A Microfluidic DNA Library Preparation Platform for Next-Generation Sequencing
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Abstract

Next-generation sequencing (NGS) is emerging as a powerful tool for elucidating genetic information for a wide range of applications. Unfortunately, the surging popularity of NGS has not yet been accompanied by an improvement in automated techniques for preparing formatted sequencing libraries. To address this challenge, we have developed a prototype microfluidic system for preparing sequencer-ready DNA libraries for analysis by Illumina sequencing. Our system combines droplet-based digital microfluidic (DMF) sample handling with peripheral modules to create a fully-integrated, sample-in-library-out platform. In this report, we use our automated system to prepare NGS libraries from samples of human and bacterial genomic DNA. E. coli libraries prepared on-device from 5 ng of total DNA yielded excellent sequence coverage over the entire bacterial genome, with >99% alignment to the reference genome, even genome coverage, and good quality scores. Furthermore, we produced a de novo assembly on a previously unsequenced multi-drug resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae strain BAA-2146 (KpnNDM). The new method described here is fast, robust, scalable, and automated. Our device for library preparation will assist in the integration of NGS technology into a wide variety of laboratories, including small research laboratories and clinical laboratories.

Introduction

Next-Generation Sequencing (NGS) technology [1] has been widely adopted for applications ranging from microbial community profiling [2,3] to screening for genetic abnormalities associated with human disease [4,5] to pathogen discovery and characterization [6,7]. NGS offers massively parallel data collection that enables genome, transcriptome, or metagene sequencing to be performed with a single instrument at a cost of a few thousand dollars per run [8]. Moreover, a new generation of "personal" instruments such as MiSeq (Illumina), GS Junior (454 Life Sciences), and Ion Torrent (Life Technologies) have made NGS increasingly accessible to small and resource-limited laboratories [9], including clinical laboratories.

Although NGS technology itself has already transformed genomic research, the preparation of properly formatted sequencing libraries from DNA or RNA samples is a lengthy, multi-step process. While there is great interest in developing streamlined techniques for preparing sequencer-ready libraries [1], few automated solutions have been described, and most library preparation methods used today are manual and tedious. Robotic platforms can be configured for high-throughput library preparation, but are not cost-effective for smaller laboratories that have inconsistent demand, limited resources, or need for greater flexibility in customizing the workflow for a wide variety of samples types. Furthermore, pipette-based techniques — whether robotic or manual — are difficult to scale down to volumes less than a few microliters, which makes efficient preparation of libraries from small or limited samples (as commonly encountered in clinical applications) challenging.

We report here a rapid, automated solution for preparing Illumina sequencer-ready libraries from only a few nanograms of genomic DNA. This method is enabled by distinct capillary-based modules integrated through digital microfluidics (DMF) — a fluid-handling technique in which discrete droplets of samples and reagents are actuated on a planar hydrophobic surface by applying a series of electrical potentials to an array of electrodes [10–12]. DMF excels at discrete "pipette-like" fluidic manipulations including reagent dispensing, mixing and splitting of aliquots at the low-microliter or sub-microliter scale. Protocols for sequencing
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library preparation typically consist of a series of “unit operations” including physical or enzymatic transformations, cleanup, temperature-controlled amplification, and size selection steps [13–15]. Although many of these individual unit operations [16–18] might conceivably be performed within the context of a DMF device, integrating all of these functionalities with disparate requirements onto a single platform is challenging.

We recently described a robust, standardized capillary-DMF interface to interconvert liquid samples between the discrete droplet DMF format and a continuous-flow format for straightforward, programmable sample preparation steps including dispensing, fractionation and separation [19,20]. Building on our initial efforts, we introduce here a fully integrated and automated microfluidic system, in which the DMF platform performs basic reagent metering and mixing steps, but also serves as a “hub” or “fluidic router” to integrate the operation of off-DMF modules that are individually optimized to perform each of the disparate steps in sequencing library preparation.

To test the performance of our new method, human and bacterial genomic DNA (gDNA) libraries were prepared using the Nextera sample preparation protocol [21] on our platform, and libraries were sequenced in-house using the Illumina MiSeq system. The Nextera sample preparation protocol is itself streamlined relative to traditional sample preparation techniques [22] that involve mechanical fragmentation of DNA followed by end repair, dA tailing, and adapter ligation. In the Nextera workflow, shown schematically in Figure 1, gDNA is first “tagmented” in a transposase-mediated reaction that simultaneously fragments and tags DNA with adapters. The adapter-tagged DNA fragment library is then purified to remove unwanted constituents from the tagmentation reaction. Sequencing adapters are added to the fragment library by limited-cycle PCR, and finally the DNA library is size-selected for sequencing and analysis.

Our DMF hub with peripheral modules automates this entire workflow into a single, hands-free protocol. The differentiating strength of this platform is that it can be easily reconfigured and reprogrammed to accommodate a wide range of library preparation techniques for diverse applications. Further, the platform is easily scalable with respect to the volume and number of samples, allowing efficient processing of precious samples.

