ABSTRACT

Background: Dynamic balance is an ability to move two or more body parts under control. The split and squat jump exercise primarily strengthen gluteus, hamstring muscles, quadriceps, and calves muscles, as well as provide cardiovascular benefits. The objectives of the study were to find the effect of split jump and squat jump exercise on dynamic balance and to compare the effect of exercise on the dynamic balance among female netball players in KPJ University College.

Methods: An experimental design with total 80 female subjects were selected and divided equally to 40 subjects in each group. The Star Excursion Balance Test was used to measure the outcome of dynamic balance before and after the intervention. Group A received split jump exercise whereas Group B received squat jump exercise. The intervention was given for six weeks with three sessions for each week. After six weeks of intervention, the researcher checked dynamic balance for both groups.

Results: The result showed that there is a significant difference between the groups with P-values 0.0043, 0.0001, 0.0471, 0.0001 for anterior, lateral, posterior and medial respectively.

Conclusion: The study concluded that both exercises could improve dynamic balance. However, the squat jump exercise is more effective to improve dynamic balance among netball players.
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INTRODUCTION

Netball is a sport enjoyed by a large number of participants. To achieve a successful netball shot, there are few movements which should be performed correctly for the best outcome. The squat jump is a type of plyometric exercise essential for leg strength improvement. It helps in working on the core muscles and in muscle stabilization which brings better balance and greater stability. The split jump is a plyometric type of exercise which effective on muscle lengthening and shortening quickly with rapid force [1-3].

Dynamic balance is more challenging than static balance as it requires more muscle energy to maintain equilibrium in positional change. Sensory feedback includes proprioception, vision and vestibular are important to maintain static and dynamic balance. Many studies reported that proprioception and visual feedback have a great role to maintain good balance ability among players [4-8].

The SEBT (Star Excursion Balance Test) is a tool used to assess dynamic balance. This test should be performed with the participants standing on one limb at the center point of a grid marked on the floor, with 8 or 4 lines (120cm) extending at 45° increments from the center point of the grid and the distance they reached will be recorded in centimeter. SEBT tool on 4 directions are [Anterior (A), Medial (M), Posterior (P), Lateral (L)]. The benefit of these four lines is it takes less time to complete the task [9].

The objective of the study was to find out the comparative effect of squat jump and split jump exercise on the dynamic balance among female netball players in KPJUC. Specific objectives of the study were to evaluate the effects of squat jump and split jump exercises on the dynamic balance among female netball players in KPJUC and also to investigate the difference in dynamic balance between squat jump and split jump exercise group.

METHODOLOGY

Research Design: It’s a Pre and post comparative experimental study design. The population selected from KPJUC students, who were netball players with a minimum of one-year experience. This study has been conducted at KPJUC campus at Kota Seriemas, Nilai. The simple random sampling method was used to allocate the samples from KPJUC campus. The simple random sampling method was used to allocate the samples from KPJUC campus. The lottery sampling method was used to select 40 females in each group.

Sample selection criteria: This was to select the subjects who are eligible based on the requirement for this study. The study included female netball players with minimum one year of experience with age group between 18 – 25 years, height ranged between 150cm -170cm and weight ranged between 50kg-70kg. The study excluded subjects with the patellofemoral syndrome, lower limb fracture within one year and chronic ankle instability.

Sample size calculation: Eighty female subjects were selected including 10 percent of dropout sample for this study and randomly divided into two groups with forty subjects in each group. Sample size calculated by using the standard formula for a comparison of two samples means Panics G. et al. 2008 [28].

Sample allocation: Eighty samples were selected for the study on base of selection criteria and randomly divided into two groups by lottery method. Group A and Group B have been allocated with 40 subjects. Group A was received split jump exercise, and Group B was received squat jump exercise.

Study instruments

Study Materials: A questionnaire with ten questions regarding the study. The subjects need to tick each of the small boxes according to the question. Evaluation form includes name, age, and programme to be filled up by the subjects. The intervention (group) has two boxes; this is for the researcher to tick either split jump or squat jump. Consequently, there are two boxes below for the pre-test and post-test. Bottom of the form on the right side, there are three lines for the subject’s signature, name, and date. Apart from that measurement tape are used to measure before pasting the cloth tape on the floor. Marker pen was used to draw the lines for SEBT. Cloth tape is also used to create a proper SEBT line according to the measurements.

Measurement tool: The Dynamic balance was measured using Star Excursion Balance Test (SEBT) in 4 directions (anterior, lateral, posterior, medial). There was 90° difference in between each direction and 12cm length for each.

Procedures: Informed consent received from each participant before starting the study. It is important that the researcher seeks the informed consent of the subjects who participate in this study. This was done in writing. The researcher explained about the exercises and duration of the study to the participants. Subjects were encouraged to ask any questions regarding the exercise program. The researcher assured to the subjects that this informed consent would be kept confidentially.

