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Abstract

Bioprospecting of microorganisms with the potential of exploiting agro-industrial wastes is an active field of biotechnological research. In this study, we aimed to isolate a microorganism adapted to the dairy matrix with specific characteristics to produce lactic acid from dairy industry wastes, such as whey. Whey was collected from the agricultural region of Antioquia, Colombia, and samples were cultured on Man–Rogosa–Sharpe modified medium using lactose as a source of carbon. The most promising strain isolated was characterised, and its adaptation to whey was determined. A gram-positive *Lactobacillus* was isolated and named as G1. This strain grows in deproteinised whey with low protein requirements, has a homofermentative metabolism and exhibits high tolerance to low pH (≤5.0). The G1 strain is a promising bacterium for use in lactic acid production from whey, which is an underutilised by-product of the dairy industry.
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Practical Application: Whey is a dairy waste produced during cheese production; it is liquid whose main component is lactose, which accounts for 90% of its organic content. Such wastes are highly polluting. In this study, a native lactobacilli strain was isolated, that efficiently converts whey into lactic acid. This promising strain could become a viable option for the treatment of dairy industry residues, while not only meeting the disposal requirements but also increasing profits with lactic acid as a by-product.

1 Introduction

*Lactobacillus* is a genus of highly heterogeneous microorganisms and is the largest genus of lactic acid (LA) bacteria (LAB), with approximately 67 species identified to date (Stiles & Holzapfel, 1997). They are facultative anaerobic, gram-positive, catalase-negative, non-motile, non-spore-forming bacilli with a G+C content of 33%-55% mol (Axelson, 2004). Lactobacilli have numerous industrial applications, including as probiotic and LA production. LA has multiple applications in the food, pharmaceutical and chemical industries, where there is a great demand for poly-L-LA production, a biodegradable polymer (Kozlovskiy et al., 2017). To meet this market demand, approximately 90% of LA is produced using biotechnological (fermentative) approaches wherein LA is obtained as a product of microbial metabolism, the remaining 10% of LA is produced by a chemical method (Alves de Oliveira et al. 2018). However, biotechnological processes are preferred because the chemical approach includes many issues due to contamination and requires extreme process conditions (Wang et al., 2015).

However, for commercial competitiveness, the fermentation approach must utilise a microorganism that produces at least 100 g l⁻¹ of LA in an economic culture media (e.g. agro-industrial wastes) (Abdel-Rahman et al., 2013). At present, it is estimated that the annual world demand for LA is approximately 291,300 metric tonnes (Grand View Research, 2017).

Lactobacilli are classified as homofermentative, facultative heterofermentative or obligate heterofermentative based on their metabolism (Gänzle, 2015). Homofermentative lactobacilli exclusively produce LA as the end product of hexose metabolism via the Embden–Meyerhof–Parnas pathway.

Lactobacilli are found in several ecological niches and can adapt to various environments, including human oral and vaginal cavities; fermented food; soil and dairy industry by-products, such as whey. Whey is a dairy waste produced during cheese production; it is greenish liquid whose main component is lactose, present at a concentration of 45-50 g l⁻¹, which accounts for 90% of its organic content. Fats and proteins also contribute to its organic content, with concentration ranging from 4.0 to 5.0 g l⁻¹ and 6.0 to 8.0 g l⁻¹, respectively (You et al., 2017). Such wastes can result in chemical oxygen demand of up to 60,000 mg O₂ l⁻¹; therefore, they cannot be discarded into local drainage systems without prior treatment (González et al., 2007). The current total production of whey is about 180 to 190 million tons/year in the world and only 50% is processed becoming a problem of environmental pollution (Mollea et al., 2013).

