Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.
Intranasal delivery of SARS-CoV-2 spike protein is sufficient to cause olfactory damage, inflammation and olfactory dysfunction in zebrafish

Aurora Kraus a, Mar Huertas b, Laura Ellis b, Pierre Boudinot c, Jean-Pierre Levraud d, Irene Salinas a,∗

a Center of Evolutionary and Theoretical Immunology, Biology Department, University of New Mexico, NM, USA
b Department of Biology, Texas State University, San Marcos, TX, USA
c Centre de Recherche INRAE - UVSQ, VIM, 78350 Jouy-en-Josas, France
d Unité Macrophages et Développement de l’Immunité, Institut Pasteur, CNRS UMR3637, Paris, France

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:
SARS-CoV-2
Spike protein
Zebrafish (Danio rerio)
Animal models
Olfactory damage
EOG
Olfactory receptor expression

ABSTRACT

Anosmia, loss of smell, is a prevalent symptom of SARS-CoV-2 infection. Anosmia may be explained by several mechanisms driven by infection of non-neuronal cells and damage in the nasal epithelium rather than direct infection of olfactory sensory neurons (OSNs). Previously, we showed that viral proteins are sufficient to cause neuroimmune responses in the teleost olfactory organ (OO). We hypothesize that SARS-CoV-2 spike (S) protein is sufficient to cause olfactory damage and olfactory dysfunction. Using an adult zebrafish model, we report that intranasally delivered SARS-CoV-2 S RBD mostly binds to the non-sensory epithelium of the olfactory organ and causes severe olfactory histopathology characterized by loss of cilia, hemorrhages and edema. Electrophysiological recordings reveal impaired olfactory function to both food and bile odorants in animals treated intranasally with SARS-CoV-2 S RBD. However, no loss of behavioral preference for food was detected in SARS-CoV-2 S RBD treated fish. Single cell RNA-Seq of the adult zebrafish olfactory organ indicated widespread loss of olfactory receptor expression and inflammatory responses in sustentacular, endothelial, and myeloid cell clusters along with reduced numbers of Trgs. Combined, our results demonstrate that intranasal SARS-CoV-2 S RBD is sufficient to cause structural and functional damage to the zebrafish olfactory system. These findings may have implications for intranasally delivered vaccines against SARS-CoV-2.

1. Introduction

Smell critically influences animal behavior and survival (Kermen et al., 2013; Kraus et al., 2021; Meisel and Kim, 2014; Stensmyr et al., 2012). A hallmark of SARS-CoV-2 infection is acute loss of smell, or anosmia (Carignan et al., 2020; Chung et al., 2020; Cooper et al., 2020; Eliezer et al., 2020; Gorzkowski et al., 2020). While most COVID-19 patients who report anosmia begin olfactory recovery by 2 weeks after onset, a small percentage, (~5%) report lingering smell impairment after a month (Gorzkowski et al., 2020). Further, anosmia is linked to worse clinical outcome of COVID-19 patients admitted to hospital with acute respiratory distress syndrome (Mangia et al., 2021).

Viral-induced anosmia is not unique to SARS-CoV-2 infections; other respiratory viruses such as rhinoviruses, influenza, parainfluenza and seasonal coronaviruses cause olfactory deficits in humans (Imam et al., 2020; Suzuki et al., 2007). While the precise mechanism of anosmia in COVID-19 patients is unclear, a range of potential explanations have been posited. First, viral induced anosmia may be due to inflammatory obstruction of the nasal cavities. In support, COVID-19 patients have increased pro-inflammatory cytokine levels in their olfactory epithelium (OE) (Rodriguez et al., 2020; Torabi et al., 2020). In mouse OE, sterile induction of type I IFN leads to widespread loss of olfactory receptor (OR) expression, indicating that olfactory dysfunction can be caused by inflammation even in the absence of active viral replication (Rodriguez et al., 2020). However, a significant number of COVID-19 patients report anosmia uncoupled from nasal cavity swelling (Chung et al., 2020; de Melo et al., 2021a; Gorzkowski et al., 2020) and therefore further studies are warranted. Second, SARS-CoV-2 infection of supporting cells may secondarily damage olfactory sensory neurons (OSNs) and therefore compromise the transduction of chemical odorant information to the olfactory bulb (OB) (Cooper et al., 2020). In mice and humans, ace2 expression is detected in sustentacular cells (SCs), olfactory stem cells
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S1 subunits that can bind ACE2 and result in downstream deleterious losses in OR expression and decreased numbers of mature OSNs in zebrafish coupled with transcriptional responses in sustentacular, immune and endothelial cells.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Zebrafish husbandry

Wildtype AB zebrafish were initially obtained from ZIRC (Oregon, USA). For the I.N. delivery of SARS-CoV-2 S RBD protein into adult zebrafish, female and male adult zebrafish were obtained from Dr. Wong’s laboratory at the University of Nebraska due to lockdown of ZIRC during the pandemic. Adult male and female OMP:lynRFP zebrafish were kindly shared by Dr. Ankur Saxena and maintained in the same facility as AB animals. Fish were maintained in a filtered aquarium system at 28°C with a 14 h light and 10 h dark cycle at the University of New Mexico Aquatics Animal Facility. For electro-olfactogram (EOG) recordings, wildtype AB adult zebrafish were obtained from ZIRC and maintained at the TXST Aquatic laboratory in the Freeman building. All experiments with adults utilized a mix of male and female animals. Animals were fed ad libitum Gemma complete nutrition (Skretting). All animal protocols were done in accordance with American Veterinary Medical Association guidelines under a protocol approved by the University of New Mexico’s Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (Protocol Number 19–200863-MC) and the Texas State University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (Protocol Number 43).

2.2. Comparative analyses of vertebrate ACE2 molecules and RT-qPCR

Primary sequences for ACE2 protein were collected from publicly available databases. Accession number are as follows: H. sapiens, Q9BYF1; M. mulatta, NP_001129168.1; M. musculus, Q8RIO0; R. norvegicus, Q5EGZ1; M. putorius furo, BAES3380.1; M. javanica, XP_017505752.1; R. sinicus, U5WY88; C. dromedaries, XP_010991717.1; C. familiaris, F1PC5; G. gallus, F1NH4R; A. mississippiensis, KY303243.1; X. tropicalis, F6PSC4; D. rerio, XP.005169417.1. To assess the similarity and identity of the amino acid sequences we used MatGat v2.02 (Campanella et al., 2003). Alignments were done using CLUSTALW (Chenna et al., 2003).

Olfactory organs and olfactory bulbs were dissected, and RNA was extracted using trizol. For tissue homogenization tungsten beads were placed in 1.5 ml Eppendorf tubes with tissue and trizol and shaken at 30 Hz for 5 min. The homogenate/lysates were then collected, and RNA extracted using a standard chloroform/phenol extraction protocol. Total RNA was quantified by nanodrop and RNA contents were normalized to 0.5 mg prior to reverse transcription to synthesize cDNA using the SuperScript III first strand system (Invitrogen). qPCR was performed using SSOadvanced supermix (Biorad 1725270) using the SsoAdvanced Supermix for qPCR (Biorad 1725270)

A few studies have proposed zebrafish as a model for SARS-CoV-2 (Costa et al., 2021; Galindo-Villegas, 2020; Laghi et al., 2021; Tylkołska et al., 2021). Attempts to infect wildtype zebrafish larvae by immersion indicate a lack of infection or replication of SARS-CoV-2 (Kraus et al., 2020; Laghi et al., 2021). Microinjection of live SARS-CoV-2 virus into wildtype zebrafish larvae found modest replication in the swim bladder and no replication when microinjected via other routes (Laghi et al., 2021). Thus, at present, the use of wildtype zebrafish (larvae or adults) to understand live SARS-CoV-2 infection is limited. The adult teleost OO has previously been shown to respond to proteins from viral pathogens (Sepahi et al., 2019). Thus, in this study, we investigate the effects of a viral protein, SARS-CoV-2 S RBD protein on the olfactory system of the zebrafish in a BSL-1 setting.

The present study reports for the first time that intranasal (I.N.) delivery of SARS-CoV-2 S RBD is sufficient to cause olfactory pathology in adult zebrafish. The damage was transient, as tissue was repaired by 2 weeks. Electrophysiology further indicate that adult zebrafish have impaired functional responses to odorants after I.N. exposure to SARS-CoV-2 S RBD despite the fact that they did not loose behavioral preference for food odors. Finally, single cell RNA-Seq indicates global losses in OR expression and decreased numbers of mature OSNs in zebrafish coupled with transcriptional responses in sustentacular, immune and endothelial cells.

