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ABSTRACT. The main aim of this paper is to investigate a new class of rings called, for positive integers \( n \) and \( d \), \( G - (n, d) \)-rings, over which every \( n \)-presented module has a Gorenstein projective dimension at most \( d \). We characterize \( n \)-coherent \( G - (n, 0) \)-rings. We conclude with various examples of \( G - (n, d) \)-rings.

1. Introduction. Throughout this paper all rings are commutative with identity element and all modules are unital. If \( M \) is any \( R \)-module, we use \( \text{pd}_R(M) \), \( \text{id}_R(M) \) and \( \text{fd}_R(M) \) to denote, respectively, the usual projective, injective and flat dimensions of \( M \). It is convenient to use “\( m \)-local” to refer to a (not necessarily Noetherian) ring with a unique maximal ideal \( m \).

During 1967–69, Auslander and Bridger [1, 2] introduced the \( G \)-dimension for finitely generated modules over Noetherian rings. Several decades later, this homological dimension was extended, by Enochs and Jenda [11, 12], to the Gorenstein projective dimension of modules that are not necessarily finitely generated and over non-necessarily Noetherian rings. And, dually, they defined the Gorenstein injective dimension. Then, to complete the analogy with the classical homological dimension, Enochs, Jenda and Torrecillas [14] introduced the Gorenstein flat dimension.

In the past few years, Gorenstein homological dimensions have become a vigorously active area of research (see [4, 9, 11, 13, 17] for more details). In 2004, Holm [17] generalized several results which had already been obtained over Noetherian rings.

The Gorenstein projective, injective and flat dimensions of a module are defined in terms of resolutions by Gorenstein projective, injective and flat modules, respectively.
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Definition 1.1 [17]. 1. An $R$-module $M$ is said to be Gorenstein projective if there exists an exact sequence of projective modules

$$P = \cdots \to P_1 \to P_0 \to P^0 \to P^1 \to \cdots$$

such that $M \cong \text{Im} (P_0 \to P^0)$ and such that $\text{Hom}_R(-, Q)$ leaves the sequence $P$ exact whenever $Q$ is a projective module.

2. The Gorenstein injective modules are defined dually.

3. An $R$-module $M$ is said to be Gorenstein flat if there exists an exact sequence of flat modules

$$F = \cdots \to F_1 \to F_0 \to F^0 \to F^1 \to \cdots$$

such that $M \cong \text{Im} (F_0 \to F^0)$ and such that $- \otimes I$ leaves the sequence $F$ exact whenever $I$ is an injective module.

Let $R$ be a commutative ring, and let $M$ be an $R$-module. For any positive integer $n$, we say that $M$ is $n$-presented whenever there is an exact sequence:

$$F_n \to F_{n-1} \to \cdots \to F_0 \to M \to 0$$

of $R$-modules in which each $F_i$ is a finitely generated free $R$-module. In particular, 0-presented and 1-presented $R$-modules are, respectively, finitely generated and finitely presented $R$-modules. We set $\lambda_R(M) = \sup \{n \mid M \text{ is } n\text{-presented}\}$, except that we set $\lambda_R(M) = -1$ if $M$ is not finitely generated. Note that $\lambda_R(M) \geq n$ is a way to express the fact that $M$ is $n$-presented.

Costa, [10], introduced a doubly filtered set of classes of rings in order to categorize the structure of non-Noetherian rings: for non-negative integers $n$ and $d$, we say that a ring $R$ is an $(n,d)$-ring if $\text{pd}_R(M) \leq d$ for each $n$-presented $R$-module $M$. $(n,d)$-rings are known rings in some particular values of $n$ and $d$. For example, $R$ is a Noetherian $(n,d)$-ring, which means that $R$ has global dimension $\leq d$. $(0,0)$, $(1,0)$, and $(0,1)$-rings are, respectively, semi-simple, von Neumann regular and hereditary rings (see [10, Theorem 1.3]). According to Costa, [10], a ring $R$ is called an $n$-coherent ring if every $n$-presented $R$-module is $(n+1)$-presented. For more results about $(n,d)$-rings see, for instance, [10, 22, 23].
The object of this paper is to extend the idea of Costa and introduce a doubly filtered set of classes of rings called $G-(n,d)$-rings and defined as follows:

**Definition 1.2.** Let $n, d \geq 0$ be integers. A ring $R$ is called a $G-(n,d)$-ring if every $n$-presented $R$-module has a Gorenstein projective dimension at most $d$ (i.e., $\lambda_R(M) \geq n$ implies $\text{Gpd}_R(M) \leq d$).

In Section 2, we characterize some known rings by the $G-(n,d)$-property, for small values of $n$ and $d$. Then, we study the transfer of this property into some particular ring extensions. In the main result of this section, we characterize $n$-coherent $G-(n,0)$-rings. Section 3 is devoted to examples. We give an example of a ring which is a $G-(n,d)$-ring but not an $(n,d)$-ring for any positive integers $n$ and $d$. Also we give examples of $G-(n,0)$-rings which are not $G-(n-1,d)$-rings, for $n = 2, 3$ and for any positive integer $d$.

**2. Main results.** As in [10, Theorem 1.3], the $G-(n,d)$-property is used to characterize the rings of small Gorenstein global dimension. Recall, from [5], the Gorenstein global dimension of a ring $R$, denoted $\text{G-gldim}(R)$, is defined as follows:

$$\text{G-gldim}(R) = \sup \{ \text{Gpd}_R(M) \mid M \text{ an } R\text{-module} \}.$$ 

Recall first the following rings:

**Definition 2.1** [7, 25, 26]. Let $R$ be a ring.

1. $R$ is called $G$-semisimple if every $R$ module is Gorenstein projective ($= R$ is quasi-Frobenius).

2. $R$ is called $G$-Von Neuman regular if every $R$-module is Gorenstein flat ($= R$ is an F-ring).

3. $R$ is called $G$-hereditary if $\text{G-gldim}(R) \leq 1$. Also $R$ is called $G$-Dedekind if it is an integral domain $G$-hereditary.

4. $R$ is called $G$-semi-hereditary if $R$ is coherent and every submodule of a flat $R$-module is Gorenstein flat. Also $R$ is called $G$-Prüfer if it is an integral domain $G$-semi-hereditary.

Recall that an $R$-module $M$ is $n$-presented if there is an exact sequence:

$$F_n \to F_{n-1} \to \cdots \to F_1 \to F_0 \to M \to 0,$$
such that each $F_i$ is a finitely generated free $R$-module for $0 \leq i \leq n$. If $n = \infty$, we say that $M$ is infinitely presented.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let $R$ be a ring. Then:

1. $R$ is a $G - (0,0)$-ring if and only if $R$ is $G$-semisimple.
2. $R$ is a $G - (0,1)$-ring if and only if $R$ is $G$-hereditary.
3. $R$ is a $G - (0,d)$-ring if and only if $G\text{-gldim}(R) \leq d$.
4. $R$ is a $G - (1,0)0$-ring if and only if $R$ is $G$-von Neuman regular.
5. If $R$ is coherent, then $R$ is a $G - (1,1)$-ring if and only if $R$ is $G$-semi-hereditary.
6. $R$ is a $G - (0,1)$-domain if and only if $R$ is $G$-Dedekind.
7. If $R$ is coherent, then $R$ is a $G - (1,1)$-domain if and only if $R$ is $G$-Prüfer.
8. If $R$ is Noetherian, then $R$ is a $G - (n,d)$-ring if and only if $G\text{-gldim}(R) \leq d$.

**Proof.** (1) Follows from [7, Proposition 2.1]. The assertions (2)–(6) and (7) follow respectively from [25, Proposition 2.3, Proposition 3.3, Definition 2.1 and Definition 3.1] and [26, Theorem 2.6]. Equation (3) follows from [5, Lemma 2.2]. Equation (8) follows from (3) and, since it is in a Noetherian ring $R$, every finitely generated $R$-module is infinitely presented. \qed

**Remark 2.3.** 1) An $(n,d)$-ring is a $G - (n,d)$-ring for any positive integers $n$ and $d$. The converse is not true in general (see Example 3.2).

