High-performance liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry in the identification and determination of phase I and phase II drug metabolites
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Abstract Applications of tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS) techniques coupled with high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) in the identification and determination of phase I and phase II drug metabolites are reviewed with an emphasis on recent papers published predominantly within the last 6 years (2002–2007) reporting the employment of atmospheric pressure ionization techniques as the most promising approach for a sensitive detection, positive identification and quantitation of metabolites in complex biological matrices. This review is devoted to in vitro and in vivo drug biotransformation in humans and animals. The first step preceding an HPLC-MS bioanalysis consists in the choice of suitable sample preparation procedures (biomatrix sampling, homogenization, internal standard addition, deproteination, centrifugation, extraction). The subsequent step is the right optimization of chromatographic conditions providing the required separation selectivity, analysis time and also good compatibility with the MS detection. This is usually not accessible without the employment of the parent drug and synthesized or isolated chemical standards of expected phase I and sometimes also phase II metabolites. The incorporation of additional detectors (photodiode-array UV, fluorescence, polarimetric and others) between the HPLC and MS instruments can result in valuable analytical information supplementing MS results. The relation among the structural changes caused by metabolic reactions and corresponding shifts in the retention behavior in reversed-phase systems is discussed as supporting information for identification of the metabolite. The first and basic step in the interpretation of mass spectra is always the molecular weight (MW) determination based on the presence of protonated molecules [M+H]+ and sometimes adducts with ammonium or alkali-metal ions, observed in the positive-ion full-scan mass spectra. The MW determination can be confirmed by the [M-H]− ion for metabolites providing a signal in negative-ion mass spectra. MS/MS is a worthy tool for further structural characterization because of the occurrence of characteristic fragment ions, either MSn analysis for studying the fragmentation patterns using trap-based analyzers or high mass accuracy measurements for elemental composition determination using time of flight based or Fourier transform mass analyzers. The correlation between typical functional groups found in phase I and phase II drug metabolites and corresponding neutral losses is generalized and illustrated for selected examples. The choice of a suitable ionization technique and polarity mode in relation to the metabolite structure is discussed as well.
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Introduction

A wide variety of manufactured and natural chemicals such as drugs, industrial chemicals, pollutants, pesticides, pyrolytic products from cooked food, secondary plant metabolites...
(polyphenols, flavonoids, glycosides, terpenes, steroids, alkaloids, antibiotics) and toxins from bacteria, molds, plants and animals can influence the vital functions of an organism and they represent serious risks for its homeostasis, integrity and health. These categories of chemical compounds are usually denoted as xenobiotics, foreign compounds or exogenous compounds. The ability of the organism to cope with exposure of xenobiotics is dependent on the existence of various protective and defensive mechanisms leading to the consecutive detoxication connected usually with an increase of the hydrophilicity via metabolism and with the excretion of modified xenobiotics from the organism.

This review is focused on the methodical approaches in drug bioanalysis. Drugs are extensively employed xenobiotics used for the prevention, diagnosis or therapy of various diseases and pathological states. For the possibility to monitor the movement of drugs in various body compartments, their conversion in tissues and organs and their excretion from the organism, suitable bioanalytical methods have to be developed and validated. The development and validation of bioanalytical methods involve sample preparation steps followed by the separation of the drug and its metabolites from interfering endogenous compounds and the detection of analytes by various detection principles. Every experienced bioanalyst should be familiar with the fate of the drug after its administration into the body and the disposition of the organism with the drug molecule during the movement among various body compartments. This information is very important for the planning of required absorption–distribution–metabolism–excretion (ADME) studies.

From the chemical structure and physicochemical properties of the drug, the bioanalyst should be able to forecast (1) the probable behavior of the drug during its transfer through various biological membranes and among various body compartments and (2) the expected chemical structures of drug metabolites formed during phase I and phase II biotransformation. This knowledge may help during the selection of suitable sample preparation steps. In the subsequent instrumental analysis, the selection of a suitable liquid-phase separation technique and detection principle (photodiode-array, fluorescence, polarimetric, mass-spectrometric detection) should be performed.

Pharmacological and xenobiochemical notes

The drug is administered in necessary doses usually repeatedly into the organism via parenteral (intravenous, intramuscular, subcutaneous, intraperitoneal), enteral (oral, sublingual, rectal) or inhalation routes [1, 2]. Transdermal administration, when the drug is dosed on the skin together with an enhancer of transdermal penetration, is sometimes also employed for systemic drug delivery [3]. Further drug movement among the individual compartments of the organism and its interaction with the macromolecules (transporters, receptors, enzymes, etc.) can be divided into four phases: absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion. Detailed information about the fate of drugs in an organism is available in many monographs [1, 2, 4, 5]. In this text only the basic facts needed for the bioanalyst are discussed.

The absorption is the transfer of a drug from the site of administration to the distribution compartment (bloodstream). As the preparation of a sample from blood and its derivatives (plasma, serum) is relatively simple, the identification and determination of the drug and its metabolites in blood (plasma, serum) is often employed in pharmacokinetic studies (including bioequivalence studies). The actual concentration of the drug (active metabolite) should range between subtherapeutic and toxic concentrations. Therapeutic drug monitoring employs bioanalytical methods for the individual optimization of therapeutic dosing in patients with different ability to absorb, metabolize and excrete the administered drug (patients with intestinal, hepatic and/or renal malfunction). Bioequivalence studies compare the pharmacokinetic parameters of two drug forms (test vs. reference) administered sequentially to volunteers and are also based on the instrumental determination of the drug or its active metabolite(s) [6, 7].

The drug distribution is the process by which the drug leaves the bloodstream and enters the interstitium (extracellular fluid) or the tissue cells, including target tissues for the drug. The receptors located in target tissues interact with the drug molecules and trigger the corresponding pharmacodynamical (therapeutic) effect. If drug analysis in target tissue is needed, the tissue sample has to be homogenized in a suitable medium before further sample preparation steps.

The drug administered into the organism interacts not only with above mentioned receptors but also with other macromolecules, such as enzymes and nucleic acids. During the drug circulation in the organism, its chemical structure is usually enzymatically modified [4, 5]. The sense of this drug metabolism (biotransformation) consists in the increase of drug hydrophilicity in order to facilitate its excretion from the organism, predominantly via the uroepoietic system and the gastrointestinal tract. The modification of the chemical structure of the parent drug is catalyzed by various drug-metabolizing enzymes [8–11], which are localized preponderantly in liver, but enzyme activities were also found in lung, intestine, kidney, brain and blood. When the drug is administered orally, it may be absorbed from the gastrointestinal tract by either passive diffusion or active transport via carrier proteins. Being absorbed across the gastrointestinal tract, the drug must traverse the portal system and undergoes the so-called first-pass metabolism before entering the systemic circulation [1, 4, 5]. Hence, the bioavailability of drugs, which are rapidly metabolized by the liver or intestinal enzymes, is relatively low, because the active drugs are changed to more hydrophilic and usually inactive metabo-
lites. Some of these metabolites are released into the systemic circulation and excreted via the uropoietic system; some of them are transported from liver to bile and via the gastrointestinal tract are excreted from the organism. The enterohepatic recycling may prolong the pharmacological effect of certain drugs and their metabolites [12]. Urine, bile, intestinal content and feces contain large amounts of drug metabolites. From the view of bioanalysts, these biomatrices are most important sources of interesting chemical structures formed during the drug biotransformation [13–17].

