Evaluation and GWAS of radicle gravitropic response in a core rice germplasm population
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Abstract

Aims Since gravitropism is one of the primary determinants of root development, facilitating root penetration into soil and subsequent absorption of water and nutrients, we studied this response in rice.

Methods The gravitropism of 226 Chinese rice micro-core accessions and drought-resistant core accessions were assessed through the modified gravity-bending experiment and genome-wide association analysis (GWAS) was used to map the associated QTLs.

Results The average value of gravitropic response speed of seminal roots was 41.05°/h, ranging from 16.77°/h to 62.83°/h. The gravity response speed of Indica (42.49°/h) was significantly (P < 0.002) higher than Japonica (39.71°/h) subspecies. The gravitational response speed of seminal roots was significantly positively correlated with the number of deep roots (r = 0.16), the growth speed of seminal roots (r = 0.21) and the drought resistance coefficient (r = 0.14).

Conclusions In total, 3 QTLs (quantitative traits) associated with gravitropic response speed were identified on chromosome 4, 11 and 12. There are some known QTLs relating to roots traits and drought resistance located nearby the QTLs identified here, which confirms the close relationship between radicle gravitropism and the drought resistance. From within these intervals, 5 candidate genes were screened and verified by qPCR in a few rice varieties with extreme phenotypic values, demonstrating that gene LOC_Os12g29350 may regulate gravitropism negatively. This may be a promising candidate to be confirmed in further studies.
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Introduction

Scientists have studied gravitropism for more than 120 years (Darwin and Darwin 1880). Gravitropism is the orientation of growth in response to gravity, which is necessary for roots to grow into soil, to acquire water and nutrients and to anchor plants, providing stability and preventing lodging.
The starch–statolith hypothesis and the Cholodny–Went theory attempts to explain some aspects of gravitropism (Haberlandt 1900; Němec 1900; Went 1926; Cholodny 1927). The starch–statolith hypothesis proposes that the starch-filled amyloplasts of gravity-sensing cells act as statoliths, signalling the direction of gravity by their sedimentation. The Cholodny–Went theory indicated gravity-bending is the result of differential accumulation of auxin on opposite sides of the elongation zone, causing differential growth and tip curvature. In addition, the mechanosensitive ion channel hypothesis also could explain some parts of the gravitropism (Ding and Pickard 1993).

Multiple hormones and genes have been found to be involved in gravitropism previously (Blancaflor and Masson 2003; Baldwin et al. 2013; Mai et al. 2014; Ge and Chen 2016; Zhang et al. 2019b). Auxin and its related transporters (e.g. AUX1 and AtPIN2) have been observed to regulate gravitropism (Bennett et al. 1996; Müller et al. 1998; Rigó et al. 2013). Cytokinin functions as an anti-gravitropic signaler in lateral roots (Waidmann et al. 2019). Additionally, brassinosteroids also play an important role in the root gravitropic response (Kim et al. 2000; Chang et al. 2004; Amzallag and Vaisman 2006). The AGR1 gene involved in root gravitropism could increase root-growth sensitivity to auxin and decrease sensitivity to ethylene in Arabidopsis (Chen et al. 1998). The NPY genes play an essential role in root gravitropic responses in Arabidopsis (Li et al. 2011). Although the vast majority of research to date has been conducted in Arabidopsis thaliana, some genes affecting gravitropism have been identified in crop species. The organization of the actin cytoskeleton influences the gravitropic response of maize primary roots (Blancaflor and Hasenstein 1997). Maize LAZY1 mediates shoot gravitropism through regulating auxin transport (Dong et al. 2013).

Rice is a staple food for nearly half of the world’s population. It has a typical fibrous root system. In rice, some mutants and genes related to gravitropism have been identified. LAZY1 gene controls rice shoot gravitropism through regulating polar auxin transport (Li et al. 2007), but the primary roots of lazy1 mutants show normal gravitropism and circumnutation (Yoshihara et al. 2013). Aem1 mutant causes defects in root development and gravity response (Debi et al. 2005a). Overexpression of OsRAA1 effects root development and root response to gravity (Ge et al. 2004).

