**Wolbachia** affects mitochondrial population structure in two systems of closely related Palaearctic blue butterflies
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The bacterium **Wolbachia** infects many insect species and spreads by diverse vertical and horizontal means. As co-inherited organisms, these bacteria often cause problems in mitochondrial phylogeny inference. The phylogenetic relationships of many closely related Palaearctic blue butterflies (Lepidoptera: Lycaenidae: Polyommatinae) are ambiguous. We considered the patterns of **Wolbachia** infection and mitochondrial diversity in two systems: *Aricia agestis/Aricia artaxerxes* and the *Pseudophilotes baton* species complex. We sampled butterflies across their distribution ranges and sequenced one butterfly mitochondrial gene and two **Wolbachia** genes. Both butterfly systems had uninfected and infected populations, and harboured several **Wolbachia** strains. **Wolbachia** was highly prevalent in *A. artaxerxes* and the host’s mitochondrial structure was shallow, in contrast to *A. agestis*. Similar bacterial alleles infected both *Aricia* species from nearby sites, pointing to a possible horizontal transfer. Mitochondrial history of the *P. baton* species complex mirrored its **Wolbachia** infection and not the taxonomical division. *Pseudophilotes baton* and *P. vicrama* formed a hybrid zone in Europe. **Wolbachia** could obscure mitochondrial history, but knowledge on the infection helps us to understand the observed patterns. Testing for **Wolbachia** should be routine in mitochondrial DNA studies.

**Wolbachia** is an intracellular bacterium massively infecting arthropods and filarial nematodes. As a maternally inherited symbiont, **Wolbachia** facilitates its spread by various methods of reproductive manipulation, such as cytoplasmic (sperm-egg) incompatibility, male killing, feminization, or parthenogenesis¹⁻³. **Wolbachia** can be transferred also horizontally, using diverse vectors such as shared parasitoids, predators, or host plants⁴⁻⁶. **Wolbachia** is passed to the next generation in a similar way as mitochondria. This fact causes selective sweeps in haplotypes due to genetic hitchhiking, followed by reduced mitochondrial diversity⁷⁻⁹. The subsequent effects are mito-nuclear discordance¹⁰ and deep divergences in mitochondrial phylogenies¹¹,¹². These may result in failures of mitochondrial DNA barcoding (i.e. using a short standardized DNA sequence) in the identification of species¹³⁻¹⁵.

Through these means, **Wolbachia** could interfere in diversification processes, but its role in arthropod evolution is still unclear. Speciation processes arise via the evolution of genetic barriers between populations¹⁶. When two different **Wolbachia** strains infect a host population, bidirectional cytoplasmic incompatibility may arise, leading to divergence¹⁷. On the other hand, when an infected and uninfected population meet, it can lead to a more common unidirectional cytoplasmic incompatibility. In such a case, the bacteria are predicted to spread faster than a gene flow barrier could evolve¹⁸. However, in theory, under specific circumstances of infection rate and migration among populations, even the unidirectional cytoplasmic incompatibility could lead to host genetic divergence¹⁷,¹⁸.

The existence of common horizontal transmission of **Wolbachia** between host species is supported by a general lack of congruence between the bacterial and host phylogenies, where either similar strains appear in phylogenetically distinct taxa, or closely related host taxa harbour distinct strains¹⁹,²⁰.
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Lycanid butterflies (Lepidoptera: Lycanidae) are known to be infected by the highest number of Wolbachia strains among butterfly families. Within the lycanids, Polyommatinae (the blues) often harbour unresolved phylogenetic relationships on lower taxonomical levels, which may be further complicated by Wolbachia infection. Wolbachia may play an important role in the distribution of butterfly mitochondrial structure, possible hybridization and even evolution. While its importance is becoming evident, studies targeting patterns of Wolbachia infection across large distribution areas are still scarce.

To shed some light on the complexity of infection by Wolbachia in Polyommatinae, we studied two systems of closely related blue butterflies, the widely distributed Palearctic genera Aricia Reichenbach, 1817, and Pseudophilotes Beuret, 1958. The taxonomy of these systems relies on often subtle differences in morphology, mitochondrial DNA data, life histories, or habitat diversification. The few nuclear markers classically used in butterfly phylogeny often fail to distinguish these species. We focused on the taxa co-existing in Central Europe: Aricia agestis (Denis & Schiffermüller, 1775) and A. artaxerxes (Fabricius, 1793), as well as Pseudophilotes baton (Bergsträsser, 1779) and P. vicrama (Moore, 1865), and, for the latter genus, their closest relatives from other areas (i.e. the Pseudophilotes baton species complex).

We investigated the presence of Wolbachia infection in these butterflies using samples across their whole distributional ranges. We connected the Wolbachia infection patterns to the butterfly population structures inferred by a co-inherited marker, i.e. mitochondrial DNA. Our study will provide background information for future analyses of speciation, not only in the highly diverse group of Polyommatinae.

