Structure of Transmembrane Domain of Lysosome-associated Membrane Protein Type 2a (LAMP-2A) Reveals Key Features for Substrate Specificity in Chaperone-mediated Autophagy*
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Background: Lysosome-associated membrane protein type 2a (LAMP-2A) is the receptor for chaperone-mediated autophagy (CMA).

Results: The transmembrane of LAMP-2A forms a coiled coil helix trimer in n-dodecylphosphocholine (DPC) micelle, and protein substrates interact with its cytosolic tail.

Conclusion: Protein substrates and chaperone recognize the same site with equal affinity.

Significance: Substrate recognition and recruitment are coupled in CMA.

Chaperone-mediated autophagy (CMA) is a highly regulated cellular process that mediates the degradation of a selective subset of cytosolic proteins in lysosomes. Increasing CMA activity is one way for a cell to respond to stress, and it leads to enhanced turnover of non-critical cytosolic proteins into sources of energy or clearance of unwanted or damaged proteins from the cytosol. The lysosome-associated membrane protein type 2a (LAMP-2A) together with a complex of chaperones and co-chaperones are key regulators of CMA. LAMP-2A is a transmembrane protein component for protein translocation to the lysosome. Here we present a study of the structure and dynamics of the transmembrane domain of human LAMP-2A in n-dodecylphosphocholine micelles by nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR). We showed that LAMP-2A exists as a homotrimer in which the membrane-spanning helices wrap around each other to form a parallel coiled coil conformation, whereas its cytosolic tail is flexible and exposed to the cytosol. This cytosolic tail of LAMP-2A interacts with chaperone Hsc70 and a CMA substrate RNase A with comparable affinity but not with Hsp40 and RNase S peptide. Because the substrates and the chaperone complex can bind at the same time, thus creating a bimodal interaction, we propose that substrate recognition by chaperones and targeting to the lysosomal membrane by LAMP-2A are coupled. This can increase substrate affinity and specificity as well as prevent substrate aggregation, assist in the unfolding of the substrate, and promote the formation of the higher order complex of LAMP-2A required for translocation.

Autophagy is a highly regulated and essential process to maintain cellular homeostasis through lysosome-mediated degradation of damaged proteins and organelles (1–9). This process is categorized into three distinct pathways, micro-, macro-, and chaperone-mediated autophagy (CMA),2 based on how they are regulated, the type of substrates that are degraded, and their mechanisms in getting the substrates into the lysosomal compartments (10–15). Inhibition of autophagy can result in the accumulation of unwanted or damaged proteins in the cytosol and cause the pathogenesis of several human diseases (such as Parkinson disease, diabetes, Huntington disease, Danon disease, etc.) (16–32) as well as the inability of the cell to respond to starvation conditions (33, 34). Of all these classes of autophagy, CMA is quite unique (35). It can target degradation of selective cytosolic proteins (36, 37). This process is constitutively active in many cell types, but it is maximally activated during stress conditions (34–36, 38, 39) and contributes to amino acid recycling, protein quality control (40, 41), and cellular defense (18). In the CMA process, cytosolic proteins are recruited from the cytosol to the lysosomal membrane and translocated one by one across the membrane into the lumen for degradation (5, 16). The CMA process appears to be selective for a subset of cytosolic proteins containing a pentapeptide motif, known as the CMA targeting motif, that is biochemically related to Lys-Phe-Glu-Arg-Gln (KFERQ) (42, 43). In the cytosol, the chaperone Hsc70 recognizes this targeting sequence and recruits these proteins to the lysosomal outer membrane where the initiation of the CMA process takes place (2, 35, 44–46). The translocation of these proteins across the lysosomal membrane is established by a glycosylated membrane protein, LAMP-2 (47).

The protein LAMP-2 resides in the lysosome and is an integral membrane protein with two conserved luminal domains
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(Constituting 90% of the entire protein), a single transmembrane (TM) domain (about 20 amino acids), and a short (10–12-amino acid) C-terminal cytosolic tail (48, 49). Glycosylation is only found in its luminal domains. The most studied LAMP-2A is found to be present in CMA complexes extracted from different tissues during CMA activation (50). In addition to Hsc70, other co-chaperones are involved together with LAMP-2A to mediate translocation of CMA substrates across the lysosomal membrane (46). Sequestration of these components by their specific antibodies inhibits CMA activity (47, 51). Earlier studies have also shown that LAMP-2A exists as a homomultimer, which upon CMA activation forms a higher oligomer complex with the chaperones (51). The formation of this higher oligomer is required for the translocation of substrates across the lysosomal membrane (51, 52). An increase in the CMA activity is directly related to the number of LAMP-2A proteins present in the lysosomal membrane (52). The accumulation of LAMP-2A in the lysosomal membrane is a result of reduction in its degradation rate or an increase in its transcription (53).

