Purification and characterization of chitinase from *Alcaligenes faecalis* AU02 by utilizing marine wastes and its antioxidant activity
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Abstract Marine waste is an abundant renewable source for the recovery of several value added metabolites with potential industrial applications. This study describes the production of chitinase on marine waste, with the subsequent use of the same marine waste for the extraction of antioxidants. A chitinase-producing bacterium isolated from seafood effluent was identified as *Alcaligenes faecalis* AU02. Optimal chitinase production was obtained in culture conditions of 37°C for 72 h in 100 ml medium containing 1% shrimp and crab shell powder (1:1) (w/v), 0.1% K2HPO4, and 0.05% MgSO4·7H2O. The molecular weight of chitinase was determined by SDS-PAGE to be 36 kDa. The optimum pH, temperature, pH stability, and thermal stability of chitinase were about 8, 37°C, 5–12, and 40–80°C, respectively. The antioxidant activity of *A. faecalis* AU02 culture supernatant was determined through scavenging ability on 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) as 84%, and the antioxidant compound was characterized by TLC and its FT-IR spectrum. The present study proposed that marine wastes can be utilized to generate a high-value-added product and that pharmacological studies can extend its use to the field of medicine.
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Introduction

Chitin—a polysaccharide consisting of 1,4-linked N-acetyl-D-glucosamine moieties—is the second most abundant biopolymer on Earth and a constant source of renewable raw materials. Recent studies have focused on converting chitin to oligosaccharides as these are not only water-soluble but also possess versatile functional properties such as anti-tumor and antimicrobial activities (Suzuki et al. 1986; Wang et al. 2008a; Liang et al. 2007). Traditionally, the preparation of chitin involves demineralization and deproteinization of shellfish waste with the use of strong acids or bases (Synowiecki and Al-Khateeb 2000; Wang et al. 2008b). There are several problems with such existing chemical processes, including the large amount of short-chain oligosaccharides produced, low yields of oligosaccharides, the high costs of separation, and also environmental pollution. Alternatively, chitinase hydrolysis, with its advantages in environmental compatibility, low cost, and reproducibility, has become increasingly popular in recent years (Kadokura et al. 2007).

Chitinases are a group of enzymes that hydrolyze the β-1,4-linkages in chitin to low-molecular-weight products, and have been shown to be produced by a number of microorganisms. Generally, chitinase-producing strains will use chitin or colloidal chitin as a carbon source and produce a mixture of chitinases (EC 3.2.1.14) and N-acetylglucosaminidase (EC 3.2.1.52).

Bioconversion of chitinous materials has been proposed as a waste treatment alternative to the disposal of shellfish wastes. To further enhance the utilization of chitin-containing
marine crustacean waste, some of recent studies have focused on the bioconversion of shellfish chitin wastes for the production of proteases and/or chitinases (Wang et al. 2006; Wang and Yeh 2006). The utilization of shellfish waste not only solves environmental problems but also decreases the production costs of microbial chitinases (Liu et al. 2003).

In the present study, an attempt was made to optimize the culture conditions of *Alcaligenes faecalis* AU02 for chitinase production using cheaper carbon sources such as shrimp and crab shell powder. In addition, chitinase from *A. faecalis* AU02 was purified and characterized, and the antioxidant activity of the culture supernatant was analyzed.

### Materials and methods

#### Materials

The marine waste used in this study was composed of shrimp shells and crab shells obtained from processing units and local marine food suppliers. The shrimp shell powder (SSP) and crab shell powder (CSP) used in these experiments were prepared according to the method of Wang et al. (2006). In the preparation of SSP and CSP, the shrimp shells and crab shells were washed thoroughly with tap water and then dried. The dried materials obtained were milled to a powder and this fine powdered waste was used directly as the carbon source for chitinase production.

