Adsorption of nitrogen-containing compounds on hydroxylated \( \alpha \)-quartz surfaces
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Adsorption energies of various nitrogen-containing compounds (specifically, 2,4,6-trinitrotoluene (TNT), 2,4-dinitrotoluene (DNT), 2,4-dinitroanisole (DNAn), and 3-nitro-1,2,4-triazole-5-one (NTO)) on the hydroxylated (001) and (100) \( \alpha \)-quartz surfaces are computed. Different density functionals are utilized and both periodic as well as cluster approaches are applied. From the adsorption energies, partition coefficients on the considered \( \alpha \)-quartz surfaces are derived. While TNT and DNT are preferably adsorbed on the (001) surface of \( \alpha \)-quartz, NTO is rather located on both \( \alpha \)-quartz surfaces.

Introduction

Nitrogen-containing compounds (NCCs) are chemically important species, as they are munitions constituents and emerging contaminants,\textsuperscript{1, 2} representing a group of potentially hazardous pollutants.\textsuperscript{3, 4} These will possibly spread to the environment during the production, processing, destruction, and recycling of drugs, dyes, pesticides, and energetic compounds. With this work, we would like to help to provide a virtual mesocosm to accurately predict how such chemical compounds will interact, move and degrade in variable natural environments.\textsuperscript{5, 6} Here, adsorption on surfaces plays a significant role in the fate of such chemicals in nature. A detailed understanding of the chemistry of adsorbate and substrate for adsorption is needed to address the above questions. The influence of fluids at interfaces and the strength of the forces binding the adsorbed species are also essential considerations.

Since experimental results on the adsorption of these species are difficult and rather sparse,\textsuperscript{7, 8} we decided to study the adsorption abilities of these compounds computationally in order to predict the ecological impact of NCCs. The potentially hazardous contaminants computed are 2,4,6-trinitrotoluene (TNT), 2,4-dinitrotoluene (DNT), 2,4-dinitroanisole (DNAn), and 3-nitro-1,2,4-triazole-5-one (NTO) (see Fig. 1). Previous reports...

Fig. 1. The optimized structures of studied nitrogen-containing compounds (NCCs): 2,4,6-trinitrotoluene (TNT, a), 2,4-dinitrotoluene (DNT, b), 2,4-dinitroanisole (DNAn, c), and 3-nitro-1,2,4-triazole-5-one (NTO, d) obtained at the M06-2X/6-31G** level of theory.
containing these species were devoted to prediction of nitroaromatics reactivity, toxicity, environmentally important physical properties and adsorption abilities on various materials.

Silica is one of the most abundant species on earth’s crust and is most commonly found in the form of quartz. There is a plethora of studies of organic pollutants on silica, although in most cases mesoporous one. Mesoporous and amorphous silica incorporates many different planes and symmetries. In computations, very often only one surface is used, as it is time-demanding to construct and then compute several surfaces. Here, it is vital to study the adsorption of NCCs on several surfaces.

Previously, we have studied the adsorption of these compounds on the hydroxylated (100) surface. By going beyond the (100) surface, diverse effects are possible, and even the adsorption properties of different adsorbed species may vary with the different surface used. With various surfaces, different hydrogen bonds will be formed with the adsorbed molecules. As will be shown later in this study, the surface can have a rather large effect on the adsorption properties of larger molecules.

In our previous publication in which we investigated the (100) surface, the amount and the type of adsorption bonds and adsorption energies of TNT, DNT, DNAN, and NTO were analysed using density functional theory (DFT) and post-Hartree–Fock methods (Moller–Plesset perturbation theory MP2) utilizing a cluster approach, also embedding the clusters with the ONIOM method.

