Activation of cytotoxic T lymphocytes by self-differentiated myeloid-derived dendritic cells for killing breast cancer cells expressing folate receptor alpha protein
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ABSTRACT
Adoptive cell transfer (ACT) is a promising approach for cancer treatment. Activation of T lymphocytes by self-differentiated myeloid-derived antigen-presenting-cells (SmartDC) resulted in specific anti-cancer function. Folate receptor alpha (Frα) is highly expressed in breast cancer (BC) cells and thus potential to be a target antigen for ACT. To explore the SmartDC technology for treatment of BC, we create SmartDC expressing Frα antigen (SmartDC-Frα) for activation of Frα-specific T lymphocytes. Human primary monocytes were transduced with lentiviruses containing tri-cistronic complementary DNA sequences encoding granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF), interleukin-4 (IL-4), and Frα to generate SmartDC-Frα. Autologous T lymphocytes were activated by SmartDC-Frα by coculture. The activated T lymphocytes exhibited enhanced cytotoxicity against Frα-expressing BC cell cultures. Up to 84.9 ± 6.2% of MDA-MB-231 and 89.7 ± 1.9% of MCF-7 BC cell lines were specifically lysed at an effector-to-target ratio of 20:1. The cytotoxicity of T lymphocytes activated by SmartDC-Frα was also demonstrated in three-dimensional (3D) spheroid culture of Frα-expressing BC cells marked by size reduction and spheroid disruption. This study thus portray the potential development of T lymphocytes activated by SmartDC-Frα as ACT in Frα-expressing BC treatment.

Research highlights
- Self-differentiated antigen-presenting cells (SmartDC) expressing Frα was generated
- SmartDC expressing Frα (SmartDC-Frα) activated Frα-specific T lymphocytes
- Frα-specific T lymphocytes specifically lysed Frα-expressing breast cancer cells

Introduction
Treatment of breast cancer (BC) has recently progressed via combinations of standard approaches, including surgery, chemotherapy, radiotherapy, hormonal therapy (for hormone receptor positive types of BC), targeted therapy [1], and/or therapy using immune checkpoint inhibitors such as
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Pembrolizumab [2]. Satisfactory outcomes of these integrated approaches were demonstrated in certain subtypes of BC and in those diagnosed at early stages resulting in better prognosis of BC patients in recent years [1,2]. However, effective and specific treatment of BC have not yet been achieved in some triple-negative type of BC (TNBC) patients [3] and those who are in advanced and relapsed stages [4] who confer worse prognosis [5]. A study reported lower 3-year event-free survival (EFS) of TNBC patients at 76%, comparing to non-TNBC patients at 83% [6]. This emphasizes the need for a new therapeutic approach in BC.

The remarkable effectiveness of adoptive T cell transfer in several cancers [7] makes it an encouraging treatment for BC. This personalized approach uses the patient’s own immune cells to fight against cancer cells. These effector immune cells are either genetically modified or activated ex vivo, and after expansion, they are reinfused into the patient to eliminate cancer cells [8,9]. Cancer-specific cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTLs) function upon recognition of tumor-associated antigens (TAAs) presented on cancer cells. Presentation of TAA-derived antigenic peptides by antigen presenting cells (APCs), especially dendritic cells (DCs) – the professional APCs, is required to activate specific CTLs. BC antigen-pulsed DCs were able to induce specific CTL expansion and enhance anti-BC function in both preclinical [10] and clinical [11] settings. Adoptive cell transfer of human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2)-specific CTLs derived from DC stimulation led to cancer cell clearance in the bone marrow of BC patients [11], which suggests the potential use of DC-stimulated CTLs for treatment of BC.

DCs can be induced to differentiate from human primary monocytes ex vivo by granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) and interleukin 4 (IL-4) cytokines. To circumvent the use of costly recombinant GM-CSF and IL-4 cytokines for DC generation, and the hurdle of antigen preparation for pulsing DCs for antigen presentation, self-differentiated myeloid-derived antigen-presenting cells reactive against tumors (SmartDCs) have been developed [12–16]. Human primary monocytes were transduced with lentiviral vector containing tri-cistronic complementary DNA (cDNA) sequences of GM-CSF, IL-4, and specific TAA. The transduced monocytes are able to produce GM-CSF and IL-4 cytokines to induce self-differentiated DCs, and to simultaneously express TAA for antigen presentation to activate T lymphocytes. The SmartDCs were able to activate cytotoxic functions of T lymphocytes against several cancers, suggesting potential of this platform in BC. Thus – in the present study, we aimed to develop a new treatment approach for BC using SmartDCs technology.

