Gluon TMD in particle production from low to moderate $x$

I. Balitsky$^{a,b}$ and A. Tarasov$^b$

$^a$Department of Physics, Old Dominion University, 4600 Elkhorn Ave, Norfolk, VA 23529, U.S.A.
$^b$Theory Group, Jefferson Lab, 12000 Jefferson Ave, Newport News, VA 23606, U.S.A.

E-mail: balitsky@jlab.org, atarasov@jlab.org

ABSTRACT: We study the rapidity evolution of gluon transverse momentum dependent distributions appearing in processes of particle production and show how this evolution changes from small to moderate Bjorken $x$.

KEYWORDS: Deep Inelastic Scattering (Phenomenology), QCD Phenomenology

ArXiv ePrint: 1603.06548
1 Introduction

The TMDs [1–3] (also called unintegrated parton distributions) are widely used in the analysis of various scattering processes like SIDIS or Drell-Yan. The TMD generalizes the usual concept of parton density by allowing PDFs to depend on intrinsic transverse momenta in addition to the usual longitudinal momentum fraction variable. At low energies the relevant quantities are quark TMDs and there is a vast literature on the application
of quark TMDs for analysis of cross sections of processes measured at JLab and elsewhere (see e.g. refs. [4–18], for review see also refs. [19–21]). However, since at the future EIC accelerator the majority of the produced particles will be gluons one needs to study also the evolution of gluon TMDs. Moreover, the EIC energies may be in the intermediate region between hard physics described by linear CSS evolution [22] and low-x physics described by non-linear BK/JIMWLK evolution [23–33] so one needs to study the transition of the evolution of gluon TMDs between these two regimes.\footnote{For the study of quark TMDs in the small-x regime, see refs. [34, 35].}

The gluon TMD (unintegrated gluon distribution) is defined as [36]

$$\mathcal{D}(x_B, k_T, \eta) = \int d^2 z_\perp e^{i(k,z) \cdot z_\perp} \mathcal{D}(x_B, z_\perp, \eta),$$

(1.1)

$$\alpha_s \mathcal{D}(x_B, z_\perp, \eta) = \frac{-x_B^{-1}}{8\pi^2(p \cdot n)} \int du e^{-ix_B u(pn)} \langle P| \mathcal{F}_\xi^m(z_\perp + un)[z_\perp - \infty n, -\infty n]^{ab} \mathcal{F}_\xi(0)|P \rangle$$

where $|P\rangle$ is an unpolarized target with momentum $p$ (typically proton) and $n$ is a light-like vector. Hereafter we use the notation

$$\mathcal{F}_\xi^m(z_\perp + un) \equiv n^\mu g F^m_{\mu \xi}(un + z_\perp)[un + z_\perp, -\infty n + z_\perp]^{ma}$$

(1.2)

where $[x, y]$ denotes straight-line gauge link connecting points $x$ and $y$:

$$[x, y] \equiv Pe^{ig \int du (x-y)^\mu A_\mu(u+(1-u)y)}$$

(1.3)

There are more involved definitions with eq. (1.1) multiplied by some Wilson-line factors [3, 37] following from CSS factorization [22] but we will discuss the “primordial” TMD (1.1).

It is well known, however, that gluon TMDs are not universal in a sense that the direction of gauge links providing gauge invariance depends on the type of processes under consideration, see ref. [38]. For example, TMDs entering the description of processes particle production have light-like gauge links starting at minus infinity as in eq. (1.2), but TMDs which appear in the analysis of semi-inclusive processes have gauge links stretching to plus infinity (so the corresponding expression for TMDs is obtained by replacement $-\infty \leftrightarrow \infty$ in eq. (1.2)). For a more complicated processes the structure of gauge links may be even more involved, see e.g. ref. [39].

In our recent paper [40] we have obtained the leading-order evolution equation for gluon TMDs for semi-inclusive processes like semi-inclusive deep inelastic scattering (SIDIS). The obtained equation describes the rapidity evolution of gluon TMDs in the whole region of small to moderate Bjorken $x_B$ and for any transverse momentum. It interpolates between the linear DGLAP and Sudakov evolution equations at moderate $x_B$ and the non-linear BK equation for small $x_B$. In this paper we extend our analysis to the case of gluon TMDs appearing in particle production processes with gauge links extending to minus infinity in the light-cone (LC) time direction. The analysis is very close to the study of our paper [40] so we will streamline the presentation of technical details paying attention to differences between these two cases (with links going to plus or minus infinity). The final evolution equations are similar (but in general not the same!) to TMDs with gauge links extending to plus infinity.
The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we remind the logic of rapidity factorization for the inclusive particle production and rapidity evolution. In section 3 we discuss rapidity evolution of gluon TMDs and calculate the leading-order kernel of the evolution equation. We present the final form of the evolution equation in section 4 and discuss BK, Sudakov and DGLAP limits in section 5 and linearized equation in section 6. Section 7 contains conclusions and outlook. The necessary formulas for propagators near the light cone and in the shock-wave background can be found in appendices.

2 TMDs in particle production

To simplify the description of particle production, let us consider the model where a (colorless) scalar particle can be produced by gluon-gluon fusion through the vertex coming from the Lagrangian

$$S_\Phi = \lambda \int d^4z \ F_{\mu\nu}(z) F^{\mu\nu}(z) \Phi(z) \ (2.1)$$

One may consider this as a model of Higgs production by gluon fusion in the region where transverse momentum of produced Higgs boson is smaller than the mass of the top quark.

Let us consider the production of this $\Phi$-boson in the high-energy scattering of a virtual photon with virtuality $\sim$ few GeV off the hadron target. As demonstrated in the appendix A the cross section of $\Phi$-boson production can be represented by a double functional integral

$$\sigma_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\lambda^2}{2\pi} \int d^4w d^4x d^4y e^{i\rho - ikx + iky} \int \tilde{A}(t_f) = A(t_f) \ D\tilde{\psi} \tilde{D}\psi \ D\tilde{A} \tilde{D}A \ \Psi_p\tilde{(\tilde{A}(t_i), \tilde{\psi}(t_i))} \ (2.2)$$

where $\Psi_p$ are proton wave functionals at the initial time $t_i \to -\infty$. (The boundary condition $\tilde{A}(x, t_f \to \infty) = A(x, t_f \to \infty)$ and similar condition for quark fields reflects the sum over all intermediate states $X$).

We will analyze the energy dependence of this cross section using the high-energy OPE in Wilson lines. To this end, we integrate over rapidities greater than the rapidity of the produced $\Phi$-boson $Y > \eta_\phi$ and leave the fields with $Y < \eta_\phi$ to be integrated over later. The result of the integration over $Y > \eta_\phi$ is the coefficient function (called “impact factor”) in front of the Wilson-line operator(s) made of gluons (and quarks) with rapidities $Y < \eta_\phi$. (Strictly speaking, we integrate over rapidities $Y > \eta_\phi - \epsilon$ so the vertex of $\Phi$-boson production is included into the impact factor). To make connections with parton model we will have in mind the frame where target’s velocity is large and call the small $\alpha$ fields by the name “fast fields” and large $\alpha$ fields by “slow” fields. Of course, “fast” vs “slow” depends on frame but we will stick to naming fields as they appear in the projectile’s frame. (Note that in refs. [23, 24] the terminology is opposite, as appears in the target’s frame). As discussed in refs. [23, 24], the interaction of “slow” gluons of large $Y$ with “fast” fields of small $Y$ is described by eikonal gauge factors and the integration over slow fields results in Feynman diagrams in the background of fast fields which form a thin shock wave...
due to Lorentz contraction. In the spirit of high-energy OPE, the rapidity of the gluons is restricted from above by the “rapidity divide” $\eta$ separating the impact factor and the matrix element so the proper definition of $U_\eta$ is
\[ U_\eta = \text{Pexp} \left[ ig \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du \ p_1^0 A_\eta^\mu (up_1 + x_\perp) \right], \]
\[ A_\eta^\mu (x) = \int \frac{d^4k}{16 \pi^4} \theta (\eta - |\alpha|) e^{-ik \cdot x} A_\mu (k) \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.3)
where the Sudakov variable $\alpha$ is defined as usual, $k = \alpha p_1 + \beta p_2 + k_\perp$. We define the light-like vectors $p_1$ and $p_2$ close to projectile and target’s momenta $q$ and $p$ so that $q = p_1 + \frac{\eta}{2} p_2$ and $p = p_2 + \frac{m^2}{s} p_1$. We use metric $g^{\mu \nu} = (1, -1, -1, -1)$ so that $p \cdot q = (\alpha \beta_\eta + \alpha_\eta \beta_\eta) \frac{s}{2} - (p, q)_\perp$.
For the coordinates we use the notations $x^\ast = x^\mu p^\mu_1$ and $x^\ast = x^\mu p^\mu_2$ for dimensionless light-cone coordinates ($x^\ast = \sqrt{\frac{s}{2}} x_+ \ast$ and $x^\ast = \sqrt{\frac{s}{2}} x_\perp$).

In accordance with general background-field formalism we separate the gluon field into the “classical” background part and “quantum” part
\[ A_\mu \rightarrow A^\text{cl}_\mu + A^\eta_\mu, \hspace{1cm} \psi \rightarrow \psi^\text{cl} + \psi^\eta \]
where the “classical” fields are fast ($\alpha < \sigma = e^{\eta}$) and “quantum” fields are slow ($\alpha > \sigma = e^{\eta}$). It should be emphasized that our “classical” field does not satisfy the equation $D_{\mu} F^\text{cl}_{\mu \nu} = 0$; rather, $(D_{\mu} F^\text{cl}_{\mu \nu})^a = -g^{\mu \nu} \gamma_\mu \gamma_\nu \psi$ where $\psi$ are the “classical” (i.e. fast) quark fields.

The first-order term in the expansion of the operator $F^m_{\text{cl}}(y_\ast, y_\perp)[y_\ast, -\infty]^{ma}_{y}$ in quantum fields has the form
\[ F^m_{\text{cl}}(y_\ast, y_\perp)[y_\ast, -\infty]^{ma}_{y} = \frac{s}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_\ast} A^ma(y_\ast, y_\perp)[y_\ast, -\infty]^{ma}_{y} + i \int_{-\infty}^{y_\ast} d^2 z^\ast \cdot F^m_\ast(y_\ast, y_\perp)([y_\ast, z^\ast_\perp] A^ma(z^\ast_\perp, y_\perp)[z^\ast_\perp, -\infty]^{ma}_{y}) \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.4)
(to save space, we omit the label $\text{cl}$ from classical fields).

In the leading order the impact factor is given by the diagram shown in figure 1.

The quark propagator in the external field has the form
\[ \langle \bar{\psi}(x) \psi(y) \rangle \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.5)
\[ x^\ast, y^\ast < 0 \int_0^{\infty} \frac{d \alpha}{2 \alpha^2 s} e^{-\alpha (x-y)_\ast} \langle x^\ast | e^{-\int_{y_\ast}^{y_\perp} (\alpha \vec{p}_1 + \vec{p}_\perp) - i2 \vec{U} U \alpha \vec{p}_1 + \vec{p}_\perp) e^{i \int_{y_\ast}^{y_\perp} |y_\perp} \rangle \]
where $\sigma = e^{\eta}$ is the lower rapidity cutoff for the impact factor (and upper cutoff for $\alpha$’s in Wilson lines). Hereafter we use Schwinger’s notations
\[ (x^\ast | f(p_\perp) | y_\perp) \equiv \int d^2 p^\perp \hspace{1cm} e^{i(p, x-y)_\perp} f(p_\perp), \hspace{1cm} (x^\ast | p_\perp) = e^{i(p, x)_\perp} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.6)
Note that unlike the case of total cross section, here we consider particle production so the gluon lines in figure 1 terminate at the $\Phi$-boson emission point leading to gluon TMDs rather than proper Wilson lines (stretching from minus to plus infinity in LC-time direction). Indeed, the gluon propagator with one point in the shock wave has the form of the free propagator multiplied by the gauge link going from point $y$ to $1$ in the $p_1$ direction \cite{40}:

$$\langle A_\mu(z)F_{ij}(y)\rangle = i\int_0^\infty \frac{d\alpha}{2\alpha} e^{-\alpha(y-z)} \cdot (\alpha \cdot \gamma g_{ij}^{\perp} + 2p_2 \cdot p_j) |y| [-\infty, y_\sigma] y \quad (2.7)$$

Since the propagators (2.5) and (2.7) have simple structure one can calculate the integrals in figure 1 and the result has the form

$$\lambda^{-2} \sigma_{\mu\nu} =$$

$$= \int d^2 z_1 d^2 z_2 d^2 x_1 d^2 y_1 \cdot \cdot \cdot$$

$$\cdot \frac{d^2}{2\alpha} e^{-\alpha(y-z)} \cdot (\alpha \cdot \gamma g_{ij}^{\perp} + 2p_2 \cdot p_j) |y| [-\infty, y_\sigma] y \quad (\text{2.8})$$

where $\text{tr} \{ \ldots \}$ is the color trace in the fundamental representation and $I_{ij}^{\perp}(z_1, z_2, x_\perp, y_\perp; \sigma)$ is the impact factor with the lower rapidity cutoff $\eta = \ln \sigma$. Hereafter we use the short-
hand notations for gauge links

\[ [x^s, z^s]_x \equiv \left[ -\frac{2}{s} x^s p_1 + x \perp + \frac{2}{s} z^s p_1 + x \perp \right] \]  

(2.9)

and

\[ [x^\perp, z^\perp]_{-\infty} \equiv \left[ -\frac{2}{s} \infty^s p_1 + x ^\perp, -\frac{2}{s} \infty^s p_1 + z ^\perp \right] \]  

(2.10)

As discussed in refs. [23, 24], the fast fields at light-cone time ±∞ are pure gauge so the precise form of the contour in eq. (2.10) is irrelevant.

The calculation of the impact factor \( I_{\mu\nu}^1(z_1^-, z_2^-, x^\perp, y^\perp; \eta) \) is similar to the calculation of the NLO photon impact factor for the DIS structure functions carried out in refs. [41, 42]. Since the explicit form of \( I_{\mu\nu}^1 \) is irrelevant for our purpose of finding the evolution of gluon TMDs and since in the real life the contribution of the diagram shown in figure 1 is a tiny correction to the total cross section of Higgs production in DIS we did not attempt to calculate this impact factor. In the case of proton-proton scattering the impact factor should be given by another gluon TMD made of Wilson lines stretched in \( p_2 \) direction. We intend to discuss the obtained factorization in a separate publication.

As demonstrated in appendix A (see eq. (A.8)), the double functional integral (2.8) represents the matrix element

\[
\lambda^{-2} \sigma_{\mu\nu} =
= \int d^2z_1^- d^2z_2^- d^2x^\perp d^2y^\perp \ I_{\mu\nu}^{ij}(z_1^-, z_2^-, x^\perp, y^\perp; \eta) e^{i(k_{x^\perp} - y^\perp)\perp} \int ds ds_y e^{-i B(x^\perp - y^\perp)}
\]

\[
\langle \{ T[U_{22}[z_2^- , x^-]_{-\infty}[\infty^s, x^-]_x F_{ii}(x^\perp, x^-)[x^\perp, \infty^s_x]_x [x^\perp, z_1^-]_{-\infty} U^\dagger_{z_1} \} \rangle \]

\[
\times \langle [U_{12}[z_1^- , y^-]_{-\infty}[\infty^s, y^-]_y F_{ij}(y^\perp, y^-)[y^\perp, \infty^s_y]_y [y^\perp, z_2^-]_{-\infty} U^\dagger_{z_2} \} \rangle \]  

(2.11)

Note that all the gluon operators in the r.h.s. of this equation are separated either by space-like or by light-like distances. In both cases, the operators commute\(^4\) so one can erase \( T \) and \( U \) signs and get the matrix element

\[
\langle \{ T[U_{22}[z_2^- , x^-]_{-\infty}[\infty^s, x^-]_x F_{ii}(x^\perp, x^-)[x^\perp, \infty^s_x]_x [x^\perp, z_1^-]_{-\infty} U^\dagger_{z_1} \} \rangle \]

\[
\times \langle [U_{12}[z_1^- , y^-]_{-\infty}[\infty^s, y^-]_y F_{ij}(y^\perp, y^-)[y^\perp, \infty^s_y]_y [y^\perp, z_2^-]_{-\infty} U^\dagger_{z_2} \} \rangle \]  

(2.12)

Moreover, as we mentioned above, for the fast gluons the precise form of gauge link at infinity does not matter so we can connect points \( x^\perp \) and \( y^\perp \) by a straight-line gauge link \( [x^\perp, y^\perp]_{-\infty} \) (instead of \( [x^\perp, z_1^-]_{-\infty}[z_1^-, y^\perp]_{-\infty} \)) and obtain the matrix element

\[
\langle \{ [y^\perp, x^-]_{-\infty}[\infty^s, x^-]_x F_{ii}(x^\perp, x^-)[x^\perp, \infty^s_x]_x \}
\times \langle [x^\perp, y^-]_{-\infty}[\infty^s, y^-]_y F_{ij}(y^\perp, y^-)[y^\perp, \infty^s_y]_y \} \rangle \]  

(2.13)

\(^4\)For the space-like separations this is trivial whereas the commutation of operators on the light ray is proven in ref. [43].
\[ \langle p|O|p \rangle \] for the forward matrix element of the operator \( O \) stripped of this integration

\[
\langle p|\tilde{F}_i^{an}(\beta_B, z_1)|F^{an}(\beta_B, 0_1)|p + \xi p_2 \rangle = 2\pi \delta(\xi) \langle p|\tilde{F}_i^{an}(\beta_B, z_1)|F^{an}(\beta_B, 0_1)|p \rangle
\]  

(2.14)

With this notation the unintegrated gluon TMD (1.1) can be represented as

\[
\langle p|\tilde{F}_i^{an}(\beta_B, z_1)|F^{an}(\beta_B, 0_1)|p \rangle = -2\pi\beta_B g^2 \mathcal{D}(\beta_B, z_1, \eta)
\]  

(2.15)

Returning to eq. (2.13), since the dependence on \( z_1 \) is gone from the matrix element, we can integrate the impact factor over \( z_1 \) and \( z_2 \) and get the cross section as a convolution of the new impact factor \( I_{\mu\nu}(x_1, y_1; \eta) \) with the gluon TMD

\[
\lambda^{-2} \sigma_{\mu\nu} = \int d^2x_1 d^2y_1 T_{\mu\nu}^{ij}(x_1, y_1; \eta) e^{i(k,x-y) \cdot \lambda} \langle p|\tilde{F}_i^{an}(\beta_B, x_1)|F^{an}(\beta_B, 0_1)|p \rangle \nonumber
\]

where\(^5\)

\[
\tilde{F}_i(\beta_B, x_1) = \frac{2}{s} \int dx_* e^{-i\beta_B x_*} F_i(x_*, x_1)
\]

\[
F_i(\beta_B, y_1) = \frac{2}{s} \int dy_* e^{i\beta_B y_*} F_i(y_*, y_1)
\]  

(2.17)

Note that the Wilson-line operators \( U_{\mu}^i \) and \( U_{\nu}^z \) in eq. (2.11) cancel only when we take a sum over all intermediate states. If we are interested in, say, production of another particle (at lower rapidity), we need to consider the full double functional integral (2.8).

