Activation studies with amino acids and amines of a β-carboxy anhydrase from *Mammallicoccus (Staphylococcus) sciuri* previously annotated as *Staphylococcus aureus* (SauBCA) carbonic anhydrase

Andrea Angeli\(^a,\) Linda J Urbański\(^b,\) Clemente Capasso\(^c,\) Seppo Parkkila\(^b,d\) and Claudiu T. Supuran\(^a\)

\(^a\)Dipartimento Neurofarma, Sezione di Scienze Farmaceutiche e Nutraceutiche, Università degli Studi di Firenze, Sesto Fiorentino (Florence), Italy; \(^b\)Faculty of Medicine and Health Technology, Tampere University, Tampere, Finland; \(^c\)Department of Biology, Agriculture and Food Sciences, Institute of Biosciences and Bioresources, Napoli, Italy; \(^d\)Fimlab Ltd, Tampere University Hospital, Tampere, Finland

**ABSTRACT**

A β-carboxy anhydrase (CA, EC 4.2.1.1) previously annotated to be present in the genome of *Staphylococcus aureus*, SauBCA, has been shown to belong to another pathogenic bacterium, *Mammallicoccus (Staphylococcus) sciuri*. This enzyme, MscCA, has been investigated for its activation with a series of natural and synthetic amino acid and amines, comparing the results with those obtained for the ortholog enzyme from *Escherichia coli*, EcoCA\(\beta\). The best MscCA activators were D-His, L- and D-DOPA, 4-(2-aminoethyl)-morpholine and L-Asn, which showed \(K_\text{A}\)s of 0.12 – 0.89 \(\mu\)M. The least efficient activators were D-Tyr and L-Gln (\(K_\text{A}\)s of 13.9 – 28.6 \(\mu\)M). The enzyme was also also inhibited by anions and sulphonamides, as described earlier. Endogenous CA activators may play a role in bacterial virulence and colonisation of the host which makes this research topic of great interest.

1. Introduction

Carbonic anhydrases (CAs, EC 4.2.1.1), the enzymes which catalyse the interconversion between \(\text{CO}_2\) and bicarbonate according to Equations (1) and (2), are widespread in all life kingdoms, including Bacteria\(^1\)-\(^5\). Of the eight genetically distinct CA families known to date, at least four (\(\alpha\), \(\beta\), \(\gamma\) and \(\iota\)-CAs) are present in these organisms, in which they play crucial roles related to metabolism, pH regulation, acclimation in different niches in which bacteria grow, but also pathogenesis and virulence in the case of pathogenic species\(^4\)-\(^6\).

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{EZn}^{2+} + \text{OH}^- + \text{CO}_2 & \rightleftharpoons \text{EZn}^{2+} - \text{HCO}_3^- \text{H}^+ + \text{CO}_2^- \text{H}_2\text{O} \\
\text{EZn}^{2+} + \text{OH}^- + \text{H}^+ & \text{rate determining step} \rightleftharpoons \text{EZn}^{2+} - \text{OH}^- + \text{H}^+ - \text{H}^+ \rightleftharpoons \text{EZn}^{2+} - \text{OH}^- + \text{H}^+ + \text{H}^+ 
\end{align*}
\]

Equations (1) and (2), are widespread in all life kingdoms, including Bacteria\(^1\)-\(^5\). Of the eight genetically distinct CA families known to date, at least four (\(\alpha\), \(\beta\), \(\gamma\) and \(\iota\)-CAs) are present in these organisms, in which they play crucial roles related to metabolism, pH regulation, acclimation in different niches in which bacteria grow, but also pathogenesis and virulence in the case of pathogenic species\(^4\)-\(^6\).

On the other hand, activation studies of various classes of CAs have progressed slower compared to the inhibition studies. The CA activation mechanism was definitively demonstrated at the molecular level only in 1997 with the report of the first X-ray crystallographic adduct of a CA – activator complex, more precisely hCA II complexed with histamine\(^13\). Thus, Briganti et al.\(^13\) demonstrated that CA activators (CAAs) participate directly in the enzyme catalytic cycle, as shown schematically in Equation (3), binding in a different binding site compared to the classical sulphonamide inhibitors, i.e. at the entrance of the cavity\(^6\),\(^13\).

\[
\text{EZn}^{2+} - \text{OH}^- + \text{A} \rightleftharpoons \text{EZn}^{2+} - \text{OH}^- + \text{H}^+ - \text{H}^+ \rightleftharpoons \text{EZn}^{2+} - \text{OH}^- + \text{A}^+ \rightleftharpoons \text{EZn}^{2+} - \text{H}^+ - \text{AH}^+ + \text{enzym} - \text{activator complexes}
\]

Equation (3)

Presently, a large number of activation studies of all hCAs are available with many classes of compounds, and several crystallographic and drug design studies were also reported\(^14\)-\(^17\). Furthermore, CAAs may have pharmacological applications for memory therapy as well as for the treatment of cognitive disorders in need of effective therapies\(^18\). Although this field is still in its infancy, crucial advances have been made over the last few years in understanding the connections between fear, extinction/social memory and CA activation/inhibition\(^17\),\(^18\).

