1. Introduction

The interfaces of galactic bars and outer spiral arms represent some of most active star-forming environments in the local universe. In our own Milky Way, the overlap between the end of the Galactic bar and the inner Scutum-Centaurus spiral arm at longitudes of \(~\sim 30\) degrees hosts the W43 mini-starburst within a distance of \(d = 6.7 \pm 0.6 \) kpc (with \(d\) the distance) over the size of the W43 cloud complex of approximately 15 kpc. One of the observational difficulties lies in the fact that gas kinematics are hard to constrain at these locations, both in our own Milky Way and in external galaxies.

In the case of W43, several lines of evidence reveal the dynamics of the bar-spiral interaction and its effect on gas motions as the source of burst star formation, which is thought to be the site of converging flows (e.g., Benjamin et al. 2005; López-Corredoira et al. 2007; Rodríguez-Fernandez & Combes 2008).

A peculiar aspect of the W43 region is the existence of two prominent gas velocity components along the line of sight, the principle component at \(~\sim 100\) km s\(^{-1}\), and a secondary at \(~\sim 50\) km s\(^{-1}\). Blum et al. (2001) and Motte et al. (2014) discuss several converging gas flows in that region associated with kinematic CO and HI gas components at approximately 60 and 120 km s\(^{-1}\). In their picture, the 50 km s\(^{-1}\) component is not considered further because they do not identify associated converging velocity structures towards W43. Similarly, Nguyen Luong et al. (2011) and Carlhoff et al. (2013) argue that the more prominent \(~\sim 100\) km s\(^{-1}\) peak is the dominant one for the on-going star formation in the region, corresponding to the W43 complex, whereas the \(~\sim 50\) km s\(^{-1}\) component may only be a chance alignment that could be attributed to the Perseus spiral arm. In contrast to this, Beuther et al. (2012) find both components in the \(^{13}\)CO(2–1) emission not just along the same sidelines but the two components appear in projection spatially connected. Furthermore, both components are also detected in dense gas tracers like \(\mathrm{N}_2\mathrm{H}^+\), and again, both velocity components appear in mapping studies as connected gas structures (Beuther et al. 2012).
gas tracer through radio recombination lines (Anderson et al. 2011). This can be interpreted as additional evidence for potentially interacting gas clouds.

Due to our position in the Galaxy, however, it is currently not possible to accurately determine the distance of the two components, and thus to unambiguously determine whether the two gas components in W43 are either in fact interacting or just chance alignments in different parts of the Galaxy. Unambiguous proof will likely depend on future exact distance measurements via maser parallaxes of the Bessel project of both velocity components (Bruntzalier et al. 2011). While the 100 km s\(^{-1}\) component has recently been determined to be at a distance of \(~5.5\) kpc via maser parallax measurements (Zhang et al. 2014), the comparable measurement for the 50 km s\(^{-1}\) component is still missing.

Hence, while proving the spatial (dis)association of velocity components 50 km s\(^{-1}\) apart is currently not possible for the bar/spiral arm interface in the Milky Way, we want to test whether the scenario is in principle possible by means of studying a nearby galaxy with more favorable almost face-on geometry. Comparable regions in nearby galaxies can provide critical insight to the nature of Galactic environments like W43. Much of our understanding in this context derives from the study of Kenney & Lord (1991) who first linked star formation at the end of the bar in M83 to the molecular gas reservoir traced by CO. Their detailed examination of the properties and kinematics of the molecular gas at the time, although limited to rather coarse resolution, suggested that the change in orbit structure from the bar to the spiral may lead to the observed local build-up of gas, which might then favor a burst of star formation. Soon thereafter, Rand (1992) found supporting evidence for this picture, showing that the intense star formation observed at the end of the bar in M51 is linked to locally high (molecular) gas surface densities. Since then, numerous observational and theoretical studies have dealt with galactic bars and their dynamical importance for star formation as well as galactic dynamics (e.g., Martin & Friedli 1997; Jogee et al. 2005; Verley et al. 2007; Athanassoula et al. 2013; Zhou et al. 2015).

But it is still unclear how frequently star formation of this kind occurs, which types of bars and spirals may be most conducive, or whether it can explain environments like W43 in our own Milky Way. To develop this picture further requires in-depth study of the gas kinematics at more such interfaces, which are unambiguously determined as the end of the bar in an external galaxy and set this into context to our Milky Way. To develop this picture further requires in-depth study of the gas kinematics at more such interfaces, which are unambiguously determined as the end of the bar in an external galaxy and set this into context to our Milky Way, we here study the nearby galaxy NGC3627 as an example.

In this paper, we seek to explore potential bar/spiral arm interactions in an exemplary external galaxy that allows a better identification of associated velocity components because of a geometry that is near to face-on. As a starting point we used the HERACLES database that provides sensitive CO(2–1) images of several tens of nearby galaxies (Leroy et al. 2009). Additionally, all HERACLES galaxies have extensive multi-wavelength coverage from the X-ray to the radio regime from the SINGS (Kennicutt et al. 2003) and KINGFISH (Kennicutt et al. 2011) efforts. Among the barred galaxies in the HERACLES sample, only two galaxies show the desired geometry, i.e., a stellar bar with strong spiral arms emanating from the bar ends and associated massive star formation. NGC3627 is one of these two galaxies and has already high quality published molecular gas observations available (Paladino et al. 2008; Leroy et al. 2009). The well-known barred spiral galaxy NGC3627 exhibits strong burst signatures at the two bar/arm interfaces in the north and south as visible for example in the 70 \(\mu\)m Herschel map (Fig. 1 left panel, Kennicutt et al. 2011). These active bar/arm interaction zones resemble the structures found in W43 in our Milky Way well. Furthermore, the location of NGC3627 is very favorable for our study: the orientation is almost face-on (inclination angle of 65 deg with a position angle \(\theta_{PA}=170^\circ\), Chemin et al. 2003), the object is relatively nearby at a distance of 11.1 Mpc (e.g., Saha et al. 1999) where spatial resolution elements of 1" correspond to linear scales of \(~54\) pc, and the systemic velocity is \(V_{sys}=744\) km s\(^{-1}\) (Casasola et al. 2011). More details will be given in section 3. Although these linear scales are obviously much larger than what can be achieved within our Milky Way, the almost face-on nature of this galaxy eases the interpretation of the data tremendously.

Using near-infrared imaging from the Spitzer Survey for Stellar Structure (S\^2,G; Sheth et al. 2010), Buta et al. (2015) classified NGC3627 as a SB\(^{(s)}\)bpec, i.e. a strongly barred galaxy with a boxy/pelul bulge. NGC3627 has a stellar mass of \((log(M_{\star}(M_\odot)) \approx 10.8\) and a specific star formation rate (sSFR = SFR/M\(_{\star}\)) of \((log(sSFR)(yr^{-1})) \approx -10.3\), i.e., it lies on the local main sequence of star forming galaxies (Salim et al. 2007). As we are interested in a qualitative comparison of signatures for the stellar bar/spiral arm region, an exact match in properties between NGC3627 and the Milky Way is not necessary.

