Bioavailable iron produced through benthic cycling in glaciated Arctic fjords (Svalbard)
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Abstract
The Arctic has the highest warming rates world-wide. Glaciated fjord ecosystems, which are known hotspots of carbon cycling and burial, are predicted to be extremely sensitive to this warming. Glaciers are important sources of iron, an essential nutrient for phytoplankton, to high-latitude marine ecosystems. However, up to 95% of the glacially-sourced iron settles in sediments close to the glacial source. We found that only 0.6-12% of the total glacially-sourced iron is potentially bioavailable. Our results also show that biogeochemical cycling in fjord sediments converts the unreactive glacial iron into more reactive and bioavailable phases, leading to an up to 9-fold increase in the amount of potentially bioavailable iron. Arctic fjord sediments therefore likely are an important source of bioavailable iron. However, once glaciers retreat onto land, the flux of iron from sediments into the water column is reduced, such that glacial retreat could exacerbate iron limitation in polar oceans.
**Introduction**

Iron is an essential nutrient for phytoplankton and limits primary productivity across 30-40% of the global ocean area\(^1,2\). While the Arctic Ocean is generally not considered to be iron-limited\(^5\), recent research indicates that regional iron-limitation exists and could increase with future climate change\(^4-7\). The Arctic regions are warming 2-3 times faster than the global average\(^8,9\). Arctic glaciated fjord ecosystems, which are known hotspots of carbon cycling and burial, are predicted to be extremely sensitive to this warming\(^10,11\).

Glaciers and ice-sheets are a primary iron source to the oceans, along with rivers, hydrothermal vents, and aeolian dust\(^12-15\). The majority of glacially-derived iron is in the particulate form or will rapidly become particulate once in contact with oxic and saline fjord water due to oxidation and flocculation reactions\(^15-20\), resulting in up to 95% of glacially-sourced iron settling to fjord sediments after entering the marine environment. However, the amount and physical and chemical characteristics of glacial iron that is delivered to Arctic fjords, as well as its fate, remain poorly constrained\(^12,21\). Speciation, particle size, surface area, and crystallinity are physical and chemical characteristics of iron minerals that determine if it is available for biological processes. Ascorbate has been shown to selectively extract poorly crystalline, highly reactive Fe(III)\(^22\), which is potentially bioavailable for phytoplankton\(^18,23,24\) and favorable for microbial reduction\(^25,26\). Thus, in the context of benthic cycling and early diagenesis, we define ascorbate-extractable Fe(III) as reactive and bioavailable iron (FeR). While previous studies have focused on the delivery of iron to marine ecosystems by icebergs\(^27,28\) and proglacial meltwater\(^15,29\), these sources contain low amounts of FeR (0.75-26 µmol gdw\(^{-1}\)), compared to what has been reported for fjord sediments (9.5-176 µmol gdw\(^{-1}\))\(^26,30\). However, it is not well understood how benthic processes in fjord sediments are impacted by the input of glacial iron and, conversely, how benthic processes impact the physical and chemical characteristics and the fate of glacially-derived iron.

Understanding what happens after glacially-derived iron settles to fjord sediments is crucial to evaluate if these sediments function as net sources or sinks of bioavailable iron. Iron is not simply buried in marine sediments after deposition. An interplay of biotic and abiotic reactions, which drive the benthic biogeochemical iron cycle\(^31\), change the speciation, mineralogy, and physical and chemical characteristics of iron\(^31-33\). The reduction of Fe(III) in sediments is catalyzed by abiotic redox reactions with sulfide or organic matter, and by biotic redox reactions mediated by microorganisms\(^31\). Microorganisms preferentially reduce reactive, poorly crystalline Fe(III) minerals during organic carbon mineralization due to the high energy yield and relatively large surface area. However, over longer timescales microorganisms can also reduce Fe(III) in highly crystalline Fe(III) minerals, such as hematite or iron in silicates\(^25,34-36\). Fe(II) is
oxidized by abiotic reactions with oxygen, Mn(IV)-oxides or reactive nitrogen species and by biotic reactions mediated by microorganisms. Microorganisms are thought to preferably oxidize dissolved Fe(II) with oxygen or nitrate as electron acceptor or coupled to anoxygenic photosynthesis\textsuperscript{31}, while producing highly reactive, poorly crystalline, biogenic Fe(III) minerals\textsuperscript{37,38}. However, solid-phase Fe(II) in silicate or sulfide minerals, which are known to be important in glacial systems\textsuperscript{39,40}, is also available for oxidation by microorganisms\textsuperscript{41,42}. The complexity of the benthic iron cycle and its interconnections to many other element cycles make it important, as changes to the iron cycle create a cascade of impacts on the availability of phosphate and other nutrients and, most importantly, the cycling and burial of carbon.

With ongoing warming, glacier termini are retreating from the sea onto land, which will lead to changes in the export, processing, and delivery of glacially-derived material\textsuperscript{43}. Glacial retreat also causes changes in water circulation and primary productivity in the fjord ecosystem\textsuperscript{44–47}. Despite the fact that fjords are significant sinks of carbon\textsuperscript{11} and hotspots of biogeochemical cycles\textsuperscript{48,49}, it remains unknown how glacial retreat onto land will impact the processing of glacially-sourced iron in fjord sediments along with its speciation, transport and bioavailability. If iron cycling is sensitive to glacial retreat, then this could contribute to increasing iron limitation in the Arctic Ocean and thus have a profound effect on primary productivity and carbon cycling.

