Effect of Gastrointestinal Nematodes on Reproduction and Lamb Growth in Australian Merino Sheep
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Abstract

Environmental conditions in Uruguay favour the development of gastrointestinal nematodes (GIN) throughout the year, with clinical or subclinical manifestations that cause significant economic losses. The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of the GIN on fertility, fecundity, reproductive rate and lamb growth in Australian Merino sheep grazing on basalt fields. The study was carried out in a farm located in north of Uruguay. Sixty-eight Merino ewes facing a natural parasite challenge were divided into two groups. Control group was doused with active anthelmintic that have proven efficacy to minimize the effects of parasitic and the parasitized group that did not receive anthelmintic, except rescue dosages. Stool sample of each sheep was collected monthly for egg counts (EPG) of GIN using McMaster technique and nematode genders were identified from infective larvae obtained in cultures by Roberts O’ Sullivan technique. Artificial insemination and ewes were mated for new service, and pregnancy condition was diagnosed by ultrasound. Lambing control was made and lambs were weighed at birth and marking. The evolution of EPG values showed significant differences between groups at the end of gestation and lactation. Haemonchus spp. was the main gender of nematodes found, followed by Trichostrongylus spp. Fertility was 95 % versus 90 %; fecundity 87 % versus 63 % and reproductive rate 73 % versus 47 % for the control group and the parasitized one respectively. No significant differences were recorded in birth-weight. However market-weight and lamb daily gain were lower in the parasitized group. The effect of natural infection GIN was evident in lower reproductive performance of Australian Merino sheep.
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Introduction

Sheep and cattle can be parasitized by gastrointestinal nematodes (GIN) that are widely distributed throughout the territory of Uruguay. The effects nematodes causes in the production systems are depend of management and environment and therefore variable magnitude of the effect on the parameters evaluated. GNI has been shown to cause a detriment in meat; wool production, sperm concentration and ovulation rate [1-4]. Parasitized ewes showed decrease in lamb survival, in birth-weight and daily gain [5]. In addition, a lower production of milk [6] and a decrease in protein concentration [7], fat and lactose in the milk were observed in parasitized sheep [8].
The main objective of the work was to evaluate the effect of GIN on fertility, reproductive rate and on lamb growth of Australian Merino grazing on basalt natural pasture.

**Materials and Methods**

The study was carried out in a farm located in the north of the country (31°50’ latitude S, 56°53’ longitude W) and the animal population involved consisted of a total of 68 Australian Merino breeding sheep that faced a natural parasitic challenge was randomly divided into two groups. The control group (n=38) was dosed with Monepantel 2.5 % and Moxidectin 1 % (4th January, 14th February, 26th April, 4th May, 25th May), of proven effectiveness in minimizing parasitic load and the parasitic group (n=30) received no anthelmintic, except for rescue doses. In each sheep, a sample of GIN’s fecal egg counting (EPG) matter was collected monthly (November to July) through Mc Master’s technique with saturated salts solution and with a sensitivity of 50 EPG [9].

The gender of nematodes was identified from infecting larvae obtained in cultures using the Roberts O’Sullivan technique. Considering the morphological characteristics of Larvae 3, parasitic gender was identified [10].

Artificial insemination using fresh semen was performed on November 20, and subsequent mating with rams (3 %) during 39 days.

Pregnancy was diagnosed and fetuses were counted by ultrasonography 60 days after insemination (Aloka SSD 500; 5 MHZ, transrectal probe).

Lambing control was carried out and the lambs were weighed at birth and at the market.

Reproductive parametric were calculated: Fertility (pregnant ewes / inseminated ewes); fecundity (born lambs / inseminated ewes) and reproductive rate (market lambs / inseminated ewes).

Statistical analysis was performed using the SAS statistical package, GENMOD and GLM (Statistical Analysis System Institute, Windows Version 9.2, 2008). EPG data were log transformed for analysis. A difference was considered significant at a probability level of 5 %.

**Results and Discussion**

**Gastrointestinal Nematode Infection**

EPG values at the start of pregnancy and lactation were 4 versus 61 (p>0.05) and 454 versus 4579 (p< 0.01) for control and parasitized group respectively (Figure 2). The gender of nematodes present were *Haemonchus* spp., mainly and secondly *Trichostrongylus* spp.

At the beginning of the trial groups had low parasitic loads (control group 4 EPG and parasitized group 61 EPG), this can be attributed that previously receiving of periodic dosing and the last one was at the end of October. In the control group from start to June the average parasitic load did not exceed 454 EPG. In turn in the period February - March it is observed that the egg counts of nematodes were < 50 EPG, this may be explained by the high efficacy of 100% of the anthelmintic drug (Monepantel 2.5%) used on 14 February in the control of nematodes. At the end of the study in July the counts increased to an average of 1164 EPG.

