Observations from PEMS testing of combustion engines of different applications

The article compares data obtained in road tests with the latest legislative proposals relating to various applications of internal combustion engines. Passenger car emission tests have been performed several times on the same test route in accordance with the RDE procedure guidelines, for which a dozen or so criteria must be met, including the distance of each of the drive sections, their in the drive and the dynamic characteristics of the drive. The analysis was also based on a two-dimensional operating density characterization, presented in the vehicle speed-acceleration coordinates. As a result, it was possible to compare dynamic properties, operating time density and, thus, to check the validity of conducted drive tests in terms of their feasibility and emission values. An exhaust emission related comparison of three types of powertrain have been presented: gasoline, diesel and hybrid in the RDE tests. The authors proposed a new form of presenting the road exhaust emissions results in relation to the carbon dioxide emission, referred to as a standardization of the emission results. The exhaust emissions from city buses fitted with different powertrains tested on an actual bus route and in the SORT test were also compared.
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1. Introduction

Currently, a trend towards a more global consideration of environmental threats from the automotive industry can be observed. The provisions approving the use of vehicles (type approval tests and production compliance), periodic technical inspections and legal acts related directly and indirectly to the production, use and management of used products and waste treat the issues of environmental protection in a more comprehensive manner. Over the past years, there have been various systems for vehicle exhaust emissions measurement and control in individual countries, however a widespread unification began more recently [1, 5, 25, 34].

The dynamic development of transport in the last decades is an important factor in the world economic development and at the same time a significant source of problems related to it, especially significant on the local scale, especially in large urban agglomerations. The negative effects of transport are noticeable both for the natural environment and society. The widespread development of this sector has allowed society to overcome a significant barrier to civilization development, the effects vary depending on the level of economic development, level of advancement and use of various transport sectors, geographical location (mainly climate), as well as the sensitivity of the environment.

Preventing threats from transport from occurring, and when it is not possible – limiting their environmental impact, as well as the scale and scope of negative effects is extremely important [41]. Appropriate activities should be taken at administrative levels of authority, local governments as well as in the private sector. It is necessary to introduce appropriate legal and administrative regulations, provide adequate financial resources and human potential for the development of new technologies, spatial planning, rational design and maintenance of infrastructure, as well as for educating the public and rationalizing transport tasks.

The growing number of vehicles in the world and the rising pollution levels lead to an increase in the requirements placed to reduce exhaust emissions. Additionally the current level of technology advancement and technology in the industry, including all types of transport, increases the quality level of emission measuring devices [4, 8, 9]. In order for products to meet these requirements to an appropriate extent regarding the periodically changing regulations, the industry focus had to shift towards this aspect. Exhaust emission testing bears a significant level of complexity. Current emission analyzers require specific laboratory conditions, and type approval procedures include tests on engine and chassis dynamometers. Those, however, do not reflect the actual emissions in real operating conditions. The results of latest tests conducted in real conditions indicate that for some exhaust components this emission is higher by several hundred percent in case of both gas compounds [18, 21, 28] and particulates [32, 35, 39]. As a result, there is a visible trend towards the implementation of emissions measurement in real operating conditions for conventional [2, 23, 29] and hybrid vehicles [36, 40] as well as for two- and three-wheeled vehicles [38].

The fuel crisis of the 1970s made the world realize that the natural energy resources are limited. Nowadays, exceeding the ecological barrier is more and more often considered to be more dangerous than the depletion of fuel resources [6], especially since the issues of environmental protection in the world energy policy are not given due urgency in some countries. The increase in the world’s vehicle number leads to significant amounts of carbon dioxide, but it also depend on the types of transport and their use. In line with the European Union strategy, in force since 1995, the average level of carbon dioxide emissions from vehicles has been reduced to 130 g/km in 2015, and in 2020 this level in Europe is to be down to only 95 g/km [13].

The main research and development direction for manufacturers of vehicle drive systems, is a system based on the cooperation of a combustion engine and electric drive, i.e.
hybrid drive (Fig. 1). Hybrid vehicles currently have a noticeable share in the car market [3]. In the span of the next 15–20 years, hybrid drives should reach about 25% share of all new vehicles sold in the European Union. At the same time, other modern drive systems, such as the classic electric drive that draws energy from batteries and fuel cells, are expected to gain several percent of the market share.

The key technological factors for the development of internal combustion engines can be assessed in three aspects [19, 26, 29–32]:
- exhaust emission tests in RDE (Real Driving Emissions) traffic conditions, which results in the extension of the repeatable dynamometer tests by tests performed in various road conditions (Fig. 2),
- lowering the limit values of carbon dioxide emission will cause electrification of vehicle drives, which will force conventional combustion engines to reduce fuel consumption and increase their efficiency,
- lower exhaust emissions limits of harmful compounds result in a further reduction of NOx emissions for Diesel engines, while for SI engines with direct fuel injection a significant issue is the reduction of the number of particles.

