Extensions of GR using Projective-Invariance

Ahmed Alhamzawi* (alhamzawi49@gmail.com) and Rahim Alhamzawi†
*Department of Mathematics College of Computer Science and Mathematics
Al-Qadisiya University, Iraq
† Al-Qadisiya University, Iraq

Abstract. We show that the unification of electromagnetism and gravity into a single geometrical entity can be beautifully accomplished in a theory with non-symmetric affine connection ($\Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu} \neq \Gamma^\lambda_{\nu\mu}$), and the unifying symmetry being projective symmetry. In addition, we show that in a purely-affine theory where there are no constrains on the symmetry of $\Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu}$, the electromagnetic field can be interpreted as the field that preserves projective-invariance. The matter Lagrangian breaks the projective-invariance, generating classical relativistic gravity and quantum electromagnetism. We notice that, if we associate the electromagnetic field tensor with the second Ricci tensor and $\Gamma^\nu_{[\mu\nu]}$ with the vector potential, then the classical Einstein-Maxwell equation can be obtained. In addition, we explain the geometrical interpretation of projective transformations. Finally, we discuss the importance of the role of projective-invariance in f(R) gravity theories.
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1. Introduction

Classical unified theories are considered well-meaning topics to explore. Historically, they gave physicists a clue for finding a unified field theory since a classical unified theory can be viewed as the classical limit of a quantum unified theory e.g. Maxwell unified theory of electricity and magnetism is the classical limit of Quantum Electrodynamics, therefore knowing how to combine gravity and electromagnetism could give us some insights when quantizing the gravitational field.

In general relativity, the electromagnetic fields and matter fields are considered to be on the side of the matter tensor in the field equations, i.e. they act as sources of the gravitational field. In unified theory, the electromagnetic field must obtains the same geometric status as the gravitational field. A general affine connection not restricted to be symmetric has enough degrees of freedom to make it possible to describe the classical gravitational and electromagnetic fields. The general theory of relativity relates gravitational effects to the curvature of space. The electromagnetic tensor has classically been introduced separately from geometry, the electromagnetic stress energy tensor acting as a source of the gravitational field, thus while gravitation has been expressed as purely geometrical theory, electromagnetism has been coupled to geometry but with the presence of an additional non-geometrical element, the electromagnetic tensor, for its description.

Theories based on projective-invariance work by formulating a Lagrangian that is projectively invariant. However, this Lagrangian doesn’t determine the connection completely because this Lagrangian is invariant under these projective transformations, so we have add to a term that breaks this invariance, such as the second Ricci tensor:

\[ Q_{\mu\nu} = R^\rho_{\mu\nu} = \Gamma^\rho_{\rho\nu\mu} - \Gamma^\rho_{\rho\mu\nu}. \] 

(1)

Under the projective transformation \( \Gamma^\rho_{\rho\nu\mu} \rightarrow \Gamma^\rho_{\rho\nu\mu} + \delta^\rho_\mu \Lambda_\nu \), the tensor \( Q_{\mu\nu} \) changes to \( Q_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow Q_{\mu\nu} + 4(\Lambda_{\nu,\mu} - \Lambda_{\mu,\nu}) \), which breaks the projective-invariance. Another way to break the projective-invariance is to constrain the way the connection enters our lagrangian using a Lagrange multiplier. Imposing constrains on our lagrangian is a more natural way of deriving the field equations and determining the connection completely than adding extra terms to the lagrangian that have no well-meaning physical interpretation.

In the following sections we discuss the different types of projective transformations and show how these transformations can be used to
formulate a purely-affine theory that can incorporate both the gravitational and electromagnetic field in one set of equations. We also discuss the role of projective-invariance in deriving field equations for a lagrangian that is linear in the Ricci scalar and replacing the unphysical constrains on the forms of matter that can enter our lagrangian.

