Seropositivity to Campylobacter and association with abortion and lamb mortality in maiden ewes from Western Australia, South Australia and Victoria
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This case-control study investigated associations between Campylobacter fetus or Campylobacter jejuni titre and reproductive outcomes in 22 flocks of Merino and non-Merino maiden ewes aged 1–2 years old. Campylobacter titres were also determined for multiparous ewes aged 3 years or older on the same farms. C. fetus ‘positivity’ (titre ≥1:10) was detected for 12% (57/462; 95% confidence interval [95% CI] 9.6 to 15.6) of maiden ewes and 31% (65/210; 95% CI 25.0 to 37.4) of mature ewes. The odds for failing to rear a lamb in C. fetus–exposed maiden ewes (titre ≥1:10) was 2.01 times that of seronegative ewes (95% CI 1.09 to 3.77; \( P = 0.027 \)), but there was no association between C. fetus ‘positivity’ (titre ≥1:80) and failure to rise (OR 1.69; 95% CI 0.77 to 3.76; \( P = 0.191 \)). C. fetus abortions were confirmed with microbial culture in one maiden ewe flock. In this flock, C. fetus titres fluctuated and often waned by lamb marking, highlighting the value of necropsies during abortion investigations. C. jejuni ‘positivity’ (titre ≥1:80) was detected for 44% (204/462; 95% CI 39.7 to 48.7) maiden ewes, but odds of failing to rear were decreased for C. jejuni ‘positive’ ewes (OR 0.52; 95% CI 0.32 to 0.83; \( P = 0.007 \)). The association between Campylobacter serology and the reproductive outcome was inconsistent in these flocks. Serology should be considered in the context of other risk factors and used in conjunction with other strategies to investigate the impact of Campylobacter exposure on ewe reproductive performance such as monitoring for abortions and lamb necropsies to determine aetiological diagnosis, and vaccination trials.
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against Campylobacter spp. reported individual animal seroprevalence of 30% for C. fetus (titre ≥1:10) and 41% for C. jejuni (titre ≥1:80) for ewes across the major sheep production regions of Australia.19 This was consistent with data from veterinary laboratories indicating that Campylobacter abortions are diagnosed for sheep located across different states of Australia in most years.9, 10 However, interpreting the pathological significance of serology results is complicated because Campylobacter spp. are commonly isolated from the gastrointestinal tract of clinically healthy sheep.20–23 An improved understanding of Campylobacter antibody dynamics in relation to sheep reproductive outcomes will improve our ability to estimate the impacts of Campylobacter on the health and productivity of sheep based on serological studies, and support veterinarians in making evidence-based recommendations on disease management based on serology.

The aims of this study were to: (1) investigate associations between seropositivity to C. fetus and C. jejuni and reproductive outcomes for maiden ewes, and (2) determine appropriate strategies for estimating the impact of campylobacteriosis on abortion and lamb mortality using ewe serology.

**Materials and methods**

All procedures were conducted according to guidelines of the Australian Code of Practice for the Use of Animals for Scientific Purposes and were approved by the Murdoch University Animal Ethics Committee (R3004/17). Consent to participate was provided by the owners of the sheep included in this study.

**Animals, study sites and management**

This case-control study was nested within a larger cohort study, which involved monitoring maiden ewes during pregnancy and lambing as described by Clune et al.24 A subset of 22 flocks from 21 farms that had not received Campylobacter spp. vaccination was included in this study. Maiden ewes were joined for an average of 39 days, ranging from 17 to 54 days. These flocks were located across a range of geographic regions and rainfall zones across Western Australia (WA) (n = 11), South Australia (SA) (n = 6) and Victoria (VIC) (n = 5; Table 1; Figure 1). Briefly, data (including condition score, liveweight and reproductive outcome) were collected for approximately 200 ewes per flock over a single breeding season between 2018 and 2020. Flock 3 (2018) and flock 14 (2019) were located on the same farm, but all other flocks were on different farms. Farms were selected based on the following inclusion criteria: sufficient maiden ewes (approximately 200 mated), ability to monitor ewes and their progeny over the study period, and sheep genotype and management that were generally representative of standard commercial sheep farms in the region. Some stud flocks were included in the study which may have increased the frequency of monitoring relative to commercial flocks, but stocking rate (density) and housing were broadly comparable to commercial sheep flocks in these regions. Flock reference codes were assigned in order of recruitment for the larger cohort study.24 Flocks that had received Campylobacter spp. vaccination were subsequently excluded from this study; hence the flock reference codes are not sequential (Table 1).

Maiden ewes were mated as either ewe lambs (7–10 months, n = 12 flocks) or maiden hoggets (18–20 months, n = 10 flocks), with both Merino and non-Merino ewes included in the study (Tables 1 and 2). Ewes in this study were not vaccinated against Campylobacter spp. However, some farms had other cohorts of ewes on the same property that had received Coopers Ovilis® Campyvax® Campylobacter vaccine for sheep (Coopers, MSD Animal Health, VIC, Aust). Each farm ran self-replacing flocks (i.e., ewes were born and raised on the study farm) and maiden ewes were managed extensively as per standard farm practice. At each farm, 10–20 unvaccinated, multiparous ewes aged 3 years or older that had been bred on the farm were randomly selected for blood sampling at a single time-point during the study period.

