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In this paper, we investigate the existence and uniqueness results of intuitionistic fuzzy local and nonlocal fractional boundary value problems by employing intuitionistic fuzzy fractional calculus and some fixed-point theorems. As an application, we conclude this manuscript by giving an example to illustrate the obtained results.

1. Introduction

Fuzzy fractional calculus has become a powerful tool with more accurate and successful results in modeling several complex and fuzzy physical phenomena in numerous seemingly diverse and widespread fields of science and engineering. Recently, the theory of fuzzy fractional differential equations was proposed to handle uncertainty due to incomplete information that appears in many mathematical or computer models of some deterministic real-world phenomena. When a real physical phenomenon is modeled by a fractional initial value problem, we cannot usually be sure that the model is perfect. For example, the initial value of this problem may not be known precisely. In order to get a perfect model under a precise initial condition, Agarwal et al., in [1], proposed the concept of fuzzy solutions for fractional differential equations with uncertainty. Arshad and Lupulescu, in [2], proved some results on the existence and uniqueness of solutions for fuzzy fractional differential equations. Later, Alkhan and Bahrami [3] proved the existence and uniqueness results for nonlinear fuzzy fractional integral and integrodifferential equations by using the method of upper and lower solutions. The authors in [4, 5] discussed the concepts about generalized Hukuhara fractional Riemann–Liouville and Caputo differentiability of fuzzy-valued functions. The equivalence between fuzzy fractional differential equation and fuzzy fractional integral equation was discussed in [6]. Ngo et al., in [7], proved the existence and uniqueness results of solutions for initial value problem under fuzzy Caputo–Katugampola fractional derivatives. For many basic works related to the nonlinear ordinary differential equations and the fuzzy fractional differential equations, we refer the readers to [8–13] and references therein.

Motivated by the results mentioned above and by using the intuitionistic fuzzy sets theory introduced by Atanassov, in [14], we study the existence and uniqueness results for the following intuitionistic fuzzy local and nonlocal fractional boundary value problems:

\[
\begin{align*}
&\overset{c}{D}^\alpha X(t) = F(t, X(t)), \quad t \in [0, T], \\
&X(0) = aX(T),
\end{align*}
\]

(1)

\[
\begin{align*}
&\overset{c}{D}^\alpha X(t) = F(t, X(t)), \quad t \in [0, T], \\
&X(0) = G(X),
\end{align*}
\]

(2)

where \( \overset{c}{D}_a \) is the Caputo derivative of \( X(t) \) at order \( 0 < \alpha < 1 \) and \( F: [0, T] \times \mathbb{IF}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{IF}^1 \) and \( G: C([0, T], \mathbb{IF}^1) \rightarrow \mathbb{IF}^1 \) are intuitionistic fuzzy continuous functions.

The spaces \( \mathbb{IF}^1 \) and \( C([0, T], \mathbb{IF}^1) \) will be defined after.

Our paper is organized as follows. Section 2 gives some basic definitions, lemmas, and theorems as preliminaries of intuitionistic fuzzy sets theory. The existence results for the intuitionistic fuzzy local and nonlocal fractional boundary value problems are given in Section 3 and Section 4. Illustrative example is presented in Section 5, followed by conclusion and future works in Section 6.
2. Preliminaries

Fuzzy set theory was introduced by Zadeh [15], and it is an extension of the classical crisp logic into a multivariate form. Atanassov generalizes this concept to intuitionistic fuzzy sets (IFSs) [14], and later, there has been much progress in the study of IFSs. As a special case of intuitionistic fuzzy sets, intuitionistic fuzzy numbers were introduced by Xu [16].

We denote by
\[ \mathbb{IF}(\mathbb{R}) = \{ \langle u, v \rangle : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0,1]^2, 0 \leq u(x) + v(x) \leq 1 \} \]

Definition 1 (see [17]). An element \( \langle u, v \rangle \in \mathbb{IF}(\mathbb{R}) \) is called an intuitionistic fuzzy number if it satisfies the following conditions:

1. \( \langle u, v \rangle \) is normal, i.e., there exists \( x_0, x_1 \in \mathbb{R} \) such that \( u(x_0) = 1 \) and \( v(x_1) = 1 \)
2. \( u \) is fuzzy convex and \( v \) is fuzzy concave
3. \( u \) is upper semicontinuous and \( v \) is lower semicontinuous
4. \( \text{supp}(\langle u, v \rangle) = \{ x \in \mathbb{R} : v(x) \leq 1 \} \) is bounded

We denote by \( \mathbb{IF}^2 \) the collection of all intuitionistic fuzzy numbers.

