More than 4 million adults survive a stay in the intensive care unit each year, with many experiencing new or worsening physical disability, mental health problems, and/or cognitive impairments, known as post-intensive care syndrome (PICS). Given the prevalence and magnitude of physical impairments after critical illness, many survivors, including those recovering from COVID-19, could benefit from physical therapist services after hospital discharge. However, due to the relatively recent recognition and characterization of PICS, there may be limited awareness and understanding of PICS among physical therapists practicing in home health care and community-based settings. This lack of awareness may lead to inappropriate and/or inadequate rehabilitation service provision. While this perspective article provides information relevant to all physical therapists, it is aimed toward those providing rehabilitation services outside of the acute and postacute inpatient settings. This article reports the prevalence and clinical presentation of PICS and provides recommendations for physical examination and outcomes measures, plan of care, and intervention strategies. The importance of providing patient and family education, coordinating community resources including referring to other health care team members, and community-based rehabilitation service options is emphasized. Finally, this perspective article discusses current challenges for optimizing outcomes for people with PICS and suggests future directions for research and practice.
Each year, more than 4 million adults survive a stay in the intensive care unit (ICU), with most experiencing aspects of post-intensive care syndrome (PICS). Particularly relevant for physical therapists are the physical complications of PICS, along with associated delays in return to employment and substantial caregiver burden. Following critical illness, the majority of adults with PICS, including those who are young to middle aged, return home and struggle with physical problems that are slow to resolve. Physical therapists, as experts in optimizing movement, are ideally positioned to promote functioning and participation, foster improvements in quality of life, and reduce reliance on inpatient services among people with PICS. Providing physical therapy services for people with PICS is valuable and requires greater understanding of PICS, which is the focus of this article. We report the prevalence and clinical presentation of PICS and provide recommendations for physical examination and outcomes measures, plan of care, and intervention strategies. The importance of providing patient and family education, coordinating community resources including referring to other health care team members, and community-based rehabilitation service options is emphasized. Finally, this perspective article discusses current challenges for optimizing outcomes for people with PICS and suggests future directions for research and practice.

Overview of PICS

The PICS term was introduced approximately 1 decade ago to raise awareness among ICU and post-ICU clinicians, patients, and families regarding problems that commonly occur in survivors of critical illness; in this context, “critical illness” is often used to indicate a patient who received care in an ICU. PICS was defined as “new or worsening impairments in physical, cognitive, or mental health status arising after critical illness and persisting beyond acute care hospitalization.” PICS is not a diagnosis, but rather this term was created to increase awareness of post-ICU impairments to prompt screening for specific impairments, and stimulate research into specific morbidities following intensive care. PICS can also affect family members, known as PICS-F. (Tab. 1) By raising awareness, the goal of creating the PICS term was to stimulate screening/diagnosis and treatment for specific impairments that were commonly occurring, but often unrecognized, after critical illness.

While studies of people with acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) represent some of the most robust literature on this topic, the constellation of problems associated with PICS is known to occur in people who have previously experienced critical illness that required treatment in general, medical, surgical, respiratory, trauma, and cardiac ICUs, and evidence is emerging that people requiring neurological ICU treatment also incur the problems associated with PICS in addition to those due to their primary neurological dysfunction. While the literature has not revealed the effect on people surviving the COVID-19 pandemic, it is reasonable to expect that those experiencing critical illness will develop the problems associated with PICS.

Incidence and Clinical Presentation of PICS

Physical complications after critical illness may occur in approximately 70% of people and include impairments in skeletal muscle strength, pulmonary function, pain, walking ability, activities of daily living (ADL), and instrumental activities of daily living (IADL). These complications can last for months or years after critical illness. In a multi-site prospective study, one-third of survivors of ARDS had significant limb muscle weakness at hospital discharge, with most survivors demonstrating improvement over the first 12 months of follow-up. This muscle weakness was associated with substantial impairments in survivors’ physical functioning and quality of life, with the duration of bed rest in the ICU being independently associated with relative decreases in muscle strength throughout the 24-month follow-up period. The presentation of weakness is variable, as is the effect on physical functioning. Some people with PICS may require total assistance for functioning while others may demonstrate grossly independent ADL but have limitations with stair climbing.

Cognitive impairment is also common and long lasting after critical illness. At 1 year after discharge, approximately one-half of survivors of ARDS experience cognitive impairment, including problems with attention, memory, and executive function, with persisting problems demonstrated at 2-year follow-up. Cognitive deficits have also been reported following medical and surgical ICU care, with approximately 60% of survivors experiencing continued cognitive problems at 1-year follow-up, suggesting impaired cognition following time in the ICU is not unique to ARDS survivors.

