Predictive factors for a long-term response duration in non-squamous cell lung cancer patients treated with pemetrexed
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Abstract

Background: Pemetrexed is widely used for the treatment of advanced non-squamous non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC). However, factors that can predict the benefits of pemetrexed therapy have not yet been defined.

Methods: We compared the clinical and molecule pathological characteristics of good and poor responders among a cohort of 1,848 non-squamous NSCLC patients who had received at least two cycles of pemetrexed therapy between November 2006 and February 2015. Among these cases, 92 good responders who were the top 5% in terms of progression-free survival (PFS) and 222 poor responders who had progressive disease after only 2 cycles of therapy were selected for the analysis.

Results: The median PFS of the good responders was 29.9 months (range; 20.9–90.0) and the median number of cycle was 37 (range; 18–129). Although 53.5% of patients showed stable disease (SD), this response was sustained (median PFS in SD, 29.6 months). A never-smoking status was related to better survival outcome, whereas EGFR mutation, two or more metastatic sites, and intra-abdominal metastasis were each associated with a poor PFS. ALK translocation showed a tendency for a positive impact on response to pemetrexed, whereas metastatic lesion to liver, adrenal gland or bone showed a tendency for a negative impact despite not reaching our threshold for statistical significance.

Conclusions: Predictive factors, such as smoking status, the status of genetic alteration and tumor burden, should be considered when administering pemetrexed therapy for non-squamous NSCLC.
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Background

Lung cancer is the leading cause of death worldwide. In Korea, 40.6% of lung cancer patients have a metastatic lesion at the time of diagnosis [1]. Despite progress in the understanding of cancer biology and development of new therapeutic agents, the 5-year total survival rate for lung cancer remains 19.7% overall and drops to 4.8% in patients with a metastatic lesion at the time of diagnosis [1]. Therefore, optimal treatments to improve the outcomes of patients with advanced lung cancer are still needed.

Pemetrexed is a multi-targeting antifolate that can inhibit thymidylate synthase (TS) and other folate-dependent enzymes that are involved in purine and pyrimidine synthesis. Pemetrexed has been widely used to treat patients with non-squamous non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) because two separate phase III trials of this drug have reported prolonged survival in patients with non-squamous cell carcinoma compared with those with squamous cell carcinoma [2, 3]. Other than histologic diagnoses, some factors related to the efficacy of pemetrexed have been suggested. For example, sex was found to have a prognostic impact on survival in a phase III trial [4]. Additionally, never-smoker, anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK) gene rearrangement, low tumor
TS RNA level, thyroid transcription factor-1 (TTF-1) expression and low serum leptin level were associated with a good response to pemetrexed in previous studies [4–10]. However, because most earlier studies did not primarily focus on the pemetrexed response, we believe that more investigations aimed at identifying factors that correlate with an improved outcome after pemetrexed therapy are needed.

Methods
Study population
Since pemetrexed was approved for the treatment for non-squamous NSCLC in Korea at November 2006, we identified non-squamous NSCLC patients who received a pemetrexed-containing regimen between November 2006 and February 2015 at Asan medical center, Seoul, South Korea. Patients were arranged in order of the duration of pemetrexed therapy. Among these cases, patients who had been given pemetrexed once were excluded, because they were more likely to be lost to follow-up for several reasons other than experiencing rapid progression of the disease. Most of these patients were transferred to hospice facility or rejected to receive further chemotherapy after initiation of pemetrexed therapy. Since we intended to evaluate the efficacy of pemetrexed in the current study, we selected patients who received two or more cycles of pemetrexed and underwent follow-up imaging study. Additionally, there was a strong suspicion that some of those individuals had been given pemetrexed despite showing a poor performance status, due to the high tolerability and low toxicity of this drug; however, those patients eventually should have been discontinued treatment because of their performance status [11]. We also excluded patients for whom treatment was discontinued for reasons other than disease progression, such as a poor performance status, infection, transfer to another hospital, and dropout. Finally, of the remaining patients, good responders (top 5 percent) and poor responders (bottom 5 percent) were planned to be selected for comparison in our present analyses. The study design was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Asan Medical Center, which waived the requirement for informed consent due to the retrospective nature of the analysis.

