Hepatitis C Virus NS5B Protein Delays S Phase Progression in Human Hepatocyte-derived Cells by Relocalizing Cyclin-dependent Kinase 2-interacting Protein (CINP)°‡§
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Cell cycle dysregulation is a critical event in virus infection-associated tumorigenesis. Previous studies have suggested that hepatitis C virus NS5B modulates cell cycle progression in addition to participating in RNA synthesis as an RNA-dependent RNA polymerase. However, the molecular mechanisms have thus far remained unclear. In this study, a HepG2 Tet-On NS5B stable cell line was generated to confirm the effect of NS5B on the cell cycle. To better understand the role of NS5B in cell cycle regulation, yeast two-hybrid assays were performed using a human liver cDNA library. The cyclin-dependent kinase 2-interacting protein (CINP) was identified. The interaction between NS5B and CINP was further demonstrated by in vivo and in vitro assays, and their association was found to be indispensable for S phase delay and cell proliferation suppression. Further experiments indicated that NS5B relocalized CINP from the nucleus to the cytoplasm. Directly knocking down CINP by specific siRNA resulted in a significant alteration in the DNA damage response and expression of cell cycle checkpoint proteins, including an increase in p21 and a decrease in phosphorylated Retinoblastoma and Chk1. Similar results were observed in cells expressing NS5B, and the effects were partially reversed upon ectopic overexpression of CINP. These studies suggest that the DNA damage response might be exploited by NS5B to hinder cell cycle progression. Taken together, our data demonstrate that NS5B delays cells in S phase through interaction with CINP and relocalization of the protein from the nucleus to the cytoplasm. Such effects might contribute to hepatitis C virus persistence and pathogenesis.

At present, there are at least 170 million people infected with the hepatitis C virus (HCV) worldwide (1, 2), and the incidence increases yearly, especially in developing countries. HCV infection can easily develop into a chronic infection that progresses to liver cirrhosis and ultimately hepatocellular carcinoma (3, 4). Until now, there have been no effective treatments to prevent or cure HCV infections. Therefore, there is an urgent need to understand the mechanisms of HCV persistence and pathogenesis. HCV is a single-stranded, positive-sense RNA virus that belongs to the Flaviviridae family. Its 9.6-kb genome can directly function as mRNA, and the mRNA is translated into a large polyprotein precursor. The polyprotein is cleaved by both host and viral proteases to yield structural proteins (core, E1, and E2) and non-structural proteins (P7, NS2, NS3, NS4A, NS4B, NS5A, and NS5B) (5, 6).

As an RNA virus, HCV does not integrate into the host genome. However, there is increasing experimental evidence supporting the possibility that HCV promotes the malignant transformation of hepatocytes through more direct pathways. The interaction of HCV-encoded proteins with host factors results in a series of cellular activities, such as cell cycle progression, apoptosis, transcription, membrane rearrangement, and host immune responses. HCV core protein, NS3, NS4B, and NS5A have all been reported to possess transformation potential (7–11). Cell cycle and cell proliferation controls are the major barriers against malignant transformation. Several HCV-encoded proteins have been shown to modulate normal cell cycle regulation (12–15). NS5B is an RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (16), and because of its essential role in HCV RNA replication, previous studies seeking new HCV therapies have focused on the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase activity of NS5B and attempted to identify inhibitors of this activity (17, 18). Notably, there have also been studies suggesting that NS5B is involved in cell cycle regulation (13, 19, 20), but there is still controversy about this phenomenon and the details of the mechanism.
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The DNA damage response is usually utilized by viruses or virus-encoded proteins to modulate the cell cycle to promote their own replication and oncogenic effects. The DNA damage response comprises a network of signal transduction cascades, including the activation of a series of protein kinases that recognize and repair damaged DNA (21, 22). Viruses and viral proteins pose a direct threat to host genomic stability through their ability to induce DNA damage. After DNA damage, three cell cycle checkpoints are activated. 1) The G1/S checkpoint prevents cells from entering S phase. 2) The M-phase checkpoint inhibits DNA replication. 3) The G2/M checkpoint prevents damaged DNA from undergoing mitosis (23). The activation of these checkpoints allows time for DNA to repair itself. The major sensors and transducers responsible for the checkpoint analysis are ataxia telangiecstasia, mutated (ATM) and ATM- and Rad3-related (ATR). ATM and ATR are conserved protein kinases that belong to the phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K)-related protein kinase family (24). These proteins are activated after DNA damage, leading to the phosphorylation of two important downstream substrates, checkpoint kinase 1 (Chk1) and checkpoint kinase 2 (Chk2) (25–27). Phosphorylated Chk1 and Chk2 induce the proteasome-mediated degradation of Cdc25A/B/C (28, 29) and regulate their corresponding cyclin-CDK complexes during each cell cycle checkpoint.

In this study, we confirmed that NS5B induced cell cycle delay in S phase, and for the first time, we identified a novel human protein, CINP, that interacted with NS5B and was involved in the cell cycle dysfunction caused by NS5B. Mechanistic studies revealed that the relocalization of CINP from the nucleus to the cytoplasm in the presence of NS5B might be responsible for S phase delay by invoking the DNA damage response. These results provide new insight into the mechanisms of HCV persistence and pathogenesis.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Cells and Plasmid Construction—**Huh7, Huh7.5, 293T, U-2 OS, and HeLa cells were grown in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Invitrogen). All media contained 2 mM l-glutamine, 100 units/ml penicillin G, and 100 μg/ml streptomycin. All cells were cultured at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO2.

