Redox homeostasis: the linchpin in stem cell self-renewal and differentiation
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Stem cells are characterized by their unique ability of self-renewal to maintain the so-called stem cell pool. Over the past decades, reactive oxygen species (ROS) have been recognized as toxic aerobic metabolism byproducts that are harmful to stem cells, leading to DNA damage, senescence or cell death. Recently, a growing body of literature has shown that stem cells reside in redox niches with low ROS levels. The balance of Redox homeostasis facilitates stem cell self-renewal by an intricate network. Thus, to fully decipher the underlying molecular mechanisms involved in the maintenance of stem cell self-renewal, it is critical to address the important role of redox homeostasis in the regulation of stem cell self-renewal and differentiation of stem cells. In this regard, we will discuss the regulatory mechanisms involved in the subtly orchestrated balance of redox status in stem cells by scavenger antioxidant enzyme systems that are well monitored by the hypoxia niches and crucial redox regulators including forkhead homeobox type O family (FoxOs), apurinic/apyrimidinic (AP) endonuclease1/redox factor-1 (APE1/Ref-1), nuclear factor erythroid-2-related factor 2 (Nrf2) and ataxia telangiectasia mutated (ATM). We will also introduce several pivotal ROS-sensitive molecules, such as hypoxia-inducible factors, p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase (p38) and p53, involved in the redox-regulated stem cell self-renewal. Specifically, all the aforementioned molecules can act as ‘redox sensors’ by virtue of redox modifications of their cysteine residues, which are critically important in the control of protein function. Given the importance of redox homeostasis in the regulation of stem cell self-renewal, understanding the underlying molecular mechanisms involved will provide important new insights into stem cell biology.
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Facts

- Stem cells reside in redox niches with low ROS levels.
- Signaling molecules such as FoxOs, APE1/Ref-1, Nrf2, ATM, HIFs, p38 and p53 are involved in the regulation of stem cell self-renewal and differentiation.
- FoxOs, APE1/Ref-1, Nrf2 and ATM can modulate the antioxidant enzyme systems and act upstream of ROS production, while HIFs, p38 and p53 are downstream molecules of ROS signaling in stem cells.
- Certain proteins can act as ‘redox sensors’ due to the redox modifications of their cysteine residues, which are critically important in the control of protein function.

Open questions

- Whether ROS homeostasis is an important modulator in stem cell self-renewal and differentiation?
- What roles do these signaling molecules (FoxOs, APE1/Ref-1, Nrf2, ATM, HIFs, p38 and p53) play in ROS-regulated stem cell self-renewal and differentiation?
- Are the redox modifications of signaling molecules (FoxOs, APE1/Ref-1, Nrf2, ATM, HIFs, p38 and p53) involved in the regulation of stem cell self-renewal and differentiation?

Self-renewal is the process by which a stem cell asymmetrically or symmetrically divides to propagate one or two daughter stem cells with similar developmental potential as...
the mother cells.\textsuperscript{1} The capability of self-renewal is essential for stem cells to expand their numbers during development and to maintain the stem cell pool.\textsuperscript{2} Abnormal self-renewal mechanisms can destroy the maintenance of the undifferentiated state and alter the balance of self-renewal versus differentiation.\textsuperscript{3} Thus, deciphering the molecular mechanisms behind stem cell self-renewal is of significant importance. However, it still remains enigmatic as to how exactly the self-renewal of stem cells is achieved.