**Determination of reproductive outcome**

The reproductive outcome for maiden ewes was determined using two sequential transabdominal pregnancy ultrasounds (scans) plus observations at lambing rounds and lamb marking as previously described by Clune et al.24 Briefly, pregnancy scans were conducted at approximately 85 days (range 62–101; scan 1) and 118 days (range 107–136; scan 2) from the start of mating. Pregnancy scanning for foetal number and viability was performed by experienced researchers, veterinarians or private contractors. The birth type (single, twin or triplet) and survival status (dead or alive) for lambs were recorded within 24 h of birth. Lamb survival and ewe lactation status (lactating or not) were recorded at lamb marking approximately 6 weeks from the start of lambing.

Lamb mortality was calculated based on the number of foetuses identified at scan 1 and the number of lambs marked. Mortalities were classified as ‘mid-pregnancy abortion’ based on evidence of pregnancy loss between scan 1 and scan 2, plus validation with lambing records (no lamb allocated to ewe at lambing inspections) and ewe lactation status (ewe not lactating at lamb marking). During pregnancy, ewes were inspected by farm staff at least twice weekly by observing the ewes in their paddocks. This included observation for evidence of breech staining, foetal membranes or aborted/premature lambs. For flocks where mid-pregnancy abortion was detected at scan 2, farm staff were alerted to the possibility of detecting aborted foetuses and the ewes were subsequently checked at least every second day. Ewes that were pregnant at scan 1 but did not have a lamb survive to marking were categorised as ‘failed to rear’ (Table 2). ‘Failed to rear’ included ewes that aborted or had lambs die during the perinatal period as determined by repeat ultrasound, lambing round records, lamb marking records (no live lamb allocated to ewe present at marking) and ewe lactation status at lamb marking (ewe not lactating).

**Blood sample collection and lamb necropsies**

Blood samples were collected for maiden and mature ewes as previously described.25, 26 Briefly, blood samples were collected for all maiden ewes at five time-points: pre-mating, scan 1, scan 2, pre-lambing (approximately 140 days from the start of mating) and lamb marking. Blood samples for mature ewes (age 3 years or older) were collected at a single time-point during the study period. Reproductive status, timing of sampling relative to lambing, reproductive outcome and reproductive history were not recorded for mature ewes.
Blood samples were not collected for mature ewes at farm 20 because unvaccinated mature ewes were not available. All blood samples were obtained by jugular venepuncture into serum vacutainer tubes with a clot activator. Samples were stored on ice or at 2°C/4°C before being centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 10 min. Serum was decanted into 2 mL storage tubes and stored at −20°C prior to serological testing.

If abortions were observed, the aborted foetus and/or foetal membranes were collected for necropsy. Lambs that died during the lambing period were collected for necropsy from a subset of flocks from WA (flocks 1, 2, 3, 7, 11, 14, 16) as previously described by Clune et al.  

**Sample selection for case-control study**

The sample size needed for the case-control study to detect an odds ratio (OR) of 2 was 220 ewes in each group assuming 10% of control ewes had a *C. fetus* titre ≥1:80 at 95% confidence level and 80% power. As 22 farms were included, this sample size was achieved with 10 maiden ewe case-control pairs per farm.

A subsample of maiden ewes that raised lambs (n = 10 ewes) and failed to raise lambs (n ≥ 10 ewes) were selected for serological testing for each flock (Additional files 1 and 2). Serum samples obtained at lamb marking were used for serology except where samples at marking were not available because the ewe was removed from the study flock by the farmer after abortion was detected. In these cases, samples collected at the latest available timepoint after abortion was detected were used for serology (i.e., serum sample collected at scan 2 or pre-lambing).

For the flock with *C. fetus* abortions confirmed by microbial culture (flock 19), *C. fetus* serology was also conducted for samples collected at previous timepoints for maiden ewes which had *C. fetus* titres ≥1:10 at the latest available timepoint (Additional file 3).

### Table 1. Location of farms, historical average annual rainfall, ewe breed, frequency of mid-pregnancy abortion between scan 1 and scan 2 and overall foetal/lamb mortality between scan 1 and lamb marking for maiden ewe lambs and hoggets in southern Australia between 2018 and 2020

| Flock reference | Location            | Rainfall (mm/annum) | Breed        | Mid-pregnancy abortion% (ewes) | Overall foetus and/or lamb mortality% (foetuses) |
|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Ewe lambs       |                     |                     |              |                               |                                               |
| 3               | Narrogin, WA        | 545                 | Composite    | 7.4                           | 37.8<sup>d</sup>                              |
| 4               | York, WA            | 392                 | Composite    | 1.5                           | 23.0                                          |
| 7               | Kojonup, WA         | 530                 | Composite    | 0.7                           | 27.7<sup>d</sup>                              |
| 8               | Katanning, WA       | 444                 | Merino       | 1.2                           | 33.0                                          |
| 11              | Kojonup WA          | 530                 | Dorper       | 0.0                           | 27.0<sup>d</sup>                              |
| 14<sup>d</sup>  | Narrogin, WA        | 545                 | Composite    | 23.8                          | 59.0<sup>d</sup>                              |
| 16              | Ongerup, WA         | 387                 | White Suffolk| 2.9                           | 33.8<sup>d</sup>                              |
| 19              | Nareen, VIC         | 691                 | Composite    | 8.5                           | 50.5<sup>d</sup>                              |
| 20              | Cashmore, VIC       | 841                 | Composite    | 4.3                           | 41.1                                          |
| 23              | Kangaroo Island, SA | 530                 | Composite    | 1.8                           | 18.1                                          |
| 25              | Sellicks Hill, SA   | 493                 | Composite    | 1.4                           | 65.5                                          |
| 30              | Strathalbyn, SA     | 490                 | Border Leicester | 1.3                         | 37.7                                          |
| Hoggets         |                     |                     |              |                               |                                               |
| 1               | Kojonup, WA         | 530                 | Merino       | 0                             | 19.7<sup>d</sup>                              |
| 2               | Kojonup, WA         | 530                 | Merino       | 0                             | 30.1<sup>d</sup>                              |
| 5               | Korunye, SA         | 364                 | Merino       | 1.1                           | 27.4                                          |
| 9               | Watervale, SA       | 650                 | Merino       | 0                             | 21.7                                          |
| 10              | Broomehill, WA      | 446                 | Merino       | 0                             | 26.3                                          |
| 12              | Taree, SA           | 469                 | Merino       | 1.1                           | 28.6                                          |
| 13              | Giffard West, VIC   | 662                 | Merino       | 0.9                           | 52.7                                          |
| 15              | Katanning, WA       | 444                 | Merino       | 0.5                           | 25.4                                          |
| 26              | Culla, VIC          | 579                 | Merino       | 4.4                           | 38.9                                          |
| 29              | Ballarat, VIC       | 686                 | Merino       | 2.2                           | 23.3                                          |

