Nanomaterials are characterized as nanoobjects or nanostructured materials because their size is between 1-100 nanometers (nm)\textsuperscript{[1,2]}. Silver nanoparticles (Ag-NPs) have a lot of applications in many aspects from medicine\textsuperscript{[3]}, biotechnology and drug\textsuperscript{[4]} to industry\textsuperscript{[5]}. Increasing use of Ag-NPs in different materials leads to the increase of exposure to Ag-NPs. Nanomaterials cause some neurotoxicities like short-term memory reduction and decrease of learning ability\textsuperscript{[6]}. Also, it was reported that the prenatal exposure to nanoparticles can affect on neurobehavioral development\textsuperscript{[7]} via ROS accumulation in hippocampus\textsuperscript{[8]}. In particular, females are more prone to nanoparticle diverse effects as their toxicity may lead to impairment of fertility and fetal development\textsuperscript{[9]}. Also, blood brain barrier (BBB) allows the passage of Ag-NPs so; they can accumulate in the brain\textsuperscript{[10]}. On the other hand, it was shown that even in low concentration, silver has long maintenance time in brain than the other tissues\textsuperscript{[11]}. Furthermore, Ag-NPs retention time in mouse body was more than 4 months\textsuperscript{[12]}.

These factors provide enough time for Ag-NPs to influence on neural cells natural physiology and development. Also placenta, as a vital barrier, allows the passage of Ag-NPs, which may cause neurotoxicity in the fetus\textsuperscript{[13,14]}. Some studies reported that Ag-NPs are accumulated in fetus tissues by transporting across placenta\textsuperscript{[15]}.

But still little information is available associated to the relation...
between prenatal Ag-NPs exposure and following behavioral performance.

Widespread applications of Ag-NPs in medicine, passage from the placenta and BBB, long maintaining and long retention time raise this question whether exposure to Ag-NPs during pregnancy can cause damage to neuronal development leading to neurodisorders of the progeny.

Depression is a state of low mood and avoiding activity or reduction in biological function. Hence evaluation of behavioral activities became a useful approach for diagnosis of depression. It is one of the major causes of morbidity in the world with increasing growth in both males and females\(^\text{[16]}\). Depression and anxiety are stress-related psychiatric disorders. There are several animal models of anxiety and depression that all of them are based on variations in emotionality. They are including open field behavior, light–dark box and elevated plus maze (EPM)\(^\text{[17]}\). Also sucrose preference test is an indicator of anhedonia, which is related to depression\(^\text{[18]}\). Among the animal models of depression, mouse forced swimming test (FST) is one of the most used tools for screening depressive mood, which has predictive and reliability validity\(^\text{[19]}\). Tail suspension test (TST) is another most widely used model for evaluation of antidepressant-like activity in mouse\(^\text{[20]}\). In both of these methods, dropping in water and suspending by tail are considered as stress, which stimulate animals for more struggling. Therefore, in the present study the toxic effect of the prenatal exposure of Ag-NPs was assessed by FST and TST to detect the probable impairment of neurobehavioral development in mice offspring and possible depression.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The used protocol and animals’ ethics were approved by the Research Council of Veterinary Medicine Faculty in Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz.

**Nanoparticle:**

Ag-NPs (size: 10 nm, shape: spherical, purity: 99.9%, density: 1000 ppm) were purchased from Neutrino Corporation (Neutrino Nanovation, Iran). They were prepared by sol-gel method in which silver ions were reduced by sodium borohydride in the presence of citrate (fig. 1).
enough space, 17 days after mating, the females were transferred to individual cages (26×47×20 cm³) for comfortable parturition. From 45 days old mice, ten males and ten females were randomly chosen from different colonies for behavioral tests.

**Behavioral tests:**
All experiments were performed between 13:00 h to 17:00 h and behavior parameters recorded as duration (s). The standard behavioral mice model was used for the evaluation of depression behaviors for each FST and TST test, separately. For all tests a video record was done and an observer blind to treatment scored the videotapes.

