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ABSTRACT
In this study, moringa seed powder was used to remove heavy metals in the solution using the adsorption process. The effect of temperature and pH on the adsorption efficiency of moringa seeds was evaluated. Moringa seeds were effective in removing chromium and can act as a better sustainable material to remove heavy metals in the solution. The natural low-cost materials are effective in treating the greywater coming out from the households. The low-cost material used in this study to treat the greywater was moringa seed powder. The seed powder, sand and activated carbon were used in a filter bed and the removal efficiency of these materials was calculated at different contact times. The effluent and influent water were compared with World Health Organization (WHO) and Tamilnadu Pollution control board (TNPCB) standards. The effluent water was analyzed for different parameters of water quality which include pH, TDS, TSS, Hardness, Chloride, Sulphate, oil and grease, etc. The utilization of moringa seed powder was effective in enhancing the water quality and complies with the World Health Organization and Tamilnadu Pollution control board standards.
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INTRODUCTION
In view of climate change and high population growth, the demand for water rises day by day and human beings are facing scarcity in water.1-3 This scarcity of resources puts more stress on the policymakers to create efficient water policies for nations to treat wastewater from industries and households. Temporary policies were in force on several nations around the globe to satisfy the current water demands. Due to the emergence of various industries, toxic metals coming out from the industries had grown to a significant level and it has been discharged to rivers, canals etc thereby it affect the aquatic organisms.4 To remove such heavy metals in water is most crucial for several researchers to save the aquatic organisms and also to use water effectively. Several metals include, including lead, copper and cadmium are more dangerous to the environment coming out from the industries creates more stress to the aquatic and human health.5 The consumption of such heavy metals directly or indirectly affects the neurological system of the brain, reproduction system, liver and so on. The maximum permissible limit of these pollutants in water stated by the environmental protection agency was 1.3ppm for copper, 0.1ppm for lead and 0.005ppm for cadmium which determines the need for removal of such heavy metals in waste water.6,7 Several researchers were tried to incorporate natural materials to remove heavy metals in water utilizing the adsorption process. The utilization of natural materials makes the entire system economical and feasible.8-10 To avoid water stress among human beings potential utilization of greywater is a viable option. Proper treatment of greywater will satisfy the water demands of animals and humans. The effluent coming out from households usually contains organic materials and it should be screened out for the better treatment of grey water.11 Almost 40% of the human water needs should be satisfied by the potential reuse of greywater. The dust particles present in the clothes and chemical components in the washing powder contribute to the total suspended solids composition of greywater.
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Heavy metals present in the wastewater effluents from textile industries and other chemical industries create several environmental threats. These effluents contaminate the soil and accumulate into the roots of the plants thereby the consumption of such vegetables derived from the plants grown on the contaminated soil severely damages the human organs.\textsuperscript{12,13} The basic requirement for any living organism is water. Availability of freshwater is becoming crucial now a day due to the rapid population. Urban and industrial activities led to the rise of heavy metal pollution.\textsuperscript{14} Pollution in water due to the accumulation of heavy metals is considered to be a serious threat to the environment. These heavy metals are non-biodegradable and pose severe problems to human beings. The most widely used heavy metal in the industry is copper(II) is considered to be a widely used heavy metal in most industries which includes paper board, fertilizers, paints etc. The discharge coming out from the industries usually contains a considerable amount of heavy metals which deposits into the soil and other water streams.\textsuperscript{15-18} Consumption of such water and plants that grow on heavy metal deposited soil causes several health issues to human beings. Treatment of pollutants in water is very difficult because people do not have the necessary resources to treat the polluted water.\textsuperscript{19-21} As per the survey of the World Health Organization (WHO), people spent almost 30-40mins/day collecting water sources and the inability of people to collect water from proper sources causes several diseases. Most people die every year with diarrhea which accounts for about a 1.5million people per year.\textsuperscript{22}

