Mitogen-activated Protein Kinase-mediated Licensing of Interferon Regulatory Factor 3/7 Reinforces the Cell Response to Virus
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Background: Virus infections of mammals have a wide spectrum of outcomes, ranging from immediate clearance to severe disease.

Results: MAP3K8-mediated phosphorylation of IRF3 promotes IRF3:IRF7 dimer formation and strengthens the antiviral response.

Conclusion: The MAP3K8/IRF7 signaling axis ensures that the intracellular innate immune response correlates to the degree of virus threat.

Significance: Understanding the intrinsic cellular response to viruses provides insight into the underlying causes of virus pathogenicity.

The cellular defense to virus in mammals consists of multiple signaling events and requires a myriad of protein components. Upon productive viral infection, pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) are recognized by cellular receptors and trigger a powerful signaling cascade aimed at clearing the microbe. Viral PAMPs, including double-stranded RNA, bind pattern recognition receptors such as Toll- and RIG-I-like receptors (2, 3). Pattern recognition receptor activation results in the assembly of a signaling complex at the mitochondrial membrane that includes MAVS (also known as IPS-1, Cardif, and VISA) and engagement of both the classical IKK and IKK-related kinases as well as MAPKs, culminating in NFkB, interferon regulatory factor (IRF), and AP1 activation, respectively (4). This coordinated activation of transcription factors leads to formation of an enhanceosome in the promoter of the primary type I interferon (IFN-I) gene product, IFNβ (5). Transcriptional induction of the IFNβ gene results in rapid secretion of this antiviral cytokine, which functions in both autocrine and paracrine manners. IFNβ engagement with its receptor results in a second signal transduction event that includes the assembly of kinases, resulting in a multisubunit transcription complex termed the IFN-stimulated gene factor 3 (ISGF3) (6). ISGF3 binds to IFN-stimulated response elements (ISREs) in the promoters of hundreds of IFN-stimulated genes (ISGs), whose expression confers an antiviral state to the cell (6–8). The products of ISGs collectively function to inhibit virus replication. This is achieved by blocking many essential cellular functions required for virus propagation. However, it is noteworthy that although IFN-I signaling primes cells to engage in this aggressive defense, they too require a critical threshold of PAMP before functioning (9, 10). Such a dynamic ensures that the cell does not unnecessarily shut down cellular processes that are essential for the host, as well as the pathogen. Should PAMP levels never reach the critical mass necessary to activate the primed antiviral response, a number of phosphatases are also induced that serve to reset the cell defenses back to base line (11).

The main effectors that both initiate and amplify the antiviral response of the cell are a family of transcription factors called interferon regulatory factors (IRFs) (12). With nine members, IRFs have been implicated in a diverse breadth of cellular activities with IRF1, IRF2, IRF3, IRF5, IRF7, and IRF9 being directly
Among these, IRF3 and IRF7 are particularly noteworthy, implicated in some aspect of the antiviral response (8, 13–19). MAP3K8 Strengthens the Antiviral Response

NFAT remains unclear. Studies show that MAP3K8 binds the p50 subunit of NF-κB (23, 32). However, MAP3K8 is induced and activated during a viral infection in an IRF7-dependent manner and that active MAP3K8 inhibits the transcriptional output of IRF3 to only a handful of genes, whereas IRF7 is commonly referred to as the “master regulator” of the antiviral response (23, 32).

Another unique attribute of IRF7 is that, as a result of its unique binding properties, it can also induce many genes that were once thought to be strictly ISGs (20, 33). In comparing the IRF7-dependent transcription to the response to IFN-γ, we identified a very small subset of genes that were unique to IRF7; one such gene was MAP3K8 (COT, TPL2) (20). MAP3K8 signaling serves important functions in innate and adaptive immunity as well as in cancer as a potential proto-oncogene (24–26). MAP3K8 includes pro-inflammatory cytokines and secondary mediators, as well as anti-inflammatory cytokines (38–40, 44–48). Surprisingly, the role of MAP3K8 in innate immunity has been limited to models of bacterial infection, and extensive studies using viral pathogens are lacking.

Given our previous studies showing the robust induction of MAP3K8 by IRF7, we hypothesized that this kinase may have a relevant role in the antiviral response. Here we show that MAP3K8 is induced and activated during a viral infection in an IRF7-dependent manner and that active MAP3K8 inhibits the transcriptional activity of IRF3 while simultaneously promoting the formation of IRF3:IRF7 dimers and activating the ERK pathway. Lack of MAP3K8 leads to alterations in the antiviral transcriptome, which ultimately increase the vulnerability of the cell to viruses. Three members of the SP100 gene family, SP100, SP110, and SP140, are among the genes that show the highest dependence on the IRF7/MAP3K8 axis for transcriptional induction. We show that SP100 increases IFNβ expression during infection, which partially explains increased viral replication in cells deficient in MAP3K8. This finding provides a molecular mechanism for the amplification of the antiviral response of the cell and establishes a framework for how it is maintained at a level that is proportional to the pathogenic threat that initiated it.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Viruses—Recombinant wild-type vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV) and VSV harboring a methionine to arginine substitution at amino acid position 51 of the VSV matrix protein (VSV-M51R) were previously described (49). The attenuated recombinant influenza A virus strain harboring two amino acid substitutions in NS1 (PR/8/34 NS1-R38A/K41A) was previously described (50).