Baseline and treatment assessments
We retrospecively reviewed clinicopathological data and follow-up information contained in the archived medical records in April 2015. The date of data cutoff was February 28, 2015. Tumor histology was classified by pathologists using the standard World Health Organization criteria. We have examined the expression of TTF-1 using immunohistochemistry (1:200 dilution; Novocastra Lab., Newcastle, UK). ALK status was determined by the Vysis ALK Break Apart FISH probe kit (Abbott Molecular, Inc., Abbott Park, IL, USA). We analyzed epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) mutations within exons 18 to 21 and Kirsten rat sarcoma viral oncogene homolog (KRAS) mutations by a direct DNA sequencing method using an automatic ABI PRISM 3100 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). Patients were administered pemetrexed alone or in combination with platinum or non-platinum agents at a dose of 500 mg/m² every 3 weeks. Maintenance pemetrexed therapy after 4 cycles of pemetrexed-platinum was considered as combination therapy. To evaluate tumor responses, chest radiography was performed during every cycle, and chest computed tomography was performed every 2 to 3 cycles. If a patient was suspected to have a new extrapulmonary lesion, we immediately performed additional procedures, such as abdominal computed tomography, magnetic resonance imaging, bone scintigraphy, and positron emission tomography. Progression-free survival (PFS) was defined as the time from pemetrexed commencement to either documented disease progression or death from any cause. For patients without evidence of disease progression at the date of data cutoff, patients in the good responder group were censored at the time of data cutoff. Disease status was assessed based on the Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors version 1.1 [12].

Statistical analysis
Categorical variables were analyzed using either Pearson's chi-square test or Fisher's exact test and continuous variables were analyzed using either Student t-test or Mann–Whitney test. Variables selected by univariate analysis (p <0.1) were evaluated in a multivariate analysis using the Cox proportional hazard model. All tests for significance were two-sided, and all variable with a p-value <0.05 were considered to be significant. All statistical analyses were performed with SPSS software version 21.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

Results
Patient characteristics
From November 2006 to February 2015, a total of 2,310 non-squamous NSCLC patients received pemetrexed-containing chemotherapy. Among these patients, 462 patients met the exclusion criteria. Of the remaining 1,848 patients, the bottom 14 % (252 patients) discontinued treatment after receiving two cycles of pemetrexed-containing treatment because of disease progression in 222 cases, transfer to another hospital in 16 cases, a poor performance status in 7 cases, and pneumonia in another 7 cases. As pemetrexed was given in same cycle
to those bottom 12% of overall patients, a final cohort included 314 patients; the top 5% (92 patients) and the bottom 12% (222 patients) (Fig. 1). We termed these patient groups as good responders and poor responders, respectively.

The baseline demographic and clinical characteristics of the patients are presented in Table 1. The mean age of the 314 patients was 59.1 ± 10.1 years old. Female (P = 0.009) and never smokers (P = 0.015) were significantly predominant in the good responder group compared with the poor responder group. Among the 314 patients, 263 (83.8%) received pemetrexed alone, 34 (10.8%) received pemetrexed in combination with a platinum agent, and 17 (5.4%) received pemetrexed in combination with a non-platinum agent. The number and types of regimens used prior to pemetrexed were not significantly different between groups.

**Efficacy**
All patients in the poor responder group experienced disease progression after receiving two cycles of pemetrexed-containing therapy, and their median PFS was 1.4 months (range, 0.7–2.8). By contrast, the median PFS of the good responder group was 29.9 months (range, 20.9–90.0) and the median cycle number was 37 (range, 18–129). Additionally, 46.7% of the good responders experienced a partial response and 53.3% of them exhibited a stable disease.