#### Microorganism and enzyme production

*Alcaligenes faecalis* AU02 was isolated from sea food industrial effluent and maintained on nutrient agar plates at 37°C. To determine optimal culture conditions, growth as well as enzyme production was carried out in a basal medium containing 0.1% K2HPO4 and 0.05% MgSO4·7H2O (pH 8) and supplemented with 0.5–2% (w/v) of the various carbon sources (SSP, CSP and different proportions of S/CSP) to be investigated. For chitinase production, 100 mL of the resultant medium in a 500-mL Erlenmeyer flask was cultured aerobically at 37°C for 72 h on a rotary shaker (150 rpm). After centrifugation (12,000 g, 4°C, for 20 min), the supernatant was collected for measurement of chitinase activity.

#### Purification of chitinase enzyme

Shake flask cultures were harvested after 72 h and the cells were removed by centrifugation at 6,000 g for 30 min at 4°C. The cell-free supernatant was used as crude enzyme. The crude chitinase was precipitated with ammonium sulfate at 60% saturation and allowed to stand overnight at 4°C. The precipitates were collected by centrifugation and dialyzed against 100 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 8.0) for 24 h at 4°C. Dialyzed enzyme solution was loaded onto a DEAE-cellulose column (2.0 × 25 cm) equilibrated with Tris-HCl buffer (pH 8.0). The enzyme was eluted with a linear gradient of NaCl (0–1 M in 100 mM Tris-HCl buffer) at a flow rate of 25 ml/h. The eluted fractions were assayed for enzyme activity.

#### Sephadex G-50 gel filtration chromatography

Enzyme solution prepared as above was loaded onto a Sephadex G-50 gel filtration column (2.5×120 cm) pre-equilibrated with 100 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 8), then eluted

### Table 1

| Strain            | Accession number | Similarity | Nucleotide differences/compared |
|-------------------|------------------|------------|---------------------------------|
| *Alcaligenes faecalis* slg-p2 | AY959943.2       | 99%        | 1,499/1,507                     |
| *Alcaligenes* sp. F78  | EU443097.1       | 99%        | 1,498/1,507                     |
| *Alcaligenes* sp. ECU0401 | EF535732.1      | 99%        | 1,498/1,507                     |
| *Alcaligenes* sp. IS-J1 | EF599959.1     | 99%        | 1,498/1,507                     |
| *Alcaligenes faecalis* WM2072 | AY548384.1     | 99%        | 1,497/1,507                     |
| *Alcaligenes* sp. IS-92  | AY346141.1       | 99%        | 1,498/1,507                     |
| *Alcaligenes* sp. IS-67  | AY346140.1       | 99%        | 1,498/1,507                     |

| Carbon source | Chitinase activity (IU/ml) | Antioxidant activity of the culture supernatant (%) |
|---------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| SSP           | 226±1                      | 75±1                                              |
| CSP           | 194±1.527                  | 68±1                                              |
| SCSP (25:75)  | 233±1.527                  | 78±1                                              |
| SCSP (50:50)  | 258±1                      | 84±1.527                                          |
| SCSP (75:25)  | 229±1                      | 80±1.527                                          |
with the same buffer. The protein concentration was estimated by measuring the absorbance at 280 nm. Peaks exhibiting chitinase activity were pooled together and used as purified enzyme. This purified enzyme solution was used to investigate the effects of temperature and pH on enzyme activity and stability.

**Analytical methods**

Chitinase activity was determined by incubating 1.0 ml each of colloidal chitin and enzyme solution at 40°C for 1 h. The mixture was centrifuged at 5,000 g for 5 min and reducing sugars in the supernatant were estimated by the 3,5-dinitrosalicylic acid (DNS) method (Miller 1959). One unit of chitinase activity is defined as the amount of enzyme required to release 1 μmol N-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc) in 1 min under the above described assay conditions.

The amount of protein in the crude and purified enzyme was measured by the method of Lowry et al. (1951) with bovine serum albumin as a standard.

The molecular mass of the purified chitinase was determined by sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) according to the method of Laemmli (1970). Molecular weight was estimated by comparing the mobility of the sample with that of standard molecular weight markers (29–205 kDa).

**Effect of temperature on enzyme activity and stability**

The effect of temperature on enzyme activity was determined by incubating the mixture (enzyme+1% colloidal chitin) for 60 min at temperatures ranging from 30 to 95°C. Thermostability studies were performed by incubating the purified enzyme at temperatures from 30 to 95°C for 24 h. The residual activity was quantified at 40°C for 20 min with the DNS method.

