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Abstract
Background Mothers of children with motor disabilities face physical and emotional burdens.
Aims This study aimed to determine the physical activity levels, exercise-related barriers, and facilitators in mothers of children with motor disabilities and investigate the differences between the physical activity levels of mothers who have children with different motor functional status.
Methods In this cross-sectional study, mothers were assessed with the Exercise Benefits/Barriers Scale (EBBS) and International Physical Activity Questionnaire short form (IPAQ-SF). The motor functional status of the children was classified by Gross Motor Function Classification System (GMFCS), and the mothers were divided into two groups (GMFCS level I, II = mild motor disability n = 28, GMFCS level III–V = moderate-to-severe motor disability, n = 37) according to the motor level of their children.
Results Sixty-nine mothers (36.56 ± 7.25 65) were included in this study. None of the mothers had adequate levels of physical activity (0%). According to the EBBS, the most frequently reported exercise barrier was lack of time (mothers of children with mild motor disability n = 26, 92.85%, the mothers of children with moderate-to-severe motor disability n = 34, 91.89%). The physical activity levels of the mothers of children with mild motor disability were higher compared to the mothers of children with moderate-to-severe motor disability (p = 0.032).
Conclusion This study has revealed that the physical activity levels of mothers of children with motor disabilities are low, and this is related to the gross motor function level of the children. The focus should be on increasing the physical activity levels of mothers of children with motor disabilities.
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Introduction
Developmental disability is a group of conditions related to problems with cognitive, behavioral, or physical functioning [1]. Unfortunately, the number of children with a developmental disability is increasing day by day [2, 3].

Disease-specific needs of children with developmental disabilities often lead to a heavier physical and emotional burden on their parents [4]. There are also an increasing number of studies linking having a child with a developmental disability to parental poor physical health. These studies provide evidence that caregivers of children with developmental problems are in worse physical condition than parents of typically developing children [5, 6].

In recent years, the medical intervention model has been discontinued, and family-centered service and parent coaching have become prominent in the rehabilitation of children with developmental disabilities [7, 8]. In this regard, the parents of children with a developmental disability are one of the most essential parts of the rehabilitation team. Particularly, mothers have a fundamental role in managing the many factors that affect children’s health and reach to therapy services [9].
Mothers of children with a disability face challenge to satisfy their own health needs [10]. Cerebral palsy (CP) is the leading cause of motor disability in childhood [1]. Mothers of children with CP spend a significant amount of time caring for their children [11]. With the active participation of mothers in the rehabilitation of children with disabilities, therapy goals can be achieved in a shorter time. Therefore, it is essential to protect the physical and mental health of mothers.

The importance of physical activity and exercise for the protection and development of health has been explained by various organizations, especially the World Health Organization (WHO), and it has been stressed that physical activity levels should be enhanced. According to the WHO guidelines, all adults should engage in regular physical activity. Adults should undertake at least 150–300 min of moderate-intensity aerobic physical activity or at least 75–150 min of vigorous-intensity aerobic physical activity, or an equivalent combination of moderate-to-vigorous-intensity activity, per week for significant health benefits [12]. According to a study conducted to investigate the quality of life of parents of children with developmental disabilities according to their physical activity status, the quality of life of parents who engaged in physical activity was higher compared to parents who did not [13].

In this regard, it is critical to consider the health-related parameters and physical activity levels of mothers of children with motor disabilities [4]. In the literature, there are numerous studies on the stress and depression levels of mothers of children with motor disabilities. However, there is no study investigating the effects of the child’s motor level on the mother’s physical activity level. Therefore, this study aimed to investigate the level of physical activity and perceived barriers to exercise, which can be modified in mothers of children with motor disabilities. Furthermore, the differences between the physical activity levels of mothers of children with different motor function levels were investigated.

**Methods**

This study was designed as a prospective cross-sectional study. The subjects included in this study were selected voluntarily among children with motor disability and their mothers who received treatment in Sivas Numune Hospital Physical Therapy and Rehabilitation Unit, Destek Special Education and Rehabilitation Center. Many conditions or diseases that begin in childhood can cause motor and/or sensory disability in children. Therefore, children with the following diseases or conditions diagnosed by the physician were gathered under the umbrella of children with motor disability: CP, spinal and peripheral nerve lesions, neuromuscular diseases, genetic syndromes, or various syndromes causing motor disability.

