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Abstract

We discuss dual formulations of vortex strings (magnetic flux tubes) in the four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric Abelian Higgs model with the Fayet–Iliopoulos term in the superspace formalism. The Lagrangian of the model is dualized into a Lagrangian of the $BF$-type described by a chiral spinor gauge superfield including a 2-form gauge field. The dual Lagrangian is further dualized into a Lagrangian given by a chiral spinor superfield including a massive 2-form field. In both of the dual formulations, we obtain a superfield into which the vortex strings and their superpartners are embedded. We show the dual Lagrangians in terms of a superspace and a component formalism. In these dual Lagrangians, we explicitly show that the vortex strings of the original model are described by a string current electrically coupled with the 2-form gauge field or the massive 2-form field.
1 Introduction

To understand phases of gauge theories is one of the important issues in quantum field theories. In the gauge theories, there is the so-called Higgs phase where a gauge field becomes massive. One of the simplest renormalizable theories describing the Higgs phase may be the Abelian Higgs model, where a $U(1)$ gauge field is coupled with a complex scalar field charged under the $U(1)$ symmetry. In the Higgs phase of the Abelian Higgs model, the $U(1)$ gauge field eats a phase part of the complex scalar field, and becomes massive. Furthermore, there can exist extended objects of spatial dimension one as solutions of the equation of motion (EOM) in the Higgs phase. The extended objects are so-called Abrikosov–Nielsen–Olesen (ANO) vortex strings \[1,2\]. The ANO vortex strings are magnetic flux tubes which have topological charges, and they can be regarded as topological solitons. Such vortex strings arise in many contexts such as type-II superconductors \[1\] in condensed matter physics as well as cosmic strings \[3,5\] in cosmology (see e.g., Refs. \[6,7\] as a review).

While the ANO vortex strings are introduced as solutions to the EOM, they can be seen as charged objects associated with gauge fields by using dual transformations. For
the Abelian Higgs model, there are at least two dual formulations. One is to dualize the phase of the scalar field to a 2-form gauge field \[8\[11\]. In this dual formulation, the original 1-form gauge field and the dualized 2-form gauge field are massive by the topological coupling (the so-called \(BF\) coupling) between them \[12\[13\]. In this dual formulation, the ANO vortex strings are described by a conserved string current which is electrically coupled with the 2-form gauge field \[14\[15\]. Another is to dualize the massive 1-form field to a massive 2-form field \[16\]. The 1-form gauge field becomes massive after eating the phase of the complex scalar field. The dual 2-form field can be regarded as a 2-form gauge field eating the 1-form gauge field by a St"uckelberg coupling. In this dual formulation too, the ANO vortex strings are dualized to a string current electrically coupled with the massive 2-form field \[17\[18\]. The dual transformation was applied to a finite temperature phase transition of the Abelian Higgs model \[19\].

In the Abelian Higgs model, the positions of the ANO vortex strings are characterized by zero points of the complex scalar field, and the dual string current are described by the singularities due to a multivalued part of the phase of the complex scalar field around the zero points (see e.g., Ref. \[20\]). The dual formulation with ANO vortex strings can be obtained by splitting the complex scalar field into the regular part and the singular part. The phase in the regular part of the complex scalar field can be dualized into the 2-form gauge field. On the other hand, the phase of the singular part, which is the multivalued function, is dualized to the string current.

There are some virtues of the dual transformations. One virtue of the dual formulations is that the topological charge of the ANO vortex strings can be simply understood as the conserved charge associated with the gauge symmetry for the 2-form field \[21\]. Another virtue is that the ANO vortex strings become fundamental degrees of freedom in contrast to the original theory.

In the literature, there are some generalizations of the duality of ANO vortex strings in the Abelian Higgs model. One is the case of global strings in the Goldstone model, that is, a \(U(1)\) Higgs model without a gauge interaction. In this case, a Nambu–Goldstone boson associated with the spontaneously broken global \(U(1)\) symmetry is dualized to a massless 2-form field, and global strings are electrically coupled to the 2-form field \[15\[22\]. These strings are axion strings in cosmology and superfluid vortices in superfluids in condensed matter physics. Another generalization is the case of non-Abelian gauge theories. An \(SU(2)\) gauge theory coupled with one complex (two real)
adjoint Higgs fields are known to admit $\mathbb{Z}_2$ strings [2]. A non-Abelian duality in this case was obtained in Ref. [23], where the dual Lagrangian is described by a non-Abelian 2-form field $^{[23, 24]}$ coupled with $\mathbb{Z}_2$ strings. Another case is an $SU(3)$ gauge theory coupled with three by three complex Higgs fields in the fundamental representation, relevant for QCD at high density and low temperature. This theory admits a non-Abelian vortex (color flux tubes) $^{[25–27]}$, accompanied with non-Abelian $\mathbb{C}P^2$ moduli $^{[28]}$, and a non-Abelian duality of non-Abelian vortices in this theory was obtained in Refs. $^{[27, 29]}$.

In general, there are attractive and repulsive forces among the ANO vortex strings intermediated by Higgs and gauge fields, respectively. For type-II (I) superconductors, the gauge field is lighter (heavier) than the Higgs field, thereby repulsion (attraction) is dominant. The multiple vortex strings become stable if the two forces are balanced at the critical coupling between type-I and type-II superconductors. Such a state is called a Bogomol’nyi–Prasad–Sommerfield (BPS) state $^{[30, 31]}$. In the BPS state, the total mass of the ANO vortex strings is proportional to the total topological charge (see e.g., Ref. $^{[32]}$).

Supersymmetry (SUSY) gives us non-perturbative aspects of BPS states $^{[33]}$. The BPS states preserve half of the SUSY charges if the theories are embedded into SUSY theories. Since the BPS states are protected by SUSY, the BPS states are stable against quantum corrections $^{[34]}$. The SUSY Abelian Higgs model $^{[35]}$ can be constructed by using a vector gauge superfield (so-called 1-form prepotential) with a Fayet–Iliopoulos (FI) term $^{[36]}$ and chiral superfields charged under the $U(1)$ gauge symmetry. In particular, the ANO vortex strings can be constructed by using a D-term potential $^{[37–41]}$.

The dual formulations of the SUSY Abelian Higgs model are possible. In SUSY theories, the duality between the scalar field and the 2-form field can be extended into the duality between a chiral superfield and a chiral spinor gauge superfield $^{[42, 44]}$ which we will call “2-form prepotential” $^{[45]}$. This is because the 2-form gauge field can be embedded into the chiral spinor gauge superfield. Furthermore, the duality between a massive 1-form field and a massive 2-form field can also be understood as the duality between a real superfield and a chiral spinor superfield $^{[46, 49]}$. Such dual transformations were extended to supergravity (SUGRA) $^{[48]}$ and extended SUSY theories $^{[48]}$. However, the superfield descriptions of the dual formulations of the ANO vortex strings in the SUSY context have not been understood so far. The above mentioned dual
formulations in SUSY theories only describe the regular part without singularities. In order to understand non-perturbative aspects of the ANO vortex strings in SUSY theories, it is plausible to dualize the SUSY Abelian Higgs model including the ANO vortex strings in a manifestly SUSY way.

