The different interactions of Colletotrichum gloeosporioides with two strawberry varieties and the involvement of salicylic acid
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The disease symptoms recognized as ‘Anthracnose’ are caused by Colletotrichum spp. and lead to large-scale strawberry (Fragaria × ananassa Duchesne) losses worldwide in terms of both quality and production. Little is known regarding the mechanisms underlying the genetic variations in the strawberry–Colletotrichum spp. interaction. In this work, Colletotrichum gloeosporioides (C. gloeosporioides) infection was characterized in two varieties exhibiting different susceptibilities, and the involvement of salicylic acid (SA) was examined. Light microscopic observation showed that C. gloeosporioides conidia germinated earlier and faster on the leaf surface of the susceptible cultivar compared with the less-susceptible cultivar. Several PR genes were differentially expressed, with higher-amplitude changes observed in the less-susceptible cultivar. The less-susceptible cultivar contained a higher level of basal SA, and the SA levels increased rapidly upon infection, followed by a sharp decrease before the necrotrophic phase. External SA pretreatment reduced susceptibility and elevated the internal SA levels in both varieties, which were sharply reduced in the susceptible cultivar upon inoculation. The less-susceptible cultivar also displayed a more sensitive and marked increase in the transcripts of NB-LRR genes to C. gloeosporioides, and SA pretreatment differentially induced transcript accumulation in the two varieties during infection. Furthermore, SA directly inhibited the germination of C. gloeosporioides conidia; NB-LRR transcript accumulation in response to SA pretreatment was both dose- and cultivar-dependent. The results demonstrate that the less-susceptible cultivar showed reduced conidia germination. The contribution of SA might involve microbial isolate-specific sensitivity to SA, cultivar/tissue-specific SA homeostasis and signaling, and the sensitivity of R genes and the related defense network to SA and pathogens.

Horticulture Research (2016) 3, 16007; doi:10.1038/hortres.2016.7; Published online 16 March 2016

INTRODUCTION

Anthracnose, which is caused by the hemibiotroph Colletotrichum spp., is one of the most destructive diseases of cultivated strawberry (Fragaria × ananassa Duchesne) worldwide.1 It occurs perennially from the nursery to the field and causes severe production losses in more than 45% of all strawberry fields in China. Infection by several species of Colletotrichum spp. causes strawberry anthracnose, with symptoms such as irregular and black leaf lesions, crown rot, flower blight and fruit rot.2 In China, Colletotrichum gloeosporioides (C. gloeosporioides) has been defined as the major causal agent.3 Strawberry susceptibility to anthracnose has been suggested to be polygenic and quantitatively inherited. To date, there are no cultivars that exhibit complete resistance to Colletotrichum spp., thus aggravating its deleterious effects on strawberry production.4,5

Plants have evolved two strategies to detect pathogens.4,6 The first class of perception involves the recognition of conserved microbial elicitors called pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) by receptor proteins called pattern recognition receptors (PRRs).6 The stimulation of PRRs leads to PAMP-triggered immunity (PTI). The second class of perception involves gene-for-gene-type interactions by which plant extracellular receptors recognize pathogen virulence molecule effectors, resulting in effector-triggered immunity (ETI).5 Activation of either PTI or ETI could induce systemic acquired resistance (SAR), which is a form of long-lasting immunity to a broad spectrum of pathogens.7,8

The phenolic compound salicylic acid (SA) has an extensive signaling role in plants, particularly in pathogen defense. SA and related immune responses are important parts of both PTI and ETI, and are required for their activation.9–11 SA accumulation and signaling are critical for the disease resistance conferred by SAR, especially for the activation of SAR 12–14 SA perception and the subsequent transcriptional activation of defense genes are continuous events. NPR1, which is the master regulator controlling multiple immune responses, including SAR, was identified as a direct SA receptor.15 The paralogs NPR3 and NPR4 were identified as low- and high-affinity receptors for SA, respectively.16 Currently, it is believed that the NPR1-independent pathway functions additively with the NPR1-independent pathway in SA signaling.14

Many proteins functioning upstream of SA in PTI as well as in ETI have been characterized.17 ETI is often initiated by a subset of resistance (R) genes, and SA lies downstream of these genes. NB-LRR proteins harboring a nucleotide binding site and leucine-rich repeat domains are of the largest class of known R proteins.18 Some NB-LRR proteins act as the genetically defined ‘effector-
sensor,’ and others are required for the sensor function, the latter of which is not implicated in effector perception per se, and are named ‘helper NB-LRRs.’ A gain-of-function mutation in Arabidopsis TNL-type R protein SNC1 led to constitutively activated downstream defense responses, such as the accumulation of SA and the constitutive expression of pathogenesis-related (PR) genes.

