Cu₃P/RGO Nanocomposite as a New Anode for Lithium-Ion Batteries
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Cu₃P/reduced graphene oxide (Cu₃P/RGO) nanocomposite was successfully synthesized by a facile one-pot method as an advanced anode material for high-performance lithium-ion batteries. Cu₃P nanostructures with a polyhedral shape with the mean diameter (80–100 nm) were homogeneously anchored on the surface of RGO. The flexible RGO sheets acted as elastic buffering layer which not only reduced the volume change, but also prevented the aggregation of Cu₃P nanostructures, the cracking and crumbling of electrodes. On the other hand, the presence of Cu₃P nanostructures could also avoid the agglomeration of RGO sheets and retain their highly active surface area. Therefore, as an advanced anode material for high-performance lithium-ion batteries, the as-prepared Cu₃P/RGO exhibited high capacity of 756.15 mAhg⁻¹ at the current density 500 mAg⁻¹ after 80 cycles, superior cyclic stability and good rate capability.

Lithium-ion rechargeable batteries (LIBs) have currently become the research focus on the increasing demand for portable electronic devices (e.g., mobile phones and laptops) in our daily life. However, currently used anode material such as commercial graphite exhibits a relatively low theoretical capacity of 372 mAhg⁻¹, which leads to a limited energy output of LIBs¹. To meet the ever-growing performance requirements for practical application, many efforts have been spent on seeking alternative anode materials. To date, some new anode materials have also been reported, such as metal oxides²,³, non metal⁴, and metal phosphides⁵–⁸.

Among these anode materials, transition metal phosphides (M-P, where M = Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, etc.) have attracted increasing attention owing to their high gravimetric and volumetric capacity associated with the low polarization and good cycling stability². Copper phosphide is one of the most important transition metal phosphides, and its gravimetric capacity is closed to that of graphite. Its volumetric capacity is almost three times higher than that of graphite (3020 mA h·cm⁻³ for Cu₃P and 830 mA h·cm⁻³ for graphite). However, similar to transition metal oxides, it usually suffers from rapid capacity fading, limited cycling life, and poor high-rate performance because of the intrinsic volume effect¹⁰–¹² and poor conductivity during the lithium insertion/extraction process. To address the problem, a variety of appealing strategies have been utilized to alleviate these intractable problems. Size miniaturization and control of morphology had proved to effectively enhance the electrochemical performance, such as hierarchical dendrites¹³,¹⁴, and hexagonal plate-like¹⁵,¹⁶. Additionally, combining highly conductive carbon materials is also beneficial to enhance average electronic conductivity of active material, providing conductive electronic wiring between the active particles and current collector¹⁷. Graphene is the most popular and intriguing two-dimensional carbon material due to its superior electrical conductivity, large surface area, chemical stability, and structural flexibility¹⁸–²¹. More importantly, graphene can also be used in nanocomposites with transition metal phosphate nanoparticles (NPs) to improve the electrochemical performance of these particles²². The graphene could not only provide support for anchoring well-dispersed NPs, increasing conductivity and surface area of the electrodes, but also can effectively prevent the volume expansion/contraction and aggregation of NPs during Li charge/discharge process²². Meanwhile, the anchoring of NPs on graphene can effectively reduce the degree of restacking of graphene²³ sheets and consequently keep their highly active surface area, and increase lithium storage capacity and cyclic performance of graphene-based material to some extent. Therefore, it is expected that the anchoring of Cu₃P nanostructure on graphene can efficiently improve the electrochemical activity of LIBs and obtain the nanocomposite with superior lithium storage properties.
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Herein, we report a facile strategy to synthesize Cu$_3$P/RGO nanocomposite as an advanced anode material for high performance LIBs. The as-prepared Cu$_3$P/RGO nanocomposite (Cu$_3$P nanostructures in polyhedral shape homogeneously anchored on the RGO sheets) has a high capacity of 756.15 mAh g$^{-1}$ at the current density 500 mAg$^{-1}$ after 80 cycles, which is higher than the previous reported 315 mAh g$^{-1}$ of Sn$_4$P$_3$ after 200 cycles at a rate of 200 mAg$^{-1}$, 224 mAh g$^{-1}$ after 10 cycles of Cu$_3$P and 736.8 mAh g$^{-1}$ of CuO NSs/RGO (CuO nanosheets/reduced-graphene oxide nanocomposite) after 50 cycles at rate of 0.1 C (67 mAg$^{-1}$)24–26. Superior cyclic stability, and rate capability, which may be a promising electrode material and applied in the energy storage of high-performance lithium-ion batteries. 