Reactive oxygen species (ROS), initially implicated in stress and disease, have recently been revisited as influential new players in stem cell biology.\textsuperscript{4} High levels of ROS have long been suggested to be detrimental to mediate oxygen toxicity, while physiological low levels of ROS have been reported to operate as intracellular signaling molecules, a function that, although has been widely documented, is still controversial.\textsuperscript{5} A general movement towards the concept of ‘homeostatic ROS levels’ versus ‘pathologic ROS levels’ is gaining support and is replacing the older dogma that ROS are always ‘bad’ for cells.\textsuperscript{6} Until recently, the focus in stem cell biology has been on the damaging effects of ROS accumulation, and various antioxidant and anti-stress mechanisms of stem cells have been characterized.\textsuperscript{7,8} However, increasing evidence is now supporting the notion that, in some cases, ROS in the redox homeostasis play pivotal roles in the maintenance of stem cell self-renewal.\textsuperscript{9} Indeed, stem cells reside in niches characterized by low levels of ROS, which are critical for maintaining the potential for self-renewal and stemness, while high levels of ROS effectively shut down self-renewal and confer potent capacity for stem cell differentiation.\textsuperscript{10,11} However, understanding of the myriad potential mechanisms whereby homeostatic ROS levels regulate stem cell self-renewal is still in a state of flux. In this review, we attempt to highlight the molecular mechanisms concerning the maintenance of stem cell self-renewal regulated by intracellular redox status. In addition, we will discuss several crucial ‘redox sensors’ involved in the regulation of stem cell self-renewal and differentiation.

Balance of the redox status in stem cells

Stem cells undergoing the self-renewal process are believed to possess low levels of intracellular ROS.\textsuperscript{12} To balance the redox status, stem cells engage scavenger antioxidant enzyme systems to eliminate the intracellular ROS (Figure 1), which are well regulated by the hypoxia niches as well as several critical transcription factors including the forkhead homeobox type O (FoxO) family and nuclear factor erythroid-2-related factor 2 (Nrf2) that both activate the transcription of antioxidant enzymes.\textsuperscript{10,11} Several other critical redox regulators such as apurinic/apyrimidinic (AP) endonuclease1/redox factor-1 (APE1/Ref-1) and ataxia telangiectasia mutated (ATM) are also involved in the elimination of intracellular ROS\textsuperscript{11,13} (Figure 2).

Intracellular ROS production and elimination

The three major intracellular sources of ROS are the mitochondria electron-transfer chain (ETC), the membrane-bound NADPH oxidase (NOX) complex and the endoplasmic reticulum\textsuperscript{14} (Figure 1). Of these, the byproducts of electron leakage of mitochondrial ETC are the principal generators of ROS in mammalian cells. These electrons can then be captured by O$_2$, forming anion superoxide (O$_2^-$).\textsuperscript{11} Then, O$_2^-$ can be rapidly converted into hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$) by superoxide dismutases (SODs) or can alternatively, form peroxynitrite (ONOO$^-$) through reacting with the nitric oxide (NO). H$_2$O$_2$ can be catalyzed to HO in the presence of Fe$^{2+}$ or Cu$^{2+}$ ions or be converted to H$_2$O and O$_2$ catalyzed by catalase, glutathione peroxidase (Gpx), glutathione reductase (GR), peroxiredoxins (Prx), thioredoxin (Trx) and catalase\textsuperscript{10} (Figure 1). Obviously, these intracellular antioxidant enzymatic defense systems need to be subtly regulated by the hypoxia niche and critical regulators such as FoxO family, APE1/Ref-1, Nrf2 and ATM, all of which have been recently reported as key regulators of stem cell self-renewal by modulating redox homeostasis (Figure 2).

Hypoxia-mediated redox regulation in the maintenance of stem cell self-renewal

Stem cells have been shown to live in niches with low oxygen tension (hypoxia), and recent studies have underscored the importance of hypoxia in human bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) and induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs), suggesting that low oxygen tension promotes an undifferentiated state of the stem cells.\textsuperscript{15–17} In principle, a hypoxic milieu could be useful for maintaining cells in a non-dividing, or at least slow cycling stage protecting cells from detrimental

