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Synthesis of Half-Titanocene Complexes Containing \(\pi,\pi\)-Stacked Aryloxide Ligands, and Their Use as Catalysts for Ethylene (Co)polymerizations
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Abstract: A family of half-titanocene complexes bearing \(\pi,\pi\)-stacked aryloxide ligands and their catalytic performances towards ethylene homo-/co-polymerizations were disclosed herein. All the complexes were well characterized, and the intermolecular \(\pi,\pi\)-stacking interactions could be clearly identified from single crystal X-ray analysis, in which a stronger interaction could be reflected for aryloxides bearing bigger \(\pi\)-systems, e.g., pyrenoxide. Due to the formation of such interactions, these complexes were able to highly catalyze the ethylene homopolymerizations and copolymerization with 1-hexene comonomer, even without any additives on the aryloxide group, which showed striking contrast to other half-titanocene analogues, implying the positive influence of \(\pi,\pi\)-stacking interaction in enhancing the catalytic performances of the corresponding catalysts. Moreover, it was found that addition of external pyrene molecules was capable of boosting the catalytic efficiency significantly, due to the formation of a stronger \(\pi,\pi\)-stacking interaction between the complexes and pyrene molecules.

Keywords: half-titanocene complexes; \(\pi,\pi\)-stacking interaction; fused-aryloxide ligands; ethylene (co)polymerization

1. Introduction

\(\pi,\pi\)-stacking refers to the \(\pi\)-interaction between the \(\pi\)-electron clouds of aromatic systems \([1,2]\). It is mainly caused by intermolecular overlapping of \(p\) orbitals in \(\pi\)-conjugated systems. Based on its stacking patterns, \(\pi,\pi\)-stacking can be classified into three models: face-to-face (sandwich), edge-to-face (T-shaped), and offset face-to-face (parallel-displaced) \([3,4]\). Due to its multiplicity and ubiquity, such a non-covalent interaction has been widely explored in many fields of chemistry \([5–8]\) and biochemistry \([9–11]\), and more importantly, it also reveals a decisive role in influencing the course of a reaction \([12–21]\). However, regarding olefin polymerizations, the influence of \(\pi,\pi\)-stacking on catalytic performances is still much less explored. As the field progressed, the main strategy for regulating olefin polymerization behaviors from a catalyst level is still relying on steric and electronic modification of the ligands, and for a long time, scientists have been seeking for effective alternative methodologies \([22,23]\). Considering its diversity as well as facile construction from simple introducing fused-aryl moieties, \(\pi,\pi\)-stacking might act as a promising candidate for realizing such a goal, and in recent years, research interest in this field is upsurging (Scheme 1). For instance, incorporation of intra-ligand \(\pi,\pi\)-interaction is able to improve the thermal robustness of the active species for \(\alpha\)-diimine Ni/Pd mediated...
ethylene (co)polymerizations, and simultaneously regulate the molecular weights and branching densities of the resultant polyethylenes [24,25]; immobilization group IV metallocene and bis(aryl imino)pyridine ferrous complexes onto graphene nanoplatelets or carbon nanotubes via π,π-stacking interactions is capable of enhancing the overall catalytic activities towards olefin polymerization [26,27], and in some cases, affording ultra-high-molecular-weight products, that is difficult to be achieved by traditional catalysts [28,29].

Scheme 1. Olefin polymerization catalysts containing π,π-stacking interactions (one of the stacked π-systems is painted into blue to give a clearer distinguishability).

Halftitanocene type complexes Cp’TiX2(OR) (Cp’ = substituted cyclopentadienyl; X = Cl, Me etc.; OR = alkyloxide, aryloxide, etc.), is currently one of the most important systems that have been widely explored for ethylene (co)polymerizations [30–43]. In such a system, due to the abundance and commercial availability of diversified phenol derivatives, ethylene (co)polymerization performances as well as the molecular parameters, such as molecular weight, polydispersity, comonomer incorporation percentage, comonomer sequences, etc., can be well regulated through tailoring the substituents on the phenoxide moiety. Based on such considerations, in this research, a series of halftitanocene complexes containing fused-aryloxides ligands were disclosed, and intermolecular π-π stacking interaction can be clearly observed between these aryloxide moieties. Their structural characterizations, as well as the influence of π-π stacking interaction on ethylene homo-/co-polymerization are also studied, which will be given in the following.

