Nonclinical psychotic-like experiences and schizotypy dimensions: Associations with hippocampal subfield and amygdala volumes
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Abstract
Schizotypy and psychotic-like experiences (PLE) form part of the wider psychosis continuum and may have brain structural correlates in nonclinical cohorts. This study aimed to compare the effects of differential schizotypy dimensions, PLE, and their interaction on hippocampal subfields and amygdala volumes in the absence of clinical psychopathology. In a cohort of 367 psychiatrically healthy individuals, we assessed schizotypal traits using the Oxford-Liverpool Inventory of Life Experiences (O-LIFE) and PLE using the short form of the Prodromal Questionnaire (PQ-16). Based on high-resolution structural MRI scans, we used automated segmentation to estimate volumes of limbic structures. Sex and total intracranial volume (Step 1), PLE and schizotypy dimensions (Step 2), and their interaction terms (Step 3) were entered as regressors for bilateral amygdala and hippocampal subfield volumes in hierarchical multiple linear regression models. Positive schizotypy, but not PLE, was negatively associated with left amygdala and subiculum volumes. O-LIFE Impulsive Nonconformity, as well as the two-way interaction between positive schizotypy and PLE, were associated with larger left subiculum volumes. None of the estimators for right hemispheric hippocampal subfield volumes survived correction for multiple comparisons. Our findings support differential associations of hippocampus subfield volumes with trait dimensions rather than PLE, and support overlap and interactions between psychometric positive schizotypy and PLE. In a healthy cohort without current psychosis risk syndromes, the positive association between PLE and hippocampal subfield volume occurred at a high expression of positive schizotypy. Further studies combining stable, transient, and genetic parameters are required.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Psychotic-like experiences (PLE) signify psychosis risk, yet only a considerably small portion of persons reporting such transient expressions of psychosis proneness will go on to develop a psychotic disorder (Linscott & van Os, 2013). PLE are elevated in individuals displaying schizotypal traits, which are behavioral, emotional, and cognitive characteristics resembling the core symptoms of psychotic disorders along a health-illness spectrum (Claridge & Beech, 1995; Grant, Green, & Mason, 2018; Kwapił & Barrantes-Vidal, 2015). Schizotypy encompasses the positive, negative, and disorganized dimensions (Debbane & Barrantes-Vidal, 2015) found in psychotic disorders, with each trait dimension showing differential associations with psychopathology, PLE, and perceptual and cognitive outcomes (Ettinger et al., 2015; Ettinger, Meyhöfer, Steffens, Wagner, & Koutsouleris, 2014).

Past research highlights the multifaceted nature of schizotypy and its value in the detection of clinical high risk (CHR) states (Barrantes-Vidal et al., 2013; Flückiger et al., 2016). For example, increased PLE levels are especially observed in positive schizotypy (Barrantes-Vidal, Chun, Myin-Germeys, & Kwapił, 2013; Kwapił et al., 2020), as well as depression and anxiety (Varghese et al., 2011), demonstrating that the emergence of psychopathology, PLE, and schizotypal traits are intertwined in a dynamic fashion (Barrantes-Vidal, Grant, & Kwapił, 2015). The fully dimensional conceptualization of schizotypy also accounts for the non-pathological phenotypes (Nelson, Seal, Pantelis, & Phillips, 2013), such as “benign schizotypes”, that is individuals characterized by high positive schizotypy, but low negative and disorganized traits (Mohr & Claridge, 2015). Hence, the positive schizotypy facet (together with low negative and disorganized facets) is related to higher PLE levels independently of induced stress states (Grant & Hennig, 2020), while the emergence of distressing PLE outside of familiar positive traits may convey increased psychosis vulnerability (Debbane & Barrantes-Vidal, 2015). PLE distress is reduced at higher schizotypy in nonclinical subjects (Kline et al., 2012), suggesting that PLE occurring in the context of specific trait dimensions could relate to health or resilience in schizotypy.

Previous studies demonstrated that trait schizotypy and PLE correlate with cortical changes in areas consistently observed in clinical psychosis. They found brain structural variation in prefrontal (Pfarr & Nenadic, 2020) and parietal regions (Meller et al., 2020; Modinos et al., 2010), and cortical surface variation in parietal and temporal regions (Evermann, Gaser, Besteker, Langbein, & Nenadic, 2020) associated with psychosis phenotypes. Further alterations in the nonclinical psychosis continuum also include hippocampal activity (Modinos et al., 2018). These findings suggest that subclinical psychosis prone phenotypes show brain correlates in regions affected in clinical psychosis, which are not necessarily a sign of vulnerability but could also indicate compensatory processes (Kühn, Schubert, & Gallinat, 2012; Mohr & Claridge, 2015). Investigating brain regions involved in psychosis pathophysiology may facilitate the demarcation of vulnerable or disease progressive states.

