STAR-FREE GEODESIC LANGUAGES FOR GROUPS

SUSAN HERMILLER, DEREK F. HOLT, AND SARAH REES

Abstract. In this article we show that every group with a finite presentation satisfying one or both of the small cancellation conditions $C'(1/6)$ and $C'(1/4) - T(4)$ has the property that the set of all geodesics (over the same generating set) is a star-free regular language. Star-free regularity of the geodesic set is shown to be dependent on the generating set chosen, even for free groups. We also show that the class of groups whose geodesic sets are star-free with respect to some generating set is closed under taking graph (and hence free and direct) products, and includes all virtually abelian groups.

1. Introduction

There are many classes of finitely presented groups that have been studied via sets of geodesics that are regular languages (that is, sets defined by finite state automata). Various examples are known of groups for which the set of all geodesics is a regular set. Word hyperbolic groups with any finite generating set are very natural examples; for these the sets of geodesics satisfy additional (“fellow traveller”) properties which make the groups automatic [2, Theorem 3.4.5]. Other examples include finitely generated abelian groups with any finite generating set [10, Propositions 4.1 and 4.4]; and with appropriate generating sets, virtually abelian groups, geometrically finite hyperbolic groups [10, Theorem 4.3], Coxeter groups (using the standard generators) [6], Artin groups of finite type and, more generally, Garside groups [1] (and hence torus knot groups).

To date very little connection has been made between the properties of the regular language that can be associated with a group in this way and the geometric or algebraic properties of the group itself. In
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this paper we consider groups whose sets of geodesics satisfy the more restrictive language theoretic property of star-free regularity.

The set of regular languages over a finite alphabet $A$ is by definition the closure of the set of finite subsets of $A$ under the operations of union, concatenation, and Kleene closure [5, Section 3.1]. (The Kleene closure $X^*$ of a set $X$ is defined to be the union $\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} X^i$ of concatenations of copies of $X$ with itself.) By using the fact that the regular languages are precisely those that can be accepted by a finite state automaton, it can be proved that this set is closed under many more operations including complementation and intersection [5, Section 4.2]. The set of star-free languages over $A$ is defined to be the closure of the finite subsets of $A$ under concatenation and the three Boolean operations of union, intersection, and complementation, but not under Kleene closure [11, Chapter 4 Definition 2.1].

The star-free languages form a natural low complexity subset of the regular languages. An indicator of the fundamental role that they play in formal language theory is the surprisingly large variety of conditions on a regular language that turn out to be equivalent to that language being star-free. The book by McNaughton and Papert [9] is devoted entirely to this topic. One such condition that we shall make use of in this paper is the result of Schützenberger that a regular language is star-free if and only if its syntactic monoid is aperiodic. Other examples studied in [9] are the class $LF$ of languages represented by nerve nets that are buzzer-free and almost loop-free, and the class $FOL$ of languages defined by a sentence in first order logic. A regular language not containing the empty string is star-free if and only if it lies in $LF$ or, equivalently, in $FOL$. There are also relationships between star-free languages and various types of Boolean circuits; these are discussed in detail in the book by Straubing [13].

Note that $A^* = \emptyset^c$ is star-free. The set of star-free languages properly contains the set of all locally testable languages. A subset of $A^+ := A^* \setminus \{\epsilon\}$ (where $\epsilon$ is the empty word) is locally testable if it is defined by a regular expression which combines terms of the form $A^*u$, $vA^*$ and $A^*wA^*$, for non-empty finite strings $u, v, w$, using the three Boolean operations [11, Chapter 5 Theorem 2.1]. A natural example of a locally testable language is provided by the set of all non-trivial geodesics in a free group in its natural presentation. Further examples of star-free languages are provided by the piecewise testable languages; a subset of $A^*$ is piecewise testable if it is defined by a regular expression combining terms of the form $A^*a_1A^*a_2\cdots A^*a_kA^*$, where $k \geq 0$ and each $a_i \in A$, using the three Boolean operations [11, Chapter 4 Proposition 1.1]. A
natural example of a piecewise testable language is provided by the set of geodesics in a free abelian group over a natural generating set.

Margolis and Rhodes [8] conjectured that the set of geodesics in any word hyperbolic group (with respect to any generating set) is star-free. This conjecture was motivated by an interpretation of van Kampen diagrams in terms of Boolean circuits, and utilization of relationships between properties of circuits and star-free languages mentioned above.

We show that the conjecture as stated is false in Section 4, where we describe a 6-generator presentation of the free group of rank 4 for which the set of geodesics is not star-free. This demonstrates that the property of having star-free geodesics must be dependent on the choice of generating set.

By contrast, our main theorem, in Section 3 states that groups defined by a presentation satisfying either one of the small cancellation conditions $C'(1/6)$ or $C'(1/4) - T(4)$ (which imply but are not a consequence of hyperbolicity) have star-free sets of geodesics with respect to the generating set of that presentation. Our proof relies on the thinness of van Kampen diagrams for these presentations, which was used in [14] to show that these groups are word hyperbolic. The question of whether or not any word hyperbolic group must have some generating set with respect to which the geodesics are star-free remains open.

In Section 5 we consider closure properties of the set of groups that have star-free sets of geodesics for some generating set. We show that this set is closed under taking direct, free, and graph products. Our proof follows the strategy of the proof in [7] showing that the set of groups with regular languages of geodesics (for some generating set) is closed under graph products.

It is proved in [10] Propositions 4.1 and 4.4 that every finitely generated abelian group has a regular set of geodesics with respect to any finite generating set, and that every finitely generated virtually abelian group $G$ has a regular set of geodesics with respect to some finite generating set. (An example of J.W. Cannon, described after Theorem 4.3 of [10], shows that the set of geodesics need not be regular for every finite generating set of a virtually abelian group.) In Section 6 we strengthen these results to show that all finitely generated abelian groups have piecewise testable (and hence star-free) sets of geodesics with respect to any finite generating set, and that all finitely generated virtually abelian groups also have piecewise testable sets of geodesics with respect to certain finite generating sets.
2. Some technicalities and basic results

Let $A$ be a finite alphabet and let $M$ be a deterministic finite state automaton over $A$. We assume that all such automata in this paper are complete; that is, for every state and letter in $A$, there is a corresponding transition. For a state $\sigma$ of $M$ and word $u \in A^*$, define $\sigma^u$ to be the state of $M$ reached by reading $u$ from state $\sigma$. The transition monoid associated with $M$ is defined to be the monoid of functions between the states of $M$ induced by the transitions of $M$. Since the automaton is finite, this transition monoid is a finite monoid.