\textbf{Figure 1} Schematic illustration of cellular maintenance of redox homeostasis. Mitochondria electron-transport chain (ETC), membrane-bound NADPH oxidase (NOX) complex and endoplasmic reticulum (ER) are the three major intracellular sources of reactive oxygen species (ROS). Anion superoxide (O$_2^-$) is the principal form of ROS and can be rapidly converted into hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$) by superoxide dismutases (SODs) or can alternatively, form peroxynitrite (ONOO$^-$) through reacting with the nitric oxide (NO). H$_2$O$_2$ can be catalyzed to HO in the presence of Fe$^{2+}$ or Cu$^{2+}$ ions or be converted to H$_2$O and O$_2$ catalyzed by catalase, glutathione peroxidase (Gpx), glutathione reductase (GR), peroxiredoxins (Prx), thioredoxin (Trx) and catalase\textsuperscript{10} (Figure 1). Of these, by the products of electron leakage of mitochondrial ETC are the principal generators of ROS in mammalian cells. These electrons can then be captured by O$_2$, forming anion superoxide (O$_2^-$).\textsuperscript{11} Then, O$_2^-$ can be rapidly converted into hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$) by superoxide dismutases (SODs).\textsuperscript{10} During steady-state cellular conditions, the intracellular ROS levels are tightly regulated to maintain redox homeostasis by scavenger antioxidant enzymatic defense systems, including SODs, glutathione peroxidase (Gpx), glutathione reductase (GR), peroxiredoxins (Prx), thioredoxin (Trx) and catalase\textsuperscript{10} (Figure 1). Obviously, these intracellular antioxidant enzymatic defense systems need to be subtly regulated by the hypoxia niche and critical regulators such as FoxO family, APE1/Ref-1, Nrf2 and ATM, all of which have been recently reported as key regulators of stem cell self-renewal by modulating redox homeostasis (Figure 2).
that hypoxia significantly induced proliferation and migration. Controversial, it appears that there must be a tight balance between hypoxia and ROS. Although still controversial, it appears that there must be a tight balance between hypoxia and ROS. Accumulation of ROS. In other words, ROS would be reduced to secure the maintenance of stem cells in the case of hypoxia. Paradoxically, evidence suggests that acute hypoxia contributes to the generation of ROS. Although still controversial, it appears that there must be a tight balance between hypoxia and ROS levels. Recent studies indicated that hypoxia significantly induced proliferation and migration of ASCs by increasing the intracellular levels of ROS. However, the underlying mechanisms are still not clear. Until now, hypoxia-induced ROS accumulation has received little attention in other types of stem cells.

FoxOs-mediated redox regulation in the maintenance of stem cell self-renewal

The forkhead box O (FoxO) family is a large family of transcription factors and has diverse physiological functions. FoxOs can be phosphorylated by the phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K)/Akt kinase, leading to subsequent nuclear export and ultimately transcriptional inactivation. By contrast, c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) phosphorylates FoxOs at a distinct set to mediate nuclear import and transcriptional activation. In addition, deacetylation of FoxOs by Sirtuin 1 (SIRT1) also promotes FoxOs-mediated transcription of target genes. Among the FOXO family, FOXO1, FOXO3a and FOXO4 have been implicated in many of the ROS-regulated processes including maintenance of stem cell self-renewal. For example, FOXO3a regulates hematopoietic homeostasis and is essential for maintenance of the HSC pool. FoxO1/3/4 governs neural stem cell (NSC) homeostasis and regulates the NSC pool. And FoxO1 is reported to be required for the maintenance of human ESC pluripotency.

In spite of the increasing reports on regulation of stem cell self-renewal by FoxOs, little is known about the underlying molecular mechanisms. Since target genes of the FoxO family, such as SOD2 and catalase, are involved in cellular detoxification of ROS, it is plausible that FoxOs might regulate stem cell self-renewal by maintaining the homeostatic ROS levels. Indeed, this hypothesis has been recently substantiated. Tothova et al. found a marked increase of ROS in FoxO-deficient HSCs that correlated with changes in expression of SOD1/3. Thus, FoxO proteins play essential roles in response to physiologic oxidative stress and thereby enhance survival in the HSC compartment. In another report, loss of FOXO3 decreases SOD2 and catalase expression, leading to over-accumulation of ROS and HSCs defects. Taken together, these experiments imply that repression of ROS levels by FoxOs is required for maintenance of the stem cell self-renewal.