Cell Culture and Reagents—HEK293T, 2FTGH cells, and murine fibroblasts were cultured in DMEM (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% FBS and 1× penicillin/streptomycin (pen/strep). HEK293T cells stably expressing human IRF7 were generated using a lentiviral vector encoding IRF7 as previously described (20). Map3k8−/− and Map3k8−/+ fibroblasts were a kind gift of Dr. Philip N. Tsichlis (Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, PA). Transfection of DNA was performed with Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen). siRNAs against human Map3k8 (Santa Cruz; catalog no. 35095) and control siRNAs (Santa Cruz; catalog no. 37007) were transfected at a final concentration of 40 nM in Opti-MEM I reduced serum medium (Invitrogen), using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturers’ instructions. Infection of cells with PR/8/34 NS1-R38A/K41A was performed at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 3 in complete medium. Infection of cells with VSV was performed at the indicated MOI in DMEM supplemented with 1% pen/strep. 1 h post-infection (hpi), cells were washed twice with PBS and subsequently cultured in DMEM, 10% FBS, 1× pen/strep.

Plasmids—Expression plasmids for FLAG-tagged human IRF3, IRF7, IRF9, STAT1, STAT2, TBK1, IKKe, N-terminal RIG-I (RIG-IN), and MAVS, as well as HA-tagged IRF3, IRF7, MDA5, and MAVS, were previously described (20, 51, 52). The human Map3k8 ORF was amplified from cDNA with the following primers: forward, 5′-ATGGAGTACATGAGCACTGG-3′; and reverse, 5′-TCAGCCATAATTCAGCAGT-3′. The PCR product was then cloned into TOPO (Invitrogen) and then moved either via BamHI/BglII and XbaI or via SacI and Xhol into pFLAG-CMV2 (Sigma) or pCAGGS (53), respectively. To generate truncation and point mutants of FLAG-IRF3, the IRF3 ORF was first cloned into TOPO. Site-directed mutagenesis was performed to introduce STOP codons or alanine substitutions with the QuickChange site-directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The mutated IRF3 ORF was then moved into pCAGGS-FLAG via NotI and Xhol, using the In-Fusion HD cloning system (Clontech). The reporter constructs encoding firefly luciferase (LUC) under control of PRD(III-I) of the IFNβ promoter or the ISG15-ISRE were previously described (20). The vector expressing LUC under the control of the human Map3k8 promoter contains nucleotides −915 to +178 (0 corresponds to the transcriptional start site of Map3k8 mRNA) in the multiple cloning site of pLUC-MCS (Stratagene). The following primers were used to amplify the...
promoter region from genomic DNA: forward, 5′-TGG-GGGGCGATTGGGAATGT-3′; and reverse, 5′-AGCG-GAGCGGGACGATGAG-3′. The PCR product was first cloned into TOPO and then moved via BamHI and XhoI into pLUC-MCS. To generate a stable cell line expressing human IRF7, a lentiviral vector previously described was used (20). Plasmids encoding for EFFP fused human SP100B and SP100C were a kind gift from Dr. Susan M. Janicki (Wistar Institute, Philadelphia, PA) and were previously described (54).

**Luciferase Assay**—5 × 10^5 293T cells were transfected with 100 ng/expression plasmid together with 200 ng of the indicated pLUC-construct and 10 ng of a construct constitutively expressing Renilla luciferase (pRL-TK; Promega) to normalize for transfection efficiency. When increasing amounts of MAP3K8 were added to the assay, 0.8, 3, 12, 50, or 200 ng of the FLAG-MAP3K8 expressing plasmid were used. Empty vector served to fill up each transfection reaction to 800 ng of total plasmid. LUC activity was determined 24 hpt using a dual-luciferase reporter assay system (Promega).

**Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assay**—2 × 10^6 HEK293T cells were transfected with 1 μg/expression plasmid, and empty vector served to fill up each transfection reaction to 4 μg of total plasmid. As indicated, 14 hpt medium was changed, and 30 IU/ml of IFNβ (BEI Resources) was added. Whole cell extracts were obtained 24 hpt, and EMSAs were performed as described previously (55).