2. Two types of projective transformations

Here we will discuss two types of projective symmetries:

**TYPE: i**

\[
\Gamma^\rho_{\mu\nu} \mapsto \hat{\Gamma}^\rho_{\mu\nu} = \Gamma^\rho_{\mu\nu} + \delta^\rho_\mu \lambda_{\nu},
\]

where \( \lambda \) is some undetermined function.

Under this type of transformation the curvature tensor
\[
R^\rho_{\mu\nu\sigma} = \Gamma^\rho_{\mu\nu,\sigma} + \Gamma^\rho_{\mu\sigma,\nu} + \Gamma^\kappa_{\mu\nu} \Gamma^\rho_{\kappa\sigma} - \Gamma^\kappa_{\mu\sigma} \Gamma^\rho_{\kappa\nu}
\]
is invariant.

Consider the invariant Einstein-Hilbert (Feynman, 2003) Lagrangian density:

\[
L_g = -\frac{1}{2\kappa} R_{\mu\nu} g^{\mu\nu},
\]

where \( \kappa = 8\pi G(c = 1), g^{\mu\nu} = \sqrt{-g} g^{\mu\nu} \) (the fundamental tensor density) and \( g = \det(g_{\mu\nu}) \). The total Lagrangian density for gravitational and matter fields is given by \( \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_g + \mathcal{L}_m \). Consequently, a theory characterized by \( R_{\mu\nu} \) cannot determine the \( \Gamma \)-field but only up to an arbitrary function \( \lambda \) hence in this theory \( \Gamma^\rho_{\mu\nu} \) and \( \hat{\Gamma}^\rho_{\mu\nu} \) represent the same field, but this \( \lambda \)-transformation produces a non-symmetric \( \hat{\Gamma} \)-field form a symmetric \( \Gamma \)-field, hence the symmetry condition for the \( \Gamma \)-field loses objective significance. To make the calculation easier, we replace \( R_{\mu\nu} \) by the transposition invariant tensor \( E_{\mu\nu} \):

\[
E_{\mu\nu} = \Gamma^\sigma_{\mu\nu,\sigma} + \frac{\Gamma^\sigma_{\mu\sigma,\nu}}{2} + \Gamma^\kappa_{\mu\nu} \Gamma^\sigma_{\kappa\sigma} - \Gamma^\kappa_{\mu\sigma} \Gamma^\sigma_{\kappa\nu},
\]

Similar to \( R_{\mu\nu} \), \( E_{\mu\nu} \) is also invariant under this type of transformation. Separating the symmetric and antisymmetric parts of \( E_{\mu\nu} \) and varying the lagrangian with respect to the symmetric and antisymmetric parts of the metric. We get the field equations

\[
R_{\mu\nu} - G^\lambda_{\mu\lambda} G^\kappa_{\lambda\nu} + \frac{1}{3} W_{\mu} W_{\nu} + \Gamma^\lambda_{(\mu\nu)} W_\lambda = 0
\]

\[
G^\lambda_{\mu\nu,\lambda} - \frac{1}{3} (W_{\mu,\nu} - W_{\nu,\mu}) = 0,
\]
where
\[ W_\mu = \frac{1}{2}(\Gamma^\nu_{\mu\nu} - \Gamma^\nu_{\nu\mu}) \] (7)
\[ G^\lambda_{\mu\nu} = \Gamma^\lambda_{[\mu\nu]} + \frac{1}{3}\delta^\lambda_\mu W_\nu - \frac{1}{3}\delta^\lambda_\nu W_\mu, \] (8)
and \( G^\lambda_{\mu\nu;\lambda} \) is the covariant derivative with respect to the symmetric \( \Gamma^\lambda_{(\mu\nu)} \). Notice that \( W_\mu \) is the common source for (5) and (6), however in a theory where \( W_\mu \) vanishes like Einstein’s theory of gravitation, the two equations have no common source, therefore it would be impossible to determine whether these two equations came from the variation of the same lagrangian. It seems natural to think that this symmetry if exists may of broken in the early universe when matter emerged from the radiation universe. As a result, now we have two separate fields of gravitation and electromagnetism. The symmetry of the connection is a characteristic of Riemann geometry and Einstein’s theory of gravitation. However, for a theory that consists of the electromagnetic field too, the condition of symmetry can be relaxed. We can identify \( W_\mu \) with the electromagnetic vector potential \( A_\mu \).

