Effect of physicochemical properties on in vivo fate of nanoparticle-based cancer immunotherapies
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Abstract Current advances of immunotherapy have greatly changed the way of cancer treatment. At the same time, a great number of nanoparticle-based cancer immunotherapies (NBICs) have also been explored to elicit potent immune responses against tumors. However, few NBICs are nearly in the clinical trial which is mainly ascribed to a lack understanding of in vivo fate of nanoparticles (NPs) for cancer immunotherapy. NPs for cancer immunotherapy mainly target the immune organs or immune cells to enable efficient antitumor immune responses. The physicochemical properties of NPs including size, shape, elasticity and surface properties directly affect their interaction with immune systems as well as their in vivo fate and therapeutic effect. Hence, systematic analysis of the physicochemical properties and their effect on in vivo fate is urgently needed. In this review, we first recapitulate the fundamentals for the in vivo fate of NBICs including physio-anatomical features of lymphatic system and strategies to modulate immune responses. Moreover, we highlight the effect of physicochemical properties on their in vivo fate including lymph nodes (LNs) drainage, cellular uptake and intracellular transfer. Challenges and opportunities for rational design of NPs for cancer immunotherapy are also discussed in detail.
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1. Introduction

Immunotherapies have been utilized for the treatment of various diseases, such as infectious diseases, autoimmunity, and cancer. Among these various diseases, immunotherapies for cancer recently experience significant advances and shift the paradigm of cancer treatment. In the past few years, several types of cancer immunotherapies including cancer vaccines, checkpoint inhibitors, and chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T-cell therapies have already been approved for cancer efficiency and disease progression. For CAR T-cell therapies, checkpoint inhibitors have also been observed which caused poor paclitaxel (Abraxane) and liposomal daunorubicin and cytarabine treatment.

Despite the advances, most nanoparticle based delivery systems for cancer immunotherapy are still under the academic or preclinical investigate stage, few delivery systems are nearly in the clinical trial. This disappointing clinical translation might result from insufficient studies of the pharmacokinetics of the delivery system for immunotherapy. There have been several studies focusing on the pharmacokinetics of drug delivery systems carrying chemotherapeutics and targeting the tumor environment and tumor cells. Going further, several studies find that the physicochemical properties of drug delivery system loading chemotherapeutics have a great influence on biodistribution, intratumoral penetration, and internalization, and thus significantly affect their efficiency. Different from the NPs carrying chemotherapeutics, NBCIs mainly modulate immune responses by targeted delivering therapeutic agents to immune organs and immune cells. The physicochemical properties of NPs including size, shape, elasticity and surface properties directly influence their interactions with immune system, and thus affect their in vivo fate and therapeutic effects. For example, NPs with smaller size tend to enter into the lymph nodes (LNs) more efficiently than NPs with larger size which contribute to different antitumor immunity. Apart from size, shape of NPs may affect their internalization as well as intracellular transportation by immune cells. However, the interactions between NPs and immune systems are rarely studied. Therefore, systematic analysis of the physicochemical properties and their effect on LNs drainage, cellular uptake and intracellular transfer is urgently needed. Herein, we first summarized fundamentals for the in vivo fate of NBCIs including physio-anatomical features of lymphatic system and strategies to modulate immune responses. Then we highlight the effect of physicochemical properties on LNs drainage, cellular uptake and intracellular traffic after administration. Finally, challenges and future perspectives for rational design of NBCIs are also discussed in detail.

2. Fundamentals for the in vivo fate of NBCIs

2.1. Physio-anatomical features of lymphatic system

Lymphatic system including lymphoid organs and lymphatic vessels are the main target to modulate for efficient immune responses, especially the LNs. Hundreds of LNs which are connected by a network of lymphatic vessels disperse all over the human body. The lymphatic vessels which comprises initial and larger collecting lymphatic vessels stretch into the interstitium. The lymphatic vessels facilitate interstitial fluid, antigens and lymphocytes to enter into the LNs which play a critical role in tissue fluid balance and immune response. The interior region of LNs can be divided into three zones including cortex, paracortex and medulla zone. B cells and specialized follicular dendritic cells (DCs) make up a surrounding cortex zone. DCs and T cells comprise the paracortex zone. Blood vessels termed high endothelial venules (HEVs) are found in the paracortex of LNs for the recirculation of lymphocytes from blood to LNs. Soluble...
antigens or pathogens phagocytosed by antigen presentation cells (APCs) from interstitium enter into the lymphatic vessels, then transport to LNs. The antigens entering the LNs are internalized and processed by the APCs, then presented to naïve T cells and B cells to generate antigen specific immune cells and antibodies. Upon activation, antigen specific immune cells and antibodies exit the LN through medulla efferent lymphatic, enter into the circulation through the thoracic duct and traffic to diseased site to clear antigens or combat pathogens. Therefore, lymphatic systems are primary site that therapeutic agents must reach to generate antigen-specific responses for cancer immunotherapy.

