Vertical distribution of different forms of potassium and their relationship with different soil properties in Haryana soil
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Abstract

Distribution of different forms of potassium in soils in Haryana was studied by exposing profiles. Most of the soils were light in texture and ranged from sand to clay. They were neutral to slight alkaline in nature. The organic carbon in Kaul soil varied from 0.19 to 0.54%. In majority of the soils, the organic carbon content showed a decreasing trend with depth. The distribution of the different forms of the potassium i.e. water soluble, exchangeable, non-exchangeable and total potassium in these soils ranged from 6.26 to 24.93 kg ha⁻¹, 28.09 to 160.25 kg ha⁻¹, 25.05 to 1273.10 kg ha⁻¹ and 2.17 to 1.15%, respectively. The highest amount of the available and total potassium were found in Kaul soil, whereas, Balsamand soil with sandy texture showed the lowest amount. No definite trend of different forms of potassium was observed with respect to depth in these soils. Correlation study showed that the different forms of potassium were positively and significantly correlated with organic carbon, silt and clay content of the soils. They were negatively correlated with sand content. The different forms of potassium were positively and significantly correlated among themselves.
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Introduction

Potassium is a major constituent of the earth crust contained more in igneous rocks than the sedimentary rocks. Potassium comprise on an average of 2.6% of the earth crust, making it the seventh most abundant element and fourth most abundant mineral nutrient in the lithosphere [1]. Among the important K bearing minerals that are found in soil are feldspars and micas as primary and illites and transitional clay as secondary minerals. Soil K-minerals such as feldspars, illites and micas are present in abundant amounts in some soils. The information on vertical distribution of potassium in agricultural soils is important because it indicates the distribution of potassium with respect to depth of soils. It can indicate the depletion as well as accumulation pattern of potassium, if any, within the profile. A five-fold increase in food grain production during the last 35years combined with inadequate and unbalanced nutrient supply has led to a large degree of soil nutrient ‘mining’ of all the essential plant nutrient in the state of Haryana. In Haryana, farmers generally apply only nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P) and zinc (Zn), as a consequence, deficiencies of potash (K) and other nutrients are spreading in space and time. The intensity of nutrients (N+P₂O₅+K₂O) use through fertilizer in Haryana is 167kg/ha consisting of 125.7kg N, 38.7kg P₂O₅ and 2.6kg K₂O with N: P₂O₅: K₂O use ratio of 48.2:14.8:1. The share of potash to total NPK consumption is only 1.55%. The total potash removal by the crops in the state is reported to be 146,000 tonnes against total addition of merely 4,000 tonnes showing a negative non-exchangeable–K, total–K, soil texture, crop rotations and correlation. Potash balance of 142,000 tonnes and in consequence the potash reserve of the soils of the state has depleted [2]. More than 98% of the total potassium reserve in soils and exists in inorganic combinations which can further be characterized as: water soluble K, exchangeable K, non-exchangeable K and lattice K. Knowledge of different forms of potassium in soil together with their distribution is great relevance in assessing the long-term availability of potassium to crops and in formulating a sound basis of fertilizer recommendation.
Materials and Methods
In the present investigation of distribution of various forms of potassium in soils. All the soil samples varied widely in their physico-chemical properties. The soil samples were collected, air dried ground and passed through 2 mm sieve and analyzed for various chemical properties. Clay content varied from 4.50% in Bhiwani soil to 28.60% in Kaul soil. Kaul soil also exhibited a maximum CEC of 10.23-17.74 cmol (p+) kg−1 followed by 5.10-10.31 and 3.51-5.40 cmol (p+) kg−1 for Bawal and Balsamand soil, respectively.

Table 1: General characteristics soil profile of major physiographic units of Haryana

| Profile | Classification | Location | Sand % (2.0-0.02) mm | Silt % (0.02-0.002) mm | Clay % (<0.002) mm | Texture |
|---------|----------------|----------|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------|---------|
| Koul    | Fine loamy, Mixed Hyperthermic calcareous, Typic Haplustepts | KVK Kaul (76° 39' 41.35"E 29° 50' 59.60"N) | 40.66 | 30.10 | 28.60 | Clay |
| Bawal   | Coarseloamy, Hyperthermic, Typic Ustorthents | KVK Bawal (76° 35' 21.40"E 28° 5' 0.00"N) | 75.98 | 12.19 | 11.68 | Sandy loam |
| Balsamand | Sandy, Hyperthermic Typic Ustipsamments | Balsamand (75° 29' 0.00"E 29° 5' 0.00"N) | 92.12 | 3.38 | 4.50 | Sandy |

Table 2: Physico-chemical properties of different soils - A range

| Profile | pH (1:2) | EC (dS m−1) (1:2) | CEC [c mole (p+) kg−1] | OC (%) | Texture |
|---------|----------|-------------------|------------------------|---------|---------|
| Koul    | 8.10-50  | 0.23-0.26         | 10.23-17.74            | 0.19-0.54 | Clay loam |
| Bawal   | 7.91-8.13 | 0.50-1.1          | 5.10-10.31             | 0.08-0.14 | Sandy loam |
| Balsamand | 8.05-8.27 | 0.08-0.12        | 3.51-5.40              | 0.04-0.08 | Sandy |

