Cross-protection of oilseed rape against *Verticillium longisporum* by the non-aggressive lineage A1/D2
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Abstract *Verticillium longisporum* is a soil-borne vascular pathogen of oilseed rape and other *Brassica* crops. The limited availability of chemical control measures against vascular pathogens calls for the exploration of control alternatives. Cross-protection may be conveyed by the use of non-pathogenic or non-aggressive isolates of potential pathogens as biocontrol agents. *V. longisporum* consists of three lineages that originated from three independent hybridization events of four haploid *Verticillium* parents. Previous pathogenicity tests in the greenhouse have shown that lineage A1/D2 is non-pathogenic or non-aggressive on different *Brassica* and non-*Brassica* hosts. Thus, the cross-protection potential of the A1/D2 lineage against an aggressive *V. longisporum* isolate in oilseed rape was tested. With root-dip inoculation, A1/D2 reduced disease symptoms when applied before or at the same time as the aggressive isolate. The induction of salicylic acid, a signal known to play a role in basal and cultivar-related resistance, was not involved in the biocontrol mechanism. The most practical and best feasible method of application as a seed coat failed to confirm the biocontrol effect of A1/D2 observed with root-dip inoculation. Confocal microscopy analysis revealed that seed coating led to insufficient A1/D2 hyphal establishment on the roots compared to root-dip inoculation, which may explain the lack of a biocontrol effect after seed coating and illustrates the importance of the application method for efficacy of a cross-protective biocontrol agent.
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Introduction

Soil-borne vascular pathogenic fungi are amongst the most destructive plant pathogens. The long survival of their fungal resting structures, microsclerotia, in the soil and the lack of effective curative measures make these pathogens very difficult to control (Deketelaere et al. 2017). *Verticillium longisporum* is pathogenic in *Brassica* horticultural crops, as well as in oilseed rape (Depotter et al. 2016). Significant yield losses caused by *V. longisporum* have been recorded in individual plants under controlled conditions and in the field (Depotter et al. 2019; Dunker et al. 2008; Zheng et al. 2019a).

The limited availability of chemical control measures against vascular pathogens calls for the
exploration of alternatives, such as the use of microorganisms as biocontrol agents (Boyetchko 1999; Deketelaere et al. 2017). Cross-protection is a biocontrol approach against vascular pathogens using non-pathogenic isolates or weak (non-aggressive) strains from the same species or genus (Deketelaere et al. 2020, 2017; Qin et al. 2008; Tyvaert et al. 2014). In cross-protection, protective isolates typically share the same ecological niche as the pathogen and typically present several biocontrol modes of action (Deketelaere et al. 2017). The rapid activation of defense responses upon pathogen infection after treatment with certain compounds or organisms is called priming (Conrath et al. 2002). A priming effect has been reported for fungal and bacterial biocontrol agents against various soil-borne pathogens (Begum et al. 2010; El-Mougy and Abdel-Kader 2008; Müller and Berg 2008).

There has been extensive research on the biocontrol potential of non-pathogenic *Fusarium* isolates against *Fusarium* wilts in different crops (Elmer 2004; Fravel et al. 2003; Freeman et al. 2002; Kaur et al. 2010; Nel et al. 2006; Sajeena et al. 2020; Silva and Bettiol 2005). Additionally, some reports have shown that non-pathogenic *Fusarium* isolates conferred protection against *Verticillium* wilts (Angelopoulou et al. 2014; Pantelides et al. 2009; Veloso and Díaz 2012; Zhang et al. 2015). Similarly, non-pathogenic *V. dahliae* isolates reduced *Verticillium* wilt in tomato, cotton, and strawberry (Diehl et al. 2013; Shittu et al. 2009; Zhu et al. 2013) and *V. tricorpus* protected lettuce against *V. dahliae* (Qin et al. 2008). So far, three studies have shown significant biocontrol effects of a non-pathogenic *V. isaacii* isolate against *V. longisporum* in greenhouse and field conditions (Deketelaere 2020; França et al. 2013; Tyvaert et al. 2014).

