Fecal markers of inflammation used as surrogate markers for treatment outcome in relapsing inflammatory bowel disease
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AIM: To evaluate fecal calprotectin (FC) as a surrogate marker of treatment outcome of relapse of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) and, to compare FC with fecal myeloperoxidase (MPO) and fecal eosinophil protein X (EPX).

METHODS: Thirty eight patients with IBD, comprising of 27 with ulcerative colitis (UC) and 11 with Crohn's disease (CD) were investigated before treatment (inclusion), and after 4 and 8 wk of treatment. Treatment outcomes were evaluated by clinical features of disease activity and endoscopy in UC patients, and disease activity in CD patients. In addition, fecal samples were analyzed for FC by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), and for MPO and EPX with radioimmunoassay (RIA).

RESULTS: At inclusion 37 of 38 (97%) patients had elevated FC levels (> 94.7 µg/g). At the end of the study, 31 of 38 (82%) patients fulfilled predefined criteria of a complete response [UC 21/27 (78%); CD 10/11 (91%)]. Overall, a normalized FC level at the end of the study predicted a complete response in 100% patients, whereas elevated FC level predicted incomplete response in 30%. Normalized MPO or EPX levels predicted a complete response in 100% and 90% of the patients, respectively. However, elevated MPO or EPX levels predicted incomplete response in 23% and 22%, respectively.

CONCLUSION: A normalized FC level has the potential to be used as a surrogate marker for successful treatment outcome in IBD patients. However, patients with persistent elevation of FC levels need further evaluation. FC and MPO provide superior discrimination than EPX in IBD treatment outcome.
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INTRODUCTION

Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), including ulcerative colitis (UC) and Crohn's disease (CD), is a chronic, idiopathic inflammatory condition of the gut with a typically relapsing and remitting course. Exacerbations are characterized by symptoms of diarrhea, urgency of defecation and occasionally rectal bleeding and abdominal pain. The aim of treatment is to induce and maintain disease remission[1]. Currently, the most reliable method to assess intestinal inflammation is endoscopy with mucosal biopsy. These techniques are costly, invasive, time consuming and unpopular with patients. Furthermore, the site of inflammation is not always reached by endoscopy as in the case of small bowel disease in CD. Simple, inexpensive and objective tools for the assessment of mucosal inflammation are therefore desirable. Previous studies have indicated that fecal markers may be used in the differentiation of IBD from functional gastrointestinal disorders. However,
the usefulness of these markers in monitoring therapy of patients with disease relapse in IBD needs further evaluation.\(^\text{[3-4]}\)

A prominent feature of mucosal histology in patients with active IBD is infiltration by neutrophil granulocytes\(^\text{[5]}\). Calprotectin is a major protein of neutrophils and macrophages, accounting for about 60% of the cytosol of these cells.\(^\text{[6]}\) Several workers have shown that elevated fecal calprotectin (FC) levels correlate with intestinal inflammation, both in adults and children\(^\text{[7-9]}\), and has the ability to predict relapse in IBD\(^\text{[10,11]}\). Moreover, FC levels remain stable in stools, and stool samples are easy to collect\(^\text{[12-15]}\).

Other fecal proteins of interest in monitoring IBD are myeloperoxidase (MPO) and eosinophil protein X (EPX). MPO is mainly derived from neutrophil granulocytes and has been observed both in the intestinal mucosa\(^\text{[16-20]}\), and in gut lavage, and has the potential of monitoring treatment outcome\(^\text{[21]}\). EPX is released by activated eosinophil granulocytes, which are abundant in the mucosa in active IBD.\(^\text{[22-24]}\) We have previously reported that fecal levels of EPX and MPO have the potential of monitoring therapy in UC\(^\text{[26]}\).

