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Abstract
Introduction: The development of an effective vaccine to protect against HIV is a longstanding global health need complicated by challenges inherent to HIV biology and to the execution of vaccine efficacy testing in the context of evolving biomedical prevention interventions. This review describes lessons learnt from previous efficacy trials, highlights unanswered questions, and surveys new approaches in vaccine development addressing these gaps.

Methods: We conducted a targeted peer-reviewed literature search of articles and conference abstracts from 1989 through 2021 for HIV vaccine studies and clinical trials. The US National Library of Medicine’s Clinical Trials database was accessed to further identify clinical trials involving HIV vaccines. The content of the review was also informed by the authors’ own experience and engagement with collaborators in HIV vaccine research.

Discussion: The HIV vaccine field has successfully developed multiple vaccine platforms through advanced clinical studies; however, the modest efficacy signal of the RV144 Thai trial remains the only demonstration of HIV vaccine protection in humans. Current vaccine strategies include prime-boost strategies to improve elicitation of immune correlates derived from RV144, combination mosaic antigens, novel viral vectors, antigens designed to elicit broadly neutralizing antibody, new nucleic acid platforms and potent adjuvants to enhance immunogenicity across multiple classes of emerging vaccine candidates.

Conclusions: HIV vaccine developers have applied lessons learnt from previous successes and failures to innovative vaccine design approaches. These strategies have yielded novel mosaic antigen constructs now in efficacy testing, produced a diverse pipeline of early-stage immunogens and novel adjuvants, and advanced the field towards a globally effective HIV vaccine.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) remains a widespread and compelling global health threat with 38 million people living with HIV and 1.7 million new cases in 2019 [1]. Global deployment of antiretroviral therapy and an increasing armamentarium of non-vaccine HIV prevention tools are being employed to combat the epidemic, but, as discussed elsewhere in this special issue, an effective vaccine will likely be needed to end it [2]. Many technologic advances and research capabilities of the HIV vaccine field have been leveraged rapidly to develop highly successful SARS-CoV-2 vaccines; however, among HIV vaccine efficacy trials completed to date, the RV144 Thai trial remains the only study to demonstrate a positive signal with an estimated efficacy of 31% at 3.5 years [3]. We will review current approaches to improve on that result, including vaccine candidates in efficacy trials as well as platforms in earlier stages of clinical development.

2 | METHODS

We conducted a targeted peer-reviewed literature search employing PubMed/MEDLINE to access material from 1989 to 2021 and utilized the keywords HIV and/or vaccine, trimer, broadly neutralizing antibody (bNAb), mRNA, adjuvant. The U.S. National Library of Medicine’s Clinical Trials database was searched to further identify HIV vaccine clinical trials. The review was informed by the authors’ own experience in the HIV vaccine field and was completed on 18 March 2021.

3 | DISCUSSION

3.1 | Challenges to HIV vaccine development

Development of a globally effective HIV vaccine faces manifold challenges. HIV’s characteristic sequence diversity poses a formidable obstacle, with circulating strains differing from
one another by approximately 20% in relatively conserved proteins and up to 35% in the envelope (Env) [4,5]. HIV has multiple transmission routes, including intravenous, vaginal or intrarectal sexual transmission, all of which result in widespread systemic viral dissemination within one to two weeks post exposure [6–10], seeding long-lived cellular populations [11,12] and immune-privileged anatomic reservoir sites [13–16]. HIV envelope glycans, critical for Env folding and cleavage during maturation [17–19], also enable HIV to evade the immune system by hiding epitopes [20]. Furthermore, HIV proteins such as Vif and Vpu antagonize host viral restriction factors [21–25].

In addition to technical obstacles, HIV vaccine efficacy testing is challenged by logistical considerations and advancements in non-vaccine prevention modalities such as pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP), which must be reflected in the design and conduct of efficacy trials. Ethical considerations for incorporating PrEP into preventive HIV vaccine efficacy trials are further discussed in the commentary by Slack, et al. in this issue [26].

However, despite the rapidly evolving virus and prevention landscape, the HIV vaccine field has developed multiple candidates through the successful implementation of efficacy trials (Table 1), demonstrated initial efficacy with RV144, and is now advancing a panoply of new vaccine design approaches into the clinic. These accomplishments highlight the feasibility and promise of a licensed HIV vaccine to end the epidemic.

3.2 Early vaccine efficacy trials

3.2.1 VAX003 and VAX004

The first Phase 3 HIV vaccine efficacy trials were VAX003 and VAX004, which both utilized a bivalent protein formulation with alum adjuvant. VAX003 participants were persons who inject drugs in Thailand and utilized bivalent subtype B and AE proteins [27,28], and VAX004 enrolled men who have sex with men (MSM) and women at risk for heterosexual acquisition of HIV in the Americas with bivalent subtype B proteins [29,30]. In both trials, the vaccines did not prevent HIV infection, and there was no significant effect on viral load, CD4+ T-cell count, or disease progression [31], suggesting that bivalent protein vaccination alone is insufficient to induce protective efficacy.