The fragment encoding full-length NS5B was amplified from the genotype 1b strain (BB7) by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using the PrimeSTAR enzyme (TaKaRa) and then cloned into the EcoRI and XhoI sites of the pcDNA3.1/myc-His3×FLAG vector to yield pcDNA3.1/myc-His3×FLAG NS5B. pTRE2hyg/3×FLAG-NS5B was generated from pcDNA3.1/myc-His3×FLAG NS5B by PCR and inserted into the BamHI/NotI site of the pTRE2hyg vector. NS5B deletion mutants were made by inserting the appropriate sequence into the EcoRI/NotI site of the pcCMV-myc (Invitrogen) vector or the EcoRI/XhoI site of the pcDNA3.1/myc-His3×FLAG vector.

CDK2, CINP, and its truncated mutants were generated by PCR and introduced into their corresponding vectors using the same method as that for NS5B. The pET28bNS5BΔ2Δ1 (HCR6, genotype 1b) was provided by Professor Tetsuya Toyoda (Institut Pasteur of Shanghai) and was used as backbone to generate deletion mutants of NS5B (KOD-Plus Mutagenesis kit, Toyobo). All PCR products were confirmed by DNA sequencing (Invitrogen and Biosune).

**Establishment of Stable Cell Line—**HepG2 Tet-On advanced cells (Clontech) were cultured in minimum essential medium (Invitrogen) containing 15% FBS (Invitrogen), 100 μg/ml G418, 1× non-essential amino acids, and 2 mM l-glutamine. To generate the NS5B tetracycline-regulated stable cell line, HepG2 Tet-On advanced cells grown in 6-well plates were transfected with 1 μg of pTRE2hyg/3×FLAG-NS5B plasmids using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Twenty-four hours later, the cells were digested and plated in 10-cm dishes, and 200 μg/ml hygromycin B (Roche Applied Science) was added. Two weeks later, G418- and hygromycin B-resistant clones were isolated and cultured in medium containing doxycycline-free FBS (Clontech). Successful selection of G418- and hygromycin B-resistant clones were verified by Western blot using an anti-FLAG antibody and an anti-NS5B antibody after doxycycline (Sigma) induction.

**Cell Cycle Analysis—**To synchronize cells at the G1/S transition, growing cells were treated with 2 mM thymidine (Sigma) for 16 h, washed with PBS, and placed into fresh medium. Cells were then transfected with the indicated plasmids. Forty-eight hours later, the cells were washed with PBS and digested with 2 mM EDTA. After centrifugation (500 × g, 5 min), the cells were fixed overnight (−20 °C) with 70% precooled ethanol. The fixed cells were washed and then treated with 25 μg/ml propidium iodide (Sigma) and 50 μg/ml RNase A (Sigma) for 30 min (37 °C). DNA content was determined by flow cytometry with a FACSCalibur instrument (BD Biosciences), and data were analyzed with ModFitLT software (BD Biosciences). HepG2 Tet-On advanced NS5B stable cells were treated with and without doxycycline for 48 h. Nocodazole (200 ng/ml; Sigma) was added 7 h before harvesting, and the cells were analyzed by flow cytometry.

**Protein Purification, GST Pulldown and Co-immunoprecipitation Assay—**NS5BΔ2Δ1, CINP, and CDK2 sequences were inserted into a pGEX-4T-1 vector and transformed into Escherichia coli strain BL21/DE3. Proteins were induced by adding 0.4 mM isopropyl β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (Sigma) for 8 h (28°C). GST pulldown and co-immunoprecipitation were performed as described previously (30). Cells were harvested by centrifugation and then resuspended in buffer (PBS, 1% Triton X-100, and 1 mM dithiothreitol (DTT)) for sonication. After centrifugation of the sonicated lysates, the supernatants were divided into three parts. One-tenth of the supernatant was boiled in 40 μl of 2× SDS protein loading buffer and used for input. Equal parts of the remaining
supernatant were incubated with GST or GST-fused proteins. After shaking for 2 h (4 °C), the beads were washed with washing buffer (20 mM Tris- HCl (pH 8.0), 200 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA (pH 8.0), and 0.5% Nonidet P-40) three times and boiled in 40 μl of loading buffer for SDS-PAGE. For co-immunoprecipitation, the cells were harvested as described above. The supernatant of co-transfected cells was immunoprecipitated with 1 μg of specific antibodies or control IgG (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), shaken for 2 h (4 °C), mixed with 30 μl of protein A/G (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), incubated for another 2 h (4 °C), and washed three times with washing buffer. Proteins bound to the beads were boiled in 40 μl of loading buffer. Purification of His-NS5B and the deletion mutants and the in vitro GST pull-down assay were performed according to previous descriptions (31, 32).

