Solution of the Percus-Yevick equation for hard hyperspheres in even dimensions
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We solve the Percus-Yevick equation in even dimensions by reducing it to a set of simple integro-differential equations. This work generalizes an approach we developed previously for hard discs. We numerically obtain both the pair correlation function and the virial coefficients for a fluid of hyperspheres in dimensions \(d = 4, 6\) and \(8\), and find good agreement with available exact results and Monte-Carlo simulations. This paper confirms the alternating character of the virial series for \(d \geq 6\), and provides the first evidence for an alternating character for \(d = 4\). Moreover, we show that this sign alternation is due to the existence of a branch point on the negative real axis. It is this branch point that determines the radius of convergence of the virial series, whose value we determine explicitly for \(d = 4, 6, 8\). Our results complement, and are consistent with, a recent study in odd dimensions [R.D. Rohrmann et al., J. Chem. Phys. 129, 014510 (2008)].

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, there has been much interest in the properties of hard sphere fluids. The study of such systems has played a central role in the understanding of classical fluids and serves as a starting point for the construction of perturbation theories of fluid properties. A particular interest has been systems of hard hyperspheres in dimensions \(d = 4, 6\) and \(8\), and find good agreement with available exact results and Monte-Carlo simulations. This paper confirms the alternating character of the virial series for \(d \geq 6\), and provides the first evidence for an alternating character for \(d = 4\). Moreover, we show that this sign alternation is due to the existence of a branch point on the negative real axis. It is this branch point that determines the radius of convergence of the virial series, whose value we determine explicitly for \(d = 4, 6, 8\). Our results complement, and are consistent with, a recent study in odd dimensions [R.D. Rohrmann et al., J. Chem. Phys. 129, 014510 (2008)].

However, these can only be solved analytically for \(d \leq 7\) so that results in higher odd dimensions must be found numerically. A more complete survey of the literature for odd dimensions may be found elsewhere.11

In even dimensions the situation is much less developed. In two dimensions an approximate numerical solution of the PY equation was found by Lado.20,21 Leutheusser22 was able to fit many of Lado’s results using an ansatz for the direct correlation function. Other results available in the literature for hard discs are solutions of the full problem based on Molecular Dynamics (MD) or Monte Carlo (MC) methods.5,8,9,11,12,13 Recently, the authors solved the PY equation for hard discs, by developing a method that reduces the problem to a set of integral equations that are solved numerically without major difficulties.

In larger even dimensions there are some MD simulations,2,3,4, MC simulations,5,8,9 and a few analytical results for the low order virial coefficients.6,7 On the analytical front, Rosenfeld22 generalized Leutheusser’s Ansatz to higher dimensions and compared the results with the analytical results in three and five dimensions. However, to our knowledge, the PY equation has not been solved in any even dimension apart from \(d = 2\).

In this paper, we solve the PY equation for some even dimensions \((d = 4, 6, 8)\). We do so by generalizing our previous work,25 which was based on techniques borrowed from the resolution of crack problems and uses some results from Baxter’s classical method.21,28 The main difference from the hard sphere case (and any odd dimension in general) is that the problem of finding the total correlation function and the direct correlation function are coupled. This means that the present analysis necessarily yields both correlation functions and therefore provides the equation of state. The advantage of the current method over previous approaches is that it provides all the quantities of interest as power-series in the density. Thus, questions like existence of negative virial
coefficients in four dimensions\textsuperscript{4} and more generally the radius of convergence of the series in large dimensions\textsuperscript{11} can be tackled.

II. THE PERCUS-YEVICK APPROXIMATION

The pair correlation function $g(\mathbf{r})$ is related to the direct correlation function $c(r)$ through the Ornstein-Zernike equation by\textsuperscript{10,28}

$$h(\mathbf{r}) = c(\mathbf{r}) + \rho \int_0^\infty h(\mathbf{r}')c(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|)d\mathbf{r}',$$

(1)

where $\rho$ is the particle number density and

$$h(\mathbf{r}) = g(\mathbf{r}) - 1,$$

(2)

is the total correlation function\textsuperscript{28}. The PY approximation is a closure relation for Eq. (1). For a hard-core pair interaction potential, this approximation reads\textsuperscript{28}

$$g(\mathbf{r}) = h(\mathbf{r}) + 1 = 0, \quad r < 1$$

(3)

$$c(r) = 0, \quad r > 1$$

(4)

Interestingly, the PY approximation can be seen as a Random Phase Approximation (RPA) to some nonlinear field theory as shown previously\textsuperscript{29}.

