Effects of the radial inflow of gas and galactic fountains on the chemical evolution of M 31
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ABSTRACT

Context. Galactic fountains and radial gas flows are very important ingredients for modeling the chemical evolution of galactic disks.

Aims. Our aim here is to study the effects of galactic fountains and radial gas flows on the chemical evolution of the disk of Andromeda (M 31) galaxy.

Methods. We adopt a ballistic method to study the effects of galactic fountains on the chemical enrichment of the M 31 disk by analyzing the landing coordinate of the fountains and the time delay in the pollution of the interstellar gas. To understand the consequences of radial flows, we adopt a very detailed chemical evolution model. Our aim is to study the formation of abundance gradients along the M 31 disk and also compare our results with the Milky Way.

Results. We find that the landing coordinate for the fountains in M 31 is no more than 1 kpc from the starting point, thus producing a negligible effect on the chemical evolution of the disk. We find that the delay time in the enrichment process due to fountains is no longer than 100 Myr, and this timescale also produces insignificant effects on the results. Then, we compute the chemical evolution of the M 31 disk with radial gas flows produced by the infall of extragalactic material and fountains. We find that a moderate inside-out formation of the disk, coupled with radial flows of variable speed, can reproduce the observed gradient very well. We also discuss the effects of other parameters, such as a threshold in the gas density for star formation and effects of other processes, such as a threshold in the gas density for star formation and effects of other parameters, such as a threshold in the gas density for star formation and effects of other processes, such as a threshold in the gas density for star formation and effects of other processes, such as a threshold in the gas density for star formation.

Conclusions. We conclude that galactic fountains do not affect the chemical evolution of the M 31 disk. Including radial gas flows with an inside-out formation of the disk produces a very good agreement with observations. On the other hand, if radial flows are not considered, one should assume a threshold in the star formation and variable star formation efficiency, besides the inside-out formation to reproduce the data. We conclude that the most important physical processes in creating disk gradients are the inside-out formation and the radial gas flows. More data on abundance gradients both locally and at high redshift are necessary to confirm this conclusion.
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1. Introduction

Galactic chemical evolution predicts how chemical elements are formed and distributed in galaxies. In particular, it follows the evolution of chemical abundances in the interstellar medium (ISM) is space and time. Chemical evolution is determined by the history of star formation, the stellar yields, and gas flows. In particular, most chemical evolution models deal with the infall and outflow of gas in galaxies but very few models have taken the effects of radial flows into account, and even less the effects of galactic fountains on the chemical evolution of the galactic disk. Galactic fountains are created by supernova (SN) explosions in the disk of a galaxy: the gas ejected by multiple SN explosions occurring in OB associations reaches a certain height above the galactic plane and then, due to the potential well of the galaxy, it falls back onto the disk.

Bregman (1980) first modeled this process by assuming that the gas falls back ballistically. Spitoni et al. (2008, 2009) followed this approach and computed the effects of fountains on the chemical evolution of the Galactic disk and concluded that they are negligible; in fact, the gas ejected from the disk is likely to land very close to the place where it escaped. Moreover, the time delay with which the enriched supernova material is coming back into the ISM (~100 Myr) does not substantially influence the chemical enrichment process. Gas inflow is an important ingredient in the build-up of galactic disks and it produces radial gas flows, as shown first by Mayor & Vigroux (1981). In fact, the inflowing gas has a lower angular momentum than the circular motions in the disk, and mixing with the gas in the disk induces a net radial inflow.

Lacey & Fall (1985) computed a chemical model with radial flows and estimated that the gas inflow velocity is up to a few km s⁻¹. Later, Goetz & K{"o}ppen (1992) studied numerical and analytical models including radial flows. Chemical models with radial flows have been studied more recently by Portinari & Chiosi (2000), Sch{"o}nrich & Binney (2009), and Spitoni & Matteucci (2011), among others. In particular, Spitoni & Matteucci (2011) concluded that models assuming no inside-out formation of the Galactic disk need the presence of radial flows to explain the existence of abundance gradients. On the other hand, an inside-out formation of the disk coupled with a threshold in the star formation, could equally reproduce the
observed gradients, although less steep ones than in the case of radial flows. Since the inside-out formation of disks seems to be observed at high redshift (Munoz-Mateos et al. 2007), the more reasonable conclusion was that both the inside-out process and radial flows should be at work in the Milky Way.

