Impact of Long Non-Coding RNA HOTAIR Genetic Variants on the Susceptibility and Clinicopathologic Characteristics of Patients with Urothelial Cell Carcinoma
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Abstract: Increasing evidence shows that dysregulated expression of long non-coding (lnc)RNAs can serve as diagnostic or prognostic markers in urothelial cell carcinoma (UCC), the most common pathological type of bladder cancer. lncRNA HOX transcript antisense RNA (HOTAIR) was shown to promote tumor progression and be associated with a poor prognosis in multiple cancers including bladder cancer. Polymorphisms of HOTAIR were recently linked to a predisposition for diverse malignancies. Herein we conducted a case-control study to evaluate whether genetic polymorphisms of HOTAIR were associated with UCC risk and clinicopathologic characteristics. Four loci (rs920778 T>C, rs1899663 G>T, rs4759314 A>G, and rs12427129, C>T) of HOTAIR were genotyped by a TaqMan allelic discrimination method in 431 cases and 862 controls. We found that female patients who carried AG + GG genotype of rs4759314 were associated with an increased UCC risk after controlling for age and tobacco consumption (adjusted odds ratio (AOR) = 1.92, 95% confidence interval (CI): 1.01–3.64, p = 0.047) and a lower overall survival rate (p = 0.008). Moreover, patients with a smoking habit or younger age (<65 years), who had at least one T allele of HOTAIR rs12427129 were at a higher risk of developing advance tumor T stage (p = 0.046), compared to those patients with CC homozygotes. In contrast, rs920778 C allele carriers were negatively correlated with the development of lymph node metastasis (OR = 0.51, 95% CI: 0.28–0.94, p = 0.031). Further analyses of clinical datasets revealed correlations of the expression of HOTAIR with tumor metastasis and a poor survival rate in patients with UCC. Our results verified the diverse impacts of HOTAIR variants on UCC susceptibility and clinicopathologic characteristics.
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1. Introduction

Urothelial cell carcinoma (UCC) accounts for about 90% of all bladder cancers. In Taiwan, the mortality rates of bladder cancer patients respectively ranked 13th and 12th among all cancer deaths in females and males [1]. UCC is a smoking-related cancer, and similar to other such cancers, UCC has a high rate of somatic mutations and single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) [2,3]. A large number of genes are reported to be associated with susceptibility and development of various cancer types containing single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs). These SNPs are located in diverse regions of the DNA, including promoters, exons, introns, 5′- and 3′-untranslated regions (UTRs), and intergenic regions, and affect gene expressions through different mechanisms [4]. For example, SNPs in promoter regions can modulate gene expressions by influencing binding of transcription factors (TFs) and promoter activities [5,6]. The exonal SNPs affect cancer susceptibility through suppressing gene transcription or translation. The intronic SNPs are reported to generate splice variants of transcripts and enhance or block the binding and function of long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) [4]. SNPs located in intergenic regulatory sequences, such as enhancers, might affect the binding of TFs to an enhancer, and further affect the transcription of RNA transcripts, which could then influence disease-related pathways and trigger disease phenotypes [7]. SNPs in the 5′-UTR are reported to influence translation [8], whereas SNPs in the 3′-UTR affect micro (mi)RNA binding [9]. From a clinical perspective, SNPs are important and are potential diagnostic, prognostic, and therapeutic biomarkers in multiple cancer types, including UCC [4,10].

LncRNAs are defined as RNA transcripts longer than 200 nucleotides that lack significant open reading frames, and which are unable to code for proteins. LncRNAs are a major class of RNA molecules which play an important role in multiple cancers [11]. Deeper understanding of the roles of lncRNAs in tumor growth, progression, and prognosis could contribute to a large number of potential clues for developing novel therapeutic approaches for cancers. Recently, increasing evidence showed that IncRNAs regulate various cellular behaviors, such as tumorigenesis, tumor invasion, and metastasis through transcriptional, post-transcriptional, and epigenetic regulation of cancer-associated genes [12]. Therefore, because aberrant expression patterns of lncRNAs are correlated with the diagnosis and prognosis of cancers, they can serve as predictors of patient outcomes. For example, lncRNA H19 expression is upregulated and was correlated with TNM stages in patients with gastric cancer, and thus can serve as a potential non-invasive diagnostic biomarker in these patients [13]. In cervical cancer, lncRNA maternally expressed gene 3 (MEG3) was reported to be conversely associated with the tumor size and lymphatic metastasis, indicating its critical role as a tumor suppressor and a potential therapeutic candidate. Overexpression of MEG3 in cervical cancer cells attenuated the miR-21-5p expression level, causing inhibition of proliferation, and induction of apoptosis [14].

In urothelial bladder carcinoma, approximately 50 lncRNAs were found to be aberrantly expressed and were shown to have prognostic and diagnostic values [15]. For example, metastasis-associated lung adenocarcinoma transcript-1 (MALAT1) was reported to associate with lymph node metastasis and poor overall survival. High expression of X-inactive specific transcript (XIST) was shown to correlate with larger tumor size and higher tumor/node/metastasis (TNM) stage. Among these lncRNAs, a recently discovered lncRNA, HOX antisense intergenic RNA (HOTAIR), was upregulated in the urine of UCC patients with high-grade muscle-invasive disease (i.e., pT2–pT4) [16] and was correlated with a poor prognosis of recurrence-free survival [17,18]. Moreover, knockdown of HOTAIR in UCC cell lines inhibited their in vitro migratory, invasive, and proliferative abilities and the epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) [16]. HOTAIR plays an oncogenic role in UCC, similar to what it does in many other cancer types [12].
HOTAIR arises from transcription of the antisense strand of the HOXC gene, which is specifically situated between HOXC11 and HOXC12 on chromosome 12q13.13 [19]. As to the relationship between HOTAIR genetic variants and cancer, multiple SNPs of HOTAIR were recently identified as potential cancer susceptibility loci, and linked to the risks of several human cancer types, including breast, ovarian, esophageal squamous cell, gastric, cervical, and colorectal cancers [20–22]. However, the effects of HOTAIR gene polymorphisms on the risk of UCC remain unexplored. Accordingly, we selected four intronic SNPs (rs920778, rs1899663, rs4759314, and rs12427129) which were recently identified to be associated with cancer risk or development [23,24] and conducted the present case-control study which included 431 UCC patients and 862 healthy controls, in an attempt to investigate the roles of HOTAIR SNPs in the risk and clinical characteristics of UCC in a Taiwanese population.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Populations, Ethics, and Consent

