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Effect of Biofumigation with Plant Extracts on Mycelial Growth and Sclerotial Germination of *Rhizoctonia solani* Causing Collar Rot and Web Blight of Cowpea
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A B S T R A C T

Plants synthesize secondary metabolites and some of them as well as their derivatives have antimicrobial activity such as alkaloids, flavonoids, isoflavonoids, tannins, coumarins, glucosides, terpenes and phenolic compounds. An attempt was made to evaluate the *in vitro* antifungal and biofumigant nature of ten different plants on suppression of mycelial growth of *R. solani*. Among these plant extracts at a concentration of 12.5%, total inhibition of the pathogen was obtained on PDA incorporated with leaf extracts of cabbage and garlic creeper. The extracts of leaves of sweet potato (37.44%) and radish (30.00%) also gave significant suppression. Under *in vitro* evaluation of the biofumigant nature of plants, complete suppression of the pathogen was obtained on treatment with cabbage, cassava, garlic creeper and mustard. This was followed by radish, moringa, neem and lemon grass which gave suppression of 87.80%, 83.30%, 77.80% and 76.67%, respectively. Among the plants, cabbage, garlic creeper and mustard were found to be very effective biofumigants and caused 100% suppression on mycelial regeneration from sclerotia 24 h after exposure to the treatment. After a period of two weeks of biofumigation with cassava 100% suppression on sclerotic growth was noticed. Biofumigation with leaves of other plants such as sweet potato, moringa, papaya, neem and lemon grass, which are widely grown in the state afforded suppression of 81.85, 81.11, 79.63, 77.04 and 76.67%, respectively one month after exposure to the treatment. In general, biofumigation with plants exerted suppression of mycelial regeneration from sclerotia. The extent of suppression was found to increase with increase in period of incubation with biofumigants.
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Introduction

Cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp] is remunerative crop grown for its immature pods used as vegetable. Cowpea is susceptible to an array of pathogens which can result in substantial loss in yield. Among the fungal diseases collar rot caused by *Rhizoctonia solani* is a major concern. *R. solani* survives in soil as sclerotia which are compressed mycelia that enable it to persist in soil for long periods in the absence of host plant (Upamanyu *et al.*, 2002). The primary spread of infection starts from sclerotia. The perfect stage of the
The pathogen is a basidiomycete fungus that never formed conidia and produced only basidiospores (Agrios, 1997). The hyphae and sclerotia were produced by the imperfect stage, *R. solani*, whereas, basidiospores were formed by the perfect stage in soil (Tiwari and Khare, 2002). The longevity of sclerotia is variable, and influenced by many factors including the time and depth of burial and soil type (Duncan et al., 2006). Under favourable conditions, a large proportion of sclerotia can survive at least 3 years in the soil (Cosic et al., 2012).

Considering the soil borne nature, survival mechanisms and wide host range, the management of the disease is difficult, though possible through several cultural, biological or chemical means (Sindhan et al., 1999; Rodrigues et al., 2001; Kumar et al., 2014; Patel et al., 2014; KAU, 2016). However, as with all endemic soilborne pathogens, attempts to reduce the soil inoculum reserves through crop rotation, soil solarisation or soil fumigation have been found to offer better results. The former two methods are time consuming, whereas, the latter involves the use of hazardous chemicals. As an ecofriendly alternative to the latter, biofumigation using plants or products derived from them could be tested for their usefulness for soilborne pathogen eradication.

Soil biofumigation is an emerging ecofriendly strategy for reducing the soilborne plant pathogens such as *R. solani*. The research in this direction has gained momentum with the ban imposed on the use of methyl bromide and other chemical fumigants owing to the damage caused through ozone depletion and carcinogenic effects (Prasad et al., 2016). The term biofumigation was originally coined by Kirkegaard in 1993. Biofumigation is the process of growing, macerating / incorporating certain *Brassica* or related species into the soil leading to the release of the endogenous enzyme, myrosinase that hydrolyzed glucosinolates (GSLs) to isothiocyanate compounds (ITCs) (Kirkegaard et al., 1993). Sarwar et al., (1998) suggested that propenyl ITC and 2-phenyl–ethyl ITC, from *Brassica* sp. suppressed soilborne pathogens such as *R. solani*, *F. graminearum*, and *Gueauanomyces graminis tritici*. Matthiessen and Kirkegaard (2006) reported that the volatile principle, ITCs in *Brassica* sp. resembled methyl isothiocyanate, the active component of several chemical fumigants, and therefore, they were identified to be suitable alternatives to chemical fumigants. Redovnikovic et al. (2008) detailed GSLs as secondary metabolites with sulphur and nitrogen groups.

