Searching for Rare Type Associations in Bacterial Communities from Ancient Copper Mining Areas in The East Harz Region (Germany)
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Abstract

Surface material from 17 ancient copper mines (15th to beginning 19th century) of the Southeast Harz region (Germany) had been investigated by 16S-RNA NGS profiling. It was found that the places are marked by different quantities of dominant soil bacterial phyla as Proteobacteria, Actinobacteria, Acidobacteria and Verrucomicrobia and by the rarely appearing types (Operational Taxonomical Units OTUs, mostly genus level), which had been found in single samples or in sample groups, exclusively. Some of them could be assigned to sample-related associations, what supports the assumption of a special character and a long-term “genetic memory” of bacteria communities on the ancient mining places.
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Introduction

Mining areas and other heavy metal-contaminated places are frequently in the focus of investigations on soil microbial communities [1,2]. On the one hand, these soil microbiomes are very important for the remediation of destroyed vegetation and for the de-toxification of the heavily contaminated areas [3,4]. On the other hand, microorganisms which tolerate extreme environmental conditions are frequently found in these communities, among them acidophilic, halophilic and heavy metal-tolerant bacteria [5-7]. Finally, the particular conditions in the mining areas promote the development of species and groups with special adaptation on substrate availability and the extraordinary competition situation [8,9]. Thus, it could be expected that microorganism with special metabolic features [10,11] are present in such contaminated areas, among them bacteria with special mechanisms for metal ion transport [12] for accumulation of metals [3,13] and for the synthesis of bio-dyes, special enzymes, fungicide substances and antibiotics [14]. Metal-accumulating activities are not only usable for the remediation of contaminated areas, but also of interest for the exploitation of metals from low-concentrated resources, from electronic junk and technical wastes [15]. Fungicide substances and antibiotics are urgently required for human medicine, because physicians are confronted with an increasing distribution of resistance genes and multi-resistant microorganisms. Beside these important aspects, there will develop a large spectrum of new demands for microorganism-based production and material conversion for the transition to a complete sustainable economy.

In general, active or recently closed industrial and mining areas are mainly under investigation and monitoring for their soil conditions and the existing soil microorganisms. But beside them, there was found that prehistoric sites [16,17] and older historical mining and metal processing areas are also marked by special compositions of soil microbial communities [18]. It has to be taken in to account, that the development of microbial strains in such areas and the interaction with plants, macro and micro fauna had much more time for selection and adaptation, for cooperative developments [19] and for the exchange of genes, for example by horizontal gene transfer [20]. An intensive transfer of microorganisms by birds and other animals could have taken place over the centuries and millennia in case of mining areas from historical, antique or prehistoric places. In addition, higher numbers of changes in local conditions could have stimulated the development of special local communities: changing of temperature and humidity during a lot of summers and winters, as well as changing pH and salinity causing numerous changes in the deliberation and precipitation of metal ions.

Here, soil bacterial communities from 17 historical copper mining places of the Southeast Harz mountain region in central Germany have been investigated by 16S-RNA (ngs) profiling. The mines had been used between the 15th and the 19th century. Soil samples of the region are under investigation for search for heavy metal-tolerant bacterial strains and their characterization by new microfluidic methods supplying highly-resolved dose/response functions [21,22]. It is to assume that the existence of bacterial strains with special tolerance features is dependent on the local chemical conditions and geological situation as well as on the interaction with other microorganisms. The aim of this study
was to compare the composition of the microbial communities on the recent surface of historical mining places and search for associations of soil bacteria.

**Experimental**

**Soil samples**

All samples were directly taken from the surface material of the ancient small ring heaps in the direct neighbourhood of the old shafts. These surface areas were not directly covered by vegetation. The sample origin is described in Table 1 & Figure 1. The earliest messages on copper mining activities speak for a starting of mining near Hettstedt around the year 1200 [23]. The mining near Rodishain dates back to the 15th century. The copper mining near Uftrungen and Breitungen was most intensive in the 18th century, particular between 1715 and 1774. The majority of the numerous small mines near Hettstedt, Welfesholz, Wiederstedt, Wolferode and Hergisdorf is originating at latest from the end of 18th and the beginning of the 19th century [23].