**Histology and gene alterations**
The histological and genetic disease characteristics are presented in Table 2. Most of the patients (285/314, 90.8%) had adenocarcinoma and 24 patients (7.6%) had NSCLC-not otherwise specified. Among the 57 cases in which the histological subtypes were identified, mucinous adenocarcinoma (22/57, 38.6%) was the most frequent type, followed by acinar (13/57, 22.8%) and papillary (13/57, 22.8%) types. Some characteristics of good responders, such as the level of differentiation, TTF-1 expression and gene alterations, were significantly different from those of poor responders. A total of 46.1% of poor responders had EGFR mutation, while 16.7% of good responder had EGFR mutation (P < 0.001). In contrast to EGFR mutation, ALK translocation was more frequently seen in the good responder group (22.2% versus 4.2%, P < 0.001).

**Tumor burden and site of metastasis**
The median number of metastatic sites was 1 (range, 0–5) in good responders and 2 (range, 0–7) in poor responders. Consequentially, patients with two or more metastatic sites were significantly more likely to be included in the poor responder group (P < 0.001). The contralateral lung was the most common metastatic site, followed by the pleura, bone, brain, adrenal gland and liver. The poor responders had significantly more distant metastases other than contralateral lung or pleura, compared with the good responders (72.5% versus 44.6%, P < 0.001). A total of 21.7% of patients had undergone previous surgical therapy, including 40.2% of the good responders and 14.0% of the poor responders (P < 0.001) (Table 3).

**Prognostic factors predicting efficacy of pemetrexed**
When parameters were analyzed by univariate analysis (P < 0.1), sex, smoking status, level of differentiation, history of previous surgical therapy, gene alteration, tumor burden and sites of metastasis were revealed as significant prognostic factor predicting efficacy of pemetrexed (Fig. 2). These parameters were put in multivariate analysis by Cox regression model. As a result, never smoking status (hazard ratio [HR], 1.997; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.442–2.766; P < 0.001), EGFR mutation (HR, 0.520; 95% CI, 0.377–0.718; P < 0.001), presence of two or more metastatic sites (HR, 0.668; 95% CI, 0.471–0.946; P = 0.023) and presence of intra-abdominal metastatic lesion (HR, 0.590; 95% CI, 0.410–0.847; P = 0.004) were independent prognostic factor predicting efficacy of pemetrexed containing regimen (Table 4).

**Discussion**
This is the first study to compare the characteristics of good responders and poor responders to pemetrexed therapy directly. Our current study findings demonstrated that smoking status, EGFR mutation, tumor burden and intra-abdominal metastasis are predictive factors for the response of these lung cancer patients to pemetrexed. In addition to EGFR mutation, molecular...
genetic factors, such as ALK translocation and wild-type for EGFR/ALK/KRAS, were more frequently seen in the good responder group.

An activating EGFR mutation has been reported in various studies not to confer a survival benefit for any chemotherapeutic regimen other than tyrosine kinase inhibitors, with a median PFS of 5.8 months as a first-line and of 4.1 months as second-line and beyond, including a small number of patients who had received pemetrexed [13]. In addition, a previous study which compared efficacy of pemetrexed in relation to gene mutation reported that EGFR mutation was not associated with survival gain [5]. Accordingly, our present study demonstrated that patients with EGFR mutations were unlikely to benefit from pemetrexed therapy. On the other hand, previous studies reported that treatment outcomes which included PFS and the response rate for pemetrexed in NSCLC cases with ALK translocation were better than the patients with a wild-type for ALK [5, 14, 15]. However, other previous studies did not report any benefit of pemetrexed in NSCLC patients with ALK translocation [7, 16]. Our current study findings suggest that ALK translocation may be an indicator for the response to pemetrexed therapy, although it was not demonstrated in multivariate analysis.

