Dual B Cell Immunotherapy Is Superior to Individual Anti-CD20 Depletion or BAFF Blockade in Murine Models of Spontaneous or Accelerated Lupus
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Objective. To determine whether a combination of B cell depletion and BAFF blockade is more effective than monotherapy in treating models of spontaneous or accelerated systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) in (NZB × NZW)F1 mice.

Methods. Clinical parameters such as disease progression–free survival, proteinuria, and renal injury were assessed in models of spontaneous, interferon-α (IFNα)–accelerated, or pristane-accelerated lupus in (NZB × NZW)F1 mice. Treatment arms included anti-CD20 (B cell depletion), B lymphocyte stimulator receptor 3 fusion protein (BR-3-Fc) (BAFF blockade), the combination of anti-CD20 and BR-3-Fc, isotype control, or cyclophosphamide. In models of spontaneous, IFNα-accelerated, or pristane-accelerated lupus, mice were treated for 24 weeks, 8 weeks, or 12 weeks, respectively. Peripheral and resident B cell subsets and various autoantibodies were examined.

Results. Compared to B cell depletion or BAFF blockade alone, combined therapy significantly improved disease manifestations in all 3 lupus models. In addition, marginal zone B cells, plasmablasts, and circulating and tissue plasma cells were decreased more effectively. Dual B cell immunotherapy also reduced multiple classes of pathogenic autoantibodies, consistent with its observed effectiveness in reducing immune complex–mediated renal injury.

Conclusion. Dual immunotherapy via B cell depletion and BAFF blockade is more efficacious than single agent immunotherapy in murine SLE models, and this combination treatment is predicted to be an effective strategy for immunotherapy in human SLE.

Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) is a relapsing autoimmune disease affecting multiple organs including the kidney, skin, and central nervous system; it manifests in a diverse pathology depending on the target tissue involved (1). In SLE, B cell homeostasis is severely disturbed and accompanied by an overactive germinal center (GC) reaction likely due to a failure to maintain B cell tolerance and to cull autoreactive B cells (2–4). Substantial preclinical and clinical data suggest that pathogenic B cells contribute to SLE pathogenesis by complex mechanisms including autoantibody production, antigen presentation, and cytokine generation (5). With the advancement of clinical proof-of-concept studies in human SLE, B cells are emerging as a validated pathogenic cell target. Moreover, we are beginning to understand which B cell subsets might be involved in disease, allowing generation of improved, rational therapeutic hypotheses.
Recently, belimumab, an antagonist of B lymphocyte stimulator (BLyS), has shown clinical efficacy in the treatment of active autoantibody-positive SLE, providing a rationale for further investigating B cell–targeted therapeutics (6). In human SLE, belimumab treatment led to decreased naive and transitional B cells with modest reduction of plasma cells (PCs) (7). Rituximab is a chimeric anti-CD20 monoclonal antibody (mAb) that depletes transitional and naive B cells but not PCs, which lack CD20 (5,8). Rituximab more effectively reduces circulating B cells compared to tissue-resident B cells (5). With rituximab treatment, several positive results have been generated in open-label SLE trials (9–11), although rituximab did not demonstrate efficacy in a randomized SLE trial (12).

Studies of anti-CD20–mediated B cell depletion in mice have shown that there is a tissue- and subset-specific hierarchy of sensitivity of B cells to depletion (13–15). It has been shown that circulating B cells are most sensitive to rapid depletion as compared to the slow and incomplete depletion of B cells in spleen, lymph nodes, or bone marrow (BM). In addition, survival cues provided by BAFF such as activation of the B cell survival pathway have been implicated in resistance to B cell depletion therapy (14). The reduced depletion of various B cell subsets might be explained in part by lower expression of CD20, B cell intrinsic factors, bioavailability of antibodies, and survival cues (13–15). In (NZB × NZW)F1 mice, anti-CD20 efficiently depletes naive B cells; however, marginal zone (MZ) B cells and PCs are somewhat more resistant (15). In contrast, BAFF blockade can have a profound effect on the survival and maturation of transitional, follicular, and MZ B cells (14,15), and BAFF itself can selectively prolong the survival of plasmablasts (16,17). Autoreactive B cells may be more dependent on BAFF than naive mature B cells (18,19). Short-course treatment (4 weeks) with the combination of anti-CD20 and BAFF blockade provides improved efficacy in (NZB × NZW)F1 mice with spontaneous lupus (15). Therefore, distinct and/or overlapping mechanisms contribute to the beneficial effects seen with either anti-CD20– or BAFF antagonist–based treatment modalities. Correspondingly, maximum preclinical efficacy and B cell depletion may require a broader impact on various B cell subsets.