Mental health impairments, including depression, anxiety, and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), are commonly reported by survivors of critical illness, with meta-analyses demonstrating pooled prevalences of approximately 30%, ≥32%, and 20%, respectively, over 1-year follow-up. Notably, for depression and anxiety symptoms, longitudinal assessments over 1-year follow-up demonstrate little improvement in the prevalence and severity of symptoms in many people.

Survivors of critical illness commonly require inpatient health care resources. For instance, in 1 multi-site study, among people surviving for at least 2 years after ARDS, 80% had at least 1 inpatient admission to a skilled nursing or rehabilitation facility or readmission to acute care hospital during the 2-year follow-up. Of those readmitted to hospital, one-third of readmissions occurred within 1 month of hospital discharge. Along with inpatient health care, the PICS term was introduced approximately 1 decade ago to raise awareness among ICU and post-ICU clinicians, patients, and families regarding problems that commonly occur in survivors of critical illness; in this context, “critical illness” is often used to indicate a patient who received care in an ICU. PICS was defined as “new or worsening impairments in physical, cognitive, or mental health status arising after critical illness and persisting beyond acute care hospitalization.” PICS is not a diagnosis, but rather this term was created to increase awareness of post-ICU impairments to prompt screening for specific impairments, and stimulate research into specific morbidities following intensive care. PICS can also affect family members, known as PICS-F. (Tab. 1) By raising awareness, the goal of creating the PICS term was to stimulate screening/diagnosis and treatment for specific impairments that were commonly occurring, but often unrecognized, after critical illness.

While studies of people with acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) represent some of the most robust literature on this topic, the constellation of problems associated with PICS is known to occur in people who have previously experienced critical illness that required treatment in general, medical, surgical, respiratory, trauma, and cardiac ICUs, and evidence is emerging that people requiring neurological ICU treatment also incur the problems associated with PICS in addition to those due to their primary neurological dysfunction. While the literature has not revealed the effect on people surviving the COVID-19 pandemic, it is reasonable to expect that those experiencing critical illness will develop the problems associated with PICS.

Incidence and Clinical Presentation of PICS

Physical complications after critical illness may occur in approximately 70% of people and include impairments in skeletal muscle strength, pulmonary function, pain, walking ability, activities of daily living (ADL), and instrumental activities of daily living (IADL). These complications can last for months or years after critical illness. In a multi-site prospective study, one-third of survivors of ARDS had significant limb muscle weakness at hospital discharge, with most survivors demonstrating improvement over the first 12 months of follow-up. This muscle weakness was associated with substantial impairments in survivors’ physical functioning and quality of life, with the duration of bed rest in the ICU being independently associated with relative decreases in muscle strength throughout the 24-month follow-up period. The presentation of weakness is variable, as is the effect on physical functioning. Some people with PICS may require total assistance for functioning while others may demonstrate grossly independent ADL but have limitations with stair climbing.

Cognitive impairment is also common and long lasting after critical illness. At 1 year after discharge, approximately one-half of survivors of ARDS experience cognitive impairment, including problems with attention, memory, and executive function, with persisting problems demonstrated at 2-year follow-up. Cognitive deficits have also been reported following medical and surgical ICU care, with approximately 60% of survivors experiencing continued cognitive problems at 1-year follow-up, suggesting impaired cognition following time in the ICU is not unique to ARDS survivors.

Mental health impairments, including depression, anxiety, and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), are commonly reported by survivors of critical illness, with meta-analyses demonstrating pooled prevalences of approximately 30%, ≥32%, and 20%, respectively, over 1-year follow-up. Notably, for depression and anxiety symptoms, longitudinal assessments over 1-year follow-up demonstrate little improvement in the prevalence and severity of symptoms in many people.

Survivors of critical illness commonly require inpatient health care resources. For instance, in 1 multi-site study, among people surviving for at least 2 years after ARDS, 80% had at least 1 inpatient admission to a skilled nursing or rehabilitation facility or readmission to acute care hospital during the 2-year follow-up. Of those readmitted to hospital, one-third of readmissions occurred within 1 month of hospital discharge. Along with inpatient health care, the PICS term was introduced approximately 1 decade ago to raise awareness among ICU and post-ICU clinicians, patients, and families regarding problems that commonly occur in survivors of critical illness; in this context, “critical illness” is often used to indicate a patient who received care in an ICU. PICS was defined as “new or worsening impairments in physical, cognitive, or mental health status arising after critical illness and persisting beyond acute care hospitalization.” PICS is not a diagnosis, but rather this term was created to increase awareness of post-ICU impairments to prompt screening for specific impairments, and stimulate research into specific morbidities following intensive care. PICS can also affect family members, known as PICS-F. (Tab. 1) By raising awareness, the goal of creating the PICS term was to stimulate screening/diagnosis and treatment for specific impairments that were commonly occurring, but often unrecognized, after critical illness.

