1. Introduction

Multiple renewable energy sources must be developed to meet rapidly increasing energy demand and human population growth. Countries around the world should utilize their natural resources as renewable energy to ensure sustainability. Solar energy is an abundant resource available in many countries. It has enabled continuous growth in the development of solar cells in recent decades. Among solar technologies, dye-sensitized solar cells (DSSCs) are photovoltaic devices that have attracted much attention since the 1990s. DSSCs consist of a dye-sensitized photoanode, a counter electrode, and a redox couple in the electrolyte system. Each of the components has an important role and cofunctions with each other to obtain a high power conversion efficiency. Various modifications to each DSSC component have been applied to improve their performance. Additionally, to generate improvements, the effort to reduce production costs has been crucial. The utilization of natural resources also aims to increase the value of the natural resource itself. In this review, the applications of various natural sources for DSSC components are described, as well as the modification efforts that have been made to enhance their performance. The discussion covers the utilization of natural dye for sensitizer dyes in liquid DSSC applications: (1) utilization of biopolymers for quasi-solid DSSC electrolytes, (2) green synthesis methods for photoanode semiconductors, and (3) development of natural carbon counter electrodes. The detailed factors that influence improvements in cell performance are also addressed.
η \sim J_{sc} \times V_{oc} \times FF

The short-circuit current \( J_{sc} \) correlates with both the photoanode and counter electrode performance. The value of \( J_{sc} \) can be increased by improving the efficiency of light harvesting. The improvement can be conducted, for instance, by finding a proper sensitizer that can more strongly attach to TiO\(_2\) surfaces or pores [1,2]. The counter electrode has a crucial role in catalyzing the redox couple in the electrolyte solution. The reduced species will be transported to the photoanode, reduce the oxidized dye, and continue the light absorption cycle. Therefore, a counter electrode with high electrocatalytic activity, charge transport activity, and low resistance is highly desirable to increase the \( J_{sc} \).

The open-circuit voltage \( (V_{oc}) \) is the difference in the Fermi level of electrons in TiO\(_2\) (\( E_F \)) and the redox potential of the electrolyte (\( E_{redox} \)) over the charge \( q \)

\[
V_{oc} = \left( E_F - E_{redox} \right) / q
\]

Theoretically, \( V_{oc} \) can reach up to 1.6 V. However, due to the energy mismatches between the \( E_{redox} \) and HOMO levels of the dye and between the conduction band level (\( E_C \)) of TiO\(_2\) and the LUMO of the dye, the maximum voltage is reduced to \( \Delta E \) [13]. The \( V_{oc} \) can be tuned by several means. An example of this is putting additives into the electrolyte to shift the conduction band level of TiO\(_2\) and/or proper choice of \( I^- \) salt source [14, 15, 16].

The FF depends on the serial resistance and shunt resistance of the devices. Serial resistance in DSSCs is the resistance of the electron transfer process in the external circuit to the counter electrode. Shunt resistance is the resistance of the back electron transfer to the photoanode. Therefore, to increase the FF value, the serial resistance must be minimal, while the shunt resistance must be maximal. The DSSCs first published by Grätzel in 1991 gained a cell PCE of 7.1–7.9% [17].

For the last three decades, increasing the PCE has remained a challenge in enhancing DSSC performance. Ideally, DSSC improvement requires the optimization of all device components. For example, the oxide semiconductor layer of the photoanode is expected to have a mesoporous structure to immobilize a maximum amount of dye to absorb adequate solar light [18, 19]. To have a mesoporous structure, various methods have been proposed. Surfactants are the most straightforward templating agents to control the surface area of the resulting semiconductors [20, 21, 22]. The use of sodium dodecyl sulfate in the oxide synthesis step can improve the cell performance to 6.08% compared to the 4.06% cell performance when using TiO\(_2\) P25 [20]. The template-free semiconductor synthesis method, with controllable size and surface area, can also be carried out to synthesize the oxide photoanodes. This has been demonstrated by Hosni et al., who prepared a zinc oxide nanotubes with a diameter of 35 nm [23]. The layer of this particle is highly porous, which is beneficial for DSSC performance. Another method for photoanode improvement is to prepare an oxide semiconductor with a one-dimensional structure. It has been proven that the one-dimensional structure will be beneficial for electron transport within the oxide matrix [23, 24, 25, 26]. Zhang et al. developed a TiO\(_2\) nanotube DSSC, and they obtained a satisfactory PCE of 9.86%. The necks formed between TiO\(_2\) nanotube particles are responsible for charge transport [27].

Dye sensitizer optimization has been studied to improve cell performance and reduce the cell production cost. Metal-free organic dyes have become an alternative to classical organo-ruthenium complexes due to multiple advantages, such as low cost, tunable properties, and high molar extinction coefficients [28]. Dye design works usually utilize quantum chemical calculations in conjunction with the experimental works. Quantum chemical calculations are pivotal in predicting the electronic properties of dyes to provide a molecular-level explanation of the electronic process. Experimental and theoretical molecular engineering has been carried out to arrange the donor (D), π-spacer (π-conjugation section of the dye) and acceptor (A) units to obtain either D-π-A [29], D-A-π-A [30], or D-D-π-A structures [31]. Despite the endeavor, not all results were satisfactory. For example, the D-π-A thiophene dyes developed by Babu et al. only achieved a PCE of 4.24%. On the other hand, Wang et al. succeeded in preparing a D-A-π-A based DSSC and produced a PCE value of 9.83%. The study of the binding of dyes onto the oxide surface is also vital to ensure an efficient electron injection process [32, 33]. Additionally, it is important to tune the HOMO and LUMO levels of the dyes to obtain an efficient intramolecular charge transfer process and electron injection into the photoanode.

Studies on electrolytes focus on finding alternatives to iodine/triiodide liquid-based electrolyte solution, which presents. This has led to a replacement of the I\(_2\)/I\(^-\) redox couple by other redox couples, such as Co\(^{2+}/\text{Co}^{3+}\) [34, 35, 36], nitroxide radicals [37, 38], copper complexes [39, 40], thiolate/disulfide [41], and ferrocenium/ferrocene [42, 43]. In addition, to overcome electrolyte leakage, quasi-solid DSSCs, which use a polymer gel as an electrolyte medium, have been considered [44, 45, 46]. Unfortunately, quasi-solid DSSCs have not shown a better PCE value than liquid DSSCs, with PCE values ranging from 4% to 5% [44]. A few have a PEC value of 7%, such as a PAN (polyacrylonitrile)-based DSSC combined with RbI and tetrahexylammonium iodide salt developed by Bandara et al. [47].

For the counter electrode component, research has been focused on finding a platinum substitute. It is evident that platinum-based DSSC show superior performance as catalyst for the reduction of I\(_3\) to I\(^-\). However, since it is a noble metal, the production cost of platinum-based
DSSCs are expensive [48, 49]. Various materials have then been proposed to replace platinum, such as carbon-based materials, including allotrope graphene [50, 51, 52, 53] or carbon nanotubes [54, 55], conductive polymers such as PEDOT [56], metal-organic frameworks (MOF) [49, 57], and perovskites [58, 59]. Research on counter electrodes also focuses on flexible counter electrodes to extend DSSC applications [60, 61]. In general, most nonplatinum counter electrode-based DSSCs have a lower PCE than the platinum DSSCs. However, some materials outperform the platinum materials and appear to be promising for further development [48, 62]. For instance, the graphene nanoplate-based counter electrode prepared by Kakiage et al. has a PCE value of 14.7% [53].

The best performance of DSSC currently recorded is one that uses copper complex redox couple-based electrolytes developed by Zhang et al. This cell employs a sensitizer constructed by a bulky donor N-(2',4'-bis-(dodecyloxy)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)-2',4'-bis(dodecyloxy)-N-phenyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-amine and an electron acceptor 4-(benzo[c] [1, 2, 5] thiadiazol-4-yl) benzoic acid coupled with the PEDOT (poly(3,4-ethyleneoxythiophene) counter electrode. This cell shows a PCE value of 34.5% under warm white fluorescent light at 1000 lux. Based on these results, the cell is the best cell for ambient light device applications [63].

To improve DSSC performance and decrease their cost, the utilization of natural resources has attracted much attention. Natural resources aim to achieve sustainability and reliability and support an eco-friendly environment [64, 65]. In this review, the current progress on natural resource utilization in DSSC development is presented. Although Mariotti et al. [66] recently reviewed the development of eco-friendly and cost-effective materials for DSSCs, the point of view of that work is slightly different from the current review. In the present review, the natural resources as material sources that build DSSCs will be further elaborated on. The purposes of this review are to describe the utilization of natural resources in DSSCs and their shortcomings. The discussion will point out the natural resource utilization in each DSSC component: natural dyes for sensitizers, natural polymers for quasi-solid DSSC electrolytes, and natural carbon for counter electrodes. In most DSSCs, TiO2 and ZnO photoanode are usually taken from either commercial sources or chemically and physically controlled synthetic procedures. Here, we also present the possibility of preparing photoanodes from the oxides obtained through green synthesis with controllable structures and morphologies. Figure 2 presents the illustration of the scope of this review.

2. Natural dye for sensitizer DSSC

The sensitizer is one of the vital components in DSSCs. It aids in capturing visible near-infrared light over a broad spectrum range with high molar extinction coefficients. It must also produce good charge separation to avoid recombination and efficient electron injection into oxide semiconductors [67]. Ruthenium-based dyes have shown good performance in fulfilling sensitizer requirements. Due to their rare availability and high cost, alternative dyes have been developed to replace ruthenium complex dyes [68]. Natural dyes offer good opportunities. They have been considered due to their high molar extinction coefficient and their abundant availability [68, 69]. Families of natural dyes that have been explored for sensitizers applications in DSSCs include betanin or betalain [70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78], chlorophyll [72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80], and anthocyanin [28, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94]. The cosensitization of two dyes is also of high interest to enhance their performance [95, 96]. In the following sections, we present details of the various families of natural dyes and explain multiple research efforts undertaken to overcome their limitations.

2.1. Betanin

Betanins are a category of pigments that belong to the betalain class. The betanin structure is presented in Figure 3. In their chemical structure, betalains contain an N-heterocyclic bearing hydroxyl functional group. Betalain compounds are divided into betacyanins, red–violet pigments, and betaxanthin, yellow pigments. Approximately 75–95% of red–violet pigments are composed of betanin. Notably, this pigment can be extracted from Caryophyllales plants [66, 97]. Betanins have inspired researchers to utilize these compounds for sensitizers in DSSCs [70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78].

The betanin sensitizer was first reported in 2005 by a research group from Uppsala University [80]. Unfortunately, the DSSC performance was still considered relatively poor. They conducted the research using an unscreened cell and reported a poor performance with a very low JSC, even with an FF value in the same range of the standard ruthenium dye cell. Among the tested cells, the use of an ethanol solvent for the electrolyte showed the best result [80]. In 2011, Sandquist et al. from Washington State University reported a relatively higher PCE of the betanin-based DSSC than the previous study [71]. They made improvements by using 3-methoxypropionate (MPN) as the electrolyte solvent and employing a blocking layer. Their improvement led to a PCE of 2.7% [71]. This report showed that using MPN as an electrolyte solvent gives a better result than acetonitrile.

Table 1 summarizes the results of betanin-based DSSCs. It highlights the efforts and modifications that have been conducted thus far. Based on Table 1, we can conclude that the factors that have to be considered to develop betanin-based DSSCs are (1) the extraction process of the dyes [70, 71, 72]; (2) the immersion system for sensitizing the photoanode [71, 72, 73]; (3) the incorporation of a small amount of metal/cation to tune the electronic properties of the photoanode [73, 74, 77]; and (4) the choice of the electrolyte system [70, 72, 74, 77].

Table 1 shows that most PCEs of the betanin-based DSSCs do not exceed 3%. The best result was obtained for cells using medium-pressure liquid chromatography-extracted betanin [71]. Incorporating Ag and Au nanoparticles into the photoanode can also enhance light absorption due to the surface plasmon resonance effect [74, 77]. Moreover, Au

![Figure 2. The illustration of natural resources for DSSC application.](image-url)
incorporation provokes a Schottky barrier effect at the Au and TiO₂ interface. This effect can reduce the charge recombination from the oxide conduction band to the LUMO of dyes or electrolyte species [77]. Maintaining the acidic condition of the solvent upon the extraction process or photoanode immersion can also be considered since the structure of betanin is known to be influenced by the solution pH [73].

Multiple experimental and computational studies have also been performed to gain insights into the intramolecular electronic properties of betanin and the charge electron mechanism on the TiO₂ surface. Many findings explain betanin limitations that should help to find solutions for cell improvement. Knorr et al. reported that during the recombination process of electron injection, a radical species is formed. This radical species provokes betanin oxidation [98]. Damayanti et al. revealed that one of the three carboxyl moieties of betanin limits the betanin charge transfer to the oxide semiconductor [99]. Based on these findings, betanin modification can be carried out to develop a betanin-based DSSC. Dye aggregation is also prominent in betanin-based DSSC performance. This phenomenon can either be beneficial or detrimental to cell performance. The absorbed photon energy will be partly lost due to thermal processes and noncharge collection [100]. In contrast, Treat et al. found

