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1. Introduction

Caused by the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) [1], the ongoing global coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic has led to more than 565 million confirmed cases and over 6 million deaths as of July 2022 according to World Health Organization. Despite the relentless effort of researchers, COVID-19 may still pose a great threat to human life in the future. On the one hand, the continuous emergence of virus variants compromises the efficacy of available vaccines [2], while the durability and long-term side effects of vaccines are unknown currently [3]. On the other hand, although several drugs such as remdesivir [4], molnupiravir [5], and Paxlovid [6] have been approved for emergent use by FDA for the treatment of patients with mild-to-moderate COVID-19, only Paxlovid performs relatively well. Therefore, it is still imperative to develop new effective therapies targeting this pandemic.

SARS-CoV-2 and other coronaviruses encode a chymotrypsin-like protease (3CLpro) or main protease (Mpro), which cleaves the viral polyproteins at 11 sites and plays a pivotal role in the replication as well as transcription of viruses [7,8]. Its substrate cleaving behavior with time-dependent activity. These new covalent inhibitors provide novel scaffolds for developing highly active SARS-CoV-2 3CL covalent inhibitors.
studies were dedicated to covalent inhibition 30 years ago [20]. Nevertheless, due to the development of characterization techniques and the discovery of some covalent inhibitors with moderate chemical reactivity, the design of covalent drugs becomes more prevalent [21,22]. At present, the known SARS-CoV-2 3CL<sub>pro</sub> inhibitors are also mainly covalent, such as PF-00835231 [22], PF-07321332 [6], myricetin [21], GC376 [23], MI-23 [24], 11a [25], calpain inhibitors I, II, and XII [26], carmofur [10], boceprevir [26], N3 [10], most of which contain the warhead structures such as aldehydes, alpha-ketoamido, nitrile, acrylamide, Michael acceptors, and chloroacetamide to react with the active site Cys145. There are also a few non-covalent inhibitors, such as S-217622 [27], baicalin [28], and masitinib [29]. In view of the enhanced therapeu tic potency and long-lasting effects of these covalent warheads [30, 31], developing covalent inhibitors of SARS-CoV-2 3CL<sub>pro</sub> provides an effective way to combat the pandemic.

In addition to rational design [32], molecular docking-based virtual screening [23], high-throughput experimental screening [34], and artificial intelligence (AI) have been used to screen for anti-SARS-CoV-2 compounds. For example, Wang et al. [35] developed a transferable deep learning method to screen a large compound library and suggested a list of potential anti-SARS-CoV-2 compounds. Duc et al. [36] used algebraic topology and deep learning to understand the molecular mechanism of SARS-CoV-2 3CL<sub>pro</sub> inhibition from 137 complex crystal structures and predicted 71 possible covalent binding inhibitors, for which no experimental validation has been carried out. Hu et al. [37] developed a novel framework, AIMEE, that integrated an AI model with enzymological experiments to screen a bioactive chemical library and found four SARS-CoV-2 3CL<sub>pro</sub> inhibitors with half-maximal inhibitory concentration (IC<sub>50</sub>) at micromolar level. Despite that the most successful SARS-CoV-2 3CL<sub>pro</sub> inhibitors until now all covalently bind to the active site Cys145, currently reported AI models for SARS-CoV-2 3CL<sub>pro</sub> inhibitor screen all used datasets of experimentally identified inhibitors that contain both covalent and non-covalent inhibitors. Most covalent inhibitors screened by these models can covalently bind to various targets without selectivity, which may easily result in off-target effects. Consequently, AI models that can be used to screen selective covalent inhibitors need to be developed.

