Why should geological criteria used on Earth not be valid also for Mars? Evidence of possible microbialites and algae in extinct Martian lakes
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Abstract
During the Noachian period, 4.1–3.7 Gys ago, the Martian environment was moderately similar to the one on present Earth. Liquid water was widespread in a neutral environment, volcanic activity and heat flow more vigorous, and atmospheric pressure and temperature were higher than today. These conditions may have favoured the spread of life on the surface of Mars. The recognition that different planets and moons share rocky material cast in space by meteoroid impact entails that life creation is not necessary for each single planetary body, but could travel through the Solar system on board of rock fragments. Studies conducted on the past forms of Martian life have already highlighted possible positive matches with microbialite-like structures, referable to the geo-environmental conditions in the Noachian and Hesperian. However, by necessity, these studies are on predominantly micro and meso-scopic scale structures and doubts arise as to their attribution to the biogenic world. We suggest that in the identification of Martian life, we are currently in a position similar to the one of Kalkowsky who in 1908, based solely on morphological and sedimentological arguments, hypothesized the (now accepted) view of the biotic origin of stromatolites. Our analysis of thousands of images from Spirit, Opportunity and Curiosity has provided a selection of images of ring-shaped, domal and coniform macrostructures that resemble terrestrial microbialites such as the ring-shaped stromatolites of Lake Thetis, and stacked cones reminiscent of the group of terrestrial Conophyton. Notably, the latter were detected by Curiosity in the mudstone known as ‘Sheepbed’, the same outcrop where past organic molecules have been detected and where the occurrence of microbial-induced sedimentary structures (MISS) and of many more microbialic micro, meso and macrostructures has already been hypothesized. Some of the structures discussed in this work are so complex that alternative biological hypotheses can be formulated as possible algae. Alternate, non-abiobiological explanations are examined but we find difficult to explain some of such structures in the context of normal sedimentary processes, both syngenetic or epigenetic.
life, which, however, cannot be excluded from the outset. A fertile way to proceed in search of possible life on Mars would thus be morphometric, i.e. to search for peculiar morphologies and structures from image analysis at the macro, meso and micro scale that may be reminiscent of primitive life forms on the Earth. The results of these morphological and morphometric approaches should be considered relevant, especially when they are obtained in a frame of various and convergent collected clues and when also exist a reasonable assessment on the sedimentary environment in relation to the possible genesis of the structures under examination. Previous works have already shown the occurrence of possible structural matches between terrestrial microbialites and Martian sediments, prevalently at the micro and meso scale, based on visual recognition (Rizzo and Cantasano, 2009, 2017; Noffke, 2015), geochemistry (Ruff and Farmer, 2016) and mathematical analysis of the images (Bianciardi et al., 2014, 2015). Here we shall focus on morphological evidence at the micro and meso scale, and especially at the macro scale (meaning at scales between centimeters and few meters), from images taken from the rovers. We discuss how images may be used to pinpoint some unusual morphologies that could potentially be interpreted as biogenic. In doing so, we apply the same philosophy as Kalkowsky’s, presenting a procedure for the selection of images from the rovers (by no means exhaustive) on Mars that may be related to a possible biotic (microbial) origin.

Methods

The methodology adopted in this work consists in analysing blow-ups of wide range images from the rovers on Mars Spirit, Opportunity and Curiosity, identify rocks that may resemble biotic macrostructures, in particular stromatolites, and work out possible abiotic explanations for these structures. If no certain explanation is found at this stage, the essence of this processing may lead to candidates for microbialitic Martian life. Images for the analysis retrieved from the large database of the rovers at NASA website have been examined thoroughly. We sped-up the examination of multiple shots or sequences of images differing little from one another. Images, especially in large-field photographs, have been enlarged to examine blocks of the order 20 cm if in the foreground, and about 2 m in the background. Promising features are so studied in detail and if closer examination reveals forms potentially akin to microbialite structures (including stromatolites, leiolites, thrombolites and dendrolites, as well potential microalgae and/or calcimicrobes), the image has been selected and set aside. In this way, some hundreds of blown-ups have been selected. In a second run, a more critical examination is employed to select further more representative images. At the end of the process, about 20 images more significant have endured in the selection. For each of these images, possible abiotic explanations are discussed. If no alternative abiotic explanation is found, the remaining images were considered as possible candidates for Martian stromatolites.

