Small-molecule mimicry hunting strategy in the imperial cone snail, Conus imperialis
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Venomous animals hunt using bioactive peptides, but relatively little is known about venom small molecules and the resulting complex hunting behaviors. Here, we explored the specialized metabolites from the venom of the worm-hunting cone snail, Conus imperialis. Using the model polychaete worm Platynereis dumerilii, we demonstrate that C. imperialis venom contains small molecules that mimic natural polychaete mating pheromones, evoking the mating phenotype in worms. The specialized metabolites from different cone snails are species-specific and structurally diverse, suggesting that the cones may adopt many different prey-hunting strategies enabled by small molecules. Predators sometimes attract prey using the prey’s own pheromones, in a strategy known as aggressive mimicry. Instead, C. imperialis uses metabolically stable mimics of those pheromones, indicating that, in biological mimicry, even the molecules themselves may be disguised, providing a twist on fake news in chemical ecology.

RESULTS
Small-molecule chemistry of C. imperialis venom glands

C. imperialis specimens were collected in the Philippines, Hawaii, Taiwan, Vanuatu, and Papua New Guinea (table S1). Distal venom gland metabolomes from the Philippines and Hawaii were analyzed. A chemistry-first approach led to the isolation and nuclear magnetic
resonance (NMR)—based characterization of two previously undescribed compounds, conazolium A (1) and B (2), as well as the known genuanine (3) (13), as the major compounds present in the distal venom glands. The structures of conazoliums were elucidated using spectroscopy and chemical degradation.

Conazolium A (1) was isolated as its trifluoroacetate salt. The molecular formula C_{16}H_{25}N_{6}O_{4}S+ was assigned on the basis of a molecular ion at mass/charge ratio (m/z) 397.1643 and the 1H and 13C NMR spectra (table S2 and fig. S1). The presence of the histidinyl moiety could be inferred from heteronuclear multiple bond correlation (HMBC) crosspeaks (fig. S1). This moiety was attached via a thioether bond to another functional group, which based on the remaining formula of C_{5}H_{6}N_{3}O_{2}, an ultraviolet (UV) signal at λ_{max} 269 nm (fig. S1), and HMBC correlations was proposed to be 6-amino-1-methyluracil (AmMU) bridged at C-5 with the thioether. The lack of two-dimensional (2D) NMR correlations because of the highly substituted nature of AmMU made this assignment speculative, but we were encouraged by previously reported model compounds containing thioether-bridged AmMU (fig. S1) (15). To ensure that we were not constrained by incorrect assumptions, MOLGEN (16) was used to generate all possible structures, with only AmMU fitting the spectroscopic data. Last, the products of nickel boride reduction of conazolium A were compared with synthetic AmMU (fig. S1), confirming the structure of conazolium A as shown. The calculated and measured electronic circular dichroism (ECD) spectra of conazolium A (1) matched relatively well (fig. S1), leading to assignment of C-2 as S. The structure of conazolium B (2) was determined similarly. Both structures were supported by tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS) data (fig. S1). These results confirm that the colored venom glands of some cone snails are potentially rich sources of diverse, previously undescribed compounds. A complete description of structure elucidation is provided in the Supplementary Materials.

**Role of C. imperialis small molecules in prey capture**

The dominance of small molecules in *C. imperialis* distal venom glands suggested that the compounds might play a role in the hunting ecology of cones. Cone snails commonly weaponize peptide hormones, such as insulins, for hunting (17). Guided by this principle, we sought chemical and biosynthetic orthologs in the polychaete prey of *C. imperialis*. We expected that these might be primary, common metabolites involved in central biological processes in the polychaetes. Conazolium A (1) and genuanine structurally resemble ovothiol (4) and uric acid (5) and inosine, which are mating pheromones in polychaetes such as *Platyneireis dumerilii* (18–22). Ovothiol (4) and uric acid (5) are metabolically labile, while the *C. imperialis* venom compounds do not undergo the same metabolic reactions. For example, the major inactivating reaction of ovothiol (4) pheromone activity is disulfide formation to give (6) (18), which is chemically impossible in 1. We hypothesized that conazoliums and genuanine are chemical mimics of *P. dumerilii* pheromones and that they are used in an aggressive mimicry hunting strategy.

Based on an examination of stomach contents, *C. imperialis* was thought to eat amphinomid polychaetes (23), although little is known about its native feeding habits. However, ecological studies with these nonmodel polychaetes were difficult, as despite several tries over a period of years we could not find samples at the right stage in the mating cycle to test the hypothesis in controlled studies. Thus, a model system was required. *P. dumerilii* is one of the few polychaete model systems used in biological research (24), and it is consumed by worm-hunting cones (25, 26). To determine whether *P. dumerilii* would provide a suitable model, we reinvestigated this question using a validated polymerase chain reaction (PCR) approach that has been recently applied to worm-hunting cones (26). The stomach contents of four specimens from Hawaii and the Philippines were investigated, leading to PCR products from Phyllodocida, the same order encompassing *P. dumerilii* (fig. S6). Thus, the feeding biology of *C. imperialis* is more complex than previously recorded (24–26).