Abnormalities of medial temporal lobe hippocampal (HC) and amygdala structures observed in schizophrenia (van Erp et al., 2016), propose neuroanatomical targets for psychosis spectrum research (Lieberman et al., 2018). Hippocampal subfield analyses point to volume reductions in the cornu ammonis (CA) and dentate gyrus (DG) sections (Haukvik, Tamnes, Söderman, & Agartz, 2018; Nakahara, Matsumoto, & van Erp, 2018), which are paralleled by functional studies indicating CA1 and possibly also subiculum hyperactivity (operationalized as increased cerebral blood volume) in patients (Schobel et al., 2013, 2009; Talati et al., 2014). Volume reductions in total hippocampal volume and subfields might already be present at disease onset (Briend et al., 2020) and, more importantly, already at CHR stages preceding disease onset (Ganzola, Maziaide, & Duchesne, 2014; Wood et al., 2010), although findings are not entirely consistent across cohorts (for a review see Walter et al., 2016).

Post mortem studies in schizophrenia show differential involvement of CA1, CA3, and DG subfields (Bobilev, Perez, & Tammenga, 2020; Perez et al., 2020), which is supported by differential associations between HC segments and positive and negative clinical symptoms in in vivo studies. Left CA2/3 and CA4/DG (Kawano et al., 2015) and subiculum (Haukvik et al., 2015) volumes show inverse associations with negative symptom severity in schizophrenia. Further studies report CA1 and CA2/3 (Kühn et al., 2012) and subiculum (Mathew et al., 2014) volume deficits in association with positive symptoms of psychosis. Mathew et al. (2014) found negative correlations between both the total positive symptoms and the hallucinations scale scores based on the positive and negative symptom scale (PANSS, Kay, Fiszbein, & Opler, 1987) for schizophrenia and CA4/DG, presubiculum, subiculum, and whole HC volumes. A noticeable asymmetry in clinical subjects (Baglivo et al., 2018; Velakoulis et al., 2006; Wood et al., 2010) also suggests that such pathological alterations are more readily observable in the left hemisphere.

Further examinations of HC volumes as potential biological markers have emerged in the nonclinical part of the psychosis spectrum, too. A developmental study demonstrated flattened bilateral hippocampal volume trajectories in adolescents with elevated psychometric disorganized schizotypy (Derome et al., 2020). Recently we reported that HC subfields are altered by the interaction of negative and disorganized schizotypy dimensions, which predicted volumetric reductions in anterior and whole left HC (Sahakyan et al., 2021). Structural effects in schizotypy and ultra-high risk (UHR) states are also paralleled by functional alterations, such as augmented right hippocampal perfusion in high positive schizotypy (Modinos et al., 2018) and increased hippocampal perfusion in UHR (Allen et al., 2018, 2015; Bossong et al., 2019). Hypermetabolism spreading from the CA1 subregion could explain gradual hippocampal atrophy (Schobel et al., 2013, 2009).

Contemporary automated segmentation methods also provide high-resolution structural delineation of the amygdala. In the wider limbic system investigations show bilateral whole amygdala volume reductions in first-episode psychosis (FEP) (Watson et al., 2012), as
well as smaller amygdala subnuclei in CHR and FEP (Armio et al., 2020). Superimposed organizational patterns suggest demarcated ventral HC (CA1 and subiculum) connectivity with the amygdala (Strange, Witter, Lein, & Moser, 2014). Emotion recognition is a functional amygdala substrate showing alterations in schizophrenia (Mier et al., 2014), adolescents at UHR (Bartholomeusz et al., 2014), and schizotypy (Statucka & Walder, 2017; Wang et al., 2018). Other studies have shown significant negative relationships between blunted affect and left amygdala activation in schizophrenia patients during positive affect processing (Rahm et al., 2015), and left amygdala and hippocampal volumes and parent-rated negative schizotypal traits in typically developing children and adolescents (Evans et al., 2016). Additionally, asymmetric amygdalar surface volumes in CHR with violent ideation (Feng et al., 2019) implicate a relationship with aggression and impulsivity.

