Because inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) is a chronic and incurable disease with early onset and low mortality, the economic burden of IBD is becoming an important public health issue. The incidence and prevalence of IBD has been highest in North America and Europe; IBD is much less common in Asia. However, the incidence and prevalence of IBD in Asia is increasing rapidly. In particular, the recent incidence of IBD in South Korea has been the highest in East Asia. Accordingly, the economic burden of IBD in South Korea is increasing and it is becoming an important concern.

In this issue of Gut and Liver, Lee et al. conducted a population-based study to evaluate the direct healthcare costs of IBD in South Korea, by using the National Health Insurance (NHI) database. This study included 34,167 patients with IBD (23,153 patients with Crohn’s disease [CD] and 11,014 patients with ulcerative colitis [UC]). They reported that patients with IBD incurred the highest direct healthcare costs during the first year after diagnosis ($2,396), and patients with IBD had higher costs than individuals without IBD even before the diagnosis of IBD. They also showed that the costs for biologics for the treatment of IBD increased steeply over time, rising from $721 in the first year after diagnosis to $1,250 in the 3rd year after diagnosis. These results suggest that biologics could be the main driver of costs during the early period after IBD diagnosis.

In this population-based study included 59,447 patients with IBD (CD: 17,677; UC: 41,770) between 2006 and 2015, and demonstrated that explosive costs associated with IBD were due to the increased usage of anti-tumor necrosis factor (anti-TNF) agents over the 10-year study period. More specifically, anti-TNF drugs accounted for 84.5% (UC) and 93.1% (CD) of all medication cost, and for 48.8% (UC) and 68.8% (CD) of the total cost in 2015. Furthermore, anti-TNF use was an independent predictor of increased total IBD cost and it was also the strongest predictor of high cost.

Both studies show that the increased use of biological agents has been the key driver of IBD-related healthcare costs. Biological therapy with anti-TNF agents such as infliximab and adalimumab has revolutionized the treatment of IBD for their remarkable efficacy. For many years, these anti-TNF agents have become the mainstay of treatment for moderately or severely active IBD patients refractory or intolerant to conventional therapy. However, new biological and chemical drugs with different mechanisms of action from TNF blockade have been developed as potential therapeutic options for IBD, and have recently been emerging on the market.
anti-TNF agents including infliximab, adalimumab, and golimumab, new drugs have recently been approved for the treatment of IBD in South Korea. More specifically, vedolizumab (antibody to α4β7-integrin), ustekinumab (antibody to interleukin-12/ interleukin-23 [p40]), and tofacitinib (oral small molecule Janus kinase inhibitor) have been approved since 2017 for the treatment of IBD in South Korea. The increase in these approved new drugs may further increase the healthcare cost burden for patients with IBD in the future. In this situation, long-term cost-saving strategies are imperative for patients with newly diagnosed IBD to balance the distribution of limited healthcare resources.

In order to make these strategies, further studies need to provide information such as the appropriate selection of patients who should receive biological therapy, the optimal timing of biologics initiation, and the appropriate choice of the first-line biologic for each patient. In addition, “exit strategies” such as de-escalating or stopping biologics in patients with IBD in remission should be considered. However, “exit strategies” should be carefully considered only for properly selected patients. In the near future, cost-cutting strategies optimized for Korean IBD patients should be proposed, particularly with a focus on reducing the use of biologics.
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