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ABSTRACT

Background: Among a variety of complex factors affecting a decision to take family medicine as a future specialisation, this study focused on demographic characteristics and assessed empathic attitudes in final year medical students. Methods: A convenience sampling method was employed in two consecutive academic years of final year medical students at the Faculty of Medicine in Ljubljana, Slovenia, in May 2014 and May 2015. A modified version of the 16-item Jefferson Scale of Empathy – Student Version (JSE-S) was administered to examine self-reported empathic attitudes. An intended career in family medicine was reported using a five-point Likert scale. Results: Of the 175 medical school seniors in study year 2013/14, there were 64 (36.6%) men and 111 (63.4%) women, while in the second group (study year 2014/15), there were 68 (40.5%) men and 100 (59.5%) women; 168 students in total. They were 24.9±1.6 (generation 2013/14) and 24.9±1.7 (generation 2014/15) years old. Thirty-six percent of the students in the academic year 2013/14 intended to choose family medicine as a future career, and a similar proportion in academic year 2014/15 (31.7%). Gender (χ²=6.763, p=0.034) and empathic attitudes (C²=14.914; p=0.001) had a bivariate association with an intended career choice of family medicine in the 2014/15 generation. When logistic regression was applied to this group of students, an intended career choice in family medicine was associated with empathic attitudes (OR 1.102, 95% CI 0.854-1.621, p=0.320). Conclusion: The level of students’ father’s education, and not living in an intimate partnership, increased the odds on senior medical students to choose family medicine, yet we expected higher JSE-S scores to be associated with interest in this speciality. To deepen our understanding, this study should be repeated to give us solid grounded insight into the determinants of career choice; associations with gender in particular need to be re-tested.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In many European countries, there is lack of interest in family medicine among medical school graduates (1, 2), in spite of the utmost importance of primary care in health care systems all over the world (3). For students with an interest in family medicine, it is essential to have those personal and professional characteristics that patients expect and value in their family physician (FP). Delgrado and co-authors (4) identified the main expectations in patients, i.e. to be actively listened to and to be treated with the interest of the physician, although these expectations were not found to be homogenous in all clinical settings. Most patients also valued the physicians’ communication skills over the strictly medical components of the treatment (4).

Factors Influencing Family Medicine Being Chosen as a Career

There are complex reasons for selecting family medicine as a career choice, identified (5)
and categorised into several groups (6-12). The first group is comprised of socio-demographic factors, i.e. female gender, growing up in a rural area, and having under-educated parents (without a university degree) (7-10), while the second group includes personal characteristics of future physicians, i.e. positive social self-image, personal ambition, importance of income, and a wish to harmonise work and personal life (6). The third group of factors is related to the recognition of the importance of family medicine specifics, i.e. continuity of care, diversity, and community orientation (7-9), and the fourth group covers attitudes; attitudes towards this discipline have been shown to be especially important, since they can and should be addressed through education (13).

Students’ family practice placements during medical school training and personal contact with their own FP have been identified as the principal factors in students who were positively influenced (14). There are some reports that the motivating effect of this training is not long-lasting (2, 15); female graduate students were reported to change their interest in favour of other specialities (2). However, it has been shown that practically-oriented medical curricula in both the earlier and later stages of undergraduate medical education raised the output of future GPs (14). In Slovenia, family medicine training is an obligatory subject for sixth-year students. The programme lasts for seven weeks; students spend four days a week in a practice with a clinical mentor, while one day a week is dedicated to teaching in the department. The details of the programme have already been published (15).

The Role of Empathic Attitudes in Students’ Career Choice

In the context of health care, empathy as a predominantly cognitive attribute, combined with a capacity to communicate understanding of the patient’s experiences, concerns, and perspectives and an intention to help has been explained and measured by Hojat and co-workers (17). Recent empirical evidence suggests that empathy is associated with improved clinical outcomes (18) and decreased anxiety in patients (19, 20). The latter has been shown to be due to engaged communication and linked to physiological effects (20).

There is insufficient evidence to support the use of empathy measures in the selection of students for medical courses (21). It has been shown that students interested in ‘people-oriented specialties’ had higher empathy levels compared to those preferring ‘technology-oriented specialties’ (22).

Family medicine is a ‘people-oriented specialty’, considering the long-term relationship with patients and holistic approach towards patient care (23, 24). The feminisation of family medicine is present all over the world and raises questions about the future provision of services and the position of the discipline (25, 26). More female GPs, shown to be more empathic, means longer consultations, more patient-centred communication and a greater involvement in preventive services, counselling and psychotherapy (27).

