Comparative study of foot and mouth disease in apparently healthy Awassi sheep using different diagnostic tests in Jordan

Raida Karim Al-Rukibat, Wael Hananeh, Haitham Athamneh
Department of Veterinary Pathology and Public Health, Jordan University of Science and Technology, Irbid, Jordan

Abstract
Foot-and-mouth disease (FMD) is an extremely contagious viral disease of cloven-hoofed domesticated as well as wild animals and has a great potential for causing severe economic losses. Four hundred blood samples were collected randomly from different sheep flocks from August to September 2010 from northern region of Jordan. In the present study, we investigated the prevalence of FMD in apparently healthy Awassi sheep and compared the hematological changes of those infected animals with their normal uninfected counterparts. The prevalence of FMD was 3%, 4.25% and 51% by using polymerase chain reaction (PCR), nested PCR and competitive ELISA, respectively. There was significant increase in packed cell volume and eosinophils percentage in FMD positive group by ELISA. There were no significant differences in the other hematological parameters examined. In general FMD is endemic and widely spread among apparently healthy Awassi sheep that affects the economical progress in Jordan and other countries. This needs an international intervention to stop and control this disease.

Materials and Methods
Location ad study design
The sample size was calculated to be 383 animals based on a 10% proposed prevalence, a confidence interval of 95% that was adjusted to the actual sheep population in northern Jordan.

Sample collection and preparation
A total of 400 blood samples were collected from different sheep flocks placed on different areas in northern of Jordan. Peripheral blood was aseptically obtained from jugular vein with and without anticoagulant (EDTA0.2M). For serum collection, blood was allowed to clot and serum were isolated in 1.5 Eppendorf tubes and stored at −20°C until used.

Hematology
The whole blood tubes were used to determine differential leukocyte count, white blood cell count, red blood cell count, hematocrit (PCV, %) hemoglobin concentration and erythrocyte indices [mean corpuscular volume (MCV), mean corpuscular hemoglobin (MCH) and mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration (MCHC)].

Competitive enzyme linked immunosorbant assays
The test procedure, precautions and interpretation of results sections for this test kits were carried out and followed closely according to the manufacture instructions using the AniGen FMD NSP Ab competitive ELISA kits (Bionote Inc, Korea). If the product shows positive result, it means that the animal was infected by FMDV in field. AniGen FMD NSP Ab Rapid/ELISA kits are not relevant with the serotypes.

Viral RNA extraction
Viral RNA extraction from whole blood (with EDTA) was performed using commercially available RNA extraction Kit (Promega, Fitchburg, USA). Maxime RT-PCR PreMix Kit (iNitrobiotechnology) was used to reverse transcript the extracted RNA to cDNA. Briefly 1 µL of template RNA and 19 µL distilled water were added into the Maxime RT PreMix tubes (which have a Random primer) to a total volume of 20 µL. Then the clear pellet within the tube was Dissolve by pipetting. After that cDNA synthesis reaction was performed as the following using PCR thermocycler (DNA synthesis at 45°C for 60 min and RTase inactivation step at 95°C for 5 min.

Polymerase chain reaction amplification of reverse transcribed RNA
For PCR amplification 2X PCR Master mix Solution (i-MAX II, Seoul, Korea) was used; 10 µL of PCR Master mix Solution, 2 µL template
DNA, 1 µL specific forward and reverse primers, and 6 µL distilled water were added into PCR tube. The samples then were moved to thermocycler to perform PCR. The forward and reverse primers were within the VP1 protein gene. The forward primer was ACA-GAGCTTTGACCTGCTGTTGAC (nucleotide position between 88-112), while the reverse one was ATACACTGTGCGCCGTTTACCT (nucleotide position between 264-288). After amplification, 10 µL of the reaction mixture was electrophoresed on a 1.5% gel with a 100-bp ladder. Ethidium bromide was mixed with the gel before cooling, and bands were visualized by transillumination with UV light.

Nested polymerase chain reaction technique

The primer sequences were selected from the conserved genomic sequences of the viral RNA polymerase gene. The region (derived from the genome of strain O1 Kaufbeuren, C-terminal of NS protein 3A to N-terminal of polymerase 3D) exhibits little variation among FMDV serotypes and is ideal for amplification and detection of all seven serotypes.\(^8\) PCR (external) primers 3C1 antisense 5‘-CGC TCT ACC ACA TCT CGG GC-3’ (nucleotide position O1 Kaufb.: 6329-6348) and 3A1 sense 5’-CCA CAA GCT GAA GGA CCC T-3’ (nucleotide position O1 Kaufb.: 5450-5468). Nested-PCR (internal) primers 3C3 antisense 5‘-GGC CTC CAA GCT GAA GGA CCC T-3’ (nucleotide position O1 Kaufb.: 6329-6348) and 3A1 sense 5’-CCA CAA GCT GAA GGA CCC T-3’ (nucleotide position O1 Kaufb.: 5450-5468).

