Effects of Cannabinoids on Caffeine Contractures in Slow and Fast Skeletal Muscle Fibers of the Frog
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Abstract The effect of cannabinoids on caffeine contractures was investigated in slow and fast skeletal muscle fibers using isometric tension recording. In slow muscle fibers, WIN 55,212-2 (10 and 5 μM) caused a decrease in tension. These doses reduced maximum tension to 67.43 ± 8.07% (P = 0.02, n = 5) and 79.4 ± 14.11% (P = 0.007, n = 5) compared to control, respectively. Tension-time integral was reduced to 58.37 ± 7.17% and 75.10 ± 3.60% (P = 0.002, n = 5), respectively. Using the CB1 cannabinoid receptor agonist ACPA (1 μM) reduced the maximum tension of caffeine contractures by 68.70 ± 11.63% (P = 0.01, n = 5); tension-time integral was reduced by 66.82 ± 6.89% (P = 0.02, n = 5) compared to controls. When the CB1 receptor antagonist AM281 was coapplied with ACPA, it reversed the effect of ACPA on caffeine-evoked tension. In slow and fast muscle fibers incubated with the pertussis toxin, ACPA had no effect on tension evoked by caffeine. In fast muscle fibers, ACPA (1 μM) also decreased tension; the maximum tension was reduced by 56.48 ± 3.4% (P = 0.001, n = 4), and tension-time integral was reduced by 57.81 ± 2.6% (P = 0.006, n = 4). This ACPA effect was not statistically significant with respect to the reduction in tension in slow muscle fibers. Moreover, we detected the presence of mRNA for the cannabinoid CB1 receptor on fast and slow skeletal muscle fibers, which was significantly higher in fast compared to slow muscle fiber expression. In conclusion, our results suggest that in the slow and fast muscle fibers of the frog cannabinoids diminish caffeine-evoked tension through a receptor-mediated mechanism.
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Introduction

Cannabinoids exert diverse biological effects on tissues by acting at cannabinoid receptors, which belong to the superfamily of G_i/G_o protein-coupled receptors (Matsuda et al. 1990), which are sensitive to pertussis toxin (Reisine and Law 1992; Soderstrom et al. 2000). To date, two cannabinoid receptors have been identified (CB1 and CB2); the CB1 receptor is mainly located within the central nervous system but also in peripheral tissues; the CB2 receptor is found predominantly in the immune system (Chaperon and Thiebot 1999; Matsuda et al. 1990) and is also expressed by some neurons (Beltramo et al. 2006; Gong et al. 2006). In the brain, CB1 receptors are highly localized in the hippocampus, cerebellum and substantia nigra (Glass et al. 1997). They are also located presynaptically on peripheral nerves in gut, vas deferens, bladder (Pertwee et al. 1996), immune (Munro et al. 1993; Paraloro 1999) and smooth muscle cells (Filipeanu et al. 1997). However, cannabinoid receptors also occur postsynaptically, e.g., in the hippocampus and in skeletal muscle (Cavuoto et al. 2007a; Ortiz-Mesina et al. 2007). Cannabinoids also bind...
to vanilloid receptors subtype 1 (VR) (Ross 2003), which are expressed in skeletal muscle (Xin et al. 2005; Cavuoto et al. 2007b).

The endogenous cannabinoid system, represented by cannabinoid receptors, appeared early in evolution and has been conserved (Salz et al. 2000; McPatland et al. 2006). In amphibians, to date only the CB1 ortholog receptor has been found (McPatland et al. 2006; Salz et al. 2000). Soderstrom et al. (2000) reported the expression of CB1 in different tissues of newt including skeletal muscle. Salio et al. (2002) studied the distribution of CB1 receptors in amphibian spinal cord. In 2006, Meccariello et al. reported the expression of CB1 in several tissues of Rana esculenta. Hollis et al. (2006) also reported the existence of CB1 receptor in the newt brain. In R. pipsiens, Sánchez-Pastor et al. (2007) showed pharmacological evidence indicating the functional presence of CB1 receptors in the neuromuscular junction.