The CMA process is specific and regulated by tightly linked steps: recognition of CMA substrates in the cytosol, recruitment of substrates from cytosol to lysosomal outer membrane, and translocation of substrates across the membrane (52). However, it is not clear whether the specificity of this process comes entirely from the recognition step involving Hsc70 or the recruitment and translocation steps involving LAMP-2A. It has been presumed that only the cytosolic tail of LAMP-2A is accessible by the substrate and chaperones in the cytosol. In fact, it has been shown that mutations in the cytosolic tail of LAMP-2A can diminish the CMA activity by reducing substrate binding affinity (51). Additionally, studies of substrates binding to reconstituted isolated lysosomes containing LAMP-2A showed specificity in substrate recruitment (52). The above observations would suggest two tiers of selection of substrate: first at the recognition step in the cytosol involving Hsc70 and then at the recruitment step by the cytosolic tail of LAMP-2A. It is also important to note that some population of chaperones and co-chaperones can also be found in the lysosomal membrane that might assist in the substrate recruitment process (46). Inactive LAMP-2A exists as a homomultimer (51, 52). It is not clear what the conformation of this multimer is and whether it has a role in recruitment of substrates. Upon CMA activation, the number of LAMP-2A monomers in the CMA complex increases, and there is an additional presence of chaperones and co-chaperones. In this stage, substrate can no longer bind to LAMP-2A (52). The cause of the formation of this high oligomer complex, which is required for translocation, is not known. One possibility is that the interaction of substrate or chaperone with inactive LAMP-2A cytosolic tail can trigger aggregation through its transmembrane domain. Alternatively, the tail interaction may promote aggregation through its luminal domains. This presumes that information about substrate interaction on its cytosolic side can be transmitted to the luminal side of the LAMP-2A. Addressing some of these outstanding questions in molecular detail is necessary to understand this complex and important cellular process.

We addressed the above questions by studying the structure of a LAMP-2A protein construct containing its TM domain along with its cytosolic tail and a short (6-residue) luminal segment in a membrane mimic, DPC micelles, using NMR spectroscopy and other biophysical methods. Our data showed that the TM domain of LAMP-2A monomer has an extended α-helical conformation with a flexible cytosolic tail. Three TM monomers interact tightly in the micelle to form a coiled coil trimer. The flexible LAMP-2A cytosolic tail interacts with chaperone Hsc70 and a CMA substrate, RNase A, with comparable affinity but does not interact with a co-chaperone, Hsp40, or an RNase S peptide. No changes in the NMR chemical shifts of the transmembrane or luminal residues were detected upon cytosolic tail binding to either Hsc70 or RNase A. Thus, our study suggests that inactive LAMP-2A assembles across the lysosomal membrane as a trimer. The cytosolic tail of LAMP-2A in the multimer can bind both chaperone Hsc70 and substrate protein simultaneously. These findings parallel those of other cellular transport machineries (such as mitochondrial and endoplasmic reticulum transport) where chaperones are recruited together with substrates by the membrane transporter to avoid substrate aggregation that can inhibit translocation (54, 55). Binding of the cytosolic tail of LAMP-2A did not induce any conformational changes in its TM domain or its short luminal segment, which suggests that higher CMA oligomer complex formation is triggered primarily by interaction of LAMP-2A with its cytosolic CMA components independently of its TM and luminal domains.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Design of Protein Constructs and Sample Preparation—The LAMP-2A gene from Homo sapiens (human, P13473) was synthesized and codon-optimized for expression in Escherichia coli (Bio Basic Inc.) (56). A LAMP-2A construct containing the TM domain and C-terminal cytosolic tail (amino acids 369–410 and referred to as TM-LAMP-2A) was designed, cloned into pMAL2px vector (New England Biolabs), and expressed as a maltose-binding protein fusion protein along with a tobacco etch virus protease cleavage site in E. coli BL21(DE3) cells. Isotopically (15N- or 13C/15N-) labeled proteins were produced in isotope-enriched M9 minimal medium. 15NH4Cl and [13C/glucone were used as the sole sources of nitrogen and carbon, respectively (Cambridge Isotopes). The E. coli cells were grown at 37 °C and incubated with 0.3 mM isopropyl 1-thio-β-d-galactopyranoside at an A560 of ~0.8, and cell growth was continued at 18 °C for 20 h. The cells were harvested by centrifugation, resuspended in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-Cl, pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, and 0.02% NaN3), and sonicated by passing thrice through a high pressure homogenizer (EmulsiFlex-C3 from Avestin, Ottawa, Canada). The lysate was centrifuged at 17,000 rpm for 30 min at 4 °C, and the supernatant was loaded to an amylose column (New England Biolabs) equilibrated with the above lysate buffer and eluted with 10 mM maltose (Sigma-Aldrich). The eluted protein fractions were cleaved with tobacco etch virus protease (½ of the A280 of the protein) at room temperature overnight. The cleaved TM-LAMP-2A was further purified by HPLC (Invitrogen) using a linear gradient of acetonitrile containing 0.1% TFA. The frac-
ions containing purified TM-LAMP-2A were lyophilized, and TFA was removed by washing with H_2O several times. The purity of the TM-LAMP-2A preparation was confirmed using liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry (LC-MS) (the measured molecular mass was 4480.36 Da, whereas the theoretical molecular mass is 4480.1 Da). The Hsp40 and substrate binding domain of Hsc70 (sbd-Hsc70) were expressed in E. coli and purified using affinity chromatography. The NaCl in the buffer used for Hsp40 purification was increased to 1.5 M to minimize copurification of proteins bound to Hsp40. The protein RNase A and RNase S peptide were purchased from Amer sham Biosciences and Biosynthesis, respectively.