The conclusions of the previous work were as follows:

(i) The cluster models, which included two oxygen–silicon–oxygen layers, were quantitatively enough to reproduce the most essential structural and energetic features of the NCCs adsorption (in other words: the application of larger number of ONIOM layers is not necessary);

(ii) inclusion of dispersion corrections into the applied DFT functionals (such as M06-2X and PBE) generated the energy of adsorption more comparable to other functionals and MP2, and functionals (such as M06-2X and PBE) generated the energy of O N I O M l a y e r s i n n o t n e c e s s a r y ) ;

(iii) The in

From a theoretical point of view, hydroxylated surfaces are noteworthy, as they exhibit electrostatic and van-der-Waals interactions as well as form hydrogen bonds with the surfactants.

Methods

Periodic slab models

Periodic slab models were calculated using periodic boundary conditions (PBC) with the QuickStep module of the CP2K code. As functional, the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) functional PBE was used, while long range dispersion interactions were accounted for using the empirical van der Waals corrections of Grimme using D2. A hybrid Gaussian and plane wave (GPW) approach was employed—the wave functions were expanded in terms of Gaussian functions by utilizing a molecularly optimized, polarized basis set of triple-ζ quality (MOLOPT-TZVP). The core electrons were represented with norm-conserving Goedecker–Teter–Hutter (GTH) pseudopotentials, and the auxiliary plane-wave basis set was truncated with a 400 Ry energy cutoff.

The surface was modelled using a slab approach with six silica layers. The geometry relaxation was performed without any constraints, with the NCC molecules adsorbed only on one side of the slab, with the standard CP2K dipole corrections used. The length of the cell along the surface-molecule axis was chosen to be 40 Å in order to decouple the slabs from each other. Only the Å point was used in the evaluation of the integrals over the first Brillouin zone, as a large bulk lattice constant of 15.18 Å obtained with periodic PBE + D2 was used for the surface plane.

Cluster models

Following the previous publication on the adsorption of NCC on silica (100), the structures and interaction energy values of the cluster were computed using various levels of DFT which included the M06-2X/6-31G* and PBE + D3/TZVPPD functional and basis set combinations. These calculations were performed using the Gaussian09* and TURBOMOLE 6.3.1 program packages. Adsorption energies (Eads) were calculated as a difference between the total energy of the whole adsorption system and the adsorbate/adsorbent. To all energies, we added zero-point energies which were computed by analytical second derivatives and the harmonic oscillator approximation. Eads values were corrected for the basis set superposition error (BSSE) for which the counterpoise method was applied. The quartz-cluster geometry was kept frozen, while the surface hydroxyl groups and adsorbates were allowed to relax. The chemical formula of the (001) α-quartz cluster model is Si53O142H72. This formula results from 35 bulk SiO2 units, eight surface- and ten bottom-terminated SiO4 units. dangling bonds of the outmost layers of the surface and towards the bulk phase were saturated with hydrogen atoms, whereas only surface hydrogen atoms are shown in Fig. 2–5.

In order to analyse the bonding patterns of the individual hydrogen bonds, Bader’s “Atoms in Molecules” approach (AIM) was employed using the AIM2000 program package. The specific type of the critical points between two contacts of
the adsorbate and the adsorbent were computed together with the electron density (\(\rho\)). Within this approach, a bond is associated with the presence of a \((3, -1)\) critical point of the electron density located between two atoms. Hydrogen bonds typically correspond to a small \(\rho\) and a large, positive Laplacian of the electron density (\(\nabla^2 \rho\)) at the BCP, whereas covalent, dative, or metallic bonds are characterized by a large \(\rho\), and a large negative \(\nabla^2 \rho\). The energy of each bond formed between the adsorbate and adsorbent was further calculated using Espinoza’s equation.\(^{51}\) We used \(E_{\text{HB}} = V/2\) between the local potential energy (\(V\)) and the H-bond energy (\(E_{\text{HB}}\)) at the BCP to express the energy of an H-bond:

\[
E_{\text{HB}} = -\frac{1}{24} \nabla^2 \rho - \frac{3}{160} (3\pi^2)^{2/3} \rho^{5/3}
\] (1)

The energies of the intermolecular interactions calculated using this method correspond well with the energy values calculated with other quantum-chemical methods.\(^{52-54}\)

**Single point calculations**

In addition, single point calculations including dispersion terms using the DFT + D3 program package by Grimme et al. and the TURBOMOLE program package were computed for all considered adsorption complexes obtained after optimization in the cluster approach with the methods described above. Both M06-2X + D3 and PBE + D3 density functionals were used in combination with the 6-31G** and TZVPPD basis sets to estimate the accuracy and deviation of the geometries.