Cannabinoids reduce locomotor activity. These effects could be due to actions on the central nervous system (Dewey 1986; Sañudo-Pena et al. 2000). Some effects of cannabinoids have suggested their potential therapeutic use (as muscle relaxants or reducers of motor activity) (Baker et al. 2000, 2001; Mackie 2006). However, more basic research is required before their real value can be assessed. To this end, we designed the present study to evaluate the direct action of cannabinoids on contraction of skeletal muscles, hoping to find a physiological basis for some of their effects on spasticity, spontaneous muscle activity and reduction of motor activity (Baker et al. 2000, 2001; DiMarzo et al. 2000; Mackie 2006; Sañudo-Pena et al. 2000). Our primary aim was to examine the effects of the CB1 cannabinoid agonists WIN 55,212-2 and arachidonylcyclopropylamide (ACPA) on caffeine contractures in slow and fast skeletal muscle fibers of R. pipsiens. In addition, in this study we found mRNA for the CB1 receptor in frog skeletal muscle. The presence of mRNA was determined semiquantitatively and quantitatively by PCR. Some of these results have been previously published as abstracts (Ortiz-Mesina et al. 2007; Sánchez-Pastor et al. 2004).

Methods

Muscle Preparation

The study was performed on extensor digitorum longus digiti IV and cruralis muscles or bundles (0.5 mm diameter) from R. pipsiens by doing molecular biology experiments or by means of isometric tension recordings. Frogs were used in accordance with the Institute for Laboratory Animal Research’s Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (1996) and Alworth and Harvey (2007). They were kept at room temperature (22–24°C) and fed with a mixture of chicken liver and cod liver oil. To minimize pain and distress, under cold anesthesia frogs were killed and demedullated to extract the muscles.

Tension Recording

A continuous-perfusion recording chamber with an adjustable width and a central channel 3 cm long was used to record tension in the bundles. The proximal end of each bundle was fixed to the wall of the chamber, while the distal end was hooked up to the lever of a linear electromechanical transducer (400A; Cambridge Technology, Cambridge, MA). The bundle was stretched to 1.3 times its resting length before any isometric-tension recording was performed. Caffeine contractures were 240 s of duration for slow muscle fibers and 80 s for the fast muscle fibers. Solutions entered via a three-way tap situated at one end of the central channel. Mechanical responses were evoked by caffeine solution (see “Solutions”), both in the control trials and after addition of an agonist or antagonist for the cannabinoid receptors (WIN 55,212-2, ACPA or AM281; Tocris Bioscience, Ellisville, MO). A data-acquisition system (CyberAmp 320; Axon Instruments, Foster City, CA) and a Digidata 1200 (Axon Instruments) were used to process and to store the data in a computer (Pentium 4) for subsequent analysis. AxoScope software (pClamp 9.0, Axon Instruments) was used for data acquisition and the program Clampfit, for data analysis.

Extracting the tRNA to Detect CB1 in Extensor Digitorum Longus and Cruralis Muscles

To extract tRNA, the procedures described by Chomczynski and Sacchi (1987) were used. In brief, 10 mg of extensor digitorum longus IV or cruralis muscle in PBS solution (Invitrogen 10010-023; Gibco, Rockville, MD) were extracted and transferred to a 1.5-ml tube containing 0.5 ml Trizol (15596-018; Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). The tissue was homogenized, and total RNA was then extracted using phenol-chloroform and isopropanol. To determine the quality of RNA, electrophoresis was carried out on 1–2 μg of the total RNA using 1% agarose gel. To identify the expression of CB1 in skeletal muscle fibers of the frog, we made a comparison of the published sequences in GeneBank Delta mRNA for several species, and primers were designed considering the conserved sequences having as base template the Xenopus laevis sequence. Thus, the sequence used was 5′-GCAGTGAATTGGGTCTACAG-3′ (sense) and 5′-GAGGGCCCCAACAAATGATT-3′ (antisense). As a positive control for CB1 receptor expression, CaCl2 precipitation was used to transfsect 70%
confluent HEK293 cells with a plasmid containing the coding sequence for human CB1 cannabinoid receptor. The cDNA for CB1, inserted into the mammalian expression vector pCI (Promega, Madison, WI), was expressed under the control of a cytomegalovirus (CMV) promoter. HEK293 cell culture was grown at 37°C in Dulbecco’s modified eagle medium (GIBCO) containing 10% horse serum and 1% antibiotic-antimycotic, under a 5% CO2 atmosphere. To carry out reverse-transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR), a SuperScript™ one-step RTPCR with a Platinum® Taq kit (Invitrogen) was used and 4 μg of the total RNA from the extensor digitorum longus or cruralis muscles was added. A DNA thermal cycler (iCycler; Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA) was used, and the protocol consisted of the following cycles: (1) to synthesize and denaturalize cDNA, we started with a 50°C cycle for 30 min, followed by a 2-min cycle at 90°C; (2) then, we amplified the cDNA using 40 cycles (each of 15 s at 94°C, 30 s at 50°C and 1 min at 72°C); and (3) we finished with a final 8-min cycle at 72°C. The total reaction mix used for this RT-PCR (50 μl) contained 4 μg of total muscle RNA, 1 μM of each of the primers, 25 μl 2 × reaction mix, 1 μl RT/Platinum Taq Mix and 1 μl of 5 mM MgSO4. The anticipated size for CB1 receptor mRNA was 579 bp compared with 1,053 bp for β-actin mRNA. Electrophoresis of the RT-PCR products to separate the components was done on a 1% agarose gel. Signals were analyzed using Quantity one, version 9.2.1 (Bio-Rad Laboratories).