3.2.2 STEP (HVTN 502) and Phambili (HVTN 503) trials

Results of the VAX003 and VAX004 trials prompted a shift to strategies for eliciting HIV-specific T-cell responses with vector-based vaccines. The STEP trial evaluating a replication defective adenovirus serotype 5 (Ad5) vectored vaccine enrolled MSM, sex workers, and participants with elevated heterosexual risk in the Americas and Australia [32]. The trial was halted by the Data Safety Monitoring Board (DSMB) after initial data showed an increase in HIV infection among uncircumcised males and/or Ad5 seropositive vaccinees. Interim analysis revealed an infection rate in per protocol male vaccinees double that of placebo recipients. The Phambili trial, which enrolled participants in the Republic of South Africa (RSA) [33] was terminated early based on the results of the STEP trial, and preliminary data revealed a higher incidence of HIV infection in the vaccinated group compared to placebo, without impact on viral load or disease progression. In vitro experiments demonstrated that Ad5-specific CD4+ T cells are highly susceptible to HIV infection [34], and that these cells are preferentially lost in HIV-1-positive individuals [35]. These studies raised important questions about pre-existing anti-vector immunity and concern about the use of Ad5 vectored vaccines where Ad5 is prevalent.

3.2.3 RV144 Thai trial

RV144, performed by the United States Army and the Thai Ministry of Public Health with support from the National Institutes of Health (NIH), was conducted from 2003 to 2009 in Rayong and Chon Buri provinces and enrolled over 16,000 participants at relatively low heterosexual risk for HIV infection. This was the first efficacy trial employing a pox-protein prime-boost strategy: ALVAC-HIV (vCP1521), a recombinant canarypox vector, was boosted with the bivalent protein AIDSVAX B/E adsorbed to aluminium hydroxide aiming to elicit both cellular and humoral responses. The regimen showed 60% efficacy at 12 months post immunization; however, this waned to 31% at 3.5 years [36]. Despite the modest result, RV144 rekindled hope in the feasibility of an effective HIV vaccine and raised the central question of improving durability of protection.

Extensive analyses enabled identification of immune correlates of risk from RV144 (Table 2) [37–45]. Importantly, the vaccine did not elicit neutralizing antibodies, opening the door to investigate non-neutralizing mechanisms of protection. IgG antibody binding to the V1V2 region of envelope correlated inversely with the rate of HIV acquisition, and binding of plasma IgA antibodies to envelope correlated directly with the rate of infection. The correlates analysis also indicated that avidity of IgG for envelope, antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC) and phagocytosis (ADCP), and Env-specific CD4+ T cells were inversely correlated with risk of infection. Subsequent sieve analysis revealed that the vaccine caused selective effects on the V2 region in breakthrough viruses [45].

3.2.4 HVTN 505 trial

The next efficacy results reported were those of HVTN 505, which was designed to answer questions about Ad5 vectors left outstanding by the STEP/Phambili results. It was conducted in the United States in Ad5 sero-negative men and transgender females who have sex with men to test a DNA/rAd5 prime-boost regimen, inclusive of Env, Gag, Pol and Nef immunogens [46]. Of note, the V1V2 regions were deleted from the subtype B Env gene in this formulation. The DSMB halted the trial after the first interim analysis revealed that the vaccine did not prevent infection nor reduce HIV viral load [47]. Post hoc analysis of HVTN 505 revealed that ADCP, binding to FcγRIIa, and HIV-1 Env IgG3 correlated to reduced acquisition risk of HIV [48]. Additional work revealed that certain polymorphisms of FcγR modulate HIV acquisition after
Table 1. Summary of HIV vaccine efficacy trials