The growth kinetics of microorganisms is evaluated using batch systems because these are easy to handle and monocultures free from microbial contamination can be maintained. Lactobacillus growth can be adjusted to an autocatalytic reaction model...
(Equation 1) wherein cells formed (X, g l\(^{-1}\)) at a specific time (t) are proportional to the cell concentration and specific growth rate (\(\mu, h^{-1}\)).

\[
\frac{dX}{dt} = \mu X \tag{1}
\]

The substrate consumed in this case is lactose from whey and can be determined using Equation 2, where \(Y_{X/S}\) are cells (in g) produced per gram of substrate (S) consumed.

\[
-r_S = \frac{dS}{dt} = -\frac{\mu X}{Y_{X/S}} \tag{2}
\]

The relationship between the substrate (S) and specific growth rate is provided by an empirical equation described by Monod in 1949 (Equation 3) that has two constant values, the maximum specific growth rate (\(\mu_{\text{max}}, h^{-1}\)) and saturation constant (\(K_s, g l^{-1}\)) (Monod, 1949).

\[
\mu = \frac{\mu_{\text{max}} S}{K_s + S} \tag{3}
\]

Finally, LA production can be described in terms of microbial growth using Equation 4, where \(P\) is the concentration of the product, \(\alpha\) is the growth-associated product formation and \(\beta\) is the non-growth-associated product formation (Luedeking & Piret, 1959).

\[
r_p = \frac{dP}{dt} = \alpha \mu X + \beta X \tag{4}
\]

The aim of the present study was to isolate a *Lactobacillus* strain adapted to grow in whey with a strong potential to produce LA. Thus, in the near future, this microorganism will contribute to reducing the organic burden generated by whey disposal. It will also contribute to establishing a biotechnological platform for industrial LA production.

2 Materials and methods

This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

2.1 Microorganism isolation

Whey samples were collected from a dairy located at the Low Cauca agricultural region of Antioquia, Colombia, and stored in a cold condition during transportation in sterile containers. One millilitre of whey was diluted in 9 ml of Lactobacillus Man–Rogosa–Sharpe modified medium [MRSM; containing 20 g l\(^{-1}\) lactose, 10 g l\(^{-1}\) peptone, 8 g l\(^{-1}\) meat extract, 4 g l\(^{-1}\) yeast extract (YE), 2 g l\(^{-1}\) K\(_2\)HPO\(_4\), 5 g l\(^{-1}\) sodium acetate trihydrate, 2 g l\(^{-1}\) triammonium citrate, 0.2 g l\(^{-1}\) magnesium sulphate heptahydrate and 0.05 g l\(^{-1}\) magnesium sulphate tetrahydrate]; all salts and lactose were procured from Merck\textsuperscript{TM} (Darmstadt, Germany), whereas YE, peptone and meat extract were procured from Oxoid\textsuperscript{TM} (Cambridge, UK). After 48-h growth in the liquid medium at 37 °C, the sample was seeded into solid MRSM medium, and isolated colonies were selected for growth in a liquid MRSM medium. The isolated strains were tested by Gram staining with a catalase assay that detects the presence of enzymes that degrade hydrogen peroxide and a motility test in sulphide indole motility medium (Garrity et al., 2001). We selected isolates with a bacillary morphology, and which were gram positive, catalase negative, non-motile and homofermentative (Zuñiga et al., 1993). The latter was verified by seeding in a Durham hood in MRSM medium; strains were considered homofermentative if gas had not accumulated in the hood.

2.2 LA production by the selected strain

From each selected strain, one colony was seeded in 10 ml of MRSM medium (pH 6.0 ± 0.2) and incubated overnight at 35 °C. One millilitre of this culture was inoculated into a 100 ml flask containing 50 ml of sterile MRSM medium and incubated at 35 °C with shaking at 150 rpm for 48 h. At the end of incubation, LA and lactose concentrations in the samples were determined.

2.3 Biochemical identification of the selected strain

Preliminary testing was conducted using an API 50 CHL medium (Biomerieux, Durham, NC, USA) for identifying the *Lactobacillus* species; only the selected strain was evaluated for maximum LA production. During incubation, catabolism of various carbohydrates (48 different sources of carbon) leads to the formation of organic acids, which cause a change in the colour of the pH indicator. The resulting biochemical profile facilitates the identification of *Lactobacillus*.