This study aims to explore interactions between schizotypy dimensions and PLE in a general population cohort in association with HC subfields and amygdala volumes. We hypothesize that left medial temporal lobe structures show differential associations with schizotypy dimensions and PLE, as well as their interaction. Based on previously described patterns of volume reductions in incipient and early psychosis patients, we predict that positive schizotypy and PLE are associated with left CA1 volume reduction. Further, we expect PLE, positive and negative schizotypy dimensions to associate with subiculum, CA2/3 and CA4/DG volume reductions, and amygdala volume to vary as a function of impulsive and negative schizotypy dimensions. Based on a previous study (Sahakyan et al., 2021), we predict that interactions between PLE and the disorganized schizotypy dimension are associated with left medial temporal lobe volume reductions. Associations in volumes of the right hemisphere are explored without hypotheses.

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Study cohort

This study included 367 German language proficient individuals (aged 18 to 40) from the general community, volunteering in response to university-based email circulation, local and online advertisements. Participants were screened by phone using structured clinical interview for DSM-IV (Wittchen, Wunderlich, Gruschwitz, & Zaudig, 1997), and selected for study inclusion if no history of mental health, neurological or chronic medical conditions were present. This study protocol was in agreement with the Declaration of Helsinki (World Medical Association, 2013) and approved by the local ethics committee of the Medical School of the Philipps-University of Marburg. Participants provided written informed consent, completed phenotype self-report measures online, and received financial compensation upon study completion. Overall, 383 participants initially participated. Following exclusion of 16 individuals due to insufficient T1-image quality or incompleteness of survey data, full phenotyping and HC volume estimates were available from 367 (238 [64.85%] females, 129 males [35.15%]) healthy adults (Mean age = 23.85, SD = 3.75 years, min = 18, max = 39) included in the analysis. In this study, we extended the sample previously described in Sahakyan et al. (2021). Seven (1.91%) participants scored PLE equal to or above the CHR screening criteria applied in previous studies (Chen et al., 2016; Ising et al., 2012). CHR was ruled out in all four out of seven (51.14%) participants who also agreed to follow-up assessments with Schizophrenia Proneness Instrument (Adult version) (Schultze-Lutter, Addington, Ruhmann, & Klosterkötter, 2007). The mean laterality quotient according to the Edinburgh Handedness Inventory (Oldfield, 1971) was 78.65 (SD = 53.22), and mean intelligence quotient (IQ) estimated by the Mehrfachwahl-Wortschatz-Test B (Lehrl, 2005) was 116.38 (SD = 14.02, min = 92, max = 145), a comparatively brief estimate of general cognitive capacity mainly employed to rule out IQ < 80 in this sample.

2.2 | Imaging data acquisition and preprocessing

T1-weighted brain images were obtained with a 3-T Siemens Tim Trio magnetic resonance scanner (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) using a 12-channel quadrature head coil and MPRAGE sequence with a duration of 4:26 min (TE = 2.26 ms, TI = 900 ms, TR = 1900 ms). Homogeneity bias correction and tissue segmentation were conducted using Computational Anatomy Toolbox for SPM, (CAT12.7, r1598, Gaser, Dahnke, Kurth, & Luders, in review) in SPM12 (version 12. v7771, Statistical Parametric Mapping, Wellcome Trust Centre for Neuroimaging, London, UK) running in Matlab (R2017a, The Mathworks Inc.). Images were both visually checked for artifacts as well as undergoing the standard quality assurance protocol for image homogeneity implemented in CAT12 software. Hippocampal regions of interest volumes were estimated in unsmoothed native gray matter images.

2.3 | Assessment of trait schizotypy

Schizotypal traits were measured using the German version of the Oxford-liverpool inventory of feelings and experiences (O-LIFE, Mason, Claridge, & Jackson, 1995). Based on 104 items the O-LIFE measures scores on four individual dimensions, which reflect the heterogeneous positive (UnEx), negative (IntAn), disorganized (CogDis), as well as behaviorally odd (ImpNon) facets of schizotypy. UnEx corresponds to perceptual anomalies and magical thinking, while CogDis taps into attention and thought aberrances reflecting disorganized symptoms of psychosis. The IntAn dimension measures anhedonic phenomena related to social and physical activities, and ImpNon refers to impulsive and socially non-conforming behavior (Mason et al., 1995; Mason & Claridge, 2006). Descriptive statistics of sample characteristics and dimensional internal consistencies are shown in Table 1.

2.4 | Assessment of PLE

PLE were assessed using the 16-item version of the Prodromal Questionnaire (PQ-16) (Ising et al., 2012), which provides a total PLE score
on a two-point scale (answers “true” = 1, “false” = 0), and a measure of distress severity induced by PLE (“none” = 0 to “severe” = 3). Cut-off scores of 6 on the total PLE scale and 9 on the distress scale have been identified as sufficient detection criteria for psychosis proneness (Chen et al., 2016; Ising et al., 2012). All questionnaires were completed online (www.soscisurvey.de, Leiner, 2019) and inspected for PLE above the recommended screening cut-off after study completion.