**Forced swim test:**
In brief, each mouse individually was forced to swim for 6 min. An open glass chamber (25×15×25 cm) was used, which contained fresh water (25±1º) up to the height of 15 cm. At the end of each session (after each 6 min), mice were taken out of water and allowed to dry. Previously, it was shown that used water can alter the animal behavior; so water was changed after each session. This test was done for each mouse, twice. During the first time of FST, the mice were highly active at the beginning, so that they tried to climb the chamber wall or dived to the bottom and strongly had a circle swimming. After 24 h, they again were forced to swim in the similar environment for another 6 min. When the mice stop struggling, they became floated in water. This was considered as immobility. During the final 4 min of the total 6 min, the immobility time was recorded [23,24].

**Tail suspension test:**
This test is usually applied as a behavioral model in mice for detecting depressant-like activity. A box with 25×25×30 cm sizes was provided and to avoid any disturbances, the tests were done in a darkened room with minimum noise. Mice were suspended by tail (1 cm from the end of the tail) with a clamp, so that the head distance from the bottom was 5 cm. The animals were isolated visually and acoustically from each other. Mice were suspended for a total of 6 min, and the duration of immobility was observed and measured during the final 4 min interval of the test. The duration of immobility was considered only when the mouse was completely motionless [25].

**Statistical analysis:**
Statistical analyses were performed by comparing the treatment groups with the control group using SPSS16 (Chicago, USA). All values were expressed as mean±SEM. Statistical comparisons were performed by One Way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA). Post-hoc comparisons between individual groups were carried out by means of Tukey test. P value of <0.05 was accepted as statistically significant.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**
All the results are presented in Table 1. In male progenies, the prenatal exposure of both dose of 0.2 and 2 mg/kg resulted in decreasing of mobility, compared to control groups (control: 90.6±19.5 s, NP 0.2 mg/kg: 56.8±11.67 s, NP 2 mg/kg: 64.7±14.5 s, P<0.05) and increasing in immobility (control: 149.4±19.5 s, NP 0.2 mg/kg: 183.2±11.67 s, NP2 mg/kg: 175.3±14.5 s, P<0.05, fig. 2). But in females, no alteration in mobility and immobility periods in FST test was observed (P>0.05).

In both dose of 0.2 and 2 mg/kg of Ag-NPs, in tail swimming test, the mobility and immobility periods in male offspring did not alter, but in females, NP 2 dose compared to NP 0.2 resulted to decrease of mobility (NP 0.2 mg/kg: 210.5±6 s, NP 2 mg/kg: 159±11.4 s, P<0.05) and increase in immobility periods (NP 0.2 mg/kg: 29.5±6 s, NP 2 mg/kg: 71.1±12 s, P<0.05, fig. 3).

In our study, FST resulted to in a reduction of mobility and enhancement of immobility in males while TST lead to the same results in females. It is likely that gender-specific depression-like behaviours were observed. An interesting finding of the present study was the dose-dependent increase of immobility and decrease of mobility in FST in the male offspring, which might be related to depression-like behaviors. Depression is an emotional disorder, resulted from the physiological, neurobiological and behavioral interactions [26]. On the basis of FST, the depressed mice after floating in water, abandon swimming and remain immobile. The immobility time is considered as the depression level [27]. Also in TST, the immobility time is considered as a depression state [28]. The antidepressant factors reduced this time because they increase the

| TABLE 1: SUMMARY OF RESULTS |
|-----------------------------|
| Genders | FST (0.2 and 2 mg/kg) | TST (0.2 and 2 mg/kg) |
|        | Mobility | Immobility | Mobility | Immobility |
| Male   | Decrease | Increase |        |           |
| Female | -        | Decrease | Increase |           |

FST: Forced swimming test, TST: tail suspension test
Deficiencies of some monoaminergic transmitters like noradrenalin, serotonin (5-HT) and dopamine (DA) or dysregulation of their receptors in the brain are the major reasons of mental depression. It has been shown that Ag-NPs (15 nm) reduce the DA and its metabolite (dihydroxyphenylacetic acid). They impair neuronal cell differentiation so, they influence on cells capacity for producing of DA. Also, they decrease the expression level of genes, related to the dopaminergic system.