![Figure 1: Origin of soil samples: historical mining areas in the Southeast Harz region.](image)

**Table 1:** Soil samples and related Coordinates (Gauß-Krüger).

| Mining Area | GK (East) | GK (North) |
|-------------|-----------|------------|
| E04         | Wolferode | 4,466,647  | 5,707,692  |
| E06         | Wolferode | 4,465,662  | 5,707,686  |
| E18         | Hergisdorf| 4,463,996  | 5,711,089  |
| E19         | Wiederstedt| 4,465,778 | 5,726,125  |
| E22         | Wiederstedt| 4,464,656 | 5,727,151  |
| E23         | Wiederstedt| 4,464,895 | 5,727,149  |
| E29         | Welfesholz| 4,470,244  | 5,721,753  |
| E31         | Welfesholz| 4,469,068  | 5,721,957  |
| E61         | Hettstedt | 4,467,222  | 5,721,981  |
| E63         | Hettstedt | 4,467,258  | 5,722,002  |
| E64         | Hettstedt | 4,467,256  | 5,722,008  |
| E65         | Hettstedt | 4,467,32   | 5,722,189  |
| Q25         | Rodishain | 4,424,444  | 5,712,28   |
| Q29         | Rodishain | 4,424,16   | 5,712,28   |
| Q32         | Uftrungen | 4,430,82   | 5,707,821  |
| Q37         | Uftrungen | 4,431,432  | 5,707,589  |
| Q42         | Uftrungen | 4,431,725  | 5,707,443  |

**DNA extraction and data processing**

DNA was extracted from the samples by a Power Soil Isolation Kit (M0 BIO, Carlsbad, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocol. An Edvocycler (Edvotek, Washington D.C.) was applied for PCRs. Each PCR amplification step was verified by gel electrophoresis. Therefore, 1.2% agarose gels were applied. Single PCR products as well as pooled DNA libraries were purified with AMPure XP beads (Beckman Coulter, Brea, USA).

For Amplicon PCR adaptor primers A519F-Ad5’TCTGTCGACAGCTCACATGTGTTATATAAGAACGACGAGCMGGCGGCTAA 3’) and Bac-t805R-Ad (5’-GTCTCGT GGGCTCGGAGATGTGTATAAGAGACAGGAC TACHVGGGTATCTAATC 3’) were used (100 pmol/µl), which were
obtained from Eurofins Genomics (Ebersberg, Germany). The reaction mixtures with a total volume of 50µl per reaction contained 1µl of DNA Isolation eluate, 2mM MgCl2, 200µM PCR nucleotide mix, 1,25 Units GoTaq G2 Flexi DNA Polymerase, nuclease free water (all reagents from Promega, Madison (USA)) and 1µmol/l of each primer. Amplicon PCR program settings were as follows: 94 °C for 5min, 30 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 30s; annealing at 50 °C for 30s; extension at 72 °C for 30 s; and a final extension at 72 °C for 5min).

A519F-Ad5’TCCGCGCCGCTCAGATGTATAAGAGACAGCA GCMGCCGCGGTAA 3’) and Bact_805R-Ad(5’-GTCTCGTGGGCTCG AGATGTATATAGACAGACTACHVGGGTATCTAATC 3’) were used (100pmol/µl), which were obtained from Eurofins Genomics (Ebersberg, Germany). The reaction mixtures with a total volume of 50µl per reaction contained 1µl of DNA Isolation eluate, 2mM MgCl2, 200µM PCR nucleotide mix, 1,25 Units GoTaq G2 Flexi DNA Polymerase, nuclease free water (all reagents from Promega, Madison (USA)) and 1µmol/l of each primer. Amplicon PCR program settings were as follows: 94 °C for 5min, 30 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 30s; annealing at 50 °C for 30s; extension at 72 °C for 30 s; and a final extension at 72 °C for 5min).