The (NZB × NZW)F1 preclinical mouse model has been successfully used to bridge animal studies to the clinic, including validation of anti-CD20 as well as BAFF antagonists (20,21). In the (NZB × NZW)F1 mouse model of spontaneous lupus, various aspects of SLE develop, including lymphadenopathy, splenomegaly, and elevated autoantibodies. Immune complex–mediated glomerulonephritis appears at the approximate age of 5 months and leads to kidney failure and death at the approximate age of 10 months (22). Anti-nuclear antibodies (ANAs) are abundant, including anti-double-stranded DNA (anti-dsDNA) IgG antibodies, a majority of which are IgG2a and IgG3; however, certain autoantibodies such as anti-RNP are not present (22). In the model of pristane-accelerated lupus in (NZB × NZW)F1 mice, the production of anti-RNP autoantibodies is accompanied by rapid precipitation of lupus-like disease in which pathogenic cytokines such as interferon-α (IFNα) and downstream target genes are remarkably elevated, capturing other aspects of human SLE (23,24). Ectopic expression of IFNα also results in the rapid manifestation of SLE-like symptoms in an (NZB × NZW)F1 mouse model (25).

We report how the combination of a B cell depletion strategy with BAFF blockade impacts various aspects of B cell–driven pathogenesis in (NZB × NZW)F1 mouse models of spontaneous, IFNα-accelerated, or pristane-accelerated lupus after a long-term treatment course. Our data support the hypothesis that this combination therapy has the potential to provide a wider umbrella to cover overlapping and/or nonoverlapping depletion mechanisms to mediate better efficacy than that achievable using single therapeutics.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Animal models.** Female (NZB × NZW)F1 mice were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory and housed under specific pathogen–free conditions. All procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Models used rely on the syndrome that occurs in (NZB × NZW)F1 mice and thus, depending on the model variant, recapitulate at least 4 features of human SLE (genetic predisposition, glomerulonephritis, ANAs, and anti-dsDNA antibodies) (22). In spontaneous lupus studies, female, 7–8-month-old (NZB × NZW)F1 mice with moderate-to-severe proteinuria (100–300 mg/dl) were randomly enrolled in therapeutic groups (n = 20) and treated for 6 months (Figure 1A).

Accelerated lupus in (NZB × NZW)F1 mice was precipitated by IFNα overexpression (25). Adenovirus expressing murine IFNα (IFNα-rAdv) or LacZ control (LacZ-rAdv) was used to induce lupus-like disease (Figure 1B). IFNα-rAdv or LacZ-rAdv was generated in-house and stored at 4–5 × 10^12 particles/ml in 20 mM HEPES, 150 mM NaCl, and 10% glycerol. Twelve-week-old (NZB × NZW)F1 mice were administered 10^6 plaque-forming units (PFU) of IFNα-rAdv (n = 15) or LacZ-rAdv (n = 15) by tail vein injection. Elevated serum IFNα peaked 3 weeks after induction at an average of 10 ng/ml, then gradually declined to 4 ng/ml at week 6. Enrollment for treatment started 3 weeks after IFNα induction for a treatment duration of 8 weeks.
Pristane, a hydrocarbon derived from the metabolism of chlorophyll, is an environmental trigger and can precipitate and synchronize the lupus syndrome in (NZB × NZW)F1 mice (26). Pristane-accelerated lupus (26) was induced in 12-week-old (NZB × NZW)F1 mice (n = 12) by intraperitoneal (IP) injection of 150 μl pristane (Sigma-Aldrich) on day 0. At week 9, animals were randomized and enrolled for 12 weeks of treatment (Figure 1C).