The two interface regions between the bar and inner spiral arms at the northern and southern ends of the NGC3627’s stellar bar have been observed with the Plateau de Bure Interferometer (PdBI) in the CO(1–0) and CO(2–1) emission by Paladino et al. (2008). While they concentrated on the connection between radio continuum, CO and \(8\) \(\mu\)m emission, we now analyze the kinematics of the gas. We re-calibrate and re-image these data (see next section), and in particular we add the CO(2–1) short splicing information from the HERACLES data (Leroy et al. 2009) to minimize flux and imaging artifacts. Figure 1 (right panel) presents the single-dish HERACLES CO(2–1) data as a first moment map (intensity weighted velocities) with the integrated intensity contours. This image shows the bar-spiral structure as well as the rotational velocities of the gas. The primary beams of the PdBI observations are marked as well.

Using the PACS 70\(\mu\)m image from KINGFISH (Kennicutt et al. 2011), we assess the star formation rate of the NGC3627 southeast bar end region. To do this, we convolve the PACS image to have a round Gaussian beam of FWHM 8". At this resolution (\(~350\) pc) the star-forming region is largely unresolved. For the 70\(\mu\)m-to-SFR conversion quoted by Kennicutt & Evans (2012), the bar end has a SFR of \(~0.23\ M_\odot yr^{-1}\) inside the 8" Gaussian beam. This compares relatively well to the \(~0.01\) − \(0.1\ M_\odot yr^{-1}\) for W43 (Nguyen Luong et al. 2011) given the degree of uncertainty in extragalactic star formation rate estimates, especially for individual regions.

With the goal to better understand the dynamics of bar/arm interfaces in an external galaxy and set this into context to our Milky Way, we here study the nearby galaxy NGC3627 as an excellent example case with a comparable configuration to the Milky Way. This paper is structured as follows: Section 2 describes the combination of the Plateau de Bure Interferometer and 30 single-dish data. Section 3 then outlines the basic morphological and spectral signatures of the spectral line data. Section 4 deals with the dynamical interpretation of the multiple velocity components at the bar/arm interface in the framework of crossing orbit families corresponding to the bar and arm. Finally, Section 5 discusses these results as potential reasons for enhanced star formation activities at bar/arm interfaces, as well as it sets it into context with our Milky Way and particularly W43. Section 6 then summarizes our results.
2. Observations

Paladino et al. (2008) present the PdBI for the first time, however, they focus on the CO(1–0) observations and do not complement the data with short spacing information. The PdBI observations were carried out on March 20, 2005 with 6 antennas in the C configuration. At that time, 1.3 and 2.6 mm data could be taken simultaneously, and the observations targeted the CO(1–0) and (2–1) lines, respectively. The two frequencies were centered at 114.997 and 229.88 GHz which are the transition rest frequencies at an assumed $\nu_{\text{lsr}}$ of $\sim 712.6$ km s$^{-1}$. With four overlapping 160 MHz spectral units, the correlator covered 580 MHz for each line (corresponding to 1512 and 756 km s$^{-1}$ for the (1–0) and (2–1) lines, respectively). Bandpass and flux calibration were conducted with 1055+018 and MWC349, and the gains were calibrated with regular observations of 1116+128.

To complement the PdBI CO(2–1) data with the missing short spacing information, we used the IRAM 30 m single-dish observations from the HERACLES survey (Leroy et al. 2009). These data are available for download at www.mpia.de/HERACLES. The HERACLES CO(2–1) data were further processed in GILDAS/CLASS and finally combined and imaged with the PdBI data within the mapping part of the GILDAS package.

In the following, we only use the combined PdBI+30 m CO(2–1) data. The phase reference centers for NGC3627N and NGC3727S are R.A.(J2000.0) 11:20:13.50, Dec.(J2000.0) 13:00:17.70 and R.A.(J2000.0) 11:20:16.60, Dec.(J2000.0) 12:58:44.50, respectively. The final channel spacing of these is 5 km s$^{-1}$. The 1σ rms and synthesized beam values for the merged PdBI+30 m CO(2–1) data are $\sim$6 mJy beam$^{-1}$ and $1.66'' \times 0.87''$ (P.A. 22 deg). At the given distance of 11.1 Mpc, this corresponds to an approximate linear resolution of $\sim$68 pc.

3. Results

3.1. Morphological and spectral structures

Figure 2 present the 1st and 2nd moment maps (intensity-weighted peak velocities and line width) of the combined PdBI+30 m CO(2–1) data toward the two target regions at the northern and southern ends of the galactic bar in NGC3627. In the following, we refer to the two regions as NGC3627N and NGC3627S. Both regions are detected at high significance in the CO(2–1) emission and the moment maps already reveal a wealth
Fig. 2. Combined PdBI+30m CO(2–1) data toward NGC3627 north and south (top and bottom panels, respectively). The colors show in the left and right panels the 1st (intensity-weighted peak velocities) and 2nd moments (intensity-weighted line widths), respectively. The contours present the integrated intensities in the velocity regimes indicated above each panel from 5 to 95% of the peak intensities (in 10% steps). The stars in the right panels show the positions for spectra extraction. Example spectra are shown in Fig. 3, and fit results are presented in Tables A.1 and A.2.

of kinematic structure. Toward the northern end of the bar, we find an intensity-weighted peak velocity spread between $\sim 550$ and $\sim 660$ km s$^{-1}$, whereas it is even larger in the southern region between $\sim 760$ and $\sim 915$ km s$^{-1}$. While we see velocity gradients across both regions, the 2nd moment maps also show that most of the broadest lines are found toward the integrated intensity peaks, hence toward the largest column density positions.

A different way to look at the kinematic properties of the gas is by inspecting the spectra toward selected points in the fields. Therefore, we extracted the combined PdBI+30m CO(2–1) spectra toward regularly spaced grids in NGC3627N and NGC3627S that are separated by the approximate beam size of 1.7″ as marked in Figure 2. Figure 3 presents example spectra and Gaussian fits to them, the fit results are listed in Tables A.1 and A.2. To fit the Gaussians, we averaged spectra over a slightly larger area than the beam size. We included all spectra with offsets $\pm 0.5$″ from the respective position which then results in a fitted area corresponding to a $\sim 1.6″$ beam size, a factor of 1.625 larger in area than for the nominal resolution. This reduces the average rms in the fitted spectra to $\sim 4.5$ mJy beam$^{-1}$. The number of Gaussian components to be fitted was visually identified individually for each spectrum. In most cases, the se-
Fig. 3. PdBI+30m CO(2-1) example spectra toward NGC3627N (left) and NGC3627S (right). The offsets of the positions are marked (see also Fig. 2). The green lines present the Gaussian fits to the data. The corresponding FWHM and peak positions are reported in Tables A.1 and A.2. The blue and red lines at the bottom of each panel mark mean values of the velocities for the bar 1 scenario and the spiral described in section 4. The corresponding full velocity ranges are marked in Figures 5 and 6.

