Comparing the Effects of Bupropion and Escitalopram on Excessive Internet Game Play in Patients with Major Depressive Disorder
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Objective: Several studies have suggested the efficacy of bupropion and escitalopram on reducing the excessive internet game play. We hypothesized that both bupropion and escitalopram would be effective on reducing the severity of depressive symptoms and internet gaming disorder (IGD) symptoms in patients with both major depressive disorder and IGD. However, the changes in brain connectivity between the default mode network (DMN) and the salience network were different between bupropion and escitalopram due to their different pharmacodynamics.

Methods: This study was designed as a 12-week double blind prospective trial. Thirty patients were recruited for this research (15 bupropion group +15 escitalopram group). To assess the differential functional connectivity (FC) between the hubs of the DMN and the salience network, we selected 12 regions from the automated anatomical labeling in PickAtals software.

Results: After drug treatment, the depressive symptoms and IGD symptoms in both groups were improved. Impulsivity and attentional symptoms in the bupropion group were significantly decreased, compared to the escitalopram group. After treatment, FC within only the DMN in escitalopram decreased while FC between DMN and salience network in bupropion group decreased. Bupropion was associated with significantly decreased FC within the salience network and between the salience network and the DMN, compared to escitalopram.

Conclusion: Bupropion showed greater effects than escitalopram on reducing impulsivity and attentional symptoms. Decreased brain connectivity between the salience network and the DMN appears to be associated with improved excessive IGD symptoms and impulsivity in MDD patients with IGD.
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INTRODUCTION

Many studies of internet gaming disorder (IGD) have reported correlations between excessive internet game play and depressive symptoms.1-3 In a survey of 1,397 Korean people, problematic game use was associated with nicotine use, depressive disorder, and anxiety disorder.4 Although there has been meaningful debate as to whether IGD is a formal psychiatric disorder with solid diagnostic criteria,5 research has already begun to investigate treatments for the disorder. Several studies have suggested the efficacy of bupropion and escitalopram for reducing excessive internet game play.3,6-10 Dell’Osso et al.5 reported that escitalopram improved compulsive internet game play in patients with IGD. Han and Renshaw3 reported that bupropion reduced excessive internet game play in patients with both major depressive disorder (MDD) and IGD. Additionally, our previous study found that both bupropion and escitalopram reduced excessive internet game play.6 In a comparison of the efficacy between the two medications, bupropion was more effective than escitalopram in improving attention and impulsivity in patients with IGD.5

Bupropion, an anti-depressant, is known to inhibit the uptake of both dopamine and norepinephrine.7 Due to this double action, bupropion was recommended to control withdrawal symptoms in smoking cessation as well as attention and impulsivity in the attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) children.8 In our previous study, 6 weeks of bupropion treatment decreased brain activity within the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex in response to game stimulation and reduced the severity of IGD.9
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Rzepa et al.\textsuperscript{10} reported that 7 days of bupropion administration increased brain function connectivity within the central executive network and the default mode network (DMN) in healthy volunteers. Escitalopram, an S-enantiomer of citalopram, is a popular antidepressant for patients with MDD or anxiety disorders.\textsuperscript{11} Like other selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs), escitalopram inhibits serotonin transporters from reuptaking serotonin, resulting in increased serotonin levels at synapses.\textsuperscript{12} Wang et al.\textsuperscript{13} reported that 8 weeks of escitalopram treatment decrease functional connectivity (FC) within the bilateral dorsomedial prefrontal cortex, a part of the DMN, in patients with MDD.

