Microstructure and Mechanical Properties of BCC-FCC Eutectics in Ternary, Quaternary and Quinary Alloys From the Al-Co-Cr-Fe-Ni System
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This study aimed at understanding the structure and properties of dual-phase eutectics in ternary, quaternary, and quinary alloys of the Al-Co-Cr-Fe-Ni system. The alloys at case were i) Ni48Fe34Al18, ii) Ni44Fe20Cr20Al16, and iii) Ni34.4Fe16.4Co16.4Cr16.4Al16.4. Samples in the form of cylindrical bars, diameter 10 mm × 150 mm, were produced by arc melting and suction casting from pure elements (>99.9 wt%). Bridgman solidification at low growth velocity was used to produce additional samples with large eutectic spacing and lamellae thickness of the two phases body-centered cubic (BCC)-B2 and face-centered cubic (FCC) in order to facilitate phase characterization by energy-dispersive X-ray analysis (scanning electron microscopy/energy-dispersive spectroscopy) and nano-indentation. In agreement with thermodynamic calculations, each of the phases was found to be multi-component and contain all alloying elements in distinct amounts. The mechanical properties of the individual phases were analyzed in relation to their composition using nano-indentation experiments. These measurements revealed some insights into “high-entropy effects” and their contribution to the elastoplastic response to indentation loading. Further analysis focused on as-cast as well as heat-treated samples comprising phase fraction measurements, micro-indentation, and miniature testing in three-point bending configuration. For optimum heat treatment conditions, a good balance of strength and ductility was obtained for each of the investigated alloys. Further work is necessary in order to assess their capability as structural materials.
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INTRODUCTION

The intermetallic compound NiAl has long been proposed as a potential alloy for high temperature structural applications due to its high melting point, good thermal conductivity and excellent corrosion resistance (Miracle, 1993; Noebe et al., 1993; Liu, 1995). Because of the relatively low density (5.7 g/cm³) it was especially considered for lightweight applications (Bei and George, 2005). Resulting from a high degree of order in the crystal structure and corresponding limitations in slip transfer, NiAl suffers from low room temperature ductility and low fracture toughness. This...
drawback is further complemented by low strength and creep resistance at higher temperatures (>600 °C). Numerous approaches have been proposed in order to improve the mechanical properties of NiAl (Shang et al., 2012; Hu et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2017). For example, alloying with refractory metals such as Cr, Mo, Re, and V produced binary BCC-B2 eutectic alloys with much improved room temperature ductility (Misra and Gibala, 1997). The possible tailoring of microstructure and interfacial properties with the target of promoting slip transfer and ductility was further advanced by investigating directionally solidified Ni50Fe30Al20 (Misra and Gibala, 1999). The authors studied slip transfer across the phase boundaries and could identify several compatible slip systems, given the Kurdjumow–Sachs orientation relationship (Kurdjumow and Sachs, 1930) between the BCC and FCC lamellae (Misra and Gibala, 1999). In particular, they found that the interphase slip transfer is similar to the transfer across grain boundaries, and as a result, the active slip system can be predicted by utilizing criteria that had been proposed for grain boundaries (Shen et al., 1988).

The idea of creating a eutectic microstructure with improved ductility and an increasing number of constituents was advanced further by Jin et al. (2019). By the addition of Cr to a Ni-Fe-Al alloy, for example, Ni$_{44}$Fe$_{20}$Cr$_{20}$Al$_{16}$ a eutectic microstructure composed of FCC and BCC-B2 was found and investigated. The authors reported good mechanical strength and ductility for this material, which they ascribed to the so-called high-entropy effect (Cantor et al., 2004; Chen et al., 2004). Many authors, including the study of Jin et al. postulate a thermodynamic explanation for the improved mechanical properties of those materials (Jin et al., 2019). However, there is currently an ongoing discussion about the fundamental reasoning behind and the validity of the high-entropy-effect, which is discussed in depth in an extensive review by Miracle and Senkov et al. (2017). Independent of the fundamental physical reasoning behind the effect, the class of multicomponent materials offers numerous possibilities for improving and tailoring alloys related to NiAl. This is especially true for eutectic materials with the nominal composition of Ni$_{34.4}$Fe$_{15.4}$Co$_{15.4}$Cr$_{15.4}$Al$_{16.4}$ (Lu et al., 2014; Gao et al., 2017), which turned out to be composed of FCC and BCC-B2 and was termed an eutectic high-entropy alloy (EHEA). A particularly good combination of high fracture strength and high ductility was reported to be retained even at temperatures of 700°C. The ratio of fracture stress to yield stress was shown to be about 1 order of magnitude larger for this EHEA than for NiAl-based alloys. Beneficial mechanical properties were both found and investigated by several groups (Gao et al., 2017; Nagase et al., 2017), including thermomechanical processing (Wani et al., 2016; Bhattacharjee et al., 2018). Summarizing, we found that FCC/BCC-B2 eutectics with lamellar microstructure were reported for ternary Al-Ni-Fe, quaternary Al-Ni-Fe-Cr, and quinary Al-Ni-Fe-Cr-Co alloys. The quinary Co-free eutectic alloy could be preferable due to economic, societal, and political reasons (Tkaczyk et al., 2018).

