The Mechanism of Action of Biguanides: New Answers to a Complex Question
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Simple Summary: In the last two decades, the antidiabetic drugs, biguanides, have received considerable interest owing to their presumed antitumor properties. A critical issue that has been at the center of many studies is how they act at the molecular level. Most works propose that biguanides inhibit mitochondrial complex I, which causes ATP depletion and activation of compensatory responses, responsible for the therapeutic properties. However, complex I can only be inhibited with concentrations of biguanides that cannot be tolerated by animals and patients, suggesting that alternative targets and intracellular perturbations are involved. Here, we will discuss the current knowledge of the mechanisms of action of biguanides, when used under clinically relevant conditions. The ongoing clinical trials in cancer and the proper conditions of usage will also be addressed. Understanding the mode of action of these drugs represents critical information for further investigation and usage in cancer models.

Abstract: Biguanides are a family of antidiabetic drugs with documented anticancer properties in preclinical and clinical settings. Despite intensive investigation, how they exert their therapeutic effects is still debated. Many studies support the hypothesis that biguanides inhibit mitochondrial complex I, inducing energy stress and activating compensatory responses mediated by energy sensors. However, a major concern related to this “complex” model is that the therapeutic concentrations of biguanides found in the blood and tissues are much lower than the doses required to inhibit complex I, suggesting the involvement of additional mechanisms. This comprehensive review illustrates the current knowledge of pharmacokinetics, receptors, sensors, intracellular alterations, and the mechanism of action of biguanides in diabetes and cancer. The conditions of usage and variables affecting the response to these drugs, the effect on the immune system and microbiota, as well as the results from the most relevant clinical trials in cancer are also discussed.
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1. Introduction

The history of biguanides began in the 19th century when it was found that the blood-glucose-lowering properties of the herb Galega officinalis (French lilac), used since the medieval age to treat polyuria and other diseases, were due to galegine, a derivative of guanidine contained in the plant seeds and flowers. The identification of galegine led to the synthesis of various biguanides (synthelin A and B, biguanide, metformin, phenformin, and buformin) in the early 20th century that were tested as antidiabetic agents but shortly discontinued due to toxicity issues or presumed low potency.

Starting from the 1980s, further studies led to the re-evaluation of the use of metformin in type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), providing strong evidence for its effectiveness and safety [1] and leading to FDA approval in 1994. Since then, metformin has progressively
gained ground, to become the most widely prescribed oral antidiabetic drug and first-line therapy for the treatment of T2D in the last two decades.

The broad utilization of metformin has also allowed epidemiological observations reporting a significant reduction of the risk of cancer in diabetic patients treated with this drug [2–4], which has prompted a significant effort aimed at establishing the therapeutic efficacy of metformin against cancer in cells culture, animal models, and patients.

Phenformin and buformin were prescribed for the treatment of T2D starting from the 1950s but were withdrawn from the market in the 1970s because of the higher risk of cardiac mortality and lactic acidosis [5,6]. Following the increased interest in the anticancer properties of metformin, these drugs (particularly phenformin) have been re-considered for cancer treatment, showing significant antitumor effects, often stronger than metformin, in numerous preclinical studies, likely related to their higher cell permeability.

A substantial amount of effort has been devoted to understanding how biguanides act at the molecular level, an issue that has not yielded unique conclusions but rather has been quite controversial.

According to a widely accepted interpretation, the primary target of biguanides underlying both their antidiabetic and anticancer effects, is mitochondrial complex I of the respiratory transport chain. All biguanides display an inhibitory effect on complex I and inhibit the rate of oxygen consumption, thereby causing energy stress, increase in AMP/ATP ratio, and activation of AMP Kinase (AMPK), which is believed to be a master mediator of the therapeutic effects of these drugs, through phosphorylation-mediated regulation of key targets such as hepatic CRTCs in diabetes and mTOR in tumors.

However, while this model is generally accepted and used to support many experimental findings, it has also raised concerns that have led to alternative interpretations.

A primary reason for this lack of consensus is the inconsistencies in the drug concentrations and conditions used in the experimental settings.

Indeed, most of the reported mechanisms of action of biguanides have been demonstrated in cell culture using doses of the drugs and culturing conditions that are different from those found in patients or animal tissues. Even if many studies have shown that these parameters have a profound influence on the drug response, these pieces of information have been often poorly considered when addressing the mechanism of action of these drugs.

In the first part of this article, we review the available information about the pharmacological properties of biguanides, describing the structure, the dosage administered in patients and animal models, the concentrations reached in the circulation and tissues over time, the cellular transporters, and how these drugs travel across the cells. In the second section, we illustrate what is known about the mechanism of action of these drugs as glucose-lowering agents, in terms of target tissues and target molecules. In the third part of this work, we describe the current knowledge on the mechanism of action of biguanides in cancer, the variables affecting the cellular responses, and the available data arising from clinical trials.

2. Pharmacological Properties

Biguanides are a class of compounds in which two guanidine groups are bound by a common nitrogen atom. They all share the feature of being both polar and hydrophilic molecules, highly soluble in aqueous media because of two imino and three amino groups in tautomerism. However, they also differ in some chemical peculiarities, responsible for the pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic properties in each of them.

2.1. Metformin: Uptake, Therapeutic Concentration, Excretion

Metformin (3-(diaminomethylidene)-1,1-dimethylguanidine) carries two methyl substituents in position 1 and is synthesized from 2-cyanoguanidine and dimethylammonium-chloride [7]. The first evidence of the hypoglycemic activity of metformin in animal models was from Slotta and Tschesche in 1929 [8], and its clinical use was first reported by Sterne.
in 1957 [9]. In type 2 diabetic patients, metformin is administered orally as immediate or extended-release tablets. The immediate release is generally taken 2–3 times a day, while the extended release is administered once daily.

The daily dose ranges from 500 to 2550 mg. Metformin is rapidly dissolved in the gastrointestinal tract [10] but, due to its hydrophilic nature, the absorption cannot occur passively through the plasma membrane but requires active transport. Multiple organic cation transporters are involved in the uptake of metformin, and many of them are important in its pharmacological action, as mediators of metformin entry into target tissues. Metformin is a substrate of various organic cation transporters (OCT), including OCT1 (SLC22A1), OCT2 (SLC22A2), OCT3 (SLC22A3), MATE1 (SLC47A1), MATE2 (SLC47A2), PMAT (SLC29A4), and OCTN1 (SLC22A4) [11–16]. Several transporters have been implicated in metformin intestinal absorption. PMAT is primarily located on the apical membrane of polarized epithelial cells [17], while transporters in the SLC22 family are expressed in the small intestine and play a role in metformin absorption.

Metformin can also cross the enterocytes through the organic cation transporters 1 and 3 (OCT1 and OCT3) [18,19]. OCT3 is localized in the apical membrane and carries metformin into enterocytes, while OCT1 is localized in basolateral membranes and transports metformin into the interstitial fluid [20]. OCT1 and 3 are also expressed on the basolateral membrane of hepatocytes and mediate metformin liver uptake [21]. High expression of OCTs is responsible for the elevated metformin accumulation in mouse liver (~40 µM) when compared to serum (~5 µM) [22]. In agreement with this value, Ma and colleagues recently showed that in mouse primary hepatocytes treated with 5 µM metformin for up to 48 h, the intracellular concentration was 25–40 µM, suggesting the ability to accumulate 5–8-fold in these cells [23]. Similarly, Moonira et al. measured an intracellular/extracellular metformin concentration ratio of about 5-fold after 3 h incubation of mouse hepatocytes with 100–200 µM of the biguanide [24].

OCT transporters could also move metformin from the liver to the blood thus causing its rapid distribution into peripheral body tissues and fluids. Both OCT1 and 3 are also expressed in skeletal muscles where they mediate metformin uptake.

Metformin does not bind to plasma proteins, and this causes its rapid distribution throughout the body [25] (Table 1). The plasma concentration measured in subjects taking 1.5–2.5 g of metformin orally per day (~30 mg/kg/day) ranges between 4 and 15 µM [10]. In particular, 3 h after receiving a single dose of 0.5 g metformin orally, the peak plasma concentration ranges between 7.74–12.39 µM; 3 h after a single dose of 1.5 g metformin, the peak plasma concentration is 23.23 µM. Assumption of 1 g metformin twice a day determines a plasma mean concentration of 3.1–10.07 µM. The mean concentration over a dosage interval is 6.66 µM. Lalau et al., in 2003, measured metformin plasma concentration in subjects with type 2 diabetes mellitus under metformin therapy within the recommended dosage range (1700–2550 mg/day) and reported a mean metformin concentration of 3.8 µM [26].

Madiraju et al. in 2018 detected metformin plasma concentration in human subjects 3 h after 1 g of metformin by oral administration, and values ranged from 14 µM to 22 µM [27]. In contrast to the rapid decrease of plasma concentrations, Bailey et al. detected metformin accumulation in the gut after administering 850 mg daily for 2–3 weeks and then twice daily for other 3–5 weeks to T2 diabetes patients [28]. Metformin levels detected 12–16 h after the last 850 mg dose (pre-dose jejunal sample) corresponded to 33 ± 26 µg/g wet weight of tissue (approximately 250 µmol/kg), while the concentration reached 3 h after the last 850 mg morning dose (post-dose sample) was 504 ± 232 µg/g wet weight of tissue (approximately 4 mmol/kg). These values were 30–300 times higher than metformin plasma concentration (8–24 µM).

Pentikainen and colleagues [29] measured metformin plasma concentration in three patients, following i.v. injection of 500 mg [29] After 1 h, they observed a peak of 5 µg/mL (=38.68 µM), which rapidly decreased at 1.5 µg/mL (=11.6 µM) 2h after administration. Renal clearance after intravenous administration calculated in this study (454 ± 47 mL/min)
was comparable to that calculated after oral administration (507 ± 129 mL/min) by Graham et al. in 2011 [10].

