Trends in cancer incidence in Uruguay: 2002 -2015.
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Abstract

Background: Uruguay is the south American country which has the highest cancer incidence and mortality rates. The National Cancer Registry collects data on cancer cases nationwide since 1989 and has reached high quality standards in the last decades. This is the first report on incidence trends.

Methods: Data from the National Cancer Registry of all new cases of invasive cancer from twelve sites diagnosed in 2002-2015 was analyzed. Age-standardized rates were calculated. Trends of incidence rates were analyzed using joinpoint regression models.

Results: For both, men and women, incidence rates trends for all cancer sites, colo-rectal and bladder cancer remained stable. Esophageal and gastric cancers descended while thyroid and kidney cancer incidence increased. In men lung cancer decreased; testicular cancer increased, and prostate cancer increased at the beginning of the period and decreased in the final years. In women, lung cancer increased, breast cancer remained stable and cervical cancer presented a significant decline from 2005 to 2010 and reached a plateau since then.

Conclusion: Cancer incidence dynamics are complex and affected not only by Public Health policies such as tobacco control, vaccination and screening programs, but also by environmental and life style changes and the attitude of the medical community towards the application of diagnostic and therapeutic tools. The aim of this paper is to analyze cancer incidence time trends in the country and provide possible explanations to them.
RESUMEN

Introducción:
Uruguay es el país de Sudamerica que tiene las mayores tasas de incidencia y mortalidad por cáncer. El Registro Nacional de Cáncer recoge los datos de cáncer de todo el país desde 1989 y en las últimas décadas ha alcanzado los más altos estándares de calidad. Este es el primer reporte de tendencias de incidencia de cáncer de Uruguay.

Métodos:
Se analizaron los datos de todos los casos de cáncer invasivo diagnosticados entre 2002 y 2015 incluidos en el Registro Nacional de Cáncer y los de once topografías en particular. Se calcularon las tasas de incidencia estandarizada y se analizaron las tendencias utilizando los modelos de regresión de Joinpoint.

Resultados:
Las tasas de incidencia de cáncer colorrectal, vejiga y todos los sitios reunidos se mantuvieron estables tanto en hombres como en mujeres. La tasa de incidencia de cáncer de estómago y esófago disminuyeron mientras que las de tiroides y riñón aumentaron. En los hombres, el cáncer de pulmón disminuyó, el cáncer de testículo aumentó y el de próstata aumentó en un lapso inicial y decreció en los últimos años. En las mujeres el cáncer de pulmón aumentó y el de mama se mantuvo estable mientras que el cáncer de cérvix presentó un descenso significativo entre 2005 y 2010 alcanzando una meseta desde entonces.

Conclusión:
La dinámica de la incidencia de cáncer es compleja y está afectada no sólo por las políticas de Salud Pública como las campañas de control de tabaco, vacunación y programas de tamizaje sino por los cambios ambientales y de los estilos de vida y la actitud de los médicos respecto a la aplicación de técnicas diagnósticas y terapéuticas. En este trabajo se analizan las tendencias de incidencia en el país y se plantean posibles explicaciones para los cambios and the systolic blood pressure.

Remark

1) Why was this study conducted?  
This study was conducted to analyze cancer incidence trends in Uruguay

2) What were the most relevant results of the study?  
Standardized incidence rates reflected significant changes: in men, decrease in lung cancer, initial rise followed by decrease in prostate cancer, and increase in testicular cancer. In women: rates increased markedly in lung cancer and remained stable in breast cancer; in cervical cancer they declined between 2005 and 2010, stabilizing since then. In both sexes an increase in kidney and thyroid cancers was observed, while stomach and esophageal cancer decreased.

3) What do these results contribute?  
The comparative analysis with the dynamics observed in other Latin American countries is a relevant input for cancer control programs.
**Introduction**

Uruguay is one of the smallest countries in South America, not only because of its surface (175,000 km²) but also its population. Nevertheless, it is the country in the continent which has the highest cancer incidence and mortality rates.\(^1\)

Uruguay had a population of 3,241,003 in 2004\(^2\) and 3,286,314 in 2011\(^3\) according to national census. The population is ethnically homogeneous (95% Western European descent) and was stable in terms of migratory behavior for the period 2002-2015. The country underwent the demo-epidemiological transition early in the 20th century and currently has a fairly aged population (Fig. 1) with 14% of the population aged 65 or more in 2011.