**Thermodynamic parameters**

The adsorption enthalpy (\(\Delta H_{\text{ads}}\)), adsorption entropy \(\Delta S_{\text{ads}}\) and Gibbs free energy (\(\Delta G_{\text{ads}}\)) were calculated at room temperature using the Rigid Rotor – Harmonic Oscillator – ideal gas (RRHO) approximation based on the vibrational frequencies calculated.
at the temperatures 10, 25, 40, 60 °C and the pressure 1 atm. Both surfaces as well as adsorbate-surface systems have the same number of negative frequencies, and as such, the effects coming from these cancel. We corrected $\Delta H_{\text{ads}}$ and $\Delta G_{\text{ads}}$ by the $RT$ volume work term due to the loss of rotational and translational freedom of the molecule on a surface (like, see for example, ref. 55).

The harmonic frequencies were corrected by a scaling factor of 1.0273. The Boltzmann expression has been used to obtain the partition coefficient of adsorption by:

$$K_D = \exp \left( -\frac{\Delta G_{\text{ads}}}{RT} \right).$$

## Results and discussion

### Isolated silica surface model

Surface properties of silica materials cannot be explained by the density of OH-groups alone, as the properties of the different H-bond formed between the substrate and the surface play a major role. The hydrogen bonds on the surface by itself can be very different, depending on the crystalline plane. Hence, it

---

**Fig. 4** Side and top view of the optimized structures of the Q(001)--TNT(=) (a), Q(001)--DNT(=) (b), Q(001)--DNA(=) (c), Q(001)--NTO(=) (d) adsorption complexes obtained using PBE + D3/TZVPPD utilizing a cluster approach.

**Fig. 5** Side and top view of the optimized structures of the Q(001)--TNT(=) (a), Q(001)--DNT(=) (b), Q(001)--DNA(=) (c), Q(001)--NTO(=) (d) adsorption complexes obtained with M06-2X/6-31G** utilizing a cluster approach.
was important to investigate the intra-surface H-bonds of the isolated α-quartz surface.

The analysis of the (100) α-quartz cluster models25 of the surface suggests the presence of two types of intra-surface hydrogen bonds within the 10-membered cycles which differ significantly in strength: strong bonds are characterized by an energy larger than 10 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) and weak bonds have an energy of approximately 1 kcal mol\(^{-1}\). These bonds are perpendicular to each other (see Fig. 2).

In order to compare to the results presented in ref. 25, we also analyzed the structure of the isolated cluster (001) obtained by M06-2X/6-31G**level calculations. The AIM data characterizing the hydrogen bonds presented in Fig. 2 are displayed in Table 1. The silanol groups of the (001) surface form a cooperative network which is significantly different from the (100) surface. In the paper (ref. 25), four hydrogen bonds of the (100) surface were found to be rather strong (more than −12.9 kcal mol\(^{-1}\)). The other five bonds, however, were much weaker with −1.4 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) or less. According to the AIM analysis, the strength of the (001) surface hydrogen bonds ranges between −4 and −8 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) (Table 1). This can be compared to the values of the water dimer (−5.02 kcal mol\(^{-1}\)) and the water-ammonia complex of −6.46 kcal mol\(^{-1}\).66 The 12-membered rings on the (001) surface are thus disrupted or perturbed more easily by the hydrogen bonds formed with the nitro functional groups during the adsorption of an NCC.

The structures and AIM data characterizing the hydrogen bonds of the isolated cluster of (001) α-quartz surface and intra-surface H-bonds obtained by the two other methods, namely PBE + D3/6-31G** and PBE + D2/PBC, are presented in the ESI (Table S1).† These approaches basically yield the same results.