We tested the aggressive mimicry hypothesis by treating the sexually mature male and female *P. dumerilii* with genuanine and conazolium A, comparing their responses with vehicle controls and with the natural external fertilization process (movies S1 to S4). A crossover study design was used in which the vehicle control was added first, followed by the test compound several minutes later (Fig. 2A). Each treatment consisted of vehicle (5% dimethyl sulfoxide or water;
25 μl), with or without 2.5 μmol of test compound, added to the worms swimming in 70-ml seawater. Biological replicates were performed by different investigators during different mating cycles (different months).

The amount of compound to be used in each assay was estimated in comparison to the isolated yield from native venom ducts. To provide further confidence that an ecologically relevant amount of compound was used in each assay, a standard curve for conazolium A was generated using high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), revealing that conazolium A was present at a concentration of ~150 μM considering the whole venom gland, or an astonishing 19% of the dry weight of the venom gland. A previous experiment provided a similar order of magnitude estimate for genuanine (13) so that overall small molecules comprise ~50% of the dry weight of the gland. Assuming that not all of the venom duct contents are expelled in a single encounter with prey, we designed experiments that used about one-fifth of that concentration.

The native pheromone uric acid causes immediate sperm release by mature males (20). The first biological replicate consisted of injection of vehicle followed by genuanine in seven individual male worms. While none of the worms responded to vehicle, five of seven responded to genuanine by releasing sperm. A comparison of vehicle versus genuanine response for these seven worms provided a Fisher’s exact test P value of 0.02 (Fig. 2B). In a second biological replicate, nine worms were treated only with vehicle followed by vehicle, and nine with vehicle followed by genuanine. No worms responded to vehicle, while eight of nine released sperm clouds in response to genuanine. When the results from these 25 worms were compared, the results were significant (Fisher’s exact test, P = 0.0001; Fig. 2), revealing that genuanine induces a pheromonal response in male P. dumerilii.

When females are exposed to males or to male pheromones, the worms swim in a tight circle pattern before spawning (21). Two tests were used. In the first, female worms (n = 4) were examined by filming and quantifying their swimming response upon addition of conazolium A. While the four worms did not respond to the initial treatment with vehicle, after addition of conazolium A three of them exhibited the tight-swimming response (Fig. 2C). The number of tight circles over 15 s was quantified in the responsive animals, and the difference in swimming behavior between the vehicle and conazolium A treatments was significant (t test, P = 0.008).

We performed this internal crossover-controlled experiment because the behavioral variability of female worms was high in comparison to males, making comparisons between individuals more
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**Fig. 2. Behavioral responses of sexually mature P. dumerilii on conazolium A and genuanine.** (A) Schematic of experiments. Worms were treated with vehicle followed by vehicle, or vehicle followed by test compound, with positive/negative responses indicated. Fisher’s exact test was applied, comparing the responses to vehicle versus responses to compound. (B) Genuanine induces sperm release in males. A time series of 1 worm out of the 13 positive in (A) indicates sperm release with red arrows. (C) Conazolium A induces female worms to swim in small tight circles, a behavior part of the nuptial dance during external fertilization. Untreated sexually mature females swim along the edges of the beaker throughout the duration of the assay. Treatment of conazolium significantly increases the tendency of female worms to swim in smaller tight circles, evident in the first 15 s. Videos of the assay and the natural mating process are found in movies S1 to S4.
challenging. However, the clear results from this first experiment led us to perform a series of further experiments comparing vehicle-only worms to those treated with conazolium A. We used only worms that had a typical large-circle swimming pattern before the experiment in the analysis. Over 5 days of experiments, a total of eight females were in the vehicle control group, and seven females were treated with conazolium A. All eight of the controls did not change swimming behavior in response to treatment, while four of the seven worms treated with conazolium A exhibited the tight-swimming behavior. When all experiments with all 19 females were analyzed with a yes/no metric for tight-swimming behavior, Fisher’s exact test gave a $P$ value of 0.01 (Fig. 2), revealing a significant response of female worms to conazolium A treatment.