In average, more than 16,000 new cancer cases are registered every year and more than 8,000 deaths are caused by this disease.\(^4\) Cancer has been the second cause of death in Uruguay for decades, accounting for almost a quarter (24.6%) of the total deaths in 2017.\(^5\)

The age-standardized incidence rate for the 2011-2015 period for all cancer sites excluding non-melanoma skin cancer for both sexes is 256.8 per 100,000, close to the International Agency for Research in Cancer (IARC) estimates for all countries with very High Human Development Index.\(^1\)

Summarized mortality data are available since 1952 and true mortality databases exist since 1990; this enabled several cancer mortality trends analysis.\(^4,6\) The present work is the first analysis of incidence trends for the main cancer sites in the country. It is important to emphasize that both incidence and mortality trends provide relevant information to understand the epidemiological dynamics of most cancers. For sites that are known to have very high lethality, such as lung or pancreatic cancer, difference between mortality and incidence trends is scarce. In other sites, either because they operate well-known

---

**Figure 1.** Population structure by age and sex. Uruguay, 2011.
improvements in the survival of patients or because of the development of more sensitive
diagnostic techniques, the incidence trends may have very different courses from those
observed in the trends of mortality. The report of cancer incidence trends is still not frequent
in Latin America most likely because the majority of cancer registries in the region are very
young, with the exception of the Cali Registry that has been able to describe the incidence in
this urban area since 1962 and the 30-year trend analysis of Quito 7-9.

The aim of this article is to analyze recent cancer incidence trends in Uruguay of the most
relevant sites, namely breast cancer, prostate, lung, colorectum, kidney, urothelial, stomach,
esophagus, cervix, thyroid, testis and non-melanoma skin cancer.

Materials and Methods

The National Cancer Registry of Uruguay (NCRU) records cancer incidence at the national
level since 1991. It actively collects data along the country through 30 registrars. NCRU
accesses all the death certificates of the whole country and records cancer deaths, linked to
their corresponding incidence, when it is already registered. Not registered cases identified
through a death certificate are traced back to medical records. New cases are checked for
duplication, coded according to International Classification of Diseases for Oncology 3ed
(ICD-O-3) 10 (ICD-O-1 was used until 2005). IARC rules for multiple primaries are followed.
Quality control procedures are performed both manually and automatically through IARC/
IACR Check and conversion programs 11 and NCRU software.

National Cancer Registry of Uruguay data have been included in Cancer Incidence in Five
Continents X and XI meeting the highest level of quality standards at IARC scale 12-14. Regarding
quality indicators, the percentage of cases morphologically verified was 78% in males and 82%
in females, and the percentage of records abstracted from death certificate only was 10.1% in
males and 8.8% in females. There were no cases with unknown age. The percentage of ill defined
cancers was 5% (unknown and non-specific primary site, C76 and C80) 13.

All incident cases of invasive neoplasias collected by the NCRU for years 2002 to 2015 were
analyzed. Cases from the sites with higher incidence were selected. Incidence trends of the
12 most relevant sites in Uruguay are described in this article, corresponding to codes C50
(female breast cancer), C61 (prostate), C33-C34 (lung), C18-C21 (colon, rectum and annus),
C64-C65 (kidney and renal pelvis), C66-C68 (bladder, urethra and ureter), C16 (stomach), C15
(esophagus), C53 (cervix uteri), C73 (thyroid), C62 (testis) and non-melanoma skin cancer.

Person-years at risk were estimated using the country’s population by linear interpolation of
census data from 1996, 2004 and 2011 2,3,15. Age-standardized incidence rates were calculated
by the direct method, using the world standard population 16. Rates are expressed per 100,000
person-years. Join-point regression models were used to identify change points in incidence
trends using publicly accessible software Join point version 4.7 from the Surveillance Research
Program of the US National Cancer Institute 17,18. The Join point analysis uses years as an
independent variable and identifies time segments, in the log linear slope of the trend, that
are defined by points of significant change in the trend, so called join points. Thus, the annual
percent change was obtained representing the average percent increase or decrease in cancer
rates per year over each specified period of time. In describing the change, the terms “increase”
or “decrease” were used only when the annual percent change was significantly different from
zero (two-sided p values <0.05); otherwise the term “stable” was used.