RNA Interference Assay—The siRNA sequences targeting CINP and Chk1 were synthesized by Invitrogen. The effective sequence for CINP siRNA was 5′-UUCUUGACUGCA-GAUAAAGACGG-3′ and for Chk1 siRNA was 5′-CCA-GAUGCUCAGAGAUUCUGdTdT-3′. siGFp (Invitrogen) was used as the negative control. When cells reached 30–50% confluency, they were transfected with 60 nM siRNA using Lipofectamine RNAi MAX (Invitrogen) that was diluted in serum-free Opti-MEM (Invitrogen). Five hours later, the medium was replaced with fresh serum-containing medium. Cells were harvested 72 h post-transfection, and CINP was detected using an endogenous anti-CINP antibody (a kind gift from Professor David Cortez, Vanderbilt University).

Cell Proliferation Assay—Cell proliferation was monitored by counting viable cells using Cell Counting Kit-8 (Dojindo). At the time points indicated, 100 μl of the cell suspension (5,000 cells/well) was dispensed into each well of a 96-well plate. After incubation for 24 h (37 °C), 10 μl of Cell Counting Kit-8 solution was added to each well, and the cells were incubated for 1 h (37 °C). Sample absorbance was measured at 450 nm using a microplate reader (Bio-Rad).

RNA Transfection, HCV Infection, and Titration—In vitro synthesis of HCV RNA and electroporation were performed as described previously (33). Briefly, Huh7.5 cells were mixed with in vitro transcribed RNA and electroporated with five pulses lasting 99 μs at 820 V over 1.1 s in an ECM 830 instrument (BTX Genetronics). The culture medium was harvested 13 days post-transfection, and purified viruses were used for infection and titration.

Immunofluorescence Assay—The procedure for immunofluorescence was performed as described previously (34). Briefly, cells were grown on glass coverslips, fixed with 3.5% paraformaldehyde for 15 min, and then stopped by the addition of 30 mM glycine. After washing, the cells were permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 for 15 min and blocked with 3% bovine serum albumin in PBS for at least 1 h (4 °C). Monoclonal anti-myC antibodies were used at a 1:400 dilution, and anti-NS5A 9E10 antibodies (kindly provided by Professor Charles M. Rice, Rockefeller University) were used at a 1:500 dilution. A goat anti-mouse Cy3 secondary antibody (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories) was used at a 1:500 dilution. Nuclei were stained by 4′,6′-diamidino-2-phenylindole dihydrochloride (DAPI) (Sigma-Aldrich), and the cells were examined by confocal microscopy (TCS-NT, Leica).

Subcellular Fractionation Analysis—Huh7 cells were grown in 6-cm dishes and transfected with NS5B+, ΔNS5B−, or empty vector-expressing plasmids. Forty-eight hours post-transfection, cytoplasmic and nuclear fractions were obtained using a cytoplasmic and nuclear protein extraction kit (Fermentas) according to the manufacturer’s directions. The HCV subgenomic replicon cells and Japanese fulminant hepatitis 1 (JFH-1)-infected Huh7.5 cells were fractionated by a similar process. Extracts were analyzed by Western blot using anti-CINP, anti-FLAG, anti-lamin A/C, and anti-β-tubulin antibodies.

Western Blot Analysis—Cell lysates or beads were prepared in SDS sample buffer (Tris-HCl, SDS, and 20% glycerol). Harvested samples were detected by SDS-PAGE. Proteins from the SDS-PAGE were electrotransferred onto nitrocellulose membrane (Whatman) and probed with the appropriate primary antibody (anti-FLAG (Sigma; 1:5,000), anti-NS5B (Santa Cruz Biotechnology; 1:500), anti-myc (Invitrogen; 1:1,000), the cell cycle regulator antibody kit (Cell Signaling Technology), the DNA damage antibody sampler kit (Cell Signaling Technology), anti-Chk1 (Cell Signaling Technology; 1:1,000), anti-pChk1Ser317 (Cell Signaling Technology; 1:1,000), anti-His-Cell Signaling Technology; 1:1,000), anti-pRb (Cell Signaling Technology; 1:5,000), anti-pCDK2 (Cell Signaling Technology; 1:5,000), anti-β-actin (Sigma; 1:5,000), anti-β-tubulin (Sigma; 1:5,000), or anti-lamin A/C (Cell Signaling Technology; 1:500)). After incubation with a horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology; 1:10,000) for 3–4 h, the membranes were washed with PBS with Tween 20. Immunoreactivity was visualized using an ECL system (Western Lightning, PerkinElmer Life Sciences).

RESULTS

HCV NS5B Delays Cell Cycle Progression in S Phase—Although still controversial, HCV NS5B has been reported to modify cell cycle progression. Here, we first investigated the effect of NS5B on the cell cycle. U-2 OS cells were transfected with NS5B-expressing plasmids or empty vectors for 48 h, and cell cycle distribution was analyzed by flow cytometry. As shown in Fig. 1A, a higher percentage of cells in S phase (52.13%) was detected in NS5B-expressing cells than in control cells (34.62%). We confirmed NS5B expression in transfected cells by Western blot (Fig. 1A). To verify whether NS5B delayed cell cycle progression in S phase in hepatocytes, we constructed a tetracycline-regulated NS5B stable cell line in HepG2 Tet-On advanced cells. After simultaneously screening with G418 and hygromycin B, we obtained a highly inducible clone with low background. As shown in Fig. 1B, NS5B was detected at low concentrations (0.01 μg/ml) of doxycycline (Dox) 24 h post-induction as assessed using anti-NS5B and anti-FLAG antibodies with peak NS5B expression observed at a concentration of 1 μg/ml Dox. The successful establishment of a stable cell line was further confirmed by the sustained expression of NS5B 2 h after the addition of 1 μg/ml Dox (Fig. 1C). We also compared the NS5B protein level between the HCV subgenomic replicon (a selected genotype 1b cell clone that contains HCV RNA sequences from NS3 to NS5B) and HepG2.
Tet-On NS5B cells after Dox induction. The results showed that NS5B expression levels induced by 1 μg/ml Dox in HepG2 Tet-On cells were similar to those in the replicon (Fig. 1D).

