Comparison of Shear Wave Elastography and Dynamometer Test in Muscle Tissue Characterization for Potential Medical and Sport Application
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Skeletal muscle status and its dynamic follow up are of particular importance in the management of several diseases where weight and muscle mass loss and, consequently, immobilization occurs, as in cancer and its treatment, as well as in neurodegenerative disorders. But immobilization is not the direct result of body and muscle mass loss, but rather the loss of the maximal tension capabilities of the skeletal muscle. Therefore, the development of a non-invasive and real-time method which can measure muscle tension capabilities in immobile patients is highly anticipated. Our aim was to introduce and evaluate a special ultrasound measurement technique to estimate a maximal muscle tension characteristic which can be used in medicine and also in sports diagnostics. Therefore, we determined the relationship between the results of shear wave elastography measurements and the dynamometric data of individuals. The measurements were concluded on the m. vastus lateralis. Twelve healthy elite athletes took part in our preliminary proof of principle study—five endurance (S) and seven strength (F) athletes showing unambiguously different muscle composition features, nine healthy subjects (H) without prior sports background, and four cancer patients in treatment for a stage 3 brain tumor (T). Results showed a high correlation between the maximal dynamometric isometric torque (Mmax) and mean elasticity value (E) for the non-athletes [(H + T), \( r = 0.795 \)] and for the athletes [(S + F), \( r = 0.79 \)]. For the athletes (S + F), the rate of tension development at contraction (RTDk) and \( E \) correlation was also determined \( (r = 0.84, p < 0.05) \). Our measurements showed significantly greater \( E \) values for the strength athletes with fast muscle fiber dominance than endurance athletes with slow muscle fiber

Abbreviations: ACL, Anterior cruciate ligament; BF(%), Body fat percentage; BH (cm), Body height; BM(%), Body muscle percentage; BMI, Body mass index; BW(Kg), Body weight; E (kPa), Mean elasticity values in kPa (kilopascal) unit, stiffness; DA, Anatomical cross-sectional diameter; DF, Physiological cross-sectional diameter; F, Fast muscle fiber dominance group, group of strength athletes; H, Group of healthy, non-athlete subjects; Mmax (Nm), Maximal isometric torque; M/weight (Nm/kg), Torque/weight; ROI, Region of interest; RTDk (Nm/s), Rate of tension development at contraction; RTDr (Nm/s), Rate of tension development at release; S, Slow muscle fiber dominance group, group of endurance athletes; SA, Statistical age; SWE, Shear wave elastography; T, Group of cancer patients; VF (%), Visceral fat percentage; US, Ultrasound.
The muscle status elastography and dynamometry

INTRODUCTION

Skeletal muscle status defines individual physical performance, which has great importance in healthy people and athletes, but also plays a significant role as well in terms of injuries, aging, and the acquisition of various diseases. Cancer affects patients’ muscle mass in several ways. Patients with a malignant disease frequently suffer from disease-related malnutrition and sarcopenia, brain tumors can cause locomotor damage, and some treatment modalities, such as surgery and radiotherapy, can influence the muscles directly or their innervation, leading to functional decline. Additionally, a loss of strength and muscle activity have a negative effect on treatment and clinical results, and also result in patient immobilization and hospitalization (1).

An objective, non-invasive method for the assessment of the skeletal muscle compartment in cancer patients and, based on these results, the execution of specialized rehabilitation, could have a positive impact on clinical outcomes (2). The monitoring of morphological, structural, and functional changes in skeletal muscles is fundamental in oncology, neurology, geriatrics, and many other disciplines.

Determining the properties of muscle tension at maximum contraction of the human skeletal muscle in professional sports and in the closely related area of rehabilitation is also an important task (3). Early recognition of the physical abilities of young athletes is also increasingly important for the selection of the appropriate sport for a given individual. One of the most important aspects of this process is the application of modern diagnostic methods, which play an important role not only in the early screening of talent, but also in the prevention and rehabilitation of various types of injuries that may be the result of poor sport selection (4). Muscle mass change is a common phenomenon due to intensive exercise, load, or recovery process of different kind of injuries. In such cases real-time physical parameters of the muscle, its hypertrophy capability, and its maximum exertion all provide feedback to experts on the methodology employed (5-7).