Figure 3. Structure of (a) Betalain and (b) Betanin and structure of the constituent pigment, namely (c) betacyanin and (d) betaxanthin.
| No | Source (Genus & Species) | Pigment | Solvent of extraction | Technique of purification | Photoanode | Counter electrode | Redox couple | Electrolyte solvent | J_{SC} (mA/cm^2) | V_{OC} (V) | FF | η (%) | Reference |
|----|--------------------------|---------|-----------------------|--------------------------|------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------------|----------------|--------|----|-------|-----------|
| 1  | Red beetroot (Beta vulgaris) | Betanin | 0.1 M HCl in ethanol | Collum chromatography (Waters MCX ion-exchange column or Sephadex G25) for purifying | P25 TiO_2 | Pt | I_2, Li | acetonitrile (ACN) | 0.52 | 0.27 | 0.47 | 0.07 | [70] |
|    |                          |         |                       |                          | P25 TiO_2 with TiCl_4 post treated | Pt | I_2, Li | acetonitrile (ACN) | 0.89 | 0.26 | 0.47 | 0.11 | [70] |
|    |                          |         |                       |                          | P25 TiO_2 with bloking layer | Pt | I_2, Li | acetonitrile (ACN) with 3-methoxypropionitrile (MPN) | 2.42 | 0.44 | 0.63 | 0.67 | [70] |
| 2  | Red beetroot (Beta vulgaris) | Betanin | Potassium phosphate buffer | Develop the reverse-phase medium pressure liquid chromatography for purifying the extracted betanine | P25 TiO_2 without bloking layer | Pt | I_2, Li | acetonitrile (ACN) | 10.55 | 0.35 | 0.57 | 2.04 | [71] |
|    |                          |         |                       |                          | P25 TiO_2 with TiCl_4 post treated | Pt | I_2, Li | acetonitrile (ACN) | 9.34 | 0.44 | 0.56 | 2.71 | [71] |
|    |                          |         |                       |                          | P25 TiO_2 with bloking layer | Pt | I_2, Li | acetonitrile (ACN) with 3-methoxypropionitrile (MPN) | 7.64 | 0.39 | 0.51 | 1.46 | [71] |
|    |                          |         |                       |                          | P25 TiO_2 with TiCl_4 post treated | Pt | I_2, Li | acetonitrile (ACN) with 3-methoxypropionitrile (MPN) | 10.13 | 0.36 | 0.55 | 1.93 | [71] |
| 3  | Red beetroot (Beta vulgaris) | Betalain | Water-HCl | - | TiO_2 porous film electrode was manufactured by using the sol-gel method, starting from the commercial titanium(IV) oxide, anatase, nanopowder <25 nm, from Sigma-Aldrich, and titanium isopropoxide (Sigma-Aldrich) | Pt | I_2, KI | Ethylene glycol: acetonitrile (4:1, volume ratio) | 0.38 | 0.36 | 0.69 | 0.12 | [72] |
|    |                          |         | Water-ascorbic acid | - | TiO_2 film from blending P25 and Triton x-100 | Pt | - | - | 0.39 | 0.35 | 0.62 | 0.11 | [72] |
|    |                          |         | Methanol-HCl | - | - | Pt | - | - | 0.09 | 0.36 | 0.65 | 0.03 | [72] |
|    |                          |         | Water-HCl | - | - | Pt | - | - | 2.95 | 0.36 | 0.30 | 0.40 | [72] |
|    |                          |         | Water-ascorbic acid | - | - | Pt | - | - | 0.42 | 0.40 | 0.56 | 0.12 | [72] |
|    |                          |         | Methanol-HCl | - | - | Pt | - | - | 0.16 | 0.37 | 0.65 | 0.05 | [72] |
| 4  | Paper flower (Bougainvillea glabra) | Betaxanthin betacyanine | Water-HCl pH = 5.70 | - | TiO_2 film from blending P25 and Triton x-100 | Pt | - | - | 2.27 | 0.41 | 0.61 | 0.57 | [73] |
|    |                          |         | Water-HCl pH = 3 | - | - | Pt | - | - | 3.72 | 0.44 | 0.59 | 0.98 | [73] |
|    |                          |         | Water-HCl pH = 1.23 | - | - | Pt | - | - | 1.13 | 0.44 | 0.43 | 0.21 | [73] |
| 5  | Beetroot (Beta vulgaris) | Betanin | Potassium phosphate buffer | Develop the reverse-phase medium pressure liquid chromatography for purifying the extracted betanine | TiO_2 | Pt | I_2, Li | N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP) solvent with 6% by weight polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) | 1.47 | 0.49 | 0.63 | 0.58 | [74] |
|    |                          |         | Acetone-water | - | TiO_2 NPs | Pt | I_2, Li, TBP | - | 1.65 | 0.51 | 0.64 | 0.68 | [74] |
| 6  | Bracks of paper flower (Bougainvillea glabra) | Betaxanthin betacyanine | Acetone-water | - | TiO_2 NPs | Pt | I_2, Li, TBP | 3-methoxypropionitrile (MPN) | - | - | 0.59 | 0.25 | [75] |
| 7  | Sicilian prickly pears (Opuntia ficus indica) Blackberry ( ) | Betalain (the dominant pigment is betaxanthin) | Ethanol and HCl/carboxylic acid/tartaric acid as acids and co-adsorbents. | TiO_2 nanocrystalline | Pt | GuNCS, TBP | Acetonitrile:valeronitrile (85:15) | 7.85 | 0.38 | 0.62 | 1.87 | [76] |
|    |                          |         | - | - | Pt | - | - | 5.85 | 0.32 | 0.57 | 1.07 | [76] |
| 8  | Red Beetroot (Beta vulgaris) | Betanin | Water-HCl | - | ZnO/Au | Pt | I_2, KI | Water | 0.05 | 0.25 | 0.33 | 0.48 | [77] |
|    |                          |         | - | - | Pt | - | - | Acetonitrile | 0.99 | 0.15 | 0.21 | 2.99 | [77] |
|    |                          |         | - | - | Pt | - | - | PVA | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.29 | 0.01 | [77] |
| 9  | Red boot (Beta Vulgaris L.) | Betanin | Ethanol-HCl | Sephadex DEAE A25 column and Phenomenex Strata C18 SPE Column | TiO_2 | Pt | I_2, Li | Acetonitrile | 14 | 0.38 | - | 3.00 | [78] |
that aggregated dye induces a broadened absorbance spectrum, increasing the light-harvesting efficiency [78]. A comprehensive review of the dye aggregation phenomena and their implications for DSSC performance can be found in Ref. [101].

2.2. Chlorophyll

Chlorophylls are a family of pigments classified into chlorin family. They consist of a tetrapyrrole derivative as a chelating ligand and an Mg\(^{2+}\) ion as a central ion. Based on the ligand structure, chlorophyll is divided into chlorophyll-a, chlorophyll-b, chlorophyll-c1, chlorophyll-c2, and chlorophyll-f. The motivation to consider chlorophyll as natural DSSC sensitizers is to mimic the photosynthesis phenomenon. Based on our records, Kay et al. were the first to investigate chlorophyll-based DSSCs in 1993. They also compared the cell performance with a modified chlorophyll in which a Cu\(^{2+}\) ion replaced the Mg\(^{2+}\) ion. They reported the following cell parameters: J_{SC} of 9.4 mA cm\(^{-2}\), V_{OC} of 0.52 V, and PCE of 2.6%. This best result was obtained with the addition of a coadsorbate using polyhydroxyl compounds such as glucose, glyceroi, or sorbitol [79]. Coadsorbates were used to reduce electron recombination from the couple redox species to the photoanode surface. We also noted that even though Kay et al. were the first group to develop chlorophyll-based DSSCs, Cu-chlorophyll TiO\(_2\) sensitization was first observed by Kamat et al. in 1986. They investigated the photoelectrochemical mechanism in a sensitized TiO\(_2\) system using laser flash photolysis, time-resolved microwave absorption, and spectrofluorometry techniques. For these experiments, they measured the sample in dispersion form [102].

In the next decade, research on chlorophyll-based DSSCs was continued by Amao et al. They used a dye derived from chlorophyll extracted from Spirulina, namely, Chl-e6. The obtained results were not satisfactory since the J_{SC} was only 0.305 mA cm\(^{-2}\) and the V_{OC} was 0.426 V [80]. Wang and coworkers reported research results on chlorophyll-based DSSCs in Refs. [103, 104, 105, 106]. They developed DSSCs using a modified chlorophyll as a photosensitizer. They added a natural conjugated redox spacer to prevent the recombination of electrons with the oxidized sensitizer. Approximately 30% of the cell performance was improved after performing this modification [82, 103]. They also compared the performance of chlorophyll-based DSSCs using TiO\(_2\) and ZnO photoanodes. They concluded that the limitation of chlorophyll-ZnO-based DSSCs was caused by far location of the molecular orbital involved in the electron excitation process from the anchoring site [105]. A complete summary of the research on chlorophyll-based DSSCs is summarized in Table 2.

Many works have already focused on applying chlorophyll dye in DSSCs due to its role in the photosynthesis process. There have also been many reports on the utilization of synthetic porphyrin-sensitized DSSCs. The first was due to Jasieniak et al. from Flinders University, Australia, in 2004. They did not obtain a satisfactory result at that time, and their best cell efficiency was only 0.69% [107]. Impressively, in 2011, Yella et al. succeeded in preparing DSSCs based on multiple synthetic porphyrin dyes with a cobalt redox couple. The efficiency of their device reached 12% [108]. This promising result suggests the need for further investigation in the utilization of porphyrin dyes as sensitizers in DSSC applications.

2.3. Anthocyanins

Research on the application of anthocyanins as natural dyes in DSSCs is relatively abundant. These dyes are present in almost all colorful fruit and flowers and offer a large panel of potentially interesting dyes for DSSC applications. By looking at their chemical structure, anthocyanins have hydroxyl moieties, and some of them possess a catechol group [109]. They can act as anchoring groups to attach the dye onto the semiconductor surface. The catechol group showed better performance as an anchoring group than the carboxylic group because the latter presents an electron-withdrawing character [110]. Table 3 summarizes the main published results on anthocyanin utilization as a sensitizer for DSSCs. This table shows that the anthocyanin performance is still lower than that of the chlorophyll derivative dyes.

2.4. Remarks on natural dyes

In the last twenty years, reports from the scientific community on using natural dyes as a sensitizer for DSSCs have given unsatisfactory results in terms of efficiency. Many works have reported efficiencies below 2% [70, 83, 89]. Some of them have succeeded in reaching a PCE of 5% [82]. The motivations to develop natural dyes for sensitizers in DSSCs are their abundant availability, environmental friendliness, cost effectiveness, and biodegradable. Unfortunately, no one reported a PCE higher than 10% for natural dyes based on our record. Most of them have relatively low PCE values of approximately 3–4%. The deficiency of natural dyes lies in their stability. They also easily agglomerate, and then the photogenerated charges are not efficiently collected [111]. Almost all of them attach poorly to the oxide semiconductor surface [69, 99].

The incorporation of natural dyes in working DSSC devices remains a challenging task. Chlorophyll derivatives display the best performance among the outlined dyes, making chlorophyll derivative dyes the most researched compared to others. The strong performance of chlorophyll inspires synthetic chlorophyll-based dyes, which are no longer natural dyes. However, the lack of research on the other dyes presents the opportunity for further investigations, as the derivatives of the other dyes have not been much explored.

3. Biopolymer for quasi-solid DSSC

3.1. Development of biopolymer used in DSSC

The first DSSC employed a liquid electrolyte that contained a redox couple. The utilization of a liquid electrolyte faces various problems, including solvent leakages, desorption of dye attached to the photoanode, and some practical issues of sealing [112, 113]. These reasons encouraged many researchers to develop quasi-solid electrolytes. They notably developed polymers, and among them, biopolymers have attracted much attention in line with sustainability issues and the utilization of natural resources.

Research on the utilization of biopolymers for DSSC applications started in 2010. The initial study was carried out by Buraidah et al., who used a chitosan-biopolymer for solid electrolytes. The composition of 27.5% chitosan, 22.5% NH\(_4\)I, and 50% BMI (w%) produced a good conductivity of 3.34 \times 10^{-5} \text{S cm}^{-1}, which at the time was comparable with other polymer cells [114]. In the following years, Buraidae et al. developed copolymer chitosan and poly(vinyl alcohol) (PVA) to improve polymer electrolyte conductivity [115]. Several reports emerged during the same period where agarose had been used for quasi-solid DSSCs [116]. Several biopolymers that have been widely developed for quasi-solid DSSCs in the past were agarose, carrageenan, cellulose, and chitin/chitosan [117].

A more comprehensive list of the previous research is summarized in Table 4. Figure 4 presents a map of conductivity and cell performance of various biopolymer-based DSSCs. Among natural biopolymers, agarose and cellulose show promising results. Their cell efficiency value ranges from 4.5 to 7%. Table 4 also mentions various modifications that have been carried out to improve cell performance. The presence of an ionic liquid can increase the conductivity and improve the charge transfer. Singh et al. investigated the influence of a 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium iodide electrolyte in an agarose-based electrolyte. This ionic liquid increased the short circuit current from 1 mA cm\(^{-2}\) to 3.3 mA cm\(^{-2}\). Moreover, Huang et al. showed that the presence of a 1-methyl-3-hexylimidazolium iodide (MHII) ionic liquid in cyanoethylated hydroxypropyl cellulose (CN-HPC) reduced the charge recombination between redox couple species and the oxide semiconductor surface due to the bulky structure of...
Table 2. The summarized research on chlorophyll-based DSSCs.

| No | Sources | Solvent of extraction | Modification | Photoanodes | Counter electrode | Redox couple | Electrolyte | Solvent | J_Sc (mA/cm²) | V_OC (V) | FF | η (%) | Reference |
|----|---------|----------------------|--------------|-------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|---------|--------------|----------|-----|--------|-----------|
| 1  | Commercial chlorophyll from Sigma | - | Replaced Mg\(^{2+}\) with Cu\(^{2+}\) | TiO\(_2\) | Pt-foil | KI, I\(_2\) | 80%ethylene carbonate and 20% propylene carbonate | | 0.54 | 0.42 | - | 2.6 | [80] |
| 2  | Commercial chlorine-6 derived from chlorophyll extracted from Spirullina | - | - | TiO\(_2\) nanocrystalline | C-OTE | KI, I\(_2\) | Ethylene glycol/acetonitrile | | 0.305 | 0.43 | 0.45 | 0.73 | [79] |
| 3  | Spinach leave (Spinacia oleracea L.) | Ethanol T = 50°C | Chlorine-1 (methyl) Chlorine-2 (hexyl) Chlorine-3 (dodecyl) Chlorine-4 (2-butyloctyl) Chlorine-5 (cholesteryl) | TiO\(_2\) nanofluid | Plate of lower conductive glass | I\(^-\)/I\(_3^-\) | Acetonitrile | | 0.467 | 0.55 | 0.51 | 0.13 | [81] |
| 4  | Spirulina geitleri | Methanol Modification function group (hydrocarbon) by transesterification using methyl ester | Chlorine-1 (methyl) Chlorine-2 (hexyl) Chlorine-3 (dodecyl) Chlorine-4 (2-butyloctyl) Chlorine-5 (cholesteryl) | TiO\(_2\) NPs | Pt wire | BMII, I\(_2\), Additive: GNCS, TPB | Acetonitrile-valeronitrile | | 15 | 0.60 | 0.72 | 6.5 | [82] |
| 5  | Pomegranate leaves (Punica granatum L.) | Ethanol | - | TiO\(_2\) NPs | Pt-thin film on FTO | I\(_2\), LiI Additive: TBP | Acetonitrile | | 2.05 | 0.56 | 0.52 | 0.59 | [83] |
| 6  | Pandan leaves (Pandanus amaryllifolius) | Ethanol-tartaric acid | - | TiO\(_2\) | Pt-FTO | NaI | PVDF-HFP | | 1.91 | 0.48 | 0.56 | 0.51 | [84] |
| 7  | Spinach (Spinacia oleracea L.) | Ethanol using ultrasonic technique Water using ultrasonic technique | - | TiO\(_2\) | Graphite-ITO | I\(^-\)/I\(_3^-\) | Acetonitrile | | 0.32 | 0.38 | 0.36 | 1–2 | [85] |
| 8  | Marigold leaves (Tagetes patula) | Water | Effect dipping time of ZnS in dye solution | ZnS (0 h) ZnS (2 h) ZnS (4 h) ZnS (6 h) ZnS (8 h) | Graphite | S\(^2^-\)/S\(_2^-\) | NaOH–Na\(_2\)S | | 0.297 | 0.21 | 0.30 | 0.05 | [86] |
| 9  | Bryophyte | Ethanol | - | TiO\(_2\) | Pt-FTO | TPAI(2%)-I\(_2\)(0.17%w/w) TPAI(4%)-I\(_2\)(0.34%w/w) TPAI(6%)-I\(_2\)(0.51%w/w) TPAI(8%)-I\(_2\)(0.68%w/w) TPAI(10%)-I\(_2\)(0.85%w/w) TPAI(12%)-I\(_2\)(0.97%w/w) | PAN-EC-PC | | 0.94 | 0.63 | 0.75 | 0.45 | [87] |
MHII compared to I\(^{-}\) [118]. The addition of Co\(_2\)O\(_4\), NiO, and TiO\(_2\) nanoparticles can also improve conductivity [119]. The presence of cross-linking networks between nanoparticles and polymers facilitates ion transport within the polymer matrix. The addition of nanoparticles can also be detrimental due to the formation of nanoparticle aggregates [120].

### 3.2. Structural aspects of biopolymer for quasi-solid DSSCs

All of the biopolymers mentioned previously, which are widely applied to polymer gel electrolytes, are polysaccharides. These biopolymers consist of the hexa-pyranose building block of D-glucose as a monomer with various glycosidic linkages, either α-1,3 or β-1,4. In chitin or chitosan, D-glucose is substituted by amine or acetyl amine groups. Table 5 presents the chemical structure information and conductivity of these biopolymers.

The hydroxyl groups in polymer chains are responsible for establishing the bond with the ionic species in the electrolyte, which subsequently contributes to the ionic conductivity [121, 122, 123]. Furthermore, the functional groups on the polymer chain play an essential role in creating the bond with the liquid as a dispersed phase in the gelation process [124]. The gel polymer electrolyte system in a DSSC consists of the polymer matrix and liquid. The polymer matrix acts as the immobilizer or dispersion medium, while the liquid functions as a dispersed phase. Liquid can also function as a solvent for ionic species or redox couples. The interaction of these three components is vital for charges to be transferred to ensure good cell performance. One of the studies on this topic was conducted by Shih et al., where the interfacial interaction of iodide as a redox couple and agarose as a polymer matrix was investigated. The XPS study confirmed the formation of polyiodide in the electrolyte, which benefited the charge transfer channels after adding TiO\(_2\) nanoparticles into the electrolyte gel system [119].

