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ABSTRACT

We use ultra-deep Hubble Space Telescope Wide Field Camera 3/infrared imaging of the Hubble Ultra Deep Field to investigate the rest-frame optical morphologies of a mass-selected sample of galaxies at $z \sim 2$. We find a large variety of galaxy morphologies, ranging from large, blue, disk-like galaxies to compact, red, early-type galaxies. We derive rest-frame $u-g$ color profiles for these galaxies and show that most $z \sim 2$ galaxies in our sample have negative color gradients such that their cores are red. Although these color gradients may partly be caused by radial variations in dust content, they point to the existence of older stellar populations in the centers of $z \sim 2$ galaxies. This result is consistent with an “inside-out” scenario of galaxy growth. We find that the median color gradient is fairly constant with redshift: $\Delta(u-g_{\text{rest}})/\Delta(\log r)_{\text{median}} = -0.47, -0.33, \text{and} -0.46$ for $z \sim 2, z \sim 1$, and $z = 0$, respectively. Using structural parameters derived from surface brightness profiles we confirm that at $z \sim 2$ galaxy morphology correlates well with specific star formation rate. At the same mass, star-forming galaxies have larger effective radii, bluer rest-frame $u-g$ colors, and lower Sérsic indices than quiescent galaxies. These correlations are very similar to those at lower redshift, suggesting that the relations that give rise to the Hubble sequence at $z = 0$ are already in place for massive galaxies at this early epoch.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Since the description of the Hubble sequence (e.g., Hubble 1926; de Vaucouleurs 1959; Sandage & Tammann 1981) we have learned that position along the Hubble sequence correlates with parameters such as color, stellar age, and gas fraction (e.g., Roberts & Haynes 1994). However, morphologies by themselves provide very limited information, as they are scale free and do not include physical parameters such as surface brightnesses, sizes, luminosities, and masses. Using the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS; York et al. 2000), Kauffmann et al. (2003) showed that the main parameter driving galaxy properties is stellar mass. High-mass galaxies are generally red, have old stellar populations and low specific star formation rates (SSFRs), while low-mass galaxies are generally blue, have young stellar populations and high SSFRs.

A key question is what is the structure of the progenitors of low-redshift galaxies. Hubble Space Telescope (HST) studies out to redshift $z \sim 1$ indicate that the morphological variation is comparable to that at low redshift (e.g., Bell et al. 2004). More recent studies of $z > 2$ galaxies using the HST NICMOS camera have yielded varying results, largely due to different selection criteria. For example, Papovich et al. (2005) studied the rest-frame optical morphologies of a flux-limited sample of galaxies at $z \sim 2.3$ and found that they are generally irregular. Toft et al. (2005), on the other hand, investigated the rest-frame optical and UV morphologies of distant red galaxies (DRGs) in the Hubble Ultra Deep Field (HUDF), and found both galaxies with irregular morphologies and galaxies with smooth morphologies. Additionally, they showed that the rest-frame optical morphologies of these galaxies are much more regular and centrally concentrated than the rest-frame UV morphologies.

With the advent of the Wide Field Camera 3 (WFC3), with its vastly improved sensitivity and resolution compared to NICMOS, it has become possible to analyze the rest-frame optical structure of high-redshift galaxies with an unprecedented level of detail. Cameron et al. (2010) have used data from the first year of observations of the HUDF and the Early Release Science Field to classify the rest-frame UV and optical morphologies of galaxies up to $z \sim 3.5$. These authors confirm results by, e.g., Kriek et al. (2009), who showed that massive galaxies at $z \approx 2.3$ can be separated into two distinct classes: blue star-forming galaxies with irregular morphologies on the one hand, and red quiescent galaxies with smoother morphologies on the other.

In this Letter, we extend the previous results using the full two-year ultra-deep near-infrared (NIR) imaging of the HUDF taken with the HST WFC3. These data are the deepest ever obtained in the NIR and make it possible to analyze the morphologies, colors, and structure of galaxies to $z \sim 3$ in the rest-frame optical. Using the incredible sensitivity and angular resolution of the WFC3 images we analyze the rest-frame optical surface brightness profiles of a mass-selected sample of galaxies at $z \sim 2$. We use these profiles to derive structural parameters such as size and profile shape, and obtain rest-frame color profiles. We study the correlations between these parameters as a function of redshift in order to investigate the Hubble sequence at different epochs in the history of the universe. Throughout the Letter, we

* Based on observations with the NASA/ESA Hubble Space Telescope, obtained at the Space Telescope Science Institute, which is operated by AURA, Inc., under NASA contract NAS 5-26555.
assume a $\Lambda$CDM cosmology with $\Omega_m = 0.3$, $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.7$, and $H_0 = 70$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$.