In order to graphically represent trends, Locally Weighted Scatterplot Smoothing (LOWESS)
curves were fitted to provide smoothed lines through the scatterplot of the incidence rates by
year. A bandwidth of 0.4 was used, e.g. 40% of the data were used in smoothing each point.
Statistic analysis were carried out using STATA (version 15) and R (version 3.3.1).
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Results

Age-standardized incidence rate at the beginning and the end of the period for each cancer site are presented in Table 1. Summarized information on the annual percent change for the twelve cancer sites selected for male and female during the studied period are presented in Table 2. Incidence trends plots are shown in Figures 2 and 3.

The age-standardized incidence rate for all cancer sites excluding non-melanoma skin cancer remained stable along the period for male and female.

In males, esophageal, gastric and lung cancer age-standardized incidence rate decreased while kidney, thyroid and testicular cancer age-standardized incidence rate increased. Colorectal and urothelial cancer age-standardized incidence rate remained stable. Regarding prostate cancer, age-standardized incidence rate increased until 2004, remained stable between 2004 and 2011 and finally decreased from 2011 on.

In females esophageal and gastric cancer age-standardized incidence rate decreased but they present smoother slopes than men. Kidney and thyroid cancer age-standardized incidence rate increased. Opposite to their masculine counterparts, lung cancer age-standardized incidence rate in women sharply increased. Breast, colorectal and urothelial cancer age-standardized incidence rate remained stable.

Table 1. Age standardized incidence rates and Joinpoint analyses for 2002 to 2015 in Uruguay. Women.

| Cancer Site          | Standardized incidence rate (per 100,000 person-year) | Period | APC     | APC 95% CI |
|----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|--------|---------|------------|
|                     | 2002 | 2015 |                  |            |
| Esophagus (C15)     | 3.0  | 1.7  | 2002 | 2015 | -3.7* | -5.4; 2.0 |
| Stomach (C16)       | 6.7  | 5.5  | 2002 | 2015 | -1.7* | -2.8; 0.5 |
| Colorectum (C18-C21)| 24.9 | 27.5 | 2002 | 2015 | 0.3   | -0.3; 1.0 |
| Lung (C33-C34)      | 8.7  | 14.0 | 2002 | 2015 | 3.3*  | 2.3; 4.3 |
| Kidney (C64-C65)    | 6.0  | 7.8  | 2002 | 2015 | 2.8*  | 1.4; 4.2 |
| Urothelial (C66-C68)| 3.0  | 2.5  | 2002 | 2015 | -0.4  | -2.5; 1.8 |
| Thyroid (C73)       | 4.7  | 14.6 | 2002 | 2015 | 8.7*  | 6.7; 10.8 |
| Breast (C50)        | 77.3 | 71.1 | 2002 | 2015 | -0.3  | -0.9; 0.2 |
| Cervix (C53)        | 17.6 | 14.8 | 2002 | 2015 | 2.4   | -4.4; 9.7 |
| ACS†                | 228.6| 232.3| 2002 | 2015 | 0.0   | -0.4; 0.4 |

Table 2. Age standardized incidence rates and Joinpoint analyses for 2002 to 2015 in Uruguay. Men.