| Study                | Immunogen                                                                 | Adjuvant               | Schedule   | Location/study population                           | Date                  | Efficacy | Reference |
|----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|------------|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------|-----------|
| VAX003 (NCT00006327) | AIDSVAX B/E (subtype B - MN; subtype AE - A244 rgp120)                  | Aluminium hydroxide   | M 0/1/6/12/18/24/30 | Thailand/persons who inject drugs                    | March 1999 to August 2000 | No       | [27,28,31]|
| VAX004 (NCT0002441)  | AIDSVAX B/B (subtype B - MN and GNE8 rgp120)                             | Aluminium hydroxide   | M 0/1/6/12/18/24/30 | North America, Netherlands/MSM and high-risk women | 2001 to 2003           | No       | [29–31]   |
| STEP HVTN 502        | Ad5 expressing subtype B Gag (CAM-1), Pol (IIIB), Nef (JR-FL)            |                        | M 0/1/2/6   | Americas, Australia/MSM and high-risk heterosexual men and women | December 2004 to March 2007 | No       | [32,34,35]|
| Phambili HVTN 503 (NCT00413725) | Ad5 expressing subtype B Gag (CAM-1), Pol (IIIB), Nef (JR-FL) |                        | M 0/1/2/6   | Republic of South Africa/heterosexual men and women | January 2007 to September 2007 | No       | [33]      |
| RV144 (NCT00223080)  | ALVAC-HIV (vCP1521) Aluminium hydroxide                                  |                        | M 0/1/3/6   | Thailand/relatively low-risk men and women          | October 2003 to July 2009 | Yes      | 31%       |
| HVTN 505 (NCT00865566) | 6 DNA plasmids - subtype B Gag, Pol, Nef and subtypes A, B and C Env | Aluminium hydroxide   | D 0/28/56/168 | USA/Ad5 seronegative MSM                           | May 2009 to October 2017 | No       | [46–49]   |
| HVTN 702 (NCT02968849) | ALVAC-HIV (vCP2438) MF59 expressing Gag and Pro (subtype B LAI), subtype C gp120 (ZM96.C) linked to transmembraneanchoring portion of gp41 (LAI) | Aluminium phosphate   | M 0/1/3/6/12 (18) | Republic of South Africa/heterosexual men and women | October 2016 to September 2021 | No       |           |
| IMBOKODO HVTN 705 (NCT03060629) | Ad26.Mos4.HIV Subtype C gp140                                            | Aluminium phosphate   | M 0/3/6/12   | RSA, Malawi, Mozambique, Zambia, Zimbabwe/women     | November 2017 to (Proj) July 2022 |          |           |

(Continued)
### Table 1. Continued

| Study | Immunogen | Adjuvant | Schedule | Location/study population | Date | Efficacy Reference |
|-------|-----------|----------|----------|---------------------------|------|-------------------|
| MOSAICO HVTN 706 (NCT03964415) | Ad26.Mos4.HIV Subtype C gp140 or bivalent gp140 (subtype C/Mosaic) | Aluminium phosphate | M 0/3/6/12 | US, Latin America, Italy, Spain/cis-gender men and transgender individuals who have sex with cis-gender men and/or transgender Individuals | October 2019 to (Proj) January 2023 | |
| PrEPVacc (NCT04066881) | DNA-HIV-PT123 plasmid and AIDSVAX B/E or DNA-HIV-PT123 plasmid with trimeric CN54gp140; MVA-CMDR (Chang Mai double recombinant) and trimeric CN54gp140 Concurrent PrEP administration of either TAF/FTC or TDF/FTC | Aluminium hydroxide or MPLA | M 0/1/6/12 | Uganda, Tanzania, Mozambique, Republic of South Africa/men and women | (Proj) January 2020 to (Proj) March 2023 | |
| AMP Study HVTN 703/HPTN 081 (NCT02568215) | Monoclonal antibody VRC01 | | M 0/2/4/6/8/10/12/14/16/18 | (HVTN 703) Botswana, Kenya, Malawi, Mozambique, Republic of South Africa, Tanzania, Zimbabwe/women (HVTN 704) US, Brazil, Peru, Switzerland/women | (HVTN 703) April 2016 to December 2020 (HVTN 704) May 2016 to March 2021 | [76] |

Abbreviations: Proj, projected; MSM; men who have sex with men; RSA, Republic of South Africa; US, United States.

vaccination, demonstrating the potential for host genetics to impact vaccine efficacy [49].

### 3.3 Follow-up trials building on RV144

#### 3.3.1 RV305, RV306 and RV328 trials

With the observation of waning immunity and time-dependent efficacy in RV144 [3,36], two early-phase trials examined strategies to improve durability of responses by boosting with vaccine regimen components. RV305 enrolled vaccinated individuals without HIV from RV144 after six to eight years, evaluating two immunizations of ALVAC-HIV, AIDSVAX B/E, or both compared to placebo. ALVAC-HIV boosting alone did not induce significant titers against any of the HIV antigens examined. Those who received ALVAC-HIV plus AIDSVAX B/E or AIDSVAX B/E alone had IgG responses against gp120 and gp70-V1V2 at levels significantly higher than peak immunogenicity in RV144, indicating that the priming RV144 vaccination series six to eight years earlier had evoked memory responses [50]. Interestingly, the magnitude of those increases was higher after the initial late boost at month 0 than after the second late boost at month 6, suggesting that the duration of the boosting interval influences responses. Repeated boosting induced antibodies with qualities of
bNAbs such as greater somatic hypermutation and longer immunoglobulin heavy-chain complementarity-determining region 3 (HCDR3) length [51]. However, an additional delayed boost skewed responses towards the IgG4 subclass, associated with reduced non-neutralizing function (unpublished data). Therefore, boosting repeatedly with the same antigen may fall short in achieving durably functional immune responses. This trial also confirmed that similar HIV-specific antibody responses were present in cervicovaginal and rectal secretions and semen, which, in RV144, may have impacted early viral pathogenesis, resulting in prevention of infection or interruption of critical steps early in acquisition [52].

