Abstract. [Purpose] This study aimed to examine whether we were able to measure changes in social participation and life-space mobility of newly enrolled home-based rehabilitation (HR) users by using the activities and participation components of the International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF) and Life-Space Assessment (LSA) over a 6-months period. [Participants and Methods] We enrolled 47 HR users who had suffered from a stroke or other condition within the previous year. A 6-month prospective cohort study was conducted. The performance qualifiers “d6 domestic life” and “d9 community, social and civic life” in the activities and participation components of the ICF and LSA were used. [Results] We observed significant improvements in the performance qualifier “d9 community, social and civic life” of the ICF over 3 months, and the LSA over a 6-months period. We also identified significant improvements in “d910 community life” and “d920 recreation and leisure” of the ICF. The LSA results showed that HR users had more frequent mobility within the neighborhood. [Conclusion] This study showed that newly enrolled HR users improved their social activities in the community, recreational activities, and life-space mobility over a 6-months period. These were measured using performance qualifiers from the ICF and LSA.
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INTRODUCTION

Home-based rehabilitation (HR) is implemented to provide services to community-dwelling disabled people according to their personal needs. It is conducted by a physical, occupational, or speech therapist, who visits such individuals at home. One of the differences from rehabilitation in hospital is that HR provides services to individuals who have difficulty going to hospital. In other words, HR users have severely limited mobility. HR provides services in order to help these individuals achieve social participation in their actual living environment. In Japan, the reduction in the average period of hospitalization\(^1\) has increased the importance of HR as a rehabilitation service after discharge.
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Systematic reviews have demonstrated that HR is effective for improving basic activities of daily living (ADL) of individuals who have suffered a stroke within 1 year and patients with musculoskeletal disabilities. HR is also effective at improving transfer and balance, reducing the duration of hospitalization, and improving ADL, with efficacy similar to or greater than that obtained by rehabilitation services in hospitals. Systematic reviews have also shown that HR could be effective at improving social participation, but no definitive findings have been obtained and further studies are required to confirm this. Social participation is a strong determinant of quality of life and important for maintaining cognitive function.

The previous studies used the Frenchay Activities Index (FAI), Nottingham Extended ADL Scale, Extended Katz Index, and Lawton Instrument ADL for scoring social participation. However, these measurement scales with limited categories may have insufficiently detected changes in HR users’ social participation. That is because the needs of HR users in their daily lives can vary widely, so the HR program provided should also vary widely. Therefore, we investigated whether other measures are able to assess changes in HR users’ social participation. Specifically, we adopted the performance qualifiers of “activities and participation” components of the International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF) and the Life-Space Assessment (LSA) for this purpose.

Life-space mobility is related to physical function and health. Some researchers thus attempted to use LSA as a scale for measuring the extent of the life space of older adults with mobility-related disabilities and reported an improvement in LSA scores at 6 months, although they failed to show changes in the farthest trips taken or the frequency of travel.

In view of the above findings, HR has been demonstrated to be effective at improving transfer, balance, and basic ADL in cases of stroke and other diseases within 1 year in the community, although no reports have demonstrated improvements of social participation and the extent of life space. The purpose of this study was thus to examine whether we were able to measure changes in social participation and life-space mobility of newly enrolled HR users by using the activities and participation components of the ICF and LSA for 6 months.

PARTICIPANTS AND METHODS

We sent a letter asking for cooperation in a survey to 49 facilities that provide HR services in Ibaraki Prefecture (hospitals, clinics, geriatric health service facilities, visiting nurse stations) and obtained consent from 17 of them (34.7%). We included HR users of all ages who had suffered a stroke or an injury within 1 year and excluded those with malignancy, progressive neurological diseases, complete cervical cord injury, dementia due to degenerative diseases of the central nervous system, including Alzheimer’s disease and dementia with Lewy bodies, and severe dementia that prevented their provision of consent, mental disorders, and congenital pediatric diseases. Physiotherapists or occupational therapists at the participating facilities selected candidates and asked them to cooperate in the survey. We studied those who consented and completed the 6-month survey.

We performed a 6-month prospective cohort study. The questionnaires were completed at baseline and 3 and 6 months later by physiotherapists or occupational therapists.

We measured personal factors, social participation, and basic ADL. Social participation was assessed using the activities and participation components of the ICF and LSA. We used FIM to assess basic ADL.

Personal factors included gender, age, principal disorder, time period between onset/injury and baseline, time period between the beginning of HR and the survey, the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) score, services used, age of participation components of the ICF and LSA for 6 months.

LSA was developed to measure the life-space mobility of community-residing elderly people and has high reliability and validity. Recently, LSA has also been used in frail elderly who have problems with mobility and individuals with stroke sequelae. In Japan, the validity of LSA was shown in the elderly who can live by themselves but experience some difficulty.
difficulties with activities of daily living\(^7\). LSA involves scoring the activity of going out by adding up the farthest that the HR user can travel in the five life-space levels (level 1, home; level 2 outside home; level 3, neighborhood; level 4, town; and level 5, outside town), the frequency of movement to each locus (less than once per week, 1 to 3 times/week, 4 to 6 times/week, every day), use of an assistance device, and independence for the last 4 weeks. Scores range from 0 to 120 points; the higher the number of points, the wider the area that the individual can access.