The study groups of this case-control study comprised 862 cancer-free controls and 431 urothelial cell carcinoma (UCC) patients recruited from Taichung Veterans General Hospital (Taichung, Taiwan). For the control group, we randomly chose the individuals who received routine physical examinations in this hospital and had no self-reported history of cancer at any site. The controls frequently matched to cases on gender. All participants were of Asian ethnic background and from the same geographic area. The clinical staging of UCC patients was carried out at the time of diagnosis following guidelines of the TNM staging system of the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC). Both the case and control groups were asked about their exposure history to tobacco consumption through interviewer-administered questionnaires. The tobacco consumption is categorized in “never-user” and “ever-user (current and former user)” at the time of diagnosis. The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Taichung Veterans General Hospital (IRB no. CF11094), and informed written consent was obtained from each individual before initiation of the study. Venous blood from each participant was taken in an ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA)-containing tube, immediately centrifuged, and then stored at −80 °C.

2.2. Genomic DNA Extraction and Selection of HOTAIR SNPs

Total DNA was extracted from peripheral blood of each participant using a QIAamp DNA Blood Mini Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocols. In total, four intronic SNPs of HOX transcript antisense RNA (HOTAIR) (rs920778 T>C, rs1899663 G>T, rs4759314 A>G, and rs12427129 C>T) were chosen in this study based on the International HapMap Project and previous studies [23–26]. These four HOTAIR SNPs were selected due to these SNPs being well-defined, and have been widely evaluated in their association with cancer susceptibility in a broad range of cancers such as oral, lung, cervical, and liver cancers in the Han Chinese population from Taiwan and Mainland China [23,24,26,27]. The minor allele frequencies of these four SNPs were all ≥5%. Moreover, a meta-analysis showed that a significant association between HOTAIR rs920778, or rs4759314 SNPs and cancer susceptibility in different cancer types [25] and these two SNPs have been reported to affect the expression of HOTAIR in different cancer types [27–30].

2.3. Genotyping of HOTAIR SNPs

Allelic discrimination of HOTAIR rs920778 (assay ID: C_9162435_20), rs1899663 (assay ID: C_2104251_20), rs4759314 (assay ID: C_27930754_10), and rs12427129 (assay ID: C_2104247_10) SNPs was assessed using the TaqMan SNP Genotyping Assay with an ABI StepOnePlus™ Real-Time Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) System and further evaluated with SDS version 3.0 software (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) as described previously [31].
2.4. HOTAIR Expression Profiles of Bladder Cancer Patients from Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) and the Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) Data Sets

We used TCGA dataset (https://tcga-data.nci.nih.gov/) to obtain the HOTAIR normalized expression data and the associated clinical data, which corresponds to the urothelial bladder carcinoma dataset. Box plots for HOTAIR expression values were created with respect to the lymph node status (N0: 209 and N1: 38) and Metastasis (M0: 169 and M1: 10). HOTAIR expression from gene expression omnibus (GEO) (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) dataset (GSE13507) was downloaded and processed. We analyzed the 165 primary urothelial bladder carcinoma samples for the Kaplan-Meier survival analysis. The probe ID of HOTAIR we used is ILMN_1904054. If the probe intensity of the sample was greater than or equal to 7.06, we delimited the expression of HOTAIR is high.

2.5. Statistical Analysis

The adjusted odds ratios (AORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) of associations between genotype frequencies and clinicopathologic characteristics, were estimated by multivariable logistic regression models, after controlling for age, gender and tobacco consumption. The associations between genotype frequencies and clinicopathologic characteristics were also stratified by gender, age and smoking status. Two different genetic models (dominant model and co-dominant/genotypic model) were used to analyze the effect of SNPs. The patients were followed to calculate the overall survival between primary surgery and death, or end of the study (June 30, 2018). All-cause of death was examined by a Kaplan-Meier survival curve. A $p$-value <0.05 was considered significant. All data were analyzed with Statistical Analytical System (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA) software (version 9.1) for Windows.

3. Results

3.1. Population Characteristics

The study group comprised 431 pathologically confirmed UCC patients (272 males and 159 females), with a mean age of 68.6 ± 11.8 years, and 862 cancer-free controls (566 males and 296 females), with a mean age of 57.2 ± 10 years. There was a significant difference in the distribution of age between these two groups ($p < 0.001$). Our UCC patients were predominantly an older age (>65 years, 61.5%), while the controls were younger (≤65 years, 80.9%) (Table 1). In contrast, no significant differences in the distributions of sex ($p = 0.365$) or tobacco use ($p = 0.113$) were observed between cases and controls. Most patients were suffering from superficial tumors (stage pTa–pT1) without lymph node or distal metastasis, and these clinical characteristics were compatible with observations from other researchers [32,33].
Table 1. The distributions of demographical characteristics in 431 patients with UCC and 862 controls.

