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Low TREM1 expression in whole blood predicts anti-TNF response in inflammatory bowel disease
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ABSTRACT

Background: With the changed therapeutic armamentarium for Crohn’s disease (CD) and ulcerative colitis (UC), biomarkers predicting treatment response are urgently needed. We studied whole blood and mucosal expression of genes previously reported to predict outcome to anti-TNF therapy, and investigated if the signature was specific for anti-TNF agents.

Methods: We prospectively included 54 active IBD patients (24CD, 30UC) initiating anti-TNF therapy, as well as 22 CD patients initiating ustekinumab and 51 patients initiating vedolizumab (25CD, 26UC). Whole blood expression of OSM, TREM1, TNF and TNFR2 was measured prior to start of therapy using qPCR, and mucosal gene expression in inflamed biopsies using RNA-sequencing. Response was defined as endoscopic remission (SES-CD ≤ 2 at week 24 for CD and Mayo endoscopic sub-score ≤ 1 at week 10 for UC).

Findings: Baseline whole blood TREM1 was downregulated in future anti-TNF responders, both in UC (FC = 0.53, p = .001) and CD (FC = 0.66, p = .007), as well as in the complete cohort (FC = 0.67, p < .001). Receiver operator characteristic statistics showed an area under the curve (AUC) of 0.78 (p = .001). A similar accuracy could be achieved with mucosal TREM1 (AUC 0.77, p = .003), which outperformed the accuracy of serum TREM1 (AUC 0.58, p = .31). Although differentially expressed in tissue, OSM, TNF and TNFR2 were not differentially expressed in whole blood. The TREM1 predictive signal was anti-TNF specific, as no changes were seen in ustekinumab and vedolizumab treated patients.

Interpretation: We identified low TREM-1 as a specific biomarker for anti-TNF induced endoscopic remission. These results can aid in the selection of therapy in biologic-naïve patients.

© 2019 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

The introduction of biological treatments in inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) has significantly improved disease outcome and altered the natural history of the disease, including less steroid exposure, less hospitalizations, and less major surgeries [1]. Novel insights in IBD pathogenesis led to the development of new compounds with a different mode of action, including anti-adhesion molecules (vedolizumab, VDZ) and interleukin (IL) 12/23 antibodies (ustekinumab, UST) [2]. However, some patients never respond to a particular therapy. For anti-TNF therapy in particular, primary non-response rates vary from 10 to 30%, and the annual risk of secondary loss of response ranges from 13% for infliximab (IFX) to 20% for adalimumab (ADM) [3]. Both from a patient perspective as from a socio-economic perspective, identifying the most suitable therapy for a given patient is key. With many more compounds being tested in phase II and III clinical trials [4], personalised medicine will become even more necessary in future.

During recent years, researchers focused on a better understanding of the working mechanisms of anti-TNF agents [5]. This not only
Research in context

Evidence before this study

Biological agents have dramatically changed therapeutic algorithms in inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) and significantly improved disease outcome. However, an individualised approach with predictive biomarkers is urgently awaited, as up to one third of patients never respond to a particular drug and thus a ‘one-size fits all principle’ should no longer be applied. Recently, whole blood TREM1 expression was suggested as a potential biomarker predicting response to anti-TNF therapy in patients with Crohn’s disease.

Added value of this study

We validated whole blood TREM1 as the first predictive signal for anti-TNF induced endoscopic remission in a mixed cohort of patients with both Crohn’s disease or ulcerative colitis. Additionally, we demonstrated its anti-TNF specificity by studying the similar signature in vedolizumab and ustekinumab treated patients. Finally, we demonstrated that mucosal TREM1 expression is as accurate as whole blood TREM1, whereas serum TREM1 is not a good biomarker for anti-TNF non-responsiveness.

Implications of all the available evidence

Our results can aid in the future selection of therapy in biologic naïve IBD patients and could be translated in the first biomarker-driven randomized trial stratifying patients towards or away from anti-TNF therapy based on TREM1 whole blood expression.