Overall, both genuanine and conazolium A initiated significant responses in polychaete worms that were very similar to responses to native worm pheromones. To determine how this experiment might reflect natural predation patterns, we recorded *C. imperialis* preying upon amphinomid polychaetes in an aquarium. *C. imperialis* was observed harpooning prey animals. In one video, *C. imperialis* was observed seeking a polychaete that had hidden inside of a small hole in the aquarium (movie S5). *C. imperialis* speared the worm and pulled it out of the hole, potentially reinforcing the idea that venom compounds are needed to entice polychaetes out of hiding places (movie S6). The colored venom can be observed injected into prey (movie S7). In some videos, as seen in a previous report (25), the harpoon was released, while in others the harpoon served as a tether to hold the prey.

**Biosynthesis of conazolium A and ovothiol in cones and polychaetes**

Conopeptides are locally produced by specialized cells lining the venom glands, where they are immediately available for prey capture (27). We sought to determine whether small molecules present in the gland are also locally produced. The early gene involved in ovothiol biosynthesis (ovoA) is conserved in animals, while later steps to ovothiol and conazoliums are convergent and/or unknown (28). Therefore, *C. imperialis* and other *Conus* venom gland transcriptomes were examined for the presence of ovoA homologs. In *C. imperialis*, both whole venom glands and ducts sectioned by color were used. An ovoA homolog, conA, was discovered in the glands of *C. imperialis* containing conazoliums, but only in the forward colored section. There, it was highly abundant, as compared to other sections of the gland closer to the venom bulb (fig. S2). To test the potential role of ConA in conazolium biosynthesis, the protein was overexpressed in *Escherichia coli*, purified it to homogeneity, and used in biochemical assays with cysteine and histidine (29, 30). Efficient formation of the expected ovothiol intermediate was observed (Fig. 3 and fig. S3). These data provide evidence that, like conopeptides, conazoliums or their biochemical precursors are produced in epithelial cells of the distal venom gland.

ovoA-like biosynthetic gene transcripts were also detected in nine other species of cone snails in the collection, showing that ovothiol or its derivatives may be present in several different cones. A survey of ovoA homologs in polychaete worms revealed that the transcripts were present in all available databases, including amphinomid
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**Fig. 3. ConA discovery and biochemistry.** (A) Schematic diagram dividing the venom gland into four different sections. Small molecules are mainly found in the proximal sections of *C. imperialis*. (B) HPLC-diode array detector analysis of conazolium A and genuanine in the proximal section of the venom gland. (C and D) ConA catalyzes the first step of conazolium biosynthesis through the formation of histidylcysteine using histidine and cysteine (red arrows in (D)).
worms, although reassembly of the raw data was occasionally required (table S3 and fig. S2). The synthesis of ovothiol or related metabolites may be universal in polychaetes.

**Chemical variation and speciation in *Stephanoconus***

An initial mystery was that we could not always isolate conazolium A from all *C. imperialis* specimens. Therefore, a metabolomics survey of *C. imperialis* specimens was performed to determine the pattern of occurrence of conazolium A and other small molecules in the snails. Notably, we found that the distal venom components of *C. imperialis* could be split into two clearly discernible branches (Fig. 4). One of these was found in *C. imperialis* specimens from relatively deeper, colder waters. We first characterized this branch from specimens collected near Cebu, Philippines, at a depth of −150 to −210 m. These deep-water specimens always contained conazoliums A and B, as well as genuanine. The other metabolic branch consisted of specimens from relatively shallower, warmer waters, first characterized from Cebu specimens collected at depths of −30 to −60 m. These specimens universally lacked conazoliums, but they instead contained neuroactive amino acids such as tryptophan and histidine, as well as neurotransmitters such as serotonin and glutamate-derived metabolites.

The deep- and shallow-water specimens were also physically different from each other: The deep-water *C. imperialis* tended to be
smaller, have pronounced spires, free of periostacum, and with slightly different shell patterns than shallow *C. imperialis* (Fig. 5 and fig. S4). Their distal venom glands had a greenish yellow color, rather than the very dark red of the shallow specimens. These trends could be observed over a large geographical radius encompassing specimens from the Philippines, Hawaii, and Vanuatu. Thus, we hypothesized that deep- and shallow-water specimens represented genetically distinct groups of *C. imperialis*. Elements related to habitat depth might also drive different hunting strategies within a genetically homogeneous population.

To test these hypotheses, a phylogenetic tree was constructed using the mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase I (COI) gene sequence, focusing on the *Stephanoconus* sub-genus of which *C. imperialis* is a member. *C. imperialis* deep- and shallow-water specimens diverged into two genetically distinct populations. Using the COI gene from an available specimen (table S4), we found that the chemically similar *C. genuanus* also lies within a clade that includes all of the known members of *Stephanoconus* (Fig. 5).