2) $G - (n,d)$-rings are $G - (n',d')$-rings for any $n' \geq n$ and $d \geq d'$. The converse is not true in general (see Theorem 3.1).

Recall that, for an extension of rings $A \subseteq B$, $A$ is called a module retract of $B$ if there exists an $A$-module homomorphism $f : B \to A$ such that $f/A = \text{id}_A$. The homomorphism $f$ is called a module retraction map. If such map $f$ exists, $B$ contains $A$ as a direct summand $A$-module.
Proposition 2.4. Let $A$ be a subring retract of $R$, $R = A \oplus_A E$, such that $E$ is a flat $A$-module and $\text{G-gldim}(A)$ is finite. If $R$ is a $G - (n,d)$-ring, then $A$ is a $G - (n,d)$-ring.

Proof. Let $M$ be an $n$-presented $A$-module. Since $R$ is a flat $A$-module, $M \otimes_A R$ is an $n$-presented $R$-module, and by hypothesis $\text{Gpd}_R(M \otimes_A R) \leq d$. Then, $\text{Gpd}_A(M) \leq d$ from [24, Proposition 2.4]. □

Let $A$ be a ring, and let $E$ be an $A$-module. The trivial ring extension of $A$ by $E$ is the ring $R := A \times E$ whose underlying group is $A \times E$ with multiplication given by $(a,e)(a',e') = (aa', ae' + a' e)$. These extensions have been useful for solving many open problems and conjectures in both commutative and non-commutative ring theory (see, for instance, [16, 18, 20, 22, 23]).

A direct application of Proposition 2.4 is the following corollary.

Corollary 2.5. Let $A$ be a ring with $\text{G-gldim}(A) < \infty$, and let $E$ be a flat $A$-module. If $R = A \times E$ is a $G - (n,d)$-ring, then $A$ is a $G - (n,d)$-ring.

In the next result, we study the transfer of the $G - (n,d)$-property to the polynomial ring.

Theorem 2.6. Let $R$ be a ring and let $X$ be an indeterminate over $R$.

1. Suppose that $\text{G-gldim}(R)$ is finite. If $R[X]$ is a $G - (n,d)$-ring, then $R$ is a $G - (n,d)$-ring.

2. If $R$ is a $G - (n,d)$-ring which is not a $G - (n,d - 1)$-ring, then $R[X]$ is not a $G - (n,d)$-ring.

3. Suppose that $\text{G-gldim}(R)$ is finite. If $R[X]$ is a $G - (n,d)$-ring, then $R$ is a $G - (n,d - 1)$-ring.

Proof. 1. Let $M$ be an $R$-module such that $\lambda_R(M) \geq n$. Since $R[X]$ is a free $R$-module, we have $\lambda_{R[X]}(M[X]) \geq n$ and, by hypothesis, $\text{Gpd}_{R[X]}(M[X]) \leq d$. From [6, Lemma 2.8], $\text{Gpd}_R(M) \leq d$, and $R$ is a $G - (n,d)$-ring as desired.
2. Since $R$ is a $G - (n, d)$-ring which is not a $G - (n, d - 1)$-ring, there exists an $R$-module $M$ such that $\lambda_R(M) \geq n$ and $\text{Gpd}_R(M) = d$. Then, from [17, Theorem], it is easy to see that there exists a free $R$-module $F$ such that $\text{Ext}^d_R(M, F) \neq 0$. On the other hand, $M$ is also an $R[X]$-module via the canonical morphism: $R[X] \to R$. Hence, from [28, Lemma 9.29], there exists an exact sequence of $R[X]$-modules:

$$0 \longrightarrow M[X] \longrightarrow M[X] \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0,$$