### Materials and Methods

Cowpea plants showing typical collar rot symptom were collected from the Instructional Farm of College of Agriculture, Vellayani, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala state during Kharif 2016. The infected portions were first washed in running water and cut into small bits, surface sterilized with 0.1% mercuric chloride (HgCl₂) solution for one min. followed by two successive washings in sterile water, and then transferred into sterile petriplates containing potato dextrose agar (PDA) medium under aseptic conditions. These petri plates were sealed with parafilm and incubated at room temperature (28±1°C) for the development of fungal growth. The culture thus obtained was purified by hyphal tip method on PDA slants. The pathogenicity tests were performed and the causal agent was identified based on morphological and cultural characteristics. The antifungal nature of different plant tissues against *R. solani* was tested by poisoned food technique (Nene and Thapliyal, 1993). One hundred gram fresh leaf tissues of eleven different plants (*viz.* cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* var. capitata L.), cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz), garlic creeper...
(Mansoa alliacea Lam.), lemon grass (Cymbopogon flexuosus [Nee ex Steudel] J.F. Watson), moringa (Moringa oleifera Lam.), mustard (Brassica juncea L.), neem (Azadirachta indica A. Juss.), papaya (Carica papaya Linn.), radish (Raphanus sativus L.), sweet potato (Ipomoea batatas (L.) Lam.) known to contain biocidal compounds were collected, washed and drained to remove excess water. The extracts were prepared as per Paul and Sharma (2002). These plants were ground in 100 ml of sterile water, filtered through muslin cloth and filtrate obtained was centrifuged at 6000 rpm for 25 min. The supernatant filtered through Whatman no. 42 filter paper was collected in screw capped bottle, and 2.5 ml of each of the concentrated leaf extract was diluted with 7.5ml sterile water and finally 10 ml diluted volume of leaf extract was added to equal volume of sterilized double strength PDA medium taken in conical flask and the amended medium was poured into petri plates to get a concentration of 12.5% of the plant extract. 5 mm diameter mycelial disc was taken from 7 day old culture of R. solani and placed at the centre of petriplate. The un-amended PDA medium inoculated with pathogen served as the control. Percentage inhibition in colony growth was recorded as per Vincent (1947).

Biofumigant nature of test plants was determined by the method of Charron and Sams (1999). Leaves of test plants were macerated using mortar and pestle. Bases of two equal sized sterilized Petri plates were taken. 2.5 g of the macerated tissue was placed at the centre of the one kept at the bottom. The sterile molten PDA was poured into other lid and this was inoculated with 5 mm mycelial disc cut from 7 day old R. solani culture grown on PDA and was inverted over the other plate containing the macerated plant tissue. The adjoining portions of two petriplates were sealed with parafilm. The paired plates with upper inverted plate carrying PDA and inoculated pathogen alone at the basal plate served as control. Percentage suppression of the pathogen over control was calculated as already mentioned. The effect of biofumigation on mycelial regeneration from sclerotia was tested in confined containers under in vitro with those plants described already (50 g kg⁻¹ soil). The methodology was given by Dhingra et al., (2013). Garden soil was collected in polypropylene covers and sterilized at 1.02 kg cm⁻² for 1 h (Plate 1a). Sclerotia were taken from seven day old cultures of R. solani and kept in sterilized muslin cloth bags at the rate three per bag under aseptic conditions (Plate 1b). The plastic container of capacity 1 L was selected and its half portion was filled with sterilized garden soil. Biofumigant such as macerated fresh plant tissue (50 g kg⁻¹ soil)/ plant oil (5% soil drench)/ oil cake (10 g kg⁻¹ soil) was incorporated into the soil filled in plastic container and over that after sprinkling sterile water another layer of sterilized garden soil was given. Four muslin cloth bags were added per container and plastic container was closed with its lid (Plate 1c). The effect of volatiles from biofumigators on mycelial re-generation from sclerotia was assessed by inoculating treated sclerotia on PDA at intervals of 24 h, one week, two weeks and one month. The confined container with inoculum and without biofumigant served as control. The percentage suppression of the pathogen over control was calculated using the formula described by Vincent (1947).

Results and Discussion

The results (Table 1) indicated that the extracts of the different plants showed significant differences in suppression of R. solani.
Table.1 Effect of antifungal nature of plants on *R. solani* under *in vitro* conditions

| Plants         | Mycelial growth* (cm) | % Inhibition          |
|----------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| P1 (Cabbage)   | 0.00                   | 100.00<sup>a</sup> (89.04) |
| P2 (Cassava)   | 9.00                   | 0.00<sup>e</sup> (0.96)   |
| P3 (Garlic creeper) | 0.00                   | 100.00<sup>a</sup> (89.04) |
| P4 (Lemongrass) | 9.00                   | 0.00<sup>e</sup> (0.96)   |
| P5 (Moringa)   | 9.00                   | 0.00<sup>e</sup> (0.96)   |
| P6 (Mustard)   | 8.53                   | 5.22<sup>d</sup> (13.07)  |
| P7 (Neem)      | 7.50                   | 16.67<sup>c</sup> (24.09) |
| P8 (Papaya)    | 9.00                   | 0.00<sup>e</sup> (0.96)   |
| P9 (Radish)    | 6.30                   | 30.00<sup>b</sup> (32.97) |
| P10 (Sweet potato) | 5.63                   | 37.44<sup>b</sup> (39.80) |
| Control        | 9.00                   | -                     |