Three Arabidopsis CC-NB-LRR proteins were identified as helper NB-LRRs in ETI, which also function in basal defense via the regulation of SA accumulation and subsequent activation of SA-dependent responses. However, few reports on the directional or feedback regulation of SA on the expression of receptor or helper NB-LRR genes are available.

Several studies have correlated the SA levels with increased resistance to Colletotrichum spp. or other fungal pathogens in strawberry. Treatment with SA or its analogue benzo thiadiazole S-methyl ester (BTH) effectively reduced disease severity or fungal decay in strawberry.

Many strawberry genes have been reported to be responsive to C. acutatum or C. fragiariae infection. However, those receptors and the signaling pathways involved in the interactions between strawberry and microbial pathogens remain largely obscure.

It is well known that variation in host resistance is frequently controlled by the segregation of a single resistance (R) gene, and cultivar resistance is tightly associated with gene-for-gene recognition. To date, segregation of a single resistance (R) gene, and cultivar resistance variations in host resistance is frequently controlled by the genetic variation in the cultivated strawberry.

Genes. Three CC-NB-LRR proteins were identified in ETI, which also function in basal defense via the regulation of SA accumulation and subsequent activation of SA-dependent responses.21 However, few reports on the directional or feedback regulation of SA on the expression of receptor or helper NB-LRR genes are available.

Previously, through the genome-wide isolation and characterization of NB-LRR genes in woodland strawberry (F. vesca), we have identified a set of NB-LRR genes displaying ecotype-specific responses to C. gloeosporioides inoculation.

Some members of these genes might have pathogenesis-related response implications in strawberry. However, the mechanisms underlying the genetic variation in the cultivated strawberry—Colletotrichum spp. interaction are largely obscure. In particular, the essential difference between the interactions of C. gloeosporioides with susceptible and less-susceptible varieties remains unknown. Is the SA-related defense similarly activated in strawberry varieties with different susceptibilities? What are the potential factors that restrict the contribution of SA in strawberry resistance to C. gloeosporioides? Could we correlate the variations in R gene expression and SA homeostasis with cultivar susceptibility to C. gloeosporioides in strawberry?

Observation of C. gloeosporioides infection using light microscopy

Light microscopy analyses of C. gloeosporioides infection were performed as previously described.

Samples were collected at 6, 12, 24, 48 and 96 h after inoculation. Leaves were decolorized in 0.15% trichloroacetic acid in a 3:1 (v/v) mixture of ethanol and chloroform for 48 h (trichloroacetic acid solution was changed at least three times), rinsed by immersion in lactophenol (Sigma, St Louis, MI, USA) for 1 min and then stained with lactophenol blue solution for 5 min. After staining, the tissues were rinsed (2→3 min) in lactophenol, mounted in 50% fresh glycerol on glass microscope slides and examined under a Nikon E200 microscope. Three leaflets of the first, third and the sixth leaves were sampled from each plant, and five plants were observed at each time point for every variety.

Quantiﬁcation of endogenous strawberry SA by GC-MS/MS

The levels of free SA in C. gloeosporioides-infected JX and SW were measured using a gas chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (GC-MS/MS) technique at 0, 6, 12, 24, 48, and 96 hpi. Plants treated with distilled water with 0.05% Tween-20 under the same conditions were used as non-infected controls (0 h). The sixth compound leaf blades were excised and immediately homogenized with liquid nitrogen. The pooled sample was dissolved in 1.5 mL 80% methanol, and the net fresh weight (50–80 mg) was measured using a gas chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (GC-MS/MS) technique at 0, 6, 12, 24, 48, and 96 h after inoculation. Leaves were decolorized in 0.15% trichloroacetic acid in a 3:1 (v/v) mixture of ethanol and chloroform for 48 h (trichloroacetic acid solution was changed at least three times), rinsed by immersion in lactophenol (Sigma, St Louis, MI, USA) for 1 min and then stained with lactophenol blue solution for 5 min. After staining, the tissues were rinsed (2→3 min) in lactophenol, mounted in 50% fresh glycerol on glass microscope slides and examined under a Nikon E200 microscope. Three leaflets of the first, third and the sixth leaves were sampled from each plant, and five plants were observed at each time point for every variety.