**Potential pharmaceutical relevance in mammalian target assays**

Conopeptides used in hunting have been extensively exploited as pharmaceuticals and drug leads (10). We sought to determine the potential of conazolium A in medicine. Conazolium A is a competitive antagonist of acetylcholine (ACh)–evoked currents mediated by human $\alpha_7$ nicotinic ACh receptor (nAChR) (31) to 100 $\mu$M ACh, with an $IC_{50}$ (half-maximal inhibitory concentration) of 24.4 [95% confidence interval (CI), 19.9 to 35.2] (Fig. 6). This potency is consistent with what is typically found in natural red venom. Conotoxin peptides often target nAChRs, disabling prey through various mechanisms (32). It is thus possible that conazolium A augments the activity of peptides such as the known *C. imperialis* $\alpha_7$ blocker.
conotoxin ImI (12). γ-Glutamyl serotonin and L-tryptophan showed modest activity in mouse dorsal root ganglion (DRG) neurons (33), activating a small population of neurons (table S5).

**DISCUSSION**

Here, we show that what was previously described as a single species, *C. imperialis*, is likely composed of two different species. The deep- and shallow-water clades of *C. imperialis* are more genetically distant from each other than they are from *C. fuscatus*, which many researchers consider to be the distinct species, *Conus* (or *Rhombiconus*) *fuscatus* (34). Deep- and shallow-water *C. imperialis* also occupy the same geographical range across the oceans, yet retain their genetic distinctiveness. Overall, the data suggest taxonomic reinvestigation of this species and its close relatives.

Each of these potentially different species uses a consistent mixture of bioactive small molecules, synthesized in the distal venom gland, for prey capture. The shallow-water *C. imperialis* contains abundant amounts of neurotransmitters such as serotonin and glutamate, as well as related compounds, which are likely involved in predation based on previous studies. For example, serotonin activates or represses nAChRs in mouse DRG neurons (35). Glutamate has been identified as a part of a polycheate mating pheromone cocktail (21), while γ-glutamyl serotonin is a known annelid metabolite (36). Histopine is similar to fish attractant compounds (37), and oleoylserotonin is a low-micromolar transient receptor potential cation channel subfamily V (TRPV1) antagonist (which would potentially block pain signals) (38). By contrast, deep-water *C. genuanus* contains conazolium A and B. Both shallow- and deep-water *C. imperialis*, as well as the related *Stephanoconus* species *C. genuanus*, contain genuineine. Thus, as is found in cone snail peptide venoms, the small molecule venom components constitute a complex cocktail, which might be repurposed for targeting prey in different habitats.

In this study, we show that conazolium A and genuineine, like serotonin, exhibit profound physiological effects on polychaetes, and therefore, we propose that these novel compounds are also important for successful hunting. The compounds resemble native polycheate pheromones, which include ovothiol, urate, guanosine, and inosine in various worm species (18–21). When added to sexually mature *P. dumerilii*, conazolium A and genuineine induce mating behaviors that are similar to those induced by the natural pheromones, including sperm release and mating dance (39). Conazolium A and genuineine may serve either as attractants or as neuromodulators in worm prey.

The use of pheromone mimics has been described before in the chemical aggressive mimicry strategy used by *Mastophora* sp. spiders, although not previously in venoms (40–42). In aggressive mimicry, a predator imitates the native attractants of prey animals to lure them in for the kill (43, 44). *Mastophora* spiders secrete a chemical lure: The spiders coopt the pheromones that their prey natively use as sexual attractants. What is different here is that, unlike *Mastophora*, *C. imperialis* does not make the same pheromones found in prey polycheats. Instead, it makes more chemically stable mimics of those pheromones. Such a strategy is important because, like the stable analogs of hormones used in human birth control pills (45), the resulting compounds work independently of the prey’s metabolism, potentially overwhelming the normal mating systems.

Furthermore, polychaetes often remain hidden within tubes or crevices, and thus, it is tempting to speculate that conazolium and genuineine might be used to draw them out of otherwise hidden and inaccessible burrows. This idea is analogous to the King’s Gambit, a classical chess move in which the king uses two pawns to draw out the opponent. *C. imperialis*, the imperial cone (named after the “crown” atop its shell), might use its two pawns conazolium and genuineine in an analogous manner.

The current study, while opening up a new area of venom research, has several limitations. First, the real-world situation is much more complicated than can be recapitulated in laboratory assays. Worm-hunting cones target many different polycheate species, and although there is some indication that polycheate pheromones are highly promiscuous in their cross-species activity (46, 47), limited information is available given the >8000 polychaete species. The venom toxin mixtures contain many more bioactive compounds, such as conopeptides, which would add to the complexity of the response in nature. This mimics the known complexity of native pheromones, which also comprise much more complex mixtures than simply ovothiol and uric acid. The hunting strategies of vermivorous cone snails in nature are also almost completely unknown and remain unaddressed in this work. In short, although genuineine and conazolium mimic polycheate pheromones, how the compounds fit into the overall worm-hunting strategy requires more research. The present study suggests a path forward for future field research of cone-hunting strategies.

