Surface freezing and a two-step pathway of the isotropic-smectic phase transition in colloidal rods
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We study the kinetics of the isotropic-smectic phase transition in a colloidal rod/polymer mixture by visualizing individual smectic layers. First, we show that the bulk isotropic-smectic phase transition is preceded by a surface freezing transition in which a quasi two-dimensional smectic phase wets the isotropic-nematic interface. Next, we identify a two step kinetic pathway for the formation of a bulk smectic phase. In the first step a metastable isotropic-nematic interface is formed. This interface is wetted by the surface induced smectic phase. In the subsequent step, smectic layers nucleate at this surface phase and grow into the isotropic bulk phase.

PACS numbers: 64.70.Md 82.70.D

Colloids with hard core repulsive interactions are often studied due to the simplicity and generality of their intermolecular potential. As a result of these studies, the equilibrium phase diagram of hard rods and spheres is well understood at the present time \[1, 2, 3, 4\]. However, much less is known about the kinetic pathways of phase transitions in these systems \[5, 6\]. Direct visualization of colloids in a system undergoing phase transition have provided a powerful tool to study general aspects of phase transition kinetics \[7, 8\]. In this paper we study the kinetics of the isotropic-smectic phase transition by directly visualizing individual smectic layers in a phase separating sample. As a model system of colloidal rods we use a monodisperse suspension of \(fd\) virus \[9\]. We elucidate a kinetic pathway of unexpected complexity. The existence of surface freezing and a metastable isotropic-cholesteric phase transitions is discovered and their influence on the kinetic pathway is discussed. Because the behavior of the \(fd\)/Dextran mixture is determined by steric interactions and since all molecules including low molecular weight thermotropics have a steric core the results reported in this paper are likely to be quite general. In addition, our results might be pertinent to understanding the dynamics of amphiphilic membranes \[10\], 2D smectic systems \[11\], surface freezing and wetting transitions \[12, 13, 14\] and self-assembled nano-structures \[15\].

It has been known for a long time that surface freezing/melting can dramatically alter the nucleation rate and the kinetic pathway of a phase transition. On one hand, most substances exhibit surface melting. In this case a liquid surface wets the crystalline bulk phase. It follows that crystals melt from the surface inwards and therefore it is difficult to prepare a superheated metastable solid \[16, 17\]. On the other hand, surface freezing is observed in very few systems, most notably thermotropic liquid crystals, alkanes and surfactant mesophases \[12, 13, 18\]. Upon supercooling these materials, the ordered phase nucleates at the frozen interface and propagates towards the bulk phase. Therefore, it is difficult to supercool liquids that exhibit surface freezing \[19\].

Another factor that can affect the nucleation rate of a transitions is the presence of metastable phases \[20, 21\]. For example, recent simulations predict that the free energy barrier for the formation of protein crystals is greatly reduced when a metastable gas-liquid phase transition is located in a vicinity of a stable liquid-solid phase boundary \[20\]. In this case the nucleation of protein crystals proceeds in two steps. In the first step a dense metastable droplet associated with the gas-liquid phase transition is formed, while in the subsequent step the protein crystal nucleates within this droplet. In this paper we show that both surface freezing and metastable phases are important for understanding the kinetics of the isotropic-smectic phase transition.

Bacteriophage \(fd\) is a semi-flexible virus with contour length of 880 nm, diameter of 7 nm and persistence length of 2200 nm. It was prepared as previously described and dialyzed against buffer of known ionic strength (190 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris, pH = 8.10). The phase diagram of the rod-polymer mixture was measured according to the published procedure \[20\]. All the samples are prepared in a metastable/unstable isotropic phase by shear melting any existing structure and samples are placed into rectangular capillaries (VitroCom, Mountain Lakes, NJ). Nucleation and growth of the order phase is observed with an optical microscope (Zeiss AxioPlan2) equipped with DIC optics. All images are recorded with a cooled CCD camera (AxioCam Zeiss).