The aim of this study was to understand the effects of glacially-derived iron on benthic processes in fjord sediments, quantify how these processes change the characteristics of glacially-derived iron, and assess the potential impacts on iron bioavailability and export to the water column. We quantified the amount and reactivity of iron in different glacial sources (icebergs, proglacial rivers, proglacial plumes) and determined the spatial and depth distribution of FeR in high-resolution transects in three contrasting Arctic fjords of Spitsbergen, Svalbard (Figure 1). The transects reach from the head of the fjord, close to the glacial source, to the mouth of the fjord, where it opens to the ocean. Of the three studied fjords, Kongsfjorden and Lilliehöökfjorden both have large marine-terminating glaciers at their head (Figure 1a-c) but possess differing catchment geology. Dicksonfjorden (Figure 1a and d) has land-terminating glaciers with a catchment geology similar to Kongsfjorden. Thus our study sites have the ability to provide insight into the impact of bedrock geology and glacial retreat on benthic biogeochemical processes.

**Results and Discussion**

**FeR in glacial sources.** Particulate material collected from a variety of glacial sources in Kongsfjorden had a high total iron content (320-1400 µmol total HCl extractable Fe gdw\textsuperscript{–1}, Table S1), which is within the range
previously reported for glacial sources worldwide\textsuperscript{13,50,51}. However, iron minerals in these glacial sources were mostly poorly reactive and the amount of reactive ion (M\textsubscript{(0)}) was only 30.9±4.6, 28.1±12.9, and 8.1±6.1 µmol \text{gdw}\textsuperscript{-1} as determined by ascorbate time-course (AFeR) extractions from glacial plume, meltwater river, and iceberg samples, respectively\textsuperscript{26} (Figure 2a, Table S1). The results of the AFeR extractions are consistent with differences in Fe-mineralogical composition detected by \textsuperscript{57}Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy, showing that iron in a Kongsfjorden plume sample had a relative abundance of 17.8±1.6% hematite, whereas material from a Kongsfjorden iceberg contained about twice as much hematite, accounting for 41.3±1.9% of the iron pool (Figure S1, Table S2). These data corroborate results of Raiswell and coworkers\textsuperscript{18}, who showed that FeR produced by chemical and biological weathering in subglacial systems\textsuperscript{18,52,53} gets slowly converted into less reactive phases such as goethite or hematite in glacial ice, which may explain the higher proportion of hematite found in the icebergs. Given the low amount of FeR in the glacial sources, only a small fraction (0.6-12%) of glacially derived iron is immediately available for microbial reduction in the sediment\textsuperscript{26} and potentially bioavailable for phytoplankton\textsuperscript{23}. These data are in agreement with previous results showing a high total iron content, but low amount of FeR in glacial sources of Kongsfjorden\textsuperscript{18,54,55}. Microbial iron reduction time-course (MFeR) extractions, directly measuring the microbial reducibility of iron in glacial source material\textsuperscript{26}, yielded about two times higher amounts of FeR, compared to the AFeR extractions, whereas the relative differences between the samples were similar (Table S1).

Samples from the same type of glacial source in Kongsfjorden generally had a similar amount of reactive iron, but there were some exceptions (Table S1, Figure S2). The meltwater river samples from Austre Lovenbreen from 2017 contained a higher (by 78%) amount of FeR compared to the 2018 sample (Table S1). Also the iceberg and meltwater plume samples from Kongsvegen contained a higher (by 263% and 30%, respectively) amount of reactive Fe compared to the samples from Kronebreen. The differences in iron amount and reactivity found from Kongsvegen and Kronebreen are in large part due to the different bedrock types underlying these two large glaciers. Kongsvegen overrides Carboniferous-Permian age limestones and dolostones, whereas Kronebreen overrides iron-rich, Devonian age red sandstones\textsuperscript{56}, also resulting in strikingly different colors (Figure S3). While the amount of FeR varied in some cases, even within one type of glacial source, the parameters determined in AFeR experiments (apparent rate constant, heterogeneity, and initial rate) were still similar for samples from the same type of glacial source (Figure 2), implying that samples from the same type of glacial source contained FeR with similar mineral composition, as can also be seen from the similar shape of the dissolution curves (Figure S2).
The parameters determined in AFeR extractions enable a detailed characterization of not only the iron reactivity, but also the composition of the iron mineral pool. The heterogeneity parameter quantifies the heterogeneity of reactivities in iron minerals extracted by ascorbate. This parameter can also be thought of as the diversity of ascorbate-extractable iron minerals with different reactivities present. The highest heterogeneity was found for particulates from the plume at the head of Kongsfjorden (1.83±0.6, Figure 2d), indicating heterogeneity in the mineral composition with a range of corresponding reactivities. The lowest heterogeneity was found for particulates from meltwater rivers in Kongsfjorden (0.99±0.1, Figure 2d), indicating that all iron that could be extracted by ascorbate had a relatively similar reactivity and likely homogeneous iron mineral composition. These results indicate that glacial iron transported by proglacial rivers gets sorted or even chemically or physically modified, such that a uniform type of reactive iron mineral is supplied to Kongsfjorden. The initial rate parameter determined in AFeR extractions is the best measure of biological availability and iron reducibility\(^{26}\), as it takes into account the amount (\(M_{(0)}\)) and reactivity (apparent rate) of FeR. Particulates collected from icebergs had the lowest initial rate (reducibility) of all glacial sources in Kongsfjorden (1.1*10\(^{-3}\)±0.8*10\(^{-3}\) µmol gdw\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\), Figure 2b).

