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Abstract

We consider a space-time variational formulation of the second-order wave equation, where integration by parts is also applied with respect to the time variable. Conforming tensor-product finite element discretisations with piecewise polynomials of this space-time variational formulation require a CFL condition to ensure stability. To overcome this restriction in the case of piecewise linear, continuous ansatz and test functions, a stabilisation is well-known, which leads to an unconditionally stable space-time finite element method. In this work, we generalise this stabilisation idea from the lowest-order case to the higher-order case, i.e. to an arbitrary polynomial degree. We give numerical examples for a one-dimensional spatial domain, where the unconditional stability and optimal convergence rates in space-time norms are illustrated.

1 Introduction

Standard approaches for the numerical solution of hyperbolic initial-boundary value problems are usually based on semi-discretisations in space and time, where the discretisation in space and time is split accordingly. In contrast to these approaches, space-time methods discretise time-dependent partial differential equations without separating the temporal and spatial directions. In this work, the homogeneous Dirichlet problem for the second-order wave equation,

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_t u(x,t) - \Delta_x u(x,t) &= f(x,t) & &\text{for } (x,t) \in Q = \Omega \times (0,T), \\
\quad u(x,t) &= 0 & &\text{for } (x,t) \in \Sigma = \partial\Omega \times [0,T], \\
\quad u(x,0) = \partial_t u(x,0) &= 0 & &\text{for } x \in \Omega,
\end{align*}
\]

serves as a model problem, where $\Omega = (0,L)$ is an interval for $d = 1$, or $\Omega$ is polygonal for $d = 2$, or $\Omega$ is polyhedral for $d = 3$, $T > 0$ is a terminal time and $f$ is a given right-hand
side. To derive a space-time variational formulation, we define the space-time Sobolev spaces

\[ H^{1,1}_{0;0} (Q) := L^2(0, T; H^1_0(\Omega)) \cap \dot{H}^1_0(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \subset H^1(Q), \]
\[ H^{1,1}_{0;0} (Q) := L^2(0, T; H^1_0(\Omega)) \cap \dot{H}^1_0(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \subset H^1(Q) \]

with the Hilbertian norms

\[ \|v\|_{H^{1,1}_{0;0} (Q)} := \|v\|_{H^{1,1}_{0;0} (Q)} := \left( \int_0^T \int_\Omega \left( |\partial_t v(x,t)|^2 + |\nabla_x v(x,t)|^2 \right) dx dt \right)^{1/2}, \]

where \( v \in H^{1,1}_{0;0} (0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \) satisfies \( \|v(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = 0 \) and \( w \in H^{1,1}_{0;0} (0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \) fulfils \( \|w(\cdot, T)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = 0 \), see [5] for more details. The bilinear form

\[ a(\cdot, \cdot): H^{1,1}_{0;0} (Q) \times H^{1,1}_{0;0} (Q) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \]

defined by the variational identity

\[ a(u, w) := -\langle \partial_t u, \partial_t w \rangle_{L^2(Q)} + \langle \nabla_x u, \nabla_x w \rangle_{L^2(Q)} \]

for \( u \in H^{1,1}_{0;0} (Q) \) and \( w \in H^{1,1}_{0;0} (Q) \), is continuous, i.e. the estimate

\[ \forall u \in H^{1,1}_{0;0} (Q): \forall w \in H^{1,1}_{0;0} (Q): \quad |a(u, w)| \leq \|u\|_{H^1(Q)} \|w\|_{H^1(Q)} \]

holds true due to the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. The space-time variational formulation of (1) is to find \( u \in H^{1,1}_{0;0} (Q) \) such that

\[ \forall w \in H^{1,1}_{0;0} (Q): \quad a(u, w) = \langle f, w \rangle_{L^2(Q)}, \quad (2) \]

where \( f \in L^2(Q) \) is a given right-hand side. Note that the initial condition \( u(\cdot, 0) = 0 \) is considered in the strong sense, whereas the initial condition \( \partial_t u(\cdot, 0) = 0 \) is incorporated in a weak sense. The following existence and uniqueness theorem is proven in [1, Theorem 3.2 in Chapter IV], see also [3, 5, 8].

