The Spatiotemporal Control of Zygotic Genome Activation

Temporal RNAPII profiling shows gradual activation of zygotic genome in frog embryo. Quantity and size of RNAPII-transcribed genes increase before cell cycle slows down. More than 1,000 genes are activated before the midblastula transition. Most regional activation of zygotic genome depends on Wnt, Nodal, and BMP signaling.
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SUMMARY
One of the earliest and most significant events in embryonic development is zygotic genome activation (ZGA). In several species, bulk transcription begins at the midblastula transition (MBT) when, after a certain number of cleavages, the embryo attains a particular nuclear-to-cytoplasmic (N/C) ratio, maternal repressors become sufficiently diluted, and the cell cycle slows down. Here we resolve the frog ZGA in time and space by profiling RNA polymerase II (RNAPII) engagement and its transcriptional readout. We detect a gradual increase in both the quantity and the length of RNAPII elongation before the MBT, revealing that >1,000 zygotic genes disregard the N/C timer for their activation and that the sizes of newly transcribed genes are not necessarily constrained by cell cycle duration. We also find that Wnt, Nodal, and BMP signaling together generate most of the spatiotemporal dynamics of regional ZGA, directing the formation of orthogonal body axes and proportionate germ layers.

INTRODUCTION
The genomes of multicellular organisms are transcriptionally silent at the time of fertilization, and the events of early development, including zygotic (also known as embryonic) genome activation (ZGA), are directed by maternal gene products (De Iaco et al., 2019; Eckersley-Maslin et al., 2019; Gentsch et al., 2018b; Lee et al., 2013; Leichsenring et al., 2013; Liang et al., 2008). The number of cell cycles after which ZGA becomes essential for development (at which embryos arrest if transcription is inhibited) is highly reproducible within each species. In the zebrafish and the fruit fly Drosophila, this occurs after 10, 12, and 13 cell cycles, respectively, at the so-called midblastula transition (MBT) (Blythe and Wieschaus, 2015; Gentsch et al., 2018b; Kane and Kimmel, 1993; Newport and Kirschner, 1982a). Early development in these species occurs with no gain in cytoplasmic volume, and studies in Xenopus suggest that ZGA is triggered at a particular nuclear-to-cytoplasmic (N/C) ratio, when the increasing amount of nuclear DNA titrates out maternally deposited repressors (Newport and Kirschner, 1982b). Slower-developing mammalian embryos show major waves of RNA polymerase II (RNAPII)-mediated transcription as early as the two-cell stage in mice (Bolton et al., 1984; Hamatani et al., 2004) and four- to eight-cell stage in humans (Braude et al., 1988; Vassena et al., 2011). This occurs days before the formation of the blastocyst, which, like the blastula, contains the pluripotent cells that form the embryo proper.

In Xenopus, ZGA is associated with changes in cell behavior after the MBT. First, rapid and nearly synchronous cell cleavages give way to longer and asynchronous cell divisions (Anderson et al., 2017; Newport and Kirschner, 1982a). Second, embryonic cells acquire the ability to respond to inductive signaling (Gentsch et al., 2018b), causing them to become motile, to establish dorsoventral patterning, and to contribute to one or two of the three germ layers (endoderm, mesoderm, and ectoderm). These germ layers emerge first during gastrulation and are the primordia of all organs. Third, embryos show accelerated degradation of maternal RNA, and fourth, cells gain apoptotic (Stack and Newport, 1997) and immunogenic (Gentsch et al., 2018a) capabilities.

Although large-scale ZGA occurs at the MBT, some genes escape the repressive environment of the early embryo and nascent transcripts can be detected in Xenopus during rapid cleavage stages. For example, primary microRNA transcripts of the polycistronic MIR-427 gene (Lund et al., 2009) are detectable in Xenopus tropicalis after just three cell divisions (Owens et al., 2016). MIR-427, like its zebrafish equivalent MIR-430, is activated at early stages by the synergistic and pioneering activities of maternal members of the SoxB1 and Pou5F (Oct4) transcription factor (TF) families (Gentsch et al., 2018b; Heyn et al., 2014; Lee et al., 2013). These core pluripotency TFs, represented by Sox3 and Pou5f3 in Xenopus, are characterized by ubiquitous and high translation frequencies in pre-MBT embryos (Gentsch et al., 2018b; Lee et al., 2013). Zygotic transcription of the Nodal-encoding genes nodal3/5/6, and of the homeobox genes sianois1/2,
Figure 1. Dynamics and Architecture of ZGA in X. tropicalis

(A) (i) Genome-wide profiling of RNAPII and total RNA (Owens et al., 2016) to determine temporal ZGA dynamics. (ii) Complementary approach to detect transcriptionally active genes by α-amanitin-induced loss and 4S enrichment of nascent (zygotic) transcripts.

(B) Progression of ZGA from the 32-cell to the late gastrula stage based on (i) whole gene body (full-length) occupancy of RNAPII (i.e., RNAPII was enriched across entire gene bodies; see Transparent Methods). Co-aligned: (ii) High time-resolution of total RNA, separated by intron- and exon-derived signals, from fertilization to the late gastrula stage, and (iii) enrichments of 4S-tagged RNA at the MBT and the mid-gastrula stage. Numbers below RNAPII heatmap represent counts of active (blue) and activated (red) genes at the indicated developmental stages. The horizontal dotted line separates RNAPII-engaged (RNAPII+; red) from non-engaged (RNAPII−; blue) genes as detected until the late gastrula stage. The
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is initiated by nuclear β-catenin as early as the 32-cell stage (Owens et al., 2016; Skirkanich et al., 2011; Yang et al., 2002).

Although miR-427 (and miR-430 in zebrafish) contributes to the clearance of maternal RNA (Giraldez et al., 2006; Lund et al., 2009), nodal and siamois genes initiate the formation of the germ layers and body axes (Agius et al., 2000; Lemaire et al., 1995). All these genes, and other early-activated genes in Drosophila, Xenopus, and zebrafish, have coding sequences of <1 kb and either no introns or just a few (Heyn et al., 2014). It has been suggested that the early rapid cell cycles cause the DNA replication machinery to interfere with the transcription of larger genes (Shermoen and O'Farrell, 1991), a suggestion supported, to date, by the profiling of nascent transcripts (Heyn et al., 2014). We note, however, that the detection and temporal resolution of de novo transcription can be particularly challenging for genes that have both maternal and zygotic transcripts.

Here we use the continuous occupancy of RNAPII along gene bodies as a method to record ZGA. In contrast to transcript profiling techniques, this method (1) directly determines the activity of every gene; (2) is independent of metabolic labeling (Heyn et al., 2014) and of any gene feature such as introns (Lee et al., 2013), single nucleotide polymorphisms (Harvey et al., 2013; Lott et al., 2011), or transcript half-lives; and (3) circumvents difficulties in detecting nascent transcripts. By combining these data with the profiling of the transcriptome along the primary body axes (Blitz et al., 2017), we resolve ZGA in time and space for wild-type and various loss-of-function embryos. We provide evidence that runs counter to our original understanding of the cell cycle or of the N/C ratio in constraining gene expression before MBT. And finally, we show how signaling initiates and coordinates spatiotemporal ZGA in the Xenopus embryo.

RESULTS
RNAPII Profiling Reveals Exponential ZGA before MBT
In an effort to resolve the progression of ZGA, we profiled chromatin for RNAPII engagement on hand-sorted X. tropicalis embryos over six developmental stages from the 32-cell to the late gastrula stage (Figures 1A and 1B). RNAPII was localized on the genome by chromatin immunoprecipitation followed by deep sequencing (ChIP-seq). We complemented RNAPII profiling with high time-resolution transcriptomics (Owens et al., 2016) counting both exonic and intronic RNA at 30-min intervals from fertilization to the late gastrula stage (Figures 1A, 1B, S1A, and S1B). For both maternal and zygotic genes, the detection threshold was set to ≥3 transcripts per million (TPM) averaged over any 1-h window during this developmental period to avoid genes with general low-level expression. This restricted the analysis to 13,042 genes (Figure 1B). These genes were considered active when we detected simultaneously RNAPII enrichment along their full length (see Transparent Methods) as well as the presence of the corresponding transcripts. In doing so, we used a low threshold of ≥0.1 TPM so as not to miss the onset of gene transcription. RNAPII-guided ZGA profiling was verified in part by active post-translational histone marks (Hontelez et al., 2015) and by differential expression methods aiming at detecting nascent transcripts. Thus, zygotic transcript depletion (by blocking RNAPII elongation with α-amanitin) (Gentsch et al., 2018b) or enrichment (by selecting 4-thiouridine [4sU]-tagged transcripts at the MBT and the mid-gastrula stage) showed substantial overlaps and positive correlations with RNAPII-covered genes (Figures 1A, 1B, S1C, and S1D and Tables S1 and S2).
This analysis revealed an exponential ZGA before the MBT with 27, 144, and 1,044 active genes after 5 (32-cell, ~2.5 hpf), 7 (128-cell, ~3 hpf) and 10 (1,024-cell, ~4 hpf) cell cycles, respectively. Gene activation reached its peak at the MBT (~4.5 hpf), with 1,854 newly activated genes, before dropping to 724 genes at the early to mid-gastrula stage (~7.5 hpf) and increasing again to 1,214 genes toward the end of gastrulation (~10 hpf) (Figures 1B, 1C, and S1E and Table S2). The dramatic increase in transcriptional activity that occurs in the 1.5 h between the 128-cell stage and the MBT can be illustrated by Hilbert curves (Figure 1C), which provide a genome-wide overview of RNAPII enrichment by folding chromosomes into two-dimensional space-filling and position-preserving plots (Gu et al., 2016). Although most zygotic genes remain active beyond the mid-gastrula stage, 197 (including siamois2 [sia2], nodal5 and znf470) of the 4,836 zygotic genes (~4%) are deactivated within ~6 h of development (Figures 1C, S1F, and S1G). Slightly less than one-third of the activated genes were differentially expressed among either or both of the animal-vegetal and dorsoventral axes (Figures 1D and S1F).

