Physical and Morphological Structure of Chicken Feathers (Keratin Biofiber) in Natural, Chemically and Thermally Modified Forms
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ABSTRACT

Because of the constant challenge to preserve the environment and the search for new materials, a comparative study was carried out using keratin fiber, a fibrous protein, found in the chicken feathers. Five different samples of the feather were analyzed by Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) and X-ray diffractometer (XRD). First in their natural form Keratin Fiber (KF); the second treated with sodium hydroxide (KFNaOH); the third and fourth samples were semi-carbonized at 220°C in an oven without atmospheric control for 24 hours (samples obtained: Clear brown (SCFC) and Dark brown (SCFD)); and the fifth sample was carbonized by pyrolysis Carbonized Feathers (CF). The SEM result shows that the KF has a hollow structure, with knots and hooks. The KFNaOH structure presented rougher than that of the KF, but lost their hooks. The SCFC and SCFD presented brittle structures, but preserved the hollow structure of KF; however, it was only noticeable to a magnification of 3000 times. On the other hand, the CF, was shiny, black, and showed a higher amount of porosity with open micro-POres and micro-tubes, preserved the hollow structure of KF than any other samples studied, and also presented well-defined closed micro-tubes. From the XRD analysis of the KF, CF, KFNaOH, SCFC and SCFD, presented semi-crystalline structures, with the following indices of crystallinity, 20.09%, 18.93%, 17.97%, 15.02% and 14.31%, respectively. The CF presented smaller size crystallites, in between the micro-particlecates, around 27 nm and the KFNaOH with larger size around 74 nm. From this study it was concluded that micro-porous carbon material from chicken feathers (KF) could be efficiently obtained through pyrolysis.
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1. Introduction

The demand by the society and the environment, especially in times of global warming, in recent years the search for the materials of low weight and relatively low cost, durable, and well supplied, is increasing, as good parts of the materials in use are from resources with decreasing availability, nonrenewable and high cost. The fiber of chicken feathers, primarily made up of three separate units. A central axis (rachis with up to 7 cm in length) that are attached to the calamus, the secondary structures (bards from 1 to 4.5 cm depending on its location in the rachis, for example near the base are longer than in the tip) (Figure 1). In a similar manner, the third structure (barbules with length between 0.3 - 0.5 mm) is connected to the barbs and has hooks in its ends, which are best viewed by Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) (Figure 2) [1].

The feather is composed of about 90% of keratin, which has a structure characteristic of materials of high mechanical strength [2,3]. The keratin has α-helix and β-pleated sheet structure (Figure 3), comprising about...
20 amino acids, mainly of cysteine and its structure consists of a central carbon linked to functional groups (amine, -NH₂ and carboxylic acid, -COOH), the hydrogen atoms and the group R (sulfur) [4].

The feathers are sources of natural, renewable, low-cost and hollow structure, which characterizes its low-density (0.8 g/cm³) compared with wool (1.3 g/cm³) and cellulose fibers (1.5 g/cm³) and act as thermal insulators. They possess high flexibility and are hydrophobic, where their structure has high-carbon content. In addition to all these features, it is fibrous, has good compressibility and resiliency, when used in textile products, films and composites [1,2]. Due the length of the chicken feathers, they cannot be processed in textile machinery; however, the feathers need of suitable process for the manufacture of yarns and cloths, in 100% form or mixed with other materials, whether natural or synthetics [1,3].

Besides, they can be modified to improve their properties, by chemical treatment or subjected during the process of “slow pyrolysis” which is one of the heat treatments to obtain carbon under controlled atmosphere, time and temperature, and during the pyrolysis carbonaceous material releases volatile components. Remembering that these organic substances are a waste, which returned to the environment in the form of CO₂ [6].