Let \( \alpha \in [0,1] \) and \( \langle u, v \rangle \in \mathbb{IF}^1 \), and we define the upper and lower \( \alpha \) – cuts of \( \langle u, v \rangle \), respectively, by
\[
\begin{align*}
\lceil \langle u, v \rangle \rceil_\alpha &= \{ x \in \mathbb{R} : v(x) \leq 1 - \alpha \}, \\
\lfloor \langle u, v \rangle \rfloor_\alpha &= \{ x \in \mathbb{R} : u(x) \geq \alpha \}.
\end{align*}
\]

We define also the intuitionistic fuzzy zero denoted by \( 0_{\mathbb{IF}} \in \mathbb{IF}^1 \) as follows:
\[
0_{\mathbb{IF}}(t) = \begin{cases} 
(1,0) & \text{if } t = 0, \\
(0,1) & \text{if } t \neq 0.
\end{cases}
\]

Definition 2 (see [17]). Let \( \langle u_1, v_1 \rangle, \langle u_2, v_2 \rangle \in \mathbb{IF}^1 \), \( \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \), and \( \alpha \in [0,1] \); then,

1. \( \lambda \langle u_1, v_1 \rangle \ominus \langle u_2, v_2 \rangle = (\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \min(u_1(x), u_2(y)), \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \max(u_1(x), u_2(y))) \)
2. \( \lambda \langle u_1, v_1 \rangle = 0_{\mathbb{IF}} \) if \( \lambda = 0 \)
3. \( \lfloor \langle u_1, v_1 \rangle \rfloor_\alpha = \lfloor \langle u_1, v_1 \rangle \rfloor_1 \)
4. \( \lceil \langle u_1, v_1 \rangle \rceil_\alpha = \lceil \langle u_1, v_1 \rangle \rceil_1 \)
5. \( \lfloor \langle u_1, v_1 \rangle \rfloor_\alpha = \lfloor \langle u_1, v_1 \rangle \rfloor_0 \)
6. \( \lceil \langle u_1, v_1 \rangle \rceil_\alpha = \lceil \langle u_1, v_1 \rangle \rceil_0 \)

Let \( \langle u, v \rangle \in \mathbb{IF}^1 \) and \( \alpha \in [0,1] \); then, we define the following sets:
\[
\begin{align*}
\lceil \langle u, v \rangle \rceil_\alpha &= \inf\{ x \in \mathbb{R} : u(x) \geq \alpha \}, \\
\lfloor \langle u, v \rangle \rfloor_\alpha &= \sup\{ x \in \mathbb{R} : u(x) \geq \alpha \}, \\
\lceil \langle u, v \rangle \rceil_\alpha &= \inf\{ x \in \mathbb{R} : v(x) \leq 1 - \alpha \}, \\
\lfloor \langle u, v \rangle \rfloor_\alpha &= \sup\{ x \in \mathbb{R} : v(x) \leq 1 - \alpha \}.
\end{align*}
\]

Remark 1. Let \( \langle u, v \rangle \in \mathbb{IF}^1 \) and \( \alpha \in [0,1] \); then, we have
\[
\begin{align*}
\lceil \langle u, v \rangle \rceil_\alpha &= \{ (u_1, v_1)_{\lceil \langle u, v \rangle \rceil_\alpha} : \langle u, v \rangle \in \mathbb{IF}^1 \}, \\
\lfloor \langle u, v \rangle \rfloor_\alpha &= \{ (u_1, v_1)_{\lfloor \langle u, v \rangle \rfloor_\alpha} : \langle u, v \rangle \in \mathbb{IF}^1 \}.
\end{align*}
\]

Definition 3 (see [17]). Let \( \langle u, v \rangle \in \mathbb{IF}^1 \) and \( \alpha \in [0,1] \), and we define the diameter of upper and lower \( \alpha \) – cuts of \( \langle u, v \rangle \), respectively, as follows:
\[
\begin{align*}
d\left(\lceil \langle u, v \rangle \rceil_\alpha\right) &= \{ (u_1, v_1)_{\lceil \langle u, v \rangle \rceil_\alpha} : \langle u, v \rangle \in \mathbb{IF}^1 \}, \\
d\left(\lfloor \langle u, v \rangle \rfloor_\alpha\right) &= \{ (u_1, v_1)_{\lfloor \langle u, v \rangle \rfloor_\alpha} : \langle u, v \rangle \in \mathbb{IF}^1 \}.
\end{align*}
\]