Women have prevailed for many years in the medical profession in Slovenia (see Table 1) (28); the proportion of women as physicians, GPs and also family medicine trainees has been significantly higher (p<0.001), and therefore a career in family medicine could be considered a feminine choice.

Since our previous research on empathic attitudes showed differences in the total score of JSE-S between female and male students (t=3.652, p=0.001) (31), this study aimed to re-test this finding, but more importantly to explore family medicine as an intended career choice and its associations with socio-demographic characteristics and empathic attitudes in final year medical students.

2. METHODS

Participants and Procedure

A convenience sampling method was employed in two consecutive academic years of final year medical students at the Faculty of Medicine in Ljubljana, Slovenia, in May 2014 and May 2015, within the obligatory course “family medicine training”. Participants were provided with an explanatory statement and were informed that participation was voluntary. Students needed approximately fifteen minutes to complete the questionnaire.

Instruments

Based on previous research in Slovenia (29), the empathic attitudes of the students were assessed using a modified 16-item JSE-S covering Perspective Taking and Standing in the Patient’s Shoes. Ten of the items in the original JSE-S are positively worded, while the other ten are negatively worded to reduce the confounding effect of the "acquiescence response style", i.e. the tendency to consistently agree or disagree (yea/naysayers). The possible score range was 20-140; the higher the mean score, the higher the self-reported empathy level (22). Students rated their level of empathy for each item on a seven-point Likert scale (1—strongly disagree, 7—strongly agree), with higher scores indicating higher levels of empathy. The possible range of the modified 16-item JSE-S was 16 to 112. The total JSE-S score was used as a measure of self-assessed empathic attitudes in students.

Interest in family medicine as a chosen speciality was self-assessed using a five point Likert scale (1—no interest, 5—almost certain to become a GP). According to their preference for family medicine, students were categorised as 1. unlikely to become a GP (1 or 2 on the Likert scale) – family medicine disinclined, 2. neutral (3 on the Likert scale), and 3. likely to become a family physician (4 or 5 on the Likert scale) – family medicine inclined.

Data Analysis

The JSE-S was scored in line with the scoring algorithm for participants who completed 80% or more of the items. If a participant failed to answer four or fewer items, the missing values were replaced with the mean score of the completed items. The JSE-S score was calculated as the sum of the modified JSE-S version (22) involving the selected sixteen items (without items 1, 5, 18, and 19). Reliability was estimated using the Cronbach alpha (Cronbach α2013/4=0.84 and Cronbach α2014/5=0.83).

Descriptive statistics was used to analyse the main characteristics of the students considering their gender, age and level of (dis)agreement of items. The JSE-S score differences were examined by gender, age, and family medicine inclination. The differences in the JSE-S were assessed using difference tests for two or more independent samples, i.e. the t-test, the Mann-Whitney U test and the Kruskal-Wallis test. Data normality was tested by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. The association between gender and family medicine inclination was examined using the chi-square test. When
analysing factors associated with an intended career choice in family medicine, family medicine inclined and declined students were compared. Binary logistic regression was used to evaluate associations between gender, age and empathic attitudes and family medicine inclination in the students. Gender, age and the JSE-S score were selected as independent variables in the first regression modelling, while in the second the students’ family background-related variables were added. Data analysis was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows (version 22.0). The level of significance was set at p≤0.05.

3. RESULTS

Characteristics of the Study Sample

Of 175 medical school seniors in study year 2013/14 (97.3% response rate), there were 64 (36.6%) males and 111 (63.4%) females, while in the second group (study year 2014/5, 96.6% response rate), there were 68 (40.5%) males and 100 (59.5%) females of a total 168 students, aged 24.9±1.6 (generation 2013/4) and 24.9±1.7 (generation 2014/15).

The highest proportion of students in both groups was of those undecided about their future speciality (n = 64 (36.6%), n = 58 (34.7%). In the second group (study year 2014/5) there were fewer students with an interest in family medicine and more of those without, compared to those in study year 2013/4.

Gender was found to be associated with JSE-S, in women JSE-S was approximately 9% higher than in men (p<0.001). In the study year 2013/4 there were no gender differences regarding their interest in family medicine as a future speciality and career choice (χ²=5.603, df=2, p=0.061), while in the second group (2014/5) of final year students, the proportion of men was higher in the family medicine inclined group (χ²=6.763, df=2, p=0.034). In this group, a significant association between an intended career choice in family medicine and the JSE-S score was also identified (c²=14.914, p=0.001).

The characteristics of this study sample are presented in Table 2.