Data on liver concentrations of metformin in humans are not available and it is, therefore, difficult to establish the exact therapeutic values. However, based on a presumed three-fold higher liver concentration compared to plasma content (where the calculated range is 20–30 µM), the estimated hepatic exposure is believed to be 60–90 µM, corresponding to 2 g/day in patients or to oral dosing in rodents of 50–100 mg/kg [30].

As for dosing in rodents, other authors indicate that the oral dose of 250 mg/kg/day in mice corresponds to 30 mg/kg/day in humans (2–2.5 g/day), considering the interspecies scaling in pharmacokinetics [22].

Metformin concentration reached in plasma and tumor tissue ranges from 3.2 to 12.4 µM [22]. These data are consistent with work from Madiraju and colleagues where after ad libitum administration of 200–300 mg/kg/day of metformin, plasma concentration was 15 µM and liver concentration was 40 µM [27].

Madiraju et al. also reported that 30 minutes after intravenous injection of 50 mg/kg metformin in rats, plasma concentration was 74 µM, while in the liver it was 100 µM.

Chandel and collaborators [22] observed that administration of 350 mg/kg metformin by oral gavage for 3 weeks caused a peak of 1500 µM in the liver and 200 µM in the tumor, in a mouse model of lung adenocarcinoma. However, these concentrations were considered supra-pharmacological by others [30]. Time-averaged plasma concentration was 47 µM. The authors also injected metformin 350 mg/kg intraperitoneally (i.p.) for 2 weeks and detected a concentration peak of 100 µM in the liver and tumor after 25 h from the last administration, while the time-averaged plasma concentration was 7.5 µM [22]. Acute IP administration is reported also by Dowling and colleagues [31] after 30 minutes from an injection of 125 mg/kg metformin, mean plasma concentration was 184 µM and it decreased to 42 µM after 1 h.

Wilcock and Bailey, in 1993, analyzed metformin concentration reached in tissues such as the liver and gut after acute administration (50 mg/kg) via oral gavage or intravenous route [32]. Oral gavage seems to be more efficient in achieving higher concentrations: 51.7 µM measured in hepatic portal vein after 30 minutes vs 21.9 µM in inferior vena cava detected after the intravenous injection. Moreover, liver tissue concentration was 37 µM after oral gavage and 22 µM after i.v. As for the gut, they measured different grades of accumulation along the tract with a maximum of 1206 µM and a minimum of 147 µM after oral gavage; the highest concentration reached in the gut after i.v. injection was 55 µM and the lowest 38 µM.

Metformin is not metabolized and is secreted unmodified by the kidney [33], after being transported through OCT2 [34] located on the basolateral side of renal tubular cells. The multidrug and toxin extrusion (MATE) transporters, such as MATE1 and MATE2K contribute to the transport of metformin into urine [35]. The mean renal clearance rate is around 552–642 mL/min. Its mean plasma elimination half-life is 1.5–4.7 h [25,36].

Metformin renal clearance decreases along with the impairment of kidney function and depends on the genetic pool of transporters expressed in kidney cells: OCT2 is the principal carrier involved in the uptake from tubular cells, and OCT1 mediates its secretion but it could also participate in the entry process. OCT3 is also expressed in the kidney. MATE1 is thought to carry metformin out of tubular cells and into the urine, while MATE2K could be the principal extrusion transporter [35,37]. Metformin is excreted in the urine unchanged, and no metabolites have been reported.

2.2. Phenformin: Uptake, Therapeutic Concentration, Excretion

Phenformin (1-(diaminomethylidene)-2-(2-phenylethyl)guanidine) is a phenethyl biguanide derivative of metformin that is characterized by the substitution of one of the terminal nitrogen atoms with a 2-phenylethyl group. Phenformin is obtained by heating phenethylamine and cyanoguanidine (37% yield) [38]. It was used as an antidiabetic agent
but was later withdrawn from many countries because it was associated with a greater incidence of lactic acidosis [1,39].

Phenformin is more lipophilic than metformin and therefore it is generally thought to passively cross the cell membrane and display a higher potency. This makes phenformin less dependent on active transport, while metformin requires transporters to enter cells [40]. Supporting this idea, Hawley et al., [41] showed that while OCT1 is required to transport metformin in rat hepatoma cells, it is not necessary for phenformin uptake. Other studies revealed that an active phenformin transport is required to cross the mitochondrial membrane: Shitara et al., in 2013, described the role of organic cation/carnitine transporter 1 (OCTN1) in mitochondrial accumulation of phenformin [42]. Bridges et al. (2016) confirmed these data (selective transport) by comparing the ability of biguanides with the same lipophilicity in mitochondria entry [43]. Moreover, work by Sogame et al., (2013) revealed that phenformin has an affinity for hOCT2, which is majorly involved in biguanides uptake from blood to kidney cells, stronger than metformin [44].

Phenformin is rapidly absorbed after oral administration and is not significantly bound to plasma proteins [45] (Table 1). It undergoes hydroxylation in the liver to 4-hydroxyphenformin [46]. The half-life of circulating phenformin is about 11 h [47]. Both phenformin and its hydroxylated metabolite are predominantly eliminated in the urine [46].

Beckmann and colleagues measured a phenformin plasma concentration of 0.97 µM 2 h after a single oral dose of 100 mg in patients, with a half-life of 3.2 h [45]. Matin et al. also measured phenformin concentration after administration of 100 mg to a diabetic patient. Maximum plasma concentration, measured with mass spectrometry, was reached after 3 h and was 147 ng/mL, corresponding to 0.72 µM [48]. Nattrass et al. in 1980 [49] measured a sustained release formulation of 50 mg of phenformin administered to six healthy volunteers. The values obtained from their analysis (0.19 µM 3.5 h after ingestion) were lower than those obtained by Beckmann and collaborators, although the authors pointed out that the time course could have been altered using a sustained-release capsule. Similar steady-state concentrations were reported by Marchetti and Navalesi [50] and were between 0.13 and 0.56 µM.

More data about phenformin circulating levels in patients were reported by Karam et al. [51]. They commented on the results obtained from the University Group Diabetes Program (UGDP) in which patients with hyperglycemic reactions to oral glucose assumption were treated with different anti-diabetic drugs. Circulating phenformin concentrations measured in these patients using gas chromatography were comprised between 102–241 ng/mL (0.5–1.17 µM).

As for animal models, in a recent work, HPLC analysis was used to measure plasma phenformin in C57BL/6J mice. After 10 days of treatment with phenformin (300 mg/Kg/day) in the drinking water, a 1.4 µM phenformin concentration was detected in the blood [52]. The same dose of 300 mg/Kg/day in the drinking water was used also by Huang et al. [53] and Appleyard et al. [39] for xenografts experiments.

After i.v. administration in the tail vein of 12.5 mg/kg phenformin, the maximum concentration (3.4 µM) was achieved 30 minutes after injection (maximum tolerated dose), while higher doses were not tolerated [52].

Phenformin blood concentrations in mice treated for 5- and 7-days ad libitum per os are described also in Shackelford et al. [54] and are in a range between 1–1.5 µM. Similar data were obtained by Bando et al. in 2010 [55] using oral gavage to administer different phenformin doses. Here, 28 days after daily ingestion of 200 mg/kg phenformin, the mean plasma concentration was 1.49 µM.

Various studies have been carried out in animal models highlighting the higher accumulation of the drug in the liver and gut. Wick et al. in 1960 [56] administered 100 mg/kg of phenformin orally or intraperitoneally. In the first case, they detected the maximum liver concentration (2 mM tissue water) after 1h and the maximum GI concentration (3.1 mM tissue water) after 2 h. The intraperitoneal route determined a liver Cmax of 2.6mM tissue water after 2 h and a GI tract Cmax of 0.9 mM after 1 h.
Sogame et al. in 2011 measured phenformin levels in rats after oral gavage administration of 50 mg/kg. The portal vein and liver concentrations after 30’ from ingestion were 2.5 µM and 147.1 µM respectively, while the highest plasma concentration (3.49 µM) was reached 4 h later [57].

Another study by Conlay (1977) measured phenformin serum concentration in patients manifesting lactic acidosis [58]. They received 50 mg of phenformin three times a day. Five of seven patients presented phenformin concentration under 241 ng/mL (1.17 µM) confirming the previous data.

Phenformin is partially metabolized in the liver in N1-p-hydroxy-β-phenethyl biguanide by CYP2D6, and about one-third is excreted in this form, whereas the other two-thirds are eliminated unmodified. It is reported in Beckmann [45] that the maximal excretion rate is 4.1 mg/h. The average half-life of excretion is 3.2 h, which is equivalent to an average rate constant of 0.22 mg/h.

Although the use of phenformin has been discontinued due to the high incidence of lactic acidosis, many studies demonstrated that the increased frequency may be principally related to the subjects receiving this drug. First, kidney dysfunction, which is often associated with diabetes, may reduce the clearance of the drug. Second, some genetic features, such as the expression level of transporters involved in phenformin excretion (OCT2 or MATE), may also affect its plasma levels. Third, alterations of the enzymes that metabolize phenformin (CYP2D6 and P-glycoprotein) can modulate phenformin circulating levels and consequentially the risk of lactic acidosis. Indeed, it has been demonstrated that patients that are poor CYP2D6 metabolizers show higher levels of phenformin plasma concentrations that lead to higher toxicity [59]. The risk of lactic acidosis is also increased by CYP2D6 gene mutations that lead to high levels of unmetabolized phenformin [1,59].

2.3. Buformin: Uptake, Therapeutic Concentration, Excretion

Buformin (2-butyl-1-(diaminomethylidene)guanidine) was synthesized and tested as a hypoglycemic agent in the 1950s [60]. Like phenformin, this drug is more lipophilic and effective than metformin, but the major limitation to its usage is the associated high risk of lactic acidosis [61]. For this reason, buformin was withdrawn from clinical use in the 1970s in most countries (except for Romania where it is still commercially available and administered in doses ranging from 50 mg to 300 mg daily).