**Theorem 1.1.** For \( f \in L^2(Q) \), a unique solution \( u \in H^{1,1}_{0;0} (Q) \) of the variational formulation (2) exists and the stability estimate

\[ \|u\|_{H^1(Q)} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}T} \|f\|_{L^2(Q)} \]

holds true.

Note that the solution operator

\[ \mathcal{L}: L^2(Q) \rightarrow H^{1,1}_{0;0} (Q), \quad \mathcal{L} f := u, \]

is stable.
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of Theorem 1.1 is not an isomorphism, i.e. \( L \) is not surjective, see [4, 5] for more details. In this work, for simplicity, we only consider homogeneous initial conditions, where inhomogeneous initial conditions can be treated analogously as in [1, 7, 8].

A conforming tensor-product space-time discretisation of (2) with piecewise polynomial, continuous ansatz and test functions requires a CFL condition

\[
h_t \leq C h_x
\]

with a constant \( C > 0 \), depending on the constant of a spatial inverse inequality, where \( h_t \) and \( h_x \) are the mesh sizes in time and space. For a one-dimensional spatial domain \( \Omega \), i.e. \( d = 1 \), and piecewise multilinear, continuous ansatz and test functions, the CFL condition (3) reads as

\[
h_t < h_x
\]

for uniform meshes with uniform mesh sizes \( h_t \) and \( h_x \), see [3, 5]. To overcome the CFL condition (3), the stabilised space-time finite element method to find \( u_h \in (V_{h,0}^1(\Omega) \otimes S_{h_t}^1(0,T)) \cap H_{0,0}^{1,1}(Q) \) such that

\[
- \langle \partial_t u_h, \partial_t w_h \rangle_{L^2(Q)} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^d \langle \partial_{x_\alpha} u_h, Q_{h_t}^0 \partial_{x_\alpha} w_h \rangle_{L^2(Q)} = \langle f, w_h \rangle_{L^2(Q)}
\]

for all \( w_h \in (V_{h,0}^1(\Omega) \otimes S_{h_t}^1(0,T)) \cap H_{0,0}^{1,1}(Q) \) was analysed in [2, 5] [8], where

\[
Q_{h_t}^0 : L^2(Q) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega) \otimes S_{h_t}^0(0,T)
\]

is the extended \( L^2 \) projection on the space of the temporal piecewise constant functions and \( V_{h,0}^1(\Omega) \otimes S_{h_t}^1(0,T) \) is the space of piecewise multilinear, continuous functions, see Section 2 for the notations. The main results for this proposed space-time finite element method (4) are the unconditional stability, i.e. no CFL condition is needed, and the space-time error estimates with

\[
\begin{align*}
|u - u_h|_{H^1(Q)} & \leq Ch, \\
|u - u_h|_{L^2(Q)} & \leq C h^2,
\end{align*}
\]

which are summarised in the following theorem, where its proof is contained in [2, 5].

**Theorem 1.2.** There exists a unique solution \( u_h \in (V_{h,0}^1(\Omega) \otimes S_{h_t}^1(0,T)) \cap H_{0,0}^{1,1}(Q) \) of (4), satisfying the \( L^2(Q) \) stability estimate

\[
\|u_h\|_{L^2(Q)} \leq \frac{4}{\pi} T^2 \|f\|_{L^2(Q)}.
\]

