In this review, we aim to assess previous radiologic studies on COVID-19 and suggest a pulmonary pathogenesis based on radiologic findings. Although radiologic features are not specific and there is heterogeneity in symptoms and radiologic and clinical manifestations, we suggest that the dominant pattern of computed tomography is consistent with limited pneumonia, followed by interstitial pneumonitis and organizing pneumonia.

2. Review of Clinical Findings

There are many reports about clinical features and epidemiologic characteristics of patients affected with COVID-19. These findings are sometimes contradictory, which may be due to population heterogeneity, differences in sample size, and selection bias. Likewise, racial and environmental characteristics in the published studies can show different results.

In one of the largest studies published in the New England Journal of Medicine, the following findings were obtained: of 1099 enrolled subjects, more than 41% were
female and the mean incubation time was 4 days, less than
1% were under 15 years old (pediatric cases), and the two
most frequent symptoms on admission were fever and
cough. About 15% of admitted cases had severe symp-
toms. They reported that “the presence of any coexisting
illness was more common among patients with severe
disease than among those with nonsevere disease (38.7% vs.
21%).” The median age of admitted cases was 47
(35–58) y/o [6].

These findings are similar to other studies such as
Ping Zheng Mo et al.’s study who reported 155 cases with
COVID-19 pneumonia. They described that the median age
was 54 y/o and “Fever (81.3%), fatigue (73.2%), cough
(62.6%), and myalgia/arthralgia (61.0%) were the most
common symptoms” [7]. Acute respiratory distress syn-
drome (ARDS) was the most common indication for ICU
admission in COVID-19 cases and is also the major etiology
of death [8]. Age > 50 years, dyspnea, comorbidities, chest
pain, cough, expectoration, increased serum inflammatory
factors, and decreased lymphocytes count, specially obesity
(visceral fat) were reported as risk factors for the critical
phase [9, 10]. Moreover, milder and less prominent clinical
symptoms were reported in pediatric patients, with a re-
covery period of 1-2 weeks, which is shorter than in adult
cases [11].

3. Review of Radiologic Findings

In a systematic review on imaging findings of 919 patients
(after excluding duplicated cases), Salehi et al. described
that “Known features of COVID-19 on initial CT include
bilateral multilobar ground-glass opacification (GGO)
with a peripheral or posterior distribution, mainly in the
lower lobes and less frequently within the right middle
lobe” [8]. Likewise, bilateral GGO or patchy opacity as
high as 90% was reported in these cases [12] (Figures 1(a)
and 1(b)).

Although atypical imaging features such as consolidative
opacities superimposed on GGO, septal thickening, bron-
chiectasis, pleural thickening, and subpleural involvement
are less common than subpleural GGO, they are not un-
common [13]. As reported in Zhao et al.’ study, more than
50% of patients show the following findings: mixed GGO
and consolidation (64.4%), vascular enlargement within
lesions (71.3%), and traction bronchiectasis (52.5%) [3].

One hundred and forty-nine RT-PCR confirmed posi-
tive patients in a retrospective study were included; 17
patients had normal CT on admission, and 12 cases (8%) had
negative CT findings even 10 days later [14].

On the other hand, pleural effusion, pericardial effusion,
lymphadenopathy, cavitation, CT halo sign, and pneumo-
thorax were very rarely reported. The increasing size and
number of GGO transforming to multifocal consolidative
opacities, septal thickening (with or without the nodular
pattern), and the development of a crazy-paving pattern are
important markers of progression. Also, in contrast, gradual
resolution of consolidative opacities and decrease in the
number of lesions and involved lobes are useful as im-
provement markers for response to the treatment [8].

Several radiologic signs frequently reported in bacterial
pneumonia are reported in some studies [15]. For example,
Zhou et al. reported vacuolar sign (45.2%), microvascular
dilation sign (56.5%), fibrotic streaks (33.9%), a subpleural
line (53.2%), air bronchogram (72.6%), pleural thickening
(48.4%), and a pleural retraction sign (56.5%) [16].