While studies of people with acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) represent some of the most robust literature on this topic, the constellation of problems associated with PICS is known to occur in people who have previously experienced critical illness that required treatment in general, medical, surgical, respiratory, trauma, and cardiac ICUs, and evidence is emerging that people requiring neurological ICU treatment also incur the problems associated with PICS in addition to those due to their primary neurological dysfunction. While the literature has not revealed the effect on people surviving the COVID-19 pandemic, it is reasonable to expect that those experiencing critical illness will develop the problems associated with PICS.
care utilization, survivors of critical illness also frequently require on-going outpatient medical and rehabilitation health care services.23

The influence of PICS and ongoing health care utilization can impact joblessness and associated lost earnings. A recent meta-analysis demonstrated that jobless rates among those previously employed before critical illness are approximately 67%, 40%, and 33% at up to 3, 12, and 60 months after hospital discharge, respectively.22 Those who do return to work often experience ongoing challenges, including subsequent job loss, change in occupation, or decreased work hours.23 Notably, delayed return to work contributes to substantial lost earnings for critical illness survivors and their families. This period of unemployment was also associated with a shift from private medical insurance to government-funded health care coverage.23

New Yellow Flag?

With the increasing population of survivors of critical illness, physical therapists will likely encounter people who are referred for musculoskeletal and neurological impairments that may not be identified as being related to critical illness. We propose that physical therapists include a screening question about ICU care for all people who have had hospitalizations, both recent and remote, due to the long trajectory of recovery. A standard follow-up question to “Have you ever been hospitalized?” should be “Did you require care in an ICU? If yes, how many days were you in the ICU, and were you on a breathing machine (mechanical ventilator)?” This knowledge represents a “yellow flag,” cautioning the physical therapist that the person has the risk for additional physical limitations, cognitive deficits, and/or mental health symptoms. When such problems are recognized, the use of the screening questions, standardized outcome measures, and interventions presented here should improve the outcome from physical therapy services and the person’s overall health.24

Physical Examination of Individuals Following ICU Care

Early referral for physical therapist screening for physical, cognitive, and mental health problems associated with critical illness provides opportunities for prompt identification and management of all aspects of PICS. Due to the long duration of physical impairments after ICU care,11,13,15,25 early examination using reliable and valid outcome measures supports the timely development and monitoring of an individualized plan of care. A systematic review, using the International Classification of Functioning, Disability, and Health framework,26 identified the physical impairments, activity limitations, and participation restrictions associated with PICS.27 Using standardized outcome measures (see existing resources created for survivors of critical illness27 and for the general population28) allows the physical therapist to establish a baseline level of function, ensures optimal documentation of an individual’s progress, and may allow comparison with population norms.

Impairments in Body Structures and Functions: Examination and Outcome Measures

Respiratory system. Within the first year following critical illness, spirometry, maximum inspiratory pressure, and diffusion capacity measures are reduced in people surviving general, medical, and surgical ICU services, including people with ARDS.12,14,25 These pulmonary impairments may manifest as clinical symptoms, such as increased work of breathing at rest and during exertion and ineffective cough, due to decreases in respiratory muscle strength.11

Basic pulmonary function and respiratory muscle strength measures can be longitudinally screened using handheld spirometry and respiratory muscle strength devices (Tab. 2). If impairments in spirometry and/or respiratory muscle strength are present, more comprehensive measures can be accomplished through referral for more comprehensive pulmonary function testing, including diffusion capacity assessment. Results of these assessments will inform the physical therapist regarding pulmonary function impairment and may inform regarding potential benefit of respiratory muscle training and other pulmonary rehabilitation interventions.