The automatic software pipeline of the SILVAngs data analysis service [24-26] was used for the community analysis of the NGS data. All data files from GATC Biotech first had to be converted from fastq file format to fasta file format, because the fastq file format was not compatible to upload. The used fastq-to-fasta converter software was “phred33 conversion” from MR DNA Lab. All data sets were analysed using the preset parameters of the settings page and with the SILVAngs database release version 128 [25].

In many cases, the sequences allowed to assign bacterial groups down to the genus level. In other cases, the identification with higher taxonomical levels could be achieved, only. The lowest identified level was always referenced as “Operational Taxonomic Unit” (OTU) for the comparison of bacterial diversity and for the calculation of the Shannon index.

There are several methods for measuring the diversity of microorganisms by DNA profilings. Here, the Shannon index H was chosen for characterization due to its representation of the whole spectra of OTUs including the fraction of rare types [27].

\[
H = - \sum p_i \ln(p_i) \tag{1}
\]

where \(p_i\) represents the ratio of the number of detected single sequences of each OTU \(N_i\) to the total number of OTUs \(N_0\): \[
\frac{p_i}{N_0} = \frac{N_i}{N_0} \tag{2}
\]

Results and Discussion

Comparison of dominant phyla

The overwhelming majority of identified sequences of all 17 soil samples is represented by eleven phyla. In general, the phyla composition is varying moderately between the different areas and samples (Figure 2). *Proteobacteria* and *Actinobacteria* are dominating in the most samples. In one case (Q32) *Verrucomicrobia* is the dominating phylum. *Bacteriodetes*, *Acidobacteria*, *Gemmatimonadetes*, *Planctomycetes* and *Chloroflexi* are also strongly represented, *Nitrospirae, Cyanobacteria* and *Firmicutes* are less frequent. An analysis of a large set of bacterial species records showed that the three phyla *Proteobacteria, Actinobacteria* and *Bacteriodetes*, but in addition *Firmicutes* are most frequent, in general [28]. Instead of *Actinobacteria*, *Acidobacteria* are identified to belong to the most important four phyla beside *Proteobacteria, Bacteriodetes* and *Firmicutes* in soil samples from derelicted mining sites [29]. This corresponds largely to the observation, that the bacterial communities between un-contaminated environments and mining areas are not clearly distinguishable at the phylum level [30].

Figure 2: Frequency of the 11 most prominent phyla on the investigated places.
A comparison of the normalized frequencies of the single phyla in all communities shows that these 11 phyla can be subdivided in three groups with different correlation behaviour in the soil compositions: At first, the plots (Figure 3) of the first three principle components of the Principle Component Analysis (PCA) reflect a group of six phyla with similar positions (triangles in Figure 3). In this group Planctomycetes and Gemmatimonadetes are associated, on the one hand, Chloroflexi, Actinobacteria and Firmicutes, on the other hand. Proteobacteria are more related to the second group in the both first plots (Figure 3) and more to Planctomycetes and Gemmatimonadetes in the third plot (Figure 3). Further, there is observed another pair of associated phyla (Bacteriodetes and Acidobacteria, shown by the crosses in the PCA plots). The other three phyla (Nitrospirae, Cyanobacteria and Verrucomicrobia) are much less related to the above-mentioned association groups and to each other.

**Figure 3**: Principle Component Analysis (PCA, correlations between the first three principle components) for the normalized frequency distribution of the most prominent phyla: Acidobacteria; Actinobacteria; Bacteriodetes; Chloroflexi; Cyanobacteria; Firmicutes; Gemmatimonadetes; Nitrospirae; Planctomycetes; Proteobacteria; Verrucomicrobia.