To construct SmartDCs for BC treatment, a TAA, namely folate receptor alpha (FRα), which is highly expressed in BC tissues [17], was selected as a target antigen for presentation and activation of CTLs. Upregulation of FRα mRNA was reported in all breast cancer subtypes the analysis of RNA-seq dataset in The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) [18]. We have found that 61.5% of BC tissues from both luminal and TNBC subtypes overexpress FRα protein [19] while another study reported that up to 71% of TNBC tissues overexpress FRα antigen [20]. In normal tissues, the FRα protein is not exposed to either blood circulation or the immune system because of its limited expression on the apical side of epithelial cells [21]. In contrast, the FRα is overexpressed and able to stimulate immune responses in various malignancies of epithelial origin, including BC [22–25]. We hypothesize that by using the SmartDC expressing FRα antigen (SmartDC-FRα) to activate T lymphocytes, the activated T lymphocyte would exhibit specific cytotoxicity against FRα-expressing BC cells. Thus, in this study, a lentiviral construct of SmartDC-FRα was created and transduced into human primary monocytes to generate DCs. T lymphocytes activated by SmartDC-FRα were evaluated for their cytotoxic functions against BC cell lines. This proof-of-concept study provides an insight into the development of SmartDC-FRα for effector T lymphocyte activation as a new treatment approach for BC.

**Materials and methods**

**Cell lines and culture methods**

Lenti-X™ 293 T cells for lentiviral packaging were from Takara Bio USA, Inc. (Mountainview, CA,
USA). Human breast cancer (BC) cell lines (MCF-7; RRID:CVCL_0031 and MDA-MB-231; RRID: CVCL_0062) and human non-tumorigenic breast cell line (MCF10A; RRID:CVCL_0598) were from ATCC (Manassas, VA, USA). Lenti-X™ 293 T, MCF-7, and MDA-MB-231 cell lines were cultured in DMEM (Gibco) supplemented with 10% FBS (Gibco), 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Sigma-Aldrich Corporation, St. Louis, MO, USA). MCF10A cells were previously described [26].

**Immunofluorescence staining**

MCF-7, MDA-MB-231, and MCF10A cell lines were stained with rabbit anti-FRa (1:100 in 1% BSA/PBS) (LS-B5727; LifeSpan BioSciences, Seattle, WA, USA). After washing, donkey anti-rabbit IgG-AlexaFlour® 488 (1:1,000 in 1% BSA/PBS) (A21206; Thermo Fisher Scientific) and Hoechst 33,342 (1:5,000 in 1% BSA/PBS) (H3570; Thermo Fisher Scientific) were added. The coverslips were mounted onto glass slides and observed by a confocal microscope (LSM800; Zeiss Microscopy, Jena, Germany).

**Design and production of lentiviral construct carrying tri-cistronic GM-CSF, IL-4, and FRa cDNA sequences**

cDNA of FRa was prepared from mRNA isolated from MDA-MB-231 cells, amplified by PCR, and cloned in-frame with a GM-CSF-IL-4 cDNA cassette previously described by our group [16]. This plasmid construct was designated as pCDH GM-CSF-IL4-FRa (Figure 1(a)). Expression of FRa protein from this plasmid construct was examined by flow cytometry (FACS) after the Lenti-X™ 293 T cells were transfected with pCDH GM-CSF-IL4-FRa and stained with anti-FRa antibody.

The lentiviral packaging plasmid (psPAX2) and envelope plasmid (pMD2.G) were kindly provided by Dr. Naravat Poungvarin, Department of Clinical Pathology, Faculty of Medicine Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University, Thailand. Briefly, Lenti-X™ 293 T cells were cotransfected with pMD2.G, psPAX2, and pCDH GM-CSF-IL4-FRa plasmids using calcium-phosphate-mediated transfection method [27]. Lentiviral particles in supernatants were collected at 24, 48, and 72 h post transfection, concentrated, and stored at −70°C. Lentiviral titers were quantitated using a qPCR Lentivirus Titration Kit according to manufacturer’s protocol (Applied Biological Materials, Inc., Richmond, British Columbia, Canada). Lentiviruses carrying GM-CSF, IL-4, and phytochrome-based near-infrared fluorescent protein (iRFP) genes (namely SmartDC-iRFP) that were previously constructed by our group [16], were used as an irrelevant control.

**Ethics approval**

This work was approved by the Siriraj Institutional Review Board (SIRB) of the Faculty of Medicine Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University, Thailand (COA no. Si 580/2018; Supplementary ethical approval document). All the methods in the present study were performed in accordance with standard guidelines. All healthy volunteers provided written informed consent prior to blood sample collection.