In a review of resting state FC in patients with MDD, Mulders et al.\textsuperscript{14} reported four consistent findings: (1) increased brain FC within the anterior DMN, (2) increased FC between the salience network and the anterior DMN, (3) changed FC between the anterior DMN and the posterior DMN, and (4) decreased FC between the posterior DMN and the salience network. The DMN was defined as areas that are synchronically deactivated during task performance and prominently activated during rest.\textsuperscript{15} The DMN includes the posterior cingulate cortex (PCC), precuneus, medial frontal cortex, ventral anterior cingulate cortex, and lateral and inferior parietal cortices.\textsuperscript{15} A failure of deactivation of DMN was thought to be associated with impulsivity, risky decision, and attention deficit.\textsuperscript{2,14,16} In patients with substance dependence, the brain connectivity within the DMN was positively connected with impulsivity.\textsuperscript{16} In response to the Wisconsin Card Sorting Test, depressed adolescents with compulsive IGD showed a failure to suppress DMN activity.\textsuperscript{22} Wang et al.\textsuperscript{17} reported that IGD subjects showed higher FC within the DMN in response to risky decision stimulation. The salience network is a collection of brain regions in response to stimuli which are deserving of our attention.\textsuperscript{18} The salience network is thought to reflect paralimbic emotional processing and emotional control.\textsuperscript{18} In addition, the salience network is implicated in switching between the DMN and the central executive network.\textsuperscript{19} The salience network consists of the fronto-insular cortex, dorsal anterior cingulate cortex (dACC), amygdala, and temporal pole.\textsuperscript{20}

We hypothesized that both bupropion and escitalopram would be effective for reducing the severity of depressive and IGD symptoms. However, the changes in brain connectivity between the DMN and the salience network were different between bupropion and escitalopram due to their different pharmacodynamics.

**METHODS**

**Participants**

Thirty-four patients with both problematic internet game play and MDD agreed to participate in the current study. All patients were screened with the structured Clinical Interview for the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders 4th edition (DSM-IV) and diagnosed by a psychiatrist. Problematic internet game play was defined as excessive internet game play of more than 4 hours per day or 30 hours per week,\textsuperscript{21} Young Internet Addiction Scale (YIAS) scores of more than 50,\textsuperscript{22} and maladaptive and disruptive behavior in general life due to excessive internet game play. All patients were randomly assigned to bupropion+education regarding internet game play or escitalopram+education regarding internet game play in a 1:1 ratio. Of the 34 initial participants, one patient in the bupropion group and one patient in the escitalopram group discontinued medication due to nausea and diarrhea. One patient in the bupropion group stopped medication due to palpitation. One patient in the escitalopram group was excluded due to mood change from depression to mania. Finally, 30 patients participated in this research (15 in the bupropion group, 15 in the escitalopram group). The Chung-Ang University Hospital Institutional Review Board approved the study protocol (C2011131) for this research and written informed consent was provided by all patients.

**Study Procedure**

This study was designed as a 12-week double blind prospective trial. At baseline and 12-week follow up, all patients were asked to complete questionnaires comprising demographic data questions, the YIAS, the Beck Depressive Inventory (BDI), the Korea Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Scale (K-ARS), and the Behavioral Inhibition and Activation Scales (BIS-BAS). In addition, all patients were scanned to assess their brain FC using resting state functional magnetic resonance imaging at baseline and 12-week follow up. The patients in the bupropion group were started on bupropion sustained-release 150 mg/day and increased to 300 mg/day during the first week of treatment. The patients in the escitalopram group were started on escitalopram 10 mg/day and increased to 20 mg/day during the first week of treatment. During weeks 2 to 12, the patients in both groups were asked to maintain a consistent medication dose. The YIAS is a self-report scale for the severity of internet use, and the internal consistency of the Korea YIAS
has ranged from 0.90 to 0.91.\textsuperscript{23} The BDI, which has an internal consistency ranging from 0.75 to 0.85, was used to assess depressive symptoms.\textsuperscript{24} The K-ARS, with an internal consistency ranging from 0.78 to 0.89, was applied to assess inattention and hyperactivity.\textsuperscript{25} The BIS-BAS, with a consistency ranging from 0.78 to 0.79, is a self-report scale used to estimate impulsiveness.\textsuperscript{26}

