A peripheral primitive neuroectodermal tumor originating from the pancreas: a case report and review of the literature
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Abstract
A peripheral primitive neuroectodermal tumor (pPNET) is a small round cell tumor occurring mostly in children or young adults and categorized into the Ewing sarcoma family of tumors. pPNETs originating from the pancreas are especially rare, and only 25 cases have been reported in the literature. We report a case of a 22-year-old man who had a giant expansive tumor located in the uncinate process of the pancreas, 80 mm in diameter resulting in obstruction in the duodenum. The patient underwent a pancreaticoduodenectomy. The histological examination showed that the pancreatic tumor was composed of atypical small round cells. Immunohistochemical findings were positive for CD99. An Ewing sarcoma breakpoint region 1 gene 22q12 rearrangement was proven by a two-color fluorescence in situ hybridization assay. We diagnosed the tumor as a pPNET of the pancreas, which, according to the literature, is highly aggressive with poor prognosis. A multidisciplinary approach to treat these neoplasms should improve the prognoses.
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Background
Peripheral primitive neuroectodermal tumors (pPNETs) are primary malignant neoplasms, usually occurring in children or young adults. These neoplasms are small round cell tumors arising from primitive neuroepithelial stem cells and categorized into the Ewing sarcoma family of tumors (ESFTs), which display common characteristics of morphology, histology, and genetics [1]. While the Ewing’s sarcoma is a primary bone tumor, pPNETs occur mostly in the soft tissue of the thoracopulmonary region, pelvis, and lower extremities [2]. pPNETs originating from the pancreas are extremely rare. To our knowledge, only 25 cases have been reported in the literature. Herein, we report a surgical case of a giant pPNET in the pancreas.

Case presentation
A 22-year-old man, who presented with symptoms of upper abdominal discomfort and nausea during the previous month, was admitted to the emergency department of our hospital with extremely severe upper abdominal pain. He was obese with a BMI of 32.5. Laboratory data on admission showed slight anemia (hemoglobin 8.6 g/dL) but no elevation of bilirubin and low or normal amounts of several tumor markers, such as carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA), carbohydrate antigen 19-9 (CA19-9), and DUPAN-2. He did not show any metabolic abnormalities.

Contrast-enhanced computed tomography (CT) scan revealed that a giant tumor 80 mm in diameter with mild enhancement occupied the pancreatic head. The tumor invaded the third portion of the duodenum, and the oral side of the duodenum was expanded. The superior mesenteric vein was shifted forward, and the inferior vena cava was squeezed by the expansive tumor (Fig. 1). Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) showed that the tumor was homogenous and isointense on T1-weighted
images (Fig. 2a) compared with the pancreas while slightly high intense on T2-weighted images (Fig. 2b). The tumor showed abnormal high intensity on diffusion-weighted images (Fig. 2c). Fast imaging employing steady-state acquisition (FIESTA) showed that the tumor was located slightly distal from the bile duct in the pancreas (Fig. 2d). In magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography (MRCP), the common bile duct was not dilated and the main pancreatic duct was not depicted because it was too narrow (Fig. 2e). Positron-emission tomography with 18-fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG-PET) scanning showed a high accumulation of FDG in the tumor. The maximum standardized uptake value (SUV max) was 18.61, and there were no findings of metastasis (Fig. 3). Gastrointestinal endoscopy showed a gentle protuberance with mucosal reddening in the third portion of the duodenum, and the
endoscope could not pass through. A biopsy was done from this region. At the same time, endoscopic ultrasound-guided fine needle aspiration was done. Based on cytopathological findings, acinar cell carcinoma or PNET was suspected; however, a definitive diagnosis was difficult because of inadequate samples. We planned an operation because it would be possible to accomplish complete resection of the tumor, which showed an expansive growth. We performed a pylorus-preserving pancreatoduodenectomy (Whipple resection).