Since only 3 patients in our current study had received crizotinib prior to pemetrexed therapy, we could not investigate whether crizotinib could affect on treatment outcome of pemetrexed. However, we could assume that pemetrexed could be considered as second-line or a good alternative to crizotinib in patients with ALK translocation.

The number of metastatic site was also prognostic factor predicting efficacy of pemetrexed. In our present study, a larger proportion of good responders experienced recurrence after surgical therapy compared with poor responders. Because the patients who had received surgical therapy underwent regular follow-up assessments using

| Table 1 Baseline patient characteristics | Total (n = 314) | Good responders (n = 92) | Poor responders (n = 222) | P-value |
|-----------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------|
| Age, years, mean ± SD                   | 59.1 ± 10.1    | 59.2 ± 10.1             | 59.1 ± 10.1              | 0.953   |
| Sex, Female                             | 145 (46.2)     | 53 (57.6)               | 92 (41.4)                | 0.009   |
| History of smoking (n = 303)            | 303            | 86                      | 217                      | 0.015   |
| Current smoker                          | 68 (22.4)      | 12 (14.0)               | 56 (25.8)                |         |
| Ex-smoker                               | 76 (25.1)      | 18 (20.9)               | 58 (26.7)                |         |
| Never smoker                            | 159 (52.5)     | 56 (65.1)               | 103 (47.5)               |         |
| Stage                                   |               |                         |                          | 0.456   |
| ≤IIIB                                   | 19 (6.1)       | 7 (7.6)                 | 12 (5.4)                 |         |
| IV                                      | 295 (93.9)     | 85 (92.4)               | 210 (94.6)               |         |
| Stage M1a                                | 93 (29.6)      | 44 (47.8)               | 49 (22.1)                | <0.001  |
| Stage M1b                                | 202 (64.3)     | 41 (44.6)               | 161 (72.5)               | <0.001  |
| Type of chemotherapy                    |               |                         |                          | 0.002   |
| Pemetrexed monotherapy                  | 263 (83.8)     | 68 (73.9)               | 195 (87.8)               |         |
| Pemetrexed combination                  | 51 (16.2)      | 24 (26.1)               | 27 (12.2)                | 0.552   |
| Platinum                                | 34 (10.8)      | 15 (16.3)               | 19 (8.6)                 |         |
| Non-platinum                            | 17 (5.4)       | 9 (9.8)                 | 8 (3.6)                  |         |
| Previous chemotherapy                   |               |                         |                          | 0.068   |
| 0                                       | 44 (14.0)      | 18 (19.6)               | 26 (11.7)                |         |
| ≥1                                      | 270 (86.0)     | 74 (80.4)               | 196 (88.3)               |         |
| Previous regimen (n = 270)a             | 270            | 74                      | 196                      |         |
| Response to Gemcitabineb                | 88/175 (50.3)  | 32/49 (65.3)            | 56/126 (44.4)            | 0.013   |
| Response to EGFR-TKI                    | 79/128 (61.7)  | 16/34 (47.1)            | 63/94 (67.0)             | 0.040   |
| Response to Paclitaxel                  | 36/56 (64.3)   | 5/14 (35.7)             | 31/42 (73.8)             | 0.010   |
| Response to Docetaxel                   | 7/14 (50.0)    | 4/6 (66.7)              | 3/8 (37.5)               | 0.592   |
| Response to Miscellaneous               | 7/15 (46.7)    | 3/4 (75.0)              | 4/11 (36.4)              | 0.282   |