FIM\(^{34}\) consists of a total of 18 items of basic ADL. Respective items were scored on a 7-point assessment (1 through 7) depending on the level of assistance required. The total score ranges from 18 to 126; a higher score represents less caregiving or greater independence. These items are divided into 13 items of FIM motor (13 to 91 points) and 5 items of FIM cognitive (5 to 35 points).

We determined the difference in the mean scores of the measurement scales among the three time points, namely, baseline and 3 and 6 months later. For statistical analysis, we tested the normality of the data, and used one-way analysis of variance and then Dunnett’s test for multiple comparison for domestic life, social life, LSA, and FIM.

We then tested the difference in the mean scores of respective items among the three time points for the measurement scales for which a significant difference was observed, and in the mean scores of respective life-space levels for LSA. We used Friedman’s test and then the Bonferroni test for multiple comparison. For LSA, we also calculated the frequency of travel within the respective life-space levels for which significant differences in mean score were observed among the three time points. We also counted the number of participants who were able to travel at respective levels of life space as the farthest that they could travel at the three time points. We used SPSS Statistics 24 for the analyses. P<0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance.

In the present study, the administrators of facilities, cooperating physiotherapists and occupational therapists, and the participants were informed orally and in writing of the study purpose, methods, voluntary nature of the study, and confidentiality of personal information and provided written consent to participate. The present study was approved by the institutional review board of Tsukuba Medical Center Hospital (approval No. 2013-021).

**RESULTS**

A total of 70 participants started at baseline and 47 completed the study after 6 months. Of the 23 participants who dropped out (10 at 3 months and 13 at 6 months), 8 and 5 did so because of admission to hospital/care facility and completion of HR upon attaining its goal, respectively. In addition, 2 shifted to ambulatory care services, 2 died, and 6 dropped out for other reasons.

The 47 participants had a mean age of 76.7 ± 11.1 years and 57.4% were male. The most frequent principal disorder was cerebrovascular disease (29.8%), followed by osteoarticular disorder (23.4%). The time period since onset/injury was most often (48.9%) between 9 and 12 months. The time periods between the beginning of HR and baseline were <3 (31.9%), 3 to <6 (29.8%), and 6 to <9 months (29.8%). Cognitive function at baseline was scored as a mean of 24.1 ± 4.7 points according to MMSE, and showed no marked change throughout the 6 months. Services used included ambulatory medical care (55.3%) and day services (31.9%) (Table 1). HR was provided by only a physical therapist (42.6%) or both a physical therapist and an occupational therapist (40.4%). The highest frequency of HR (the total provided by all therapists) was 4 to <8 sessions/month (59.6%); sessions typically lasted 40 (61.7%) or 60 min (34.0%). Services provided for the participants included body functions and structures (range-of-motion exercises, muscle-strengthening exercises, and relaxation) (49.0%), as well as exercises and instruction relating to activities and participation (walking, position changes and transfer activities, and going out) (29.7%) (Table 2).

Significant differences among the three time points were observed in one-way analysis of variance for social life (p=0.006), LSA (p=0.025), FIM motor (p=0.022), and FIM total score (p=0.049). Multiple comparison revealed significant improvements for social life (baseline vs. 3 months, p=0.014; baseline vs. 6 months, p=0.008), LSA (baseline vs. 6 months, p=0.013), FIM motor (baseline vs. 3 months, p=0.018), and FIM total score (baseline vs. 3 months, p=0.031) (Table 3).

The following describes the changes in respective items for the ICF and LSA. Significant differences among the three time points were observed in Friedman’s test for “d910 community life” (p=0.007), “d920 recreation and leisure” (p=0.001), and “d930 religion and spirituality” (p=0.012) in social life. Multiple comparison revealed a significant difference between scores at baseline and 3 months later in “d920 recreation and leisure” (p=0.032). Specific activities in the recreation and leisure category include recreation during day services, reading, watching TV, driving with friends, and traveling to a hot spring with family members. Specific activities in the community life category include attending funerals, activities of neighborhood associations, and attending to visitors. Specific activities in the religion and spirituality category include chanting sutras, praying at a family altar, and going to a temple (Table 4).

For the life-space levels of LSA, significant differences in scores among the three time points were observed in Friedman’s test in level 2 (outside home; p=0.004) and level 3 (neighborhood; p=0.042). Multiple comparison showed no significant differences, but both levels scored the highest at 6 months (Table 5).

Regarding the frequency of travel in level 2 (outside home), the numbers of individuals with “no travel” (~4 participants) and travel “1 to 3 times/week” (~2) showed reductions, while the number with travel “daily” (~6) showed an increase after 6 months. Individually, 18 participants (38.3%) showed increases in this frequency. At level 3 (neighborhood), the numbers...
with “no travel” (−6) and travel “1 to 3 times/week” (−5) showed reductions, while the numbers with “<1/week” (+6) and “daily” (+4) showed increases. Individually, 20 participants (42.6%) showed increases in this frequency (Table 6).