**Figure 4**: Correlation of the total of the phyla group “Acidobacteria-Bacteriodetes-Planctomycetes-Verrucomicrobia” and the phyla group “Proteobacteria-Actinobacteria”.
Proteobacteria and Actinobacteria are the most prominent phyla. A comparison of the total of their both frequencies with the total of the other four particular frequent phyla (Bacteriodetes, Verrucomicrobia, Planctomycetes and Acidobacteria) leads to the expected negative correlation (Figure 4). But, the correlation plot shows that the soil samples are forming three different clusters. The four samples of cluster B (Q29, Q32, Q37 and Q42) belong exclusively to the both most western mining areas of Rodishain and Uftrungen. The group character of A and B (high and mediate percentage of Proteobacteria and/or Actinobacteria) is underlined by the different levels of the negative correlation between this both phyla (Figure 5). A significant difference of groups C and B is reflected by the Verrucomicrobia content (Figure 5). In group C, there is not only the evidence of high Verrucomicrobia content, but it is also decreasing with increasing Shannon index of the whole set of OTUs, which is a measure for the genetic diversity of the sample. In contrast, the members of group B show an increase of Verrucomicrobia with increasing Shannon index.

Figure 5: Correlations in the three sample groups A, B, and C: a) correlation between the frequency of Actinobacteria and Proteobacteria in the community groups A (squares) and B (triangles), b) correlation of the percentage of Verrucomicrobia sequences in dependence on the Shannon index of the related bacterial communities’ triangles: group B, circles: group C.

The both correlations suggest that the increasing Verrucomicrobia content indicates an increase in diversity at a lower Verrucomicrobia level, but a decrease in diversity at a higher content level. A certain correlation of the Shannon index was also found for some frequently appearing single OTUs. Thus, it was observed, that the DA101 soil group (Verrucomicrobia) is negatively correlated with Shannon index, what corresponds to the negative correlation of the sample group C.

Representation of special operational taxonomical units (OTUs)

The majority of sequences of the most prominent phyla is represented by a comparatively small set of OTUs. The nine most frequent OTUs have been compared by a separate PCA for all 17 soil samples (Figure 6). The first three Principle Components reflect a group of four narrowly coupled OTUs (triangles in Figure 6). These are the groups KD4-96 (Chloroflexi), Sphingomonas (Proteobacteria), Gaiaella (Actinobacteria) and an uncultivated-marked group of Acidomicrobia (Actinobacteria). A certain association is also given by two groups of Acidobacteria, namely RB41 and Subgroup6 (crosses in Figure 6). Three further groups (DA101, Ralstonia and Gailellales uncult. group) show no relation with each other and with the other groups. Sphingomonas is known as a taxon from heavy metal-contaminated soils [31]. It is as well as Gailella [32] and Ralstonia Xie et al. [33] reported from metal mines, too Feng et al. [34].
Figure 6: PCA (first three principle components) for the nine most prominent operational taxonomical units (OTUs): triangles: KD4-96 (Chloroflexi), Gaiella (Actinobacteria), Sphingomonas (Proteobacteria) and Acidimicrobia, uncultivated group (Actinobacteria)

Figure 7: PCA of soil community groups for the six different mining locations: a, b) components 1 and 2, c, d: components 1 and 3, e, f: components 2 and 3; a, c, d: squares: region Wiederstedt (E19, E22, E23), triangles: region Welfesholz (E29, E31), circles: region Uftrungen (Q32, Q37, Q42), b, d, f: diamonds: region Wolferode-Hergisdorf (E04, E06, E18), crosses: region Hettstedt (E61, E63, E64, E65), stars: region Rodishain (Q25-Q29).
PCA plots have also been applied for analysing relations between the investigated mining areas. The first three Principle Components indicate clearly similarities in the samples from the Uftrungen area (Q32, Q37, Q42), from the Wiederstedt area (E19, E22, E23) and from the Welfesholz area (E31, E29). The plots in Figure 7 show that these sample groups can be distinguished from each other. The samples from the other three areas are less clear related and cannot be clear distinguished from the other samples (Figure 7).