The reactions catalyzed by xenobiotic biotransforming enzymes can be divided into two groups called phase I and phase II reactions. Phase I reactions involve oxidation [8], reduction [9–11], hydrolysis [18, 19] and some other less frequent reactions, for example, chiral inversion [20, 21]. The oxidation, reduction and hydrolysis reactions expose or introduce a reactive functional group (−OH, −SH, −NH2, −NH−, −COOH) in the molecule of parent drug. These phase I metabolites, but also the parent drug possessing one or more of the above-mentioned functional groups, may significantly increase their hydrophilicity during phase II biotransformation reactions by the conjugation of a xenobiotic molecule (drug or its metabolites) with an eobiotic (endogenous) molecule (glucuronic acid, amino acid, etc.). Two of the phase II reactions (acylation, methylation) cause increased lipophilicity, but on the other hand the detoxication of an irritant group in the molecule of the xenobiotic compound, for example, 4-aminophenol → N-acetyl-4-aminophenol (i.e., paracetamol), sulfane contained in flatus → dimethyl sulfide. Most of these reactions (glucuronidation, sulfation, conjugation with glutathione, GSH, amino acids) lead to a large increase in xenobiotic hydrophilicity; hence, they greatly promote the excretion of the drug from the body.

Sample preparation

A representative biomatrix sampling is the first important step of an effective bioanalysis. The fluid samples (blood, urine, bile) are mostly well accessible and treatable; the solid samples (feces, tissues) have to be homogenized (Potter-Elvehjem homogenizer or ultrasonic disintegrator) in a suitable medium (for example, phosphate buffer). As early as possible in the assay, a suitable amount of the chosen internal standard should be added to account for losses and errors introduced throughout the sample preparation process. Analytes contained in the homogenized samples have to be separated from endogenous macromolecules in the biomatrix and transferred into a solution containing the analytes studied and only a minimized amount of endogenous compounds. Many processes (filtration, centrifugation, derivatization, solvent evaporation, solvent pH adjustment) can be employed at this stage of bioanalysis in order to increase the recovery of analytes during the final extraction.

The presence of any phase II metabolite in the biomatrix can be preliminarily verified using xenobiochemical experiments with β-glucuronidase and/or arylsulfatase [14, 17, 22–25]. These two enzymes are able to quite selectively and quantitatively cleave the ether or ester bond between glucuronic or sulfuric acid and the xenobiotic part of the phase II drug metabolite under the back-formation of the phase I drug metabolite. Comparative high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) analyses of the sample incubated without an enzyme and the same sample after enzymatic treatment using β-glucuronidase and/or arylsulfatase afford qualitative and quantitative information about phase I and phase II drug metabolites in the biological sample [14].

The derivatization is a chemical modification of the analyte(s) in the sample leading to the stabilization of labile molecule(s) before the instrumental analysis [26], to increase the lipophilicity and extraction yield [27], to mask functional group(s) causing separation problems during the chromatography (e.g., esterification of carboxylic acids) or to introduce a chromophore (fluorophore) into the molecule of the analyte to improve spectrophotometric detection of a weakly absorbing analyte (a detection-oriented derivatization [28]). When HPLC–mass spectrometry (MS) analyses are used, only the first three arguments for the derivatization are plausible, but additionally the derivatization can lead to the characteristic fragmentation applicable, for example, for distinguishing positional isomers [29].

The extraction of the drug, its metabolites and the internal standard is usually the final part of the sample preparation process, enabling the preconcentration of analytes into a small volume before the instrumental analysis. In the drug bioanalyses, the following types of extraction procedures are mostly employed [30]: liquid−liquid extraction [31], solid-phase extraction [32–34], solid-phase microextraction [35–37], supercritical fluid extraction [38] and specific extraction using molecularly imprinted polymers [39].

Bioanalysis based on HPLC-MS measurements combines the separation efficiency of the chromatographic columns with the detection power of MS. In general, MS is considered to be one of the most universal tools for the identification and determination of xenobiotics. However, MS detection must be preceded by separation techniques (e.g., HPLC, capillary electrophoresis or gas chromatography after the derivation) for the structural discrimination of positional isomers and stereoisomers based on different retention times of positional isomers [40] or stereoisomers [41], or additionally by polarimetric or circular-dichroism detectors based on the measurement of the changed direction of plane-polarized light when passing through the individual concentration zones of both enantiomers [41]. Figure 1 shows the polarimetric detection (Fig. 1b) of two enantiomeric metabolites formed by the reduction of the keto group to a secondary alcohol in comparison with UV detection.
The potential of a photodiode-array UV detector should not be underestimated, because it can, in some cases, differentiate the positional isomers, e.g., the position of O-acetylation is identified on the basis of the UV spectra [17]. When metabolic products have a strong change in the chromophoric system, UV spectra enable one to distinguish different chromophoric groups of metabolites [40], e.g., dimeflurone has a carbonyl group in the conjugation with three aromatic rings. When this carbonyl is reduced to alcohol, the conjugation system and the corresponding UV spectra are significantly changed (Fig. 2). The hyphenation approach to the bioanalysis (the combination of HPLC with photodiode-array UV, fluorescence, polarimetry, NMR and MS as detection techniques) offers the most comprehensive analytical information involving the chromatographic behavior of individual analytes under study and the information from individual detectors. These data are usually sufficient for the identification of the chemical structures of unknown metabolites and for their determination in the extract from the biomatrix together with the parent compound.

High-performance liquid chromatography

The structural changes caused by metabolic reactions obviously have appropriate effects on the chromatographic behavior of metabolites (Tables 1 and 2). In most cases, the metabolic changes lead to an increased polarity of the metabolites and therefore decreased retention in reversed-phase (RP) HPLC systems in relation to the parent drug. The polarity increase and simultaneous retention decrease effects may be especially strong for phase II metabolites, for example, sulfates, glucuronides and other polar conjugates (Table 2). The separation of the parent drug and polar metabolites in one chromatographic run usually requires the use of gradient elution and often the addition of ionic modifiers into the mobile phase to increase the retention of polar conjugates [30]. Common HPLC methods for the analysis of drugs and their metabolites without MS detection frequently rely on the use of nonvolatile buffers and additives, such as phosphate buffers and other inorganic additives. These nonvolatile additives cannot be recommen-
ded for HPLC-MS coupling owing to the possible contamination of the ion source and ion optics, and also strong ion-suppression effects [42, 43]. The orthogonal geometry of the ion source can somewhat reduce the contamination and suppression effects [42], but anyway it is better to substitute nonvolatile additives by more volatile analogs providing in most cases comparable chromatographic performance. The concentration of additives should be kept as low as possible, maintaining the required retention selectivity as one aspect, but avoiding the contamination and ion-suppression effects as another point of view. Inorganic acids in the mobile phase should be replaced by organic acids (formic or acetic acid) with typical concentrations lower than 0.1% [44, 45], but sometimes higher [46, 47]. For an alkaline environment, ammonium hydroxide in a similar concentration range can be used with HPLC columns with an extended stability at alkaline pH [18, 48]. Typical volatile salts in HPLC-MS are ammonium acetate or formate with a common concentration range from 2 to 10 mmol/l [42, 49–52], rarely higher [30, 53]. Trifluoroacetic acid is a favorite ionic additive in bioassays [54, 55], but it may cause serious ion-suppression effects in HPLC-MS. If ion-pairing HPLC is needed for the successful separation, then dialkylammonium or trialkylammonium acetates or formates [42] are recommended for negatively charged analytes and perfluorated carboxylic acids [43] for positively charged analytes. For HPLC-MS analysis of chiral drugs or metabolites formed with a new chiral center (e.g., keto reduction to alcohol [40, 56]), a chiral chromatographic column should be used for proper analytical characterization of metabolites [41, 57]. The typical column packings for chiral separations of metabolites are α-acid glycoprotein [58, 59], cellulose tris(3,5-dimethylphenylcarbamate) [41], vancomycin [60], etc. Polarimetric detection is an obvious detection choice for the chiral separation, because it can distinguish enantiomers, unlike MS detection [61].