Quantification of symptom development

For certain cultivar, a total of 30 plants were utilized for this study. Half were pretreated with 20 μmol L^{-1} SA and the remaining plants were

Cultivation of C. gloeosporioides for inoculation

C. gloeosporioides (deposited under acc no. CGMCC3.17371) was locally purified and propagated on fresh potato/dextrose/agar (PDA) medium with streptomycin (30 mg L^{-1}) and ampicillin (100 mg L^{-1}). This pathogen was sub-cultured on PDA medium without antibiotics at 28°C. After a 12-day cultivation when the fungus had proliferated throughout the Petri dishes, spores were taken with a Drigalski spatula and suspended in sterile water. The suspension was filtered through a nylon mesh for hyphal retention, and the spore concentration was determined in a Neubauer counting chamber and adjusted to ~10^6 conidia per mL for inoculation.

Antimicrobial effects of SA on in vitro C. gloeosporioides growth

To test the in vitro effects of SA on C. gloeosporioides growth, 5-mm-diameter mycelium plugs were aseptically cut from the advancing margins of 7-day-old single-spore PDA colonies using a sterile cork-borer and then transferred to the center of fresh PDA media with SA at different concentrations (0, 2.5, 5, 7.5, 10, 25, 50, 100, 200 and 500 μmol L^{-1}). The diameter of the colonies were measured after 6–7 days of incubation at 28°C. For C. gloeosporioides conidia germination, a spore suspension (1×10^6 spores per mL) was deposited as three 100-μL drops onto a ground microscope slide. A minimum of 100 spores was counted for each drop. SA at different concentrations was supplemented in the spore solution. Spore germination was assessed after a 12-h cultivation at 28°C. A conidium was recorded as being germinated if one or more germ tubes were visible. An equal volume of solvent was used for mock (0 μmol L^{-1}) and SA treatments (100 μmol L^{-1} and 500 μmol L^{-1}) spore suspension. Three to four technical replicates were used for each SA concentration, and two independent experiments were performed.

Quantification of SA

Treatment with SA or its analogue benzothiadiazole S-methyl ester (BTH) effectively reduced disease severity or fungal decay in strawberry. A total of 30 plants were utilized for this study. Half were pretreated with 20 μmol L^{-1} SA and the remaining plants were
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untreated before inoculation with *C. gloeosporioides*. Five plants were used for one replicate. From each plant, five leaves chosen at random were scored for symptom development at 2, 4, 7 and 10 days post inoculation (dpi). The severity of symptoms is expressed as disease index and leaf incidence. Leaf lesions were grouped into seven scales according to the size: 1st = 1 mm², 2nd = 1–4 mm², 3rd = 4–9 mm², 4th = 9–16 mm², 5th = 16–25 mm², 6th = 25–36 mm² and 7th = ≥ 36 mm². Disease index was calculated according to the following formula: \[ \text{DI} = \frac{\sum \text{area of lesions at each scale}}{\text{total leaves investigated}} \times 100; \text{leaf incidence} = \frac{\text{total leaves showing symptom}}{\text{total leaves investigated}} \times 100. \]

Gene expression analysis using qRT-PCR

Isolation of strawberry RNA was performed as previously published. Synthesis of the first-strand cDNA was accomplished using the PrimeScript RT reagent Kit with gDNA Eraser (Takara, Dalian, China, DRR047A) with minor modifications. The Premix Ex Taq (Perfect Real Time) kit (Takara, Dalian, China, DRR041A) was used for quantitative real-time RT-PCR on an ABI 7300 Real-Time Cycler (Applied Biosystems, Waltham, MA, USA). The fluorescence data collected during the 72 °C step were analyzed with ABI 7300 analysis software. For the qRT-PCR analysis, quantification was based on Ct values. For transcript normalization, FaRIB413 was used as a reference gene. A total of five *PR* genes and eight *NB-LRR* genes were analyzed. The sequence information for all RT-PCR primers is given in Supplementary Table S1. Three technical replicates and two biological replicates were conducted. The displayed data are the mean values of two biological replicates, and each value of a biological replicate was derived by averaging the three technical replicates.

