Zonally symmetric vs asymmetric North Pacific Ocean sea surface temperature influence on Indian summer monsoon through modulation of upper tropospheric circulation
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ABSTRACT: This study asks the following question: what role the zonal distribution of sea surface temperature (SST) of North Pacific Ocean (NPAC) plays in the general circulation and vertical stability of the atmosphere during boreal summer season. Results here show that while zonally symmetric SST warming of NPAC shifts the upper tropospheric jet northward, zonally asymmetric warming and cooling alter the phase of mid-latitude Rossby waves and changes in circulation modify the vertical static stability of the atmosphere and precipitation, particularly in the Indian region. In particular, warmer SSTs in the western NPAC reduce the stability over Indian region leading to an enhanced monsoon precipitation even when El Nino-Southern Oscillation (ENSO) is not in favorable phase. In conjunction with an ENSO forcing, however, this phenomenon can augment or suppress its impact on Indian summer monsoon. This result is used to derive an index that explains more than 80% of the interannual variance of Indian summer monsoon (ISM) precipitation in June-July. Since June-July contributes about 50% to the total June-September precipitation in this region, this discovery increases the potential predictability of ISM. Finally, using historical simulations from the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP5), we show that SST anomalies over NPAC strongly influence the strength of the relationship between ENSO and Indian summer monsoon. The mechanism proposed in this study can be used to diagnose the skill of general circulation models.
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1. Introduction

The El Nino-Southern Oscillation (ENSO) modulates climate around the globe including circulation (Seager et al., 2003; Lu et al., 2008) and convection - As seen in observations (Sikka, 1980; Srivastava et al., 2019) and numerical model simulations (Lau and Nath, 2000) and potential prediction (Chattopadhyay and Bhatla, 1993). During an El Nino in boreal summer, upper tropospheric anomalous divergent winds over the
equatorial Pacific Ocean induce convergence over south Asia. This modulation of the Walker circulation results in descent over south Asia and reduction in ISM rainfall (ISMR). However, there were several exceptions to this general finding in the past century when reliable datasets of precipitation and SST were available. Nino 3.4 SST explains about 34% of the interannual variations of ISMR and the strength of this relationship varies in multi-decadal time scales (Kumar et al., 1999; Torrence and Webster, 1999; Krishnamurthy and Goswami, 2000; Meehl and Arblaster, 2011; Hunt, 2014; Srivastava et al., 2019). It is possible that such linkage between equatorial Pacific SST and ISMR be influenced by quasi-biennial oscillation (Chattopadhyay and Bhatla, 2002; Bhatla et al., 2013).

Apart from its simultaneous impact, the delayed impact of ENSO is also important especially during summer ENSO neutral years when dominant forcing is absent (Chakraborty, 2018). It has been shown that decaying or growing ENSO has different impacts on east Asian summer monsoon (Ronghui and Yifang, 1989; Shen and Lau, 1995; Chang et al., 2000, Wang et al., 2000). A relationship between changing nature of the sea level pressure at Darwin and rainfall of ISM was shown by Shukla and Paolino (1983) and Shukla and Mooley (1987). However, these impacts are not symmetric for decaying and developing ENSO. Chakraborty (2018) have shown that La Nina of previous winter decreases ISMR even during ENSO neutral summer. This impact is severe and causes drought during El Nino summer. Such delayed impact of ENSO on ISMR is due to zonal propagation of surface pressure anomaly with change in SST of the equatorial Pacific Ocean. For a transition from winter La Nina, positive pressure anomalies appear over western central Asia during boreal summer decreasing the incoming moisture flux to Indian subcontinent (Chakraborty and Agrawal, 2017).

The west and the north Pacific Ocean impact ISM from intraseasonal to interdecadal time scales. Westward propagating oscillatory modes with time period 10-20 days from west Pacific Ocean can initiate break phase of ISM (Krishnamurti and Ardanuy, 1980; Chen and Chen, 1993). The intensity of this 10-20 day oscillations is related to SST of west Pacific warm pool through Gill-type circulation response (Baohua and Ronghui, 2002). Constructive and destructive overlapping of the phases of this westward propagating 10-20-day high frequency intraseasonal variation (HF-IS0) and northward propagating 30-60-day low-frequency intraseasonal variation (LF-ISO) give rise to active and break spells of rainfall over central India (Karmakar et al., 2017).