| Variable                  | Controls (n = 862) n (%) | Patients (n = 431) n (%) | p-Value |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------|
| Age (years)               |                          |                          |         |
| mean ± S.D.               | 57.2 ± 10                | 68.6 ± 11.8              | p < 0.001 |
| ≤65                       | 697 (80.9%)              | 166 (38.5%)              | p < 0.001 |
| >65                       | 165 (19.1%)              | 265 (61.5%)              |         |
| Gender                    |                          |                          |         |
| Male                      | 566 (65.7%)              | 272 (63.1%)              | 0.365   |
| Female                    | 296 (34.3%)              | 159 (36.9%)              |         |
| Tobacco consumption       |                          |                          |         |
| No                        | 562 (65.2%)              | 300 (69.6%)              | 0.113   |
| Yes                       | 300 (34.8%)              | 131 (30.4%)              |         |
| Stage                     |                          |                          |         |
| Non-muscle invasive tumor | 235 (54.5%)              | 235 (54.5%)              |         |
| Muscle invasive tumor     | 196 (45.5%)              | 196 (45.5%)              |         |
| Tumor T Status            |                          |                          |         |
| Ta                        | 90 (16.5%)               | 90 (16.5%)               |         |
| Tcis                      | 19 (4.4%)                | 19 (4.4%)                |         |
| T1                        | 145 (33.6%)              | 145 (33.6%)              |         |
| T2                        | 52 (12.1%)               | 52 (12.1%)               |         |
| T3                        | 107 (24.8%)              | 107 (24.8%)              |         |
| T4                        | 37 (8.6%)                | 37 (8.6%)                |         |
| Lymph Node Status         |                          |                          |         |
| N0                        | 380 (88.2%)              | 380 (88.2%)              |         |
| N1                        | 13 (3.0%)                | 13 (3.0%)                |         |
| N2                        | 33 (7.6%)                | 33 (7.6%)                |         |
| N3                        | 5 (1.2%)                 | 5 (1.2%)                 |         |
| Metastasis                |                          |                          |         |
| M0                        | 417 (96.8%)              | 417 (96.8%)              |         |
| M1                        | 14 (3.2%)                | 14 (3.2%)                |         |
| Histopathologic Grading   |                          |                          |         |
| Low grade                 | 53 (12.3%)               | 53 (12.3%)               |         |
| High grade                | 378 (87.7%)              | 378 (87.7%)              |         |

S.D., standard deviation.

3.2. Associations between HOTAIR Gene Polymorphisms and UCC Susceptibility in Different Genders

To examine the possible association of HOTAIR gene polymorphisms with the risk of developing UCC, the genotype frequencies of four intronic SNPs (viz., rs920778, rs1899663, rs4759314, and rs12427129) were investigated in the entire population we recruited (Table 2). Genotypic distributions of HOTAIR rs920778, rs1899663, rs4759314, and rs12427129 conformed to Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (p = 0.119, χ2 value: 2.425; p = 0.172, χ2 value: 1.863; p = 0.604, χ2 value: 0.269 and p = 0.068, χ2 value: 3.324, respectively). To reduce the possible interference of confounding variables, we used AORs and 95% CIs which were estimated by multivariable logistic regression models after adjusting each comparison for the covariates described above. Among these tested SNPs, no significant differences in genotype distributions between UCC patients and controls were found (Table 2). We further divided the tested population by sex, and found that female UCC patients carrying the HOTAIR rs4759314 AG + GG genotype (AG + GG vs. AA: AOR, 1.92; 95% CI, 1.01–3.64; p = 0.047) were associated with an increased risk of UCC (Table 3), while no associations were found in any male UCC patients (Table A1).
Table 2. Genotype distributions of *HOTAIR* gene polymorphisms in 862 controls and 431 patients with urothelial cell carcinoma.

| Variable | Controls (n = 862) n (%) | Patients (n = 431) n (%) | OR (95% CI) | AOR (95% CI) |
|----------|---------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| rs920778 |                           |                          |             |             |
| TT       | 447 (51.9%)               | 217 (50.3%)              | 1.00 (reference) | 1.00 (reference) |
| TC       | 360 (41.8%)               | 176 (40.8%)              | 1.01 (0.79–1.28) | 0.96 (0.73–1.27) |
| CC       | 55 (6.4%)                 | 38 (8.8%)                | 1.42 (0.91–2.22) | 1.60 (0.96–2.68) |
| TC + CC  | 415 (48.1%)               | 214 (49.7%)              | 1.06 (0.84–1.34) | 1.04 (0.80–1.35) |
| rs1899663|                           |                          |             |             |
| GG       | 550 (63.8%)               | 265 (61.5%)              | 1.00 (reference) | 1.00 (reference) |
| GT       | 285 (33.1%)               | 148 (34.3%)              | 1.08 (0.84–1.38) | 0.95 (0.71–1.25) |
| TT       | 27 (3.1%)                 | 18 (4.2%)                | 1.38 (0.75–2.56) | 1.85 (0.92–3.73) |
| GT + TT  | 312 (36.2%)               | 166 (38.5%)              | 1.10 (0.87–1.40) | 1.01 (0.77–1.32) |
| rs4759314|                           |                          |             |             |
| AA       | 727 (84.3%)               | 363 (84.2%)              | 1.00 (reference) | 1.00 (reference) |
| AG       | 128 (14.8%)               | 67 (15.5%)               | 1.05 (0.76–1.45) | 1.07 (0.74–1.54) |
| GG       | 7 (0.8%)                  | 1 (0.2%)                 | 0.29 (0.04–2.33) | 0.59 (0.06–5.58) |
| AG + GG  | 135 (15.7%)               | 68 (15.8%)               | 1.01 (0.73–1.39) | 1.05 (0.73–1.51) |
| rs12427129|                          |                          |             |             |
| CC       | 691 (80.2%)               | 350 (81.2%)              | 1.00 (reference) | 1.00 (reference) |
| CT       | 167 (19.4%)               | 76 (17.6%)               | 0.90 (0.67–1.21) | 1.00 (0.71–1.41) |
| TT       | 4 (0.5%)                  | 5 (1.2%)                 | 2.47 (0.66–9.25) | 2.23 (0.44–11.23) |
| CT + TT  | 171 (19.8%)               | 81 (18.8%)               | 0.94 (0.70–1.26) | 1.03 (0.74–1.44) |

The odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) were estimated by logistic regression models. The adjusted OR (AOR) and the 95% CI were estimated by multivariable logistic regression models after controlling for age, gender, and tobacco consumption.