Further, let the solution \( u \) of (1) and \( \Omega \) be sufficiently regular. Then, the unique solution \( u_h \in (V_{h,0}^1(\Omega) \otimes S_{h_t}^1(0,T)) \cap H_{0,0}^{1,1}(Q) \) of (4) fulfils the space-time error estimates

\[
\begin{align*}
\|u - u_h\|_{L^2(Q)} & \leq C h^2, \\
|u - u_h|_{H^1(Q)} & \leq C h,
\end{align*}
\]

where, for the \( H^1(Q) \) error estimate, a spatial inverse inequality is additionally assumed.
In this work, we generalise this stabilisation idea from the linear case to the higher-order case. In greater detail, we introduce a new stabilised space-time finite element method of tensor-product type with globally continuous ansatz and test functions, which are piecewise polynomials of an arbitrary polynomial degree \( p \), leading to unconditional stability and optimal convergence rates in the space-time norms \( \| \cdot \|_{L^2(Q)} \), \( | \cdot |_{H^1(Q)} \). In other words, the result of Theorem 1.2 is generalised to an arbitrary polynomial degree \( p \).

The rest of the paper is organised as follows: In Section 2, notations of the used finite element spaces and \( L^2 \) projections are fixed. Section 3 introduces the new space-time finite element method. Numerical examples for a one-dimensional spatial domain and piecewise polynomials of higher-order are presented in Section 4. Finally, we draw some conclusions in Section 5.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, notations of the used finite element spaces and \( L^2 \) projections are stated. For this purpose, let the bounded Lipschitz domain \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d \) be an interval \( \Omega = (0,L) \) for \( d = 1 \), or polygonal for \( d = 2 \), or polyhedral for \( d = 3 \).

For a tensor-product ansatz, we consider admissible decompositions

\[
\overline{Q} = \overline{\Omega} \times [0,T] = \bigcup_{k=1}^{N_x} \omega_k \times \bigcup_{\ell=1}^{N_t} [t_{\ell-1}, t_{\ell}]
\]

with \( N := N_x \cdot N_t \) space-time elements, where the time intervals \( \tau_{\ell} := (t_{\ell-1}, t_{\ell}) \) with mesh sizes \( h_{t,\ell} = t_{\ell} - t_{\ell-1} \) are defined via the decomposition

\[
0 = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_{N_t-1} < t_{N_t} = T
\]

of the time interval \((0,T)\). The maximal and the minimal time mesh sizes are denoted by

\[
h_t := h_{t,max} := \max_{\ell} h_{t,\ell} \quad \text{and} \quad h_{t,min} := \min_{\ell} h_{t,\ell},
\]

respectively. For the spatial domain \( \Omega \), we consider a shape-regular sequence \( (\mathcal{T}_\nu)_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}} \) of admissible decompositions

\[
\mathcal{T}_\nu := \{ \omega_k \subset \mathbb{R}^d : k = 1, \ldots, N_x \}
\]

of \( \Omega \) into finite elements \( \omega_k \subset \mathbb{R}^d \) with mesh sizes \( h_{x,k} \), the maximal mesh size \( h_x := h_{x,max} := \max_k h_{x,k} \) and the minimal mesh size \( h_{x,min} := \min_k h_{x,k} \). The spatial elements \( \omega_k \) are intervals for \( d = 1 \), triangles or quadrilaterals for \( d = 2 \), and tetrahedra or hexahedra for \( d = 3 \). Next, for a fixed polynomial degree \( p \in \mathbb{N} \), we introduce the finite element space

\[
Q^p_h(Q) := V^p_{h_x,0}(\Omega) \otimes S^p_{h_t}(0,T)
\]

of piecewise polynomial, continuous functions, i.e.

\[
V^p_{h_x,0}(\Omega) := V^p_h(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega) \subset H^1(\Omega), \quad S^p_{h_t}(0,T) \subset H^1(0,T)
\]
with $V^p_{h,t}(Ω) ∈ \{ S^p_{h_x}(Ω), Q^p_{h_x}(Ω) \}$. Here,

$$S^p_{h_t}(0, T) := \{ v_{h_t} ∈ C[0, T] : \forall ℓ ∈ \{1, \ldots, N_t\} : v_{h_t|τ} ∈ P^p(τ) \}$$

denotes the space of piecewise polynomial, continuous functions on intervals, where $P^p(A)$ is the space of polynomials on a subset $A ⊂ \mathbb{R}^d$ of global degree at most $p$. Analogously,