2. Experimental Section

2.1. Materials

All manipulations of air- and moisture-sensitive materials were carried out in a high vacuum line or a glovebox with a medium capacity recirculator (<2 ppm oxy-
The solvents (hexane, toluene, dichloromethane, benzene-\textsubscript{d6}) were purchased from Shanghai Aladdin Biochemical Technology Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China) and refluxed over by sodium or CaH\textsubscript{2} and degassed by three freeze–pump–thaw cycles prior to use. The Trichloro (cyclopentadienyl) titanium and trichloro (pentamethylcyclopentadienyl) titanium were supplied by Merck Ltd. (Shanghai, China) on Aldrich Chemical Company. DMAO was evaporated under vacuum to obtain a white residue according to the literature \cite{44}.

2.2. Characterizations

\textsuperscript{1}H NMR (400 MHz) and \textsuperscript{13}C NMR (100 MHz) spectra of complexes measured on a Bruker-300 MHz (Bruker Optics, Ettlingen, Germany) in C\textsubscript{6}D\textsubscript{6} using tetramethylsilane as an internal standard. Ultraviolet–visible (UV–vis) absorption spectra were recorded on a Cary 500 Scan UV–vis spectrophotometer. For the absorption of the UV spectra, the concentration of pyrene was fixed at 5 × 10\textsuperscript{-6} M and the concentration of the host was increased from 0 to 24 × 10\textsuperscript{-7} M in CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2} at 298 K. The NMR spectra of the polymers were recorded on a Varian Unity-400 NMR (Varian, Inc., Palo Alto, CA, USA) spectrometer at 135 °C with C\textsubscript{6}D\textsubscript{4}Cl\textsubscript{2} as a solvent. Elemental analysis was carried out using an elemental Vario EL spectrophotometer (Elementar Analysensysteme GmbH, Langenselbold, Germany). The molecular weights (\(M_m\)) and molecular weight distributions (PDI, \(M_w/M_n\)) of polymers were determined by PL-GPC 200 high-temperature gel permeation chromatography (Agilent Technologies, CA, USA) at 135 °C using 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene as an eluent. All the DFT calculations were performed with the Gaussian 09 program \cite{45}. The B3LYP functional together with the 6-311+G** basis set for all the atoms. Solvent (toluene) effects were included using the SMD model \cite{46}. The 3D molecular structures displayed in the manuscript were drawn by using CYLview \cite{47}.

Crystals of the titanium complexes were obtained by laying hexane onto toluene solutions. Data collections were performed on a Bruker SMART APEX diffractometer at \(-88.5\) °C with a CCD area detector using graphite monochromated MoK\textsubscript{\alpha} radiation (\(\lambda = 0.71073\) Å). The determination of crystal class and unit cell parameters was carried out by the SMART program package. The raw frame data were processed using SAINT and SADABS to collect the reflection data file. Refinement was performed on F\textsuperscript{2} anisotropically for all non-hydrogen atoms by full-matrix least-squares method. Details of X-ray structure determinations and refinements are summarized in Table S1 in the supporting information. CCDC numbers for Ti1 and Ti3: 1874219, 1481991.

2.3. Synthesis of Half-Titanocene Complexes

2.3.1. Synthesis of Complex Ti1

A solution of the CpTiCl\textsubscript{3} (0.5 g, 2.27 mmol) in 10 mL of CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2} was reacted with 1.0 equiv. of lithium 1-naphthoxide (0.33 g, 2.27 mmol) in 10 mL CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}. The mixture was warmed from \(-78\) °C to room temperature and stirred for 12 h. The solvent was evaporated under vacuum to obtain a red residue. The powder was washed twice with diethyl ether (10 mL) and filtered, recrystallization from the concentrated toluene/hexane solution afforded the target complex as red crystals. Yield: 62%. \textsuperscript{1}H NMR (CDCl\textsubscript{3}): \(\delta\) 8.49–8.47 (m, 1H, Ar-H), 7.57–7.55 (m, 1H, Ar-H), 7.35–7.27 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.23–7.19 (m, 1H, Ar-H), 7.09–7.05 (m, 1H, Ar-H), 6.82–6.80 (m, 1H, Ar-H), 6.08 (s, 5H, Cp). \textsuperscript{13}C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) \(\delta\) 164.97, 134.48, 127.75, 126.92, 126.70, 125.59, 125.47, 124.66, 122.24, 121.15, 114.66. Anal. Calcd for C\textsubscript{15}H\textsubscript{12}Cl\textsubscript{2}OTi: C, 55.09; H, 3.70. Found: C, 55.29; H, 3.65.