**NMR Spectroscopy**—The purified TM-LAMP-2A was constituted in different micelles (SDS, lysopalmitoylphosphatidylglycerol, DPC, and DPC + dimyristoylphosphatidylcholine) and screened for better solubility by varying pH, salt concentrations, and temperatures using NMR by monitoring its 15N,1H HSQC spectra. Based on the spectral quality and the total number of cross-peaks in the 15N,1H HSQC, the optimal sample condition was to dissolve the TM-LAMP-2A in mixtures consisting of 150 mM DPC doped with 5 mM dimyristoylphosphatidylcholine and 50 mM potassium phosphate, pH 6.5, 25 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, and 0.02% NaN_3. For all NMR experiments, deuterated detergents were used to prepare the micelles. All NMR experiments were carried out at 37 °C on a Bruker Avance 600 MHz spectrometer with a room temperature probe, and a Bruker Avance 800 MHz or a 600 MHz spectrometer with a room temperature probe. NMR experiments were carried out at 37 °C on a Bruker Avance 600 MHz spectrometer with a room temperature probe, and a Bruker Avance 800 MHz or a 600 MHz spectrometer with a room temperature probe.

To monitor LAMP-2A and chaperone Hsc70 protein interaction, a set of 15N,1H HSQC spectra were recorded for 15N-labeled (TM-LAMP-2A) protein as a function of increasing concentrations of unlabeled sbd-Hsc70. At each titration, 15N-labeled TM-LAMP-2A and unlabeled sbd-Hsc70 were slowly mixed and concentrated (at 2,000 rpm using an Amicon ultracentrifuge) to keep the relative micelles and TM-LAMP-2A concentrations the same. Similarly, interactions of TM-LAMP-2A with co-chaperone Hsp40; one of the well studied CMA substrates, RNase A; and RNase S peptide (with KFERQ motif) were also studied. The residue-specific HN chemical shift perturbation was calculated by using the following equation: chemical shift perturbation (CSP) = [(ΔH/H0) + (ΔN/N0)]/2 where ΔH and ΔN are the differences in the H and N chemical shifts of the bound and unbound TM-LAMP-2A. To obtain the binding affinity, the chemical shift changes were fit to a two-state model: (P + L \( \rightarrow \) PL), with species “L” being titrated at concentration “T” into solution with species “P” held at constant concentration “p” using the following equation: Δl = (Δmax/2p)(K_L + p - (l + K_P + p^2) - 4lp^2)/2 where Δl is the change in the observed shift of species L from the free state, Δmax is the maximum shift change on saturation, and K_L is the dissociation constant. The two parameters extracted from the fit are K_P and Δmax. To simultaneously fit several residues, the scale of their chemical shift changes must be normalized. One residue was arbitrarily chosen as a reference, and the remainder were scaled by a factor, f_i = \( \sum \Delta_l_l \Delta_l / \sum \Delta_l \) where Δ_l_l is the perturbation at concentration l of the reference residue and Δ_l is the perturbation of the residue to be scaled, and the sums were carried out over all concentrations.

All of the NMR data were processed using NMRPipe and analyzed with nmrDraw, PIPP, and CARA (65–67). NMR experimental errors were estimated based on the spectral noise as described previously (68).

**Paramagnetic Relaxation Enhancement**—An extended construct of TM-LAMP-2A (amino acids 360–410) containing a cysteine in the N terminus was expressed similarly as described above. After elution from the maltose column, the maltose-binding protein-fused cysteine construct of TM-LAMP-2A was soaked with 10 mM tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine to reduce any possible cysteine disulfide bond formation. The protein buffer was then exchanged into a reaction buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, and 1 mM EDTA) using a PD-10 desalting column. A spin probe labeling reaction was carried out by mixing the protein sample with 20× molar excess of the paramagnetic probe PROXYL [(3-(3-(2-iodoacetamido)propylcarbamoyl)propropyl]; Toronto Research Chemicals Inc.) at room temperature overnight. After this reaction, the excess spin probe was removed using a PD-10 column, and the protein was cleaved with tobacco etch virus and purified using HPLC as described above. The spin probe labeling was confirmed by LC/MS. The two-dimensional 15N,1H HSQC spectrum of the spin-labeled and reference sample was recorded, and no obvious chemical shift changes were observed, indicating that spin labeling did not disrupt the TM-LAMP-2A structure.

The solvent PRE experiments were performed by stepwise addition of the water-soluble spin label reagent gadodiamide (aqua[5,8-bis(carboxymethyl)-11-2-(methylamino)-2-oxo-
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ethyl]-3-oxo-2,5,8,11-tetraazaatridecan-13-oato (3-)­N⁵,N⁸,N¹¹,-O³,O⁵,O⁸,O¹¹,O¹³]gadolinium hydrate; trade name Omniscan, GE Healthcare) into the NMR sample to final concentrations of 0.25, 0.5, and 1.0 mM. A reference spectrum was recorded prior to the addition of spin label reagent. In both PRE studies, the PRE ¹H₂₋₂H₂ rates were determined from a two-time point (0.04 and 16 ms) interleaved measurement using a transverse relaxation-optimized spectroscopy-based experiment (69).