Table 3: Vertical distribution of different forms of K in different soil profiles of Haryana

| Profile (1) | Old alluvial plains (Typic Haplustepts) | Aeolian plain (Typic Ustorthents) | Aeolian plain (Typic Ustipsamments) |
|-------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Profile (P1) | WS-K | EX-K | NEX-K | Total - K | 0-20 | 24.93 | 160.25 | 1273.10 | 1.91 |
|             | 20-42 | 19.11 | 155.54 | 1511.35 | 2.10 |
|             | 42-111 | 14.64 | 133.21 | 1504.15 | 2.17 |
|             | 111-144 | 11.90 | 105.54 | 1482.70 | 1.96 |
| Range and mean value | 11.90-24.93 (17.65) | 105.54-160.25 (138.63) | 1511.35-1273.10 (1442.82) | 1.91-2.17 (2.04) |
| Profile (P2) | WS-K | EX-K | NEX-K | Total - K | 0-28 | 9.18 | 74.57 | 314.25 | 1.30 |
|             | 28-64 | 8.91 | 71.39 | 346.7 | 1.34 |
|             | 64-85 | 8.12 | 54.12 | 357.85 | 1.35 |
|             | 85-135 | 7.10 | 40.18 | 327.25 | 1.33 |
| Range and mean value | 7.10-9.18 (8.33) | 40.18-74.57 (60.07) | 314.25-357.85 (336.51) | 1.30-1.35 (1.33) |
| Profile (P3) | WS-K | EX-K | NEX-K | Total - K | 0-15 | 8.70 | 53.45 | 258.05 | 1.15 |
|             | 15-30 | 7.26 | 52.49 | 295.25 | 1.25 |
|             | 30-45 | 6.86 | 51.79 | 304.35 | 1.27 |
|             | 45-60 | 6.26 | 28.09 | 285.65 | 1.17 |
| Range and mean value | 6.26-8.70 (7.27) | 28.09-53.45 (46.46) | 25.05-304.35 (285.83) | 1.15-1.25 (1.21) |

Table 4: Pearson correlation coefficients among different forms of potassium and soil properties

| Form-K | WS-K | Ex-K | Non-Ex-K | Total-K | Sand | Silt | Clay | pH | EC | OC | CEC |
|--------|------|------|----------|----------|------|------|------|----|----|----|-----|
| WS-K   | 1    |      |          |          |      |      |      |    |    |    |     |
| Ex-K   | 0.94** | 1    |          |          |      |      |      |    |    |    |     |
| Non-Ex-K | 0.94** | 0.96** | 1        |          |      |      |      |    |    |    |     |
| Total-K | 0.95** | 0.97** | 0.90** | 1        |      |      |      |    |    |    |     |
| Sand   | -0.93** | -0.95** | -0.92** | -0.93** | 1    |      |      |    |    |    |     |
| Silt   | 0.93** | 0.93** | 0.86** | 0.87** | -0.97** | 1    |      |    |    |    |     |
| Clay   | 0.93** | 0.95** | 0.98** | 0.98** | -0.95** | 0.89** | 1    |    |    |    |     |
| pH     | -0.94** | -0.88** | -0.85** | -0.86** | 0.90** | -0.89** | -0.85** | 1 |    |    |     |
| EC     | -0.94** | -0.95** | -0.96** | -0.97** | 0.87** | -0.81** | -0.93** | 0.88** | 1 |    |     |
| OC     | 0.97** | 0.92** | 0.96** | 0.95** | -0.91** | 0.86** | 0.94** | -0.95** | -0.96** | 1 |     |
| CEC    | 0.97** | 0.96** | 0.98** | 0.98** | -0.97** | 0.93** | 0.98** | -0.90** | -0.94** | 0.96** | 1 |
Distribution of different forms of potassium in soils

The data on the contents of different forms of potassium in each profile of the major physiographic units of Haryana is presented in the Table 3 and the relationship amongst forms of K vis a vis soil properties is presented in Table 4. The insight about K status is crucial in order to develop suitable K nutrient management. Since, there is a continuous but slow transfer of potassium from the primary minerals to exchangeable and slowly available forms, therefore, it is imperative to analyse all the fractions to reveal whether the K is adequate for the sustainable crop production of dominant crops.

Water soluble potassium (WS-K)

Water soluble potassium in the profiles (P1 to P3) ranged from mean values of 17.6, 8.3 and 7.2 mg kg⁻¹, respectively. Higher WSK was observed in old alluvial plains (Typic Haplusterts) and minimum in aeolian plains (Typic Ustipsamments). The WSK was higher in surface horizons and decreased with depth in all the soil profiles. Water soluble potassium was higher in the surface layer and showed a decreasing trend with depth in all the soil profiles (Table 3). It could be attributed to relatively high amount of organic matter in surface layer. This type of behaviour may be due to the fact that organic matter is capable of blocking specific and non-specific K binding sites resulting in reduced amount of K fixation. Therefore, a sufficient amount of K remains in water soluble forms. These results are in agreement with those reported of Singh et al. (2001) [11]; Singh (2010) [11]; Butt et al. (2017).