Analysis of the route distance data in the urban section showed that the drive No. 2 had the largest distance covered, while the shortest was drive No. 4, however the length values of all drive cycles were within the acceptable range, i.e. they were greater than 16 km (Fig. 4a). Route data analysis for the rural section indicated that the longest route was travelled during drive No. 2, and the shortest for drive No. 8. The distances covered in all drive cycles were within the acceptable range, i.e. they were greater than 16 km (Fig. 4b). The motorway section was the longest for the drive No. 8, and the shortest for the drive No. 1. The route distances for drives No. 1 and 3 were too small. The remaining drives were within the acceptable range, i.e. their distance was longer than 16 km, and the average was 24.66 km (Fig. 4c).

2. Validation of RDE procedures requirements
The research route on which the tests were performed was designed to meet the European Commission requirements as described in the regulations [10, 11]. 8 measurement cycles were made while meeting the real driving conditions requirements in urban, rural and motorway areas in and around the city of Poznan. The average distance traveled was 80 km (Fig. 3, Table 1).

Analysis of the route distance data in the urban section showed that the drive No. 2 had the largest distance covered, while the shortest was drive No. 4, however the length values of all drive cycles were within the acceptable range, i.e. they were greater than 16 km (Fig. 4a). Route data analysis for the rural section indicated that the longest route was travelled during drive No. 2, and the shortest for drive No. 8. The distances covered in all drive cycles were within the acceptable range, i.e. they were greater than 16 km (Fig. 4b). The motorway section was the longest for the drive No. 8, and the shortest for the drive No. 1. The route distances for drives No. 1 and 3 were too small. The remaining drives were within the acceptable range, i.e. their distance was longer than 16 km, and the average was 24.66 km (Fig. 4c).

The urban drive section time density was the highest for the drive No. 3, and the smallest – for the drive No. 2. The time density of the urban part of all drives (except for the drive No. 2) were all within the valid range of 29–44% (Fig. 5a). Time density of the rural section of the drive was the highest for the drive No. 1, and the lowest – for the drive No. 8. But these values were only deemed acceptable for drives No. 5–8 as being in the range of 23–43%. The remaining drives did not meet this requirement and were thus deemed invalid (Fig. 5b).
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The highest time density value for the motorway section drives data analysis was found for drive No. 8, and the smallest – for drive No. 1. The rural section time density values were in the valid range of 23–43% for all drives except the drives No. 1, 3 and 4 (Fig. 5c).

The average speed data analysis in the urban section showed that the highest speed was found for drive No. 2, and the smallest speed for drive No. 1. The average speed values of all drives are within the required range, i.e. from 15 km/h to 40 km/h (Fig. 6a). The drive cycle duration was the longest for the drive No. 4, and the shortest for the drive
All drives were within the required time range, i.e. from 90 to 120 minutes (Fig. 6b).

The data analysis on the standstill time density in the urban section indicated that the drive No. 1 had the highest share value, and drive No. 2 the smallest. All drives reached the total drive cycle share of vehicle standstill that was within the acceptable range, i.e. 6–30%. Drive No. 1 was the only exception, as it did not meet this requirement (Fig. 6c).

Figure 7 is a two-dimensional representation of the vehicle time density characteristics for valid drives (drives 5–8), which can be described as follows: the largest share of vehicle operation time in the tested traffic conditions is vehicle standstill and moving at a constant speed ($V = 0$ m/s²).

Fig. 6. Comparison between the average urban test section drive speed (a), the total test drive duration (b) and the vehicle stop participation in the urban section with the indication of limit values (required) for all the drives as well as the average value

Fig. 7. Vehicle’s operating time density in individual speed and acceleration ranges: a) for drive No. 5, b) for drive No. 6, c) for drive No. 7, d) for drive No. 8
For all the drive cycles the largest operating time density for the vehicle was observed for the idle mode and the average speed value range (V = 24 m/s for a = 0 m/s² except for drive No. 7). This is the result of a constant speed during the test drive cycle (marked in red), however this fact did not invalidate the road emission results obtained for drive No. 6 (4.3 \times 10^{12} 1/km), while drive No. 6 had the lowest value (2.5 \times 10^{12} 1/km).

The character of the particle number road emission was similar to the previous results (Fig. 11): the highest value was obtained for drive No. 8 (4.3 \times 10^{12} 1/km), while drive No. 6 had the lowest value (2.5 \times 10^{12} 1/km).

