Evaluation of ocean chlorophyll-a remote sensing algorithms using *in situ* fluorescence data in Southern Brazilian Coastal Waters
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ABSTRACT

A performance evaluation of ocean color chlorophyll-a algorithms was conducted based on the *in situ* fluorescence chlorophyll concentration ($F_{chl}$) measured by a sensor on the buoy SiMCosta-SC01 in coastal waters of South Brazil. The operational algorithms are used in MODIS and VIIRS sensors to derive satellite chlorophyll concentration ($C_{sat}$). $F_{chl}$ values were successfully corrected for nonphotochemical quenching (NPQ) by an interpolation of sunrise and sunset daily measurements. A laboratory-derived calibration coefficient was applied to convert the unquenching $F_{chl}$ values into chlorophyll concentration ($C_{flu}$). Overall, linear regression analysis between $C_{flu}$ and $C_{sat}$ for both sensors showed good results, with the coefficient of determination ($R^2$) varying between 0.88 and 0.96, slopes between 0.92 and 1.02 and intercepts between -0.17 and 0.13. The MODIS algorithm ($R^2 = 0.96$, slope = 1.02, RMSE = 0.16 mg m$^{-3}$, BIAS = 0.16 mg m$^{-3}$, for $N = 222$ and time interval ±1 h) presented slightly better performance than VIIRS ($R^2 = 0.92$, slope = 0.96, RMSE = 0.25 mg m$^{-3}$, BIAS = -0.25 mg m$^{-3}$, for $N = 284$ and time interval ±1 h). These results represent the most comprehensive satellite data analysis for this region, suggesting that the approach may be applicable to other SiMCosta buoys.

Descriptors: Ocean color, MODIS, VIIRS, Southern Brazilian Coastal Waters, *in situ* fluorescence chlorophyll-a, moored measurements.

INTRODUCTION

Technological advances in space missions in recent decades have allowed the development of sensors such as the Coastal Zone Color Scanner (CZCS), Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) (Salomonson et al., 1989), Ocean Color and Temperature Scanner (OCTS) (Iwasaki et al., 1992), Sea-viewing Wide Field-of-view Sensor (SeaWiFS) (Hooker et al., 1992), Medium Resolution Imaging Spectrometer (MERIS) (Rast and Bezy, 1999) and, more recently, Visible Infrared Imager / Radiometer Suite (VIIRS) (Welsch et al., 2001). Such development permitted researchers to conduct pioneering ocean color studies with high spatial and temporal resolutions. Nowadays, different research fields of oceanography use ocean color satellite imagery, with studies that include the evaluation of eutrophication processes (e.g. Peñaflor et al., 2007; Klemas, 2011; Banks et al., 2012), spatiotemporal distribution of Chl-a (e.g. Gower and King, 2007; García and García,
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2008; Henson et al., 2009; Park et al., 2010; Song et al., 2010), biogeochemical cycles (e.g. Focardi, et al., 2009; Chang and Xuan, 2011) and marine ecosystem responses to climate change and to anthropogenic impacts (Shi and Wang, 2007; Zhao et al., 2008; Kahru et al., 2010; Henson et al., 2010; Cloern et al., 2014).

The development of algorithms and models in the last forty years (see Blondeau-Patissier et al., 2014) was guided by a demand to decrease the uncertainty in estimating Chl-a concentration using satellite information. Among the developed algorithms, we can highlight the empirical algorithms that are obtained through the relationship between optical measurements and the concentrations of constituents sampled in situ, and the semi-analytical algorithms, developed through theoretical mathematical formulations with statistical adjustments (IOCCG, 2000).

The spectral reflectance of sea water in the visible wavelength depends on its optical constituents: (i) phytoplankton (suspended algae) and suspended particles related to algal activity, (ii) non-algal suspended solids, and (iii) colored dissolved natural organic matter (CDOM). In open ocean, (i) phytoplankton dominates, whereas in coastal and estuarine regions we normally have a predominance of (ii) and (iii). The spectral reflectance can be approximated (first order) to the ratio of spectral backscattering and absorption. Algae appears green because their pigments absorb blue and red photons, although have minimal absorbance in the green range. The resulting reflectance peak is around 550 nm (green). However, non-organic suspended matter also contributes to backscattering in the green range. CDOM absorbs strongly in the blue range, decreasing its absorption with increasing wavelength. Since absorbance by CDOM and scattering by particles can affect the Rrs(blue)/Rrs(green) ratio, the retrieval of chlorophyll concentration in coastal waters using standard algorithms present certain uncertainties due to the presence of these non-algal constituents.