**In Vivo Labeling**—8 × 10^5 HEK293T cells were transfected on 12-well plates with 0.8 μg/expression plasmid, and empty vector served to fill up each transfection reaction to 1.6 μg of total plasmid. Each transfection reaction was done in duplicate. 20 hpt, one set of transfections was incubated for 4h with 750 μl of labeling medium (DMEM without sodium phosphate and sodium pyrovate (Invitrogen), 1% FBS, 1 × pen/strep, and 5 μl of [γ-32P]ATP (10 mCi/ml; PerkinElmer Life Sciences)) per well. The other set of transfections was incubated with DMEM, 1% FBS, and 1 × pen/strep. 24 hpt, immunoprecipitation was performed as described below.

**Immunoprecipitation (IP) and Calf Intestinal Alkaline Phosphatase (CIP) Treatment**—5 × 10^6 HEK293T cells were transfected with 2 μg/expression plasmid, and empty vector served to fill up each transfection reaction to 8 μg of total plasmid. 24 hpt, cells were washed once with PBS and subsequently lysed with 750 μl of lysis buffer (1% Nonidet P-40, 5 mM EDTA, 10% glycerol, 30 mM NaF, 50 mM Tris), supplemented with 1 mM PMSF and 1× complete EDTA-free protease inhibitor mixture (Roche Applied Science) to prepare whole cell extract (WCE). 650 μl of WCE were incubated with 4 μl of either anti-HA (HA7; Sigma) or anti-FLAG (M2; Sigma) antibody or with 2 μg of anti-CBP (A22; Santa Cruz) antibody overnight at 4 °C. Next day 50 μl of 50:50 slurry of protein G-agarose beads (Roche Applied Science) were added and incubated for 1 h at 4 °C. Afterward the beads were washed four times with 500 μl of lysis buffer, 5 min each. In the case of IP with anti-HA and anti-CBP, beads were resuspended in 50 μl of standard SDS loading dye and analyzed by WB. In the case of IP with anti-FLAG, bound protein was eluted twice with 300 μg/ml of FLAG peptide (F3290; Sigma) for 20 min each. Eluate was either directly used for WB analysis or treated with CIP to remove phosphorylation. For CIP treatment, half of the eluate was incubated with 5 μl of CIP (M0290; New England Biolabs) in 1× NEBuffer 3 for 1 h at 37 °C. The other half was treated identically, except CIP was replaced with double distilled H2O. Subsequently, protein was analyzed by WB.

**Western Blot Analysis**—WB analysis was performed as previously described (56). Antibodies specific to IRF3 (FL425; Santa Cruz), IRF7 (G8; Santa Cruz), CBP (A22; Santa Cruz), and MAP3K8 (M20; Santa Cruz) were used at a 1:200 dilution; antibodies specific to ACTIN (ACTN05; Thermo Scientific), FLAG (M2; Sigma), HA (HA7; Sigma), IAV-NP (NR4544; BEI Resources), and VSV-G (A00199; GenScript) were used at a 1:1,000 dilution; and antibodies specific to P-ERK1/2 (D13.14.4E; Cell Signaling) and ERK1/2 (3A7; Cell Signaling) were used at a 1:2,000 dilution.

**Immunofluorescence Analysis**—Cells were fixed on glass coverslips with 4% formaldehyde in PBS for 10 min and subsequently permeabilized with 0.5% Nonidet P-40 in PBS for 10 min. After two washes with PBS, cells were blocked with 5% BSA in PBS for 30 min. Cells were incubated with the antibody specific to FLAG (M2; Sigma) for 2 h at 1:500 concentration in 1% BSA. After three washes with PBS, cells were incubated with secondary antibody labeled with Rhodamine Red (Jackson ImmunoResearch) at a 1:750 in 1% BSA for 1 h. Afterward, nuclei were stained with Hoechst 33342 dye (Invitrogen) for 15 min at a 1:50,000 dilution in PBS. Following four washes, coverslips were mounted on glass slides with Prolong Gold Antifade (Invitrogen). Images were captured with the Zeiss Axiosplan 2 microscope.

**Quantitative PCR (qPCR)**—qPCR was performed as previously described (56).

**mRNA Deep Sequencing (mRNA-seq)**—For mRNA-seq, RNA from duplicate experiments of VSV-M51R-infected Map3k8+/+ and Map3k8−/− fibroblasts was analyzed. mRNA-seq was done as previously described (57). Briefly, mRNA was isolated from 1 μg of RNA using sera oligo(dT) beads. Isolated RNA was used for cDNA synthesis with SuperScript II reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen). Samples then underwent second strand synthesis, end repair, A-tailing, ligation, and PCR using the Illumina Truseq kit (Illumina; catalog no. 1502062). The quality of amplified cDNA library was tested using the Bioanalyzer DNA 1000 assay. mRNA-seq libraries were clustered with cBOT (Illumina) and then run on HiSeq (Illumina) for 100-base single read sequencing. Reads were analyzed using a pipeline based on Bowtie and Samtools and aligned to the murine open reading frame database available from Ensembl Biomart. Individual genes were normalized as a percentage of total sample reads mapping to the reference genome.