Next, we used the HepG2 Tet-On NS5B cell line to study the effect of NS5B on the cell cycle. Fig. 1E shows that the presence of NS5B markedly delayed cell cycle progression in S phase. In contrast, most control cells without Dox induction were arrested in G2/M phase by nocodazole, a microtubule inhibitor that synchronizes the cell cycle in G2/M phase. Collectively, these results indicate that NS5B induces S phase delay in U-2 OS cells and HepG2 stable cells.

NS5B/CINP Interaction in Vitro and in Vivo—To determine the mechanism of the effect of NS5B on the cell cycle, we assessed NS5B interaction with host proteins using a yeast two-hybrid assay with proteins from a human liver cDNA library. A novel protein, CINP, was identified. To verify the results of the yeast two-hybrid screening, a GST pulldown assay was performed. GST-fused NS5B that lacked the C-terminal 21 amino acid residues (NS5BΔ21) was expressed in *E. coli* (BL21/DE3) and purified on glutathione-Sepharose 4B beads. After incubation with myc-CINP-transfected 293T cell lysates, the precipitated proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE and detected by Western blot using an anti-myc antibody. The results showed that CINP bound to GST-NS5BΔ21 but not to GST alone (Fig. 2A). A reciprocal experiment using GST-CINP and FLAG-NS5B gave a similar result (Fig. 2B). Purified GST, GST-CINP, and GST-NS5BΔ21 were detected by Coomassie Brilliant Blue staining (Fig. 2C). To show that NS5B interacted with CINP in cells, 293T cells were ectopically co-transfected with FLAG-NS5B- and myc-CINP-expressing plasmids. The interaction between NS5B and CINP was observed by co-immunoprecipitation with anti-FLAG or anti-myc antibody (Fig. 2, D and E). A similar result in Huh7 cells indicated that this interaction also occurred in the hepatocyte cell line (Fig. 2F). We also observed the interaction between CINP and NS5B of different genotypes (1a and 2a) by GST pulldown assay (supplemental Fig. S1A).

To further demonstrate the interaction between CINP and NS5B, we co-transfected 293T cells with GFP-NS5B and myc-CINP or a GFP vector and myc-CINP and visualized the staining pattern of NS5B and CINP by indirect immunofluorescence using anti-myc antibody. The results showed that CINP was primarily localized to the nucleus in 293T cells. However, it recolocated to the cytoplasmic perinuclear regions and partially colocalized with NS5B in the presence of GFP-NS5B (Fig. 2, G and H). Taken together, these data suggest that NS5B forms a complex with CINP.

Role of CINP in G1/S Transition—CINP was first identified as a CDK2-interacting protein from a HeLa cDNA expression library. We confirmed this interaction using a GST pulldown assay (Fig. 3A). We then sought to determine whether CINP was also involved in cell cycle regulation. HeLa cells were pri-
data indicate the importance of CINP in the regulation of G1/S transition. The number of cells in S phase (Fig. 3A). Efficiency. CINP depletion resulted in an increase in the number of cells (Fig. 3B). We chose si-1 for further studies because of its high knockdown efficiency. Co-transfection of NS5B with CINP (Fig. 3C). Coomassie-stained GST and GST-fused proteins are shown. D, equal amounts of pCMV/myc-CINP and pCDNA3.1/myc-His-3FLAG-NS5B plasmids were co-transfected into 293T cells grown in 10-cm dishes. Forty-eight hours post-transfection, protein complexes were isolated by anti-FLAG immunopurification, and co-precipitating proteins were detected by Western blot with an anti-myc antibody. E, cell lysates were immunoprecipitated by an anti-myc antibody, and the co-precipitated protein was identified with an anti-FLAG antibody. The same experiment was performed in Huh7 cells, and the results are shown in F. G, pCMV/myc-CINP was co-transfected with GFP- or GFP-NS5B-expressing plasmids into 293T cells. Forty-eight hours post-transfection, cells were fixed for immunofluorescence analysis. The green represents empty GFP vector or NS5B, and CINP was detected using an anti-myc primary antibody and goat anti-mouse Cy3 secondary antibody (red). Representative cells from the same field for each experimental group are shown. H is the quantification of G. A minimum of 200 GFP-positive cells per sample were counted, and the percentage of cells with cytoplasmic CINP in GFP-positive cells was calculated. Results represent the means of data from three independent experiments. Error bars indicate the S.D. IP, immunoprecipitation; IB, immunoblot.