PCR Samples were amplified in a one-step RT-PCR in a final volume of 25 µL containing 5 µL extracted RNA and 1 µL of each external primer. One µL of the RT-PCR product was amplified by nested-PCR. For the second amplification, 3C3 and 3C5 primers were used. Each reaction was analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis.

Results

Complete Blood Count results for the FMD positive and negative samples were presented in Table 1.

There were significant increase in packed cell volume (PCV %) and eosinophil percentage in FMD positive group. RBCs count, WBCs count, lymphocyte, neutrophil, monocyte, basophil, MCV, MCH, and MCHC had no significant difference between the FMD positive and negative group using ELISA.

ELISA and real time-polymerase chain reaction results

Out of 400 examined samples 204 (51%) were positive by ELISA while in RT-PCR, 12 samples out of 400 were positive (3%). These samples had a band size of 477 bp (Figure 1).

Nested polymerase chain reaction results

Out of 400 examined samples 17 samples have a band size of 222 bp which indicated that these samples were positive using nested PCR method with a prevalence of 4.25% (Figure 2).

The number and percentages of positive samples using different diagnostic techniques were summarized in Table 2.

Discussion

There are three particular features of FMD which make rapid, sensitive and specific laboratory tests essential for diagnosis. Firstly, it is the most contagious disease of cloven-hoofed animals and hence has the potential for explosive spread in susceptible livestock populations. Secondly, it is acknowledged as the most serious constraint to international trade in livestock and animal products. Thirdly, other viruses produce symptoms which are clinically indistinguishable from FMD, necessitating laboratory investigation for a definitive diagnosis.\(^9\)

The consequences of vaccination on this serological study are very minimal since sampling was performed at least 7 months after the last vaccination. In addition, the used FMD ELISA is claimed by the manufacturer as being able to differentiate between vaccinated and infected animals since this ELISA detect antibodies to the non-structural proteins of the FMDV. Antibody to non-structural proteins (NSP) of FMDV had been used to differentiate vaccinated from infected animal in the field.\(^10-14\) Previous study demonstrated that diagnostic sensitivity and specificity of the antigen enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay Ag-ELISA was 87.7% and 100%, respectively. These results demonstrate that Ag-ELISA detecting FMDV is an accurate method for taking rapid measurements at the site of a suspected foot-and-mouth disease outbreak in Asia before confirmation of the disease diagnosis in the laboratory, thereby offering the possibility of implementing control procedures more rapidly.\(^15\) Other study demonstrated that 3ABC ELISA (C-ELISA) developed in Denmark was

### Table 1. Mean and standard deviation (mean ±SD) of hematological parameter for positive and negative group according to ELISA.

| Parameters        | FMD positive group | FMD negative group |
|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| RBC ×10⁶, µL      | 10.5±3             | 10.3±2.6          |
| PCV, %            | 29.1±5.5*          | 27.3±5.5          |
| Hb, g/dL          | 9.4±7.3            | 9.2±8.6           |
| MCV, fl           | 29±7.2             | 27.7±7.1          |
| MCH, pg           | 9.2±8.4            | 8.9±9.8           |
| MCHC, g/dL        | 32.1±18.8          | 33.3±21.1         |
| WBC ×10³, µL      | 10.6±2.3           | 11±2.3            |
| Neutrophil, %     | 55.1±10            | 54.1±9.1          |
| Lymphocyte, %     | 35.4±9.7           | 37.1±9.8          |
| Monocyte, %       | 6.9±3.8            | 6.9±3.8           |
| Eosinophil, %     | 2.6±1.7*           | 1.4±1.4           |
| Basophil, %       | 0.5±0.8            | 0.7±0.8           |

FMD, foot-and-mouth disease; RBC, red blood cell; PCV, packed cell volume; Hb, hemoglobin; MCV, mean corpuscular volume; MCH, mean corpuscular hemoglobin; MCHC, mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration; WBC, white blood cell. *Significant difference from negative (P<0.05).

