Orthokeratinized versus parakeratinized odontogenic keratocyst: Our institutional experience
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Abstract

Introduction: The odontogenic keratocyst (OKC) is quite unique among odontogenic cysts in its specific histological features and in clinical characteristics. The OKC has two variants orthokeratinized odontogenic cyst (OOC) and parakeratinized odontogenic cyst (POC), and POC is considered to be more aggressive and has a high recurrence rate. Therefore, OKC has generated considerable controversy with regard to its true nature.

Aim: The purpose of this study was to determine the differences between POC and OOC variants of odontogenic cysts on the basis of clinical as well as radiological features.

Materials and Methods: A total of 85 cases were included in the present study. There was a marked difference in the age of occurrence, sex, location and radiological presentation of both these entities.

Results: Our institutional experience suggests that POC is more common than OOC and both the variants were commonly found in males. Most of the cases of POC were found in the third decade of life and most of the cases of OOC were frequently seen in the second, fourth and fifth decades. Mandibular posterior area was frequently occurring in both variants. Left side involvement was seen in maxilla and right side in mandible in both variants. Most of the cases were clinically diagnosed as OKC.

Conclusion: The treatment and prognosis varies in both the variants of OKC, hence such epidemiological studies are helpful to ensure proper treatment for these distinct entities.
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INTRODUCTION

Odontogenic keratocyst (OKC) is the third most common odontogenic cyst and it comprises about 12% of all the cysts occurring in the maxillofacial region.[1] The designation OKC was first employed by Philipsen in 1956 and later used by Pindborg and Hansen in 1963.[2] It is a controversial cyst that has undergone conceptual and terminological changes in recent decades.[3]

OKC is so named because it is odontogenic in origin and the epithelium produces keratin that accumulates in cystic lumen.[4] In 2005, OKC was classified as a tumor and renamed a keratocystic odontogenic tumor because of
its aggressive behavior, high recurrence rates and specific histological characteristics. The 2017 classification reverted back to the original and well-accepted terminology of OKC because many papers showed that the PTCH gene mutation could be found in nonneoplastic lesions, including dentigerous cysts, and furthermore, many researchers suggested that resolution of the cyst after marsupialization was not compatible with a neoplastic process. There is a lack of distinction between orthokeratinized and parakeratinized lesions. These two entities exhibit different clinicopathological characteristics, so that it is mandatory to distinguish between orthokeratinized odontogenic cyst (OOC) and parakeratinized odontogenic cyst (POC) variants due to the difference and prognosis.

Although several similar studies were carried out in other countries and regions of India, there are no demographic studies on variants of OKCs in North India. Hence, the purpose of the present study was to compare the clinical parameters such as age, sex, location and radiographic features of orthokeratinized and parakeratinized variants of OKCs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The data were retrieved from the Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Pathology and Oral Microbiology, Subharti Dental College, Meerut, from January 2010 to December 2019. The cases with missing clinical details, and the cases which showed a mixture of both variants, were excluded because the aim of our study is to differentiate the two separate entities. H&E-stained slides were re-examined and were resegregated into the POC [Figure 1] and OOC variants of OKCs [Figure 2]. We differentiated OKCs as POC and OOC variants based on the lining and type of keratin production. The demographic and clinical data such as age, sex, anatomic location with area involvement, side involvement, practitioner's clinical impression and association with an impacted tooth were obtained from the submitted biopsy form.

The criteria for determining area involvement of the lesions were as follows: (1) anterior, (2) premolar, (3) molar, (4) ramus, (5) tuberosity and the side involvement either left or right or midline. Any cyst involved in two or more areas was assigned to the location approximating the center of the lesion. Clinical impression of the practitioner, i.e., provisional diagnosis as dentigerous cyst, ameloblastoma, radicular cyst and OKC, was recorded as mentioned in biopsy requisition form.

The data were analyzed, and descriptive statistics were employed for gender, age, anatomical location and the association with impacted tooth.

RESULTS

A total of 2929 biopsy records were scanned from the archives of department, and a total of 85 OKC cases were retrieved. Among them, we found 72 cases (84.70%) of POC and 13 cases (15.30%) of OOC. In our study, of all the OKCs, 49 (57.65%) cases were observed in males and 36 (42.35%) cases were seen in females with the ratio of 1.36:1 [Table 1].

OKCs showed a wide range of age distribution (9–75 years). Most of the POCs with 25 (29.41%) cases and 12 (14.12%) cases were reported in the third and fourth decades of life, respectively, while OOC showed equal distribution, with 3 (3.53%) cases being diagnosed in the second, fourth and fifth decades of life [Table 2].

The maxilla was affected in 32 (37.65%) cases and mandible in 53 (62.35%) cases with the ratio of 1:1.65. In maxilla, the

Figure 1: H and E stained photomicrographs of parakeratinized odontogenic cyst, X100

Figure 2: H and E stained photomicrographs of orthokeratinized odontogenic cyst, X100
most common site was molar area followed by tuberosity area, and in mandible, molar area was the most common site of occurrence followed by ramus area in POC. However, OOC showed equal distribution in maxilla with respect to the all-area and molar area predilection in mandible [Table 3].

Left side was commonly involved in maxilla and right side was commonly involved in mandible in both variants and very few cases crossed the midline [Table 4].

Most cases were clinically diagnosed as OKC 53 (62.36%) by surgeons at the time of surgery and misdiagnosed as dentigerous cyst 12 (14.12%) followed by ameloblastoma 10 (11.76%) and radicular cyst 10 (11.76%) [Table 5]. POC cases showed more association with impacted third molar as compared to OOC [Table 6].