APE1/Ref-1-mediated redox regulation in the maintenance of stem cell self-renewal

APE1/Ref-1 is a multifunctional protein possessing both DNA repair and transcriptional regulatory activities. APE1/Ref-1 also has a pleiotropic role in controlling cellular response to oxidative stress by decreasing ROS production, or by binding with oxidized transcription factors (hypoxia-inducible factor 1α (HIF-1α), NRF2, and p53) that transcription genes encoding antioxidant enzymes, to maintain the cysteine residues of these transcription factors in the reduced state. ATM controls the intracellular levels of ROS by regulating the expression of antioxidant enzymes. FOXO1, APE1/Ref1 and ATM modulate the self-renewal of stem cells by monitoring the redox homeostasis. PTEN, phosphatase and tensin homolog;Ub, ubiquitin; ARE, antioxidant responsive element.
Kelch-like ECH-associated protein 1 (Keap1), which causes proteasomal degradation of Nrf2.\textsuperscript{40} Nrf2 combats detrimental intracellular ROS by transcribing genes encoding several key antioxidant molecules.\textsuperscript{41} Nrf2 stabilization has been found to profoundly attenuate H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}-induced cell death of human NSCs (hNSCs), suggesting that Nrf2 might be recognized as a regulatory factor that controls stem cell fate by modulating redox homeostasis.\textsuperscript{42} Takahata et al.\textsuperscript{43} showed a similar effect in MSCs where Nrf2 protected MSCs from oxidative stress damage. Further studies on intestinal stem cells (ISCs) of Drosophila indicated that repression of Nrf2 caused accumulation of ROS and accelerated age-related degeneration of the intestinal epithelium.\textsuperscript{44} However, intriguingly, Nrf2 was also found to regulate mouse HSC survival independently of ROS levels.\textsuperscript{45} Collectively, these reports imply that Nrf2 could be a potent regulator of stem cell self-renewal and differentiation due, at least in part, to its antioxidant capacity.

### ATM-mediated redox regulation in the maintenance of stem cell self-renewal

The ATM protein kinase is best known for its important role in monitoring genomic integrity in response to DNA damage.\textsuperscript{46} Recent findings suggest that ATM protein kinase also controls the intracellular levels of ROS,\textsuperscript{47} and that ATM-regulated ROS levels are critical for stem cell self-renewal. This is evidenced by the finding that ATM-mediated BID phosphorylation led to quiescence of HSCs due to BID's ability to regulate oxidative stress, resulting in maintenance of the HSC pool and HSC repopulating potential \textit{in vivo}.\textsuperscript{48} Moreover, recent advances from several groups have also underscored the importance of ROS in ATM-regulated stem cell self-renewal. For example, Ito's group found that Atm\textsuperscript{-/-} mice showed progressive bone marrow failure, resulting from a defect in HSC function that was associated with elevated ROS.\textsuperscript{19} In another study, Atm\textsuperscript{-/-} NSCs showed impaired proliferation by intrinsic elevation of ROS levels.\textsuperscript{50} These reports suggest that the self-renewal capacity of stem cells depends on ATM-mediated redox homeostasis.

### Regulation of stem cell self-renewal by redox homeostasis

As reviewed above, stem cells are capable of coping with oxidative stress to maintain redox homeostasis through unique regulatory mechanisms whereby they upregulate their own antioxidant defense systems. Additionally, a growing body of literature supports the notion that redox homeostasis is an important modulator in the self-renewal and differentiation of stem cells\textsuperscript{51-54} (Figure 3). However, most of these studies did not clarify the underlying molecular mechanisms. Here, we will introduce several potential or well-documented ROS-sensitive molecules that participate in the regulation of stem cell self-renewal.

**HIFs might be required in ROS-regulated stem cell self-renewal**

HIFs are the most commonly known transcription factors in response to hypoxia.\textsuperscript{55} Increasing studies support the notion that HIFs play an important role in the maintenance of the stem cell pool. For example, in HSCs, HIF-1\textalpha was stabilized and precisely regulated to maintain cell-cycle quiescence and unique metabolic characteristics.\textsuperscript{56,57} HIF-2\textalpha has been shown to increase the expression of Oct-4 in the maintenance of a stem cell state in HSCs.\textsuperscript{58} However, whether HIFs-regulated maintenance of stem cell self-renewal is due to the ROS-modulated HIFs still remains unclear.