2. MORPHOLOGIES AT $z \sim 2$

We use the full two-year data taken with WFC3/IR of the HUDF, obtained in 2009–2010 as part of the HUDF09 HST Treasury program (GO11563). It consists of 24 orbits of $Y_{105}$ imaging, 34 orbits of $J_{125}$ imaging, and 53 orbits of $H_{160}$ imaging. These images were reduced using an adapted pipeline (Bouwens et al. 2010; Oesch et al. 2010). The full width at half-maximum of the point-spread function (PSF) is $\approx 0.16$ arcsec. The images are combined with very deep Advanced Camera for Surveys (ACS) images of the HUDF (Beckwith et al. 2006) to construct color images of the massive galaxies in the field.

We use the $K_s$-selected catalog of Wuyts et al. (2008) to select galaxies in the HUDF for which WFC3 imaging is available. This catalog combines observations of the Chandra Deep Field South ranging from ground-based $U$-band data to Spitzer 24 $\mu$m data, and includes spectroscopic redshifts where available, as well as photometric redshifts derived using EAZY (Brammer et al. 2008). Stellar masses were estimated from spectral energy distribution fits to the full photometric data set (N. M. Förster Schreiber et al. 2011, in preparation), assuming a Kroupa initial mass function and the stellar population models of Bruzual & Charlot (2003). To study the morphological variation at $z \sim 2$, we select the 16 most massive galaxies with $1.5 < z < 2.5$. These galaxies have stellar masses between $1.2 \times 10^{10}$ $M_\odot$ and $1.3 \times 10^{11}$ $M_\odot$. Color images of these galaxies are shown in Figure 1(a). A summary of their properties is given in Table 1.

From Figure 1(a), it is apparent that galaxies at $z \sim 2$ show a large variation in morphology, size, and color. One can distinguish red, smooth, compact galaxies; blue galaxies with disk-like structures, some even with apparent spiral arms; and other star-forming galaxies which appear more irregular. Most of the galaxies have a well-defined, red center. This is further illustrated in Figure 1(b), where galaxy morphology is shown as a function of wavelength. Four massive galaxies are shown in the observed $HST$/ACS $V_{606}$ band, the $HST$/WFC3 $H_{160}$ band, and the (ground-based) $K_s$ band. The difference between the (PSF-matched) $V_{606}$-band and $H_{160}$-band images is large: whereas the galaxies exhibit very regular morphologies and have well-defined centers in the $H_{160}$ band, they are very clumpy and irregular in the $V_{606}$ band, and in some cases are nearly undetected. These complex, wavelength-dependent morphologies suggest that $z \sim 2$ galaxies may be composed of multiple components with very different stellar ages.

3. COLOR GRADIENTS AT $z \sim 2$

In order to quantify the morphological properties of $z \sim 2$ galaxies, we measure their surface brightness profiles. In contrast to conventional model-fitting techniques where a simple model is used to approximate the intrinsic surface brightness
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This results in a sample of 27 galaxies at $z \sim 5$ from the SDSS
data set (see Brinchmann et al. 2004; Salim et al. 2007 for details). A summary of the $z \sim 5$ properties of the profiles, and
$u \sim 0.10$ galaxies are taken from the Guo et al. (2009) SDSS galaxies, and the Wuyts et al. (2008) catalog for the HUDF galaxies.
Masses are corrected to account for the difference between the catalog magnitude and our measured magnitude.
* No spectroscopic redshifts are available for these galaxies; photometric redshifts are listed instead.
(This table is available in its entirety in a machine-readable form in the online journal. A portion is shown here for guidance regarding its form and content.)