Although patchy, peripheral, and multifocal GGO
opacities are frequently reported as the main COVID-19
imaging findings, some studies have reported other findings
and even normal CT scans in these patients. For example,
Chung et al. distinguished that 14% of cases have no GGO or
consolidation and had entirely normal chest CT examina-
tions at presentation [17]. No CT or radiographic abnor-
mality was found in about 18% of cases with nonsevere
disease and 2.9% of cases with severe disease in Guan’s study.
Wu’s study found that 31.25% of cases had a normal CT of
both lungs, and finally, 23% of cases had a normal bilateral
chest CT in the study by Xu et al. [6, 18, 19].

It is not always the case that all patients first develop
GGO and later develop consolidation or other imaging
features, since recently published studies report that some
atypical manifestations can be seen in certain cases (e.g.,
elderly or pediatric cases). These unusual presentations will
be discussed in the following section. The distribution of affected lobes is different in previous studies, but the posterior aspect of the lower lobes is often initially involved [15, 20].

Finally, recent insights provided by Ye et al. describe that ground-glass opacities, consolidation, a reticular pattern, and a crazy-paving pattern are typical CT manifestations of COVID-19, and in contrast, airway changes, pleural changes, fibrosis, and nodules are atypical CT manifestations [21] (Figure 2).

4. Review of Atypical Radiologic Findings

In spite of GGOs and patchy opacities as usual findings of COVID-19 pneumonia in unenhanced chest CT, Lei et al. reported the absence of sparing of the subpleural regions in contrast to previous studies [22]. Moreover, Lin et al. published a case report of an asymptomatic case of COVID-19 pneumonia with bilateral pleural effusions, which was not previously reported [23].

Albarello et al. reported 2 cases with moderate to severe progression of lung infiltrates that included, in addition to pleural effusions, a tubular and enlarged appearance of pulmonary vessels with a sudden caliber reduction, mainly found in the dichotomic tracts, where the center of a new insurgent pulmonary lesion could be seen. Furthermore, mediastinal lymphadenopathy with short-axis oval nodes was also reported in a deteriorated case. They suggested these findings as early alert radiological signs to predict initial lung deterioration in COVID-19 cases [24].

Another study stated that, in addition to peripheral lower lobe GGOs as a routine CT manifestation, vascular thickening with a pleural parallel sign (the most valuable characteristic), intralobular septal thickening, pleural effusion, pneumatocele, pneumothorax, and less commonly halo/reversed halo sign were also seen in these cases [25, 26].

4.1. Radiologist Performance in Diagnosis of COVID-19. In addition to recognizing and diagnosing imaging findings in these patients, the radiologist should assist the clinicians in the following areas: diagnosis of underlying pulmonary abnormalities, evaluation of the severity and extent of the disease regarding architectural distortion, traction bronchiectasis, CT involvement score [3], interpretation of positive chest CT findings in some cases with negative results of PCR, especially during the first five days [27], avoiding unnecessary CT scans in patients with low probability for COVID-19 as a screening modality, distinguish unusual CT presentations from other causes according to clinical and laboratory findings, severity score determination of pulmonary involvement to distinguish critical cases from others [15], diagnosis of the complications of COVID-19, and protect radiology departments and its personnel from infections.

Severity score and classification of the disease should be performed, although its effectiveness and usefulness are not sufficiently studied. Chung et al. used a lung severity score in COVID-19 cases according to the following: “Each of the five lung lobes was assessed for degree of involvement and classified as none (0%), minimal (1%–25%), mild (26%–50%), moderate (51%–75%), or severe (76%–100%). No involvement corresponded to a lobe score of 0, minimal involvement to a lobe score of 1, mild involvement to a lobe score of 2, moderate involvement to a lobe score of 3, and severe involvement to a lobe score of 4. An overall lung total severity score was reached by summing the five lobe scores (range of possible scores, 0–20)” [17].

Based on previous evaluations, it can be concluded that patients with the following findings are more likely to progress to severe stages: architectural distortion, traction bronchiectasis, and a higher CT involvement score [3]. Also, round cystic changes might be associated with the resolving process of consolidation or could be explained by the infection causing alveolar wall damage, leading to pneumatoceles [28].