In a second limitation, while we provide genetic and biochemical evidence that functional ovothiol genes are abundantly expressed in...
the C. imperialis venom gland, we have not yet identified late-stage enzymes that would be involved in specialization of ovothiol into the conazolium natural products. Further work is ongoing to uncover these and other biosynthetic genes.

Cone snails have long been studied for their potential medical applications, leading to an approved drug and many compounds in clinical trials. So far, all of these drugs are peptides, which are not orally bioavailable and must be injected. Here, we show that small molecules are also active components of some venoms with pharmaceutical potential. Small molecules have some advantages in that they are often orally bioavailable, making it possible to take them in pill form (48). Conazolium A was active on targets in polychaetes, as well as a nAChR antagonist. While the compound was modestly acting on the human receptor, it is a new lead scaffold for the design of small molecules targeting nAChRs.

Here, we discovered a biological interaction in which venom component small molecules mimick native prey pheromones in a twist on aggressive mimicry. In this process, primary metabolic biosynthesis involved in central metabolism is repurposed for prey capture through simple modifications to the primary metabolites, ovothiol and guanosine. It seems likely that, given the many venomous animal species, a biology-first approach to natural products discovery should yield many more unexpected roles for chemistry in nature.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample collection

Cone snails were collected either by SCUBA or by using gig nets. Geographic location and period of cone snail collection used in this work are listed in table S1. Snails were dissected, and venom glands were divided into four sections (distal, middle distal, middle proximal, and proximal). Sectioned tissues are stored in RNAlater and were divided into four sections (distal, middle distal, middle proximal, and proximal). Conazolium A was active on targets in polychaetes, as well as a nAChR antagonist. While the compound was modestly acting on the human receptor, it is a new lead scaffold for the design of small molecules targeting nAChRs.

Here, we discovered a biological interaction in which venom component small molecules mimic native prey pheromones in a twist on aggressive mimicry. In this process, primary metabolic biosynthesis involved in central metabolism is repurposed for prey capture through simple modifications to the primary metabolites, ovothiol and guanosine. It seems likely that, given the many venomous animal species, a biology-first approach to natural products discovery should yield many more unexpected roles for chemistry in nature.

Identification of small-molecule components in venom

Crude venom extracts were prepared from proximal sections by extraction with 200 to 1000 μl of H2O. The volume used for extraction varied according to tissue size. Briefly, proximal tissue sections in 1.5-ml microcentrifuge tubes were mixed with nanopure water and vortexed for 5 min. The mixture was centrifuged at 3739 g (150 μl H2O) for 10 min at 4°C to recover the crude venom extract.

Conazoliums A and B were purified from crude venom extracts of deep water species of C. imperialis using HPLC with a gradient from 5 to 100% MeCN in water containing 0.05% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) over 40 min to yield conazolium A (1) (1.0 mg, retention time (rt) = 7.1 min; 0.3 mg per venom gland), conazolium B (2) (2.3 mg, rt = 6.6 min; 0.8 mg per venom gland), and genuanine (0.6 mg, rt = 7.8 min; 0.2 mg per venom gland). Structures were elucidated using 1D and 2D NMR spectroscopy (see the “Structure elucidation of conazoliums” section in the Supplementary Materials). ECD calculation was performed as previously described (49). Possible structures for the AmMU part of conazolium A were generated using MOLGEN (16), with the following input formula: “C[sp3,h=3,d=0]1C[sp2,a,h=0]4H7N[val=3]3S[val=2,d=0,h=1]O2.” All 182 output structures were manually examined and compared to the spectroscopic data.

To reduce conazolium A for confirmation of the aminouaric moiety, conazolium A (50 μg) was dissolved in MeOH/THF/H2O (300 μl; 1:2:1), and NiCl2 (1.0 mg, 0.008 mmol) was added to the solution. After stirring for 1 min, NaBH4 (1.0 mg, 0.026 mmol) was added, and stirring was continued for 1 hour. The mixture was centrifuged, and the supernatant was directly used for C18 HPLC analysis with a gradient from 5 to 100% MeCN in water containing 0.05% TFA over 40 min.

Conazolium A (1): pale yellow solid; UV (MeOH) λmax 224, 269 nm; ECD (0.5 mg/ml, methanol), λmax (Δε) 269 (1.5) nm; 1H and 13C NMR (table S2); high resolution electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (HRESIMS) m/z 397.1643 [M]+ (calcd for C16H23N4O5S2, 325.1653).