### Table 1

| Source | ID$^a$ | mag$^{app}$ | $r_\Delta$ | n$^b$ | $M_{stellar}^{c}$ | $u - g_{\text{rest}}$ | log $\Sigma_{uv}$ | $z^c$ |
|--------|-------|-------------|------------|-------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|------|
| HUDF09 | 3203  | 21.81       | 3.49       | 1.15  | 10.65            | -0.49               | 8.77             | 1.998 |
| HUDF09 | 3239  | 23.11       | 0.32       | 2.06  | 10.51            | 1.18                | 10.72            | 1.980 |
| HUDF09 | 3242  | 22.10       | 0.44       | 3.21  | 10.76            | 1.18                | 10.67            | 1.910 |
| HUDF09 | 3254  | 23.31       | 1.96       | 1.31  | 10.25            | 0.85                | 8.87             | 1.887 |
| HUDF09 | 3391  | 23.28       | 2.13       | 0.44  | 10.37            | -0.04               | 8.92             | 1.919 |
| HUDF09 | 3421  | 23.79       | 2.12       | 0.90  | 10.59            | 0.19                | 9.14             | 2.457 |
| HUDF09 | 3463  | 22.47       | 1.80       | 1.20  | 10.09            | 0.59                | 8.78             | 1.659 |
| HUDF09 | 3486  | 22.43       | 2.78       | 0.65  | 10.22            | 0.88                | 8.53             | 1.628 |
| HUDF09 | 3595  | 22.39       | 3.67       | 0.41  | 10.98            | 0.87                | 9.06             | 1.853 |
| HUDF09 | 3653  | 23.85       | 1.94       | 0.95  | 10.27            | 1.24                | 8.90             | 1.776 |
| HUDF09 | 3721  | 22.02       | 3.00       | 1.16  | 10.54            | 0.63                | 8.79             | 1.843 |
| HUDF09 | 3757  | 23.10       | 1.25       | 5.04  | 10.28            | 1.20                | 9.29             | 1.674 |
| HUDF09 | 3799  | 24.59       | 2.93       | 1.50  | 10.62            | 0.87                | 8.89             | 2.492 |
| HUDF09 | 6161  | 21.58       | 6.70       | 3.51  | 11.08            | 1.22                | 8.63             | 1.552 |
| HUDF09 | 6225  | 23.26       | 2.58       | 0.56  | 10.33            | 0.72                | 8.71             | 2.401 |
| HUDF09 | 6237  | 23.51       | <0.10      | >10.00| 10.72            | 1.30                | 14.40            | 1.965 |

| Source | ID$^a$ | mag$^{app}$ | $r_\Delta$ | n$^b$ | $M_{stellar}^{c}$ | $u - g_{\text{rest}}$ | log $\Sigma_{uv}$ | $z^c$ |
|--------|-------|-------------|------------|-------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|------|
| HUDF09 | 6237  | 23.51       | <0.10      | >10.00| 10.72            | 1.30                | 14.40            | 1.965 |

Notes.

$^a$ IDs correspond to NYU-VAGC IDs (Blanton et al. 2005) for the SDSS galaxies, and FIREWORKS IDs (Wuyts et al. 2008) for the HUDF galaxies.
$^b$ Derived from the SDSS $g$-band imaging for the SDSS galaxies, and from the HST/WFC3 $H_{160}$-band imaging for the HUDF09 galaxies.
$^c$ Obtained from the Guo et al. (2009) catalog for the SDSS galaxies, and the Wuyts et al. (2008) catalog for the HUDF galaxies.
Masses are corrected to account for the difference between the catalog magnitude and our measured magnitude.
$^*$ No spectroscopic redshifts are available for these galaxies; photometric redshifts are listed instead.

In Figure 2 we show surface density profiles, $u - g_{\text{rest}}$ color profiles, and $u - g_{\text{rest}}$ color gradients for galaxies in all three redshift bins. The surface density profiles are obtained by multiplying the surface brightness profiles in the $H_{160}$ band ($g$ band for the SDSS galaxies) with the galaxies’ average $M_{stellar}/L$ ratios in that band (where $M_{stellar}$ is the total mass from the Wuyts et al. 2008 catalog (MPA/JHU catalog for the SDSS galaxies) and $L$ is derived from the surface brightness profiles). This approach ignores gradients in the $M_{stellar}/L$ ratios and is therefore not exact; however, it allows for a more quantitative comparison between galaxies at different redshifts and with different colors. The color gradients are derived from fits to the $u - g$ profiles between the half-width at half-maximum (HWHM) of the PSF (∼0.6 arcsec for the SDSS galaxies, ∼0.08 arcsec for the HUDF galaxies) and the radius where the errors in the flux measurement reach 20%.

