Anisotropic cosmological dynamics in $f(T)$ gravity in the presence of a perfect fluid

Maria A. Skugoreva$^{1,a}$, Alexey V. Toporensky$^{1,2,b}$

1 Kazan Federal University, Kremlevskaya 18, Kazan 420008, Russia
2 Sternberg Astronomical Institute, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow 119991, Russia

Abstract We consider the cosmological evolution of a flat anisotropic Universe in $f(T)$ gravity in the presence of a perfect fluid. It is shown that the matter content of the Universe has a significant impact of the nature of a cosmological singularity in the model studied. Depending on the parameters of the $f(T)$ function and the equation of state of the perfect fluid in question the well-known Kasner regime of general relativity can be replaced by a new anisotropic solution, or by an isotropic regime, or the cosmological singularity changes its nature to a non-standard one with a finite values of Hubble parameters. Six possible scenarios of the cosmological evolution for the model studied have been found numerically.

1 Introduction

Recently a new class of modified gravity theories has attracted a great deal of attention. Its story goes back to the beginning of the 20th century when Einstein reformulated his General Relativity (GR) in terms of torsion instead of curvature [1] and the Weitzenböck connection [2] instead of the Levi-Civita one. The resulting theory, known as the teleparallel equivalent of general relativity (TEGR) [3], has the same equations of motion as in GR, but it has a different mathematical structure. This theory has been forgotten for a long time and only a decade ago it attracted new attention. As for TEGR itself, despite complete equivalence to GR on the level of the equations of motion, its different mathematical background leads to different global properties, such as conservation quantities, the topic which is currently the subject of intense investigations [3,4]. What has also become understood only after the theory’s revival is that modifying TEGR the way analogous to the famous $f(R)$ modifications of GR leads to the theory (known as $f(T)$ gravity; see the review in [5]) which is different from $f(R)$ already at the level of equations of motion [6–8]. The function $f(T)$ is assumed to be smooth; other possible restrictions from thermodynamical considerations have been discussed in Ref. [9]. The equations of motion in $f(T)$ theory is of the second order, to be compared with the fourth-order equations in $f(R)$. However, $f(T)$ gravity had some still unresolved conceptional problems connected with the lack of local Lorentz covariance [10,11] and an unknown number of dynamical degrees of freedom (see [12] and the references therein).

For the equations of motion this leads to a situation when non-pathological equations require a special (so-called “proper” or “good”) tetrad to be used [4,13–15], or equivalently, a non-trivial spin connection to be calculated for a given tetrad [16]. Otherwise, the non-symmetric part of the equations of motion requires explicitly the condition $\frac{d^2 f(T)}{dT^2} = 0$, which means $f(T) \propto T$ and we return to unmodified TEGR.

Before this problem can be understood in detail, one of the possible ways to study $f(T)$ gravity is finding solutions for the cases where the “proper” tetrad is known. For example, it is well known that for a flat Friedmann–Lemaître–Robertson–Walker (FLRW) cosmology with a scale factor $a$ the diagonal cartesian tetrad $(1, a, a, a)$ is a proper tetrad. This means that the general problem does not affect studies of FLRW cosmology in $f(T)$ gravity [17–27]. Moreover, it was shown that the diagonal tetrad $(1, a, b, c)$ is a proper tetrad for a flat anisotropic Universe (here $a, b, c$ are three different scale factors of the Bianchi I metric), which allows us to study the corresponding anisotropic cosmological dynamics [5,28–36].

In our recent paper [36] we have considered Bianchi I cosmological dynamics of a vacuum Universe in $f(T) = T + f_0 T^N$ gravity. We have found that, depending on the branch of solutions ($T = 0$ or $T \neq 0$), the Kasner solution [37] is either an exact (for $T = 0$ branch) or asymptotic
solution (for $T \neq 0$ branch) in the high-energy regime where the corrections to Einstein gravity dominate. However, intuitively we would expect that deviations from the solutions of Einstein gravity (the Kasner solutions belong to this class) should increase as the higher order terms in the action of the theory start to dominate. What we found is the opposite behavior—deviations starts to dominate in low-energy dynamics (where a new de Sitter solution appears), while the high-energy regime is the same for TEGR and $f(T)$ gravity. Such a property leads to the suggestion that the model we have studied is oversimplified. Indeed, our considerations have been restricted by vacuum models, which are very different from the Universe we live in. In GR, the vacuum approximation near a cosmological singularity is known to be relevant—any matter content of the Universe (apart from a stiff fluid) has negligible influence on the cosmological dynamics, and the nature of the cosmological singularity is determined solely by the vacuum solutions. However, it is not a priori evident whether this property is still valid in modified gravity. The goal of the present paper is to study the cosmological evolution in a flat anisotropic Universe in the presence of a perfect fluid and to determine the influence of the matter content of the Universe upon its dynamics.

2 The equations of motion

We consider cosmological models with the action of $f(T)$ theory with matter

$$S = \frac{1}{2K} \int e f(T) d^4x + S_m, \quad (1)$$

where $e = \det(e^{\mu}_{\nu}) = \sqrt{-g}$ is the determinant consisting of the tetrad components $e^{\mu}_{\nu}$, $f(T)$ is a general differentiable function of the torsion scalar $T$, $S_m$ is the matter action and $K = 8\pi G$. Units $h = c = 1$ will be used.

The following diagonal tetrad is chosen:

$$e^A_{\mu} = \text{diag}(1, a(t), b(t), c(t)), \quad (2)$$

which relates to the Bianchi I metric $ds^2 = dt^2 - a^2(t)dx^2 - b^2(t)dy^2 - c^2(t)dz^2$, where $a(t), b(t), c(t)$ are scale factors. The torsion scalar for the chosen tetrad (2) is

$$T = -2(H_a H_b + H_a H_c + H_b H_c), \quad (3)$$

where $H_a \equiv \dot{a}/a^2, H_b \equiv \dot{b}/b^2, H_c \equiv \dot{c}/c^2$ are anisotropic Hubble parameters, and a dot denotes the derivative with respect to time. Equation (3) reduces to $T = -6H^2$ in the isotropic case $a(t) = b(t) = c(t), H_a = H_b = H_c = H$. Then the time derivative of the torsion scalar has the form

$$\dot{T} = -2(\dot{H}_a H_b + H_a \dot{H}_b) + \dot{H}_b (H_a + H_c) + \dot{H}_c (H_a + H_b). \quad (4)$$