2.4 Optimisation of LAB growth conditions

The strain identified to produce the highest amount of LA was selected for conducting a study to optimise LAB growth conditions. The experimental design was based on a complete response surface analysis and developed using the Design Expert\textsuperscript{®} 5.0 software. The evaluated factors and their respective levels were as follows (indicated as factor level –1, level 0 and level 1): agitation rate, 50, 100 and 150 rpm; pH, 5.0, 6.0 and 7.0 and temperature: 30 °C, 33 °C and 40 °C. Twenty-seven experiments were generated from response surface analysis, and five replicates of each were performed for assessing experimental error. The medium used was MRSM medium, and the optimum growth conditions were those that resulted in the highest LA production.

2.5 Carbon:Nitrogen ratio (C:N)

To evaluate the minimum nutritional requirements that might improve the efficiency of LA production by the selected strain, we proceeded to evaluate the C:N ratio. Based on the C:N ratio of MRSM medium, the ratio was arbitrarily fixed at 1:1. The C:N ratios used were 3:1, 5:1, 8:1 and 10:1, which were obtained by maintaining the concentration of the carbon source constant at 20 g l\(^{-1}\) and varying the nitrogen sources (i.e. peptone, meat and YE). Assays were performed under the optimum growth conditions found for the selected strain, and as a dependent variable, substrate conversion (carbon source) was assessed after 48-h fermentation using the following equation:
X = (S₀ − S) / S₀ × 100 (Bailey & Ollis, 1986), where S₀ is the initial concentration of lactose and S is the concentration after 48-h fermentation.

2.6 Adaptation of the selected strain to whey

Inhibition of Lactobacillus growth by pH has been reported in the literature (Adamberg et al., 2003), and pH is known to decrease as LA production increases. To avoid these inhibitory effects, we evaluated the minimum requirement for calcium carbonate (CaCO₃; 0, 5, 10 and 15 g L⁻¹) as a pH-regulating agent in MRSM medium containing 50 g l⁻¹ of lactose at the identified C:N ratio.

Whey was pre-treated by sterilisation at 98 °C for 20 min, and the insoluble material was pelleted by centrifugation at 8,000 g for 10 min. The supernatant was collected and passed through gauze to remove low-density insoluble matter. This whey was supplemented with YE at concentrations of 0.2%, 0.4%, 0.8% and 1.0% (W/W) to ensure minimum nutritional requirements.

2.7 Growth kinetics, substrate consumption and product formation

Studies on growth, lactose consumption and LA production by the selected strain were conducted using a RALF™ 3.7 l Bioengineering bioreactor with a 2-l work volume in pre-treated whey medium supplemented with YE and sterilised at 98 °C for 20 min. The experiments were performed under the optimum growth conditions identified earlier. The conditions in the bioreactor were maintained constant using 5 mol l⁻¹ NaOH solution to control pH as well as water recirculation and agitation to regulate the temperature. Fermentation was performed for 48 h. Samples were collected every 2 h during the first 12 h and every 6 h after 24 h.

2.8 Continuous culture system

Four assemblies were prepared with dilution rates of 0.05, 0.08, 0.125 and 0.20 h⁻¹ at 1.7, 2.7, 4.2- and 6.7-mL min⁻¹, respectively, in the Bioengineering™ bioreactor with an effective volume of 2 l with the parameters adjusted according to the batch system. To stabilise the continuous system, 8-10 l of culture medium was passed through the bioreactor, and a sample was used for further analysis.

2.9 Analytical methods

LA and lactose were measured using high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) on an Agilent® 1200 instrument equipped with a refractive index detector. Chromatography was performed using a Biorad® HPX87H ion exchange column at 35 °C using a 5 mmol·l⁻¹ H₂SO₄ solution as the mobile phase at a flow rate of 0.6 ml min⁻¹. BioUltra™ lactose was supplied by Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA), 88% LA (w/w) by Carlo Erba (Sabadell, Barcelona, Spain) and 98% H₂SO₄ (w/w) by Mallinckrodt (St. Louis, MO, USA). For the creation of a standard curve, samples were centrifuged at 8000 g for 5 min. Then, the supernatant was diluted with the mobile phase (1:10, supernatant: mobile phase) and filtered using a 0.22-µm filter prior to injection. Biomass quantification was conducted using a Genesys® 2PC spectrophotometer at a wavelength of 600 nm using samples diluted at a ratio of 1:20 in water and a biomass factor of 0.35 g l⁻¹ for each optical density unit. Statistical analyses were focused on differences in mean values.

