Abstract. The present study aimed to investigate the efficacy and safety of Iodine-125 ($^{125}$I) seed implantation in the treatment of locally advanced unresectable pancreatic head cancer. A prospective nonrandomized study was performed using data collected from patients between January 2009 and December 2012. A total of 34 patients underwent surgical bypass and permanent $^{125}$I seed implantation (group A), and 32 patients underwent biliary and gastric bypass (group B). The preoperative variables, operative data, postoperative complications and follow-up information were examined. No significant differences were identified in clinical characteristics, mortality, morbidity and length of hospital stay between the two groups. Tumor responses were significantly different between patients in group A and B (partial response, 56 vs. 0%, $P<0.001$; progression, 24 vs. 84%, $P=0.013$). The time until disease progression was significantly longer in group A compared to group B (8±1 vs. 5±2 months; $P<0.001$). The median survival time was significantly longer in group A compared to group B (11 vs. 7 months; $P<0.001$). The quality of life was improved significantly in group A compared to group B. In the first month following surgery, pain scores were improved (24±10 vs. 54±19; $P<0.001$). Following repeated measure analysis, pain scores were significantly lower in group A compared to group B ($P<0.05$) at 9 months following surgery. The results of the present study suggest that $^{125}$I seed implantation is feasible, safe and effective for the treatment of unresectable pancreatic head cancer.

Introduction

In the United States, 46,420 patients were diagnosed with and 39,590 patients succumbed to pancreatic cancer in 2014 (1). The overall 5-year relative survival rate for patients with pancreatic cancer was 6% (1). At present, surgery is the only curative therapeutic approach. However, only 10-15% of patients with pancreatic cancer are considered suitable candidates for resection at the time of diagnosis (2). The palliative surgical procedures, including splanchnicectomy, biliary bypass and gastric bypass are frequently performed, with a median survival time of 6 months (3,4).

To improve the therapeutic effectiveness and reduce side effects of treatments, the use of novel treatment techniques, including intraoperative interstitial brachytherapy, have been investigated. In 1934, the implantation of a radium needle was utilized in seven patients with pancreatic cancer (5) with one of these patients surviving up to 2 years. Hilaris and Rousiss (6) reported one of the earliest experiences of radioactive Iodine-125 ($^{125}$I) seed implantation for the treatment of pancreatic cancer in 98 patients. The median survival time was 7 months, and one patient survived up to 5 years (6). Subsequent case studies using $^{125}$I as the implanted isotope have reported median survival times between 7-14 months (7-9).

Patients with pancreatic cancer have been demonstrated to benefit from $^{125}$I seed implantation (10,11). However, a controlled study has not been previously reported. To investigate the efficacy and safety of $^{125}$I seed implantation in the treatment of locally advanced unresectable pancreatic head cancer, a prospective nonrandomized study was performed.

Materials and methods

Patients. A consecutive series of 68 patients with locally advanced unresectable pancreatic head carcinoma diagnosed following surgical examination were enrolled in the present study between January 2009 and December 2012 at The Third People’s Hospital of Chengdu (Chengdu, China). Among them, 35 patients underwent a combination of surgical bypass (biliary and gastric bypass) and permanent $^{125}$I seed implantation (group A), and 33 patients underwent biliary and gastric bypass (group B). The selection of treatment method used was based on the decision of each patient. Prior to making a
decision, the patients were appropriately informed about treatment methods and the possible complications.

Inclusion criteria were a Karnofsky performance status score of ≥70, an anticipated survival of ≥3 months, ability to undergo follow-up assessment and no history of previous anticancer treatment. Exclusion criterion was the existence of distant metastases. The current study was approved by the Ethics Committee of The Third People's Hospital of Chengdu and written informed consent was obtained from all patients. Data collected prior to surgery included demographics, physical examination results, blood test results, abdominal computed tomography (CT), pain score and quality of life (QOL) assessment. The largest diameter reported from the CT report was defined as the tumor size.

Definitions. Locally advanced unresectable pancreatic head carcinoma was defined as pathologically proven local invasion of major visceral vessels and no evidence of metastases demonstrated during explorative surgery (13). To diagnose and predict the severity of pancreatitis, the 2012 revision of the Atlanta Classification of acute pancreatitis was used (14). Pancreatic fistula was defined as a drain output of any measurable volume of fluid on or following postoperative day 3 with an amylase content >3 times the serum amylase activity (15). Biliary fistula was defined as persistence of biliary drainage for >5 days (16). Delayed gastric emptying (DGE) was defined as nasogastric drainage for >10 days or a delay from regular diet until 14 days postoperatively (17).