The temporal order of enriched biological processes supported by ZGA matched the regulatory flow of gene expression, starting with nucleosome assembly, nucleic acid synthesis, mRNA metabolism and production, post-translational modification, and degradation of proteins (Figure 1E). The earliest transcriptional engagement, beginning at the 32- to 128-cell stages, was detected in gene clusters of tens to hundreds of kilobases (Figures 1A, 1C, and S1H–S1J). These clusters featured close relatives of the same genes, some of which are critical to Nodal signaling (Nodal ligands), the formation of the Spemann organizer (Siamois homeobox transcription factors), nucleosome assembly (histones), mRNA decay (MIR-427), and ongoing gene regulation (zinc finger transcription factors with on average 10 Cys2-His2 [C2H2] domains; Figure S1J). These earliest activated genes were shorter and encoded smaller proteins than those within the maternal pool or those that are activated post-MBT (Figures 1F and S1K). The non-coding features that contributed most to the differences in length were the 3’ UTRs and introns (Figure S1K).

We noted that the shorter zygotic genes observed before the MBT did not correlate strictly with the time constraints imposed by short cell cycles. We detected increasing and wider spread of de novo recruitment of RNAPII before the MBT, when cleavages continue to occur at rapid and near-constant pace (Figures 1F and S1K). During this period, the median length of activated genes (and their coding sequences) increases from ~0.9 kb (~0.4 kb) to ~5.9 kb (~0.9 kb). However, it was not until after the MBT that the overall architecture of zygotic and maternal genes became indistinguishable (Figures 1F and S1K). Temporal comparison of RNAPII engagement and total RNA profiling suggested that the zygotic contribution to the transcriptome (as calculated by the number of zygotic genes divided by the number of genes with ≥0.1 TPM maternal transcripts averaged over the first hour post-fertilization when the entire zygotic genome is still transcriptionally inactive) rose within seven cell cycles from ~0.2% at the 32-cell stage to ~22% at the MBT (Figure 1G). Further maternal degradation and more moderate transcriptional engagement extended the zygotic contribution to about one-third of the transcriptome by the late gastrula stage. Maternal transcripts (≥0.1 TPM, see earlier text) were detected for ~67% of newly activated genes (18 of 27 genes) at the 32-cell stage, ~85% (99/117) at the 128-cell stage, ~87% (780/900) at the 1,024-cell stage, ~95% (1,754/1,854) at the MBT, ~89% (644/724) at the mid-gastrula stage, and ~90% (1,094/1,214) at the late gastrula stage (Table S2). Altogether ~91% (4,389/4,836) of newly activated genes have ≥0.1 TPM maternal contribution.

**Wnt, Nodal, and BMP Signals Are Key Drivers of Regional ZGA**

We next sought to investigate the single and combined effects of different inductive signals on the spatio-temporal dynamics of ZGA. The early vertebrate embryo employs canonical Wnt, Nodal, and BMP signals and their key transcriptional effectors β-catenin, Smad2, and Smad1, respectively, to establish the primary body axes and the three germ layers (reviewed by Arnold and Robertson, 2009 and Kimelman, 2006). In *Xenopus*, β-catenin first translocates to the nuclei of dorsal blastomeres at the 32-cell stage (Larabell et al., 1997; Schneider et al., 1996) (Figure 2A). After the MBT, zygotic Wnt8a causes more nuclear β-catenin to accumulate around the forming blastopore lip (Christian and Moon, 1993; Schohl and Fagotto, 2002). The nuclear translocation of Smad1 and Smad2 is triggered around the MBT by various BMP and Nodal ligands. Nuclear Smad1 is primarily detected on the ventral side and the blastocoel roof of the embryo, whereas nuclear Smad2 is detected within the vegetal hemisphere (VH) and the marginal zone (MZ) (Faure et al., 2000; Schohl and Fagotto, 2002) (Figure 2A).

In an effort to inhibit canonical Wnt signaling, we injected into the *X. tropicalis* zygote a previously validated antisense morpholino oligonucleotide (MO), which interferes with β-catenin protein synthesis by annealing...
Figure 2. Spatiotemporal ZGA Regulated by Canonical Wnt, Nodal, and BMP Signals

(A) Spatiotemporal ZGA and nuclear localization of signal mediators β-catenin (canonical Wnt), Smad2 (Nodal), and Smad1 (BMP) (Faure et al., 2000; Larabell et al., 1997; Schohl and Fagotto, 2002) from the 32-cell to the late gastrula stage.

(B) Morphological phenotypes of single and combined signal LOFs at the late gastrula and the mid-tail bud stage. Left (“control”) pictures are taken from Gentsch et al. (2018b). Bullet points, failed blastopore formation. Arrowheads, excessive neural fold formation. Scale bar, 0.5 mm.

(C) Heatmap (i) and bar graph summary (ii) of ZGA mis-regulated in various LOF embryos: α-amanitin, positive control; control MO, negative control. Abbreviations: B, BMP; N, Nodal; W, canonical Wnt; mPS, maternal Pou5f3/Sox3; mV, maternal VegT; 4x zT, four zygotic T-box TFs (zygotic VegT, Eomes, Tbxt and Tbxt.2).

(D) Biological processes enriched with mis-regulated and control (not down-regulated or unaffected by the loss of maternal TFs or signaling) sets of zygotic genes under indicated LOFs. The statistical significance of enrichment (hypergeometric p-value) and the number of zygotic genes are visualized by bubble size and color, respectively.

(E) Summary (i) and temporal resolution (ii) of Wnt LOF effects on regional ZGA. Percentages only refer to the down-regulated genes among all zygotic genes with similar expression ratios along the animal-vegetal or the dorsoventral axes. See also Figure S2, Tables S1 and S3, and Video S1.
Changes to ZGA caused by the single or combined LOF of Wnt, Nodal, and/or BMP were then determined at the late blastula stage on a transcriptome-wide scale using deep RNA sequencing (RNA-seq). Analysis was limited to the 3,315 zygotic genes for which spatiotemporal expression data are available (Blitz et al., 2017; Owens et al., 2016) and where reduced expression (≥50% loss of exonic and/or intronic transcript counts, false discovery rate [FDR] ≤10%) could be detected in α-amanitin-injected embryos (Figure 2C and Table S3) (Gentsch et al., 2018b). α-Amanitin-mediated inhibition of RNAPII elongation impedes the morphogenetic tissue movements of gastrulation and ultimately leads to early embryonic death (Gentsch et al., 2018b). Spatial gene expression patterns were inferred from experiments comparing the transcriptomes of embryos dissected along their animal-vegetal and dorsoventral axes (Blitz et al., 2017); we did not include the left-right axis because there were no significant differences in gene expression across this axis at the gastrula stage (Blitz et al., 2017). The signal-mediated transcriptional effects (1.5-fold change from control RNA level) on zygotic genes, 86% (2,840/3,315) of which had ≥0.1 TPM maternal contribution, ranged from ~1.5% (~1.3% down and ~0.2% up) to ~26% (~19% down and 7% up) for single BMP LOF and triple Wnt/Nodal/BMP LOFs, respectively (Figure 2C). As expected, the transcript levels of genes whose expression is solely zygotic were more strongly affected than those of zygotic genes with maternally contributed transcripts (Figures 2C and 4A). The extent of ZGA mis-regulation largely reflected the severity of the resulting morphological phenotypes at the late gastrula and the mid-tail bud stage (Figures 2B and 2C).

In comparison, the LOFs of critical maternal TFs like Pou5f3/Sox3 or VegT (Gentsch et al., 2018b) caused the mis-regulation of 61% (~24% down and ~37% up) and 13% (~6% down and ~7% up) of zygotic genes, respectively. The LOFs of four zygotic T-box TFs (zVegT, Eomes, Tbxt, and Tbxt.2), all of which require Nodal signaling for their expression, caused slight mis-regulation in 19% (~9% down and ~10% up) of the zygotic genes as detected over three consecutive developmental time points during gastrulation (Table S3). Among the ZGA-enriched biological functions (Figure 1D), Wnt, Nodal, and BMP signals, like maternal Pou5f3/Sox3 and VegT, strongly affected zygotic genes associated with cell migration, gastrulation, dorsoventral and antero-posterior body axes formation, and regionalization (Figure 2D). Impaired tissue movements during gastrulation, as observed in various LOFs (Figure 2B and Video S1), was prefigured by a strong enrichment for cell migration-associated genes. The genes suppressed or unaffected by the selected signals and maternal TFs were enriched for the ZGA-critical biological processes of mRNA metabolism and translation. For instance, the transient activation of the entire zinc finger cluster (Figure S2A) was not affected by any tested LOF. Because family members are frequently cross-regulated, and the MBT-staged chromatin contains many Krüppel-like zinc finger “footprints” (Gentsch et al., 2018b), it is conceivable that the unaffected, tissue-nonspecific part of ZGA is regulated by maternal zinc finger TFs. This vertebrate gene regulatory branch may be more ancient than that of Pou5f/SoxB1 as zinc finger TFs like Zelda are also key to ZGA of the invertebrate Drosophila (Liang et al., 2008).

Next, signal-dependent ZGA was resolved in time and space based on (1) the profiling of RNAPII-engaged chromatin from the 32-cell stage to the MBT and (2) known gene expression patterns along the animal-vegetal and dorsoventral axes (Blitz et al., 2017) (Figures 2E and S2B–S2F). In line with the
nuclear translocation of their signal mediators (Figure 2A), Wnt, Nodal, and BMP proved to be required for gene activation in different spatiotemporal domains of the early embryo: β-catenin was needed for ~87% and ~46% of genes preferentially expressed on the dorsal side and in the VH/MZ, respectively. Some of its target genes like nodal3.1 and sia2 were already active by the 32-cell stage (Figures 1C and 2E). On Wnt LOF, the early transcriptional down-regulation was followed by the mis-regulation of opposing cell fate specifiers: the up-regulation of ventral genes (e.g., id2, szl) and the down-regulation of dorsal genes (e.g., chrd, otx2). These observations suggest that β-catenin protects dorsal cells from ventralization (Figures 2E and S2C).

Along similar lines, Nodal LOF embryos predominantly displayed a down-regulation of dorsal (~63%) and VH/MZ-specific (~73%) genes, although there was no effect of Nodal LOF on the earliest-activated genes at the 32-cell stage or on opposing cell fate regulators (Figures S2A and S2B). Among the first genes to be sensitive to Nodal LOF was the MZ-specific FGF ligand fgf20, activated by the 128-cell stage (Figures 1C, S2A, and S2B). By contrast, BMP LOF caused a decrease in ventrally expressed gene expression (~45%) from the 1,024-cell stage onward (Figures S2A and S2C).