Non-mammalian CAs activation, mainly described in fungal and bacterial pathogens started to be investigated only in the last years, in order to understand whether endo- or exogenic modulators of this enzymatic activity may interfere with virulence, metabolism or pathogenicity of these organisms\(^19\)-\(^21\). Indeed, CAs from...
fungi such as Malassezia globosa, Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Candida albicans, Cryptococcus neoformans, etc., or bacteria such as Vibrio cholerae, Mycobacterium tuberculosis, Francisella tularensis, Brucella suis, Escherichia coli, etc., were recently investigated for their activation profiles with natural and synthetic amines and amino acid derivatives\textsuperscript{19–21}.

Among the pathogens investigated ultimately for the presence of druggable CAs, was Staphylococcus aureus, a bacterium known for its virulence and easy development of drug resistance to a variety of clinically used antibiotics\textsuperscript{2}. In 2016 we identified in the NCBI database a sequence annotated as encoding for a $\beta$-CA in the genome of S. aureus, which we cloned, characterised and showed to be susceptible to inhibition with sulphonamides and anions, two of the most investigated classes of CAIs\textsuperscript{2}. This enzyme, denominated SauBCA, showed the typical behaviour of a bacterial $\beta$-CA, possessing a significant CO$_2$ hydrase catalytic activity, similar to those of other such enzymes described earlier in E. coli, M. tuberculosis, Salmonella enterica (serovar Typhimurium), and many other pathogenic bacteria by us and other groups\textsuperscript{1–5}. However, a recent reinvestigation of the database showed that the initial annotation was erroneous, and that the sequence thought to belong to the genome of S. aureus, was in fact from another species of this genus, Staphylococcus sciuri\textsuperscript{22}. To make things even more complicated, recently S. sciuri has been moved to another taxon, Mammaliicoccus sciuri\textsuperscript{23}. Mammaliicoccus (Staphylococcus) sciuri, is known as a Gram-positive, oxidase-positive, coagulase-negative member of these infectious bacteria, provoking disease in humans and animals (it was originally isolated from the squirrel)\textsuperscript{22}. In fact, the taxonomy of the Staphylococcaceae family is rather complex, and as mentioned earlier, many genome annotations were inexact or were overlapping between various genetically similar species\textsuperscript{23}. However, all these bacteria provoke diseases in humans and animals and show variable (usually high) degrees of resistance to clinically used antibiotics\textsuperscript{22}.

Here we report an activation study of the $\beta$-CA previously known as SauBCA, and now renamed here as MscCA, with a series of amino acids and amines of types 1–24 (Figure 1) previously investigated as activators of other classes of CAs, including several bacterial such enzymes\textsuperscript{19–21}. We also compare the obtained results with those for a similar $\beta$-class enzyme from the model organisms.
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\caption{Amino acids and amines 1–24 investigated as CAAs in the current article.}
\end{figure}
Escherichia coli, EcoCAβ investigated earlier for its activation with the same class of compounds.  

2. Materials and methods  

2.1. Enzyme production and purification  

The protocol described in ref.² has been used to obtain purified recombinant MscCA. EcoCAβ was also obtained in-house as reported earlier.  

2.2. Ca activity/activity measurements  

An Sx.18Mv-R Applied Photophysics (Oxford, UK) stopped-flow instrument has been used to assay the catalytic activity of various CA isozymes for CO2 hydration reaction. Phenol red (at a concentration of 0.2 mM) was used as indicator, working at the absorbance maximum of 557 nm, with 10 mM Heps (pH 7.5, for α-CAs) or TRIS (pH 8.3, for β-CAs) as buffers, 0.1 M NaClO₄ (for maintaining constant ionic strength), following the CA-catalyzed CO₂ hydration reaction for a period of 10 s at 25 °C. The CO₂ concentrations ranged from 1.7 to 17 mM for the determination of the kinetic parameters and inhibition constants. For each activator at least six traces of the initial 5–10% of the reaction have been used for determining the initial velocity. The uncatalyzed rates were determined in the same manner and subtracted from the total observed rates. Stock solutions of activators (at 0.1 mM) were prepared in distilled-deionized water and dilutions up to 1 nM were made thereafter with the assay buffer. Enzyme and activator solutions were pre-incubated together for 15 min prior to assay, in order to allow for the formation of the enzyme–activator complexes. The activation constant (Kₐ), defined similarly with the inhibition constant Kᵢ, can be obtained by considering the classical Michaelis–Menten equation (Equation (4)), which has been fitted by non-linear least squares by using PRISM 3:  