2.5 | Hippocampal subfield volume estimation and extraction

We selected six bilateral limbic regions that were of a priori interest. These included the HC subfields labeled subiculum, cornu ammonis (CA)1, CA2/3, CA4/dentate gyrus (DG), SR/SL/SM (stratum radiatum [SR], stratum lacunosum [SL], stratum moleculare [SM]) as well as the whole amygdala. We used the novel segmentation tool implemented in CAT12.7, which uses the CoBra (Computational Brain Anatomy Laboratory at the Douglas Institute, Verdun, Canada) atlas (Winterburn et al., 2013) based on high-resolution (1 mm isotropic voxel size) images of HC subfields and amygdala (manual segmentation described in Entis, Doerga, Feldman, & Dickerson, 2012; atlas described in Treadway et al., 2015). Figure 1 displays subfield segmentations and Table 2 shows summarized average volumes across all subjects. Merging HC subfields, such as CA2/3, into a single label circumvents reliability issues related to particularly small subfields sizes. This offers a robustness advantage when anatomical segmentation is based on T1 images only.

3 | STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

3.1 | Phenotype associations with amygdala and hippocampal subfield volumes

HC and amygdala volumes were analyzed with hierarchical linear regression models in R (Version 3.6.3, R Core Team, 2020) in RStudio (Version 1.1.463, RStudio Team, 2016). We conducted 12 separate models, using the six bilateral volumes as dependent variables. Two-tailed correlations between subfield volumes and variables sex and total intracranial volume (TIV) (all $p' s < .05$) were significant, but non-significant for age (all $p' s > .05$). Sex (0 = male, 1 = female) and TIV were entered at the first step for the covariate models. In the second step, trait schizotypy dimensions ($UnEx$, $CogDis$, $IntAn$, $ImpNon$) and PLE ($PQ-16$) scores were entered simultaneously (main effects models), followed by the phenotype interaction terms of PLE $\times$ schizotypy dimension in the third step. We standardized dependent and independent variables, compared models using analysis of variance (ANOVA), and examined two-way interactions using the Johnson-Neyman method through the PROCESS macro (Hayes, 2018). Since phenotype scales correlated (Table 1), multicollinearity at each step was controlled for by observation of variance inflation factor (>5 criterion) and tolerance (<0.1 criterion) using the olsrr package (Hebbali, 2020) in R. We chose to test left medial temporal lobe regions (hippocampal subfields and amygdala), since our recent investigation on schizotypy (Sahakyan et al., 2021) indicated effects in the left hemisphere. Since we did not have an a priori hypothesis for right hemispheric subfields, we applied false detection rate (FDR) correction for multiple comparisons for right-sided models.

4 | RESULTS

4.1 | Main analysis

In our analysis of the differential effects of trait schizotypy and PLE on HC subfield volumes, we observed significant effects for single schizotypy dimensions as well as a two-way interaction among trait and PLE scales. To facilitate comparisons between scales, we report standardized regression coefficients ($\beta$) with their individual $p$-values (Table 3).

The main effect of positive schizotypy ($UnEx$) showed a significant association with left amygdala and subiculum volume reductions.
ImpNon was also positively associated with left subicular volume (Table 3). We did not find any effect of the negative (IntAn) and disorganized (CogDis) dimensions on HC subfield volumes. For the sake of completeness, results of exploratory analyses of right medial temporal lobe volumes are shown in Table S3. In summary, model regressors of right hemispheric HC subfield models did not survive FDR-correction.

Table 2 Means and standard deviations (SD) for left and right hemispheric subfield volumes

|                         | Left hemisphere | Right hemisphere |
|-------------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Amygdala                | 1,733.22 (186.06) | 1,733.30 (180.93) |
| CA1                     | 1,016.14 (107.16) | 1,074.99 (118.91) |
| CA2/3                   | 208.21 (29.01)   | 236.46 (30.55)   |
| CA4/DG                  | 728.15 (79.10)   | 731.99 (82.62)   |
| Subiculum               | 512.98 (56.91)   | 537.91 (59.56)   |
| SR/SL/SM                | 548.60 (58.76)   | 562.14 (64.55)   |

Abbreviations: CA, cornu ammonis; DG, dentate gyrus; SL, stratum lacunosum; SM, stratum moleculare; SR, stratum radiatum.