Regarding their farthest trips, 72.4% of participants were in the category of level 4 (town; 21.3%) and level 5 (outside town; 51.1%) at baseline, while 89.4% of them were in these two levels at the survey after 6 months, at 36.2% and 53.2%, respectively (Table 7).

Significant differences among the three time points were observed in Friedman’s test for dressing activity (lower-body clothing) (p=0.044), toileting (p=0.007), toilet transfer (p=0.046), tub/shower transfer (p=0.021), and walking and wheelchair locomotion (p=0.001). Although multiple comparison revealed no significant differences, these activities improved at 3 months after baseline, after which such improvements tended to be maintained (Table 8).

**DISCUSSION**

The present study showed that we were able to measure changes in social participation of HR users within 1 year of stroke or other diseases by using the performance qualifiers of the ICF and LSA. The HR users started to perform community activities and recreational activities. Their frequency of movement to the neighborhood also increased. Their mobility and basic ADL improved, which is similar to findings in previous studies.13

From the results of the ICF, we demonstrated that the “community life” of HR users can change. Among conventional scales for measuring social participation, Frenchay Activities Index (FAI)30, Nottingham Extended ADL Scale30, London Handicap Scale30, Extended Katz Index41, and Lawton Instrument ADL42 do not contain any items on “community life.” We were able to identify changes in the social participation of HR users by using the performance qualifier of the activities and participation components “Chapter 9 community, social and civic life” of the ICF. The ICF, a classification of human functioning and disorders27, provides conceptual frameworks for respective domains but is not limited to specific items of

**Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the participants (n=47)**

|                        | N  | %   |
|------------------------|----|-----|
| Gender                 |    |     |
| Male                   | 27 | 57.4|
| Female                 | 20 | 42.6|
| Age (mean ± SD)        | 76.7 ± 11.1 |
| Main disease           |    |     |
| Cerebrovascular disease| 14 | 29.8|
| Osteoarticular disorder| 11 | 23.4|
| Spinal cord disorder   | 9  | 19.1|
| Respiratory disease    | 4  | 8.5 |
| Others                 | 9  | 19.1|
| Period from the onset or injury (months) |    |     |
| <3                     | 2  | 4.3 |
| 3 to <6                | 10 | 21.3|
| 6 to <9                | 12 | 25.5|
| 9 to <12               | 23 | 48.9|
| Period from the start of home rehabilitation (months) |    |     |
| <3                     | 15 | 31.9|
| 3 to <6                | 14 | 29.8|
| 6 to <9                | 14 | 29.8|
| 9 to <12               | 4  | 8.5 |
| MMSE (mean ± SD)       |    |     |
| Baseline               | 24.1 ± 4.7 |
| 3 months               | 24.6 ± 5.2 |
| 6 months               | 24.0 ± 5.4 |
| Services used (multiple answers) |    |     |
| Outpatient clinic      | 26 | 55.3|
| Day service            | 15 | 31.9|
| Day care               | 3  | 6.4 |
| Visiting clinic        | 10 | 21.3|
| Home nursing care      | 14 | 29.8|
| Home care              | 17 | 36.2|
| Primary family caregiver (n=39) (no family caregiver N=8) |    |     |
| Age (mean ± SD)        | 66.8 ± 12.3 |
| Relationship (wife/daughter/husband/others) | 15/6/4/14 |

SD: standard deviation; MMSE: Mini-Mental State Examination.
activities, which allows for the evaluation of diverse activities for various individuals. The performance qualifier for activities and participation was reported to have low test-retest reliability. In the present study, we described definitions and specific examples of respective domains in the questionnaire and provided a remark column for activities specific to certain

