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Numerous risk factors are associated with asthma. To achieve adequate precision in epidemiologic studies of asthma, large populations and specific diagnostic methods are to be preferred. The association between air pollution (in a wide sense) and adult-onset asthma is well-known, but little is known about the actual risks. In published studies the fraction of adult-onset asthma caused by occupational exposures ranges from 4.8% to 36%. Whether subjects with preexisting asthma are at an increased risk for impairment due to asthma when they are exposed to irritants is an issue of great relevance. The literature addressing these problems is scant. The challenge facing us is to design epidemiologic studies on adult asthma that lead to answers to the following questions: (i) has the incidence of asthma increased among adults, (ii) to what extent is adult-onset asthma caused by occupational exposure, (iii) does preexisting asthma get worse due to exposures in the workplace?
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Numerous studies have identified risk factors associated with asthma and hypersensitization. The majority of the high-quality studies have been performed on children (1). Few studies have addressed this important issue for adults (2). There are, however, a few population-based studies indicating that a personal history of hay fever (3—5) is associated with adult-onset asthma, as well as sensitization to both perennial and seasonal allergens (6). Occupational exposures are probably also of major, but underestimated, importance, an issue that is discussed in this paper.

Proper epidemiologic study design in investigations of risk factors of a variable condition, such as adult asthma, presents a challenge to epidemiologists (7). We face similar challenges in the field of musculoskeletal diseases. Current epidemiologic methods are mainly designed for conditions such as death, cancer, or myocardial infarction, conditions with a distinct start, which are often recorded in registers and which are often persistent. Even modern textbooks in epidemiology rarely mention methods to be used in studying variable conditions (8).

Has the incidence of asthma increased among children and teenagers?

During the last few decades studies have indicated an increased prevalence or cumulative incidence (9—11) or incidence rate (12) of asthma among children and teenagers. The data for such studies have often been obtained from repeated cross-sectional studies. In a thought-provoking paper, Magnus & Jaakkola (13) have presented a systematic review of repeated cross-sectional studies of asthma and concluded that the evidence for an increased occurrence of this disease among children and young adults is weak. The main problem they identify in repeated cross-sectional studies is changes in diagnostic patterns. Because there has been substantial underdiagnosis of asthma in the population (14), the apparent increase in diagnosed asthma may be a consequence of increased awareness of asthma among physicians. However, Swedish and Finnish studies of conscripts have shown a large increase in the cumulative incidence of asthma, an increase that is hard to explain with diagnostic bias (9, 15).
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Has the incidence of asthma increased among adults?

Another problem with repeated cross-sectional studies has been noted by Järvholm et al (7). They stress that follow-up studies rely heavily on a correct classification of asthma or no asthma at the starting point. Even a slight misclassification of asthmatics as nonasthmatics can distort estimates of the true incidence rate in subsequent follow-up studies, as these “false” nonasthmatics will increase the number of asthmatics in the follow-up.

The published papers regarding the gender-specific incidence of adult asthma have recently been summarized (5). The reviewed papers gave no support to the hypothesis that the incidence of adult asthma has increased in recent decades. In the 2 studies performed in the 1960s and 1970s the incidence rates among females between the ages of 20 to 60 years ranged between 2 and 5 cases per 1000 person-years, and among the men between 1 and 3 cases per 1000 person-years (5). In studies from the 1980s and 1990s the incidence rates for women range between 1.1 and 5.2 cases per 1000 person-years, and for males between 0.8 and 3.0. Further evidence is offered by a recent Finnish study showing that the asthma incidence remained constant between 1976 and 1981 and between 1982 and 1990 (16).

Can an epidemiologic diagnosis of asthma be based on self-reporting?

To achieve adequate precision in epidemiologic studies of adult-onset asthma (or any other rare disease), large populations have to be studied. When risk factors for rare diseases such as adult-onset asthma are analyzed, specific diagnostic methods are to be preferred (20). The question about self-reported physician-diagnosed asthma has been very useful (21), especially in combination with a report of the year of onset (5, 22—24). It is a specific, inexpensive, and simple way of diagnosing asthma (21). However, there are some problems linked to this question. This method will probably underestimate the true incidence, which has also been reported previously regarding childhood asthma (4). We recently asked a cohort of 321 clinically well-diagnosed asthmatics to complete a respiratory questionnaire 10 years after their asthma diagnosis (25). In responding to the questionnaire, 10% denied ever having had asthma. Those who did not recall their asthma had a higher forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV₁) and less hyperreactive airways, a finding indicating milder disease (Tunsäter, unpublished manuscript).