The aim of this study was to take up the early work by Misra and Gibala (1997), Misra et al. (1998), Misra and Gibala (1999), and Misra et al. (2005) and compare the microstructure and properties of ternary FCC/BCC-B2 eutectics to the recent EHEAs reported by Ly et al. (2014), Gao et al. (2017), Jin et al. (2019). The main emphasis is given to the analysis of element partitioning between the two phases of the eutectic, the analysis of the nano-and micro-hardness, and a comparison of mechanical properties determined by three-point bending on as-cast and heat treated specimens.

### EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

#### Sample Preparation

Specimens were produced using a commercial arc-melting setup (AM 500, Edmund Bühler GmbH, Germany) equipped with a suction casting unit. The furnace operates under argon atmosphere after having evacuated the chamber several times to ensure that the residual oxygen partial pressure is small. Samples were produced in 100 g batches from high-purity elements, being melted in the arc-melter and rapidly cooled down, either in the form of buttons or suction cast into cylindrical samples of Ø 10 mm × 150 mm. All samples were remelted three times to ensure chemical homogeneity. The overall chemical composition of the samples produced for this study is given in Table 1 in at%.

We found that the composition of the ternary eutectic was slightly different from that of Ni$_{50}$Fe$_{30}$Al$_{20}$ given by Misra and Gibala (1999). According to our observations, the composition given in Misra’s study is slightly off-eutectic and yields BCC-B2 dendrites as a primary phase. For the composition listed in Table 1 we were able to avoid primary phases and achieve a two-phase FCC/BCC-B2 eutectic microstructure.

The specimens were analyzed in the as-cast state, and in addition, directional solidification at low growth velocity was
carried out on the suction cast rods, in order to achieve planar coupled growth and provide equilibrium data about the phase composition. As-cast samples from the Ni$_{44}$Fe$_{20}$Cr$_{20}$Al$_{16}$ and Ni$_{34.4}$Fe$_{16.4}$Co$_{16.4}$Cr$_{16.4}$Al$_{16.4}$ eutectic alloys were further subjected to different annealing treatments which were carried out in a horizontal tube furnace under an argon (Ar 5.0) atmosphere at 750°C for 24 h and at 950°C for 15 h, respectively.

Directional solidification was carried out in a vertical Bridgman–Stockbarger furnace. This furnace features a heating zone on the top and a liquid metal cooling zone on the bottom, separated from one another by an isolation zone (baffle). The furnace operates under a protective argon (Ar 4.8) atmosphere. The setup was described in another article in more detail (Drevermann et al., 2004). For this study, the samples measuring Ø 8 mm × 150 mm were placed in an alumina tube, directionally melted over about 2/3rd of their length, and subsequently solidified at a velocity of 5 μm/sec over a length of 40 mm. The heater temperatures were set to 1,600°C. Directional solidification was finished by a quenching operation, achieved by a rapid, pneumatically driven movement of the cooler over the sample. The directionally solidified samples were cut along longitudinal and transverse section planes for further analysis. Phase composition measurements were performed on longitudinal sections close to the quenched solidification front.

Electron Microscopy, Energy Dispersive Spectroscopy, and Electron Backscatter Diffraction

The three alloys were analyzed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) using ZEISS Gemini LEO 1550 and ZEISS Gemini Ultra 55 microscopes equipped with detectors for energy-dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) and electron backscatter diffraction (EBSD), that is, X-MaxN 150 and HKL Nordlys, respectively, being controlled and operated by INCA-software (Oxford Instruments). Sample preparation included conventional embedding, grinding, and polishing steps, with a final step of vibratory polishing (Vibromet, Buehler). EDS measurements were conducted in point-, line-scan, and area modes at 15 kV acceleration voltage and a working distance of 10 mm. EDS line scans were conducted perpendicular to the lamellar microstructure for all three alloys to determine the chemical composition of the individual phases in the two-phase eutectic. Crystallographic information was obtained using EBSD maps. Additionally, phase fractions were determined from backscatter-SEM images (1,024 pixels × 768 pixels) in 2,000× magnification using the open-source software ImageJ (Schneider et al., 2012). For each of the as-cast alloys, three individual pictures were analyzed.