Buformin is not metabolized [62–64] and only 10% has been found to interact with serum proteins [64,65] (Table 1). Data regarding buformin concentration and pharmacokinetics are reported by Lintz et al. [66]. Four diabetic patients were treated with 50 mg of 14C-buty1biguanide intravenously. 1 h and 5 h after administration, buformin plasma concentrations were 1.8–2.13 µM and 0.45–0.64 µM, respectively. The biological half-life of butylbiguanide calculated after intravenous administration was 3.7–6.0 h with a mean of 4.6 h. In this study, the authors also measured the total clearance, which ranged from 439 to 618 mL/min, and averaged 536 mL/min. A mean value of 72.4% (61.2–90.2%) of the administered drug was excreted in the urine. Mean renal clearance was 393 mL/min (282–518 mL/min). The value given here for the total clearance (536 + 78 mL/min) corresponds to previously described values. Buformin renal clearance was significantly higher than insulin clearance, and this suggested that the drug was excreted both via glomerular filtration and active tubular secretion. It was observed that only 72.4% of the drug was detectable in the urine without any of its metabolites [45,62,63], and this suggested that additional mechanisms were required for its excretion. Animal experiments described in Beckmann et al. [64] and Yoh et al. [67] highlighted the presence of buformin in the bile and the transport of this biguanide from the blood to the intestinal lumen. Lintz et al. confirmed this data in patients by detecting radioactive signals in the intestinal fluid after intravenous administration of butylbiguanide [66]. However, it was not clear if the drug could reach the intestinal lumen via the bile or via the intestinal mucosa.

In additional studies, five fasted diabetic patients received 100 mg micronized 14C-buty1biguanide (50 IxCi) in hard-shell capsules orally. Mean plasma concentration after
1 h from administration extrapolated from their report was 4.33 µM [66]. An average of 74.4% of the amount of drug administered was excreted by the kidneys of the 4 test subjects, whereas a higher value had been found in previous investigations [45,62,64,68].

After oral administration of butylbiguanide, high concentrations of the drug were detected in intestinal fluid, with a maximum value of 700 µg/mL. The concentration of butylbiguanide in the intestinal fluid of the jejunum 4–5 h after oral administration was still significantly higher than the amount detected after intravenous administration, and remained almost constant for a long period, indicating that there was a significant accumulation of butylbiguanide in the intestinal mucosa, which was more significant after oral administration rather than intravenous administration. After intravenous injection, the concentration of butylbiguanide in the intestinal epithelium was 6–11 times higher than in plasma, and after oral administration, it was 10–35 times higher than in plasma, with only one exception. Accumulation of butylbiguanide in the intestinal mucosa in humans corresponds to that found in animal studies [66,67,69,70]. For example, 3 h after oral administration of 10 mg/kg butylbiguanide, a concentration of 13 µg/g was found in the intestinal wall of rats, while in plasma concentration was 5.72 µM [69]. After intravenous administration of 50 mg butylbiguanide, its concentration in the liver was 12.72–25.44 µM [66]. The accumulation was even greater after oral administration: in two patients, 2–3 h after oral administration, the detected liver concentrations were 63.61–127.21 µM [66].

Table 1. Therapeutic concentrations of biguanides.

| No. | Drug   | Dosage          | Mean of Administration | Concentration | Treatment Duration | Model   | References |
|-----|--------|-----------------|------------------------|---------------|--------------------|---------|------------|
| 1   | Metformin | 1.5–2.5 g/day | Oral                   | 4–15 µM       | 1.5–3 h            | Human   | [10]       |
| 2   | Metformin | 1.7/2.55 g/day| Oral                   | 3.8 µM        | 0.3–2.5 h          | Human   | [26]       |
| 3   | Metformin | 1 g             | Oral                   | 14–22 µM      | 3 h                | Human   | [27]       |
| 4   | Metformin | 0.85–1.70 g/day| Oral                   | 250 µmol/Kg → 4 mmol/Kg | 2–3/3V5 weeks | Human   | [28]       |
| 5   | Metformin | 0.5 g           | Intravenous            | 11.6–38.68 µM | 1–2 h              | Human   | [29]       |
| 6   | Metformin | 0.35 g/Kg       | Oral                   | 200–1500 µM   | 3 weeks            | Mouse   | [22]       |
| 7   | Metformin | 0.35 g/Kg       | Intraperitoneal        | 7.5–100 µM    | 2 weeks            | Mouse   | [22]       |
| 8   | Metformin | 0.125 g/Kg      | Intraperitoneal        | 42–184 µM     | 0.5 h              | Mouse   | [31]       |
| 9   | Metformin | 0.05 g/Kg       | Oral                   | 147–1206 µM   | 0.5 h              | Mouse   | [32]       |
| 10  | Metformin | 0.05 g/Kg       | Intravenous            | 38–55 µM      | 0.5 h              | Mouse   | [32]       |
| 11  | Phenformin| 0.1 g           | Oral                   | 0.97 µM       | 2 h                | Human   | [45]       |
| 12  | Phenformin| 0.1 g           | Oral                   | 0.72 µM       | 3 h                | Human   | [46]       |
| 13  | Phenformin| 0.05 g          | Intravenous            | 0.19 µM       | 3.5 h              | Human   | [49]       |
| 14  | Phenformin| 66 ± 20 mg/day  | Oral                   | 0.14–0.56 µM  | 5 ± 3 years        | Human   | [50]       |
| 15  | Phenformin| 0.15 g/day      | Oral                   | 0.5–1.17 µM   | N/A                | Human   | [51]       |
| 16  | Phenformin| 0.3 g/Kg/day    | Oral                   | 1.4 µM        | 10 days            | Mouse   | [52]       |
| 17  | Phenformin| 0.0125 g/Kg     | Intravenous            | 3.4 µM        | 0.5 h              | Mouse   | [52]       |
| 18  | Phenformin| 1.8 mg/mL       | Oral                   | 1–1.5 µM      | 5–7 days           | Mouse   | [54]       |
| 19  | Phenformin| 0.2 g/Kg        | Oral                   | 1.49 µM       | 28 days            | Mouse   | [55]       |
| 20  | Phenformin| 0.1 g/Kg        | Oral                   | 2–3.1 mM      | 1–2 h              | Mouse   | [56]       |
Table 1. Cont.

| No. | Drug     | Dosage | Mean of Administration | Concentration | Treatment Duration | Model | References |
|-----|----------|--------|------------------------|---------------|-------------------|-------|------------|
| 21  | Phenformin | 0.1 g/Kg | Intraperitoneal | 0.9–2.6 mM | 1–2 h | Mouse | [56] |
| 22  | Phenformin | 0.05 g/Kg | Oral | 2.5 µM–3.49 µM | 0.5–4 h | Rat | [57] |
| 23  | Phenformin | 1.5 g | Oral | 1.17 µM | N/A | Human | [58] |
| 24  | Buformin | 0.05 g | Intravenous | 0.45–2.13 µM | 1–5 h | Human | [66] |
| 25  | Buformin | 0.1 g | Oral | 4.33 µM | 1 h | Human | [66] |
| 26  | Buformin | 0.01 g/Kg | Oral | 5.72 µM | 3 h | Rat | [69] |
| 27  | Buformin | 0.05 g | Intravenous | 12.72–25.44 µM | 2–3 h | Human | [66] |
| 28  | Buformin | 0.1 g | Oral | 63.61–127.21 µM | 2–3 h | Human | [66] |

3. The Mechanism of Action of Biguanides: Lessons from Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (T2DM)

Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is the most common type of diabetes observed in the population and a leading cause of death [71]. T2DM is characterized by insulin resistance, β-cell dysfunction, and elevated hepatic glucose output mainly attributed to an increase in gluconeogenesis [72,73].

Biguanides have been used for the treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) for more than 70 years and metformin is the most prescribed oral anti-diabetic agent worldwide, taken by over 150 million people annually [74]. Metformin prevents body weight gain and does not cause hypoglycemia, which is frequently associated with the use of other antidiabetic drugs [75]. Moreover, metformin may have therapeutic potential in the treatment of conditions such as nephropathy [76], polycystic ovary syndrome [77], and cardiovascular diseases [78,79], often associated with diabetes or insulin resistance.

The pleiotropic properties of metformin suggest that the drug acts on multiple tissues, but the underlying mechanism of action remains debated.

Most of the studies on the mechanism of action of biguanides, especially metformin, have been conducted in T2DM models, trying to identify the primary target and the consequences of its alteration. These studies have then ignited investigation in tumor models, to determine if the effectors and mechanisms operating in diabetes could also be responsible for the antitumor properties of these drugs.

The main and best studied site of the antidiabetic action of biguanides is the liver, where these drugs reduce hepatic gluconeogenesis, through various mechanisms discussed below. However, other studies have also proposed the gut and skeletal muscle as additional sites responsible for the blood-glucose-lowering properties of biguanides.

3.1. Liver as a Target Tissue

A clinical study using $^{13}$C nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy showed that metformin reduces fasting plasma glucose concentrations in diabetic patients by decreasing hepatic glucose production (HGP) by about 25% and gluconeogenesis (two to three times higher in diabetics than in control patients) by about 35%, without affecting glycogenolysis [80].

Several mechanisms have been identified for the action of biguanides in hepatic gluconeogenesis and glucose production, which are generally thought to be mediated by the interaction of the drugs with two main cell compartments: mitochondria (energy or redox alterations) or lysosomes.

3.1.1. Energy-Dependent Mechanisms: The Controversial Role of the Complex I—AMPK Axis

In 2000, two independent groups reported for the first time that metformin inhibits the mitochondrial respiratory chain complex I thus decreasing NADH oxidation, proton pumping across the inner mitochondrial membrane, and oxygen consumption rate [81,82].
The mammalian mitochondrial respiratory complex I, also known as NADH-ubiquinone oxidoreductase, is a large L-shaped membrane-bound enzyme consisting of many core and accessory subunits, that oxidizes NADH to NAD\(^+\) and transfers four protons from the mitochondrial matrix to the transmembrane space and electrons to the ubiquinone pool [83].