Several studies have been conducted on the removal of heavy metals from water. Modern many methods are used to remove heavy metals in water such as electrocoagulation, activated carbon and modified adsorbents.\textsuperscript{23,24} Such methods are uneconomical due to their operating costs. Several conventional methods were used to remove heavy metals in the effluents. Such methods have their drawbacks due to their high operational cost, maintenance cost and disposal of sludge. The utilization of natural waste materials has resolved the drawbacks of conventional methods. Naturally, available waste materials were used effectively in various fields i.e. in producing sustainable building material to reduce the carbon dioxide emission levels in the atmosphere. Several studies were conducted to remove the toxic metals using naturally available agricultural wastes.\textsuperscript{25-27}

In this study, moringa seed powder was used to remove the heavy metals in the solution using the adsorption process. The effect of pH and temperature on the adsorption efficiency of moringa seed was evaluated. In addition to the adsorption process, the removal efficiency of sulphate, chloride and other compounds in greywater were evaluated using moringa seed powder. The initial concentration of pH, COD, BOD, TSS, and TDS, sulphate, chloride and grease found in influent water was calculated in the laboratory. The experimental setup consists of sand, waste material powder and activated carbon.

\section*{MATERIAL PREPARATION}
Moringa seed is a naturally available material collected from the local market in Chennai, India. Moringa seeds were collected and washed in clear water to remove the impurities and allowed to dry in the open air for 24 hours. Further seeds were kept in an oven at 30$^\circ$C for 7hours to remove the surface moisture and then seeds were grounded finely and sieved through a 100micron sieve. Greywater collected from the household is allowed to settle for 24hours. The experimental setup was shown in Fig.-4.

\section*{RESULTS AND DISCUSSION}
\section*{FTIR Analysis}
The FTIR spectra of moringa seeds after and before adsorption of chromium ions as shown in Fig.-1. The presence of lignin, carbohydrates and some other proteins adsorbs metal ions in wastewater and due to this a heavy band at 3218cm$^{-1}$ is originated. The occurrence of nitrogen hydrogen bond by vibrational extension, present in NH$_4$ groups is mainly due to the presence of protein in moringa seeds. Carbon – hydrogen vibrational extension occurs at a frequency of 2911 and 2834 cm$^{-1}$ mainly due to the presence of methyl groups in the fats and alkaline group. The carboxyl and carbon-oxygen groups manifest at a frequency of 1673cm$^{-1}$.

The FTIR spectra of Moringa after adsorption is shown in Fig.-1. From the figure it is evident that the frequency at 3218cm$^{-1}$ has been reduced to 3118cm$^{-1}$ due to the adsorption of chromium ions. The frequencies at 2911 and 2834 cm$^{-1}$ have been shifted to 2978 and 2894cm$^{-1}$ respectively.
Effect of pH

The dependency on the adsorption of heavy metals is mainly based on the acidity of the solution. At lower pH the efficiency of adsorption is higher as shown in Fig.-2. The adsorption of chromium metal was high in the pH range between 2 to 4. When the pH reaches the value of 4, maximum adsorption of heavy metal was observed. This is mainly due to an increase in proton levels and attacks the binding locations on the layer of adsorbent. The activated proton site was not efficient to bind the heavy metal atoms, causes free ions to remains in the solution. As the initial concentration of pH was at 3, the chromium ions get dissolved due to the presence of concentrated ions in the solution. From this study, the optimum pH to remove the chromium ions in the solution was found to be 4.
Effect of Temperature
From the Fig.-3, it is evident that at room temperature the adsorption efficiency was higher. In the temperature range between 18 to 25, the efficiency of moringa seed powder showed maximum value. It is mainly attributed due to hydroxyl ions reactions with a solution at room temperature. At higher temperatures, the ions in the solutions lost their binding capacity and flow freely. From this study, it is found the optimum temperature to maximum adsorption of heavy metals in the solution was found to be 20-23°C.