The only adjusted parameter for each fit is the number of Gaussian components, all other parameters, in particular the peak velocities $v_{\text{peak}}$ and the full-width-half-maximum line widths $\Delta v$ for each component are free parameters. In general, we fitted Gaussians only to peak flux densities above the $3\sigma$ level of 13.5 mJy beam$^{-1}$. In a small number of cases (~8% out of 207 features in total) we allowed also slightly lower peak flux densities with the lowest value at 9.2 mJy beam$^{-1}$. However, in all these cases, visual inspection of the spectra confirmed the reality of the features because they are existing over several contiguous channels. The strongest peaks exhibit peak flux densities $>100$ mJy beam$^{-1}$ (maximum 142 mJy beam$^{-1}$), and we can detect peak-to-peak flux density differences between neighboring features of around a factor 10. The smallest identified separation between adjacent peaks is $\sim20$ km s$^{-1}$ (Tables A.1 and A.2).

We find a variety of features: Some spectra are single-peaked but many also exhibit clearly spectrally resolved multiple peaks towards individual positions. The derived full-width-half-maximum (FWHM or $\Delta v$) values for the different spectra also cover a relatively broad range of FWHM values between $\sim5$ km s$^{-1}$ at the narrow end (which is also our spectral resolution limit), and values up to 100 km s$^{-1}$. While the broadest lines likely consist of multiple components that are difficult to separate, the narrow end with several values between 10 and 20 km s$^{-1}$ is approximately in agreement with what would be expected from Larson’s line-width $\Delta v$ size $L$ relation $\Delta v \sim 2.355 \times 1$ km s$^{-1} \left( \frac{L}{1\text{pc}} \right)^{0.5}$, where $\Delta v$ is the velocity FWHM and $L$ the root-mean-square size (Solomon et al. 1987). Using the aver-
age synthesized beam FWHM of 1.3′′ as an estimate for the size $L \sim 1.3′′/2.355$, this results in a linear $L \sim 70/2.355$ pc or an approximate $\delta v$ of 12.8 km s$^{-1}$. Taking into account that the size estimated this way is most likely an upper limit since the peak regions are no point sources but extended (see Fig. [2]), we conclude that the narrower lines observed in NGC3627 are broadly consistent with Larson’s relation and hence resemble typical molecular clouds.

4. Dynamical interpretation

![Angular frequency curves in NGC 3627](image)

In this section we examine whether the observed velocity components are consistent with expected streaming motions in the presence of the stellar bar and spiral arms. Potential implications for enhanced star formation activity and the connection to the presence of the stellar bar and spiral arms. Potential implications for enhanced star formation activity and the connection to the presence of the stellar bar and spiral arms.

In the second scenario, the bar ends well inside corotation. Depending on position, the line-of-sight velocity profiles near the bar end will contain information related to azimuthal motions directed along orbits in the presence of either the bar or spiral, or both. When gas populates intersecting bar and spiral orbits, two velocity components will emerge (although they may not be spatially resolvable). The locations of the individual bar and spiral components, and their relation to each other, depend on the relation between the bar and spiral pattern speeds. We consider two possibilities, one in which the bar and spiral rotate with the same speed based on the TW method, we have other reasons to expect that the bar may rotate with a much higher speed.

Our goal in what follows is to develop a model for $v_r$ and $v_\phi$ at the end of the bar that we can compare with the observations. In this particular galaxy, given the alignment of the bar morphological major axis with the disk kinematic major axis (so that $\theta = \theta_{PA}$), the comparison of a model for $v_r$ and $v_\phi$ at the end of the bar with observations is considerably simplified. Typically, this orientation can inhibit the extraction of kinematic information related to the bar strength and pattern speed (i.e., Rand & Wallin 2004), since characteristic strong radial motions directed along $x1$ orbits in the bar potential are directed almost entirely out of the line of sight. But for studying the bar end, this is in fact ideal: Here the orbits of stars and gas are characterized by primarily azimuthal motions (and negligible radial motions). Thus, in this favorable set-up, the line-of-sight velocity captures almost entirely the full picture of azimuthal motions in the bar potential.

The dominant contribution to the line-of-sight velocity originates from circular motion about the galaxy center, projected on the plane of the sky. We adopt the rotation curve model of Chemin et al. (2003) that extends out to ~90°, beyond which it matches closely the circular velocities modeled by Trachternach et al. (2008). Figure 4 shows the angular frequency curves $\Omega = V_r/R$, $\Omega \pm \kappa/2$ and $\Omega - \kappa/4$, where the radial orbital oscillation frequency $\kappa$ is defined by:

$$\kappa^2 = \left( R \frac{d\Omega^2}{dR} + 4\Omega^2 \right).$$  (2)

These curves are shown together with two models for the relation between the bar and spiral pattern described in more detail below. These two models correspond to different azimuthal streaming near the end of the bar, which we assume to additionally contribute to the observed line-of-sight velocity.

4.1. The combined bar and spiral system

Depending on position, the line-of-sight velocity profiles near the bar end will contain information related to azimuthal motions directed along orbits in the presence of either the bar or spiral, or both. When gas populates intersecting bar and spiral orbits, two velocity components will emerge (although they may not be spatially resolvable). The locations of the individual bar and spiral components, and their relation to each other, depend on the relation between the bar and spiral pattern speeds. We consider two possibilities, one in which the bar and spiral rotate with the same speed, and a second, in which the bar and spiral rotate with distinct speeds (although they may be dynamically coupled). As described below, the latter corresponds to a scenario in which the bar extends out to its corotation radius $R_c$, the location where the bar pattern speed $\Omega_r$ is equal to the disk angular rotation $\Omega$. In the second scenario, the bar ends well inside corotation.

Constraints on the likelihood of one or the other scenario in this particular galaxy are varied, mostly as a result of the orientation of the bar, which leads to ambiguous results with kinematics-based techniques. The direct, model-independent Tremaine-Weinberg method (TW; Tremaine & Weinberg 1984) uses departures from axisymmetry traced by a continuity-obeying kinematic tracer (e.g., HI or CO) along the line-of-sight to measure the pattern speed, and thus provides little constraint on the speed of the bar. The pattern speed $\Omega_{P2}=50$ km s$^{-1}$ kpc$^{-1}$ measured by Rand & Wallin (2004), though, provides us with an estimate for the spiral speed.

Although we cannot rule out that the bar drives a spiral with the same speed based on the TW method, we have other reasons to expect that the bar may rotate with a much higher speed.
Stellar orbit theory predicts that bars end at or near their corotation radii (e.g., Contopoulos & Papayannopoulos 1980), which is the location where the pattern speed $\Omega_p$ is equal to the disk angular rotation $\Omega$. We can estimate the speed that would be necessary in order for the bar length $a_B$ to fall within the predicted range, i.e., $1 < a_B/R_c < 1.4$, by inspection of the angular rotation curve $\Omega$ as demonstrated in Figure 4.

