Ramipril-associated cholestasis in the setting of recurrent drug-induced liver injury
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ABSTRACT

Aim: Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEIs) are commonly used to treat hypertension. Although generally well tolerated, the adverse effects of ACEIs include hypotension, cough, acute kidney injury and hyperkalemia. Rare reports of ACEI-induced hepatotoxicity have been described, most notably a cholestatic pattern of injury related to captopril. A 67-year-old male presented to the emergency department with a three-week history of jaundice, pruritis and weakness. Eight weeks before, he began taking ramipril and clopidogrel. His past medical history was significant for previous acute cholestatic liver injury approximately 20 years earlier, which was attributed to methimazole. Abnormal blood work demonstrated aspartate aminotransferase (AST) 47 U/L, alanine aminotransferase (ALT) 46 U/L, total bilirubin 230 µmol/L, direct bilirubin 176 µmol/L, and alkaline phosphatase (ALP) 470 U/L. Abdominal ultrasound and magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography showed no bile duct obstruction. Further work-up was negative for infectious, autoimmune, or other causes. Percutaneous liver biopsy showed marked cholestasis. With discontinuation of ramipril, the patient demonstrated prolonged cholestasis with partial biochemical improvement and was discharged after six weeks in hospital.

This case represents the first described cross reactivity between ramipril and methimazole, illustrating the complex and poorly understood nature of DILI. Despite the relatively few instances of ACEI-induced liver hepatotoxicity, consideration should be given to discontinuation of ramipril in situations of unknown liver damage.
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Introduction

Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEIs) are commonly used to treat hypertension. By inhibiting peptidyl dipeptidase and blocking the conversion of angiotensin I to angiotensin II, ACEIs block the renin angiotensin aldosterone system and inhibit bradykinin inactivation, resulting in an overall hypotensive effect. Ramipril is an oral prodrug that is de-esterified to ramiprilat through first pass effect by the liver, and as such is a long-acting member of the ACEI class. The prodrug and its metabolites are eliminated through combined kidney and biliary excretion. In fact, the kidney, with the exception of fosinopril and moexipril, eliminates all ACEIs (1). Although generally well tolerated, the adverse effects of ACEIs include hypotension, cough, acute kidney injury and hyperkalemia (1). Rare reports of ACEI-induced hepatotoxicity have been described, most notably a cholestatic pattern of injury related to captopril (2).

Case Report

A 67-year-old male presented to the emergency department with a three-week history of jaundice, pruritis and weakness. Eight weeks before, he began taking ramipril and clopidogrel after sustaining an
inferior wall ST-elevation myocardial infarct. Changes to his original home medications also included increased dosing of bisoprolol and atorvastatin. He was first seen by outpatient internal medicine with the same symptoms two weeks before presenting to the emergency department and atorvastatin was discontinued; however, he continued to worsen clinically and biochemically. His past medical history was significant for previous acute cholestatic liver injury approximately 20 years earlier, which was attributed to methimazole after a negative work-up for causes of liver disease. Physical examination revealed jaundice, but was otherwise unremarkable. Abnormal blood work demonstrated aspartate aminotransferase (AST) 47 U/L, alanine aminotransferase (ALT) 46 U/L, total bilirubin 230 µmol/L, direct bilirubin 176 µmol/L, alkaline phosphatase (ALP) 470 U/L, INR 1.4 and albumin 29 g/L. Abdominal ultrasound with Doppler and magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography showed no bile duct obstruction. Further work-up was negative for infectious (Hepatitis A IgM, Hepatitis B surface antigen, Hepatitis C antibody screen, human immunodeficiency virus antibody and antigen screens, parvovirus B19 IgM, acute mononucleosis screen and Q Fever serology), autoimmune (anti-tissue transglutaminase IgA, immunoglobulins, anti-nuclear antibody screen, anti-mitochondrial antibody, anti-smooth muscle antibody and IgG-4 subclass serologies), and other (hereditary hemochromatosis) causes. Percutaneous liver biopsy showed marked cholestasis (Figure 1). There was minimal portal-based inflammation and no interface or lobular hepatitis. Features of large duct obstruction (portal edema, ductular reaction) were not apparent. There was no fibrosis on review of trichrome stains. The pathology was felt to be compatible with medication-induced cholestasis. His previous pathology report from 20 years earlier noted a similar histologic pattern of bland cholestasis.