| Therapist          | N (%) | Frequency (sessions/month) | Time (minutes/session) | Performed N (%) | Minutes/month (%) (total of all participants) |
|--------------------|-------|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| PT                 | 20 (42.6) | 2 | 40 | 38 (80.9) | 1,395 (10.4) |
| PT-OT              | 19 (40.4) | 4 to <8 | 60 | 45 (95.7) | 2,055 |
| OT                 | 5 (10.6) | 8 to <12 | Others | 43 (91.5) | 2,146 |
| PT-ST or PT-OT-ST  | 3 (6.4) | 12 | Others | 36 (76.6) | 1,620 |
| Frequency (sessions/month) | 2 | 1 (2.1) | 40 | 45 (95.7) | 2,055 |
|                | 4 to <8 | 28 (59.6) | 60 | 43 (91.5) | 2,146 |
|                | 8 to <12 | 14 (29.8) | Others | 36 (76.6) | 1,620 |
|                | 12 | 4 (8.5) | Others | 36 (76.6) | 1,620 |
| Time (minutes/session) | 40 | 29 (61.7) | 40 | 38 (80.9) | 1,395 (10.4) |
|                | 60 | 16 (34.0) | 60 | 45 (95.7) | 2,055 |
|                | Others | 2 (4.3) | Others | 43 (91.5) | 2,146 |
| Program          |       | |       | | |
| Assessment and explanation | | | | 38 (80.9) | 1,395 (10.4) |
| Body functions and structures | | | | 6,606 (49.0) | |
| Range of motion exercise | 45 (95.7) | | | 2,055 | |
| Muscle strengthening exercise | 43 (91.5) | | | 2,146 | |
| Relaxation | 36 (76.6) | | | 1,620 | |
| Respiratory therapy | 9 (19.1) | | | 240 | |
| Dysphagia therapy | 2 (4.3) | | | 60 | |
| Aphasia/dysarthria therapy | 3 (6.4) | | | 130 | |
| Others (endurance, cognitive function) | 9 (19.1) | | | 355 | |
| Activities and participation | | | | 4,005 (29.7) | |
| Sitting and transfer | 22 (46.8) | | | 675 | |
| Standing and balance | 8 (17.0) | | | 280 | |
| Walking | 39 (83.0) | | | 1,445 | |
| Stair climbing | 3 (6.4) | | | 60 | |
| Eating | 1 (2.1) | | | 10 | |
| Toileting | 12 (25.5) | | | 280 | |
| Bathing | 6 (12.8) | | | 90 | |
| Dressing and grooming | 4 (8.5) | | | 100 | |
| Communication | 3 (6.4) | | | 135 | |
| Household tasks | 3 (6.4) | | | 70 | |
| Moving around outside | 16 (34.0) | | | 620 | |
| Recreation and leisure | 4 (8.5) | | | 200 | |
| Interpersonal interactions | 1 (2.1) | | | 40 | |
| Environmental factors | | | | 870 (6.5) | |
| Orthosis and devices | 20 (42.6) | | | 395 | |
| Living environment adjustment | 9 (19.1) | | | 150 | |
| Instruction on how to assist | 18 (38.3) | | | 320 | |
| Others | 2 (4.3) | | | 5 | |
| Others (conference, coordination with other organizations, caregiver support) | | | | 600 (4.4) | |
| Total | 13,476 | | | 13,476 (100) | |

PT: Physical therapist; OT: Occupational therapist; ST: Speech therapist.

Table 2. Description of home-based rehabilitation (n=47)
To survey activities in domestic life, we also used the performance qualifier for Chapter 6 domestic life of activities and participation of ICF, although the total score did not change. Similarly, no significant change was observed in previous studies using FAI\textsuperscript{13} or Nottingham Extended ADL scale\textsuperscript{44}. In the present study, many participants had their family members as their principal caregivers, suggesting that the caregivers assumed housekeeping responsibilities, thus relieving the participants of these responsibilities.

In the present study, HR users showed low LSA scores but improvements in their scores after 6 months. Fairhall et al.\textsuperscript{31} showed that, during the natural course of activities of community-residing elderly people, LSA score decreased by 6.7 points from a mean of 62.9 to 56.2 after 6 months, suggesting that LSA scores for activities of the elderly may naturally decrease with aging. Therefore, the increase of the mean LSA score by 5 from 25.2 to 30.2 points after 6 months in HR users may have been due to the effect of HR itself.

The improvement in LSA score after 6 months was accounted for mainly by the increase in the frequency of travel to outside the home (life-space level 2) and neighborhood (level 3). On the other hand, the farthest trip of participants tended to fall predominantly into the categories of town (level 4) or outside town (level 5) already at baseline. Similar tendencies

Table 3. The change of measurements in 6 months (n=47)

|                  | Baseline | 3 months | 6 months | Friedman's test | p-value |
|------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------------|---------|
| Domestic life    | 18.7 ± 6.1| 17.8 ± 6.1| 17.9 ± 6.1|                | 0.252   |
| Community, social, and civic life | 15.8 ± 4.6| 14.3 ± 4.9* | 14.2 ± 5.1** |                | 0.006** |
| LSA              | 25.2 ± 18.0| 27.8 ± 16.5| 30.2 ± 19.7* |                | 0.025*  |
| FIM motor        | 66.1 ± 17.3| 69.1 ± 15.3* | 68.6 ± 18.0 |                | 0.022*  |
| FIM cognitive    | 28.4 ± 7.1 | 28.8 ± 7.2 | 28.2 ± 7.7 |                | 0.431   |
| FIM total        | 94.4 ± 21.5 | 97.9 ± 19.7* | 96.8 ± 23.2 |                | 0.049*  |

Mean ± SD, *p<0.05, **p<0.01. 
FIM: Functional Independence Measure; LSA: Life-Space Assessment.