Mothers of children with motor disabilities aged 0–18 were included in the study. All the mothers included in this study were primary caregivers of their children and living together with the child. Since the Turkish versions of the International Physical Activity Questionnaire-Short Form (IPAQ-SF) and Exercise Benefits/Barriers Scale (EBBS) were used in the study, non-Turkish-speaking mothers were excluded from the study. Furthermore, mothers with other health conditions diagnosed by a physician such as a known history of musculoskeletal problems, hereditary disorders, trauma, or cardiovascular problems that would prevent them from doing physical activity; mothers with a new pregnancy; and mothers who care for another patient/child with a disability were excluded from the study.

This study was performed in line with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. Approval for the study was granted by Ethics Committee of the Non-invasive Clinical Trials of Sivas Cumhuriyet University (Decision number: 2019-10/10, Decision Date: October 9, 2019). Data were collected from January 2020 to June 2020. Mothers and their children were informed about the study. The purpose and content of the study were explained to the mothers, and their written consents were obtained. Demographic and clinical information of the mothers and their children, such as gender, age, body mass (kg), and diagnosed health condition, were recorded.

**Evaluation of physical activity level**

The physical activity levels of mothers were evaluated by the IPAQ-SF [14, 15]. In the short form, there are seven questions related to the time spent in walking, moderate activities, vigorous activities, and the frequency of activities in the previous 7 days. The time spent sitting was assessed as a separate question. The durations are multiplied by the metabolic equivalents (MET) present in the scale for each activity and the average of the results for all materials presents the overall physical activity score. Physical activity levels are classified as physically inactive (<600 MET-min/week), low physical activity level (600–3000 MET-min/week), and adequate physical activity level (>3000 MET-min/week) [14]. The IPAQ-SF has adequate psychometric properties to assess the physical activity levels of individuals aged 18–65 [14, 15].

**Evaluation of exercise benefits/barriers**

The EBBS was applied to identify exercise-related barriers and facilitators for mothers of children with motor disabilities [16]. This scale is a 4-point Likert-type scale composed of a 14-item barrier scale and a 29-item benefit scale. The scoring of the scale is as follows: Strongly agree (4), agree
(3), disagree (2), strongly disagree (1). The lowest score of the benefits scale is 29 while the highest score is 116. A high score indicates positive exercise perception. The score range of the barriers scale is between 14 and 56 points. High scores from the barriers scale indicate that individuals have perceived barriers to exercise [17].

Evaluation of the gross motor function level of the children

The extended and revised version of the Gross Motor Function Classification System (GMFCS) was applied to evaluate the gross motor function levels of children [18]. So as to determine the functional level by means of the GMFCS; the use of mobility devices and their performance in sitting, standing, and walking activities are evaluated. The GMFCS consists of five different level classifications for five different age groups. The age groups are divided into 0–2, 2–4, 4–6, 6–12, and 12–18. GMFCS Level I represents the best and Level V represents the lowest motor function. GMFCS has been confirmed to be reliable and valid in the evaluation of children, particularly with CP [19]. Children’s GMFCS levels were assessed by a physical therapist experienced in pediatric rehabilitation. Subsequent to determining the gross motor function levels of the children, the mothers were divided into two groups based on the motor levels of their children. Mothers of the children at the GMFCS level I and II were classified under the Mothers of Children with Mild Motor Disabilities group, and mothers of the children at the GMFCS level III, IV, and V were classified under the Mothers of Children with Moderate-to-severe Motor Disabilities group.

Statistical analysis

The software SPSS, version 22.0 (IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 22.0, IBM Company, Armonk, New York, USA) was used to analyze the data acquired from our study. The continuous variables were expressed as mean ± standard deviation and the categorical variables as counts and percentages. In the physical activity barriers and facilitators scale, the answers “strongly agree” and “agree” were narrowed to the “agree” category, and the answers “strongly disagree” and “disagree” were narrowed to the “disagree” category. The conformity of the variables to the normal distribution was assessed by means of the Kolmogrov-Smirnov test. Since the parametric test assumptions were not to be fulfilled in the evaluation of the data, the Mann–Whitney U test was applied to compare the physical activity levels of mothers of children with motor disabilities. The level of significance was taken as 0.05 in all analyses.

Results

To be included in the study, 99 mothers of children with motor disabilities were reached out to, and 22 mothers did not meet the inclusion criteria (5 mothers with children over the age of 18, 5 mothers with children had an only mental disability, 12 mothers with health problems that would prevent them from doing physical activity), and 12 mothers were not included in the evaluation process due to missing answers in their questionnaires (Fig. 1). Mothers with a mean age of 36.56 ± 7.25 participated in the study.