Given the contribution of non-covalent interactions to the selectivity of ligands and the increased efficacy when two binding mechanisms exist simultaneously [20], the existence of covalent warheads and the binding of non-covalent sub-structures should be taken into account together when screening new covalent inhibitors. In the present study, we developed a strategy that incorporates deep learning models and in vitro experiments to quickly identify potential selective covalent SARS-CoV-2 3CL<sub>pro</sub> inhibitors. Firstly, we applied a deep learning framework that integrated a directed message passing neural network with a feed-forward neural network to construct two different classifiers for the activity prediction of molecules. These two classifiers were trained on the covalent and non-covalent 3CL<sub>pro</sub> inhibitors dataset separately. Both models achieved satisfactory performance on the test sets. We then successively applied the covalent inhibitor model and the non-covalent inhibitor model to screen a library with more than 39,000 compounds that contain covalent warheads of cysteine. Among the 32 top-ranking compounds that were experimentally tested, 6 showed IC<sub>50</sub> values less than 12 μM and the strongest one inhibited SARS-CoV-2 3CL<sub>pro</sub> with an IC<sub>50</sub> of 1.4 μM. Further investigation demonstrated that 5 of the 6 active compounds showed typical covalent inhibition behavior with time-dependent activity. These new inhibitors may provide useful guidance for the design of new drugs towards COVID-19.

2. Material and methods
2.1. Dataset

Since SARS-CoV-2 3CL<sub>pro</sub> has up to 96% sequence identity with SARS-CoV 3CL<sub>pro</sub>, inhibitors targeting SARS-CoV 3CL<sub>pro</sub> are likely to have the same effect on SARS-CoV-2 3CL<sub>pro</sub> [38]. Therefore, we collected not only SARS-CoV-2 3CL<sub>pro</sub> inhibitors from recent literature (from 2020 to 2021) but also SARS-CoV 3CL<sub>pro</sub> inhibitors from previous studies (from 2004 to 2021) [11,14–19,23,25,39–42]. Experimental data of inhibition assays are available for all collected inhibitors in literature and IC<sub>50</sub> of 50 μM was chosen as the cutoff to distinguish positive and negative samples. In addition, we divided this curated dataset into covalent and non-covalent datasets based on the binding mechanism of inhibitors. After dividing the dataset into training and test sets in a ratio of approximately 10:1, we finally constructed a covalent training dataset (Training Set 1) with 463 molecules (209 positives and 254 negatives), a covalent test dataset (Test Set 1) with 53 molecules (25 positives and 28 negatives), a non-covalent training dataset (Training Set 2) with 1086 molecules (224 positives and 862 negatives) and a non-covalent test dataset (Test Set 2) with 108 molecules (18 positives and 90 negatives) (Data S1).

Cysteine Targeted Covalent Library from ChemDiv (https://www.chemdiv.com) contains 39,301 compounds that have specific warheads, which were designed to react with cysteine. After removing overlaps with the training set and test set, the remaining 39,014 compounds of this library were used to screen potential covalent SARS-CoV-2 3CL<sub>pro</sub> inhibitors.

2.2. Deep learning models

We developed one classification model for covalent inhibitor classification (COVCL) and one classification model for non-covalent inhibitor classification (NOVCL) for SARS-CoV-2 3CL<sub>pro</sub>. The COVCL model, trained on Training Set 1, can be used to predict whether a compound has covalent inhibitory activity against SARS-CoV-2 3CL<sub>pro</sub>. The NOVCL model, trained on Training Set 2, can be used to predict whether a compound has non-covalent inhibitory activity against SARS-CoV-2 3CL<sub>pro</sub>. Successive application of these two models can screen out compounds that may specifically bind SARS-CoV-2 3CL<sub>pro</sub> and form covalent bond with Cys145.

We used the Chemprop architecture to train the models and encoded the molecules using SMILES representation. Chemprop model mainly includes a directed message passing neural network (D-MPNN) module for molecular feature extraction and a feed-forward neural network (FNN) for property prediction. Initially, Chemprop takes molecular SMILES as input and converts it into a molecular graph with atoms regarded as nodes and bonds as edges. After the message passing stage and readout stage, the D-MPNN module finally extracts all the atomic features and bond features in the molecular graph to generate a single feature vector representing the whole molecule [43]. In this work, we concatenated an additional vector containing 200 descriptors computed by RDKit [44] to introduce more molecular-level features. These descriptors contain partial charge, number of heavy atoms, number of hydrogen-bond donors, etc (Table S1). The FNN structure means that information is transferred unidirectionally from the input layer to the output layer step by step, with no feedback between layers [45]. The final feature vector of D-MPNN module was then fed to the FNN module to predict the activity of candidate molecules (Fig. 1).