The decadal climate variability of the NPAC, the Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO) (Zang et al., 1997; Mantua et al., 1997) impacts ISM in interdecadal time scales (Krishnan and Sugi, 2003). While ENSO and PDO could be somewhat related at interdecadal time scales (Nidheesh et al., 2017), different combinations of phases of ENSO and PDO having different impacts on ISM (Krishnamurthy and Krishnamurthy, 2014). This study hypothesized, based on mechanism proposed by Vimont et al. (2001, 2003) that the SST and surface pressure anomalies of NPAC in the preceding winter impact the summer SST and trade winds along the Equator, which modify the Hadley and the Walker circulations. Modulation of circulation through this seasonal footprinting affects the ISM. As a result, SST of north Pacific Ocean in the previous winter can be a precursor to the intensity of summer monsoon over Indian region (Peings et al., 2009). The combined effects of ENSO and PDO on the spatial distribution of droughts in northern winter is illustrated by (Wang et al., 2014). Warming of western parts of subtropical oceans during northern winter can impact air temperature over the neighboring continents through modulation of circulation (Kaspi and Schneider, 2011).

During phases of canonical ENSO, a large region over equatorial Pacific Ocean (from the dateline to far eastern parts) becomes warmer or colder. The zonally asymmetric flavor of ENSO, the ENSO Modoki, shows different impacts on climate around the globe when compared to a conventional ENSO (Ashok et al., 2007). However, a comprehensive study of the impact of different spatial distributions of SST away from the Equator during northern summer season on the general circulation and convection of the atmosphere has not been reported so far. In particular, a detailed mechanism relating SST over North Pacific Ocean to Indian summer monsoon remains elusive to date. This study investigates the role of zonal symmetry vs asymmetry in SST of NPAC in atmospheric circulation and ISM precipitation.

2. Data sets and methodology

The SST data used is the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Optimum Interpolated (OI) Version 2, global gridded monthly mean product (Reynolds et al., 2002). This data set is available from November 1981 through present. Monthly mean and all-India homogeneous regions averaged rainfall data set (Mooley and Parthasarathy, 1984), from 1871 through 2012, is used to study interannual variations. This study also uses rain gauge based, gridded (1 × 1 degree) daily data (Rajeevan et al., 2006) to study spatial variability. The PDO index (PDOI) was obtained from http://jisao.washington.edu/pdo/PDO.latest (Zang et al., 1997; Mantua and Hare, 2002).
Temperature, specific humidity and horizontal wind components of the atmosphere were obtained from European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) Interim Reanalysis (Dee et al., 2011). The outgoing longwave radiation (OLR) data used here was spatially interpolated and daily averaged, derived from multiple satellite measurements (Liebmann, 1996). In order to use satellite derived SST and ground-based observed precipitation, this study uses the common period, viz., 1982 through 2012.

Anomalies for all data sets were calculated by removing the monthly climatology. The global mean linear trend in SST was removed at each grid point to eliminate signatures of global warming. In order to obtain a time series, the detrended SST anomalies were averaged over a region & normalized. All composites were calculated based on these monthly-standardized SST time series.

3. Results

3.1. Zonal symmetry of SST of North Pacific Ocean and Indian summer monsoon

Composites of June-July mean OLR anomaly for the La Nina (Nino 3.4 SST or N34SST < -0.5) minus El Nino (N34SST > +0.5) years [Fig. 1(a)] show large region of reduced convection over the entire equatorial Pacific Ocean, accompanied by enhanced convection over maritime continents as well as the Indian region. This signifies the typical modulation of Walker circulation during ENSO phases. Fig. 1(b) shows similar OLR composites for flood (precipitation > +1) minus drought (precipitation < -1) years of ISM. Note that, the decrease in OLR in central and north India are stronger for flood-drought years as compared to LaNina-ElNino years. This result is consistent with the way these composites were defined, given the fact that intensities of N34SST and ISM precipitation do not have a one-to-one relationship.

Note also that, during flood-drought years of ISM, OLR over western NPAC is anomalously high (>10 W m$^{-2}$). A region of reduced OLR in the north-central Pacific Ocean accompanies this aforementioned positive OLR anomaly. Such east-west dipole in OLR for LaNina-ElNino years is absent [Fig. 1(a)].