The scarce research on non-pathogenic or non-aggressive *Verticillium* isolates as biocontrol agents against *V. longisporum* calls for the screening of new biocontrol candidates. *V. longisporum* consists of three genetically distinct lineages (A1/D1, A1/D2 and A1/D3) that originated from three independent hybridization events of four haploid *Verticillium* parents. While isolates from the lineage A1/D1 and A1/D3 have a wider geographic distribution and host range, A1/D2 has so far only been found in horseradish in Illinois, USA and Ontario, Canada (Inderbitzin et al. 2011; Yu et al. 2016). Pathogenicity tests in the greenhouse revealed that A1/D1 was the most aggressive lineage on oilseed rape, while A1/D2 was the least aggressive on all tested *Brassica* and non-*Brassica* crops (Novakazi et al. 2015). Thus, due to its consistent non-aggressiveness, isolates from the A1/D2 lineage were considered candidates for effective cross-protection.

In the present study, the potential of A1/D2 isolates as biocontrol agents against an aggressive A1/D1 isolate was investigated on oilseed rape. To this end, an *in planta* assay with root-dip inoculation was used to screen the biocontrol candidates within the lineage A1/D2. The best performing isolate from those assays was tested as a seed coat, with the aim to assess the impact of the application method on its potential suitability in the field. Because salicylic acid (SA) is involved in *V. longisporum* resistance (Zheng et al. 2019b), its role in the biocontrol effect triggered by A1/D2 was investigated, as well as whether priming is involved in the biocontrol mechanism. Finally, differences in root colonization by A1/D2 upon different application methods were investigated with confocal microscopy.

**Methods**

**Fungal isolates and inoculum production**

*V. longisporum* isolates were obtained from the fungal isolate collection of the Division of Plant Pathology and Crop Protection of the Georg-August University of Göttingen. To assess the biocontrol potential of the non-aggressive lineage A1/D2 against an aggressive A1/D1 isolate on oilseed rape, three isolates from A1/D2 were selected. The selected A1/D1 isolate (VL43) was collected from a diseased oilseed rape plant in Germany (Ziese and Tiedemann 2001, 2002). The three A1/D2 isolates were obtained from horseradish in the USA and are non-aggressive on oilseed rape (Depotter et al. 2017; Novakazi et al. 2015) (Table 1).

**Spore inoculum**

For the preparation of spore inoculum, 1 ml of spore suspension, which had previously been stored axenically at −80 °C in 25% aqueous glycerol, was added to potato dextrose broth (PDB) in Erlenmeyer flasks with 400 ppm streptomycin, 50 ppm chloramphenicol, and 50 ppm rifampicin. PDB flasks were incubated on a
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Shaker (100 rpm) at 22 °C in the dark. After ten days, the culture was filtered through a sterile sieve. The spore concentration was determined using a Thoma counting chamber and diluted to $1 \times 10^6$ spores ml$^{-1}$. The spore suspension was applied either by root-dip inoculation (30 min) or as a seed coat.

**Microsclerotia inoculum**

Sand-rye flour (SRF) medium (2 kg Quartz sand, 142 g rye flour, and 190 ml water) was prepared to produce microsclerotia inoculum. SRF medium was autoclaved two times in plastic bags (55.0 × 33.5 cm). After cooling, three agar plugs from two-week-old fungal PDA cultures, as well as 20 ml sterile tap water, were added to each plastic bag. Bags were stored in the dark at room temperature for up to eight weeks and kneaded a couple of times per week to promote fungal growth throughout the whole medium. After sufficient production of microsclerotia, visible through the appearance of black grains inside the SRF medium, the bags were opened and their content was scattered onto plastic trays (50.0 × 32.0 × 6.5 cm). The trays were stored in a drying chamber at 25 °C for 3–5 days until moisture evaporated. For separation of the microsclerotia from the SRF medium, the mixture was poured in a sieve shaker and partitioned by particle sizes of 0–100 µm, 100–315 µm, 315–500 µm und >500 µm. The sieved microsclerotia were stored in the dark at 4 °C until application as a soil amendment or seed coat.