Mothers’ education levels, employment status, marital status, physical activity levels, and the EBBS scores are presented in Table 1. Most of the mothers participating in the study were married (n = 63, 91.30%), and they were not in active working life (n = 61, 88.40%). According to the IPAQ-SF results, 54 (83.06%) of the mothers were determined to be not physically active (< 600 MET-min/week) and 11 (16.92%) to be at low physical activity level (600–3000 MET-min/week). None of the mothers were at an adequate level of physical activity (> 3000 MET-min/week). Table 1 presents the demographic characteristics and evaluation findings of the mothers.

The children of the participant mothers in the study had different diagnoses that caused motor disability. Their diagnoses were CP (75.38%), hydro/microcephaly (9.23%), obstetric brachial plexus paralysis (3.07%), Down syndrome (1.53%), neuromuscular diseases (3.07%), and spina bifida (7.69%). The number of children at the GMFCS level I and II (mild motor disability) was 28 (43.07%), and the children...
at the GMFCS level III, IV, and V (moderate-to-severe motor disability) were 37 (56.93%).

According to the EBBS, the most frequently reported exercise barrier was item 24: Exercise takes too much time from family relationships (mothers of children with mild motor disability = 92.85%, mothers of children with moderate-to-severe motor disability = 34.91.89%). The most frequently reported facilitator was item 13: Exercising will keep me from having high blood pressure (mothers of children with mild motor disability = 92.85%, mothers of children with moderate-to-severe motor disability = 97.29%), and participant answers to the EBBS are exhibited in Tables 2 and 3.

A comparison of the physical activity levels of the mothers of children with mild motor disabilities and the mothers of children with a moderate-to-severe motor disabilities determined a significant difference in terms of the IPAQ-SF total physical activity score ($p = 0.032$). The physical activity levels of the mothers of children with mild motor disability were higher compared to the mothers of children with moderate-to-severe motor disability. The comparison of the IPAQ-SF results of the mothers is presented in Table 4.

### Discussion

This study, which aims to investigate the level of physical activity, exercise-related barriers, and facilitators in mothers of children with motor disability, revealed that the physical activity levels of the mothers of the children with mild motor disability were higher compared to the mothers of the children with moderate-to-severe motor disability, and none of the mothers had adequate physical activity levels.

Various studies in the literature have concluded that physical activity levels in healthy adults are extremely low and that physical activity levels of women are lower compared to men [20–22]. A study conducted in 1975 investigated the physical activity levels in 1975 healthy women aged between

| Table 1 Demographic and clinical data of mothers of children with motor disabilities ($n = 65$) |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Mothers of children with mild motor disabilities ($n = 28$) | Mothers of children with moderate-to-severe motor disabilities ($n = 37$) |
| Minimum–maximum | $X \pm SD$ | Minimum–maximum | $X \pm SD$ |
| **Age (years)** | 21–57 | 37.08 $\pm$ 8.38 | 22–53 | 36.02 $\pm$ 6.63 |
| **BMI (kg/m²)** | 19.33–35.06 | 26.96 $\pm$ 4.50 | 15.76–41.80 | 26.38 $\pm$ 5.28 |
| **Education level** | | | | |
| Illiterate | 1 | 3.57 | 1 | 2.70 |
| Primary school graduate | 9 | 32.14 | 15 | 40.54 |
| Secondary school graduate | 6 | 21.42 | 7 | 18.91 |
| High school graduate | 8 | 28.57 | 3 | 8.10 |
| University graduate | 4 | 14.28 | 11 | 29.72 |
| **Working status** | | | | |
| Not working | 26 | 92.85 | 35 | 94.59 |
| Working | 2 | 7.15 | 1 | 5.41 |
| **Marital status** | | | | |
| Married | 27 | 96.42 | 36 | 97.29 |
| Single | 1 | 3.57 | 1 | 2.70 |
| **Physical activity level (IPAQ-SF)** | | | | |
| Inactive | 21 | 75.00 | 33 | 89.18 |
| Low physical activity | 7 | 25.00 | 4 | 10.81 |
| Adequate physical activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **EBBS-barrier sub-section** | Minimum–maximum | $X \pm SD$ | Minimum–maximum | $X \pm SD$ | Man-Whitney $U$ test $p$ |
| 14–42 | 32.46 $\pm$ 6.12 | 21–42 | 33.86 $\pm$ 5.32 | 0.148 |
| **EBBS-benefit sub-section** | Minimum–maximum | $X \pm SD$ | Minimum–maximum | $X \pm SD$ | | |
| 21–93 | 61.85 $\pm$ 16.63 | 16–80 | 61.62 $\pm$ 14.63 | 0.340 |
| **EBBS total score** | 45–133 | 94.32 $\pm$ 20.49 | 55–121 | 95.45 $\pm$ 18.27 | 0.786 |