In this paper, we show the dual formulations of the four-dimensional (4D) $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SUSY Abelian Higgs model including the ANO vortex strings. We use the superspace formalism in order to give the manifestly SUSY theories. There are at least two ways to dualize the Lagrangian of the Abelian Higgs model as mentioned above. We discuss both of the dual transformations to the theories with a 2-form gauge field and a massive 2-form field. In both of the dual formulations, we show the dual transformations of the ANO vortex strings in terms of superfields. As in the bosonic Abelian Higgs model, we split a chiral superfield describing the complex scalar field into the regular part and the singular part. For the regular part, there are no zero points of the complex scalar field. Therefore, the regular part of the chiral superfield can be dualized into the 2-form prepotential. For the singular part, the duality transformations give us the electrical coupling of the 2-form prepotential with a superfield given by the singular part. We show that the superfield given by the singular part has the string current as well as superpartners of the string current by the component expression of the dual Lagrangian. We can further dualize the 1-form prepotential. In this dual transformation, the Lagrangian can be written in terms of a massive chiral spinor superfield and the superfield into which the string current is embedded.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we review the dual transformations of ANO vortex strings in the Abelian Higgs model without SUSY. In section 3 we show the duality transformations of ANO vortex strings in the SUSY Abelian Higgs model. We summarize this paper in section 4. We use the notation and convention of the textbook [50].

2 Dual transformations of vortex strings in Abelian Higgs model

In this section, we review two dual transformations of the ANO vortex strings of the bosonic Abelian Higgs model [15,17] at a classical level. One is the transformation to the system described by a 1-form gauge field and a 2-form gauge field, where the ANO
vortex strings are electrically coupled with the 2-form gauge field. The other is the transformation to the system described by a massive 2-form field, which is also coupled with the ANO vortex strings.

### 2.1 Abelian Higgs model

Here, we introduce the Lagrangian of the Abelian Higgs model. The Lagrangian is given by

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\text{AH}} = -\left| \partial_m \phi - \frac{e}{2} i A_m \phi \right|^2 - \frac{1}{4} F_{mn} F^{mn} - \frac{1}{8} (e |\phi|^2 - \xi)^2.
\] (2.1)

Here, \( A_m \) \((m = 0, 1, 2, 3)\) is a \( U(1) \) gauge field, \( F_{mn} = \partial_m A_n - \partial_n A_m \) is the field strength of the gauge field, \( \phi \) is a complex scalar field with the \( U(1) \) charge \( e/2 \), \( e \) is a positive coupling constant of the \( U(1) \) gauge field, \( \xi \) is a positive parameter of mass-dimension two. Note that the parameters are normalized so that the model can be embedded into SUSY theories. The vacuum of the model is given by the minimum of the potential where \(|\phi|\) develops non-zero vacuum expectation value:

\[
|\phi|^2 = \frac{\xi}{e}.
\] (2.2)

Therefore, the \( U(1) \) symmetry is spontaneously broken in this vacuum. The vacuum is in the Higgs phase since the gauge field becomes massive by eating the phase of the scalar field.

### 2.2 Dual 2-form gauge theory with vortex strings

The Higgs phase admits spatial dimension one (codimension two) objects, since the first homotopy group of the vacuum manifold is nontrivial: \( \pi_1(U(1)) = \mathbb{Z} \). The extended objects are so-called ANO vortex strings. The positions of the ANO vortex strings are characterized by the zero points of \( \phi \), where the \( U(1) \) symmetry is recovered.

In the Lagrangian, the ANO vortex strings are expressed by using multivalued part of the phase of the complex scalar field (see e.g., Ref. [20]). We split the complex scalar field as follows:

\[
\phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \rho e^{i(\varphi + \varphi_0)}.
\] (2.3)

Here, \( \rho \) and \( \varphi \) are real single-valued scalar fields, and \( \varphi_0 \) is a real multivalued scalar field. In general, the phase can be multivalued since \( \varphi_0 \to \varphi_0 + 2\pi \) does not change \( \phi \).
The Lagrangian in Eq. (2.1) can be rewritten as
\[
L_{\text{AH}} = -\left| \partial_m \phi - i e A_m \phi \right|^2 - \frac{1}{4} F_{mn} F_{mn} + \cdots
\]
\[
= -\frac{1}{2} \left| \partial_m \rho + i (\partial_m \varphi + \partial_m \varphi_0) \rho - i e A_m \rho \right|^2 - \frac{1}{4} F_{mn} F_{mn} + \cdots
\]
\[
= -\frac{1}{2} (\partial_m \rho)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \rho^2 \left( \partial_m \varphi + \partial_m \varphi_0 - e \frac{i}{2} A_m \right)^2 - \frac{1}{4} F_{mn} F_{mn} + \cdots,
\]
where the ellipsis \( \cdots \) refers to the terms which are irrelevant to the dual formulations.

We dualize the scalar field \( \varphi \) to a 2-form gauge field as follows. We introduce the following first-order Lagrangian which is classically equivalent to the Lagrangian in Eq. (2.4):
\[
L_{\text{B,1st}} = -\frac{1}{2} \left( C_m + \partial_m \varphi_0 - e \frac{i}{2} A_m \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2!} \epsilon^{mnpq} B_{mn} \partial_p C_q - \frac{1}{4} F_{mn} F_{mn},
\]
where we have omitted the terms which are irrelevant to the following discussions. Here, \( C_m \) is a 1-form gauge field without singularities, and \( B_{mn} \) is a 2-form gauge field. The gauge field \( B_{mn} \) is transformed as \( B_{mn} \rightarrow B_{mn} + \partial_m \lambda_n - \partial_n \lambda_m \), where \( \lambda_m \) is a 1-form gauge parameter. The gauge field \( C_m \) is transformed under the gauge transformation of \( A_m \rightarrow A_m + \partial_m u \) as \( C_m \rightarrow C_m + \frac{1}{2} \partial_m u \), where \( u \) is a gauge parameter. The equivalence between the Lagrangian and the one in Eq. (2.4) can be seen by solving the EOM for \( B_{mn} \), which gives us \( C_m = \partial_m \varphi \). The dual formulation can be obtained by using the EOM for \( C_m \) and by eliminating the field. The EOM for the \( C_m \) gives us
\[
C_m = \frac{1}{\rho^2} (\ast H)_m - \partial_m \varphi_0 + e \frac{i}{2} A_m,
\]
where we have defined
\[
H_{mnp} := \partial_m B_{np} + \partial_n B_{pm} + \partial_p B_{mn},
\]
and
\[
(\ast H)^m := \frac{1}{3!} \epsilon^{mnpq} H_{npq}.
\]
Therefore, the first-order Lagrangian in Eq. (2.5) becomes
\[
L_B = \frac{1}{2\rho^2} (\ast H)^m (\ast H)_m - \frac{1}{4} F_{mn} F_{mn} + \frac{e}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2!} \cdot \frac{1}{2!} \epsilon^{mnpq} B_{mn} F_{pq} \]
\[
- \frac{1}{2!} \epsilon^{mnpq} B_{mn} \partial_p \partial_q \varphi_0.
\]
The Lagrangian describes a system with 1-form and 2-form gauge field with the topological coupling \( \epsilon^{mnpq} B_{mn} F_{pq} \). The 2-form gauge field is electrically coupled with the
string current $\epsilon^{mnpq}\partial_p\partial_q\varphi_0$. Naively, $\epsilon^{mnpq}\partial_p\partial_q\varphi_0$ seems to be identically zero. However, since $\varphi_0$ is a multivalued function, $\epsilon^{mnpq}\partial_p\partial_q\varphi_0$ is non-zero where $|\phi|$ becomes zero. This can be seen as follows. Since $\varphi_0$ is a part of the phase of the complex scalar field, $\epsilon^{mnpq}\partial_p\partial_q\varphi_0$ can be rewritten as follows:

$$\epsilon^{mnpq}\partial_p\partial_q\varphi_0 = \frac{1}{2i} \epsilon^{mnpq}\partial_p\partial_q \log(\phi/\bar{\phi}).$$