Indentation Experiments

Micro-indentation

Micro-indentation experiments were carried out in the indentation MHT3 from Anton Paar GmbH, Germany. The system allows for a continuous measurement of the penetration depth of a defined indentation tip as a function of the loading force (load–depth profiles). Positioning of the imprints on the sample surface is facilitated by an in-built light microscope. Micro-indentation measurements were carried out using a diamond indentation tip in Vickers geometry, which had been calibrated before to determine the area function. Load-depth curves were recorded in the load-controlled mode using 1,000 mN maximum load, a 2,000 mN/min loading and unloading rate, and a 10-sec pause on maximum load. Indents were placed in the form of a predefined matrix across the surface. The distance between each individual indent was 75 μm, while the diagonal for the imprint under these conditions was about 25 μm. The Oliver–Pharr algorithm was applied to determine the microhardness and Young’s modulus from the unloading characteristics. The selected set of parameters

| Phase fraction | Image analysis Ni$_{44}$Fe$_{20}$Al$_{16}$ | EBSD map Ni$_{44}$Fe$_{20}$Al$_{16}$ | Image analysis Ni$_{34.4}$Fe$_{16.4}$Cr$_{16.4}$Al$_{16.4}$ | Image analysis Ni$_{34.4}$Fe$_{16.4}$Co$_{16.4}$Cr$_{16.4}$Al$_{16.4}$ |
|----------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|
| FCC            | 60                                   | 59.2                          | 68                      | 70                            |
| BCC-B2         | 40                                   | 40.8                          | 32                      | 30                            |

Figure 1: Scanning electron microscopy backscatter-electron micrographs in 2000 X magnification of polished cross sections of as-cast arc-melted cylindrical samples of the Ni$_{44}$Fe$_{20}$Al$_{16}$ (A), Ni$_{44}$Fe$_{20}$Cr$_{20}$Al$_{16}$ (B), and Ni$_{34.4}$Fe$_{16.4}$Co$_{16.4}$Cr$_{16.4}$Al$_{16.4}$ alloys (C).
ensured a sufficiently large size of the imprint encompassing several lamellae, while at the same time being small enough to capture individual grain properties. Additionally, the selected load is in a range for optimal signal-to-noise characteristics in the raw data.

**Nano-Indentation**

Nano-indentation measurements were carried out by Anton Paar GmbH, Germany, on a nanohardness tester (NHT). As with the micro-indentation system, a continuous measurement of penetration depth as a function of an applied axial load was recorded. All nano-indentation experiments were carried out using a diamond indentation tip in Berkovich geometry (cube corner). The indentations followed a predefined $11 \times 11$ matrix across a polished cross section of the specific samples, set up as lines of equi-distant points with a $3 \mu$m distance, which ensures a sufficient spacing so that none of the neighboring indentations are affected by localized strain. Load–depth curves were recorded in load-controlled procedures using 1.0 mN maximum load, a 2.0-mN/min loading and unloading rate, and a 10-sec pause on maximum load. The Oliver–Pharr algorithm was used to determine the nano-hardness and Young’s modulus from the unloading characteristics. Surface detection limits were set to 0.1 mN for each measurement. The resulting imprints were comparatively small with around 60 nm depth and 300 nm diagonal width. Most of them were well inside specific phases (lamellae), which allowed for the collection of phase specific indentation curves and properties.
Three-Point Bending Tests
Three-point bending tests were conducted on Ni$_{48}$Fe$_{34}$Al$_{18}$, Ni$_{44}$Fe$_{20}$Cr$_{20}$Al$_{16}$, and Ni$_{34.4}$Fe$_{16.4}$Co$_{16.4}$Cr$_{16.4}$Al$_{16.4}$ specimens using a micromechanical stage SEMtester 1000 EBSD instrument (MTI Instruments Inc., United States). Rectangular specimens measuring $30.0 \text{ mm} \times 5.0 \text{ mm} \times 1.6 \text{ mm}$ were cut from suction cast bars and by wire erosion cutting and tested in the as-cast state. Samples from the Ni$_{44}$Fe$_{20}$Cr$_{20}$Al$_{16}$ and Ni$_{34.4}$Fe$_{16.4}$Co$_{16.4}$Cr$_{16.4}$Al$_{16.4}$ alloys were also tested in heat-treated conditions, for example, at $750^\circ \text{C}/24 \text{ h}$ and at $950^\circ \text{C}/15 \text{ h}$, respectively. Flexural stress-strain curves were calculated following the procedures outlined in the ASTM testing standard (Raheem, 2019).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Microstructure
As-cast samples from arc-melting and suction casting were prepared metallographically and subsequently analyzed by SEM according to the information given in chapter 2. All samples in the as-cast state showed a two-phase lamellar eutectic microstructure, low porosity, and no cracks. The as-cast microstructure is shown in Figure 1. The eutectic has a clear cellular/"dendritic" appearance with a sharply defined lamellar morphology in the trunk center and radially branching lamellae toward the cell sides. The FCC phase (bright) is the majority phase, while the BCC-B2 phase (dark) is the minority phase. While the three alloys look similar, the microstructure is finer in the Ni$_{44}$Fe$_{20}$Cr$_{20}$Al$_{16}$ and Ni$_{34.4}$Fe$_{16.4}$Co$_{16.4}$Cr$_{16.4}$Al$_{16.4}$ samples, which exhibit smaller lamellar spacings in the trunk region, and a higher fraction of the FCC phase. Lamellar spacings were estimated to be in the range around 1.2–1.4 µm in the Ni$_{44}$Fe$_{20}$Cr$_{20}$Al$_{16}$ and Ni$_{34.4}$Fe$_{16.4}$Co$_{16.4}$Cr$_{16.4}$Al$_{16.4}$ samples, while being slightly larger in the Ni$_{48}$Fe$_{34}$Al$_{18}$ sample, for example, 1.4–2.1 µm, due to faster diffusion owing to higher liquidus and solidus temperatures. The spacing estimation was done by the line intercept method and limited to the core region of eutectic colonies, where the lamellar structure is prominent and clear.