Empirical (Garcia et al, 2005, Giannini et al. 2013) and semi-analytical (Garcia et al., 2006) algorithms have been evaluated for the region influenced by the La Plata river discharge. The overall results demonstrated the need for appropriate calibration of semi-analytical algorithms from measurements of the inherent optical properties. They also showed the need for additional in situ data of radiometric and chlorophyll concentration to improve the accuracy of empirical algorithms. Ciotti et al. (2010) evaluated the seasonal and meridional variability of surface Chl-a concentration for the entire Brazilian continental shelf, concluding that the use of remote sensing for time series construction makes it possible to estimate phytoplankton biomass and to identify long-term trends in primary productivity off the Brazilian coast. Specifically, for the coastal region of Santa Catarina state, few studies have related Chl-a concentrations from in situ data and satellite estimates. An attempt was made during the Environmental Monitoring Project of the Arvoredo and Surrounding Marine Biological Reserve (MAARE, 2017). For this, the authors used time windows (time difference between data collection and satellite passage) of approximately 24 hours to increase the number of paired samples. They found a relatively low relationship (R² = 0.36) and concluded that there was a need for more refined analyses with a larger amount of data under different oceanographic conditions in the region.

In recent years, the Brazilian Coastal Monitoring System (SiMCosta) has been implemented along the Brazilian coast. SiMCosta uses floating and/or fixed platforms, equipped with instruments and sensors, with autonomous operation, and the ability to regularly collect oceanographic and meteorological variables (http://simcosta.furg.br/artigos/project). In February 2017, a SiMCosta meteocean buoy, named SiMCosta-SC01, was deployed in the Rebio Arvoredo. Among the oceanographic variables sampled by the SiMCosta-SC01 buoy, we highlight the sea surface temperature, salinity, dissolved oxygen, turbidity, and Chl-a stimulated fluorescence (calibrated in Chl-a concentration units). This set of information acquired simultaneously allows for an integrated analysis through statistical methods to understand which factors are responsible for Chl-a variability in the region and, consecutively, to understand the ecological processes related to primary productivity in the region.

It is important to highlight the social and economic significance of studies related to the distribution patterns of phytoplankton biomass and its associated processes in the Santa Catarina coast region, as articulated by Rörig et al. (2018). The authors identified that the structure of the phytoplankton communities, and their distribution, are caused by the influence of river discharge, the phenomenon of
coastal resurgence, and seasonal variation in the distribution of water mass in the region. The authors also pointed out that the economic activities of fishing and mariculture, traditional in the region, are directly influenced by phytoplankton blooms, producing positive and negative effects (toxic algae). Hence, this study may also contribute with data to support wider socio-economic research in the region.

Several authors highlighted the relevance of acquiring sea-truth data simultaneously to the passage of the orbital sensors (e.g., Werdell and Bailey, 2005; Ciotti et al., 2010; IOCCG, 2013; Blondeau-Patissier, 2014). Therefore, due to the availability of in situ fluorescence data collected by buoy SiMCosta-SC01, this study evaluates the existing operational Chl-a concentration algorithm for MODIS-Aqua, MODIS-Terra, VIIRS-NOAA20 and VIIRS-SNPP by comparing satellite Chl-a estimates with in situ chlorophyll concentration. The metrics used for those comparisons followed the most recent recommendations published on Seegers et al. (2018) and Pereira et al. (2018). In addition, an effort was made to infer the consequences of using pixels both contaminated and not contaminated by straylight.

METHODS

STUDY SITE

The central coast of Santa Catarina has unique characteristics that demonstrate a need for research and technical-scientific development that foster sustainable management and development in its coastal and marine environments. Located in the internal and extreme south portion of the Southeast Brazilian Continental Platform (PCSE) - limited to the north at latitude 23 °S close to the city of Cabo Frio in Rio de Janeiro, and to the south at latitude 28.5 °S close to Cabo de Santa Marta Grande in the state of Santa Catarina (Castro Filho and Miranda, 1998) - the central coast of the state of Santa Catarina has attributes of economic relevance, such as comprising Brazil’s largest production of oysters, scallops and mussels. Due to its environmental relevance, the Arvoredo Marine Biological Reserve (Rebio Arvoredo) was created in 1990 for the integral protection and conservation of coastal ecosystems, their islands and islets, as well as their waters, the continental shelf and its associated resources (BRAZIL, 1990). Rebio Arvoredo covers an area of 17,104.60 hectares, approximately 11 km north of the Island of Santa Catarina, and delimited between the coordinates 27.15° S, 48.31° W and 27.30° S, 48.42° W.

The central coast of the state of Santa Catarina is directly influenced by oceanic water and continental outflows. Through the thermohaline indices of oceanic water bodies present in the PCSE, Möller et al., (2008) identified the Tropical Water (TW) as hot and saline water (T≥18.5 ° C, S≥36), the South Atlantic Central Water (SACW), cold and less saline (T≤18.5 ° C, S≥35.3), the Subtropical Shelf Water (STSW), and the Plate River Plume Water (PPW), with low temperature and low salinity (T> 10° C, S553). Seasonally, due to the different wind patterns in the region, these water bodies undergo mixtures and acquire peculiar characteristics. Especially during the summer and spring months, TW undergoes periodic mixtures with SACW, forming the summer STSW (T> 18.5 ° C, 35.3 <S <36) (Bordin et al., 2019). The oceanographic phenomenon of coastal upwelling in the region occurs due to the action of the predominant N-NE winds causing the SACW intrusion on the platform (Campos et al., 2013). During the winter months, with the predominance of S-SE winds associated with the passage of cold fronts, the displacement of the PPW to the north occurs, and its mixture with the TW result in the winter STSW (T> 14 ° C, 33.5≤S <35.3) (Bordin et al., 2019).