Methods

Study models. Aricia agestis and A. artaxerxes are cryptic species, which can be distinguished by mtDNA barcoding, but not by adult morphology in most of the area of their overlapping ranges. Minor differences are in larval and pupal morphology. Aricia agestis is a western Palearctic species, inhabiting a wide range of mesic to xeric grasslands in lowlands of the Mediterranean and temperate regions. Aricia artaxerxes is a Palearctic species reaching Northern Europe and inhabiting calcareous short-turf grasslands at higher elevations in the south. They differ in the number of annual generations (multiple in A. agestis, single in A. artaxerxes). Host plants of both species are various Geraniaceae and Helianthemum Mill. spp. Several of their close relatives occur throughout Eurasia, with west-Mediterranean Aricia montensis (Verity, 1928) being sister either to A. artaxerxes or A. agestis.

Pseudophilotes baton and P. vicrama from the P. baton species complex are cryptic vicariant species: P. baton inhabits Western Europe (including the Alps and Italy) and P. vicrama occurs from Central Europe to Altai, western China and northern Mongolia. Both species are (or were in the recent past) present in Central Europe (Germany, Czech Republic, and Austria). They differ in the shape of male genitalia, which is used as a diagnostic trait, but they share a similar mtDNA barcode in some cases. Both inhabit xerothermic short turf grasslands, steppe-like sites in the case of P. vicrama, and pastures in mountains and their foothills in P. baton. Host plants are various species of Thymus L. There are several other taxa in the Pseudophilotes baton complex. P. batonjacuticus Korshunov et Viiadlepp, 1980 inhabits steppe areas from the eastern and northern Baikal Lake surroundings to central and southern Yakutia, Russia. Pseudophilotes panoptes (Hübner, 1813) inhabits dry scrubby places in Iberia.

Sampling and sequencing. To get sequences of mitochondrial DNA and examine the patterns of Wolbachia infection, we collected tissue samples of 115 individuals of A. agestis, 68 Aricia artaxerxes, 23 Pseudophilotes baton, 88 P. vicrama and 10 individuals of other Pseudophilotes (P. sinalis: 4, P. b. jacuticus: 4, P. panoptes: 2) (Supplementary Dataset S1). In the case of Pseudophilotes, we dissected four male individuals from populations with mitochondrial sequences assigning them to a different species (Croatia, France), and 20 male individuals from all parts of the species complex’s distributional range to illustrate their diversity. The abdomens of male individuals were boiled for 5 min in 10% KOH to get the genital apparatus.

We extracted DNA with the Genomic DNA Mini Kit—Tissue (Geneaid) following the manufacturer’s protocols. To check the quality of extracted DNA and compare the mitochondrial structure with Wolbachia infection, we amplified, using polymerase chain reaction (PCR), the 5′ part of cytochrome c oxidase subunit I gene (COI), which is the most frequently used barcode in animals and hence a large reference library is available. We used the primer pair LCO/HCO and, when not successful, two pairs: LCO/K699 and Ron/HCO (primers). Only samples with a clear COI bands on agarose gels and obtained full COI sequence were included in the study.

We screened for the presence of Wolbachia in the host DNA samples by amplifying the Wolbachia surface protein gene ( wsp) with the primer pair 81F and 691R. Wsp is the common marker used to detect the presence of the bacteria (e.g. ). We included a negative control (PCR mixture without DNA sample) and a positive control (a sample of Pseudophilotes bavicus (Eversmann, 1832) with already sequenced wsp gene) in each PCR. To ascertain the presence or absence of Wolbachia, we ran 5 μl of the PCR product on 1.5% agarose gels. In the case of presence of a band ~700 bp long, the sample was scored as a positive and sequenced; otherwise it was scored as a negative. All samples were screened twice with wsp and sequenced once. In positive DNA samples, the Wolbachia gene cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (coxA) from Wolbachia multilocus sequence typing was amplified using primers coxA_F1 and coxA_R1.

For all markers, we prepared PCR in 12.5 μl volume (6.25 μl Bioline 2× MyTaq HS Red Mix, 4 μl PCR H2O, 0.625 + 0.625 μl primers; 1 μl DNA). The thermal cycling profile was 95 °C for 5 min; then 40 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 50 °C (COI)/55 °C (wsp, coxA) for 30 s, 72 °C for 90 s; and final extension 72 °C for 10 min. All the forward primers had T7 promoter and the reverse primers T3 universal tails attached.

We cleaned PCR products with enzymes FastAP and Exol (Thermofisher) and sequenced them in one direction in Macrogen Inc. on ABI3730XL DNA analysers. We checked obtained sequences visually and aligned...
them in Geneious v. 8.0.5. Wsp and coxA strains were defined using the reference sequences of the Wolbachia MLST database (http://pubmlst.org/wolbachia/). A possible double infection of the two arthropod Wolbachia supergroups in one individual may have been overlooked, but this should be rare within individuals (cf. 45) and should not have any consequences on the conclusions of this study.

**Molecular data analyses.** To ascertain the mitochondrial structure throughout the distribution ranges, in addition to our sequences, we used mitochondrial sequences of the target taxa and other species in these genera from BOLD (http://www.boldsystems.org), publicly available in November 2019 (Supplementary Dataset S1). From the COI sequences collected in this study and in BOLD, we prepared three datasets: Aricia agestis (381 samples), A. artaxerxes (163), and Pseudophilotes baton complex (228) (Supplementary Dataset S1). While the barcodes of Aricia agestis and A. artaxerxes displayed nucleotide differences of about 2%, the species P. baton and P. vicrama, together with the western Mediterranean P. panoptes, shared haplotypes, and thus we treated them together as P. baton species complex.