Table 4. The change by each item of “community, social, and civic life” (n=47)

| Item                         | Baseline | 3 months | 6 months | Friedman's test | p-value |
|------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------------|---------|
| d910 Community life\textsuperscript{1} | 3.7 ± 0.9 | 3.2 ± 1.2 | 3.2 ± 1.2 |                | 0.007** |
| d920 Recreation and leisure\textsuperscript{2} | 3.0 ± 1.5 | 2.4 ± 1.4* | 2.5 ± 1.5 |                | 0.000** |
| d930 Religion and spirituality\textsuperscript{3} | 3.6 ± 1.0 | 3.5 ± 1.1 | 3.2 ± 1.3 |                | 0.012*  |
| d940 Human rights            | 2.5 ± 1.6 | 2.3 ± 1.6 | 2.4 ± 1.6 |                | 0.291   |
| d950 Political life and citizenship | 3.1 ± 1.3 | 3.0 ± 1.3 | 2.9 ± 1.4 |                | 0.748   |

Mean ± SD, *p<0.05, **p<0.01. 
\textsuperscript{1}Community life: Attending funerals, Activities of neighborhood associations, Attending to visitors, Attending grandchild’s matriculation ceremony, Going to day services. 
\textsuperscript{2}Recreation and leisure: Recreation during day services, Reading, Watching TV, Driving with friends, Traveling to a hot spring with family members, Shopping, Walking outside, Visiting a neighbor’s home, Cherry blossom viewing with family members, Going to a festival, Going to a concert. 
\textsuperscript{3}Religion and spirituality: Chanting sutras, Praying at a family altar, Going to a temple, Going to church, Visiting a shrine on New Year’s Day.

Table 5. The change of score by each life-space level (n=47)

| Life-space level                     | Baseline | 3 months | 6 months | Friedman's test | p-value |
|--------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------------|---------|
| Level 1: Home (0–8 points)           | 6.0 ± 1.5| 5.8 ± 1.9| 5.7 ± 1.9|                | 0.072   |
| Level 2: Outside home (0–16 points)  | 5.0 ± 4.1| 5.9 ± 4.3| 6.3 ± 4.9|                | 0.004** |
| Level 3: Neighborhood (0–24 points)  | 5.1 ± 5.2| 5.7 ± 5.8| 7.1 ± 6.9|                | 0.042*  |
| Level 4: Town (0–32 points)          | 4.6 ± 5.2| 5.2 ± 4.4| 6.2 ± 5.6|                | 0.145   |
| Level 5: Outside town (0–40 points)  | 4.5 ± 6.3| 5.3 ± 5.2| 4.8 ± 5.9|                | 0.509   |

Mean ± SD, *p<0.05, **p<0.01.

To survey activities in domestic life, we also used the performance qualifier for Chapter 6 domestic life of activities and participation of ICF, although the total score did not change. Similarly, no significant change was observed in previous studies using FAI\textsuperscript{13} or Nottingham Extended ADL scale\textsuperscript{44}. In the present study, many participants had their family members as their principal caregivers, suggesting that the caregivers assumed housekeeping responsibilities, thus relieving the participants of these responsibilities.

In the present study, HR users showed low LSA scores but improvements in their scores after 6 months. Fairhall et al.\textsuperscript{31} showed that, during the natural course of activities of community-residing elderly people, LSA score decreased by 6.7 points from a mean of 62.9 to 56.2 after 6 months, suggesting that LSA scores for activities of the elderly may naturally decrease with aging. Therefore, the increase of the mean LSA score by 5 from 25.2 to 30.2 points after 6 months in HR users may have been due to the effect of HR itself.

The improvement in LSA score after 6 months was accounted for mainly by the increase in the frequency of travel to outside the home (life-space level 2) and neighborhood (level 3). On the other hand, the farthest trip of participants tended to fall predominantly into the categories of town (level 4) or outside town (level 5) already at baseline. Similar tendencies
were observed in other studies in community residents aged 65 or older and users of preventive healthcare services. We enrolled HR users in this study, many of whom used an ambulatory care service or day service; therefore, although the frequency of travel was rather low, the farthest trip was maintained at a high level.

Low LSA scores have been reported in community residents aged 65 or older (with a mean age of 75.0 years old, including 46% not independent regarding their ADL), whose scores decreased from 62.9 at baseline to 56.2 after 6 months. In addition, home-residing individuals with spatial agnosia who had been affected by stroke (with a mean age of 60.0 years old) and used in-hospital rehabilitation during the subacute period scored a mean of 49.6, among whom individuals with severe spatial agnosia scored a mean of 33.7 points. Although we cannot directly compare these results with ours in HR users, our participants were all dependent regarding their ADL, with a rather high mean age of 76.7 years old, which suggests that the LSA score in the present study may reflect the participants’ narrow range of mobility.

Our participants were involved in activities of neighborhood associations and ceremonial occasions. They also performed various activities, for example, driving with friends, traveling to a hot spring, and praying at a family altar. To achieve these activities, adjustments to environmental barriers and support from caregivers are required. HR provides services in the actual living environment, which explains why it can provide effective intervention for these social activities.