The current trend in pharmaceutical analysis is the reduction of the analysis time and the increase in the sample throughput, without sacrificing the separation selectivity. Various approaches can be used to achieve these goals. The first possibility is shortening the column length together with reduction of the particle diameter. If the particle size is below 2 μm (sub-2-μm particles), then there is a substantial increase of the column backpressure, which may be technically solved by the development of instrumentation and columns surviving such high pressures, so-called ultraperformance liquid chromatography [49, 62–64]. Another important parameter is temperature, because separation at increased temperature reduces the analysis time and also decreases the column backpressure owing to the decreased viscosity at higher temperature [65]. In addition to C18 silica columns as the gold standard in RP-HPLC, other packing materials may be used as well, shorter alkyls C12 [54, 66] and C8 [67–69], a medium-polarity nitrile column [70] for polar analytes or longer alkyls such as C30 for hydrophobic drugs [34]. Hydrophilic interaction chromatography (HILIC) is based on the hydrophilic interactions between ionic analytes and zwitterionic groups covalently bonded to silica or polymer beads, e.g., −CH2N+(CH3)2CH2CH2CH3SO3−, which provides a separation mechanism orthogonal to that of RP-HPLC [71]. The great potential of HILIC for polar phase II and also phase I metabolites has been demonstrated in several papers [55, 72, 73] and in many presentations at recent chromatographic conferences. Ionic analytes often have little or no retention in RP-HPLC and ionic mobile-phase additives are troublesome because of MS coupling, so HILIC seems to be the most promising direction for HPLC–tandem MS (MS/MS) analysis of ionic metabolites. Another approach for ionic metabolites is based on the combination of RP and weak anion-exchange separation mechanisms applied in HPLC-MS/MS [74]. An alternative approach to the architecture of chromatographic columns packed with fine particles is the use of monolithic columns [75]. Their high permeability allows the use of higher flow rates and therefore shorter chromatographic runs, as demonstrated for the separation of bupropion metabolites in 23 s [75] at a flow rate of 2 ml/min, which may require flow splitting in the HPLC-MS arrangement. In general, the different types of column packing and column type (particle vs. monoliths) offer different chromatographic selectivity and therefore the fine-tuning of complex separations is feasible. The role of careful optimization of separation conditions should not be overlooked even with MS/MS detection, because some structural isomers cannot be distinguished by MS, e.g., positional isomers and enantiomers.

Another issue in biological analysis is the limited sample amount, which directs attention to columns with reduced inner diameter, such as microcolumns or capillary HPLC columns [76, 77]. The most recent developments deal with the use of separation on a chip, which may be easily coupled with nanoelectrospray ionization [78] with extremely low sample and solvent consumption and enormous sensitivity.

High-performance liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry

MS is considered the third most powerful spectral technique in the structural elucidation of unknown organic compounds, but it has some distinct advantages over X-ray diffraction and NMR spectroscopy in the field of bioanalytical assays. MS can be easily coupled with both gas-phase and liquid-phase separation techniques in the analysis of complex biological samples, it has an extremely high sensitivity, low sample consumption and required information may be obtained relatively easily by a proper selection of ionization technique and mass analyzer. For these reasons, HPLC-MS/MS plays a prominent role in the analysis of biotransforma-
Table 1 Effects of common phase I metabolic reactions on the mass-spectrometric behavior and retention in comparison with the parent drug

| Nominal mass shift (ΔDa) | Metabolic reaction (elemental composition change) | Exact mass shift (mDa) | Examples of relative retention shift | References |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------|
| –                       | Azo reduction to amines (R₁N = NR₂ to R₁NH₂ + R₂NH₂) | –                      | –                                    | [145]      |
| –14ᵇ                   | Hydrolysis of esters to carboxylic acid (-CH₂₄H₃₃) | –15.7x                 | –                                    | [106]      |
| –90                    | Reductive debenzylation (-C₇H₆)                   | –47.0                  | 0.74 [134]                           | [134]      |
| –78                    | Reductive debromination (-Br+H)                   | +89.5                  | –                                    | [113]      |
| –74                    | Oxidative debenzylation (-C₇H₆+O)                 | –52.0                  | –                                    | [180]      |
| –68                    | Loss of CF₃ (-CF₃+H)                              | +12.6                  | –                                    | [107]      |
| –62                    | Oxidative debromination (-Br+OH)                  | +84.4                  | 0.39 [17]                           | [17]       |
| –56                    | Debutylation (-C₃H₆)                              | –62.6                  | –                                    | [107]      |
| –45                    | Hydrolysis of nitrate to alcohol (-NO₂+H)        | +14.9                  | 1.37 [46]                           | [46, 106]  |
| –44                    | Decarboxylation (-CO₂)                            | +10.2                  | –                                    | [106]      |
| –42                    | Depropylation (-C₃H₆)                             | –47.0                  | 0.73—isopropyl [131]; 0.85, 1.09—propyl [24] | |
| –36                    | Loss of HCl (-HCl)                               | +23.3                  | –                                    | [148]      |
| –34                    | Reductive dechlorination (-Cl+H)                 | +39.0                  | –                                    | [148]      |
| –30                    | Nitro reduction to amine (-O₂+H₂)                 | +25.8                  | 0.75 [144]                           | [144]      |
| –28                    | Deethylation (-C₂H₆)                              | –31.3                  | 0.87 [76]                           | [76]       |
| –25                    | Reductive loss of nitrile group (-CN+H)           | +4.8                   | 0.88 [45]                           | [45, 106]  |
| –18                    | Alcohol dehydration (-H₂O)                       | +10.6                  | 2.08ᵇ [17]                           | [17]       |
| –15                    | Oxidative dechlorination (-Cl+OH)                | +33.9                  | 0.73 [146]                           | [146]      |
| –16                    | Reductive defluorination (-F+H)                  | +9.4                   | –                                    | [147]      |
| –18                    | Desulfuration (-S+O)                             | +22.8                  | 0.54 [68]                           | [68]       |
| –10                    | Reduction of sulfoxide to thioether (-O)         | +5.1                   | –                                    | [113]      |
| –15                    | Reduction of hydroxylamine to amine (-O)         | +5.1                   | –                                    | [113]      |
| –14                    | O-demethylation (-CH₂)                            | –15.7                  | 0.88, 0.93 [19]; 0.56, 0.64 [40]; 0.59 [129]; 0.87, 0.88, 0.90 [130] | [19, 25, 40, 46, 108, 129–131] |
| –65                    | N-demethylation (-CH₂)                            | –15.7                  | 0.82 [52]; 0.84 [135]; 0.87 [51]; 0.88 [19]; 0.90 [40]; 0.92 [133]; 0.95 [130]; 0.99 [45] | [19, 40, 45, 47, 50–52, 130, 133, 135, 136] |
| –6                    | S-demethylation (-CH₂)                            | –15.7                  | –                                    | [132]      |
| –6                    | Aromatization of saturated ring (-H₆)             | –47.0                  | –                                    | [152]      |
| –2                    | Alcohol oxidation to ketone/aldehyde (-H₂)       | –15.7                  | 1.01 [83]                           | [83, 106]  |
| –1                    | Ring formation (-H₂)                              | –15.7                  | 1.20 [112]                           | [112]      |
| –1                    | Oxidative deamination to ketone/aldehyde (-NH₂+O) | –31.6                  | –                                    | [142]      |
| +1                    | Oxidative deamination to alcohol (-NH+O)         | –16.0                  | –                                    | [113]      |
| +1                    | Hydrolysis of amide to carboxyl (-NH+O)           | –16.0                  | –                                    | [143]      |
| +2                    | Ketone/aldehyde reduction to alcohol (+H₂)       | +15.7                  | 0.72 [56]; 0.70 [40]                | [33, 40, 56, 126] |
| +2                    | Hydrogenation (+H₂)                              | +15.7                  | 1.13 [124]                           | [17, 124]  |
| +2                    | Ring opening (+H₂)                               | +15.7                  | 0.59 [149]                           | [47, 149–151] |
HPLC-NMR coupling suffers from low sensitivity, but if this obstacle can be overcome, then the combination of HPLC-NMR and HPLC-MS/MS generates superior data for full structure elucidation [80].