In order to compare the value ranges in individual road exhaust emissions, a relative error was determined for each component of the road emission in each drive, as described by the formula:
The analysis of individual relative errors allows the following relationships to be found:

- the relative error of carbon monoxide road emissions values between individual drives does not exceed 15%; all drives were within this range (including the drives that failed to meet the RDE requirements). In this case, the highest relative error values (i.e. 14.5% and −15%) were obtained for the valid RDE tests. The relative error of carbon monoxide road emissions for invalid tests was lower than in the case of valid ones, i.e. carbon dioxide emission values obtained from the tests not meeting the RDE requirements were mostly similar to each other – in contrast to the values obtained in the tests that were valid for the RDE procedures;
- the relative error value of nitrogen oxides road emissions between individual drives did not exceed about 6%; all drives were in this range – except for drive No. 2 (not meeting RDE requirements), whose result was reported to be lower by about 20% from the average value of the valid drives. At the same time, there is no unambiguous trend in the values of relative error for invalid drives;
- the nature of the relative particle number error confirms the previous results, that the relative error between individual valid drives is the largest and exceeds 30%; all drives were in this range – except for drive No. 2.

The analysis of individual requirements specified in Regulations 2016/427 [11] and 2016/646 [12] confirmed the validity of the RDE test procedure for four out of eight performed drive tests. Invalid drives were characterized by either too short drive distance, too small share of driving in particular test sections, too long time spent idle in the urban section and too low driving dynamics in the rural part.

The analysis of the test results does not allow to unambiguously determine the errors and uncertainties of the obtained emission results with the assumed number of road test errors made.

However, it should be noted that the highest values of road exhaust emissions were obtained for drives in which the urban section share was small but with a large share of the motorway section. This shows a significant impact of RDE test sections on the road emission results, where a high engine load is used, and the exhaust emission intensity is greatest (as well as the of exhaust aftertreatment systems efficiency not being at maximum).

The conducted research lead to determining the scatter of the obtained exhaust emission results for RDE tests performed in accordance with the European Union procedures. The results scatter values (for passenger vehicles with direct fuel injection gasoline engines, meeting the Euro 6b standard) were as follows:
- for carbon monoxide road emissions results the scatter was ±15%,
- for nitrogen oxides road emissions results the scatter was ±6%,
- for particle number road emissions results the scatter was ±32%.

However, the issue of a greater impact of the RDE test section or driving dynamics (defined by indicators of relative positive acceleration or the product of velocity and acceleration) on the exhaust emission values was not resolved in this research. Describing and testing these relations will be the subject of further research using passenger cars powered by various fuels and hybrid vehicles.

3. Hybrid, Diesel and Gasoline vehicles in the RDE tests

Three vehicles were used for the exhaust emission tests in real traffic conditions. The same vehicle models of the same manufacturer were tested, but they had different drive system solutions. The first car was a hybrid vehicle equipped with a spark-ignition engine with an indirect multipoint gasoline injection and a displacement of 1.8 dm³, working in parallel with an electric motor. The second of the tested vehicles was equipped with a compression-ignition engine with common rail direct injection with a turbocharger, with a displacement volume of 1.4 dm³. The last tested vehicle had an MPI gasoline engine with a displacement of 1.6 dm³.

The research goal was to determine the average road exhaust emission values of all measured components for each of the tested vehicles in urban, rural and motorway driving conditions, with the use of the developed Excel spreadsheet, that was used to convert the raw data according to the EMROAD method, as proposed by the European Commission.

The traffic conditions for each of the tested vehicles were very similar (Fig. 12). The similarity results mainly from the guidelines on the conditions and dynamics of the test drives, as listed in the regulations of the European Commission [8–11]. The tested vehicles passed almost the same distances in individual driving sections. Each vehicle covered a distance of about 27 km in urban driving section. Distance travelled by vehicles in rural driving section was shorter than in the urban section and amounted to approx. 25 km. The distance covered by cars while driving on the motorway was the shortest and ranged from 22 to approx. 23 km. For urban driving, Driving at speeds below 60 km/h was considered a part of the urban drive section, for the rural drive section all the drive time moving at speeds between 60 and 90 km/h was used, and for the motorway section it was driving at speeds above 90 km/h. The vehicle drive speed characteristics do not differ much, because the test drives were performed on the same route and because the drives needed to meet the requirements for the distance traveled within each drive section (minimum 16 km for each of the three sections). The average speed of urban driving (marked in green) was about 50 km/h for each of the tested vehicles. The average speed of driving in the rural section (marked in blue) was approx. 80 km/h for all vehicles. The average speed of all tested vehicles in motorway driving was about 110 km/h. None of the vehicles moved at speeds exceeding 120 km/h during the RDE test.
The hybrid vehicle has met the requirements for dynamic drive conditions. Both the values of relative positive acceleration (RPA) and the 95th centile of the product of speed and acceleration did not exceed the minimum and maximum limit for individual driving categories respectively (Fig. 13). The minimum required number of one hundred and fifty sets of data with acceleration values \( a_i > 0.1 \) m/s\(^2\) for each drive section was also met. The value of RPA was 0.19 m/s\(^2\) for urban driving, 0.056 m/s\(^2\) for rural driving, and 0.044 m/s\(^2\) for motorway driving. The 95th centile value of the speed and acceleration product of was 10.2 m\(^2\)/s\(^3\) for urban driving, 15.1 m\(^2\)/s\(^3\) for rural driving and 16.5 m\(^2\)/s\(^3\) for motorway driving.

