A Single Center Experience in Liver Resection and Ablative Techniques: An Analysis of Six Years
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Rezumat

Experiența centrului în tehnicele chirurgicale și ablativ
pentru tratamentul tumorilor hepatice

Introducere: Prezentăm experiența departamentului nostru în abordarea tumorilor hepatice atât chirurgical cât și prin procedee ablativ, experiență acumulată în 6 ani.

Metoda: S-a efectuat o analiză retrospectivă pe baza de date a secției unde au fost introduse prospectiv pacienții la care s-a practicat resecții hepatice, ablație tumorală cu microunde (MWA), injectare cu alcool (PEI) sau TACE în perioada Ianuarie 2014 – Decembrie 2020.

Rezultate: Au fost analizați 249 de pacienți, dintre care 273 cu resecții hepatice, 12 pacienți cu resecții hepatice și MWA, 9 pacienți cu MWA prin chirurgie deschișă, 12 pacienți cu MWA percutanat, 1 pacient cu TACE și MWA, 1 pacient cu TACE și PEI, 10 pacient cu TACE și 2 pacienți cu PEI.

Concluzii: Managementul pacienților cu afecțiuni hepatice trebuie efectuat în centre care pot să asigure o gamă cât mai variată de mijloace terapeutice atât de diagnostic cât și de tratament. Chirurgia hepatică efectuată în centre cu experiență și volum mare oferă rezultate favorabile privind mortalitatea și morbiditatea.
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Abstract

Introduction: We present our 6-year experience with liver surgery and ablative techniques.

Method: An observational retrospective analysis from a prospectively maintained database was performed in our department. All the patients with liver resection, liver resection combined with intraoperative ablative techniques and percutaneous ablative techniques were included from January 1st 2014 to December 31st 2020.

Results: There were 249 patients analyzed: 273 patients with liver resection, 12 patients with liver resection combined with intraoperative MWA, 9 patients with open surgery MWA, 12 patients with percutaneous MWA, 1 patient with TACE and MWA, 1 patient with TACE and PEI, 10 patients with TACE, and 2 patients with PEI.

Conclusion: Liver disease should be managed in specialized centers which can offer a wide range of therapeutic options. With the improvement of the surgical technique and perioperative care, including optimized postoperative complication management, and carried out by well-trained surgeons, liver surgery can be performed with low mortality and acceptable morbidity.
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Introduction

Liver resection is the treatment of choice for most benign and malignant hepatic lesions. This special type of surgery has evolved from a rare procedure with high mortality to routine surgery with an operative mortality risk of under 2% (1). The addition of other new generation therapeutic image guided minimally invasive techniques has increased the treatment optimization for patients with liver disease. Individualized multi-modal treatment is now performed in multidisciplinary settings in specialized centers (2).

The positive impact between high volume and outcome has been demonstrated in many surgical fields (3,4). A modern hepatobiliary center has to be able to offer the patient a wide range of therapeutic options from a simple liver biopsy to complex liver surgery and liver transplantation.

The aim of this present study is to evaluate our 6-year experience with liver resections and ablative techniques.

Patients and Methods

An observational retrospective analysis from a prospectively maintained database was performed in our department. All the patients with liver resection, liver resection combined with intraoperative ablative techniques and percutaneous ablative techniques were included from January 1st 2014 to December 31st 2020.

The variables studied included age, sex, relevant medical history, comorbidities, indication for resection, extension of resection, associated resections, operative time, transfusion requirements, data regarding postoperative complications, length of stay, and data regarding the pathology report consisting of tumor size, stage, nodal status, and margin status.

All the liver resections were performed by open approach. Anatomical liver resections were defined according to Brisbane terminology (5). For the majority of liver metastases, a wedge resection was performed. The term “non-anatomical hepatectomy” or “atypical hepatectomy” was utilized when partial resec-
tion of at least three contiguous segments was performed. Resections were considered minor when they involved one or two segments, and major when they involved more than two segments (left hepatectomy, right hepatectomy, trisectionectomy). For extended resections, volumetric assessment was performed by an expert radiologist.