### Table 1 (continued)

| Nominal mass shift (ΔDa) | Metabolic reaction (elemental composition change) | Exact mass shift (mDa) | Examples of relative retention shifta | References |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------|
| +14                     | Methyl/methylene oxidation to aldehyde/ketone (+O-H₂) | −20.7                  | 0.92, 1.02 [102]; 1.05 [45]          | [45, 102]  |
|                         | Alcohol oxidation to carboxylic acid (+O-H₂)       | −20.7                  | –                                    | [106]      |
|                         | Hydroxylation and cyclization (+O-H₂)              | −20.7                  | –                                    | [106]      |
| +16                     | Hydroxylation (+O)                                 | −5.1                   | 0.38 [51]; 0.40 [131]; 0.46 [137]; 0.46 [124]; 0.51, 0.73, 0.82, 0.89, 0.96 [76]; 0.56 [40]; 0.58 [135]; 0.60, 0.62 [112]; 0.61 [45]; 0.69 [130]; 0.80 [52]; 0.82 [138]; 0.85 [102] | [23, 24, 40, 45, 47, 51, 52, 76, 83, 102, 108, 112, 124, 130, 131, 135–139] |
|                         | Epoxidation (+O)                                   | −5.1                   | 0.56 [124]; 0.72 [140]; 0.89 [77]    | [77, 124, 140, 141] |
|                         | N-oxidation (+O)                                   | −5.1                   | 0.93 [40]; 1.04 [45]; 1.09 [76]      | [40, 45, 51, 76, 131, 136] |
|                         | S-oxidation of thioether to sulfoxide or sulfoxide to sulfone (+O) | −5.1 | 0.34 (S-SO) [141]; 0.82 (S-SO) [138]; 1.02 (SO-SO₂) [143] | [138, 141, 143] |
|                         | Aldehyde oxidation to carboxyl (+O)                | −5.1                   | –                                    | [126]      |
|                         | Oxidation and ring formation (+O)                  | −5.1                   | 0.46, 0.51 [137]; 0.53, 0.64 [80]     | [80, 137]  |
| +18                     | Ring opening by water addition (+H₂O)              | +10.6                  | 1.10 [18]                            | [18, 19]   |
|                         | Hydrolysis of nitrile to amide (+H₂O)              | +10.6                  | –                                    | [45]       |
| +30                     | Methyl oxidation to carboxylic acid (+O₂-H₂)       | −25.8                  | 0.24 [167]; 0.34 [40]                | [40, 50, 167] |
| +32                     | Dihydroxylation (+O₂)                              | −10.2                  | 0.49 [54]; 0.39 [124]; 0.80, 0.81 [160]; 0.84 [83]; 0.63, 0.67, 0.71, 0.79, 0.94 [76] | [54, 76, 83, 124, 160] |
|                         | S-oxidation of thioether to sulfone (+O₂)          | −10.2                  | 0.79 [138]                            | [138]      |
| +34                     | Epoxidation and hydration (+H₂O₂)                  | +5.5                   | 0.39 [124]; 0.47 [140]; 0.51, 0.68 [141] | [124, 140, 141] |
| +48                     | Trihydroxylation (+O₃)                             | −15.3                  | –                                    | [113]      |
|                         | S-oxidation of thiol to sulfonic acid (+O₃)        | −15.3                  | –                                    | [113]      |

---

*a The parent drug has a relative retention shift (RRS) of 1.00.
*b The value of x corresponds to the length of the alkyl chain.
*c RRS is related to another metabolite.

Ionization techniques

Owing to the large structural variety of all organic and bioorganic molecules, there is not one universal ionization
Table 2  Effects of common phase II metabolic reactions on the mass-spectrometric behavior and retention in comparison with the parent drug

| Nominal mass shift (ΔDa) | Conjugation reaction (elemental composition change) | Drug functional group | Exact mass shift (mDa) | Examples of relative retention shift<sup>a</sup> | References |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|------------|
| +14                     | Methylation (+CH₂)                                 | NH₂, OH, SH           | +15.7                  | –                                              | [114]      |
| +28                     | Formyl conjugation (+CO)                            | NH₂, OH               | –5.1                   | 1.59 [27],                                    | [27]       |
| +42                     | Acetyl conjugation (+C₂H₂O)                         | NH₂, NH₂NH₂, SO₂NH₂, OH | +10.6                  | 1.24 [17],                                    | [17, 27]   |
| +56                     | Propionyl conjugation (+C₃H₄O)                      | NH₂, OH               | +26.2                  | 2.39, 3.17 [27]                               | [27]       |
| +57                     | Glycine conjugation (+C₂H₂ON)                       | COOH                  | +21.5                  | 0.70 [164]                                    | [125, 164] |
| +70                     | Butyryl conjugation (+C₄H₆O)                        | NH₂, OH               | +41.9                  | 6.50 [27]                                     | [27]       |
| +79                     | Phosphorylation (+PO₃)                              | OH                    | –41.5                  | –                                              | [74]       |
| +80                     | Sulfation (+SO₃)                                    | NH₂, SO₂NH₂, OH       | –43.2                  | 0.44 [129]; 0.49 [135]; 0.69, 0.92 [160]; 0.78 [83]; 0.79 [51]; 0.81 [52]; 1.13, 1.14 [154]; 1.27, 1.37 [23] | [23, 25, 51, 52, 69, 74, 83, 93, 117, 129, 131, 134, 154, 158, 160] |
| +107                    | Taurine conjugation (+C₂H₄O₂NS)                     | COOH                  | +4.1                   | –                                              | [125, 165] |
| +119                    | Cysteine conjugation (+C₂H₄O₂NS)                    | Epoxide, halide       | +4.1                   | 0.71 (-F+OH) [77]                             | [77, 117]  |
| +129                    | Glutamine conjugation (+C₃H₆O₂N)                    | COOH                  | +42.6                  | –                                              | [117]      |
| +144                    | Carnitine conjugation (+C₃H₆O₂N)                     | COOH                  | +102.5                 | –                                              | [181]      |
| +160                    | Disulfation (+S₂O₆)                                 | NH₂, OH               | –86.4                  | –                                              | [120]      |
| +161                    | N-Acetylcystein conjugation (+C₂H₄O₃NS)             | Epoxide, halide       | +14.7                  | 0.83 (-F+OH) [77]                             | [77, 117, 165, 182] |
| +162                    | Glucosylation (+C₆H₁₀O₅S)                           | OH, COOH              | +52.8                  | 0.55, 0.64 (cis), 0.79, 0.82, 0.90; (trans) [158]; 0.67 [76] | [76, 117, 130, 154, 158] |
| +176                    | Hydroxyl O-glucuronidation (+C₆H₁₀O₅)               | OH                    | +32.1                  | 0.41 [135]; 0.46 [53]; 0.63, 0.70 [157]; 0.65, 0.83 [55]; 0.67, 0.82, 0.86 [154]; 0.74 [51]; 0.78 [52]; 0.78, 0.96 [23]; 0.99, 1.01 [158] | [17, 23, 25, 29, 30, 33, 46, 51–53, 55, 69, 74, 94, 120, 129, 135, 154, 156–159, 183] |
| Acyl O-glucuronidation (+C₆H₁₀O₅) | COOH                  | +32.1                  | 0.65 [44]              | [25, 44, 85, 125, 182, 183]                   |
| N-glucuronidation (+C₆H₁₀O₅) | NH₂                  | +32.1                  | 0.84 [160]             | [33, 46, 130, 160, 183]                       |
| Quarternary N-glucuronidation (+C₆H₁₀O₅) | NR₃                | +32.1                  | 0.31 [130]; 0.81 [53]  | [33, 53, 130, 183]                            |
| S-glucuronidation (+C₆H₁₀O₅) | SH                 | +32.1                  | –                      | [183, 184]                                   |
| C-glucuronidation (+C₆H₁₀O₅) | (CO₂)CRH           | +32.1                  | –                      | [153]                                        |
| +220                    | Indirect carbamate glucuronidation of amines (+C₆H₁₀O₅) | NH₂+CO₂             | +21.9                  | 1.09 [24]                                    | [24, 50, 117] |
| +306-X                  | Glutathione conjugation–halide substitution (+X+C₆H₁₀O₂N₃S) | Halide (X)           | +76.0 -X               | 0.72—fluoride [77]                            | [77]       |
| +305                    | Glutathione conjugation via epoxidation (+C₆H₁₀O₂N₃S) | Aromatic             | +68.2                  | –                                              | [77, 101, 117, 123, 125–127, 161, 162] |
| +352                    | Diglucuronidation (+C₁₂H₁₆O₁₂)                      | OH, COOH,NH₂         | +64.2                  | –                                              | [154]      |

