Techniques for the detection of pathogenic Cryptococcus species in wood decay substrata and the evaluation of viability in stored samples
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In this study, we evaluated several techniques for the detection of the yeast form of Cryptococcus in decaying wood and measured the viability of these fungi in environmental samples stored in the laboratory. Samples were collected from a tree known to be positive for Cryptococcus and were each inoculated on 10 Niger seed agar (NSA) plates. The conventional technique (CT) yielded a greater number of positive samples and indicated a higher fungal density [in colony forming units per gram of wood (CFU.g-1)] compared to the humid swab technique (ST). However, the difference in positive and false negative results between the CT-ST was not significant. The threshold of detection for the CT was 0.05.108 CFU.g-1, while the threshold for the ST was greater than 0.1.104 CFU.g-1. No colonies were recovered using the dry swab technique. We also determined the viability of Cryptococcus in wood samples stored for 45 days at 25ºC using the CT and ST and found that samples not only continued to yield a positive response, but also exhibited an increase in CFU.g-1, suggesting that Cryptococcus is able to grow in stored environmental samples. The ST, in which samples collected with swabs were immediately plated on NSA medium, was more efficient and less laborious than either the CT or ST and required approximately 10 min to perform; however, additional studies are needed to validate this technique.
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Cryptococcosis is an emerging systemic mycosis worldwide and is the major cause of fungal meningoencephalitis. The causative agents of cryptococcosis are the zoopathogenic, basidiomycetous, phenol-oxidase-producing encapsulated yeasts belonging to the Cryptococcus neoformans/Cryptococcus gattii species complex; formerly considered subspecies, C. neoformans and C. gattii are now recognised as distinct species (Kwon-Chung et al. 2002). The primary ecologic niche of both pathogens is the decaying wood of trees and was first described by Lazéra et al. (2000). The wide spectrum of host trees and the non-specific relationship of these cryptococcal species with ligninaceous substrata have become increasingly evident through studies conducted in different countries (Lazéra et al. 1996, 2000, Fortes et al. 2001, Granados & Castañeda 2005, Refojo et al. 2009, Chowdhary et al. 2012). The use of different or modified techniques to isolate Cryptococcus from the environment has made it difficult to compare studies among regions, habitats and specific sources of Cryptococcus. Environmental studies of C. neoformans and C. gattii have traditionally analysed wood scrapings using the conventional technique (CT) in which saline-suspended samples are plated on Niger seed (Guizotia abyssinica) extract agar (NSA), with some variations (Lazera et al. 2000, Fortes et al. 2001, Kidd et al. 2004). In recent years, however, a variation on the conventional collection procedure known as the swabbing technique (ST) has been implemented, as well as other procedures that are similar to the CT (Davel et al. 2003, Randhawa et al. 2005, Kidd et al. 2007). The aim of this study was to compare the efficiency of these and other techniques for the isolation of C. neoformans and C. gattii from decomposing wood within the hollows of living trees and to evaluate the viability of these pathogens in stored environmental samples.

We obtained samples for this study from the inner surface of a hollow Cassia grandis tree that first tested positive for C. neoformans in 1996 (Lazéra et al. 1996) and was subsequently positive for C. neoformans and C. gattii at the time of this study in 2007 (B Morales et al., unpublished observations). Ten areas of approximately 25 cm2 each on the inner surface of the tree were marked for collection. Each sample analysed by each technique was plated on 10 NSA plates containing 200 mg/L chloramphenicol. Moist, shiny, dark brown colonies were identified as belonging to the C. neoformans/C. gattii species complex by morphophysiological tests. Positive samples were capsulated yeasts without pseudohyphae, thermo-tolerant at 37°C and cycloheximide-sensitive at 25°C and 37°C (Lazéra et al. 1996). Samples were further analysed using the bioMérieux Vitek 1-32 System to confirm that the isolates belonged to the C. neoformans/C. gattii species complex. No distinction between these two species was made in this study.
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To compare the two isolation techniques, samples were collected from each of the 10 areas on the same day. For the CT, samples were obtained by scraping, transported in sterile bottles at room temperature (RT) and processed within 2 h. Following vigorous homogenisation with a mortar, 1 g of the sample was suspended in 50 mL of sterile saline containing chloramphenicol (SSC) at 0.2 g.L\(^{-1}\), shaken vigorously for 5 min and allowed to rest for 30 min. From this settled suspension, 0.1 mL of supernatant was plated on NSA plates, which were then incubated at 25\(^\circ\)C for 5 days.