Composites of June-July mean SST anomaly for flood-drought years show a region of warming, of about 1 °C, in western NPAC [Fig. 1(d)]. This is accompanied by cooling of central NPAC, consistent with the differences in OLR [Fig. 1(b)]. The SST differences between ENSO phases [Fig. 1(c)] show an east-west spatial coherence in the NPAC. These results are also evident in the latitudinal mean of the composites, shown in Figs. 2(a&b). It is evident that a large region over western NPAC carries a spatially coherent signature of east-west dipole of SST as seen in the composites [Fig. 1(d)]. We have chosen the region 120-140° E, 20-35° N (will be termed as NP1) to represent SST variability in the western NPAC. The standardized SST anomaly of NP1 will be termed as NP1SST in this paper.
NP1SST is poorly correlated with N34SST ($R = -0.25$) and PDOI ($R = -0.22$) (Fig. 3). It can be noticed that there are several events of strong positive ($>+1$; $P$) and strong negative ($<-1$; $N$) NP1SST without a favorable phase of ENSO or PDO. By a favorable phase with respect to ENSO (PDO) we mean opposite anomalies of NP1SST and N34SST (PDOI). This comes from the fact that the signature of ENSO and PDO is present over north-western Pacific Ocean with an anomaly opposite to that over Nino 3.4 (PDOI). Fig. 4 shows that about 50% of the $P$ NP1SST occurred in negative phase of ENSO (N34SST $<-0.5$) and cool phase of PDO (PDOI $<-1.0$). Similarly, about 50% of the $N$ NP1SST occurred in positive phase of ENSO (N34SST $>+0.5$) and warm phase of PDO (PDOI $>+1.0$).

3.2. Changes in circulation and convection

We categorize the northern hemisphere early summer monsoon months (June and July) when NP1SST is strongly positive or negative with a favorable phase of ENSO or PDO (call it the symmetric mode) and when NP1SST is strongly positive or negative without a favorable phase of ENSO and PDO (call it the asymmetric...
mode). The justification for the prefixes symmetric or asymmetric will be evident from the associated spatial distribution of SST anomalies, discussed below:

The SST composites in northern summer months for P-N asymmetric mode [Fig. 5(a)] show signature of east-west asymmetry in anomaly over NPAC. Note also that the signs of SST anomaly of western NPAC and eastern equatorial Pacific Ocean could be same in an asymmetric mode. The SST composites for P-N symmetric mode [Fig. 5(b)] show zonally coherent warming and cooling of the north and equatorial Pacific Ocean, respectively. This spatial mode of the NPAC SST can be contrasted with that of N-P N34SST [Fig. 5(c)] which shows zonally symmetric cooling in the equatorial Pacific Ocean and weaker warming in the north. We would like to clarify here that, some of the months identified as P or N N34SST may also be included in the P or N symmetric or asymmetric modes. These composites, signifying patterns associated with Nino 3.4 SST variations, were deliberately constructed to compare with the other two modes defined in this study.

The asymmetric mode leads to zonally incoherent changes in upper tropospheric (200 hPa) geopotential height [Fig. 5(d)]. This is unlike the changes for P-N symmetric mode [Fig. 5(e)] when a zonally coherent increase in geopotential is noticed over this region. The changes in geopotential height over NPAC were smaller and not significant for N-P N34SST [Fig. 5(f)].

The changes in geopotential height of 200 hPa lead to changes in zonal and meridional winds [Figs. 6(a-f)] through geostrophic balance at higher latitudes. East-west asymmetric anomalous geopotential [Fig. 6(d)] leads to east-west asymmetric alteration of midlatitude zonal wind [Fig. 6(a)]. On the other hand, Fig. 6b suggests a near circumglobal northward shift of the subtropical jet stream for P-N symmetric mode. The northward shift of the axis of the jet over central NPAC (Indian region) is as much as 20 (15) degrees [Figs. 7(a–c)]. This can have significant impact on the wave propagation and subsequent teleconnection between Pacific Ocean SST and ISM (Shaman & Tziperman, 2007). Changes in zonal wind for N-P N34SST months are primarily confined to the tropics [Fig. 6(e)].