BMI: Body mass index, $X$ Mean, $SD$ standard deviation; IPAQ-SF: International Physical Activity Questionnaire Short Form, EBBS: Exercise Benefits/Barriers Scale.
Table 2 Responses of the mothers to the Exercise Benefits/Barriers Scale (Barrier sub-section) (n = 65)

| Exercise Benefits/Barriers Scale (barrier items) | Mothers of children with mild motor disabilities (n = 28) | Mothers of children with moderate-to-severe motor disabilities (n = 37) |
|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                               | Agree (n (%)) Disagree (n (%))                            | Agree (n (%)) Disagree (n (%))                                    |
| Exercising takes too much of my time           | 12 (42.85) 16 (57.1)                                     | 15 (40.54) 22 (59.459)                                          |
| Exercise tires me                              | 17 (60.71) 11 (39.28)                                     | 10 (27.02) 27 (72.97)                                           |
| Places for me to exercise are too far away     | 14 (50.00) 14 (50.00)                                     | 25 (67.56) 12 (32.43)                                           |
| I am too embarrassed to exercise               | 22 (78.57) 6 (21.42)                                     | 27 (73.97) 10 (27.02)                                           |
| It costs too much to exercise                  | 20 (71.42) 8 (28.57)                                     | 29 (78.37) 8 (21.62)                                           |
| Exercise facilities do not have convenient schedules for me | 17 (60.71) 11 (39.28)                                     | 21 (56.75) 16 (43.24)                                           |
| I am fatigued by exercise                      | 14 (50.00) 14 (50.00)                                     | 11 (29.72) 26 (70.27)                                           |
| My spouse (or significant other) does not encourage exercising | 18 (64.28) 10 (35.71)                                     | 28 (75.67) 9 (24.32)                                           |
| Exercise takes too much time from family relationships | 26 (92.85) 2 (7.14)                                     | 34 (91.89) 3 (8.10)                                           |
| I think people in exercise clothes look funny  | 20 (71.42) 8 (28.57)                                     | 23 (62.16) 14 (37.83)                                           |
| My family members do not encourage me to exercise | 23 (82.14) 5 (17.85)                                     | 29 (78.37) 8 (21.62)                                           |
| Exercise takes too much time from my family responsibilities | 19 (67.85) 9 (32.14)                                     | 22 (59.45) 15 (40.54)                                           |
| Exercise is hard work for me                   | 13 (43.42) 15 (53.57)                                     | 12 (32.43) 25 (67.56)                                           |
| There are too few places for me to exercise    | 18 (64.28) 10 (35.71)                                     | 28 (75.67) 9 (24.32)                                           |

18 and 64 who were registered to two different family medicine clinics. Out of them, 69.1% of the participant women were determined to be inactive, and only 30.0% were determined to be physically active [23]. Although physical activity levels vary depending on the personal and environmental differences of individuals, the physical activity levels of healthy women are observed to be generally low. Coinciding with these studies, our study yielded low physical activity levels of mothers. None of the mothers had adequate physical activity levels.

There are numerous factors that affect the physical activity levels of healthy individuals. These are gender, educational background, knowledge level about physical activity and health, marital status, socio-economic status, insufficient time, fatigue, dislike of exercise, motivation, self-confidence, mental state of the person, smoking-alcohol use, family-friend support, and other factors such as accessibility to the physical activity spaces and weather conditions [24]. The literature has revealed that mothers of children with disabilities cannot allocate time to recreational activities and do not have enough time for routine activities of daily living. Dehghan et al. [9] stated that women with children with CP neglect their own health needs while seeking ways to minimize the barriers faced by their children. The reasons for this are to constantly take care of the child with a disability and the obligation to substitute for the self-care and ambulation that the child cannot practice [11, 25]. In our study, the main perceived barrier reported was that exercise took too much time away from family relationships. Due to the consequences such as excessive workload, psychological distress, and reduced social participation of having a child with motor disability, it can lead to restrictions on participation in physical activity in mothers [26]. Other barriers reported in our study were “I am too embarrassed to exercise,” “It costs too much to exercise,” and “My family members do not encourage me to exercise.” This indicates that women with children with disabilities need more encouragement and motivation to engage in exercise. The relatively high scores of our participants obtained from the exercise benefits scale conclude that they had positive opinions about exercise. Despite this, their insufficient participation in physical activity may be caused by the heavy burden of the care of children with motor disability and inadequate support.