Quantitative phase analysis using the open-source software ImageJ was used to measure phase fractions. For the Ni$_{48}$Fe$_{34}$Al$_{18}$ alloy, the phase fractions were also verified by EBSD mapping. The results are listed in Table 2. The Ni$_{48}$Fe$_{34}$Al$_{18}$ sample consists of roughly 60% FCC, while both the Ni$_{44}$Fe$_{20}$Cr$_{20}$Al$_{16}$ and Ni$_{34.4}$Fe$_{16.4}$Co$_{16.4}$Cr$_{16.4}$Al$_{16.4}$ alloys show an increase in the FCC fraction to about 70%.

In all samples, we observed that the FCC phase inside the eutectic grains or colonies, which were formed as a result of
Mullins–Sekerka instabilities (Plapp and Karma, 2002) in large columnar eutectic grains, displays growth twins. Twinning of the FCC phase is illustrated in Figure 2 for the as-cast quinary eutectic Ni34.4Fe16.4Co16.4Cr16.4Al16.4 based on EBSD mapping results. The orientation of the FCC phase is shown using inverse pole figure coloring for the normal direction (Figure 2A), the rolling direction (Figure 2B) and the transverse direction (Figure 2C). In the upper right corner of these inverse pole figure maps, one grain is outlined with a dotted white line. As seen in Figure 2D, the grain is bounded by yellow and turquoise...
borders toward neighboring grains, but internally it contains distinct regions separated by white boundaries. In fact, the white boundaries are twin boundaries. A zoom-in view of the FCC twin boundaries in this grain is provided in Figure 2F, while Figure 2E shows that a single BCC orientation prevails in the eutectic grain. We identified the twinning plane and direction between the two regions FCC-1 and FCC-2 to be $(11\overline{1})[11\overline{2}]$. The corresponding pole figures for $(11\overline{1})$ and $(11\overline{2})$ plane families are presented in Figure 2G for FCC-1 and in Figure 2H for FCC-2. The twinning system is characteristic for FCC growth twins. We observed a remarkably high amount of twin boundaries in the mapping region (compare Figure 2D). More details about twinning in this EHEA will be presented in an upcoming publication.

Directional Solidification

Directionally solidified samples with planar growth front and coupled eutectic growth patterns with large lamellae size were used to accurately measure the phase composition using EDS line scans across several lamellae. A step size of 0.5 µm was used. EDS analysis has been shown previously to be an adequate method for elemental quantification (Newbury and Ritchie, 2013). Line scans have been conducted a few below the quenched front in the directionally solidified area. We present the data from a single line scan for each of the three alloys, containing about 150 single-point spectra. Figure 3 exemplarily shows a line scan and the measured element distribution across the FCC and BCC-B2 lamellae from the alloy Ni$_{34.4}$Fe$_{16.4}$Co$_{16.4}$Cr$_{16.4}$Al$_{16.4}$. Table 3 contains the phase composition data for all three investigated alloys measured by the same procedure.

The ordered BCC-B2 phase in all three alloys is derived from the ordered compound NiAl, which is known to crystallize in a CsCl-type structure (space-group 221, Pearson symbol cP2). From the data presented in Table 3 and Figure 4 it is deduced that an approximate composition of (Ni, Co)$_{50}$ (Al, Cr, Fe)$_{50}$ is valid for the BCC-B2 phase, with Co occupying Ni sites, while Fe and Cr prefer the Al sites. If elements Al, Cr, and Fe are grouped together and a second group of Ni, and Co is established, the summation of the data in Table 3 leads to an equally distributed elemental composition within the two groups (see Figure 4B). These findings are consistent with literature data: Duncan et al. used atom probe field ion microscopy to show Fe preferentially occupying Al sites in NiAl (Duncan et al., 1994). Other studies found Cr clearly preferring Al sites (Medvedeva et al., 1998), while Co is found on the Ni sites, which is to be expected from the chemical similarity of the two elements (Li et al., 2016). It has to be noted that all these studies were conducted for very low concentrations of the substituting elements (Jones, 2003). This consideration has been conducted only for the ordered BCC-B2 phase since in the FCC phase all the atoms share all sites statistically.