Analysis of statistical significance

For pairwise comparisons between SA treated and untreated data or between cultivars, Student's t-test (two samples assuming unequal variances, in Microsoft Excel 2007) was used. For multiple comparisons of data between cultivar and different time points, a two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA, Statistical Analysis System (SAS) software for Windows 8.0) was performed to analyze the statistical significance. To evaluate the effects of exogenously applied SA on conidia germination, a one-way ANOVA was utilized.

RESULTS

The cellular infection process of *C. gloeosporioides*

Light microscopic observation was performed to reveal the process of cellular infection process of *C. gloeosporioides* on the leaf surfaces of two strawberry cultivars. After staining with lactophenol blue, conidia in uniform long elliptical shape are stained in blue, whereas appressoria in irregular spherical shape are mostly stained in brown. As shown in Figure 1, conidia germinated much faster and earlier on leaves of cv. JX than on those of cv. SW. By 6 hpi, many appressoria were observed on leaves from cv. JX, but none of the conidia was found to germinate in cv. SW. The first detection of the conidium germination in cv. SW was by 12 hpi. By 24 hpi, fewer than half of conidia germinated in cv. SW, whereas nearly all conidia germinated in cv. JX. By 48 hpi, un-germinated conidia could still be found on the leaves of cv. SW. However, the present study did not detect obvious difference in hyphae growth between the cultivars. Primary hyphae were observed at 72 hpi, and the growth traits (density and length) of primary hyphae were similar between the cultivars by 96 hpi (data not shown).

The expression responses of strawberry *PR* genes

(PR proteins are closely related to the activation of hormone-mediated defense and pathogen resistance. The dynamic mRNA levels of five *PR* genes were evaluated in *C. gloeosporioides*-infected strawberry. All of the *PR* genes tested except *PR3* were inversely regulated by 6 hpi, that is, they were repressed in the susceptible cultivar JX while being induced in the less-susceptible cv. SW (Figure 2). By 96 hpi, this difference in the gene response was maintained for *FaPR1*, whereas *FaPR1a*, *FaPR5* and *FaPR10* were significantly induced in both cultivars. Generally, the changes in *PR* transcripts were more drastic in cv. SW than in cv. JX, especially for *FaPR1a* and *FaPR5*. *FaPR3* was suppressed in both cultivars at 6 hpi. Later, its transcript levels were found to be upregulated in cv. JX but continuously downregulated in cv. SW. By 96 hpi, the transcript level of *FaPR3* was restored to the basal level in cv. SW but was drastically increased in cv. JX.

The influence of SA pretreatment on strawberry symptom development

The effects of SA on the outcomes of plant–pathogen interactions were evaluated to reveal their potential involvement. Symptoms in strawberry plants pretreated with or without SA were scored at 2, 4, 7 and 10 dpi using a disease severity rating (disease index) and the percentage of leaves showing disease symptoms (leaf incidence). Light lesions coincident with cell necrosis were first observed on leaves at 2 dpi in both cultivars, although it was more noticeable in the cv. JX than in the cv. SW. Pairwise comparisons revealed that there were significant differences in disease severity before 10 dpi between the cultivars (Supplementary Table S2). These observations supported the notion that cv. SW was relatively less susceptible.

Foliar spraying with 20 μM SA 4 days before *C. gloeosporioides* inoculation repressed symptom development in both cultivars, which was reflected by smaller and fewer leaf lesions, reduced disease index and decreased leaf incidence (Figure 3). Typical
symptoms in a single compound leaf and a whole plant at 7 dpi are shown in Figure 3a and Supplementary Figure S1, respectively. SA pretreatment significantly reduced the average disease index in cv. JX from 31.4 to 22.9 at 7 dpi. However, in cv. SW, this index was not significantly reduced from 20 to 15.3 (Figure 3b). Consistently, SA had a positive influence on reducing strawberry susceptibility to C. gloeosporioides infection in both cultivars.

Free SA accumulation during C. gloeosporioides infection
Free SA content was measured in C. gloeosporioides-infected or mock-treated strawberry leaves. Under the same controlled conditions, the basal level of free SA in the leaves of cv. JX and cv. SW was 0.33 and 1.11 ng mg$^{-1}$ FW, respectively (Figure 4, 0 hpi). With or without SA pretreatment, the less-susceptible cv. SW contained two-fold or greater free SA than did the susceptible cv. JX at 0 hpi under the same mock treatment. Indeed, it was found that cv. SW contained significantly higher levels of free SA than did cv. JX at every time point investigated, regardless of exogenous SA pretreatment.