\[ v = \frac{v_{\text{max}}}{1 + (K_M/[S])} \left( 1 + [A]/K_A \right) \]  

where [A] is the free concentration of activator.  

Working at substrate concentrations considerably lower than K_M ([S] << K_M), and considering that [A] can be represented in the form of the total concentration of the enzyme ([E]ₑ) and activator ([A]₀), the obtained competitive steady-state equation for determining the activation constant is given by Equation (5):  

\[ v = v_0 K_A / [K_A + ([A]₀ + [E] + K_A)] - ([A] + [E] + K_A)^2 - 4[A] [E] [K_A] \]  

where v₀ represents the initial velocity of the enzyme-catalyzed reaction in the absence of activator.  

2.3. Reagents  

Amines and amino acid derivatives 1–24 were obtained in the highest purity that was available commercially from Sigma-Aldrich (Milan, Italy).  

3. Results and discussion  

The catalytic activity of MscCA is significant for the physiologic reaction, i.e. hydration of CO₂ to bicarbonate, with a kₐ of 1.46 × 10⁵ s⁻¹ and a Michaelis-Menten constant K_M of 5.7 mM, these kinetic parameters being comparable to those of other α- or β-CAs investigated earlier.  

The data in Table 1 also indicates that the presence of L-Trp as an activator does not change the K_M for either of the two enzymes belonging to the α-class (hCA I/II) as well as for EcoCAβ and MscCA, a situation also observed for all CA classes for which CA activators have been investigated so far. In fact, as proven by kinetic and crystallographic data, the activator binds in a different region of the active site than the site of substrate binding. Thus, the activator does not influence K_M but has an effect only on kₐcat. Indeed, a 10 μM concentration of L-Trp leads to a 7.5-fold enhancement of the kinetic constant of MscCA compared to the same parameter in the absence of the activator.  

Table 1. Activation of human carbonic anhydrase (hCA) isozymes I, II, EcoCAβ and MscCA with L-Trp, at 25 °C, for the CO₂ hydration reaction.  

| Isozyme        | kₐcat² (s⁻¹) | K_M² (mM) | (kₐcat)·L-Trp² (s⁻¹) | Kᵢ⁺⁺ (μM) | L-Trp |
|---------------|--------------|-----------|----------------------|-----------|-------|
| hCA I⁴        | 2.0 × 10⁵    | 4.0       | 3.4 × 10⁴             | 44.0      |       |
| hCA II⁶       | 1.4 × 10⁶    | 9.3       | 4.9 × 10⁵             | 27.0      |       |
| EcoCAβ        | 5.3 × 10⁵    | 12.9      | 1.8 × 10⁵             | 18.0      |       |
| MscCA         | 1.46 × 10⁵   | 5.7       | 1.10 × 10⁵            | 1.02      |       |

²Observed catalytic rate without activator. K_M values in the presence and the absence of activators were the same for the various CAs (data not shown).  

The activity constant (Kᵢ) for each enzyme was obtained by fitting the observed catalytic enhancements as a function of the activator concentration. All data are mean from at least three determinations by a stopped-flow, CO₂ hydrase method. Standard errors were in the range of 5–10% of the reported values (not shown).
v. The activation profile of MscCA is very different from that of other bacterial β-CAs, as the E. coli enzyme showed in Table 2, as well as the human isoforms hCA I and II.