Using serial CT studies to determine outcome and prognosis, radiologists can contribute to prognostication. Shi et al. described an evolution of three pattern types of CT findings, in which type 2 has a poor prognosis. If CT findings showed initial progression to a peak level, followed by radiographic improvement, the pattern type is type 1. A pattern of radiographic improvement across several CT scans is categorized as type 3, and progressive radiographic deterioration despite medical treatment was categorized as type 2 with poor prognosis [28].

4.2. Radiologic Findings in Time Course and Follow-Up. CT findings typically peak within 10 days of the onset of symptoms and subsequently decrease from two to five weeks, depending on the patient’s clinical condition and underlying disorders. Almost always in usual cases, there are GGOs at early evaluation and then an increase in the size and number of GGOs. This progression of GGOs to multifocal consolidation, crazy-paving pattern formation, and septal thickening continues until the 10–14th day of diseases (peak of radiologic findings). Then, there is gradual resolution of consolidative opacities and a decrease in the number of involved lobes and lesions seen by 4-5 weeks.

Salehi et al. described that septal thickening, pleural thickening, bronchiectasis (usually at the later phase), pleural effusion, lymphadenopathy, pericardial effusion, CT
halo sign, cavitation, and pneumothorax are seen during the progressive and complicating phase [8].

CT studies of 63 cases, with a mean age of about 50 years, show that the mean number of affected lobes on admission was more than 3 lobes. Progression from single GGO to enlarged and consolidated opacities, enlarged fibrous stripe, and increased and enlarged solid nodules were also described [29].

Bernheim et al. reviewed chest CT findings of 121 symptomatic COVID-19 cases based on the time between symptom onset and the initial CT scan. They categorized cases into 3 groups, including early (0–2 days), intermediate (3–5 days), and late (6–12 days). A normal CT was found in more than half of the cases in the early stage. With a longer time after the onset of symptoms, CT findings were more frequent, including consolidation, bilateral and peripheral diseases, greater total lung involvement, linear opacities, a crazy-paving pattern, and the reverse halo sign. Bilateral lung involvement was observed in 28% of patients in the early phase, 76% of patients in the intermediate phase, and 88% of patients in the late phase [30].

Although the development of bilateral GGOs and consolidation is frequently reported, solitary and rounded peripheral ground-glass lesions appearing 3 days after the follow-up was also reported [17].

Pan et al. postulated that based on the day of symptom onset, 4 stages of lung CT could be defined as follows: stage 1 (0–4 days), ground-glass opacities (GGO) in 75% of patients; stage 2 (5–8 days), increased the crazy-paving pattern in 53%; stage 3 (9–13 days), consolidation in 91%; and stage 4 (≥14 days), gradual resolution of consolidation in 75% of patients without the crazy-paving pattern [31]. Peak lung involvement was approximately on the 10th day with a mean hospitalized period of 17 days (Figure 3). The cohort excluded ARDS and hypoxemic cases, and their results are generalizable for cases with mild and moderate severity. More than the extension and distribution of GGO, it appears that the densities of GGO indicate disease progression [32]. Clinically, in addition to the densities of GGO seen on CT, monitoring of hypoxemia is a valuable indicator of severity [33].

The following findings were obtained in a study of 81 cases who were categorized based on symptom onset and the first CT scan. In group 1 (subclinical patients; scans done before symptom onset), the predominant pattern was unilateral and multifocal GGO. Lesions quickly evolved to bilateral, diffuse GGO in group 2 (scans done ≤ 1 week after symptom onset). Thereafter, the prevalence of ground-glass opacities continued to decrease in group 3 (1 to 2 weeks) and group 4 (2 to 3 weeks), and consolidation and mixed patterns became more frequent in these aforementioned groups [28].

GGOs opacities change to several subtypes during follow-up. Wang et al. demonstrated that at first, on illness days 6–11, pure GGO was the most common subtype of GGO, with rates of up to 71%, followed by GGO with irregular linear opacity (28%). The most common pattern was mixed GGO in 38% of cases until days 12–17. About 94% of cases discharged had residual manifestations on final CT scans, with GGO in 60% of cases and pure GGO in up to 74% of cases being the most common pattern and subtype [34].