Analytical Ultracentrifugation—Sedimentation equilibrium (density matching protocol) experiments were performed at 37 °C on TM-LAMP-2A reconstituted in micelles using a Beckman XL-I analytical ultracentrifuge. To eliminate the contribution of the micelle to the buoyant molecular mass of the TM-LAMP-2A-micelle complex, experiments were carried out at a solvent density that was adjusted to equal that of the micelles (70, 71). This density adjustment was carried out by centrifugation of micelles in a buffer containing different percentages of deuterium oxide (D₂O) at three different centrifugal speeds (17,000, 22,000, and 32,000 rpm). The density match was calculated from a plot of the radial gradient of fringe displacement versus buffer density (71). The density matching protocol of sedimentation equilibrium analytical ultracentrifugation experiments to determine the oligomeric state was carried out at three different centrifugation speeds (17,000, 22,000, and 32,000 rpm) and at two sample concentrations (140 and 360 μM) to produce consistency in the results and allow for a global fit.

Chemical Cross-linking—Cross-linkers are either homo- or heterobifunctional reagents with reactive groups (identical or non-identical), allowing the establishment of intra- as well as intermolecular cross-linkages with the formation of covalent bonds (72). Intersubunit cross-link has been used for determination of quaternary structure and stoichiometry of the subunits within homo-oligomeric protein complexes. The chemical cross-linking experiment on TM-LAMP-2A (amino acids 360–410 having two primary amines, “Lys”) reconstituted in micelles was carried out with an amine-reactive imido ester cross-linker, dimethyl suberimidate (Thermo Scientific Inc.). In this reaction, 80 μM protein was treated with dimethyl suberimidate (20× molar excess) for 1 h at room temperature. The reaction was stopped by adding primary amine (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0) and incubating for 15 min at room temperature followed by adding 10 mM DTT to reduce the cysteine. The reaction mixture was analyzed using SDS-PAGE (silver staining protocol). The protocol used for this reaction was carried out according to the manufacturer (Thermo Scientific Inc.).

NMR Structure Calculation—The cross-peaks of NOEY spectra were identified and assigned, and the corresponding peak intensities were translated into a continuous distribution of ¹H₋₁H distances. The dihedral angles ϕ and ψ were calculated from the assigned backbone chemical shifts using the program TALOS. Generic hydrogen bond distance restraints were imposed for residues located at well defined α-helical regions. With the above restraints, the structure of TM-LAMP-2A was calculated using a simulated annealing protocol in which the bath temperature was cooled slowly from 3,500 to 298 K in the program Xplor-NIH (73). The final monomer structure calculation used 174 inter- and 134 intraresidual distance restraints, 34 hydrogen bond distance restraints, and 58 ϕ and 58 ψ dihedral angle restraints. For the oligomer structure calculation, a starting template of oligomer structure was generated using the lowest energy monomer structure and duplicated into respective subunits using Xplor-NIH (73). All the constraints used for monomer structure calculation were applied separately to the individual subunits to make them identical. Similarly the 15 intermolecular NOE restraints derived from the combination of ¹C-filtered NOEY and four-dimensional HCCH NOEY experiments were applied in a pairwise manner to all of the monomers to impose symmetry in calculating the structure of the oligomer. The non-crystallographic symmetry restraint was not used in the calculation.