The primary aim of the present study was to assess FC as a surrogate marker of treatment outcome of relapse in patients with IBD compared to the standard criteria of response. The second aim was to compare FC with fecal MPO and EPX, with respect to their applicability in monitoring treatment outcome.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Patients**

Forty adult patients (19 females and 21 males, mean age 40 years, range 21-70 years), seeking medical advice for symptoms of relapse of previously diagnosed IBD were consecutively recruited at the department of Gastroenterology, University Hospital, Uppsala, Sweden, between October 2002 and April 2003. Two patients were excluded: one patient had salmonella enteritis, while the other patient dropout after 8 wk of treatment. Before entry into the study, the patients had to fulfill standard diagnostic criteria of UC \((n = 27)\) and CD \((n = 11)\)\(^\text{[27]}\). Details of the clinical date of the study population are provided in Table 1.

At inclusion, all patients had mild to moderate disease activity, and the endoscopic examination was consistent with active disease. The exclusion criteria were: pregnant or lactating women, enteritis due to infections, and intestinal biopsies performed within 3 d before inclusion. The project was approved by the Ethical Committee of the Medical Faculty, Uppsala University, and all patients gave their written informed consent before participating in the study.

The patients were examined according to the study protocol at inclusion and after 4 and 8 wk of treatment (Table 2).

In patients with UC, a semi-quantitative four-grade (normal, mild, moderate and severe) scale was used for clinical and endoscopic score.\(^\text{[28]}\) In patients with CD, the Harvey-Bradshaw’s clinical activity index (HBI) was used.\(^\text{[29]}\) Histopathology was graded as active or inactive inflammation, based on the number of neutrophil granulocytes in the mucosa as judged by an experienced pathologist, according to the criteria of Truelove and Witts.\(^\text{[29]}\) At inclusion, 7 of 27 (26%) patients with UC were on no treatment, 14 (52%) were receiving systemic 5-aminosalicylic acid (5-ASA), and 6 (22%) were under treatment with different combinations of systemic 5-ASA, prednisone, azathioprine and topical prednisone. In CD patients, at inclusion 4 of 11 (36%) patient were on no treatment, 6 (54%) were receiving systemic 5-ASA, and one patient was under treatment with a combination of 5-ASA, azathioprine and Metronidazole.

Treatment of a relapse was individualized, according to standard recommendations for the management of IBD. Topical and/or systemic 5-ASA was administered to 26 of 27 (96%) patients with UC, and to 9 of 11 (82%) patients with CD. Topical and/or systemic prednisone was given to 22 of 27 (81%) UC patients, and 7 of 11 (81%) CD patients. Azathioprine was used in 3 of 27 (11%) UC patients and in 3 of 11 (27%) CD patients, while methotrexate was prescribed to one patient with CD.

**Definition of treatment outcome**

In UC, a complete response to treatment was defined as return of the clinical and endoscopic scores to normal. A partial response was defined as reduction in both the clinical and endoscopic scores, but their failure to return

---

**Table 1 Clinical data of the study population**

|                      | UC       | CD       |
|----------------------|----------|----------|
| Number of subjects   | 27       | 11       |
| Gender (female/male) | 15/12    | 3/8      |
| Age (yr, mean)       | 42.5 (21-66) | 34.6 (21-70) |
| Disease duration (yr, mean) | 9.3 (0-38) | 5.6 (0-18) |
| Extent of disease:   |          |          |
| Colon                | 9\(^a\)  | 8        |
| Left side colitis    | 14       | -        |
| Proctitis            | 4        | -        |
| Ileocolitis          | -        | 1        |
| Prior surgery        | 1        | 1        |
| Treatment at inclusion: |           |           |
| No treatment         | 7        | 4        |
| 5-ASA (topical/systemic) | 19   | 4        |
| Azathioprine         | 1        | 1        |
| Prednisone (topical/systemic) | 5   | -        |
| Metronidazole        | -        | 1        |

\(^{a}\)Extensive colitis means disease extending from proximal to the splenic flexure.

**Table 2 Study protocol**

| Procedure                      | Inclusion | 4 wk | 8 wk |
|--------------------------------|-----------|------|------|
| Stool sample                   | Done      | Done | Done |
| Clinical score                 | Done      | Done | Done |
| Endoscopy and biopsy           | Done\(^a\) | Done\(^2\) | Done\(^3\) |
| Histopathology                 | Done\(^a\) | Done\(^2\) | Done\(^3\) |

\(^{a}\)Not done in 2 CD patients; \(^{2}\)Not done in 4 CD patients; \(^{3}\)Not done in 2 CD patients.
to normal. A non-response was defined as a decrease in only clinical or endoscopic score or an unchanged or increased clinical and/or endoscopic score. In CD, a complete response was defined as decrease in HBI score to $\leq 5$ points; partial response was a decrease in the clinical score, but not $< 6$ points; and a non-response was defined as an unchanged or increased HBI score.