| Cancer Site          | Standardized incidence rate (per 100,000 person-year) | Period | APC     | APC 95% CI |
|----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|--------|---------|------------|
|                     | 2002 | 2015 |                  |            |
| Esophagus (C15)     | 12.2 | 7.1  | 2002 | 2015 | -4.8* | -6.4; 3.2 |
| Stomach (C16)       | 16.9 | 12.1 | 2002 | 2015 | -1.8* | -2.6; 0.9 |
| Colorectum (C18-C21)| 33.9 | 36.1 | 2002 | 2015 | 0.3   | -0.7; 1.3 |
| Lung (C33-C34)      | 55.9 | 43.9 | 2002 | 2015 | -1.7* | -2.3; 1.1 |
| Kidney (C64-C65)    | 12.2 | 18.0 | 2002 | 2015 | 2.8*  | 1.8; 3.8 |
| Urothelial (C66-C68)| 17.9 | 13.3 | 2002 | 2015 | -0.2  | -1.4; 1.0 |
| Thyroid (C73)       | 1.5  | 2.6  | 2002 | 2015 | 7.3*  | 4.6; 10.0 |
| Prostate (C61)      | 47.1 | 51.2 | 2002 | 2015 | 12.8* | 4.7; 21.5 |
| Testis (C62)        | 5.1  | 7.6  | 2002 | 2015 | 3.3   | 2.3; 4.4 |
| ACS†                | 300.0| 279.2| 2002 | 2015 | 0.0   | -1.1; 0.1 |

†All cancer sites (excluding non melanoma of skin)* The estimated annual percent change is significantly different from 0 (two-side p < 0.05).
incidence rate remained stable. Concerning to cervix cancer, age-standardized incidence rate remained stable until 2005, present a significant decline from 2005 to 2010 and reached a plateau since then.

**Discussion**

**Female breast cancer**

For at least 50 years, breast cancer has been by far the main cancer death cause in women in Uruguay, and the most frequent malignancy diagnosed, with the exception of non-melanoma cancer of the skin. In most countries around the world breast cancer incidence is increasing, while time trends in those with high human development index have reached a peak and start to decrease. Time trends in Uruguay remain stable, in contrast to mortality trends that decrease 1% per year since 1990. In recent years the scientific community witnessed a noteworthy improvement in breast cancer treatments and in Uruguay universal access to most of those new therapies (i.e. Her2 blockers) is granted. This probably explains, partially at least, the decreasing trends in mortality. On the other hand, strong policies addressed to improve mammographic screening coverage were implemented since 2006, such as the access at no cost.
to the technique for women between 50 to 69 and the obligation by law for the employers to provide their employees one paid day off to attend their screening test. If those strategies were successful and a broadened coverage was achieved, an increase in the detection of in situ carcinomas could lead to a decline in incidence trends in the future.

Lung cancer

In males in Uruguay, lung cancer is the second most frequent malignancy diagnosed, but it is still the first cause of cancer death. In women, it holds the fifth place in cancer incidence but it reached in the last years the third place in mortality. Lung cancer incidence decreases steadily since the early 2000’s in men while they increase sharply in women. Lung cancer trends are strongly associated to tobacco epidemics, with a latency period of about three decades. Previous analysis using age-period-cohort models have explained lung cancer trends in terms of a cohort effect. That means that the birth cohorts of men and women increasingly exposed to tobacco, contributed progressively to the increase of lung cancer age-standardized incidence rate, with some decades of delay, due to the latency of the clinical expression. We have recently analyzed this dynamic in Uruguay using age-period-cohort models, showing similar results. A descend in specific rates in the younger cohorts translates in global trends descend in men. Successive cohorts of women behave just the opposite, with increasing specific rates for the younger compared to the older. The youngest cohorts of women, however, seem to display a specific rates decreasing pattern. Although the small number of cases for this cohorts makes us cautious, if confirmed in the next years could
allow to forecast a soften in the burden of the disease in the future \(^{25,26}\). This prognosis is also supported by the decrease in the prevalence of smokers among young people as was observed in several rounds of the Global Adults Tobacco Survey (GATS) \(^{27,28}\). Those results enables us to be reasonably optimistic regarding a future drop in the ASIR in women as it’s seen in men.

**Prostate cancer**

For at least 15 years, prostate cancer has been the most frequent malignancy diagnosed in Uruguayan men. Time trends showed three different stages. At the begging of the period (2002-2004) age-standardized incidence rate increased. We believe that these are indeed the final years of a longer phase of rate’s growth that began with the massive application of prostate-specific antigen testing. The second phase showed stabilization of the rates that finally decreased since 2011. The latter phases correspond probably to more conservative attitudes of the medical community towards the routine application of prostate-specific antigen testing in healthy men. This trend pattern presenting a peak, a stable period and decrease was also observed in developed countries some decades ago. The SEER for instance has reported in USA a sharp peak in the mid-nineties, followed by a plateau and finally a 6.5% decrease in the rates per year since 2007 \(^{29}\).