The 16S-RNA profiling supplied a mean OTU number per sample of about 550 (Table 2, supplementary material). The lowest number of OTUs (300) came from sample E19, the highest (906) from sample Q29. The Shannon index ranges between 3.71 (sample E31, 406 OTUs) and 5.25 (sample E18, 522 OTUs) with a mean value of 4.35. The Shannon indices of sample E19 (4.14) and Q29 (4.76) are in the mean range. Obviously, there is no correlation between the observed number of OTUs and the Shannon Indices. This relation is changed if the OTUs are regarded which have been found exclusively in one of the samples, but in no other sample. Sample Q29 had the absolute highest number (91) of exclusively found OTUs, what means that about 10% of OTUs are exclusive. The portion of exclusive OTUs differs between 1.6% (E22) and 10%, what means that it is much more diverse than the Shannon index and the absolute OTU number. From all samples, a total of 457 OTUs had been identified, which were contained exclusively in one of the samples. These are 27% of the 1705 OTUs, which were found in all samples in total. The majority of the exclusively found OTUs are on the genus level. A complete overview of exclusively to one sample assigned OTUs represented by three or more sequences are shown in Table 3 (supplementary material). The high number indicates the high probability to found rare types on the Genus level in a comparatively small set of samples from the ancient mining areas.

**Table 2: Shannon indices and percentages of exclusively found OTUs.**

| Sample | Shannon Index | OTUs ges | (Exclusive) | % Excl OTUs |
|--------|---------------|----------|-------------|-------------|
| E04    | 4.65          | 528      | 34          | 6.4         |
| E06    | 4.08          | 664      | 30          | 4.5         |
| E18    | 5.25          | 522      | 23          | 4.4         |
| E19    | 4.14          | 300      | 13          | 4.3         |
| E22    | 4.25          | 367      | 6           | 1.6         |
| E23    | 3.74          | 472      | 16          | 3.4         |
| E29    | 4.62          | 467      | 13          | 2.8         |
| E31    | 3.71          | 406      | 9           | 2.2         |
| E61    | 4.35          | 383      | 16          | 4.2         |
| E63    | 4.09          | 545      | 29          | 5.3         |
| E64    | 4.68          | 683      | 33          | 4.8         |
| E65    | 4.07          | 548      | 22          | 4.0         |
| Q25    | 4.80          | 608      | 27          | 4.4         |
| Q29    | 4.76          | 906      | 91          | 10.0        |
| Q32    | 3.83          | 487      | 30          | 6.2         |
| Q37    | 4.56          | 808      | 47          | 5.8         |
| Q42    | 4.31          | 656      | 18          | 2.7         |
| Mean   | 4.35          | 550      | 27          | 4.55        |

| a) Sample number. |
| b) Shannon index H of reconsidering all OTUs of each sample. |
| c) Total number of assigned OTUs of each sample. |
| d) Number of OTUs exclusively found in the related sample. |
| e) Percentage of exclusively found OTUs. |