To explore the butterfly population structure, we constructed the COI haplotype network by TCS statistical parsimony algorithm in POPART. To cluster the COI sequences, we employed the hierarchical Bayesian Analysis of Population Structure (hierBAPS) in the package ‘hierbaps’ using R version 3.6.0, without prior information on the geographic origin of each sample. To estimate the genetic diversity and to test the neutrality of the datasets (Tajima’s D, Fu and Li’s D* tests), we used DNASP v.5.

To display the patterns of butterfly interpopulation genetic distances as genetic landscapes, we used the GDIsPAL function of SPADS v. 1.0 in R. First, we merged the sequences into 94, 43, and 69 populations (A. agestis, A. artaxerxes and P. baton complex, respectively) based on the geographic proximity of their localities (Supplementary Dataset S1). Second, we generated the genetic and geographic distance matrices in SPADS v. 1.0. The GDIsPAL function is based on inverse distance-weighted interpolation (IDW). Here, the interpolation depends on distances assigned at mid-points of each edge of a connectivity network built among the sampling localities (Delaunay triangulation). Interpolations were affected by a distance weighting parameter \( a \). We calculated the surface for \( a = 1–7 \). Considering potential correlation between genetic and geographic distances, we performed the distance interpolations using residual distances derived from the linear regression of genetic vs. geographic distances (inter-individual distances of SPADS v. 1.0).

We utilized Bayesian analysis in Beast 1.10.4 to unravel the mitochondrial genetic history in the two butterfly species groups and their closely related species. We transformed the samples of each species into haplotypes. Haplotypes shared among different taxonomic species entered the analysis in a number of copies corresponding to the number of these species. As outgroups, we used sequences of Aricia anteros (Freyer, 1838), A. crassipuncta (Christoph, 1893), and A. morronensis (Ribbe, 1910) in the Aricia tree, and sequences of Pseudophilotes bavius (Eversmann, 1832) and P. fatma (Oberthür, 1890) in the Pseudophilotes tree. This resulted in 118 samples in the Aricia and 71 samples in the Pseudophilotes input files. Beast analysis was run for 20 million MCMC generations, sampled every 5000 generations, for three independent runs. We employed the Coalescent Constant Size model with the lowest BIC, i.e. GTR + I + G for Aricia and GTR + G for Pseudophilotes. The outputs of the three runs were inspected in Tracer 1.6. The first 10% of trees were discarded as burn-in. The trees from the three runs were combined and a single best ultrametric tree was obtained.

To explore the relationships among Wolbachia alleles, we used MrBayes 3.2.6. We prepared four datasets with all sequences available: Aricia wsp (91 samples), Aricia coxA (81 samples), Pseudophilotes wsp (32 samples) and Pseudophilotes coxA (29 samples). As outgroups we used sequences of Wolbachia extracted from the nematode Litomosoides sigmodontis (Chandler, 1931) (GenBank codes: wsp: AF409112, coxA: FJ390246). Substitution models were GTR + G in Aricia wsp, and HKY in Pseudophilotes wsp. Aricia coxA and Pseudophilotes coxA. MrBayes was run for 20 million MCMC generations, sampled every 5000 generations, with temperature 0.2, four simultaneous chains, four independent runs. The first 10% of trees were discarded as burn-in. We inspected the convergence of the four runs and the sufficient sampling by the sump command based on the log likelihood and high effective sample sizes. The trees from the four runs were summarized under the 50% majority-rule consensus. We inferred Bayesian trees in the CIPRES Science Gateway.

**Results**

**Aricia: butterfly mitochondrial structure.** In the dataset of 381 sequences of Aricia agestis, the TCS analysis revealed 54 haplotypes (A1–A54, 35 segregating sites) belonging to six BAPS clusters (Fig. 1a). The first cluster BAPSa1 harboured the majority of all samples, showing a star-like topology typical for recent population expansions; this cluster was found all over the distribution range of the species, except for Central Asia. The second cluster BAPSa2 was restricted to Western, Central and Southern Europe plus one sample from the Levant. The clusters BAPSa3 and BAPSa5 were found in the Mediterranean fringe of Europe, but both were relatively rare; in addition, BAPSa3 was also found from Alborz (northern Iran) to Central Asia. The BAPSa4 cluster was mostly restricted to Corsica and adjoining islands, plus one sample from Alatau (Central Asia). The BAPSa6 cluster was obtained for Sicily, the Aeolian Islands and one sample from the mainland side of the strait of Messina (haplotype A49). This genetic structure was mirrored by the genetic landscape analysis (Fig. 2a), showing the highest genetic distances along the Mediterranean fringe of Europe from southern France to the northern Balkans. The dataset’s nucleotide diversity was 0.0044, Tajima’s D was not significant (−1.634; p > 0.05), Fu and Li’s D* test was significant (−3.020; p < 0.05). The latter test suggested the excess of singleton haplotypes.

