Extracapsular Invasion of Sentinel Lymph Nodes Is Not Associated With Disease Recurrence in Breast Cancer
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The purpose of this study was to evaluate the presence of extracapsular invasion (ECI) in positive sentinel lymph nodes (SLNs) as a predictor of disease recurrence in breast cancer. SLN biopsy was performed on 318 breasts of 316 breast cancer patients, of which 50 (15.7%) had positive SLNs. Six (12.0%) of these 50 cases had disease recurrence. The clinicopathologic features of these cases were reviewed. The ECI at SLNs was not significantly associated with disease recurrence. The recurrence-free interval by Kaplan-Meier curves did not differ significantly among patients with and without ECI at SLNs. On the other hand, metastasis at non-SLNs was observed in 12 cases (24.0%) among the 50 cases with positive SLNs, and in the non-SLN metastasis group there were 7 patients with ECI at non-SLNs. Three of 7 cases with ECI at non-SLNs had disease recurrence and none of those 5 without ECI at non-SLNs had disease recurrence. Our current study suggests that the presence of ECI at metastatic SLNs is not associated with recurrent disease in breast cancer. Our results also imply that patients with ECI at positive non-SLNs have a high risk of disease recurrence.
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The status of the axillary lymph node is one of the most important prognostic factors for breast cancer. Previous studies have demonstrated and confirmed that the presence of extracapsular invasion (ECI) at metastatic lymph nodes is significantly related to prognosis in various types of cancer including breast cancer.\textsuperscript{1,11} The ability of metastatic nodes to recruit degradation factors, such as matrix metalloproteinases, that permit cancer cells to break through the lymph node capsule is indicative of a very aggressive cancer.\textsuperscript{12,14} We have previously reported that the presence of an ECI at sentinel lymph nodes (SLNs) is a strong predictor of residual axillary disease, or nonsentinel lymph node (NSLN) metastasis in breast cancer.\textsuperscript{15} However, it remains to be determined whether ECI at SLNS, not axillary metastatic lymph nodes, is correlated with disease recurrence in breast cancer. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the presence of ECI in positive SLNs as a predictor of disease recurrence in breast cancer.

Methods

Three hundred sixteen consecutive patients and 318 breasts with breast cancer with clinically negative nodes were enrolled in this study biopsy at the Department of General Surgical Science, Graduate School of Medicine, Gunma University and Gunma Cancer Center from January 2005 to May 2008. Patients with previously diagnosed breast cancer, prior axillary surgery, or incomplete clinical information were excluded. None of the patients had received neo-adjuvant chemotherapy. Of these eligible cases, 50 (16.7\%) had positive SLN and were analyzed in this study. Informed consent was obtained from all patients. Data were collected retrospectively.

The SLN biopsy was performed using both a radioisotope tracer and blue dye injection. Briefly, 12 hours prior to surgery, the radioisotope with 99mTc-phytate colloid was injected subcutaneously in the periareolar region. Intradermal injection of a blue dye, indigocarmine, in the periareolar region was also performed immediately prior to surgery. Any lymph nodes with blue dye and radioactivity were regarded as SLN. The SLN detection rate was 100\%. Patients with positive SLN underwent complete axillary lymph node dissection. The SLN and other dissected NSLN were paraffin-embedded and stained with hematoxylin and eosin of single sections and were examined in permanent sections. Micrometastases were defined as metastasis <2 mm in size.\textsuperscript{16}

The primary tumor size, age, size of SLN foci, number of metastatic SLNs, histologic type, pathologic grade, lymphatic invasion at the primary tumor site, ECI at positive SLNs, estrogen receptor status, and HER2 status were tested as possible predictors of disease recurrence. Estrogen receptor (ER) status was assessed by Allred scores, and an Allred score of 3 or more was defined as ER positive.\textsuperscript{17,18} ECI was defined as extra capsular growth of tumor cells, invasion of perinodal fat or extranodal location of tumor cells.\textsuperscript{15} Fisher’s exact test, the $\chi^2$ test, and Student’s $t$ test were used to compare recurrent and nonrecurrent groups. Multivariate analysis was performed with logistic regression analysis to select covariates. Differences were considered to be significant when $P < 0.05$.

Results

The 50 cases with metastatic SLNs were divided into two groups based on the presence of disease recurrence. Table 1 shows the patients and tumor characteristics. Among 50 cases with positive SLN, 6 (12.0\%) had disease recurrence. Table 1 also summarizes the results of the univariate analysis conducted to determine the relationship between the clinicopathologic variables and the presence of disease recurrence. Age, histologic type, histologic grade, the presence of metastasis in nonSLN, lymphovascular invasion, estrogen receptor status and HER2 status were not predictors of recurrent disease. The ECI at the SLNs also fell short of reaching statistical significance. As shown in Table 1, the positive predictive values of the ECI at the SLNs in the prediction of recurrent disease were only 25\%. In the univariate analysis the primary tumor size and number of positive lymph nodes were factors significantly associated with disease recurrence. Time-to-tumor recurrence by Kaplan–Meier curves differ relatively among patients with the presence of ECI in SLNs, but no statistically significant differences were observed (Fig. 1).