Muscle strength. Upper and lower extremity muscle strength is often reduced during the first year following

| Physical Symptoms | Cognitive Symptoms | Mental Health Symptoms | PICS-family Symptoms |
|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| Respiratory problems and muscle weakness | Decreased concentration | Depression | Depression |
| Decreased exercise capacity | Impaired memory | Anxiety | Anxiety |
| Decreased ability to perform ADL and IADL | Difficulty organizing and completing tasks | PTSD | PTSD |
| Delayed return to driving and employment | Reduced mental processing | Sleep impairments |

*ADL = activities of daily living; IADL = instrumental activities of daily living; PICS = post-intensive care syndrome; PTSD = post-traumatic stress disorder.
Table 2.
Outcomes Measures for Quantifying Physical Impairments Associated with PICS

| ICF Domain            | Problem                        | Outcome Measure                                                                 |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Impairments           | Lung function                  | Spirometry                                                                     |
|                       | Respiratory muscle strength    | Pulmonary function testing                                                     |
|                       | Limb muscle strength           | Manual muscle testing                                                          |
|                       |                                | Handheld dynamometry                                                           |
| Activity limitations  | Exercise capacity              | 6-MWT                                                                          |
|                       | Gait speed                     | 4-m Walk Test                                                                  |
|                       | Balance                        | Berg Balance Scale                                                             |
|                       |                                | Functional Gait Assessment                                                     |
|                       |                                | Activities-specific Balance                                                    |
|                       |                                | Confidence Scale                                                               |
| Participation restrictions | ADL                          | Katz Index of Independence in ADL                                             |
|                       | IADL                           | Lawton IADL                                                                    |
|                       | Return to driving              | Ask “Have you returned to driving?”                                            |
|                       | Return to remunerative employment | Ask “Have you returned to work?”                                               |

*6MWT = 6-Minute Walk Test; ADL = activities of daily living; IADL = instrumental activities of daily living; ICF = International Classification of Functioning, Disability, and Health; PICS = post-intensive care syndrome.

ICU care.11,12,14 Physical therapists can readily identify ICU-acquired limb muscle weakness.29 Manual muscle testing is commonly used to assess the strength of 6 muscle groups bilaterally to determine the Medical Research Council (MRC) Sum Score.30 An MRC Sum Score <48 is an important criterion for identifying ICU-acquired weakness.31 Muscle strength can be measured longitudinally using manual muscle testing with the MRC scale32 or a handheld dynamometer (Tab. 2). While both provide reliable measures,33 we propose the advantages of using dynamometry over the MRC scale are the opportunity to precisely and objectively determine strength and changes in strength longitudinally34 and the ability to compare findings with normative reference values.35–37

Activity Limitations: Examination and Outcome Measures

Exercise capacity. Numerous studies have reported reduced exercise capacity, as measured by the 6-Minute Walk Test (6MWT; Tab. 2),38 in the first year following critical illness.14,39 Factors associated with shorter 6MWT distances in survivors of critical illness are female sex, presence of preexisting comorbidity, and ARDS.39 The 6MWT has been validated for survivors of critical illness and is predictive of future mortality, hospitalization, and health-related quality of life.40 The 6MWT minimal importance difference for survivors of ARDS is estimated at 20 to 30 m.40 The 6MWT has been used extensively for evaluation of individuals with PICS due to the ability for comparison with reference data sets for the general population. In the outpatient setting, the 6MWT is a robust outcome measure that can be used to assess exercise capacity. Notably, administration of the 6MWT requires more than 30 minutes when following guidelines that recommend performing 2 walks, with each preceded by a 15-minute rest break.38

Recently, the Academy of Neurological Physical Therapy endorsed the 6MWT as a core measure for the assessment of walking endurance and aerobic capacity and published guidelines for its administration.11,41 In the Academy of Neurological Physical Therapy guidelines, a 12-m pathway is used to address the frequent barrier of limited space in clinical settings. However, when using this path that is shorter than traditionally recommended, caution should be taken in comparing walking distances with normative data, as the walking distance achieved will be shorter with a shorter lap length.38 While therapists may be tempted to choose the 2-Minute Walk Test as an alternative to a 6MWT, the 2-Minute Walk Test has been shown to be of less value than the 6MWT.11,42 Even when a person is able to walk only 10 m, that score on the 6MWT is informative and presents the opportunity to reveal improvement rather than waiting until later in the person’s recovery to initiate use of the 6MWT.

Gait speed. Gait speed, a performance-based measure of physical functioning, is reduced after critical illness and is associated with lean muscle mass in ARDS survivors.41 Gait speed is a reliable and valid measure across many populations,42 including survivors of critical illness.46 While various methods exist to measure gait speed, the 4-Minute Walk Test (Tab. 2), included in the NIH Toolbox for the Assessment of Neurological and Behavioral Function, is recommended for the measurement of gait speed due to strong evidence for use with survivors of critical illness.46 The 4-Minute Walk Test has demonstrated predictive validity for outcomes, including hospitalization and health-related quality of life, and responsiveness consistent with changes in patient-reported physical functioning following critical illness.46
Physical Therapist Management of Adults With PICS

**Balance.** There is emerging evidence that survivors of critical illness have an increased risk for injurious falls within the first year following ICU discharge.46 Balance (Tab. 2) can be measured using 1 of 3 outcome measures—static and dynamic standing balance (Berg Balance Scale95,96), walking balance (Functional Gait Assessment31,32), and balance confidence (Activities-Specific Balance Confidence Scale55,54). The physical therapist patient history and discussion with the patient’s caregiver will identify which areas of balance are of most concern and utilize the appropriate balance assessment outcome measure.

