SIRS Triggered by Acute Right Ventricular Function, Mimicked Septic Shock

Yingke He¹#, John Ong²##*, Thuan Tong Tan³, Brian K. P. Goh⁴, Sharon G. K. Ong⁵

¹ Division of Anaesthesiology, Singapore General Hospital, Singapore
² Department of Engineering, Materials Engineering and Material-Tissue Interactions Group, University of Cambridge, United Kingdom
³ Department of Infectious Diseases, Singapore General Hospital, Singapore
⁴ Department of Hepato-pancreato-biliary and Transplant Surgery, Singapore General Hospital, Singapore
⁵ Department of Surgical Intensive Care, Singapore General Hospital, Singapore

ABSTRACT

Background: The systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS) is a complex immune response which can be precipitated by non-infectious aetiologies such as trauma, burns or pancreatitis. Addressing the underlying cause is crucial because it can be associated with increased mortality. Although the current literature associates chronic heart failure with SIRS, acute right ventricular dysfunction has not previously been reported to trigger SIRS. This case report describes the presentation of acute right ventricular dysfunction that triggered SIRS and mimicked septic shock.

Case presentation: A 70-year-old male presented to the Intensive Care Unit (ICU) with elevated inflammatory markers and refractory hypotension after a robotic-assisted laparoscopic radical choledochectomy with pancreaticoduodenectomy. Septic shock was misdiagnosed, and he was later found to have a pulmonary embolus. Thrombectomy and antimicrobials had no significant effect on lowering the elevated inflammatory markers or improving the persistent hypotension. Through Point of Care Ultrasound (POCUS), right ventricular dysfunction was diagnosed. Treatment with intravenous milrinone improved blood pressure, normalised inflammatory markers and led to a prompt discharge from the ICU.

Conclusion: Acute right ventricular dysfunction can trigger SIRS, which may mimic septic shock and delay appropriate treatment.
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INTRODUCTION

The Systemic Inflammatory Response Syndrome (SIRS) was first defined by Bone et al. (1992) [1] which describes widespread inflammation within the human body caused by a complex immune response to an insult [1,2]. It is characterized by the presence of two or more of the following features: (i) temperature >38°C or <36°C, (ii) heart rate >90 beats/minute, (iii) respiratory rate >20/min or PaCO₂ <32mmHg (4.3 kPa) (iv) white blood cell count >12 000/mm³ or <4000/mm³ or >10% immature bands. Although SIRS was initially thought to be within the spectrum of sepsis [3], other precipitants of SIRS have since been acknowledged. Non-infectious causes of SIRS include, but are not limited to, pancreatitis, trauma, haemorrhage, burns, chronic heart failure, pulmonary embolism and anaphylaxis [4-7]. Due to this non-specificity of SIRS in discriminating infection from other non-infectious causes, the definition of sepsis has since been revised to improve its management in clinical practice.

Recently, the 3rd International Consensus Definitions for Sepsis and Septic Shock proposed new definitions for sepsis and septic shock to make it more distinguishable from SIRS. Concerns with the sensitivity of
The previous definition of sepsis were raised since one in eight patients admitted to critical care units with infection, and recent organ failure failed to meet the criteria of SIRS to fulfil the definition of sepsis, but never the less experienced significant morbidity and mortality [3,8]. As a result, the new definition for sepsis is now stated as “a life-threatening organ dysfunction caused by a dysregulated host response to infection”, characterised by “an acute change of the sequential organ failure assessment (SOFA) score ≥ 2 due to infection” [3]. For septic shock, the proposed Sepsis-3 criteria are “sepsis with persisting hypotension requiring vasopressors to maintain mean arterial pressure (MAP) ≥ 65mmHg and having a serum lactate level ≥ 2 mmol/L (18mg/dL) despite adequate fluid resuscitation [3].” However, even with these changes in definitions, clinicians must be aware that the SIRS response is still valid beyond sepsis and remain vigilant for the non-infectious causes that trigger it (Figure 1 summarises the relationship between infection, organ dysfunction, SIRS, sepsis and septic shock taking these revised definitions into account).