2.2. Strategies for NCBIs to modulate immune responses

Instead of direct killing cancer cells, NBCIs mainly modulate immune organs or immune cells to eradicate cancer cells. Strategies for NCBIs to modulate immune responses have been well developed. Firstly, NBCIs enable efficient immune priming by targeted delivering immunotherapeutic agents to lymph node. For example, nanovaccines, carrying antigens and adjuvants, targeted enter into LNs and activate APCs for improved antigen presentation and T cell immune responses. Secondly, NBCIs could improve the antitumor efficiency via efficiently delivering immune stimulants or checkpoint inhibitors to regulate the viability of T cells. Furthermore, NBCIs could reprogram tumor immune microenvironment for improved cancer treatment. For instance, cytokines engineered into NPs can be protected and targeted delivered to tumor microenvironment to increase the antitumor activity or decrease the pro-tumor activity of immune cells.

As for immune priming, lymph node is the primary site for immunotherapeutic agents to modulate immune priming and activation. DCs resident in the lymph node are the critical cells for antigen processing and presentation. Nanomaterials-based drug delivery systems such as liposomes, polymeric NPs and inorganic NPs have been exploited to deliver antigens and adjuvants to LNs, which efficiently promote antigen presentation and T cell activation. For example, adjuvant CpG or peptide antigen was conjugated to albumin-binding lipids to construct an amphiphilic nanovaccine for LNs delivery. Compared with the free adjuvant or antigen, the albumin based nanovaccine achieved a much higher increase in LNs accumulation which promoted the T cell proliferation and antitumor efficiency in a murine model of melanoma. In another study, antigens were reversibly conjugated to a synthetic glyco-adjuvant polymer for DCs targeting and activating. Results demonstrated that synthetic glyco-adjuvant polymer showed enhanced accumulation in LNs compared with the non-targeted polymers which lead to robust humoral and cellular immunity.

As for immune priming, lymph node is the primary site for immunotherapeutic agents to modulate immune priming and activation. DCs resident in the lymph node are the critical cells for antigen processing and presentation. Nanomaterials-based drug delivery systems such as liposomes, polymeric NPs and inorganic NPs have been exploited to deliver antigens and adjuvants to LNs, which efficiently promote antigen presentation and T cell activation. For example, adjuvant CpG or peptide antigen was conjugated to albumin-binding lipids to construct an amphiphilic nanovaccine for LNs delivery. Compared with the free adjuvant or antigen, the albumin based nanovaccine achieved a much higher increase in LNs accumulation which promoted the T cell proliferation and antitumor efficiency in a murine model of melanoma. In another study, antigens were reversibly conjugated to a synthetic glyco-adjuvant polymer for DCs targeting and activating. Results demonstrated that synthetic glyco-adjuvant polymer showed enhanced accumulation in LNs compared with the non-targeted polymers which lead to robust humoral and cellular immunity.

After effectively priming and presentation by DCs, the activation and proliferation of T cells is of great importance for cancer immunotherapy. Nanomaterials loading with immune stimulating agents can be used to enhance endogenous T cell activity. Schmid and coworkers synthesized poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA) and PEG (PLGA–PEG) based NPs functionalized with T cell targeting antibody and encapsulating transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β) inhibitor to restore T cell activity.

Figure 1  Schematic illustration of lymphatic vessels and lymph node. (A) Initial lymphatic vessels paralleled with blood capillary stretched into the interstitium. Initial lymphatic vessels with blind-ended structures and discontinuous basement membrane, while the blood capillary with tight junction of endothelial cells. (B) The structure of lymph node which can be divided into cortex, paracortex and medulla zone. Reproduced with the permission from Ref. 57. Copyright © 2015 Springer Nature.
activity. Additionally, they targeted delivered a Toll-like receptor 7/8 (TLR7/TLR8) agonist to programmed cell death protein 1 (PD-1) expressing T cells to improve the viability of T cells. Results clarified that the way of efficiently delivering stimulating agents to endogenous T cells induced efficient eradication of cancer cells and significantly extended survival in a colorectal mouse model. Other than improving endogenous T cell activity, nanomaterials have also shown great potential to maintain the viability of adoptively transferred T cells in vivo. For instance, liposomes and liposome-like synthetic NPs encapsulating adjuvant drugs were conjugated to the therapeutic T cells via maleimide-thiol coupling. The adjuvant drugs released from the NPs provide sustained stimulation to the therapeutic T cells, resulting in enhanced T cell therapy. This approach boosted the viability and proliferation of hematopoietic stem cell grafts compared with free adjuvants. Apart from strengthening T cells activity by delivery immune stimulants, T cell function can be upregulated by blocking the inhibitory receptors via checkpoint inhibitors. Nanomaterials can be used to deliver checkpoint inhibitors locally or systemically to enhance the T cell function and reduce side effects. Furthermore, T cells circulated in the blood can be modified to recognize and attack cancer cells. In one study, a DNA-carrying polymer nanoparticle was developed to target T cells circulated in the blood and program them with leukemia-recognizing CAR genes. These polymer NPs enabled robust antitumor effect. Particularly they provided a practical preparation way for CAR T-cell therapy which avoided laborious and complicated manufacturing procedures.