We can determine the equations of the connection with a Lagrange multiplier \( B^\mu \) multiplied by \( G^\lambda_{\mu\lambda} \), such that (S.N. Bose, 1953)
\[ \delta \int d^4x (\kappa L - 2B^\mu G^\lambda_{\mu\nu}) = 0. \] (9)
We obtain the following equation for the connection
\[ g^{[\mu\nu]}_{\mu\nu} = \sqrt{|g|}(g^{(\mu\rho)}\Gamma^\rho_\nu + \frac{3}{2}g^{(\sigma\lambda)}\Gamma^\mu_{(\sigma\lambda)}) \] (10)
and
\[ B^\mu_{\mu} = 0. \] (11)
However, if we use a different Lagrange multiplier with constrain \( S_\mu = 0 \), where \( S_\mu \) is the trace of the torsion tensor, such that
\[ \delta S = \int d^4x (L - g^{[\mu\nu]}B^\rho_{\mu\nu}S_\rho) = 0, \] (12)
then, its easy to show that
\[ \lambda_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{3}(\Gamma^\mu_{\mu\nu} - \Gamma^\nu_{\nu\mu}). \] (13)

**TYPE: II**
\[ \Gamma^\rho_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \hat{\Gamma}^\rho_{\mu\nu} = \Gamma^\rho_{\mu\nu} + \delta^\rho_\mu A_\nu \] (14)
Under this transformation the Ricci scalar remains invariant (i.e. the gravitation action $\frac{1}{2\kappa}\int d^4x \sqrt{-g} R$ is projectively invariant). The simplest lagrangian density to adopt in an affine field theory is the square root of the ricci tensor. The condition for a lagrangian density to be covariant is that it must be a product of a scalar and the square root of the determinant of a covariant tensor. It is easy to show that this leads to reasonable result. Consider the lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{2}{\Lambda} \sqrt{-R_{\mu\nu}}, \quad (15)$$

By varying the Ricci tensor using the Platini formula (Schrödinger, 1945; Schrödinger, 1948) and using the definition of the fundamental tensor $g_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \Gamma^\rho_{\mu\nu}}$, the variation of (15) yields (Schrödinger, 1945)

$$\delta S = \int d^4x (\sqrt{-g} V^\mu) ;_{\mu} = 2 \int d^4x (\sqrt{-g} S^\mu V^\mu) \quad (17)$$

For an arbitrary variation $\delta \Gamma^\rho_{\mu\nu}$ this gives:

$$\bar{g}^\mu_{\rho\nu} - \bar{g}^\mu_{\sigma\nu} \delta^\rho_{\sigma} - 2\bar{g}^\mu_{\nu\sigma} S^\rho_{\nu} + 2\bar{g}^\mu_{\rho\sigma} S^\nu_{\nu} + 2\bar{g}^\mu_{\nu\rho} S^\nu_{\nu} = 0. \quad (18)$$

Under a transformation of Type II where $\Lambda_{\nu}$ is replaced by $\frac{2}{3} W_{\nu}$, this becomes

$$g^\mu_{\rho} + g^\sigma_{\nu} \bar{\Gamma}^\mu_{\rho\sigma} + \bar{g}^\mu_{\rho\sigma} \bar{\Gamma}^\nu_{\rho\sigma} - \frac{1}{2}(\bar{\Gamma}^\sigma_{\rho\sigma} + \bar{\Gamma}^\sigma_{\sigma\rho}) g^\mu_{\nu} = 0 \quad (19)$$

$$\bar{g}^{[\mu\nu]}_{\nu} - \frac{1}{2}(\bar{\Gamma}^\rho_{\rho\nu} - \bar{\Gamma}^\rho_{\nu\rho}) g^{(\mu\nu)} = 0 \quad (20)$$