Proposition 1. Let \( \alpha, \beta \in [0,1] \) and \( \langle u, v \rangle \in \mathbb{IF}^1 \); then,
\[
\begin{align*}
(1) \left\{ \langle u, v \rangle \right\}_\alpha &\subset \left\{ \langle u, v \rangle \right\}_\beta \\
(2) \left\{ \langle u, v \rangle \right\}_\alpha &\cap \left\{ \langle u, v \rangle \right\}_\beta \\
(3) \left\{ \langle u, v \rangle \right\}_\alpha &\subset \left\{ \langle u, v \rangle \right\}_\beta \\
(4) \left\{ \langle u, v \rangle \right\}_\alpha &\subset \left\{ \langle u, v \rangle \right\}_\beta
\end{align*}
\]

Conversely, let \( \alpha \in [0,1] \), and we put
\[
\begin{align*}
M_\alpha &= \{ x \in \mathbb{R} : u(x) \geq \alpha \}, \\
M^\alpha &= \{ x \in \mathbb{R} : v(x) \leq 1 - \alpha \}.
\end{align*}
\]

Lemma 1 (see [17]). Let \( \{M^\alpha : \alpha \in [0,1]\} \) and \( \{M_\alpha : \alpha \in [0,1]\} \) be a subset of \( \mathbb{R} \) verify (1)–(4) of Proposition 1; if \( u \) and \( v \) are defined by
\[
\begin{align*}
u(x) &= \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } x \notin M_0, \\
\sup_{\alpha \in [0,1]} \{ x \in M_\alpha : x \in M_0 \} & \text{if } x \in M_0,
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

Lemma 2 (see [4]). Let \( I \) be a dense subset in \( [0,1] \), \( \langle u, v \rangle \in \mathbb{IF}^1 \) and \( \langle w, z \rangle \in \mathbb{IF}^1 \), \( \forall \alpha \in I \), then \( \langle u, v \rangle = \langle w, z \rangle \).

Definition 4 (see [18]). Let \( \langle u_1, v_1 \rangle, \langle u_2, v_2 \rangle \in \mathbb{IF}^1 \); if there exists \( \langle w, z \rangle \in \mathbb{IF}^1 \) such that
\[
\begin{align*}
\langle u_1, v_1 \rangle &= \langle u_2, v_2 \rangle + \langle w, z \rangle,
\end{align*}
\]
then \( \langle w, z \rangle \) is called Hukuhara difference of \( \langle u_1, v_1 \rangle \) and \( \langle u_2, v_2 \rangle \) denoted by \( \langle u_1, v_1 \rangle \ominus_H \langle u_2, v_2 \rangle \).

Definition 5 (see [18, 19]). The generalized Hukuhara difference of two intuitionistic fuzzy number \( \langle u_1, v_1 \rangle, \langle u_2, v_2 \rangle \in \mathbb{IF}^1 \) is as follows:
\[
\langle u_2, v_2 \rangle = \langle u_1, v_1 \rangle \implies \begin{cases}
\langle u_2, v_2 \rangle = \langle u_1, v_1 \rangle + \langle u_3, v_3 \rangle, \\
\langle u_1, v_1 \rangle = \langle u_2, v_2 \rangle + \langle u_3, v_3 \rangle.
\end{cases}
\tag{12}
\]

\text{Definition 6 (see [17]). Let } f: [a, b] \to \mathbb{F}^1 \text{ and } t_0 \in [a, b].

We say that \( f \) is generalized Hukuhara differentiable at \( t_0 \) if there exists \( f' (t_0) \in \mathbb{F}^1 \) such that
\[
f' (t_0) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(t_0 + h) \# f(t_0)}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(t_0) \# f(t_0 - h)}{h}.
\tag{13}
\]

\text{Definition 7 (see [17]). A function } F: [a, b] \to \mathbb{F}^1 \text{ is strongly measurable if the set-valued mappings}

\[
\int_a^b F(t) \, dt = \langle u, v \rangle.
\]

and we write \( \int_a^b F(t) \, dt = \langle u, v \rangle \).