from which we conclude that $\lambda_{R[X]}(M) \geq \lambda_{R[X]}(M[X])$. But since $R[X]$ is a flat $R$-module we see that $\lambda_{R[X]}(M[X]) \geq \lambda_R(M) \geq n$, and we have $\lambda_{R[X]}(M) \geq n$. Then, [28, Theorem 9.37] shows that:

$$\text{Ext}^{d+1}_{R[X]}(M, F[X]) \cong \text{Ext}^{d+1}_R(M, F) \neq 0.$$

It follows from [17, Theorem 2.20], that $\text{Gpd}_{R[X]}(M) \geq d$. Finally, $R[X]$ is not a $G - (n, d)$-ring as desired.

3. Follows from (1) and (2) of the same theorem.

In the next theorem we study the transfer of the $G - (n, d)$-property to the finite direct product of rings.

**Theorem 2.7.** Let $R = R_1 \times R_2 \cdots \times R_m$ be a finite direct product of rings. If $R$ is a $G - (n, d)$-ring, then $R_i$ is a $G - (n, d)$-ring for each $i = 1, \ldots, m$. The converse is true if $\sup \{ \text{G-gldim}(R_i) \mid i = 1, \ldots, m \}$ is finite.

To prove this theorem we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.8.** Let $R = R_1 \times R_2 \cdots \times R_m$ be a finite direct product of rings, and let $n \geq 0$ be an integer. Then, $M = \bigoplus_i M_i$ is an $n$-presented $R$-module if and only if $M_i$ is an $n$-presented $R_i$-module for each $i = 1, \ldots, m$.

**Proof.** Follows from [8, Corollary 2.6.9].

**Proof of Theorem 2.7.** Let $M_i$ be an $R_i$-module such that $\lambda_{R_i}(M_i) \geq n$; then, from Lemma 2.8 above, we have $\lambda_R(\bigoplus_i M_i) \geq n$ and by hypothesis $\text{Gpd}_R(\bigoplus_i M_i) \leq d$. Hence, from [6, Lemma 3.2], $\text{Gpd}_{R_i}(M_i) \leq d$. 

Conversely, suppose that \( \sup \{ \text{G-gldim}(R_i) \mid i = 1, \ldots, m \} \) is finite, and let \( M = M_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus M_m \) be an \( n \)-presented \( R \)-module. Then, for each \( i \), \( M_i \) is an \( n \)-presented \( R_i \)-module by Lemma 2.8. And, by hypothesis, we have \( \text{Gpd}_{R_i}(M_i) \leq d \). Hence, from [6, Lemma 3.3], \( \text{Gpd}_R(M) \leq \sup \{ \text{Gpd}_{R_i}(M_i) \mid i = 1, \ldots, m \} \leq d \). \( \square \)

The next result shows that a \( G-(n,d) \)-ring has grade at most \( d \). This theorem is a generalization of [10, Theorem 1.4].

**Theorem 2.9.** Let \( R \) be a \( G-(n,d) \)-ring. Then \( R \) contains no regular sequence of length \( d + 1 \).

*Proof.* Let \( x_1, \ldots, x_t \) be a regular sequence in \( R \), where \( I = \sum_{i=1}^t Rx_i \neq R \). Then, the Koszul complex defined by \( \{x_1, \ldots, x_t\} \) is a finite free resolution of \( R/I \) and hence \( R/I \) is \( n \)-presented for every \( n \). Then, since \( R \) is a \( G-(n,d) \)-ring, we have \( \text{Gpd}_R(R/I) \leq d \). But \( \text{Gpd}_R(R/I) = t \) from [21, Exercise 1, page 127]. Therefore, \( t \leq d \). \( \square \)

In the next result we study the locality of the \( G-(n,d) \)-property.

**Proposition 2.10.** Let \( R \) be a ring with \( \text{G-gldim}(R) \) finite, and let \( n \) and \( d \) be positive integers such that \( d \leq n - 1 \). If \( R \) is locally a \( G-(n,d) \)-ring, then \( R \) is also a \( G-(n,d) \)-ring.