**Experiments**

**Reagents and Materials**

Fluorinert FC-40, Phoronic F127, ethanol and 1X Tris-EDTA (TE) (pH 8.0) buffer were purchased from Sigma Chemical (St. Louis, MO). Parylene C dimer was purchased from Specialty Coating Systems (Indianapolis, IN), Teflon-AF from DuPont (Wilmington, DE), Nextera DNA Sample Preparation Kit from Illumina (San Diego, CA) and SPRI (Solid Phase Reversible Immobilization) magnetic beads from Beckman Coulter (Danvers, MA). Human genomic DNA isolated from peripheral blood mononuclear cells, Escherichia coli MG1655, and Klebsiella pneumoniae strain BAA-2146 genomic DNA were purchased from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) (Manassas, VA).

Working solutions of all DNA were prepared in TE buffer with 0.1% Phoronic F127 (w/v) [23,24]. For DNA library preparation on the DMF hub, Nextera mixture (2 µL High Molecular Weight, 0.5 µL enzyme mix), Nextera PCR mixture (12.5 µL 2X PCR buffer, 0.5 µL primer cocktail, 0.5 µL PCR enzyme, 0.5 µL 50X Adapter 2) and elution buffer (water with 0.1% Phoronic F127 w/v) were used. HPLC-grade organic solvents and nuclease-free water were used in all experiments.

**Bacterial Growth Conditions and DNA Extraction**

E. coli MG1655 was plated on Luria Broth (LB) agar plates. An isolated colony was inoculated into 5 ml of LB broth and grown overnight at 37°C with shaking. A 50 µl aliquot of the overnight culture was used to inoculate 5 ml of fresh LB broth and the bacteria were incubated as before for 2 hours, at which point exponential growth had been established. DNA was extracted from 1 ml of bacterial culture using the DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Qiagen). The extracted DNA was quantified by Qubit (Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY) prior to library generation.

**DMF Device Fabrication and Assembly**

DMF devices were fabricated in the Sandia National Laboratories Applied Biosystems Laboratory cleanroom facility, using a transparent photomask printed at Photo Sciences (Torrance, CA). Glass devices bearing patterned indium tin oxide (ITO) electrodes were formed by photolithography and etching as described previously [19,20,25], and were coated with 4 µm of Parylene-C and 50 nm of Teflon-AF. Parylene-C was applied using a vapor deposition instrument (Specialty Coating Systems, Indianapolis, IN), and Teflon-AF was spin-coated (1% wt/wt in Fluorinert FC-40, 2000 rpm, 60 s) followed by post-baking on a hot-plate (160°C, 10 min). Polyimide tape (DuPont, Hayward, CA) was placed on the electrode contact pads prior to parlyene coating and was removed after coating to enable electrical contact. In addition to patterned devices, unpatterned indium tin oxide (ITO) coated glass substrates (Part # CG-41H6-S207; Delta Technologies Ltd, Stillwater, MN) were coated with Teflon-AF (50 nm, as above) to serve as device top plates. The device design (Figure 2b) features an array of forty-eight actuation electrodes with inter-electrode gaps of 20 µm, forty-four of which are rectangular (i.e., forty ~
The DMF hub used here is identical to those described elsewhere [19,20]. DMF hubs with recesses that maintain a 185 or 400 \( \mu \text{m} \) gap spacing between the top and bottom plates were used to accommodate different droplet volumes. In brief, DMF plates were fixtured in a custom-engineered polymer manifold frame using metal compression frames to hold the unpatterned ITO–glass top plate and the patterned electrode plate into precisely spaced recesses in the manifold frame. Fourteen side ports provide access to the DMF device by enabling the insertion and registration of individual capillaries into the space between the two DMF plates. Electrical contact to the DMF plates was made by spring-loaded pogo pins partially recessed in the manifold frame.

To form the DMF Hub–module interface, 3.5–4.0 cm long, fused-silica capillaries (Polymicro, Phoenix, AZ) coated in-house with Teflon-AF were inserted through the side ports and into the air space between the DMF plates. For DMF Hubs with 185 \( \mu \text{m} \) spacing, 160 \( \mu \text{m} \) outer diameter (OD) and 100 \( \mu \text{m} \) inner diameter (ID) capillaries (Part # TSU100170) were inserted and positioned 250 \( \mu \text{m} \) away from the edge of the receiving 2.5 \( \times \) 2.5 mm DMF actuation electrodes. The distal ends of the capillaries were connected to larger volume polycarbonate tubing modules with 750/562 \( \mu \text{m} \) OD/ID (Part # CT562-750-5; Paradigm optics, Vancouver, WA), which served as sample processing and reagent reservoirs (Figure 2a). Short coupling tubes with 500/167 \( \mu \text{m} \) OD/ID (Part # CT250-500-5) assisted in bridging the difference in capillary-to-tubing diameter. The multidiameter tube assembly was glued with optical cement (Norland, Cranbury, NJ) to