For a regular language $L$ of $A^*$, there is a minimal finite state automaton $M_L$ accepting the language $L$, which is unique up to the naming of the states [5]. In this minimal automaton, no two states have the same "future"; that is, the two sets of words labelling transitions from two distinct states to accept states must be distinct. The syntactic congruence of $L$ is the congruence $\sim_L$, which relates two words $u$ and $v$ provided, for all words $x$ and $y$, $xuv \in L$ if and only if $xvy \in L$. The syntactic monoid associated with $L$ is the quotient monoid $A^*/\sim_L$. The images $[u]$ and $[v]$ of $u$ and $v$ in the syntactic monoid $A^*/\sim_L$ are equal if and only if $\sigma^u = \sigma^v$ for all states $\sigma$ of $M_L$. Thus the syntactic monoid of $L$ is also the transition monoid of $M_L$.

A monoid is said to be aperiodic if it satisfies a rule $x^N = x^{N+1}$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$. By a result of Schützenberger [11, Chapter 4 Theorem 2.1], a regular language $L$ over $A^*$ is star-free if and only if its syntactic monoid is aperiodic. The following proposition yields an alternative interpretation of star-free, which allows an easy algorithmic check (which we used to check examples).

**Proposition 2.1.** Let $L$ be a regular language over $A$. The language $L$ is not star-free if and only if, for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $n > N$ and words $u, v, w \in A^*$ with one of the words $uv^n w$ and $uv^{n+1} w$ in $L$ and the other not in $L$. Moreover, if $L$ is not star-free, then there exist fixed words $u, v, w \in A^*$ such that, for each $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $n > N$ with $uv^n w \in L$ but $uv^{n+1} w \notin L$.

**Proof.** If $L$ is star-free, Schützenberger’s Theorem says that the syntactic monoid is aperiodic, so there is an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ with $x^N = x^{N+1}$ for all $x$ in the monoid $A^*/\sim_L$. For any $u, v, w \in A^*$, the image $[v]$ of $v$ in $A^*/\sim_L$ satisfies $[v]^N = [v]^{N+1}$, and so $\sigma^v = \sigma^{v^N}$ for all states $\sigma$ of the minimal automaton $M_L$ accepting $L$, including the state $\sigma = \sigma^u_0$, where $\sigma_0$ is the start state. Thus for all $n > N$, the word $uv^n w$ will be accepted by $M_L$ if and only if $uv^{n+1} w$ is accepted. The proof of the converse is similar.
Now suppose that $L$ is not star-free, and let $m$ be the number of states of the automaton $M_L$. The first part of the proposition shows that there are words $u, v, w \in A^*$ and an integer $i > m$ with one of the words $uv^i w$ or $uv^{i+1} w$ in $L$ and the other in $A^* \setminus L$. Since $i > m$ there must be two integers $j_1, j_2$ with $0 \leq j_1 < j_2 < i$ such that $\sigma_0^{uv^j} = \sigma_0^{uv^{j+2}}$. Let $k = j_2 - j_1$. Then for all natural numbers $l$, $\sigma_0^{uv^l w} = \sigma_0^{uv^{l+k} w}$ and $\sigma_0^{uv^{l+1} w} = \sigma_0^{uv^{l+k+1} w}$. Hence for infinitely many natural numbers $n > m$, we have $uv^nw \in L$ and $uv^{n+1}w \not\in L$. \hfill $\square$

The following lemma will be useful when considering sets of geodesics in subgroups.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let $B$ be a subset of $A$. Then $B^*$ is a star-free language over $A$.

**Proof.** The set $B^*$ can be written as

$$B^* = (\cup_{a \in A \setminus B} a^* \emptyset^*)^c,$$

where $S^c$ denotes the complement $A^* \setminus S$ of a subset $S$ of $A^*$. This is a star-free expression for $B^*$. \hfill $\square$

In Section 5 we will utilize another equivalent characterization of star-free languages, shown in the following proposition.

**Definition 2.3.** We define a circuit in an automaton through a state $\sigma$ to be powered if the circuit is labelled by a word $v^k$ for some $v \in A^+$ with $k > 1$ such that $\sigma^v \neq \sigma^{v^2}$.

**Proposition 2.4.** A regular language $L$ is star-free if and only if the minimal automaton to recognize it has no powered circuits.

**Proof.** Suppose that $v^k$ labels a powered circuit in the minimal automaton $M_L$ for $L$ beginning at a state $\sigma$, with $k > 1$ the least natural number such that $\sigma^{v^k} = \sigma$. Let $u$ label a route from the start state $\sigma_0$ to $\sigma$. Since $M_L$ is minimal, the states $\sigma^u$ and $\sigma^{uv}$ must have different futures, so there is a word $w$ such that one of $uw$ and $uvw$ is in $L$ and the other is not. Hence for all natural numbers $a$, we also have that one of $uv^{ak} w$ and $uv^{ak+1} w$ is in $L$ and the other is not. So, by Proposition 2.1, $L$ cannot be star-free.