**RESULTS**

**MAP3K8 Is Transcriptionally Induced and Activated upon Viral Infection**—We previously identified MAP3K8 as an IRF7-induced gene, through comparison of the IRF3-, IRF7-, and ISGF3-mediated transcriptomes (20). Given the specific nature of MAP3K8 induction by IRF7, we examined the transcriptional regulation of this kinase by standard firefly LUC assays under the control of the human MAP3K8 promoter. In agreement with our published results, only activated IRF7, and not IRF3 or the components of the ISGF3 complex (STAT1,
STAT2, and IRF9), induced expression of LUC (Fig. 1A, left panel). In contrast, LUC under control of the ISG15-ISRE (right panel), the graphs show average fold induction of LUC over control vector (VEC)-treated cells ± S.D. B, EMSAs were performed with WCE from 293T cells, exogenously expressing IRF3, IRF7, or the components of ISGF3 in the presence or absence of IKKe. Treatment with IFNβ served to activate ISGF3. Binding of IRF3, IRF7, or ISGF3 to 27-nucleotide-long oligonucleotides comprised either of a sequence from the MAP3K8 promoter (left panel) or of the ISG15-ISRE (right panel) was analyzed. C, WB analysis of WCE as described for B. D, WB analysis of WCE from 293T cells exogenously expressing IRF3 or IRF7 in the presence or absence of the IRF3/7-activating kinases TBK1 and IKKe. Transfection of MAP3K8 served as positive control. WB shows expression levels of IRF3, IRF7, TBK1, and IKKe as well as total levels of endogenous ERK1/2. Levels of activated ERK1/2 were analyzed with an antibody detecting ERK1/2 phosphorylated at Thr202 and Tyr204, or Thr185 and Tyr187, respectively (P-ERK1/2). E and F, 293T cells were transfected with expression plasmids for IRF7, TBK1, or IKKe together with a control siRNA (siCtrl), or a pool of three siRNAs targeting human MAP3K8 (siMAP3K8). Expression levels of exogenous IRF7, TBK1, and IKKe, as well as endogenous ERK1/2 and P-ERK1/2, were analyzed by WB (E), and expression levels of MAP3K8 transcripts were analyzed by qPCR (F). G and H, WT 293T cells or 293T cells stably expressing IRF7 were infected with an attenuated influenza A virus (IAV) harboring two mutations in the double-stranded RNA-binding domain of the nonstructural protein 1 to induce the innate immune response. Total RNA (G) and WCE (H) were harvested at 0, 6, and 8 hpi and analyzed by qPCR for the induction of MAP3K8 transcripts (G) or by WB for P-ERK-1/2 (H). WB was also analyzed for the expression of total ERK1/2, IRF7, and the nucleoprotein (NP) of IAV (H).

We next analyzed the activity of IRF7-induced MAP3K8 by examining its known downstream targets. MAP3K8 phosphorylates the MAP2K MEK, which in turn activates the MAPK ERK1/2 (39, 40). To determine ERK1/2 phosphorylation upon induction of MAP3K8, we exogenously expressed either IRF7 or IRF3 together with TBK1 or IKKe. As a positive control, overexpression of MAP3K8 led to high phosphorylation of ERK1/2 (Fig. 1D). As expected, only activated IRF7, but not activated IRF3, led to robust activation of ERK1/2 (Fig. 1D). To ensure that phosphorylation of ERK1/2 was mediated via MAP3K8, we utilized a pool of three siRNAs targeting MAP3K8 (siMAP3K8). In response to IRF7 activation, WB analysis showed no phosphorylation of ERK1/2 in the presence of siMAP3K8, despite equal amounts of total ERK1/2 protein (Fig. 1F).
Complete silencing of MAP3K8 by siMAP3K8 was confirmed by qPCR (Fig. 1F). Furthermore, fibroblasts stably expressing IRF7 induced high amounts of MAP3K8 transcripts and phosphorylated ERK1/2 at 6 and 8 hpi with a mutated influenza A virus lacking a functional antiviral antagonist (Fig. 1, G and H). These exciting results suggest that IRF7-induced MAP3K8 is required for the downstream activation of ERK1/2 in response to virus infection.