2.3.2. Synthesis of Complex Ti2

The complex Ti2 was carried out using a similar method as preparation of Ti1. Yield: 63%. \textsuperscript{1}H NMR (CDCl\textsubscript{3}): \(\delta\) 8.59–8.57 (m, 1H, Ar-H), 8.39–8.35 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.58–7.33 (m, 5H, Ar-H), 7.20 (s, 1H, Ar-H), 6.07 (s, 5H, Cp). \textsuperscript{13}C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) \(\delta\) 163.28, 131.76, 126.49, 125.39, 124.66, 122.24, 121.15, 114.66. Anal. Calcd for C\textsubscript{15}H\textsubscript{12}Cl\textsubscript{2}OTi: C, 55.09; H, 3.70. Found: C, 55.29; H, 3.65.
131.18, 128.31, 128.10, 127.68, 127.33, 126.19, 122.97, 122.83, 122.77, 121.24, 119.60, 113.50. Anal. Calcd for C_{19}H_{14}Cl_2OTi: C, 60.52; H, 3.74. Found: C, 60.63; H, 3.70.

2.3.3. Synthesis of Complex Ti3

Lithium1-pyrenoxide (0.49 g, 2.27 mmol) was added slowly to a stirred toluene solution (10 mL) containing Cp*TiCl_3 (0.65 g, 2.27 mmol) at −78 °C. The mixture was warmed to room temperature and then refluxed for 24 h. The red powder was obtained by removing the solvent, recrystallization from the concentrated toluene/hexane solution afforded the desired product as red crystals. Yield: 60%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3, δ, ppm): 8.42–8.40 (m, 1H, Pyrene-H), 8.20–7.85 (m, 7H, Pyrene-H), 7.80–7.71 (m, 1H, Pyrene-H), 6.82 (s, 5H, Cp-H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 163.45, 131.38, 128.31, 127.13, 126.88, 126.55, 125.64, 125.32, 125.16, 121.17, 120.83, 119.56, 117.21, 103.81. Anal. Calc. for C_{21}H_{14}Cl_2OTi (401.1): C, 62.88; H, 3.52. Found: C, 62.91; H, 3.49.

2.3.4. Synthesis of Complex Ti4

The complex Ti4 was carried out using a similar method as preparation of Ti3. Yield: 42%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3, δ, ppm): 8.42–8.40 (m, 1H, Pyrene-H), 8.20–7.85 (m, 7H, Pyrene-H), 7.80–7.71 (m, 1H, Pyrene-H), 1.5 (s, 15H, Cp-Me). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 159.51, 133.24, 131.51, 127.62, 127.28, 126.33, 126.19, 125.57, 125.39, 125.12, 124.84, 121.34, 118.33, 13.13. Anal. Calc. for C_{26}H_{24}Cl_2OTi (471.2): C, 66.27; H, 5.13. Found: C, 66.21; H, 4.79.

2.4. Polymerization Procedure

A typical polymerization procedure for ethylene polymerization was shown as follows: 100 mL stainless steel autoclave was heated in a vacuum at 80 °C and recharged with ethylene three times, then cooled to room temperature. In a 10 mL Schlenk flask, the additive (pyrene) solution in toluene (1 mL) was added to a solution of titanium complex Ti1, the mixture stirred for 10 min and transferred into the reactor. Then, the required amount of the cocatalyst was added, the autoclave was pressurized to 6 atm immediately. The reaction mixture was stirred at the desired temperature for 10 min. The mixture was then quenched by pouring into a large quantity of acidified ethanol containing HCl (3 M). The polymer was collected by filtration, washed with water and ethanol, and dried to a constant weight under vacuum at 70 °C.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Synthesis and Characterization of the Half-Titanocenes Ti1–Ti4

Half-titanocene complexes Ti1–Ti4 containing anionic fused-aryloxide ligands were prepared by stoichiometric reaction between CpTiCl_3 (or Cp*TiCl_3) and newly prepared lithium aryloxide derivatives (Scheme 2). Additionally, very pure products could be crystallized as red platelets in high yields upon cooling their saturated n-hexane/toluene solutions to −35 °C in the drybox. In order to establish the structure-activity relationship, fused-aryloxides bearing different π-systems, including 1-naphthoxide, 9-phenanthrenoxide, 1-pyrenoxide, were intentionally explored. All the complexes were well-characterized by NMR and elemental analysis. Moreover, the solid-state structure of Ti1 and Ti3 were further confirmed by single crystal X-ray analysis.
Scheme 2. Synthetic procedure for complexes Ti1–Ti4.