In the dataset of 163 sequences Aricia artaxerxes, the TCS analysis revealed 16 haplotypes (X1–X16, 15 segregating sites) belonging to a single BAPSh1 cluster (Fig. 1b). The genetic landscape showed the highest distances among the samples from the Western Alps, in the Caucasus and in Central Asia (Fig. 2b). A barrier appeared also
Figure 1. TCS haplotype networks of (a) *Aricia agestis*, (b) *Aricia artaxerxes* and (c) *Pseudophilotes baton* species complex, based on COI. The colours of map points correspond to BAPS clusters (coloured network background). The shades on the maps represent the distribution of each taxon. The specimens in the networks were allocated to geographical or political regions, for readers’ orientation. The haplotypes, in which some of the individuals were inspected for *Wolbachia* infection, are indicated, with a different mark for each bacterial strain. These marks correspond to Fig. 3; and in (c), circle = *P. baton*, triangle = *P. vicrama*, square = *P. sinaicus*, star = *P. b. jacuticus*, hexagon = *P. panoptes*. Note that COI sequences not marked with any symbol were obtained in databases and hence not tested for *Wolbachia*. Maps were created in QGIS v. 2.18 (http://qgis.org) and the graphics was compiled in Graphic for Mac v. 3.1 (https://www.graphic.com/mac/).
between south-eastern and Central Europe. The dataset's nucleotide diversity was 0.0019, which is 2.3 times lower than in *A. agestis*. Neither Tajima's D (−1.706; p > 0.05) nor Fu and Li's D* (−1.796; p > 0.1) tests were significant.

In the mitochondrial Beast tree, haplotypes of *A. agestis* and *A. artaxerxes* formed well-defined monophyletic groups. The closest relative of *Aricia artaxerxes* was the Iberian *A. montensis*, with *A. agestis* being sister to this clade (Supplementary Fig. S1). Within *A. agestis*, Sicilian haplotypes (cluster BAPSa6) formed a well-supported lineage. A part of the haplotypes from cluster BAPSa2 (A28-A33) formed another separate lineage. Within *A.

Figure 2. Genetic landscapes based on COI genetic distances among individual populations of (a) *Aricia agestis*, (b) *Aricia artaxerxes* and (c) *Pseudophilotes baton* species complex, created by the GDsPAl function in SPADS. The distance weighting parameter $a = 3$ was used as a presentable visualization. The map colours represent residuals of genetic distances. Maps were created in QGIS v. 2.18 (http://qgis.org) and the graphics was compiled in Inkscape v. 1.0 (https://inkscape.org/).
artaxerxes, samples from Kyrgyzstan formed a well-supported clade probably representing a distinct lineage within the species (12); these samples were not used in further analyses.

**Aricia: Wolbachia.** Our analyses revealed that 29 Aricia agestis individuals out of 115 tested were positive for Wolbachia (prevalence: 22%). Of these, 27 were successfully sequenced for wsp and 17 for coxA. All positive host samples were from the widely distributed groups BAPSA1 and BAPSA2. Sequencing revealed three wsp alleles and three coxA alleles, all already known (Table 1). The wsp allele 61 was congruent with coxA allele 85, wsp allele 639 accorded to coxA alleles 85 and 14, and wsp allele 18 to coxA allele 6. Two different wsp alleles were discovered in the same locality in Iran. Three different wsp alleles and three coxA alleles were discovered in the COI butterfly haplotype A1 (Table 1). However, the Wolbachia alleles were separated geographically in this case (Fig. 3a): Wsp allele 18/coxA allele 6 (together referred as a strain) were distributed northerly of the Alps where it met with wsp allele 581/coxA allele 85, and wsp allele 61 with coxA allele 14. Alleles were distributed geographically (Fig. 3a). The strain wsp 18/coxA 6 was distributed north of the Alps (similarly as in Aricia agestis) where it met with wsp 581/coxA 85, and wsp allele 61 was congruent with coxA allele 85, wsp allele 639 with coxA allele 85, and wsp allele 61 with coxA allele 14. Alleles were distributed geographically (Fig. 3a). The strain wsp 18/coxA 6 was distributed north of the Alps (similarly as in Aricia agestis) where it met with wsp 581/coxA 85 in the Alps. The strain wsp 61/coxA 85 was only detected in Transcaucasia.

In the Wolbachia wsp tree (Fig. 3b, Supplementary Fig. S1), the widely distributed wsp allele 18 split first, containing samples of the bacteria from Aricia artaxerxes across Europe and several samples from Aricia agestis from the Czech Republic, Slovakia and western Russia. The rest of the samples formed a monophyletic branch, within which (1) Wolbachia from Aricia agestis from Europe and Iran formed a clade together with that from Armenian Aricia artaxerxes (allele 61), (2) material from Aricia agestis from Iran, Georgia and one individual from Italy formed a second clade (allele 639), sister to (3) the third clade, detected in Aricia artaxerxes from France, Romania, and Austria (allele 581). The Wolbachia coxA tree (Fig. 3c) split into two branches: (1) the widely distributed allele 6 found in both Aricia agestis and Aricia artaxerxes, and (2) the closely related alleles 14 and 85, found in samples of both butterfly species from Iran and Transcaucasia, but also from Eastern Europe and the Alps.