### 3 Rapidity factorization and evolution of TMDs in the leading order

We will study the rapidity evolution of the operator

\[
\tilde{F}_i^{an}(\beta_B, x_1)|x_1, y_1|^{ab} F_j^{an}(\beta_B, y_1)
\]  

(3.1)

Matrix elements of this operator between unpolarized hadrons can be parametrized as \[36\]

\[
\int d^2z_1 e^{i(k,z) \cdot \lambda} \langle p|\tilde{F}_i^{an}(\beta_B, z_1)|F^{an}(\beta_B, 0_1)|p \rangle \eta = \pi y^2 \tilde{R}_{ij}(\beta_B, k_1; \eta)
\]

\[
\tilde{R}_{ij}(\beta_B, k_1; \eta) = -g_{ij} \beta_B \mathcal{D}(\beta_B, k_1, \eta) + \left( \frac{2k_i k_j}{m^2} + g_{ij} \frac{k_1^2}{m^2} \right) \beta_B \mathcal{H}(\beta_B, k_1, \eta)
\]  

(3.2)

where \( m \) is the mass of the target hadron (typically proton). The reason we study the evolution of the operator (3.1) with non-convoluted indices \( i \) and \( j \) is that, as we shall see below, the rapidity evolution mixes functions \( \mathcal{D} \) and \( \mathcal{H} \). It should be also noted that our final equation for the evolution of the operator (3.1) is applicable for polarized targets as well.

---

\(^5\)Hereafter the notation \( \tilde{F} \) is just a reminder of different signs in the exponents of Fourier transforms in the definitions (2.17).
In the spirit of rapidity factorization, in order to find the evolution of TMD
\[ \langle p | F_i^a(x_s, x_{\perp}) [x_{\perp}, y_{\perp}]^{ab}_{-\infty} F_j^b(y_s, y_{\perp}) | p \rangle^n \]  
with respect to rapidity cutoff \( \eta \) (see eq. (2.3)) one should integrate in the matrix element (3.3) over gluons and quarks with rapidities \( \eta > \eta' \) and temporarily “freeze” fields with \( Y < \eta' \) to be integrated over later. (For a review, see refs. [44, 45].) In this case, we obtain functional integral of eq. (A.8) type over fields with \( Y > \eta' \) in the “external” fields with \( Y < \eta' \). In terms of Sudakov variables we integrate over gluons with \( \alpha = e^\eta \) and \( \alpha' = e^{\eta'} \) and, in the leading order, only the diagrams with gluon emissions are relevant — the quark diagrams will enter as loops at the next-to-leading (NLO) level.

To calculate diagrams, one needs to return to a double functional integral representation of gluon TMD (3.3):
\[ \langle p | F_i^a(x_s, x_{\perp}) [x_{\perp}, y_{\perp}]^{ab}_{-\infty} F_j^b(y_s, y_{\perp}) | p \rangle^n \]
\[ = \int \mathcal{A}(\infty) A(\infty) \tilde{D} \tilde{A} \tilde{D} \tilde{\psi} D \tilde{A} \tilde{D} \tilde{\psi} \Psi^s_{11}(A, \tilde{\psi}) \mid_{t_i=-\infty} e^{-iS_{\text{QCD}}(A, \tilde{\psi})} \]
\[ \tilde{F}_i^a(x_s, x_{\perp}) [x_{\perp}, y_{\perp}]^{ab}_{-\infty} e^{iS_{\text{QCD}}(A, \tilde{\psi})} \tilde{F}_j^b(y_s, y_{\perp}) \Psi^s_{11}(A, \tilde{\psi}) \mid_{t_i=-\infty} \]  
(3.4)

Now, in accordance with general background-field formalism we separate the gluon field into the “classical” background part with \( Y < \eta' \) and “quantum” part with \( \eta > \eta' \) and integrate over quantum fields. In the leading order there are two types of diagrams: with and without gluon production, see figure 2 (we assume that there are no gluons with \( \eta > Y > \eta' \) in the proton wave function).

### 3.1 Production part of the LO kernel

The first-order term in the expansion of the operator \( F_i^m(y_s, y_{\perp}) [y_s, -\infty]_y \) in quantum fields has the form
\[ F_i^m(y_s, y_{\perp}) [y_s, -\infty]_y \]
\[ = \frac{s}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_s} A^{ma}(y_s, y_{\perp}) [y_s, -\infty]_y \]  
(3.5)
\[ - \partial_t A^{ma}(y_s, y_{\perp}) [y_s, -\infty]_y + i \int_{-\infty}^{y_s} d z' \left[ F_i^m(y_s, z') A(y_s, z') [z', -\infty]_y \right] \]  
(to save space, we omit the label \( cl \) from classical fields). As it was proved in ref. [40], to find the evolution kernel in the leading order in \( \alpha_s \) it is sufficient to consider the classical
background field of the form
\[ A_{\mu}^{\text{cl}} \equiv \frac{2}{s} p_{2\mu} A_s(x_s, x_{\perp}) \]  
(3.6)

where the absence of \( x_{\bullet} \) in the argument corresponds to \( \alpha = 0 \).

Using the gluon propagator (B.23) from section B.3 we obtain the result for the diagram in figure 2a in the form
\[
\langle \mathcal{F}_s^{\mu}(x_s, x_{\perp}) \mathcal{F}_s^{\nu}(y_s, y_{\perp}) \rangle_{Y > \eta'}
\]
\[
= - \frac{1}{4} \int_{-\infty}^{x_s} d\alpha \frac{d\alpha}{2\alpha^3} \text{Tr}[x_\perp (p_\perp^2 g_{ik} + 2p_ip_k) e^{-i\alpha x_\perp x_\perp} \tilde{O}_\alpha(p_\perp, x_\perp, \infty) \tilde{O}_\alpha(p_\perp, x'_\perp, \infty)]
\]
\[
\times \left[ O_\alpha(\infty, y_s, p_\perp) e^{i\alpha y_\perp} (p_\perp^2 \delta_j^k + 2p_j p_k) \right] (3.7)
\]

where \( \langle O \rangle \) denotes the expectation value of operator \( O \) in the external field. Note that in this paper we perform calculations of diagrams in the background field (3.6) in the light-like gauge
\[ p_\mu^s A_\mu(x) = 0 \]  
(3.8)

We will make necessary comparisons with the background-Feynman gauge calculations of ref. [40] in appendix D.

Let us consider now the remaining integral over “classical” fields with \( Y < \eta' \). It has the form
\[
- \frac{1}{4} \int_{-\infty}^{x_s} d\alpha \frac{d\alpha}{2\alpha^3} \text{Tr}[x_\perp (p_\perp^2 g_{ik} + 2p_ip_k) e^{-i\alpha x_\perp x_\perp} \tilde{O}_\alpha(p_\perp, x_\perp, \infty) \tilde{O}_\alpha(p_\perp, x'_\perp, \infty)]
\]
\[
\times \left[ O_\alpha(\infty, y_s, p_\perp) e^{i\alpha y_\perp} (p_\perp^2 \delta_j^k + 2p_j p_k) \right] (3.9)
\]

where \( \text{Tr}(\ldots) \) is the trace in the adjoint representation. As discussed in appendix A, the double functional integral (3.9) represents the matrix element
\[
- \frac{1}{4} \int_{-\infty}^{x_s} d\alpha \frac{d\alpha}{2\alpha^3} [x_\perp (p_\perp^2 g_{ik} + 2p_ip_k) e^{-i\alpha x_\perp x_\perp} \tilde{O}_\alpha(p_\perp, x_\perp, \infty)]
\]
\[
\times \left[ O_\alpha(\infty, y_s, p_\perp) e^{i\alpha y_\perp} (p_\perp^2 \delta_j^k + 2p_j p_k) \right] (3.10)
\]
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As we mentioned above, all operators in the r.h.s. of eq. (3.10) commute since they are separated either by space-like or by light-like distance. In addition, from eq. (B.6) we see that

$$O_\alpha(p_\perp, x_\perp, \infty) O_\alpha(\infty, y_\perp, p_\perp) = O_\alpha(x_\perp, y_\perp) = O_\alpha(p_\perp, x_\perp, -\infty) O_\alpha(-\infty, y_\perp, p_\perp) \quad (3.11)$$

Substituting eq. (3.11) in eq. (3.7) we get

$$\langle p \mid F_i^m(x_\perp, y_\perp) F_j^m(y_\perp, p_\perp) \rangle^\eta = -\frac{1}{4}\int_{\sigma'} d\sigma \langle p \mid \text{Tr}[-\infty, x_\perp] \left[ (x_\perp | (p_\perp^2 g_{ik} + 2p_i p_k) e^{-\frac{p_i^2}{m^2} x_i} O_\alpha(p_\perp, x_\perp, -\infty) + \frac{4}{s} \int_{-\infty}^{x_\perp} dx' F_i^m(x_\perp, x_\perp) \right] (x_\perp | p_k e^{-\frac{p_i^2}{m^2} x_i} O_\alpha(p_\perp, x_\perp, -\infty) \right) \times \left[ O_\alpha(-\infty, y_\perp, p_\perp) e^{\frac{p_i^2 y_i}{m^2}} (p_\perp^2 \delta_j^k + 2p_j p_k) \right] | y_\perp \rangle \rangle $$

At this point we compare (3.12) to the evolution equation for $$\langle p \mid F_i^m(x_\perp, y_\perp) \rangle^{m\alpha} \ [\infty, y_\perp]^{m\alpha} F_i^m(y_\perp, p_\perp) \rangle^\eta$$. Repeating steps which lead us from eq. (3.7) to eq. (3.12) we obtain

$$\langle p \mid F_i^m(x_\perp, y_\perp) \rangle^{m\alpha} \ [\infty, y_\perp]^{m\alpha} F_i^m(y_\perp, p_\perp) \rangle^\eta = -\frac{1}{4}\int_{\sigma'} d\sigma \langle p \mid \text{Tr}[-\infty, x_\perp] \left[ (x_\perp | (p_\perp^2 g_{ik} + 2p_i p_k) e^{-\frac{p_i^2}{m^2} x_i} O_\alpha(p_\perp, x_\perp, \infty) + \frac{4}{s} \int_{x_\perp}^{\infty} dx' F_i^m(x_\perp, x_\perp) \right] (x_\perp | p_k e^{-\frac{p_i^2}{m^2} x_i} O_\alpha(p_\perp, x_\perp, \infty) \right) \times \left[ O_\alpha(\infty, y_\perp, p_\perp) e^{\frac{p_i^2 y_i}{m^2}} (p_\perp^2 \delta_j^k + 2p_j p_k) \right] | y_\perp \rangle \rangle $$

We see that the production part of the evolution equation (3.12) can be obtained from eq. (3.13) by formally replacing $$+\infty$$ by $$-\infty$$ everywhere. Consequently, the final expression for the production part of the evolution equation for the matrix element (3.3) can be obtained from eq. (4.28) from ref. [40] by replacement $$\infty \leftrightarrow -\infty$$.6

### 3.2 Virtual part of the evolution kernel

The virtual part of the kernel comes from the diagrams of the figure 2b type. The second-order term in the expansion of the operator $$F_i^m(y_\perp, y_\perp) [\infty, y_\perp]^{m\alpha}$$ in quantum fields has

6In the appendix D we show that the eq. (3.13), obtained in the light-like gauge, agrees with the calculations in ref. [40] performed in the background-Feynman gauge.
the form (cf. eq. (3.5))

\[
F^m_{\bullet}(y_s, y_\perp)|y_s, -\infty\rangle_y^{ma} = 2^{nd} = i \int_{-\infty}^{y_s} \frac{dz_+'}{s} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_+'} \left( D_{\bullet} A_{\bullet}^{\text{ma}} - \partial_t A_{\bullet}^{\text{ma}} \right)(y_s)[z_+', z_+'] A^{\text{a}}(z_+')[z_+, -\infty\rangle^{\text{ma}} + f^{\text{ma}} A^{\text{a}} A^d_{\bullet}[y_s, -\infty\rangle^{\text{ma}}

\]

\[
- \int_{-\infty}^{y_s} \frac{dz_+'}{s} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_+'} F_{\bullet}^m(y_s, y_\perp)([z_+', z_+'] A^{\text{a}}(z_+')[z_+, z_+'''] A^{\text{a}}(z_+''')[z_+, -\infty\rangle^{\text{ma}})
\]

(3.14)

Using gluon propagator (B.18) we get

\[
F^m_{\bullet}(y_s, y_\perp)|y_s, -\infty\rangle_y^{ma} = 2^{nd} = \frac{i}{s} \int_{-\infty}^{y_s} dy'_s \ Tr^a [-\infty, y_s][y_\perp, y_s](p^2 \delta^4_j + 2p_i p^j) \frac{1}{\alpha^2 F^2} p_j

\]

\[
+ p_i \frac{1}{\alpha^2 F^2} p^2_{\perp} [y_\perp, y'_s][y'_s, -\infty] + \frac{4i}{s^2} \int_{-\infty}^{y_s} dy'_s \int_{-\infty}^{y'_s} dy''_s \ Tr^a [-\infty, y_s] F^a_{\bullet}(y_s, y_\perp)[y_s, y'_s]_y
\]

\[
\times (y_\perp, y'_s) \frac{1}{\alpha^2 F^2} p_j - \frac{1}{\alpha^2 [y_\perp, y'_s][y'_s, -\infty]}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{s} \int_0^{y_s} \frac{d\alpha}{2\alpha^3} \int_{-\infty}^{y'_s} dy'_s \ Tr^a [-\infty, y_s][y_\perp, y'_s] e^{-i\frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha} y'_s} \left( (p^2 \delta^4_j + 2p_i p^j) \mathcal{O}(y_s, y'_s) p_j \right)
\]

\[
+ p_i \mathcal{O}(y_s, y'_s) \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\alpha^2 F^2} p^2_{\perp} [y_\perp, y'_s][y'_s, -\infty] + \frac{4i}{s^2} \int_{-\infty}^{y'_s} dy'_s \int_{-\infty}^{y''_s} dy''_s \ Tr^a [-\infty, y_s] F^a_{\bullet}(y_s, y_\perp)
\]

\[
\times [y_s, y'_s]_y (y_\perp, y'_s) \frac{1}{\alpha^2 F^2} p_j - \frac{1}{\alpha^2 [y_\perp, y'_s][y'_s, -\infty]}
\]

(3.15)

Let us start with the last term in the r.h.s. of the above equation. We will prove that

\[
(y_\perp, y'_s) \frac{1}{\alpha^2 F^2} p^2_{\perp} [y_\perp, y'_s] = [y'_s, y_\perp]_y (y_\perp, y'_s) \frac{1}{\alpha^2 F^2} p^2_{\perp} [y_\perp, y'_s] y + O(F^2)
\]

(3.16)

in our approximation. Indeed, in the “light-cone” case (where the characteristic transverse momenta of background field \(l_\perp\) are much smaller than the momenta of the “quantum” fields \(p_\perp\)) it is evident since

\[
(y'_s, y_\perp) \frac{1}{\alpha^2 F^2} [y'_s, y_\perp] = (y'_s, y_\perp) \frac{1}{\alpha^2} [y'_s, y_\perp] (y'_s, y_\perp) + O(F^2)
\]

(3.17)

and terms \(\sim O(F^2)\) exceed our accuracy. (The second term in the l.h.s. of eq. (3.16) is proportional to \(\delta(y'_s - y'_s)\) and \(\alpha\).