As a comparison, the ubiquitous expression of maternal Pou5f3/Sox3 was required for transcription in all spatiotemporal domains, including, for example, the uniform expression of miR-427 (Figures S2A and S2D). The requirement for Pou5f3/Sox3 was more marked, however, for region-specific genes, in particular those expressed within animal- (~55%) and ventral-specific (~67%) domains (Figures S2A and S2D). The maternal TF VegT promoted vegetal identity by activating ~40% of genes transcriptionally enriched within its own expression domain, the vegetal hemisphere, while suppressing genes that are expressed in the animal hemisphere like foxi4.2. The requirement for VegT was similar in ventral- and dorsal-specific genes (~31% and ~30%, respectively).

Wnt/BMP Synergy Enables Uniform ZGA across the Dorsoventral Axis

The relationships between inductive signals with respect to spatial aspects of ZGA were explored by comparing zygotic transcriptomes in single and double LOF experiments. Interestingly, simultaneous loss of both Nodal and BMP function or both Nodal and Wnt caused additive effects on gene expression compared with the single LOFs (Figures 3E and S3A–S3N), whereas simultaneous loss of both BMP and Wnt signaling showed a more synergistic effect (Figures 3A and 3F). These observations are consistent with the morphological consequences of single and double LOFs (Figure 2B). Single LOF experiments revealed very little overlap between Wnt and BMP gene targets (Figure 3B), a result consistent with their domains of activity that are, initially, at opposite ends of the dorsoventral axis. However, dual Wnt/BMP inhibition increased the number of down-regulated genes by 292, a rise of ~118% and ~664% with respect to individual Wnt- and BMP-dependent genes, respectively (Figure 3B). Interestingly, this synergy affected 166 Nodal-dependent genes, most of which had uniform expression levels across the dorsoventral axis and differential expression levels across the animal-vegetal axis (Figures 3C, 3D, 3F–3H, 3J, and 3K). Thus, spatially restricted Wnt, BMP, and Nodal signals act together to establish dorsoventral expression uniformity of genes such as tbx5 and eomes (Figure 3).

Overall, the loss of canonical Wnt, Nodal, and/or BMP signaling caused the mis-regulation of ~39% (~22.1% down, ~2.1% down/up, and ~14.4% up) of genes activated at ZGA (Figure 4A). These signals were required for most regional ZGA on the dorsal side (~89%) and in the VH and MZ (~82%). Notably, their input affected virtually all genes (~98%, 56/57) with enriched expression in the dorso-vegetal/MZ quadrant (Figures 4B and 4C). Thus, Wnt, Nodal, and BMP substantially contribute to regional ZGA in most anatomical domains of the early gastrula embryo with the exception of animal enriched transcription (~19%). Animal- and ventral-specific gene expression relies strongly on both activation by ubiquitous maternal TFs (e.g., Pou5f3/Sox3) and on repression by signals (Figures 4B and 4D) and other maternal TFs (e.g., VegT) on the opposite side (Figure S2F).

DISCUSSION

Our study provides two major insights into the mechanisms by which ZGA is initiated in time and space in X. tropicalis. The first concerns the temporal aspects, where we find that RNAPII can be detected across gene bodies well before the MBT, during the period when rapid synchronous cell divisions divide the zygote into 4,096 blastomeres (Figure 4E). The average length of genes covered by RNAPII grows during this time, from ~1 kb at the 32-cell stage to ~6 kb at the 1,024-cell stage; these figures compare with an
average size of ~16 kb for maternally expressed genes and for genes expressed after the MBT. Recent long-read sequencing of the zebrafish transcriptome at pre-MBT stages identified transcripts as long as 8 kb spanning multiple pri-miR-430 elements (Nudelman et al., 2018). Furthermore, RNAPII elongation in pre-MBT Drosophila embryos occurred at rates of 2.4–3.0 kb/min (Chen et al., 2013; Fukaya et al., 2017).

We do not know why RNAPII, despite its high abundance and its ability to promote rapid elongation, is restricted at early stages from transcribing more genes and longer genes. It may, perhaps, be a consequence of the gradual nature of the chromatin remodeling that occurs during these stages, from the accessibility of cis-regulatory elements (Gentsch et al., 2018b; Liu et al., 2018; Lu et al., 2016; Wu et al., 2016) to the spatial organization of an initially unstructured or highly variable chromatin landscape (Du et al., 2017; Flyamer et al., 2017; Hug et al., 2017; Kaaij et al., 2018; Ke et al., 2017). Whatever the reason, the increase of elongated RNAPII engagement between the 128-cell stage and the MBT indicates that a significant component of ZGA disregards the N/C ratio that was originally thought to underlie the onset of
Figure 4. Canonical Wnt, Nodal, and BMP Signals Induce the Majority of Regional ZGA

(A) Total percentage of active (zygotic only and maternal-zygotic) genes mis-regulated by Wnt, Nodal, and/or BMP LOF.

(B) Graphical explanations of figure panels (C) and (D).
transcription at the MBT (Newport and Kirschner, 1982b). Similar conclusions have been drawn from profiling the zygotic transcriptome of haploid Drosophila (Lu et al., 2009) and cell-cycle-arrested zebrafish (Chan et al., 2018). Thus, it is becoming clear from work in flies, fish, and frogs that ZGA starts before the MBT and accelerates thereafter (Ali-Murthy et al., 2013; Collart et al., 2014; Mathavan et al., 2005; Owens et al., 2016; Tan et al., 2013), reaching a peak at the MBT (reviewed by Jukam et al., 2017 and Langley et al., 2014).

These observations notwithstanding, it remains possible that cell cycles do contribute to the temporal progression of ZGA and the exponential increase in the number of activated genes before the MBT. In particular, cell cycles may accelerate chromatin remodeling by displacing suppressors in mitotic chromatin and providing unique access to TFs (Halley-Stott et al., 2014) and structural proteins of high-order chromatin (Ke et al., 2017). For example, maternal core histones have been shown to prevent premature ZGA by competing with specific TFs (Joseph et al., 2017).

In addition to the small sizes of the earliest activated genes, we observed that most of these genes, which have no or few introns, code for groups of related factors like histones or zinc finger TFs and that they appear as clusters spanning up to several hundred kilobases. This is in line with previous findings of the earliest active multicopy and intron-poor genes like miR-427 and nodal5/6 in Xenopus embryos (Collart et al., 2014; Lund et al., 2009; Owens et al., 2016; Skirkanch et al., 2011; Takahashi et al., 2006; Yanai et al., 2011; Yang et al., 2002) and miR-430 in zebrafish and Medaka fish (Giraldez et al., 2005; Heyn et al., 2014; Tani et al., 2010). The number and spatial proximity of clustered genes enhances transcriptional output by allowing the sharing of multiple cis-regulatory elements (arranged as super-enhancers) (Whyte et al., 2013) and by fortifying transcriptional condensates of TFs, coactivators, and RNAPII (Boija et al., 2018; Cho et al., 2018; Chong et al., 2018; Sabari et al., 2018; Shrinivas et al., 2018). Overall, based on enriched gene functions, we discovered that ZGA exerts a temporal control of gene expression from nucleosome remodeling before the MBT to protein degradation after the MBT.

Our second insight concerns spatial ZGA and the observation that we can assign a large proportion of spatiotemporal ZGA to key signaling pathways (reviewed by Arnold and Robertson, 2009 and Kimelman, 2006). Canonical Wnt, Nodal, and BMP signaling govern regional ZGA in line with the nuclear translocation of their signal mediators (Faure et al., 2000; Larabell et al., 1997; Schohl and Fagotto, 2002). Thus, Nodal signaling predominantly affects transcription within the vegetal hemisphere and marginal zone, whereas Wnt and BMP initiate transcription in dorsal and ventral regions, respectively. The timing of regional ZGA is defined by the sequential translocation of signal mediators such that nuclear β-catenin directs regional ZGA at the 32-cell stage, followed by nuclear Smad2 at the 128-cell stage and Smad1 at the 1,024-cell stage. Although Smad2-mediated signal transduction depends on the zygotic transcription of its six Nodal ligands (Faure et al., 2000; Gentsch et al., 2018b; Jones et al., 1995; Yang et al., 2002), canonical Wnt and BMP signaling are initiated by the maternally inherited ligands Wnt11 and BMP2/4/7, respectively (Faure et al., 2000; Heasman, 2006; Tao et al., 2005).

We also show that the synergy of opposing signals of the Wnt and BMP pathway affects many Nodal-dependent genes with uniform expression along the dorsoventral axis, such as eomes and Brachyury (tbx). It is not yet clear whether Wnt/BMP synergy arises from joint chromatin engagement or from mutual or post-translational interactions. For instance, Wnt8a signal can enhance BMP transcriptional readouts by inhibiting the phosphorylation of GSK3, which normally targets Smad1 for degradation (Fuentesalba et al., 2007). However, the analysis of Brachyury gene regulation in zebrafish suggests that Wnt and BMP can be integrated at a single cis-regulatory DNA element and together with a separate Nodal-responsive DNA element they can establish uniform dorsoventral expression (Harvey et al., 2010). This is further corroborated by our analysis of genome-wide chromatin engagement (Gentsch et al., 2018b): the canonical...
DNA recognition motif for the Wnt-associated basic helix-span-helix (bHSH) TF AP-2 was more enriched at Smad1 than at Smad2 binding sites (Figure S3O).

We therefore propose that Wnt, BMP, and Nodal signal mediators are critical to regional ZGA and that they balance initially opposing cell fate commitments. However, we have previously shown that signal integration also relies on maternal pioneer TFs like Pou5f3 and Sox3 to make signal-responsive cis-regulatory elements accessible for signal mediator binding. For example, Nodal-induced transcription of the Brachyury gene depends on the pioneering roles of maternal Pou5f3 and Sox3, and less on their transcriptional activities (Gentsch et al., 2018b).

Overall, we demonstrate that the temporal and spatial dynamics of regional ZGA are regulated by the sequential and spatially restricted translocation of Wnt, Nodal, and BMP signal mediators. These events establish the formation of the primary body axes and germ layers of the embryo. Temporal RNAPII profiling indicates that >1,000 genes of increasing length are activated before MBT and that this substantial portion of ZGA is independent of both the classic N/C ratio and of cell cycle lengthening.