**Pseudophilotes: butterfly mitochondrial structure.** In the 228 sequences of Pseudophilotes spp., the TCS analysis revealed 29 haplotypes (P1–P29, 23 segregating sites) belonging to six BAPS clusters (Fig. 1c). In several cases, the assignment to a BAPS cluster did not correspond to the taxonomical species revealed by genitalia dissection: BAPSP1 (P1–2, P6–20) contained mostly P. vicrama from Europe, but also the north-Asian P. b. jacuticus and P. baton from France, Corsica, and eastern Italy (the latter with “baton” valva type, Supplementary Fig. S2); this cluster had a star-like topology typical for recent population expansions. BAPSP2 (P5, P21) contained mostly P. baton, but also P. vicrama from adjacent distributional regions of Austria and Croatia (“vicrama” valva type: Supplementary Fig. S2 and ABOLD47-16), P. sinaicus and P. panopitis. BAPSP3 (P3–4) included samples of P. vicrama (“vicrama” valva type) from Central Asia (Afghanistan, westernmost China, northernmost India, Iran, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan). BAPSP4–6 harboured only samples of P. panopitis. The dataset's nucleotide diversity was 0.0046. Neither Tajima’s D (−1.106; p > 0.1) nor Fu and Li’s D*(−1.729; p > 0.1) tests were significant. The genetic landscape (Fig. 2c) showed the highest differentiation in western Iran and Central Asia and then in the Iberian Peninsula and southern France. The distribution of the mitochondrial species delimitation is deviating from the one based on male genital structures with the first running a bit more to the east than the

### Table 1. Wolbachia wsp and coxA alleles detected in samples of Aricia spp. and Pseudophilotes spp. Country codes accord to ISO-3 standard; W RUS = western Russia, RUS: Cauc = Russia, Caucasus Mts.

| Species         | wsp allele | coxA allele | Host haplotype | Host mtDNA BAPS cluster | Prevalence in host haplotypes | Geographical distribution (positive samples) |
|-----------------|------------|-------------|----------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| A. agestis      | 18         | 8           | A1             | BAPSA1                   | 11/64                         | CZE + W RUS + SVK                       |
| A. agestis      | 61         | 8           | A1, A26, A27   | BAPSA1, BAPSA2           | 2/64, 5/7, 1/1                 | IRN, ROU + AUT, HUN                       |
| A. agestis      | 639        | 85 and 14   | A28, A1, A11   | BAPSA2, BAPSA1           | 1/3, 6/64, 1/1                 | ITA, GEO + IRN, IRN                       |
| A. artaxerxes   | 18         | 6           | X1, X9, X13    | BAPSA1                   | 49/51, 1/1, 1/1                | CZE + RUS + POL + AU + ITA + CZE, W RUS, RUS: Cauc |
| A. artaxerxes   | 581        | 85          | X2, X14, X15   | BAPSA1                   | 5/7, 1/2, 1/1                  | ROU + AUT, FRA, FRA                       |
| A. artaxerxes   | 61         | 14          | X12            | BAPSA1                   | 5/5                           | ARM                                         |
| P. baton        | 561        | 14          | P5             | BAPSp2                   | 11/13 in P. baton P5           | CZE + ITA + AUT                            |
| P. vicrama      | 561        | 14          | P5             | BAPSp2                   | 7/7 in P. vicrama P5           | HRV                                         |
| P. vicrama      | 581        | 85          | P3, P4         | BAPSp3                   | 5/6, 4/4                      | IRN + AFG + CHN + IND, TJK + KGZ          |
| P. vicrama      | 639        | 14          | P1, P20        | BAPSp1                   | 2/41, 2/5                     | GEO, IRN                                   |
second, i.e. crossing the Czech Republic, running through the border regions between eastern Austria and Slovakia, as well as between Croatia and Bosnia and Herzegovina.

The Beast tree (Fig. 4) revealed two clades: the first clade contained two lineages: BAPSp3 (P. vicrama from Central Asia) and BAPSp2 (P. baton, P. sinaicus, part of P. panoptes, P. vicrama from the contact zone). The second clade split into two lineages: the first one consisted of P. panoptes haplotypes (except for those in BAPSp2) and the second of P. vicrama from BAPSp1, P. b. jacuticus and P. baton from southern France.
Looking for Wolbachia presence, 37 out of 108 tested individuals were positive (prevalence: 34%): 12 out of 17 in *P. baton* (71%), 25 out of 81 in *P. vicrama* (31%), 0 out of 4 in *P. sinaicus* (0%), 0 out of 4 in *P. b. jacuticus* (0%), and 0 out of 2 in *P. panoptes* (0%). The samples positive for Wolbachia followed geographic structures (Fig. 3d): 9 out of 36 in BAPSp1 (25%, positive samples from Iran, Georgia, western Russia and Belarus, but none from Western, Central and south-eastern Europe), 19 out of 24 in BAPSp2 (79%, Central Europe, Italy, Croatia; the majority of negative samples were *P. sinaicus*), 9 out of 10 in BAPSp3 (90%, Central Asia; one negative sample from Afghanistan), and 0 out of 2 in BAPSp4. BAPSp5 and BAPSp6 were based on database samples only and thus the Wolbachia presence could not be tested. Thirty-one samples were successfully sequenced for wsp, and 28 for coxA. We revealed three wsp alleles and two coxA alleles, all previously known. Wsp allele 561 corresponded with coxA allele 14 and was restricted to BAPSp2 (haplotype P5; *P. baton* and *P. vicrama* from the contact zone). Wsp allele 639 also accorded to coxA allele 14 and this combination was found in two Georgian and one Iranian samples (P1, BAPSp1). Wsp allele 581 in combination with coxA allele 85 was only obtained for Central Asia (BAPSp3, haplotypes P3–4).