Regarding the supply of continental water, influences on the coastal zone are caused by the discharge of the Tijucas River, through the channel of the North Bay of Santa Catarina Island (Schettini et al., 1996) and the Itajaí-Açu River - the largest river in the region, to be highlighted - whose plume generally moves in the N-NE direction (Carvalho et al., 2010). Campos et al. (2013) point out that the influence of the Itajaí-Açu River over Rebio Arvoredo may occur in sporadic situations in the Rebio region.

The materials flowing from these river systems are extremely important in the distribution of physical, chemical and biological properties in the waters of Rebio Arvoredo (Paquette et al., 2016). As it contains nutrients associated with urban effluents and local agriculture, the supply of continental material can sporadically cause significant changes in temperature, salinity, turbidity, concentrations of Chl-a,
particulate and dissolved organic and inorganic material and, consequently, in the overall properties of the coastal waters.

Following the standard climatological classification of Köppen and Geiger (1954), the coast of Santa Catarina has humid subtropical mesothermal climate with hot summer (Cfa). The region has annual average temperatures between 19 °C and 20 °C, with average annual minimums between 15 °C and 16 °C and average annual maximum between 25 °C and 26 °C. The annual relative humidity varies between 82% and 86%, and the total annual precipitation between 30 and 40 mm (Pandolfo et al., 2002). It should be noted that the region may have significant interannual variations influenced by climatic fluctuations, such as the El Niño and La Niña phenomena (Grimm, 2009b).

**In situ data collection**

The moored monitoring buoy system SiMCosta-SC01 was deployed on 2017 February 22 inside Rebio Arvoredo, over the central coast of Santa Catarina state, at 27° 16.46’ S and 48° 25.26’ W (Figure 1). This buoy SC01 has an optical WET Labs WQM (Water Quality Monitor) sensor fixed at approximately 1-meter depth. The above WQM is part of four optical devices that was acquired by SiMCosta to be deployed along the Brazilian coast. All four WQM were calibrated at factory prior to deployment, and one of the WET Labs WQM, deployed in the São Sebastião channel (SP, Brazil), was used as calibration. The WQM sensor has an integrated copper anti-fouling bio-wiper system that cleans the optical lens before any measurement can be taken to prevent fouling caused by natural algal biofilm. Full maintenance of the sensor occurs every three months by SiMCosta’s team. During the maintenance stage, the WQM data was downloaded directly from the sensor to ensure that all information automatically sent by the buoy’s telemetry system was equal to the sensors’ backup. The *in situ* dataset was composed by means of 20 second acquisitions every hour of chlorophyll-*a* from fluorescence (Fchl-raw), temperature and salinity, between 2017 February 22 and 2019 November 17, and obtained from http://simcosta.furg.br/.

Complementary hourly data of solar radiation (in KJ m²) from a meteorological automated station located in Florianópolis city (see Figure 1), at 27° 36’ S and 48° 36’ W, was obtained through a request form at the National Meteorology Institute (INMET). These data were firstly converted to photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) by a factor of 0.47 and then used for correcting non-photochemical quenching effect on *in situ* Chl-*a* fluorescence data.

**Figure 1.** The study area. Brazil’s reference map (a), subset area over the central coast of Santa Catarina State (b). The study area covered by satellite sensors (c). The limits of the Rebio Arvoredo are shown in the hatched area. The location of buoy SiMCosta SC01 (black dot) is shown at the bottom left corner of the Rebio Arvoredo. Tijucas river is the closest freshwater outflow in the vicinities of the buoy.
**Satellite-derived chlorophyll-a concentration**

The satellite dataset was obtained for the same period of *in situ* dataset through a request form at NASA’s OceanColor website (http://oceancolor.gsfc.nasa.gov/) for Moderate Resolution Imaging spectroradiometer (MODIS), onboard Aqua and Terra satellites, and Visible Infrared Imaging Radiometer Suite (VIIRS) aboard satellites SNPP and NOAA-20. We used MODIS and VIIRS data with 1 km and 750 meters of spatial resolution, respectively. The satellite dataset was composed by daily Local Area Covered (LAC) Level 2 product suite images of near-surface chlorophyll-a concentration data (hereinafter called $C_{sat}$). The $C_{sat}$ data was estimated by a default algorithm that merges the Color Index (CI) algorithm of Hu et al. (2012) and OCx (OC3/OC4) band ratio algorithm of O’Reilly (2000). An empirical relationship derived from *in situ* measurements of Chl-a concentration and remote sensing reflectances ($R_{rs}$), obtained by radiometers in the region of the blue ($\lambda_{blue}$) and green ($\lambda_{green}$) bands of the visible spectrum, returns $C_{sat}$ (in mg m$^{-3}$) in the surface layer of the sea. The algorithm is applicable to all current “sea color” sensors (https://oceancolor.gsfc.nasa.gov/atbd/chlor_a/).