MAP3K8 Inhibits IRF3-dependent Gene Expression—In addition to the downstream activation of ERK1/2, MAP3K8 was previously implicated in the regulation of IFNβ production in macrophages and dendritic cells stimulated with a bacterial PAMP (44, 46, 47). However, it remained unclear how this regulation was achieved or whether MAP3K8 also regulates IFNβ expression in response to diverse pattern recognition receptor activation. We therefore analyzed whether MAP3K8 influenced IFNβ expression in fibroblasts upon viral infection. We utilized a LUC reporter construct, with LUC under control of the PRD(III-I) of the IFNβ promoter, which are the binding sites for IRF3 and IRF7 (5). Not surprisingly, exogenous expression of the pattern recognition receptor MDA5, constitutively active RIG-I (RIG-IN), or MAVS, as well as transfection with poly(I:C), a mimic of viral PAMPs, led to robust expression of LUC (Fig. 2A). However, simultaneous expression of MAP3K8 significantly inhibited LUC expression (Fig. 2B). In agreement with the LUC data, expression of MAP3K8 could also inhibit induction of endogenous IFNβ (Fig. 2C). Furthermore, enhanceosome activity
could be restored in the presence of MAP3K8 by exogenous expression of IRF7 (Fig. 2D), but not IRF3 (Fig. 2E). The negative effect of MAP3K8 on IRF3-regulated gene expression was dose-dependent and evident at physiological levels of MAP3K8 (Fig. 2F). We further analyzed whether MAP3K8 exhibited the same effect on other IRF3-regulated genes, such as ISG15. Indeed, MAP3K8 inhibited the expression of LUC under control of the IRF-E of ISG15 only in the presence of IRF3, whereas exogenous expression of IRF7 again rescued the phenotype (Fig. 2G). These data suggest that MAP3K8 may be restricting the use of IRF3 to utilize the greater transcriptional potential of IRF7 in the context of virus infection.

**MAP3K8 Promotes Formation of IRF3:IRF7 Dimers**—Upon detection of viral PAMPs, the C-terminal regulatory domain of IRF3 is phosphorylated causing a change in conformation that promotes homodimer formation, nuclear retention, DNA binding, and recruitment of histone acetyl transferases (60, 61). We first examined whether MAP3K8 impacted the nuclear import of IRF3 by exogenously expressing an IRF3-GFP fusion construct together with HA-MAVS and FLAG-MAP3K8 in the indicated combinations. Immunofluorescence analysis of 293T cells exogenously expressing an IRF3-GFP fusion construct, together with HA-MAVS and FLAG-MAP3K8 in the indicated combinations, showed that nuclear translocation of IRF3-GFP was induced by expression of either IKKε or MAVS. Nuclear translocation was observed in roughly 80% of the IRF3-GFP-positive cells regardless of MAP3K8 expression (Fig. 3A). Quantification of IRF3-GFP confirmed that upon expression of either IKKε or MAVS, roughly 80% of the IRF3-GFP-positive cells showed nuclear accumulation regardless of MAP3K8 expression (Fig. 3B). These data suggest that MAP3K8 does not impact IKK-related activation and subsequent nuclear translocation of IRF3.
MAP3K8 Mediates Phosphorylation of IRF3 to Promote Association with IRF7—In our efforts to ascertain how MAP3K8 was inhibiting IRF3 transcriptional potential, evidence for additional post-translational modifications became apparent as IRF3, but not IRF7, migrated slower by gel electrophoresis in the presence of the kinase (Fig. 3D). To determine whether the change in mobility was the result of phosphorylation, we used two different methods. First, we treated epitope-tagged IRF3 with CIP. Under resting conditions, IRF3 is a doublet as a result of N-terminal phospho-residues of unknown function (66). In the presence of MAP3K8 (Fig. 3D) because this histone acetyl transferase would now only associate with a single Ser396 phosphorylation event (66). Taken together, these results suggest that MAP3K8 does not block the canonical C-terminal phosphorylation of IRF3 nor impact nuclear translocation, but instead promotes IRF3:IRF7 formation, thereby increasing the binding capacity of this virus response complex.

MAP3K8 did not significantly change formation of basal heterodimer binding; however, MAP3K8 strongly promoted formation of IRF3:IRF7 upon their activation (Fig. 3D). In agreement with increased formation of IRF3:IRF7, colP of CBP was reduced in the presence of MAP3K8 (Fig. 3D) because this histone acetyl transferase association was now only associate with a single Ser396 phosphorylation event (66). Taken together, these results suggest that MAP3K8 does not block the canonical C-terminal phosphorylation of IRF3 nor impact nuclear translocation, but instead promotes IRF3:IRF7 formation, thereby increasing the binding capacity of this virus response complex.

MAP3K8 Strengthens the Antiviral Response

In contrast to IRF3, IRF7 exhibits intrinsic transcriptional activity that does not depend on the co-activators p300 or CBP to induce gene expression (31, 62). We therefore next analyzed whether the IRF3/histone acetyl transferase association was impacted in the presence of MAP3K8. To this end, we performed co-immunoprecipitation (coIP) experiments with WCE from fibroblasts expressing epitope-tagged IRF3 and MAP3K8 in the presence and absence of an active antiviral response (Fig. 3C). As expected, we observed association between active IRF3 and CBP (Fig. 3C). Furthermore, histone acetyl transferase association was not impacted by the additional expression of MAP3K8, suggesting that loss of IRF3 transcriptional activity must occur at the level of enhancer engagement.