**Figure 2. Integrated microfluidic system for preparing DNA libraries for sequencing.** a) Top view of an integrated system and side view of the DMF-capillary interface, which features a central DMF hub for integrating multiple reagent and sample preparation modules (depicted in different colors), magnets and thermal blocks coupled to module tubing for sample preparation, and multi-valve syringe pumps for liquid handling. b) Schematic (left) of a DMF device showing the arrangement of indium tin oxide (ITO) actuation electrodes used to route samples and reagents to and from the capillaries, which are responsible for transferring liquids to their respective modules. Sequence of frames (right) from a movie illustrating the stages in preparing a sequencer-ready DNA library using the microfluidic method (note that off-DMF processing is not shown in the perspective of the frames): (1) Mixing of gDNA (1.8 \( \mu \text{L} \)) and Nextera Enzyme (NE, 0.6 \( \mu \text{L} \)) droplets; (2,3) post-tagmentation droplet (gDNA + NE reaction products) merged with magnetic bead (MB) droplet (4.5 \( \mu \text{L} \)) and actuated to clean-up module; (4,5) post-clean-up droplet (2.2 \( \mu \text{L} \)) of purified DNA fragments actuated to PCR Mix droplet (2.8 \( \mu \text{L} \)) for limited-cycle PCR; (6,7) post-PCR DNA fragment droplet mixed with different volumes (2.2 and 0.45 \( \mu \text{L} \)) of magnetic beads for size-selection; and (8) DNA library droplet (3 \( \mu \text{L} \)).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0068988.g002
fluidically seal the junction. For DMF Hubs with 400 μm spacing, 360/100 μm OD/ID capillaries (Part # TSU100375) were directly connected (by insertion) to 375/750 μm OD/ID tubing (Part # CT375-750-5) modules, and swaged into the side ports with CapTite fittings (Sandia National Labs, Livermore, CA). Lastly, the distal ends of tubing modules were connected to an 8-port valve manifold at the head of a syringe pump (Part # 59943-01; Hamilton, Reno, NV) to provide positive displacement pumping and enable the dispensing, aspiration, and transfer of microliter-sized fluid droplets and boluses between the central DMF device and external modules.

System Operation
DMF droplet actuation was executed by a custom computer-controlled electronic interface, either by manual keystrokes or script-based preprogrammed sequences. To actuate droplets, driving potentials (80–90 V_{RMS}) were generated by amplifying (Digi-Key Corporation, Thief River Falls, MN) the output of a function generator (Trek, Medina, NY) operating at 15 kHz. As described in greater detail elsewhere [19], droplets sandwiched between the two plates were actuated by applying driving potentials sequentially between electrodes patterned on the bottom plate and the top electrode (ground).

For this demonstration, DNA sample and reagents were manually loaded into capillaries pre-prepared with water to provide an incompressible coupling to the syringe pump for precise sub-microliter metering. A 1-2 μL air gap separated the reagent/reaction bolus from the pumping water. Fluid boluses were moved to and from the DMF device using syringe pumps (at a flow rate of 10 μL/min) controlled by custom software. An MVX10 microscope (Olympus, Center Valley, PA) with a high speed QIClick digital camera (Qimaging, Surrey, Canada) with a field of view of ~35×26 mm2 was used to observe droplet manipulation on the DMF device. A commercial software package (Streampix5, Norpix, Montreal, Canada) was used to capture video frames to PC.

For DNA tagmentation and limited-cycle PCR, sample tubing was routed through trenches (~ 850 μm deep×800 μm wide) in custom-machined aluminum block with thermoelectric temperature control provided by an in-house closed-loop temperature controller and benchtop PCR machine (OpenPCR, Saratoga, CA); respectively. For DNA clean-up and size-selection using magnetic beads, a 3 mm diameter×3 mm thick neodymium magnet (K&J Magnetics, Jamison, PA) was manually positioned below and in contact with the clean-up and size-selection modules.

DNA Library Preparation on the Platform
For DNA Library preparation, the Nextera DNA Sample Preparation Kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA) was used following manufacturer’s instructions and implemented on the DMF Hub. For human genomic DNA samples prepared on a 185 μm-gap DMF Hub, a 1.8 μL droplet containing DNA sample (5 ng/μL) was dispensed onto the DMF platform from a capillary, actuated by DMF and merged with a 0.6 μL droplet of Nextera enzyme. Active mixing (30 s at room temperature) was achieved by continuously aspirating and dispensing the mixture in and out of the capillary. The mixture was then aspirated into the tagmentation module and incubated for 5 min at 55°C.

After tagmentation, the fragmented DNA mixture was dispensed onto the DMF device, merged with a 4.5 μL droplet of magnetic beads, and aspirated into the clean-up module. The DNA/bead mixture bolus was positioned over an external permanent magnet such that beads aggregate along the inner surface of the tube in response to the magnetic field. With the beads immobilized, the supernatant was then returned to the DMF device, actuated to the waste capillary, and aspirated from the device by vacuum to waste. Next, a 3 μL droplet of ethanol (washing solvent) was dispensed onto the DMF device, aspirated into the cleanup module, and moved back-and-forth (30 s) across the immobilized bead pellet to remove trace contaminants. The washing solvent was then dispensed back onto the DMF device, aspirated into the waste module, and aspirated off the device. The washing procedure was repeated two times.