Postoperative complications were reported according to the Clavien-Dindo grading system (6). Minor complications were defined as grades I-II, and major complications as grades III-IV. The bile fistula was defined and reported according to the International Study Group of Liver Surgery (ISGLS) definition (7).

Regarding the ablative techniques, our department uses the Evident Medtronic Microwave Ablation system (MWA) for intraoperative procedures, and the Emprint System for percutaneous ablation. The length of the antennas was 17 cm and 20 cm with the active tip of 3.7 cm and 3 cm. The microwave power delivered was standardized for every lesion depending on the tumor size. Needle tract ablation was performed every time. In the percutaneously treated group as well as the intraoperatively treated one the MWA was performed under ultrasound guidance.

The other minimally invasive procedures performed were transarterial chemoembolization (TACE) and percutaneous ethanol injection (PEI). We must mention that our angiography and interventional radiology department was opened in April 2019. Tumor response was assessed according to the modified Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (m-RECIST) by CT or MRI by an expert radiologist (8).

Results

During the study period, 249 patients were included for analysis. There were 135 male, and 114 female patients, the median age was 61. The number and type of procedures are presented in Table 1. The types of liver resections are illustrated in Table 2. The indications for liver resection and ablation are presented in Table 3. We divided and analyzed the patients according to the diagnosis.

| Table 1. Patients treated in our department in the study period |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| Liver resection | 273 |
| Liver resection combined with intraoperative MWA | 12 |
| Open surgery MWA | 9 |
| Percutaneous MWA | 12 |
| TACE combined with MWA | 1 |
| TACE combined with PEI | 1 |
| TACE | 10 |
| PEI | 2 |
| Total number of patients | 249 |

MWA: microwave ablation; TACE: transarterial chemoembolization; PEI: percutaneous ethanol injection

| Table 2. Type of resection |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| Type of resection | n |
| Total procedures | 285 |
| Wedge resections | 172 |
| Non-anatomical hepatectomies | 53 |
| Left lateral sectionectomy | 10 |
| Right posterior sectionectomy (sg 6-7) | 9 |
| Right anterior sectionectomy (sg 5-8) | 4 |
| Central hepatectomy (4,5,6) | 1 |
| Left hepatectomy | 3 |
| Extended left hepatectomy | 4 |
| Right hepatectomy | 6 |
| Extended right hepatectomy | 2 |
| Right hepatectomy + segment 1 | 1 |
| Caudate lobectomy | 2 |
| ALPPS (first and second stage) | 1 |
| Other liver procedures | 17 |

| Table 3. Patients with malignant liver disease |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| Malignant tumors | 204 |
| Colorectal metastases | 96 |
| Non-colorectal metastases | 52 |
| Hepatocellular carcinoma | 39 |
| Cholangiocarcinoma | 5 |
| Gallbladder cancer | 5 |
| Klatskin tumors | 3 |
| Hepatic invasion of other type of cancer | 4 |

Patients with Liver Metastatic Disease (148 patients)

There were 96 patients treated for colorectal liver metastases. The mean liver tumor size was 32 mm (min 3 mm, max 200 mm). In 26 patients, the liver resection was performed simultaneously with the primary colorectal cancer. The highest number or liver resections for metastases per patient was 9. In 2
patients, a liver first approach was performed. Major resections were performed in 7 patients. *Fig. 1* illustrates a right hemihepatectomy and *Fig. 2* a central hepatectomy for colon cancer liver metastases.

In 9 patients, liver resection was combined with microwave ablation under ultrasound guidance. The highest number of intraoperative thermal ablations per patient was 4. In 7 patients, microwave ablation was performed by laparotomy because of difficult percutaneous approach or for deep parenchymal centrally located metastases not feasible for a parenchyma sparing resection.

In 52 patients, liver resection was performed for non-colorectal liver metastases. There were 4 patients with neuroendocrine tumors, 22 biliopancreatic tumors, 4 ovarian cancer, 11 gastric cancer, 8 breast cancer, 1 esophageal squamous cell tumor, 1 cervix squamous cell tumor, and 1 malignant melanoma. In patients who underwent liver resections, R0 was achieved in 130 cases, and R1 in 11 cases (including 7 patients with R1-vascular). In 10 patients, liver resection was an associated procedure for other abdominal major resection: pancreaticoduodenectomy one patient, distal pancreactectomy one, total gastrectomy six patients.