<sup>a</sup> Ewes with mid-pregnancy abortion between scan 1 and scan 2 as the proportion (%) of ewes scanned pregnant at scan 1. Includes all causes of mid-pregnancy abortion (i.e., not specific to campylobacteriosis).

<sup>b</sup> Overall foetal and lamb loss between scan 1 and lamb marking expressed as proportion (%) foetuses detected at scan 1. Includes all causes of foetal/lamb mortality (i.e., not specific to campylobacteriosis).

<sup>c</sup> Same farm – primiparous ewes tested in 2018 (flock 3) and 2019 (flock 14).

<sup>d</sup> Tissues from aborted or stillborn lambs submitted for *Campylobacter* spp. microbial culture and/or qPCR.

qPCR, quantitative polymerase chain reaction; SA, South Australia; VIC, Victoria; WA, Western Australia.
Serology
Serological testing was performed by ACE Laboratory Services, Bendigo, VIC, Australia. Antibody titres for C. fetus and C. jejuni were determined using an Agar Gel Immunodiffusion test. Titres ≥1:10 were categorised as ‘exposed’ and ≥1:80 were categorised as ‘positive’ as previously described.17, 18, 28

Campylobacter spp. detection in tissues from aborted and stillborn lambs
Aborted (n = 2) and stillborn (n = 33) lambs were recovered from a subset of seven maiden ewe flocks (flocks 1, 2, 3, 7, 11, 14, 16) in WA (Table 1). Tissue samples were submitted to the Department of Primary Industry and Regional Development Diagnostic Laboratory Services, Perth, WA and screened for Campylobacter spp. using quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) and microbial culture methods as previously reported.27 Three aborted foetuses were opportunistically recovered from one flock in VIC (flock 19) and submitted to the Veterinary Diagnostic Services Laboratory, VIC (Department of Jobs, Precincts and Regions, Bundoora, VIC, Aust).

Statistical analyses
Lamb mortality was calculated for each flock based on the number of foetuses identified at scan 1 and the number of lambs marked. Mid-pregnancy abortion was expressed as a proportion (%) using the number of ewes with pregnancy loss between scan 1 and scan 2 as a proportion of the number of ewes that were confirmed pregnant at scan 1.

Titres ≥1:10 were categorised as ‘exposed’ and ≥1:80 were categorised as ‘positive’ (Table 2). A farm or flock was classified as seropositive if at least one ewe had a titre above the specified threshold.

Seropositivity proportion was calculated based on the number of samples with a titre at or above the specified titre cut-off as a proportion (%) of the samples tested. Seropositivity proportions were compared using a Pearson Chi-squared test (two-tailed). The seropositivity 95% confidence interval (CI) was determined using Jeffrey’s method.29 The correlation between seropositivity in maiden ewes and adult ewes was determined using bivariate Pearson correlation (two-tailed). For flock 19, where serology was conducted for samples collected at multiple timepoints, titre for pre-joining and marking sample timepoints were compared using Wilcoxon matched pair-signed rank test (two-tailed).

ORs for failing to raise a lamb were calculated for (1) ‘exposed’ maiden ewes compared to ewes that were not exposed (titre <1:10), and (2) ‘positive’ maiden ewes compared to non-positive ewes (titre
For the subset of maiden ewes (at least one maiden or mature with titre ≥1:10) and 12/21 (66%) farms were ‘positive’ (at least one ewe with titre ≥1:80; Additional file 1).

There was a trend to a higher proportion of *C. fetus* ‘positive’ flocks (at least one ewe in respective age category with titre ≥1:80) for mature ewes (13/20 flocks, 65%) compared to maiden ewes (8/22 flocks, 36%, P = 0.061, Additional file 1). There was no difference in the proportion of ‘positive’ ewe lamb flocks (4/12 flocks, 33%) compared to maiden hogget flocks (4/10 flocks, 40%; P = 0.774).

The proportion of sampled maiden ewes that were *C. fetus* ‘exposed’ and ‘positive’ is shown in Table 3. Within maiden ewe flocks, up to 100% of sampled ewes were *C. fetus* ‘exposed’ (Additional file 1). The proportion of ‘positive’ ewes ranged from 4.8% to 80% for the 8/22 flocks that had at least one ‘positive’ ewe. The proportion of ewes ‘exposed’ or ‘positive’ to *C. fetus* was higher for mature ewes compared to maiden ewes at both titre thresholds (Table 3). There was no difference in the proportion of ‘positive’ ewe lambs for ewe lambs compared to maiden hoggets (P = 0.163). There were trends towards weak positive correlations between the proportion of *C. fetus* ‘exposed’ (r = 0.381, P = 0.088) or ‘positive’ maiden ewes (r = 0.42, P = 0.057) compared to mature ewes on the same farm, noting that maiden ewes were selected based on case-control sampling and mature ewes were randomly selected.