**Structures of adsorbed systems**

Like in the previous section, an AIM analysis has been performed to identify possible hydrogen bonds that are formed during the adsorption of potential contaminants. The geometrical and topological characteristics of the intermolecular hydrogen bonds created during the NCC adsorption are presented in Table 2, Fig. 3–5. The analysis of the optimized geometries of NCC adsorption complexes suggests that the main features of the geometry do not depend on the computational method chosen. Hence, we will discuss the results obtained at the PBE + D2 level using periodic boundary conditions (see Table 2 and Fig. 3).

Although we would have expected the NCCs to establish more hydrogen bonds with the (001) surface because of the lower intra-surface interaction energies of the hydrogen bonds, we identified a considerably smaller number of hydrogen bonds formed compared to the interaction with the (100) surface.25 Only a single hydrogen bond is created due to the adsorption of TTN and DNT with the (001) surface (Table 2, Fig. 3a and b), and two bonds are formed when NTO is adsorbed in a diagonal position (Table 2, Fig. 3d). NTO is different from the other investigated compounds. As it contains a heteroaromatic system, its ketone group and the NH from the ring form two

---

**Table 1** Geometrical and topological characteristics of the O–H⋯O intra-surface H-bonds including H⋯O, O⋯O distances (Å), O⋯H–O (°), bond energies (E(HB), kcal mol\(^{-1}\)), bond density (\(\rho\), Å\(^{-3}\)), electron density (\(\rho\), au), and Laplacian of the electron density (\(\nabla^2\rho\), au) for the isolated model of the (001) surface of α-quartz optimized at the M06-2X/6-31G** level

| Bond | H⋯O | O⋯O | O⋯H–O | \(\nabla^2\rho\) | E(HB) |
|------|-----|-----|-------|-----------------|-------|
| 1    | 1.89| 2.83| 162.4 | 0.0275          | 0.0875| −6.8 |
| 2    | 2.02| 2.98| 175.0 | 0.0202          | 0.0613| −4.3 |
| 3    | 2.02| 2.99| 176.0 | 0.0201          | 0.0599| −4.3 |
| 4    | 1.94| 2.85| 154.5 | 0.0248          | 0.0769| −5.8 |
| 5    | 1.83| 2.76| 160.7 | 0.0313          | 0.1033| −8.3 |
| 6    | 2.32| 3.25| 159.7 | 0.0105          | 0.0346| −1.8 |
| 7    | 1.94| 2.91| 170.3 | 0.0238          | 0.0743| −5.5 |
| 8    | 2.19| 3.15| 170.7 | 0.0132          | 0.0422| −2.4 |
| 9    | 2.12| 2.94| 142.1 | 0.0177          | 0.0546| −3.6 |
| 10   | 1.96| 2.84| 149.8 | 0.0244          | 0.0750| −5.7 |

---

**Table 2** Geometrical and topological characteristics of adsorbate–adsorbent H-bonds including H⋯X, X⋯Y distances (Å) and X–H⋯Y (°), bond energies (E(HB), kcal mol\(^{-1}\)), electron density (\(\rho\), au) and Laplacian of the electron density (\(\nabla^2\rho\), au) for the Q(001)–TNT(=), Q(001)–DNT(=), Q(001)–DNAn(=), Q(001)–NTO(=) complexes optimized with various approaches