The OCx algorithm (Eq. 1) is a fourth order polynomial relationship between a ratio of $R_{rs}$ and concentration of Chl-a, where the ratio $R_{rs}(\lambda_{blue})/R_{rs}(\lambda_{green})$ must be the maximum band ratio (MBR) value for the wavelengths existing in these bands (Eq. 2). The coefficients $a_0$ to $a_4$ to estimate $C_{sat}$ for each sensor are specified in Table 1.

\[
\log_{10}(C_{sat}) = a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{4} a_i \cdot \text{MBR}^i \quad \text{(eq.1)}
\]

\[
\text{MBR} = \log_{10}\left(\frac{R_{rs}(\lambda_{blue})}{R_{rs}(\lambda_{green})}\right) \quad \text{(eq.2)}
\]

The reflectance wavelengths used for current implementation of the standard $C_{sat}$ algorithm for MODIS (OC3M) were 443 nm, 488 nm, 547 nm, and for VIIRS (OC3V) were 443 nm, 486 nm, and 550 nm.

**Quality control of Chl-a fluorescence data**

Prior to any analysis, the quality of $F_{chlraw}$ was accessed based on the recommendations of the US Integrated Ocean Observing System (US IOOS) Quality Assurance / Quality Control of Real-Time Oceanographic Data (QARTOD) project. Several sequential tests were performed in order to filter spurious $F_{chlraw}$ data that are commonly obtained by autonomous sensors. Those tests are fully described on the Ocean Optical Data Handbook (U.S. IOOS, 2017) to guide real-time data quality control testing. The quality control tests recommended by U.S. IOOS (2017) follow a hierarchical sequence of 13 tests divided into 3 groups. Group I require five tests for real-time data monitoring and telemetry, other five strongly recommended tests at group II for quality control, and group III contains three suggested tests (Table 2). Among the five tests presented in Group I, only test number 4 was applied. The other tests were made by the SiMCosta team before the download of the data. Tests 3 and 5 of group I and test 6 of group II were not necessary because the samples were always acquired at the same place and depth. Test 7 was not performed because climatological chlorophyll-a data for the region is non-existent, but the upper and lower limit values for $F_{chlraw}$ were defined at 0.02 and 50 mg m$^{-3}$ respectively due to sensors range. Values below 0.02 and above 50 mg m$^{-3}$ were excluded.

Optical data may show peaks of variation due to the presence of particulate aggregates in water (e.g. Briggs et al. 2011), hence, test 8 was applied to identify and remove this spurious data. For each N value in time series, this test determines if there is a N-1 data peak by subtracting the midpoint from N-2 and N assuming the absolute value of that amount to check whether it exceeds a low or high limit. The lower and upper limits for $F_{chlraw}$ values were determined from the mean value plus three times the standard deviation of fluorescence data for the entire time series. Values that did not reach any limit were considered

| Sensor | Algorithm | Blue | Green | $a_0$  | $a_1$  | $a_2$  | $a_3$  | $a_4$  |
|--------|-----------|------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| MODIS  | OC3M      | 443, 488 | 547   | 0.2424 | -2.7423 | 1.8017 | 0.0015 | -1.2280 |
| VIIRS  | OC3V      | 443, 486 | 550   | 0.2228 | -2.4683 | 1.5867 | -0.4275 | -0.7768 |

Source: https://oceancolor.gsfc.nasa.gov/atbd/chlor_a/
Table 2. Description of tests used in QUARTOD for time series data quality control.

| Group | Test number | Test name | Description |
|-------|-------------|-----------|-------------|
| I     | 1           | Timing/Gap| Check time of data received by telemetry |
|       | 2           | Syntax    | Checks the structure of the message received by telemetry |
|       | 3           | Location  | Checks the geographical location of data. |
|       | 4           | Gross Range| Checks if data exceeds equipment limits |
|       | 5           | Decreasing Radiance, Irradiance, and PAR | Checks if parameters decrease with depth |
| II    | 6           | Photic Zone limit for Radiance Irradiance, and PAR | Checks if the parameters are below the photic zone |
|       | 7           | Climatology| Checks if parameter is within seasonal expectations |
|       | 8           | Spike     | Checks if the parameter exceeds a limit on adjacent data |
|       | 9           | Rate of Change | Checks whether the parameter has increased too much or too low for a given time |
|       | 10          | Flat Line | Checks whether data value does not vary over time |
| III   | 11          | Multi-Variate | Comparison with other variables |
|       | 12          | Attenuated Signal | Checks for inappropriate time series variation |
|       | 13          | Neighbour | Check data similarity compared to nearby sensors |

Source: https://doi.org/10.7289/V5XW4H05

good. Values within the limits were considered suspect and values above the two limits were considered bad and excluded.

To verify the rates of change in the time series, test 9 was applied. This test inspects a time series to identify a time change index that exceeds a threshold value. The limit value determined for this test was 4 mg m⁻³ per hour and represents a variation of 3 standard deviations of the complete series over a one-hour interval. Values below the stipulated limit were considered good data. Values above the stipulated limit were considered suspect and excluded.