$$S^p_{h_x}(Ω) := \{ v_{h_x} ∈ C(Ω) : \forall ω ∈ T_ω : v_{h_x|ω} ∈ P^p(ω) \}$$

is the space of piecewise polynomial, continuous functions on intervals ($d = 1$), triangles ($d = 2$), or tetrahedra ($d = 3$). Moreover,

$$Q^p_{h_x}(Ω) := \{ v_{h_x} ∈ C(Ω) : \forall ω ∈ T_ω : v_{h_x|ω} ∈ Q^p(ω) \}$$

is the space of piecewise polynomial, continuous functions on intervals ($d = 1$), quadrilaterals ($d = 2$), or hexahedra ($d = 3$), where $Q^p(A)$ is the space of polynomials on a subset $A ⊂ \mathbb{R}^d$ of degree at most $p$ in each variable. The temporal nodal basis functions of $S^p_{h_t}(0, T)$ are denoted by $ψ^p_n$, for $n = 0, \ldots, pN_t$, and $ψ^p_j$, for $j = 1, \ldots, M_x$, are the spatial nodal basis functions of $V^p_{h_x,0}(Ω)$, i.e.

$$S^p_{h_t}(0, T) = \text{span}\{ψ^p_n\}_{n=0}^{pN_t} \quad \text{and} \quad V^p_{h_x,0}(Ω) = \text{span}\{ψ^p_j\}_{j=1}^{M_x}.$$ 

For the stabilisation of the new space-time finite element method, we also need the spaces of piecewise polynomial, discontinuous functions

$$S^q_{h_t}^{\text{disc}}(0, T) := \{ v_{h_t} ∈ L^1(0, T) : \forall ℓ ∈ \{1, \ldots, N_t\} : v_{h_t|τ} ∈ P^q(τ) \},$$

where $q ∈ \mathbb{N}_0$ is a fixed polynomial degree. For a given function $v ∈ L^2(Ω)$, the extended $L^2$ projection $Q^q_{h_t}^{\text{disc}}v ∈ L^2(Ω) ⊗ S^q_{h_t}^{\text{disc}}(0, T)$ on the space $L^2(Ω) ⊗ S^q_{h_t}^{\text{disc}}(0, T)$ of piecewise polynomial, discontinuous functions with respect to the time variable is defined by

$$\left\langle Q^q_{h_t}^{\text{disc}}v, v_{h_t} \right\rangle_{L^2(Ω)} = \langle v, v_{h_t} \rangle_{L^2(Ω)}$$

for all $v_{h_t} ∈ L^2(Ω) ⊗ S^q_{h_t}^{\text{disc}}(0, T)$, satisfying the stability estimate

$$\| Q^q_{h_t}^{\text{disc}}v \|_{L^2(Ω)} \leq \| v \|_{L^2(Ω)}. \quad (6)$$

Note that $Q^0_{h_t} = Q^{0,\text{disc}}_{h_t}$ is the extended $L^2$ projection on the space of the temporal piecewise constant functions $L^2(Ω) ⊗ S^0_{h_t}(0, T) = L^2(Ω) ⊗ S^0_{h_t}^{\text{disc}}(0, T)$. Analogously, for a solely time-dependent function $w ∈ L^2(0, T)$, we denote $Q^q_{h_t}^{\text{disc}}w ∈ S^q_{h_t}^{\text{disc}}(0, T)$ as the $L^2(0, T)$ projection on the space $S^q_{h_t}^{\text{disc}}(0, T)$ of piecewise polynomial, discontinuous functions, defined by

$$\left\langle Q^q_{h_t}^{\text{disc}}w, w_{h_t} \right\rangle_{L^2(0,T)} = \langle w, w_{h_t} \rangle_{L^2(0,T)}$$

for all $w_{h_t} ∈ S^q_{h_t}^{\text{disc}}(0, T)$. We use the same notation $Q^q_{h_t}^{\text{disc}}$ for solely time-dependent functions and functions, which depend on $(x, t)$, since for a function $v ∈ L^2(Ω)$ with $v(x, t) = z(x)w(t)$, $z ∈ L^2(Ω)$, $w ∈ L^2(0, T)$, the equality