| Bond       | Bond type | H⋯X | X⋯Y | X–H⋯Y | \(\nabla^2\rho\) | E(HB) |
|------------|-----------|-----|-----|-------|-----------------|-------|
| PBC using PBE + D2 |          |     |     |       |                 |       |
| Q(001)⋯DNT(=) | HB1 (N6-O)⋯H⋯O | 1.83 | 2.79 | 163.8 | 0.0284          | 0.1010| −7.4 |
| Q(001)⋯DNAn(=) | HB1 (N2-O)⋯H⋯O | 1.78 | 2.75 | 163.4 | 0.0323          | 0.1150| −8.9 |
| Q(001)⋯DNAn(=) | HB1 N4-H⋯O | 1.77 | 2.77 | 160.4 | 0.0376          | 0.1173| −10.7 |
| Q(001)⋯DNAn(=) | HB2 (C5-O)⋯H⋯O | 1.67 | 2.66 | 169.8 | 0.0444          | 0.1472| −13.9 |
| Cluster approach, PBE + D3/TZVPPD |          |     |     |       |                 |       |
| Q(001)⋯DNT(=) | Q(001)⋯DNT(=) | HB1 (N2-O)⋯H⋯O | 1.89 | 2.86 | 166.3 | 0.0258          | 0.0826| −6.2 |
| Q(001)⋯DNAn(=) | HB2 (N4-O)⋯H⋯O | 1.80 | 2.75 | 160.2 | 0.0315          | 0.1073| −8.5 |
| Q(001)⋯DNAn(=) | Q(001)⋯DNAn(=) | HB1 N4-H⋯O | 1.69 | 2.71 | 164.7 | 0.0451          | 0.1399| −13.9 |
| Q(001)⋯DNAn(=) | HB2 (C5-O)⋯H⋯O | 1.73 | 2.72 | 170.7 | 0.0384          | 0.1232| −11.1 |
| Cluster approach, M06-2X/6-31G** |          |     |     |       |                 |       |
| Q(001)⋯DNT(=) | Q(001)⋯DNT(=) | HB1 (N2-O)⋯H⋯O | 1.90 | 2.87 | 166.0 | 0.0260          | 0.0426| −5.2 |
| Q(001)⋯DNAn(=) | HB2 (N4-O)⋯H⋯O | 1.82 | 2.77 | 160.4 | 0.0285          | 0.0949| −7.3 |
| Q(001)⋯DNAn(=) | Q(001)⋯DNAn(=) | HB1 N4-H⋯O | 1.91 | 2.77 | 140.3 | 0.0279          | 0.0909| −7.0 |
| Q(001)⋯DNAn(=) | HB2 (C5-O)⋯H⋯O | 1.93 | 2.78 | 145.3 | 0.0245          | 0.0844| −5.9 |
| Q(001)⋯DNAn(=) | HB3 N3-O⋯H⋯O | 2.25 | 2.77 | 112.1 | 0.0145          | 0.0585| −3.1 |

---
hydrogen bonds with the surface. Overall an eight-membered ring is developed, and the O(C)–(N)H distance (2.8 Å) of the NTO-molecule seemed to be ideal to break into the surface structure: the H(O)–(Si)O distances of the two geminal hydroxyl groups on the surface are close to that value (2.9 Å). DNAn does not exhibit any hydrogen bonds with the surface (Table 2, Fig. 3c). The adsorption of DNAn is thus dominated by electrostatic and dispersion interactions, not by the formation of hydrogen bonds.

Comparing to the geometries obtained with the cluster approach, one less hydrogen bond was computed for TNT (Table 2, Fig. 4a) and additional hydrogen bond was found for the PBE + D3/TZVPPD level structure of DNT (Table 2, Fig. 4b), where both nitro-groups take part in forming two hydrogen bonds, disrupting the surface. Specifically, the 6th and 7th weak hydrogen bonds of the (001) surface (Table 1) point towards the adsorbed molecule, as can be seen in Fig. 4b.

For the M06-2X/6-31G** structures (Table 2, Fig. 5), one additional hydrogen bond is formed for NTO. Note, however, that even though NTO exhibits more hydrogen bonds than the other species, this does not necessarily correlate with the binding energy.

From Fig. 5, we can see that the increased number of hydrogen bonds imply, like mentioned before, a diagonal position of the adsorbed NTO molecule. This results in a decreased value of the dispersion interaction between the molecule and the surface.

Interaction energies of adsorbed systems

The outcomes shown in the Table 3 are similar to the conclusion of the study of the adsorption of investigated compounds on the surface (100). We confirm our previous observation that the data became more consistent in case if the contribution from dispersion energies is taken into account by adding D2 and D3 dispersion, even for M06-2X functional. This follows from the comparison of the results obtained at M06-2X level (second column of the Table 3) with the others. Unfortunately, there is no accurate experimental data to compare to. Based on the adsorption energies of silica, oxide and carbon surfaces, we concluded that the data obtained at the PBE + D3/TZVPPD level are the best fit to the adsorption energies measured experimentally. In order to compare the results to the ones obtained in our previous publication, we performed single point calculations at the geometry obtained by the M06-2X functional. Table 3 displays the interaction energies of the NNT species for the (001) and (100) surfaces.