Here, we plan to compute the chemical evolution of the disk of M 31 including both the effects of galactic fountains and radial gas flows. We start by adopting the model of chemical evolution of M 31 developed by Marcon-Uchida et al. (2010) including inside-out formation of the disk but neither fountains nor radial flows. The paper is organized as follows: in Sect. 2 we describe the model of Spitoni et al. (2008) used to compute the fountains in M 31 and in Sect. 3 the results of this model are described. In Sect. 4 the Marcon-Uchida et al. (2010) model and the implementation of radial flows in it are described. In Sect. 5 the results for the abundance gradients in M 31 are shown. In Sect. 6 some conclusions are drawn.

2. The Galactic fountain model of Spitoni et al. (2008)

To study galactic fountains, Spitoni et al. (2008) followed the evolution of a superbubble driven by supernova explosions in the Galactic disk. They describe the superbubble evolution using the Kompaneets (1960) approximation. Here we do not enter into the details of this model and only recall that Kompaneets (1960) founds analytical expressions for the shape of the bubble during its expansion in an exponential atmosphere with density:

\[ \rho(z) = \rho_0 \exp(-z/H), \]  

where \( \rho_0 \) and \( H \) are the disk density and scale height, respectively.

In Spitoni et al. (2008) we showed that the total time necessary for the growth of instabilities and for the fragmentation of the superbubble in terms of \( \rho_0, H, \) and the luminosity of the system \( L_0 \) is

\[ t_{\text{final}} = 4.37 \times \left( \frac{\rho_0 H^5}{L_0} \right)^{1/3}. \]  

Once the top of the superbubble reaches the height above the Galactic plane related to the time \( t_{\text{final}} \) (see Eq. (2)), the thin shell can leave the stellar disk and move towards the extraplanar gas. Ballistic models describe the gas as an inhomogeneous collection of clouds, subject only to the gravitational potential of the Galaxy. The way we consider the galactic fountain for M 31 is identical to the model described in Spitoni et al. (2008), therefore we address the reader to that paper for all the details.

2.1. The galactic potential and the OB associations of M 31

The potential well of M 31 is assumed to be the sum of three components as suggested by Howley et al. (2008): a dark matter halo, a bulge, and a disk. The dark matter halo gravitational potential is assumed to follow the Navarro et al. (1996) profile:

\[ \Phi_h(r) = -\frac{4\pi G \rho_c r_h^2}{r} \ln \left( \frac{r + r_h}{r_h} \right) \]  

where \( \delta_c \) is a dimensionless density parameter, \( \rho_c \) is today’s critical density with Hubble constant \( h = 0.71 \) in units of 100 km s\(^{-1}\) Mpc\(^{-1}\), and \( r_h \) the halo scale radius (Navarro et al. 1996). The bulge gravitational potential is given by (Hernquist 1990):

\[ \Phi_b(r) = -\frac{GM_b}{a_0 + r} \]  

where \( a_0 \) is the scale radius, and \( M_b \) is the bulge mass.

For the disk potential we have chosen the axisymmetrical Miyamoto & Nagai (1975) model, which provides results that are comparable to those from the exponential disk used by Géhan et al. (2006). In cylindrical coordinates \( (R, z) \) can be written as

\[ \Phi_d(R, z) = -\frac{GM_d}{\sqrt{R^2 + (R_d + \sqrt{z^2 + b^2})^2}}. \]  

where \( R_d \) is the disk scale length and \( b \) the vertical scale factor.

