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ABSTRACT
The authors report the rare occurrence of two cases of fracture of the tibial component in total knee arthroplasty. They review the literature and discuss the main factors that can cause failure of the implants.
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INTRODUCTION
Total knee arthroplasty is a high-complexity procedure that is constantly evolving. It is basically indicated for patients with a diagnosis of knee osteoarthritis or rheumatic diseases. Implants with more modern designs are made to resemble the anatomy of normal knees as much as possible. They are inserted using instruments that are increasingly precise, with less aggression to soft tissues, thus making surgical indications ever more wide-ranging.

The good results obtained from total knee arthroplasty (TKA) have been well documented in the literature, both with regard to pain relief and with regard to maintenance of these results over long-term follow-up (1-6).

There are several complications inherent to this type of surgical procedure. Fractures in implants are a rare occurrence and are mostly seen in the literature in the form of case reports.

The aim of this study was to present two cases of fracture of the tibial component using the same model and type of prosthesis.

Case 1
The patient was a 68-year-old woman with body mass index > 30, who presented osteoarthrosis and underwent total knee arthroplasty on the left knee in January 2000, at the Orthopedics and Traumatology Service, Miguel Couto Municipal Hospital. The PCA prosthesis model was used (Howmedica®). The patient underwent successive revisions with the physician responsible for this, but the varus angular deformity and range of motion remained unchanged after the initial postoperative period. In October 2008, the patient returned to her physician with worsening of the painful condition, and she was then referred to the knee outpatient clinic. Imaging examinations and laboratory tests were requested in order to assess the knee for possible TKA revision.

In January 2009, she went to the knee outpatient clinic for the first time with a condition of intense pain without any history of trauma and with laboratory tests that were negative for infection. In the physical examination, we observed varus knee deformity and a range of motion from 0 to 75°. In a radiographic examination on the knee while bearing weight, we observed a fracture of the tibial component using the same model and type of prosthesis.
fracture in the tibial component (Figure 1). TKA revision surgery was indicated, and this was performed in August 2009 (Figures 2 and 3). During the immediate postoperative period, we observed pain relief and functional improvement of the knee.

![Figure 1- Preoperative radiograph of case 1.](image1)

![Figure 2 – Intraoperative view of case 1.](image2)

Figure 3- Fracture of the tibial component of case 1.

the varus angular deformity and range of motion remained unchanged. In May 1997, he returned to his physician with persistence of the painful condition, and he was then referred to the National Institute of Traumatology and Orthopedics. Imaging examinations and laboratory tests were requested in order to assess the knee for possible TKA revision. After screening, the patient entered the waiting list for TKA revision.

In April 1999, he went to the knee outpatient clinic of the National Institute of Traumatology and Orthopedics with a condition of intense pain, without any history or trauma and with laboratory tests that were negative for infection. In the physical examination and in the radiographic examination while bearing weight, we observed a varus knee deformity and a range of motion from 0 to 80° (Figure 4). TKA revision surgery was indicated, and this was performed in June 1999 (Figures 5 and 6). During the immediate postoperative period, we observed pain relief and functional improvement of the knee.

**Case 2**

The patient was a 72-year-old man with normal body mass index, who presented osteoarthrosis and underwent total arthroplasty on the left knee in January 1994, at a private hospital in the state of Rio de Janeiro. The PCA prosthesis model was used (Howmedica®). The patient underwent successive revisions with the physician responsible for this, but

![Figure 4- Intraoperative view of case 2.](image3)

the varus angular deformity and range of motion remained unchanged. In May 1997, he returned to his physician with persistence of the painful condition, and he was then referred to the National Institute of Traumatology and Orthopedics. Imaging examinations and laboratory tests were requested in order to assess the knee for possible TKA revision. After screening, the patient entered the waiting list for TKA revision.