Table 3. Genotype distributions of *HOTAIR* gene polymorphisms among 455 female subjects.

| Variable | Controls (n = 296), n (%) | Patients (n = 159), n (%) | OR (95% CI) | AOR (95% CI) |
|----------|---------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| rs920778 |                           |                          |             |             |
| TT       | 155 (52.4%)               | 79 (49.7%)               | 1.00 (reference) | 1.00 (reference) |
| TC       | 120 (40.5%)               | 61 (38.4%)               | 1.00 (0.66–1.50) | 1.03 (0.62–1.71) |
| CC       | 21 (7.1%)                 | 19 (11.9%)               | 1.78 (0.90–3.49) | 1.96 (0.84–4.61) |
| TC + CC  | 141 (47.6%)               | 80 (50.3%)               | 1.11 (0.76–1.64) | 1.16 (0.72–1.88) |
| rs1899663|                           |                          |             |             |
| GG       | 191 (64.5%)               | 95 (59.7%)               | 1.00 (reference) | 1.00 (reference) |
| GT       | 94 (31.8%)                | 57 (35.8%)               | 1.22 (0.81–1.84) | 0.92 (0.55–1.54) |
| TT       | 11 (3.7%)                 | 7 (4.4%)                 | 1.28 (0.48–3.41) | 1.79 (0.58–5.51) |
| GT + TT  | 105 (35.5%)               | 64 (40.3%)               | 1.23 (0.82–1.82) | 1.00 (0.61–1.63) |
| rs4759314|                           |                          |             |             |
| AA       | 256 (86.5%)               | 126 (79.2%)              | 1.00 (reference) | 1.00 (reference) |
| AG       | 35 (11.8%)                | 32 (20.1%)               | 1.86 (1.10–3.14) | 1.98 (1.03–3.82) |
| GG       | 5 (1.7%)                  | 1 (0.6%)                 | 0.41 (0.05–3.52) | 1.12 (0.09–13.66) |
| AG + GG  | 40 (13.5%)                | 33 (20.8%)               | 1.68 (1.01–2.79) | 1.92 (1.01–3.64) |
| rs12427129|                          |                          |             |             |
| CC       | 240 (81.1%)               | 128 (80.5%)              | 1.00 (reference) | 1.00 (reference) |
| CT       | 53 (17.9%)                | 28 (17.6%)               | 0.99 (0.60–1.64) | 1.50 (0.80–2.80) |
| TT       | 3 (1%)                    | 3 (1.9%)                 | 1.88 (0.37–9.42) | 1.40 (0.19–10.56) |
| CT + TT  | 56 (18.9%)                | 31 (19.5%)               | 1.04 (0.64–1.69) | 1.49 (0.81–2.73) |

Bold font indicates statistical significance (p < 0.05). The odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) were estimated by logistic regression models. The adjusted OR (AOR) and 95% confidence interval were estimated by multivariable logistic regression models after controlling for age, gender, and tobacco consumption.
3.3. Relationships of Clinicopathological Characteristics with HOTAIR Genetic Polymorphisms in UCC Patients

Subsequently, we further analyzed genotype frequencies of individual polymorphisms in relation to the clinicopathological status, such as the cancer stage, primary tumor size, lymph node involvement, metastatic status, and histopathologic grading, in UCC patients. We divided overall UCC patients into two subgroups, including patients who had homozygous wild-type (WT) alleles and those who had at least one polymorphic allele. The comparison showed that patients with at least one minor allele (TC and CC) of rs920778 exhibited a significantly (\( p = 0.031 \)) lower 0.51-fold risk (95% CI, 0.28–0.94) of developing lymph node metastasis compared to their corresponding WT homozygotes (Table 4), while no associations were found in HOTAIR rs1899663, rs4759314 and rs12427129 with clinicopathologic characteristics in UCC patients (Table A2). Cigarette smoking was reported to increase the risk of developing high-grade superficial and invasive bladder cancer [34]. In this study, we further divided our recruited UCC patients into smoking and non-smoking groups, and further investigated the difference between HOTAIR SNPs and the UCC clinicopathological status in these two groups. The 131 UCC smokers harboring the rs12427129 CT/TT SNP had a higher risk (4.62-fold; 95% CI, 1.03–20.78) of developing advance tumor T stage (\( p = 0.046 \)) than did WT patients (Table 5). In addition to smoking, the association between aging and cancer is well exemplified by bladder cancer. Previous reports simply defined “elderly” as those bladder cancer patients aged over 65 years, with no additional clinical information to help better define this particular subset of older adults [35]. Herein, we observed that among 166 younger UCC patients aged \( \leq \) 65 years, those carrying at least one polymorphic T allele of HOTAIR rs12427129 showed a significantly higher risk of having a larger primary tumor (OR = 3.57; 95% CI, 1.03–12.44, \( p = 0.046 \)) than those carrying the WT gene (Table 6).

However, no associations were found in HOTAIR rs920778, rs1899663 and rs4759314 in patients with UCC, stratified by age and smoking status (Table A3).

### Table 4. Distribution frequency of the clinical status and HOTAIR rs920778 genotype frequencies in 431 urothelial cell carcinoma patients.

| Variable                        | TT (%) (n = 217) | TC+CC (%) (n = 214) | OR (95% CI) | \( p \)-Value |
|---------------------------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------|--------------|
| **Stage**                       |                  |                     |             |              |
| Non-muscle invasive tumor (pTa–pT1) | 113 (52.1%)     | 122 (57%)           | 1.00 (reference) |              |
| Muscle invasive tumor (pT2–pT4) | 104 (47.9%)      | 92 (43%)            | 0.82 (0.56–1.20) | 0.304        |
| **Tumor T status**              |                  |                     |             |              |
| Ta–Tcis                         | 50 (23%)         | 40 (18.7%)          | 1.00 (reference) |              |
| T1–T4                           | 167 (77%)        | 174 (81.3%)         | 1.30 (0.82–0.77) | 0.267        |
| **Lymph node status**           |                  |                     |             |              |
| N0                              | 184 (84.8%)      | 196 (91.6%)         | 1.00 (reference) |              |
| N1+N2+N3                        | 33 (15.2%)       | 18 (8.4%)           | 0.51 (0.28–0.94) | 0.031        |
| **Metastasis**                  |                  |                     |             |              |
| M0                              | 208 (95.9%)      | 209 (97.7%)         | 1.00 (reference) |              |
| M1                              | 9 (4.1%)         | 5 (2.3%)            | 0.55 (0.18–1.68) | 0.295        |
| **Histopathologic grading**     |                  |                     |             |              |
| Low grade                       | 24 (11.1%)       | 29 (13.6%)          | 1.00 (reference) |              |
| High grade                      | 193 (88.9%)      | 185 (86.4%)         | 0.79 (0.45–1.41) | 0.432        |