Technique of $^{125}\text{I}$ implantation. At the time of exploratory laparotomy, elevation of the duodenum (Kocher procedure) was necessary in order to accurately assess the posterior margin of the tumor in the pancreatic head. The tumor size was determined subsequent to measuring three mutually perpendicular dimensions of the tumor (18). The implanted volume included the tumor size plus 0.5 cm of peripheral tissue. The expected number of implanted seeds was calculated according to the Cevc equation (19).

Following the histologically confirmed diagnosis of pancreatic carcinoma using fine needle aspiration biopsy, the needles (18-gauge, hollow, stainless steel) were implanted into the tumor and spaced at parallel intervals of 1.0 cm, extending ≥0.5 cm beyond the margins of the mass. The depth of needle placement was monitored by feeling the tip of the needle with the operating finger of the radiation oncologist. If bile, blood, or pancreatic juice issued from the needle when the stylet was withdrawn therefrom, the needle was retracted a number of millimeters and the stylet was left in place until the time of $^{125}\text{I}$ seed insertion (20). A Mick-applicator (Mick Radio-Nuclear Instruments, Inc., Mount Vernon, NY, USA) was then attached to each needle and the seeds (Shanghai Xinke Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China) were implanted at 1.0 cm intervals while withdrawing the needle. To minimize the dose to the adjacent stomach and bowel and prevent pancreatic fistula, a segment of omentum was placed over the implanted surface of the pancreas. A median number of 27 seeds/patient (range, 20-39 seeds) were implanted. During the surgery, surgeons wore lead aprons and lead gloves. The exposure dose was measured using a personal dosimeter worn on the chest.

Surgical procedure. All the patients underwent retrocolic gastrojejunostomy and choledochojejunostomy regardless of $^{125}\text{I}$ implantation. For the patient without the symptoms and signs of duodenal obstruction and jaundice, prophylactic bypass was performed. A total of 7 patients with severe malnutrition underwent feeding jejunostomies. Patients in group A received somatostatin analogues in order to prevent pancreatitis and pancreatic fistula development. All patients were recommended to undergo postoperative chemotherapy or radiotherapy. For different reasons, only eight patients received chemotherapy consisted of gemcitabine. The other patients refused to receive the postoperative treatment.

Follow-up. Patients were observed monthly during the first year following surgery and then at three month intervals. Evaluations during the follow-up included physical examinations, blood tests, chest X-ray, abdominal CT scan, QOL and pain score. During the follow-up, collection of the patients' opinions was performed by a doctor who was blinded to the study. The mean follow-up time was 11±6 months. Survival time was defined as the time span between initial treatment and mortality or loss of contact. Compliance was defined as the number of patients who completed the questionnaire expressed as a proportion of the number of patients alive.

Response criteria. Response was evaluated according to the World Health Organization criteria (21). A complete response (CR) was defined as the disappearance of all known lesions, without appearance of new lesions for ≥4 weeks. A partial response (PR) was defined as ≥50% decrease in the maximum transverse tumor measurements, with no appearance of new lesions on two observations 4 weeks apart. No change (NC) was defined as <50% decrease and <25% increase in the size of measurable lesions. Progressive disease (PD) was defined as ≥25% increase in the size of one or more measurable lesions or the appearance of new lesions. Time to progression was determined as the interval between the date of first treatment and the date at which PD was first observed.

Quality of life. For prospective measurement of QOL, the standard Chinese version of the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Core Questionnaire (QLQ-C30; version 3.0) was used (22). The QLQ-C30 consists of 30 items pertaining to 5 functional scales, symptoms and global quality of life (22). Its feasibility has previously been validated for patients in China (23).

Baseline measurements were performed prior to surgery. Subsequent questionnaires were completed at 1, 3, 6, 9 and 12 months following surgery. In accordance with van Heek et al (24), the global health status, physical and emotional functioning and all gastrointestinal (GI) symptom scales of the QLQ-C30 provide the appropriate information. Therefore, an overall digestive symptom scale including nausea and vomiting, appetite loss, constipation and diarrhea was created.