Conversely, suppose that $L$ is not star-free. Let $m$ be the number of states of $M_L$. Again using Proposition 2.1 there exist words $u, v, w$ in $A^*$ such that $uv^n w \in L$ but $uv^{n+1} w \not\in L$ for some $n > m$. Among the targets from the start state under the transitions labelled $u, uv, uv^2, \ldots, uv^m$ there must be at least one coincidence, and hence there exist numbers $0 \leq a < b \leq m$ such that the targets satisfy
$\sigma_0^{uv^a} = \sigma_0^{uv^b}$. Since $uv^aw$ and $uv^{a+1}w$ do not have the same target, neither do $uv^a$ and $uv^{a+1}$, and hence we cannot have $b = a + 1$. Therefore $v^{b-a}$ labels a powered circuit at $\sigma_0^{uv^a}$. □

3. Small cancellation result

For a finite group presentation $\langle X \mid R \rangle$ in which the elements of $R$ are cyclically reduced, we define the symmetrization $R_*$ of $R$ to be the set of all cyclic conjugates of all words in $R \cup R^{-1}$.

We define a piece to be a word over $X$ which is a prefix of two (or more) distinct words in $R_*$. Geometrically, a piece is a word labelling a common face of two 2-cells, or regions, of a Dehn (or van Kampen) diagram for this presentation.

Where $\lambda > 0$, we say that the presentation satisfies $C'(\lambda)$ if every piece has length less than $\lambda$ times the length of any relator containing it; in other words, a word labelling a common face between two diagram regions has length less than $\lambda$ times the length of either of the words labelling the boundaries of the regions.

Where $q$ is a positive integer greater than 3, we say that the presentation satisfies $T(q)$ if, for any $h$ with $3 \leq h < q$ and $r_1, \ldots, r_h \in R_*$ with $r_i \neq r_{i-1}^{-1}$ ($1 < i < h$) and $r_1 \neq r_h^{-1}$, at least one of the words $r_1r_2, r_2r_3, \ldots, r_hr_1$ is freely reduced. Geometrically, this implies that each interior vertex of a Dehn diagram with degree greater than 2 must actually have degree at least $q$. We refer the reader to [14] for more details on small cancellation conditions and diagrams.

In this section we prove the following theorem, utilizing results from [14] which show that sufficiently restrictive small cancellation conditions force Dehn diagrams to be very thin.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let $G = \langle X \mid R \rangle$ be a finite group presentation such that the symmetrization $R_*$ of $R$ satisfies one of the small cancellation conditions $C'(1/6)$ (hypothesis A), or $C'(1/4)$ and $T(4)$ (hypothesis B). Then the language of all words over $X$ that are geodesic in $G$ is regular and star-free.

Note that it is already well known that these small cancellation conditions imply word hyperbolicity (see [14]), and hence that the geodesic words form a regular set.

The remainder of this section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 3.1. Let $L$ be the language of all geodesic words for a presentation of a group $G$ satisfying the hypotheses of this theorem, and suppose to the contrary that $L$ is not star-free.

For any word $y$ over $X \cup X^{-1}$, let $|y|$ denote the length of the word $y$, and let $|y|_G$ denote the length of the element of $G$ represented by $y$. 


By Proposition 2.1 there exist words $u, v, w$ over $X$ such that there exist arbitrarily large $n$ with $uv^{n-1}w$ geodesic and $uv^n w$ not geodesic. Choose $u, v, w$ with this property such that $|u| + |w|$ is minimal. Note that $u, v, w$ are each nonempty. Then there exist arbitrarily large $n$ with $uv^{n-1}w$ geodesic and $uv^n w$ minimally non-geodesic (that is, all of its proper subwords are geodesic), since otherwise $u', v, w$ or $u, v, w'$ would have the property in question, where $u'$ and $w'$ are respectively the maximal proper suffix of $u$ and the maximal proper prefix of $w$.

Choose some $n$ for which $uv^n w$ is minimally non-geodesic and $uv^{n-1}w$ is geodesic.

Let $w = w'x$ with $x \in X \cup X^{-1}$, and let $t$ be the geodesic word $uv^n w'$. Then either

(i) $|uv^n w|_G = |t| - 1$, and there is a geodesic word $t'$ ending in $x^{-1}$ with $|t'| = |t|$ and $t' =_G t$; or

(ii) $|uv^n w|_G = |t|$ and there is a geodesic word $t'$ with $|t'| = |t|$ and $t' =_G tx$.

In the first case, we let $T$ be the geodesic digon in the Cayley graph $\Gamma$ of $G$ with edges labelled $t$ and $t'$, and in the second case we let $T$ be the geodesic triangle in $\Gamma$ with edges labelled $t$, $x$ and $t'$. In both cases, the edges labelled $t$ and $t'$ start at the base point of $\Gamma$.

Notice that none of the internal vertices of the paths labelled $t$ and $t'$ in $\Gamma$ can be equal to each other, because such an equality would imply that $uv^n w$ has a proper suffix that is not geodesic, contrary to the choice of $n$. Hence in any Dehn diagram with boundary labels given by the words labelling the edges of $T$, the vertices of the boundary paths labelled $t$ and $t'$ also cannot be equal except at the endpoints.

It follows from the proof of Proposition 39 (ii) of [14] that there is a reduced Dehn diagram $\Delta$ with boundary labels given by $T$, which has the form of one of the two diagrams (corresponding to cases (i) and (ii) above) in Figure 10 of that proof, reproduced here in Figure 1.
A key feature is that all internal vertices in these Dehn diagrams have degree 2, and all external vertices have degree 2 or 3. Notice that the short vertical paths joining vertices of $t$ to vertices of $t'$ in these diagrams are all pieces of the relators corresponding to the two adjoining regions and hence have length less than $1/6$ or $1/4$ of those relators under hypotheses A and B respectively.

The boundary label of each region (except for those regions containing the endpoints of $t$ and $t'$) has the form $r = sps'p' \in R_\ast$, where $s$ and $s'$ are nonempty subwords of $t$ and $(t')^{-1}$, respectively, and $p$ and $p'$ are nonempty pieces. We consider $s$ to label the 'top' of $r$, $s'^{-1}$ the bottom and $p$ and $p'^{-1}$ the right and left boundaries of $r$, respectively. We shall use this top, bottom, right, and left convention throughout this section when referring to any region or union of consecutive regions of $\Delta$.