2. Methods

2.1. Patient selection

This prospective study was conducted at the IBD center of the University Hospitals Leuven (Leuven, Belgium). We collected whole blood of 127 IBD patients initiating biologic therapy: 54 CD and UC patients initiating IFX or ADM, 22 CD patients initiating UST and 51 CD and UC patients initiating VDZ (Table 1, Supplementary Table 1). All patients had endoscopy-proven active disease (Mayo endoscopic score 2–3 in case of UC; presence of ileal and/or colonic ulcerations in case of CD) and had to be naïve for the drug that was initiated at inclusion.

All anti-TNF treated patients had to have persistent endoscopic lesions with sufficient drug exposure, defined as a maintenance trough level > 3.0 μg/mL for infliximab or > 5.0 μg/mL for adalimumab before being defined as non-responder. Due the lack of agreement on the targeted threshold for ustekinumab and vedolizumab, if any, we did not include an exposure requirement in the definition of non-response for both drugs.

Table 1

| Characteristic | Crohn’s disease | Ulcerative colitis |
|---------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Sex, women, n (%) | 12 (50.0) | 18 (60.0) |
| Endoscopic assessment after initiated therapy, n (%) | | |
| - Endoscopic remission | 13 (54.2) | 10 (33.3) |
| - No endoscopic remission | 11 (45.8) | 20 (66.7) |
| Anti-TNF agent, n (%) | | |
| - Infliximab | 10 (41.7) | 12 (40.0) |
| - Adalimumab | 14 (58.3) | 18 (60.0) |
| Age, years, median (IQR) | 31.9 | 43.5 |
| (26.5–51.5) | (29.6–55.7) |
| Disease duration, years, median (IQR) | 7.8 (2.1–22.2) | 5.1 (1.7–17.0) |
| C-reactive protein, mg/L, median (IQR) | 5.7 (0.5–8.5) | 3.9 (1.1–24.8) |
| Faecal calprotectin, μg/g, median (IQR) | 1190 | 1361 |
| (328–1800) | (804–1800) |
| Albumin, g/L, median (IQR) | 42.3 | 43.6 |
| (39.2–45.2) | (39.4–45.5) |
| Body Mass Index, kg/m², median (IQR) | 22.1 | 21.6 |
| (20.4–25.1) | (19.7–25.9) |
| Disease location Crohn’s disease, n (%) | | |
| - Ileal disease (L1) | 6 (25.0) | N.A. |
| - Colonic disease (L2) | 6 (25.0) | N.A. |
| - Ileocolonic disease (L3) | 12 (50.0) | N.A. |
| - Upper GI involvement (L4) | 1 (4.2) | | |
| Disease location ulcerative colitis, n (%) | | |
| - Proctitis (E1) | 3 (10.0) | | |
| - Left-sided colitis (E2) | 19 (63.3) | | |
| - Extensive colitis (E3) | 8 (26.7) | | |
| Disease behaviour Crohn’s disease, n (%) | | |
| - Nonstricturing non-penetrating (B1) | 15 (62.5) | | |
| - Stricturing (B2) | 6 (25.0) | N.A. |
| - Penetrating (B3) | 3 (12.5) | N.A. |
| - Perianal disease (P) | 4 (16.7) | N.A. |
| Previous IBD related surgery (resection, stricturoplasty) | 10 (41.7) | N.A. |
| Concomitant medication, n (%) | | |
| - Topical or systemic steroids | 8 (33.3) | 8 (26.7) |
| - Immunomodulators | 12 (50.0) | 6 (20.0) |
| Previous biological agents, n (%) | | |
| - Any | 8 (33.3) | 10 (33.3) |
| - Infliximab | 4 (16.7) | 2 (6.6) |
| - Adalimumab | 4 (16.7) | 3 (10.0) |
| - Vedolizumab | 4 (16.7) | 7 (23.3) |
| - Ustekinumab | 3 (12.5) | N.A. |
| Smoking, n (%) | | |
| - Never | 16 (66.6) | 18 (60.0) |
| - Active | 4 (16.7) | 5 (16.7) |
| - Former | 4 (16.7) | 7 (23.3) |
Whole blood (PAXgene blood RNA tubes, Qiagen, Benelux, Netherlands) samples were collected at baseline, prior to the first infusion/injection, stored overnight at room temperature whereafter they were preserved at $-80 \, ^\circ C$ according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Biopsies at the edge of an ulcer in the most inflamed area were taken during endoscopy prior to the start of therapy, stored in RNALater buffer (Ambion, Austin, TX, USA) and preserved at $-80 \, ^\circ C$. Similarly, serum of all patients initiating anti-TNF therapy was taken prior to first administration, centrifuged and stored at $-20 \, ^\circ C$.