In the “shock-wave” case when \(l_\perp \sim p_\perp\), if the points \(y'_s\) and \(y''_s\) are outside of the shock wave, the formula is trivial (\(y'_s\) and \(y''_s\) can only be both to the right of the shock wave since \(y\) lies inside). If \(y'_s\) or both of them are inside the shock wave, one can again use the light-cone expansion (see the discussion in ref. [40]) and get the result (3.17). Thus, in both cases we can use eq. (3.16) so

\[
\frac{4i}{s^2} N_c F^a_{\bullet}(y_s, y_\perp) \int_{-\infty}^{y'_s} dy'_s \int_{-\infty}^{y'_s} dy''_s (y_\perp, y'_s) \frac{1}{\alpha^2 (p^2 + i\epsilon)} p_j - \frac{1}{\alpha^2} [y_\perp, y'_s]
\]

(3.18)

\[
= -2i N_c F^a_{\bullet}(y_s, y_\perp) \int_{-\infty}^{y'_s} dy'_s \int_{-\infty}^{y'_s} dy''_s \int d\alpha d\beta e^{-i\beta (y'_s - y''_s)} \frac{1}{\alpha (\alpha \beta s - p^2 + i\epsilon)} y'_s
\]
where we used formula \( \text{Tr} T^n[-\infty, y_s] F_\bullet(y_s, y_\perp)[y_\perp, -\infty] \) and it is convenient
to change \( \alpha \leftrightarrow -\alpha \) and \( \beta \leftrightarrow -\beta \) (which is equivalent to changing \( y'_s \leftrightarrow y'_\perp \)) and get
\[
\begin{aligned}
- i N_c F_\rho^{(s)}(y_s, y_\perp) \int_{-\infty}^{y_s} dy'_s \, dy''_s & \int d\alpha d\beta \, e^{-i\beta(y'_s - y''_s)} (y'_s - y''_s) \frac{1}{\alpha(\beta \delta_s - p_\perp^2 + i\epsilon)} |y'_s| \\
& = - i N_c F_\rho^{(s)}(y_s, y_\perp) \int \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha} \, \frac{1}{\beta \delta_s - p_\perp^2 + i\epsilon} |y'_s| \\
& \quad \text{V.p.} \, \frac{1}{\beta} (y'_s - y''_s) \frac{1}{\alpha(\beta \delta_s - p_\perp^2 + i\epsilon)} |y'_s| \\
\end{aligned}
\]
(3.19)

where V.p. means principal value: \( \text{V.p.} \frac{1}{\beta} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{x - i\epsilon} + \frac{1}{x + i\epsilon} \right) \). Thus, we obtain the result for the last term in the r.h.s. of eq. (3.15) in the form
\[
\begin{aligned}
\frac{4i}{s^2} \int_{-\infty}^{y_s} dy'_s \int_{-\infty}^{y''_s} dy''_s & \, \text{Tr} T^n[-\infty, y_s] F_\bullet(y_s, y_\perp)[y_\perp, y'_s] \frac{1}{\alpha^2 p_\perp^2 p_j - 1} |y'_s, y''_s| |y_\perp, -\infty| \\
& = - \frac{N_c}{2} F_\rho^{(s)}(y_s, y_\perp) (y'_s - y''_s) \left[ \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha} - \int_{-\infty}^{0} \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha} \right] \\
& = - N_c F_\rho^{(s)}(y_s, y_\perp) \frac{1}{p_\perp^2} (y'_s - y''_s) \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha} \\
\end{aligned}
\]
(3.20)

Next we turn our attention to the first term in the r.h.s. of eq. (3.15) and start with the light-cone case \( l_\perp \ll p_\perp \):
\[
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{s} \int_{0}^{\infty} d\alpha \int_{-\infty}^{y_s} dy'_s & \, \text{Tr} T^n[-\infty, y_s] (y'_s) (e^{-i\frac{p_\perp^2}{\alpha^2} y'_s} (p_\perp^2 \delta^j_i + 2 p_i p_j) O_\alpha(y_s, y'_s) p_j) \\
& + p_i O_\alpha(y_s, y'_s) p_j^2 e^{i\frac{p_\perp^2}{\alpha^2} y'_s} |y'_s, -\infty| \\
& = \frac{1}{s} \int_{0}^{\infty} d\alpha \int_{-\infty}^{y_s} dy'_s \, \text{Tr} T^n[-\infty, y_s] (y'_s) (e^{-i\frac{p_\perp^2}{\alpha^2} (y - y')^*} (p_\perp^2 \delta^j_i + 2 p_i p_j) O_{\nu_\alpha}(y_s, y'_s) p_j) \\
& + p_i O_{\nu_\alpha}(y_s, y'_s) p_j^2 e^{-i\frac{p_\perp^2}{\alpha^2} (y - y')^*} |y'_s, -\infty| \\
\end{aligned}
\]
(3.21)

where \( O_{\nu_\alpha} \) is defined in eq. (B.9). The first term in the r.h.s. of this equation yields
\[
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{\infty} d\alpha \int_{-\infty}^{y_s} dy'_s & \, \text{Tr} T^n(y'_s) e^{i\frac{p_\perp^2}{\alpha^2} (y - y')^*} (p_\perp^2 g_{ij} + 2 p_i p_j) \int_{y'_s}^{y_s} dy' \frac{2}{s} dz_\perp [-\infty, z_\perp] F_\bullet(z_\perp)[z_\perp, -\infty] \\
& + \frac{4i g}{\alpha^2 s} p_\perp^2 p_j p^j \int_{y'_s}^{y_s} dy' \left[ z - y'_s [-\infty, z_\perp] F_\bullet(z_\perp)[z_\perp, -\infty] \right] |y'_s| \\
& = - ig \int_{0}^{\infty} d\alpha \int_{-\infty}^{y_s} dy'_s \, (y'_s) p_\perp^2 e^{-i\frac{p_\perp^2}{\alpha^2} (y - y')^*} |y'_s| \\
& \times \int_{y'_s}^{y_s} dy' \, (z - y'_s) T^n[-\infty, z_\perp] F_\bullet(z_\perp)[z_\perp, -\infty] \\
\end{aligned}
\]
(3.22)

so one obtains
\[
\begin{aligned}
\langle [D_\bullet A_\alpha^n - \partial_\alpha A_\alpha^n](y_s, y_\perp)[y_\perp, -\infty] \rangle &= \frac{1}{s} \int_{0}^{\infty} d\alpha \int_{-\infty}^{y_s} dy'_s \, \text{Tr} T^n[-\infty, y_s] \\
& \times (y'_s) e^{i\frac{p_\perp^2}{\alpha^2} y'_s} (p_\perp^2 \delta^j_i + 2 p_i p_j) O_\alpha(y_s, y'_s) p_j e^{i\frac{p_\perp^2}{\alpha^2} y'_s} |y'_s, -\infty| \\
& = ig N_c \int_{0}^{\infty} d\alpha \int_{-\infty}^{y_s} dz_\perp (y'_s) e^{i\frac{p_\perp^2}{\alpha^2} (y - z)^*} |y'_s, -\infty| F_\bullet(z_\perp)[z_\perp, -\infty] \\
\end{aligned}
\]
(3.23)
As to the second term in the r.h.s. of eq. (3.21), it vanishes

\[
\int_0^\infty \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha^3} \int_{-\infty}^{y_s} dy_s' \quad Tr^a[-\infty, y_s] (y_{1\perp} | p_i, \mathcal{O}_{1\perp}^a (y_s, y_s') p_1^2 e^{-i\frac{\alpha^2}{2\alpha} (y-y')^2} | y_{1\perp} | y_s', -\infty] \\
= \int_0^\infty \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha^3} \int_{-\infty}^{y_s} dy_s' \quad Tr^a[-\infty, y_s] (y_{1\perp} | p_i, \mathcal{O}_{1\perp}^a (y_s, y_s') p_1^2 e^{-i\frac{\alpha^2}{2\alpha} (y-y')^2} | y_{1\perp} | y_s', -\infty] \\
- 4ig \frac{2}{\alpha s} \int dy_s' (z - y_s) [y_s, z_s] F_{*i}(z_s) [z_s, y_s'] p_1^2 p_i^2 e^{-i\frac{\alpha^2}{2\alpha} (y-y')^2} | y_{1\perp} | y_s', -\infty] \\
= -ig \int_0^\infty \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha^2} \int_{-\infty}^{y_s} dy_s' (y_{1\perp} | (1 - i\frac{\alpha^2}{2\alpha} (y-y')^2) e^{-i\frac{\alpha^2}{2\alpha} (y-y')^2} | y_{1\perp} | y_s', -\infty] \\
\times Tr^a[-\infty, z_s] F_{*i}(z_s) [z_s, -\infty] = 0
\]

so

\[
f^{a_d c q} A^q_{*i} [y_s, -\infty] = 0 \tag{3.24}
\]

in our approximation. Thus, the first term in r.h.s. of eq. (3.15) in the light-cone case has the form

\[
\langle (D_{*i} A^m_i - \partial_{*i} A^m_i + g f^{a_d c q} A^q_{*i} A^{dq}_{*i}) (y_s, y_{1\perp}) [y_s, -\infty] | y_s, -\infty] \rangle = \frac{i}{s} \int dy_s' \quad Tr^a[-\infty, y_s] (y_{1\perp} | p_1^2 \delta_i^2 + 2p_i p_j) \frac{1}{\alpha^2 P_2^2} p_j + p_i \frac{1}{\alpha^2 P_2^2} | y_{1\perp}, y_s'] [y_s', -\infty] \\
= -\frac{i}{s} \int dy_s' \quad Tr^a[-\infty, y_s] (y_{1\perp} | p_j, [p_j, 1/\alpha^2 P_2^2] | p_i) \\
- 2[p_i, p_i^2 P_2^2] p_i^2 (y_{1\perp}, y_s') [y_s', -\infty] \\
\]

Using eq. (B.5) for Feynman propagator one obtains

\[
\langle (D_{*i} A^m_i - \partial_{*i} A^m_i + g f^{a_d c q} A^q_{*i} A^{dq}_{*i}) (y_s, y_{1\perp}) [y_s, -\infty] | y_s, -\infty] \rangle = -\frac{1}{2s} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha^3} \int_{-\infty}^{y_s} dy_s' \\
\times Tr^a[-\infty, y_s] (y_{1\perp} | e^{-i\frac{\alpha^2}{2\alpha} (y-y')^2} \{ \delta_i^2 \partial_1^2 + 2\partial_i \partial_j^2 \} \mathcal{O}(y_s, y_s') p_j \\
+ i\partial_1 \mathcal{O}(y_s, y_s') P_1^2 \} e^{i\frac{\alpha^2}{2\alpha} (y-y')^2} | y_s', -\infty] \tag{3.27}
\]

If the point \( y_s \) is outside the shock wave this gives

\[
-\frac{\theta(y_s)}{2s} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha^3} \int_{-\infty}^{y_s} dy_s' Tr^a U^i \quad e^{-i\frac{\alpha^2}{2\alpha} (y_{1\perp} | \delta_i^2 \partial_1^2 U P_j + 2\partial_i \partial_j U P_j + i\partial_1 U P_1^2 \} e^{i\frac{\alpha^2}{2\alpha} (y-y')^2} | y_s') \\
= i\theta(y_s) \int_0^\infty \frac{d\alpha}{2\alpha^3} Tr^a U^i \quad e^{-i\frac{\alpha^2}{2\alpha} (y_{1\perp} | \delta_i^2 \partial_1^2 U \frac{P_j}{P_1^2} + i\partial_1 U) \tag{3.28}
\]
If the point \( y \) is inside the shock wave we can again use the light-cone expansion and get eq. (3.25). It is easy to see that in both cases we can approximate the first term in eq. (3.15) by

\[
\langle (D\cdot A^n_i - \partial_y A^n_i + g f^{acd} A^c A^d_i) (y_s, y) | y_s, -\infty | y \rangle = \delta(y_s) \int_0^\infty \frac{d\alpha}{2\alpha^2} \text{Tr} T^a U^\dagger(y) e^{-i \frac{\alpha}{2} y^{\pm}} (\delta_i^j \partial_\perp^2 U + 2 \partial_\perp \partial_\perp U) \frac{p_j}{p_\perp} | y \rangle
\]

\[
+ ig N_c \int_0^\infty \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha^2 s} \int_{-\infty}^{y_s} dy' (y') e^{-i \frac{\alpha}{2} (y-y')^+} | y \rangle F_{\perp}^{m*}(y_s, y') | y_s, -\infty \rangle^{ma}_{y} \tag{3.29}
\]

with our accuracy. Adding the contribution (3.20) of the second term in r.h.s. of eq. (3.15) we finally obtain the second-order virtual correction in the form

\[
F_{\perp}^{m*}(y_s, y) | y_s, -\infty \rangle^{ma}_{y} = -N_c F_{\perp}^{m}(y_s, y) | y_s, -\infty \rangle^{ma}_{y} (y) \frac{1}{p_\perp^2} | y \rangle \int_\sigma^\sigma' \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha} + ig N_c \int_\sigma^\sigma' \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha^2 s} \int_{-\infty}^{y_s} dy' (y') e^{-i \frac{\alpha}{2} (y-y')^+} | y \rangle F_{\perp}^{m}(y_s, y') | y_s, -\infty \rangle^{ma}_{y} \tag{3.30}
\]

where we put upper and lower cutoffs for the rapidity integrals, see the discussion following eq. (3.3). After Fourier transformation eq. (3.30) turns to

\[
F_{\perp}^{m}(\beta_B, y) | y \rangle^{2nd}_{\perp} = -N_c F_{\perp}^{m}(\beta_B, y) | y \rangle^{1st}_{\perp} \int_\sigma^\sigma' \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha} (y) \frac{\alpha \beta_B s}{p_\perp^2 (\alpha \beta_B s - p_\perp^2 + i\epsilon)} | y \rangle
\]

\[
- \int_{\sigma'}^\sigma \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha} \text{Tr} T^a U^\dagger(y) \frac{1}{\alpha \beta_B s - p_\perp^2 + i\epsilon} (\delta_i^j \partial_\perp^2 U + 2 \partial_\perp \partial_\perp U) \frac{p_j}{p_\perp} | y \rangle \tag{3.31}
\]

Note that this equation can be obtained from eq. (4.56) from ref. [40] by reversing the sign of \( \beta_B \). In doing so one should go around the singularity at \( \alpha \beta_B s = p_\perp^2 \) according to Feynman rules since it corresponds to the diagram in figure 2b with cut gluon propagator.

The virtual part in the complex conjugate amplitude can be similarly obtained from eq. (4.60) from ref. [40] by replacement \( \beta_B \rightarrow -\beta_B \). The singular denominators should look like \( \frac{1}{\alpha \beta_B s - p_\perp^2 - i\epsilon} \) as appropriate for the complex conjugate amplitude.

### 4 Evolution equation for gluon TMDs

Now we are in a position to assemble all leading-order contributions to the rapidity evolution of gluon TMDs. As we discussed, in the production part of the evolution equation for the matrix element (3.3) can be obtained from eq. (4.28) from ref. [40] by replacement \( \infty \leftrightarrow -\infty \). Adding the virtual correction to the amplitude (3.31) and its complex conjugate...
we obtain the evolution equation for gluon TMD operator (3.1) in the form:

$$\frac{d}{d \ln \sigma} \tilde{F}_i^q(\beta_B, x_\perp) F_j^q(\beta_B, y_\perp)$$

$$= -\alpha_s \text{Tr} \left\{ \int d^2 k_\perp (x_\perp) \left\{ \frac{1}{\sigma \beta_{BS} + p^\perp_2} (U k_k + p_k U) \frac{\sigma \beta_{BS} g_{\mu \nu} - 2 k_\perp^\mu k_\perp^\nu}{\sigma \beta_{BS} + k^2_\perp} \right. \\
-2k^\mu_\perp g_{ik} U^\dagger \frac{1}{\sigma \beta_{BS} + p^\perp_2} U - 2 g_{ik} U^\dagger \frac{p_i}{\sigma \beta_{BS} + p^\perp_2} U + \frac{2 k^\mu_\perp}{k^2_\perp} g_{ik} \right\} \tilde{F}_k \left( \beta_B + \frac{k^2_\perp}{\sigma s} \right) | k_\perp \rangle \\
\times (k_\perp | F_j^q(\beta_B + \frac{k^2_\perp}{\sigma s}) \left\{ \frac{\sigma \beta_{BS} \delta^\mu_j - 2 k^\mu_j k^\nu_j}{\sigma \beta_{BS} + k^2_\perp} (k U^\dagger + U^\dagger p_i) \frac{1}{\sigma \beta_{BS} + p^\perp_2} U \right. \\
- 2 k^{\mu j} g_{ij} U^\dagger \frac{1}{\sigma \beta_{BS} + p^\perp_2} U - 2 \delta^\mu_i U^\dagger \frac{p_j}{\sigma \beta_{BS} + p^\perp_2} U + 2 g_{ji} k^{\mu j} \frac{1}{k^2_\perp} \left| y_\perp \rangle \\
+ 2 \tilde{F}_i(\beta_B, x_\perp) | y_\perp \rangle \frac{p^m_i}{p^\perp_2} F_j(\beta_B) (i \partial_l + U_l)(2 \delta^k_l \delta^j_m - g_{jm} g^{kl}) U^\dagger \frac{1}{\sigma \beta_{BS} - p^\perp_2 + i \epsilon} U \right. \\
+ F_j(\beta_B) \frac{\sigma \beta_{BS}}{p^\perp_2 (\sigma \beta_{BS} - p^\perp_2 + i \epsilon)} | y_\perp \rangle \\
+ 2 (x_\perp - U^\dagger \frac{1}{\sigma \beta_{BS} - p^\perp_2 - i \epsilon} U (2 \delta^j_l \delta^k_m - g_{jm} g^{kl}) (i \partial_k - U_k) \tilde{F}_j(\beta_B) \frac{p^m_j}{p^\perp_2} \right\} + O(\alpha_s^2)$$

Here the operators $\tilde{F}_i(\beta)$ and $F_j(\beta)$ are defined as

$$\langle x_\perp | \tilde{F}_i(\beta) | k_\perp \rangle = \frac{2}{s} \int dx_\perp, x_\perp \tilde{F}_i(x_\perp, x_\perp) e^{-i \beta x_\perp + \beta k x_\perp}$$

$$\langle k_\perp | F_i(\beta) | y_\perp \rangle = \frac{2}{s} \int dy_\perp, y_\perp F_i(y_\perp, y_\perp) e^{i \beta y_\perp - i \beta k y_\perp}$$

(4.2)

Again, this equation can be reconstructed from eq. (5.2) from ref. [40]. It should be emphasized that the reconstruction is by no means trivial: one should change $\propto p_1 \leftrightarrow -\propto p_1$ in the production part of the amplitude and change $\propto p_1 \leftrightarrow -\propto p_1$ and $\beta_B \leftrightarrow -\beta_B$ in the virtual part.\(^7\tracts\)

\(^7\)The difference between the changes in the real and virtual part of the kernel comes from the fact that in the production part we insert the full set of out-states and use double functional integral (3.9) afterwards. The “total” replacement of lightcone time $\propto \leftrightarrow -\propto$ would imply also the insertion of the full set of in-states. In this case the real part of the kernel will also undergo the replacement $\beta_B \leftrightarrow -\beta_B$ leading to singularities $\frac{1}{\alpha^2 s - p^\perp_2}$ in the production part of the amplitude. In addition, there will be diagrams with both $F^*_i$ and $F^*_j$ on one side of the cut which will probably cancel these singularities. In any case, the good way to avoid these complications is to insert full set of out-states but use “group law” (3.11) for $O$ operators to set the endpoints of gauge links to $-\propto$.