The diesel vehicle test drive results met the requirements for dynamic conditions for the relative positive acceleration (RPA) and the 95th percentile of the velocity and acceleration product for each drive section. For urban driving the RPA value was 0.21 m/s\(^2\), for rural driving it was 0.06 m/s\(^2\) and for motorway driving it equaled 0.05 m/s\(^2\). The value of the 95th centile \((v \cdot a_{pos})\) reached 11.0 m\(^2\)/s\(^3\) for urban driving, 18.1 m\(^2\)/s\(^3\) for rural driving and 15.78 m\(^2\)/s\(^3\) for motorway driving.

The drive made with the vehicle with an SI engine met the drive dynamics requirements. Both the values of the relative positive acceleration (RPA) and the values of the 95th centile of the product of velocity and acceleration for each drive section were within the calculated limit values. In addition, the number of data sets with acceleration values \( a_i > 0.1 \) m/s\(^2\) exceeded 150 for each the urban, rural and motorway drive sections. The value of RPA for urban driving was 0.22 m/s\(^2\) for rural driving it was 0.08 m/s\(^2\), and
0.07 m/s² for motorway driving. The values of the 95th centile of the velocity and acceleration product (v · aₚ₀₅) for urban, rural and motorway sections were respectively 11.4 m²/s³, 14.3 m²/s³ and 13.8 m²/s³.

The average CO road emission from the hybrid vehicle for the RDE test has been shown (Fig. 14). The largest average CO emission was recorded for urban driving and it reached approximately 0.28 g/km. Very similar values were obtained for CO emissions for rural and motorway sections. With the EMROAD method, they are equal to 0.098 g/km and 0.087 g/km respectively, and for the method using all data: 0.08 g/km and 0.07 g/km. The average carbon monoxide emission in the whole RDE test was 0.15 g/km. The differences in the emissions obtained by the two methods are small – in urban drive sections it is only 0.003 g/km. The largest difference is in the case of CO emissions is for rural driving – 0.018 g/km. The CO road emission, calculated using the EMROAD method, is higher for all drive sections and for the entire drive cycle.

The average road emission of carbon monoxide from a vehicle with a CI engine was the largest when driving in the urban section. The emission obtained using the EMROAD method is 0.030 g/km and is 0.005 g/km lower than the value obtained by the all data method. A similar noticeable difference appears in the case of rural driving emissions, except that in this case the emission determined by the EMROAD method is higher by 0.004 g/km than the second method and equals 0.013 g/km. The average CO road emission in motorway driving was the smallest and for both methods it amounted to 0.011 and 0.010 g/km. While in the whole RDE test, the average CO emission reached 0.018 and 0.019 g/km for the two methods.

The determined average CO road emission is presented in the form of CF factors and referred to the permitted CF value (Fig. 15). The current regulations do not specify the absolute value of the CFₗ₉₈ emission index, but it should appear in future amendments to the regulations. Temporarily, the EC Regulation only recommends the registration of emission data, but as part of the analysis of the test results, a CO emission index limit of 2.1 has been established.

The hybrid vehicle emitted the most nitrogen oxides in the motorway drive section – approx. 0.024 g/km. Differences in relation to emissions in other categories were small. The average NOₓ road emission in the rural section was 0.02 g/km for the EMROAD method and 0.022 g/km for the method using all data. The smallest average road emission was recorded for urban driving and it was 0.0185 g/km for the EMROAD method and 0.02 g/km for the method using all measurement data. Interestingly, the emission determined with the use of all measurement data is greater than that determined by the moving averaging windows method, which is different from previous measured exhaust components. The average road emission of NOₓ in the entire RDE test is equal to about 0.22 g/km (Fig. 16).

The average road emission of nitrogen oxides from the vehicle with a CI engine was the greatest for urban driving and equalled 0.90 g/km with the EMROAD method and 0.82 g/km with the all data method. The smallest emission value was recorded for rural driving and reached 0.36 and 0.32 g/km for the two methods. In motorway driving, differences in exhaust emissions between the two methods were small and it could be said that the average emission was 0.5 g/km. In the whole RDE test, the average NOₓ emission was 0.588 g/km for the moving averaging windows method and 0.56 g/km for the method using all the measurement data.
The results of average NO\textsubscript{x} emissions for a SI vehicle obtained by the two different methods for individual drive sections as well as the entire RDE test do not differ so much in the case of CO emissions. The highest average road NO\textsubscript{x} emission was recorded for urban driving, with values of 0.017 and 0.020 g/km for the moving averaging windows method and the method using all measurement data respectively. The emission of nitrogen oxides in the urban drive section was the smallest among all the sections and reached 0.011 g/km for the EMROAD method and 0.009 g/km for the other method. For motorway drive section the emission results for both methods were almost the same at approx. 0.012 g/km. In the whole RDE test, the average NO\textsubscript{x} emission ranged from 0.013 to 0.014 g/km.