The highest reducibility of glacial source material was found in the Kongsfjorden glacial plume, which had an 800% higher initial rate compared to the average of the icebergs (8.8*10\(^{-3}\)±2.9*10\(^{-3}\) µmol gdw\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\)), Table S1). This highlights that glacial meltwater emanating as the plume in front of Kronebreen, contains FeR that was produced by subglacial weathering. On the other hand, icebergs contain iron which has aged and become less reactive while transported in glacial ice until delivered to the fjord through iceberg calving\(^{18}\). Even the highest reducibility of the Kongsfjorden glacial source samples was ten times lower than the previously highest reported values of Kongsfjorden sediment\(^{26}\) (Figure 2).

Particulates collected in the plume of the meltwater river at the head of Dicksonfjorden (Dicksonelva, Figure 1) had only ~20% the amount of FeR that was found in the Kongsfjorden plumes and meltwater rivers. The amount of FeR in Dicksonelva particulates (5.95 µmol gdw\(^{-1}\)) similar to the average of the Kongsfjorden iceberg samples. Also the reactivity and reducibility of Dicksonelva particulates was most similar to the lowest values that we found for the icebergs in Kongsfjorden. The reducibility of Dicksonelva particulates was only a fourth of what we measured for Kongsfjorden river particulates and 25-times lower compared to the proglacial plume in Kongsfjorden. The heterogeneity parameter (1.31) was higher than the meltwater rivers in Kongsfjorden (Table S1), showing that the FeR in the Dicksonelva sample has a broader range of reactivities compared to the meltwater rivers in Kongsfjorden. Dicksonelva is very different from the meltwater rivers in Kongsfjorden, as it enters the fjord in a large delta with an intertidal mudflat\(^{57}\), which seems to affect the
transport and/or production of FeR. Previous studies have concluded that sediment transport in meltwater rivers will transform minerals into more reactive phases due to increased weathering\textsuperscript{58}. This does not seem to hold true for Dicksonelva.

The differences in FeR amount and reducibility that we found for particulates from glacial sources in Kongsfjorden and Dicksonfjorden contain a paucity of FeR, independent of glacial regime or source type. Still, there were differences in the reactivity, heterogeneity, and amount of FeR delivered by the different types of glacial sources, which add to predicted effects of glacial retreat with the potential to impact biogeochemical cycles such as the linked iron and carbon cycles within the downstream fjord sediments\textsuperscript{43,44,46}.

**The reactivity and spatial distribution of FeR in Kongsfjorden sediment.** The amount and reducibility of FeR at the fjord head (KF1; Figure 1) was the lowest (Figure 3, Table S3) of all surface sediment samples within the Kongsfjorden transects. In fact, the amount and reducibility of FeR at KF1 are similar to the average of Kongsfjorden glacial sources and implies that there is little processing of iron upon sedimentation at the head of the fjord (Figure 2a and b). However, the amount and reducibility of FeR in surface sediment in Kongsfjorden increased by 9-fold and 19-fold, respectively, at the station furthest away from the fjord head (KFa7; Figure 2a and b, Figure 3, Table S3). A similar increase in FeR amount and reducibility over distance was found in the northern transect (KF1 to KFb5) of Kongsfjorden (Figure 3). These increases are exponential as seen from the linear increase in the semi-log-plot (Figure 3) and an $R^2$ of 0.96 and 0.94 for the transects going towards KFa7 and KFb5, respectively, when fitting an exponential model through the data (Table S4). Further, time-course extractions using a microbial pure culture (MFeR\textsuperscript{26}) showed even more pronounced differences in the reducibility of FeR at the surface of station KFa7 and KFb5 compared to all the sources (Figure 3, Table S5). These increases are either produced by preferential transport of the smallest, most reactive particles or by processing of the iron upon sedimentation.

AFeR extractions showed that FeR in the transects became more heterogeneous over the first few km distance, likely due to the glacial sources containing FeR with different reactivities and authigenic production of reactive Fe within the sediment. Further out in the fjord, FeR became more homogeneous again, reaching values even lower than at KF1, indicating the presence of a uniform pool of highly reactive iron (Table S3, Figure S4). The increase in amount and reducibility as well as the changes in the heterogeneity of FeR with increasing distance from the fjord head imply that significant processing of iron occurred after sedimentation at stations further away from the fjord head, likely through microbial dissimilatory iron reduction or interactions with sulfide\textsuperscript{30,58}. 
It seems as if a homogenous pool of highly reactive FeR is produced in the surface sediments as distance from the glacial source increases (Figure 3, S5-7).

These results are consistent with $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy, which showed that the relative abundance of hematite in KF1 was 21.1±1.3%, similar to the Kronebreen plume. The abundance of hematite in the surface sediment of KF7 (10.3±2.1%) was only half that of KF1 (Figure S1, Table S2). This distribution of iron minerals with different crystallinities could be caused by the transport of the finest and most reactive particles to the more distant stations, which would also explain the higher iron reactivity that we measured in AFeR extractions. However, the reducibility of reactive iron in KF7 surface sediment is higher than any value measured in the plume, and also notably higher (by 860%) than the average of all glacial sources (Figure 2b, Table S1, S3). Taken together, these data indicate that the abundant iron mineral species were more dominated by less crystalline, more reactive iron phases further from the head of the fjord and that they might be authigenic.