Molecular–level studies concerning this background are necessary. Unfortunately, only a small number of previous studies that are relevant to natural polymer systems were found. Yoon et al., theoretically observed the interaction between the ionic liquid 1-propyl-3-methyl imidazolium iodide (PMII) and the synthetic polymer poly(styrene-block-ethylene oxide-block-styrene) (SEOS) to investigate the complex structure of PMII and SEOS during the interaction stages. This experiment will then be used to explain the typical bonding and charge transfer [125]. Thus, to do so, further studies in natural polymer systems are still needed.

### 3.3. Remarks on biopolymer quasi solid DSSCs

Biopolymer electrolytes for DSSCs have been chosen as a solution to overcome the shortcomings of liquid electrolytes, such as solvent volatilization, poor stability due to leakage or counter electrode corrosion,
| No | Biopolymer as Gel electrolyte | Modification biopolymer | Electrolyte solvent | Redox couple | Photoanode | Counter electrode | Dye | Conductivity (mS/cm) | $j_{SC}$ (mA/cm²) | $V_{OC}$ (V) | FF | $\eta$ (%) | Reference |
|----|----------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|--------------|------------|-----------------|-----|---------------------|----------------|-------------|-----|-----------|-----------|
| 1  | Agarose                    | Ratio agarose (%)       | 1-methyl-2-pyrrolidinone (NMP) | I$_2$,LiI    | P25 TiO$_2$ | Pt              | -   | 0.28                | 6.16           | 0.58        | 0.67 | 3.20      | [116]     |
| 1  |                           |                         |                     |              |            |                 |     | 0.39                | 7.56           | 0.62        | 0.63 | 3.97      |           |
| 1  |                           |                         |                     |              |            |                 |     | 0.36                | 8.24           | 0.60        | 0.62 | 4.14      |           |
| 1  |                           |                         |                     |              |            |                 |     | 0.32                | 5.16           | 0.63        | 0.69 | 3.01      |           |
| 1  |                           |                         |                     |              |            |                 |     | 0.65                | 5.32           | 0.62        | 0.64 | 2.83      |           |
| 1  |                           |                         |                     |              |            |                 |     | 4.44                | 0.63           | 0.72        | 2.73 |           |           |
| 1  |                           |                         |                     |              |            |                 |     | 0.66                | 2.12           | 0.78        | 1.49 |           |           |
| 1  |                           | Ratio TiO$_2$ in agarose (%) |                     |              |            |                 |     | 0.30                | 7.76           | 0.66        | 0.53 | 3.77      |           |
| 1  |                           |                         |                     |              |            |                 |     | 0.44                | 10.96          | 0.55        | 0.57 | 4.74      |           |
| 1  |                           |                         |                     |              |            |                 |     | 0.51                | 8.84           | 0.55        | 0.56 | 4.45      |           |
| 1  |                           |                         |                     |              |            |                 |     | 0.33                | 9.20           | 0.55        | 0.52 | 3.64      |           |
| 1  |                           |                         |                     |              |            |                 |     | 0.34                | 9.04           | 0.57        | 0.48 | 3.42      |           |
| 2  | NMP                        | 10%LiI, I$_2$           |                     |              |            |                 |     | 1.99                | 4.65           | 0.73        | 0.58 | 1.97      | [212]     |
| 2  |                            | 15%LiI, I$_2$           |                     |              |            |                 |     | 3.16                |                |             |     |           |           |
| 2  |                            | 20%LiI, I$_2$           |                     |              |            |                 |     | 3.98                |                |             |     |           |           |
| 2  |                            | 25%LiI, I$_2$           |                     |              |            |                 |     | 2.39                |                |             |     |           |           |
| 2  |                            | 30%LiI, I$_2$           |                     |              |            |                 |     | 3.31                |                |             |     |           |           |
| 2  |                            | 35%LiI, I$_2$           |                     |              |            |                 |     | 3.89                |                |             |     |           |           |
| 2  |                            | 45%LiI, I$_2$           |                     |              |            |                 |     | 5.62                |                |             |     |           |           |
| 2  |                            | 65%LiI, I$_2$           |                     |              |            |                 |     | 5.62                |                |             |     |           |           |
| 2  |                            | 85%LiI, I$_2$           |                     |              |            |                 |     | 5.62                |                |             |     |           |           |
| 3  | DMSO/PC                    | GuSCN, NMBI, I$_2$      |                     |              |            |                 |     | 14.2                | 4.65           | 0.73        | 0.58 | 1.97      | [213]     |
| 3  | DMSO/4EG                   |                         |                     |              |            |                 |     | 4.4                 | 3.69           | 0.65        | 0.57 | 1.38      |           |
| 3  | DMSO/3EG                   |                         |                     |              |            |                 |     | 4.6                 | 4.01           | 0.61        | 0.57 | 1.39      |           |
| 3  | DMSO/PEG                   |                         |                     |              |            |                 |     | 6.2                 | 3.21           | 0.58        | 0.57 | 1.06      |           |
| 3  | DMSO (20v%)                |                         |                     |              |            |                 |     | 5.0                 | 3.69           | 0.58        | 0.54 | 1.15      |           |
| 4  | 0.5% agarose               | PC/DMSO (8:2)           | MPII                | Double-layer TiO$_2$ | Pt | N719 | - | 11.73 | 0.70 | 0.64 | 5.25 |           | [214]     |
| 4  |                            | AEII                   |                     |              |            |                 |     | 11.71 | 0.72 | 0.65 | 5.45 |           |           |
| 4  |                            | AEII                   |                     |              |            |                 |     | 11.53 | 0.70 | 0.62 | 4.97 |           |           |
| 4  |                            | DAII                   |                     |              |            |                 |     | 11.84 | 0.70 | 0.60 | 4.96 |           |           |
| 4  |                            | AEII                   |                     |              |            |                 |     | 12.26 | 0.66 | 0.60 | 4.97 |           |           |
| 4  |                            | AEII                   |                     |              |            |                 |     | 11.71 | 0.72 | 0.65 | 5.45 |           |           |
| 4  |                            | AEII                   |                     |              |            |                 |     | 11.45 | 0.76 | 0.68 | 5.89 |           |           |
| 4  |                            | AEII                   |                     |              |            |                 |     | 11.82 | 0.75 | 0.64 | 5.68 |           |           |
| 4  |                            | AEII                   |                     |              |            |                 |     | 11.96 | 0.70 | 0.63 | 5.31 |           |           |
| 5  | 0 mT NiO-agarose           | NMP                    | L1I, I$_2$          | P25 TiO$_2$ | Pt | N719 | - | 4.5   | 0.77 | 0.45 | 1.61 |           | [215]     |
| 5  | 14 mT NiO-agarose          | NMP                    | L1I, I$_2$          | P25 TiO$_2$ | Pt | N719 | - | 4.7   | 0.80 | 0.56 | 2.32 |           |           |
| 5  | 25 mT NiO-agarose          | NMP                    | L1I, I$_2$          | P25 TiO$_2$ | Pt | N719 | - | 5.9   | 0.74 | 0.64 | 2.95 |           |           |

(continued on next page)
| No | Biopolymer as Gel | Modification biopolymer | Electrolyte solvent | Redox couple | Photoanode | Counter electrode | Dye | Conductivity (mS/cm) | $J_{SC}$(mA/cm$^2$) | $V_{OC}$(V) | FF | $\eta$ (%) | Reference |
|----|------------------|------------------------|--------------------|--------------|------------|------------------|-----|---------------------|-----------------|--------|-----|---------|-----------|
| 1  | 35 mT NiO-agarose| 2                    | PC/DMSO            | AEl, GsSCN, NMBI, I$_2$ | a-TiO$_2$ | Pt               | N719 | 6.0                | 0.77           | 0.62   | 2.94 |         |           |
| 2  | 45 mT NiO-agarose|                      |                    |              | a-TiO$_2$ + 30% PEG (0 min) | Pt       |               | N719 | 10.39             | 0.80           | 0.71   | 5.89 | [216]    |           |
| 3  | 55 mT NiO-agarose|                      |                    |              | a-TiO$_2$ + 30% PEG (60 min) | Pt       |               | N719 | 13.29             | 0.74           | 0.66   | 5.48 |          |           |
| 4  |                    |                      |                    |              | a-TiO$_2$ + 30% PEG (90 min) | Pt       |               | N719 | 14.18             | 0.74           | 0.69   | 7.18 |          |           |
| 5  |                    |                      |                    |              | a-TiO$_2$ + 30% PEG (120 min) | Pt      |               | N719 | 14.57             | 0.73           | 0.68   | 7.28 |          |           |
| 6  |                    |                      |                    |              | a-TiO$_2$ + 30% PEG (150 min) | Pt      |               | N719 | 13.89             | 0.74           | 0.68   | 7.04 |          |           |

(continued on next page)
| No | Biopolymer as Gel electrolyte | Modification biopolymer | Electrolyte solvent | Redox couple | Photoanode | Counter electrode | Dye | Conductivity (mS/cm) | J_sc (mA/cm²) | V_oc (V) | FF | η (%) | Reference |
|----|------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|--------------|------------|-----------------|-----|-------------------|--------------|----------|-----|-------|-----------|
| 1  | 11%wt chitosan               |                         |                     | 9% wt NH₄I - 80% wt I₂ | Pt         | N719            |     | 3.02              | 0.90         | 0.37     | 0.45 | 0.15  | [221]     |
| 2  | 11%wt of chitosan-PEO (ratio 30:70) |                      |                     | 9% wt NH₄I - 80% wt I₂ | Pt         | N719            |     | 5.52              | 1.21         | 0.40     | 0.47 | 0.23  | [221]     |
| 3  | adding PEG as plasticizer   | Formic acid             | KL, I₂             | Bi₂Ti₂O₇     | Pt         | N719            |     | 2.72              | 0.63         | 0.36     | 0.73 |       | [221]     |
|    |                              |                         |                     | Bi₂Ti₂O₇: 2% Sm | Pt         | N719            |     | 3.65              | 0.69         | 0.49     | 1.45 |       | [221]     |
|    |                              |                         |                     | Bi₂Ti₂O₇: 2% Eu | Pt         | N719            |     | 8.42              | 0.72         | 0.39     | 2.77 |       | [221]     |
|    |                              |                         |                     | Bi₂Ti₂O₇: 2% Gd | Pt         | N719            |     | 8.36              | 0.72         | 0.55     | 3.88 |       | [221]     |
|    |                              |                         |                     | Bi₂Ti₂O₇: 2% Dy | Pt         | N719            |     | 8.83              | 0.74         | 0.50     | 3.86 |       | [221]     |
|    |                              |                         |                     | Bi₂Ti₂O₇: 2% Ho | Pt         | N719            |     | 5.90              | 0.72         | 0.52     | 2.58 |       | [221]     |
|    |                              |                         |                     | Bi₂Ti₂O₇: 2% Er | Pt         | N719            |     | 6.36              | 0.67         | 0.43     | 2.18 |       | [221]     |
| 4  | Chitosan                     | Acetic acid             | KL, I₂             | TiO₂         | Pt         | N719            |     | 1.29              | 0.62         | 0.82     | 0.66 |       | [222]     |
|    |                              |                         |                     |                  |            |                 |     | 2.18              | 0.58         | 0.78     | 0.99 |       | [222]     |
|    |                              |                         |                     |                  |            |                 |     | 3.66              | 0.55         | 0.61     | 1.23 |       | [222]     |
|    |                              |                         |                     |                  |            |                 |     | 6.32              | 0.57         | 0.66     | 3.52 |       | [222]     |
|    |                              |                         |                     |                  |            |                 |     | 17.69             | 0.65         | 0.46     | 5.31 |       | [222]     |
| 5  | Cellulose                    | Acetonitrile            | LiI, I₂, TBP, TBAI | TiO₂         | Pt         | N719            |     | 17.05             | 0.67         | 0.57     | 6.51 |       | [224]     |
|    |                              |                         |                     |                  |            |                 |     | 14.94             | 0.70         | 0.61     | 6.37 |       | [224]     |
|    |                              |                         |                     |                  |            |                 |     | 14.91             | 0.68         | 0.59     | 5.98 |       | [224]     |
|    |                              |                         |                     |                  |            |                 |     | 14.23             | 0.68         | 0.57     | 5.51 |       | [224]     |
|    |                              |                         |                     |                  |            |                 |     | 12.65             | 0.71         | 0.61     | 5.51 |       | [225]     |
|    |                              |                         |                     |                  |            |                 |     | 12.65             | 0.71         | 0.61     | 5.51 |       | [225]     |
|    |                              |                         |                     |                  |            |                 |     | 12.65             | 0.71         | 0.61     | 5.51 |       | [225]     |
|    |                              |                         |                     |                  |            |                 |     | 13.67             | 0.74         | 0.69     | 7.02 |       | [118]     |
|    |                              |                         |                     |                  |            |                 |     | 12.17             | 0.74         | 0.75     | 6.76 |       | [118]     |
|    |                              |                         |                     |                  |            |                 |     | 14.40             | 0.76         | 0.70     | 7.55 |       | [118]     |
|    |                              |                         |                     |                  |            |                 |     | 12.65             | 0.78         | 0.75     | 7.40 |       | [118]     |
|    |                              |                         |                     |                  |            |                 |     | 3.62              | 0.67         | 0.62     | 2.59 |       | [118]     |
|    |                              |                         |                     |                  |            |                 |     | 17.69             | 0.65         | 0.46     | 5.31 |       | [118]     |
|    |                              |                         |                     |                  |            |                 |     | 3.62              | 0.67         | 0.62     | 2.59 |       | [118]     |
|    |                              |                         |                     |                  |            |                 |     | 17.69             | 0.65         | 0.46     | 5.31 |       | [118]     |
|    |                              |                         |                     |                  |            |                 |     | 3.62              | 0.67         | 0.62     | 2.59 |       | [118]     |
|    |                              |                         |                     |                  |            |                 |     | 17.69             | 0.65         | 0.46     | 5.31 |       | [118]     |

(continued on next page)
| No | Biopolymer as Gel electrolyte | Modification biopolymer | Electrolyte solvent | Redox couple | Photoanode | Counter electrode | Dye | Conductivity (mS/cm) | $J_{SC}$ (mA/cm$^2$) | $V_{OC}$ (V) | FF | $\eta$ (%) | Reference |
|----|-------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------|------------|------------------|-----|---------------------|------------------|------------|----|----------|-----------|
| 30%-Nanoscale microfibrillated cellulose | 0%-cellulose nanocrystall | water | NaI, I$_2$, TBP | TiO$_2$ | Pt | Cu based dye | - | 15.2 | 0.76 | 0.61 | 7.03 | [228] |
| 5%-cellulose nanocrystall | 1.9 | 0.49 | 0.27 | 0.26 |
| 10%-cellulose nanocrystall | 2.5 | 0.51 | 0.30 | 0.39 |
| 20%-cellulose nanocrystall | 2.6 | 0.53 | 0.39 | 0.54 |
| 40%-cellulose nanocrystall | 2.3 | 0.57 | 0.67 | 0.89 |
| 60%-cellulose nanocrystall | 2.8 | 0.57 | 0.60 | 0.96 |
| 80%-cellulose nanocrystall | 2.8 | 0.58 | 0.66 | 1.09 |
| 100%-cellulose nanocrystall | 2.0 | 0.60 | 0.50 | 0.61 |
| Hydroxylpropyl cellulose (HPC) and EC/PC as plasticizer | - | NaI | P25 TiO$_2$ | Pt | N719 | 4.94 | 13.65 | 0.49 | 0.58 | 3.94 | [229] |
| | NaI-40%wt MPP | 5.85 | 11.69 | 0.58 | 0.62 | 4.23 |
| | NaI-60%wt MPP | 5.79 | 12.72 | 0.55 | 0.61 | 4.27 |
| | NaI-80%wt MPP | 5.93 | 13.20 | 0.58 | 0.63 | 4.80 |
| | NaI-100%wt MPP | 6.49 | 14.71 | 0.57 | 0.63 | 5.19 |
| | NaI-100%wt MPP | 7.37 | 13.73 | 0.61 | 0.69 | 5.79 |
| Cellulose nanocrystal blend with POEGMA | Sulfuric acid | HSE-EL, MPN | TiO$_2$ | Pt | Dyesol (Ru dye) | - | 13.6 | 0.69 | 0.53 | 4.98 | [230] |
and dye photodegradation. The improvement of biopolymers for quasi-solid DSSCs is focused on increasing ionic transport through the polymer matrix. As mentioned in the previous section, the modeling or computational studies will be a good choice to study the interaction of responsible species involved in charge transfer.