<sup>a</sup> The parent drug has a RRS of 1.00.
technique suitable for all drugs and their metabolites, but several ionization techniques should be considered depending on the structure, compound polarity, molecular weight (MW), etc. In HPLC-MS/MS coupling, three atmospheric pressure ionization (API) techniques covers the whole range of compound polarities and MWs—electrospray ionization (ESI), atmospheric pressure chemical ionization (APCI) and atmospheric pressure photoionization (APPI). Moreover, the polarity mode can be chosen according to the acidic, neutral or basic character of the analytes. In some cases, the right choice of ionization technique and the polarity mode is not so obvious; then measurements in all available ionization modes should be the first step in the optimization.

The softest ionization technique, ESI, is the method of choice for polar to ionic compounds. This ionization technique has promoted a great boom not only in proteomics but also in drug metabolite identification, because it enables the soft ionization of phase II metabolites, providing reliable information on the MWs of these conjugates, unlike other ionization techniques. For parent drug and phase I metabolites information on the MWs of these conjugates, unlike other API techniques should be considered depend-

ionization interfaces are also available for other API techniques, such as APCI [96], APPI [97] and the new ionization technique of direct analysis in real time (DART) [98].

The whole review is devoted to the mass-spectrometric analysis of ionized molecules, but in some cases also atomic MS can provide useful information. The hyphenation of HPLC with inductively coupled plasma MS can be used for metabolite detection of drugs containing metals (e.g., Pt, Au and Ru), halogens (I, Br and Cl) or sulfur [99, 100].

Mass analyzers

The function of a mass analyzer is the separation of ions according to their different mass-to-charge (m/z) ratios. The quality of mass separation is characterized by the degree to which close m/z values can be separated in the mass analyzer. The most practical definition of mass resolution (R) is expressed as $R_{\text{FWHM}} = m/z / \Delta m/z$, where m/z is mass-to-charge value of the target peak and $\Delta m/z$ is the full width at half maximum of this peak. Mass analyzers are classified into groups of low and high resolution. In general, low-resolution mass analyzers (e.g., quadrupoles and ion traps) have resolution in the low-thousands range, while high-resolution analyzers should provide a minimum resolution of 15,000 for time of flight (TOF) based analyzers [42, 71, 101] or over 50,000 for Fourier transform mass analyzers [102–104]. The advantage of ion traps (both spherical and linear) is the possibility of MS^n scan, which is useful for studying fragmentation paths [49, 105–108], as illustrated for the example of the fragmentation pattern determined on the basis of MS^n spectra (Fig. 3). The use of high resolution is usually associated with another even
The determination of the most probable elemental formula is performed in both MS and MS/MS modes, for molecular adducts and fragment ions as well. The benefit of reliable accurate mass measurements for the structural elucidation of unknown metabolites is extremely high, especially in the identification of unexpected or unusual metabolites.

An important issue in accurate mass measurements is the right calibration of the mass scale and regular verification of the precision of the measured masses within the calibrated range [42, 102]. The best results should be obtained with internal calibration, because the calibrant and the analyte enter the mass analyzer at the same time, but on the other hand suppression effects or mass interferences with the calibrant masses may occur. To avoid interference with the chromatographic separation, the calibrant can be introduced after the column through a low-dead-volume T-piece or even better using the second independent sprayer. Robust instruments can provide comparable results even with external calibration on the condition that the calibration is performed as close as possible to the target analyte and no drift of mass scale is apparent. The simplest technical approach is the introduction of the calibrant in the void volume of the chromatographic system and immediately after the last chromatographic peak [109]. For longer runs, additional calibrant can be injected using a six-port valve and an infusion pump during the time when no compounds are eluted. This approach is superior for accurate mass measurements in the analysis of complex mixtures by HPLC-MS, but the critical requirement is the stability and robustness of the mass spectrometer. Other supporting information for the right elemental composition determination is the comparison of the experimental isotopic distribution with theoretically calculated patterns for all elemental compositions considered, expressed as a σ value [109, 110]. The use of an analog-to-digital converter is essential for that purpose, because a time-to-digital converter cannot provide such high precision of relative abundances of individual isotopic peaks [111].

The most widespread tandem mass analyzer providing high mass accuracy used in the metabolite identification is the hybrid double-quadrupole TOF instrument [19, 64, 77, 101, 112]. Other analyzers based on Fourier transform instruments exhibit even better mass accuracies, but at significantly higher instrument costs, such as ion cyclotron resonance (ICR) [104, 113] and Orbitrap as the newest types of mass analyzer [102, 103]. The advantage of ICR is significantly higher mass accuracy and resolution in comparison with all available mass analyzers (better than 1 ppm with the external calibration, achievable sub-ppm with the internal calibration), which often enables the spectral analysis without HPLC separation. However, its widespread is limited owing to high costs and also special technical demands for the installation and operation. In the past, double-focusing magnetic sector instruments had a leading role in this area, but nowadays the abovementioned mass analyzers offer clear advantages, such as more favorable price, smaller size and easier operation.

When the benefits of individual types of tandem mass analyzers for analysis of drug metabolites are compared, trap-based analyzers are preferably used for studying the fragmentation pathways, the triple-quadrupole analyzer is the most suitable choice for quantitation, while TOF-based analyzers or even better Fourier transform analyzers are superior for elemental composition determination because of high mass accuracy.

Metabolite structural changes compared with HPLC-MS/MS behavior

The majority of HPLC-MS/MS applications in metabolite identification are based on RP chromatographic systems. For ionic and ionizable compounds, HILIC separation is becoming more popular owing to the selectivity orthogonal to that of RP-HPLC [71]. In the following discussion, we focus only on the retention in RP-HPLC systems as the prevailing separation mode for drug metabolites. In agreement with the definition of metabolic processes of xenobiotics (see “Pharmacological and xenobiochemical notes”), all metabolites should have a higher polarity and lower retention in RP-HPLC in comparison with the parent drug, with only a few exceptions, such as acylation [27, 100], methylation [114] and some phase I metabolic reactions (Tables 1 and 2). All other metabolites

![Fig. 3 Radiochromatogram of monkey bile metabolites labeled with $^{14}$C. (Reprinted with permission from [24])](image)
should follow the assumption that their relative retention shift (RRS), $\text{RRS} = (tR, \text{metabolite} - tM) / (tR, \text{parent drug} - tM)$, should be in the interval $[0, 1]$. It is not easy to provide a generalization of RRSs in relation to individual metabolic reactions, because various factors (e.g., mobile-phase composition, gradient steepness, column packing, positional isomerism, drug structure) can significantly affect the RRS, but regardless of these known limitations, Tables 1 and 2 show some distinct trends in the retention behavior of metabolites.