(2.10)

Here, we have included the regular part $\varphi$ since $\epsilon^{mnpq}\partial_p\partial_q\varphi = 0$. The right hand side of Eq. (2.10) gives rise to a delta function:

$$\frac{1}{2i} \epsilon^{mnpq}\partial_p\partial_q \log(\phi/\bar{\phi}) = \frac{1}{2i} \epsilon^{mnpq}\partial_p \left( \frac{1}{\phi} \partial_q\phi - \frac{1}{\bar{\phi}} \partial_q\bar{\phi} \right)$$

$$= -2\pi i \epsilon^{mnpq}\delta^2(\phi, \bar{\phi}) \partial_p\bar{\phi}\partial_q\phi.$$ (2.11)

Here, we have used a property of a two dimensional delta function

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi} \frac{1}{\phi} = \frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\phi}} \frac{1}{\bar{\phi}} = 2\pi \delta^2(\phi, \bar{\phi}),$$ (2.12)

where the delta function is defined by

$$\delta^2(\phi, \bar{\phi}) := \frac{1}{2} \delta(\text{Re}\,\phi)\delta(\text{Im}\,\phi).$$ (2.13)

Thus, $\epsilon^{mnpq}\partial_p\partial_q\varphi_0$ has singularities of the delta function where $|\phi|$ is zero. The string current is a conserved current:

$$\partial_n \epsilon^{mnpq}\partial_p\partial_q\varphi_0 = 0,$$ (2.14)

because $\epsilon^{mnpq}\partial_n\phi\partial_p\phi\partial_q\bar{\phi} = \epsilon^{mnpq}\partial_n\phi\partial_p\bar{\phi}\partial_q\phi = 0$.

### 2.3 Dual massive 2-form theory with vortex strings

We have dualized the Lagrangian in Eq. (2.4) into the one with the 2-form gauge field. We can also dualize the Lagrangian into a system with a massive 2-form field. We introduce the following first-order Lagrangian which is classically equivalent to the Lagrangian in Eq. (2.9):

$$L_{B',\text{1st}} = \frac{1}{2\rho^2}(*H)^m(*H)_m - \frac{1}{2!} \epsilon^{mnpq}B_{mn}\partial_p\partial_q\varphi_0 + \frac{e}{2} \frac{1}{3!} \epsilon^{mnpq}A_mH_{npq}$$

$$- \frac{1}{4} F'_{mn}F'_{mn} + \frac{1}{2!} \frac{1}{2!} \epsilon^{mnpq}B'_{mn}(\partial_pA_q - \partial_qA_p) - F'_{pq}).$$ (2.15)
Here, $B'_{mn}$ is a 2-form field as a Lagrange’s multiplier, $F'_{mn}$ is a 2-form field which is independent of the original 1-form gauge field $A_m$. The EOM for the Lagrange’s multiplier gives us the relation $F'_{mn} = \partial_mA_n - \partial_nA_m$, and we go back to the original Lagrangian in Eq. (2.9). Instead, the EOM for $A_m$ gives us

$$
\frac{1}{3!}\epsilon^{mnpq}H_{npq} = -\frac{2}{e} \cdot \frac{1}{2!}\epsilon^{mnpq}\partial_nB'_{pq}.
$$

(2.16)

Furthermore, the EOM for $F'_{mn}$ leads to

$$
F'_{mn} = -\frac{1}{2!}\epsilon^{mnpq}B'_{pq}.
$$

(2.17)

Substituting Eqs. (2.16) and (2.16) into the Lagrangian in Eq. (2.15), we obtain

$$
\mathcal{L}_{B'} = \frac{2}{e^2\rho^2}(\ast H')^m(\ast H')_m - \frac{1}{4}B''_{mn}B'_{mn} - \frac{2}{e} \cdot \frac{1}{2!}\epsilon^{mnpq}B'_{mn}\partial_p\partial_q\varphi_0
$$

(2.18)

up to total derivatives. Here, we have defined

$$
(\ast H')^m = \frac{1}{2!}\epsilon^{mnpq}\partial_nB'_{pq}.
$$

(2.19)

The second term of the Lagrangian in Eq. (2.18) is the mass term for the 2-from $B'_{mn}$. Therefore, the Lagrangian in Eq. (2.18) describes a system of massive 2-form field. The ANO vortex strings are coupled with the massive 2-form.

### 3 Dual transformations of vortex strings in SUSY Abelian Higgs model

In this section, we discuss the dual transformations of ANO vortex strings of the SUSY Abelian Higgs model. In SUSY theories, the Higgs potential can be obtained by a F-term or a D-term potentials [38]. For the former case, the SUSY is completely broken in the core of the vortex strings. For the latter case, the half of SUSY can be preserved in the core of the ANO vortex strings, and the ANO vortex strings can be BPS states [38–40]. We thus discuss the latter option in this paper.

We use the superspace formalism in order to obtain the manifestly SUSY theories. The superspace is spanned by the coordinates $(x^m, \theta^\alpha, \bar{\theta}\dot{\alpha})$, where $(x^m)$ $(m = 0, 1, 2, 3)$ are coordinates of the Minkowski spacetime, and $(\theta^\alpha, \bar{\theta}\dot{\alpha})$ are coordinates spanned by the Grassmann numbers. The indices beginning with $m, n, ...$ are vector indices. The indices beginning with $\alpha, \beta, ...\dot{\alpha}, \dot{\beta}, ...$ are spinor indices with $\alpha = 1, 2$ and $\dot{\alpha} = \dot{1}, \dot{2}$. 
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3.1 SUSY Abelian Higgs model

We introduce a Lagrangian of the SUSY Abelian Higgs model. We begin with the following Lagrangian:

\[ L_{AH, SUSY} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4\theta (\bar{\Phi} e^{eV} \Phi + \bar{\tilde{\Phi}} e^{-eV} \tilde{\Phi} - \xi V) + \frac{1}{4} \int d^2\theta W^a W_a + \text{h.c.} \]  

(3.1)

Here, \( V \) is a vector superfield in which a \( U(1) \) vector gauge field \( A_m \) is embedded, \( W_a = -\frac{1}{4} \bar{D}^2 D_a V \) is a gaugino superfield given by the vector superfield, \( e \) is a positive coupling constant of the \( U(1) \) gauge symmetry, and \( \xi \) is a Fayet–Iliopoulos (FI) parameter \[36\]. Superfields \( \Phi \) and \( \tilde{\Phi} \) are chiral superfields with \( U(1) \) charge \(+e/2\) and \(-e/2\), respectively. The chiral superfields are transformed by the \( U(1) \) gauge transformation as \( \Phi \to \Phi e^{e\Lambda} \) and \( \tilde{\Phi} \to \tilde{\Phi} e^{-e\Lambda} \) when \( V \) is transformed as \( V \to V - \Lambda - \bar{\Lambda} \). Here, \( \Lambda \) is a chiral superfield parameter. The bosonic part of the component Lagrangian is

\[ L_{AH, SUSY, \text{boson}} = -\left| \partial_m \phi - i \frac{e}{2} A_m \phi \right|^2 - \left| \partial_m \bar{\phi} + i \frac{e}{2} A_m \bar{\phi} \right|^2 - \frac{1}{4} F_{mn} F^{mn} \]

\[ + \frac{1}{2} \bar{D} (e |\phi|^2 - e |\bar{\phi}|^2 - \xi) + F \bar{\tilde{F}} + \bar{F} \tilde{F} + \frac{1}{2} D^2. \]  

(3.2)

Here, we have omitted fermions which are not needed for the following discussion in this section. In the Lagrangian Eq. (3.2), we have used the Wess–Zumino (WZ) gauge:

\( V| = D_a V| = D_{\bar{a}} V| = D^2 V| = D\bar{D} V| = 0 \). Here, the vertical bar “|” represents \( \theta = \bar{\theta} = 0 \) projection of the superfields, and \( D_a \) and \( D_{\bar{a}} \) are SUSY covariant spinor derivatives. The components of the chiral superfield \( \Phi \) and the vector superfield \( V \) are denoted as

\[ \phi = \Phi|, \quad \chi_\alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} D_\alpha \Phi|, \quad F = -\frac{1}{4} D^2 \Phi, \]  

(3.3)

\[ \bar{\phi} = \bar{\Phi}|, \quad \bar{\chi}_\alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} D_{\bar{\alpha}} \bar{\Phi}|, \quad \bar{F} = -\frac{1}{4} D^2 \bar{\Phi}, \]  

(3.4)

\[ A_{a\bar{a}} = \frac{1}{2} [D_a, D_{\bar{a}}] V|, \]

\[ F_{mn} = \partial_m A_n - \partial_n A_m = \frac{1}{2i} (\sigma^{mn})_\alpha^\beta D^\alpha W_\beta - (\bar{\sigma}^{mn})_{\bar{\alpha}}^{\bar{\beta}} D_{\bar{\alpha}} \bar{W}^{\bar{\beta}}, \]  

(3.5)

\[ \lambda_a = i W_a|, \quad \bar{\lambda}_{\bar{a}} = -i \bar{W}_{\bar{a}}|, \quad D = -\frac{1}{2} D^a W_a| = -\frac{1}{2} D_{\bar{a}} \bar{W}^{\bar{a}}. \]

The quantities \( (\sigma^m)_{a\bar{a}} \) and \( (\bar{\sigma}^m)_{\bar{\alpha}a} \) are four-dimensional Pauli matrices which satisfy \( (\bar{\sigma}^m)_{\bar{\alpha}a} = (\sigma^m)^{a\bar{a}} \). The quantity \( A_{a\bar{a}} \) is defined by the Pauli matrices as \( A_{a\bar{a}} = (\sigma^m)^{a\bar{a}} A_m \). The quantities \( (\sigma^{mn})_\alpha^\beta \) and \( (\bar{\sigma}^{mn})_{\bar{\alpha}}^{\bar{\beta}} \) are self-dual and anti-self dual tensors.
defined by
\[
(\sigma^{mn})_{\alpha^\beta} = \frac{1}{4}((\sigma^m)^{\alpha\gamma}(\sigma^n)^{\gamma\beta} - (\sigma^n)^{\alpha\gamma}(\sigma^m)^{\gamma\beta}),
\]
\[
(\bar{\sigma}^{mn})_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} = \frac{1}{4}((\bar{\sigma}^m)^{\dot{\alpha}\gamma}(\sigma^n)^{\gamma\dot{\beta}} - (\bar{\sigma}^n)^{\dot{\alpha}\gamma}(\sigma^m)^{\gamma\dot{\beta}}),
\] respectively.

In this model, the $U(1)$ symmetry is spontaneously broken if the FI parameter $\xi$ is non-zero. This can be seen by the on-shell potential
\[
V = \frac{1}{2}D(e|\phi|^2 - e|\tilde{\phi}|^2 - \xi) - \bar{F}F - \bar{\tilde{F}}\tilde{F} - \frac{1}{2}D^2.
\] In order to obtain the on-shell potential, we solve the EOM for the auxiliary fields $F$ and $D$. The EOM for $F$ and $\tilde{F}$ are trivial: $F = \tilde{F} = 0$, while the EOM for $D$ is
\[
D = \frac{1}{2}(e|\phi|^2 - e|\tilde{\phi}|^2 - \xi).
\] Therefore, the on-shell potential $V$ is
\[
V = \frac{1}{8}(e|\phi|^2 - e|\tilde{\phi}|^2 - \xi)^2.
\] The vacuum of the model is given by the minimum of the potential, which is described by the condition
\[
e|\phi|^2 - e|\tilde{\phi}|^2 = \xi.
\] If the FI parameter is positive $\xi > 0$, $|\phi|^2$ cannot be zero while $|\tilde{\phi}|^2$ can be zero. Since $\phi$ develops the vacuum expectation value, the $U(1)$ symmetry is broken, and the vector field $A_m$ becomes massive by eating the phase of $\phi$. Note that SUSY is unbroken in this vacuum since the vacuum expectation value of the auxiliary field is $D = 0$ in this vacuum.

### 3.2 Dual SUSY 2-form gauge theory with vortex strings

We consider a dual formulation of the SUSY Abelian Higgs model. We use the superspace formalism in order to make SUSY manifest. In section 2.2 we have reviewed the dual transformations of the bosonic Abelian Higgs model. As in the bosonic Abelian Higgs model, there are at least two ways to dualize the Lagrangian. One is to dualize the chiral superfield $\Phi$. In this case, the dual theory is described by a 2-form gauge field $B_{mn}$ in addition to the original 1-form gauge field $A_m$. In the dual theory, the
2-form gauge field is topologically coupled with the 1-form gauge field. The other is to dualize the vector superfield \( V \). In this case, the dual theory is described by a massive 2-form field where the 1-form gauge field is eaten by the 2-form gauge field. In this subsection, we choose the former option. The ANO vortex strings are coupled with the 2-form gauge field electrically.

### 3.2.1 String current superfield

We begin with the following Lagrangian:

\[
\mathcal{L}_{AH,\text{SUSY}}' = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4 \theta (\bar{\Phi} e^V \Phi - \xi V) + \frac{1}{4} \int d^2 \theta W^\alpha W_\alpha + \text{h.c.,} \tag{3.11}
\]

where we have omitted the terms which are irrelevant to the ANO vortex strings, since we are interested in the dual formulation of the ANO vortex strings. In the presence of the vortex strings, the Lagrangian has singular points in the field space of \( \Phi \) where \( \Phi = 0 \). In order to dualize the Lagrangian, we split \( \Phi \) into the singular part and the regular part as follows:

\[
\Phi = \Phi_0 \Phi_1, \quad \text{where} \quad \Phi_0 := \frac{\Phi}{\Phi_1}. \tag{3.12}
\]

Here, \( \Phi_1 \) is a regular chiral superfield of mass-dimension one, which does not have a zero-point. This regular part can be understood as a SUSY extension of \( e^{i\varphi} \) in the bosonic model in section 2.2. Since \( \Phi_1 \) is the regular chiral superfield, we can assign non-singular gauge transformations for \( \Phi_1 \). We assume the same gauge transformation law of the chiral superfield \( \Phi_1 \) as \( \Phi \): \( \Phi_1 \rightarrow \Phi_1 e^{\epsilon A} \). Since \( \Phi_1 \) is not zero everywhere, there are no singular points for the gauge transformation. On the other hand, \( \Phi_0 \) has singular points where \( \Phi_0 \) is zero. Again, this singular part can be understood as a SUSY extension of \( e^{i\varphi_0} \) in the bosonic model in section 2.2. This zero-point is originated from the zero-point of the chiral superfield \( \Phi \). Thanks to the splitting \( \Phi = \Phi_0 \Phi_1 \), we can discuss the regular and singular parts in a manifestly gauge covariant and invariant ways, respectively.