Limitations of this study include that it was a prospective cohort study. There was also no control group in this study, so it was not clear how effective the HR was. However, it was suggested that continuous HR could improve social participation.

| Table 6. The change in frequency of travel outside the home (level 2) and to the neighborhood (level 3) (n=47) |
|-------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Frequency                                      | Base line | 3 months  | 6 months  | 6-month change* |
| Level 2 outside home                           |           |           |           |               |
| No (A)                                         | 8 (17.0)  | 2 (4.3)   | 4 (8.5)   | −4           |
| <1/week                                       | 8 (17.0)  | 12 (25.5) | 9 (19.1)  | +1           |
| 1–3 times/week                                  | 19 (40.4) | 19 (40.4) | 17 (36.2) | −2           |
| 4–6 times/week                                  | 6 (12.8)  | 5 (10.6)  | 5 (10.6)  | −1           |
| Daily                                          | 6 (12.8)  | 9 (19.1)  | 12 (25.5) | +6           |
| Level 3 neighborhood                            |           |           |           |               |
| No (A)                                         | 15 (31.9) | 12 (25.5) | 9 (19.1)  | −6           |
| <1/week                                       | 9 (19.1)  | 11 (23.4) | 15 (31.9) | +6           |
| 1–3 times/week                                  | 16 (34.0) | 17 (36.2) | 11 (23.4) | −5           |
| 4–6 times/week                                  | 4 (8.5)   | 4 (8.5)   | 5 (10.6)  | +1           |
| Daily                                          | 3 (6.4)   | 3 (6.4)   | 7 (14.9)  | +4           |
| Individual change in frequency (baseline → 6 months) |
| Increase 18 (38.3) (A→B 3, A→C 2, A→D 1, B→C 2, B→D 1, C→D 2, C→E 4, D→E 3) |
| No change 22 (46.8) (A→A 2, B→B 3, C→C 11, D→D 1, E→E 5) |
| Decrease 7 (14.9) (B→A 2, C→B 2, D→B 1, D→C 1, E→C 3) |

| Table 7. The change in farthest trip (n=47) |
|---------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Life-space level                | Baseline  | 3 months  | 6 months  | 6-month change* |
| Level 1: Home                   | 5 (10.6)  | 2 (4.3)   | 2 (4.3)   | −3           |
| Level 2: Outside home           | 4 (8.5)   | 1 (2.1)   | 2 (4.3)   | −2           |
| Level 3: Neighborhood           | 4 (8.5)   | 3 (6.4)   | 1 (2.1)   | −3           |
| Level 4: Town                   | 10 (21.3) | 11 (23.4) | 17 (36.2) | +7           |
| Level 5: Outside town           | 24 (51.1) | 30 (63.8) | 25 (53.2) | +1           |
| Individual change in frequency (baseline → 6 months) |
| Increase 15 (31.9) (Level 1→Level 5: 2, Level 1→Level 3: 1, Level 2→Level 5: 2, Level 3→Level 4: 4, Level 4→Level 5: 6) |
| No change 23 (48.9) (Level 1→Level 1: 2, Level 2→Level 2: 2, Level 4→Level 4: 4, Level 5→Level 5: 15) |
| Decrease 9 (19.2) (Level 5→Level 4: 9) |

*The number of participants at 6 months minus the baseline number of participants.

*Number of participants at 6 months minus baseline number of participants.

were observed in other studies in community residents aged 65 or older and users of preventive healthcare services. We enrolled HR users in this study, many of whom used an ambulatory care service or day service; therefore, although the frequency of travel was rather low, the farthest trip was maintained at a high level.

Low LSA scores have been reported in community residents aged 65 or older (with a mean age of 75.0 years old, including 46% not independent regarding their ADL), whose scores decreased from 62.9 at baseline to 56.2 after 6 months. In addition, home-residing individuals with spatial agnosia who had been affected by stroke (with a mean age of 60.0 years old) and used in-hospital rehabilitation during the subacute period scored a mean of 49.6, among whom individuals with severe spatial agnosia scored a mean of 33.7 points. Although we cannot directly compare these results with ours in HR users, our participants were all dependent regarding their ADL, with a rather high mean age of 76.7 years old, which suggests that the LSA score in the present study may reflect the participants’ narrow range of mobility.

Our participants were involved in activities of neighborhood associations and ceremonial occasions. They also performed various activities, for example, driving with friends, traveling to a hot spring, and praying at a family altar. To achieve these activities, adjustments to environmental barriers and support from caregivers are required. HR provides services in the actual living environment, which explains why it can provide effective intervention for these social activities.

Limitations of this study include that it was a prospective cohort study. There was also no control group in this study, so it was not clear how effective the HR was. However, it was suggested that continuous HR could improve social participation.
and life-space mobility for community-dwelling elderly disabled within 1 year of stroke or other diseases. The HR provided to our participants was typical for Japan in terms of frequency, the specific profession of the healthcare staff visiting the users’ home, and the services provided[45]. Meanwhile, regarding the number of hours of the program provided to all participants, 49% of the time was devoted to body functions and structures, including range-of-motion exercises and muscle-strengthening exercises, while 29.7% was for participation and activities. In addition, about 60% of participants were engaged in HR at a frequency as low as 4 to <8 sessions/month. By increasing the frequency of sessions as needed and thereby increasing the hours of HR provided, more hours can be devoted to basic ADL, social life, and hobbies, which may further increase the effectiveness of HR.