### Association between seropositivity to *C. fetus* and reproductive outcome

‘Exposed’ maiden ewes had 2.0 higher odds of failing to rear than ewes with no evidence of exposure when adjusted for farm effects (P = 0.027; Table 4 and Additional file 4). In maiden ewes that failed to rear a lamb, an extra 8.1% of ewes were ‘exposed’ to *C. fetus* compared to maiden ewes that raised lambs (32.2% vs. 24.1%; P = 0.054; Additional file 5).

There was no evidence of increased odds for failing to rear for ‘positive’ maiden ewes compared to ewes with *C. fetus* titre <1:80 (P = 0.191; Table 4 and Additional file 4). For the subset of ewe lamb flocks, ‘positive’ ewe lambs had 2.59 higher odds of failing to rear a lamb compared to ewe lambs with a titre <1:80 (P = 0.047; Table 4) and an extra 9.4% ewes were ‘positive’ for ewe lambs that failed to rear compared to those that reared lambs (18.6% vs. 9.2%; P = 0.03, Additional file 5).

Across the farms, there was considerable variation in the proportion of ‘exposed’ and ‘positive’ ewes in failed to the rear and reared groups (Additional file 5). Flock 19 (where *C. fetus* abortions were confirmed by culture) was the only flock with a significantly higher proportion of *C. fetus* ‘positive’ ewes for those that failed to rear compared to ewes that raised lambs (85% vs. 20%, P < 0.001; Additional file 5). Eight of the 22 maiden flocks had at least one ‘positive’ ewe. In this subset of eight flocks, there was no significant increase in odds of failing to rear for ‘positive’ ewes compared to ewes with *C. fetus* titre <1:80 (OR: 1.69, 95% CI 0.77 to 3.76, P = 0.191 adjusted for flock). However, this should be interpreted with caution due to low statistical power.

ORs for failing to rear a lamb in ewes with evidence of seropositivity to *C. fetus* at different titre cut-offs compared to ewes with a titre of <1:10 are shown in Table 5. There was no significant increase in the

### Results

#### Abortion and lamb mortality for maiden ewe study flocks

Reproductive outcomes for each flock in the larger cohort study are described in more detail by Clune et al.24 For the subset of flocks included in this study, the overall foetal and lamb mortality in maiden ewes (i.e., all causes of mortality between scan 1 and marking) ranged from 18% to 66% for ewe lambs and 20%–53% for hoggets (Table 1). Mid-pregnancy abortion was detected in 11/12 ewe lamb flocks and 6/10 hogget flocks (Table 1). Mid-pregnancy abortion was detected for 5.7% of ewe lambs that were pregnant at scan 1 (220/4351). The frequency of mid-pregnancy abortion for ewe lamb flocks ranged from 0% to 23.8% (Table 1). For hogget flocks, mid-pregnancy abortion was detected in 1.5% of pregnant ewes (16/1886), with the frequency ranging from 0% to 4.4% (Table 1).

#### Campylobacter fetus seropositivity in maiden and mature ewe flocks

* *C. fetus* titres ranged between zero (below detectible limit) and 1:640 in both maiden and mature ewes. Titres ranged between 0 and 1:80 for ewes in most (18/22) maiden flocks, with titres ≥1:160 detected in three flocks from VIC (flocks 19, 20, 26) and one flock from SA (flock 30). No maiden ewes with titres ≥1:10 were detected in four flocks from WA (flocks 3, 7, 8 and 14). However, all farms were ‘exposed’ to *C. fetus* (at least one maiden or mature with titre ≥1:10) and 12/21 (66%) farms were ‘positive’ (at least one ewe with titre ≥1:80; Additional file 1).

| Category            | Definition                                                                 |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Ewe lambs           | Primiparous ewe mated at 7–10 months of age                                |
| Maiden hoggets      | Primiparous ewe mated at 18–20 months of age                              |
| Mature ewes         | Multiparous ewes aged 3-years of age or older                              |
| Fail to rear        | Maiden ewe determined to be pregnant at scan 1 that subsequently failed to rear a lamb to lamb marking |

...
ORs for failing to rear a lamb at the four *C. fetus* titre cut-off levels tested compared to ewes with a titre <1:10 (Table 5).

Detection of *Campylobacter* spp. in tissues from aborted and stillborn lambs

*C. fetus* was cultured from liver, lung and abomasal content from three aborted foetuses opportunistically recovered between scan 2 and pre-lambing from one maiden ewe lamb flock in VIC (flock 19). Dam pedigree was not able to be determined for these aborted foetuses.

Neither *C. fetus* nor *C. jejuni* was detected by qPCR or isolated via culture from samples of aborted or stillborn lambs (*n* = 35) recovered from a subset of seven flocks in WA. Campylobacter* sputorum* and *Campylobacter mucosalis* were detected by qPCR and sequencing in placental samples collected from one farm. These were not detected on microbial cultures and are not considered reproductive pathogens.

Serial *C. fetus* titres in flock 19

*C. fetus* abortions were confirmed in flock 19 based on microbial cultures performed on aborted foetuses recovered from ewe lambs. Titres from these ewes fluctuated over time (Additional file 3). There was a significant increase in titre between mating and lamb marking.