The mechanism of gravity sensing in plants is one of the most fascinating questions in molecular biology and because of the new availability of high-throughput sequencing and phenotyping technology, we can expand our knowledge of this trait through association analysis. For example, in the common bean, QTLs controlling basal root gravitropism were mapped (Liao et al. 2004). Using a mapping population derived from a Bala × Azucena, two main QTLs for rice gravitropic response were mapped to chromosomes 6 and 11 (Norton and Price 2009). Measurement of gravitropism related traits with sufficient throughput and sample size for association analysis is now more feasible with tools such as the ROTATO, an automated camera that could help researchers to dissect the gravity-response (Mullen et al. 2000).

Despite progress made in recent decades, processes involved in positive root gravitropic response in the root tip remain largely unclear in rice. Since root gravitropism is widely believed to be regulated by a tipping-point mechanism (Band et al. 2012), the gravitropic response speed could be represented by the bending angle of the seminal roots in agar-filled Perspex chambers after rotation (Norton and Price 2009; Uga et al. 2013). Since there are usually large variances among the results of gravitropic response evaluation, we carried out multiple tests to optimise this methodology to reduce the variance. Using the optimized method, we measured gravitropic response speed of an association mapping population comprising 226 core rice accessions, and identified several QTLs related to gravitropic response that can be deployed into marker assisted selection programmes. As the drought resistance of this population has been studied (Lou et al. 2015; Ma et al. 2016), the relationship of gravitropic response to deep rooting and drought resistance was also discussed.

Results

Gravitropic response speed of the natural population

In this study, we detected the bending angle of seminal roots of 226 core rice accessions representing their gravitropic response speed (Table 1). Among these accessions, the average value of gravitropic
response speed of seminal roots was 41.05°/h. The fastest speed was 62.83°/h, while the slowest speed was 16.77°/h. The coefficient of variation was 15.63%. The gravitropic response speed of seminal roots generally presented a normal distribution (Fig. 1) and was mostly distributed between 31°/h to 51°/h, accounting for 89.4% of the total accessions. The genetic variance of the gravitropic response speed accounts for 37.1% of the total variance as calculated by GAPIT, showing a low heritability.

Gravitropic response speed differed between Indica and Japonica rice (Table 1). The gravitropic response speed of Indica rice was mostly distributed between 33.5°/h to 53.5°/h, accounting for 94.7% of the Indica accessions. In Japonica rice, it was mostly distributed at 33.5°/h to 48.5°/h, accounting for 90.3% of the Japonica accessions. The mean of gravitropic response speed of Indica (42.49°/h) was faster than that of Japonica (39.71°/h). Likewise, the range of variation in Indica (46.06°/h) was larger than that in Japonica (39.91°/h). The standard deviation and coefficient of variation of Indica and Japonica accessions were 6.41°/h and 6.30°/h, 15.32% and 15.77%, respectively. Comparing the gravitropic response speed of Indica and Japonica rice by student’s (t) test resulted in a p value of inequality at 0.002, indicating the gravity response speed of Indica seminal roots was significantly faster than that of Japonica rice.

Correlation among gravitropic response speed, deep rooting phenotype and drought resistant index

Multiple root phenotype measurements of these 226 accessions were previously made (Lou et al. 2015). The ratio of the yield in dry fields to the yield in paddy fields was calculated as the yield-based drought resistant index (DRI), originally obtained within our previous study (Ma et al. 2016). Correlations were calculated between these measurements and the gravitropic response measurements to determine if these traits could be inherently linked. By comparing the correlation coefficient between gravitropic response speed and some agronomic traits (Table 2), we observed that the gravitropic response speed was significantly positively correlated with tiller number (TN), deep roots (DR), growth speed of seminal roots (GSR) and drought resistant index (DRI) with correlation coefficients of 0.13, 0.16, 0.22 and 0.14, respectively. The results indicated that the speed of the gravitropic response of seminal roots was highly significantly positively correlated with the speed of seminal roots growth. At significance level $\alpha=0.05$, the speed of the gravitropic response of seminal roots was also positively correlated with TN, DR and DRI. The speed of gravitropic response was not correlated with plant height (PH), shallow roots (SR), ratio of deep roots (RDR) or roots per tiller (R/T).

