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Catalytic Efficiency of Basidiomycete Laccases: Redox Potential versus Substrate-Binding Pocket Structure
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Abstract: Laccases are copper-containing oxidases that catalyze a one-electron abstraction from various phenolic and non-phenolic compounds with concomitant reduction of molecular oxygen to water. It is well-known that laccases from various sources have different substrate specificities, but it is not completely clear what exactly provides these differences. The purpose of this work was to study the features of the substrate specificity of four laccases from basidiomycete fungi *Trametes hirsuta*, *Coriolopsis caperata*, *Antrodiella faginea*, and *Steccherinum murashkinskyi*, which have different redox potentials of the T1 copper center and a different structure of substrate-binding pockets. Enzyme activity toward 20 monophenolic substances and 4 phenolic dyes was measured spectrophotometrically. The kinetic parameters of oxidation of four lignans and lignan-like substrates were determined by monitoring of the oxygen consumption. For the oxidation of the high redox potential (>700 mV) monophenolic substrates and almost all large substrates, such as phenolic dyes and lignans, the redox potential difference between the enzyme and the substrate (ΔE) played the defining role. For the low redox potential monophenolic substrates, ΔE did not directly influence the laccase activity. Also, in the special cases, the structure of the large substrates, such as dyes and lignans, as well as some structural features of the laccases (flexibility of the substrate-binding pocket loops and some amino acid residues in the key positions) affected the resulting catalytic efficiency.
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1. Introduction

Laccases (benzene diol:hydroperoxide oxidoreductase; EC 1.10.3.2) are copper-containing oxidases that catalyze a one-electron abstraction from various phenolic and non-phenolic compounds with concomitant reduction of molecular oxygen to water. Laccases can be used in wood, pulp and paper, textile, and food industries [1] as well as soil bioremediation and sewage treatment [2,3].

One of the main distinguishing features of laccases is their ability to oxidize a very wide range of compounds. Typical substrates of laccases are polyphenols, substituted phenols, and aromatic diamines. It is well-known that laccases from various sources have different substrate specificities, but it is not completely clear what exactly provides these differences [4,5]. For a long time, it was believed that the catalytic efficiency of substrate oxidation by laccases correlates with a redox potential difference between the substrate and the enzyme T1 copper center [6]. However, at the present moment...
this view is widely challenged by different studies [7]. It seems that the catalytic efficiency of laccases depends not only on the redox potential difference but also on the steric features, such as the substrate shape and the laccase substrate-binding pocket architecture [8,9].

Previously, we isolated and partially characterized four laccases from four different white-rot basidiomycetes: *Trametes hirsuta* [10], *Coriolopsis caperata* [11], *Steccherinum murashkinskyi*, and *Antrodiella faginea* [12]. The laccases isolated from *T. hirsuta* and *C. caperata* have high redox potentials of 780 mV each, while the laccases from *S. murashkinskyi* and *A. faginea* possess middle redox potentials of 650 and 620 mV, respectively. For all these laccases, the corresponding three-dimensional (3D) structures were experimentally determined [11,13,14] and deposited in the Protein Data Bank with the following entry numbers: 3FPX for *T. hirsuta* laccase, 4JHV for *C. caperata* laccase, 5E9N for *S. murashkinskyi* laccase, and 5EHF for *A. faginea* laccase. All these laccases have a different structure of the loops forming their substrate-binding pockets.

The purpose of this work was to study the features of the substrate specificity of four laccases from *T. hirsuta*, *C. caperata*, *A. faginea*, and *S. murashkinskyi*, which have different redox potentials of the T1 copper center and a different structure of the substrate-binding pockets. Obtained results are discussed in terms of the interplay between substrate/enzyme redox potentials and steric interactions.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Substrate Specificities of Laccases toward Monophenolic Compounds and Phenolic Dyes

Four different laccases from basidiomycetes, *T. hirsuta* (ThL), *C. caperata* (CcL), *S. murashkinskyi* (SmL), and *A. faginea* (AfL), were screened for their ability to oxidize 20 simple monophenolic compounds and 4 phenolic dyes. All chosen compounds form a representative set concerning different structural patterns observed in typical laccase substrates (Figure 1). All four studied laccases can be divided into two groups according to their T1 center redox potentials: ThL (*E* = 780 mV) and CcL (*E* = 780 mV) are high redox potential laccases and SmL (*E* = 650 mV) and AfL (*E* = 620 mV) are middle redox potential laccases.