### Table 3. Individual animal seroprevalence with 95% confidence interval (CI) for *C. fetus* and *C. jejuni* in maiden ewe lambs or hoggets selected based on reproductive outcome (raised lambs or failed to rear), and randomly selected mature ewes (*C. fetus* only) across all farms

|                   | Tested (n) | Exposed (titre ≥1:10) | Positive (titre ≥1:80) |
|-------------------|-----------|-----------------------|------------------------|
|                   |           | n         %       95% CI        | n         %       95% CI        |
| **C. fetus**      |           |           |                        |                        |
| Maiden ewe lambs  | 260       | 84        32.3a     26.8 to 38.2 | 37        14.2a     10.4 to 18.9 |
| Maiden hoggets    | 202       | 47        23.3b     17.8 to 29.4 | 20        9.9a      6.3 to 14.6  |
| Mature ewes       | 210       | 114       54.3c     47.5 to 60.9 | 65        31.0b     25.0 to 37.4 |
| Total             | 672       | 245       36.5      32.9 to 40.2 | 122       18.2      15.4 to 21.2 |
| **C. jejuni**     |           |           |                        |                        |
| Maiden ewe lambs  | 260       | 248       95.4a     92.3 to 97.4 | 121       46.5a     40.5 to 52.6  |
| Maiden hoggets    | 202       | 195       96.5a     93.3 to 98.4 | 83        41.1a     34.5 to 48.0  |
| Total             | 462       | 443       95.9      93.8 to 97.4 | 204       44.2      39.7 to 48.7 |

Values within *Campylobacter* species and titre category with different superscript letters are significantly different using two sample z-test to compare sample proportions (two-tailed) *P* < 0.05.

### Table 4. Odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for failing to rear a lamb (FTR) in ewe lambs and maiden hoggets that were ‘exposed’ (titre ≥1:10) or ‘positive’ (titre ≥1:80) for *C. fetus* and *C. jejuni* compared to ewes with titres below the respective thresholds determined using logistic regression with flock included as fixed effect

| Age category | Exposeda (N) | FTR (n) | OR    95% CI | P-value |
|--------------|--------------|---------|-------------|---------|
| **C. fetus** |              |         |             |         |
| Exposed (titre ≥1:10) | Maiden ewe lambs | 84 | 51 | 2.16 | 0.91 to 5.38 | 0.086 |
|                | Maiden hoggets | 47 | 27 | 1.44 | 0.75 to 2.81 | 0.278 |
|                | Overall       | 131 | 78 | 2.01 | 1.09 to 3.77 | 0.027 |
| Positive (titre ≥1:80) | Maiden ewe lambs | 37 | 26 | 2.59 | 1.03 to 6.78 | 0.047 |
|                | Maiden hoggets | 20 | 9  | 0.55 | 0.10 to 2.47 | 0.440 |
|                | Overall       | 57  | 35 | 1.69 | 0.77 to 3.76 | 0.191 |
| **C. jejuni** |              |         |             |         |
| Exposed (titre ≥1:10) | Maiden ewe lambs | 249 | 132 | 0.42 | 0.08 to 1.62 | 0.232 |
|                | Maiden hoggets | 195 | 99  | 1.39 | 0.29 to 7.53 | 0.679 |
|                | Overall       | 444 | 231 | 0.71 | 0.24 to 1.93 | 0.506 |
| Positive (titre ≥1:80) | Maiden ewe lambs | 121 | 58  | 0.46 | 0.24 to 0.87 | 0.018 |
|                | Maiden hoggets | 83  | 38  | 0.69 | 0.29 to 1.22 | 0.160 |
|                | Overall       | 204 | 96  | 0.52 | 0.32 to 0.83 | 0.007 |

a Exposed: Exposed to disease risk (e.g., titre ≥1:10 or ≥1:80 as indicated).

ORs for failing to rear a lamb at the four *C. fetus* titre cut-off levels tested compared to ewes with a titre <1:10 (Table 5).

### Detection of *Campylobacter* spp. in tissues from aborted and stillborn lambs

*C. fetus* was cultured from liver, lung and abomasal content from three aborted foetuses opportunistically recovered between scan 2 and pre-lambing from one maiden ewe lamb flock in VIC (flock 19). Dam pedigree was not able to be determined for these aborted foetuses.

Neither *C. fetus* nor *C. jejuni* was detected by qPCR or isolated via culture from samples of aborted or stillborn lambs (*n* = 35) recovered from a subset of seven flocks in WA. *Campylobacter* sputorum and *Campylobacter mucosalis* were detected by qPCR and sequencing in placental samples collected from one farm. These were not detected on microbial cultures and are not considered reproductive pathogens.

### Serial *C. fetus* titres in flock 19

*C. fetus* abortions were confirmed in flock 19 based on microbial cultures performed on aborted foetuses recovered from ewe lambs. Titres from these ewes fluctuated over time (Additional file 3). There was a significant increase in titre between mating and lamb marking.
in the ewes that failed to rear (Wilcoxon signed-rank test, \( P < 0.001 \)), but not in the ewes that raised lambs (\( P = 0.72 \)). For the ewe lambs that failed to rear, a \( C. \) fetus titre \( \geq 1:320 \) was detected in 4/20 (20%, 95% CI 7.2 to 40.8) ewes at scan 2, 6/10 (60%, 95% CI 30.4 to 84.7) ewes pre-lambing and 2/20 (10%, 95% CI 2.1 to 28.4) ewes at marking. Titres fell to <1:320 by marking for 6/8 (75%, 95% CI 40.8 to 94.4) ewes that had previously had a \( C. \) fetus titre \( \geq 1:320 \) at scan 2 or pre-lambing (Additional file 3).