By using the definition of $\bar{\Gamma}^\rho_{\nu\mu}$ (i.e. $\bar{\Gamma}^\rho_{\nu\mu} = \bar{\Gamma}^\rho_{\mu\nu}$) and contracting (19) with respect to $(\mu, \rho)$ then with respect to $(\nu, \rho)$ and subtracting the two resulting equations, we get our first field equation

$$g^{[\mu\nu]}_{\nu} = 0. \quad (21)$$
Although equation (19) is not the covariant derivative of $g^{\mu\nu}$ with respect to $\hat{\Gamma}$, the reversal of the order of indices in the third term allows us to determine $\hat{\Gamma}$ uniquely. In addition, with the condition ($\hat{\Gamma}^{\rho}_{\nu\rho} = \hat{\Gamma}^{\rho}_{\rho\nu}$), $\hat{\Gamma}$ is reduced from 64 to 60 independent components. By using the identity (17) and $g_{\mu\nu}g^{\mu\nu} = 4$, one can show that

$$\hat{\Gamma}^\sigma_{\alpha\sigma} = \frac{\partial \log(\sqrt{-g})}{x_\alpha}$$

(22)

Under the transformation $\Gamma^{\rho}_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \Gamma^{\rho}_{\mu\nu} + \frac{2}{3}\delta^{\rho}_{\mu} W_{\nu}$, the Ricci tensor transforms like $R_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow R_{\mu\nu} + \frac{2}{3}(W_{\mu,\nu} - W_{\nu,\mu})$. Again, if we identify $W_{\nu}$ with vector potential $A_{\nu}$ and $\hat{W}_{\mu,\nu} - W_{\nu,\mu}$ with the electromagnetic field tensor $F_{\mu\nu}$, we can easily see how these two types of projective symmetries offer an attractive way of unifying the classical electromagnetic equations with general relativistic equations.

3. Unified theory from projective-invariance

It has been shown in (Ferraris et al., 1982) that the standard Einstein General Relativity is equivalent to a theory where the following two constrains are imposed: (i) The connection $\Gamma$ is torsionless (i.e $\Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\mu} = \Gamma^\alpha_{\mu\beta}$) (ii) The lagrangian density depends only on the symmetric part of the Riemann tensor $R_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}(R^\alpha_{\mu\alpha\nu} - R^\alpha_{\nu\alpha\mu})$. A natural question arises concerning the unification of the different physical interactions of nature: Whether it is possible to describe the physical interaction of nature within the same framework? It turns out that a unification of gravity with other physical interaction may be obtained in this context if and only if the constrains (i) and/or (ii) are relaxed. Here we will consider a lagrangian density based on a non-symmetric connection and the second Ricci tensor $Q_{\nu\mu} = R^\alpha_{\nu\mu\alpha}$ with electromagnetic tensor. If we consider the action $S = \int d^4x L_s(L, R, Q)$, the variation of this action gives:

$$\delta S = \int d^4x L_s(L, R, Q)$$

$$= \delta \int d^4x \left( \frac{\partial L_s}{\partial R_{\mu\nu}} \delta R_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\partial L_s}{\partial Q_{\mu\nu}} \delta Q_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\partial L_s}{\partial \Gamma^\rho_{\mu\nu}} \delta \Gamma^\rho_{\mu\nu} \right).$$

(23)