---

\(JHEP06(2016)164\)
The evolution equation (4.1) can be rewritten in the form where cancellation of IR and UV divergencies is evident

$$\frac{d}{d \ln \sigma} \tilde{F}_j^g(\beta_B, x_\perp) \tilde{P}_j^g(\beta_B, y_\perp) = -\alpha_s \text{Tr} \left\{ \int d^2 k_\perp(x_\perp) \left\{ U^\dagger \frac{1}{\sigma_{BS} + p_\perp^2} (U k_k + p_k U) \frac{\sigma_{BS} g_{\mu i} - 2 k_\mu^i k_i}{\sigma_{BS} + k_\perp^2} \right. \right. $$

$$ \left. \tilde{F}_k \left( \beta_B + \frac{k_\perp^2}{\sigma s} \right) |k_\perp\rangle \right\} \right. $$

$$ \times \left( k_\perp | F \right) \left( \beta_B + \frac{k_\perp^2}{\sigma s} \right) \left\{ \frac{\sigma_{BS} \delta_{\mu j} - 2 k_\mu^j k_j}{\sigma_{BS} + k_\perp^2} (k_i U^\dagger + U^\dagger p_i) \frac{1}{\sigma_{BS} + p_\perp^2} U \right. $$

$$ \left. - 2 k_\mu^i g_{\mu j} U^\dagger \frac{1}{\sigma_{BS} + p_\perp^2} U - 2 \delta_{\mu j} U^\dagger \frac{p_j}{\sigma_{BS} + p_\perp^2} U \right\} |y_\perp\rangle + 2 \int d^2 k_\perp(x_\perp) \tilde{F}_i \left( \beta_B + \frac{k_\perp^2}{\sigma s} \right) |k_\perp\rangle $$

$$ + 2 U^\dagger \frac{g_{\mu j}}{\sigma_{BS} + p_\perp^2} U \frac{k_j}{k_\perp^2} U^\dagger \frac{p_j}{\sigma_{BS} + p_\perp^2} U \right\} |y_\perp\rangle $$

$$ \left. + 2 \int d^2 k_\perp(x_\perp) \left\{ U^\dagger \frac{1}{\sigma_{BS} + p_\perp^2} (U k_k + p_k U) \frac{k_i \sigma_{BS} + 2 k_\perp^2}{\sigma_{BS} + k_\perp^2} + 2 U^\dagger \frac{g_{\mu j}}{\sigma_{BS} + p_\perp^2} U \right. \right. $$

$$ \left. \left. - 2 U^\dagger \frac{p_i}{\sigma_{BS} + p_\perp^2} U \frac{k_j}{k_\perp^2} \right\} \tilde{F}_k \left( \beta_B + \frac{k_\perp^2}{\sigma s} \right) |k_\perp\rangle \right\} \right. $$

$$ \left. \left. + 2 \tilde{F}_i \left( \beta_B, x_\perp \right) \left( y_\perp \right) \frac{p_{\perp i}^m}{p_\perp^2} F_k (\beta_B) (i \partial_i + U_i) (2 \delta_{m n} - g_{j m} g^{k l}) U^\dagger \frac{1}{\sigma_{BS} - p_\perp^2 + i \epsilon} U |y_\perp\rangle \right. $$

$$ \left. \left. - 2 \left( x_\perp U^\dagger \frac{1}{\sigma_{BS} - p_\perp^2 - i \epsilon} U (2 \delta_{i m} \delta_{j l} - g_{j m} g^{k l}) (i \partial_i - U_k) \tilde{F}_j (\beta_B, p_{\perp i}^m) F_k (\beta_B, y_\perp) \right. \right. $$

$$ \left. \left. - 4 \int d^2 k_\perp \left[ \tilde{F}_i \left( \beta_B + \frac{k_\perp^2}{\sigma s}, x_\perp \right) F_j \left( \beta_B + \frac{k_\perp^2}{\sigma s}, y_\perp \right) e^{i(k,x-y)_\perp} \right. \right. $$

$$ \left. \left. - V_{\perp} \frac{\sigma_{BS}}{\sigma_{BS} - k_\perp^2} \tilde{F}_i (\beta_B, x_\perp) F_j (\beta_B, y_\perp) \right\} \right\} + O(\alpha_s^2)$$

The evolution equation (4.3) is one of the main results of this paper. It describes the rapidity evolution of the operator at any Bjorken $x_B \equiv \beta_B$ and any transverse momenta.

When we consider the evolution of gluon TMD (1.1) given by the matrix element (3.3) of the operator we need to take into account the kinematical constraint $k_\perp^2 \leq \alpha (1 - \beta_B)$ is in the production part of the amplitude coming from the fact that matrix element $\langle p_\perp | \tilde{F}_i (\beta_B + k_\perp^2 \sigma s) | p_\perp \rangle$ vanishes outside of this region. (In other words, the initial hadron’s momentum is $\approx p_2$ and the sum of the fraction $\beta_B p_2$ and the fraction $\frac{p_2^2}{2m} p_2$ carried by the emitted gluon should be smaller than $p_2$.) It is convenient to display this kinematical...
restriction explicitly so we obtain (\eta \equiv \ln \sigma)

\frac{d}{d\eta} \langle p | \tilde{F}_i^j(\beta_B, x_\perp) \mathcal{F}^j_i(\beta_B, y_\perp) | p \rangle^\eta

= -\alpha_s \langle p | \text{Tr} \left\{ \int d^2k_\perp \theta \left( 1 - \beta_B - \frac{k_\perp^2}{\sigma s} \right) \left[ (x_\perp) \left( U^\dagger \frac{1}{\sigma \beta_B s + p_\perp^2} \left( U k_k + p_k U \right) + 2k_\perp^2 g_m U^\dagger \frac{1}{\sigma \beta_B s + p_\perp^2} \left( U k_k + p_k U \right) \right) \tilde{F}_i \left( \beta_B + \frac{k_\perp^2}{\sigma s} \right) \right] \left[ (y_\perp) \left( U^\dagger \frac{1}{\sigma \beta_B s + p_\perp^2} \left( U k_k + p_k U \right) + 2k_\perp^2 g_m U^\dagger \frac{1}{\sigma \beta_B s + p_\perp^2} \left( U k_k + p_k U \right) \right) \tilde{F}_j \left( \beta_B + \frac{k_\perp^2}{\sigma s} \right) \right] \right) \rangle + O(\alpha^2)

This equation describes the rapidity evolution of gluon TMD (3.3) with rapidity cutoff (2.3) in the whole range of \beta_B = x_B and k_\perp (\sim |x - y|^{-1}). In the next section we will consider some specific cases.

5 BK, DGLAP, and Sudakov limits of TMD evolution equation

5.1 Small-x case: BK evolution of the Weizsacker-Williams distribution

First, let us consider the evolution of Weizsacker-Williams (WW) unintegrated gluon distribution

\alpha_s x_B D(x_B, z_\perp) \bigg|_{x_B \rightarrow 0} = -\frac{1}{8 \pi^2 (p \cdot n)} \int \frac{d \mathbf{u}}{X} \sum_x \langle p | \tilde{F}_i^j(z_\perp + u n) | X \rangle \langle X | \mathcal{F}^j_i(0) | p \rangle

which can be obtained from eq. (4.4) by setting \beta_B = 0. Moreover, in the small-x regime it is assumed that the energy is much higher than anything else so the characteristic transverse momenta \( p_\perp^2 \sim (x - y)_\perp^{-2} \ll s \) and in the whole range of evolution (1 \gg \sigma \gg (x - y)_\perp^{-2}) we
have $\frac{p_t^2}{s} \ll 1$, hence the kinematical constraint $\theta (1 - \beta_B - \frac{k_t^2}{s})$ in eq. (4.4) can be omitted. Under these assumptions, all $\mathcal{F}_i (\beta_B + \frac{p_t^2}{s})$ and $\mathcal{F}_i (\beta_B)$ can be replaced by $U^t i \partial_t U$ (and similarly for $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_i$). After some algebra one obtains (cf. eq. (6.1) from ref. [40])

\[
\frac{d}{d \ln \sigma} U^\sigma_i (x_\perp) U^\sigma_j (y_\perp) = -4 \alpha_s \text{Tr} \left\{ (x_\perp | U^t p_t U \left( \frac{p_t^k}{p_t^l} U^t - U^t \frac{p_t^l}{p_t^k} \right) U^t p_t U | y_\perp \right\} \right.
\]

\[
- \left[ (x_\perp | U^t p_t p_t U | x_\perp) - \frac{1}{2} (x_\perp | p_t^k U | x_\perp) U_i (x_\perp) \right] U_j (y_\perp)
\]

\[
- \left[ U_i (x_\perp) \left\{ (y_\perp | p_t^k U^t p_t U | y_\perp) - \frac{1}{2} (y_\perp | p_t^k U | y_\perp) U_j (y_\perp) \right\} \right.
\]

which agrees with ref. [46]. This equation can be rewritten as $(\eta \equiv \ln \sigma)$

\[
\frac{d}{d \eta} U^\sigma_i (z_1) U^\sigma_j (z_2) \quad (5.3)
\]

where all indices are 2-dimensional and Tr stands for the trace in the adjoint representation. Note that the expression in the square brackets is actually the BK kernel [23–26]. One should also mention that eq. (5.3) coincides with eq. (12) from ref. [47] after some algebra.

Similarly to $+\infty$ case, the eq. (5.3) holds true also at small $\beta_B$ up to $\beta_B \sim \frac{(x-y)^2}{s}$ since in the whole range of evolution $1 \gg \sigma \gg \frac{(x-y)^2}{s}$ one can neglect $\sigma \beta Bs$ in comparison to $p_t^2$ in eq. (4.4). This effectively reduces $\beta_B$ to 0 so one reproduces eq. (5.3).

5.2 Large transverse momenta and the light-cone limit

Now let us discuss the case when $\beta_B = x_B \sim 1$ and $(x-y)^2 \sim s$. At the start of the evolution (at $\sigma \sim 1$) the cutoff in $p_t^2$ in the integrals eq. (4.4) is $\sim (x-y)^2 \perp$. However, as the evolution in rapidity ($\sim \ln \sigma$) progresses the characteristic $p_t^2$ become smaller due to the kinematical constraint $p_t^2 < \sigma (1 - \beta_B)s$. Due to this kinematical constraint evolution in $\sigma$ is correlated with the evolution in $p_t^2$: if $\sigma \gg \sigma^t$ the corresponding transverse momenta of background fields $p_t^2 \perp$ are much smaller than $p_t^2 \perp$ in quantum loops. This means that during the evolution we are always in the light-cone case considered in section 3 and therefore the evolution equation for $\beta_B = x_B \sim 1$ and $(x-y)^2 \sim s$ takes the form

\[
\frac{d}{d \ln \sigma} \langle p| \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^\alpha_i (\beta_B, x_\perp) \mathcal{F}^\beta_j (\beta_B, y_\perp)|p\rangle
\]

\[
= \frac{g^2 N_c}{\pi} \int d^2 k_1 \left\{ e^{i(k_x y_\perp)} \langle p| \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^\alpha_i (\beta_B + \frac{k_t^2}{\sigma s}, x_\perp) \mathcal{F}^\beta_j (\beta_B + \frac{k_t^2}{\sigma s}, y_\perp)|p\rangle \right\}
\]

\[
\times \left[ \frac{\delta^k \delta^l}{k_t^2} - \frac{2 \delta^k \delta^l}{\sigma \beta Bs + k_t^2} + \frac{k_t^2}{\sqrt{\sigma \beta Bs + k_t^2} + \left( k_t^2 \right) ^2} \frac{k_t^2}{(\sigma \beta Bs + k_t^2) ^2} \right] \left( 1 - \beta_B - \frac{k_t^2}{\sigma s} \right) - V.p. \frac{\sigma \beta Bs}{k_t^2 (\sigma \beta Bs - k_t^2) ^2} \langle p| \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^\alpha_i (\beta_B, x_\perp) \mathcal{F}^\beta_j (\beta_B, y_\perp)|p\rangle \right\}
\]
Indeed, in our analysis we do not change the UV treatment.

\[ \frac{d}{d\eta} \alpha_sD(\beta_B, z_\perp, \eta) \]

\[ = \frac{\alpha_sN_c}{\pi} \int_{\beta_B}^1 \! dz' \left\{ J_0 \left( |z_\perp| \sqrt{\frac{\sigma s\beta_B}{1-z'}} \right) \left[ \left( \frac{1}{1-z'} \right)_+ + \frac{1}{z'} - 2 + z'(1-z') \right] \alpha_sD \left( \frac{\beta_B}{z'}, z_\perp, \eta \right) + \frac{4}{m^2} (1-z') z'_\perp J_2 \left( |z_\perp| \sqrt{\frac{\sigma s\beta_B}{1-z'}} \right) \alpha_sH'' \left( \frac{\beta_B}{z'}, z_\perp, \eta \right) \right\} , \]

\[ \frac{d}{d\eta} \alpha_sH''(\beta_B, z_\perp, \eta) \]

\[ = \frac{\alpha_sN_c}{\pi} \int_{\beta_B}^1 \! dz' \left\{ J_0 \left( |z_\perp| \sqrt{\frac{\sigma s\beta_B}{1-z'}} \right) \left[ \left( \frac{1}{1-z'} \right)_+ - 1 \right] \alpha_sH'' \left( \frac{\beta_B}{z'}, z_\perp, \eta \right) + \frac{m^2}{4z''} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{z'} \right)_+ J_2 \left( |z_\perp| \sqrt{\frac{\sigma s\beta_B}{1-z'}} \right) \alpha_sD \left( \frac{\beta_B}{z'}, z_\perp, \eta \right) \right\} , \]

where \( \int_{z'}^1 dz f(z) g(z) \right)_+ = \int_{z'}^1 dz f(z) g(z) - \int_{z'}^1 dz f(1) g(z) \). The above equation is our final result for the rapidity evolution of gluon TMDs (1.1) in the near-light-cone case.

If we take the light-cone limit \( x_\perp = y_\perp (\Leftrightarrow z_\perp = 0) \) we get the (one-loop) DGLAP equation:

\[ \frac{d}{d\eta} \alpha_sD(\beta_B, 0_\perp, \eta) = \frac{\alpha_sN_c}{\pi} \int_{\beta_B}^1 \! dz' \left[ \left( \frac{1}{1-z'} \right)_+ + \frac{1}{z'} - 2 + z'(1-z') \right] \alpha_sD \left( \frac{\beta_B}{z'}, 0_\perp, \eta \right) \]

One immediately recognizes the expression in the square brackets as gluon-gluon DGLAP kernel.