Limitations of the Study
We detected a dramatic increase in genome-wide recruitment of RNAPII over the cleavage stages during which the genome begins to be transcribed. We used within-sample normalization to scale developmental stage-specific RNAPII profiles. However, because of the large differences in total RNAPII enrichment between samples, chromatin spike-ins are now considered a more accurate method to normalize ChIP-seq profiles across consecutive developmental stages (Chen et al., 2015). We combined separate whole-embryo determinations of RNAPII engagement and transcript levels to reveal the temporal dynamics of ZGA. This approach could be improved by profiling RNAPII-associated RNA to directly couple RNAPII elongation with transcript accumulation (e.g., Churchman and Weissman, 2011). In addition, the spatial resolution of ZGA, which is based on transcriptomics of dissected embryonic parts in our study, could be enhanced by various deep single-cell profiling and super-resolution imaging technologies. We show that most regional ZGA depends on Wnt, Nodal, and BMP signals, but an important question remains: How are these signals integrated at the chromatin level to sustain RNAPII-mediated transcript elongation? In part, this could be investigated by targeted genome editing to increase our understanding of signal-responsive gene regulatory DNA.

METHODS
All methods can be found in the accompanying Transparent Methods supplemental file.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION
Supplemental Information can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2019.06.013.
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Figure S1. Dynamics and Architecture of ZGA in X. tropicalis, Related to Figure 1.

(A) Progression of ZGA from the 32-cell to the late gastrula stage based on (i) whole gene body (full-length) occupancy of RNAPII (i.e., RNAPII was enriched across entire gene bodies; see Transparent Methods). Co-aligned: Active histone marks H3K4me1 (ii) and H3K36me3 (iii) (Hontelez et al., 2015) and intronic signal from the high time-resolution profile of poly(A) RNA (iv) (Owens et al., 2016). The horizontal dotted line separates RNAPII-engaged (RNAPII⁺) from non-engaged (RNAPII⁻) genes as detected until the late gastrula stage. The vertical dotted lines in the poly(A) RNA plot indicate the developmental time points of the MBT (white) and the late gastrula stage (black), respectively.

(B) Transcript feature levels (mean +/- SD) during the maternal-to-zygotic transition. Asterisk, polyadenylation immediately after fertilization (Collart et al., 2014) transiently increased the intronic signal obtained from the poly(A) RNA samples.

(C) Venn diagram of zygotic genes detected by full-length RNAPII occupancy or reduced exonic or intronic transcript counts upon blocking RNAPII-mediated transcription with α-amanitin.

(D) Pairwise Spearman’s correlations (Rₛ) of enrichment values resulting from RNAPII profiling and 4sU tagging to detect zygotic genes at indicated developmental stages.

(E) Plots of the number of active and newly activated genes (i) or newly activated genes versus the developmental time (i), the number of completed cell divisions (ii) or formed blastomeres (iii).

(F) Alluvial diagram of spatio-temporal ZGA including maternally inherited RNA transcripts of genes not activated by the late gastrula stage. Tissue-specificity inferred from regional transcript enrichments along the animal-vegetal or the dorso-ventral or both axes (Blitz et al., 2017).

(G) Numbers of genes with full-length RNAPII occupancy at indicated developmental stages. Examples of ubiquitously (black) and tissue-specifically (orange) expressed genes are listed to the right.

(H) RNAPII dynamics at the Cys2-His2 [C2H2] zinc finger (ZF) cluster from the 32-cell to the early neurula stage.

(I) Expression dynamics of C2H2 ZF genes normalized to maximal transcript levels recorded between fertilization and 23.5 hpf (Owens et al., 2016).

(J) Phylogenetic tree of the C2H2 ZF genes shown in (H,I). Maternal VegT (mVegT), outgroup TF of this phylogenetic tree.

(K) Beeswarm boxplots showing various metrics of the zygotic/maternal genes (i) and mRNA (ii) during ZGA. Asterisks, significant Wilcoxon rank-sum tests against maternal and post-MBT activated genes and corresponding effect sizes (r_effect): # introns, p <2.1e-13, r_effect 0.07-0.43; Ø intron (kb), p <7.9e-7, r_effect 0.07-0.42; CDS (kb), p <3e-7, r_effect 0.05-0.27; mRNA (kb), p <7e-11, r_effect 0.06-0.32; 5' UTR (kb), p <0.015, r_effect 0.03-0.15; and 3' UTR (kb), p <1.4e-6, r_effect 0.04-0.21.

(L) Meta-profiles (mean +/- SD) of RNAPII (separated by developmental stage) and input (negative control) densities at zygotic genes.

Abbreviations: 32, 32-cell; 128, 128-cell; 1K, 1,024-cell; MBT, mid-blastula transition; mG, mid-gastrula; lG, late gastrula; eN, early neurula; 4sU, 4-thiouridine; Mdn, median; TPM, transcripts per million.
Figure S2. Effect of Canonical Wnt, Nodal and BMP Signals on ZGA, Related to Figure 2.

(A) Poly(A) RNA profiles of the C2H2 ZF cluster (Figure S1H) for indicated control and LOFs at the MBT.

(B) Graphical explanations of figure panels (C-F).
(C-F) Summary (i) and temporal resolution (ii) of gene mis-regulations upon the LOF of Nodal (C) or BMP (D) signaling or maternal Pou5f3/Sox3 (mPou5f3/Sox3) (E) or VegT (mVegT) (F). Percentages only refer to the down-regulated genes (by ≥1/3 compared to control expression level) among all zygotic genes with the same range of expression ratios along the animal-vegetal or the dorso-ventral axes.

Figure S3. Relationship between Canonical Wnt, Nodal and BMP to Control Regional ZGA, Related to Figure 3.

(A-C,E,H-J,L) Scatter plots of relative (% to control) transcript levels between indicated LOFs with each dot (gene) color-coded according to a third attribute: (A,H,I) relative (% to control) transcript levels, (B) synergy factors between single inductive signals, and (C,E,J,L) regional expression ratios between opposite ends of the indicated axis.

(G,N) Venn diagram of down-regulated genes by indicated LOFs.

(D,F,K,M) Beeswarm boxplots of regional expression (as measured along the indicated axes) depending on increased Wnt-Nodal (D,F) or Nodal-BMP (K,M) synergy.

(O) Coverage and enrichment of Smad and β-catenin-associated DNA motifs (SMAD and bHSH motifs)
at endogenous binding sites of β-catenin, Smad1, Smad2 (Gentsch et al., 2018b) at indicated developmental stages (color-coded).

**SUPPLEMENTAL TABLES**

**Table S1. Summary of Deep sequencing and Read Alignments, Related to Figure 1 and 2.**

The meta-data of ChIP-Seq, 4sU-Seq and RNA-Seq runs includes the developmental stage, condition, read type, and total and genome-aligned read numbers. *, all rows represent manually collected biological sample: a, b and c mark biological replicates. †, library was sequenced twice. Reads were pooled from both sequencing runs. ‡, reported numbers are reads that are non-redundantly aligned to the genome assembly 7.1 with a mapping quality (MAPQ) of 10 (DNA) and 255 (RNA). NF, staging according to Nieuwkoop and Faber (1994). PE/SExx, paired-end or single-end sequencing plus read length.