To our knowledge, single-phase specific compositional data for the Ni$_{48}$Fe$_{34}$Al$_{18}$ and Ni$_{44}$Fe$_{20}$Cr$_{20}$Al$_{16}$ eutectics have not been published so far. For the Ni$_{34.4}$Fe$_{16.4}$Co$_{16.4}$Cr$_{16.4}$Al$_{16.4}$ alloy, Gao et al. determined elemental distributions for single phase lamellae with atom probe tomography that deviate significantly from our results (Gao et al., 2017). We believe this deviation can be attributed to both the atom probe tomography method of quantification in Gaos’s study which is sensitive to local fluctuations and a different method of preparation of the specimens. Wang et al. published phase-specific compositional data obtained by SEM-EDS for the eutectic Ni$_{34.4}$Fe$_{16.4}$Co$_{16.4}$Cr$_{16.4}$Al$_{16.4}$ which exhibits similar results to this study (Wang et al., 2020).
As can be deduced from these results, the BCC-B2 phase is rich in Al and Ni, while the FCC phase is rich in Fe, and where applicable Cr, and Co. While this finding holds true for all the analyzed regions in this study, we want to emphasize that always all the elements are present in each individual phase in significant amounts (>10 at%), which is an important finding in regard to the discussion of a potential high-entropy effect.

**Isothermal Heat Treatment**

In order to assess the potential for altering the mechanical properties of the eutectic alloys, two different heat treatments were conducted for the Ni₄₄Fe₂₀Cr₂₀Al₁₆ and Ni₃₄.₄Fe₁₆.₄Co₁₆.₄Cr₁₆.₄Al₁₆.₄ samples, that is, 750 °C for 24 h and 950 °C for 15 h. In this case, the Ni₄₈Fe₃₄Al₁₈ alloy was not included. In the SEM analysis of the heat-treated Ni₄₄Fe₂₀Cr₂₀Al₁₆ samples we observed needle-like precipitation in the FCC phase and a new Cr-rich phase. The phase boundaries appear fully and densely covered with small particles of this new phase. As shown in Figure 5A for samples heat-treated at 750°C and Figure 5B for samples heat-treated at 950°C the thickness of this layer increases with temperature and time, a result of coarsening. Along with coarsening at 950°C, the Cr-rich particle layer no longer fully covers the interface. The nature of the Cr-rich phase could not be identified unambiguously, but we expect it to be a Cr-rich BCC-A2 phase. A potential σ-phase could be excluded by EBSD. Interestingly, as revealed by transmission electron microscopy analysis, we found that this Cr-rich layer was already present for an as-cast sample of the Ni₄₄Fe₂₀Cr₂₀Al₁₆ alloy. This is shown in Figure 6. We attribute this behavior to the relatively large Cr content of the Ni₄₄Fe₂₀Cr₂₀Al₁₆ eutectic alloy, which is 20.5 vs. 17.0 at% for the Ni₃₄.₄Fe₁₆.₄Co₁₆.₄Cr₁₆.₄Al₁₆.₄ alloy. In contrast, the Ni₃₄.₄Fe₁₆.₄Co₁₆.₄Cr₁₆.₄Al₁₆.₄ sample did not exhibit a Cr-rich layer at the lamellar interface after the same heat treatments at 750°C (Figure 5C) and 950°C (Figure 5D). We observed needle-like precipitates in the FCC-phase of the Ni₃₄.₄Fe₁₆.₄Co₁₆.₄Cr₁₆.₄Al₁₆.₄ samples, which also showed a tendency toward coarsening at 950°C.

In summary, applying the afore-mentioned heat treatments to the Ni₄₄Fe₂₀Cr₂₀Al₁₆ and Ni₃₄.₄Fe₁₆.₄Co₁₆.₄Cr₁₆.₄Al₁₆.₄ samples revealed significant differences between the two alloys, which will impact the mechanical behavior in the three-point bending experiments shown in Three-Point Bending Experiments. The appearance and coarsening of the Cr-rich layer that covers the lamellar interfaces of the Ni₄₄Fe₂₀Cr₂₀Al₁₆ samples demonstrated the necessity for a heat treatment that is adapted to this system, and the 950°C treatment may constitute a starting point for further research.