Muscle effort is most often measured indirectly by using dynamometric devices in angled joint position (such as Biodex Dynamometer System 4), but in these cases the torque applied by the muscle to a given joint or limb is being determined (8-13). With knowledge of the muscle’s leverage to the joint and the measured torque, the muscular effort can theoretically be calculated. Here the situation is more complex, because in most cases several muscles simultaneously create torque in a joint, so based on the measured torque, one must take into account that the determination of the effort of a given muscle comes with a high level of uncertainty. In some cases, however, a patient’s health status or the injury of the test subject does not even allow the use of a dynamometer, even though knowledge of the muscular effort would be of a great importance. In addition, for example, after the rupture of an anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) or meniscus, the dynamometric measurement of the quadriceps femoris with a bent knee creates such a load and shear force in the knee joint which could result in the reoccurrence of the injury (14-16). With that in mind, a new technology that can measure individual muscle tension can be beneficial both in the area of clinical patient treatment and in the field of professional sports rehabilitation.

Ultrasonography is an effective diagnostic modality for the musculoskeletal system due to its ability to perform real-time dynamic high-resolution examinations. In addition to being able to acquire morphologic data, we can now obtain biomechanical information by quantifying the elasticity of the musculoskeletal structures with ultrasound (US) elastography (17).

The basic principle of shear wave elastography is to create a shear wave through a stress by acoustic radiation force, to map the tissue distortion impulse in the tissue using sonography and to trace the wave back to the mechanical properties of the tissue by using special algorithms. Stiffness is displayed on a B-mode scan with an overlaid elastogram in color and the stiffness value is measured within a region of interest (ROI) on the elastogram (18).

Shear wave elastography (SWE) is considered to be the most suitable type of US elastography for the measurement of musculoskeletal system characteristics. Elastography provides the opportunity to further deepen our understanding of the interaction between muscle structure and muscle tension (19).

Therefore, the aim of our study was to investigate the possible applications of quantitative shear wave elastography in the determination of the mechanical properties, such as maximal tension, of the skeletal muscles.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects and Study Protocol

We conducted a preliminary investigation on January 17, 2019 with the participation of twelve top-level elite athletes (four females and eight males) with a statistical age (SA) of 22.6 ± 8.3. Five of them can be characterized as extreme endurance athletes with a high certainty of slow muscle fiber dominance (S), as this fiber composition was observed as a key element in their achieving top results in that sport (20), and seven can be characterized as strength athletes with a high certainty of fast muscle fiber dominance (F). The subjects were in good health and had no prior injuries in the muscles tested. Exclusion criteria included a known history of trauma regarding any affected
extremity, a known history of previous surgery and neurological
disease, and lower back pain. The reason we selected professional
athletes for our study was, firstly, that as this is a preliminary
study aimed at determining the existence of a correlation between
muscle tension and US elastography data; for practical reasons
and for the simplicity of the procedure, we did not want to use
patients at this stage but only healthy participants. Secondly, by
using top-level athletes, due to the nature of their sports,
predicting their fiber composition characteristics was relatively
easy. After our initial investigation, to widen the range of the
investigated samples, we conducted a second data measurement
with thirteen non-athletes with a SA of 35.01 ± 8.09, more
specifically, nine healthy individuals without a prior sports
background (H) and four cancer patients in treatment with
glioblastoma multiforme III–IV (T). (Figure 1). As COVID
restrictions were active in the hospital where we executed the
data collection, the number of patients we could include in the
study was limited.

According to the test protocol, we investigated the dominant
lower extremity of each individual by simultaneously using US
elastography (GE Logiq E9 US system with 9 MHz linear probe–
General Electric, GE Healthcare) and a computer-controlled
dynamometer (Multicont II Tihanyi System 2003 Hungary).
Before all other measurements, we used a body composition
monitor with scale (Omrón Healthcare Co. Ltd, BF500: 200712–
01491F) to obtain the following basic body composition data:
body height (BH); body weight (BW); body mass index (BMI);
body fat percentage (BF); visceral fat percentage (VF); and body
muscle percentage (BM).

We saved the results electronically with the help of the
equipment used in the study and exported the data for further
statistical analysis.

The trial was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of
Helsinki (as revised in 2013). The study was approved by the
Regional and Institutional Human Biomedical Research Ethics
Committee of the University Szeged (NO.: 4449) and informed
consent was obtained from all individual participants.

**Dynamometry**

After a 10-min warm-up on a stationary bike and 5 min of light
gymnastic exercises the subjects underwent a dynamic
examination of the dominant knee extensor muscles. For the
knee extensor muscles, the starting position was 90° flexion and
20° flexion in the end position. We examined the magnitude of
effort with an angular velocity of 20°/s, under near isometric
conditions, and we determined the angular position of maximum
effort. After a rest period of 10 min, the muscle was examined
under the given angular position under isometric conditions.
Subjects had three attempts with small, medium, and maximum
effort. Maximum effort was required to be reached in 3 s.
Maximal isometric torque (Mmax) was determined for all
participants, and for the athletes the rate of tension
development both in contraction (RTDk) and release (RTDr)
was measured (21–24). We did not measure RTD values for the
non-athlete (H + T) groups because it is necessary to have prior
experience concerning the data collection protocol on a
dynamometer while executing rapid maximal isometric
contraction to minimize the risk of injury. Between the tests,
5 min of breaks were inserted to prevent fatigue on the part of the
subjects from affecting the measurements.