The Euro 6 standard specifies that the NO\textsubscript{x} road emission from the tested vehicle must not exceed 0.06 g/km. The EC Regulation states that for RDE road tests the NO\textsubscript{x} emission is not to exceed the CF\textsubscript{NO\textsubscript{x}} factor equal to 2.1, which means that emissions in real driving conditions must not exceed 0.126 g/km (Fig. 17). The CF\textsubscript{NO\textsubscript{x}} emission factors for individual drive sections are very small and range from 0.31 for urban driving to 0.40 for motorway driving. The CF\textsubscript{NO\textsubscript{x}} factor for the whole test drive is approx. 0.35, which means that the Euro 6 limit has not been exceeded.

The nitrogen oxide emission conformity factor for the CI vehicle clearly exceeded the legal limit value in both urban and motorway driving. For urban driving, the tested vehicle exceeded the emission limit by up to five times. And for motorway driving, the value of the CF\textsubscript{NO\textsubscript{x}} factor ranged depending on the analysis method from 2.74 to 2.83. The smallest values of this factor were achieved when driving in rural areas and did not exceed the maximum permitted value of 2.1, but they are still greater than 1. which means that the emission limit itself was exceeded. The average value of the NO\textsubscript{x} emission conformity factor in the whole RDE test exceeded 3, therefore, the vehicle emits more than three times more nitrogen oxides in real driving conditions than allowed in the type approval tests on the chassis dynamometer.

The NO\textsubscript{x} emission factors obtained for an SI vehicle did not exceed the limit value of 2.1 in any drive section nor in the whole test. What is more the CF\textsubscript{NO\textsubscript{x}} factor did not exceed 1, which means that in real driving conditions the vehicle did not exceed the legal emission limit value.

The particle number emissions from the tested hybrid vehicle vary greatly depending on the vehicle category considered (Fig. 18). In the case of particle emissions, differences in the results between the two measurement data analysis methods are noticeable. The highest emission values were recorded for the vehicle moving in urban areas. In this case, the emission determined based on all data was 1.7 \cdot 10^{12} 1/km, while the emission obtained with the EMROAD method was lower and reached the value of 1.4 \cdot 10^{12} 1/km. As part of the rural drive section, the emission determined using the moving averaging window method was higher at 5.2 \cdot 10^{11} 1/km, while the emission obtained by the second method was 3.4 \cdot 10^{11} 1/km. The particulate matter emission determined for the motorway section has reached the same values for both methods and was equal to 5.5 \cdot 10^{11} 1/km. The average particle number road emission in the entire RDE test was higher for the method using all measurement data and reached the value of 9.1 \cdot 10^{11} 1/km, while the emission value obtained by the EMROAD method was 8.4 \cdot 10^{11} 1/km.

The differences in the numerical emission of particles obtained by the two methods for the CI engine are not as large as in the case of particle emissions from the hybrid vehicle. The biggest difference is noticeable in the case of PN emissions for urban driving. The emission determined by the EMROAD method was 1.4 \cdot 10^{12} 1/km and was 2 \cdot 10^{11} 1/km greater than the emission obtained by the second method. The average particle number emission in urban driving was very similar for both analysis methods and reached the value of approx. 5.7 \cdot 10^{12} 1/km. For motorway driving, the average PN emission value was again similar for both methods and amounted to approx. 4.2 \cdot 10^{12} 1/km. In the whole RDE test, the diesel vehicle emitted 8.1 \cdot 10^{12} 1/km according to the EMROAD method and 7.7 \cdot 10^{12} 1/km for the other method.

The PN emission determined for a vehicle with a gasoline engine in the urban section using the all data method was 1 \cdot 10^{12} 1/km and was more than 1/3 higher than the emission obtained with the EMROAD method. On the other hand, the road emission of PN in the rural section was greater for the moving averaging windows method at 9.2 \cdot 10^{11} 1/km, while the method of all measurement data obtained the value 8.4 \cdot 10^{11} 1/km. In the case of motorway section, the average PN road emission determined using the
EMROAD method is more than double that of the second method and amounts to \(9 \cdot 10^{11}/\text{km}\). Similarly to the case of CO emission results, the emission for the whole RDE test obtained using both methods is similar, despite large differences in values for individual drive sections. The average PN emission in the test was \(8.2 \cdot 10^{11}/\text{km}\) according to the moving averaging window method and \(7.6 \cdot 10^{11}/\text{km}\) for the second method.

Although the particle number emission is a problem for gasoline engines mostly limited only to solutions with direct gasoline injection, and the tested vehicle was equipped with an MPI gasoline engine, it was assumed for the test results analysis that the vehicle is subject to the numerical limit of particle emission equal to \(6 \cdot 10^{11}/\text{km}\). The adopted limit applies to vehicles with SI DI engines starting from September 2017, replacing the temporary limit of \(6 \cdot 10^{12}/\text{km}\). The \(\text{CF}_{\text{PN}}\) particle number emission factor has not yet been announced, but in the future it is expected to be 1.5. As part of the analysis of particle emissions, \(\text{CF}_{\text{PN}}\) emission conformity factors for each drive section were calculated and compared to the mentioned limit value of 1.5.

