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**Abstract:** Prostate cancer is a common cancer in males with increasing rate of incidence these days. Two known markers prostatic acid phosphatase (PAP) and prostate specific antigen (PSA) have been used for diagnosis and in the clinical management of prostate cancer patients. PAP and PSA tests are not specific and hence not reliable. Therefore, certain immunological tests need to be developed to aid the clinicians in early detection, metastasis and recurrence and also to follow clinical course of the disease and/or its response to therapy. The present study shows that the circulating immune complexes (CICs) may be associated with the pathogenesis of prostate cancer and their progression. CICs were investigated in 50 prostate cancer patients having different grades of the disease and 45 benign prostate hyperplasia patients (BPH). Estimation of CICs was done using 3.75% Polyethylene glycol 6000 (PEG) serum precipitation. The results obtained were compared with normal healthy individuals. 83.33% and 91.66% positivity was observed in Grade I and Grade II prostate carcinomas respectively, whereas 100% positivity was observed in Grade III and Grade IV prostate carcinoma. BPH patients showed 60% positivity. Strong positive correlation (r=0.5748) between Prostate specific antigen (PSA) and CIC was observed in prostate cancer patients. Present investigation shows CICs may be associated with the pathogenesis of prostate cancers. The further qualitative analysis of CICs may help us to formulate specific immunological tests for early detection, management and monitoring the efficacy of treatment in prostate cancers.
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**1. Introduction**

Prostate cancer is a major malignancy in males. It is the second most common cause of cancer death in western countries [1], [2]. Now days the incidence of prostate cancer is rising even in India. It currently ranks 2nd in incidence and 4th in cancer mortality for men in Mumbai [3]. Also, its incidence is increasing by 2.5% every year among the Indian races [4], [5].

Cancer of the prostate is a disease that is becoming increasingly common as the population ages; but in spite of intensive research our knowledge of the basic factors responsible for its initiation and growth are almost as limited now as they were 40 years ago. Without the basic knowledge it is impossible to develop a logical basis for prevention, diagnosis and treatment of any disease.

Two well-known markers, prostatic acid phosphatase (PAP) and prostate specific antigen (PSA) have been used for diagnosis and in the clinical management of prostate cancer patients. Prostate specific antigen (PSA) is more sensitive and reliable marker than prostatic acid phosphatase (PAP) [6], [7]. PSA is produced by secretory epithelial cells of the
prostate gland and drains into the ductal system, where it catalyzes the liquefaction of the seminal coagulum after ejaculation. Its serum levels are normally less than 4 ng/ml but may vary according to the patient’s age and race; any process that disrupts the normal architecture of the prostate which allows diffusion of PSA into the stroma and microvasculature [8], [9]. Elevated serum PSA levels are seen with prostatitis, infarcts and hyperplasia, transiently after biopsy, but the most clinically important increase is seen with prostatic adenocarcinoma. Cancer actually produces less PSA per cell than benign epithelium, but the greater number of malignant cells and the stromal disruption associated with cancer account for the increased serum PSA levels [10], [11]. Though the PSA test is the first step towards a cancer diagnosis, it lacks specificity because elevated PSA levels may signal conditions other than cancer like BPH and prostatitis. Thus, PSA can no longer be considered as a classical tumor marker whose levels are directly correlated with increasing stage of the disease [12], [13], [14].

Basic immunology research over several decades has led to an improved understanding of tumor recognition by components of the immune system and mechanism of tumor evasion from immune detection [15], [16], [17]. Many investigators have attempted to develop biochemical and immunological tests for detection of and quantitation of such tumor markers [18]. The main aim of such studies on markers is to aid the clinician in early detection, metastasis and recurrence and also to follow clinical course of the disease and/or its response to therapy.

The level and characteristic of circulating immune complexes (CIC) present in sera of cancer patients have shown both good and poor correlation with the disease progress [19], [20], [21], [22]. As far as prognosis is concerned many authors have observed a variety of correlation between CIC levels and disease prognosis ranging from partial to very good [23], [24]. It has been demonstrated that the detection of antigen specific CICs in the sera of cancer patients may provide an approach for a more precise definition of the nature of the tumor antigen important for immune prognosis [25], [26], [27]. Detailed characterization of CICs should enable both the detection and identification of their antigenic component [28]. Disease specific antigens have been identified by immune complex analysis in infectious diseases [29] and neoplastic disorders [30], [31]. Approach to such identification may enable us not only to develop specific immunological tests for diagnosis and prognosis of prostate cancer patients but also prove to be useful as adjunct treatment modality in prostate cancer.

2. Materials and Methods

The present study included 50 prostate cancer patients (age range 40-75 years), 45 patients diagnosed as BPH (age range 40-75 years) and 25 normal subjects (age range 25-50 years) who were not having any major illness in the past. All the samples were obtained from the department of Urology, B. Y. L. Nair hospital and Tata memorial hospital, Mumbai. Informed consent form was taken from patients as per Ethic Committee rules. None of the patients had received any treatment prior to study. The data sheet of each patient was maintained inclusive of their economic status, dietary habits, age, sex, family history, their major past illness, habits such as consumption of alcohol, smoking, serum PSA levels, etc.