All included patients had given written consent to participate in the Institutional Review Board approved IBD Biobank (B322201213950/S53684).

### 2.2. Outcomes

Response was defined based on endoscopic findings as an objective parameter. In CD patients, endoscopic remission was assessed after 6 months and defined as a Simple Endoscopic Disease (SES-CD) score $\leq 2$ [14,15]. In UC patients, a Mayo endoscopic sub-score of $\leq 1$ was considered as endoscopic remission. Due to national reimbursement criteria, all UC patients were endoscopically evaluated at week 8 (ADM) or week 14 (IFX and VDZ). All endoscopies were performed by the same 3 experienced IBD staff members (GVA, SV, MF).

### 2.3. Isolation of RNA

Total whole blood RNA was extracted using the PAXgene Blood RNA Kit (Qiagen, Benelux, Netherlands) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Total RNA from inflamed biopsies was extracted using the AllPrep DNA/RNA Mini kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The integrity and quantity of all RNA was assessed with a 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent, Waldbronn, Germany) and a Nanodrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Extracted RNA was stored at $-80 \, ^\circ C$ until further processing.

### Table 2

| Overall TREM1 signal | TREM1-mb | TREM1-sv |
|----------------------|----------|----------|
| Overall TREM1 signal | $\rho = 0.55$ ($p = 1.6 \times 10^{-5}$) | $\rho = 0.73$ ($p = 3.5 \times 10^{-9}$) | $\rho = 0.78$ ($p = 9.9 \times 10^{-11}$) |
| TREM1-sv             | $\rho = 0.52$ ($p = 1.0 \times 10^{-4}$) | $\rho = 0.78$ ($p = 9.9 \times 10^{-11}$) | $\rho = 0.78$ ($p = 4.8 \times 10^{-11}$) |
| TREM1-x2             | $\rho = 0.72$ ($p = 2.3 \times 10^{-5}$) | $\rho = 0.78$ ($p = 9.9 \times 10^{-11}$) | $\rho = 0.78$ ($p = 4.8 \times 10^{-11}$) |

$\rho =$ Spearman $\tau$ correlation coefficient.
2.4. Quantitative RT-PCR

Gene expression (TREM1, OSM, TNF, TNFR2, IL13RA2) in whole blood was studied through quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) analysis. To further unravel the TREM1 predictive signal, the expression of all known TREM1 transcripts, TREM1 transcript variant x1 (TREM1-mb), TREM1 transcript variant x2 (TREM1-x2) and TREM1 transcript variant x3 (TREM1-sv), was studied too. cDNA was synthesized from 0.25 μg of total RNA using the RevertAid H Minus First Strand cDNA synthesis kit (Fermentas, St. Leon-Rot, Germany) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The primers were synthesized by Sigma-Genosys (Haverhill, UK) (Supplementary Table 2) and 10 μM stock solutions were used to make the reaction mixture (5 μL SybrGreen, 0.2 μM FW & RV primer, 2 μL cDNA sample, 2.8 μL RNase-free H2O). All samples were amplified in duplicate reactions. Samples were analysed with the Lightcycler 480 (Roche, Basel, Switzerland). The following amplification program was used: 5′ 95 °C, 45 x (10″ 95 °C, 15″ 60 °C, 15″ 72 °C), 5″ 95 °C, 1′ 60 °C, 4 °C. mRNA-levels were normalized to the housekeeping gene β-actin and quantified using the comparative (ΔΔ) Ct method.