**Biocontrol potential of A1/D2 applied with root-dip inoculation**

**Experimental design**

To evaluate the potential *in planta* biocontrol effect of the non-aggressive *V. longisporum* lineage A1/D2, a greenhouse screening with oilseed rape seedlings was conducted. Seeds of the susceptible oilseed rape cultivar (cv.) ‘Falcon’ were pre-germinated with a L:D 14:10 photoperiod (Horti-Lux HPS-400 Watt) at 18–24 °C in quartz sand. Ten days after sowing, seedlings were removed from the quartz sand and washed thoroughly under running tap water. Washed seedlings were dipped in a spore suspension prepared as described above. Roots of control seedlings were washed thoroughly under running tap water. Washed seedlings were dipped in a spore suspension prepared as described above. Roots of control seedlings were immersed in water.

Three inoculation methods were used, with which A1/D2 isolates were inoculated before, at the same time or after inoculation with the aggressive isolate VL43 (lineage A1/D1). For pre-inoculation, seedlings were first inoculated with A1/D2 isolates or water. At seven days post-inoculation (dpi), seedlings were removed from the soil and the roots were gently washed before treatment with water or VL43. For co-inoculation, roots were inoculated with a mixed suspension of each non-aggressive A1/D2 and aggressive VL43. With post-inoculation, VL43 or water were inoculated and, at 7 dpi, seedlings were treated with water or A1/D2. To avoid cross-contamination, seedlings inoculated with different treatments in the first week were treated separately in the second week.

The three treatments (water, VL43, and A1/D2 + VL43) of each inoculation method consisted of 24 plants. Treated plants were transplanted into 7×7×8 cm pots filled with a soil mixture of sand and steamed compost with a 1:3 volume-based ratio.

### Table 1 *Verticillium longisporum* isolates used in this study

| Identifier | Identifiers in literature | Host | Sampling site | Collection | References |
|------------|---------------------------|------|---------------|------------|------------|
| VL43$^a$  | PD638, VL43               | *Brassica napus* (oilseed rape) | Mecklenburg, Germany | a          | Zeise and Tiedemann (2001, 2002) |
| A1/D2     | PD402                     | Armoracia rusticana (horseradish) | Illinois, USA | b          | Inderbitzin et al. (2011) |
| A1/D2     | PD730                     | *A. rusticana* (horseradish) | Illinois, USA | b          | Inderbitzin et al. (2011) |
| A1/D2     | PD356                     | *A. rusticana* (horseradish) | Illinois, USA | b          | Inderbitzin et al. (2011) |

$^a$UGoe= Georg-August University of Göttingen; $^b$UC Davis= University of California, Davis
Each pot had two plants with the same treatment and four pots were placed in one tray to avoid cross-contamination between treatments during irrigation. All trays were organized according to a completely randomized design and kept with the same light and temperature conditions as described for pre-germination. The experiment was performed twice.

**Evaluation of disease development**

Disease assessment was conducted at 7, 14, 21, and 28 dpi. In the case of the pre- and post-inoculation methods, assessment dates were counted starting after the second inoculation. The evaluation of yellowing and death of leaves was performed according to a 9 score assessment key described by Eynck et al. (2009), and the net area under the disease progress curve (AUDPC) was calculated accordingly. At 28 dpi, plant height was measured from the cotyledons to the tip of the highest leaf to calculate the height reduction caused by disease in relation to the average height of the control treatment.