The protein keratin when heated forms cross-links, while strengthening its structure, it becomes more porous, thus increasing its surface area. The cycle of pyrolysis needed to be performed at the temperature ranges between 400°C - 500°C, and the temperature selected for pyrolysis should be kept constant in the nonoxidizing atmosphere. The carbonaceous material goes through gradual heating, which follows physical and chemical phenomena that generate the development of a solid residue concentrated in carbon and a gaseous part. Above 400°C, release of H₂ with the breaking up of the C=C and C-H groups occur. In an oxidative atmosphere, there is a loss of mass is higher at temperatures below 300°C compared to a controlled atmosphere. Above 300°C the thermal degradation occurs independently of an oxidative atmosphere, however in an inert atmosphere this process is mitigated [7,8].

The carbon has applications in the form of coke for the steel and iron industries. It furthermore, applies in industry of printing inks; paper, plastics, paint, manufacturing of carbon brushes for engines and as an insulator, among other applications. From of the carbon can be made tubular microstructures (with graphene layers perfectly arranged and wrapped), and its unique properties of carbon fibers have expanded the science and technology of composite materials in recent decades. The carbon fiber and its variant of smaller size (carbon nanofibers) are among the short carbon fibers that have attracted much attention, due to its properties, such as thermal, electrical, frequency shielding, and mechanical. They are increasingly being used for different systems of materials, such as composites, thanks to their exceptional properties and low cost [9-11].

Therefore, it is necessary to determine experimentally the various parameters, which reflect the efficiency of new materials obtained by characterizations of different techniques. Among them the microstructure analysis, which can be performed by SEM, because it allows greater visualization of the microstructure of the material and the mineralogical analysis by X-ray diffraction (XRD), which is the most indicated to determine the crystalline phases in materials, mainly due to its reliability of the results [12].

2. Materials and Methods
2.1. Materials

The raw material used were chicken feathers Keratin Fiber (KF), originated from the poultry industry located in the city of Natal/RN-Brazil, representing zero costs. All the other samples were prepared using the feathers, according to the methodology in reference [13]. By chemical treatment with a 2% aqueous solution of sodium hy-
droxide (KFNaOH) and subsequently washed to remove any traces of NaOH. And by termic treatments: semi-carbonized in conventional oven without control atmospheric, at 220°C for 24 hours, causing different colors, clear brown (SCFC) and dark brown (SCFD) and carbonized by pyrolysis in tubular furnace, under flow of argon, in temperatures of 220°C for 24 h, and 450°C for one hour, creating a homogeneous material, black and bright (CF) (Figure 4).

All the samples were characterized by the techniques: SEM and XRD, for comparative study and determining the efficiency of the proposed study.

3. Results and Discussions

3.1. Morphological Structure

The morphological characteristics of the samples are shown in Figures 5-7. The crushed KF remains intact, a structure with knots and hooks, comparing with the whole KF (Figures 5(a) and (b)) [16].

The KFNaOH, lost their hooks and presented a rougher surface which is characteristic of increased porosity in relation to the KF, Figure 5(c).

2.2. Morphological Structure

Whole feather in its natural, crushed and modified forms were morphologically analyzed by SEM at the Nucleus for Studies in Petroleum and Gas (NEPGN) of UFRN which is financed by projects CTPETRO-INFRA, FINEP/ LIEP. The equipment used was the XL-30-ESEM, brand: PHILIPS.

2.3. Mineralogical Analysis

The mineralogical analysis was performed by XRD, by the dust method, to identify the crystalline phases at the Center of Technologies of Gas and Renewable Energy (CTGas-ER). The equipment used was the XRD-600 brand: SHIMADZU. The scanning was made between the angles 2θ (θ—Bragg’s angle of diffraction) = 5 - 80, using the radiation of CuKα with γ 1.5418 Å and speed of 2/min and step of 0.02°.