2.5. RNA sequencing

Next-generation single-end sequencing was performed using the Illumina HiSeq 4000 NGS, after library preparation using the TruSeq Stranded mRNA protocol (Illumina, San Diego, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Raw RNA-sequencing data were aligned to the reference genome using Hisat2 version 2.1.0 [16], absolute counts generated using HTSeq [17], whereafter counts were normalized and differential gene expression assessed using the DESeq2 package [18]. RNA-seq data have been deposited in the ArrayExpress database at EMBL-EBI (www.ebi.ac.uk/arrayexpress) under accession number E-MTAB-7604.

2.6. Serum proteins

Serum TREM1 (soluble TREM1, sTREM1, CD 354) was measured using the Human sTREM-1 ELISA kit (HK348, Hycult Biotech, Uden, the Netherlands). Serum TNF was measured using the MesoScale Discovery electrochemiluminescence technology (MSD, Rockville, USA).

2.7. Statistical analysis

All analyses were carried out using IBM SPSS Statistics 24 (IBM SPSS, Costa Mesa, CA, USA) and R version 3.5.0 (R Development Core Team, Vienna, Austria). Continuous variables are expressed as median and interquartile range (IQR). Unpaired data were compared using the Mann-Whitney U test for continuous variables, and with Fisher's exact test for categorical variables. Correlations were assessed using the Spearman r correlation coefficient. Stepwise forward and backward elimination logistic regression modelling was performed to identify independent predictors of the outcome of anti-TNF therapy. Final model selection was based on the most optimal second-order Akaike information criterion. Diagnostic performance was assessed with receiver operating characteristics (ROC) curve analysis. A relevant threshold value was chosen on the ROC curve, based on the performance of the Youden's J statistic and closest top-left method. A two-tailed p-value <.05 was considered significant.

Fig. 2. Baseline expression of the different whole blood TREM1 transcripts, including TREM1 mb (A), TREM1 x2 (B) and TREM1 sv (C), in relation to endoscopic remission later on in both CD and UC patients, treated with either adalimumab or infliximab. * p < .05.
3. Results

3.1. Patient characteristics

Fifty-four actively inflamed patients (24 CD, 30 UC) with a median (IQR) disease duration of 6.8 (1.7–19.6) years were included in this prospective study, prior to their first IFX or ADM administration (Table 1). At time of induction, 16 patients (29.6%) were on corticosteroids and 18 patients (33.3%) received immunomodulatory agents (IFX treated patients only). CD patients were endoscopically evaluated after 27.1 (25.0–29.0) weeks, with an overall endoscopic remission rate of 54.2% (30.0% ADM, 71.4% IFX). In UC patients, an endoscopic remission rate of 33.3% (27.8% ADM, 41.7% IFX) was observed after a median of 8.4 (8.0–10.0) weeks. Similar response rates were observed in patients who were naïve to anti-TNF therapy and in patients who previously failed another anti-TNF agent (43.9% vs. 38.5%, $p = .73$).

Additionally, whole blood was collected in 51 actively inflamed patients (25 CD, 26 UC) initiating vedolizumab therapy, of whom 9 (17.6%) were entirely anti-TNF naïve. After 6 months (CD) and 14 weeks (UC), vedolizumab induced endoscopic remission in 48.0%, 61.5% of patients respectively. Finally, 22 active CD patients initiated ustekinumab with an endoscopic remission rate of 22.3% after 6 months (Supplementary Table 1).