**Table 3: Exclusively in one sample assigned OTUs (represented by 3 or more sequences).**

| Phylum (Stamm) | Family (Familie) | Genus (Gattung) | E04 | E06 | E18 | E19 | E22 | E23 | E29 | E31 | E61 | E63 | E64 | E65 | Q25 | Q29 | Q32 | Q37 | Q42 |
|----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| *Proteobacteria* | Nautiliaceae      | Campanibacter    | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |
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| Firmicutes | Peptostreptococcaceae | Romboutsia | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|------------|----------------------|------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Actinobacteria | Micrococcaceae | Paeniglutamicibacter | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Proteobacteria | Sphingomonadaceae | Sandaracinobacter | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Proteobacteria | Enterobacteriaceae | Klyvera | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Deinococcus-Thermus | Thermaceae | Meiothermus | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Proteobacteria | Nautiliaceae | Lebetimonas | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Proteobacteria | Archangiaceae | Melittangium | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Proteobacteria | Enterobacteriaceae | Proteus | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Proteobacteria | Phyllobacteriaceae | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Proteobacteria | Rhizobiaceae | Neorhizobium | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bacteroidetes | Cytophagaceae | Larkinella | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Proteobacteria | Hyphomicrobiaceae | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Proteobacteria | Hydrogenophilaceae | Sulforferula | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Proteobacteria | Archangiaceae | Cystobacter | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Chloroflexi | Kallotenuaceae | Kallotenua | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bacteroidetes | Sphingobacteriaceae | Olivibacter | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bacteroidetes | Chitinophagaceae | Vibrionimonas | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Firmicutes | Halobacteroidaceae | Natroniella | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Proteobacteria | Erythrobacteraceae | Croceicoccus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Firmicutes | Ruminococcaceae | Ruminococcus UCG-011 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Actinobacteria | Micromonosporaceae | Phytophonaspora | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Proteobacteria | Bartonellaceae | Bartonella | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Actinobacteria | Glycomycetaceae | Glycomycetes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Firmicutes | Peptostreptococcaceae | Terrisporobacter | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Firmicutes | Carnobacteriaceae | Carnobacterium | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Proteobacteria | Methylbacteriaceae | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Firmicutes | Peptococcaceae | Thermincola | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bacteroidetes | Bacteroidales | Bacteroides | 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Chloroflexi | Chloroflexales | Chloroflexi | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 17 0 0 0 17 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Tectomicrobia | Tectomicrobiaceae | Tectomicrobiaceae | 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Firmicutes | Clostridiales | Clostridium | 0 0 0 0 0 0 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Firmicutes | Sporolactobacillales | Sporolactobacillus | 0 0 0 0 0 0 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Actinobacteria | Micrococcaceae | Micrococcus | 0 0 0 0 0 0 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Proteobacteria | Moraxellaceae | Enhydrobacter | 0 0 0 0 0 0 17 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Proteobacteria | Burkholderiaceae | uncul-tured | 0 0 0 0 0 0 43 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Elusimicrobia | Elusimicrobiales | Elusimicrobium | 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Proteobacteria | Oxalobacteraceae | Pseudocal- ganella | 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Firmicutes | Aerococcaceae | Aerococcus | 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Proteobacteria | Chromatiales | Rheinheimera | 0 0 0 0 0 0 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Proteobacteria | AOC1B07 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Actinobacteria | Intrasporangiaceae | Ornthinimonas | 0 0 0 0 0 0 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Actinobacteria | Microbacteriaceae | Grallulal- picola | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Firmicutes | Peptostreptococcaceae | Peptostreptococcus | 0 0 0 0 0 0 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Firmicutes | Family XI | Murdochella | 0 0 0 0 0 0 11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Proteobacteria | Acetobacteraceae | 0 0 0 0 0 0 12 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Proteobacteria | Cellvibrioaceae | Maricur- vus | 0 0 0 0 0 0 15 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Chloroflexi | uncultured | 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Proteobacteria | Rhodospirillaceae | Tistia | 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Bacteroidetes | Cytophagaceae | Rudanella | 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Proteobacteria | Acetobacteraceae | Stello | 0 0 0 0 0 0 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Cyanobacteria | 0 0 0 0 0 0 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Bacteroidetes | Flavobacteriaceae | Mohei- bacter | 0 0 0 0 0 0 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Actinobacteria        | Streptosporangioceae | Planotetraspora | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 7 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|
