Increased Cancer Burden Among Pesticide Applicators and Others Due to Pesticide Exposure
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A growing number of well-designed epidemiological and molecular studies provide substantial evidence that the pesticides used in agricultural, commercial, and home and garden applications are associated with excess cancer risk. This risk is associated both with those applying the pesticide and, under some conditions, those who are simply bystanders to the application. In this article, the epidemiological, molecular biology, and toxicological evidence emerging from recent literature assessing the link between specific pesticides and several cancers including prostate cancer, non-Hodgkin lymphoma, leukemia, multiple myeloma, and breast cancer are integrated. Although the review is not exhaustive in its scope or depth, the literature does strongly suggest that the public health problem is real. If we are to avoid the introduction of harmful chemicals into the environment in the future, the integrated efforts of molecular biology, pesticide toxicology, and epidemiology are needed to help identify the human carcinogens and thereby improve our understanding of human carcinogenicity and reduce cancer risk. CA Cancer J Clin 2013;63:120–142. © 2013 American Cancer Society.*
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Introduction

A comprehensive and successful strategy for minimizing cancer risk from pesticide use should combine research initiatives aimed at identifying pesticides that are human carcinogens with policies that attempt to reduce these exposures to workers and the general public. In this discussion, pesticides are defined as a diverse group of chemical formulations used to control pests, including insects, molds, and unwanted plants.

Pest problems in public health (ie, vectors of disease), agriculture, and commerce are not static because pests develop resistance to widely used pesticides and are periodically introduced to new geographic areas without effective natural controls. Historically, the evolution of new pests has resulted in the development of new pesticides, followed shortly thereafter by new pesticide problems, such as pest resistance and unintended toxicities. In the United States and other developed countries, regulatory toxicity testing has kept many genotoxic chemicals and animal carcinogens out of the market place.¹ An incomplete understanding of human carcinogenicity, however, seems to have resulted in allowing some human carcinogens on to the worldwide market, resulting in excess cancer risk to those who are highly exposed and those who are particularly vulnerable.²,³ For example, an International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) monograph published in 1991 stated, “occupational exposures in spraying and application of non-arsenical insecticides” as a group are classified as “probable human carcinogens” (category 2A),² yet the identification of specific pesticides as human carcinogens has not yet been made. If current regulatory toxicity testing has been inadequate, new data from toxicology and cancer biology will need to be used in conjunction with epidemiology to help improve our regulatory procedures and more reliably identify human carcinogens.

Rather than wait for human carcinogens to be identified, several European countries, including Sweden, Denmark, the Netherlands, and others, have initiated pesticide use reduction policies that have resulted in substantially diminished...
pesticide use overall. In the United States, a nationwide use reduction policy has met with resistance politically because of disagreements about the net benefit to health and debate concerning the disproportionate economic impact of these policies on selected groups (eg, farmers, food processors, and pesticide manufacturers) and on food prices. The information available for these policy debates on cost-benefit are not yet equal since identifying the impact of pesticides on cancer risk has been difficult and progress relatively slow, while estimating the immediate economic impact of pesticide use reduction policies on agriculture and commerce is more readily quantifiable. Since pesticides are pervasive in our environment, environmental health policy in the United States has instead focused on reducing human exposure to pesticides by controlling the methods and conditions of use.

The active ingredients of pesticides are a very diverse array of chemical structures. Many pesticide structures are very complex and cannot be categorized simply. A convenient classification is based on the targeted pest (eg, herbicides, insecticides, fungicides, nematicides, and rodenticides). The classes may then be subdivided into smaller subclasses based on chemical structure. Herbicides account for the largest portion of total use, followed by other pesticides, insecticides, and fungicides. The amount of pesticide used in the US in both 2006 and 2007 exceeded 1.1 billion pounds.

![Table 1](image-url)
The amount of pesticide used in the US accounted for 22% of the total world pesticide amount used, 25% of the world herbicide amount used, 10% of the world insecticide amount used, 14% of the world fungicide amount used, and more than 25% of other pesticide amounts used in both years. Among members of the general public who are not applying pesticides, multiple routes of exposure are possible depending on whether the individual is an adult or a child, the location of their residence in relation to pesticide applications, whether a residence was treated with pesticides, the occupations of household members, the volatility of the compound, the persistence of the pesticides

### TABLE 2. Most Commonly Used Conventional Pesticide Active Ingredient in the Home and Garden Market Sector, 2007 and 2005 Estimates, Ranked by Range in Millions of Pounds of Active Ingredient

| ACTIVE INGREDIENT | TYPE    | CHEMICAL CLASS | RANK | RANGE    |
|-------------------|---------|----------------|------|----------|
| 2,4-D             | Herbicide | Phenoxy acid  | 1    | 8-11     |
| Glyphosate        | Herbicide | Phosphinic acid| 2    | 5-8      |
| Carbaryl          | Insecticide | Carbamate    | 3    | 4-6      |
| MCP              | Herbicide | Phenoxy acid  | 4    | 4-6      |
| Pendimethalin     | Herbicide | Dinitroaniline| 5    | 3-5      |
| Pyrethroids       | Insecticide | Pyrethroid     | 6    | 2-4      |
| Malathion         | Insecticide | Organophosphorus| 7    | 2-4      |
| Dicamba           | Herbicide | Benzoic acid  | 8    | 1-3      |
| Trifluralin       | Herbicide | Dinitroaniline| 9    | 1-3      |
| Pelarganoc acid   | Herbicide | Fatty acid    | 10   | <1       |

2,4-D indicates 2,4-Dichlorophenoxyacetic acid; MCP, methylchlorophenoxypropionic acid.

Does not include moth controls: paradichlorobenzene (30-35 million pounds per year) and naphthalene (2-4 million pounds per year). Also does not include insect repellent N,N-diethyl-meta-toluamide (5-7 millions pound per year).

Source: US Environmental Protection Agency Office of Pesticide Programs. Pesticide Industry Sales and Usage. 2006 and 2007 Market Estimates. Washington, DC: US Environmental Protection Agency; 2007. Available from: epa.gov/pesticides/pestsales/07pestsales/usage2007_2.htm. Accessed November 27, 2012.

### TABLE 3. Most Commonly Used Conventional Pesticide Active Ingredients in the Industry/Commercial/Government Market Sector, 2007, 2005, 2003, and 2001 Estimates, Ranked by Range in Millions of Pounds of Active Ingredient

| ACTIVE INGREDIENT | TYPE    | CHEMICAL CLASS | RANK | RANGE    |
|-------------------|---------|----------------|------|----------|
| 2,4-D             | Herbicide | Phenoxy acid  | 1    | 19-22    |
| Glyphosate        | Herbicide | Phosphinic acid| 2    | 13-15    |
| Chlorothalonil    | Fungicide | Phthalimide   | 3    | 3-5      |
| MSMA              | Herbicide | Organoarsenic | 4    | 2-4      |
| Diuron            | Herbicide | Urea          | 5    | 2-4      |
| Pendimethalin     | Herbicide | Dinitroaniline| 6    | 2-4      |
| Triclopyr         | Herbicide | Organochlorine| 7    | 2-4      |
| Copper sulfate    | Fungicide | Inorganic sulfate| 8    | 2-4      |
| Malathion         | Insecticide | Organophosphorous| 9    | 1-3      |
| Sulfuryl fluoride | Insecticide | Inorganic fluoride| 10   | 1-3      |

2,4-D indicates 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid; MSMA, monosodium methyl arsenate.

Includes applications to homes and gardens by professional applicators. Does not include sulfur or petroleum oil. Due to lack of data, the same estimate is used for both 2005 and 2007 in this report.

Source: US Environmental Protection Agency Office of Pesticide Programs. Pesticide Industry Sales and Usage. 2006 and 2007 Market Estimates. Washington, DC: US Environmental Protection Agency; 2007. Available from: epa.gov/pesticides/pestsales/07pestsales/usage2007_2.htm. Accessed November 27, 2012.
in the environment, and several other chemical and physical properties of the pesticides (Table 4).\textsuperscript{3,5-18} Pesticide applications to the home by a second party can result in both dermal and respiratory exposure. Other common routes of exposure to the general public include drinking water and dietary sources.\textsuperscript{6} To minimize nonoccupational exposures to pesticides, EPA regulations have discouraged the use of the longer-lasting pesticides such as organophosphate (OP) insecticides in the home.\textsuperscript{5} A trend toward the use of pyrethroids and other shorter-lived pesticides is resulting in lower OP exposures among the general public.\textsuperscript{5}

The National Academy of Sciences\textsuperscript{3} suggested that children may experience greater risk from pesticide exposure than adults because of the behavioral, dietary, and physiological characteristics associated with development. Among children, an important source of pesticide exposure results from diet\textsuperscript{7}; for example, the consumption of organic produce is associated with a substantially lower concentration of urinary dialkylphosphate levels (which indicate organophosphorus pesticide exposure) than in those eating conventional foods\textsuperscript{7,8} but we do not have substantial evidence suggesting a cancer hazard associated with this exposure.\textsuperscript{9} Another important source of pesticide

