space, following cerebrospinal ducts. The symptoms of GN are related to the size and location of the tumor, which frequently causes endocrine dysfunction, intracranial hypertension, and visual alterations, such as diplopia and Parinaud's syndrome in 75% of cases.

The diagnosis of GN includes evaluation of clinical symptoms, analysis of oncoprotein in blood and/or cerebrospinal fluid (CSF), medical imaging, and histopathology. In pure GN, alpha-fetoprotein (AFP), and beta-human chorionic gonadotropin (BHCG) levels in serum and CSF are usually lower than what is found in patients with other intracranial GCTs. GN cells strongly and diffusely express tyrosine-protein kinase KIT, CD117 (c-KIT), octamer-binding transcription factor 4 (OCT4), and placental alkaline phosphatase (PLAP). GNs appear as solid masses that may include cysts on magnetic resonance images. They are often observed as isointense or high-intensity gray matter in T1 and T2-weighted images with intense and homogenous enhancement in post-contrast, where a typical butterfly shape can be observed. Reduced diffusion can be observed due to its highly cellular nature. Computerized axial tomography (CT) imaging is also helpful in diagnosis, as it can detect the presence of pineal calcifications that are frequently present in GN.

When treated, GN has an excellent prognosis, with 5-year progression-free survival rates of more than 90%; some studies in children have seen survival at 100%. The current standard treatment protocol is a combination of neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NC), usually including etoposide, ifosfamide, and a platinum-based agent coupled with whole-ventricular radiotherapy (WVR; 20–24 Gy) followed by a 12–16 Gy boost to the tumor bed. Given the range of evaluated treatment options, a balance must be struck between the elimination of the tumor and damaging functional tissue.

The overall rarity of GN and its predominance in children leave gaps in knowledge on how to treat these tumors in adults. Nearly all studies on GN treatment and outcome are based on pediatric cases or make no distinction between children and adults, which skews them toward children. Therefore, current standard treatment procedures have been developed with children in mind, but it has been questioned whether these standards are applicable to adults.

To address some of these gaps in knowledge, we present a case of a 23-year-old adult that was diagnosed with GN and treated according to the recommended protocol for children, but with a slightly higher dose of radiation and a reduced NC dosage.

CASE

A 23-year-old male from Barcelona presented with symptoms of blurred vision, diplopia, and memory and weight loss for 3–4 months.

TABLE 1 Recommended NC treatment protocol

| Day | Chemotherapy agent | Dose |
|-----|--------------------|------|
| 1   | Carboplatin        | AUC: 5 (mg/ml) min |
|     | Etoposide (VP-16)  | 100 mg/m² |
| 2   | Ifosfamide         | 1800 mg/m² |
|     | Etoposide (VP-16)  | 100 mg/m² |
| 3   | Etoposide (VP-16)  | 100 mg/m² |
| 4   | Ifosfamide         | 1800 mg/m² |

Abbreviation: NC, neoadjuvant chemotherapy.

FIGURE 1 MRI T2 images of the case evolution. (A) Moment of diagnosis, (B) post NC, and (C) post WVR. NC, neoadjuvant chemotherapy; WVR, whole-ventricular radiotherapy
The patient had no pathological antecedents of interest, family history of cancer, or known drug allergies.

Physical examination of the patient revealed Parinaud’s syndrome. CT revealed the presence of a solid cystic lesion measuring $36 \times 38 \times 39$ mm in the pineal region with hyperdense areas near the septum and lateral ventricles. Triventricular hydrocephalus was also observed. Cranial MRI indicated the presence of a $37 \times 33 \times 47$ mm pineal tumor with ependymal spread in both lateral ventricles, causing obstructive hydrocephalus. Both tomograms were suggestive of GCT (Figure 1).