The east-west variation in SST for P-N asymmetric mode leads to a strong change in meridional winds at 200 hPa [Fig. 6(d)]. This indicates a phase shift of upper tropospheric Rossby waves, that can induce anomalous divergence and convergence associated with ascent and descent along its path (Ding and Wang, 2005 & 2007). In particular, it supports upper level divergence over Indian region for P-N asymmetric mode. No strong changes in midlatitude Rossby waves are noticed with zonally symmetric SST anomaly in NPAC [Fig. 6(e)] or during ENSO phases [Fig. 6(f)]. Therefore, it can be concluded that while zonally symmetric off-equatorial SST anomalies impact the midlatitude jet stream, zonally asymmetric off-equatorial forcing modulate phase of the Rossby waves. This figure also suggests that the SSTs of NPAC can impact zonal and meridional winds at other longitudes in the Indo-Pacific region, possibly through advection of geopotential (Hoskins and Ambrizzi, 1993; Ambrizzi and Hoskins, 1997).

Figs. 8(a-f) shows the corresponding changes in vertical moist-static stability of the atmosphere (VMS). Moist static energy (M) of a volume of the atmosphere per unit mass is defined as:

\[ M = C_p T + gz + Lq \]  

(1)

where, \( T \), \( q \) and \( z \) are temperature, specific humidity and geopotential height of the volume considered, respectively; \( C_p \), \( g \) and \( L \) are heat capacity at constant pressure for moist air, acceleration due to gravity and latent heat of evaporation of water, respectively. MSE of an atmospheric column is a measure of net amount of energy. A higher value of MSE near the surface, for example, would indicate high temperature and/or moisture that can lead to increase in convective available potential energy (CAPE) and enhanced convection (Neelin and Held, 1987; Srinivasan and Smith, 1996; Nanjundiah and Srinivasan, 1999; Chakraborty et al., 2006, 2014). Accordingly, vertical moist-static stability (VMS) is defined as the difference in mass weighted MSE between the top and bottom layers of the atmosphere:

\[ VMS = \frac{1}{p_f - p_m} \int_{p_m}^{p_f} M dp - \frac{1}{p_m - p_b} \int_{p_b}^{p_m} M dp \]  

(2)
Figs. 5(a-f). Anomalies of SST (in °C) and geopotential height of 200 hPa (in m) for different SST conditions in Pacific Ocean. Top and bottom panels show the changes in SST and 200 hPa geopotential height, respectively. Left, middle and right panels show P-N composites for asymmetric mode, P-N composites for symmetric mode and N-P composites for N34SST, respectively. The dotted regions indicate significance at 90% level calculated using a student’s t-test.

Fig. 6(a-f). Same as in Figs. 5(a-f) but for zonal and meridional winds.

where, \(p_b\), \(p_m\) and \(p_t\) are bottom, middle and top pressure levels of the column; taken as the surface pressure, 400 hPa and 100 hPa, respectively. A decrease (an increase) in VMS can lead to enhanced (reduced) convection at that column. During \(P-N\) asymmetric mode [Fig. 8(a)], changes in VMS over northern hemisphere follow a wave train pattern much similar to the Rossby wave phase shift [Fig. 6(d)]. In particular, VMS over Indian region is reduced indicating favored convection.

A large region from central NPAC to the Indian subcontinent experiences decrease in VMS when NPAC is warmer in a zonally coherent manner [Fig. 8(b)], that follows the pattern of changes in zonal wind at 200 hPa [Fig. 6(b)]. Spatial pattern of changes in VMS for \(N-P\) N34SST [Fig. 8(c)] is similar to that for the \(P-N\) symmetric mode, albeit with reduced magnitudes in the northern hemisphere. Notice that the differences in VMS along equatorial Pacific Ocean for the symmetric mode and N34SST composites are large, indicating influence of ENSO. However, such differences for the asymmetric mode are small and not statistically significant. In fact, differences over maritime continents are opposite in sign for \(P-N\) asymmetric mode to \(P-N\) symmetric mode. This
indicates that changes in VMS in northern hemisphere in the asymmetric mode are independent of the ENSO related SST changes along the equator.

