Acute evaluation of static and dynamic stability of the lumbopelvic region after paravertebral stretching
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Abstract
Introduction and objectives. Since the paravertebral muscles promote dynamic stability to protect the spine, the aim of this study was to verify the association between the acute effect of the stretching support time for the multifidus muscle, and changes in the static and dynamic stability of the lumbopelvic region.

Materials and method. A total of 46 volunteers were cross-submitted to three different stretching interventions for the multifidus muscle, being the manutention of stretching time the variation between interventions: 10, 30 and 60 seconds. Each volunteer was submitted to the three interventions with a minimum interval of 7 days and a maximum of 10 days. The order of the interventions was determined by lot. First, pre-intervention values for static and dynamic stability were collected using a biofeedback pressure unit. Subsequently, the sample was forwarded for intervention according to its group; after the completion of the same, data were collected on post-intervention stability. The data were analyzed using SPSS 20.0 software and Chi-square test, with a significance level of 5% (α = 0.05).

Results. There was no significant association between the manutention of stretching time and static stability, being χ²(4)=0.812; p=0.949. Similarly, there was no significant association between the stretching support time and dynamic stability, and χ²(4)=1.517; p=0.827.

Conclusion. In conclusion, there was no significant association between stretching time of the paravertebral muscles and static and dynamic stability.
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INTRODUCTION

Static stretching is defined as the act of stretching until a feeling of stretching is reached, and keeping the muscle in this position for a certain period of time. It is usually used in clinical and sport settings with the aim of increasing joint range of motion and reducing the risk of injuries [1]. However, some studies have reported adverse effects of this type of stretching on muscle performance. Recent reviews have addressed a dose-response effect in which long stretching duration (more than 60 seconds) cause impairments in athletic and clinical performance [2, 3]. This happens because motor messages are slowly transmitted because of deformations of the plastic and elastic muscle components. Therefore, long stretching time allows the relaxation of muscle fibers, causing significant reduction in muscle tone and activation of the parasympathetic system [1].

As previously mentioned, the muscles have elastic components. These comprise of the tendons and part of the structure of the bridges that join the actin and myosin filaments [4]. When submitted to static stretching exercises, there is an increase in muscular complacency that can limit the coupling of the crossed bridge, decreasing the capacity of the muscle to produce strength. Thus, protection of other structures promoted by the muscle is diminished, generating, among others, a decrease in the dynamic stability of the spine, caused by the paravertebral muscles [5].

Among the paravertebral muscles, those relevant to this study are the multifidus, because they control the stabilization of the lumbar spine, and provide support and segmental control [6]. As a method for stretching and strengthening them, the Williams Series exercises can be used because, developed by Paul Williams, were aimed initially at promoting trunk stability in patients with chronic low back pain [5].

Based on this information, it is noted that there is evidence proving that static stretches maintained for 60 seconds or more are harmful to muscle performance; and that the Williams Series exercises promote stretching of the paravertebral muscles. However, there is no conclusive evidence associating the effects of static stretching on the stability of the lumbopelvic musculature, promoted by the multifidus.

Therefore, the research issue of this study seeks to verify how the static and dynamic stabilization of the deep lumbopelvic musculature is affected when submitted to an exercise of the Williams Series with different maintenance time of static stretching.
OBJECTIVE
To verify the association between the acute effect of the stretching support time for the multifidus muscle and the changes in the static and dynamic stability of the lumbopelvic region.

MATERIALS AND METHOD
This crossed clinical trial study was approved by the Ethics Committee on Research Involving Human Beings of the Universidade Estadual do Oeste do Paraná – UNIOESTE (Protocol 2748177). All volunteers signed a free and informed consent form in two copies, one of which was retained by the volunteer and the other by the researcher.

The sample consisted of 46 volunteers of both genders, aged between 18 – 35 years, with no history of musculoskeletal lesion in the spine or lower limbs. All volunteers were cross-submitted to three different stretching interventions for the multifidus muscle, being the manutention of stretching time with variation between interventions: 10, 30 and 60 seconds. Each volunteer was submitted to the three interventions with a minimum interval of 7 days and a maximum of 10 days. The order of the interventions was determined by lot.

The inclusion criteria were: no history of spinal or lower limb disorders, either acute or chronic, in the last 12 months and no regular and systematic physical activity. No volunteers with a history of abdominal, hip and spine surgeries participated in the study, regardless of the time they were performed; pregnant women; those who use medications that promote muscle relaxation.

Collections took place in the Laboratory of Integrative Biodynamic Evaluation of the Human Movement of the Physiotherapy course of UNIOESTE. Initially, the volunteers were informed about the objectives and procedures of the research and were later invited to give their consent to participate in the study. Each volunteer participated in a battery of tests, clinical evaluation and familiarization, totaling four visits to the laboratory with a minimum of 7 days and a maximum of 10 days between visits.

