Investigation of the Mechanical Properties of Palm Kernel Fiber Reinforced with Waste Water Sachet (Polyethylene) Composite Material
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Abstract. An investigation was carried out to produce a composite material of palm kernel fibre and empty water sachet (polyethylene). The percentage composition of the fibre was varied likewise the matrix the reinforcing material was varied from 5 wt. % to 20 wt. %. The materials were heated and poured in a mould. Mechanical properties of the composites were tested and determined. Impact strength of the materials gave prime information on possible application of the developed material. After the analysis, it was verified that tensile strength decreased with filler loading and the material with 10 wt. % of palm kernel fibre developed a better mechanical property following its high tensile strength and impact strength and thus material will be most useful where strength to weight ratio is needed.

Introduction

The disposal of solid wastes such as water sachet and palm kernel fibre into our environment is a serious issue that needed to be addressed and a remedy to it proffered. Palm kernel fibre is a waste gotten from the extraction of palm oil from the kernel disposed after the content is used. These two wastes possess a great environmental degradation that usually results in pollutions, blocking of water channels and in most cases to outbreak of disease. According to [2], in developing country like Nigeria, sachet water cannot be easily substituted by table water for drinking purposes, as the table water cost more than five times that of sachet water. Sachet water has become popular in almost all the communities but unfortunately, this has led to a new source of solid waste since the LDPE has extremely low rate of degradation [3], [4].

Many works have been devoted to the use of other natural fillers in composite in recent past and palm kernel waste is a potential candidate for the development of new composite because of their high strength and modulus properties [5-7]. A number of investigations have been carried out to assess the potentials of palm kernel fibre reinforced polymer composite in the automotive and other industries owning to its physical and mechanical properties [8-10]. This paper aims to development of new composite material from waste water sachet (pure water sachet) and palm kernel waste from our surroundings and to determine mechanical properties of the developed composite material. Huda et al. [11], Clemons and Sanadi [12] studied the effects of fibre content, coupling agent and temperature on the impact performance of kernel fibre reinforced polyethylene. Earlier studies by Singh [13], Dhib and Al-Nidawy [14], Ashby [15], Bledzki Gassan [16], Amoako et al. [17], Samotu et al. [18], Abdullah et al. [19], and Acha et al. [20] proved that palm kernel fibre, waste water sachet can be used as effective reinforcement and bonded in Polyethylene matrix.

Materials and Method

The palm kernel fibres were gathered locally and were washed with detergent to remove left over of oil on it. Then it was sun dried for three days and in an oven at the temperature of 150 °C degrees. The grinding of the palm kernel was done using Grinder/polisher machine Model 900, (maker south bay technology). The separation process of the raw particle fibres was done using round
vibratory sieves (Unit Test) for different sizes of sieves, namely 1.18 mm, 600 µm, 400 µm, 300 µm, 200 µm and 150 µm. The equipment that was used in carrying out this research work are Grinding Machine model 900 (maker South bay Technology), Sieve, Pulverizing Machine, Monsanto testing Machine for hardness, Izod Impact testing machine, Universal Instron Machine, Model 3369, (maker Instron) for tensile test. As described in American Standard Testing and Measurement (ASTM) method, D638 Test for tensile properties were determined. Five specimens as shown in Table 1 were prepared for the study. A graph of tensile stress versus tensile strain was plotted automatically by the computer. The hardness values of samples were determined according to the provisions in American Society of testing and materials (ASTM E18-79) using the Rockwell hardness tester on “B” scale (Frank Welltest Rockwell Hardness Tester, model 38506) with 1.56mmsteel ball indenter, minor load of 10kg, major load of100kg and hardness value of 101.2HRB as the standard block [21, 22].

Table 1. The percentage composition by weight of the composite

| S/N | Polyethylene (wt. %) | Palm kernel waste (wt. %) |
|-----|----------------------|--------------------------|
| 1   | 90                   | 5                        |
| 2   | 80                   | 10                       |
| 3   | 70                   | 15                       |
| 4   | 50                   | 20                       |
| 5   | Control 100%         |                          |

Five different types of composites were produced with the palm kernel fibre and sachet water polyethylene.

Results and Discussion

Tensile Test. From Fig. 1, tensile strength increases with weight fraction of palm kernel fibre particles in the matrix up to 10 wt. % and then decreases. It clearly indicates that addition of palm kernel fibre particles improves the load bearing capacity of the composites. Similar observations have been reported by [23] for other fiber reinforced polymer composites. The increase in tensile strength with increasing palm kernel fibre particles loading is due to the addition of the particles which increases the stiffness of the composites, which in turn decreases the elongation at break. The highest tensile strength for reinforced with palm kernel fibre particles occurs at 10 wt. % which is 1.96397MPa, while the lowest tensile strength occurs at the control sample with 0wt. % with a value of 0.21296 MPa.

A graph of tensile stress versus tensile strain was plotted automatically by the computer. The tensile stress versus tensile stain graph was obtained for each composite.
The high decreased in tensile strength beyond the optimum point may be attributed to decreasing the interfacial area as the particles content increased, which resulted in worsening the interfacial bonding between the palm kernel fibre particles and polymer matrix. The reduction in tensile strength may also be due to an agglomeration of the palm kernel fibre particles in the polymer matrix which form a domain that looks like a foreign body in the matrix, and these act as obstacles to chains movement and initiate failure under stress. Similar observations have been reported by Aigbodion et al. [24].