4. Conclusions

The β-CA from M. sciuri, previously considered to be present in the genome of S. aureus, is effectively activated by amines and amino acids. Furthermore, as described earlier, this enzyme is also inhibited by anions and sulphonamides. Recently, Götz’s group performed a thorough analysis regarding the presence of CAs in the genome of S. aureus and related species, expressing a rather critical vision regarding our earlier work on SauBCA and bacterial CAs in general. It is true that we did not investigate in detail whether the S. aureus genome sequences present in the NCBI database are all correct, as this is not our main research interest. However, the experiments and statements in which the N-cyano-sulphonamide S-0859 is considered as a selective inhibitor of sodium-bicarbonate cotransporters by Götz’s group in order to definitely demonstrate the absence of CAs in this bacterium are inconclusive, since N-cyano sulfonamides also act as rather effective CAIs. Whether CAs are present only in some members of the Staphylococcaceae and not in others, is of course highly relevant, but it should be noted that bacteria may encode also for i-CAs, which were not searched for in the above-mentioned study. What is more relevant according to us, is the fact that our study and the preceding ones, although performed on an enzyme thought to belong to S. aureus but which is actually M. sciuri, may bring to attention druggable targets which may lead to antibiotics with a novel mechanism of action. In fact, several groups showed that inhibition of bacterial CAs represents an effective and innovative way for fighting drug resistant bacteria, with all the scepticism from groups as the one mentioned above that these enzymes could be considered antiinfective drug targets. As far as we know, resistance to sulphonamide CAs has not been registered for any of the investigated bacterial species, although this phenomenon is erroneously mentioned in ref.

Disclosure statement

The authors have no relevant affiliations of financial involvement with any organisation or entity with a financial interest in or financial conflict with the subject matter or materials discussed in the manuscript. CT Supuran is Editor-in-Chief of the Journal of Enzyme Inhibition and Medicinal Chemistry. He was not involved in the assessment, peer review, or decision-making process of this paper. The authors have no relevant affiliations of financial involvement with any organisation or entity with a financial interest in or financial conflict with the subject matter or materials discussed in the manuscript. This includes employment, consultancies, honoraria, stock ownership or options, expert testimony, grants or patents received or pending, or royalties.

Funding

The Italian Ministry for University and Research (MIUR) is thanked for grant no. PRIN: rot. 2017XYBP2R (to CTS) and the project FISR2019_04819 BacCAD (to CTS and CC). This research program was also funded by the Academy of Finland (to SP).
References

1. (a) Guilloton MB, Korte JJ, Lamblin AF, et al. Carbonic anhydrase in *Escherichia coli*. A product of the cyn operon. J Biol Chem 1992;267(6):3731–4. (b) Guilloton MB, Lamblin AF, Kozlak EJ, et al. A physiological role for cyanate-induced carbonic anhydrase in *Escherichia coli*. J Bacteriol 1993;175(5):1443–51. (c) Cronk JD, Endrizzi JA, Cronk MR, et al. Crystal structure of *E. coli* beta-carbonic anhydrase, an enzyme with an unusual pH-dependent activity. Protein Sci 2001;10(5):911–22. (d) Merlin C, Masters M, McAteer S, Couchlan A. Why is carbonic anhydrase essential to *Escherichia coli*? J Bacteriol 2003;185(21):6415–24. (e) Supuran CT. Bacterial carbonic anhydrases as drug targets: toward novel antibiotics? Front Pharmacol 2011;2:34. (f) Di Fiore A, De Luca V, Langella E, et al. Biochemical, structural, and computational studies of a γ-carbonic anhydrase from the pathogenic bacterium *Burkholderia pseudomallei*. Comput Struct Biotechnol J 2022;20:4185–94.

2. (a) Urbanski LJ, Bua S, Angeli A, et al. Sulphonamide inhibition profile of *Staphylococcus aureus* β-carbonic anhydrase. J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem 2020;35(1):1834–39. (b) Urbanski LJ, Vullo D, Parkkila S, Supuran CT. An anion and small molecule inhibition study of the β-carbonic anhydrase from *Staphylococcus aureus*. J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem 2021;36(1):1088–92.

3. (a) Ferraroni M, Del Prete S, Vullo D, et al. Crystal structure and kinetic studies of a tetrameric type II β-carbonic anhydrase from the pathogenic bacterium *Vibrio cholerae*. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr 2015;71(12):2449–56. (b) Capasso C, Supuran CT. Anti-infective carbonic anhydrase inhibitors: a patent and literature review. Expert Opin Ther Pat 2013;23(6):693–704. (c) Nishimori I, Vullo D, Minakuchi T, et al. Anion inhibition studies of two new β-carbonic anhydrases from the bacterial pathogen *Legionella pneumophila*. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 2014;24(4):1127–32. (d) Capasso C, Supuran CT. An overview of the alpha-, beta- and gamma-carbonic anhydrases from Bacteria: can bacterial carbonic anhydrases shed new light on evolution of bacteria? J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem 2015;30(2):325–32.