Morphological criterion for life recognition: the analysed cases

The images in Fig. 1(a)–(c), respectively, from the rovers Curiosity, Opportunity and Spirit, show ring-shaped outcrops on the barren terrain. While the example of Fig. 1(a) shows a donut-shaped body, with nearly perfect border, the ones of Fig. 1(b) and (c) are flattened to the ground, and show evident concentric onion-like stratification. We suggest that such structures belong to the same class, the difference between the donut-shaped in Fig. 1(a) and the two flat morphologies in Fig. 1(b) and (c) being that the donut-shaped is an earlier form in a process of weather levelling to ground.

Two different abiotic explanations for these morphologies can be put forward. Firstly, they resemble the peculiar, rounded New Zealand spheres known as ‘moeraki boulders’ (Boles et al., 1985), a type of septarian concretion formed during the first diagenesis of a mudstone. Although it has commonly been assumed that concretions grew incrementally from the inside outwards, the fact that radially oriented cracks taper towards the margins is taken as evidence that the periphery was stiffer than the inside, presumably due to a gradient for cement precipitated, and to an expansion process by an abnormal internal growing. It is unclear whether septarians result from microbial activity as seems to be the case for other spherical concretion like moqui and their likely Martian counterpart ‘blueberries’ (Chan et al., 2006). Notably, the inner part of such boulders or its cracks filling is composed of yellow-brown iron minerals of limonite group, in many cases, a product of microbial activity (Potter-McIntyre et al., 2014) and its septarian structure is reminiscent of a thrombolitic structure. Often such structures also show a rough stratification, which adds further support of a microbialitic association.

Another possible abiotic explanation for hemispheroidal-symmetric structures calls into question the onion-like exfoliations typical of boulders exposed to rapid temperature excursions, a common feature of desert areas. In Antarctica, exfoliation becomes active when the heating rate of the boulder surface exceeds values about 2°C min⁻¹ (Hall and André, 2001), which at the latitudes of Gusev may occur either at sunrise, or when boulders are shadowed by local hills (Leask and Wilson, 2003). Thus, a Martian sun much fainter than on Earth is not a reason to exclude exfoliation processes for such features. However, exfoliation does not explain either the reason why the borders are more resistant like in the example of Fig. 1(a), or the complete flattening of outcrops in Fig. 1(b) and (c). Moreover, if exfoliation were the cause, a field of exfoliated boulders should likely be observed rather than few, scanty examples. In terrestrial deserts, exfoliation becomes widespread in the affected area, and this should be even more so on Mars, where the petrographic composition is uniform and the thermodynamic effect of the atmosphere plays a minor role. Yet, the area in Gusev crater is littered with boulders of a size comparable to the ones in Fig. 1 that did not initiate the process of exfoliation.

These macrostructures are in fact similar to a certain class of stromatolite domes that initially form as spherical or hemispherical bodies, and then develop internal cracks and evolve laterally. Because the top of the microbial mat cannot grow higher than the local water level where water absence or stagnation makes the top-most mat die, they develop a dip in the middle. Examples exist at all scales, from the small circle of Lake Thetis (Grey et al., 1990) to the giant stromatolites (several meters across) of the Eocene of Colorado (Awramik and Buchheim, 2015). The forms of Fig. 1(a) and (c) also resemble micro-atolls observed in shallow, warm waters (Scroffin et al., 1978). On Earth, micro-atolls are composite structures of diameters ranging from one to a few meters developing from one or the association of taxonomically diverse organisms such as algae, serpulids, corals or bivalves (Meltzner and Woodroffe, 2015). Similar to ring-shaped stromatolites, the form of micro-atolls is a consequence of larger exchange of nutrients and more vigorous growth at the periphery, giving rise to a more intense biological activity of the periphery.
whereas starvation occurs in the central stagnating waters. Clearly, we are not claiming that similar evolved organisms or communities may have thrived on Mars. Rather, we recognize a universal mode of growth of certain biotic communities, especially of microbial type, occurring when the building of their own edifice is driven by seeking for new space with the constraint of shallow water. The erodible nature of sediments accumulated by microbialites compared to hard rock explains well the erosion to the ground shown in Fig. 1(a)–(c).