\text{Let } d_p: \mathbb{F}^1 \times \mathbb{F}^1 \to [0, +\infty] \text{ be a mapping defined by}
\[
d_p (\langle u, v \rangle, \langle w, z \rangle) = \left( \frac{1}{4} \int_0^1 \left[ [\langle u, v \rangle]_+^p (\alpha) - [\langle w, z \rangle]_+^p (\alpha) \right]^2 \, d\alpha \right)^{1/p} + \frac{1}{4} \int_0^1 \left[ [\langle u, v \rangle]_-^p (\alpha) - [\langle w, z \rangle]_-^p (\alpha) \right]^2 \, d\alpha
\]

and \( d_\infty (\langle u, v \rangle, \langle w, z \rangle) = \frac{1}{4} \sup_{0 \leq \alpha \leq 1} \left[ [\langle u, v \rangle]_+^p (\alpha) - [\langle w, z \rangle]_+^p (\alpha) \right] + \frac{1}{4} \sup_{0 \leq \alpha \leq 1} \left[ [\langle u, v \rangle]_-^p (\alpha) - [\langle w, z \rangle]_-^p (\alpha) \right].
\]
Then, we have the following result.

**Proposition 2** (see [20]). The space \((I^E1, d_p)\) is an intuitionistic fuzzy complete metric space \(\forall p \in [1, +\infty]\).

In the following sections, we will need some notations and definitions.

(i) We denote by \(C([0, T], IF^1)\) the space of all intuitionistic fuzzy continuous functions from \([0, T]\) to \(IF^1\).

(ii) We denote by \(L([0, T], IF^1)\) the space of all intuitionistic fuzzy integrable functions on \([0, T]\).

**Remark 2.** Let \(x, y \in C([0, T], IF^1)\).

It is easy to see that the space \((C([0, T], IF^1), D_p)\) is a Banach space, where

\[
D_p(x, y) = \sup_{s \in [0, T]} d_p(x(s), y(s)).
\]

(16)

### 2.1. Fractional Integral and Fractional Derivatives of an Intuitionistic Fuzzy Function

**Definition 9** (see [20]). Let \(F(t) \in L([0, T], IF^1)\).

The intuitionistic fuzzy fractional integral of order \(q \in [0, 1]\) of \(F\), denoted by

\[
I^qF(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t - s)^{q-1} F(s)ds,
\]

is defined by

\[
[I^qF(t)]_a = [I^qF^- (t; a), I^qF^+ (t; a)],
\]

\[
[I^qF(t)]_a = [I^qF^- (t; a), I^qF^+ (t; a)],
\]

(18)

where \(\Gamma(.)\) is the Euler gamma function.

**Proposition 3** (see [20]). Let \(F, G \in L([0, T], IF^1)\), \(q \in [0, 1]\) and \(a \in IF^1\); then, we have

1. \(I^q(aF(t)) = a^{\frac{q}{\Gamma(q)}} F(t)\)
2. \(I^q(F + G)(t) = I^qF(t) + I^qG(t)\)
3. \(I^{q+\varepsilon}F(t) = I^qF(t) + \varepsilon gF(t)\), where \((q_1, q_2) \in [0, 1]^2\)

**Definition 10** (see [20]). Let \(F \in C([0, T], IF^1) \cap L([0, T], IF^1)\).

The function \(F\) is called intuitionistic fuzzy Caputo fractional differentiable of order \(0 < q < 1\) at \(x\) if there exists an element \(<^cD^qF(x) \in IF^1\) such that

\[
<^cD^qF(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t - s)^{q-1} F'(s)ds.
\]

(19)

**Example 1.** Consider the intuitionistic fuzzy function \(<u, v>(t) = tC\), where \(C = (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4)\) is a trapezoidal intuitionistic fuzzy number and \(0 < q < 1\).

In this example, we calculate the intuitionistic fuzzy Caputo fractional derivative of the function \(<u, v>(t)\). For this purpose, we start by giving the gH-derivative of \(<u, v>(t)\) as follows:

\[
<u, v>(t) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{<u, v>(t + h) - gHtC <u, v>(t)}{h}
\]

(20)

This implies that

\[
<u, v>(t) = C.
\]

(21)

Since \([C]^a = [a_2 - a(a_2 - a'_1), a_3 + a(a_4 - a_3)]\) and \([C]_a = [a_1 + a(a_2 - a_1), a_4 - a(a'_4 - a_3)]\), then we have

\[
[gHtC]^a = \frac{t}{\Gamma(q + 1)} [C]^a,
\]

\[
[gHtC]_a = \frac{t}{\Gamma(q + 1)} [C]_a.
\]

(22)
Thus,
\[ \hat{c} D^q \langle u, v \rangle (t) = \frac{t^q}{\Gamma(q + 1)} C, \]
\[ \hat{c} D^q \langle u, v \rangle (t) = \frac{t^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q + 1)} \langle u, v \rangle (t). \]

**Theorem 1** (Schaefer’s fixed-point theorem (see [21])). Let \( P \) be a continuous and compact mapping of a Banach space \( X \) into itself such that the set
\[ \{ x \in X : x = \lambda Px \text{ for some } 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1 \} \]
is bounded; then, mapping \( P \) has a fixed point.