Parasitized group after the beginning and during the entire period evaluated presented a higher parasitic load with respect to the control group, reaching the maximum average value of 4579 EPG in June. The tendency to increase the values of the counts towards the end of the study in both groups but on different scores coincides with the end of gestation and lactation period and with the phenomenon called "spring rise" [11,12]. In "spring rise phenomenon"
increase in lactation there is a decrease in the immune response of the sheep that together with an activation of the hypobiotic larvae of *Haemonchus contortus*, lead to a greater elimination of eggs to pastures and consequently an increase in the rate of contamination. There is also an increase in the fertility of adult female nematodes that favors the rise of EPG counts during lactation [13,14]. They have been mentioned as causes of decrease immune response to stressors such as under nutrition, climate and gestation, birth and lactation. At the end of gestation and in lactation, energy demand and on the other the susceptibility to parasites are increased [15]. Although the work did not perform the analysis of hypobiotic larvae, it was observed that there was a predominance of the gender *Haemonchus*.

![Figure 2: Evolution of parasitic loads of gastrointestinal nematodes in Merino ewes (*: p< 0.05; **: p< 0.01). : lambing.](image)

**Gender of Gastrointestinal Nematodes Observed**

GIN gender recorded through larvae cultures at 4 times during the study period are shown in Figure 2. In this sense, *Haemonchus* spp. it was present at all opportunities and in higher percentage, between 50 and 95 % of the total infecting larvae evaluated. In contrast, levels of *Trichostrongylus* spp., *Ostertagia* spp., and *Oesophagostomum* spp., fluctuated in different instances between 1 and 18 %. This is consistent with several national works that show that haemonchosis is one of the main sheep parasitizes [1,16].

![Figure 3: Gender of gastrointestinal nematodes present in larvae cultures during the study period.](image)
Effects of Gastrointestinal Nematodes on Reproductive Performance of Australian Merino Ewes

Fertility was 95 % versus 90 %, fecundity 87 % versus 63 % and reproductive rate 73 % versus 47 % for the control and parasitized group respectively; differences that were statistically significant (Table 1). On the other hand, there were no significant differences in birth-weight. GIN interferes and competes for nutrients, affecting the digestibility and absorption of nutrients [16], and in this way affecting reproductive performance.

| Group                  | Control | Parasitized | P     |
|------------------------|---------|-------------|-------|
| Fertility (%)          | 95.0    | 90.0        | *     |
| Fecundity (%)          | 87.0    | 63.0        | **    |
| Reproductive rate (%)  | 73.0    | 47.0        | **    |

*: p < 0.05; **: p < 0.01

Table 1: Fertility, fecundity, reproductive rate according to control and parasitized group.

The statistically significant difference in reproductive rates can be explained by the lower fertility recorded in parasitic sheep. It could also be due to a decrease in milk production and the poor condition of sheep parasitic affecting lamb birth-weight [6]. Milk proteins are affected by GIN infection with reductions of 11.9 %, as well as decreasing the fat and lactose content by 29.9 % and 19.6 % respectively [8]. In this sense, in sheep of the Lacaune breed with low levels of parasitic infection resulting from the prepartum and postpartum dosing with an anthelmintic administered in the form of slow-release intraruminal bolus, increases in milk production were achieved by 18.5 % [17].

The reproductive rate in the parasitized group (47 %) was significantly affected, below the national average of around 65 % [16]. In this work, lamb losses were recorded from the partum to the indicated 14 % for the control group and 16 % for the parasitized group.

Evaluation of Effect of Gastrointestinal Nematodes on Lamb Growth

No significant statistical differences in weight-birth were found. There were differences in weight at marking (40 days older) (Table 2). This was due to increased daily gain in the control group. The average daily gain was 0.169 kg and 0.136 kg for control and parasitized lambs respectively.

| Group               | Control      | Parasitized  | P     |
|---------------------|--------------|--------------|-------|
| Birth-weight (Kg)   | 3.80 ± 0.59  | 3.92 ± 0.86  | 0.45  |
| Market weight (Kg)  | 10.370 ± 1.610 | 8.770 ± 1.920 | 0.02  |
| Daily gain (kg)     | 0.164 ± 0.030 | 0.122 ± 0.029 | 0.01  |

Table 2: Body weight (kg) at the birth and market.

Birth-weight obtained in this study was below the optimal range for the Merino breed (4.2 to 4.8 kg) established by Fernandez Abella [18], in which the percentage of neonatal death drops to less than 10 %. In contrast, in a study conducted by Fernandez Abella, et al. [5] found differences in average birth-weights in dosed sheep versus undosed sheep belonging to the breed Merino. Market weight decrease may be influenced by the lower milk production of parasitized mothers [17,19,20]. The growth of lambs especially in the first three to four weeks of life depends fundamentally on the quantity and/or quality of milk provided, taking into account that during the lactation period, the weight gain is proportional to the amount of milk ingested [8]. On the other hand, Mederos, et al. [21] stated that parasitic infections limit live weight gain, soft tissue deposition, muscle growth and milk and wool production.

Conclusion

In our conditions the effect of natural GIN infection was obvious in a lower reproductive performance of Australian Merino breeding sheep.
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