The zebrafish olfactory system shares a significant degree of molecular and anatomical conservation with humans (Saraiva et al., 2015). The zebrafish OO consists of two separate rosettes exposed to the external environment where the OSNs directly detect chemical stimuli in the environmental water. Rosettes are formed by many olfactory lamellae, finger-like structures, each coated with non-sensory and sensory neuroepithelium. The sensory regions consist of basal cells, intermediate neuronal precursors, mature OSNs and sustentacular cells, similar to the mammalian OE (Byrd and Brunjes, 1995). OSN axons penetrate the basal lamina in bundles and form the fila olfactoria in the lamina propria. In the lamina propria, apart from the OSN axon bundles, fibroblasts, glial cells and blood vessels are found (Hansen and Zeiske, 1998; Sarkar et al., 2018). The zebrafish OO has been extensively studied in different toxicant and injury models (Calvo-Ochoa and Byrd-Jacobs, 2019; Kermen et al., 2013). The regenerative abilities of the OO and OB in zebrafish indicate that the kinetics of anomic recovery takes about 2 weeks; a similar timeline to that observed in COVID-19 patients who suffer from anosmia (Chung et al., 2020; Gorzkowski et al., 2020; White et al., 2015). Zebrafish offer many advantages over other animal models due to their high reproductive ability, rapid development, low maintenance costs, and small transparent bodies (Levraud et al., 2014). These advantages have already been leveraged to study respiratory and neurotropic human viruses such as influenza A, noroviruses, Herpes simplex virus and Chikungunya virus (Jurgos et al., 2008; Gabor et al., 2014; Palha et al., 2013; Van Dyck et al., 2019). Genetically, more than 80% of disease related genes have a zebrafish orthologue (Howe et al., 2013) and members of all major groups of mammalian cytokines have been identified in the zebrafish genome (Gomes and Mostowy, 2020; Zou and Seconmes, 2016).

A few studies have proposed zebrafish as a model for SARS-CoV-2 (Costa et al., 2021; Galindo-Villegas, 2020; Laghi et al., 2021;
following primers: ace2 forward 5′-CTGGCTCTGTGTTTGCC-3′ reverse 5′-TCTTATCTGTATTGAGAGG-3′ and rps11 forward 5′-CCCA-GGAAGCTATTGAT-3′ reverse 5′-TCAATCCGGTAAAGT-3′ at Tm of 62. Quantification of changes in gene expression was done by the Pfaffl method (Pfaffl, 2001).

2.3. Intranasal delivery of SARS-CoV-2 S RBD recombinant protein to adult zebrafish

Adult zebrafish were anesthetized for 1 min in 0.04 mg/ml Tricaine-S (Syndel) solution and then moved to an absorbent boat where their gills were still covered with anesthetic solution for administration of solutions to nares. Using a microloader tip (Eppendorf, 930001007), 5 μl of a 20 ng/μl His-tagged SARS-CoV-2 S RBD in PBS (kindly provided by Dr. F. Krammer) was directly pipetted into each naris, while 5 μl of sterile PBS was applied in control fish. For details on production of recombinant SARS-CoV-2 S RBD please refer to (Amanat et al., 2020). As a negative control, animals received the same dose of an unrelated His-tagged recombinant protein, the trout chemokine CK12a, a CCL-19 homologue (Sepahi et al., 2017). Additionally, a control treatment group included a single I.N. delivery of 50 μg/naris poly I:C (Sigma Aldrich) or a triple combination of poly I:C (Sigma Aldrich) or a triple poly I:C delivery consisting of one administration of 50 μg/naris poly I:C daily for 3 days. Fish were sampled 1 day later. As a further positive control for apoptosis assays, the olfactoetoxic drug methimazole (Chen et al., 2017; Leung et al., 2007; Suzukawa et al., 2011) or vehicle control was delivered I.N. once (5 μl of a 10 μM methimazole solution in PBS, Millipore Sigma CAS 60–56–0) and animals were sampled a day later. After inoculation, animals recovered in a separate tank supplemented with O2 before returning to their rearing tank until the end of the experiment. Euthanasia was performed on ice to ensure rapid death without perturbing the olfactory system at 15 min, 3 h, 1 d, 3 d, 5 d and 2 w.

2.4. Histology and image analysis

Adult zebrafish heads (N = 3–5) were fixed in 4% formaldehyde solution buffered to pH 7.4 with 60 mM HEPES overnight at 4°C on a low speed rocker. Heads were then rinsed three times with PBS for 30 min at room temperature (RT) on a slow rocker and decalcified in 10% EDTA solution (pH 7.4) at RT for 24 h. After rinsing in PBS, samples were placed in 70% ethanol for 24 h at 4°C and then processed for embedding in paraffin according to ZIRC protocols. Paraffin blocks were sectioned at 5-μm thickness and stained by hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining or by Masson Trichrome staining (Cancer Diagnostics #51026-MA-500). For histological quantifications, 40x images with pixel ratios of 640x480 were acquired on a Nikon Ti inverted microscope (brightfield) were imported to ImageJ. At a distance of 50 μm was measured from where the lamellae meets the raphe and the width of the lamella was measured from basal lamina to basal lamina perpendicular to the lamella. Health of cilia was assessed as previously reported (Casadei et al., 2019; François et al., 2016). In short, areas where the cilia were detached, tangled in knots, or sheared to <1 μm in height were considered unhealthy. The total length of epithelium with healthy cilia was then divided by the total epithelial length to display as “percent of healthy cilia”.

For immunofluorescence staining, zebrafish heads (N = 3–10) were frozen in OCT (Tissue-Tek, 4583). Sections (5-μm) were post fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 3 min and blocked with T20 (Fisher PI-37539) for 12 min. Sections were then labeled with rabbit anti-6x His (ab9108) (1:300), or mouse anti-PCNA (ab2;29; PC10) (1:300), or rabbit anti-activated caspase-3 (ab13847) (1:500) or mouse anti-cytokeratin 8 (1:250) (Haugarvoll et al., 2008) or corresponding isotype controls followed by secondary antibody labeling with Cy3 donkey anti-mouse (Jackson Immuno Research 715–165-151) or Cy3 goat anti-rabbit (Jackson Immuno Research 111–165-144) or Cy2 goat anti-rabbit (Jackson Immuno research 111–225-144). All secondaries were used at 1:250. Nuclei were stained with DAPI. Sections were observed under a Nikon Ti inverted microscope, and images were acquired with the Nikon Elements Advanced Research software or on a Zeiss LSM 780 confocal microscope and acquired with Zen software SP4. All images were analyzed using ImageJ. For quantification of caspase-3 and PCNA, 10 representative images per animal were taken at 60x with a pixel ratio of 1920x1460. All images were acquired with the same exposure time (3 s for caspase-3 and 1 s for PCNA) and LUTs were adjusted to the same level before counting positively stained cells. For the PCNA quantification, only cells with nuclear staining were counted as described by Bertini et al., 2016. Images were taken along the length of the lamella’s sensory and non-sensory epithelium. Off target staining and background were determined by isotype controls and ignored for counting. Counting was performed by two scientists independently.

2.5. Isolation of olfactory organ cells from adult zebrafish, scRNA-Seq, scRNA-Seq data analysis and gene ontology

To obtain single cell suspensions, adult AB zebrafish olfactory rosettes (N = 4) were dissected and placed directly into 5 ml of Neurobasal medium (Gibco, 21103-040) supplemented with 10% heat inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS; Peak Scientific, Colorado, USA), 100 U/ml penicillin–streptomycin (Gibco, 15140122), and 0.04% filtered bovine serum albumin (Sigma) and rocked at 4°C. Every 20 min, the supernatant from each sample was collected and replaced by fresh medium for a total of 4 times. Supernatants were then filtered through a cell strainer and kept on ice. Next, the rosettes were placed in 10 ml of a DTT solution (1.3 mM EDTA, 864 μM DTT in PBS) at RT for 30 min. Suspensions were filtered through a cell strainer and combined with the supernatants obtained from the first steps. Rosettes were then rinsed off the DTT solution and incubated in Hank’s buffer containing 10% FBS, 0.04% BSA, 100U/ml penicillin–streptomycin and collagenase type IV (Gibco, 0.37 mg/ml) for 1.5 h at RT. The supernatants were filtered through a cell strainer and combined with those obtained from the previous steps while the rosettes were mashed against the cell strainer and washed with neurobasal medium. The combined supernatants were centrifuged for 10 min at 400g in supplemented neurobasal medium and cells were counted with a hemocytometer. Viability was estimated by trypan blue staining. Cells were then stained twice through Flowmi 10 μm strainers and loaded onto the chromium controller with a viability of greater than 85%. Cell libraries were generated according to 10x Genomics protocols at the University of New Mexico Cancer Center Genomics core facility and sequenced on an Illumina NovaSeq 6000 at the University of Colorado Genomics and Microarray core facility. Sequencing depth and statistics of the scRNA-Seq run are shown in Figure S9. SRAs for this project can be found on NCBI under bioproject #PRJNA668529.