After cleanup, a 2.2 μL droplet of elution buffer was dispensed onto the DMF device, aspirated into the clean-up module, the magnet removed, and beads resuspended in elution buffer (30 s, room temperature). The magnet was then applied again to immobilize the beads, and the DNA-containing elution buffer droplet was dispensed back onto the DMF device where it was mixed (30 s, room temperature) with a 2.8 μL droplet of PCR mixture and actuated to the inlet of the limited-cycle PCR module for addition of sequencing adapters and amplification. 2.8 μL of the droplet mixture was aspirated into the PCR module (the remaining 2.2 μL wasattered to waste) and cycled under the following conditions: 3 min at 72°C and 30 s at 95°C, followed by 10 cycles of 10 s at 95°C, 30 s at 62°C, and 3 min at 72°C.

After limited-cycle PCR, the PCR reaction mixture is dispensed back onto the DMF device for size-selection. A two-stage size selection magnetic bead based cleanup was performed using two different volume ratios of SPRI beads to DNA [26,27]. First, for removal of small DNA (<200 bp), the reaction mixture was merged with a 2.2 μL droplet of magnetic beads and aspirated into the size-selection module where beads were immobilized by the magnet, and the supernatant was dispensed back onto DMF device. Second, for removal of large DNA (>400 bp), the supernatant was merged with a 0.45 μL volume of magnetic...
Figure 4. Analysis of human gDNA at different stages of the Nextera protocol. Bioanalyzer traces of a) gDNA, b) post-tagmentation, c) post-limited-cycle PCR and d) post-size-selection. Peaks at 35 and 10380 bp represent low- and high-molecular weight markers.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0068988.g004

Figure 5. Genome coverage plots for three E. coli libraries prepared by microfluidic method. The plot represents relative depth of sequence coverage across the entire ~4.6 kb E. coli genome.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0068988.g005
beads and aspirated into the size-selection module where it was washed with ethanol as described above.

Finally, a droplet of elution buffer (3 μL) was dispensed onto the DMF device and actuated to the size-selection module where the DNA library was eluted into the mobile phase and dispensed back onto the DMF device for analysis and sequencing off-chip. For E. coli and K. pneumoniae genomic DNA samples prepared on a 400 μm-gap DMF Hub, the process was identical except: (1) 2X the volume of sample and reagents were used, (2) 9 cycles of PCR were used, and (3) a larger volume (5 μL) of elution buffer was used. Cross-contamination is prevented between different library runs by rinsing the system with a 10% (v/v) solution of bleach and then deionized water.

### Sequencing

After preparation, libraries were quantified for sequencing using the Kapa library quantification kit (KAPA Biosystems, Woburn, MA). The final size of the libraries was determined by Bioanalyzer (Agilent). Sequencing of both E. coli and K. pneumoniae libraries was performed in-house using individual 300-cycle (paired-end 150 bp) MiSeq kits (Illumina) with a loading concentration of 8 pM. Raw reads have been deposited at National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) Sequence Read Archive under accession number SAMN02152539.

### Bioinformatic Analysis

An in-house perl script was used to process raw reads, trimming terminal primer sequences and 3' and 5' low quality tails by the algorithm employed in BWA [28,29], and rejecting reads when fewer than 30 bp remained. Reads generated from E. coli libraries were aligned to the reference genome (NC_000913.2) with bowtie2 [29]. De novo assembly was performed using Velvet v1.2.03 [30] with a contig size cutoff of 200 bp and the above expected coverage and molecule length statistics, selecting the best-performing k value after testing with 25, 27 and 29. Library insert size was estimated from bowtie2 mate pair alignments to the E. coli genome or to the initial assembly of the KpnNDM genome. Per nucleotide depth was tabulated with the samtools mpileup algorithm employed in BWA [28,29], and rejecting reads when terminal primer sequences and 3’ 9 9 9 L) of elution buffer was dispensed onto the DMF Hub. We found that if the module and block were only in contact at the surface of the block, there was a significant temperature difference between the temperature of the block and the temperature within the tubing. However, by recessing the module tubing within a groove ~100 μm below the outer surface of the block, a more reproducible temperature match (~3°C difference) was achieved (see Figure S2), allowing us to reliably perform temperature-dependent reactions in the module. We note that temperature control for droplets on DMF has been demonstrated for systems using oil as a matrix [33–35]. Oil-immersed systems have drawbacks, however, including the potential for unwanted liquid–liquid extraction of analytes into the surrounding oil [36], incompatibility with oil-miscible liquids (e.g., organic solvents) and difficulty with extracting product droplet from oil matrix for off-chip analysis [37]. Our platform uses ambient air as the DMF matrix, which combats the above drawbacks, but the air matrix does leave low-volume reagents susceptible to evaporation, especially at high-temperatures. Performing temperature-con-
trolled incubations in tube-based peripheral modules eliminates this concern.

A further requirement for our platform was to implement magnetic bead-based processes (clean-up and size-selection) in peripheral modules. Magnetic bead-based applications including sample preparation [33,34], immunoassays [33,39–40] and chemical synthesis [41] have previously been executed by actuating particle-laden droplets directly on DMF devices, including key steps such as bead immobilization, separation of supernatant from beads and resuspension of beads. However, the performance and repeated use of magnetic bead-based steps on DMF suffer from several limitations. The gentle droplet actuation forces often result in incomplete separation of supernatant solution from immobilized magnetic bead pellet due to solvent surface tension, which reduces the efficiency of the wash and elution steps. In addition, prolonged immobilization of the bead pellet on the DMF surface can erode the thin Teflon coating, hindering subsequent droplet actuation and promoting biofouling. Finally, bead resuspension and mixing by DMF actuation forces alone can be slow and inconsistent resulting in heterogeneous distribution of beads in droplet solution, although varying magnetic field strengths have been shown to assist in bead resuspension [38].