Apart from DCs and T cells, other immune cells, such as tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs), natural killer (NK) cells and myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) also play a critical role in the antitumor immunity. For instance, TAMs infiltrating in the tumor environment can be classified into M1-type macrophages and M2-type macrophages which exert antitumor and pro-tumor effects respectively. As tumor progresses, TAMs predominately exist in the phenotype of M2 which contribute to form an immunosuppressive and pro-tumor microenvironment resulting in invasion of tumor cells. Nanomaterials delivering therapeutic agents to eliminate or modulate the phenotype of TAMs have been well studied to achieve efficient antitumor immune responses. For example, a bisphosphonate–glucosamin conjugate was developed to targeted deplete M2 macrophages. The conjugate efficiently targeted the macrophages and caused a 84.5% reduction of TAMs in the tumor tissue compared with 17.0% reduction of TAMs treated with free bisphosphonate. In addition, NK cells, as an innate effector lymphocytes, can directly recognize and attack cancer cells at an early stage of tumor progress which lead to a much faster immune response. Nanomaterials have been investigated to modulate the viability of NK cells for cancer treatment. Loftus and coworkers prepared a graphene oxide-based nanoscale clusters functionalized with antibodies on the outside surface to mimic an immune cell to interact with NK cells. These NGO-templated nanoclusters significantly stimulated NK cells activity via interacting with the CD16 receptor compared with soluble antibodies. MDSCs, as an immunosuppressive immune cell, have also been a target cell to deplete or block its function for efficient antitumor immunity. For example, gemcitabine was found to be effective to inhibit the proliferation of MDSCs at a low concentration. Maria and co-workers developed a lipid-based NPs encapsulating lauroyl-modified gemcitabine. This lipid NPs efficiently targeted and depleted MDSCs which resulted in reduced percentage of MDSCs.
in the spleen and tumor for enhanced antitumor efficacy of lymphoma and melanoma.

Strategies for NCBIs to modulate immune responses have experienced great progress. The developed NCBIs were summarized and listed in Table 1. To achieve efficient antitumor immunity, the in vivo fate of NCBIs which was greatly influenced by the physicochemical properties of NPs and their interaction with immune system should be fully investigated.

3. Effect of NPs physicochemical properties on lymph node drainage

Lymph node, as one of the most important lymphoid organs provides a primary site for immunotherapeutic agents to modulate immune priming and activation. Most therapeutic agents are delivered to the lymph node trough interstitial administration including subcutaneous, intramuscular or intradermal injection. Immunotherapeutic agents can be loaded inside or conjugated to the outside of the NPs. Physicochemical properties of the NPs such as size, shape, elasticity and surface properties significantly influence their interaction with interstium and lymphatic system which determine their biological fate as well as antitumor immune responses. In this part, we highlight the effect of major physicochemical properties of NPs on their interaction with interstium and LNs drainage.

3.1. Size

The size of NPs which predominantly affects the contact area of NPs with physiological environments is a critical physicochemical
property that influence their in vivo fate. Studies have shown that small NPs can readily enter into lymphatic vessels, then transfer to lymph nodes (LNs). In one critical study, small NPs (25 nm) and large NPs (100 nm) was used to study the effect of size on LNs drainage. The results revealed that the 25 nm NPs entered into lymphatic vessels more quickly and efficiently than the 100 nm NPs, then transferred to the LNs. Particularly, more than half of DCs resident in the interstitial matrix were typically 100 nm in diameter which limited the size of molecules transfer to lymphatic vessels (Fig. 4). In general, NPs with diameter ranging from 10 to 100 nm may be preferable to efficiently transfer through interstitial matrix, enter into the lymphatic vessels and ultimately drain into the LNs. The results showed that 20 nm NPs transferred into the lymphatic vessels more efficiently and stay in the LNs for longer time than 45 nm and 100 nm NPs. Large NPs tend to be difficult to enter into lymphatic vessels and transfer to LNs. Manolova and co-workers demonstrated that large NPs with diameter of 500–1000 nm were mainly trapped in the interstitial matrix and phagocytized by macrophages and DCs resident in the interstitial matrix. Moreover, Ryan demonstrated that large NPs with diameter larger than 100 nm could not readily transfer to lymphatic vessels because the water channels formed by interstitial extracellular matrix were typically 100 nm in diameter which limited the size of molecules transfer to lymphatic vessels (Fig. 5). Therefore, NPs larger than 100 nm cannot efficiently enter into the lymph node. However, the size of the NPs drain to LNs cannot be too small. Kobayashi and colleagues demonstrated that NPs smaller than 9 nm were more likely to enter into the vascular capillaries and rapidly cleared away from the blood via urinary excretion, while the NPs above this size predominantly drained into the lymphatic vessels. Another study suggested that NPs smaller than 10 nm penetrated into both vascular capillaries and lymphatic vessels freely. While the small NPs predominantly entered into vascular capillaries owing to the vascular capillaries with a high blood flow rate than the lymph fluid in the lymph capillaries. In general, NPs with diameter ranging from 10 to 100 nm may be preferable to efficiently transfer through interstitial matrix, enter into the lymphatic vessels and ultimately drain into the LNs.