### 3. Intuitionistic Fuzzy Local Fractional Boundary Value Problems

**Definition 11.** A function \( X : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{I}^1 \) is said to be a solution of problem (1) if \( X \in C^1 ([0, T], \mathbb{I}^1) \) such that \( X(0) = aX(T) \) and \( D^qX(t) = F(t, X(t)) \).

**Definition 12** (see [6]). An intuitionistic fuzzy function \( X : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{I}^1 \) is called \( d \)-increasing (\( d \)-decreasing) on \([0, T]\) if, for every \( r \in [0, 1] \), the real function \( t \mapsto d([X(t)]^r \cup [X(t)]) \) is nondecreasing (nonincreasing), respectively.

\[
X(t) \bowtie_{\alpha H} \frac{a}{(1-a)\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^T (T-s)^{\alpha-1} F(s, X(s))ds = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} F(s, X(s))ds,
\]
if and only if it is a solution of the fractional boundary value problem (1).

**Remark 3.** If the function \( X : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{I}^1 \) is \( d \)-increasing or \( d \)-decreasing on \([0, T]\), then we say that \( X(t) \) is \( d \)-monotone on \([0, T]\).

For the existence of solutions for problem (1), we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 3** (see [6]). Let \( a \in ]0, 1[ \) and \( H : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{I}^1 \) be continuous such that \( t \mapsto t^a H(t) \) is \( d \)-increasing function on \([0, T]\).

A \( d \)-monotone intuitionistic fuzzy function \( X(t) \in C([0, T], \mathbb{I}^1) \) is a solution of the fractional integral equation,
\[
X(t) \bowtie_{\alpha H} X_0 = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} H(s)ds,
\]
if and only if it is a solution of the following initial value problem:
\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\hat{c} D^q X(t) = H(t); \quad t \in [0, T], \\
X(0) = X_0. 
\end{array} \right.
\]

As a consequence of Lemma 3, we have the following result.

**Lemma 4.** Let \( F : [0, T] \times \mathbb{I}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{I}^1 \) be continuous such that \( t \mapsto t^a F(t, X(t)) \) is \( d \)-increasing function on \([0, T]\).

A \( d \)-monotone intuitionistic fuzzy function \( X(t) \) is a solution of the fractional integral equation,
\[
X(t) \bowtie_{\alpha H} X_0 = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} F(s, X(s))ds,
\]
if and only if it is a solution of the fractional boundary value problem (1).

**Theorem 2.** Assume that there exists a positive constant \( k \) such that
\[
d_p( F(t, X), F(t, Y) < k d_p( X, Y), \quad \text{for each } X, Y \in C([0, T], \mathbb{I}^1) \text{ and } t \in [0, T].
\]

**Proof.** For this purpose, we transform problem (1) into a fixed-point problem defined on \([0, T]\).

Let \( P : C([0, T], \mathbb{I}^1) \rightarrow C([0, T], \mathbb{I}^1) \) be the operator defined as follows:
\[
P X(t) \bowtie_{\alpha H} X_0 = \frac{a}{(1-a)\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^T (T-s)^{\alpha-1} F(s, X(s))ds = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} F(s, X(s))ds.
\]

Let \( X, Y \in C([0, T], \mathbb{I}^1) \); then, we have

\[
d_p( P X(t), P Y(t) < k d_p( X, Y), \quad \text{for each } X, Y \in C([0, T], \mathbb{I}^1) \text{ and } t \in [0, T].
\]
\[ d_p(P(X(t), Y(t))) \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} d_p(F(t, X(t)), F(t, Y(t))) ds \]

\[ + \frac{|a|}{|1-a| \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^T (T-s)^{\alpha-1} d_p(F(s, X(s)), F(s, Y(s))) ds, \]

\[ d_p(P(X(t), Y(t))) \leq \frac{k}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} d_p(X(t), Y(t)) ds \]

\[ + \frac{k|a|}{|1-a| \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^T (T-s)^{\alpha-1} d_p(X(s), Y(s)) ds, \]

\[ d_p(P(X(t), Y(t))) \leq \frac{kT^\alpha(1+|a|/|1-a|)}{\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} \sup_{s \in [0,T]} d_p(X(s), Y(s)), \]

\[ \sup_{s \in [0,T]} d_p(P(X(t), Y(t))) \leq \frac{kT^\alpha(1+|a|/|1-a|)}{\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} \sup_{s \in [0,T]} d_p(X(t), Y(t)), \]

\[ D_p(P(X, Y)) \leq \frac{kT^\alpha(1+|a|/|1-a|)}{\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} D_p(X, Y). \]

As a consequence of Banach fixed-point theorem, we can deduce that the operator \( P \) has a unique fixed point \( X \) which is the solution of problem (1).