Here, we demonstrate a new strategy, in which magnetic bead pulldown, wash, elution, and resuspension steps are implemented in removable or replaceable peripheral modules. In this approach, as shown in Figure 3, a droplet containing magnetic beads is actuated by the DMF to the inlet of the module (not visible in the perspective of Figure 3). Pulling with the syringe pump, the small fluidic bolus with air gaps on either side is aspirated into the module. Next, using an external magnet, the beads are focused into a pellet and immobilized on the inner walls of the module surface (frames 1,2), such that the pellet remains behind when supernatant is displaced away. After removal of supernatant (frame 3), the bead pellet can be subsequently washed, DNA can be extracted from the beads, or beads can be resuspended (frames 4,5) after removing the external magnet, all by driving a bolus of solution over the pellet and repeatedly shutting the bolus backwards and forwards. Although implementation of this type of configuration is more complex than the conventional DMF approach, the new approach offers several substantial improvements. The most important advantage is that the positive pressure-driven flow (generated by a syringe pump) enables near-complete removal of the supernatant from the bead pellet (Figure 3, frame 3), reducing assay errors and carry-over contamination. Furthermore, shuttling bolus of fluid back-and-forth over the bead pellet (Figure 2b, frame 3) induces a chaotic mixing effect that improves mass transfer in wash and elution steps, and enhances resuspension of beads. Finally, the bead pellet is concentrated outside of the device in a simple disposable capillary tubing, without damaging or pitting the reusable DMF platform itself.

DNA Library Preparation for Next Generation Sequencing

Preparation of DNA libraries for NGS using the Nextera protocol requires six different reagents (DNA sample, Nextera enzyme, PCR mixture, magnetic bead solution, wash solution, and elution buffer) employed in four distinct processes (tagmentation reaction, clean-up, limited cycle PCR, and size-selection), as shown schematically in Figure 1. Figure 2b illustrates a series of key frames from a movie depicting the automated library preparation workflow for a sample containing human gDNA from peripheral blood mononuclear cells (see video S1 for the complete execution of the protocol from end-to-end). First, the tagmentation reaction is performed by mixing the sample droplet and Nextera enzyme mix, aspirating, and incubating in a thermal module at 55°C for 5 minutes. A magnetic bead cleanup is subsequently performed by mixing the reaction product with magnetic beads. Using the magnetic separation module described above, the supernatant (containing excess enzyme and small DNA fragments) is removed, and the purified “tagmented” DNA is eluted from the beads and returned to the DMF device. Next, the library is mixed with PCR reaction mix, and limited-cycle PCR is performed in the thermal cycling module, to specifically amplify and enrich properly tagged fragments and incorporate Illumina-specific adapters at their ends. Finally, a two-stage size selection and clean-up process is performed using SPRI beads and the magnetic separation module, with bead binding conditions set to remove small DNA fragments (<200 bp) and then large DNA fragments (>400 bp). The resulting DNA library has a size distribution of 200–400 bp, ideal for Illumina sequencing.

To qualitatively evaluate the effectiveness of our system for preparing sequencer-ready human gDNA libraries, we used a Bioanalyzer instrument (Agilent) to assess the size distribution of libraries generated from human gDNA at different stages of the Nextera protocol. The Bioanalyzer trace of the input gDNA sample (Figure 4a) shows a prominent peak in the high molecular weight region (>10,000 bp, overlapping with the 10,380 bp size standard), as expected for unfragmented gDNA. After tagmentation (Figure 4b), the majority of reaction products fell within a broad band of 150–1000 bp, with little high molecular weight DNA remaining. An additional peak at ~45 bp corresponds to adapter dimers, which are routinely observed post-tagmentation [42] and readily removed by a subsequent clean-up step (trace not shown). Following limited-cycle PCR (Figure 4c), a broad band in the ~200–10,000 bp region corresponds to the size distribution of enriched DNA fragments tagged with sequencing primers and indices. An additional peak at ~55 bp corresponds to primer dimers that formed during PCR [42]. Finally, two-step size selection recovered adapter-bearing fragments that mainly fell within the desired 200–400 bp size range, though a small, broad hump in the baseline indicates that some higher molecular weight or single stranded products remained (Figure 4d). As shown, this method is a qualitative success, transforming a human gDNA sample into a properly sized sequencer-compatible library.

While our device produces very uniform libraries, there are many circumstances downstream of the device that can lead to variability in the number and quality of reads generated on the sequencer. The primary source of variation is the quantitation of the library and subsequent dilution and loading of the library into the sequencer. Because the first step after quantitation involves a ~1000X dilution, even very small deviations in starting concentration can lead to large fluctuations in cluster density on the flow cell. Over-clustering tends to produce poor quality reads, while under-clustering will produce significantly fewer high-quality reads.