The $P-N$ asymmetric mode composites show strong increase in precipitation in central India [Fig. 8(d)], associated with decrease in south Indian peninsula and northeast India. These are consistent with changes in Rossby wave phase [Fig. 6(d)] and decrease in VMS [Fig. 8(a)]. The $P-N$ symmetric mode precipitation composites show more spatially coherent differences in the Indian region [Fig. 8(e)]. These are possibly signatures of in-phase impact of ENSO and PDO. ENSO related precipitation changes are also spatially coherent over the entire Indian region. Moreover, ENSO strongly impacts precipitation in the foothills of the Himalayas [Fig. 8(f)], consistent with the changes in VMS [Fig. 8(c)].

3.3. The Indo-Pacific SST Index

Can SST of NPAC be used to explain the interannual variability of ISM? We answer this question evaluating the combined effect of NP1SST and SST of three other key regions of the global oceans known to have impact on ISM. These three regions are: Nino 3.4, the eastern Equatorial Indian Ocean (EEIO; 80-110° E, 10° S-Equator) and the central NPAC (NP2; 155-175° E, 30-45° N). The definition of EEIO here is close to that of (Saji et al., 1999). Interannual variation of June-July mean SST of NP2 is highly correlated with the PDOI ($R = -0.77$)
and thus SST of this region is representative of PDO. A multiple linear regression (MLR) between June-July mean SST of these four domains and precipitation in India is constructed. The combined index is termed as the Indo-Pacific SST Index (IPSI) and is defined as

\[ \text{IPSI} = c_1T_{NP1} + c_2T_{NP2} + c_3T_{N34} + c_4T_{EEIO} \]  

(3)

where, \( T_{NP1}, T_{NP2}, T_{N34} \) and \( T_{EEIO} \) are SST anomalies of NP1, NP2, Nino 3.4 and EEIO, respectively; \( c_1, c_2, c_3 \) and \( c_4 \) are coefficients obtained from the MLR and carry the units mm day\(^{-1}\)(°C). The units of IPSI are mm day\(^{-1}\).

The values of \( c_1, c_2, c_3 \) and \( c_4 \) are 1.43, -0.70, -0.31 and -2.19, respectively, determined using a multilinear regression between the predictand (IPSI) and the predictands \( (T_{NP1}, T_{NP2}, T_{N34} \) and \( T_{EEIO} \)).

A scatter plot between IPSI and observed precipitation anomaly in India during June-July from 1982 through 2012 is shown in Fig. 9(a). IPSI well captures the observed precipitation anomaly in most of the years. The linear correlation coefficient between these two quantities is 0.91. Therefore, IPSI can explain about 82% of the interannual variance of June-July mean precipitation in the satellite era. The precipitation anomaly (IPSI) of June-July 2014, estimated using regression coefficients obtained from 1982-2012 data sets and then applied to the observed SST anomaly of 2014, is indicated by a green star on Fig. 9(a). Note that this estimation well captures the observed deficient precipitation in this year.

IPSI values were also calculated without using SST of NP1 and NP2. Arrows in Fig. 9(a) indicate these values during few extreme years. This suggests that SST of NPAC is necessary to adequately explain the extreme positive (1994, 2001, 2007) and negative (1982, 2002, 2009) monsoon precipitation in India in June-July.

To show further that SST of NPAC plays an important role in determining interannual variation of precipitation in India, we have calculated the variability \((R^2)\) explained by SST for different combinations of regions [Fig. 9(b)], using MLR, as discussed above. In addition to the regular regression results shown above (without cross-validation), the MLR was also performed with cross-validation (where the year in concern was not used to calculate the regression coefficients). This way, the impact of the particular years does not influence the value of the coefficients. These coefficients are then applied to SST anomaly of the year concerned to calculate IPSI (the rainfall anomaly). This procedure is repeated for all the 31 years (1982-2012). In order to calculate the index for different combinations of regions (regressors), MLR was calculated separately for every combination, instead of using the coefficients values mentioned above. For example, when we used NP1 and NP2 to predict IPSI, a new set of regression coefficients were derived instead of using those of Eqn 3 (\(c_1\) and \(c_2\)). This way, NP1 and NP2 together will explain maximum variance possible in IPSI. This is different than taking \(c_1\) and \(c_2\) (of Eqn 1) since there is non-zero cross correlation between NP1 and NP2 SST. Fig. 9(b) shows that when SST of NP1 and NP2 are used, in conjunction with that of Nino 3.4 and EEIO, the interannual variability explained is highest. Moreover, the differences between variance explained with cross-validation and without cross-validation are smaller when more regions are included for regression.
### TABLE 1
The list of CMIP5 models along with their horizontal resolution (of the atmospheric component, in number of latitude × longitude) used in this study