The molecular interaction mechanism between biguanides and the mitochondrial respiratory chain complex I has not been completely understood (Figure 1). A proposed mechanism suggests that metformin binds the Cys-39 in the amphipathic region at the interface of the hydrophilic and membrane domains, trapping the enzyme in a deactive-like open-loop conformation [84]. Complex I inhibition causes a decline in intracellular ATP levels concomitantly with an increase in intracellular ADP and AMP. This altered cellular energy charge activates the energy sensor AMPK [85], already reported to be activated by metformin in 2001 [86]. These two seminal discoveries, the decrease of energy metabolism and activation of AMPK, were at the center of the proposed mechanism of action of biguanides for the following years. In 2005, Shaw and colleagues showed that metformin requires LKB1, a kinase that phosphorylates and activates AMPK, to lower blood glucose levels in the liver of adult mice. Loss of LKB1 increased gluconeogenesis and abolished metformin glucose-lowering activity [87]. Once activated by LKB1, AMPK phosphorylates TORC2/CRTC2, the CREB (cAMP response element-binding protein) transcriptional coactivator, and sequesters this factor into the cytoplasm, preventing PPAR\(\gamma\) coactivator 1\(\alpha\) (PGC1\(\alpha\)) transcription and subsequent increase of gluconeogenic phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase (PEPCK) and glucose-6-phosphatase (G6Pase) target gene expression [87]. A few years later, this mechanism of action was challenged by the evidence that, in response to metformin administration, blood glucose levels, hepatocytes glucose production, and gluconeogenic gene expression were not changed in mice lacking AMPK in the liver, compared to wild-type littermates. Moreover, the metformin glucose-lowering effect was maintained even under forced expression of gluconeogenic genes through PGC-1\(\alpha\) overexpression [88]. Thus, metformin inhibited gluconeogenesis independently of LKB1/AMPK.

The gluconeogenic pathway is a high-energy-consuming process that requires six ATP equivalents for each molecule of glucose produced. Since AMP is a potent allosteric inhibitor of fructose 1,6-bisphosphatase (FBP1), a key enzyme in gluconeogenesis, it was proposed that by raising AMP levels metformin inhibits gluconeogenesis through FBP1 inhibition. Supporting this hypothesis, a point mutation in FBP1 that renders the enzyme insensitive to AMP was found to abrogate the response to metformin in vivo [89]. A further breakthrough study in 2013 showed a novel mechanism of action for biguanides-driven hypoglycemic function independent of AMPK [90] whereby biguanides were suggested to antagonize the action of glucagon by inhibiting the activity of the cAMP-activated protein kinase A (PKA). Through their effect on complex I and consequent accumulation of cellular AMP, biguanides inhibit adenylate cyclase and reduce the levels of cyclic AMP, abrogating the phosphorylation of critical PKA substrates, including the 6-phosphofructo-2-kinase isoform 1 (PFKFB1). Phosphorylation of PFKFB1 inhibits the formation of fructose-2,6-bisphosphate, an intracellular mediator that acutely activates the glycolytic enzyme 6-phosphofructo-1-kinase and inhibits the gluconeogenic enzyme fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase. Lowering of cAMP would therefore inhibit the switch from glycolysis to gluconeogenesis triggered by glucagon [91]. Hence, according to these studies, the metformin-driven complex I inhibition, and consequent decrease of ATP/AMP ratio, could block the gluconeogenic flux independently of AMPK. Other studies added further evidence arguing against the involvement of AMPK in hepatic glucose production. Using liver-specific AMPK knock-out mice, Hasenour and colleagues showed that AMPK is not required for suppression of hepatic glucose production induced by AICAR, an inducer of metabolic stress [92]. More recently, Cokorinos et al. showed that a non-selective AMPK agonist lowered blood glucose levels by inducing an AMPK-mediated increase of glucose disposal in skeletal muscle, without inhibiting hepatic glucose production [93].
Figure 1. Proposed mechanisms for the glucose-lowering properties of biguanides. (Left) Energy-dependent mechanisms. Supra-pharmacological concentrations of biguanides suppress glucose production through the inhibition of complex I, which leads to the activation of AMPK and inhibition of the cAMP-PKA pathway. (Middle) Lysosomal mechanisms. Pharmacological concentrations activate PEN2, which inhibits lysosomal v-ATPase and activates AMPK in the intestine, decreasing blood glucose levels. (Right) Redox-dependent mechanisms. Biguanides inhibit mitochondrial complex IV, which results in inhibition of mitochondrial glycerol 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (mGPD) activity and gluconeogenic program. Alternatively, pharmacologic biguanides concentrations directly inhibit mGPD, leading to an increase in cytosolic NADH levels, which prevents lactate utilization and decreases hepatic glucose output. On the other hand, clinically relevant concentrations of biguanides up-regulate microRNA let-7, leading to the downregulation of TET3 and changes in the ratio of HNF4α isoforms, with consequent gluconeogenesis inhibition.

In addition to the growing skepticism about the involvement of AMPK in the inhibition of gluconeogenesis in response to metformin, in more recent years, some researchers started also being concerned that only supra-physiological concentrations of biguanides could directly inhibit mitochondrial complex I activity [74]. In isolated mitochondria or in sub-mitochondrial particles, concentrations of metformin between 20 and 100 mM are required for complex I inhibition [94], and the half-maximal inhibitory concentration (IC50) for complex I inhibition is reported to fall within the micromolar range (~500 µM) for phenformin [84]. Furthermore, it has been reported that the concentration of metformin required to inhibit complex I is lower in intact cells than in isolated mitochondria. An explanation that was proposed to solve this discrepancy was that metformin accumulates in the mitochondria in a voltage-dependent manner, reaching millimolar concentrations compared to the micromolar concentrations in the cytosol [95]. However, many authors argue against the hypothesis that metformin accumulates in the mitochondria. Indeed, a major concern is that the mitochondrial inner membrane allows the passage of hydrophilic molecules only through specific transporters but there is no evidence that supports the existence of a carrier specific for metformin. Moreover, the entrance of numerous positive charges in the mitochondria is expected to cause a collapse of mitochondrial membrane.
Defects in mitochondrial respiratory chain activity are reported to contribute to the development of insulin resistance and hyperglycemia in T2DM [97–100]. Mitochondria have a peculiar life cycle that includes continuous phases of fusion and fission necessary for the maintenance of their bioenergetic efficiency [101,102]. Impairing these mechanisms leads to defects of the mitochondrial functions and culminates in the decrease of mitochondrial respiration [103,104]. Wang et al. show that micromolar concentrations of metformin (75 µM) not only fail to inhibit complex I activity but also improve mitochondrial respiration by increasing mitochondrial fission through AMPK signaling. The authors suggest that the decrease in ATP levels and oxygen consumption rate observed with supra-pharmacological doses of metformin would rather be a consequence of adenine synthesis inhibition. Insufficient levels of cellular ADP would lead to an inability to utilize the mitochondrial membrane potential to generate ATP. To support this hypothesis, they showed that the enzymatic activity of purified mitochondrial complexes is unchanged after metformin treatment at all concentrations, including 1000 µM [105]. Accordingly, using permeabilized skeletal muscles derived from type II diabetes patients, Larsen and colleagues tested a wide range of metformin concentrations revealing that the minimum concentration needed to appreciate a significant reduction of complex I activity is 3 mM [106].

### 3.1.2. Redox-Dependent Mechanisms

In an attempt to address the concerns about the dosage, Madiraju and colleagues showed that by administering to rats doses of metformin corresponding to the range used in T2DM patients (20–50 mg/Kg), metformin increased hepatic cytosolic NADH/NAD⁺ ratio to impair glucose production from redox-dependent substrates (lactate and glycerol), independently of complex I [107]. The authors proposed that this redox alteration is due to inhibition of the mitochondrial glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (mGPD) activity, a key component of the glycerophosphate shuttle (GPS), which is one of two shuttle systems required to transfer reducing equivalents from the cytosol to the mitochondria (Figure 1). mGPD is localized in the outer face of the inner mitochondrial membrane and oxidizes glycerol-3-phosphate (G3P) to dihydroxyacetone phosphate (DAP) with concurrent reduction of flavin adenine dinucleotide (FAD) to FADH2. Its cytosolic partner cGPD reduces DAP to G3P while oxidizing cytosolic NADH [108].

Acute and chronic metformin treatment elicited a significant decrease in the mitochondrial redox state and an increase in the cytosolic redox state, impairing glucose production from lactate. Furthermore, mGPD knockout phenocopied metformin activity in vivo and abolishes metformin effects [107]. In a further study, the same group showed that metformin inhibits hepatic gluconeogenesis in a redox-dependent manner without affecting mitochondrial citrate synthase flux and hepatic energy charge [27]. They infused awake rats with 13C-labeled lactate or alanine and traced these molecules through the gluconeogenic flux using 13C NMR spectroscopy, finding that metformin impedes the hepatic conversion of reduced substrates (lactate and glycerol), but not oxidized substrates (alanine and pyruvate) into glucose [27].