Results and Discussion

Figure 1 describes the experimental procedure of the preparation of Cu$_3$P/RGO nanocomposite by a simple solvent method. First of all, the surfaces of the as-prepared graphene oxide (GO) sheets were negatively charged. After cetyltrimethyl ammonium bromide (CTAB) was added, the zeta potential of graphene oxide was modified from negative to positive (see Supplementary Fig. S1). CTAB can also partially reduce the GO nanosheets27. Besides, Cu$^{2+}$ could form [Cu(NH$_3$)$_4$]$^{2+}$ in the alkaline ammonia system and then gradually released the free Cu$^{2+}$ as the reactions were initiated. The released Cu$^{2+}$ would react with PH$_3$ (originated from the disproportionation of phosphorus) to produce Cu$_3$P nanoparticles (NPs) and then grow into polyhedral nanostructures. Furthermore, the surfaces of these polyhedral nanostructures had negative charges (see Supplementary Fig. S1). Due to the electrostatic interaction, the as-obtained Cu$_3$P nanostructures with negative charge were strongly anchored on the modified surface of GO and then formed Cu$_3$P/RGO nanocomposites. The nanocomposite had a high structure stability (Cu$_3$P nanostructures still anchored on the surface of RGO sheets) even after a long sonication process (in order to disperse the nanocomposite in ethanol for TEM observation), which indicates the strong interaction between Cu$_3$P nanostructures and RGO sheets. The whole reactions in the solvothermal system can be described in the following Equations (1–4).

\[
P_4 + 12H_2O \rightarrow 3H_3PO_4 + PH_3 \quad (1)
\]

\[
P_4 + 6H_3PO_4 + 6H_2O \rightarrow 10H_4PO_3 \quad (2)
\]

\[
4H_3PO_3 \rightarrow PH_3 + 3H_3PO_4 \quad (3)
\]

\[
3Cu^{2+} + 2PH_3 \rightarrow Cu_3P + P + 6H^+ \quad (4)
\]

XRD patterns were used to characterize the crystal structures of GO, Cu$_3$P, RGO and Cu$_3$P/RGO nanocomposite, and the results are shown in Fig. 2. The characteristic diffraction peak GO (inset in Fig. 2) at 10.4° corresponds to an interlayer spacing of 0.850 nm28, which indicates that GO has been successfully synthesized. After the hydrothermal reaction, this peak disappears following by the presence of a new broad diffraction peak at approximately 24.4° with an interlayer spacing of 0.365 nm28, which could be referred to the (002) diffraction of RGO. This could also prove the GO has been reduced to RGO under the hydrothermal treatment. The phase purity of both the as-prepared Cu$_3$P nanostructure and Cu$_3$P/RGO nanocomposite has also been investigated; all the diffraction peaks can be perfectly assigned to hexagonal Cu$_3$P (ICPDS card no. 71–2261) except the weak peak of RGO. The presence of the RGO weak peak indicates that the restacking of RGO nanosheets is prevented by the attached Cu$_3$P nanostructures. Supplementary Fig. S2 shows the corresponding EDS microanalysis of the as-prepared Cu$_3$P/RGO nanocomposite. The result confirms the coexistence of Cu, P, O, and C in the Cu$_3$P/RGO nanocomposite, which is in pretty good agreement with the XRD result. Furthermore compared with 1:1 in GO (from XPS and EDS of Supplementary Figs S3 and S4), the ratio of C and O in the Cu$_3$P/RGO product is nearly 11:1, which shows a large number of oxygen-containing functional groups have been removed after chemical
reduction. As the RGO sheet has excellent electronic conductivity, it may serve as the conductive channels between Cu₃P nanostructures and is favorable for stabilizing the electronic and ionic conductivity as a result29.