Here and elsewhere, we take the diameter of the hypersphere to be unity. Thus in $d$ dimensions ($d = 2(k + 1)$, with $k \geq 0$), we have

$$\rho = \frac{\eta}{V_d(1/2)} = \left(\frac{4\pi}{k+1}\right)^{k+1}(k+1)!\eta,$$

where

$$V_d(R) = \frac{\pi^{\frac{d}{2}} R^d}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2} + 1\right)},$$

(6)

is the volume of a $d$-dimensional hypersphere of radius $R$, $\eta$ is the packing fraction, and the space filling density corresponds to $\eta = 1$. We define the $d$-dimensional Fourier Transform

$$\tilde{f}(q) = (2\pi)^{k+1} q^{-k} \int_0^\infty r^{k+1} J_k(qr)f(r)dr,$$

(7)

where $J_k$ is the Bessel function and the inverse Fourier transform for $f(r)$ and $F_k(r)$ are given by

$$f(r) = (2\pi)^{-(k+1)} r^{-k} \int_0^\infty q^{k+1} J_k(qr)\tilde{f}(q)dq,$$

(8)

Applying the $d$-dimensional Fourier transform to Eq. (1) yields

$$\hat{h}(q) = \hat{c}(q) + \rho h(q) \hat{c}(q),$$

(9)

which can be rewritten as

$$[1 - \rho \hat{c}(q)] [1 + \rho \hat{h}(q)] = 1. \quad (10)$$

Finally, the static structure factor $s(q)$ of wavenumber $\mathbf{q}$ is related to the pair correlation function through

$$s(q) = 1 + \rho h(q) \equiv \frac{1}{1 - \rho \hat{c}(q)}. \quad (11)$$

III. RESOLUTION

The condition (1) together with (8) imposes that $\hat{c}(q)$ can be written without loss of generality as

$$\hat{c}(q) = \left(\frac{2\pi}{q}\right)^{k+\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^1 t^{k+\frac{1}{2}} J_{k+\frac{1}{2}}(qt)\phi(t)dt \quad (12)$$

where $\phi(t)$ is a real function. Substituting (12) into (8) and simplifying by using the integral 6.575-1 in\textsuperscript{28}, we find that $c(r)$ can be expressed as

$$c(r) = \int_0^1 \frac{t \phi(t)}{\sqrt{\rho^2 - r^2}} dt, \quad 0 \leq r < 1. \quad (13)$$

Since $c(r)$ is discontinuous yet finite at $r = 1$, one has

$$\phi(t) \sim (1 - t^2)^{-1/2} \quad \text{as} \quad t \to 1^- \quad (14)$$

In the following, we use the formulation of Baxter for the odd dimensional case, with the important difference that instead of solving for $c(r)$ directly, we solve for $\phi(t)$, and obtain $c(r)$ using Eq. (13). We use the Wiener-Hopf method by defining

$$A(q) \equiv \frac{1}{s(q)} = 1 - \rho \left(\frac{2\pi}{q}\right)^{k+\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^1 t^{k+\frac{1}{2}} J_{k+\frac{1}{2}}(qt)\phi(t)dt \quad (15)$$

One sees that $A(q) = A(-q)$, $A(q) \to 1$ as $q \to \infty$ and that $A(q)$ has the same properties as the corresponding function defined in the odd dimensional case: it has neither zeros nor poles on the real axis, since by definition $s(q)$ has neither zeros nor poles for all $q$‘s. Therefore one can use the Wiener-Hopf decomposition of Baxter\textsuperscript{21,28}

$$A(q) = \tilde{Q}(q)\tilde{Q}(-q) \quad (16)$$

where $\tilde{Q}(q)$ is analytic for $\Re(q) > 0$. Following the same steps as in\textsuperscript{21,28,35} one can show that $\tilde{Q}(q)$ can be written as

$$\tilde{Q}(q) = 1 - \lambda \int_0^1 Q(t)e^{iqt}dt \quad (17)$$

where $\lambda$ is a parameter defined by

$$\lambda \equiv (2\pi)^k \rho \quad (18)$$
and thus using (13)-(17)

$$
\rho \left( \frac{2\pi}{q} \right)^{k+\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^1 s^{k+\frac{1}{2}} J_{k+\frac{1}{2}}(qs) \phi(s) ds \\
= \lambda \int_0^1 Q(s) e^{iqs} ds + \lambda \int_0^1 Q(s) e^{-iqs} ds \\
- \lambda^2 \int_0^1 ds' Q(s) Q(s') e^{iq(s-s')} 
$$