3.2. Whole blood, comparative analysis between responders and non-responders

In the anti-TNF cohort, TREM1 was significantly downregulated at baseline in patients achieving endoscopic remission (fold change (FC) = 0.67, $p < .001$) (Fig. 1A). In contrast, OSM, TNF and TNFR2 expression was not significantly different between future responders and non-responders (FC = 0.61, $p = .09$; FC = 0.74, $p = .13$; FC = 0.94, $p = .24$ respectively) (Fig. 1B–D). Baseline sTREM1 was only numerically lower in future responders (0.28 ng/mL, IQR 0.16–0.56 ng/mL) compared to non-responders (0.40 ng/mL, IQR 0.30–0.83 ng/mL) (FC = 0.70, $p = .09$). Whole blood IL13RA2 mRNA could not be detected in both the discovery and validation cohort using 2 different pairs of primers (Supplementary Table 2) and using different dilutions of cDNA.

Fig. 3. Baseline whole blood TREM1 expression in relation to endoscopic remission later on in both discovery and validation cohort, visualised by diagnosis (B). ** $p < .01$, *** $p < .001$.

Fig. 4. Baseline mucosal TREM1 (A), OSM (B), TNF (C), IL13RA2 (D) and TNFR2 (E) expression in relation to endoscopic remission later on in both Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis patients, treated with either adalimumab or infliximab. * $p < .05$, ** $p < .01$. 
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Fig. 5. Fagan nomogram demonstrating the post-test probability of non-response in anti-TNF exposed patients, based on a lower (A) and upper (B) defined threshold of baseline TREM1 expression with a sensitivity and specificity of 90.0% respectively. Pre-test probability representing the non-response rate in the included cohort.
Whole blood TREM1 expression did not significantly correlate with CRP (spearman $\rho = -0.08, p = .38$), faecal calprotectin (spearman $\rho = -0.06, p = .64$) or serum TNF$\alpha$ (spearman $\rho = -0.15, p = .63$). However, it did significantly increase with increasing age (spearman $\rho = 0.24, p = .007$) and tended to increase with longer disease duration (spearman $\rho = 0.17, p = .06$) (Supplementary Fig. 1A-B). No correlation with the total number of previous biological agents previously exposed to could be observed (spearman $\rho = 0.08, p = .55$).

TREM1 expression did not differ between anti-TNF exposed and anti-TNF naïve patients (FC = 1.24, $p = .46$). Additionally, both in anti-TNF exposed and in anti-TNF naïve patients, future responders had lower TREM1 levels (FC = 0.48, $p = .01$; FC = 0.69, $p = .01$ respectively).

The expression levels of all individual transcripts significantly correlated with each other and with the overall TREM1 expression level (Table 2), suggesting that they all contribute to the overall anti-TNF predictive signature (Fig. 2A-C). Furthermore, total TREM1 mRNA levels in whole blood correlated significantly with sTREM1 protein levels (spearman $\rho = 0.36, p = .01$), which did not hold true for the individual transcript levels ($p = .43, p = .13, p = .15$ respectively).

### 3.3. Similar findings in both Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis

No significant differences in whole blood TREM1 expression between CD and UC patients could be observed ($p = .19$). However, the association with endoscopic remission seemed stronger in UC patients than in CD patients (FC = 0.53, $p = .001$; FC = 0.66, $p = .007$ respectively) (Fig. 3). Similarly, CD and UC patients did not significantly differ in OSM, TNF or TNFR2 expression (FC = 0.62, $p = .06$; FC = 1.2, $p = .58$; FC = 1.25, $p = .25$ respectively). Although future CD responders did not differ from non-responders in OSM, TNF and TNFR2 expression (FC = 0.97, $p = .36$; FC = 0.79, $p = .41$; FC = 1.18, $p = .83$ respectively), UC responders did for TNFR2 (FC = 0.66, $p = .03$) but not for OSM or TNF (FC = 0.55, $p = .34$; FC = 0.65, $p = .08$ respectively).