**Therapeutic reagents.** Mouse anti-mouse CD20 mAb (clone 5D2, mouse IgG2a), a murine surrogate for rituximab, was dosed at 10 mg/kg intravenously (IV) once per week. Mouse BLYS receptor 3 fusion protein (BR-3-Fc) (mouse IgG2a), a murine surrogate for rituximab, was dosed at 10 mg/kg intravenously (IV) once per week. Controls included groups receiving anti-CD20 at 10 mg/kg IV once per week and BR-3-Fc at 5 mg/kg SC 3 times per week. Cyclophosphamide (Cyc; Baxter) was used as a reference treatment and dosed at 30 mg/kg IP every 10 days. Treatment doses were chosen to achieve maximal B cell reduction as previously described (14). Antibodies and the BR-3-Fc fusion protein reagent were made in-house. Endotoxin concentrations of reagents used in vivo were <0.2 endotoxin units/mg.

**Assessment of proteinuria.** Proteinuria was assessed biweekly by colorimetric measurement using dipstick Multistix 10 SG (Bayer Diagnostics). Measured protein concentrations were binned as trace, ≤30 mg/dl, ≤100 mg/dl, and ≥300 mg/dl. Mice were considered to have severe proteinuria if 2 consecutive urine samples had a protein concentration of ≥300 mg/dl. Disease progression–free survival of treated animals was defined as the time from initial remission (proteinuria ≤300 mg/dl) to exacerbation (proteinuria >300 mg/dl) or as survival time if there was no exacerbation of proteinuria.

**Pathology and immunohistochemistry.** Treatment effect on terminal lymphocyte populations in the spleen was evaluated by immunohistochemistry for CD45R (B220) and CD3. Positive cell counts per section area were quantified for each of the markers using whole-slide scans. Renal lesions were evaluated on 2-μm paraffin sections. Sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin or periodic acid–Schiff. Glomerulopathy, arteritis, and interstitial nephritis were assessed using an arbitrary scoring system (0–3) and evaluated as an average combined lesion score.

**Serum serology and autoantibody assay.** Serum IgM, IgG (IgG1 and IgG2b), and IgA were quantified using a Luminex kit (Millipore). Results were expressed in μg/ml by referring to a standard curve with known Ig concentration. Serum IgG or IgM against dsDNA was detected by enzyme-linked immunosorbertent assay (ELISA) by measuring binding to coated calf thymus DNA (Sigma). Pooled sera from aged or young (NZB × NZW)F1 mice served as controls. The absorbance of the negative control was multiplied by a factor of 3 to yield a cutoff. The log titer for each sample was calculated as the log of the dilution needed to reach the absorbance of the cutoff point. A value of 3 means that a 1:1,000 dilution was required to reach this point. Given the minimum sample dilution of 1:25, the minimum quantifiable log titer value was 1.4. Quantitation of total Ig (IgG, IgA, IgM) anti-Sm antibodies and ANAs was determined by ELISA kits (Alpha Diagnostic).

**Antibodies and fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS).** Lymphocyte populations in spleen, kidney, BM, and peripheral blood were analyzed by flow cytometry. Fluorescein isothiocyanate–, phycoerythrin (PE)–, PerCP–, allophycocyanin (APC)–, APC–Cy7–, PE–Cy7–, and Pacific Blue–conjugated anti-mouse B220, CD21, CD23, CD38, CD138, CD5, CD4, CD8, and CD44 were from BD Biosciences. Fluorochrome-conjugated anti-mouse IgM, IgG1, IgG2a, IgG2b, and IgD antibodies were from SouthernBiotech. FACS was conducted on an LSRII flow cytometer (BD Biosciences). B cell subsets were identified as described in the figure legends.