The data regarding activities of laccases toward different substrates are presented in Figure 1. To highlight overall patterns in the oxidation of substrates by all studied laccases, the log-transformed activity values are presented (Figure 1 upper panel). To facilitate the comparison among different laccases, the standardization (Z-scores) of activities was performed (Figure 1 lower panel). Additional information regarding the laccase activity is shown in Table S1.

For all studied laccases, several common tendencies regarding their ability to oxidize different substrates can be observed. Among the cinnamic acid derivatives, the oxidation rates decreased in the series sinapic acid (R1 = OH, R2,3,4,5 = H) > ferulic acid (R1 = OCH3, R2 = OH, R3 = H) > caffeic acid (R1,2 = OH, R3 = H) > isomers of coumaric acid (R2 = OH, R1,3 = H). Among the benzoic acid derivatives, the oxidation rates decreased in the series gallic acid (R1,2,3 = OH) > syringic acid (R2 = OH, R1,3 = OCH3) > 3,4-dihydroxybenzoic acid (R1,2 = OH, R3 = H) > vanillic acid (R1 = OCH3, R2 = OH, R3 = H). The oxidation rate of the aromatic aldehyde vanillin was lower than that of the vanillic acid.

In general, the benzoic acid derivatives were oxidized at a lower rate than the cinnamic acid derivatives with the same substituents. It is interesting to note that for the benzoic acid derivatives the methoxy substituent showed less influence on the oxidation rates than the hydroxy substituent, whereas for the cinnamic acid derivatives the opposite trend was observed.

In the case of phenol derivatives, the hydroxy substituent in the ortho-position (catechol, R1 = OH, R2,3,4,5 = H) was more favorable than the methoxy substituent in the ortho-position (guaiaicol, R1 = OCH3, R2,3,4,5 = H) and the hydroxy substituent in the para-position (hydroquinone, R3 = OH, R1,2,4,5 = H). The second methoxy substituent in the ortho-position (2,6-dimethoxyphenol, R1,5 = OCH3, R2,3,4 = H) did not lead to an increase in the activity. The presence of methyl substituent simultaneously with the hydroxy substituent in the orcinol (R2 = OH, R4 = CH3, R1,3,5 = H) significantly reduced the...
positive effect of hydroxy substituent, which resulted in the lowest oxidation rate of the orcinol among all phenol derivatives. All the laccases oxidized the aromatic compounds with the amino group (2,5-xylidine and 2-aminophenylbenzoic acid) at a much lower rate compared to most compounds with the hydroxy group. The same tendency as for the simple monophenolic compounds was observed for the phenolic dyes. All laccases oxidize congo red and indigo carmine containing an amino group and a nitrogen in the indole ring slower than bromocresol green and phenol red containing hydroxy groups in the benzene rings.

It is worth noting that although all laccases considerably more slowly oxidized compounds with redox potentials above 700 mV (e.g., coumaric acids, vanillic acid, vanillin, 2,5-xylidine [15–17]), the relationship between the rate of the oxidation and the redox potential of the substrates was not so apparent for compounds with lower redox potentials. For example, caffeic acid, which has a lower potential than sinapic acid and ferulic acid [15], was oxidized less efficiently by all laccases. Moreover, in the case of the phenolic dyes, indigo carmine, which has a lower potential than congo red [18,19], was the worst oxidizable substrate for all laccases.

In addition to the fact that the higher rate of substrate oxidation cannot be fully attributed to the lower substrate redox potential, the higher redox potential of laccase does not always guarantee more efficient substrate oxidation. For such substrates as syringaldazine, sinapic acid, and guaiacol, the middle redox potential SmL and AfL showed a significantly higher oxidation rate compared to the high redox potential ThL andCcL. Nevertheless, in the case of the substrates with a redox potential higher than 700 mV, the difference between the high redox potential and the middle redox potential laccases became more obvious. The high redox potential laccases (ThL and CcL) oxidized such high redox potential substrates as coumaric acid isomers, vanillic acid, vanillin, and 2,5-xylidine with a higher rate.