Real-Time RT-PCR

Real-time RT-PCR was performed according to SuperScript™ one-step methods using a real-time RT-PCR thermal cycler (Rotor-Gene 3000; Corbett Life Science, Sydney, Australia). Thus, the cocktail was prepared exactly as for conventional RT-PCR but adding the fluorophore SYBR green (SuperArray, Frederick, MD). The fluorescence of the SYBR green indicated the expression level for CB1 mRNA, and this was compared with an mRNA sample of known concentration used as control. Analysis was done using Rotor-Gene 5 software (Corbett Life Science).

Solutions

The normal saline solution contained (in mM) NaCl 117.5, KCl 2.5 and CaCl2 1.8. The pH was adjusted to 7.4 with imidazole Cl. Contractures were induced following rapid substitution (1–2 s) of the normal solution by caffeine solution (normal saline solution plus caffeine 6 mM). In mechanical experiments, the bundle was curarized with 50 μM d-tubocurarine (Sigma, St. Louis, MO). Stock solutions of WIN 55,212-2, ACPA and AM281—1-(2,4-dichlorophenyl)-5-(4-iodophenyl)-4-methyl-N-4-morpholinyl-1H-pyrazole-3-carboxamide (Tocris Cookson, Ballwin, MO)—at 10 mM concentration were made up in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) or ethanol (Sigma). The final concentration of DMSO in the bathing solution was <0.01%, which has no significant effect on caffeine or K+ contractures (Velasco et al. 2003). The cruralis bundles were incubated in the appropriate solution for 5 min before contractures were induced using caffeine. When ACPA was used, the experiments were performed in the dark. Pertussis toxin (PTX; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) was applied by incubating the muscle with 2 μg/ml PTX in normal saline solution at 4°C for 22–24 h before doing experiments (Sugiura and Ko 1997). Experiments were performed at room temperature (22–24°C). PTX was reconstituted as a stock solution (50 μg/ml) with 5 mg/ml of bovine serum albumin (BSA, Sigma) solution in water. Control experiments were done by incubating muscles in a solution of BSA.

Data Analysis

Tension analysis was performed using the Clampfit subroutine (pClamp 9.0 software, Axon Instruments). Graphics were generated by Sigmaplot 8.0 software (Systat Software, San Jose, CA). The analyzed parameters of the contracture were maximum tension (peak tension) and tension-time integral (total tension). The experimental results are presented as means ± standard error. Mean data were subjected to Student’s t-test; differences were considered significant at P < 0.05.

Results

Presence of mRNA for Cannabinoid Receptor CB1 in Skeletal Muscle Fibers

CB1 receptors are broadly localized in the central nervous system and in some peripheral tissues, but the presence of these kinds of receptors in skeletal muscle has not been determined. The presence of CB1 receptor mRNA was determined in frog fast and slow skeletal muscle fibers. Fast muscle fibers were obtained from the extensor digitorum longus muscle and slow muscle fibers, from tonic bundles of cruralis muscle. In Fig. 1, lane 2 shows untransfected HEK293 cells (negative control). Lane 3 shows HEK293 cells transfected with CB1 (positive control). The expected size for CB1 was 579 bp. Lanes 4 and 5 show the expression of CB1 receptors in fast and slow skeletal muscle fibers, respectively. As can be seen, CB1 expression is very abundant in fast skeletal muscle fibers (lane 4) as its expression is comparable with induced
expression in HEK cells (lane 3). On the other hand, although to a lesser extent, slow muscle fibers also express mRNA for CB1, shown as a faint but visible band in lane 5. Expression of β-actin was used as a control for RNA quality. The relationship to the mRNA expression for CB1/β-actin was 0.99 ± 0.03 for fast muscle fibers and 0.51 ± 0.10 for slow muscle fibers. The difference was statistically significant (P = 0.002, n = 6). Thus, CB1 receptors are present in both fast and slow skeletal muscle fibers of the frog.