**The impact of contrasting catchment geology on the spatial distribution of FeR.** Lilliehöökfjorden possesses differing catchment geology than Kongsfjorden, yet the same increase in FeR amount and reducibility over distance from the fjord head was found, reaching a maximum of 89 µmol gdw$^{-1}$ at LF8, which is an increase of 390% within the 23 km transect. (Figure 3). The reducibility also increased by 430% in our Lilliehöökfjorden transect (Figure 3, Table S3). The Lilliehöökfjorden FeR pool develops in a manner similar to the two transects in Kongsfjorden where a diverse pool of FeR becomes progressively more uniform in composition with distance from the fjord head (Figure S4). The MFeR extractions detected a similar increasing trend in FeR amount and reducibility in Lilliehöökfjorden (Figure 3, Table S5). The trend of increasing FeR amount and reducibility is interrupted where Möllerfjorden and Lilliehöökfjorden merge (between LF6 and LF7; Figure 1, 3), with Möllerfjorden likely supplying less reactive iron to the sediments. Also changes in pore water Fe and Mn were found where these two fjords merge, with maximum dissolved Fe(II) (dFe(II)) concentrations decreasing and maximum dissolved Mn (dMn) concentrations increasing at station LF6, compared to the stations closer to the fjord head (Figure S8).

No hematite could be identified by $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy in Lilliehöökfjorden samples and the iron mineral composition was different from Kongsfjorden as expected from the contrasting bedrock and sediment color (Figure 1, Figure S9, Table S2). Collected spectra were similar for LF1 and LF5, with a higher proportion of Fe(III) towards the fjord mouth (LF5) compared to the fjord head (LF1). This increase in Fe(III) detected by $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy gives an indication of the production of authigenic Fe(III) minerals in sediment further...
away from the fjord head and mirrors the trend found in AFeR extractions. Consequently, the oxidation of Fe(II) to Fe(III), by biotic or abiotic processes, appears to be important for the production of FeR in Lilliehöökfjorden. The results from Mössbauer spectroscopy helped to support findings from the AFeR extractions but Mössbauer spectroscopy alone did not capture this distinct change in the amount and reactivity of FeR over distance from the glacier. This highlights the value of direct quantification of FeR amount and reactivity in AFeR and MFeR extractions.

In Kongsfjorden and Lilliehöökfjorden the amount and reducibility of FeR increased by up to 50 and 166%, respectively, per km of distance from the fjord head independent of catchment geology (Figure 3 and S4). This pattern of increasing FeR amount with distance from the fjord head was also observed in two fjords in southwestern Svalbard. Van Mijenfjorden and Van Kuelenfjorden in southern Spitsbergen, Svalbard drain different bedrock assemblages and reinforce the widespread nature of these FeR patterns in fjord sediments. The increases we observe in Kongsfjorden are statistically significant over the entire length of the transect (Table S4). For the Lilliehöökfjorden transect, the flattening off after station LF6, causes the increase in the amount of FeR over distance to have low significance and the increase in reactivity over distance to have no significance. If only the data until LF5 are included in the analysis, the increase in the amount and reactivity become statistically highly significant (Table S4). This again supports our hypothesis that Möllerfjorden outputs impact the Lilliehöökfjorden transect and represents the sensitivity of fjord sediments to nearby marine-terminating glaciers. In conclusion, the increases of reactive Fe in fjord sediments toward the fjord mouth, irrespective of catchment of geology, reveals that there is a gradual transformation of unreactive glacially-derived iron minerals towards higher reactivity and bioavailability.

FeR production through benthic cycling in fjord sediments. We propose that the main driving force transforming the unreactive glacially-derived iron into FeR is benthic cycling through an interplay of abiotic and biotic processes (Figure 4). These processes produce authigenic, poorly crystalline, highly-reactive and easily reducible FeR in the oxic sediment surface (Figure 5) through abiotic or microbially mediated oxidation of dFe(II). High concentration gradients with depth, driving a flux of dFe(II) into the oxic sediment layers, were found at all stations in Kongsfjorden and Lilliehöökfjorden (Figure 6). The source of dFe(II) is a combination of: (i) reductive dissolution of Fe(III) from Fe(III) (oxyhydr)oxides, (ii) oxidation of pyrite, originating from bedrock beneath the glacier, and (iii) dissolution and oxidation of other Fe(II)-bearing minerals such as Fe(II)-carbonates or primary silicates through microbial or abiotic weathering processes (Figure 4). Which of the possible dFe(II) sources is most important in the different fjord sediments is a function of the catchment
geology, geochemical conditions, and microbial activity. Regardless of the source of dFe(II), Fe(II)-oxidation at
the sediment surface produces authigenic Fe(III) minerals, and that process gets stronger towards the mouth of
the fjord.

The strong gradient in FeR from fjord head to mouth is controlled by steep gradients in hydrology, biology, and
geochemistry due to inputs of glacial material at the fjord head and the marine influence at the fjord mouth\textsuperscript{61-}
\textsuperscript{63}. At the fjord head, high sedimentation rates of detrital material and low primary productivity within a thin
photic zone\textsuperscript{20,64}, lead to sediment with low TOC amount and high C:N ratio of up to 70 (Table S6, Figure 7).