The compounds optimized using PBE + D3/TZVPPD are predicted to adsorb on the hydroxylated (001) surface with the order: DNT > TNT > DNAn, while the adsorption energy of NTO is weaker. The reason for the latter is the abovementioned diagonal type of adsorption and a much weaker dispersion interaction for NTO. This contrasts the findings from Table 2, where only the hydrogen bonds were investigated by the AIM analysis, and shows the limitations of the AIM approach. From those tables, we would expect NTO to be bound the strongest.

The large deviation in PBE + D3/TZVPPD//M06-2X/6-31G** from the values obtained applying the other methods can be explained by the observation that M06-2X/6-31G** has vastly different geometries compared to PBE + D3/TZVPPD.

For the (100) surface, the order is almost reversed, with NTO > DNAn > DNT > TNT, indicating that the surface is of vital importance when comparing adsorption energies of the NCCs.

Without D3 dispersion, the adsorption energies of DNT and NTO on the (001) surface are rather similar. We can also see that the inclusion of dispersion is still significant for the M06-2X method, as it increases the adsorption energies by 2–5 kcal mol⁻¹. More importantly, the effects of dispersion are various for different adsorbents, altering their order.

Overall, DNAn, DNT, TNT interact weaker with the (001) than with the (100) surface. For the (100) surface, the adsorption energies are between −25.5 and −19.4 kcal mol⁻¹ at the PBE + D3/TZVPPD/M06-2X/6-31G** level, and for the (001) surface between −20.4 and −11.8 kcal mol⁻¹. We attribute this to the lower amount of hydrogen bonds between the species and (001) surface of hydroxylated silica. The molecule with the lowest adsorption energy (NTO, −11.8 kcal mol⁻¹) is characterized by much larger adsorption energy when PBE + D3/TZVPPD level optimization is performed (−18.2 kcal mol⁻¹).

The pure adsorption energies presented in Table 3 correspond to a temperature of 0 K. Except for DNT, the probably most accurate PBE + D3/TZVPPD cluster values agree well with

| Method             | PBC               | Cluster approach |
|--------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| Adsorption complex | GPW (PBE + D2/TZVP) | M06-2X/6-31G** | M06-2X + D3/6-31G** | PBE + D3/6-31G** | PBE + D3/TZVPPD | PBE + D3/TZVPPD/M06-2X/6-31G** |
| Q(001) TNT(−)      | −17.8             | −13.2(−13.8)     | −17.5(−18.6)         | −17.5(−17.8)     | −19.8           | −17.0(−19.4)               |
| Q(001) DNT(−)      | −14.9             | −13.7(−11.3)     | −18.0(−15.6)         | −17.1(−16.1)     | −20.1           | −17.5(−20.3)               |
| Q(001) DNAn(−)     | −17.2             | −17.6(−13.7)     | −21.5(−18.2)         | −17.1(−16.3)     | −19.2           | −20.4(−24.4)               |
| Q(001) NTO(−)      | −15.2             | −14.1(−19.5)     | −16.6(−22.2)         | −16.6(−16.6)     | −18.2           | −11.8(−25.5)               |
the PBE + D2 numbers obtained with the mixed plane wave basis set.

The basis set, when going from a double-zeta quality (6-31G**) to a larger TZVPPD basis set, has a smaller, but still important impact, increasing interaction energy from 3.0 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) for DNT to 1.6 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) for the more diagonally bound NTO.

