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The coordinated behavior of proteins is central to systems biology. However, the underlying mechanisms are poorly known and methods to analyze coordination by conventional quantitative proteomics are still lacking. We present the Systems Biology Triangle (SBT), a new algorithm that allows the study of protein coordination by pairwise quantitative proteomics. The Systems Biology Triangle detected statistically significant coordination in diverse biological models of very different nature and subjected to different kinds of perturbations. The Systems Biology Triangle also revealed with unprecedented molecular detail an array of coordinated, early protein responses in vascular smooth muscle cells treated at different times with angiotensin-II. These responses included activation of protein synthesis, folding, turnover, and muscle contraction – consistent with a differentiated phenotype—as well as the induction of migration and the repression of cell proliferation and secretion. Remarkably, the majority of the altered functional categories were protein complexes, interaction networks, or metabolic pathways. These changes could not be detected by other algorithms widely used by the proteomics community, and the vast majority of proteins involved have not been described before to be regulated by AngII. The unique capabilities of The Systems Biology Triangle to detect functional protein alterations produced by the coordinated action of proteins in pairwise quantitative proteomics experiments make this algorithm an attractive choice for the biological interpretation of results on a routine basis. Molecular & Cellular Proteomics 15: 10.1074/mcp.M115.055905, 1740–1760, 2016.

Cellular processes are executed by proteins working together in complexes or functional pathways, and recent evidence indicates that proteins carry out their functions in a coordinated manner. The concept of coordinated behavior of genes and proteins has been studied from different experimental and conceptual perspectives. High throughput mRNA analysis has revealed that genes that are functionally linked or are associated with the same metabolic pathway are often co-expressed (1–3), and that multiprotein complexes within the same functional class are regulated in the same direction (4). By combining gene expression information with data about protein interactions, growth phenotype, and transcription factor binding, it was possible to depict modules or groups of genes showing correlated behavior (5). Similarly, fluorescence techniques have revealed that transcript levels of temporally induced genes are highly correlated in individual yeast cells (6). At the protein level, high-throughput single-cell flow cytometry has been used to study biological noise (7), showing that proteins that are subunits of the same complex tend to attain similar levels in the cell, that fluctuations in protein levels tend to be smaller within large complexes (8), and that cell-to-cell variability in protein expression is similar for proteins sharing a similar function (9). Using a yeast fusion library for immunodetection and measurement of absolute expression levels (10), Carmi et al. showed that interacting proteins are expressed in significantly more similar cellular concentrations (11).

Recent advances in mass spectrometry (MS)-based proteomics allow the identification and relative quantification of thousands of proteins in a single study, making MS the technique of choice for the study of cellular processes on a proteome-wide scale. This technology has revealed that proteins with similar functions typically have similar expression
levels (12). Similarly, a tendency of functionally related proteins to be coordinately regulated was shown by correlation analysis of protein abundance after density fractionation (13), over a time course (14), or in a large set of diverse conditions (15). Despite these efforts, the mechanisms by which cells coordinate the levels of functionally related proteins are still poorly understood. Furthermore, existing methods to analyze quantitative data obtained from conventional proteomics studies (for instance when only two different conditions are compared) are not designed to detect coordination and least of all to analyze its extent.

Here we present the Systems Biology Triangle (SBT), which to our knowledge is the first algorithm able to detect coordinated protein behavior in high-throughput pairwise quantitative proteomics experiments. We show the utility of the algorithm by revealing clearly coordinated protein behavior in biological models of varied origin subjected to different kinds of perturbations. We have also applied the model to characterize the molecular alterations that take place along time when vascular smooth muscle cells (VSMC) are treated with angiotensin-II (AngII). VSMC are a highly plastic cell type with a pivotal role in vascular wall remodeling, a pathophysiological process underlying prevalent cardiovascular diseases like hypertension and aneurism (16). Although numerous lines of evidence support the implication of AngII in this process (17–20), little is known about the signaling pathways and molecular mediators involved, particularly in the early phases of the process, where the responses can be more attributable to the direct action of AngII. In this work, we have performed the first proteome-wide, time-course study of the early response induced by AngII on VSMC. Application of the SBT algorithm to the quantitative data revealed that AngII produced on VSMC an array of increasingly coordinated protein responses that were reproducibly observed along time. Unlike other approaches widely used by the proteomics community, including enrichment, network analysis, and functional class scoring methods, SBT was able to detect the coordinated activation of protein synthesis, folding, turnover, and muscle contraction machineries, consistent with a differentiated phenotype, as well as the induction of migration and the repression of cell proliferation and secretion. Our work is the first to uncover the global picture of the changes that take place in VSMC in response to AngII stimulation at early times, and reveal the regulation by AngII of a considerable number of molecular pathways that have not been previously described. Thus, our work has important mechanistic implications in understanding the role of AngII in vascular remodeling. These results show that the new algorithm has unique capabilities to detect functional protein alterations produced by the coordinated action of proteins in relevant biological systems.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

The Generic Integration Algorithm (GIA)—
Rationale—During the analysis of quantitative proteomics data by mass spectrometry, the quantitative information is obtained from the spectra and this information is used to quantify the peptides from which the spectra are produced and the proteins that generate these peptides. In other words, the quantitative information is integrated from the spectrum level to the peptide level and then from the peptide level to the protein level. Here we first describe a generic integration algorithm (GIA) (Fig. 1A) that can be used to construct compact workflows containing sequential integration steps for the analysis of quantitative data (Fig. 1B) and the detection of significant protein abundance changes. Afterward, we show that GIA can be applied to construct workflows containing the Systems Biology Triangle (SBT) (Fig. 1C), a kind of integration that allows the detection of changes in functional categories produced by the coordinated behavior of their proteins.

Formulation of the GIA Model—Let us assume that the abundance of two samples is being compared and that during the process of quantification we are rolling up the quantitative information from a lower level (L) (e.g. peptide level) to calculate the quantitative values at a higher level (H) (e.g. protein level). We will use the scheme “L to H” to refer to this integration step (e.g. peptide to protein integration). We define the set of values x_{l} as the relative abundances of the species in the lower level expressed as the 2-base logarithm of the ratio of abundance of the species present in each sample, and the set of values x_{h} as the relative abundances of the species in the higher level (Fig. 1A). We express the relationships between the elements of the lower and higher levels by a relations table that indicates the correspondence between the indexes l and h of the elements of the lower and higher levels (Fig. 1A).