Towards the fjord mouth TOC contents gradually increased, while C:N ratios decreased and approached a more
marine-like signature (Table S6, Figure 7). The C:N gradient is a result of a higher proportion of old and
refractory organic carbon of glacial origin and terrestrial material settling closer to the fjord head, while
towards the mouth there is higher primary productivity in the fjord, leading to more fresh organic matter
settling to the sediment\textsuperscript{65,66}. Terrestrial organic carbon usually has C:N values > 20\textsuperscript{67} with mosses and lichens
reaching values of up to 79 and 207, respectively\textsuperscript{68}, while fresh marine organic matter usually has lower C:N
values around 6-9\textsuperscript{69}. Similar trends of increasing TOC and decreasing C:N with distance from the fjord head
were reported previously for Svalbard fjords\textsuperscript{58,70-72} and high C:N values of up to 50 have also been reported for
Greenlandic fjords\textsuperscript{73}. The C:N ratio is a measure of the quality of the organic matter and how readily it can be
respired by benthic microbial communities. Therefore, sediments close to glaciers can sustain only moderate
activity of Fe(III)- or sulfate-reduction due to the low amount and refractory characteristics of the organic
carbon (Figure 7). Further from the glacier, the sedimentation rate of inorganic detrital material decreases and
primary productivity in the water column increases, producing sediment with a higher TOC amount and lower
C:N\textsuperscript{62,74,75}. This creates favorable conditions for Fe-cycling, as the organic carbon can support higher rates of
microbial Fe(III) reduction and sulfate reduction, both leading to the production of dFe(II).

Based on these results for TOC and C:N, we expect SRR to increase concurrent with the increase in TOC and the
decline in C:N. However, depth-integrated rates of SRR in Kongsfjorden and Lilliehöökfjorden at first increase,
but then decrease further out in the fjord (Figure 7). This is likely caused by the consecutive increase in FeR
along the transect, enabling Fe-reducers to compete favorably with sulfate-reducers (for more detailed
discussion of SRR in relation to TOC and C:N, see supplemental information). Besides the increased activity of
benthic iron cycling, the lower sedimentation rates in the outer part of fjords\textsuperscript{62,74} lead to a more abundant and
active benthic fauna \textsuperscript{76}, further intensifying benthic cycling\textsuperscript{77,78}, and increased time for iron to be repeatedly
cycled before it gets buried deeper in the sediment (Figure 8a).
Sedimentation gradients in Arctic fjords caused by particle transport in freshwater lenses could explain the observed FeR gradients by carrying the finest and most reactive grains furthest\textsuperscript{20}. However, we did not find evidence for long-distance transport of the finest and most reactive glacial iron in generating the observed gradient of FeR. Over 95% of the grain size distributions from surface sediment samples recovered along the transects are characterized by silt and clay (< 63 µm or 4 \( \phi \)) (Figure S10). We found no systematic relationship between the percent of fine-grained material and the distance from the fjord head (Figure S10). Our results corroborate other observations that the majority of the suspended material in fjords supplied from marine or land-terminating glaciers does not reach further than 7 km from the source and that flocculation causes also suspended colloidal and nano-particulate material to quickly settle from the water column\textsuperscript{20,79}. For more detailed interpretation of the grain size analysis, see supporting information.

The increase of FeR over distance cannot be explained as a function of the transport of small particles containing the most reactive Fe. The increase in reactivity through benthic iron cycling, also called “rejuvenation”, has also been shown to be an important pathway for bioavailable iron production in continental margin sediments\textsuperscript{77,80,81}. The steep gradients in FeR seem to be unique for glaciated fjord systems and we conclude that in glaciated arctic fjords the increasing intensity of benthic iron cycling, due to increased amount of labile organic carbon, and time before burial produce the observed gradients of FeR from fjord head to mouth.

\textit{The impact of glacial retreat on FeR distribution and Fe-export to the water column.} The general pattern of increasing amount and reducibility of FeR with distance from the fjord head is also observed in Dicksonfjorden, which is fed only by land-terminating glaciers (Figure 1). The amount of FeR was only about half of what was found in Kongsfjorden and Lilliehöökfjorden at similar distances from the fjord head (Figure 3a). However, the reactivity of FeR was higher in Dicksonfjorden, such that the reducibility (initial rate) was within the same range as for Kongsfjorden and Lilliehöökfjorden (Figure 3b and S4, Table S3). We propose that, similar to Kongsfjorden and Lilliehöökfjorden, benthic cycling is responsible for the increase in the amount and reducibility of FeR from head to mouth in Dicksonfjorden. In contrast to Lilliehöökfjorden and Kongsfjorden, where the amount of FeR (\( M_0 \)) peaked at the sediment surface, the maximum concentration of FeR was never found at the sediment surface in Dicksonfjorden (Figure 5, Figure S4 and S11-S17, Table S3). At station DF1, the amount of FeR did not change significantly over sediment depth and at station DF3 and DF5 the maximum amount of FeR was found at 3-4 and 6-8 cm sediment depth with 38.3 and 70.7 µmol gw\textsuperscript{-1}, respectively (Figure 5, Figure S17, Table S3). We conclude that the production of FeR is not only independent of bedrock lithology, but also
of glacial regime. However, the specific depth-distribution of FeR that we found in Dicksonfjorden might impact
the potential for FeR release to the water column.