**Statistical analysis.** GraphPad Prism software, version 5.01 and Aabel software, version 3.0.6 (www.gigawiz.com) were used for statistical analysis. A Mann-Whitney nonparametric one- or two-way analysis of variance was used and compared with Dunnett’s test. The log rank test was used for proteinuria and survival curves. An unpaired t-test was used for dual versus single treatment comparison. P values less than 0.05 were considered significant.

**RESULTS**

Greater improvement of clinical parameters with dual B cell–targeted therapy than with individual B cell monotherapy. To investigate the efficacy and mechanism of action of anti-CD20 and/or BAFF blockade, we used 3 (NZB × NZW)F1 mouse models of spontaneous and accelerated lupus. The overall design of the 3 animal models is shown in Figure 1. In the model of spontaneous lupus (22), (NZB × NZW)F1 mice with moderate-to-severe SLE (proteinuria 100–300 mg/dl) were treated for 6 months (Figure 1A). In IFNα-accelerated lupus in (NZB × NZW)F1 mice, disease was precipitated by IFNα overexpression (25). In this model, IFNα was ectopically expressed by infecting 3-month-old (NZB ×
NZW)F1 mice with adenovirus expressing IFNα, resulting in elevated serum IFNα and rapid lupus manifestation. Enrollment for treatment started 3 weeks after IFNα induction for a treatment duration of 8 weeks (Figure 1B). Alternatively, pristane-accelerated lupus (26) was induced in 3-month-old (NZB × NZW)F1 mice, and after 9 weeks animals were randomized and enrolled for a 12-week treatment (Figure 1C). For all 3 lupus models, disease progression–free survival and overall survival were used as parameters to assess efficacy of treatment (27). In addition, proteinuria was measured as a reflection of renal damage, which is a major contributor to disease progression in SLE (1).

In all 3 models tested, combination therapy or single therapies provided significantly greater therapeutic benefit compared to isotype control in disease progression–free survival rate, overall survival rate, or proteinuria (Figure 2). B cell–targeted dual therapy resulted in markedly greater improvement in clinical parameters as compared to B cell–targeted monotherapy (Figure 2). In the model of spontaneous lupus, B cell–targeted dual therapy significantly attenuated progression of proteinuria compared to treatment with anti-CD20 alone (P = 0.05). In IFNα-accelerated lupus, superior efficacy was obtained for disease progression–free survival with dual B cell therapy as compared to treatment with BR-3-Fc (P < 0.008) and compared to treatment with anti-CD20 (P < 0.008). Dual B cell therapy also increased overall survival compared to treatment with BR-3-Fc (P < 0.03) in IFNα-accelerated lupus. Values for proteinuria are the mean ± SD.
overall survival rate compared to BR-3-Fc \((P < 0.03)\) (Figure 2B). Similarly, in pristane-accelerated lupus, dual B cell therapy was superior to CYC treatment in all measures except for overall survival, where dual B cell therapy was slightly less efficacious (Figure 2C).

**Reduction of both circulating and tissue B cell subsets after dual B cell therapy.** We then determined how various B cell subsets were modulated in these models. Depending on timing, regimen, and B cell status, rituximab-mediated depletion is not effective for all B cell subsets, with MZ B cells, GC B cells, and long-lived PCs being spared (16). Likewise, belimumab can decrease activated and naïve B cells as well as PCs, but not memory B cells (7). In the model of spontaneous lupus, circulating B cells were significantly depleted in all treatment groups, and, unsurprisingly, monotherapy with either anti-CD20 or BR-3-Fc reduced some B cell subsets more than others. However, anti-CD20 combined with BR-3-Fc resulted in B cell depletion superior to that of either therapy alone (Figure 3A). In the model of IFNα-accelerated lupus, this effect of combination therapy was again observed, and only dual B cell therapy significantly reduced peripheral B cells compared to BR-3-Fc alone (Figure 3B). In addition, in the model of pristane-accelerated lupus, dual treatment was superior to both BR-3-Fc alone and anti-CD20–mediated depletion alone (Figure 3C).