Left subiculum subfield volume increase was associated with the two-way interaction of positive schizotypy and PLE, which significantly explained volume variability beyond main effects (Table 4b). Examination of regression slopes showed that PLE levels were significantly associated with a predicted subiculum volume increase at higher positive schizotypy levels. This moderation effect occurred in high positive schizotypy (observed UnEx score $\geq 6.95$ equalling $\text{UnEx}_{\text{mean}} + 2.07 \times \text{SD}$) (Figure 2).

4.2 Exploratory analyses

Based on the two-way interaction’s significance interval, we used $\text{UnEx} \geq 6.95$ as a cut-off to conduct an exploratory subgroup comparison of state and trait profiles (Figure 2). The high positive schizotypy subgroup ($n = 20$) showed significantly higher trait levels in all other schizotypy facets, PLE, and PLE associated distress (Table S1). UnEx and PLE showed the largest correlation in our sample ($r = .54$, $p < .001$). Hence, within the entire sample, the possibility of a covert nonlinear association was explored with a polynomial regression model (Belzak & Bauer, 2019), exchanging the interaction term for a quadratic $\text{UnEx}$ term, which produced a comparable model (Table S2).

5 DISCUSSION

The aim of this study was to investigate state and trait psychosis prone phenotypes within the nonclinical section of a putative psychosis spectrum of neurobiological abnormalities (Nelson et al., 2013; Siever & Davis, 2004; Taylor, Calkins, & Gur, 2020). By examining individuals considered psychiatrically healthy rather than at CHR, we aimed to decouple HC variability from psychopathological states. This objective also underlines the importance of finding psychosis biomarkers applicable to the entire psychosis spectrum. For this
### TABLE 3
Standardized regression coefficients and significance values of hierarchical regression models

| Step 1 | Step 2 | PQ-16 | Step 3 | Two-way interactions |
|--------|--------|-------|--------|----------------------|
| **Covariates** | **O-LIFE dimension** | **PQ-16** | **Step 3** | **Step 3** |
| Sex | TIV | UnEx | CogDis | IntAn | ImpNon | PLE score | PLE × UE | PLE × CD | PLE × IA | PLE × IN |
| Left hemisphere |
| Amygdala | -.197 | .001 | .599 | <.001 | -.109 | **.029** | .063 | -.071 | .073 | .061 | .135 | .077 | .130 | .012 | .582 | .000 | .991 | -.002 | .945 | -.008 | .824 |
| CA1 | -.007 | .880 | .662 | <.001 | -.036 | .510 | .009 | -.038 | .377 | .079 | .073 | .032 | .566 | .040 | .077 | -.020 | .534 | .019 | .599 | .045 | .259 |
| CA2/3 | -.042 | .449 | .392 | <.001 | .030 | .648 | -.054 | .378 | .023 | .659 | .030 | .577 | .045 | .501 | -.024 | .383 | -.039 | .324 | .002 | .964 | -.027 | .586 |
| CA4/DG | -.027 | .586 | .593 | <.001 | -.020 | .725 | .009 | .864 | -.033 | .472 | .076 | .106 | .027 | .641 | .020 | .400 | -.029 | .391 | -.003 | .930 | .009 | .826 |
| Subiculum | .001 | .979 | .728 | <.001 | -.112 | **.024** | .058 | .201 | -.052 | .186 | .088 | **.030** | .051 | .307 | .053 | **.011** | .015 | .617 | .014 | .669 | .022 | .547 |
| SR/SL/SM | -.052 | .260 | .638 | <.001 | -.063 | .247 | .013 | .797 | -.042 | .330 | .064 | .146 | .075 | .172 | .017 | .454 | -.028 | .385 | .005 | .884 | .021 | .604 |

Note: Bold face indicates significance at $\alpha < .05$ or less.

Abbreviations: CogDis (CD), cognitive disorganization; ImpNon (IN), impulsive nonconformity; IntAn (IA), introvertive anhedonia; PLE, psychotic-like experiences; TIV, total intracranial volume; UnEx (UE), unusual experiences.
# Table 4

