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Abstract
This study proposes a method for determining decision-making rules on fleet composition that are effective from a perspective of profitability in the shipping industry, where there are several market uncertainties such as fuel prices, freight rates, exchange rate, transportation demand, world transportation capacity, and ship price. A simulator is used to calculate the revenue of the fleet composition based on the market scenario. Using this to optimize the buying and selling behavior of ships, decision-making rules regarding fleet composition can be determined. The rules are expressed as a vector, and a genetic algorithm (GA) is used for optimization. As a case study, the method was applied to container ships operating between Asia and Europe. The simulation revealed optimal decision-making rules. Moreover, a controlled experiment was conducted to validate the effectiveness of the rules. Participants were randomly divided into two groups: those with rules and those without rules, and they were asked to perform buying and selling behavior in a simulated environment. By comparing these groups’ profits, it is validated that the rules discovered by the method are useful because they encourage decision-making from a long-term perspective.
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1 Introduction
The shipping industry is highly dynamic and has various market cycles: long-term cycles driven by major changes in the industry, short-term cycles driven by the evolution and fluctuation of the world economy, and seasonal cycles, which are characteristic of commodity trades by ships [1]. It is difficult to forecast the future market, and shipping companies always need to operate their fleet under uncertainty. Although the industry has many uncertainties, interviews [2] with three Japanese shipowners revealed that they do not have a technical method for forecasting ship demand and rely on decision-making based on their intuition and experience. However, because the environment where ships are operated is highly changeable and uncertain, the intuition and experience learned from past cases are not always applicable for decision-making. There is a need to develop a data and model-based decision-making method for fleet composition that does not rely on intuition and experience.

This study proposes a method for revealing decision-making rules on fleet composition that are effective from a profitability perspective. A simulator is used to calculate the revenue of the fleet composition based on the market scenario. Using simulations to optimize the buying and selling behavior of ships enables the discovery of decision-making rules regarding fleet composition. As a case study, the method was applied to container ships operating between Asia and Europe. Moreover, a controlled experiment was conducted, and it demonstrates the usefulness of the rules.

2 Literature review
One of the traditional problems in the shipping industry is the maritime fleet size and mix problem (MFSMP), in which how many vessels to utilize to meet shipping demand is
A genetic algorithm (GA) has been utilized in many other domains to find a decision-making rule under uncertainty. Fidelis, Lopes, and Freitas [13] introduced a classification algorithm based on a GA that discovers human-understandable if–then-style rules. The usefulness of the algorithm was assessed on two public domain datasets of dermatology and breast cancer. Kim and Han [14] proposed a data mining method based on GAs to discover bankruptcy decision rules from experts’ qualitative evaluation. In the experiments, the GA showed higher accuracy and greater coverage compared to inductive learning and neural networks. This demonstrated that the rules found using genetic algorithms were largely consistent with the experts’ problem-solving knowledge. Several studies [15, 16] have attempted to improve shipping companies’ profitability using rules to buy and sell ships based on past ship price patterns. They showed the usefulness of the rules; however, to the best of our knowledge, there is a lack of research on determining better rules using a mathematical method such as GA.

The novelty of the current research is that it focuses on the fleet composition problem under the market’s future uncertainty and utilizes a GA to find decision-making rules for the problem. This study proposes a method to determine a strategic rule for decision-making to update the fleet, which considers the future uncertainty of the shipping market. As for market uncertainty, this study considers oil price, Japan–US exchange rate, ship price, freight rate, and shipping demand. By combining models considering these uncertainties and a GA, this research enables the determination of decision-making rules under uncertainties.

### 3 Method of decision-making rule mining using genetic algorithm

This section outlines a method to determine optimal decision-making rules for buying and selling ships (See Fig. 1).

The market model is used to create market simulation scenarios based on historical market data. Buy and sell rules are those for decision-making when a ship operating company

![Fig. 1 Overview of a proposed method for decision-making rule mining using GA](image-url)
buys and sells ships and are vectored and expressed as a chromosome, a set of parameters and optimized in GA. The profit calculation model simulates operation for 15 years, which utilizes the market scenario and buy and sell rules, and outputs the net present value (NPV) of the operation. The GA utilizes this profit calculation and optimizes the chromosome to improve the NPV. Eventually, it is possible to obtain an optimal decision-making rule for fleet composition management. The rest of this section describes each detail of the market scenario model, the profit calculation model, and the optimization method with GA.