In the drug metabolite identification, the structure of the parent drug is always known; hence, the ionization and fragmentation behavior can be studied first with the parent drug and, if available, standards of phase I metabolites. Then, this knowledge is applied by analogy for the identification of unknown metabolites. Each metabolic reaction leads to a characteristic change in the MWs of the metabolites (Tables 1 and 2), which is the first indication for their identification. The mass shift is reflected both for nominal masses in Daltons and for exact masses in milliDaltons as well. Knowledge of the exact mass shift is applicable for setting very narrow mass windows in selected reaction monitoring (SRM) experiments, yielding significantly increased selectivity of the determination [113], or for the verification of proposed biotransformation pathways [113]. It is worthwhile to apply the so-called nitrogen rule which says that odd MW means an odd number of nitrogen atoms, while even MW means either an even number of nitrogen atoms or their absence. The formation of nitrogen-containing metabolites (e.g., adducts with amino acids, GSH) causes a characteristic change of the odd/even character of MWs. For other basic interpretation rules, reference to specialized literature for electron ionization [115] and soft ionization techniques [88, 116, 117] is recommended. It should be kept in mind that MS is mostly not applicable for distinguishing positional isomers (except for isomers with functional groups on different rings [29]), stereoisomers and enantiomers, so the support of other separation and spectral techniques is essential [117–119]. An established technique for in vivo metabolic studies is labeling with radioactive isotopes, such as $^{14}$C [24, 100, 120–122] or $^3$H [24, 122, 123], where radiocounting detection helps to positively identify metabolites arising from the parent drug in complex biological materials. Figure 4 shows a radiochromatogram of monkey bile after treatment with a drug against anxiety disorder labeled with $^{14}$C. Subsequently, drug metabolites detected by radiocounting detection are identified using HPLC-MS/MS and HPLC-NMR techniques [24].

Tables 1 and 2 were compiled on the basis of references listed in this work and also our experiences and unpublished works. The intention of these tables is to provide a comprehensive list of common biotransformation reactions occurring in humans and animals. Metabolic reactions can occur multiple times or in combination with other reactions, but these reactions are mostly not included here for better clarity, with a few exceptions of important consecutive reactions, such as double hydroxylation [76, 124], epoxidation and GSH conjugation [101, 123, 125–127]. All metabolites are sorted according to their nominal mass shifts in comparison with the parent drug. Then the metabolic reactions are described with their exact mass shifts, RRSs and some selected references. The exact mass shift is the deviation of the atomic masses from the nominal values, for example, the exact mass of hydrogen is 1.007825, therefore the mass defect of hydrogen is +0.007825 Da = +7.825 mDa (Table 3). If we consider that current TOF-based mass analyzers can routinely measure within an absolute mass error of ±1 mDa or mostly even better, the relatively high differences in mass defects of individual atoms (Table 3) and subsequently also metabolites (Tables 1 and 2) can be applied in metabolite identification. Another common tool in mass-spectrometric studies of fragmentation pathways is the use of standards labeled with stable isotopes [128]. In the case of biotransformation studies, the combination of a nonlabeled and labeled drug leads to twin peaks of metabolites with known mass difference, which is helpful for studying the fragmentation paths in tandem mass spectra [129].

Phase I metabolites

Concerning phase I metabolites, the only characteristic but nonspecific neutral loss is usually the loss of water for all metabolites containing hydroxyl functionality (typically for aliphatic alcohols, less common for phenols) often observed already in the full-scan mass spectra. Other less frequent losses are CO$_2$ (for all carboxylic acids formed either by oxidation of alcohols and aldehydes or by the hydrolysis of esters), H$_2$S (for thiols formed by S-dealkylation), SO$_3$ and SO$_2$ (for sulfonic acids formed by S-oxidation). Mostly, phase I metabolites have lower retention in RP-HPLC except for the following cases: denitration (RRS=1.37 [46]), ring formation (RRS=1.20 [112]), hydrogenation (RRS=1.13 [124]) and hydration (RRS=1.10 [18]). Some metabolites have retention very close to that of the parent drug, such as methyl oxidation to aldehyde (RRS=0.92 and 1.02 [102], RRS=1.05 [45]), and N-oxidation (RRS=0.93 [40], RRS=1.04 [45]), RRS=1.09 [76]). Interestingly, some metabolites show a very narrow retention window for different drugs, positional isomers and chromatographic conditions, for example, RRSs of eight N-demethylated metabolites are within the range 0.82–0.99 (Table 1). On the other hand, the most widespread phase I reaction, hydroxylation, yields RRSs from 0.38 [51] to 0.96 [76] for 20 different metabolites, but most of them fit the region RRS=0.60–0.85.
Fig. 4 Proposed metabolic pathways of AM-630 drug incubated with rat liver microsomes based on ion-trap MS² spectra. (Reprinted with permission from [150])
Table 3 Mass defects for common organic elements occurring in biotransformation reactions

| Element | Nominal atomic mass (Da) | Mass defect (mDa) |
|---------|--------------------------|------------------|
| H       | 1                        | +7.825           |
| C       | 12                       | 0                |
| N       | 14                       | +3.074           |
| O       | 16                       | −5.085           |
| F       | 19                       | −1.597           |
| Si      | 28                       | −23.073          |
| P       | 31                       | −26.238          |
| S       | 32                       | −27.929          |
| Cl      | 35                       | −31.147          |
| Br      | 79                       | −81.662          |
| I       | 127                      | −95.532          |

One of the most common phase I biotransformation reactions is demethylation or in general dealkylation (Table 1). Depending on the position of methyl/alkyl group, the uncovered polar group can be amine (N-demethylation), hydroxyl (O-demethylation) or thiol (S-demethylation). In the case of nitrogen, there is often double alkyl substitution; hence, two dealkylations are necessary to uncover the amino group. When the demethylation occurs, new hydroxyl [19, 25, 40, 46, 108, 129–131] or thiol [132] groups can undergo fragmentation in MS/MS mode, yielding the typical neutral losses of H2O or H2S, respectively. In the case of the amino group formed by N-demethylation [133], the neutral loss of NH3 is not common. When the N,N-dimethyl group undergoes the first demethylation, the characteristic neutral loss is CH3NH2 (Δm/z 31) in comparison with CH3NHCH3 (Δm/z 45) for the parent drug, which can be used for differentiation between competitive O-demethylation [27]. In general, the dealkylation leads to the decrease of hydrophobicity and retention in RP-HPLC as well. The dealkylation on the carbon atom is less common, except for losses of bulky alkyls, such as tert-butyl [107] or benzyl [134].

The oxidation is, in general, the introduction of an oxygen atom by various reactions, such as hydroxylation (one or multiple times) [23, 24, 40, 45, 47, 51, 52, 76, 83, 102, 108, 112, 124, 130, 131, 135–139] and aliphatic and aromatic epoxidation [77, 124, 140, 141], which is often followed by hydrolysis leading to dihydrodiol formation [141]. Epoxides have the same elemental composition as hydroxylated metabolites and similar retention behavior, so their differentiation depends on the interpretation of their mass spectra and UV spectra for aromatic epoxides. The direct comparison of epoxide and hydroxylated metabolite in the same position under identical chromatographic conditions shows that the retention of epoxide is slightly lower [124, 140]. Epoxides are normally unstable intermediates, providing dihydrodiols by the subsequent hydration [141], but they may be detected for stabilized systems, such as polyaromatic compounds [77, 124, 140]. A well-known example of a stable epoxide is carbamazepine-10,11-epoxide [124, 140]. The characteristic neutral losses for dihydrodiols are two consecutive losses of water [141]. The oxidation of an already present functional group is represented by the following examples: alcohol oxidation to aldehyde/ketone [83, 106] and then to carboxylic acid [126], amine to hydroxylamine and then to a nitro group [107], oxidative deamination to aldehyde/ketone [142] or alcohol [113], thioether oxidation to sulfoxide [138, 141] and then to sulfone [143]. Oxidation reactions lead to a remarkable decrease of the relative retention in relation to that of the parent drug, with one interesting exception of N-oxide, where the retention is comparable [40] or even slightly higher [45, 76]. Reduction reactions are less frequent, but several biotransformation paths are known, for example, nitro reduction to amine [144], the reduction of azo bond functionality in organic dyes leading to two aromatic amines [145] and ketone/aldehyde reduction to secondary/primary alcohol [33, 40, 56, 126]. Positive-ion MS/MS spectra of N-oxides can show unusual loss of an OH radical (Δm/z 17), while negative-ion spectra tend to show the formation [M+Cl]+ adducts unlike other metabolites measured under the same experimental conditions [40]. Hydrolysis reactions have been reported for esters [106], nitrates [113], amides [143] and nitriles [45].