**Imaging**

All imaging modalities were performed by an experienced
radiologist specialist to avoid interobserver variability. Image
acquisition was performed in a standardized patient position
in relaxed and in maximal isometric contraction phase of the
quadriceps femoris vastus lateralis muscle at the pre-determined
angular position. To standardize the ultrasound measurements of
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**FIGURE 1** | Flow diagram of research.
cross-sectional diameters, three standardized points were defined: the one-third, one-half, and two-thirds points of the length of vastus lateralis (Figure 2).

For B-mode images we determined the anatomical (dA) and physiological (dF) cross-sectional diameter of the target muscle (quadriceps vastus lateralis) at each point at rest and in the maximal isometric contraction phase. Target muscle thickness measurements were performed in B-mode scan at the three standardized points mentioned above (Figure 2). The anatomical and physiological diameter values were given in mm. To avoid compression errors, the US probe was placed above a given site without any pressure and with the use of a thick layer of US gel between the probe and the skin.

The same US equipment with a L9 9 MHz probe was used to quantify tissue stiffness by measuring the speed of shear waves in m. quadriceps femoris—vastus lateralis. The dA, dF variables were also measured with the same US probe. As before, compression artefacts were avoided with the use of a thick layer of US gel between the probe and the skin. The target muscle of the athlete was visualized through the use of US. Elasticity boxes were established in the center of the field of view. Shear wave elastography images were saved after a few seconds of immobilization.

For measurement, circular region of interest (ROI) was generated inside of the elasticity box, and the stiffness was calculated in kPa. Elastography measurements were repeated at twelve different points in the vastus lateralis. In order to reduce the variability of the repeated measurements, an inter quartile range-based outlier detection was performed in each case (14,25).

**Statistical Methods**

The basic data was characterized by means and standard deviations. To determine normality, because of the limited sample size and for the purpose of selecting the adequate statistical procedure, Shapiro Wilk’s W test was applied. Differences between the groups were compared with an independent t-test when the data was normally distributed, and if this was not the case, a Mann–Whitney U test was applied. If more than two samples were compared, to minimize the possibility of Type 1 error, we have calculated one way ANOVA and subsequently Tukey HSD post hoc test for unequal sample sizes. A Pearson’s correlation analysis was performed. Data analysis was performed on Statistica 12 program (Statsoft Statistica, TIBCO Software Inc., United States); the significance level was determined to be $p < 0.05$.

**RESULTS**

**Comparison of Samples**

The comparison of athletes and non-athletes regarding the body composition data resulted in significantly larger values for the non-athletes in BF% (43.2%, $p < 0.05$) and significantly larger values for the athletes in BM% (20.6%, $p < 0.05$). In the other body composition variables there was no significant difference between the athletes and non-athletes. For the body composition parameters there was no difference between the H and T groups.

When we compared the F and S samples for the body composition data we have found significant differences in the following variables: in BH F was 13% greater than S ($p < 0.05$); in BW F was 66% greater than S ($p < 0.05$); in BMI F was 27% greater than S ($p < 0.05$). For the following body composition variables, there was no significant difference: BF, VF, BM (Table 1).

The ultrasound data for the athletes and non-athletes showed significantly greater values for the athletes in isometric max and also when the muscle was in a resting state: dA[mm]I, dA[mm]II, dF[mm]I, dF[mm]II ($p < 0.05$). For the following ultrasound variables, there was no significant difference: BF, VF, BM (Table 1).

The statistical calculations for $E$ (kPa) for the athletes and non-athletes resulted significantly greater values (31.1%, $p < 0.05$) when isometric max was applied for athletes than for non-athletes. In the resting state there was no significant difference between athletes and non-athletes in terms of $E$ (kPa) values.

The H and T sample comparison indicated significantly greater $E$ (kPa) variable for H than for T (37.9%, $p < 0.05$). For the other ultrasound variables there was no significant difference between the H and T samples.

When we evaluated the ultrasound data for the F and S samples, when isometric max was applied showed, significant
The comparison of the F and S samples for the dynamometric data resulted in significant differences in the following variables: in the quadriceps isometric Mmax, F was 71% greater than S; in RTDk F was 137% greater than S (p < 0.05). In the following dynamometric variables there was no significant difference: RTDr; torque/weight (M/weight) (Table 4).