The triggering of SIRS by acute isolated right ventricular (RV) dysfunction has not been previously reported. Here, we describe a case of acute RV dysfunction, which through SIRS response and multi-organ failure, mimicked the presentation of septic shock. It was only through point of care ultrasound (POCUS) that the correct diagnosis was made and appropriate treatment was administered successfully.

## Case Description

A 70-year-old male first presented at the accident and emergency department of a general district hospital in Malacca, Malaysia, with a three-month history of painless jaundice. He also had a history of hypertension and morbid obesity with a body mass index (BMI) of 35kg/m².

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) revealed a 1.6cm mass in the common bile duct suggestive of a cholangiocarcinoma. Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) was performed, and a bil-

![Fig. 1. Relationships between infection, organ dysfunction, sepsis, septic shock and SIRS taking into account revised definitions by Sepsis-3](image-url)
Chest auscultation revealed soft bilateral crepitations in the lung bases, but a chest X-ray (CXR) demonstrated only basal atelectasis. Given the positive fluid balance, bibasal crepitations, oliguria and rising creatinine levels, a provisional diagnosis of fluid overload and acute kidney injury was made. 80mg of intravenous furosemide (Pfizer Ltd, Lake Forest, Illinois, USA) was given to facilitate diuresis, but no significant effect was observed.

On the second postoperative day, while awaiting renal replacement therapy, he developed transient supraventricular tachycardia (SVT). His heart rate was 180 beats/min and BP was 80/40 mmHg. The SVT reverted spontaneously to sinus tachycardia with a heart rate of 100-110 beats per minute and his blood pressure subsequently normalised. Oral bisoprolol (Sandoz, Cumberley, UK) 1.25mg was started. However, a few hours later, the SVT recurred to 160-170 beats/min, and the BP decreased to 70/40 mmHg.

A single synchronised direct current shock of 50 Joules was administered which successfully converted the SVT to sinus rhythm and improved systolic blood pressure to 90-100mmHg.

At this point, he was then admitted to the ICU for closer monitoring. Laboratory tests showed raised inflammatory markers; procalcitonin (PCT) 48µg/L, C-Reactive protein (CRP) 278mg/L and white cell count 3.96 x 10^9/L. Arterial blood gas analysis demonstrated a high anion gap metabolic acidosis (pH 7.21, BE-11, HCO₃⁻ 15mmol/L and lactate 4.3mmol/L). The laboratory blood chemistry results were as follows: urea 11.1mmol/L, creatinine 235 µmmol/L, sodium 139mmol/L, potassium 4.2mmol/L and magnesium 0.99mmol/L.

A 12-lead Electrocardiogram (ECG), apart from sinus tachycardia post-cardioversion, did not reveal any ST changes and serial Troponin T levels were normal.

In the ICU, he had increasing oxygen requirements and was intubated by the ICU registrar on call, one hour after ICU admission. On controlled mechanical ventilation with a fractional inspired oxygen concentration (FiO₂) of 0.8, the initial PaO₂ was 69.9mmHg and PaCO₂ 38 mmHg.

Given a positive culture of *Enterococcus faecalis* from his biliary stent taken intra-operatively, together with the clinical presentation and investigations, a presumptive diagnosis of septic shock from intra-abdominal sepsis was made. Obstructive and cardiogenic shock were less likely to occur, given that there was no pneu-
mothorax detected on CXR, and serial ECGs together with troponin T levels were within the normal range.

Blood cultures were performed within an hour after ICU admission. Empirical broad-spectrum, intravenous antibiotics comprising tigecycline 50mg (Pfizer Ltd, Lake Forest, Illinois, USA) 12 hourly, meropenem 2g (Pfizer Ltd, Lake Forest, Illinois, USA) 8 hourly and anidulafungin 100mg (Merck Sharp & Dohme Ltd, Madrid, Spain) daily were started immediately afterwards.

Intravenous noradrenaline (Pfizer Ltd Lake Forest, Illinois, USA) 0.2µg/kg/min was required to maintain a mean arterial pressure above 65mmHg. However, his noradrenaline requirements began to rise soon after, and a repeat arterial blood gas analysis showed that the serum lactate had increased to 8.0 mmol/L. PCT remained elevated at 35 µg/L, and CRP rose to 334mg/L. Continuous renal replacement therapy was also initiated, given the acute kidney injury and haemodynamic instability.