We derive the equations of motion varying the action (1) with respect to the chosen tetrad (2) (see, for example, [28])

$$f(T) - 2Tf_T = 2K\rho, \quad (5)$$

$$\dot{T}f_T T + f_T \left(\dot{H}_b + \dot{H}_c + (H_b)^2 + (H_c)^2 \right) + 2H_a H_c - 2H_a H_b - 2H_b H_c = -2Kw\rho, \quad (6)$$

$$\dot{T}f_{TT} (H_a + H_c) + f_T \left(\dot{H}_a + \dot{H}_c + (H_a)^2 + (H_c)^2 \right) + 2H_a H_c - 2H_a H_b - 2H_b H_c = -2Kw\rho, \quad (7)$$

$$\dot{T}f_{TT} (H_a + H_b) + f_T \left(\dot{H}_a + \dot{H}_b + (H_a)^2 + (H_b)^2 \right) + 2H_a H_b - 2H_a H_c - 2H_b H_c = -2Kw\rho, \quad (8)$$

where $\rho$ is the energy density of matter, $p$ the pressure of matter, $p = w\rho$ the matter equation of state; $w \in [-1; 1]$ is a constant. Here we denote $f_T = \frac{df(T)}{dT}, f_{TT} = \frac{d^2f(T)}{dT^2}$.

The continuity equation for matter is

$$\dot{\rho} + (H_a + H_b + H_c)(1 + w)\rho = 0. \quad (9)$$

We subtract (6) from the sum of (7) and (8), substitute the constraint $K\rho = \frac{1}{2} - Tf_T$ and (3) to the obtained equation and find

$$H_a \dot{T}f_{TT} + f_T \left(\dot{H}_a + H_a^2 - H_b H_c\right) = -\frac{1}{2}K(w + 1)\rho. \quad (10)$$

The following two equations are obtained analogously:

$$H_b \dot{T}f_{TT} + f_T \left(\dot{H}_b + H_b^2 - H_a H_c\right) = -\frac{1}{2}K(w + 1)\rho, \quad (11)$$

$$H_c \dot{T}f_{TT} + f_T \left(\dot{H}_c + H_c^2 - H_a H_b\right) = -\frac{1}{2}K(w + 1)\rho. \quad (12)$$

The sum of these three equations is

$$\left(H_a + H_b + H_c\right) \dot{T}f_{TT} + f_T \left(\dot{H}_a + \dot{H}_b + \dot{H}_c\right) + \left(H_a + H_b + H_c\right)^2 + \frac{3}{2}T = -\frac{3}{2}K(w + 1)\rho. \quad (13)$$

3 The linear relation between $H_a, H_b, H_c$

The system under investigation contains four variables—three Hubble parameters and the matter energy density. We have also one constraint equation (5), so we could expect that the number of independent variables is equal to three. However, the special very symmetric nature of equations of motion induces one more relation between the Hubble parameters.
To show this we subtract in pairs the equations of the system (10)–(12) and find

\[(H_b - H_a)\dot{T} + f_T (H_b - H_a) + H_c - H_e + H_e = 0,\]
\[(H_e - H_b)\dot{T} + f_T (H_e - H_b) + H_a - H_h = 0,\]
\[(H_a - H_c)\dot{T} + f_T (H_a - H_c) + H_b - H_h = 0.\]

(14)

(15)

(16)

Now we add Eq. (14) multiplied by \((H_e - H_b)\) to Eq. (15) multiplied by \((H_a - H_b)\),

\[2f_T ((\dot{H}_b - \dot{H}_a)(H_c - H_b) + (\dot{H}_a - \dot{H}_c)(H_b - H_c)) = 0.\]

(17)

Analogously to (17) we obtain

\[2f_T ((\dot{H}_c - \dot{H}_b)(H_a - H_c) + (\dot{H}_a - \dot{H}_c)(H_b - H_a)) = 0,\]

(18)

\[2f_T ((\dot{H}_a - \dot{H}_c)(H_b - H_a) + (\dot{H}_b - \dot{H}_a)(H_c - H_a)) = 0.\]

(19)

The following expression is found from this system for \(f_T \neq 0\):

\[\dot{H}_c(H_a - H_b) = \dot{H}_a(H_c - H_b) + \dot{H}_b(H_a - H_c).\]

(20)

For \(f_T \neq 0\), \(H_a \neq H_b \neq H_c\) the system (17)–(19) gives us

\[\frac{\dot{H}_a - \dot{H}_b}{H_b - H_a} = \frac{\dot{H}_c - \dot{H}_b}{H_c - H_b} = \frac{\dot{H}_a - \dot{H}_c}{H_a - H_c} \Rightarrow \frac{d}{dt}(\ln(H_a - H_b)) = \frac{d}{dt}(\ln(H_c - H_b)) = \frac{d}{dt}(\ln(H_a - H_c)).\]

(21)

Solving these differential equations we find

\[H_b - H_a = C_1(H_c - H_b),\]

(22)

\[H_c - H_b = C_2(H_a - H_b),\]

(23)

\[H_b - H_a = C_3(H_c - H_a),\]

(24)

where \(C_3 = C_1C_2\) is obtained after the substitution (23) to (22). The sum of (23) and (24) is \(H_c - H_a = (C_2 + C_1C_2)(H_a - H_c).\) Then \(C_2 + C_1C_2 = -1 \Rightarrow C_2 = -\frac{1}{1 + C_1}\) and \(C_3 = -\frac{C_1}{1 + C_1}\). Therefore, \(H_c\) is the linear combination of \(H_a\) and \(H_b\) for the assumptions \(f_T \neq 0\) and \(H_a \neq H_b \neq H_c\):

\[H_c = -\frac{1}{C_1}H_a + \frac{1 + C_1}{C_1}H_b.\]

(25)