To prove this theorem we need the following result.

**Lemma 2.11** ([10, Lemma 3.1]). Let \( M \) be an \( R \)-module, and let \( S \) be a multiplicative subset of a system in \( R \). If \( M \) has a finite \( n \)-presentation, then:

\[
S^{-1}\text{Ext}^i_R(M, N) \cong \text{Ext}^i_{S^{-1}R}(S^{-1}M, S^{-1}N)
\]

for all \( 0 \leq i \leq n - 1 \), and \( S^{-1}\text{Ext}^n_R(M, N) \) is isomorphic to a submodule of \( \text{Ext}^n_{S^{-1}R}(S^{-1}M, S^{-1}N) \).

*Proof of Proposition 2.10.* Let \( M \) be an \( n \)-presented \( R \)-module, and let \( m \) be a maximal ideal of \( R \). Then, \( M_m \) is an \( n \)-presented \( R_m \)-
module. Let $P$ be a projective $R$-module; then $(\text{Ext}^i_R(M,P))_m = \text{Ext}^i_{R_m}(M_m,P_m) = 0$, and from [28, Theorem 3.80], $\text{Ext}^i_R(M,P) = 0$ for all $0 \leq i \leq n$. Therefore, $\text{Gpd}_R(M) \leq d$. □

Now we give our main result of this section in which we give a characterization of $n$-coherent and a $G-(n,0)$-ring.

**Theorem 2.12.** Let $R$ be an $n$-coherent ring. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

A) $R$ is a $G-(n,0)$-ring.

B) The following conditions hold:

1. Every finitely generated ideal of $R$ has a nonzero annihilator.

2. For each infinitely presented $R$-module $M$, $\text{Gpd}_R(M) < \infty$.

3. For every finitely generated Gorenstein projective submodule $G$ of a finitely generated projective $R$-module $P$, $P/G$ is Gorenstein projective.

To prove this theorem we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.13 ([3, Theorem 5.4]).** The following assertions are equivalent for a ring $R$:

1. Every finitely generated projective submodule of a projective $R$-module $P$ is a direct summand of $P$.

2. Every finitely generated proper ideal of $R$ has a nonzero annihilator.

**Proof of Theorem 2.12.** (A) ⇒ (B). Condition (2) is obvious.

We prove (1). Let $P$ be a finitely generated submodule of $Q$, and both $P$ and $Q$ are projective. Let $Q'$ be a projective $R$-module such that $Q \oplus_R Q' = F_0$ is a free $R$-module. Then there exists an exact sequence:

\[(*) \quad 0 \to P \to F_0 \to Q/P \oplus_R Q' \to 0\]

On the other hand, since $P$ is a finitely generated projective $R$-module, there exists a finitely generated free submodule $F_1$ of $F_0$ such that $P \subseteq F_1$ and $F_0 = F_1 \oplus_R F_2$. Thus, we see easily that $P$ is infinitely
presented and from the exact sequence:

\[ 0 \longrightarrow P \longrightarrow F_1 \longrightarrow F_1/P \longrightarrow 0; \]

\( \text{pd}_R(F_1/P) \leq 1 \) and \( F_1/P \) is also infinitely presented, and by hypothesis \( F_1/P \) is Gorenstein projective, then it is projective. Consider the following pushout diagram:

Since \( F_2 \) and \( F_1/P \) are projective, the exact sequence \((*)\) splits and \( Q \oplus_R Q' \cong P \oplus_R Q/P \oplus_R Q' \). Then \( Q \cong P \oplus_R Q/P \) as desired.

