COMPARISON OF THE RESULTS OF PROCESSING OF CCD OBSERVATIONS OF SELECTED OPEN CLUSTERS
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ABSTRACT. Observations of open clusters at the Research Institute “Mykolaiv Astronomical Observatory” (RI MAO) were performed using the KT-50 telescope (D=500mm, F=3000mm) in 2011 – 2018. As the light receiver, a CCD camera Apogee Alta U9000 (3K x 3K, FOV 42.6’ x 42.6’) in short drift scan mode was used. In short drift scan mode each observation consisted of several CCD frames with partial overlap in right ascension. All observations were performed using R light filter. The exposure time was 20 seconds for all frames. All previous astrometric processing was carried out by the Astrometria program and UCAC4 reference catalog. Using this data we received two catalogs of positions of 4.2 million stars (J2013.6) and 3.3 million starts (J2017.3) in the vicinity of open clusters with accuracy (0.03 -0.07)”. Unfortunately, current version of Astrometria program have problem with data reduction with Gaia reference catalog in areas with big star density, like vicinity of open clusters near the plane of the Galaxy. At this article, we compared our old results with the new ones for several open clusters which carried out with the analogous one with the UCAC4 catalog. The comparison showed the possibility of receiving more good results with Gaia reference catalog.
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Observations of open clusters at the Research Institute “Mykolaiv Astronomical Observatory” (RI MAO) were performed using the KT-50 telescope (D=500mm, F=3000mm) in 2011 – 2018 and were obtained near 35000 CCD frames. As the light receiver, a CCD camera Apogee Alta U9000 (3K x 3K, FOV 42.6’ x 42.6’, scale 0.83’/pixel) in short drift scan mode was used (Apogee Alta CCD Camera, 2018). In short drift scan mode each observation consisted of several CCD frames with partial overlap in right ascension. All observations were performed using R light filter. The exposure time was 20 seconds for all frames. All previous astrometric processing was carried out by the Astrometria program and UCAC4 reference catalog. Using this data we received two catalogs of positions of 4.2 million stars (J2013.6) and 3.3 million starts (J2017.3) in the vicinity of open clusters with accuracy (0.03-0.07)”. Unfortunately, current version of Astrometria program have problem with data reduction with Gaia reference catalog in areas with big star density, like vicinity of open clusters near the plane of the Galaxy. At this article, we compared our old results with the new ones for several open clusters which carried out with the analogous one with the UCAC4 catalog. The comparison showed the possibility of receiving more good results with Gaia reference catalog.
frames with partial overlap in right ascension. All observations were performed using R light filter. The exposure time was 20 seconds for all frames. For this work we took observations of 7 open clusters (NGC884, NGC1039, NGC1245, NGC1528, NGC1545, NGC2420 and NGC2682) obtained in 2011-2017. All open cluster observed several times in different date. Each observation have from 4 to 9 CCD frames in depend on declination. Also, all this open clusters were observed in 2018 at the Maidanak Observatory with the Zeiss-1000 telescope (Protsyuk et al., 2018). All previous astrometric processing of our data was carried out by the Astrometrica program (Raab, 2018) and UCAC4 (Zacharias et al., 2013) reference catalog. Using this data we received two catalogs of positions of 4.2 million stars (J2013.6) and 3.3 million starts (J2017.3) in the vicinity of open clusters with accuracy (0.03-0.07)". In this work we repeated data reduction of selected open clusters with the Astrometrica program and Gaia DR2 (Gaia Collaboration, 2018) reference catalogs and the author’s set of programs with primary processing in the MIDAS environment (Andruk et al., 2005; 2017; Protsyuk et al., 2014a, 2014b) and different reference catalogs. This software was created to process images that are part of the Ukrainian Virtual Observatory (Vavilova et al., 2012; 2017).

2. Data reduction

The reduction of 548 CCD frames was performed using a polynomial of the third degree. As reference stars in our programs the Tycho2, UCAC4 and Gaia DR2 reference catalogs were used. With Astrometrica program we used Gaia DR2 reference catalogs. Unfortunately, current version of Astrometrica program have problem with data reduction with Gaia reference catalog in areas with big star density, like vicinity of open clusters near the plane of the Galaxy. So, for several open clusters we limited magnitude of Gaia catalog to 14.5 or 15.5 in Astrometrica to make reduction (Fig. 1).

The results in scale obtained by author programs with all reference catalogs, showed good convergence (Fig. 2). Integrated star catalog of positions for all plates upto 17.5 was obtained by author’s programs. The accuracy of the catalog in UCAC4 system is \( \sigma_{RA}=0.040" \) and \( \sigma_{DEC}=0.046" \). The accuracy of the catalog in Gaia system is \( \sigma_{RA}=0.037" \) and \( \sigma_{DEC}=0.042" \) (Fig. 3). The accuracy of single observation is shown in Tab. 1. Astrometrica not good yet with Gaia reference catalog in all frames.

Figure 1: Root mean square (RMS) errors of intra-frame reduction with Gaia limited magnitude 14.5 (left) and 16.5 (right)

Figure 2: Scale values distribution for all CCD frames depending on the reference catalog Tycho2, UCAC4, Gaia DR2

Figure 3: Distribution of our catalogs accuracy from magnitude for reference UCAC4 and Gaia

Figure 4: Distribution of inner accuracy in mas for NGC1545, observed in three dates, after processing with reference catalog Gaia DR2

Not so good accuracy near 14 magnitude is explained by some influence from bad columns in CCD matrix in several dates. Weather conditions in different nights also have influence to accuracy. Examples for three dates of NGS1545 observation which reduced with Gaia reference catalog are shown on Figure 4.

At the same time, the inner accuracy of intraframe processing with the Gaia DR2 reference catalog turned out to be 2-3 times better than the analogous one with the UCAC4 catalog. Example for NGC1545 observed at 2011/10/27 shown on Fig.5. Middle RMS for this open cluster at this date is 7 mas for reduction with Gaia and 17 mas with UCAC4. This result obtained from 2000 stars in vicinity of open cluster which were observed approximately 20 times per night.
3. Conclusion

The processing of observations with new reference catalog GaiaDR2 gives significantly better results with our software. To further improve the result, we need to pre-filter images before processing in MIDAS software. The high accuracy of intraframe processing with the Gaia reference catalog shows great potential for further improving the accuracy of our catalogs.
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