Table 1  Gravitropic response speeds of the association mapping population. The ‘t-test’ is the p value of student’s test of the gravitropic response speed between Indica and Japonica

| Number of accessions | Means (°/h) | Range (°/h) | Standard deviation (SD, °/h) | Coefficient variation (CV, %) | t-test |
|----------------------|------------|-------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------|
| Indica               | 133        | 42.49       | 16.77–62.83                  | 6.41                          | 15.32 | 0.002 |
| Japonica             | 93         | 39.71       | 21.73–61.64                  | 6.30                          | 15.77 |       |
| Total                | 226        | 41.05       | 62.83–16.77                  | 6.42                          | 15.63 |       |

Fig. 1 Frequency distribution of gravitropic response speed in the 226 natural rice population. The X axis indicates the first hour’s bending angle of seminal after 90° rotation.
Then, 12 accessions with the fastest speed of gravitropic response were selected for further study, and the growth speed of seminal roots and DRI of this subset were measured (Supplementary Table 1). Among them, the DRI of Xiaohonggu, IAC1246 and Zaohandao was 1.06, 1.18 and 1.89, respectively. These 3 accessions could be chosen as donor parental lines for drought-resistant breeding in the future.

GWAS of the gravitropic response speed of seminal roots

The raw sequence data of this population have been uploaded to public databases: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/PRJNA260762 and ftp://ftp-trace.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra/sra-instant/reads/ByRun/sra/SRR/ SRR123/SRR123 39,601 (Lou et al. 2015). GWAS

| NO | QTL   | Leading SNP (bp) | Chr | MAF | LD Range | P value | PVE  | Least p-value after permutation |
|----|-------|------------------|-----|-----|----------|---------|------|--------------------------------|
| 1  | qGRS4 | 404,774,373      | 4   | 0.08| 180 Kb   | 4.83E-06| 3.60%| 0.004378                       |
| 2  | qGRS11| 1,123,451,244    | 11  | 0.07| 580 Kb   | 7.77E-06| 3.99%| 0.001353                       |
| 3  | qGRS12| 1,217,439,378    | 12  | 0.20| 1 Mb     | 4.87E-06| 8.05%| 0.001243                       |

Chr, chromosome; MAF, minor allele frequency; LD Range, linkage disequilibrium decay distance at $r^2 > 0.65$; PVE, phenotype variation explained by each locus; Least p-value after permutation, the least p-value of the leading SNPs detected by permutation tests for 300 times.
was carried out to associate gravitropic response speed to a responsible genomic location using the EMMA model. Three significantly associated QTLs were detected at the threshold of $p = 10^{-5}$, in which PVE (phenotype variation explained by each locus) ranged from 3.60 to 8.05% (Fig. 2, Table 3). One QTL ($qGRS4$) was mapped on chromosome 4 (4774373 bp), and other 2 QTLs ($qGRS11$ and $qGRS12$) located on each of chromosomes 11 and 12 (23451244 bp and 17439378 bp, respectively). The p-value from the correct data is much smaller than that from the permutation data. Therefore, these SNPs are reliable genetic loci related to gravitropism speed.

### Table 4 Candidate genes of gravitropic response speed and their annotations

| QTL   | Candidate Gene ID       | Chromosome | Annotation                          |
|-------|------------------------|------------|-------------------------------------|
| $qGRS4$  | LOC_Os04g08740 ($ETR2$) | 4          | Ethylene receptor                   |
| $qGRS11$ | LOC_Os11g40090 ($RPA49$) | 11         | A49-like RNA polymerase I associated factor family protein |
|        | LOC_Os11g40100 ($OsGIF1$) | 11         | GRF-interacting factor 2            |
|        | LOC_Os11g40430 ($RLCK341$) | 11         | Wall-associated receptor kinase-like 2 precursors |
| $qGRS12$ | LOC_Os12g29350          | 12         | ATP binding protein                 |

