Offline Face Recognition System Based on Gabor-Fisher Descriptors and Hidden Markov Models
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Abstract — This paper presents a new offline face recognition system. The proposed system is built on one dimensional left-to-right Hidden Markov Models (1D-HMMs). Facial image features are extracted using Gabor wavelets. The dimensionality of these features is reduced using the Fisher’s Discriminant Analysis method to keep only the most relevant information. Unlike existing techniques using 1D-HMMs, in classification step, the proposed system employs 1D-HMMs to find the relationship between reduced features components directly without any additional segmentation step of interest regions in the face image. The performance evaluation of the proposed method was performed with AR database and the proposed method showed a high recognition rate for this database.

Keywords— Face Recognition, Hidden Markov Models, Gabor wavelets, Fisher’s Discriminant Analysis

I. INTRODUCTION

Face recognition from still images and video sequences has become an important part of user authentication and security infrastructure in recent years. Face recognition (FR) system consists of two major tasks: face feature extraction and face classification (Fig 1). Both of them, have an important impact on the performances of recognition method.

Feature extraction approaches are classified usually into two main categories: feature-based methods where features are extracted from local facial features and holistic methods where features are extracted from the whole face image [1] [2] [3].

In this paper we used a local face descriptor based on Gabor features [4] [5]. Gabor features have been widely used in face identification because of their good performances in illumination and facial expressions change. This robustness is due to the fact that Gabor kernels captures salient visual properties such as spatial localization, orientation selectivity and spatial frequency characteristic [6][7]. However, Gabor magnitude features have a very high dimensionality which needs long computational time. To overcome this dimensionality issue, the Gabor features magnitude are projected to a subspace using Fisher’s Discriminant Analysis method (also known as Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA)) to select the most discriminative features representing the most important information [5].

To deal with the classification task, many methods have been proposed in this topic. Some approaches are based on neural network [8], SVM [9] and HMMs [10] [11] [12] [13] [14].

The methods based on HMMs can be classified roughly into three categories: 1D-HMMS [10] [14], pseudo 2D- HMMs [13] and full 2D-HMMs [11] [15]. In this paper we propose a new way based on 1D-HMMs for the face classification step. The proposed approach is somewhat different from the conventional 1D-HMMs methods, by the fact that it works well without the need to previously localize significant facial regions like eyes, nose, mouth, etc. Thereby, the method can be used even if there are no frontal images in the database. The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, Gabor-Fisher features are briefly resumed, and, in Section 3, the proposed HMM approach is described. Experimental results are presented in Section 4. Finally, in Section 5, conclusions are drawn.

II. FEATURES EXTRACTION

Gabor wavelets (also called Gabor kernels or filters) have proven themselves to be a powerful tool for facial image feature extraction and recognition. The 2D Gabor wavelet can be represented by two components: a real and an imaginary components [4]:

- real component:

\[
g(z, \lambda, \theta, \sigma, \nu) = \exp\left(\frac{x^2 + y^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \cos\left(2\pi \frac{x'}{\lambda} + \phi\right)
\]

(1)

- imaginary component:

\[
g(z, \lambda, \theta, \sigma, \nu) = \exp\left(\frac{x^2 + y^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \sin\left(2\pi \frac{x'}{\lambda} + \phi\right)
\]

(2)

where \(x' = x \cos \theta + y \sin \theta\) and \(y' = x \sin \theta + y \cos \theta\) and \((x, y)\) is the coordinate of a pixel in the image plan. \(\lambda\) and \(\phi\) represents the wavelength of the sinusoidal factor, \(\theta\) represents the orientation of a Gabor function, \(\sigma\) is the phase offset, \(\phi\) is the standard deviation of the Gaussian envelope and is the spatial aspect ratio.

The Fig. 2 shows the result of the convolution of a facial image with 40 banks of Gabor kernel (8 orientations and 5 scales). However, for a given face image, even for a small face image of, for example, 64 \times 64 pixels, the 40 magnitude responses reside in a 163840 (64 \times 64 \times 40) dimensional space, which is far too extensive for efficient processing and storage. To overcome this dimensionality issue, we use the fisher’s discriminant analysis (also called LDA) dimensionality reduction technique to project the Gabor magnitude feature vectors into a subspace where between-class variations of the projected patterns are maximized while within-class variations are minimized [16]. To avoid singularity issues, when computing the inverse of the within-class scatter matrix, the LDA reduction method is implemented in the Principal Component Analysis PCA subspace as suggested in [17]. The resultant descriptors are called: Gabor-Fisher descriptors. We notice that for the LDA, the best features dimension is the number of

- 11 -

DOI: 10.9781/ijimai.2016.412
subject minus one [18]. Finally, each Gabor-Fisher feature obtained is sampled to small sequences with a size $L$. By this way, we avoid any supplementary segmentation of face regions as depicted in previous face recognition methods based on 1D-HMMs.