4 Dynamical system for the model \(f(T) = T + f_0T^N\)

In what follows we consider cosmological models with the Lagrangian density function \(f(T) = T + f_0T^N\), where \(f_0, N > 0\) are parameters. Then the constraint (5) and other field equations (10)–(12) have the form

\[T + f_0T^N - 2T - 2f_0NT^N = 2K\rho,\]
\[\Rightarrow -T + f_0(1 - 2N)T^N = 2K\rho,\]
\[H_a\dot{T} f_0(N - 1)T^{N - 2} + (1 + f_0NT^{-1}) (\dot{H}_a + H_a^2 - H_bH_c) = -\frac{1}{2} K(w + 1)\rho,\]
\[H_b\dot{T} f_0(N - 1)T^{N - 2} + (1 + f_0NT^{-1}) (\dot{H}_b + H_b^2 - H_bH_c) = -\frac{1}{2} K(w + 1)\rho,\]
\[H_c\dot{T} f_0(N - 1)T^{N - 2} + (1 + f_0NT^{-1}) (\dot{H}_c + H_c^2 - H_bH_c) = -\frac{1}{2} K(w + 1)\rho.\]

(26)

(27)

(28)

(29)

We find the sum of Eqs. (27)–(29) to be

\[(H_a + H_b + H_c)\dot{T} N(N - 1)T^{N - 2} + (1 + f_0NT^{-1}) \left(\dot{H}_a + \dot{H}_b + \dot{H}_c + (H_a + H_b + H_c)^2 + \frac{3}{2} T\right) = -\frac{3}{2} K(w + 1)\rho.\]

(30)

Due to the linear relation (25) we can expect that two variables are enough for the corresponding dynamical system. For such variables we choose the torsion scalar and the sum of the three Hubble parameters; therefore, we shall use Eqs. (26) and (30) in the present section. Separate behavior of Hubble parameters will be considered in the following sections.

New expansion-normalized variables are introduced as follows:

\[x = \frac{T}{X^2}, \quad y = \frac{f_0(1 - 2N)T^{N - 1} - 1}{f_T}, \quad r = \frac{K\rho}{X^2 f_T},\]

(31)

where we denote \(X = H_a + H_b + H_c\).

The variable \(y\) depends on \(T^{N - 1}\), therefore we can express \(T^{N - 1}\) and \(f_T\) through \(y\):

\[y = \frac{f_0(1 - 2N)T^{N - 1} - 1}{1 + f_0NT^{-1}} \Rightarrow f_0T^{N - 1} = -\frac{1 + y}{2N - 1 + Ny},\]

(32)

then

\[f_T = 1 + f_0NT^{-1} = 1 + N\frac{1 + y}{2N - 1 + Ny} = \frac{N - 1}{2N - 1 + Ny},\]

(33)

\[f_T T = f_0N(N - 1)T^{N - 2} = \frac{N(N - 1)(1 + y)}{T(2N - 1 + Ny)}\]

(34)

and

\[\frac{\dot{f}_T}{f_T} = -\frac{N(1 + y)}{T}.\]

(35)

It follows from the definition of the variable \(y\) that

1. if \(y \to 0\) then \(T^{N - 1} \to const = \frac{1}{f_0(1 - 2N)}, N \neq \frac{1}{2},\)
2. if \(y \to -1\) then \(T \to 0,\)
3). If \( y \rightarrow (1 - 2N)/N \) then \( T \rightarrow \pm \infty \).

Dividing the constraint equation (26) by \( X^2 f_T \) we find
\[
\frac{T}{X^2} \left( -1 + \frac{f_0(1 - 2N)T^{N-1}}{f_T} \right) = \frac{2K\lambda}{X^2 f_T} \Rightarrow xy = 2r.
\] (36)

Therefore, the variable \( r \) is not independent and can be excluded. Now we divide (13) by \( X^2 f_T \) and obtain
\[
\frac{\dot{T} f_T}{X f_T} + \frac{\dot{X}}{X^2} + \frac{3}{2} \frac{X^2}{X_T} = -\frac{3}{2} (w + 1)r.
\] (37)

Taking the time derivative of (26) we have
\[
-\dot{T} + f_0 N (1 - 2N) \dot{T} T^{N-1} = 2K \rho \\
\Rightarrow -\dot{T} (1 - f_0 N (1 - 2N) T^{N-1}) = -2K (1 + w) \rho \cdot X \rho.
\] (38)

Using (32), (33), (36) and (38) we obtain the expressions for the quantities \( \frac{\dot{T}}{X_T} \) and \( \frac{\dot{T}}{X_T} \):
\[
\frac{\dot{T}}{X^3} \left( \frac{1 - f_0 N (1 - 2N) T^{N-1}}{f_T} \right) = -2K (1 + w) \rho \cdot \frac{X^2 f_T}{X^3} \\
\Rightarrow \frac{\dot{T}}{X^3} = \frac{xy (1 + w)}{1 - 2N (y + 1)},
\] (39)

and
\[
\frac{\dot{T}}{T X} = \frac{xy (1 + w)}{1 - 2N (y + 1)} \\
\Rightarrow \frac{\dot{T}}{T X} = \frac{y (1 + w)}{1 - 2N (y + 1)}. \] (40)

Taking into account (35) we have
\[
-\frac{\dot{T}}{T X} N(1 + y) + \frac{\dot{X}}{X^2} + 1 + \frac{3}{2} \frac{x}{X_T} = \frac{3}{4} (w + 1) xy \\
\Rightarrow \frac{\dot{X}}{X^2} = -1 - \frac{3}{2} \frac{x}{X_T} + (w + 1) y \left( \frac{3x}{4} + \frac{N(1 + y)}{1 - 2N (y + 1)} \right).
\] (41)

We differentiate the new variables \( x, y \) with respect to \( \ln(abc) \), where \( d(\ln(abc)) = dt \left( \frac{\dot{c}}{c} + \frac{\dot{b}}{b} + \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \right) = X dt \), and we find the system of first-order differential equations
\[
\frac{dx}{d(\ln(abc))} = x(2 + 3x) \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} y (1 + w) \right).
\] (44)

\[\text{Eur. Phys. J. C (2019) 79:813}\]

\[\frac{dy}{d(\ln(abc))} = \frac{y(y + 2N - 1 + N y)(1 + w)}{1 - 2N (y + 1)}. \] (45)

5 Stationary points

We solve the system (44), (45), find the stationary points and calculate the corresponding eigenvalues for each point in order to obtain their type of stability in the linear approach.

1. \( x = 0, y = (1 - 2N)/N \).