**Fecal inflammation markers**

Stool samples were collected in screw-capped plastic containers at inclusion (visit 1), and after four (visit 2) and 8 wk of treatment (end of study, visit 3). Stool samples were kept at 4°C for up to 2 d before freezing at -70°C. Stool extracts for EPX and MPO measurement were prepared as described previously.[31] Calprotectin in fecal extracts was analyzed, using the calprotectin enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), according to the manufacturer's instructions (Calprest; Eurospital SpA, Trieste, Italy). Before testing, the supernatants were thawed, diluted 1:50 with assay buffer and then analyzed with Calprest. Calprotectin was expressed as microgram per gram of feces. According to the manufacturer, a calprotectin level $>50 \, \mu\text{g/g}$ is pathological. FC was determined in samples from 44 apparently healthy adults, and the normal range was 2.2-14.5 $\mu\text{g/g}$ (5-95th percentile). EPX and MPO were determined using radioimmunoassay (RIA) (Pharmacia Diagnostics, Uppsala, Sweden), and the intra- and inter-assay variations were less than 9% for both assays. Marker levels in faeces were adjusted for fecal water content, as described previously,[31] and were expressed as $\mu\text{g/g}$ semidyid faeces. The concentration of fecal markers in healthy adults for fecal MPO (1.3-8.8 $\mu\text{g/g}$, 5-95th percentile) and fecal EPX (0.2-1.7 $\mu\text{g/g}$, 5-95th percentile) (median age 44 years, range 18-73, $n = 44$) have been reported recently.[31]

**Statistical analysis**

Non-parametric tests of Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA and the Mann-Whitney U-test were used for unpaired comparisons. For paired analyses we used Friedman ANOVA and Wilcoxon matched pairs test. Spearman rank order correlations were used to express relationship between variables. $P < 0.05$ was considered as significant. All calculations were performed on a personal computer by means of the statistical software Statistica (Statsoft Inc, Tulsa, Oklahoma USA). We used the 95th percentile concentration level of respective fecal marker as the cut-off level for normal and elevated values i.e. concentration was set at 94.5 $\mu\text{g/g}$ for FC, at 8.8 $\mu\text{g/g}$ for MPO, and at 1.7 $\mu\text{g/g}$ for EPX.

**RESULTS**

**FC levels at inclusion and during the study period**

At inclusion, 37 of 38 (97%) patients manifested elevated FC levels. There was no difference in the FC levels between UC and CD at any time point during the study. Overall, a significant decline in FC levels was seen during the study ($P < 0.01$) in patients with UC ($P < 0.01$), but not in CD ($P = 0.367$) (Table 3). Analysis based on the extent of the disease showed that patients with proctitis had lower levels of FC ($P < 0.01$) compared with more extensive disease. This difference was noted in patients with UC ($P < 0.05$), but was not analyzed in CD since only one patient had proctitis.

**FC levels in correlation to clinical scores**

In patients with UC, there was no correlation between the clinical score and FC levels at inclusion, and at the end of the study (8 wk of treatment). However, correlation was noted after 4 wk of treatment ($R = 0.4024, P < 0.01$). In CD patients, there was no correlation between FC and the HBI score inclusion, and after 4 wk of treatment, but correlation was present at the end of the study (8 wk of treatment) ($R = 0.7804, P < 0.01$).

**FC levels in relation to endoscopical score**

In patients with UC, the endoscopic score was calculated and correlated with FC levels. No correlation was seen at inclusion, but after 4 and 8 wk of treatment a correlation was noted ($R = 0.5045, P < 0.01$) and ($R = 0.6659, P < 0.01$), respectively.