The problem opened up by the presence of the spherical or hemispherical structures shown in Fig. 1 is immediately connected with that posed by the discovery in nearby areas of the Gale crater (sols 914–919) of bulgy, dome-like structures (in Fig. 2(a), such domes are highlighted by arrows). Also in this case, it is observed that the post-genetic deformations of the sedimentary sequences tend to form sub-spherical structures (Fig. 2(a) and (b)). Dome swellings appear to be distributed at random, and some of which are affected by cross-shaped cracks indicating a swelling (inset a1). Evidence points at their formation during early diagenesis, in materials with plastic consistency. These localized (decimetric) and random post-genetic deformations are incompatible with purely abiotic, lacustrine sedimentation. A physically quiet lacustrine environment and the dispersing medium of a lake, confirmed by the mm-laminated sequences, cannot alone generate morphological anomalies and localized swellings. They could, however, be explained by the presence of bacterial colonies in lumps and agglomerates with gas production, as happens in the microbial mats on Earth. In fact, such randomly-distributed small domes are comparable to the well-documented field of microbial organo-sedimentary structures (e.g. Licari and Cloud, 1972 for the Proterozoic of Australia).

Figure 3(a) shows another example of a ‘bumpy’ structure seen by Curiosity. Bumps protrude (possibly due to higher resistance to erosion) in a fairly regular random-spot fashion from a steep mound. One such bump is shown in more detail in Fig. 3(b). Notice the crustose surface and the irregular edges (Fig. 3(c)). Further enlargement shows the presence of elongated, white spots randomly distributed (Fig. 3(d) and (e)). These spots are
tapered, ending in a point at both ends and irregularly curved. At first, they may resemble plagioclase phenocrysts in basaltic or trachyte lavas. However, phenocrysts typically exhibit more tabular and straight crystal surfaces, while such curved spots are more similar to certain microbiological settings like encrusted filaments of blue-green algae (the arrow shows one of these curved spots). In particular, they are reminiscent of Cyanophyta or of certain green algae, such as the mm-sized fragments of Gymnocodiaceae or some cisted forms of Euglenaceae (Riding, 1991; Hindák and Wolowski Hindáková, 2000; Flugel, 2010).

Thrombolites consist mostly of non-laminated small masses created by cyanobacteria clotted together in a crustose surface (Kennard and James, 1986; Bridge and Demicco, 2008). They may form large mounds and are typically found in saline environments and lagoons. Thrombolites often build bread-shaped small edifices and might be recognized also based on their morphology. Several examples of thrombolitic structures and crusts were also observed at meso scale on Mars and reported in previous works (Rizzo and Cantasano, 2017, their fig. 9, and fig. 13 frames VI–X). In this work, they found shapes reminiscent of possible thrombolite structures; some of which show large lumps inserted in thin-leaf sediments. Similarly, Fig. 4 shows a comparison between a biogenic build-up of a thrombolite (deposited in a quiet environment) and a blow-up of an image shot by
Curiosity, showing a crust over thin-laminated sediment. The possible physical or chemical processes involved in such thrombolitic crusts over or inside thin-laminated sediment are hardly explicable. Irrespective of the details in the development of thrombolites, from a morphological point of view, the perfect similarity of the details is striking: the jagged appearance of the edges, the fissured pattern, the clotted structure and the fragmentation in separated plaques. On Earth, similar structural settings are often the product of cohesive microbial mats covering a surface, consecutively disturbed by alternating cycles of desiccation.

