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Abstract

This research aimed to evaluate the relationship between Vessel-Ray Pits (VRP) and Intervessel Pits (IVP) and water flows in the different axes of *Eucalyptus urophylla* wood. Samples of *E. urophylla* wood were used to correlate the VRP and IVP dimensions with free, adsorbed and total water flow rates. Correlations were conducted for the three axes of the wood. Results indicated that overall, it could be observed that the greater VRP and IVP in the axial axis of wood increased free water and absorbed water flows. On the one hand, the greater VRP in the radial and tangential directions reduced the free water flow and increased the absorbed water flow. On the other hand, the greater IVP in the radial and tangential directions of the wood accelerated the free water outlet and reduced the absorbed water flow. The VRP and IVP of *E. urophylla* wood influenced water flow according to its physical state and wood axis.
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1. Introduction

Water flow in wood has been already researched, for at least, a century. According to the literature review presented by Engelund et al. (2013) on this topic, research on the water-wood interactions has been mainly performed with coniferous species. In the last years, water-wood interaction in hardwoods has also been studied, mainly in Brazil. In this scenario, family Myrtaceae is highlighted for studies on the genus *Eucalyptus* (Silva et al., 2010; Zanuncio et al., 2013; Monteiro et al., 2017, 2018; Nascimento et al., 2019; Zen et al., 2019).

It is well-known that fibers, vessel elements, and radial and axial parenchyma cells make the *Eucalyptus urophylla* wood porous. The passage of fluids through these structures is complex, seeing that it is performed with water in both liquid and gaseous phases (Siau, 1971). Water flow in the stem of hardwoods occurs mainly through vessels and pit pairs (Siau, 1971; Engelund et al., 2013). However, these anatomical structures can be obstructed by tyloses, and then interfere with water flow, especially at the heartwood (De Micco et al., 2016; Helmling et al., 2018; Brito et al., 2019). All this complexity of wood-water interactions increases, when analyzed in the different axes of wood, may occur due to several permeability techniques that can flow only in the axial axis (Silva et al., 2010; Baratána et al., 2014; Zanuncio et al., 2016; Monteiro et al., 2017). A different situation is found for coniferous wood since the importance of bordered pits in water flow has been widely reported in several studies (Kedrov, 2012; Engelund et al., 2013). Therefore, this research aimed to evaluate the relationship between the biometrics of VRP and IVP and well as water flow in the different axes of *E. urophylla* wood.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Material selection and preparation

Six trees of an *E. urophylla* clone were granted by the Brazilian subsidiary Vallourec Florestal Ltda. The plantation, located in the municipality of Paraopeba (in the State of Minas Gerais, in Brazil), had a spacing of 3 × 3 meters between the trees.
The trees were cut at the age of seven. One central lumber of the log from the base of the tree was removed, and two battens on opposite sides were produced.

Cubic test specimens with edges of 30 mm were produced from each batten in the Wood Machining Laboratory of the Federal University of Lavras (in Portuguese Universidade Federal de Lavras (UFLA)). The cube in the intermediate position of the batten was removed to determine the pit diameter. The other samples were split in half, according to the orientation of the drying axis, with dimensions of 30 × 30 × 15 mm. The axis with the smallest dimension was used to evaluate water flow, being evaluated in the axial, radial, and tangential axes. The other corners of the sample were waterproofed to force drying only in the direction evaluated.

2.2. Water flow evaluation

The samples were acclimated in an air-conditioned room with a temperature of 20 ± 2 °C and relative humidity of 65 ± 5%. Mass loss of the samples was performed for 75 days until all samples had obtained constant mass. These tests were conducted in the Physical and Mechanical Properties of Wood Laboratory at the UFLA. The mass of the samples was measured in a digital electronic scale with an accuracy of 0.01 g every 6 hours in the first week, every 12 hours in the second week, and every 24 hours until mass stabilization. After this period, the samples were kiln-dried with a temperature of 103 ± 2 °C, until they reached constant mass.

After monitoring the sample drying and obtaining drying times and moisture contents, Free Water Flow Rate (FWFR), Adsorbed Water Flow Rate (AWFR), and Total Water Flow Rate (TWFR) were estimated according to a methodology adapted from Monteiro et al. (2018), considering the different axes of the wood.

2.3. Pit measurement

The diameters of VRP and IVP were measured in the twelve intermediate specimens of each batten. The measurements were taken in the Electronic Microscopy Laboratory at the UFLA.

Sample preparation and measurements were performed based on Alves & Roswalka’s (2012) method.

2.4. Analysis of the results

A database with information on water flow rates and also on values of VRP and IVP was developed for each axis of the wood. Correlations of pit diameters and drying rates were calculated, and regression models were adjusted. The best results were selected based on their coefficient of determination (R²).

3. Results

Table 1 presents data on the models with their respective coefficients of determination between the VRP and IVP opening diameters as well as the FWFR and AWFR and total drying, up to equilibrium moisture, in the three axes of the wood.

Figure 1 illustrates the directly proportional relationship between the FWFR and AWFR and the VRP diameter in the axial axis of E. urophylla wood.

Figure 2 presents the VRP and IVP in E. urophylla wood.

Figure 3 shows the inverse behavior between the FWFR and AWFR due to the increased VRP in the tangential axis (A) and IVP in the radial axis (B) of E. urophylla wood.