The model assumes that in the null hypothesis the quantification of any element l at the lower level may be expressed in the form of a mixed-effects model in relation to the quantification of the element h at the higher level it belongs to:

\[ x_{l} = x_{h} + \rho_{hl} + \beta_{l}, \quad l \in h \]  
(Eq. 1)

where \( \rho_{hl} \) is the random deviation between the element of the lower level and that from the higher level (e.g. the error introduced when peptides are prepared from their corresponding proteins), \( \beta_{l} \) contains the random error carried by the element l of the lower level because of previous integrations from lower levels (e.g. the error carried by the peptide values as they are previously determined from the average of several spectra), and \( l \in h \) indicates that h is the element from which l is derived (e.g. that the peptide comes from a given protein).

The model assumes that the random errors are normally distributed:

\[ \rho_{hl} \sim N(0, \sigma^{2}_{hl}) \]
\[ \beta_{l} \sim N(0, \sigma^{2}) \]

The parameter \( \sigma^{2}_{hl} \) is the general variance of the L to H integration, which is constant for all species upon which the integration is performed. Note that this assumption implies that all elements at the lower level are affected by the same variance in relation to the higher level. For instance, in the peptide to protein integration, all the peptides are assumed to be affected by the same error source when they are generated from the corresponding proteins.

The parameter \( \sigma^{2} \) is the prior local variance, and corresponds to the variance that affects to element l of the lower level because of previ-
ous integrations (e.g., the variance that affects to any peptide because of the quality of quantifications at the spectrum level). We define the prior weight, \( v \), as the inverse of \( \sigma^2 \).

If we define the statistical weight \( w_h \) as the inverse of the total variance of \( x_h \), we will have

\[
w_h = \frac{1}{\sigma^2 + \sigma^2_{vl}} = \frac{1}{\sigma^2_{vl}} \quad \text{(Eq. 2)}
\]

When the \( L \) to \( H \) integration is performed, the quantitative values at the higher level are calculated as weighted averages of the values at the lower level:

\[
x_h = \frac{\sum w_h x_l}{\sum w_h} \quad l \in h \quad \text{(Eq. 3)}
\]

According to error propagation theory, the inverse of the variance of the average is the sum of the inverses of the variances of the averaged elements. Therefore, the prior weights of the elements at the higher level, \( v \), are the sum of statistical weights of the corresponding elements at the lower level:

\[
v_h = \sum w_h, \quad l \in h \quad \text{(Eq. 4)}
\]

Finally, the standardized variables of the elements of the lower level corresponding to the \( L \) to \( H \) integration are calculated as

\[
z_{lh} = (x_l - x_h)\sqrt{\frac{n_h}{\sum w_h}} \quad \text{where} \quad n_h > 1 \quad \text{(Eq. 5)}
\]

where \( n_h \) is the number of elements from the lower level that are integrated to calculate \( x_h \). The values of \( z_{lh} \) are expected to distribute according to the standard normal distribution \( N(0,1) \). Note that although \( z_{lh} \) is not defined when \( n_h = 1 \), this does not preclude calculation of \( x_h \) and \( v_h \).

**Integrative Workflow and Estimation of the General Variance of the Integration**—In the GIA integration the prior weights of the elements of the higher level are calculated from those in the lower level. However, the prior weights of the lower-level elements in the first integration step (e.g., the elements at the spectrum level) are not defined; these weights must be calculated in advance using other algorithms. In this work, we estimated the variances of the elements at the spectrum level using the method described in a previous work (21).

The input of the GIA algorithm is the table \( \{id_h, x_h, v_h\} \) at the lower level, in plain tab-delimited text format, where \( id_h \) is the identifier of the element \( l \), and the relations table \( \{id_i, id_h\} \) that establishes the correspondence of the identifiers in the lower level with those in the upper level (Fig. 1A). The output is the table \( \{id_{hl}, x_{hl}, v_{hl}\} \): the variance of the \( L \) to \( H \) integration, \( \sigma^2_{vl} \). The algorithm also computes the statistical weights at the lower level, \( w_h \), and the standardized variables of the lower level corresponding to the \( L \) to \( H \) integration, \( z_{lh} \).

It is important to note here that the variables \( w_h \) and \( z_{lh} \), which fully describe the distribution of errors at the lower level, can only be calculated once the \( L \) to \( H \) integration is performed. In consequence, the output does not contain the complete information about the variance of the higher level. For instance, in the case of the peptide to protein integration, the total variance of each peptide, and therefore the standardized variable at the peptide level, cannot be estimated until peptides are integrated into proteins. In addition, this integration does not give information about total protein variance, which remains unknown until proteins are integrated at higher levels. Therefore, in this computational scheme the variances are indissolubly associated with the integrations.

In each integration, \( \sigma^2_{vl} \) is estimated using a robust, iterative method. An initial seed value of \( \sigma^2_{vl} = 0.001 \) is used by default, and the statistical weights at the lower level \( w_h \), are calculated using eq. 2. The integration is then performed to obtain the quantifications \( x_h \) at the upper level using eq. 3, and the standardized variables of the integration \( z_{lh} \), calculated using eq. 5. Once these parameters are calculated, the difference

\[
\Delta = abs(\text{median}(z_{lh}) - 1) \quad \text{(Eq. 6)}
\]

where \( \Phi^{-1}(p) \) is the inverse error function, is used as a robust parameter to determine the deviation of the \( z_{lh} \) distribution from the standard normal distribution \( N(0,1) \). The process is iterated to minimize \( \Delta \) using the Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm (22).

**Determination of Confidence Intervals of the Variance**—Confidence intervals of the variance were calculated using an algorithm that creates simulated experiments. As input, it used the original set of \( (x_h, \sigma^2_{vl}) \) values, and as output it produced an altered \( (x_{hl}, \sigma^2_{vl}) \) data set, where \( x_h \) is substituted by a random error generated according to the variance of \( x_h \),

\[
x_{hl} = x_h + \Phi^{-1}(\text{rand}(0,1)) \sqrt{w_h} \quad \text{(Eq. 7)}
\]

where \( \text{rand}(0,1) \) is a random decimal number between 0 and 1. For each experiment, 21 simulated experiments were generated, and the variance \( \sigma^2_{vl} \) was calculated for each one by applying the GIA. After sorting the resulting list of variances, the median (11th element), along with the 3rd highest and 3rd lowest variances were taken to get the closest values to a one-sigma confidence for each side, in accordance to

\[
||21 \cdot (1 - \Phi(1))|| = 3
\]

where \( \Phi(p) \) is the cumulative distribution function of the standard normal distribution.

**Estimation of FDR and Detection and Elimination of Outliers**—The false discovery rate (FDR\(_k\)) is calculated by ranking the data according to the absolute values of \( z_{lh} \) (from high to low) and estimating the expected number of false deviations from the null hypothesis:

\[
\text{FDR}_k = \frac{2 \cdot (1 - \Phi(z_{lh}))}{\text{rank}(z_{lh})/N} \quad \text{(Eq. 8)}
\]

where \( N \) is the total number of \( z_{lh} \) values. Note that in the plots presenting the distributions of \( z_{lh} \) values are ranked from low to high.