Figure 3 shows the Raman spectra of GO, RGO and Cu₃P/RGO nanocomposite, respectively. There are two distinct peaks of the carbon material corresponding to two different vibration modes of atoms. The peak at 1350 cm⁻¹ for D band indicates the breathing mode of κ-point photon of A₁g symmetry while that at 1575 cm⁻¹ for G band corresponds to the first order scattering of the E₂g phonon of sp² C atoms30. In this system, there are two prominent peaks at 1348 cm⁻¹ and 1591 cm⁻¹ for the Cu₃P/RGO nanocomposite, which can be accordingly assigned to the D and G bands of RGO31. Also among the two bands, the G band shift in carbon-based nano-composites relates to the charge transfer between the carbon and other compounds present32–34. Therefore, the observed shift by 4 cm⁻¹ from 1587 cm⁻¹ (RGO) to 1591 cm⁻¹ (Cu₃P/RGO nanocomposite) indicates the presence of charge transfer from RGO to Cu₃P nanostructures. The charge transfer can also be supported by the result of XPS analysis (Supplementary Fig. S3). Meanwhile, it is also found that the Cu₃P/RGO nanocomposite display relatively higher intensity ratio of D to G band (1.07) than that of GO (0.86). This further confirms that GO is reduced to RGO after the solvothermal process35. Except these two peaks, two other Raman peaks located at 271 and 611 cm⁻¹ correspond to the typical peaks of Cu₃P. In addition, the peak at about 2680 cm⁻¹ of GO is assigned to 2D band resulted from two phonon double resonance Raman process. The single RGO and RGO of the composite show a broader peak demonstrating that the present RGO is in few-layer form. Atomic Force Microscope (AFM, Fig. 3b) analysis also confirms that the thickness of graphene oxide is about 6.55 nm and there are about 3-4 layers that belong to the few-layer-graphene oxide. Figure 3c shows Fourier transform infrared spectrum (FTIR) of GO and RGO. GO shows a strong peak at 3436 cm⁻¹ related to water O-H stretching vibration. The presence of other oxygen containing functional groups in GO gives rise to major peaks at 1068 cm⁻¹ (C-O stretching), 1157 cm⁻¹ (epoxy group), 1641 cm⁻¹ (skeletal vibrations from unoxidized graphitic domains). But after the solvothermal process, the strong broad peak from O-H becomes weak and shows a blue shift, and other peaks have all disappeared, which further proves GO being reduced which is in agreement with the result of Raman spectra.

The microstructure of the Cu₃P/RGO nanocomposite was examined by field emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM), transmission electron microscopy (TEM), selected area electron diffraction (SAED) and high-resolution transmission electron microscope (HRTEM) (Fig. 4). FESEM image (see Fig. 4a) further proves the polyhedral characteristics of Cu₃P nanostructures. From the low-magnification TEM image (see Fig. 4b), it can also be seen that all the Cu₃P nanostructures are anchored on the RGO sheets and there is no individual nanostructures. Closer observation (the insert image) reveals these nanostructures are polyhedral with almost no aggregation. The morphology and size of nanostructures are consistent with that of the SEM observation. The corresponding SAED pattern (see Fig. 4c) suggests the polycrystalline nature of Cu₃P nanostructures in the Cu₃P/RGO nanocomposite. These ring patterns can be separately assigned to the (300), (214), and (322) reflections of the hexagonal Cu₃P (JCPDS no. 71–2261), which are consistent with the XRD results. The HRTEM image (see Fig. 4d) displays the high-crystalline of nanostructures. The lattice fringe spacing between two adjacent crystal planes of the nanostructures is determined to be 0.20 nm and can be well indexed as the (300) lattice plane of hexagonal structure Cu₃P, which right meets the XRD (see Fig. 2) and SAED results (see Fig. 4c).

It is well known that the molar ratio of the components in composite can always affect the properties of the as-prepared composite. As a result, three samples with different RGO content was prepared to optimize the product. To be specific, GO was added as follows: 7.5 mg (sample I), 10 mg (sample II) and 12.5 mg (sample III). Figure 5 shows the cycle performance of the three samples. At the current density of 500 mAg⁻¹ and after 60 cycles, the reversible capacity of sample I was 438.53 mAhg⁻¹ while they were 799.28 mAhg⁻¹ for sample II
and 534.90 mAhg$^{-1}$ for sample III. After comparison, the above results suggest that the optimized RGO content in the nanocomposite was 10 mg. And then the electrochemical performances of Cu$_3$P nanostructures, RGO and Cu$_3$P/RGO nanocomposite (sample II) were first evaluated by galvanostatic charge/discharge measurements in the voltage range of 0.01–3.0 V at a current density of 500 mAg$^{-1}$ (see Fig. 6), respectively. As shown in Fig. 6, the
first discharge capacities of Cu₃P, RGO and Cu₃P/RGO nanocomposite are 511.21, 1730.58 and 1244.69 mAhg⁻¹, respectively. It is suggested that the irreversible capacity between the first discharge and charge is mainly due to the solid electrolyte interface (SEI) film which forms during the low potential range³⁶. At the second cycle, Cu₃P/RGO

Figure 4. (a) FESEM image, (b) TEM image, (c) SAED pattern, and (d) HRTEM image of Cu₃P/RGO nanocomposite.