RESULTS

Secondary Structure of Transmembrane Domain of LAMP-2A in DPC Micelles—The two-dimensional ¹⁵N,¹H HSQC spectrum of TM-LAMP-2A in the optimized NMR buffer showed varying peak intensities, suggesting that TM-LAMP-2A residues might experience different effective rotational correlation times likely due to their different states of association with the micelles. Analysis of the NMR data sets yielded near complete backbone and side chain resonance assignments of TM-LAMP-2A. Close to 90% of the backbone amides were assigned (Biological Magnetic Resonance Bank accession number 19941). The resonances of amides that were not assigned were either because of resonance overlap or they were too broad to be detected (residues Ser³⁶⁹, Ala³⁷⁰, Val³⁷⁷, Pro³⁷⁸, Val³⁸³, His⁴⁰³, and His⁴⁰⁸). The ¹H NMR resonances showed a small dispersion (≈1.2 ppm), indicating the presence of mostly helical and random coil conformations (Fig. 1A). The calculated chemical shift index from the assigned αα and Cβ secondary chemical shifts indicated the presence of an extended α-helical transmembrane domain (residues Ala³⁸⁶–Leu⁴⁰⁰), which is further corroborated by the interproton NOE connectivity data (Fig. 1B). The solvent PREs measured on the TM-LAMP-2A show that there is a significant increase in ¹H₂₋₂H₂ for the residues corresponding to the cytosolic tail and luminal domain, whereas the residues belonging to the transmembrane domain show less significant solvent PRE. The solvent PRE is a manifestation of the closest distance that the solvent molecule can get to an amide proton. Therefore, the lesser PRE observed for the transmembrane residues signifies that they are further away from the water interface and must be membrane-associated (Fig. 1C). Respondek et al. (74) have shown that for a helix in a micelle the PRE follows the periodicity of residues in the helix, going from a maximum PRE in the helix to a minimum every three residues. In our study, the PRE behaviors for those transmembrane residues (Ala³⁸⁶–Leu⁴⁰⁰) are also not uniform. Upon closer inspection, one could identify residues Ala³⁸³, Gly³⁸⁷, Leu³⁹³, and Ile³⁹⁸ to have higher PRE values than the rest. However, their periodicity is not the same as found in Respondek et al. (74), suggesting non-equal solvent environment on one face of the helix relative to the other. Although this inspection is qualitative, it does suggest the possibility that TM-LAMP-2A is not a monomer in the micelle.
Backbone Dynamics of the Transmembrane Domain of LAMP-2A in DPC Micelles—The backbone $^{15}$N $T_1$ and $T_2$ relaxations were measured for TM-LAMP-2A at 600-MHz proton resonance frequencies, and the results are shown in Fig. 2. The $^{15}$N $T_2$ relaxation data indicated that the helical transmembrane domain of LAMP-2A is rigid compared with its non-helical regions (Fig. 2B). This shows that the rigid helical transmembrane domain tumble together with the micelles. In contrast, the cytosolic tail is highly flexible with high $T_2$ and low $T_1$ values (Fig. 2, A and B). The average $T_1$ and $T_2$ relaxation times for the rigid helical transmembrane domain are 1153.5 ± 20.1 and 55.1 ± 2.9 ms, respectively. The variations in its $T_1/T_2$ ratios (Fig. 2C) indicated that the molecule is somewhat anisotropic in DPC micelles. The calculated optimal $\tau_c$ from $^{15}$N $T_1$ and $T_2$ corresponding to the transmembrane domain using model-free spectral densities is 14.4 ns, which corresponds to a molecular mass of ~29 kDa if one assumes a globular protein. This calculated molecular size is not in agreement with a TM-LAMP-2A monomer in a micelle considering a micelle size of 18.5 kDa (75). Note that the micelle size will vary depending on the composition of the detergent used in its preparation.

Characterization of the Oligomeric State of TM-LAMP-2A—To understand the function of membrane proteins such as LAMP-2A, it is very important to know the stoichiometry of their membrane-bound form over wide ranges of concentrations. To confirm our correlation time analysis using the NMR relaxation data, a dynamic light scattering measurement was carried out at 37 °C on the TM-LAMP-2A sample. An effective hydrodynamic radius of 2.4 nm was derived from the dynamic light scattering measurement. Using a Debye-Einstein relationship, a correlation time of 14.2 ns was calculated that corresponds to the above hydrodynamic radius. This is consistent with the NMR relaxation-derived correlation time. To determine how many monomers of TM-LAMP-2A are in a micelle, the effective size of the micelle alone was determined by dynamic light scattering to be about 16 kDa. This leads to an estimate of the total effective weight of the TM-LAMP-2A in a micelle of about 12.6 kDa, which corresponds to roughly three monomers.

Another biophysical measurement that can corroborate our estimation of the oligomeric state of TM-LAMP-2A is sedimentation equilibrium analytical centrifugation. To measure the effective size of TM-LAMP-2A alone, the contribution from the micelle to the overall size of the particle has to be taken into account. One way to achieve this is by creating a solvent condition that matches the density of the micelle so that it does not migrate. The density matching condition in our case was met when we mixed our buffer to consist of 43% D2O at 37 °C. The sedimentation equilibrium curve (Fig. 3A) obtained using the density matching protocol was best fit to an equilibrium between a monomer and a trimer (global $\chi^2 = 0.34$; Fig. 3B).
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The result of this fitting suggests a trimer (13.4 kDa) population of 67%, a monomer population of 32%, and a higher oligomer species (75.2 kDa) with a population of 1%. This result was found to be consistent over two different concentrations (140 and 365 μM) and at three different centrifugal speeds (17,000, 22,000, and 32,000 rpm).

The experimental results we have reported thus far only show that more than one TM-LAMP-2A monomer exist in a micelle. It does not prove that these monomers are tightly interacting with each other. To show that these TM-LAMP-2A molecules are not far apart from each other, we carried out a cross-linking experiment. SDS-PAGE of TM-LAMP-2A in a micelle following a cross-linking reaction using dimethyl suberimidate is shown in Fig. 3C. This SDS gel revealed a band corresponding to a trimer of the molecule. The same gel also showed bands following a cross-linking reaction using dimethyl suberimidate linking experiment. SDS-PAGE of TM-LAMP-2A in a micelle is shown in Fig. 3C. These bands appear to be due to the potential of different types of cross-linking to occur and efficiency of the cross-linker to link the different monomers.

