Search for the lightest scalar top quark in events with two leptons in \( p \bar{p} \) collisions at \( \sqrt{s} = 1.96 \) TeV
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Supersymmetric theories \[\text{I}\] predict the existence of a scalar partner for each standard model fermion. Because of the large mass of the standard model top quark, the mixing between its chiral supersymmetric partners is the largest among all squarks; therefore the lightest supersymmetric partner of the top quark, \(\tilde{t}_1\) (stop), might be the lightest squark. If the \(\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow b\tilde{\chi}^0\) decay channel is kinematically accessible, it will be dominant \[\text{II}\] as long as the \(\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow b\tilde{\chi}^\pm\) and \(\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}^0\) channels are kinematically closed, where \(\tilde{\chi}^\pm\) and \(\tilde{\chi}^0\) are the lightest chargino and neutralino, respectively. In this letter we present a search for stop pair production in the kinematically accessible channels; the signal topology consists of two isolated leptons, missing transverse energy (\(E_T\)), and jets. D0 has also searched for scalar top in the charm jet final state \[\text{III}\].

The D0 detector \[\text{IV}\] comprises a central tracking system surrounding by a liquid-argon sampling calorimeter and a system of muon detectors. Charged particles are reconstructed using a multi-layer silicon detector and eight double layers of scintillating fibers in a 2 T magnetic field produced by a superconducting solenoid. The calorimeter provides hermetic coverage up to pseudo-rapidities \(|\eta| \approx 4\) (where \(\eta = -\log(\tan(\theta/2))\), and where \(\theta\) is the polar angle with respect to the proton beam direction) in a semi-projective tower geometry with longitudinal segmentation. After passing through the calorimeter, muons are detected in the muon detector comprising three layers of tracking detectors and scintillation counters located inside and outside of 1.8 T iron toroids. Events containing electrons or muons are selected for off-line analysis by a trigger system. A set of dilepton triggers is used to tag the presence of electrons and muons based on their energy deposit in the calorimeter, hits in the muon detectors, and tracks in the tracking system.

In both \(e\mu\) and \(\mu\mu\) channels, the signal points \([M(\tilde{t}_1), M(\tilde{\nu})] = (110, 80)\) GeV/\(c^2\) and \((145, 50)\) GeV/\(c^2\), respectively referred as “soft” (point \(A\)) and “hard” data collected by the D0 detector at a \(p\bar{p}\) center-of-mass energy of 1.96 TeV at the Fermilab Tevatron Collider have been used to search for pair production of the lightest supersymmetric partner of the top quark decaying into \(b\tilde{\nu}\). The search is performed in the \(\ell\ell' = e\mu\) and \(\mu\mu\) final states. No evidence for this process has been found in data samples of approximately 400 pb\(^{-1}\). The domain in the \([M(\tilde{t}_1), M(\tilde{\nu})]\) plane excluded at the 95% C.L. is substantially extended by this search.
(point $B$) signals, have been used to optimize the selection of signals of different kinematics because of different $\Delta m = M(t_\tau) - M(\bar{t})$. The choice of these points was also motivated by the sensitivity of the D0 search during Run I \cite{14}. The main background processes imitating the signal topology are $Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow WW,t\bar{t}$ production, and multijet background. All but the latter are estimated with MC simulation. The multijet background is estimated from data. In the $ee\mu$ channel, two samples each dominated by a different multijet background are obtained by inverting the muon isolation requirements, and by inverting the cut on the electron-likelihood; in the $\mu\mu$ channel, such a sample is obtained by selecting same-sign muon events. Factors normalizing each sample to the selection sample are also obtained from data, and applied to the background samples to obtain the multijet background estimation, this, at an early stage of the selection.

For the $ee\mu$ channel, the integrated luminosity \cite{15} of the data sample is $(428 \pm 28)$ pb$^{-1}$. The preselection is concluded by requiring the transverse momenta of the electron and muon (see Fig. (1a) and (b)) to be greater than 10 and 8 GeV/c, respectively. In this final state, the data are dominated by the multijet and $Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow \tau\tau$ backgrounds. In these processes, poorly reconstructed leptons are correlated with $E_T$, giving rise to higher event populations at high and low values of the azimuthal angular difference between the leptons and the $E_T$, a low value of the angular difference for one lepton being correlated with a high value of the other. Taking advantage of a higher background contribution at low values of angular distributions, we require

$$
\Delta \phi(\mu, E_T) > 0.4, \Delta \phi(e, E_T) > 0.4. \text{ (Emu 1)}
$$

We require $E_T$ to be greater than 15 GeV to reduce contribution of both the multijet and $Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow \tau\tau$ backgrounds. To reject multijet events in which leptons are associated with a jet, we require the two leptons to be at a $\Delta R$ distance greater than 0.5 from any reconstructed jet. To further reduce the multijet contribution, we require the $z$ component of the origin of the highest transverse momentum muon track to be within four standard deviations $\sigma$ from the $z$ component of the primary vertex:

$$
E_T > 15 \text{ GeV} \quad \Delta R((e, \mu), \text{jet}) > 0.5 \quad |z(\mu) - z(\text{p.v.})| < 4\sigma. \text{ (Emu 2)}
$$