The parameters: Theta (angle of incidence), d (spacing between the parallel planes, in angstroms) of the peaks of crystallinity of the samples were identified by software (X’Pert High Score) to measure the diameters (D) of the crystallites in each peak, by the relation of Scherrer, Equation (1). Where, K—constant which depends on the shape of the particles (sphere or when it is not known = 0.94 or 0.9), λ—wavelength of X-ray, emissions of the Cu tubes (λ = 1.54 Å), θ, β (2θ) —width at half the height of the diffraction peak in radians [14,15].

\[ D_{ab} = \frac{K\lambda}{\beta\cos(\theta)} \]  

3. Results and Discussions

The morphological characteristics of the samples are shown in Figures 5-7. The crushed KF remains intact, a structure with knots and hooks, comparing with the whole KF (Figures 5(a) and (b)) [16].

The KFNaOH, lost their hooks and presented a rougher surface which is characteristic of increased porosity in relation to the KF, Figure 5(c).

The oxidized and irregular particles, Figure 6(a), SCFC and SCFD, presented the similar morphological structure, although they have different colorations. A brittle structure was observed with fragments adhered to its surface, with cracks, protein degradation, which is due to oxidation of the material, and formation of overlapping layers, Figures 6(b)-(d). The hollow structure of KF was preserved, however, is only noticeable to a magnification of 3000 times, Figures 6(c) and (d).

The oxidized and irregular particles, Figure 6(a), SCFC and SCFD, presented the similar morphological structure, although they have different colorations. A brittle structure was observed with fragments adhered to its surface, with cracks, protein degradation, which is due to oxidation of the material, and formation of overlapping layers, Figures 6(b)-(d). The hollow structure of KF was preserved, however, is only noticeable to a magnification of 3000 times, Figures 6(c) and (d).
Figure 6. Micrographs of (a) SCFC at 50; (b) SCFD at 2000; (c) SCFC with 3000; and (d) SCFD at 3000× magnification.

Figure 7. Micrographs of CF (a), (b), (c) and (d) in 50 and (e), (f), (g) and (h) in 500, 1000, 2000 and 5000, magnification respectively.
It is possible to observe, Figure 7, the shiny particles of CF with different sizes, homogeneous parts. Increase in porosity is observed, which represents crystalline regions or the removal of chemical elements of the original structure, with multiple micro-pores with diameters apparently regular and small, Figure 7(b). It was observed in Figure 7(c), that the CF shows habitual, open and un-open micro-tubes. The un-open micro-tubes of smaller sizes make the difference, in relation to other samples, because confer greater resistance [16].

In Figures 7(d)-(h), it has been seen that the micrographs of CF in magnifications of 50, 500, 1000, 2000 and 5000×, respectively to a better view of the microstructures, which in addition to preserve, in some regions, the integrity of hollow axes of KF, with open micro-tubes uneven or not presented a scaly structure, overlapping layers, overlapping tubes and circular and uneven and homogeneous particles.

By comparing the particle size of all the samples, the micrographs show, that they have equivalent sizes in micrometers, for example, for a magnification of 2000 times, Figures 5(a) and (b), 6(b) and 7(g), they have a size of 10 micrometers, and are considered particulate reinforcements [17].

3.2. Mineralogical Analysis

The KF (Figure 8(a)) exhibits three narrow peaks around $2\theta = 38^\circ$, $64^\circ$ and $77^\circ$, which corresponds to the interplanary spacings of 2.38231 Å, 1.44719 Å and 1.23161 Å, and relative intensity (%) of 9.97%, 43.12% and 50.54% respectively, related to 100% of crystallinity to peak $4^\circ$, that besides being narrow, it is the most intense, around $44^\circ$, which corresponds to the interplanary spacing of 2.05916. These effects are caused by the presence of crystalline regions within the sample. However, the existence of the reflection band around $2\theta = 10^\circ$ and $20^\circ$, clearly shows that the material may have an amorphous region.