Eigenvalues are
\[
\lambda_1 = (1 + w(1 - 2N))/N > 0 \text{ for } N > 1, w \in \left( -1; \frac{1}{2N - 1} \right) \\
\lambda_2 = (1 + w)(N - 1)/N \geq 0 \text{ for } N > 1, w \in [-1; 1].
\] (46)

This stationary point is either an unstable node for \( N > 1, w \in \left( -1; \frac{1}{2N - 1} \right) \) or a saddle point for \( N > 1, w \in \left( \frac{1}{2N - 1}; 1 \right) \). For \( w = \frac{1}{2N - 1}, N > 1 \), we find \( \lambda_1 = 0, \lambda_2 > 0 \) and this fixed point is unstable.

2. \( x = -2/3, y = (1 - 2N)/N \).

The eigenvalues are calculated to be
\[
\lambda_1 = (w (2N - 1) - 1)/N > 0 \text{ for } N > 1, w \in \left( -1; \frac{1}{2N - 1} \right) \\
\lambda_2 = (1 + w)(N - 1)/N \geq 0 \text{ for } N > 1, w \in [-1; 1].
\] (47)

We see from the signs of the eigenvalues that this stationary point is either an unstable node for \( N > 1, w \in \left( \frac{1}{2N - 1}; 1 \right) \) or a saddle point for \( N > 1, w \in \left( -1; \frac{1}{2N - 1} \right) \). For \( w = \frac{1}{2N - 1}, N > 1 \), this point is unstable as \( \lambda_2 > 0 \).

3. \( x = -2/3, y = -1 \).

We find the eigenvalues
\[
\lambda_1 = w - 1 \leq 0 \text{ for } w \in [-1; 1], \\
\lambda_2 = (1 + w)(1 - N) \leq 0 \text{ for } N > 1, w \in [-1; 1].
\] (48)

It is a stable node for \( N > 1, w \in (-1; 1) \).

4. \( x = -2/3, y = 0 \).

The eigenvalues are obtained:
\[
\lambda_1 = -2 < 0, \\
\lambda_2 = -1 - w \leq 0 \text{ for } w \in [-1; 1],
\] (49)

which indicate that it is a stable node for \( w \in (-1; 1) \).

Using stationary point coordinates we calculate \( \dot{X}/X^2 = 0, \dot{T}/T = 0 \) and find \( X(t), T(t), \rho(t) \),
\[
X(t) = X_0, \\
T(t) = T_0,
\] (50)

(51)
\( \rho(t) = \rho_0 e^{-X_0(1+w)(t-t_0)}. \)  
(52)

For \( y = 0 \) we find \( T_0 \approx -1 \). As in this solution the sum of Hubble parameters \( X \) and the torsion scalar \( T \) are constants, all Hubble parameters are equal, \( H_a = H_b = H_c = H_0 = \pm \sqrt{-T_0}. \) This is the de Sitter solution.

5. In the particular case of \( w = w_{cr} = 1/(2N-1) \) a stationary line exists: \( x \in (-\infty; +\infty) \), \( y = (1-2N)/N. \)

The eigenvalues for this stationary line are
\[
\lambda_1 = 0, \\
\lambda_2 = 2(N-1)/(2N-1) > 0 \text{ for } N > 1. 
\]
(53)

As \( \lambda_2 > 0 \) for \( N > 1 \), this stationary line is unstable.

6 The asymptotic power-law solutions

Since most of the known exact or asymptotic solutions in homogeneous cosmology have a power-law form, we check the existence of the asymptotic power-law solution of the form
\[
H_a = \frac{p_1}{t-t_0}, \quad H_b = \frac{p_2}{t-t_0}, \quad H_c = \frac{p_3}{t-t_0}. 
\]
(54)

Then the torsion scalar \( T \), its time derivative \( \dot{T} \), the energy density of matter \( \rho \) are
\[
T = -\frac{2}{(t-t_0)^2}(p_1p_2 + p_1p_3 + p_2p_3), \\
\dot{T} = \frac{4}{(t-t_0)^3}(p_1p_2 + p_1p_3 + p_2p_3), \\
\rho = \rho_0(t-t_0)^{-(w+1)(p_1p_2+p_2p_3)}. 
\]
(55)
(56)
(57)

We substitute the solution (54) into the initial system (26)–(29) for two asymptotic limits: 1) \( t \to t_0 \), 2) \( t \to +\infty \). We shall consider only the case of \( N > 1 \) in all calculations below.

1) For \( t \to t_0 \) the torsion scalar \( |T| \to \infty \) and \( |T^N| \gg |T| \). Then the term \(-T\) can be neglected in comparison with \( f_0(1-2N)T^N \) in the constraint (26)
\[
2K\rho = f_0(1-2N)T^N, 
\]
(58)

and using Eq. (57) we find
\[
2K\rho_0(t-t_0)^{-(w+1)(p_1p_2+p_2p_3)} = \frac{f_0}{(t-t_0)^{2N}}(1-2N)(-2)^N(p_1p_2 + p_1p_3 + p_2p_3)^N. 
\]
(59)

We equate power indices and multipliers in Eq. (59) and obtain
\[
p_1 + p_2 + p_3 = \frac{2N}{w+1}, \quad w \neq -1, 
\]
(60)

\[
\rho_0 = \frac{f_0}{2K}(1-2N)(-2)^N(p_1p_2 + p_1p_3 + p_2p_3)^N. 
\]
(61)

Substituting the power-law solution (54) into Eq. (30) we obtain
\[
p_1^2 + p_2^2 + p_3^2 = (2N-1)(p_1 + p_2 + p_3) + \left(1 + \frac{3(w+1)(1-2N)}{2N}\right)(p_1p_2 + p_1p_3 + p_2p_3). 
\]
(62)

Then we rewrite the substitution of the solution (54) into the system (27)–(29) and subtract in pairs the obtained equations,
\[
(p_1 - p_2)(2N-1) + 1 - (p_1 + p_2 + p_3) = 0, \\
(p_1 - p_3)(2N-1) + 1 - (p_1 + p_3 + p_2) = 0, \\
(p_2 - p_3)(2N-1) + 1 - (p_2 + p_3 + p_1) = 0. 
\]
(63)
(64)
(65)

Therefore, we have two asymptotic power-law solutions for \( t \to t_0 \):

a) Isotropic asymptotic regime with \( p_1 = p_2 = p_3 = p, \)
\[
p = \frac{2N}{3(w+1)}, \quad w \in (-1; 1], 
\]
(66)

\[
\rho_0 = \frac{f_0}{2K}(1-2N)(-2)^N\left(3p^2\right)^N, 
\]
(67)

where we have used (60), (61).

b) Anisotropic asymptotic regime with \( p_1 + p_2 + p_3 = 2N - 1, \)
\[
w = w_{cr} = \frac{1}{2N-1}, 
\]
(68)

\[
\rho_0 = \frac{f_0}{2K}(1-2N)(-2)^N(p_1p_2 + p_1p_3 + p_2p_3)^N. 
\]
(69)

We find from (62)
\[
p_1^2 + p_2^2 + p_3^2 = (2N-1)^2 - 2(p_1p_2 + p_1p_3 + p_2p_3). 
\]
(70)

Here \( p_1p_2 + p_1p_3 + p_2p_3 \neq 0. \)

The found isotropic solution a), corresponds to the fixed point 2 and the anisotropic one b), corresponds to the stationary line 5.