3.2. Surface charge

Surface charge has a significant impact on the interaction between NPs and interstitial matrix which influence the in vivo fate of NPs. Intestinal matrix is consist of polysaccharides, glycoproteins and collagen fibers which carries negative charge. Thus, NPs with positive charge tend to be trapped in the interstitium owing to electrostatic interactions. While neutral or negative charged NPs usually transfer through the interstitium more easily. Doda-paneni and coworkers studied the charge effect on LNs distribution and anti-lymphatic metastasis effect using three types of NPs constructed by PEG–PCL polymers. The surface charge state of three NPs was neutral, partially charged and fully charged by

### Table 1: Strategies for nanoparticle-based cancer immunotherapies (NCBI) to modulate immune responses.

| Target cell | NPs platform | Immunotherapeutic agent | Function | Ref. |
|-------------|--------------|-------------------------|----------|-----|
| DCs         | Ionizable lipid-like materials | mRNA or antigens | Efficient mRNA delivery and STING activation | 58 |
| pH-sensitive polymers | Antigens | Efficient antigen cytosolic delivery and STING activation | 59 |
| Albumin-binding lipids | CpG or peptide | Enhanced lymph node delivery and T Cell activation | 60 |
| Polymers | Antigens and adjuvants | Robust humoral and cellular immunity | 61 |
| Mesoporous silica rod absorbing PEI | CpG, antigens and GM-CSF | Promote dendritic cell activation and antigen specific T cell responses | 62 |
| T cells | Nanoscale polymeric gels | TGF-β inhibitor and IL-2 | Facilitate the CD8+ T-cell infiltration into tumors | 68 |
| PLGA/PEG-based NPs | TGFβRI inhibitor and TLR7/TLR8 agonist | Improve the viability of endogenous T cells and its antitumor efficiency | 69 |
| liposomes and liposome-like synthetic NPs | Adjuvant drugs | Boosted the viability and proliferation of T cells | 70 |
| Reactive oxygen species-responsive scaffold Gold NPs | Gemcitabine and checkpoint inhibitor | Improve the activity of CD8+ T cells for efficient cancer eradication | 73 |
| Other immune cells | Bisphosphonate-glucosamin conjugate Ferumoxytol | Bisphosphonate and glucosamin Ferumoxytol | Inhibit tumor growth by converting M2-like TAM into M1-like TAM | 82 |
| Graphene oxide-based nanoscale clusters | Antibodies | Significantly stimulate NK cells activity | 85 |
| Phosphonate capped dendrimers | Phosphonate capped dendrimers | Efficient proliferation of human NK cells | 86 |
| Lipid NPs | Lauroyl-modified gemcitabine | Reduce the percentage of MDSCs in the spleen and tumor | 87 |
| Heparin-tocopherol succinate nanoparticle | Heparin-tocopherol Succinate nanoparticle | Inhibit the recruitment of MDSCs and expression of M9 in MDSCs | 88 |

DCs, dendritic cells; GM-CSF, granulocyte–macrophage colony-stimulating factor; MDSCs, myeloid-derived suppressor cells; MMP-9, matrix metalloproteinase 9; mRNA, messenger RNA; NK cells, natural killer cells; NPs, nanoparticles; PEI, polyethylenimine; TAM, tumor-associated macrophages; TGF-β, transforming growth factor-β; TGFβRI, transforming growth factor beta-receptor 1; TLR7/TLR8, toll-like receptor 7/8.
modifying PEG block with different ratio of anionic and neutral groups. They observed that the neutral and partially charged NPs could efficiently target the lymph node and combat the lymph node metastasis of melanocytes compared with the fully-charged NPs. Additionally, Huang’s group developed negatively-charged and positively-charged lipid–calcium phosphate NPs for LNs imaging. They found that the negatively-charged NPs drained more readily to the LNs compared with the positively charged LCP NPs. In another study, Min and coworkers modified poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) NPs with different surface charge to deliver antigens released by radiotherapy to LNs for enhanced immunotherapy. Their results showed that mPEG AC-NPs, PLGA and Mal AC-NPs with negative charge accumulated at higher rates in the LNs compared with DOTAP AC-NPs and NH₂ AC-NPs with positive charge post administration (Fig. 6).

Other than experimental evidences, Stylianopoulos and co-workers investigate the charge effect of macromolecules and NPs on their transfer through the interstitial matrix of physiological tissues using a mathematical framework. Their results showed that positively-charged NPs were typically more avidly trapped at the injection site due to the electrostatic interactions with negative charged collagen fibers and hyaluronic acid filled in the interstitium. Therefore, neutral or negative charged NPs are preferable to drain freely to lymphatic vessels, then arrive at LNs.

### 3.3 Hydrophobicity

Surface hydrophobicity/hydrophilicity balance plays an important role in the transportation of NPs from interstitium to LNs. As the interstitium is primarily composed of hydrophilic collagen fibers and glycosaminoglycans, transfer of hydrophilic NPs through interstitium is thought to be more easily than hydrophobic NPs. Rao and coworkers studied the effect of hydrophobicity/hydrophilicity balance on LNs accumulation by using highly hydrophobic PS NPs and lower hydrophobic PP (PLGA-PMA:PLA-PEG) NPs of the similar size. Their results showed that PP NPs with low hydrophobicity had much higher LNs accumulation than the PS NPs after subcutaneous injection. Furthermore, they suggested that this was probably due to the rapid aggregation of the PS NPs at the injection site for their high hydrophobicity which prevent their drainage to LNs. These studies thus highlight that hydrophilic NPs tend to more efficiently transfer to the lymphatic vessels and drain into the LNs than hydrophobic NPs.