**Participation Restriction Examination: Outcome Measures**

**Activities of daily living.** The incidence of difficulties with ADL increases during the first year after critical illness, with the most common challenges being with bathing, dressing, and continence.4,14,55 To examine ADL proficiency, the Katz Index of Independence in ADL (Tab. 2) is a recommended outcome measure.56,57 The Katz ADL Index can be determined by observation or self- or surrogate-report of an individual’s ability to perform 6 ADL.

**Instrumental activities of daily living.** In the first year following ICU care, new or worsening dependency in IADL is present in many survivors.14,58 To fully characterize the degree of IADL dependency, the Lawton IADL questionnaire59 is commonly used (Tab. 2). The Lawton IADL is a self- or surrogate-report instrument designed to capture information about 8 functional skills necessary to live in the community. These skills include ability to use the telephone, shopping, food preparation, housekeeping, laundry, mode of transportation, and ability to handle finances.59 Tracking IADL performance will inform ongoing physical therapy interventions and identify people who may benefit from referrals to occupational therapists.

**Return to driving.** During the first year following intensive care, approximately one-third of survivors were unable to return to driving.14,56 An inability to drive will limit a person’s participation in the community, including their ability to return to employment and attend outpatient appointments. Therefore, return to driving is important and can be assessed by simply asking the person if they have returned to driving (Tab. 2). Referral to an occupational therapist or comprehensive driving evaluation center is appropriate for evaluating ability to return to driving and considering interventions to improve such ability if needed.

**Return to remunerative employment.** During the first year following critical illness, return to remunerative employment was not achieved for 44 to 70% of survivors employed prior to their ICU stay.14,25 In a study of ARDS survivors who returned to work, 43% never returned to their previous hours worked, 31% experienced a major occupation change, 27% reported reduced effectiveness at work, and 24% subsequently lost their jobs.89 Given the substantial impact of reduced or loss of remunerative employment, it is essential that skills required for employment be individually assessed and included as part of the rehabilitation program. Referral to occupational therapy41 and/or occupational medicine62 should be considered. Furthermore, recognition that inability to return to work may result from cognitive or mental health changes associated with critical illness is important, as is appropriate screening and referral.

The collective burden from the physical, mental health, and cognitive problems associated with PICS may influence quality of life. Reduced quality of life is greatest in the first year after the critical illness, and after several years it may trend towards ordinary levels.65

**Screening for Cognitive and Mental Health Impairments**

Cognitive impairment, and depression, anxiety, and PTSD symptoms are common among people with PICS (Tab. 3).64 The Montreal Cognitive Assessment is effective for identifying mild cognitive impairments;65 however, its validity for survivors of critical illness needs further examination.54,66 To screen for depression and anxiety, the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale67 is recommended for survivors of critical illness.17,19,64 Common symptoms of depression that may overlap with physical impairments (such as fatigue or difficulty sleeping) have been removed from this instrument. Physical therapists may be familiar with screening for depression with the questions “During the past month, have you often been bothered by feeling down, depressed, or hopeless?” and “During the past month, have you often been bothered by little interest or pleasure in doing things?” However, those questions have not been validated for use with people experiencing PICS. To screen for PTSD in survivors of critical illness, the Impact of Events Scale-Revised is recommended.64,69 A score ≥1.6 indicates positive screening for clinically important PTSD symptoms.69 Cognitive impairment, depression, anxiety, and PTSD negatively impact engagement in physical therapy and physical performance;70 therefore, identification and referral to a mental health specialist is an important consideration.