3 Results

3.1 Isolation of microorganisms and their biochemical identification

A total of 17 strains were isolated from 5 whey samples; of these, 1 was isolated from a sample of serum atollabuey (termed as suero costeño in Spanish) and several others were obtained from the specimen bank of the Biotransformation Group at the University of Antioquia. These strains showed satisfactory growth in MRSM medium containing lactose as a source of carbohydrates. Based on the inclusion criteria described above (i.e. gram positive, catalase negative, non-motile, rod shape and homofermentative), 7 strains were selected for further study (Table 1).

LA production

G1, MA7 and MA8 strains showed the highest LA production among the 7 selected strains (Figure 1); in fact, morphological and biochemical analyses demonstrated that MA7 and MA8 strains were the same. Because the aim of this study was to maximise LA production from dairy industry wastes, the G1 strain was selected for further studies because it produced fewer cells than MA7 and MA8 strains (data not shown).

Table 1. Description of selected lactobacilli strains.

| Strain | Source                        | Morphological description                  |
|--------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| SL3-1  | Arcoiris dairy company*, Medellin | Short bacilli                             |
| G1     | Atollabuey whey (Suero costeño)*, Lower Cauca region | Long, chain grouped bacilli               |
| MA4    | Biotransformation group's bacterial collection | Short coupled bacilli                      |
| G81    | Atollabuey whey (Suero costeño)*, Lower Cauca region | Bacilli                                    |
| MA7    | Biotransformation group's bacterial collection | Short aggregated bacilli                   |
| MA8    | Biotransformation group's bacterial collection | Short bacilli                             |
| KF1    | Biotransformation group's bacterial collection | Non-aggregated bacilli                     |

*aMilky industry; *Sour milk fermented. All strains were Gram stain positives; catalase negatives; no mobiles and homofermentatives.
**Biochemical identification of the G1 strain**

The initial biochemical identification of the G1 strain using the Biomeraux API CHL-50® kit showed that there was a 95% likelihood that this strain is a *Lactobacillus paracasei* strain. HPLC analysis showed that the G1 strain was a homofermentative strain because it exclusively produced LA from lactose present in the medium (data not shown).

**3.2 Optimisation of growth conditions for the G1 strain**

Growth was maximum at a pH of approximately 6.0, a temperature of 34 °C and an agitation rate of 100 rpm (Figure 2A), which are typical conditions for bacteria belonging to the genus *Lactobacillus*.

**Ideal C:N ratio for the G1 strain**

One of the major factors limiting microorganism growth is nutritional constraint in culture media, particularly the protein/nitrogen source, which is peptone, meat and YE in MRSM medium. These protein requirements increase the cost of culture media, thereby reducing the economic feasibility of industrial LA production. Therefore, evaluation of the protein concentration of the protein source in the medium was performed by studying the effects of changes in the C:N ratio on the growth of the G1 strain using substrate conversion as the dependent variable, which is indicative of the transformation of lactose to LA because LA is the main metabolite of its catabolism. Nitrogen use was minimised...
without altering the conversion of lactose to LA at a C:N ratio of 5:1, whereas LA production drastically reduced at higher ratios (8:1 and 10:1), indicating that the limiting substrate is the nitrogen source (Figure 2B). There was no evidence of substrate/product inhibition in LA production when lactose was used at a concentration of 20 g l\(^{-1}\).

3.3 Adapting the G1 strain to whey

Whey contains a lactose concentration of approximately 50 g l\(^{-1}\), as determined by HPLC. This concentration of lactose can limit LA production because of a decrease in pH. To eliminate drastic pH decreases, a buffering agent such as CaCO\(_3\) is required. In the absence of a carbonate, 40% of the lactose was converted; indicating that pH 3.5 reached at the end of the 48-h fermentation may inhibit the conversion of lactose to LA. When 15 g l\(^{-1}\) of CaCO\(_3\) was added, 90% lactose was converted to LA after 48-h fermentation (Figure 2C).

