The missing link in the history of the locked intramedullary nail
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ABSTRACT

Femoral shaft intramedullary nails were first described by the Aztecs in the 16th century, but the modern use of intramedullary nails as the gold standard treatment for femoral shaft fractures began with Gerald Kuntcher in 1939. From the first Kuntcher’s study in 1939, to the creation of interlocking nail, a long and some minor developments were described around the world. However, a major development is missing: the first nail to have a rotational and vertical stability locking system was described by Flavio Godoy Moreira, but was never published on an indexed journal for the correct historical reference. Level of Evidence V, Therapeutics Studies, Expert Opinion.
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INTRODUCTION

The Aztecs were the first to describe the use of intramedullary nail to fix shaft fracture, in the 16th century.1,2,3 There were also some descriptions of ivory nails in the end of 19th century,4 but the history of modern intramedullary nailing of shaft fractures begins with G. Kuntscher during the World War II.5,6 Kuntscher published the results of 39 cases in 1939 and, in 1947, another study with 107 war patients subjected to the treatment of femoral and tibia shaft fracture with intramedullary nail.2,4,5 At the same time, roughly 60 other surgeons around the world worked in to ream the canal to insert larger nails.1

After the arrival of the first American soldiers with German nails back from the war, the nail use expanded in US. The unlocked Kuntscher nail had a good indication in simple type fractures at the isthmus of the femur and tibia, and bad results in non-isthmus (large canal) and comminuted fractures, with shortening and bad union. To expand the indication of nailing to complex fractures attempts to create axial and rotational control with the nailing has begun.1 Modny and Bambara7 in 1953, described a multiperforated nail with crossed screws to add axial and rotational control. Other authors worked in to ream the canal to insert larger nails.

In 1968, Küntscher discussed the “detensor” nail, soon called interlocking nail, with one crossing screw proximal and the other distal, to keep the length and rotation of the limb. In the 1970s, the current design of nail with interlocking bolt was developed by a group of surgeons and spread all around the world. This review aimed to position in the history of the intramedullary nailing the innovative idea of Professor Flavio Pires de Camargo and his own design of a nail with a distal self-locking device to improve axial and rotational stability, by analyzing a published thesis with 240 patients in 1952 (Figure 1).

RESUMO

A história da haste intramedular bloqueada nas fraturas do fêmur se inicia com os Astecas no século 16, mas o uso moderno que a tornou o padrão ouro no tratamento destas fraturas se inicia com Gerald Kuntcher em 1939. Do estudo inicial de Kutcher até o desenvolvimento da haste bloqueada muitos pequenos desenvolvimentos foram descritos ao redor do mundo. Dentro deste desenvolvimento, a primeira haste a ter um controle vertical e rotacional foi descrito por Flavio Pires de Camargo, e nunca foi publicado em um jornal indexado que permita sua correta referência histórica. Nível de Evidência V, Estudos Terapêuticos, Opinião de especialista.

Descritores: Humanos. Fraturas Espontâneas. Suporte de Carga. Fixação Intramedular de Fraturas. Fraturas do Fêmur.
Even though Prof. Flavio’s thesis is cited on a Küntscher’s book as a reference, it was never published on an indexed journal to be easily accessible for research and citation.

A very similar system was described as Brooker-Willis nail and one recent presentation on the EFORT congress refers to Prof. Flavio thesis pioneering system. Between August 1946 and December 1951, 240 fractures were treated with intramedullary nail in the Hospital das Clínicas da Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade de São Paulo. On the same period, however, the number of fresh femoral fractures on the clinic was 1269, and only 127 were treated with intramedullary nails. The use of intramedullary nails on every long bone is discussed on the thesis, therefore, this review focuses on the innovation on locking femoral nails. The very first difference between Küntscher’s technique and that described in the thesis is that the nails were made in an open focus style due to the strong fear of using X-Ray during the surgery (Figure 3).

**DISCUSSION**

In Europe and North America, the number of scientific publications were increasing and intramedullary nails were a great area of development, as cited by Born. And in Brazil, the Instituto de Ortopedia e Traumatologia da Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade de São Paulo was a place for technique and implant development, counting on its own workshop to produce the created implants (Figure 2).

**Figure 1.** The thesis first page with the printed year (1952).

**Figure 2.** The different nails used at the time and the last one with the distal blades to improve axial and rotational control.

**Figure 3.** The open technique to avoid X-Ray use.
To make this technique simpler, both sides of the nail must have fit and threads compatible with the insertion device, so, for the retrograde insertion from the fracture site, the insertion device could be positioned on the other tip of the nail after the fracture reduction. Another interesting reason to not perform the percutaneous technique is postponing surgery for some days after the trauma. The description is that the second hit to the patient could be fatal, and that waiting for some time before the surgery could be safer; however, it could cause shortening, and limiting the possibility of percutaneous reduction is probably a very early observation of this correct moment for surgery in the literature. The real missing link is attributed by the author to the head of the department, Professor Godoy Moreira, who created a system with two blades on the tip of a metallic wire, that was inserted through the nail, and the blades opened when they found the threads on the distal part of the nail (Figure 4 and 5).

Küntscher’s nail modification for the fracture of 1/3 distal femur: A — The nail in its two faces. Observe the modification on 1/3 distal. B — The stick is introduced inside of the nail. Observe in the distal end the two blades. C — With a simple mechanism the blades are opened and fixed in the spongy tissue or in the cortical internal face.

Although it was used on a small number of patients, only ten individuals at the time of the publication, good results are described, with rotational and angular control, bone healing and full weightbearing around 70 days (Figures 6 to 9).
CONCLUSION

This thesis shows the Brazilian, and more specifically the IOT-HC-FMUSP contribution for the history of locked intramedullary nails, and this data was not accessible on an indexed paper for research and citation.
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