Exogenous SA pretreatment 4 days before inoculation significantly increased the internal free SA content in both varieties at 0 hpi. During infection in cv. JX, the significant increase in internal SA resulted from exogenous SA pretreatment was maintained from 0 hpi until 24 hpi. In cv. SW, the effect of exogenous SA pretreatment was only maintained at 6 and 96 hpi.

Dynamic free SA levels markedly reflected that the two cultivars have different responses to C. gloeosporioides infection. As shown in Figure 4 and Table 1, the statistical significance was quite notable at early stages. With no exogenous SA pretreatment, the

Figure 2. Transcript accumulation of pathogenesis-related genes (PRs) during C. gloeosporioides infection in two strawberry cultivars. The expression values of all genes were calculated relative to 0 hpi (mock-treated plants, set to 1) in each variety. FaRIB413 was used for normalization. Bars represent the mean of two independent biological experiments ± s.e. Three technical replicates were included for each biological sample.

Figure 3. Macroscopic symptoms of Fragaria × ananassa (cvs. JX and SW) leaves inoculated with C. gloeosporioides. For salicylic acid (SA) pretreatment, foliar spraying of 20 μM SA was applied 4 days before C. gloeosporioides inoculation. (a) Macroscopic symptoms at 7 days post inoculation (dpi). Scale bars, 1 cm. (b) Disease index at 7 dpi. Significant differences between SA treatments or cultivars were indicated (t-test: **P-value < 0.01). Error bars represent s.d. (n=3).
inoculation induced a transient increase in free SA accumulation at 6 hpi in cv. SW (orange bar), but no significant change was observed in cv. JX (blue bar). Indeed, in cv. JX, the dynamic accumulation of free SA was not significantly changed in the first 48 h post infection, and an obvious increase in SA levels was only observed at 96 hpi. In contrast, the free SA in cv. SW was transiently increased up to 194% by 6 hpi. Later, a significant sharp decrease in SA content was observed in cv. SW by 24 hpi. After that point, SA content was no longer significantly changed in cv. SW, although a slight increase was observed. Under SA pretreatment conditions, the inoculation induced a significant sharp decrease in free SA levels in cv. JX (green bar) at 6 hpi, and a similar decrease in SA levels was delayed in cv. SW (purple bar) to 12 hpi. In addition, a mild increase in SA levels occurred at 96 hpi in cv. SW, but not in cv. JX.

Strawberry NB-LRR responses to C. gloeosporioides infection and the influence of SA pretreatment

It is well known that R proteins encoded by the largest class of NB-LRR genes are of great importance for genetic variations in the plant defense response system. To correlate variations in NB-LRR genes with strawberry susceptibility, we performed qRT-PCR to better understand their transcript accumulation during C. gloeosporioides infection. Over 20 NB-LRR genes were examined, of which six members with obviously different changes upon infection between the cultivars were found (Figure 5). In cv. JX, FaNBS17 and -30 were transiently and moderately upregulated; the remaining four genes were downregulated upon pathogen infection and were either transiently (FaNBS7 and -33) or continuously (FaNBS14 and -21) repressed. However, in cv. SW, aside from FaNBS30, which was not obviously affected, the other five members were clearly upregulated at certain stages during infection. The expression patterns of FaNBS21 and -33 were quite similar in cv. SW.

Treatment with SA 4 days before C. gloeosporioides inoculation induced clear changes in the transcript accumulation of a set of FaNBS genes in both cultivars. FaNBS17 was the unique member that was not obviously altered by SA pretreatment during C. gloeosporioides infection (data not shown). Compared with the lack of SA treatment (Figure 5), SA pretreatment clearly induced all five genes plus FaNBS4 during C. gloeosporioides infection in cv. SW, whereas all but FaNBS7 were moderately enhanced in cv. JX (Figure 6). For most of these genes, the induction of expression by SA pretreatment during C. gloeosporioides infection was more drastic and lasted longer in cv. SW than in cv. JX. In cv. SW, a notable peak in the transcript levels of FaNBS7 and -21 was observed by 96 hpi; for FaNBS4, -14, -30 and -33, their transcripts peaked at ~24 hpi. In contrast in cv. JX, a moderate and transient upregulation was only observed for NBS14, -21 and -30 at different time points. FaNBS33 expression was continuously activated in cv. JX during the first 48 hpi. By 96 hpi, compared with the corresponding basal level, the expression of all genes except for FaNBS30 was no longer enhanced and was even inhibited in cv. JX, which was contrary to that observed in cv. SW.