(19)

Multiplying by $\exp(-iqt)$, with $0 \leq t \leq 1$ and integrating with respect to $q$ from $-\infty$ to $\infty$ gives

$$
\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^1 ds \int_0^\infty dq \, q^{-(k+\frac{1}{2})} J_{k+\frac{1}{2}}(qs) \cos qt \\
= Q(t) - \lambda \int_0^1 Q(s) Q(s-t) ds 
$$

(20)

which can be simplified to give

$$
\int_t^1 (s^2 - t^2)^k \phi(s) ds = 2^k k! \left[ Q(t) - \lambda \int_0^1 Q(s) Q(s-t) ds \right]. 
$$

(21)

Differentiating $k$-times with respect to $t^2$ and once with respect to $t$ gives

$$
\phi(t) = (-1)^{k+1} \left( \frac{d}{dt} \right)^{k+1} \left[ Q(t) - \lambda \int_0^1 Q(s) Q(s-t) ds \right]. 
$$

(22)

which is valid for $0 \leq t \leq 1$. Therefore, once $Q(t)$ is known, $\phi(t)$ is given by Eq. (22) and $c(r)$ is given by Eq. (13).

Now let us work on the function $h(r)$. Since $\hat{h}(q)$ is an even function one can write without loss of generality

$$
\hat{h}(q) = \left( \frac{2\pi}{q} \right)^{k+\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^\infty t^{k+\frac{1}{2}} J_{k+\frac{1}{2}}(qt) \psi(t) dt 
$$

(23)

where $\psi(t)$ is a real function. Then, using Eq. (8) $h(r)$ can be written in terms of $\psi(t)$ as

$$
h(r) = \int_r^\infty \frac{t \psi(t)}{\sqrt{t^2 - r^2}} \frac{dt}{\pi}, \quad r > 0. 
$$

(24)

Combining this equation together with the condition (3),

one obtains

$$
\int_t^1 \frac{t \psi(t)}{\sqrt{t^2 - r^2}} \frac{dt}{\pi} = 1 - \int_1^\infty \frac{t \psi(t)}{\sqrt{t^2 - r^2}} \frac{dt}{\pi}, \quad 0 < r < 1. 
$$

(25)

This is an integral equation of Abel type that we can invert. As shown in, the inversion of the equation is given by

$$
\psi(t) = -2 \left[ 1 + \int_1^\infty \frac{\sqrt{s^2 - 1}}{s^2 - t^2} \psi(s) \frac{ds}{\pi} \right], \quad 0 < t < 1. 
$$

(26)

Eq. (26) is an integral equation that determines $\psi(t)$ for $0 < t < 1$ as function of $\psi(t)$ for $t > 1$. Also, note that the behavior of $\psi(t)$ near $t = 1$ is

$$
\psi(t) \sim (1 - t^2)^{-1/2} \quad \text{as} \quad t \to 1^- 
$$

(27)

Substituting the results of Eqs. (11), (13)-(17) and (26) into Eq. (10) gives

$$
\frac{1}{Q(-q)} = \left[ 1 - \lambda \int_0^1 Q(s) e^{iqs} ds \right] \left[ 1 + \rho \left( \frac{2\pi}{q} \right)^{k+\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^\infty t^{k+\frac{1}{2}} J_{k+\frac{1}{2}}(qt) \psi(t) dt \right] 
$$

(28)

Multiplying by $\exp(-iqt)$ with $t > 0$ and integrating with respect to $q$ from $-\infty$ to $\infty$ we obtain

$$
2^k k! \int_0^1 Q(s) \phi(s-t) ds - \int_t^1 (s^2 - t^2)^k \psi(s) ds = 0 
$$

(29)

which leads to

$$
2^k k! Q(t) \Theta(1-t) - \int_t^\infty (s^2 - t^2)^k \psi(s) ds 
$$

(30)

$$
= -\lambda \int_0^1 ds Q(s) \int_{[t-s]}^\infty (s^2 - (t-s)^2)^k \psi(s') s' ds' 
$$

where $\Theta(x)$ is the Heaviside function. Recall that $\psi(t)$ is defined only for $t > 0$. Therefore one has

$$
2^k k! Q(t) - \int_t^\infty (s^2 - t^2)^k \psi(s) ds = -\lambda \int_0^1 ds Q(s) \int_{[t-s]}^\infty (s^2 - (t-s)^2)^k \psi(s') s' ds' \quad 0 < t < 1 
$$