The adaptation of the G1 strain to whey began with an initial treatment to eliminate insoluble solids. Whey was sterilised at 98 °C for 20 min and then centrifuged at 8000 g for 10 min. The supernatant was designated as deproteinised whey (DW), had a lactose concentration of 47.8 g l\(^{-1}\) and was used as a substrate for LA production. Low consumption of lactose was observed when DW was used alone (36% conversion, Figure 2D), possibly due to the lack of a nitrogen source. Therefore, DW was supplemented with different concentrations of YE.

DW medium appeared to contain nitrogen sources that minimise the need for additional nitrogen, but supplementation was necessary because DW did not contain enough protein to sustain efficient conversion of lactose to LA (Figure 2D).

3.4 Growth kinetics, substrate consumption and product formation by the G1 strain in DW medium supplemented with 4 g l\(^{-1}\) of YE

The G1 strain exhibited slower growth in DW medium than in MRSM-50 medium (Figure 3A). This is reflected by a delayed entry into the exponential phase (20 h) in DW medium compared with that in MRSM-50 medium (8-10 h). This could be due to the presence of compounds in DW medium that retard bacterial growth; however, after 48-h growth in DW medium, biomass production values were comparable to those in MRSM-50 medium (i.e. approximately 2.5 g l\(^{-1}\)).

From Equation 1, for calculating the specific growth rate, \(\mu_{\text{max}}\) in MRSM-50 medium was 0.23 h\(^{-1}\) and that in DW medium was 0.19 h\(^{-1}\). These values were obtained in the exponential growth phase, indicating that DW is more difficult to assimilate than MRSM-50.

Although whey has not been characterised as an easily assimilable substrate for microorganisms, in this study, grams of LA per gram of lactose supplied (Y\(_{\text{LA/Tot}}\) g g\(^{-1}\)) of 0.25 was attained for whey without any pre-treatments, 0.84 in DW medium supplemented with 4 g l\(^{-1}\) of YE and 1.1 in MRSM-50 medium (Figure 2D). Analysis of the kinetics in the bioreactor and maintenance of pH at 6.0 achieved an Y\(_{\text{LA/Tot}}\) of 1.1 g g\(^{-1}\) (Figure 3B), demonstrating that growth inhibition is caused due to the pH of DW medium supplemented with YE and without the addition of CaCO\(_3\), to act as a neutralising agent.

3.5 Continuous culture system

At a low dilution rate of 0.125 h\(^{-1}\), high LA productivity (2.9 g l\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\)) was observed, whereas at higher dilution rates, LA productivity decreased because of the low conversion of lactose inside the system resulting from the low retention time (Table 2). However, LA productivity increased by 100% compared with that observed in the batch system (1.46 g l\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\)).

4 Discussion

The strategy of microorganism isolation from different environments or samples is diverse and has a specific objective of increasing agro-industrial material bioconversion to obtain LA. Abdel-Rahman et al. (2013) isolated 631 bacteria from 30 environmental samples and identified the strain \textit{Enterococcus mundtii} QU 25 as a promising strain for conversion of cellobiose.
to LA. In the present study, although 17 microorganisms were isolated from whey, only the G1 strain fulfilled the objective of isolating a promising strain for lactose conversion to LA.

LA production (23.33 g l\(^{-1}\); Figure 1) by the G1 strain from lactose in MRSM medium reached a yield (g of product per substrate consumed, \(Y_{\text{P/S}}\)) of 1.2 g of LA g\(^{-1}\) per lactose consumed, which is the normal value for LAB because of their ability to use amino acids for growth (De Giori et al., 1985).