Chemin et al. (2003) previously suggested a pattern speed $\Omega_{p,B}$ in the range 56-72 km s$^{-1}$ kpc$^{-1}$, based on the requirement that $1 < a_B/R_c < 1.4$. Corotation at this location in the disk was later confirmed from an analysis of gravitational torques by Casasola et al. (2011). Meanwhile the spiral appears to rotate at a lower speed, likely in the range $40 < \Omega_{p,S} < 50$ km s$^{-1}$, according to the results of the TW method (Rand & Wallin 2004) and the harmonic decomposition of the HI velocity field by Trachternach et al. (2008), which clearly shows the signature of corotation at $\sim 100^\circ$.

This set of bar and spiral speeds are consistent with the mode-coupling scenario (Masset & Tagger 1997), in which the overlap of two patterns at resonance leads to the efficient transfer of energy and angular momentum between them. Figure 4 shows that the bar corotation (where the blue lines intersect with the black curve, at the bar end) overlaps with the inner 4:1 resonance of the spiral (where the spiral pattern speed $\Omega_s=\Omega - \kappa_1/4$, i.e., the red band intersects the dark grey curve), which is an arrangement that has been found previously in observed galaxies (e.g., Meidt et al. 2009; Rautiainen et al. 2005).

4.1.1. Bar streaming motions

Whether or not the bar extends to its corotation radius has implications for the line-of-sight velocity expected for gas motions directed along orbits supporting the bar.

Bar 1 scenario: Bar ends at corotation

In the case that the bar extends to its corotation radius, we can describe streaming using the equations of motion specifically at the corotation resonance of a weak bar perturbation (i.e., Binney & Tremaine 1987, eq. 3-123). These imply that the radial and azimuthal streaming motions are (nearly) identically zero and the azimuthal component of the velocity equals the circular velocity in the disk.

$$v_{\phi}^{B,1} = V_c, \quad (3)$$

Bar 2 scenario: Bar ends inside corotation

In the alternate scenario, in which the bar ends well inside its corotation radius, we can gain insight into gas flow characteristics at the bar end by considering the case of a ‘weak’ bar (e.g., Sellwood & Evans 2001). Although the bar in NGC3627 is more accurately a ‘strong’ bar, motions at the bar end are qualitatively similar to those in the weak case.

We follow Sellwood & Sánchez (2010) to estimate the magnitude of azimuthal streaming adopting the (weak) bar perturbation to the gravitational potential.

$$\Phi_B = -V_c^2 \frac{1 - q^2_b}{4q^2_b} \frac{1 - q^2_b}{q^2_b}, \quad (4)$$

1 In the case of libration at the bar end (rather than circulation about the galaxy center; i.e., Binney & Tremaine 1987), the trapped stars or gas still overall rotate with the bar. Thus, even with the (small) additional component $\Omega e$ for a libration of size $e$ eq. (3) remains a good approximation.

4.1.2. Spiral streaming motions

Spiral density wave theory provides the basis for estimating the magnitude of spiral streaming motions, which we assume are the same in the two scenarios (since the spiral pattern speed is the same in both). Following Binney & Tremaine (1987) in the...
tight-winding approximation the azimuthal velocity component associated with the spiral is

$$\nu^s_{\phi} = V_r \left(1 - \frac{\sin m (\phi - \phi_0)}{\cot i_p} \frac{\Sigma_0}{\Sigma} \right)$$

(8)

and the radial component is

$$\nu^r_* = -\frac{m R (\Omega - \Omega_p)}{m \cot i_p} \cos m (\phi - \phi_0) \frac{\Sigma_0}{\Sigma}$$

(9)

where the circular velocity is $V_c = R \Omega$, $\Omega_p$ is the spiral pattern speed, $i_p = 34^\circ$ is the spiral arm pitch angle (La Vigne et al. 2006), $m = 2$ since there are two spiral arms, and $\Sigma_0/\Sigma$ is the ratio of the perturbed to unperturbed surface mass density. We estimate $\Sigma_0/\Sigma$ using the arm-interarm contrast, which we expect to fall between 2-3 (so that $\Sigma_0/\Sigma \sim 1$) given this galaxy’s SABb classification, using the 3.6 $\mu$m contrast for this type measured by Elmegreen et al. (2011) and assuming a constant 3.6 $\mu$m mass-to-light ratio (e.g., Meidt et al. 2014)

Given the alignment (zero phase offset) between the end of the bar and the start of the spiral arms, we consider motions near the spiral arm potential minimum where $\phi = \phi_0$. According to eq. (6) azimuthal streaming motions should go to zero. However, the gas response can be complicated, with shocking and viscous and gravitational torques that introduce non-zero azimuthal motions in close proximity to the spiral minimum, such as observed in M51 (Shetty et al. 2007). Models of gas streaming in the presence of a density wave qualitatively predict that $\nu^s_\phi$ varies rapidly as gas encounters the arm, passing through zero on switching from negative (in the interarm) to positive immediately upon exiting the arm (e.g., Roberts & Stewart 1987, Shetty et al. 2007). In the slightly upstream position at which the observed line profiles are extracted (see Figures 2 & 4), we expect a maximum of $\nu^s_{\phi} \sim 0.5V_c$ and $\nu^r_* \sim -25$ km s$^{-1}$.

Note that radial streaming motions would be considerably larger for a lower spiral pattern speed, whereas azimuthal motions would be unchanged. As we are most sensitive to the latter along the line of sight with this particular set of disk and bar orientations, we cannot distinguish the proposed scenario, in which the spiral pattern speed is $\Omega_p \sim 50$ km s$^{-1}$ kpc$^{-1}$, from one in which it is closer to $\Omega_p \sim 25$ km s$^{-1}$ kpc$^{-1}$. Such a low value has been suggested by Reuter et al. (1996), taking the corotation $R_c = 216''$ identified with the Canzian (1993) method and is also consistent with the value measured by Rand & Wallin (2004) specifically for the southern extension of the western arm, which appears to lie off the plane of the rest of the disk. We will not consider streaming motions predicted in the case of this low speed further given they are likely applicable only at larger galactocentric radius and would further be identical to those predicted in the azimuthal direction in our nominal scenario.

4.2. Comparison to observations

As argued in the previous section, the line-of-sight velocity at the bar end in NGC3627 is dominated by azimuthal motions, and we expect two distinct kinematic components when gas populates bar and spiral orbits. Thus, in our bar 1 scenario, in which the bar ends at its corotation radius, we expect one component from the bar to be centered at $V_{vsys} \pm V_c(a_B) \sin i$. This component should exhibit as much as 80 km s$^{-1}$ offset from a second component associated with the spiral, at $V_{vsys} + 0.5V_c(a_B) \sin i$.