Conazolium B (2): pale yellow solid; UV (MeOH) λmax 235, 297 nm; ECD (0.5 mg/ml, methanol), λmax (Δε) 240 (−1.2), 257 (0.7), 287 (0.6), 327 (−0.5) nm; 1H and 13C NMR (table S2); HRESIMS m/z 432.2062 [M]+ (calcd for C16H23N4O5S2, 432.2064).

The venom extract of shallow-water specimens of C. imperialis was purified using the same HPLC gradient as for the deep water specimens, with an Agilent Eclipse XDB-C18 column (10 × 250 mm, 5 μm), to yield histopine (3.0 mg, rt = 2.4 min; 0.7 mg per venom gland), genuanine (0.5 mg, rt = 3.9 min; 0.1 mg per venom gland), γ-glutamyl serotonin (0.8 mg, rt = 12.4 min; 0.2 mg per venom gland), and tyrosine (0.1 mg, rt = 14.6 min; 0.02 mg per venom gland).

To determine the yield of 1, a standard curve was constructed by injecting each dilution of pure conazolium A (8.0, 4.0, 2.0, 1.0, and 0.5 mg/ml in 5 μl of MeOH) into a Kinetex 5 μm HILIC 100A LC Column 250 × 4.6 mm; HPLC mobile phase: 76% MeCN in water with 0.005% TFA; flow rate: 1 ml/min; peak detection: 268 nm. A piece of venom duct (~1 cm) was cut and weighed (2.8 mg) on an analytical balance. The venom duct was lyophilized (dry weight, 0.9 mg) and extracted with 1:1 water:MeCN (400 μl). The extract (20 μl) was injected onto the same HPLC column, and the yield of 1 was calculated as 174 μg (150 μM in wet venom duct tissue; 19% of dry weight).

Metabolomics analysis

The MS/MS spectra of the 11 cone snail red venom gland extracts were generated using Agilent 6530 Q-TOF LC/MS with a Kinetex HILIC column (2.6 μ, 100 A, 10 × 4.6 mm, 1 ml/min) with a gradient from 100 to 50% MeCN in 20 min. The raw LC-MS/MS data were converted to mgf format using MassHunter. The mgf version of the data was used to generate a molecular network using the Global Natural Products Social Molecular Networking web site (50), with standard parameter and MSCLuster option turned off. The output result was visualized using Cytoscape 3.7 software (51).

Behavioral assays

P. dumerilii behavioral assay was performed using a modified version of a previously reported protocol (18). Sets of worms from different mating cycles (February, August, and September 2020) were used in the experiment. Sexually mature P. dumerilii were sex-typed and individually placed in 100-ml glass beakers containing about 70-ml filtered seawater at room temperature (19° to 20°C). Worms were allowed to acclimate for 15 min. The test compounds and vehicle controls were tested on the same specimen. Vehicle control was applied first followed by a rest period of 5 min before application of test compounds. Conazolium A and genuanine were added by injecting 25 μl (100 mM) near the female and male worms’ periphery, providing a concentration of ~35 μM. A positive response was recorded when male worms released sperm cloud upon exposure to genuanine and when female worms swam in tight small circles. All assays were video-recorded (examples provided in movies S1 to S4).
and responses to conazolium A were processed using a custom-built tracking software. A total of 25 male and 19 female worms were used in these assays, over 3 months. Biological replicates were conducted during different maturation cycles (different months).

Videos of female behavior were analyzed using a custom-built pipeline, Ruby-Tracker (https://github.com/pflorz/Ruby-Tracker), which allowed quantification of swimming behavior. In brief, all videos were transformed into an 8-bit format. An average video frame was computed for each video and then used to subtract the background of each frame. A circular binary mask was manually selected using the average video frame to limit the region of interest for the inside of the glass beaker and thus avoid possible reflections on the beakers wall to affect the tracking result. A global threshold was manually implemented. The binarized videos were then used to track the position of the free-swimming worm in every frame. Post hoc analysis and trace map generations were done using R version 3.6.3.

Statistical analyses
Mean ± SE was calculated using R package (ggpubr) and plotted using ggbarplot function. The P values between gene expression levels were calculated using T.test method using stat_compare_means function. Fisher’s exact test was calculated using fisher.test() function in R 3.5.2 package. nAChR assays used mean ± SEM calculated using ggpubr package (ggpubr) and plotted using GraphPad Prism 7.