The new system reported here has several advantages relative to conventional techniques. An obvious advantage is automation and potential for high-throughput – a single technologist using our system could potentially do the work of several technologists using manual techniques. Furthermore, for the applications demonstrated here (for preparing a sequencing-ready library), the new system facilitate a reduction in amount of DNA sample (5 ng vs. 50 ng [43–45]), reagent use (~ 30 μL vs. 80–630 μL [21,44]) and analysis time of ~ 1 h (5 min of hands-on time) vs. 1.5 h (15 min of hands-on time) [21,43]. Our platform automates sample handling steps in the low microliter regime, which is difficult to perform reliably using manual or robotic pipetting. This capability is important because we can maintain the same optimized stoichiometry as bench scale handling (i.e. utilize the same ratio
of input DNA to Nextera mix, same amount of beads, etc.), but at a volume scale where conventional pipettes and microcentrifuge tubes would introduce scale-dependent difficulties including evaporation, irreproducible delivery of reagents, and difficulties in visualizing or washing a pellet of magnetic beads. We have previously demonstrated the potential for performing capillary electrophoretic separation of sequencing libraries directly through one of the in-plane capillaries for providing online quality control monitoring of the library preparation process [20]. Although we have not included separation functionality in the current demonstration, its implementation as part of an automated workflow would further streamline the library preparation process by eliminating the need for bench-top characterization steps with stand-alone analytical instruments like the Bioanalyzer.

We also applied our automated library preparation technique to bacterial gDNA, derived from the well-characterized Escherichia coli strain MG1655 as well as Klebsiella pneumoniae strain BAA-2146, a multi-drug resistant clinical isolate of unknown genomic sequence. Bioanalyzer traces for final libraries prepared from these bacterial gDNA samples are shown in Figure S3. As with the human gDNA libraries, the bacterial gDNA libraries showed the desired 200–400 bp size distribution.

Next Generation Sequencing Analysis

The bacterial gDNA libraries were sequenced using a MiSeq (Illumina) instrument, which has throughput appropriate for complete sequencing of a bacterial genome in a single run. As shown in Table 1, the genome assembly metrics for bacterial whole-genome libraries prepared using our microfluidic method resulted in sequence data with greater than 99% of the reads mapping to the bacterial genome, leading to coverage depths of ~160X over the entire genome. In addition, the coverage of the genome appeared to be very even, without any significant spikes or drops in coverage depth (Figure 5). De novo genome assembly yielded averages over three replicate runs of 249±27 for contig count and 62.1±18.2 kbp for N50 (a “median” contig length, defined as the contig length for which 50% of bases in the contig set are part of contigs larger than N50) as shown in Table S1. The quantitative metrics for E. coli strain MG1655 demonstrates that our microfluidic sample preparation technique yields high-quality sequencing data comparable to benchtop methods [46,47] with only a fraction of the sample required by conventional methods.

In addition to sequencing a well-characterized E. coli strain, we tested our platform for a “real-world” application increasingly common in clinical microbiology: de novo sequencing of a novel bacterial strain. We selected the previously unsequenced Klebsiella pneumoniae ATCC BAA-2146 (KpnNDM), the first U.S. Klebsiella isolate found positive for the New Delhi metallo-beta-lactamase (NDM-1). De novo assembly of this genome was poorer than for the E. coli tests, yielding 1384 contigs with N50 of 12.4 kbp. This may be attributed in part to the quality of the purchased gDNA, the quality of this particular MiSeq run, or the intrinsic properties of the KpnNDM genome. These latter include its greater expected length (~5.8 Mbp) than E. coli (~4.6 Mbp), its expected higher GC content (~57%) than E. coli (50.8%) which can affect Illumina sequencing, and its more numerous repeats that are long enough to block assembly. Nonetheless, the assembly provides evidence of multiple plasmids in the KpnNDM genome, including a small, high-copy number plasmid that we fully assembled, and a large number of transposable elements. De novo analysis also revealed at least five β-lactamase genes including NDM-1 which confers resistance to carbapenems, the “drugs of last resort” for Gram-negative bacterial infections. Data for the KpnNDM genome assembly is available at National Center for Biotechnology Information (accession number APNN0000000.1). Detailed analysis of the KpnNDM genome, incorporating additional technologies to close gaps in the assembly, will be the subject of a separate manuscript.

Conclusions

We introduce here a fully integrated and automated microfluidic system, in which the DMF platform serves as a “hub” to integrate the operation of off-DMF modules for preparing DNA libraries for personal sequencers. To validate the capabilities of the new method, DNA libraries were prepared by the new method and sequences of libraries were generated using an in-house sequencer. The integrated microfluidic method has the potential to become a powerful new tool to enable next generation sequencing studies in many laboratories, particularly in smaller laboratories where high-throughput robotic liquid handling stations are not appropriate. Key applications include analysis of clinical specimens or environmental samples, which are inherently unique and limited in quantity, and thus require precision handling at small scale.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Effect of Pluronic F127 (in Nextera enzyme solution) on tagmentation reaction. An gDNA-Nextera enzyme reaction was allowed to proceed at 55°C for 5 min in a microcentrifuge tube under two conditions: a) without and b) with Pluronic added to the Nextera enzyme solution. The bioanalyzer traces for the two products are comparable, which indicates that the activity of the enzyme is unaffected by the addition Pluronic. (TIF)