Concerning the functionals, the M06-2X + D3 numbers with the 6-31G** basis set are also comparable to PBE + D3, with the exception of Q(001)–DNAn, which shows the largest adsorption energy with this functional. The discrepancy may emerge from the results of Tables 2–4 of the previous paper,\(^6,6^7\) However, it overestimates the electrostatic interactions between a molecule and the surface,\(^6,6^7\) an effect which may lead to the larger in comparison. Still, it is rather difficult to discuss the accuracy of density functionals for extended system such as surfaces in which hydrogen bonds also play a major role. Like in our previous paper,\(^6,6^5\) long-range dispersion is important even for the M06-2X functional.

Furthermore, the PBE + D3/TZVPPD values have been recalculated at the M06-2X + D3/6-31G** geometries in order to evaluate the accuracy and difference of the various structures. Interestingly, the obtained values are similar to the M06-2X + D3 ones (with the notable exception that NTO is significantly less bound), which implies that the differences between M06-2X + D3 and PBE + D3 in the adsorption energies can be mainly attributed to the geometries. For both methods, especially the NTO adsorption complex exhibits a very different geometry.

When comparing to the adsorption energies of the (100) surface, the order and energy differences for PBE + D3/TZVPPD/ M06-2X + D3/6-31G** are rather similar, with the notable exception of NTO. For the (001) surface it orients only in a diagonal and not parallel position towards the surface, which results in the lower adsorption energy.

More accurate data can be obtained after taking the contribution from the entropic term into account, as done in the next section.

**Thermodynamics of adsorption**

We used the RHO approximation to calculate Gibbs free energies (Table 4) with PBE + D3/6-31G** at several environmentally relevant temperatures, namely 10 °C, 25 °C, 40 °C, and 60 °C. The calculated values indicate that the (001) plane is unable to adsorb NTO at larger temperatures due to the positive Gibbs free energies of adsorption. It is also predicted that this plane will not adsorb DNAn at temperatures larger than 40 °C and DNT at temperatures larger than 60 °C.

For the (100) α-quartz surface, only DNT adsorbs at lower temperatures than 25 °C, whereas TNT and NTO adsorb at lower temperatures than 10 °C. And while these numbers may be only accurate by ±50 °C because of the methods employed (PBE + D3 with a small basis set, anharmonic effects on zero-point energies and temperature contributions especially in the entropy), a general qualitative trend is observed. TNT is the strongest adsorbate on the (001) surface, followed by DNT on the (001) surface. Then, DNT has the strongest adsorption energy on the (100) surface, together with DNAn on (001). This has some obvious consequences for the isolation of the contaminants on α-quartz as for larger temperatures only TNT and DNT will prevail on the (001) surface.

Subsequently, the data of Table 4 are converted into partition coefficients in Table 5. According to the results, the (001) plane displays an effective adsorption ability regarding TNT, DNT and

---

**Table 4** Thermodynamic table for the adsorption complexes of TNT, DNT, DNAn, and NTO molecules on the both (001) and (100) faces of α-quartz: adsorption energy (ΔE_{ads}, kcal mol\(^{-1}\)), adsorption Gibbs free energy (ΔG_{ads}, kcal mol\(^{-1}\)), enthalpy of the reaction (ΔH_{ads}, kcal mol\(^{-1}\)) and entropy contribution (TΔS_{ads}, kcal mol\(^{-1}\) Kelvin) calculated at 10, 25, 40, and 60 °C within cluster approach: PBE + D3/6-31G** method (all values are BSSE-corrected).