Fastqs were run through the Cell Ranger v3.0 pipeline with default settings using the GRCz11 zebrafish genome. Output matrices were loaded to R (v1.2.5001) as a Seurat object (Package Seurat v3.1.1). First, cells with <200 or greater than 2500 features, and greater than 5% mitochondrial features were removed. After counts were normalized using the “lognormalize” method and a scale factor of 10000, 2000 variable genes were selected using the ‘var’ method. Data was scaled, and PCA dimensional reduction was run. Jackstraw analysis determined the vehicle control to have 38 significant principal components (PCs) and the treated samples to have 40 significant PCs which were used for clustering analysis. SARS-CoV-2 S RBD treated samples were integrated with the vehicle treated sample and clustered together using 30 significant PCs and a resolution of 0.5. Cluster markers were identified with “FindAllMarkers” in Seurat and exported for cluster identification. Differential expression analysis was done with seurat “FindMarkers” in default settings for each cluster and exported for gene ontology analysis.

Gene ontology (GO) analysis was done with web-based GUS Meta-scape and ShinyGO v0.61 which draw multiple currently maintained databases (Ensembl, ENTRZ, KEGG among others). Biological process webs were created using biological process output from ShinyGO v0.61
in Prism GraphPad. Biological processes bar graphs were produced by Metascape.

2.6. Electro-olfactogram recordings

Adult AB zebrafish were anesthetized and received 3 µL of recombinant SARS-CoV-2 S RBD protein (50 ng/µL) in PBS, 5 µL r-CK12a protein (50 ng/ml) or PBS alone in the right naris. The left naris always received 5 µL PBS. After 3 h, 1 d, 3 d and 2 w zebrafish were placed in a v-shaped stand and supplied with aerated water containing MS222 anesthetic (0.05 g/L) (Sigma Aldrich) through the gills. The nasal flap was removed with sterile fine forceps to expose the olfactory rosettes to a continuous water line delivered by a microtube on top of the rosette. Olfactory responses to zebrafish food extract or goldfish bile were measured by electrical recordings as detailed in Sepahi et al., 2019. Olfactory responses were recorded first in one naris and then recorded again in the opposite naris, the order of naris to perform EOGs (right-treated naris or left-control naris) was randomized. There were no differences in the olfactory responses between naris due to the order of recording. The food extract was prepared as a filtered solution of 1L tap water and 0.1 g of dry food pellets. Water food extracts were separated in 200 µl aliquots and kept frozen until the recording day. A 0.5 ml mix of bile fluid from 50 adult goldfish was aliquoted in 10 µL and kept frozen until the day of the recording. Before each recording, bile aliquots were diluted 1:1000 in water from the EOG system. There were no significant differences in olfactory responses between males and females, hence responses of both sexes were averaged together. The percentage reduction in olfactory activity was calculated by dividing the amplitude of the olfactory signal at time × (mV) by amplitude of the olfactory signal at time 0 (mV) * 100. Percentage of olfactory signal reduction between control and treated naris was calculated as follows (Amplitude response to odorant in control naris (mV) - Amplitude response to odorant in treated naris (mV))/Amplitude response to odorant in control naris (mV) * 100.

2.7. Behavioral assays

Two-choice maze behavioral assays (Buchinger et al., 2017) were used to evaluate zebrafish responses to food odors pre and post exposure to treatments at 3 h (time of losing olfactory sensitivity to EOGs) and 3 d exposure (time of recovery of olfactory sensitivity in EOGs). An experimental two-choice maze was constructed using acrylic sheets with a submersible water pump (PondmasterTM POND MAG3) to create inflow, and a multichannel peristaltic pump to release food odor (Cole-Parmer® CatalystTM Masterflex FH Series) in one channel and deionized water in the other channel. A meshed barrier was added to create a laminar flow dispersed the cue evenly through the odor channel (Jutfelt et al., 2017).

Behavior trials were conducted on adult zebrafish (N = 8). Food was withheld for 24 h prior to trials. Zebrafish were lightly anesthetized using 0.2 g/L MS222 (Sigma Aldrich). Fish nares were flushed with 3 µL of recombinant SARS-CoV-2 S RBD protein (50 ng/µL) in PBS, an unrelated His-tagged recombinant protein (Sepahi et al., 2017) from here on termed r-CK12a (3 µL at 50 ng/µL) in PBS or PBS alone. Fish were returned to their tanks to recover for 3 h or 3 d prior to the behavior experiment. The behavioral trials had four phases, acclimation (10 min) in a holding chamber, exploration (10 min), re-acclimation (10 min), and exposure to food cue (10 min). The time zebrafish spent in each side was recorded in seconds. For the trial to be considered successful, zebrafish had to explore both chambers. Side preference was determined during initial exploration phase and food odor was released to the opposite side during cue trials. Food cue was 1 g of Tetra® TetraFin Goldfish Flake food homogenized in 1L of deionized water. The odor was created 24 h before experiments and was stored at 4 °C until the trials, when it was brought to room temperature. Control cue was deionized water for all trials.

During trials the time spent in the control and experimental channels were recorded and an index of preference (i) was calculated for each test (i = [ae/(ae + be) – ac/(ac + bc)]). The time spent in the control channel before odor exposure was calculated (bc = before odor release control channel and be = before odor release experimental channel, respectively), and after odor exposure (ac = after odor release control channel and ae = after odor release experimental channel). The indices of preference range between 1 (positive preference or attraction) and −1 (negative preference or repulsion), index of preference 0 indicates that fish have no preference for spending time in either channel.

2.8. Statistical analysis

All data were checked for normal distribution prior to performing statistical analyses using F test or Bartlett’s. Unpaired t-tests or one-way ANOVA followed by a Tukey’s post hoc comparison were used to detect differences among treatments in all histological and IF staining studies. For scRNA-Seq population proportion analysis of number of cells in each cluster across treatments we used R (v1.2.5001) with prop.test and P-values were adjusted using p.adjust set to the “bonferroni” method. For behavioral tests, a Wilcoxon matched pairs signed-rank test (α = 0.05) was used to evaluate differences from significant preference (swimming towards or against the food cue) or no preference at all for the food odor (random swimming) in each treatment. Statistics were done in GraphPad Prism V7.0d. P-values were as follows: * P-value < 0.05, ** P-value < 0.01, *** P-value < 0.001, and **** P-value < 0.0001.

3. Results

3.1. Comparative analyses of ACE2 molecules in vertebrates

The ACE2 protein is the receptor for SARS-CoV-2 S RBD on permissive human cells and expressed in the sustentacular cells of the mammalian OE (Brann et al., 2020; Hoffmann et al., 2020b). Comparative analysis of angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) molecules in vertebrates indicated a 72%-73% similarity and 57.5%-58% identity between zebrafish Ace2 and human ACE2, respectively (Table S1). Examination of ACE2 amino acids in the region involved in binding SARS-CoV-2 S protein (Liu et al., 2020) revealed modest conservation of amino acids in zebrafish Ace2 at the binding interface (Table S2). Combined, these data agree with previous reports and suggest that teleost ACE2 proteins are not likely to bind SARS-CoV-2 S (Damas et al., 2020).