Figures 5 and 6 show the measured centroid velocity in comparison to the different bar1, bar 2 and spiral model introduced in the previous section. NGC3627S frequently shows two velocity components (21 out of 42). The lower-velocity component arises from within a range $\sim 100-150$ km s$^{-1}$, whereas the higher-velocity component is more regularly found near an average of $200\pm10$ km s$^{-1}$. The single-peak profiles (17 out of 42) consistently arise near the high 200 km s$^{-1}$ value and tend to be located offset from the region of brightest CO emission. Fig. 7 visualizes the number of components with respect to the location, confirming that the single-peak profiles avoid the zone of strongest

---

2 We have confirmed that this is consistent with the arm contrast measured directly from the stellar mass map for this galaxy derived by Querejeta et al. (2014) from its S$^4$G Spitzer/IRAC 3.6 $\mu$m image.
interaction and trace mainly bar orbits (see right panel of Fig. 7). Further statistical properties of the kinematic gas properties are shown in Fig. 8 and refered to below.

These features are remarkably consistent with the predictions of our simple model. Based on the higher velocity components in Figs. 5 and 6, which are close to the circular velocity \( V_c \approx 200 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) at these radii, we can rule out the bar 2 scenario (the green rectangles in Figs. 5 and 6) in which the maximum velocity does not exceed 0.8 \( V_c \). In contrast to this, we find good agreement between the observations and our model for velocities at the end of the bar in scenario 1 (the blue rectangles in Figs. 5 and 6). The width of the blue and green rectangle illustrating the predicted bar velocity is set by the range in \( V_c \), corresponding to the range in galactocentric radii spaned by the mapped regions.

The prediction for the spiral (red rectangle in Figs. 5 and 6), which also agrees well with the observations, spans a larger range to demonstrate the effect of variation in the strength of the spiral and/or in the exact (azimuthal) location at which the profile is extracted relative to the spiral potential minimum in Figs. 5 and 6. The range of velocities corresponding to an increase in the strength of the spiral arm from \( \Sigma_s/\Sigma_o \approx 0.5 \) to 1.5. This range of spiral strengths yields azimuthal streaming motions that vary from low (\( V_s = 33 \text{ km s}^{-1} \)) to high (\( V_s = 100 \text{ km s}^{-1} \)). This reduces the azimuthal velocity \( \Delta v_s^\phi = v_s - v_c \) (eq. 8, plotted in Figs. 5 and 6) at these spatial locations to \( 91 - 172 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) (section 4.1.2.).

In comparison to the south, spectra in NGC3627N still show double-peaked profiles (33 out of 80), but regularly exhibit also single components centered near the spiral velocity of \( 150 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) (27 out of 80; Figs. 5 and 6). Although the bar 2 model could be consistent with the lower grouping of velocities near \( 150 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) (Fig. 6), measurements in NGC3627S make this scenario unlikely. Thus, we associate the lower velocity component in the north with the spiral. The lower velocity component is more frequent than the higher velocity component around \( 200 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) (expected for the bar, Fig. 5). In contrast to the south, where single peaks are mostly associated with the higher velocity bar component, in the north many single-peaked profiles are centered close to the lower-velocity spiral component. Indeed, all profiles in the north lie further beyond the bar end than in the south, by an average of \( 10'' \sim 0.5 \text{ kpc} \) (top row of Fig. 8). They may also arise from a different location in the spiral potential than the profiles extracted in the south, which are found on average 10 degrees further downstream (middle row of Fig. 8). According to the model, qualitatively in both circumstances the magnitude of the spiral streaming motions might be reduced compared to the south where profiles appear to arise consistently throughout the bar-spiral interaction zone. This could explain why the lower of the two primary velocities observed in the north is nearer to the circular velocity, implying smaller spiral streaming motions.

The northern bar end probed by the observations might also be influenced by interaction with the companion galaxy NGC3628. This galaxy has an evident impact on the morphology and kinematics of the spiral emerging from the northern bar end at large galactocentric radius (\( R = 90'' \)).

These signs of interaction suggest that the spiral arm dynamics are more complex, even at galactocentric radii \( R \sim 50'' \), and deviate from our simple model.

The number and the widths of velocity peaks along each line-of-sight contain additional information to support the idea of interacting clouds on overlapping bar and spiral orbits. For example, at either bar end a small fraction of spectra shows more than two velocity peaks (3 out of 42 in the south and 14 out of 80 in the north). On average, these are narrower (\( \Delta v \sim 20 \text{ km s}^{-1} \), Fig. 8 bottom row) than either of the two primary components characteristic of the double- or single-peaked profiles (typical \( \Delta v \sim 40 - 80 \text{ km s}^{-1} \)) and closer to the value expected from the size-linewidth relation (Sec. 3.1). In all cases, at least two of the velocities are consistent with the bar-spiral model while other additional components fall near one or the other of the two primary velocities, and thus appears to belong to either the bar or spiral components.

5. Discussion

5.1. Enhanced star formation at bar-spiral interfaces?

In the previous section, we presented a simple model of intersecting gas-populated orbits supporting the bar and spiral arms
that can explain the double-peaked line of sight velocity profiles observed in CO(2–1) emission at the end of the bar in NGC 3627. These two velocity components most regularly appear at the southern bar end. Kinematics to the north, on the other hand, appear to deviate from the simple model expectation, due, e.g., to either the influence of the interaction evident at larger radii or to a genuine underpopulation of one set of orbits. Regardless of the nature of gas motions in the north, it is clear that, over a comparably sized areas at the end of the bar, the frequency of two distinct components is higher in the south than in the north. At the same time, the south shows enhanced rates of star formation per unit gas mass relative to the north (e.g., Paladino et al. 2008). It therefore appears that the existence of double-peaked profiles is connected to enhanced star formation, presumably through an increase in collisions within the gas.

Here we discuss the mechanisms by which our model of gas motions at the intersection of the bar and spiral arms can lead to intense star formation, focussing only on the role of streaming motions in increasing the likelihood of collisions in the region. As first argued by Kenney & Lord (1991), orbit crossing between orbit families alone would seem to be insufficient for building high gas densities and triggering star formation. The region of potential crossing within the gas is spatially extended and unlikely to explain the highly localized bursts of star formation observed. Instead, collisions could be enhanced with the help of streaming motions which specifically transport the gas into the orbit-crossing region.

Table 1 summarizes the velocities expected in the two different scenarios considered so far. As discussed in the previous section, our comparison rules out the second scenario, which predicts much lower velocities at the bar end in NGC 3627 than exhibited by the observed profiles. But we include it here given that it is thought to apply in the prototype case of M83. Kenney & Lord (1991) argued that the bar corotation radius \( R_{\text{CR}} \) in M83 lies well beyond the bar end, and thus the bar and spiral patterns have the same angular speed at the bar-spiral interface.)