It is well established that IRF3 and IRF7 can form homo- as well as heterodimers (63, 64), the latter being essential for robust induction of IFNβ (21, 65). However, the mechanism by which formation of the different dimers is regulated remains unknown. We wondered whether MAP3K8 would influence the ability of IRF3 to form homo- or heterodimers, which would then impact the expression of IRF3:IRF3- or IRF3:IRF7-driven genes, such as IFNβ. To test this hypothesis, we performed colP analysis of WCE from fibroblasts exogenously expressing the indicated genes. As previously described (63), low levels of heterodimer formation were detectable in the absence of stimulus but were eliminated upon IRF3 activation, presumably because of dominant production of IRF3:IRF3 (Fig. 3D). Expression of MAP3K8 did not significantly change formation of basal heterodimer binding; however, MAP3K8 strongly promoted formation of IRF3:IRF7 upon their activation (Fig. 3D). In agreement with increased formation of IRF3:IRF7, colP of CBP was reduced in the presence of MAP3K8 (Fig. 3D) because this histone acetyl transferase association was now only associate with a single Ser396 phosphorylation event (66). Taken together, these results suggest that MAP3K8 does not block the canonical C-terminal phosphorylation of IRF3 nor impact nuclear translocation, but instead promotes IRF3:IRF7 formation, thereby increasing the binding capacity of this virus response complex.

MAP3K8 Mediates Phosphorylation of IRF3 to Promote Association with IRF7—In our efforts to ascertain how MAP3K8 was inhibiting IRF3 transcriptional potential, evidence for additional post-translational modifications became apparent as IRF3, but not IRF7, migrated slower by gel electrophoresis in the presence of the kinase (Fig. 3D, arrowhead). To determine whether the change in mobility was the result of phosphorylation, we used two different methods. First, we treated epitope-tagged IRF3 with CIP. Under resting conditions, IRF3 is a doublet as a result of N-terminal phospho-residues of unknown function (66). In the presence of MAP3K8, IRF3 exists only as a higher migrating form (Fig. 4A). In contrast, CIP treatment of IRF3 shifts the protein population to only the lower form, suggesting that MAP3K8 influences the phosphorylation status of this transcription factor (Fig. 4A). Furthermore, in vivo labeling
using $[^{32}P]ATP$ confirmed that expression of MAP3K8 leads to robust phosphorylation of IRF3 (Fig. 4B).

To refine the MAP3K8-induced modification of IRF3, we generated several deletion mutants to narrow down where phosphorylation was occurring based on the known structural elements of this transcription factor (Fig. 4C). C-terminal deletion of the regulatory domain (IRF3$_{306-384}$) or disruption of the IRF-association domain (IAD, IRF3$_{1-270}$) did not impact MAP3K8-mediated IRF3 phosphorylation, suggesting that the target site(s) were in the first 270 residues (Fig. 4D). However, the DNA-binding domain of IRF3 (IRF3$_{306-412}$), which also demonstrated poor stability, was no longer phosphorylated in response to MAP3K8, suggesting that the target region is contained between residues 112 and 270, encompassing the proline-rich region (Fig. 4, C and D). To further define the MAP3K8 impacted area, we generated two additional constructs that either contained or eliminated this characterized “hinge” region. IRF3$_{151-357}$ showed evidence for MAP3K8-induced phosphorylation, whereas IRF3$_{198-357}$ did not (Fig. 4D), implicating that the observed changes in mobility were the result of phosphorylation in the P-rich hinge region.

In addition to proline, the hinge region also contains a cluster of serines and threonines including Ser$_{173}$, Ser$_{175}$, Thr$_{180}$, and Ser$_{188}$. Interestingly, Ser$_{175}$ and Thr$_{180}$ together with Ser$_{123}$ were previously described to be phosphorylated by the Epstein-Barr virus kinase BGLF4 (67), which led to inhibition of transcriptional activity of IRF3. As such, we substituted these amino acids as well as Ser$_{173}$ and Ser$_{188}$ to alanines (IRF3$_{p}$_rich-5A) and analyzed the interaction of IRF3$_{p}$_rich-5A with IRF7 by coIP. Excitingly, we observed robust formation of IRF3$_{p}$_rich-IRF7 dimers in the presence of MAP3K8, but the interaction between IRF7 and IRF3$_{p}$_rich-5A was markedly reduced (Fig. 4E). It is noteworthy that this P-rich region is distinct from the essential residues implicated in binding IRF7 (aa306–357) (63, 64). However, the crystal structure of IRF dimers suggests that the P-rich region is in close proximity with the dimer interface (69), making intermolecular interactions possible. Taken together, these data show that MAP3K8 either directly or indirectly leads to phosphorylation of IRF3 in the P-rich hinge region, which increases the formation of IRF3:IRF7 and affects downstream gene induction.