Test 10 has been applied to check for data records that do not vary with time. This attempts to inspect a time series to identify values that are not within a limit for a period used by the operator. For this test, a threshold period of 3 and 6 hours was stipulated without variation over 0.01 mg m⁻³. If the data did not vary by more than 0.01 mg m⁻³ over a 3-hour period, the data was considered suspect. If this inheritance exceeded 6 hours, the data was considered bad and excluded from the time series. Finally, a hierarchical sequence of QARTOD tests performed in this study followed the order: 4, 8, 9 and 10 presented at Table 2. The approved chlorophyll-a from fluorescence data will be denominated hereafter as \( F_{\text{chl}} \).

**Nonphotochemical quenching correcting of chlorophyll-a from fluorescence**

The measurement of chlorophyll-a concentration using in situ fluorescence suffers a major problem due to nonphotochemical quenching (NPQ) processes. The overall consequence of this is the suppression of fluorescence emission under high light intensity. This NPQ mechanism helps phytoplankton cells to protect themselves when light energy exceeds their capacity of light utilization (Behrenfeld et al., 2009). During this photo-protection period, photosynthesis is inhibited and fluorescence yield drops (Müller et al., 2001), therefore, \( F_{\text{chl}} \) does not reflect the content of chlorophyll-a concentration in the phytoplankton cells. Several methods have been proposed to correct the NPQ effect and retrieve the actual Chl-a concentration. Most of them follow two steps: (i) compare the fluorometric reading (in mg m⁻³) with Chl-a concentration determined by either high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) analysis or fluorometric measurement in laboratory, which ensure that \( F_{\text{chl}} \) is appropriately calibrated; (ii) correction of quenching based on measurements made by the in situ fluorometer at night or at some depth where the NPQ effect could be neglected.
Table 3. Description of flags used in the satellite data quality control procedure.

| Flags     | Description                                      |
|-----------|--------------------------------------------------|
| ATMFAIL   | Atmospheric correction failure                   |
| LAND      | Pixel is over land                               |
| HILT      | Observed radiance very high or saturated         |
| CLDICE    | Probable cloud or ice contamination               |
| STRAYLIGHT| Probable stray light contamination                |

Source: https://oceancolor.gsfc.nasa.gov/atbd/ocl2flags/

The WQM sensors acquired simultaneously by SiMCosta were distributed in three different places: Rio Grande (RS), São Sebastião (SP) and Florianópolis (SC). The relationship between extracted chlorophyll-a and in situ WQM measurements were processed in the Centro de Biologia Marinha (CEBIMar) of Universidade de São Paulo (USP). Water samples were collected near the buoy SiMCosta SP01, moored at 300m from CEBIMar in São Sebastião (SP), always around 9am to minimize possible changes in chlorophyll-a from fluorescence measurements due to high solar irradiance. The extracted chlorophyll-a concentrations were read on a bench fluorimeter (Turner Designs model Trilogy), equipped with filters and lamps, following the method of Welschmeyer (1994). The relationship between unquenched F_{chl} and extracted chlorophyll-a is quite robust (r=0.90, N=23, p<0.05) and obeys the following relationship

\[ C_{flu} = 1.55 \times F_{obs} \text{(unquenched)} \quad (eq.3) \]

where C_{flu} stands for the extracted chlorophyll-a concentration (Bellini and Ciotti, unpublished data). This calibration is absolutely necessary to convert Chl-a concentrations determined by the WQM fluorimeters into chlorophyll concentration values. Roesler et al (2017) presented a global validation data set for the WQM WET Labs series of chlorophyll-a fluorometers. Their results were based on a comparison between HPLC and in situ fluorescence match ups after removing the NPQ in fluorescence readings. The authors suggested a factor of 2 to the factory calibrated Chl-a estimates for these WQM WET Labs sensors (Roesler et al, 2017). The slope of 1.55, obtained at CEBIMAR, was used to convert the F_{obs} corrected for quenching to chlorophyll-a concentration and the result parameter was denominated as C_{flu}.

Quality control of satellite-derived chlorophyll-a concentration

The quality of the C_{sat} estimates were assessed using the flags contained as metadata in the products made available by the Ocean Biology Distributed Active Archive Center (OB. DAAC) of NASA. The following flags (Table 3) were used to exclude doubtful pixels in each of the images analyzed: atmospheric correction failure (ATMFAIL), pixel over land (LAND), observed radiance remarkably high or saturated (HILT) and probable cloud or ice contamination (CLDICE). The usage of probable stray light contamination (STRAYLIGHT) flag over a 3x3 pixel window matchup was also evaluated due to highly occurrence of good pixels masked by it. This quality control processing was implemented for all C_{sat} data before any matchup analysis took place.

**In situ and Satellite Chlorophyll-a Matchups**

Satellite chlorophyll-a estimates between 2017, February 22 and 2019, November 17 were used in this work due to the availability of in situ data. To avoid the use of doubtful data through validation procedures highlighted by Bailey and Werdell (2006), this study adopted the subsequent criteria in the matchups. First of all, the quality flags were used to check all C_{sat} data prior to any analysis. The following flags were applied for L2 level data: ATMFAIL, LAND, HILT, CLDICE. In addition, the flag STRAYLIGHT was tested from its non-application until all pixels were approved. This last flag was considered to evaluate if the exclusion of unreliable data was overestimated. A window of 3 x 3 pixels was fixed centered at SiMCosta-SC01 moored buoy position. We present here the results both with non-application of STRAYLIGHT flag and with application of it for more than 5 valid pixels in the 3x3 pixels window. The coefficient of variance (CV) was computed for each window as the spatial uniformity criterion for matchups. High CV indicates high variability within selected pixels and this effect could probably be caused by frontal zones or cloud edges. Those 3x3 pixel windows that presented CV value larger than 0.15 were excluded and, for the remaining ones, the median value was calculated. Finally, the absolute time interval between satellite overpass
and in situ fluorescence measurements should be less than 1 hour, which is more restrict than the criteria described in Bailey and Werdell (2006) due to the availability of in situ data. In case of two $C_{flu}$ measurements within the fixed ±1 hour interval, their mean value was used in the matchup procedure.