We shall establish the required contradiction to our assumption that $L$ is not star-free by identifying a union $\Psi_j$ of consecutive regions of $\Delta$ such that the top of $\Psi_j$ is labelled by a cyclic conjugate of $v$, the labels of the left and right boundaries are identical, and the bottom label is no shorter than $v$. In that case the diagram formed by deleting $\Psi_j$ from $\Delta$ and identifying its left and right boundaries demonstrates that $uv^{n-1}w$ is not geodesic, contrary to our choice of $u$, $v$, and $w$.

To construct the top boundary of our region $\Psi_j$, we start by finding a subword $s$ of $v^n$ with particular properties. We define a subword $s$ of $v^n$ to have the property (†) if

(i): some occurrence of $s$ in $v^n$ labels the top of a region in $\Delta$ with boundary $r = sps'p'$ and

(ii): $|s| > |r|/3$ if hypothesis A holds, $|s| > |r|/4$ if hypothesis B holds.

**Lemma 3.2.** Provided that $n$ is large enough, $v^n$ has a subword $s$ satisfying (†).
Proof. Let \( r = sps'p' \) label any region in \( \Delta \). If \(|s| \leq |r|/3 \) (resp. \(|s| \leq |r|/4 \)), then since \(|p|, |p'| < |r|/6 \) (resp. \(|p|, |p'| < |r|/4 \)), we have \(|s'| > |s| \). But, since \(|t| = |t'| \), then provided that \( n \) is large enough, this cannot be true for all regions of \( \Delta \) whose top label is a subword of \( v^n \).

From now on let \( n \) be large enough for the lemma above to be applied. In addition let \( n \) be larger than \( 6\rho \), where \( \rho \) is the length of the longest relator in the presentation.

For the remainder of this section, let \( s \) be a specific choice of a word which is as long as possible subject to satisfying (†). We shall call an occurrence of \( s \) in \( v^n \) strictly internal if it does not include any of the first \( \rho \) or last \( \rho \) letters of \( v^n \).

Lemma 3.3. Every strictly internal occurrence of \( s \) in \( v^n \) labels the top of a region in \( \Delta \).

Proof. Suppose not, and consider a strictly internal occurrence of \( s \) which is not the top of a relator.

First note that \( s \) cannot be a proper subword of the top \( y \) of a region of \( \Delta \). For if it were, since \( s \) is strictly internal, the word \( y \) would be a subword of \( v^n \) satisfying (†) and have length longer than \( s \).

Hence there are adjacent regions of \( \Delta \) with labels \( r_1 = s_1p_1s'_1p'_1 \) and \( r_2 = s_2p_2s'_2p'_2 \) where \( p'_2 = p_1^{-1} \) and the vertex at the end of the path labelled \( s_1 \) and at the beginning of the path labelled \( s_2 \) is an internal vertex of the subpath of \( t \) labelled \( s \).

Either (1) \( s_1 \) is a proper subword of \( s \) or (2) \( s_2 \) is a proper subword of \( s \), or (3) \( s \) is a subword of \( s_1s_2 \) (see Figure 2).

Figure 2.

Note that it is impossible for the \( T(4) \) property to hold in any of these situations. A violation is provided by the two relators shown, together with a relator containing \( s \). So we may assume that \( C'(1/6) \) holds.

In case (1), \( s_1 \) is a piece, and so are \( p'_1 \) and \( p_1 \) (since the \( p_i \) and \( p'_i \) are the labels of the vertical paths in \( \Delta \)), and so each must have length less
than $|r|/6$. But then the path $p_1's_1p_1$ is shorter than $s_1'$, contradicting the fact that $t'$ is a geodesic. Case (2) is dealt with similarly.

In case (3), $s \cap s_1$ and $s \cap s_2$ are pieces, and so must each have length less than $|r|/6$, contradicting the condition that $|s| > |r|/3$.

Now write $t = uv^\rho \tilde{v} v^\rho w'$, where $\tilde{v} = v^{n-2\rho}$. The first occurrence of $s$ in $\tilde{v}$ must start before the end of the first $v$; that is, $\tilde{v}$ has a prefix of the form $qs$ where $q$ is a proper prefix of $v$. Since $n > 6\rho$, the suffix $v^{n-2\rho-3}$ of $\tilde{v}$ has length at least $4\rho - 3 \geq \rho$, and so is longer than $s$. Hence this condition on $n$ ensures that the words $vqs$ and $v^2qs$ are also prefixes of $\tilde{v}$. Then all three of these occurrences of $s$ are also strictly internal subwords in $v^n$. By Lemma 3.3 these three occurrences of $s$ are each the top label of a region of $\Delta$, so these subwords must be disjoint. Hence $t$ must have a prefix of the form $uv^\rho qsq'sq's$, where the three subwords labelled $s$ are the three discussed above, and $sq'$ is a cyclic conjugate of $v$.

Let the three regions of $\Delta$ whose tops are labelled by these $s$ subwords be called $\Phi_0$, $\Phi_2$ and $\Phi_4$. If $q'$ is nonempty, let $\Phi_1$ and $\Phi_3$ be the regions attached immediately to the left of $\Phi_2$ and $\Phi_4$, respectively (see Figure 3). Since the $s$ subwords are strictly internal, these regions cannot contain the endpoints of $t$ or $t'$. Then for $i = 0, \ldots, 4$ the region $\Phi_i$ has a boundary label of the form $r_i := s_ip_i's_i'p_i'$. Note that $s_0 = s_2 = s_4 = s$.
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**Lemma 3.4.** We have $r_2 = r_4$ and $p_2' = p_4'$.

**Proof.** Since $s$ satisfies (†) and so is too long to be a piece, it follows immediately that $r_2 = r_4$.

We shall show that $p_2' = p_4'$ in the case when $q'$ is not the empty word; the proof in the case when $q'$ is empty is similar.

First we shall prove that $s_1 = s_3$.