| S. No. | Model Name          | Resolution  |
|--------|---------------------|-------------|
| 1      | bcc-csm1-1          | 64 × 128    |
| 2      | bcc-csm1-1-m        | 160 × 320   |
| 3      | CCSM4               | 192 × 288   |
| 4      | CESM1-CAM5          | 192 × 288   |
| 5      | CMCC-CESM           | 48 × 96     |
| 6      | CMCC-CM             | 240 × 480   |
| 7      | CMCC-CMS            | 96 × 192    |
| 8      | CNRM-CM5            | 128 × 256   |
| 9      | CNRM-CM5-2          | 128 × 256   |
| 10     | CSIRO-Mk3-6-0       | 96 × 192    |
| 11     | FIO-ESM             | 64 × 128    |
| 12     | GFDL-CM2p1          | 90 × 144    |
| 13     | GFDL-CM3            | 90 × 144    |
| 14     | GFDL-ESM2G          | 90 × 144    |
| 15     | GFDL-ESM2M          | 90 × 144    |
| 16     | GISS-E2-H           | 90 × 144    |
| 17     | GISS-E2-H-CC        | 90 × 144    |
| 18     | GISS-E2-R           | 90 × 144    |
| 19     | GISS-E2-R-CC        | 90 × 144    |
| 20     | HadCM3              | 73 × 96     |
| 21     | HadGEM2-AO          | 145 × 192   |
| 22     | HadGEM2-ES          | 145 × 192   |
| 23     | inmcm4              | 120 × 180   |
| 24     | IPSL-CM5A-LR        | 96 × 96     |
| 25     | IPSL-CM5A-MR        | 143 × 144   |
| 26     | IPSL-CM5B-LR        | 96 × 96     |
| 27     | MIROC5              | 128 × 256   |
| 28     | MIROC-ESM           | 64 × 128    |
| 29     | MIROC-ESM-CHEM      | 64 × 128    |
| 30     | MPI-ESM-LR          | 96 × 192    |
| 31     | MPI-ESM-MR          | 96 × 192    |
| 32     | MPI-ESM-P           | 96 × 192    |
| 33     | MRI-CGCM3           | 160 × 320   |
| 34     | MRI-ESM1            | 96 × 144    |
| 35     | NorESM1-M           | 96 × 144    |
| 36     | NorESM1-ME          | 96 × 144    |
This relationship is relatively poor for the later months of Indian summer monsoon (August-September; \( R = 0.48 \)). We guess that this could be related to climatological position of the subtropical jet in August-September when it shifts northward compared to June-July. Since the Rossby waves are south of this jet, the impact of NWPSI decreases when they are further north of the Indian region. It also could be related to the internal dynamics of the atmosphere-ocean system that feeds back to itself after the monsoon is set up over south Asia (Chakraborty et al., 2006). This possibly suggests that different factors, including SST pattern, play role in determining ISM precipitation during its early and late phases and therefore, need to be studied separately (Terray et al., 2003; Chakraborty et al., 2006; Boschat et al., 2010). However, our study shows that SST over four

regions can explain 82% of the interannual variability monsoon rainfall over India during the first half of the season (June-July), the phase most important for agriculture over the country.

3.4. Do CMIP5 models capture the observed relationship between SST of north Pacific Ocean and Indian Summer Monsoon?

Finally, we show here evidence from CMIP5 model ensembles that a correct simulation of SST over north west Pacific Ocean during ENSO events is important for the realistic simulation of Indian summer monsoon during El Nino and La Nina. For this, we take advance of the fact that observed correlation between SST anomalies over Nino 3.4 and NP1 is -0.39 [June-September mean SST of
1982-2012 from (Reynolds et al., 2002)]. We categorize 36 CMIP5 models (list of models in Table 1) according to their simulated correlation between SST anomalies over Nino 3.4 and NP1 in June-September in the historical simulation period [1861-2005; Fig. 10(a)], along with corresponding observation value. Many CMIP5 models do not show a realistic seasonal cycle or rainfall over Indian region (Jayasankar et al., 2015). Thus, averaging over four months (June-September) is more likely to capture the boreal summer season simulated by these models. However, the results presented are qualitatively similar when only June-July months are considered (not shown). From Fig. 10(a) we note that CMIP5 model simulated correlations vary in a wide range (-0.38 to 0.32), with 13 models having –ve (as in observations) and 23 models having +ve correlation.