For the ST, samples were collected from each area using swabs dampened with SSC, which were transported in a sterile paper bag at RT and processed within 2 h. In the laboratory, the end of the swab was transferred to a plastic tube containing 10 mL of SSC and was shaken vigorously for 5 min. The remaining processing was identical to that of the CT; for the dry swab technique (DST), samples were collected and processed as for the ST, but dry cotton-tipped swabs were used for sample collection.

The viability of *C. neoformans/C. gattii* cells in samples of decaying wood stored 45 days at RT was also analysed. The samples that were obtained in the initial CT experiment, which had been stored in sterile plastic containers, were reprocessed using the CT and ST; for the latter technique, the humid swab was placed in the plastic bag containing the sample and was processed as in the first experiment. The sample from area 6 was not reprocessed due to an insufficient amount of material.

Finally, the processing times were compared for the three techniques used to isolate cryptococcal species in this study. Samples were collected on the same day from positive and negative areas that were chosen based on the results of the initial CT experiment. The samples were collected and processed using the CT, ST and DST approaches as described above. In addition, we evaluated two variations of the ST and the DST. For ST.1 and DST.1, NSA plates were inoculated in the field with a humid or dry swab, respectively, and the plates were transported to the RT laboratory for subsequent observation and subcultivation of colonies consistent with the yeast form of *Cryptococcus*. For ST.2 and DST.2, the samples were collected and were processed as for the ST and DST after one week of storage at RT in a sterile paper bag.

In this study, the fungal burden in each positive area was estimated from the total number of colonies observed on the NSA plates and is expressed as colony forming units per gram of wood (CFU.g\(^{-1}\)) for the CT and as colony forming units per swab (CFU/swab) for the ST, DST, ST.1, DST.1, ST.2 and DST.2.

The summary measures of the CFU data, including averages, standard deviations (SD), coefficients of variation and minimum and maximum values were submitted for statistical analysis. Fisher’s exact test was used to compare the proportions of positive and negative results among the studied techniques. Microsoft Excel 2003 and the Statistical Package for Social Sciences version 16.0 were used for data processing and statistical analysis. *p*-values < 0.05 indicated significant differences for the tests used. Differences in the number of colonies amongst the techniques and processing times were evaluated using the Wilcoxon unpaired test, which is equivalent to the Mann-Whitney test.

One hundred forty-two colonies identified as *C. neoformans/C. gattii* were isolated: 64.8% by the CT and 35.2% by the ST. The CT yielded a higher positivity by area (5/10) and a greater number of colony forming units (92 CFU.g\(^{-1}\)) compared to the ST (2/10 and 50 CFU/swab, respectively); however, these differences were not significant. No colonies were observed in any samples analysed by the DST. At 5% (*p* = 0.1667, Fisher’s exact test), there was no significant difference between the CT-ST with respect to the number of positive and false negative results.

The mean and SD of the number of colonies isolated from each of the analysed areas was 18.4 ± 24.05 CFU.g\(^{-1}\) for the CT and 10 ± 16.49 CFU/swab for the ST, with coefficients of variation of 130.69% and 164.92%, respectively. The threshold of detection was 0.05.10\(^{3}\) CFU.g\(^{-1}\) for the CT and 0.12.10\(^{3}\) CFU/swab for the ST (Table). Comparing the results of the CT and the ST for the same sampling areas revealed that the ST was positive in areas with 1,800 CFU.g\(^{-1}\) or greater and was negative in areas with 50 or 100 CFU.g\(^{-1}\), demonstrating that the dilution and volume of the original suspension are critical for a positive result, given the low density of these organisms.