Together, a set of R genes including at least FaNBS4, -7, -14, -17, -21, -30 and -33 could be involved in the genetic variations in strawberry susceptibility to C. gloeosporioides. Exogenous SA pretreatment differentially changed the transcript levels of NB-LRR genes in two cultivars. In most instances, SA pretreatment activated or enhanced the expression of NB-LRR genes. However, the early expression responses of FaNBS7, -21 and -33 to C. gloeosporioides infection were eliminated by SA pretreatment at 6 hpi in cv. SW.

Dosage effects of SA on C. gloeosporioides viability and strawberry NB-LRR gene expression

A survey of the potential influence of SA on fungal viability should be beneficial for understanding its involvement in strawberry–C. gloeosporioides interaction. A previous report showed that SA at 5 mM did not inhibit the growth of Colletotrichum fragiiae.26 We examined the effects of SA ranging from 2.5 to 500 μM on C. gloeosporioides viability. Hypha growth was not sensitive to SA. In fact, the weak inhibition of 500 μM SA on C. gloeosporioides hypha growth was not significant compared with the mock treatment (data not shown). In contrast, a significant suppression of conidia germination was visible for SA concentrations as low as 7.5 μM (Figure 7a). SA at 500 μM reduced the germination rate of C. gloeosporioides conidia from 54% to 35%. The inhibitory effect of 100 μM SA on conidia germination was not significantly different from that of 25, 50 or 200 μM SA.

The potential dose-dependent responses of the NB-LRR gene to SA were further investigated during C. gloeosporioides infection. FaNBS25 suppression by SA pretreatment in cv. JX was selected for
this investigation (Figure 7b). The transcript levels of FaNBS25 during infection appeared to be inversely affected by SA pretreatment in two cultivars. Pretreatment with 500 μM SA strongly suppressed FaNBS25 transcript accumulation upon infection in cv. JX, but this treatment markedly enhanced its expression in cv. SW. In cv. JX, the FaNBS25 transcript level was also suppressed by 20 or 100 μM SA before 12 hpi, followed by a transient increase, as observed in untreated SA inoculation. By 96 hpi, this gene was clearly suppressed in cv. JX for all SA pretreatments. In contrast in cv. SW, pretreatment with SA at 20 or 500 μM clearly enhanced the FaNBS25 steady-state transcript levels during C. gloeosporioides infection. Notably, 100 μM SA showed a relatively weaker induction of FaNBS25 transcript levels compared with 20 or 500 μM SA. These results highlight the robustness and complexity of the NB-LRR transcript response to SA. Clearly, there are not simple linear relationships between exogenously applied SA and pathogen viability or the host resistance gene response.

**DISCUSSION**

It has been suggested that there is a continuum of possible plant–pathogen interactions ranging from complete resistance to extreme susceptibility. However, especially in hemibiotrophic or necrotrophic interactions, incomplete and quantitative disease resistance is very widespread. This is also the case for the interactions between strawberry and Colletotrichum spp. To date, no strawberry cultivar or variety has been found to show complete resistance to Colletotrichum spp. Here we studied the infection process using two cultivars showing different susceptibility and found that the germination of C. gloeosporioides conidia and the formation of appressorium (a structure that enables the pathogen to penetrate the plant cuticle or pores) were much slower in the less-susceptible strawberry cultivar SW. It is likely that cv. SW utilizes unrecognized strategies to block C. gloeosporioides infection at the outset. Consistently, by sensing host-specific characteristics and substances, plant-pathogenic...
fungi germinate only in the presence of a suitable host plant.\textsuperscript{43} Furthermore, in \textit{Colletotrichum} species, conidial germination is triggered by the waxes and ethylene produced by the host plant; contact with a hard surface is a prerequisite for the chemical signal to allow the effective initiation of germination.\textsuperscript{44} SA may be an anti-conidial germination factor because a direct influence of exogenous SA close to physiological concentrations of SA was found on \textit{in vitro} \textit{C. gloeosporioides} conidia germination. The less-susceptible cultivar did contain higher basal SA, although this does not indicate that such a concentration is the same in the extracellular space, for example, the leaf surface. Clearly, many factors may influence this variation in conidia germination, but we propose that reduced susceptibility versus susceptibility denotes delayed or fewer germinated conidia in the former versus the latter.