### Table 1: Frequency and gender distribution of odontogenic keratocyst

| Types                  | Total (n), n (%) | Sex     | Ratio   |
|------------------------|------------------|---------|---------|
|                        | Male, n (%)      | Female, n (%) |       |
| Orthokeratinized (OOC) | 13 (15.30)       | 9 (10.60) | 4 (4.70) | 2.25:1 |
| Parakeratinized (POC)  | 72 (84.70)       | 40 (47.05) | 32 (37.65) | 1.25:1 |
| Total                  | 85 (100)         | 49 (57.65) | 36 (42.35) | 1.36:1 |

OOC: Orthokeratinized odontogenic cyst, POC: Parakeratinized odontogenic cyst

### DISCUSSION

OKC is generally thought to be derived from either the epithelial remnants of the tooth germ or the basal cell layer of the surface epithelium or hamartomatous proliferation of odontogenic epithelium. The histogenesis of POCs and OOCs may vary and needs further investigation. From the results of this study, it would appear that the OOC variant of the OKC is histopathologically and clinically distinct from POC variant. According to Wright, histologic examination demonstrated several striking differences between the epithelial lining of OOC and POC. Although the typical POC exhibits a highly cellular parakeratinized epithelial lining with surface corrugations and a palisaded layer of basal cells, the OOC lacks these features. Instead, the thin, uniform, orthokeratinized lining epithelium was characterized by onion-skin-like luminal surface keratinization, prominent stratum granulosum and low cuboidal or flattened basal cell layer with little tendency of nuclear palisading.

The present study represents a large clinicopathological study from North India in western Uttar Pradesh population. The results of this present study showed that orthokeratinized and parakeratinized variants of OKC are distinct histopathologically and clinically.

### Table 2: Age distribution

| Age (years) | OOC | POC | Ratio |
|-------------|-----|-----|-------|
| 0-9, n (%)  | 0   | 6   | 1:6   |
| 10-19, n (%)| 3   | 7   | 1:2.33|
| 20-29, n (%)| 4   | 14  | 1:3.5 |
| 30-39, n (%)| 3   | 12  | 1:4    |
| 40-49, n (%)| 1   | 11  | 1:11   |
| 50-59, n (%)| 2   | 9   | 1:4.5  |
| 60-69, n (%)| 2   | 6   | 1:3    |
| 70-79, n (%)| 0   | 2   | 1:1    |

OOC: Orthokeratinized odontogenic cyst, POC: Parakeratinized odontogenic cyst

### Table 3: Area distribution

| Maxilla | Mandible | Ratio |
|---------|----------|-------|
|         | Anterior, n (%) | Premolar, n (%) | Molar, n (%) | Tuberosity, n (%) | Total, n (%) | Anterior, n (%) | Premolar, n (%) | Molar, n (%) | Ramus, n (%) | Total, n (%) |       |
| OOC     | 1 (1.18) | 1 (1.18) | 1 (1.18) | 4 (4.72) | 12 (14.12) | 2 (2.35) | 1 (1.18) | 4 (4.70) | 2 (2.35) | 9 (10.58) | 1:2.25 |
| POC     | 5 (5.90) | 5 (5.90) | 11 (12.95) | 7 (8.23) | 28 (32.94) | 4 (4.70) | 6 (7.05) | 22 (25.90) | 12 (14.12) | 44 (51.76) | 1:1.57 |
| Total   | 32 (37.65) | 36 (42.35) | | | | 53 (62.35) | 40 (47.05) | | | | 1:1.65 |

OOC: Orthokeratinized odontogenic cyst, POC: Parakeratinized odontogenic cyst

### Table 4: Side comparison of odontogenic keratocyst

| Maxilla | Mandible | Ratio |
|---------|----------|-------|
|         | Right, n (%) | Left, n (%) | Midline, n (%) | Total, n (%) | Right, n (%) | Left, n (%) | Midline, n (%) | Total, n (%) |
| OOC     | 1 (1.18) | 3 (3.53) | 0 | 4 (4.72) | 7 (8.23) | 1 (1.18) | 1 (1.18) | 9 (10.58) |
| POC     | 10 (11.76) | 16 (18.82) | 2 (2.35) | 28 (32.94) | 23 (27.04) | 17 (20.00) | 4 (4.72) | 44 (51.76) |
| Total   | 11 (1.18) | 20 (23.53) | 0 | 32 (37.65) | 30 (35.29) | 18 (21.18) | 5 (5.90) | 73 (86.00) |

OOC: Orthokeratinized odontogenic cyst, POC: Parakeratinized odontogenic cyst

### Table 5: Comparison of surgeon’s clinical diagnosis

| Clinical misdiagnosis → | Ameloblastoma | Dentigerous Cyst | Odontogenic Keratocyst | Radicular Cyst |
|-------------------------|--------------|-----------------|------------------------|---------------|
| OOC-13 (15.30%)         | 2 (2.35%)    | 2 (2.35%)       | 7 (8.23%)              | 2 (2.35%)     |
| POC-72 (84.70%)         | 8 (9.41%)    | 10 (11.76%)     | 46 (54.12%)            | 8 (9.41%)     |
| Total 85 (100%)         | 10 (11.76%)  | 12 (14.12%)     | 53 (62.36%)            | 10 (11.76%)   |
CONCLUSION

Our institutional experience provides a baseline epidemiological information regarding the incidence of OKCs. The results of the present study support the difference in the clinical behavior between POC and OOC, although the follow-up information regarding the recurrence in our record is limited. The remaining findings were comparable to previous studies of OKCs. The aggressive nature of POC requires site- and size-based treatment, ranging from simple enucleation or marsupialization, with Carnoy’s solution up to radical excision while OOC, on the other hand, is less aggressive and requires conservative treatment preferably. Thus, the knowledge of these data will be helpful to ensure proper treatment for these distinct entities.
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