**Indentation**

Selected samples were subjected to indentation experiments using the depth-sensing indentation technique, as outlined in Indentation Experiments. Thereby, synchronously load and depth were recorded and plotted as a load–depth profile. With a known indenter shape, the specimen’s hardness and Young’s

---

**TABLE 4** Instrumented hardness (H_{IT}) and Young’s modulus (E_{IT}) for the three alloys as determined by micro-indentation experiments and for the individual phases of the Ni₄₄Fe₂₀Cr₂₀Al₁₆ alloy as determined by nano-indentation.

| phase | H_{IT} (MPa) | Std. dev. | E_{IT} (GPa) | Std. dev. | N |
|-------|-------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|---|
| Ni₄₄Fe₂₀Al₁₈ | 3472.1 | 67.9 | 158.0 | 6.7 | 52 |
| Ni₄₄Fe₂₀Cr₂₀Al₁₆ | 3537.5 | 93.7 | 188.1 | 7.6 | 46 |
| Ni₃₄.₄Fe₁₆.₄Co₁₆.₄Cr₁₆.₄Al₁₆.₄ | 3310.1 | 71.2 | 185.9 | 8.5 | 44 |
| Ni₄₄Fe₂₀Cr₂₀Al₁₆-FCC | 4721.9 | 215.5 | 190.1 | 9.1 | 49 |
| Ni₄₄Fe₂₀Cr₂₀Al₁₆-BCC-B2 | 6016.8 | 472.6 | 144.4 | 10.2 | 30 |

**FIGURE 7** Mean load-depth profiles of the three alloys from micro-indentation experiments (A) and mean load-depth profiles of nano-indentation probing of the individual phases of the Ni₄₄Fe₂₀Cr₂₀Al₁₆ alloy (B).
modulus were calculated following to the procedure laid out by Oliver and Pharr (1992). The method relies on fitting a power law to the unloading part of the load–depth profile and works well for the comparison of specimens.

Micro-Indentation
The aim of these experiments was the determination of Young’s modulus and microhardness for as-cast eutectic samples. The size of the indentation tip always covered several lamellae within a grain. Around 50 individual indents were placed on eutectic grains to ensure sufficient statistics. As can be seen from the data presented in Table 4 and Figure 7A, both the Ni_{44}Fe_{20}Cr_{20}Al_{16} and Ni_{34.4}Fe_{16.4}Co_{16.4}Cr_{16.4}Al_{16.4} alloys showed nearly identical elastic behavior as demonstrated by the instrumented Young modulus. The Ni_{34.4}Fe_{16.4}Al_{18} system was found to exhibit a significantly smaller elastic constant. As for the microhardness, all three samples led to similar results, while the Ni_{44}Fe_{20}Cr_{20}Al_{16} alloy was observed to show the highest values, closely followed by the Ni_{34.4}Fe_{16.4}Co_{16.4}Cr_{16.4}Al_{16.4} within one standard deviation. For the Ni_{34.4}Fe_{16.4}Co_{16.4}Cr_{16.4}Al_{16.4} sample, a statistically significantly smaller hardness was recorded. The local response of the materials did not vary significantly as is demonstrated by the relatively low standard deviations in Table 4. In a separate set of experiments, numerous indentations have been placed selectively in different grains. The analysis of that experiment did not give any indication of orientation anisotropy.

Micro-Indentation
The microhardness values for all eutectics in the as-cast state range around 350 Hv, which compares well to the hardness values reported for Al_{x}CoCrFeNi alloys by Wang et al. (2012), for x ranging from 0.65 to 0.75. This is in agreement with the dual-phase nature of the material which for the given molar ratio x, contains about 60–70% FCC phase.

Nano-Indentation
In contrast to the micro-indentation experiments, the aim of the nano-indentation study was the determination of single-phase properties for the individual FCC and BCC-B2 lamellae. In this part of the study, we focused exclusively on the Ni_{44}Fe_{20}Cr_{20}Al_{16} alloy as a representative system. To ensure a fully single-phase result, we conducted these experiments through cross sections of directionally solidified samples for which lamellar spacings of several micrometers were obtained, much larger than for the as-cast samples. The indentations were positioned so that the actual indent was probing the lamellae in growth direction. Because of the high symmetry of the two phases, we did not expect strong anisotropy of the phase-specific mechanical properties. Further investigation into the anisotropic behavior is beyond the scope of this study, but may be conducted in future research.
The indents were positioned in a quadratic \(1 \times 1\) matrix of equidistant points across the samples, which ensured that five lamellae of each phase were covered within that area. The distance of each point is 3 µm both in the \(x\) and \(y\) directions, which is sufficiently large compared to the approximate 0.3–0.5 µm diameter of the indents. This ensures that the mechanical properties determined by the indentation are not influenced by the plastic deformation of neighboring indentations.