There is a subtle point in comparison of our rapidity evolution of light-ray operators to the conventional \( \mu^2 \) evolution described by renorm-group equations: the self-energy diagrams are not regulated by our rapidity cutoff so the \( \delta \)-function terms in our version of the DGLAP equations are absent.\(^9\) Indeed, in our analysis we do not change the UV treatment of the theory, we just define the Wilson-line (or light-ray) operators by the requirement that gluons emitted by those operators have rapidity cutoff (2.3). The UV divergences in self-energy and other internal loop diagrams appearing in higher-order calculations are absorbed in the usual \( Z \)-factors. So, in a way, we will have two evolution equations for our operators: the trivial \( \mu^2 \) evolution described by anomalous dimensions of corresponding gluon (or quark) fields and the rapidity evolution. Combined together, the two should describe the \( Q^2 \) evolution of DIS structure functions. Presumably, the argument of coupling constant in LO equation (5.6) (which is \( \mu^2 \) by default) will be replaced by \( \sigma \beta Bs \) in

\(^8\)Careful analysis shows that virtual correction \( \sim V_{pp} \frac{\sigma B \rho_s}{k^2_\perp (\sigma B + k^2_\perp)} \) leads to the same (...) prescription as the virtual correction \( \sim \frac{\sigma B \rho_s}{k^2_\perp (\sigma B + k^2_\perp)} \) for the operator \( F_{\gamma^*} \) so the eq. (5.5) coincides with eq. (3.29) from ref. [40]

\(^9\)For Eq. (5.6) the absence of these terms is accidental, due to an extra \( \alpha_s \) in the definition (2.15).
accordance with common lore that this argument is determined by characteristic transverse momenta. We plan to return to this point in the future NLO analysis.

5.3 Sudakov logarithms

Finally, let us consider the evolution of $D(x_B,k_\perp,\eta = \ln \sigma)$ in the region where $x_B \equiv \beta_B \sim 1$ and $k_\perp^2 \sim (x-y)^{-2} \sim \text{few GeV}^2$. In this case the integrals over $p_\perp^2$ in the production part of the kernel (4.4) are $\sim (x-y)^{2} \sim k_\perp^2$ so that $p_\perp^2 \ll \sigma \beta_B s$ for the whole range of evolution $1 > \sigma > k_\perp^2$. For the same reason, the kinematical constraint $\theta(1 - \beta_B - \frac{p_\perp^2}{\sigma s})$ in the last line of eq. (4.4) can be omitted and we get

$$\frac{d}{d \ln \sigma} \langle \langle p \mid \tilde{F}_i^a(\beta_B,x_\perp) \tilde{F}_j^b(\beta_B,y_\perp) \mid p \rangle \rangle_{\text{real}} = 4\alpha_s N_c \int \frac{d^2 p_\perp}{p_\perp^2} e^{i(p,x-y)_\perp} \langle \langle p \mid \tilde{F}_i^a \left( \beta_B + \frac{p_\perp^2}{\sigma s}, x_\perp \right) \tilde{F}_j^b \left( \beta_B + \frac{p_\perp^2}{\sigma s}, y_\perp \right) \mid p \rangle \rangle$$

As to the virtual part

$$\frac{d}{d \ln \sigma} \langle \langle p \mid \tilde{F}_i^a(\beta_B,x_\perp) \tilde{F}_j^b(\beta_B,y_\perp) \mid p \rangle \rangle_{\text{virtual}} = 4\alpha_s N_c \int \frac{d^2 p_\perp}{p_\perp^2} \left[ - V_{p_\perp} \frac{\sigma \beta_B s}{\sigma \beta_B s - p_\perp^2} \langle \langle p \mid \tilde{F}_i^a(\beta_B,x_\perp) \tilde{F}_j^b(\beta_B,y_\perp) \mid p \rangle \rangle \right] + 2\alpha_s \frac{1}{\sigma \beta_B s - p_\perp^2 - i\epsilon} \langle \langle p \mid (2\delta_i^m \delta^j_m - g_{jm} g^{kl})(i\partial_k - U_k) \tilde{F}_i^a(\beta_B) \frac{p_\perp^m}{p_\perp^2} \mid x_\perp \rangle \tilde{F}_j^b(\beta_B,y_\perp) - \tilde{F}_i^a(\beta_B,x_\perp)(y_\perp) \frac{p_\perp^m}{p_\perp^2} \tilde{F}_j^b(\beta_B)(i \partial_{\perp} + U_{\perp})(2\delta_i^m \delta^j_m - g_{jm} g^{kl}) U_\perp \frac{1}{\sigma \beta_B s - p_\perp^2 + i\epsilon} \langle \langle p \mid y_\perp \rangle \rangle \right]$$

The two last lines can be omitted. To prove this we follow the logic of ref. [40] and consider two cases: the “light-cone case” $l_\perp \ll p_\perp^2$ and the “shock-wave” situation when $l_\perp^2 \sim p_\perp^2$. It is easy to see that in the light-cone case the two last terms in the r.h.s. of eq. (5.8) reduce to the operators of higher collinear twist. In the shock-wave case we need to consider two sub-cases: if $p_\perp^2 \ll \sigma \beta_B s$ and $p_\perp^2 \sim \sigma \beta_B s$. In the first (sub)case the two last terms in the r.h.s. of eq. (5.8) are again trivially negligible in comparison to the first term in the r.h.s. of that equation. In the second (sub)case (when $p_\perp^2 \sim \sigma \beta_B s$) one can expand the operator $O = F_k(\beta_B)(i \partial_{\perp} + U_{\perp})(2\delta_i^m \delta^j_m - g_{jm} g^{kl}) U_\perp$ as $O(z_\perp) = O(y_\perp) + (y - z) \partial_{\perp} O(y_\perp) + \ldots$ and get

$$\left( y_\perp \frac{p_\perp^m}{p_\perp^2} \frac{1}{\sigma \beta_B s - p_\perp^2 + i\epsilon} \right) \langle \langle p \mid \tilde{F}_i^a(\beta_B,x_\perp) \tilde{F}_j^b(\beta_B,y_\perp) \mid p \rangle \rangle_{\text{virtual}} = \frac{1}{\sigma \beta_B s - p_\perp^2 + i\epsilon} \langle \langle p \mid y_\perp \rangle \rangle + i\sigma \beta_B s \frac{1}{\sigma \beta_B s - p_\perp^2 + i\epsilon} \langle \langle p \mid y_\perp \rangle \rangle + \ldots$$

The first term in the r.h.s. of this equation is obviously zero while the second is $\sim \partial_m O \frac{1}{\sigma \beta_B s} \ln \sigma \beta_B s$ which is $O \left( \frac{m_N^2}{\sigma \beta_B s} \right)$ in comparison to the leading first term in the r.h.s. of eq. (5.8) (the transverse momenta inside the hadron target are $\sim m_N \sim 1\text{GeV}$).

---

Note that while in the usual renorm-group DGLAP the argument of coupling constant is a part of LO equation, with our cutoff this argument can be determined only at the NLO level, same as in the case of NLO BK equation at low $x$ [54–56]. This is not surprising since we use the rapidity cutoff borrowed from the NLO BK analysis.
Thus, we obtain the following rapidity evolution equation in the Sudakov region:

\[
\frac{d}{d \ln \sigma} \langle p | \tilde{F}_i^a(\beta_B, x_\perp) F_j^a(\beta_B, y_\perp) | p \rangle = 4 \alpha_s N_c \int \frac{d^2 p_\perp}{p_\perp^2} \left[ \epsilon^{(p, x_\perp y_\perp)} \langle p | \tilde{F}_i^a(\beta_B + \frac{p_\perp^2}{\sigma s}, x_\perp) F_j^a(\beta_B + \frac{p_\perp^2}{\sigma s}, y_\perp) | p \rangle \right]
\]

\[
- V.p. \frac{\sigma \beta_{BS}}{\sigma \beta_{BS} - p_\perp^2} \langle p | \tilde{F}_i^a(\beta_B, x_\perp) F_j^a(\beta_B, y_\perp) | p \rangle \]

Similarly to ref. [40], there is a double-log region where \( 1 \gg \sigma \gg \frac{(x-y)_\perp^2}{s} \) and \( \sigma \beta_{BS} \gg p_\perp^2 \gg (x-y)_\perp^2 \). In that region only the second term in the r.h.s. of eq. (5.9) survives so the evolution equation reduces to

\[
\frac{d}{d \ln \sigma} \langle p | \tilde{F}_i^a(\beta_B, x_\perp) F_j^a(\beta_B, y_\perp) | p \rangle \big|_{\eta = \ln \sigma} = - \frac{g^2 N_c}{\pi} \int \frac{d^2 p_\perp}{p_\perp^2} \left[ 1 - \epsilon^{(p, x_\perp y_\perp)} \right] \langle p | \tilde{F}_i^a(\beta_B, x_\perp) F_j^a(\beta_B, y_\perp) | p \rangle \big|_{\eta}
\]

which can be rewritten for the TMD (1.1) as

\[
\frac{d}{d \ln \sigma} D(x_B, z_\perp, \ln \sigma) = - \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{2 \pi} D(x_B, z_\perp, \ln \sigma) \int \frac{d^2 p_\perp}{p_\perp^2} \left[ 1 - \epsilon^{(p_\perp, z_\perp)} \right]
\]

leading to the usual Sudakov double-log result

\[
D(x_B, k_\perp, \ln \sigma) \sim \exp \left\{ - \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{2 \pi} \ln^2 \frac{\sigma s}{k_\perp^2} \right\} D \left( x_B, k_\perp, \ln \frac{k_\perp^2}{s} \right)
\]

It is worth noting that the coefficient in front of \( \ln^2 \frac{\sigma s}{k_\perp^2} \) is determined by the cusp anomalous dimension of two light-like Wilson lines going from point \( y \) to \( \infty p_1 \) and \( \infty p_2 \) directions (with our cutoff \( \alpha < \sigma \)), see the discussion in ref. [40].

6 Rapidity evolution of unintegrated gluon distribution in linear approximation

It is instructive to present the evolution kernel (4.4) in the linear (two-gluon) approximation. Since in the r.h.s. of eq. (4.4) we already have \( \tilde{F}_k \) and \( F_j \) (and each of them has at least one gluon) all factors \( \tilde{U} \) and \( U \) in the r.h.s. of eq. (4.4) can be omitted and we get (\( \eta = \ln \sigma \))

\[
\frac{d}{d \ln \sigma} \langle p | \tilde{F}_i^a(\beta_B, p_\perp) F_j^a(\beta_B, p_\perp') | p \rangle = - \alpha_s N_c \int d^2 k_\perp \theta \left( 1 - \beta_B - \frac{k_\perp^2}{\sigma s} \right) \left[ \left( \frac{(p + k)_k}{\sigma \beta_{BS} + p_\perp^2} - \frac{k_\perp^2}{\sigma \beta_{BS} + p_\perp^2} \right) \frac{\sigma \beta_{BS} g_{\mu i} - 2 k_\perp^\mu k_i}{\sigma \beta_{BS} + k_\perp^2} - 2 \frac{k_\perp^\mu g_{ik} + p_i g_{\mu k}}{\sigma \beta_{BS} + p_\perp^2} \right]
\]

\[
\times \left( \frac{\sigma \beta_{BS} \delta_{ij}^\mu - 2 k_\perp^\mu k_j}{\sigma \beta_{BS} + k_\perp^2} \frac{(p' + k)_l}{\sigma \beta_{BS} + p_\perp'^2} - \frac{2 k_\perp^\mu g_{lj} + \delta_{ij}^\mu p_\perp^2}{\sigma \beta_{BS} + p_\perp'^2} \right)
\]

\[
+ 2 g_{ik} \left( \frac{k_j}{k_\perp^2} \frac{\sigma \beta_{BS} + 2 k_\perp^2}{\sigma \beta_{BS} + k_\perp^2} \frac{(p' + k)_l}{\sigma \beta_{BS} + p_\perp'^2} + \frac{2 g_{lj}}{\sigma \beta_{BS} + p_\perp'^2} - \frac{2 p_j^l k_i}{k_\perp^2 \sigma \beta_{BS} + p_\perp'^2} \right)
\]

\[
= 4 \alpha_s N_c \int \frac{d^2 p_\perp}{p_\perp^2} \left[ \epsilon^{(p, x_\perp y_\perp)} \langle p | \tilde{F}_i^a(\beta_B + \frac{p_\perp^2}{\sigma s}, x_\perp) F_j^a(\beta_B + \frac{p_\perp^2}{\sigma s}, y_\perp) | p \rangle \right]
\]

\[
- V.p. \frac{\sigma \beta_{BS}}{\sigma \beta_{BS} - p_\perp^2} \langle p | \tilde{F}_i^a(\beta_B, x_\perp) F_j^a(\beta_B, y_\perp) | p \rangle \]

\[
\big|_{\eta = \ln \sigma}
\]
\[ + 2g_{ij} \left( \frac{(p+k)_k}{\sigma_{BS} + p^2_\perp} \frac{k_i \sigma_{BS} + 2k^2_i}{\sigma_{BS} + p^2_\perp} + \frac{2g_{ik}}{\sigma_{BS} + p^2_\perp} - \frac{2p_i k_k}{\sigma_{BS} + p^2_\perp} \right) \]

\[ \times \langle p | \bar{F}^{ak}(\beta_B + \frac{k^2_i}{\sigma s}, p_\perp - k_\perp) F^{ai}(\beta_B + \frac{k^2_i}{\sigma s}, p_\perp - k_\perp) | p \rangle \]

\[ - \frac{2}{k^2_\perp} \left[ \frac{(2k^i_1 p^i_2 - k_i p^i_1) \delta^k_i}{\sigma_{BS} - (p^i_1 p^i_2 + 2)} + \frac{(2p_i k_k - k_i p^i_2) \delta^k_i}{\sigma_{BS} - (p^i_1 p^i_2 - 2)} \right] \langle p | \bar{F}^{ik}(\beta_B, p_\perp) F^{ik}(\beta_B, p_\perp) | p \rangle \]

\[ - \frac{4}{k^2_\perp} \langle p | \theta \left( 1 - \beta_B - \frac{k^2_i}{\sigma s} \right) \bar{F}^{ik}(\beta_B + \frac{k^2_i}{\sigma s}, p_\perp - k_\perp) F^{ik}(\beta_B + \frac{k^2_i}{\sigma s}, p_\perp - k_\perp) \rangle \]

\[ - \text{V.p.} \frac{\sigma_{BS}}{\sigma_{BS} - k^2_\perp} \bar{F}^{ik}(\beta_B, p_\perp) F^{ik}(\beta_B, p_\perp) \left( | p \rangle \right) \]

where we performed Fourier transformation to the momentum space. Also, the forward matrix element \( \langle p | \bar{F}(p_\perp, \beta_B) F(p_\perp, \beta_B) | p \rangle \) is proportional to \( \delta^{(2)}(p_\perp - p'_\perp) \). Eliminating this factor and rewriting in terms of \( R_{ij} \) (see eq. (3.2)) we obtain \( (\eta \equiv \ln \sigma) \)

\[ \frac{d}{d\eta} R_{ij}(\beta_B, p_\perp; \eta) \]

\[ = -\alpha_s N_c \int d^2 k_\perp \left\{ \left[ \frac{(2p - k)_k}{\sigma_{BS} + p^2_\perp} \frac{\sigma_{BS} g^i_k - 2(p - k)_h g^i_k}{\sigma_{BS} + (p - k)_h^2} - \frac{2(p - k)_h g^i_k}{\sigma_{BS} + p^2_\perp} \right] \right. \]

\[ \times \left. \left( \frac{\sigma_{BS} \delta^{ij} - 2(p - k)_i (p - k)_j}{\sigma_{BS} + (p - k)_h^2} \frac{2(p - k)_h g^i_j + \delta^i_j p^i_j}{\sigma_{BS} + (p - k)_h^2} + \frac{2g_{ij}}{\sigma_{BS} + p^2_\perp} \right) \right. \]

\[ + 2g_{ik} \frac{(p - k)_i (2p - k)_h - 2p_i (p - k)_i}{(p - k)_h^2 (\sigma_{BS} + p^2_\perp)} + \frac{(p - k)_i (2p - k)_h}{(\sigma_{BS} + (p - k)_h^2) (\sigma_{BS} + p^2_\perp)} + \frac{2g_{ij}}{\sigma_{BS} + p^2_\perp} \]

\[ \left. \right\} \times \theta \left( 1 - \beta_B - \frac{(p - k)_h^2}{\sigma s} \right) R^{kl}(\beta_B + \frac{(p - k)_h^2}{\sigma s}, k_\perp) \]

\[ - \frac{2}{k^2_\perp} \left[ \delta^{(2)}(k_j p^j - k^2_1 p_j) + \delta^{(2)}(k_i p^i - 2p_i k^i) \right] \text{V.p.} \frac{1}{\sigma_{BS} - (p - k)_h^2} R_{kl}(\beta_B, p_\perp; \eta) \]

\[ - 4 \left\{ \frac{\theta (1 - \beta_B - \frac{(p - k)_h^2}{\sigma s})}{(p - k)_h^2} R_{ij}(\beta_B + \frac{(p - k)_h^2}{\sigma s}, k_\perp; \eta) \right. - \frac{\text{V.p.}}{k^2_\perp} \frac{\sigma_{BS}}{\sigma_{BS} - k^2_\perp} R_{ij}(\beta_B, p_\perp; \eta) \right\} \]

As we demonstrated in ref. [40] in the low-x limit \( \beta_B \to 0 \) the above equation reduces to the BFKL equation and the evolution of

\[ \beta_B D(\beta_B, \ln \sigma) = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^2 p_\perp R_i^i(\beta_B, p_\perp; \ln \sigma) \]

is governed by the DGLAP equation (5.6). It would be interesting to find how the linear evolution equation (6.2) is connected with other results on the combined small- and large-x resummation, see refs. [48–53].
7 Conclusions

We have described the rapidity evolution of gluon TMD (1.1) with Wilson lines going to $-\infty$ in the whole range of Bjorken $x_B$ and the whole range of transverse momentum $k_\perp$. It should be emphasized that with our definition of rapidity cutoff (2.3) the leading-order matrix elements of TMD operators are UV-finite so the rapidity evolution is the only evolution and it describes all the dynamics of gluon TMDs (1.1) in the leading-log approximation. In the next-to-leading order one should expect usual renorm-group on the top of rapidity evolution so the coupling constant $\alpha_s$ in our equation will become running coupling, presumably dependent on some transverse momenta distances as in the NLO BK equation [54–58].