| Sample* | Library     | Stage        | NF | Condition          | PE/SExx | Total reads | Aligned reads‡ |
|---------|-------------|--------------|----|--------------------|---------|-------------|----------------|
| 1†      | RNAPII ChIP | 32-cell      | 6  | None               | SE50    | 45,073,468  | 25,451,597     |
| 2†      | RNAPII ChIP | 128-cell     | 7  | None               | SE50/100| 65,757,131  | 41,352,403     |
| 3†      | RNAPII ChIP | 1,024-cell   | 8  | None               | SE50    | 60,445,294  | 37,661,064     |
| 4       | RNAPII ChIP | MBT          | 8.5| None               | SE50    | 29,196,397  | 18,402,411     |
| 5       | RNAPII ChIP | mid-gastrula | 11 | None               | SE50    | 29,268,648  | 20,085,357     |
| 6†      | RNAPII ChIP | late gastrula| 12+| None               | SE50    | 95,959,570  | 67,591,256     |
| 7       | RNAPII ChIP | early neurula| 13+| None               | SE50    | 47,039,656  | 32,889,013     |
| 8       | 4sU-tagged total RNA | Mid-blastula | 8+| 4sU (4-thiouridine) | PE50    | 22,202,218  | 2,798,397      |
| 9       | 4sU-tagged total RNA | Early gastrula | 10+| 4sU (4-thiouridine) | PE50    | 20,388,030  | 5,212,782      |
| 10      | Total RNA   | Mid-blastula | 8+| 4sU (4-thiouridine), input | PE50    | 15,087,016  | 11,451,545     |
| 11      | Total RNA   | Early gastrula | 10+| 4sU (4-thiouridine), input | PE50    | 14,902,023  | 9,771,572      |
| 12a     | Poly(A)+ RNA | Late blastula | 9+| Wnt LOF (β-catenin MO) | PE76    | 39,439,343  | 35,540,116     |
| 12b     | Poly(A)+ RNA | Late blastula | 9+| Wnt LOF (β-catenin MO) | PE76    | 53,523,555  | 48,364,696     |
| 12c     | Poly(A)+ RNA | Late blastula | 9+| Wnt LOF (β-catenin MO) | PE76    | 50,568,196  | 45,534,243     |
| 13a     | Poly(A)+ RNA | Late blastula | 9+| Wnt/Nodal LOF (β-catenin MO, SB431542) | PE76    | 43,980,513  | 39,732,439     |
| 13b     | Poly(A)+ RNA | Late blastula | 9+| Wnt/Nodal LOF (β-catenin MO, SB431542) | PE76    | 44,582,821  | 40,373,045     |
| 13c     | Poly(A)+ RNA | Late blastula | 9+| Wnt/Nodal LOF (β-catenin MO, SB431542) | PE76    | 37,243,519  | 33,648,196     |
| 14a     | Poly(A)+ RNA | Late blastula | 9+| Wnt/BMP LOF (β-catenin MO, LDN193189) | PE76    | 48,440,801  | 44,080,313     |
| 14b     | Poly(A)+ RNA | Late blastula | 9+| Wnt/BMP LOF (β-catenin MO, LDN193189) | PE76    | 40,989,331  | 37,188,245     |
| 14c     | Poly(A)+ RNA | Late blastula | 9+| Wnt/BMP LOF (β-catenin MO, LDN193189) | PE76    | 42,494,161  | 38,527,873     |
| 15a     | Poly(A)+ RNA | Late blastula | 9+| Wnt/Nodal/BMP LOF (β-catenin MO, SB431542, LDN193189) | PE76    | 52,761,134  | 47,815,313     |
| 15b     | Poly(A)+ RNA | Late blastula | 9+| Wnt/Nodal/BMP LOF (β-catenin MO, SB431542, LDN193189) | PE76    | 55,128,794  | 50,165,817     |
| 15c     | Poly(A)+ RNA | Late blastula | 9+| Wnt/Nodal/BMP LOF (β-catenin MO, SB431542, LDN193189) | PE76    | 57,414,981  | 52,101,210     |
| 16a     | Poly(A)+ RNA | Late blastula | 9+| Control ( uninjected, DMSO) | PE76    | 42,248,469  | 37,989,215     |
| 16b     | Poly(A)+ RNA | Late blastula | 9+| Control ( uninjected, DMSO) | PE76    | 52,066,825  | 47,105,847     |
| 16c     | Poly(A)+ RNA | Late blastula | 9+| Control ( uninjected, DMSO) | PE76    | 42,875,722  | 38,893,706     |
| 17a     | Poly(A)+ RNA | Late blastula | 9+| Nodal LOF (SB431542) | PE76    | 47,946,077  | 43,367,783     |
|   | Poly(A)+ RNA | Late blastula | 9+ | Nodal LOF (SB431542) | PE76 | 43,201,587 | 39,270,622 |
|---|---------------|---------------|---|---------------------|------|-------------|-------------|
| 17b| Poly(A)+ RNA  | Late blastula | 9+ | Nodal LOF (SB431542) | PE76 | 47,497,608 | 43,105,868 |
| 17c| Poly(A)+ RNA  | Late blastula | 9+ | Bmp LOF (LDN193189) | PE76 | 49,171,585 | 44,430,698 |
| 18a| Poly(A)+ RNA  | Late blastula | 9+ | Bmp LOF (LDN193189) | PE76 | 35,247,462 | 31,937,946 |
| 18b| Poly(A)+ RNA  | Late blastula | 9+ | Bmp LOF (LDN193189) | PE76 | 40,645,238 | 36,899,368 |
| 19a| Poly(A)+ RNA  | Late blastula | 9+ | Nodal/BMP LOF (SB431542, LDN193189) | PE76 | 49,865,847 | 45,127,883 |
| 19b| Poly(A)+ RNA  | Late blastula | 9+ | Nodal/BMP LOF (SB431542, LDN193189) | PE76 | 48,875,533 | 44,167,262 |
| 19c| Poly(A)+ RNA  | Late blastula | 9+ | Nodal/BMP LOF (SB431542, LDN193189) | PE76 | 49,397,754 | 44,710,470 |
| 20a| Poly(A)+ RNA  | Early gastrula | 10+ | 4x zT (4 zygotic T-box TFs) LOF (zVegT, Eomes, Tbx5, Tbx6.2 MO) | PE76 | 70,597,621 | 63,789,737 |
| 20b| Poly(A)+ RNA  | Early gastrula | 10+ | 4x zT (4 zygotic T-box TFs) LOF (zVegT, Eomes, Tbx5, Tbx6.2 MO) | PE76 | 62,453,340 | 56,799,027 |
| 20c| Poly(A)+ RNA  | Early gastrula | 10+ | 4x zT (4 zygotic T-box TFs) LOF (zVegT, Eomes, Tbx5, Tbx6.2 MO) | PE76 | 66,378,689 | 60,011,884 |
| 20d| Poly(A)+ RNA  | Early gastrula | 10+ | 4x zT (4 zygotic T-box TFs) LOF (zVegT, Eomes, Tbx5, Tbx6.2 MO) | PE76 | 53,693,870 | 48,621,416 |
| 21a| Poly(A)+ RNA  | Mid-gastrula | 11+ | 4x zT (4 zygotic T-box TFs) LOF (zVegT, Eomes, Tbx5, Tbx6.2 MO) | PE76 | 60,071,724 | 53,786,168 |
| 21b| Poly(A)+ RNA  | Mid-gastrula | 11+ | 4x zT (4 zygotic T-box TFs) LOF (zVegT, Eomes, Tbx5, Tbx6.2 MO) | PE76 | 49,856,218 | 44,780,174 |
| 21c| Poly(A)+ RNA  | Mid-gastrula | 11+ | 4x zT (4 zygotic T-box TFs) LOF (zVegT, Eomes, Tbx5, Tbx6.2 MO) | PE76 | 57,420,101 | 51,441,091 |
| 21d| Poly(A)+ RNA  | Mid-gastrula | 11+ | 4x zT (4 zygotic T-box TFs) LOF (zVegT, Eomes, Tbx5, Tbx6.2 MO) | PE76 | 57,421,959 | 51,207,446 |
| 22a| Poly(A)+ RNA  | Late gastrula | 12+ | 4x zT (4 zygotic T-box TFs) LOF (zVegT, Eomes, Tbx5, Tbx6.2 MO) | PE76 | 59,905,689 | 53,050,487 |
| 22b| Poly(A)+ RNA  | Late gastrula | 12+ | 4x zT (4 zygotic T-box TFs) LOF (zVegT, Eomes, Tbx5, Tbx6.2 MO) | PE76 | 76,564,052 | 67,801,662 |
| 22c| Poly(A)+ RNA  | Late gastrula | 12+ | 4x zT (4 zygotic T-box TFs) LOF (zVegT, Eomes, Tbx5, Tbx6.2 MO) | PE76 | 54,924,184 | 48,697,757 |
| 22d| Poly(A)+ RNA  | Late gastrula | 12+ | 4x zT (4 zygotic T-box TFs) LOF (zVegT, Eomes, Tbx5, Tbx6.2 MO) | PE76 | 56,546,178 | 49,962,419 |
| 23a| Poly(A)+ RNA  | Early gastrula | 10+ | Control (standard control MO) | PE76 | 57,881,388 | 52,311,548 |
| 23b| Poly(A)+ RNA  | Early gastrula | 10+ | Control (standard control MO) | PE76 | 49,193,836 | 44,649,709 |
| 23c| Poly(A)+ RNA  | Early gastrula | 10+ | Control (standard control MO) | PE76 | 78,605,062 | 70,838,519 |
| 23d| Poly(A)+ RNA  | Early gastrula | 10+ | Control (standard control MO) | PE76 | 67,143,066 | 60,397,172 |
| 24a| Poly(A)+ RNA  | Mid-gastrula | 11+ | Control (standard control MO) | PE76 | 50,011,338 | 44,604,698 |
| 24b| Poly(A)+ RNA  | Mid-gastrula | 11+ | Control (standard control MO) | PE76 | 54,847,378 | 48,981,425 |
| 24c| Poly(A)+ RNA  | Mid-gastrula | 11+ | Control (standard control MO) | PE76 | 56,633,663 | 50,510,544 |
| 24d| Poly(A)+ RNA  | Mid-gastrula | 11+ | Control (standard control MO) | PE76 | 69,504,876 | 61,831,845 |
| 25a| Poly(A)+ RNA  | Late gastrula | 12+ | Control (standard control MO) | PE76 | 53,566,350 | 47,558,871 |
| 25b| Poly(A)+ RNA  | Late gastrula | 12+ | Control (standard control MO) | PE76 | 36,043,121 | 31,918,744 |
| 25c| Poly(A)+ RNA  | Late gastrula | 12+ | Control (standard control MO) | PE76 | 48,184,151 | 42,775,598 |
| 25d| Poly(A)+ RNA  | Late gastrula | 12+ | Control (standard control MO) | PE76 | 39,860,708 | 35,323,477 |

**Total** | 2,948,234,870 | 2,524,336,789

### TRANSPARENT METHODS

### CONTACT FOR REAGENTS AND RESOURCE SHARING

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, James C. Smith (jim.smith@crick.ac.uk).

### EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

**Xenopus tropicalis Manipulation**
Standard procedures were used for ovulation, fertilization, and manipulation and incubation of embryos (Khokha et al., 2002; Sive et al., 2000). Briefly, frogs were obtained from Nasco (Wisconsin, USA). Ovulation was induced by injecting serum gonadotropin (Intervet) and chorionic gonadotropin (Intervet) into the dorsal lymph sac of mature female frogs. Eggs were fertilized in vitro with sperm solution consisting of 90% Leibovitz’s L-15 medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat#11415064) and 10% fetal bovine serum (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat#10500056). After 10 min, fertilized eggs were de-jellied with 2.2% (w/v) L-cysteine (Merck, Cat#168149) equilibrated to pH 8.0. Embryos were cultured in 5% Marc’s Modified Ringer’s solution (MMR) (5 mM NaCl, 0.1 mM KCl, 0.1 mM CaCl₂, 0.05 mM MgSO₄ and 0.25 mM HEPES pH7.5) at 21°C-28°C. Embryos were staged according to Nieuwkoop and Faber (1994). All *Xenopus* work fully complied with the UK Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986 as implemented by the Francis Crick Institute.

**Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)**

ChIP was carried out as detailed previously (Gentsch and Smith, 2017). Briefly, de-jellied *X. tropicalis* embryos were fixed at room temperature with 1% formaldehyde (Merck, Cat#F8775) in 1% MMR for 25 min. The fixation time was extended to 45 min for pre-gastrula stages. The following number of embryos were used for ChIP-Seq: 1,400 at the 32-cell stage, 1,000 at the 128-cell stage, 700 at the 1,024-cell stage, 450 at the MBT and 350 for the post-MBT stages. Fixation was terminated by rinsing embryos three times with ice-cold 1% MMR. Fixed embryos were homogenized in CEWB1 (150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% (v/v) Igepal CA-630 [Merck, Cat#I3021], 0.25% (w/v) sodium deoxycholate [Merck, Cat#SRE0046], 0.1% (w/v) sodium dodecyl sulfate [Merck, Cat#71729] and 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0) supplemented with 0.5 mM DL-Dithiothreitol (Fluorochem, Cat#M02712) and protease inhibitors (Roche, Cat#11873580001). The homogenate was left on ice for 5 min and then centrifuged at 1,000 g (4°C) for 5 min. Homogenization and centrifugation was repeated once before resuspending the pellet in 1-3 ml CEWB1. Chromatin was solubilized and fragmented by microtip-mediated ultra-sonication (Misonix 3000 sonicator with a tapered 1/16-inch microtip). The solution of fragmented chromatin was cleared by centrifuging at 16,000 g (4°C) for 5 min. About 1% of the cleared chromatin extract was set aside for the input sample (negative control). The remaining chromatin was incubated overnight at 4°C on a vertical rotor (10 rpm) with 20 µl of the mouse monoclonal anti-RNAPII (8WG16) (Covance, Cat#MMS-126R; RRID: AB_10013665) antibody. After adding 100 µl of washed protein G magnetic beads (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat#10003D) the solution was incubated for another 4 h at 4°C on a vertical rotor (10 rpm). The beads were washed eight times in CEWB1 and once in TEN (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl and 1 mM EDTA) at 4°C. ChIP was eluted off the beads twice with 100 µl SDS elution buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA and 1% (w/v) sodium dodecyl sulfate) at 65°C. ChIP eluates were pooled before reversing DNA-protein cross-links. Input (filled up to 200 µl with SDS elution buffer) and ChIP samples were supplemented with 10 µl 5 M NaCl and incubated at 65°C for 6-16 h. Samples were treated with proteinase K (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat#AM2548) and RNase A (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat#12091021) to remove any proteins and RNA from the co-immunoprecipitated DNA fragments. The DNA was purified with phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1, pH 7.9) (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat#AM9730) using 2.0-ml Phase Lock Gel Heavy microcentrifuge tubes (VWR, Cat#733-2478) for phase separation and precipitated with 1/70 volume of 5 M NaCl, 2 volumes of absolute ethanol and 15 µg GlycoBlue (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat#AM9516).
After centrifugation, the DNA pellet was air-dried and dissolved in 11 µl elution buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.5). The DNA concentration was determined on a Qubit fluorometer using high-sensitivity reagents for detecting double-stranded DNA (10 pg/µl to 100 ng/µl) (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat#Q33231).