Single crystal structures of complexes Ti1 and Ti3 are shown in Figures 1–4. In these two complexes, the Ti-O and O-Cipso bond distances are 1.7788(15) Å (Ti1), 1.7794(18) Å (Ti3) and 1.365(2) Å (Ti1), 1.362(3) Å (Ti3), respectively, which are quite similar to previously reported CpTiCl2(OAr) analogues that reveal Ti-O bond distances of 1.75-1.82 Å and O-Cipso bond distances of ca. 1.36 Å [34,42,48–58]. In contrast, they reveal much larger Cipso-O-Ti bond angles (158.09(13)o for Ti1, 158.26(18)o for Ti3) when comparing half-titanocenes ligated with 2,6-unsubstituted aryloxide moieties that possess similar steric hindrance around the metal center, such as Cipso-O-Ti bond angle of 153.77(16)o in CpTiCl2(O(4-tBuPh)) [59]. These larger angles imply much bigger O→Ti π donations into titanium due to the much bigger π systems in fused-aryloxide moieties. Nevertheless, they are still comparatively smaller than counterparts having 2,6-diisopropylphenoxide ligand (163.0(4)o for CpTi, and 173.0(3)o for Cp*Ti) due to the lack of ortho-bulky groups that could ‘sterically’ force the more open Cipso-O-Ti angle [31].

Figure 1. Single crystal structure of complex Ti1 (left); side-view of the π-π stacked dimer (upper right); top-view of the π-π stacked dimer (lower right, the two naphthalenyl rings were drawn in different color to give a clearer distinguishability). Selected bond length (Å) and angles (°): Ti1-Cl1, 2.2517(10), Ti1-Cl2, 2.2764(10), Ti1-O1, 1.7768(15), O1-C1, 1.365(2), C1-Ti1-Cl2, 102.53(5), O1-Ti1-Cl1, 103.76(6), O1-Ti1-Cl2, 102.39(7), C1-O1-Ti1, 158.09(13).
Figure 1. Single crystal structure of complex Ti1 (left); side-view of the π-π stacked dimer (upper right); top-view of the π-π stacked dimer (lower right, the two naphthalenyl rings were drawn in different color to give a clearer distinguishability). Selected bond length (Å) and angles (°): Ti1-Cl1, 2.2517(10), Ti1-Cl2, 2.2764(10), Ti1-O1, 1.7788(15), O1-C1, 1.365(2), Cl1-Ti1-Cl2, 102.53(5), O1-Ti1-Cl1, 103.76(6), O1-Ti1-Cl2, 102.39(7), C1-O1-Ti1, 158.09(13).

Figure 2. Single crystal packing diagram of complex Ti1.

Figure 3. Single crystal structure of complex Ti3 (left); side-view of the π-π stacked dimer (upper right); top-view of the π-π stacked dimer (lower right, the two pyrenyl rings were drawn in different color to give a clearer distinguishability). Selected bond length (Å) and angles (°): Ti1-O1, 1.7794(18), Ti1-Cl2, 2.2528(8), Ti1-Cl1, 2.2737(9), O1-C1, 1.362(3), O1-Ti1-Cl2, 102.22(7), O1-Ti1-Cl1, 103.00(7), C1-O1-Ti1, 158.26(18).