At zero polymer concentration \(fd\) is a good model system of hard rods and forms a stable isotropic (I), cholesteric (Ch) and smectic (S) phases with increasing concentration in agreement with theoretical predictions \[1, 21\]. Equilibrium I-S phase transition is observed in a mixture of rod-like \(fd\) viruses and non-
FIG. 1: The coexistence concentrations of an immiscible $fd$-Dextran mixture. The Y-axes shows concentration and osmotic pressure of Dextran in the phase that coexists with rod-rich liquid crystalline phase (droplets) whose concentration is shown on the X-axis. Numbers 1 through 4 indicate regions where different phase behaviors are observed. Images of the structures observed in these regions are shown in Fig. 2. Stable surface smectic phase wets the isotropic-nematic interface in region 2. Colloidal membranes are stable in region 3. Inset: The complete phase diagram of $fd$/Dextran mixture. Tie lines along which the phase separation proceeds are indicated by dashed lines. Regions of the isotropic-nematic (I-N) and isotropic-smectic (I-S) coexistence are indicated.

adsorbing polymer Dextran. The phase diagram of this mixture is shown in the inset of Fig. 1. Adding non-adsorbing polymer to $fd$ suspension produces effective attractive interactions between $fd$ rods. The main consequence of this attractive potential on the phase behavior of a rod like system is to widen the I-Ch coexistence concentrations with the polymer preferentially partitioning into the isotropic phase. Since the interactions in the $fd$/polymer mixtures are temperature independent, all phase transitions are entropically driven. In the first part of the paper we describe the equilibrium structures related to the surface freezing observed in region 2 of the phase diagram. In the second part of the paper we describe one of the kinetic pathways of phase separation observed in region 3.

At rod concentrations below 235 mg/ml (region 1 in Fig. 1 and b), nematic droplets (tactoids) form in an isotropic background (Fig. 2a). Polarization microscopy indicates that the configuration of rods in the nematic tactoid is as shown in Fig. 2c. When confined to a small volume the cholesteric order is not able to develop; therefore we observe only unwound nematic phase within an individual tactoid. At higher rod concentrations (region 2 in Fig. 1) we observe droplets that have the same anisotropic shape. Microscopy indicates that the interior of these droplets is still nematic. However, each droplet...
FIG. 3: Image of a macroscopically phase separated isotropic-nematic interfaces which exhibit surface freezing. The concentrations of the coexisting isotropic and nematic phases are $c_{fd} = 242$ mg/ml and $c_{dextr} = 51.5$ mg/ml. Dense nematic phase is below the image plane while the isotropic phase is above the image. The thickness of the surface induced smectic phase is few hundred nm. The surface structure shown here is identical to the surface of tactoids shown in Fig. 2d. A pair of dislocation defects is clearly visible in the image. Scale bars indicate 5 µm.

has a corrugated I-N interface where the length of each ridge along the droplet’s long axis is approximately one virus long. As the tactoids coalesce and increase in size, the surface corrugations are always confined to a narrow layer of well defined thickness located at the I-N interface. This implies that the formation of corrugations is a purely surface effect. These observations lead us to conclusion that there exists a surface-induced quasi 2D smectic phase that wets the I-N interface. The ridges observed at the interface are individual layers of the surface-induced smectic phase. A schematic representation of a section of a corrugated tactoid is shown in Fig. 2d. The surface smectic phase is observed above an $fd$ concentration of 235 mg/ml while the bulk I-S phase transition (region 4) is observed at 255 mg/ml.

After a few hours, the $fd$/Dextran mixture prepared in region 2 completely phase separates with denser nematic tactoids coalescing and settling to the bottom of the sample. In this case a macroscopic I-N interface is formed. This makes it possible to focus on the interface and directly observe the surface induced smectic phase (Fig. 3). We conclude our description of the system in region 2 by noting that there are no theoretical predictions of the surface-induced smectic phase in rod/polymer mixture. We expect that such phase is a result of non-monotonic density profiles across the I-N interface [24]. Additionally, in the $fd$/polymer system rods in the surface frozen layer lie in the plane of the interface. This is in contrast to molecular systems which exhibit surface freezing where anisotropic molecules are either tilted or perpendicular to the interface [12, 13].