RV306 recapitulated the RV144 regimen and added boost immunizations with either AIDSVAX B/E (12 months) or ALVAC-HIV/AIDSVAX B/E (12, 15 or 18 months) to determine optimal boost timing. The impact of late boosting was demonstrated by lower plasma IgG responses at 24 months in those receiving the boost at 12 months compared to those receiving the immunizations at 15 and 18 months. Delayed boosting also increased CD4+ T-cell functionality and polyfunctionality [53].

### 3.3.3 | HVTN 702 Uhambo efficacy trial

Uhambo, the HVTN 702 Phase 2b/3 study in RSA, began in 2016 and enrolled participants at risk for HIV. These participants were sexually active men and women aged 18 to 35 and were offered the local HIV-prevention standard of care, including access to PrEP. In February 2020, interim analysis results revealed that there was no significant evidence of decreased or increased infection rates associated with the vaccine regimen. The analysis was performed with 2694 vaccinees and 2689 placebo recipients, with 129 HIV infections among the vaccinees and 2689 placebo recipients, with 129 HIV infections among the placebo recipients. Given this lack of efficacy, The National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) halted the trial at the recommendation of the DSMB.

HVTN 702 was highly successful operationally; however, the results indicating the vaccine failed to reproduce or amplify the efficacy of RV144 were disappointing. To understand this outcome, it is useful to examine the differences between the two studies (Table 3).

First, the targeted viruses and populations were both very different. RV144 was conducted in a CRF01_AE predominant region, and HVTN 702 was conducted where subtype C virus circulates. Also, the population studied in Thailand was at dramatically lower risk for infection, as the HIV incidence was almost 15-fold lower in Thailand (0.28%) compared to RSA (approximately 4%). Differences in host genetics of the populations participating in the clinical trials may have played a role [57]. Critically, the vaccine components also differed substantially between the regimens: both the ALVAC inserts and the protein boosts differed. The amount of bivalent protein administered also varied, with a dose of 600 μg in RV144 and

### Table 2. Correlates of HIV protection determined in RV144

| Antibody binding to Env | Reference |
|-------------------------|-----------|
| IgG to V2               | [37,38]   |
| IgG to V2 and V3        | [39]      |
| IgG3 to V1/V2           | [40]      |
| Avidity of IgG for Env  | [37]      |
| Low IgA                 | [41]      |
| Antibody functions      |           |
| IgG mediated ADCC       | [42]      |
| IgG3 associated ADCC and ADCP | [43] |
| Lower IgA enables ADCC function | [41] |
| Cellular                |           |
| Polyclonal Env-specific CD4+ T cells | [44] |

### Table 3. Summary of RV144 and HVTN 702 efficacy trials

| RV144 | HVTN 702 |
|-------|---------|
| Viral subtype              | AE       |
| Viral diversity            | Relatively homogenous |
| Population                 | HIV risk/incidence |
| Host genetics              | Thai     |
| Vaccine                    | Adjuvant |
| ALVAC insert               | Subtype AE/AA |
| Protein boost              | Bivalent AE/B |
| Protein dose               | Higher   |
| Dosing schedule            | M 0/1/3/6 |

---

**Note:** RV144 and HVTN 702 were conducted in different regions, with different populations and virus subtypes, which could affect the efficacy results.
200 μg in HVTN 702. The lower dose of the bivalent gp120 was administered due to the use of MF59, which contrasted with the aluminium hydroxide used in RV144.

In considering the discrepant outcomes of these studies, the critical question to be answered is - what provides protection? For example, the implications of using a different adjuvant may be significant: a non-human primate (NHP) study examined MF59 versus aluminium hydroxide. The study standardized the baseline risk of infection, challenge virus and other vaccine components. Protection was observed only among animals receiving aluminium hydroxide - containing immunizations. Although higher plasma antibody titers were observed with MF59, they did not protect from acquisition, and mucosal antibodies were the outcome that correlated with protection [58]. This study underscores that higher magnitude of immune responses does not necessarily correspond to better protection and that the quality and location of the response is important. Also, genes expressed after vaccination may play a role in protection. The RV144 vaccine regimen strongly induced the IFNγ pathway, more specifically the activation of IRF7, which was associated with reduced risk of acquisition of infection [59].

Although HVTN 702 was unsuccessful protecting against HIV acquisition, investigators can further examine factors that may have impacted infection within the study population. To inform subsequent progress in HIV vaccine development, it is critical to understand correlates of risk from HVTN 702 across immune and anatomic compartments. These findings can then provide insight for approaching the current diversity of strategies being undertaken to achieve a successful HIV vaccine (Table 4).