It should be emphasized that rapidity evolution equations for gauge links to $+$ and $-$ infinity are not identical: the virtual part of the kernel in eq. (4.4) is different from eq. (5.5) from our previous paper [40] (the real part is the same). However, this difference disappears in all interesting limits: DGLAP, Sudakov and small-$x$, so we think that it will be important only for transition between linear and non-linear evolution where one should take into account the whole eq. (4.4).

For completeness, let us present the description of various cases of linear vs nonlinear evolution repeating the discussion in ref. [40].

The rapidity evolution of gluon TMD (1.1) with rapidity cutoff (2.3) is given by (4.4) and, in general, is non-linear. Nevertheless, for some specific cases the equation (4.4) reduces to linear equation. For example, if we consider the case when Bjorken $x$ is not small and $k_\perp^2 \sim s$, the non-linear terms can be neglected for the entire range of evolution $1 \gg \sigma \gg \frac{m_N^2}{s}$ and we get the DGLAP-type equations (5.5). If $x_B \sim 1$ but $k_\perp$ is small ($\sim$ few GeV) the evolution is again linear (and gives usual Sudakov factors (5.12)). However, if we consider the intermediate case $x_B \sim 1$ and $s \gg k_\perp^2 \gg m_N^2$, the evolution at $1 \gg \sigma \gg \frac{k_\perp^2}{s}$ will be Sudakov-type (see eq. (5.9)) but the evolution at $\frac{k_\perp^2}{s} \gg \sigma \gg \frac{m_N^2}{s}$ will be determined by the full non-linear equation (4.4).

For low-$x$ region $k_\perp \sim$ few GeV and $x_B \sim \frac{k_\perp^2}{s}$ we get the non-linear BK evolution equation (5.3). If we now keep transverse momenta of order of few GeV$^2$ and take the intermediate Bjorken $x$ such that $1 \gg x_B \equiv \beta_B \gg \frac{k_\perp^2}{s}$, we get an interplay of linear and non-linear evolutions. If we change the rapidity cutoff $\sigma$ (\leftrightarrow rapidity) from 1 to $\frac{k_\perp^2}{\beta_B}$ first there will be Sudakov-type double logarithmic evolution (5.11) from $\sigma = 1$ to $\sigma = \frac{k_\perp^2}{\beta_B}$, then there will be a transitional region at $\sigma \sim \frac{k_\perp^2}{\beta_B}$, and after that we will have the non-linear evolution (5.3) at $\frac{k_\perp^2}{\beta_B} \gg \sigma \gg \frac{k_\perp^2}{s}$ (the interplay of the non-linear evolution and Sudakov double logarithms in this region was studied in refs. [59–61] at the NLO level). Needless to say, the transition between the linear evolution (5.11) and the non-linear one (5.3) should be described by the full equation (4.4).

Another interesting case is $x_B \sim \frac{m_N^2}{s}$ and $s \gg k_\perp^2 \gg m_N^2$. In this case, if we change $\sigma$ from 1 to $\frac{m_N^2}{s}$, first we will have the BK evolution (5.3) up to $\sigma \sim \frac{k_\perp^2}{s}$ and then for the evolution between $\sigma \sim \frac{k_\perp^2}{s}$ and $\sigma \sim \frac{m_N^2}{s}$ we will need the eq. (4.4) in full.
In conclusion, let us mention that an obvious outlook project is to present the “impact factor for the photon” in eq. (2.11) for the cross section as another TMD with gauge links aligned along the proton’s momentum. The hope is to get $k_T$-factorization in the form of product of the two TMDs in the whole range of Bjorken $x$ and make the connection between $k_T$-factorization and collinear factorization. This study is in progress.

The authors are grateful to G.A. Chirilli, J.C. Collins, Yu. Kovchegov, A. Prokudin, A.V. Radyushkin, T. Rogers, and F. Yuan for valuable discussions. This work was supported by contract DE-AC05-06OR23177 under which the Jefferson Science Associates, LLC operate the Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility, and by the grant DE-FG02-97ER41028.

# A. Inclusive particle production as double functional integral

In this section we will prove that the amplitude of inclusive particle production is given by the double functional integral (2.2).

The cross section of the production of $\Phi$-meson in deep inelastic scattering is given by

$$
\sigma_{\mu\nu}(x_B, s) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_X \int d^4w d^4z e^{iqw-iqz} \sum_{X} \langle p|\tilde{T}\{j_\mu(w)\Phi(x)\}|X\rangle\langle X|T\{\Phi(y)j_\nu(0)\}|p\rangle
$$

(A.1)

where $\sum_X$ denotes the sum over full set of “out” states. Using standard LSZ formula we reduce eq. (A.1) to

$$
2\pi\sigma_{\mu\nu}(x_B, s) = \lim_{k^2 \to m^2} \frac{1}{(k^2 - m^2)^2} \int d^4w d^4z e^{iqw-iqz} \sum_{X} \langle p|\tilde{T}\{j_\mu(w)\Phi(x)\}|X\rangle\langle X|T\{\Phi(y)j_\nu(0)\}|p\rangle
$$

$$
= \lambda^2 \int d^4w d^4z e^{iqw-iqz} \sum_{X} \langle p|\tilde{T}\{j_\mu(w)F^2(x)\}|X\rangle\langle X|T\{F^2(y)j_\nu(0)\}|p\rangle
$$

(A.2)

where $F^2 = F^m_{a\bar{b}}F^{a\bar{b}}$ for brevity. Now, $|X\rangle$ and $|p\rangle$ may be considered as eigenstates of the full QCD Hamiltonian

$$
\hat{H}|X\rangle = E_X|X\rangle, \quad \hat{H}|p\rangle = E_p|p\rangle
$$

so one can rewrite $\langle X|T\{F^2(y)j_\nu(0)\}|p\rangle$ as

$$
\langle X|T\{F^2(y)j_\nu(0)\}|p\rangle = e^{iE_xt_f-iE_p\lambda}\langle X|\theta(y_0)
$$

$$
\times e^{-i\hat{H}(t_f-y_0)}F^2(y)\theta(-y_0)e^{-i\hat{H}t_f}j_\nu(0)e^{i\hat{H}y_0}F^2(y)e^{-i\hat{H}(y_0-t_i)}|p\rangle
$$

(A.3)

where $t_i \to -\infty$ is the initial time and $t_f \to \infty$ is the final time.

Similarly, $\langle p|\tilde{T}\{j_\mu(w)F^2(x)\}|X\rangle$ can be represented as

$$
\langle p|\tilde{T}\{j_\mu(w)F^2(x)\}|X\rangle
$$

$$
= e^{-iE_xt_f+iE_p\lambda}\langle p|\theta(w_0-w_0)e^{-i\hat{H}(t_f-x_0)}F^2(x)\theta(-w_0-x_0)j_\nu(\vec{w})e^{-i\hat{H}(w_0-t_f)}
$$

$$
+ \theta(x_0-w_0)e^{-i\hat{H}(t_i-w_0)}j_\nu(\vec{w})e^{-i\hat{H}(w_0-x_0)}F^2(x)\theta(-x_0-t_f)}|X\rangle
$$

(A.4)
so the cross section \((A.2)\) takes the form

\[
\sigma_{\mu\nu}(x_B, s) = \frac{\lambda^2}{2\pi} \int d^4w d^4y e^{i q w - ik x + i k y} \times \sum_X \langle p| \theta(x_0 - w_0) e^{-iH(t_1 - x_0)} F^2(x) e^{-iH(x_0 - w_0)} j_{\nu}(w) e^{-iH(w_0 - t_f)} + \theta(x_0 - w_0) e^{-iH(t_f - y_0)} F^2(y) e^{-iH(y_0 - t_f)} |p\rangle 
\]

\[
\times \langle X|\theta(y_0) e^{-iH(t_f - y_0)} F^2(y) e^{-iH(y_0 - t_f)} |0\rangle e^{iH q t_f} + \theta(-y_0) e^{-iH t_f} j_{\nu}(0) e^{iH q t_f} F^2(y) e^{-iH(y_0 - t_f)} |p\rangle 
\]

At this point it is convenient to switch to the sum over all states in the “coordinate representation”

\[
\sum_X |X\rangle \langle X| = \int DAD\tilde{\psi} D\psi |\tilde{A}(\vec{x}), \psi(\vec{x})\rangle \langle \tilde{A}(\vec{x}), \psi(\vec{x})|
\]

where \(|\tilde{A}(\vec{x}), \psi(\vec{x})\rangle\) is a state where gluon and quark fields take values \(\tilde{A}\) and \(\psi\) at the final time \(t_f\). After this change one can rewrite the cross section \((A.5)\) in terms of the double functional integral (cf. refs. [62–64])

\[
\sigma_{\mu\nu}(x_B, s) = \frac{\lambda^2}{2\pi} \int d^4w d^4x e^{i q w - ik x + i k y} \int D\tilde{A} D\tilde{\psi} D\psi \times \int_{A(t_f) = A_f}^{A(t_f) = A_f} D\tilde{A} \tilde{\psi} D\psi \times \Psi_p^* (\tilde{A}(t_f), \psi(t_f)) e^{-iS_{QCD}(\tilde{A}, \psi)} D\psi (x) 
\]

\[
\times \int_{A(t_f) = A(t_f)}^{A(t_f) = A(t_f)} D\tilde{A} D\tilde{\psi} D\psi \times \Psi_p^* (\tilde{A}(t_f), \psi(t_f)) e^{-iS_{QCD}(\tilde{A}, \psi)} D\psi (x) F^2(x) F^2(y) j_{\nu}(0) \Psi_p (\tilde{A}(t_f), \psi(t_f)) 
\]

where \(\Psi_p (\tilde{A}(t_f), \psi(t_f))\) is the proton wave function at the initial time \(t_i\).

In the same way one can demonstrate that a general matrix element

\[
\langle p|\tilde{O}_1 \ldots \tilde{O}_m O_1 \ldots O_n |p'\rangle \equiv \sum_X \langle p|T\{O_1 \ldots O_m\}|X\rangle \langle X|T\{O_1 \ldots O_n\}|p'\rangle
\]

can be represented by a double functional integral:

\[
\langle p|\tilde{O}_1 \ldots \tilde{O}_m O_1 \ldots O_n |p'\rangle = \int D\tilde{A} D\tilde{\psi} D\psi \Psi_p^* (\tilde{A}(t_f), \psi(t_f)) e^{-iS_{QCD}(\tilde{A}, \psi)} 
\]

\[
\times \int D\tilde{A} D\tilde{\psi} D\psi e^{iS_{QCD}(\tilde{A}, \psi)} \tilde{O}_1 \ldots \tilde{O}_m \psi_o \ldots \psi_n \Psi_p (\tilde{A}(t_f), \psi(t_f))
\]

with the boundary condition \(\tilde{A}(\vec{x}, t = \infty) = A(\vec{x}, t = \infty)\) (and similarly for quark fields) reflecting the sum over all intermediate states \(X\).
B Propagators in fast background fields

In this section we will obtain propagators for the double functional integral (3.4) in external low-\(\alpha\) fields. As we proved in ref. [40], it is sufficient to consider the external field of the type \(A_\bullet(x_\bullet, x_\perp)\) (and quark fields \(\tilde{p}_1 \psi(x_\bullet, x_\perp)\)) with all other components being zero. Indeed, if the characteristic transverse momenta of fast fields \((l_\perp)\) and slow fields \((k_\perp)\) are comparable, the usual rescaling of refs. [23, 24] applies so only \(A_\bullet(x_\bullet, x_\perp)\) of the type of shock wave survives. Conversely, if \(k_\perp \gg l_\perp\) the fast fields do not necessarily shrink to a shock wave but we can apply the light-cone expansion of propagators. The parameter of the light-cone expansion is the twist of the operator and we will expand up to operators of leading collinear twist two. Such operators are built of two gluon operators \(\sim F_{ij} F_{ij}\) or quark ones \(\tilde{\psi} \tilde{p}_1 \psi\) and gauge links. To get coefficients in front of these operators it is sufficient to consider the external gluon field of the type \(A_\bullet(z_\bullet, z_\perp)\) with \(A_i = A_\bullet = 0\).

B.1 Scalar Feynman propagator

For simplicity we will first perform the calculation for “scalar propagator” \((x|\frac{1}{P^2 + i\epsilon}|y)\). As we mentioned above, we assume that the only nonzero component of the external field is \(A_\bullet\) and it does not depend on \(z_\bullet\) so the operator \(\alpha = i \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\) commutes with all background fields. The propagator in the external field \(A_\bullet(z_\bullet, z_\perp)\) has the form

\[
(x|\frac{1}{P^2 + i\epsilon}|y) = \left[ -i\theta(x_\bullet - y_\bullet) \int_0^\infty \frac{d\alpha}{2\alpha} + i\theta(y_\bullet - x_\bullet) \int_0^\infty \frac{d\alpha}{2\alpha} \right] e^{-i\alpha(x-y)\bullet} (x_\perp|\text{Pexp}\left\{ -i \int_{y_\bullet}^{x_\bullet} dz_\bullet \left[ \frac{P^2}{\alpha s} - \frac{2g}{s} A_\bullet(z_\bullet) \right] \right\} |y_\perp) \tag{B.1}
\]

The Pexp in the r.h.s. of eq. (B.1) can be transformed to

\[
(x_\perp|e^{-i\frac{P^2}{\alpha s}x\perp}\text{Pexp}\left\{ ig \int_{y_\bullet}^{x_\bullet} \frac{d^2z_\perp}{s} e^{i\frac{P^2}{\alpha s}z_\perp} A_\bullet(z_\bullet) e^{-i\frac{P^2}{\alpha s}z_\perp} \right\} e^{i\frac{P^2}{\alpha s}y_\perp} |y_\perp) = \int d^2z_\perp d^2z_\perp |y_\perp) \tag{B.2}
\]

Now we expand

\[
e^{i\frac{P^2}{\alpha s}z_\perp} A_\bullet e^{-i\frac{P^2}{\alpha s}z_\perp} = A_\bullet - \frac{z_\perp^2}{\alpha s} \{ p^j, F_{ij} \} - \frac{z_\perp^2}{2\alpha^2 s^2} \{ p^j, p^j, D_j F_{ij} \} + \ldots
\]

\[
= A_\bullet - \frac{z_\perp^2}{\alpha s} (2p^j F_{ij} - iD^j F_{ij}) - \frac{z_\perp^2}{2\alpha^2 s^2} (p^j p^j - ip^j D^j) D_j F_{ij} + \ldots \tag{B.3}
\]

This is an expansion around the light cone \(z_\perp + \frac{z_\perp}{s} z_\bullet p_1\). We are keeping the first three terms of the expansion which is sufficient in both shock-wave case \(l_\perp \sim k_\perp\) and “light-cone” case \(l_\perp \ll k_\perp\). In the shock-wave case it is obvious since the parameter of the

\footnote{The \(z_\bullet\) dependence of the external fields can be omitted since due to the rapidity ordering \(\alpha's\) of the fast fields are much less than \(\alpha's\) of the slow ones.}
expansion $\sim \frac{(k\ell)_\perp}{\alpha_s} \sigma_\ast \ll 1$ (recall that $\sigma_\ast \sim \frac{\sigma_s}{\ell^2}$). As to the light-cone case, it is almost evident since the expansion (B.3) gives the operators of increasing twist, and later we will demonstrate that three terms of the expansion are sufficient.