**Evaluation**

Examination data obtained using robust outcome measures are key to developing and monitoring the efficacy of a plan of care. Several strategies increase the utility of the examination. Comparing a person’s score on the outcome measure with baseline scores allows for tracking over time to determine the progress (or lack of progress) as well as the impact of the physical therapist's intervention.
Table 3.
Cognitive and Mental Health–Screening Tools Typically Applied to People With PICSa,b

| Domain    | Prevalence in PICS                                                                 | Recommended Tool | Interpretation                                      |
|-----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------------------------|
| Cognition | Up to 81% 3 months after ICU discharge, 42% 1 year after dischargec                 | MoCA64           | 26–30 = no impairmentf                            |
|           |                                                                                  |                  | 18–25 = mild impairmentg                           |
|           |                                                                                  |                  | 10–17 = cognitive impairmenth                       |
|           |                                                                                  |                  | <10 = severe impairmenti                           |
| Depression| ~30%c                                                                            | HADS-depression subscale19 (7 items) | ≤7 = normalj9,67,100                               |
|           |                                                                                  |                  | 8–10 = borderlinej19,67,100                         |
|           |                                                                                  |                  | 11–21 = abnormalj9,67,100                          |
| Anxiety   | ≥32%17                                                                           | HADS-anxiety subscale17 (7 items) | ≤7 = normalj7,67,100                               |
|           |                                                                                  |                  | 8–10 = borderlinej7,67,100                          |
|           |                                                                                  |                  | 11–21 = abnormalj7,67,100                          |
| PTSD      | ~20%101                                                                          | IES-R69 (22 items) | ≥1.6 positive screening for PTSD69                 |

aHADS = Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; IES-R = Impact of Events–Revised; MoCA = Montreal Cognitive Assessment; PICS = post-intensive care syndrome; PTSD = post-traumatic stress disorder.
bBased on an international modified Delphi consensus process,64 HADS and IES-R instruments are recommended for use in research studies evaluating survivors of acute respiratory failure (ARF). No consensus was reached, due to lack of adequate psychometric data in survivors of ARF, for a cognitive screening tool, but MoCA was the instrument with the highest level of support by the consensus panel and is noted here. More information on these instruments and this consensus process is available at www.improveLTO.com.

Normative values are available for some outcome measures. Comparison of the person’s outcome data with norms for the general population allows the physical therapist to determine the person’s relative performance for impairment and activity limitation outcome measures. The person’s performance, as a percentage of normal values, also can be tracked over time to document response to interventions and may provide a metric that is easily understandable to a survivor of critical illness.

Prognosis
Recovery of physical impairments for individuals with PICS can be slow and may take months or years.11,13,25,71 The presence of ICU acquired weakness at hospital discharge is associated with reduced physical function and health-related quality of life for up to 24 months following critical illness.11,71 Furthermore, muscle weakness at discharge is associated with mortality at 1-72 and 5-year73 follow-up.

Interventions
In survivors of critical illness, improvements in quality of life and functioning generally have not been achieved with rehabilitation interventions initiated after ICU discharge.79 Hence, early intervention may be best, for instance, starting during the ICU stay.80 Following hospitalization, we recommend that early physical therapy interventions focus on providing compensatory strategies to address problems with performing ADL and functioning. In addition to interventions teaching the person new strategies, caregivers will benefit from learning techniques for assisting in the presence of new and evolving functional limitations. Compensatory interventions may include recommending and instructing in the use of assistive devices (eg, use of a cane or walker), adaptive devices that assist the performance of ADL (eg, raised toilet seat), and instruction in strategies that promote participation in the community (eg, use of accessible parking spaces). Patient and family education should address the recovery process that accompanies PICS, the benefits from accessing physical and emotional
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support, coping strategies for the prolonged recovery, and strategies for prioritizing activity and participation in the presence of fatigue or reduced stamina.81

The elevated risk of hospital readmission associated with a decline in functional status82 establishes the paramount challenge to the physical therapist managing the person with PICS. Therefore, exercises that promote functioning should predominate early in the course of recovery. Because the physical problems imposed by PICS respond poorly to restorative interventions,83 we emphasize compensatory training, accompanied by exercises that are relevant (ie, task-specific) to foster improvement in performance and motor learning. Referral to a dietician is recommended, as strengthening exercises may be more effective with nutritional supplementation.84 Additionally, an exercise diary should be used.84 A journal will assist the person with appraising functioning and the improvements achieved with rehabilitation interventions.81,84

Once functioning is addressed, the focus should progress to restorative strategies. Major et al (2016)83 recommended the mode for restorative exercises should integrate exercises that foster strengthening and function, endurance training, circuit and high-intensity interval training, balance training, interventions to increase range of motion, and education of patients and caregivers on the recovery process, as outlined in Table 4. An optimal outcome will require customized exercise interventions with intensity titrated to achieve overload. Aerobic exercise intensity should be at 50% to 70% of heart rate reserve and a Borg Breathlessness score of 3 to 4.84 Strength training should provide resistance at 70% to 80% of the person’s 1-repetition maximum.85 In addition to informing exercise intensity, routine measurement of cardiac and respiratory responses are necessary due to the risk for decompensation in response to exercise. In summary, anticipation of a dose-dependent response to exercise and titration of exercise interventions to achieve overload while balancing the physiological demand is essential.