3.3.4 | HVTN 111 trial

While HVTN 100 (and subsequently HVTN 702) adopted a pox-protein approach to parallel RV144, HVTN 111 adopted a DNA priming approach, employing a subtype C DNA prime (DNA-HIV-PT123) with the same bivalent subtype C gp120 boost used in the HVTN 100 regimen. Evaluating strategies for enhancing RV144 correlates of protection, Moodie et al. examined antibody and cellular responses of both HVTN 100 and HVTN 111 [60]. Although both the ALVAC and DNA priming regimens induced high binding and neutralizing titers, the DNA prime induced significantly higher CD4+ T-cell response rates compared to the ALVAC prime. Interestingly, the vaccinees from HVTN 111 had higher magnitude of binding antibodies to the V1V2 region and had higher neutralizing responses to tier-1 viruses compared to the HVTN 100 vaccinees. This study highlighted the potential for DNA priming to enhance the magnitude of elicited immune responses in protein-boosted HIV vaccine regimens.

3.4 | New approaches for an HIV vaccine

3.4.1 | Ad26 mosaic trials

The Ad26 mosaic vaccine program developed by Janssen Pharmaceuticals has combined viral vectors (adenovirus serotype 26 or modified vaccinia Ankara - MVA), protein boosts and immunogen sequences optimized to address global HIV diversity in the creation of polyvalent “mosaic” antigens. Fischer et al. explained the approach for designing antigens that focus on eliciting T-lymphocyte responses as well as neutralizing antibodies by including Env as part of the design [61]. The mosaic antigens are generated from natural sequences, which include common potential epitopes, while excluding rare sequences.

The clinical use of these mosaic antigens was informed by a series of NHP studies [62–64], and the initial regimen selection clinical trial for this platform was the APPROACH study. This Phase 1/2 trial assessed seven regimens containing Ad26 or MVA vectors expressing mosaic antigens, with some groups boosted with high or low doses of gp140 adsorbed to aluminium phosphate. All regimens were safe and well tolerated [65], and binding antibody responses to autologous clade C gp140 was detected in all groups following the second prime immunization at month 3. After the first boost immunization at month 6, most groups maintained 100% antibody response. The groups that received the gp140 boost had the highest ELISA antibody titers, the magnitude of which was dose-dependent. In comparison to the gp140-only group, the inclusion of either Ad26,Mos.HIV or MVA-mosaic increased responses. The utility of the mosaic antigens was demonstrated by the ability to elicit binding IgG responses to cross-clade transmitted/founder Envs, Envs isolated from chronically infected individuals, and to consensus sequence Envs that were similar to the vaccine homologous responses [65]. Similar to RV144 vaccinees, a substantial number of subjects showed responses to gp70-V1V2 antigens and the IgG subclasses elicited were IgG1 and IgG3. The highest ADCP responses were observed in the gp140-boosted groups, particularly those primed with a viral vector. Serum neutralizing activity was only detected against tier-1 HIV variants [65].

In parallel with the APPROACH study, an NHP study (13-19) was conducted and observed similar immunogenicity. All animals underwent six intrarectal challenges with SHIV- SF162P3, with the Ad26,Mos.HIV/gp140 (aluminium phosphate) group demonstrating the most favourable results: eight out of twelve animals were protected from the entire series of challenges, demonstrating a 94% reduction in per acquisition risk and 66% complete protection [65].

Four more clinical trials have built on these initial findings: TRAVERSE, IMBOKODO, ASCENT, and MOSAICO. TRAVERSE is a Phase 1/2a study that assessed the safety and immunogenicity of a trivalent Ad26,Mos.HIV compared to a tetravalent Ad26,Mos4.HIV prime in the context of a subtype C gp140 boost adjuvanted with aluminium phosphate. All vaccinees developed gp140 binding IgG antibodies after two immunizations of either the trivalent or tetravalent formulations; however, the tetravalent formulation elicited higher total IgG geometric mean titers, ADCP function and Env-specific CD4+ T-cell response rates were also improved with the tetravalent vaccine [65], and the Ad26,Mos4.HIV formulation has advanced into the IMBOKODO proof-of-concept efficacy trial. This Phase 2b study conducted in southern Africa has completed enrollment with women at risk for HIV, and the primary completion date is projected for 2022.

