miR-26a Sensitizes Melanoma Cells To Dabrafenib Via Targeting HMGB1-Dependent Autophagy Pathways
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Background: Melanoma is known as the most aggressive and lethal type of cutaneous cancer due to its rapid development of drug resistance to chemotherapy drugs.

Methods: In our study, we conducted a variety of studies, including quantitative PCR, Western blot, and autophagy and apoptosis assays to investigate the involvement of miR-26a and HMGB1 in modulation of dabrafenib sensitivity in human melanoma cell lines.

Results: Our studies revealed that the expressions of miR-26a and HMGB1 were altered in two melanoma cell lines after dabrafenib treatment. Additionally, dabrafenib caused autophagy in melanoma and this autophagic process was regulated by miR-26a via modifying HMGB1 expression. Furthermore, silencing HMGB1 inhibited autophagy induced by dabrafenib in melanoma cells. Last, we verified that treatment with a miR-26a mimic and HMGB1 shRNA could increase the efficacy of dabrafenib in melanoma cells.

Conclusion: Taken together, we showed that miR-26a is involved in the regulation of dabrafenib efficacy via a HMGB1-dependent autophagy pathway in melanoma cells. These results shed light on a novel treatment for conventional dabrafenib-based chemotherapy for melanoma.
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Introduction

Melanoma is a type of cutaneous cancer which arises from melanocytes of the skin or mucous membranes. Although it accounts for a limited percentage of all skin cancers, melanoma has already become an indisputable crisis to human health worldwide due to its poor prognosis and high mortality rate. In the last two decades, many efforts have been put into the discovery of new anti-tumor drugs against melanoma. Some small-molecule-targeted therapies have been developed, such as dabrafenib. Dabrafenib, a BRAF inhibitors (BRAFi), was clinically approved by the FDA for the treatment of late-stage melanoma. It selectively causes cell death of melanoma cells bearing the V600-mutation and improves the overall survival rates of BRAF-mutation melanoma patients. However, the majority of patients will develop drug resistance within several months after dabrafenib treatment where resistance mechanisms are not yet fully understood. Therefore, there is an urgent need for new therapies and medications that can target this drug resistance.

MicroRNAs (miRNAs), a class of small noncoding RNAs of 19–24 nucleotides in length, have been verified as post-transcriptional regulators of gene expression...
Recent studies revealed that miRNAs play important roles in various diseases and cellular processes. For example, in many types of cancers, thousands of miRNAs have been verified as oncosuppressors and oncogenes, which are largely involved in cancer cell proliferation, apoptosis, invasion, and metastasis. One such miRNA is miR-26a. A large number of studies support that miR-26a is a pivotal regulator in tumor development and contributes to chemosensitivity via many target transcripts including PTEN, ULK1, NRAS, EZH2, GSK3β, SMAD1, and high mobility group box 1 (HMGB1). Among these target transcripts, HMGB1 became a focus of our interest. It is a highly conserved and ubiquitously expressed nuclear protein that functions as a regulator in DNA repair, inflammation, cell differentiation, cell migration, and invasion. Additionally, it has been reported that HMGB1 is a key regulator of autophagy and plays a critical role in chemotherapy resistance in many types of cancer cells. However, how it regulates the sensitivity of cells to dabrafenib in melanoma has yet to be established.

In this study, we sought to investigate the potential role of miR-26a in sensitizing melanoma cells to dabrafenib chemotherapy. We first tested the expression of miR-26a and HMGB1 in two melanoma cell lines after treatment with dabrafenib. Second, we explored whether dabrafenib could cause autophagy in melanoma and whether this autophagic process was regulated by miR-26a via modifying HMGB1 expression. Furthermore, we sought to test whether silencing HMGB1 could inhibit autophagy induced by dabrafenib in melanoma cells. Last, we verified that miR-26a and HMGB1 could increase the efficacy of dabrafenib in treating melanoma cells. Taken together, our study suggests that miR-26a is involved in the regulation of melanoma dabrafenib efficacy via a HMGB1-dependent autophagy pathway. These results shed light on a novel, dabrafenib-based chemotherapy for melanoma.

Materials And Methods

Cell Lines And Culture

The melanoma cell lines A375 and MEL624 were purchased from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA) and cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) (Invitrogen Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Biomedia, Foster City, CA, USA) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin/glutamine (Gibco/Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). All cell lines were maintained in a humidified incubator with 5% CO₂ at 37°C.