**Effect of pH on enzyme activity and stability**

The effect of pH on enzyme activity was determined by incubating the mixture for 60 min at 40°C with appropriate buffers; buffers used were (0.1 mol l$^{-1}$) sodium citrate (pH 3–6), sodium phosphate (pH 6–8), glycine-NaOH (9–11), and dilute NaOH for pH 12–13. pH stability studies were performed by incubating the purified enzyme with different pH buffers for 24 h at 4°C, then quantifying the residual activity by the DNS method.

**Scavenging ability of culture supernatant on DPPH radicals**

The culture supernatant (150 μl) of *Alcaligenes faecalis* AU02 was mixed with 37.5 μl methanolic solution containing 0.75 mM 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) (HiMedia, Mumbai, India) radicals. The mixture was shaken vigorously and left to stand for 30 min in the dark, and the absorbance was then measured at 517 nm against a blank (Shimada et al. 1992). The scavenging ability was calculated as follows: scavenging ability (%) = ($\Delta A_{517 \text{ control}} - \Delta A_{517 \text{ sample}} / \Delta A_{517 \text{ control}}$) × 100.

**Thin layer chromatography analysis**

The antioxidant materials of the culture supernatant from *Alcaligenes faecalis* AU02 produced by utilizing marine wastes were analyzed by silica gel TLC using 5:4:3 (v/v/v) n-butanol/methanol/16% aqueous ammonia as the mobile phase (Kadokura et al. 2007). Silica gel TLC plates (0.25 mm) were obtained from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). After developing the TLC plates, the compounds were visualized by spraying with ethanol containing 0.5% (w/v) methanol.

**Table 3** Summary of purification profile of chitinase from *A. faecalis* AU02

| Purification step         | Total protein (mg) | Total activity (U) | Specific activity (U/mg) | Purification (fold) | Yield (%) |
|--------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-----------|
| Culture filtrate         | 1,582              | 12,462             | 7.877                    | 1.0                 | 100       |
| (NH₄)₂SO₄ precipitate    | 106                | 7,341              | 69.25                    | 8.71                | 58.90     |
| DEAE cellulose           | 64                 | 4,896              | 76.5                     | 9.68                | 39.28     |
| Sephadex G 50            | 25                 | 2,038              | 81.52                    | 10.34               | 16.35     |
ninhydrin, followed by heating. The antioxidant material was identified based on the Rf value in comparison with standard chitooligosaccharides (HiMedia). Functional groups in the culture supernatant exhibiting antioxidant activity were determined by Fourier transform-infra red (FT-IR) spectra recorded on an FT-IR spectrometer (Biorad-40 model, Bio-Rad, Richmond, CA) using the test compound and KBr pellets.

Results and discussion

Isolation, identification and screening of a chitinase-producing strain

*Alcaligenes faecalis* AU02 is a Gram-negative and non-endospore-forming bacillus that is motile, oxidase, catalase, and citrate positive but nitrate reduction negative, and which grows in both aerobic and anaerobic niches. Based on the results of the 16S ribosomal DNA (rDNA) partial base sequence (1,507 bp), AU02 was closest to *A. faecalis* SLG-02 (GenBank accession no. AY 959943.2; homology 99%, based on 16S rDNA), *A. faecalis* WM2012 (GenBank accession no. AY548384.1; homology 99%, based on 16S rDNA) and *Alcaligenes* sp. F78 (GenBank accession no. EU443097.1; homology 99%, based on 16S rDNA) (Table 1). We conclude that AU02 belongs to *Alcaligenes faecalis* and designated it as *A. faecalis* AU02 (GenBank accession no. HM145896).

*Alcaligenes faecalis* AU02 was isolated from seafood industrial effluents and screened on agar plates containing 1% SCSP, 0.1% K2HPO4, 0.05% MgSO4·7H2O, and 1.5% agar powder (pH 8.0). The plates were incubated at 37°C for 2 days. The organisms obtained from screening were subcultured in liquid media containing 1% SCSP, 0.1% K2HPO4, and 0.05% MgSO4·7H2O in shake flasks at 37°C and 150 rpm. After incubation for 2 days, the culture broth was centrifuged (4°C; 8,200 g; 20 min) and the supernatants collected for measurement of chitinase activity.