Due to persistent hypotension together with escalating inotropic and vasopressor requirements of noradrenaline (Pfizer Ltd, Lake Forest, Illinois, USA) 0.3µg/kg/min and vasopressin (Pfizer Ltd, Lake Forest, Illinois, USA) 0.03U/min, a point of care ultrasound (POCUS) and bedside echocardiography was performed two hours after ICU admission.

Ultrasonography of the chest and abdomen ruled out free fluid within the lungs and abdomen. Due to the patient’s obese habitus and overlying bowel gas, the aorta could not be visualised. Bedside echocardiography showed preserved left ventricular performance but exhibited severe right ventricular enlargement and systolic dysfunction with akinesia of the mid-ventricular segments but preserved contractility of the apex (McConnell sign). The inferior vena cava was partially visualized and noted to be plethoric on inspiration.

The RV was also dilated with a flattened septum, suggesting a “pressure overload” state (Figure 2). The left ventricular appeared normal. A CT pulmonary angiogram was performed within a few hours of the initial echocardiography assessment, which confirmed the diagnosis of a pulmonary embolism (PE) involving the right pulmonary artery (Figure 3). A CT scan of the abdomen and pelvis was done concurrently because of the limited views obtained by POCUS. This did not reveal any significant intra-abdominal free fluid or source of infection, and the initial diagnosis was then revised to “an acute RV dysfunction secondary to pulmonary embolism.”

Heparin (Baxter, Deerfield, Illinois, USA) infusion was started and titrated to maintain the partial thromboplastin time (PTT) of about 60 seconds. An inter-
ventional radiologist performed a percutaneous pulmonary thrombectomy. Complete embolus removal was achieved, resulting in the restoration of normal blood flow in the right pulmonary artery.

However, the patient’s haemodynamic parameters and inflammatory markers continued to worsen. On the third postoperative day (ICU Day 2), bedside echocardiography demonstrated no improvement of the acute RV dysfunction despite complete clearance of the thrombus in the right pulmonary artery. This elucidated severe RV dysfunction as the cause of persistent hypotension. Left ventricular function remained normal. There were no significant valvular abnormalities. The diagnosis of SIRS secondary to RV dysfunction was suspected, and an intravenous milrinone (Pfizer Ltd, Lake Forest, Illinois, USA) infusion was started and titrated to a maximum dose of 0.5mcg/kg/min. Clinical recovery was noted a few hours later as the blood pressure was maintained above systolic 100mHg with reducing doses of vasoactive agents. The patient also started to diurese spontaneously from being anuric upon ICU admission.

On the fourth postoperative day (ICU Day 3), the noradrenaline and vasopressin infusions were ceased seventeen hours after milrinone (Pfizer Ltd, Lake Forest, Illinois, USA) was started.

Laboratory tests performed twelve hours later also showed that the inflammatory markers were normalising, the base deficit had improved from -11.4 to -2.4 and lactate levels fell from 8.0 to 2.1mmol/L.

Another repeat bedside echocardiography, performed on ICU day three, demonstrated a significant improvement in right ventricular contractility. Oxygen requirements were also reduced with FiO₂ from 0.8 to 0.4. There was improved oxygenation with the arterial blood gas PaO₂ returning from 69mmHg to 131 mmHg. These responses to milrinone did not support the hypothesis that surgical trauma or infection was the cause of SIRS. Extubation and complete normalisation of PCT and CRP levels were achieved in the next forty-eight hours. The anti-microbials were stopped one week later when blood cultures, urine cultures and repeat CXR yielded no indication of infection.

The patient continued to make progress and was soon transferred to a step-down ward.

**Discussion**

This patient had multi-organ dysfunction with an acute rise in SOFA score from 0 to 7. His raised inflammatory markers and positive biliary stent culture, together with hemodynamic instability requiring inotropic support, fulfilled both definitions of sepsis and septic shock as defined in Sepsis-3. The combination of these factors led to his initial misdiagnosis of septic shock.

Acute right ventricular dysfunction was not suspected as a cause of his pro-inflammatory state because an association with SIRS had not been previously reported. However, given that no source of infection was found after repeated fluid cultures and imaging, it was concluded that the grossly elevated inflammatory markers were due to a SIRS response.