The underlying assumption of risk factor studies using self-reporting of asthma is that physicians diagnose asthmatics irrespectively of certain risk factors and that respondents report their disease in the questionnaire regardless of their exposure status. This is not the case among smokers. Among smokers with respiratory problems women are more often labeled asthmatics than men (26). This was, however, only manifest among smokers older than 44 years. Self-reported asthma is probably sensitive to bias with regard to chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). Hence this working definition is less useful among subjects older than 45 to 50 years of age.

Operational definitions of asthma based on self-reported physician-diagnosed asthma may be useful in epidemiologic studies, but the interpretation of the results must always take into account potential misclassification problems.

Has the natural history of asthma been considered in the design of epidemiologic studies?

The remission rate and the recurrence rate of adult asthma are not fully understood. However, these rates may provide the basis for risk estimates regarding environmental or occupational exposures and the relapse or remission of asthma among adults. This is an underlying concept when the association between asthma attacks (17) or hospital emergency room visits (18) and ambient concentrations of air pollutants is analyzed. The approach has been successful in environmental epidemiology, but it is rare in occupational settings. One unique occupational study is that by Hu et al (19), who used these methods to study transitory respiratory symptoms. They investigated 79 borate-exposed workers and 27 control persons over 4 workdays using a questionnaire, measurements of peak expiratory flow, and continuous measurements of airborne particulate matter. A field technician sampled the information every hour. The authors then estimated the recurrence rate (new onset of symptoms or person-hours) and showed that borate dust increased the risk for irritation of the nose, eyes or throat in a dose-dependent manner. Unfortunately this study has not been replicated, probably because of financial constraints.

How common is asthma caused by occupational exposure?

The association between air pollution (in a wide sense) and adult-onset asthma has been acknowledged for many years, but surprisingly little is known about the magnitude of the actual risks. The fraction of adult-onset asthma caused by occupational exposures is probably higher than most physicians believe. Increased risks have been recognized in some occupations, as for bakers and...
workers handling laboratory animals. For the general population our knowledge of the total occupational burden has thus far mainly been based upon surveillance schemes, disease registers, and compensation statistics, each of which can use different definitions and different source populations. Hence the patterns have been difficult to interpret and are fraught with inaccuracies and different kinds of biases (27). The proportion of disease that can be attributed to occupational exposures within a population should preferably be assessed by calculating the population attributable risk (PAR) (28). To do this calculation properly, we need population-based studies with control groups that permit us to determine the relative risk associated with occupational exposures. Several studies have been published in recent years (table 1). The estimate of the PAR ranges from 4.8% to 36%.

Milton has recently discussed some issues regarding the calculation of the PAR for asthma and occupational exposure (28). He points out the well-known fact that, while the relative risk decreases as the definition of exposure becomes less specific, this same trend does not occur in relation to the PAR. This observation was originally made by Wacholder et al (29), who showed that nondifferential misclassification of unexposed subjects as exposed does not change the PAR. On the other hand, if truly exposed subjects are classified as unexposed, the PAR will decrease.

The studies with the lowest estimates of PAR, the Finnish study (30), and the 2 papers from the European Community Respiratory Health Survey (31–32), all use very narrow definitions for the groups at risk. The papers using a broad definition of exposure have the

| Reference                  | Country          | Diagnosis of asthma | Timing       | N    | Exposure                          | Age span (years) | PAR (%) |
|----------------------------|------------------|---------------------|--------------|------|-----------------------------------|------------------|---------|
| Rosenman et al, 1997 (40)  | Norway           | Q, PD               | Ever         | 156  | Gas, dust & fumes                 | 15–70            | 18.9    |
| Brooks et al, 1998 (41)    | China            | Q, PD               | Ever         | 137  | Gas, dust & fumes                 | 40–69            | 12.0    |
| Bakke et al, 1991 (43)     | Canada           | Q, SR               | Ever         | 62   | Grain farming                     | 20–65            | 14.7    |
| Kogevinas et al, in press (32) | Singapore | Clinical            | Current      | 787  | A posteriori increased risks      | 20–54            | 33.0    |
| Kogevinas et al, 1996 (31) | Sweden           | Clinical            | Adult onset  | 79   | Gas, dust & fumes                 | 20–65            | 36.0    |
| Wacholder et al, 1994 (29) | Spain            | BHR+ symptoms       | Adult onset  | 136  | A priori increased risks          | 20–44            | 9.0     |
| Reijula et al, 1996 (30)   | Finland          | Clinical            | Adult onset  | 8056 | Specific inhalation challenges     | 15–64            | 4.8     |
| Törn et al, in press (24)  | Sweden           | Clinical            | Adult onset  | 323  | A posteriori increased risks      | 20–65            | 11.0    |
| Reijula et al, 1996 (30)   | Europe, United States | BHR+symptoms        | Adult onset  | 702  | A priori increased risks          | 20–44            | 9.9     |
| Forastiere et al, 1998 (44) | United States    | Q, PD               | Adult onset (only women) | 22 | Gas, dust & fumes | >55 | 20.2 |