NS5B and CINP Binding Region Analysis—To better understand the function of the association between NS5B and CINP, it was necessary to map the amino acid sequences required for their binding. To identify the region of CINP that interacted with NS5B, a protein truncation assay was performed. Because CINP has no described domain structure except a predicted coiled coil motif (predicted by SMART software), CINP was truncated at its N-terminal (aa 1–107) and C-terminal (aa 108–212) regions based on a prediction model (Fig. 4A) and fused with GST. The purified proteins were detected by Coomassie Brilliant Blue staining (Fig. 4C). The GST pulldown results showed that only the full-length and C-terminal region, but not the N-terminal region, of CINP bound NS5B (Fig. 4B). Next, we mapped the regions of NS5B responsible for binding CINP. Various NS5B deletion mutants were constructed according to the finger, palm, and thumb domains of NS5B (supplemental Fig. S1B), which were determined by Western blot (supplemental Fig. S1C, left panel). The resulting myc-NS5B deletion mutants were transiently overexpressed in 293T cells. The lysates were incubated with purified GST or GST-CINP for GST pulldown assays, and the bound proteins were immunologically detected by an anti-myc antibody. As shown in supplemental Fig. S1C (right panel), all of the mutants bound GST-CINP. We further truncated NS5B and tested its association with CINP with a yeast two-hybrid assay (data not shown). The results were further confirmed by GST pulldown assay. As shown in Fig. 4D (right panel), mutants NS5B(84–150) and NS5B(378–464) could interact with CINP, whereas NS5B(1–83), NS5B(465–591), and NS5B(96–454) could not, suggesting that the interaction for NS5B on CINP maps to two independent regions: aa 84–95 and aa 455–464. Furthermore, we con-

FIGURE 2. NS5B/CINP interaction. 293T cells were grown in 6-cm dishes and transfected with the pCMV/myc-CINP or pCDNA3.1/myc-His-3×FLAG-NS5B (genotype 1b) plasmids. Forty-eight hours later, the cells were harvested as described under “Experimental Procedures,” and the supernatant was divided into two equal parts and incubated with GST or GST-NS5B, beads (A) or GST or GST-CINP beads (B) for 2 h. Protein-bound glutathione-Sepharose 4B beads were washed and boiled for 5 min, and CINP and NS5B, respectively, were detected by Western blot using an anti-myc antibody (C). Equal amounts of pCMV/myc-CINP and pCDNA3.1/myc-His-3×FLAG-NS5B plasmids were co-transfected into 293T cells grown in 10-cm dishes. Forty-eight hours post-transfection, protein complexes were isolated by anti-FLAG immunopurification, and co-precipitating proteins were detected by Western blot with an anti-myc antibody (D). Cell lysates were immunoprecipitated by an anti-myc antibody, and the co-precipitated protein was identified with an anti-FLAG antibody. The same experiment was performed in Huh7 cells, and the results are shown in E. G, pCMV/myc-CINP was co-transfected with GFP- or GFP-NS5B-expressing plasmids into 293T cells. Forty-eight hours post-transfection, cells were fixed for immunofluorescence analysis. The green represents empty GFP vector or NS5B, and CINP was detected using an anti-myc primary antibody and goat anti-mouse Cy3 secondary antibody (red). Representative cells from the same field for each experimental group are shown. H is the quantification of G. A minimum of 200 GFP-positive cells per sample were counted, and the percentage of cells with cytoplasmic CINP in GFP-positive cells was calculated. Results represent the means of data from three independent experiments. Error bars indicate the S.D. IP, immunoprecipitation; IB, immunoblot.

HCV NS5B Hijacks CINP for S Phase Delay

Maririly synchronized in the G1/S transition phase with 2 mM thymidine for 16 h before transfection with CINP or related empty vectors. Following release, cells transfected with empty vectors resumed normal cell cycle progression. However, cells transfected with CINP remained in G1/S phase. This could be reversed by the co-transfection of NS5B with CINP (Fig. 3B). To further evaluate the function of CINP in the cell cycle, CINP expression was knocked down with specific siRNA. Three distinct siRNAs targeting CINP were available, and the knockdown efficiency of each was evaluated with an endogenous anti-CINP antibody 72 h post-transfection (Fig. 3C). We chose si-1 for further studies because of its high knockdown efficiency. CINP depletion resulted in an increase in the number of cells in S phase (Fig. 3C, lower panel), which is consistent with the results obtained by overexpression of NS5B. These data indicate the importance of CINP in the regulation of G1/S transition.