The hybrid vehicle exceeded the particle matter emission factor only in the urban drive section. According to the method using all measurement data, the factor was exceeded almost twice – and reached the value 2.91. In the EMROAD method, this factor was 2.37. For this vehicle driving in the rural and motorway sections, the PN emission factor was less than 1, meaning that the road emission did not exceed the limit of \(6 \cdot 10^{11}/\text{km}\). The \(\text{CF}_{\text{PN}}\) value for the whole test drive was equal to 1.5 according to the method using all data and 1.40 according to the EMROAD method, which means that the number of particles the vehicle emitted in the entire test was close to the emission limit value defined for standard RDE tests (Fig. 19).

The \(\text{CF}_{\text{PN}}\) values for individual drive sections and for the entire test for a diesel vehicle are very similar to those of the \(\text{CF}_{\text{PN}}\) for a hybrid vehicle. The permitted limit value of 1.5 \(\text{CF}_{\text{PN}}\) is exceeded only in the case of urban driving and reaches a maximum value of 2.36 according to the moving averaging window method. In the rural and motorway sections, the emission factor value does not exceed 1, which again means that the average particle number emission in these sections does not exceed the limit specified in the Euro 5 standard. The PN emission factor for the whole RDE test of the diesel vehicle was on average around 1.3, i.e. the emission exceeded the limit value of the Euro 5 standard, but it did not exceed the allowed PN emission deviation of the emission conformity factor in real driving conditions.

![Fig. 18. Particle number emission for each of the vehicles in the whole RDE test (EMROAD and all data)](image)

The test results showed that the diesel vehicle indeed emitted more than three times more nitrogen oxides than the current standard allows, thus exceeding the permissible value of the \(\text{CF}_{\text{NOx}}\) emission factor, which confirms the results of many domestic and foreign research centers [11, 16, 20]. Both the tested hybrid vehicle, equipped with an SI engine and a vehicle equipped with only a gasoline engine, exceeded the limit of particle number emission, but their emission did not meet the minimum values set in the form of conformity factors, or exceed them. The hybrid vehicle and the gasoline vehicle were equipped with an indirect, multi-point gasoline injection, and thus the PN emission limit does not apply to these vehicles. The vehicle with the diesel engine, like the other vehicles, also exceeded the PN emission limit, but its emission factor did not reach the maximum permissible value. The average CO road emission from all vehicles in individual driving sections and in the whole RDE test was small and did not exceed the limits set by the standards. The average NO\(_x\) road emission from the hybrid vehicle and the gasoline vehicle was relatively small, both in individual drive sections and in the entire RDE test and also did not exceed the Euro limits.

### 4. Standardization of exhaust emission results – relation to carbon dioxide road emission

The performed emissivity verification tests of passenger cars with internal combustion engines (Gasoline, Diesel, complying with Euro 3–Euro 6 emission limits) in real driving conditions constituted the first verification of the value and usefulness of the developed tool – a universal on-board exhaust emissions measurement system. Determining the emissivity in road conditions and comparison with the values of road carbon dioxide emissions made it possible to determine the coefficient of Standardization of Exhaust Emission (SEE).

\[
\text{Real road emission} \quad [\text{g/km}] = \frac{\text{mass emission [g]}}{\text{(PEMS)}} \cdot \frac{\text{distance [km]}}{\text{(OBD, GPS)}}
\]

![Fig. 19. Particle number emission conformity factor values for each of the vehicles in the whole RDE test (EMROAD and all data)](image)
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Analysis of the data presented in Fig. 20 and 21 shows that the coefficient values obtained in real operation are smaller the higher the vehicles emission class. For gasoline engines, the SEE index for the emissions of carbon monoxide, hydrocarbons and nitrogen oxides ranges from 0.001% to 0.5% (Fig. 20). For CI engines, the SEE index related to the emission of carbon monoxide, hydrocarbons, nitrogen oxides and particulate matter ranges from 0.01% to 4% (Fig. 21).

Comparison of these results indicates that the SEE coefficient for vehicles with Diesel engines reaches values around 10 times greater than for vehicles with Gasoline engines.