**Correlation Between Variables**

To execute the correlational calculations, a correlation matrix was constructed, including all the data for the sample. For this paragraph only the correlations which were important for the purpose of the study were highlighted.

**Correlations Between Body Composition and Dynamometric Variables**

From the measured data correlation could be found between the following variables for the non-athlete (H + T) sample (p < 0.05): BH-Mmax (r = 0.64); BW-Mmax (r = 0.62); BM%-Mmax (r = 0.68). The calculations indicated a correlation between the following variables for the athlete (F + S) sample (p < 0.05): BH-Mmax (r = 0.77); H-RTDk (r = 0.77); BW-Mmax (r = 0.76); BF% - RTDk (r = 0.83); BF%-Mmax/kg (r = −0.83).

### TABLE 1 | Body composition data (mean ± SD) for the samples.

| Sample | BH (cm)* | BW (kg)* | BF (%) | VF (%) | BM % | BMI* |
|--------|----------|----------|--------|--------|------|------|
| F      | 188.7 ± 6.2 | 90.3 ± 16.4 | 17.6 ± 6.8 | 6.5 ± 3.7 | 40.4 ± 4.2 | 25.3 ± 4.1 |
| S      | 166.2 ± 6.9 | 54.6 ± 12 | 19.1 ± 5.2 | 4 ± 2.8 | 36.1 ± 4.5 | 19.7 ± 2.5 |
| H      | 174.5 ± 11.6 | 70 ± 15.9 | 25.3 ± 5.5 | 5.4 ± 2.6 | 33.7 ± 4.9 | 22.7 ± 2.5 |
| T      | 173.5 ± 10.8 | 69.0 ± 16.9 | 27.6 ± 5.0 | 5.3 ± 2.6 | 30.9 ± 3.9 | 22.5 ± 3.2 |

*Indicates significant difference between F and S sample; + indicates significant difference between athlete (F + S) and non-athlete (H + T), p < 0.05.

F, fast muscle fiber dominance athlete group; S, slow muscle fiber dominance athlete group; H, healthy non-athlete group; T, group of cancer patients.

**TABLE 2 | Ultrasound data in isometric max contraction of the muscle for the samples.**

| Subjects | E (kPa) I. | dA (mm) I. | dF (mm) I. | dA (mm) II. | dF (mm) II. | dA (mm) III. | dF (mm) III. |
|----------|------------|------------|------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|
| F        | 44.4 ± 6.2 | 27.2 ± 0.7 | 29.7 ± 4.0 | 27.3 ± 0.8 | 29.3 ± 0.8 | 19.5 ± 0.6 | 20.5 ± 0.7 |
| S        | 28.2 ± 3.5 | 17.0 ± 0.7 | 18.3 ± 4.4 | 18.9 ± 0.4 | 21.2 ± 0.4 | 18.3 ± 0.2 | 21.9 ± 0.4 |
| H        | 28.6 ± 25.8 | 16 ± 15.7 | 18.8 ± 2.3 | 21.3 ± 4.2 | 14.9 ± 1.9 | 19.4 ± 3.0 | 19.4 ± 3.0 |
| T        | 20.7 ± 24.8 | 15.3 ± 15.7 | 18.1 ± 18.5 | 18.6 ± 19.5 | 14.9 ± 15.0 | 20.0 ± 19.2 | 20.0 ± 19.2 |

*Indicates significant difference between F and S sample; + indicates significant difference between athlete (F + S) and non-athlete (H + T), p < 0.05.

F, fast muscle fiber dominance athlete group; S, slow muscle fiber dominance athlete group; H, healthy non-athlete group; T, group of cancer patients.

**TABLE 3 | Ultrasound data during resting phase of the muscle for the samples.**

| Subjects | E (kPa) I. | dA (mm) I. | dF (mm) I. | dA (mm) II. | dF (mm) II. | dA (mm) III. | dF (mm) III. |
|----------|------------|------------|------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|
| F        | 21.3 ± 3.5 | 25.6 ± 0.7 | 27.1 ± 4.1 | 26.7 ± 0.6 | 28.6 ± 0.7 | 19.6 ± 0.7 | 20.5 ± 0.7 |
| S        | 17.8 ± 1.8 | 20.2 ± 0.5 | 22 ± 0.5 | 20.2 ± 0.3 | 21.7 ± 0.3 | 19.0 ± 0.3 | 20.7 ± 0.3 |
| H        | 17.3 ± 4.6 | 19.8 ± 4.3 | 21.4 ± 4.5 | 20.3 ± 4.4 | 23 ± 4.3 | 16.6 ± 3.2 | 18.8 ± 3.8 |
| T        | 17.3 ± 1.9 | 18.7 ± 5.7 | 20 ± 5.6 | 17.4 ± 1.9 | 20.0 ± 3.3 | 16 ± 2.8 | 17.5 ± 3.1 |

*Indicates significant difference between F and S sample; + indicates significant difference between athlete (F + S) and non-athlete (H + T), p < 0.05.