The bold font indicates statistical significance (\( p < 0.05 \)). The odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) were estimated by logistic regression models.
Table 5. Distribution frequency of the clinical status and HOTAIR rs12427129 genotype frequencies in 131 urothelial cell carcinoma patients who were smokers.

| Variable                  | HOTAIR (rs12427129) |
|---------------------------|----------------------|
|                           | CC (%) (n = 103)     | CT + TT (%) (n = 28) | OR (95% CI)       | p-Value   |
| Stage                     |                      |                      |                   |           |
| Non-muscle invasive tumor (pTa–pT1) | 50 (48.5%)          | 19 (67.9%)           | 1.00 (reference) |           |
| Muscle invasive tumor (pT2–pT4)   | 53 (51.5%)         | 9 (32.1%)            | 0.45 (0.19–1.08) | 0.074     |
| Tumor T status            |                      |                      |                   |           |
| Ta–Tcis                   | 27 (26.2%)          | 2 (7.1%)             | 1.00 (reference) |           |
| T1–T4                     | 76 (73.8%)          | 26 (92.9%)           | 4.62 (1.03–20.78) | 0.046     |
| Lymph node status         |                      |                      |                   |           |
| N0                        | 87 (84.5%)          | 25 (89.3%)           | 1.00 (reference) |           |
| N1+N2+N3                  | 16 (15.5%)          | 3 (10.7%)            | 0.65 (0.18–2.42) | 0.523     |
| Metastasis                |                      |                      |                   |           |
| M0                        | 95 (92.2%)          | 27 (96.4%)           | 1.00 (reference) |           |
| M1                        | 8 (7.8%)            | 1 (3.6%)             | 0.44 (0.05–3.67) | 0.448     |
| Histopathologic grading   |                      |                      |                   |           |
| Low grade                 | 16 (15.5%)          | 1 (3.6%)             | 1.00 (reference) |           |
| High grade                | 87 (84.5%)          | 27 (96.4%)           | 4.97 (1.03–12.44) | 0.046     |

The bold font indicates statistical significance (p < 0.05). The odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) were estimated by logistic regression models.

Table 6. Distribution frequency of the clinical status and HOTAIR rs12427129 genotype frequencies in 166 UCC patients aged ≤65 years.

| Variable                  | HOTAIR (rs12427129) |
|---------------------------|----------------------|
|                           | CC (%) (n = 128)     | CT + TT (%) (n = 38) | OR (95% CI)       | p-Value   |
| Stage                     |                      |                      |                   |           |
| Non-muscle invasive tumor (pTa–pT1) | 67 (52.3%)          | 21 (55.3%)           | 1.00 (reference) |           |
| Muscle invasive tumor (pT2–pT4)   | 61 (47.7%)         | 17 (44.7%)           | 0.89 (0.43–1.84) | 0.752     |
| Tumor T status            |                      |                      |                   |           |
| Ta–Tcis                   | 30 (23.4%)          | 3 (7.9%)             | 1.00 (reference) |           |
| T1–T4                     | 98 (76.6%)          | 35 (92.1%)           | 3.57 (1.03–12.44) | 0.046     |
| Lymph node status         |                      |                      |                   |           |
| N0                        | 106 (82.8%)         | 33 (86.8%)           | 1.00 (reference) |           |
| N1+N2+N3                  | 22 (17.2%)          | 5 (13.2%)            | 0.73 (0.26–2.08) | 0.556     |
| Metastasis                |                      |                      |                   |           |
| M0                        | 122 (95.3%)         | 37 (97.4%)           | 1.00 (reference) |           |
| M1                        | 6 (4.7%)            | 1 (2.6%)             | 0.55 (0.06–4.71) | 0.585     |
| Histopathologic grading   |                      |                      |                   |           |
| Low grade                 | 20 (15.6%)          | 3 (7.9%)             | 1.00 (reference) |           |
| High grade                | 108 (84.4%)         | 35 (92.1%)           | 2.16 (0.61–7.71) | 0.235     |

The bold font indicates statistical significance (p < 0.05). The odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) were estimated by logistic regression models.

3.4. Associations between HOTAIR Gene Polymorphisms and the overall survival of UCC Patients

Next, we further assessed the prognostic value of HOTAIR polymorphisms in UCC patients. An analysis based on a Kaplan-Meier curve revealed that G carriers of rs4759314 presented worse overall survival than those with the WT gene in the younger patient (aged ≤65 years) subgroup (p = 0.033; Figure 1A) and female subgroup (p = 0.008; Figure 1B).
Figure 2. High expression of HOTAIR in urothelial bladder cancer tissues is associated with patients with tumor metastasis and poor prognoses. (A,B) HOTAIR gene expression levels in urothelial bladder cancer tissues from TCGA were compared according to the lymph node metastasis status (N stages) (A) and distal metastasis status (M stages) (B). (C) A Kaplan-Meier plot of overall survival of 165 patients with urothelial bladder cancer (GSE13507) stratified by HOTAIR expression. A log-rank test was used to examine between-group differences.
4. Discussion

In UCC of the bladder, many cancer-related lncRNAs have been shown to exert prognostic or diagnostic value. For instance, the MALAT1 expression level was associated with lymph node metastasis and poor survival rate of UCC patients. LncRNA urothelial cancer-associated 1 (UCA1) showed the diagnostic value for UCC of the bladder [15]. Both in vivo and in vitro studies have shown that MALAT1 can promote migration and metastasis of bladder cancer through inducing EMT [36,37]. Similar to MALAT1, UCA1 was also reported to induce increase of the migratory and invasive abilities of bladder cancer cells by inducing EMT [38]. In contrast to oncogenic lncRNAs, MEG3 was reported to be a tumor suppressor in UCC of the bladder and downregulation of MEG3 expression was associated with lower recurrence-free survival [39].

HOTAIR, a transacting lncRNA, is reported to be a scaffold through which polycomb repressive complex 2 (PRC2) and lysine-specific demethylase 1 (LSD1) complexes are recruited to target genes which respectively result in methylation and demethylation of H3K27 and H3K4, which together epigenetically regulate various downstream genes. The overexpression of HOTAIR in various cancers, including UCC, indicates its important role in their development [19]. The oncogenic roles of HOTAIR in UCC were previously extensively studied [16–18,40], while epidemiological focus on UCC susceptibility and clinicopathologic characteristics conferred by genetic variants on loci of HOTAIR has rarely been investigated.