5. Exhaust emission tests for city buses

Urban public transport plays an important role for cities and their inhabitants in many ways. It ensures a more economic use of natural resources and is less harmful to the environment than individual automotive transport. Public transport is therefore the main pillar of urban mobility for the future. However, this is only possible if urban public transport vehicles are low-emission. A large part of the city public transport is ecological in many ways already. Road transport is responsible for around 54% of nitrogen oxide emissions and 10% of particulate emissions. The reduction of these two components of exhaust emissions as well as carbon dioxide (fuel consumption) is currently the key issue in the development of urban bus drives [7, 15, 20]. The Polish market of urban buses numbers around 12,000 and has been quite stable for several years (50% of the vehicles used are 10 years or younger). However, it should be mentio
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The analysis of the internal combustion engines dynamic operating conditions in real operation can be simplified to the analysis of a given parameter in the vehicle speed-acceleration coordinates. This approach is similar to the analysis of the engines static characteristics in the coordinates of the engine-load and speed. If the entire vehicle with the drive system is treated as a "closed object" (a so-called black box), then making such an assumption allows analysis of selected exhaust emission components regardless of internal changes taking place in the considered system. This assumption does not exclude the simultaneous collection of the drive system operating data (including the engine speed and load obtained from the on-board diagnostic system). The description of any parameter, e.g. the emission intensity of a given exhaust component, in coordinates, e.g. vehicle speed-acceleration was made using two-dimensional probability density histograms, which constitute a record of the duration of individual engine load being in a given interval or their share of the total duration. Although such characteristics do not take into account the dynamic properties of the engine, defined on the basis of engine control-torque relationship, the published optimization results based on their use indicate that such simplification is acceptable.

In order to compare the share of bus operating time in the selected speed and acceleration intervals, differences were determined between these values as obtained in tests on the route No. 2 and in the SORT 2 drive test (Fig. 25). Analysis of the graphs indicates that for the conventional vehicle the average value obtained was 1.5%. For the intervals described by the parameters (0 m/s; 0 m/s²) and (6–10 m/s; 0–0.8 m/s²), as well as (12–14 m/s; –1.6–0.8 m/s²) the largest differences of more than 5% were found.

The conventional bus drive system (Fig. 26) in the city road tests spent 9% less time in the interval (600 rpm, 800 rpm) and (0 N·m; 200 N·m) as well as 3.8–5.6% in the (1400 N·m; 1600 N·m) load interval.

Analysis of the measured exhaust components emission intensity, presented in the engine speed and load intervals, allows to find the impact of the combustion engine operation parameters on the exhaust content of individual harmful components. For a conventional bus the highest intensity of carbon monoxide emission occurred in the full range of recorded speed values with a load greater than 1200 N·m – the average value of this parameter is 196 mg/s (Fig. 27). Such a distribution of data is primarily the result of the engine characteristics, where at high load the injected fuel dose did not mix properly and incomplete combustion occurred. In a vehicle equipped with a hybrid drive, the emission intensity of the carbon monoxide depends mainly on the torque generated by the engine. For the third test vehicle, the content of the analyzed compound was mainly influenced by the rotational speed of the crankshaft, and to a lesser extent the engine load. For the interval of the
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Fig. 26. Difference in the operating time density of test vehicles between measurements on the test route and in the SORT 2 test, shown in the engine speed and load intervals.

Fig. 27. Carbon monoxide emission intensity in road tests: a) bus with a diesel engine, b) hybrid bus, c) bus powered by natural gas.

Fig. 28. Nitrogen oxides emission intensity in road tests: a) bus with a diesel engine, b) hybrid bus, c) bus powered by natural gas.

highest torque value, an average value of 295 mg/s carbon monoxide emission was measured.

A strong dependence of the nitrogen oxides emission intensity on the engine load can be seen for the conventional bus (Fig. 28). The highest values of the exhaust component were observed in the interval where torque was greater than 1200 N·m, where the average emission intensity measured reached 169 mg/s. The hybrid vehicle also shows a significant importance of the engine rotational speed on the emission of this component. In the engine speed interval of up to approximately 1,400 rpm, the NO\textsubscript{x} emission intensity values did not exceed 140 mg/s, which was the result of the electric system being used in the vehicle. Electric energy accumulated in batteries and supercapacitors effectively supported the operation of the internal combustion engine. The average nitrogen oxide emission intensity in the speed interval above 1600 rpm, for loads greater than 400 N·m, was 267 mg/s. Such high values were obtained mostly due to the parameters of the engine used in this vehicle, which had the lowest rated power among the tested vehicles. In a hybrid bus, due to the very high efficiency of the catalytic...
converter used in the reduction of nitrogen oxides, the maximum emission intensity of the analyzed exhaust component did not exceed 28 mg/s.

Based on the obtained specific exhaust emission results it is possible to refer their value to the emission limits that these test vehicles meet (Euro V–EEV). In order to do this, the emission conformity factor CF has to be determined. Since the CNG alternative fuel bus achieved CO emission values that were significant among the tested exhaust emissions in relation to the legislative guidelines, whereas for the vehicles with SI engines a similar situation occurred for the NOx emission values, further considerations were focused on these two exhaust compounds.

The specific CO emission conformity factors (Fig. 29) show that the vehicle with a conventional drive obtained values greater than 1 in both the SORT 1 test and on test route No. 1, which means that the legal emission limits were exceeded. For the hybrid bus the calculated CF\textsubscript{CO} factors were in the range of 0.14–0.85 for all measurement drive cycles. The exhaust emission values obtained for the third vehicle were greater than 1 in four cases (maximum 1.68 for the SORT 1 test).