2) For \( t \to +\infty \) we find that \( T \to 0, \ |T^N| \ll |T|. \) Neglecting \( f_0(1-2N)T^N \) in (26) we obtain
\[
2K\rho = -T. 
\]
(71)

Taking into account (57) we have
\[
2K\rho_0(t-t_0)^{-(w+1)(p_1+p_2+p_3)} = \frac{2}{(t-t_0)^2}(p_1p_2 + p_1p_3 + p_2p_3). 
\]
(72)
Equating power indices and multipliers in (72) we obtain

\[ p_1 + p_2 + p_3 = \frac{2}{w+1}, \quad w \neq -1, \tag{73} \]

\[ \rho_0 = \frac{1}{K}(p_1 p_2 + p_1 p_3 + p_2 p_3). \tag{74} \]

The substitution (54) into the system (27)–(29) and the subtraction in pairs of the resulting equations lead to

\[ (p_1 - p_2)(-1 + p_1 + p_2 + p_3) = 0, \tag{75} \]

\[ (p_1 - p_3)(-1 + p_2 + p_3 + p_1) = 0, \tag{76} \]

\[ (p_2 - p_3)(-1 + p_1 + p_3 + p_2) = 0. \tag{77} \]

Thus, we have the 3-d power-law solution in a form which exists for \( t \to +\infty \)

c). Isotropic asymptotic regime with \( p_1 = p_2 = p_3 = p, \)

\[ p = \frac{2}{3(w+1)}, \quad w \in (-1; 1], \tag{78} \]

where we have used (73),

\[ \rho_0 = \frac{3p^2}{K}. \tag{79} \]

This isotropic solution c), corresponds to the fixed point 3.

7 More complicated asymptotic power-law solution

In the previous section we have identified the solutions in three fixed points from our list, one more (the de Sitter solution) has been identified in Sect. 3. However, the nature of a solution in the point I is still to be determined. As \( X = 0 \) at this point, it should correspond to some high-energy regime.

To reveal the meaning of the point I we try to check a more complicated form for the Hubble parameters:

\[ H_a = \frac{p_{a1}}{t - t_0} + \frac{p_{a2}}{(t - t_0)^{w-1}}, \tag{80} \]

\[ H_b = \frac{p_{b1}}{t - t_0} + \frac{p_{b2}}{(t - t_0)^{w-1}}, \tag{81} \]

\[ H_c = \frac{p_{c1}}{t - t_0} + \frac{p_{c2}}{(t - t_0)^{w-1}}. \tag{82} \]

Here \( p_{a1}, p_{a2}, p_{b1}, p_{b2}, p_{c1}, p_{c2}, \alpha \) are constants, which satisfy the following relations:

\[ p_{a1} + p_{b1} + p_{c1} \neq 0, \tag{83} \]

\[ p_{a1}p_{b1} + p_{a1}p_{c1} + p_{b1}p_{c1} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad (p_{a1} + p_{b1} + p_{c1})^2 - p_{a1}^2 - p_{b1}^2 - p_{c1}^2 = \alpha^2 < 1 < 1. \tag{84} \]

The assumptions (83) and (84) lead to \( p_{a1} \neq p_{b1} \neq p_{c1} \). Equation (85) shows that the first terms in (80)–(82) dominate for \( t \to t_0 \) and the Hubble parameters increase as \( (t - t_0)^{-1} \):

\[ H_a = \frac{p_{a1}}{t - t_0} + \frac{p_{a2}}{(t - t_0)^{w-1}} \to \frac{p_{a1}}{t - t_0} \to \pm \infty, \tag{86} \]

\[ H_b = \frac{p_{b1}}{t - t_0} + \frac{p_{b2}}{(t - t_0)^{w-1}} \to \frac{p_{b1}}{t - t_0} \to \pm \infty, \tag{87} \]

\[ H_c = \frac{p_{c1}}{t - t_0} + \frac{p_{c2}}{(t - t_0)^{w-1}} \to \frac{p_{c1}}{t - t_0} \to \pm \infty. \tag{88} \]

The torsion scalar \( T \) in this solution is

\[ T = -2 \left\{ (t-t_0)^{-2} [p_{a1}p_{b1} + p_{a1}p_{c1} + p_{b1}p_{c1}] \right. \]

\[ + \left. (t-t_0)^{-d} [p_{a1}(p_{b2} + p_{c2}) + p_{b1}(p_{a2} + p_{c2}) + p_{c1}(p_{a2} + p_{b2})] \right. \]

\[ + \left. (t-t_0)^{-2d} [p_{a2}p_{b2} + p_{a2}p_{c2} + p_{b2}p_{c2}] \right\}. \tag{89} \]

Taking into account (84), (85) we find from (89) for \( t \to t_0 \)

\[ T = -2 \left\{ (t-t_0)^{-d} [p_{a1}(p_{b2} + p_{c2}) + p_{b1}(p_{a2} + p_{c2}) + p_{c1}(p_{a2} + p_{b2})] \right\} \to \pm \infty. \tag{90} \]

Therefore, despite neglecting the second terms in the Hubble parameters \( H_a, H_b, H_c \) for \( t \to t_0 \), these terms are important for the torsion scalar \( T \).