We now turn our attention to region 3 of the phase diagram. Right after mixing the sample, in addition to the formation of nematic droplets with a surface smectic, we observe self-assembly of rods into disk-like or ribbon-like structures (Fig. 2e and f). The thickness of the disk corresponds to the length of a single rod. When viewed from above a disk shows no birefringence while from the side it shows maximum birefringence when oriented at 45° with respect to the polarizer and analyzer (Fig. 4b). Therefore, polarization microscopy shows that disks are composed of a monolayer of aligned rods in the smectic-A configuration. We call these self-assembled disks colloidal membranes because of their similarity to amphiphilic membranes. Small homogeneously nucleated membranes (Fig. 2e) grow by coalescing laterally to form large 40 µ diameter isolated membranes (Fig. 4c). This suggests that an isolated colloidal membrane and not a bulk smectic phase is the equilibrium structure in region 3. Polarization microscopy indicates that twisted ribbons are identical to disks except that they have a twist along their long axis due to the chiral nature of $fd$ [25].

We expect that the free energy difference between
these two morphologies is small and will examine their relative stability elsewhere.

Real space images enables us to study the kinetic pathway for the formation of colloidal membranes. They can either homogeneously nucleate from the metastable isotropic suspension or can heterogeneously nucleate at the surface-induced smectic phase (Fig. 4a and b). A colloidal membrane nucleated at the interface grows into the isotropic phase either as a twisted ribbon or a flat disk. Over a period of a few days twisted ribbons can reach a lengths of several hundreds microns. Fluorescence images indicate that there are no rods in the isotropic solution. Therefore colloidal membranes (ribbons) must elongate due to rods that diffuse from a metastable nematic phase through a surface smectic to a more stable colloidal membrane. The fact that there is a transport of rods across the interface shows that the colloidal membranes are structures with lower free energy than the nematic phase or bulk smectic phase. At lower degrees of supercooling we mostly observe heterogenous surface induced nucleation instead of homogeneous nucleation of colloidal membranes. This shows that a two-step kinetic pathway has a lower nucleation barrier for the formation of colloidal membranes. To summarize, the phase separation in region 3 of the phase diagram proceeds in two steps. In the first step on timescale of seconds to minutes we observe the formation of nematic tactoids with surface smectic phase identical to those observed in region 2. However, these tactoids are metastable. In the second slow step on a time scale of hours to months we observe the nucleation of colloidal membranes at the surface frozen smectic phase and subsequently grow into the isotropic phase.

A few comments are in order regarding the structures observed in region 3. First, to our knowledge this is the first time that non-amphiphilic objects with very simple excluded volume interactions have been self assembled into 2D membrane-like (Fig. 4f) and 1D polymer-like structures (Fig. 4k). We speculate that these structures are stabilized by protrusion like fluctuations. Second, it seems plausible that isolated colloidal membranes observed in region 3 are highly swollen lamellar phases previously observed in mixtures of nematic fd and hard spheres. The swelling of the lamellar phase is predicted theoretically, but has yet to be observed in experiments. Third, as the osmotic pressure is increased there is a transition to region 4 in which small colloidal membranes irreversibly stack up on top of each other to form elongated filaments (Fig. 4k). The nature of the transition from isolated membranes to a smectic phase remains unexplored. Fourth, we observe metastable nematic droplets wetted by a more stable surface induced smectic phase. Usually the reverse effect is observed pathways where a stable nucleus is wetted by a metastable phase.

In conclusion, there are two important results that can be deduced from our experiments. The first surprising result is that a rod/polymer mixture exhibits surface freezing in which a quasi 2D smectic phase wets the I-N interface. This effect occurs at rod concentration of 235 mg/ml while bulk I-S phase transition occurs at 255 mg/ml. To our knowledge this is the first time that the surface freezing has been directly visualized in a system whose phase behavior is dominated by entropic repulsive interactions. The second result of this work is to demonstrate the relationship between the surface freezing and the bulk isotropic-smectic phase transition. A complex two step kinetic pathway for the nucleation of the smectic phase out of the isotropic solution has been identified. In the first step a metastable nematic droplet with a surface frozen smectic phase nucleates in the isotropic solution. In the next step isolated monolayers (colloidal membranes) of smectic phase nucleate at the surface smectic phase and subsequently grow into the isotropic phase. Due to the simplicity and generality of the excluded volume interactions which dominate the phase behavior of fd/Dextran mixture, the results presented here should be relevant to a much wider class of systems than those studied here.
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