The Wolbachia wsp tree (Fig. 3e, Supplementary Fig. S1) showed that wsp allele 561 present in BAPSp2 (*P. baton* and *P. vicrama* from the contact zone) formed a separate lineage from the closely related alleles 639 and 581 (Central Asian *P. vicrama* from BAPSp3 and three samples from Georgia and Iran from BAPSp1). The two coxA alleles (Fig. 3f, Supplementary Fig. S1) differed in a single mutation. *Pseudophilotes vicrama* from Georgia hence harbour Wolbachia related to those from Central Asian *P. vicrama* (BAPSp3) in wsp and those from *P. baton* (BAPSp2) in coxA.
Discussion

Two closely related groups of Polyommatinae butterflies displayed a composite pattern of Wolbachia infection, containing both infected and uninfected populations and several different Wolbachia strains, which were linked both to their mitochondrial history and to their geographical distribution.

Wolbachia infection in Aricia agestis and A. artaxerxes. Wolbachia was highly prevalent in Aricia artaxerxes throughout its whole distribution range, in contrast to A. agestis. Aricia agestis and A. artaxerxes are believed to represent well-defined species. In the COI barcode, there is a constant minimum p-distance of about 2% between them, sufficient to distinguish two species. They are not distinguished by some nuclear markers (e.g. internal transcribed spacer 2) but are well separated by others as allozymes. Lineages distinguished by mtDNA and allozymes also differ in voltinism. A possibly analogous situation was observed in a cryptic species pair of Hesperiidae butterflies, where Spiadila rosae Hernández-Roldán, Dappporto, Dincă, Vicente & Vila, 2016 differed from S. sertorius (Hoffmannsegg, 1804) by the COI barcode, specific cuticular hydrocarbons, their host plants, and the presence of Wolbachia. Wolbachia presence thus might serve as a further distinguishing trait of the cryptic species pair A. agestis and A. artaxerxes.

The mitochondrial diversity of the highly infected A. artaxerxes was low compared to much less affected A. agestis (16 vs. 54 haplotypes, respectively). This represents indirect evidence for a selective sweep in A. artaxerxes. Such a probable selective sweep was also observed in the Chinese hesperid butterfly Polytremis nascess Leech, 1893, with Wolbachia infected populations being genetically less diverse than uninfected ones.

Overlapping areas of Aricia agestis and A. artaxerxes and transfer of Wolbachia. A proportion of the examined Aricia agestis individuals were also positive for Wolbachia. In both Aricia species, we discovered several Wolbachia strains. Those samples of A. agestis from lowland Central and north-eastern Europe, which were positive for Wolbachia, were infected by the wsp 18/coxA 6 strain, also found in A. artaxerxes in this region (Fig. 3a). At Hochschwab, a mountain massif within the north-eastern limestone Alps (Austria), the barcodes of both species co-occur, infected by a similar coxA allele 85 and two wsp alleles (581 and 61).

Although Aricia agestis inhabits higher elevations in Southern Europe than A. artaxerxes, their elevational distribution overlaps in Central and Eastern Europe. Our specific Hochschwab locality (Seebergasselt pass) is situated at 1260 m a.s.l., and a sequence of an A. agestis specimen from an even higher elevation (about 1600 m a.s.l.), 30 km away from our record, is published (Hochkar, Austria: ABOLD655-17).

The occurrence of similar Wolbachia alleles found in the two Aricia species in lowland Central Europe suggests a transfer of this bacterium between these species. Hybridization of the two species was documented alongside a contact zone established during Holocene in Great Britain. However, sharing the same Wolbachia allele between the two butterfly species is unlikely a product of hybridization, as the host’s mitochondrial DNA would be transferred together with Wolbachia. In this context, Sintupachee et al. observed phylogenetically distant insect groups infected by the same Wolbachia strain utilizing a similar host plant, suggesting a horizontal transfer. Thus, hemipteran insects, injecting their saliva containing bacteria to plant cells, could have infected leaf-chewing insects via this means.

Situating in Hochschwab, where a population contained the barcodes of both species, and two different wsp alleles observed also in other parts of their ranges, could be product of a past hybridization and would require further attention.

Aricia agestis and A. artaxerxes biogeographic history. The two examined Aricia species strongly differ in their biogeographic patterns. On the one hand, Aricia artaxerxes is a boreo-montane species, with decreased mitochondrial diversity and thus with its mitochondrial history obscured by Wolbachia infection. However, Wolbachia infection could also give us some hints to the butterfly’s phylogeographic history. The different Wolbachia strains associated with spatially separated A. artaxerxes haplotypes suggest the existence of two host haplogroups (not distinguished in BAPS analysis): (1) a Central European group reaching Northern Europe and the Russian forest-steppe belt (infected by Wolbachia wsp allele 18/coxA allele 6, host haplotypes X1, X9, X13), and (2) a south-western European group (Wolbachia wsp allele 581 + two closely related coxA alleles, host haplotypes X2, X15, X14). Both groups are present in the Alps and in the Balkan Peninsula. The current boreo-montane range with such longitudinally distributed haplogroups could be a legacy of a widespread distribution containing both infected and uninfected populations and several different Wolbachia strains, which were linked both to their mitochondrial history and to their geographical distribution.
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particular only recently\(^{68-71}\). In addition, the isolated occurrence of the eastern branch BAPSa3 from north-east Iran to Central Asia calls for a separate retreat in this area; however, with its glacial-interglacial dynamics still being ambiguous.