ASCENT is a Phase 1/2a study that assessed the Ad26,Mos4.HIV prime with either a monovalent subtype C gp140 boost or a bivalent combination of subtype C gp140 and mosaic gp140. Both regimen boosts were adjuvanted...
| Study                  | Phase | Immunogen                                                                 | Adjuvant                  | Schedule       | Location/study population       | Date              | Reference |
|-----------------------|-------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| HVTN 097 (NCT02109354) | 1     | ALVAC-HIV (vCP1521) expressing Gag and Pro (subtype B LAI), CRF01_AE gp120 (92TH023) linked to transmembrane anchoring portion of gp41 (LAI) AIDSVAX B/E | Aluminium hydroxide       | M 0/1/3/6     | RSA/heterosexual men and women | June 2013 to February 2015 | [54,55]   |
| HVTN 100 (NCT02404311) | 1, 2  | ALVAC-HIV (vCP2438) expressing Gag and Pro (subtype B LAI), subtype C gp120 (ZM96.C) linked to transmembrane anchoring portion of gp41 (LAI) Bivalent C gp120 (TV1 C/1086 C) | MF59                      | M 0/1/3/6/12 (18) | RSA/heterosexual men and women | February 2015 to August 2018 | [56]     |
| HVTN 111 (NCT02997969) | 1     | DNA-HIV-PT123: DNA plasmid encoding subtype C Gag (ZM96), Env (ZM96), and Pol-Nef (CN54) Bivalent C gp120 (TV1/1086) | MF59                      | M 0/1/3/6     | RSA, Tanzania, Zambia/men and women | June 2016 to July 2018 | [60]     |
| APPROACH HIV-V-A-004 (NCT02315703) | 1, 2  | Ad26.Mos.HIV vector followed by boosts of Ad26.Mos.HIV and gp140 (high or low dose); Ad26.Mos.HIV alone; MVA-mosaic and gp140 (high or low dose); MVA-mosaic alone; or gp140 | Aluminium phosphate       | M 0/1/3/6/12  | USA, Rwanda, RSA, Thailand, Uganda/men and women | December 2014 to (Proj) July 2022 | [65]     |
| TRAVERSE HVTN 117 (NCT02788045) | 1, 2a | Ad26.Mos.HIV or Ad26 Mos4.HIV Subtype C gp140 | Aluminium phosphate       | M 0/3/6/12     | USA, Rwanda/men and women       | July 2016 to (Proj) April 2023 | [66]     |
| ASCENT HVTN 118 (NCT02935686) | 1, 2a | Ad26.Mos4.HIV Subtype C gp140 or bivalent gp140 (subtype C/mosaic) | Aluminium phosphate       | M 0/3/6/12     | USA, Kenya, Rwanda/men and women | March 2017 to (Proj) May 2023 | [67]     |
| HIVCORE 005/6 (NCT04553016) | 1     | ChAdD0xtLHIVconsv1 MVA.HIVconsv3 and MVA.HIVconsv4 |                   | M 0/1          | Kenya, Uganda, Zambia/men and women | (Proj) March 2021 to (Proj) June 2022 |          |
| IAVI W001 (NCT03699241) | 1     | BG505 SOSIP.664 gp140 | AS01B                  | M 0/2/6        | USA, Kenya/men and women       | November 2019 to (Proj) November 2022 |          |
| ACTHIVE-001 (NCT03961438) | 1     | ConM SOSIPv7 gp140 | MPLA                   | M 0/3/6/12     | UK/men and women                | March 2019 to (Proj) December 2021 |          |
| EAVI2020_01 (NCT03816137) | 1     | ConM SOSIP, EDC, ConM SOSIP, ConS UFO, EDC ConS UFO and mosaic SOSIPs | MPLA                   | M 0/3/6/12     | USA/men and women                | December 2020 |          |
| IAVI G001 (NCT03547245) | 1     | eOD-GT8 (self-assembling nanoparticle with HIV Env) | AS01B                  |               | USA/men and women                | June 2018 to (Proj) December 2020 |          |

(Continued)
with aluminium phosphate and showed high Env-specific binding antibody levels with similar subclass distribution. Clade C responses were not attenuated by the half dose administered in the group containing the mosaic gp140 boost, which also demonstrated improved subtype B responses. CD4+, but not CD8+, T-cell responses were increased in the bivalent regimen compared to the subtype C gp140 alone [67]. This Ad26 mosaic vaccine regimen with bivalent protein boosting has subsequently advanced into the MOSAICO Phase 3 licensure trial in the Americas and Europe, which began in October 2019 and plans an estimated enrollment of 3800 cis-gender men and transgender individuals who have sex with cis-gender men and/or transgender individuals. If efficacy is seen in IMBOKODO and/or MOSAICO, those results will add to the modest success of RV144 to demonstrate the ability of non-neutralizing antibody and cellular mechanisms to afford protection and expand our knowledge of correlates of protection to facilitate bridging studies to additional populations and geographic regions.