During the first visit, a brief clinical evaluation was performed, consisting of personal data, history of injury and use of medications. The volunteer was then familiarized with the intervention procedure. The stretching proposed being the “Knees in the Breast” exercise, number three in the Williams Series. The participant was positioned in a supine position, with the knees flexed, the feet supported on the stretcher and the arms relaxed at the side of the trunk. On the therapist’s command, the volunteer took the feet off the stretcher, lifted the knees towards the chest and held them with his hands for the time previously stipulated for each intervention. Only then, after the researcher’s command, the legs were relaxed. In this familiarization, repetitions were performed with the help of the evaluator until the volunteer had learned the correct execution of the stretching.

Familiarization was also made with the movements of static and dynamic stability evaluation, which were evaluated by a MioStab (Miotech®, Porto Alegre, Brazil) biofeedback pressure unit (BPU). Only after familiarization was the order of interventions for each volunteer drawn. In all tests, the subjects were placed in the dorsal decubitus position, with arms extended along the body; knees bent, and feet supported on the stretcher. The BPU bag was inflated to the pressure established for each test, and positioned horizontally and centrally in the region comprising the last ribs and the posterior superior iliac spine (PSIS). After positioning, the subject was asked to perform a forced respiratory cycle and, if necessary, pocket pressure was adjusted again. The execution of the tests followed the recommendations suggested in the equipment manual [7].

For the static stability evaluation, the pressure bag was inflated to a pressure of 40 mmHg and the volunteer instructed to breathe normally and, upon exhaling, to contract the muscles of the posterior region of the trunk, trying to remove the contact of the back with the equipment. This compression should last at least 10 seconds. The classification of the change was: maintained, when there was no change in static stability before and after stretching; worsened, when the stability at the prestretching moment was better than at the post-stretching condition; improved, when the stability at the prestretching moment was worse than at the post-stretching condition.

To evaluate the dynamic stability, the pressure bag was inflated to a pressure of 40 mmHg and the volunteer was instructed to breathe normally and, upon expiration, to abduct one of the lower limbs in order to touch the lateral face of the limb on the stretcher, keeping the foot support in the maximum possible range, returning to the initial position afterwards. Three attempts were requested, with an interval of two minutes between each one. In this test, the volunteer’s ability to at least maintain the established initial pressure was evaluated. In the cases in which the subject could not maintain the minimum pressure of 40 mmHg during the test, the dynamic stability was considered deficient.

During visits two to four, stability tests initially took place. Subsequently, the stretching exercise was applied, always following the same procedure, and variations occurred only with respect to sustaining the same time of 10, 30 or 60 seconds. After the intervention, the stability was reassessed. In the case of the CG, the participants underwent both pre- and post-evaluations, but as an intervention they were instructed only to remain lying supine, with the lower limbs extended, as relaxed as possible, for 30 seconds.

SPSS 20.0 software was used for statistical analysis. The level of significance adopted was 5% (α=0.05). The statistical test used was the Chi-square test with a 3x3 contingency table. The effect size was expressed by the relative risk, which was calculated according to a methodology previously described in the literature [8].

RESULTS
A total of 46 volunteers participated in the study with a mean age of 20.3±2.1 years, height 1.65±0.07 m and body mass 67.2±11.9 kg.

There was no significant association between the stretching support time and static stability – χ²(4)=0.812; p=0.949. Similarly, there was no significant association between the stretching support time and dynamic stability, and χ²(4)=1.517; p=0.827. The 3x3 contingency tables of frequency distribution, both for the effects of the stretching support time on static and dynamic stability, are shown in Tables 1 and 2, respectively.

The results described in Table 1 represent the fact that, based on the odds, when sustaining the stretching for 10
strength that the lumbar region has, the better the capacity to store elastic energy, creating better stability, avoiding possible disorders that would trigger pain.

In a study conducted by Paungmali et al. [13], 25 individuals with chronic low back pain underwent three experimental conditions: lumbopelvic stabilization training (using a biofeedback pressure unit); placebo (automated cycling); and control (rest). As a result, there was an improvement in stability after muscle strength training in the lumbo pelvic region, thus reaffirming that described previously by Goulart et al. [12].

However, despite the fact that the literature states that stretching promotes a reduction in muscle strength, which is directly related to stabilization, in this study there was no significant association – positive or negative – between stretching and static and dynamic lumbo pelvic stability.

CONCLUSION

There was no significant association between the stretching time of the multifidus muscle in the static and dynamic stability of the lumbo pelvic region.
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