In a study investigating the physical activity level of individuals with a disability and their parents, researchers showed that parents’ daily step counts are very low compared to the targeted step counts [27]. The walking scores of mothers with children with motor disabilities were not adequate in our study too. Tahmaz et al. [27] ascribed this result to the fact that a majority of the parents who have children with developmental disabilities are not in active working life and that the level of responsibility required for child care is higher compared to the parents of healthy children. The majority of our study participants consisted of individuals who were not in active working life and reported that they devoted most of the day to child care. In this regard, our findings coincide.

To the best of our knowledge, there is no study investigating to what extent the physical activity level of the mother is affected by the gross motor function level of the
Therefore, we investigated to what extent the physical activity levels of the mothers were affected according to the GMFCS level of the child with motor disability. Our results revealed that the IPAQ-SF total physical activity score of the mothers of the children with mild motor disability was higher compared to the mothers of the children with moderate-to-severe motor disability.

### Table 3: Responses of the mothers to the Exercise Benefits/Barriers Scale (Benefits/ sub-section) (n = 65)

| Exercise Benefits/Barriers Scale (benefits items) | Mothers of children with mild motor disabilities (n = 28) | Mothers of children with moderate-to-severe motor disabilities (n = 37) |
|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                               | Agree n (%) Disagree n (%)                               | Agree n (%) Disagree n (%)                                           |
| I enjoy exercise                              | 18 (64.28) 10 (35.71)                                   | 18 (48.64) 19 (51.35)                                               |
| Exercise decreases feelings of stress and tension for me | 18 (64.28) 10 (35.71)                                   | 23 (62.16) 14 (37.83)                                               |
| Exercise improves my mental health            | 21 (75.00) 7 (25.00)                                    | 29 (78.37) 8 (21.62)                                                |
| I will prevent heart attacks by exercising    | 27 (96.42) 1 (3.57)                                     | 37 (100.00) 0 (0.00)                                                |
| Exercise increases my muscle strength         | 22 (78.57) 6 (21.42)                                   | 33 (89.18) 4 (10.81)                                                |
| Exercising makes me feel relaxed              | 23 (82.14) 5 (17.85)                                   | 32 (86.48) 5 (13.51)                                                |
| Exercising lets me have contact with friends and persons I enjoy | 16 (57.14) 12 (42.85) | 20 (54.05) 17 (45.94)                                              |
| Exercising will keep me from having high blood pressure | 26 (92.85) 2 (7.14)                                   | 36 (97.29) 1 (2.70)                                                |
| Exercising increases my level of physical fitness | 25 (89.28) 3 (10.71)                                   | 34 (91.89) 3 (8.10)                                                |
| My muscle tone is improved with exercise      | 23 (82.14) 5 (17.85)                                   | 35 (94.59) 2 (5.40)                                                |
| Exercising improves functioning of my cardiovascular system | 24 (85.71) 4 (14.28)                                   | 35 (94.59) 2 (5.40)                                                |
| I have improved feelings of wellbeing from exercise | 20 (71.42) 8 (28.57)                                   | 16 (43.24) 21 (56.75)                                              |
| Exercise increases my stamina                 | 25 (89.28) 3 (10.71)                                   | 33 (89.18) 4 (10.81)                                                |
| Exercise improves my flexibility              | 25 (89.28) 3 (10.71)                                   | 33 (89.18) 4 (10.81)                                                |
| My disposition is improved with exercise      | 21 (75.00) 7 (25.00)                                   | 32 (86.48) 5 (13.51)                                                |
| Exercising helps me sleep better at night     | 22 (78.57) 6 (21.42)                                   | 27 (73.97) 10 (27.02)                                               |
| I will live longer if I exercise              | 23 (82.14) 5 (17.85)                                   | 33 (89.18) 4 (10.81)                                                |
| Exercise helps me decrease fatigue            | 14 (50.00) 14 (50.00)                                  | 19 (51.35) 18 (48.64)                                               |
| Exercising is a good way for me to meet new people | 14 (50.00) 14 (50.00)                                   | 17 (45.94) 20 (54.05)                                              |
| My physical endurance is improved by exercising | 14 (50.00) 14 (50.00)                                 | 11 (29.72) 26 (70.27)                                               |
| Exercising improves my self-concept           | 17 (60.71) 11 (39.29)                                  | 19 (51.35) 18 (48.64)                                               |
| Exercising increases my mental alertness      | 21 (75.00) 7 (25.00)                                   | 28 (75.67) 9 (24.32)                                               |
| Exercise allows me to carry out normal activities without becoming tired | 16 (57.14) 12 (42.85) | 20 (54.05) 17 (45.94)                                              |
| Exercise improves the quality of my work      | 21 (75.00) 7 (25.00)                                   | 27 (73.97) 10 (27.02)                                               |
| Exercise is good entertainment for me         | 18 (64.28) 10 (35.71)                                  | 18 (48.64) 19 (51.35)                                               |
| Exercising increases my acceptance by others  | 15 (53.57) 13 (46.42)                                  | 15 (40.54) 22 (59.45)                                               |
| Exercise improves overall body functioning for me | 25 (89.28) 3 (10.71)                                   | 37 (100.00) 0 (0.00)                                                |
| Exercise improves the way my body looks       | 21 (75.00) 7 (25.00)                                   | 28 (75.67) 9 (24.32)                                               |