| Actinobacteria        | Pseudonocardia      | Actinomycetaceae| 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 7 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Actinobacteria        | Promicromonospora   | Myceligenetans   | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 8 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Bacteroidetes         | Cryomorphaceae      | Lateibaculum     | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Cyanobacteria         | Family               |                 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 18 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Actinobacteria        | Pseudonocardia      | Saccharomonospora| 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 19 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Proteobacteria        | Alcaligenaceae      | Dextra           | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Firmicutes             | Thermoanaerobacteria| Syntrophaceticus| 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Actinobacteria        | Dermacoccaceae      | Kytooccus        | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Firmicutes             | Thermoactinomyceae  | Planifilum       | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Firmicutes             | Family XI            | Sedimentibacter  | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Firmicutes             | Peptococcaceae      | Dehalobacter     | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 7 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Proteobacteria        | Thiotrichaceae      |                 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 14 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Proteobacteria        | Enterobacteriaceae  | endosymbionts8   | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Actinobacteria        | Microbacteriaceae   | Zimmermannella   | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Chloroflexi           |                      |                 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Proteobacteria        | uncultured          |                 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Proteobacteria        | Piscirickettsiaceae | Methylophaga     | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Firmicutes             | Paenibacillaceae    | Saccharibacillus| 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Proteobacteria        | Desulfohalobacteriaceae | Desulfohalobacteriaceae | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Proteobacteria        | Sva1033              |                 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Bacteroidetes         | ST-12K33             |                 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Bacteroidetes         | Saprospira         | Portibacter      | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Deferritribacteres    | Unknown Family      | Calidithrix      | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Planctomycetes        | Physicybacteraceae  | Urania-18-19     | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Proteobacteria        | Eel-36e1D6          |                 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Proteobacteria        | Syntrophaceae      | Desulfoomonile   | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Phanotomycetes | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 5 0 0 0 |
| Acidobacteria | Subgroup 21 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 6 0 0 0 |
| Proteobacteria | Polyangia- ceae | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 7 0 0 0 |
| Proteobacteria | Desulfobul- baceae | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 10 0 0 0 |
| Firmicutes | Veillonella- ceae | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 11 0 0 0 |
| Chlorobi | PHOS HE36 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 13 0 0 0 |
| Actinobacteria | Pseudono- cardiaeae | Ratzneria | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 0 0 0 |
| Elusimicrobia | 4-29 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 0 0 0 |
| Thau- marchaeota | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 4 0 0 0 |
| Actinobacteria | Microbacteriaceae | Cryocola | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 5 0 0 0 |
| Proteobacteria | Roseiarc- ceae | Rosearicus | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 5 0 0 0 |
| Nitrospira- | FW13 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 6 0 0 0 |
| Actinobacteria | Pseudono- cardiaeae | Tamaric- habitans | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 8 0 0 0 |
| Chloroflexi | JG30-KF-AS9 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 10 0 0 0 |
| Acidobacteria | Acidobacteri- aceae (Subg.1) | Acidobacterium | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 14 0 0 0 |
| Proteobacteria | Elev- 16S-1546 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 0 0 0 |
| Firmicutes | Ruminococ- caceae | [Eubact.] coprostanoligen. | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 0 0 0 |
| Nitrospira- | FTL22 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 0 0 0 |
| Proteobacteria | Enterobacte- riaceae | Rahnella | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 12 0 0 0 |
| Phanotomycetes | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 0 0 0 |