In this work, we have processed 5,074 L2 images (Table 4), which were downloaded from http://oceancolor.gsfc.nasa.gov. The software Windows Image Manager (WIM) and Python programming language were used to execute all match-up processing steps presented above and summarized in the flowchart shown in Figure 2.

**Algorithms Performance Evaluation**

A variety of statistical and graphical criteria were used to evaluate the performance of satellite Chl-a algorithms. This study followed some recommendations by Seegers et al. (2018) and Pereira et al. (2018). The performance of each algorithm was evaluated through statistical comparisons based on log-transformed data. The following metrics were used to assess the performance of each Chl-a algorithm: slope and intercept of the reduced major axis linear regression analysis, coefficient of determination ($R^2$), root mean square error (RMSE, equation 4), BIAS (equation 5), mean absolute error (MAE, equation 6), relative percent difference (RPD, equation 7) and absolute percent difference (APD, equation 8).

$$\text{RMSE} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} \left( \log_{10} e_n - \log_{10} o_n \right)^2}{N}}$$ (eq.4)

$$\text{BIAS} = 10^{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \log_{10} \left( e_n - o_n \right)}$$ (eq.5)

$$\text{MAE} = 10^{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \log_{10} \left( \left| e_n - o_n \right| \right)}$$ (eq.6)

$$\text{RPD} = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} \left( \log_{10} e_n - \log_{10} o_n \right)}{\log_{10} o_n} \times 100$$ (eq.7)

$$\text{APD} = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} \left| \log_{10} e_n - \log_{10} o_n \right|}{N} \times 100$$ (eq.8)

In the above equations, $o_n$ and $e_n$ are respectively $C_{flu}$ (observed) and $C_{sat}$ (estimated) data, and are their respective means. Equations 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8 were used for log-transformed chlorophyll-a data.

**RESULTS**

**In situ Chlorophyll-a from Fluorescence**

The hourly $F_{chl}$ data collected over a period of almost 3 years shows that several continuous gaps exist due to the maintenance procedure when all oceanographic sensors were taken to laboratory for inspection and clearance (Figure 3). The total period of maintenance corresponds to approximately 27% of the entire period. From the 73% of $F_{chl_-raw}$ data, only 1.3% was filtered out by the QARTOD data quality control procedure, which confirms the high quality of the fluorescence data. The $F_{chl}$ time series spans from Feb 2017 to Nov 2019 (Figure 3). Table 5 shows a statistical description of qualified and $C_{flu}$ data.

**Surface Water Masses**

The collection of data by the WQM sensor also includes both sea surface temperature and salinity. Figure 4 shows TS diagrams with $F_{chl}$ (Figure 4a) and the date (Figure 4b) as a third variable in the diagrams. Most of salinity values (92% of the data) were above 32, showing that estuarine waters rarely reached the SimCosta-SC01 buoy, except for April 2017 when the Tijuca river plume decreased the salinity (17).

**The Quenching Correction Procedure**

The observed decrease in fluorescence values in the real environment appears when the phytoplankton cells absorb sunlight energy but photoprotective mechanisms present in phytoplankton cells avoid photodamage
Figure 2. Flowchart adopted in this work to evaluate satellite chlorophyll-α concentration algorithms. Adapted from Bailey and Werdell (2006).
under excessive sunlight. Therefore, daylight Fchl values are usually smaller than nighttime values (Figure 5). The influence of NPQ on Fchl estimates can be also clearly seen when each Fchl measurement is normalized by their respective nightly maximum value (Carberry et al., 2019). Figure 6 shows an example of the NPQ effect on daytime Fchl recorded by the WQM sensor at SiMCosta SC01. The dependence of Fchl on PAR was noticeable when PAR values were above 200 Wm⁻². Furthermore, such dependence is not contingent on the hour of day (Figure 6a) since measurements can be made in very cloudy days when PAR measurements are relatively low. On the other hand, after NPQ correction, the ratio of Fchl to its respective maximum nighttime value, showed only a small dependence on PAR after 1200 Wm⁻² (Figure 6b). The overall behavior of NPQ-corrected Fchl with solar irradiance data (Figure 6b) suggests a successful approach to correct quenching on in situ chlorophyll-α from fluorescence values.