3.2. Intranasal delivery of SARS-CoV-2 S RBD causes olfactory damage in adult zebrafish

Anosmia is one of the earliest manifestations of SARS-CoV-2 infection in humans (Cooper et al., 2020). We have previously shown that IHNV glycoprotein is sufficient to induce rapid nasal immune responses as well as neuronal activation in teleost fish (Sepahi et al., 2019). Thus, we hypothesized that recombinant SARS-CoV-2 S RBD protein may be sufficient to damage the OO of zebrafish. In order to test this hypothesis, we delivered recombinant SARS-CoV-2 S RBD to the nasal cavity of adult AB zebrafish and sampled the OO 3 h (h), 1 day (d), 3 d, 5 d and 2 weeks (w) later. Negative controls consisted of animals that received vehicle only (PBS) into the nasal cavity as well as fish that received the same dose of r-CK12a. We also included a Poly(I:C) treated group as an additional control for dsRNA induced antiviral effects. SARS-CoV-2 S RBD was sufficient to cause severe damage to the zebrafish OO at all early time points examined (Fig. 1A-E). Tissue damage was characterized by presence of edema and endothelial inflammation in the lamina propria as early as 3 h post-treatment (Fig. 1A-B & J). Presence of
Fig. 1. Intranasal delivery of SARS-CoV-2 S RBD protein causes severe histological damage in the adult zebrafish OO that recovers after 2 weeks. H&E stains of zebrafish OO paraffin sections. (A) Control vehicle treated OO. (B) 3 h; (C) 1 d; (D) 3 d; (E) 5 d; (F) 2 w post intranasal delivery of SARS-CoV-2 S RBD protein (r-Spike) or controls (Poly(I:C) and an off-target His-tagged recombinant protein). Images are representative of n = 10 sections per animal and N = 3–5 fish per experimental group. Asterisk denotes edemic spaces in the olfactory lamellae, mostly in the lamina propria. He: hemorrhages. Arrow head: apical loss of olfactory sensory neurons. Black Arrow: Necrotic lamella. (G) Immunofluorescence image of an AB zebrafish OO cryosection from an adult OMP:lynRFP animal 15 min after I.N SARS-CoV-2 S RBD administration stained with a rabbit IgG isotype control as primary antibody. Scale: 20 μm. (H) Immunofluorescence image of an OMP:lynRFP zebrafish OO stained with anti-His antibody (green) 15 min post I.N. delivery of recombinant His-tagged SARS-CoV-2 S RBD. (I) Immunofluorescence of an AB zebrafish OO stained with anti-His antibody (red) 15 min post I.N. delivery of SARS-CoV-2 S RBD shows puncta of anti-His staining when zoomed in on non-sensory epithelium; Scale = 5 μm. Ax: OSN axon bundles; NC: nasal cavity; LP: lamina propria. Scale: 20 μm. Cell nuclei are stained with DAPI (blue). (J) Mean width of the olfactory lamina propria in each treatment group. (K) Mean percent of olfactory lamellar surface covered by healthy cilia in each treatment group. (L) Expression of ace2 in OO and OB tissue of untreated adult AB zebrafish (N = 3) as measured by RT-qPCR. Expression levels were normalized to rps11 as the house-keeping gene. Quantifications in J&K were performed in ImageJ; error bars represent S.E.M. J&K statistics were done by one-way ANOVA followed by a Tukey’s post hoc comparison. * P-value < 0.05, ** P-value < 0.01, **** P-value < 0.0001.
hemorrhages at the tip and base of the olfactory lamellae was observed 1 d post-treatment (Fig. 1C). Edema, characterized by empty spaces in the tissue (asterisks in Fig. 1), was observed in the epithelium at 3 h, but by 1 d, 3 d, and 5 d edemic spaces were found throughout the lamina propria. Further, we quantified edema by measuring the width of lamina propria at 50 μm from the raphe and found significant expansion of the lamina propria at 3 h and 3 d after I.N SARS-CoV-2 S RBD delivery (Fig. 1J). Loss of the epithelial mosaic structure characteristic of the teleost olfactory epithelium (Byrd and Brunjes, 1995) was observed 1 d, 3 d and 5 d post-treatment (Fig. 1C-E). By 5 d, loss of entire lamella due to severe necrosis was observed in the SARS-CoV-2 S RBD treated animals, whereas no control animals lost lamellae (N = 3) (Fig. 1J). Significant loss of olfactory cilia was recorded in all animals treated with SARS-CoV-2 S RBD at all early time points (Fig. 1K). Specifically, all animals treated I.N. with SARS-CoV-2 S RBD had areas along the olfactory lamellae where cilia were tangled in dense knots, sheared toless than 1 μm or completely detached. No olfactory damage was observed in zebrafish that received r-CX12a or Poly(I:C) (Fig. 1J-K, Figure S1 & S2). To evaluate the recovery of the OO after I.N. delivery of SARS-CoV-2 S RBD, we examined histological sections 2 w post-treatment. Studies into the plasticity of the zebrafish olfactory system show that by 2 w after chemical ablation of the OO, structure and function are restored (Calvo-Ochoa and Byrd-Jacobs, 2019). By 2 w after I.N. SARS-CoV-2 S RBD the OO resembled the vehicle treated OO (Fig. 1F). The mosaic of the neuroepithelium was restored; there was no edema and the cilia appeared healthy (Fig. 1F & J-K). These results indicate that SARS-CoV-2 S RBD is sufficient to cause severe but transient tissue damage in the zebrafish OO and that olfactory damage can occur in the absence of active SARS-CoV-2 replication.

To localize interactions between the recombinant SARS-CoV-2 S RBD protein and the OO, we performed immunofluorescence staining with an anti-His antibody 15 min after SARS-CoV-2 S RBD recombinant protein treatment in OMP:lynRFP animals that endogenously express red fluorescent protein (RFP) in mature ciliated OSNs (Sato et al., 2005). SARS-CoV-2 S RBD protein was widely localized along the non-sensory epithelium, as evidenced by the lack of RFP signal in anti-His positive regions (Fig. 1H). Additionally, a magnified view of the non-sensory area of a wild type zebrafish OO 15 min after being treated I.N. with SARS-CoV-2 S RBD shows limited, punctuate anti-His staining pattern along the non-sensory epithelial area of the lamellae (Fig. 1I). Both the isotype control stain on 15 min SARS-CoV-2 S RBD treated animals and anti-His stain on vehicle treated animals showed no signal in these areas (Fig. 1G & S3).

To assess whether the zebrafish homolog ace2 is expressed in the olfactory system of zebrafish we measured transcript levels by qPCR on the OO and OB of control adult zebrafish (Fig. 1L). We confirmed moderate expression levels of ace2 in the zebrafish OO but very low levels in the OB. Therefore, it is possible that the SARS-CoV-2 S RBD is interacting with Ace2 in the zebrafish OO although other mechanisms of ligand-receptor interaction cannot be ruled out.

3.3. Intranasal SARS-CoV-2 S RBD delivery alters the OO lamina propria in adult zebrafish

Based on H&E analysis, large fibrous patches are evident in the lamina propria of the zebrafish OO 3 d post I.N. SARS-CoV-2 S RBD delivery (Fig. 2A). Lung tissue fibrosis is a hallmark in SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV patients (Beigmohammadi et al., 2020; Tian et al., 2020; Xu et al., 2020; Zuo et al., 2016) characterized by fibroblast persistence and excessive deposition of collagen and other extracellular matrix components (Zuo et al., 2016). To determine if the changes observed in the zebrafish OO lamina propria could be similar to those found in lung fibrosis, we performed Masson Trichrome stains which stain collagen blue. Compared with the control (Fig. 2B) there was no increase of blue stained collagen deposits at 3 h (Fig. 2C-D) or 3 d (Fig. 2E-F) in SARS-CoV-2 S RBD animals. However, by 3 d large amounts of bright red deposits were observed suggesting that the fibrous material present in the lamina propria of treated animals is composed of keratins and may contribute to tissue healing (Fig. 2F) (Paladini et al., 1996). Although not previously used in zebrafish OO studies, cytokeratin 8 (CTK8) is an epidermal marker during regeneration in zebrafish (Martorana et al., 2001). CTK8 staining in control adult zebrafish OO revealed positive staining pattern in the non-sensory epithelium as well as in SCs and basal cells (Fig. 2G & S7A). I.N. Poly(I:C) treatment did not alter the CTK8 staining pattern in the OO (Figure S4A-B). At 3 h after I.N. SARS-CoV-2 S RBD treatment the mosaic of the non-sensory epithelium was disrupted; the usually flat morphology of the progenitor horizontal basal cells became rounded and the columnar SCs were less evident (Fig. 2H & S7B). By 3 d after SARS-CoV-2 S RBD the non-sensory epithelium was highly disorganized, and the CTK8 staining was localized in some cells of the lamina propria, in sharp contrast with control or 3 h OO samples where the lamina propria was CTK8 negative (Fig. 2G-I & S7A-C).

We were curious if this change in CTK8 immunoreactivity pattern may be due to changing cellular dynamics of the OO in response to damage to the lamina propria and OE (Fig. 1 & 2C-F). Therefore, we used an anti-PCNA antibody to assess cells in the synthesis phase of cell division. OO cryosections stained with an isotype control instead of anti-PCNA antibody showed no antibody reactivity (Figure S5A). Compared to vehicle treated OO, there was a significant increase in the number of cells positive for PCNA reactivity at 3 h (Fig. 2J-K) and 3 d (Fig. 2J-L) after I.N. SARS-CoV-2 S RBD (Fig. 2Q). Similar to past studies in the zebrafish OO, most PCNA positive cells were located in the non-sensory epithelium, although some were in the sensory region of the lamella (Figure S7D-F) (Bettini et al., 2016). Importantly, the number of PCNA positive cells at 3 d after I.N. SARS-CoV-2 S RBD was different than at 3 d after delivery of the viral mimic Poly(I:C), echoing the histological differences observed between the two treatment groups (Fig. 2Q & S4C-D). Further, due to the loss of OSN cilia (Fig. 1K) we were curious if cells within the neuroepithelium were apoptotic. Therefore, we stained OO cryosections with an anti-activated caspase-3 antibody and counted positive cells as those with bleb-shaped apoptotic bodies. OO cryosections stained with an isotype control instead of anti-activated caspase-3 antibody showed no antibody reactivity (Figure S5B). We found a significant increase in apoptotic cells after 3 h and 3 d I.N. SARS-CoV-2 S RBD (Fig. 2M-P & S7G-I) mostly located in the non-sensory epithelium at 3 h and 3 d and some clusters of cells present in the sensory epithelium by 3 d. Multiple Poly(I:C) I.N. deliveries are known to induce apoptosis in the mouse OO (Kanaya et al., 2014), whereas a single delivery of Poly(I:C) I.N. caused apoptosis in the rainbow trout OO 4 h post-treatment (Sepahi et al., 2019). In the present study, a single LN dose of viral mimic Poly(I:C) did not cause significant apoptosis compared to previous findings in rainbow trout, however the triple Poly I:C treatment did (Figure S6B and D). Due to this discrepancy, we also employed the known olfactotoxic drug methimazole (Chen et al., 2017; Leung et al., 2007; Suzukiwaka et al., 2011) and found significantly higher numbers of activated caspase 3 compared to the triple Poly(I:C) treatment (Figure S6C-D). Importantly, apoptosis 3 d after I.N. SARS-CoV-2 S RBD delivery mostly occurred in the non-sensory regions (Figure S7I) corresponding to the area of high anti-His 6x immunoreactivity (Fig. 1H). Together, our data indicate that I.N. delivery of SARS-CoV-2 S RBD causes dynamic changes in the zebrafish OO supporting cells and the lamina propria, where OSN axon bundles, fila olfactoria, are located. The observed changes may correspond to wound repair responses in the lamina propria resulting from SARS-CoV-2 S RBD induced damage.