### 3.4 Elasticity

Elasticity reflects the property of a nanoparticle to be deformable which is characterized by certain physical parameters such as Young’s moduli. The effect of nanoparticle elasticity on biodistribution and uptake by tumor cells after intravenous administration has been well studied. Apart from influencing the in vivo fate of nanoparticle following intravenous administration, elasticity has been shown to influence the interstitial retention time and antigen LNs drainage after interstitial administration. Xia and co-workers studied the pliability and lateral mobility of particles for its immune response by evaluating antigen LNs drainage and immune cellular uptake. Their results suggested that pickering emulsion particles with pliability compared with rigid particles showed enhanced antigen LNs drainage by increased droplet cell contact area induced by particle deformation (Fig. 7). On the contrary, Christensen et al. compared the LNs drainage and immune activation capacity of liposome prepared by rigid dimethyldioctadecylammonium (DDA) and highly fluid dimethyldioleoylammonium (DODA). They found that the rigid DDA-based liposomes easily formed a depot at the injection site which resulted in a more efficient LNs drainage and antigen cellular uptake than the highly fluid DODA-based liposomes. Thus, further investigations are still needed to study the effect of nanoparticle elasticity on LNs drainage and immune responses.

### 4. Effect of NPs physicochemical properties on cellular uptake

Upon drainage into the LNs, NPs need to be internalized by immune cells to exerts antitumor immune responses. When NPs reach to the outside of the immune cells, they interact with the

---

Figure 4  Small NPs are more readily accumulate in the lymph node. (A) Fluorescence microlymphangiography of lymphatic vessels after injection of 100 nm and 25 nm NPs. (B) Location and retention of NPs in the lymph node. (C) CD11c+ cells with 100 nm and 25 nm NPs analyzed by flow cytometer. (D) Quantification of CD11c+ cells with 100 nm and 25 nm NPs. Reproduced with the permission from Ref. 50. Copyright © 2007 Springer Nature.
plasma membrane and then was engulfed by membrane invagination to form endocytic vesicles for internalization. Physicochemical properties of NPs including size, shape, elasticity and surface properties significantly affect the adsorption, contact area and interaction strain between NPs and cell membrane. Therefore, the effect of physicochemical properties on cellular uptake by immune cells need to be well analyzed.

4.1. Size

The size of NPs determines the contact area of particle surface and cell membrane which greatly influenced the cellular uptake by immune cells. The uptake of NPs through endocytosis can be classified into several different mechanisms including phagocytosis and macropinocytosis. With the size increase, the surface to volume ratio of NPs is decreased, which decrease their interactions area with immune cells resulting in less uptake. For example, with the size of NPs exceeding 500 nm, the cellular uptake by DCs was less efficiently. While small NPs of 10 and 50 nm were engulfed more readily by DCs. Furthermore, a significantly higher cellular uptake of 10 nm AuNPs than 50 nm AuNPs was observed. Additionally, large NPs are generally internalized through phagocytosis mechanisms by macrophages, neutrophils, or DCs resident in the tissue which are response for the host defense and pathogen clearance. While small NPs usually enter into cells via the cooperation of several endocytic mechanisms. For instance, polystyrene NPs with diameter of 40 and 600 nm was used to investigate the size effect on cellular uptake mechanism by immune cells. Their results demonstrated that 40 nm polystyrene NPs were internalized by multiple uptake mechanisms including clathrin-mediated endocytosis, phagocytosis and macropinocytosis. In comparison, 600 nm polystyrene NPs were internalized mainly through phagocytosis by J774.1A macrophages.

Going further, Gu et al. investigated the cellular uptake...
pathway of super paramagnetic iron oxide NPs by endocytic inhibitor analysis. Their results demonstrated that small super-paramagnetic iron oxide NPs of 10 nm were internalized by Raw 264.7 macrophage cells through multiple endocytic pathways involved clathrin-dependent endocytosis, caveolae-dependent endocytosis and macropinocytosis. Therefore, small NPs with diameter ranging from 10 to 50 nm tend to be more easily internalized by immune cells through multiple uptake mechanisms including clathrin-mediated endocytosis, phagocytosis and micropinocytosis, while large NPs with diameter exceeding 500 nm show less efficient cellular uptake.

4.2. Shape

Shape of NPs characterized by aspect ratios and edge curvature affects the orientation and contact angle of NPs being internalized by cells, thus being a critical physicochemical property influencing cellular uptake. It has been reported that rod-shaped NPs

Figure 6  NPs with different charge state for lymph node targeting. (A) Schematic illustration of NPs with different charge absorbing antigens released from radiotherapy drained to lymph node. (B) Charge state of different NPs absorbing antigens or not. (C) Fluorescence image of lymph node injected with different formulations. Reproduced with the permission from Ref. 105. Copyright © 2017 Springer Nature.