Geographic and participant diversity as well as broad collaboration have been strengths of the Janssen clinical programme, which has engaged multiple HIV vaccine research institutions, networks and laboratories since its inception. The mosaic concept is being put to test with efficacy efforts in the IMBOKODO and MOSAICO programmes, which has engaged multiple HIV vaccine research institutions, networks and laboratories since its inception. The mosaic concept is being put to test with efficacy efforts in the IMBOKODO and MOSAICO programmes, which has engaged multiple HIV vaccine research institutions, networks and laboratories since its inception. The mosaic concept is being put to test with efficacy efforts in the IMBOKODO and MOSAICO programmes, which has engaged multiple HIV vaccine research institutions, networks and laboratories since its inception. The mosaic concept is being put to test with efficacy efforts in the IMBOKODO and MOSAICO programmes, which has engaged multiple HIV vaccine research institutions, networks and laboratories since its inception. The mosaic concept is being put to test with efficacy efforts in the IMBOKODO and MOSAICO programmes, which has engaged multiple HIV vaccine research institutions, networks and laboratories since its inception. The mosaic concept is being put to test with efficacy efforts in the IMBOKODO and MOSAICO programmes, which has engaged multiple HIV vaccine research institutions, networks and laboratories since its inception. The mosaic concept is being put to test with efficacy efforts in the IMBOKODO and MOSAICO programmes, which has engaged multiple HIV vaccine research institutions, networks and laboratories since its inception. The mosaic concept is being put to test with efficacy efforts in the IMBOKODO and MOSAICO programmes, which has engaged multiple HIV vaccine research institutions, networks and laboratories since its inception.

### 3.4.3 New viral vectors

Given the insufficient efficacy seen with Ad5 and ALVAC vaccines, other viral vectors are being explored for improved HIV vaccine regimens. Strategies employing Ad26 or Ad35 vectors have been shown to elicit robust humoral and cellular immune responses, and importantly, pre-existing anti-vector antibodies do not affect vaccine safety or immunogenicity [68–71]. Ad4 is a live virus vaccine vector under clinical evaluation. NCT01989533 is examining an Ad4-HIV vaccine regimen that utilizes a multiarm, multistage adaptive trial design that enables the efficient evaluation of multiple vaccine regimens and also employs an averted infections ratio methodology to determine efficacy. Vaccinations have commenced and the study is estimated to be completed in 2023.

### 3.4.2 PrEPVacc trial

Another highly collaborative effort, PrEPVacc, is an African-led vaccine efficacy trial supported by the European and Developing Countries Clinical Trials Partnership (EDCTP) incorporating a PrEP comparison into a study design evaluating two vaccine candidates. The first vaccine regimen, previously evaluated in HVTN105 (NCT02207920), is a DNA-HIV-PT123 plasmid prime (as in HVTN111) plus an ALFQ B/E boost. The second vaccine regimen is a DNA-HIV-PT123 with CN54gp140 prime followed by an MVA-CMDR (Chang Mai double recombinant) and CN54gp140 boost. The two vaccine regimens will be compared against placebo, and participants are offered PrEP with TDF/FTC or TAF/FTC during the period of the first three immunizations. PrEPVacc utilizes a multiarm, multi-stage adaptive trial design that enables the efficient evaluation of multiple vaccine regimens and also employs an averted infections ratio methodology to determine efficacy. Vaccinations have commenced and the study is estimated to be completed in 2023.
associated with durable aviremic control of SIV infection in 50% of the NHPs, and this protection correlated with CD8+ T-cell responses [72,73]. The first clinical trial to examine the safety and immunogenicity of a CMV vector-based vaccine, VIR-1111, is currently recruiting healthy CMV seropositive participants.

HIVCORE 005/6 is a Phase 1 EDCTP clinical trial testing three experimental vaccines with other viral vectors: mosaic immunogens delivered by a prime-boost regimen containing a chimpanzee adenovirus vector (ChAdOx1.CMV-consV1) and a dual boost of a nonreplicating MVA vector (MVA.HIVconsV3 and MVA.tHIVconsV4). The ChAdOx1 vector is a component of the Astra Zeneca COVID-19 vaccine, which has been granted a conditional marketing authorization or emergency use in over 50 countries [74,75].

3.4.4 Antibody mediated protection (AMP) trials

The antibody mediated protection (AMP) trials evaluated the protective efficacy of passive immunization with either low (10 mg/kg) or high (30 mg/kg) doses of the bNAb VRC01 delivered every eight weeks in participants at increased risk for HIV acquisition in sub-Saharan Africa (HVTN 703/HPTN 081) and the Americas (HVTN 704/HPTN 081) [76]. The studies did not demonstrate overall efficacy; however, as pioneered in earlier vaccine efficacy trials, evaluation of breakthrough viruses yielded critical insight. The bNAb was 75% effective against VRC01-sensitive isolates (those with 80% inhibitory concentration less than 1 μg/ml) over the course of the study, demonstrating proof of concept for bNAb prophylaxis. However, only a minority of viruses (30% in the placebo groups) were VRC01-sensitive, highlighting the need for broader and more potent bNAbs, likely in combination targeting multiple HIV epitopes. The results also have implications for active vaccination strategies to elicit bNAb; that bar is high, and to achieve protection through purely neutralizing mechanisms, active vaccines will likely need to target multiple HIV epitopes as well.