In April 1999, he went to the knee outpatient clinic of the National Institute of Traumatology and Orthopedics with a condition of intense pain, without any history or trauma and with laboratory tests that were negative for infection. In the physical examination and in the radiographic examination while bearing weight, we observed a varus knee deformity and a range of motion from 0 to 80° (Figure 4). TKA revision surgery was indicated, and this was performed in June 1999 (Figures 5 and 6). During the immediate postoperative period, we observed pain relief and functional improvement of the knee.

**DISCUSSION**

Several factors may be involved in fractures of TKA implants. In the literature, the ones cited are: bone loss, misalignment, obesity, high activity level, manufacturing error and inappropriate design. Our opinion is that we agree with the above cited affirmation. Moreover, we believe that success in the surgical procedure depends on very many factors: the surgeon’s familiarity with the procedure, good
ligament balance, appropriate precision instruments and a good-quality durable implant.

In our view, the two implants in our sample remained in varus knee positioning because of inadequate ligament balance. Because of this, greater weight was borne on the medial compartment of the knee, thus facilitating fracturing of the implant. Luring et al(7) corroborated our thinking and reported that misalignment of the implant creates osteolysis and generates cyclic overload on the prosthesis used. Swarts et al(8) considered that correct alignment was the main factor in TKA success. Rousseau et al(9) observed that early failure in TKA (excluding cases of infection) was related to technical problems in prosthesis implantation that could be avoided.

The two implants used in our study were of the same model made in the United States (Howmedica®). Flivik et al(10) and Maruyama et al(11) concluded from their studies that inappropriate design was the main factor involved in fracturing of the tibial component. In our study, the prosthesis used was of modern design, and what drew our attention was the similar fracture location in the two implants.

Wada et al(12) observed that the thickness of femoral implants used was less than that of other types of prosthesis, and that this was a predisposing factor for the risk of fracture. In addition, they reported that their patients were not overweight, and they considered that their failure rate of 3.3% was high. In our opinion, the thickness of the implant is a fundamental factor for the durability of the TKA. When we correlated this with our series, we found that overweight associated with misalignment due to ligament imbalance was the determining factor in the fracturing of the implant seen in case 1. It is generally known that obesity leads to greater wear on implants, and if this is associated with poor positioning of the prosthesis due to inadequate balance of soft tissues, the survival of the implant will be further diminished. Scott et al(13) corroborated our thinking and added that asymmetrical forces in the knee following TKA could cause fracturing due to implant fatigue, implant failure or deformation of the plastic component.
Whiteside et al\textsuperscript{(14)} found 32 cases of fracturing of the femoral component when the Ortholoc II model was used. They concluded that the design of this prosthesis predisposed towards occurrences of fractures because of the existence of a thinner area between the chamfer and the distal surface of the implant. For this reason, we advocate that biomechanical tests and better quality control should be performed when putting implants on the market. Cook et al\textsuperscript{(15)} and Mendes et al\textsuperscript{(16)} observed manufacturing errors that led to fracturing of implants. Morrey et al\textsuperscript{(17)} stated that the temperature used in manufacturing the prosthesis and irregularity in the porous surface were the main risk factors when we made correlations with implant manufacturing errors.

Huang et al\textsuperscript{(18)} and Han et al\textsuperscript{(19)} warned that after performing TKA, the wear on the polyethylene and occurrences of osteolysis should be observed. Early surgical intervention minimizes the risk of bone loss, and serious complications like loosening of the prosthesis, supracondylar fracture of the femur or implant fracturing can be avoided\textsuperscript{(18)}.

Abernethy et al\textsuperscript{(20)} observed that fracturing of the tibial component was the main cause of early revision in TKA cases. After making a review of the literature, we do not agree with this affirmation. In our view, fracturing of the implant following TKA is a rare complication. We emphasize that TKA consists of soft-tissue surgery, in which ligament balance is the starting point for adequate positioning of the implant and survival of the prosthesis. The failure in our cases was due to inadequate ligament balance, which generated asymmetrical forces and breakage of the implants.
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