The time derivative of the torsion scalar is

\[ \dot{T} = 2\alpha \left\{ (t-t_0)^{-\alpha-1} [p_{a1}(p_{b2} + p_{c2}) + p_{b1}(p_{a2} + p_{c2}) + p_{c1}(p_{a2} + p_{b2})] \right\} \to \pm \infty. \tag{91} \]

As \( |T^N| \gg |T| \) for \( T \to \pm \infty \), we find from the constraint equation (26)

\[ 2K \rho = f_0(1 - 2N)T^N. \tag{92} \]

Substituting (80)–(82) to the continuity equation we have

\[ \rho = \rho_0(t-t_0)^{-\alpha(w+1)}[p_{a1} + p_{b1} + p_{c1}]. \tag{93} \]

Then we substitute the expressions for \( \rho \) and \( T \) (93), (90) to (92) and find

\[ 2K\rho_0(t-t_0)^{\alpha(w+1)}[p_{a1} + p_{b1} + p_{c1}] \]

\[ = (t-t_0)^{-N\alpha} f_0(1 - 2N)(-2)^N [p_{a1}(p_{b2} + p_{c2}) + p_{b1}(p_{a2} + p_{c2}) + p_{c1}(p_{a2} + p_{b2})]N. \tag{94} \]

Equating the power-law indices and coefficients in (94) we obtain

\[ p_{a1} + p_{b1} + p_{c1} = \frac{N\alpha}{w+1}, \quad w \neq -1, \tag{95} \]

\[ \rho_0 = \frac{f_0}{2K} (1 - 2N)(-2)^N [p_{a1}(p_{b2} + p_{c2}) + p_{b1}(p_{a2} + p_{c2}) + p_{c1}(p_{a2} + p_{b2})]N. \tag{96} \]

We substitute the complex power-law solution to the system (27)–(29), then subtract in pairs the obtained equations and find

\[ N(p_{a1} - p_{b1})(-1 + p_{a1} + p_{b1} + p_{c1} - \alpha(N-1)) = 0. \tag{97} \]
The dependence $\rho(T) = \frac{T - 2 f_0^2}{2 K}$, which follows from the constraint equation (26) for $N = 2$. The parameter $f_0 = \frac{1}{12}$ for the left picture, $f_0 = -\frac{1}{12}$ for the right plot and for both graphs $K = 1$

\[
N(p_{a1} - p_{c1})(-1 + p_{a1} + p_{b1} + p_{c1} - \alpha(N - 1)) = 0, \tag{98}
\]
\[
N(p_{b1} - p_{c1})(-1 + p_{a1} + p_{b1} + p_{c1} - \alpha(N - 1)) = 0. \tag{99}
\]

Here we keep only dominating terms with $(t - t_0)^{-\alpha(N-1)+2}$. As our initial assumptions lead to $p_{a1} \neq p_{b1} \neq p_{c1}$, the factor $-1 + p_{a1} + p_{b1} + p_{c1} - \alpha(N - 1)$ in the system (97)-(99) should be equal to zero, which allows us to find $\alpha$:

\[
\alpha = \frac{p_{a1} + p_{b1} + p_{c1} - 1}{N - 1}, \quad N \neq 1. \tag{100}
\]

Taking into account (95) we have

\[
\alpha = \frac{1}{N - 1} \left( \frac{N \alpha}{w + 1} - 1 \right) \Rightarrow \alpha = \frac{1 + w}{1 - w(N - 1)}, \tag{101}
\]

where $\alpha$ satisfies the initial assumption (85) $-1 < \alpha - 1 < 1$ for $-1 < w < \frac{1}{2N - 1}$.

Therefore, the complex power-law solution exists with the following coefficients:

\[
p_{a1} + p_{b1} + p_{c1} = \frac{N}{1 - w(N - 1)}, \quad w \in \left(-1; \frac{1}{2N - 1}\right), \tag{102}
\]

\[
\alpha = \frac{1 + w}{1 - w(N - 1)}. \tag{103}
\]

\[
\rho_0 = \frac{f_0}{2K} (1 - 2N)(-2)^N \left[p_{a1}(p_{b2} + p_{c2}) + p_{b1}(p_{a2} + p_{c2}) + p_{c1}(p_{a2} + p_{b2})\right]^N, \tag{104}
\]

\[
p_{a1}^2 + p_{b1}^2 + p_{c1}^2 = (p_{a1} + p_{b1} + p_{c1})^2. \tag{105}
\]

Here $p_{a1} p_{b1} + p_{a1} p_{c1} + p_{b1} p_{c1} = 0$. The found solution corresponds to the stationary point 1.

8 Numerical examples of cosmological scenarios

Fixed points analysis can get information only about local properties of the dynamics. In the present section we consider global properties for the models with $f(T) = T + f_0 T^N$ with integer $N$. Since they are different for even and odd $N$, we consider these cases separately, choosing $N = 2$ and $N = 3$ for our numerical studies. First of all, the constraint equation imposes limitations on the possible values of $T$. In the case of $f(T) = T + f_0 T^2$ the constraint equation (26) is a quadratic one for the torsion scalar $T$. It has two branches of solutions: $T_1$ and $T_2$. We find the intervals of allowed values of $T$ from the condition $\rho > 0$ (see Fig. 1, where the function $\rho(T) = \frac{T - 2 f_0^2}{2 K}$ is plotted for $N = 2$):

1). for $f_0 > 0$ the torsion scalar $T \in \left(\frac{1}{12 f_0}; 0\right]$, where

\[
\frac{1}{6 f_0} \leq T_2 < \frac{1}{12 f_0} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{6 f_0} \leq T_1 \leq 0,
\]

2). for $f_0 < 0$ the torsion scalar $T \in (-\infty; 0] \cup \left[\frac{1}{3 f_0}; +\infty\right)$, where $T_1 \leq 0$ and $T_2 \geq \frac{1}{3 f_0} > 0$.

In particular, we can see that the absolute value of the torsion scalar is restricted from above for $f_0 > 0$. This means that the points 1, 2 and the line 5 cannot be realized. Numerical studies (see below) indicate that instead of an analog of the Big Bang singularity the Universe starts its evolution from a non-standard singularity where $T$ is finite (and $H_a \neq H_b \neq H_c$ are finite) while $\dot{T}$ diverges. Note that for the isotropic
The evolution of the torsion scalar $T$ and sum of Hubble parameters $X = H_a + H_b + H_c$ for $N = 2$, $f_0 = \frac{1}{N}$, $w = 0$, $K = 1$. The initial values are $H_a(0) = -0.4$, $H_b(0) = 1$, $H_c(0) = 2.3$ for the left plot and $H_a(0) = -0.24$, $H_b(0) = 0.9$, $H_c(0) = 1.85$ for the right one. The left picture demonstrates the cosmological scenario Ia and the right graph shows the scenario Ib.