Two days after presenting at the hospital, the patient was admitted to surgery. The patient was placed under general anesthesia in a supine position with orotracheal intubation, with the head in a neutral position and immobilized with a Mayfield–Kees skull fixation apparatus. The initial incision was centered 12.5 cm from the nasion and 2.5 cm from the midline. Tissue was dissected by planes, and the skull was opened by a right front trephine hole. An external ventricular drain was inserted, and CSF was collected for analysis. An endoscope was inserted to observe the right lateral ventricle. The third ventricle was accessed through the right foramen of Monro. The foramen was widened by premamillary perforation and held open with a Fogarty balloon until the adequate connection between the two ventricles was achieved. A pink, cottony tumor lesion was observed in the anterior third of the third ventricle floor in front of the perforation. Two samples for histopathology were obtained. A tumor was also observed on the right lateral wall of the third ventricle, which had cystic characteristics and apparent ependymal preservation. Two samples were taken from this lesion. All samples were preserved in formalin for histopathological analysis. Finally, a septostomy was performed by removing the endoscope and inserting and fixing a styletless external ventricular drain. This procedure revealed an additional lesion in the

---

**TABLE 2**

| Organ at risk         | Calculated average dose (Gy) | Dose constraints (Gy)\(^{13-15}\) |
|-----------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Left cochlea          | 24.77                        | <45.00                            |
| Right cochlea         | 24.82                        | <45.00                            |
| Right temporal lobe   | 29.79                        | 1 cm\(^3\) < 70.00                |
| Left temporal lobe    | 31.44                        | 1 cm\(^3\) < 70.00                |
| Right eye             | 6.01                         | <20.00 in 100%                    |
| Left eye              | 5.92                         | <20.00 in 100%                    |
| Optic chiasma         | 26.37                        | <56.00                            |
| Right optic nerve     | 9.30                         | <56.00                            |
| Left optic nerve      | 9.65                         | <56.00                            |
| Left lens             | 4.41                         | <6.00                             |
| Right lens            | 4.46                         | <6.00                             |
anterior third of the septum. The surgery proceeded without incident with no hemorrhaging.

CSF analysis results were negative for malignant cells and contained the tumor markers BHCG at 10 IU/L and AFP < 1.3 ng/ml. Histopathological examination of the lesion biopsies indicated pineal GN, as the cells were round and resembled primitive germ cells with large vesicular nuclei, prominent nucleoli, prominent glycogen-rich clear cytoplasm, lymphocyte infiltration, and infiltration cells along the fibrovascular septa. Cells of the lesions expressed c-KIT, OCT4, and PLAP in an intense and diffuse manner. Given this information, the patient was diagnosed with pure pineal germinoma staged at M0.

It was recommended for the patient to undergo four cycles of NC followed by WVR, according to current treatment guidelines. NC (Table 1) was initiated 16 days post-surgery. Twenty-six days after the first cycle of NC, the patient evaluation revealed toxicity in the form of neutropenic fever, bleeding gums, weakness, thrombocytopenia, and Grade 4 neutropenia. To avoid these symptoms in subsequent rounds, the NC dose was reduced by 20%. The second round of NC began 47 days post-surgery, but the patient later presented with Grade 1 thrombocytopenia, requiring a pause in the third planned round of chemotherapy. The planned final course of NC was initiated 92 days post-surgery.

The external ventricular drain was removed and follow-up cranioaxial MRI revealed a reduction in tumor size and a slight improvement in supratentorial ventriculomegaly without signs of ependymal activity or complications (Figure 1). There was no evidence of metastatic spread of the tumor to the spine.

Radiotherapy simulation was completed during NC treatment to develop a treatment plan (Figure 2). Planning included calculating radiation dose to different organs at risk (Table 2). Most organs at risk received less than half the dose constraint. As suggested by the simulation, RT using intensity-modulated radiation therapy (IMRT) at 6MV was started 134 days post-surgery with 13 WVR sessions at a dose of 1.8 Gy/session to the entire ventricle. A subsequent boost to the tumor bed consisted of 12 sessions at a dose of 1.8 Gy/session. The total dose was 45 Gy in 25 sessions over 5 weeks. WVR and radiation dose followed current treatment guidelines (Figure 3). During RT, the patient’s vision improved clinically, and acute neurotoxicity was absent, but radiation induced G1 conjunctivitis was observed.

A post-RT MRI (204 days post-surgery) was compared to the post-NC MRI, with the former showing a discrete decrease in the size of the tumor located in the pineal region. The tumor had a polylobulated morphology and had a heterogenous MRI signal with hypointense foci in the heme sequence in relation to calcifications and hemosiderin debris (Figure 1). A reduction in tumor size was observed between the post-NC and post-RT scans (from 19 × 15 × 18 mm, 2.5 ml to 18 × 12 × 17 mm, 1.8 ml, anterior/posterior × transverse × craniocaudal). At this point, it was recommended that the patient receive regular follow-ups with MRI monitoring.