The resulting 121 load-controlled single measurements were transformed into load–depth curves and subsequently grouped into two sets of phase-specific measurements according to their position on the microscopic image and the mechanical data. Since the BCC-B2 phase is significantly less deformable than the FCC phase, the phases were identified unambiguously. Only a small number of measurements could not be clearly assigned to one of the two phases, probably due to the proximity to a phase boundary, and they were subsequently removed from the dataset. The number of indentations in the FCC group vs. the BCC-B2 group matches roughly the phase fractions determined by image analysis and EBSD, so the phase assignment during indentation analysis agrees with findings presented in previous chapters (see Results and Discussion and Microstructure). Each phase specific group of indentation curves was transformed into a mean-curve to visualize and represent the data concisely in Figure 7B. As expected, the FCC phase is more ductile, that is, leads to much deeper penetration depths for the same applied maximum load, and also shows an earlier onset of plastic deformation already in the loading curve. Despite the visual appearance, the two unloading curves are not perfectly parallel, which means that the elastic properties for both phases are significantly different. We determined the Young modulus of the ductile FCC phase is significantly larger than the corresponding value for the BCC-B2 phase. The experiments were so far not repeated for the other alloys as the focus of this part of the study was only on the B2 phase. The experiments were so far not repeated for the other alloys, because the indentation size effect is sufficiently large compared to the approximate 0.3–0.5 µm diameter of the indents. This ensures that the mechanical properties determined by the indentation are not influenced by the plastic deformation of neighboring indentations.

Three Point Bending Experiments

In order to investigate the influence of composition and potentially reveal high-entropy effects on the mechanical properties of the alloys, we conducted a set of deformation experiments in three-point bending configuration. The results are shown in Figure 8 and summarized in Table 4.

### Summary of the mechanical data as obtained from three point bending experiments conducted on various bar samples of the three alloys.

|                | Young’s Modulus (GPa) | Yield strength (MPa) | Ultimate strength (MPa) | Flexural strain at fracture (%) |
|----------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Ni_{48}Fe_{34}Al_{18} | 76                    | 696                  | 1,394                   | 23.0                           |
| As-cast        | Ni_{44}Fe_{20}Cr_{20}Al_{16} | 96                  | 767                     | 2,157                          | 12.6                           |
| Heat-treated 750°C | 114                  | 1,181                | 2,431                   | 6.2                            |
| Heat-treated 950°C | 123                  | 1,094                | 2,183                   | 12.5                           |
| Ni_{34.4}Fe_{16.4}Co_{16.4}Cr_{16.4}Al_{16.4} | 94                      | 893                  | 1,842                   | 18.9                           |
| As-cast        | Ni_{44}Fe_{20}Cr_{20}Al_{16} | 105                 | 1,291                   | 2,199                          | 16.1                           |
| Heat-treated 750°C | 104                  | 1,021                | 1,968                   | 15.6                           |
| Heat-treated 950°C |                      |                      |                         |                                |
samples showed higher strength at the expense of ductility than the Ni$_{34.4}$Fe$_{16.4}$Co$_{16.4}$Cr$_{16.4}$Al$_{16.4}$ samples, which may relate to the presence of the layer of Cr-rich precipitates along the interfacial boundaries.

For the heat-treated samples, we recorded significant changes in the mechanical response as is to be expected considering the microstructural changes discussed in Indentation. This was most strongly observed in the Ni$_{44}$Fe$_{20}$Cr$_{20}$Al$_{16}$ alloy (see Figures 8B,C), which showed embrittlement after treatment at 750°C, that is, an increase in yield strength and UTS, and a flexural strain at fracture of only 6.2%, the lowest value in the series. We believe the presence of the layer of Cr-rich precipitates along the interfacial boundaries as shown in Figure 5A to be responsible for this effect. Upon heat treatment at 950°C, those precipitates were shown do undergo coarsening, leading to fewer but larger precipitates, and most of the phase boundaries were shown to be uncovered (see Figure 5B). This finding explains the recovery of the flexural strain while most of the strength is retained (see Figures 8B,D).

Additionally, for all analyzed samples, a higher elastic constant was determined after heat treatment. The heat-treated samples of the Ni$_{34.4}$Fe$_{16.4}$Co$_{16.4}$Cr$_{16.4}$Al$_{16.4}$ alloy (see Figures 8C,D) behaved similarly in terms of Young’s modulus, yield, and UTS points but were shown to be more ductile than the Ni$_{44}$Fe$_{20}$Cr$_{20}$Al$_{16}$ samples. The Ni$_{34.4}$Fe$_{16.4}$Co$_{16.4}$Cr$_{16.4}$Al$_{16.4}$ sample did not experience a loss of ductility after heat treatment at 750°C, which is consistent with the microstructural analysis (see Figure 5C). The increase in yield and ultimate strength for all the heat-treated samples in Table 5 is related to precipitation hardening due to the formation of small crystallites, as depicted in Figure 5.

From these results, we conclude that an annealing heat treatment at 950°C for 6–15 h is a good range for both the Ni$_{44}$Fe$_{20}$Cr$_{20}$Al$_{16}$ and Ni$_{34.4}$Fe$_{16.4}$Co$_{16.4}$Cr$_{16.4}$Al$_{16.4}$ alloys. Yet, the microstructural findings suggest that care must be taken during thermal treatment in order to avoid detrimental formation of interfacial layers.