Next, we chose 6 (10) models with highest negative (positive) correlation [from Fig 10(a)]. A composite of rainfall anomaly over Indian region (70-90° E, 5-25° N) among these models during El Nino and La Nina years, along with the corresponding observed values are shown in Fig. 10(b). We define El Nino (La Nina) of the model when June-September mean SST anomaly over Nino 3.4 is above +0.5 K (below -0.5 K). Clearly, models those capture the correct relationship in SST between Nino 3.4 and NP1 (+ve correlation, denoted by CC++) outperform the models of the other category (+ve correlation, denoted by CC--) in capturing the intensity of anomaly in rainfall over Indian region, both during El Nino and La Nina years.

We further extend these results to understand how SST over NP1 impacts the strength of ENSO-Indian summer monsoon relationship in these CMIP5 models. In Fig. 10(c) we show scatter plots of the interannual correlation between SST anomaly over Nino 3.4 and rainfall over Indian region, vs. interannual correlation between SST anomalies over Nino 3.4 and NP1. Note that, the CMIP5 models show wide range of ENSO-monsoon relationships (measured by the linear correlation coefficient), from about -0.7 to 0.2, while the observed relationship is about -0.5 (marked in red color). Such wide range in the ENSO-monsoon relationship in CMIP5 models was noted in simultaneous (Sperber et al., 2013) as well as time-lagged correlation analysis (Jourdain et al., 2013). Interestingly, models with strong ENSO-monsoon relationship also show strong negative correlation between Nino 3.4 and NP1 SST anomalies, which is closer to observations. The models with poor ENSO-monsoon relationship (CC close to zero) do not show clear relationship between SST anomalies over Nino 3.4 and NP1. We conclude here that SST over NP1 modulates the ENSO-monsoon relationship. Thus, a realistic simulation of SST over NP1 relating to Nino 3.4 anomalies is necessary to capture the observed strength of ENSO-monsoon relationship.

4. Conclusions

This study illustrates the role of east-west variation of SST anomaly over NPAC in the changes in circulation and convection during northern summer season. It was seen that zonally symmetric SST warming shifts the 200 hPa jet towards north by about 15 degrees over a wide longitude belt. On the other hand, zonally asymmetric anomalies with warming along western NPAC and cooling over the central parts induce phase shift in Rossby waves such that the meridional winds over Indian region become anomalously northerly. Zonally symmetric SST anomaly at the equatorial Pacific Ocean (ENSO modes) shows largest impact on circulation in the Tropics. These changes in circulation and temperature modulate the VMS of the atmosphere. The changes in VMS were found to have large impact on the intensity of monsoon rainfall over India. The zonally asymmetric SST anomaly away from the equator, through shift of phase of the Rossby waves, impacts ISM more compared to zonally symmetric off-equatorial or equatorial anomalies. The northeast vs southwest asymmetry in precipitation anomaly over Indian region experienced in several years can be explained through this asymmetric SST mode of NPAC. The precipitation changes were spatially coherent for zonally symmetric equatorial and off-equatorial SST modes.

It is also found that this relationship between SST of NPAC and Indian monsoon precipitation can be used, in conjunction with the SST of equatorial Pacific and Indian Oceans, to construct an index that can explain about 82% of the interannual variability of precipitation in India in June-July during the satellite era, when accurate measurement of SST around the globe is available. When SSTs of NPAC are not included to construct the index, the variance explained drops down to about 50%.

Finally, using simulations of 36 CMIP5 models with historical scenario, we show the robustness of our above-mentioned results. We show that those models capture the out-of-phase relationship in SST anomaly between Nino 3.4 and NP1 (as in observations) also realistically simulates anomaly of rainfall over Indian region during both El Nino and La Nina years. This result shows the importance of simulating the realistic see-say in SST anomalies between NP1 and Nino 3.4 in order to get the interannual variation of Indian summer monsoon. The teleconnection mechanism proposed in this study, thus, can be used to diagnose general circulation models those in general do not show a realistic relationship between SST and ISM.
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