These observations provided a plausible explanation for the mechanism of action of biguanides at therapeutic doses, although they also raised some criticisms. A first concern regards the role of glycerophosphate shuttle in the liver since it is less relevant than the malate-aspartate shuttle (MAS), the other NADH shuttle. Thus, glycerol-phosphate shuttle (GPS) inhibition may not be sufficient to prevent gluconeogenesis [109]. Indeed, mice with selective disruption of the glycerol–phosphate shuttle showed unchanged fasting blood glucose levels, while knockout of malate–aspartate shuttles resulted in a significant decrease of blood glucose levels that was further reduced in mice with double inactivation of GPS and MAS [110]. Alshawi and coll. [111] found that a low dose of metformin (<2 nmol/mg) caused a more oxidized mitochondrial NADH/NAD⁺ state and an increase in lactate/pyruvate ratio, supporting previous findings by Madiraju et al. However, in
contrast to these authors, they found that metformin prevented gluconeogenesis from both reduced and oxidized substrates and did not inhibit mGPD activity. Instead, they found that metformin accumulates in the mitochondria due to its positive charge, depolarizing the mitochondrial membrane and causing inhibition of citrin, the electrogenic transporter for aspartate, and consequent inhibition of the malate-aspartate shuttle. To compensate for this inhibition, the glycerol-phosphate shuttle is stimulated and leads to a decrease of glycerol-3-phosphate, a potent allosteric inhibitor of phosphofructokinase 1 (PFK1). As a result, decreased G3P stimulates PFK1 and glycolysis and inhibits gluconeogenesis. However, the lack of inhibition by metformin on malate dehydrogenase or aspartate aminotransferase observed by Madiraju et al. [107], argues against this interpretation.

Calza et al. failed to observe a reduction of lactate-induced hepatic glucose output by metformin in rats [112] and MacDonald et al. did not see direct inhibition of mGPD by metformin in biochemical assays [113].

In a very recent publication, LaMoia et al. provided novel evidence to resolve these controversies, supporting mGPD, but not complex I inhibition as a major determinant of metformin inhibition of hepatic gluconeogenesis [114]. They demonstrated that biguanides (metformin, phenformin, galegine) repress hepatic gluconeogenesis from the redox-dependent substrate glycerol by blocking complex IV, which in turn results in inhibition of mGPD activity and increased cytosolic redox state. Inhibition of complex IV was proposed to backlog the electron transport chain (ETC) and cause indirect mGPD inhibition. Conversely, the authors showed that the specific complex I inhibitor piericidin A was unable to prevent gluconeogenesis from glycerol, while the specific complex IV inhibitor KCN phenocopied the effect of biguanides in vitro.

While the issue that mGPD is a direct target of biguanides needs to be properly addressed with compelling biochemical approaches, the authors noted that most of the biochemical assays arguing against mGPD were performed using KCN or other complex IV inhibitors in the reaction buffer. Hence, considering this new finding, it is possible that these inhibitors may have masked the effect of biguanides on GPD2 activity [114].

In another recent article, metformin administered at clinically relevant concentrations was shown to inhibit gluconeogenesis in primary hepatocytes and animal models of type 2 diabetes by activating the let-7/TET3/HNF4α axis in a redox-dependent fashion [115]. They demonstrated that clinically relevant doses of metformin up-regulate microRNA let-7, leading to the downregulation of TET3 and changes in the ratio of HNF4α isoforms, with consequent transcriptional inhibition of the gluconeogenic gene program (Figure 1). Therefore, these observations further support the modulation of the redox state as a determinant of metformin inhibition of hepatic gluconeogenesis.

3.1.3. Lysosomal Mechanisms

Very recently, Ma and colleagues have proposed a further alternative mechanism, whereby low doses of metformin activate AMPK by inhibiting lysosomal v-ATPase, independently of energy charge [23]. Previous observations from the same group demonstrated that AMPK could be activated by low glucose through aldolase, which senses the decrease of fructose-1,6-biphosphate FBP and forms a complex with v-ATPase, Regulator, axin, LKB1 that activates AMPK [116]. Hence, low glucose activates AMPK independently of ATP/AMP ratio, by regulating lysosomal v-ATPase. By performing a proteomic screening of metformin-interacting lysosomal proteins with a biotinylated photoactive probe, the authors identified PEN2 as a direct metformin interacting protein and found that, after binding with the drug, PEN2 associates with ATP6AP1, a member of the v-ATPase complex, thereby causing inhibition of the ATPase complex and activation of AMPK (Figure 1). Of note, loss of hepatic PEN2 abrogated the ability of metformin to lower hepatocyte fat content in mice, while conditional PEN2 knockout in the gut abrogated its glucose-lowering effect.

Together, these data support the idea that AMPK activation by this lysosomal-mediated mechanism is responsible for the therapeutic action of metformin. However, since other
studies failed to detect phosphorylation of the AMPK substrate ACC in the liver following metformin administration in mice [27], this novel mechanism requires further investigation.

3.2. Gut as a Target Tissue

Biguanides accumulate in the small intestine at concentrations that are up to 20–300 times greater than plasma [32], suggesting that the gut could be an important site for biguanides action.

Early studies provided evidence that intravenous injection of metformin did not significantly lower glucose levels [117,118], although only acute effects were evaluated in those reports. Also, an increase in metformin concentration in plasma through inhibition of the MATE transporter, which mediates hepatic and renal elimination of the drug, had little effect on circulating glucose levels [119]. Furthermore, a gut-restricted formulation of metformin had greater glucose-lowering efficacy than systemically absorbed formulation [120]. These observations have been linked to a reduction in the rate of glucose absorption in the small intestine [121] and an increase in glucose uptake from the bloodstream and its utilization in metformin-treated enterocytes. Two different studies measured glucose uptake in diabetic patients or healthy volunteers treated with metformin using [18F]-fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose (FDG), a non-metabolized glucose analog. PET-computed tomography revealed a three-fold increase in FDG uptake in the small intestine and especially in the colon [122,123].

In addition to the increased glucose uptake and utilization in the enterocytes, in recent years the mechanism of biguanides action in the gut has been also linked to their ability to alter the secretion of some key molecules (GLP1 and GDF15) or to affect the composition of the gut microbiota.

3.2.1. Glp-1

Glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP1) is an incretin hormone secreted from the intestinal enteroendocrine L cells in response to the presence of nutrients in the intestinal lumen. In healthy individuals, incretins are responsible for up to 70% of insulin secretion after an oral glucose load and their effect is severely impaired in T2DM patients [124]. GLP1 is essential for glucose homeostasis acting through a gut-brain neuronal axis that provides insulin secretion, inhibition of glucagon secretion, slowing of gastric emptying, and a reduction in appetite and food intake.

According to recent studies, metformin may increase the secretion of GLP1 from enteroendocrine L cells by direct and indirect mechanisms and may induce the expression of the GLP1 receptor [125].

In a double-blinded randomized placebo-controlled trial, healthy patients showed an overall increase of 23.4% of GLP1 plasma concentration after treatment with metformin for 18 months compared to placebo [126]. Another landmark study demonstrated that 75% of acute glucose-lowering properties of metformin could be attributed to its direct stimulation of GLP1 from L cells and that a GLP1 receptor antagonist could prevent the observed decrease of blood glucose [127]. Conversely, other studies demonstrate an indirect effect of metformin on GLP1 levels through the modulation of dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP4) [128], while other authors did not observe any effect on DPP4 [129]. Hence, the actual mechanism and involvement of GLP1 signaling in the response to biguanides are still unclear and need to be further clarified.

3.2.2. Gdf-15

Obesity is one of the main risk factors for T2DM and people with type 2 diabetes show a significant metformin-induced body weight loss [130,131]. This effect has been recently linked to an increased secretion of growth differentiation factor 15 (GDF15) [132,133].

GDF15 is a divergent TGF-β superfamily cytokine that acts through the recently identified orphan receptor GFRAL (GDNF receptor α-like), a member of the glial-cell-derived neurotropic factor family (GDNF), which is expressed in the area postrema in the brainstem of mice, rats, monkeys, and humans [134].
In 2006, it was observed that transgenic mice with ubiquitous expression of the full-length human GDF15 protein showed a significant reduction in body weight compared to non-transgenic littermates [135]. Despite equivalent food intake, transgenic GDF15 mice had less white and brown fat, improved glucose tolerance, lower insulin levels, and were resistant to dietary- and genetic-induced obesity [136]. In wild-type mice, oral metformin increased GDF15 circulating protein levels and GDF15 mRNA in the small intestine, colon, and kidney. Metformin decreased food intake and prevented weight gain in response to a high-fat diet in wild-type mice but not in mice lacking GDF15 or its receptor. In obese mice on a high-fat diet, the effects of metformin to reduce body weight were reversed by a GFRAL-antagonist antibody [132], suggesting that metformin activity could be mediated by GDF-15.

GDF15 is also essential for the increased insulin sensitivity associated with the use of metformin. The pharmacological mechanism underlying the metformin induction of GDF15 seems to involve the integrated stress pathway [132]. In primary mouse hepatocytes, metformin stimulates the secretion of GDF15 by increasing the expression of activating transcription factor 4 (ATF4) and C/EBP homologous protein (CHOP) [133]. The new insight that the lower small intestine and colon are major sites of metformin-induced GDF15 expression, provides further evidence that metformin can mediate its benefits, at least in part, by acting on the intestinal epithelium as a major target.

3.2.3. Gut Microbiota

High interest has been focused on the gut microbiota as a target of metformin action. A double-blind study indicated that metformin can change intestinal microbiota composition in human patients and that glucose tolerance is improved in mice receiving metformin-altered microbiota [121]. Metagenomic and metabolomic analysis of samples from individuals with T2DM and treated with metformin for 3 days, revealed that metformin treatment increased the levels of the bile acid glycoursodeoxycholic acid (GUDCA) in the gut by decreasing the abundance of species of Bacteroides fragilis. It was found that GUDCA is a novel antagonist of intestinal FXR, a ligand-activated nuclear receptor that regulates hepatic bile acid biosynthesis, transport, and secretion and may inhibit GLP1 secretion from L cells [137]. In addition, metformin increases the abundance of short-chain fatty acid (SCFA)-producing bacteria and facilitates SCFA-induced GLP1 secretion via signaling through GPR41 and GPR43 in L cells [138]. However, in contrast with all these observations, a different study showed that metformin significantly improved oral glucose tolerance also in GLP1R−/− mice and in wild-type mice fed with a high-fat diet and treated with a GLP1R inhibitor [125].