Outliers in the \( L \) to \( H \) integration are defined as the elements at the lower level whose \( \text{FDR}_k \) surpasses a given threshold (typically 1% or 5%). Outliers can be automatically eliminated by an iterative method. An initial GIA is executed to calculate \( \text{FDR}_k \) and \( z_{lh} \). Then, for each element at the higher level, the most extreme lower level outlier is removed, and the GIA is repeated maintaining the original \( \sigma^2_{vl} \) variance. The procedure is repeated until no further outliers are detected.

**The Systems Biology Triangle**—In biological systems proteins can be classified as belonging to a functional class or category, meaning by that any general form of classification, including molecular function, cellular component, pathway, or interaction network. We hypothesized that if during the perturbation of a biological system all the proteins belonging to a category undergo the same abundance change, then the quantitative behavior of the set of proteins can be described by that of their category. We also hypothesized that the relative abundance of the category can be estimated by integrating the quantitative values of its protein components, using the GIA algorithm.
To develop an algorithm to detect significant changes in abundance of functional categories produced by the coordinated behavior of their protein components, we designed the Systems Biology Triangle (SBT). The SBT is constructed by applying the GIA algorithm to integrate from protein to category and then from category to all, as schematized in Fig. 1C (blue triangle). The variances calculated in the SBT analysis provide different levels of information. In the ideal case of complete coordination all the proteins distribute normally within each category, so that there are no protein outliers in the categories and therefore \( z_{ca} \) follows a perfect N (0, 1) distribution (Fig.1D, left). In this case, the variance \( \sigma^2_{ca} \) of the protein to category integration only reflects the experimental error by which the proteins are quantified around the corresponding category average, i.e. the experimental protein variance. In such a situation, the biological perturbation should only affect the abundance of the categories, and this effect would become evident in the category to all integration when the distribution of \( z_{ca} \) is confronted against the null hypothesis that the categories are not affected by the perturbation, i.e. \( \sigma^2_{ca} = 0 \) (Fig. 1D, left). In the extreme case of a noncoordinated behavior the perturbation affects proteins irrespective of their category, and therefore \( \sigma^2_{ca} \) would have a higher value than in the case of full coordination (Fig. 1D, right). Similarly, the perturbation should have no effect on the distribution of categories (Fig. 1D, right), which would distribute according to \( \sigma^2_{ca} = 0 \). In this situation we would not detect significantly changing categories. We speculated that in a real case the situation would be intermediate between these two extremes.

Therefore, we designed the SBT algorithm as follows: firstly, the protein to category integration is performed and the GIA-built in algorithm is applied to remove protein outliers in each category. By eliminating the protein-category outliers we assured that the abundance change of the proteins remaining in the category did not significantly deviate from the category average; we defined such a situation by stating that these proteins follow a coordinated behavior. The categories that are significantly altered by the perturbation as a consequence of the coordinated behavior of their proteins are then detected as outliers in the category to all integration, by analyzing the distribution of \( z_{ca} \) values under the null hypothesis that \( \sigma^2_{ca} = 0 \). We also defined the degree of coordination of the biological response as the fraction of nonoutlier (i.e. coordinated) proteins belonging to the changing categories in the population of proteins that change individually or form part of changing categories (Fig.1E).

SBT analysis can also improve the detection of individual protein abundance changes. These changes are detected through the analysis of the protein to all integration (Fig.1B). However, the variance \( \sigma^2_{ca} \) of the protein to all integration, which quantifies around the grand mean, which includes not only the experimental uncertainties by which proteins are quantified, but also, to some extent, the effect of the perturbation on protein abundance.

In proteomics publications it is generally assumed that the majority of the proteins do not change at the time of estimating protein variance; however, in the absence of a null hypothesis, it is impossible to determine whether this assumption is able to capture the experimental variance in a distribution influenced by a biological perturbation. We speculated that the variance \( \sigma^2_{ca} \), estimated through the SBT analysis (Fig. 1C) would be less influenced by the perturbation than \( \sigma^2_{ca} \), and therefore would constitute a more precise, conservative estimate of the experimental protein variance.

**Clustering Algorithm**—To facilitate the detection of similar categories (categories sharing many proteins), a clustering algorithm was applied. The set of changing categories was represented as a weighted directed graph, called similarity graph, where each vertex was associated to a category. Edges between two categories were weighted according to the number of proteins shared. A minimal threshold for the weights was calculated by a Durfee square-based optimization algorithm (23), and edges having a weight below the threshold were removed. This procedure left a number of isolated subgraphs containing categories that share similar sets of proteins, allowing the detection of the main category describing the behavior of the group.

**Experimental Design and Statistical Rationale**—The SBT model was tested by analyzing a total of eight different biological models subjected to quantitative proteomics by several stable isotope labeling methods. These included: 1) yeast cells treated with \( H_2O_2 \) or with vehicle (Yeast/\( H_2O_2 \)); 2) untreated yeast cells (Yeast/-) (21); 3) human bone marrow mesensphere secretome obtained from cells cultured in the presence of human serum or chicken embryo extract (Human Secretome/HS versus CE) (24); 4) liver mitochondrial-associated membranes from Cav-1 knockout or wild type mice (Mouse MAM/Caveolin-1 KO) (25); 5) total fibroblast lysates from Zmpste24\(^{-/-}\) or wild-type mice (Mouse Fibroblasts/Zmpste24 KO) (26); 6) total heat extracts from pigs after 1 h post-infarction reperfusion (27, 28), in these samples the infarcted and remote areas of the same animal were compared (Fig Heart/Infarct); 7) mouse lymphocytes obtained from HDAC6 KO and wild-type mouse spleen (Mouse Lymphocytes/HDAC6 KO); in these samples activated total spleen cells were cultured in 16% FBS-RPMI with IL-2 stimulation (29); and 8) primary mouse vascular smooth muscle cells (VSMC) treated with angiotensin-II (AngII) (see below); in these samples six independent biological preparations were used. Details about the null hypothesis used as a reference to determine significance, randomization and statistical tests used are described above. Stable isotope labeling and peptide identification and quantification methods are described below.

**Vascular Smooth Muscle Cell Culture**—Primary vascular smooth muscle cells were isolated from C57BL/6 mouse abdominal and thoracic aortas and cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) supplemented with 20% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (30). VSMC were rinsed thrice with PBS and starved for 48 h in serum-free DMEM. Cells were then treated with 1\( \mu \)M of AngII (Sigma-Aldrich) or vehicle in serum-free culture medium for 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10 h. Cells were lysed in RIPA buffer (NaCl 150 mm, 50 mm Tris pH 8.0, 1% Nonidet P-40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS) supplemented with 1 mm DTT, 3 mm EGTA, 1 mm PMSF, and protease inhibitor mixture (Sigma-Aldrich). Protein extracts were stored at –80 °C until use.