### Table 4: Comparison of the physical activity levels of the mothers of the children with motor disabilities (n = 65)

| IPAQ-SF: Walking Score (MET-min/week) | Median (25–75) | Median (25–75) | p  |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|----|
| Mothers of children with mild motor disabilities (n = 28) | 198.00 (0.00–396.00) | 0.00 (0.00–198.00) | 0.052 |
| Mothers of children with moderate-to-severe motor disabilities (n = 37) | 0.00 (0.00–0.00) | 0.00 (0.00–0.00) | 0.043 |
| IPAQ-SF: Moderate Physical Activity Score (MET-min/week) | 0.00 (0.00–0.00) | 0.00 (0.00–0.00) | 0.198 |
| IPAQ-SF: Vigorous Physical Activity Score (MET-min/week) | 0.00 (0.00–0.00) | 0.00 (0.00–0.00) | 0.032* |
| IPAQ-SF: Total Physical Activity Score (MET-min/week) | 297.00 (0.00–693.00) | 0.00 (0.00–198.00) | 0.032* |

IPAQ-SF: International Physical Activity Questionnaire Short Form
with moderate-to-severe motor disability. In general, physical activity levels were insufficient in all of our participants. Especially since children with moderate-to-severe motor disabilities do not have the ability to walk independently, ensuring the transfer of children becomes difficult, particularly as the child’s age and body mass index increase. It has been reported in various studies that the physical activity levels of children with motor disability are lower than their typically developing peers from early ages and that as the severity of motor disability increases, their participation in physical activity decreases [28–31]. We anticipate that this situation may create difficulties for the families of children with moderate-to-severe motor disability, particularly for their mothers as their main caregivers, in their participation in recreational activities. Julius et al. [32] reported that the participation of the mother and the child in physical activity together may lead to an increase in the child’s moderate-to-vigorous physical activity and a decrease in sedentary behaviors. Based on the results of our study, we think that the same effect may occur in the opposite direction. Insufficient participation in physical activity due to the child’s physical disability may also affect the mother.

Limitations

The targeted sample size in our study could not be reached due to the coronavirus pandemic. We suggest that in future studies, physical activity levels should be applied in larger samples using more objective assessment methods.

Conclusion

The results acquired in our study revealed that none of the mothers of the children with motor disabilities participated in adequate physical activity. It was found that the physical activity levels of the mother with children with motor disability differed according to the gross motor function levels of their children, and the physical activity levels of mothers with children with moderate-to-severe motor disability were also lower.

The environmental factors, the disability level of the child with a motor disability, and various personal factors are all more or less effective on the physical activity level of the mothers. It is essential to enhance the health of mothers so they can cope with the psychological and physical burden of having a child with a motor disability. Therefore, it is required to carry out studies to increase the physical activity participation of mothers of children with motor disabilities. Findings from this study may contribute to designing strategies to improve the health outcomes of mothers of children with motor disabilities.
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