Under hypothesis A, since $p_1$ and $p_1'$ are pieces and $t'$ is geodesic, we have $|p_1|, |p_1'| < |r_1|/6$ and $|s_1'| < |r_1|/2$, so therefore $|s_1| > |r_1|/6$. Thus $s_1$ is too long to be a piece, so the relator $r_1$ is the only element of $R_3$ with prefix $s_1$. Let $y$ be the longest suffix of $q'$ which is a subword of an element of $R_3$. Then $s_1$ is a suffix of $y$, i.e. $y = zs_1$, and again
y is too long to be a piece, so the only relators in $R_*$ containing $y$ are cyclic conjugates of $r_1$. Hence the (possibly empty) word $z$ is a suffix of $r_1$. If $z$ were nonempty, then the last letter $a$ of $z$ would be a suffix of $p_1'$, and the relator $\tilde{r} = \tilde{s}\tilde{p}'\tilde{s}'\tilde{p}'$ labelling the boundary of the region $\tilde{\Phi}$ immediately to the left of $\Phi_1$ would have top label $\tilde{s}$ ending with $a$. But the first letter of $\tilde{p} = p_1'$ would then be $a^{-1}$, contradicting the fact that the relator $\tilde{r} \in R_*$ must be reduced. Thus $s_1$ must be the longest suffix of $q'$ which is a subword of an element of $R_*$ in this case.

Under hypothesis B, again let $y$ be the longest suffix of $q'$ which is a subword of an element of $R_*$, and write $y = zs_1$. If $z$ were nonempty, then the regions $\tilde{\Phi}, \Phi_1$, and a third region with boundary label containing $y$ glued along this word to the other two regions, would violate the $T(4)$ condition. Thus again $s_1$ must be the longest suffix of $q'$ which is a subword of an element of $R_*$.

The same argument under both hypotheses shows that $s_3$ is also the longest suffix of $q'$ which is a subword of an element of $R_*$, so $s_1 = s_3$.

Now suppose that $p_2' \neq p_4'$. Then one is a subword of the other, since both are suffixes of $r_2 = r_4$. Suppose (without loss of generality) that $p_4' = \tau^{-1}p_2'$; then $p_3 = p_1\tau$. If $r_1 = r_3$ then $\tau$ is a prefix of $s_1p_1s_1p_1$ and $\tau^{-1}$ is a suffix of $p_2's_2p_2s_2'$, and so $t'$ is not freely reduced. If $r_1 \neq r_3$, then $s_1p_1 = s_3p_1$ is a piece, and under either $C'(1/6)$ or $C'(1/4)$ must have length less than $|r_1|/4$. Then $p_1's_1p_1$ has length less than $|r_1|/2$, and $s_1'$ cannot be geodesic.

Now let $\Psi_1$ be the union of the regions $\Phi_2$ and all regions of $\Delta$ to the right of $\Phi_2$ up to but not including $\Phi_4$. Then the boundary label of $\Psi_1$ is $sq'p_4'^{-1}\sigma_1p_2'$ where $sq'$ is a cyclic conjugate of $v$, $p_4' = p_2'$, and $\sigma_1'$ is a subword of $(t')^{-1}$ (see Figure 4 with $q' \neq 1$).

![Figure 4](image-url)

Provided that $n$ is large enough, we can define similar unions of regions $\Psi_2, \Psi_3, \ldots, \Psi_i, \ldots$ of $\Delta$, where $\Psi_i$ is immediately to the left of $\Psi_{i+1}$, and $\Psi_i$ has boundary label $sq'p_4'^{-1}\sigma_1'p_2'$, where each $\sigma_1'$ is a subword of $(t')^{-1}$. 


We have not attempted to show that the words $\sigma_i'$ are equal for all $i$, but since $|t| = |t'|$ we cannot have $|\sigma_i'| < |v|$ for all $i$, and so there exists a $j$ with $|\sigma_j'| \geq |v|$. Now, if we remove $\Psi_j$ from $\Delta$, the effect is to replace $t$ by $uv^{n-1}w'$ and $t'$ by a word of length at most $|t| - |v|$, so we obtain a diagram that shows that $uv^{n-1}w$ is not geodesic, contrary to assumption.

4. Dependence on generating set

In this section, we give two examples of groups for which the set of geodesics is star-free with respect to one generating set, but not with respect to another.

Free groups have star-free geodesics with respect to their free generators, but the following example shows that this is not necessarily the case with an arbitrary generating set. Let

$$G := \langle a, b, c, d, r, s \mid ba^2d = rcs, bd = s \rangle.$$ 

The two relations can be written as $r = ba^2b^{-1}c^{-1}$, $s = bd$, and so they can be used to eliminate $r$ and $s$ from any word representing an element of $G$. Hence $G$ is free on $a, b, c$ and $d$.

Since $ba^{2k}d =_G (ba^2b^{-1})^kbd =_G (rc)^ks$ for all $k \geq 0$, we have that $ba^{2k}d$ is not a geodesic word. We shall now show that $ba^{2k+1}d$ is a geodesic word for all $k \geq 0$, which implies, by Proposition 2.1, that the set of geodesics for the group defined by this presentation is not star-free.

Let $w = ba^{2k+1}d$ for some $k \geq 0$. Suppose to the contrary that $w$ is not geodesic. Then there is a word $x$ in $a, b, c, d, r, s$ and their inverses satisfying $l(x) < l(w)$ which freely reduces to $w$ after we make the above substitutions to eliminate $r$ and $s$. Let $p_a$ denote the number of occurrences of $a$ in $x$, let $n_a$ denote the number of occurrences of $a^{-1}$ in $x$, and similarly for the other five generators of $G$. Since the exponent sum of the $a$’s in $w$ is $2k + 1$, and the only letters of $x$ that contribute powers of $a$ after the substitution are powers of $a$ and $r$, we have $p_a - n_a + 2p_r - 2n_r = 2k + 1$. Computing the exponent sums of the $b$’s, $c$’s, and $d$’s in $w$ in the same way, we obtain $p_b - n_b + p_s - n_s = 1$, $p_c - n_c - p_r + n_r = 0$, and $p_d - n_d + p_s - n_s = 1$, respectively. Then