**ChIP-Seq Library Preparation**

Using the KAPA Hyper Prep Kit (Roche, Cat#KK8504), 2.5-5 ng ChIP DNA or 5 ng input DNA were converted into indexed paired-end libraries as previously described (Gentsch and Smith, 2017). Briefly, DNA fragments were end-repaired and A-tailed for 30 min at 20°C followed by 30 min at 65°C before cooling to 4°C. 7.5 pmol TruSeq (single index) Y-adapters (IDT) were ligated to the DNA fragment ends for 20 min at 20°C. The DNA ligation product was extracted with 0.8x SPRI (solid phase reversible immobilisation) beads (Beckman Coulter, Cat#A63882) and amplified in five PCR cycles (15 sec at 98°C, 30 sec at 60°C and 30 sec at 72°C) using the KAPA high-fidelity polymerase master mix (Roche, Cat#KK2602) and 25 pmol Illumina P5 (forward) and P7 (reverse) primers (IDT). After cleaning up the PCR reaction with 1x SPRI beads, the DNA library was size-separated by electrophoresis using E-gel EX agarose gels (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat#G401002). A gel slice containing DNA ranging from 250 to 450 bp in size was dissolved shaking in 350 µl QG buffer (Qiagen) using a thermomixer (1,000 rpm) at room temperature. The DNA was purified with MinElute columns (Qiagen, Cat#28604) and eluted off these columns twice using 11 µl elution buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.5). The library was re-amplified using another 6-8 PCR cycles yielding 100-200 ng DNA without adapter dimer contamination. The DNA library was cleaned up with 1x SPRI beads.

**Illumina Sequencing**

All sequencing libraries were quality controlled: The DNA yield and fragment size distribution were determined by fluorometry and chip-based capillary electrophoresis, respectively. ChIP-Seq and RNA-Seq libraries were sequenced on the Illumina HiSeq 2500 and 4000, respectively, by the Advanced Sequencing Facility of the Francis Crick Institute. Sequencing samples and read alignment results are summarized in Table S1.

**Post-Sequencing Analysis of ChIP-Seq**

Single reads of maximal 50 bases were processed using trim_galore v0.4.2 (Babraham Institute, UK) to trim off low-quality bases (default Phred score of 20, i.e. error probability was 0.01) and adapter contamination from the 3’ end. Processed reads were aligned to the X. tropicalis genome assembly v7.1 and v9.1 (for Hilbert curves) running Bowtie2 v2.2.9 (Langmead and Salzberg, 2012) with default settings (Table S1). Alignments were converted to the HOMER’s tag density format (Heinz et al., 2010) with redundant reads being removed (makeTagDirectory -single -tbp 1 -unique -mapq 10 -fragLength 175 -totalReads all). Only uniquely aligned reads (i.e. MAPQ ≥10) were processed. We pooled all input alignments from various developmental stages (Gentsch et al., 2018b). This created a comprehensive mappability profile that covered ~400 million unique base pair positions. For Hilbert curves, tag densities were generated across the genome v9.1 using sliding (200-bp increments) 400-bp window. Background signals (<0.3 reads per 1 million mapped reads) were removed. Blacklisted (Gentsch et al., 2018b) regions
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Normalized RNAPII and input tag densities were calculated across the gene body in 10 bins of equal size. Gene annotations v7.1 were altered based on a few known zygotic isoforms and some corrections obtained from assembling total and poly(A) RNA (Owens et al., 2016) from stage 6 to stage 12.5 de novo (Pertea et al., 2016). A few genes had previously been annotated as gene clusters due to assembly uncertainties. We reduced the annotation of polycistronic MIR-427 to the minus arm (scaffold_3b:3516900-3523400) and only monitored nodal3.5 and nodal5.3 within their respective gene clusters. Gene bodies with <40% mappability were removed. Here, the threshold of mappability per bin was set at 10% of the input read density averaged across all gene bodies in use. Subsequently, enrichment values were only obtained for all mappable bins by dividing read densities of RNAPII and input. Further, we restricted the analysis to genes for which ≥3 transcripts per million (TPM) could be detected on average over three consecutive time points (i.e. over the developmental time of 1 h) of a high-resolution profile of total RNA (Owens et al., 2016) from fertilization to after gastrulation (stage 13). Genes were considered active when RNAPII enrichments along their full length (see thresholds below) and corresponding transcripts (≥0.1 TPM) were simultaneously detected. Transcript levels were calculated over three consecutive time points +/- 1 h from the developmental stage of RNAPII profiling. RNAPII enrichment covered ≥80% of the mappable gene body and reached at least one of the following thresholds: (1) 2.6-fold, (2) 1.8-fold and 1.4-fold at the next or previous stage, (3) 1.4-fold and 1.8-fold at the next or previous stage, or (4) 1.4-fold over three consecutive stages. The heatmap (Figure 1B and S1A) was sorted by the developmental stage (1st) and the overall fold (2nd) of RNAPII enrichment. Zygotic and maternal contributions to transcriptome (Figure 1G) were based on RNAPII enrichment (see above) and mean transcript levels (≥0.1 TPM) detected between 0 and 1 hpf, respectively.

Peak Calling and Motif Enrichment Analysis

Peak calling and motif enrichment analysis were carried out as previously reported (Gentsch et al., 2018b). Briefly, HOMER v4.8.3 (Heinz et al., 2010) was used to identify the binding sites of Smad1 (Gentsch et al., 2018b), Smad2 (Chiu et al., 2014; Gentsch et al., 2018b; Yoon et al., 2011) and β-catenin (Gentsch et al., 2018b; Nakamura et al., 2016) by virtue of ChIP-enriched read alignments (hereafter called peaks): findpeaks -style factor -minDist 175 -fragLength 175 -inputFragLength 175 -fdr 0.001 -gsize 1.435e9 -F 3 -L 1 -C 0.97. This means that both ChIP and input alignments were extended 3’ to 175 bp for the detection of significant (FDR ≤0.1%) peaks being separated by ≥175 bp. The effective size of the X. tropicalis genome assembly v7.1 was set to 1.435 billion bp, an estimate obtained from the mappability profile (Gentsch et al., 2018b). These peaks showed equal or higher tag density than the surrounding 10 kb, ≥3-fold more tags than the input and ≥0.97 unique tag positions relative to the expected number of tags. To further eliminate any false positive peaks, we removed any peaks with <0.5 CPM and those falling into blacklisted regions showing equivocal mappability due to genome assembly errors, gaps or simple/tandem repeats. Regions of equivocal mappability were identified by a two-fold lower (poor) or three-fold higher
(excessive) read coverage than the average detected in 400-bp windows sliding at 200-bp intervals through normalized ChIP input and DNase-digested naked genomic DNA (Gentsch et al., 2018b). All identified regions ≤800 bp apart were subsequently merged. Gap coordinates were obtained from the Francis Crick mirror site of the UCSC genome browser (http://genomes.crick.ac.uk). Simple repeats were masked with RepeatMasker v4.0.6 (Smit et al.) using the crossmatch search engine v1.090518 (Phil Green) and the following settings: RepeatMasker -species "xenopus silurana tropicalis" -s -xsmall. Tandem repeats were masked with Jim Kent’s trfBig wrapper script of the Tandem Repeat Finder v4.09 (Benson, 1999) using the following settings: weight for match, 2; weight for mismatch, 7; delta, 7; matching probability, 80; indel probability, 10; minimal alignment score, 50; maximum period size, 2,000; and longest tandem repeat array (-l), 2 [million bp]. The enrichment and occurrence of predetermined DNA binding motifs was calculated using 100 bp centred across the top 2,000 peaks per chromatin feature and developmental stage: findMotifsGenome.pl -size 100 -mknown -nomotif.

Injections and Treatments of Embryos

Microinjections were performed using calibrated needles and embryos equilibrated in 4% (w/v) Ficoll PM-400 (Merck, Cat#F4375) in 5% MMR. Microinjection needles were generated from borosilicate glass capillaries (Harvard Apparatus, GC120-15) using the micropipette puller Sutter p97. Maximally three nanolitres were injected into the animal hemisphere of de-jellied zygotes using the microinjector Narishige IM-300. Embryos were transferred to fresh 5% MMR (without Ficoll PM-400) once they reached about the mid-blastula stage.

For profiling the nascent transcriptome, embryos were injected with 75 ng 4-thiouridine-5'-triphosphate (4sU) (TriLink BioTechnologies, Cat#N-1025), which is incorporated into newly synthesized transcripts.