**Colorectal cancer**

Colorectal cancer incidence and mortality rates have great variability worldwide, up to ten fold when comparing countries with highest versus lowest human development index, although these rates are increasing in the latter group consistently with life style changes \(^{30}\). Uruguayan rates are in the highest quintile in the world. Colorectal cancer is among the top three cancer sites for both men and women. Time trends analysis shows stabilization in incidence rates for both genders all along the period. Uruguayan population has high prevalence of many risk factors associated with colon cancer risk such as obesity, sedentary lifestyle and high red meat intake \(^{27,28}\). On the other hand, early detection guidelines and screening programs were implemented in order to detect premalignant lesions, but the adherence of the population to those programs is low. It seems essential to keep working on primary and secondary prevention to achieve some decrease in the rates.

**Stomach cancer**

Gastric cancer age-standardized incidence rate in Uruguay decreased for both, men and women. Mortality rates have decreased since 1950 \(^{6}\). Given the high lethality of this malignancy, those decreasing mortality rates surely corresponded to decreasing incidence rates as a result of the massive introduction of domestic refrigerators and changes in food preservation techniques, as well as hygiene improvements. The global decrease of incidence rates in more recent years is probably related to a decrease in the rate of *Helicobacter pylori* infection which is the strongest risk factor for stomach cancer identified to date \(^{31}\). Infection decrease is a consequence of medical awareness and antibiotic treatment rates are expected to continue decreasing as a consequence of preventive measures and healthy lifestyle promotion targeting smoking cessation, reducing salted and preserved food and increasing fresh fruits and vegetables consumption.

**Kidney cancer**

Worldwide, renal cancer is two to three times more frequent in men than in women; age-standardized incidence rate in more developed countries is three times higher than in developing countries. For both, Uruguayan men and women, rates are placed in the higher quintile \(^{1}\). A striking increase in the rates was observed globally, in particular in North America, Europe and some Asian countries \(^{33,35}\). In several populations this was partially attributed to over diagnosis \(^{36}\). In USA, a worrisome increase of the diagnosis of renal cell carcinoma among the age 22 and 39 was detected \(^{37}\).
In Uruguay kidney is among the sites that present the greatest increase in incidence rates. An increase in mortality rates was also described in the country 2. According to European studies; a risk fraction of up to 25% is attributable to obesity and overweight 38, which prevalence increased alarmingly in many countries, including Uruguay 27-28,39.

Urothelial cancers
Incidence rates for Uruguayan men are close to those of USA and Canada, but they double those of the neighboring countries. That difference related to the region was not identified for women 1. age-standardized incidence rate time trends remained stable along the studied period for both men and women. Mortality rates in men decreased slowly but steadily since 1990 (annual percent change: 0.6; CI 95% -1.1,-0.3) 5. Similar trends behavior (incidence increase and mortality decrease) is observed in southern European countries 40,41. A possible explanation could be an increased proportion of non-muscle invasive tumors among incident cases.

Testicular cancer
Although the number of cases is relatively low compared to other sites, the relevance of this malignancy lies in the fact that it affects mainly boys, adolescents and men below the age 50. In Uruguay age-standardized incidence rate steadily increased placing this malignancy among the top ten cancer sites in males in the most recent years. Globally our country is in the highest quintile of incidence, together with many European countries, USA, Canada, Australia and Argentina 1. For many of those countries incidence increased during the second half of the twentieth century 41. This trends persist at the beginning of the XXIst century, although a deceleration was observed particularly in the countries affected by the highest rates such as Denmark and Iceland 41. In several of the most affected countries a decrease in male fertility was observed during the last decades 44,45. Some studies suggest therefore a relation between testicular cancer risk and male infertility 46,47. We know that the Global Fertility Rate in our country is low (1.88 children per woman in 2018) 48 but there are no specific data on males fertility. Expanded access to fertility treatments and the creation in recent years of a database with nationwide data about infertile couples may provide a chance in the future to link this information with cancer incidence data. Other possible line of investigation could be the use of cannabis as risk factor for testicular cancer. Recent evidence suggests that regular and prolonged use of TCH could be a risk factor to develop germ cell tumors, especially the non-seminoma variants 49,50. An increase in Marijuana use was observed in our country in the last two decades; eventually boosted by the Cannabis market regulation since 2015 51.