Structure of Transmembrane Domain of LAMP-2A in DPC Micelles—Structures of TM-LAMP-2A were calculated using the following restraints: NOE-derived distances, TALOS-derived dihedral angles, and generic hydrogen bonds. The calculated 20 lowest energy TM-LAMP-2A structures (Protein Data Bank code 2MOM; Fig. 4, A and B) show a good convergence with a backbone root mean square deviation for the helical segment (residues Ala380–Leu400) with backbone root mean square deviation of 0.52 ± 0.14 Å, whereas its N terminus (residues Ser369–Ile379) and cytosolic tail (residues Lys401–Phe410) are flexible and solvent-exposed (Fig. 4A). Because we only observed one set of resonances from the oligomer, we have to assume and therefore impose symmetry in the structure calculation. Intermolecular distance restraints between LAMP-2A monomers were measured using a 13C-filtered NOESY experiment. Two representative strips of the 13C-filtered spectrum of LAMP-2A are shown in Fig. 4B. The oligomer structure of TM-LAMP-2A is composed of three molecules wrapped around each other to form a parallel coiled coil trimer. The calculated 20 lowest energy TM-LAMP-2A trimer structures (Protein Data Bank code 2MOM; Fig. 4, C and D) show a good convergence with a backbone root mean square deviation for the helical segment (residues Ala380–Leu400) of 0.67 ± 0.16 Å. No NOE contacts were observed for segments other than the transmembrane helix; therefore as expected the N-terminal and the cytosolic tails are still disordered in the trimer structure. The full structural statistics for the trimer describing the potential energies used in the calculation are shown in Table 1. In the oligomer structure, the individual subunits are closely packed (Fig. 4E) to form a conformation where the helices are oriented at 17° relative to each other. In addition, the N-terminal opening (diameter of 10.8 Å) in this three-helix bundle is slightly different from the cytosolic side (diameter of 7.4 Å). Interactions among residues Val381, Leu383, Val385, Leu389, Val392, Ala395, and Tyr396 stabilize the three-helix bundle conformation (Fig. 4D).

Finally, to validate our TM-LAMP-2A three-helix bundle structure, we carried out PRE measurement. This was performed on a sample where isotopically labeled 15N-labeled TM-LAMP-2A was mixed with unlabeled TM-LAMP-2A that contains a spin label attached to a cysteine at the N terminus. We measured close distances between the spin label on one TM-LAMP-2A monomer to specific residues in the other monomers by observing significant transverse relaxation enhancement of their amide proton resonances (1H–N–Γ₂). The PRE result is shown in Fig. 5A. Qualitatively, residues close to the N terminus show larger PRE. However, if the mixture of monomers was inhomogeneous or the conformation of our trimer structure is not the correct representative, such as the presence of a mixture of “head-head” and “head-tail” arrangement of the trimer, then a detailed spin label to proton distance versus PRE comparison will not be in good agreement. Therefore our PRE result was compared with the intermolecular distances from the structure of TM-LAMP-2A trimer that we determined. The PRE from a single spin label in the trimer will affect the other two monomers, and the measured PRE value will be the sum of effect experienced by the two monomers. The PRE was plotted against the sum of the inverted sixth power of
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the distances and is shown in Fig. 5B. The average distances were calculated from the 20 lowest energy structures to represent an ensemble. The observed good agreement is an independent cross-validation of our calculated structures of TM-LAMP-2A, and we could rule out the possibility of mixed symmetry in LAMP-2A. Interestingly, we also compared our PRE data against a calculated symmetric dimer or tetramer structure of LAMP-2A, and their agreements are much worse than the trimer structure (data not shown).

**FIGURE 3.** Sedimentation equilibrium of human TM domain of LAMP-2A in DPC micelles. A, the sedimentation curves obtained at centrifugation speeds of 17,000 (red), 22,000 (blue), and 32,000 (black) rpm (solid circles). These curves were collected with a protein concentration of 365 μM. The sedimentation equilibrium curves acquired with the density matching protocol were best fit to a monomer-trimer equilibrium (global χ² = 0.34), and the fitting result is shown as a solid line. B, the residuals of the above fitting routine. C, chemical cross-linking of TM-LAMP-2A characterized by SDS-PAGE using a silver staining protocol. The silver-stained SDS-polyacrylamide gel of the reference sample (left panel) and that of TM-LAMP-2A in the presence of chemical cross-linker dimethyl suberimidate (DMS) (right panel) are shown. Lane labeled M contains molecular mass markers.

**TM-LAMP-2A Interacts with Hsc70 and RNase A but Not with Hsp40 and RNase S Peptide**—The interactions between TM-LAMP-2A and other proteins were monitored by changes in its 1H and 15N NMR chemical shifts upon formation of a complex. In the presence of the substrate binding domain of Hsc70, only residues corresponding to the cytosolic tail of TM-LAMP-2A show significant CSPs (Fig. 6A). The CSPs are plotted as a function of residue numbers and are shown in Fig. 6B. The relative positions of those residues with significant CSPs...
in TM-LAMP-2A structure are shown in Fig. 6C. A similar pattern of chemical shift changes was also observed when a CMA substrate, RNase A, was added to TM-LAMP-2A (data not shown). In contrast, however, no CSPs in TM-LAMP-2A were observed upon addition of Hsp40 and RNase S peptide into the sample (data not shown). The amounts of chemical shift changes of different cytosolic residues of TM-LAMP-2A were plotted as a function of concentration of Hsc70 and RNase A in Fig. 6, D and E, respectively. These titration curves were fit to a two-state binding model (see “Experimental Procedures”), resulting in $K_d$ values of 49.9 ± 12.7 μM for Hsc70 and 87.5 ± 19.5 μM for RNase A interaction with TM-LAMP-2A. The dis-
The underlying molecular mechanism for substrate specificity and targeting to lysosomes in the CMA process is not clearly understood. To investigate the mechanism, we solved the NMR structure of the TM domain of LAMP-2A and characterized its interaction with chaperones and CMA substrates in DPC micelles. Our findings revealed the existence of LAMP-2A as a symmetric trimer. A number of key hydrophobic interactions stabilize this conformation. We did not observe any exchange between them in the micelles over a long period of time. In fact, we had to modify our sample preparation to create a mixed isotopically labeled TM-LAMP-2A (see “Experimental Procedures”). We believe this conformation is the stable, inactive conformation of TM-LAMP-2A. This oligomeric state is not altered in the presence of chaperones and CMA substrates because we did not observe any change in the NMR resonance line widths compared with the free TM-LAMP-2A.