**SmartDC-FRa production from peripheral blood monocytes**

Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) from healthy donors were isolated by density gradient centrifugation using Lymphocyte Separation Medium (Corning, Inc., Corning, NY, USA). All donors were recruited based on the first 2 digits of HLA class I matched with those of target cell lines used in the study (Supplementary Table S1) [28]. Monocytes were isolated from PBMCs by plastic plate adherence [29]. The non-adherent cells were cryopreserved as a source of autologous T lymphocytes until further use. Adherent monocytes were transduced with lentiviruses at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 70 with 10 μg/ml of protamine sulfate. Following overnight incubation, the supernatant was replenished with AIM-V medium (Gibco) and maintained for 7 days to obtain SmartDC-FRa. SmartDC-iRFP was generated in the same fashion. Conventional cytokine-driven monocyte-derived dendritic cell (Conv-DC) was produced as a positive immunophenotypic control by culturing monocytes in AIM-V medium supplemented with 50 ng/ml GM-CSF and 25 ng/ml IL-4.
(both from ImmunoTools, Friesoythe, Germany) for 5 days, and then further supplemented with tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α) and interferon-gamma (IFN-γ) (both 50 ng/ml, ImmunoTools) for additional 2 days. Levels of accumulated GM-CSF and IL-4 cytokines in the culture supernatant of monocytes, SmartDC-FRα, and SmartDC-iRFP were determined by ELISA (Human GM-CSF Quantikine ELISA Kit [DGM00], and Human IL-4 Quantikine ELISA Kit [D4050]; R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA).

**Determination of SmartDC-FRα lentiviral integration by quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR)**

Quantitative PCR was performed to evaluate lentiviral copy numbers in monocytes, SmartDC-FRα, and SmartDC-iRFP by using specific primers for the puromycin resistance gene in lentiviral construct, and the albumin gene was used as housekeeping DNA control. Total genomic DNA was extracted using a GeneJET Genomic DNA Purification Kit (K0721; Thermo Fisher Scientific).
formed ingocytes, Immunophenotypes characterized set without the 10–20 thawed Cryopreserved -SmartDC-FRα number SYBR at Scientific (30). 14192 were anti-CD56 was CD11c, and CD86 DR, lymphocyte a 1× final concentration of LightCycler® 480 SYBR Green I Master (04707516001; Roche Molecular Systems, Inc., Pleasanton, CA, USA) in a total volume of 20 µl. All qPCR experiments were performed using a LightCycler® 480 II Thermocycler (Roche Molecular Systems). Data were evaluated using efficiency-corrected relative quantification to calculate the lentiviral copy number per cell [30].

**Activation of autologous T lymphocytes by SmartDC-FRα**

Cryopreserved autologous T lymphocytes were thawed and cocultured with SmartDC-FRα or SmartDC-iRFP at a T lymphocyte-to-DC ratio of 10–20 to 1 in AIM-V medium for 3 days. Then, the activated T lymphocytes were expanded in AIM-V supplemented with 5% human AB serum and 20 ng/ml IL-2, 10 ng/ml IL-7, and 20 ng/ml IL-15 (all from ImmunoTools) for 7 days. Medium was replenished every other day. T lymphocytes without DC activation were also expanded in cytokine-supplemented medium as an unactivated T lymphocyte control. Lymphocyte and T cell subsets before and after DC stimulation were characterized by specific antibody staining and FACS.

**Flow cytometry (FACS) analysis**

Immunophenotypes of human primary monocytes, Conv-DC, SmartDC-FRα, and SmartDC-iRFP were determined by staining with the following antibodies: APC-conjugated anti-CD14, anti-CD11c, FITC-conjugated anti-CD40, CD14, HLA-DR, and PE-conjugated anti-CD80, CD83, and CD86 (all from eBioscience, Thermo Fisher Scientific). Phenotypic analysis of lymphocytes was performed using FITC-conjugated anti-CD3 and CD45RO; APC-conjugated anti-CD4, CD8, CD19, CD16, and CD62L; and, PE-conjugated anti-CD56 (all from ImmunoTools).

Respective isotype-matched staining was performed in all FACS analyses as controls. A BD Accuri™ C6 Plus Flow Cytometer and software were used for all experiments (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA). Data was subsequently analyzed by Flowjo 10 software (Flowjo, LLC, Ashland, OR, USA).

**Cytotoxic function assay in cultures cell lines and IFN-γ production**

The cytotoxic function of T lymphocytes activated by SmartDC-FRα against cultures cell lines was studied using a luciferase-based assay (Pierce® Firefly Luciferase Glow Assay Kit, Thermo Fisher Scientific) as previously described [31]. mWasabi green fluorescence protein and firefly luciferase-expressing target cells were generated in previous study [19]. Effector cells, unactivated or T lymphocytes activated by SmartDC-FRα, or T lymphocytes activated by SmartDC-iRFP were cocultured with target cells at E:T ratios of 5:1, 10:1, and 20:1 for 6 h. Supernatants containing effector cells were removed, and the remaining target cells were lysed. Cell lysate was then mixed with D-Luciferin substrate. Signal output at 613 nm was measured using a Lumat LB 9507 Ultra-sensitive Tube Luminometer (Berthold Technologies, Bad Wildbad, Germany). Specific target cell lysis was calculated as percentage according to the following equation. % Specific lysis = 100 – [(Release luminescence of experimental sample/Release luminescence of maximum cell lysis) × 100]. Maximum cell lysis was defined as target cells cultured without addition of effector cells and that were completely lysed.