(31)

$$
\int_t^\infty (s^2 - t^2)^k \psi(s) ds = \lambda \int_0^1 ds Q(s) \int_{[t-s]}^\infty (s^2 - (t-s)^2)^k \psi(s') s' ds' \quad t > 1 
$$

(32)

Replacing $\psi(t)$ for $0 < t < 1$ in Eq. (31) with its value as given by Eq. (26) yields an equation that depends only
on \( \psi(t) \) for \( t > 1 \), namely

\[
Q(t) = A(t) + \int_{1}^{\infty} B(s, t) \psi(s) ds - \lambda \int_{0}^{1} \left[ A(t - s) + \int_{1}^{\infty} B(s', t - s) \psi(s') ds' \right] Q(s) ds \quad 0 < t < 1 \tag{33}
\]

with

\[
A(t) = -\frac{2}{(2k + 1)!} (1 - t^2)^{k+\frac{1}{2}} \quad (34)
\]

\[
B(s, t) = \frac{1}{2^k k!} (s^2 - t^2)^k I \left( \frac{s^2 - 1}{2} \cdot 1 + k \right) \quad (35)
\]

\[
\psi(t) = -(1)^k \lambda \left( \frac{d}{dt} \right)^{k+1} \int_{t-1}^{1} \left[ A(t - s) + \int_{1}^{\infty} B(s', t - s) \psi(s') ds' \right] Q(s) ds \\
-\lambda \left( \frac{d}{dt} \right)^{k+1} \int_{t-1}^{1} \left[ \int_{t-s}^{\infty} (s^2 - (t-s)^2)^k \psi(s') ds' \right] Q(s) ds \quad 1 < t < 2 \tag{36}
\]

\[
\psi(t) = -(1)^k \frac{\lambda}{2^k k!} \left( \frac{d}{dt} \right)^{k+1} \int_{t-1}^{1} \left[ \int_{t-s}^{\infty} (s^2 - (t-s)^2)^k \psi(s') ds' \right] Q(s) ds \quad t > 2 \tag{37}
\]

Our approach has reduced the PY problem for hard hyperspheres to the solution of the set of one-dimensional integro-differential equations (33), (36) and (37) for the auxiliary functions \( \psi(s) \) and \( Q(s) \). Once these functions have been determined, the physically relevant functions may be determined; \( g(r) \) from (2) and (24), \( c(r) \) from (13) and (22). We note that unlike the odd dimensional case, in even dimensions it is not possible to separate the problem of finding the direct correlation function \( c(r) \) from that of finding the pair correlation function \( g(r) \). This is because the behavior of the auxiliary function \( \psi(t) \) for \( 0 < t < 1 \) is coupled to its behavior for \( t > 1 \) through Eq. (26). Although we were unable to find an analytical solution valid for all \( \rho \), a numerical algorithm to find the numerical solution of these equations can easily be implemented. Before dealing with the numerical analysis, let us first consider the equation of state in the present formulation of the problem.

IV. EQUATION OF STATE

There are two methods used to calculate the equation of state when the radial distribution function, \( g(r) \), is known. Without the assumptions made in deriving the PY equations, these two methods would yield the same equation of state. The difference in the equations of state calculated using these two methods therefore provides an estimation of the error made by using the PY approximation. The first method of calculating the equation of state uses the isothermal compressibility \( k_T \) which is given by

\[
\rho \beta^{-1} k_T = \frac{1}{\beta} \left( \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial P(c)} \right)_T = s(q = 0), \tag{38}
\]

where \( \beta \) is the inverse temperature \( 1/k_B T \). Using Eqs. (18) and (22), it is easy to deduce that

\[
\frac{1}{s(0)} = 1 - \frac{2(2\pi)^k \rho}{(2k + 1)!} \int_{0}^{1} t^{2(k+1)} \phi(t) dt, \tag{39}
\]

which can be further simplified by successive integration by parts to give

\[
\frac{1}{s(0)} = 1 - \frac{2\pi^k \rho}{k!} \int_{0}^{1} \left[ \int_{t}^{1} (s^2 - t^2)^k \phi(s) ds \right] dt. \tag{40}
\]