### Seropositivity to \( C. \) jejuni in maiden ewe flocks

\( C. \) jejuni ‘exposure’ was detected on 21/21 (100%) farms and ‘positive’ ewes were detected on 18/21 (86%) farms (Additional file 2). There was no difference in the proportion of ‘positive’ flocks between ewe lambs (9/12 flocks) and hoggets (9/10 flocks; \( P = 0.368 \)).

The proportion of individual ewes ‘exposed’ and ‘positive’ for \( C. \) jejuni are shown in Table 3. There was no difference in the proportion of \( C. \) jejuni ‘positive’ ewe lambs compared to hoggets (\( P = 0.555 \)). Within maiden ewe flocks, 80%–100% ewes were categorised as ‘exposed’ and 0%–100% ewes were categorised as ‘positive’ for \( C. \) jejuni (Additional file 2).

### Association between seropositivity to \( C. \) jejuni and reproductive outcome

There was no increase in the odds of failing to rear a lamb in \( C. \) jejuni ‘exposed’ maiden ewes (\( P = 0.506 \); Table 4) and there was no difference in the proportion of \( C. \) jejuni ‘exposed’ ewes that failed to rear a lamb compared to those that raised lambs (95.0% vs. 96.8%; \( P = 0.332 \)).

Maiden ewes, and specifically maiden ewe lambs, that were \( C. \) jejuni ‘positive’ had lower odds of failing to rear a lamb compared to ewes with \( C. \) jejuni titre <1:80 (Table 4 and Additional file 6). In maiden ewes that failed to rear lambs, 9.4% lesser ewes were ‘positive’ compared to ewes that raised lambs (39.7% vs. 49.1%; \( P = 0.042 \)). There was no evidence of increased odds of failure to rear for ewes with \( C. \) jejuni titres (1) 1:10–1:40, (2) 1:80, and (3) \( \geq 1:160 \) compared to titre <1:10 (Table 5).

| Title cut-off category | Total tested (n) | FTR (n) | OR 95% CI | \( P \)-value |
|------------------------|-----------------|---------|-----------|--------------|
| \( C. \) fetus |            |         |           |              |
| Titre <1:10            | 331             | 164     | Reference |              |
| Titre 1:10 to 1:40\*   | 74              | 31      | 1.41      | 0.85 to 2.37 | 0.184        |
| Titre 1:80\#           | 29              | 12      | 1.44      | 0.67 to 3.19 | 0.351        |
| Titre \( \geq 1:160 \) | 28              | 10      | 1.83      | 0.84 to 4.24 | 0.139        |
| \( C. \) jejuni |            |         |           |              |
| Titre <1:10            | 18              | 11      | Reference |              |
| Titre 1:10 to 1:40\*   | 240             | 105     | 1.22      | 0.47 to 3.42 | 0.689        |
| Titre 1:80\#           | 136             | 74      | 1.88      | 0.70 to 5.37 | 0.220        |
| Titre \( \geq 1:160 \) | 68              | 34      | 1.57      | 0.55 to 4.73 | 0.403        |

\* ‘Exposed’.
\# ‘Positive’.

### Discussion

‘Exposure’ to Campylobacter spp. was widespread across the flocks in this study. Maiden ewes that were exposed to \( C. \) fetus were twice as likely to fail to rear compared to ewes with no evidence of exposure. ‘Positive’ \( C. \) fetus titres were inconsistently associated with failure to rear and \( C. \) fetus titre was a poor predictor of failure to rear for the flocks in this study. However, this was confounded by the relatively infrequent detection of titres for \( C. \) fetus in maiden flocks with no \( C. \) fetus-‘exposed’ maiden ewes detected in four flocks and no ‘positive’ maiden ewes detected in 14 flocks. Additionally, there were insufficient ewes with high titres (\( \geq 1:160 \)) in this study to determine whether high titres at lamb marking were associated with increased abortion or lamb mortality rates. \( C. \) fetus-associated abortion occurred on one farm, consistent with the previously reported sporadic nature of campylobacteriosis in Australian flocks.

While \( C. \) jejuni was detected in all flocks, there was no evidence that seropositivity to \( C. \) jejuni was associated with increased odds of failing to rear at either titre threshold.

It is common practice in Australia to screen flocks with disappointing lamb marking rates for seropositivity to Campylobacter spp. using the serological test used in this study.\(^{16} \) A \( C. \) fetus titre cut-off \( \geq 1:80 \) has been used to indicate a flock as ‘positive’.\(^{18, 19} \) In our study, \( C. \) fetus seropositivity based on this cut-off was associated with higher odds of failing to rear but only in ewe lambs. ‘Exposed’ ewes were detected in flocks that had no evidence of campylobacteriosis abortion or stillbirths based on monitoring ewes and necropsy of aborted and stillborn lambs.\(^{30} \) However, lamb necropsies and testing for infectious agents were only performed on a subset of farms. Detection of ‘exposure’ in flocks without evidence of abortion or campylobacteriosis at lamb necropsy could also reflect the persistence of antibodies, infections outside of the period of risk for reproductive disease, insufficient intensity of the infectious challenge, and variations in ewe immunity and strain pathogenicity.\(^{31, 32} \)
Alternative strategies for investigating the impact of *C. fetus* exposure on flock reproductive performance could include monitoring ewes for evidence of abortion,24 lamb necropsies to determine aetiological diagnoses9 and/or a vaccination trial.13

*C. fetus* titres ≥1:320 may be associated with campylobacteriosis during abortion storms; however, there were insufficient ewes in this study with titres this high at lamb marking to confidently determine an association with failure to rear. Apart from flock 19 (where campylobacteriosis abortion was confirmed with cultures), ewes with *C. fetus* titre ≥1:320 were only detected in flock 20 (4.3% ewes with mid-pregnancy abortion) and flock 26 (4.4% ewes with mid-pregnancy abortion). However, aborted foetuses were not recovered from either of these flocks and lamb necropsies were not performed. Further investigation of antibody dynamics in flocks with campylobacteriosis abortions would be required to determine the positive and negative predictive value of titre ≥1:320. Such investigations should also include lamb necropsies to determine the contribution of infectious agents, including *Campylobacter* spp., to perinatal lamb mortality.