Anti-BC activity of T lymphocytes activated by SmartDC-FRα was further evaluated using crystal violet staining assay. mWasabi protein and luciferase enzyme-expressing target cells were seeded into a 96-well plate. Effector cells were added at a serial E:T ratio (1:1, 2.5:1, and 5:1) and cocultured for 24 h. Images of target cells after coculture were taken under fluorescence microscopy (ECLIPSE Ti; Nikon Instruments, Inc., Tokyo, Japan). Supernatants were discarded. Target cells were washed twice with PBS, fixed and stained with 0.2% paraformaldehyde/1% crystal violet staining solution, rinsed twice with tap water, and air-dried.

To measure the response upon coculture of T lymphocytes activated by SmartDC-FRα with target cells, IFN-γ level in the coculture supernatant
between the activated T lymphocytes and target cells at an E:T ratio of 20:1 was measured by Human IFN-gamma Quantikine ELISA Kit (DIF50; R&D Systems) according to manufacturer’s protocol.

**Cytotoxic function assay in spheroid culture**

The cytotoxicity of T lymphocytes activated by SmartDC-FRα in 3D culture system was studied using spheroid model to mimic the physiological nature of BC as a solid tumor. To form the spheroid, mWasabi-luciferase-expressing target cells were seeded into a Corning® Ultra-Low Attachment 96-well plate (CLS7007; Corning) at a density of $1 \times 10^5$ cells/well in 2.5% Matrigel® (354234; Corning). The plate was centrifuged at 3,000 g for 5 min at 4°C and incubated for 4 d. Effector cells were then added at an E:T ratio of 20:1 and then cultured for 4 d. Bright field and fluorescence images were taken under ECLIPSE Ti microscope (Nikon Instruments).

**Statistical analysis**

At least three independent experiments were conducted for all studies. Results are reported as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM). Statistical analysis was performed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Student’s t-test in GraphPad Prism Software (GraphPad Software, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). A P-value < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

**Results**

Adoptive cell transfer (ACT) represents a promising treatment strategy for breast cancer (BC). Cancer-specific T lymphocytes could be activated *ex vivo* by self-differentiated myeloid-derived antigen-presenting-cells reactive against tumor (SmartDC). In this study, we generated SmartDC expressing folate receptor alpha antigen (SmartDC-FRα) to activate FRα-specific T lymphocytes and evaluated the cytotoxicity of T lymphocytes activated by SmartDC-FRα against FRα-expressing breast cancer cells.

**Generation of SmartDC-FRα from human primary monocytes**

SmartDC-FRα was generated from human primary monocytes transduced with lentiviruses whose genome construct is shown in Figure 1(a). The cells were characterized by several approaches. First, the morphology of SmartDCs was observed under microscopy. Apparent morphologic changes of SmartDCs compared to that of monocytes on day 1 were noted (Figure 1(b)). Both SmartDC-FRα and SmartDC-iRFP, an irrelevant antigen (phytochrome-based near-infrared fluorescent protein; iRFP) expression control, exhibited dendrite protrusions on the cell surface, which is a typical DC morphology, similar to that of cytokine-driven monocyte-derived DCs (Conv-DC), which were prepared by a standard method. Copy numbers of lentiviruses transduced into monocytes were evaluated by quantitative polymerase-chain reaction (qPCR) (Figure 1(c)). Although there was no lentiviral DNA integrated into monocytes, SmartDC-FRα and SmartDC-iRFP quantitated by ELISA were 3.35 ± 1.5 pg/ml, 3,110 ± 856.8 pg/ml, and 2,179.8 ± 797.6 pg/ml, respectively (Figure 1(d)), while the concentrations of the IL-4 cytokine were undetectable, 450.73 ± 184.2 pg/ml, and 486.83 ± 303.2 pg/ml, respectively (Figure 1(e)). These results demonstrated the sustained expression of tri-cistronic gene from lentiviral transduction.

The Conv-DC, SmartDC-FRα, and SmartDC-iRFP were collected on day 7 after transduction, and they were subjected to immunophenotyping by FACS (Figure 2). The SmartDC-FRα and SmartDC-iRFP exhibited DC immunophenotypes comparable to those of Conv-DC (Figure 2). Gating strategies for monocyte and DC populations are depicted in Figure 2(a). Compared to monocytes, Conv-DC, SmartDC-FRα, and SmartDC-iRFP significantly downregulated CD14 both in terms of mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) and percentage of positive cells (Figure 2...
alterations, compared their cells iRFP, and activation, (Figure 2). To Figure 2, the results were summarized from 3 independent experiments. (ns indicates not statistically significant, * indicates statistically significant with P-value<0.05, ** indicates statistically significant with P-value<0.01, *** indicates statistically significant with P-value<0.001).