| Reference                  | Country          | Diagnosis of asthma | Timing       | N    | Exposure                          | Age span (years) | PAR (%) |
|----------------------------|------------------|---------------------|--------------|------|-----------------------------------|------------------|---------|
| Men                        |                  |                     |              |      |                                   |                  |         |
| Xu & Christiani, 1993 (45)  | United States    | Q                   | Persistent   | 7    | Dusts                             | 25–74            | 33.2    |
| Rosenman et al, 1997 (40)  | Norway           | Q                   | Ever         | 771  | Gas, dust & fumes                 | 15–70            | 16.0    |
| Milton & Christiani, 1997 (46) | United States | Q                   | Persistent   | 250  | Dusts                             | 40–69            | 0.6     |
| Bakke et al, 1991 (43)     | Canada           | Q                   | Ever         | 444  | Grain farming                     | 20–65            | 8.2     |
| Wacholder et al, 1994 (29) | Spain            | Q                   | Current      | 823  | A priori increased risks          | 20–44            | 2.9     |
| Senthilvelan et al, 1995 (47) | New Zealand | Q                   | Current      | 48   | A priori increased risks          | 20–44            | 3.1     |
| Korn et al, 1987 (46)      | United States    | Q                   | Adult onset  | 102  | Manual work                       | 38–45            | 37.0    |
| Xu et al, 1992 (49)        | Italy            | Q                   | Persistent   | 41   | Gas & dust                        | 18–64            | 14.6    |
| Fishwick et al, 1997 (50)  | France           | Q                   | Ever         | 1432 | Gas & dust & fumes                | 25–59            | 16.9    |
| Fishwick et al, 1997 (50)  | France           | Q                   | Ever         | 925  | Gas, dust & fumes                 | 25–59            | 13.4    |
| Bodner et al, 1998 (51)    | United States    | Q, SB               | Current      | 175  | Gas, dust & fumes                 | >55              | 10.2    |
Are subjects with preexisting nonoccupational asthma at increased risk for impairment due to asthma when they are exposed to respiratory irritants?

This issue is of great relevance, both in clinical practice and when needs for prevention are being identified (35). However, the literature addressing this topic is scant. This exacerbation issue subsumes 2 different clinical scenarios. Workplace exposure may exacerbate currently symptomatic asthma or may trigger a relapse of preexisting asthma in a subject without currently symptomatic disease. But there are several studies showing that environmental exposure to irritants and particles exacerbates preexisting asthma (17-18).

British researchers reported that 31% of patients with nonoccupational asthma reported impairment due to asthma symptoms on weekdays, as compared with 42% of patients with occupational asthma (36). This finding indicates that workplace exposure can exacerbate existing asthma. In a community sample of adults with asthma the prevalence of respiratory symptoms at work was about 20% (37). The same study reported that the prevalence of work-associated respiratory symptoms which later woke the subjects at night was about 10%. These studies indicate that workplace exposure exacerbates impairment in existing asthma. However, neither the bronchial hyperresponsiveness nor the lung function was affected when 12 subjects with current asthma were exposed to high levels of wood dust (38). In a Norwegian study, 25 aluminum potroom workers with asthma-like symptoms were investigated with peak expiratory flow (PEF) and symptom questionnaires (39). They were studied while working with and without respiratory helmets. During the period when the helmets were worn, there was a slight reduction in the prevalence of symptoms and a slight increase in PEF (17.4 l/min).

In case series of patients with suspected work-related asthma, subjects with preexisting asthma seem to be about roughly twice as common as in the general population (40-42). These studies indicate that workplace factors, in particular irritants, can trigger preexisting asthma.

Challenges

The challenge facing us in the next century is to design and carry out epidemiologic studies on adult asthma that take into account the natural history of the disease. Only then will we be able to answer the following questions:

- Has the incidence of asthma increased among children, teenagers, and adults?
- To what extent is self-reported asthma influenced by differential and nondifferential misclassification?
- To what extent is adult-onset asthma caused by occupational exposures?
- Does preexisting asthma get worse due to exposure in the workplace?
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