As designed, intermolecular π-π stacking interactions can be clearly observed in both two complexes (Figures 1–4). Two spatially adjacent anionic fused-aryloxide groups are found to be almost parallel with each other, giving a reversely orientated dimer structure. Additionally, similarly to most cases, an offset stacked conformation was adopted [1,4]. The strength of the π-π stacking interactions can be evaluated by the distances between two almost parallel planes. As illustrated in Figures 2 and 4, an obvious shorter distance with value of 3.430 Å in Ti3 was observed (versus 3.519 Å in Ti1), implying the much stronger π-π interaction in Ti3. This result makes sense when considering the overlapping nature of p orbitals in π-conjugated systems, which becomes stronger as the number of π-electrons increases.
Ethylene homopolymerizations were firstly evaluated by using the present half-titanocene complexes Ti1–Ti4 bearing intermolecular π–π stacking interactions. Dry methylaluminoxane (DMAO), which was prepared by removing free trimethylaluminum from commercially available MAO toluene solution [44], was chosen as cocatalyst herein because it had been previously testified to be effective for achieving high catalytic efficiencies as well as high molecular weight products in analogous half-titanocene mediated olefin polymerizations [33]. As the results summarized in Table 1, Ti1 and Ti2, bearing 1-naphthoxide and 9-phenanthrenoxide moieties, respectively, gave very similar catalytic activities of 4.98 × 10^6 and 5.07 × 10^6 g PE•mol⁻¹ (Ti)•h⁻¹; nevertheless, for Ti3 promoted systems, much lower polymer yields were afforded under identical conditions. Due to the structure similarities of Ti1 and Ti3 in Ti-O and O-Cipso bond distances and Cipso-O-Ti bond angles that had been concluded from single crystal data, such catalytic differences in Ti1, Ti2 and Ti3 were probably originated from steric reasons caused by the π–π stacked dimer structure. As the steric crowding maps from buried volume calculations for complexes Ti1 and Ti3 (Figure 5) [60–62], Ti3 revealed relative higher buried volume %Vbur than Ti1 (55.0% vs. 54.2%), implying the more sterically crowded environment around the titanium atom, which prevented ethylene monomer from accessing to the metal center and thus eventually resulted in inferior catalytic activities. Additionally, because of the steric congested reason that is able to suppress chain transfer reaction, polyethylene products obtained from Ti3/DMAO revealed much higher molecular weight than Ti1 (52.0%, 52.2% vs. 50.7%), implying the more sterically crowded environment around the titanium atom, which prevented ethylene monomer from accessing to the metal center and thus destabilized the active species. For the present complexes Ti1 and Ti3; however, although their unstacked structures exhibited similar buried volume %Vbur to CpTiCl2(O(4-BuPh)) (Figure 6, 52.0%, 52.2% vs. 50.7%), appreciable catalytic efficiencies were eventually afforded. These satisfying results were also presumably due to the big π systems caused by π–π stacking interactions, which were able to enhance the electron donation to the metal center and therefore gave a more stable catalytic active species.
Table 1. Ethylene polymerization with Ti1–Ti4/DMAO catalytic systems a.

| Entry | Cat. | T (°C) | Yield (g) | Activity b | M_w (×10^{-4} g/mol) | M_w/M_n c |
|-------|------|--------|-----------|-------------|----------------------|------------|
| 1     | Ti1  | 20     | 1.66      | 4980        | 26.2                 | 1.6        |
| 2     | Ti2  | 20     | 1.69      | 5070        | 21.8                 | 1.4        |
| 3     | Ti3  | 20     | 0.56      | 1680        | 79.4                 | 1.8        |
| 4     | Ti4  | 20     | 1.82      | 5146        | 71.1                 | 2.3        |
| 5     | Ti3  | 50     | 1.22      | 3660        | 95.1                 | 1.6        |
| 6     | Ti3  | 70     | 0.97      | 2910        | 60.5                 | 1.4        |
| 7     | Ti4  | 50     | 2.61      | 7830        | 117.8                | 1.7        |
| 8     | Ti4  | 70     | 1.24      | 3720        | 79.7                 | 1.9        |

a Polymerization: carried out in 60 mL of toluene for 10 min with 2 µmol of titanium catalyst, under an ethylene pressure of 6.0 atm, [Al]/[Ti] = 2000. b Activity: kg PE•mol⁻¹ (Ti)•h⁻¹. c Determined by high temperature GPC.

Figure 5. Steric crowding maps from buried volume calculations for π-π stacked Ti1 (left) and Ti3 (right).

Figure 6. Steric crowding maps from buried volume calculations for unstacked Ti1 (left) and Ti3 (middle) CpTiCl₂(O(4-tBuPh) (right).