### 3.1 Market scenario model

To optimize the buy and sell rules for fleet composition, it is necessary to create a number of scenarios for the shipping market that contain future uncertainty and fluctuation. The market scenario model learns the parameters from historical market data and outputs many scenarios based on the parameters.

This model assumes the shipping market consists of fuel oil price, Japan–US exchange rate, transportation demand, world transportation capacity, freight rate, new shipbuilding price, and second-hand ship price. For oil price, Japan–US exchange rate, transportation demand, and transportation demand, the model applies a binomial lattice model because the three elements are highly uncertain and difficult to estimate future behavior. By generating a bunch of patterns, and optimizing the buy and sell rules, the method considers the uncertainty. From historical data, the average value \( \mu \) and standard deviation \( \sigma \) are calculated. Based on these values, the model defines the future value \( S_t \) using Eqs. 1–4. \( p \) is probability of rise, \( u \) is coefficient when the rise, and \( d \) is coefficient when the value falls. \( \Delta t \) is a time step of the simulation and \( rand \) is a random value (0–1) generated at each time step.

\[
p = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{\mu}{\sigma} \sqrt{\Delta t} \right) \tag{1}
\]

\[
u = e^{\sigma \sqrt{\Delta t}} \tag{2}
\]

\[
d = e^{-\sigma \sqrt{\Delta t}} \tag{3}
\]

\[
S_t = \begin{cases} 
S_{t-1} \cdot u(rand \leq p) \\
S_{t-1} \cdot d(rand > p) 
\end{cases} \tag{4}
\]

For the world transportation capacity model, a virtual shipping company representing overall shipping companies is assumed. Because the shipping company executes orders or scraps based on the expectation of future transportation demand, the world transportation capacity is updated in each time step. The detailed procedure is as follows.

1. Expect transportation demand in \( T \) years, \( D(t + T) \) by Eq. 5.

\[
D(t + T) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{M=1}^{T} \left( D(t) + \frac{D(t) - D(t - M)}{M} \times T \right) \tag{5}
\]

2. If the expected demand exceeds vessel supply in \( T \) years, the virtual world shipping company orders new ships to cover the gap. If the gap exceeds the shipyard’s capacity, the ship that was to be scrapped will be used temporarily.

3. If the gap does not exceed the shipbuilding capacity, the company scrap vessels that are over 15 years old.

Our model assumes that the freight rate has a linear relationship with the ratio of transportation demand to world transportation capacity and the price of fuel oil. Freight rate \( F(t) \) is defined by Eq. 6. \( D(t) \) is transportation demand, \( S(t) \) is world transportation capacity, and \( O(t) \) is fuel price at time \( t \). The parameters \( a_1, a_2, \) and \( b_1 \) are obtained by regression analysis using historical data.

\[
F(t) = a_1 \times \frac{D(t)}{S(t)} + a_2 \times O(t) + b_1 \tag{6}
\]

Regarding the new shipbuilding price, there are two assumptions: freight rate is a primary factor that affects the price, and the rate has a three-month delay effect on the new ship price. The new ship price \( NP(t) \) is expressed by Eq. 7.

\[
NP(t) = a \times \frac{D(t - 3)}{S(t - 3)} + b \tag{7}
\]

In this model, the freight rate is calculated from the ratio of the transportation demand to the world transportation capacity. Therefore, we use the ratio as a substitute for freight rates.

For second-hand ship price, it is assumed that freight rate is a primary factor of the price, the rate has a three-month delay effect, the price decreases linearly with the age of the ship, and the minimum value is the profit \( F \) obtained by scrap. The price \( SP(t) \) is defined by Eq. 8. \( A(t) \) is the age of the ship.

\[
SP(t) = \max \left( F, \left( a' \times \frac{D(t - 3)}{S(t - 3)} + b' \right) \times \left( \frac{15 - A(t)}{10} \right) \right) \tag{8}
\]
3.2 Profit calculation model

This model calculates the lifecycle profit of the fleet and outputs the NPV based on the scenario that the market model creates and buy/sell rules. The procedure is described in Fig. 2.