**Sample Preparation, Peptide Labeling and Fractionation, and Mass Spectrometry Analysis**—Yeast cells treated with \( H_2O_2 \) or with vehicle (Yeast/\( H_2O_2 \)) and different technical replicates of untreated yeast cells (Yeast/-) were treated and labeled with SILAC, \(^{18}O\) or iTRAQ and the resulting data integrated as described (21). Trypsin digestion of all protein extracts was performed using a robust protocol described previously (31). VSMCs and total lymphocyte mouse lysates were labeled with iTRAQ8-plex and 4-plex, respectively, according to manufacturer’s instructions. The secretome of human mesenchymes, murine liver MAMs, pig heart extracts, and mice total fibroblast lysates were subjected to \(^{18}O\) labeling (31).

Labeled peptides were subjected to peptide separation into six fractions using a Waters Oasis MCX cartridge (Waters Corp, Milford, MA). Briefly, desalted and dried peptides were taken up in 1 ml of 5 mm ammonium formate pH 3 (AF3). Each MCX cartridge was equilibrated by slowly passing 1 ml of 1:1 methanol/water across cartridge, followed by 3 ml of AF3 containing 25% acetonitrile (ACN) (v/v). Sample was applied at flow rate of 1 drop per second and cartridge was washed with 1 ml of AF3–25% ACN. The bound peptide was eluted into six fractions with 1 ml of freshly prepared buffers: (1) 500 mm AF3, 25% ACN, (2) 1 \( \mu \)l AF3, 25% ACN, (3) 1.5 \( \mu \)l AF3, 25% ACN, (4) 500 mm AF3, 1.5 m potassium chloride (KCl), 25% de ACN, (5) 1.25 m AF3, 37.5% ACN, and (6) 1 \( \mu \)l AF3, 50% de ACN. Eluted peptides were dried in speed vac, desalted on HLB OASIS cartridges (32), and stored at –20 °C until MS analysis.
The samples were analyzed using LC-MS instrumentation consisting of an Easy nano-flow HPLC system (Thermo Fisher Scientific) coupled via a nanoelectrospray ion source (Thermo Fisher Scientific) to either an LTQ-Orbitrap Elite for 18O-labeled samples or to a Q Exactive mass spectrometer for iTRAQ-labeled samples (both Thermo Fisher Scientific). For LC, C18-based reverse phase separation was used with a 2-cm trap column and a 50-cm analytical column (EASY column, Thermo). Peptides were loaded in buffer A (0.1% formic acid (v/v)) and eluted with a 360 min linear gradient of buffer B (90% acetonitrile, 0.1% formic acid (v/v)) at 200 nL/min. Mass spectra were acquired in a data-dependent manner, with an automatic switch between MS and MS/MS using a top 20 method. MS spectra were acquired in the Orbitrap analyzer with a mass range of 390–1500 m/z and 120,000 resolution at m/z 400 (Orbitrap Elite) or 390–1500 m/z and 35,000 resolution at m/z 200 (Q Exactive). CID peptide fragments, acquired at 30 of normalized collision energy (Orbitrap Elite) or HCD peptide fragment obtained at 25 of normalized collision energy (Q Exactive), were analyzed at high resolution in the Orbitrap.

**Peptide Identification and Quantification**—The raw files were analyzed with Proteome Discoverer (version 1.4, Thermo Fisher Scientific), using a Uniprot database containing all human and chicken protein sequences (November 23th, 2011; 47,609 entries) for the yeast samples, and a joint Human and Chicken SwissProt database (May 30th, 2012; 153,506 entries) for the pig samples. The raw files were analyzed with Proteome Discoverer (version 1.4, Thermo Fisher Scientific) using a Uniprot database containing all human and chicken protein sequences (November 23th, 2011; 47,609 entries) for the yeast samples, and a joint Human and Chicken SwissProt database (May 30th, 2012; 153,506 entries) for the pig samples. The raw files were analyzed with Proteome Discoverer (version 1.4, Thermo Fisher Scientific) using a Uniprot database containing all human and chicken protein sequences (November 23th, 2011; 47,609 entries) for the yeast samples, and a joint Human and Chicken SwissProt database (May 30th, 2012; 153,506 entries) for the pig samples. The raw files were analyzed with Proteome Discoverer (version 1.4, Thermo Fisher Scientific) using a Uniprot database containing all human and chicken protein sequences (November 23th, 2011; 47,609 entries) for the yeast samples, and a joint Human and Chicken SwissProt database (May 30th, 2012; 153,506 entries) for the pig samples. The raw files were analyzed with Proteome Discoverer (version 1.4, Thermo Fisher Scientific) using a Uniprot database containing all human and chicken protein sequences (November 23th, 2011; 47,609 entries) for the yeast samples, and a joint Human and Chicken SwissProt database (May 30th, 2012; 153,506 entries) for the pig samples.
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of coordination of the biological response as a measure of the fraction of proteins that are coordinated (Fig. 1E).

SBT Reveals Coordinated Protein Behavior in Real Biological Systems—To test the SBT model in practice, we first reanalyzed a published data set describing a quantitative comparison of untreated (A) and 0.5 mM H₂O₂-treated (B) Saccharomyces cerevisiae cells (Yeast/H₂O₂), obtained by integrating the information from eight different replicate experiments using a variety of stable isotope labeling approaches (21). From that study, we also used a parallel integration of eight additional experiments where replicates from non-treated samples were compared as the null hypothesis (Yeast/-). Application of the SBT to the Yeast/- experiment produced z-variables that followed the N(0,1) distribution in both the QC and CA integrations (Fig. 2A-1), with no significant category changes, indicating excellent agreement between experiment and theory. In contrast, clear evidence of coordination was found in the Yeast/H₂O₂ experiment, showing deviations from the null hypothesis at the category level (CA integration) with almost negligible deviations in proteins within categories (QC integration) (Fig. 2A-2). In addition, the proportion of protein-category outliers was remarkably low (1.6%, supplemental Table S1). To compare these results with those that would be obtained in a completely noncoordinated behavior, we randomly shuffled the quantitative data assigned to each protein without altering the protein to category relations table, so that the original relational structure and the protein redundancy in the categories were maintained; the process was repeated several times to determine average protein redundancy in the categories were maintained; the variances of proteins within their categories (QC integration) (Fig. 2) and caused category variance to disappear (compare $\sigma_{QR}^2$ with $\sigma_{QR}^2$) and caused category variance to disappear (compare $\sigma_{QR}^2$ with $\sigma_{QR}^2$) (Fig. 2B), showing that the coordination was maintained; the process was repeated several times to determine average values and confidence intervals. Randomization increased the variance of proteins within their categories (compare $\sigma_{QR}^2$ with $\sigma_{QR}^2$) and caused category variance to disappear (compare $\sigma_{QR}^2$ with $\sigma_{QR}^2$). Finally, the distributions of abundance changes ($z_{ca}$ values) of proteins within the most representative changing categories (Fig. 2C-1 and 2).