Also from the graph that is generated directly from the machine for tensile test using a computer with respect to load and displacement for Polyethylene -Palm Kernel. The results indicated that Polyethylene – Palm Kernel fibre of 50/20 wt. % specimen gives tensile strength that is low. The Maximum tensile stress of the Polyethylene–Palm Kernel composite is 0.62247MPa. As such makes the composite not applicable in places or areas of high tensile stress.
The result of the graph obtained indicated that Polyethylene–Palm Kernel Composite of 70/15 wt. % specimen gives relatively lower tensile stress as a result of high fibre content addition of more fibre lowers the bearing capacity and the ability of the composite to withstand tensile forces. The Maximum tensile stress of the Polyethylene – Palm kernel Fibre composite is within the range of 0.28771MPa.

From the result of the graph above it indicates that the Polyethylene – Palm Kernel Fibre composite of 80/10 wt. % specimen gave the highest tensile strength. The maximum tensile stress of the composite is within the range of 1.96397MPa before the material fractured.
The results indicated that the polyethylene – Palm kernel Fibre specimen of 90/5 wt. % gave the least tensile strength for the reinforced composites.

The sample graph generated directly from the machine for tensile test with respect to load and displacement for the matrix indicated that the unreinforced matrix specimen gives tensile strength that is the lowest. This is as a result of absence of reinforcing material. As can be seen from the graphs and other data analysed, the tensile strength of the composite materials varies with increase in weight fraction of the palm kernel fibre particles in the matrix. This shows that further addition of palm kernel fibre particles above 10 wt. % lowers the load bearing capacity of the composites. The introduction of the reinforcements lowers the maximum tensile stress obtained from sample 50/20wt. % to 70/15wt. %. From the research, sample of 80/10wt. % was found to have the best mechanical property among other composites formed.
**Hardness Test.** The result of the hardness values with weight percentage of orange peels particles is shown in Fig. 7. The results obtained from the hardness test show that the hardness of the composite material increases with an increase in the percentage of the composite reinforcement. This is due to increase in the percentage of the hard and brittle phase of the ceramics body in the polymer matrix. The hardness number increases from 27.9 HBR obtained from 90/5 wt. % sample to 40.5HBR of the sample 70/15 wt. % which represents a tremendous increase and then reduced to 21.5HBR for 50/20 wt. % sample. In comparison with the unreinforced polymer matrix, a substantial improvement in hardness values was obtained in the reinforced polymer matrix. The variation in the hardness number of the composite material also agrees with observations made by other researchers [14, 15].

![Figure 7. Hardness test of the composite materials](image)

**Impact Test.** The impact energy absorbed before the material breaks are obtained from the scale on the testing machine using the Izod impact machine. Fig. 8 shows that with an increase in the weight of fibre content the ability of matrix to absorb energy reduces thereby reducing the toughness, so impact strength falls marginally.

The impact energy of the control sample failed at 20.2 J. Sample with 15 % volume fraction palm kernel fibre in the matrix has the lowest impact energy of 18.63 J while that obtained from the 20 % sample has highest impact energy. The drop in impact energy is usually associated with the introduction of the particles and this reduces the deformability of the matrix. It was seen that the ability to resist impact force is higher in the unreinforced composite than those reinforced with fibre.

![Figure 8. Impact strength of the composite materials](image)
Morphology. The morphology of palm kernel fibre reinforced polyethylene composite was studied by means of the Accuscope Microscope. The images of composites containing different fraction weight of palm kernel fibre are shown in Fig. 9. It can be seen that micrograph showed various level of adhesion. For the 5 wt. %, it shows there were poor fibre matrix adhesion which is justified by the presence of gaps between both of the fibres and the matrix as shown in Figs. 9. The control unreinforced polyethylene matrix shows no presence of any form of palm kernel fibre particle in. Particles-matrix interface plays an important role in composite properties. A strong particles-matrix interface bond is critical for high mechanical properties of composites [24]. As the palm kernel fibre particle is increased to 20 wt. %, there is some agglomeration of the particles. It displayed a rough morphology with the presence of voids between the filler particles and the polymer matrix, clearly indicating the poor interaction between them. This indicates the presence of reinforcing particles grossly rejected by the polymer. From Figs. 9c with palm kernel fibre of 15 wt. %, the presence of more polyethylene reduced the voids sizes and turned the surface more homogeneous confirming its effect on promoting adhesion in the interfacial region. The Micrograph of reinforced composite of 10 wt. % palm kernel fibre shows the presence of the reinforcing composite sparsely rejected by the polymer. It can be observed that the 10 wt. % samples have a smoother adhesion compared to the 5 wt. % samples in Fig. 9e. It is very clear that the filler weight increases the effective surface area available for wetting by the polymer matrix.
Conclusions

In this present work, successful investigation of the mechanical properties of palm kernel fibre reinforced with a waste water sachet material was carried out. From the results obtained, the hardness value of the palm kernel fibre composites increases which is attributed to the increase in the ratio of palm kernel fibre of each sample. The tensile strengths slightly decreased, however they were improved in the 10 wt. %. This shows that palm kernel fibre has good bonding between the matrixes at a good proportion. The impact test shows that the composite with the 90/10 wt. % of fibre and matrix has a significant increase in impact strength also the control has a good impact strength. The 15 % reinforced composite was found to be good results compared to the unmodified. The composite with the maximum tensile property and a good hardness property can be used for both mechanical equipment and consumables.
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