The overall morbidity in this group of patients was 33%. The in-hospital mortality in this group of patients was 2.7%. The Clavien-Dindo grading and specific complications are presented in Tables 4 and 5.

**Table 4.** Clavien-Dindo grades for patients with liver metastasis resections

| Complication grade | Number of patients |
|--------------------|--------------------|
| I                  | 22                 |
| II                 | 11                 |
| IIIA               | 4                  |
| IIIB               | 5                  |
| IVA                | 2                  |
| IVB                | 1                  |
| V                  | 4                  |

**Table 5.** Complications for patients with liver metastasis resections

| Complications               | Number of patients |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| Liver-related complications |                    |
| Bile leak                   | 13                 |
| Cut-surface abscess         | 8                  |
| Cut-surface hematoma        | 1                  |
| Liver failure               | 1                  |
| Hemothorax                  | 2                  |
| Intra-abdominal abscess     | 3                  |
| Systemic complications      |                    |
| MODS                        | 4                  |
| ARDS                        | 6                  |
| Cardiorespiratory arrest    | 4                  |
| Respiratory dysfunction     | 5                  |
| Superior digestive hemorrhaging | 2          |
| Sepsis                      | 4                  |
| Renal dysfunction           | 4                  |
| Pleural effusion            | 4                  |
| Other surgical-related complications |            |
| Perforated ulcer            | 1                  |
| Bowel occlusion             | 2                  |
| Wound infection             | 12                 |
| Peritonitis                 | 2                  |
| Wound hematoma              | 4                  |
Patients with Hepatocellular Carcinoma (39 patients)

There were 9 liver resections performed in patients with confirmed CHILD A cirrhosis, and 7 resections for hepatocellular carcinoma not associated with liver disease. The mean liver tumor size was 64.53 mm (min 10 mm, max 120 mm). TNM staging was: 5 patients with T1N0, 2 patients with T2N0, 6 patients with T2N1, 2 patients with T3N1, and one patient with T4N1. Regarding the resection margin, R0 was achieved in 14 cases, R1 in 2 cases. The postoperative mortality was 2.56%, and morbidity was 17.94%. The Clavien-Dindo and specific complications are presented in Tables 6 and 7.

In 10 patients with hepatocellular carcinoma, TACE was performed. In one case, TACE was associated with percutaneous MWA, and in another case, TACE was associated with PEI. Percutaneous MWA for HCC was performed in 10 cases. There was no mortality in this group of patients.

In one case, open surgery MWA was utilized for a ruptured hepatocellular carcinoma on a CHILD C patient to achieve hemostasis with good result. The patients were operated on in another hospital for hemoperitoneum from a rupture hepatocellular carcinoma, perihepatic packing was performed, and the patients were transferred to our department.

Patients with Peripheric Cholangiocarcinoma (5 patients)

In this group of patients, there were 2 minor hepatectomies and 3 patients with major hepatectomies. In one patient, we performed an extended right hemihepatectomy with portal vein resection (Fig. 3) and reconstruction with a colangio-jejunostomy with 3 ducts (s2, s3, s4). TNM staging: 1 patient with T2N0 G2, 1 patient with T2N1 G2, 1 patient with T3N0 G2, 1 patient with T3N2 G3, 1 patient with T4N0 G2. R0 was achieved in all cases.

Although there was no mortality in this group of patients, the morbidity was 41%. One patient required re-laparotomy for a cut-surface hematoma. There was one patient with post-hepatectomy liver failure with ascites that needed prolonged ICU management. There were 3 grade A biliary fistulas, and one grade B.

Patients with Klatskin Tumors (3 patients)

There were 2 patients with Klatskin IIIA with...
common bile duct resection en-bloc with a right hepatectomy with caudate lobectomy, and one patient with a Klatskin II with tumor with a left hepatectomy because of left hepatic artery invasion. One patient died due to septic complication after a grade C biliary fistula.