Summary of regression models predicting volume of the left amygdala and subiculum

| Left amygdala | Left subiculum |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |
|---------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Step 1 (covariates) | Step 2 (Main effects) | Step 3 (two-way interaction) | Step 1 (covariates) | Step 2 (Main effects) | Step 3 (two-way interaction) |              |              |              |
| β             | SE             | β             | SE           | β             | SE           | β             | SE           | β             | SE           |
| Intercept     | 46.92 ***      | 99.52         | 456.30 ***   | 102.31        | 451.53 ***   | 102.77        | 24.62        | 30.18         | 9.92         | 30.88        |
| Sex           | 76.65 ***      | 16.55         | -77.60 ***   | 17.63         | -76.68 ***   | 17.72         | .13          | 5.02          | 1.31         | 5.34         |
| TIV           | 8.80 × 10^{-4} | α             | 6.28 × 10^{-5}| 8.80 × 10^{-4} | 6.32 × 10^{-5}| 1.89 × 10^{-5} | 3.27 × 10^{-5}| 1.90 × 10^{-5} | 3.31 × 10^{-5}| 1.90 × 10^{-5} |
| Sex × TIV     | 8.25*          | 3.77          | 9.99*        | 4.92          | -2.58*       | 11.4          | -2.58*       | 11.4          | -2.58*       | 11.4          |
| CogDis        | 2.70           | 1.97          | 2.95         | 2.03          | .77          | 6.00          | .60          | 1.12          | 1.12         | .61          |
| ImpNon        | 3.77           | 2.09          | 3.87         | 2.10          | -3.4         | 5.02          | 1.31         | 5.02          | 1.31         | 5.34         |
| PLE           | 9.49           | 6.26          | 6.97         | 7.76          | 1.94         | 190           | 1.90         | 190           | 1.90         | 190           |
| PLE × UnEx    | 5.02***        | 1.05          | 8.359        | 8.358         | 1.05         | 2.364         | 2.364        | 2.364         | 2.364        | 2.364         |
| Adj R²        | 3.93           | 2.62          | 4.16         | 2.66          | .77          | 6.00          | .60          | 1.12          | 1.12         | .61          |
| df            | 2.364          | .53           | .53          | .53           | .53          | .53           | .53          | .53           | .53          | .53          |
| ΔR²           | 0.01           | 0.01          | 0.01         | 0.01          | 0.01         | 0.01          | 0.01         | 0.01          | 0.01         | 0.01         |
| ΔF            | 197.60***      | 159.01        | 204.20***    | 159.01        | 204.20***    | 159.01        | 204.20***    | 159.01        | 204.20***    | 159.01        |

Abbreviations: Adj R², adjusted R²; CogDis, cognitive disorganization; ImpNon, impulsive nonconformity; IntAn, introvertive anhedonia; PLE, psychotic-like experiences; SE, standard error; TIV, total intracranial volume; UnEx, unusual experiences.

*p < .001, **p < .01, *p < .05.
purpose, we chose schizotypy, which represents stable personality dimensions, and PLE that are putatively transitory in nature (Barrantes-Vidal et al., 2015).

In the main effect analyses, UnEx, that is, positive schizotypy, was a significant estimator of left amygdala and subiculum volume decrease. Additionally, left subicular volume was positively associated with impulsive nonconformity (ImpNon). The modest internal consistency of ImpNon was comparable to previous reports from an online community sample, which also suggested that ImpNon does not dilute the classical three-factor model of schizotypy (Polner et al., 2019). Our findings support the utility of ImpNon as a separate psychosis phenotypic estimator for brain structural variation. Consistent with previous findings suggesting that failure to distinguish between positive and negative schizotypy dimensions could result in reduced estimator robustness (Barrantes-Vidal, Gross, et al., 2013; Barrantes-Vidal, Lewandowski, & Kwapil, 2010), we found differences in the direction between the regressors that reflect the unique explanatory contribution of each schizotypy dimension. The significant main effect of the positive dimension is not confirmed by our previous study (Sahakyan et al., 2021) with the Multidimensional Schizotypy Scale (MSS; Kwapil, Gross, Silvia, Raulin, & Barrantes-Vidal, 2018). This inconsistency may be attributed to differences between psychometric instruments that provide three (MSS) or four (O-LIFE) phenotype dimensions entered as model predictors. If the positive O-LIFE dimension reflects the core components of psychosis as supported by associations with dopamine regulating gene variants (Grant, Gabriel, Kuepper, Wiepluezt, & Hennig, 2014), its impact on hippocampal volume estimation would be expectedly higher. A topographical organization of effects for dopamine-related schizotypy facets may also be linked to anterior–posterior differences in the density of D2 dopamine receptors in hippocampal subfields (Dubovyk & Manahan-Vaughan, 2019). A clinical study showed that hippocampal hypertrophy in FEP responds to antipsychotic treatment, notably in, for example, CA3 and CA4 (Li et al., 2018). Thus, although positive schizotypy did not consistently associate with subfield volumes, variations in specific hippocampal regions may be featured in positive schizotypy to a higher degree. This is supported by findings for the specific effect of polygenic risk on the volume of the left CA2/3 (Alnæs et al., 2019), suggesting a link between hippocampal subfields, genetics, and possibly schizophrenia endophenotypes.