A T1 and T2 MRI follow-up 659 days post-surgery revealed encouraging results, when compared to previous brain MRIs. There was a stability in the size, signal characteristics, and MRI sequence behavior of the tumor remnants. The pineal tumor remnant had a polylobulated morphology and heterogenous resonance signal, which is associated with aqueductal stenosis and mild retraction of the posterior margin of the mesencephalic tegmentum. However, the permeability of the ventriculocystostomy and ventricular system did not change. Additionally, the arachnoid grooves were present in both cerebral convexities. There were no other alterations in the encephalic parenchyma, except a small path present on the right frontal lobe due to the ventricular drain. From these findings, the patient was shown to have a stable case. The patient stated that he was pleased with the treatment and was able to return to his normal activities.
| Study                        | Methods                                                                 | Results                                                                 | Interpretation                                                                                                                                 |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| This work                   | NC: 3 cycles of CB, ET, and/or IFO RT: 23.4 Gy whole ventricular + tumor bed boost to 45 Gy | Recovery of one patient                                                  | Largely untested but likely a good balance between elimination of the cancer and collateral damage                                         |
| Bartels et al. (2020)       | NC: 4 cycles of CB and ET RT: WVR 18 Gy + 12 Gy tumor bed boost          | Estimated 3-year PFS was 94.4% (74 subjects)                             | Reduction in RT dose can be based on NC response                                                                                             |
| Li et al. (2020)            | NC: IFO, ET, cisplatin (2 cycles) RT: Focal radiotherapy, WVR, WBR + boost, or CSI + boost; most ≥40 Gy NC: 2 cycles as above | Estimated 5-year disease-free survival and OS were 96.7% and 97.3%       | Focal radiotherapy has high risk for GN relapse, other RT types gave better results                                                           |
| Byun et al. (2020)          | NC alone has a high risk of relapse, as does narrow-field RT. Adding NC to wide field RT seems to have minimal benefit | Wide field RT-only therapy can cure GN at a high rate                    |                                                                                                                                             |
| Fetcko and Dey (2018)       | NC: 4 cycles of ET, IFO, CB/cisplatin RT: WVR 20-24 Gy + tumor bed boost of 12-15 Gy | Localized GN is highly treatable and has good prognosis                 | Recommended treatment based on multiple references                                                                                          |
| Fu et al. (2017)            | Comparison of RT only and NC + RT Groups had different outcomes depending on follow-up time | Both RT and NC + RT have good outcomes. NC + RT has better initial outcomes, but this is eliminated at 5 years |                                                                                                                                             |
| Leung et al. (2017)         | RT: primary tumor total dose of 54 Gy, 37 Gy to the whole ventricles (IMRT) | Patient free of disease with no adverse effects                          | IMRT can spare normal tissues and may reduce neurocognitive side-effects                                                                   |
| Krueger et al. (2016)       | RT only: cranial-spinal axis 25.4 Gy, WBR 36 Gy, tumor location boost 50.4 Gy to the prominent midline and ventricular regions | Patient was asymptomatic 1 year after treatment                          | RT-only protocol can have desirable results for GN with diffuse subependymal spread                                                         |
| Joo et al. (2014)           | NC: two cycles cisplatin and ET (other 2-cycle regimens included) RT: CSI, WBR/WVR, Focal RT, 28-46 Gy to the tumor | Radiotherapy field was significantly associated with recurrence-free survival, with CSI having the highest, at 95% | Patients showing complete response with NC are suggested to receive WBR/WVR                                                                   |
| Calaminus et al. (2013)     | Craniospinal RT 24 Gy + boost 16 Gy versus induction CB/ET alt ET/ifos then 40 Gy IFRT | Improved PFS with CSI in localized germinoma 5-year PFS 97% versus 88% (relapses in ventricles) 5-year EFS ~ 90% and OS ~ 95%, not different | Localized germinoma can be treated with reduced dose CSI or induction chemo followed by focal RT, though PFS does favor CSI |
| Nitta et al. (2013)         | NC: cis-diamminedichloroplatinum (ii) and ET RT: Focal RT, 24 Gy       | Complete recovery of two brothers                                       | Largely untested but promising                                                                                                             |
| Alapetite et al. (2010)     | NC: CB, ET, IFO RT: Tumor bed 40 Gy                                     | Excess of periventricular relapses                                       | Suggests using ventricular field radiation to decrease relapse                                                                            |
| Foote et al. (2010)         | RT: CSI of 25 Gy with local boost to 40 Gy                             | All patients alive after 10.9 years median follow-up, no relapses        | For adult intracranial GN, low-dose CSI RT with local boost is highly effective with minimal morbidity                                         |
| Jensen et al. (2010)        | Comparison of NC + RT or RT only: NC + RT: platinum-based NC + 30.6 Gy to local fields, RT only: ~50 Gy to local fields | Less progression in the RT only group when larger fields were irradiated. Similar but not statistically significant results for NC + RT | Adding NC to RT for appropriate patients reduced GN relapse. Tumor control is improved when larger fields were irradiated |
| Ogawa et al. (2004)         | Various regimes                                                        | 10-year actuarial OS was 90%                                            | No patients dosed with less than 55 Gy developed apparent neurocognitive dysfunction. RT was a curative treatment for GN. Total dose of 40-50 Gy to appropriate treatment fields was effective in preventing intracranial relapse |