Transcriptome sequencing and analysis
RNA was extracted from venom glands using the Direct-zol RNA extraction kit (Zymo Research, Irvine, CA, USA) following the manufacturer’s protocol. In one case, a C. imperialis venom gland was manually sectioned before processing, while in others the colored part of the venom gland was used. Extracted RNA was submitted to the Huntsman Cancer Institute’s High Throughput Genomics Core Facility, where complementary DNA (cDNA) library preparation and sequencing was performed. Transcriptomes of C. imperialis red venom gland were sequenced using an Illumina HiSeq 2000 sequencer with ~350-base pair (bp) inserts and 125-bp paired-end runs. Computational analysis was performed at the Center for High Performance Computing at the University of Utah. Raw reads were trimmed by Trimmomatic-0.39 (parameters PE -phred33 ILLUMINACLIP: TruSeq3-PE-2.fa:2:30:10 LEADING:3 TRAILING:3 SLIDINGWINDOW:4:15 MINLEN:50). Trimmmed reads for each specimen were de novo assembled using rnaSPAdes (52) with default parameters.

Protein-coding genes for each assembly were predicted using Prodigal 2.6.3 (53). The duplicated genes among specimens were removed according to blastn comparison result (identity = 100%). The remaining protein-coding genes from each assembly were combined as a reference genome. The trimmed raw reads of each specimen were multi-mapped to the reference genome assembly using bowtie 1.2.3 (54) (--all -S index). The multitude of contigs produced by assembly was hierarchically clustered, and cluster count summation was performed using Corset 1.04 (55) according to shared read information. Transcript abundance of genes was estimated using EdgeR (56) by normalized counts per million. COI, conA, and reference genes from each specimen were manually obtained using blasting.

Determination of gut contents of C. imperialis
Four different C. imperialis specimens were dissected, and the DNA was extracted. Nested PCR was used to identify polychaete 16S ribosomal RNA (rRNA) gene content in the cone snail gut metagenome. OneTaq 2X Master Mix with Standard Buffer was used with the following conditions: 95°C for 5 min followed by 40 cycles of [90°C for 10 s, 54°C for 30 s, 68°C for 20 s]. First, primers 16S_general_worm_F: CAGAGGCTCATACCC and 16S_general_worm_R: CTAAAGCCAACATCGAGG were used. For nesting, the second round used primers 16S_internal_Pdumerilli_F: CCAGCCTGTTATTAAGAAAAC and 16S_internal_Pdumerilli_R: GTCTTTTGGTGGTCACAAC. The PCR products with the anticipated size were gel-purified, cloned, and sequenced. The resulting sequences were analyzed by aligning them with rRNA gene sequences of marine annelids (order Phyllodocida) available in GenBank, using Geneious Alignment (global alignment with free end gaps). A phylogenetic tree was constructed using the unweighted pair group method with the Jones-Cantor model, using 100 bootstrap replicates. The tree and alignments were made using the Geneious Prime 2020.2.3 software package.

Cloning and expression of conA gene in E. coli
conA was amplified by PCR using products of first-strand cDNA synthesis of venom gland total RNA as template. First-strand DNA synthesis was performed using SuperScript III First-Strand Synthesis System (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). conA was cloned into pET-21b(+) by restriction cloning. Amplified conA was digested with Nde I and Bam HI (New England Biolabs) and was ligated into a linearized pET-21b(+) backbone to yield plasmid pJPTConA, which was sequenced before expression in Escherichia coli BL21(DE3) (New England Biolabs). A single E. coli colony was picked and cultured overnight in LB broth media (50 ml) supplemented with kanamycin (50 μg/ml) at 30°C at 200 rpm. For protein expression, the overnight culture (10 ml/liter) was then inoculated into LB (4 x 1 liter) supplemented with kanamycin (50 μg/ml) and incubated at 30°C at 200 rpm until OD600 (optical density at 600 nm) = 0.6. The cultures were cooled down to ~15°C before addition of 1 M isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) (50 μl). Expression of soluble ConA as the C-terminally His-tagged construct by IPTG induction was carried out by incubating the cultures in 15°C at 200 rpm for 16 hours. Cell pellets were harvested by centrifugation at 3739g for 20 min at 4°C after induction. Pellet was resuspended in lysis buffer [50 mM tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM imidazole, 10% glycerol, lysozyme (600 μg/ml), pH 7.5]. The suspension was incubated at 4°C before for 30 min before sonicing thrice with 30 s intervals. Deoxyribonuclease (20 μg/ml) was added, and the lysate was further incubated for further 15 min until the homogenate was no longer viscous. The homogeneous mixture was centrifuged at 28,928 g for 40 min at 4°C to separate the supernatant from cell debris. The supernatant was filtered with a 0.45-μm polyvinylidene difluoride syringe filter (Millex-HV, Sigma-Aldrich) before adding Ni–nitrilotriacetic acid and incubating the mixture at 4°C for 30 min. Soluble ConA was recovered from the resin by washing the resin twice with 10-ml wash buffer (50 mM tris-HCl, 1 M NaCl, 10 mM imidazole, pH 8.0) followed by a series of elution buffers (1 M NaCl, imidazole at pH 8.0) with increasing concentration of imidazole (50, 100, 200 mM imidazole). The protein-containing fraction was desalted and concentrated using Amicon Ultra 30 MWCO centrifugal filters (EMD Millipore) by centrifugation at 3739g for 20 min at 4°C.