**qPCR of candidate genes of gravitropic response**

According to the GWAS results and based on the annotation information from the Rice Genome Annotation Project (http://rice.plantbiology.msu.edu/) and our previous rice root transcriptome data (Lou et al. 2017), five candidate genes in close proximity to the most associated SNPs that may relate with roots gravitropic response were chosen for further

**Fig. 3 Relative expression of 5 candidate genes.** The left 3 blue columns indicate the fast gravitropic response varieties named F1, F2 and F3, the right 3 orange columns indicates the slow gravitropic response varieties named S1, S2 and S3. For gene LOC_Os12g29350, another 4 more accessions with extreme gravitropic response were expanded, named F4, F5, S4 and S5.
expression analysis (Table 4). All the three lead SNPs are located in non-coding regions. There are 28, 119 and 133 genes (excluding transposons) in the LD range of these three QTLs in Chromosomes 4, 11 and 12 respectively. Based on our previous transcriptome data of 74 root samples (Lou et al. 2017), there are 8, 51 and 59 genes with average FPKM > 0.5 (Fragments Per Kilobase per Million). Then, there are 3, 26 and 26 genes differentially expressed between the deep rooting and shallow rooting groups. According to their function annotation from Rice Genome Annotation Project (http://rice.plantbiology.msu.edu/), we chose five of them for further analysis (Supplementary Table 3). Those five candidate genes were annotated as an ethylene receptor, an A49-like RNA polymerase I associated factor family protein, a GRF-interacting factor 2, a wall-associated receptor kinase-like 2 precursors and an ATP binding protein.

The top three accessions with the fastest gravitropic response speed and bottom three accessions with the slowest were selected from the population, i.e. Zaohandao (F1), C22 (F2) Xianggu (F3), and BLCO.BRANCO (S1), IPEACO162 (S2), Gaoyangdiandao (S3), respectively. The expression levels of \( \text{ETR2} \), \( \text{RPA49} \), \( \text{OsGIF1} \) and \( \text{RLCK341} \) were low in all 6 accessions without significant differences between two contrasting groups (Fig. 3). The expression levels of \( \text{LOC}_\text{Os12g29350} \) in the top three accessions were much lower than that in the bottom three accessions, indicating that this gene may be involved in negatively regulating the gravitropic response. To verify its expression pattern, the expression levels of this gene were checked by qRT-PCR in four more accessions. The expression level of \( \text{LOC}_\text{Os12g29350} \) significantly differed (t-test value of 4.47E-07) between the slow and fast gravitropism groups.

Discussion

This natural mapping population has been resequenced and thoroughly assessed for root morphological characteristics and drought related traits in our previous research (Lou et al. 2015; Wu et al. 2015; Ma et al. 2016). Therefore, it is a good resource to study relationships between gravitropic response and other important agronomic traits, and to explore the genes controlling root gravitropism.

The gravitropic response of radicle is primarily controlled by genetic factors but is also significantly influenced by environmental conditions (Staves et al. 1997; Norton and Price 2009). Therefore, such experiments require a uniform and homogeneous growth environment, which is challenging in field soil environments. Although there will be obvious differences in the root phenotype of seeds growing in the agar and soil, this agar-based screening system represents more unbiased approach to assess a biological process, and it has been extensively used to study gravitropic responses (Müller et al. 1998; Debi et al. 2005b). Compared with the method used in other studies (Norton and Price 2009; Uga et al. 2013), we modified the measurement protocol. Before sowing, all the seeds were screened carefully and cold soaked to normalize their germination vigour. The bending angle was then recorded after a shorter period of 1 h after 90° rotation, to quickly obtain the precise phenotypic data as the gravitropic response decreased rapidly after this time point. To accurately determine this trait, 50 seeds per accession were used in this study. After removing non-germinating/infected seeds/ odd roots, a minimum of 20 valid replicates per accession were assessed.

Root growth angle is an important trait that influences the ability of rice to avoid drought stress (Uga et al. 2015a, 2015b), because deep roots help plants to absorb water from deep soil. The gravitropic response determines the shape of the root system, especially in the vertical dimension. Thus, the gravitropic response speed significantly positively correlated with the number of deep roots and the drought resistant index. Varieties with a better gravitropic response should have better drought tolerance. This means that the gravity-bending angle could predict plant drought resistance in a cost effective high-throughput manner. Here we highlight three varieties expressing this desirable gravitropism trait along with drought resistance, that could be promising resources for drought resistant breeding and research (supplementary table 1, bold).