Esophageal cancer
In Uruguay esophageal cancer age-standardized incidence rate have been historically among the highest of the region, especially for men. A marked descend in age-standardized incidence rate was observed along the study period for men and females.

The most frequent pathological types are squamous cell carcinomas and adenocarcinomas. Both have different etiologies and clinicopathological characteristics 52-54. squamous cell carcinomas generally occurs in younger individuals and in countries with lower levels of development (poorer socio-economic contexts), while adenocarcinomas occurs predominantly in high income populations 52. Globally over 98% of malignant tumors of the esophagus are either squamous cell carcinomas or adenocarcinomas 55, although the proportion of both types is very heterogeneous when different geographical areas are compared. In those areas displaying the highest rates, the most common type is squamous cell carcinomas from the most proximal segments of the organ, while adenocarcinomas of the lower third and gastro-esophageal union is more frequent in areas where age-standardized incidence rate are low. Those patterns reflect the distribution of differential risk factors for each histological.
The most important risk factors for squamous cell carcinomas are the consumption of tobacco and alcohol, as well as the thermal damage caused by the consumption of hot drinks (mainly infusions). Tobacco is also a risk factor for adenocarcinomas as well as overweight and obesity and the presence of gastro-esophageal reflux (52-54,56).

Thermal damage caused by hot drinks has been proposed as an important factor. For example, in Iran, the high incidence and mortality due to esophagus cancer has been attributed to tea consumption at very high temperatures 56. Mate, a traditional infusion based on Ilex paraguayensis, whose consumption is widespread in Argentina, southern Brazil, Uruguay and Paraguay has also been identified as a risk factor for cancer of the esophagus, as well as for other malignant tumors, especially of the upper aero-digestive tract but also for bladder and kidney cancers 57-60. With the exception of Paraguay where Ilex paraguayensis infusion is consumed cold (Tereré), in the rest of the countries mentioned above, it is usually consumed at high temperatures. Several studies, mostly of the case-control type, have shown significantly high risks in heavy consumers 58,60. Two factors have been proposed in the possible mechanism of carcinogenesis by this agent: thermal damage from exposure of mucous membranes at elevated temperatures, and the presence in the infusion of aromatic polycyclic hydrocarbons, in particular Benzopyrene. This problem has been and is currently intensively studied, particularly in our environment both experimentally and epidemiologically 60-62.

In 1991 the IARC, in its monograph on the possible carcinogenic effect of xanthines (coffee, tea, mate, methylxanthines) had ruled out the carcinogenic effect of mate itself (placing it in Group 3: not classifiable in relation to its carcinogenicity in humans) and classified the hot drink mate in group 2A: "probably carcinogenic in humans" 58. A committee of experts from the IARC 63 has recently reviewed the new available evidence and consequently the qualification of mate as a carcinogenic agent, this report emphasizes the role of thermal damage and deepens the pathological and molecular mechanisms involved. However, IARC did not change its classification as a carcinogen.

Squamous cell carcinomas and adenocarcinomas of the oesophagus have different incidence trends. Histological information from many cases especially in the earlier years of the study period is missing. This poses difficulties when time trend analysis discriminated by histological type is performed. However, from the evolution of squamous cell carcinomas/adenocarcinomas rates ratio we infer that the descend in age-standardized incidence rate of esophageal cancer in our country is mainly explained by the descend in age-standardized incidence rate of squamous cell carcinomas, especially in men (unpublished data), similarly to observed data globally 64,65.