### TABLE 4. Routes of Pesticide Exposure and Exposure Control Measures

| SUBJECT | MAJOR ROUTES OF EXPOSURE | PREVENTIVE OR CORRECTIVE ACTION | REFERENCES |
|---------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|------------|
| Pesticide applicator | Dermal | 1. Use personal protective equipment including chemically resistant gloves.<br>2. Remove all pesticide-soiled clothing as soon as possible.<br>3. Wash or shower immediately following application.<br>4. Follow all pesticide label instructions. | 14-18 |
| | Ingestion | 1. Do not eat, drink, or smoke during pesticide handling or application. | 17 |
| | Inhalation | 1. Mix or load pesticides in a well-ventilated area.<br>2. Wear appropriate respiratory protective equipment according to pesticide label instructions. | 14,17 |
| Adult bystander and children’s guardians | Dermal | 1. Do not enter fields, lawns, or confined spaces where pesticides have been applied for the period specified on label instructions.<br>2. Interrupt take-home pathways:<br>a. Encourage family members to remove shoes and other pesticide-soiled clothing outside the home if possible or as soon as possible after entering the home.<br>b. Vacuum rug and/or clean floors if possibly soiled with pesticides.<br>c. Do not store pesticides in living areas or anywhere within the reach of children. Keep all pesticides in a locked cabinet in a well-ventilated utility area or garden shed.<br>3. Keep children and pets away from areas where pesticides were applied.<br>4. Encourage family members exposed to pesticides to wash or shower as soon as possible after exposure.<br>5. Do not have pets enter the living areas of the home when soiled with pesticides until cleaned.<br>6. Wash clothing soiled with pesticides separately from other laundry. | 6-13 |
| | Ingestion | 1. Never store pesticides in cabinets with or near food.<br>2. Always store pesticides in their original containers, complete with labels that list ingredients, directions for use, and first aid in case of accidental exposure.<br>3. Never transfer pesticides to soft drink bottles or other containers.<br>4. Rinse fruits and vegetables with water. Scrub with a brush and peel them if possible. | 3,5,6,9,10,13 |
| | Inhalation/general | 1. Do not stockpile pesticides. Purchase only what you need for immediate application.<br>2. Follow the pesticide label directions for proper disposal.<br>3. Report any symptoms possibly related to pesticide exposure to your health care provider. When possible, report the name of the product, the ingredients, and the first aid instructions contained on the product label.<br>4. If a close neighbor or someone else is applying pesticides outdoors near your home, stay indoors with your children and pets. Keep windows and doors closed. | 3,6,14,17 |
| Regulatory agencies, scientific community, and chemical manufacturers | All | 1. Identify human carcinogens and remove them from the market place or greatly curtail their use.<br>2. Identify the persistence and accumulation potential of pesticides and reduce the use of long-lived pesticides wherever possible.<br>3. Identify good pesticide work practices and educate the public in these practices.<br>4. Design more effective pesticide containers and application equipment that minimize pesticide exposure to the applicator and to children who may come into contact with these containers. | 3,5,6 |
exposure results from the transfer of pesticides from a person who is occupationally exposed. For example, urinary dialkylphosphate levels have been measured in studies of children and show parental occupation or their household proximity to farmland and self-reported residential use of pesticides by parents are important sources of childhood exposure (Table 4). Among adults applying liquid pesticides of low volatility, dermal exposures typically account for 90% of pesticide exposures. The dermal penetration can vary between 2% and 20% if the pesticide is left on the skin for 8 hours or longer, and therefore the use of proper protective equipment including chemical-resistant gloves and protective suits when handling the pesticide can substantially reduce exposure. When the skin is immediately washed after pesticide use, a substantial additional reduction takes place. A larger fraction of the exposure would be by the respiratory route among those applying more volatile pesticides (eg, flying insect spray) and other aerosols, and thus respiratory protection appropriate to the chemical being used is usually recommended (Table 4).

To minimize nonoccupational exposures to pesticides, EPA regulations have discouraged the use of the longer-lasting and broad-spectrum pesticides. The lipophilic bioaccumulative organochlorine (OC) insecticides that were widely used in the mid-20th century were subsequently replaced by OPs, carbamates, and pyrethroids because these compounds were more environmentally labile and did not accumulate in the food chain to the same extent as the OCs. Moreover, compounds such as pyrethroids have become extremely attractive for pest control because they exhibit greater selective lethality toward insects compared with mammals. Importantly, when humans are exposed to pyrethroids, OPs, and carbamates, the compounds are generally metabolized and eliminated from the body within 24 to 48 hours as water-soluble metabolites in urine. Physiologically based pharmacokinetic models that predict the internal dose of specific pesticides as a function of time are tools used to assess chemical dosimetry following exposures, although these models are more developed in animal studies than in humans.

Since a total ban on the use of chemical pesticides is unlikely to happen in any country in the foreseeable future, ensuring cancer risk reduction from pesticides will depend on identifying pesticides that are human carcinogens. This review is not exhaustive, but rather it is focused on the mechanisms by which these pesticides may impact cancer risk. The mechanisms that alter their expression in tumors have been shown to be human carcinogens by synthesizing results from epidemiology, toxicology, and cancer biology. Although more than 800 active pesticide ingredients are currently on the market in the United States and other countries, only arsenical insecticides and 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD) (a contaminant of the phenoxy herbicide 2,4,5-T) have been identified as human carcinogens by the IARC (category 1). However, literature developed subsequent to publication of the IARC monograph suggests that chemicals in every major functional class of pesticides (ie, insecticides, herbicides, fungicides, and fumigants) are associated with human cancer. Table 5 presents a list of pesticides that have been carefully evaluated in well-designed epidemiological and/toxicological/cancer biology studies for human carcinogenicity of the prostate, NHL, adult leukemia, and multiple myeloma. While we discuss a potential link between pesticides and breast cancer and childhood leukemia because of widespread public anxiety about these cancers, no specific pesticide has yet been strongly linked to these cancers and therefore we do not include them in our table. The list is not exhaustive and considers only these 4 cancer sites because the literature is most developed for these sites. Other chemicals are likely to emerge as our understanding of pesticide-induced mechanisms of cancer etiology expands.