Figure 5. Cycle performance of sample I, sample II, sample III.
nanocomposite demonstrates much better electrochemical lithium storage performance than Cu₃P electrode. After ten charge/discharge cycles, it shows a high reversible capacity of about 800 mAhg⁻¹. RGO displays a larger first charge-discharge capacity, however, it expresses a low initial coulombic efficiency (about 58.69%) and quick reversible capacity lost after 10th cycle (see Fig. 6b). Similarly, the reversible capacity of Cu₃P rapidly decreases to 308.58 mAhg⁻¹ with a low coulombic efficiency of 93.7% and then increases to 97.3% after 50th cycle (see Fig. 6a). As a comparison, the coulombic efficiency of Cu₃P/RGO nanocomposite at the first cycle is 70.68% and it rises rapidly to 97.9% in tenth cycle and keeps above 98% in the following cycles (see Fig. 6c), showing that the irreversible loss is diminishing rapidly upon cycling. Moreover, from Fig. 6a,c, the small voltage plateaus for Cu₃P and Cu₃P/RGO at 1.30 and 1.5 V can be assigned to the insertion of a small amount of lithium. And their long flat voltage plateaus are also observed at 0.75 and 0.77 V, respectively, which can be attributed to the reaction of Equation (5).

\[
\text{Cu}_3\text{P} + 3\text{Li} \rightarrow \text{Li}_3\text{P} + 3\text{Cu} \tag{5}
\]

However, the Cu₃P/RGO nanocomposite has a longer and more stable discharge platform than the single-component Cu₃P nanostructures and other Cu₃P morphology such as hollow spheres, indicating that RGO reduces the volume effect (generated by Cu₃P nanostructures) efficiently. It is evidenced that the excellent electrical properties of RGO improve the conductivity of Cu₃P/RGO nanocomposite, shortening the distance of lithium-ion transmission and improve the diffusion rate of lithium-ion deintercalation process. No obvious voltage plateau is observed for RGO (see Fig. 6b).

Figure 6d presents cyclic voltammograms (CV) of the Cu₃P/RGO nanocomposite electrodes. Two cathodic peaks are observed at 0.77 and 1.5 V in the first cycle, corresponding to a multi-step electrochemical reduction (lithium) reaction of Cu₃P with Li, which matches well with the voltage plateaus in the charge/discharge profiles. The main anodic peak appeared at 1.25 V is ascribed to the oxidation (delithiation) reaction of Cu₃P. The formation of Cu and Li₃P and the re-formation of Cu₃P can be described by the Equation (6).

\[
\text{Cu}_3\text{P} + 3\text{Li} \leftrightarrow \text{Li}_3\text{P} + 3\text{Cu} \tag{6}
\]

The main reduction peak is shifted to 0.90 V after the initial cycle, indicating the effect of SEI film formed during the first cycle, the intensity and integral areas of the peak of the third cycle are close to that of the fourth one. This consequence indicates that the electrochemical reversibility of Cu₃P/RGO is gradually built after the second cycle.

**Figure 6.** The 1st, 2nd, 10th, 50th, 100th charge/discharge curves of (a) Cu₃P, (b) RGO and (c) Cu₃P/RGO nanocomposite at a current density of 500 mAg⁻¹. (d) Cyclic voltammograms of Cu₃P/RGO nanocomposite at a scanning rate of 0.2 mV/s.
Figure 7a,b show the cycle performance of Cu3P, RGO, Cu3P/RGO nanocomposite at a current density of (a) 100 mAg⁻¹, (b) 500 mAg⁻¹. Rate capability of the Cu3P/RGO nanocomposite and Cu3P (c) at various current densities between 100 and 1600 mAg⁻¹. (d) SEM of Cu3P/RGO nanocomposite after cycling. (e) Nyquist plots of Cu3P/RGO nanocomposite and Cu3P electrodes.

Table 1. Comparison of Various materials for LIBs.

| materials                      | Current density (mAg⁻¹) | Cycle number | Specific capacity (mAhg⁻¹) |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|----------------------------|
| Sn₄P₃                          | 200                     | 200          | 315²⁴                      |
| Ni₃P/graphene sheet hybrid     | 54                      | 50           | 449.9⁵                    |
| Cu₃P                          | 224                     | 10           | 224²⁵                      |
| Cu₃P/carbon                    | 179                     | 100          | 630⁴⁶                      |
| SiCN–graphene composite       | 40                      | 100          | 472²⁴                      |
| Cu₃P/RGO nanocomposite        | 500                     | 80           | 756(this work)            |