**FC levels in correlation to histopathology**

At inclusion, all patients with UC (27/27) showed histological signs of active disease, whereas 12 of 26 (one missing value) and 13 of 27 showed inactive histology after 4 and 8 wk of treatment, respectively. Patients with UC with histologic evidence of active inflammation, demonstrated higher levels of FC compared to UC patients with inactive inflammation after 4 and 8 wk of treatment ($P < 0.05$). In CD patients, no difference was found during the study period.

**FC in relation to treatment outcome**

In UC patients, a complete response was observed in 14 of 27 (52%) and 21 of 27 (78%) patients after 4 and 8 wk of treatment, respectively. Two patients were classified as partial responders and four patients as non responders at the end of the study. In complete responders, there was a significant decline in FC levels ($P < 0.01$), which was not observed in partial or non responders (Figure 1). The decline in FC levels was already significant after 4 wk of treatment in complete responders ($P < 0.01$).
In CD patients, a complete response was observed in 9 of 11 (81%) and 10 of 11 (91%) patients after 4 and 8 wk of treatment, respectively. There was a trend in the decline in FC levels in complete responders but the difference was not significant \((P = 0.13)\) (Figure 1). One CD patient, who was a partial responder, manifested increasing levels of FC during the study (2030, 2220, 2460 µg/g, respectively).

**FC in correlation with MPO and EPX**

There was a good correlation between FC, and both MPO and EPX, at all the study visits in the group as a whole, as well as on sub-group analyses of patients with UC and CD (Table 4).

**FC, MPO and EPX as predictors of treatment outcome**

In order to predict treatment outcome, positive predictive value (PPV) and negative predictive value (NPV) were calculated at the end of the study (after 8 wk of treatment). All patients with a normalized FC value at the end of the study fulfilled the predefined criteria of a complete response, irrespective of the diagnosis of UC or CD. However, an elevated FC value was noted in 10 of 21 patients with UC and 6 of 9 patients with CD who fulfilled criteria for complete response at the end of the study. The same pattern was observed in patients with UC and CD with regard to MPO, however, for EPX this was only seen in CD patients (Table 5).

**DISCUSSION**

In the present study, we evaluated FC as a surrogate marker of treatment outcome in IBD. FC levels were monitored before and during treatment of patients with a relapse of IBD. In both UC and CD, patients with normalized FC level after 8 wk of treatment fulfilled predefined criteria of a complete response. However, elevated FC levels at the end of the study did not rule out response to treatment. As described by other workers, we also observed elevated FC levels in all but one patient presenting with disease relapse\(^8,9\).

It has been reported that FC levels in UC patients reflects the degree of inflammation rather than the extent of the disease\(^8\). By contrast, in the present study, we observed higher FC levels in UC patients at inclusion with extensive disease compared to those with proctitis.

Endoscopic findings have been shown to correlate with FC levels in patients with IBD\(^9\). In the present study, no such correlation was observed in UC patients at inclusion, however, a correlation was noted after 4 and 8 wk of treatment. It is well known that there are difficulties in endoscopic grading of inflammation due to inter-observer variations\(^13\), and with the use of different scoring systems. In the present study, we used a four-grade score\(^28\), which may be inadequate in distinguishing between mild and moderate inflammation.

In patients with UC, the clinical activity index and FC levels showed a correlation after 4 wk of treatment, but not at inclusion or at the end of the study. In patients with CD, clinical activity and FC showed a correlation only at the end of the study. These weak correlations between clinical indices and FC levels are in accordance with previous reports\(^9,34,35\). By contrast, a recent study reported significantly higher FC levels in patients with severe disease compared to those with moderate disease\(^8\).