(Continues)
TABLE 3  (Continued)

| Study                          | Methods                                               | Results                              | Interpretation                                                  |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|
| Bamberg et al. (1999)³¹        | RT: Craniospinal axis: 36 or 30 Gy followed by 15 or 15 Gy to the tumor region | 5-year relapse-free survival 91.0%   | Craniospinal RT at decreased dose levels is effective, further attempts to reduce dose are justified |
| Chao et al. (1993)³²          | RT: whole brain or tumor with margin (median dose 36 Gy), with or without the boost of 10–20 Gy | Relapse-free survival was 100% at 2 years and 86% at 5 years | RT is effective in controlling germinoma                      |

Abbreviations: CB, carboplatin; CSI, cerebrospinal radiation; EFS, event-free survival; ET, etoposide; GN, germinomas; IFO, ifosfamide; IMRT, intensity-modulated radiation therapy; NC, neoadjuvant chemotherapy; OS, overall survival; PFS, progression-free survival; RT, radiotherapy; WBR, whole-brain radiotherapy; WVR, whole-ventricular radiotherapy.

An additional follow-up 849 days after surgery revealed that the patient was asymptomatic except for diplopia. An MRI image revealed that the patient has stabilized and there were no new developments. Despite the diplopia, the patient was pleased with the treatment and was able to continue his normal activities.

3 | DISCUSSION

We present a rare case of a 23-year-old male diagnosed with M0 primary pineal GN. The tumor was in the brain midline, where GN is usually found¹⁻³ and the patient suffered from common symptoms, such as weight loss, blurred vision, and memory problems. Parinaud’s syndrome, caused by compression of the midbrain quadrigeminal lamina was also observed.¹⁶ Because of these neurological symptoms, the patient was admitted for emergency treatment. Computed tomography and MRI confirmed the presence of a mass that could explain the symptoms, which were partially relieved by laparoscopic ventricular drainage.

Analysis of CSF obtained from surgery revealed BHCG and AFP levels consistent with those of non-secreting GCT, like germinoma, although germinoma cannot be definitively confirmed from these levels alone. Recommended thresholds for ruling out non-secreting GCT in CSF are 50–100 IU/L for BHCG and 10–50 ng/ml for AFP. A more recent study based on pathology revised these levels to 8.2 IU/L for BHCG and 3.8 ng/ml for AFP to detect secreting GCT with high specificity, but lower sensitivity. The values determined here slightly exceed the recently recommended threshold for BHCG, but this threshold was designed to select for secreting tumors rather than eliminate non-secreting tumors.¹⁷ Pathological anatomy was therefore needed to confirm germinoma; cells in the surgical biopsies strongly and diffusely expressed c-KIT, OCT4, and PLAP.¹,³,⁸,⁹ In the tumor biopsies, we observed cells that resemble primitive germ cells as well as leukocyte infiltration. These observations are consistent with a GN diagnosis.³ Germinoma is more susceptible to radiation and chemotherapy treatment than other GCT, so a treatment plan that uses both was indicated.¹

Several studies (Table 3) with differing treatment protocols have been conducted to propose or improve treatment recommendations for GN. Craniospinal RT has been shown to successfully treat GN. This mode is supported by several studies, including the MAKEI 83/86/89,³¹ SIOP CNS GCT 96,³² and others, which showed overall and event-free survival more than 90%.²⁷,³⁰ A small study on adults with germinoma had a similar outcome with an RT-only approach.²⁸ These studies also suggest that irradiation of wide regions of the brain with doses of 40–50 Gy has minimal long-term toxicity and significantly decreases the risk of relapse.