### Brain Imaging Data Analysis

We used a Achieva 3.0 Tesla TX magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scanner (Philips, Eindhoven, the Netherlands) to obtain resting state brain activity. Acquisition was performed during a resting-state scan, yielding a total of 240 volumes. Sagittal three-dimensional magnetization prepared rapid acquisition gradient echo (MPRAGE) images were acquired with an isotropic in-plane resolution of 1×1×1 (TR=3 seconds, 12-minute scan, 128×128 matrix, 40 slices at 4.0 mm slice thickness). All imaging data were preprocessed with the following processing: despiking, motion correction, co-registration to the MPRAGE image, normalization to Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) space, temporal detrending, bandpass filtering, and voxel-wise regression of an identically bandpass filtered time series of 6 head motion parameters, degraded cerebrospinal fluid, degraded white matter, and facial soft tissues, as previous studies have described.\textsuperscript{27,28} To correct for head movements, censoring of time points with head motion >0.2 mm was used.\textsuperscript{29,30}

No regression of the global signal was performed.\textsuperscript{31,32} To assess the differential FC between the hubs of the DMN and the salience network, we extracted 12 regions from the automated anatomical labeling in the PickAtl software (ANSIR Laboratory, Wake Forest University School of Medicine)\textsuperscript{33,34}. The DMN includes the left/right middle prefrontal cortex (mPFC), left/right PCC, left/right parietal cortex, and left/right precuneus. The salience network includes the left/right dACC and left/right insular. Correlation coefficients were measured for each pair of regions of interest in each participant.\textsuperscript{35-37}

#### Table 1.

The comparison of demographic characteristics and psychological condition between bupropion group and escitalopram group

| Characteristic       | Bupropion (n=15) | Escitalopram (n=15) | Statistics |
|----------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------|
| Dose (mg/day)        | 175              | 13.8                | -          |
| Age (yr)             | 22.9±1.9         | 23.9±1.6            | z=-1.43, p=0.15 |
| School (yr)          | 12±1.6           | 12.4±1.6            | z=0.29, p=0.77 |
| Genre of game        |                  |                     |            |
| RPG                  | 6 (40.0)         | 7 (46.7)            | χ²=0.72, p=0.87 |
| FPS                  | 2 (13.3)         | 1 (6.7)             |            |
| RTS                  | 5 (33.3)         | 4 (26.7)            |            |
| Others*              | 2 (13.3)         | 3 (20.0)            |            |
| BDI                  |                  |                     |            |
| Baseline             | 63.6±13.9        | 67.5±11.4           | z=-0.62, p=0.53 |
| Follow up            | 41.2±18.0        | 51.0±16.0           | z=-1.51, p=0.13 |
| K-ARS                |                  |                     |            |
| Baseline             | 18.6±2.8         | 16.0±4.0            | z=1.92, p=0.06 |
| Follow up            | 10.2±4.8         | 8.3±3.2             | z=1.16, p=0.24 |
| YIAS                 |                  |                     |            |
| Baseline             | 10.1±2.5         | 10.4±3.2            | z=-1.43, p=0.15 |
| Follow up            | 3.6±3.1          | 8.5±3.4             | z=-3.19, p<0.01 |
| BIS-BAS              |                  |                     |            |
| Baseline             | 56.0±8.8         | 52.5±7.4            | z=1.20, p=0.23 |
| Follow up            | 43.6±12.0        | 46.7±8.1            | z=-0.60, p=0.55 |

### Statistical Analysis

We analyzed the demographic and clinical characteristics using chi-square tests and Mann-Whitney U tests with significance set at p<0.05. We used paired t-tests to evaluate the changes in FC for patients in both the bupropion and the escitalopram groups. Additionally, we used repeated measures ANOVA to compare changes in FC between the two groups. To correct for multiple comparisons over the 78 pairs in 12 regions, we used an acceptable false discovery rate of q<0.05. We calculated the correlation between the changes in BDI scores and the changes in functional correlation with a threshold of p<0.05, and used commercially available software (IBM SPSS Statistics, version 20; IBM Co., Armonk, NY, USA).

### Results

#### Demographic Characteristics

There were no group differences in age (z=-1.43, p=0.15), school year (z=0.29, p=0.77), genre of game (χ²=0.72, p=0.87), YIAS score (z=-0.62, p=0.53), BDI score (z=1.92, p=0.06), K-ARS score (z=-1.43, p=0.15), or BIS-BAS score (z=1.20, p=0.23) at baseline (Table 1).