Pre-test evaluation: The test (SEBT) was demonstrated by the researcher to create better understanding to all participants for accurate evaluation of dynamic balance. The participants were examined for three trials of dynamic balance in anterior, posterior, lateral and medial directions. The participants were instructed to hold their both hand on pelvis till completed three practice trials in each direction with the dominant leg. The participants were asked to reach the non-dominant leg maximum and place the foot on the line or side of the line to measure the distance from the center of the intersection of four lines. After completing the practice trials, researchers proceed to test trial. Each participant was given a 1-minute break between the tests for the dominant leg. The mean value of the three reading was taken and documented.

Intervention: Group A was given split jump exercise. This exercise began in a lunge position; the patient was asked to jump in the dominant leg which kept forward in front with knee and hip slightly flexed while other leg kept behind by a ball of the foot on the ground. The subjects were
asked to jump using primarily leg straight up into the air. Group B was given squat jump exercise. The subjects were asked to stand erect with hands clasped behind and feet kept apart to hip width. Before jump up, the subjects required to squat down by keeping the thighs parallel to the ground followed by a jump up maximum and land on both feet by keeping the knee flexed with pushing hip back. The intervention was given for six weeks, three sessions for a week, the intensity and repetitions were included for first three weeks as two sets of an exercise with five repetitions and for the following three weeks one set of exercise with ten repetitions for each group.

**Post-test evaluation:** After six weeks of intervention, the researcher assessed the dynamic balance using SEBT tool. Reach distances were measured in centimeter (cm) from the center intersection point of four lines to the point of maximum reach, this was observed and noted by the researcher on the evaluation form. Total three reading was noted for each direction (3 times) for the dominant leg. The mean value of the three reading was taken and documented in the evaluation form. Finally, the researcher analyzed the effect of split jump and squat jump exercise on the dynamic balance among female netball players. The researcher also compared the significant difference in effects on a dynamic balance between the two groups.

**Statistical Analysis:** The normality test for the data of this study was performed using Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. All set of data were reported normally distributed in Kolmogorov-Smirnov test showed non-significant results with p> 0.05. Hence parametric test was used in this study to analyze the data.

Descriptive Data Analysis was used for demographic data of the samples. Dependent t-test used to find the effect of dynamic balance within squat jump and split jump exercise group. ANOVA was used to compare the effects of dynamic balance between Squat jump and split jump exercise. The study was considered as significant with P < 0.05.

**RESULT**

Forty subjects were involved in this Group A. The subjects ranged from 18-25 years of age, with a mean age of (23.17 SD=1.318). Mean height of the subjects were (160.85 SD=6.841) ranged between 150cm-170cm. The mean of the subject’s weight is (58.25 SD=8.107) which ranged between 50kg-70kg (Table 1).

Forty subjects were involved in Group B. The subjects ranged from 18-25 years of age, with a mean age of (23.05 SD=1.395). Mean height of the subjects were (158.95 SD=6.598) ranged between 150cm -170cm. The mean of the subject’s weight is (55.85 SD=5.868) which ranged between 50kg-70kg (Table 2).

**Dependant T-Test of SEBT for Group A**

A dependent t-test of group A are presented as mean, standard deviation, standard error mean, 95% Confidence Interval of the difference (upper and lower), t, df and sig (2 tailed). Pre and post anterior direction (mean -4.525, SD=10.982, Sig 2 tailed=0.013). Pre and post of posterior direction (mean -4.525, SD=10.982, Sig 2 tailed=0.013). Pre and post of lateral direction (mean -4.525, SD=10.982, Sid 2 tailed=0.001). All the mean values of pre-post Group B are significant because of p <0.05 (Table 3).

**Dependant T-Test of SEBT for Group B**

A dependent t-test of group B are presented as mean, standard deviation, standard error mean, 95% Confidence Interval of the difference (upper and lower), t, df and sig (2 tailed). Pre and post anterior direction (mean -4.525, SD=10.982, Sig 2 tailed=0.013). Pre and post of posterior direction (mean -4.525, SD=10.982, Sig 2 tailed=0.013). Pre and post of lateral direction (mean -4.525, SD=10.982, Sid 2 tailed=0.001). All the mean values of pre-post Group B are significant because of p <0.05 (Table 3).
| Direction of SEBT | Paired Differences |  |  |  | t | df | P Value |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Mean | Mean diff | SD | Std. Error Mean | 95% Confidence Interval of the Difference | Lower | Upper |
| Pre anterior mean | 75.40 | 2.83 | 4.77 | .75 | 4.35 | 1.30 | 3.75 | 39 | .001 |
| Post anterior mean | 78.23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pre lateral mean | 73.65 | 3.03 | 8.02 | 1.27 | 5.59 | .46 | 2.39 | 39 | .002 |
| Post lateral mean | 76.67 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pre posterior mean | 72.30 | 4.45 | 10.27 | 1.62 | 7.74 | 1.17 | 2.74 | 39 | .009 |
| Post posterior mean | 76.75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pre medial mean | 59.05 | 3.43 | 5.93 | .94 | 5.32 | 1.53 | 3.66 | 39 | .001 |
| Post medial mean | 62.48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3: Star Excursion Balance Test for Pre - Post data of Group A