Antibodies And Reagents

Dabrafenib, 3-methyladenosine (3-MA) (#M9218), and chloroquine (CQ) (#C6628) were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA). The primary antibodies used in this study were rabbit anti-cleaved PARP (#5625, 1:1000, Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA, USA), mouse anti-β-actin (#3700, 1:3000, Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA, USA), mouse anti-LC3I/II (NB600-1384, 1:500, Novus, Littleton, CO, USA), mouse anti-p62 (SC-48389, 1:500, Santa Cruz, CA, USA), and rabbit anti-HMGB1 (ab79823, 1:200, Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA). Species-specific secondary antibodies were obtained from LI-COR Biosciences.

Quantitative PCR

Total RNA was isolated by using Trizol (#15596026, Invitrogen Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions and cDNA was synthesized by using a High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Life Technologies/Applied Biosystem).

Ten ng cDNA was then conducted in qPCR using SYBR green kits (Thermo Fisher) on a 480 real-time PCR machine (Roche Applied Science, Penzberg, Germany). qPCR results were calculated using the Δ-Ct method and normalized to the GAPDH as housekeeping gene. The sequences of the forward and reverse primers used in qPCR were as follows: primers for HMGB1 (forward: 5'-TATGGCACAAGG-3', reverse: 5'-CTTCGCAACATCACCAATGGA-3') and GAPDH (forward: 5'-ATCAGCAATGCTCTGCAC-3', reverse: 5'-CGTCAAAGGGTGAGGAGGTTGG-3').

Autophagy Assays And Apoptosis Assay

GFP-tagged microtubule-associated protein 1 light chain 3 (GFP-MAP1LC3) was used to determine the degree of autophagy. Briefly, the melanoma cells were seeded into 6-well plates (2×10⁵ cells/well) and transiently transfected with GFP-MAP1LC3 plasmid using Lipofectamine 2000 (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. These cells were cultured for 24 hrs before different treatments and then fixed in 4%...
paraformaldehyde, and analyzed under Nikon PCM 2000 confocal microscope. Melanoma cells that undergo autophagic process were observed to have significant numbers of GFP-positive puncta. The degree of apoptosis in melanoma cells was measured by Western blot analysis of cleaved PARP.

**Cell Transfection**
Short hairpin RNA (shRNA) against HMGB1 (Sigma), non-target control (NTC) shRNA (Sigma), negative control miRNA (miR-NC) (Dharmacon), and miR-26a mimic (miR-26a) (Dharmacon) were transfected into melanoma cells using Lipofectamine 2000 Transfection Reagent in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions. In all studies, cells were transfected 48 hrs before all treatments.

**Western Blot**
The methods have been described previously. In brief, after each treatment, the whole-cell lysates were prepared with ice-cold cell lysis buffer and cleared by centrifugation. The total protein concentration was measured with the bicinechonic acid assay Kit (Bio-Rad Laboratories). Samples consisting of 40 μg of protein were resolved on a denaturing 8–12% SDS-PAGE gel (Bio-Rad) and then transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride membranes by electroblotting. The membrane was then blocked in PBST containing 5% dried milk at room temperature for 1 hr, incubated with primary antibodies at 4°C overnight. Blots were then incubated with appropriate secondary antibodies at room temperature for 1 hr the next day. The signals were detected by ECL reagents. β-Actin protein was used as an equivalent loading control.

**Cell Viability Assay**
This method has been described previously. In brief, cells were plated at a density of 5×10^4 cells/well in 96-well plates in 100 μL medium. After each treatment, cell viability was measured by the Cell Counting Kit-8 (Dojindo, Japan) test in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Statistical Analysis**
All data represented in this study are the mean values ± SEM of at least three separate experiments. P values were calculated with the appropriate statistical tests using GraphPad Prism software 7.0. A significant difference was considered to be present at p<0.05.

**Results**

**Dabrafenib Induces Autophagy Which Protects Cells From The Cytotoxicity Of Dabrafenib In Melanoma Cells**
A growing interest exists in the protective role of autophagy during the chemotherapies in cancers. In our study, we first examined whether dabrafenib would trigger autophagy in melanoma cells. A375 and MEL624 cells transfected with a GFP-MAP1LC3 reporter plasmid were treated with dabrafenib (100nM) for 24 hrs. The GFP-LC3 puncta formation was then observed and assessed under confocal microscopy. Dense LC3-positive puncta were found when treated with dabrafenib (Figures 1A and B). In consistence with the results above, Western blot analysis revealed that autophagic signaling was significantly activated by dabrafenib in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 1C–E). To further prove the role of autophagy in sensitivity of melanoma cells to dabrafenib, we compared treatments with dabrafenib alone, co-treatment with dabrafenib and inhibitors of autophagy, 3-MA or CQ. We found inhibition of autophagy will enhance the cytotoxicity of dabrafenib in melanoma cells (Figure 1F and G).