Figure S2 Temperature traces of processing module and thermal block cycler over time. (TIF)

Figure S3 Bioanalyzer trace of sequencer-ready a) Escherichia coli and b) Klebsiella pneumoniae gDNA library. Peaks at 35 and 10380 bp represent low- and high-molecular weight markers. (TIF)

Table S1 De novo sequencing and assembly metrics of E. coli MG1655 and K. pneumoniae ATCC BAA-2146 libraries prepared by the microfluidic method. (DOCX)

Video S1 This video depicts the automated library preparation workflow for a sample containing human gDNA. (WMV)

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Mark R. Clauwiche, Jerry Imman and Jolene Gilbert for their contribution to the design and fabrication of DMF devices, and Deanna Curtis and Pam Lane for help with MiSeq system operation.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: HK MJJ TWL KDP. Performed the experiments: MJJ HK AS. Analyzed the data: ZB ODS KPW SAL. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: AS RFR JLDV JSS MSB. Wrote the paper: MJJ HK RM SSB KDP.
References

1. Metzker ML. (2010) Sequencing technologies the next generation. Nat Rev Genet 11: 31–46.

2. Bokulich NA, Joseph CML, Allen G, Benou AK, Mills DA (2012) Next-generation sequencing reveals significant bacterial diversity of botrytized wine. PLoS ONE 7.

3. Scholz MB, Lo CC, Chain PS (2012) Next generation sequencing and bioinformatic bottlenecks: The current state of metagenomic data analysis. Curr Opin Biotechnol 23: 9–15.

4. Najmabadi H, Hu H, Garshaabi M, Zemojtel T, Abedi SS, et al. (2011) Deep sequencing reveals 50 novel genes for recessive cognitive disorders. Nature 478: 57–63.

5. Calvo SE, Comptom AG, Hershman SG, Lim SC, Lieber DS, et al. (2012) Molecular diagnosis of infantile mitochondrial disorders with targeted next-generation sequencing. Sci Transl Med 4.

6. Nakamura S, Yang CS, Sakou N, Ueda M, Tougan T, et al. (2009) Direct microelectronic deposition of viral pathogens onto model polysilicon surfaces using an unbiased high-throughput sequencing approach. PLoS ONE 4.

7. Baum A, sachidanandam R, Garcia-Sastre A (2010) Preference of RIG-I for short viral RNA molecules in infected cells revealed by next-generation sequencing. Proc Natl Acad Sci 107: 16303–16308.

8. An Introduction to Next-Generation Sequencing Technology, February 2012. Available: http://www.illumina.com/Documents/products/1Illumina_Sequencing_Introduction.pdf. Accessed 25 Feb 2013.

9. Lonias NJ, Micro RV, Dullman TJ, Constantinescu C, Gharbia SE, et al. (2012) Performance comparison of benchtop high-throughput sequencing platforms. Nat Biotechnol 30: 434–439.

10. Jebrail MJ, Barths MS, Patel KD (2012) Digital microfluidics: a versatile tool for applications in chemistry, biology and medicine. Lab Chip 12: 2452–2463.

11. Wheeler AR (2008) Chemistry - Putting electrowetting to work. Science 322: 539–540.

12. Pollack MG, Fair RB, Shenderov AD (2000) Electrowetting-based actuation of liquid droplets for microfluidic applications. Appl Phys Lett 77: 1725–1726.

13. Thermo Scientific™ MoSem™ Library Preparation Kit for Ion Torrent™. Available: http://productsinvitrogencom/ivgn/product/4480829. Accessed 26 Feb 2013.

14. SeqCap EZ Human Exome Library v20. 2013. Available: http://www.immuno-genome.com/products/seqcap/ez/v2/index.html. Accessed 26 Feb 2013.

15. PrepXTM ILM 32 DNA Library Protocol User Guide, January 2013. Available: http://integenxcom/wp-content/uploads/2013/01/Apollo324_32_INDEXED_FAST_Protocol_DRAFT-1-16-2013.pdf. Accessed 26 Feb 2013.

16. Jebrail MJ, Wheeler AR (2009) Digital microfluidic method for protein extraction by precipitation. Anal Chem 81: 330–335.

17. Jebrail MJ, Yang H, Mudrik JM, Lafreniere NM, McRoberts C, et al. (2011) A digital microfluidic method for dried blood spot analysis. Lab Chip 11: 3218–3224.

18. Mousa NA, Jebrail MJ, Yang H, Abdelgawad M, Metalnikov P, et al. (2009) Droplet-scale estrogen assays in breast tissue, blood, and serum. Sci Transl Med 1, 1re2.

19. Kim H, Barths MS, Renzi RF, He J, Van de Vreugde JL, et al. (2011) Automated Digital Microfluidic Sample Preparation for Next-Generation DNA Sequencing. J Lab Autom 16: 403–414.

20. Thaithong N, Kim H, Renzi RF, Barths MS, Meagher BJ, et al. (2012) Quality control of next-generation sequencing library through an integrative digital microfluidic platform. Electrophoresis 33: 3506–3513.