2.3. Inhibition assay of SARS-CoV-2 3CL<sub>pro</sub>

The expression and purification of SARS-CoV-2 3CL<sub>pro</sub> were carried out using the reported protocol [46]. A fluorescent substrate Dabcyl-KTSAVLQSGFRKM-E(Edans)-NH<sub>2</sub> (GL Biochemistry Ltd) and assay buffer (40 mM PBS, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.1% Triton 100, pH 7.3) were used for the inhibition assay. Stock solutions of the inhibitors were prepared with DMSO. 0.5 μM SARS-CoV-2 3CL<sub>pro</sub> was pre-incubated for 180 min or other lengths of time with 5 μL DMSO or inhibitor at various concentrations. Then, 20 μL fluorescent substrate was added into the system to initiate the reaction. The reaction system was excited at 360 nm and an increase in absorbance at 460 nm was
calculated by V<sub>i</sub>/V<sub>0</sub>, where V<sub>i</sub> or V<sub>0</sub> represents the mean reaction rate of the protease incubated with DMSO or compounds. IC<sub>50</sub> was fitted with Prism GraphPad 8.0.

For the inhibition test in presence of DTT, the final concentration of DTT in assay buffer was 5 mM.

2.4. Inhibition kinetics analysis and reversibility assay

We studied the enzyme kinetics properties by adding different concentrations of the fluorescent substrate to initiate the reaction. Mean velocities were collected and plotted then fitted with the Michaelis-Menten equation to obtain values of K<sub>m</sub> and V<sub>max</sub>. To investigate the reversibility of inhibition, 1 μM SARS-CoV-2 3C<sup>PRO</sup> was incubated with 10 μM compound stock solution on ice for 180 min and then divided into different Millipore tubes for various times of ultrafiltration. For each time of ultrafiltration, equal volume of assay buffer used in the inhibition assay was added to elute the protease then ultrafiltered at 4 °C, 12,000 rpm for 5 min and collected for the inhibition assay.

2.5. Half-life of compounds reacting with GSH

Evaluation of the intrinsic reactivity of warheads was conducted by measuring the half-life of the compounds reacting with GSH. 500 μM compound was incubated with 10 mM GSH for 0–60 min and the remaining compounds were quantified by HPLC (Agilent 1200). Ln (the percentage of the remaining compound) was plotted against incubation time to generate the half-life time of the compound reacting with GSH.

2.6. Cathepsin L inhibition assay

The inhibition assay of Cathepsin L was performed as previously reported [47]. Briefly, compound 9 and compound 13 were tested using the commercial Cathepsin L Inhibitor Assay Kit (Abcam, Cat# ab197012). FF-FMK, a known inhibitor for Cathepsin L was used as a positive control.

2.7. Mass spectrometry analysis

For mass spectrometry analysis of the protease, 1 μM SARS-CoV-2 3C<sup>PRO</sup> was incubated with DMSO or 10 μM compound on ice for 180 min. The solution was ultrafiltered three times at 4 °C, 12,000 rpm for 5 min and analyzed by Quadrupole-TOF LC-MS/MS System using the ESI (+) mode. Signals of observed mass were collected and deconvoluted.

2.8. Covalent docking

The crystal structure of SARS-CoV-2 3C<sup>PRO</sup> in complex with compound 4 (PDB ID: 7JT7) was used as the target structure [48]. Protein and ligands were first prepared by the Protein Prep Wizard module [49] and LigPrep module in Schrodinger [50], respectively. Covalent Docking module [51] in Schrodinger was then used to carry out covalent docking of compounds with reaction type set to Michael addition and docking mode set to pose prediction.