Although effective, concerns over long-term toxicity of craniospinal RT led to research on narrowing the irradiation field.¹,³,¹¹ This is especially important to consider as GN patients are generally young and follow-up times of these studies are generally a small proportion of the expected life span of the patient. Reducing the field to whole-brain radiotherapy (WBR) can be associated with a subacute and delayed decline in memory and other cognitive functions.³² A further reduction to WVR by IMRT and three-dimensional conformal radiation therapy (3DCRT) was also evaluated.³² Although IMRT is associated with an increased dose of radiation to peripheral areas of the body, it better preserves the normal tissue of the CNS, when compared to either 3DCRT or WBR.³³ In another study, IMRT was compared to proton beam therapy. This study showed that proton therapy provides a superior coverage of the target tissue and better preservation of normal tissue, such as the temporal lobes and hippocampus.³,³⁴ However, very little information is available regarding the long-term toxicity of this technique.³⁵

Restricting the field beyond whole ventricles significantly and consistently increases the risk of relapse.¹⁹,²⁴,²⁵,²⁷,³⁰,³¹,³⁶ GN patients treated with highly localized doses in focal RT have a very high risk of relapse when compared to VWI, CSI, and WBR: relapse risk seems to vary inversely with the volume irradiated.¹⁹,²⁴ Thus, there seems to be a minimum below which relapse becomes likely. From these data, it is likely WVR using IMRT with a total dose of about 40 Gy is the lowest dose and smallest field that is advisable for an effective treatment that makes relapse highly unlikely. Therefore, WVR with a tumor bed boost is currently the standard for treatment for nonmetastatic GN.³ Established radiation dose ranges were followed, with 21–24 Gy for the entire ventricle and a boost directed at the tumor bed for a total dose of 40–45 Gy.¹,³⁷

With the development of platinum-based chemotherapy agents, researchers explored combining RT and NC to reduce radiation dose...
and field. NC can reduce the size of the primary tumor and control microscopic disease\textsuperscript{29} prior to RT. A meta-analysis comparing RT-only with NC + RT resulted in a more favorable rate of general survival at 3 years than RT alone, but at 5 years this advantage is eliminated or reverses. The authors of this study recommended favoring the NC + RT regime for patients with pure intracranial GN and severe disease progression.\textsuperscript{21} However, as seen in this case, the patient suffered from acute toxicity from chemotherapy and the dose had to be reduced. Despite this reduced dose, the patient had excellent long-term local control. This raises the important question of whether lower-dose NC with good response followed by reduced dose and field RT would have similar treatment outcomes with fewer undesirable side-effects.

Because of the good prognosis of treatment, and multiple treatment protocols available, it is therefore no surprise that there are several different recommended treatment protocols (Table 3), with some studies supporting a wide-field NC approach,\textsuperscript{19,20,22,23,28,30–32} with others support the use of NC + RT.\textsuperscript{1,24,26,29} However, narrow-field RT or NC alone have high relapse risks and are not recommended to cure GN.\textsuperscript{20}

Therefore, treatment should balance curing the disease and preventing relapse with undesirable sequelae. It is currently unclear whether proposed NC protocols can be reduced in dose and/or number of sessions, while maintaining acceptable long-term control of GN. For radiation therapy, IMRT WVR with an approximate 45 Gy dose seems to strike this balance, especially if the GN it is staged at M0. It may also be helpful to tune the RT dose depending on the response to NC, with complete response requiring a lower RT dose. This treatment protocol is like those developed for children diagnosed with GN, suggesting that adult GN may be treated using protocols developed for children with little modification. Additional case studies and trials will be necessary to further untangle the effects of different GN treatment protocols.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**
The authors wish to thank the Oncology and Radiotherapy Service of the Hospital Universitari Vall d’Hebron.