In spite of all the above featured reports, it should be noted that normal CT scan findings have been frequently reported in these patients so that, in a study on 149 RT-PCR confirmed positive patients, 17 (11%) patients had normal CT on admission and 12 (8%) had negative findings even 10 days later [14].

Early CT Manifestations of 108 patients with COVID-19 pneumonia are “patchy GGO with or without consolidation involving multiple lobes, mainly in the peripheral zone, accompanied by halo sign, vascular thickening, the crazy-paving pattern, or air bronchogram sign,” which is partially different from other reports that demonstrated that the above findings, except for patchy GGOs, can be seen in the early stages and the remainder in the more advanced stages [35]. The difference can be due to inclusion criteria of cases (only mild COVID-19 pneumonia is included), and there is no definite time of symptom onset and the first CT scan obtained.

CT studies of 63 confirmed patients show that 85% of patients had progressed in their disease with the following findings: increasing single GGO, enlarged and consolidated opacities, enlarging fibrous stripe, and increasing and enlarging solid nodules [29].

Zhu et al. reported CT scan findings of 50 cases. On first imaging and in the early phase, GGOs were found in 92.3% of cases, patchy consolidation and subconsolidation in 36.5%, and air bronchogram in 32.7% of cases. During hospitalization, the fibrous stripe shadow became the most usual imaging findings (75.0% within 6–9 days after admission), and the lesions distinctly resolved in 76% of cases on days 10–14 of admission [36].

The schematic review of the time course of COVID-19 CT findings is illustrated in Figure 4.
and rounded morphology were higher in cases with heart failure rather than usual cases. The ratio of central and gradient distribution of the enlargement of small pulmonary veins was also higher in heart failure cases. As expected, this enlargement disappeared after the administration of anti-heart failure medication [38].

COVID-19 has also been reported in pregnant patients with a radiologic profile similar to that of other patients [27]. However, differences have also been reported. Lie et al. demonstrated that GGO and reticulation were less common in the pregnant groups (6% and 5%) versus that of non-pregnant adults (18%). Therewith, mixed consolidation and complete consolidation were more common in pregnant groups (more than 40%) compared with 28% of the non-pregnant adults [39].

4.4. Radiologic Findings in Children vs. Adults. The disease is less symptomatic in children and is less severe, but the radiological findings reported in the pediatric age group are relatively similar to those of adults [40]. However, there are slight differences [39].

After assessment of chest CTs of 20 pediatrics cases of COVID-19, Xie et al. reported consolidation with surrounding halo sign (50%), GGOs (60%), fine mesh shadow (20%), and tiny nodules (15%), and 20% cases showed no abnormality (Figure 5). Consolidation with surrounding halo signs (suggesting underlying coinfection) was common in children with COVID-19 and unlikely to be present in adults [27]. In contrast to adults cases, pleural effusion and a “white lung-like” change is also reported in as much as 10% cases [41].

Therefore, in children with suspected symptoms and clinical history, mild and sometimes unusual CT findings should be considered.

4.5. Radiologic Findings and Correlated Clinical/Paraclinical Findings. We et al. showed that a “pulmonary inflammation index (PII)” was positively correlated with the lymphocyte count, monocyte count, procalcitonin level, CRP (C-reactive protein), days of illness onset, and body temperature. These laboratory markers have been previously reported as a prognostic factor of COVID-19 in some studies. The PII, which Wu et al. used, is according to the guideline of Chongqing Radiologist Association of China and is based on distribution and severity of involved lung segments. In this scoring system, each involved lung segment is scored (with a max score of 20, which means all lobes are involved). If a lesion occupies more than 50% of a lung segment, it receives a score of 1, and if the lesion occupies less than 50%, it receives a score of 0 [42]. This scoring system can be used by the radiologist as a predictive factor of symptomatic cases, although further studies are needed to determine its value as an indicator of prognosis.

Some laboratory factors were reported to correlate with radiologic findings in these cases. The CRP, erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), and lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) had a significant positive correlation with the severity of pneumonia in the first CT, and higher temperature and the severity of opacification in the first CT were considerably correlated with the progression of opacification on the follow-up CT [43]. The sensitivity of CRP, ESR, and decreased WBC was reported as high as 100%, 67%, and 80% of COVID-19 cases [16].