Note that the torsion scalar $T$ changes in a very restricted zone during a cosmological evolution along the Ib case—the initial absolute value of the torsion scalar $|T_{in}| = \left| \frac{1}{-f_0} \right|$ at the singularity ($T_{in}$ corresponds to the maximum of the function $\rho(T)$) is only two times less than its final absolute value $|T_{fin}| = |T_0| = \left| \frac{1}{-f_0} \right|$ at the de Sitter point. It is easy to see that for a general power-law function $f(T) = T + f_0 T^N$ the ratio of final $|T_{fin}| = |T_0| = \left| \frac{1}{f_0(1-2N)} \right|^{\frac{1}{N-1}}$ and initial $|T_{in}| = \left| \frac{1}{f_0(N1-2N)} \right|^{\frac{1}{N-1}}$ values of the torsion scalar is equal to $N^{\frac{1}{N-1}}$. This means that this de Sitter point cannot be realized in our Universe.

In the $f_0 < 0$ case points 1, 2 and the line 5 become accessible. We have found, however, one more regime which is not covered by the fixed points listed in Sect. 3. Namely, this is a non-standard singular regime when $T$ approaches the point where $f_T = \frac{df(T)}{dT} = 0$. Our numerical results indicate that for $f_0 < 0$ four scenarios exist:

Iia. along the branch $T_1$ from one of the three solutions (corresponding to the stationary points 1, 2 or the line 5 depending on $w$) with infinite $T$ to the isotropic solution of the fixed point 3 (see Fig. 3);

Iib. along the branch $T_2$ with the start and the finish at the non-standard singularity with $T_{cr} = -\frac{1}{f_0}$, where $T \to T_{cr} - 0$ (see the left picture in Fig. 4);
Fig. 4 The evolution of the torsion scalar $T$ and sum of Hubble parameters $X = H_a + H_b + H_c$ for $N = 2$, $f_0 = -\frac{1}{2}$, $w = 0$, $K = 1$. The initial values are $H_a(0) = 157$, $H_b(0) = 29.43$, $H_c(0) = -24.8$ for the left graph and $H_a(0) = 17630$, $H_b(0) = 2670$, $H_c(0) = -2320$ for the right picture. The left plot displays the cosmological scenario $\text{IIb}$ and the right one shows the scenario $\text{IIc}$.

IIc. along the branch $T_2$ from the anisotropic solution of the fixed point 1 for $w < -\frac{1}{2N-1}$ to the non-standard singularity with $T_{cr} = \frac{1}{2f_0}$, where $T \rightarrow T_{cr} + 0$ (see the right plot in Fig. 4);

IIId. along the branch $T_2$ with the start and the finish at the non-standard singularity with $T_{cr} = \frac{1}{2f_0}$, where $T \rightarrow T_{cr} + 0$ (see Fig. 5).

The scenarios similar to Ia, Ib, IId are possible for an isotropic Universe and have been described in [24]. The scenarios IIb, IIc, IIId are intrinsically anisotropic and have no isotropic analogs. It should be noted that the quantity $X = H_a + H_b + H_c = \frac{\nu}{\nu}$, where $\nu \equiv a(t)b(t)c(t)$ is the volume factor. When $X = 0$ the cosmological evolution passes through the turnaround (if $\dot{X} < 0$) or the bounce (if $\dot{X} > 0$). The turnaround exists in the case $\text{IIb}$ and the bounce occurs in the scenario $\text{IIId}$.

For the $N = 3$ case the function $\rho(T) = -\frac{T + (1 - 2N)f_0T^N}{2K}$ is shown in Fig. 6. In this case the condition $\rho \geq 0$ gives us the allowed values of $T$:

1) for $f_0 > 0$ the torsion scalar $T \in (-\infty; 0]$,
2) for $f_0 < 0$ the torsion scalar $T \in \left( -\frac{1}{\sqrt{-f_0}}, 0 \right]$ union $\left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{-f_0}}; +\infty \right)$.

From Fig. 6 we see that only an analog of the scenario IIa is possible for $f_0 > 0$. On the other hand, analogs of all remaining scenarios found above for $N = 2$ are possible for $N = 3$ with $f_0 < 0$. We have found all of them in our numerical studies.

9 Conclusions

We have considered a cosmological evolution of a flat anisotropic Universe in $f(T) = T + f_0T^N$ gravity, with integer $N > 1$ in the presence of a perfect fluid. Combining analytical and numerical methods we have identified possible asymptotic regimes. It is interesting that the Kasner solution does not appear in our list. We know that Kasner solution is an asymptotic regime (in the high-energy limit) for a vacuum cosmological models in $f(T)$ gravity. In GR it is an exact
solution for vacuum models and an asymptotic solution for models with perfect fluid (apart from the stiff fluid). This means that the matter content of the Universe in \( f(T) \) gravity, in contrast to GR, plays an important role in anisotropic dynamics near a cosmological singularity. For a power-law \( w \) retains its meaning that the matter content of the Universe in the power indices is in general bigger than 1. Moreover, for a particular sign of \( f_0 \) (positive for even \( N \) and negative for odd \( N \)) the nature of the singularity changes completely from the standard one (where Hubble parameters and the matter energy density tend to infinity) to the non-standard one (where Hubble parameters as well as the matter energy density remain finite, and their time derivatives diverge). It is interesting that the de Sitter point exists only in such a situation. As a result, the torsion scalar \( T \) can change at most two times during a cosmological evolution ending at a de Sitter attractor. All these results indicate also that the famous GR situation described by the phrase “matter does not matter,” which describes the anisotropic cosmological dynamics near a cosmological singularity, does not occur in \( f(T) \) gravity for any equation of state parameter \( w \) bigger than \(-1\).
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10 Appendix: The system for numerical integration

Using Eq. (20) for \( \dot{H}_c \) we rewrite Eq. (4) for \( \dot{T} \):

\[
\dot{T} = -\frac{4}{H_a - H_b} \left( \dot{H}_a (H_a H_c - H_b^2) + \dot{H}_b (H_a^2 - H_b H_c) \right),
\]