**CONFLICT OF INTEREST**
The authors have stated explicitly that there are no conflicts of interest in connection with this article.

**AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**
All authors had full access to the data in the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. Conceptualization, L.J.F.R., X.M.P.; investigation, L.J.F.R., X.M.P.; data curation, L.J.F.R., X.M.P.; writing of this article, L.J.F.R., X.M.P.

**ETHICAL APPROVAL**
The study was approved by the relevant institutional ethics committee. Informed consent for publication was obtained from the patient.

**DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT**
The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

**ORCID**
Lissett Jeanette Fernández-Rodríguez https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4357-4261
Xavier Maldonado-Pijoan https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2045-4723

**REFERENCES**
1. Fetcko K, Dey M. Primary central nervous system germ cell tumors: a review and update. *Med Res Arch*. 2018;6(3):1719.
2. McCarthy BJ, Shiubi S, Kayama T, et al. Primary CNS germ cell tumors in Japan and the United States: an analysis of 4 tumor registries. *Neuro Oncol*. 2012;14(9):1194-1200.
3. Osorio DS, Allen JC. Management of CNS germinoma. *CNS Oncol*. 2015;4:273-279.
4. Westphal M, Emami P. Pineal lesions: a multidisciplinary challenge. *Adv Tech Stand Neurosurg*. 2015;42:79-102.
5. Cormenzana Carpio M, Nehme Álvarez D, Hernández Marqués C, Pérez Martínez A, Lassaletta Atienza A, Madero LL. Tumores germinales intracraneales: revisión de 21 años. *An Pediatr*. 2017;86:20-27.
6. Ellenbogen RG, Sekhar LN, Kitchen N. *Principles of Neurosurgical Surgery*. 4th ed. Elsevier; 2018.
7. Rousselle C, des Portes V, Berlier P, Mottolese C. Pineal region tumors: clinical symptoms and syndromes. *Neurochirurgie*. 2015;61:106-112.
8. Vasiljevic A, Szathmari A, Champier J, Fèvre-Montange M, Jouvet A. Histopathology of pineal germ cell tumors. *Neurochirurgie*. 2015;61:130-137.
9. Tonn J-C, Reardon DA, Rutka JT, Westphal M. Oncology of CNS Tumors. Springer; 2019.
10. Deiana G, Mottolese C, Hermier M, Louis-Tisserand G, Berthezene Y. Imagery of pineal tumors. *Neurochirurgie*. 2015;61:113-122.
11. Bromberg JEC, Baumert BG, de Vos F, et al. Primary intracranial germ-cell tumors in adults: a practical review. *J Neurooncol*. 2013;113:175-183.
12. Lo AC, Hodgson D, Dang J, et al. Intracranial germ cell tumors in adolescents and young adults: a 40-year multi-institutional review of outcomes. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 2020;106(2):269-278. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2019.10.020.
13. Brodin NP, Tomé WA. Revisiting the dose constraints for head and neck oars in the current era of IMRT. *Oral Oncol*. 2018;86:8-18.
14. Emami B. Tolerance of normal tissue to therapeutic radiation. *Rep Radiother Oncol*. 2013;1:123-127.
15. Bentzen SM, Conistine LS, Deasy JO, et al. Quantitative analyses of normal tissue effects in the clinic (QUANTEC): an introduction to the scientific issues. *Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 2010;76:S3-59.
16. Navas-García M, Goig-Revert F, Villarejo-Ortega FJ, et al. Tumores de la región pineal en la edad pediátrica. presentación de 23 casos y revisión de la bibliografía. *Rev Neurol*. 2011;52:641-652.
17. Hu M, Guan H, Lau CC, et al. An update on the clinical diagnostic value of β-hCG and αFP for intracranial germ cell tumors. *Eur J Med Res*. 2016;21:10.
18. Bartels U, Fangusaro J, Shaw D, et al. GCT-41. Response-based radiation therapy in patients with newly diagnosed central nervous system localized germinoma: a children's oncology group (COG) prospective phase 2 clinical trial. *Neuro Oncol*. 2020;22(S3):iii336.
19. Li B, Lv W, Li C, et al. Comparison between craniospinal irradiation and limited-field radiation in patients with non-metastatic bifocal germinoma. *Cancer Res Treat*. 2020;52(4):1050-1058.