His hospital stay was complicated by urinary retention, epididymitis and poor oral intake with subsequent orthostatic hypotension. With discontinuation of ramipril, the patient demonstrated prolonged cholestasis with partial biochemical improvement and was discharged after six weeks in hospital. One month after discharge, his total bilirubin had decreased to 35.5 µmol/L and ALP to 269 U/L.

**Discussion**

Idiosyncratic drug-induced liver injury (DILI) is rare,
et. Pattern has been evident in two cases, respectively. In the case presented here, rechallenge, confirming the diagnosis of ramipril’s biochemical markers had not yet returned to baseline and to be associated with common being cause. The mixed pattern above 55 years), gender (female), drug history, and the mediator of the episode of 19 cases per 100,000 positive inflammation. Reinvestigations prompted biopsy, revealing parenchymal only minimal increases in bilirubin. Negative biopsies exhibiting only mild increases in liver enzymes and a unclassifiable and mixed. The unclassifiable case presented with markedly elevated ALT and ALP, with biopsies revealing bland cholestasis with no ductular changes and both alkaline phosphatase and bilirubin began declining promptly after discontinuation of ramipril. The remaining two previously reported cases of ramipril-induced liver injury with biopsies revealing cholestasis and bile duct necrosis (2). Following discontinuation of the offending agent, biochemical markers returned to near baseline at 6 and 14 months, respectively. In the case presented here, biopsy revealed bland cholestasis with no ductular changes and both alkaline phosphatase and bilirubin began declining promptly after discontinuation of ramipril. However, at one month post discharge, the patient’s biochemical markers had not yet returned to baseline.

The remaining two previously reported cases of ramipril-induced liver injury manifested as unclassifiable and mixed. The unclassifiable case exhibited only mild increases in liver enzymes and a biopsy was not performed (2). The mixed pattern presented with markedly elevated ALT and ALP, with only minimal increases in bilirubin. Negative investigations prompted biopsy, revealing parenchymal inflammation. Re-institution resulted in an unintended positive rechallenge, confirming the diagnosis of ramipril-induced liver injury (8). The period of time from initiation of ramipril to presentation varied between cases, ranging from five weeks to 10 months. The current case is within this window, presenting eight weeks after beginning ramipril.

Three key mechanisms have been previously suggested as the cause of ramipril-induced hepatotoxicity, including metabolic interaction, hypersensitivity, and bradykinin-mediated effects, although no model currently exists to confirm these hypotheses. In previous ramipril-induced liver injury reports, both peripheral eosinophilia and biopsy proven eosinophil infiltration were seen, supporting the mechanism of hypersensitivity (2). However, no eosinophils were seen on biopsy in the current patient, nor was peripheral eosinophilia present. The majority of ACEIs differ only slightly in their chemical structure, supporting a possible metabolic interaction that is relatively conserved across the three structural classes by which ACEIs are categorized (10). Finally, similarly to other ACEIs, ramipril causes an increase in bradykinin through a reduction in bradykinin inactivation, resulting in an increase in prostaglandin synthesis. In turn, specific prostaglandins have been shown to cause decreased gallbladder contraction and bile stasis in humans (11). Similarly, the mechanism of methimazole-induced hepatotoxicity is unknown, although immune-mediated toxicity and reactive metabolite formation are suspected to be involved (12). Recurrent DILI is a rare and poorly understood phenomenon, occurring in 1.21% of patients who experience it (13). Immune cross-sensitization by the two implicated drugs is a potential mechanism. Additionally, shared structural or functional aspects of the medications themselves and genetic predisposition, such as the presence of particular major histocompatibility complex regions, may play a role. Recurrent hepatotoxicity of the same phenotype (cholestasis in this case) is typical for patients with a second episode of drug-induced liver injury, regardless of the causative medication, for unclear reasons (13). Although uncommon, the case of ramipril-induced liver injury described here illustrates the need for thoughtful consideration of all medications as potential causes of DILI. This case represents the first described cross-reactivity between ramipril and methimazole, illustrating the complex and poorly understood nature.
of DILI. Despite the relatively few instances of ACEI-induced liver hepatotoxicity, consideration should be given to discontinuation of ramipril in situations of unknown liver damage. This case represents a rare report of ACEI-induced cholestatic hepatotoxicity in a 67-year-old male with previous DILI, making this the first case of cross reactivity between ramipril and methimazole. The mechanism of hepatotoxicity is poorly understood in both medications. The cholestasis seen in ramipril-induced liver injury can be prolonged. In patients presenting with hepatotoxicity of an unclear etiology, a careful review of medications is warranted and discontinuation of potential offending drugs should be considered.