NS5B and CINP Binding Region Analysis—To better understand the function of the association between NS5B and CINP, it was necessary to map the amino acid sequences required for their binding. To identify the region of CINP that interacted with NS5B, a protein truncation assay was performed. Because CINP has no described domain structure except a predicted coiled coil motif (predicted by SMART software), CINP was truncated at its N-terminal (aa 1–107) and C-terminal (aa 108–212) regions based on a prediction model (Fig. 4A) and fused with GST. The purified proteins were detected by Coomassie Brilliant Blue staining (Fig. 4C). The GST pulldown results showed that only the full-length and C-terminal region, but not the N-terminal region, of CINP bound NS5B (Fig. 4B). Next, we mapped the regions of NS5B responsible for binding CINP. Various NS5B deletion mutants were constructed according to the finger, palm, and thumb domains of NS5B (supplemental Fig. S1B), which were determined by Western blot (supplemental Fig. S1C, left panel). The resulting myc-NS5B deletion mutants were transiently overexpressed in 293T cells. The lysates were incubated with purified GST or GST-CINP for GST pulldown assays, and the bound proteins were immunologically detected by an anti-myc antibody. As shown in supplemental Fig. S1C (right panel), all of the mutants bound GST-CINP. We further truncated NS5B and tested its association with CINP with a yeast two-hybrid assay (data not shown). The results were further confirmed by GST pulldown assay. As shown in Fig. 4D (right panel), mutants NS5B(84–150) and NS5B(378–464) could interact with CINP, whereas NS5B(1–83), NS5B(465–591), and NS5B(96–454) could not, suggesting that the interaction for NS5B on CINP maps to two independent regions: aa 84–95 and aa 455–464. Furthermore, we con-
constructed several NS5B mutants with deletions of aa 84–95 and/or 455–464 in the context of pET28bHCR6NS5B/H9004. The mutations were identified by Western blot with anti-His antibody after induction by isopropyl β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (Fig. 4E), and then in vitro GST pulldown experiments were carried out. The results indicated that deletion of aa 84–95 or aa 455–464 alone did not abolish the binding of NS5B to CINP. Only when both of these sequences were deleted was the binding to CINP abolished (Fig. 4F). In addition, an in vitro de novo transcription experiment indicated that these mutations still possess RNA-dependent RNA polymerase activity, although NS5BΔ455–464 was slightly decreased (supplemental Fig. S2). In conclusion, these results clearly demonstrated that the C-terminal end of CINP and two sites of NS5B (aa 84–95 and 455–464) were required for their binding.

**NS5B/CINP Association Is Responsible for S Phase Delay and Suppression of Cell Proliferation**—To test whether the NS5B/CINP interaction was involved in cell cycle dysregulation, an NS5B deletion mutant (aa 96–454) that failed to bind CINP (Fig. 4D) was chosen as a control (ΔNS5B). U-2 OS cells were transfected with full-length NS5B, ΔNS5B, or empty vector plasmids, and cell cycle distribution was analyzed by flow cytometry 48 h post-transfection. As shown in Fig. 5A, full-length NS5B induced a higher accumulation of cells in S phase compared with the empty vector and ΔNS5B. We further observed that the growth rate of full-length NS5B-expressing cells was significantly decreased relative to ΔNS5B- or empty vector-transfected cells (Fig. 5B), which is consistent with the results shown in Fig. 1E. Similar results were also observed in HepG2 cells; upon Dox induction, HepG2 Tet-On NS5B stable cells showed a delay in S phase and suppression of proliferation (Fig. 5, C and D). Cells without Dox induction served as a control. We attempted to generate a HepG2 Tet-On NS5B stable cell line but failed to produce a low background, highly inducible clone. Therefore, we transfected constructs expressing ΔNS5B into HepG2 Tet-On NS5B stable cells without Dox induction as a control (Fig. 5, C and D). Taken together, these results indicate that the CINP/NS5B association is required for cell cycle delay and the suppression of cell proliferation.

**NS5B Triggers CINP Relocalization from Nucleus to Cytoplasm**—To investigate in detail the mechanism(s) responsible for S phase delay upon NS5B/CINP interaction, we first tested whether the CINP protein level was affected by NS5B as Rb has FIGURE 3. Role of CINP in regulation of G1/S transition. A, the CDK2/CINP interaction was confirmed by a GST pulldown assay. B, HeLa cells were synchronized by the addition of 2 mM thymidine (Tdr) for 16 h. After release, cells were transfected with CINP or empty vectors or co-transfected with CINP and NS5B. Forty-eight hours later, the cells were harvested and analyzed by flow cytometry. C, HeLa cells were transfected with 60 nM CINP-specific siRNA or control siGFP. Seventy-two hours later, the knockdown efficiency was detected by an anti-CINP antibody (upper panel). HeLa cells were then either mock-transfected or transfected with 60 nM siGFP or siCINP, respectively. Seventy-two hours post-transfection, the cells were analyzed for DNA content by flow cytometry. The results are representative of three independent experiments (lower panel). Ctrl, control.
CINP has been found to localize to the nucleus, whereas NS5B primarily localizes to the perinuclear region. Our above data showed a perinuclear colocalization of NS5B with CINP (Fig. 2G), which suggests that NS5B caused the relocalization of CINP from the nucleus to the cytoplasm. To test this hypothesis, GFP-CINP was co-transfected with empty vector, myc-NS5B, or myc-ΔNS5B into Huh7 cells, and cells were analyzed by confocal microscopy after immunostaining with an anti-myc antibody. Consistent with the above results, strong merge signals were observed in the perinuclear regions in GFP-CINP- and myc-NS5B-co-transfected cells. However, most CINP remained localizing to the nucleus in empty vector- and ΔNS5B-transfected cells (Fig. 6A), indicating that NS5B caused CINP to relocalize from the nucleus to the cytoplasm. We then examined the localization of CINP in the HCV subgenomic replicon and JFH-1-infected (JFH-1 is a genotype 2a HCV strain isolate derived from a Japanese individual with fulminant hepatitis) cells. As shown in Fig. 6B, the HCV subgenomic replicon and JFH-1-infected cells also demonstrated a partially cytoplasmic relocalization of CINP.