Figure 7a,b show the cycle performance of Cu₃P, RGO, and Cu₃P/RGO nanocomposite at the current density of 100 and 500 mAg⁻¹. Obviously, Cu₃P/RGO shows a much better cycling performance and stability than Cu₃P and RGO. At a current density of 500 mAg⁻¹, the reversible capacity of Cu₃P and RGO drops from 356.65 and 1015.72 mAhg⁻¹ to 158.36 and 636.47 mAhg⁻¹ respectively, corresponding to 44.40% and 62.66% capacity retention based on the second discharge cycle. As a comparison, Cu₃P/RGO exhibits a high reversible capacity after the initial discharge for 756.15 mAhg⁻¹ corresponding to 85.95% capacity retention. This capacity is preferable compared with the values reported previously. (see Table 1) Considering the electrochemical performances of RGO and Cu₃P, the capacity retention of Cu₃P/RGO may be related with the interfacial interaction between RGO and Cu₃P, which can possibly promote the quick transfer of electron between RGO and Cu₃P and leads to the higher capacity and stability. In addition, the rate performances of the Cu₃P/RGO nanocomposite and Cu₃P are also examined by charging/discharging the cells at different current densities from 100 to 1600 mAg⁻¹ and back to 100 mAg⁻¹ for 11 cycle interval each (see Fig. 7c, Supplementary Table S1). Apparently, although both Cu₃P/RGO and Cu₃P electrode restore their original capacity or even a little bit higher when the rate returns to the initial 100 mAg⁻¹ after 55 cycles (887.52 mAhg⁻¹ for Cu₃P/RGO and 195.07 mAg⁻¹ for Cu₃P), Cu₃P/RGO nanocomposite shows much better rate capability than that of the Cu₃P electrode operated at various rates between 100 and 1600 mAg⁻¹, indicating its high reversibility and excellent cyclability. The excellent high-rate performance may be attributed to the unique structure of Cu₃P/RGO nanocomposite, in which RGO sheets and Cu₃P nanostructure are directly connected, improving the electrical conductivity of the electrode. But it is known that the morphology can always affect their property of nanomaterials. So the morphology of Cu₃P nanostructures after cycling was further investigated (see Fig. 7d). It is easy to find that the morphology and size of Cu₃P nanostructures are almost unchanged, and there is no apparent aggregation, which may be one of reasons of good cycling performance.

To further explore contribution of RGO to the electrochemical performance of Cu₃P/RGO nanocomposite, the electrochemical impedance spectroscopic analysis for the half cell was carried out (see Fig. 7e). The semicircle at high-medium frequency represents the charge transfer resistance. As shown in Fig. 7e, the Nyquist plots for Cu₃P/RGO nanocomposite shows smaller semicircle diameters than Cu₃P, indicating lower charge transfer resistance.
resistance. The EIS data demonstrates that a good interaction between RGO sheets and Cu₃P nanostructures in the nanocomposite. RGO's conductive network significantly facilitate charge transfer and reduce the overall internal resistance of the cell³⁹, which accounts for its stable and improved cycling and rate performances. Cu₃P nanostructures anchor homogeneously on the RGO sheets with a flexible two-dimensional structure. This flexible structure is an elastic buffer space, which not only can accommodate the volume expansion effect of Cu₃P nanostructures during the Li insertion/extraction, but also can prevent the aggregation of Cu₃P nanostructures and the cracking and crumbling of electrode⁴⁰,⁴¹. Instead, the presence of Cu₃P nanostructures avoids effectively the agglomeration of RGO sheets, which further keeps its high active surface area. The diffusion of Li-ion depends on the transport length and the active site of material⁴². The high active surface area of as-prepared Cu₃P/RGO nanocomposite can shorten path length for Li⁺ transport and provide more accessible active site for the Li-ion diffusion of the battery reactions, which leads to improved electrochemical performance of the as-prepared Cu₃P/RGO nanocomposite in LIBs.

Conclusions
In this report, the Cu₃P/RGO nanocomposite was synthesized by a one-pot solvothermal method. Cu₃P with polyhedral nanostructures were found to anchor homogeneously on the surface of RGO sheets. The as-prepared Cu₃P/RGO nanocomposite exhibits a high initial discharge capacity of 1244.7 mAh g⁻¹ at a current density of 500 mA g⁻¹, good rate capability and superior cyclic performance and so on, which may be applied in the energy storage of high-performance lithium-ion batteries.

Methods
Materials. All the chemical reagents were analytical pure grade and were used without further treatment.

Synthesis of Cu₃P/RGO Nanocomposite. The GO in this work was synthesized from the natural graphite power by a modified Hummer's method as described elsewhere⁴³. An appropriate amount of GO (10 mg) was added into 40 ml ammonia liquor (28%) and a homogeneous suspension was obtained after ultrasonication, which was then transferred into a Teflon-lined autoclave of 50 ml capacity. Cetyltrimethyl ammonium bromide (CTAB, 0.05 g) and copper chloride dehydrate (CuCl₂·2H₂O, 0.05 g) were added under stirring. After the mixture became a homogeneous suspension, yellow phosphorus (YP, 0.1 g) was added. The autoclave was sealed and maintained at 140 °C for 12 h, then cooled to room temperature naturally. The resulting black precipitate was separated by centrifugation and washed respectively with distilled water, benzene and absolute ethanol. Finally, the as-prepared products were dried in a vacuum at 60 °C for 6 h and collected for characterization for the next step.