4. (a) De Luca V, Carginale V, Supuran CT, Capasso C. The gram-negative bacterium *Escherichia coli* as a model for testing the effect of carbonic anhydrase inhibition on bacterial growth. J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem 2022;37(1):2092–8. (b) Supuran CT, Capasso C. Antibacterial carbonic anhydrase inhibitors: an update on the recent literature. Expert Opin Ther Pat 2020;30(12):963–82. (c) Giovanuzzi S, Hewitt CS, Nocentini A, et al. Inhibition studies of bacterial x-carbonic anhydrases with phenols. J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem 2022;37(1):666–71.

5. (a) Supuran CT, Capasso C. Biomedical applications of prokaryotic carbonic anhydrases. Expert Opin Ther Pat 2018;28(10):745–54. (b) Flaherty DP, Seleem MN, Supuran CT. Bacterial carbonic anhydrases: underexploited antibacterial therapeutic targets. Future Med Chem 2021;13(19):1619–22. (c) Hewitt CS, Abutaleb NS, Elhassanny AEM, et al. Structure-activity relationship studies of acetazolamide-based carbonic anhydrase inhibitors with activity against *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*. ACS Infect Dis 2021;7(7):1969–84. (d) Abutaleb NS, Elhassanny AEM, et al. Repurposing FDA-approved sulphonamide carbonic anhydrase inhibitors for treatment of *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*. J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem 2022;37(1):51–61. (e) An W, Holly KJ, Nocentini A, et al. Structure-activity relationship studies for inhibitors for vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus* and human carbonic anhydrases. J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem 2022;37(1):1838–44.

6. (a) Supuran CT. Carbonic anhydrases: novel therapeutic applications for inhibitors and activators. Nat Rev Drug Discov 2008;7(2):168–81. (b) Nocentini A, Supuran CT. Advances in the structural annotation of human carbonic anhydrases and impact on future drug discovery. Expert Opin Drug Discov 2019;14(11):1175–97. (c) Supuran CT. How many carbonic anhydrase inhibition mechanisms exist? J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem 2016;31(3):345–60. (d) Supuran CT. Advances in structure-based drug discovery of carbonic anhydrase inhibitors. Expert Opin Drug Discov 2017;12(1):61–88. (e) Supuran CT. Structure and function of carbonic anhydrases. Biochem J. 2016;473(14):2023–32.

7. (a) Supuran CT. Applications of carbonic anhydrases inhibitors in renal and central nervous system diseases. Expert Opin Ther Pat 2018;28(10):713–21. (b) Supuran CT. Carbonic anhydrase inhibitors and their potential in a range of therapeutic areas. Expert Opin Ther Pat 2018;28(10):709–12. (c) Supuran CT. Carbonic anhydrase inhibitors as emerging agents for the treatment and imaging of hypoxic tumors. Expert Opin Investig Drugs 2018;27(12):963–70. (d) Nocentini A, Supuran CT. Carbonic anhydrase inhibitors as antitumor/antimetastatic agents: a patent review (2008–2018). Expert Opin Ther Pat 2018;28(10):729–40. (e) Dognè JM, Hanson J, Supuran C, Pratico D. Coxsibs and cardiovascular side-effects: from light to shadow. Curr Pharm Des 2006;12(8):971–975.

8. (a) Ozensoy Guler O, Capasso C, Supuran CT. A magnificent enzyme superfamily: carbonic anhydrases, their purification and characterization. J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem 2016;31(5):689–94. (b) De Simone G, Supuran CT. (In)organic anions as carbonic anhydrase inhibitors. J Inorg Biochem 2012;111:117–29. (c) Supuran CT. Carbonic anhydrase inhibition and the management of hypoxic tumors. Metabolites. 2017;7(3):E48. (d) Bertol E, Vaiano F, Mari F, et al. Advances in new psychoactive substances identification: the U.R.I.To.N. Consortium. J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem 2017;32(1):841–9. (e) Boztaoğlu M, Çetinkaya Y, Topal M, et al. Synthesis and carbonic anhydrase isoenzymes I, II, IX, and XII inhibitory effects of dimethoxybromophenol derivatives incorporating cyclopropane moieties. J Med Chem 2015;58(2):640–50.

9. (a) Supuran CT. Carbonic anhydrases and metabolism. Metabolites. 2018;8(2):25. (b) Supuran CT. Exploring the multiple binding modes of inhibitors to carbonic anhydrases for novel drug discovery. Expert Opin Drug Discov 2020;15(6):671–86.