Macroscopically, the lesion presented as a light gray solid tumor which was 85 mm × 52 mm × 62 mm in size and occupied the uncinate process of the pancreas (Fig. 4a). Microscopic examination showed that the pancreatic tumor was composed of atypical small round cells with scant cytoplasm, and each had a round nucleus with a distinct nuclear membrane (Fig. 4b). The tumor cells invaded the duodenum and retroperitoneal fat tissue directly, and the retroperitoneal margin was histologically positive. There were aggressive lymphovascular invasions and lymph node metastases in 4 of 15 lymph nodes. Immunohistochemistry findings were strongly positive for CD99 (Fig. 4c), weekly positive for neuron-specific enolase, neural cell adhesion molecule, vimentin, synaptophysin, and CAM5.2, while negative for chromogranin A, cytokeratin AE1/AE3, cytokeratin 7, cytokeratin 20, carbohydrate antigen 19-9, CD10, and progesterone receptor. In addition, an Ewing sarcoma breakpoint region 1 gene, 22q12 rearrangement was proven by a two-color fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) assay (Fig. 4d). Finally, we diagnosed the tumor as a pPNET of the pancreas.

The patient developed postoperative complications such as a pancreatic fistula and a poor appetite and was treated conservatively. He recovered somewhat and was discharged 60 days after the surgery and transferred to a specialized facility for further adjuvant therapies.

**Discussion**

pPNET was first described by Stout [3] in 1918 as a tumor of the ulnar nerve with the gross features of a sarcoma but composed of small round cells focally arranged as rosettes. On the other hand, Ewing’s sarcoma was first reported in 1921 by Ewing [4], which was an undifferentiated small round cell tumor that mostly occurred in the bones of children. However, recent advances of genetic investigation revealed that Ewing’s sarcoma and pPNET showed the same chromosomal translocations as t(11;22)(q24;q12), and both were classified into the same category of ESFTs by the World Health Organization Classification in 2002 [5]. ESFTs are made up of Ewing’s sarcoma, extraosseous Ewing’s sarcoma, Askin’s tumor, and pPNET. pPNETs account for approximately 1 % of all sarcomas [6] and 20 % of malignant soft tissue tumors in children [7]. pPNETs most often occur in soft tissues or bones. Although pPNETs seldom arise in organs, there have been some sporadic case reports of pPNETs arising in a variety of organs, such as the kidney, urinary bladder, lung, uterus, and vagina. Moreover, pPNETs arising in the pancreas are extremely rare, accounting for only 0.3 % of primary pancreatic neoplasms [8].

In reviewing the literature, 25 cases of pPNET of the pancreas including the present case have been reported to date (Table 1) [2, 8–24]. The mean age at diagnosis was 18.2 ± 9.6 (2–37 years) and the median was 20 years old, which was 12 years older than that in the retrospective study of 975 Ewing tumors of bones in Europe [25]. There was no sexual predominance in the 25 cases reviewed in the literature (13 males, 12 females). The most common presenting finding was abdominal pain (68 %), subsequently jaundice (20 %), nausea (16 %), and anemia (16 %). Endocrine disorders such as hyperglycemia and precocious puberty were accompanied in some cases. The tumor commonly occurred in the pancreatic head...
and ranged in size from 35 to 220 mm (mean 88 mm), but supervened obstructive jaundice was seen in only 29% because of its expansive growth, quite different from invasive growth like a ductal carcinoma.

Abdominal CT and MRI are the most useful modalities to reveal these tumors. Tan et al. [26] reported the radiographic characteristics of these tumors precisely, in which typical cases showed as isodense or hypodense on unenhanced CT, isointense on T1WI, and either isointense or hyperintense on T2WI as revealed by MRI. The tumors usually had ill-defined borders and irregular shapes with heterogeneous enhancement. The present case was consistent with these findings.

The usefulness of FDG-PET was not determined. Gyorke et al. [27] reported that FDG-PET was a valuable method for the diagnosis of ESFT and PNET. To the contrary, Doi et al. [18] reported that PNET showed a low level of SUV, and the detection sensitivity of FDG-PET was lower than that of helical CT. In the present case, the tumor showed a high level of SUV. An accumulation of more cases is needed to definitively determine the usefulness of FDG-PET for PNETs.