The relocalization of CINP by NS5B was also confirmed by a subcellular fractionation assay. Huh7 cells were transfected with NS5B, ΔNS5B, or empty vector. Forty-eight hours later, cytoplasmic and nuclear fractions were isolated, and CINP distribution was detected by Western blot using an endogenous anti-CINP antibody. Consistent with the above results, strong signal were observed in the perinuclear regions in GFP-anti-myc antibody. Our data showed that nuclear CINP was clearly reduced in NS5B-transfected cells with a modest accumulation of CINP in the cytoplasm. However, no alteration was observed in empty vector- or ΔNS5B-transfected cells (Fig. 7A). Furthermore, partially cytoplasmic translocation was also obtained in HCV subgenomic replicons and JFH-1-infected cells (Fig. 7B). In addition, continuously cultured cells after JFH-1 infection were harvested to detect the subcellular distribution of CINP. As shown in Fig. 7C, CINP was primarily localized in the nucleus in uninfected cells; however, cytoplasmic translocation was observed following infection and was maintained at least passage 4 (Fig. 7C). There was no obvious change in passage 8 cells, which might be due to a decrease in viral titer and protein levels (Fig. 7, C and D). Together, these results clearly indicate that NS5B causes the redistribution of CINP from the nucleus to the cytoplasm.

**NS5B Modifies DNA Damage Response by Relocalizing CINP**—Because CINP translocation by NS5B reduced the amount of CINP available for normal cellular regulation in the nucleus, there might be an alteration of the signaling pathways mediated by CINP. To test this possibility, we used siRNA to specifically inhibit the expression of endogenous CINP in HepG2 Tet-On NS5B stable cells without Dox. First, we examined the expression profile of host proteins, such as cyclin-CDK complexes, pRb, and cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor molecules, in cells infected with NS5B, or empty vector. Forty-eight hours later, continuously cultured cells after JFH-1 infection were harvested to detect the subcellular distribution of CINP. As shown in Fig. 7C, CINP was primarily localized in the nucleus in uninfected cells; however, cytoplasmic translocation was observed following infection and was maintained at least passage 4 (Fig. 7C). There was no obvious change in passage 8 cells, which might be due to a decrease in viral titer and protein levels (Fig. 7, C and D). Together, these results clearly indicate that NS5B causes the redistribution of CINP from the nucleus to the cytoplasm.

**FIGURE 4. Analysis of NS5B/CINP binding regions.** A, schematic diagram of CINP predicted by SMART software. The green bar represents the coiled coil region (amino acids 72–108), and gray bars represent unknown regions. B, 293T cells were grown in 10-cm dishes and transfected with FLAG-NS5B-expressing plasmids. The GST pulldown assay was carried out using purified beads that contained GST, GST-CINP full length, GST-CINP(aa 1-107), and GST-CINP(aa 108–212). Precipitated NS5B was detected by Western blot using an anti-FLAG antibody. C, Coomassie-stained GST and GST-fused proteins are shown. D, deletion mutants of myc-tagged NS5B were transiently transfected into 293T cells and detected by Western blot (left panel). Proteins pulled down by GST-CINP were examined by Western blot with an anti-myc antibody (right panel). E, after induction by isopropyl β-D-thiogalactopyranoside, pET28bHCR6NS5B21, and its deletion mutants (Δ84–95), Δ(455–464), and Δ(84–95)&(455–464) were examined by Western blot with anti-His antibody. F, approximately 0.2 μg of His-NS5B21, and mutants were mixed with purified GST- or GST-CINP-containing beads. After washing with PBS with Tween 20, each bound protein was fractionated by SDS-10% PAGE and subjected to Western blot analysis with anti-His monoclonal antibody. IB, immunoblot.
induced by hydroxyurea in CINP-depleted cells (Fig. 8C). NS5B induction also reduced the phosphorylation level of Chk1 after hydroxyurea treatment although not to the extent seen with CINP silencing (Fig. 8D). Then we knocked down Chk1 with specific siRNA (Fig. 8E) and obtained the S phase arrest in Chk1-deficient HepG2 Tet-On cells (Fig. 8F). These results suggest that NS5B hijacks the DNA damage response to induce cell cycle dysfunction, and this might be due to NS5B sequestering cellular CINP and reducing its availability for genomic maintenance.

DISCUSSION

In the present study, we confirmed that NS5B induced S phase delay in U-2 OS cells and HepG2 Tet-On NS5B stable cells. In addition, we showed that NS5B interacted with the human protein CINP and that their association was required for S phase delay. Mechanistic investigations indicated that the cytoplasmic redistribution of CINP by NS5B was the likely cause of cell cycle dysfunction.

Siavoshian et al. (13) first reported the HCV NS5B effect on the cell cycle and cell proliferation. They found that NS5B arrests cells in the G2/M phase in a p53-independent manner, but they did not provide a more detailed mechanism. Recently, other studies on a possible mechanism of cell cycle regulation by NS5B have been reported. Studies performed by Lemon and co-workers (19, 39) demonstrated that HCV NS5B interacts with and down-regulates Rb through a proteasome-dependent pathway. Rb degradation results in the release of E2F and the transcription of a series of genes responsible for DNA replication, promoting entry into S phase and cell division. However, when Rb was knocked down with siRNA, enhanced HCV replication was not observed as expected (40). These results suggest that other mechanisms exist. Another study performed by Naka et al. (20) reported that NS5B delays cell cycle progression by inducing interferon-β. This was based on a previous study indicating that interferon-β up-regulates the nuclear phosphoprotein promyelocytic leukemia (41), leading to S phase delay and the suppression of cell proliferation. In their study, Naka et al. (20) showed that cells expressing NS5B are hypersensitive to DNA-damaging agents, suggesting that the DNA damage
response might be involved in the cell cycle delay caused by NS5B.