**p38 is a key mediator of ROS-regulated stem cell self-renewal**

p38 plays a critical role in cell proliferation, differentiation, survival and migration.\textsuperscript{59} A plethora of evidence suggests that p38 plays a primordial role in response to changes in the cellular redox balance.\textsuperscript{60,61} p38\textalpha was recently identified as a modulator of \textit{ex vivo} stem cell proliferation in human hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells.\textsuperscript{62} Indeed, p38 activation status can determine proliferation/differentiation fates in human MSCs.\textsuperscript{63} According to the important role of ROS homeostasis in the self-renewal and differentiation of stem cells, it can be speculated that p38 might be the executor of ROS-regulated stem cell self-renewal. For instance, Ito's group showed that elevation of ROS levels induced HSC-specific...
phosphorylation of p38 concomitant with a defect in the maintenance of HSC quiescence, and inactivation of p38 protects HSCs against loss of self-renewal capacity. Consistent with these results, HSCs with low levels of ROS had a higher self-renewal potential, while with high levels of ROS, HSC exhaustion was observed accompanied by higher expression of activated p38. In addition to HSCs, ROS-induced p38 also regulated self-renewal in other types of stem cells, including ESCs and NSCs. Taken together, these intriguing findings supported the notion that p38 is a key mediator of ROS-regulated stem cell self-renewal.

**p53 is a critical regulator of ROS-mediated stem cell self-renewal**

As the guardian of the genome, p53 prevents the accumulation of genetic mutations by inducing cell-cycle arrest, apoptosis or senescence of somatic cells after genotoxic and oncogenic stresses. Although p53 is probably best characterized among the hundreds of oncogenes and tumor suppressors, recent advances have highlighted novel emerging roles of p53 in the regulation of stem cell self-renewal and homeostasis. Disruption of the p53 network improved the efficacy of stem cell production, catapulting p53 into the center of stem cell research. Additionally, a whole-genome study of p53-mediated DNA damage signaling was carried out in mouse ESCs. Unexpectedly, they found that many ESC-enriched core transcription factors, including Oct-4, Sox2 and Nanog, were repressed by p53, while p53-activated genes were linked to differentiation. Further studies showed that disabling p53 signaling enhanced the stemness and regenerative potential of human ESCs. Moreover, studies have also confirmed that p53 negatively regulates proliferation, survival, and thereby self-renewal, of NSCs.

Emerging evidence suggests that p53 also acts as a finely tuned regulator of redox-dependent physiological processes. It was shown that ROS can control the activity of p53 by the modification of redox state of p53. Accordingly, since p53 is a key regulator in stem cell self-renewal, it is plausible that ROS-regulated p53 might play a critical role in stem cell self-renewal. Indeed, endogenous ROS have been shown to cause p53 translocation into mitochondria and trigger apoptosis in wild-type mouse ESCs, but induce p53 translocation into the nucleus and inhibit Nanog expression in SIRT1−/− mouse ESCs. Moreover, ROS-induced p53 activity has also been found to be important in the control of survival of HSCs. Taken together, these results suggest that p53 might be a critical regulator of ROS-mediated stem cell self-renewal, which warrants further studies in the future.

**Redox modifications might be involved in stem cell self-renewal**

Post-translational modifications (PTMs) of proteins play central roles in creating a continuously dynamic fine-tuned regulatory network implicated in a wide variety of cellular processes. However, such modifications failed to clarify ROS-initiated cellular signaling. Recently, oxidative cysteine modifications have emerged as a central mechanism for dynamic post-translational regulation of almost all major protein classes, and correlate with many disease states. Certain proteins in which the redox state of cysteine residues is modified (termed ‘redox sensors’) seem to be involved in the initial and direct regulation of signaling molecules in response to ROS. Such ‘redox sensors’ commonly possess highly conserved free cysteine (Cys) residues of which the thiol (RSH) functional groups are the most important direct cellular targets or ‘sensors’ of ROS. These active RSH groups are easily oxidized to sulfenic acids (RSOH) and, under more severe oxidizing conditions, to sulfonic acids (RSO3H), both of which are irreversible modifications. Cys, cysteine; ROS, reactive oxygen species; RNS, reactive nitrogen species; GSH, reduced glutathione; Reduc, Reductants.