### Table 2. Number and percentages of positive samples that were tested for foot-and-mouth disease according to the polymerase chain reaction, nested polymerase chain reaction and ELISA.

| Test               | Number of positive samples | Percentage |
|--------------------|----------------------------|------------|
| ELISA positive     | 204                        | 51         |
| RT-PCR positive    | 12                         | 3          |
| Nested PCR positive| 17                         | 4.25       |
| RT-PCR positive + ELISA positive | 11         | 2.75       |
| Nested PCR positive + ELISA positive | 16         | 4          |
| RT-PCR positive and nested PCR positive | 12         | 3          |
71% sensitive and 90% specific at the recommended cutoff. These results have important implications if the tests are to be used to screen herds or individual cattle in surveillance programs, at border crossings for import-export clearance, or following emergency vaccination in an outbreak situation. In Jordan, Amareen et al. reported that the overall seroprevalence of FMD for sheep and goats varied with different NSP tests from 13% to 30% and 73% to 94% in vaccinated and unvaccinated animals, respectively. Similarly, the overall seroprevalence for vaccinated cattle varied with different NSP tests from 30% to 82% with a tests specificity of 92% to 97%.

The epidemiology and etiology of FMD have been extensively investigated. However, there are few published reports on the hematological and biochemical parameters of cattle with FMD. However, those studies were carried out in the clinical cases of FMDV infection in bovine while this study was carried out in apparently health Awassi sheep. A significant reduction in the total number of RBCs and increase in MCV values with increased leukocytes counts in diseased group compared to their normal counterparts were found. Our study found a significant increase in packed cell volume (PCV %) and eosinophil percentage within the positive ELISA results. RBCs count, WBCs count, lymphocyte, neutrophil, monocyte, basophil, MCV, MCH, and MCHC had no significant difference between the positive group and negative one. These results disagreed with the Egyptian study, in which they found that there were significant reductions in the total red blood cell count with increased leukocyte and lymphocytic counts in diseased group compared to control. Carrier state is an important feature of FMD in ruminants. This may occur in non-vaccinated as well as in vaccinated ruminants following exposure to infectious FMD virus. Although the amount of infective virus that can be recovered from carriers is small, the virus can be present in some ruminants for months and in cattle for years. Previous study indicated that the frequency of FMD carriers among tested clinically normal cattle slaughtered in Abattoir was 34.59% and this high frequency of carriers could be due to extensive FMDV circulation among susceptible animals. Our nested PCR results showed a low percentage (4.25%) compared to the previous study where they used tonsil tissue samples for RNA extraction which contain more amount of RNA than that in blood samples. Generally tissue sample mostly contain more RNA amount than blood.

Twelve (3%) blood samples were positive using PCR and Nested PCR methods. Blood sample number 344 shows negative result in ELISA but not in PCR and nested PCR. Hematological parameters for this sample was within the normal range; the OD value for this sample was 0.53 and PI value was 49%, the
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**Figure 1.** Real time-polymerase chain reaction results for detection of food-and-mouth disease virus in whole blood samples. Line 1-3: amplification of a 477 bp DNA fragment in positive blood samples, line 4: positive control, M: DNA 100 bp ladder.

**Figure 2.** Nested polymerase chain reaction results for detection of food-and-mouth virus in whole blood samples. Line 1-4: amplification of a 222 bp DNA fragment in positive blood samples, line M: DNA 100 bp ladder.
Conclusions

The described 3ABC-ELISA is safe, cheap and also easy to perform in large scale serological surveys. The high specificity and sensitivity makes this test an ideal tool for FMD eradication campaigns and control programs. As ELISA proved to be simple to perform, rapid and has high sensitivity, it will be very useful for FMD control and diagnosis in countries where disease needs to be confirmed as soon as possible in order to prevent spread and involvement of new areas.

Abnormally high or low hematological parameter may indicate the presence of many forms of disease, and hence hematology parameters are amongst the most commonly performed blood tests in medicine, as they can provide an overview of an animal’s general health status. In FMD, hematological changes are of no relevance for the diagnosis of FMD, so it may be a need of further and concentrated studies pointed on FMD infected animal and there hematological parameter.

The low percentage of infection using either RT-PCR or Nested PCR was because FMD Virus is usually found in the blood during viremia and may be found several days prior to the onset of the clinical signs.

To the best of our knowledge this is the first report that gives some insights about the hematological parameters in apparently healthy subclinically FMD infected Awassi sheep.
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