Figure 7  Effect of particle elasticity on cellular uptake and lymph node accumulation. (A) Particles with pliability and lateral mobility deform on the cell surface for enhanced cellular uptake. (B) Rigid particles did not deform on the cell surface with reduced cellular uptake. (C) Comparison of antigen presentation of nanoparticle with different elasticity. (D) Fluorescence image of lymph node accumulation after injected with different formulations. Reproduced with the permission from Ref. 111. Copyright © 2018 Springer Nature.
showed lower cellular uptake than spherical NPs by cancer cells\textsuperscript{125,126}. Consistent with cancer cells, several studies demonstrated that immune cells internalized spherical NPs more efficiently than rod-shaped NPs\textsuperscript{127}. For instance, disc-shaped and rod-shaped NPs with similar volume were incubated with mouse bone marrow dendritic cells (BMDCs). Results showed that disc-shaped NPs could be internalized more efficiently than rod-shaped NPs by BMDCs at all time points\textsuperscript{127}. Similarly, spherical (20 nm and 40 nm in diameter), rod (40 nm $\times$ 10 nm), and cubic (40 nm $\times$ 40 nm $\times$ 40 nm) AuNPs was prepared to study the shape effect on immune response by evaluating the efficiency of cellular uptake by RAW264.7 macrophages. Results from their study revealed that the spherical AuNPs were more efficiently internalized by macrophages than rod AuNPs in terms of the weight of NPs (Fig. 8)\textsuperscript{128}. The underline mechanism of shape effect on internalization was explained through theoretical simulation approaches\textsuperscript{123,129}. A theoretical model was established by Yi’s group\textsuperscript{129} to evaluate the process of membrane wrapping of different particles. Keeping other physical chemical parameters constant, they found that rod-shaped particles require more energy for cell membrane wrapping than spherical particles. Additionally, rod-shaped particles underwent an orientation change during wrapping which also increased energy expenditure and decreased its cellular uptake\textsuperscript{129}. Other than that, NPs of different shape were also proved to be internalized through different uptake pathways. In one example, spherical and cylindrical micelles of varied lengths was constructed to investigate the uptake pathway by RAW 264.7 macrophages. Results showed that spherical micelles had a higher accumulation in the macrophages through clathrin- and caveolin-dependent endocytosis than cylindrical micelles which mostly through clathrin mediated endocytosis\textsuperscript{130}. Additionally, shape may influence the immune responses toward immune cells\textsuperscript{51,131}. For instance, Wang et al.\textsuperscript{131} developed inorganic TiO$_2$ particles with rough surface to investigate their immune responses. They observed that only TiO$_2$ particles with spiky surface elicited K$^+$ efflux and inflammasome priming in macrophages and DCs. Furthermore, the spiky particles enabled enhanced DC maturation which resulted in robust humoral and adaptive immune responses against tumor and influenza. Thus, spherical NPs could be internalized more efficiently by immune cells because less energy is required for cell membrane wrapping during the process of cellular uptake.

4.3. Surface charge

Surface charge which is typically measured by zeta potential of NPs dispersed in aqueous media directly affects the interaction between NPs and cell membrane\textsuperscript{117,132}. NPs can be engineered with positive, negative, or neutral surface charge by different compositions or surface modifications. Cell membrane mainly comprise of phospholipid-based bilayer leading to an overall negative charge. Therefore, positively charged NPs show higher internalization due to the enhanced electrostatic attraction between NPs and negatively charged cell membrane\textsuperscript{133–135}. For example, Yue et al.\textsuperscript{136} fabricated three kinds of Chitosan-based NPs with similar size but different surface charge to study the surface charge effect on cellular uptake. Their results demonstrated that positive charged NPs showed higher cellular uptake than the neutral and negative charged NPs in eight representative cell lines including immune cells. Similarly, Fytianos and co-workers\textsuperscript{137} reported that positive PVA-NH$_2$ AuNPs were taken up higher than the negative PVA-COOH NPs by both the monocyte-derived macrophages and monocyte-derived dendritic cells. In another study, Mou and coworkers\textsuperscript{138} compared the cellular

---

**Figure 8** Effect of shape on cellular uptake by RAW264.7 macrophages. (A) TEM images of spherical, cubic and rod Au NPs. (B) Characterization of $\zeta$ potential of Au-NPs after modification. (C) Cellular uptake of Au-NPs with different shape observed by CLSM. (D) Antibody production treated with different formulations. Reproduced with the permission from Ref. 128. Copyright © 2013 American Chemical Society.
uptake of positively charged Fe$_2$O$_3$ NPs and negatively charged Fe$_2$O$_3$ NPs. They demonstrated that positively charged Fe$_2$O$_3$ NPs showed higher cellular uptake by DCs and enhanced cross-presentation, while the negatively charged Fe$_2$O$_3$ NPs with lower cellular uptake and showed activation of autophagy. Therefore, NPs with positive charge exhibited higher internalization due to the enhanced electrostatic attraction between NPs and negatively charged cell membrane.