21. Nextera™ DNA Sample Prep Kit (Illumina-Compatible), July 2011. Available: http://www.illumina.com/docs/default-source/protocols/nextera-dna-sample-prep-kit%22,accessed Feb 21, 2013.

22. TruSeq™ DNA Sample Preparation Guide, 2010. Available: http://www.illumina.com/docs/default-source/protocols/nextera-dna-sample-prep-kit%22,accessed Feb 21, 2013.

23. LuK VN, Mo GCH, Wheeler AR (2008) Phoronic additives: A solution to sticky problems in digital microfluidics. Langmuir 24: 6382–6389.

24. Au SH, Kumar P, Wheeler AR (2011) A New Angle on Pluronic Additives: Advancing Droplets and Understanding in Digital Microfluidics. Langmuir 27: 8536–8549.

25. Jebrail MJ, Luk VN, Shih SCC, Fobel R, Ng AHC, et al. (2009) Digital Microfluidics for Automated Pyrosequencing. J Vis Exp: e1603, DOI: 1610.3791/1603.

26. Lennon NJ, Lintner RE, Anderson S, Alvarez P, Barry A, et al. (2010) Unlocking short read sequencing for metagenomics. PLoS ONE 5.

27. Li H, Durbin R (2009) Fast and accurate short read alignment with Bowe8 Wheeler transform. Bioinformatics 25: 1734–1740.

28. Langmead B, Salzberg SL (2012) Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat Methods 9: 357–359.

29. Zerbino DR, Birney E (2008) Velvet: Algorithms for de novo short read assembly of de Bruijn graphs. Genome Res 18: 821–829.

30. Shih SCC, Fobel R, Kumar P, Wheeler AR (2011) A feedback control system for high-fidelity digital microfluidics. Lab Chip 11: 335–340.

31. Miller EM, Wheeler AR (2008) A digital microfluidic approach to homogeneous enzyme assays. Anal Chem 80: 1614–1619.

32. Sista R, Hua ZS, Thwar P, Sudarsan A, Srinivasan V, et al. (2008) Development of a digital microfluidic platform for point of care testing. Lab Chip 8: 2091–2104.

33. Hua Z, Rouse JL, Eckhardt AE, Srinivasan V, Pamula VK, et al. (2010) Multiplexed Real-Time Polymerase Chain Reaction on a Digital Microfluidic Platform. Anal Chem 82: 2510–2516.

34. Boles DJ, Benton JL, Siew GJ, Levy MH, Thwar PK, et al. (2011) Droplet-based pyrosequencing using digital microfluidics. Anal Chem 83: 8439–8447.

35. Abdelgawad M, Freire SLS, Yang H, Wheeler AR (2008) All-terrain droplet actuation. Lab Chip 8: 672–677.

36. Moon H, Wheeler AR, Garrett RL, Lee JA, Kim CJ (2006) An integrated digital microfluidic chip for multiplexed proteomic sample preparation and analysis by MALDI-MS. Lab Chip 6: 1213–1219.

37. Ng AHC, Chou K, Looma JP, Robinson JM, Wheeler AR (2012) Digital microfluidic magnetic separation for particle-based immunoassays. Anal Chem 84: 8005–8012.

38. Sista RS, Eckhardt AE, Srinivasan V, Pollack MG, Palanki S, et al. (2009) Heterogeneous immunoassays using magnetic beads on a digital microfluidic platform. Lab Chip 8: 2108–2108.

39. Vergauwe N, Witters D, Ceyssens F, Vermeir S, Verbruggen B, et al. (2011) A versatile electrowetting-based digital microfluidic platform for quantitative homogeneous and heterogeneous bioassays. J Micromech Microeng 21.

40. Jebrail MJ, Assem N, Mudrik JM, Dryden MMJ, Lin K, et al. (2012) Combinatorial Synthesis of Peptidomimetics Using Digital Microfluidics. J Flow 2: 103–107.

41. Illumina Sample Prep – Best Practices and Troubleshooting, 2010. Available: http://www.brown.edu/Research/CGP/download/illumina-public/%20sequencer%20%20troubleshooting%20library%20prep.pdf. Accessed 26 Feb 2013.

42. Nextera™ DNA Sample Preparation Kits, October 2011. Available: http://www.illumina.com/Documents/%5Cproducts%5Cdatasheets%5Cdatasheet_nextera_dna_sample_prep.pdf. Accessed 20 Feb 2013.

43. Adley A, Morrison HG, Aasen X, Kunz JM, et al. (2010) Rapid, low-input, low-bias construction of shotgun fragment libraries by high-density in vitro transposition. Genome Biol 11.

44. Auerbacher B, Baas B, Caruccio N, Syed F (2010) Rapid, high-throughput library preparation for next-generation sequencing. Nat Methods 7: 1548–7091.

45. Nextera® Sample Prep for the MiSeq® System, February 2012. Available: http://www.illumina.com/Documents/products/appnotes/appnote_miseq_nextera.pdf. Accessed 21 Feb 2013.

46. Nextera® XT DNA Sample Preparation Kit, 2012. Available: http://www.illumina.com/Documents/products/appnotes/appnote_nextera_xt_dna_sample_prep.pdf. Accessed 27 Feb 2103.