Using the expansion (B.3) one easily obtains

$$\mathcal{O}_\alpha(x_\ast, y_\ast) = \text{Pexp} \left\{ ig \int_{y_\ast}^{x_\ast} d^2 z_\ast \, e^{\frac{i}{\alpha_s} z_\ast P} A_\ast(z_\ast)e^{-i \frac{z_\ast}{\alpha_s} P} \right\} = [x_\ast, y_\ast]$$ (B.4)

$$- \frac{2ig}{\alpha_s} \int_{y_\ast}^{x_\ast} dz_\ast \left( z_\ast \{ p^j, [x_\ast, z_\ast] F_{ij}(z_\ast)[z_\ast, y_\ast] \} + \frac{z_\ast^2}{2\alpha_s} \{ p^j, [x_\ast, z_\ast] D_k F_{ij}(z_\ast)[z_\ast, y_\ast] \} \right)$$

$$+ \frac{4g^2}{\alpha_s^3} \int_{y_\ast}^{x_\ast} dz_\ast \int_{y_\ast}^{z_\ast} dz'_\ast \left( i(z - z'_\ast) F_{ij}(z_\ast)[z_\ast, z'_\ast] F_{ij}(z'_\ast) \right)$$

$$- 4p^j p^k \frac{z_\ast z'_\ast}{\alpha_s} F_{ij}(z_\ast)[z_\ast, z'_\ast] F_{ij}(z'_\ast) [z'_\ast, y_\ast] + \ldots$$

so the the scalar propagator in the fast external field takes the form

$$\langle x| \frac{1}{P^2 + i\epsilon} |y \rangle = \left[ -i\theta(x_\ast - y_\ast) \int_0^\infty \frac{d\alpha}{2\alpha} + i\theta(y_\ast - x_\ast) \int_0^{-\infty} \frac{d\alpha}{2\alpha} \right] e^{-i\alpha(x-y)_{\perp}}$$ (B.5)

$$\times (x_\perp e^{-i \frac{z_\ast^2}{\alpha_s} x_\perp} \mathcal{O}_\alpha(x_\ast, y_\ast) e^{i \frac{z'_\ast^2}{\alpha_s} y_\perp} |y_\perp)$$

Note that $\mathcal{O}_\alpha(x_\ast, y_\ast)$ trivially satisfies the group property

$$\mathcal{O}_\alpha(x_\ast, z_\ast)\mathcal{O}_\alpha(z_\ast, y_\ast) = \mathcal{O}_\alpha(x_\ast, y_\ast)$$ (B.6)

For future use we present also two equivalent expressions with derivative operators to the right and to the left of the field operators:

$$\mathcal{O}_\alpha(x_\ast, y_\ast)$$

$$= \mathcal{O}_\alpha(p_\perp; x_\ast, y_\ast) = [x_\ast, y_\ast] - \frac{2ig}{\alpha_s^2} \int_{y_\ast}^{x_\ast} d^2 z_\ast \left( 2p^j [x_\ast, z_\ast] F_{ij}(z_\ast) - i[x_\ast, z_\ast] D^j F_{ij}(z_\ast) \right)$$

$$+ \frac{8g^2}{\alpha_s^3} \int_{y_\ast}^{x_\ast} dz_\ast \int_{y_\ast}^{z_\ast} dz'_\ast \left( i[x_\ast, z_\ast] F_{ij}(z_\ast)[z_\ast, z'_\ast] F_{ij}(z'_\ast) \right)$$

$$- 2p^j p^k \frac{z_\ast z'_\ast}{\alpha_s} [x_\ast, z_\ast] F_{ij}(z_\ast)[z_\ast, z'_\ast] F_{ij}(z'_\ast) [z'_\ast, y_\ast] + \ldots$$ (B.7)

$$= \mathcal{O}_\alpha(x_\ast, y_\ast; p_\perp) = [x_\ast, y_\ast] + \frac{2ig}{\alpha_s^2} \int_{y_\ast}^{x_\ast} d^2 z_\ast \left( 2\bar{F}_{ij}(z_\ast)[z_\ast, y_\ast] p^j + i\bar{D}^j \bar{F}_{ij}(z_\ast)[z_\ast, y_\ast] \right)$$

$$+ \frac{8g^2}{\alpha_s^3} \int_{y_\ast}^{x_\ast} dz_\ast \int_{y_\ast}^{z_\ast} dz'_\ast \left( -i\bar{F}_{ij}(z_\ast)[z_\ast, z'_\ast] \bar{F}_{ij}(z'_\ast)[z'_\ast, y_\ast] \right)$$

$$- 2p^j p^k \frac{z'_\ast}{\alpha_s} \bar{F}_{ij}(z_\ast)[z_\ast, z'_\ast] \bar{F}_{ij}(z'_\ast)[z'_\ast, y_\ast] + \ldots$$ (B.8)
Here we display right or left $p_\perp$ in the notation for $O$ to indicate whether we use representation (B.7) or (B.8).

To finish the proof of eq. (B.5) we need to demonstrate that it is correct in the light-cone case. We will need the general formula

\[
O_\alpha^\mu(x_\perp, y_\perp; p_\perp) = \text{Pexp}\left\{ ig \int_{y_\perp}^{x_\perp} dx_\perp \frac{2}{s} z_* e^{i \frac{p_\perp^2}{\alpha s}(z-a)_*} A_\bullet(z_*) e^{-i \frac{p_\perp^2}{\alpha s}(z-a)_*} \right\} 
\]

\[
= [x_\perp, y_\perp] - \frac{2ig}{\alpha s^2} \int_{y_\perp}^{x_\perp} dx_\perp \left( (z-a)_* \{ p^k, [x_\perp, z_*] F_{\nu j}(z_*)[z_\perp, y_\perp] \} \right) 
\]

\[
+ \frac{(z-a)_*^2}{2\alpha s} \left\{ p^\nu, \{ p^k, [x_\perp, z_*] D_k F_{\nu j}(z_*)[z_\perp, y_\perp] \} \right\} 
\]

\[
+ \frac{4g^2}{\alpha s^3} \int_{y_\perp}^{x_\perp} dx_{\perp 0} \int_{y_\perp}^{x_{\perp 0}} dx_{\perp 1} [x_\perp, z_*]\left( -i(z-z')_* F_{\nu j}(z_*)[z_\perp, z'_\perp] F_{\bullet j}(z'_\perp) \right) 
\]

\[
- 4p^i p^k (z-a)_*(z'-a)_* F_{\nu j}(z_*)[z_\perp, z'_\perp] F_{\bullet k}(z'_\perp) [z'_\perp, y_\perp] + \ldots
\]

In the light-cone case one expands the external field either around the light cone $y_\perp \pm \frac{2}{s} z_\nu p_\nu$ or $x_\perp \pm \frac{2}{s} z_\nu p_\nu$. Let us consider the first case (the second is equivalent). The Pexp in the r.h.s. of eq. (B.1) can be transformed to

\[
(x_\perp | \text{Pexp}\left\{ -i \int_{y_\perp}^{x_\perp} dx_\perp \left[ \frac{p^2}{\alpha s} - \frac{2g}{s} A_\bullet(z_*) \right] \right\} | y_\perp) \]

\[
= (x_\perp | e^{-i \frac{p^2}{\alpha s}(x_\perp - y_\perp)} \text{Pexp}\left\{ \frac{2ig}{s} \int_{y_\perp}^{x_\perp} dx_\perp e^{i \frac{p^2}{\alpha s}(x_\perp - y_\perp)} A_\bullet(z_*) e^{-i \frac{p^2}{\alpha s}(x_\perp - y_\perp)} \right\} | y_\perp) \] (B.10)

Now we rewrite eq. (B.9) in the form (B.7)

\[
O_\alpha^{\mu}(p_\perp; x_\perp, y_\perp) = \text{Pexp}\left\{ ig \int_{y_\perp}^{x_\perp} dx_\perp \frac{2}{s} z_* e^{i \frac{p_\perp^2}{\alpha s}(z-y)_*} A_\bullet(z_*) e^{-i \frac{p_\perp^2}{\alpha s}(z-y)_*} \right\} 
\]

\[
= [x_\perp, y_\perp] - \frac{2ig}{\alpha s^2} \int_{y_\perp}^{x_\perp} dx_\perp (z-y)_* \left( 2p^j [x_\perp, z_*] F_{\nu j}(z_*) - i[x_\perp, z_*] D_k F_{\nu j}(z_*) \right) 
\]

\[
+ 2\frac{(z-y)_*}{\alpha s} \left( p^j p^k [x_\perp, z_*] - i p^k [x_\perp, z_*] D^j D_k F_{\nu j}(z_*) \right) [z_\perp, y_\perp] 
\]

\[
+ \frac{8g^2}{\alpha s^3} \int_{y_\perp}^{x_\perp} dx_{\perp 0} \int_{y_\perp}^{x_{\perp 0}} dx_{\perp 1} (z'-y)_* \left( i[x_\perp, z_*] F_{\nu j}(z_*)[z_\perp, z'_\perp] F_{\bullet j}(z'_\perp) \right) 
\]

\[
- 2p^i p^k (z-y)_*[x_\perp, z_*] F_{\nu j}(z_*)[z_\perp, z'_\perp] F_{\bullet k}(z'_\perp) [z'_\perp, y_\perp] + \ldots \] (B.11)

This is effectively expansion around the light ray $y_\perp \pm \frac{2}{s} y_\nu p_\nu$ with the parameter of the expansion $\sim \frac{|y_\perp|}{|p_\perp|} \ll 1$. As we mentioned, we expand up to the operators of twist two.
Using eq. (B.11) we obtain the propagator (B.1) in the form

\[
(x|\frac{1}{P^2 + i\epsilon} | y) = \left[ -i\theta(x - y) \int_0^\infty \frac{d\alpha}{2\alpha} + i\theta(y - x) \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{d\alpha}{2\alpha} \right] e^{-i\alpha(x-y)\cdot(x_\perp)}
\]

(B.12)

which coincides with the light-cone expansion of scalar propagator (A.6) from ref. [40]. Thus, the eq. (B.12) agrees with eq. (B.5).

Similarly, one can demonstrate that the propagator in the complex conjugate amplitude has the form

\[
(x|\frac{1}{P^2 - i\epsilon} | y) = \left[ -i\theta(y - x) \int_0^\infty \frac{d\alpha}{2\alpha} - i\theta(x - y) \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{d\alpha}{2\alpha} \right] e^{-i\alpha(x-y)\cdot(x_\perp)}
\]

(B.13)

\[
\times (x_\perp|e^{\frac{i\alpha^2}{2\alpha}} \mathcal{O}_\alpha(x, y)e^{\frac{i\alpha^2}{2\alpha}} y_\perp|y_\perp)
\]

After transformation \(e^{-\frac{i\alpha^2}{2\alpha}} \mathcal{O}_\alpha(x, y)e^{\frac{i\alpha^2}{2\alpha}} = \mathcal{O}_{\alpha}'(x, y_\perp)\) and rewriting according to eq. (B.8) this equation coincides with eq. (A.12) from ref. [40].

### B.2 Scalar propagator of Wightman type

The scalar propagator from point \(x\) to the left of the cut to point \(y\) to the right of the cut reads

\[
(x|\frac{1}{P^2 - i\epsilon} | y) = \int \frac{d\alpha}{2\alpha} e^{-i\alpha(x-y)\cdot(x_\perp)} \mathcal{O}_{\alpha}(x, y_\perp)
\]

(B.14)

It is convenient to represent this equation as an integral of product of two amplitudes of particle emission found in ref. [40]:

\[
\lim_{k^2 \to 0} k^2 |\frac{1}{P^2 + i\epsilon} | y_\perp, y_\perp = (k_\perp|\mathcal{O}_\alpha(k_\perp; \infty, y_\perp)e^{\frac{i\alpha^2}{2\alpha}} y_\perp|y_\perp)
\]

(B.15)

In the shock-wave case \(k_\perp \sim k_\parallel\) these formulas coincide with eqs. (B.18) and (B.20) from ref. [40]; in the light-cone case one needs to rewrite them as

\[
\lim_{k^2 \to 0} k^2 |\frac{1}{P^2 + i\epsilon} | y_\perp, y_\perp = e^{\frac{i\alpha^2}{2\alpha}} y_\perp \mathcal{O}_{\alpha}'(k_\perp; y_\perp)|y_\perp)
\]

\[
\lim_{k^2 \to 0} k^2 |\frac{1}{P^2 - i\epsilon} | y_\perp, y_\perp = e^{-\frac{i\alpha^2}{2\alpha}} y_\perp \mathcal{O}_{\alpha}'(x, \infty, k_\perp)|k_\perp)
\]

(B.16)

after which they coincide with eqs. (A.14) and (A.16) from ref. [40].

Using eq. (B.15) one easily obtains

\[
(x|\frac{1}{P^2 - i\epsilon} | y) = \int \frac{d\alpha}{2\alpha} e^{-i\alpha(x-y)\cdot(x_\perp)} \mathcal{O}_{\alpha}(x, \infty) \mathcal{O}_{\alpha}(\infty, y_\perp)e^{\frac{i\alpha^2}{2\alpha}} y_\perp |y_\perp)
\]

(B.17)

where \(\tilde{O}\) is built of the \(\tilde{A}\) fields in the left functional integral in eq. (A.8).
B.3 Gluon propagator in the light-like gauge

The general expression for Feynman gluon propagator in the light-like gauge $p_2^\mu A_\mu = 0$ in the background field (3.6) has the form

$$i<T\{A^a_\mu(x)A^b_\nu(y)\}((x)|\left(\frac{g_{\mu\nu} - p_{\mu}p_{\nu}}{p_x} - \frac{1}{p^2 + i\epsilon} \delta^i_\nu - p \frac{2p_{2\nu}}{p_x} |y)^{ab}\rangle (B.18)$$

Using the expression (B.5) for $\frac{1}{p^2+i\epsilon}$ we get

$$i<T\{A^a_\mu(x)A^b_\nu(y)\}((x)|\left[-\theta(x_y - x_x)\int_0^{\infty} \frac{d\alpha}{2\alpha} + \theta(y_y - y_x)\int_0^{\infty} \frac{d\alpha}{2\alpha} \right] e^{-\alpha(x-y)} \cdot (B.19)$$

For the complex conjugate amplitude one obtains in a similar way

$$-i<T\{A^a_\mu(x)A^b_\nu(y)\}((x)|\left(\frac{g_{\mu\nu} - p_{\mu}p_{\nu}}{p_x} - \frac{1}{p^2 + i\epsilon} \delta^i_\nu - p \frac{2p_{2\nu}}{p_x} |y)^{ab}\rangle (B.20)$$

and

$$-i<T\{A^a_\mu(x)A^b_\nu(y)\}((x)|\left[-\theta(y_y - y_x)\int_0^{\infty} \frac{d\alpha}{2\alpha} + \theta(x_y - x_x)\int_0^{\infty} \frac{d\alpha}{2\alpha} \right] e^{-\alpha(x-y)} \cdot (B.21)$$

where we used eq. (B.13) for $\frac{1}{p^2-i\epsilon}$.

The “cut” propagator in the background field (3.6) is given by eq. (C.4)

$$\langle A^a_\mu(x)A^b_\nu(y)\rangle = -\langle x|\left(\frac{g_{\mu\nu} - p_{\mu}p_{\nu}}{p_x} - \frac{1}{p^2 + i\epsilon} \delta^i_\nu - p \frac{2p_{2\nu}}{p_x} |y)^{ab}\rangle (B.22)$$

Using eq. (B.17) for scalar propagator we obtain

$$\langle A^a_\mu(x)A^b_\nu(y)\rangle = -\int_0^{\infty} \frac{d\alpha}{2\alpha} e^{-\alpha(x-y)} \cdot (B.23)$$

where, as usual, $\tilde{O}$ is built of the $\tilde{A}$ fields in the left functional integral in eq. (A.8).

C Feynman diagrams for the gluon propagator in the light-like gauge

The formulas (B.18) and (B.20) can be easily obtained from general formula for the propagator in the light-like gauge in refs. [23, 24]. However, the expression (B.22) for Wightman gluon propagator needs derivation and the easiest way is to analyze Feynman diagrams in the background field (3.6) (cf. ref. [65]).
Figure 3. Cut gluon propagator in external field $A_*(x_*, x_{\perp})$.

Let us consider a typical diagram shown in figure 3. The perturbative gluon propagators in the light-like $p_2^\mu A_\mu = 0$ gauge has the form

$$
\langle T\{A_\mu(x)A_\nu(y)\}\rangle = \int \frac{d^4k}{i} \frac{d_{\mu\nu}(k)}{k^2 + i\epsilon} e^{-ik(x-y)},
$$

$$
\langle \tilde{T}\{A_\mu(x)A_\nu(y)\}\rangle = i \int d^4k \frac{d_{\mu\nu}(k)}{k^2 - i\epsilon} e^{-ik(x-y)},
$$

$$
\langle \tilde{A}_\mu(x)A_\nu(y)\rangle = -i \int d^4k 2\pi\delta(k^2)\theta(\alpha)d_{\mu\nu}(k) e^{-ik(x-y)}
$$

where

$$
d_{\mu\nu}(k) = g_{\mu\nu}^\perp - \frac{2}{\alpha s} (p_{2\mu}k_{\nu}^\perp + p_{2\nu}k_{\mu}^\perp) - \frac{4\beta}{\alpha s} p_{2\mu}p_{2\nu} \quad (C.1)
$$

First, we prove that only one term in the three-gluon vertex survives. Indeed, consider a typical 3-gluon vertex

$$(2k + q) \cdot A(q)g_{\mu\nu} - (k + 2q)_\mu A_\nu(q) + (q - k)_\nu A_\mu(q)
$$

$$
= (2k + q) \cdot A(q)g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{2}{\alpha s} [(q - k)_\nu p_{2\mu} - (k + 2q)_\mu p_{2\nu}] A_*(q)
$$

It is easy to see that the two last terms do not contribute since the vertex is multiplied by $d_{\alpha\mu}(k)$ and $d_{\nu\beta}(k + q)$ so we are left with the first term which is a vertex of emission of the gluon by scalar propagator multiplied by $g_{\mu\nu}$.