Discussion

Lymph node metastasis is one of the most important prognostic factors in patients with breast cancer, and many studies have indicated that the number of metastatic nodes affect prognosis. Regarding ECI, previous studies have demonstrated and confirmed...
that the presence of ECI at metastatic lymph nodes is significantly associated with prognoses in various types of cancer.\textsuperscript{1–9} The importance of ECI as a risk factor for either local or distant recurrence and poorer survival in breast cancer patients also has been suggested and confirmed by several studies.\textsuperscript{11,12} Tumor cells invade the lymphatic vessels, which enable tumor cells to penetrate into the lymphatic system. Both experimental tumor models and human clinicopathologic data indicate that the growth of lymphatic vessels near solid tumors is often associated with lymph node metastasis.\textsuperscript{12–14} In our previous study, the presence of an ECI at SLNs was found to be a strong predictor of residual axillary disease, or NSLN metastasis.\textsuperscript{15} Thus, this study was undertaken to evaluate the presence of ECI in positive SLNs as a predictor of disease recurrence in breast cancer. The key observations made in this study can be summarized as follows: In our metastatic SLN cases, the number of positive lymph nodes and primary tumor size were significantly associated with the recurrence, but the presence of an ECI at SLNs was not a predictor of recurrent disease.

The ability of metastatic nodes to recruit degradation factors that permit cancer cells to break through the lymph node capsule is indicative of a very aggressive breast cancer. Thus, ECI is a biologic marker of aggressive nodal disease and reflects nodal spread. In our current study, ECI at metastatic SLNs was not a predictor of recurrent disease. In our series, metastasis at non-SLNs was observed in 12 cases (24.0%) among the 50 cases with positive SLNs (Table 1); in the NSLN metastasis group there were 7 patients with ECI at NSLNs. Three of 7 cases with ECI at NSLNs had disease recurrence and none of those 5 without ECI at NSLNs had disease recurrence and the positive predictive values of the ECI at the NSLNs in the prediction of recurrent disease was 42.9%; our findings essentially support those previous reports.\textsuperscript{1–11} On the other hand, 3 cases (50%) among the 6 cases with recurrence did not have NSLN metastasis. Our results indicate that the presence of ECI at positive lymph nodes is significantly related to the nodal spread of tumor cells in breast cancer patients. These findings suggest that there is a different mechanism or process to spread cancer cells and metastasis from ECI at positive nodes in breast cancer.

This study has several potential limitations. The major limitation is that it used retrospective methods of data collection. In addition, the number of cases in our study was relatively small and the follow-up periods were relatively short. However, the clinical implications of this data are very important, and these findings serve to emphasize that ECI at NSLNs, but not SLNs, may be an important prognostic factor for breast cancer. Additional research is needed to explore this putative association between the presence of ECI at NSLNs and the risk of recurrence.

### Table 1  Patient and tumor characteristics

|                      | Present (n = 6) | Absent (n = 44) | P       |
|----------------------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| age (y.o.)           | 58.0 ± 5.2     | 57.7 ± 10.9    | 0.940   |
| Disease:             |                |                |         |
| Papillotubular       | 1              | 7              | 0.842   |
| Solid tubular        | 1              |                |         |
| Scirrhous            | 4              | 27             |         |
| others               | 0              | 5              |         |
| Tumor size (mm)      | 4.42 ± 1.99    | 2.35 ± 1.37    | 0.004   |
| Histologic grade     |                |                |         |
| 1                    | 0              | 16             | 0.158   |
| 2                    | 2              | 13             |         |
| 3                    | 4              | 15             |         |
| Size of largest SLN  | 8.40 ± 4.45    | 5.95 ± 3.91    | 0.210   |
| metastasis (mm)      |                |                |         |
| Number of positive   | 3.17 ± 2.54    | 1.75 ± 1.26    | 0.036   |
| lymph nodes          |                |                |         |
| ECI at SLNs          | 3              | 9              | 0.280   |
| Lymphovascular invasion | 6            | 42             | 0.564   |
| Estrogen receptor status | 4          | 39             | 0.408   |
| HER2 status          | 1              | 10             | 0.850   |
| Adjuvant therapy     | 5              | 43             | 0.564   |

Values are expressed as mean ±SD.

SLN, sentinel lymph node; ECI, extracapsular invasion.

---

**Fig. 1** Time-to-tumor recurrence by Kaplan–Meier curves differ relatively among patients with the presence of ECI in SLNs, but no statistically significant differences were observed.
In conclusion, our previous study and the present results suggest that the presence of ECI at metastatic SLNs is associated with the presence of positive NSLN but not associated with recurrent disease in breast cancer. Our results also imply that patients with ECI at positive NSLN have a high risk of disease recurrence. Analyses from large randomized trials are warranted to evaluate this relationship between ECI at NSLN metastasis and disease recurrence.
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