Using the equation $\delta R_{\mu\nu} = \delta \Gamma^\rho_{\mu\nu,\rho} - \delta \Gamma^\rho_{\rho\mu\nu} - 2S^\rho_{\mu\nu} \delta \Gamma^\rho_{\mu\nu}$, the identity (17) and the principle of least action, equation (18) changes to:

$$g^{\mu\nu} - g^{\rho\sigma} \delta^\rho_{\mu} - 2g^{\mu\nu} S_{\rho} + 2g^{\mu\sigma} S^{\sigma}_{\rho} \delta^\nu_{\rho} + 2g^{\mu\sigma} S^{\nu}_{\rho\sigma}$$

$$= 2M^{\mu\nu,\rho} \delta^\rho_{\mu} + N^{\mu\nu}_{\rho},$$

(24)
where
\[ N^\mu_\rho = \frac{\partial L_s}{\partial \Gamma^\rho_{\mu\nu}}, \] (25)
\[ M^{\mu\nu} = \frac{\partial L_s}{\partial Q_{\mu\nu}}. \] (26)

This equation can be further simplified to
\[ g_{\mu\nu} + \hat{\Gamma}^\rho_{\mu\rho} g^{\sigma\nu} + \hat{\Gamma}^\rho_{\nu\rho} g^{\mu\sigma} - \hat{\Gamma}^\sigma_{\rho\mu} g^{\mu\nu} = N^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{3} N^{\mu\sigma} \delta^\rho_\sigma + 2 M^{\nu\sigma} \delta^\rho_\sigma - \frac{2}{3} M^{\mu\sigma} \delta^\rho_\sigma, \]
where \( \hat{\Gamma}^\rho_{\mu\nu} = \Gamma^\rho_{\mu\sigma} + \frac{2}{3} \delta^\rho_\sigma S_{\mu}. \) Contracting the indices \( \mu \) and \( \rho \) and assuming that the metric tensor is symmetric (i.e. \( \frac{\partial L_s}{\partial R_{\mu\nu}} = 0 \)) we obtain
\[ M^{\sigma\nu,\sigma} = \frac{1}{8} N^{\sigma\nu} \] (27)

Although the symmetric Ricci tensor \( R_{(\mu\nu)} \) and \( g^{\mu\nu} \) are invariant under a transformation of Type II, the second Ricci tensor changes according to \( Q_{\mu\nu} \mapsto Q_{\mu\nu} + 4(\Lambda_{\nu,\mu} - \Lambda_{\mu,\nu}) \). However, the total action \( \int d^4x (8 M^{\sigma\nu,\sigma} + N^{\sigma\nu}) \delta V_\mu \) is projectively invariant. If we use the anti-symmetry of \( M^{\sigma\nu} \) and associate \( M^{\sigma\nu,\sigma} \) with the electromagnetic vector density \( j^\nu \) and notice the conservation of this vector density \( j_\mu^\nu = 0 \), then we can interpret the electromagnetic field in this theory as the field that preserves the projective-invariance of this lagrangian.

4. Geometrical point of view

According to the theory of special relativity, light has a constant velocity of propagation. If a light ray travels from point \((x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)\) to \((x_1 + dx_1, x_2 + dx_2, x_3 + dx_3, x_4 + dx_4)\), then we can write the relation
\[ dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + dx_3^2 - c^2 dx_4^2 = 0 \] (28)
or, more generally
\[ \sum_{\mu\nu} g_{\mu\nu} dx_\mu dx_\nu = 0 \] (29)
where \( g_{\mu\nu} \) transforms in a definite way if a certain continuous coordinate transformation is applied. Mathematically speaking, they are the components of a tensor with a property of symmetry (i.e. \( g_{\mu\nu} = g_{\nu\mu} \)). However, when considering extensions of gravity we must relax this
condition of symmetry, but then generally (28) doesn’t hold. A more
general option is to consider $\Gamma^\rho_{\mu\nu}$ to be a more fundamental object
and consider a transformation that preserves the symmetry of $g_{\mu\nu}$ and
breaks the symmetry of $\Gamma^\rho_{\mu\nu}$ with respect to permutations of lower
indices.

The desire to eliminate the difference in the geometrical interpreta-
tions between the gravitational and electromagnetical fields has per-
haps been one of the main motivation for looking for a generaliza-
tion of General Relativity. Most of these attempts have involved a
generalization of riemannian geometry.