![Fig. 29. Carbon monoxide emission conformity factor CF\textsubscript{CO} obtained from measurements in real operating conditions and the Euro V–EEV emission limits](image)

For the CF\textsubscript{NOx} factors it can be stated, that the smallest values were found for a bus powered by compressed natural gas and they were within the range of 0.16–0.32 (Fig. 30). Tests of the conventional vehicle powered with diesel oil have shown, that the exhaust emission limits were exceeded in the standardized SORT 1 and SORT 2 drive tests, on test route No. 1, as well as on the municipal bus line. The highest obtained values of the CF\textsubscript{NOx} factor (from 1.76 to 3.36) were observed for a hybrid bus in all analyzed test drive cycles. A major influence on this distribution of results were the exhaust gas aftertreatment systems placed in the exhaust systems of these vehicles. The first and second tested buses used the SCR system that did not reduce NOx continuously, but the degree of conversion depended on multiple factors, including exhaust mass flow and exhaust temperature.

The specific exhaust emission values are determined in type approval tests using the net engine performance parameters measured at the end of the crankshaft or its equivalent. In real operating conditions, the internal engine resistance is expressed as a percentage – one specific value for the entire engine speed range. However, it should be noted that this is a certain simplification affecting the final results of the research.

![Fig. 30. Nitrogen oxides emission conformity factor CF\textsubscript{NOx} obtained from measurements in real operating conditions and the Euro V–EEV emission limits](image)

6. Conclusions

Having a developed concept and research methodology for measuring exhaust emissions in real operating conditions for all means of transport equipped with combustion engines, using on-board diagnostics systems and measuring emissions while driving, is a modern achievement. In addition to emission measurements from motor vehicles (as well as off-road vehicles), exhaust emission measurements are also coordinated for trucks, buses, including hybrid vehicles, construction and agricultural machines (non-road), rail vehicles, ships, boats and aircraft with piston and jet engines. These activities assess the on-board emissions using measuring devices referred to as PEMS (Portable Emission Measurement System). These include devices for measuring gaseous exhaust emissions (CO, CO\textsubscript{2}, HC, NO\textsubscript{x}) as well as particulate matter (including mass, number and size distribution) [23, 37], and at the same time using data acquisition systems – collecting registered engine and vehicle operating parameters using on-board diagnostic systems or black boxes. The latter were introduced to vehicles through the development and implementation tests of on-board recording devices in motor vehicles.

The current level of measurement technology related to exhaust emission testing allows testing emission from means of transport in real operating conditions. The biggest disadvantage of such tests is the high cost of the measuring equipment and its adaptation to the vehicle exhaust systems and use in vehicles. Such measurements are currently carried out in all countries where environmental protection is a priority in order to compare the measuring capabilities of various measuring devices for various vehicles. As a result, the move towards legally acknowledging the measurement of vehicle exhaust emissions in real operating conditions is perceived as the final test to verify the vehicle as a whole in terms of its exhaust emissions. This type of research allows to determine the level of emission values of individual exhaust components in real driving conditions. In addition, they enable assessing the operational characteristics of the tested means of transport in terms of the operating time density for the engine load intervals and determining the engine operating conditions together with their share in the total operating time during the test. Such information may be compared to the results obtained using stationary engine and vehicle test procedures, which in the future may further the optimization of the engine operating points that are used in various modes of transport.

The research was funded by project co-financed by the European Regional Development Fund in the Regional Program – Wielkopolskie 2020 (contract No. RPWP.01.02.00-30-0069/16)
### Nomenclature

| Symbol | Definition |
|--------|------------|
| a       | acceleration |
| a_{pos} | positive acceleration |
| b       | road exhaust emissions value |
| CF      | conformity factor |
| CI      | compression ignition |
| CNG     | compressed natural gas |
| DI      | direct injection |
| EEV     | enhanced environmental friendly vehicle |
| EMROAD  | methods used to analyze on-road emissions data collected with portable emissions measurement systems |
| EOBD    | European on-board diagnostic |
| EU      | European Union |
| Euro    | standard emissions |
| GPF     | gasoline particle filter |
| GPS     | global positioning system |
| HEV     | hybrid electric vehicle |
| ICE     | internal combustion engine |
| GPF     | gasoline particle filter |
| MPI     | multi point injection |
| NEDC    | new European driving cycle |
| OBD     | on-board diagnostics |
| PEMS    | portable emission measurement system |
| PHEV    | plug-in hybrid electric vehicle |
| PMP     | Particle Measurement Programme |
| PM      | particle mass |
| PN      | particle number |
| RDE     | real driving emissions |
| RPA     | relative positive acceleration |
| S       | distance |
| SI      | spark ignition |
| SORT    | standardised on-road test cycles |
| SSE     | standardization of exhaust emission |
| u       | share |
| UITT    | international association of public transport |
| WLTC    | worldwide harmonized light vehicles test cycle |
| V       | velocity |
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