In vitro characterization of ConA
Enzyme reaction mixtures (100 μl) consisted of enzyme ConA (2 μM), 50 mM tris–HCl (pH 8.0), 20 mM NaCl, 1 mM histidine, 1 mM tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine, 0.1 mM FeSO4, and 1 mM sulfur-donor substrates (cysteine, glutathione, methionine, and N-acetyl cysteine).
The reaction mixture was incubated at room temperature for 20 min and quenched by addition of 30 μl of water with 1.0% TFA. An aliquot (20 μl) of the reaction mixture was diluted in 70 μl of H2O and analyzed by HPLC. HPLC analysis was performed using a Hitachi Primad HPLC system equipped with a DAD detector and autoinjector. Aliquots (20 μl) of the sample were injected onto a reversed-phase C18 analytical column (Luna C18 4.6 × 100 mm, Phenomenex) with a gradient starting at 0% mobile phase B (H2O + 0.1% TFA: MeCN) for 5 min and increased until 5% mobile phase B for 20 min at a rate of 0.7 ml/min. The reaction product was purified by HPLC and characterized by NMR (fig. S3C).

COI analysis
Genomic DNA was extracted from foot or body tissue using the Gentra PUREGENE DNA Isolation Kit (Gentra Systems, Minneapolis, MN) according to the manufacturer’s standard protocol. Genomic DNA (10 ng) was used as a template for PCR with oligonucleotides corresponding to LCOI-1490 (5’-GGTCAACAATCATAAAGAYATGYG) and HCOI-2198 (5’-TAACTTCAGGGTGAACAAAAYAAYC) COI gene segments (57). PCR was performed with Advantage 2 Polymerase Mix (Clontech Laboratories Inc., CA, USA) using the following method: initial denaturation (95°C, 60 s), followed by 40 cycles of denaturation (95°C, 20 s), annealing (55°C, 20 s), and extension (72°C, 30 s).

The resulting PCR products were purified using the PureLink PCR Product Purification Kit (Invitrogen Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA) following the manufacturer’s suggested protocol. The eluted DNA fragments were annealed to pNEB206A vector, and the resulting products were transformed into competent DH5α cells, using the USER Friendly Cloning Kit (New England BioLabs Inc., Beverly, MA) following the manufacturer’s protocol. The nucleic acid sequences from six each of these COI-encoding clones were determined by automated sequencing (Core Sequencing Facility, University of Utah, USA) and deposited into GenBank. Phylogenetic analysis was performed using the COI barcode sequence for each species. The sequences were aligned, and neighbor-joining trees were generated using ClustalX with standard default options (58). The tree was visualized using TreeDyn 198.3 (59).

Patch clamp ion channel assays
Patch clamp recordings were made at room temperature (20° to 22°C) with the MultiClamp 700B Amplifier, digitalized with Digidata 1440, and controlled with pClamp11 software (Molecular Devices, USA). Whole-cell currents were sampled at 100 kHz and filtered to 10 kHz, with series resistance compensated to 60 to 80%. Fire-polished borosilicate (2 to 4 megohms) (1B150F-4, World Precision Instruments, USA) patch pipettes were filled with intracellular solutions containing 140 mM K-glucolone, 10 mM NaCl, 2 mM MgCl2, 5 mM EGTA, and 10 mM Hepes (pH 7.2). Recombinant [hNav1.7, hNav1.7 + hβ1, and hNav1.8 in human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293 cells] and native voltage-gated sodium currents (INa) from mouse DRG (mDRG) neurons were recorded in extracellular solution containing 110 mM NaCl, 2 mM CaCl2, 2 mM MgCl2, 30 mM TEA-Cl, 10 mM d-glucose, and 10 mM Hepes (pH 7.4). After INa was recorded, the extracellular solution was exchanged to 135 mM NaCl, 2 mM CaCl2, 2 mM MgCl2, 5 mM KCl, 10 mM d-glucose, 10 mM Hepes (pH 7.4) to enable recording of the mDRG outward voltage-activated potassium currents (IK). Genuanine and conazolium A (10 μM) were superfused using a syringe pump (New Era Pump Systems Inc., USA) loaded with 1-mL syringe connected to 50-cm MicroFil 28G (World Precision Instruments) at 2 μL/min × 1 mm.

Animal use
All procedures were approved by the Utah Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee or by the University of Wollongong and University of Sydney Animal Ethics Committees.
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