$$Q^q_{h_t}^{\text{disc}}v(x, t) = z(x)Q^q_{h_t}^{\text{disc}}w(t), \quad (x, t) ∈ Ω,$$

holds true.
3 New Stabilised Space-Time Finite Element Method

In this section, we introduce a new stabilised space-time finite element method with continuous ansatz and test functions, which are piecewise polynomials of arbitrary polynomial degree $p \in \mathbb{N}$ with respect to the spatial variable and the temporal variable. For this purpose, we fix a polynomial degree $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and we introduce the perturbed bilinear form

$$a_h(\cdot, \cdot) : Q^p_h(Q) \cap H^1_{0;0}(Q) \times Q^p_h(Q) \cap H^1_{0;0}(Q) \to \mathbb{R}$$

by defining

$$a_h(u_h, w_h) := -\langle \partial_t u_h, \partial_t w_h \rangle_{L^2(Q)} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^d \langle \partial_{x_{\alpha}} u_h, Q^{p-1,\text{disc}}_{ht} \partial_{x_{\alpha}} w_h \rangle_{L^2(Q)}$$

for $u_h \in Q^p_h(Q) \cap H^1_{0;0}(Q)$, $w_h \in Q^p_h(Q) \cap H^1_{0;0}(Q)$. Note that the function $\partial_{x_{\alpha}} w_h$, $\alpha = 1, \ldots, d$, fulfils

$$\partial_{x_{\alpha}} w_h \in L^2(\Omega) \otimes S^p_{ht}(0, T),$$

i.e. $\partial_{x_{\alpha}} w_h$ is still a piecewise polynomial of degree $p$ with respect to the temporal variable. The perturbed bilinear form $a_h(\cdot, \cdot)$ is continuous since the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the $L^2(Q)$ stability (1) of $Q^{p-1,\text{disc}}_{ht}$ yield

$$|a_h(u_h, w_h)| \leq |u_h|_{H^1(Q)} |w_h|_{H^1(Q)}$$

for all $u_h \in Q^p_h(Q) \cap H^1_{0;0}(Q)$, $w_h \in Q^p_h(Q) \cap H^1_{0;0}(Q)$. The perturbed variational formulation, corresponding to (2), is to find $u_h \in Q^p_h(Q) \cap H^1_{0;0}(Q)$ such that

$$\forall w_h \in Q^p_h(Q) \cap H^1_{0;0}(Q) : a_h(u_h, w_h) = \langle f, w_h \rangle_{L^2(Q)}.$$

(7)

This perturbed variational formulation (7) coincides with the perturbed variational formulation (4) for $p = 1$. In other words, the new perturbed variational formulation (7) is a generalisation of the perturbed variational formulation (4) from $p = 1$ to arbitrary $p \in \mathbb{N}$. The numerical analysis, i.e. an analogous result as Theorem 1.2, of the perturbed variational formulation (7) is far beyond the scope of this contribution, we refer to [6].

The discrete variational formulation (7) is equivalent to the linear system

$$K_h u_h = f$$

(8)

with the system matrix

$$K_h := -A_{ht} \otimes M_{ht} + \tilde{M}_{ht} \otimes A_{ht} \in \mathbb{R}^{M_p N_t \times M_p N_t},$$

where $M_{ht}$, $A_{ht} \in \mathbb{R}^{M_x \times M_x}$ are the mass and stiffness matrix with respect to the spatial variable, which are given by

$$M_{ht}[i, j] = \langle \psi^p_i, \psi^p_j \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} , \quad i, j = 1, \ldots, M_x,$$

$$A_{ht}[i, j] = \langle \nabla_x \psi^p_j, \nabla_x \psi^p_i \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} , \quad i, j = 1, \ldots, M_x,$$
and $\tilde{M}_{ht}, A_{ht} \in \mathbb{R}^{pN_t \times pN_t}$ are the perturbed mass and stiffness matrix with respect to temporal variable, which are defined by