10. (a) Alterio V, Di Fiore A, D’Ambrosio K, et al. Multiple binding modes of inhibitors to carbonic anhydrases: how to design specific drugs targeting 15 different isoforms? Chem Sci 2012;3(8):4421–68. (b) Briganti E, Piatrelli R, Scozzafava A, Supuran CT. Carbonic anhydrase inhibitors. Part 37. Novel classes of carbonic anhydrase inhibitors and their interaction with the native and cobalt-substituted enzyme: kinetic and spectroscopic investigations. Eur J Med
11. (a) Supuran CT, Capasso C. An overview of the bacterial carbonic anhydrases. Metabolites 2017;7(4):56. (b) Supuran CT. Carbon- versus sulphur-based zinc binding groups for carbonic anhydrase inhibitors? J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem 2018;33(1):485–95. (c) Rahman MM, Tikhomirova A, Modak JK, et al. Antibacterial activity of ethoxolizomide against Helicobacter pylori strains SS1 and 26695. Gut Pathog 2020;12:20. (d) Supuran CT. Emerging role of carbonic anhydrase inhibitors. Clin Sci (Lond) 2021;135(10):1233–49.

12. (a) Kumar A, Siwach K, Supuran CT, Sharma PK. A decade of tail-approach based design of selective as well as potent tumor associated carbonic anhydrase inhibitors. Bioorg Chem 2022;126:105920. (b) Bonardi A, Bua S, Combs J, et al. The three-tails approach as a new strategy to improve selectivity of action of sulphonamide inhibitors against tumour-associated carbonic anhydrase IX and XII. J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem 2022;37(1):930–9. (c) Abdel-Mohsen HT, El Kerdawy AM, Omar MA, et al. Application of the dual-tail approach for the design and synthesis of novel Thiopyrimidine-Benzesulfonamide hybrids as selective carbonic anhydrase inhibitors. Eur J Med Chem 2022;228:114004. (d) Nocentini A, Angeli A, Carta F, et al. Reconsidering anion inhibitors in the general context of drug design studies of modulators of activity of the classical enzyme carbonic anhydrase. J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem 2021;36(1):561–80.

13. Briganti F, Mangani S, Orioli P, et al. Carbonic anhydrase activators: X-ray crystallographic and spectroscopic investigations for the interaction of isozymes I and II with histamine. Biochemistry 1997;36(34):10384–92.

14. (a) Temperini C, Scozzafava A, Vullo D, Supuran CT. Carbonic anhydrase activators. Activation of isozymes I, II, IV, VA, VII, and XIV with l- and d-histidine and crystallographic analysis of their adducts with isozyme II: engineering proton-transfer processes within the active site of an enzyme. Chemistry 2006;12(27):7057–66. (b) Temperini C, Scozzafava A, Vullo D, Supuran CT. Carbonic anhydrase activators. Activation of isozymes I, II, IV, VA, VII, and XIV with L- and D-phenylalanine and crystallographic analysis of their adducts with isozyme II: stereospecific recognition within the active site of an enzyme and its consequences for the drug design. J Med Chem 2006;49(10):3019–27. (c) Temperini C, Innocenti A, Scozzafava A, Supuran CT. Carbonic anhydrase activators: kinetic and X-ray crystallographic study for the interaction of D- and L-tryptophan with the mammalian isoforms I-VI. Bioorg Med Chem 2008;16(19):5152–61. (d) Temperini C, Innocenti A, Scozzafava A, et al. Carbonic anhydrase activators: the first X-ray crystallographic study of an adduct of isoform I. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 2006;16(19):5152–6. (e) Temperini C, Scozzafava A, Puccetti L, Supuran CT. Carbonic anhydrase activators: X-ray crystal structure of the adduct of human isozyme II with L-histidine as a platform for the design of stronger activators. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 2005;15(23):5136–41. (f) Clare BW, Supuran CT. Carbonic anhydrase activators. 3: Structure-activity correlations for a series of isozyme II activators. J Pharm Sci 1994;83(6):768–73.