Using Eq. (21) we may eliminate \( \phi(s) \) to yield

\[
\frac{1}{s(0)} = 1 - 2\lambda \int_{0}^{1} \left[ Q(t) - \lambda \int_{t}^{1} Q(s) Q(s - t) ds \right] dt \tag{41}
\]

Thus, the compressibility equation of state can be written as

\[
\frac{\beta P(c)}{\rho} - 1 = \frac{\beta P(c)}{\rho} - 1 = \frac{-2}{\lambda} \int_{0}^{1} \left\{ \int_{0}^{t} \left[ Q(t) - \lambda' \int_{t}^{1} Q(s) Q(s - t) ds \right] dt \right\} \lambda' d\lambda', \tag{42}
\]
The second method to obtain the equation of state is derived from the virial theorem and is given by
\[
\beta P^{(v)} = \rho + \frac{\pi^{k+1}}{(k+1)!} \frac{\rho^2}{2} g(1^+). \tag{43}
\]

Finally, using Eqs. (2) and (24), Eq. (43) becomes
\[
\frac{\beta P^{(v)}}{\rho} - 1 = \frac{\pi \lambda}{2k+1(k+1)!} \left[ 1 + \int_1^\infty \frac{\psi(s)}{\sqrt{s^2 - 1}} \frac{ds}{\pi} \right], \tag{44}
\]
where \(\lambda\) is defined in (18).

V. NUMERICAL PROCEDURE

As in our earlier work\textsuperscript{35}, we solve Eqs. (33), (36) and (37) for the auxiliary functions \(Q(s)\) and \(\psi(s)\) iteratively.

However, the present method of solution is simpler than the one used previously, as explained below. We pose power series
\[
Q(t) = \sum_{i=0}^\infty \lambda^i q_i(t), \quad 0 < t < 1, \tag{45}
\]
\[
\psi(t) = \sum_{i=0}^\infty \lambda^i \psi_i(t), \quad t > 1, \tag{46}
\]
for the unknown functions, and substitute these power series into Eqs. (33), (36) and (37). At zeroth order, we obtain
\[
q_0(t) = A(t); \quad \psi_0(t) = 0. \tag{47}
\]
For \(i \geq 0\), we find
\[
\psi_{i+1}(t) = (-1)^k \left( \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{k+1} \sum_{j=0}^i \left[ A(t-s)\delta_{j,i} + \int_1^\infty B(s',t-s)\psi_{i-j}(s')s'ds' \right] q_j(s)ds
- \frac{(-1)^k}{2k!} \left( \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{k+1} \sum_{j=0}^i \left[ \int_0^{t-s} (s'^2 - (t-s)^2)^k \psi_{i-j}(s')s'ds' \right] q_j(s)ds, \quad 1 < t < 2; \tag{48}
\]
\[
\psi_{i+1}(t) = \frac{(-1)^k}{2k!} \left( \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{k+1} \sum_{j=0}^i \int_0^{t-s} (s'^2 - (t-s)^2)^k \psi_{i-j}(s')s'ds'ds' \right] q_j(s)ds, \quad t > 2; \tag{49}
\]
\[
q_{i+1}(t) = \int_1^\infty B(s,t)\psi_{i+1}(s)ds - \sum_{j=0}^i \int_0^{t-s} \left[ A(t-s)\delta_{j,i} + \int_1^\infty B(s',t-s)\psi_{i-j}(s')s'ds' \right] q_j(s)ds, \quad 0 < t < 1. \tag{50}
\]

We use an iterative procedure, starting with (47), to calculate successively \(\psi_i(t)\) and then \(q_i(t)\) using (48)-(50). In the above formulation of the problem, the only difficulty is that one has to differentiate \((k+1)\)-times. However, this is balanced by the fact that the integrals to be computed have no singular behavior, in contrast with our earlier method of solution for the case \(k = 0\) (i.e. \(d = 2\))\textsuperscript{35}.

Rather than computing the equation of state for various densities \(\rho\) (as in earlier work\textsuperscript{29}), we compute the virial coefficients, \(B_i\), which are defined by
\[
\beta P = \sum_{i=1}^\infty B_i \rho^i. \tag{51}
\]

Thanks to the iterative procedure presented above, these coefficients, namely \(B_i^{(c)}\), from the compressibility route \textsuperscript{43}, and \(B_i^{(v)}\), from the virial route \textsuperscript{14}, are directly given by the numerical resolution of the problem.