Figure 1. Laccase activities toward different phenolic substrates. The following abbreviations are used: SinA = sinapic acid, FA = ferulic acid, CafA = caffeic acid, p-CA = p-coumaric acid, o-CA = o-coumaric acid, m-CA = m-coumaric acid, GA = gallic acid, SyrA = syringic acid, DHBA = 3,4-dihydroxybenzoic acid, VA = vanillic acid, Vn = vanillin, Cat = catechol, Gua = guaiacol, HQ = hydroquinone, DMP = 2,6-dimethoxyphenol, Orc = orcinol, Xyl = 2,5-xylidine, AMBA = 2-amino-4-methoxybenzoic acid, Eug = eugenol, SGL = syringaldazine, BrG = bromocresol green, PhR = phenol red, CnR = congo red, and InC = indigo carmine. ThL = Trametes hirsuta laccase, CcL = Coriolopsis caperata laccase, AfL = Antrodiella faginea laccase, and SmL = Steccherinum muraekinskij laccase. The white cells on the upper panel represent points where no activity was detected. The Z-scores were obtained by standardization of the original activities for each substrate (table column) separately. The general patterns in the substrate structures are described/depicted under the corresponding entries and the details are described in the main text.
It is interesting that in the case of the phenolic dyes, clearer separation in the oxidation rates among studied laccases was observed. ThL and CcL oxidized all four dyes much more efficiently than AfL and SmL. Apparently, in this case the significantly higher redox potentials of ThL and CcL played a crucial role. Similar to our results, Maijala and colleagues have also shown that the high redox potential laccase from *T. hirsuta* oxidizes large phenolic substrates, such as matairesinol and hydroxymatairesinol, more efficiently than the middle redox potential laccases from *Melanocarpus albomyces* and *Thielavia arenaria* [20]. However, some patterns cannot be explained based on the redox potential differences alone. Despite the lower potential than SmL, AfL exhibited slightly higher activity toward all phenolic dyes except congo red.

2.2. Kinetics of Oxidation of Lignans by Laccases

To investigate the oxidation of large phenolic substrates by the studied laccases, four different lignans and lignan-like compounds, including secoisolariciresinol (SECO), mangiferin (MANG), secoisolariciresinol diglucoside (SDG), and etoposide (ETO), were chosen (Figure 2). The chosen lignans have a different number of glycosidic moieties, which make the substrate bulkier, and possess a different flexibility of their carbon backbone due to the presence of different condensed substructures.

The kinetic constants of oxidation of the lignans by all four laccases are presented in Table 1. In terms of the catalytic efficiency (V/), the obtained data can be seen as an interplay between two general tendencies. In terms of laccases, the catalytic efficiencies of oxidation were decreased in the series ThL > CcL > AfL > SmL for all substrates. In terms of substrates, the catalytic efficiencies were decreased in the series SECO > ETO > SDG > MANG for all laccases. The exception in both series was the high catalytic efficiency of MANG oxidation by AfL. Glycoside moieties of ETO, SDG, and MANG negatively affected the oxidation efficiency of all laccases except AfL in the case of ETO oxidation. The negative effect of the presence of glucose residues in the substrate molecules on the laccase catalyzed reaction rate for the *T. hirsuta* laccase was described previously [21]. However, in our data, CcL oxidized SECO and its glycosylated form SDG with approximately the same V/.
While having a slightly lower redox potential than SmL, always oxidized lignans more efficiently. Catalysts 2018 a part of the loop S4 of the substrate-binding pocket on the opposite side. In CcL, AfL, and SmL, the Arg161 side chain forms a hydrogen bond with the main chain of the Ser335 residue, which is a part of the loop S4 of the substrate-binding pocket on the opposite side. In ThL, this side wall is formed by the side chains of the residues Phe162 and Arg161. In addition, the Arg161 side chain forms a hydrogen bond with the main chain of the Ser335 residue, which cannot form the hydrogen bonds via their main chains.