Mechanisms of Pesticide Toxicity

Pesticides have diverse chemical structures and exhibit a variety of biological modes of action in both target and nontarget organisms. Following absorption into the body, pesticides are often biotransformed to water-soluble metabolites for the purpose of detoxification and elimination. Rates of biotransformation can be rapid (hours to days), as in the case of OP insecticides, or extremely slow (years to decades), as is noted for OC insecticides, which accounts for the bioaccumulation of these lipophilic compounds in adipose tissue. Multiple mechanisms are likely involved in pesticide-mediated carcinogenesis. Most of the published literature point toward oxidative stress and/or receptor-mediated mechanisms being important determinants, whereas inflammatory and aberrant epigenetic mechanisms caused by pesticide exposure are only in a preliminary stage of development and, consequently, there is not a lot of literature to support these mechanisms at this time. However, epigenetic modifications of tumor suppressor genes and oncogenes that alter their expression in tumors have been shown to be molecular drivers of cancer pathogenesis during the promotion and progression phases. Thus, this section will briefly focus on oxidative stress and receptor-mediated toxicities that are caused by pesticides.
| CANCER SITE | PESTICIDE | CURRENT US EPA REGULATORY STATUS | IARC CLASSIFICATION (YEAR) | EXPOSURE SOURCE | EPIDEMIOLOGIC EVIDENCE | REFERENCE | TOXICOLOGICAL EVIDENCE | REFERENCE |
|-------------|-----------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|----------|------------------------|----------|
| Prostate    | Fonofos (OP) | Not registered                    | Not evaluated             | Occupational    | 1. Monotonic increase in risk of aggressive PC. \ 2. Significant interaction between exposure and genetic variants in 8q24, base excision repair, nucleotide excision repair. | 25, 23, 24, 91 | No direct evidence for PC. \  Mutagenic in S. typhimurium and S. cerevisiae genotoxicity assays. | —        |
|             | Terbufos (OP) | Registered                        | Not evaluated             | Occupational    | 1. Monotonic increase in risk of aggressive PC. \ 2. Significant interaction between exposure and genetic variants in 8q24, base excision repair. | 25, 23, 24 | No direct evidence for PC. \  Mutagenic in S. typhimurium and S. cerevisiae genotoxicity assays. | —        |
|             | Malathion (OP) | Registered                        | Group 3 (1987)            | Occupational    | 1. Monotonic increase in risk of aggressive PC. \ 2. Positively associated with PC. | 25, 102 | No direct evidence for PC. | —        |
|             | Permethrin (pyrethroid) | Registered                        | Not evaluated             | Occupational    | 1. Significant interaction between exposure and genetic variants in 8q24, base excision repair. | 24 | No direct evidence for PC. | —        |
|             | Aldrin (OC) | Not registered                    | Group 3 (1987)            | Occupational    | 1. Increased in risk of PC among men with a family history of PC. | 25 | No direct evidence for PC; \  Hepatocarcinogenesis in mice through a nongenotoxic mode of action. | —        |
|             | Chlordane (OC) | Not registered                    | Group 2B (1987)           | Environmental   | 1. Increased risk of PC in highest exposure tertile. | 29 | Androgenic activity in cultured prostate cells. | —        |
|             | Lindane (HCH) | Not registered                    | Group 2B (1987)           | Environmental   | 1. Serum concentrations positively associated with prevalence of PC. \ 2. Positively associated with PC. | 32, 102 | Low levels of HCH alter androgen signaling in cultured prostate cells. \  Lindane induces micronuclei in cultured human prostate cells. | —        |
|             | DDT (OC) | Not registered                    | Group 3 (1999)            | Occupational    | 1. Positively associated with PC. | 102 | DOE (environmental metabolite of DDT) can bind to androgen receptor in cultured prostate cells. | —        |
|             | Dieldrin (OC) | Not registered                    | Group 3 (1987)            | Environmental   | 1. Serum concentrations positively associated with prevalence of PC. | 32 | No direct evidence for PC. \  Induces oxidative stress and hepatocarcinogenic in mice through a nongenotoxic mode of action. \  Disrupt normal estrogen and androgen receptor function in cultured cells. | —        |
|             | Simazine (triazine) | Registered                       | Group 3 (1999)            | Occupational    | 1. Positively associated with PC. | 102 | No direct evidence for PC. | —        |
|             | Atrazine (triazine) | Registered                       | Group 3 (1999)            | Occupational    | 1. Not associated with PC. | 105 | No direct evidence for PC. | —        |
|             | Methyl bromide (methyl halide) | Registered                       | Group 3 (1999)            | Environmental   | 1. Positively associated with PC. | 35 | Mutagenic in bacterial assays. \  DNA adducts (O6-methylguanine) detected in rodent forestomach and liver. | —        |
|             | Oxychlorodane (metabolite of Chlordane, an OC) | Not registered                  | Group 2B (2001)           | Environmental   | 1. No association with PC. | 38-41 | No direct evidence for PC. | —        |
|             | HCB (OC) | Not registered                    | Group 2B (2001)           | Environmental   | 1. No association with PC. \ 2. Positively associated with PC. | 40, 113, 39 | Low levels of HCB enhance androgen signaling in cultured prostate cells and mouse prostate. | —        |
|             | Mirex (OC) | Not registered                    | Group 2B (1987)           | Environmental   | 1. No association with PC. | 40 | No direct evidence for PC. | —        |
|             | NHL Lindane (HCH) | Not registered                    | Group 2B (1987)           | Environmental   | 1. Positively associated with NHL with t(14:18). \ 2. Positively associated with NHL. | 43, 52, 44 | No direct evidence for NHL. | —        |
|             | Dieldrin (OC) | Not registered                    | Group 3 (1987)            | Environmental   | 1. Positively associated with NHL with t(14:18). \ 2. Positively associated with NHL. \ 3. No association with NHL. | 43, 52, 54, 126 | No direct evidence for NHL. \  Increased CYP1A and 1B expression in female rat liver, kidney, and mammary tissue. | —        |
|             | Toxaphene (OC) | Not registered                    | Group 2B (2001)           | Environmental   | 1. Positively associated with NHL with t(14:18). | 43, 52 | No direct evidence for NHL. | —        |
| CANCER SITE | PESTICIDE | CURRENT US EPA REGULATORY STATUS | IARC CLASSIFICATION (YEAR) | EXPOSURE SOURCE | EPIDEMIOLOGIC EVIDENCE | REFERENCE | TOXICOLOGICAL EVIDENCE | REFERENCE |
|-------------|-----------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|----------|-----------------------|----------|
| 2,4-D (phenoxy herbicide) | Registered | Group 2B (1987) | Occupational | 1. Positively associated with NHL. 2. No association with NHL. | 121 | 62,123,124 | No direct evidence for NHL. | — 50 |
| MCPA (phenoxy herbicide) | Registered | Group 2B (1987) | Occupational | 1. Positively associated with NHL. 2. No association with NHL among those with asthma or hay fever. | 47 | 61 | No direct evidence for NHL. | — |
| α-Hexachlorobenzene (a metabolite of HCB; chlorinated hydrocarbon) | Not registered | Group 2B (2001) | Environmental | 1. Plasma concentrations positively associated with NHL. | 127 | 46,48,54,55,58,60,126 | No direct evidence for NHL. | — |
| HCB (OC) | Not registered | Group 2B (2001) | Environmental | 1. No association with NHL. 2. Plasma concentrations positively associated with NHL. | 46,48,54,55,58,60,126 | 127 | No direct evidence for NHL. | — |
| TCDD (OC) | Not registered | Group 1 (2012) | Occupational | 1. Positively associated with NHL mortality. | 45 | — | Increased CYPIA and 1B expression in female rat liver, kidney, and mammary tissue. | — 50 |
| DDT (OC) | Not registered | Group 2B (1991) | Environmental | 1. Positively associated with NHL. 2. No association with NHL. | 48,55,60,126,127 | 46,54,56,59,131 | No direct evidence for NHL. | — |
| Chlordane/oxychlordane (OC) | Not registered | Group 2B (2001) | Environmental | 1. Positively associated with NHL. 2. No association with NHL. | 55,60,126,127 | 48,54,58 | No direct evidence for NHL. | — |
| Glyphosate (OP herbicide) | Registered | Not evaluated | Occupational | 1. Positively associated with NHL. | 47 | — | No direct evidence for NHL. | — |
| Atrazine (triazine) | Registered | Group 3 (1999) | Occupational | 1. Superadditive effect in combination with alachlor, diazinon, and carbofuran. 2. Positively associated with NHL with t(14:18). | 128 | 52 | No direct evidence for NHL. | — |
| Mirex (OC) | Not registered | Group 2B (1987) | Environmental | 1. Positively associated with NHL. 2. No association with NHL. | 127 | 46 | No direct evidence for NHL. | — |
| Adult leukemia | Fonofos (OP) | Not registered | Not evaluated | Occupational | 1. Positively associated with leukemia. | 148 | — | No direct evidence for leukemia. | — |
| | Diazinon (OP) | Registered | Not evaluated | Occupational | 1. Positively associated with leukemia. | 149 | — | No direct evidence for leukemia. | — |
| | Metribuzin (triazinone herbicide) | Registered | Not evaluated | Occupational | 1. Positively associated with leukemia. | 150 | — | No direct evidence for leukemia. | — |
| | Alachlor (aniline herbicide) | Registered | Not evaluated | Occupational | 1. Positively associated in the highest-exposure category only. | 151 | — | No direct evidence for leukemia. | — |
| | EPTC (thiocarbamate) | Registered | Not evaluated | Occupational | 1. Positively associated in the highest-exposure category only. | 65 | — | No direct evidence for leukemia. | — |
| | Chlordane/heptachlor (OC) | Not registered | Group 2B (2001) | Occupational | 1. Positively associated with leukemia. | 44 | — | No direct evidence for leukemia. | — |
| | Permethrin (pyrethroid insecticide) | Registered | Group 3 (1991) | Occupational | 1. Positively associated with MM. | 156 | — | No direct evidence for MM. | — |
| | Captan (phthalimide fungicide) | Registered | Group 3 (1987) | Occupational | 1. Positively associated with MM. | 186 | — | No direct evidence for MM. | — |
| | Carbaryl (carbamate insecticide) | Registered | Group 3 (1987) | Occupational | 1. Positively associated with MM. | 186 | — | No direct evidence for MM. | — |

EPA indicates Environmental Protection Agency; IARC, International Agency for Research on Cancer; OP, organophosphate; PC, prostate cancer; S. typhimurium; Salmonella typhimurium; S. cerevisiae, Saccharomyces cerevisiae; OC, organochlorine; HCH, hexachlorocyclohexane; DDT, dichloro-diphenyl-trichloroethane; DDE, dichlorodiphenyldichloroethylene; HCB, hexachlorobenzene; NHL, non-Hodgkin lymphoma; CYP1A/1B, cytochrome P450 1A/1B; 2,4-D, 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid; MCPA, 2-methyl-4-chlorophenoxyacetic acid; TCDD, 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin; EPTC, S-ethyl,N,N-diisopropylthiocarbamate; MM, multiple myeloma.

*Regulation status was obtained from the Pesticide Action Network Pesticides Database (pesticideinfo.org [accessed October 20, 2012]).

IARC classifications are as follows: group 1: carcinogenic to humans; group 2A, probably carcinogenic to humans; group 2B, possibly carcinogenic to humans; group 3, not classifiable regarding its carcinogenicity to humans; and group 4: probably not carcinogenic to humans.
**Oxidative Stress**

Exposure to pesticides may cause the net production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in tissues when antioxidant defense mechanisms are overwhelmed. ROS are often free radicals (i.e., oxygen-containing species containing an unpaired electron, such as superoxide [O$_2^-$] and hydroxyl radical [OH]), which renders them highly unstable in a chemical sense. There are generally 4 mechanisms by which pesticides can increase the levels of ROS, such as superoxide (Fig. 1). However, regardless of the mechanism by which ROS are produced, a consequence of their overproduction is that they can cause extensive DNA and protein damage in cells. Although the oxidative stress hypothesis of pesticide-induced cancers is attractive, several unanswered questions remain and many details need to be filled in. For example, are tumor suppressor genes or oncogenes specifically targeted by ROS generated by pesticide exposure, thus contributing to disease? Moreover, the identification of specific biomarkers that can distinguish between pesticide exposure, oxidative stress, and disease are needed to establish the links between pesticides and disease endpoints.

**Steroid and Xenobiotic Receptors and Pesticides: Endocrine Disruption and Xenobiotic Metabolism**

Although most pesticides on the market are not mutagenic in genotoxicity assays such as the Ames mutagenicity test, there is increasing epidemiological evidence of links between pesticide exposure and cancer. Therefore, it is logical to hypothesize alternative mechanisms of action by which pesticides might contribute to cancer beyond canonical DNA damage and mutagenic mechanisms. Endocrine disruptors are chemicals found in the environment (xenochemicals) that block or mimic hormone action, contributing to a wide range of pathologies. They are found in many products, including pesticides. Many xenochemicals can bind to and displace endogenous ligands for the steroid nuclear receptor family, which includes protein receptors that bind to the sex hormones estrogen...
and androgen, thus aberrantly activating receptor function and leading to changes in gene expression networks.\textsuperscript{74,75} Inappropriate activation of androgen and estrogen receptors by pesticides is one hypothesis that might contribute to the excess cancer burden caused by pesticides, particularly the contribution of hydrophobic OCs to prostate and breast cancer risk. Therefore, although pesticides might not be genotoxic per se, their ability to bind steroid and xenobiotic receptors may cause significant alterations in gene expression programs that modulate the carcinogenic activities of common environmental pollutants.