As done in Howley et al. (2008) we use the “Best-fit Model” values derived by Géhan et al. (2006) to describe the various parameters of M 31, with the sole exception of \( b \), the vertical scale factor, which was not a reported parameter. For \( b \) we use the vertical scale height of the dust at a value of 0.1 kpc (Hataño et al. 1997). The values reported by Géhan et al. (2006) include the bulge mass, with \( M_b = 3.3 \times 10^{10} M_{\odot} \), the bulge scale factor with \( a_0 = r_h = 0.61 \) kpc, the disk central surface density with \( \Sigma_0 = 4.6 \times 10^3 M_{\odot} \) kpc\(^{-2}\), the disk scale radius with \( R_d = 5.4 \) kpc, and the halo scale radius with \( r_h = 8.18 \) kpc.

For the interstellar medium (ISM) \( z \)-density profile we used Eq. (1) where \( \rho_0 = n_0 \mu m_p \) is the density in the disk plane, \( n_0 \) is the proton mass and \( \mu \) mean molecular weight for the disk (assumed to be 0.61). At 8 kpc we fix \( n_0 = 1 \). In Banerjee & Jog (2008) it is shown that in the external regions of M 31, the \( H \) values range between 300 and 400 pc. Then we tested at 8 kpc two scale heights: \( H = 200 \) pc and \( H = 300 \) pc.

In our models we vary the number of SNeII in the OB association (SNe). We consider four possible OB associations containing 50, 100, 250, and 500 SNe. Assuming an explosion energy of \( 10^{51} \) erg, the luminosities \( L_0 \) of these OB associations are \( 5 \times 10^{37}, 10^{38}, 2.5 \times 10^{38}, \) and \( 5 \times 10^{38} \) erg s\(^{-1}\), respectively. These numbers of massive stars in OB associations are consistent with observations of Magnier et al. (1994), who showed that the average number of massive stars in an OB association in M 31 is \( \sim 250 \).

3. Results for the galactic fountains in M 31

In this section we report the results concerning the fragmentation of the superbubble, the formation of the cloud, and the study of the orbits of the galactic fountains in the cases of 8 kpc and 18 kpc. In Figs. 1 and 2 we report our results at 8 kpc for OB associations that can give rise to 250 SNeII, differing only in the height scale of the ISM: \( H = 200 \) pc and \( H = 300 \) pc respectively. Figure 3 explores the case of 250 SNeII at 18 kpc with \( H = 300 \). In Table 1 we report the ejection velocities for 50, 100, 250, and 500 SNeII at 8 kpc.

3.1. \( R_0 = 8 \) kpc, \( H = 200 \) pc

We computed the model with an OB association composed of 250 SNeII at 8 kpc, and the ISM height scale is 200 pc. Using the Kompaneets approximation we found that the superbubble is already fragmented in clouds when its top reaches \( z_1 = 636 \) pc (\( \sim 3H \) as found in Spitoni et al. 2008; Mac Low 1994). This phase
Fig. 1. Galactic fountains reported in the meridional plane with the spatial initial conditions: \((R,z) = (8 \text{ kpc}, 636 \text{ pc})\). The red filled circle on the \(R\) axis is the average falling radial coordinate.

Fig. 2. Galactic fountains reported in the meridional plane with the spatial initial conditions: \((R,z) = (8 \text{ kpc}, 955 \text{ pc})\). The red filled circle on the \(R\) axis is the average falling radial coordinate.

Fig. 3. Galactic fountains reported in the meridional plane with the spatial initial conditions: \((R,z) = (18 \text{ kpc}, 955 \text{ pc})\). The red filled circle on the \(R\) axis is the average falling radial coordinate.

Table 1. Ejection velocities of our clouds as a function of the number of SNeII and the height scale, when the superbubble is fixed at 8 kpc.

| SNe | \(H = 200\) | \(H = 300\) |
|-----|------------|------------|
| 50  | 37         | 28         |
| 100 | 46         | 35         |
| 250 | 63         | 47         |
| 500 | 79         | 60         |

lasts 11.7 Myr. In Fig. 1 we followed the orbit of our fountains. The initial velocity in this model is 63 km s\(^{-1}\), and our main result is that the clouds are generally thrown outward, but the average landing coordinate is 8.29 kpc (only \(\Delta R = 0.29\) kpc). The average orbit time is 42.7 Myr.