Table 1. Correlation between water flow in the different axes of Eucalyptus urophylla wood and diameter of Vessel-Ray Pits (VRP) and Intervessel Pits (IVP).

| Wood axes | Vessel-ray pits (VRP) | R² | Intervessel pits (IVP) | R² |
|-----------|----------------------|----|-----------------------|----|
| Axial     | FWFR = 0.0003VRP + 0.0071 | 0.17 | FWFR = 0.0013IVP + 0.0073 | 0.52 |
|           | AWFR = 0.0003VRP - 0.0016 | 0.47 | AWFR = 0.0002IVP + 0.0002 | 0.03 |
|           | TWFR = 0.0002VRP + 0.0017 | 0.11 | TWFR = -0.0003IVP + 0.0037 | 0.02 |
| Radial    | FWFR = -0.0003VRP + 0.0008 | 0.29 | FWFR = 0.0003IVP + 0.0052 | 0.31 |
|           | AWFR = 4E-05VRP + 1E-04 | 0.31 | AWFR = -0.0001IVP + 0.0007 | 0.54 |
|           | TWFR = 4E-05VRP + 0.0013 | 0.04 | TWFR = -0.0003IVP + 0.0022 | 0.24 |
| Tangential| FWFR = -0.0005VRP + 0.0079 | 0.55 | FWFR = 0.0004IVP + 0.003 | 0.15 |
|           | AWFR = 4E-05VRP + 2E-05 | 0.36 | AWFR = -0.0001IVP + 0.0008 | 0.33 |
|           | TWFR = -3E-06VRP + 0.0014 | 0.00 | TWFR = -0.0003IVP + 0.0022 | 0.29 |

Where: R²: coefficient of determination; FWFR: Free Water Flow Rate; AWFR: Adsorbed Water Flow Rate; TWFR: Total Water Flow Rate; VRP: diameter of the Vessel-Ray Pits; IVP: diameter of Intervessel Pits.
4. Discussion

The largest VRP and IPV opening facilitated the FWFR in the axial axis of E. urophylla wood (Table 1). Under these circumstances, the same behavior occurred for the AWFR. However, it had a coefficient of determination ($R^2$) close to zero for the IPV. These results demonstrated that VRP and IPV positively assisted water flow in the longitudinal direction of the lumber, helping the vessels in this function. The vessel elements are the main structures responsible for carrying water in hardwoods (Siau, 1971; Kedrov, 2012; Engelund et al., 2013), in addition the dimensions and shape of their pits (Jansen et al., 2011; Kedrov, 2012).

Pits may also help to form tyloses and gums inside the vessels (De Micco et al., 2016; Helmling et al., 2018) and consequently to reduce wood permeability, especially at the heartwood (Brito et al., 2019). However, few existing studies on this subject could not differentiate water flow in its different physical states. Thus, the results obtained in this study reinforce the importance of pits in water transporting in both liquid and vaporous states (Figure 1).

The water flow in the radial and tangential axes of the wood presented similar behavior when the effect of VRP and IPV opening on drying was analyzed (Table 1). Greater VRP openings reduced the FWFR in the transverse direction of the wood, reducing the liquid water capillarity as well. Larger openings between the vessel elements and the radial parenchyma (Figure 2A) may contribute to the liquid water flow to be more complex and consequently slower. However, other pit analyses should be conducted for reassurance, for instance on pit structure and frequency (Choat et al., 2008; Kedrov, 2012).

The greater VRP favored the AWFR in the radial and tangential directions, also facilitating the phenomenon of water diffusion. Figure 3A represents the relationship between the FWFR and AWFR and the VRP opening for the tangential axis of the wood, which presented the highest $R^2$ - with a value of 0.55 (Table 1). The opposite behavior between the FWFR and AWFR and the increased pit opening for the radial and tangential axes of the wood resulted in zero correlations, with $R^2$ close to zero, when analyzed for total drying.

The IVP and VRP openings presented the same water flow behavior in the radial and tangential axes (Table 1). However,
the increased IVP opening in these directions resulted in higher FWFR, facilitating the phenomenon of capillary and the reduction of the AWFR and hindering the phenomenon of water diffusion. Figure 3B reports the relationship between water flow and IVP in the radial axis of the wood. It is worth noting that the IVP of E. urophylla wood is smaller and more frequent when compared to its VRP (Figure 2B). However, it was not possible to evaluate this parameter by testing it in four study samples due to the occurrence of solitary vessels.

The greater IVP opening, possibly allied to its shape and frequency, favored the liquid water flow. A different behavior was observed for the VRP. Kedrov (2012) reported IVP’s relevance in water flow and presented numerous pits which tend to get flattened in their contact areas to improve water passage. The author also stated that adjacent walls are thicker in IVP than in other segments of the vascular element, possibly because water penetrates pits under pressure. In addition, as the tree ages and consequently the heartwood increases, tyloses can be formed at the pits and reduce wood permeability (De Micco et al., 2016).

The water vapor diffusion, estimated by the absorbed water flow, was reduced as the IVP opening increased. The increased IVP opening, allied with its shape and frequency, is possibly a cell wall discontinuity, which exposed hydroxyl groups of the wood polymers that attract water molecules, hindering the flow, Hydrogen bonds are formed in sorption areas (Simpson, 1980) along with other polar chemical groups that attract water (Berthold et al., 1996).

5. Conclusions

Based on the evaluation of the correlations between the diameters of VRP, IVP, FWFR, AWFR and TWFR in the different axes of E. urophylla wood, it was possible to conclude that:

- Greater VRP and IVP in the axial axis of E. urophylla wood overall increased the FWFR and AWFR;
- Radial and tangential axes showed the same behavior for the effect of VRP and IVP diameters on water flow;
- On the one hand, greater VRP in the radial and tangential directions reduced FWFR and increased AWFR. On the other hand, greater IVP in the transverse direction of the wood accelerated the free water outlet and reduced the AWFR;
- The opposite behavior between the FWFR and AWFR and the pit opening resulted in zero correlations in the total drying with these openings.
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