In the model of spontaneous lupus, splenic follicular B cells were significantly reduced with single or combination immunotherapies compared to isotype control. Interestingly, in models of accelerated lupus, combination treatment significantly reduced follicular B cells compared to single therapeutic modalities (Figures 3B and C). CYC only modestly reduced follicular B cells in all 3 models.

MZ B cells (identified as CD21\(^{\text{high}}\)CD23\(^{\text{low}}\)IgM\(^{\text{high}}\))
are enriched for autoreactive capacity and differentiate rapidly into PCs (10). In spontaneous lupus, dual B cell therapy showed a robust depletion of MZ B cells compared to B cell monotherapy (Figure 3A). In both IFNα-accelerated and pristane-accelerated lupus, dual B cell therapy was more efficacious at eliminating MZ B cells than B cell monotherapy or even reference treatment with CYC (Figures 3B and C).

GC activation and autoantibody-secreting PC expansion are hallmarks of SLE (10). In all models, GC B cells (B220+CD38dim) were significantly reduced in all treatment groups (Figure 3). However, dual B cell therapy consistently decreased GC B cells to a greater degree than other modalities. In spontaneous and IFNα-accelerated lupus, combination treatment resulted in significant GC B cell reduction compared to BR-3-Fc alone (Figures 3A and B).

PCs (B220lowCD138+) are classified as long- or short-lived and are localized primarily in the BM or spleen, respectively. IgG1 and IgG3 PCs are 2 major sources of autoantibodies in SLE (21). Long-lived PCs in the BM are known to be less sensitive to immunotherapy (16). However, splenic B220lowCD138+ PCs were depleted in all treatment groups in all models (Figure 3). IgM- or IgG-producing splenic PCs were significantly depleted in all treatment groups, although dual B cell therapy resulted in the highest level of depletion (Figure 3) (further information is available at http://research-pub.gene.com/lin_et_al_2014). FACS analysis of kidneys showed that in spontaneous lupus, dual B cell therapy could significantly reduce renal infiltration of activated T cells and PCs compared to the control antibody–treated group, but not compared to single agent therapy (further information is available at http://research-pub.gene.com/lin_et_al_2014). In pristane-accelerated lupus, while all therapies resulted in a reduction of BM PCs, only dual B cell therapy caused a significant reduction of IgG1 PCs (further information is available at http://research-pub.gene.com/lin_et_al_2014). In summary, B cell depletion via anti-CD20 plus BAFF blockade pro-
vided superior efficacy compared to single agent therapy and resulted in more effective depletion of circulating and tissue-resident B cells.

**Significant reduction of various autoantibodies and renal injury by dual B cell–targeted treatment.** Renal injury in the models evaluated is mainly caused by immune complex deposition of autoantibodies (22). In the model of spontaneous lupus, dual B cell therapy significantly reduced serum anti-Sm IgG and anti-dsDNA IgG autoantibody levels \((P < 0.001\) compared to anti-CD20), while neither B cell monotherapy nor CYC was effective in reducing anti-Sm autoantibodies (Figure 4A). Although dual B cell therapy did not result in improvement of ANA Ig levels in spontaneous lupus, these autoantibodies were significantly reduced in IFNα-accelerated lupus (Figure 4B) and showed a trend toward greater reduction in pristane-induced lupus (Figure 4C). For anti-dsDNA IgG, dual B cell therapy caused a significant reduction compared to monotherapies across the 3 models (Figure 4). Interestingly, dual B cell therapy significantly reduced anti-dsDNA IgM levels in IFNα-accelerated and pristane-accelerated lupus, but not in spontaneous lupus, indicating that the IgG subclass is the major source of autoantibodies in spontaneous lupus in (NZB × NZW)F1 mice (22). Combination treatment also effectively reduced anti-RNP antibodies in pristane-accelerated lupus (Figure 4C). Autoantibodies are associated with severity and activity of SLE. The greater reduction of various autoantibodies with dual immunotherapy is consistent with greater depletion of various B cell subsets.