**Associated rare OTUs**

Beside the exclusively in one sample observed OTUs, there exist associations of OTUs, which were exclusively observed in a part of soil samples. It is obvious that the majority of involved OTUs are present with more than one sequence in these associations. Some of these sets of OTUs are shown together with the related soil samples in Figure 8. *Acidobacteria* are present in several of these groups, what is rather typical for mining areas [35]. The pairing of the group "Elev-16S-1158" (Proteobacteria) with the Subgroup 26 (*Acidobacteria*) was found in five soil samples (E63, E64, Q29, Q37 and Q42). The pairing is observed in four of the five soil samples with five or more sequences, which is speaking for the significance of the combination of both types (Figure 8). The pairing of Subgroup 20 (*Acidobacteria*) and *Georgfuchsia* (Proteobacteria) had been found in two samples (Q29, Q42), only (Figure 8b). *Georgfuchsia* is described as to be able to decompose aromatic compounds [36].

Typical associations can include also more than two members. Such sets of OTUs have been found from the mining area of Rodishain and Utfrungen. The samples Q25, Q29 and Q37 are connected by a combination (Figure 8) of the groups SAR11 clade and *Coralloccocus* (two OTUs of *Proteobacteria*) and *Alterococcus* (*Verrucomicrobia*). The group SAR1 clade is reported to be a wide spread oceanic bacterium. A relation to special aquatic conditions is also obvious for *Coralloccocus* [37,38] and *Alterococcus* [39]. Four other OTUs (*Niabella/Bacteriodetes, Pseudenythromyxa/Proteobacteria, Group C47/Planctomycetes, Cand. Lainar. Ame Diapheroritrus*) had...
been identified from the three samples Q29, Q37 and Q42 (Figure 8). Whereas Niabella was reported from a greenhouse soil [40-42], Pseudenhgromyixa was isolated from an estuarian marsh [43] and Candidatus Iainarchaium from a gold mine [42]. The combination of HSRC138 (Proteobacteria), Demequina (Actinobacteria) with the subgroup 13 of Acidobacteria and non-specified Micrococcales (Actinobacteria) was observed only in Q29 and Q37 (Figure 8). Demequina is also described as a genus originating from tidal flat sediment samples [44].

**Figure 8:** Five examples for detected exclusive associations: All shown OTUs are exclusively found in the marked group of soil samples, each association group was found at least in two different soil samples. The digits give the number of sequences representing the OTU in the related soil sample.

On the one hand is remarkable that despite the fact, that the samples are originating from surface material of several hundred years old mines, a high percentage of groups with halophilic character and taxonomic units are present, which are described from marine environments. This fact is evident for the above described associations, in particular. The character of these associations speaks for a long-term “genetic memory” of bacteria communities [45,46] from these places. This observation fits to the fact that the ancient small mines are well recognizable in the landscape by their specific differences in surface morphology, soil structure and reduced, completely missing or special local vegetation. The reflection of significant differences between the single places by the existence or absence of certain soil bacterial associations on the one hand and the finding of a lot of exclusively provable single components on the other hand give strong advices to regard these small ancient mines as a valuable reservoir and source for new and unknown microorganisms with special tolerance features and potential specific metabolic capabilities.

**Conclusion**

The 16S-RNA NGS profilings of 17 ancient copper mines of the Southeast Harz region supply a differentiated picture of the dominant phyla and the rare operational taxonomic units (OTUs). The quantitative distribution of six dominant phyla (Plantomycetes, Actinobacteria, Proteobacteria, Gemmatimonadetes, Chloroflexi and Firmicutes) is comparatively strong correlated as the Principle Component Analysis (PCA) suggests. Acidobacteria and Bacteriodetes are related to each other, but more distinct from the first mentioned group. The phylum Verrucomicrobia shows the weakest relation to the other frequent phyla in the PCA. By analysis of the most frequent phyla, the soil samples can be subdivided into three groups, which are mainly distinguished by the percentages of Proteo- and Actinobacteria on the one side and Bacteriodetes, Verrucomicrobia, Planctomycetes and Acidobacteria, on the other side.

Despite the quantitative distinction by phyla, there was found that the samples from the single mines are marked by a set of rare types. Each sample supplied OTUs which had been exclusively assigned at one place. Other OTUs are present in several places. Among them, some groups had been identified which are found exclusively together in a few samples. Obviously, these groups represent sample-characteristic associations of rare microorganisms.

The results speak for the fact that the places of ancient mines reflect a certain ecological memory in the composition of soil bacterial communities. These communities have to be regarded as a characteristic pool of rare and partially up to now uncultivated organisms. These specific communities should be protected and recognized as a potential source for future biotechnical requirements.
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