SA is critical for plant survival under abiotic and/or biotic stresses because it acts as the central regulator of immunity. Exogenous SA exhibited high potential to control strawberry postharvest losses.\textsuperscript{27,45} The SA analogue BTH induced the expression of several defense genes in strawberry.\textsuperscript{46} Due to the allocation costs and negative effects on plant growth and reproduction, SA-inducible defense and SA homeostasis are strictly regulated in plants.\textsuperscript{47} However, the roles and regulatory mechanisms of SA biosynthesis remain elusive. It was reported that the SA content was greatly affected by strawberry varieties and cultivation conditions, but the endogenous SA content in ripe fruits did not correlate with the fruit susceptibility to powdery mildew caused by the fungus \textit{Sphaerotheca macularis}.\textsuperscript{48} The role of SA in the interactions between the plant host and hemibiotrophs such as \textit{Colletotrichum} spp. might be more complicated.

\textbf{Figure 6.} qRT-PCR analysis of \textit{NB-LRR} gene transcript levels in strawberry cvs. JX and SW during \textit{C. gloeosporioides} infection after exogenous SA pretreatment. Foliar spraying of 20 \(\mu\)M SA was applied 4 days before \textit{C. gloeosporioides} inoculation. The expression level of each gene in every cultivar is expressed as the fold change relative to that in mock (0 hpi) for \textit{C. gloeosporioides} inoculation. \textit{FaRIB413} was used for normalization. Bars represent the mean of two independent biological experiments ± s.e.
than expected, as SA both negatively and positively interacts with many metabolites and signaling molecules.

The present study showed that the less-susceptible strawberry cultivar SW was characterized by a high basal level and a transient increase in SA 1 day after *C. gloeosporioides* infection. Consistently, it was reported that the less-susceptible strawberry cultivar Andana harbors almost double the level of basal SA than the susceptible Camarosa; the susceptible cv. Camarosa increased free SA at 3–5 days post inoculation with *Colletotrichum* spp., which was not observed in cv. Andana. However, this does not indicate that the endogenous basal SA level in a plant is the main cause of susceptibility versus resistance in strawberry because pathogen infection may induce plant responses regulated by SA, jasmonic acid, ABA, ethylene and even auxin in a complicated manner. Indeed, we measured the basal SA content in 14 different strawberry germplasms of similar developmental and health status. We found that the basal content of SA does not correlate with germplasm-specific susceptibility in the field (data not shown). The present work revealed that the susceptible cv. JX did not elevate SA content upon *C. gloeosporioides* inoculation, as observed in the less-susceptible cv. SW. In addition, exogenous SA pretreatment elevated the internal levels of SA in strawberry, but a sharp decrease in SA levels was observed in both varieties upon inoculation. SA homeostasis in strawberry is quite complex. However, it is clear that the reduction of the host internal SA level is the main strategy of *C. gloeosporioides* for successful infection. In addition, SA relevant immune responses might be hijacked upon *C. gloeosporioides* infection in the susceptible strawberry. The same PR genes behave differently, and the marker gene PR1, which is relevant to the SA pathway, shows opposite expression patterns in cultivars with varying susceptibility, suggesting that the SA signaling pathway is not efficiently activated in the susceptible cultivar.

As a chemical inducer of plant resistance, exogenous SA exerts a direct influence on pathogen viability and plant gene expression in a dose-dependent manner. Previously, an in vitro growth experiment showed that 5 mM SA did not inhibit the growth of the virulent isolate M11 of *C. fragariae*, whereas 50 mM SA manifested a clear inhibitory effect. The present study revealed that 500 μM SA induced no significant inhibition on the growth of *C. gloeosporioides* hypha but that SA as low as 7.5 μM clearly suppressed the germination of *C. gloeosporioides* spores. These results lead us to hypothesize that the sensitivity of a particular microbial isolate to SA could contribute to the specific interaction between *Colletotrichum* spp. and different cultivars of the same species.