We rewrite the Lagrangian in Eq. (3.2) by using \( \Phi_0 \) and \( \Phi_1 \) as follows:

\[
\mathcal{L}_{AH,\text{SUSY}}' = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4 \theta |\Phi_0|^2 |\Phi_1|^2 e^V + \frac{1}{4} \int d^2 \theta W^\alpha W_\alpha - \frac{1}{2} \xi \int d^4 \theta V + \text{h.c.} \tag{3.13}
\]

Now, we dualize \( |\Phi_1|^2 \) by the following the first-order Lagrangian:

\[
\mathcal{L}_{B,\text{SUSY,1st}}' = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4 \theta |\Phi_0|^2 M^2 e^{U+eV} + \frac{1}{4} \int d^2 \theta W^\alpha W_\alpha - \frac{1}{2} \xi \int d^4 \theta V
- \frac{1}{4 \cdot 2i} \int d^2 \theta \Sigma^\alpha \bar{D}^2 D_{\alpha} U + \text{h.c.} \tag{3.14}
\]
Here, \( U \) is a real superfield whose gauge transformation law is \( U \rightarrow U + e(\Lambda + \bar{\Lambda}) \) under \( V \rightarrow V - \Lambda - \bar{\Lambda} \). The superfield \( \Sigma_\alpha \) is a chiral superfield, \( M \) is a parameter of mass-dimension one. Since the original chiral superfield \( \Phi_1 \) is regular, we can safely assume that \( U \) is also a regular function in the sense that \( e^U \) does not have zero points. The Lagrangian is invariant under the gauge transformation of \( \Sigma_\alpha \):

\[
\delta_2 \Sigma_\alpha = -\frac{1}{4} \bar{D}^2 D_\alpha \Theta,
\]

where \( \delta_2 \) refers to an infinitesimal gauge transformation of \( \Sigma_\alpha \), and \( \Theta \) is a real superfield parameter. Since the chiral spinor superfield with the gauge transformation in Eq. (3.15) includes the 2-form gauge field \( B_{mn} \) as a component field (see e.g., Ref. [43]), we call \( \Sigma_\alpha \) “2-form prepotential” following Ref. [45].

We can go back to the original Lagrangian in Eq. (3.2) by eliminating \( \Sigma_\alpha \) by its EOM. The EOM for \( \Sigma_\alpha \) and its Hermitian conjugate,

\[
\bar{D}^2 D_\alpha U = D^2 \bar{D}_\alpha U = 0,
\]

give us the following solution:

\[
U = \Phi' + \bar{\Phi}',
\]

where \( \Phi' \) is a single valued chiral superfield since \( e^U \) is a non-zero superfield. If we define \( \Phi_1 = e^{\Phi'} \), we obtain the original Lagrangian.

The dual formulation can be obtained by eliminating the real superfield \( U \) instead of eliminating \( \Sigma_\alpha \). The EOM for \( U \) is

\[
0 = |\Phi_0|^2 M^2 e^U + eV - L,
\]

where \( L \) is a real superfield defined by

\[
L = \frac{1}{2i} (D^\alpha \Sigma_\alpha - \bar{D}_\alpha \bar{\Sigma}^\alpha).
\]

Note that the real superfield \( L \) is a linear superfield since \( D^2 L = \bar{D}^2 L = 0 \).

By using the real linear superfield \( L \), \( U \) can be solved as

\[
U = \log \frac{L}{|\Phi_0|^2 M^2 e^V}.
\]

Substituting the solution into the first-order Lagrangian in Eq. (3.14), we reach at the following dual Lagrangian

\[
\mathcal{L}_{B,SUSY}' = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^4\theta L \log \left( \frac{L}{M^2} \right) + \frac{1}{4} \int d^2\theta W^\alpha W_\alpha - \frac{1}{2} \int d^4\theta \xi V - \frac{e}{2i} \int d^2\theta \Sigma^\alpha W_\alpha - \frac{1}{2i} \int d^2\theta \Sigma^\alpha J_\alpha + \text{h.c.}
\]
Here, we have defined the chiral superfield $J_{\alpha}$ as
\[ J_{\alpha} := -\frac{1}{4} \tilde{D}^2 D_{\alpha} \log |\Phi_0|^2, \] (3.22)
and we call the superfield $J_{\alpha}$ "string current superfield" for the later convenience. The terms $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^2 \theta \Sigma^\alpha J_{\alpha} + \text{h.c.}$ are invariant under the gauge transformation of the 2-form prepotential in Eq. (3.15), because the chiral superfield $J_{\alpha}$ satisfies the following identity like the gaugino superfield
\[ D^\alpha J_{\alpha} = \tilde{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} \tilde{J}^{\dot{\alpha}} \] (3.23)
by the SUSY algebra $D^\alpha \tilde{D}^2 D_{\alpha} = \tilde{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} D^2 \tilde{D}^{\dot{\alpha}}$. Naively, $J_{\alpha} = 0$ since $\log |\Phi_0|^2 = \log \Phi_0 + \log \Phi_0$. However, since $\Phi_0$ can have zero points, $D^2 D_{\alpha} \log |\Phi_0|^2$ contains a singularity of a delta function. We will discuss the singularity more precisely.

3.2.2 Component expression of dual formulation

In the Lagrangian in Eq. (3.21), there are a coupling between the 2-form gauge field and the string current and its SUSY completion. The coupling and its SUSY completion are given by the last term. To see the coupling, we express the dual Lagrangian $L'_{B,SUSY}$ in terms of the component fields. The component expression is

\[ L'_{B,SUSY} = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}\sigma} \left( (\partial^m \sigma)(\partial_m \sigma) - (\ast H)^m(\ast H)_m \right) \]
\[- \frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}\sigma} \left( \bar{\psi}_{\dot{\alpha}} (\bar{\sigma}^m)^{\dot{\alpha}} \partial_m \psi_{\alpha} + \psi^\alpha (\sigma^m)_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}} \partial_m \bar{\psi}_{\dot{\alpha}} \right) \]
\[- \frac{1}{4\sigma^2} \bar{\psi}^\alpha (\bar{\sigma}^m)_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}} \bar{\psi}^{\dot{\alpha}} (\ast H)_m - \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}\sigma^3} \epsilon_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\alpha \beta} \bar{\psi}_{\dot{\alpha}} \psi_{\alpha} \bar{\psi}_{\dot{\beta}} \bar{\psi}^{\dot{\alpha}} \]
\[- \frac{1}{4} F^{mn} F_{mn} - \frac{i}{2} (\tilde{\lambda}^\alpha (\bar{\sigma}^m)_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}} \partial_m \tilde{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}} + \bar{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}} (\bar{\sigma}^m)^{\dot{\alpha}} \partial_m \lambda_{\alpha}) + \frac{1}{2} D^2 - \frac{1}{2} \xi D \]
\[ + \frac{e}{2 \cdot 2! \cdot 2!} \epsilon^{mnpq} B_{mn} F_{pq} + \frac{ie}{\sqrt{2}} (\lambda^\alpha \psi_{\alpha} - \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\psi}^{\dot{\alpha}}) + 2\sqrt{2} e \sigma D \]
\[ - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\psi^\alpha j_{\alpha} + \bar{\psi}^{\dot{\alpha}} \tilde{j}^{\dot{\alpha}}) + \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2!} \tilde{j}^{mn} B_{mn} + \sqrt{2} \sigma J. \] (3.24)