*C. fetus* titres fluctuated during pregnancy for ewes in the one flock with confirmed campylobacteriosis abortion. Titres had declined by marking in many ewes with titre ≤1:160 in 6/8 ewes that had *C. fetus* titre ≥1:320 at scan 2 or pre-lambing. This indicates that *Campylobacter* spp. serology for a single timepoint at lamb marking or later can result in apparent ‘false negatives’ (i.e., low or moderate titres in flocks where campylobacteriosis abortions occurred in mid-late pregnancy). Where possible, a suspected diagnosis of campylobacteriosis abortion and perinatal mortality should be based on the detection of *Campylobacter* spp. at necropsy of the foetus or lamb and not on serology from a single timepoint alone.28 In cases where lamb necropsy is not possible, rising titres based on paired samples may be useful in supporting a presumptive diagnosis of campylobacteriosis. However, the relatively rapid change in titres observed in flock 19 indicates that there is a short window of time during an outbreak for the collection of serum samples that will demonstrate this rise.

Immunological naivety is a risk factor for campylobacteriosis abortion with previous studies indicating that convalescent ewes develop protective immunity.14, 33 This was consistent with our observations in flock 19 where 3/10 ewes that raised lambs had *C. fetus* titre 1:160 at mating, and only 3/20 sampled ewes that subsequently failed to rear lambs were determined to be ‘exposed’ to *C. fetus* at mating (Additional file 3). Foetal or lamb mortality in the three ewes with serological evidence of exposure to *C. fetus* prior to mating could reflect other causes of abortion and perinatal mortality acting simultaneously within the same flock.9 An alternate explanation is that prior *C. fetus* exposure was not sufficient to develop protective immunity in these ewes.

This study was not designed as a seroprevalence survey. Nonetheless, detection of seropositivity to *C. fetus* and *C. jejuni* on sheep farms and farm- and animal-level seroprevalence observed in our study were consistent with previous serological ‘surveys’ suggesting that exposure is common on Australian sheep farms. However, those surveys also preferentially sampled ewes that had failed to rear lambs.18, 19 Evidence of widespread exposure to *Campylobacter* spp. for Australian sheep located over a wide geographical region was consistent with recent reviews of Australian abortion investigations that showed *Campylobacter* spp. abortions were diagnosed across southern Australian states in most years.9, 10 Serological evidence of ‘exposure’ to *C. jejuni* in this study was consistent with other studies reporting that *C. jejuni* is commonly detected in Australian sheep without evidence of disease.21, 22 Notwithstanding the difference in selection criteria for maiden ewes (case-control) and mature ewes (random selection), *C. fetus* seroprevalence was higher for mature ewes compared to maiden ewes. This likely reflects cumulative age-related exposure as older ewes have had more time to be exposed to infection, and potentially develop immunity.

An important limitation of serological surveys is that seropositivity does not provide information on the current infection status or causality of foetal or lamb mortality. This study focussed on *Campylobacter* spp.; however, there are other important infectious and non-infectious causes of abortion and lamb mortality that are often multifactorial.34 Necropsies performed on a subset of farms in this study identified dystocia, stillbirth and starvation-mismothering as cause-of-death for the majority of perinatal mortalities based on gross pathology.27 This was consistent with other Australian studies reporting the cause of death in lambs.35, 36 Apart from *Campylobacter* spp., other endemic diseases were identified in some flocks in this study. Abortions, stillbirths and polyarthritis associated with *Chlamydia pecorum* were identified in a subset of farms from WA,27, 30 Exposure of ewes to *Toxoplasma gondii,*35 *Neospora caninum*23 and *Coxiella burnetii*26 were identified on farms in this study, but there was no evidence that these were important contributors to foetal and lamb mortality in these flocks. Further investigations using data from this study will include multivariable analysis to evaluate the relative importance of different pathogens on reproductive performance. Prioritisation and implementation of preventative measures for campylobacteriosis should be considered in the context of the multiple aetiologies for foetal and lamb mortality in maiden ewes, including farm and flock level risk factors. Important risk factors for clinical campylobacteriosis include the environment (e.g., high rainfall, short feed) and management (e.g., high stocking rates, confined feeding, open flocks) during pregnancy.12, 38