**Wolbachia distribution in the Pseudophilotes baton species complex.** The patterns of *Wolbachia* infection in the *Pseudophilotes baton* species complex correspond to the mitochondrial history of the butterflies, which does not agree with the described taxa (Figs. 1c, 3d). The phylogenetics of closely related *Pseudophilotes* species from the *Pseudophilotes baton* complex is traditionally problematic. These species were not distinguished sufficiently by four nuclear genes\(^{69}\). *Pseudophilotes vicrama* is the most widely distributed member of the complex, present from Central Europe to Mongolia, distinguished by the shape of its valvae from the putative taxa occurring at the margins of its distribution, i.e., *P. baton*, *P. b. jacuticus*, *P. sicaicus*, and *P. panoptes*. The COI barcodes of the butterflies do not correspond to this taxonomic classification. Thus, *P. b. jacuticus* shares haplotype P1 with *P. vicrama* from Europe; and *P. sicaicus* shares haplotype P5 with the majority of *P. baton*. With the data available, it therefore seems impossible to decide whether the complex represents completely separated species with secondary contacts, a speciation process under way, or just a single species with polymorphic male genitalia (cf. bad species concept\(^{72}\)).

Similarly to the situation in *Aricia agestis* and *A. artaxerxes*, the *Pseudophilotes* mitochondrial clusters with high *Wolbachia* prevalence rates (BAPS2, BAPS3) harbourred considerably lower genetic diversity (two haplotypes each) than the mostly uninfected BAPS1 cluster (17 haplotypes), again pointing to a selective sweep in the heavily infected clusters.

Three different *Wolbachia* strains were detected in this butterfly species complex, found in different parts of its distribution area (Fig. 3d). *Wolbachia* was highly prevalent in *P. baton* (host mtDNA cluster BAPS2), except for the samples from southern France, Corsica, and two samples from Italy, which were negative in *Wolbachia* and clustered with *P. vicrama* in the host mtDNA. Another *Wolbachia* strain was found in *P. vicrama* from Central Asia, which mtDNA also formed a separate cluster (BAPS3). The cluster BAPS1 was mostly uninfected, except for some samples from western Russia (samples from which we failed to obtain *Wolbachia* sequences, Supplementary Dataset S1) and samples from Iran and Georgia. These latter were infected by a strain related to the one found in BAPS3 in wsp, and to the one in BAPS2 in coxA (Fig. 3d).

In the host mitochondrial Bayesian tree, the two clusters infected by *Wolbachia*, BAPS3 (Central Asian *P. vicrama*) and BAPS2 (mostly *P. baton*), formed a clade separated from the mostly uninfected samples. However, BAPS2 and BAPS3 were infected by two different *Wolbachia* strains. As these two strains are genetically differentiated from each other and geographically located on opposite angles of the range (i.e. south-central Europe vs. Central Asia), two independent infections with similar consequences is a likely scenario.

All in all, the *Pseudophilotes* species complex follows the butterfly paradigm of glacial refugia and postglacial range dynamics in Europe\(^{69}\) with a non-expansive taxon in Iberia (i.e. *P. panoptes*) and expansive lineages out of the Adriatic- (i.e. *P. baton*) and the Pontic-Mediterranean (i.e. *P. vicrama*) refugia\(^{67}\). Similar to *A. agestis*, *P. vicrama* seems to be polycentric with at least one western centre of differentiation (i.e. BAPS1) from the Balkans to Iran (i.e. Pontic-Mediterranean-Iranian elements, cf.\(^{69}\)) and one Central Asian element (BAPS3) with still unknown range dynamics, also supported by a *Wolbachia* type restricted in *P. vicrama* to this genetic lineage. Additionally, *P. b. jacuticus* might represent a Siberian element with a Siberian centre of dispersal (cf.\(^{70}\)), while *P. sicaicus* might be a rear edge population with in situ differentiation and adaptation to the prevailing eremic conditions.

**Hybrid zone between Pseudophilotes baton and P. vicrama.** Sequences of *Pseudophilotes vicrama* from Croatia and lowland eastern Austria are assigned to haplotype P5 (BAPS2), mostly found in *P. baton*; furthermore, the Croatian samples also harboured the same *Wolbachia* strain as *P. baton*. However, their genital structures correspond to the “vicrama” type (Supplementary Fig. S2). In addition, two samples of *P. baton* from south-eastern Italy were assigned as the *P. vicrama* haplotype P1 and were negative for *Wolbachia*. This implies the existence of a hybrid zone between these two taxa crossing Central Europe. This region is a known contact zone between eastern and western lineages of many invertebrates and vertebrates, e.g., in the lycaenid butterfly *Polyommatus coridon*\(^{70}\), mice *Mus musculus* and *M. domesticus*\(^{69}\), and toads *Bombina bombina* and *B. variegata*\(^{71}\).