3.4. Intranasal delivery of SARS-CoV-2 S RBD causes anosmia but does not alter behavioral preference for food in adult zebrafish

We were curious to investigate whether histological findings translated into functional changes to the OO. Adult zebrafish given SARS-CoV-2 S RBD I.N. had an instant, significant reduction of olfactory response to food extracts with a 50% reduction in amplitude on electroolfactogram recordings (EOG) compared to pretreatment response to...
Fig. 2. Intranasal delivery of SARS-CoV-2 S RBD protein causes severe cellular changes to the OO of adult zebrafish. (A) H&E image of raphe of the OO showing large eosinophilic deposits 3 d post intranasal delivery of SARS-CoV-2 S RBD. (B) Masson Trichrome stains of zebrafish OO administered intranasally vehicle or (C-D) 3 h SARS-CoV-2 S RBD, or (E-F) 3 d SARS-CoV-2 S RBD. Asterisk denotes red keratin deposits. Blue staining corresponds to collagen deposits. (G) anti-CTK8 (red) immunofluorescence staining of adult zebrafish OO cryosections after intranasal delivery of vehicle or (H) 3 h; or (I) 3 d SARS-CoV-2 S RBD. (J) anti-PCNA immunofluorescence staining of adult zebrafish OO cryosection after intranasal delivery of vehicle or (K) 3 h; or (L) 3 d recombinant SARS-CoV-2 S RBD. (M) anti-caspase3 (red) immunofluorescence staining of adult zebrafish OO cryosections after intranasal delivery of vehicle or (N) 3 h; (O) 3 d recombinant SARS-CoV-2 S RBD. Nuclei are stained with DAPI (blue). Scale in (G-O): 20 μm. (P) Quantification of the mean number of caspase3 positive cells per field of view. (Q) Quantification of number of PCNA positive cells per field of view. Quantifications shown in P and Q were performed on N = 4 animals and n = 10 fields of view per animal, represented by different colors. Error bars represent S.E.M. LP: lamina propria; NC: nasal cavity. Statistics ANOVA followed by a Tukey’s post hoc comparison. *P-value < 0.05. Representative images of Poly(I:C)-treated animals are shown in Supplemental Fig. 2.
food odor (Fig. 3A & S8). Reduction in the olfactory response was detected within 1 min of exposure to SARS-CoV-2 S RBD protein, indicating an instant change in olfactory function (Fig. 3A). The olfactory responses to the food odorant were recorded for 4 sec every 5 min for total period of 1 h. During the 5 min resting periods, OO was flushed with water to clean excess odorant and avoid desensitization of the OSN by overexposure. Reduction of olfactory responses to food extract in SARS-CoV-2 S RBD treated fish was sustained for 1 h. We repeated the same sequential exposure to food odors in individuals treated with PBS and responses to food odorant were not reduced at any time point in these control treatments (Fig. 3A). This confirmed that reduction of olfactory responses to food extracts in SARS-CoV-2 S RBD treated individuals was not due to desensitization of the OSN by overexposure to food odorant but related to a damage to the OO. To further quantify the degree of olfactory reduction due to I.N. SARS-CoV-2 S RBD, we took advantage of the two separate olfactory chambers present in zebrafish. We exposed one naris to the SARS-CoV-2 S RBD protein and the other naris, in the same animal, to vehicle (PBS) and waited 3 h, 1 d, 3 d or 2 w before measuring olfaction by EOG (Fig. 3B). At 3 h, we observed the SARS-CoV-2 S RBD naris had a 49% and 44% reduction in olfactory responses to food and bile, respectively, compared to the vehicle treated naris and a 60 and 89% loss of olfactory function in food and bile olfactory responses respectively 1 d post-treatment (Fig. 3B). Some individuals showed no EOG responses for bile in the treated naris with SARS-CoV-2 S RBD after 1 d. The reduction in olfactory sensitivity to food extract was smaller than that found for bile, probably due to the lower number of OSNs involved in bile acid detection compared to amino acids found in food (Hansen et al., 2003). At 3 d, EOG responses for food and bile of the SARS-CoV-2 S RBD treated naris were slightly higher than and vehicle treated naris (shown as negative value of reduction in Fig. 3B), indicating an overcompensation of the treated naris which is therefore more sensitive to food and bile odors. After 2 w post I.N. SARS-CoV-2 S RBD treatment, EOG responses between nares were not different (Fig. 3B). EOG responses to food and bile 3 h, 1 d, 3
d and 2 w after I.N. a control recombinant protein (r-CK12a) were not significantly different from the vehicle treated naris (Fig. 3C). Our results indicate that EOG observed SARS-CoV-2 S RBD-induced anosmia is not specific for a subset of OSNs, since both food extracts and bile olfactory signals were suppressed in SARS-CoV-2 S RBD treated zebrafish.

To learn if exposure to SARS-CoV-2 S RBD altered behavior of adult zebrafish due to olfactory dysfunction, we performed a two-choice maze decision test, where fish could swim into a maze with two water channels, one with continuous release of a food odor and the other releasing water (Fig. 3D). A positive index preference demonstrates a behavior...
3.5. Single-cell analysis of the zebrafish olfactory organ

To better understand the mechanisms of SARS-CoV-2 S RBD induced olfactory dysfunction in adult zebrafish, we performed single cell RNA-Seq (scRNA-Seq). Given our histological findings and binding of the SARS-CoV-2 S RBD to the non-sensory epithelial region, we hypothesized that transcriptional perturbations occur in sustentacular cells as well as in OSNs due to indirect mechanisms. scRNA-Seq was performed after 3 h or 3 d of L. administration with SARS-CoV-2 S RBD. These timepoints were selected based on the histological findings of Fig. 1. Data from the treated time point was integrated with that from vehicle treated controls in Seurat and then clustered using 30 significant principal components (Fig. 4A-B) (Butler et al., 2018). We identified a total of 8 neuronal cell clusters (NC), 5 SC clusters, 3 endothelial cell (EC) clusters and 7 leucocyte (lymphoid and myeloid) clusters (Fig. 4A-B).

Of the 8 NCs, Neuron1 and Neuron2 corresponded to mature OSNs. Neuron1 expressed markers of ciliated OSNs (ompa and omph) in addition to several olfactory receptor (OR) genes (Buiakova et al., 1996). Neuron2, on the other hand, expressed markers of microvillus OSNs (trpc2b, s100a5, and gnao) and many VRs such as v2rh32 as well as OR genes (Figure S9) (Kraemer et al., 2008). Neuronal clusters 3–8 included OSNs in different differentiation stages, from progenitor-like neurons to differentiating intermediate neuronal precursors (Yu and Wu, 2017). For instance, expression of multiple high mobility group family transcripts (hmga1a, and hmgb2b2) typically found in neuronal precursor cells is expressed inversely to the transcription factor family of sex genes (sox4 and sox11) associated with commitment to differentiation to mature neurons across the neuronal subsets (Bergsland et al., 2006; Giancotti et al., 2018). The Neuron3 cluster expressed both ompa and OR genes as well as markers of neuronal differentiation and plasticity such as neurod1, neurod4, gap43, sox11, and sox4 suggesting commitment to becoming mature ciliated OSNs. The cluster Neuron4, only found at 3 h, was transcriptionally similar to the Neuron3 cluster expressing hmg1a1, and hmgb2b2, but lacked markers of differentiation (gap43 and sox11) indicating a state closer to a neuronal progenitor. The clusters Neuron5 and Neuron6 were the closest to the progenitor state with expression of basic helix-loop-helix transcription factors (i.e. bhlh22), associated with exit from the cell cycle and progenitor state, as well as cell cycle genes (Borders et al., 2007). Clusters Neuron7 and Neuron8 expressed cell cycle and early neuronal progenitor markers as well as tmprss13b and tmprss4a, however the majority of cluster identifying genes in these two clusters are underscribed (Figure S9).