**Theorem 3.** Assume that

1. The intuitionistic fuzzy function \( F: [0,T] \times \mathbb{IF}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{IF}^1 \) is continuous.
2. There exists a positive constant \( M \) such that \( d_p(F(t, X), 0_{\mathbb{IF}^1}) < M \forall (t, X) \in [0, T] \times C\{0, T\}, \mathbb{IF}^1\). \hfill (33)

Then, problem (1) has at least one solution.

**Proof:** To show that problem (1) has at least one solution defined on \([0, T]\), we use Schaefer's fixed-point theorem [22]. For this purpose, to prove that the operator \( P \) defined
above has a fixed point, the proof of this theorem will be given in several steps.

Step 1: let us show that $P$ is continuous.

Let $(X_n)_n \subset C([0,T], \mathbb{F}^1)$ such that $X_n$ converges to $X$ in $C([0,T], \mathbb{F}^1)$ and $t \in [0,T]$; we have

$$
\sup_{t \in [0,T]} d_p(PX_n(t), PX(t)) \leq \frac{T^\alpha (1 + |a|/|1 - a|)}{\Gamma (\alpha + 1)} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} d_p(F(t, X_n(t)), F(t, X(t))).
$$

(35)

Since $F$ is continuous, we obtain

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} d_p(PX_n(t), PX(t)) = 0.
$$

(37)

which implies that

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} d_p(PX_n(t), PX(t)) = 0.
$$

(38)

Finally, the operator $P$ is continuous on $C([0,T], \mathbb{F}^1)$.

Step 2: let us also show that $PB_p$ is bounded and equicontinuous on $C([0,T], \mathbb{F}^1)$.

It is enough to prove that, for any $\rho > 0$, there exists a positive real constant $\delta > 0$ such that, for each

$$
\sup_{t \in [0,T]} d_p(PX(t), 0_{\mathbb{F}}) \leq \frac{T^\alpha (1 + |a|/|1 - a|)}{\Gamma (\alpha + 1)} \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} d_p(F(t, X_n(t)), F(t, X(t))) = 0,
$$

(39)

we have

$$
\sup_{t \in [0,T]} d_p(PX(t), 0_{\mathbb{F}}) < \delta.
$$

Let $t \in [0,T]$, and we have

$$
d_p(PX(t), 0_{\mathbb{F}}) \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma (\alpha)} \int_0^t (t - s)^{\alpha - 1} d_p(F(t, X(t)), 0_{\mathbb{F}}) ds
$$

$$
+ \frac{|a|}{|1 - a|\Gamma (\alpha)} \int_0^T (T - s)^{\alpha - 1} d_p(F(s, X(s)), 0_{\mathbb{F}}) ds.
$$

(40)
By using $H_2$, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
  d_p (\mathbf{P}(X(t)) \mathbf{P}(X(0))) & \leq \frac{M}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^t (t - s)^{a-1} ds + \frac{M|a|}{|1 - a|\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^T (T - s)^{a-1} ds, \\
  d_p (\mathbf{P}(X(t)) \mathbf{P}(X(0))) & \leq \frac{MT^a}{a\Gamma(\alpha)} + \frac{MT^a|a|}{|1 - a|\alpha a\Gamma(\alpha)}, \\
  \sup_{t \in [0,T]} d_p (\mathbf{P}(X(t)) \mathbf{P}(X(0))) & \leq \frac{MT^a}{a\Gamma(\alpha)} + \frac{MT^a|a|}{|1 - a|\alpha a\Gamma(\alpha)} = \delta. 
\end{align*}
\]

Thus, we have that $\mathbf{PB}_p \subset B_\delta$, which implies that $\mathbf{PB}_p$ is bounded.