To reduce the $Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow \tau\tau$ background, we cut on low values of the transverse mass of the muon and $E_T$ ($MT(\mu, E_T)$, see Fig. (1c)). To further reduce this background, we make use of the correlation between the angular differences $\Delta \phi(\mu, E_T)$ and $\Delta \phi(e, E_T)$, and require their sum (see Fig. (1d)) to be greater than 2.9:

$$
MT(\mu, E_T) > 15 \text{ GeV}/c^2 \quad \Delta \phi(\mu, E_T) + \Delta \phi(e, E_T) > 2.9. \text{ (Emu 3)}
$$

The contributions of different backgrounds, and the expected numbers of signal and observed data events in the $ee\mu$ final state at different selection levels are summarized in Table I. After all selections, the $WW$ (dominating the diboson contribution) and $t\bar{t}$ contributions are the dominant backgrounds. To separate soft signals such as point $A$ from these backgrounds, we consider the variable $S_T$ defined as the scalar sum of the transverse momentum of the muon, the electron, and the $E_T$ (see Fig. (1e)). To separate hard signals such as point $B$ from background contributions, we consider the variable $H_T$ defined as the scalar sum of the transverse momentum of all jets (see Fig. (1f)). Rather than cutting on these two variables, the $H_T$ and $S_T$ spectra predicted for signal and background are compared with the observed spectra in twelve $[S_T, H_T]$ bins (see Table III) when extracting limits on the signal cross section, thus allowing a separation of signals of different kinematics from the $WW$ and $t\bar{t}$ backgrounds.

For the $\mu\mu$ channel, the integrated luminosity \cite{15} of the data sample is $(395 \pm 26)$ pb$^{-1}$. The selection of the signal in this final state is more challenging because of the strongly dominating $Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow \mu\mu$ background. The preselection is concluded by requiring the transverse momenta of the two highest transverse momenta opposite-sign muons to be greater than 8 and 6 GeV/c. While the signal is characterized by the presence of jets originating from the hadronization of $b$ quarks, the $Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow \mu\mu$ background owes the presence of jets to initial state radiation gluons which hadronize into softer jets, resulting in a lower multiplicity of jets; the latter is also valid for soft signals such as point $A$. To keep sensitivity to soft signals while rejecting substantial background, we require at least one jet:

$$
N(\text{jets}) \geq 1. \text{ (Dimu 1)}
$$

To further remove $Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow \mu\mu$ background events, where poorly reconstructed muons correlate with the $E_T$, we require $E_T$ to be greater than the contour shown on Fig. (2a), using a cut parametrized by the following equation:

$$
E_T/\text{GeV} > 20 + |\Delta \phi(\mu_1, E_T)| - 1.55|^{0.2}, \text{ (Dimu 2)}
$$

where $\mu_1$ is the highest transverse momentum muon. To augment the search sensitivity in this channel, we take advantage of the presence of jets originating from the fragmentation of long-lived $b$ quarks in the signal. An algorithm based on the lifetime of hadrons calculates the probability $P$ for the tracks of a jet to originate from the primary interaction point \cite{16}. This $b$ jet tagging probability is constructed such that its distribution is uniform for light-flavor jets while peaking at zero for heavy-flavor jets which have a vertex significantly displaced from the primary vertex (Fig. (2b)). Considering the highest transverse energy jet, we require

$$
P(\text{jet}) < 1\%. \text{ (Dimu 3)}
$$
A cut on the dimuon invariant mass (Fig. 2(c)) in the vicinity of the Z boson resonance only at low $E_T$ (Fig. 2(d)) further suppresses the $Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow \mu\mu$ background while preserving the signal:

$$M(\mu, \mu) \not\in [75, 120] \text{ GeV}^2 \text{ for } E_T < 50 \text{ GeV}. \text{ (Dimu 4)}$$

Table III summarizes the different stages of the signal selection in the $\mu\mu$ channel. The $t\bar{t}$ background dominates after the selection cuts; five $H_T$ bins are considered (see Table IV) to separate various signal points from this background.