A histopathological examination is important for the diagnosis of pPNET. PNETs are small round cells and express the product of the MIC2 gene on the X chromosome, which is confirmed with antibodies, such as CD99, O13, and 12E74. Moreover, another immunohistological analysis, such as neuron-specific enolase (NSE), vimentin, or cytokeratin, would be required to make a definitive diagnosis of pPNET. According to this review, a positive rate for NSE on pPNET was 92.9% (13/14), that for vimentin was 88.9% (8/9), that for cytokeratin AE1/AE3 was 57.1% (8/14), and that for synaptophysin was 46.7% (7/15) (Table 2). The combination of these histological features and immune cell changes lead to an accurate diagnosis of pPNET.

The PNETs have a typical chromosome translocation involving EWS gene loci on chromosome 22q12, located in the 5' sides of a chimera gene. Meanwhile, the 3' sides of a fusion gene are known as FLI1 t(11:22) (80–85%), ERG t(21:22) (5–15%), ETV1 t(7:22) (rare), E1AF t(17:22) (rare), and FEV t(2:22) (rare) [28, 29]. EWS gene encodes a multifunctional protein that is involved in various cellular processes, including gene expression, cell signaling, and RNA processing and transport [30]. Chromosomal translocations encoding transcription factors result in the production of chimeric proteins that are involved in tumorigenesis. The detection of the chimera gene assures the diagnosis of pPNET and may be a useful prognostic factor [1]. There are two methods to detect a chimera...
### Table 1 Clinical features of primitive neuroectodermal tumors of the pancreas

| Reference       | Age | Sex | Presenting finding                      | Tumor location | Maximum tumor diameter | Diagnostic procedure | Lymph node metastasis | Treatment                                      | Treatment details                                                                 | Follow-up (month) | Outcome |
|-----------------|-----|-----|-----------------------------------------|----------------|------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|---------|
| Danner [9]      | 17  | M   | Jaundice, nausea                        | Head           | 90                     | Whipple resection    | 0/9                   | VDC/cisplatin + etoposide, RAD               |                                                                      | 33                | NED     |
| Luttgens [8]    | 13  | F   | Diarrhea                                | Body           | 220                    | Whipple resection    | NA                    | CHE                                           |                                                                      | NA                | NA      |
|                 | 31  | M   | −                                       | Body           | NA                     | Biopsy               | NA                    | CHE                                           |                                                                      | NA                | NA      |
| Bulchmann [10]  | 6   | F   | Anemia                                  | Head           | 60                     | Whipple resection a  | 2/2                   | NP                                            |                                                                      | 6                 | DOD     |
| O’Sullivan [11] | 20  | F   | −                                       | Head           | 35                     | Whipple resection    | 4/34                  | CHE, RAD                                      |                                                                      | 30                | AWD     |
| Gemehu [12]     | 17  | M   | Abdominal swelling                      | Body           | 120                    | Resection            | NA                    | NP                                            |                                                                      | 36                | NED     |
| Movahedi [2]    | 20  | M   | Jaundice                                | Head           | 35                     | Whipple resection    | NA                    | NP                                            |                                                                      | 27                | AWD     |
|                 | 25  | F   | Jaundice                                | Head           | NA                     | Biopsy               | NA                    | NA                                            |                                                                      | NA                | NA      |
|                 | 21  | F   | NA                                      | Head           | NA                     | Whipple resection    | Positive              | NP                                            |                                                                      | DOC               | DOC     |
|                 | 25  | F   | Jaundice                                | Head           | 80                     | Biopsy               | NA                    | NA                                            |                                                                      | NA                | NA      |
|                 | 13  | M   | NA                                      | Head           | 60                     | Biopsy               | NA                    | VDC                                           |                                                                      | 43                | NED     |
|                 | 6   | M   | Jaundice                                | Head           | 35                     | Whipple resection    | Positive              | VDC                                           |                                                                      | 48                | DOD     |
| Takeuchi [13]   | 10  | F   | Abdominal swelling                      | Body           | 100                    | Biopsy               | NA                    | CHE, surgery, AST                            |                                                                      | 3                 | DOD     |
| Perek [14]      | 31  | M   | Fever, abdominal swelling               | Head           | 120                    | Whipple resection    | None                  | AI, ifosfamide, docetaxel                     |                                                                      | 50                | NED     |
| Welsch [15]     | 33  | M   | Nausea and vomiting                     | Body           | 150                    | Distal pancreatectomy | NA                   | VIDE, VAL, melphalan + etoposide, AST        |                                                                      | 12                | NED     |
| Schutte [16]    | 2   | F   | Precocious puberty                      | Body           | 60                     | Distal pancreatectomy | None                  | VDC/AI                                        |                                                                      | 12                | NED     |
| Wakao [17]      | 3   | M   | Abdominal swelling                      | Head           | 82                     | Biopsy               | NA                    | CITA, VDC/E, MEC, surgery, a                 | AST, RAD (30 Gy)                                                | 8                 | NED     |
| Doi [18]        | 37  | M   | Jaundice                                | Head           | 60                     | Whipple resection    | Positive              | VDC, IE, RAD                                  |                                                                      | 6                 | NED     |
| Meron [19]      | 8   | F   | NA                                      | Body           | 100                    | Biopsy               | NA                    | Doxorubicin, RAD                              |                                                                      | 19                | DOD     |
| Jing [20]       | 24  | F   | NA                                      | Head           | 100                    | Resection            | NA                    | CHE, RAD                                      |                                                                      | NA                | NA      |
| Maxwell [21]    | 11  | M   | Fatigue, anemia                         | Head           | 98                     | Whipple resection a  | NA                    | VDC/E                                         |                                                                      | 15                | AWD     |
| Mao [22]        | 13  | F   | Hyperglycemia                           | Head           | 150                    | Resection (RUPT)     | None                  | VAC, MAID, RAD                                |                                                                      | 41                | AWD     |
|                | Sex | Age | Symptoms | Location | Operation               | Chemotherapy       | Follow-up |
|----------------|-----|-----|----------|----------|--------------------------|--------------------|-----------|
| Reilly [23]    | M   | 58  | Nausea   | Body     | Distal pancreatectomy*   | 1/24               | NA        |
| Dias [24]      | F   | 42  |          | Head     | Whipple resection        | None               | VAI, VDC  |
| Present case   | M   | 85  | Nausea, anemia | Head | Whipple resection*        | 4/15               | CHE, RAD  |