*Pseudophilotes baton* from southern France and Corsica also lacked *Wolbachia* and were assigned to the BAPS1 cluster, otherwise confined to *P. vicrama*, suggesting that hybridization and population replacement might be multiple within the species complex. Furthermore, the distribution of this mitochondrial cluster suggests remarkable range dynamics in *P. baton* in the past glacial and interglacial phases, with most of their results being overwritten later on, but with geographically small rear edge remnants, hereby supporting glacial refugia in southern France\(^{69}\) and Corsica\(^{69}\).

In several cases, *Wolbachia* infection was observed to match mitochondrial phylogenies in butterflies, but the nuclear information coincided with life history traits (\(^{79}\) for *Eurema hecabe* (Linnaeus, 1758), *Pieridae*\(^{80}\) for *Hypolimnas Hubner*, 1819 spp., Nymphalidae). In these examples, *Wolbachia* is suspected to mediate interspecific introgression. In our case of *Pseudophilotes*, however, the hybridization probably happened regardless of *Wolbachia*. *Wolbachia* presence (in Croatian samples) or absence (in French, Italian, and Corsican *P. baton*) rather provides additional evidence for hybridization.

**Limitations and future directions.** We addressed the patterns and diversity in *Wolbachia* infection across a broad geographic range in several species. However, the exact mechanisms whether and how *Wolbachia* affects these butterfly hosts remains undiscovered. Routes to discovering them may include breeding experiments and antibiotic treatments of infected populations. Such strategy would identify cytoplasmic incompatibility, male
killing or feminisation (e.g.80). Males of the butterflies inspected are not notably rare in natural conditions and our dataset contained males bearing all Wolbachia alleles detected here (Supplementary Dataset S1). To identify the exact Wolbachia strains, the whole MLST typing89 for each wsp allele would be necessary. As the two used Wolbachia markers originate from different parts of Wolbachia genome and the information, which they deliver, is congruent, we believe that this additional information would not change our conclusions, but rather refine them.

The exact distribution of Aricia artaxerxes in temperate Europe is still obscured, and all putative populations should be examined using DNA barcoding. Using high-throughput sequencing of samples from the Eastern Alps, where A. agestis and A. artaxerxes barcodes coexist at the same site, would distinguish between past hybridization and horizontal transfer of Wolbachia.

Synthesis. Taken together, in the two analysed Polyommatinae groups, we observed several different relations between Wolbachia infection and mitochondrial DNA of their hosts. First, presence of Wolbachia caused impoverishment in mitochondrial haplotypes, when compared to uninfected relatives. Second, within a species (or species complex), different strains of Wolbachia usually infect different mitochondrial groups (clusters). Third, a possible horizontal transfer of Wolbachia occurred between sympatric populations. Fourth, Wolbachia presence, absence or allele identity served as an additional marker of hybridization in a contact zone. Specifically, in the case of Aricia, the two taxa with diverging mitochondrial structures mirroring Wolbachia prevalence were probably more spatially separated in the past, and both a hybridization90 and a horizontal transmission of Wolbachia likely occurred during Holocene in the contact areas of both species. In the case of Pseudophilotes, we observed several poorly defined taxa, with different mitochondrial clusters infected by different Wolbachia strains, or remaining uninfected by the bacteria. Alongside the contact zone, Pseudophilotes species hybridized, which was revealed by the discrepancy between the morphological trait (genital structure) and the mitochondrial signal corresponding to Wolbachia infection.

Palaeartic Polyommatinae butterflies were exposed to the late Cenozoic cooling of the Earth, habitat openness and climate alternations. Their host plants are often herbs occurring in grasslands, chemically protected from ungulate grazing. Many taxa rapidly diversified under such conditions. The population divergence leading to speciation is often not completed, and we observe different levels of its completeness in various taxa91. Aricia spp. and Pseudophilotes spp. represent two different levels of such diversification. Species-rich habitats seem to be common in other Polyommatinae (e.g. the Polyommatus coridon groups82,83 and Agrodiaetus species with chromosomal fissions84). Wolbachia and other symbiotic bacteria are suspected drivers of arthropod evolution85. The species might even lose the infection after some time86, so that we could not reveal the culprit of diversification. We suggest that Wolbachia infection plays an important role in the mitochondrial diversity of butterflies and this should be taken into account in all phylogeographic and cryptic diversity studies involving mitochondrial DNA. Wolbachia infection may prevent inferring the host species’ mitochondrial history, but knowledge on the distribution of Wolbachia alleles can be helpful in understanding the mitochondrial patterns and biogeographic scenarios.

Data availability
All sequences obtained in this study are stored in GenBank (Accession numbers: butterfly COI Aricia agestis/A. artaxerxes: MT883793–MT883959; COI Pseudophilotes baton species complex: MT878244–MT878363; Wolbachia wsp from A. agestis and A. artaxerxes: MT950442–MT950530, and coxA: MT950363–MT950441; wsp from P. baton species complex: MT989097–MT9891001, and coxA: MT9891002–MT9891029). The codes of each specimen are in Supplementary Dataset S1. The Nexus alignments are stored at the Figshare repository https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.12917426.v1.
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