$$
\tilde{M}_{ht}[n, m] = \langle \varphi^p_{m}, Q^{p-1, \text{disc}} \varphi^p_n \rangle_{L^2(0,T)}, \quad n = 0, \ldots, pN_t - 1, \ m = 1, \ldots, pN_t,
$$

$$
A_{ht}[n, m] = \langle \partial_t \varphi^p_{m}, \partial_t \varphi^p_n \rangle_{L^2(0,T)}, \quad n = 0, \ldots, pN_t - 1, \ m = 1, \ldots, pN_t.
$$

Here, the nodal basis function $\varphi^p_0$ corresponds to the vertex $t_0 = 0$ and the nodal basis function $\varphi^p_{pN_t}$ corresponds to the vertex $t_{N_t} = T$. As the $L^2(0,T)$ projection $Q^{p-1, \text{disc}}_{ht}$ can be computed locally, i.e. on each temporal element $\tau_\ell$ for $\ell = 1, \ldots, N_t$, the assembling of the perturbed mass matrix $\tilde{M}_{ht}$ can be realised, as for the classical mass matrix, via local matrices.

## 4 Numerical Examples

In this section, numerical examples for the new space-time finite element method (7) are given. For this purpose, we consider the hyperbolic initial-boundary value problem (1) in the one-dimensional spatial domain $\Omega := (0, 1)$ with the terminal time $T = 10$, i.e. the rectangular space-time domain

$$
Q := \Omega \times (0, T) := (0, 1) \times (0, 10).
$$

As exact solutions, we choose

$$
u_1(x, t) = t^2 \sin(10\pi x) \sin(t x), \quad \text{for } (x, t) \in Q.$$

$$
u_2(x, t) = t^2 (T - t)^{9/5} \sqrt{1 + x^2 + 1} \sin(\pi x) \quad \text{for } (x, t) \in Q.$$

for $(x, t) \in Q$. The spatial domain $\Omega = (0, 1)$ is decomposed into nonuniform elements with the vertices

$$
x_0 = 0, \ x_1 = 1/4, \ x_2 = 1,
$$

whereas the temporal domain $(0, T) = (0, 10)$ is decomposed into nonuniform elements with the vertices

$$
t_0 = 0, \ t_1 = T/8, \ t_2 = T/4, \ t_3 = T.
$$

We apply a uniform refinement strategy for the meshes (12), (13), which do not fulfil the CFL condition (3) at least for piecewise multilinear, continuous functions, i.e. $p = 1$. Additionally, we choose $p = 1$ for Table 1, $p = 2$ for Table 2 and $p = 6$ for Table 3 where the number of the degrees of freedom is denoted by

$$
dof = M_x \cdot p \cdot N_t.
$$

The global linear system (8) is solved by a direct solver, where the appearing integrals to compute the related right-hand side are calculated by using high-order quadrature rules.
Table 1: Numerical results of the Galerkin finite element discretisation (7) for \( p = 1 \) for the space-time cylinder (9) for the smooth function \( u_1 \) in (10) for a uniform refinement strategy with the starting meshes (12), (13).