3.3. Muscle as a Target Tissue

Some studies have suggested that skeletal muscle may be involved in the glucose-lowering properties of metformin. Early studies showed that metformin lowers glucose levels in T2DM patients by increasing insulin-stimulated glucose uptake [80,139,140]. In isolated skeletal muscle, Zhou et al. reported that metformin activated AMPK and concomitantly increased glucose uptake, an effect that was additive with insulin stimulation [86]. These observations led to the conclusion that, by inhibiting complex I and activating AMPK, metformin promotes glucose uptake in muscle [93] and enhances insulin sensitivity [141]. However, this hypothesis has been challenged by a very recent study on the muscle-specific knockout of AMPKα1/α2 mouse models, where it was shown that lack of AMPK activity in skeletal muscle of lean and diet-induced obese mice does not affect the ability of metformin to lower blood glucose levels or improve whole-body glucose tolerance [142]. Moreover, in T2DM patients rendered normoglycemic with 4 weeks of insulin treatment, metformin had no effect on insulin-stimulated peripheral glucose metabolism [143], suggesting that the ability of metformin to increase insulin-stimulated muscle glucose uptake could be secondary to improved glucose homeostasis and reduction of glucose toxicity rather than due to a direct effect.
4. Biguanides and Cancer

The anti-tumor properties of biguanides were unknown until 2005, when Evans et al. [144] identified in diabetic patients an inverse correlation between metformin treatment and cancer occurrence, paving the way for the exploration of biguanides usage in cancer therapy and prevention. Until December 2021, metformin has been investigated in 1901 clinical trials on various types of cancer, and 216 of them are still underway. While studies seem to support the anti-tumor effects of metformin in diabetic patients, less is known about the therapeutic effect of metformin in non-diabetic cancer patients. Many studies have been focused on the understanding of the molecular mechanism underlying the anti-cancer properties of biguanides that led to the identification of a plethora of different molecular targets. Similar to the research on diabetes, in this context, the exact mechanism by which biguanides operate and their target selectivity in different experimental conditions is still controversial, due to the lack of a unifying model.

In general, biguanides are believed to exert their antitumor properties by two main mechanisms: direct, by acting directly on the tumor cells and inhibiting their growth, and indirect, by inducing changes in the body that ultimately affect tumorigenesis.

4.1. Direct Antitumor Effects

The notion that biguanides exert direct antitumor effects comes mostly from the evidence that the growth, proliferation, viability, and/or motility of cultured cancer cells are impaired upon exposure to the drugs. As for the regulation of glucose homeostasis, also in this context, mitochondria are believed to be the main site of biguanides action, and AMPK is a critical mediator of their therapeutic effects.

4.1.1. Mitochondrial Mechanisms

Most studies addressing the mechanism of action of biguanides have been focused on targets localized into the mitochondria (Figure 2). As discussed above, it is widely recognized that metformin is capable of inhibiting complex I of the electron transport chain. Supporting the role of complex I inhibition as an important player in the anti-tumorigenic effect of metformin target in cancer, cells expressing the rotenone-resistant yeast complex I analog NDI1 were no longer inhibited by metformin [145]. Similarly, ectopic expression of NDI1 impaired the ability of phenformin to inhibit cancer cell proliferation and oxygen consumption, although only in cells with complex I mutations [146]. However, while the use of NDI1 overexpression is generally considered relevant evidence to confirm complex I involvement, it has to be noted that NDI1 corrects the NAD+/NADH ratio, which can be reduced by many alterations in mitochondria other than inhibition of complex I (e.g., see [147]).

Also, the use of NDI1 may have limitations if not carefully controlled. For instance, its exclusive localization in the mitochondria should be verified, the expression levels should be monitored during experimentation, and complex I should be inactivated in cells expressing NDI1, to avoid artifactual results.

Targeting complex I using small molecules has shown anti-cancer efficacy in vitro and in animal models [148,149]. Several observations point to the inhibition of complex I as the main mechanism of action of metformin in cancer cells. In human oral squamous carcinoma KB cells, metformin (0.1–10 mM) specifically inhibits complex I, both in intact cells and after permeabilization [150]. Metformin (3–10 mM) effectively diminished pancreatic cancer stem cells by the inhibition of mitochondrial respiration [151]. In permeabilized human HCT116 p53−/− colorectal carcinoma cells expressing NDI1, metformin (0.25–1 mM) failed to decrease cell proliferation [145], while metformin (1–10 mM) potently inhibited mitochondrial complex I in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma cells [152].
Figure 2. Redox-dependent inhibition of tumor growth by biguanides. Therapeutic doses of biguanides inhibit mGPD in cancer cells, increasing NADH content and redox state and inhibiting tumor growth. Supra-pharmacologic concentrations of biguanides inhibit complex I, increasing NADH content and AMP levels and suppressing tumor growth.

In 2019, Momcilovic and colleagues used 4-(18F) fluorobenzyl-triphenylphosphonium (18F-BnTP PET) imaging to detect in vivo changes in mitochondrial membrane potential in a mouse model of lung cancer. They showed that phenformin decreases the uptake of the tracer, indicating the ability of the drug to lower mitochondrial membrane potential ($\psi$), a consequence attributed by the authors to complex I inhibition [153], although a decrease of membrane potential can also be caused by inhibition of other mitochondrial targets, such as mGPD [154] or by the accumulation of the positively charged biguanide in the mitochondria.

Since in the majority of the above-mentioned studies biguanides have been used at supraphysiological doses that are unlikely to reflect the actual concentrations measured in humans and animal models [33,155,156], it is generally tempted to believe that other mechanisms, beyond complex I inhibition, may operate on cellular and animal models exposed to therapeutic concentrations of biguanides.

Recent work carried out on Sonic Hedgehog-driven medulloblastoma cells showed that pharmacological phenformin concentrations (1–5 µM) inhibit tumor growth independently of complex I and AMPK, through alterations in cytoplasmic redox potential and increased NADH levels [52], by inhibiting glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase. Elevated NADH levels promote the association between the redox sensor CtBP2 and the transcription factor GLI1, leading to inhibition of Hedgehog-dependent transcriptional output and medulloblastoma growth.

In keeping with these findings, it has been observed that in thyroid cancer cells, metformin inhibits the activity and downregulates the expression of mGPD, decreasing their growth and metabolism [157]. Another work showed that low expression of cGPD correlates with poor responses to metformin in 15 cell lines of various cancer types and that
cGPD overexpression enhanced the anticancer activity of metformin, leading to glycerol-3-phosphate overproduction and inhibition of mitochondrial function [158].

In contrast to this study, it was shown that ablation of cGPD enhanced the inhibition of tumor growth mediated by metformin, although the biguanide was given at supraphysiological concentrations [159].

Consistent with redox imbalance as a major alteration underlying the antiproliferative effect of metformin, Gui and collaborators [160] proposed that metformin’s antiproliferative effect is due to loss of NAD⁺/NADH homeostasis and inhibition of aspartate biosynthesis, an effect that was attributed to the blockade of NADH dehydrogenase activity of complex I rather than to mGPD inhibition and that could be rescued by pyruvate, due to its ability to regenerate NAD⁺.

Therefore, these latter studies seem to point at NADH/NAD⁺ alteration as key mechanisms underlying the antitumor properties of biguanides, although concerns about the primary target need to be properly addressed, as discussed above (Figure 2).

4.1.2. AMPK as a Mediator of the Response to Biguanides in Cancer

Although the activation of the energy sensor AMPK represents one of the most frequently evoked events accompanying biguanides therapeutic action, the role of AMPK in cancer seems to be ambiguous [161]. The discovery that AMPK is the key downstream effector of the tumor suppressor LKB1 and the ability of AMPK to inhibit fatty acid synthesis, mRNA translation, and cell growth support the notion that this kinase acts as a tumor suppressor. However, in different contexts, at different stages of tumor development or under certain conditions (e.g., metabolic stress), AMPK seems to function as a tumor promoter, by activating programs that facilitate cancer progression and survival [162].

In this view, the use of AMPK agonists is now suggested to be more appropriate for cancer prevention, while AMPK inhibitors seem to be better suited for the treatment of established malignancies [161].

Supporting the notion of a tumor-promoting function of AMPK, phenformin was shown to be more effective in reducing lung tumor growth when cells lacked a functional LKB1/AMPK pathway [54].

However, many studies have supported the metformin-mediated activation of AMPK as a tumor-suppressive mechanism (Figure 3). In the “classical” mechanism, metformin inhibits complex I of the mitochondrial respiratory chain and ATP synthase, raising the levels of intracellular AMP/ADP that trigger the activation of AMPK [41]. Alternatively, metformin may activate AMPK through the lysosomal pathway by a non-canonical mechanism [163]. Indeed, AMPK can be activated by low concentrations of metformin through the formation of a complex with Axin and late endosomal/lysosomal adaptor, MAPK, and LAMTOR1. Thus, metformin might also activate AMPK by a mechanism involving the lysosomes, rather than complex I.

Once activated, AMPK is thought to inhibit key substrates involved in cell growth and proliferation, being the most relevant and best-studied the mechanistic Target Of Rapamycin Complex 1 (mTORC1). mTORC1 plays a key role in controlling the metabolism, growth, and proliferation of cancer cells [164,165] mostly by phosphorylating two key targets: S6 Kinase 1 (S6K1) and initiation factor 4E binding protein 1 (4E-BP1) [166,167]. By activating AMPK, biguanides are thought to inhibit mTORC1 through phosphorylation of TSC1, TSC2, and Raptor [168,169]. Additionally, Kalender and collaborators demonstrated that biguanides suppress mTORC1 signaling also independently of AMPK and TSC1/2, by inhibiting Rag GTPases [170].