It was then hypothesised that the trigger of SIRS, in this patient, was RV dysfunction and not PE because no improvement was observed after treatment with heparin and the restoration of normal flow within the pulmonary artery after thrombectomy. On the contrary, the patient continued to deteriorate despite the treatment for PE. A dramatic clinical recovery and rapid improvement of inflammatory markers were only noted after the treatment of acute RV dysfunction with milrinone.

Hasper et al. (1998) [5] had earlier reported that the signs of systemic inflammation and SIRS-associated cytokines were elevated in patients with chronic heart failure, but interestingly both disappeared after patients were provided mechanical circulatory support. Our patient also had normal serial troponins and ECGs, which does not support myocardial infarction-induced cardiogenic shock and SIRS, and sepsis-related myocardial dysfunction [9-10]. Cardiogenic shock mainly arises from left ventricular dysfunction and rarely from right ventricular function [11]. Milrinone, as a bipyridine phosphodiesterase (PDE)-3 inhibitor, improves RV contractility and reduces pulmonary vascular resistance (PVR) by vasodilation. This reversed the acute RV dysfunction, which led to the resolution of SIRS and recovery of the patient.

This important observation of SIRS caused by acute RV dysfunction suggests clinicians must be vigilant for RV dysfunction mimicking or masked by septic shock because the treatment of both conditions can be antag-
onistic, e.g. intravenous fluid given for sepsis can exacerbate right ventricular dysfunction. RV failure is clinically recognised as an acute RV dysfunction resulting in a low cardiac output state with hypoperfusion of major organs [12]. The classical physical findings, including ECG changes, may not always be present [13]. In acute RV pressure overload state, end-systolic flattening of the interventricular septum due to interventricular interdependence is usually an early sign. Sustained high RV afterload may lead to acute RV stunning, resulting in reduced contractility. This may progress to an RV volume overload state with tricuspid regurgitation and global RV enlargement [14].

Progressive venous congestion from RV dysfunction leads to impairment of renal, hepatic and intestinal function, resulting in increased morbidity and mortality [15]. Therefore, the elevated inflammatory markers in our patient could also be due to the presence of hepatic and splenic congestion in right ventricular (RV) failure. Polsinelli et al (2017) and Sandek et al (2008) in reviewing RV-gut interactions in patients with heart failure, proposed that visceral venous congestion could result in hypoxia and acidosis in enterocytes, alteration of the gut microbiome leading to increased gut permeability and inflammation and alteration of renal haemodynamics with triggering of the cardiorenal syndrome [15,16]. Hence, effective management of acute RV dysfunction requires accurate assessment of RV preload, contractility and afterload.

Classically, patients with RV failure are preload-dependent [17]. However, over aggressive volume loading has the potential to over distend the RV and worsen wall tension and contractility [15]. Volume status must, therefore, be carefully evaluated, as both hypovolemia and hypervolemia can lead to a further decrease in cardiac output. In right heart dysfunction, the ideal vasoactive agent of choice is one that can reduce RV afterload, increase RV contractility while preserving RV perfusion pressure [13]. Inodilators like milrinone and levosimendan have been used widely for the treatment of RV failure [13],[17]. Due to its vasodilatory properties, milrinone is often used in conjunction with a vasoressor.

It is clinically challenging to differentiate between sepsis and its mimickers. Studies on proteins and cytokines related to the host response to infection have no definitive conclusion on how to distinguish inflammation from sepsis using biomarkers such as CRP, Interleukin 6 and 8, soluble TREM1 and PLAU[18-20].

A recent systematic review and meta-analysis by Tan et al. (2019) looking at the diagnostic accuracy of both PCT and CRP concluded that PCT was a better diagnostic tool for sepsis [21]. Their data gave an AUROC score CRP: 0.73 (95% CI 0.69 - 0.77) vs AUROC score PCT: 0.85 (95% CI 0.82 - 0.88). However, both biomarkers were found to have moderate specificity with wide confidence intervals. Interestingly, recent advancements in biotechnology have facilitated DNA-based molecular techniques which produce rapid microbial identification and antimicrobial susceptibility with high sensitivity and specificity. This may prove to be another tool in the armamentarium for differentiating between sepsis and sepsis mimickers [22].