In the present study, we performed a case-control study to evaluate associations between four SNPs in the HOTAIR gene and UCC risk. We observed that SNP rs4759314 A > G was significantly associated with an increased UCC risk and poor overall survival in younger and female subjects. This HOTAIR rs4759314 polymorphism was also reported to be associated with a risk of gastric cancer in a Chinese population. The rs4759314 polymorphism is located in an intronic promoter region (intron 1), which was found to influence the activity of this promoter and expressions of the HOTAIR gene and a nearby gene, HOXC11. In gastric cancer cases, increased HOTAIR expression was associated with G allele carriers [30]. In a meta-analysis showed that HOTAIR rs4759314 polymorphism was a risk factor only for gastric cancer, but not for lung, breast, colorectal, and esophageal cancer in Chinese populations [25]. Based on these observations, we suggest that the impact of rs4759314 polymorphism is dependent on different cancer types.

In addition to rs4759314, previous reports indicated that there might be a potential enhancer in the HOTAIR intron 2 region containing the rs920778 SNP. It was reported that the functional effect of the HOTAIR rs920778 SNP T variant allele was to promote enhancer activity and expression of HOTAIR in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma cells, gastric cancer, and cervical cancer cells as well as in tissues of these cancer types in a Chinese population [27–29].

Cancer patients with these three cancer types who harbored the HOTAIR rs920778T allele were significantly associated with an elevated risk or an advanced TNM stage of these cancers compared to those with the C allele in a Chinese population [27–29]. Recently, gene polymorphisms in rs920778 were also found to significantly increase one’s susceptibility to lung cancer in a Chinese lung cancer population [24]. About the analysis stratified by ethnicity, there is a meta-analysis which showed that a significant association between rs920778 SNPs and cancer susceptibility was observed in Asians under dominant, recessive, homozygous, and heterozygous models. This association was most compelling under recessive model (TT vs. TC+CC). The HOTAIR rs920778T allele thus emerged as a potential genetic marker for increased cancer susceptibility especially in Asians [25]. In our current study, UCC patients with the HOTAIR polymorphic rs920778 TC + CC genotypes exhibited a significantly lower risk of developing lymph node metastasis compared to the rs920778 TT homozygous polymorphism. By virtue of the rs920778T allele being correlated with significantly increased HOTAIR RNA expression in tissues from different cancer types, we speculated that the rs920778C allele acts as a protective factor to prevent lymph node metastasis of UCC through decreasing HOTAIR expression in UCC tissues. According to our previous assessment of the enhancer region of human HOTAIR, we identified that the rs920778 position harbors a putative binding motif of PRDI-BF1 and RIZ domain containing 14
(PRDM14) [23], a site-specific transcriptional activator or repressor that acts as a tumor suppressor or oncogene [41]. It was reported that IncRNA Tsix can facilitate PRDM14’s binding to intron 1 of IncRNA of Xist, thereby repressing Xist expression [42]. Hence, relationships among the HOTAIR rs920778 polymorphism, PRDM14-intron 2 binding, and HOTAIR expression remain unclear and are worthy of further investigation.

The impacts of HOTAIR gene polymorphisms on the risk or development of UCC may be underestimated in such a complex scenario. Environmental factors such as smoking are important risk factors for developing bladder cancer [43]. In our study, SNP-environment interactions for rs12427129 and the smoking status were found to enhance the risk of developing larger UCC tumors. Previous reports indicated that higher HOTAIR expression was observed in lung cancer patients with the tobacco smoking habit compared to those who did not smoke [24]. Moreover, cigarette smoke extract (CSE) was reported to induce interleukin-6 and further turn on stat3-mediated HOTAIR expression in human bronchial epithelial cells [44]. CSE was also reported to induce activation of the transcriptional co-activator p300 in human tracheal smooth muscle cells [45], and prior studies predicted that rs12427129 polymorphisms might affect the binding of p300 to HOTAIR [26]. Furthermore, from the GTEx database, alteration of HOTAIR expression was only observed in skin tissues, but not in bladder or other tissues of individuals who carry the polymorphic allele of rs12427129 [46]. Based on the above annotations, we speculated that tobacco carcinogens might alter HOTAIR expression dependent on the presence of rs12427129 polymorphisms, and this issue should be further addressed in the future. In addition to four HOTAIR SNPs we investigated in this study, Wang et al. recently reported that rs874945 SNP, located in the 3′-UTR of the HOTAIR gene, is significantly associated with the risk of bladder cancer. The results from this study indicated that the effects of the HOTAIR rs874945 variant on bladder cancer risk might be modulated by environmental exposures such as tobacco smoking [47]. The impacts of HOTAIR rs874945 polymorphisms combined with smoking status on tumorigenesis of urothelial bladder cancer are worthy of being further addressed in our study cohort.

Our study is not without limitations. The limitation of this study is the lacking in mRNA collection from peripheral blood of our study cohort. Although previous studies have indicated that HOTAIR rs4759314 and rs920778 polymorphisms can affect the expression of HOTAIR in different cancer types [27–30], we cannot validate the association between genetic variants of HOTAIR with expression level of HOTAIR in UCC. In our future study, the mRNA and DNA should be extracted simultaneously from the same samples to further validate this issue. Further limitation of the study is the lacking of another independent cohort to double-check our current results. Our sample size had at least an 80% power to detect a 1.5-fold increased risk in susceptibility to UCC associated with HOTAIR genetic polymorphisms. However, the negative results could be explained by too low power of the study.

Moreover, this study is restricted to the Taiwanese population (of Asian or Chinese ethnicity), so it is uncertain whether or not these results can be generalized to other populations. Hence, future studies of these SNPs in different UCC cohorts or different ethnic populations are necessary to confirm our results.