Similar to the experiments with phenolic dyes, the oxidation efficiencies of lignans oxidation can be roughly attributed to the T1 center redox potential of the laccases. Although the differences in the oxidation efficiencies of lignans between the groups of the high and middle redox potential laccases are well-explained by the redox potentials of the laccases, for the explanation of the differences within these groups some other factors must be considered. In our study, ThL, while having the same redox potential as CcL, always demonstrated a higher efficiency of lignan oxidation. Moreover, AfL, while having a slightly lower redox potential than SmL, always oxidized lignans more efficiently. Apparently, the structure of the substrate-binding pockets of laccases must play a substantial role in the described situations.

It is obvious that the influence of a substrate’s structure on its oxidation efficiency must be closely linked with the architecture of the laccase’s substrate-binding pockets. Among our laccases, three main differences in the substrate-binding pockets can be observed: the size of the pocket, the flexibility of the pocket-forming loops, and the presence of specific residues that can promote better substrate binding (Figure 3 and Table S2).

**Figure 3.** The substrate-binding pockets of ThL (A), CcL (B), AfL (C), and SmL (D). The positions of the substrate-binding loops (S1–S5), the amino acid residues delineating the substrate-binding cavity, and the Cu1 ion are indicated.

While the substrate-binding pocket of ThL is the hydrophobic cavity formed by the loops near the T1 center, the substrate-binding pockets of CcL, AfL, and SmL lack one of the pocket walls (Figure 3). In ThL, this side wall is formed by the side chains of the residues Phe162 and Arg161. In addition, the Arg161 side chain forms a hydrogen bond with the main chain of the Ser335 residue, which is a part of the loop S4 of the substrate-binding pocket on the opposite side. In CcL, AfL, and SmL,
Arg161 is substituted with Ala or Gly residues (Figure 3), which cannot form the hydrogen bonds via their side chains. The length of the substrate-binding loops also differs between the laccases (Table S2, Figure 3). In AfL and SmL, compared to ThL and CcL, the loop S1 is longer and the loop S5 is shorter, which result in more spacious substrate-binding pockets. Nevertheless, it seems that a more spacious substrate-binding pocket alone does not positively affect the catalytic efficiency or the affinity of AfL and SmL to lignans.

An analysis of the temperature factors of the residues in the loops of the substrate-binding pockets of laccases showed that the flexibility of the loops increases in the series ThL < CcL < SmL < AfL.

Earlier, Galli and colleagues showed a detrimental effect of some point mutations in the enzyme substrate-binding pocket on the efficiency of oxidation of large substrates by the Trametes versicolor laccase [9]. The substitution of F162A and F265A by Ala residues almost in all cases reduced the oxidation efficiency, presumably due to the decrease of the substrate-binding pocket’s hydrophobicity and the loss of the stacking interactions with the substrate. Among the all laccases studied, only ThL contains the phenylalanine residues in these positions (Table S2).

We suppose that the main difference within the groups of the high and middle redox potential laccases is not driven only by the redox potential difference but by the structure of the substrate-binding pockets of laccases. In the case of the high redox potential laccases, the main determinant of the catalytic efficiency seems to be the presence of Phe162 and Phe265 residues in ThL that can promote tighter bonding of the substrate compared to CcL. Regarding the middle redox potential laccases, the flexibility of the substrate-binding pocket loops plays a defining role. More flexible loops in AfL can result in a more advantageous substrate binding compared to SmL.

The exceptionally high catalytic efficiency of MANG oxidation by AfL also can be attributed to the substrate-binding pocket architecture. Unlike SECO, SDG, and ETO, in MANG the hydroxy group oxidized by laccase is attached to a system of three condensed benzene rings that make this part of the substrate extremely stiff and flat. While having a wider substrate-binding pocket than ThL, AfL also has the most flexible loops among all of the studied laccases. Another feature of the AfL substrate-binding pocket is the presence of Glu207 residue instead of Asp residue as in other laccases. The residue in this position is believed to participate in substrate binding [22,23]. The longer side chain of the Glu residue is more accessible to the substrate. These features allow positioning MANG more properly than in other laccases and apparently a more proper positioning of the substrate supersedes the redox potential factor in this particular case.