**miR-26a Is Downregulated While HMGB1 Is Upregulated When T reated With Dabrafenib In Melanoma Cells**
miR-26a is known to be downregulated in some types of cancers including colorectal cancer, cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma, and retinoblastoma. We sought to determine the expression of miR-26a and HMGB1 in melanoma cells when treated with dabrafenib. A375 and MEL624 cells were treated with dabrafenib and the levels of miR-26a and HMGB1 were assessed by qPCR. Consistent with the data above, HMGB1 expression was also found significantly increased in response to dabrafenib treatment in the protein level (Figure 4A and B). The results showed that miR-26a was significantly downregulated (Figure 2A and B) in melanoma cells while HMGB1 was upregulated (Figure 2C and D) when treated with dabrafenib.

**miR-26a Attenuates Autophagy And Promotes Apoptosis Induced By Dabrafenib Via Targeting HMGB1**
To confirm the inhibitory effect of miR-26a on autophagy in melanoma, cells were first transfected with control miRNA or a miR-26a mimic followed by the treatment with dabrafenib. Overexpression of miR-26a significantly
Figure 1: Dabrafenib triggers autophagy in melanoma cells. (A) A375 and MEL624 cells transfected with a GFP-MAP1LC3 reporter plasmid were treated with dabrafenib (100 nM) for 24 hrs. Confocal images showing GFP-LC3 puncta formation induced by dabrafenib treatment. (B) The percentage of cells showing accumulation of GFP-LC3 puncta. (C) Western blot analysis of LC3-I/II, p62, and β-actin proteins after dabrafenib treatment at the indicated concentrations in A375 (left panel) and MEL624 (right panel) cells. (D) LC3-I/II and (E) p62 levels were quantified. (F) A375 and (G) MEL624 cells were treated with dabrafenib at the indicated concentrations for 24 hrs with or without 3-MA (2 mM) or CQ (2.5 µM). Inhibition of autophagy sensitizes melanoma cells to dabrafenib. ***p < 0.001 compared with control group.
diminished the GFP-LC3 puncta formation induced by dabrafenib treatment (Figure 3A–C). Meanwhile, we assessed the apoptosis levels in melanoma cells by measuring cleaved PARP levels via Western blot (Figure 3D and E). Cleaved PARP levels were robustly elevated in miR-26a-overexpressing cells. Moreover, protein levels of HMGB1 were found decreased in miR-26a-overexpressing cells correspondingly (Figure 3D). Taken together, these results demonstrate that miR-26a is a negative regulator of autophagy in dabrafenib-based chemotherapy in melanoma and this effect is possibly modulated by HMGB1.

**HMGB1 Deficiency Impairs Autophagy And Augments Apoptosis Signaling In Melanoma Cells**

To gain further insight into autophagy regulated by miR-26a in dabrafenib-based chemotherapy in melanoma, we performed a series of studies using shRNA-mediated HMGB1-deficient melanoma cells. We observed reduced levels of LC3II in response to dabrafenib in HMGB1-shRNA-expressing cells relative to controls expressing NTC shRNA (Figure 5B–D). Correspondingly, GFP-LC3 puncta formation induced by dabrafenib treatment was also found attenuated by HMGB1 deficiency (Figure 5E–G). As anticipated, dabrafenib treatment elicited significantly higher levels of cleaved PARP in HMGB1-deficient cells relative to controls (Figure 4C and D). Therefore, we determined that HMGB1 is a key regulator of the miR-26a-mediated drug efficacy via autophagy pathways.

**miR-26a Sensitizes Melanoma Cells To Dabrafenib While HMGB1 Attenuates Dabrafenib Cytotoxicity In Melanoma**

Based on the results above, we would expect that miR-26a would augment dabrafenib cytotoxicity while HMGB1 has
the opposite effect. Cells transfected with miR-NC, miR-26a mimic, NTC shRNA, or HMGB1 shRNA were treated with dabrafenib at 100nM for 24 hrs. We then assessed cell viability by CCK8 test and found that melanoma cells transfected with the miR-26a mimic and HMGB1 shRNA were more sensitive to the dabrafenib chemotherapy in comparison to control groups (Figure 6). These results indicate that miR26a possibly sensitizes dabrafenib-induced anti-tumor activity via HMGB1.