In our experience, POCUS utilising the Rapid Ultrasound in Shock (RUSH) protocol is another useful tool in differentiating the different types of shock in both emergency departments and critical care units [23-25]. Table 1 summarises the salient ultrasonographic features of the different types of shock. A meta-analysis of the diagnostic accuracy of using the RUSH protocol in the emergency department by Keikha et al. (2018) reported a higher sensitivity and specificity in diagnosing hypovolemic, cardiogenic and obstructive shock but lower sensitivity for shock with distributive or mixed aetiology [26]. Despite its limitations, there is growing popularity for the use of POCUS in the intensive care setting. Being portable and non-invasive, POCUS provides physicians with useful information for the majority of critically ill patients, especially in those whom heart failure, valvular heart disease, hypovolaemia and hypervolemia are suspected [27]. In our opinion, POCUS is an extremely useful and consideration should be made to incorporate it into intensive care training.

**Conclusion**

Despite recent revisions to the definition of sepsis and septic shock, clinicians should be aware that SIRS remains a clinical problem that can be precipitated by non-infectious aetiologies. Acute RV dysfunction can trigger a SIRS response that mimics sepsis, and septic shock if the RV dysfunction is severe.
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Table 1. Bedside Point of Care Ultrasound (POCUS) examination findings by systems to evaluate the aetiology of shock

| SYSTEMS                | SHOCK                                                                 |
|------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                        | Hypovolemic Shock | Cardiogenic Shock | Distributive Shock | Obstructive Shock |
| Cardiovascular Findings| Hypercontractile heart with small Left Ventricle chamber size | Hypercontractile heart with dilated Left Ventricle | Hypercontractile heart in early sepsis | Hypocontractile heart in late sepsis | Cardiac Tamponade |
|                        | - Presence of pericardial fluid |
|                        | - Right ventricle collapse during diastole |
|                        | - Presence of Cardiac Thrombus |
|                        | - Hypocontractile RV with dilatation |
|                        | - Moderate to severe tricuspid regurgitation |
|                        | - McConnell’s sign (reduced right ventricular free wall motion but reserved apical motion) |
|                        | - D shaped ventricle with abnormal motion in interventricular septum |
| Respiratory Findings   | Hemothorax           | Pulmonary edema    | Pneumonia         | Tension Pneumothorax |
|                        | - Presence of homogeneous echoic effusion/Hematocrit Sign          | - Lung rockets with multiple diffuse B lines | - Presence of Air bronchogram |
|                        |                                                                      | Pleural Effusion  | - Loss of A lines, |
|                        |                                                                      | - Presence of free pleural fluid | - Presence of patchy B lines |
|                        |                                                                      |                        | - Pleural effusion with/without multiple septations |
| Abdominal and Other Findings | Collapsed Inferior Vena Cava (<12mm) | Distended Inferior Vena Cava (>20mm) | Collapsed Inferior Vena Cava (<12mm) | Distended Inferior Vena Cava (>20mm) |
|                        | Ruptured/Leaking Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm                          | Peritonitis          | Peritonitis        | Presence of Lower Limb Deep Vein Thrombosis |
|                        | - Focal aortic dilatation                                           | - Presence of peritoneal fluid with/without septation | - Presence of chololithiasis |
|                        | - Peri-aortic fluid, free intraperitoneal fluid, retroperitoneal fluid | Pyelonephritis       | - Sonographic Murphy sign |
|                        | Aortic dissection                                                  | - Swollen kidney with increased anechoic corticomedullary area | - Gallbladder wall thickening (>3mm) and presence of pericholecystic fluid |
|                        | - Presence of aortic root dilatation and intimal flap on the Parasternal Long Axis or longitudinal views (transthoracic or transabdominal) | Cholecystitis        | Cholangitis |
|                        |                                                                      | - Presence of bile duct dilatation | - Common bile duct dilatation |
|                        |                                                                      | - Thickening of wall of bile ducts | - Thickening of wall of bile ducts |
|                        |                                                                      | - Presence of debris in the common bile ducts (pus or sludge) | - Presence of debris in the common bile ducts (pus or sludge) |
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