3.4.5 Native-like envelope trimers

To actively induce bNAb responses, several groups have been advancing novel envelope immunogens. Native-like envelope trimers are designed to present multiple bNAb epitopes mimicking how these epitopes appear on the Env spike, with the BG505 SOSIP.664 construct emerging as the prototypic native-like soluble trimer [77]. Compared to earlier HIV protein immunogens, these trimers are purer and more thermally stable. The approach to the design of these HIV immunogens is further detailed by Sanders and Derking [78].

Several clinical trials are underway evaluating SOSIP trimers. W001 is a Phase 1 IAVI clinical trial testing the BG505 SOSIP.664 gp140 at various doses in combination with the AS01B adjuvant. The Phase 1 ACTHIVE-001 trial is examining the ConM SOSIPx7 gp140 adjuvanted with monophosphoryl lipid A (MPLA) liposomes (ConM is a consensus of the consensus sequences of each clade in group M). Lastly, the EAVI2020_01 Phase 1 trial is evaluating prime-boost combinations with various forms of SOSIP gp140s including the ConM SOSIP, EDC ConM SOSIP, ConS UFO, EDC ConS UFO, and mosaic SOSIPs.

3.4.6 Serial bNAb germline targeting

Designing immunogens to engage bNAb precursors and their intermediates through sequential immunization [79,80] is an alternate approach to bNAb elicitation, as further described by Williams et al. [81]. At HIVR4P 2021, encouraging results of the IAVI Phase 1 G001 trial were announced. This study demonstrated that the eOD-GT8 60mer, a self-assembling nanoparticle comprised of HIV Env, adjuvanted with AS01B, elicited detectable VRC01-class IgG B cells in 97% of vaccinees showing initial proof of concept in humans for the germline targeting approach. Another vaccine candidate designed to induce bNAbs, adjuvanted BG505 SOSIP.GT1.1 gp140, is being examined at two different doses in the IAVI C101 Phase 1 clinical trial.

3.4.7 Messenger RNA vaccines

With many of its roots in vaccine development for cancer, HIV and other infectious diseases, messenger RNA (mRNA) is gaining momentum as a platform following the striking success of SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccines. mRNA uses host cells to manufacture protein immunogens that can elicit potent antibody and cellular responses [82]. The platform has the potential for cost-effective and scalable GMP manufacturing, without concern for genome integration or the formation of infectious particles [83,84].

As of July 2021, two mRNA vaccines have shown over 90% efficacy and received Emergency Use Authorization for the prevention of COVID-19 [85,86]. The success of these products leveraged lessons in mRNA delivery and immunogen stabilization that have been learnt in the HIV context [82,87,88] and are now being applied by multiple groups in the development of new HIV vaccines. For example, a mRNA-LNP construct encoding a variant of clade B HIV R3A Env elicited potent immune responses in mice, and a single 50 μg immunization of mRNA-LNP in NHPs resulted in a significant increase in Thf cell frequency in the draining lymph nodes compared to those that received double-stranded RNA-adjuvanted Env protein immunization. The mRNA-LNP immunization elicited potent antibody neutralization activity against a highly sensitive clade C virus. These neutralization antibody titers were stable through 12 months post vaccination [89].

Moyo et al. explored the potential of a self-amplifying mRNA (saRNA) encoding six highly conserved regions of the HIV genome (Gag, Pol). A single immunization with this RNA formulation induced specific CD4+ and CD8+ T cells in BALB/c mice, which were well maintained 22 weeks after vaccination [90]. The immunization also induced CD4+ and CD8+ memory subtypes. These early results demonstrate promise for the elicitation of durable immune responses with mRNA-based vaccine formulations against HIV.

3.4.8 New adjuvants

The decrease in efficacy from 60% at 12 months post immunization to 31% at 3.5 years in RV144 and rapid decline
Conclusion

Although effective HIV treatment and prevention options are increasingly available, due to limited accessibility and/or other treatment challenges, the urgent need for an HIV vaccine remains. Competing strategies emphasize particular immunologic approaches; however, the exceptional diversity and resilience of HIV will likely necessitate a multiplicity of reinforcing immunologic mechanisms for success. As vaccine technologies and clinical trial networks developed to combat HIV were leveraged in the SARS-CoV-2 response, the unprecedented collaboration, transparency, industry engagement and rapid clinical translation with progression to parallel efficacy testing for multiple vaccine concepts that characterized the coronavirus response can propel HIV vaccine development. Important efficacy trials are underway and innovative HIV vaccine design approaches have yielded a robust and diverse pipeline of early-stage candidates with the potential to incorporate next-generation adjuvants. The stage is set for HIV vaccine developers to move these concepts forward together to achieve the ultimate public health tool of an effective HIV vaccine.
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