**Fig. 3.** (a) A steep mound seen by rover Curiosity on sol 1256 shows small protuberances on the surface. (b, c) Enlargement of one of the protuberances. (d, e) Detail taken with the Mars Hand Lens Imager (MAHLI) camera; slightly contrasted and amplified b/n images. Fig. (e) shows elongated, white curved spots ending on both sides in a point, interpreted as biogenic forms.
and watering. Note that this picture was shot a few meters away from the case shown in Fig. 3, thus reinforcing the opinion of a common microbiotic environment.

Figure 5 shows three significant examples of peculiar laminolation observed in Gale crater, already noticed in previous works (Rizzo and Cantasano, 2017, their figs. 4 and 11). The outcrop appears as a succession of sub-horizontal mm-layers with domal (Fig. 5(b) and (c)) setting and swelling (Fig. 5(a)) upsetting an otherwise parallel deposition. Note that while in Fig. 5(b) and (c), the layers have been eroded along a two-dimensional surface, in Fig. 5(a), a post-depositional basal erosion shows the deposit in its pristine three-dimensional setting. It is remarkable in frames (b) and (c) the presence of a wavy lamination and laminae (L1 and L2) having lateral continuity but forming a domal structure inside, which is characterized by a local increase in the number of the laminae (on frame (c): from two to the edge ‘P’ to five in the central part). Because on Mars there has been no significant compressive tectonic activity, non-horizontal, abiotic folded layers can be the product either of aeolian deposition or of some other local process such as slumping or sedimentation along a local delta. Aeolian deposits on both Earth and Mars appear, however, as parallel packs of layers that abruptly change the deposition angle, which corresponds to an episode of wind turn; here, in addition, sediments appear locally disturbed on a much smaller scale (centimetric) than wind action would require. While a post-genetic process could explain the wavy lamination, the increase in the number of laminae is difficult to explain, and is one of the features of lateral variations in stromatolites. Such evident heteropy could probably explain the domal growth we observe on the nearest outcropping shot by Curiosity.

Similarly, structures like ‘roll up’ or strongly wrapped/curved single layers (Noffke, 2015; see also Rizzo and Cantasano, 2017, their figs. 13, subframes 1a–c, and 14), respectively, on the top and inside undisturbed sedimentary sequence, cannot be explained with the normal deposition of granular sediments. These structures are the consequences of very high adhesion/plasticity of the layers, a property that is typical of microbial mat and is due to organic polymers secreted by bacteria (Extracellular Polymeric Substance, EPS). As well certain sediments, like clays, when alkaline water mix with cation-rich groundwater could increase their plasticity and have the same behaviour like EPS in microbial mats/films and finally on see microbialitic fabrics. But we have to consider that the environment on Mars during Noachian was neutral and more similar to actual Earth.

Stromatolites often grow along columnar structures when the flow energy of water is relatively low, and in some case, lamination may assume conical or cylindrical shape, often arranged in branched bodies. Figure 6 shows the images shot by Curiosity of a deposit at the border of the ancient Gale lake. In such pictures and in the following figures, white dotted lines, arrows and black thick lines correspond to visible features, and were drawn on blown-up images. The pictures of Fig. 6 show a set of laminated
bodies next to each other and arranged in a conical shape. The clay laminae bordering the conical shape appear more consistent, probably due to higher carbonate amount. The conical bodies are in relief and show an external hole. The foils and cones they form are irregularly undulating and have no iso-orientated streaks; this is particularly evident in Fig. 6(a). For this reason, we believe that they cannot be assimilated to the morphologies generated by impact fractures and known in the literature as ‘shatter cones’ (Sagy et al., 2004); doubtful occurrences of real shatter cones in adjacent areas are now under examination. In Fig. 6(b) and (c), one can observe similar arrangements, but the cones appear tighter and longer; the lamination is evident, forming at times aligned bridges between the different conical structures (dark lines). Also in this case, the laminae are more consistent, rolled and in relief, forming holes on the top, well detectable on magnified images. Cones show a tendency to form branched structures; no far and in laterally setting the same lamination to assume a disordered pattern, becoming deeper and exhibiting convolute layers.