This is a discrete time-based simulation so that the first step is to update the time step. Based on the time, market values explained in the market scenario and the age of the ships are updated. The procedure has three conditional branches related to the buy/sell rules: order a new ship, buy a second-hand ship, and sell an owned ship. The rules are defined as if–then rules, and the details are described in the next section. If a new ship is ordered, the price and overhead charge are paid. The ship is added to the fleet in $T$ years, which are required to construct the ship. When a second-hand ship is purchased, the price and overhead are charged, and the ship is immediately added to the fleet. When a ship is sold, money, which is equal to the price in the market, is gained, and the ship is removed from the fleet composition.

Next, the model calculates the fleet’s profit and updates the NPV. The number of round trips is obtained from Eq. 9. $ND$ is day, which is necessary for a round trip.

$NT = \frac{30 \times \Delta T}{ND}$  

(9)

Freight income of the round trip is calculated using Eq. 10. $f_o, f_i$(USD/TEU) are the freight for outbound/inbound trips, $\varepsilon_o, \varepsilon_i$ are the loading rate, and $\text{Size}(\text{TEU})$ is the size of ships.

$I = \text{Size} \times (f_o \varepsilon_o + f_i \varepsilon_i)$  

(10)

Fuel oil consumption rate $FOC(\text{kg/km})$ of each ship is estimated by Eq. 11 using ship speed $V$(km/h), displacement $DSP$(ton), capacity $DWT$(ton), loading rate $\varepsilon$, and coefficients $k_{c1}, k_{c2}$.

$DSP = 13.7 \times DWT + 1660$  

(12)

$C_{fuel} = ND \times FOC \times P_{fuel}$  

(13)

Because not all the ships in the fleet are operated, the idle rate $\rho$ is introduced. The rate is calculated using Eq. 14. $k$ is the ship volume per unit of demand.

$\rho = \max \left( 0, 1 - k \frac{D(t)}{S(t)} \right)$  

(14)

Finally, profit is calculated using Eq. 15. $C_{fix}$ is the fixed cost for a round trip.

$Profit_{\Delta T} = \max \left( -C_{fix}, -\rho C_{fix} + (1 - \rho)(I - C_{fuel} - C_{fix}) \right) \times NT$  

(15)

Moreover, when the ship’s age reaches 15 years, the ship is sold, and the price is added to this profit. By considering the discount rate $r$, the fleet’s NPV is updated by Eq. 16. $Profit$ is a summation of all ships’ profit, $Sale$ is cash inflow by selling ships, and $Order$ is cash outflow by ordering new ships or second-hand ships.

$NPV = \sum t \frac{1}{(1 + r)^t} (\text{Profit} + Sale - Order)$  

(16)

3.3 Optimization with GA

Using the aforementioned simulation, the sell/buy rules are optimized using the GA. This section explains how the rules are coded into chromosomes, and how an algorithm improves the rules.
The rules are defined according to the if–then format. For example, a rule to order a new ship is defined by “if ($a_1 < x_1 < b_1$) and ($a_2 < x_2 < b_2$) and ..., then order 1 ship.” The rule can be divided into two parts: the condition part and the execution part. The condition part has five inequalities: fuel oil price, inbound freight, Japan–US exchange rate, number of ships currently owned, and average of inbound freight for 10 months. The assumption that these elements are highly related to decision-making to sell or buy is based on previous research [17–20]. The average of inbound freight for 10 years is considered so as to take the freight rate’s periodic characteristic into account. That is, by comparing 10 months’ average freight, the rule can consider long-term trends. The five inequalities can be separated into 10 inequalities because each has an upper and lower limit. This means that 10 parameters are necessary to define the condition part. The execution part has only three types: order a new ship, buy second-hand ship, and sell an own ship.

To optimize the rules explained with a GA, the rules should be expressed by a binary vector. In this method, 4-bit binary code is assigned for each inequality. In particular, the reflected binary code is applied for the Hamming distance to be one. The four-bit binary code can express 16 kinds of values. As for fuel oil price, and number of ships currently owned, 15 values in increments of 10, ranging from 0 to 140, and wildcard are assigned. The wildcard means that there are no applicable conditions for the inequality. As for inbound and outbound freight, and Japan–US exchange rate, 15 values, and wildcards are assigned, which are created from the mean and standard deviation of the generated scenario.