Besides mTORC1 inhibition, AMPK has been also shown to promote p53 activation via phosphorylation of Ser15, thus promoting cell survival in response to glucose limitation [171] and p53-deficient cancer cells were shown to be more sensitive to metformin treatment [172], indicating that p53 regulates cancer cells survival in response to metformin-induced metabolic changes.
Figure 3. AMPK-dependent and AMPK-independent inhibition of tumor growth by biguanides. Supra-pharmacological concentrations of biguanides inhibit complex I, which increases AMP levels and leads to the activation of AMPK. Alternatively, metformin prevents the activation of NFkB pathway by inhibiting the translocation of NFkB to the nucleus. AMPK regulates DICER, cMyc, HIF1α, and Gli1 activity and inhibits mTOR complex, suppressing tumor growth. Biguanides also inhibit Rag GTPases to suppress mTOR signaling.

Other targets regulated by metformin via AMPK, causing inhibition of cancer cell proliferation by blocking the Warburg effect are DICER, cMyc, HIF1α [173]. Conversely, other works found that metformin inhibits the growth of various cancers by preventing nuclear translocation of the transcription factor NFkB, an effect that was believed to be independent of AMPK [174–177] (Figure 3).

In a work on ovarian cancer patients, it was shown that metformin treatment affects pathways related to mitochondrial metabolism involving nucleotide metabolism, redox, and energy status [178]. More recently, a study in breast cancer patients showed that metformin reduces the levels of mitochondrial metabolites and increases 18-FDG flux in primary breast cancers, without apparent activation of AMPK, arguing against the involvement of this kinase in mediating the effects of metformin in this clinical context [179]. Similarly, in mouse models of SHH medulloblastoma, it was recently shown that phenformin elicited a potent antitumor effect independently of AMPK and of phosphorylation of the AMPK substrate GLI1 [52,180].

Together, all these data suggest that the exact role of AMPK as a mediator of biguanide anticancer action is still unclear and studies using specific loss of function in in vivo models, at different stages of cancer development, are required.

4.2. Indirect Antitumor Effects
4.2.1. Effects on Insulin Signaling

The ability of biguanides to lower blood glucose levels through inhibition of hepatic gluconeogenesis and glucose uptake in muscle is thought to contribute to their antitumor properties. Indeed, owing to their glucose-lowering effects, biguanides also reduce
circulating levels of insulin and IGF-1. Both hormones bind to receptors that are often expressed at high levels in cancer cells or in cells from which tumors originate, and that activate the oncogenic PAM (PI3K-AKT-mTOR) pathway, leading to activation of mTOR and promoting cell proliferation and growth [181,182]. Supporting this notion, patients with type II diabetes, who have insulin resistance and thus higher levels of circulating insulin, are at higher risk for various types of cancers due to the mitogenic effects of insulin. Indeed, it has been observed that there is an increased risk of various cancers, including breast [183,184], prostate [185], and colon [186,187] cancers in hyperinsulinemic and obese patients, compared to normal subjects. In this view, the indirect anticancer properties of biguanides are thought to play a role mostly in patients with hyperinsulinemia rather than in subjects that are not insulin resistant at baseline [188].

4.2.2. Effects on the Immune System

According to emerging studies, many of the antitumoral properties of biguanides may rely on their ability to target different components of the immune cells (CD8⁺ T cells, Tregs, MDSC, TAM) in the tumor microenvironment.

CD8⁺ T cells: Pearce et al. [189] showed that metformin promotes the generation of CD8⁺ T cells and increases protective immunity against lymphoma in mice, while Ekawa et al. [190] demonstrated that metformin enhances tumor infiltration of CD8⁺ T cells, protects them from apoptosis, and promotes the production of IL-2, TNFα, INFγ. Metformin was also shown to increase the effect of anti-PD1-therapy in melanoma cells, by alleviating CD8⁺ T cell suppression through inhibition of cancer cell oxygen consumption and consequent reduction of the hypoxic tumor microenvironment [191]. Additionally, metformin enhances the antitumor immune response of cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTL) through AMPK-mediated phosphorylation of PD-L1 at S195, which is followed by glycosylation and ERAD-mediated degradation. Therefore, it was shown that the combination of metformin with anti-CTLA4 therapy has a synergistic antitumor effect [192]. Conversely, other studies showed that phenformin decreased INFγ production from CD8⁺ T cells [193] and did not affect tumor infiltration of CTC cells [194].

Thus, given the divergence of these observations, further studies seem to be required to fully understand the effect of biguanides on CD8⁺ T cells.

- Tregs: Biguanides modulate the activity of Tregs, which suppress cytotoxic T cell functions required for tumor elimination. The administration of metformin was shown to decrease the infiltration of Tregs and to reprogram the tumor immune microenvironment in patients with esophageal squamous cell carcinoma [195].

- MDSC: MDSCs are myeloid cell precursors that increase cancer and suppress T and NK cells. Recent works have shown that biguanides inhibit the function of MDSCs in different cancer models and with various mechanisms [196–198].

- TAM: Tumor-associated macrophages may contribute to creating an immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment that promotes cancer development. Recent studies have shown that metformin may change the macrophage population toward tumor-suppressive subsets or may inhibit macrophage polarization towards the M2 phenotype in various tumors [199,200].

4.3. Variables Affecting the Response to Biguanides in Cancer

The sensitivity of cancer cells to biguanides depends on genetic and microenvironmental factors that allow adaptation to metabolic dysfunctions. Many studies suggest that biguanides alter substrate utilization in the mitochondria [178]. Cancer cells that strongly depend on mitochondrial metabolism and are poorly capable of engaging compensatory glycolysis would be highly sensitive to biguanides. Conversely, leukemia and lymphoma cells markedly depend on the activation of HIF-1α signaling during exposure to biguanides, being resistant to biguanide-induced complex I dysfunction mediated by HIF1α-regulated transcriptional rewiring of glucose metabolism [201]. Cancer cells with mitochondrial defects show a higher sensitivity to biguanides due to the lack of metabolic flexibility at the
mitochondrial level. This hypothesis has been confirmed by the evidence of higher phenformin sensitivity in cells harboring complex I mutations [146,153]. Additionally, cancer cells with a defective PGC-1α axis are more sensitive to metformin as well as cells with impaired AMPK signaling [202–204], being unable to metabolically adapt to the unfavorable conditions of energy depletion.

The metabolic environment seems also to influence the sensitivity to biguanides. Gui et al. [205] demonstrated that culture media alters the sensitivity of cancer cells to metformin, as cells cultured in DMEM required up to 10 mM metformin to inhibit proliferation, while cells cultured in RPMI media required lower metformin doses. In this scenario, pyruvate was proposed to suppress the anti-proliferative effects of metformin, since cells cultured in DMEM without pyruvate showed increased sensitivity to metformin, while cells cultured in RPMI supplemented with 1 mM pyruvate were less sensitive. Authors proposed that pyruvate modulates complex I dependency by providing an alternative pathway for NAD+ regeneration since it acts as an electron acceptor for NAD+ regeneration allowing aspartate synthesis [160]. Similarly, glucose availability plays a crucial role in the response to metformin since it was demonstrated that metformin sensitivity in cancer cells was increased upon lowering glucose concentration to 11 mM or upon addition of aspartate (150 µM) in culture media [160]. In another paper from Birsoy and colleagues [146], the authors demonstrated that cancer cells with defects in glucose utilization or complex I function were more sensitive to phenformin. In 0.75 mM glucose media, cell lines with complex I mutations or impaired glucose utilization were 5- to 20-fold more sensitive to phenformin compared to control cancer cell lines. This effect of glucose availability on biguanides sensitivity of cancer cells was further confirmed by another paper where medulloblastoma cells were treated with biguanides in media containing 5.5 mM glucose, corresponding to the average physiological plasma fasting concentration, or 0.75 mM glucose, corresponding to the cancer tissue glucose concentration [52]. The authors show that phenformin induced a significant inhibition of cell growth, with a stronger effect at 0.75 mM glucose. While in high glucose conditions the anti-proliferative effects of metformin are mediated by the AMPK/LKB1 axis, at low glucose concentrations in the absence of AMPK/LKB1 cells are more sensitive to growth inhibition by metformin, because they are not able to sustain the high energy demand. Dietary limitation through intermittent fasting has been shown to enhance the response to biguanides, and metformin seems to impair tumor growth only when administered during fasting-induced hypoglycemia [205].

Biodistribution and tissue specificity seem also to determine the degree of biguanides accumulation and thus influence their molecular and therapeutical actions. Indeed, the glucose-lowering effect of metformin resulting from inhibition of hepatic gluconeogenesis correlates with the high tissue concentrations that the drug reaches in the liver. Metformin is usually administered orally in diabetic patients, reaching concentrations between 40 and 70 µM in the portal vein, and it accumulates to a larger extent in the gut and liver. This is due to the systemic circulation and to the high level of expression of OCT transporters in these tissues. However, this is not representative of other tissues or organs, where metformin reaches lower micromolar concentrations.

### 4.4. Clinical Studies

Alteration of cellular metabolism is a hallmark of tumor cells, also believed to represent an attractive target for cancer therapy. The best known metabolic alteration in cancer is represented by the so-called Warburg effect, consisting of the transformation of glucose to lactate, regardless of the presence of extracellular oxygen [206]. In more recent years it has been understood that mitochondria are also essential for tumor growth, mostly because of their biosynthetic role rather than their pro-energetic features [207]. In this view, the ability of metformin to inhibit mitochondrial function seems to play an important role in mediating its anti-cancer effect. However, the low availability of metformin in humans at therapeutic antidiabetic doses has pointed to the need to find strategies aimed to maximize its activity and enhance its toxicity toward cancer cells. In this regard, several
groups have improved mitochondrial targeting of metformin to achieve therapeutically effective plasma concentrations in cancer patients by modifying its chemical structure, which resulted in mitochondria-targeted metformin analogs with significantly enhanced anti-tumor potential [208,209].