After finding the adequate nitrogen source concentration (YE in the present study), the objective was to guaranty total lactose conversion. Assessment of C:N ratios showed that at ratios of 1:1, 3:1 and 5:1, lactose conversion was 100%, whereas at ratios of 8:1 and 10:1, lactose conversion drastically decreased (20%), demonstrating the limiting nature of the nitrogen source. Thus, 5:1 was selected as the optimum ratio because it minimised YE requirements for culture media. At a lactose concentration of 20 g l\(^{-1}\), there was no observable substrate/product inhibition in LA production. In contrast, Lee (2005) determined a C:N ratio of 8:1 for LA production (120 g l\(^{-1}\)) from glucose (120 g l\(^{-1}\)) plus YE (15 g l\(^{-1}\)) with a mixture of five different Lactobacillus spp.

Growth inhibition of the G1 strain was observed at pH 3.5, which agrees with values reported in the literature, which shows that the inhibitory pH for \(L.\) paracasei is 3.2. An increase in CaCO\(_3\) concentration of up to 15 g l\(^{-1}\) prevented drastic pH decrease and facilitated a better use of lactose. This value is below the concentration reported by Juodeikiene et al. (2016) (20-60 g l\(^{-1}\)) but similar to that reported by Panesar et al. (2010) (15 g l\(^{-1}\)). Thus, 10 g l\(^{-1}\) of CaCO\(_3\) was used because it maintains the conversions obtained by these authors after using 20 g l\(^{-1}\) of CaCO\(_3\).

The low lactose conversion level in whey without YE (36%) compared with that in MRSM-50 medium with a C:N ratio of 5:1, which reached a lactose conversion level of >90% (Figure 2D), indicated that it was necessary to add 4 g l\(^{-1}\) of YE to achieve a satisfactory conversion. This need for protein was also evidenced in the study by Cury Regino et al. (2014), who obtained a LA concentration of 20.8 g l\(^{-1}\) using whole whey but only of 8.1 g l\(^{-1}\) using DW medium, which was equal to a conversion of 36.2%. Thus, it appears that supplementation of culture media with 4 g l\(^{-1}\) of YE is sufficient for attaining a desirable conversion of 70%. This conclusion is below that reported by Panesar et al. (2010), i.e. 7.5 g l\(^{-1}\), but very similar to the findings reported by Eldeleklioglu et al. (2013), i.e. 4 g l\(^{-1}\) of YE. The conversion of lactose in the medium containing DW plus YE stabilised at 70% by the addition of 4 g l\(^{-1}\) of YE, although this conversion was below that of the reference medium (100%), possibly because of the inhibitory effect of pH (\(Y_{\text{LA/Tot}}\); 0.84). It is worth noting that supplementation with YE is one of the elements that has the greatest impact on the cost of culture media, i.e. accounting for almost 38% of the cost (Castillo-Martinez et al., 2013); therefore, this is one of the main hurdles in economic LA production by biotechnology.

The biomass concentration attained by the G1 strain in DW medium was 2.5 g l\(^{-1}\), which is half of what was obtained by Bernardo et al. (2016), i.e. 4.3 g l\(^{-1}\) for \(L.\) rhamnosus (Bernardo et al., 2016). The maximum specific growth rates of the G1 strain in MRSM-50 and DW media were 0.23 and 0.19 h\(^{-1}\), respectively. Even if these rates are not optimum, they are in the range of 0.2–1.1 h\(^{-1}\), which was reported for lactose-containing culture media (Altio, 2006).

LA yields from lactose (\(Y_{\text{LA}}\)) in MRSM-50 and DW media were 1.2 and 1.05 g g\(^{-1}\), respectively; the latter value is much higher than that reported in the literature, which ranges between 0.2 and 0.38 g g\(^{-1}\) for this substrate (Hofvendahl & Hahn-Hägerdal, 2000). The observed 100% substrate conversion and \(Y_{\text{LA}}\) of 1.05 g g\(^{-1}\) indicate that the G1 strain has a homofermentative metabolism and is a productive microorganism that yields efficiencies equal to the theoretical maximum value, although there are reports on yields (i.e. \(Y_{\text{LA}}\)) of 1.5 g g\(^{-1}\) (Bernardo et al., 2016).