Data are shown as n (%) per each group, unless otherwise noted

aTotal number exceeds 270 as some patients had received two or more regimen prior to pemetrexed
bResponse was defined as achievement of partial response or stable disease for 3 months or more. Others were defined as non-response
SD standard deviation, EGFR-TKI epidermal growth factor receptor-tyrosine kinase inhibitor
chest computer tomography, they tended to show a low tumor burden. Furthermore, the good responders had fewer distant metastatic lesions when the cancer recurred after surgical resection. Additionally, a prospective study by Sanchez et al. reported that the numbers of metastatic sites and lesions had prognostic relevance [17]. Tumor burden has exhibited prognostic relevance for survival irrespective of therapeutic agents, cytotoxic chemotherapy, and use of a tyrosine kinase inhibitor such as gefitinib [18–20]. Zhao et al., reported that cancer cells can tolerate chemotherapy and acquire more stemness under hypoxic conditions [21]. Additionally, cells expressing CD166, a specific membrane molecule expressed in cancer stem cells, exhibited a higher survival rate against pemetrexed under hypoxic conditions [21]. We assume that this may explain the poor outcomes in NSCLC patients with a

| Table 2 | Histological and genetic characteristics of the patients treated with a pemetrexed-containing therapy |
|---------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|         | Total (n = 314) | Good responders (n = 92) | Poor responders (n = 222) | P-value  |
| Histology |                                  |                        |                          |          |
| Adenocarcinoma | 285 (90.8) | 83 (90.2) | 202 (91.0) | 0.810   |
| Large cell carcinoma | 5 (1.6) | 2 (2.2) | 3 (1.4) |          |
| NSCLC-NOS | 24 (7.6) | 7 (7.6) | 17 (7.7) |          |
| Subtype (n = 57)* |                                  |                        |                          | 0.368    |
| Mucinous | 57 | 24 | 33 |          |
| Acinar | 22 (38.6) | 10 (41.7) | 12 (36.4) |          |
| Papillary | 13 (22.8) | 7 (29.2) | 6 (18.2) |          |
| Signet-ring | 13 (22.8) | 4 (16.7) | 9 (27.3) |          |
| Solid | 5 (8.8) | 3 (12.5) | 2 (6.1) |          |
| Differentiation (n = 220) |                                  |                        |                          | 0.001    |
| Well-differentiated | 220 | 63 | 157 |          |
| Moderately-differentiated | 18 (8.2) | 12 (19.0) | 6 (3.8) |          |
| Poorly-differentiated | 115 (52.3) | 29 (46.0) | 86 (54.8) |          |
| TTF-1 expression (n = 151) |                                  |                        |                          | 0.028    |
| EGFR mutation (n = 239) |                                  |                        |                          |          |
| ALK translocation | 23 (9.6) | 16 (22.2) | 7 (4.2) | <0.001 |
| KRAS mutation | 5 (2.1) | 0 | 5 (3.0) | 0.326 |
| Wild-type for EGFR/ALK/KRAS | 122 (51.0) | 44 (61.1) | 78 (46.7) | 0.041 |

Data are shown as n (%) per each group, unless otherwise noted *The subtype was not subclassified according to the International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer/American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society Classification of Lung adenocarcinoma revised at 2011, for study subjects were recruited from 2006.

NSCLC-NOS Non-small-cell lung cancer-not otherwise specified; TTF-1 thyroid transcription factor-1; EGFR epidermal growth factor receptor; ALK anaplastic lymphoma kinase; KRAS Kirsten rat sarcoma viral oncogene homolog

| Table 3 | Number and site of metastases in the patients treated with a pemetrexed-containing therapy |
|---------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|         | Total (n = 314) | Good responder (n = 92) | Poor responder (n = 222) | P-value  |
| Number of metastatic sites ≥2 | 201 (64.0) | 44 (47.8) | 157 (70.7) | <0.001 |
| Site of metastases |                                  |                        |                          |          |
| Lung | 152 (48.4) | 48 (52.2) | 104 (46.8) | 0.390 |
| Pleura | 144 (45.9) | 39 (42.4) | 105 (47.3) | 0.427 |
| Bone | 125 (39.8) | 29 (31.5) | 96 (43.2) | 0.053 |
| Brain | 77 (24.5) | 18 (19.6) | 59 (26.6) | 0.189 |
| Adrenal gland | 45 (14.3) | 3 (3.3) | 42 (18.9) | <0.001 |
| Liver | 43 (13.7) | 5 (5.4) | 38 (17.1) | 0.006 |
| Pericardial effusion | 20 (6.4) | 5 (5.4) | 15 (6.8) | 0.662 |
| Previous surgical therapy | 68 (21.7) | 37 (40.2) | 31 (14.0) | <0.001 |