**Figure 4** Oxidative thiol modifications in redox-sensitive cysteine residues. Active thiol groups are easily oxidized to sulfenic acids (RSOH), the initial oxidation product. These transient sulfenic acids can also result from the hydrolysis of S-nitrosothiols (RSNO), which are the oxidative products of thiol groups in response to RNS. Sulfenic acids often condense with nearby thiols to form intermolecular or intramolecular disulfide bonds (RS-SR or RS-SR), or with GSH resulting in S-glutathionylation (RS-SG). These oxofoms are reversible and can be restored to free thiols through the action of cellular reductants. Alternatively, sulfenic acids can be further oxidized to sulfonic acids (RSO3H) and, under more severe oxidizing conditions, to sulfonic acids (RSO3H) and, both of which are irreversible modifications. Cys, cysteine; ROS, reactive oxygen species; RNS, reactive nitrogen species; GSH, reduced glutathione; Reduc, Reductants.

HIF-1α as a target for S-nitrosylation

HIF-1α, containing 15 free thiol groups, was recently characterized as a potential target for S-nitrosylation that...
may facilitate transcriptional activity. It was demonstrated that HIF-1α can be subjected to S-nitrosylation, resulting in HIF-1α stabilization. Further, S-nitrosylation of thiol group of Cys800 under normoxia was found to be required for the transcriptional activity of HIF-1α by stimulating the recruitment of p300, Cys533 (equivalent to Cys520 in human) in normoxic murine HIF-1α is also an effective target of S-nitrosylation. These results indicate that the S-nitrosylation of free thiols in cysteines might be of key importance in the activation of HIF-1α. However paradoxically, recent studies demonstrated a significant disruption of the p300-HIF-1α interaction upon Cys800 S-nitrosylation. Moreover, H2O2 significantly potentiated HIF-1α inactivation in hypoxia cells. Thus, oxidative modification can both positively and negatively regulate stabilization of HIF-1α. Although this may seem contradictory, it is ipso facto reasonable. Indeed, oxidation controls HIF-1α activation depending on the differential oxygen content of the environment: oxidative modification stabilizes normoxic HIF-1α, while in the hypoxic scenario, oxidation of HIF-1α disrupts its DNA-binding capacity.

**Redox modification involved in the modulation of FoxOs signaling**

The transcriptional activity of FoxO family is known to be inactivated by the PI3K/Akt pathway, in which phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN) acts as a major gatekeeper that can reverse the action of PI3K. Increasing numbers of studies have reported oxidation of the catalytic cysteines within the active site of PTEN in response to ROS, and have shown that redox modification of PTEN potentiates Akt activation. The essential Cys124 residue in the active site of PTEN...
PTEN specifically forms a disulfide with Cys71 during oxidation, thereby inactivating the protein. In addition to disulfide formation, PTEN can also be subject to S-nitrosylation that stimulates the Akt activity. Thus, the activity of Akt is partly determined by the redox state of PTEN. Intriguingly, Akt can be also directly regulated by oxidation of its own cysteines. An intramolecular disulfide could be formed between Cys297 and Cys311 in Akt under oxidative stress, leading to enhanced dephosphorylation and the loss of activity. These results are consistent with analysis of the crystal structure of inactive Akt. Taken together, the oxidative modification of PTEN activates Akt while oxidation of Akt contributes to Akt inactivation, thereby negatively or positively regulating the transcriptional activity of FoxOs, respectively.

As mentioned above, JNK is a key player in the activation of FoxOs, which counteracts the Akt-mediated export of FoxOs from the nucleus. NO donors can inhibit JNK1 via S-nitrosylation at Cys116, leading to reduced JNK1 phosphorylation. In this case, oxidized JNK decreased FoxOs activity. SIRT1, another crucial regulator implicated in the activation of FoxOs, is sensitive to S-glutathionylation at Cys67, but having no effect on its basal deacetylase activity. Thus, the S-glutathionylation of SIRT1 on Cys67 might not be required for the activation of FoxOs, but it cannot be completely excluded that oxidative modification of other cysteine residues in SIRT1 may be involved in the regulation of FoxOs.