Near the leading associated SNP loci, five candidate genes were selected for further study. A serine/threonine kinase, \( \text{ETR2} \) (LOC_\text{Os04g08740}), was found at a distance about 40 kb from the leading SNP of \( q\text{GRS4} \) (4,774,373, Chr4), which is an ethylene receptor and acts as a negative regulator of ethylene signaling. Plants over-expressing \( \text{ETR2} \) display
reduced ethylene sensitivity, delayed floral transition and reduced seed set (Hada et al. 2009). A further 2 genes were found at an interval within 52 kb from qGRS11 (23.451.244, Chr11). One was related with the activity of RNA polymerase called RPA49 (LOC_Os11g40090), which interacts with SAD1. A decline in the function of SAD1 leads to severe suppression of axillary bud outgrowth, delayed progression of developmental phases and poor root growth (Li et al. 2015). The other was OsGIF1 (LOC_Os11g40100), which function in floral organogenesis in rice (Liu et al. 2014). LOC_Os11g40430 (RLCK341) is a cell wall-associated receptor kinase at a distance of 189 kb from qGRS11 (23.451.244, Chr11) (Vij et al. 2008). Gene LOC_Os12g29350, an ATP-binding protein, was located approximately 20 kb away from the qGRS12 (17,439,378, Chr12) QTL. In future studies, we will detect their expression at different positions of the radicle to verify their function in gravity response.

There are 11 known QTLs, that function in root morphology and drought resistance, close to the 3 associated SNPs’ physical position in the genome (http://qtaro.abr.affrc.go.jp/) (Table 5). One of them, QTL 11–I controlling root thickness and number of roots past 100 cm near the associated SNP on chromosome 11, co-segregated with marker C189 (Price 2002) which also co-segregated with root-penetration QTLs (Price et al. 2000) and a radicle root morphology QTL—SRM11 both identified in the same mapping population (Norton and Price 2009). This interval is therefore very important in root morphological development. The other 10 QTLs are all related to drought resistance, three of which are located on chromosome 11, and seven QTLs were on chromosome 12(Moncada et al. 2001; Bernier et al. 2007). This work provides further evidence to the hypothesis that gravitropic response speed is intrinsically related to drought resistance.

Conclusion

A more efficient and precise method was used to assess radicle gravitropic response. Using a natural population that already with abundant root morphology and drought resistance data, 3 significant associated QTLs were identified by GWAS. Radicle gravitropic response speed was positively correlated with deep rooting and drought resistance. Five candidate genes were chosen for further verification by qPCR using some extreme varieties, and LOC_Os12g29350 was more highly expressed in the slow gravitropic response varieties. Some known QTLs of roots traits and drought resistance located nearby the associated QTLs were identified in this study, confirming the close relationship between radicle gravitropism and drought resistance.

Materials and methods

Plant material

The association population used in this study comprises 131 rice accessions from the mini-core collection of Chinese rice germplasm along with 95 rice accessions from core drought-resistance rice germplasm collection. Of this population, 133 accessions are Indica rice and 93 accessions are Japonica rice. All rice seeds were provided by Shanghai Agrobiological Gene Center and harvested in the same season.

Evaluation of root gravitropism

Based on previous root gravitropic curvature experiments (Norton and Price 2009; Uga et al. 2013), the gravitropic response speed of seminal roots was measured with some modifications. The growth direction of the root tip was first marked when the first seminal was 1–2 cm long. The root tip was then rotated from the normal vertical axis to the horizontal axis by rotating the agarose plate by 90 degrees. Now under the effect of gravity, the growth direction of seminal root tip was observed, and its position marked again after a further 1 h. The angle between the two marked root tip growth directions was recorded as the gravitropic response speed. The ratio of seminal root length to the growth period, starting at the date of sowing, was recorded as the seminal root growth speed. The gravitropic response speed of each panel member was calculated after removing the outliers. At least 20 viable seeds for each accession were used to calculate its average gravitropic response speed.