**Biocontrol potential of A1/D2 applied as seed coat**

**Seed coating**

The best performing A1/D2 isolate from the root-dip inoculation assay was selected to test two seed coating methods. Seeds of the spring oilseed rape cv. ‘Licosmos’ were surface sterilized by soaking in 70% ethanol for 2 min, washed with sterile water and stirred in 1% sodium hypochlorite + 1% tween 80 solution for 15 min. Following disinfection, seeds were washed three times with sterilized tap water. Seeds were coated either with microsclerotia or a spore suspension. For the microsclerotia seed coating, preparation of the liquid formulation followed the procedure by Lopisso et al. (2017) with modifications. First, a 1% methylcellulose (MC) sticker solution (MFCD00081763, Sigma-Aldrich; USA) was prepared in distilled boiled water. The solution was mixed on a magnetic stirrer for two hours. When the solution reached room temperature, 60 mg microsclerotia of 0–100 µm in size were dissolved in a 100 ml MC solution. For the seed coating, 2 g of oilseed rape seeds were mixed with 2.5 ml of the microsclerotia-MC solution in 15 ml falcon tubes. Mixing was performed by vigorously shaking the tubes for 1 min by hand and vortexing them five times for 1 min. Afterwards, coated seeds were distributed apart from each other to prevent pluming and dried under a laminar flow cabinet for 12 h. Seeds of the control treatment were coated with MC alone.

For the seed coating with spores, a spore suspension was prepared as described above. The sterilized seeds were left in an A1/D2 spore suspension (10 ml spore suspension per gram of seeds) for 30 min, and the suspension was stirred manually three times during the incubation period. Afterwards, they were dried under a laminar flow cabinet for 4 h. Seeds of the untreated control treatment were coated with water.

**Microsclerotia soil amendment**

VL43 was applied into the soil as a microsclerotia soil amendment. For that, microsclerotia of size 315–500 µm were added at a concentration of 800 mg microsclerotia per kg soil. Microsclerotia were mixed manually in the soil by adding 50 ml of water per kg of soil.

**Experimental design and evaluation of disease development**

Treated seeds were directly transplanted into 7×7×8 cm pots filled with a soil mixture of sand compost in a 1:3 volume-based ratio and with one plant per pot. For this assessment, 32 plants divided in four trays were used per treatment (control, VL43 soil amendment alone, or combined with A1/D2 seed coating). All trays were organized according to a completely randomized design. Each seed coating method was tested in a climate chamber and greenhouse. In the climate chamber, conditions were the same as during the biocontrol assessment of A1/D2 when applied with root inoculation. In the greenhouse, the temperature range was 15–25 °C. Disease evaluation was conducted by weekly assessing the plant height (cm) from 28 to 84 dpi from the hypocotyl to the highest point of the plant (including leaves, flowers, and pods). Necrotic dead plants were denoted as zero. The plant height of the treatments relative to the average plant height of the control treatment was calculated.
Microscopic assessment of different applications of A1/D2 on oilseed rape roots

Experimental design

To assess root colonization ability of A1/D2 following different application methods (seed coating and root-dip inoculation), hyphal colonization growth of the isolate A1/D2b on the external layers of the roots of the oilseed rape cv. Falcon was assessed by confocal microscopy at 14 dpi. Twelve ten-day-old seedlings root-dip inoculated with a spore suspension of A1/D2b in the biocontrol assessment with root-dip inoculation, as well as twelve seeds coated with A1/D2b spores or microsclerotia in the biocontrol assessment with a seed coat, were planted or sown in trays containing quartz sand. Water-treated seeds and seedlings were used as control. Sand was selected as substrate to ensure the harvest of complete roots without any adhered material. Pots were watered every other day and fertilized twice per week with the full nutrient solution “Flory Basisdünger” (EUFLOR GmbH, Schermbeck, Germany). The experiment was conducted twice with the same conditions as the climate chamber. Two weeks after treatments, six plants per treatment were carefully uprooted and roots were gently washed. The differentiation zone of the main root was investigated by selecting, with light microscopy, two sections that showed presence of hyphae. On these sections, hyphal colonization was investigated with confocal microscopy.

Staining and confocal microscopy

The entire roots were stained with a sequential double staining method. Samples were first immersed in 50 µg ml⁻¹ Wheat Germ Agglutinin, Alexa Fluor® 488 Conjugate (W11261, Molecular probes; USA) and vacuum-infiltrated in the dark at room temperature for 30 min. Subsequently, samples were vacuum-infiltrated for 20 min with 10 µg ml⁻¹ propidium iodide (2,470,810 Carl Roth GmbH, Karlsruhe, Germany). Roots were immediately dipped twice in distilled water, mounted on 50% glycerol and covered with a coverslip (0.16 mm thickness). Microscopic examinations were performed using a Leica TCS SP2 confocal laser scanning microscope (Leica Microsystems, Manheim, Germany). A two-channel analysis was carried out, with a 488 nm wavelength to excite Alexa Fluor and 500–530 nm to receive the emission. In the case of propidium iodide, a 550–560 nm wavelength range was used for excitation and 608–680 nm to receive the emission.