Fig. 2. An example of the Gabor magnitude and phase output: (a) the magnitude and (b) the phase output of the filtering operation with a bank of 40 Gabor filters

III. Features Classification

An HMM is a Markov chain with a finite number of unobservable states [25]. Although the Markov states are not directly observable, each state has a probability distribution associated with the set of possible observations. As mentioned above, 1D-HMMS approach is used in the recognition step. Generally, the elements of 1D-HMMS are defined by the triplet $\hat{\lambda} = \{A, B, \Pi\}$ where, $A$ is an $N \times N$ state transition matrix that gives the state transition probabilities between $N$ states, $B$ is an $k \times N$ emission probability matrix while being in a particular state, and $\Pi$ is an $1 \times N$ matrix, called initial state probability matrix, and it gives the probability of being in a particular state at the start of the process. To use the proposed system we need three steps:

A. System configuration

As mentioned above, we use a 1D left to right Hidden Markov Model (see Fig.3). In this model, the number of states $N$ is equal to the number of classes in dataset minus one divided by $L$ (size of sampled features obtained in the features extraction step). The entry state 1 and the exit state $N$ are non-emitting. The transition matrix $A$ will have $N$ rows and $N$ columns. We assume that each observation probability distribution is represented with single Gaussian distributions. In this case the density of the observation $u_i$ in state $i$ is thus:

$$b_i(u_i) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^k \det(\Sigma_i)}} \exp\left(\frac{-1}{2}(u_i - \mu_i)^t \Sigma_i^{-1}(u_i - \mu_i)\right)$$

(3)

Where $k$ is the dimension of $u_i$, and where $\mu_i$ and $\Sigma_i$ are the mean vector and covariance matrix, respectively.

Finally, the Gabor-Fisher features will be divided into two sets: training and testing sets.

B. Training step

During this step, the Gabor-Fisher features training set will be injected in the system to estimate the face model parameters for each subject. These parameters can be estimated using an iterative procedure, known as the Baum–Welch Algorithm (BWA) [19].

C. Recognition step

It follows the training step. In this step, the proposed system utilizes the Viterbi algorithm [19] to find the highest likelihood score between features in the testing sets and models obtained in the training step.

IV. Experimental Result

In this section, some experiment results will be given to evaluate the proposed method. The cropped AR face database [20] is used for this purpose. The AR face database contains over 3,200 frontal color face images of 126 subjects (26 different images for each person), including different facial expressions, with various occlusions and under different lighting conditions. Most of the pictures were recorded in two sessions (separated by two weeks). All images were taken by the same camera under tightly controlled conditions of illumination and viewpoint.

For our experiments, like in the work of [20], in the first time, 100 different subjects (50 males and 50 females) were randomly selected from this database, then, all selected images were segmented using an oval-shaped mask and finally all color images are transformed into gray images. Fig. 4 shows some sample images extracted from the obtained face database.
In this experiment part, we use Hidden Markov Models Toolkit (HTK) [21]. The HTK toolkit is builded initially for speech recognition system. We followed the same steps as in [22] to adapt this toolkit to the face recognition domain.

The first set of experiments was performed to discover the optimal number of states per model. To find the best value of this parameter, tables 1 show recognition rates obtained when using different number of states. Obtained results show that the best recognition rate is obtained when the number of states equals 18 for AR database and it shows high sensitivity when moving from 18 states to 19 states.

Furthermore, to prove the effectiveness of the proposed approach we vary sizes of testing and training sets. Table 2 illustrates the recognition rates for various training sizes.

From the above results we can see that for the AR database the recognition rate reaches the best value (i.e. 100%) when the size of the training sets is equal to 15.

Finally, we make a comparison between the gabor descriptor with others descriptors like: HOG [23] and LBP [24]. We notice that the size of features of those three descriptors is reduced using Fisher’s Discriminant Analysis method. The comparison results are shown in table 3. From this table, we notice that the results obtained by the gabor filters outperform those obtained using HOG and LBP descriptors in the AR datasets.

**TABLE I**
RECOGNITION RATE FOR DIFFERENT NUMBER OF STATES FOR AR DATABASE.

| Number of states | RECOGNITION RATE (%) |
|------------------|----------------------|
| 13               | 66.55                |
| 15               | 79.45                |
| 17               | 96.91                |
| 18               | 100                  |
| 19               | none                 |

**TABLE II**
RECOGNITION RATE VS NO. OF TRAINING SAMPLES

| No. of Training Samples Recognition Rate | No. of Training Samples Recognition Rate |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| 9                                       | 94.35%                                  |
| 12                                      | 98.14%                                  |
| 14                                      | 99.83%                                  |
| 15                                      | 100%                                    |
| 9                                       | 94.35%                                  |

V. Conclusion

A new face recognition system based on 1D-HMM was presented in this paper. In the first, the system extract facial features using Gabor filters and reduce their dimensionality using Fisher’s Discriminant Analysis method. Secondly the resultant descriptors are resampled and injected in a 1D- HMM to achieve the training and recognition steps. The AR standard database is used to evaluate the proposed system. The recognition rate reaches 100%.

Our future research will be focused on testing the performances of the proposed system in low resolution facial images in order to integrate it in real applications such as surveillance.
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