Reactivated cases with different laboratory findings and similar radiologic findings are reported more often than usual laboratory findings of COVID-19 cases; Ye et al. reported 5 cases of COVID-19 reactivation with typical signs of a viral infection on CT scan, but one case had progressive lymphopenia and progressive neutrophilia, and all of them had normal aminotransferase levels [44]. Just as there is a significant difference between imaging findings of young/middle age patients and the elderly, there are also different findings in the laboratory values. Liu et al. described that “The proportion of lymphocytes in the elderly group was significantly lower than that in the young and middle-aged groups, and the CRP was significantly higher in the young group” [37].
The RT-PCR false negative rate is considerable in COVID-19 and has been reported to be up to 50%. In cases with highly suspicious history of exposure and clinical and laboratory findings, chest CT was recommended. Likewise, Ai et al. stated that "the sensitivity of chest CT in suggesting COVID-19 was 97% based on positive RT-PCR results. By analysis of serial RT-PCR assays and CT scans, the mean interval time between the initial negative to positive RT-PCR results was more than 5 days." In the follow-up chest CT scans, 42% of patients showed improvement before the RT-PCR results returned as negative [45].

Besides RT-PCR, other laboratory data versus CT findings have shown acceptable sensitivity. Xu et al. reported 100% negative chest CTs in mild cases of COVID-19 with more than 50% of cases having increased CRP and 28% of cases showing changes in the WBC count [46].

In addition to the CT severity index as a predicting factor of deterioration, some laboratory findings, which include higher WBC and PMN counts, higher levels of D-dimer, creatine kinase, and creatine, are different between patients admitted to the ICU compared to those who were not admitted. All cases in both groups, however, showed bilateral lung involvement in chest CT scans. The median time from symptom onset to admission to the ICU was 10 days [47].

In addition to using chest CT to distinguish COVID-19 cases from non-COVID-19 cases, abnormal laboratory tests in AST, ALT, γ-GT, LDH, and α-HBDH can also be useful [27].

Laboratory findings will be helpful in pediatric cases, which have less obvious clinical symptoms and radiological findings when compared to adult cases. In pediatric cases, consolidation with surrounding halo signs and increased procalcitonin were more common when compared to adults, although pediatric patients are more susceptible to coinfection compared to adults [27].

4.6. Radiologic Findings Based on Symptoms and Severity of the Disease. Most studies review the radiologic manifestation of COVID-19 in symptomatic cases, but asymptomatic cases also have a specific radiologic pattern. Knowing this pattern can help diagnose suspicious individuals. Fifty percentage (50%) of asymptomatic carriers with a positive PCR test showed typical CT findings of ground-glass opacity, 20% presented with stripe shadowing in the lungs, and only 29% of cases showed a normal CT study [48]. Of note, many studies report that CT scanning provides positive results sooner than PCR [49, 50].

Similar to the most previous studies, Pan et al. reported that "mild COVID-19 pneumonia mainly starts as small subpleural, unilateral or bilateral GGO in the lower lobes" [31] (Figure 6). These lesions develop into subsequent consolidation and a crazy-paving pattern. The residual GGO and subpleural parenchymal bands appear gradually after two weeks, indicating a decrease in the severity of the disease (Figure 7). This engenders the question whether radiological findings can distinguish mild cases from severe disease in need of intensive care. In other words, what are the findings in computed tomography of severe predictive of intensive care transfer? We will discuss this further.
and extrapulmonary lesions were features of severe/critical COVID-19 pneumonia” [9].

In children with critical status, similar chest CT findings were reported, but decreased CD16+CD56 and Th/ Ts, increased CD3, CD4, and CD8, IL-6 and IL-10, and increased IFN-γ were also reported [41].

4.7. Radiologic Findings and Outcomes. Predominantly diffused consolidations associated with ARDS are described as radiological findings in near-death studies of patients who eventually expired. ARDS is characterized as the final pathogenesis in severe pneumonia of COVID-19, and ARDS was reported in as many as 29% of admitted cases [51]. Radiologic findings in these cases are similar to ordinary ARDS [52, 53].