Polyethylene Glycol Mediated CIC Precipitation

Approximately 20ml of venous blood samples from the subjects were collected in sterile dry glass tubes and kept in incubator at 37°C for 3-4 hours. The serum was then aspirated, clarified by centrifugation at 1500rpm for 10 mins and used for measurement of CIC levels using 3.75% PEG 6000 in borate buffer [27]. One part of the freshly obtained serum was mixed with two parts of 0.01 M borate buffer pH 8.4. To this mixture, 27 parts of 4.166% PEG was added (final serum dilution 1:30 and serum PEG concentration 3.75%). After incubation at room temperature for 60 min the turbidity developed was measured at 450nm using spectrophotometer against control containing 1:30 diluted serum in borate buffer without PEG. The levels of CIC in the serum were expressed in terms of OD 450nm measured at the end of 60 min.

3. Results

3.1. CIC Levels in Sera of PCa, BPH and Normal Donors

Table 1 represents the CIC levels in serum samples from 25 normal donors, 45 benign prostatic hyperplasia patients and 50 prostate cancer patients of different grades. The values in Table 1 show a significant and obvious difference in the categories studied. The mean levels of CIC in categories of patients were higher than that in the normal donors. Since all samples from normal donors showed some variable levels of CIC, the turbidity value at (mean±2SD) for normal samples i.e. 0.133 was used as cut off limit. All the samples giving turbidity value above this level were considered to be positive. The discriminating values put 4% of normal samples above the cut off limit and thereby render them positive. The frequency of positive serum samples was found to be very high in prostate cancer (83.33% to 100%) whereas 60% of BPH patients were positive for CIC levels. The statistical evaluation of these results shows that the mean CIC levels in BPH and prostate cancer differ significantly from mean level in normal subjects (p<0.001). The elevated levels of CIC were found in all different grades of prostate cancer. In the present study it was found that as the disease progresses from well differentiated (Grade I) to poorly differentiated (Grade IV) form, there is a significant increase in CIC levels (p<0.001).
3.2. Comparison of CIC and PSA Levels in Sera of PCa and BPH

In the present investigation, the clinical data obtained for PSA in PCa and BPH was compared with the corresponding CIC levels. Table 2 indicates the mean PSA and CIC values in prostate cancer and BPH. On correlating the levels of CIC and PSA in PCa and BPH patients, a significant positive correlation (p<0.001) can be observed with a correlation coefficient (r) of 0.5748.

Table 2. Comparison of CIC and PSA levels in sera of PCa and BPH patients. A good correlation with correlation coefficient (r) is 0.5748 which is found to be significant (p<0.0001).

| Parameter | BPH | Prostate Cancer |
|-----------|-----|-----------------|
| CIC       | X±SE | 20419           | 0.33610         |
| PSA       | X±SE | 14.6667         | 484.777         |

4. Discussion

The occurrence of circulating immune complexes in the sera of patients with various types of neoplasia is now well established [32], [33]. This is also evident in prostate cancer [21]. Over the last decade several attempts have been made to determine the usefulness of elevated serum levels of CIC as marker for tumor burden and prognosis [24].

Using simple technique of PEG mediated precipitation of CIC, the present investigation has revealed that the CIC levels in sera of PCa and BPH are significantly elevated in comparison with the levels of normal subjects (p<0.001). It is also observed in PCa that as the disease progresses from well differentiated (Grade I) to poorly differentiated (Grade IV) form, there is a significant increase in CIC levels (p<0.001). These results are in consistent with those obtained by other workers [21], [32], [33]. The increased levels of CIC obtained with the grades of disease suggest that the CIC is likely to contribute in evaluating the degree of malignancy and it may be associated with poor prognosis. Kobayashi K. et al also indicated the relationship between the levels of CIC with tumor size, invasive propensity and virulence levels in bladder and other urogenital cancers [36].

Golda R et al [24] who have indicated the elevated levels of CIC in 66.7% of patients with adenocarcinoma of prostate and 38% of those with BPH whereas Sakai et al found considerably lower percentage of positivity (18.8%) in prostate carcinoma.

Many researchers [34], [35], [37], [38] reported that the antigenic part of CICs originate from the diseased tissues. Release of antigen from these tissues increases as the disease progresses and that may be responsible for increase in the levels of CICs with increasing grades of PCa which is observed in the present study. The above findings may help in predicting the malignant transformation of premalignant lesion like BPH but follow up study with large number of samples is required to draw any further conclusion.

Presently PSA is the only diagnostic marker available for prostate cancer evaluation. But it lacks the specificity as its levels are elevated in many other benign conditions. In present study we observed strong positive correlation of PSA with CIC which can have the diagnostic value. In future it would be of great interest to examine the protein fingerprint of CIC samples to detect associated qualitative changes in CIC composition which will help us to formulate specific immunological test. Such study is in progress.

5. Conclusion

From the present investigations it is evident that the levels of CICs differ significantly from normal subjects to BPH patients and prostate cancer patients. It is also evident that elevated levels are observed in different grades of prostate cancer. As the disease progresses from Grade I to Grade IV, the levels of CICs also increase (p<0.001).

When clinical data obtained for PSA in prostate cancer patients and BPH patients was compared with the corresponding CIC levels, the results clearly show a significant positive correlation with a correlation coefficient (r) of 0.5748.

The results indicate that if the qualitative analysis of CIC is done then there is possibility of developing a specific immunological test that may help for early diagnosis, prognosis, evaluation of efficacy of treatment and recurrence in prostate cancer patients.
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