Potential priming effect of A1/D2

Experimental design

To elucidate a potential priming effect of A1/D2 in oilseed rape against VL43, the effect of inoculation with A1/D2 on SA production of oilseed rape cv. Falcon was investigated. The five trays per treatment were arranged in a completely randomized design. Plants were root-dip inoculated following the pre-inoculation method described above. The four treatments were water-water, A1/D2b-water, water-VL43, and A1/D2b-VL43. Aerial parts of the plants were sampled at 6 and 10 dpi. For each treatment and sampling day, five samples from ten pooled plants were harvested. Samples were immersed in liquid nitrogen immediately after collection to halt any metabolic processes and stored in a freezer at −22 °C. The experiment was performed twice.

Free SA extraction

Frozen samples of plant tissue were homogenized with a 5 mm tungsten ball by using a MM400 mill (Retsch GmbH, Haan, Germany) at 25 Hz for 1 min. Free SA was extracted according to Kamble and Bhargava (2007) with modifications. Ground plant tissue (100 mg) was suspended in 1.5 ml of acetone, shaken vigorously and centrifuged (5500 rpm) at 4 °C for 45 min. This step was repeated twice and the two supernatants were merged and evaporated in a speed vacuum centrifuge at 30 °C. The residue was dissolved in 1 ml demineralized water, and 1 ml ethyl acetate was subsequently added. The upper phase was transferred and evaporated at 35 °C. The residue was dissolved again in 200 µl of high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) grade methanol (1481.2500, CHEMSOLUTE).
High performance liquid chromatography

Samples were centrifuged for 2 min at 5000 rpm to precipitate unsolvable particles before loading them into HPLC vials. An HPLC-fluorescence system consisting of a ProStar 410 autosampler, a Prostar 210 binary pump system (Varian, Darmstadt, Germany) with a 10 W SS head, a LiChroampler® 100 RP18 reverse phase column (particle size 5 µm) inside a LiChroCART® 125–1 HPLC cartridge (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) at 30 °C, and a Varian ProStar 363 fluorescence detector was used. For fluorescence detection, an excitation wavelength of 315 nm and emission wavelength of 405 nm were used. Each sample was analyzed for 27 min under a bi-mobile phase with (A) 20 mM sodium acetate (CHEMSOLUTE) pH 5.0 and (B) methanol with a flow rate of 1 ml min\(^{-1}\) with the following protocol: 0.0–2.0 min 10% B, 2.0–10.0 min from 10 to 30% B, 10.0–13.0 min from 30 to 98% B, 13.0–18.0 min 98% B, 18.0–21.0 min from 98 to 10% B, and 21.0–25.0 min 10% B.

A dilution series from 100 to 20 µM of SA dissolved in HPLC-grade methanol was used as a standard. The free SA was quantified using the Galaxie chromatography workstation software (Varian, Darmstadt, Germany).

Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis was carried out with R (version 4.0.2.; R Core Team 2021). For the analysis of biocontrol potential of A1/D2 with root-dip inoculation, the data from the two experimental repetitions was merged for each inoculation method (pre-, co- and post-inoculation). One experimental repetition had three replicates per treatment, each consisting of the average of eight plants in one tray. The data was analyzed by a multi-factor ANOVA where the significance of \(V.\ longisporum\) treatments on the relative plant height and net AUDPC was assessed for each inoculation method. In the case of the net AUDPC, a prior box cox transformation was necessary.

For the assessment of the potential biocontrol method of A1/D2 as a seed coat, a box cox transformation of the data was required. The significance of the \(V.\ longisporum\) treatments on the relative plant height was assessed for each seed coat method with a linear model. Because the experiment was carried out in two different environmental conditions, the effect of the greenhouse and climate chamber was also considered in the multi-factor ANOVA. For each environment there were four replicates per treatment, each consisting of the average height of six plants in one tray.