---

**Table 4** Correlation between fecal FC, MPO and EPX at inclusion, and after 4 and 8 wk of treatment in patients with UC and CD

| Patients | Fecal marker | Inclusion | 4 wk | 8 wk |
|----------|--------------|-----------|------|------|
| All | FC and MPO | \(R = 0.884, P < 0.01\) | \(R = 0.941, P < 0.01\) | \(R = 0.924, P < 0.01\) |
| All | FC and EPX | \(R = 0.592, P < 0.01\) | \(R = 0.724, P < 0.01\) | \(R = 0.642, P < 0.01\) |
| UC | FC and MPO | \(R = 0.868, P < 0.01\) | \(R = 0.927, P < 0.01\) | \(R = 0.940, P < 0.01\) |
| UC | FC and EPX | \(R = 0.556, P < 0.01\) | \(R = 0.762, P < 0.01\) | \(R = 0.602, P < 0.01\) |
| CD | FC and MPO | \(R = 0.936, P < 0.01\) | \(R = 0.818, P < 0.01\) | \(R = 0.905, P < 0.01\) |
| CD | FC and EPX | \(R = 0.654, P < 0.05\) | \(R = 0.636, P < 0.05\) | \(R = 0.854, P < 0.01\) |

---

**Table 5** PPV and NPV of a complete response after 8 wk of treatment in UC or CD calculated by using fecal marker levels above the 95th percentile as the cut-off value

| Fecal marker | Patients | PPV (95% CI) | NPV (95% CI) |
|--------------|----------|-------------|-------------|
| FC | All \(n = 37\) | \(30 (13-53)\) | \(100 (77-100)\) |
| FC | UC \(n = 27\) | \(38 (13-65)\) | \(100 (71-100)\) |
| FC | CD \(n = 10\) | \(14 (2-58)\) | \(100 (30-100)\) |
| MPO | All \(n = 37\) | \(23 (10-42)\) | \(100 (59-100)\) |
| MPO | UC \(n = 27\) | \(27 (10-50)\) | \(100 (48-100)\) |
| MPO | CD \(n = 10\) | \(12 (2-53)\) | \(100 (19-100)\) |
| EPX | All \(n = 37\) | \(22 (8-42)\) | \(90 (56-98)\) |
| EPX | UC \(n = 27\) | \(25 (8-49)\) | \(85 (42-98)\) |
| EPX | CD \(n = 10\) | \(14 (3-58)\) | \(100 (30-100)\) |

\(^{1}\)One missing value. FC cut-off value 94.5 µg/g, MPO cut-off value 8.8 µg/g, EPX cut-off value 1.7 µg/g.
Ulcerative colitis (UC) and Crohn’s disease (CD) are chronic, idiopathic inflammatory conditions of the gut with a typically relapsing and remitting course. Exacerbations are characterized by symptoms of diarrhea, urgency of defecation, and occasionally rectal bleeding and abdominal pain. At present, the most reliable method of assessing intestinal inflammation is endoscopy with biopsy. These techniques are costly, invasive, time consuming and unpopular with the patients. Furthermore, the site of inflammation is not always reached by endoscopy as in patients with CD of the small bowel. Simple, inexpensive and objective tools for the assessment of mucosal inflammation are therefore desirable. Previous studies have indicated that fecal markers may be used in the differentiation of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) from functional gastrointestinal disorders. However, the usefulness of these markers in monitoring therapy of patients with relapse of IBD needs further evaluation.

**Research frontiers**

The present study suggests that a normalized fecal calprotectin (FC) level may be used as a surrogate marker for successful treatment outcome in IBD patients. However, patients with persistently elevated FC levels need further evaluation.

**Innovations and breakthroughs**

The present study confirmed previous observations that patients with a relapse of IBD had elevated fecal markers, and normalized FC levels may be used as a surrogate marker for treatment response in IBD. We evaluated patients diagnosed previously with UC or CD before starting treatment, and after 4 and 8 wk of treatment. Treatment outcome, based on clinical activity and endoscopic findings in UC patients, and clinical activity in CD patients, were evaluated together with fecal samples analyzed for FC, and compared with fecal myeloperoxidase (MPO) and eosinophil protein X (EPX). It was observed that FC and MPO provided better assessment of treatment outcome compared to EPX in patients with UC. Interestingly, normalized levels of EPX after 8 wk of treatment indicated a complete response, especially in patients with CD.

**Applications**

These findings suggest that fecal markers can be used as surrogate markers for successful treatment outcome in IBD patients. Fecal markers are simple, inexpensive and objective tools for the assessment of mucosal inflammation.

**Peer review**

This study provides good data on material and methods. The results are based on sufficient experimental evidence. The discussion is well organized, and the conclusions are reliable and valuable.
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