F, fast muscle fiber dominance athlete group; S, slow muscle fiber dominance athlete group; H, healthy non-athlete group; T, group of cancer patients.

**TABLE 4 | Dynamometric data (mean ± SD) for the F and S athletes’ samples.**

| Sample | Mmax (Nm)* | RTDk (Nm/s)* | RTDr (Nm/s) |
|--------|------------|--------------|-------------|
| F      | 325.8 ± 37.5 | 8.2 ± 1.3 | 1.6 ± 0.5 |
| S      | 190.1 ± 28.8 | 5.9 ± 0.2 | 1.16 ± 0.1 |

*Significant difference between F and S sample (p<0.05).

F, fast muscle fiber dominance athlete group; S, slow muscle fiber dominance athlete group.

Mmax, maximal isometric torque; RTDk, rate of tension development at contraction; RTDr, rate of tension development at release.

Differences were found in the following variable: in E (kPa) F was 57% greater than S (p < 0.05). For the following ultrasound variables, there was no significant differences in terms of the isometric max contraction: dA, dF. The comparison of the ultrasound data for the F and S samples when the muscle was in a resting state indicated no significant differences in the E, dA, dF variables (Tables 2 and 3).

When we compared the data for the athletes and non-athletes in terms of the dynamometric data indicated significantly greater values for the athletes in Mmax (112.2% p < 0.05). RTD was not measured for the non-athlete sample for safety reasons. The comparison of H and T samples resulted in significantly greater Mmax values for H than for T (136%, p < 0.05).
Correlations Between Body Composition and Ultrasound Variables

The calculations indicated a correlation between the following variables for isometric max contraction ultrasound data and body composition data for the athlete (F + S) sample ($p < 0.05$): BW-dAI. ($r = 0.89$); BW-dFI. ($r = 0.87$); BW-dAII. ($r = 0.72$); BMI-dAI. ($r = 0.93$); BMI-dFI. ($r = 0.92$); BMI-dAII. ($r = 0.78$); BMI-dFII. ($r = 0.8$). There was a significant correlation between BH-E ($r = 0.76$) and BW-E ($r = 0.77$) (Figures 3 and 4).

After calculating the correlation between the following variables for isometric max contraction ultrasound data and body composition data for the non-athlete (H + T) sample ($p < 0.05$) we have determined the following $r$ value: BH-dFIII. ($r = 0.64$); BH-E ($r = 0.58$) (Figures 3 and 4).

Correlation was found between the following variables for resting muscle state and ultrasound data for the athlete (F + S) sample ($p < 0.05$): BF-dFII. ($r = 0.67$); BF-dAIII. ($r = 0.76$); BF-dFIII. ($r = 0.74$); VF-dAII. ($r = 0.71$); VF-dFII. ($r = 0.68$); VF-dAIII. ($r = 0.73$); VF-dFIII. ($r = 0.68$).

The calculations indicated a correlation between the following variables for resting muscle state and ultrasound data for the non-athlete (H + T) sample ($p < 0.05$): BH-E (kPa) ($r = 0.64$).

Correlations Between Ultrasound and Dynamometric Variables

As in Figure 5A, the calculations indicated a correlation between the following variables for isometric max contraction and ultrasound data for the athlete (F + S) sample ($r = 0.79, p < 0.05$).

The difference between the athlete participants with explosive muscle fiber dominance and endurance athletes in terms of $E$ (kPa) was significant ($p < 0.05$).

The correlation calculation between the following variables for isometric max contraction and ultrasound data for the non-athlete (H + T) sample resulted in significant relation ($r = 0.816, p < 0.05$) (Figure 5B).