### Evaluation of Operational Chlorophyll Concentration Algorithms

The validation criteria adopted here to evaluate operational Chl-α algorithms allowed us to obtain 506 paired of C_flu and C_sat data, being 222 pairs for MODIS and 284 for VIIRS. This relatively high number of paired chlorophyll values was achieved when flags ATMFAIL, LAND, CLDICE, HILT were applied. The frequency distribution of C_flu and C_sat paired data are quite similar (Figure 7), where mean and standard deviation values for C_flu and C_sat were 1.83 ±0.86 and 1.76 ±0.87 mg m⁻³, respectively. Table 6 provides the basic statistical data C_flu and C_sat paired data for MODIS and VIIRS sensors. If we use the STRAYLIGHT flag, there is a substantial reduction in the number of C_sat data. For instance, if we consider that at least 5 out of 9 pixels (window size) are not contaminated by stray-light, then the number of paired chlorophyll data decreases to 56 pairs for MODIS and 64 for VIIRS, and the mean and standard deviation values for C_flu and C_sat were 1.76 ± 0.72 and 1.82 ± 0.82 mg m⁻³, respectively.

### DISCUSSION

#### Variability of Surface Water Mass

The studied region is regularly occupied by Subtropical Shelf Water (STSW) (Bordin et al, 2019) - a mixture of La Plata Plume Water (PPW) and Tropical Water (TW) (Moller et al, 2008) - with higher influence of PPW (TW) in wintertime (summertime) (Moller...
Figure 4. TS-fluorescence (a) and TS-time (b) diagrams. TW, STSW and PPW stand for Tropical Water, Subtropical Shelf Waters and La Plata Plume Water. The effect of the plume of Tijucas river on the local thermohaline properties is also shown.

Figure 5. Evolution of hourly solar radiation and *in situ* chlorophyll-a fluorescence \( (F_{chl}) \) from March 1 to 14, 2017. The NPQ effect can be observed in daytime when fluorescence values decrease.

e et al, 2008; Bordin et al 2019). The influence of PPW in the thermohaline properties occurred during wintertime (Figure 5b), when a series of cold frontal passages crossed the southern portion of Brazil and brought cold and less saline waters from the Uruguayan coast northwards along the Brazilian coast. The presence of colder and less saline waters of the La Plata plume over the southern Brazilian coast has been extensively studied (Piola et al, 2005; Moller et al, 2008, Garcia and Garcia, 2008; Bordin et al, 2019). The incursion of these waters along the southern inner shelf depends on the magnitude of the La Plata discharge and the intensity,
direction and duration of the wind stress (Piola et al., 2005). TS-diagrams have shown that La Plata plume waters had a more pronounced influence in 2018 than in 2019 (Figure 5b). A close inspection of monthly mean sea surface temperature and chl-α surface concentration images of July 2017, 2018 and 2019 (Figure 8) showed that indeed the stronger intrusion of cold and less saline waters happened in 2018 with higher chl-α surface concentrations. Most of the time, the studied region was occupied by STSW water, with influence of TW in summer and PPW in wintertime. The Tijucas river outflow was reduced to the vicinities of its mouth,
reaching the position of SiMCosta-SC01 buoy in a very rare occasion (Figure 5a and 5b). Therefore, in almost the entire period of study, the organic and inorganic material derived from Tijucas’ outflow did not reach the near surface waters sensed by buoy SiMCosta-SC01.

**The Correction for Nonphotochemical Quenching**

In our study, we assumed that the variability of phytoplankton biomass at SiMCosta-SC01 is expected to occur on timescales longer than the diurnal cycle based on Dalbosco et al. (2020). The authors analyzed the contribution of tidal and meteorological forcing on the alongshore and cross-shore components of currents obtained by an ADCP installed close (< 5 nm) to the SiMCosta-SC01 buoy in the Rebio Arvoredo region. They concluded that a predominance of southward flow (68.5%) was found in the alongshore component, with an average of 0.18 m/s. The decomposition in variance of the barotropic component of current has shown that only 13% is due to tidal forcing, and 87% of the energy was on the subtidal frequency where winds are the most important forcing mechanism driving these shallow waters. Therefore, daylight fluorometric measurements could be replaced to a first approximation by interpolation between $F_{chl}$ measurements at sunrise and sunset on a daily basis, which was successfully done in this study (see Figure 6b) to correct the NPQ effect on $F_{chl}$ measurements.

In coastal waters, if advection of surface waters plays an important role in phytoplankton biomass variability within the diurnal cycle, then a complex procedure should be used to correct for the advection of water within the tidal cycle. For instance, Carberry et al. (2019) used the unquenched nighttime observations and measured velocity data to correct for both NPQ effect and local...
advection in the tidally dominated narrow inlets that comprise Casco Bay in Maine (USA).

In open ocean waters, if $F_{\text{chl}}$ is available for the entire water column, one can assume that fluorescence signal does not suffer from NPQ in deep water and the decrease of $F_{\text{chl}}$ occurs only at mixed layer (Sackmann et al., 2008). For instance, Xing et al. (2018) have corrected the NPQ effect in thousands of fluorescence profiles measured by instrumented elephant seals in the Kerguelen region (Southern Ocean) by making an extrapolation of the deep fluorescence value toward the surface.