20. Byun HK, Yoon HI, Cho J, et al. Optimization of intracranial germinoma treatment: radiotherapy alone with reduced volume and dose. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 2020;108(3):657-666.

21. Fu H, Guo X, Li R, Xing B. Radiotherapy and chemotherapy plus radiation in the treatment of patients with pure intracranial germinoma: a meta-analysis. *J Clin Neurosci*. 2016;20:210-216.

22. Leung HWC, Chan ALF, Chang MB. Brain dose-sparing radiotherapy techniques for localized intracranial germinoma: case report and literature review of modern irradiation. *Cancer Radiother*. 2016;20:210-216.

23. Krueger EM, Invergo DL, Lin JJ. Germinoma with diffuse subependymal spread: a case report. *Cureus*. 2016;8(6):e643.

24. Joo JH, Park J-H, Ra Y-S, et al. Treatment outcome of radiation therapy for intracranial germinoma: adaptive radiation field in relation to response to chemotherapy. *Anticancer Res*. 2014;34:5715-5722.

25. Calaminus G, Kortmann R, Worch J, et al. SIOP CNS GCT 96: final report of outcome of a prospective, multinational nonrandomized trial for children and adults with intracranial germinoma, comparing craniospinal irradiation alone with chemotherapy followed by focal primary site irradiation for patients with localized disease. *Neuro Oncol*. 2013;15(6):788-796.

26. Nitta N, Fukami T, Nozaki K. Germinoma in two brothers: case report. *Neurol Med Chir*. 2013;53:703-706.

27. Alapetite C, Brisse H, Patte C, et al. Pattern of relapse and outcome of non-metastatic germinoma patients treated with chemotherapy and limited field radiation: the SFOP experience. *Neuro Oncol*. 2010;12(12):1318-1325.

28. Foote M, Millar B-A, Shagal A, et al. Clinical outcomes of adult patients with primary intracranial Germinomas treated with low-dose craniospinal radiotherapy and local boost. *J Neurooncol*. 2010;100(3):459-463.

29. Jensen AW, Laack NNI, Buckner JC, Schomberg PJ, Wetmore CJ, Brown PD. Long-term follow-up of dose-adapted and reduced-field radiotherapy with or without chemotherapy for central nervous system germinoma. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 2010;77(5):1449-1456.

30. Ogawa K, Shikama N, Toita T, et al. Long-term results of radiotherapy for intracranial germinoma: a multi-institutional retrospective review of 126 patients. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 2004;58(3):705-713.

31. Bamberg M, Kortmann R-D, Calaminus G, et al. Radiation therapy for intracranial Germinoma: results of the German cooperative prospective trials MAKEI 83/86/89. *J Clin Oncol*. 1999;17:2585-2592.

32. Chao CK, Lee ST, Lin FJ, Tang SG, Leung WM. A multivariate analysis of prognostic factors in management of pineal tumor. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 1993;27:1185-1191.

33. Chen MJ, Santos ADS, Sakuraba RK, et al. Intensity-modulated and 3D-conformal radiotherapy for whole-ventricular irradiation as compared with conventional whole-brain irradiation in the management of localized central nervous system germ cell tumors. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 2010;76:608-614.

34. Park J, Park Y, Lee SU, Kim T, Choi Y-K, Kim J-Y. Differential dosimetric benefit of proton beam therapy over intensity modulated radiotherapy for a variety of targets in patients with intracranial germ cell tumors. *Radioisot Oncol*. 2015;10:135.

35. Claude L, Faure-Conter C, Frappaz D, Mottolese C, Carrie C. Radiation therapy in pediatric pineal tumors. *Neurochirurgie*. 2015;61:212-215.

36. Rogers SJ, Mosleh-Shirazi MA, Saran FH. Radiotherapy of localised intracranial germinoma: time to sever historical ties? *Lancet Oncol*. 2005;6(7):509-519.

37. Haas-Kogan DA, Missett BT, Wara WM, et al. Radiation therapy for intracranial germ cell tumors. *Int J Radiat Oncol*. 2003;56:511-518.

How to cite this article: Fernández-Rodríguez LJ, Maldonado-Pijoan X. Pineal germinoma in a young adult: A case report. *Cancer Reports*. 2022;5(9):e1611. doi:10.1002/cnr2.1611