|          | ΔE_{ads} | ΔH_{ads} (0 K) | ΔG_{ads} | ΔH_{ads} | TΔS_{ads} |
|----------|----------|----------------|----------|----------|-----------|
|          | Q(001)   | q(100)         | Q(001)   | q(100)   | Q(001)    | q(100)    | Q(001) | q(100) |
| TNT      | 17.5     | 17.8           | 17.1     | 17.4     | 17.1      | 17.0      | 13.9   | 16.8   |
|          | 25       |                | -3.1     | 0.2      | 19.7      | 14.7      | 19.7   | 14.7   |
| DNT      | 17.1     | 16.1           | 16.7     | 15.8     | 16.7      | 15.2      | 14.4   | 13.9   |
|          | 25       |                | -2.3     | 1.3      | 16.7      | 15.2      | 14.4   | 13.9   |
| DNAn     | 17.1     | 16.3           | 16.8     | 15.9     | 16.6      | 15.1      | 15.9   | 15.3   |
|          | 25       |                | -0.5     | 2.6      | 16.7      | 15.8      | 16.2   | 17.5   |
| NTO      | 16.6(≥)  | 16.6           | 15.7     | 16.1     | 16.6      | 16.2      | 17.6   | 17.6   |
|          | 25       |                | -0.3     | 0.5      | 16.1      | 16.2      | 16.6   | 16.7   |
|          | 40       |                | 1.4      | 1.4      | 16.2      | 16.2      | 18.7   | 18.7   |
|          | 60       |                | 2.5      | 2.5      | 16.2      | 16.2      | 18.7   | 18.7   |
DNAn. Only NTO is not adsorbed well at the (001) plane at any temperature. At higher temperatures, only TNT is adsorbed on the (001) plane.

Considering the (100) plane, none of the investigated molecules are well adsorbed at 60 °C, 40 °C and even at lower temperatures, only DNT has good adsorption capability at 10 °C (the partition coefficient is larger than ten).

Summarizing all four nitrocompounds on the two planes of α-quartz at different temperatures: TNT will be mainly adsorbed on (001) surface at any temperature, but will almost not be found on (100) face; DNT will be nearly equally adsorbed on (001) and (100) surfaces; DNAn will be only adsorbed on (001) face; and NTO is not adsorbed well on any of these surfaces.

As data in Table 5 are probably one of the most important outcomes of this study, we can deduce the adsorption of the investigated molecules TNT, DNT, DNAn, and NTO on the most important (001) and (100) α-quartz surfaces. This can provide useful hints related to separation of these species by adsorbing them on quartz surfaces.

|       | 10 °C     | 25 °C     | 40 °C     | 60 °C     |
|-------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
|       | q(001)    | q(100)    | q(001)    | q(100)    |
| TNT   | 3.16 × 10^2 | 1.49 × 10^0 | 8.61 × 10^1 | 2.73 × 10^{-1} | 1.950 × 10^2 | 5.13 × 10^{-2} | 3.05 × 10^0 | 6.66 × 10^{-3} |
| DNT   | 7.15 × 10^1 | 1.117 × 10^1 | 1.619 × 10^1 | 2.53 × 10^{-2} | 4.42 × 10^2 | 6.89 × 10^{-1} | 5.73 × 10^{-1} | 1.298 × 10^{-1} |
| DNAn  | 1.117 × 10^1 | 2.26 × 10^{-1} | 2.53 × 10^0 | 4.26 × 10^{-2} | 5.73 × 10^1 | 8.01 × 10^{-3} | 7.44 × 10^{-2} | 1.040 × 10^{-3} |
| NTO   | 1.745 × 10^0 | 1.745 × 10^0 | 3.95 × 10^{-1} | 3.95 × 10^{-1} | 7.43 × 10^{-2} | 7.43 × 10^{-2} | 9.65 × 10^{-3} | 9.65 × 10^{-3} |

### Conclusions

This work stresses the importance of investigating several quartz surfaces in order to computationally elucidate the adsorption of larger molecules on silica surfaces, as they yield quite different results.

A detailed geometry and energy analysis show a relatively small amount of hydrogen bonds between the investigated nitrocompounds and the (001) surface of α-quartz. The obtained results suggest that for the adsorption on (001) surface, the energy is dominated by the dispersion, while for the (100) surface direct hydrogen bonds play a more crucial role. This also implies that the (100) surface is changing its structure, whereas the (001) surface mainly remains intact when the nitrocompound is adsorbed.

Finally, the analysis of the adsorption thermodynamics (Gibbs free energies, partition coefficients) shed more light on the adsorption ability for (001) and (100) faces of hydroxylated α-quartz at different temperatures. It is concluded that the (001) crystallographic plane has higher adsorption affinity to the considered nitrocompounds than the (100) one.
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