4.4. Elasticity

NPs elasticity also significantly influences the internalization of NPs by immune cells. For example, Palomba and coworkers$^{139}$ demonstrated that the rigid NPs showed 5 times more efficiently internalization than the soft NPs in bone marrow derived monocytes independent of the size and shape. The interactions of NPs with macrophage cell membrane was studied by high-resolution live cell microscopy. The results indicated that the time of soft NPs interact with the cell membrane was shorter than that of rigid NPs which may decrease the cellular recognition and internalization. However, Xia and co-workers$^{111}$ demonstrated that pickering emulsions with pliability and lateral mobility compared with solid particles showed enhanced uptake by BMDCs. They suggested that pickering emulsions showed deformation on the cell membrane and increased droplet–cell contact area, then promoted the cellular uptake. While deformation was not observed on the cells treatment with solid particles which inhibited the landing of actions and prevented the interaction of BMDCs and NPs$^{111}$. Therefore, the effect of elasticity on cellular uptake by immune cells is still need to be studied by further investigation.

4.5. Modifying ligand

The surface of NPs can be engineered with specific ligands for enhanced cellular targeting and uptake. For example, Yang and coworkers constructed a poly($\alpha$-lactide-$\gamma$-glycolide) nanoparticle coated with tumor cell membranes which was modified by mannose for efficient APCs targeting. Due to the overexpression of mannose receptors on APCs, they observed that mannose-modified NP@M–M enabled much higher cellular uptake by BMDCs and macrophages than NP@M without mannose modification. Furthermore, they observed that the mannose-modified NP@M–M NPs combined with checkpoint blockade therapy showed efficient antitumor efficiency$^{140}$. Similarly, Cruz and coworkers studied the uptake of non-targeted NPs and targeted NPs modified with antibody CD40, DEC-205, and CD11c overexpressed by DCs. Their results demonstrated that targeted NPs showed higher dendritic cell uptake and efficient T cell priming capacity compared with non-targeted NPs$^{141}$. Similarly, AuNPs modified with DC-SIGN antibody which targeting dendritic cell surface showed significantly increased uptake by DCs which result in robust T cell activation compared with non-modified control AuNPs$^{137}$. Therefore, NPs modified with targeting ligand could efficiently promote the cellular uptake by immune cells.

5. Effect of NPs physicochemical properties on intracellular transfer

Following cellular internalization, NPs are usually trapped in endocytic vesicles$^{142}$. The intracellular trafficking of endocytic vesicles is mediated by a serial of cellular endosomes including lysosome, Golg apparatus, and endoplasmic reticulum$^{116,143}$. The endocytic vesicles experience a maturation process and eventually fuses with lysosome compartments to digest and degrade the immunotherapeutic agents which compromise the antitumor effect$^{144}$. Furthermore, antigens escaped from the endosomes and presented through class I MHC molecules to CD$^8^+$ T cells is necessary to elicit efficient adaptive antitumor immunity for cancer treatment$^{145,146}$. Therefore, strategies that functionalized NPs with stimulus responsivity will facilitate endosomal escape and cytosolic delivery for efficient antitumor immunity$^{147,148}$.

5.1. pH responsivity

NPs with pH responsivity have been utilized to induce endosomal escape and antigen cytosolic delivery$^{150–153}$. For example, Luo and colleagues$^{59}$ developed a serial of pH-sensitive polymers comprising of linear or cyclic tertiary amines in the side chains for cytosolic antigen delivery. These NPs escaped from the endosome and facilitated the cytosolic delivery of tumor antigens due to the proton sponge effect of the polymer under an acidic environment. The results showed that the pH-sensitive copolymer based nano vaccine enable efficient antigen cytosolic delivery and robust antitumor immunity in various tumor models (Fig. 9). Similarly, polymer micelles were also developed for efficient cytosolic delivery of tumor antigens for efficient antitumor effect$^{152}$. This polymer micelle comprises a tetracopolymer ampholytic core forming block with pH-sensitive activity for endosome escape and an N-(2-hydroxypropyl)methacrylamide block to conjugate with antigens. Results demonstrated that this pH-sensitive polymer micelles promoted much higher cytoplasmic antigen levels in murine DCs than soluble antigens. Following subcutaneous immunization, mice with antigens conjugated to the pH-sensitive polymer micelle achieve efficient CD$^8^+$ cytotoxic T cell (CTL) responses compared with free antigens. Other than efficient cytosolic delivery of antigens, Hu’s group$^{153}$ developed a pH-responsive core–shell segregated polymer for efficient delivery of small molecules and proteins which was membrane impermeable for DCs. The polymer was constructed by a pH-responsive core for endosome escape and a hydrophilic shell for drug loading. Results showed that the polymer achieved efficient cytosolic delivery of membrane impermeable molecules by disrupt the endosome membrane due to the proton sponge effect, resulting efficient CD$^8^+$ T cell priming$^{153}$. Hence, NPs with pH responsivity enable efficient endosomal escape and cytosolic delivery.