3. Results and discussions

3.1. Models for prediction of covalent and non-covalent SARS-CoV-2 3C<sup>PRO</sup> inhibitors

We first trained and tested the COVCL and NOVCL models. Chemprop is a deep learning model for molecular property prediction that outperforms existing strong baselines on 16 proprietary datasets and 19 publicly available datasets [52]. Given the outstanding performance of Chemprop, we considered using it as the framework of our models. Since the performance of deep learning model is usually limited by the size of dataset, we additionally tested the performance of several classical machine learning models including Random Forest (RF) [53], Support Vector Classification (SVC) [54], eXtreme Gradient Boosting (XGB) [55], and Artificial Neural Network (ANN) [56]. Firstly, 10-fold cross-validation was carried out on training sets to evaluate the performance. Since ensemble methods can improve the stability of model by aggregating the predictions of multiple classifiers [57], we further constructed an ensemble of 10 models. The ensemble was trained by different splits of training data and validation data (9:1) from training sets. Then, performance of this ensemble was tested on the independent test sets and the final prediction was the average result of these 10 models. The receiver operating characteristic curve (ROC-AUC) and accuracy (ACC) were used as metrics to evaluate the performance of different models. As indicated by Table S2 and Table S3, the performance of classical models was close to Chemprop on both covalent and non-covalent datasets, but overall Chemprop performed slightly better. Consequently, considering that our small dataset does not diminish the effect of deep learning and that Chemprop incorporates more molecular structure information as described in methods, we finally chose Chemprop as the basic architecture of COVCL and NOVCL models.
The default parameters of Chemprop model were used except for four hyperparameters: the number of message-passing steps (depth), the dropout values of all layers, the size of bond message vectors (hidden size), and the number of FNN layers. We used the automated hyperparameter optimization tool in Chemprop, which is achieved by the Bayesian optimization method, to identify the optimal hyperparameters (Table 1).

As shown in Fig. 2A and Fig. 2C, AUC and ACC of ten-fold cross-validation on Training Set 1 were all above 0.850, while the AUC on Test set 1 achieved 0.987, indicating the good performance of COVCL. As for NOVCL, the AUC and ACC of ten-fold cross-validation this time were all above 0.880, while the AUC of Test set 2 could reach 0.853 (Fig. 2B and D). In summary, both COVCL and NOVCL showed satisfactory performance, and thus can be used for the following screening of SARS-CoV-2 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} inhibitors.

### 3.2. Virtual screening of Cysteine Targeted Covalent Library to identify potential 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} inhibitors

We constructed a generally applicable 5-step screening architecture that integrates deep learning and further properties analysis to identify potential selective covalent inhibitors (Fig. 3A).

We first screened the Cysteine Targeted Covalent Library with the COVCL model for compounds that might covalently bind SARS-CoV-2 3CL\textsuperscript{pro}. The top 727 compounds with scores higher than 0.8 were selected (Fig. 3B) and subjected to the NOVCL model filtering for compounds that specifically bind to the enzyme and form covalent bond with Cys145. Using a cutoff score of 0.7, 298 molecules were selected (Fig. 3C) for further analysis.

Since some of these 298 compounds are structurally similar, a cluster analysis of the compounds was performed to select representative compounds from each cluster. We used the Butina [58] module of RDKit to cluster the above 298 molecules with a distance cutoff of 0.4. This allowed the compounds to be divided into 102 clusters, among which two clusters contain more than 20 compounds. We further clustered these two groups of compounds with a cutoff of 0.2 and the compound with the highest NOVCL score was taken as a representative for each class. These analyses gave 113 compounds for further study.

As the physicochemical properties of a molecule can have a significant impact on its absorption, distribution, metabolism, excretion (ADME), toxicity, and pharmacological activity, they were considered in the fourth step of the screening process. In the present study, we simply used the octanol-water partition coefficient (logP) to ensure the absorption and bioavailability property of a compound [59]. There are 79 compounds among the 117 with logP values not higher than 5. We also limited the molecular weight to the range of 300–600 and 32 candidate compounds were selected for experimental study.

In order to visualize the model prediction results and further analyze the structural information of the screened molecules, we applied t-distributed stochastic neighbor embedding (t-SNE) on the dataset to visualize the chemical space distribution of compounds. t-SNE is a dimensionality reduction method that can utilize Tanimoto similarity to quantify the chemical distance. The spatial proximity of two points on the t-SNE plot graph implies structural similarity. As shown in Fig. 4A, the molecules in the training set were widely distributed in chemical space and contained various structures. The distribution of 32 screened molecules was dispersed and 29 of these molecules had the maximum similarity of less than 0.5 to the positive compounds in the training set, indicating that COVCL and NOVCL can identify novel structures to some extent (Fig. 4B). This indicates that the models learned certain structure-activity relationships from the training set, and the learned features can be recombined into some new compounds. Certainly, the performance of deep learning models is closely related to the training data, so increasing the structural diversity of training data should facilitate the model to learn more information and hence enhance its prediction ability.