---

**Fig. 2** SDS-PAGE analysis of chitinase from *A. faecalis* AU02. Lanes: 1 Purified enzyme, 2 molecular markers (29–205 kDa)

**Fig. 3** Effect of temperature on activity and stability of purified chitinase from *A. faecalis* AU02. Values are mean±SD, n=3

**Fig. 4** Effect of pH on activity and stability of purified chitinase from *A. faecalis* AU02. Values are mean±SD, n=3

**Fig. 5** Thin layer chromatography (TLC) analysis of antioxidant materials in the culture supernatant of *A. faecalis* AU02 grown on 1% SCSP medium. After developing, the TLC plate was visualized by spraying with ninhydrin reagent. Lanes: S N-Standard chitooligosaccharides, A sample
using the standard assay. The strain *Alcaligenes faecalis* AU02, which showed highest chitinase activity was used for further production studies.

Among the marine wastes tested, better production was found when basal medium (0.1% K$_2$HPO$_4$ and 0.05% MgSO$_4$·7H$_2$O, pH 8.0) was supplemented with 1% SCSP at 37°C. The effect of different proportions of SCSP on growth and chitinase production was also tested in 100 ml basal medium (0.1% K$_2$HPO$_4$ and 0.05% MgSO$_4$·7H$_2$O, pH 7) containing various proportions (1:3, 1:1, 3:1) of SCSP.

The results of this experiment showed that the carbon sources with chitin (SSP, CSP and SCSP) were more suitable for chitinase production by *A. faecalis* AU02 than carbon sources with only chitin; 1% SCSP was found to be a more suitable substrate for chitinase production than SSP and CSP alone (Table 2). Similar results were also found in *Bacillus subtilis* KU007 (Wang and Yeh 2006) and *Bacillus* sp. TKU004 (Wang et al. 2006).

To study cell growth as well as enzyme production, 100 ml medium (1% SCSP in basal medium, pH 8.0) was incubated for 72 h and chitinase activity was investigated. As shown in Fig. 1, maximum growth and chitinase production (258 U/mL) was found after 48 h of culture. *Bacillus* sp.TKU006 has been reported to produce protease and chitinase on SSP and CSP at 21°C for 5–10 days (Khan et al. 2003). In comparison, our experiment produced a higher amount of chitinase from *A. faecalis* AU02 using cheaper medium and a shorter cultivation time than *Bacillus* sp.TKU006. In the present study, the amount of enzyme produced was relatively higher and the fact that enzyme was produced on marine waste in water as the growth medium indicated the suitability of marine waste as a substrate for the production of chitinase. Protease and chitinase enzymes were subsequently used for the production of antioxidants from marine waste.

A summary of the enzyme purification steps is presented in Table 3. Purification of chitinase with ammonium sulfate followed by DEAE-cellulose and Sephadex G-50 column chromatography yielded 39.28% and 16.35% recovery, with 9.68- and 10.34-fold purification, respectively (Table 3). Purification of chitinase of only 2.4-fold was reported from *Alcaligenes xylosidans* with ultrafiltration and Sephadex G-75 gel filtration (Vaidya et al. 2003). The molecular weight of the chitinase

![Fig. 6 Fourier transform-infra red (FT-IR) spectrum of the antioxidant over the wave number range of 4,000–400 cm$^{-1}$](image-url)
from *Alcaligenes faecalis* AU02 was estimated as 36 kDa (Fig. 2). The molecular weights of chitinase from *Alcaligenes xylosoxydans* is 44 kDa (Vaidya et al. 2003), with chitinases of 45 kDa reported from *B. circulans* (Wiwat et al. 1999) and 57 kDa from *Serratia marcescens* (Nawani and Kapadnis 2001).