Correlation studies revealed that water soluble potassium was significantly correlated with CEC (r =0.97; p≤0.01), organic carbon (r =0.97; p≤0.01), silt (r =0.92; p≤0.01), clay (r =0.92; p≤0.01) content whereas negatively correlated with pH (r =0.94; p≤0.01), sand (r =0.93; p≤0.01) and EC(r =0.94; p≤0.01). It was interesting to note that the sand fraction was negatively and significantly correlated with all forms of potassium which may be due to low potassium bearing minerals in sand fractions. Water soluble K (Table 5) was positively and highly significantly correlated with exchangeable potassium (r =0.94; p≤0.01), nonexchangeable potassium (r =0.94; p≤0.01), and total potassium (r =0.95; p≤0.01). The strong correlation of this fraction of potassium with other forms indicates that the different forms of potassium were in dynamic equilibrium with each other.

Non-exchangeable potassium (Non-exch K)

The non-exchangeable potassium in the profiles (P1 to P3) ranged from means values of 1442.8, 336.5 and 285.8 mg kg⁻¹, respectively. On an average, non-exchangeable potassium constituted of 4.38 per cent total potassium. Maximum non-exch K was observed in old alluvial plains (Typic Haplusterts) and minimum in aeolian plains (Typic Ustipsamments). No systematic pattern of depth distribution of non-exchangeable K was observed in these soils. In general, subsurface soils had higher amount of non-exchangeable K compared to surface layers. The difference in pattern of non-exchangeable K in all the physiographic units may be due to the combination of variations in mineralogical composition, particle size distribution, chemical characteristics and weathering process (Rezapour and Samadi, 2012) [9]. These results are in agreement with several researchers Reza et al., 2014 [8]. The lower amount in surface layers might be due to the release of fixed potassium to compensate the removal of water soluble and exchangeable potassium by planting and leaching losses. Similar results were reported by Das et al. (2000) [11]; Srinivasarao et al. (2000) and Gora (2013) [12, 13]. Brar et al. (2016) [11] reported that where non-exchangeable K was high in soil showed higher clay and silt content.

Non-exchangeable potassium showed positively significant correlation (Table 5) with CEC (r =0.98; p≤0.01), organic carbon (r =0.96; p≤0.01), clay (r =0.98; p≤0.01) and silt (r =0.86; p≤0.01) whereas it was negatively correlated with sand (r =0.92; p≤0.01) and pH (r =0.85; p≤0.01). The relationship of this form of total-K (r =0.99; p≤0.01) exchangeable-K (r =0.96; p≤0.01) and water soluble-K (r =0.94; p≤0.01) was found to be positive and highly significant which showed that equilibrium exist in the soil. The sand fraction was significantly but negatively correlated with all forms of potassium. This may be due to less content of potassium bearing minerals in sand fractions. Das et al., 2000 and Chand et al., 2000 [2, 3] also observed similar type of correlation in their soils.

Total potassium (Total-K)

Total potassium in the profiles (P1 to P3) ranged from mean values of 2.0, 1.3 and 1.2 per cent, respectively. Highest content of total potassium was found in old alluvial plains (Typic Haplusterts) and lowest in aeolian plains (Typic Ustipsamments). No consistent distribution pattern of total
potassium was observed down the profile in all the physiographic units. In general, subsurface soils had higher amount of total potassium compared to surface layers. Higher total K in soils may be due to the presence of sufficient quantity of potash bearing primary minerals like feldspar and mica and it seemed to be directly related with clay and organic matter content of these soils also. There was no definite trend observed in the vertical distribution of total potassium in the selected soil which might be due to the diversified nature of alluvium deposited. Comparing the total K content among various subsurface to surface soil horizons it was slightly lower in surface though it did not increase regularly with soil depth. This could be due to the effect of potassium depletion by the crops from the surface horizons. Singh et al. (2001) [11] while conducting experiment on alluvial soils of Uttar Pradesh also observed higher values of total K in subsurface soils. The results are in agreement with those of Shanwal and Dutta (2001) [10].

The total potassium (Table 5) was significantly and positively correlated with CEC (r = 0.98; p = 0.01), organic carbon (r = 0.95; p = 0.01), silt (r = 0.87; p = 0.01) and clay (r = 0.98; p = 0.01) content whereas it was negatively correlated with pH (r = -0.86; p = 0.01) and sand (r = -0.93; p = 0.01). The sequential order of dominance of different forms of K in all the physiographic units was: Total K > NEK > Exch-K > WSK. Similar results were obtained by Yadav et al., 1999 [14] in Vertisols of Madhya Pradesh.

Conclusion

The higher WSK was observed in soils having fine texture as compared to coarse textured soils. Water soluble potassium and exchangeable K also showed a decreasing trend with depth in most of the soil profiles. There was no specific trend of non-exchangeable K distribution with respect to soil depth which was very much related to the soil texture as the value increased with the finesses of the texture.
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