In this study, an interaction between NS5B and CINP was demonstrated (Figs. 2 and 4). As a newly identified protein, few functions have been assigned to CINP. Its cDNA contains a 636-nucleotide open reading frame that encodes a protein of 212 amino acids. Grishina and Lattes (42) first reported that CINP is phosphorylated by Cdc7 and forms a complex with CDK2-cyclin E and CDK2-cyclin A in the nucleus. The association of CINP with minichromosome maintenance proteins and ORC2 suggests a potential role for CINP in the initiation of DNA replication. In addition, CINP has been identified as a thyroid hormone (triiodothyronine)-responsive gene that might participate in the regulation of cell proliferation (43). Weak CINP sumoylation has been observed by another group through a screening system, suggesting the existence of other modified types of CINP (44). Interestingly, Lovejoy et al. (38) and another group (45) recently identified CINP as a checkpoint protein to maintain genomic stability, thus shedding light on the function of CINP in genomic maintenance and cell cycle regulation.

To maintain genomic integrity and prevent tumorigenesis, recognition and repair of DNA damage are coordinated with

**FIGURE 7.** Subcellular fractionation assay to examine CINP relocalization due to NS5B. A, empty vector-, NS5B-, or ΔNS5B-expressing plasmids were transfected into Huh7 cells. Forty-eight hours later, cytoplasmic and nuclear fractions were isolated and subjected to Western blot with endogenous anti-CINP, anti-lamin A/C (nuclear), anti-β-tubulin (cytoplasmic), and anti-FLAG antibodies. B, the subcellular distribution of CINP in Huh7 cells, HCV subgenomic replicon, or JFH-1-infected cells (multiplicity of infection, 0.1). “Rep” refers to replicon, and “H7” stands for Huh7 cells. C, cells after different passages (P) postinfecion (multiplicity of infection, 0.1) were examined by a subcellular fractionation assay as described in A. D, viral titer and protein level were monitored during the experiment in C. Viral titer was represented as 50% tissue culture infective dose (TCID<sub>50</sub>/ml, error bars indicate the means ± S.D. of three independent experiments and protein level was determined by Western blot with an anti-NS5A antibody. FL, full length.
the cell cycle in eukaryotic cells. There are numerous examples of viruses exploiting the DNA damage response to dysregulate cell cycle progression, such as Epstein-Barr virus (46, 47), human T-cell lymphotropic virus type 1 (48), Kaposi sarcoma-associated herpesvirus (49), and hepatitis B virus (50). Here, we observed an alteration in DNA damage checkpoint proteins, such as pRb, p21, and pChk1, after CINP knockdown or upon induced expression of NS5B (Fig. 8). Studies have shown that DNA damage responses mediated by Rb inhibit cell cycle progression and cause genomic instability (51, 52). It is noteworthy to mention that DNA damage is always accompanied by p21 expression (53, 54). p21 is a member of the CDK inhibitor family and is activated by another central tumor suppressor, p53. In addition to inhibiting CDKs, p21 also functions as a DNA damage checkpoint protein that regulates cell cycle progression, including intra-S phase regulation (55). Therefore, it is presumed that the loss of Rb coupled with p21 accumulation in the presence of NS5B prevents cell cycle progression from S phase.

As viral replication only occurs in proliferating cells, it is not surprising that HCV replication is reduced in Rb-deficient cells (40).

We also observed a decrease in pChk1 in both CINP-deficient and NS5B-expressing cells. Chk1 is an evolutionarily conserved serine/threonine kinase that is essential for genomic maintenance and serves as a cell cycle checkpoint protein during S and G2/M phases (56–58). Chk1 is phosphorylated by ATR, an S phase-specific sensor/transducer that is activated in response to ultraviolet light, hydroxyurea, and replication stress following genotoxic damage (59). Several previous studies have demonstrated that the down-regulation or inactivation of Chk1 leads to S phase arrest. Jirmanova et al. (60) reported that the inhibition of the ATR/Chk1 pathway by caffeine induces a p38-dependent delay in S phase in mouse embryonic stem cells. A study performed by Shimada et al. (61) showed that Chk1-depleted mouse embryonic fibroblast cells were arrested in S phase, and this was due to transcriptional repres-
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FIGURE 9. Postulated mechanism of HCV NS5B-mediated S phase delay through its interaction with CINP. DDR, DNA damage response.

- **NS5B**
- **CINP**
- **CYTOSOL**
- **NUCLEUS**
- **pRb**, **p21**, **pChk1**
- **S phase delay**

Postulated mechanism of HCV NS5B-mediated S phase delay

1. **NS5B** interacts with CINP in the cytosol. 
2. CINP is transported to the nucleus, where it helps to delay S phase by inhibiting DNA replication and cell cycle progression. 
3. The interaction of NS5B with CINP is crucial for the hijacking of cell cycle control by HCV.
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