| dof | \( h_{x,\text{max}} \) | \( h_{x,\text{min}} \) | \( h_{t,\text{max}} \) | \( h_{t,\text{min}} \) | \( \| u_1 - u_{1,h} \|_{L^2(Q)} \) | eoc | \( | u_1 - u_{1,h} |_{H^1(Q)} \) | eoc |
|-----|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----|---------------------|-----|
| 3   | 0.7500          | 0.2500          | 7.5000          | 1.2500          | 9.4e+01             | -   | 2.2e+03             | -   |
| 18  | 0.3750          | 0.1250          | 3.7500          | 0.6250          | 8.7e+01             | 0.1 | 2.2e+03             | 0.0 |
| 84  | 0.1875          | 0.0625          | 1.8750          | 0.3125          | 7.7e+01             | 0.2 | 2.0e+03             | 0.1 |
| 360 | 0.0938          | 0.0312          | 0.9375          | 0.1562          | 4.5e+01             | 0.8 | 1.7e+03             | 0.3 |
| 1488| 0.0469          | 0.0156          | 0.4688          | 0.0781          | 1.3e+01             | 1.8 | 9.3e+02             | 0.8 |
| 6048| 0.0234          | 0.0078          | 0.2344          | 0.0391          | 3.5e+00             | 1.9 | 4.9e+02             | 0.9 |
| 24384| 0.0117         | 0.0039          | 0.1172          | 0.0195          | 8.8e-01             | 2.0 | 2.5e+02             | 1.0 |
| 97920| 0.0059         | 0.0020          | 0.0586          | 0.0098          | 2.2e-01             | 2.0 | 1.2e+02             | 1.0 |
| 392448| 0.0029       | 0.0010          | 0.0293          | 0.0049          | 5.6e-02             | 2.0 | 6.1e+01             | 1.0 |
| 1571328| 0.0015        | 0.0005          | 0.0146          | 0.0024          | 1.4e-02             | 2.0 | 3.1e+01             | 1.0 |
| 6288384| 0.0007        | 0.0002          | 0.0073          | 0.0012          | 3.5e-03             | 2.0 | 1.5e+01             | 1.0 |
| 25159680| 0.0004      | 0.0001          | 0.0037          | 0.0006          | 8.7e-04             | 2.0 | 7.7e+00             | 1.0 |

In the case of piecewise multilinear, continuous functions, i.e. \( p = 1 \), the numerical results for the smooth solution \( u_1 \) in (10) are given in Table 1 where we observe unconditional stability, quadratic convergence in \( \| \cdot \|_{L^2(Q)} \) and linear convergence in \( | \cdot |_{H^1(Q)} \), as predicted by Theorem 1.2.

For \( p = 2 \) and \( p = 6 \), the results for the smooth solution \( u_1 \) in (10) are stated in Table 2 and Table 3, respectively, where we illustrate that the new space-time finite element method (7) is unconditionally stable and the convergence rates with respect to the space-time norms \( \| \cdot \|_{L^2(Q)} \), \( | \cdot |_{H^1(Q)} \) are as expected. Moreover, a comparison of Table 1, Table 2 and Table 3 show that a polynomial degree \( p > 1 \) is advisable since the numbers of the degrees of freedom are much lower for \( p > 1 \) than for \( p = 1 \) when a fixed accuracy is desired. For example, we need \( \text{dof} = 25159680 \) degrees of freedom for \( p = 1 \), \( \text{dof} = 392448 \) degrees of freedom for \( p = 2 \) and \( \text{dof} = 13680 \) degrees of freedom for \( p = 6 \) to receive the error in \( | \cdot |_{H^1(Q)} \) within a comparable range.

For the singular solution \( u_2 \) in (11), the related results are given in Table 4 for \( p = 1 \), Table 5 for \( p = 2 \) and Table 6 for \( p = 6 \), where we observe for \( p > 1 \) a reduced order of convergence in \( \| \cdot \|_{L^2(Q)} \) and in \( | \cdot |_{H^1(Q)} \). These convergence rates correspond to the reduced Sobolev regularity \( u_2 \in H^{23/10-\varepsilon}(Q), \varepsilon > 0 \).

## 5 Conclusions

In this work, we introduced new stabilised higher-order space-time continuous Galerkin methods for the wave equation with globally continuous ansatz and test functions, which are piecewise polynomials of arbitrary polynomial degree. These methods are based on
Table 2: Numerical results of the Galerkin finite element discretisation (7) for $p = 2$ for the space-time cylinder (9) for the smooth function $u_1$ in (10) for a uniform refinement strategy with the starting meshes (12), (13).