While the main effect of positive schizotypy on left subiculum volume was negative, the interaction with PLE was associated with larger volumes. Variations of PLE may signify a dynamic state within the positive schizotypy dimension. A longitudinal behavioral study found that the expression of transient subclinical psychotic features is influenced by time-invariant traits (Rössler, Hengartner, Ajdacic-Gross, Haker, & Angst, 2013). Against a backdrop of a schizotypal predisposition for stress response (Grattan & Linscott, 2019; Soliman et al., 2011), PLE could indicate an ongoing susceptibility to latent states and stressors (Barrantes-Vidal, Chun, et al., 2013; Rössler et al., 2013), genetic and environmental influences (Barkhuizen, Pain, Dudbridge, & Ronald, 2020; Brambilla et al., 2014). Extending this to neurobiological measures demonstrated that the positive relationship between PLE and left subiculum volume depended on increased positive trait schizotypy. In those individuals with PLE at higher positive schizotypy predicting enlarged subiculum volumes, levels of disorganized and impulsive traits, and distress severity were augmented. Thus, expressions consistent with “benign” or “happy schizotypy” (Grant & Hennig, 2020;
proneness. The amygdala, together with the subiculum, showed an unexpected negative association with positive schizotypy. Based on rodent studies, a linkage between these two regions is supported by substantial inputs to the amygdala from the (temporal end of the) CA1, and the subiculum (Pitkänen, Pikkarainen, Nurminen, & Ylinen, 2000). With anterior–posterior functional differentiation, the anterior subregion of the subiculum is especially connected to the ventral striatum, midbrain, and amygdala (Chase et al., 2015). This hippocampus-midbrain-striatum-network shows reduced connectivity in schizophrenia (Gangadin, Cahn, Scheewe, Hulshoff Pol, & Bossong, 2021). Volume changes in both subfields could be related to functional findings, and more multimodal imaging studies in the psychosis spectrum are required to investigate such linkages (Liu et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2020).

We did not find the association between positive schizotypy and CA1 region. CA1 volume change may especially demarcate CHR trajectories as it was the only HC subfield bilaterally associated with progressive global symptomatic deterioration in UHR individuals (Ho et al., 2017). An association between the anterior HC, which includes CA1, and negative schizotypy was dependent on high disorganized schizotypy measured by the MSS (Sahakyan et al., 2021). Still, in alignment with our findings, no main effect of the positive dimension was present. This may reflect results from nonclinical individuals displaying persistent PLE, suggesting that cognitive deficits may be more relevant for poorer outcomes than merely positive PLE (Brett, Peters, & McGuire, 2015). In UHR individuals, CA1 and subiculum volumes were positively correlated with verbal performance (Vargas et al., 2018), and subicular volume was also associated with negative symptoms in schizophrenia and cognitive deficits in bipolar disorder (Haukvik et al., 2015). Examining how cognitive endophenotypes (Siddi, Petretto, & Preti, 2017) relate to medial temporal lobe structures (Antoniades et al., 2018) in the nonclinical psychosis spectrum may help close a gap in the literature.

Contrary to expectations, we did not find that amygdala volume is related to negative or impulsive trait expressions. Previous studies investigating the amygdala across the psychosis spectrum connotes mixed evidence including no volume abnormalities in unaffected and affected relatives of patients with bipolar disorder (Hajek et al., 2009), but also variable volume abnormalities across samples of adults, and children and adolescents at high risk of schizophrenia (Ganzola et al., 2014). Moreover, amygdala enlargements were associated with negative symptoms and depressive symptoms in prodromal syndromes (Bartholomeusz et al., 2014), and also found in first-episode affective psychosis compared to controls (Velakoulis et al., 2006), while other studies report volume reduction in FEP (Armio et al., 2020), and first episode schizophrenia (but not bipolar disorder) (Watson et al., 2012). Notably, some studies demonstrate left amygdalar volume reductions in FEP but not in high-risk individuals compared with controls (Bois et al., 2015; Witthaus et al., 2010). The null finding adds to these inconsistencies, suggesting that a relationship with negative schizotypy in children and adolescents (Evans et al., 2016) is not confirmed in young adults. This study instead indicates an inverse relationship with specifically positive features in nonclinical adults, while also being the first to suggest that, contrary to predictions, amygdala volume is not associated with the impulsive trait dimension. Building on previous clinical studies that assessed psychotic symptoms using the PANSS (Kawano et al., 2015; Kühn, Musso, et al., 2012; Mathew et al., 2014), we could neither confirm associations between negative schizotypy and CA2/3 or CA4/DG. Other studies report functional specialization in these regions compatible with cognitive impairments (Haukvik et al., 2018; Vargas et al., 2018). One study of first-episode schizophrenia did not confirm HC subfield volume correlations with negative or total PANSS scores, but instead found a positive relationship between right CA1 volume and positive PANSS score (Hýša, Kuhn, Česková, Ustohal, & Kašpárek, 2016). Across the psychosis continuum, relationships between different symptom domains and HC subfields are emerging—especially in the nonclinical part—with variable consistency. There is a likely dependency between structural and functional brain changes associated with behavioral and clinical characteristics, but causal mechanisms are subject to longitudinal studies. These are required to explain the occurrence of larger regional hippocampal volumes in the healthy part of the psychosis spectrum.