3.2. \(R_0 = 8 \text{ kpc}, H = 300 \text{ pc}\)

Then we considered the model with the ISM medium height scale equal to 300 pc. The superbubble is already fragmented in clouds when its top reaches \(z_1 = 955 \text{ pc} (= 3H)\). This phase lasts 22.9 Myr. In Fig. 2 we followed the orbit of the fountains with an initial velocity of 47 km s\(^{-1}\), and our main result is that the clouds are generally thrown outward, but the average landing coordinate is 8.15 kpc (only \(\Delta R = 0.15\) kpc). The average orbit time is 39.6 Myr.

3.3. \(R_0 = 18 \text{ kpc}, H = 300 \text{ pc}\)

In Fig. 3 we followed the orbit of fountains with an initial velocity of 47 km s\(^{-1}\) and initial radial coordinate fixed at 18 kpc. Also the clouds are generally thrown outward in this case and the average landing coordinate is 18.89 kpc (\(\Delta R = 0.89\) kpc). The average orbit time is 147.1 Myr.

Because the average landing coordinate differs for the throwing one for a value always less than 1 kpc, we conclude that the effects of galactic fountains cannot directly affect the chemical evolution of M 31, as we found for the Milky Way in Spitoni et al. (2008). Even if we consider the delay in the chemical evolution enrichment, because the clouds originating in the galactic fountain processes take a finite time to orbit the galaxy and fall back onto the disk, we find that this effect is negligible, since the delays are the same as found in Spitoni et al. (2010) for the Milky Way (~100 Myr). These delays have been proven not to have any substantial effects on the process of chemical evolution.

However, the infalling gas onto the disk, created by the galactic fountain, can be affected by the loss of angular momentum (Lacey & Fall 1985), in the same way as for the primordial infall of gas. Therefore, this fountain gas can participate to the radial inflow toward the galactic center, as we show in the next sections.

Concerning galactic fountains, we also recall that in the MaGICC (Brook et al. 2012a,b,c; Pilkington et al. 2012b) program, where hydrodynamical simulation in a cosmological context are presented, fountains can be an important process during the galactic evolution. First of all, in Brook et al. (2012a) it was shown that the majority of gas that loses angular momentum and falls into the central region of the galaxy during the merging epoch is blown back into the hot halo, with much of it returning later to form stars in the disk. They propose that this mechanism of redistribution of angular momentum via a galactic fountain can solve the angular momentum/bulgeless disk problem of the Milky Way.
cold dark matter paradigm. It was shown that this redistribution of angular momentum via large-scale galactic fountains can lead to forming of massive bulges.

The MaGICC program has also found that a extensive and significant mixing of fountain material throughout the disk can have a strong impact on the disk’s chemistry. In fact, Brook et al. (2012c) shows that disk stars are dominated by smoothly accreted gas but a significant amount of gas that feeds the thin disk does come from gas-rich mergers. Much of this is recycled to the disk via the hot halo, after being ejected from the star forming regions of the galaxy during starbursts. This large-scale galactic fountain process allows the recycled gas to gain angular momentum and aids in suppressing of the ubiquitous G-dwarf problem (Pilkington et al. 2012b).

The importance of strong feedback in securing correct scaling relations for disks and the correct coronal gas abundances in a cosmological context is discussed in Brook et al. (2012a,b,c) and Stinson et al. (2012). It is shown that the scale of outflows invoked in models matches the observed absorption line features of local galaxies (Prochaska et al. 2011; Tumlinson et al. 2011).

4. The chemical evolution model of M 31

4.1. Our reference model for M 31

To reproduce the chemical evolution of the M 31 disk, we started from the one-infall chemical evolution model presented by Marcon-Uchida et al. (2010), where the details can be found, and then we introduced radial flows in the same way as in Spitoni & Matteucci (2011, see Sect. 4.1). In the starting model, the galactic disk is divided into several concentric rings that evolve independently without any exchange of matter.