The most active precatalyst was concluded to be Ti4 bearing pentamethylcyclopentadienyl (Cp⁵) and 1-pyrenoxide ligands, in which a catalytic activity of 5.14 × 10⁶ g PE•mol⁻¹ (Ti)•h⁻¹ was demonstrated. This was consistent with Nomura’s results that the more electron donating Cp⁵ was able to stabilize the active species, and thus led to higher activity [31].

π-π stacking conformations are very sensitive to high temperatures. Generally, the stacked dimer structure tends to be dissociated upon increasing the temperature. Therefore, in order to better elucidate the influence of the π system on catalytic performances, ethylene polymerization at different temperatures were carried out by using Ti3 and Ti4 at precatalysts. As the data shown in Table 1 and Figure 7, upon increasing the temperature...
from 20 °C to 70 °C, both of Ti3 and Ti4 revealed a first increasing and then decreasing trend, with 50 °C as the optimized temperature. Such increasing polymerization activities from 20 °C to 50 °C were probably due to the dissociation of π-π stacking structures into unstacked active species, which allowed more monomers to access to the metal center, as revealed from the decreased buried volumes %Vbur when comparing the stacked and unstacked complexes (55.0% vs. 52.2% for Ti3). Further increasing polymerization temperature to 70 °C witnessed obviously decreased activities for both two complexes, which were presumably due to the decomposition of the active species at very high temperatures. Moreover, elevating polymerization temperature also posed big influence on the molecular weights of the resultant polyethylenes. For Ti3 and Ti4 mediated polymerizations, a first increasing and then decreasing trend was also observed for the resultant polymer products when increasing the temperature from 20 °C to 70 °C.

![Figure 7](image-url)  
Figure 7. Ethylene polymerization results at various temperatures by Ti3 and Ti4.

Inspired by the good catalytic efficiencies of Ti3 and Ti4 towards ethylene polymerization, their performances for copolymerization of ethylene and 1-hexene was also evaluated. As the data summarized in Table 2, moderate to high catalytic activities in the range of 1.08–10.26 × 10⁶ g polymer•mol⁻¹ (Ti)•h⁻¹ were obtained. Compared to ethylene homopolymerizations, Ti3 revealed comparable copolymerization activities when 0.32 mol/L comonomer was introduced, further increasing the 1-hexene concentration to 0.48 mol/L resulted in a decreased catalytic activity to 1.08 × 10⁶ g polymer•mol⁻¹ (Ti)•h⁻¹. In contrast, Ti4 revealed distinctly different copolymerization behaviors. With an increasing 1-hexene concentration from zero to 0.48 mol/L, Ti4 demonstrated monotonously increased catalytic activities from 8.19 × 10⁶ g polymer•mol⁻¹ (Ti)•h⁻¹ to 10.26 × 10⁶ g polymer•mol⁻¹ (Ti)•h⁻¹. When further increasing 1-hexene concentration to 0.70 mol/L, its activity was hardly changed. The much-improved catalytic activities with increasing 1-hexene concentrations for Ti4 was probably ascribed to the comonomer effect, which allowed more monomers to access to the active species and thus more enchainment possibilities. Determined by 13C NMR (Figure 8), the 1-hexene incorporation levels in the resultant copolymers were in the range of 8.1–15.6%, and comonomer sequence analysis for copolymer samples can be found in Table 3. Determined by DSC analysis, the Tm values of the copolymers obtained from Ti4 decreased gradually from 131 °C to 62 °C, and the DSC curves changed from a sharp peak to broad melting range, indicating the randomly incorporated 1-hexene commoners.
Table 2. Ethylene/1-hexene copolymerization with Ti3 and Ti4 \(^a\).

| Entry | Cat | 1-hexene (mol/L) | Yield (g) | Activity \(^b\) (×10^4 g/mol) | \(M_w\) \(^c\) (×10^4 g/mol) | \(M_w/M_n\) \(^c\) | Hexene Content (%) \(^d\) |
|-------|-----|-----------------|----------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| 9     | Ti3 | 0.32            | 0.59     | 1770                          | 7.1                         | 2.4             | 8.2                     |
| 10    | Ti3 | 0.48            | 0.36     | 1080                          | 7.0                         | 3.0             | 12.1                    |
| 11    | Ti4 | 0.32            | 2.73     | 8190                          | 28.6                        | 2.5             | 8.1                     |
| 12    | Ti4 | 0.48            | 3.42     | 10,260                        | 28.9                        | 2.3             | 14.0                    |
| 13    | Ti4 | 0.70            | 3.25     | 9750                          | 20.8                        | 2.0             | 15.6                    |