4. Natural carbon for DSSC counter electrode

The high cost and rarity of platinum as the counter electrode in DSSCs prompts one to replace the noble metal with an alternative conducting material with a relative or similar efficiency. However, platinum-based

| Biopolymer | Monomer unit | Linkage | Dimer | Electrolyte | Conductivity value (S/cm) | Reference |
|------------|--------------|---------|-------|-------------|--------------------------|-----------|
| Agarose    | α-1,3, β-1,4 |         |       | LiI/I₂ (2.5 wt%) | 3.94 × 10⁻⁴              | [116]     |
| Carrageenan| α-1,3, β-1,4 |         |       | NH₄I/I₂     | 2.41 × 10⁻³              | [218]     |
|            |              |         |       | MgTf₂:AmNTFSI (27:10 wt%) | 9.25 × 10⁻⁵         | [232]     |
| Cellulose  | β-1,4        |         |       | (NH₄)₂CO₃ (11 wt%) | 9.33 × 10⁻⁵              | [233]     |
| Chitin     | β-1,4        |         |       |             | 1.55 × 10⁻⁵              | [234]     |
| Chitosan   | β-1,4        |         |       | NH₄I/I₂     | 5.52 × 10⁻³              | [114]     |
DSSCs remain the benchmark for assessing the performance of DSSCs [48, 62]. Thus far, several materials have been employed as alternative replacements of platinum as the counter electrode. These include carbonaceous materials [62, 126], conducting polymers [127, 128], transition metal carbides, nitrides, oxides, sulfides [129], and alloys [130].

Among the proposed materials for the platinum replacement of the DSSC counter electrode, carbonaceous or carbon-based materials remain one of the most studied platinum-replacement counter electrode candidates for DSSCs [62] due to their remarkable intrinsic chemical and physical characteristics, such as a high surface area, high catalytic activity, high electrical conductivity, excellent thermal stability, and good corrosion resistance, accompanied by their beneficial economic and environmental aspects. Due to the versatility of carbon-based materials, they are employed not only as counter electrodes in DSSCs but also as electrodes in electrochemical capacitors (supercapacitors) [131], and Li-ion batteries [132].

The usage of carbon-based materials dates back to the original formulation of the DSSC by the Grätzel research group [133]. Grätzel and coworkers employed a graphite powder/carbon black composite attached to a SnO2 (TO) substrate as a counter electrode with a standard DSSC arrangement of I2/I3 electrolyte and TiO2 (anatase) photo-electrode, achieving a power conversion efficiency (PCE) of 6.7%. The encouraging results on the PCE of the low-cost carbon-based counter electrode prompted researchers to further develop the carbon-based counter electrodes by employing new microstructures of carbon materials such as porous carbon, carbon nanotube, graphene, and carbon nanofiber [61, 134, 135, 136, 137, 138, 139, 140, 141, 142, 143, 144, 145, 146, 147, 148, 149, 150, 151, 152, 153, 154, 155, 156, 157], modifying the existing phases such as doping or functionalizing with heteroatoms [158, 159, 160, 161] or incorporating with other materials like silica [162].

In the context of the current review, natural products such as biomass have become essential sources of carbon-based materials. Biomasses are undoubtedly rich in carbonaceous materials, yet their low retrieval cost and high availability make them promising candidates for the mass production of carbon-based materials and waste valorization. There are at least 60 different biomass sources for carbon-based electrodes employed in supercapacitors, Li-ion batteries, and fuel cells, as summarized in a recent review [163]. In environmental applications, biomass-derived carbon materials have become routinely employed as adsorbents for dyes, heavy metals, and so on [164, 165] in water and wastewater, owing to their large surface area and active adsorption sites.

This section will discuss natural product-derived counter electrodes to gain insight into the relationship between the synthetic method, structure, and performance in DSSC cells. Figure 5 and Table 6 show the available literature on counter electrodes from various biomasses, wastes, and commercial biomasses, which will be included in the discussion. Interestingly, most of, if not all, the literature comes from authors who reside in Asia, more specifically, East and Southeast Asian countries. Due to the high diversity of primary natural resources from these regions, the current review results may benefit researchers from these countries to valorize natural products as more functional materials. It is worth mentioning that the natural products discussed here are not necessarily waste but also commercially produced biomass such as humic acid and ovalbumin.

### 4.1. Preparation of counter electrode materials from natural products

As listed in Table 6, a wealth of natural product resources is available for counter electrodes. Describing detailed procedures of the material extraction and counter electrode fabrication for each study would be too exhaustive. Nevertheless, this subsection will present the general trend of the resulting products with each of the preparation routes of counter electrode materials.

| Type                  | Source                  | References |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|------------|
| Agricultural biomass  | Rice husk               | [165, 235, 236] |
|                       | Coconut shell           | [170, 237, 238] |
|                       | Pumpkin stem            | [183]      |
|                       | Mangosteen peel         | [167]      |
|                       | Pine cone flower        | [239]      |
|                       | Various leaves          | [240, 241, 242] |
|                       | Various woods           | [241, 243] |
|                       | Sunflower stalk         | [179]      |
|                       | Aloe peel               | [174, 175, 176, 177, 178, 179, 180] |
|                       | Kelp                    | [244]      |
|                       | Orange fiber            | [185]      |
|                       | Pomelo peel             | [181]      |
| Animal biomass        | Fish                    | [166, 245] |
|                       | Human hair              | [246]      |
| Post-consumer waste   | Ground coffee           | [188]      |
|                       | Facial tissue           | [241]      |
|                       | Paper                   | [241]      |
|                       | Carton                  | [182]      |
| Commercial biomass    | Bagasse                 | [171]      |
|                       | Table sugar             | [184]      |
|                       | Sucrose                 | [184]      |
|                       | Humic acid              | [247]      |
|                       | Ovalbumin               | [248]      |

It seems evident that natural product resources mostly contain carbon obtained from macromolecule constituents. As seen from Figure 6, the extracted materials for counter electrodes from the literature are primarily carbon-based materials, with several types ranging from porous carbon to biochar, depending on the preparation route and sources. They can be categorized based on different chemical compositions, porous sizes, and surface areas. Other extracted materials include silicon or silica-based materials, such as rice husk ash, which seem to gain less attention due to more unsatisfactory DSSC performance than carbon-based materials.
The types of extracted carbon-based materials based on morphology are explained in greater detail in this section. These materials can be divided into two groups: inactivate carbon material and porous carbon material. However, the naming for the former is somehow quite ambiguous across the literature. Inactivate carbon material in this review refers to carbon material that is mainly prepared by carbonization techniques, such as heat treatment (pyrolysis), without any further treatment apart from washing and/or drying. By this definition, the simple “carbon material,” amorphous carbon material, and biochar listed in Table 7 belong to this group. Spherical carbon materials can also be categorized into this group. The second group seems to have a more obvious definition. The carbon-based materials in this group have porous structures, as revealed mostly by SEM images, and quantitatively, the total porous size. More specifically, the materials usually have a mesoporous structure. According to the morphology, activated carbon and porous carbon belong to the second group of carbon-based materials.

Generally, the preparation of carbon-based counter electrode materials usually involves several procedures, including pretreatment, carbonization, and activation. Figure 7 summarizes the general procedure for preparing carbon-based counter electrode materials. The pretreatment step is conducted to remove impurities and water, which can be achieved by washing, drying, and mechanical treatments such as cutting, grinding, and sieving. The second procedure is carbonization, which occurs through high-temperature annealing under an inert atmosphere (pyrolysis) and hydrothermal carbonization. The former carbonization technique will yield amorphous carbon, charcoal, and biochar, while the latter gives the spherical carbon morphology.

Depending on the intended final target material, further activation and carbonization may be conducted to enhance the surface area and develop the pores, carried out by either physical or chemical activation to prepare “porous carbon”. The resulting “inactivate carbon material” is usually mixed with chemical activators such as KOH and ZnCl₂, followed by further heating at high temperatures. The base can react with the carbon to develop pores within the carbon structure. Simultaneous physical activation and carbonization, along with chemical activation, can be carried out by microwave treatment.

One issue regarding the utilization of natural products is their inhomogeneous content, which can bring either benefits or drawback to the extraction processes of the intended counter electrode material and DSSC performance. The impurities contained in the source have to be removed using harsh chemicals if necessary. On the other hand, impurities can also benefit DSSC performance due to the introduction of defective sites into the counter electrode structure, enhancing the electrocatalytic activities. Another issue is the reproducibility of the material extracted from the natural product, where the material obtained can have a different structure, morphology, and surface area. However, there seems to be a consistent preparation route for porous carbon. While the resulting materials have a quantitatively different surface area, the characterization reveals that they belong to a similar class of porous carbon.

4.2. The performance of the natural carbon-based DSSC

Table 7 lists the photovoltaic performances of DSSC devices that used counter electrodes derived from natural products. Before further
Table 7. Photovoltaic parameters of DSSCs based on the various counter electrodes in comparison with standard Pt counter electrode.