### Biomarkers Relevant to Pesticide-Induced Cancers

Biomarkers of exposure, genetic susceptibility, and biological effects such as oxidative stress and DNA damage relevant to pesticide-induced cancers are presented in Table 6.\textsuperscript{23,76-91} This list is not exhaustive but highlights both established markers of pesticide exposure (such as cholinesterase activity) and emerging biomarkers (such as the “challenge assay,” which assesses the DNA repair capacity of cells). It should be noted that most of these biomarkers are not used in the clinic at present; however, they have usefulness in research studies that aim to determine the etiology of cancers that have been linked to agrochemical exposure.

### Cell-Based and Animal Studies to Establish Biomarkers of Pesticide Toxicity

The use of cultured animal and human cells allows high-throughput assays of pesticide toxicity to be assessed at much lower cost compared with whole-animal studies and without the ethical constraints that limit human studies. The purpose of these high-throughput cell-based assays is not to completely replace in vivo studies. Rather, it is a screening process to prioritize the environmental chemicals that will be tested in whole-animal studies. This approach has been embraced by the US EPA and National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences National Toxicology Program to establish the most important environmental chemicals to focus on and to conserve resources.\textsuperscript{92} However, effective risk characterization of pesticides will require the integration of in vitro studies, in vivo studies, and epidemiological evidence in order to provide the best protection of public health.

In the US EPA’s ToxCast research program, part of the phase 1 study examined 309 chemicals (mostly pesticides) in a high-throughput genotoxicity assay that measured the activity of the p53 transcription factor, which is activated upon DNA damage.\textsuperscript{93} As expected, only a small fraction of the tested compounds gave positive hits (10%); a full listing of the chemicals found to be genotoxic can be found at the EPA ToxCast Web site (epa.gov/ncct/toxcast/ accessed November 27, 2012). A caveat to this study is that this high-throughput screen lacked a metabolic activation.

### Table 6. Biomarkers of Exposure, Oxidative Stress, DNA Damage, and Genetic Susceptibility Relevant to Pesticide-Induced Cancers

| BIOMARKER          | ANALYTE OR ENZYME ACTIVITY ASSayed | BIOLOGICAL FLUID/SAMPLE USED | REFERENCES |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|
| Pesticide exposure | Biomonitoring of pesticides and their metabolites | Urine, serum, plasma | 76         |
|                    | Blood cholinesterase activity and mass spectrometric detection of OP-adducted cholinesterases | | 77         |
| Oxidative stress   | Malondialdehyde, F2-isoprostanes, thiobarbituric acid-reactive substances | Urine, serum, plasma | 78-81      |
|                    | Catalase and SOD activities | | 78         |
|                    | 8-oxo- or 8-OH-deoxyguanosine | RBCs | 82         |
| DNA damage         | Alkaline comet assay, micronuclei, chromosomal aberrations, sister chromatid exchange | Blood lymphocytes | 83-85      |
|                    | 8-oxo- or 8-OH-deoxyguanosine | Urine | 86,87      |
|                    | Apurinic/apyrimidinic endonuclease activity | Blood lymphocytes | 83         |
|                    | “Challenge” assay (DNA repair phenotype) | Blood lymphocytes | 88         |
| Genetic susceptibility | Paraoxonase 1 polymorphism | Lipoproteins (HDL) | 89,90      |
|                    | Glutathione transferase, cytochrome P450 polymorphisms | Blood lymphocytes | 23,90      |
|                    | Base excision repair polymorphism | Blood lymphocytes | 23         |
|                    | Nucleotide excision repair polymorphism | Blood lymphocytes | 91         |

OP indicates organophosphate; SOD, superoxide dismutase; RBCs, red blood cells; HDL, high-density lipoprotein.
system, which might have caused false-negative results to be reported, and positive hits were found at high concentrations of 12.5 μM or higher. With respect to the ability of pesticides to enhance ROS production in cells, high concentrations (approximately 50-100 μM) of organophosphorus pesticides were shown to induce oxidative stress and reduce the activity of antioxidant enzymes in cultured PC-12 cells, which is an in vitro model of dopaminergic neurons.94 Evidence of DNA damage was also evident in this study. Moreover, these toxic effects could be ameliorated by vitamin E supplementation. However, except for deliberate poisoning episodes, it is highly unlikely that humans would ever be exposed to such high supraphysiological concentrations of pesticide. An earlier study, also using PC-12 cells treated with pesticides (endrin, chlordane, alachlor, fenthion, and chlorpyrifos) but at a much lower concentration (100 nM), demonstrated increased levels of DNA single-strand breaks compared with untreated cells when assessed by the alkaline elution method.95 Cultured neuroblastoma cells (SH-SY5Y) exposed to fipronil, a phenylpyrazole insecticide, exhibited elevated amounts of ROS and were more likely to undergo apoptosis (cell suicide) compared with untreated cells.96 Apoptosis was found to correlate with the extent of oxidative stress caused by the fipronil. Thus, these representative descriptive reports do suggest that pesticides can enhance levels of ROS in cultured cells. However, mechanistic information in this area is sparse and much more work is required.

In whole-animal studies, enhanced ROS production and lipid peroxidation in Sprague-Dawley rat liver and brain was found following treatments with the pesticides endrin, chlordane, alachlor, fenthion, or chlorpyrifos.95,96 In addition, DNA single-strand breaks were also elevated in the livers and brains of pesticide-treated rats. Thus, oxidative stress can be elicited in cultured cells and intact animals by pesticides that have very different chemical structures. There is no chemical similarity between OPs (eg, chlorpyrifos) and OCs (eg, chlordane) and thus it is unlikely that these different classes of pesticides elicit toxicities through a common mode of action. This again highlights the complexity of studying the biological effects of pesticides and trying to find common mechanisms of action. Future studies will need to become more systematic in their approach to selecting pesticides for further mechanistic study. Moreover, animal studies occasionally give conflicting results, even for chemicals thought to exhibit well-defined mechanisms of toxicity. For example, paraquat is well known to induce oxidative stress in human lung, and an in vivo study using rats demonstrated that paraquat could significantly enhance the production of 8-OH-deoxyguanosine, particularly in the brain, lung, and heart.97 However, in another study, no significant effects on the level of oxidized deoxyguanosine in rat liver, lung, or urine were found following a single intraperitoneal injection of 20 mg/kg of paraquat compared with untreated controls.98 Therefore, these examples highlight the discordance that often exists between animal and human studies, and the challenge that epidemiologists and toxicologists face when trying to reconcile such conflicting reports.

**Exposure to Pesticides and Select Cancer Sites**

A growing body of epidemiological, molecular biology, and toxicological evidence assessing the link (or lack of a link) between specific pesticides and specific cancers is becoming available in the scientific literature. While space limitations prevent a comprehensive review of all cancers here, the emerging multidiscipline literature is well illustrated in the case of prostate cancer, NHL, leukemia, multiple myeloma, and breast cancer. In should be noted that tumor sites in rodents following treatment with pesticides almost never concord with human epidemiological findings, which is probably due to species differences and different exposure scenarios. An additional challenge is trying to estimate the degree of caution that should be exercised when using a compound if the specific pesticide can induce tumors in nontarget tissues in cancer bioassays. For example, risk assessors would be concerned with their risk estimates if a tested pesticide could cause liver tumors in a rodent, even though it is highly unlikely that the pesticide would cause liver tumors in human epidemiologic data.

**Prostate Cancer**

Prostate cancer is the most common cancer diagnosed among men in the United States, accounting for an estimated 28.5% of all cancers diagnosed in men in 2012.99 Approximately 241,740 cases will be diagnosed in 2012, with an estimated 28,170 deaths occurring.99 Prostate cancer ranks second after lung cancer as the underlying cause of death in men, accounting for an estimated 9.3% of all cancer deaths in men.99 Prostate cancer risk associated with pesticides has been evaluated in over 100 occupational studies worldwide (mostly among farmers and other pesticide users). Results from meta-analyses based on these studies are consistent with a weak, positive association between farming and prostate cancer.100 More recent epidemiologic evidence from a number of different studies now, more convincingly, shows that prostate cancer is related to pesticide use specifically.

In one of the largest prospective studies of pesticide exposures published to date, the Agricultural Health Study (AHS), which was conducted in Iowa and North Carolina, a small but significant excess prostate cancer risk was
observed among both farmers (19% excess) and commercial pesticide applicators (28% excess). Among the 1962 incident prostate cancer cases that developed in the AHS cohort from 54,412 pesticide applicators that were cancer free at the start of the observation period, 3 OP insecticides and an OC insecticide were significantly associated with a monotonic increase in the risk of aggressive prostate cancer as the metric of exposure increased. In this study, aggressive prostate cancer was defined as having one or more of the following tumor characteristics: distant stage, poorly differentiated grade, Gleason score of 7 or higher, or fatal prostate cancer (underlying-cause prostate cancer). The OP chemicals identified include fonofos, which is no longer registered for use in the United States, and 2 other OP insecticides currently used widely in the United States and worldwide: malathion and terbufos. However, the biological mechanisms by which these compounds might cause prostate cancer is uncertain. In vitro studies demonstrated that fonofos and terbufos were both genotoxic in Saccharomyces cerevisiae, and although no studies have determined whether these 2 OPs can cause DNA damage in mammalian cells. In addition, the recent study by Koutros et al demonstrated that a significantly increased risk of prostate cancer was observed among men with documented exposure to fonofos or aldrin and a family history of prostate cancer, whereas there was no increased risk among men without a family history. These results suggest an important genetic component contributes to the prostate cancer risk associated with selected pesticides.