Here, the components of the chiral superfield $\Sigma_{\alpha}$ and the linear superfield $L$ are denoted as

\[ B_{mn} = -i ((\sigma_{mn})_{\alpha \beta} D^\alpha \Sigma_{\beta} - (\bar{\sigma}_{mn})^{\dot{\alpha}} \dot{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} \Sigma^{\dot{\beta}}), \]
\[ H_{mnp} = \partial_n B_{mp} + \partial_m B_{np} + \partial_p B_{mn} = \frac{1}{4} \epsilon_{mnpq} (\bar{\sigma}^q)^{\dot{\alpha}} \partial_m \Sigma_{\alpha} |D_{\dot{\alpha}} D_{\dot{\beta}} L, \]
\[ \sigma := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} L, \quad \psi_{\alpha} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} D_{\alpha} L = + \frac{i}{4\sqrt{2}} D^2 \Sigma_{\alpha}, \quad \bar{\psi}_{\dot{\alpha}} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \tilde{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} L = - \frac{i}{4\sqrt{2}} \tilde{D}^2 \Sigma^{\dot{\alpha}}. \] (3.25)
The vector component can also be written as
\[(\ast H)^m = \frac{1}{3!} \epsilon^{mnpq} H_{npq} = \frac{1}{4} (\bar{\sigma}^m)^{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}} [D_{\hat{\beta}}, \bar{D}_{\hat{\alpha}}] L, \tag{3.26}\]
or
\[[D_{\alpha}, \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}] L = -2(\ast H)_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}. \tag{3.27}\]

Note that we have used the WZ gauge for the 2-form prepotential \(\Sigma\):
\[
\Sigma_{\alpha} = \bar{\Sigma}_{\dot{\alpha}} = (D_{\alpha} \Sigma_{\alpha} + \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\Sigma}_{\dot{\alpha}}) | = 0. \tag{3.28}\]

Note that the superpartners of the phase of the complex scalar field are also dualized to the 2-form prepotential in the Lagrangian in Eq. (3.24) due to SUSY in contrast to the bosonic case. In the Lagrangian in Eq. (3.24), the fields \(j_{\alpha}, \bar{j}_{\dot{\alpha}}, J_{mn}, \) and \(J\) are the components of \(J_{\alpha}:\)
\[
j_{\alpha} = J_{\alpha}, \quad \bar{j}_{\dot{\alpha}} = J_{\dot{\alpha}},
J_{mn} = \frac{1}{2i} ((\sigma_{mn})_{\alpha}^\beta D^\alpha J^\beta - (\bar{\sigma}_{mn})_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\dot{\beta}} \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{J}^{\dot{\beta}}),
\bar{J}_{mn} = \frac{1}{2!} \epsilon_{mnpq} J^{pq},
J = -\frac{1}{2} D^\alpha J_{\alpha} = -\frac{1}{2} \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{J}^{\dot{\alpha}}. \tag{3.29}\]

It seems that \(J_{\alpha} = 0\) since \(\log |\Phi_0|^2 = \log \Phi_0 + \log \bar{\Phi}_0\). However, since \(\Phi_0\) can have zero points, \(\bar{D}^2 D_{\alpha} \log |\Phi_0|^2\) should contain a term like a delta function as mentioned above. The delta function arises as a SUSY extension of Eq. (2.12):
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial \Phi_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\Phi}_0} \log |\Phi_0|^2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \Phi_0} \frac{1}{\Phi_0} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\Phi}_0} \frac{1}{\bar{\Phi}_0} = 2\pi \delta^2(\Phi, \bar{\Phi}), \tag{3.30}\]
where \(\delta^2(\Phi, \bar{\Phi})\) is defined by
\[
\delta^2(\Phi, \bar{\Phi}) = \frac{1}{2} \delta(\text{Re } \Phi)\delta(\text{Im } \Phi). \tag{3.31}\]

Note that this property of \(\log |\Phi_0|^2\) can also be understood as a SUSY extension of the two-dimensional Green’s function. We explicitly write down the components of \(J_{\alpha}\) as follows:
\[
j_{\alpha} = J_{\alpha} = -\frac{1}{4} \bar{D}^2 D_{\alpha} \log |\Phi_0|^2
= 2\sqrt{2\pi} \delta^2(\phi_0, \bar{\phi}_0) F_0 \xi_{0\alpha} - 2\sqrt{2i} \pi \delta^2(\phi_0, \bar{\phi}_0)(\bar{\sigma}^m)_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \partial_m \phi_0 \bar{\chi}_{0\dot{\alpha}}
- \sqrt{2\pi} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_0} \delta^2(\phi_0, \bar{\phi}_0) \right) \bar{\chi}_{0\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\phi}_0 \xi_{0\alpha}. \tag{3.32}\]
Here, $\phi_0$, $\chi_0$, and $F_0$ are defined by
\[
\phi_0 = \Phi_0, \quad \chi_{0\alpha} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} D_\alpha \Phi_0, \quad F_0 = -\frac{1}{4} D^2 \Phi_0, \tag{3.33}
\]\ and we have used
\[
D_\alpha \log |\Phi_0|^2 = (D_\alpha \Phi_0) \frac{\partial}{\partial \Phi_0} \log |\Phi_0|^2 \tag{3.34}
\]\ and its Hermitian conjugate. The component $J$ can also be rewritten as
\[
J = -\frac{1}{2} D^\alpha J_\alpha = -\frac{1}{2} D_\alpha J^{\bar{\alpha}} = \frac{1}{8} D^\alpha D^2 D_\alpha \log |\Phi_0|^2 \tag{3.35}
\]\ + 2i\pi \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_0} \delta^p (\phi_0, \bar{\phi_0}) \partial^q \bar{\phi_0} - \frac{2i}{\phi_0} \chi_0^{\alpha} (\sigma^p)^{\alpha \bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} \chi_0^{\bar{\alpha}} + i \chi_0 \partial \chi_0 + F_0 F_0 \right)
\[
\begin{align*}
+ 2i\pi \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_0} \delta^p (\phi_0, \bar{\phi_0}) \chi_0 \chi_0^p \right) - 2i\pi \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_0} \delta^p (\phi_0, \bar{\phi_0}) \chi_0 \chi_0 F_0 - 2i\pi \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_0} \delta^p (\phi_0, \bar{\phi_0}) \chi_0 \chi_0 F_0 \right.
\end{align*}
\]
\[
+ \pi \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_0} \delta^p (\phi_0, \bar{\phi_0}) \chi_0 \chi_0 \right) \chi_0 \chi_0 \chi_0 \chi_0 \chi_0 \chi_0 .
\]
\[
\text{This component may correspond to (the twice of) the Lagrangian of the non-linear sigma model where the Kähler potential is given by } K = \log |\Phi_0|^2. \text{ Finally, the component } J_{mn} = \frac{1}{2!} \varepsilon_{mnpq} J^{pq} \text{ can be calculated as }
\]
\[
J_{mn} = -4i \pi \delta^p (\phi_0, \bar{\phi_0}) \varepsilon_{mnpq} \partial^p \partial^q \bar{\phi_0} - 2i \pi \delta^p (\phi_0, \bar{\phi_0}) \varepsilon_{mnpq} (\sigma^p)^{\alpha \bar{\alpha}} \partial^p \chi_0^{\bar{\alpha}} - \chi_0 \partial \chi_0 + F_0 F_0 \chi_0 \chi_0 \chi_0 \chi_0 \chi_0 \chi_0 . \tag{3.36}
\]
\[
\text{Since the right hand side of the first line in Eq. (3.36) corresponds to Eq. (2.11) in the bosonic case, } J_{mn} \text{ can be understood as a SUSY extension of the string current. The conservation law of } J_{mn} \text{ can be derived by the relation } D^\alpha J_\alpha = \bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{J}^{\dot{\alpha}} \text{ in Eq. (3.23), which implies }
\]
\[
\partial_m J_{mn} = 0. \tag{3.37}
\]
\[
\text{Note that Eq. (3.38) is equivalent to the property that } J_{mn} \text{ is closed: }
\]
\[
\varepsilon_{mnpq} \partial_n J^{pq} = 0. \tag{3.38}
\]
\[
\text{Before closing this section, a comment is in order on the string current superfield. Since Eqs. (3.23) and (3.38) hold, the string current superfield can be a SUSY extension}
\]
of the closed 2-form which cannot be expressed by the exterior derivative of a regular 1-form. If we regard the string current superfield as a “gaugino superfield” of a singular 2-form field strength, the “prepotential” for the gaugino superfield may correspond to $\log |\Phi_0|^2$. In this case, the vector component of $\log |\Phi_0|^2$ is singular at the zero points of $\phi_0$:

$$([D_\alpha, \bar{D}_\dot{\alpha}] \log |\Phi_0|^2) = -2i(\sigma^m)_{a\dot{a}} \left( \frac{1}{\phi_0} \partial_m \bar{\phi}_0 - \frac{1}{\bar{\phi}_0} \partial_m \phi_0 \right) - 8\pi \delta^2(\phi_0, \bar{\phi}_0)\chi_{0\alpha}\bar{\chi}_{\dot{\alpha}}. \quad (3.39)$$