| Source                  | Counter electrode material | Natural product-based counter electrode | Pt counter electrode | Electrolyte solution                  | Photoanode/ Dye | Reference |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------|
|                         |                           | $J_{sc}$ (mA cm$^{-2}$) | $V_{oc}$ (V) | FF (%) | $\eta$ (%) | $J_{sc}$ (mA cm$^{-2}$) | $V_{oc}$ (V) | FF (%) | $\eta$ (%) |                           |                 |           |
| Rice husk               | Porous carbon             | 14.53 | 0.680 | 64 | 6.32 | 14.61 | 0.69 | 66 | 6.69 | LiI/Li$_2$-1-methyl-3-benzimidazolium iodide/4-tert-butylpyridine in 3-methoxypropionitrile | TiO$_2$/N3 | [165] |
| Rice husk               | ACs/ Acetylene Black      | 15.01 | 0.73 | 60 | 6.57 | 15.20 | 0.74 | 64 | 7.20 | LiI/Li$_2$-1-methyl-3-propyl imidazolium iodide/4-tert-butyl pyridine/guanidinium thiocyanate in acetonitrile and valeronitrile (85:15) | TiO$_2$/N719 | [235] |
| Rice husk               | Nano-Si@ACs/ Acetylene Black | 15.50 | 0.76 | 67 | 8.01 | 15.20 | 0.74 | 64 | 7.20 | LiI/Li$_2$-1-methyl-3-propyl imidazolium iodide/4-tert-butyl pyridine/guanidinium thiocyanate in acetonitrile and valeronitrile (85:15) | TiO$_2$/N719 | [235] |
| Rice husk               | Rice husk ash/MoS$_2$     | 10.95 | 0.60 | 32 | 2.10 | - | - | - | - | Phtaloychitosan electrolyte polymer/DMF/ethylene carbonate/tetrapropylammonium iodide/I$_2$ | TiO$_2$/N3 | [236] |
| Coconut shell           | Amorphous activated carbon | 7.60 | 0.77 | 32 | 0.84 | - | - | - | - | LiI/Li$_2$/4-tert-butylpyridine in acetonitrile | TiO$_2$/N719 | [237] |
| Coconut shell           | Graphitic carbon          | 10.00 | 0.77 | 36 | 1.24 | - | - | - | - | LiI/Li$_2$/4-tert-butylpyridine in acetonitrile | TiO$_2$/N719 | [237] |
| Coconut shell           | Activated charcoal       | 19.49 | 0.65 | 62 | 7.85 | 19.52 | 0.69 | 70 | 9.41 | LiI/Li$_2$/1,2-dimethyl-3-propyl imidazolium iodide/4-tert-butylpyridine in acetonitrile | TiO$_2$/N719 | [170] |
| Pumpkin stem            | Activated carbon         | 3.84 | 0.611 | 0.475 | 2.79 | 4.54 | 0.736 | 49.3 | 4.04 | KI/I$_2$ in ethylene glycol | TiO$_2$/N719 | [183] |
| Mangosteen peel         | Carbon material          | 5.58 | 0.70 | 0.51 | 1.99 | 5.42 | 0.62 | 52 | 1.75 | NaI/Li$_2$CO$_3$ in acetonitrile | TiO$_2$/Mangosteen peel extract | [167] |
| Mangosteen peel         | Carbon material          | 8.70 | 0.60 | 50 | 2.63 | 4.72 | 0.57 | 54 | 1.47 | di-5-(1-methyltetrazole)/5-mercaptot-1-methyltetrazole N-tetramethylammonium salt)/4-tert-butylpyridine/LiCO$_4$ in acetonitrile | TiO$_2$/Mangosteen peel extract | [167] |
| Pine cone flower        | honeycomb-like activated porous carbon | 13.51 | 0.71 | 52 | 4.98 | 14.29 | 0.71 | 62 | 6.25 | HPE | TiO$_2$/N719 | [239] |
| Fallen leaves           | Honeycomb porous carbon  | 14.99 | 0.70 | 53 | 5.52 | 15.96 | 0.70 | 58 | 6.56 | HPE | TiO$_2$/N719 | [240] |
| Lotus                   | Biochar                  | 14.33 | 0.44 | 23 | 1.42 | - | - | - | - | LiI/Li$_2$/4-tert-butylpyridine in 3-methoxypropionitrile | TiO$_2$/N719 | [242] |
| Lotus                   | Biochar                  | 1.50 | 0.43 | 23 | 0.15 | 1.05 | 0.52 | 66 | 0.36 | LiI/Li$_2$/4-tert-butylpyridine in 3-methoxypropionitrile | TiO$_2$/Lotus leaf extract | [242] |
| Weeping willow wood     | Amorphous carbon         | 11.31 | 0.67 | 21 | 1.55 | 15.81 | 0.64 | 62 | 6.23 | LiI/Li$_2$/4-tert-butylpyridine in 3-methoxypropionitrile | TiO$_2$/N719 | [241] |
| Phoenix wood            | Amorphous carbon         | 12.14 | 0.65 | 24 | 1.91 | 15.81 | 0.64 | 62 | 6.23 | LiI/Li$_2$/4-tert-butylpyridine in 3-methoxypropionitrile | TiO$_2$/N719 | [241] |
| Camphor wood            | Amorphous carbon         | 11.31 | 0.64 | 22 | 1.58 | 15.81 | 0.64 | 62 | 6.23 | LiI/Li$_2$/4-tert-butylpyridine in 3-methoxypropionitrile | TiO$_2$/N719 | [241] |
| Chinese fir wood        | Amorphous carbon         | 10.79 | 0.65 | 20 | 1.38 | 15.81 | 0.64 | 62 | 6.23 | LiI/Li$_2$/4-tert-butylpyridine in 3-methoxypropionitrile | TiO$_2$/N719 | [241] |
| Maple wood              | Amorphous carbon         | 11.58 | 0.67 | 22 | 1.68 | 15.81 | 0.64 | 62 | 6.23 | LiI/Li$_2$/4-tert-butylpyridine in 3-methoxypropionitrile | TiO$_2$/N719 | [241] |
| Peach wood              | Amorphous carbon         | 11.42 | 0.67 | 19 | 1.48 | 15.81 | 0.64 | 62 | 6.23 | LiI/Li$_2$/4-tert-butylpyridine in 3-methoxypropionitrile | TiO$_2$/N719 | [241] |
| Poplar wood             | Amorphous carbon         | 12.15 | 0.62 | 24 | 1.83 | 15.81 | 0.64 | 62 | 6.23 | LiI/Li$_2$/4-tert-butylpyridine in 3-methoxypropionitrile | TiO$_2$/N719 | [241] |
| Cypress wood            | Amorphous carbon         | 10.94 | 0.67 | 17 | 1.23 | 15.81 | 0.64 | 62 | 6.23 | LiI/Li$_2$/4-tert-butylpyridine in 3-methoxypropionitrile | TiO$_2$/N719 | [241] |
| Tea-oil camellia wood   | Amorphous carbon         | 10.54 | 0.62 | 21 | 1.37 | 15.81 | 0.64 | 62 | 6.23 | LiI/Li$_2$/4-tert-butylpyridine in 3-methoxypropionitrile | TiO$_2$/N719 | [241] |
| Source               | Counter electrode material | Natural product-based counter electrode | Pt counter electrode | Electrolyte solution | Photoanode/ Dye | Reference |
|----------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------|-----------|
| Orange wood          | Amorphous carbon           | Jsc: 12.19 mA/cm², Voc: 0.67 V, FF: 22% | η: 1.81%             | Jsc: 15.81 mA/cm², Voc: 0.64 V, FF: 62% | LiI/I₂/4-tert-butylpyridine in 3-methoxypropionitrile | TiO₂/N719 [241] |
| Chinaberry wood      | Amorphous carbon           | Jsc: 12.58 mA/cm², Voc: 0.64 V, FF: 21% | η: 1.66%             | Jsc: 15.81 mA/cm², Voc: 0.64 V, FF: 62% | LiI/I₂/4-tert-butylpyridine in 3-methoxypropionitrile | TiO₂/N719 [241] |
| Oak wood             | Mesoporous carbon          | Jsc: 7.98 mA/cm², Voc: 0.64 V, FF: 21% | η: 1.81%             | Jsc: 15.81 mA/cm², Voc: 0.64 V, FF: 62% | LiI/I₂/1,2-dimethyl-3-propylimidazolium iodide/4-tert-butyl pyridine in acetonitrile | TiO₂/N719 [243] |
| Bamboo               | Mesoporous carbon          | Jsc: 4.53 mA/cm², Voc: 0.64 V, FF: 21% | η: 1.81%             | Jsc: 15.81 mA/cm², Voc: 0.64 V, FF: 62% | LiI/I₂/1,2-dimethyl-3-propylimidazolium iodide/4-tert-butyl pyridine in acetonitrile | TiO₂/N719 [243] |
| Pine needles leaf    | Amorphous carbon           | Jsc: 10.14 mA/cm², Voc: 0.62 V, FF: 17% | η: 1.07%             | Jsc: 15.81 mA/cm², Voc: 0.64 V, FF: 62% | LiI/I₂/4-tert-butylpyridine in 3-methoxypropionitrile | TiO₂/N719 [241] |
| Camphor leaf         | Amorphous carbon           | Jsc: 11.98 mA/cm², Voc: 0.53 V, FF: 21% | η: 1.37%             | Jsc: 15.81 mA/cm², Voc: 0.64 V, FF: 62% | LiI/I₂/4-tert-butylpyridine in 3-methoxypropionitrile | TiO₂/N719 [241] |
| Palm leaf            | Amorphous carbon           | Jsc: 11.53 mA/cm², Voc: 0.63 V, FF: 21% | η: 1.85%             | Jsc: 15.81 mA/cm², Voc: 0.64 V, FF: 62% | LiI/I₂/4-tert-butylpyridine in 3-methoxypropionitrile | TiO₂/N719 [241] |
| Maple leaf           | Amorphous carbon           | Jsc: 9.64 mA/cm², Voc: 0.51 V, FF: 25% | η: 1.20%             | Jsc: 15.81 mA/cm², Voc: 0.64 V, FF: 62% | LiI/I₂/4-tert-butylpyridine in 3-methoxypropionitrile | TiO₂/N719 [241] |
| Poplar leaf          | Amorphous carbon           | Jsc: 10.96 mA/cm², Voc: 0.49 V, FF: 25% | η: 1.33%             | Jsc: 15.81 mA/cm², Voc: 0.64 V, FF: 62% | LiI/I₂/4-tert-butylpyridine in 3-methoxypropionitrile | TiO₂/N719 [241] |
| Chinese fir leaf     | Amorphous carbon           | Jsc: 9.07 mA/cm², Voc: 0.53 V, FF: 23% | η: 1.09%             | Jsc: 15.81 mA/cm², Voc: 0.64 V, FF: 62% | LiI/I₂/4-tert-butylpyridine in 3-methoxypropionitrile | TiO₂/N719 [241] |
| Red after-wood leaf  | Amorphous carbon           | Jsc: 10.07 mA/cm², Voc: 0.62 V, FF: 18% | η: 1.14%             | Jsc: 15.81 mA/cm², Voc: 0.64 V, FF: 62% | LiI/I₂/4-tert-butylpyridine in 3-methoxypropionitrile | TiO₂/N719 [241] |
| Sunflower stalk      | Layered activated carbon   | Jsc: 15.20 mA/cm², Voc: 0.67 V, FF: 64% | η: 6.56%             | Jsc: 15.77 mA/cm², Voc: 0.70 V, FF: 65% | LiI/I₂/1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium iodide/4-tert-butyl pyridine/guanidinium thiocyanate in acetonitrile | TiO₂/N719 [173] |
| Aloe peel            | Honeycomb-like porous carbon/acetylene black | Jsc: 14.15 mA/cm², Voc: 0.72 V, FF: 68% | η: 6.92%             | Jsc: 15.77 mA/cm², Voc: 0.70 V, FF: 65% | LiI/I₂/1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium iodide/4-tert-butyl pyridine/guanidinium thiocyanate in acetonitrile | TiO₂/N719 [174] |
| Aloe peel            | Spherical carbon           | Jsc: 12.46 mA/cm², Voc: 0.68 V, FF: 30% | η: 2.43%             | Jsc: 15.38 mA/cm², Voc: 0.67 V, FF: 60% | LiI/I₂/1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium iodide/4-tert-butyl pyridine/guanidinium thiocyanate in acetonitrile | TiO₂/N719 [175] |
| Aloe peel            | Spherical carbon/ FeTa₂O₆  | Jsc: 15.16 mA/cm², Voc: 0.70 V, FF: 65% | η: 6.85%             | Jsc: 15.38 mA/cm², Voc: 0.67 V, FF: 60% | LiI/I₂/1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium iodide/4-tert-butyl pyridine/guanidinium thiocyanate in acetonitrile | TiO₂/N719 [175] |
| Aloe peel            | Spherical carbon/ CoTa₂O₆  | Jsc: 15.79 mA/cm², Voc: 0.70 V, FF: 62% | η: 6.79%             | Jsc: 15.38 mA/cm², Voc: 0.67 V, FF: 60% | LiI/I₂/1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium iodide/4-tert-butyl pyridine/guanidinium thiocyanate in acetonitrile | TiO₂/N719 [175] |
| Aloe peel            | Spherical carbon/ CuTa₁₀O₂₆ | Jsc: 15.19 mA/cm², Voc: 0.68 V, FF: 63% | η: 6.53%             | Jsc: 15.38 mA/cm², Voc: 0.67 V, FF: 60% | LiI/I₂/1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium iodide/4-tert-butyl pyridine/guanidinium thiocyanate in acetonitrile | TiO₂/N719 [175] |
| Aloe peel            | Porous carbon              | Jsc: 14.12 mA/cm², Voc: 0.71 V, FF: 65% | η: 6.52%             | Jsc: 15.77 mA/cm², Voc: 0.70 V, FF: 65% | LiI/I₂/1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium iodide/4-tert-butyl pyridine/guanidinium thiocyanate in acetonitrile | TiO₂/N719 [176] |
| Aloe peel            | Porous carbon/ ZnNb₂O₆     | Jsc: 19.12 mA/cm², Voc: 0.71 V, FF: 65% | η: 8.83%             | Jsc: 15.77 mA/cm², Voc: 0.70 V, FF: 65% | LiI/I₂/1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium iodide/4-tert-butyl pyridine/guanidinium thiocyanate in acetonitrile | TiO₂/N719 [176] |
| Source          | Counter electrode material | Natural product-based counter electrode | Pt counter electrode | Electrolyte solution | Photoanode/Dye | Reference |
|-----------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------|-----------|
| Aloe peel       | Porous carbon              |                                        |                      |                      |                | TiO₂/N719 | [177] |
|                 |                            | Jsc (mA cm⁻²) | Voc (V) | FF (%) | η (%) | Jsc (mA cm⁻²) | Voc (V) | FF (%) | η (%) |
| Aloe peel       | Porous carbon/MnWO₄        | 15.04       | 0.72  | 59     | 6.40 | 15.96       | 0.70  | 63     | 7.04 |
|                 |                            |             |        |        |      |             |        |        |      |
| Aloe peel       | Porous carbon/ZnWO₄        | 15.52       | 0.69  | 68     | 7.33 | 15.96       | 0.70  | 63     | 7.04 |
|                 |                            |             |        |        |      |             |        |        |      |
| Aloe peel       | Porous carbon/MnO₂         | 13.84       | 0.73  | 64     | 6.52 | 15.96       | 0.70  | 63     | 7.04 |
|                 |                            |             |        |        |      |             |        |        |      |
| Aloe peel       | 3D network carbon          | 14.86       | 0.62  | 66     | 6.07 | 15.76       | 0.65  | 66     | 6.74 |
|                 |                            |             |        |        |      |             |        |        |      |
| Aloe peel       | 3D network carbon/ZnMoO₄   | 16.41       | 0.69  | 67     | 7.65 | 15.76       | 0.65  | 66     | 6.74 |
|                 |                            |             |        |        |      |             |        |        |      |
| Aloe peel       | 3D network carbon/Cu₂Mo₃O₉| 15.71       | 0.74  | 63     | 7.33 | 15.76       | 0.65  | 66     | 6.74 |
|                 |                            |             |        |        |      |             |        |        |      |
| Aloe peel       | 3D network carbon/MnMoO₄   | 15.72       | 0.65  | 68     | 6.92 | 15.76       | 0.65  | 66     | 6.74 |
|                 |                            |             |        |        |      |             |        |        |      |
| Aloe peel       | Porous carbon/MnO₂         | 12.81       | 0.73  | 64     | 6.11 | 13.32       | 0.72  | 66     | 6.44 |
|                 |                            |             |        |        |      |             |        |        |      |
| Aloe peel       | Porous carbon/Cu/MnO₂      | 15.45       | 0.72  | 68     | 7.01 | 13.32       | 0.72  | 66     | 6.44 |
|                 |                            |             |        |        |      |             |        |        |      |
| Aloe peel       | Honeycomb porous carbon    | 12.12       | 0.76  | 69     | 6.45 | 13.44       | 0.73  | 69     | 6.80 |
|                 |                            |             |        |        |      |             |        |        |      |
| Aloe peel       | Honeycomb porous carbon/NTa₂O₆| 14.61      | 0.74  | 65     | 7.09 | 13.44       | 0.73  | 69     | 6.80 |
|                 |                            |             |        |        |      |             |        |        |      |
| Aloe peel       | Honeycomb porous carbon/MnTa₂O₆| 15.41      | 0.74  | 65     | 7.39 | 13.44       | 0.73  | 69     | 6.80 |
|                 |                            |             |        |        |      |             |        |        |      |
| Aloe peel       | Honeycomb porous carbon/AlTa₂O₄| 15.80      | 0.76  | 65     | 7.86 | 13.44       | 0.73  | 69     | 6.80 |
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| Source                  | Counter electrode material | Natural product-based counter electrode | Pt counter electrode | Electrolyte solution                                                                 | Photoanode/ Dye | Reference |
|-------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|-----------|
| Pomelo peel             | Carbon material            | 14.56 0.70 68 6.94 14.48 0.73 64 6.72 | LiI/Li/1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium iodide/4-tert-butyl pyridine/guanidinium thiocyanate in acetonitrile | TiO$_2$/N719     | [181]     |
| Kelp                    | N, S, P-doped/Co NPs       | 14.71 0.75 68 7.48 14.73 0.75 73 7.64 | LiI/Li/1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium iodide/4-tert-butyl pyridine/guanidinium thiocyanate in acetonitrile | TiO$_2$/N719     | [244]     |
| Orange fiber            | Carbon material/CoS nanoflakes | 11.58 0.74 69 5.94 12.02 0.73 64 5.57 | DN-0005              | TiO$_2$/C264 triphenylamine                                                          |                  | [185]     |
| Anchovy                 | N and S co-doped activated porous carbon | 18.78 0.89 76 12.72 19.17 0.87 73 12.23 | Co(bpy)$_2^{2+}$/Li$^+$ | TiO$_2$/SM-315 porphyrin                                                             |                  | [166]     |
| Fish waste              | N, P and S tri-doped activated porous carbon | 15.64 0.75 67 7.83 15.98 0.76 69 8.34 | LiI/Li/1,2-dimethyl-3-propyl imidazolium iodide/4-tert-butyl pyridine in acetonitrile | TiO$_2$/N3       | [245]     |
| Human hair              | Carbon material/PEDOT:PSS  | 14.58 0.76 58 6.54 15.64 0.74 63 7.29 | LiI/Li/1-methyl-3-propylimidazolium iodide/tetrabutylpyridine/Li$_2$CO$_3$ in acetonitrile | TiO$_2$/N719     | [246]     |
| Ground coffee waste     | N-doped porous carbon      | 15.09 0.76 73 8.32 14.73 0.77 72 8.07 | LiI/Li/1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium iodide/guanidinium thiocyanate/4-tert-butyl pyridine in acetonitrile and valeronitrile mixture (volume ratio 85:15) | TiO$_2$/N719     | [188]     |
| Filter paper            | Amorphous carbon           | 15.02 0.70 45 4.72 15.81 0.64 62 6.23 | LiI/Li/4-tert-butyl pyridine in 3-methoxypropionitrile | TiO$_2$/N719     | [241]     |
| Facial tissue           | Amorphous carbon           | 14.80 0.69 64 4.70 15.81 0.64 62 6.23 | LiI/Li/4-tert-butyl pyridine in 3-methoxypropionitrile | TiO$_2$/N719     | [241]     |
| Waste carton            | Porous carbon              | 14.20 0.77 62 6.76 14.84 0.76 66 7.51 | LiI/Li/1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium iodide/4-tert-butyl pyridine/guanidinium thiocyanate in acetonitrile | TiO$_2$/N719     | [182]     |
| Waste carton            | Porous carbon/WC           | 14.56 0.76 60 7.32 14.84 0.76 66 7.51 | LiI/Li/1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium iodide/4-tert-butyl pyridine/guanidinium thiocyanate in acetonitrile | TiO$_2$/N719     | [182]     |
| Bagasse                 | Carbon material            | 5.20 0.72 8 0.31 15.00 0.72 57 6.08 | LiI/Li/1,2-Dimethyl-3-propylimidazolium iodide/4-tert-butyl pyridine in acetonitrile | TiO$_2$/N719     | [171]     |
| Bagasse                 | Nitrogen-doped bagasse     | 10.7 0.69 37 2.48 15.00 0.72 57 6.08 | LiI/Li/1,2-Dimethyl-3-propylimidazolium iodide/4-tert-butyl pyridine in acetonitrile | TiO$_2$/N719     | [171]     |
| Bagasse                 | Carbon material/Pt NPs     | 12.4 0.66 45 3.69 15.00 0.72 57 6.08 | LiI/Li/1,2-Dimethyl-3-propylimidazolium iodide/4-tert-butyl pyridine in acetonitrile | TiO$_2$/N719     | [171]     |
| Bagasse                 | Nitrogen-doped bagasse/Pt NPs | 15.3 0.73 62 6.98 15.00 0.72 57 6.08 | LiI/Li/1,2-Dimethyl-3-propylimidazolium iodide/4-tert-butyl pyridine in acetonitrile | TiO$_2$/N719     | [171]     |
| Table sugar             | Carbon material            | - - - - 3.24 - - - 4.02 | Iodolite | TiO$_2$/RK-1 | [184] |
| Sucrose                 | Carbon material            | - - - - 0.04 - - - 4.02 | Iodolite | TiO$_2$/RK-1 | [184] |
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discussing the performance of each device with the natural product-based counter electrode, DSSC performance with the standard platinum counter electrode used as the benchmark is discussed. The PCE of platinum-based devices varies from 0.36 to 12.23%. However, there are no consistent compositions of the dyes and electrolytes mentioned in the literature, which results in varied PCE values. This reduces the possibility of making a fair comparison of PCE values obtained from the various literature. The ruthenium-based dye N719 remains the most favorable, followed by ruthenium-based N3 dye. The other dyes mentioned in the literature are Zn-based SM-315, RK-1, C264 porphyrin, and natural product-based (lotus leaf and mangosteen peel extract) dyes. For the electrolytes, almost all the literature studied utilized the I$_3^-$/I$^-$/C0 redox pair as inorganic iodide and/or various forms of imidazolium iodide salt as a source of I$^-$/C0. I$^-$ was used either as a fresh chemical mixture or a commercially prepared solution mixed with the solvent, forming acetonitrile or an acetonitrile/valeronitrile mixture. In addition to these specimens, electrolyte additives such as 4-tert-butyl pyridine and guanidium thiocyanate are also incorporated into the electrolytes to enhance DSSC performance [13, 16]. Kim et al. incorporated the only Co(bpy)$_3^{3+}/2^+$ redox pair electrolytes found in the current literature.