The LA concentration of 56.9 g l\(^{-1}\) achieved in this study in DW medium containing 49.9 g l\(^{-1}\) of lactose exceeds the concentration achieved in other studies (36 g l\(^{-1}\) of LA from a lactose concentration of 57.6 g l\(^{-1}\) (Eldeleklioglu et al., 2013). More recently, Rojas et al. (2015), obtained an LA concentration of 36.7 g l\(^{-1}\) from a lactose concentration of 47 g l\(^{-1}\) in whey, resulting in \(Y_{\text{LA}}\) of 0.83 g g\(^{-1}\). These comparative data demonstrate

Table 3. Lactic acid yields using different bacteria strain and substrate.

| Microorganism      | Substrate            | \(S_o\) | \(Q\) | LA | \(Y_{\text{LA}}\) | \(\chi\) | Reference         |
|--------------------|----------------------|--------|------|----|-----------------|-------|------------------|
| \(Lb.\) rhamnosus  | Lactowhey            | 90     | 1.18 | 57 | 0.83            | 76    | Bernardo et al. (2016) |
| \(Lb.\) casei      | Glucose              | 27     | 0.697| 17.5| 0.81            | 79.9  | Ha et al. (2003)    |
| \(Lb.\) amylophilus| GV6                  | 37     | 29   |   | 0.96            | 81.6  | Altif et al. (2007) |
| \(Lb.\) casei      | Glucose              | 120    | 3    | 120| 1               | 100   | Lee (2005)          |
| \(Lb.\) delbrueckii|                      |        |      |    |                 |       |                   |
| \(Lb.\) delbrueckii| spp lactis           |        |      |    |                 |       |                   |
| \(Lb.\) helveticus |                      |        |      |    |                 |       |                   |
| \(E.\) faecalis RKY1| Sugars              | 130(68)| 4.3  | 65.1| 0.98            | 98    | Wei et al. (2004)   |
| \(Lb.\) plantarum  | Lactose              | 40     | 0.95 | 41 | 0.95-1.03       | 100   | Fu & Mathews (1999) |
| \(Lb.\) casei      | Lactowhey            | 60(40) | 0.93 | 33.7| 0.89            | 95    | Panesar et al. (2010) |
| \(Lb.\) helveticus R211| Lactowhey      | 110(90) | 2.16 | 65  | 0.72            | 100   | Schepers et al. (2002) |
| \(Lb.\) paracasei G1| Lactowhey            | 50     | 1.46 | 52.7| 1.05            | 100   | This study**       |
| \(Lb.\) paracasei G1| MRSM-50              | 50     | 1.99 | 59.8| 1.2             | 100   | This study**       |

\(Lb.\): Lactobacillus; \(E.\): Enterococcus; LA: lactic acid. *After 36h of fermentation; **after 30 h of fermentation when it reaches the max value of LA.
the potential of the G1 strain for the treatment of waste from the dairy industry (Figure 3B).

The LA productivities obtained in the batch system using MRSM-50 and DW media were 1.99 and 1.46 g l⁻¹ h⁻¹, respectively, and these values ranged between 0.7 and 4.3 g l⁻¹ h⁻¹ (Table 3). Productivity depends on the initial substrate concentration and incubation time in a batch system, requiring their careful comparison (Table 3). The lactose concentration in whey is approximately 50 g l⁻¹, which does not limit LA production as a result of substrate inhibition because that only occurs at concentrations >100 g l⁻¹ (Kim et al., 2006). The 100% conversion attained in this study confirms that no lactose inhibition occurs during fermentation in whey.

5 Conclusions

A Lactobacillus strain named G1 was isolated, which was later identified as L. paracasei paracasei. This isolate was cultured in DW supplemented with YE (4 g l⁻¹) and reached Ye, of 1.05 g g⁻¹. In batch production, the performance of the G1 strain was 1.46 g (L h)⁻¹, whereas in continuous production, its performance was 2.99 g (L h)⁻¹. The low EL requirements and 100% conversion of lactose in whey contribute to the cost-effective nature of this process. This promising strain could become a viable option for the treatment of dairy industry residues, while not only meeting the disposal requirements but also increasing profits with LA as a by-product.
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