(b,c)). Uregulation of CD11c and other costimulatory molecules for T cell activation, including CD40, CD80, CD83, CD86, and HLA-DR in Conv-DC, SmartDC-FRa, and SmartDC-iRFP compared to monocytes were observed (Figure 2 (b,c)). These results thus confirmed the successful production of SmartDC-FRa from primary monocytes by lentiviral system.

**Activation of T lymphocytes by SmartDC-FRa**

To evaluate activation of T lymphocytes and their alterations, the phenotypes of lymphocytes before activation, without DC activation (unactivation) and with activation by SmartDC-FRa or SmartDC-iRFP, were characterized by FACS (Figure 3). The cells were stained with specific antibodies to detect their cell surface markers, including helper T cells (Th) (CD3+, CD4+), cytotoxic T cells (Tc) (CD3+, CD8+), B cells (CD3-, CD19+), NK cells (CD3-, CD56+), and NKT cells (CD3+, CD56+) (Figure 3a). There were no statistically significant alterations in lymphocyte subsets before activation, unactivation, or activation with SmartDC-FRa or SmartDC-iRFP. However, a significant reduction of NK cells in unactivated lymphocytes and lymphocytes activated by SmartDC-FRa or SmartDC-iRFP was observed when compared to before processing (Figure 3a).

T lymphocytes were further characterized into subpopulations, including naïve T cells (CD3+, CD62L+, and CD45RO-), central memory T cells (Tcm) (CD3+, CD62L+, and CD45RO+), effector memory T cells (Tem) (CD3+, CD62L-, and CD45RO+), and terminal effector T cells (Ttem) (CD3+, CD62L-, and CD45RO-) (Figure 3b). No statistically significant alteration was observed except the significant decrease in Ttem population.
of unactivated T lymphocytes (10.1 ± 2.9%) compared to before processing (29.1 ± 2.0%). Naïve T cells, which were in high proportion in the unactivated T lymphocytes (62.7 ± 7.0%), were substantially decreased in the T lymphocytes that were activated by SmartDC-FRα (36.7 ± 17.0%) and SmartDC-iRFP (35.6 ± 9.5%). In addition, TTEM population was considerably increased in the T lymphocytes activated by SmartDC-FRα (39.7 ± 13.9%) and SmartDC-iRFP (33.4 ± 4.5%) (Figure 3(b)).

**Cytotoxicity of T lymphocytes activated by SmartDC-FRα against cultured BC cell lines and spheroid cultures**

The cytotoxicity of T lymphocytes activated by SmartDC-FRα against two-dimensional (2D) cultured BC cell lines was initially examined by luciferase-based assay. After the activated T lymphocytes were cocultured with target cell lines (MCF10A, MCF-7, or MDA-MB-231) for 6 h, luciferase activities of the remaining cells were measured. The percentages of target cell lysis by unactivated and activated T lymphocytes are shown in Figure 4. Dose-dependent target cell lysis was observed in both unactivated and activated T lymphocytes. The lysis of FRα-negative MCF10A cell line was not significantly different between unactivated and activated T lymphocytes in all effector to target (E:T) ratios tested (Figure 4(a)). In contrast, the lysates of FRα-expressing MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cell lines by T lymphocytes activated by SmartDC-FRα were significantly increased and showed dose-dependent lyses at different E:T ratios (Figure 4(b,c)). The lysates of all target cells (MCF10A, MCF-7, or MDA-MB-231) by T lymphocytes activated by SmartDC-iRFP were not significantly different from those of unactivated T lymphocytes at all E:T ratios (P
Figure 4. Cytotoxic function of T lymphocytes activated by SmartDC-FRα against cultured BC cell lines examined by luciferase-based assay. Expression of FRα protein in normal breast-like (MCF10A) and breast cancer (MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231) target cell lines examined by immunofluorescence staining with specific anti-FRα antibody were also depicted. Expression FRα protein indicated in green. Nuclei of the cells were stained blue color. Scale bars represent 10 µm. Percentages of specific target cell lyses of unactivated T lymphocytes (white bar), T lymphocytes activated by SmartDC-iRFP (gray bar), and T lymphocytes activated by SmartDC-FRα (black bar) after co-culture with target cells as indicated were shown; a) normal breast-like MCF10A cells, b) luminal type BC MCF-7 cells, and c) TNBC MDA-MB-231 cells. Results were summarized from 3 independent experiments. T lymphocytes activated by SmartDC-FRα preferentially lysed FRα-expressing BC cells but exhibited low lysis of FRα-negative MCF10A cells at the indicated effector to target (E:T) ratio. (ns indicates not statistically significant, * indicates statistically significant with $P$-value$<$0.05, ** indicates statistically significant with $P$-value$<$0.01).
At an E:T ratio of 20:1, the lyses of MCF-7 or MDA-MB-231 by the T lymphocytes activated by SmartDC-FRα were up to 89.7 ± 1.9% and 84.9 ± 6.2%, respectively.