The subsurface peaks of FeR in Dicksonfjorden are likely caused by deeper penetration of oxidants (such as
oxygen, nitrate or Mn(IV)-oxides; Table S7) and provide further evidence that the FeR is authigenic and not a
function of the fine grained and reactive material getting transported furthest. The presence of oxidants is
evident from the absence of dissimilatory sulfate reduction, dFe(II), and dMn just above the depth where the
maximum amount of FeR was found at station DF3 and DF5. This indicates that dFe(II) and dMn were oxidized
within the top 3-5 cm of the sediment and could not reach the sediment surface at these stations. At station
DF1, low SRR (<1 nmol cm\(^{-3}\) d\(^{-1}\)) and dMn were found within the upper 4 cm of the sediment, but no dFe(II) was
detected (Figure 6). Again, Dicksonfjorden is in contrast to Kongsfjorden and Lilliehöökfjorden where sediment
sulfate reduction was active and dFe(II) and/or dMn, could be detected within the upper 2 cm of the sediment
at all stations (Figure 5 and 6). The deeper penetration of oxidants in Dicksonfjorden sediments is likely caused
by the generally lower primary productivity in fjords with land-terminating glaciers as they lack glacial
upwelling, which is known to entrain nutrient-rich bottom water and transport it up to the photic zone where it
supports primary productivity\(^{44-47}\). The smaller increase in TOC is likely due to diminished primary productivity
in the Dicksonfjorden water column and leads to a smaller increase in TOC content of the sediment with
distance from the head of the fjord compared to Kongsfjorden and Lilliehöökfjorden (Figure 7a, Table S6). The
lower TOC content also led to depth-integrated SRR that stayed low over the entire transect (Figure 7b).
Consequently, the sediment microbial community is less active, oxidants penetrate deeper into the sediment
and prevent dFe(II) from reaching the sediment surface to fuel authigenic Fe(III) production or from diffusing
into the overlying water column.

The production of authigenic, reactive Fe(III) at the sediment-water interface\(^{82,83}\), and the diffusion of dFe(II)
across the sediment-water interface\(^{84}\), have been shown to be important factors for Fe-transfer into the water
column. We propose that there is a decreased potential for Fe-flux to the water column in Dicksonfjorden
compared to Kongsfjorden or Lilliehöökfjorden because authigenic FeR is produced at several cm sediment
depth and dFe(II) did not reach the sediment surface (Figure 8). The deepening of the iron cycle is an additional
negative feedback mechanism on primary productivity in high-latitude marine systems when glaciers retreat
onto land. While Kongsfjorden and Lilliehöökfjorden are potentially important sources of FeR to the water
column, we conclude that when glaciers retreat onto land, benthic iron cycling is restricted to deeper sediment
layers and reduces the source strength of FeR or dFe(II) from the sediment to the overlying water column and,
ultimately, the open ocean (Figure 8b). As the iron and carbon cycles are intimately linked\textsuperscript{44,46}, not only by primary production but also by carbon remineralization, glacial retreat may impact both the biological carbon pump and the function of sediments as carbon sinks\textsuperscript{11}.

**Conclusions**

To improve our understanding of iron cycling in the ocean and production of essential bioavailable iron for primary production, it is fundamental to know the sources and fate of iron along the continental margins. We show that the amount and reactivity of FeR in glacially-derived material is low. While fjords were previously expected to reduce glacial iron delivery to the ocean\textsuperscript{18}, we show that fjord sediments are a biogeochemically active interface in which glacially-sourced, unreactive iron is transformed into potentially bioavailable FeR through benthic cycling. Our results show that sediments at the fjord mouth contain bioavailable FeR that could be a source of iron to the marine shelf and open ocean environments, thereby promoting primary productivity. Moreover, the study highlights the impact of glacial retreat on biogeochemical processes in fjord sediments that may reduce their ability to serve as a source of iron for primary production in the Arctic Ocean.

**Material and Methods**

**Field sites, sampling and processing of fjord sediment and glacial source material.** We sampled fjord sediment and particulate material from glacial sources in three fjords (Kongsfjorden, Lilliehöökfjorden and Dicksonfjorden) located on the west coast of Spitzbergen, the largest island of the Svalbard archipelago (Figure 1). For more detailed description of the field sites see supplemental information.

**Fjord Sediment was sampled** at 11 sites in Kongsfjorden and 9 sites in Lilliehöökfjorden in June and July 2017, 2 sites in Lilliehöökfjorden in July 2018, and 5 sites in Dicksonfjorden in August 2018 aboard MS Teisten or MS Farm (Table S8, Figure 1). Sediment was retrieved with a Haps corer \textsuperscript{85} and sub-sampled aboard the ship using 2.8 cm (for SRR measurements) or 6 cm (for pore water and solid-phase geochemistry) diameter acrylic coring tubes. Sediment was stored at 4°C until further processing within 2 days after sampling.

**Glacial source material** was sampled in Kongsfjorden in June and July 2017, and July 2018. In total we collected 7 pieces from individual icebergs with embedded sediment (Figure S16), 4 samples of glacial plume water in front of the KB/KV calving front, and 6 samples of meltwater from rivers along the southern and northern shore of Kongsfjorden (Table S9, Figure 1). The material from the meltwater rivers was collected directly at their mouth before entering the fjord. Material from the Dicksonelva plume at the head of Dicksonfjorden was sampled in August 2018 (Table S9).
The distances of the stations relative to the main glacial source was determined by geospatial analysis using qGIS (v. 3.10). We used the imagery seen in Figure 1 to measure the distance from the glacier terminus to the GPS determined sample point. The imagery was collected ~1 month after our samples were collected and represented the glacial terminus at the time of sample collection.