Small-sized Ag-NPs transport across the BBB. Ag-NPs with 50–100 nm size can change the action potential of hippocampal CA1 neurons, but with 15 nm size they reduce DA and dihydroxyphenylacetic acid. It was reported that silver nanoparticles with 20 nm size were more toxic than larger NPs. Also Ag-NPs have more toxicity than Ag ions. Ag-NPs toxicity is dependent to their ability to penetrate to cell membrane, cell type and NPs size.

In the present study, Ag-NPs with size of 10 nm were used. This size of NPs allows the NPs to cross biological barriers. Ag-NPs can accumulate in an in vitro BBB model composed of vascular endothelial cells of rat brain. We administered Ag-NPs subcutaneously because previously it has been reported that SC administration of Ag-NPs can traverse through the BBB and induce pathological changes, necrosis and neuronal degeneration. Also, oral administration in dams led to accumulation in brain. Passage through BBB, entering fetus blood circulation, accumulation in brain and long
maintaining time provide enough chance for Ag-NPs to exert effects on brain. There are several mechanisms attributed to Ag-NPs-induced brain damage and these were, activating the ROS system, impacting on immune system, dysfunction of ion channels, impaired neurotransmission in the brain and changing the action of glutamate, the major excitatory neurotransmitter in the brain.

Oxidative stress and ROS system can generate a wide spectrum of cellular pathological procedures including DNA damage, cell stress, tissue inflammation and apoptosis\cite{37,38}. Besides, there is a direct relationship between depressive disorder and oxidative stress produced by ROS\cite{14,30}. As Ag-NPs induce ROS generation in mother body and can pass BBB, they can enter the fetus blood circulation, activate the ROS system and lead to fetal cell dysfunction\cite{39}.

There is a relationship between depression and inflammatory factors, so IL-6 and IL-1 are increased in depressive patients\cite{40}. Therefore their level in serum can change the results of FST and TST\cite{40-42}.

Previously it was demonstrated that nanoparticles cause depressive disorders in both genders, by TST and FST evaluation\cite{43}. Also Ivani et al., by FST assessment reported that multiwall carbon nanotubes can lead to depressive disorders via activating the inflammatory cascade\cite{44}. Therefore, parallel to oxidative damage, Ag-NPs impact the immune system leading to production of some inflammatory factors such as cytokines and interleukins, so these are two main mechanisms, associated to Ag-NPs toxicity.

In CNS, ion channels have a key role in coordinating of cell viability and function. Sodium and potassium currents, beside the production of action potential, have an important role in releasing of neurotransmitters\cite{45}. It was demonstrated that Nano-Ag (50–100 nm) decreases the intracellular Na\(^+\) concentration and disturbs the voltage current, so that it changes the action potential of hippocampal neurons\cite{46}.

Another mechanism is due to the glutamate, which is the major excitatory neurotransmitter in the brain. It was shown that Ag-NPs cause an increase in NMDA receptors. These receptors are responsible for the degeneration of glutamatergic neurons and impaired cell excitation. This process involves in the pathogenesis of depression\cite{47}.

In our study, some effects of prenatal exposure to Ag-NPs were more severe in females. So, probably sex differences in brain neurotransmitter and neurochemistry are related to sex-dependent changes in brain\cite{48}. Also estrogen and progesterone enhance antioxidant mechanisms and exhibit neuroprotective and neuroregenerative activities\cite{49}. It might be probably one of the reasons of sex differences of Ag-NPs toxicity.

Altogether, probably their effect may dependent on the time of exposure, duration of exposure, kind of maternal administration, used dosage, gender and fetus age. As the result, exposure to Ag-NPs increased depression like behaviours in the progenies specially males. Also, the main mechanism of Ag-NPs depression induction is probably increasing of the inflammatory factors, oxidative stress, and deficiency in the levels of monoaminergic transmitters. Therefore, the safety psychopharmacology application of nano silver in gestational period is still an open question. These findings are important for warning the women who are pregnant or planning to get pregnant.
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