To examine a longer duration (24 h) of cytotoxic function, T lymphocytes activated by SmartDC-FRα were cocultured with mWasabi green fluorescence protein expressing target cell lines. Images were captured after coculture for 24 h by fluorescence microscopy (Figure 5(a)). Losses of green fluorescence in MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cell lines, but not MCF10A cell line, were observed when cocultured with T lymphocytes activated by SmartDC-FRα. Crystal violet staining was further conducted and its results were consistent with those from luciferase assay that demonstrated prominent cytolytic function of T lymphocytes activated by SmartDC-FRα when cocultured with the BC cell lines (Figure 5(b)).

In addition, IFN-γ production of unactivated T lymphocytes and T lymphocytes activated by SmartDC-FRα and SmartDC-iRFP after coculture with target cells was examined (Figure 5(c)). The supernatants of effector T lymphocytes after coculture with target cells for 6 h were collected and subjected to ELISA. A notable increase in IFN-γ production of T lymphocytes activated by SmartDC-FRα after coculture with FRα-expressing BC cell lines was observed. However, it did not reach statistically significant change. The IFN-γ concentrations were 23,341.3 ± 29,646.86 pg/ml in MCF-7, and 68,862.09 ± 83,395.2 pg/ml in MDA-MB-231, but it was 64.83 ± 39.7 pg/ml in MCF10A (Figure 5(c)).

Lastly, the cytotoxicity of T lymphocytes activated by SmartDC-FRα in three-dimensional (3D) spheroid culture, which mimics the physiological features of BC as a solid tumor was studied. No difference between the sizes of FRα-negative MCF10A spheroid was observed when they were cocultured with any of the effector cells (Figure 6). However, reduction in size and breakage of MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 spheroids were observed after coculturing with T lymphocytes activated by SmartDC-FRα, but not with unactivated T lymphocytes or T lymphocyte

Figure 5. Cytotoxic function of T lymphocytes activated by SmartDC-FRα against cultured BC cell lines examined by fluorescence microscopy (a) and crystalline violet staining (b), and IFN-γ production of T lymphocytes activated by SmartDC-FRα after co-culture with target cells (c). a) The unactivated or activated T lymphocytes were co-cultured with target cell lines expressing mWasabi green fluorescence protein and after co-culturing at effector to target (E:T) ratio of 10:1 for 24 h images were captured by fluorescence microscopy. Target alone indicates target cells without co-culture with the T lymphocytes, unactivated T lymphocytes serve as negative control, and T lymphocytes activated by SmartDC-iRFP are irrelevant DC activation control. Representative images were shown with original magnification of 10x. b) Photograph of crystalline violet staining of target cell lines after co-culture with unactivated T lymphocytes, T lymphocytes activated by SmartDC-iRFP, and T lymphocytes activated by SmartDC-FRα at indicated E:T ratio for 24 h. c) IFN-γ production of unactivated T lymphocytes (white bar), T lymphocytes activated by SmartDC-iRFP (gray bar), and T lymphocytes activated by SmartDC-FRα (black bar) in response to co-culture with target cell lines at E:T ratio of 10:1 for 6 h quantitated by ELISA. Results were summarized from 3 independent experiments.
activated by SmartDC-iRFP (Figure 6), which demonstrate the specific cytotoxicity against FRα-expressing BC cells of T lymphocytes activated by SmartDC-FRα. Taken together, these results indicate the superiority and specificity of the cytotoxic function of T lymphocytes activated by SmartDC-FRα in BC.

Discussion

BC is a multifactorial disease with heterogeneous nature [32], and it remains an important challenge because its incidence and mortality rates continue to increase [33]. Several molecular subtypes of BC, including estrogen receptor (ER)-positive (luminal A and luminal B), HER2-enriched, and TNBC, have been classified [32]. These classifications direct BC management and steer treatment toward personalized strategy based on subtypes [32], which results in more favorable outcomes, especially in patients with early diagnosis. However, effective treatment in patients with TNBC and those who have

Figure 6. Cytotoxic function of T lymphocytes activated by SmartDC-FRα against BC spheroid cultures examined by fluorescence microscopy. (a) Representative fluorescence spheroid images of target cell lines expressing mWasabi green fluorescence protein were taken after 4 days of coculture with indicated effector cells at an effector to target ratio of 20:1. Target alone indicates target cells without the presence of T lymphocytes. Scale bars indicate 500 µm. Bar graph of relative fluorescence intensity of (b) MCF10A, (c) MCF-7, (d) MDA-MB-231 spheroids after cocultured with unactivated T lymphocytes (white bar), T lymphocytes activated by SmartDC-iRFP (gray bar), and T lymphocytes activated by SmartDC-FRα (black bar). Fluorescence intensity of target cell spheroids alone without cocultured with effector cells were used as control. Data is represented from 2 independent donors.
advanced or relapsed disease has not yet been achieved. Thus, a new and effective personalized therapy is still required [5].