Aldrin is an OC insecticide that was extensively used worldwide until 1970, when it was banned in the United States and most other countries. Animal studies suggest that OCs such as aldrin and dieldrin can induce hepatocarcinogenesis in mice through a nongenotoxic mode of action in which the slow oxidative metabolism of these compounds, or futile cycling leading to cytochrome P450 decoupling (Fig. 1A), is accompanied by increased levels of ROS, the depletion of hepatic antioxidant defenses (particularly z-tocopherol), and elevated lipid peroxidation. It was also shown that dieldrin, which is structurally related to aldrin, can induce oxidative stress, resulting in the modulation of gene expression that favors the expansion of latent initiated preneoplastic cells in mouse liver. However, the “tumor promoter-like” effects of OCs such as aldrin and dieldrin do not seem to occur in rat, dog, and monkey liver. Thus, because of the inconsistency in the induction of hepatocarcinomas caused by OC exposure in various species, it is unclear whether results from studies in mice can be translated to humans. Moreover, the organ specificity of cancer in the mouse model caused by OCs, such as dieldrin, does not concord with the human epidemiological findings. Furthermore, prostate tumors are not detected in mice following treatment with dieldrin.

In the AHS, significant interactions between terbufos and fonofos exposures and genetic variants on chromosome 8q24, in the base excision repair pathway, and in the nucleotide excision repair pathway and prostate cancer risk were observed. Although more studies are needed to verify these reports, one interpretation of these findings is that DNA damage elicited by terbufos and fonofos is inefficiently repaired by individuals with DNA repair gene variants, which may contribute to disease development. An alternative explanation is that terbufos and fonofos (or their metabolites) do not directly damage DNA; however, these compounds may promote the growth of initiated cells found in genetic backgrounds of inefficient DNA repair.

In other analyses from the AHS project, occupational exposure to petroleum oil herbicides and the presence of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in genes that encode xenobiotic metabolizing enzymes caused the risk of prostate cancer to be 3.7 times higher than in individuals who possess the same SNP but did not use petroleum oil herbicides. One xenobiotic metabolizing enzymes identified with a variant allele linked to petroleum oil herbicide exposure and a higher prostate cancer risk was found in the gene that encodes microsomal epoxide hydrolase, which is an important detoxication enzyme of reactive epoxides. Epoxides are chemicals that are formed via cytochrome P450-mediated monooxygenation of carcinogens, such as benzo(a)pyrene found in cigarette smoke and aflatoxin B1, which is produced by the mold Aspergillus flavus. Epoxides produced in vivo are often chemically unstable and can covalently modify DNA, thus forming DNA adducts with a propensity to cause mutation. Thus, components of petroleum oil herbicides may be bioactivated to reactive epoxides that can damage DNA, and this risk may be modified by SNPs in microsomal epoxide hydrolase.

In a case-control study of prostate cancer conducted on 709 consecutive cases of histologically confirmed prostate cancer identified between June 2004 and December 2007 in Guadeloupe, a French archipelago in the Caribbean, prostate cancer risk increased with increasing plasma chlordecone concentration (ie, Kepone [Allied Signal Company and LifeSciences Product Company, Hopewell, VA]). Chlordecone is a chlorinated polycyclic ketone insecticide that was used extensively in the French West Indies for more than 30 years, but was banned in the United States in 1975 and worldwide in 2009. Chlordecone is an endocrine disruptor with estrogenic activity. A 1.77-fold excess risk of prostate cancer was observed in individuals in the highest tertile of exposure compared with those not exposed (P for trend = .002).
Stronger associations were observed among those with a positive family history of prostate cancer. Among subjects with plasma chlordecone concentrations above the limit of detection and 2 at-risk genetic polymorphisms, the risk of prostate cancer was 5.23-fold higher than for those without the exposure or the genetic polymorphism. OC pesticide exposure is often associated with an increased risk of hormone-related cancers, including prostate cancer. After adjustment for other covariates, analysis of National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) data showed that serum concentrations of lindane ($P$ for trend $= .02$), transnonachlor ($P$ for trend $= .002$), and dieldrin ($P$ for trend $= .04$) were significantly associated with the risk of prostate cancer. A popular hypothesis for the toxic mechanism of hydrophobic OCs and other chlorinated pesticides is that they disrupt normal estrogen and androgen receptor functions, thus causing altered gene expression programs to be induced in cells, paving the way for malignant cell development. For example, in vivo and in vitro data from mice and cultured cells suggest that low levels of hexachlorobenzene (HCB) can weakly agonize androgen action and thus enhance androgen signaling, whereas high levels of HCB interfere with androgen signaling. In addition, genotoxic mechanisms may also be in play for OCs. For instance, the OC lindane was found to induce micronuclei in cultured human prostate cells following treatment at very low concentrations ($10^{-12}$ to $10^{-10}$ M) for 24 hours. Thus, both receptor- and genotoxic-mediated toxicities may be at work for OCs and prostate cancer.

Collectively, these studies seem to show that subpopulations with specific genetic characteristics may be particularly vulnerable to the carcinogenic effects of certain OC and OP insecticides. A recent study from Canada also found a significantly increased risk of prostate cancer caused by malathion, and a recent study from the AHS found an excess risk of prostate cancer among occupational users of terbufos. OPs, such as malathion, parathion, and terbufos, can be bioactivated by cytochrome P450-mediated monooxygenation reactions to yield the oxon metabolites (see Figure 2 for example of bioactivation of parathion). Oxons are exquisitely potent compounds that inhibit serine hydrolases via covalent modification of the catalytic serine residue in the enzyme active site. Serine hydrolases participate in a wide variety of physiological and pathophysiological processes, including signal transduction in neural tissue, digestion, immune response, xenobiotic detoxification, and the clotting cascade. Thus, inhibition of these enzymes may lead to a variety of pathological effects. In contrast to most OP compounds, malathion is generally thought to be safe to humans because it contains 2 labile carboxylic acid ester bonds that are easily hydrolyzed by carboxylesterases, thus producing nontoxic products. Nevertheless, human are highly exposed to malathion and this compound can be converted to malaoxon in mammals, which can inhibit serine hydrolases and lead to unwanted toxicities.

A significant association between prostate cancer risk and exposure to dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane (DDT), a chlorinated insecticide (1.68-fold excess risk for those highly exposed compared with those not exposed); simazine, a triazine herbicide (1.89-fold excess risk for those highly exposed compared with those not exposed); and lindane, a chlorinated insecticide (2.02-fold excess risk for those highly exposed compared with those not exposed) was observed among 1516 prostate cancer cases and 4994 age-matched controls in a population-based case-control study in British Columbia, Canada. Atrazine, a triazine herbicide, was previously suspected of being associated with prostate cancer in a small study of pesticide manufacturing workers, but was not associated with prostate cancer in a much larger evaluation done in the AHS study. Atrazine is one of the most heavily used pesticides in the United States and concerns have been raised about the high levels detected in groundwater. Atrazine is rapidly metabolized to polar metabolites that are readily excreted in the urine of both rodents and humans. However, its major qualitative metabolite, dialkylchlorotriazine, was recently shown to covalently modify proteins both in vitro and in vivo, suggesting that dialkylchlorotriazine has the potential to alter protein and cellular function. In addition, there are concerns about the neuroendocrine-disrupting effects of this herbicide.

In contrast to occupational settings, relatively little epidemiology has been conducted to characterize the role that environmental or residential exposures may have in the etiology of prostate cancer. The added complexity in assessing often unknown or poorly quantified environmental exposure to pesticides is a likely explanation.
While the greatest cancer risks from carcinogenic chemicals might be expected to occur among those with long-term occupational exposures, recently, male residents of California’s intensely agricultural Central Valley who had ambient exposure to methyl bromide were observed to have a 1.62-fold excess risk of prostate cancer compared with those with no ambient exposure. Similar risks were not observed for simazine, maneb (a dicarbamate fungicide), or paraquat dichloride (a bipyridinium dichloride herbicide). Similar to many methyl halides, methyl bromide was found to be positive in a battery of mutagenicity test systems. Mutation formation is not dependent on the presence of an exogenous enzyme activation system, and thus methyl halides can directly modify DNA because of the relative ease of breaking the carbon-halide bond. Indeed, methyl bromide can directly methylate calf thymus DNA in aqueous solution. Moreover, methyl bromide causes aberrant DNA methylation in rats and mice in vivo and can generate the highly mutagenic O-methyl guanine lesion. Glutathione conjugation of methyl bromide is the primary mechanism of its detoxification and this reaction is catalyzed by the glutathione S-transferase theta-1 (GSTT1) isoform. The frequency of the GSTT1 null polymorphism in the human population is 20% for whites and 80% for Asians; these individuals do not express a functional GSTT1 enzyme. Future studies that examine the null GSTT1 genotype, methyl bromide exposure, and prostate cancer risk might be worth pursuing because individuals who cannot express GSTT1 would be predicted to have a higher prostate cancer risk. However, it should be noted that methyl bromide is being phased out of use because of its ability to deplete atmospheric ozone.

It is also important to point out that prostate tissue has the ability to both activate and detoxify genotoxins and to repair any consequential DNA damage. The expression of mRNA transcripts for phase 1-activating enzymes such as cytochrome P450 1A2 (CYP1A1), CYP1A2, and CYP1B1 has been demonstrated in human prostate. This indicates that carcinogens can be metabolized in situ within the prostate tissue into reactive intermediates that damage macromolecules. Nevertheless, much more mechanistic toxicology studies need to be performed to determine whether occupational exposure to pesticides such as methyl bromide can cause prostate cancer. In light of the increasing epidemiological database linking specific pesticides with prostate cancer, it is reasonable to assume that much more will be learned in the future.