In our analysis, we demonstrated that the CT was more efficient than the ST; however, this difference was not significant. Our results differ from those obtained by Randhawa et al. (2005) in India. In that study, which compared swabbing vs. the CT, the authors concluded that the ST was more efficient than the CT. However, a greater final dilution (1/100) and a smaller volume of the supernatant was plated on NSA plates (0.1 mL each on 2 plates) for the CT, which lowered the threshold of detection to 0.5.10\(^{3}\) CFU.g\(^{-1}\), compared to 0.05.10\(^{3}\) CFU.g\(^{-1}\) in the present study. Therefore, slight differences in technique prevent a comparative analysis of the CT results in that study and those of the present study.

We also evaluated the viability of *C. neoformans/C. gattii* following storage at RT for 45 days. The results were similar to those obtained with freshly isolated samples: the same proportion of areas tested positive with the CT (5/9) and the ST (2/9). A total of 2,022 colonies were isolated: 64.8% by the CT and 35.2% by the ST. A total of 2,022 colonies identified as *C. neoformans/C. gattii* were isolated: 90.2% by the CT and 9.8% by the ST. The greatest fungal burden observed was 8.96.10\(^{3}\) CFU.g\(^{-1}\) for sample A5 with the CT; when freshly isolated samples were used, sample A3 yielded the greatest fungal burden (2.6.10\(^{3}\) CFU.g\(^{-1}\)). The mean and SD of the number of colonies isolated from each of the analysed areas was 364.6 ± 798 CFU.g\(^{-1}\) for the CT and 99.5 ± 61.52 CFU/swab for the ST, with coefficients of variation of 218.87% and 157.24%, respectively (Table). The threshold of detection was 0.05.10\(^{3}\) CFU.g\(^{-1}\) for the CT and 0.56.10\(^{3}\) CFU/swab for the ST. However, there was no significant difference between the CT-ST (*p* = 0.1667).

We observed no loss of *C. neoformans/C. gattii* viability in decaying wood samples following storage. On the contrary, a greater number of CFU was obtained from the wood substrata that were stored for 45 days than from the freshly isolated samples, suggesting active growth of *C. neoformans/C. gattii*. It must be emphasised that the
stored samples were not treated to eliminate other microorganisms (bacterial and fungal filaments) that may compete with Cryptococcus for nutrients. The ability of stored samples to maintain viability may be particularly useful for field studies located far from the laboratory.

In addition to evaluating multiple collection techniques and the long-term viability of C. neoformans/C. gattii, we compared the processing times for samples collected using the techniques described above. Two hundred third-five colonies of C. neoformans/C. gattii were isolated from positive areas as follows: 65.1% with the ST.1, 22.1% with the CT, 12.3% with the ST and 0.5% with the DST.1. None of the other procedures yielded colonies. Statistical analysis revealed a significant difference (at the 5% level) in the CFU obtained with each technique. The ST.1 was more efficient than the CT (p = 0.0127) and the ST (p = 0.0017) and the CT was more efficient than the ST (p = 0.0280).

In this study, the number of positive results among dampened samples decreased rapidly due to bacterial growth as the time between sampling and processing increased. In addition, the CT is a more laborious technique than either the ST.1 or the ST. However, compared with the CT and the stored material, the rapid plating of samples with a swab (ST.1) or plated immediately on NSA medium as in the ST.1 was more efficient than the CT. This result was based on one positive area with a high density of Cryptococcus and should be evaluated further in additional comparative studies. For studies with a large number of samples, the ST may be a useful tool, but a decrease in positive results from substrata with low fungal density must be expected. We have found that, for the investigation of environmental sources of cryptococcosis agents, the best technique is to collect samples by scraping and store these samples for processing. Sampling should be performed with the humid swab technique and the samples should either be processed in the laboratory, as in the ST, or plated immediately on NSA medium as in the ST.1. If either the ST or the ST.1 is negative for dark brown colonies, the samples should be processed again using the CT and the stored material.
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