For the evaluation of the potential priming effect of A1/D2 by the assessment of free salicylic acid, the data from two experimental replicates was merged. One experimental repetition had five replicates per treatment, each consisting of ten plants. After a box cox transformation, the interaction of the \(V.\ longisporum\) treatments and day of harvest on the free salicylic acid in the plant tissue was assessed by multi-factor ANOVA. The homogeneity of variance of the residues of all models was assessed visually. For the multiple pos-hoc comparisons, a Sidak test was used.

Results

Biocontrol potential of A1/D2 with root-dip inoculation

The analysis revealed differences on relative height between the treatments with a pre- (ANOVA, \(F_{(3, 20)} = 4.25, P < 0.05\)), co- (ANOVA, \(F_{(3, 20)} = 16.24, P < 0.05\)) and post-inoculation (ANOVA, \(F_{(3, 20)} = 5.45, P < 0.05\)). The pre-inoculation of A1/D2b led to a relative height of seedlings significantly increased by up to 15% compared to single treatment with VL43 (Fig. 1a). Pre-inoculation with A1/D2a and A1/D2c did not show a significant biocontrol effect with regard to plant height. Co-inoculation of VL43 with the A1/D2 isolates increased relative plant height by up to 30% compared to seedlings only treated with VL43 (Fig. 1b). The analysis revealed differences on AUDPC between the treatments with a pre- (ANOVA, \(F_{(3, 20)} = 8.35, P < 0.05\)), co- (ANOVA, \(F_{(3, 20)} = 8.34, P < 0.05\)) and post-inoculation (ANOVA, \(F_{(3, 20)} = 5.54, P < 0.05\)). With a pre- and co-inoculation of the A1/D2 isolates there was a significant symptom reduction of up to 50%. The post-inoculation assay had the highest AUDPC symptoms and no biocontrol effect was observed (Fig. 1c).
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**Biocontrol potential of A1/D2 applied as seed coat**

The biocontrol potential of A1/D2b against VL43 was tested on spring oilseed rape by applying A1/D2b as a microsclerotia or spore seed coat. There was no effect of environment, timepoints of disease assessment, and biocontrol treatment on the disease development, both when the biocontrol was applied as a microsclerotia seed coat (ANOVA, $F_{(4, 60)} = 0.52$, $P > 0.05$) or a spore seed coat (ANOVA, $F_{(4, 60)} = 0.098$, $P > 0.05$) (Supplementary Tables S1, S2).

**Microscopic assessment of root colonization of A1/D2 on oilseed rape**

Root colonization ability by A1/D2b applied as a root-dip inoculation or seed treatment (microsclerotia and spore suspension) was assessed with confocal microscopy. This assay confirmed that the three application methods allowed hyphal colonization of the root surface at 14 dpi (Fig. 2). A1/D2b hyphae were clearly observed after root-dip inoculation and spore seed coating (Fig. 2a, b, f), but the microsclerotia seed coat led to fungal colonization without any typical hyphal morphology (Fig. 2c, d). Instead, fungal structures presented a crumbly morphology, which was also observed in the spore seed coating.
(Fig. 2e). Overall, root-dip inoculation resulted in the most intense hyphal coverage of the roots.

Analysis of the potential priming effect caused by A1/D2

The potential priming effect of A1/D2 against VL43 in oilseed rape was assessed by quantifying the free SA production at 6 and 10 dpi. In both replicated experiments, there were no significant differences in
the levels of SA between the treatments (ANOVA, $F_{(3, 60)} = 1.17, P > 0.05$) (Supplementary Fig. S1).