*The tumor directly invaded another organ

---

- absent, + present, \( A1 \) actinomycin D (dactinomycin)/ifosamide, \( AST \) autologous stem cell transplantation, \( AWD \) alive with disease, \( CHE \) chemotherapy (details unknown), \( IE \) ifosamide/etoposide, \( DOC \) died of postoperative complication, \( DOD \) died of disease, \( F \) female, \( M \) male, \( MAID \) doxorubicin/dacarvazine/ifosamide, \( MEC \) melphalan/etoposide/cisplatin, \( NA \) not available, \( NED \) no evidence of disease, \( NP \) not performed, \( RAD \) radiation, details unknown, \( RUPT \) resection of the uncinated process tumor, \( VAC \) vincristine/actinomycin D (dactinomycin)/cyclophosphamide, \( VAI \) vincristine/actinomycin D (dactinomycin)/ifosamide, \( VAIA \) vincristine/doxorubicin/ifosamide alternating with vincristine/actinomycin D (dactinomycin)/ifosamide, \( VDC \) vincristine/doxorubicin/cyclophosphamide, \( VIDE \) vincristine/ifosamide/doxorubicin/etoposide.
gene: one is reverse transcript polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) and the other is FISH. In RT-PCR analysis, fresh or frozen tissues are better samples than formalin-fixed, paraffin wax-embedded (FFPE) tissues. Yamaguchi et al. [31] reported that the fusion transcripts could not always be detected by RT-PCR using FFPE tissue. Indeed, there were two reports that stated that the extraction of RNA could not be accomplished from formalin-fixed tissues. On the other hand, FISH analysis could be performed with FFPE tissues. The interphase FISH method using Ewing sarcoma breakpoint region 1 (EWSR1) dual-color, break-apart probes is sensitive and specific for the detection of rearrangement of the EWS gene on chromosome 22q12, although the probes specifically identify t(22q12) but cannot specifically identify the translocation partners [31]. The detailed examination of the chimera gene provides important information regarding prognosis [1]. Thus, in a case of an operation on a young patient suspected of a small round cell tumor or an undifferentiated tumor, it is recommended to preserve frozen samples of the tumor.