In both scenarios, radial streaming is expected to be minimal at the interface, \( \sim 25 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) due to motion associated with the spiral. The primary difference between scenario 1 and 2 is the relative azimuthal velocity between the bar and spiral. In the first scenario, the bar and spiral exhibit a 80 \text{ km s}^{-1} difference, whereas we expect the bar and spiral to have very little relative azimuthal velocity at the interface in scenario 2. This is qualitatively different from what was described by Kenney & Lord (1991) at the end of the bar in M83, where it is suggested that streaming is observed to enhance collision in exactly scenario 2.

The difference arises with the interpretation of the observations. With only \~400 pc resolution, the interferometric CO(1–0) observations analyzed by Kenney & Lord (1991) had insufficient resolution to reveal multiple velocity components along the line of sight. Thus, to estimate the angular velocities at the interface, they measured single, isolated components that sampled the bar and spiral individually from neighboring positions. However, according to the previous section, we do not expect the angular velocities exhibited by gas populating either set of bar or spiral orbits to necessarily persist at the actual orbit interface. For bars that end at corotation, bar streaming will be of order \(-V_c\) at small distance \( \epsilon \) from corotation but then go to zero at the interface with the spiral. So although Kenney & Lord (1991) infer a velocity difference in the gas populating bar and spiral orbits at the interface in their presumed scenario 2, we suspect that this may not be evident upon closer inspection. (The bar-spiral interface in M83 may even be found to better resemble scenario 1, if the bar and spiral exhibit genuinely different speeds, unlike assumed by Kenney & Lord (1991)).

So, in contrast to the conclusion of Kenney & Lord (1991), we argue that the likelihood of collision is actually quite low in scenario 2, when the bar and spiral rotate with the same pattern speed. Rather, the large azimuthal velocity difference characteristic of scenario 1, when the bar and spiral have different speeds, likely enhances collision. Note that even if the spiral arms were to rotate with the same high pattern speed as the bar, the likelihood of collision in such a scenario would still be reduced. In this case, the spiral arms would sit entirely outside corotation, thus leading to azimuthal streaming motions directed in the opposite sense to that described above (even as they approach zero near corotation).

Numerical simulations by Renaud et al. (2013, 2015) and Emsellem et al. (2015) model a Milky-Way-like galaxy at subpc resolution to study various aspects of ISM interactions from large spiral- and bar-scales down to the fueling of central black holes. Recently, Renaud et al. (2015) investigated the impact of bars to trigger and/or regulate star formation. In this study, they also found that the leading edges of bars are favorable for converging gas flows and large-scale shocks (see also Athanassoula 1992). While the gas circulates fast along the bar, it slows down and accumulates at both bar ends. Hence, orbital crowding at the edge of the bar can then lead to cloud-cloud interactions.

Shear motions may act destructively on the star formation process. However, in the case of NGC3627, gas surface densities at the bar end exceed the critical density for stabilization from shear or Coriolis forces. We have estimated the shear at the bar end adopting the rotation curve in Figure 4. Only background shear due to differential rotation of the disk material is important at the end of the bar because shear due to gradients in the motions within the bar potential go to zero at the bar end, where streaming motions are reduced (e.g., Athanassoula et al. 2013 and as described by the analytical model here). Following Elmegreen (1993) (and see Meidt et al. 2013), one can estimate the shear critical surface density as \( \Sigma_{\text{crit, shear}} = 2.5 \rho_0 \sigma^2 R_c \), where the Oort parameter \( A = 1/2(c_v/R - dv_c/dR) \) measures the shear rate and \( \sigma \) is the gas velocity dispersion. At the end of the bar, \( R = 49'' \), we find the shear critical surface density to be 85 \( M_\odot \text{pc}^{-2} \). We compare this to the observed surface densities in the two mapped regions: The average integrated intensities of the maps in Figure 2 are 1.88 Jy km s\(^{-1}\) and 2.44 Jy km s\(^{-1}\) for NGC3727N and NGC3627S, respectively. Assuming Rayleigh-Jeans, this converts to 25.6 K km s\(^{-1}\) and 33.2 K km s\(^{-1}\) for the northern and southern region. This is equivalent to 113 and 146 \( M_\odot \text{pc}^{-2} \), adopting a standard Galactic CO-to-H\(_2\) conversion factor (e.g., Hughes et al. 2013), well above the shear critical density estimated above. Since these are just average values, the peak surface densities are even higher. Thus, it seems unlikely that shear at the bar end in NGC3627 is greatly weakening the ability of gas to form stars.

Based on our findings in NGC 3627, we thus conclude that the strength of the interaction between gas populating bar and

| Scenario | \( v_\theta \) | \( v_r \) | \( v_\phi \) | \( v_r \) |
|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 1: \( a_b = R_c \) | 0.5 \( V_c \) | \( -25 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) | 0.5 \( V_c \) | \( -25 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) |
| 2: \( a_b < R_c \) | 0.8 \( V_c \) | 0.5 \( V_c \) | \( -25 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) | 0.5 \( V_c \) |
spiral orbits may be more sensitive to the relative azimuthal speeds of the two components, rather than the difference in radial motions. Bursts of star formation may therefore occur preferentially when the bar and spiral have two different pattern speeds.

We emphasize that the likelihood of collision is likely further enhanced given gravitational torquing on the gas (due to the bar and spiral). When both the bar and spiral sit inside their own corotation radii, the torques exert will lead to continual gas inflow. Radially inward motions along the spiral supporting orbits might be particularly conducive to collision. Note that this would occur in both scenarios.

5.2. Comparison with the Galactic bar-arm interaction region around W43

One of the goals of this investigation is to provide a comparison to Milky Way studies of the bar-arm interaction regions that are hampered by Galactic projection and line-of-sight contamination problems. As outlined in the Introduction, the bar-arm interface in the Milky Way around W43 exhibits several velocity components, a very broad one between 60 and 120 km s$^{-1}$ consisting of several sub-components, and a separate one roughly between 30 and 55 km s$^{-1}$. Several indicators described in section 2 support a picture of two physically related clouds at the location of W43, however, this evidence is only circumstantial and simple projection effects of clouds in different spiral arms are also capable to explain the results [Nguyen Luong et al. 2011, Beuther et al. 2012, Carlhoff et al. 2013, Motte et al. 2014].