The existence of inactive LAMP-2A as an oligomer reported previously (51, 52, 76) is in agreement with our structure of the TM-LAMP-2A trimer. Further formation of higher order oligomer of LAMP-2A upon CMA activation is one of the important steps to translocate substrates into lysosomes for degradation (51, 52). Mutation of two glycine residues into alanines in the TM region of LAMP-2A (GG/AA) alters the oligomerization state, and thus the cells expressing the GG/AA LAMP-2A mutant showed significantly lower ability to translocate and degrade the substrates via CMA (52, 77). In our structure of TM-LAMP-2A, the glycine residues in the TM region are outside of the core of the trimer. Therefore the reported result of glycine to alanine mutation in the TM region would suggest that higher order oligomers of LAMP-2A are formed by bringing together multiple trimer building blocks through their lipid-interacting surface to form a membrane-translocating pore.

The cytosolic tail of LAMP-2A is the only part of the protein that is initially accessible to CMA substrates. Therefore, substrate affinity and specificity must be defined through this LAMP-2A tail. Indeed, the observed NMR chemical shift changes in TM-LAMP-2A upon addition of Hsc70 and RNase A were confined to residues in its short cytosolic tail. Our data also indicated that the chaperone Hsc70 and CMA substrate RNase A bind to the cytosolic tail of LAMP-2A with similar affinity, whereas the co-chaperone Hsp40 does not interact with LAMP-2A. Therefore, the LAMP-2A cytosolic tail provides a measure of specificity toward CMA substrate. This step was shown to be a rate-limiting step in the CMA process (53).

At first glance, it appears somewhat contradictory that CMA, a specific biological transport system, utilizes Hsc70, a chaperone known to bind a large number of cytosolic proteins, as a substrate recruiter, whereas the transport receptor, LAMP-2A, has similar affinity for the CMA substrate and Hsc70. This paradox is also compounded by the fact that the chaperone Hsc70 is also a CMA substrate. A clear argument, however, can be made why the above observations make sense based on our association constant found for RNase A is consistent with the value measured using isolated lysosome published previously (43).

**DISCUSSION**

The cytosolic tail of LAMP-2A is the only part of the protein that is initially accessible to CMA substrates. Therefore, substrate affinity and specificity must be defined through this LAMP-2A tail. Indeed, the observed NMR chemical shift changes in TM-LAMP-2A upon addition of Hsc70 and RNase A were confined to residues in its short cytosolic tail. Our data also indicated that the chaperone Hsc70 and CMA substrate RNase A bind to the cytosolic tail of LAMP-2A with similar affinity, whereas the co-chaperone Hsp40 does not interact with LAMP-2A. Therefore, the LAMP-2A cytosolic tail provides a measure of specificity toward CMA substrate. This step was shown to be a rate-limiting step in the CMA process (53).

At first glance, it appears somewhat contradictory that CMA, a specific biological transport system, utilizes Hsc70, a chaperone known to bind a large number of cytosolic proteins, as a substrate recruiter, whereas the transport receptor, LAMP-2A, has similar affinity for the CMA substrate and Hsc70. This paradox is also compounded by the fact that the chaperone Hsc70 is also a CMA substrate. A clear argument, however, can be made why the above observations make sense based on our association constant found for RNase A is consistent with the value measured using isolated lysosome published previously (43).

**TABLE 1**

**Structural statistics of an ensemble of 20 lowest energy structures of TM domain of human LAMP-2A trimer in DPC micelles (Protein Data Bank code 2MOM) derived from Xplor-NIH and PSVS 1.5**

| RMSD, root mean square deviation. |
|----------------------------------|
| Distance restraints (Å)           |
| NOE (342 × 3)                    | 0.126 ± 0.007 |
| Intraresidual NOE (134 × 3)      | 0.051 ± 0.005 |
| Sequential NOE (|i−|j| = 1) (121 × 3) | 0.042 ± 0.007 |
| Medium range (2 ≤ |i−|j| ≤ 5) (53 × 3) | 0.052 ± 0.009 |
| Inter-molecular NOE (15 × 3)     | 0.099 ± 0.042 |
| H-Bonds (34 × 3)                 | 0.004 ± 0.003 |
| Dihedral angle restraints (*)     | 0.085 ± 0.019 |
| φ (58 × 3)                       | 0.032 ± 0.018 |
| ψ (58 × 3)                       | 0.139 ± 0.056 |