pPNETs are highly aggressive malignant tumors with almost inevitable recurrence and metastases. Metastases to the bone, bone marrow, lymph nodes, lung, liver, and other organs have been reported. Currently, the standard treatment of pPNETs is complete surgical resection with an adequate margin. Ozaki et al. [32] reported that the surgical resection for pPNET contributed to increase the disease control rate and survival rate, regardless of radiotherapy. However, with pPNETs originating from the pancreas, it is sometimes difficult to achieve complete resection with a safe surgical margin because unresectable organs, such as major vessels, are located adjacent to the pancreas. In the present case, the retroperitoneal margin was microscopically positive, as the anterior surface of the inferior vena cava could have been. Therefore, perioperative chemotherapy and radiotherapy play a great part toward controlling this type of disease.

Table 2 Immunohistochemical features of primitive neuroectodermal tumors of the pancreas

| Reference | MIC-2 (CD99/D13/12E7) | NSE | AE1/AE3 | VM | SYN | CHR | Cytogenetic analysis | Chromosomal translocation |
|-----------|-----------------------|-----|---------|----|-----|-----|----------------------|--------------------------|
| Danner [9]| +                     | +   | +       | NP | –   | –   | RT-PCR              | EWS exon 7 to FLI1 exon6  |
| Lutgges [8]|                      |     |         |    |     |     |                     |                          |
| Bulchmann [10]|                  | +   | NP      | –  | –   | –   | FISH                | EWSR1                    |
| O’Sullivan [11]|               |     |         |    |     |     |                     |                          |
| Gemechu [12]|                  | NP  | NP      | NP | +   | +   | RT-PCR              | EWS-FLI1                 |
| Movahedi [2]|                      |     |         |    |     |     |                     |                          |
| Takeuchi [13]|                 | +   | +       | NP | NP  | NP  | RT-PCR              | EWS-FLI1                 |
| Perek [14]|                      |     |         |    |     |     |                     |                          |
| Welsch [15]|                      |     |         |    |     |     |                     |                          |
| Schutte [16]|                   | NP  | –       | +  | +   | +   | FISH                | EWSR1                    |
| Wakao [17]|                      |     |         |    |     |     |                     |                          |
| Doi [18]|                      |     |         |    |     |     |                     |                          |
| Menon [19]|                      |     |         |    |     |     |                     |                          |
| Jing [20]|                      |     |         |    |     |     |                     |                          |
| Maxwell [21]|                   | NP  | +       | +  | –   | NP  | RT-PCR              | EWS-ERG                  |
| Mao [22]|                      |     |         |    |     |     |                     |                          |
| Reilly [23]|                   |     |         |    |     |     |                     |                          |
| Dias [24]|                      |     |         |    |     |     |                     |                          |
| Present case|                      |     |         |    |     |     |                     |                          |

– absent, + present, AE1/AE3 cytokeratin AE1/AE3, CHR chromogranin A, EWSR1 Ewing sarcoma breakpoint region 1 gene one on 22q12, FISH fluorescence in situ hybridization, NA not available, NP not performed, NSE neuron-specific enolase, RT-PCR reverse transcript polymerase chain reaction, SYN synaptophysin, WM vimentin

∗RNA exhaustion from a paraffin block was impossible

---

Dias [24] + NP NP NP – – FISH EWSR1

Present case + + + + + FISH EWSR1
Conclusions
We reported a case of an extremely rare tumor originating from the pancreatic head in a young adult. When examining a young patient suspected of a small round cell tumor or undifferentiated tumor, frozen samples of the tumor should be used for a definitive diagnosis. Aggressive surgical resection in combination with chemotherapy and radiotherapy is the current standard of treatment, but the prognosis of this rare tumor remains unsatisfactory. To improve the outcome, the accumulation of such cases and further investigations are warranted.
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