To finish the proof of the first implication, it remains to prove that condition (3) holds. Let \( G \) be a finitely generated Gorenstein projective submodule of a finitely generated projective \( R \)-module \( P \). Consider the exact sequence:

\[ 0 \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow P \longrightarrow P/G \longrightarrow 0. \]

It follows that \( \text{Gpd}_R(P/G) \leq 1 \), and from [17, Theorem 2.10], there exists an exact sequence of \( R \)-modules:

\[ (*) \quad 0 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow P/G \longrightarrow 0 \]
where $K$ is projective and $H$ is Gorenstein projective. But, by the proof of [17, Theorem 2.10], we may assume that $K$ and $H$ are finitely generated since $G$ and $P$ are finitely generated. Hence, combining (1) of this theorem with [25, Lemma 2.10], we conclude that $K$ is a direct summand of $H$ and the exact sequence ($\star$) splits. Then $P/G$ is Gorenstein projective as a direct summand of $H$.

(B) $\Rightarrow$ (A). Let $M$ be an $n$-presented $R$-module. Since $R$ is $n$-coherent, $M$ is infinitely presented and $\text{Gpd}_R(M)$ is finite. Let $\text{Gpd}_R(M) = d$; then we have the exact sequence of $R$-modules:

$$0 \rightarrow G \xrightarrow{u_{d-1}} P_{d-1} \xrightarrow{u_{d-2}} P_{d-2} \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \xrightarrow{u_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{u_0} M \rightarrow 0,$$

where $P_i$ is a finitely generated projective for each $i$ and $G$ is a Gorenstein projective. Then we have the exact sequences of $R$-modules:

$$0 \rightarrow G(= \ker (u_{d-1})) \rightarrow P_{d-1} \rightarrow \text{Im} (u_{d-1}) \rightarrow 0,$$

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Im} (u_i)(= \ker (u_{i-1})) \rightarrow P_{i-1} \rightarrow \text{Im} (u_{i-1}) \rightarrow 0$$

for $i = 2, \ldots, d - 1$,

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Im} (u_1)(= \ker (u_0)) \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow \text{Im} (u_0) = M \rightarrow 0.$$

Then, by hypothesis and since $G$ is a finitely generated Gorenstein projective submodule of a projective $R$-module $P_{d-1}$, we have $\text{textIm} (u_{d-1}) \cong P_{d-1}/G$ is a finitely generated Gorenstein projective $R$-module. Thus, by induction, we conclude that $M = \text{Im} (u_0)$ is a finitely generated Gorenstein projective $R$-module, and this completes the proof.

In the next proposition we study the relation between $G-(n,d)$-rings and $G-(n,0)$-rings.

**Proposition 2.14.** Let $R$ be a $G-(n,d)$-ring. Then $R$ is a $G-(n,0)$-ring if and only if $\text{Ext}_R(M, K) = 0$ for every $n$-presented $R$-module $M$ and every $R$-module $K$ with $\text{pd}_R(K) = \text{Gpd}_R(M) - 1$.

**Proof.** $\Rightarrow$). Obvious.

$\Leftarrow$). Let $M$ be an $n$-presented $R$-module. Since $R$ is a $G-(n,d)$-ring, we have $\text{Gpd}_R(M) \leq d$. And, from [17, Theorem 2.10], there exists an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow G \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$
where $G$ is Gorenstein projective and $\text{pd}_R(K) = \text{Gpd}_R(M) - 1$. By hypothesis $\text{Ext}_R(M, K) = 0$, and the exact sequence $(\star)$ splits. Then, by [17, Theorem 2.5], $M$ is Gorenstein projective as a direct summand of $G$. □

3. Examples. In this section, we construct a class of $G−(2,0)$-rings (respectively, $G−(3,0)$-rings) which are not $(1,d)$-rings (respectively, not $G−(2,d)$-rings) for every integer $d \geq 1$. Also we give an example of a $G−(n,d)$-ring which is not an $(n,d)$-ring for every integer $n, d \geq 0$.

In the next result we give an example of a $G−(2,0)$-ring which is not a $G−(1,d)$-ring. Also, we give an example of a $G−(2,d)$-ring which is neither a $G−(2,d−1)$-ring nor a $G−(1,d)$-ring for any integer $d \geq 0$. This theorem is a generalization [22, Theorem 3.4].