SBT analysis of the category alterations in yeast revealed clear internal coherence in the coordinated protein responses induced by H₂O₂ (supplemental Fig. S1 and supplemental Table S2). Thus, there was a general repression of biosynthetic metabolic pathways, showing that oxidative stress did not selectively alter only a minority of metabolic enzymes (21), but rather produced a profound metabolic reconfiguration. Consistently, other processes central to cellular homeostasis were also decreased, such as sulfur compound biosynthesis, cell growth and protein trafficking, and proteasome activation was consistent with the induction of a cellular response for rapid elimination of misfolded oxidized proteins (42). Finally, the rest of activated categories where consistent with active mitochondrial adaptation to adverse conditions (43), cellular restoration of metal homeostasis (44, 45), and replacement of ribosomal proteins and rRNA damaged by oxidative stress (46).

SBT was then used to analyze a collection of five high-throughput quantitative experiments performed in several biological models in response to various stimuli and using different stable isotope labeling methods. As shown in Fig. 2A 3–7, in all cases the distributions of $z_{ac}$ and $z_{ca}$ indicated the presence of a coordinated behavior, with clear deviations at the category level and almost negligible deviations of proteins within categories. Moreover, the fraction of proteins that were detected as outliers in their categories was remarkably low in all situations (supplemental Table S1). Similarly, randomization of protein values increased protein variance and almost eliminated category variance (Fig. 2B). Finally, the distributions of abundance changes ($z_{qa}$ values) of proteins within the most representative changing categories (Fig. 2C) revealed that in all the biological models most categories were displaced along the x axis while maintaining the same sigmoidal shape, as expected for a coordinated behavior.

In a further study, we analyzed whether the database used for ontological protein classification influenced the degree of coordination detected by our model. We selected three mouse experiments and repeated the SBT analysis using several functional classification databases. The degree of coordination and the fraction of coordinated categories tended to be higher when using curated databases like PANTHER and KEGG and had a tendency to diminish when using databases containing more general terms, such as GO and GO Slim (supplemental Fig. S2). However, the absolute number of coordinated categories tended to increase, because of the higher information content of the latter ones, suggesting that the optimum choice is a compromise between sensitivity and specificity.

Protein Coordination During VSMC Activation with AngII Builds Up Dynamically Over Time—We next analyzed whether the SBT model was able to detect coordinated protein abun-

![Fig. 1.](image-url) **Generic integration algorithm (GIA) for quantitative proteomics and extension to the Systems Biology Triangle (SBT).** A, Scheme with the elements of the GIA, where log₂-ratios of species in the lower level (x₁) are rolled up taking into account their quantification weights (w) to obtain the relative abundances of the species in the higher level (x₂). (21). The relations table between the elements of the lower (l) and higher levels (h) is also schematized. B, Workflow for the analysis of a quantitative proteomics experiment using GIA. C, Workflow for the systems biology analysis of a quantitative proteomics experiment including the Systems Biology Triangle (SBT) (shaded in blue). Note that the variance obtained in the protein to category integration can be used as improved estimation of the experimental protein variance (green arrow). D, Scheme of the concept of proteome coordination according to the SBT, where the behavior of a hypothetical, completely coordinated proteome response (left panel) is compared with that of a completely noncoordinated response (right panel). The black curves represent the null hypothesis. E, Definition of the degree of coordination used in this work. The letters A and B represent the proteins outliers in the red and blue categories, whereas C and D represent the coordinated proteins in the green and blue categories.
dance changes over time when primary VSMC were stimulated with AngII, a factor involved in vascular wall remodeling (47, 48). Cells were incubated with AngII for 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10h and the quantitative changes were analyzed using multiplexed isobaric labeling followed by peptide fractionation to increase proteome coverage. This experiment also served to test if coordination of the same proteins could be reproducibly observed in different biological preparations from the same cell type.

Analysis of protein abundance changes was performed by applying the GIA-derived workflow (Fig. 1B), obtaining standardized values that in all the cases and integration levels followed normal distributions (supplemental Fig. S3 and supplemental Table S3). The results revealed a reproducible pattern with a slight tendency to increase over time (Fig. 3A). We then analyzed coordinated protein changes using SBT (Fig. 1C). The quantitative protein information was integrated into functional categories using a database of more than 4500 categories constructed from IPA and DAVID repositories and also protein complexes from CORUM. 95% of the proteins could be annotated using these databases. Remarkably, the proportion of protein to category outliers was very low, and <3% in all cases (supplemental Table S3), and no evidence of coordination was detected when the data were subjected

![Fig. 2. Evidence of coordinated protein behavior in real biological systems.](image)

A. The distribution of the standardized variables describing the quantification variability among proteins within their category ($z_{qc}$) and among different categories around the grand mean ($z_{ca}$), resemble more a coordinated than a noncoordinated behavior in all proteomes analyzed. The proteomes analyzed are described in Methods. B. Degree of coordination and effect of randomization on the variances. Randomization increases the protein-category variance and diminishes the category-all variance to values that are not significantly different from zero. Data are expressed as median ± standard deviation. C. Distribution curves of the standardized variable of coordinated proteins ($z_{qa}$) plotted separately in the different changing categories.
to randomization (Fig. 3B and C). Interestingly, after removal of outliers, a clear pattern of coordinated category changes emerged, but only after 4 h of AngII treatment (Fig. 3D–E). Correlation analysis revealed that the categories altered at 4, 8, and 10 h, but not those at 2 h, were essentially the same that were altered at 6 h (Fig. 3F). Consistently, at 2 h practically all proteins changed in a noncoordinated way and hence were detected as category outliers, and these independent changes were similar along time (supplemental Fig. S4). These results indicate that proteins begin to change as early
as 2h, being this change predominantly uncoordinated, and that coordinated behavior is gradually built up at later times. We also observed that the proportion of proteins changing in a coordinated manner tended to increase with abundance (Fig. 3F), and the majority of proteins in the quartiles of higher abundance were coordinated (Fig. 3G). These findings may suggest that a tight regulation of the most abundant proteins may be required upon stimulation by AngII to maintain cell homeostasis; however, this interpretation should be taken with caution, because the lower abundance proteins have a higher protein variance, making it more difficult to detect coordinated protein behavior.