$$2k + 3 = l(w) > l(x) = p_a + n_a + p_b + n_b + p_c + n_c + p_d + n_d + p_r + n_r + p_s + n_s = 2n_a + 2n_b + 2n_c + p_d + n_d + 2n_r + 2n_s + 2k + 2.$$
Therefore \( n_a = n_b = n_c = n_d = n_r = n_s = p_d = 0 \), so the word \( x \) contains no occurrences of inverses of the generators of \( G \), and also no occurrences of the generator \( d \). As a consequence, then \( p_a + 2p_r = 2k + 1 \), \( p_s = 1 \), \( p_b = 0 \), and \( p_r = p_c \). Hence the letter \( b \) also does not occur in \( x \), the letter \( s \) occurs once, and since \( 2k + 1 \) is odd, we have \( p_a > 0 \) so \( x \) contains at least one \( a \). Since the \( d \) is at the right hand end of \( w \), the \( s \) must be at the right hand end of \( x \). Hence \( x \) has the form \( yazs \), where \( y \) and \( z \) are words over \( a, c, r \). After making the substitutions for \( r \) and \( s \) in \( x \), all of the powers of \( a \) in the word are positive, so the \( a \) in the expression \( yazs \) for \( x \) will not be cancelled after further free reduction, but the exponent sum of the \( b \)'s to the left of this \( a \) is zero. Thus the resulting word cannot freely reduce to \( w \), giving the required contradiction.

Our second example is the three-strand braid group \( B_3 \), which has a presentation \( \langle a, b \mid bab = aba \rangle \). The geodesics for this group on generators \( \{a^\pm 1, b^\pm 1\} \) are described in [12]. A reduced word is geodesic if it does not contain both one of \{\(ab, ba\)\} and also one of \{\(a^{-1} b^{-1}, b^{-1} a^{-1}\)\} as subwords, and it does not contain both \(aba\) and also one of \{\(a^{-1}, b^{-1}\)\} as subwords, and it does not contain both \(a^{-1}b^{-1}a^{-1}\) and also one of \{\(a, b\)\} as subwords. Hence the language of geodesics can be expressed as

\[
[(\emptyset^c a b \emptyset^c \cup \emptyset^c b a \emptyset^c) \cap (\emptyset^c a^{-1} b^{-1} \emptyset^c \cup \emptyset^c b^{-1} a^{-1} \emptyset^c)]^c \\
\cap \quad [(\emptyset^c a b \emptyset^c) \cap (\emptyset^c a^{-1} \emptyset^c \cup \emptyset^c b^{-1} \emptyset^c)]^c \\
\cap \quad [(\emptyset^c a^{-1} b^{-1} a^{-1} \emptyset^c) \cap (\emptyset^c a \emptyset^c \cup \emptyset^c b \emptyset^c)]^c,
\]

which is a star-free regular language.

In [1], Charney and Meier prove that Garside groups have regular geodesics with respect to the generating set consisting of the divisors of the Garside element and their inverses. The class of Garside groups includes and generalizes the class of Artin groups of finite type, which itself includes the braid groups. The set of divisors of the Garside element in the three-strand braid group \( B_3 \) is \( \{a, b, ab, ba, aba\} \), and an automaton accepting the geodesics in the positive monoid of this example is calculated explicitly in Example 3.5 of [1]. We see from this that \((ba)(aba)^n(a)\) is a geodesic for \( n \) even, but not for \( n \) odd. So, by Proposition 2.1 the language of geodesics for \( B_3 \) with this second generating set is not star-free.
5. DIRECT PRODUCTS, FREE PRODUCTS AND GRAPH PRODUCTS

We start by proving the straightforward result that the class of groups with star-free sets of geodesics is closed under taking direct products.

**Lemma 5.1.** If the languages \(L_1, L_2\) of all geodesics of \((G_1, X_1)\) and \((G_2, X_2)\) are star-free then so is the language \(L\) of all geodesics of \((G_1 \times G_2, X_1 \cup X_2)\).

**Proof.** The language \(L\) is the set of words over \(X_1 \cup X_2\) which project onto words in each of \(L_1\) and \(L_2\) if we map in turn the elements of \(X_2, X_1\) to the empty strings. We show that \(L\) can be described as the intersection of two star-free languages, \(L'_1\) and \(L'_2\).

The language \(L'_1\) is defined by wrapping arbitrary strings in \(X_2\) around the elements of \(X_1\) for each string in \(L_1\); that is,

\[
L'_1 := \{w_0 x_1 w_1 \cdots x_n w_n \mid w_i \in X_2^* \text{ and } x_1 \cdots x_n \in L_1\}.
\]

Thus a regular expression for \(L'_1\) is found by replacing each element \(x\) of \(X_1\) in a star-free (regular) expression for \(L_1\) by \(X_2^* x X_2^*\). Note that Lemma 2.2 shows that \(X_2^*\) is a star-free language over \(X_1 \cup X_2\). Since star-free languages are closed under concatenation, this regular expression for \(L'_1\) shows that \(L'_1\) is star-free. The language \(L'_2\) is defined similarly. \(\square\)

It is straightforward to prove that an analogue of the above result also holds for free products. In fact in the next theorem we prove a more general result, which includes both direct and free products as special cases.

**Definition 5.2.** Let \(\Gamma\) be a finite undirected graph with \(n\) vertices labelled by finitely generated groups \(G_i\). Then the graph product \(\Pi\Gamma G\) of the groups \(G_i\) with respect to \(\Gamma\) is defined to be the group generated by \(G_1, \ldots, G_n\) modulo relations implying that elements of \(G_i\) and \(G_j\) commute if there is an edge in \(\Gamma\) connecting the vertices labelled by \(G_i\) and \(G_j\).

Thus if \(\Gamma\) is either a graph with no edges or a complete graph, then the graph product is the free or the direct product of the groups \(G_i\), respectively.

The word problem for graph products is studied in detail in [3] and [4]. If we use a generating set for \(\Pi\Gamma G\) that consists of the union of generating sets of the vertex groups \(G_i\), then it turns out that a word \(w\) in the generators is non-geodesic if and only if pairs of adjacent generators in \(w\) that lie in commuting pairs of vertex groups can be swapped.
around so as to produce a non-geodesic subword lying in one of the vertex groups. This property is used in [7] to prove that the geodesics form a regular set if and only if the geodesics of the vertex groups all form regular sets. We adapt this proof to show that the same is true with ‘regular’ replaced by ‘star-free’.