Characterization. The X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) patterns of the as-prepared products were recorded by a Japan Rigaku D/Max-3c X-ray diffraction solutions with a Cu Kα radiation (λ=1.5418 Å). The crystal structure, surface morphology and particle size of the as-prepared products were examined by field emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM, Hitachi S-4800, Japan) with an energy dispersive spectrometer (EDS), transmission electron microscopy (TEM), high-resolution transmission electron microscope (HRTEM) and selected area electron diffraction (SAED) on a FEI Tecnai G² F20 apparatus with an accelerating voltage of 200 kV. Raman spectra were measured on a LABRAM-HR laser confocal microRaman, spectrometer X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) on an AXIS SUPRA (Kratos).

Electrochemical Testing. The electrochemical response of the samples was performed in two-electrode cells⁴⁴. Working electrodes were prepared by mixing active material (as-prepared sample) with conductivity agent (carbon black) and poly (vinylidene difluoride) in a solvent (N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone) in the weight ratio of 8:1:1. The mixture was pasted on Cu foil as the electrode after ball milling for 2 h. This electrode sheet was dried in vacuum at 110 °C for 2 h and then cut into disk shape. The active material of every working electrode is between 1 mg and 1.5 mg. Celgard 2340 was used as a separator and the electrolyte used was 1 M solution of LiPF₆ in ethylene carbonate (EC)/diethylene carbonate (DEC)/ethyl methyl carbonate (EMC) (1:1:1, v/v/v). Li metal foil was used as counter electrode. CR2016 coin cell was assembled in an argon-filled dry box. The galvanostatic charge-discharge and rate capability tests were carried out on LAND CT2001A system. CV measurements were carried out using a Solartron 1287 electrochemical workstation at a scanning rate of 0.2 mV/s. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurements were performed on this apparatus from a 1 Hz to 100 kHz frequency range with a 5 mV amplitude.