AngII Promotes Coordinated Protein Changes Consistent with a Hypertrophic and Contractile VSMC Phenotype—Because the analysis used several functional category databases, and categories were often redundant in their protein content, to simplify interpretation we developed a clustering algorithm that assembled changing categories containing similar proteins into functional clusters, so that a representative category could be defined for each cluster (supplemental Fig. S5). This step simplified the outcome, reducing the total number of AngII-sensitive protein categories that changed in a coordinated manner to 25 (supplemental Table S4); after this process only the collagen and muscle protein categories needed a further classification (supplemental Fig. S6 and supplemental Table S5; see also below). Interestingly, more than one third of the categories corresponded to known protein complexes described in CORUM, in good agreement with the expected coordinated behavior of complex-forming proteins (11, 49, 50).

A subset of these complexes were involved in DNA packing and mRNA splicing, and included the nucleosome core, composed by histones, which constitute the basic scaffold for DNA packing (51), and small nuclear ribonucleoproteins, which form part of the spliceosome (Fig. 4). Other complexes were involved in ribosomal protein synthesis, including the 40S and 60S subunits of ribosome, and in protein folding and degradation, including the prefoldin complex, the 20S core particle of proteasome and cyclophilins, which have been reported to form part of spliceosomes (52). Together these categories depict a clear picture of coordinated activation of protein synthesis, folding and turnover machineries (Fig. 4).

We also detected a coordinated increase of a group of categories that were all associated with a contractile and differentiated phenotype (Fig. 5), including muscle proteins, tropomyosins and other well-known smooth muscle components (SM22/calponin-related proteins) (53). Among these, we observed a coordinated increase in proteins composing the B-Ksr1-MEK-MAPK-14-3-3 complex, which acts as a scaffold integrating the actions of diverse proteins including kinases, transcription factors, and apoptotic molecules (54). This complex translocates to the plasma membrane in response to growth factors, regulating Ras signaling, and producing several outcomes in VSMC, ranging from contraction to proliferation (55). We also detected an increase in abundance of the 5HT3 type receptor mediated signaling pathway, which promotes calcium release from intracellular stores, causing smooth muscle contraction (56). An increase in abundance of calcium-activated proteins involved in the regulation of PGC-1α was also in clear agreement with the augmented contractile capacity of VSMC, because PGC-1α promotes biogenesis of mitochondria (57), an important intracellular calcium reservoir and ATP source for muscle contraction. Accordingly, we observed an increase in the protein abundance of most of the components of mitochondrial F1F0-ATP synthase. Interestingly, a STRING-based interaction analysis revealed that all these groups of proteins formed highly interconnected networks, which sometimes joined together several of these categories (Fig. 5). This array of physical and functional protein interactions might be the cause of the high degree of coordination between the proteins contained in these categories, and explains why these categories were captured by the SBT model.

AngII Induces Coordinated Protein Alterations Reflecting VSMC Migration and Repression of Cell Proliferation and Secretion—An additional group of coordinated categories was related to the induction of VSMC migration (Fig. 6). Among these, the cytoskeletal regulation by RhoGTPase and ARF/SAR proteins were both upregulated by AngII. RhoGTPases act as the primary Ca²⁺ sensitizers in smooth muscle, increasing the contractile output of these cells (58), and participate in the formation of focal adhesions, which are important for cell migration (59, 60). Proteins belonging to the ARF/SAR category have important roles actin remodeling (61, 62) and some of its members act in coordination with Rac1 and RhoA (63), which are implicated in cell migration. AngII-activated small GTPases have also been implicated in promoting migration in neuronal and epithelial cells (64, 65). Further evidence toward a migratory phenotype was provided by the coordinated upregulation of proteins from the Arp2/3 complex, a classical podosome component of smooth muscle cells (66), and the Upstream Regulator_MAP3K1 category. The components of this category include tenascin, a glycoprotein providing de-adhesive properties to the cells and enabling cell movement (67), and thrombospondin-1, a potent stimulus for VSMC migration (68). These changes were paralleled by downregulation of fibrillar collagen, which is known to suppress smooth muscle cell migration (69). Finally, the upregulated VSMC migration response is consistent with a progressive increase upon AngII treatment of the levels of PTGS-2 (supplemental Table S6), a well-known VSMC migration marker (70). Interestingly, the six most AngII-responsive proteins from the ARF/SAR category are regulated by the transcription factor PAX-4a (according to the Qiagen Transcription Factors Database), whereas the proteins of the rest of categories form complexes or densely interconnected networks (Fig. 6), providing a molecular basis for the detection of coordinated behavior by the SBT model.
Finally, we detected a coordinated alteration of several categories indicating a repression in cell proliferation and secretion (Fig. 7). Thus, we detected repression of the DNA-dependent ATPase MCM complex, which in eukaryotes is composed of six proteins (MCM2 to 7) and is required for initiation of chromosome replication (71, 72), and the glutamine amidotransferase category, containing mostly key enzymes involved in glutamine use for RNA and DNA synthesis (73), were both repressed (Fig. 7A). Homocysteine biosynthesis was also decreased (Fig. 7B). This pathway promotes smooth muscle cell proliferation (74) and is an important methylation route targeting DNA (75, 76), mRNA (75), and histones (77, 78). This methylation reaction is catalyzed in part by members of the protein arginine methyltransferase (Prmt) family, four of which were downregulated (Fig. 7). Histone methylation generally promotes tighter DNA packing around nucleosomes, resulting in heterochromatin formation and repression of gene expression (79). Repression of this route would thus promote more relaxed chromatin packing and consequent histone release from nucleosomes, which agrees well with the observed increased in histone levels (Fig. 4). The complement pathway, known for triggering inflammation (80)
and VSMC switch to a proliferative phenotype (81), was also downregulated (Fig. 7D). In addition, antioxidant enzymes and gluconeogenesis were coordinately upregulated (Fig. 7A). The coordinated upregulation of gluconeogenesis, which was detected together with a concomitant repression of glycolytic enzymes (Fig. 7C), is consistent with nonproliferative pheno-
type of VSMC because proliferative VSMC display a highly glycolytic phenotype (82). Similarly, the increase in antioxidant enzymes would reflect the activation of defense mechanisms. This activation would counteract the described action of AngII as a promoter of oxidative stress in VSMC (83), which has been described as a mediator for VSMC proliferation (84).