**Theorem 5.3.** Let $G_1, \ldots, G_n$ be the vertex groups of a graph product $G := \Pi \Gamma G$, let $A_1, \ldots, A_n$ be finite inverse-closed sets of generators for $G_i$, and let $L_1, \ldots, L_n$ be the languages of all geodesics in $G_1, \ldots, G_n$ over $A_1, \ldots, A_n$, respectively. Let $A := \cup_{i=1}^n A_i$ and let $L$ be the language of all geodesics in $G$ over $A$. The languages $L_1, \ldots, L_n$ are all star-free if and only if the language $L$ is star-free.

**Proof.** First, suppose that $L$ is star-free. For each vertex index $i$, a word over $A_i^*$ which is geodesic as an element of $G_i$ is also geodesic as an element of $G$, and the language $L_i$ is the intersection of the star-free language $L$ with $A_i^*$. Lemma 2.2 shows that the set $A_i^*$ is star-free, so $L_i$ is also star-free.

Conversely, suppose that each language $L_i$ is star-free. Let $F_i$ denote the minimal finite state automaton over $A_i$ that accepts $L_i$. Since any prefix of a geodesic is also geodesic, the language $L_i$ is prefix-closed, and therefore the automaton $F_i$ has a single fail state, and all other states are accept states.

Following the proof in [7], for each $i$ define a finite state automaton $\hat{F}_i$ over $A$ by adding arrows for the generators in $A \setminus A_i$ to the automaton $F_i$ as follows. For each $a \in A \setminus A_i$ which commutes with $G_i$, a loop labelled $a$ is added at every state of $F_i$ (including the fail state). For each $b \in A \setminus A_i$ which does not commute with $G_i$, an arrow labelled $b$ is added to join each accept state of $F_i$ to the start state, and a loop labelled $b$ is added at the fail state. Completing the construction in [7], an automaton $F$ is built to accept the intersection of the languages of the automata $\hat{F}_i$, and the authors show that the language accepted by $F$ is exactly the language $L$ of geodesics of $\Pi \Gamma G$ over $A$.

Note that if two states of $\hat{F}_i$ have the same future, then these states have the same future under the restricted alphabet $A_i$. Thus minimality of the automaton $F_i$ implies that $\hat{F}_i$ is also minimal.

Since $L_i$ is star-free, Proposition 2.4 says that $F_i$ has no powered circuits. Then the finite state automaton $\hat{F}_i$ has no powered circuit labelled by a word in $A_i^+$. Suppose that $\hat{F}_i$ has a powered circuit over $A^+$ and let $v$ be a least length word such that a power of $v$ labels a powered circuit in $\hat{F}_i$. Let $\sigma$ be the beginning state of this circuit, and let $k > 1$ be the least natural number such that $\sigma v^k = \sigma$. The states in this circuit must be accept states. If $v$ contains a letter $a \in A \setminus A_i$
which commutes with $G_i$, then the word $v$ with the letter $a$ removed also labels a powered circuit at $\sigma$, contradicting the choice of $v$ with least length. Then we can write $v = v_1bv_2$ such that $v_1, v_2 \in A^*$ and the letter $b \in A \setminus A_i$ does not commute with $G_i$. Hence $\sigma^{v_1b}$ is the start state $\sigma_{i,0}$ of $\hat{F}_i$ and the word $v_2v_1b$ labels a circuit at $\sigma_{i,0}$, and so $v$ labels a circuit at $\sigma_i$, contradicting the condition $k > 1$. Therefore $\hat{F}_i$ also has no powered circuits. Applying Proposition 2.4 again, then the language accepted by $\hat{F}_i$ is star-free.

Finally, the language $L$ is the intersection of the star-free languages accepted by the $\hat{F}_i$, so therefore $L$ is also star-free. \qed

6. Virtually abelian groups

In this section, we prove that every finitely generated abelian group has a star-free set of geodesics with respect to any finite generating set, whereas every finitely generated virtually abelian group $G$ has some finite generating set with respect to which $G$ has star-free geodesics.

As a special case of these results, note that we can see quickly that the geodesic language of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ for the standard (inverse closed) generating set is star-free, either via Lemma 5.1 or as follows. The minimal automaton for this language has states corresponding to subsets of the generators that do not contain inverse pairs, together with a fail state. At a state given by a subset $S$, the transition corresponding to a generator $a$ will go either to $S$ itself if $S$ contains $a$, to $S \cup \{a\}$ if $S$ does not contain $a$ or $a^{-1}$, and to the fail state if $S$ contains $a^{-1}$. Then the transition monoid of the minimal automaton for this language of geodesics, i.e. the syntactic monoid, is both abelian and generated by idempotents, and hence every element is an idempotent and the monoid is aperiodic. Schützenberger’s Theorem then says that this language is star-free.

Our arguments in this section make use of a condition that is more restrictive than the star-free property, and indeed more restrictive than the piecewise testable property, which we shall call \textit{piecewise excluding}. A language $L$ over $A$ is said to be piecewise excluding if there is a finite set of strings $W \subset A^*$ with the property that a word $w \in A^*$ lies in $L$ if and only if $w$ does not contain any of the strings in $W$ as a not necessarily consecutive substring. In other words,

$$L = (\bigcup_{i=1}^n \{A^*a_{i_1}A^*a_{i_2}A^* \cdots A^*a_{i_l}A^*\})^c,$$

where $W = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$ and $a_i = a_{i_1}a_{i_2} \cdots a_{i_l}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. It follows directly from the above expression and the definition of piecewise testable languages given in Section 1 that piecewise excluding languages are piecewise testable, and hence star-free.
We also need the following technical result, in which the set $\mathbb{N}$ of natural numbers includes 0.