The first two coefficients are identical and may be computed analytically:
\[
B_1^{(c)} = B_1^{(v)} = 1, \tag{52}
\]
and
\[
B_2^{(c)} = B_2^{(v)} = \frac{\pi^{k+1}}{2(k+1)!}. \tag{53}
\]

Higher order coefficients must be found numerically. For \(i \geq 1\) we find
\[
B_{i+1}^{(c)} = -\frac{2(2\pi)^{k+1}}{i+2} \int_0^1 \left[ q_i(t) - \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \int_t^1 q_j(s)q_{i-j}(s-t)ds \right] dt, \tag{54}
\]
and
\[
B_{i+1}^{(v)} = \frac{(2\pi)^{k+1}}{2k+1(k+1)!} \int_1^\infty \frac{s\psi(s)}{\sqrt{s^2 - 1}} ds, \tag{55}
\]

The calculation of the first two virial coefficients via the two routes (c and v) produce identical results (coincident with the exact results) because the PY approximation is exact up to first order\textsuperscript{14} in \(\rho\). What is not so
TABLE I: The exact expressions for $B_3$ as well as their corresponding numerical values for some even dimensions obtained recently.

| $d$ | $B_3^{\text{exact}}$ | $B_3^{\text{Numerical}}$ |
|-----|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| 2   | $\frac{2 \pi^2}{3}$  | 2.062                    |
| 4   | $\frac{\pi^6}{5}$    | 2.281                    |
| 6   | $\frac{\pi^6}{1728}$ | 0.576                    |
| 8   | $\frac{a^1}{10502}$  | -0.021                   |

where $B(a,b) = \Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)/\Gamma(a+b)$ is the beta function, $B_3(a,b)$ is the incomplete beta function. Note that for each integer dimension this expression can be written in a simpler form without the need for transcendental functions. However, it is not possible to write a simpler general form. Furthermore, $g_1(r)$ is given by

$$g_1(r) = 1 + \int_r^\infty \frac{t^2 \psi(t)}{\sqrt{t^2 - r^2}} \frac{dt}{\pi} = \Theta(r-1) \left[ 1 + 2^d \alpha_2(r;1) \right]$$

where $\alpha_2(r;1)$ is the scaled intersection volume.

It is also worthwhile mentioning that $B_4$ was recently evaluated exactly for all dimensions up to $d = 12$, though a closed-form formula for any $d$ is not available. We reproduce the values of $B_4$ for even dimensions $d \leq 8$, of interest here, in Table I.

We note that the computation of the correlation function $g(r)$ and the equation of state do not present any significant difficulties. The integrands that must be computed in the calculation of the coefficients $B_i^{(c)}$, see (55), and $g(r)$, see (24), have weak square root singularities that may be dealt with by integration by parts or by subtraction of the singularity. We validate our numerical implementation of the iterative procedure outlined above by comparing our results with the earlier results for the case $k = 0$ (i.e. $d = 2$).55

VI. NUMERICAL RESULTS

In this section, we present the results of our numerical computations in dimensions $d = 4, 6, 8$. A brief description of our numerical scheme is presented in Appendix A.

A. Comparison with Monte-Carlo simulations

We begin by comparing the pair correlation function, $g(r)$, obtained from our solution of the PY equation with that obtained in the Monte-Carlo (MC) simulations of others. For simplicity we present here numerical results obtained using the first ten terms of the series (45)-(46) and a spatial resolution $\Delta r = 10^{-3}$. These are representative of the results obtained by taking more terms and using a higher spatial resolution.

Figures 1, 2 and 3 show $g(r)$ for $d = 4, 6$ and $8$, respectively with several different values of $\rho$. (The values of $\rho$ used here are chosen to be smaller than the radius of convergence of the virial series, see (VI B and VI C below. For larger values of $\rho$, the series (45)-(46) does not converge, though it may perhaps be resummed to improve the convergence for larger densities.) We see that there is generally very good agreement in each case between the PY results (solid curves) and MC simulations (symbols). We note that, as expected, the discrepancy is largest for $r \approx 1$ — similar to the behavior observed in other dimensions. Interestingly, this discrepancy seems to diminish as the dimension increases. The good agreement between the MC results and our solutions of the PY equation shows that our method of solution works well.

B. Virial coefficients

We computed the virial coefficients using the two expressions (54) and (55) for $d = 2$ as a check of our nu-
were computed with $\Delta$

results are plotted taking 10 terms of the series (45)-(46) and were computed with $\Delta r = 10^{-3}$.