Real-Time RT-PCR

To further confirm the existence of mRNA for CB1 in frog skeletal muscle fibers, we did quantitative analysis of CB1 mRNA levels using real-time RT-PCR. The mRNA expression levels of CB1 receptors were checked by the intensity of fluorescence produced by SYBR green. As with conventional RT-PCR, the expression levels were lower in slow muscle fibers than in fast muscle fibers (Fig. 2). The concentration levels for CB1 mRNA corroborate the approximate 2:1 relationship between fast and slow muscle fibers, which was 5.07 μg/μl for the fast muscle fibers and 2.60 μg/μl for the slow muscle fibers. To determine the CB1 mRNA concentrations, we used a sample with known mRNA concentration as a control.

Effects of WIN 55,212-2 on Caffeine Contractures in Slow Muscle Fibers

It is known that cannabinoids can affect muscle activity (Baker et al. 2000, 2001; DiMarzo et al. 2000; Mackie 2006; Sañudo-Pena et al. 2000), but little information exists about the mechanism of action of cannabinoids on skeletal muscle. To determine whether cannabinoids directly modulate tension in slow skeletal muscle fibers, we used the cannabinoid agonist WIN 55,212-2. WIN was added to the external medium 5 min previous, to induce the caffeine contracture, and kept throughout the contracture. Addition of this agonist (10 μM) to the external medium decreased the tension evoked by 6 mM of caffeine; the maximum tension was clearly reduced to 67.43 ± 8.07% (P = 0.02, n = 5), while tension-time integral was reduced to 58.37 ± 7.17% (P = 0.0001, n = 5), compared to the control (Fig. 3a). Figure 3b shows caffeine contractures in normal solution before and after adding WIN 5 μM; the maximum tension was reduced to 79.4 ± 14.11% (P = 0.007, n = 5), while tension-time integral was reduced to 75.1 ± 3.6% (P = 0.002, n = 5). Thus, WIN at different concentrations reduces the tension of caffeine contractures in slow skeletal muscle fibers.

Effects of ACPA on Caffeine Contractures in Slow Muscle Fibers

To further corroborate that cannabinoids can modulate tension in skeletal muscle fibers, we examined the effects of a selective agonist of CB1 receptors, ACPA, which is analogous to the endocannabinoid anandamide (Hillard
et al. 1999). For these experiments, we used slow skeletal muscle fibers. In these experiments, 1 μM of ACPA was applied during contractures induced with caffeine (6 mM). Figure 4 shows the results that we obtained. Figure 4a shows caffeine contractures in a normal solution (control) and when ACPA was applied to the bathing solution, which decreased the muscle tension. Maximum tension was clearly reduced compared to the control—by 68.70 ± 11.63% (P = 0.01, n = 5)—while tension-time integral was reduced by 66.12 ± 6.89% (P = 0.02, n = 5). In addition, other caffeine concentrations (4 and 8 mM) were used to evoke contractures (data not shown). Compared to the control and using 8 mM of caffeine, 1 μM of ACPA reduced the maximum tension by 77.56 ± 6.43% (P = 0.08), while tension-time integral was reduced by 75.46 ± 5.55% (P = 0.002, n = 5). With 4 mM caffeine, the same concentration of ACPA reduced the maximum tension by 80.40 ± 8.25% (P = 0.003) and tension-time integral by 80.81 ± 10.14% (P = 0.002) compared to the control (n = 5). Thus, these results with ACPA corroborate that, in frog slow skeletal muscle fibers, the cannabinoids reduce tension evoked by caffeine.