5.2. Light responsivity

Light-responsive NPs have also been utilized to promote endosome escape for efficient cytosolic delivery. For example, a photosensitive mixture composed of antigen and photosensitizer Amphinex was constructed to test the efficiency of photochemical mediated endosomes disruption and cytosolic delivery of antigens. Upon light exposure, the photosensitive mixture enabled enhanced cytosolic antigen delivery and MHC class I antigen presentation than free antigen by DCs. Additionally, autologous immunization with DCs that had been treated with the mixture and light exposure generated efficient antigen-specific CD$^8^+$ T cell proliferation in mice$^{154}$. In another study, copolymers with light responsivity were developed for efficient endosome disruption and cargo release into the cytosol. Ethyl eosin was used as the hydrophobic part and acts as a photosensitizer responsive to light. Upon light exposure, the copolymer destabilized the endosome membrane to enable efficient antigen cytosolic delivery. Furthermore, MHC I
antigen presentation was observed in DCs treated with copolymers encapsulating a model antigen after light exposure. Therefore, NPs with light responsivity promote endosomal escape and cytosolic delivery for efficient immune responses.

5.3. Redox sensitivity

NPs with redox sensitivity tend to disassemble and release their encapsulating cargoes in the bio-reducible environment including cytosol and cell nucleus as well as late endosomes. NPs with redox sensitivity have been exploiting as a promising platform to enhance chemotherapeutic agents and gene therapeutics delivery by promoting cytoplasmic drug release. As a means to facilitate endosome escape and cytosolic delivery, NPs with redox sensitivity have also been utilized for efficient cytoplasmic delivery of antigens and immunotherapeutic agents. For example, Li et al. synthesized alginate-poly(ethylene imine) nanogels with bio-reducible responsiveness for antigen cytosolic delivery. The nanogels were constructed by branched PEI electrostatic interacting with negatively charged alginate and cross-linked by disulfide to obtain bio-reducible ability. The nanogels achieved efficient endosome escape via proton sponge effect of PEI, then degraded and release the encapsulating antigens in the reducing cytosol environment. The nanogels enabled efficient endosome escape and cytosolic release of the loading antigens which resulted in robust immune responses compared with non-reducible nanogels.

6. Conclusion and future perspectives

With the rapid development of nanotechnology and immunotherapy, nanomaterials have been increasingly exploited as the delivery systems of immunotherapeutic agents to improve immune efficiency and reduce toxicity. The physicochemical properties of NPs like size, charge, shape, hydrophobicity, elasticity and surface modifications determine their interactions with physiological environment which significantly affect their in vivo fate and efficiency. Thus, systematic analysis of the physicochemical properties and their interaction with physiological environment is urgently needed. In this review, we first summarized fundamentals for the in vivo fate of NBCIs including physiological and anatomical features of lymphatic system and strategies to modulate immune responses. Then we highlighted the effect of physicochemical properties of NPs on LNs drainage, immune cellular uptake and intracellular traffic after administration. For rational design of NBCIs, several general rules are suggested. Firstly, the size of NPs should be controlled within the range of 10–100 nm which will be preferable to efficiently enter into the lymphatic vessels and ultimately drain into the LNs. Secondly, NPs with high hydrophobicity and negative charge is preferred to transfer freely through interstitial matrix and then drain into the LNs. Furthermore, NPs with spherical shape and targeting ligand is more efficiently internalized by immune cells. At last, NPs with pH responsivity, light responsivity and redox sensitivity enable efficient endosomal escape and cytosolic delivery which elicit potent antitumor immunity. Through regulating the physicochemical properties of NPs, efficient immune responses against tumors can be achieved.

Despite the advances, great efforts still need to be made to understand the in vivo fate of NBCIs. Firstly, more efforts should be made to understand the potential influence of delivery barriers for immunotherapeutic agents. It has been reported that immunotherapeutic agents for cancer immunotherapy are mainly targeted delivered to lymphoid tissues or specific immune cells through
The authors declare no competing financial interests. 

Interstitial administration which is quite different from the target of chemotherapeutics through intravenous or intratumoral administration. Therefore, systematic investigation of the delivery barriers confronted immunotherapeutic agents from interstitium to lymphoid tissues is urgently needed. Moreover, the microenvironment of lymphoid organs such as LNs and spleens need to be studied to elucidate their interaction with immunotherapeutic agents for immune responses. Secondly, several physicochemical properties have different effect on the in vivo fate of NPs for immunotherapy. For instance, Palomba demonstrated that rigid NPs showed higher cellular uptake than the soft NPs by BMDCs. While Xia and co-workers demonstrated that pickering emulsions with pliability and lateral mobility showed enhanced uptake than solid particles by BMDCs. They explained that pickering emulsions deformed on the cell membrane and increased droplet cell contact area, then promoted the cellular uptake. Thus, more efforts should be made to optimize the physicochemical parameters for efficient LNs targeting and antitumor immunity. Additionally, some physicochemical parameters influence the activation of immune responses. For example, rigid liposomes encapsulating antigen Ag85B-ESAT-6 enabled much more efficient Th-1 immune responses than soft liposomes. Future investigation that explain the mechanism and interaction between physicochemical parameters and immune response will be helpful for the rational design of drug delivery systems for cancer immunotherapy.

Overall, it has been shown that physicochemical properties significantly influence the interaction of NPs with immune system which affects the in vivo fate and antitumor immunity. We believe that in-depth investigation of the physicochemical properties of NPs and their interaction with immune systems will accelerate the development of NBCIs and facilitate its medicine translation.
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