(Figures given in the parenthesis are transformed values).  
*Mean of three replications. Means followed by a common letter(s) are not significantly different by one- way ANOVA at P = 0.05)

Table.2 Biofumigant effect of plants on *R. solani* under *in vitro* conditions

| Plants         | Mycelial growth* (cm) | % Inhibition          |
|----------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| P1 (Cabbage)   | 0.00                   | 100.00<sup>a</sup> (89.04) |
| P2 (Cassava)   | 0.00                   | 100.00<sup>a</sup> (89.04) |
| P3 (Garlic creeper) | 0.00                   | 100.00<sup>a</sup> (89.04) |
| P4 (Lemongrass) | 2.10                   | 76.67<sup>d</sup> (61.59) |
| P5 (Moringa)   | 1.50                   | 83.30<sup>c</sup> (66.18) |
| P6 (Mustard)   | 0.00                   | 100.00<sup>a</sup> (89.04) |
| P7 (Neem)      | 2.00                   | 77.80<sup>d</sup> (61.89) |
| P8 (Papaya)    | 6.50                   | 27.78<sup>f</sup> (31.73) |
| P9 (Radish)    | 1.10                   | 87.80<sup>b</sup> (69.56) |
| P10 (Sweet potato) | 4.30                   | 52.20<sup>c</sup> (46.28) |
| Control        | 9.00                   | -                     |

(Figures given in the parenthesis are transformed values).  
*Mean of three replications. Means followed by a common letter(s) are not significantly different by one- way ANOVA at P = 0.05)
Table 3 Effect of soil biofumigation with selected plants under *in vitro* in confined containers on mycelial regeneration from sclerotia of *R. solani* (% suppression of mycelial regeneration from sclerotia)

| Plants | Mycelial regeneration from sclerotia of *R. solani* |
|--------|--------------------------------------------------|
|        | After 24 h | After one week | After two weeks | After one month |
| P1     | 100.00<sup>a</sup> (89.04) | 100.00<sup>a</sup> (89.04) | 100.00<sup>a</sup> (89.04) | 100.00<sup>a</sup> (89.04) |
| P2     | 28.56<sup>b</sup> (36.47) | 61.48<sup>c</sup> (51.66) | 100.00<sup>a</sup> (89.04) | 100.00<sup>a</sup> (89.04) |
| P3     | 100.00<sup>a</sup> (89.04) | 100.00<sup>a</sup> (89.04) | 100.00<sup>a</sup> (89.04) | 100.00<sup>a</sup> (89.04) |
| P4     | 44.11<sup>b</sup> (30.685) | 44.11<sup>e</sup> (41.60) | 77.40<sup>de</sup> (61.64) | 76.67<sup>d</sup> (61.13) |
| P5     | 0.00<sup>d</sup> (0.96) | 53.70<sup>d</sup> (47.12) | 77.04<sup>e</sup> (61.37) | 81.11<sup>b</sup> (64.25) |
| P6     | 100.00<sup>a</sup> (89.04) | 100.00<sup>a</sup> (89.04) | 100.00<sup>a</sup> (89.04) | 100.00<sup>a</sup> (89.04) |
| P7     | 12.78<sup>c</sup> (12.95) | 75.56<sup>b</sup> (60.39) | 80.00<sup>cd</sup> (63.47) | 77.04<sup>d</sup> (61.40) |
| P8     | 16.33<sup>c</sup> (15.44) | 55.56<sup>d</sup> (46.71) | 80.37<sup>c</sup> (63.74) | 79.63<sup>bc</sup> (63.18) |
| P9     | 36.33<sup>b</sup> (36.72) | 42.59<sup>e</sup> (40.73) | 77.41<sup>de</sup> (61.62) | 77.22<sup>cd</sup> (61.62) |
| P10    | 0.00<sup>d</sup> (0.96) | 44.44<sup>e</sup> (40.730) | 84.80<sup>b</sup> (67.08) | 81.85<sup>b</sup> (64.79) |
| C      | 0.00<sup>d</sup> (0.96) | 0.00<sup>d</sup> (0.96) | 0.00<sup>d</sup> (0.96) | 0.00<sup>d</sup> (0.96) |
| SE m (±) | 2.26    | 0.67    | 0.57    | 0.45    |
| CD (0.05) | 3.02    | 2.44    | 2.07    | 1.64    |

Means followed by a common letter(s) are not significantly different by one-way ANOVA at P = 0.05. Figures in the parenthesis are transformed values.

