MLFW: A Database for Face Recognition on Masked Faces
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Abstract
As more and more people begin to wear masks due to current COVID-19 pandemic, existing face recognition systems may encounter severe performance degradation when recognizing masked faces. To figure out the impact of masks on face recognition model, we build a simple but effective tool to generate masked faces from unmasked faces automatically, and construct a new database called Masked LFW (MLFW) based on Cross-Age LFW (CALFW) database. The mask on the masked face generated by our method has good visual consistency with the original face. Moreover, we collect various mask templates, covering most of the common styles appeared in the daily life, to achieve diverse generation effects. Considering realistic scenarios, we design three kinds of combinations of face pairs. The recognition accuracy of SOTA models declines 5%-16% on MLFW database compared with the accuracy on the original images. MLFW database can be viewed and downloaded at http://whdeng.cn/mlfw.

1. Introduction
COVID-19 pandemic forces people to start wearing masks to prevent themselves from the disease. However, a mask will occlude a part region of a face, and change the facial features that can be obtained by face recognition models. This raises concerns about whether a face recognition model can work well on masked faces [20, 6, 2], especially when the model has never seen any masks during the training process. In order to maintain the security of face recognition, the most important method in the contactless authentication, it is urgent to come up with a credible approach to evaluate the performance of face recognition models on the masked faces.

Recently, lots of works focus on generating masked face images and constructing related datasets. Anwar et al. [1] present an open-source tool to mask faces and create a large dataset of masked faces. Wang et al. [14] propose three types of masked face datasets. Du et al. [9] use 3D face reconstruction to add the mask templates on the UV texture map of the non-masked face. Cabani et al. [4] provide a fine-grained dataset for detecting people wearing masks and those not wearing masks. However, existing tools usually transform the whole mask to generate masked faces, resulting in unrealistic generation effect to a certain extent. In addition, there lacks a specialized dataset to verify the performance of face recognition models.

Face identification and face verification are the basic paradigms in face recognition. Face identification accesses the identity of a query image through finding the most similar face in a gallery, while face verification attempts to verify whether two given face images have the same identity. The protocol of face verification makes it easy to construct a persuasive database used for verifying the performance of face recognition models on masked faces. Labeled Faces in the Wild (LFW) database [11] is a widely-used benchmark for face verification, which evaluates the performance of face recognition models in the unconstrained scenarios, and has attracted a lot of researchers. Beyond that, several
databases have been proposed based on LFW for special scenarios [8, 17, 16, 18]. Particularly, Cross-Age LFW [17] (CALFW) database adds age intra-class variation into the positive pairs of the original LFW database, which better simulates the situation of face verification in the real world.

In this paper, we reinvent the CALFW database and construct a new database called Masked CALFW (MLFW) through adding masks to some selected images of CALFW database. The illustration of MLFW database is shown in Figure 1. Specifically, we consider three verification scenarios, 1) two faces have the same identity but wear different masks, 2) two faces have different identities but wear the same mask, 3) one face has a mask but the other does not.

For the 6,000 face pairs in CALFW database, we randomly divide them into three subsets, which contain 3,000, 1,500 and 1,500 face pairs respectively according to different scenarios. The division reflects the hard examples in the real world and increases the difficulty of face verification.

To construct the database, we build a tool to automatically generate masked faces from unmasked faces. In the process of wearing mask, the tool divides both mask and face into multiple triangles separately according to landmarks, and adds each patch of mask to the correlative facial patch through affine transformation, which produces a appropriate fit between mask and face. Besides, the tool adjusts the brightness of mask and smooths the edge between mask and face to make mask look more consistent with face. For achieving diverse generation effects, we construct a mask gallery which contains various pre-processed masks and propose a method to interfere with the mask position.

The main contributions of this paper are as follows:
- We provide a tool to automatically generate masked face from unmasked face, which achieves real and diverse generation effects.
- Based on the proposed tool, we build a masked version called MLFW to evaluate the performance of face recognition model on masked faces.

2. Mask Tool

2.1. Main procedure

In this section, we detail the main procedure of our mask tool. The brief illustration is shown in Figure 2, which consists of four steps.

Detect landmarks and get triangles. Given input face image \( I_f \), we firstly resize \( I_f \) to \( I'_f \) of larger size \( H_t \times W_t \), which aims to reduce aliasing in the output masked face, and detect landmarks of \( I'_f \) through a 68-points detector [3]. Then, according to specific landmarks set on \( I_m \), we extract 14 patches from both \( I'_f \) and \( I_m \), and calculate a transformation matrix for each pair of patches.

Affine transformation and blending. After that, we project the mask \( I_m \) to \( I'_f \) to generate the masked face image \( I'_o \) based on the affine transformation.

Adjusting lightness. Next, we calculate the average value of L-channel on the center facial region of \( I_f \) to adjust the L-channel of mask in \( I'_o \) under the control of weight \( \alpha \).