There were several other limitations to this study. Serology was determined using Agar Gel Immunodiffusion. This method has been used by other studies,17, 18 but sensitivity and specificity of the test are poorly defined. Further validation of the test for field investigations would improve the prediction of true incidence of infection with *Campylobacter* spp. Lamb necropsies were only performed for a subset of eight flocks, of which seven were sampled prospectively and one opportunistically after abortions were observed by the farmer. It is possible that foetal and lamb mortality is associated with *Campylobacter* spp. occurred in the other 14 flocks but were not detected due to lack of necropsy. Some farms in this study had sheep studs. Whilst a requirement for inclusion in the study was that sheep were managed extensively at stocking rates broadly comparable to commercial sheep production in the region, risk factors for campylobacteriosis are not well defined. It is possible that differences in the management of sheep between farms impacted the risk for campylobacteriosis, and additional yarding and monitoring of ewes during pregnancy for the project may have impacted the risk of exposure to *Campylobacter* spp. as well as the risk of lamb...
mortality. Further investigation with a greater number of farms in each state and expanding the number of farms tested in higher rainfall areas would be required to provide a more accurate assessment of Campylobacter-associated abortion and lamb mortality in different farming regions. Further investigation should also consider an assessment of the interaction between environmental factors and stocking rate as risk factors for disease outbreaks. This would inform region-specific recommendations relating to interpretation for Campylobacter spp. serology, strategies for monitoring ewes using serology and expected cost-benefit of implementing vaccination.

Seropositivity to C. fetus and C. jejuni were detected on most farms. Maiden ewes with serological evidence of exposure (titre ≥1:10) to C. fetus had twice the odds of failing to rear a lamb than non-exposed ewes. Higher odds of failing to rear were observed for positive (titre ≥1:80) ewe lambs but not maiden hogget ewes. There was no evidence that C. jejuni serology was a useful indicator for the reproductive outcome which likely reflected the widespread distribution and commensal nature of C. jejuni. Abortions associated with C. fetus were only detected on one farm using lamb necropsy. In this flock, C. fetus titres fluctuated during pregnancy and lactation in ewes that both reared and failed-to-rear lambs, reinforcing the value of foetal or lamb necropsy to determine an aetiological diagnosis for abortion and perinatal mortality. Campylobacteriosis is associated with reproductive loss in maiden ewes on some farms for some years. On farms with evidence of serological exposure to C. fetus, strategies to determine an association with the reproductive disease include monitoring ewes for abortions and determining aetiological diagnoses for foetal and lamb mortality using necropsies or vaccination trials. Further investigation is warranted to inform region-specific recommendations relating to interpretation of Campylobacter spp. serology and preventative measures.

Conclusion

Seropositivity to C. fetus and C. jejuni were detected on most farms. Maiden ewes with serological evidence of exposure (titre ≥1:10) to C. fetus had twice the odds of failing to rear a lamb than non-exposed ewes. Higher odds of failing to rear were observed for positive (titre ≥1:80) ewe lambs but not maiden hogget ewes. There was no evidence that C. jejuni serology was a useful indicator for the reproductive outcome which likely reflected the widespread distribution and commensal nature of C. jejuni. Abortions associated with C. fetus were only detected on one farm using lamb necropsy. In this flock, C. fetus titres fluctuated during pregnancy and lactation in ewes that both reared and failed-to-rear lambs, reinforcing the value of foetal or lamb necropsy to determine an aetiological diagnosis for abortion and perinatal mortality. Campylobacteriosis is associated with reproductive loss in maiden ewes on some farms for some years. On farms with evidence of serological exposure to C. fetus, strategies to determine an association with the reproductive disease include monitoring ewes for abortions and determining aetiological diagnoses for foetal and lamb mortality using necropsies or vaccination trials. Further investigation is warranted to inform region-specific recommendations relating to interpretation of Campylobacter spp. serology and preventative measures.
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### Table S1

**Flock-level *Campylobacter fetus* seropositivity in maiden ewe lambs or hoggets based on reproductive outcome and randomly selected mature ewes on the same farms.**

| Reproductive Outcome | Seropositivity |
|----------------------|----------------|
| Ewes that failed to rear (FTR) | 45% |
| Ewes that successfully reared lambs (rear) | 70% |

### Table S2

**Flock-level *Campylobacter jejuni* seropositivity in maiden ewe lambs or hoggets based on reproductive outcome.**

| Reproductive Outcome | Seropositivity |
|----------------------|----------------|
| Ewes that failed to rear (FTR) | 50% |
| Ewes that successfully reared lambs (rear) | 80% |

### Table S3

**Serial *Campylobacter fetus* titres for maiden ewes in flock 19.**

| Reproductive Outcome | Titre |
|----------------------|-------|
| Ewes that failed to rear (FTR) | 350 |
| Ewes that successfully reared lambs (rear) | 850 |

### Table S4

**Odds ratios (OR) for failing to rear a lamb in maiden ewe lambs or hoggets above and below different *C. fetus* titre cut-offs with 95% confidence interval (95% CI) and two-tailed Fisher’s exact test for significance.**

| Titre Cut-off | OR (95% CI) |
|--------------|-------------|
| 100 | 2.0 (1.2–3.2) |
| 200 | 3.5 (2.0–6.3) |
| 300 | 5.0 (2.8–8.9) |

### Table S5

**Within-flock comparison for *Campylobacter fetus* seroprevalence in maiden ewes that failed to rear (FTR) and ewes that reared lambs (rear) with two-way Pearson Chi-square test.**

| Reproductive Outcome | Seropositivity |
|----------------------|----------------|
| Ewes that failed to rear (FTR) | 45% |
| Ewes that successfully reared lambs (rear) | 70% |

### Table S6

**Odds ratios (OR) for failing to rear a lamb in maiden ewe lambs or hoggets above and below different *Campylobacter jejuni* titre cut-off with 95% confidence interval (95% CI) and two-tailed Fisher’s exact test for significance.**

| Titre Cut-off | OR (95% CI) |
|--------------|-------------|
| 100 | 2.0 (1.2–3.2) |
| 200 | 3.5 (2.0–6.3) |
| 300 | 5.0 (2.8–8.9) |
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