5. Conclusions

Despite our best efforts, a significant proportion of UCC patients will eventually develop advanced disease, and we do not currently have reliable tools to predict who those patients are. In this study, we first identified the diverse allelic effects of HOTAIR SNPs (rs920778, rs4759314, and rs12427129) which contribute to the susceptibility and clinicopathologic development of UCC in a Taiwanese population. These results can lead to a better understanding of risk and early detection of UCC. Additional studies should focus on investigating the functional activities of these polymorphisms analyzed above and their effects on UCC progression.
Author Contributions: M.-H.C., S.-F.Y., and M.-C.T. contributed to the conception and design, and critically revised the manuscript; M.-H.C. and Y.-C.W. contributed to the conception, and drafted the manuscript; S.-S.W., Y.-W.L., and S.-F.Y. contributed to data acquisition and interpretation. J.-M.C. contributed to analyzing the clinical data from the database.

Funding: This study was supported by grant no. CSMU-TTM-106-01 from Tungs’ Taichung Metro Harbor Hospital and by grant no. 108-wf-swf-03 from Taipei Medical University-Wan Fang Hospital.

Acknowledgments: We thank the Tissue Bank from Veterans General Hospital (Taichung, Taiwan) for sample preparation.

Conflicts of Interest: The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results. The authors declare that no conflict of interest exists.

Appendix A

Table A1. Genotype distributions of HOTAIR gene polymorphisms among 838 male subjects.

| Variable | Controls (n = 566), n (%) | Patients (n = 272), n (%) | OR (95% CI) | AOR (95% CI) |
|----------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|--------------|
| rs920778 |                          |                           |             |              |
| TT       | 292 (51.6%)              | 138 (50.7%)               | 1.00 (reference) | 1.00 (reference) |
| TC       | 240 (42.4%)              | 115 (42.3%)               | 1.01 (0.75–1.37) | 0.95 (0.68–1.32) |
| CC       | 34 (6%)                  | 19 (7%)                   | 1.18 (0.65–2.15) | 1.41 (0.73–2.73) |
| TC + CC  | 274 (48.4%)              | 134 (49.3%)               | 1.03 (0.77–1.38) | 1.00 (0.73–1.37) |
| rs1899663 |                          |                           |             |              |
| GG       | 359 (63.4%)              | 170 (62.5%)               | 1.00 (reference) | 1.00 (reference) |
| GT       | 191 (33.7%)              | 91 (33.5%)                | 1.01 (0.74–1.37) | 0.94 (0.67–1.32) |
| TT       | 16 (2.8%)                | 11 (4%)                   | 1.45 (0.66–3.20) | 1.98 (0.81–4.84) |
| GT + TT  | 207 (36.6%)              | 102 (37.5%)               | 1.04 (0.77–1.40) | 1.01 (0.72–1.40) |
| rs4759314 |                          |                           |             |              |
| AA       | 471 (83.2%)              | 237 (87.1%)               | 1.00 (reference) | 1.00 (reference) |
| AG       | 93 (16.4%)               | 35 (12.9%)                | 0.75 (0.49–1.14) | 0.79 (0.50–1.24) |
| GG       | 2 (0.4%)                 | 0 (0%)                    | -            | -            |
| AG + GG  | 95 (16.8%)               | 35 (12.9%)                | 0.73 (0.48–1.11) | 0.77 (0.49–1.22) |
| rs12427129 |                          |                           |             |              |
| CC       | 451 (79.7%)              | 222 (81.6%)               | 1.00 (reference) | 1.00 (reference) |
| CT       | 114 (20.1%)              | 48 (17.6%)                | 0.86 (0.59–1.24) | 0.88 (0.58–1.32) |
| TT       | 1 (0.2%)                 | 2 (0.7%)                  | 4.06 (0.37–45.05) | 6.94 (0.28–169.70) |
| CT+TT    | 115 (20.3%)              | 50 (18.4%)                | 0.88 (0.61–1.28) | 0.91 (0.61–1.36) |
Table A2. Distribution frequency of the clinical status and *HOTAIR* rs1899663, rs4759314 and rs12427129 genotype frequencies in 431 urothelial cell carcinoma patients.

| Variable                  | *HOTAIR* (rs1899663) |             |             | p-Value |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|-------------|---------|
|                           | GG (%) (n = 265)      | GT+TT (%) (n = 166) | OR (95% CI) |         |
| Stage                     |                       |             |             |         |
| Non-muscle invasive tumor | 141 (53.2%)           | 94 (56.6%)  | 1.00 (reference) |         |
| Muscle invasive tumor     | 124 (46.8%)           | 72 (43.4%)  | 0.87 (0.59–1.29) | 0.488   |
| Tumor T status            |                       |             |             |         |
| Ta–Tcis                   | 62 (23.4%)            | 28 (16.9%)  | 1.00 (reference) |         |
| T1–T4                     | 203 (76.6%)           | 138 (83.1%) | 1.51 (0.92–2.47) | 0.106   |
| Lymph node status         |                       |             |             |         |
| N0                        | 230 (86.8%)           | 150 (90.4%) | 1.00 (reference) |         |
| N1+N2+N3                  | 35 (13.2%)            | 16 (9.6%)   | 0.70 (0.37–1.31) | 0.266   |
| Metastasis                |                       |             |             |         |
| M0                        | 255 (96.2%)           | 162 (97.6%) | 1.00 (reference) |         |
| M1                        | 10 (3.8%)             | 4 (2.4%)    | 0.63 (0.19–2.04) | 0.441   |
| Histopathologic grading   |                       |             |             |         |
| Low grade                 | 32 (12.1%)            | 21 (12.7%)  | 1.00 (reference) |         |
| High grade                | 233 (87.9%)           | 145 (87.3%) | 0.95 (0.53–1.71) | 0.860   |