Processing and subsampling of sediment cores. The 6-cm wide subcores were sliced in an anoxic glove bag under N\textsubscript{2} atmosphere ( < 0.5% atmospheric O\textsubscript{2} concentration, checked with a trace-range optical oxygen sensor TROXROB10 connected to a Firesting O\textsubscript{2} -meter, Pyroscience). The cores were processed outside the laboratory at ambient temperature (4-8 °C) using the technique of Keimowitz et al. \textsuperscript{86} with slight modifications as described in detail by Michaud et al. \textsuperscript{30}. All plasticware used for subsampling was made anoxic by placing the plasticware and an oxygen scrubber (AnaeroGen, ThermoFischer) in a heat-sealed gas-tight plastic bag (Esca Neo, high gas barrier bag, Mitsubishi Gas Chemical Co., Inc.) for at least 24 h. The sediment cores were sliced into 1-3 cm sections down to a depth of 13 cm. After each section was homogenized, subsamples of sediment were taken for (i) Fe extractions, (ii) determination of porosity, water amount, TOC and TN, and (iii) pore water geochemistry. The subsamples for Fe extractions, porosity, water amount, TOC and TN were immediately frozen at -20°C. After closing the centrifuge tubes inside the glove bag under N\textsubscript{2} atmosphere, the pore water samples were centrifuged for 15 min at 4000 rpm outside the glove bag. The tubes were immediately returned to the glove bag after centrifugation, and the supernatant was filtered by centrifugation (5 minutes, 14000 rpm) in spin filters (0.45 µm nylon membrane, Norgen Biotek). For dissolved Fe and Mn analysis an aliquot of the filtrate was acidified (HCl, 1 M final concentration) and the remaining was used for sulfate quantification. All pore water samples were stored at 4°C in the dark until analysis.

Processing and subsampling of glacial source material: Particulate material was extracted from plume and river-water by centrifugation (15 min., 3000xg). Samples of sediment-loaded icebergs were first rinsed with milliQ water on the exposed surfaces, then molten inside a clean plastic bag before centrifugation. In all cases, the pellets were collected and frozen at -20°C until analysis.

Pore water chemistry: Dissolved Fe(II) and Mn in the pore water were measured spectrophotometrically by the ferrozine assay \textsuperscript{87} and the formaldoxime assay \textsuperscript{88,89}, respectively. Both assays were performed in 96-well plates and the absorbance was measured at 562 nm for Fe(II) and 450 nm for Mn with a plate reader (FLUOstarOmega, BMG Labtech). The formaldoxime assay was adapted, according to Otte \textsuperscript{90}, to exclude
interference from the high Fe$^{2+}$ amount in the pore water. Sulfate concentration in pore water was quantified on 1:100 diluted samples using suppressed ion chromatography (Dionex). Some of the pore water chemistry data is already published\textsuperscript{26}. For which stations this is the case is stated in Table S8.

**Sulfate reduction rate measurements**: Sulfate reduction rates (SRR, nmol cm$^{-3}$ d$^{-1}$) were determined by injecting $^{35}$SO$_4^{2-}$ into intact, 20 – 25 cm long, 2.8 cm diameter sediment cores. Fifty kBq of carrier-free $^{35}$S-SO$_4^{2-}$ was injected at 1 cm depth intervals through ports sealed with polyurethane-based elastic sealant (Sikaflex$^\text{®}$-11FC, Sika). After 10 to 14 hours of incubation at near in-situ temperature (2°C), the cores were sliced in 1 cm sections, which were added immediately to 10 ml of 10% zinc acetate and homogenized by vortexing. The zinc acetate-fixed samples were stored at -20°C until analysis. The cold chromium method was used to separate radiolabeled total reduced inorganic sulfur (TRIS) from the sample and the evolved H$_2$S was trapped as Zn$^{35}$S in 5 mL of 5% zinc acetate solution. Scintillation counting was used to analyze the radioactivity in the sulfate and TRIS pools and sulfate reduction rates were calculated according to Jørgensen. To determine the water amount and porosity of the sediment, required for calculation of SRR, the weight loss of a known volume of sediment after drying to constant weight at 105°C was determined. Some of the SRR data is already published in a recently accepted manuscript\textsuperscript{98}. For which stations this is the case is stated in Table S8.

**TOC and TN analysis**: For TOC and TN measurements, sediment was dried at 105°C and powdered using a planetary micro mill (Pulverisette 23, Fritsch). After acidification with HCl to remove inorganic carbon and washing steps with MQ water to remove additional salt from the HCl, the powdered sediment was dried again and the carbon and nitrogen amount and isotopic composition was measured with an elemental analyzer (Thermo Fisher Scientific Flash EA 1112) coupled to an IRMS.

**Sequential endpoint Fe extractions**. Sequential endpoint extractions with HCl, to separate the poorly crystalline (0.5 M HCl, 1 h, 20°C) from the crystalline (6 M HCl, 24 h, 70°C) Fe(II) and Fe(III) in the sediments and the glacial source samples, were done as described by Laufer et al.\textsuperscript{26}. Fe(II) and total Fe concentrations in the extracts were determined spectrophotometrically by the ferrozine assay. For total Fe concentrations all Fe(III) was reduced to Fe(II) with the reductant hydroxylamine hydrochloride (HAHCl, 10% w/v in 1 M HCl) before the assay. Fe(III) was calculated from the difference between Fe(II) and total Fe concentrations. Some of the sequential extraction data is already published in a recently accepted manuscript\textsuperscript{26}; for which stations this is the case is stated in Table S8.
**Ascorbate Fe reduction time-course extractions.** Abiotic ascorbate Fe reduction (AFeR) time-course extractions were performed and the dissolution curves of Fe(II) were used to fit the reactive continuum model and calculate the parameters $M_0$ (amount of extractable iron), $v/a$ (apparent rate constant), $1+1/v$ (heterogeneity parameter) and initial rates as described in detail by Laufer et al. Statistical tests on the significance of the increase in $M_0$ and initial rates in the surface sediment with increasing distance from the glacial source were performed by linear regression analysis in R. Some of the AFeR extraction data is already published in a recently accepted manuscript. For which stations this is the case is stated in Table S8.