Pain score. Pain intensity was quantified using a specially designed pain score (25), including two subjective items, the patient's self-estimation of intensity of pain using a visual analog scale and the frequency of pain attacks, and two objective
items, analgesic medication taken and the time periods of inability to work. The sum of the median values divided by 4 provided the final pain score.

Statistical analysis. Data are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation or number and percentage. All statistical analyses were performed with SPSS (version 16.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). χ² or Fisher's exact tests were applied for categorical data and the Mann-Whitney U test was used for numerical data. The Kaplan-Meier estimator method was used to analyze survival and levels of significance were determined with the log-rank test. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Results

Study population. In group A, one patient was uncontactable during the follow-up. In group B, one patient was excluded from the analysis as the final pathology of the intraoperative specimen revealed a benign tumor. From the remaining 66 patients, 34 patients (52%) underwent a combination of surgical bypass (biliary and gastric bypass) and permanent Iodine-125 seed implantation whereas patients in group B underwent biliary and gastric bypass only. KPS, Karnofsky performance status scale; CA, cancer antigen.

Preoperative symptoms

Abdominal pain 25 (74) 27 (84) 0.281
Jaundice 32 (94) 31 (97) >0.999
Weight loss 31 (91) 28 (88) 0.705
KPS 81±7 78±6 0.107
Bilirubin, mg/dl 15±5 14±6 0.792
CA19-9 567±286 600±307 0.768
TNM Stage

T3N0-1M0 11 (32) 15 (47) 0.228
T4N0-1M0 23 (68) 17 (53)
Tumor size, mm 43±6 42±6 0.566

Table I. Clinicopathological characteristics of patients with locally advanced unresectable pancreatic head carcinoma.

| Clinicopathological characteristics | Group A, n=34 | Group B, n=32 | P-value |
|------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------|
| Age, yearsᵃ | 56±9 | 57±10 | 0.878 |
| Sexᵇ | | | |
| Male | 21 (62) | 18 (56) | 0.649 |
| Female | 13 (38) | 14 (44) |
| Preoperative symptomsᵇ | | | |
| Abdominal pain | 25 (74) | 27 (84) | 0.281 |
| Jaundice | 32 (94) | 31 (97) | >0.999 |
| Weight loss | 31 (91) | 28 (88) | 0.705 |
| KPSᵃ | 81±7 | 78±6 | 0.107 |
| Bilirubin, mg/dlᵇ | 15±5 | 14±6 | 0.792 |
| CA19-9ᵃ | 567±286 | 600±307 | 0.768 |
| TNM Stageᵇ | | | |
| T3N0-1M0 | 11 (32) | 15 (47) | 0.228 |
| T4N0-1M0 | 23 (68) | 17 (53) |
| Tumor size, mmᵃ | 43±6 | 42±6 | 0.566 |

Data are presented as ‘mean ± standard deviation or ‘number (%). Group A patients underwent a combination of surgical bypass (biliary and gastric bypass) and permanent Iodine-125 seed implantation whereas patients in group B underwent biliary and gastric bypass only. KPS, Karnofsky performance status scale; CA, cancer antigen.

Postoperative complications and hospitalization stay. Mortality, morbidity and length of hospital stay are described in Table II. No mortality occurred during the perioperative period in the two groups. In group A, one patient had mild acute pancreatitis that was resolved with the use of somatostatin analogues. The incidence of DGE was not significantly influenced by ¹²⁵I seed implant. All patients with postoperative DGE were successfully treated conservatively. There were two pancreatic fistulas in group A, and one biliary and one GI fistula in group B. All the fistulas were treated without surgery. In each group, one patient required re-exploration for significant anastomotic bleeding. The duration of stay in hospital was 15±4 days in group A and 13±3 days in group B (P=0.104). During the follow-up, two patients in group A were diagnosed with gastric ulcer. In addition, one patient in group A had two seeds and another patient had three seeds that migrated to the liver.

Response. The tumor responses are presented in Table III. In group A, there were no cases of CR. A total of 19 patients presented with PR and NC was observed in 7 patients. PD was observed in 8 patients, and all presented with extra-pancreatic metastases. In addition, 6 patients presented with local progression with increase in the size of the primary tumor mass. In group B, the overall response rate was 0%. The tumor was rated stable in 5 patients, and the other patients developed extrapancreatic metastases and simultaneous increase in the size of the pancreatic mass. The mean time until disease progression was significantly increased in group A compared to group B (8±1 vs. 5±2 months; P<0.001).