The expected numbers of background and signal events depend on several measurements and parametrizations which each introduce a systematic uncertainty: lepton identification and reconstruction efficiency [(2.6–7)%] \[^{[1]}\], trigger efficiency [(3.5–5)%] \[^{[13]}\], luminosity [6.1%] \[^{[17]}\], multijet background modeling [10%], JES [(4–22)%] \[^{[12]}\], jet identification and reconstruction efficiency and resolution [(4–16)%] \[^{[13]}\], bjet tagging [(1–11)%] \[^{[16]}\], PDF uncertainty affecting the signal efficiency [10%] \[^{[17]}\].

After applying all selection cuts for $e\mu$ and $\mu\mu$ data sets, no evidence for $t_1$ production is observed. We combine the number of expected signal and background events and their corresponding uncertainty, and the number of observed events in data from the twelve bins of the $e\mu$ selection (Table III) and the five bins of the $\mu\mu$ selection (Table IV) to calculate upper-limit cross sections for signal production at the 95% C.L. Figure 3 shows the excluded region as a function of the scalar top quark and sneutrino masses, for 95% C.L.

In summary, we have searched for the lightest stop decaying into $b\ell\nu$ to date.
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TABLE I: $\epsilon\mu$ channel. Expected numbers of events in various background and signal channels, and number of observed events in data, at various selection levels. Statistical as well as systematic uncertainties from the JES correction are shown for the total background and signal.

| Selection  | Multijet | Background contributions |  \(Z/\gamma^* \to \ell\ell\) | \(\ell\ell\) | Diboson | Total Background | Data | Point A | Point B |
|------------|----------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|---------|----------------|------|---------|---------|
| Preselection | 304.5    | 286.7                     | 12.4                        | 28.6         | 632.3 ± 19.5  | 596  | 65.9 ± 2.4  | 26.6 ± 0.7  |
| Emu 1      | 194.4    | 115.4                     | 10.4                        | 25.3         | 345.4 ± 15.0  | 329  | 54.1 ± 2.3  | 22.7 ± 0.7   |
| Emu 2      | 8.6      | 20.0                      | 9.1                         | 21.2         | 58.9 ± 3.8    | 52   | 31.6 ± 1.7  | 19.0 ± 0.6   |
| Emu 3      | 5.9      | 3.6                       | 7.4                         | 20.2         | 37.1 ± 2.7    | 34   | 26.0 ± 1.5  | 17.3 ± 0.6   |

TABLE II: $\epsilon\mu$ channel. Expected numbers of events for total background, signal points A and B, and number of observed events in data, in the twelve \(S_T, H_T\) bins. Statistical and JES uncertainties are added in quadrature for the total background and signal points.

| Bin                          | Total background | Data | Point A | Point B |
|------------------------------|------------------|------|---------|---------|
| \(S_T \in [0, 70]\) GeV, \(H_T = 0\) | 2.6 ± 1.1        | 1    | 7.3 ± 1.0 | 0.0 ± 0.0 |
| \(S_T \in [70, 120]\) GeV, \(H_T = 0\) | 9.2 ± 1.2        | 14   | 4.8 ± 0.7 | 0.2 ± 0.1 |
| \(S_T \in [120, \ldots]\) GeV, \(H_T = 0\) | 7.7 ± 0.7        | 5    | 0.8 ± 0.3 | 1.8 ± 0.2 |
| \(S_T \in [0, 70]\) GeV, \(H_T \in [0, 60]\) | 1.9 ± 0.7        | 2    | 5.2 ± 0.7 | 0.0 ± 0.0 |
| \(S_T \in [70, 120]\) GeV, \(H_T \in [0, 60]\) | 3.6 ± 1.2        | 4    | 5.3 ± 0.8 | 1.2 ± 0.2 |
| \(S_T \in [120, \ldots]\) GeV, \(H_T \in [0, 60]\) | 3.0 ± 0.4        | 2    | 0.6 ± 0.3 | 6.3 ± 0.5 |
| \(S_T \in [0, 70]\) GeV, \(H_T \in [60, 120]\) | 0.4 ± 0.6        | 0    | 0.6 ± 0.3 | 0.0 ± 0.0 |
| \(S_T \in [70, 120]\) GeV, \(H_T \in [60, 120]\) | 0.7 ± 0.2        | 1    | 1.2 ± 0.3 | 1.3 ± 0.2 |
| \(S_T \in [120, \ldots]\) GeV, \(H_T \in [60, 120]\) | 3.6 ± 0.8        | 2    | 0.1 ± 0.1 | 4.3 ± 0.3 |
| \(S_T \in [0, 70]\) GeV, \(H_T \in [120, \ldots]\) | 0.0 ± 0.0        | 0    | 0.0 ± 0.0 | 0.0 ± 0.0 |
| \(S_T \in [70, 120]\) GeV, \(H_T \in [120, \ldots]\) | 0.8 ± 0.6        | 1    | 0.0 ± 0.0 | 0.4 ± 0.1 |
| \(S_T \in [120, \ldots]\) GeV, \(H_T \in [120, \ldots]\) | 3.7 ± 1.1        | 2    | 0.1 ± 0.1 | 1.7 ± 0.3 |