P1 (cabbage), P2 (cassava), P3 (garlic creeper), P4 (lemon grass), P5 (moringa), P6 (mustard), P7 (neem), P8 (papaya), P9 (radish), P10 (sweet potato), C (Control)
Plate 1 a-c Bio-fumigation in confined condition

a. Sterilized soil

b. Muslin cloth bag carrying sclerotia

c. Confined container
Plate 2. Bio-fumigant effect of plants against *R. solani* under *in vitro* conditions

Plate 3. Effect of soil biofumigation with selected plants in confined containers on mycelial regeneration from sclerotia of *R. solani* (after 24h)
Effect of soil biofumigation with selected plants in confined containers on mycelial regeneration from sclerotia of *R. solani* (after one month)

Among the plant extracts, cabbage and garlic creeper showed complete inhibition (100%) and were significantly superior to all the other treatments. This was followed by extracts of wild mustard, radish and neem which gave an inhibition of 51.85%, 29.63% and 16.67%, respectively. All the other plant extracts did not cause any suppression of the pathogen. Chandel and Sharma (2014) revealed that extracts of *Melia azedarach* and *Adhatoda vasica* showed maximum inhibition in mycelial growth of *R. solani* within the range of 44.38 to 44.25%. They also reported that higher concentration of neem resulted in more inhibition of the *R. solani* and that more than 50% inhibition was recorded at a concentration of 40 per cent. Murugapriya *et al.*, (2011) reported the complete inhibition of *Macrophomina phaseolina* with the extracts of *Adenocalymma alliaceum* and *Allium* spp. at concentration of 20%. The *in vitro* biofumigant effect of the plants tested against *R. solani* showed significant differences between the treatments (Table 2). The maximum suppression (100%) was noticed with cabbage, cassava, garlic creeper, mustard and wild mustard. This was followed by radish, moringa, neem and lemon grass which gave suppression of 87.88%, 83.33%, 77.88% and 76.66%, respectively. The suppression of pathogen in treatments with sweet potato and papaya recorded lower values of 52.2% and 27.78%, respectively.

Charron and Sams (1999) reported that among the *Brassica* spp. the Indian mustard, *Brassica juncea* caused maximum inhibition of *R. solani*. Harvey *et al.*, (2002) also supported that as a biofumigant, its property has been attributed to several allyl isothiocyanates present in it. Reddy (2012) suggested that plants in the mustard family, such as mustard, radish, turnip, cabbage and rapeseed, and members of *Poaceae* such as *Sorghum* species (Sudan grass, *Sorghum*–Sudan grass hybrids) showed the potential to serve as biological fumigants. Chandel and Sharma (2014) noticed that cabbage was found most effective in reducing the incidence of stem rot in carnation.
In order to assess the effect of biofumigation on survival of sclerotia in the soil, *in vitro* soil biofumigation study was carried out under confined conditions in sealed containers with plants. In general, biofumigation with plants exerted suppression of mycelial regeneration from sclerotia. The extent of suppression was found to increase with increase in period of incubation with biofumigants (Table 3). Among the plants, treatment with cabbage, garlic creeper and mustard were found to be very effective and caused 100 per cent suppression on mycelial regeneration from sclerotia 24 h after exposure to the treatment. Dubey et al. (2009) found inhibitory effect of neem extract on survival of sclerotia of *M. phaseolina* after 2 and 4 days of incubation. This was attributed to the presence of several bioactive compounds such as sulphur, neem, alkaloids, resins, glycosides, ammonia and fattyacids and ammonia evolved during the decomposition of neem oil and seed cake. Neem contains a variety of chemical constituents such as nimolicinol, isolimolicinolide, azadirachtin, azadirachtol, nimlinone, nimbocinol, nimbocinone, nimocin etc. (Tewari, 1992). Dubey and Kumar (2004) reported the antifungal effect of azadirachtin as effective as the fungicides: carbendazim and mancozeb. Biofumigation with plants belonging to Brassicaceae such as *B. juncae* and *Raphanus sativus* drastically reduced the viability from sclerotia of *S. sclerotiorum* (Warmington and Clarkson, 2016) and from microsclerotia of *Verticillium dahliae* (Neubauer et al., 2014). After a period of two weeks of biofumigation with cassava 100 per cent suppression on sclerotial growth was noticed. Biofumigation with leaves of other plants such as sweet potato, moringa, papaya, neem and lemongrass, which are widely grown in Kerala afforded suppression of 81.85, 81.11, 79.63, 77.04 and 76.67 per cent, respectively one month after exposure to the treatment. Though the suppression was significantly less as compared to the best treatments in this study, the availability and affordability make them promising candidates for further exploitation for biofumigation.
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