Discussion

Melanoma is known as the most aggressive and lethal type of cutaneous cancer. In the last two decades, a large number of chemotherapeutic drugs have been developed including BRAF inhibitors such as dabrafenib. However, melanoma of advanced stages still has poor prognosis and high mortality rates due to adaptive or acquired drug resistance. Our study demonstrated the underlying mechanisms of miR-26a-mediated chemo-

Figure 3 miR-26a inhibits autophagy and induces apoptosis in melanoma cells in response to dabrafenib via a HMGB1-dependent pathway. Melanoma cells were first transfected with a GFP-MAP1LC3 reporter plasmid and control miRNA or a miR-26a mimic. These cells were then treated with dabrafenib (100 nM) for 24 hrs. (A) Confocal images showing GFP-LC3 puncta formation induced by dabrafenib treatment. The percentage of cells showing accumulation of GFP-LC3 puncta in (B) A375 and (C) MEL624 cells. (D) Western blot analysis of cleaved PARP, HMGB1, and β-actin proteins after dabrafenib treatment in A375 (left panel) and MEL624 (right panel) cells. Apoptosis levels in melanoma cells were analyzed by measuring cleaved PARP levels via Western blot. Cleaved PARP levels (E) were elevated while HMGB1 expression was decreased in miR-26a-overexpressing cells. ***p < 0.001 compared with control group.
resistance regulation to dabrafenib via a HMGB1-dependent autophagy pathway for the first time.

Recent interest has focused on understanding the molecular basis of drug resistance to BRAFi in melanoma cells. It is a complex process that involves a large amount of genes and the pathways. Our group has recently focused on the roles of miRNAs in melanoma drug resistance as they are major post-transcriptional regulators and their dysregulation is observed in a wide range of human diseases, cancers in particular. miR-26a is one such example. miR-26a was found downregulated in a variety of cancers including hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), breast cancer, anaplastic thyroid cancer, nasopharyngeal cancer, colon cancer, and melanoma.\(^\text{30-35}\) In addition, miR-26a was also implicated in chemotherapy resistance in HCC, breast cancer, lung cancer, and gastric cancer through different target transcripts.\(^\text{16,36-38}\) In the present study, we searched and tried several putative targets of miR-26a that are previously documented in other studies including EZH2, PTEN (data not shown), and HMGB1.\(^\text{18,39,40}\) miR-26a was found to inhibit HMGB1 expression and protect cardiomyocytes against ischemia-reperfusion injury and inflammatory injury.\(^\text{41,42}\) In our current study, we found that miR-26a was downregulated while HMGB1 was upregulated post-dabrafenib treatment in two melanoma cell lines.

HMGB1 is well established as a key regulator of autophagy and apoptosis. Autophagy is a cellular process of self-digestion of cytoplasmic components through which cells can maintain cellular homeostasis.\(^\text{43}\) Recent studies have revealed that autophagy is also an adaptive response to certain stresses including chemotherapies and radiation therapies.\(^\text{44}\) However, the exact roles of miR-26a/HMGB1 and autophagy in determining the sensitivity of melanoma cells to dabrafenib remain enigmatic. Our results demonstrated that dabrafenib caused autophagy in melanoma and this autophagic process was regulated by miR-26a via modifying HMGB1 expression. We further determined that silencing HMGB1 inhibited dabrafenib-induced autophagy in melanoma cells. We also verified that treatment with a miR-26a mimic or HMGB1 shRNA could increase the efficacy of dabrafenib treatment in melanoma cells.

In sum, our studies identified that miR-26a is involved in the regulation of dabrafenib sensitivity in melanoma via...
Figure 5 HMGB1 deficiency inhibits autophagy induced by dabrafenib in melanoma cells. Melanoma cells were first transfected with NTC shRNA or HMGB1 shRNA. (A) Verification of shRNA-mediated knockdown of HMGB1 by Western blot. (B) Western blot analysis of LC3-I/II, p62, and β-actin proteins after dabrafenib treatment (100nM) for 24 hrs in A375 (left panel) and MEL624 (right panel) cells. (C) LC3-I/II and (D) p62 levels were quantified. (E) Confocal images showing GFP-LC3 puncta formation induced by dabrafenib treatment. The percentage of cells showing accumulation of GFP-LC3 puncta in (F) A375 and (G) MEL624 cells. Results showed that dabrafenib-induced autophagy signaling was diminished in HMGB1-deficient melanoma cells. ***p < 0.001 compared to control group.
a HMGB1-dependent autophagy pathway. These findings may provide new insight into how autophagy regulates the BRAF\(^{\text{I}}\) resistance in melanoma cells. Therefore, miR-26a/ HMGB1 might be a promising therapeutic target for dabrafenib-based melanoma therapy.
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