Data are shown as n (%) per each group, unless otherwise noted.
higher tumor burden because disseminated tumors reflect both the rapid growth of cancer cells and greater number of cancer cells under relatively hypoxic conditions. Along with tumor burden, metastatic sites in our current patients were correlated with their prognoses. Similar to previous studies that demonstrated a correlation of metastases to the brain, bone and liver with a poor prognosis, our current data indicates that presence of intraabdominal metastasis significantly correlate with a poor response to pemetrexed [19, 22–24]. In addition, the metastatic lesion to liver, adrenal gland and bone also affected negatively on response to pemetrexed according to results by univariate analysis.

Lastly, never-smoking status was associated with good response to pemetrexed. This has been suggested in several previous studies, therefore, smoking status should be considered an important factor when choosing the treatment regimen [5, 7].

In the good responder group, 46.7 % of patients showed a partial response, while 53.3 % of patients exhibited stable disease. Achievement of a response is a robust marker of a biological therapeutic effect and correlates with both PFS and overall survival [25, 26]. Although the response rate was higher than the 9.1 % rate observed for overall pemetrexed monotherapy previously, it need to be noted that a large number of patients who have received pemetrexed for a long period of time without disease progression could not achieve a response [3].

The present study has several limitations. First, it had a retrospective design and patients did not receive treatment according to an established protocol which led to differences in the treatment regimens. Significantly more patients in the good responder group received pemetrexed in combination with other agent than the poor responder group (26.1 % versus 12.2 %, \( P = 0.002 \)). However, the univariate analysis showed that the treatment option was not a prognostic factor (HR, 0.803; 95 % CI, 0.575–1.120; \( P = 0.196 \)). In addition, Sun et al. reported that prior chemotherapeutic regiment and its response influence the efficacy of pemetrexed therapy [27]. As presented in Table 1, there was significant difference in response to gemcitabine and EGFR-tyrosine kinase inhibitor between two groups, while, the response of prior chemotherapeutic regimen did not affect on efficacy of pemetrexed according to univariate analysis. Second, we could not identify the exact performance status of each patient when they received pemetrexed. Many previous studies have reported that performance status represents an important prognostic factor, so it could also have affected the prognosis of our present cases [28–31]. Third, we compared two groups by extracting the top 5 % and the bottom 12 % of patients from the initial patient

**Table 4** Prognostic factors predicting the efficacy of pemetrexed in patients with non-squamous non-small-cell lung cancer assessed using a multivariate Cox model

| Characteristics                      | Hazard ratio (95 % CI) | P-value |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------|---------|
| Never-smoker                         | 1.997 (1.442–2.766)    | <0.001  |
| Metastasis to intraabdomen           | 0.590 (0.410–0.847)    | 0.004   |
| Number of metastatic lesion ≥2       | 0.668 (0.471–0.946)    | 0.023   |
| Presence of EGFR mutation            | 0.520 (0.377–0.718)    | <0.001  |

CI: confidence interval; EGFR: epidermal growth factor receptor.
population. Because this was not an enumeration study, the study design itself could have introduced a bias. However, we selected the top 5 % since the section between approximately 5–6 % was sharply changing when patients arranged in order of duration of pemetrexed therapy (Fig. 1). To show a clear contrast between two groups, we selected two sections in the top and bottom 5 % although the proportion of poor responders increased to 12 % for their same amount of pemetrexed usage.

Conclusions

In conclusion, factors such as smoking status, genetic alteration status, intra-abdominal metastasis, and tumor burden may be considered as predictive factors for the response to pemetrexed therapy in non-squamous NSCLC.
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