The correlation function $g(r)$ computed from the PY equation (curves) and from Monte Carlo simulations (symbols) in $d = 6$. Results are plotted for $\rho = 0.1$ ($\Delta$), $\rho = 0.2$ (♦) and $\rho = 0.3$ (□). The PY results are plotted taking 10 terms of the series (45)-(46) and were computed with $\Delta r = 10^{-3}$.

The correlation function $g(r)$ computed from the PY equation (curves) and from Monte Carlo simulations (symbols) in $d = 6$. Results are plotted for $\rho = 0.1$ ($\Delta$), $\rho = 0.2$ (♦) and $\rho = 0.3$ (□). The PY results are plotted taking 10 terms of the series (45)-(46) and were computed with $\Delta r = 10^{-3}$.

numerical scheme. (Note that this is not a trivial verification since the numerical scheme is completely different to that used previously.) We obtain the same results as reported previously, though our estimation of errors leads to some slightly different values for the last digit of the higher order coefficients.

The virial coefficients calculated by this method for $d = 4, 6$ and 8 are reproduced in tables II-IV. These tables show the virial coefficients resulting from both the virial ($v$) and compressibility ($c$) routes, as well as the virial coefficients obtained by earlier MC calculations. Note that all the virial coefficients up to $B_4$ are known exactly (see Eq. (55) and Table I above), and agree with the MC calculations. In general, we see that $B_i^{(c)}$ is a better estimator of the true virial coefficient, $B_i^{(MC)}$, than $B_i^{(v)}$.

We seem to see the same trends as Rohrmann et al.11 regarding the way in which the PY virial coefficients bound the true virial coefficient for $d \geq 7$ (though our
results reveal that the transition in behavior they observe occurs already at $d = 6$, rather than $d = 7$). In particular, we see that $B_i^{(v)} < B_i^{(c)}$ for even $i > 4$ and $B_i^{(v)} > B_i^{(c)}$ for odd $i > 5$.

Note also the intermediate behavior observed previously for $d = 5$ is observed already with $d = 4$, though the details are a little different: we find that $B_i^{(v)} < B_i^{(c)} < B_i^{(v)}$ for odd $i > 7$ and $B_i^{(v)} > B_i^{(c)} > B_i^{(v)}$ for even $i > 8$ — though one would need to see more real virial coefficients to say this with more confidence.

C. Convergence of virial series

A question of considerable interest is the radius of convergence of the virial series. This question is closely related to the nature of the singularity closest to the origin, which was addressed recently in odd dimensions\textsuperscript{32,33}. An estimate of this radius of convergence may be made by using the Domb-Sykes plots\textsuperscript{42,43}. This is an extension of the ratio test in which the ratio between successive terms, $B_i/B_{i-1}$ is plotted as a function of $1/i$. Such a plot often reveals a linear behavior, the intercept of which then provides an estimate of the radius of convergence of the series, $\rho_{\text{conv}}$, via

$$
\rho_{\text{conv}}^{-1} = \lim_{i \to \infty} \left| \frac{B_i}{B_{i-1}} \right| .
$$

An example of such a plot is shown in Fig. 4 for the virial coefficients in six dimensions.

We used the Domb-Sykes plot to determine the radius of convergence of the series\textsuperscript{23} for $d = 2, 4, 6$ and 8. The results are given in Table V as the radius of convergence for the series in $\eta$, $\eta_{\text{conv}}$, along with estimates of the error in each case (Eq. (59) may be used to convert $\eta_{\text{conv}}$ to $\rho_{\text{conv}}$). These results are also plotted in Fig. 5 and combined with the results for odd dimensions $d \leq 13$ obtained by the analysis of Rohrmann et al.\textsuperscript{21}. These results seem to confirm the assertion that as $d \to \infty$,

$$
\eta_{\text{conv}} \sim 2^{-d} ,
$$

which was conjectured by Frisch and Percus\textsuperscript{42} for the full problem. The Domb-Sykes plots for $d = 4, 6, 8$ have negative intercepts with the vertical axis and positive slopes there (see, Fig. 4 for example). This shows that, in these cases, the singularity that limits the radius of convergence of the virial series is a branch point on the negative real axis\textsuperscript{42,43}. In contrast, the Domb-Sykes plot for two dimensions suggests that the relevant singularity is a pole on the positive real axis.