Conflict of interest
There are no conflicts of interest in this study.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grants Number 18K17678.

REFERENCES

1) Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare: Overview of Patient Survey 2017. (in Japanese) http://www.mhlw.go.jp/toukei/saikin/hw/kanja/17/index.html (Accessed Dec. 27, 2018)
2) Legg L, Langhorne P: Outpatient Service Trialists: Rehabilitation therapy services for stroke patients living at home: systematic review of randomised trials. Lancet, 2004, 363: 352–356. [Medline] [CrossRef]
3) Hillier S, Inglis-Jassiem G: Rehabilitation for community-dwelling people with stroke: home or centre based? A systematic review. Int J Stroke, 2010, 5: 178–186. [Medline] [CrossRef]
4) Wu D, Zhu X, Zhang S, WU D: Effect of home-based rehabilitation for hip fracture: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. J Rehabil Med, 2018, 50: 481–486. [Medline] [CrossRef]
5) Donohue K, Hoevenaars R, MeEachern J, et al.: Home-based multidisciplinary rehabilitation following hip fracture surgery: What is the evidence? Rehabil Res Pract, 2013, 2013: 875968. [Medline]
6) Teasell RW, Foley NC, Bhogal SK, et al.: Early supported discharge in stroke rehabilitation. Top Stroke Rehabil, 2003, 10: 19–33. [Medline] [CrossRef]
7) Winkel A, Ekhdal C, Gard G: Early discharge to therapy-based rehabilitation at home in patients with stroke: a systematic review. Phys Ther Rev, 2008, 13: 167–187. [CrossRef]
8) Stollee P, Lim SN, Wilson L, et al.: Inpatient versus home-based rehabilitation for older adults with musculoskeletal disorders: a systematic review. Clin Reha-
11) Kelly ME, Duff H, Kelly S, et al.: The impact of social activities, social networks, social support and social relationships on the cognitive functioning of healthy older adults: a systematic review. Syst Rev, 2017, 6: 259. [Medline] [CrossRef]

12) Hwang J, Park S, Kim S: Effects of participation in social activities on cognitive function among middle-aged and older adults in Korea. Int J Environ Res Public Health, 2018, 15: 2315. [Medline] [CrossRef]

13) Ziden L, Kreuter M, Frändin K: Long-term effects of home rehabilitation after hip fracture—1-year follow-up of functioning, balance confidence, and health-related quality of life in elderly people. Disabil Rehabil, 2010, 32: 18–32. [Medline] [CrossRef]

14) Ryan T, Enderby P, Rigby AS: A randomized controlled trial to evaluate intensity of community-based rehabilitation provision following stroke or hip fracture in old age. Clin Rehabil, 2006, 20: 123–131. [Medline] [CrossRef]

15) Thorsen AM, Holmqvist LW, de Pedro-Cuesta J, et al.: A randomized controlled trial of early supported discharge and continued rehabilitation at home after stroke: five-year follow-up of patient outcome. Stroke, 2005, 36: 297–303. [Medline] [CrossRef]

16) Fjaertoft H, Indredavik B, Johnsen R, et al.: Acute stroke unit care combined with early supported discharge. Long-term effects on quality of life. A randomized controlled trial. Clin Rehabil, 2004, 18: 580–586. [Medline] [CrossRef]

17) Roderick P, Low J, Day R, et al.: Stroke rehabilitation after hospital discharge: a randomized trial comparing domiciliary and day-hospital care. Age Ageing, 2000, 30: 303–310. [Medline] [CrossRef]

18) Andersen HE, Schultz-Larsen K, Kreiner S, et al.: Can readmission after stroke be prevented? Results of a randomized clinical study: a postdischarge follow-up service for stroke survivors. Stroke, 2000, 31: 1038–1045. [Medline] [CrossRef]

19) von Koch L, Widén Holmqvist L, Kostulas V, et al.: A randomized controlled trial of rehabilitation at home after stroke in Southwest Stockholm: outcome at six months. Scand J Rehabil Med, 2000, 32: 80–86. [Medline] [CrossRef]

20) Young JB, Forster A: The Bradford community stroke trial: results at six months. BMJ, 1992, 304: 1085–1089. [Medline] [CrossRef]

21) Lincoln NB, Walker MF, Dixon A, et al.: Evaluation of a multiprofessional community stroke team: a randomized controlled trial. Clin Rehabil, 2004, 18: 40–47. [Medline] [CrossRef]

22) Bautz-Holter E, Svenø U, Rygh J, et al.: Early supported discharge of patients with acute stroke: a randomized controlled trial. Disabil Rehabil, 2002, 24: 348–355. [Medline] [CrossRef]