For the KFNaOH, it was observed that the characteristic peaks of the KF around $2\theta = 44^\circ$, $64^\circ$ and $77^\circ$ are preserved, but its intensities are reduced, Figure 8(b), indicating a reduction in the crystallinity (compared with Figure 8(a)).

Already the diffraction of SCFC and SCFD, show that the KF loses its crystalline regions, presenting 2 peaks and 3 peaks of very short intensity, respectively, found around $64^\circ$ and $77^\circ$ to the SCFC and around $44^\circ$, $64^\circ$ and $77^\circ$ to the SCFD. Being evidenced amorphous phases with the existence of reflection band in positions around $2\theta = 20^\circ$, which demonstrates that the materials may have amorphous phases in almost its entirety, Figures 8(c) and (d).

Figure 8(e) shows that the diffractograms of CF, where the diffraction patterns are similar to KF. However
By comparative study, the CF presented structures with micro-pores and smaller size crystallites. It was also more efficient to preserve the semi-crystalline structure and the hollow micro-tubes of KF, but has lost knots and hooks, on the other hand, gained multilayer and closed micro-tubes and, consequently, increased the carbon-carbon links, which offer greater resistance to particulate composites. It was verified that the KFNaOH lost their hooks, have larger crystallites and lower crystallinity compared to KF and CF, but increased the surface porosity compared to KF. Due to oxidation of SCFC and SCFD, presented structures that are amorphous and brittle in their totality.

However, for the characterization of the materials studied, it is noted that the pyrolysis of waste obtained from chicken feathers in their natural form, is an efficient means for obtaining carbon with high specific area, for these conditions and this route of synthesis. The materials analyzed in this work are very promising because of their structures. In particular, the CF will enable future studies in the manufacture of carbon fibers of low-cost and in the search for its variant of smaller size (carbon nanotubes) in its structure, which has attracted much attention for improvements to thermal and mechanical property. Allied to these properties, which have expanded the science and technology of composite materials in recent decades and the environmental issue, in which millions of tons of chicken feathers are discarded as trash, annually, the proposed work offers economic and environmental benefits, and its marketing will contribute to be global sustainability.

5. Acknowledgements

The authors wish to express their gratitude to the projects CTPETRO-INFRA I and FINEPNEP/LIEM, NUPEG laboratory—UFRN, the Capes and the Post-graduate Program in Mechanical Engineering—UFRN.

REFERENCES

[1] N. Reddy and Y. Yang, “Structure and Properties of Chicken Feather Barbs as Natural Protein Fibers,” Journal of Polymers and the Environment, Vol. 1, No. 1, 2007, pp. 81-87. doi:10.1007/s10924-007-0054-7

[2] G. R. P. Moore, S. M. Martelli, C. A. Gandolfo, A. T. N. Pires and J. B. Laurindo, “Queratina de Penas de Frango: Extração, Caracterização e Obtenção de Filmes,” Ciência e Tecnologia de Alimentos, Vol. 26, No. 2, 2006, pp. 421-427. doi:10.1590/S0101-20612006000200027

[3] M. K. Campbell, “Bioquimica,” 3th Edition, Artes Medicas Sul-Sul/Biomed, 2006.

[4] A. L. M. Hernandez and C. V. Santos, “Keratin Fibers from Chicken-Feathers: Structure and Advances in Polymer Composites,” In: R. Dullaart, et al., Eds., Keratin
Physical and Morphological Structure of Chicken Feathers (Keratin Biofiber) in Natural, Chemically and Thermally Modified Forms

[5] M. A. Meyers, P. Chen, A. Y. Lin and Y. Seki, “Biological Materials: Structure and Mechanical Properties,” Elsevier, Vol. 53, No. 1, 2008, pp. 1-206. doi:10.1016/j.pmatsci.2007.05.002

[6] H. B. Goyal, D. Seal and R. C. Saxena, “Bio-Fuels from Thermochemical Conversion of Renewable Resources: A Review,” Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, Vol. 12, No. 2, 2008, pp. 504-517. doi:10.1016/j.rser.2006.07.014