15. (a) Akocak S, Lolak N, Vullo D, et al. Synthesis and biological evaluation of histamine Schiff bases as carbonic anhydrase I, II, IV, VII, and IX activators. J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem 2017;32(1):1305–12. (b) Akocak S, Lolak N, Bua S, et al. α-Carbonic anhydrases are strongly activated by spinaceaime derivatives. Bioorg Med Chem 2019;27(5):800–4. (c) Akocak S, Lolak N, Bua S, Nocentini A, Supuran CT. Activation of human α-carbonic anhydrase isoforms I, II, IV and VII with bis-histamine Schiff bases and bis-spinaceaime substituted derivatives. J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem 2019;34(1):1193–98. (d) Dave K, Scozzafava A, Vullo D, et al. Pyridinium derivatives of histamine are potent activators of cytosolic carbonic anhydrase isoforms, I, II and VII. Org Biomol Chem 2011;9(8):2790–800. (e) Dave K, Illes MA, Scozzafava A, et al. An inhibitor-like binding mode of a carbonic anhydrase activator within the active site of isoform II. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 2011;21(9):2764–68.

16. (a) Bhatt A, Mondal UK, Supuran CT, et al. Crystal structure of carbonic anhydrase II in complex with an activating ligand: implications in neuronal function. Mol Neurobiol 2018;55(9):7431–37. (b) Temperini C, Scozzafava A, Supuran CT. Carbonic anhydrase activators: implications in neuronal function. Mol Neurobiol 2018;55(9):7431–37. (c) Abdul-Mohsen HT, El Kerdawy AM, Omar MA, et al. Application of the dual-tail approach for the design and synthesis of novel Thiopyrimidine-Benzesulfonamide hybrids as selective carbonic anhydrase inhibitors. Eur J Med Chem 2022;228:114004. (d) Nocentini A, Angeli A, Carta F, et al. Reconsidering anion inhibitors in the general context of drug design studies of modulators of activity of the classical enzyme carbonic anhydrase. J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem 2021;36(1):561–80.

17. (a) Supuran CT. Carbonic anhydrase activators. Future Med Chem. 2018;10(5):561–73. (b) Temperini C, Scozzafava A, Supuran CT. Carbonic anhydrase activation and the drug design. Curr Pharm Des 2008;14(7):708–15. (c) Akocak S, Supuran CT. Activation of α, β, γ, δ, ε, and η class of carbonic anhydrases with amines and amino acids: a review. J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem 2019;34(1):1652–1659. (d) Angeli A, Vaiano F, Mari F, et al. Psychoactive substances belonging to the amphetamine class potently activate brain carbonic anhydrase isoforms VA, VB, VII, and XII. J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem 2017;32(1):1253–1259.

18. (a) Canto de Souza L, Provenzi G, Vullo D, et al. Carbonic anhydrase activation enhances object recognition memory in mice through phosphorylation of the extracellular signal-regulated kinase in the cortex and the hippocampus. Neuropharmacology 2017;118:148–56. (b) Sanku RK, John JS, Salkovitz M, et al. Potential learning and memory disruptors and enhancers in a simple, 1-day operant task in mice. Behav Pharmacol 2018;29(6):482–92. (c) Schmidt SD, Nachtigall EG, Marcondes LA, et al. Modulation of carbonic anhydrases activity in the hippocampus or prefrontal cortex differentially affects social recognition memory in rats. Neuroscience. 2022;497:184–95. (d) Provenzi G, Nocentini A, Passani MB, et al. Activation of carbonic anhydrase isoforms involved in modulation of emotional memory and cognitive disorders with histamine agonists, antagonists and derivatives. J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem 2021;36(1):719–26. (e) Schmidt SD, Costa A, Rani B, et al. The role of carbonic anhydrases in extinction of contextual fear memory. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2020;117(27):16000–8. (f) Blandina P, Provenzi G, Passani MB, et al. Carbonic anhydrase modulation of emotional memory. Implications for the treatment of cognitive disorders. J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem 2020;35(1):1206–14.

19. (a) Innocenti A, Zimmerman SA, Scozzafava A, et al. Carbonic anhydrase activators: activation of the archeaal β-class (Cab) and γ-class (Cam) carbonic anhydrases with amino acids and amines. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 2008;
18(23):6194–8. (b) Vullo D, Del Prete S, Capasso C, Supuran CT. Carbonic anhydrase activators: activation of the β-carboxylic anhydrase from Malassezia globosa with amino acids and amines. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 2016;26(5):1381–8. (c) Isik S, Kockar F, Aydin M, et al. Carbonic anhydrase activators: activation of the β-carboxylic anhydrase Nce103 from the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae with amino acids and amines. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 2009;19(6):1662–5. (d) Innocenti A, Hall RA, Scozzafava A, et al. Carbonic anhydrase activators: activation of the beta-carboxylic anhydrases from the pathogenic fungi Candida albicans and Cryptococcus neoformans with amines and amino acids. Bioorg Med Chem 2010;18(3):1034–7.