23) Parker CJ, Gladman JR, Drummond AE, et al.: A multicentre randomized controlled trial of leisure therapy and conventional occupational therapy after stroke. TOTAL Study Group. Trial of Occupational Therapy and Leisure. Clin Rehabil, 2001, 15: 42–52. [Medline] [CrossRef]

24) Gilbertson L, Langhorne P, Walker A, et al.: Domiciliary occupational therapy for patients with stroke discharged from hospital: randomised controlled trial. BMJ, 2000, 320: 603–606. [Medline] [CrossRef]

25) Duncan P, Richards L, Wallace D, et al.: A randomized, controlled pilot study of a home-based exercise program for individuals with mild and moderate stroke. Stroke, 1998, 29: 2055–2060. [Medline] [CrossRef]

26) Edgren J, Salpakoski A, Siivonen SE, et al.: Effects of a home-based physical rehabilitation program on physical disability after hip fracture: a randomized controlled trial. J Am Med Dir Assoc, 2015, 16: 350.e1–350.e7. [Medline] [CrossRef]

27) World Health Organization: International classification of functioning, disability and health. World Health Organization, Geneva, 2008; pp 185–192, pp 205–208, p 283.

28) Parker M, Baker PS, Allman RM: A Life-Space approach to functional assessment of mobility in the elderly. J Gerontol Soc Work, 2001, 35: 35–55. [CrossRef]

29) Baker PS, Bodner EV, Allman RM: Measuring life-space mobility in community-dwelling older adults. J Am Geriatr Soc, 2003, 51: 1610–1614. [Medline] [CrossRef]

30) Fujita K, Fujiwara Y, Chaves PH, et al.: Frequency of going outdoors as a good predictors for incident disability of physical function as well as disability recovery in community-dwelling older adults in rural Japan. J Epidemiol, 2006, 16: 261–270. [Medline] [CrossRef]

31) Fairhall N, Sherrington C, Kurrle SE, et al.: Effect of a multifactorial interdisciplinary intervention on mobility-related disability in frail older people: randomised controlled trial. BMC Med, 2012, 10: 120. [Medline] [CrossRef]

32) Oh-Park M, Hung C, Chen P, et al.: Severity of spatial neglect during acute inpatient rehabilitation predicts community mobility after stroke. PM R, 2014, 6: 716–722. [Medline] [CrossRef]

33) Kamioka Y, Saito H, Iijima Y, et al.: Factors related to improving activities of daily living and life-space mobility through visiting rehabilitation services: a multi-institutional study in Ibaraki prefecture. Jpn J Rehabil Med, 2013, 50: 831–839 (in Japanese). [CrossRef]

34) Granger CV, Hamilton BB: The uniform data system for medical rehabilitation report of first admissions for 1992. Am J Phys Med Rehabil, 1994, 73: 51–55. [Medline] [CrossRef]

35) Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR: “Mini-mental state”. A practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. J Psychiatr Res, 1975, 12: 189–198. [Medline] [CrossRef]

36) Peel C, Sawyer Baker P, Roth DL, et al.: Assessing mobility in older adults: the UAB Study of Aging Life-Space Assessment. Phys Ther, 2005, 85: 1008–1119. [Medline] [CrossRef]

37) Harada K, Shimada H, Sawyer P, et al.: Life-space of community-dwelling older adults using preventive health care services in Japan and the validity of composite scoring methods for assessment. Nippon Koosh Eisei Zasshi, 2010, 57: 526–537 (in Japanese). [Medline] [CrossRef]

38) Holbrook M, Skillbeck CE: An activities index for use with stroke patients. Age Ageing, 1983, 12: 166–170. [Medline] [CrossRef]

39) Nouri FM, Lincoln NB: An extended activities of daily living scale for stroke patients. Clin Rehabil, 1987, 1: 301–305. [CrossRef]

40) Harwood RH, Gompertz P, Ibrahim S: Handicap one year after a stroke: validity of a new scale. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry, 1994, 57: 825–829. [Medline] [CrossRef]

41) Asberg KH, Sonn U: The cumulative structure of personal and instrumental ADL. A study of elderly people in a health service district. Scand J Rehabil Med, 1989, 21: 171–177. [Medline] [CrossRef]
42) Lawton MP, Brody EM: Assessment of older people: self-maintaining and instrumental activities of daily living. Gerontologist, 1969, 9: 179–186. [Medline] [CrossRef]

43) Okochi J, Utsunomiya S, Takahashi T: Health measurement using the ICF: test-retest reliability study of ICF codes and qualifiers in geriatric care. Health Qual Life Outcomes, 2005, 3: 46. [Medline] [CrossRef]

44) Drummond A, Walker M: Generalisation of the effects of leisure rehabilitation for stroke patients. Br J Occup Ther, 1996, 59: 330–334. [CrossRef]

45) Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare: The 140th Social Security Council (Long-term care benefit costs subcommittee reference materials) (in Japanese). https://www.mhlw.go.jp/stf/shing2/0000167241.html (Accessed Dec. 27, 2019)