### 3.3 Dual SUSY massive 2-form theory with vortex strings

Here, we further dualize the Lagrangian in Eq. (3.21). The Lagrangian in Eq. (3.21) is described by the 1-form prepotential $V$ and the 2-form prepotential $\Sigma_\alpha$ with the topological coupling $\epsilon^{mnpq} B_{mn} F_{pq}$. In this picture, the string current superfield is coupled with the 2-from prepotential in a gauge invariant way. We can further dualize the Lagrangian as we will see below. In this picture, the 2-form gauge field is manifestly massive by eating the 1-form gauge field. The dual transformation can be done by adding a Lagrange’s multiplier $\Upsilon_\alpha$ in the Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}'_{B',\text{SUSY,1st}} = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^4\theta L \log \left( \frac{L}{M^2} \right) + \frac{1}{4} \int d^2\theta W'^{\alpha} W'_\alpha + \frac{1}{2} \int d^4\theta (eL - \xi)V - \frac{1}{2i} \int d^2\theta \Sigma^\alpha J_\alpha - \frac{1}{2i} \int d^2\theta \Upsilon^\alpha \left( W'_\alpha + \frac{1}{4} \bar{D}^2 D_\alpha V \right) + h.c. \quad (3.40)$$

Here, $\Upsilon_\alpha$ is a chiral superfield as a Lagrange’s multiplier, $W'_\alpha$ is a chiral superfield which is independent of the real superfield $V$. Note that $\Upsilon_\alpha$ do not have a gauge symmetry in contrast to $\Sigma_\alpha$. The EOM for $\Upsilon_\alpha$ gives us the original Lagrangian as before, while the EOM for $W'_\alpha$ gives us

$$W'_\alpha = -i \Upsilon_\alpha. \quad (3.41)$$

This equation implies that $W'_\alpha$ is now described by the chiral superfield $\Upsilon'_\alpha$. Further, the EOM for the 1-form prepotential $V$ leads to

$$L = \frac{1}{e}(\Psi + \xi), \quad (3.42)$$

where $\Psi$ is given by

$$\Psi := \frac{1}{2i} (D^\alpha \Upsilon_\alpha - \bar{D}_\dot{\alpha} \bar{\Upsilon}^\dot{\alpha}). \quad (3.43)$$

The relation in Eq. (3.42) means that the 2-form prepotential $\Sigma_\alpha$ can be described by the chiral superfield $\Upsilon_\alpha$. Substituting Eqs. (3.41) and (3.42) into the Lagrangian in
Eq. (3.40), we obtain the following dual Lagrangian:

\[ \mathcal{L}'_{B', \text{SUSY}} = -\frac{1}{2e} \int d^4\theta (\Psi + \xi) \log \left( \frac{\Psi + \xi}{eM^2} \right) + \frac{1}{4} \int d^2\theta \Upsilon^\alpha \Upsilon_\alpha \]

\[ + \frac{\xi}{2e} \int d^4\theta \log |\Phi_0|^2 - \frac{\xi}{2i e} \int d^2\theta \Upsilon^\alpha J_\alpha + \text{h.c.} \]  

(3.44)

The Lagrangian is now given by the chiral superfields \( \Upsilon_\alpha \) and \( \Phi_0 \). The chiral superfield \( \Upsilon_\alpha \) describes a massive 2-form and its superpartners. The first term is the kinetic term for the massive 2-form, and the second term is the mass term. The third and the fourth terms are the coupling between the massive 2-form superfield and the string current superfield. These terms can be explicitly seen by the component expression of the Lagrangian in Eq. (3.44).

In order to show the component Lagrangian, we define the component fields of the chiral spinor superfield \( \Upsilon_\alpha \) as follows. The \( \theta = \bar{\theta} = 0 \) components are defined as

\[ \chi'_{\alpha} = +i \Upsilon_\alpha, \quad \bar{\chi}'_{\dot{\alpha}} = -i \bar{\Upsilon}_{\dot{\alpha}}. \]  

(3.45)

The components given by first order spinor derivatives are

\[ D' = -\frac{1}{4} (D^\alpha \Upsilon_\alpha + \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\Upsilon}^{\dot{\alpha}}), \]

\[ \sigma' = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |\Psi| = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}i} (D^\alpha \Upsilon_\alpha - \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\Upsilon}^{\dot{\alpha}}), \]

\[ B'_{mn} = -i ((\sigma_{mn})_\alpha^\beta D^\alpha \Upsilon_\beta - (\bar{\sigma}_{mn})^{\dot{\alpha}}_{\dot{\beta}} \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\Upsilon}^{\dot{\beta}})). \]  

(3.46)

Here, \( B'_{mn} \) is a (non-gauge) 2-form field. The components defined by second order spinor derivatives are

\[ \psi'_{\alpha} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} D_\alpha |\Psi| = +i D^2 \Upsilon_\alpha | + \partial_\alpha \Upsilon_\beta |, \]

\[ \bar{\psi}'_{\dot{\alpha}} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}} |\Psi| = -i \bar{D}^2 | + \partial^{\dot{\alpha}} \Upsilon_\beta |. \]  

(3.47)

Since \( \Upsilon_\alpha \) is a chiral superfield, the components of higher than the second order are given by spacetime derivatives of the lower components. For example, the exterior derivative on the 2-form field is expressed in terms of the superfield as follows:

\[ H'_{mnp} := \partial_m B'_{np} + \partial_n B'_{pm} + \partial_p B'_{mn} = \frac{1}{4} \epsilon_{mnpq} (\sigma^q)^{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}} [D_{\hat{\beta}}, \bar{D}_{\hat{\alpha}}] |\Psi|. \]  