VII. DISCUSSION

In this paper we generalized a semi-analytic method to solve the PY equation for hard discs\textsuperscript{45} to the case of hard hyperspheres in even dimensions. The essence of this approach is a reduction of the PY equation to a set of integro-differential equations for two auxiliary functions $Q(s)$ and $p(s)$ as given by Eqs. (55), (56) and (57).
The correlation functions and the equation of state can be determined easily from these auxiliary functions. We suggest an efficient iterative numerical method to solve these equations and determine the auxiliary functions in $d = 4, 6$ and 8. Using this method we are able to determine the values of the virial coefficients within the PY approximation and compare them with the first ten virial coefficients for the full problem. We also obtain results for the pair correlation function which compare well with the available MC simulations.

The principal advantage of this approach is that it provides directly the virial series, and so it yields the equation of state for all values of $\rho$ at the same time provided that $\rho < \rho_{\text{conv}}$. This is in contrast with other approaches where each value of $\rho$ requires a separate calculation. An important consequence is that we can study the convergence of the series. We have shown that the virial series predicted by the PY theory for hyperspheres in even dimensions has a branch point on the negative real axis $\eta = -\eta_{\text{conv}}$ for all $d \geq 4$. This is observed in both the virial and compressibility routes to determining the equation of state, similar to what happens in PY in odd dimensions. The position of this singularity as a function of the the dimension $d$ is also consistent with the conjecture by Frisch and Percus for the full problem in large dimensions. The successful prediction of the singularity supports the idea that the PY theory approaches the exact problem as the dimensionality increases. An important conclusion from this discussion is that semi phenomenological equations of state, such as the generalizations of the celebrated Carnahan-Starling equation of state (for three-dimensional hard spheres) to higher dimensions, are inferior to the PY theory since they are not able to reproduce such a branch point singularity. This characteristic is also missing from far more elaborated equations of state.

Another interesting prediction of the current work is that the exact virial coefficients $B_i$ for $d = 4$ may change sign if they are computed for sufficiently large $i$. We observe that in PY theory with $d = 4$ the virial coefficients determined by both routes are negative for even $i \geq 12$. This suggests that the exact coefficient may also become negative for sufficiently large $i$ and will hopefully motivate the calculation of further coefficients using the methods of Clisby and McCourt. More generally the question of negative values of the virial coefficients in various dimensions is pertinent.

As explained above, this method allows, in principle, calculations to arbitrary precision, and it could be interesting to obtain more virial coefficients by doing so. Such progress might also allow for a comparison of the pair correlation function with Molecular Dynamics simulations at large densities.

It could also be interesting to apply the approach developed here to polydisperse mixtures, to sticky hard spheres (i.e., hard spheres with an adhesive short range interaction), and to much higher dimensions.
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APPENDIX A: NUMERICAL SCHEME

We solve the system of equations (17)-(50) by discretizing in space using steps of size $\Delta r$. Integrals are calculated using the trapezoidal rule, which is first order accurate. To calculate derivatives we developed two different schemes: one which used forward differencing (first-order accuracy) and the other using central differencing (second-order accuracy). The results obtained with these two differentiation schemes are consistent with one another. Iterations proceed from $i = 0$ using the values for $\psi_0(t)$ and $q_0(t)$ from (17) to determine $\psi_1(t)$. The function $\psi_1(t)$ may then be used with the discretized version of (51) to determine $q_1(t)$. This process is then repeated $N$ times, corresponding to determining the first $N$ terms in the series expansions of $\psi(t)$ and $q(t)$. The results presented in this paper typically have $N = 10$.

The virial coefficients were determined by numerical integration, again using the trapezoidal rule. To determine the values of these coefficients more accurately, we...
performed computations at different spatial resolutions, i.e. with different values of $\Delta r$. Plotting the behavior of these numerically determined coefficients as a function of $\Delta r$ we then extrapolated the observed trend to $\Delta r = 0$. The observed trend was linear for the scheme using differentiation by forward differencing, as expected since both discretizations involve errors of order $\Delta r$. The second scheme (using differentiation by central differencing) is more complicated since the first order error of integration is mixed with a second-order error in differentiation. Typically with this scheme we find errors that scale like $\Delta r^{3/2}$. In Fig. 6 below we show an example of the convergence using these two schemes.

To determine the values presented in tables IV, V, and VI we use the values extrapolated to $\Delta r = 0$ from both numerical schemes. An estimate of the error introduced in this extrapolation procedure was obtained using the 95% confidence interval for the value at $\Delta r = 0$. The results presented in tables IV, V, and VI are correct to 3 decimal places or as otherwise indicated.
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