Second, let us consider the product of numerators of gluon propagators in figure 3

$$
d_{\alpha\mu_1}(k)d_{\mu_1\mu_2}(k + q_1)d_{\mu_2\mu_3}(k + q_1 + q_2)\ldots d_{\mu_n\beta}(k + q_1 + \cdots + q_n) \quad (C.2)
$$

It is clear that for all $d_{\mu\nu}$’s, except the first and the last ones, we can replace $d_{\mu\nu}(k)$ by $g_{\mu\nu}^\perp$ since terms $\sim p_{2\mu}$ vanish. For the same reason, only two terms in the first and in the last $d_{\mu\nu}$’s survive:

$$
d_{\alpha\mu_1}(k) \rightarrow g_{\alpha\mu_1}^\perp - \frac{2}{\alpha s} p_{2\alpha}k_{\mu_1}^\perp, \\

$$

$$
d_{\mu_n\beta}(k + q_1 + \cdots + q_n) \rightarrow g_{\mu_n\beta}^\perp + \frac{2}{\alpha s} p_{2\beta}(k_\perp + q_1^\perp + \cdots + q_n^\perp) \quad (C.3)
$$

Thus, the gluon propagator in the background field (3.6) in the light-like $p_2^\mu A_\mu = 0$ gauge differs from the scalar propagator in the same background field (B.14) only by two...
\[ \langle \hat{A}^a_{\mu}(x)A^b_{\nu}(y) \rangle = -(x \left( g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{p_{2\mu}}{p_s} p_i \right) \frac{1}{p^2 - i\epsilon} 2\pi \delta(p^2) \theta(p_0) p^2 \frac{1}{p^2 + i\epsilon} \left( \delta^a_{\nu} - p^a p_{2\nu} p_s \right) |y\rangle^{ab} \] \tag{C.4}

D Light-like vs background-Feynman gauge

In this section we prove that our expression (3.13), obtained in the light-like gauge agrees with the results of ref. [40] obtained in the background-Feynman gauge. First, we rewrite eq. (3.13) as a product of two Lipatov vertices of gluon emission

\[ \langle p| F^m_\ast(x_\perp, x_\perp^\perp) |x_\perp^\perp, \infty|^m a |\infty, y_s^\perp | F^m_\ast(y_\perp^\perp, y_\perp) |p \rangle \]

\[ = - \int_{y_s^\perp} \frac{d\alpha}{2\alpha} \int_0^1 d^2k_\perp \langle p| L^{ba}_{ik}(x_\perp, k_\perp; x_\perp) L^{k;ab}_j(y_\perp, k_\perp; y_\perp) |p \rangle \] \tag{D.1}

where

\[ L^{k;ab}_j(y_\perp, k_\perp; y_s^\perp) = \lim_{k_\perp \to 0} k^2 \langle A^{ab}(k) |\infty, y_s^\perp | F^m_\ast(y_\perp^\perp, y_\perp) \rangle \]

\[ = \frac{1}{2\alpha} \left( (k_\perp |O_\alpha(\infty, y_s^\perp, p_\perp)e^{-\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2}} y_s^\perp (p_\perp^2 \delta_j^k + 2p_j p_k^\perp)}|y_\perp, \infty)_{y_s^\perp} \right.

\[ - \frac{4}{s} (k_\perp |O_\alpha(\infty, y_s^\perp, p_\perp) \int_{y_s^\perp} \frac{dz_s^\perp e^{\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2}} y_s^\perp y_s^\perp} \delta^k_{y_s^\perp}}{\delta^k_{y_s^\perp}} |y_\perp, y_s^\perp)_{y_s^\perp} F_\ast(y_\perp, y_s^\perp) |y_\perp, \infty)_{y_s^\perp} \]

and similarly for \( L^{ba}_{ik}(x_\perp, k_\perp; x_\perp) \).

We will prove that the Lipatov vertex (D.2) coincides with

\[ L^{k;ab}_j(y_\perp, k_\perp; y_s^\perp) = \frac{\theta(y_s^\perp) \delta^{ab}}{2\alpha} \left( k_\perp^2 \delta_j^k + 2k_j k_k^k \right) + \frac{\theta(-y_s^\perp)}{2\alpha} \left( k_\perp^2 |U e^{-\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2}} y_s^\perp (p_\perp^2 \delta_j^k + 2p_j p_k^\perp)} U^\perp |y_\perp, \infty \right)^{ab}

\[ + \frac{1}{2\alpha} \left( k_\perp^2 \delta_j^k + 2k_j k_k^k \right) \int_{y_s^\perp} \frac{dz_s^\perp}{\delta^k_{y_s^\perp}} \left[ \theta(z_s^\perp - y_s^\perp) - \theta(-y_s^\perp) \right] \left[ \infty, z_s^\perp | F_\ast(z_s^\perp) |z_s^\perp, \infty \right] \]

\[ + \left( \delta_j^k k_l - g^{kl} \delta_j^l - \delta_j^l k_k^k \right) \frac{4}{s} \int_{y_s^\perp} dz_s^\perp \left[ \theta(z_s^\perp - y_s^\perp) - \theta(-y_s^\perp) \right] \left[ \infty, z_s^\perp | F_\ast(z_s^\perp) |z_s^\perp, \infty \right] \]

\[ - 2i \frac{k_k^k}{k_\perp^2} e^{\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2}} y_s^\perp \delta_j^k} \left[ \infty, y_s^\perp | F_\ast(y_\perp, y_s^\perp) |y_\perp, \infty \right]_{y_s^\perp} \] \tag{D.3}

with our accuracy.

D.1 Light-cone case

Let us start with the “light-cone case” when the characteristic transverse momenta of background field \( l_\perp \) are much smaller than the momenta of the “quantum” fields \( p_\perp \). As
we discussed above, we need to find the Lipatov vertex with twist-one accuracy which means taking into account only first term in the expansion in powers of $F_\ast$. First, let us note that in such approximation the last terms in eqs. (D.2) and (D.3) coincide so we need to prove that

$$
\frac{1}{2\alpha}(k_\perp|O_\alpha(\infty, y_\ast, p_\perp)e^{i\frac{q^2}{2\alpha}y_\ast} (p_\perp^2 \delta^k_j + 2p_jp_k)|y_\perp|y_\ast, \infty)_{y} = \frac{\theta(y_\ast)}{2\alpha}(k_\perp^2 \delta^k_j + 2k_jk^k) + \frac{\theta(-y)}{2\alpha}(k_\perp^2 (e^{\frac{q^2}{2\alpha}y_\ast} (p_\perp^2 \delta^k_j + 2p_jp_k)U \mid y_\perl) + 1 \frac{\alpha y}{2\alpha - i(k,y)} \left\{ \frac{-4ig}{k_\perp^2 \delta^k_j + 2k_jk^k} \right\} \int dz \theta(z_\ast - y_\ast) \frac{\theta(-y)}{\infty, z_\ast} F_\ast(z_\ast)|z_\ast, \infty) + (\delta_j^k - g^{kl}k_j - \delta_j^k k^k) \frac{1}{2} \int dz \theta(z_\ast - y_\ast) \theta(-y_\ast) \frac{\theta(-y_\ast)}{\infty, z_\ast} F_\ast(z_\ast)|z_\ast, \infty) \right) (D.4)
$$

Using formulas

$$
[\infty, y_\ast]p_\perp^2 [y_\ast, \infty] = p_\perp^2 + 2p \int \frac{d^2}{d^2y} [\infty, y_\ast] F_\ast(y_\ast) [y_\ast, y_\ast] + O(DF, F^2) (D.5)
$$

$$
[\infty, y_\ast]2p_jp_k[y_\ast, \infty] = 2p_jp_k - 2 \int \frac{d^2}{d^2y} [\infty, y_\ast] (p_j F_k(y_\ast) + j \leftrightarrow k)[y_\ast, y_\ast] + O(DF, F^2)
$$

we obtain

$$
(k_\perp|O_\alpha(\infty, y_\ast, p_\perp)e^{i\frac{q^2}{2\alpha}y_\ast} (p_\perp^2 \delta^k_j + 2p_jp_k)|y_\perp|y_\ast, \infty)_{y} = e^{i\frac{q^2}{2\alpha}y_\ast} \int dy \left\{ (k_\perp^2 \delta^k_j + 2k_jk^k) \left( 1 - \frac{4ig}{k_\perp^2 \delta^k_j + 2k_jk^k} \int dz \theta(z_\ast - y_\ast) \frac{\theta(-y_\ast)}{\infty, z_\ast} F_\ast(z_\ast)|z_\ast, \infty) \right) \right) (D.6)
$$

Also, using eqs. (D.5) and the commutator

$$
e^{-\frac{q^2}{2\alpha}y_\ast} U e^{i\frac{q^2}{2\alpha}y_\ast} U \simeq \frac{2y_\ast}{\alpha s} k_\vert \partial U
$$

one finds

$$
\frac{1}{2\alpha}(k_\perp|U e^{i\frac{q^2}{2\alpha}y_\ast} (p_\perp^2 \delta^k_j + 2p_jp_k)U \mid y_\perp) \mid y_\ast, \infty) \simeq \frac{1}{2\alpha}e^{i\frac{q^2}{2\alpha}y_\ast} (k_\perp^2 \delta^k_j + 2k_jk^k) \right\} \left\{ \left( \frac{-4ig}{k_\perp^2 \delta^k_j + 2k_jk^k} \right) \int dz \theta(z_\ast - y_\ast) \frac{\theta(-y_\ast)}{\infty, z_\ast} F_\ast(z_\ast)|z_\ast, \infty) \right) \right) (D.7)
$$

It is easy to see now that the combination of formulas (D.5) and (D.7) (multiplied by $\theta(-y_\ast)$) proves eq. (D.4) in the light-cone case.
D.2 Shock-wave case

If the characteristic transverse momenta of background field $l_\perp$ are of the same order of magnitude as the momenta of the “quantum” fields $p_\perp$ we have a “shock-wave case” when longitudinal size of background fields $\sigma_\perp \sim \frac{p_\perp^2}{\alpha^2}$ is much smaller than typical distances in quantum Feynman diagrams $\sim \frac{\alpha^2}{l_\perp^2}$ (recall that $\alpha \gg \sigma$). As in ref. [40], we must consider separately two cases: $y_\perp$ inside and outside of the shock wave. The first case is simple: since $\frac{p_\perp^2}{\alpha^2} y_\perp \sim \frac{p_\perp^2}{\alpha^2} \sigma_\perp \ll 1$ we can neglect $e^{\frac{p_\perp^2}{\alpha^2} y_\perp}$ factors in eqs. (D.2) and eq. (D.3) which effectively puts all operators on the light ray $y_\perp + \frac{2}{\sigma} z_\perp p_1$ so we return to the “light-cone” case considered in the previous section.

If $y_\perp$ is outside the shock wave, first we note that $\mathcal{O}$ of eq. (B.4) can be replaced by pure gauge link $[x_\perp, y_\perp]$. Indeed, let us compare the first and the second terms in r.h.s. of eq. (B.4)

$$
\mathcal{O}_\alpha(x_\perp, y_\perp) = [x_\perp, y_\perp] - \frac{2ig}{\alpha s^2} \int_{y_\perp}^{x_\perp} dz_\perp \{ p_\perp, [x_\perp, z_\perp] F_{\bullet'}(z_\perp)[z_\perp, y_\perp] \} + \ldots
$$

The first term is $\sim 1$ while the second is $\sim \frac{1}{\alpha^2} s^2 \sigma^2 \partial_\perp U \sim \frac{\alpha^2 s^2}{\alpha^2} \sim \frac{\sigma}{\alpha} \ll 1$. In a similar manner one can demonstrate that other terms in the r.h.s. of eq. (B.4) are $\sim \frac{\sigma}{\alpha}$ in comparison to the first $[x_\perp, y_\perp]$ and therefore the Lipatov vertex (D.2) reduces to

$$
L_j^{k, ab}(y_\perp, k_\perp; y_\perp) = \frac{1}{2\alpha} \left( k_\perp || [\infty, y_\perp] e^{\frac{k_\perp^2}{\alpha^2} y_\perp} (p_\perp^2 \delta_j^k + 2p_j p_k)[y_\perp])[y_\perp, \infty]_y \right.

- \frac{4}{s} (k_\perp || [\infty, y_\perp] \int_{y_\perp}^{\infty} dy'_\perp e^{\frac{k_\perp^2}{\alpha^2} y'_\perp} p_j[y_\perp, y'_\perp][y_\perp, \infty]_y \bigg) \bigg)^{ab}

- \frac{\theta(y_\perp)}{2\alpha} \left( \delta_j^k \frac{p_\perp^2}{\alpha^2} + 2p_j p_k e^{\frac{k_\perp^2}{\alpha^2} y_\perp} [y_\perp, \infty]_y \right) \bigg)^{ab}

\left. - \frac{2k_j^2}{k_\perp^2} e^{\frac{k_\perp^2}{\alpha^2} y_\perp} [\infty, y_\perp] F_{\bullet'}(y_\perp, y_\perp)[y_\perp, \infty]_y \bigg)^{ab}
\right)

(D.8)

because $[\infty, y_\perp] = \theta(-y_\perp) U + \theta(y_\perp)$ if $y_\perp$ is outside the shock wave. Now we prove that the rest of r.h.s. of eq. (D.3) can be neglected

$$
- \frac{4ig}{\alpha s^2} (k_j^2 \delta_j^k + 2k_j k^k) k^l \int dz_\perp ((z - y_\perp) \theta(z_\perp - y_\perp) + y_\perp \theta(-y_\perp))[\infty, z_\perp] F_{\bullet'}(z_\perp)[z_\perp, \infty]

+ (\delta_j^k l^l - g^k l^l j^l - \delta_j^l l^l) \int dz_\perp [\theta(z_\perp - y_\perp) - \theta(-y_\perp)] [\infty, z_\perp] F_{\bullet'}(z_\perp)[z_\perp, \infty] = O \left( \frac{k_\perp^2 \sigma}{\alpha} \right)
$$

(D.9)

To prove eq. (D.9) we first notice that at $y_\perp > 0$ (and outside of the shock wave) the eq. (D.9) vanishes since $F_{\bullet'}(z_\perp) = 0$. Second, if $y_\perp < 0$ the integral $\int_{y_\perp}^{\infty} dz_\perp [\infty, z_\perp] F_{\bullet'}(z_\perp)[z_\perp, \infty]$ can be replaced by $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz_\perp [\infty, z_\perp] F_{\bullet'}(z_\perp)[z_\perp, \infty]$ so eq. (D.9) reduces to

$$
- \frac{4ig}{\alpha s^2} (k_j^2 \delta_j^k + 2k_j k^k) k^l \int dz_\perp z_\perp [\infty, z_\perp] F_{\bullet'}(z_\perp)[z_\perp, \infty]
$$

(D.10)
which is \( \sim \frac{k^2}{m^2} \sigma_s k^4 \partial_j U \sim \frac{k^4}{m^4} \sigma_s \sim O(k^2/x^2) \). Now we see that the r.h.s. of eq. (D.8) coincides with the r.h.s. of eq. (D.3), so we have proved that eq. (D.2) agrees with eq. (D.3) with our accuracy \( O(x^2) \). The last thing to note is that the integral of eq. (D.3) over \( y_s \) with the weight \( \frac{2}{s} e^{i \beta g y_s} \) reproduces the Lipatov vertex (4.26) from ref. [40].

Finally, let us present the explicit form of the real (production) part of the kernel from ref. [40] (\( \eta \equiv \ln \sigma \)):

\[
\frac{d}{d \ln \sigma} \tilde{F}^\eta_{(+\infty)}(\beta_B, x_\perp) F^\eta_{(+\infty)}(\beta_B, y_\perp)
= -\alpha_s \text{Tr} \left\{ \int d^2 k_\perp(x_\perp) \left\{ \frac{1}{\sigma \beta_B s + p_\perp} \left( U^\dagger k_k + p_k U \right) \frac{\sigma \beta_B s g_{ij} - 2 k^j_{\mu} k^i_{\mu}}{\sigma \beta_B s + k_\perp^2} \\
- 2 k^j_{\mu} g_{ij} U \frac{1}{\sigma \beta_B s + p_\perp} U^\dagger - 2 g_{ij} \frac{p_i}{\sigma \beta_B s + p_\perp^2} U^\dagger + \frac{2 k^j_{\mu} g_{ij}}{k_\perp^2} \right\} \tilde{F}^\eta_{(+\infty)}(\beta_B + \frac{k_\perp^2}{\sigma s}) |k_\perp) \\
\times (k_\perp | F^\eta_{(+\infty)}(\beta_B + \frac{k_\perp^2}{\sigma s}) (\beta_B + \frac{k_\perp^2}{\sigma s} | k_\perp) \\
- 2 k^j_{\mu} g_{ij} U \frac{1}{\sigma \beta_B s + p_\perp} U^\dagger - 2 \delta_{ij} U \frac{p_j}{\sigma \beta_B s + p_\perp} U^\dagger + 2 g_{ij} \frac{k_{\mu}}{k_\perp} \right\} |y_\perp) \right) + O(\alpha_s^2)
\]

where

\[
\tilde{F}^\eta_{(+\infty)}(\beta_B, z_\perp) \equiv \frac{2}{s} \int d z_s \ e^{i \beta g z_s} (\eta_{\infty}^a z_\perp) g F^\eta_{(+\infty)}(z_s, z_\perp) \eta,
\]

\[
\tilde{F}^\eta_{(+\infty)}(\beta_B, z_\perp) \equiv \frac{2}{s} \int d z_s \ e^{-i \beta g z_s} g (\tilde{F}^\eta_{(+\infty)}(z_s, z_\perp), \eta_{\infty} z_\perp) \eta
\]

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC-BY 4.0), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.
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