The majority of $z \sim 2$ galaxies in our sample have negative color gradients, which do not vary strongly with redshift: $(\Delta(u - g_{\text{rest}})/(\Delta\log r))_{\text{median}} = -0.47^{+0.20}_{-0.38}, -0.33^{+0.10}_{-0.23}$, and $-0.46^{+0.38}_{-0.28}$ for $z \sim 2$, $z \sim 1$, and $z = 0$, respectively (where the errors give the $1\sigma$ interval around the median). Thus, galaxy color gradients seem to be remarkably constant with redshift, both for quiescent and star-forming galaxies. It should be noted that this is not a comparison of low-redshift galaxies to their high-redshift progenitors; the $z \sim 2$ galaxies are expected to evolve into more massive galaxies at low redshift, due to mergers and accretion. Since we use mass-limited samples, the $z \sim 2$ galaxies we consider may fall outside of our mass-limits at low redshift. Selection by number density is better suited to trace the same population of galaxies across cosmic time (see, e.g., van Dokkum et al. 2010).

### 4. THE HUBBLE SEQUENCE FROM $z \sim 2$ TO $z = 0$

Finally, we study the relations between structure, color, and SSFR as a function of redshift in Figure 3. In the top row, we
show Sérsic index against SSFR for the $z = 0$, $z \sim 1$, and $z \sim 2$ samples. In the bottom row, we show Sérsic index against rest-frame $u - g$ color for the same galaxies. The Sérsic indices are derived from fits to the residual-corrected $H_{160}$-band ($g$ band for the $z = 0$ galaxies) surface brightness profiles. There is a clear relation between these parameters at all redshifts: star-forming galaxies have “diskier” (lower $n$) profiles and bluer colors than quiescent galaxies. There is a large variation in the SSFRs, colors, and Sérsic indices of $z \sim 2$ galaxies, and the spread in these parameters is of roughly the same order of magnitude in all redshift bins. The relation shows systematic evolution: the median SSFR increases with increasing redshift and the median color and Sérsic index decrease, from log SSFR $= -11.85^{+1.67}_{-0.22}$ yr$^{-1}$ at $z = 0$ to log SSFR $= -8.87^{+0.50}_{-0.58}$ yr$^{-1}$ at $z \sim 2$, from $u - g_{\text{rest}} = 1.62^{+0.38}_{-0.44}$ to $u - g_{\text{rest}} = 0.87^{+0.34}_{-0.33}$, and from $n = 4.25^{+1.71}_{-2.75}$ to $n = 1.20^{+2.16}_{-0.64}$ (where the errors give the 1σ interval around the median). These results are qualitatively consistent with the trends derived in, e.g., Franx et al. (2008).

The relations between structure, color, and SSFR are further illustrated in Figure 4, which shows rest-frame $u - g$ color images of a selection of galaxies at $z = 0$, $z \sim 1$, and $z \sim 2$, as a function of SSFR. From each redshift bin we select seven galaxies, evenly spaced in log SSFR. This figure very clearly illustrates the morphological variety present at $z \sim 2$. Addition-
Figure 3. Sersic index $n$ plotted against SSFR (top row) and $u-g_{\text{rest}}$ color (bottom row) for galaxies with $1.2 \times 10^{10} M_\odot < M_{\text{stellar}} < 1.3 \times 10^{11} M_\odot$, at $z = 0$, $z \sim 1$, and $z \sim 2$. Arrows indicate upper or lower limits. Profile shape and SSFR are anticorrelated in all three wavelength bins, whereas profile shape and color show a positive correlation. The relations between these morphological parameters are similar in all three redshift bins, although on average galaxies are bluer and have higher SSFRs and lower Sersic indices at high redshift. The similarity of the relations at $z = 0$ and $z \sim 2$ suggests that the Hubble sequence was already in place for massive galaxies at $z \sim 2$.

Figure 4. Galaxy morphologies from $z \sim 2$ to $z = 0$, as a function of SSFR. The colors of the galaxies have been obtained by scaling their rest-frame $u-g$ colors to the image’s RGB space, as indicated by the color bar. The range in galaxy structure at $z \sim 2$ is very great, and is accompanied by a large range in galaxy colors. There is considerable evolution toward redder colors and lower SSFRs from $z \sim 2$ to $z = 0$. However, signs of a Hubble sequence (i.e., high-SSFR galaxies are “diskier,” more extended and bluer than low-SSFR galaxies) appear to exist at $z \sim 2$.

ally, it confirms the relations shown in Figure 3: star-forming galaxies are blue and extended, while quiescent galaxies are red and relatively compact. Thus, we find that the variation in galaxy structure at $z \sim 2$ is as large as at $z = 0$. Furthermore, the systematic relationships between different structural parameters are very similar between $z = 0$ and $z \sim 2$. This, in addition to the lack of evolution in the $u-g_{\text{rest}}$ color gradients shown in Figure 2, suggests that the underlying mechanisms that give rise to the Hubble sequence at $z = 0$ may already be in place at $z \sim 2$.