In the same area, on sol 107, the rover recorded laminated, cylindrical structures, with holes piercing the surface (Fig. 7); the occurrence of laminae in such a tiled cylindrical structure is evident (white dotted lines were inserted by following the border of laminae in good resolution amplified images), as well the occurrence of holes inside; these last well shown on frame 7(a). All the described structures of Figs. 6 and 7, both conical or cylindrical, show a combination of features (laminae arrangement, cylindrical shape and holes), which are too complex to be ascribed to abiotic processes. In terrestrial sediments, cylindrical holes can be generated by the expulsion of gases or liquids; for example, inside the intertidal zones for variation of interstitial water pressure, or in saturated soils subject to seismic shocks; or could be also diagentic due to underground flows. But all these cases give rise to very different morphologies, exhibiting isolated and/or repeated structures but side by side. The presence of laminae around the holes, their slim structure and the side-by-side setting dislocation has no terrestrial parallels, and cannot be explained by high-energy flowing or by abiogenic diagentic processes. Overall such structures are really illogical. Such evidence becomes more strong and interesting looking at the nearest outcrop (on sol 78) of Fig. 8. All these structures resemble the terrestrial stromatolite Conophyton, a taxon from sol 70 on to this image, taken on sol 306, the rover Curiosity kept zigzagging around this level, nicknamed ‘Sheepbed’, finding similar outcrops along its path.

Figure 8(a1) shows a columnar feature consisting in a funnel-shaped base (black arrow) surmounted first by a set of laminae (about six) having similar shape and comparable diameter, and then by a succession of few narrow cones. Figure 8(b) highlights the main morphological lines of this feature. A certain degree of cylindrical symmetry is noticeable if the whole assembly is imagined leaning on its right side from an initial upright position. The morphology becomes even more notable if we observe it from a more general context of the boulder to which the frame 8(a1) belongs, as shown in Fig. 8(a). The funnel morphology is evidently part of a group of several columnar, parallel structures (Fig. 8(c)). This macrostructure, built by wrapped and stacked laminae, is geometrically similar to those described in the previous Figs. 6 and 7. Such peculiar and complex bodies are reminiscent of microbialitic forms that grew parallel to each other, and in particular the widespread genus Conophyton consisting of superposed cones. We find some similarity of this group with the toppled reef of Conophyton reported in fig. 4a of Kah et al. (2009) or to some green dasyclad fossil algae (Flugel, 2010).
Discussion

Between 3.7 and 3 billion years ago, as a consequence of a wet and warm global environment, Mars had important lakes and possibly a large ocean (Parker et al., 2010). The possible presence of lacustrine sediments in craters and chasms visible with high-resolution satellite images is now fully acknowledged (Cabrol and Grin, 2010). In parallel, the concept of lithopanspermia according to which rocky material may be exchanged between planets and distant areas of the same planet due to meteoritic impact has been recognized as a possible or even likely process for the exchange of life at planetary distances (Gladman, 1997). Thus, it is conceivable that such favourable Martian environment, similar to the current terrestrial milieu, may have been contaminated by some primitive life forms. McKay and Stoker (1989) proposed that the presence of stromatolites was a logical hypothesis on early Mars, and the presence of stromatolites hosted in ancient Martian lakes was apparently confirmed by images from orbiters. Russell et al. (1999), inspired by a former study by Williams and Zimbelman (1994), and based on Viking images, proposed a stromatolite origin for a peculiar whitish formation inside Pollack crater in Terra Sabaea. Modern HiRISE and CTX images with a far better resolution show the presence of complex sub-units, not at variance with stromatolite bodies. However, although stromatolites may give rise to extremely long structures well analysable by orbiters, only rovers can examine single building blocks at the meter scale. Recently, rovers confirmed that the small areas visited by them (Meridiani Planum, Gusev Crater and Gale Crater) were water-rich during the Hesperian period (also as ice-covered lakes). Comparison with terrestrial findings shows that this is exactly the kind of sedimentary environment where one would expect ancient life to have thrived on Mars (Grotzinger et al., 2015). Therefore, in this work, we have collected some peculiar hemispheroidal-symmetric, elongated, conical and laminar structures resembling terrestrial stromatolites observed by the three rovers on Mars. The question is: how can we interpret such structures as genuinely biotic assemblies?