Clinical studies have been performed in diabetic patients where metformin was shown to reduce the incidence of liver, colorectal, breast, and pancreatic cancers and to increase the survival of colorectal, lung, and prostate cancer patients (Table 2). A meta-analysis of ovarian cancer showed a lower incidence and significantly increased survival in patients with diabetes [210]. Another meta-analysis in diabetic patients estimated the relationship between lung cancer incidence and metformin usage and showed a lower risk of cancer in metformin users if compared to non-users [211].

Table 2. Clinical trials of biguanides in cancer.

| No. | NCT-ID       | Title                                                      | Status       | Treatment                  | Phase   |
|-----|--------------|------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|----------------------------|---------|
| 1   | NCT01941953  | Metformin and 5-fluorouracil for Refractory Colorectal Cancer | Completed    | Metformin Fluorouracil     | Phase 2 |
| 2   | NCT02614339  | Effect of Adjunctive Metformin on Recurrence of Non-DM Colorectal Cancer Stage II High-risk/III Colorectal Cancer | Recruiting   | Metformin                 | Phase 3 |
| 3   | NCT01312467  | Trial of Metformin for Colorectal Cancer Risk Reduction for History of Colorectal Adenomas and Elevated BMI | Completed    | Metformin HCl             | Phase 2 |
| 4   | NCT01926769  | A Phase II Study to Determine the Safety and Efficacy of Second-line Treatment with Metformin and Chemotherapy (FOLFOX6 or FOFIRI) in the Second-Line Treatment of Advanced Colorectal Cancer | Terminated   | Metformin                 | Phase 2 |
| 5   | NCT01523639  | A Randomized, Placebo-controlled, Double-blind Phase II Study Evaluating if Glucophage Can Avoid Liver Injury Due to Chemotherapy Associated Steatosis | Terminated   | Metformin                 | Phase 2 |
| 6   | NCT01816659  | An Open-Labeled Pilot Study of Biomarker Response Following Short-Term Exposure to Metformin | Terminated   | Metformin ER              | Phase 1 |
| 7   | NCT03800602  | Nivolumab and Metformin in Patients with Treatment Refractory MSS Colorectal Cancer | Recruiting   | Metformin Nivolumab       | Phase 2 |
| 8   | NCT01930864  | Metformin Plus Irinotecan for Refractory Colorectal Cancer | Recruiting   | Metformin Irinotecan      | Phase 2 |
| 9   | NCT03047837  | A Randomized, 2 × 2 Factorial Design Biomarker Prevention Trial of Low-dose Aspirin and Metformin in Stage I-III Colorectal Cancer Patients | Recruiting   | Aspirin Metformin         | Phase 2 |
| 10  | NCT01440127  | Impact of Pretreatment with Metformin on Colorectal Cancer Stem Cells (CCSC) and Related Pharmacodynamic Markers | Terminated   | Metformin                 | Phase 1 |
Table 2. Cont.

| No. | NCT-ID        | Title                                                                 | Status       | Treatment                                                            | Phase |
|-----|---------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|
| 11  | NCT01340300   | Exercise and Metformin in Colorectal and Breast Cancer Survivors     | Completed    | Metformin, Exercise training, Educational information               | Phase 2 |
| 12  | NCT04033107   | High Dose Vitamin C Combined with Metformin in the Treatment of Malignant Tumors | Recruiting   | Vitamin C Metformin                                                 | Phase 2 |
| 13  | NCT01632020   | Effect of Metformin on Biomarkers of Colorectal Tumor Cell Growth   | Terminated   | Metformin                                                           | Phase 2 |
| 14  | NCT03359681   | Metformin Treatment for Colon Cancer                                 | Recruiting   | Metformin                                                           | Phase 2 |
| 15  | NCT02431676   | Survivorship Promotion in Reducing IGF-1 Trial                      | Completed    | Metformin, Coach Directed Behavioral Weight Loss, Self-control weight loss | Phase 2 |
| 16  | NCT02201381   | Study of the Safety, Tolerability, and Efficacy of Metabolic Combination Treatments on Cancer | Recruiting   | Metformin Atorvastatin Doxycycline Mebendazole                      | Phase 3 |
| 17  | NCT02437656   | Combination of Metformin with Neoadjuvant Radiochemotherapy in the Treatment of Locally Advanced (METCAP). | Completed    | Metformin                                                           | Phase 2 |
| 18  | NCT03053544   | Metformin with Neoadjuvant Chemoradiation to Improve Pathologic Responses in Rectal Cancer | Completed    | Metformin                                                           | Phase 2 |
| 19  | NCT02473094   | Neoadjuvant Metformin in Association with Chemoradiotherapy for Locally Advanced Rectal Cancer | Terminated   | Metformin Capecitabine                                              | Phase 2 |
| 20  | NCT01620593   | Castration Compared to Castration Plus Metformin as First-Line Treatment for Patients with Advanced Prostate Cancer | Completed    | Metformin                                                           | Phase 2 |
| 21  | NCT02581137   | Metformin Hydrochloride in Preventing Oral Cancer in Patients with an Oral Premalignant Lesion | Active       | Metformin                                                           | Phase 2 |
| 22  | NCT01447927   | Metformin Hydrochloride in Preventing Esophageal Cancer in Patients with Barrett Esophagus | Completed    | Metformin                                                           | Phase 2 |
| 23  | NCT03238495   | Randomized Trial of Neo-adjuvant Chemotherapy With or Without Metformin for HER2 Positive Operable Breast Cancer (HERMET) | Recruiting   | Taxotere, Carboplatin, Herceptin + Pertuzumab Metformin             | Phase 2 |
| 24  | NCT03026517   | Clinical Trial of Phenformin in Combination With BRAF Inhibitor + MEK Inhibitor for Patients With BRAF-mutated | Recruiting   | Dabrafenib, Trametinib, Phenformin                                  | Phase 1 |

More recently, many clinical trials have been developed to investigate the anti-tumoral potential of metformin in nondiabetic patients. Two perspective trials on metformin combinational therapy with platinum-based chemotherapy in advanced NSCLC (Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer) showed a composed median overall survival of 17.5 months for patients with
KRAS mutations with good tolerability, validating metformin clinical efficacy as adjuvant therapy in this setting [65]. One phase I trial of metformin combinatorial treatment with standard therapy in relapsed refractory acute lymphoblastic leukemia showed an overall response rate (complete and partial responses) of 43% [212]. One randomized, phase II clinical trial of metformin in combination with standard chemotherapy in HER2-negative metastatic breast cancer showed no benefit. Another randomized trial combining metformin with neo-adjuvant chemotherapy in HER2-positive breast cancers (NCT03238495) is still underway. One meta-analysis in pancreatic cancer patients evidenced a significant increase in overall survival in patients at stage I–II and at stage I–IV treated with adjuvant metformin, suggesting a potentially available option for the treatment [213]. However, a randomized phase II study of metformin combinatorial treatment with standard systemic therapy in metastatic pancreatic cancer patients did not show any significant improvement in the clinical outcome [214].

Phenformin is currently in phase I clinical trials for combinatorial treatment with dabrafenib and trametinib in patients with BRAFV600E/K-mutated melanoma (NCT03026517). These studies in normal subjects will unveil the potential of biguanides in oncology, revealing their ability to counteract tumor growth and progression and clarifying the contribution of their systemic effects in the successful clinical outcome that has been observed in diabetic patients treated with this class of drugs.

5. Conclusions

Although metformin is prescribed to more than 120 million patients worldwide and almost 3000 papers on biguanides are published every year, how these drugs exert their therapeutic effects is an open question that still begs conclusive answers.

Based on the topics discussed in this article, some conclusions that will find a broad consensus may be drawn and should be taken as general guidelines in future investigations.

1. While inhibition of complex I activity at millimolar concentrations of biguanides is a reproducible phenomenon in vitro and in cell culture, it remains to be fully clarified if this occurs in animal models or in patients taking standard doses of the drugs and, even in such case, if the degree of inhibition is sufficient to mediate a significant biological response when the drugs are given orally at the therapeutic conditions. Except for some tissues, such as the gut and liver, biguanides have been only found at low micromolar concentrations in the body of people taking therapeutic doses of the drugs. Data obtained with overexpression of the budding yeast NDI1, which is often used to formally demonstrate complex I-dependence, may actually be due to effects on other mitochondrial regulators of NAD+ /NADH ratio and have to be carefully controlled.

2. Activation of AMPK and phosphorylation of its downstream targets are additional well-established events, often believed to be responsible for the therapeutic response to biguanides. As for complex I inhibition, AMPK phosphorylation is generally detected in most cell culture experiments when millimolar doses of biguanides are used. In addition, some data obtained in animal models have shown a certain degree of phosphorylation of AMPK and its targets in response to low levels of biguanides. However, it remains to be fully elucidated if the magnitude of activation reached under therapeutic conditions is biologically meaningful and whether targeted deletion of AMPK truly impairs the response to biguanides in vivo.

3. Any concentration of biguanides, including those that fall within the therapeutic range, causes redox imbalance, with an increased NADH/NAD+ ratio. It is still unclear if this is the consequence of the interaction of biguanides with complex I and/or mGPD and/or complex IV and/or other mechanisms. Regardless of the target involved, it should be carefully evaluated to what extent and how redox alterations affect gluconeogenesis or cancer growth. Approaches directed to the selective targeting of the redox state, possibly without causing energy stress, would be needed to properly address this issue.
4. The anticancer effect of biguanides is dependent on several local variables in the tumor microenvironment: drug concentration, nutrient concentration (glucose, pyruvate, amino acids, etc.), and genetic mutations affecting metabolic processes (e.g., respiration, glucose utilization). These aspects need to be fully characterized and evaluated when treating any cells in vivo and in vitro.

5. Biguanides are typically taken orally, and this implies that their effect could be mediated, at least in part, by the interaction with the cells of the GI tract and the commensal microbiota, which may both release molecules involved in an indirect response to the drug. To date, it is still unclear and debated the exact contribution of the gut to the therapeutic properties of biguanides. This issue should also be considered when administering the drug to animal models, by evaluating the effect after parenteral (i.e., i.p., i.v.) administration.
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