### Table 7 (continued)

| Source          | Counter electrode material | Natural product-based counter electrode | Pt counter electrode | Electrolyte solution | Photoanode/Dye | Reference |
|-----------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------|-----------|
| Humic acid      | Carbon material            | 12.55 V 0.76 FF 65 η 6.14              | 13.85 V 0.75 FF 68 η 7.10 | Li$_3$/I$_2$/1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium iodide/4-tert-butyl pyridine/guanidinium thiocyanate in acetonitrile | TiO$_2$/N719 | [247]     |
| Humic acid      | Carbon material/Ni         | 13.51 V 0.76 FF 68 η 7.01              | 13.85 V 0.75 FF 68 η 7.10 | Li$_3$/I$_2$/1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium iodide/4-tert-butyl pyridine/guanidinium thiocyanate in acetonitrile | TiO$_2$/N719 | [247]     |
| Ovalbumin       | N-doped carbon aerogel     | 12.01 V 0.70 FF 56 η 4.68              | 12.71 V 0.71 FF 59 η 5.35 | Iodolyte HI-30       | TiO$_2$/N719 | [248]     |

* The $V_{oc}$ from ref. [184] is unreliable.
| Source                      | Extracted counter electrode material | Additive and/or modifier | Binder or Adhesive | $S_{\text{BET}}$ (m$^2$g$^{-1}$) | Pore volume (cm$^3$g$^{-1}$) | $R_s$ (Ω cm$^2$) | $R_{\text{ct}}$ (Ω cm$^2$) | $C_d$ (μF cm$^2$) | $Z_w$ (Ω cm$^2$) | $I_p$ (mA cm$^2$) | $E_{\text{pp}}$ (V) | $\eta_{\text{rel}}$ | Reference |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|--------------|-----------|
| Rice husk                   | Porous carbon                       | -                        | Titanium isopropoxide | 1095 | 0.61 | 21.4 | 1.3 | 42 | 1.3 | -3.5$^a$ | - | 0.94 | [165] |
| Coconut shell               | Activated charcoal                  | -                        | Poly vinyl acetate | - | - | 30.0 | 1.6 | - | - | - | - | 0.83 | [170] |
| Pumpkin stem                | Activated carbon                    | -                        | -                 | 793 | 0.4 | - | - | - | - | -3.0$^b$ | 0.60$^b$ | 0.69 | [183] |
| Weeping willow wood         | Amorphous carbon                    | -                        | Carboxymethyl cellulose | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.25 | [241] |
| Phoenix wood                | Amorphous carbon                    | -                        | Carboxymethyl cellulose | 273 | - | 31.3 | 22.7 | - | - | -1.8 | 0.588 | 0.31 | [241] |
| Camphor wood                | Amorphous carbon                    | -                        | Carboxymethyl cellulose | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.25 | [241] |
| Chinese fir wood            | Amorphous carbon                    | -                        | Carboxymethyl cellulose | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.22 | [241] |
| Maple wood                  | Amorphous carbon                    | -                        | Carboxymethyl cellulose | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.27 | [241] |
| Peach wood                  | Amorphous carbon                    | -                        | Carboxymethyl cellulose | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.24 | [241] |
| Poplar wood                 | Amorphous carbon                    | -                        | Carboxymethyl cellulose | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.29 | [241] |
| Cypress wood                | Amorphous carbon                    | -                        | Carboxymethyl cellulose | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.20 | [241] |
| Tea-oil camellia wood       | Amorphous carbon                    | -                        | Carboxymethyl cellulose | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.22 | [241] |
| Orange wood                 | Amorphous carbon                    | -                        | Carboxymethyl cellulose | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.29 | [241] |
| Chinaberry wood             | Amorphous carbon                    | -                        | Carboxymethyl cellulose | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.27 | [241] |
| Oak wood                    | Mesoporous carbon                   | -                        | -                 | 852 | 0.6 | 15.1 | 10.0 | - | - | 95.3 | - | - | 1.01 | [243] |
| Bamboo                      | Mesoporous carbon                   | -                        | -                 | 542 | 0.3 | 14.6 | 38.4 | - | - | 76.0 | - | - | 0.57 | [243] |
| Pine needles leaf           | Amorphous carbon                    | -                        | Carboxymethyl cellulose | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.17 | [241] |
| Camphor leaf                | Amorphous carbon                    | -                        | Carboxymethyl cellulose | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.22 | [241] |
| Palm leaf                   | Amorphous carbon                    | -                        | Carboxymethyl cellulose | 7.1 | - | 32.1 | 22.8 | - | - | -1.2 | 0.582 | 0.30 | [241] |
| Maple leaf                  | Amorphous carbon                    | -                        | Carboxymethyl cellulose | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.19 | [241] |
| Poplar leaf                 | Amorphous carbon                    | -                        | Carboxymethyl cellulose | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.21 | [241] |
| Chinese fir leaf            | Amorphous carbon                    | -                        | Carboxymethyl cellulose | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.17 | [241] |
| Red after-wood leaf         | Amorphous carbon                    | -                        | Carboxymethyl cellulose | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.18 | [241] |
| Lotus Biochar               | -                                    | -                        | Carboxymethyl cellulose | 30.9 | - | - | 40.7 | - | - | - | - | - | 0.42 | [242] |
| Aloe peel                   | Honeycomb porous carbon             | -                        | ZrO$_2$ | 1286 | 0.7 | 27.4 | 12.4 | - | - | -6.0$^a$ | 0.4$^a$ | 0.96 | [174] |
| Aloe peel                   | Spherical porous carbon             | -                        | ZrO$_2$ | 13$^b$ | 0.1$^b$ | 7808 | 8663 | - | - | - | - | - | 0.38 | [175] |
| Aloe peel                   | Porous carbon                       | -                        | ZrO$_2$ | 507 | - | 14.0 | 12.2 | - | - | 11.4 | -4.7 | 0.847 | 0.91 | [176] |
| Aloe peel                   | Porous carbon                       | -                        | ZrO$_2$ | - | - | 15.9 | 4.6 | - | - | -1.6 | 0.770 | 0.91 | [177] |
| Aloe peel                   | 3D network carbon                   | -                        | ZrO$_2$ | - | - | 11.7 | 0.7 | - | - | -1.3 | 0.442 | 0.90 | [178] |
| Pomelo peel                 | Carbon material                     | -                        | ZrO$_2$ | 1377 | 0.7 | 15.3 | 7.1 | 8.5 | - | -2.8 | 0.530 | 1.03 | [181] |
| Bagasse                     | Carbon material                     | -                        | Polyvinyl pyrrolidone | 19.4 | - | 6.6 | 544 | - | - | - | - | - | 0.05 | [171] |
| Aloe peel                   | Honeycomb porous carbon             | -                        | ZrO$_2$ | 1286$^a$ | 0.7$^a$ | 12.3 | 1.2 | - | - | -2.0 | 0.760 | 0.95 | [180] |
| Anchovy N and S co-doped    | -                                    | -                        | - | 2622 | 1.9 | 3.0 | 7.56 | - | - | -0.3$^a$ | 0.075$^a$ | 1.04 | [166] |

(continued on next page)
| Source                  | Extracted counter electrode material | Additive and/or modifier | Binder or Adhesive | $S_{BET}$ (m²g⁻¹) | Pore volume (cm³g⁻¹) | $R_s$ (Ω cm²) | $R_{ct}$ (Ω cm²) | $C_p$ (µF cm²) | $Z_w$ (Ω cm²) | $I_p$ (mA cm⁻²) | $E_{pp}$ (V) | $\eta_{rel}$ | Reference |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|---------------|------------|-----------|-----------|
| activated porous carbon|                                     |                          |                   |                  |                  |              |                |                |              |               |            |           |           |
| Fish waste             | N, P and S tri-doped activated porous carbon | -                        | Ethyl cellulose/terpineol/titanium isopropoxide | 2933            | 0.8              | -            | 0.5            | -              | -5.0         | -              | 0.5        | 0.94      | [245]     |
| Filter paper           | Amorphous carbon                    | Carboxymethyl cellulose  |                   |                  |                  |              |                |                |              |               |            |           |           |
| Facial tissue          | Amorphous carbon                    | Carboxymethyl cellulose  |                   |                  |                  |              |                |                |              |               |            |           |           |
| Waste carton           | Porous carbon                       | ZrO₂                     |                   | 824              | 0.7              | 16.7         | 13.1           | -              | -1.9³        | 0.660        | 0.90      | [182]     |
| Table sugar            | Carbon material³                    | Polyvinylidene difluoride|                   |                  |                  |              |                |                |              |               |            |           |           |
| Sucrose                | Carbon material³                    | Polyvinylidene difluoride|                   |                  |                  |              |                |                |              |               |            |           |           |
| Humic acid             | Carbon material                      | Ethyl cellulose/terpineol|                   |                  |                  |              |                |                |              |               |            |           |           |
| Ovalbumin              | N-doped carbon aerogel               | Oleylamine               |                   | 158              | 17.6             | 0.83         | 1.4            | -              | -            |               | 0.87      |           |           |
| Aloe peel              | Spherical carbon                    | FeTa₂O₅, ZrO₂             |                   |                  |                  |              |                |                |              |               |            |           |           |
| Aloe peel              | Spherical carbon                    | CoTa₂O₅, ZrO₂             |                   |                  |                  |              |                |                |              |               |            |           |           |
| Aloe peel              | Spherical carbon                    | ZrO₂ / CaTa₂O₂, ZrO₂     |                   |                  |                  |              |                |                |              |               |            |           |           |
| Aloe peel              | Porous carbon                       | ZnNb₂O₆, ZrO₂             |                   | 140              | 12.8             | 6.5           | 4.7            | 3.7            | 0.456        | 1.24        |           |           |           |
| Aloe peel              | Porous carbon                       | MnWO₄, ZrO₂               |                   |                  |                  |              |                |                |              |               |            |           |           |
| Aloe peel              | Porous carbon                       | ZnWO₄, ZrO₂               |                   |                  |                  |              |                |                |              |               |            |           |           |
| Aloe peel              | Porous carbon                       | CuWO₄, ZrO₂               |                   |                  |                  |              |                |                |              |               |            |           |           |
| Aloe peel              | 3D network carbon                   | ZnMoO₄, ZrO₂              |                   |                  |                  |              |                |                |              |               |            |           |           |
| Aloe peel              | 3D network carbon                   | Cu₃MO₃O₈, ZrO₂            |                   |                  |                  |              |                |                |              |               |            |           |           |
| Aloe peel              | 3D network carbon                   | MnMoO₄, ZrO₂              |                   |                  |                  |              |                |                |              |               |            |           |           |
| Aloe peel              | Porous carbon                       | MnO₂, ZrO₂               |                   | 632              | 0.4              | 13.2         | 10.1           | -              | -2.2         | 0.460        | 0.95      |           |           |
| Aloe peel              | Porous carbon                       | Co/MnO₂, ZrO₂             |                   | 661              | 0.4              | 19.8         | 1.1            | -              | -2.3         | 0.480        | 1.09      |           |           |
| Aloe peel              | Honeycomb porous carbon             | NiTa₂O₅, ZrO₂             |                   |                  |                  |              |                |                |              |               |            |           |           |
| Aloe peel              | Honeycomb porous carbon             | MnTa₂O₅, ZrO₂             |                   |                  |                  |              |                |                |              |               |            |           |           |
| Aloe peel              | Honeycomb porous carbon             | AlTaO₄, ZrO₂              |                   |                  |                  |              |                |                |              |               |            |           |           |
| Bagasse                | Carbon material                      | Nitrogen, Polyvinylpyrrolidone | 29.0              | -                | 8.8             | 28.5         | -              |                | -            | 0.706        | 1.09      |           |           |
| Bagasse                | Carbon material                      | Pt NPs, Polyvinylpyrrolidone | 56.3              | -                | 9.6             | 7.4          | -              |                | -            | 0.61        |           |           |           |
| Bagasse                | Carbon material                      | Nitrogen/Pt NPs, Polyvinylpyrrolidone | 90.0              | -                | 5.3             | 1.6          | -              |                | -            | 0.15        |           |           |           |
| Kelp                   | N, S, P tri-doped carbon            | Co NPs                   |                   |                  | 142             | 0.1           | 37.3           | 0.9            | 96.7         | -0.8³        | 0.3³       | 0.98      | [244]     |
| Orange fiber           | Carbon material                      | CoS nano flakes, Ethylene glycol-terpineol | 9.9              | -                | 31.8           | 36.2         | -              |                | -            | 1.07        |           |           | [185]     |
| Waste carton           | Porous carbon                       | WC, ZrO₂                 |                   |                  | -              | 17.1         | 7.1            | -              | -1.8³        | -            | 0.97      |           | [182]     |
| Humic acid             | Carbon material                      | Ni, Ethyl cellulose/terpineol |                  |                  | -              | 9.1          | 7.4            | -              | -            | -            | 0.99      |           |           |
| Rice husk              | Activated carbon                    | Acetylene black, Ethyl cellulose | 200              | -                | 16.0           | 3.4          | -              | 30.0           | 2.8          | -           | 0.692     | 0.91      |           |           |
| Rice husk              | Nano-Si@ACs                         | Acetylene black, Ethyl cellulose | 240              | -                | 15.9           | 2.1          | -              | 27.9           | 4.2          | -           | 0.426     | 1.11      |           |           |
| Mangosteen peel        | Carbon material                      | PEDOT:PSS                 |                   | 125              | -              | -            | -              |                | -            | 1.14        |           |           |           |

*(continued on next page)*
Table 8 (continued)

| Source                     | Extracted counter electrode material | Additive and/or modifier | Binder or Adhesive | \(S_{\text{act}}\) (m²/g⁻¹) | Pore volume (cm³/g⁻¹) | \(R_e\) (Ω cm²) | \(C_{dl}\) (μF cm²) | \(Z_w\) (Ω cm²) | \(J_p\) (mA cm⁻²) | \(E_{pp}\) (V) | \(\eta_{fc}\) | Reference |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|------------|-----------|
| Mangosteen peel            | Carbon material                     | PEDOT:PSS                | -                 | 125                         | -                    | -              | -              | -              | 1.79           | 1.79        | [167]     |
| Pine cone flower           | Honeycomb-like activated porous carbon | Carbon black            | Polyvinylidene fluoride | 1598                      | -                    | -              | -              | -3.0           | -              | 0.80        | [229]     |
| Fallen leaves              | Honeycomb-like activated porous carbon | Carbon black            | Polyvinylidene fluoride | 2196                      | 1.7                  | 25.9           | -              | -              | 0.84           | [240]     |
| Sunflower stalk            | Layered activated carbon            | Acetylene black         | Polyetherfluoroethylene | 1505                      | 0.9                  | 18.4           | 7.8            | -2.5           | 0.3            | 0.91        | [173]     |
| Human hair                 | Carbon material                     | PEDOT:PSS                | -                 | 188                         | -                    | 16.7           | 7.1            | -0.9           | 0.3            | 0.90        | [246]     |
| Ground coffee waste       | N-doped porous carbon               | Nafion                   | -                 | 1200                        | 6.1                  | 0.5            | 5174.0         | 1.03           | [188]         |            |           |

*a* Approximate value taken from the relevant figures in the articles.