General Relativity assumes the torsion-free condition. However, if
we define a projective transformation as a transformation preformed
on the torsion tensor (i.e $S^\rho_{\mu\nu} = 0 \neq \hat{S}^\rho_{\mu\nu}$), then we can interpret a pro-
jective transformation as the transformation that relaxes the condition
of symmetry for the connection (Hehl, 1973).

If we consider (23) again and restrict the torsion tensor to be traceless
($S_\mu = 0$), then (27) become a stronger condition on how the lagrangian
dependent on the condition. This condition enters the Lagrangian den-
sity as Lagrange multiplier term $-\frac{1}{2}D^\mu S_\mu$ where the Lagrange mul-
tiplier $D^\mu$ is a vector density. Consequently, equation (27) becomes
$M^{\sigma\nu}_\sigma = \frac{1}{8}N^{\sigma\nu}_\sigma - \frac{3}{16}D^\nu$. Setting $N^{\sigma\nu}_\sigma = \frac{3}{2}D^\nu$ yields the wave equation
$M^{\sigma\nu}_\sigma = 0$. However imposing this condition, $j_\mu$ need not be con-
served (Hehl, 1985). Therefore relaxing the condition of symmetry and
letting the action depend on $Q_{\mu\nu}$ gives a more suitable condition for
unifying gravitation and electromagnetism than imposing $S_\mu = 0$.

5. $f(R)$ gravity and projective-invariance

$f(R)$ gravity is a family of theories that try to modify or general-
ize Einstein’s General theory of relativity, each defined with a differ-
ent function of the Ricci scalar. The simplest of these theories is the
Einstein-Hilbert action where the function is equal to the Ricci scalar.
$f(R)$ gravity can be used to produce a wide range of phenomena by
adopting different functions (Sotiriou, 2006). Recently these theories
have been used extensively to attack the problem of dark energy, since
this phenomena is not predicted by General relativity. Historically,
$f(R)$ gravity was born to explain the simplicity of the gravitational
action and whether its possible to modify this action to include enough
information about the current structure of the universe.
The action
\[ S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} f(R) \] (30)
is projectively invariant under the projective transformation \( \Gamma^\rho_{\mu\nu} \mapsto \Gamma^\rho_{\mu\nu} + \delta^\rho_{\mu} \Lambda_\nu \) (i.e. \( \hat{R} = R \)). Consequently, to be able to derive a consistent field equations we must find a way to break this invariance. One way to break this invariance is add terms to the action that are not projectively invariant, such as the homothetic curvature \( Q_{\mu\nu} \), another way is to constrain the connection to be symmetric (i.e, \( \Gamma^\rho_{[\mu\nu]} = 0 \)).

Here we will consider the metric-affine formalism. Similar to the Platini formulism, metric-affine formalism considers the metric and the connection to be independent variables. However, the Platini formulism differs in the fact that it demands the matter action to be independent of the connection. The variation of the gravitational action gives
\begin{align*}
\delta S_g &= \frac{1}{2\kappa} \int d^4x \delta(\sqrt{-g} f(R)) \\
&= \frac{1}{2\kappa} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} f'(R) R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} f(R) g_{\mu\nu} \delta g^{\mu\nu} + \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2\kappa} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} f'(R) g^{\mu\nu} \delta R_{\mu\nu}.
\end{align*}

If we allow the matter action to depend on the connection, then the variation of the matter action with respect to the metric and the connection gives
\[ \delta S_m = \int d^4x (\frac{\partial S_m}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} \delta g^{\mu\nu} + \frac{\partial S_m}{\partial \Gamma^\rho_{\mu\nu}} \delta \Gamma^\rho_{\mu\nu}). \] (32)

After taking the trace on \( \mu \) and \( \lambda \) of equations (31) and (32), we get
\[ \frac{-2\kappa}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta S_m}{\delta \Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu}} = 0. \] (33)