Organic halides can be degraded by oxidative (i.e., halogen is replaced by a hydroxyl group) [17, 77, 146, 147] or reductive (i.e., halogen is replaced by hydrogen) [113, 147, 148] dehalogenation, where the former is more frequent especially for biotransformation reactions or in general any reaction under aerobic conditions. In addition to these reactions, polyhalogenated xenobiotics (e.g., pesticides) can also provide stable metabolites formed by the loss of HX, for example, the loss of HCl from the banned pesticide DDT [148].

Metabolic reactions can also involve ring formation [112] or ring opening [47, 149–151], often associated with other oxidation and addition reactions. There are a wide variety of other phase I reactions, which are relatively rare, so only a few examples are listed in Table 1, such as decarboxylation of carboxylic acid [106], reductive loss of a nitrile group [45, 106], alcohol dehydration [17] and aromatization of a saturated ring [152].

Figure 5 illustrates the benefit of isotopic labeling for structural studies and fragmentation pattern recognition. Figure 5a shows MS² and MS³ spectra of the nonlabeled drug 2-methylenedioxyamphetamine (MDMA) [140]. In the case of the labeled drug, the fragment ion maintains the isotopic difference introduced by labeling. After the loss of the labeled
moiety, the \( m/z \) values of both the labeled and the nonlabeled fragments are identical.

Phase II metabolites

Glucuronidation is a major phase II metabolic pathway for vertebrates (except for the cat family). The site of glucuronidation (Fig. 6) is generally an electron-rich nucleophilic heteroatom (O, N, S), in rare cases activated carbon with suitable neighboring substitution, for example 1,3-dicarbonyl drugs sulfinpyrazone and phenylbutazone [153]. The second most important biotransformation path is the sulfate conjugation either with hydroxyl or amino groups. These two reactions can occur multiple times [154], if suitable functional groups are available in the drug structure. If one drug gives both sulfate and glucuronide conjugates, then glucuronide typically has a slightly lower retention then the corresponding sulfate in the same position [52]. Of course, this rule cannot be generally applied for conjugates in different positions, because the position of the conjugation has a comparable or an even stronger effect on the retention. The formation of polar phase II conjugates strongly affects the polarity, chromatographic retention, ionization and fragmentation behavior [117], because the most polar functional group in the molecule usually induces the primary fragmentation path. Phase II conjugates can be easily identified according to the shifts of the nominal masses, exact masses and also characteristic neutral losses (Table 2).

HPLC methods for the separation of glucuronides have been reviewed recently [155]. Depending on the mobile-phase composition, the adduct formation of \([M+NH_4]^+\), \([M+Na]^+\) and \([M+K]^+\) can be observed in the positive-ion ESI mode in addition to protonated molecules \([M+H]^+\), while the deprotonated molecule \([M-H]^-\) prevails in the negative-ion ESI mode [14, 94]. The most characteristic neutral loss for all types of glucuronides is the loss of anhydroglucuronic acid (\( \Delta m/z \) 176), which is observed in both polarity modes. The
mass difference $\Delta m/z$ 176 can be used in SRM for the quantitation of glucuronides or for the sensitive detection of glucuronides in complex biological mixtures using the neutral loss scan. Hydroxyl O-glucuronide may also provide the [M+H-193]$^+$ fragment ion in addition to the loss of $\Delta m/z$ 176 [156]. If the drug has more potential sites for glucuronidation, then more positional isomers [23, 55, 154, 157, 158] or multiple glucuronide conjugates [152] can be formed. The identification of the conjugation site by MS is a difficult task and the differentiation is mostly achieved only for conjugation sites on different aromatic rings. An interesting way for differentiating between hydroxyl and acyl glucuronides has been reported recently [25] based on the presence of the [M-H-CO$_2$]$^-$ ion for hydroxyl glucuronides and the absence of this ion for acyl glucuronides (Fig. 7). However, it is not clear whether this case is specific or whether the rule can be generally applied owing to the absence of other references. Other attempts at the determination of the conjugation site are based on the chemical derivatization with 2-chloro-1-methyl-pyridinium iodide [29] or the formation of Co$^{2+}$ adducts with the characteristic fragmentation [159]. In addition to the abovementioned ions, repetitive losses of water up to four molecules [14] can be observed in MS$^n$ spectra of glucuronides, but they do not have very high information content for the structural elucidation. If the concentration of the metabolite entering the mass spectrometer is too high, then the dimeric ions [2M+Na]$^+$ and [2M+K]$^+$ or even trimeric ions [3M+Na]$^+$ and [3M+K]$^+$ accompany common molecular adducts [14]. In general, positive-ion ESI spectra provide better results for the structural analysis of glucuronides, because MS/MS spectra show diagnostic ions unlike the negative-ion mode spectra, where the negative charge is retained on the glucuronide moiety, so the negative-ion spectra contain mainly deprotonated anhydログ glucurononic acid at $m/z$ 175 and subsequent losses of CO$_2$ and H$_2$O ($m/z$ 113) and CO ($m/z$ 85) [14, 117].

Typical neutral losses for sulfate conjugation are SO$_3$ ($\Delta m/z$ 80) and SO$_2$ ($\Delta m/z$ 64) [87, 154, 160], less frequently H$_2$SO$_4$ ($\Delta m/z$ 98). The presence of the ionic sulfate group significantly induces the fragmentation already in the full-scan spectra, which can lead to reduced relative abundance of (de)protonated molecules. Sometimes, the radical ion [M-H-HSO$_3$]$^-$ may occur [23].

Acetylation and other less common acyl conjugations with formyl, propionyl and butyryl at the aromatic amino group lead to increased hydrophobic character of metabolites and hence significantly increased retention in RP-HPLC depending on the length of acyl chain (Table 2). Characteristic neutral losses for N-acylated metabolites are the following [27]: no neutral loss for formyl, CH$_2$CO for acetyl, CH$_3$CHCO for propionyl and CH$_3$CH$_2$CHCO for butyryl. O-acetylated metabolites also provide neutral losses of CH$_3$COOH ($\Delta m/z$ 60) [17] or corresponding losses of other acyls.

![Fig. 6 Different types of glucuronic acid conjugates: 1A hydroxy O-glucuronide, 1B acyl O-glucuronide, 2A amino N-glucuronide, 2B amide N-glucuronide, 2C sulfon amide N-glucuronide, 2D aliphatic quaternary N-glucuronide, 2E aromatic quaternary N-glucuronide, 2F indirect carbamoyl N-glucuronide, 3 thiol S-glucuronide, 4 C-glucuronide](image)

![Fig. 7 Negative-ion electrospray ionization tandem mass spectrometry spectra of isomeric O-glucuronides: a hydroxy O-glucuronide, b acyl O-glucuronide. (Adapted with permission from [25])](image)
Phase II metabolites formed by methylation do not have any characteristic neutral loss, because the fragmentation of methyl is apparent only in the case of the absence of any other polar functional groups, for example, N-methylated aniline provides the neutral loss of methyl radical [117].