SCs are supporting cells that exist in the neuroepithelium around OSNs and in humans, they express ACE2 (Sungnak et al., 2020). SCs in the OE can directly arise from horizontal basal cells (HBCs) (Yu and Wu, 2017). We found 5 clusters of SCs in our datasets. Sustentacular clusters 1 and 2 had similar expressing markers to those of HBCs (krtr5) and other basal cells (lacm2 and col1a1b) and therefore may represent olfactory basal cells (Chen et al., 2017; Peterson et al., 2019). Further, these clusters expressed immune genes such as pgs and ccl25, and HBCs are known to express immune factors in models of olfactory epithelium damage (Chen et al., 2019). Interestingly, in addition to sox2 expression, Sustentacular3 cluster expressed atoh1, a basic helix loop helix gene important in hair cell regeneration (Birmingham-McDonough and Reh, 2011). Cluster Sustentacular4 expressed cytokines il15 and il17a/f3 as well as ace. The last SC cluster was only found in the 3d time point and markers suggest it is a subpopulation closely related to the Sustentacular4 cluster (Figure S9).

We identified three clusters of ECs that all express tmprss13 and tmprss4. Unfortunately, while using ace2 specific primers in RT-qPCR detected expression in both the OE and OB (Fig. 1D), ace2 expression was detected in only a few cells of our scRNA-Seq dataset (not shown). This may be due to the cell isolation protocol used in our study. Furthermore, low ace2 expression levels in neuronal tissues as well as in our tissue samples may be hard to detect by high throughput scRNA-Seq which is known to have gaps in transcriptional coverage when capturing thousands of cells (Figure S9) (Song et al., 2021). Endothelial1 and 2 clusters expressed the endothelial markers sox2 and tmpl4a (Yao et al., 2019). All three clusters broadly expressed genes associated with tight junctions (ipf3, jupa, ppi, cldhc, and cgpi1) as well as many keratin genes (Figure S9). Interestingly, Endothelial3 cluster also expressed the calcium channel trpv6 and a slew of non-annotated genes.

There are copious amounts of immune cells in the teleost OE (Mellert et al., 1992; Tacchi et al., 2014), and although our method of generating single cell suspensions for scRNA-Seq was optimized for neuronal cell viability, we captured small groups of both myeloid and lymphoid cells in our samples. Lymphocyte1 cells expressed classical T cell markers (cd8, cd4, and zap70) as well as ccr9, a mucosal homing chemokine receptor, and novel immune type receptor genes (nitr) thought to be natural killer cell receptors (Papadakis et al., 2003; Wei et al., 2007). Therefore, we propose that lymphocyte1 are a type of innate-like NKT cells. Lymphocyte2 cluster cells expressed the transcription factor foxp3b found in regulatory T cells (Trreg). The 3 d time point revealed a third lymphocyte cluster with classical T cell identity. The myeloid cell clusters 1–3 expressed MHC II complex genes as well as mpeg1.1, a marker of zebrafish macrophages (Ellett et al., 2011). Myeloid4 cluster expressed mpx, a marker for neutrophils, and no antigen presentation genes (Yao and Huttonlocher, 2011). Each macrophage-like myeloid cluster expressed unique cytokines contributing to their separate clustering - Myeloid1 expressed il12 and il22, Myeloid2 expressed il1f4 and il10ra, and Myeloid3 expressed il6r and il1b. Together, these data indicate that the zebrafish OE is a heterogeneous neuroepithelium with diverse immune cell subsets.

3.6. Intranasal delivery of SARS-CoV-2 S RBD induces inflammatory responses and widespread loss of olfactory receptor expression in adult zebrafish olfactory organ

Given the changes in morphology viewed from histology and cellular dynamics as well as the altered EOG responses to odors, we hypothesized that SARS-CoV-2 S RBD treatment caused transcriptomic changes in OSNs. The cellular landscape of the zebrafish OE was affected by SARS-CoV-2 S RBD treatment and time (Fig. 4A-D). This was especially evident in the proportions of neuronal cell types 3 d post-treatment when the proportion of mature, expressing olfactory receptor genes was significantly lower compared to controls and the 3 h treated group (Tables S3 & S4). In contrast, neuronal progenitors expressing cell cycle markers (ahkh, egr4, and mkki67) and neuronal differentiation and plasticity markers (neurod1, neurod4, gap43, sox11, and sox4) were...
expanded 3 d post-treatment (Fig. 4B-C & Table S4). Interestingly, many of these plasticity associated genes were found in a greater number of single nuclei transcriptomes collected from post mortem human parenchyma in COVID-19 and Influenza A patients than control (Yang et al., 2021). Further, we detected a significant decrease in the proportion of cells belonging to the Lymphocyte2 cluster, a cluster that expressed markers of T<sub>reg</sub> cells (foxp3b) 3 h post I.N. delivery of recombinant SARS-CoV-2 S RBD (Fig. 4C & Table S3). At 3 d, we observed a third lymphocyte cluster, not detected at 3 h, highly expressing the TCR subunit zap70 as well as plac8 onzin related protein (ponzr1), a molecule that has immunoregulatory roles during Th1 type immune responses in mammals (Figure S9) (Slade et al., 2020). These results indicate

---

**Fig. 5.** Intranasal SARS-CoV-2 S RBD protein delivery causes widespread losses of olfactory receptor expression in adult zebrafish. (A-B) Bar plots showing fold-change in expression of olfactory receptor genes in the zebrafish OO 3 h and 3 d post intranasal SARS-CoV-2 S RBD protein compared to vehicle controls identified by scRNA-Seq. (C-D) Violin plots showing changes in significantly differentially expressed ompb expression, a marker of mature ciliated OSNs, in zebrafish OO cell suspensions, across all olfactory neuronal cell clusters in 3 h SARS-CoV-2 S RBD (orange) and 3 d SARS-CoV-2 S RBD (green) versus vehicle treated (blue). (E-F) Summary webs of gene ontology analyses performed in ShinyGO v0.61 from the scRNA-Seq data showing the number of significantly up and down regulated genes across olfactory neuronal clusters in zebrafish OO 3 h and 3 d post-intranasal SARS-CoV-2 S RBD protein versus vehicle treated controls. (G-H) Gene set enrichment analysis using Metascape of genes from all neuronal clusters that were either significantly up or down regulated in zebrafish OO 3 h and 3 d post-intranasal SARS-CoV-2 S RBD protein compared to vehicle treated controls (adjusted P-value < 0.05). Enriched terms are colored by P-value.
important cellular responses in neuronal and immune cell subsets of the zebrafish OO in response to SARS-CoV-2 S RBD.

Previous studies have blamed local inflammation of the olfactory mucosa as the main cause of anosmia in COVID-19 patients (Rodriguez et al., 2020; Torabi et al., 2020). We found transcriptional changes indicative of inflammation in the zebrafish OO treated with SARS-CoV-2 S RBD (Fig. 4E-F). By 3 h post I.N. delivery of recombinant SARS-CoV-2 S RBD, SCs and ECs showed significant increased expression of cytokine
regulation of cell differentiation and sensory perception of smell upregulated genes in the olfactory neuronal clusters were enriched in (Fig. 5A-D). We next used gene ontology (GO) analyses of significantly treated control (Fig. 5A-B). In accord, markers of mature ciliated and expression was detected in several EC and SC clusters (Fig. 4F). Thus, clusters at 3 h relative to vehicle control (Fig. 4E). By 3 d after I.N. SARS-CoV-2 S RBD, endothelial clusters showed significantly increased expression of clotting factors (f3b and f3a) relative to vehicle treated control and continued upregulation of angiopoietin expression was detected in several EC and SC clusters (Fig. 4F). Thus, this data suggested that inflammatory responses and endothelial disruption are both hallmarks of SARS-CoV-2 S RBD induced damage in the olfactory epithelium.