| dof | $h_{x, \max}$ | $h_{x, \min}$ | $h_{t, \max}$ | $h_{t, \min}$ | $\|u_1 - u_{1,h}\|_{L^2(Q)}$ | eoc | $\|u_1 - u_{1,h}\|_{H^1(Q)}$ | eoc |
|-----|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|-----|----------------|-----|
| 18  | 0.7500         | 0.2500         | 7.5000         | 1.2500         | 4.4e+03           | -   | 1.4e+04        | -   |
| 84  | 0.3750         | 0.1250         | 3.7500         | 0.6250         | 7.8e+01           | 5.8 | 2.1e+03        | 2.8 |
| 360 | 0.1875         | 0.0625         | 1.8750         | 0.3125         | 4.6e+01           | 0.8 | 1.7e+03        | 0.3 |
| 1488| 0.0938         | 0.0312         | 0.9375         | 0.1562         | 1.2e+01           | 2.0 | 7.5e+02        | 1.2 |
| 6048| 0.0469         | 0.0156         | 0.4688         | 0.0781         | 2.6e+00           | 2.2 | 2.4e+02        | 1.7 |
| 24384| 0.0234       | 0.0078         | 0.2344         | 0.0391         | 2.2e-01           | 3.6 | 5.7e+01        | 2.1 |
| 97920| 0.0117       | 0.0039         | 0.1172         | 0.0195         | 2.6e-02           | 3.1 | 1.4e+01        | 2.0 |
| 392448| 0.0059      | 0.0020         | 0.0586         | 0.0098         | 3.2e-03           | 3.0 | 3.6e+00        | 2.0 |
| 1571328| 0.0029      | 0.0010         | 0.0293         | 0.0049         | 4.0e-04           | 3.0 | 9.0e-01        | 2.0 |
| 6288384| 0.0015       | 0.0005         | 0.0146         | 0.0024         | 5.1e-05           | 3.0 | 2.2e-01        | 2.0 |
| 25159680| 0.0007     | 0.0002         | 0.0073         | 0.0012         | 6.3e-06           | 3.0 | 5.6e-02        | 2.0 |

Table 3: Numerical results of the Galerkin finite element discretisation (7) for $p = 6$ for the space-time cylinder (9) for the smooth function $u_1$ in (10) for a uniform refinement strategy with the starting meshes (12), (13).

| dof | $h_{x, \max}$ | $h_{x, \min}$ | $h_{t, \max}$ | $h_{t, \min}$ | $\|u_1 - u_{1,h}\|_{L^2(Q)}$ | eoc | $\|u_1 - u_{1,h}\|_{H^1(Q)}$ | eoc |
|-----|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|-----|----------------|-----|
| 198 | 0.7500         | 0.2500         | 7.5000         | 1.2500         | 5.2e+01           | -   | 2.0e+03        | -   |
| 828 | 0.3750         | 0.1250         | 3.7500         | 0.6250         | 3.0e+01           | 0.8 | 1.3e+03        | 0.6 |
| 3384| 0.1875         | 0.0625         | 1.8750         | 0.3125         | 9.0e-01           | 5.0 | 8.6e+01        | 3.9 |
| 13680| 0.0938        | 0.0312         | 0.9375         | 0.1562         | 8.9e-03           | 6.7 | 1.7e+00        | 5.6 |
| 55008| 0.0469        | 0.0156         | 0.4688         | 0.0781         | 8.0e-05           | 6.8 | 3.1e-02        | 5.8 |
| 220608| 0.0234       | 0.0078         | 0.2344         | 0.0391         | 6.4e-07           | 7.0 | 4.9e-04        | 6.0 |
| 883584| 0.0117       | 0.0039         | 0.1172         | 0.0195         | 5.0e-09           | 7.0 | 7.7e-06        | 6.0 |

a space-time variational formulation, using also integration by parts with respect to the time variable, and its discretisation of tensor-product type with the help of a certain stabilisation. Thus, we generalised the well-known stabilisation idea from the lowest-order case to the higher-order case, i.e. to an arbitrary polynomial degree. We gave numerical examples, where the unconditional stability, i.e. no CFL condition is required, and optimal convergence rates in space-time norms were illustrated.
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