Let $t \in [0,T]$.

\[
\begin{align*}
  d_p (\mathbf{P}(X(t_1)), \mathbf{P}(X(t_2))) & \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \frac{a}{a\Gamma(\alpha)} \left( \int_0^{t_1} (t_1 - s)^{a-1} F(s, X(s)) ds, \int_0^{t_2} (t_2 - s)^{a-1} F(s, X(s)) ds \right). 
\end{align*}
\]

Since

\[
\int_0^{t_2} (t_2 - s)^{a-1} F(s, X(s)) ds = \int_0^{t_1} (t_1 - s)^{a-1} F(s, X(s)) ds + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t_2 - s)^{a-1} F(s, X(s)) ds,
\]

then

\[
\begin{align*}
  d_p (\mathbf{P}(X(t_1)), \mathbf{P}(X(t_2))) & \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left( \int_0^{t_1} |(t_1 - s)^{a-1} - (t_1 - s)^{a-1}| d_p (F(s, X(s)), 0_\mathbb{R}^n) \\
  & + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t_2 - s)^{a-1} d_p (F(s, X(s)) ds, 0_\mathbb{R}^n) ds. 
\end{align*}
\]

By using $H_2$, it follows that

\[
\begin{align*}
  d_p ((\mathbf{P}(X(t_1)), \mathbf{P}(X(t_2))) & \leq \frac{M}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^{t_1} |(t_1 - s)^{a-1} - (t_1 - s)^{a-1}| ds + \frac{M}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t_2 - s)^{a-1} ds, \\
  d_p (\mathbf{P}(X(t_1)), \mathbf{P}(X(t_2))) & \leq \frac{M}{\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} ((t_2 - t_1)^{a} + t_1^{a} - t_2^{a}) + \frac{M}{\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} (t_2 - t_1)^{a}. 
\end{align*}
\]

Thus,

\[
\begin{align*}
  d_p (\mathbf{P}(X(t_1)), \mathbf{P}(X(t_2))) & \leq \frac{M}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} ((t_2 - t_1)^{a} + (t_2^{a} - t_1^{a})). 
\end{align*}
\]

Hence, \[\lim_{t_1 \to t_2} d_p (\mathbf{P}(X(t_1)), \mathbf{P}(X(t_2))) = 0,\] which shows that $\mathbf{PB}_p$ is equicontinuous; by using the Arzela-Ascoli theorem [23], we deduce that $\mathbf{PB}_p$ is relatively compact, and we can conclude from Step 1 and Step 2 that the operator $\mathbf{P}$ is continuous and completely continuous.
Step 3: let us we show that the set $E_1$, defined as follows,

$$E_1 = \{ X \in C([0, T], \mathbb{F}^1) : X = \lambda P X \text{ for some } 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1 \},$$

is bounded. Let $X \in E_1$; then, $X = \lambda P X$, for some $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. For each $t \in [0, T]$, we have

$$X(t) = \frac{\lambda}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^T (T-s)^{\alpha-1} F(s, X(s)) ds = \frac{\lambda}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} F(s, X(s)) ds. \quad (49)$$

Let $t \in [0, T]$, and by using $H_3$, we have

$$d_p (P X(t), 0) \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} d_p (F(t, X(t)), 0) ds + \frac{|a|}{1-\alpha|a|\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^T (T-s)^{\alpha-1} d_p (F(s, X(s)), 0) ds,$$

$$d_p (P X(t), 0) \leq M \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} ds + \frac{M|a|}{1-\alpha|a|\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^T (T-s)^{\alpha-1} ds,$$

$$d_p (P X(t), 0) \leq \frac{MT^a}{\alpha\Gamma(\alpha)} + \frac{MT^a|a|}{1-\alpha|a|\Gamma(\alpha)} < \infty,$$

which shows that the set $E_1$ is bounded.

Finally, as a consequence of Schaefer’s fixed-point theorem, we deduce that $P$ has a fixed point which is a solution of problem (1). \hfill \Box

4. Intuitionistic Fuzzy Nonlocal Fractional Boundary Value Problems

In this section, we present some existence and uniqueness results for nonlocal intuitionistic fuzzy fractional problem (2). The nonlocal conditions were initiated by Byszewski \cite{24} when he proved the existence and uniqueness of mild and classical solutions of nonlocal Cauchy problems. Some physical phenomena are described the nonlocal condition; see \cite{25, 26}, for more details.

**Theorem 5.** Assume that

1. The intuitionistic fuzzy function $F: [0, T] \times \mathbb{F}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^1$ is continuous.

2. There exists a positive constant $k$ such that

$$d_p (F(t, X), F(t, Y) < k d_p (X, Y) \quad (3)$$

then problem (2) has a unique solution.