#### Changes in Clinical Symptoms

After the 12-week drug treatments, the BDI scores (bupropion: z=3.1, p<0.01; escitalopram: z=3.6, p<0.01) and YIAS scores (bupropion: z=3.1, p<0.01; escitalopram: z=3.1, p<0.01) in both groups decreased; however, there was no significant difference between the changes in BDI (F=0.25, p=0.62) and YIAS scores (F=0.91, p=0.35) between the two groups. The BIS-BAS
Fig. 1. Changes in functional correlations after 12-week treatment.
Lt, left; Rt, right; mPFC, middle prefrontal cortex; dACC, dorsal anterior cingulate cortex; PCC, posterior cingulate cortex; PCx, parietal cortex; PCue, precuneus.

Fig. 2. Comparison of functional correlations between bupropion and escitalopram.
Lt, left; Rt, right; mPFC, middle prefrontal cortex; dACC, dorsal anterior cingulate cortex; PCC, posterior cingulate cortex; PCx, parietal cortex; PCue, precuneus.

Changes in Functional Correlations
At baseline, there were no significant differences in functional correlations between the bupropion and escitalopram groups. After the 12-week drug therapy, the escitalopram group showed decreased functional correlations between four pairs of regions, satisfying uncorrected $p<0.05$: left mPFC to left PCC ($F=4.52, p=0.04$), left mPFC to left parietal cortex ($F=8.22, p<0.01$), right mPFC to left PCC ($F=4.14, p=0.04$), and right mPFC to right PCC ($F=4.60, p=0.03$) (Fig. 1). After the 12-week drug therapy, the bupropion group showed decreased functional correlations between five pairs of regions, satisfying uncorrected $p<0.05$: left precuneus to left insular ($F=4.12, p=0.04$), right precuneus to left insular ($F=4.67, p=0.03$), right parietal cortex to left parietal cortex ($F=4.64, p=0.03$), left insular to right insular ($F=4.79, p=0.03$), and left dACC to right dACC ($F=7.16, p=0.01$) (Fig. 1). In a comparison of bupropion and escitalopram, bupropion was associated with significantly decreased functional correlations between two pairs of regions: right dACC to left insular ($F=6.12, p<0.01$) and right precuneus to left insular ($F=7.24, p<0.01$), which satisfied the $q<0.05$ false discovery rate (Fig. 2).

Correlations between the Changes in Clinical Scales Scores and Functional Correlations
Improvement in YIAS scores was positively correlated with decreased functional correlation between the right dACC and the left insular in all MDD patients with problematic internet game play ($r=0.40, p=0.03$). Improvement in BIS-BAS scores was positively correlated with decreased functional correlation within the right dACC and the left insular in all MDD patients with problematic inter-
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DISCUSSION

The results in this study showed that 12-week bupropion or escitalopram treatment improved depressive symptoms and reduced the severity of IGD in patients with MDD and IGD. Compared to escitalopram, bupropion was associated with significantly greater decreased BIS-BAS and K-ARS scores. Escitalopram decreased FC within the DMN while bupropion decreased FC within the DMN and the salience networks. In addition, bupropion greatly decreased functional correlations within the salience network as well as between the salience and the DMNs.

The results in this study showed that 12-week administration of bupropion or escitalopram improved depressive symptoms and reduced IGD severity. Compared to escitalopram, bupropion was associated with significantly improved BIS-BAS and K-ARS scores. These results are consistent with those of our previous study of 119 adolescent and young adults with IGD, in which both bupropion and escitalopram were effective in treating IGD. Moreover, bupropion was more effective in improving attention and impulsivity in IGD, compared to escitalopram. Open-label studies reported that escitalopram reduced the severity of IGD and impulsivity. Due to the dual action of bupropion (norepinephrine and dopamine reuptake inhibition), bupropion is more effective for improving impulsivity and attention than escitalopram. Increased noradrenergic activity induced by bupropion is known to be associated with a reduction in impulsivity in patients with MDD. Release of dopamine by bupropion has been used to treat children with ADHD.