This constrains how the connection enters the matter action. Consequently, the forms of matter that enter our matter action are restricted, which generally leads to inconsistencies. Therefore, its clear that to get rid of these inconsistencies, the form of (30) must be modified. One way to avoid these inconsistencies is to add extra terms to (30). However, this procedure takes us away from our objective for explaining the simplicity of the gravitational action when considering \( f(R) \) gravity theories. It turns out that a more attractive way to overcome this
problem is to reconsider the property of projective-invariance of the gravitational action. Breaking the projective-invariance in this case allows to constrain the degrees of freedom and determine the way the connection enters out action. We can break the projective-invariance by adding a second term to the total action \(\int d^4x Z^\mu S_\mu = 0\), where \(Z^\mu\) is a Lagrange multiplier. After some tedious calculations we get

\[
Z^\mu = -\frac{4}{3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta S_m}{\delta F^\mu_{\lambda\rho}},
\]

and the resulting field equations are (Sotiriou, 2010)

\[
S^\sigma_{\mu\sigma} = 0,
\]

\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} (N^\mu_{\lambda,\chi} - N^\mu_{\sigma,\delta} \delta^\chi_{\lambda}) + 2 \frac{N^\mu_{\sigma}}{\sqrt{-g}} S^\nu_\sigma = \kappa (\Delta^\mu_{\lambda} - \frac{1}{3} (\Delta^\sigma_{\nu} \delta^\mu_{\lambda} - \Delta^\sigma_{\mu} \delta^\nu_{\lambda})),
\]

where \(\Delta^\mu_{\lambda} = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta S_m}{\delta F^\mu_{\lambda\rho}}\) and \(N^\mu_{\nu} = \sqrt{-g} f'(R) g^\mu_{\nu}\).

Another way of breaking the projective-invariance is by imposing the condition \(N_{\nu} = 0\), where \(N_{\nu} = g^{\rho\sigma} g_{\nu\sigma,\nu}\). The resulting field equations are

\[
N_{\nu} = 0,
\]

\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} (N^\mu_{\nu,\lambda} - N^\mu_{\sigma,\delta} \delta^\nu_{\lambda}) + 2 f'(R) g^\mu_{\xi} (S^\sigma_{\lambda\rho} \delta^\nu_{\xi} - S^\sigma_{\xi\rho} \delta^\nu_{\lambda}) = \kappa (\Delta^\mu_{\lambda} - \frac{1}{4} Z^\nu \delta^\mu_{\lambda})).
\]

The trace of (37) gives \(Z^\mu = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta S_m}{\delta F^\mu_{\lambda\rho}}\).

Therefore, we have shown what \(Z^\mu\) needs to be to solve these inconsistencies. It is clear that the procedure of projective-invariance presents an elegant way of solving these inconsistencies. However, as promising as this looks it lacks an element of generality. It easy to see that if we choose a different action (for example, a matter action linear in the connection), then this procedure is only valid if \(f(R)\) is linear in \(R\). However, if we are working with lagrangian that is linear in \(R\), then the procedure of projective-invariance seems to be a natural way to use to derive the field equations.
6. Conclusions

In this paper we have shown that from the classical point of view of relativity field theory, a unification of the gravitational and electromagnetic fields can be beautifully achieved using a projective transformation. Moreover, in a theory where there are no constraints on the symmetry of the connection, if we associate $W_\mu$ with the electromagnetic vector potential $A_\mu$, then with a projective transformation of Type II, we can achieve the Einstein-Maxwell equations. We also presented a geometrical point of view of the procedure of projective-invariance and demonstrated how imposing $S_\mu = 0$ prevents the conservation of $j_\mu$. We also presented an interpretation of the role of the electromagnetic field in preserving projective transformations. Finally, we showed how the procedure of projective-invariance plays an important role in f(R) gravity theories, especially when deriving the field equations. The procedure of projective-invariance replaces the constraints on the forms of matter that enter our lagrangian with a more elegant looking field equations.
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