Even in terrestrial rocks, it is not always obvious to discriminate between certain microbialitic and abiotic structures based solely on the morphology if a closer examination at the micro scale is lacking. Comparative analysis at the micro, macro and mega scale, supplemented by sedimentological consideration, may lead to more certain results, and in fact, it is used on
Earth for the ‘in situ’ recognition of these types of sedimentary structures (Altermann, 2008). At the micro scale, for example, voids or fenestrae embedded on marine sedimentary layers can be explained by gas production by microbial activity inside the different microbialitic microstructures (Bridge and Demicco, 2008). Similar voids, plastic deformations and ‘construction morphologies’, resembling stromatolites, dendrolites and thrombolites, have been recognized on micro-images by all the rovers.
on Mars (Bianciardi et al., 2014; fig. 7 in Rizzo and Cantasano, 2017).

Other structures of ‘constructive’ type that on Earth are formed by continuous, biologically-related building up that assume peculiar shapes at different scales (columnar, spherical, filamentous, lamp- or donut-shaped) have a counterpart on Mars (Bianciardi et al., 2015; Rizzo and Cantasano, 2017). An example is the spherical concretions of hematite (the well-known ‘blueberries’) often cited as evidence for sea-lacustrine environments on Mars (Moore, 2004). Blueberries show complex structures closer to the biogenic world (Rizzo and Cantasano, 2017); they are much more similar to terrestrial ‘moqui’ concretion spherules, of possible biotic origin (Chan et al., 2006; Weber et al., 2012). While for many structures there is always the possibility of a double interpretation (abiotic/biotic), this becomes more difficult for the cases shown in Figs. 6–8 of this work, as it is not possible within normal sedimentation of laminated clays to imagine the formation of cones and complex structures such as those shown here.

However, it must be considered that some structures, such as those of Fig. 6 at first glance, are unclear and could-like messy rock; but upon a careful analysis of rocks in the area, one can note both the presence of very disturbed and convoluted outcrops as well of conical forms, having laminae and central voids. Evidence, the latter, that arises from an initial subjective interpretation, but then appears validated by the precise and faithful reporting of laminae and void position, precisely located and reported on amplified images. The occurrence of single conical forms, isolated by erosion and widespread on the surface, was shown in previous work (Rizzo and Cantasano, 2017). The presence of circular voids surrounded by a more resistant structure (such a shell) was also reported for the image of Fig. 7 in the report of NASA website.

All these evidence, if in the one hand testify possibly microbiolitic morphological features, on the other hand the disorder of sediment structures poses the problematic presence of alternative hypotheses; as are those connected to shock events, the abiogenic shutter coins, although not typical.

As is known, stromatolites structures are often disputed. Many researchers hypothesize (or demonstrate) the ‘null hypothesis’ for laminae contortion of stromatolites, by a self-organized sedimentary structures (Brasier, 2011); others, because stromatolites only rarely contain fossil microbes and their biogenicity is tacitly assumed on the basis of morphological comparisons with modern, demonstrably biological, structures, believes that their laminated structures are not a proof of their biogenicity (Grotzinger and Rothman, 1996); then the double hypothesis (biogenic or abiogenic) for laminated structures like stromatolites is always possible.