\(^a\) Polymerization: carried out in 60 mL of toluene for 10 min with 2 μmol of Ti, under an ethylene pressure of 6.0 atm, [DMAO]/[TiI] = 2000, 25 °C. \(^b\) Activity: kg polymersmol\(^{-1}\)(Ti)\(\cdot\)h\(^{-1}\). \(^c\) Determined by high temperature GPC. \(^d\) Determined by NMR.

Figure 8. \(^{13}\)C NMR spectra for ethylene/1-hexene copolymers obtained from entries 11 and 13, Table 2.

Table 3. Monomer sequence distributions ethylene/1-hexene copolymers obtained with Ti3 and Ti4/DMAO system \(^a\).

| Cat | Content (mol%) \(^b\) | Triad Sequence (%) \(^b\) | Dyad Sequence (%) \(^b\) |
|-----|-----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
|     |                       | EEE | EEE + HEE | HEH | EHE | EHH + HHE | HHH | EE | EH + HE | HH |
| Ti3 | 12.1                  | 63.8 | 16.8      | 2.3 | 10.0 | 2.5       | Trace | 76.7 | 21.9 | Trace |
| Ti4 | 14.0                  | 64.4 | 18.6      | 2.1 | 11.0 | 3.6       | Trace | 73.7 | 24.4 | Trace |

\(^a\) Polymerization: see Table 2. \(^b\) Calculated by \(^{13}\)C NMR spectra.

Considering the positive influence of \(\pi,\pi\)-stacking on ethylene polymerizations, when comparing with the half-titanocenes counterparts bearing 2,6-unsubstituted aryloxides, we are trying to explore whether externally added \(\pi\)-conjugated small molecules, which will also form \(\pi,\pi\)-stacking interaction with the fused-aryloxide moieties in the present titanocene complexes, would also enhance the catalytic performances. Based on this, Ti1–Ti3 catalyzed ethylene homopolymerizations were carried out in the presence of 1.0 equiv. of pyrene. As shown by the data in Table 4, obviously enhanced catalytic activities were observed for all the three systems, giving increased values from 4980 to 5190 g PE\(\cdot\)mol\(^{-1}\)(Ti)\(\cdot\)h\(^{-1}\) for Ti1, from 5070 to 5760 g PE\(\cdot\)mol\(^{-1}\)(Ti)\(\cdot\)h\(^{-1}\) for Ti2, from 1680 to 2610 g PE\(\cdot\)mol\(^{-1}\)(Ti)\(\cdot\)h\(^{-1}\) for Ti3, respectively. Moreover, molecular weights of the resultant polyethylenes were also much higher than pyrene-free systems (26.2 \(\times\) 10^4 vs. 37.5 \(\times\) 10^4 for Ti1, 21.8 \(\times\) 10^4 vs. 49.3 \(\times\) 10^4 for Ti2, 79.4 \(\times\) 10^4 vs. 111.7 \(\times\) 10^4 for Ti3), indicating the formed active species therein were more stable and therefore long-lived. These results could be explained by the assumption that the original \(\pi,\pi\)-stacked dimer of complex Ti3 would be dissociated in the presence of pyrene molecules and then restack with pyrene to form a more active and stable active species (Scheme 3). Such a speculation could be established after comparing optimized structures of \(\pi,\pi\)-stacked dimer of complex Ti3 and Ti3-pyrene shown in Scheme 3 (bottom), in which the latter
one revealed a relative stronger π,π-stacking interaction than the former one, as evaluated from the distances between two almost parallel planes (3.267 Å vs. 3.318 Å), implying that Ti3 revealed a bigger tendency to stack with pyrene molecule rather than itself. Such an observation made sense when considering the bigger electron density in pyrene than the pyrenoxide group that was connected to an electrophilic titanium metal center. Because of the same reason, the Cipso-O-Ti bond angle of Ti3-pyrene was slightly higher than that in Ti3 dimer (156.9° vs. 156.5°). Additionally, the reformation process of π,π-stacking interaction between Ti3 and pyrene could be also monitored by in situ NMR and UV/Vis studies, which had been reported in other related complexes [15,17,63]. In the UV/Vis experiment, the concentration of Ti3 was gradually increased from zero to 24 × 10−7 M while keeping the concentration of pyrene unchanged (5 × 10−6 M). It was found that the intensity of the absorbance of pyrene was gradually enhanced (Figure 9), indicating the formation of strong binding, i.e., π,π-stacking interaction, between Ti3 and pyrene molecules. This interaction could be also evidenced from the NMR study, which was carried out by gradually adding pyrene (0–3.9 equiv.) to a C6D6 solution of Ti3 (17.8 mM). As the spectra shown in Figure 10, two characteristic proton resonance peaks at ca. 8.65 ppm and ca. 7.35 ppm, which were assigned to the 6- and 2- substituted protons on the pyrenoxide group, respectively, witnessed a clear upshift to high field when gradually adding more pyrene molecules. This was due to the formation of a stacking interaction between Ti3 and pyrene, which caused a bigger shielding effect due to it having a bigger electron density than the pyrenoxide moiety.