The main effects of disorganized and negative schizotypy dimensions on subfield volumes were nonsignificant, partially supporting the explanation that high positive schizotypy is the main driver. In the light of previous findings demonstrating that prediction of prodromal outcomes was explained by additive effects of positive and negative schizotypy (Barrantes-Vidal, Gross, et al., 2013), this may suggest that within individuals displaying increased positive schizotypy, these proneness profiles are not wholly enough expressed to effect noticeable differences across all longitudinal volumes utilized in this study. Consistent with this explanation, interactions between positive, negative, and disorganized dimensions reach significance in the anterior, but not the posterior portion of the hippocampus (Sahakyan et al., 2021), supporting an anterior–posterior gradient of pathological hippocampal volume changes in clinical subjects (McHugh et al., 2018). This may also explain the lack of expected associations for the interaction between the disorganized dimension and PLE in left hippocampal subfields. Apart from longitudinal, opposed to anterior/posterior subdivisions, usage of different automated segmentation methods may further explain inconsistencies.

In this study, HC subfield volume correlates corresponded to psychosis phenotypes absent of a clinically manifest vulnerability. Notably, this does not necessitate exemption from vulnerability in the form of genotypes associated with PLE and schizotypy (Legge et al., 2019; Meller et al., 2019), or hippocampal subfield volumes (Alnæs et al., 2019; van der Meer et al., 2020). As indicated by moderation, in healthy participants with PLE not indicative of CHR, the effect on HC subfield volume was trait schizotypy driven. The present findings imply a sensitivity of the limbic structures to time-invariant traits rather than PLE. It was also shown that the PLE-trait interaction effect
is captured by the quadratic trait term. This finding suggests that peak expressions of PLE featured in higher positive schizotypy correlate with hippocampal volume variation in healthy individuals. Nonetheless, unusual experiences in the context of positive schizotypy and PLE might not be interchangeable phenomenological entities. We advocate a phenotype distinction based on transience (PLE) and stability (traits) (Fonseca-Pedrero & Debbané, 2017; Seiler, Nguyen, Yung, & O’Donoghue, 2020). However, to our best knowledge, no assessment of the discriminant validity between positive psychometric schizotypy and PLE so far exists.

This study has some limitations. The first one being that a lack of associations between PLE and medial temporal structures above the schizotypal traits may be explained by comparatively small effect sizes or reduced PLE persistence (Domínguez, Wichers, Lieb, Wittchen, & van Os, 2011; Hanssen, Bak, Bijl, Vollebergh, & van Os, 2005; Nelson, Fusar-Poli, & Yung, 2012). Since the PQ-16 does not provide a measure of PLE persistence, longitudinal investigations are required to address this issue. There was a considerable overrepresentation of females and an absence of psychopathology in the present cohort, limiting comparability with other studies reporting expectedly higher CHR screening rates in the general population (McDonald et al., 2018).

This study was also the first to use CAT12 automated segmentation for HC subfield delineation. This achieves an alternative route to limbic subfield characterization compared to anterior and posterior HC subdivisions applied elsewhere (McHugo et al., 2018; Sahakyan et al., 2021). Our findings from a novel toolbox call for replication so that results from different HC subfield volumetry methods will expectedly accumulate. We propose that future studies involving PLE could explore (and control for) variance explained by positive schizotypy, PLE distress, or persistence. Etiological studies involving endophenotypes capturing genetic psychosis liability, especially in association with medial lobe structures, could benefit from incorporating individual differences.
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