Differential expression analysis revealed that while some changes in ORs expression occurred 3 h post-treatment in neuronal progenitor clusters, many ORs and TAARS genes were significantly differentially downregulated in mature neuronal clusters 1 and 2 compared to vehicle treated control (Fig. 5A-B). In accord, markers of mature ciliated and microvillus OSNs (ompb and v2rh32, respectively) were significantly downregulated at both 3 h and 3 d after I.N. SARS-CoV-2 S RBD delivery (Fig. 5A-D). We next used gene ontology (GO) analyses of significantly up or down regulated genes across neuronal clusters at 3 h or 3 d after treatment compared to vehicle treated controls (Fig. 5E-H) (Ge et al., 2020; Zhou et al., 2019). At 3 h after I.N. SARS-CoV-2 S RBD delivery, upregulated genes in the olfactory neuronal clusters were enriched in regulation of cell differentiation and sensory perception of smell (Fig. 5E). By 3 d, upregulated genes identified in olfactory neuronal clusters were enriched in processes such as neuron differentiation, sensory system development, and sensory organ morphogenesis. Interestingly, downregulated genes also belonged to sensory perception of smell, detection of chemical stimulus and GPCR signaling pathway (Fig. 5F). Functional enrichment analyses showed that the top non-redundant enriched clusters in both upregulated and downregulated genes in zebrafish OSNs 3 h post-treatment was sensory perception of smell (Fig. 5G). The same was true for 3 d post-treatment although a more complex picture emerged, processes such as regeneration, neuron development, neuron fate commitment and the p53 signaling pathway also showed enrichment within the upregulated genes (Fig. 5H). Combined, these results suggest that presence of SARS-CoV-2 S RBD in the OO indirectly instigates harmful effects on OSNs within hours and activates numerous transcriptional pathways in neurons, sustentacular cells, endothelial cells and immune cells that are still noticeable at 3 d post-treatment. Further, these analyses indicate that neuronal regeneration and differentiation processes were occurring by 3 d likely as a way to assure tissue repair following olfactory damage.

3.7. Intranasal delivery of SARS-CoV-2 S RBD induces responses in sustentacular cells and endothelial cell clusters

Co-expression of ace2 and tmprs2 by olfactory SCs and basal cells in the human olfactory epithelium and subsequent onset of damage and inflammation of these cell subsets may explain olfactory loss in COVID-19 patients (Brann et al., 2020; Cooper et al., 2020; Muus et al., 2021; Sungnak et al., 2020). Additionally, ace2 is expressed in ECs and these cells are infected by SARS-CoV-2 (Bryche et al., 2020). The single cell transcriptomic approach allowed us to dissect how each cell type in the zebrafish OO responds to SARS-CoV-2 S RBD and gain some mechanistic insights into the cause of the olfactory dysfunction. Our results indicate unique responses by SC clusters and EC clusters to treatment (Figs. 4 and 6). At 3 h, we detected increased expression of apoeh, of transcription factors foxa1a and id2b, the transcriptional regulator nril3-5, two tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily members (tnfrsf11b and tnfrsf9a) as well as tcam1 (transcriptional and immune response regulator), whose mammalian ortholog pcm regulates immune responses as well as endothelial cell activation and expression of inflammatory genes (Fig. 6A) (Kim et al., 2009). Further, at 3 h we observed downregulation of the pro-inflammatory cytokine il17f/t3 as well as gluthione peroxidase gpx1b, the transcription factor notch1b, basal cell adhesion molecule bcaum, guanine nucleotide-binding protein subunit gamma gng8, and the calcium binding s100c in SC and EC from SARS-CoV-2 S RBD treated OO relative to vehicle treated. At 3 d post-treatment, we observed significant increased expression of the gene that encodes brain natriuretic peptide (nnc), a vasodilating hormone, the pro-inflammatory chemokine ccl9a, the M2 macrophage marker arg2, the transcription factors foxa1a and sox11a, tubulin beta 5 tubb5, and the epithelial mitogen epcn, among others (Fig. 6B). Downregulated genes at 3 d post-treatment included hsp70.3, apoeh, the osteoblast specific factor b (postb), the desmosomal component periplakin (ppl), the vasoconstricting endothelin 2 (eden2), the heparin binding molecule latexin (ltx) involved in pain and inflammation, and cad74b, a part of the MHC-II complex (Fig. 6B). Combined, these data indicated immune regulatory responses in SC and EC clusters early after SARS-CoV-2 S RBD treatment, followed by transcriptional changes with potential vasoactive effects by day 3.

GO and enrichment set analyses indicated that SARS-CoV-2 S RBD induced damage in SC and EC clusters initially undergo transcriptional changes enriched in metabolic responses, response to stress, and cell differentiation (Fig. 6C). Later on, at 3 d, SC and EC responses were enriched in genes involved not only in stress response but also in immune responses and responses to wounding (Fig. 6D). Similar results were identified using Metascape, which showed that the inflammatory response to wounding was moderately enriched in the downregulated genes at 3 h, whereas by day 3, response to wounding became the top enriched set among the upregulated genes (Fig. 6E-F).

4. Discussion

SARS-CoV-2 infection causes anosmia and ageusia (loss of taste) in 41–84% of patients (Dell’Era et al., 2020; Spinato et al., 2020; Parma et al., 2020; Sbrana et al., 2020). Several mechanisms for the impaired chemosensory perception in COVID-19 patients have been proposed based on the observation that, in humans, SCs and basal cells, but not OSNs, co-express ace2 and tmprs2 (Brann et al., 2020; Sungnak et al., 2020). Initial infection of SC but not OSNs appears to indirectly cause damage to OSNs, notably via lack of metabolic support or via inflammatory-derived damage (Brann et al., 2020; Khan et al., 2021a). Animal models of SARS-CoV-2 infection have provided insights into the mechanisms of olfactory loss driven by SARS-CoV-2, particularly the golden Syrian hamster (Bryche et al., 2020; Chan et al., 2020; Dias De Melo et al., 2021b). In the hamster model, I.N. SARS-CoV-2 infection results in drastic damage of the OE including ciliary loss and desquamation of cells into the nasal cavity (Zhang et al., 2020, Bryche et al., 2020). Behavioral assays also suggest functional loss of smell in the Syrian hamster SARS-CoV-2 infection model (Dias De Melo et al., 2021b; Zhang et al., 2020). Olfactory damage in this model appears to be transient since signs of recovery in the OE can be detected 7–14 d post-infection (Bryche et al., 2020) and full recovery has been proposed to occur 21 d post-infection (Urata et al., 2021). These results parallel smell recovery in most COVID-19 patients, which takes approximately 2 w (Gorzkowski et al., 2020; Mangia et al., 2021). Our results indicate that I.N. delivery of SARS-CoV-2 S RBD is sufficient to cause severe olfactory damage in adult zebrafish including loss of cilia, edema, hemorrhages and necrosis. SARS-CoV-2 S RBD clung to the apical regions of cells in
the non-sensory areas of the olfactory lamellae suggesting lack of direct interaction between SARS-CoV-2 S RBD and OSNs. In support, this exact same non-sensory area was apoptotic at 3 h and 3 d and proliferative by PCNA marker by 3 h and 3 d. Together, our data supports the idea that the interaction of SARS-CoV-2 S RBD with non-sensory regions of the zebrafish OO causes direct damage to this region, indirectly impacting OSN viability, OR expression, and OSN function resulting in severe olfactory dysfunction.

The findings of the current study are remarkably similar to those found in the golden Syrian hamster model during SARS-CoV-2 infection (Bryche et al., 2020). Complete loss of the neuroepithelium structure, exposing the lamina propria to the environment, occurred by 2 d post infection in the hamster model, while the SARS-CoV-2 S RBD was sufficient to cause the same damage by 3 h after administration in our study. Among the cellular changes recorded, staining with CK8 revealed epithelial restructuring as early as 3 h post SARS-CoV-2 S RBD treatment. Similar losses in the olfactory mosaic pattern have been documented in the golden Syrian hamster model, where SCs are the cell type supporting infection (Bryche et al., 2020). Notably, CK8 staining spread to the lamina propria compartment at 3 d post SARS-CoV-2 S RBD treatment, indicating a possible role for cytokeratin deposition in local olfactory tissue repair (Paladini et al., 1996; Usui et al., 2005). Of note, similar responses have been found in other zebrafish injury models (Calvo-Ochoa and Byrd-Jacobs, 2019; Martorana et al., 2001). Much of the damage in the lamina propria was vasculature hemorrhaging and widening particularly at 3 h, 1 d and 3 d after SARS-CoV-2 S RBD administration. This concurs with the presence of microthrombi in OE biopsies from COVID-19 patients (Kirschenbaum et al., 2020). scRNA-Seq revealed significantly differentially expressed genes associated with angiogenesis and clotting, which supported histological findings. Further, the lamina propria and raphe of the OO displayed eosinophilic switches by 3 d and 5 d after SARS-CoV-2 S RBD treatment, that were likely keratin and not collagen based on Masson Trichrome stains. The lamina propria contains axon bundles of OSNs projecting to the OB. Thus, damage of the connective tissue within which axons travel may well be a contributing cause of olfactory dysfunction in our model.