Table 4. Ethylene polymerization with Ti1-Ti3/DMAO system in the presence of 1.0 equiv. of pyrene a.

| Entry | Cat. | T (°C) | Yield (g) | Activity b | Mw c (×10−4 g/mol) | Mw/Mn c |
|-------|------|--------|-----------|------------|--------------------|----------|
| 1     | Ti1  | 20     | 1.73      | 5190       | 37.5               | 1.6      |
| 2     | Ti2  | 20     | 1.92      | 5760       | 49.3               | 1.6      |
| 3     | Ti3  | 20     | 0.87      | 2610       | 111.7              | 1.8      |

a Polymerization: carried out in 60 mL of toluene for 10 min with 2 µmol of Ti, under an ethylene pressure of 6.0 atm, [Al]/[Ti] = 2000, [pyrene]/[Ti] = 1:1. b Activity: kg PE•mol-1 (Ti)•h-1. c Determined by high temperature GPC.

Scheme 3. Illustrative scheme for the formation of π,π-stacking between complex Ti3 and externally added pyrene molecule (structures of π,π-stacked dimer of complex Ti3 and Ti3-pyrene were optimized by DFT calculations and shown in the bottom, one of the pyrenoxide plane was colored into light yellow).
Figure 9. Enhancement in the intensity of the absorption of pyrene with increasing concentration of Ti3 from 0 to $24 \times 10^{-7}$ M at 25 °C.

Figure 10. $^1$H NMR experiments of gradually adding pyrene to Ti3 solution in C$_6$D$_6$.

4. Conclusions

In summary, we have prepared a series of half-titanocene complexes containing fused-aryloxide ligands. Due to the presence of big $\pi$-systems therein, such complexes could form $\pi,\pi$-stacking interactions to give dimer structures, and such interactions could be clearly observed from single crystal X-ray spectroscopy analysis. Because of these $\pi,\pi$-stacking interactions, the present half-titanocenes revealed good catalytic activities to ethylene homopolymerizations and copolymerization with 1-hexenes, which confirmed the positive influence of $\pi,\pi$-stacking interaction on enhancing the catalytic performances when comparing with other half-titanocenes bearing 2,6-unsubstituted aryloxide moieties. Moreover, the overall catalytic behaviors of these complexes can be regulated by adding external pyrene additives. Through formation of a stronger $\pi,\pi$-stacking between the complexes and pyrene additives, the catalytic efficiencies as well as the molecular weight of the obtained polymers could be further enhanced.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/polym14071427/s1, Table S1: Crystallographic data and refinement details for complex Ti1 and Ti3. Figure S1: $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of ethylene/1-hexene copolymer obtained with Ti1/MAO system (Table 2, Entry 9); Figure S2: $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of ethylene/1-hexene copolymer obtained with Ti3/MAO system (Table 2, Entry 10); Figure S3: $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of ethylene/1-hexene copolymer obtained with Ti4/MAO system (Table 2,
Entry 11); Figure S4: $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of ethylene/1-hexene copolymer obtained with Ti4/MAO system (Table 2, Entry 11); Figure S5: $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of ethylene/1-hexene copolymer obtained with Ti4/MAO system (Table 2, Entry 12).
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