GSH concentration in cells is up to 10 mM; therefore, GSH conjugation is so frequent and important for biotransformation reactions. There are two basic mechanisms of GSH conjugation [77, 117, 123, 125, 161, 162]. The first mechanism is the substitution of aliphatic or aromatic halides by GSH. The mass shift of this substitution of halides depends on the type of halogen atom, generally expressed as -X+C_{10}H_{16}O_{5}N_{3}S. The second mechanism is GSH conjugation via epoxide formation, then the addition of GSH and the final dehydration resulting in the mass shift +C_{10}H_{16}O_{5}N_{3}S [117, 125, 161, 162]. The isolation and detection of reactive GSH metabolites is not a simple analytical task; therefore, an alternative approach for studying reactive GSH metabolites was suggested on the basis of on-line coupling of an electrochemical cell and tandem mass-spectrometric characterization of the products formed [163]. The mechanisms and fragmentation paths for GSH conjugates are discussed elsewhere in more detail [117]. The characteristic neutral loss is pyroglutamic acid (Δm/z 129) [101, 161, 162], but other losses may occur as well, such as glycine (Δm/z 75) [161, 162] and characteristic cleavages known from peptide chemistry.

The conjugation of the carboxyl functional group via a peptidic bond with amino acids is less common, but some reports can be found for glycine [124, 164], glutamine [117] and taurine [165]. Their identification is based on the characteristic shifts of nominal and exact masses (Table 2) and characteristic neutral losses in MS/MS spectra in a similar manner as known from proteomics. The clear sign of metabolites containing these amino acids is changed even/odd characters of the MW in accordance with the nitrogen rule. Characteristic neutral losses in the positive-ion ESI mode are the losses of free acids, HCOOH (Δm/z 46) and nonspecific loss of water (Δm/z 18), while in the negative-ion ESI mode two characteristic ions are known, the neutral loss of CO₂ as for any carboxylic acid and the ion corresponding to deprotonated amino acid, such as m/z 74 for the deprotonated glycine molecule [14].

Phosphorylation is a relatively rare biotransformation path for xenobiotics [74]. The characteristic diagnostic ions for multiple reaction monitoring transitions are [PO₄]⁻ at m/z 63 and [PO₃]⁻ at m/z 79. Glycosylation is the most important reaction for plants and invertebrates, but its role in mammals is rather limited [74, 158].

Quantitation

The established method for the HPLC quantitation of drugs and their metabolites in biological samples is based on the use of tandem mass-spectrometric detection and the right choice of internal standards [166]. Nowadays, single-stage MS is not recommended for reliable bioanalytical quantitation, because it suffers from insufficient selectivity in comparison with MS/MS. Single-stage MS can only provide the selected ion monitoring record at a certain m/z value, for example the m/z value of [M+H]⁺ or [M-H]⁻ ions, but the matrix or impurity interferences may occur at the same m/z value; however, in some specific cases of good chromatographic resolution and the absence of matrix interferences, selected ion monitoring may be considered as an alternative quantitative method. Tandem mass-spectrometric analyzers have an additional scan with utmost importance for the quantitative analysis in complex matrices called selected reaction monitoring (SRM) [54, 63, 68, 69, 75, 133, 157, 167]. The first mass analyzer isolates the selected precursor ion (e.g., [M+H]⁺ in positive-ion mode or [M-H]⁻ in negative-ion mode) with a narrow isolation width, then the second step is the fragmentation of this ion by collision-induced dissociation in the second analyzer (i.e., collision cell) and finally only one abundant product ion with known m/z value is monitored by the third analyzer. A typical example of a tandem mass analyzer used for quantitation is a triple-quadrupole analyzer, where the first quadrupole isolates the precursor ion, the second quadrupole is used only for collision-induced dissociation but not for mass analysis, and the third quadrupole detects the product ions. Multiple reaction monitoring scan has the same meaning as SRM but for more fragmentation reactions in parallel [18, 58, 74, 83, 137, 138, 151, 168]. The typical neutral transitions are related to the metabolite type, for example, the neutral loss of SO₃ (Δm/z 80) for sulfates, the anhydroglucuronide unit for glucuronides (Δm/z 176) and glucose for glucosides (Δm/z 162) [158].

Another important aspect is the appropriate choice of the internal standard. The best approach is the use of isotopically labeled analogs of target compounds (isotopomers). If such an internal standard labeled with stable isotopes is added to the biological sample before the sample preparation, the behavior of labeled and that of nonlabeled compounds will be identical in all common analytical procedures. Deuterated analogs have no observable differences in their chromatographic retention approximately up to six deuterium atoms [157], but a very small retention shift can occur for higher deuterium numbers, as illustrated for the example of d₉-1-hydroxypyrene [168]. The only remarkable difference is found in their mass spectra, because the m/z values are shifted by the corresponding mass increment, which enables the parallel peak area integration of nonlabeled target drug (or metabolite) and labeled internal standard [74, 133, 167–169]. Another valuable application of deuterated analogs is the verification of proposed fragmentation pathways [105].

The internal standard used for spectrophotometric detection without MS should have a similar polarity,
retention time sufficiently close to the compound(s) determined and exhibit similar behavior during the sample preparation procedures, e.g., comparable extraction recoveries. It is also desirable that the analytes and internal standards should have the same or a similar chromophore (fluorophore). From this point of view, the optimal internal standards are structural homologs or analogs of the analyte(s) under the study [28, 40, 41, 170].

In the development and validation of bioanalytical methods, chemical standards of drug metabolites are needed. These standards are sometimes accessible from commercial sources, or they have to be isolated from a biomatrix [16], biosynthesized using isolated or/and immobilized hepatocytes [117, 171–173] or synthesized [27, 40, 56, 61, 170, 174–176]. Many products of O-demethylation, N-demethylation, N-oxidation, carbonyl reduction, etc. are simply accessible by a modification of the parent drug structure [27, 40, 56, 170]. MS, NMR and HPLC purity control is required for each of the newly synthesized standards. Syntheses of the standards of phase II biotransformation products were also described; some of these reactions are very simple (N-acetylation [27]), other reactions require an experienced synthetist [61, 174–176].

Concluding remarks and future perspectives

This review is devoted to the HPLC-MS/MS characterization of common phase I and phase II metabolites of xenobiotics. Tables 1 and 2 provide the most comprehensive overview of metabolic reactions occurring in human and animals reported so far in relation to their HPLC-MS/MS behavior. However, the list may not contain some rare metabolic reactions. The interpretation of mass spectra was discussed and some general conclusions were given for typical neutral losses associated with individual phase I and phase II metabolic reactions. The appropriate choices of ionization technique, polarity mode and the type of mass analyzer were considered as well.

Concerning the future perspectives, some trends are already notable at present. The available sample amount for metabolite analysis is typically extremely low; therefore, the use of nanotechnologies is desirable, e.g., capillary HPLC columns or chip-based separations coupled with on-line nanoelectrospray. Recently, several new ionization techniques have been introduced, such as desorption API techniques with potential in the spatial imaging of the distribution of metabolites in individual organs and tissues, which can provide better understanding of biotransformation processes. Fast technical developments in mass-spectrometric instrumentation are resulting in better sensitivity, higher resolution, better mass accuracy and also new types of mass analyzers and ionization techniques. A side effect of this growth is the extensive amount of analytical data, so manual interpretation is becoming a speed bottleneck for high-throughput analysis; therefore, sophisticated software algorithms for the prediction, search and positive identification of both expected and new metabolites are being developed and continuously improved [107, 177–179]. High-throughput analysis is becoming more important, so the use of ultrafast separations combining higher pressures, higher temperatures, faster flow rates, shorter columns, etc. is being established in common pharmaceutical analyses. Nowadays, the most powerful combination of high-tech analytical instrumentation for the structural elucidation of unknown metabolites is the coupling of high-resolution/high mass accuracy HPLC-MS/MS, HPLC-NMR and HPLC with radiocounting detection for metabolites arising from the drug labeled with the radioactive isotope, which can provide the maximum information content, but the investment and operational costs of such hypothetical ultrahyphenation are very high.
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