Cervix uteri cancer
Because of its close relation to human papilloma virus infection and variables associated with that infection, cervical cancer is strongly linked to social inequity. This illness affects mainly people from low and middle income countries but also the poorest population from high income countries 32. Globally cervical cancer incidence declined during the last 30 years not only in high income countries but also in many low and middle income countries that improved their general life conditions 66. Uruguay has intermediate age-standardized incidence rate in the global context (third quintile). It is the third more frequently diagnosed malignant tumor in women, only surpassed by breast and colon cancer and it holds the fifth place in mortality. age-standardized incidence rate in Uruguay declined steadily since 2005 and 2010. In most recent years (2010-2015) incidence reached a plateau. Meanwhile mortality rates steadily declined since 1998 (annual percent change -1.5) 4.

In Uruguay a Cervical Cancer Prevention Program based on PAP smear testing is running since 1994; but more recently, the Program was enhanced. In 2006 it was expanded nationwide and by this time, as it was described for breast cancer, several strategies to overcome economical and social barriers and enable access to the test were implemented 47. Unlike
breast cancer or other malignancies, treatments for cervical cancer have changed little in the last two decades. We believe that the sustained decrease in mortality support the idea that the incidence stabilization is indeed reflecting earlier detection and probably a lead time bias related to the health policies described above. Since 2009, human papilloma virus vaccination is available in the country and in 2012 the vaccine became free of charge for the girls aged 11 to 12. In 2019 began the vaccination of boys in the same age group. Therefore it seems reasonable to expect a further decrease in incidence rates for the years to come.

Another factor that may be considered when analyzing cervical cancer trends is the number of births per woman since multiparity is associated with risk of cervical precancer an cancer among human papilloma virus infected women. Fertility rates have steadily decreased from 3 in 1970-1975 to 2 in 2010-2015.

Thyroid cancer
This illness used to be until recent years relatively infrequent. In the last decades an unexpected increase in the age-standardized incidence rate worldwide, mainly driven by papillar carcinoma in young women was observed. The underlying cause of the rise of incidence remains unclear. Some unrelated events could be at least part of the explanation. Some researchers point out that papillar thyroid cancer increase was observed in many countries that implemented iodine supplementation. On the other hand, the recent increase in the rates is attributed to the extended use of diagnostic ultrasound. One example is the Republic of Korea where following the implementation of an aggressive screening program age-standardized incidence rate reached 88.6 cases per 100,000 people/year. In Uruguay mortality rates are very low for both genders (0.35 males, 0.31 females for the period 2009-2013), stable in men and decreasing in women (annual percent change 1990-2016: -1.8).

Although a screening program was not implemented, the wide availability of diagnostic ultrasound and most likely a greater awareness of health professionals to this pathology are probably part of the reason that explains the sharp increase in the incidence observed in the study period, more evident in women.

All cancer sites
Age-standardized incidence rate for all cancer sites excluding non-melanoma cancer remains stable all along the study period for both, men and women, although the dynamics are far more complex. In men the decrease of lung cancer incidence was counteracted by the increase of prostate cancer at the beginning of the century. Kidney and urothelial cancer incidence rates have increased while esophageal and stomach cancer rates have decreased. In women, the decline of cervical, esophageal and gastric cancer incidence is partially offset by the increase of thyroid, lung and kidney cancer. Among those, lung cancer is the most worrisome, because of its high lethality.

Conclusions
The analysis of cancer incidence trends provides relevant information to better understand the causes of the disease, evaluate the actions undertaken to reduce its burden and guide new changes to Public health policies, as well changes in lifestyle and health professional practices. It is also intended to guide future research on known or suspected risk factors.

Although the fact of comprising only 14 years imposes certain limitations, this article is intended to provide an initial approach to the understanding of changes in cancer dynamics observed at the beginning of the XXIst century. Other point of interest of this article is to communicate cancer incidence trends from a Latin American country in a national scale, even considering the small population of Uruguay.
As it has been previously stressed, Uruguayan demographic characteristics are probably very different from that of most Latin American countries. Uruguay has started earlier its demographic transition at the regional context. Hence, the characterization of the dynamics of the epidemiological profile, particularly regarding noncommunicable diseases, may be useful to anticipate events and challenges that other countries of the region could experiment in the near future.
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