In summary, a high redox potential and a presence of the hydrophobic phenylalanine residues in the key positions made ThL almost always the most effective laccase for the oxidation of the large substrates. CcL has the same redox potential as ThL, but lacked these phenylalanine residues and so was less effective. In the case of the middle redox potential laccases AfL and SmL, the flexibility of the substrate-binding loops seems to be more important than the slight difference in the T1 copper center redox potentials. Moreover, for such a bulky and rigid substrate as MANG, AfL, despite having the lowest redox potential among all of the studied laccases, was the most efficient.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Fungal Strains

The mycelial cultures of the basidiomycete strains were received from the Collection of the Komarov Botanical Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences (St. Petersburg): Trametes hirsuta (LE-BIN 072), Coriopsis caperata (LE-BIN 067), Steccherinum murashkinskyi (LE-BIN 1963), and Antrodiella faginea (LE-BIN 1998). The fungal strains were maintained at 4 °C on slants with a wort-agar medium, prepared by diluting the brewer wort (Northern Brewery, Saint-Petersburg, Russia) with water in a 1:4 (v/v) ratio and adding 2% agar (Difco Laboratories, Detroit, MI, USA).
3.2. Culturing Conditions and Enzyme Purification

The cultivation was carried out as described in [24] using the glucose-peptone medium of following composition (g L\(^{-1}\)): glucose = 10.0, peptone = 3.0, KH\(_2\)PO\(_4\) = 0.6, K\(_2\)HPO\(_4\) = 0.4, ZnSO\(_4\) \(\times\) 7H\(_2\)O = 0.001, FeSO\(_4\) \(\times\) 7H\(_2\)O = 0.0005, MnSO\(_4\) = 0.05, MgSO\(_4\) \(\times\) 7H\(_2\)O = 0.5, CaCl\(_2\) = 0.5. The laccase preparations were isolated from the cultural liquid after submerged cultivation by filtration (Whatman No. 1 filter paper) and purified as described in [11]. Briefly, the purification procedure consisted of two stages of ion-exchange chromatography on DEAE Cellulose and Toyopearl DEAE 650 M (Tosoh, Tokyo, Japan) followed by gel-filtration on a Superdex 75 (26/60) column (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Chicago, IL, USA). The homogeneity of laccase preparations was confirmed by SDS-PAGE (12.5% acrylamide) in a Mini-PROTEAN 3 device (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) (Figure S1).

3.3. Biochemical Assays

All substrates were dissolved to a concentration of 10 mM in 95.6% ethanol. The reactions were performed at 25 °C. The reaction mixtures contained 1800 µL of 0.1 M sodium acetate buffer pH 4.5, 200 µL of the substrate solution, and 10 µL of the laccase solution with a concentration of 0.1 mg·mL\(^{-1}\). A UV-Vis scan between 230 nm and 700 nm was recorded prior to the enzyme addition and every 70 s after the enzyme addition for 12 min in total with a Lambda 35 spectrometer (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA). The reaction rates for p-coumaric acid (\(\varepsilon\)\(_{290}\) = 15,900 cm\(^{-1}\)·M\(^{-1}\)), o-coumaric acid (\(\varepsilon\)\(_{315}\) = 6580 cm\(^{-1}\)·M\(^{-1}\)), m-coumaric acid (\(\varepsilon\)\(_{272}\) = 13,440 cm\(^{-1}\)·M\(^{-1}\)), caffeic acid (\(\varepsilon\)\(_{315}\) = 12,930 cm\(^{-1}\)·M\(^{-1}\)), 3,4-di-hydroxybenzoic acid (\(\varepsilon\)\(_{290}\) = 4740 cm\(^{-1}\)·M\(^{-1}\)), syringic acid (\(\varepsilon\)\(_{268}\) = 8060 cm\(^{-1}\)·M\(^{-1}\)), vanillic acid (\(\varepsilon\)\(_{289}\) = 4580 cm\(^{-1}\)·M\(^{-1}\)), hydroquinone (\(\varepsilon\)\(_{289}\) = 2390 cm\(^{-1}\)·M\(^{-1}\)), vanillin (\(\varepsilon\)\(_{309}\) = 9750 cm\(^{-1}\)·M\(^{-1}\)), 2-amino-4-metoxybenzoic acid (\(\varepsilon\)\(_{315}\) = 3560 cm\(^{-1}\)·M\(^{-1}\)), sinapic acid (\(\varepsilon\)\(_{306}\) = 14,640 cm\(^{-1}\)·M\(^{-1}\)), and ferulic acid (\(\varepsilon\)\(_{314}\) = 12,940 cm\(^{-1}\)·M\(^{-1}\)) were evaluated by the substrate consumption and for orcinol (\(\varepsilon\)\(_{341}\) = 840 cm\(^{-1}\)·M\(^{-1}\)), eugenol (\(\varepsilon\)\(_{360}\) = 2300 cm\(^{-1}\)·M\(^{-1}\)), 2,5-xylidine (\(\varepsilon\)\(_{450}\) = 1980 cm\(^{-1}\)·M\(^{-1}\)), catechol (\(\varepsilon\)\(_{410}\) = 740 cm\(^{-1}\)·M\(^{-1}\)), 2,6-dimetoxyphenol (\(\varepsilon\)\(_{470}\) = 35,645 cm\(^{-1}\)·M\(^{-1}\)), guaiacol (\(\varepsilon\)\(_{464}\) = 6590 cm\(^{-1}\)·M\(^{-1}\)), syringaldazine (\(\varepsilon\)\(_{520}\) = 65,000 cm\(^{-1}\)·M\(^{-1}\)), and gallic acid (\(\varepsilon\)\(_{386}\) = 4610 cm\(^{-1}\)·M\(^{-1}\)) by product formation. One unit of activity was defined as µM of substrate (product) consumed (formed) per min by 1 µg·L\(^{-1}\) of enzyme.