TNM staging: 1 patient with T2N0 G2, 2 patients with T3N1 G2. R0 was achieved in all cases.

**Patients with Gallbladder Cancer (5)**

In 3 patients, a radical cholecystectomy with partial resection of segments IVb-V was performed. In one patient a right hepatectomy with common bile duct resection and segmental portal vein resection was performed (the gallbladder tumor was extended to the common bile duct and the right portal vein). In one patient, a cholecystectomy with an atypical IV-VI segments resection associated with transverse colon resection was performed.

TNM staging: 1 patient with T2N1 G2, 2 patients with T3N1 G2, 2 patients with T4N1 G2. R0 was achieved in 4 cases, and R1 in 1 case.

There were 2 grade A, and one grade B biliary fistula. One patient died due to post-hepatectomy liver failure with septic complications from a grade C biliary fistula.

**Patients with Liver Resection for Benign Disease (45 patients)**

The surgical indications for benign disease are described in Table 8. Complications were encountered in 10 cases, morbidity 22.2%.

**Table 8. Patients with benign liver disease**

| Benign lesions          |   |
|------------------------|---|
| Hydatid cyst           | 12|
| Hemangioma             | 10|
| Inflammatory pseudotumor | 10|
| Non-specific inflammation | 5 |
| Focal nodular hyperplasia | 3 |
| Adenoma                | 2 |
| Sarcoideosis nodules   | 1 |
| Fibrosis               | 1 |
| Essential cyst         | 1 |

Clavien-Dindo I - 7 cases, II-1 case, IIIB-1 case. Grade A biliary fistula in 4 cases, cut-surface hematoma in 2 cases, wound complications in 2 cases.

**Discussion**

Centralization of complex hepatobiliary procedures is associated with increased quality of care (9,10). Indeed, not only the decision-making process in establishing the treatment options for patients with liver disease but also the optimization of postoperative/postprocedural complications is of utmost importance (11). Multi disciplinarity is the key factor in successful management for patients with liver disease (12). Well-trained hepatobiliary surgeons, interventional radiologists, and hepatologists, dedicated pathologists, oncologists, and anesthesiologists are all equally important when treating patients with complex liver disease (13).

We presented our 6-years’ experience in hepatobiliary surgery with good postoperative results (13). Since the establishment in our hospital of the 2nd national liver transplantation program in 2014 the number of liver resections has been increasing yearly. With the addition of the new angiography department, our hospital can offer a wide range of therapeutic options for patients with complex liver disease.

In our study, the majority of resected lesions was malignant (72.54%); among these, colorectal liver metastases were the most frequent tumors (47.05%), followed by non-colorectal metastases (25.49%), and hepatocellular carcinoma (19.11%). Benign tumors represented 15.78% of resected cases, hydatid cyst and hemangioma being the most frequent (4.21%).

Liver resection has been associated with high morbidity and mortality. The reported morbidity ranged from 16.2% to 81% (14-17). This range is partially due to the heterogeneity of the published series, with major differences in indication, extension of liver resection and definition of postoperative complication. Our morbidity rate was 28.53 % following liver resection.
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For the classification of complications after liver resections we used the Clavien-Dindo grading system (6). In the case of liver resections for metastases, the complication rate was 33.10%, while for liver resections for primary tumors it was 17.94%. The most frequent complication was biliary leak, in 4.48%, similar to major international centers and followed by intra-abdominal sepsis rate in 4.10%, as compared to 6.9% and 3% in the reports of Miyagawa et al. (18) and Lai et al. (19).

Given the success of the national online prospective electronic database for pancreatic resections established in Romania, we hope that a similar registry for liver resection will soon be available for data reporting in order to compare and improve our results to international experience.

**Conclusion**

Liver disease should be managed in specialized centers which can offer a wide range of therapeutic options. With the improvement of the surgical technique and perioperative care, including optimized postoperative complication management and carried out by well-trained surgeons, liver surgery can be performed with low mortality and acceptable morbidity.
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