As for gene transcript accumulation studies, the dosage effect of SA is more complicated. Previous studies showed that SA at concentrations as low as 0.1 μM could suppress MeJA-induced PDF1.2 transcription, although an SA concentration < 100 μM had no effect on PR-1 expression. This work showed that the dose-dependent effect of SA on resistance gene expression is largely influenced by plant genotypes. In certain cultivars, SA activates the transcript accumulation of one R gene only at low levels.
concentrations, whereas in another cultivar, SA at the same concentration simply suppresses transcript accumulation of that R gene. In plants, SA is believed to work downstream of NB-LRR genes in defense responses. Some cases of NB-LRR-mediated ETI require the SA-signaling molecule as a downstream mediator of transcriptional output responses.\textsuperscript{52} In addition, three members of the CC-NB-LRR ADR1 protein family were revealed to function in basal defense and in response to a disarmed pathogen via the regulation of SA accumulation and the subsequent activation of SA-dependent responses.\textsuperscript{21} We found that exogenous SA could directly activate or suppress the transcription of NB-LRR genes dependent on certain genes and the strawberry genotype (Supplementary Figures S2 and S3). This prompted the hypothesis that the internal SA maintains feedback regulation on its upstream NB-LRR receptors in the defense network.

It was revealed that the activation of a set of NB-LRR resistance genes to \textit{C. gloeosporioides} is quicker and stronger in the less susceptible cv. SW than in the susceptible cv. JX. Consistent results have been observed in strawberry cv. Andana (less susceptible) and cv. Camarosa (very susceptible) upon infection by \textit{C. acutatum}.\textsuperscript{30} Moreover, the activation of NB-LRR gene transcription by SA pretreatment was stronger and lasted longer in cv. SW than in cv. JX during \textit{C. gloeosporioides} infection. Differences in the expression responses of a set of NB-LRR genes might contribute to the genetic variations in susceptibility between the varieties. Their expression patterns are complex, as many inconsistencies in NB-LRR homologs have been observed between the octoploid variety and the diploid strawberry \textit{Fragaria vesca}. For example, \textit{FvNB3S0} is strongly repressed during infection in the susceptible ecotype \textit{HLJ38},\textsuperscript{26} whereas \textit{FvNB3S0} continuously increases after infection in the susceptible cultivar JX (this study). Polypliodization often brings silencing and the biased expression of some gene pairs, which are reciprocal and developmentally regulated, and some silencing events are epigenetically induced during the allopolyploidization process.\textsuperscript{59} Consequently, these inconsistencies might result from the fact that the cultivated strawberry is allotetraploid.

NB-LRR genes are of great importance for genetic variations in plant susceptibility. In \textit{Phaseolus vulgaris}\textsuperscript{54} and \textit{Juglans} spp.,\textsuperscript{55} DNA marker(s) adjacent to NB-LRR gene(s) were reported to be correlated with anthracnose resistance. Ectopic expression of the \textit{Medicago truncatula} NB-LRR gene \textit{RCT1} in alfalfa resulted in broad-spectrum anthracnose resistance.\textsuperscript{56} The present study sheds some light on the genetic variations and SA-related defenses in the strawberry–\textit{C. gloeosporioides} interaction and enables us to capture valuable genetic components for future functional confirmation and the development of molecular markers associated with disease resistance. A set of \textit{R} genes that includes at least \textit{FvNB3S7}, \textit{–14}, \textit{–21} and \textit{–33} could be involved in strawberry susceptibility to \textit{C. gloeosporioides} and have potential applications for breeding to improve resistance. Ongoing studies in our lab are focusing on the regulatory role of SA-responsive \textit{FvNB3S} genes in strawberry defense responses.

It is reasonable to speculate that SA-mediated plant responses including the transcript accumulation of resistance genes are both cultivar- and tissue-dependent. Indeed, strawberry fruit was reported to be more susceptible to \textit{C. acutatum} infection than crown tissue.\textsuperscript{30} Thus, it is possible that the role of the SA-mediated defense network in strawberry susceptibility to the anthracnose pathogen is refined by factors that include, at least, cultivar-/tissue-specific SA homeostasis, the sensitivity of \textit{R} genes to SA and the pathogen (also cultivar-/tissue-specific), and microbial isolate-specific sensitivity to SA.
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