### 3.4. Intestinal tissue, comparative analysis between responders and non-responders

To validate previous findings and study the relationship between mucosal and whole blood gene expression levels, we performed RNA-sequencing on 44 inflamed mucosal biopsies of IBD patients prior to
the first anti-TNF administration (Supplementary Table 3), including 20 patients of the whole blood cohort. TREM1 was significantly decreased in future responders (FC = 0.27, p = 0.02), as well as OSM (FC = 0.27, p = 0.007), TNF (FC = 0.57, p = 0.02), IL13RA2 (FC = 0.20, p = 0.01) and TNFR2 (FC = 0.72, p = 0.008) (Fig. 4). Whole blood TREM1 levels correlated significantly with mucosal TREM1 levels (Spearman ρ = 0.79, p = .01, n = 20).

3.5. Prediction of response to anti-TNF therapy

Logistic regression analysis identified total whole blood TREM1 mRNA expression as the only significant predictor of anti-TNF induced endoscopic remission (p = .02). ROC analysis based on baseline TREM1 mRNA levels in the anti-TNF cohort, gave an area under the curve (AUC) of 77.7% (95% CI 65.2–90.1%, p = .001). Similar, mucosal TREM1 mRNA levels seemed to have good predictive accuracy with an AUC of 76.8% (95% CI 61.6–91.9%, p = .003). In contrast, sTREM1 could not accurately predict anti-TNF induced endoscopic remission (AUC 58.3%, p = .31) (Supplementary Fig. 2).

In the anti-TNF cohort with an overall pre-test probability for response and non-response of 42.6%, 57.4% respectively, predictive cut-offs were determined. Based on either 90.0% sensitivity or 90.0% specificity (the latter also representing the Youden statistic), a post-test probability of 77.1% for achieving endoscopic remission (for values below the lower limit) (Fig. 5A) and a post-test probability of 90.0% for non-response (for values above the upper limit) (Fig. 5B) could be achieved. Only 1 out of 5 patients (20.4%) had an intermediate TREM1 level in between both thresholds.

3.6. An anti-TNF specific marker in IBD therapy

Baseline whole blood TREM1 expression was not associated with endoscopic remission in patients treated with either vedolizumab (n = 51, p = 0.53) or ustekinumab (n = 22, p = .82) (Fig. 6) (Supplementary Table 3). Similarly, no association between baseline mucosal TREM1 expression and endoscopic remission could be observed in vedolizumab (n = 67, p = .24) or ustekinumab (n = 51, p = 1.0) treated patients (Fig. 6). Finally, no difference in TREM1 could be observed in patients with (82.4%) or without (17.6%) prior anti-TNF exposure (p = .78) at the mucosal level.

4. Discussion

This is the first prospective study examining the predictive value of whole blood mRNA transcripts from genes previously identified in tissue as key in the prediction of anti-TNF therapy in patients with IBD. We validated whole blood TREM1 expression as an accurate anti-TNF specific predictor for endoscopic remission in patients with CD and UC. In contrast to the observed moderate endoscopic remission rates with anti-TNF agents in current clinical practice, remission rates may be improved by prioritizing anti-TNF therapy to those patients with low TREM1 expression. Based on our results, pre-test probabilities for primary (non-)response to anti-TNF therapy could be optimized using TREM1 expression, resulting in post-test probabilities of 77.1% for endoscopic remission in the patients with low TREM-1 expression (34.5% increase compared to pre-test probability) and 90.0% for non-response in the patients with high TREM-1 expression (32.6% increase compared to pre-test probability) respectively.

TREM1 is a receptor expressed on innate immune cells, known to amplify inflammatory signals that are initially triggered by Toll-like receptors and thus contributing to the pathophysiology of many acute and chronic inflammatory conditions [19]. Elevated serum levels of TREM1 have been documented in IBD patients, but sTREM1 does not correlate with the degree of endoscopic disease activity [20]. Similarly, TREM1 mRNA and sTREM1 protein levels did not correlate with CRP or faecal calprotectin in our cohort, suggesting that the TREM1 signal we observed is not purely reflecting a higher inflammatory state.