MAP3K8 Is Required to Limit Virus Replication—IRF3 and IRF7 are essential transcription factors in the immediate antiviral response, not only for the induction of IFN-I, but also for establishment of the antiviral state (20, 32, 65). We therefore speculated that assembling IRF3 into different complexes (i.e., IRF3:IRF3 or IRF3:IRF7) should alter the virus-induced transcriptome, rendering cells more permissive to infection should the MAP3K8:IRF7 axis be disrupted. To test this hypothesis, we infected Map3k8$^{+/+}$ or Map3k8$^{-/-}$ fibroblasts at an MOI of 0.01 with a vesicular stomatitis virus unable to shut down nuclear export of host transcripts because of an amino acid substitution in the matrix protein. The graph shows viral titers over the course of 48 hpi. Shown are average plaque forming units (pfu)/ml ± S.D. * $p < 0.003$; ** $p < 0.0002$ as determined using a two tailed, unpaired Student’s t test. B, WB analysis of fibroblasts infected as described for A. Shown are expression levels of the VSV glycoprotein (VSV-G) and ACTIN.

MAP3K8 Strengthens the Antiviral Response

In order to delineate the role of MAP3K8 in response to virus infection, we first performed mRNA-seq analysis of VSV-M51R infected Map3k8$^{+/+}$ and Map3k8$^{-/-}$ fibroblasts at an MOI of 0.01 with an attenuated vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV-M51R) that is unable to shutdown nuclear export of host transcripts because of an amino acid substitution in the matrix protein. Our analyses delineate the role of MAP3K8 in response to virus infection, given how the loss of MAP3K8 resulted in a dramatic reduction of interferon response genes (Fig. 6A). To test our hypothesis, we performed qPCR analysis of human fibroblasts exogenously expressing activated MAVS to induce IFN$\beta$ transcription (76) in fibroblasts infected as described for A. Shown are expression levels of the VSV glycoprotein (VSV-G) and ACTIN.

MAP3K8 Reinforces the Antiviral Transcriptome—Next, to further define the role of MAP3K8 in response to virus infection, we employed qPCR analysis of human fibroblasts exogenously expressing activated MAVS to induce IFN$\beta$ transcription (76) in fibroblasts infected as described for A. Shown are expression levels of the VSV glycoprotein (VSV-G) and ACTIN.

The Antiviral Activity of the IRF7/MAP3K8 Axis Is Aided by SP100—Protein. The graph shows viral titers over the course of 48 hpi. Shown are average plaque forming units (pfu)/ml ± S.D. * $p < 0.003$; ** $p < 0.0002$ as determined using a two tailed, unpaired Student’s t test. B, WB analysis of fibroblasts infected as described for A. Shown are expression levels of the VSV glycoprotein (VSV-G) and ACTIN. To test this hypothesis, we infected Map3k8$^{+/+}$ or Map3k8$^{-/-}$ fibroblasts at an MOI of 0.01 with a vesicular stomatitis virus unable to shut down nuclear export of host transcripts because of an amino acid substitution in the matrix protein. The graph shows viral titers over the course of 48 hpi. Shown are average plaque forming units (pfu)/ml ± S.D. * $p < 0.003$; ** $p < 0.0002$ as determined using a two tailed, unpaired Student’s t test. B, WB analysis of fibroblasts infected as described for A. Shown are expression levels of the VSV glycoprotein (VSV-G) and ACTIN.

FIGURE 5. MAP3K8 is required to limit virus replication. A, Map3k8$^{+/+}$ and Map3k8$^{-/-}$ fibroblasts were infected at an MOI of 0.01 with an attenuated vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV-M51R) that is unable to shutdown nuclear export of host transcripts because of an amino acid substitution in the matrix protein. The graph shows viral titers over the course of 48 hpi. Shown are average plaque forming units (pfu)/ml ± S.D. * $p < 0.003$; ** $p < 0.0002$ as determined using a two tailed, unpaired Student’s t test. B, WB analysis of fibroblasts infected as described for A. Shown are expression levels of the VSV glycoprotein (VSV-G) and ACTIN.
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In order to delineate the role of MAP3K8 in response to virus infection, we performed mRNA-seq analysis of VSV-M51R infected Map3k8$^{+/+}$ and Map3k8$^{-/-}$ fibroblasts. This analysis demonstrated that the virus infection induced ~70 genes to a higher level in Map3k8$^{+/+}$ compared with Map3k8$^{-/-}$ fibroblasts (Fig. 6A). Included in this transcriptional profile were virus-induced genes, as well as transcripts previously described to be regulated by IRF7, such as Ifna subtypes and ISGs such as Oas2/3, Herc6, and Gbp6. Notably, among the genes demonstrating significant disparities between genotypes, three members of the SP100 nuclear antigen family, Sp110, Sp110, and Sp140, were expressed ~5 times higher in Map3k8$^{-/-}$ fibroblasts. These data were corroborated by qPCR (Fig. 6B).