Fig.-3: Effect of Temperature on Adsorption Efficiency

Fig.-4: Experimental Setup of the Treatment Process
Water Quality Parameters

pH
As per WHO and TNPCB (Tamilnadu pollution control Board), the pH of the water should be in a range of 5-9 and 6.5-9 respectively. pH of influent greywater was in the range of WHO and TNPCB standards and it was found to be 7.98. Since the pH of water had no influential role but it is responsible for the other chemical reactions in water. The average pH of water after treating with natural waste materials was found to be 7.64. The final pH of water after treatment was 4.23% lower than influent water.

Sulfate
The initial concentration of sulphate in greywater was found to be 375mg/l. After treating the water with natural waste materials, the concentration of sulphates in water was reduced to 275mg/l, 204mg/l and 120mg/l on day1, day4 and day 12 treatment respectively. The average concentration of sulphate in water was calculated as 199.7mg/l and removal efficiency of 46.7%.

Chloride
The initial concentration of chloride was 2412mg/l which is far above the WHO and TNPCB standards. The effluent coming out from the experimental setup was measured at day1, day4 and day12 as 1523, 943, 525mg/l respectively satisfy both WHO and TNPCB standards. The removal efficiency of chloride concentration was calculated as 58%.

TDS and TSS
The initial TDS level of greywater is far below the TNPCB standards and it was measured as 1372mg/l. After treating greywater, the TDS level was reduced to 927,891 and 745mg/l at day1, day4 and day12 respectively. The removal efficiency of TDS in greywater after treatment was calculated as 37.7% shown in Fig-5. The results of TSS were quite similar to that of TDS values. The initial concentration of TSS level of greywater was found to be 145mg/l, which is far above the WHO and TNPCB standards. The permissible limit of TSS in water recommended by WHO and TNPCB were 35 and 50mg/l respectively. After treating the water with natural materials, the concentration of TSS in water was reduced to 74, 41 and 20mg/l at day1, day4 and day12 respectively.

Total Hardness
The presence of magnesium and calcium ions present in the water makes the water hard. Due to the presence of calcium and magnesium ions the boiling point of water increases and forms a sticky layer on the vessels. The total hardness of the water in greywater was found to be 1572mg/l. After being subjected to treatment, the hardness of water reduces to 1024mg/l, 726mg/l and 650 mg/l at day1, day4 and day12 respectively. The average hardness of water was calculated as 800mg/l and removal efficiency was found to be 49%.

BOD
The Oxygen required by the microorganisms to decompose and consume the organic matter present in the water is referred to as biological oxygen demand. The BOD of water coming out from the household was taken and calculated as 32mg/l. After being subjected to the treatment process, the BOD value gradually reduces from day1 to day12. The removal efficiency of BOD was found to be 52%.

COD
The oxygen required in water to convert the organic matter into the inorganic matter is referred to as Chemical oxygen demand. Reduction in dissolved oxygen level is mainly attributed to COD and BOD concentration. A higher amount of BOD and COD concentration reduces the dissolved oxygen level in the water, thereby it affects the life of aquatic organisms. The initial concentration of COD level was calculated as 173mg/l and the value is far higher than WHO standards. The value of COD in water reduces when subjected to treatment using natural wastes. The removal efficiency of COD in greywater after the treatment process was measured as 47%.
Oil and Grease
The presence of Oil and grease in water is considered to be an important parameter while assessing the
good of water. The initial concentration of oil and grease in water was measured as 7.1mg/l shown in
Fig-5. After being subjected to treatment, the concentration of oil and grease reduces gradually and the
average concentration of oil and grease in water was found to be 2.8mg/l. The Removal Efficiency of oil
and grease in water was measured as 61%

Fig.-5: Removal Efficiency of Water Quality Parameters

CONCLUSION
Moringa seed powder showed better performance on the removal of chromium ions in the solution. The
optimum pH and temperature on removing the heavy metals in the solution were found to be 4 and 22°C
respectively. The initial concentration and the final concentration of greywater after treatment were
collected and tested in the laboratory. The samples were compared with TNPCB and WHO standards.
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