**Discussion**

Biocontrol potential of A1/D2 applied by root-dip inoculation

In the present study, a cross-protection potential of the A1/D2 lineage against an aggressive *V. longisporum* isolate in oilseed rape was demonstrated for the first time. Applied with root-dip inoculation, A1/D2 isolates reduced symptoms of oilseed rape seedlings when they were pre- or co-inoculated with VL43 (Fig. 1a, b). In former studies, co-inoculation of the biocontrol agent with the aggressive isolate typically did not result in biocontrol, as reported for non-aggressive isolates of *V. tricorpus* and *V. albo-atrum* against *V. dahliae* on potato (Robinson et al. 2007). This suggested that the biocontrol effect of protective isolates against vascular diseases requires pre-inoculation (Alabouvette et al. 2009; Deketelaere et al. 2020; Tyvaert et al. 2014). However, a successful biocontrol effect against *V. dahliae* with both inoculation strategies has been reported for *V. tricorpus* in lettuce (Qin et al. 2008), as well as for non-aggressive isolates of *V. dahliae* and *Gibelullopsis nigrescens* in cotton and tomato (Shittu et al. 2009; Zhu et al. 2013). The reduction of symptoms observed with co-inoculation of VL43 with A1/D2 isolates indicates that A1/D2 is a potent competitor for infection sites and space on the root. This mode of action has been confirmed for a non-pathogenic *Fusarium* isolate against *V. dahliae* in pepper (Pantelides et al. 2009). In contrast to the observations of Zhu et al. (2013) and Qin et al. (2008), the present study revealed a higher disease reduction with co-inoculation than with pre-inoculation when applying A1/D2 isolates (Fig. 1b). This might be related to the higher expression of VL43 symptoms in the co-inoculation assay. With pre-inoculation, the seedlings were one week older when inoculated with the aggressive isolate. Consequently, they were bigger and more robust, which might have made them less susceptible to the pathogen (Sharabani et al. 2013).

By the time of post-inoculation with A1/D2b, VL43 was already well established in the root vascular system, as aggressive isolates start invading the vascular system 60 h after inoculation (Eynck et al. 2007). There was no biocontrol effect observed with post-inoculation application (Fig. 1c), which indicates that cross-protection by the lineage A1/D2 is more effective before the systemic colonization of the pathogen.

Seed coating and microscopic assessment

Biocontrol assessments should preferably mimic natural conditions as much as possible (Deketelaere et al. 2020, 2017; Stadler and Tiedemann 2014). Consequently, the biocontrol effect of A1/D2b was also tested on a spring type oilseed rape cultivar in unsterile greenhouse conditions. In addition, treatment as a seed coat is a particularly suitable method under practical terms. However, in this study, both seed coating treatments (spore suspension and microsclerotia) did not result in a significant biocontrol with both inoculation strategies has been reported for *V. tricorpus* in lettuce (Qin et al. 2008), as well as for non-aggressive isolates of *V. dahliae* and *Gibelullopsis nigrescens* in cotton and tomato (Shittu et al. 2009; Zhu et al. 2013). The reduction of symptoms observed with co-inoculation of VL43 with A1/D2 isolates indicates that A1/D2 is a potent competitor for infection sites and space on the root. This mode of action has been confirmed for a non-pathogenic *Fusarium* isolate against *V. dahliae* in pepper (Pantelides et al. 2009). In contrast to the observations of Zhu et al. (2013) and Qin et al. (2008), the present study revealed a higher disease reduction with co-inoculation than with pre-inoculation when applying A1/D2 isolates (Fig. 1b). This might be related to the higher expression of VL43 symptoms in the co-inoculation assay. With pre-inoculation, the seedlings were one week older when inoculated with the aggressive isolate. Consequently, they were bigger and more robust, which might have made them less susceptible to the pathogen (Sharabani et al. 2013).

For successful biocontrol with seed coating, the protective isolate must colonize the root surface during seed germination (El-Mougy and Abdel-Kader 2008). With confocal microscopy, we confirmed that A1/D2b was able to colonize the root surface after seed coating (Fig. 2). Nevertheless, A1/D2b root colonization was significantly lower when A1/D2b was applied as a seed treatment than by root-dip inoculation. The less intense hyphal colonization of the roots may explain the lower competitive effects and poorer induction of plant defense when non-aggressive strains are applied via seed coating. This is in agreement with the results from Bao and Lazarovits (2001), who showed that physical plant defense on the roots occurred only in the root section where the biocontrol agent was present.