In addition to the above-mentioned examples of cysteine redox modifications upstream of FoxOs that can result in sending a positive or negative signal to FoxOs, it has now become clear that FoxOs themselves can also act as ‘redox sensors.’ It has been reported that an intermolecular disulfide bridge can be formed between FoxO4 Cys477 and p300/CBP acetyltransferase. The modulation of the switch of FoxO4 signaling from cell-cycle arrest to apoptosis by p300/CBP-mediated acetylation is completely dependent on the presence of this redox-sensitive cysteine modification. In humans, FoxO4 contains five cysteines, while FoxO3a, and FoxO6 have 7, 5 and 10 cysteines, respectively, among which two cysteines (including Cys477 in FoxO4) in these four FoxOs are highly conserved. It can be speculated that all these four FoxO family members are regulated by redox-dependent cysteine modification.

APE1/Ref-1 is recognized as a ‘redox sensor’ that regulates the activity of certain transcription factors

The redox status of reactive cysteine residues, located within the DNA-binding domain of some transcription factors, may control transcriptional activity. APE1/Ref-1 has been identified to be a transcriptional regulator that directly modulates the redox status of transcription factors, including activator protein-1 (AP-1), p53, and p53. By maintaining the cysteine residues of these transcription factors in the reduced state, Redox switches of transcription factors are accomplished by the redox activity of Cys65 (possibly along with Cys93) of APE1/Ref-1 that is required for reduction. It has not been completely determined whether this oxidative event results in the formation of an intramolecular disulfide bond or conversion to sulfenic acid, sulfenic acid or S-nitrosothiols. Unexpectedly, evidence has emerged that knock-in mice with a cysteine-to-alanine point mutation in the APE1/Ref-1 gene showed no evidence of aberrant redox regulation of AP-1 in vivo, questioning the role of APE1/Ref-1 in redox regulation. This contradiction might be due to the presence of compensatory control mechanisms in transgenic mice. Indeed, a recent study suggested that other cysteines (Cys93 and Cys310) in APE1/Ref-1 can be S-nitrosylated, potentially explaining the lack of a phenotype in the cysteine mutant mouse. The S-nitrosylation of Cys93 and Cys310 partly explained how NO triggers nuclear export of APE1/Ref-1, but the mechanisms involved still remain unknown.

Redox modification in the regulation of Nrf2/Keap1 signaling

Murine Keap1 contains 25 conserved cysteines, of which eight are in the Kelch domain through which Keap1 binds to Nrf2. These cysteine residues provide many opportunities for cysteine-dependent redox switches. Under homeostatic conditions, Keap1 interacts with the cullin-3 E3-ubiquitin ligase (Cul3) and serves as a platform for the ubiquitination and resultant proteasomal degradation of Nrf2. However, under conditions of oxidative stress, Keap1 acts as a redox-sensitive adaptor for Cul3, leading to the liberation of Nrf2 from Keap1 suppression. It has been reported that oxidative modifications in Cys151, Cys273 and Cys288 of Keap1, probably by the formation of intramolecular or intermolecular disulfide bridges, are of pivotal importance in reduced ubiquitination leading to subsequent accumulation of Nrf2. Other possible thiol modifications of Keap1 include S-nitrosylation in response to NO or S-nitrosothiols, causing nuclear accumulation of Keap1. However, an increasing number of studies have provided evidence suggesting that these Keap1 redox modifications fail to disrupt the Nrf2/Keap1 complex. Thus, additional mechanisms for sensing oxidant signals are believed to be critical for activation of Nrf2. It was shown that Nrf2 mediated the activation of ARE, which is regulated by APE1/Ref-1, suggesting the possibility of Nrf2 redox regulation directly by APE1/Ref-1. Indeed, Nrf2 contains a cysteine (Cys514) located in the DNA-binding domain that is the conserved site of APE1/Ref-1-mediated redox regulation. Mutation of murine Nrf2 at Cys506 (equivalent to Cys514 in human) to Ser506 lowered its affinity for the ARE enhancer, suggesting that reduced Cys506 was required for the interaction of Nrf2 and ARE. These results were further corroborated by the findings that other cysteine residues with redox modification potential, such as Cys119 and Cys235, play critical roles in the transcriptional activation of Nrf2. Additionally, Nrf2 nuclear export from the cell nucleus into the cytoplasm was also regulated by redox signals via Cys183 in Nrf2. These observations show that Nrf2 uses a dual sensor mechanism in which both Keap1 and Nrf2 are redox regulated via their cysteine thiols to activate Nrf2 through an integrated signaling cascade.