**CONCLUSIONS**

In this study, we investigated three eutectic alloys composed of FCC and BCC-B2 lamellae with ternary (Ni$_{48}$Fe$_{34}$Al$_{18}$), (Ni$_{34.4}$Fe$_{16.4}$Co$_{16.4}$Cr$_{16.4}$Al$_{16.4}$) composition. The main results are as follows:

- All three alloys exhibit a lamellar eutectic microstructure and similar morphology. They consist predominantly of an FCC phase and a smaller but significant fraction of a BCC-B2 phase. The phase fraction of FCC increases when moving Ni$_{34.4}$Fe$_{16.4}$Co$_{16.4}$Cr$_{16.4}$Al$_{16.4}$ alloy.
- Remarkably, all the elements that make up the three alloys are present in both of the phases, so indeed the Ni$_{44}$Fe$_{20}$Cr$_{20}$Al$_{16}$ and Ni$_{34.4}$Fe$_{16.4}$Co$_{16.4}$Cr$_{16.4}$Al$_{16.4}$ alloys may be regarded as high-entropy alloys.
- For all three alloys the FCC-phase contains more Fe, Cr, and Co respectively, while the BCC-B2 phase is rich in both Al and Ni, which demonstrates its relationship to the compound NiAl.
- Although it had the highest content of the BCC-B2 phase, the Ni$_{48}$Fe$_{34}$Al$_{18}$ alloy showed the least strength and the highest ductility while the effect of work hardening to flexural strength is limited.
- Additions of Cr and Co led to an increase in Young’s modulus as measured by three-point bending. Additionally, a higher yield strength and ultimate strength values were recorded for the Ni$_{44}$Fe$_{20}$Cr$_{20}$Al$_{16}$ and Ni$_{34.4}$Fe$_{16.4}$Co$_{16.4}$Cr$_{16.4}$Al$_{16.4}$ eutectic than for the Ni$_{48}$Fe$_{34}$Al$_{18}$. Both alloys show significant work hardening, which may be seen as a high-entropy effect.
- Different heat treatments increase the strength of the Ni$_{44}$Fe$_{20}$Cr$_{20}$Al$_{16}$ and Ni$_{34.4}$Fe$_{16.4}$Co$_{16.4}$Cr$_{16.4}$Al$_{16.4}$ alloys at the expense of ductility. Embrittlement was recorded for the Ni$_{44}$Fe$_{20}$Cr$_{20}$Al$_{16}$ alloy after heat treatment at 750°C for 24 h, which could be attributed to a covering layer of Cr-rich precipitates along the interfacial boundaries. The annealing treatment at 950°C for 15 h was identified as a feasible option to improve the overall mechanical strength of the two high-entropy alloys.

To summarize, we saw a demonstrable improvement of mechanical properties and, most importantly, the work-hardening behavior when moving from the Ni$_{48}$Fe$_{34}$Al$_{18}$ to the Ni$_{44}$Fe$_{20}$Cr$_{20}$Al$_{16}$ and Ni$_{34.4}$Fe$_{16.4}$Co$_{16.4}$Cr$_{16.4}$Al$_{16.4}$ alloys, that is, upon the introduction of Cr and Co. From todays perspective, the Ni$_{44}$Fe$_{20}$Cr$_{20}$Al$_{16}$ Co-free alloy may constitute further advantages such as corrosion resistance due to its high Cr content, or societal and economic considerations that favor Co-free materials (Tkaczyk et al., 2018). When comparing the Ni$_{44}$Fe$_{20}$Cr$_{20}$Al$_{16}$ and Ni$_{34.4}$Fe$_{16.4}$Co$_{16.4}$Cr$_{16.4}$Al$_{16.4}$ alloys, attention has to be given to the covering layer of Cr-rich precipitates along the interfacial boundaries, which appears in quaternary samples after annealing at 750°C. This effect could be mitigated by applying heat treatment at 950°C. Under these conditions, the flexural yield strength reached 1,094 MPa and 12.5% flexural strain at fracture for the quaternary and 1,021 MPa and 15.6% flexural strain at fracture for the Ni$_{34.4}$Fe$_{16.4}$Co$_{16.4}$Cr$_{16.4}$Al$_{16.4}$ samples.

This study concludes that both the Ni$_{44}$Fe$_{20}$Cr$_{20}$Al$_{16}$ and Ni$_{34.4}$Fe$_{16.4}$Co$_{16.4}$Cr$_{16.4}$Al$_{16.4}$ eutectic alloys proposed by Jin and Gao (Gao et al., 2017; Jin et al., 2019) can be understood as a continuation of the earlier work by Misra and Gibala (Misra and Gibala, 1997; Misra and Gibala, 1999; Misra et al., 1998). The eutectic alloys provide good strength, work hardening ability, and the potential to further improve upon those properties by heat treatment. Depending on the targeted use, the room-temperature ductility of the Ni$_{44}$Fe$_{20}$Cr$_{20}$Al$_{16}$ eutectic may be sufficient such that the benefits related to the Co-free composition can be garnered. Further research on the processability of the two alloys are expected to lead to technical applications.
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