SP100 family members are components of promyelocytic leukemia nuclear bodies (PML-NBs), which are subnuclear structures that have been implicated in a range of cellular processes including: innate immunity, apoptosis, antiviral responses, and oncogenesis (70–72). To analyze whether IRF7 induces expression of this gene family, we first performed EMSA analysis, using previously identified IRF-Es of the promoters of SP100 and SP110 (73, 74). Only activated IRF7 was capable of engaging these elements (Fig. 6C). In agreement with these data, qPCR analysis of human fibroblasts exogenously expressing activated IRF7 showed an ~40-fold induction of two splice variants of SP100 as well as of SP110 (Fig. 6D).

The Antiviral Activity of the IRF7/MAP3K8 Axis Is Aided by SP100/C—PML-NBs are known to associate with transcriptionally active genomic regions; however, their exact molecular function during transcription is largely unknown (75). We hypothesized that SP100C and SP100B might influence the transcriptional level of IFNB during viral infection, given how the loss of MAP3K8 resulted in a dramatic reduction of interferon response genes (Fig. 6A). To test our hypothesis, we exogenously expressed MAVS to induce IFNB transcription (76) in the presence or absence of SP100C or SP100B. As expected, expression of SP100C or SP100B independently did not induce IFNB (Fig. 7A). However, co-expression of MAVS with SP100C or SP100B led to a ~5-fold increase of IFNB transcripts compared with MAVS only (Fig. 7A). We next ascertained whether this transcriptional dichotomy was a component of the under-
lying molecular mechanism that increased virus replication levels in Map3k8−/− fibroblasts. To this end, we expressed SP100C and SP100B and subsequently infected these cells with VSV at an MOI of 0.1. As a positive control, we expressed MAVS. Excitingly, expression of SP100C or SP100B significantly reduced VSV titers by almost a full log, mirroring the inverse correlation to that observed in Map3k8−/−/H11002 fibroblasts (Fig. 7B). These titer data could further be corroborated at a protein level (Fig. 7C). Consistent with increased IFNβ transcription, expression of STAT1, a critical ISG, was increased in cells expressing SP100C or B, as compared with vector-treated cells (Fig. 7C). Taken together, these data illustrate that the MAP3K8/IRF7 axis is an essential amplification component of the antiviral response needed to successfully suppress unabated virus replication.

**DISCUSSION**

Chordates are continuously infected by viruses with a wide spectrum of outcomes, ranging from immediate clearance to severe disease. To ensure the appropriate strength of the response, a complex network with positive and negative feedback loops has evolved (11, 77, 78). This network structure guarantees robust activation of the antiviral response only in the case of viral replication (79–82). We have identified such a positive feedback loop that ensures a superior transcriptional response in the case of sustained viral replication. IRF3 is among the first transcription factors activated by PAMP recognition and induces low amounts of IFNβ through a stochastic process, because the enhanceosome is not optimized for IRF3 dimers (21, 65). However, small amounts of IFNβ result in the up-regulation of IRF7, which in turn allows for amplification of IFN-I, so long as PAMP is still present to mediate upstream kinase activation. In addition to amplifying IFN-I, IRF7 activation also results in the up-regulation of a small subset of specific target genes including MAP3K8. Here we show that MAP3K8 licenses IRF7 function by providing this transcription factor with IRF3 as a binding partner. This biological activity then generates an IRF complex capable of impacting a much greater transcriptional response on IRF-Es than the more stringent binding of IRF3 dimers could ever perform. This change in transcription factor utility essentially ensures that the response to virus correlates to the pathogenic threat by increasing transcriptional targets proportionally with the sustained time of PAMP production. It is also important to consider that this phenomenon is not limited to IRF7 and may include other IRFs such as IRF1. In this regard, it is interesting to note that IRF1 was recently found to be one of the more essential IRFs in con-
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ERK, which is also mediated by MAP3K8. The integrated nature of this pathway suggests that it may play a critical role in the cellular response to infection. In support of this concept, Pml−/− mice are more susceptible to infection with VSV and lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus (86), and overexpression of PML inhibits replication of VSV, rabies virus, and influenza A virus (87–89). Further indirect evidence for an antiviral role of PML-NBs comes from the observation that several RNA and DNA viruses disrupt PML-NBs (90). In this regard, it is interesting that Drosophila also utilizes an ERK-based pathway to control virus infection (91) and encodes an SP100 homolog, suggesting that this pathway may represent a conserved and critical means to reinforce the cellular response reserved for imminent threats to the host.
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