TABLE III: $\mu\mu$ channel. Expected numbers of events in various background and signal channels, and number of observed events in data, at various selection levels. Statistical as well as systematic uncertainties from the JES correction are shown for the total background and signal.

| Selection  | Multijet | Background contributions |  \(T(1,2S)\) | \(Z/\gamma^* \to \ell\ell\) | WW | Total Background | Data | Point A | Point B |
|------------|----------|---------------------------|--------------|-------------------------|----|----------------|------|---------|---------|
| Preselection | 3607.6   | 973.1                     | 23781.7      | 5.1                      | 9.6 | 28377.1 ± 34.9   | 28373 | 9.8 ± 0.4  | 41.1 ± 1.5  |
| Dimu 1     | 632.1    | 80.8                      | 3894.9       | 5.1                      | 1.5 | 4664.4 ± 97.5   | 4337 | 8.8 ± 0.4  | 24.2 ± 1.1  |
| Dimu 2     | 418.8    | 0.4                       | 155.7        | 4.7                      | 1.1 | 203.7 ± 8.5    | 213  | 7.5 ± 0.3  | 12.9 ± 0.8  |
| Dimu 3     | 0.0      | 0.0                       | 6.1          | 2.6                      | 0.0 | 8.7 ± 1.6    | 4    | 3.5 ± 0.2  | 3.4 ± 0.4   |
| Dimu 4     | 0.0      | 0.0                       | 0.1          | 2.3                      | 0.0 | 2.9 ± 0.4    | 1    | 3.1 ± 0.2  | 3.3 ± 0.4   |

TABLE IV: $\mu\mu$ channel. Expected numbers of events for total background, signal points A and B, and number of observed events in data, in the 5 \(H_T\) bins. Statistical and JES uncertainties are added in quadrature for the total background and signal points.

| Bin       | Total background | Data | Point A | Signal |
|-----------|------------------|------|---------|--------|
| \(H_T \in [0, 40]\) GeV | 0.11 ± 0.0       | 0    | 2.0 ± 0.3 | 0.5 ± 0.1 |
| \(H_T \in [40, 80]\) GeV | 0.89 ± 0.4       | 0    | 1.1 ± 0.3 | 1.0 ± 0.1 |
| \(H_T \in [80, 120]\) GeV | 0.75 ± 0.0       | 0    | 0.2 ± 0.1 | 0.8 ± 0.1 |
| \(H_T \in [120, 160]\) GeV | 0.56 ± 0.0       | 1    | 0.0 ± 0.0 | 0.4 ± 0.1 |
| \(H_T \in [160, \ldots]\) GeV | 0.57 ± 0.0       | 0    | 0.0 ± 0.0 | 0.4 ± 0.1 |
FIG. 1: $e\mu$ channel. Distributions of the transverse momenta of the electron (a) and of the muon (b) after preselection cuts; (c) the transverse mass $M_T(e, \mu, \not{E}_T)$ after preselection cuts and $E_T > 15$ GeV and $\Delta R((e, \mu), \text{jet}) > 0.5$; (d) the angular sum $\Delta\phi(\mu, \not{E}_T) + \Delta\phi(e, \not{E}_T)$ after the cut (Emu 2); (e) $S_T$ and (f) $H_T$ distributions after the cut (Emu 3).

FIG. 2: $\mu\mu$ channel. (a) $\Delta\phi(\mu_1, \not{E}_T)$ versus $\not{E}_T$ in simulated $Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow \mu\mu$ events; the contour of the cut (Dimu 2) is shown by the solid line. Distributions of the $b$ jet tagging probability $P(\text{jet})$ (b), the invariant mass of the two most energetic muons (c), and $E_T$ (d) after preselection cuts.
FIG. 3: For the nominal production cross section, the 95% C.L. excluded regions in the $[M(\tilde{t}_1), M(\tilde{\nu})]$ plane for the observed (full curve) and the average expected (dashed curve) limits are shown; the band surrounding the observed limit represents the lower and upper bounds of the signal cross-section variation. The regions excluded by D0 during Run I [14] and by LEP [19] are also shown.
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