**Theorem 3.1.** Let $K$ be a field, and let $E(\cong K^\infty)$ be a $K$-vector space with infinite rank. Let $R := K \bowtie E$ be the trivial ring extension of $K$ by $E$. Then:

1. $R$ is a $G−(2,0)$-ring.

2. $R$ is not a $G−(1,d)$-ring for every positive integer $d$.

3. Let $S$ be a Noetherian ring with $G\text{-gldim}(S) = d$. Then, $T = R \times S$ is a $G−(2,d)$-ring but neither a $G−(1,d)$-ring nor a $G−(2,d−1)$-ring.

**Proof.**

1. $R$ is a $G−(2,0)$-ring since it is a $(2,0)$-ring from [22, Theorem 3.4].

2. Let $d$ be a positive integer. We have to prove that $R$ is not a $G−(1,d)$-ring. $M = 0 \bowtie E$ is the maximal ideal of $R$, and let $(0,e_i)_{i \in \mathbb{I}}$ be a set of generators of $M$. Consider the exact sequence of $R$-modules:

$$0 \longrightarrow M^{(I)} \longrightarrow R^{(I)} \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0;$$

from this exact sequence, we deduce that $\text{Gpd}_R(M) = 0$ or $\text{Gpd}_R(M) = \infty$. Suppose that $\text{Gpd}_R(M) = 0$, and let $J = R(0,f) \cong 0 \bowtie K$ be a principal ideal of $R$. $J$ is a direct summand of $M$; then $\text{Gpd}_R(J) = 0$. Consider the exact sequence of $R$-modules:

$$0 \longrightarrow \ker(u) \longrightarrow R \xrightarrow{u} J \longrightarrow 0,$$
where \( u((a, e)) = (a, e)(0, f) = (0, af) \). Then, \( \ker(u) = \{(a, e) \in R \mid af = 0}\). We can easily see that \( \ker(u) = M \). Then, \( M \cong R/J \cong K \); hence, \( K \) is a Gorenstein projective \( R \)-module. In particular \( \text{Ext}_R(K, R) = 0 \), and \( R \) is self-injective \( (0 = \text{id}_K(E) = \text{id}_R(R)) \) from [15, Proposition 4.35], a contradiction. Indeed, \( R \) is not self-injective since \( \text{Ann}_R(\text{ann}_R(J)) = M \neq J \) and from [27, Corollary 1.38]. Then \( \text{Gpd}_R(M) = \infty \). On the other hand, \( R/J \) is a 1-presented \( R \)-module and \( \text{Gpd}_R(M) = \text{Gpd}_R(R/J) = \infty \). Finally, \( R \) is not a \( G-(1, d) \)-ring for each positive integer \( d \).

3. Follows from Theorem 2.7 and Theorem 2.2 (8).

Next we give an example of a \( G-(n, d) \)-ring which is not an \( (n, d) \)-ring for positive integers \( n \) and \( d \).

**Example 3.2.** Let \( K \) be a field and \( R = K \times K \) the trivial ring extension of \( K \) by \( K \). Then \( R \) is a \( G-(n, d) \)-ring but not an \( (n, d) \)-ring for positive integers \( n \) and \( d \).

*Proof.* From [7, Theorem 3.7], \( R \) is a \( G -(0, 0) \)-ring (quasi-Frobenius); then, from Remark 2.3, \( R \) is a \( G -(n, d) \)-ring for positive integers \( n \) and \( d \). And it follows from [23, Example 3.4] that \( R \) is not a \( (n, d) \)-ring.