Figure 3 is a flowchart of the GA. The initial population is randomly generated. As genetic operators, crossover, mutation, and selection are implemented. For the crossover, a single-point crossover is applied. Mutation is executed according to probability. For selection, elitism and roulette wheel selection were applied. To calculate fitness, the simulation described in the previous section is utilized. The scenario model generated multiple market scenarios and a profit calculation model output these NPVs. The average score of the NPVs is used for fitness.

**Table 1** Parameters for case study

| Parameter                                      | Unit   | Data setting |
|------------------------------------------------|--------|--------------|
| Payback period                                 | Year   | 15           |
| Time step $\Delta T$                           | Month  | 3            |
| Size of ships $Size$                           | TEU    | 6000         |
| Route distance $s$                             | Mile   | 23,179       |
| Ship speed                                     | Knot   | 19           |
| Percentage of vessels younger than 5 years old | N/A    | 0.254        |
| Percentage of vessels between 5 and 10 years old | N/A     | 0.482       |
| Percentage of vessels older than 10 years old  | N/A    | 0.263        |
| Minimum value of ships $F$                     | USD    | $3.0 \times 10^6$ |
| Discount rate $r$                              | N/A    | 0.06         |
| Fix cost for operation $C_{fix}$               | USD/year | $1.84 \times 10^7$ |
| Loading rate for outbound trip $\epsilon_o$    | N/A    | 0.71         |
| Loading rate for inbound trip $\epsilon_i$     | N/A    | 0.31         |
| Delivery period for new buildings $T$          | Year   | 2            |
| Capacity of shipyard                           | Ships/month | 55           |
| Ratio of overhead charge to sell ships         | N/A    | 0.05         |
Table 2 Parameters for fuel oil price, Japan–US exchange rate, and transportation demand

|                | \( \mu \)  | \( \sigma \)  | \( a \)  | \( d \)  | \( p \)  |
|----------------|------------|--------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Fuel oil price | \( 2.7 \times 10^{-3} \) | \( 8.6 \times 10^{-2} \) | 1.02     | 9.84     | 0.503    |
| Japan–US exchange rate | \( -6.2 \times 10^{-4} \) | \( 3.1 \times 10^{-2} \) | 1.01     | 9.94     | 0.498    |
| Transportation demand | \( 3.2 \times 10^{-3} \) | \( 1.3 \times 10^{-2} \) | 1.00     | 9.97     | 0.522    |

Table 3 Parameters for freight rate, new and second-hand ship prices

|                      | \( a \)     | \( b \)     |
|----------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Freight rate (outbound) | \( 2.1 \times 10^{6} (a_1) \) | \(-5.3 \times 10^{2} \) |
|                       | \( 5.7 \times 10^{6} (a_2) \) |             |
| Freight rate (inbound) | \( 4.0 \times 10^{6} (a_1) \) | \( 3.1 \times 10^{2} \) |
|                       | \( 2.9 (a_2) \) |             |
| New ships price       | \( 8.2 \times 10^{3} \) | 1.4         |
| Second-hand ship price| \( 1.9 \times 10^{3} \) | \(-0.75 \)  |

4 Case study

This study describes a case study to verify each model’s behavior and overall procedure in the proposed method. The target ship type is narrowed down into container liner, and the operating route is 23,179 miles between Shimizu in Japan and Southampton in UK. The detailed parameters for the case study are reported in Table 1. Ship size is fixed at 6000 TEU, and the parameters of performance \( k_{c1}k_{c1} \) are set to \( 6.87 \times 10^{-3} \) and 0.65.

For the market scenario model, the parameters of fuel oil price, Japan–US rate, and transportation demand are obtained from historical data, which use monthly oil price data [21], monthly Japan–US exchange rate [22], and monthly transportation demand data [23]. The parameters learned from historical data are presented in Table 2.

Based on the models, parameters of freight rate, new ship price, and second-hand ship price are calculated using regression analysis. For the regression, European container freight rate data [24] and ship price data [25] were used. The parameters are listed in Table 3.

To validate the models, a comparison between generated scenarios and statistics of the historical data is conducted. The results are reported in Table 4. They are compared with the average, standard deviation, and median. This indicates that the scenarios generated from the model follow the characteristics of the historical data.