Hypergammaglobulinemia is a hallmark of SLE, and differential elevations of IgG subclasses may be relevant to aspects of disease pathogenesis such as deposition of glomerular immune complexes (1). We examined the impact of B cell therapy on various Ig isotypes in the 3 animal models of lupus (Figure 5). In spontaneous lupus, dual therapy significantly reduced all Ig isotypes compared to monoclonal antibody and also significantly reduced IgG1 and IgA levels compared to control antibody (Figure 5A). In IFNα-accelerated lupus, all serum immunoglobulins were significantly reduced with
dual B cell therapy (Figure 5B). However, with BR-3-Fc monotherapy, IgA and IgG2b were significantly reduced (Figure 5B). In pristane-accelerated lupus, dual B cell therapy significantly reduced IgG3 and IgA, while IgM, IgG1, and IgG2b showed a trend toward reduction. However, dual therapy significantly reduced IgG and IgA isotypes compared to anti-CD20 monotherapy (Figure 5C). BR-3-Fc alone caused a significant reduction only in IgA (Figure 5C). In summary, dual B cell immunotherapy was efficacious in reducing various Ig isotypes in all 3 models.

Attenuation of renal pathology was most pronounced with dual B cell–targeted therapy, even though in pristane-accelerated lupus all treatment modalities except for the anti-ragweed control had similar efficacy (further information is available at http://research-pub.gene.com/lin_et_al_2014). Compared to the reference treatment (CYC), the combination of anti-CD20 and BR-3-Fc yielded a further reduction of severity, indicating an additive effect of the 2 agents. Dual B cell therapy was comparable to BR-3-Fc but superior to anti-CD20 in reducing glomerulopathy, arteritis, and interstitial nephritis in spontaneous lupus (further information is available at http://research-pub.gene.com/lin_et_al_2014). However, in IFNα-accelerated lupus, combination therapy provided a greater attenuation of overall renal injury as compared to monotherapies (further information is available at http://research-pub.gene.com/lin_et_al_2014). In summary, dual B cell immunotherapy significantly reduced different classes of pathogenic autoantibodies, consistent with its effectiveness in reducing immune complex–mediated renal injury.

**DISCUSSION**

We demonstrate that the combination of anti-CD20 B cell depletion with BAFF receptor blockade provides a significant improvement in mouse models of spontaneous and accelerated SLE as assessed by overall survival, disease progression–free survival, proteinuria, and renal injury. The models of spontaneous, IFNα-accelerated, and pristane-accelerated lupus in (NZB × NZW)F1 mice each capture various aspects of SLE including clinical manifestations, autoantibodies, and IFN gene signature. Of the various treatment modalities evaluated, dual B cell immunotherapy achieved greatest depletion of circulating and tissue-resident B cell subsets including MZ B cells, plasmablasts, and PCs. In addition, this therapeutic strategy effectively reduced a broad range of autoantibodies including several IgG isotypes. Comparison of various parameters between combination therapy and single agent treatments showed that maximal therapeutic benefit was only achieved when these clinically relevant end points were profoundly reduced. The improved efficacy and survival across all 3 preclinical lupus models substantiates the therapeutic potential of the dual immunotherapy approach. While our study is limited to preclinical mouse models of SLE, it furthers understanding of the utility of these known pathways in human disease, thus providing insights into the design of B cell immunotherapies in SLE.