### 3.3. Enzyme inhibition activity of the virtually screened compounds

We then tested the inhibitory activity of the 32 compounds against SARS-CoV-2 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} according to our previously published methods [46], using tidegulbs as a positive control (Fig. S1) [10]. Among the 32 compounds, 12 showed more than 50% inhibition at the concentration of 100 μM (Table S4) and 6 exhibited considerable inhibitory activity with IC\textsubscript{50} < 12 μM with 180 min incubation time (Fig. 5). These 6 active compounds contain covalent warheads of α, β-unaturated ketone (ester), disulfide bond, and heteroaromatic ester. To the best of our knowledge, no anti-SARS-CoV-2 activities nor other biological activities of these molecules have been reported before. We also used the D3Similarity web server to calculate the molecular similarity between our compounds and the reported bioactive compounds against coronaviruses [60]. The maximum two-dimensional similarity of the 6 compounds were all below 0.45, indicating their structural novelty compared to known inhibitors, which provides good starting points for designing novel SARS-CoV-2 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} covalent inhibitors.

### 3.4. Mode of action analysis of the active compounds

To elucidate the mode of action of hit compounds against SARS-CoV-2 3CL\textsuperscript{pro}, we carried out a series of enzymatic studies and mass spectrometry analysis. As time-dependent inhibition is a good indicator of covalent binding, we incubated the 6 compounds with SARS-CoV-2 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} for different lengths of time and measured their inhibition activity. Five of them exhibited obvious time-dependent increase of inhibition activity (Fig. 5 and Table S5). Among the 5 compounds, compound 9 and compound 13 with the most potent inhibitory activities were selected for further analysis.

As shown in Fig. 6A, the addition of dithiothreitol (DTT) could reverse the inhibitory effect of compound 9 and compound 13, which is typical of covalent inhibition through Cys binding. Furthermore, reversibility assay of both compounds to SARS-CoV-2 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} revealed that the ultrafiltration of inhibitors could recover enzymatic activity to a certain extent (Fig. 6B), which indicated that they were reversible inhibitors. As exhibited in Fig. 6C and Table S6, the Michaelis-Menten kinetics analysis of compound 9 and compound 13 yielded both Km and Vmax values altered, indicating that they are mix-type inhibitors of SARS-CoV-2 3CL\textsuperscript{pro}. Overall, the enzymatic studies demonstrated that both compound 9 and compound 13 were reversible covalent inhibitors of SARS-CoV-2 3CL\textsuperscript{pro}.

We have also explored the selectivity of compound 9 and compound 13 by investigating their inhibitory activity against Cathepsin L, a key host cysteine protease utilized by coronaviruses for cell entry [3, 61,62], using the reported method (the known Cathepsin L inhibitor FF-FMK was used as the positive compound, Fig. S2) [63]. At the concentration of 50 μM, the inhibition rates of Cathepsin L by compound 9 and compound 13 are 20.3 ± 5.3% and 26.6 ± 5.8%, respectively. The weak inhibitory activity of both compounds against Cathepsin L suggested their high selectivity for coronavirus protease.

To reduce possible off-target covalent binding that may lead to toxicity risks, the intrinsic reactivity of the warheads should be low. The intrinsic reactivity of compound 9 and compound 13 was measured using a GSH assay which is routinely used to assess the reactivity of cysteine-targeted warheads [64]. The reaction half-life (t\textsubscript{1/2}) of compound 9 and compound 13 were 1.4 min and 49.6 min, respectively (Fig. S3). Regarding the fact that t\textsubscript{1/2} of clinical covalent kinase inhibitors determined in GSH assay were within the range of 30–512 min.

### Table 1

Optimal hyperparameters for the two models.

| model | depth | dropout | FNN layers | hidden size |
|-------|-------|---------|------------|-------------|
| COVCL | 5     | 0.1     | 2          | 1100        |
| NOVCL | 3     | 0.1     | 2          | 1300        |
Fig. 2. Performance of two classification models (COVCL and NOVCL). (A) Result of 10-fold cross-validation on Training Set 1 for COVCL. (B) Result of 10-fold cross-validation on Training Set 2 for NOVCL. (C) Receiver operating characteristic curve of COVCL on Test Set 1. AUC is the area under the curve, which is usually used to evaluate the performance of classification model. (D) Receiver operating characteristic curve of NOVCL on Test Set 2.