Using the simulation setting and market scenario model, the GA optimizes the sell/buy rules for fleet composition. The parameters for the GA are shown in Table 5.

Figure 4 shows the evolution of the fitness score by generations up to 5000. The fitness of generations indicates that the GA works well as an optimization system. Finally, the decision-making rules presented in Table 6 are obtained. For the five variables, fuel oil price, freight rate, average of freight rate for 10 months, Japan–US exchange rate, and number of ships in fleet, upper and lower limits of the decision-making condition are obtained. In the table, wildcards are marked with an asterisk. To validate the usefulness of the rules, a controlled experiment was designed. The details of the experiment and the results are outlined in the next section.

5 Experiment

In this section, we conduct a subject experiment to test whether there is a significant difference between the experimental and control groups. Based on the validation, we confirm whether the mined decision rules are effective. In the experiment, the score of the group without the rules is utilized as a baseline to test whether the group with the rules is better. Through a post-experiment survey and data analysis, the mechanism of the advantage of the rules is discussed.

Table 4 Comparison between generated scenarios and Historical data

| Scenarios                          | Ave.     | Std.     | Mdn.     | Historical data                      |
|-----------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|--------------------------------------|
| Freight rate (outbound)           | \( 1.3 \times 10^{3} \) | \( 3.0 \times 10^{2} \) | \( 1.3 \times 10^{3} \) | \( 1.4 \times 10^{3} \) | \( 2.5 \times 10^{2} \) | \( 1.4 \times 10^{3} \) |
| Freight rate (inbound)            | \( 7.7 \times 10^{2} \) | \( 1.3 \times 10^{2} \) | \( 7.4 \times 10^{2} \) | \( 8.7 \times 10^{2} \) | \( 1.2 \times 10^{2} \) | \( 8.5 \times 10^{2} \) |
| World transportation capacity      | \( 4.5 \times 10^{3} \) | \( 1.1 \times 10^{3} \) | \( 4.5 \times 10^{3} \) | \( 4.5 \times 10^{3} \) | \( 6.2 \times 10^{3} \) | \( 4.7 \times 10^{3} \) |
| New ships price                    | \( 7.4 \times 10^{7} \) | \( 7.3 \times 10^{6} \) | \( 7.3 \times 10^{7} \) | \( 8.1 \times 10^{7} \) | \( 1.6 \times 10^{7} \) | \( 7.7 \times 10^{7} \) |
| Second-hand ship price             | \( 6.2 \times 10^{7} \) | \( 1.7 \times 10^{7} \) | \( 6.2 \times 10^{7} \) | \( 6.1 \times 10^{7} \) | \( 1.8 \times 10^{7} \) | \( 5.1 \times 10^{7} \) |
In the control experiment, two groups were prepared and asked to make decisions about the composition of the fleet on an experimental simulator. The experimental group was given the decision rules obtained by the proposed method before starting. On the other hand, the control group was not given the decision-making rules. After having the subjects make decisions about fleet composition on the simulator, the final NPV was recorded. We examined whether there was a significant difference between the results of the experimental and control groups. Welch’s t-test was used for testing.

The control experiment requires a simulation in which the subject’s decision-making about the composition of the fleet is the input and the NPV is the output. The profit calculation model in the proposed method was utilized. In this method, the decision-making rules are machine processed, and the decisions are automatically executed. On the other hand,

**Table 6** Decision-making rules obtained in case study

| Fuel oil price | Freight rate | Ave. of freight rate for 10 months | Japan-US exchange rate | # of ships in fleet |
|----------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| Upper Lower    | Upper Lower  | Upper Lower                       | Upper Lower           | Upper Lower        |
| Order a new ship| 30 140       | 243 2061                          | 2212 0                | 80 140             |
| Buy a second-hand ship| 0 140       | * 2212 *                          | 1455 54               | 90 120             |
| Sell an own ship | * * *      | 1152 2364                       | 0 264                 | 30 100             |

**Fig. 4** Evolution of the fitness score of the GA by generations up to 5000

**Fig. 5** User interface of the simulation for the experiment
all the decision-making was conducted by the subjects in the experiment. Four subjects were assigned to each group.