**ON THE VALIDATION OF SATELLITE ESTIMATES OF CHLOROPHYLL-A CONCENTRATION**

In this work, an effort was made to infer the consequences of using pixels contaminated and not contaminated by straylight. The overall values of the metrics used in the validation procedure here are quite similar (Table 7), either if we consider STRAYLIGHT flag off (Figure 9) or we use at least 5 pixels (in the 3x3 pixels window) not contaminated with STRAYLIGHT (Figure 10). Considering only Seegers (2018) suggested metrics and the results found without usage of STRAYLIGHT flag, MODIS data presented lower percentage error (MAE=1.34) than VIIRS (MAE=1.41) at log scale.

The high quality L2 images provided by the operational chlorophyll algorithm for MODIS and VIIRS sensors uses a 7x5 pixel window around the pixels classified as cloud to mask the straylight contamination (Feng and Hu, 2016). Usually, straylight can be noticeable in the vicinity of pixels masked as cloud or land and can extend for several pixels from the source. This 7x5 pixels straylight window in the masking method has been subject of analysis by several researchers (Sterckx et al., 2011; Jiang and Wang, 2013; Feng and Hu, 2016). Feng and Hu (2016) suggested..."
Table 7. The values of the metrics used to evaluate existing operational chlorophyll-α algorithms. The results from the linear regressions between \( C_{\text{flu}} \) and \( C_{\text{sat}} \), either in linear or logarithm form, presented statistical significance at 90% level when straylight flags was not applied. Linear and Log10 stand for RMA linear regressions between \( C_{\text{flu}} \) and \( C_{\text{sat}} \) and \( \log_{10}(C_{\text{flu}}) \) and \( \log_{10}(C_{\text{sat}}) \), respectively.

| Sensor matchup statistics | Valid Straylight Flag | N       | Slope  | Intercept | \( R^2 \) | MAE \((\text{mg m}^{-3})\) | RMSE \((\text{mg m}^{-3})\) | BIAS \((\text{mg m}^{-3})\) | APD (%) | RPD (%) |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------|--------|-----------|--------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------|---------|
| MODIS Log                 | Not applied           | 222     | 0.92   | 0.06      | 0.96   | 1.34           | 0.05           | 1.11           | 115.78  | -30.25  |
|                           | 5                     | 56      | 0.86   | 0.08      | 0.93   | 1.25           | 0.06           | 1.14           | 79.12   | 7.65    |
| VIIRS Log                 | Not applied           | 284     | 0.97   | -0.06     | 0.88   | 1.41           | 0.06           | 0.86           | 149.99  | 1.51    |
|                           | 5                     | 64      | 0.96   | 0.02      | 1.00   | 1.34           | 0.01           | 1.01           | 174.25  | -115.26 |

Figure 9. Comparisons between \( C_{\text{flu}} \) and \( C_{\text{sat}} \) for sensor MODIS and VIIRS at logarithm scales (a and b) using no straylight flag. The star plots (c) of the metrics used to compare \( C_{\text{flu}} \) and \( C_{\text{sat}} \) at logarithm scales are also shown.

Figure 10. Comparisons between \( C_{\text{flu}} \) and \( C_{\text{sat}} \) for sensor MODIS and VIIRS at logarithm (a and b) scales using straylight flags (at least 5 valid pixels). The star plots of the metrics used to compare \( C_{\text{flu}} \) and \( C_{\text{sat}} \) at logarithm (c) scales are also shown.

that the current MODIS straylight masking 7x5 pixels window may be relaxed to 3 x 3 without losing data quality. Essentially, for MODIS and VIIRS (most sensors in fact) the STRAYLIGHT flag is effectively a dilation of the CLDICE (and/or HILT) flags.

Our results reinforce such change in the window size used by NASA operating chlorophyll algorithms to mask pixels contaminated by straylight. In addition, our results have also shown that the differences between \( C_{\text{sat}} \) and \( C_{\text{flu}} \) do not depend on PAR measurements (Figure 11a) and time of year (Figure 11b), which reflects the good correction for nonphotochemical quenching in the measurements of \textit{in situ} chlorophyll-α from fluorescence data.

CONCLUSION

In this study, we investigated the uncertainties of standard chlorophyll-α algorithms in the southern Brazilian inner shelf using both quality-controlled chlorophyll-α concentration from \textit{in situ} fluorescence and from satellite data.

We ended up with a time series of 14,100 hourly \textit{in situ} chlorophyll-α concentration from fluorescence to be compared with satellite-estimates. The very strict validation criteria adopted here to evaluate operational Chl-α algorithms allowed us to obtain 506 paired of \( C_{\text{sat}} \) and \( C_{\text{flu}} \) data being 222 pairs for MODIS and 284 for VIIRS. The
MODIS algorithm yielded the best performance results, although VIIRS presented relatively good performance. Overall, the time series analysis of the in situ and satellite-derived Chl-a values agreed well, which suggest the use of the standard MODIS and VIIRS chlorophyll algorithms for the region. The procedure adopted here to evaluate existing operational algorithms can be applied to other Brazilian coastal regions with relatively low turbid waters. Despite our results, the collection of in situ spectral reflectance data in conjunction with chlorophyll-a concentration is highly desirable to create a regional algorithm to monitor Chl-a with higher accuracy.
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