The study by Deketelaere et al. (2020) revealed a biocontrol effect of *V. isaacii* against *V. longisporum* in cauliflower when using a high-precision application technology for seed treatment. In contrast, Lopisso et al. (2017) reported no biocontrol effect against *V. dahliae* in sugar beet when
F. oxysporum F2 and V. tricorpus 1808 microsclerotia were applied as a seed coat with a methylcellulose formulation. This indicates that the application technique of microsclerotia seed coating is crucial to ensure sufficient colonization of plant roots with the biocontrol agent for efficient disease reduction.

Less intense hyphal colonization of the roots after seed coating with spores may be attributed to a low survival rate of spores when applied with this method. Crumbled fungal structures on the root might indicate dead spores or only partially germinated microsclerotia. Thus, further research should focus on coating materials that can improve the survival rate of spores and extend their shelf-life, as well as on nourishment for the biocontrol agent (Alaboubette et al. 2009; Rocha et al. 2019).

Potential priming effect of A1/D2

Biocontrol mechanisms that could be involved after the vascular establishment of the non-aggressive Verticillium isolates include plant growth promotion, antibiosis, or induction of plant defense. At this stage of colonization, a direct antagonistic mechanism through antibiosis would only be possible if A1/D2b was able to invade the vascular system (Deketelaere et al. 2017). Shittu et al. (2009) reported that a non-aggressive V. dahliae isolate was able to colonize the xylem as an endophyte. However, a previous study indicated that A1/D2c does not colonize above-ground parts of oilseed rape (Depotter et al. 2017). Thus, intense vascular systemic colonization in oilseed rape by A1/D2 is not likely to occur. Consequently, induction of plant defense is the most plausible mechanism of A1/D2b when post-inoculated after VL43. A similar biocontrol mechanism has been reported for two non-pathogenic F. oxysporum isolates, which upregulate pathogenesis-related proteins (PR) upon inoculation in eggplant and Arabidopsis (Angelopoulou et al. 2014; Veloso and Díaz 2012).

The A1/D2b biocontrol effect via root-dip inoculation suggests that induction of plant defense might be involved. SA is assumed to be the translocated signal in systemic acquired resistance (Conrath 2006). Moreover, Zheng et al. (2019b) showed that induction of SA at early stages of infection is required for basal and cultivar-related resistance in oilseed rape against V. longisporum. Priming has been reported as the biocontrol mechanism of non-pathogenic F. oxysporum isolates against F. oxysporum f. sp. lycopersici in tomato (Aimé et al. 2008) and Verticillium wilt in pepper (Veloso et al. 2016). In the present study, free SA was quantified to assess whether A1/D2b protects seedlings against VL43 by directly inducing defense reactions or through priming. Our results indicate that neither VL43 nor A1/D2b have an effect on SA production in the susceptible cultivar Falcon. Similarly, Zheng et al. (2019b) reported a lack of early induction of SA by VL43 in Falcon and this was not altered by applying the protective isolates. These results indicate that the induction of SA is probably not involved in the cross-protective biocontrol mechanism of A1/D2b and suggest that other pathways of plant defense rather than components of basal resistance are activated or enhanced by A1/D2b. Among these are jasmonate-dependent defense pathways, the production of defense-related enzymes, or accumulation of lignin (Deketelaere et al. 2017).

To the authors’ best knowledge, this is the first report of biocontrol effects of a non-aggressive isolate against a pathogenic strain of V. longisporum in oilseed rape applied by root-dip inoculation. The non-aggressive lineage A1/D2 demonstrated to be a potential biocontrol candidate when applied in a pre- or co-inoculation manner. Altogether, the results from this study suggest that this isolate might induce plant defense and compete with the pathogen for infection sites on the root surface. The induction of SA, a signal of basal and cultivar-related resistance to V. longisporum, does not appear to be involved in this biocontrol mechanism. No biocontrol effect was observed when the biocontrol agent was applied as a seed coat, which illustrates the importance of the application method for the efficacy of a biocontrol agent.
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