References
1. Tarascon, J. M. & Armand, M. review article Issues and challenges facing rechargeable lithium batteries. Nature 414, 359–367 (2001).
2. Li, Z. et al. Sandwich-Structured Graphene-Fe₃O₄@Carbon Nanocomposites for High-Performance Lithium-Ion Batteries. Adv Mater Interfaces 7, 9709–9715 (2020).
3. Yang, X. et al. Electric Papers of Graphene-Coated Co₃O₄ Fibers for High-Performance Lithium-Ion Batteries. Acs Appl Mater Interfaces 7, 9977–9982 (2013).
4. Zhou, W. et al. Amylopectin wrapped graphene oxide/sulfur for improved cyclability of lithium-sulfur battery. Acs Nano 7, 8801–8808 (2013).
5. Li, W. J., Yang, Q. R., Chou, S. L., Wang, J. Z. & Liu, H. K. Cobalt phosphate as a new anode material for sodium storage. J Power Sources 299, 627–632 (2015).
6. Bichat, M. P. et al. Cu₃P as anode material for lithium ion battery: powder morphology and electrochemical performances. J Power Sources 136, 80–87 (2004).
7. Pfeffer, H. et al. Air stable copper phosphate (Cu₃P): a possible negative electrode material for lithium batteries. Electrochem Commun 6, 263–267 (2004).
8. Stan, M. C. et al. Cu,P Binary Phosphide: Synthesis via a Wet Mechanochemical Method and Electrochemical Behavior as Negative Electrode Material for Lithium-Ion Batteries. Adv. Energy Mater. 3, 231–238 (2013).
9. Goriparti, S. et al. Review on recent progress of nanostructured anode materials for Li-ion batteries. J. Power Sources 257, 421–443 (2014).
10. Cabana, J., Moncouti, L., Larcher, D. & Palacin, M. R. Beyond Intercalation-Based Li-Ion Batteries: The State of the Art and Challenges of Electrode Materials Reacting Through Conversion Reactions. Adv. Mater. 22, E170–E192 (2010).
11. Malini, R., Uma, U., Sheela, T., Ganesan, M. & Renganathan, N. G. Conversion reactions: a new pathway to realise energy in lithium-ion battery—review. Ionics 15, 301–307 (2008).
12. Palacin, M. R. Recent advances in rechargeable battery materials: a chemist's perspective. Chem. Soc. Rev. 38, 2565–2573 (2009).
13. Zheng, C., Zhou, X., Cao, H., Wang, G. & Liu, Z. Synthesis of porous graphene/activated carbon composite with high packing density and large specific surface area for supercapacitor electrode material. J. Power Sources 258, 290–296 (2014).
14. Lu, S., Cheng, Y., Wu, X. & Liu, J. Significantly Improved Long-Cycle Stability in High-Rate Li-S Batteries Enabled by Coaxial Graphene Wrapping over Sulfur-Coated Carbon Nanofibers. Nano Lett. 13, 2485–2489 (2013).
15. Lee, J. M., Tong, B. P., Yi, J., Chong, J. W. & Park, W. I. ZnO Nanorod–Graphene Hybrid Architectures for Multifunctional Conductors. J. Phys. Chem. C 113, 19134–19138 (2009).
16. Ding, X., hong, H. Z. & ci, S. W. Preparation and electrochemical performance of a CuO/graphene composite. Xinxing Tan Cailiao/ new Carbon Materials 28, 172–177 (2013).
17. Wang, Z., Zhuo, L., Zhang, C. & Zhao, F. Carbon dioxide-induced homogeneous deposition of nanometer-sized cobalt ferrite (CoFe2O4) on graphene as high-rate and cycle-stable anode materials for lithium-ion batteries. J. Mater. Chem. A 1, 3860–3864 (2013).
18. Wang, F. et al. Solid state coalescence growth and electrochemical performance of plate-like Co3O4 mesocrystals as anode materials for lithium-ion batteries. J. Power Sources 235, 67–73 (2013).
19. Liu, Y. et al. Graphene-wrapped Ni,P materials: a 3D porous architecture with improved electrochemical performance. J. Solid State Electrochem. 18, 2245–2253 (2014).
20. Pham, H. D. D. et al. Synthesis of the chemically converted graphene xerogel with superior electrical conductivity. Chem. Commun. 47, 9672–9674 (2011).
21. Zheng, C., Zhou, X., Cao, H., Wang, G. & Liu, Z. Synthesis of porous graphene/activated carbon composite with high packing density and large specific surface area for supercapacitor electrode material. J. Power Sources 258, 290–296 (2014).
22. Liu, S., Cheng, Y., Wu, X. & Liu, J. Significantly Improved Long-Cycle Stability in High-Rate Li-S Batteries Enabled by Coaxial Graphene Wrapping over Sulfur-Coated Carbon Nanofibers. Nano Lett. 13, 2485–2489 (2013).
23. Lee, J. M., Tong, B. P., Yi, J., Chong, J. W. & Park, W. I. ZnO Nanorod–Graphene Hybrid Architectures for Multifunctional Conductors. J. Phys. Chem. C 113, 19134–19138 (2009).
24. Liu, S., Zhang, H., Xu, L., Ma, L. & Chen, X. Solvothermal preparation of tin phosphide as a long-life anode for advanced lithium and sodium ion batteries. J. Power Sources 346, 346–353 (2016).
25. Liu, S., Zhu, J. & Liu, H. Polymer-Assisted Synthesis of Cu,P Hollow Spheres and Its Electrochemical Properties. Nanoscale & Nanotechnology Lett. 7, 347–352 (2014).
26. Liu, Y. et al. Flexible CuO nanosheets/reduced graphene oxide composite paper: binder-free anode for high-performance lithium-ion batteries. Acs Appl. Mater. Interfaces 5, 9880–9885 (2013).
27. Liu, S., Sun, H., Suvorova, A. & Wang, S. One-pot hydrothermal synthesis of ZnO-reduced graphene oxide composites using Zn powder for enhanced photocatalysis. Biochem. Eng. J 129, 533–539 (2013).