Fig. 6. AngII induces coordinated protein alterations reflecting VSMC migration. A, B, and C, have the same meaning as in Fig. 5. Proteins not known to form complexes or not forming a String interaction network are presented as squares.
Fig. 7. AngII induces coordinated protein alterations reflecting VSMC repression of cell proliferation and secretion. A, E, and F, have the same meaning as in Fig. 5. B, C, and D, Schematic representation of protein changes in the homocysteine biosynthesis, gluconeogenesis, and complement pathways, respectively. Each protein is shown as a colored square, where each stripe represents the standardized protein quantification at each time point.
Remarkably, with the exception of MCM proteins, which were detected as a CORUM complex, within each category most proteins related to repressed cell proliferation and secretion were regulated by the same transcription factors. For example, the most responsive enzymes from the homocysteine pathway were regulated by NFκB (85), a key mediator of AngII-driven proliferation and migration (86). Moreover, antioxidant enzymes are known to be regulated through antioxidant-response elements (87, 88) recognized by the nuclear factor (erythroid-derived 2)-related factor 2 (Nrf2) (89). Similarly, the complement system genes share common effectors, such as IL-1, IL-6, and interferon gamma (90). Gluconeogenesis enzymes also share common activating transcription factors, including the CREB-regulated transcription coactivator 2 and the glucocorticoid receptor (91). Together these results reveal that most coordinated categories that are discovered by the SBT model include proteins that share either physical or functional interconnections or common mechanisms of regulation.

Additional technical approaches were used to confirm the progressive acquisition of the contractile, nonproliferative, and migratory VSMC phenotype in response to AngII. Immunofluorescence staining detected increased levels of the contractile protein calponin (CNN) and decreased levels of type-III collagen (Fig. 8A), whereas Western blot revealed reduced levels of methionine adenosyltransferase 2β (Mat2β), the key regulatory enzyme of the homocysteine biosynthesis pathway (Fig. 8B). Western analysis also showed increased abundance several migration-associated factors, including tenascin (TnC), thrombospondin-1 (Thbs1), and prostaglandin-endoperoxide synthase 2 (PTGS-2) (70, 92–94) (Fig. 8C).

**Protein Outliers Maintain Their Noncoordinated Behavior Over Time and Tend to Play Differential Functional Roles**—The functional category alterations detected by our model are not affected by the presence of isolated proteins (outliers) showing high changes in abundance, because these outliers are not considered to analyze the behavior of categories. This raises the question whether these outliers have specific biological roles that explain their abnormal behavior. To answer this question we first analyzed individual protein changes and separated those proteins belonging to a changing category (as described above). Remarkably, independent proteins (that change but do not belong to a changing category) were detected as early as 2h, when coordination was not yet detectable (compare Fig. 3C with supplemental Fig. S4). Moreover, these independent proteins consistently maintained their behavior over time, displaying in all cases a close resemblance to the behavior at 6h (supplemental Fig. S4B and C). Outlier proteins thus seem to respond to the stimulus differently to proteins following a coordinated pattern.

In a second analysis, we inspected the nature of the clearest protein outliers that belonged to the 25 categories altered by AngII (supplemental Table S7). Some of them belonged to a subset of proteins with a clearly separated functionality, suggesting inappropriate classification. This was the case of some collagens and muscle proteins (supplemental Table S5 and supplemental Fig. S6). Among the remaining proteins, PROF2 and PROF1, which were opposite outliers in the same category, were found to be differentially regulated; thus, PROF1, has been described to promote cell motility, whereas PROF-2 acts as a suppressor (95). MYH10, an outlier also assigned to this category, is a nonmuscle heavy chain myosin and is known to play specialized functions in cytokinesis, concentrating in microspikes at the tips of filopodia and retraction fibers (96–98). Similarly, the ribosomal phosphoprotein P0 (Rplp0), the only outlier detected in the category of 60S ribosomal proteins, participates in protein complexes with other cytosolic proteins (Grap2 and cyclin D interacting...
protein) (99), and its free form has been implicated in other extra-ribosomal functions (100). Finally, PAI-1, the clearest example of an outlier changing in the same direction as its category, is a major mediator of the effect of AngII on VSMC (101). Together these results indicate that the outliers detected by the model correspond to proteins that are either incorrectly classified or play specific roles that are different from those of the other proteins in the category.

Finally, in a third analysis, we inspected the independent proteins. From these, we selected a subset of 21 high-response (HR) proteins (supplemental Table S8). Interestingly, most of these proteins have been reported to play a relevant role in cell signaling, migration, and proliferation (supplemental Table S8), but none of them has been previously shown to be regulated by AngII in VSMC. Taken together, our results provide compelling evidence that the protein outliers detected by the SBT model are proteins with an independent behavior, probably because of their involvement in specific functions different from those of the proteins detected as having a coordinated behavior.

**Results Obtained Using the SBT Model could not be Reproduced Using Other Representative Algorithms Widely Used by the Proteomics Community**—To compare the performance of SBT with existing methods, we tried to interpret the quantitative proteomics results using several approaches widely used by the proteomics community. The proteins showing significant abundance changes were subjected to functional enrichment and network analysis using GOrilla (102) and STRING (103). Among the proteins that showed significant abundance changes (FDR < 5%) and were up-regulated, we were only able to detected a significant enrichment in two functional categories: structural constituent of ribosome and calcium-dependent protein binding; similarly, only a significant network of functional interactions was evident among ribosomal and proteins related to calcium-binding. No significant enrichment or interaction network was detected among the proteins that had significant abundance changes and were downregulated. Similar results were obtained using GSEA (104), a functional class scoring algorithm that was not influenced by the threshold used to determine significant protein abundance changes. For GSEA analysis we used the same quantitative values at the protein level and the same functional category database used by the SBT model. Although SBT only detected coordinated categories, the sensitivity of GSEA was clearly inferior, being only able to detect 14% of the category changes detected by SBT at 5% FDR (supplemental Fig. S7). The differences were even more remarkable for categories containing less than 100 proteins, where GSEA only detected 7% of the changes detected by SBT, whereas SBT practically detected all the category changes detected by GSEA. These results suggest that the SBT model is unique not only for its ability to capture protein coordination, but also for its sensitivity to detect functional category alterations.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study we present SBT, which to the best of our knowledge is the first algorithm that analyzes coordinated protein behavior in high-throughput quantitative pairwise proteomics experiments and is able to detect functional categories affected as a consequence of coordinated protein behavior. In contrast with other approaches used to study protein coordination, which are commented in the introduction, our approach is not based on correlation analysis, but takes information from ontological databases to classify proteins into functional categories. SBT directly addresses the question whether a protein has a coordinated behavior within a category by determining if it has the same relative abundance change as the other proteins in the category. Significant changes at the category level are then detected using only the population of proteins that have a coordinated behavior in each category. Consistently, we define the degree of coordination as the fraction of changing proteins that are coordinated, including in the calculation the proteins that belong to changing categories. This approach allows the analysis of protein coordination when only two conditions are compared, making it possible to measure the evolution and consistency of coordination over time. Here we show that this approach can capture coordinated protein behavior in seven different biological models subjected to various kinds of perturbations. However, in our extensive experience with the model we have successfully detected functional changes because of coordinated action of proteins in more than 40 comparative pairwise experiments. For this reason, we believe that the SBT model provides the proteomics community with a very useful tool for biological interpretation of pairwise quantitative proteomics experiments, obviating the need to calculate correlations in large sample data sets.