Adoptive immune cells transfer represents a promising treatment strategy [7]. However, the direct adoptive transfer of DCs loaded with TAA into cancer patients was ineffective due to poor migration of adopted DCs to lymphoid organs with resulting in limited T cell activation [34]. Thus, recent studies have focused more on adoptive transfer of tumor-specific T lymphocytes ex vivo activated by DCs [10–16, 35]. Self-differentiated myeloid-derived antigen-presenting cells reactive against tumors (SmartDCs) platform was shown to be an effective ex vivo DC production and antigen presentation system. Enhanced anti-cancer functions of tumor-specific T lymphocytes activated by SmartDCs were evident [12–16], suggesting the potential use of this technology for BC treatment.

To evaluate the potential of SmartDCs technology in BC, we selected FRα as a target TAA for generation of SmartDCs because FRα is highly expressed on BC cells in all molecular subtypes [23], and has low expression in normal breast tissues. Our group also observed overexpression of FRα antigen in BC tissues as studied by immunohistochemistry staining [19]. We also found FRα to be only rarely expressed in normal breast-like MCF10A cell line, and highly expressed in luminal-type MCF-7 cell line and TNBC MDA-MB-231 cell line [19], which supports the results of previous studies [23, 36]. Although FRα expression was reported in normal tissues, such as choroid plexus, thyroid, lungs and renal proximal tubules, its expression was strictly located on the apical side of the cells which hindered the protein from ACT targeting FRα expressed on these normal tissues [37]. In addition, FRα-derived peptides were shown to bind to HLA molecules on DCs, leading to specific T lymphocyte stimulation, T lymphocyte expansion, and enhanced cytotoxic function of T lymphocytes against FRα-expressing cells [38]. All these findings support the suitability of FRα as a target TAA for generation of SmartDCs to activate T lymphocytes. Herein, SmartDCs expressing FRα antigen (SmartDC-FRα) were created using a lentiviral vector carrying tri-cistronic cDNAs encoding GM-CSF, IL-4, and FRα (Figure 1(a)) to transduce into human primary monocytes.

The tri-cistronic cDNAs encoding GM-CSF, IL-4, and FRα were interspaced with 2A self-cleaving peptide sequences to ensure individual protein expression [12–16]. After 7 days of transduction, the transduced cells exhibited protrusion dendrites (Figure 1(b)), which are typical features of DCs [12–16]. A similar level of lentiviral gene integration of SmartDC-FRα and SmartDC-iRFP was observed by qPCR (Figure 1(c)), and the production of each cytokine (GM-CSF or IL-4) in both SamrtDCs was comparable (Figure 1(d,e)). These results reflect the reproducibility of the SmartDC technology carrying different TAAs. A higher level of GM-CSF cytokine than that of IL-4 cytokine was found, which might be the result of ribosome drop-off along the 2A-spaced gene transcript [39]. Yet, this level of IL-4 cytokine was sufficient to drive the differentiation of monocytes into DCs, as indicated in the next experiment.

Immunophenotype of SmartDC-FRα was characterized in comparison with those of monocytes and Conv-DC (Figure 2). Both SmartDC-FRα and SmartDC-iRFP significantly downregulated CD14, which is a monocyte marker, to a similar level as Conv-DC when compared with that of monocytes (Figure 2(b,c)). The two SmartDCs also upregulated the CD11c DC marker and other immunologically relevant DC molecules (CD40, CD80, CD83, CD86, and HLA-DR), which indicated antigen processing and DC maturation [40]. Statistically significant increase of CD40 and CD80 expressions in the SmartDCs compared to monocytes was noted (Figure 2(b,c)). CD40 is reported to be upregulated on activated DCs [41]. Upon binding to its ligand, CD40L, on T lymphocytes, IL-12 cytokine production will be stimulated [41]. Secretion of IL-12 cytokine from the SmartDCs was also reported [16], which indicated the maturation of DCs that played a role in T lymphocyte activation [42]. Although GM-CSF and IL-4 cytokines alone cannot fully drive DC maturation, SmartDCs were previously reported to produce high levels of TNF-α and IL-8 cytokines, which could subsequently induce DC maturation [13].

The SmartDC-FRα was then used to activate autologous T lymphocytes. The lymphocytes and
their subsets were characterized by specific antibody staining and FACS (Figure 3). The lymphocytes activated by SmartDC-FRα displayed a modest increase in helper T cells (Th) compared to that of the unactivated group (Figure 3(a)), which might favor the generation of memory CTLs [43]. A slight decrease in B cells and significant reduction of NK cells after SmartDC-FRα activation was found (Figure 3(a)). This could be due to the culture medium being supplemented with IL-2, IL-7, and IL-15 for T lymphocyte expansion since this cytokine cocktail selectively promoted T lymphocyte proliferation and survival [44,45]. When SmartDC-activated CD3 + T lymphocytes were further classified into subgroups (Figure 3(b)), a substantial decrease in naïve T cells and an increase in terminal effector T cells (Ttem) was observed when compared with the unactivated T lymphocytes. Since unactivated T lymphocytes were not activated by DCs, and they were expanded in the same cytokine-supplemented medium as that of T lymphocytes activated by SmartDCs, these changes in naïve and Ttem population can be directed attributed to SmartDC activation.