However, we have to consider that the discovery of stromatolites was not born from morphological parallels to the living ones, but from sedimentological observations: from a reasoned analysis, based on illogical and unacceptable structures, which were in contrast with the principles of Sedimentology. Such an approach is
especially valid for some meso and macro known stromatolitic structures; the same that are normally used for their ‘on field detecting’. Logics can also be applied to Martian sediments, as we performed for the selected cases presented in this work. This does not exclude the search based on chemical rather than morphological signatures, such as the presence of carbonates or silica (Tewari, 1998; Ruff and Farmer, 2016).

Recent studies with CRISM infrared spectrometer and HiRISE (High-resolution imaging science experiment) on board Mars Reconnaissance Orbiter have provided evidence for a carbonate-rich unit in Jezero crater (Horgan et al., 2020), and for silica in a fluvial delta within the same crater (Tarnas et al., 2019). This is particularly interesting, since Jezero is the landing site for the rover of the forthcoming NASA mission Mars 2020. We have some data on our hands, regarding Mars and isotopes constrain the pathways and formation mechanisms of terrestrial carbonates or silica, being depleted from the heavier isotopes, varies from −0.88% according to the different biochemical pathways (O’Leary, 1988). So, on Earth, carbon enriched in $^{13}$C has been identified in very ancient rocks from Greenland, dated back 3.85 billions of years ago, giving us the earliest evidence for life on Earth (Mojsis et al., 1996). What about the planet Mars? We have some data on our hands, regarding Mars and isototope composition in its rocks. Some of the meteorites that have fallen on Earth came from the Red Planet. Results derived from Martian meteorites, although they are not sedimentary rocks, such as the chassignites, the shergottites and the nakhliites indicate strongly variable values of $\delta^{13}$C, ranging from −2.4 to +4.1%, the former value consistent with the presence of organic material built by living beings (Grady and Wright, 2006). However, the issue is very delicate and alternative hypotheses are possible (Hu et al., 2015; Etope, 2018). If the ExoMars 2020 rover, or preferably when humans will go on Mars, will be able to deeply analyse the isotopic composition of some of the rocks which are highly suggestive for stromatolites and/or fossils, as here discussed, we may have further compelling evidences for the existence of life in the past of Mars.

**Conclusions**

Purely morphological reasoning has proven fertile in Sedimentology, because it was using the morphological rationale that in 1908, Kalkowsky discovered the biotic role in the build-up of stromatolites. At present, we are in a similar position regarding the recognition of putative biotic morphologies on Mars. Thus, one should not dismiss possible biotic morphologies only because based solely on morphological evidence. This is especially true when morphologies with many peculiar and convergent biotic characteristics are present, which on Earth cannot be attributed to the normal sedimentation of physical–chemical origin. In fact, we have shown that several images at the metric scale might be signatures of past microbial life on Mars. Images show evidence of microbialites in a wide spectrum of geometrical structures already known on Earth: domal, conical, spherical, hemispherical and columnar. Abiotic explanations for these forms have been discussed, but appear contrived and less natural. In particular, the structure of Fig. 8 with its conical base from which superimposed laminae depart is the most difficult to explain with abiotic processes. Moreover, such images should be judged bearing in mind that the presence of microbialites in Martian lakes is not only a logical possibility for Noachian and Early Hesperian on Mars, but has probably been already observed by rovers and orbiters, even though recognition as such is still debated and not prevalent. Stromatolites are, in fact, among the best candidates to search for extraterrestrial life if inspection is limited to macroscopic image analysis (Domagal-Goldman et al., 2016). One should also be receptive to the possibility of forms different from the terrestrial ones. Even on Earth, modern stromatolites form only a small subset of the enormous variety of stromatolite forms in the organo-sedimentary record (Bosak et al., 2013).

To summarize, this study shows a selection of Martian macro-structures, some of which may be of biological origin, and advocates microbialites and fossil algae as among the best candidates to search for life on the surface of Mars.
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