The next result generates an example of a \( G -(3, 0) \)-ring which is not a \( G -(2, d) \)-ring for every integer \( d \geq 0 \). Also we give an example of a \( G -(3, d) \)-ring which is neither a \( G -(3, d-1) \)-ring nor a \( G -(2, d) \)-ring.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let \((A, M)\) be a local ring, and let \( R = A \times A/M \) be the trivial ring extension of \( A \) by \( A/M \). Then:

1. If \( M \) is not finitely generated, then \( R \) is a \( G -(3, 0) \)-ring.

2. If \( M \) contains a regular element, then \( R \) is not a \( G -(2, d) \)-ring, for every integer \( d \leq 0 \).

3. Let \( S \) be a Noetherian ring with \( \text{G-gldim}(S) = d \) for some integer \( d \geq 0 \). Then, \( T = R \times S \) is a \( G -(3, d) \)-ring which is neither a \( G -(2, d) \) nor a \( G -(3, d-1) \)-ring.

*Proof.* 1. Follows from [19, Theorem 1.1].

2. Suppose that \( M \) contains a regular element. Consider the exact sequence of \( R \)-modules:

\[
(\ast) \quad 0 \longrightarrow M \times A/M \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow R/(M \times A/M) \longrightarrow 0.
\]
We prove that $\text{Gpd}_R(R/(M \propto A/M)) = \infty$. If not, $\text{Gpd}_R(R/(M \propto A/M))$ is finite. From the exact sequence $(\ast)$ and [17, Proposition 2.18], we have:

(1) $\text{Gpd}_R(M \propto A/M) + 1 = \text{Gpd}_R(R/(M \propto A/M))$

Let $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ be a set of generators of $M$, and let $R^{(I)}$ be a free $R$ module. Consider the exact sequence of $R$-modules:

$$0 \longrightarrow \ker(u) \longrightarrow R^{(I)} \oplus_R R \overset{u}{\longrightarrow} M \propto A/M \longrightarrow 0,$$

where

$$u((a_i, e_i)_{i \in I}, (b_0, f_0)) = \sum_{i \in I} (a_i, e_i)(x_i, 0) + (b_0, f_0)(0, 1) = \sum_{i \in I} (a_i x_i, b_0),$$

since $x_i \in M$ for each $i \in I$. Hence,

$$\ker(u) = (U \propto (A/M)^{(I)}) \oplus_R (M \propto A/M),$$

where $U = \{(a_i)_{i \in I} \in A^{(I)} \mid \sum_{i \in I} a_i x_i = 0\}$. Therefore, we have the isomorphism of $R$-modules:

$$M \propto A/M \cong [R^{(I)}/(U \propto (A/M)^{(I)})] \oplus_R [R/(M \propto A/M)].$$

Hence, from [17, Proposition 2.19], we have:

(2) $\text{Gpd}(R/(M \propto A/M)) \leq \text{Gpd}_R(M \propto A/M)$.

It follows from (1) and (2) that $\text{Gpd}(R/(M \propto A/M)) = \text{Gpd}_R(M \propto A/M) = \infty$. Now, from the exact sequence of $R$-modules:

$$0 \longrightarrow M \propto A/M \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow 0 \propto A/M \longrightarrow 0,$$

we conclude that $\text{Gpd}_R(0 \propto A/M) = \infty$. On the other hand, let $m \in M$ be a regular element and $J = R(m, 0)$ an ideal of $R$. Consider the following exact sequence of $R$ modules:

$$0 \longrightarrow \ker(v) \longrightarrow R \overset{v}{\longrightarrow} J \longrightarrow 0,$$
where $v((b, f)) = (b, f)(m, 0) = (bm, mf)$. Since $m$ is a regular element, we have $\ker(v) = 0 \cong A/M$. Therefore, it follows that $\text{Gpd}_R(J) = \text{Gpd}_R(0 \cong A/M) = \infty$. On the other hand, $0 \cong A/M$ is a finitely generated ideal of $R$; hence, $J$ is a finitely presented ideal of $R$. Finally, the exact sequence of $R$-modules:

$$0 \rightarrow J \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/J \rightarrow 0,$$

shows that $\lambda_R(R/J) \geq 2$ and $\text{Gpd}_R(R/J) = \infty$. Then $R$ is not a $(2, d)$-ring for each positive integer $d$.

3. Follows from Theorem 2.7 and Theorem 2.2 (8).
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