Survival. The median survival time was significantly longer in group A compared to the patients in group B (11 vs. 7 months; P<0.001). (Fig. 1). The 1 and 2-year survival rates were 50 and 12%, respectively in group A, as opposed to 19 and 0%, respectively in group B.
Quality of life. Compliance with questionnaire completion was comparable in the two groups (Table IV). Data on the QOL scales from the questionnaires were plotted graphically. Representative graphs are illustrated in Fig. 2 for each aspect of QOL. Prior to surgery, the patients in the two groups were comparable with respect to all scales. At 1 month following surgery, the two groups revealed a significant decrease in physical functioning compared to the preoperative status, but this had returned to preoperative values by 3 months' post-surgery. There were no significant changes in emotional functioning following surgery in either of the two groups. Global health status decreased following surgery in group B, however this decrease was not statistically significant. Global health status decreased in group A at 1 month following surgery. This values of this status improved between 3 and 6 months following surgery, and 6 months later it had returned to the preoperative status. The digestive symptoms were significantly more pronounced following the two surgical procedures.

Pain score. Fig. 3 illustrates a graphic description of the pain scores. No significant differences were observed in pain intensity prior to surgery between the two groups. The pain scores were stable over the course of the study for patients in group B. For patients in group A, a significant reduction was observed in pain scores that persisted for 9 months following surgery. At one month following surgery, the pain score indicated a 51% reduction from the baseline in group A (49±20 vs. 24±10, respectively; P<0.001). A total of 3 patients with severe pain who were completely relieved from pain had no pain recurrence prior to mortality.

Discussion

In the present study, 3 and 6% patients suffered from pancreatitis and pancreatic fistulas following surgery in group A, respectively. To minimize postoperative complications, certain measures are used, including intraoperative ultrasound guidance, suturing of pinholes, a segment of omentum placed over the implanted surface of the pancreas and somatostatin analogue treatment following surgery. There was no statistically significant difference in morbidity rates between the two groups. It was demonstrated that the implantation of $^{125}$I seeds did not increase the duration of hospital stay. In addition, the results of the present study suggested that $^{125}$I seed implantation for unresectable pancreatic head cancer is feasible and safe.

Ma et al (26) revealed that $^{125}$I seed implantation effectively inhibited pancreatic tumor growth and reduced tumor volume. $^{125}$I irradiation-induced apoptosis and DNA hypomethylation are two important mechanisms underlying the therapeutic effect of low-energy $^{125}$I seed implantation. In the present study, it was identified that $^{125}$I seed implantation provided more improved tumor responses, however the CR rate was identified to be 0% in group A. Zou et al (27) demonstrated
that intraoperative radiofrequency ablation combined with 
$^{125}$I seed implantation is an effective procedure for the treat-
ment of unresectable pancreatic cancer. The rate of CR and 
PR was 21.8 and 56.3%, respectively. Jin et al. (28) performed 
a study on 22 patients with advanced pancreatic cancer who 
underwent endoscopic ultrasound-guided interstitial implan-
tation of $^{125}$I seeds combined with routine gemcitabine-based 
fluorouracil chemotherapy. Rates of complete and partial 
remission in the 22 patients were reported as 0 and 13.6%, 
respectively. The lower rate of overall response was attrib-
uted to 18 patients with tumor stage III-IV, according to the 
International Union Against Cancer (UICC) classifications 
for pancreatic cancer set up in 2002 (29).

In the present study, it was demonstrated that $^{125}$I seed 
implantation was beneficial for the extension of survival. 
Wang et al. (11) reported that the median survival time for 
$^{125}$I seed implantation alone was 7 months. Du et al. (30) 
reported the long-term effect of gemcitabine-combined 
endoscopic ultrasonography-guided $^{125}$I seed implantation 
in pancreatic cancer. It was demonstrated that the median 
生存 time was 4 months in the seed implantation-only 
group. The median survival times of the two studies (11,30) 
described are shorter compared to the results of the present 
study. These differences may be due to a higher proportion 
of patients with non-metastatic locally advanced tumors in 
the present study.