Previous studies in normal mice or mouse models of lupus have suggested that treatment with anti-CD20 depletes B cells in a tissue- and subset-restricted manner (14,15,28). While anti-CD20 can effectively deplete circulating B cells, it affects MZ B cells, GC B cells, or long-lived PCs to a lesser extent (14,15,28). In contrast, BAFF antagonism is effective in blocking the survival and maturation of transitional, follicular, and MZ B cells (14,15). Our cellular analyses suggest that maximizing efficacy in (NZB × NZW)F1 mouse models requires not only targeting the components of MZ B cells but also depleting other B cell subsets such as naive/activated B cells. The clinical response to rituximab is not uniform in SLE (5) or even B cell lymphomas (29). The heterogeneity of CD20 expression or its down-regulation on various B cell subsets might be a contributing factor to the observed variability in the clinical response (29). In addition, the kinetics of B cell repopulation and autoantibody relapse after anti-CD20 therapy suggest that different B cell–mediated pathologies exist in SLE (3).

In active SLE, plasmablasts are increased, reflecting severe immune activation (3). In addition, the VH regions of anti-dsDNA–producing B cells are heavily mutated, and the frequency of these B cells correlates with active disease (30,31). After anti-CD20 treatment, relapse with high anti-dsDNA antibody levels is associated with an increased percentage of plasmablasts (32). Therefore, it is possible that certain pathogenic B cells with negative/low CD20 expression could escape treatment. It is known that rituximab efficiently depletes naive and memory B cells, where expression of CD20 is highest (5). In addition, using BAFF blockade to inhibit survival of B cells expressing low levels of CD20 might allow for more effective depletion of these B cells. While expression of BR-3 on plasmablasts is lower than on naive B cells, it has been shown that BAFF can prolong the survival of plasmablasts (16,17,33). In the present study, the observed benefit of complementary BAFF blockade in preclinical models clearly suggests that optimal clinical improvement requires modulation of additional pathways, such as inhi-
bition of B cell survival, to ensure an effective response to treatment with anti-CD20. Thus, combination therapy may allow for a broader impact on pathogenic B cells than treatment with a single therapeutic agent.

BAFF receptor signaling is the main axis for B cell survival, and its blockade reduces populations of naive, transitional, and activated GC B cells as well as PCs to different degrees (6,34). Increased serum BAFF levels in SLE patients correlate with serologic and clinical parameters of disease activity (35,36). Following anti-CD20 B cell depletion therapy and B cell repopulation, BAFF levels were found to be significantly higher during relapse and correlated positively with anti-dsDNA antibodies (37). These results suggest that BAFF-dependent pathways may support the survival of certain pathogenic B cell subsets that lack or have reduced CD20 expression (37). Collectively, escape from CD20 depletion combined with increased BAFF levels could provide additional survival signals to residual pathogenic B cells during rituximab therapy. It is also possible that BAFF blockade impacts immune cells other than B cells such as macrophages and dendritic cells (38).

Our data suggest that combined B cell targeted therapy via anti-CD20 and BAFF blockade is an attractive treatment strategy in SLE and perhaps also in other B cell–mediated autoimmune diseases. Broader reduction and blockade of various B cell subsets including PCs provided improved efficacy in models of spontaneous or accelerated lupus in (NZB × NZW)F1 mice. Our findings confirm and expand initial observations in the model of spontaneous lupus in (NZB × NZW)F1 mice, in which impacts on B cell lineage and proteinuria were observed with short-term (4-week) treatment (15). Our analyses of kidney histology, various autoantibodies, and B cell subsets clearly demonstrate the benefit of long-term combination immunotherapy. Our data suggest that combination therapy could provide improved efficacy and should be attempted in the clinic in severely affected patients in whom therapeutic options are limited. As rituximab is used in clinical practice every 6–12 months while belimumab is administered more often, one can envision clinical regimens of rituximab induction and belimumab maintenance therapy. Alternation of treatments might provide a means of reducing safety concerns associated with long-term combination therapy. Our data contribute to the foundation for these hypotheses and provide support for testing and expanding them in human disease. Given the broader immunosuppressive effect of combined anti-CD20 and BAFF blockade therapy in preclinical models, it will be critical to investigate potential adverse effects of this dual B cell–targeted immunotherapy in future studies.
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