Cysteine redox modification of ATM

ATM is regarded as the major regulator of the cellular response to double-strand breaks (DSBs), in response to which ATM dimers dissociate to active monomers to...
orchestrate signaling cascades that initiate the DNA damage.\textsuperscript{124} However, it has recently been shown that ATM can also serve as a sensor to oxidative stress. In the presence of H$_2$O$_2$, the activation of ATM by DSBs was completely abolished by inhibiting the binding of MRN with DNA. In this situation, ATM was preferentially activated directly by cysteine oxidation. Further characterization demonstrated that the oxidized form of ATM was a disulfide-cross-linked dimer, and Cys2991, was primarily involved in the disulfide bond formation and oxidative activation.\textsuperscript{124,125} The specific mechanisms directing redox modification will contribute significantly to the overall levels of ATM activity in the presence of both DSBs and oxidative stress.

\textbf{p38 is identified as a ‘redox sensor’}

p38 protein kinase contains four active cysteine residues, which have the potential to be oxidized under oxidative stress. Indeed, all cysteines in p38 were oxidized under H$_2$O$_2$ treatment, with Cys119 and Cys162 oxidized to a greater extent than Cys211 and Cys39.\textsuperscript{126} These results were consistent with observations based on the structural analysis of p38 that Cys119 and Cys162 might be more accessible for oxidation.\textsuperscript{127} Further studies showed that oxidative modification resulted in the loss of p38 kinase activity, and intramolecular disulfide bonds or disulfide bridges to another protein were likely not involved in p38 inhibition.\textsuperscript{126}

\textbf{Redox modification regulates the transcriptional activity of p53}

The remarkable redox-sensitivity of p53 was first discovered by researchers attempting to purify p53. p53 shows high affinity binding to consensus DNA sequences under strong reducing conditions,\textsuperscript{128–130} while oxidizing agents can dramatically disrupt p53 conformation and inhibit DNA binding.\textsuperscript{131,132} These observations are excellent and agree with evidence from the crystal structure of the DNA-binding core domain of p53.\textsuperscript{133} Further studies confirmed that Cys277 oxidation was critical for decreased DNA-binding capacity of p53.\textsuperscript{134} Several other cysteines, including Cys176, Cys238 and Cys242, may also be targets for redox regulation.\textsuperscript{135} Additionally, p53 is also a substrate for S-glutathionylation under oxidative stress. Molecular modeling studies showed that S-glutathionylation of Cys124 and Cys141 inhibited p53–DNA association and also interfered with protein dimerization.\textsuperscript{136,137} It is noteworthy that the redox modification of p53 can be regulated by oxidative stress both directly and indirectly. In the indirect model, APE1/Ref-1 binds to p53 and maintains the thiol side chain of cysteine in the reduced state to impede the dissociation of p53 from DNA.\textsuperscript{138} In this scenario, redox status of Cys 275 and Cys277 in p53 was demonstrated to be responsible for DNA-binding capacity.\textsuperscript{106}

\textbf{Conclusions}

Recent studies have provided a flood of information concerning the role of redox homeostasis in the regulation of stem cell behavior. Although great progresses have been made in the last two decades, the regulatory mechanisms of stem cell self-renewal mediated by ROS, especially for the downstream signaling mechanisms of ROS stimuli, are still not fully understood. Intriguingly, many of the molecules implicated in ROS-regulated stem cell self-renewal (FoxOs, APE1/Ref-1, Nrf2, ATM, HIF-1\textalpha, p38 and p53) have been found to be modified at redox-active cysteine residues, thereby acting as ‘redox sensors.’ Understanding whether the ‘redox sensors’ play important roles in the regulation of stem cell self-renewal will offer significant potential for stem cell biology in the foreseeable future.
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