The user interface (UI) of the simulator is shown in Fig. 5. It shows how the subjects of the experiment obtained the information and how they entered their decisions. The left side of the UI shows the transition of the market and the company’s profit. Based on this information, the subjects operate the right side of the UI and make a decision on buying or selling ships. The subjects play the simulator with the same three scenarios called Pattern 1 ~ 3, generated by the market model.

The results of the experiment are reported in Table 7, which presents the final scores and the average of each subject. The table shows final profit ($\times 10^{11}$ JPY) of the experimental and control group. ID means the subjects’ ID belonging to each of the groups. Three scores in pattern 1 ~ 3 of each subject, and an average of the scores is shown in the table. Using the data and testing them with Welch’s t-test, it indicates that there is a significant difference between them. The p-value is $5.0 \times 10^{-4}$. This means that the group with the rules set by our method obtained better scores than the group without the rules. In particular, the results indicated that the final score of the experimental group with the rule improved by about 30% compared to the control group without the rule.

Figure 6 shows the transition of cash flow over time for each scenario. The gray lines show each subject’s cash flow, the orange line is the average of the controlled group without the rules, and the green line is the average of the experimental group with the rules. All the graphs show the same trend. That is, in the initial phase of the operation, the experimental group’s cash flow is significantly negative, and then recovers over time, resulting in better results than those of the control group. It is suggested that in contrast to the rule strategy of continuing to invest with a long-term perspective, the control group focused on relatively short-term returns, which is the reason for the difference in final returns.

### 6 Discussion

This study suggests that the method using simulation and heuristic optimization methods can determine decision-making rules for fleet composition management, which leads to better decision-making in the uncertain shipping market. The results indicate that the rules determined by the method are able to overcome human intuition in decision-making. The average scores of the experimental group and the control group are 2.80 and 2.12, respectively, indicating that the proposed method improves the scores by about 30%. It also shows that the rules can reduce individual differences by clarifying the criteria for decision-making. By comparing the transitions of cash flow of each group over time, it...
is suggested that the group with the rules can make a decision with a long-term perspective, and it leads to better performance.

This study has several limitations. This experiment included only eight subjects. This study applied Welch’s t-test where the one-sided significance level was 2.5% and the power was 0.8. However, previous research [26] suggests that 52 subjects are needed for the test. To show more rigorous statistical significance, it is necessary to conduct experiments with more subjects. The simplicity of decision-making rules is another limitation in that the condition part only relates to fuel oil price, freight rate, Japan–US exchange rate, and fleet size, and the execution part contains order a new ship, buy a second-hand ship, and sell an own ship. Regarding the condition part, it is necessary to consider other elements that affect decision-making. The execution part does not consider chartering a ship. More detailed modeling is needed to make the rule more realistic. The validation and calibrations of the model by comparison with the actual dataset is also another important work. In this paper, the binomial lattice model is applied for the market scenario model. More detailed modeling is possible by combining knowledge of market behavior from previous work, and the validation using the actual dataset is useful to confirm the model’s improvement.

For future work, a comparison between the rules revealed by this study and expert tacit knowledge is of interest. By comparison, whether the rules have any common part with the experts, whether the rules can bring new awareness to the experts, and what factors are missed in the rule can be identified. Moreover, whether some differences occur between experts with and without rules may be an interesting research question. An application of other methods of optimization and mining rules is another future work to improve the research. Real coded GA [27] and many other non-linear optimization techniques are applicable for the problem framed by this study, and exploration of which method performs the best is necessary to improve this study.

7 Conclusion

This study describes a method for discovering decision-making rules on fleet composition that are effective from a profitability perspective under market uncertainty. Using simulations and GA to optimize the buying and selling behavior of ships enables the discovery of decision-making rules of fleet composition. As a case study, the method was applied to container ships operated between Asia and Europe. This method revealed decision-making rules that were optimal in the simulation. Moreover, a controlled experiment was conducted to validate the effectiveness of the rules. In the experiment, participants were randomly divided into two groups, those with the rules and those without, and they were asked to perform buying and selling behavior in the simulation. Compared to the control group, the final score of the experimental group improved by approximately 30%, and the result of Welch’s t-test indicated that the difference was significant. Analysis of the experiment’s data revealed that the rules encouraged decision-making from a long-term perspective, which led to a better score under market uncertainty.
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