Patient and Family Education
Patient education is an important component of care that has multiple challenges. Survivors of critical illness experience impairments in cognitive and mental health functioning subsequent to a period of critical illness.81,86 Therefore, particular care must be taken when approaching education with people who are experiencing PICS. Understanding the nature of health literacy is essential to patient education regardless of the patient population. Health literacy is impacted by multiple factors, including age, education level, income level, as well as whether the person is a member of a minority or immigrant population.85

Fundamental principles when providing patient education include that information shared be provided in multiple formats, including visual (eg, pictures, diagrams), auditory (description using lay terms when possible), and written materials at a reading level of no higher than fifth grade. Many people require kinesthetic approaches as well, which is why writing down information for themselves is helpful. The practitioner should be prepared to review information more than once and consider using a teach-back approach where the person “teaches” the physical therapist the information they have learned to demonstrate understanding.88 Awareness of challenges relating to health literacy and other communication barriers is key to successful patient education.

Unique learning needs among people with PICS and their family members include identification, understanding, and validation of the problems associated with PICS; reassurance as they address the fears associated with the episode of critical illness and the sequelae of problems; confirmation about their recovery in response to interventions; and, where appropriate, referral for social and/or spiritual support.81 Education about strategies for prevention and wellness are advantageous, because surviving critical illness is associated with elevated risk for developing new, chronic conditions (eg, heart disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, diabetes).89 Beyond the individualized rehabilitation program, people with PICS should be coached to engage in lifestyle changes such as regular aerobic and resistance exercise and increased physical activity.

Furthermore, critical illness is a family crisis that impacts the health of family members. Physical therapists should recognize that family members are at risk for the development of mental health problems such as anxiety and depression89 as well as physical health problems related to decreased self-care while caring for a person with PICS.

Coordination of Resources
Due to the complex presentation of an individual with PICS, the physical therapist should coordinate services with an interprofessional team. This optimally includes integration with the primary care physician, occupational therapist, speech-language pathologist, pharmacist, mental health counselor, and social worker. Collaboration with additional professionals such as physiatrists, other specialist physicians, psychologists, cardiopulmonary physical therapists, or palliative care teams may also be beneficial. This strategy of care coordination exemplifies the culture of team-based collaborative rehabilitation services that support people and families.88 Additionally, referral to peer-support groups where survivors of critical illness are able to connect with one another to help improve recovery is also important.89

Community-Based Rehabilitation Options for Individuals With PICS
ICU Follow-up Clinics
One model that can be used to identify people with PICS and coordinate the necessary services is post-ICU clinics.
Table 4.
Physical Therapist Management for People With PICS

| Goals                                      | Screen/Examine for These Problems                                      | Recommended Interventions                                      |
|--------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|
| Locomotion in home or community            | Respiration muscle strength, Skeletal muscle strength, Flexibility, Exercise or activity capacity, Gait speed, Balance | Resistance training with nutritional support, Stretching, Balance training, Gait training, Interval or endurance training, Circuit training |
| Perform activities of daily living         | Skeletal muscle strength, Exercise or activity capacity, Balance, Flexibility | Resistance training with nutritional support, Stretching, Balance training, Gait training, Interval or endurance training, Circuit training, Task-specific training |
| Perform instrumental activities of daily living | Skeletal muscle strength, Exercise or activity capacity, Gait speed, Balance, Cognitive or mental health function | Resistance training with nutritional support, Balance training, Gait training, Interval or endurance training, Circuit training, Consultation with mental health clinician |
| Driving or return to work                  | Capacity for activity, Cognitive or mental health function             | Interval or endurance training, Consultation with driving specialist, Consultation with vocational specialist, Consultation with mental health clinician |
| Pain relief                                | Skeletal muscle strength, Flexibility, Postural changes                | Pain management, Resistance and postural training, Stretching   |
| Participation in community activities and recreation | Respiration muscle strength, Skeletal muscle strength, Exercise or activity capacity, Gait speed, Balance, Driving, Pain | Resistance training with nutritional support, Stretching, Balance training, Gait training, Interval or endurance training, Circuit training, Task-specific training |

Strategies for optimal outcome

- Routinely measure cardiac and respiratory responses for safety during all exercise and activities.
- Titrate exercise intensity to 50–70% of heart rate reserve and Borg Breathlessness score of 3 to 4 of 10. Information about heart rate intensity and calculation of heart rate reserve is available from the Academy of Neurologic Physical Therapy at [http://www.neuropt.org/practice-resources/locomotor/resourses](http://www.neuropt.org/practice-resources/locomotor/resourses).
- Titrate resistance training intensity to resistance at 70% to 80% of the patient’s 1-repetition maximum or with form deterioration around 8 repetitions.
- Reinforce patient and family education on the process of recovery from PICS.
- Use a team-based approach with collaboration with the primary care physician and other clinicians, as needed, including specialist physician(s), dietitian, occupational therapist, speech-language pathologist, pharmacist, mental health counselor, social worker, and psychologist.