Fig. 3. Library screening for potential inhibitors. (A) Overall schematic of the screening architecture. (B) Distribution of predicted scores for Cysteine Targeted Covalent Library using the COVCL model. Count indicates the number of molecules. (C) Distribution of the predicted scores for the 727 selected molecules using the NOVCL model.
compound 13 demonstrated moderate reactivity, implying its potential for further development of more potent SARS-CoV-2 3CLpro covalent inhibitors.

We further carried out liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) analysis of covalent binding between SARS-CoV-2 3CLpro and compound 13. We found that compound 13 could only partially modify SARS-CoV-2 3CLpro (Fig. S4), perhaps due to the instability of reversible covalent binding manner. Intact protein mass spectrometry study confirmed that a covalent bond is formed between the protease and the α, β-unsaturated ketone unit of compound 13, detected as a peak with MW shift of +405 Da (C_{22}H_{15}NO_{7}). Besides, a peak with MW shift of +319 Da (C_{19}H_{13}NO_{4}), which is equal to the mass of the SARS-CoV-2 3CLpro/arylfurylpropenone complex along with the removal of methyl glyoxylate was detected. Eventually, we performed covalent docking to understand the interactions of compound 13 with SARS-CoV-2 3CLpro. As shown in Fig. 7A, compound 13 mainly occupied the S1’, S1, and S2 pockets. A covalent bond is formed between Cys145 and the α, β-unsaturated ketone unit. In the docking model, two π-π stackings are observed between the furan unit and the benzene ring of compound 13 and His41 of 3CLpro. His41 also interacts with the nitro group of compound 13 by cation-π interaction. In addition, compound 13 forms three hydrogen bonds with Ser144, Cys145, and His163, respectively (Fig. 7B). Apart from the covalent bond formed between Michael acceptors and Cys145, those mentioned non-covalent interactions of compound 13 also contribute to its inhibitory activity and selectivity against SARS-CoV-2 3CLpro. In the docking model, compound 13 occupies a similar position as myricetin, a natural product that was reported to target Cys145 with its pyrogallol warhead [21]. Myricetin bound to 3CLpro distinctively (PDB ID: 7DPP), mainly occupying the S1’ and S2 pockets. The benzene ring in the case of compound 13 binding to 3CLpro is similar to the binding position of the pyrogallol moiety. On the other hand, different from the cyclic moieties adopted in the reported peptidomimetic covalent inhibitors of 3CLpro [6,23,25,66,67], the methyl glyoxylate moiety in compound 13 occupies the S1 pocket (Fig. S8). In addition, the occupied S1’ pocket and currently unoccupied S4 pocket are relatively novel situations among cases of binding to 3CLpro with covalent inhibitors, which would hopefully provide inspiration for developing novel 3CLpro covalent inhibitors.
4. Conclusion

We have developed a generally applicable deep learning screening strategy that uses both covalent and non-covalent classifiers to identify selective covalent enzyme inhibitors. In the present study, we trained the two classifiers on covalent and non-covalent SARS-CoV-2 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} inhibitor datasets, respectively, and successfully applied them to identify 5 novel covalent SARS-CoV-2 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} inhibitors. Such a deep learning approach only requires molecular SMILES as input files, making it convenient to be applied. Compared to experimental and docking-based screening, it is highly efficient. The inhibitors that we found provide new scaffolds for developing the next generation of specific covalent SARS-CoV-2 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} inhibitors. Especially, our framework can be easily extended to other targets or diseases in principle although we only presented the study of SARS-CoV-2 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} here. For instance, papain-like protease (PL\textsuperscript{pro}) of SARS-CoV-2, which is another promising target for the treatment of coronavirus, can also form a covalent bond with ligands through Cys111 in the catalytic site \[68, 69\]. The covalent inhibitors of PL\textsuperscript{pro} can be screened out by our framework as long as researchers replace the original datasets with PL\textsuperscript{pro}-related data. Overall, the deep learning-based framework for rapid identification of covalent inhibitors established in this work is feasible and efficient, providing a significant complementary approach to guide drug development.
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