Effects of Antagonist AM281 on Caffeine Contractures in Slow Muscle Fibers

Next, we tested whether the high-affinity CB1 receptor antagonist AM281 (Howlett et al. 2002) can block the inhibitory effect observed with ACPA alone on caffeine-evoked tension in these slow muscle fibers. As Fig. 4b shows, maximum tension was reduced to 90.27 ± 3.07% compared to the control and tension-time integral was not significantly modified (100.24 ± 10.91%, n = 5), suggesting that ACPA modulates caffeine contractures by interacting with CB1 receptors. Nevertheless, we still observed a small decrease in the contractures, which could have been due to a nonrelated activation of the CB1 receptors by ACPA as the reduction in tension produced by ACPA was not fully reversed by AM281. Control experiments recording caffeine contractures in the presence of AM281 alone were also performed, and these showed no significant effect (data not shown). These results indicate that ACPA reduces the caffeine-induced contracture of slow skeletal muscle fibers mainly by the activation of CB1 receptors.

Effect of PTX on Caffeine Contractures in Slow Muscle Fibers

Cannabinoid receptors belong to the G protein-coupled receptor family. To test whether the observed ACPA effects are mediated through G1/o proteins, we incubated the cruralis muscles with PTX (2 μg/ml). Figure 4c shows the results of the experiments under these conditions. In slow muscle fibers incubated with PTX, 1 μM of ACPA...
had no effect on tension evoked by caffeine. The maximum tension in the presence of ACPA, on average, was 95.69 ± 9.39%, and tension-time integral was 97.69 ± 13.37% (n = 5). These data suggest that ACPA acts mostly through a mechanism that involves G_{i/o} proteins. Control experiments were done in parallel, and no significant effect with PTX incubation was observed (data not shown).

Effect of ACPA on Caffeine Contractures in Fast Muscle Fibers

To investigate whether cannabinoids also can modulate tension in fast muscle fibers, we studied the effects of the selective CB1 receptor agonist ACPA. When fast muscle fibers were incubated with ACPA (1 μM), it reduced the maximum tension of the caffeine contracture by 56.48 ± 3.4% (P = 0.001, n = 4), and tension-time integral was reduced by 57.81 ± 2.60% (P = 0.006, n = 4) in the second caffeine contracture (Fig. 5). This ACPA effect was not statistically different with respect to reduction in tension in the slow muscle fibers (P > 0.05). When the CB1 antagonist receptor AM281 (1 μM) was coapplied with ACPA, it largely reversed the effect of ACPA on caffeine-evoked tension. Maximum tension was reduced to 87.37 ± 1.7% (P = 0.01, n = 3) and tension-time integral to 89.77 ± 1.73% (P = 0.02, n = 3).

Furthermore, to test whether the effects of ACPA are mediated through G_{i/o} proteins, we conducted experiments preincubating the muscles with PTX. After incubating the fast muscle fibers with PTX (2 μg/ml), ACPA had no effect on caffeine contractures (n = 3). These results are similar to those reported above for slow fibers (Fig. 4c). When we used the cannabinoid agonist WIN 55,212-2 at a concentration of 5 μM, this agonist produced a reduction in the maximum tension of caffeine contracture to 81.16 ± 0.97% (P = 0.003, n = 4) and tension-time integral was reduced to 72.15 ± 4.35% (P = 0.007, n = 4), with respect to control. These results suggest that both ACPA and WIN reduced tension in the fast muscle fibers as well.