PICS = post-intensive care syndrome.

The goals of these clinics are to prospectively identify impairments and create individualized restorative plans for people. ICU follow-up clinic models are emerging in the United States and internationally. Ideally, during an ICU stay, people with factors associated with the development of PICS are identified. Initiation of services early after hospital discharge is recommended, with planning for timed intervals for follow-up from that point forward. Identification of physical, cognitive, and mental health impairments in an interprofessional setting, with providers including a physical therapist, assists in the establishment of a multifaceted care plan for the unique person.

Community-Based Services: Home Health and Outpatient Physical Therapy Clinics
Following services in an ICU, the majority (approximately 85%) of people are discharged home from the acute care hospital. While ICU follow-up clinics are becoming available, the majority of people returning home will not have access to the specialized services offered by these clinics. We advocate that home health care and outpatient physical therapists are ideally positioned to provide and coordinate rehabilitation services for people with PICS. Home health care and outpatient practices are located in most communities, thereby providing convenient access to
rehabilitation services for individuals experiencing the physical impairments associated with PICS.

Given the potential presentation of impairments in muscle strength, exercise capacity, gait speed, balance, ADL, and IADL that are frequently present in the first year following critical illness and commonly accompanied by cognitive and mental health problems, a high level of coordination of services with other health care providers is necessary to achieve optimal outcomes. Due to the evolving understanding of PICS, coupled with limited education about PICS for primary providers, it may be necessary for physical therapists to become the driver for informing the members of the health care team about PICS. The physical therapist may need to educate the person's primary care provider and others about the breadth of physical, cognitive, and mental health problems that the person may experience due to PICS. A goal of facilitating the coordination of services is to reduce the hospital readmission rates and greater resource utilization that has been associated with PICS.

Future Directions

With the aging population and improving ICU mortality, the number of survivors of critical illness is growing. The PICS term was introduced relatively recently, and ongoing efforts are needed to continue to raise awareness among ICU and post-ICU clinicians, patients, and families regarding problems that commonly occur in survivors of critical illness. Importantly, PICS emphasizes the coincident problems that may occur within physical, cognitive, and mental health status of survivors of critical illness. Greater research is needed to understand underlying mechanisms for these problems and to design and evaluate novel interventions to prevent or treat specific impairments commonly experienced by survivors of critical illness. Given the common occurrence of problems across these distinct domains, interventions may need to target any common underlying mechanisms and include multi-component interventions. Considerations for future research in this field include (1) focusing on patient-important outcomes with use of appropriate outcomes measures that are reliable and valid in this specific population, including conducting new psychometric evaluation of existing instruments and rigorously creating new instruments if needed; (2) following survivors longitudinally throughout the trajectory of recovery with rigorous, evidence-based methods for retaining participants in these long-term studies; and (3) use of appropriate statistical methods to appropriately address the impact of high mortality on outcome assessment and interpretation of the efficacy of interventions. Through NIH-funded research infrastructure, free resources are available at www.improveLTO.com to address each of these 3 issues. Further research infrastructure and novel research studies are needed, including digital health care and studies focusing on interprofessional collaboration among physical therapists, other rehabilitation specialists, critical care physicians and nurses, and neuropsychological experts.

Conclusions

The success of critical care services has resulted in increasing numbers of critical care survivors, with many experiencing PICS. Home health care and outpatient physical therapists are ideally positioned to address the reduced functioning and participation associated with PICS. Optimal management begins with the recognition of PICS. We propose that a history of critical illness is a “yellow flag” to recognize an elevated risk for associated physical, cognitive, or mental health impairments. Outcome measures that demonstrate the impact of impairments should be included in the initial examination and in longitudinal follow-up to help understand the pathway of recovery experienced by the person. In anticipation of a prolonged recovery period, early interventions based on compensatory strategies may prove beneficial. The interconnected nature of the problems associated with PICS often requires collaboration within an interprofessional team to tailor the clinical services to the unique needs and abilities of each person and optimize patient and family outcomes.
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