Root gravitropism was evaluated in 7 steps, as shown in Fig. 4. (1) Screening seeds. Using salt solution with the specific gravity at 1.1, the sterile
Table 5 Co-localization of QTLs for gravitropic response speed with previously identified QTLs of root and drought resistance. The information of the reported QTLs is searched from http://qtaro.abr.affrc.go.jp/

| QTL/Gene Major category | Character | Chr | Genome Start | Genome End | Reference | Co-segregated marker | Gravitropic response speed QTLs | Associated SNP position |
|-------------------------|-----------|-----|--------------|------------|-----------|---------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 11–1 Morphological trait | Root thickness, number of roots past 100 cm | 11 | 11–1 | 11 | https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4290(02)00010-2 | C189 | qGRS11 | Chr11-23,451,244 |
| gpl11.1 Drought Tolerance | Grains per plant | 11 | 23,732,960 | 23,734,930 | http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s001220051616 | gw11.1 | Drought Tolerance 1000-grain weight | 11 | 17,246,592 | 23,651,853 | http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s001220051616 |
| gw11.1 Drought Tolerance | 1000-grain weight | 11 | 17,246,592 | 23,651,853 | http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s001220051616 | gw11.1 | Drought Tolerance 1000-grain weight | 11 | 17,246,592 | 23,651,853 | http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s001220051616 |
and mouldy grains were removed, and about 50 uniform and full seeds used in each experiment. (2) Sterilization. The seeds were sterilized with a 2.5% sodium hypochlorite solution for 15 min. Then, the seeds were rinsed with running water to clear the disinfectant away. (3) Cold soaking. Seeds were placed in culture dishes lined with filter paper, with tap-water added to just submerge the seeds. Dishes were then covered with plastic wrap and stored in a 4°C refrigerator for 7 days to make seeds fully soaked

| QTL/Gene Major category | Character  | Chr | Genome Start | Genome End | Reference | Co-segregated marker | Gravitropic response speed QTLs | Associated SNP position |
|-------------------------|------------|-----|--------------|------------|-----------|----------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|
| qtl12.1 Drought tolerance | Harvest index | 12 | 9,895,474 | 17,758,636 | https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2006.07.0495 | RM7195-RM28166 | qGRS12 | Chr12-17,439,378 |
| qtl12.1 Drought tolerance | Panicle number m-2 | 12 | 9,895,474 | 17,758,636 | https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2006.07.0495 | RM7195-RM28166 | | |
| qtl12.1 Drought tolerance | Flowering delay | 12 | 9,895,474 | 17,758,636 | https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2006.07.0495 | RM7195-RM28166 | | |
| qtl12.1 Drought tolerance | Grain yield | 12 | 14,257,182 | 17,546,401 | https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2006.07.0495 | RM28048-RM511 | | |
| qtl12.1 Drought tolerance | Biomass yield | 12 | 14,257,182 | 17,758,636 | https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2006.07.0495 | RM28048-RM28166 | | |
| qtl12.1 Drought tolerance | Plant height at maturity | 12 | 14,257,182 | 17,758,636 | https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2006.07.0495 | RM28048-RM28166 | | |
| qtl12.1 Drought tolerance | Drought response index | 12 | 14,257,182 | 17,546,401 | https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2006.07.0495 | RM28048-RM511 | | |
and ready for germination. (4) Making agarose gel. The 0.8% agarose was boiled and cooled, then poured into cuboid plastic transparent germination board with a length of 12 cm, a width of 1.3 cm and a height of 10 cm that have been placed in a container with depth more than 12 cm. The final height of the solid gel was about 9 cm. (5) Sowing. Before sowing, the seeds were placed in a 28 °C growth chamber for about 19 h. After the agar gel was completely cooled and solidified, germination plates were removed from the container and a lid with a length of 12.3 cm, a width of 1.8 cm, and a height of 1.3 cm was added at the bottom. The seeds with the same germination status were selected and sown evenly on the agar plate with embryos downward. 7 seeds per board, and more than 5 boards per accession. The accession name and sowing time were marked on left margin of the board. (6) Rotation after first lineation. After sowing, the plates were placed in an incubator at 28 °C without light. About 1 day later, when most of the seminal roots of the same accession grow to length at 1 to 2 cm, a line tangential to the growth direction of the root tip was marked on the board, and the current time was recorded. Then, the plates were rotated 90° and put into the growth chamber at 28 °C immediately. (7) Second lineation. After 1 h of growth, another line tangential to the growth direction of the new root tip was marked. The root length and bending angle of the root tip was measured according the two tangent lines, and gravitropic response speed and growth speed of seminal roots were then calculated as described above.