Discussion

Frog skeletal muscle possesses two main different types of fibers: twitch or fast and slow or tonic. Fast fibers give a transient contracture in high potassium; in contrast, slow fibers generate a prolonged contracture under similar conditions (Kuffler and Vaughan-Williams 1953; Huerta et al. 1986). This study has shown that the mRNA for the cannabinoid receptor CB1 is present in the frog’s fast and slow skeletal muscle fibers. Although we cannot rule out that part of the CB1 receptor mRNA that we found was at the level of the nerve terminal, we used thin bundles that we carefully cleaned, removing vessels and nerves using glass dissectors to minimize the nerve terminals as possible sources of mRNA. The slow muscle fibers that we used were dissected from the tonic bundle of the cruralis muscle, while the fast muscle fibers were obtained from the extensor digitorum longus digit IV. It is known that fast muscle fibers are normally mono-innervated, in contrast to slow fibers, which are multi-innervated. We found that the mRNA expression levels for the CB1 receptor are lower in slow skeletal muscle fibers than in fast muscle fibers. These results are consistent with the evidence that only the ortholog CB1 receptor has been reported in amphibians (McPatland et al. 2006; Salzet et al. 2000; Wiley and Martin 2003; Soderstrom et al. 2000; Salio et al. 2002; Meccariello et al. 2006; Hollis et al. 2006; Sánchez-Pastor et al. 2007). Recently, the presence of CB1 receptors, found by RT-PCR, was reported in human skeletal muscle and the murine soleus muscle (both of which contain mainly slow muscle fibers) (Krippet-Drews and Schmidt 1992; Pagotto et al. 2006; Cuvoto et al. 2007a). Those results are in agreement with our findings in this work with slow muscle fibers, and we also show the presence of CB1 receptors in fast skeletal muscle fibers. CB1 receptors are mostly localized presynaptically (Schlicker and Kathmann 2001), but they also occur postsynaptically, e.g., in the hippocampus (Schweitzer 2000). Also, we recently found indirect pharmacological evidence of the presence of CB1 receptors in frog fast skeletal muscle fibers (Sánchez-Pastor et al. 2007).

Cannabinoid receptors are part of the endocannabinoid system, which comprises cannabinoid receptors, along with the endogenous cannabinoids (endocannabinoids) and the enzymes that synthesize and degrade them. The best-known endocannabinoid is anandamide, which is formed in the same cell as the CB1 receptor through which it acts. It can diffuse through the plasmalemma and can also be released from the extracellular fluid touching the presynaptic terminal (Piomelli 2003; Rodriguez de Fonseca et al. 2005). Therefore, in this study we mainly used ACPA, which is analogous to the endocannabinoid anandamide (Hillard et al. 1999; Sánchez-Pastor et al. 2007).
We used caffeine to induce muscle tension since it is well known that caffeine evokes maintained tension in fast and slow fibers, mainly by calcium release from the sarcoplasmic reticulum (Caputo 1966; Klein et al. 1990; Muñiz et al. 1992). Moreover, in slow fibers Shabala et al. (2008) suggest that caffeine also opens the L-type Ca$^{2+}$ channels from the sarcolemma. To investigate the possible direct effect of cannabinoids on skeletal muscle contraction, we induced caffeine contractures in the presence of WIN 55,212-2, causing a diminution in maximum tension and tension-time integral compared to the control. The effect of WIN 55,212-2 was reversible and dependent on the concentration.

To further investigate the effects of cannabinoids on skeletal muscle contraction, we also used the synthetic CB$_1$-selective agonist ACPA. The results of these experiments show that the cannabinoid ACPA, at 1 µM, decreased both the maximum and the tension-time integral of the caffeine contracture in slow and fast muscle fibers. Moreover, this effect of ACPA on fast muscle fibers was observed until the second contracture, in contrast to WIN 55,212-2. Another possible explanation could be the fact that WIN and ACPA bind to a different site within the receptor. WIN binds at residue 192 and the ACPA binding site is possibly next to this site, in the third transmembrane domain of CB$_1$ (Song and Bonner 1996). Moreover, it has been suggested that WIN binds to an uncharacterized non-CB$_1$ cannabinoid receptor (Breivogel et al. 2001) or vanilloid receptors, as has been suggested for blood vessel smooth muscle (Dannert et al. 2007). In addition, there is evidence of a CB$_1$ splice variant (CB$_1A$), which could be the one expressed in amphibian (Rinaldi-Carmona et al. 1996; Shire et al. 1995). Thus, it would be interesting to determine in the future the complete sequence of the cannabinoid receptor in this preparation to determine its nature as it may be a CB$_1$ splice variant.

To our knowledge, this work is the first to show direct evidence of the existence of CB$_1$ receptors and the diminishing of tension by synthetic cannabinoids on intact frog slow and fast muscle fibers. Our methodology conserves the entire cannabinoid signaling system of these intact skeletal muscle fibers. Thus, the functional significance of the cannabinoid receptors in skeletal muscle would be the modulation of tension. This force modulation by cannabinoids would be done by acting on the extracellular binding site of the membrane and/or regulating the Ca$^{2+}$ release from the sarcoplasmic reticulum (Hoock et al. 1996; Huerta et al. 1986; Kripeit-Drews and Schmidt 1992; Muñiz et al. 1992; Shabala et al. 2008). However, further research is necessary to elucidate these mechanisms.
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