Increased TREM1 levels have been linked earlier to anti-TNF induced clinical response in a retrospective Israeli cohort of 28 patients with CD [12]. We here observed the opposite signal, namely a significant increase in TREM1 in whole blood, both at the protein as at the mRNA level, in non-responders. Because our response criteria were based on more stringent endoscopic criteria and in view of the poor association between clinical symptoms and endoscopic disease activity [21,22], these opposite results may not come as a surprise. As different transcripts could also contribute to the discrepancies between both studies, we not only focused on the overall TREM1 signal but also measured all known protein coding transcripts individually. Interestingly, all transcripts were significantly upregulated in future non-responders, and thus confirmed our belief of a true biological and clinically relevant signal. The limited number of patients in the original cohort by Gaujoux et al. and the different ethnicity could also contribute to this conflicting observation. However, the current validation of our previous findings (with increased TREM1 expression in future non-responders) [13] in the current extended cohort, together with the absence of the same signal in an ust and VDZ treated cohort, raises the potential clinical applicability of measuring whole blood TREM1 as an anti-TNF specific biomarker in IBD.

Tissue biomarkers may be perceived as a better reflection of what is really going on in patients from a pathophysiological point of view. But, when it comes down to the translation to daily practice, a simple blood sample is less invasive than colonoscopy and easier to implement on a broader scale. In this study we showed that the accuracy of mucosal TREM1 expression is similar to the accuracy of whole blood TREM1 levels. In homeostatic conditions, the vast majority of resident intestinal macrophages completely lack TREM1 expression. In contrast, in patients with active IBD, TREM1 expression is mainly upregulated on intestinal macrophages with only limited TREM1-expressing intestinal neutrophils [23]. Immunophenotyping revealed a higher number of recruited TREM1+CD14+HLA-DRint macrophages, and not resident CD14+HLA-DR+ lamina propria macrophages (LP), among CD45+LP cells in the inflamed mucosa of patients with IBD (compared to uninfamed regions) [24], explaining why the TREM1 mucosal signal could be picked up in whole blood as a (surrogate) biomarker.

In mice, inhibition of TREM1 attenuated the severity of colitis clinically, endoscopically and histologically by restoring impaired autophagy and endoplasmic reticulum stress [25]. As anti-TNF induced macrophages (Mőnd), have increased levels of autophagy and an intact autophagy pathway seems crucial for an optimal response to anti-TNF therapy [26], we hypothesize that the lower TREM1 levels observed in future anti-TNF induced responders are indeed associated with a better functioning autophagy pathway and thus a higher chance to achieve endoscopic remission after anti-TNF exposure.

The lower serum TREM1 levels in responders to anti-TNF are not reflecting a higher membrane TREM1 expression. In contrast, future responders have significantly lower membrane bound TREM1, suggesting that their downstream proinflammatory TNF burden is lower, as has been reported earlier [9]. Although there exist a specific splicing variant coding sTREM1 (TREM1-sv) [27,28], sTREM1 can also originate after cleavage of the membrane bound protein, as membrane TREM1 contains a matrix metalloproteinase 9 (MMP-9) cleavage site [29,30]. As MMP-9 is known to be significantly upregulated in serum of active IBD patients [31], an increased cleavage of TREM1-sv is plausible, resulting in higher sTREM1 level than expected based on the TREM1-sv transcript alone and explaining why only the overall TREM1 expression level, but not the individual transcript levels, are correlating with sTREM1.

Although this is the largest prospective study investigating potential whole blood biomarkers for anti-TNF therapy in IBD, we do realize the need for validation in bigger, independent cohorts, also allowing clear cut-offs prior to translation into daily clinical practice. Finally, this
study focused only on genes previously suggested as potential mucosal biomarkers for anti-TNF responsiveness. Using an unbiased, genome-wide approach through RNA-sequencing of whole blood may therefore be even better to detect novel, outstanding predictive biomarkers in blood.

In conclusion, we validated baseline whole blood and mucosal TREM1 expression in IBD patients as an anti-TNF specific predictive biomarker for endoscopic remission. Larger, randomized studies will need to validate these findings and define a whole blood TREM1 threshold bringing personalised medicine in IBD therapy one step closer.

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ebiom.2019.01.027.
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