Nonoccupational exposure to OC insecticides was investigated in 4 case-control studies by measuring the concentrations of selected OC insecticides in serum, adipose tissue, or plasma. Aronson et al reviewed medical records for male participants aged 50 years to 80 years who visited one of 5 urology clinics in Kingston, Ontario, Canada between 1997 and 1999. Of the 7 OC insecticides assayed (p,p'-dichlorodiphenyldichloroethylene [DDE], p,p'-DDT, trans-nonachlor, oxychlordane, HBC, beta-hexachlorocyclohexane, and mirex), none was associated with prostate cancer.

Ritchie and Vial also examined concentrations of OC insecticides in serum from a case-control study of men with prostate cancer in Iowa. Of the 8 analytes reported, only 3 (p,p'-DDE [100% cases, 99% controls], trans-nonachlor [98% cases, 88% controls], and oxychlordane [91% cases, 82% controls]) had detectable concentrations above 50% for both the cases and controls, but none of these 3 pesticides was clearly associated with prostate cancer. In a case-control study nested in the Japan Public Health Center-based Prospective Study, 201 incident prostate cancer cases were identified through December 31, 2005. Nine analytes were assayed, including p,p'-DDT, p,p'-DDT, p,p'-DDE, trans-nonachlor, cis-nonachlor, oxychlordane, HCB, mirex, and beta-HCH. However, none of these analytes was associated with prostate cancer.

In a small case-control study comprised of 58 cases and 23 controls, Hardell et al found positive associations between prostate cancer and HCB (odds ratio [OR], 3.15; 95% confidence interval [95% CI], 1.04-9.54), p,p'-DDE (OR, 2.39; 95% CI, 0.81-7.09), trans-chlordane (OR, 3.49; 95% CI, 1.08-11.2), and MC6 (OR, 2.71; 95% CI, 0.87-8.42). With the exception of HCB, none of the ORs achieved statistical significance and all point estimates were imprecise due to the small number of study participants.

In summary, a number of specific pesticides have been linked to prostate cancer risk in occupational settings in an increasing number of studies. In many cases, this risk seems to be enhanced by a family history of prostate cancer. Although the enhanced prostate cancer risk may be a result of common occupational exposures among family members, there is increasing evidence that specific genetic polymorphisms in key genetic pathways may play an important etiologic role. Since the “at-risk genetic polymorphisms” are relatively common in the population, controlling the pesticide exposure rather than genetic testing may be the more desirable public health cancer control measure. Occupational exposures to some, but not all, OP and chlorinated pesticides have been associated with prostate cancer, but other pesticide categories have also been implicated in prostate cancer etiology. Studies of other pesticides with interesting preliminary gene environment analyses are now being completed.

Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma

NHLs are a heterogeneous group of over 20 different B- and T-cell neoplasms affecting the immune system/lymphatic system and arising primarily in the lymph nodes. Interest in the etiology of NHL has increased.
because incidence rates have nearly doubled in Western countries during the interval from the 1960s through the mid-1990s. The established risk factors for NHL include genetic susceptibility and a previous history of malignant disease, and different immunosuppressive states including human immunodeficiency virus; autoimmune diseases such as Sjogren syndrome, systemic lupus erythematosus, rheumatoid arthritis, and psoriasis; and celiac disease. Organ transplant recipients receiving immunosuppressive therapy are at a more than 100-fold excess risk of NHL. However, these conditions cannot account for the increases observed. Exposure to pesticides, particularly phenoxy acid herbicides, has been suggested as a cause of NHL, but the evidence has been inconsistent. In Sweden, Hardell et al observed a 6-fold increased risk of NHL among those who used phenoxy acid herbicides. In Kansas, Hoar et al observed a significant 2-fold increased risk among those who used phenoxy acid herbicides and the risk was highest for those who used 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4-D) for 21 days or more during the course of 1 year. In Nebraska, a nonsignificant 50% excess risk of NHL was observed among users of 2,4-D, but the risk did increase to over 3-fold for those who used the herbicide 20 or more days per year. Little evidence of an association between phenoxy acid herbicides and NHL was observed in New Zealand, Washington state, or Minnesota and Iowa. A meta-analysis of 13 case-control studies published between 1993 and 2005 observed an overall significant meta-OR between occupational exposure to pesticides and NHL (OR, 1.35; 95% CI, 1.2-1.5). When observations were limited to those individuals with more than 10 years of exposure, the risk increased (OR, 1.65; 95% CI, 1.08-1.95). While the meta-analysis supports the hypothesis that pesticides are associated with NHL, they lack sufficient detail about pesticide exposure and other information on risk factors for hematopoietic cancers to identify specific causes.

Since the publication of the meta-analysis by Merhi et al., several new population-based studies have been published suggesting that specific pesticides play an important role in NHL etiology. In a case-cohort study using a population-based prospective Danish cohort of 57,053 persons, 256 cohort members were diagnosed with NHL. Eight pesticides and 10 polychlorinated biphenyl congeners were measured in adipose tissue collected at enrollment, prior to cancer onset among the 256 NHL cases and in 256 cancer-free individuals randomly selected from the cohort. A higher risk of NHL was observed among those with higher prediagnostic adipose tissue levels of DDT, cis-nonachlor, and oxychlordane than among those with lower adipose tissue levels. No clear association was found between NHL and polychlorinated biphenyls. A Swedish study by Eriksson et al of 910 cases and 1016 controls observed a significant excess risk of NHL associated with the phenoxy herbicide 2-methyl-4-chlorophenoxyacetic acid. (MCPA) (OR, 2.81; 95% CI, 1.27-6.22) and glyphosate (OR, 2.02; 95% CI, 1.16-3.71). Insecticides overall demonstrated an OR of 1.28 (95% CI, 0.96-1.72) and impregnating agents (material used as a water-repellent and antifungal treatment of wood, brick, plaster, and roof tiles) showed an OR of 1.57 (95% CI, 1.07-2.30). 2,4-D and 2,4,5-trichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4,5-T) have been banned from Sweden and therefore could not be evaluated. Several important observations have been made in a population-based case-control study conducted in 6 Canadian provinces including Quebec, Ontario, Manitoba, Saskatchewan, Alberta, and British Columbia with cases diagnosed between September 1, 1991 and December 31, 1994. An increased risk of NHL was associated with a positive family history of cancer both with and without pesticide exposure (OR, 1.72 [95% CI, 1.21-2.45] and OR, 1.43 [95% CI, 1.12-1.83], respectively). In this same case-control study, 6 pesticides/pesticide analytes also showed a significant association with NHL (beta-hexachlorocyclohexane, p,p'-dichloro-DDE, HCB, mirex, oxychlordane, and transnonachlor). The strongest association was found for oxychlordane, a metabolite of the pesticide chlordane (highest vs lowest quartile: OR, 2.68; 95% CI, 1.69-4.2). However, in a recent analysis of plasma samples from 174 NHL cases and 203 controls from France, Germany, and Spain, the risk of NHL did not increase with plasma levels of HCB, beta-HCB, or DDE. In yet another case-control study from the 6 Canadian provinces, the risk of NHL increased with the number of different pesticides used. ORs increased even further when the analyses were restricted to “potentially carcinogenic” pesticides; one pesticide had an OR of 1.30 (95% CI, 0.90-1.88), 2 to 4 pesticides had an OR of 1.54 (95% CI, 1.11-2.12), and more than 4 pesticides had an OR of 1.94 (95% CI, 1.17-3.23). These results are somewhat similar to those reported by De Roos et al, who pooled data from 3 NHL case-control studies conducted in the 1980s in 4 American Midwestern states. A superadditive effect was observed in which atrazine amplified the risk of NHL when used in combination with several other pesticides including alachlor, diazinon, and carbofuran. In yet another article from the 6 Canadian provinces study, the joint effect of pesticide exposure and immune suppression was preliminarily evaluated. Study participants with asthma or hay fever had nonsignificantly elevated risks of NHL associated with the use of MCPA (OR, 2.67; 95% CI, 0.90-7.93) compared with participants without any of these conditions (OR, 0.81; 95% CI, 0.39-1.70).
Two epidemiological studies reported that the association of NHL with pesticides was largely limited to NHL cases with the t(14;18) chromosomal translocation. In the study by Schroeder et al conducted in Iowa and Minnesota, patients with NHL with the t(14;18) translocation were found to have significantly elevated levels of dieldrin (OR, 3.7; 95% CI, 1.9-7.0), lindane (OR, 2.3; 95% CI, 1.3-3.9), toxaphene (OR, 3.0; 95% CI, 1.5-6.1), and atrazine (OR, 1.7; 95% CI, 1.0-2.8). In the study by Chiu et al conducted in Nebraska, farmers diagnosed with NHL with a t(14:18) translocation were found to have significantly elevated levels of dieldrin (OR, 2.4; 95% CI, 0.8-7.0), toxaphene (OR, 3.2; 95% CI, 0.8-12.5), and lindane (OR, 3.5; 95% CI, 1.4-8.4) compared with nonfarmers. In the prospective AHS, lindane use was associated with a significantly elevated risk of NHL. In a Dutch cohort of workers involved in the manufacturing of chlorophenoxy herbicides, predicted TCDD levels were associated with a significant increase in mortality from NHL (OR, 1.36; 95% CI, 1.06-1.74).