**Proof.** For this purpose, we transform problem (2) into a fixed-point problem defined on $[0, T]$.

Let $B: C([0, T], \mathbb{F}^1) \rightarrow C([0, T], \mathbb{F}^1$ be the operator defined as follows:

$$B X(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} F(s, X(s)) ds. \quad (54)$$
Let \( X, Y \in C([0, T], \mathbb{I}^3) \); then, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
  d_p(BX(t), BY(t)) &\leq d_p(G(X(t)), G(Y(t))) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{a-1} d_p(F(t, X(t)), F(t, Y(t))) ds, \\
  d_p(BX(t), BY(t)) &\leq L d_p(X(t), Y(t)) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{a-1} d_p(X(t), Y(t)) ds, \\
  d_p(BX(t), BY(t)) &\leq L d_p(X(t), Y(t)) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{a-1} ds \sup_{s \in [0, T]} d_p(X(s), Y(s)), \\
  \sup_{s \in [0, T]} d_p(BX(t), BY(t)) &\leq \left( L + \frac{kT^a}{\Gamma(a + 1)} \right) \sup_{s \in [0, T]} d_p(X(t), Y(t)).
\end{align*}
\]

Thus,

\[
D_p(BX, BY) \leq \left( L + \frac{kT^a}{\Gamma(a + 1)} \right) D_p(X, Y). \tag{56}
\]

By using Banach fixed-point theorem, we can deduce that the operator \( B \) has a unique fixed point \( X \) which is the solution of problem (2). \( \square \)

**Theorem 6.** Assume that

1. The intuitionistic fuzzy function \( F: [0, T] \times \mathbb{I}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{I}^1 \) is continuous.
2. There exists a positive constant \( M_1 \) such that
   \[
   d_p(F(t, X), 0) \leq M_1 \quad \forall (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{I}^1. \tag{57}
   \]
3. There exists a positive constant \( M_2 \) such that
   \[
   d_p(G(X), 0) \leq M_2 \quad \forall x \in C([0, T], \mathbb{I}^1). \tag{58}
   
   
Then, problem (2) has at least one solution.

**Proof.** The proof of this theorem is similar to that of Theorem 3. \( \square \)

**5. Illustrative Example**

In this section, we give an example to illustrate our main results.

Consider the following fractional boundary value problem:

\[
\begin{aligned}
  cD^{3/2} X(t) &= \frac{e^{-t}}{9 + e^t} X(t), \quad t \in [0, 1], \\
  X(0) &= (-1)X(1),
\end{aligned} \tag{59}
\]

where \( t \rightarrow e^t \) is the exponential function.

Let \( F(t, X(t)) = e^{-t}/9 + e^t X(t) \) and \( t \in [0, 1] \); then, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
  d_p(F(t, X(t)), F(t, Y(t))) &= d_p \left( \frac{e^{-t}}{9 + e^t} X(t), \frac{e^{-t}}{9 + e^t} Y(t) \right), \\
  d_p(F(t, X(t)), F(t, Y(t))) &\leq \frac{e^{-t}}{9 + e^t} d_p(X(t), Y(t)), \\
  d(F(t, X(t)), F(t, Y(t))) &\leq \frac{1}{10} d_p(X(t), Y(t)). \tag{60}
\end{align*}
\]

Hence, the first condition in Theorem 2 holds with \( k = 1/10 \).

It remains to check condition (29) with \( T = 1, a = -1 \), and \( a = 2/3 \); for this purpose, we have

\[
\Gamma (a + 1) = \Gamma \left( \frac{2}{3} + 1 \right) = \Gamma \left( \frac{5}{3} \right) = 0.89. \tag{61}
\]

Thus,

\[
\frac{3/2k}{\Gamma(a + 1)} = \frac{0.15}{0.89} = 0.1685393 < 1. \tag{62}
\]

Finally, all the conditions of Theorem 2 are satisfied; thus, we conclude that the intuitionistic fuzzy fractional boundary value problem (59) has a unique solution on \([0, 1]\).

**6. Conclusion and Future Work**

In this paper, we establish the existence and uniqueness results of intuitionistic fuzzy local and nonlocal boundary value problems involving Caputo fractional derivative. The existence theorems are proved by using Banach fixed-point theorem and Schaefer’s fixed-point theorem. As an
application, an example is presented to illustrate the applicability of the obtained results.

Our future work is to study the existence and uniqueness results of intuitionistic fuzzy hybrid fractional differential equations [27–31].
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