Fibrous tissue formation, which includes increased septal thickness and fibrotic stripes, is seen in improved patients, patients in the recovering phase, and in patients in the progressive stages, confirming significant parenchymal damage [43, 54]. Two mechanisms can explain the pathogenesis of these fibrotic fibers: underlying inflammatory processes and cytokine induced injuries and the external pressure from a ventilator, which expands the alveolar space, filling it with exudative material [55].

Further studies with comparing groups of ventilated versus nonventilated cases are recommended.

Although many patients have partial improvement in symptoms within two weeks, many do not respond to routine treatment and require intensive care. Lei et al. demonstrated that almost 54% of cases are refractory patients with male sex, anorexia, and no fever on admission, which predicted poor outcome [22]. This high rate of refractory patients may be due to the limited capacity of hospitals in epidemic conditions, where only patients with severe illnesses and the majority of COVID-19 pneumonia patients receive outpatient care. Finally, it seems that elderly patients with underlying diseases and severe radiological changes are most likely to fail to respond to treatment and progress to more severe stages [56].

Li et al. explained that “CT findings of consolidation, linear opacities, crazy-paving pattern, bronchial wall thickening, high CT scores, and extrapulmonary lesions were features of severe/critical COVID-19 pneumonia” [9]. These results partially contrast the prognostic radiological findings reported in patients with MERS (pleural effusion and higher CT lung and chest radiographic scores) [57]. This is the difference of being involved in the pathogenesis of the two diseases (MERS vs. SARS-CoV-2).

4.8. Radiologic Findings in COVID-19 and Non-COVID-19. A study on 19 cases with COVID-19 pneumonia and 15 cases with other types of pneumonia demonstrated that clinical symptoms were similar in these two groups. However, “78.95% of COVID-19 and 26.67% of non-NCOVID-19 patients had bilateral involvement, while 17 (89.47%) COVID-19 and 1 (6.67%) non-NCOVID-19 patients had multiple mottling and GGOs on chest CT images” [27]. Another similar study described that GGO (91% vs. 68%), peripheral distribution (80% vs. 57%), vascular thickening (59% vs. 22%), fine reticular opacity (56% vs. 22%), and reverse halo sign (11% vs. 1%) were significantly more common in COVID-19 cases compared to non-COVID-19 cases. Whereas air bronchogram (14% vs. 23%, $p = 0.014$), lymphadenopathy (2.7% vs. 10.2%), pleural thickening (15% vs. 33%), and pleural effusion (4 vs. 39%) are less common in COVID-19 cases [58].

Li and Xia reported similar findings in comparison of pneumonia in COVID-19 versus adenovirus cases, but more studies may be needed since their findings are based on a control group with a small sample size [59].

Predominant patterns of lung abnormalities in SARS cases include GGO (with or without superimposed linear opacities), consolidation, a reticular pattern, and a mixed pattern (consolidation, GGO, and a reticular pattern). During the 1st week, GGO with or without smooth interlobular septal thickening and dense opacities were the outstanding patterns. “GGO with superimposed irregular reticular opacities, a mixed pattern, and reticular opacities were noted from the 2nd week and peaked at or after the 4th week.” After the 4th week, more than half of all cases had irregular linear opacities, with or without associated GGOs, and bronchial dilatation [60]. The aforementioned findings are partially similar to COVID-19 findings.

The frequency of chest CT findings in patients with positive results versus patients with negative results (non-COVID-19 pneumonia) was as follows: GGO, 100.0% vs. 90.9%; mixed GGO, 63.6% vs. 72.7%; consolidation, 54.5% vs. 77.3%; the median number of affected lung lobes, 5 vs. 3.5; and affected segments, 15 vs. 9. In patients with positive PCR, the air bronchogram reticular pattern was more frequent, but centrilobular nodules were uncommon [61].

Patchy and GGO opacities in middle and lower lobes with bronchial wall thickening and the nodular pattern have been confirmed to be more common in viral pneumonias. Nodularity is not frequent in these patients, and up to 40% of patients have the nodular pattern [62]. Also, halo appearance and round lung opacities can be seen in up to 33% of the chest CTs of symptomatic patients with COVID-19 [56]. Therefore, the above routine viral presentations inchest CT of COVID-19 are not expected.