The disk is built up in an “inside-out” scenario that is a necessary condition for reproducing the radial abundance gradients when no radial gas flows are considered (Colavitti et al. 2008). For the star formation rate (SFR) a Schmidt law was used:

\[
\psi(r, t) = \nu \Sigma_{\text{gas}}(r, t).
\]

(6)

The star formation efficiency \(\nu\) is assumed to vary with the galactocentric distance in the following way:

\[
\nu = 24 R - 1.5 \text{ Gyr}^{-1}
\]

(7)

until it reaches a minimum value of 0.5 Gyr\(^{-1}\) and then is assumed to be constant.

This is suggested by the best model of Marcon-Uchida et al. (2010) meant to reproduce the present day gas profile of M 31. This gas profile is different relative to that of the Milky Way: the gas increases with decreasing galactic radius, and after reaching a peak (at around 12 kpc) it decreases steeply towards the center, thus suggesting a different scenario. This trend is probably the signature of a very prominent spiral arm that is detectable in M 31.

4.2. The chemical evolution models of M 31 in the literature

Several studies in the past have addressed the modeling of the chemical evolution of M 31. Here we compare our reference model of Marcon-Uchida et al. (2010) model of M 31 with some of them.

As in Marcon-Uchida et al. (2010), the chemical evolution of M 31 in comparison with the Milky Way has been discussed by Renda et al. (2005) and Yin et al. (2009). The former conclude that while the evolution of the Milky Way and M 31 share some properties, differences in the formation history of these two galaxies are required to explain the observations in detail. In particular, they find that the observed higher metallicity in the M 31 halo can be explained by either higher halo star formation efficiency, or a larger reservoir of infalling halo gas with a longer halo formation phase, which would lead to younger stellar populations in the M 31 halo. Both pictures result in a more massive stellar halo in M 31, which suggests a possible correlation between the halo metallicity and its stellar mass. Yin et al. (2009) conclude that M 31 must have been more active in the past than the Milky Way although its current SFR is lower than in the Milky Way. They also concluded that the star formation efficiency in M 31 must have been higher by a factor of two than in the Galaxy. However, by adopting the same SFR as in the Milky Way they failed to reproduce the observed radial profile of the star formation and of the gas, and suggest that possible dynamical interactions could explain these distributions. The main difference between the best model of M 31 in Renda et al. (2005) and the model M 31B of Marcon-Uchida et al. (2010) is the absence of any threshold in the star formation.

In Yin et al. (2009) the infall prescription is the one presented by Boissier & Prantzos (2000), according to which the infall timescale is assumed to be correlated with the flat rotational velocity for the galaxy disk. In this work the star formation efficiency is proportional to \(\Sigma_{\text{gas}} \Omega\), where \(\Omega\) is the rotation speed of the gas. In this model it was also not consider a threshold in the star formation.

Carigi et al. (2012) presented a model of the chemical evolution of M 31 also computing the galactic habitable zones (GHZs) for this galaxy. We want to underline that they adopted the instantaneous recycling approximation, and therefore they can study only elements produced mainly by massive stars, such as oxygen.

4.3. The implementation of the radial inflow on M 31 chemical evolution model

In Spitoni et al. (2011) we considered the case of radial inflow of gas for the Milky Way disk. Here we have followed the same procedure to include radial gas flows in the disk of M 31.

We define the \(k\)th shell in terms of the galactocentric radius \(r_k\), its inner and outer edge being labeled as \(r_{k-1}\) and \(r_{k+1}\). Through these edges, gas inflow occurs with velocity \(v_{r_k^-}\) and \(v_{r_k^+}\), respectively. The flow velocities are assumed to be positive outward and negative inward.