20. (a) Angeli A, Del Prete S, Osman SM, et al. Activation studies of the α- and β-carboxylic anhydrases from the pathogenic bacterium Vibrio cholerae with amines and amino acids. J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem 2018;33(1):227–33. (b) Angeli A, Del Prete S, Donald WA, et al. The γ-carboxylic anhydrase from the pathogenic bacterium Vibrio cholerae is potently activated by amines and amino acids. Bioorg Chem 2018;77:1–5. (c) Angeli A, Del Prete S, Osman SM, et al. Activation studies with amines and amino acids of the β-carboxylic anhydrase encoded by the Rv3273 gene from the pathogenic bacteria Mycobacterium tuberculosis. J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem 2018;33(1):364–69.

21. (a) Angeli A, Del Prete S, Pinteala M, et al. The first activation study of the β-carboxylic anhydrases from the pathogenic bacteria Brucella suis and Francisella tularensis with amines and amino acids. J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem 2019;34(1):1178–85. (b) Stefanucci A, Angeli A, Dimmito MP, et al. Activation of β- and γ-carboxylic anhydrases from pathogenic bacteria with tripeptides. J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem 2018;33(1):945–50. (c) Nocentini A, Del Prete S, Mastrolorenzo MD, et al. Activation studies of the β-carboxylic anhydrases from Escherichia coli with amino acids and amines. J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem 2020;35(1):1379–86.

22. (a) Gramoli JL, Wilkinson BJ. Characterization and identification of coagulase-negative, heat-stable deoxyribonuclease-positive staphylococci. J Gen Microbiol 1978;105(2):275–285. (b) Fungwithaya P, Boonchuay K, Narinthorn R, et al. First study on diversity and antimicrobial-resistant profile of staphylococci in sports animals of Southern Thailand. Vet World 2022;15(3):765–74. (c) Cai Y, Zheng L, Lu Y, et al. Inducible resistance to β-lactams in oxacillin-susceptible mecA1-positive Staphylococcus sciuri isolated from retail pork. Front Microbiol 2021;12:721426. (d) Chen S, Wang Y, Chen F, et al. A highly pathogenic strain of Staphylococcus sciuri caused fatal exudative epidermitis in piglets. PLoS One 2007;2(1):e147.

23. Madhaiyan M, Wirth JS, Saravanan VS. Phylogenomic analyses of the Staphylococcaceae family suggest the reclassification of five species within the genus Staphylococcus as heterotypic synonyms, the promotion of five subspecies to novel species, the taxonomic reassignment of five Staphylococcus species to Mammallicoccus gen. nov., and the formal assignment of Nosocomiicoccus to the family Staphylococcaceae. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol. 2020;70(11):5926–5936.

24. Khalifah RG. The carbon dioxide hydration activity of carbonic anhydrase. I. Stop-flow kinetic studies on the native human isoenzymes B and C. J Biol Chem 1971;246(8):2561–2573.

25. (a) Fan S-H, Matsuo M, Huang L, et al. The MpsAB bicarbonate transporter is superior to carbonic anhydrase in biofilm-forming bacteria with limited CO₂ diffusion. Microbiol Spectr 2021;9(1):e0030521. (b) Fan SH, Liberini E, Götz F. Staphylococcus aureus genomes harbor only MpsAB-like bicarbonate transporter but not carbonic anhydrase as dissolved inorganic carbon supply system. Microbiol Spectr 2021;9(3):e0097021.

26. Supuran CT, Scozzafava A, Briganti F. Carbonic anhydrase inhibitors: N-cyanosulfonamides, a new class of high affinity isoizyme II and IV inhibitors. J Enzyme Inhib. 1999;14(4):289–306.

27. (a) Amedei A, Capasso C, Nannini G, Supuran CT. Microbiota, bacterial carbonic anhydrases, and modulators of their activity: links to human diseases? Mediators Inflamm 2021;2021:6926082. (b) Nocentini A, Supuran CT, Capasso C. An overview on the recently discovered iota-carbonic anhydrases. J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem 2021;36(1):1988–95. (c) Campestre C, De Luca V, Carradori S, et al. Carbonic anhydrases: new perspectives on protein functional role and inhibition in Helicobacter pylori. Front Microbiol 2021;12:629163. (d) Del Prete S, Nocentini A, Supuran CT, Capasso C. Bacterial ρ-carboxylic anhydrase: a new active class of carbonic anhydrase identified in the genome of the Gram-negative bacteria Burkholderia territorn. J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem 2020;35(1):1060–8.