The strongest correlation characterizes the variables for RTDk and ultrasound data ($r = 0.84, p < 0.05$) presented in Figure 6.
DISCUSSION

As the determination of the dynamic parameters of a human muscle can be extremely beneficial in the treatment and rehabilitation of subjects suffering from an illness which has a direct or indirect effect on individual muscle characteristics as well as in the training of elite athletes, our aim was to examine the possibilities of ultrasound detection in muscle dynamic characteristics measurements (26). The correlations between BH-MMmax and BW-MMmax for both the athletes and non-athletes was in accordance with previous studies (27), as for the athletes of similar body composition with greater body mass, the results showed greater muscle mass, which consequently resulted in greater MMmax. The investigation of the ultrasound data indicated a correlation between E (kPa) and MMmax, for both the athletes and non-athletes; for the non-athletes we calculated an even greater r value. For the athletes we measured a correlation between E and RTDK when maximal isometric contraction was applied. From this result we can conclude that if maximal isometric contraction can be achieved, then the data for E that can be measured through the application of ultrasound can be used to gather information about the dynamic properties of the human muscle. This technique can be beneficial when the measurement of muscle tension is not possible with the use of a dynamometer as a result of a joint injury (e.g., ACL injury), when a dynamometer is not available, and in cases where the knee cannot be bent; therefore, using a dynamometer is impossible, or data is needed about the contraction characteristics of one individual muscle, for example, in the monitoring of degradation in muscle tension due to cancer or treatment. We must also stress that as we investigated body composition, E (kPa), and MMmax values and looked for correlations between variables, we concluded that in our study the best indicator of the maximal isometric force capacity of the muscle was the similar ultrasound E (kPa) values for athletes and non-athletes. It is worth mentioning that in the case of tumors, muscle volume loss...
is a direct consequence of the disease and treatment; in older age muscle mass loss, sarcopenia is a natural process whereas after a physical injury, muscle mass and loss occur as a result of immobilization (28–30). In addition to this, the study of muscle dystrophies (Dystrophia myotonica I-II, Facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy, Limb-girdle muscular dystrophy, Duchenne/Becker, Mitochondrials, Pompe) might be another area of application for our method as hereditary muscle disorder, for example, the histology and histopathology features of dystrophia myotonica (I.II.), also known as Steinert’s disease (Myotonic dystrophy type 1 and type 2), are very similar and exhibit complex muscle structure abnormalities (31–40). The procedure can also be used with individuals suffering from paraplegia, where maximal muscle tension can be evoked with external electrical stimulus.

Focusing on the results of cancer patients, the results indicate significantly smaller Mmax values for these patients (T) than for the healthy non-athletes (H), although in terms of body composition parameters, there was no difference between the healthy and patient groups. This result indicates that the force-generation properties are significantly lower for these cancer patients. Presumably, with a decrease in muscle force, immobilization and hospitalization will follow. Therefore, to preserve a cancer patient’s mobility it is highly beneficial for the individual as well as the healthcare system, as our results demonstrate, that this technology can support this goal.

As the muscle maximal isometric force is highly dependent upon muscle fiber type, we investigated two groups, S and F. We only investigated these two groups in this stage of the study because concerning S and F samples the specified sports background allows us to approximate muscle type dominance for the two groups. Our results indicated that for the F sample significantly greater results could be seen in terms of height, BW, and BMI, and, consequently, Mmax. This result is in accordance with the conclusions of previous studies (41). The significant difference in RTDk (explosive force) for the S and F samples thus indicates a difference in muscle fiber type dominance for the samples and, therefore, validates our differentiation between the samples. When the ultrasound data was compared for the F and S samples, a significant difference was found regarding E when the muscle performed maximal isometric voluntary contractions. Based on our results concerning the differences in E values for the explosive and endurance athletes, we can conclude that the method of using shear wave ultrasound technology can be useful in the determination of muscle fiber dominance. As muscle biopsy is not an ethically approved method, especially for

**FIGURE 7** Differences in mean elasticity values between relaxation (A) and contraction (B) phase in shear wave examination of right leg’s m. quadriceps femoris—vastus lateralis (E, mean elasticity value; d, depth).
children, ultrasound technology can be used to determine the appropriate sport for young individual athletes (Figure 7).

The sensitivity of ultrasound results in terms of muscle fiber ratio can also be beneficial concerning the rehabilitation of cancer patients. According to recent studies, in the case of cancer patients with a decrease in muscle mass, a simultaneous shift into fast-twitch muscle fibers from slow-twitch fibers occurs; with ultrasound elastography this phenomenon can be tracked, and based on the measured data, the rehabilitation protocol of the individual patient can be altered accordingly (30).

It should be stressed that this is only a preliminary study, through which we would like to highlight the possibilities of ultrasound elastography measurement in the determination of muscle contraction properties and, at the same time, the fact that many limitations occur concerning the procedure. We are aware that the sample size is limited, especially concerning tumor patients, but with the current state of coronavirus lockdown restrictions we were fortunate that we were able to integrate these patients into the study. We also know that the determination of S and F samples can be seen as speculative, but as the execution of muscle biopsies are not acceptable for ethical reasons, we were only able to rely on indirect data concerning the muscle type ratio previously determined for top-level athletes in specific sports fields.