Table 1. Gender Structure of all Slovenian Physicians, Family Physicians and Family Medicine Trainees for the Past Seven Year Period (2008-2014)

| Year | All (N=3637) | Male (N=2077) | Male (N=211) | Female (N=1560) | Female (N=200) | FMT (N=5637) | Male (N=226) | Male (N=220) | Female (N=220) | Female (N=220) | FMT (N=5637) | Male (N=226) | Male (N=220) | Female (N=220) | Female (N=220) |
|------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| 2008 | 4760        | 2032        | 629         | 207           | 253           | 54          | 199         | 200         | 246           | 18           | 179         | 42           | 179         | 42           | 179         |
| 2009 | 4908        | 2061        | 669         | 211           | 228           | 46          | 18         | 179         | 42           | 179         | 42          | 179         | 42          | 179         |
| 2010 | 5025        | 2085        | 689         | 211           | 221           | 42          | 179         | 42          | 179         | 42          | 179         | 42          | 179         | 42          | 179         |
| 2011 | 5140        | 2116        | 716         | 204           | 243           | 60          | 183         | 60          | 183         | 60          | 183         | 60          | 183         | 60          | 183         |
| 2012 | 5520        | 2215        | 748         | 203           | 227           | 47          | 180         | 47          | 180         | 47          | 180         | 47          | 180         | 47          | 180         |
| 2013 | 5644        | 2226        | 775         | 200           | 284           | 64          | 220         | 64          | 220         | 64          | 220         | 64          | 220         | 64          | 220         |
| 2014 | 5637        | 2177        | 809         | 207           | 298           | 72          | 226         | 72          | 226         | 72          | 226         | 72          | 226         | 72          | 226         |

Table 2. The Study Sample by Age, Gender, Empathic Attitudes and Family Medicine Inclination. *t-test, hi-square, Mann-Whitney U test

3. RESULTS

Characteristics of the Study Sample

Of 175 medical school seniors in study year 2013/14 (97.3% response rate), there were 64 (36.6%) males and 111 (63.4%) females, while in the second group (study year 2014/5, 96.6% response rate), there were 68 (40.5%) males and 100 (59.5%) females of a total 168 students, aged 24.9±1.6 (generation 2013/4) and 24.9±1.7 (generation 2014/15).

The highest proportion of students in both groups was of those undecided about their future speciality (n = 64 (36.6%), n = 58 (34.7%). In the second group (study year 2014/5) there were fewer students with an interest in family medicine and more of those without, compared to those in study year 2013/4.

Gender was found to be associated with JSE-S, in women JSE-S was approximately 9% higher than in men (p<0.001).

The characteristics of this study sample are presented in Table 2.

Associations between Family Medicine Inclination, Empathic Attitudes and Gender and Age: Multivariate Regression Modelling

Testing the hypothesis that intended career choice in family medicine was associated with gender and empathic attitudes in final year medical students, a multivariate modelling was performed for each group of final year students (c²=6.871, df=3, p=0.076, c²=14.914, df=2, p=0.034). In this group, a significant association between an intended career choice in family medicine and the JSE-S score was also identified (c²=14.914, p=0.001).

The characteristics of this study sample are presented in Table 2.
Experience with the Health Care System

In the 2014/5 study year group, parents with a high educational status, i.e. Master’s degree or higher, prevailed (n_father=84 (50.6%), n_mother=91 (54.4%),) while the fewest parents had only basic education, i.e. primary school (in Slovenia the leaving age of primary school is 14; n_father=11 (6.6%), n_mother=5 (3.0%).) The majority of students reported that their parents were employed either in the public sector or in the economy (n_father=97 (58.0%), n_mother=120 (71.9%)); 3.0% (n=5) of fathers and 2.6% (n=4) of mothers were unemployed. Most students (n=120 (72.7%)) have siblings (M=1.1±1.1) and about a third of all the students (n=54 (32.7%)) had a close relative who was a physician. Some (n=61 (36.5%)) still lived with their parents, while about a quarter of all the students rented an apartment (n=39 (23.4%), and the others either lived in their own apartment (n=36 (21.6%)) or in a dormitory (n=28 (16.8%)). Most students lived in an intimate partnership (n=99 (59.3%)) or reported being single (n=62 (37.1%)), while five (3.0%) were married.

More than half (n=101 (60.5%)) of the 2014/5 generation seniors reported that they had had negative experiences with the health care system in the past, but even more of them (n=119 (71.3%)) rated their past experience with physicians as good and 15.5% (n=25) as very good. None of the students reported a very bad past experience with physicians of any speciality.