Because our model needs previous ontological knowledge about the quantified proteins, it is expected to be very sensitive to the quality and exhaustiveness of the classification. Indeed, we found a higher degree of coordination in databases using a more exhaustive classification method, such as PANTHER and KEGG, whereas larger, less curated databases, tended to detect a higher number of coordinated proteins and categories, but with a lower degree of coordination (supplemental Fig. S2). We also found that inclusion of information about protein complexes, such as the CORUM database, was particularly useful for detecting coordination, in agreement with the evidence that subunits of complexes have a remarkable tendency to coregulated (8, 11, 14). Interestingly, we found that most of the outliers analyzed here seem to play a differential role, like regulatory or signaling functions, suggesting that our algorithm can be used to detect protein abundance changes of particular biological relevance. Our finding resembles results obtained in a previous report, showing that deviation from the correlation pattern by one or more
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proteins indicated a specific function during the biological process (14).

Quantitative transcriptomics data is usually interpreted in relation to biological knowledge stored in databases, a procedure known as gene set analysis or pathway analysis (105). These knowledge databases can contain ontological information about genes, like GO or Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG), or provide information about gene/protein interactions and how and where these occur, like Reactome or STRING. Pathway analysis algorithms that use ontological information are classified into two major subtypes: over-representation (ORA) and functional class scoring (FCS) (105). ORA, also known as enrichment analysis, statistically evaluates whether the subset of genes showing significant expression changes relative to a given threshold is enriched in a given category (ontology) (106, 107). This approach is widely used to analyze quantitative proteomics data, but algorithms of this kind only consider significantly changing proteins and therefore ignore most of the acquired quantitative information; moreover, they do not consider protein abundance or fold-change information. In FCS methods, the quantitative values of all genes from a category are integrated to produce a category-level value (105), which is statistically analyzed to determine significant category changes (104, 108–110). Although these threshold-free methods have also been used in proteomics and take account of all the information obtained, they were originally designed to treat transcriptomics data and therefore do not take optimal account of specific characteristics of protein quantification by mass spectrometry. Particularly, they do not consider the large dynamic range of protein concentrations typical of biological systems, which makes MS-based quantification of proteins present in low amounts very challenging and, in general, less reliable. This problem is aggravated by undersampling, whereby the number of peptides used to quantify a protein is variable and cannot be controlled (21). Furthermore, current FCS methods are not designed to analyze the presence and extent of coordination in protein behavior.

The algorithm presented in this work is conceptually inspired on the WSPP model, an algorithm that we developed to treat quantitative proteomics data produced by mass spectrometry using stable isotope labeling techniques (21). The SBT model introduces a generic integration algorithm that rigorously integrates errors made at the inferior level with the error made at the superior level, allowing full control of the error associated with the integrated elements (i.e., proteins or categories) so that quantitative information may be analyzed using the standardized $z$ variable (i.e., abundance changes expressed in units of standard deviations). The SBT model takes into account the dynamic range of protein abundances by introducing statistical weights when performing data integration, and also addresses the problem of undersampling. The model allows the detection of protein to category outliers, so that analysis of categories after outlier removal allows the detection of significant category changes that are produced by proteins acting in a coordinated manner, and hence can also be used as a robust functional class scoring algorithm. These properties of SBT make this model unique to treat quantitative proteomics data and to detect functional alterations that take place in a coordinated manner. These properties are not shared by other algorithms like GOrilla, STRING, or GSEA, and this fact explains why in this work they were not as sensitive as the SBT model to detect the functional categories affected by AngII in VSMC.

We should also note here that the SBT model is based in a null hypothesis that has been experimentally shown. In previous studies we showed that the $z$ variable at different levels consistently follows the expected $N(0, 1)$ distribution (21, 31, 111). Here, using two lines of evidence, we further show that, in the null hypothesis, the standardized variable at the category level ($z_{cat}$) also follows the standard normal distribution. First, we show the absence of category changes in a null hypothesis experiment comparing two preparations of untreated yeast cells. Second, we show the absence of category changes when protein to category assignments were randomized, a process that disrupts any underlying coordination at the category levels. Therefore, the category changes may be detected by robust estimates of statistical significance. Notably, our integration model assigns more weight to quantifications of higher quality, making it unnecessary to eliminate poor quantifications (21, 111).

In this report, we present the first quantitative, time-course proteomics study on the effects of a vascular remodeling factor, AngII, on VSMC. We show the discriminatory power of SBT by unraveling with unprecedented molecular detail the coordinated mechanisms taking place during AngII stimulation of VSMC. The robustness of the model is supported by remarkable maintenance of coordination in the same categories in different VSMC preparations over time. Although from our data we cannot judge whether this regulation occurs at transcriptional or posttranscriptional levels, our finding that the vast majority of coordinated categories are protein complexes, metabolic pathways or proteins forming interaction networks, suggests that protein-protein interactions play an important role in producing tight coregulation of protein levels. This conclusion is supported by detection of proteins with a seemingly uncoordinated behavior at the earliest time points, whereas the coordinated response elicited by AngII in VSMC builds up gradually, suggesting an adjustment of the regulatory protein machinery.

Some of the proteins described here to be affected by AngII have been previously observed as AngII sensitive in smooth muscle and other type of cells, including calponin (112), contractile proteins (113), small GTP-binding proteins (114), Rho-associated protein kinase (115), MAP kinases (116–118), and histones (119–122). However, the vast majority of the proteins detected here as being implicated in the coordinated action of
AngII have not been described previously, and all of them together provide for the first time a global picture of the early phenotypic alterations taking place in VSMC. The increases in the protein synthesis, folding and turnover machineries suggest the induction of a hypertrophic phenotype where for the first time we show the ability of AngII to activate splicingom and prefoldin subunits. This phenotype is consistent with the observed reinforcement in contractility and migration, where we describe a novel effect of AngII as activator of the SHT3 type receptor mediated signaling pathway, the complex-V of electron transport chain and the proteins of Arp2/3 complex. The decrease in fibrillar collagen during early times of AngII activation is also a completely new finding in the sense that it is opposite to the upregulatory effect produced by AngII on VSMC collagens at longer incubation times (48 h) (123). Finally, we also detect a general repression of proliferative and secretory protein categories, including the DNA-dependent ATPase MCM complex, the glutamine amidotransferase, and the complement, gluconeogenesis and homocysteine biosynthesis pathways, which have never been observed before to be downregulated by AngII in VSMC. These results therefore suggest that at early times AngII induces migration and inhibits proliferation in VSMC. This characterization of novel signaling pathways and effector molecules regulated by AngII in VSMCs during the early phase response might facilitate the identification of therapeutic targets for intervention in many cardiovascular diseases that occur with vascular wall remodeling.
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