Our study indicates that olfactory histopathology in zebrafish treated with SARS-CoV-2 S RBD is coupled with aberrant olfactory function. We combined electrophysiology and behavior to capture the physiological responses to SARS-CoV-2 S RBD in adult zebrafish. Previous studies in K18-hACE2 mice show anosmia onset 2–3 d after SARS-CoV-2 infection (Zheng et al., 2020). In the golden Syrian hamster model, full regeneration at the histological level is completed 21 d post-infection and behavioral preference for food, lost early during infection, is recovered 14 d post-infection (Dias De Melo et al., 2021b). The present study determined that adult zebrafish recover olfactory function following SARS-CoV-2 S RBD I.N. treatment by 3 d, indicating that functional recovery occurs faster than in mammalian models. Interestingly, olfactory tissue damage was not fully repaired until 2 w post-treatment based on histopathology, an intriguing observation that deserves further investigation.

Our EOG data indicated global loss of olfaction in response to both food and bile odors at 3 h and 1 d. Of the two predominant types of mature OSNs, ciliated OSNs respond to bile whereas microvillous OSNs respond to amino acids within food (Michel and Derbridge, 1997; Sato et al., 2005). Therefore, decreased electrical responses to both food and bile odors suggests dysregulation of both types of neurons after SARS-CoV-2 S RBD administration, and this complies concomitantly with our scRNA-Seq results. Transcriptional profiles of OSNs revealed dramatic losses in OR expression as early as 3 h post-treatment; which correlated with functional loss of sense of smell by EOG. By 3 d post-treatment a global downregulation of ORs and VRs expression predicted defective sensory perception programs by gene ontology yet EOG results showed elevated rather than reduced responses to odors. An EOG signal is a field response arising from the summation of local depolarizations and repolarizations of many OSNs and it does not always correlate with histological observations as reported by others (Pozharskaya et al., 2013). Elevated EOG responses to odors 3 d post-treatment indicate overcompensation of olfactory responses in our model. The vertebrate olfactory system displays remarkable compensatory plasticity (Waggener and Coppola, 2007). For instance, naris occlusion experiments in mice showed greater EOG amplitudes to odors in occluded compared to control olfactory epithelia (Waggener and Coppola, 2007). Additionally, SARS-CoV-2 S RBD treatment may impact metabolic signals which can result in altered EOG recordings including increased sensitivity to odors (reviewed by Bryche et al., 2021). Finally, the global damage observed in the olfactory tissue at 3 d including changes in sustentacular cells and OSNs may alter transduction signaling in response to odors, perhaps resulting in compensatory EOG responses.

Our model revealed dynamic cellular changes in the zebrafish OO following SARS-CoV-2 S RBD induced damage. Proliferation in the OO was observed with anti-PCNA stains at 3 h and 3 d after I.N. SARS-CoV-2 S RBD delivery. Activated caspase-3 staining revealed some degree of apoptosis in the OO of SARS-CoV-2 S RBD treated zebrafish, especially in the non-sensory regions where recombinant protein was mostly observed. However, since we did not co-stain with other cellular markers, we cannot determine at this time what cell types were undergoing apoptosis in this region. Activated caspase-3 reactivity was also sometimes located at the base of the neuroepithelium, suggesting perhaps apoptosis of progenitor cells such as horizontal or globose basal cells, a point that deserves a careful future investigation. In studies of acute and chronic damage to the OE in mice, these basal cell types become immune effectors in addition to neural progenitors, and prolonged inflammation decreases regenerative abilities of the OO (Chen et al., 2019). In our acute model, scRNA-Seq revealed that intermediate neuronal precursor clusters rapidly expand after damage triggered by I. N. SAR-CoV-2 S RBD administration perhaps to replace lost mature OSNs. Future experiments will establish the dynamics of cell death in each olfactory cell subset during I.N. SARS-CoV-2 infection or SARS-CoV-2 S RBD delivery.

Inflammation in the OE may be sufficient to trigger anosmia as evidenced by recent work in mammalian models (Rodriguez et al., 2020). Our scRNA-Seq data also detected inflammatory gene signatures in SCs, ECs and myeloid cell subsets in animals treated with SARS-CoV-2 S RBD indicating that SARS-CoV-2 S RBD damage causes inflammation in a variety of cell types found in the olfactory epithelium. Thus, although direct interaction between SARS-CoV-2 S RBD was limited to non-sensory areas, the inflammatory milieu, therefore, may cause collateral damage on OSNs and result in aberrant olfactory function. Of interest, in the Syrian hamster model, an increase in Iba1+ cells in the OO (Bryche et al., 2020) was observed. Similarly, we observed an increase in macrophages (myeloid3 subset) at 3 d. The spike protein has been shown to induce secretion of IL-1β and IL-6 in COVID-19 convalescent human macrophages and human epithelial cells, respectively (Patra et al., 2020; Theobald et al., 2021). Of note, we identified significant decreases in the proportions of Tregs in SARS-CoV-2 S RBD treated OO compared to controls at both time points examined. These results may suggest that inflammation could result from Treg dysregulation, as in COVID-19 patients, who have decreased percentages of Tregs in circulation (Galván-Peña et al., 2020; Rahimzadeh and Naderi, 2021).

Further studies are warranted to understand how olfactory function is altered by SARS-CoV-2 S RBD. We detected ace2 expression in the OO and, to a lesser degree the OB of adult zebrafish. Therefore, we hypothesized the SARS-CoV-2 S RBD protein associated with the non-sensory epithelium of the OO but not regions where OSNs are present. Thus, at this point, we cannot conclude whether Ace2 mediates the damage caused by SARS-CoV-2 S RBD since we did not test internalization of zebrafish ACE2 following SARS-CoV-2 S RBD treatment. The SARS-CoV-2 S RBD alone has been shown to internalize, co-localized to ACE2, in cell culture studies and it caused increased permeability of brain microvascular endothelial cells offering multiple potential avenues for entry into the...
OO (Gorshkov et al., 2020; Raghavan et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2008; Zheng et al., 2021). Addition of the SARS-CoV-2 RBD protein has been shown to increase TNF-α production in endothelial cells and activate NF-κB directed inflammation, while viral infection has been credited with causing cytokine storms in COVID-19 patients (Cao et al., 2021; Higa et al., 2008; Khan et al., 2021b; Lucas et al., 2020). Our scRNA-seq study did show differential upregulation of inflammatory cytokines across immune and epithelial cell types (Fig. 4E-F). Of note, human COVID-19 patients present viral infected olfactory epithelial cells with high expression of neuropilin-1, a receptor that facilitates SARS-CoV-2 entry to the OSNs (Cantuti-Castelvetri et al., 2020). Additionally, the olfactory tissue by nature is capable of interacting with a plethora of molecular patterns as odorants (Friedrich, 2006). Thus, we cannot rule out that mechanisms independent of ACE2 may be playing a role in our model. Zebrafish ACE2 antibody blocking experiments and/or use of zebrafish ACE2 mutant lines may shed light the direct mechanism by which SARS-CoV-2 S RBD is connected to inflammation, olfactory dysfunction and tissue damage in the OO.

A recent report in zebrafish adults indicated that injection of re-combinant SARS-CoV-2 S N terminal protein caused histopathology of several tissues including the liver, kidney, brain and ovary (Ventura-Fernandes et al., 2020). Additionally, some animals succumbed to injection with the recombinant protein. In adults, we did not detect mortalities in any of our experiments, perhaps suggesting that mortalities were due to the injection procedure rather than the protein treatment. Of note, the dose used in the present study was considerably lower than the dose delivered in the Ventura-Fernandez study, perhaps explaining the differences in toxicity between both studies. Thus, toxicity of SARS-CoV-2 S protein in adult zebrafish may be less severe when delivered I.N. than by injection, and future studies should evaluate whether current vaccine candidates also exert similar effects and whether different administration routes cause the same side-effects or not. This is particularly important as the I.N. route appears promising for some vaccine candidates (Alu et al., 2022; Ku et al., 2020). A recent pre-clinical study shows that an I.N. adenovirus vectored SARS-CoV-2 vaccine reduces the amount of viable virions shed by both Syrian golden hamsters and macaques after challenge with SARS-CoV-2 D614G, but the effects of L.N vaccination on olfactory function were not evaluated (Van Doremalen et al., 2021). Further, an I.N. vaccine consisting of chitosan nanoparticles to deliver synthetic peptide epitopes for SARS-CoV-2 RBD has thus far found no signs of olfactory impairment in humans (RadvAc, 2021). More work is warranted to understand whether SARS-CoV-2 RBD is sufficient to cause anosmia or not in humans. The construction of a humanized ACE2 zebrafish model will allow further mechanistic studies.

In conclusion, our work demonstrates that a fragment of SARS-CoV-2 S1 protein, the RBD, can cause olfactory pathology and loss of smell in adult zebrafish. Our results support the notion that viral-derived proteins such as SARS-CoV-2 S RBD can have neurotoxic effects. The present study raises important questions pertaining to biochemical interactions of S1 and S1-derived protein fragments once a host is infected with SARS-CoV-2. If release of RBD or other S1 fragments occurs in vivo, the presence of free viral proteins may contribute or exacerbate viral pathophysiological damage. Further studies are warranted to provide experimental support for this hypothesis.
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