[7] O. Sandru, “Carbonized Chicken Feathers Better Than Carbon Nanotubes at Storing Hydrogen,” The Green Optimistic, 2009. http://www.greenoptimistic.com/2009/06/25/carbonized-chicken-feathers-hydrogen-storage/

[8] A. V. Maciel, “Estudo dos Processos de Redução Carbótérmica de Compostos de Zn, Cd e Sn Assistidos pela Co-pirólise de Diferentes Biomassas Para a Obtenção de Materiais Nanoestruturados,” Ph.D. Thesis, Federal University of Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, 2010. http://www.bibliotecadigital.ufmg.br/dspace/bitstream/1843/SFSA-8CSNAF/1/tese_quimica_adriana_veloso_maciel.pdf

[9] E. Hammel, et al., “Carbon Nanofibers for Composite Applications,” Carbon, Vol. 42, No. 5-6, 2004, pp. 1153-1158. doi:10.1016/j.carbon.2003.12.043

[10] P. Morgan, “Carbon Fibers and Their Composites,” Taylor & Francis, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2005. doi:10.1201/9781420028744

[11] G. G. Tibbetts, M. L. Lakea, K. L. Strongb and B. P. Rice, “A Review of the Fabrication and Properties of Vapor-Grown Carbon Nanofiber/Polymer Composites,” Composites Science and Technology, Vol. 67 No. 7-8, 2007, pp. 1709-1718. doi:10.1016/j.compscitech.2006.06.015

[12] R. Piccoli, A. Mexias, R. Figueira, O. Montedo and F. Bertan, “Características das Principais Técnicas Analíticas Aplicadas à Caracterização de Materiais,” Brazilian Congress of Engineering and Materials Science, 17, Characterisation of Materials, Metallum, Foz do Iguaçu, Vol. 102, 2006, p. 28. http://www.metallum.com.br/17cbecimat/resumos/17cbecimat-102-026.pdf

[13] Belarmino, et al., “Estudo da Estabilidade Térmica de Fibra de Queratina—Keratin Fibre (KF) de Penas de Frangogpara Obtenção de Carbono—CarbonisedFatehrs (CF),” Holos Revista, Vol. 3, No. 3, 2012. http://www2.ifrn.edu.br/ojs/index.php/HOLOS/article/view/896

[14] D. C. F. Moreira, F. A. Sigoli and I. O. Mazali, “Avaliação da Influência da Cristalinidade sobre a Determinação do Tamanho de Cristalito do Óxido-semi-Condutor SnO2,” XIX Congress of Chemistry Institute, State University of Campinas, Campinas. http://www.prp.unicamp.br/pubic/congressos/xixcongresso/painete/070632.pdf

[15] M. Munaro, “Stroke Development of Polyethylene Blends with Improved Performance for Use in Power Sector,” Ph.D. Thesis, Federal University of Paraná, Curitiba, 2007. http://www.pipe.ufpr.br/portal/defesas/tese/018.pdf

[16] I. F. Gimenez, O. P. Ferreira and O. L. Alves, “Desenvolvimento de Ecomateriais: Materiais Porosos Para Aplicação Em Green Chemistry (Química Verde),” State University of Campinas, Campinas. http://lqes.iqm.unicamp.br/images/publicacoes_teses_livros/resumo_nanoecomateriais.pdf

[17] A. M. F. M. Ventura, “Os Compostos e a Sua Aplicação Na Reabilitação de Estruturas Metálicas,” Ciência & Tecnologia dos Materiais, Vol. 21, No. 3-4, 2009, pp. 10-19. http://www.scielo.gpeari.mctes.pt/scielo.php?pid=S0870-831220090000200003&script=sci_arttext

[18] R. K. Guptaam, E. Kennel and K. Kim, “Polymer Nanocomposites Handbook,” Taylor & Francis, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2009.