28. Stobinski, L. et al. Graphene oxide and reduced graphene oxide studied by the XRD, TEM and electron spectroscopy methods. J. Electron Spectros. 195, 145–154 (2014).
29. Wu, Z. S. et al. Graphene anchored with Co,P nanoparticles as anode of lithium ion batteries with enhanced reversible capacity and cyclic performance. Acs Nano 4, 3187–3194 (2010).
30. Zhang, Y. et al. Biomolecule-assisted, environmentally friendly, one-pot synthesis of Cu5S/reduced graphene oxide nanocomposites with enhanced photocatalytic performance. Langmuir 28, 12893–12900 (2012).
31. Pan, D. et al. Li Storage Properties of Disordered Disordered Graphene Nanosheets. Chem. Mater. 21, 3136–3142 (2009).
32. Liu, S., Zhao, C., Wang, C., Wang, H. & Liu, Z. In situ Formation of CuO Nanoparticles on Graphene Oxide Reassembled by Poly(silylcarbondiimide) as Li-ion Battery Anode. Rsc Advances 5, 3732–3736 (2015).
33. Kitaura, R., Imazuru, N., Kobayashi, K. & Shinohara, H. Fabrication of metal nanowires in carbon nanotubes via versatile nanotemplate reaction. Nano Lett. 8, 693–699 (2008).
34. Zhou, J., Song, H., Ma, L. & Chen, X. Magnetite/graphene nanosheet composites: interfacial interaction and its impact on the durable high-rate performance in lithium-ion batteries. Rsc Advances 1, 782–791 (2011).
35. Li, H. et al. Photocatalytic synthesis of highly dispersed Pd nanoparticles on reduced graphene oxide and their application in methanol electro-oxidation. Catal. Sci. Technol. 2, 1153–1156 (2012).
36. Zhou, G. et al. Graphene-Wrapped FeO4 Anode Material with Improved Reversible Capacity and Cyclic Stability for Lithium Ion Batteries. Chem. Mater. 22, 5306–5313 (2010).
37. Lu, S., Cheng, Y., Wu, X. & Liu, J. Significantly Improved Long-Cycle Stability in High-Rate Li-S Batteries Enabled by Coaxial Graphene Wrapping over Sulfur-Coated Carbon Nanofibers. Nano Lett. 13, 2485–2489 (2013).
38. Li, H. et al. Photocatalytic synthesis of highly dispersed Pd nanoparticles on reduced graphene oxide and their application in methanol electro-oxidation. Catal. Sci. Technol. 2, 1153–1156 (2012).
39. Zhou, G. et al. Graphene-Wrapped FeO4 Anode Material with Improved Reversible Capacity and Cyclic Stability for Lithium Ion Batteries. Chem. Mater. 22, 5306–5313 (2010).
40. Pan, D. et al. Li Storage Properties of Disordered Disordered Graphene Nanosheets. Chem. Mater. 21, 3136–3142 (2009).
41. Liu, S., Ma, L., Li, X., Yang, X. & Zhang, I. The fine electrochemical performance of porous Cu,P/Cu and the high energy density of Cu,P as anode for Li-ion batteries. J. Mater. Chem. A. 2, 20506–20509 (2014).
42. Wang, B., Liu, A., Abdulla, W. A., Wang, D. & Zhao, X. S. Desired crystal oriented LiFePO4 nanoplatelets in situ anchored on a graphene cross-linked conductive network for fast lithium storage. Nanoscale 7, 8819–8828 (2015).
43. Kumar, P. V. ChemInform Abstract: Graphene-Based Nanoarchitectures. Anchoring Semiconductor and Metal Nanoparticles on a Two-Dimensional Carbon Support. J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 1, 520–527 (2010).
44. Paek, S. M., Yoo, E. & Honma, I. Enhanced cyclic performance and lithium storage capacity of SnO2/graphene nanoribbons with three-dimensionally delaminated flexible structure. Nano Lett. 9, 72–75 (2009).
45. Jiang, C., Hosono, E. & Zhou, H. Nanomaterials for lithium ion batteries. Nano Today 1, 28–33 (2006).
46. Yu, A., Roes, L., Davies, A. & Chen, Z. Ultrathin, transparent, and flexible graphene films for supercapacitor application. Appl. Phys. Lett. 96, 253105 - 253105-253103 (2010).
47. Lian, P. et al. Large reversible capacity of high quality graphene sheets as anode material for lithium-ion batteries. Electrochim. Acta. 55, 3909–3914 (2010).
48. Lu, Y. et al. Ni,P/Graphene Sheets as Anode Materials with Enhanced Electrochemical Properties versus Lithium. J. Phys. Chem. C 116, 22217–22225 (2012).
49. Fang, D. et al. Synthesis of cobalt phosphides and their application as anodes for lithium ion batteries. Acs Appl. Mater. Interfaces 5, 1093–1099 (2013).
50. Fang, Y., Feng, N., Wei, Y. & Bai, Y. Preparation and improved electrochemical performance of SiCN–graphene composite derived from poly(silylcarbandiimide) as Li-ion battery anode. J. Mater. Chem. A 2, 4168 (2014).
Acknowledgements
The authors appreciate the financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21301113), the Natural Science Foundation of Shaanxi Province of China (2015JM2059), and the Graduate Innovation Fund of Shaanxi University of Science and Technology.

Author Contributions
S.L. conceived the experiment, X.H., J.Z. and J.T. conducted the experiment, S.L., X.H. and L.X. analysed the results. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Additional Information
Supplementary information accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/srep

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Liu, S. et al. Cu3P/RGO Nanocomposite as a New Anode for Lithium-Ion Batteries. Sci. Rep. 6, 35189; doi: 10.1038/srep35189 (2016).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

© The Author(s) 2016