Cytogenetic and molecular studies of individuals exposed to a number of pesticides, such as lindane and 2,4-D, are beginning to reveal a role of pesticides in the induction of chromosomal rearrangements, particularly the t(14;18) translocation that occurs with high frequency in patients with NHL. This translocation appears to be one step in the progression of a normal cell to a cancer cell; however, it is unclear whether pesticides (or other toxicants) cause the t(14;18) translocation or whether they are generated during the course of malignant transformation as a result of the developing genomic instability that arises during disease progression. Polymerase chain reaction-based quantitation of the t(14;18) translocation frequency in peripheral blood lymphocytes, as described by Fuscoe, might be a promising biomarker to use in studies of pesticide-exposed populations. A direct connection between agricultural pesticide use, frequency of the t(14;18) translocation in the blood, and malignant progression to follicular lymphoma has been observed in a prospective cohort study of farmers. This study indicated that the t(14;18) translocation appeared to be an early event in NHL and suggested a molecular connection between agricultural pesticides, the t(14;18) translocation frequency in the blood, and clonal progression, but links to specific pesticides were not possible. However, the mechanistic molecular connection between pesticides and the t(14;18) translocation is still unclear and establishing this link will require much more work. Nevertheless, the higher prevalence of the t(14;18) translocation in pesticide-exposed workers compared with controls is a provocative finding and the replication of this finding in another pesticide-exposed population will be an important follow-up study. Moreover, for the t(14;18) translocation to be used as a biomarker, these findings would ideally be validated in an animal model treated with pesticides. This would provide an even stronger case for studying this biomarker in human populations.

We identified 15 studies that reported on nonoccupational exposure to pesticides and NHL. The vast majority of these studies focused on OC insecticides (11 of 15 studies) and used serum, plasma, or adipose tissue concentrations of the OC compounds as the estimate of exposure. Of these 11 studies, 7 measured chlordane/heptachlor or their metabolite (eg, oxychlordane, heptachlor epoxide) concentrations. Four studies observed positive associations between chlordane and NHL, whereas the 3 other studies did not observe an association.

In addition to oxychlordane and related compounds (eg, heptachlor), 10 of these studies examined the association between concentrations of DDT or its metabolite, DDE, and NHL. Five studies demonstrated either positive or suggestive associations, whereas the other 5 studies did not observe an association between DDT or DDE and NHL.

While a number of other OC insecticides were measured in these studies, coverage of specific insecticides was less frequent. For instance, only one study assayed for mirex, finding a positive association (OR, 1.44; 95% CI, 1.08-1.92). Conversely, HCB was assessed in 8 of these studies, of which only one observed an association. β-Hexachlorocyclohexane concentrations were positively associated with NHL in only 2 studies of the 6 studies that measured it in either plasma, serum, or adipose tissue. Dieldrin levels were assayed in 4 studies, with only one finding evidence of a positive association with NHL.

In summary, NHL is not one disease but many related diseases with seemingly different etiologies. Few studies of pesticides have been large enough to evaluate the potential link between NHL subtypes and specific pesticide exposures. Nonetheless, new evidence linking NHL with specific chlorinated pesticide use and 2 studies linking the number of different pesticides used with NHL give further support to earlier findings suggesting that specific pesticides are etiologically linked to NHL. Preliminary evidence suggests asthma, allergies, or asthma and allergies and hay fever combined with the use of specific pesticides (eg, MCPA) may enhance the risk of NHL. Although it is possible that t(14;18) translocations are an initiating event in a causative cascade leading to an NHL subtype, follicular lymphoma, much more work needs to be done to establish this. Nevertheless, it has been shown that NHL subtypes with t(14;18) translocations are associated with the chlorinated insecticides dieldrin, lindane, and toxaphene and the triazine herbicide atrazine. Lindane also has been...
observed to be directly associated with NHL in a large prospective study performed in the United States. In yet another large case-control study in Sweden, the authors linked the use of glyphosate and MCPA to NHL. Although the epidemiological evidence for certain pesticides and NHL is growing, little is known about the biological/toxicological mechanisms by which these compounds may be contributing to this disease (Table 5).

Leukemia

Childhood Leukemia

Acute lymphocytic leukemia comprises about 80% of all childhood leukemia cases, while acute myeloid leukemia comprises most of the remaining 20%. Male children have a higher incidence of leukemia overall compared with female children. It is estimated that less than 10% of childhood leukemia cases have an identified etiology. Established associations include ionizing radiation, Down syndrome, and other genetic syndromes. In the United States and Europe, there is concern that overall rates of childhood cancer have been increasing since 1970. Early life exposures to pesticides are suspected to be responsible for some of these childhood leukemias. A number of recent systematic reviews of the etiological literature reached a somewhat similar conclusion (ie, the current literature is limited). Chief among these limitations are that exposure measures relying on substitutes for information about parental pesticide use itself such as in farm-related activities or crops produced has proven to be inadequate; case-control studies tended to suffer from at least some case-recall bias; cohort studies have been too small to generate a sufficient number of exposed cases, thereby mitigating firm etiological conclusions; many available studies (both case-control and cohort) were too small to reliably evaluate leukemia subtypes and all were too small to identify specific pesticides that might be linked to childhood leukemia; and controlling for potentially confounding factors is difficult when so little is known about the etiology of childhood leukemia generally. Nonetheless, a number of important observations have been made in meta-analyses associated with these reviews (ie, an excess risk of overall leukemia is observed with maternal pesticide exposure from home and garden use or maternal occupational exposure but not with paternal occupational pesticide exposure). Meta-analyses of childhood leukemia were elevated for prenatal maternal occupational exposure to both insecticides and herbicides. While elevated risks of childhood leukemia were also observed in meta-analyses of children living in homes where professional pesticide applications were done before pregnancy, during pregnancy, and during the first 3 years of the child’s life, Vinson et al observed the maternal-associated leukemia risks to be particularly high for exposures that took place prior to birth. While data are limited, it seems both acute lymphocytic leukemia and acute myeloid leukemia in children may be linked to pesticide exposure. Excess childhood leukemia risks did not appear to be related to the proximity of a home to a farm, nor to carpet-tested levels of chlordane, DDT, DDE, methoxychlor, or pentachlorophenol.

Experimental studies in animal models support the biological plausibility of a link between maternal pesticide exposure and leukemia because the exposure of pregnant females to carcinogens can produce cancer in offspring. Transplacental exposure to select fungicides produced lymphomas in mice. Furthermore, the role of epigenetics in germ cell genomic reprogramming has gained increased attention since it was shown that exposure of gestating female rats during the period of gonadal development to either vinclozolin (a fungicide) or methoxychlor (an insecticide) induced elevated incidences of male infertility and altered sperm quality in offspring up to 4 generations. Moreover, prostate lesions, altered gene expression patterns, and cancer were detected in some adult progeny. These provocative findings have caused renewed interest in developmental and reproductive toxicities, such as childhood leukemias, caused by environmental chemicals. At this point, work in this area is in a nascent stage of development and much more needs to be done.

Linking specific pesticides to childhood leukemia would most likely lead to the cancelation of registration of that pesticide in the United States and many other nations. Since such a specific link has not yet been made, prudent public health policy would dictate limiting maternal exposure to pesticides prenatally and during early childhood and limiting direct childhood exposure whenever possible.

Adult Leukemia

Adult-onset leukemias are a heterogeneous category of hematopoietic malignancies, including chronic and acute subtypes that have different etiologies. Causal associations with leukemia have been demonstrated for 3 agents: benzene, formaldehyde, and ionizing radiation. Other suspected occupational causes include pesticides, infectious agents, electromagnetic fields, and solvents and aromatic hydrocarbons. A meta-analysis of 14 cohort studies of workers in plants manufacturing pesticides showed a meta-rate ratio of 1.43 (95% CI, 1.05-1.94) for leukemia. A recent meta-analysis of 13 cases and controls examining the association between occupational exposures and hematopoietic cancers observed an OR of 1.35 (95% CI, 0.9-2.0).

Epidemiological evidence was insufficient to permit the identification of a specific pesticide in either of these meta-analyses.
OPs have been associated with leukemia and other immunologically related cancers in the epidemiological literature. The leukemogenic effects of OPs may be related to immune function perturbation. In the AHS, leukemia risk was elevated for the high category of intensity-weight exposure-days for the OP insecticide fonofos (relative risk [RR], 2.67; 95% CI, 1.06-6.70 [P value for trend = 0.04]) and diazinon was associated with leukemia (RR, 3.36; 95% CI, 1.08-10.49 [P value for trend = 0.026]). A positive association with leukemia was observed for several herbicides including metribuzin, a selective triazine herbicide (RR, 2.42; 95% CI, 0.82-7.19 [P value for trend = 0.08]), and the use of the herbicides alachlor and S-ethyl-N,N-dipropylthiocarbamate (EPTC), although the risk associated with both of these herbicides was limited to the highest exposure group and thus further follow-up will be necessary.

The IARC has judged that the weight of evidence suggests that the OC insecticides chlordane, heptachlor, DDT, and toxaphene are possible human carcinogens, whereas other OCs are not classifiable as to their carcinogenicity. In the AHS, chemical-specific associations with leukemia were observed for chlordane/heptachlor (RR, 2.1 [95% CI, 1.1-3.9]), which are structurally related compounds that occur together in technical-grade products of each chemical. In a prospective study of peripheral blood obtained up to 77 months before a diagnosis of chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) was made, prediagnostic B-cell clones were present in 44 of 45 patients with CLL. Use of B-cell clones as prediagnostic markers of CLL may be a valuable tool in evaluating the link between specific pesticides and CLL.