Deviations from idealized covalent geometry:

| Bonds (Å) (648 × 3)              | 0.003 ± 0.000 |
| Angle (°) (1173 × 3)             | 0.434 ± 0.005 |
| Improper (°) (351 × 3)           | 0.231 ± 0.007 |

Coordinate precision RMSD:

| All backbone atoms (Å)            | 3.8 ± 1.54 |
| All heavy atoms (Å)               | 4.6 ± 1.96 |
| Ramachandran plot                 |
| Residues in most favored regions  | 98.1 ± 3.17% |
| Residues in additional allowed region | 3.6 ± 1.09% |
| Residues in generously allowed regions | 0.0% |
| Residues in disallowed regions    | 0.0% |

**A** Total number of restraints.

**B** Residues in regular secondary structure: 380 – 400.

**FIGURE 5.** A, the PRE from mixing the spin probe attached to unlabeled TM-LAMP-2A with 15N-labeled TM-LAMP-2A was determined by measuring the change in relaxation rates, $1HN-2_{H9003}$, relative to a sample not containing the spin label. The enhanced $1HN-2_{H9003}$ indicates the tight association of the TM-LAMP-2A monomers in the micelles. B, correlation between $1HN-2_{H9003}$ and the calculated sum of inversed sixth power distances from individual backbone amide proton from each monomer to the spin label based on the NMR-derived trimer structure of TM-LAMP-2A. Error bars correspond to reproducibilities from two different data sets.
TM-LAMP-2A structure, which revealed three cytosolic tails of LAMP-2A that are dynamic, exposed, and in close proximity to each other. The chaperone Hsc70 binds cytosolic proteins that potentially can be CMA substrates by recognizing a KFERQ motif sequence of residues. This recognition sequence is not highly specific, and in fact, any sequence that is chemically similar will be recognized (42). This interaction provides some specificity but is not the sole determinant of CMA substrate recognition. If the Hsc70/H18528 substrate complex binds to the LAMP-2A trimer with each component interacting with one LAMP-2A cytosolic tail, this would result in a higher effective affinity than each binding individually. This type of bimodal interaction would also result in a much higher specificity for CMA substrate. Only those proteins that have binding affinity for both Hsc70 (through a KFERQ-like motif) and the cytosolic tail of LAMP-2A will be selected for CMA degradation. For this to occur, the interaction sites of Hsc70 and LAMP-2A on the substrate must be distinct.

Previous work has shown that RNase S peptide having the KFERQ motif inhibits the CMA activity (46, 78–80), and our result shows that RNase S peptide does not bind to the cytosolic tail of LAMP-2A. Taken together, these results imply that the binding sites for Hsc70 and LAMP-2A on RNase A do not overlap, and therefore RNase S peptide inhibition of CMA must be established through Hsc70 sequestration from recruiting proper substrates. We therefore propose a hypothesis where substrate recognition in the cytosol by Hsc70 and lysosomal membrane targeting, the two initial steps in the CMA process,
must be coupled. This coupling between Hsc70 binding of substrate and their simultaneous interaction with the cytosolic tail of LAMP-2A is key in providing specificity in the CMA process. In addition to the above role, Hsc70 binding to the LAMP-2A cytosolic tail ensures there is a population of this chaperone around the receptor to prevent aggregation as well as to promote the unfolding of protein substrates that is required for translocation (46, 52, 81).

The active form of LAMP-2A was associated with the formation of a higher ordered oligomer complex involving multiple LAMP-2A and other regulator proteins, such as Hsp70-interacting protein, Hsp70-Hsp90 organizing protein, BAG-1, Hsp40, and Hsp90 (46). It is still not clear what the key molecular trigger might be that activates the CMA process. The present study only used the substrate binding domain of Hsc70, and it is possible the Hsc70 nucleotide binding domain could play some role in CMA. It is also not very well understood what roles the luminal domains of LAMP-2A play in the translocation of substrates through the lysosomal membrane. For the luminal domain of LAMP-2A to be actively involved in the substrate translocation, information about substrate binding on its cytosolic side must be transferred to the luminal side. This process must involve the transmembrane domain. However, we did not observe any change in the chemical shifts of residues in the transmembrane domain when Hsc70 or RNase A binds the cytosolic tail of LAMP-2A. This showed that there was no conformational rearrangement of the TM-LAMP-2A associated with substrate binding. Therefore the rearrangement must occur in the higher oligomeric form of LAMP-2A and not within its inactive trimer form. Alternatively, the other regulatory proteins involved in the CMA complex might drive this process.

In summary, our data collectively suggest that substrate specificity and affinity in the CMA process are due to the coupling between chaperone Hsc70 recognition of the substrate and their simultaneous interaction with the LAMP-2A cytosolic tail. The presence of Hsc70 molecules at the same site as substrate on LAMP-2A also serves to prevent aggregation and to promote unfolding of the substrate prior to translocation across the membrane. This coupled interaction mechanism could be a general mechanism in other transport machinery (such as mitochondrial or endoplasmic reticulum) because they share the same chaperone and co-chaperone proteins as regulatory factors.
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