The kinetic parameters of secoisolariciresinol (SECO), secoisolariciresinol diglucoside (SDG), etoposide (ETO), and mangiferin (MANG) oxidation were determined by monitoring of the oxygen consumption using an MTH-001 potentiostat (Econix-Expert, Moscow, Russia), equipped with a Clark-type electrode. The reactions were performed at 25 °C. SECO, SDG, and ETO were dissolved in ethanol, and MANG in DMSO. The reactions were initiated by addition of 25 µL of the laccase solution with a concentration of 0.1 mg mL\(^{-1}\) to 2000 µL of the substrate solution in 0.1 M citrate-phosphate buffer pH 4.5. The substrate concentrations were 10–1000 µM for SECO, SDG, and MANG and 5–500 µM for ETO. Kinetic parameters were calculated by non-linear fitting using the Origin-Lab program (Northampton, MA, USA).

All substrates were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA).

3.4. Enzyme Structure Analysis

The flexibility of the loops forming the substrate-binding pockets was estimated in terms of B-factors using the method described in [25]. The B-factors of the Ca atoms were normalized as Z-scores and the mean B-factor for each loop was calculated. The structural pictures were constructed with CCP4MG [26].

4. Conclusions

The high redox potential laccases showed higher activity toward the high redox potential (>700 mV) monophenolic substrates. However, for the low redox potential monophenolic substrates, the redox potential difference between the enzyme and the substrate did not directly influence the laccase activity.
For the oxidation of the large substrates, such as phenolic dyes and lignans, the redox potential difference between the enzyme and substrate played the defining role. High redox potential laccases almost always oxidized large substrates more efficiently than the middle potential laccases. Nevertheless, the redox potential alone cannot explain the different oxidation efficiency of laccases with similar redox potential. Hence, we propose that the structure of the substrate as well as some structural features of the laccase’s substrate-binding pocket affect the resulting catalytic efficiency.

The most effective was ThL, which has a high redox potential and two Phe residues at the key positions in the substrate-binding pocket. At the same time, AfL showed higher efficiency compared to SmL, which has a greater redox potential but more rigid substrate-binding loops. Moreover, in the case of such a bulky and rigid substrate as MANG, AfL, which has the most flexible substrate-binding loops, was the most efficient laccase.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/2073-4344/8/4/152/s1, Table S1: Specific activity of laccases, Table S2: ClustalW alignment of the potential laccase substrate-binding region near T1 copper center. Figure S1: SDS-PAGE electrophoresis of purified laccases.
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