5. DISCUSSION

We have shown that the morphologies of massive $z \sim 2$ galaxies in the HUDF are complex and varied: from compact, apparently early-type galaxies to large star-forming systems superficially similar to nearby spirals. Many of these galaxies seem to be composed of multiple components with large differences in stellar age. We conclude that the variety in morphologies which is observed at $z = 0$ also exists in galaxies at $z \sim 2$. This is confirmed by an analysis of the surface
density profiles, which reveals a large range in profile shapes. The profiles of the large star-forming systems are close to exponential, whereas the profiles of the quiescent systems are more concentrated. The correlations between morphology and SSFR are similar at all redshifts between $z = 0$ and $z = 2$.

This does not mean that morphologies and profiles are static: galaxies evolve quite strongly between $z = 2$ and $z = 0$. Quiescent galaxies are much more compact at high redshift, and cannot evolve passively into low-redshift quiescent galaxies (e.g., Daddi et al. 2005; Trujillo et al. 2006; Toft et al. 2007; van Dokkum et al. 2008). A similar size evolution is required for the star-forming galaxies (e.g., Williams et al. 2010). We note that due to the evolution in the mass function of galaxies, the $z = 2$ galaxies considered here will almost certainly not evolve into the low-redshift galaxies in this Letter. It would be very informative to examine the morphologies of number-density-limited galaxy samples over this redshift range, in order to investigate the evolution of the same galaxy population over time (e.g., van Dokkum et al. 2010).

Additionally, we have derived $u - g_{\text{rest}}$ color gradients of our Galaxy sample, and have shown that massive $z = 2$ galaxies have negative color gradients that are comparable to those of low-redshift galaxies in the same mass range. These color gradients may partly be caused by radial variations in dust extinction, but radial changes in stellar populations likely play a large role (e.g., Abraham et al. 1999). This supports a galaxy growth scenario where small galaxies formed at high redshift grow by accreting material onto their outer regions. However, we lack the necessary information to rule out other possibilities; for example, if the gradients are caused by dust this might be an indication that these galaxies formed very quickly in a short burst.

The results seem to contrast with earlier analyses of galaxies at $z = 2$ in the HDFN (e.g., Dickinson 2000; Papovich et al. 2005). These authors concluded that all galaxies at this redshift are irregular and compact, with little difference between the rest-frame UV and optical. Field-to-field variations may play a role; as shown in Labbé et al. (2003a), the HDFN contains very few massive high-redshift galaxies. Indeed, the galaxies studied by these authors have lower masses ($M_{\text{stellar}} \lesssim 3 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$) than the galaxies we consider, which could result in the difference with the study presented here. Additionally, we note that recent kinematical studies of massive $z = 2$ galaxies also indicate that many contain gas with ordered motion (e.g., Genzel et al. 2008; Förster Schreiber et al. 2009; Tacconi et al. 2010). The results presented in this Letter are fully consistent with those.

Our results raise the question at what redshift the first “ordered” galaxies appeared, with structures similar to the Hubble sequence. There are indications that at redshifts beyond 3 such galaxies may be much harder to find. Typical high-redshift Lyman break galaxies are very clumpy and irregular (e.g., Lowenthal et al. 1997), and differ significantly in appearance from regular spiral galaxies. Furthermore, the population of massive galaxies that are faint in the UV may be very small at redshifts beyond 3 (e.g., Brammer & van Dokkum 2007), at least in the mass regime that is considered in this Letter (e.g., Marchesini et al. 2010). With current observational capabilities we are severely limited in studying the rest-frame optical properties of galaxies at redshifts beyond $z \sim 3.5$, due to rest-frame optical emission moving redward of the observers’ $K$ band. With improved capabilities it may become possible to study the red massive galaxy population at these redshifts. Several candidates have been found at $z > 5$ with significant Balmer discontinuities (Eyles et al. 2005; Mobasher et al. 2005; Wilkinds et al. 2008; Richard et al. 2011), and many more are speculated to exist. These galaxies could very well be the centers of multicomponent galaxies at redshifts between $z = 3$ and $z = 5$.
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