Our aim was to investigate the possible applications of quantitative shear wave elastography in the determination of mechanical properties, such as maximal tension of the skeletal muscles. We can conclude that if a maximal voluntary contraction can be performed and, simultaneously, E in the individual muscle can be measured through the use of ultrasound, this would be a useful tool in estimating the tension that can be generated by the individual muscle at maximal isometric contraction. This non-invasive, non-toxic measurement could be frequently repeated, providing important information on muscle status for the definition and evaluation of muscle tension degradation in cancer treatment and rehabilitation, the determination of sarcopenia, and, also, to determine the training load of an elite athlete and even for the future’s athletes at youth age by the time of choosing a sport.

The physical exercise and skeletal muscle status have paramount importance in prevention, in treatment support and in rehabilitation of a large number of different diseases including musculoskeletal and neurodegenerative disorders, critically ill patients with different chronic metabolic diseases and various types of cancer. Therefore, the definition of appropriate, personalized training, objective follow up on its effectivity using non-invasive method is of high interest for different medical conditions. The relatively simple SWE measurement could be applied to evaluate the skeletal muscle status, to monitor the contractile property changes over time (18,42) during the development, treatment and rehabilitation of these diseases. High shear modulus is associated with muscle stiffness in cerebral palsy or in late Duchenne’s myopathy and Parkinson’s disease (43,44), while low shear modulus is associated with atrophy in a GNE chronic myopathy (45). Moreover, shear modulus is associated with muscle fibrosis after radiotherapy. SWE could be performed easily at the bed side of critically ill population at high risk of muscle edema (46). In the recent years special interest was focused on physical training for cancer patients at the different stage of the malignant disease development. A clear evidence supports an association between regular physical activity (PA) and decreased risk for cancer and cancer mortality (47). After diagnosis of a malignant disease the physical exercise contributes to improved health and functional outcomes (48,49). Qualitative and quantitative skeletal muscle assessment demonstrated independent associations with fitness and clinical outcomes among cancer survivors (50,51). For example, physical prehabilitation programs could prepare patients for surgery by improving their functional status with the aim of reducing postoperative complications (52,53). The number of cancer survivors with less complications is continuously increasing due to improved screening, diagnoses, imaging, endoscopic-, histopathologic and molecular examination methods, and interdisciplinary defined treatments using advanced technology in local therapeutic modalities and effective novel approaches such as molecular targeted systemic therapy and immunotherapy and their combination. Cancer and sport medicine research proved the benefits of regular physical activity including aerobic, resistance training and muscle stretching (54–57). SWE is an important tool of quantitative monitoring of muscle status in the growing scientific interest on exercise intervention integrated in the cancer management.

The definition of fiber type could be important information in defining the appropriate individual training program or physiotherapy for disabled patients. Physical exercises —particularly those that incorporate resistance training—are effective in reversing, preventing, or mitigating skeletal muscle loss. The personalized training programs adapted to the patients’ muscle constitution may lead to better compliance and higher probability to improve physical functioning and body composition and to maintain or increases skeletal muscle mass and strength.

Predicting the fiber ratio in case of healthy young age groups is a forward-looking method in choosing the right sport because the nature of the sport presupposes the existence of different basic abilities.

In our study the sample size was restricted due to the complexity of the data collection and current coronavirus restrictions; we think that future investigations with greater sample sizes and different sample properties, for example, including a higher number of patients in the sample, could deepen our knowledge and, consequently, widen the possibilities for the usage of ultrasound techniques, both in the medical field and in the sport sciences.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.
ETHICS STATEMENT

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Regional and Institutional Human Biomedical Research Ethics Committee of University Szeged. Written informed consent to participate in this study was provided by the participants’ legal guardian/next of kin.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