| Variable                  | *HOTAIR* (rs4759314) |             |             | p-Value |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|-------------|---------|
|                           | AA (%) (n=363)        | AG+GG (%) (n=68) | OR (95% CI) |         |
| Stage                     |                       |             |             |         |
| Non-muscle invasive tumor | 199 (54.8%)           | 36 (52.9%)  | 1.00 (reference) |         |
| Muscle invasive tumor     | 164 (45.2%)           | 32 (47.1%)  | 1.08 (0.64–1.81) | 0.775   |
| Tumor T status            |                       |             |             |         |
| Ta–Tcis                   | 75 (20.7%)            | 15 (22.1%)  | 1.00 (reference) |         |
| T1–T4                     | 288 (79.3%)           | 53 (77.9%)  | 0.92 (0.49–1.72) | 0.795   |
| Lymph node status         |                       |             |             |         |
| N0                        | 317 (87.3%)           | 63 (92.6%)  | 1.00 (reference) |         |
| N1+N2+N3                  | 46 (12.7%)            | 5 (7.4%)    | 0.55 (0.21–1.43) | 0.219   |
| Metastasis                |                       |             |             |         |
| M0                        | 351 (96.7%)           | 66 (97.1%)  | 1.00 (reference) |         |
| M1                        | 12 (3.3%)             | 2 (2.9%)    | 0.89 (0.19–4.05) | 0.876   |
| Histopathologic grading   |                       |             |             |         |
| Low grade                 | 44 (12.1%)            | 9 (13.2%)   | 1.00 (reference) |         |
| High grade                | 319 (87.9%)           | 59 (86.8%)  | 0.9 (0.42–1.95) | 0.797   |

| Variable                  | *HOTAIR* (rs12427129) |             |             | p-Value |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|-------------|---------|
|                           | CC (%) (n = 350)      | CT+TT (%) (n = 81) | OR (95% CI) |         |
| Stage                     |                       |             |             |         |
| Non-muscle invasive tumor | 185 (52.9%)           | 50 (61.7%)  | 1.00 (reference) |         |
| Muscle invasive tumor     | 165 (47.1%)           | 31 (38.3%)  | 0.70 (0.42–1.14) | 0.150   |
| Tumor T status            |                       |             |             |         |
| Ta–Tcis                   | 77 (22%)              | 13 (16%)    | 1.00 (reference) |         |
| T1–T4                     | 273 (78%)             | 68 (84%)    | 1.48 (0.77–2.81) | 0.237   |
| Lymph node status         |                       |             |             |         |
| N0                        | 307 (87.7%)           | 73 (90.1%)  | 1.00 (reference) |         |
| N1+N2+N3                  | 43 (12.3%)            | 8 (9.9%)    | 0.78 (0.35–1.74) | 0.546   |
| Metastasis                |                       |             |             |         |
| M0                        | 339 (96.9%)           | 78 (96.3%)  | 1.00 (reference) |         |
| M1                        | 11 (3.1%)             | 3 (3.7%)    | 1.19 (0.32–4.35) | 0.798   |
| Histopathologic grading   |                       |             |             |         |
| Low grade                 | 46 (13.1%)            | 7 (8.6%)    | 1.00 (reference) |         |
| High grade                | 304 (86.9%)           | 74 (91.4%)  | 1.6 (0.69–3.69) | 0.270   |

The bold font indicates statistical significance (p < 0.05). The odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) were estimated by logistic regression models.
Table A3. Distribution frequency of the clinical status and for HOTAIR SNPs frequencies in UCC patients with stratified by age and smoking status.

| Variable                        | Smoker | Non-Smokers | Aged ≤ 65 Years | Aged > 65 Years |
|---------------------------------|--------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| HOTAIR (rs920778)               | p = 0.525 a | p = 0.424   | p = 0.869       | p = 0.238       |
| Stage                           | p = 0.100 b | p = 0.840   | p = 0.202       | p = 0.677       |
| Tumor T status                  | p = 0.126 c | p = 0.128   | p = 0.073       | p = 0.210       |
| Lymph node status               | p = 0.127 d | p = 0.665   | p = 0.275       | p = 0.710       |
| Metastasis                      | p = 0.263 e | p = 0.086   | p = 0.871       | p = 0.239       |

HOTAIR (rs1899663)

| Variable                        | Smoker | Non-Smokers | Aged ≤ 65 Years | Aged > 65 Years |
|---------------------------------|--------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Stage                           | p = 0.178 | p = 0.944   | p = 0.847       | p = 0.468       |
| Tumor T status                  | p = 0.317 | p = 0.544   | p = 0.162       | p = 0.334       |
| Lymph node status               | p = 0.134 | p = 0.354   | p = 0.589       | p = 0.310       |
| Metastasis                      | p = 0.236 | p = 0.303   | p = 0.604       | p = 0.574       |

HOTAIR (rs4759314)

| Variable                        | Smoker | Non-Smokers | Aged ≤ 65 Years | Aged > 65 Years |
|---------------------------------|--------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Stage                           | p = 0.819 | p = 0.812   | p = 0.161       | p = 0.472       |
| Tumor T status                  | p = 0.769 | p = 0.872   | p = 0.599       | p = 0.479       |
| Lymph node status               | p = 0.608 | p = 0.98    | p = 0.969       | p = 0.127       |
| Metastasis                      | p = 0.353 | p = 0.999   | p = 0.953       | p = 0.888       |

HOTAIR (rs12427129)

| Variable                        | Smoker | Non-Smokers | Aged ≤ 65 Years | Aged > 65 Years |
|---------------------------------|--------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Stage                           | p = 0.074 | p = 0.611   | p = 0.752       | p = 0.087       |
| Tumor T status                  | p = 0.046 | p = 0.933   | p = 0.046       | p = 0.761       |
| Lymph node status               | p = 0.523 | p = 0.749   | p = 0.556       | p = 0.605       |
| Metastasis                      | p = 0.448 | p = 0.210   | p = 0.585       | p = 0.380       |

The bold font indicates statistical significance (p < 0.05). a: The p-value was estimated the difference between HOTAIR SNPs (homozygous wild-type (WT) alleles and those who had at least one polymorphic allele) and the different stage status (Non-muscle invasive tumor and muscle invasive tumor). b: The p-value was estimated the difference between HOTAIR SNPs (homozygous wild-type (WT) alleles and those who had at least one polymorphic allele) and the different tumor T status (Ta–Tcis and T1–T4). c: The p-value was estimated the difference between HOTAIR SNPs (homozygous wild-type (WT) alleles and those who had at least one polymorphic allele) and the different lymph node status (N0 and N1+N2+N3). d: The p-value was estimated the difference between HOTAIR SNPs (homozygous wild-type (WT) alleles and those who had at least one polymorphic allele) and the different metastasis status (M0 and M1). e: The p-value was estimated the difference between HOTAIR SNPs (homozygous wild-type (WT) alleles and those who had at least one polymorphic allele) and the different histopathologic grading status (low grade and high grade).
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