**Conflict of Interest**

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

**References**

1. Katzung BG, Masters SB, Trevor AJ. Basic & clinical pharmacology. New York: McGraw-Hill; 2004.
2. Yeung E, Wong FS, Wanless IR, Shiota K, Guindi M, Joshi S, et al. Ramipril-associated hepatotoxicity. Arch Pathol Lab Med 2003; 12: 1493-7.
3. Bjornsson ES, Bergmann OM, Bjornsson HK, Kvaran RB, Olafsson S. Incidence, presentation, and outcomes in patients with drug-induced liver injury in the general population of Iceland. Gastroenterology 2013; 144: 1419-25.
4. O'Donohue J, Oien K, Donaldson P, Underhill J, Clare M, MacSween R, et al. Co-amoxiclav jaundice: clinical and histological features and HLA class II association. Gut 2000; 47: 717-20.
5. Kindmark A, Jawaid A, Harbron C, Barratt B, Bengtsson O, Andersson T, et al. Genome-wide pharmacogenetic investigation of a hepatic adverse event without clinical signs of immunopathology suggests an underlying immune pathogenesis. Pharmacogenomics J 2008; 8: 186-95.
6. Bleibel W, Kim S, D'Silva K, Lemmer ER. Drug-induced liver injury: review article. Dig Dis Sci 2007; 52: 2463-71.
7. Teschke R, Wolff A, Frenzel C, Schwarzenboeck A, Schulze J, Eickhoff A. Drug and herb induced liver injury: Council for International Organizations of Medical Sciences scale for causality assessment. World J Hepatol 2014; 6: 17-32.
8. Douros A, Kauffmann W, Brander E, Klimpel A, Garbe E, Kreutz R. Ramipril-Induced Liver Injury: Case Report and Review of the Literature. Am J Hypertens 2013; 26: 1070-5.
9. Schattner A, Kozak N, Friedman J. Captopril-induced jaundice: report of 2 cases and a review of 13 additional reports in the literature. Am J Med Sci 2001; 322: 236-40.
10. Ranadive SA, Chen AX, Serajuddin AT. Relative lipophilicities and structural-pharmacological considerations of various angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors. Pharm Res 1992; 9: 1480-6.
11. Pozo M, Camello P, Mawe G. Chemical mediators of gallbladder dysmotility. Curr Med Chem 2004; 11: 1801-12.
12. Heidari R, Niknahad H, Jamshidzadeh A, Abdoli N. Factors affecting drug-induced liver injury: antithyroid drugs as instances. Clin Mol Hepatol 2014; 20: 237-48.
13. Lucena MI, Kaplowitz N, Hallal H, Castiella A, Garcia-Bengochea M, Otazu P, et al. Recurrent drug-induced liver injury (DILI) with different drugs in the Spanish Registry: the dilemma of the relationship to autoimmune hepatitis. J Hepatol 2011; 55: 820-7.