was not included in the analysis of viral outcome (Table 2). One patient had cardiac arrest following missed dialysis, hyperkalemia and rectal hemorrhage from metastatic rectal cancer.

Table 1: Patient Demographics.

| Mean Age (Range) | 61.8 years (50-74) |
|------------------|-------------------|
| Gender | Male: 50.6% (10/21)  
Female: 49.4% (11/21) |
| Race | African-American 95.2% (10/11)  
Caucasian: 4.8% (1/21) |
| Comorbidities | Hypertension 61.0% (13/21)  
Coronary artery disease: 19.0% (4/21)  
Diabetes Mellitus Type 2: 19.0% (4/21) |
| Cancer: 10.5% (3/21)  
Active Hepatitis B: 6.9% (2/21)  
Hepatitis C: 15.5% (2/11)  
Cirrhosis: 15.5% (3/21)  
Peptic Ulcer Disease: 19.0% (4/21)  
Lower GI bleed: 14.3% (3/21)  
Seizure disorder: 14.3% (3/21) |
| Peripheral Neuropathy or Radiculopathy: 23.8% (5/21)  
Dementia or Cognitive Impairment: 19.0% (4/21)  
Psychiatric Disorders: 47.6% (10/21) |
| Antiretroviral Therapy Regimen | Dolutegravir/Doravirine 72% (12/17)  
Dolutegravir/Doravirine + lamivudine: 14.3% (3/21)  
Dolutegravir/Doravirine + abacavir: 4.8% (1/21)  
Dolutegravir/Doravirine + tenofovir: 4.8% (1/21)  
Dolutegravir/Doravirine + efavirenz: 4.8% (1/21)  
Dolutegravir/Doravirine + abacavir/efavirenz: 4.8% (1/21) |
| Mean Duration of Follow-up (Range) | 10 months (1-17) |

Figure 1: Reasons for Switching to Dolutegravir with Doravirine.

Table 2: Virologic Control Before and After Switching to Dolutegravir with Doravirine.

| Undetectable (<50,000, n=11) | 60.9% (7/11)  
V1 S viRUS 200, n(%) | 14.3% (3/21)  
V2 viRUS >200, n(%) | 14.3% (3/21)  
No VL data, n(%) | 9.5% (1/10)  
Mean CD4 | 513  
CD4<200 | 14.3% (3/21)  
No CD4 data | 9.5% (1/10)  
Total number of persons included | 21  
Total number of persons with virologic control | 11  
Total number of persons with virologic control post switch | 9  
*One with preexisting VIROA mutation

Conclusion. In an era of abundant ART options, we identified a subset of older PWH whose treatment options are defined by extensive comorbidities, viral resistance, and medication interactions or toxicities. Doravirine is attractive for this population as it can be used in renal impairment, moderate hepatic impairment, is unaffected by timing of meals, and (unlike rilpivirine) has no interaction with proton pump inhibitors. Dolutegravir is included in NRTI-sparing regimens that HIV guidelines suggest should be considered in older PWH, especially with CKD. We found that dolutegravir with doravirine is well tolerated, and achieves virologic suppression in the majority of PWH, indicating this combination is useful when other ART options cannot be used.
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Background. The increasing incidence of HIV and lack of care in rural areas contributes to the ongoing epidemic. The dearth of specialized health services within remote communities and access of this population to available services poses a challenge to HIV care. Telemedicine (TM) is a potential tool to improve HIV care in these remote communities, but little is known about its effectiveness when compared to traditional (face-to-face) (F2F) care. The objective of this study is to examine the effectiveness of HIV care delivered through TM, and compare to F2F care.

Methods. This is a retrospective chart review of all HIV positive patients who attended either the F2F clinic (Augusta, GA) or the TM clinic (Dublin, GA) between May 2017 to April 2018. Data extracted included demographics, CD4 count, HIV PCR, comorbidity, dates of clinic attendance, HIV resistance mutation, ART regimen. Viral suppression and gain in CD4 counts were compared. T-test was conducted to test differences in characteristics and outcomes between the two groups.

Results. 385 cases were included in the study (52.5% black, 82% females, F2F=200, TM=185). Mean CD4 count in the TM group was statistically higher (643.9 cells/mm<sup>3</sup>) than the F2F group (596.3 cells/mm<sup>3</sup>) (p<0.001). There was no statistically significant difference in mean HIV viral load (F2F= 416.8 cp/ml, TM=713.4 cp/ml, p=0.3) and rates of year-round viral control (F2F= 73% vs TM = 77% p= 0.54). 38 patients achieved viral suppression during the study period (F2F= 24, TM =14) with a mean change of -3.34 x 10<sup>4</sup> vs -1.24 x 10<sup>4</sup>, respectively. The difference in mean change was not statistically significant by Snedecor’s F Statistics. This indicates there was no significant difference between the two populations in terms of mean viral suppression among patients who were otherwise not suppressed before the study period.

Conclusion. To achieve an HIV cure, HIV care is required to extend to rural areas of the country and the world. Through delivery of care using TM, trained specialists can target communities with little or no health care. Moreover, use of TM achieves target outcome measures comparable to F2F clinics. Increase in the use of TM will improve the access to specialty HIV care and help achieve control of HIV in rural communities.
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Background. Nearly 50% of people living with HIV in the US are ≥50 years old. Older people are more likely to have late-stage HIV infection at diagnosis, greater risk for cardiovascular disease and certain cancers, and concurrent medications for common age-related conditions. Doravirine (DOR) is a next-generation NRTI with activity against first-generation NNRTI-associated mutations, a neutral impact on lipids, and few drug-drug interactions with commonly used medications.

Methods. We compared Week 96 results from DOR Phase 2 and Phase 3 trials (P007, P018, and P021) in treatment-naive adults ≥50 vs < 50 years old. 855 participants received DOR 100mg +2 NRTIs in P007, P018 or fixed combination DOR/FTC/TDF in P021; 383 participants received ritonavir-boosted darunavir (DRV) +2 NRTIs in P018; and 472 received efavirenz (EFV) 600mg +FTC/TDF in P007 or fixed combination EFV/FTC/TDF in P021. Participants who took ≥2 dose of study drug were included; the Observed Failure approach was used for missing efficacy data. All analyses were done by descriptive statistics.

Results. Of 1710 participants, 187 (11%) were 50-70 (median 54) years old at study entry. Baseline characteristics and treatment outcomes are summarized below for participants < 50 vs ≥50 years old. The older cohort had more women, more participants with AIDS, and lower median CD4+ T-cell counts than the younger cohort, whereas baseline HIV-1 RNA was similar between age cohorts. Hypertension and use of antipsychotics were more common in older participants. In each treatment group, the older cohort had a higher proportion of participants with HIV-1 RNA < 50 copies/mL at week 96 and fewer discontinuations due to lack of efficacy than the younger cohort. Mean change in CD4+ T-cell count was similar between age cohorts in the DOR and DRV groups and was lower for older participants in the EFV group. Rates of drug-related AEs and serious drug-related AEs were similar between age cohorts across all treatment groups. Discontinuations due to drug-related AEs were similar between age cohorts in the DOR group and were slightly higher for older participants in the DRV and EFV groups.
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