Several parameters can be compared between the W43 complex and the clouds in the bar-arm interface of NGC3627. These are the velocity differences between several cloud components, the line-widths as well as the peak flux densities of different velocity components. For W43, Nguyen Luong et al. (2011) report a ratio of peak flux densities between the 100 and 50 km s$^{-1}$ components between 3 and 4 (their Figure 2). These are typical ratios we find in NGC3627 as well. Furthermore, for W43, the velocity difference between both components is ~50 km s$^{-1}$, and Nguyen Luong et al. (2011) report a FWHM of the $^{13}$CO(1–0) line averaged over an extend of ~170 pc of ~22.3 km s$^{-1}$. A direct comparison between the numbers for W43 and those reported in section 3.1 is tricky because of several reasons: First, the $^{13}$CO(1–0) line in W43 traces partly different gas components than the $^{12}$CO(2–1) line analysis presented in the current paper. Furthermore, W43 in our Milky Way is observed along the line of sight, whereas the NGC3627 clouds are seen almost face-on. Nevertheless, the measured line widths and velocity separations approximately agree and are comparable between NGC3627 and the Milky Way. While cloud-cloud collisions in theoretical simulations without Galactic bar effects usually take place at lower velocity-separations (≤ 20 km s$^{-1}$, e.g., Dobbs et al. 2015) but see also Renaud et al. 2015 finding larger velocity separations including a bar potential, and Motte et al. 2014 concentrating on velocity components in that regime for their converging gas flow study in W43, bar-spiral interface regions are special in that regard as they pile up much more material than in other regions of a galaxy. Since velocity separations are similar in NGC3627 as well as the Milky Way W43 region, even if shear motions are strong, physical associations of clouds at velocity differences on the order of 50 km s$^{-1}$ appear reasonable. Although this does not allow a conclusive answer whether the two clouds in W43 are indeed physically related or not, the data in NGC3627 of several clouds with comparable spectral parameters make the cloud-cloud interface interpretation for W43 at least plausible.

6. Conclusions

With the aim to gain insight into the nature of the Galactic bar/spiral arm interface region W43 in our Milky Way, we investigate as an extragalactic counterpart example region the kinematic properties of the molecular gas in the bar/interface region of the nearby, almost face-on galaxy NGC3627. Similar to our Milky Way, we also find several independent spectral velocity components in a large number of spectra toward the bar/spiral interface in NGC3627. Modeling these velocity components as being caused by different orbit families populating independently the bar and spiral of NGC3627, we find that solutions with the bar extending to the corotation radius give a reasonable fit to our data.

The implications of this are manyfold. The similarity of the spectral signatures at the Milky Way bar/spiral interface compared with that of NGC3627 make it plausible that multiple velocity components found toward W43 may indeed stem from interacting molecular clouds in this region, and not be located in different spiral arms. Furthermore, the extremely active star formation processes at the bar/spiral interface may exactly be caused by such crossing gas orbits and interacting/colliding gas clouds. The crossing gas streams may pile up significant amounts of dense gas which henceforth can collapse and undergo intense star formation activity. Furthermore, the gas surface densities in NGC3627 are so high that shear motions are unlikely capable to significantly reduce the star formation activity. While this scenario is suggestive, further investigations of more regions with respect to their gas kinematics as well as star formation activity are needed to further investigate the importance of such cloud-collision processes.
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### Appendix A: Fit results

#### Table A.1. Gaussian fit results for NGC3727N

| Offset  | \(v_{\text{peak}}\) (km s\(^{-1}\)) | \(\Delta v\) (km s\(^{-1}\)) | Offset  | \(v_{\text{peak}}\) (km s\(^{-1}\)) | \(\Delta v\) (km s\(^{-1}\)) |
|---------|-------------------------------|-----------------|---------|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| -10.0/-5.1 | - | -1.5/0.0 | 612.5 | 25.3 |
| -10.0/-3.4 | 632.5 | 39.0 | 639.9 | 20.3 |
| -10.0/-1.7 | 625.7 | 27.6 | 690.3 | 11.3 |
| -10.0/0 | 613.3 | 41.4 | -1.5/-1.7 | 611.0 | 32.3 |
| -10.0/1.7 | 603.5 | 28.6 | -1.5/3.4 | 603.7 | 61.1 |
| -10.0/3.4 | 617.4 | 62.3 | -1.5/5.1 | 560.8 | 24.5 |
| -10.0/5.1 | 614.6 | 38.7 | 599.4 | 12.8 |
| -10.0/6.8 | - | - | 623.4 | 20.3 |
| -8.3/-5.1 | - | - | 651.8 | 12.3 |
| -8.3/-3.4 | - | -1.5/6.8 | 567.5 | 17.3 |
| -8.3/-1.7 | 608.3 | 48.1 | 0.2/-5.1 | 633.5 | 53.5 |
| -8.3/-3.4 | 611.1 | 62.1 | 0.2/-3.4 | - | - |
| -8.3/-3.4 | 600.0 | 29.7 | 0.2/-1.7 | 567.3 | 11.2 |
| -8.3/-3.4 | 643.0 | 35.3 | 627.9 | 64.0 |
| -8.3/-3.4 | 591.7 | 6.6 | 0.2/0.0 | 622.0 | 57.5 |
| -8.3/-3.4 | 619.8 | 43.3 | 0.2/-1.7 | 598.6 | 57.0 |
| -8.3/-3.4 | 607.0 | 61.6 | 0.2/-3.4 | 587.3 | 63.0 |
| -6.6/-5.1 | 582.3 | 23.7 | 0.2/5.1 | 553.2 | 14.8 |
| -6.6/-5.1 | 616.1 | 17.2 | 601.6 | 56.3 |
| -6.6/-5.1 | 561.6 | 38.4 | 0.2/6.8 | 567.5 | 20.9 |
| -6.6/-5.1 | 629.1 | 78.2 | 602.1 | 28.4 |
| -6.6/-5.1 | 611.2 | 58.4 | 1.9/-5.1 | 582.8 | 19.9 |
| -6.6/-5.1 | 647.9 | 21.6 | 607.0 | 11.7 |
| -6.6/-5.1 | 589.6 | 8.1 | 640.5 | 33.2 |
| -6.6/-5.1 | 617.8 | 29.9 | 0.2/-5.1 | 570.7 | 17.7 |
| -6.6/-5.1 | 550.8 | 7.9 | 642.3 | 33.6 |
| -6.6/-5.1 | 599.0 | 48.6 | 1.9/0.0 | 604.6 | 54.7 |
| -6.6/-5.1 | 589.2 | 48.8 | 642.6 | 29.8 |
| -6.6/-5.1 | 609.6 | 12.1 | 1.9/1.7 | 596.3 | 38.2 |
| -6.6/-5.1 | 627.6 | 66.0 | 646.2 | 23.1 |
| -4.9/-3.4 | - | -1.9/3.4 | 569.5 | 31.4 |
| -4.9/-1.7 | 625.2 | 47.0 | 604.2 | 25.7 |
| -4.9/0 | 575.3 | 35.8 | 646.5 | 18.5 |
| -4.9/1.7 | 574.8 | 23.8 | 602.6 | 26.2 |
| -4.9/3.4 | 546.7 | 61.1 | 649.2 | 9.5 |
| -4.9/3.4 | 607.2 | 45.5 | 643.7 | 11.9 |
| -4.9/5.1 | 591.4 | 21.2 | 3.6/-5.1 | 591.2 | 19.4 |
| -4.9/5.1 | 617.6 | 26.4 | 611.4 | 8.3 |
| -4.9/6.8 | 590.0 | 25.3 | 637.1 | 15.6 |
| -3.2/-5.1 | 574.7 | 23.2 | 3.6/-3.4 | 581.7 | 51.8 |
| -3.2/-5.1 | 627.2 | 46.4 | 625.7 | 30.4 |
| -3.2/-3.4 | 619.5 | 70.5 | 618.3 | 40.2 |
| -3.2/-1.7 | 546.7 | 12.9 | 607.3 | 30.7 |
| -3.2/0 | 624.9 | 49.1 | 3.6/0.0 | 617.9 | 50.3 |
| -3.2/0 | 537.9 | 5.0 | 3.6/1.7 | 612.9 | 53.4 |
| -3.2/0 | 617.7 | 40.2 | 3.6/3.4 | 577.9 | 20.5 |
| -3.2/1.7 | 612.2 | 28.0 | 609.7 | 85.2 |
| -3.2/1.7 | 645.3 | 8.5 | 3.6/5.1 | 590.3 | 61.9 |
| -3.2/3.4 | 613.7 | 60.1 | 647.9 | 27.4 |
| -3.2/3.4 | 528.3 | 6.8 | 3.6/6.8 | 598.0 | 41.2 |
| -3.2/5.1 | 559.7 | 9.0 | 641.9 | 26.1 |
| -3.2/5.1 | 596.5 | 19.3 | 574.0 | 30.4 |
| -3.2/5.1 | 624.7 | 16.7 | 628.5 | 70.6 |
| -3.2/5.1 | 647.7 | 10.6 | 5.3/-3.4 | 580.4 | 31.5 |
| -3.2/6.8 | 527.6 | 5.0 | 631.0 | 42.2 |
| -3.2/8.5 | 599.7 | 34.9 | 5.3/-1.7 | 618.5 | 57.9 |
| -1.5/-5.1 | 588.4 | 53.0 | 5.3/0.0 | 614.1 | 52.7 |
| -1.5/-3.4 | 636.0 | 30.3 | 5.3/1.7 | 568.1 | 5.0 |
| -1.5/-3.4 | 550.5 | 15.8 | 608.1 | 49.0 |
| -1.5/-1.7 | 615.2 | 54.7 | 5.3/3.4 | 572.9 | 5.0 |
| -1.5/-1.7 | 675.7 | 10.8 | 601.0 | 59.9 |
| -1.5/-1.7 | 529.4 | 5.5 | 5.3/5.1 | 589.7 | 47.2 |
| -1.5/-1.7 | 612.6 | 29.5 | 677.7 | 5.0 |
| -1.5/-1.7 | 644.4 | 18.4 | 5.3/6.8 | 587.7 | 61.8 |
Table A.2. Gaussian fit results for NGC3727S