Logistic regression modelling procedures were used to partition the variance across a variety of the participants’ characteristics and experiences (c2=16.149, df=3, p=0.001, R2=0.185). β – regression coefficient. SE – standard error. Wald – Wald’s test. OR–exp(b) / odds ratio

|β| SE| Wald| df| P| OR| 95% CI |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|Constant| -1.551| 7.608| 0.042| 1| 0.838| 0.212| -6.613| 3.586| 3.401| 1| 0.065| 0.001|-- |

Table 3. Factors Associated with Family Medicine Inclination in two Generations of Final Year Medical Students: Logistic Regression Modelling (c2=16.140, df=3, p=0.001, R2=0.185) β – regression coefficient, SE – standard error, Wald – Wald’s test, OR–exp(b) / odds ratio

|β| SE| Wald| df| P| OR| 95% CI |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|Constant| -8.016| 5.443| 2.168| 1| 0.141| 0.000|-- |

Table 4. Multiple Logistic Regression Modelling Explaining Intended Career Choice in Family Medicine for the 2014/5 Study Year Seniors (c2=16.149, df=3, p=0.001, R2=0.185). β – Regression coefficient. SE – standard error. Wald – Wald’s test. OR–exp(b) / odds ratio
4. DISCUSSION

The data in this study on self-assessed empathic attitudes and family background in medical school seniors did not show the female gender to be significantly associated with family medicine inclination. However, students with an intended career in family medicine had a higher JSE-S score; less frequently lived in an intimate partnership and had a father with a lower level of education (Table 4). The higher JSE-S score in students with an intended career in family medicine was in line with the findings of other researchers (22,29-30).

Several studies have shown that the choice of specialties of medical school graduates is related to gender (9-12). However, Heiliger (31) found gender to be a weak predictor for a career choice in family medicine, and Scoot and co-authors (32) were unable to confirm the influence of gender on a career choice in family medicine. The authors explained the finding by the increasing number of women in medical school, and emphasised that in this era of the feminisation of medicine, interest in family medicine was not gender-related (31). The results of the present study (Table 4) can be interpreted in the same way, also taking into account the gender structure of physicians in Slovenia (Table 1).

In our study, parents’ education was found to be associated with family medicine inclination (Table 4), which is concordant with the findings of others, who reported that students who named family medicine as their top residency choice less frequently had parents with a postgraduate university degree (31). Furthermore, our study showed the father’s educational status as being associated with the highest odds for a medical school senior to choose family medicine as a future career (Table 4). Further research is to be conducted to test the association between lower educational status and a rural living environment, which could bring helpful insight into the factors associated with family medicine inclination. Generally speaking, people living in rural and/or deprived areas in Slovenia are less educated (33). Apart from that, a rural origin was found to be one of the key factors in students choosing family medicine as a future career (10,16).

The results of this study differ from most of the previous work suggesting living in a partnership as a predictor of career choice in family medicine (30,31), since married students tended to prefer family medicine over other medical specialities due to its compatibility with family life (33).

We agree with others who claim that there is no ideal selection tool to find the most appropriate students for the discipline (34). Taking into account that students with lower socioeconomic status frequently originate from rural areas and have higher interest in working in rural practices after graduation (35), and that the most serious lack of family physicians in Slovenia has been in rural areas, it could be worth trying to recruit students from lower socio-economic backgrounds to family medicine by offering them some advantages (e.g. affordable accommodation, additional income while working in out-of-hours services).

Strengths and Limitations of the Study

There were several limitations to our research. The study was cross-sectional with two consecutive data collection periods. The findings were based on data gathered from a single institution, arguably a sample of convenience. Convenience sampling, while making it easier to recruit participants, limits the capacity to control for non-respondent bias. Therefore, generalisation from these findings may be somewhat limited.

Due to the cross-sectional design of the study we were only able to collect data on intended career choice and not on definite career choice. The finding that professional preferences are relatively stable from graduation to the time of choosing a specialty somewhat mitigates this limitation (36).

Personality traits in students were not analysed, yet have been known to predict both academic and professional performance (37). This shall be considered in further, more complex research, given that this was the first study which focused on the association between empathic attitudes (measured by JSE-S score) and career choice in Slovenian medical school students, and, as far as these authors know, also in the region.

Future research is planned to be longitudinal, following the intended career choice of students from the beginning. Aside from the previously mentioned personality traits, monitoring other determinants of career choice, such as attitudes, values and coping strategies, would contribute to this evolving body of research.

5. CONCLUSIONS

In medical school seniors, the odds of choosing family medicine as a future speciality lessened with a higher-than-primary-school educational status of fathers and single marital status, and increased with the JSE-S score of self-assessed empathic attitudes. A family medicine career choice was not shown to be gender-related. This could be an important message for the future development of the discipline – not gender, but other characteristics and attitudes in students, could be factors influencing a career choice in family medicine. Therefore it is up to those teaching family medicine to develop not only clinical competences, but also to empower the humanistic values of future FP.
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