While the evidence linking pesticide exposure to leukemia is abundant, the evidence linking a specific pesticide to a specific leukemia subtype, which could be used to more stringently regulate use of the pesticide or cancel its registration, is largely nonexistent. Recent epidemiological evidence linking specific pesticides to leukemia has established hypotheses that need to be evaluated in other studies (eg, the associations between leukemia overall and diazinon [an OP insecticide currently in widespread use] and several OC insecticides no longer in use in the United States or other developed countries are of particular interest). Linking leukemia to specific pesticides that are used at high levels occupationally should help to identify the chemical agents responsible for childhood cancers as well. The use of preclinical biomarkers (eg, monoclonal B-cell lymphocytosis) to study the etiology of CLL may be a powerful approach for this leukemia subtype. In addition, it has been shown that arylhydrocarbon receptor activation and cyclooxygenase-2 overexpression in lymphoma cell lines lead to resistance to apoptosis, which might be relevant for the development of lymphomas in vivo caused by pesticide exposures.

**Multiple Myeloma**

Multiple myeloma is a malignancy of the blood, characterized by a clonal expansion of plasma cells and the production of a monoclonal immunoglobulin that can be found in the blood or urine. Clonal expansion of plasma cells is accompanied by osteolytic bone destruction, renal failure, anemia, and hypercalcemia. Following a diagnosis of multiple myeloma, the median length of survival is approximately 3 years. Approximately 21,700 new cases are diagnosed annually. Incidence among blacks is twice that among whites but the survival among blacks is significantly better compared with whites. The underlying cause of multiple myeloma is unknown.

A systematic review of case-control studies of the role of occupational exposure to pesticides in the development of multiple myeloma showed a pooled OR for working farmers of 1.39 (95% CI, 1.18-1.65) and an OR for pesticide exposure of 1.47 (95% CI, 1.11-1.94). For working on a farm for more than 10 years, the OR was 1.87 (95% CI, 1.15-3.16). None of these studies, however, was able to identify a specific exposure that was associated with multiple myeloma. In the AHS, an excess risk of multiple myeloma was observed in the cohort. In a follow-up study, a 1.42-fold (95% CI, 1.00-fold to 1.81-fold) risk of multiple myeloma was observed among cohort members in North Carolina compared with the rest of the state, but a similar excess risk was not observed in Iowa. The cause of this excess could not yet be explained, but a separate analysis of the AHS cohort observed a statistically significant risk of multiple myeloma among pesticide applicators in the highest exposure group for the insecticide permethrin (RR, 5.72; 95% CI, 2.76-11.87 [P value for trend = 0.01]) compared with never-users. A cautious interpretation of these results is warranted because the analysis was driven by only 10 exposed cases in the highest exposure group. Positive associations between the fungicide captan (OR, 2.35; 95% CI, 1.11-3.27) and the insecticide carbaryl (OR, 1.89; 95% CI, 0.98-3.67) and multiple myeloma were observed in a recent Canadian population-based case-control study conducted among men in 6 Canadian provinces (ie, Quebec, Ontario, Manitoba, Saskatchewan, Alberta, and British Columbia). The study consisted of 342 multiple myeloma cases and 1506 controls.

Recent data have shown that multiple myeloma is consistently preceded by monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance (MGUS). MGUS is a premalignant plasma cell proliferative disorder without
symptoms or evidence of end-organ damage, but cases do have a lifelong 1% annual risk of progression to multiple myeloma.

In the AHS cohort, the age-adjusted prevalence of MGUS was 1.9-fold (95% CI, 1.3-fold to 2.7-fold) higher among male pesticide applicators compared with men from Olmsted County, Minnesota. In the AHS cohort, a 5.6-fold (95% CI, 1.9-fold to 16.6-fold), 3.9-fold (95% CI, 1.5-fold to 10.0-fold), and 2.4-fold (95% CI, 1.1-fold to 5.3-fold) increased risk of MGUS was observed among users of the chlorinated insecticide dieldrin, the fumigant mixture carbon tetrachloride/carbon disulfide, and the fungicide chlorothalonil, respectively. A previous AHS examination determined that a relationship between exposure and disease is not likely confounded by farming or nonfarming activities.

In summary, although the evidence linking pesticide exposure to multiple myeloma has increased in recent years, few studies have been able to assess the link between specific pesticides and multiple myeloma or its precursor MGUS. It is therefore not surprising that we do not yet observe consistent associations. Clearly, additional epidemiological evidence is needed to test the hypothesis that specific pesticides are positively associated with multiple myeloma before firm conclusions can be reached. The use of preclinical biomarkers of multiple myeloma (ie, MGUS) may be a powerful approach to evaluate these etiological hypotheses.

Nonoccupational OC Insecticide Exposure and Breast Cancer

Breast cancer is the most common cancer among women in the United States, accounting for an estimated 226,870 cases in 2012 and 39,510 deaths. Male breast cancer is relatively rare, with an estimated 2190 cases in 2012 and 410 deaths. Epidemiologic studies of occupational pesticide exposure and breast cancer risk are quite limited. Conversely, the open literature is replete with epidemiologic studies that have investigated nonoccupational exposure to OC compounds, including OC insecticides. Given this paucity in occupational studies, we will focus only on the nonoccupational studies of OC insecticides and breast cancer.

In 1993, Wolff et al published a report observing that the risk of breast cancer was higher among women with high serum concentrations of DDE, the major metabolite of DDT, compared with women with low levels. Since then, a substantial number of epidemiologic studies have been conducted and published investigating this hypothesis.

In 2002, Calle et al published a review article evaluating the then-current literature and concluded that: “At present, there is substantial epidemiologic evidence regarding the possible association between organochlorines (as measured in blood and adipose tissue) and the risk of breast cancer. The evidence does not support an association.”

Lopez-Cervantes et al arrived at a similar conclusion using meta-analysis to review the epidemiologic evidence for tissue DDE concentrations and breast cancer. In our current review, we update the literature since 2002. We identified 11 published studies that reported on associations between measured serum, plasma, or adipose tissue concentrations of OC insecticides and breast cancer, which were not included in either the review by Calle et al or Lopez-Cervantes et al. Two studies were excluded from our review because risk estimates (eg, ORs) were not reported. A third study was excluded because the case definition included prevalent breast cancer. Of the remaining 8 studies, the results were mixed. While 4 studies did not observe an association between OC concentrations, the other 4 studies did observe positive associations.

However, an important caveat to this conclusion remains largely unexplored: the importance that age at exposure may have in breast cancer development. Lopez-Cervantes et al point out that there is a paucity of evidence regarding exposure at critical time periods. Exposures that occur during early life and adolescence are hypothesized to have etiologic importance for breast cancer. During mammmary gland development, breast epithelium may be particularly susceptible to environmental carcinogens. For instance, exposure to ionizing radiation at an early age confers an increased risk of developing breast cancer as compared with exposure that occurs at later ages. Regarding early-life exposure to OC insecticides and breast cancer risk, Cohn et al conducted a nested case-control study among a cohort of female members of the Kaiser Permanente Health Plan in Oakland, California and used stored blood samples that were collected between 1959 and 1967 to assay for serum p,p'-DDT. They found that increasing serum p,p'-DDT concentrations were positively associated with breast cancer risk, but only among those women exposed prior to 14 years of age. Caution is warranted in interpreting the results for this one study. While the unique circumstances surrounding the study permitted the investigation of early-life exposure to DDT and future breast cancer risk during a time when DDT was actively being used in the United States, replication will be difficult, as the authors note. Overall, these additional studies do not provide compelling evidence to revise the overall conclusion of the previous reviews that the evidence does not support an association between OC insecticides and breast cancer risk.

While the number of epidemiologic studies that have investigated OC compounds is substantial, few epidemiologic studies have been conducted to investigate...
non-OC pesticides and breast cancer risk. We identified just 8 published studies that reported on nonoccupational and non-OC insecticide exposure and breast cancer. Of these 8 reports, 4 were case-control studies that lacked pesticide-specific exposure information and the fourth was an ecologic study in design. The 3 remaining studies assessed exposure to a number of specific pesticides, but overall, these studies are too few to provide a meaningfully review.

Conclusions
Assessing the magnitude of the cancer risk from pesticide exposures in the workplace can be difficult because exposures are usually intermittent, pesticide metabolites have a short half-life, and biomarkers of exposure are often nonspecific to the exposure. Assessing cancer risk from pesticide exposures in the general environment is even more challenging. Nonetheless, the available scientific evidence does strongly suggest that pesticides do cause cancer in both those who use the pesticides directly and those who are exposed because of applications others make. The problem may well be more extreme in developing counties where regulatory controls are weaker or nonexistent.

The mechanisms by which pesticides cause cancer are probably numerous, but are incompletely understood. Cancer risk does not seem to be limited to one functional class of pesticides (eg, herbicide, insecticide, or fungicide) or to one chemical class (eg, OCs, OPs, or triazines). Direct genotoxicity is an important mechanism but many nongenotoxic mechanisms seem to be operating as well. Genetic susceptibility to the carcinogenic effects of some pesticides also appears to be an important aspect of the disease mechanism. The genetic susceptibilities that have been identified to date are common to large segments of the population and therefore do not lend themselves to controlling risk through the identification of susceptible individuals. Controlling exposures is the key to limiting cancer risk. Well-designed epidemiological studies with molecular components will help to identify human carcinogens currently on the market, while an increased understanding of the underlying mechanisms of carcinogenesis will help prevent the introduction of new carcinogens to the marketplace.

Until a more complete understanding of pesticide carcinogenesis is achieved, balancing the potential, albeit uncertain, carcinogenic risk with the health benefits derived from the use of pesticides that can mitigate disease-carrying pests or increase fruit and vegetable production will remain a public health and clinical quandary. In the meantime, health care providers should emphasize the importance of minimizing personal exposures to all pesticides to control cancer risk.
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