The SmartDC-FRα was designed to endogenously express FRα, to perform self-antigen processing, and to present the antigenic peptides on major histocompatibility complex (MHC) molecules [46]. These peptide-MHC complexes interacted with T cell receptors on naïve T cells, which is referred to as activation of signal 1, resulting in T cell activation and expansion of CD4+ and CD8 + T cells [46]. The SmartDC-FRα also expressed a significant amount of costimulatory molecules (Figure 2(b,c)), which are required as signal 2 for complete T cell activation [46]. After stimulation, most naïve T cells differentiated into short-lived terminal effector cells, while a small proportion differentiated into memory cells [47], which might explain the higher population of Ttem in SmartDC-activated T lymphocytes observed in this study. Elucidation of SmartDC and T lymphocyte activation pathways may be of interest since mature DCs could promote naïve T cell differentiation into Th1, Th2, Th17, or Treg cells in a stimulus-dependent manner [46].

Specific and robust cytotoxic function of the T lymphocytes activated by SmartDC-FRα was demonstrated by luciferase-based assay in cultured cell lines (Figure 4). Although donors were selected based on the first 2 digits of HLA class I to match with those of target cell lines (Supplementary Table S1) [28], the mismatch of other HLA alleles might give rise to nonspecific cytotoxicity of effector cells. T lymphocytes activated by irrelevant SmartDC-iRFP were included to describe the nonspecific killing arisen from the mismatch HLA. In addition, the use of autologous immune cells in clinical settings would diminish this nonspecific cytotoxicity. Significant lysis of FRα-expressing BC cells was observed after coculture with T lymphocyte-activated SmartDC-FRα. Up to 89.7 ± 1.9% of MCF-7, and up to 84.9 ± 6.2% of MDA-MB-231 BC cells were lysed when cocultured with T lymphocyte-activated SmartDC-FRα at an E:T ratio of 20:1 (Figure 4(b,c)). However, this phenomenon was limited when the activated T lymphocytes were cocultured with normal breast-like FRα-negative MCF10A cells (Figure 4(a)). The results from luciferase-based assay were in agreement with those observed under fluorescence microscope (Figure 5(a)) and by crystal violet staining (Figure 5(b)). In addition, in response to coculture with FRα-expressing BC cells, the T lymphocytes activated by SmartDC-FRα substantially upregulated IFN-γ production, whereas a very low level of IFN-γ was detected when the activated T lymphocytes were cocultured with FRα-negative MCF10A cells (Figure 5(c)). The IFN-γ could be secreted from activated CD8 + T lymphocytes and Th1 CD4 + T lymphocytes as a result of FRα antigen recognition [48]. IFN-γ also functions together with perforin and granzyme B to induce cancer cell apoptosis [49].

We have further evaluated the cytotoxicity of T lymphocytes activated by SmartDC-FRα by using 3D spheroid culture, which better reflect the solid and heterogeneity nature of BC than monolayer culture (Figure 6). The similarities of spheroid model to human tumors in terms of proliferative behavior and tumor microenvironment were reported [50,51]. The FRα-expressing MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 spheroids were disrupted when cocultured with T lymphocytes activated by SmartDC-FRα (Figure 6). This spheroid disruption was not observed when the T lymphocytes activated by SmartDC-FRα was cocultured with FRα-negative MCF10A cells (Figure 6), which confirm the specific
cytotoxicity against FRα-expressing BC cells of the SmartDC-FRα activated T lymphocytes.

Rational combination of BC treatment modalities was strongly suggested [5]. Due to the solid nature of BC, immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment (TME) might hamper the function of adoptive effector immune cells. Immune regulation in the TME was also reported as a prognosis for BC [52], suggesting vital role of TME in BC treatment. At present, the use of immune checkpoint inhibitors, such as anti-programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) and anti-cytotoxic T-lymphocyte-associated protein-4 (CTLA-4) antibodies, in combination with standard treatments, such as chemotherapy and radiotherapy, is being evaluated in clinical trials with promising results [53]. Chemotherapy and radiotherapy help to optimize BC antigen presentation, which facilitates a proper anti-tumor immune response [54]. Thus, it is of great interest to explore the potential use of T lymphocyte-activated SmartDC-FRα in combination with standard approaches or other targeted therapies, such as immune checkpoint blockade, to enhance the efficacy of T lymphocytes and overcome immunosuppression in the TME.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the SmartDC-FRα was efficiently produced from human primary monocytes by lentiviral system. The SmartDC-FRα could induce specific T lymphocyte response and enhance their cytotoxic function against FRα-expressing BC cells. The results of this proof-of-concept study strongly suggest the efficacy of cytotoxic T lymphocytes activated by SmartDC-FRα for BC treatment.
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