(3.48)
By using these component fields, we obtain the component Lagrangian:

\[
\mathcal{L}_{B',\text{SUSY}}' = -\frac{1}{2e(\sqrt{2}\sigma' + \xi)}\left( (\partial^n \sigma') (\partial_m \sigma') - (*H')^m (*H'_m) \right) \\
- \frac{i}{2e(\sqrt{2}\sigma' + \xi)} \left( \bar{\psi}'_\alpha (\bar{\sigma}^\alpha)^{\dot{\alpha}} \partial_m \psi'_\alpha + \psi'^{\alpha}(\sigma^m)_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}} \partial_m \bar{\psi}'^{\dot{\alpha}} \right) \\
- \frac{1}{2e(\sqrt{2}\sigma' + \xi)^2} \psi'^{\alpha}(\bar{\sigma}^m)_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}} \bar{\psi}'^{\dot{\alpha}} (*H')_m - \frac{1}{2e(\sqrt{2}\sigma' + \xi)^3} \psi'^{\alpha} \bar{\psi}'^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\psi}'^{\dot{\alpha}} \\
- \frac{1}{16} B'^m n B'^m n + \frac{1}{2} D'^2 - \frac{1}{4} \sigma'^2 \\
- \frac{i}{2} (\lambda'^{\beta}(\sigma^m)_{\beta \dot{\beta}} \partial_m \bar{\lambda}'^{\dot{\beta}} + \bar{\lambda}'^{\dot{\beta}} (\bar{\sigma}^m)^{\dot{\beta}} \partial_m \lambda'_\beta) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} i (\lambda'^{\alpha} \psi'_\alpha - \bar{\lambda}'^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\psi}'^{\dot{\alpha}}) \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2e} \tilde{J}'^m n B'^m n + \frac{1}{2e} (\sqrt{2}\sigma + \xi) J \\
- \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}e} (j'^{\alpha} \psi'_\alpha + \tilde{j}'_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\psi}'^{\dot{\alpha}}) + \frac{i}{2e} (j'^{\alpha}(\sigma^m)_{\alpha \dot{\beta}} \partial_m \bar{\lambda}'^{\dot{\beta}} + \tilde{j}'_{\dot{\alpha}} (\bar{\sigma}^m)^{\dot{\beta}} \partial_m \lambda'_\beta). 
\]

The term \( B'^m n B'^m n \) is the mass term for the 2-form field. The coupling between the 2-form field and the string current is represented by \( \tilde{J}'^m n B'^m n \). In this Lagrangian, we find that there are the couplings between the fermionic component of the string current superfield \( j'_\alpha \) and \( \lambda'_{\dot{\alpha}} \) compared with the Lagrangian in Eq. (3.24). Note that the superpartners of the 1-form gauge field are also dualized to the chiral spinor superfield in the Lagrangian in Eq. (3.49) due to SUSY similarly to the Lagrangian in Eq. (3.24).

4 Summary

In this paper, we have derived the dual formulations of the SUSY Abelian Higgs model with the FI term in 4D. In particular, we have focused on the dual transformations of ANO vortex strings in \( \mathcal{N} = 1 \) superspace. These formulations of the ANO vortex strings can be obtained by splitting the chiral superfield charged under the \( U(1) \) gauge symmetry into the regular part and singular part. For the regular part which does not have zero points, we have dualized this part into a 2-form prepotential in a previously known way. In both of the dual formulations, the superpartners of the phase of the scalar field and 1-form are dualized into the 2-form prepotential and chiral spinor superfield due to SUSY in contrast to the bosonic case, respectively.

In the dual transformation to the system with the 2-form prepotential, we have shown that the singular part of the chiral superfield gives us the string current superfield which has singularities of the two-dimensional delta function. The string current
superfield is coupled with the 2-form prepotential or the chiral spinor superfield, and satisfies the current conservation law by the SUSY algebra. This current conservation law is consistent with the gauge symmetry of the 2-form prepotential. Furthermore, we have identified the components of the string current superfield. There are vortex strings as well as their superpartners. We have confirmed that the vortex strings in the string current superfield are the same as the ones in the bosonic (non-SUSY) Abelian Higgs model.

We have further dualized the Abelian Higgs model into a theory described by a massive 2-form field. The dual transformation has also been obtained by the previously known way. We have also shown that the string current superfield is coupled with the chiral spinor superfield into which the massive 2-form field is embedded.

There are several future work. One is the BPS conditions for the ANO vortex strings in the dual formulations. We have not considered the BPS conditions for the ANO vortex strings, although the conditions are important in SUSY theories. Thus, we should discuss the dualities of the BPS conditions on the ANO vortex strings.

Another is the dual formulations including superpotentials which uplifts flat directions of the D-term potential. In particular, we may discuss the dual formulations of the so-called M-model \[51,52\], in which the D-term potential is uplifted by a superpotential with an additional neutral chiral superfield.

The physical meaning of the bosonic and the fermionic superpartners of the string current should also be investigated. These superpartners are defined by spinor derivatives of the singular part of the chiral superfield. They are coupled with the superpartners of the 2-form prepotential or the chiral spinor superfield of the massive 2-form. It may be an open question whether such couplings are particular ones for SUSY theories or can be generalized to non-SUSY cases.

Mathematical structures of the string current superfield would be interesting. In 4D \( \mathcal{N} = 1 \) SUSY theories, the superspace expressions of closed or exact \( p \)-forms have been already known \[43\]. On the other hand, the string current superfield formulated in this paper can be an example of a superspace extension of the closed 2-form \( J_{mn} \) which cannot be expressed by an exterior derivative of a globally well-defined 1-form. The generalization of such properties of the string current superfield to other \( p \)-forms may be useful to discuss other topological solitons.

The SUSY Abelian Higgs model is the simplest Lagrangian consisting of a single
vector superfield and a single chiral superfield. When such a theory is realized as a low-energy effective action, it usually contains higher derivative corrections. Ghost-free higher derivative terms for a vector superfield and chiral superfield are available in Ref. [53] and Refs. [54–64], respectively. An extension of our duality with vortex strings in more general cases with higher derivative terms is one of future directions.

The dual transformations discussed in this paper can be extended to cosmic strings in SUGRA [39–41]. It will be convenient to use conformal SUGRA [65–71] when we discuss the dual transformations of ANO vortex strings, since the canonically normalized Einstein–Hilbert term can be obtained by the superconformal gauge-fixing without tedious super-Weyl rescalings [67]. In particular, the conformal superspace formalism [69] and $p$-form gauge theories in the conformal superspace [72,73] would be useful, since we can discuss dual transformations in a manifestly SUSY way.

One of important extension would be a non-Abelian extension. A $U(N)$ gauge theory coupled with $N \times N$ Higgs fields in the fundamental representation with common $U(1)$ charges is known to admit a non-Abelian vortex accompanied with non-Abelian $\mathbb{C}P^{N-1}$ moduli [74–80], see Refs. [32,52,81,82] as a review. A non-Abelian duality of a non-Abelian vortex in a non-SUSY case was done in the context of dense QCD [27,29], by using a non-Abelian 2-form field [23,24]. There, a coupling between the $\mathbb{C}P^{N-1}$ fields localized on a vortex world-sheet and a non-Abelian 2-form field in the bulk was obtained. A non-Abelian duality of non-Abelian vortex strings in a SUSY case would be possible by a non-Abelian extension of a chiral spinor superfield including a non-Abelian 2-form field as a component [84,85]. Another possibility of extensions is the case of an $SU(2) \times U(1)$ gauge theory coupled with the triplet Higgs fields with an equal charge, admitting a BPS Alice string [86,87]. This will be also possible by using a non-Abelian chiral spinor superfield.

It would be interesting to consider the dual transformations of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ extended SUSY theories allowing ANO vortex strings as well as non-Abelian vortex strings [74–80]. To this end, the framework discussed in Ref. [48] might be useful. $\mathcal{N} = 2$ extended SUSY theories also admit several composite solitons containing vortices such as vortex strings ending on a domain wall [88,90], a monopole confined by vortices [76,91,93], Yang–Mills instantons trapped inside a vortex [76,91,92], and intersecting vortex strings [94,95]. The dual transformations in the presence of these composite solitons

\*\*\* Instead of the full non-Abelian duality, a partial duality can be done by focusing on Abelian diagonal components [83].
would be one of interesting future directions. Along this line, a dual transformation of a vortex-monopole complex was already discussed in Ref. [96].
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