**Microbial Fe reduction time-course extractions.** Microbial Fe reduction (MFeR) time-course extractions were performed with a culture of *Shewanella frigidimarina* DSM-12253. The dissolution curves of Fe(II) were determined $M_0$, $v/a$, $1+1/v$ and initial rates the same way as done for ascorbate reduction time-course extractions. Some of the data for MFeR extractions are already published in a recently accepted manuscript for which stations this is the case is stated in Table S8.

**Particle size analysis.** Particle size analysis was performed at Binghamton University’s Analytical and Diagnostics Laboratory. Approximately 1 g of bulk sample was gently disaggregated and treated for the removal of organic matter with ~20 ml of 27% hydrogen peroxide ($\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$) in hot water bath. Smear slides of treated samples were analyzed under a binocular microscope in order to assess for the presence of biogenic components. Given the lack of biogenic material, samples were then treated with 10% sodium hexametaphosphate and shaken for 12 hours prior to analysis on the Beckman Coulter LS 13320 Laser Diffraction Analyzer.

**$^{57}\text{Fe}$ Mössbauer spectroscopy.** Mössbauer spectroscopy analysis was performed at the Center for Applied Geosciences at the University of Tübingen. Freeze-dried samples were loaded into Plexiglas holders (area 1 cm$^2$), forming a thin disc, within an anoxic glovebox (100% $\text{N}_2$). Sample holders were transported to the instrument within airtight bottles which were only opened immediately prior to loading into a closed-cycle exchange gas cryostat (Janis cryogenics) under a backflow of He to minimize exposure to ambient air. Spectra were collected at 77 and 5 K using a constant acceleration drive system (WissEL) in transmission mode with a $^{57}\text{Co/Rh}$ source. All spectra were calibrated against a 7 µm thick $\alpha-{^{57}\text{Fe}}$ foil that was measured at room temperature. Analysis was carried out using Recoil (University of Ottawa) and the Voigt Based Fitting (VBF) routine. The half width at half maximum (HWHM) was constrained to 0.138 mm s$^{-1}$ during fitting.
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Figure 1: Map of sampling stations. a: Overview map of Svalbard, with the three investigated fjords indicated by colored rectangles, and examples of a sediment core from each fjord (Kongsfjorden = red, core KFa6; Lilliehöökfjorden = blue, core LF5; Dicksonfjorden = grey, core DF3). b-d: Maps of sampling stations in the individual fjords; b = Kongsfjorden, c = Lilliehöökfjorden, d = Dicksonfjorden. White dots represent sediment sampling stations. Yellow dots represent glacial source sampling stations. The yellow dots without labels indicate plume and iceberg samples. 10-m satellite imagery from Sentinel-2 taken on 2 August 2017.
**Figure 2**: Reactive iron amount and characteristics from AFeR extractions of glacial source material and surface sediment. a: amount of reactive Fe, b: initial rate, c: apparent rate constant and d: heterogeneity. For Iceberg KF, Plume KF and Rivers KF bars represent averages and the error bars show the standard deviation. For River DF, KF1 and KFa7 only one value is available. KF1 and KFa7 are the values from 0-1 cm sediment depth.
Figure 3: Amount (M_0) and reducibility (initial rate) of FeR versus distance from the fjord head. a and b amount (M_0) and reducibility (initial rate), respectively, of the surface sediment as determined in AFeR (squares) and MFeR (triangles) extractions.
Figure 4: Schematic figure of how benthic iron cycling produces reactive iron at the oxic-anoxic interface in fjord sediments.
Figure 5: Concentrations of reactive iron, and dissolved iron and manganese over sediment depth at three stations within the transects. The three frames show the amount of reactive iron ($M_{(0)}$, determined in AFeR extractions) and concentration of dissolved iron (dFe(II)) in the pore water versus sediment depth at a: stations closest to the fjord head (Kongsfjorden = KFa1, Lilliehöökfjorden = LF1, Dicksonfjorden = DF1); b: mid-fjord stations (Kongsfjorden = KFa5, Lilliehöökfjorden = LF5, Dicksonfjorden = DF3); and c: stations closest to the fjord mouth (Kongsfjorden = KFa7, Lilliehöökfjorden = LF8, Dicksonfjorden = DF5).
Figure 6: Pore water profiles of dFe(II) and dMn and distribution of sulfate reduction rates (SRR) at the main stations in all transects.
Figure 7: TOC, C:N and integrated SRR over distance from the fjord head.
Figure 8: Schematic comparison of current (a, Kongsfjorden) and future (b, Dicksonfjorden) scenarios. The strength of the processes is indicated by symbol/arrow size. a: Fe cycling in a fjord with a marine-terminating glacier and b with a land-terminating glacier. Fe-cycling is impacted by the gradients of input of detrital material and fresh organic matter. Symbol descriptions in panel a also apply to panel b.