| Offset | $v_{\text{peak}}$ (km s$^{-1}$) | $\Delta v$ (km s$^{-1}$) |
|--------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
| -5.6/-4.2 | 906.8 | 19.3 |
| -5.6/-2.5 | 903.8 | 26.6 |
| -5.6/-0.8 | 896.0 | 37.6 |
| -5.6/0.9 | 849.5 | 64.0 |
| -5.6/2.6 | 861.5 | 47.7 |
| -5.6/4.3 | 874.8 | 107.8 |
| -3.9/-4.2 | 904.5 | 23.5 |
| -3.9/-2.5 | 899.7 | 25.9 |
| -3.9/-0.8 | 820.7 | 38.9 |
| -3.9/0.9 | 895.8 | 35.2 |
| -3.9/2.6 | 843.5 | 74.8 |
| -3.9/3.3 | 897.6 | 39.2 |
| -3.9/6.4 | 884.5 | 69.8 |
| -3.9/4.3 | 825.3 | 7.7 |
| -2.2/-4.2 | 903.8 | 28.7 |
| -2.2/-2.5 | 900.2 | 33.3 |
| -2.2/-0.8 | 819.0 | 22.8 |
| -2.2/0.9 | 826.5 | 67.0 |
| -2.2/2.6 | 886.4 | 74.3 |
| -2.2/4.3 | 884.5 | 64.2 |
| -0.5/-4.2 | 821.6 | 14.2 |
| -0.5/-2.5 | 852.6 | 22.8 |
| -0.5/-0.8 | 908.2 | 37.1 |
| -0.5/0.9 | 902.5 | 30.0 |
| -0.5/2.6 | 832.0 | 53.1 |
| -0.5/3.3 | 891.1 | 34.3 |
| -0.5/6.4 | 813.3 | 29.7 |
| -0.5/4.3 | 887.5 | 56.8 |
| -0.5/8.5 | 893.2 | 58.8 |
| -0.5/4.3 | 817.5 | 54.7 |
| -0.5/2.6 | 886.8 | 59.0 |
| 1.2/-4.2 | – | |
| 1.2/-2.5 | 884.4 | 71.6 |
| 1.2/-0.8 | 838.0 | 40.4 |
| 1.2/0.9 | 891.4 | 37.4 |
| 1.2/2.6 | 867.3 | 60.7 |
| 1.2/4.3 | 903.1 | 14.7 |
| 2.9/-4.2 | 787.5 | 21.1 |
| 2.9/-2.5 | 884.8 | 67.9 |
| 2.9/-0.8 | 748.3 | 12.6 |
| 2.9/0.9 | 882.3 | 60.0 |
| 2.9/2.6 | 891.2 | 67.2 |
| 2.9/2.5 | 866.2 | 19.3 |
| 2.9/0.8 | 906.0 | 27.0 |
| 2.9/0.9 | 844.9 | 59.8 |
| 2.9/2.6 | 905.7 | 22.0 |
| 2.9/3.3 | 839.6 | 33.8 |
| 2.9/4.3 | 900.4 | 29.3 |
| 2.9/4.3 | 847.5 | 41.4 |
| 2.9/4.3 | 901.0 | 31.9 |
| 4.6/-4.2 | 845.5 | 36.1 |
| 4.6/-2.5 | 895.2 | 41.6 |
| 4.6/0.8 | 877.4 | 11.4 |
| 4.6/0.9 | 869.9 | 100.2 |
| 4.6/0.8 | 904.2 | 29.8 |
| 4.6/1.9 | 839.3 | 62.8 |
| 4.6/2.6 | 900.7 | 28.4 |
| 4.6/2.5 | 809.9 | 8.1 |
| 4.6/2.6 | 848.5 | 62.3 |
| 4.6/2.6 | 893.6 | 18.4 |
| 4.6/4.3 | 812.4 | 9.5 |
| 4.6/4.3 | 848.6 | 52.7 |
| 4.6/4.3 | 916.6 | 13.7 |
Fig. 8. Histograms of galactocentric radius (top) and azimuthal angle (relative to the bar major axis; middle) at which spectra are extracted towards the north (right) and south (left) ends of the bar in NGC3627. The bar end is located at $a_B = 49''$ according to Chemin & Hernandez (2009). The line style indicates the number of velocity components fitted along each line-of-sight: single (solid), double (dashed) and triple or more (dotted). Histograms of the FWHM $\Delta v$ of each fitted component are shown in the bottom row.