| SEBT Direction | Paired Differences |  |  |  | t | df | P Value |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Mean | Mean diff | Std. Deviation | Std. Error Mean | 95% Confidence Interval of the Difference | Lower | Upper |
| Pre anterior mean 2 | 72.60 | 4.00 | 5.04 | .797 | 5.61 | 2.39 | 5.02 | 39 | .000 |
| Post anterior mean 2 | 76.60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pre lateral mean 2 | 78.15 | 5.20 | 8.99 | 1.422 | 8.08 | 2.32 | 3.66 | 39 | .001 |
| Post lateral mean 2 | 83.35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pre posterior mean 2 | 72.25 | 4.53 | 10.98 | 1.736 | 8.03 | 1.01 | 2.61 | 39 | .013 |
| Post posterior mean 2 | 76.78 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pre medial mean 2 | 62.33 | 19.90 | 12.76 | 2.017 | 23.98 | 15.82 | 9.86 | 39 | .000 |
| Post medial mean 2 | 82.23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4: Star Excursion Balance Test for Pre - Post data of Group B

| SEBT Direction | Group A & B | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | P value |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Anterior | Between groups | 675.4 | 3 | 225.1 | 4.557 | 0.0043 |
| Lateral | Between groups | 1973 | 3 | 657.5 | 9.311 | 0.0001 |
| Posterior | Between groups | 805.6 | 3 | 268.5 | 2.709 | 0.0471 |
| Medial | Between groups | 13456 | 3 | 4485 | 65.21 | 0.0001 |

Statistical test ANOVA, significant P - value <0.05

Table 5: ANOVA on Star Excursion Balance Test between Group A & B
McGuire T A et al., 2000, has reported proprioceptive and balance exercises are effective on dynamic postural control and prevent sports injuries among athletes [10-14]. Tsai, Y.H. 2009, has reported the effect of jumping exercise on children who suffer from blurred vision have improved their balance. Furthermore, the impact of jumping exercise on children reported a significant improvement in their balance. The results have proven that jumping is not only fun but also reduces the risk of obesity, diabetes, and depression and improves balance [15-16].

Plyometric training is effective on improvement of athlete’s coordination and landing technique; it has influenced on the reduction of certain sports injuries among athletes [17-20]. Postural sway and functional balance have compared to balance trained, and untrained control participants found more effective on trained participants. Plyometric or strength training include Jumping performance can improve agility; strength and neuromuscular control were reported in sprint performance of athletes [21-23].

Duthie GM, 2002, reported the effect of jumping exercise for six weeks’ balance training among hockey players found improved their balance immediately after the training sessions [24]. Myer, G. D., et al. 2006, balance ability for college students with balance training found more effective on outcome compared with a control group [25]. Soccer players have analyzed for postural control and dynamic stabilization after neuromuscular training found significant improvement in outcome.

Granacher U, Gollhofer A, et al. 2010, studied the effect of jumping exercise on postural sway in a four-week training program among adolescents with twenty high school students; the study reported significant improvement in postural control [26]. Kent et al., 1992, conducted a study to compare the effectiveness of squat, plyometric and squat-plyometric with the control group on vertical jump among forty subjects. The study concluded that squat-plyometric training was more effective in improving the height of vertical jump with p < 0.0001 [27].

The current research study was undertaken to determine if jumping exercise programme would lead to a significant improvement (p<0.05) in dynamic postural control in two group of netball players with two different type of jumping exercise in each group. Eighty female KPJ university netball players selected to participate in this study. A paired t-test showed that there is a significant difference within the group A and B. The outcome on ANOVA showed that dynamic postural control measured with SEBT demonstrated a statistically significant difference in improvement (p<0.05) across four directions (anterior, lateral, posterior and medial) between the two group of netball players. Mean difference in improvement on dynamic balance found more on group A (squat jump) compared to group B (split jump). The study proposes that squat jumping exercise could be more beneficial for improving dynamic postural control in netball players.

Limitation of the study: Intervention of the participants was not fully supervised. The samples of this study were selected only from KPJUC students. The participants selected for this study were only female students. Also, the researcher was not able to observe activities of the participants other than specified exercise program during the study period.

Recommendation: The results of present study suggest the use of jumping exercise in a rehabilitation programme for netball players with poor dynamic postural control. This study support to implement the program for athletes to improve their dynamic balance and postural control.
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CONCLUSION

The study concluded that there is a significant effect on dynamic balance with split jump and squat jump exercises in anterior, lateral, posterior and medial directions respectively. The comparative study showed that there is a significant difference between split jump and squat jump on dynamic balance. Squat jump exercise showed more pre-post-test mean difference when compared with split jump exercise in improving dynamic balance. This might be due to the effect of squat jump exercise on leg muscle strength and core muscle stabilization, which brings better balance and greater stability.
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