Loss-of-functions (LOFs) were generated by treating embryos with small molecule inhibitors and/or injecting them with morpholinos (MOs) or α-amanitin. MOs were designed and produced by Gene Tools (Oregon, USA) to block splicing (MOsplice) or translation (MOTransl): maternal Pou5f3/Sox3 (mPou5f3/Sox3) LOF, 5 ng Pou5f3.2 MOTransl (Chiu et al., 2014; Gentsch et al., 2018b; GCTGTGGCTGTACATAGTGTC), 5 ng Pou5f3.3 MOTransl (Chiu et al., 2014; Gentsch et al., 2018b; TACATTGGTGCAGGGACCCTCTCA) and 5 ng Sox3 MOTransl (Gentsch et al., 2018b; GTCTGTGTCACATGTCACATC); maternal VegT (mVegT) LOF, 10 ng mVegT MOTransl (Gentsch et al., 2018b; Rana et al., 2006; TGTGTTCTGACAGCAGTTTCTCAT); canonical Wnt LOF, 5 ng β-catenin MOTransl (Heasman et al., 2000; TTTCAACAGTTTCCAAGAAGCCAG); LOF of four zygotic T-box TFs (4x zT LOF), 2.5 ng tbxt (Xbra, t) MOsplice (Gentsch et al., 2013; TGGAGAGACCCTGATCTTACCTTCC), 2.5 ng tbxt (Xbra, t) MOTransl (Gentsch et al., 2013; GGCTTCCAAGCAGACACACTGGG), 2.5 ng tbxt.2 (Xbra3, t2) MOsplice (Gentsch et al., 2013; GAAAGGTCCATATTCTCTACCTTC), 2.5 ng tbxt.2 (Xbra3, t2) MOTransl (Gentsch et al., 2013; AGCTGTGCTGTGCTACTTGTTG), 5 ng zVegT MOTransl (Fukuda et al., 2010; Gentsch et al., 2013; CATCCGGCAGAGTGCACTTCTCT) and 5 ng eomes MOsplice (Fukuda et al., 2010; Gentsch et al., 2013; GAACATCTCCTCGAAGCAAGAGAC); control MO, 5-20 ng standard control MO (CCTCTATCCTTACATTATATA) according to the dose used for the β-catenin, mVegT and 4x zT LOF experiment; and 30 pg α-amanitin (BioChemica, Cat#A14850001). To block Nodal (Nodal LOF)
and BMP (BMP LOF) signaling, embryos were treated with 100 μM SB431542 (Tocris, Cat#1614) and/or 10 μM LDN193189 (Selleckchem, Cat#S2618) from the 8-cell stage onwards. Control embryos were treated accordingly with DMSO, in which these antagonists were dissolved. Transcriptional effects of combinatorial signal LOF were determined at late blastula stage (stage 9°), while those of all other maternal LOFs were determined over three consecutive time points: the MBT (stage 8+), the late blastula (stage 9°) and the early gastrula (stage 10°) stage. The 4x zT LOF was transcriptionally profiled at early, mid and late gastrula stage (stage 10°, 11° and 12°). The 4x zT LOF comparison has four biological replicates (n=4). All other comparisons entail three biological replicates (n=3).

**Extraction of Total RNA**

Embryos were homogenized in 800 μl TRIzol reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat#15596018) by vortexing. The homogenate was either snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C or processed immediately. For phase separation, the homogenate together with 0.2x volume of chloroform was transferred to pre-spun 2.0-ml Phase Lock Gel Heavy microcentrifuge tubes (VWR), shaken vigorously for 15 sec, left on the bench for 2 min and spun at ~16,000 g (4°C) for 5 min. The upper phase was mixed well with one volume of 95-100% ethanol and spun through the columns of the RNA Clean & Concentrator 25 Kit (Zymo Research, Cat#R1017) at ~12,000 g for 30 sec. Next, the manufacturer’s instructions were followed for the recovery of total RNA (>17 nt) with minor modifications. First, the flow-through of the first spin was re-applied to the column. Second, the RNA was treated in-column with 3 U Turbo DNase (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat#AM2238). Third, the RNA was eluted twice with 25 µl molecular-grade water. The concentration was determined on the NanoDrop 1000 spectrophotometer or by fluorometry before depleting ribosomal RNA from total RNA (Profiling the Nascent Transcriptome).

**Tagging the Nascent Transcriptome**

Thirty 4sU-injected embryos were collected at the MBT and the early-to-mid gastrula stage. Total RNA was extracted as outlined above. The 4sU-tagging was performed according to Gay et al. (2014) with few minor modifications. The RNA Clean & Concentrator 5 Kit (Zymo Research, Cat#R1013) was used to purify RNA. Briefly, the Ribo-Zero Gold rRNA Removal Kit (Illumina, Cat#MRZG126) was used according to the manufacturer’s instructions to deplete ribosomal RNA from ~10 µg total RNA. The RNA was purified and fragmented for 4 min at 95°C using the NEBNext Magnesium RNA Fragmentation Module (NEB, Cat#E6150). The RNA was purified again before conjugating HDPD-Biotin (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat#21341) to 4sU via disulfide bonds for 3 h in the dark. Purified RNA was mixed with Streptavidin beads (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat#65305) to pull down biotin-tagged RNA. The RNA was eluted off the beads by treating them twice with 100 µl pre-heated (80°C) 100 mM β-mercaptoethanol (Merck, Cat#M6250), which breaks the disulfide bond between Biotin and 4sU. Subsequently, the RNA was converted into a deep sequencing library by following the manual instructions (Rev. C, 8/2014) of the ScriptSeq v2 RNA-Seq Library Preparation Kit (Illumina, Cat#SSV21106) starting with 4.1.A. (Anneal the cDNA Synthesis Primer) and 4.1.B. (Synthesize cDNA), RNA and ending with part 3.C (Synthesize 3'-Tagged DNA) to 3.G. (Assess Library Quantity and Quality). cDNA was purified using 1.8x SPRI beads. Input and 4sU-enriched cDNA were PCR-amplified with 11 and 15 cycles, respectively. The 4sU RNA-Seq library was purified with 1x SPRI beads.
**Post-Sequencing Analysis of 4sU Tagging**

Paired-end reads were aligned to the *X. tropicalis* transcriptome assembly v7.1 running Bowtie2 (Langmead and Salzberg, 2012) with the following constraints: -k 200 (maximal allowed number of alignments per fragment) -X 800 (maximum fragment length in bp) --rdg 6,5 (penalty for read gaps of length N, 6+N*5) --rfg 6,5 (penalty for reference gaps of length N, 6+N*5) --score-main L,-6,-,4 (minimal alignment score as a linear function of the read length x, f(x) = -0.6 - 0.4*x) --no-discordant (no paired-end read alignments breaching maximum fragment length X) --no-mixed (only concordant alignment of paired-end reads). Only read pairs that uniquely align to one gene were counted. Raw read counts were normalized with DESeq2 v1.22.1 (Love et al., 2014) and then scaled to the input.

**Poly(A) RNA-Seq Profiling**

10-15 embryos were collected per stage and condition. Total RNA was extracted as outlined above. Libraries were made from ~1 µg total RNA by following the low-sample protocol of the TruSeq RNA Library Prep Kit v2 (Illumina, Cat#RS-122-2001) with a few modifications. First, 1 µl cDNA purified after second strand synthesis was quantified on a Qubit fluorometer using high-sensitivity reagents for detecting double-stranded DNA (10 pg/µl to 100 ng/µl). By this stage, the yield was ~10 ng. Second, the number of PCR cycles was reduced to eight to avoid products of over-amplification such as chimera fragments.

**Poly(A) RNA-Seq Read Alignment**

Paired-end reads were aligned to the *X. tropicalis* genome assembly v7.1 using STAR v2.5.3a (Dobin et al., 2013) with default settings. The alignment was guided by a revised version of the gene models v7.2 (Collart et al., 2014) to improve mapping accuracy across splice junctions. The alignments were sorted by read name using the sort function of Samtools v1.3.1 (Li et al., 2009). Exon and intron counts (-t 'exon;intron') were extracted from unstranded (-s 0) alignment files using VERSE v0.1.5 (Zhu et al., 2016) in featureCounts (default) mode (-z 0). Intron coordinates were adjusted to exclude any overlap with exon annotation. For visualization, genomic BAM files of biological replicates were merged using Samtools and converted to the bigWig format. These genome tracks were normalized to the wigsum of 1 billion excluding any reads with mapping quality <10 using the python script bam2wig.py from RSeQC v2.6.4 (Wang et al., 2012).

**Differential Gene Expression Analysis**

Differential expression analysis was performed with both raw exon and intron counts excluding those belonging to ribosomal and mitochondrial RNA using the Bioconductor/R package DESeq2 v1.22.1 (Love et al., 2014). In an effort to find genes with consistent fold changes over time, p-values were generated according to a likelihood ratio test reflecting the probability of rejecting the reduced (~ developmental stage) over the full (~ developmental stage + condition) model. Resulting p-values were adjusted to obtain false discovery rates (FDR) according to the Benjamini-Hochburg procedure with thresholds on Cook’s distances and independent filtering being switched off. Equally, combinatorial LOF profiling and regional expression datasets (Blitz et al., 2017) without time series were subjected to likelihood ratio tests with reduced (~ 1) and full (~ condition) models for statistical analysis. Fold changes of intronic and exonic transcript levels were calculated for each developmental stage and condition using the mean of DESeq2-normalized read counts from biological replicates. Both intronic and exonic
datasets were filtered for \( \geq 10 \) DESeq2-normalized read counts that were detected at least at one developmental stage in all uninjected or DMSO-treated samples. Gene-specific fold changes were removed at developmental stages that yielded \(<10\) normalized read counts in corresponding control samples. Next, the means of intronic and exonic fold changes were calculated across developmental stages. The whole dataset was confined to 3,318 genes for which at least 50% reductions (FDR \( \leq 10\% \)) in exonic (default) or intronic counts could be detected in α-amanitin-injected embryos. Regional expression was based on exonic read counts by default unless the intronic fold changes were significantly (FDR \( \leq 10\% \)) larger than the exonic fold changes (Table S3). For the hierarchical clustering of relative gene expression (Figure 2C), increased transcript levels were masked and only data points from signal LOFs, mPou5f3/Sox3 LOF and 4x zT LOF embryos were used. Euclidean distance-derived clusters were linked according to Ward’s criterion and sorted using the optimal leaf ordering (OLO) algorithm.

\[ SF_{xy} = \frac{\Delta_y}{\Delta_x + \Delta_y} \]

The synergy factor \( SF \) between signals \( x \) and \( y \) (Figures 3 and S3) were calculated as follows: \( SF_{xy} = \Delta_y / (\Delta_x + \Delta_y) \). \( \Delta \) is the relative loss of gene expression caused by signal depletion. For these calculations, any gene upregulations were neutralised (i.e. set to 1).

**Analysis of Enriched Gene Ontology (GO) Terms**

Over-represented GO terms were found by applying hypergeometric tests of the Bioconductor/R package GOstats v2.42.0 (Falcon and Gentleman, 2007) on gene lists. The process was also supported by the Bioconductor/R packages GSEABase v1.44.0 (Morgan et al., 2017) and GO.db v3.4.1 (Carlson et al., 2007). The gene universe was associated with GO terms by means of BLAST2GO (Conesa et al., 2005) as previously outlined (Collart et al., 2014; Gentsch et al., 2015).