(106)

where \( H_a \neq H_b \), and we substitute (20) and (106) to Eqs. (10), (11),

\[
\frac{4H_a f_{TT}}{H_a - H_b} \left( \dot{H}_a (H_a H_c - H_b^2) + \dot{H}_b (H_a^2 - H_b H_c) \right) \\
+ f_T \left( -\dot{H}_a - H_a^2 + H_b H_c \right) = \frac{1}{2} K (w + 1) \rho,
\]

(107)

\[
\frac{4H_b f_{TT}}{H_a - H_b} \left( \dot{H}_a (H_a H_c - H_b^2) + \dot{H}_b (H_a^2 - H_b H_c) \right) \\
+ f_T \left( -\dot{H}_b - H_b^2 + H_a H_c \right) = \frac{1}{2} K (w + 1) \rho.
\]

(108)

Collecting terms with \( \dot{H}_a \) and \( \dot{H}_b \) we find

\[
\frac{\dot{H}_a}{H_a - H_b} \left( 4H_a f_{TT}(H_a H_c - H_b^2) - f_T(H_a - H_b) \right) \\
+ \frac{\dot{H}_b}{H_a - H_b} 4H_a f_{TT}(H_a^2 - H_b H_c) \\
+ f_T(H_b H_c - H_a^2) - \frac{1}{2} K (w + 1) \rho = 0,
\]

(109)
\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{H}_a &= \frac{4H_b f_{TT}(H_a H_c - H_b^2)}{H_a - H_b} + \frac{\dot{H}_b}{H_a - H_b} \\
&\times \left( 4H_b f_{TT}(H_a^2 - H_b H_c) - f_T(H_a - H_b) \right) \\
&+ f_T(H_a H_c - H_b^2) - \frac{1}{2} K (w + 1) \rho = 0. \\
(110)
\end{align*}
\]

In order to express \( \dot{H}_a \) from this system we multiply Eq. (109) by \( f_T(H_a - H_b) - 4H_b f_{TT}(H_a^2 - H_b H_c) \), Eq. (110) by \( 4H_a f_{TT}(H_a^2 - H_b H_c) \) and then we sum the obtained results,

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{H}_a &= \frac{H_a}{H_a - H_b} \left( 4H_a f_{TT}(H_a H_c - H_b^2) - f_T(H_a - H_b) \right) \\
&\times \left( f_T(H_a - H_b) - 4H_b f_{TT}(H_a^2 - H_b H_c) \right) \\
&+ \frac{\dot{H}_b}{H_a - H_b} \left( f_T(H_a - H_b) - 4H_b f_{TT}(H_a^2 - H_b H_c) \right) \\
&+ \left( f_T(H_a H_c - H_b^2) - \frac{1}{2} K (w + 1) \rho \right)
\end{align*}
\]

Finally, we have

\[
\dot{H}_a = \frac{\left( H_a H_c - H_b^2 - \frac{1}{2} f_T K (w + 1) \rho \right) \left( f_T(H_a - H_b) - 4H_b f_{TT}(H_a^2 - H_b H_c) \right)}{f_T(H_a - H_b) - 4f_{TT}(H_a^2 - H_b H_c - H_b^2(H_a + H_c))} + \frac{4H_a f_{TT}(H_a^2 - H_b H_c) \left( H_a H_c - H_b^2 - \frac{1}{2} f_T K (w + 1) \rho \right)}{f_T(H_a - H_b) - 4f_{TT}(H_a^2 - H_b H_c - H_b^2(H_a + H_c))}.
\]

The expression for \( \dot{H}_b \) is derived similarly to \( \dot{H}_a \). It has the form

\[
\dot{H}_b = \frac{4H_b f_{TT}(H_a H_c - H_b^2) \left( H_a H_c - H_b^2 - \frac{1}{2} f_T K (w + 1) \rho \right)}{f_T(H_a - H_b) - 4f_{TT}(H_a^2 - H_b H_c - H_b^2(H_a + H_c))} + \frac{\left( H_a H_c - H_b^2 - \frac{1}{2} f_T K (w + 1) \rho \right) \left( f_T(H_a - H_b) - 4H_a f_{TT}(H_a H_c - H_b^2) \right)}{f_T(H_a - H_b) - 4f_{TT}(H_a^2 - H_b H_c - H_b^2(H_a + H_c))}.
\]

Substituting Eqs. (113), (114) to Eq. (20) we find

\[
\dot{H}_c = \frac{\left( H_c - H_b \right) \left( H_b H_c - H_a^2 - \frac{1}{2} f_T K (w + 1) \rho \right) \left( f_T(H_a - H_b) - 4H_b f_{TT}(H_a^2 - H_b H_c) \right)}{(H_a - H_b) \left[ f_T(H_a - H_b) - 4f_{TT}(H_a^2 - H_b H_c - H_b^2(H_a + H_c)) \right]} + \frac{4H_a f_{TT}(H_a - H_c) \left( H_a H_c - H_b^2 - \frac{1}{2} f_T K (w + 1) \rho \right)}{(H_a - H_b) \left[ f_T(H_a - H_b) - 4f_{TT}(H_a^2 - H_b H_c - H_b^2(H_a + H_c)) \right]} + \frac{4H_b f_{TT}(H_a - H_c)(H_a H_c - H_b^2) \left( H_b H_c - H_a^2 - \frac{1}{2} f_T K (w + 1) \rho \right)}{(H_a - H_b) \left[ f_T(H_a - H_b) - 4f_{TT}(H_a^2 - H_b H_c - H_b^2(H_a + H_c)) \right]} + \frac{\left( H_a - H_c \right) \left( H_a H_c - H_b^2 - \frac{1}{2} f_T K (w + 1) \rho \right) \left( f_T(H_a - H_b) - 4H_a f_{TT}(H_a H_c - H_b^2) \right)}{(H_a - H_b) \left[ f_T(H_a - H_b) - 4f_{TT}(H_a^2 - H_b H_c - H_b^2(H_a + H_c)) \right]}.
\]
The system of equations (113)–(115), is used for the numerical integration, where \(\rho = \frac{1}{2K} (f(T) - 2Tf_T)\), the function \(f(T)\), its derivatives \(f_T\), \(f_{TT}\) and \((T = -2(H_a H_b + H_a H_c + H_b H_c))\) should be substituted.
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