The radial flow term to be added into the chemical evolution equation is

\[
\frac{d}{dt} G(r_k, t) \bigg|_{\text{r flow}} = -\beta_k G(r_k, t) + \gamma_k G(r_{k+1}, t),
\]

(8)

where \(\beta_k\) and \(\gamma_k\) are, respectively,

\[
\beta_k = -\frac{2}{r_k + \frac{2}{r_{k+1} - r_k}} \left[ v_{r_k^-} \frac{r_k - r_{k-1}}{r_{k+1} - r_{k-1}} \right]
\]

(9)

\[
\gamma_k = \frac{2}{r_k + \frac{2}{r_{k+1} - r_k}} \left[ v_{r_k^+} \frac{r_k + r_{k+1}}{r_{k+1} - r_{k-1}} \right] \frac{\sigma_{\text{Ak}+1}}{\sigma_{\text{Ak}}},
\]

(10)

where \(\sigma_{\text{Ak}+1}\) and \(\sigma_{\text{Ak}}\) are the actual density profile at the radii \(r_{k+1}\) and \(r_k\), respectively.
Table 2. Model parameters.

| Models   | \( \tau_d \)     | \( \nu \)  | Threshold | Radial inflow |
|----------|------------------|-----------|-----------|---------------|
| M 31N    | 0.62 R (kpc) +1.62 Gyr | 24/R-1.5 Gyr\(^{-1}\) | 5 \( M_\odot \) pc\(^{-2}\) | no            |
| M 31B    | 0.62 R (kpc) +1.62 Gyr | 2 Gyr\(^{-1}\) | no        | no            |
| M 31R    | 0.62 R (kpc) +1.62 Gyr | 2 Gyr\(^{-1}\) | no        | yes, variable speed |

![Fig. 4. Oxygen abundances observed in the HII Regions of M 31. Data taken by Galarza et al. (1999), Trundle et al. (2002), Blair et al. (1982), and Dennefeld & Kunth (1981).](image)

In our implementation of the radial inflow of gas in M 31, only the gas that resides inside the galactic disk within the radius of 22 kpc can move inward by radial inflow, and as boundary condition we impose that there is no flow of gas from regions outside the ring centered at 22 kpc.

5. Radial flows results

We computed the oxygen gradient along the disk of M 31 and compared it to the available data. In particular, we adopted the same data as in Marcon-Uchida et al. (2010). In Fig. 4 we report the whole collection of the data that we used in this paper: Galarza et al. (1999) (HII regions), Trundle et al. (2002) (OB stars), Blair et al. (1982), and Dennefeld & Kunth (1981) (supernova remnants and HII regions).

To better understand the trend in the data, we divided the data into six bins as functions of the galactocentric distance. In each bin, we computed the mean value and the standard deviations for the studied element, and relatives errors in Fig. 5. We are aware that there are some severe systematic uncertainties in each study that might justify larger error bars. In Fig. 5 we also plot the M 31B model of Marcon-Uchida et al. (2010) without radial flows and we note that the model fits the average trend in the data well. In Table 2 we show the parameters of all the models we considered in this work: in particular, in Col. 2 there is the assumed inside-out law, in Col. 3 the assumed efficiency of star formation, and in Col. 3 the presence or absence of radial flows. Model M 31B is the best model adopted by Marcon-Uchida et al. (2010). This model contains an inside-out formation of the disk, coupled with variable efficiency of star formation and a threshold in the gas density, and it can reproduce the data without invoking radial flows. However, the existence of a threshold in the star formation process has been questioned by several GALEX studies (e.g. Boissier et al. 2007). Without such a threshold the model would not reproduce the external parts of the disk of M 31 so well. In addition, the hypothesis of variable star formation efficiency is not proven and with constant star formation efficiency, the gradient would look much flatter. Therefore, we can conclude, as Spitoni & Matteucci (2011) did for the Milky Way disk, that radial gas flows can in principle be very important for reproducing the gradients along M 31 disk, since all these processes are probably at work. To decide which of these processes is the most important in the formation of the disk, we will need more detailed data on the abundance, gas, and star formation rate gradients, as well as data on high-redshift disks.

The first model we computed is M 31N. It has constant star formation efficiency, fixed at the value of 2 Gyr\(^{-1}\), it does not assume a star formation threshold or radial flows. The abundance gradient obtained with this model is shown in Fig. 5. We see that this model fails to reproduce the gradient in the outer M 31 regions.