**Generation of Hybridization Probes**

Plasmids \( X. \) *laevis* *eomes* pCRII-TOPO (Gentsch et al., 2013) and \( X. \) *laevis* *tbxt* (Xbra, l) pSP73 (Smith et al., 1991) were linearized by restriction digestion (BamHI and BglII, respectively) and purified using the QIAquick PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen, Cat#28104). The hybridization probes were transcribed from \(~1\) \( \mu \)g linearized plasmid using 1x digoxigenin-11-UTP (Roche, Cat#11277065910), 40 U RiboLock RNase inhibitor (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat#EO0381), 1x transcription buffer (Roche) and T7 RNA polymerase (Roche, Cat#10881767001) at 37ºC for 2 h. The probe was treated with 2 U Turbo DNase (Thermo Fisher Scientific) to remove the DNA template and purified by LiCl precipitation. RNA was diluted to 10 ng/\( \mu \)l (10x stock) with hybridization buffer. The hybridization buffer (stored at -20ºC) consists of 50% formamide (Fisher Scientific, Cat#10052370), 5x saline sodium citrate (SSC), 1x Denhardt’s solution (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat#750018), 10 mM EDTA, 1 mg/ml torula RNA (Merck, Cat#R6625), 100 \( \mu \)g/ml heparin (Merck, Cat#H4784), 0.1% (v/v) Tween-20 (Merck, Cat#P9416) and 0.1% (w/v) CHAPS (Merck, Cat#C3023).

**Whole-Mount In Situ Hybridization (WMISH)**

WMISH was conducted using digoxigenin-labeled RNA probes (Monsoro-Burq, 2007; Sive et al., 2000). Briefly, \( X. \) *tropicalis* embryos were fixed in MEMFA (100 mM MOPS pH 7.4, 2 mM EDTA, 1 mM MgSO\(_4\) and 3.7% formaldehyde) at room temperature for 1 h. The embryos were then washed once in 1x PBS and two to three times in ethanol. Fixed and dehydrated embryos were kept at -20ºC for \( \geq 24\) h to ensure proper dehydration before starting hybridization. Dehydrated embryos were washed once more in
ethanol before rehydrating them in two steps to PBT (1x PBS and 0.1% (v/v) Tween-20). Embryos were treated with 5 μg/ml proteinase K (Thermo Fisher Scientific) in PBT for 6-8 min, washed briefly in PBT, fixed again in MEMFA for 20 min and washed three times in PBT. Embryos were transferred into baskets, which were kept in an 8x8 microcentrifuge tube holder sitting inside a 10x10 slot plastic box filled with PBT. Baskets were built by replacing the round bottom of 2-ml microcentrifuge tubes with a Sefar Nitex mesh. This container system was used to readily process several batches of embryos at once. These baskets were maximally loaded with 40 to 50 X. tropicalis embryos. The microcentrifuge tube holder was used to transfer all baskets at once and to submerge embryos into subsequent buffers of the WMISH protocol. Next, the embryos were incubated in 500 μl hybridization buffer (see recipe above) for 2 h in a hybridization oven set to 60ºC. After this pre-hybridization step, the embryos were transferred into 500 μl digoxigenin-labeled probe (1 ng/μl) preheated to 60ºC and further incubated overnight at 60ºC. The pre-hybridization buffer was kept at 60ºC. The next day embryos were transferred back into the pre-hybridization buffer and incubated at 60ºC for 10 min. Subsequently, they were washed three times in 2x SSC/0.1% Tween-20 at 60ºC for 10 min, twice in 0.2x SSC/0.1% Tween-20 at 60ºC for 10 min and twice in 1x maleic acid buffer (MAB) at room temperature for 5 min. Next, the embryos were treated with blocking solution (2% Blocking Reagent [Merck, Cat#11096176001] in 1x MAB) at room temperature for 30 min, and incubated in antibody solution (10% lamb serum [Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat#16070096], 2% Blocking Reagent [Merck], 1x MAB and 1:2,000 Fab fragments from polyclonal anti-digoxigenin antibodies conjugated to alkaline phosphatase [Roche, Cat#11093274910; RRID:AB_514497]) at room temperature for 4 h. The embryos were then washed four times in 1x MAB for 10 min before leaving them in 1x MAB overnight at 4ºC.

On the final day of the WMISH protocol, the embryos were washed another three times in 1x MAB for 20 min and equilibrated to working conditions of alkaline phosphatase (AP) for a total of 10 min by submerging embryos twice into AP buffer (50 mM MgCl₂, 100 mM NaCl, 100 mM Tris-HCl pH 9.5 and 1% (v/v) Tween-20). At this stage, the embryos were transferred to 5-ml glass vials for monitoring the progression of the AP-catalyzed colorimetric reaction. Any residual AP buffer was discarded before adding 700 μl staining solution (AP buffer, 340 μg/ml nitro-blue tetrazolium chloride [Roche, Cat#11383213001] and 175 μg/ml 5-bromo-4-chloro-3′-indolyphosphate [Roche, Cat#11383221001]). The colorimetric reaction was developed at room temperature in the dark. Once the staining was clear and intense enough, the color reaction was stopped by two washes in 1x MAB. To stabilize and preserve morphological features, the embryos were fixed with Bouin’s fixative without picric acid (9% formaldehyde and 5% glacial acetic acid [Fisher Scientific, Cat#10171460]) at room temperature for 30 min. Next, the embryos were washed twice in 70% ethanol/PBT to remove the fixative and residual chromogens. After rehydration to PBT in two steps, the embryos were treated with weak Curis solution (1% (v/v) hydrogen peroxide [Merck, Cat#1072090500], 0.5x SSC and 5% formamide) at 4ºC in the dark overnight. Finally, the embryos were washed twice in PBS before imaging them in PBS on a thick agarose dish by light microscopy.

Processing of External Datasets
High-time (30-min intervals) resolution of total and poly(A) RNA-Seq (GEO: GSE65785) was processed as reported in the original publication (Owens et al., 2016). In addition, intron read counts were corrected by spike RNA-derived normalization factors. For visualization normalized exon and intron counts were scaled to the maximal count detected across the time course and fitted using cubic smoothing splines from 0 to 23.5 hpf: smooth.spline(1:48, x, spar=0.6). Other RNA-Seq (GEO: GSE81458) and ChIP-Seq (GEO: GSE67974, GEO: GSE30146, GEO: GSE53654 and GEO: GSE72657) were processed as described in detail above except for H3K4me3 and H3K36me3 whose enriched regions were detected as follows: findPeaks -style histone -fragLength 175 -inputFragLength 175 -fdr 0.001 -size 1.435e9 -F 2 -C 1 -region -size 350 -minDist 500. Thus, we detected significant regions of histone modifications (-style histone) of at least the lengths of two DNA fragments (-size 350) and being separated by at least 500 bp from each other.

Generation of Plots and Heatmaps
Genomic snapshots were generated with the IGV genome browser v2.4-rc6 (Robinson et al., 2011). All plots and heatmaps were generated using R v3.5.1 (http://cran.r-project.org/). The following add-on R and Bioconductor packages were used for sorting and graphical visualization of data: alluvial v0.1-2 (Michał Bojanowski), beeswarm v0.2.3 (Aron Eklund), circlize v0.4.5 (Gu et al., 2014), complexHeatmap v1.20.0 (Gu et al., 2016a), dplyr v0.7.8, ggplot2 v3.1.0 (Wickham, 2016), gplots v3.0.1 (Gregory Warnes and colleagues), GenomicFeatures v1.34.1 (Lawrence et al., 2013), GenomicRanges v1.38.0 (Lawrence et al., 2013), HilbertCurve v1.12.0 (Gu et al., 2016b), limma v3.38.2 (Ritchie et al., 2015), rtracklayer 1.42.1 (Lawrence et al., 2009) and seriation v1.2-3 (Hahsler et al., 2008).

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
No statistical method was used for determining sample size; rather, we followed the literature to select the appropriate sample size. The experiments were not randomized. Due to the nature of experiments, the authors were not blinded to group allocation during data collection and analysis. Only viable embryos were included in the analysis. Frequencies of shown morphological phenotypes and WMISH patterns are included in every image. The significance of over-represented GO terms was based on hypergeometric tests. Significances of non-normally distributed data points (gene features) across ZGA were calculated using paired Wilcoxon rank-sum tests (alternative hypothesis ‘less’). The effect size ($r_{\text{effect}}$) was estimated from the standard normal deviate of the Wilcoxon p-value ($p$) as previously described (Rosenthal, 1991), $r_{\text{effect}}=Z/\sqrt{N}$, where $Z=qnorm(1-p/2)$ is the standardized Z-score and $N$ is the number of observations.

For RNA-Seq, biological triplicates were used to account for transcriptional variability between clutches. Each LOF experiment has its own control embryos collected in parallel from the same mothers: exp. #1 (α-amanitin), uninjected embryos; exp. #2 (BMP or Nodal LOF), DMSO-treated embryos; exp. #3 (Wnt LOF), uninjected embryos; exp. #4 (mPou5f3/Sox3 LOF), uninjected embryos; exp. #5 (mVegT LOF), uninjected embryos; exp. #6 (single and combinatorial LOFs of Wnt, Nodal and BMP), DMSO-treated embryos; exp. #7 (combinatorial LOF of 4 zygotic T-box TFs), control MO-injected embryos. The gene expressions of control MO-injected embryos of exp. #2 and #5 were normalized to their corresponding
uninjected embryos. The mean of these normalizations and conservative FDR estimations (i.e., higher FDR of the two likelihood ratio tests) were used for the comparison with LOF conditions. RNA-Seq libraries from each experiment were generated simultaneously to mitigate any batch effects. The FDR was controlled for multiple comparisons according to the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure. The exact computational implementation of differential expression analysis is outlined on GitHub (see below).

**DATA AND CODE AVAILABILITY**

The accession numbers for the sequencing reads (FASTQ files) and raw RNA-Seq read counts reported in this paper are GEO: GSE113186 and GEO: GSE122551. All analyses were performed in R v3.5.1 (Bioconductor v3.8), Perl v5.18.2 (https://www.perl.org) and Python v2.7.12 (http://www.python.org) as detailed above. The R code, genome annotation, intermediate datasets and graphs are available on GitHub at https://github.com/gegentsch/SpatioTemporalControlZGA. Original datasets are also available on Mendeley Data at http://dx.doi.org/10.17632/jn466b4n8v.1.
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