In the current results, bupropion decreased FC within the DMN and the salience network as well as between the DMN and the salience network, whereas escitalopram decreased FC only within the DMN. There has been controversy regarding the effects of bupropion on altering brain activity within the DMN and the salience network. In contrast, Zieba et al. reported that 7-day bupropion administration increased the brain function connectivity from the dorsal medial prefrontal cortex to the PCC and the precuneus cortex in healthy volunteers. Decreased FC between the DMN and the salience network after bupropion treatment may be related to the results seen in atomoxetine and modafinil studies. Atomoxetine, a first-line treatment medication for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, is thought to have the dual action of increasing both norepinephrine and dopamine. An 8-week administration of atomoxetine decreased FC between the task-positive network and the DMN. Modafinil also increased norepinephrine and dopamine in synapses by inhibiting norepinephrine transporters and dopamine transporters.

Two open-label studies reported that escitalopram reduced the severity of IGD and impulsivity. Due to the dual action of bupropion (norepinephrine and dopamine reuptake inhibition), bupropion is more effective for improving impulsivity and attention than escitalopram. Increased noradrenergic activity induced by bupropion is known to be associated with a reduction in impulsivity in patients with MDD. Release of dopamine by bupropion has been used to treat children with ADHD.

In the current results, bupropion decreased FC within the DMN and the salience network as well as between the DMN and the salience network, whereas escitalopram decreased FC only within the DMN. There has been controversy regarding the effects of bupropion on altering brain activity within the DMN and the salience network. In contrast, Zieba et al. reported that 7-day bupropion administration increased the brain function connectivity from the dorsal medial prefrontal cortex to the PCC and the precuneus cortex in healthy volunteers. Decreased FC between the DMN and the salience network after bupropion treatment may be related to the results seen in atomoxetine and modafinil studies. Atomoxetine, a first-line treatment medication for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, is thought to have the dual action of increasing both norepinephrine and dopamine. An 8-week administration of atomoxetine decreased FC between the task-positive network and the DMN. Modafinil also increased norepinephrine and dopamine in synapses by inhibiting norepinephrine transporters and dopamine transporters.
Minzenberg et al. reported that modafinil administration led to task-induced deactivation of the DMN in healthy adults. Repeated social stress is thought to alter norepinephrine release in the locus ceruleus, which is associated with reward salience in addictive behaviors. Dopamine also has a crucial role in incentive sensitization in behavioral addiction. Like atomoxetine and modafinil, increased norepinephrine and dopamine by bupropion administration may decrease the activity of the salience network. Increased FC between the DMN and the salience network was found in IGD patients with childhood ADHD history. In our results, decreased FC between the DMN and the salience network after bupropion treatment, which was greater than the changes after escitalopram administration, was associated with decreased YIAS scores and impulsivity scores.

van de Ven et al. reported that escitalopram decreased FC within the DMN, including the anterior and PCC, hippocampal complex, and lateral parietal regions. These results are consistent with those of previous studies of regional changes in brain activity after serotonin challenge. In task-related functional MRI studies, decreased brain activity was found after SSRI administration. In a positron emission tomography study, administration of the SSRIs, fenfluramine, decreased activity within the PCC. In the current study, we found no correlation between clinical scale scores and FC within the DMN in the escitalopram group.

In summary, increased serotonin in the brain decreased brain connectivity only within the DMN, whereas increased norepinephrine and dopamine in the brain decreased brain connectivity between the salience network and the DMN. This decreased brain connectivity was associated with improvement in excessive internet game playing and impulsivity in MDD patients with IGD.

There were several limitations to the current study. First, the small number of subjects is insufficient to support generalizing the results to other brain networks. Second, the short duration of the study did not allow full documentation of the effects of the drug treatments. Longer periods of medication treatment and follow-up are needed to better elucidate responses and potential relapse in MDD patients with IGD.

Both bupropion and escitalopram decreased depressive symptoms and IGD symptoms in MDD patients with IGD. Moreover, bupropion showed greater effects on reducing impulsivity and attentional symptoms. Decreased brain connectivity between the salience network and the DMN were associated with improvement in excessive internet game playing and impulsivity in MDD patients with IGD.
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