Then we assumed the same parameters as in M 31N, but we included the radial flows with a variable velocity. In particular, we used a linear relation between the radial inflow velocity and galactocentric distance, as done in Spitoni & Matteucci (2011) for the disk of the Milky Way. In Fig. 5 we label the M 31R model. The radial inflow velocity pattern requested to reproduce the data follows this linear relation:

\[ |v_r| = 0.05 R + 0.45, \quad (11) \]

and spans the range of velocities between 1.55 and 0.65 km s\(^{-1}\). This model fits the O abundance gradient in the disk of M 31 very well, so we consider it our best model.
We computed the gradient $d[O/H]/dR$ [dex/kpc] using a suite of disk galaxy hydrodynamical simulations and comparing it to the chemical evolution models of Chiappini et al. (2001) and Molla & Diaz (2005). We computed the gradient $d[O/H]/dR$ for the model M 31R at redshifts $z = 0, 0.5, 1, 1.5$. In Fig. 7 we show the gradients for oxygen computed in the ranges 4–8 kpc, 4–14 kpc, and 4–22 kpc. We conclude that for the model M 31R, where a variable gas inflow velocity is considered, a constant star formation efficiency, inside-out formation, and no threshold in the star formation are assumed, the abundance gradient steepens with time, in accordance with the Chiappini et al. (2001) model.

The temporal evolution of the abundance gradients within cosmological hydrodynamical simulations has been shown to be sensitive to the spatial scale on which energy feedback operates (Pilkington et al. 2012a). Conventional feedback schemes with “localized” energy feedback result in steep gradients at high redshift, which flatten with time towards redshift zero; conversely, conventional schemes that distribute energy more “globally” and/or enhanced feedback schemes that drive significant outflows, circulation of the ISM, and radial gas flows result in flat gradients at high redshift, which evolve little with time. In this sense, the models presented here are entirely consistent with these enhanced feedback models (and vice versa).

6. Conclusions

In this paper we have studied the effects of galactic fountains and radial inflows of gas on the predictions of a detailed chemical evolution model for M 31. Our main conclusions can be summarized as follows:

- Considering the average number of massive stars in an OB association in M 31, the range of the cloud orbits is quite narrow. The clouds are generally directed outwards, but the average landing coordinates differ from the throwing coordinates by values less than 1 kpc. Because of this fact, we conclude that galactic fountains cannot affect the chemical evolution of M 31, as we found for the Milky Way in Spitoni et al. (2008). The reason is that inside 1 kpc, such as in the solar neighborhood, the gas is well mixed.

- The average time delay produced by a galactic fountain generated by an OB association in M 31 is $\approx$100 Myr. As we suggested for the Milky Way in Spitoni et al. (2009), such a short delay time has a negligible effect on the abundance gradients in the galactic disk.

- We started by adopting the best chemical evolution model of Marcon-Uchida et al. (2010) for the disk of M 31. To reproduce the observed abundance gradient, this model needs to assume a threshold in the star formation and an inside-out formation plus star formation efficiency varying with the galactocentric distance. However, the existence of a threshold in the star formation has been questioned and the variable efficiency of star formation with galactocentric distance is not very physically motivated. On the other hand, a moderate inside-outformation for galactic disks seems to be observed at high redshift (Munoz-Mateos et al. 2007).

- The radial gas-flow velocity, which we found to be the most consistent with the data, varies linearly with the galactocentric distance and spans a range between 0.65 and 1.55 km s$^{-1}$. This conclusion holds for the M 31 chemical evolution model with inside-out formation, without threshold, and a constant star formation efficiency fixed at 2 Gyr$^{-1}$. We showed that the abundance gradient $d[O/H]/dR$ steepens with time for our best model, which assumes no gas threshold in the star formation, an inside-out formation of the disk, constant star formation efficiency along the disk and radial gas flows.

Finally, we concluded, in agreement with Spitoni & Matteucci (2011), that also for M 31 the radial gas flows can be very important for reproducing the gradients along the disk, although an inside-out formation coupled with variable efficiency of star formation and a threshold in gas density can also closely reproduce the data without radial flows.

To decide which of these processes are the most relevant in the formation of the disk, we will need more detailed and precise data on the abundance, gas, and star formation rate gradients in M 31, as well as more data on high redshift disks.
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