Blurring mask boundary. Furthermore, in order to further reduce the visual inconsistency, we apply Gaussian Blur to blur the boundary between mask and face in \( I'_o \) with kernel size \( \beta \). Finally, we resize \( I'_o \) to the output size.

The visual difference of masked face generated under different parameters are shown in Figure 3. In this work, \( H_t \), \( W_t \), \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are set to 500, 0.6 and 5, respectively.

2.2. Generation variety

We achieve various generation effect through 1) perturbing the landmark at the top of face, 2) perturbing the landmark at the top of mask, and 3) using different mask templates. Some examples are shown in Figure 4.

3. MLFW database

With the help of the mask tool, we construct MLFW database based on CALFW database, and the main process can be described into the following steps.
Figure 3. Mask faces generated under different parameters $H_t$ & $W_t$ (top), $\alpha$ (center) and $\beta$ (bottom).

Figure 4. The example mask faces generated under landmark perturbation.

Dividing faces into three subsets.

CALFW database contains 6,000 face pairs (3,000 positive pairs and 3,000 negative pairs). We split the 6,000 pairs into three subsets, which contain 3,000, 1,500, 1,500 face pairs separately, to construct our MLFW database.

The first subset of MLFW database is designed to test whether a face recognition model can verify the identities of two faces when one of the faces wears a mask. Therefore, we only add a mask to one face image for each face pair. Specially, in this subset, the number of positive pairs is the same as negative pairs (1,500 positive pairs and 1,500 negative pairs).

The second and third subsets of MLFW database are designed to evaluate the performance of face recognition models in extremely hard cases that two faces with the same identity wear different masks and two faces with different identities wear the same mask, respectively. Compared with adding masks randomly, experimental results show that the accuracy of SOTA models is further reduced by at least 2% with our elaborate strategy.

Overall, the statistic of our MLFW database is shown in Table 1. In addition, our MLFW dataset has been equally divided into 10 separate folds for cross validation.

| Mask count | Positive Pair | Negative Pair |
|------------|---------------|---------------|
| 1          | 1500          | 1500          |
| 2          | 1500          | 1500          |

Table 1. Statistic of our MLFW database. Mask count represents the count of masked faces in each face pair.

Generating masked faces. With the support of 31 mask templates, we generate the MLFW database according to the aforementioned settings. For each face image in MLFW
Table 2. Comparison of verification accuracy (%) on MLFW database, as well as LFW [11], SLLFW [8], TALFW [18], CPLFW [16], and CALFW [17] databases using six high-performanced deep face recognition models.

| Model Description                    | LFW [11] | SLLFW [8] | TALFW [18] | CPLFW [16] | CALFW [17] | MLFW   |
|--------------------------------------|----------|-----------|------------|------------|------------|--------|
| Private-Asia, R50, ArcFace [13]     | 99.50    | 98.00     | 69.97      | 84.12      | 91.12      | 74.85  |
| CASIA-WebFace, R50, CosFace [15]    | 99.50    | 98.40     | 49.48      | 87.47      | 92.43      | 82.87  |
| VGGFace2, R50, ArcFace [5]          | 99.60    | 98.80     | 55.37      | 91.77      | 93.72      | 85.02  |
| MS1MV2, R100, Arcface [7]           | 99.77    | 99.65     | 64.48      | 92.50      | 95.83      | 90.13  |
| MS1MV2, R100, Curricularface [12]   | 99.80    | 99.70     | 69.32      | 93.15      | 95.97      | 90.60  |
| MS1MV2, R100, SFace [19]            | 99.82    | 99.68     | 64.47      | 93.28      | 95.83      | 90.63  |

Figure 5. Examples of masked faces generated by our mask tool.

4. Baseline

To evaluate the recognition performance on Masked LFW (MLFW) database, we select six opensourced SOTA deep face recognition methods as follows: (1) ResNet50 model trained on a private asia face dataset [13] with ArcFace [7], (2) ResNet50 model trained on CASIA-WebFace database [15] with ArcFace [7], (3) ResNet50 model trained on VGGFace2 database [5] with ArcFace [7],
(4) ResNet100 model trained on MS1MV2 database [10] refined by insightface with [7]. (5) ResNet100 model trained on MS1MV2 database [10] with CurricularFace [12]. (6) ResNet100 model trained on MS1MV2 database [10] with SFace [19].

In addition to the face verification performance (%) on MLFW database, we also report accuracy (%) on Labeled Faces in the Wild (LFW) database [11], Similar-looking LFW (SLLFW) database [8], Transferable Adversarial LFW database [18], Cross-pose LFW (CPLFW) and Cross-age LFW (CALFW) for comprehensive evaluation.

As shown in Table 2, the accuracy of SOTA model on MLFW database is about 5%-16% lower than that on CALFW database, which demonstrates that SOTA methods also can not be directly used to recognize masked faces.

5. Conclusion

In this paper, we aim to investigate the performance of face recognition models on masked faces. To this end, we have introduced a mask generation tool and built a test dataset, MLFW database. Finally, we have demonstrated that the recognition performance of face recognition models declines significantly on the masked face dataset.
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