5. Review on Biopsy Pathologic Findings

Diffuse alveolar damage as the main histopathologic pattern was found in pneumonia due to MERS. Type 2 pneumocytes and epithelial syncytial cells are the predominant target of viral antigens. Cytopathic effects of MERS contribute to respiratory symptoms. Detachment of type 2 pneumocytes and membrane blebbing (suggestive of apoptosis in favor of pneumocyte damage, in addition to other causes such as immune dysfunction) may be involved in the pathogenesis [63].

An autopsy report noted desquamation of pneumocytes, hyaline membrane formation, and pulmonary edema with hyaline membrane formation, indicating ARDS. “Interstitial mononuclear inflammatory infiltrates
dominated by lymphocytes were seen in both lungs.” Viral cytopathic-like changes without obvious intranuclear or intracytoplasmic viral inclusions were noted [64].

These findings are not very useful because they were performed in deceased patients that had died in the severe phase of respiratory failure of ARDS. Therefore, it is expected that routine findings of ARDS, like in other non-COVID-19 ARDS, were present.

However, in a unique study, Tian et al. reported pathologic findings of two cases of incidental COVID-19. The two cases underwent biopsy for their malignancy and were later found to have been infected with SARS-CoV-2. Because these specimens were collected in the early phase of the disease, these findings show a more realistic view of the pathological findings than the autopsy samples. They obtained the following findings in both cases: “edema and prominent proteinaceous exudates, vascular congestion, and inflammatory clusters with fibrinoid material and multinucleated giant cells.” The findings noted reactive alveolar epithelial hyperplasia in one case and fibroblastic proliferation in another. No prominent neutrophil infiltration or large protein globules were reported. The aforementioned findings are usual in patients with SARS [65]. During this earlier phase, these observations are in favor of early organization and are seen in many acute inflammatory viral/ nonviral injuries. Further studies regarding the progressive/intermediated phase are mandatory to assess the immune host response as a therapeutic target.

6. Correlation with Radiology Findings and Pathogenesis

GGO is defined as “hazy increased attenuation of the lung, but with preservation of bronchial and vascular margins, caused by partial filling of air spaces, interstitial thickening, partial collapse of alveoli, normal expiration, or increased capillary blood volume” [66]. Based on CT scans, 4 types of GGO may be recognized: type I as simple ground-glass-like shadow, type II as uneven density, type III as central high density with peripheral burring, and type IV as nodular GGO [67]. GGO lesions present as incomplete filling of the alveolar cavity with fluid or lung interstitial thickening. As expected, in COVID-19 cases, patchy GGO is noted due to alveolar cell injuries and inflammatory processes. However, this inflammation is not limited to pneumocytes, and depending on the immune response and severity of the inflammatory process, interstitial tissue, vessels, bronchioles, and even the pleural layer may be involved.

GGO with prominent vessels has been previously reported for tumorous GGO like bronchoalveolar adenocarcinoma, but many reports of COVID-19 reported these findings frequently, especially in periods of disease progression [68].

Vascular leaking and increased permeability in response to increased cytokines can be seen in early lung injuries (with GGO) and in the myocardial injuries also reported in COVID-19. In the progressive phase of the development of ARDS, “damage of epithelial cells and release of proteases from neutrophils decreases the VEGF level in the alveolar compartment, while serum VEGF is elevated” [69].

Patchy GGO was previously reported in the case of interstitial pneumonia, which is “characterized by a mononuclear inflammatory cellular infiltrate in the alveolar septa and the distal peribronchovascular interstitium. This interstitial inflammatory reaction is secondary to epithelial damage, with thickening in the peribronchial area and interlobar septa. The most common causes are viruses, M. pneumoniae, and P. jirovecii.” Some cases develop into acute necrotic pneumonia, which overlaps with ARDS due to severe injuries of alveolar cells [70]. In the early stages of injury, many laboratory and imaging findings are consistent with an acute infectious pulmonary injury focused on the alveoli; however, the healing process in many of these patients is different than in almost all lung infections. This progressive process is more consistent with organizing pneumonia (OP).