*b* Taken from ref. [174].

[166]. However, all the other experiments made use of TiO₂ as a photoanode. The preparation of the photoanode may also contribute to DSSC performance since the prepared photoanode varied commercially-prepared TiO₂ to the controlled morphology-synthesized TiO₂. The effects of TiO₂ morphology are not included in the current discussion since most previous discussion did not include the characterization of the TiO₂ electrode.

The table shows that the poorest PCE is achieved by the devices that used natural dyes as a sensitizer [167, 168], with PCE <2%, regardless of the electrolyte. On the other hand, the highest PCE was obtained using the Co(bpy)₃²⁺⁻ redox couple electrolyte and Zn-based SM-315 [166], with 12.23%, which appears to be higher than the average PCE listed in Table 7. The PCE of this DSSC arrangement correlates with the highest PCE for the Co(bpy)₃²⁺⁻/SM-315 electrolyte/dye pair of 13% [169].

Pt-based devices with standard I₃⁻/I⁻ redox couple electrolytes with inorganic iodide and/or imidazolium iodide, either with or without additive, have PCE ranges of 4.02 and 9.41%, respectively. It is worth reiterating that close comparisons of DSSC performance for studied literature have not been found, even if the devices employ the same set of electrolytes and dyes. For example, the highest PCE of 9.41% was obtained with LiI/I₂/1,2-dimethyl-3-propyl imidazolium iodide/4-tert-butylpyridine in acetonitrile electrolyte without both guanidium thio-cyanate additive and N719 dye as in Ref. [170]. However, DSSCs with similar electrolytes and dyes performed worse with a PCE of 6.08% [171]. In contrast, \(V_{oc}\) of the two devices are comparable, as \(J_{sc}\) and \(FF\) differ significantly. This could benefit the TiO₂ photoanode, which has a larger surface area or porosity, allowing light to scatter. This, in turn, enhances the light-harvesting efficiency compared to top-down TiO₂ photoanode synthesis from the commercial precursor in [170]. Efficient light harvesting by using light scattering in the photoanode is a way to increase the \(J_{sc}\) of the DSSC [172]. Different PCE results may appear from the same research group even if the same photoanode, dye, electrolyte, and counter electrode preparation are used. The Yun research group from Xi’an University of Architecture and Technology published a set of works on DSSCs with similar experimental methodologies [173, 174, 175, 176, 177, 178, 179, 180, 181, 182]. The works consistently utilized a “complete” set of electrolytes where inorganic iodide salt (LiI), imidazolium salt (1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium iodide), and additives (4-tert-butyl pyridine and guanidinium thiocyanate) with acetonitrile were used as the solvent, and N719 was used as the dye. This resulted in the PCEs of the Pt-based DSSCs in the range of 6.34 and 7.19%. These values obtained may be caused by experimental random error due to uncertainties in the measurement. The average value deviation obtained was 6.5%, which was small enough for the PCE values of the platinum-based DSSC devices to be classified as accurate. It is also evident that electrolytes with only inorganic iodide without the imidazolium iodide salt and additives yielded a less satisfactory device performance than the more sophisticated electrolytes. Madhu et al. utilized KI/I₂ in ethylene glycol in conjunction with N719 dye for a DSSC with a Pt-counter electrode. The attained PCE of the Pt-based DSSC was 4.04%, which was lower than the average value [183].

The performance of natural product-based counter electrodes can be briefly compared with platinum-based electrodes. From the same sources, it is stated that both the \(V_{oc}\) between natural product-based counter electrodes and Pt-based electrodes are generally comparable, with differences of less than 100 mV. The photovoltaic efficiency of the DSSC is mainly affected by \(J_{sc}\) and \(FF\) values. Improvement in \(J_{sc}\) and \(FF\) are considered to play a vital role in DSSC performance. Both the \(J_{sc}\) and \(FF\) values can be improved by enhancing the electrocatalytic activities of the counter electrodes. This can be achieved by modifying the structural and electronic properties of the electrodes, as described in the next section.

4.3. The relationship between the structure and performance of the counter electrode

To compare the performance of natural product-based electrodes with platinum-based electrodes under the same experimental conditions for each study, let us define the relative DSSC PCE as

\[
\eta_{rel} = \frac{\eta_{np}}{\eta_{pt}}
\]

where \(\eta_{rel}\), \(\eta_{np}\), and \(\eta_{pt}\) are the relative frequency, PCE of the natural product-based DSSC, and PCE of the platinum-based DSSC, respectively. If the value is below 1, the natural product-based DSSCs underperform those of the platinum-based DSSCs. In contrast, if the value is equal to or larger than 1, the natural product-based DSSCs perform qualitatively better than the platinum-based DSSCs. However, as previously mentioned, due to experimental uncertainties, \(\eta_{rel}\) between 0.90 and 1.10 may have error uncertainties. Therefore, this range of the \(\eta_{rel}\) values cannot be used to conclude whether the natural product-based DSSCs performed better or worse than the platinum counterparts. Nevertheless, DSSCs with an \(\eta_{rel}\) value below 0.7 suggested that they performed worse than their platinum counterparts since the absolute PCE was below 3%.

The important electrochemical properties of the counter electrode are the series resistance (\(R_s\)), charge transfer resistance (\(R_{ct}\)), double layer capacitance (\(C_{dl}\)), and Nernst diffusion impedance (\(Z_w\)). These values can
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be obtained from the electrochemical impedance spectra (EIS) technique. A smaller \( R_{ct} \) value indicates a higher electrocatalytic activity of \( \text{I}_3^- \) reduction. \( C_D \) affects the surface area of the counter electrode, and \( Z_w \) influences the diffusion velocity of the redox couple in the electrolyte. A higher surface area contributes to a larger \( C_D \), while a faster diffusion rate causes \( Z_w \) to decrease. Other parameters, peak current density \( (I_p) \) and peak-to-peak separation \( (E_{pp}) \), are used to evaluate the catalytic performance of a counter electrode. Both of these can be determined by using the cyclic voltammetry method. Although the current high-performance DSSC devices use \( \text{Co}_3^{3+/2+} \) as a redox couple, most DSSCs still utilize \( \text{I}_3^-/\text{I}^- \) for their economic benefits. Therefore, the discussion will be focused on the \( \text{I}_3^- \) reduction ability of the counter electrode. The electrochemical properties of the counter electrode are generally related to the structural properties. These are mainly determined by the BET surface area and the pore size.

To fabricate natural product-based electrodes, some additional components are usually incorporated. Since amorphous, disordered, and porous carbon materials are known for their poor adhesion to FTO \([62]\), additional binder or adhesive materials must be incorporated. Additionally, amorphous carbon is also known for its low conductivity; thus, conducting material can also be incorporated into the counter electrode. However, not all of the works in the literature employed additional conducting materials since the extracted materials are not necessarily amorphous carbon materials but rather various morphologies of carbon.

Table 8 lists the electrocatalytic properties of natural product-based materials and their available surface area and total pore volume. Since not all electrochemical data are available in the literature, we can take several examples of natural product-based materials and discuss their electrochemical properties. Nevertheless, the main performance concern remains the PCE, which we defined here as the \( \eta_{rel} \) that are available throughout the literature. It is also clear that from the extraction of natural product materials and the optional modifications to the fabrication of counter electrodes, some structural properties can be altered due to treatment methods (i.e., spin coating or doctor blade method). For instance, the material’s BET surface and pore size are determined before counter electrode fabrication. In contrast, the electrochemical and electrocatalytic properties are determined at the counter electrode stage. Nevertheless, the changes in the structural properties may be neglected.

First, let us discuss the DSSC based on the counter electrode materials without any intended chemical additives and/or modifications. These materials span from amorphous inactivated carbon to N, P, and S tridoped activated porous carbon. In this category, the poorest DSSC performance \( \eta_{rel} \) is 0.01 with an absolute PCE of 0.04% obtained by the carbon material from sucrose-based DSSC \([184]\) while the best performance is obtained by the N and S codoped activated porous carbon from an anchovy-based DSSC with \( \eta_{rel} \) of 1.04 and absolute PCE of 12.73% \([166]\). However, it should be noted that the best DSSC that falls within this category employs the \( \text{Co}_3^{3+/2+} \) redox couple electrolyte, which indeed gives better DSSC performance than the \( \text{I}_3^-/\text{I}^- \) based electrolyte \([169]\). The other fish-based DSSCs, namely, N, P, and S tridoped activated porous carbon-based DSSCs also exhibit a remarkably high \( \eta_{rel} \) of 0.94 and absolute PCE of 7.83%.

The relationship between the structural properties can be discussed in terms of the surface area and total pore volume of the electrode and the electrochemical properties of the electrode. The surface areas of natural product materials span from 2 to ca. 3000 m² g⁻¹. Interestingly, the materials with a relatively high BET surface area, i.e., >800 m² g⁻¹, exhibit excellent PCE efficiency compared to the materials with lower surface areas. This is due to more \( \text{I}_3^- \) that can adsorb on the counter electrode surface and pores, as illustrated in Figure 8. The electron transfer efficiency on the electrode/electrolyte interface can be deduced from the \( R_{ct} \) values. For the most efficient electron transfer process, the \( R_{ct} \) value must be small. As seen from Figure 9, a high electrode surface corresponds to a low \( R_{ct} \), which is below 14 Ω cm². This leads to the \( \eta_{rel} \) values being larger than 0.9 or absolute PCE values larger than 7.0%. As expected, the counter electrode material with a low surface exhibits worse DSSC performance than the Pt-based DSSC.

The presence of heteroatoms from the biomass source in the final product materials can also enhance the DSSC performance. The perfor-
mance can be seen by the high $\eta_{\text{ad}}$ of N, P, and S tridoped activated porous carbon from fish and N-doped carbon aerogel from ovalbumin, which is close to 1. The latter possesses a relatively lower BET surface area of 150 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$. The lone pair electrons of the heteroatoms can serve as electron donors to accelerate I$_3$ reduction. Additionally, the doped atoms in the carbon structure can induce defects, increasing the ion-accessible surface area.

The efficiency of the electrocatalytic activities of the counter electrode can also be determined by the peak current density ($I_p$) and peak-to-peak separation ($E_{pp}$) values from the cyclic voltammetry experiment. However, most of the results are presented as qualitative instead of quantitative. The exact values are determined by parameters such as scan rate and electrolyte, among other similar parameters. For instance, the values are always compared to the Pt-based counter electrode. The more negative the $I_p$ value is, the smoother the reduction reaction at the electrolyte/electrolyte interface. The same applies to $E_{pp}$, where a smaller $E_{pp}$ value implies that the electrocatalytic activity is better. In all cases, the natural product-based electrodes have $\eta_{\text{ad}}$ values close to 1, and $I_p$ and $E_{pp}$ values close to those of Pt-based electrodes.

Next, we discuss the counter electrode with intended modifications. The modifications include the incorporation of conducting materials and/or incorporation of more active catalytic materials. As seen from Table 3, generally, the natural product/composite materials exhibit excellent $\eta_{\text{ad}}$ compared to natural product materials with significantly lower $R_{ct}$ values compared to naturally-produced electrode materials. As an example, carbon material from orange fiber incorporated with CoS nanoparticles exhibits a $\eta_{\text{ad}}$ of 1.07 and PCE of 5.94%, with a lower BET surface of ca. 10 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ [185]. The PCE value can be explained by the enhanced electrocatalytic activities of CoS$_x$ compounds. Such is the case, as different phases of cobalt sulfide have been investigated as an excellent oxygen evolution reaction (OER) electrocatalysts due to the overpotential for the OER [186]. For this reason, it can be confirmed that CoS$_x$ plays a vital role in the enhanced electrocatalytic activity of I$_3$ reduction instead of the orange fiber carbonaceous material because the carbonaceous material is able to exhibit a low BET surface.

A similar enhancement of the counter electrode electrocatalytic activity is also achieved by porous carbon/metal oxide composite materials. The Yun research group from Xi’an University of Architecture and Technology published a set of works on natural products and waste-based counter electrodes with various metal oxides and a metal carbide [173, 174, 175, 176, 177, 178, 179, 180, 181, 182]. Most of the works employed aloe peel as the source of biomass. Even without any inorganic materials, the resulting porous materials from aloe peel achieved remarkably excellent DSSC performance. A better DSSC performance can be achieved by incorporating inorganic compounds as inorganic materials can be well dispersed throughout porous carbon materials. As noted by the authors, the oxide enhances the catalytic activities since the band alignment of the inorganic materials matches those of the I$_3$ reduction potential. Combining the high surface area of the porous carbon material with the excellent electronic properties of the inorganic materials is proven to decrease the $R_{ct}$ values to below 5 0Ωcm$^2$. Therefore, inorganic materials and natural product-based materials have synergistic effects.

The second class of additives is conducting materials. These additive materials include Nafion, PEDOT:PSS, and acetylene/carbon black. Nafion and PEDOT:PSS are well-known conducting polymers containing sulfonic acid functional groups that can act as proton conductors. The conducting polymer can also serve as the binder of the counter electrode material to the FTO glass. Yeh et al. proposed that the interactions between Nafion and electrode materials are due to their hydrophobic interactions [187].

It may be better to introduce a functional group to the carbonaceous material of the counter electrode material. An alternative would be to extract the chemically functionalized carbonaceous materials, as shown in Ref. [188] for Nafion additives/binders. The incorporation of Nafion into the counter electrode is proven to enhance the PCE of the DSSC, as in the work of Yeh et al. [187]. Yeh et al. compared the performance of graphene with graphene/Nafion-based DSSCs. Incorporating Nafion into the graphene counter electrode is proven to increase the PCE from 4.58 to 7.69%. Chung et al. extracted N-doped porous carbon from ground coffee waste combined with Nafion for DSSC counter electrodes [188]. Owing to the large surface area of the porous carbon, increasing the ion-accessible surface area due to the doped nitrogen, the PCE reaches as high as 8.32% with a $\eta_{\text{ad}}$ of 1.03, meaning that the N-doped porous carbon has a comparable efficiency with the Pt electrode, which is also consistent with the electrocatalytic properties of the material with a very low $R_{ct}$ of 0.5 0Ω cm$^2$.

Figure 9. Distribution of $\eta_{\text{ad}}$ and $R_{ct}$ with correlation to $S_{\text{BET}}$ of the natural product-based counter electrode without additive.
4.4. Remarks on natural carbon for dye-sensitized solar cells

Carbon, as an abundant material, has great potential to be developed and become desirable counter-electrode for DSSC. A replacement for platinum is needed for DSSC production. Research trends on carbon utilization for DSSC counter electrodes are still growing and show impressive performance improvements; for example, the modification of carbon into porous carbon with a large surface area or other modifications to increase the catalytic performance toward the redox couple species.

5. Green synthesis metal oxide semiconductor for photoanodes

ZnO and TiO2 are the most common semiconductors that are used in DSSCs. Generally, the semiconductor provides the surface for dye adsorption, collecting electrons from the excited dye and conducting the electrons toward the external circuit [1, 2, 3]. The synthesis of metal and metal oxide nanoparticles using green biological methods is a preferred synthesis method compared to physical and chemical methods. Green methods are cost-effective, environmentally friendly, and easily processed. Based on our records, the use of green-synthesized ZnO or TiO2 for DSSC applications is still limited; however, a recent study shows that green synthesis can facilitate the desired oxide particle structure and shape for DSSC applications [189]. Below we review various methods for the preparation of ZnO and TiO2 through a green approach.