Effect of temperature and pH on enzyme activity and stability

The enzyme was active at temperatures between 30 and 50°C, with the optimum being at 40°C. The enzyme was 100% stable even up to 50°C and also it exhibited 86% activity at 60°C. At 95°C and above it completely loses activity (Fig. 3). Chitinase from *A. faecalis* AU02 was active over a wide range of pH between 7 and 9, with optimum activity at pH 8.0. Regarding stability, the enzyme was 100% stable at pH 9 and retained more than 80% activity at pH 10.0 and 78% at pH 11.0 (Fig. 4).

The enzyme was highly active in the temperature range of 30–50°C but optimum at 40°C and pH 8.0. The results on temperature optimum are similar to those of chitinases previously characterized from *Alcaligenes xylosoxydans, Aeromonas hydrophila* (Hiraga et al. 1997), and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (Wang and Chang 1997). Most bacterial chitinases are active at acidic pH (Dahiya et al. 2005; Murao et al. 1992). Conversely, the chitinase from *A. faecalis* AU02 was unlike all other bacterial chitinases in remaining active at pH 8.0. Regarding stability, the enzyme was 100% stable even at 60°C and pH 11.0, whereas chitinases from *Arthrobacter* sp. NHBN-10 (Okazaki et al. 1999), and *Vibrio alginolyticus* (Ohishi et al. 1996) are stable only at temperatures between 40 and 50°C, and at pH 7.0–9.0. Hence, the chitinase characterized here is comparatively better for industrial uses.

Antioxidant activity of culture supernatant of *A. faecalis* AU02 by fermenting marine wastes

Many studies have reported that chitin, chitosan, and peptides have antioxidative (Lin and Chou 2004; Xing et al. 2005; He et al. 2006) and anticarcinogenic (Liang et al. 2007; Xin et al. 2005; He et al. 2008a) properties. To increase the utilization of these chitin-containing marine wastes, *A. faecalis* AU02 was incubated for 72 h with SCSP, and the antioxidant and enzyme activity of the culture supernatants were analyzed. Antioxidant activity was assayed by the scavenging ability on DPPH. Maximum antioxidant activity was found in the culture supernatant of *Alcaligenes faecalis* AU02 (1:1 SCSP) incubated for 3 days. When compared with SSP and CSP, 1:1 SCSP was the most suitable carbon source for the production of antioxidant materials by *A. faecalis* AU02 (Table 2). The antioxidant activity of culture supernatants of *A. faecalis* AU02 grown on SSP, CSP and SCSP was 75%, 68% and 84%, respectively. The results demonstrate that antioxidative oligosaccharides might be hydrolyzed by the chitinase present in the culture supernatant. Such antioxidant materials may contain oligosaccharides, which are electron donors and able to react with free radicals to terminate the radical chain reaction.

Antioxidant materials in the culture supernatants were analyzed using TLC. Culture supernatants were resolved on TLC plates, and compounds were visualized by spraying with ninhydrin reagent (Fig. 5). Although the mobility of the antioxidant material corresponded to that of chitin oligosaccharide standards, their structures need to be analyzed in future. Similarly, Wang et al. (2009) are also found antioxidant activity in the culture supernatant of *Bacillus* sp. TKU006 by utilizing marine waste.

Compounds displaying antioxidant activity in the culture supernatant of *A. faecalis* AU02 were characterized by FT-IR. The FT-IR spectrum shown in Fig. 6 exhibits characteristic signals at 3421.09, 2923.81, 2843.84, 1758.90, 1647.42, 1542.67, 1459.27, 1424.66, 1117.93, 1020.55, 865.75, 669.67, 608.22, 471.23 cm⁻¹.

The present study proposes the microbial reclamation and utilization of marine wastes. Considering production costs, the utilization of marine wastes such as shrimp and crab shell wastes for the production of chitinase seems to provide a promising approach. In addition, the culture supernatant also had antioxidant activity. Thus, marine waste can serve as a potential substrate for the recovery of medicinally useful compounds. Biological extraction of these compounds ensures good quality of the compounds and makes the process environmentally friendly and economically feasible. Large scale production of these compounds from marine waste could lead to the development of low-cost technologies with great demand in the fields of medicine and nutraceuticals.
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