Table III. Response to treatment of patients with locally advanced unresectable pancreatic head carcinoma.

| Response          | Group A (n=34) | Group B (n=32) | P-value |
|-------------------|---------------|---------------|---------|
| Complete$^a$      | 0 (0)         | 0 (0)         | 0.806   |
| Partial$^b$       | 19 (56)       | 0 (0)         | 0.000   |
| No change$^c$     | 7 (21)        | 5 (16)        | 0.427   |
| Progression$^d$   | 8 (24)        | 27 (84)       | 0.013   |
| Time to progression, months$^e$ | 8±1           | 5±2           | <0.0001 |

Data are presented as ‘number (%)’ or ‘mean ± standard deviation. Group A patients underwent a combination of surgical bypass (biliary and 
gastric bypass) and permanent Iodine-125 seed implantation whereas patients in group B underwent biliary and gastric bypass only.

Figure 2. Comparison of representative scales of quality of life between two groups of patients with locally advanced unresectable pancreatic head carcinoma. Statistical significance are indicated as ‘P<0.001 and ‘P=0.003 for global health status. Error bars indicate standard deviation. Group A patients underwent a combination of surgical bypass (biliary and 
gastric bypass) and permanent Iodine-125 seed implantation whereas patients in group B underwent biliary and gastric bypass only.
Table IV. Compliance to questionnaires during the follow-up of patients with locally advanced unresectable pancreatic head carcinoma.

| Months following surgery | Group A | Group B | P-value |
|--------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Baseline                 | 34/34 (100) | 32/32 (100) | 0.806 |
| 1                        | 30/34 (88) | 27/32 (84) | 0.122 |
| 3                        | 32/34 (94) | 24/28 (86) | 0.233 |
| 6                        | 25/33 (76) | 13/18 (72) | 0.955 |
| 9                        | 19/24 (79) | 5/8 (63)   | 0.116 |
| 12                       | 12/17 (71) | 3/6 (50)   | 0.621 |

Group A patients underwent a combination of surgical bypass (biliary and gastric bypass) and permanent Iodine-125 seed implantation whereas patients in group B underwent biliary and gastric bypass only.

The aim of treatment for patients with unresectable pancreatic cancer is to improve the quality of their remaining life (31). In the present study, the physical function and global health status were demonstrated to decrease following surgery in the two groups. The scores recovered to preoperative levels of QOL within 3 months following surgery. The only exception was global health status, which remained stable in group B. On the symptom scale, digestive symptoms worsened in the two groups. This result may be attributed to: The reconstruction of the digestive tract changes the normal anatomy of upper gut; and/or the radiation of 125I seeds having a negative effect on adjacent organs.

In the present study, a pain score was calculated using a visual analog scale of pain, frequency of pain attacks and pain-associated sick leave. In addition, analgesic medication was applied to quantify pain intensity more distinctly. It was demonstrated that 125I seeds implantation resulted in more precise pain relief.

With the development of therapeutic methods, biliary and digestive stenoses can be endoscopically treated in patients with unresectable pancreatic cancer. However, Ueda et al. (32) compared palliative surgical biliary bypass to endoscopic biliary stenting for unresectable pancreatic cancer, whereby a lower morbidity, lower mortality and more effective long-term palliation was demonstrated in the surgical biliary bypass group. Prophylactic surgical biliary bypass with gastrointestinal bypass may be a good treatment option for non-jaundiced patients undergoing chemotherapy for unresectable pancreatic cancer. Randomized controlled trials have shown prophylactic gastrojejunostomy to significantly decrease the incidence rate of late gastric outlet obstruction without altering the postoperative mortality or morbidity rates, or prolonging hospital stay compared to biliary bypass alone (24,33). Mann et al. (34) demonstrated that surgical combined biliary and gastric bypass offers effective long-term palliation of biliary and gastric outlet obstruction in patients with unresectable malignant disease. Low mortality and morbidity rates suggest that this should be used as a first line therapy in patients who are considered unresectable at laparotomy.

In conclusion, the results of the present study suggest that brachytherapy using 125I seed implantation is feasible, safe and effective for the treatment of patients with unresectable pancreatic cancer. Brachytherapy using 125I seed implantation provides satisfactory QOL and produces adequate pain relief.
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