**Lemma 6.1.** Define the ordering $\preceq$ on $\mathbb{N}^r$ by $(m_1, \ldots, m_r) \preceq (n_1, \ldots, n_r)$ if and only if $m_i \leq n_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Then any subset of $\mathbb{N}^r$ has only finitely many elements that are minimal under $\preceq$.

**Proof.** See [2, Lemma 4.3.2] or the second paragraph of the proof of [10, Proposition 4.4]. \qed

**Proposition 6.2.** If $G$ is a finitely generated abelian group, then the set of all geodesic words for any finite monoid generating set of $G$ is a piecewise excluding (and hence a piecewise testable and a star-free) language.

**Proof.** Let $A = \{x_1, \ldots, x_r\}$ be a finite monoid generating set for $G$, and let

$$U := \{ (n_1, \ldots, n_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r \mid x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \cdots x_r^{n_r} \text{ is non-geodesic} \}.$$

By Lemma 6.1, the subset $V \subseteq U$, consisting of those elements of $U$ that are minimal under $\preceq$, is finite. Let $W$ be the set of all permutations of all words $x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \cdots x_r^{n_r}$ with $(n_1, \ldots, n_r) \in V$. Since $G$ is abelian, whether or not a word over $A$ is geodesic is not changed by permuting the generators in the word. Hence, by definition of minimality under $\preceq$, any non-geodesic word over $A$ contains a word in $W$ as a not necessarily consecutive substring. Conversely, since the words in $W$ are themselves non-geodesic, any word over $A$ containing one of them as a not necessarily consecutive substring is also non-geodesic. So the set of geodesic words over $A^*$ is piecewise excluding, as claimed. \qed

**Proposition 6.3.** Any finitely generated virtually abelian group has an inverse-closed generating set with respect to which the set of all geodesics is a piecewise testable (and hence a star-free) language.

**Proof.** The analogous result for ‘regular’ rather than ‘piecewise testable’ is proved in Propositions 4.1 and 4.4 of [10]. We extend that proof.

Let $N$ be a finite index normal abelian subgroup in $G$. We choose a finite generating set of $G$ of the form $Z = X \cup Y$ with the following properties.

1. $X \subseteq N$ and $Y \subseteq G \setminus N$.
2. Both $X$ and $Y$ are closed under the taking of inverses.
3. $X$ is closed under conjugation by the elements of $Y$.
4. $Y$ contains at least one representative of each nontrivial coset of $N$ in $G$. 

...
(5) For any equation \( w =_G xy \) with \( w \) a word of length at most 3 over \( Y, y \in Y \cup \{1\} \) and \( x \in N \), we have \( x \in X \).

We must first show that such generating sets exist. To see this, start with any finite generating set \( Z \) of \( G \) and let \( X := Z \cap N, Y := Z \setminus X \). Adjoin finitely many new generators to ensure that Property 4 holds and that \( Y \) is closed under taking inverses. Since \( Y \) is finite, there are only finitely many possible words \( w \) in Property 5, and we can adjoin finitely many new generators in \( N \) to ensure that Property 5 holds. Now adjoin inverses of elements of \( X \) to get Property 2. Since \( N \) is abelian and \( |G : N| \) is finite, elements of \( N \) have only finitely many conjugates in \( G \), and so we can adjoin finitely many conjugates of elements of \( X \) to \( Z \) to get Property 3, after which \( X \) will still be closed under inversion. The five properties will then all hold.

Now let \( L \) be the set of all geodesic words over \( Z \). For \( i \geq 0 \), let \( Z_i \) be the set of all words \( z_1 \cdots z_m \in Z^* \) for which precisely \( i \) of the symbols \( z_j \) lie in \( Y \), and let \( L_i := L \cap Z_i \). Let \( \tilde{Z}_i \) be the set of words in \( Z^* \) containing at least \( i \) letters of \( Y \). Then \( \tilde{Z}_i := \cup_{y_1, \ldots, y_t \in Y} \{Z^*y_1Z^* \cdots Z^*y_tZ^*\} \) is a piecewise testable language. The set \( Z_i \) equals the intersection \( \tilde{Z}_i \cap (\tilde{Z}_{i+1})^c \), and so is also piecewise testable.

First we shall show that \( L = L_0 \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \). Property 8 implies that any word in \( L \) is equal in \( G \) to a word of the same length involving the same elements of \( Y \), but with all of those elements appearing at the right hand end of the word. By Property 5 any word over \( Y \) of length three or more is non-geodesic. So \( L \) is contained in (and hence equal to) \( L_0 \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \).

Now Property 4 ensures that an element of \( L_1 \) cannot represent an element of \( N \), and the same is true for \( L_2 \), because Property 5 implies that a word in \( Z_2 \) that represents an element of \( N \) cannot be geodesic. So \( L_0 \) is equal to the set of all geodesic words in \( N \) over \( X \), and the set \( X \) generates the subgroup \( N \). Then \( L_0 \) is piecewise testable by Proposition 6.2.

Next we show that \( L_1 \) is piecewise testable. For a fixed \( y \in Y \), applying the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 6.2 to \( X^*y \), we can show that there is a finite set \( W_y \) of words over \( X \) with the property that a word in \( X^*y \) is non-geodesic if and only if it contains one of the words in \( W_y \) as a not necessarily consecutive substring.

For each \( y \in Y \) and \( x \in X \), denote the generator in \( X \) equal in \( G \) to \( y^{-1}xy \) (which exists by Property 3) by \( x^y \). Define \( W \) to be the (finite) set of words over \( Z \) of the form \( x_1 \cdots x_i y x_{i+1}^y \cdots x_j \), where \( y \in Y \) and \( x_1 \cdots x_i x_{i+1} \cdots x_s \in W_y \). Then a word in \( Z_1 \) is non-geodesic if and only if it contains a word in \( W \) as a not necessarily consecutive substring.
So $L_1 = P \cap Z_1$, where $P$ is a piecewise excluding language. Since $Z_1$ is piecewise testable, this shows that $L_1$ is piecewise testable.

The proof that $L_2$ is piecewise testable is similar and is left to the reader. So $L = L_0 \cup L_1 \cup L_2$ is piecewise testable. □
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