In addition to GGOs in peripheral lower lobes as a routine CT manifestation, vascular thickening with pleural parallel sign (the most valuable characteristic), intralobular septal thickening, pleural effusion, and pneumatocele, and less commonly, halo/reversed halo sign were also seen in these cases (Figure 8). In the later period, it mainly manifested as organizing pneumonia and fibrosis [25]. In the study by Qin et al., 18F-FDG PET/CT was used for COVID-19 patients who had peripheral GGO and/or lung consolidations in more than two pulmonary lobes. “Lung lesions were characterized by a high 18F-FDG uptake, and there was evidence of lymph node involvement. Conversely, disseminated disease was absent, a finding suggesting that COVID-19 has pulmonary tropism.” This finding is consistent with the hyperemic situation in this disease [71]. Therefore, assessing how VEGF and other cytokines affect vessel walls based on CT manifestations may be a target of further study.

Furthermore, Huang et al. commented on the role of interleukin- (IL-) 2, IL-7, granulocyte-colony stimulating factor, interferon-γ-inducible protein 10, monocyte chemoattractant protein 1, macrophage inflammatory protein 1-a, and tumor necrosis factor-α in ICU admitted cases of COVID-19 versus non-ICU cases [51]. These mediators have a role in immune system balance in pneumonia. There is an important balance between the inflammatory cytokines and the immunomodulatory cytokines. If either become abnormally elevated, secondary lung injury can occur due to the immune system hyperactivation or the progression of the infection [72]. This dysregulation of the immune system response is more frequent in the elderly, which is the population most at risk of critical stages of COVID-19 [73]. Likewise, the severity of disease in children with the undeveloped acquired immune system is more subtle.

This hyperinflammatory condition may be in line with secondary haemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis (sHLH), which is most often triggered by viral infections. This syndrome is fulminant, and fatal hypercytokinaemia with multiorgan failure can result, which has been previously reported as one of the possible mechanisms of pathogenesis of the disease. Increased ferritin, decreased platelet counts, elevated procalcitonin, CRP, and ESR in the severe stage of COVID-19 are also consistent with this syndrome, and for this reason, steroids, IVIG, specific cytokine blockade (e.g.,
baricitinib, anakinra, or tacilizumab), and JAK inhibition can be effective for these patients [74, 75].

In addition to GGO, mosaic attenuation patterns are a recognized finding in some viral infections, which was uncommonly reported in COVID-19. Hypoventilation of alveoli distal to bronchiolar obstruction (cicatricial scarring or inflammation of many bronchioles), which leads to secondary vasoconstriction (and, consequently, underperfused lung) is the main mechanism of mosaic attenuation patterns. This is different than the possible mechanism of COVID-19. This mechanism is based on two main pathologies, including vasospasm and narrowing of bronchioles, which seems to be absent in COVID-19. Bronchiolar obstruction and restriction, and therefore bronchiolitis obliterans organizing pneumonia (BOOP), are more common in other viral pneumonia and are not seen in COVID-19. Bronchial wall sparing or minimal involvements in COVID-19 indicate and suggest that treatments targeting small airway will be less effective in these patients [76]. More than OP, acute interstitial pneumonitis (AIP) is another possible pathogenesis of the middle phase of COVID-19. AIP reported as an entity encompasses a wide variety of etiologies that are often unidentified, in which some may be viral in origin [77].

7. Conclusion

In conclusion, our hypothesis states that after the early phase of pneumonia, some cases develop into an inflammatory/fibrotic phase of OP, and cases with underlying disorders develop into the severe form of OP with predominance of the inflammatory/toxic phase (Figure 9) (severe OP). On the other hand, some cases in the early phase present radiologic and laboratory results similar to acute interstitial
pneumonitis (mixed condition of alveolar infection and its cytotoxicity and interstitial changes due to host reactive inflammation). We suggest that these cases are more susceptible for progression to the severe phase. The role of the innate immune system may be more prominent in these cases. Development to each phase is dependent on the host’s immunologic reaction and response severity. Therefore, some cases had a positive response from corticosteroid and immunomodulatory medications, while it had no effect in other cases. Although imaging is known to be a predictor of outcomes, additional studies on the possible role of radiologic-pathologic correlations in the guidance of therapy may be needed.
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