PS, BK contributed to conception and design of the study. ÁH investigated the related bibliography. PS, LP designed the experiments. PS, AH conducted and administered the experiments. ZB carried out the US experiments, LR carried out the dynamometer measurement protocol and verified the analytical methods. BK performed the data analyses. PS drafted and wrote the manuscript. BK finished the first draft. BK, KH, ZB, LP contributed to the discussion. KH, LR, LP examined and revised manuscript. BK supervised the project. BK and ZB contributed equally to this work.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Valente KP, Almeida BL, Lazzarini TR, Souza VFd., Ribeiro Td. SC, Guedes de Moraes RA, et al. Association of Adductor Pollicis Muscle Thickness and Handgrip Strength with Nutritional Status in Cancer Patients. PLoS One (2019) 14:e0220334. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0220334
2. Barata AT, Santos C, Cravo M, Vinhas Md. C, Morais C, Carolino E, et al. Handgrip Dynamometry and Patient-Generated Subjective Global Assessment in Patients with Nonresectable Lung Cancer. Nutr Cancer (2017) 69:1154–8. doi:10.1080/01635581.2017.1250923
3. Bishop DJ, and Girard O. Determinants of Team-Sport Performance: Implications for Altitude Training by Team-Sport Athletes. Br J Sports Med (2013) 47(1):i7–i21. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2013-092950
4. Abbott A, Button C, Pepping GJ, and Collins D. Unnatural Selection: Talent and Fibre Composition in Human Vastus Lateralis. Exerc Sport Sci Rev (2015) 43(3):125–33. doi:10.1249/JES.0000000000000494
15. Yoshitake Y, Takai Y, Kanehisa H, and Shinohara M. Muscle Shear Modulus Measured with Ultrasound Shear-Wave Elastography across a Wide Range of Contraction Intensity. Muscle Nerve (2014) 50:103–13. doi:10.1002/mus.24104
16. Roberts D, Ageberg E, Andersson G, and Fride T. Clinical Measurements of Proprioception, Muscle Strength and Laxity in Relation to Function in the ACL-Injured Knee. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc (2007) 15:19–6. doi:10.1007/s00167-006-0128-4
17. Taljanovic MS, Ginder LH, Becker GW, Latt LD, Krausser AS, Melville DM, et al. Shear-Wave Elastography: Basic Physics and Musculoskeletal Applications. Radiographics (2017) 37(3):855–70. doi:10.1148/rg.2017160116
18. Creze M, Nordez A, Soubyervoir M, Rocher L, Maitre X, and Bellin M-F. Shear Wave SonoeLASTOGRAPHY of Skeletal Muscle: Basic Principles, Biomechanical Concepts, Clinical Applications, and Future Perspectives. Skeletal Radiol (2018) 47(4):457–71. doi:10.1007/s00256-017-2843-y
19. Brandenburg JE, Eby SE, Song P, Zhao H, Braudt JS, Chen S, et al. Ultrasound Elastography: The New Frontier in Direct Measurement of Muscle Stiffness. Arch Phys Med Rehabil (2014) 95(11):2207–19. doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2014.07.007
20. Andresen JL, Schjerling P, and Saltin B. Muscles, Genes and Athletic Performance. Sci Am (2000) 283(8):48–55. doi:10.1038/scientificamerican0900-48
21. Methenitis S, Spengos K, Zaras N, Stasinaki A-N, Papadimas G, Karampatsos G, et al. Fiber Type Composition and Rate of Force Development in Endurance- and Resistance-Trained Individuals. J Strength Cond Res (2019) 33(9):2388–97. doi:10.1519/JSC.0000000000002150
22. Methenitis S, Terzis G, Zaras N, Stasinaki A-N, and Karandreas N. Intramuscular Fiber Conduction Velocity, Isometric Force and Explosive Performance. J Hum Kinet (2016) 51:93–101. doi:10.1515/hukin-2015-0174
23. Methenitis S, Karandreas N, Spengos K, Zaras N, Stasinaki A-N, and Terzis G. Muscle Fiber Conduction Velocity, Muscle Fiber Composition, and Power Performance. Med Sci Sports Exerc (2016) 48(9):1761–71. doi:10.1249/MSS.0000000000000954
24. Sadoyama T, Masuda T, Miyata H, and Katsuya S. Fibre Conduction Velocity and Fibre Composition in Human Vastus Lateralis. Eur J Appl Physiol (1998) 76(6):767–71. doi:10.1007/BF01076001
25. Taljanovic MS, Ginder LH, Becker GW, Latt LD, Krausser AS, Melville DM, et al. Shear-wave Elastography: Basic Physics and Musculoskeletal Applications. Radiographics (2017) 37(3):855–70. doi:10.1148/rg.2017160116
26. Newman AB, Haggerty CL, Goodpaster B, Harris T, Kritchevsky S, Nevitt M, et al. Strength and Muscle Quality in a Well-Functioning Cohort of Older Adults: the Health, Aging and Body Composition Study. J Am Geriatr Soc (2019) 51(3):323–30. doi:10.1161/j.amer.ed.2019.01.007
27. Hasan NAKAK, Kamal HM, and Hussein ZA. Relation between Body Mass Index Percentile and Muscle Strength and Endurance. Egypt J Med Hum Genet (2016) 17(4):367–72. doi:10.1016/j.ejmhg.2016.01.002
28. Jackson W, Alexander N, Schipper M, Fig L, Feng F, and Jolly S. Characterization of Changes in Total Body Composition for Patients with

Pathology & Oncology Research 10 June 2021 | Volume 27 | Article 1609798