5.1. Synthesis of ZnO using plant extract

Anbuvannan et al. synthesized ZnO nanoparticles using Anisochilus carnosus leaf extract. Zinc nitrate as a precursor was added to the leaf extract at 60 °C. The mixture was subsequently boiled for 20 min until the color became dark yellow. The obtained paste was annealed at 400 °C for two hours to obtain ZnO nanoparticles. The morphology and size of the obtained ZnO were confirmed by TEM. It was revealed that a majority of the ZnO nanoparticles were quasi-spherical with sizes ranging from 30 to 40 nm. The photocatalytic performance showed that it enhanced the degradation of methylene blue under UV irradiation. Green-synthesized ZnO exhibited potential antibacterial properties against several pathogens [190].

ZnO nanoparticles may also be synthesized using aqueous Cassia fistula plant extract. The Cassia fistula plant extract was used as a fuel obtained by the solution combustion method. Zn(NO3)2·6H2O was dissolved in the aqueous plant extract. The mixture was kept in a pre-heated muffle furnace at 400 °C for approximately five minutes. Based on the TEM image, the particles consisted of many agglomerated particles and sponges in a form similar to irregularly shaped particles with an average crystallite size of 5–15 nm. The obtained ZnO nanoparticles showed adequate performance in methylene blue dye under sunlight and UV illumination, in addition to displaying significant antioxidant properties [191].

The same solution combustion method was applied to green-synthesized ZnO nanoparticles using an aqueous extract of Abutilon indicum. Zinc nitrate hexahydrate (Zn(NO3)2·6H2O) was mixed with the aqueous extract. After constant stirring for 2–5 min, the mixture was kept in a preheated muffle furnace at a temperature of 200 ± 5 °C for 2–3 min. After being filtered and rinsed, the ZnO nanoparticles were calcined for two hours. The obtained ZnO nanoparticles were homogeneous and equally distributed over the surface with a grain size of 16.72 nm and bandgap energy of 3.37 eV. The obtained ZnO nanoparticles demonstrated effective performance in degrading Acid Black 234. ZnO nanoparticles also display antioxidant and anticancer properties [192].

Another work carried out by Thema et al. was to synthesize ZnO nanoparticles using Agathosma betulina plant extract. Zinc nitrate hexahydrate (Zn(NO3)2·6H2O), which was used as a zinc precursor, was mixed with the leaf extract and heated at 100 °C for two hours. The obtained precipitate was washed, dried, and annealed at various temperatures from 100–500 °C for two hours. It was found that 500 °C was the optimal temperature for obtaining highly crystalline ZnO nanocrystals. The HRTEM image shows that the optimized sample consisted of quasi-spherical agglomerated nanoscale particles with diameters ranging from 12 to 26 nm. That nanoparticle exhibited a good varistor response [193].

ZnO nanoparticles were successfully synthesized using Carica papaya leaf extract and applied for photocatalytic and photovoltaic applications. The papaya leaf extract was added to a zinc acetate dihydrate solution at 60 °C and pH 8 before being stirred for two hours to synthesize ZnO nanoparticles. The washed precipitate was subsequently dried at 80 °C for 12 h. The synthesized ZnO nanoparticles had a prismatic hexagonal wurtzite structure inside spheres with a diameter of approximately 50 nm. The photocactivity results revealed that ZnO nanoparticles completely degraded methylene blue dye within 180 min under UV irradiation while showing an energy conversion efficiency of 1.6% and a current density of 81 mA cm−2 [194].

Most research shows that green-synthesized ZnO nanoparticles obtained nanocrystals in a spherical shape. However, several researchers reported that ZnO nanorods could be successfully prepared using a green synthesis approach. Li Fu and Zhuxian Fu synthesized ZnO nanorods mediated by Plectranthus amboinicus leaf extract. Zinc nitrate was mixed with the leaf extract and stirred at 80 °C for four hours. The obtained pale white precipitate was centrifuged, washed, and calcined at 200 °C for one hour. SEM characterization showed that ZnO nanoparticles had a rod-shaped structure with an average particle size of 88 nm. The photocatalytic activity study revealed that biosynthesized ZnO had an excellent photocatalytic performance in methyl red degradation under UV irradiation compared to chemically-synthesized ZnO nanoparticles [195].

ZnO nanoparticles with various shapes were successfully synthesized using Phylanthus niruri leaf extract. Zinc nitrate was added to the boiled leaf extract at 60 °C after the stem turned yellow. The obtained paste was then calcined at 400 °C for two hours to obtain a light white-colored powder. FESEM analysis showed that ZnO nanoparticles had rectangular, triangular, radial hexagonal, rod, and spherical shapes with an average size of 25.61 nm. Biosynthesized ZnO showed excellent photocatalytic activity for methylene blue reduction and has many potential applications as semiconductor, pyroelectric, piezoelectric, catalyst, and optoelectronic, as well for phytochemical, and pharmacological, and medical purposes [196].

5.2. Synthesis of ZnO using microorganism

Aspergillus fumigatus JCF fungi successfully mediated green-synthesized ZnO nanoparticles. ZnSO4 was added as a precursor to the fungal filtrate (pH 6.5) and incubated at 72 °C for 72 h in an orbital shaker. The obtained white precipitate was centrifuged and lyophilized. The obtained white precipitate was centrifuged and lyophilized. SEM images revealed that the prepared ZnO nanoparticles were spherical with an average size of 60–80 nm [197].

Jayaseelan et al. successfully synthesized ZnO nanoparticles using Aeromonas hydrophila bacteria. ZnO was added to the bacterial culture solution and incubated at 30 °C for 24 h in a shaker incubator. After being cooled and incubated at room temperature for approximately 12–48 h, white deposits were collected. The obtained ZnO nanoparticles were smooth and had a spherical shape. This suggests that it has significant antibacterial and antiinfluenza properties [198].

Another green synthesis method to prepare ZnO nanoparticles is by using the yeast strain Pichia kudriavzevi, a method that was successfully carried out by Moghaddam et al. Zinc acetate dihydrate (Zn(CH3COO)2·2H2O) was mixed with fungal cell-free filtrate and incubated at 35 °C in a shaking incubator and agitated for 12, 24, and 36 h. The obtained white precipitate was centrifuged and dried at 150 °C for six hours. The results from TEM analysis showed that the average particle sizes were 10 ± 2.08, 32 ± 4.7, and 59 ± 10.6 nm for incubation times of 12, 24, and 36 h, respectively. It was observed that the ZnO nanoparticles tended to agglomerate due to high surface energy [199].
5.3. Synthesis of TiO₂ using plant extract

TiO₂ nanoparticles were synthesized by Goutam et al. using a leaf extract of *Jatropha curcas* L. [200]. Titanium chloride (TiCl₄) was used as a precursor. 80 mL of 0.5 M TiCl₄ was added to 80 mL of filtered leaf extract at a ratio of 1:1 (v/v) with continuous stirring for 20 min at room temperature. After adding an ammonia solution, the precipitates were separated, washed, dried, and calcined at 450 °C for three hours. The obtained TiO₂ nanoparticles were spherical with a diameter ranging from 10–20 nm [200]. The nanoparticles were later applied for the simultaneous removal of chemical oxygen demand (COD) and chromium (Cr) from secondary treated tannery wastewater (TWW). The results gave removals of 82.26 and 76.48% for COD and Cr, respectively [200].

Santhoshkumar et al. synthesized TiO₂ nanoparticles using *Psidium guajava* aqueous leaf extract, and before being concluded, TiO₂ nanoparticles were efficacious as antibacterial and antioxidant agents. TiO(OH)₂ was used as the precursor. The pure TiO(OH)₂ and aqueous leaf extract were stirred for several hours until the solution turned light green. The synthesized TiO₂ nanoparticles showed a spherical shape and clusters with an average size of 32.58 nm TiO₂ nanoparticles exhibited better antibacterial properties when than the standard antibiotic disk. TiO₂ nanoparticles were also advantageous in terms of antibacterial properties compared to tetracycline, with a maximum zone of 25 and 23 mm inhibition when faced against *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Escherichia coli*, respectively [201].

Patidar et al. prepared TiO₂ nanoparticles using *Moringa oleifera* leaf extract. Anatase TiO₂ with an average crystallite size of 12.22 nm was obtained. As the precursor, titanium tetraisopropoxide (TTIP) was mixed with the ethanolic leaf extract and stirred for four hours. After centrifugation and washing, the TiO₂ nanoparticles were dried, ground, and calcined at 500 °C for approximately five hours [202].

Another work of synthesizing TiO₂ using a biosynthesis approach was carried out by Subhappriya S and Gomathipriya P. TiO₂ nanoparticles were synthesized using *Trigonella foenum-graecum* extract, and their antibacterial properties were studied. The extract was added to titanium oxysulfate for 15 min before dropping 1 M sodium hydroxide into the solution until pH 8 was reached. The washed precipitate was calcined at 700 °C for three hours to obtain crystalline TiO₂ nanoparticles. The obtained TiO₂ nanoparticles had a spherical shape with a size ranging between 20 and 90 nm. It also showed excellent antibacterial properties toward *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Enterococcus faecalis*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Streptococcus faecalis*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Escherichia coli*, *Proteus vulgaris*, *Bacillus subtilis*, *Yersinia enterocolitica*, and the fungus *Candida albicans* [203].

Rajkumari et al. synthesized TiO₂ nanoparticles by using an aqueous leaf extract of Aloe barbadensis. They also studied the antibiofilm potential against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PA01. In the synthesis procedure, the leaf extract was added by droplets into titanium chloride (TiCl₄) at pH 7 and stirred for three hours. The washed precipitate was then dried at 100 °C for seven hours. The obtained TiO₂ nanoparticles had a spherical shape with a size ranging from 20 to 50 nm, as confirmed by SEM and TEM analysis. The biosynthesized TiO₂ nanoparticles were found to exhibit a high antibiofilm efficacy against *P. aeruginosa* [204].

The most recent research was conducted by Senthumarani et al. [189]. They prepared TiO₂ particle using the pineapple, orange, and grape fruit extracts. The grape extract facilitates the formation of the TiO₂ nanotube structure. As a result, this sample obtained the highest power conversion efficiency (4.33%) in comparison to the other extracts when built as a DSSC device using N4719 as a sensitizer.

5.4. Synthesis TiO₂ using microorganism

TiO₂ nanoparticles were successfully synthesized using *Aspergillus flavus* TFR 7 fungi. First, mycelia were developed from fungal cultivation. The reaped mycelia were resuspended in demineralized water and incubated for 48 h at 28 °C to obtain a cell-free filtrate containing extracellular enzymes. The filtrate was mixed with titanium precursor salt and incubated at 150 rpm and 28 °C to obtain TiO₂ nanoparticles. The acquired TiO₂ nanoparticles had an average particle size of approximately 18 nm, as observed by DLS analysis [205].

Another work from Khan et al. showed that *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* cultivation could be used to synthesize TiO₂ nanoparticles. TiO₅O₂ was used as a titanium precursor. It was added to the prepared medium containing cultivated bacteria and incubated for 24 h at 37 °C. The gathered white precipitate was centrifuged, washed, and calcined at 500 °C for three hours. The synthesized TiO₂ nanoparticles were spherical with a size ranging from 22.11 to 99.28 nm, as observed by TEM analysis. The photocatalytic activity of doped and undoped biosynthesized TiO₂ nanoparticles to degrade the RR31 dye under UV irradiation at room temperature was tested. The results suggested that all samples were capable of degrading a photocatalytic RR31 dye [206].

The synthesis of TiO₂ nanoparticles was successfully mediated by baker’s yeast (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*). A TiO(OH)₂ solution was added to the cultivated yeast solution. Consequently, it was heated in a steam bath at 60 °C for 10–20 min. After being cooled and incubated at room temperature for approximately 12–48 h, the TiO₂ nanoparticles were found as-deposited white clusters at the bottom of the tube. The obtained TiO₂ nanoparticles were almost spherical at 12.57 ± 0.22 nm, as confirmed by TEM [207].

5.5. TiO₂ synthesis using aqueous solution approach

Green-synthesized TiO₂ can be achieved using a modified sol-gel method known as the peroxo sol-gel method. In this method, water is used as a solvent instead of organic solvents, and pH 7 is required as a synthesized condition [208, 209]. Gao et al. successfully synthesized micropatterns of TiO₂ thin films using this method. H₂TiO₄ was used as a titanium precursor, and it was dissolved in a mixture that contained ammonia and H₂O₂ aqueous solution. The obtained thin film was smooth and crack-free, formed by the coalescence of nanosized particles of 10–20 nm in diameter [208].

Thin films of TiO₂ were successfully prepared using this green sol-gel method carried out by Ge et al. Titanium sulfate (TiOSO₄·nH₂O), H₂O₂, and ammonia were used as the starting materials. The obtained yellow and transparent PTA solution was used as a coating solution. The TiO₂-coated substrate was successively calcined at 500 °C for 30 min. SEM analysis showed that the TiO₂ thin film was uniformly comprised of spherical particles with an average particle size of 30–40 nm. The thin film showed the best photocatalytic activity, reaching 99% methyl orange degradation under 160 min of UV irradiation [210].

Another green synthesis approach is by using an “aqueous” solution. This chemical method was applied successfully in aqueous electrophotoretic deposition. Benehkokal et al. used this method to prepare TiO₂–ZnO composite photoanodes for DSSCs. Zn(NO₃)₂ was added to a suspension of TiO₂ powder and an isopropanol-water mixture. Subsequently, the suspension was sonicated for one and a half hours before electrophotoretic deposition (EPD). Next, the obtained layer was dried at room temperature and could be used for multiple characterizations [211].

5.6. Remarks on green synthesis metal oxide

Oxide semiconductor synthesis through the green synthesis approach has the advantage of controlling the particle size and shape. Among the other green synthesis methods, microorganism synthesis assistance has the most difficulty. The synthesis step is tricky and requires time. Green synthesis using plant extracts seems more promising. The scale-up of this process requires the plantation land planning to supply the resources.

6. Conclusion

The synthesis, characterization, and performance of natural product-based DSSCs have been reviewed in depth. Overall, there are some
inconsistencies in the DSSC performance across the literature, mainly due to the different types of components used. However, the detailed atomic-level understanding of the electronic process that occurs among the components remains poorly understood and needs to be further explored.

Natural dyes need to be modified to obtain a better performance. Most modifications were concerned with improving the electronic properties and the other limitation of natural dyes, including dye stability, dye aggregation, and weak interaction with the oxide semiconductor surface. A computational study is among the best methods to investigate the electronic properties at the molecular level and the charge transport process.

Natural biopolymers are promising for the development of quasi-solid DSSCs. The quasi-solid DSSC minimizes the decrease in cell performance due to volatilization issues. The major problem with using natural biopolymers is their low conductivity. The low conductivity issue has been overcome by looking for a suitable redox couple, adding the ionic liquid, or adding another additive. Theoretical and computational studies regarding the charge transfer process in polyelectrolyte gel systems are limited and challenging to explore.

Natural carbon-based counter electrodes have become promising candidates for replacing more expensive Pt-based counter electrodes. It appears that a DSSC with a porous carbon-based counter electrode with a large surface area gives a comparable performance to the Pt-based electrode. The low-surface area natural product-based electrode can achieve a remarkably good DSSC performance if the extracted material is combined with electrocatalytically active inorganic and/or conductive materials.

There is always a trade-off between the performance, production cost, and environmental aspects of natural product-based DSSC fabrication. Most of the authors cited in this paper argue that natural products are readily available in the surroundings as wastes or byproducts. One issue regarding the utilization of natural products is their inhomogeneous content, which can bring either benefits or drawbacks to the extraction processes of the intended material and DSSC performances. The impurities contained in the source must be removed using harsh chemicals if necessary. On the other hand, impurities can also benefit DSSC performances.

Another issue is the reproducibility of the material extracted from the natural product, where the material obtained can have different structures and properties. Additionally, the choice of the other components has to be taken into account. Therefore, to prepare natural-based DSSCs, parameters such as cost, chemical requirements, and environmental aspects must be considered to achieve proper DSSC performance.

Finally, we note that it remains plausible to improve DSSC performance by incorporating natural product-derived materials into DSSC components. If the cost and chemical precursors are not taken into account, we can employ naturally-derived porous carbon and green-synthesized semiconductors in DSSC devices. However, the dyes and electrolytes must still come from commercial products.
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