Genome-wide association study

To perform basic statistical analysis on the phenotypic traits, average value, standard deviation, coefficient of variation and correlation coefficient were calculated, with frequency distribution graphs made.

The GWAS analysis was conducted via the efficient mixed-model association (EMMA) method, available within the Genome Association and Prediction Integrated Tool (GAPIT) R package (Lipka et al. 2012). As in our previous studies for other important agronomic traits like deep rooting, mesocotyl elongation and drought resistance (Lou et al. 2015; Wu et al. 2015; Ma et al. 2016, 2019), the genotypic data of 3,038,555 SNPs with the minor allele frequency (MAF) of ≥ 5% across the panel were used for GWAS. The model was adjusted using a kinship matrix and principal component eigenvectors to remove the confounding effects of hidden family relationships and population structure within the population. A kinship matrix was created following the Van Raden protocol.

Fig. 4 The detailed process of the experiment measuring gravitropic response speed. Seven steps evaluated the gravitropic response speed, with each panel in the figure indicating one step of the method.
within GAPIT, and the first 2 components were used in principal components (PC) adjustment. In the case of GWAS using dense SNP markers, the threshold determined by Bonferroni correction using the total number of markers was usually too rigorous due to non-independence among SNP markers. Therefore, the thresholds could be calculated using the effective number of independent SNPs or minimum Bayes factor (mBF) (Zhang et al. 2019a; Yuan et al. 2020). Using the effective number of independent SNPs determined by PLINK3 (window size 50, step size 50, $r^2 \geq 0.2$) and the formula: $mBF = -e^{*P*ln(P)}$, two thresholds were quite close to $-\log_{10}(P) = 5.0$ that was used to declare the presence of associated QTLs (quantitative traits loci) in this study. Furthermore, the least p-value of the leading SNPs within associated loci were estimated through permutation tests for 300 times. The variance explained by the leading SNP with the lowest P value (PVE) was calculated by comparing the sum of squares of the variance between groups and the sum of squares of the variance of the full model (Zhang et al. 2017).

According to the GWAS results, the annotation information of all genes within the range of 200 kb on the two flanks of the leading associated SNP loci was analyzed and the genes whose function are known to be related to root development were selected for further expression test. The linkage disequilibrium (LD) decay distance in Indica and Japonica extends to between ~75 and 200 kb, so the annotated genes in the range of 200 kb were considered (Mather et al. 2007). Additionally, a further selection step was carried out using rice root transcriptome data (Lou et al. 2017), where genes that were highly expressed in roots were preferentially selected for further analysis.

RNA extraction and expression verification

To determine if selected candidate genes were differentially expressed between lines in the population, qPCR was conducted. Some accessions were used for expression verification, using three extreme accessions with the fastest and three with the slowest gravitropic response speed that were selected from the association population of 226 rice accessions. The seminal roots were sampled when they grew to 1–2 cm and were flash frozen in liquid nitrogen, then stored at -80 °C for later use. The total RNA of 10 pooled seminal roots was extracted using the TRNzol reagent (TIANGEN), and cDNA was synthesized by EasyScript®One-step gDNA Removal and cDNA Synthesis SuperMix following the manufacturer’s protocol (TransGen Biotech). Primer Premier v5.0 was used to design primers using the genome sequence of Nipponbare as a sequence reference (Supplementary Table 2), the target fragment lengths were expected to be between 150 bp—250 bp. Real time quantitative PCR was performed in 96-well plates with an Applied Biosystems CFX96 Real-Time PCR Detection System using TransStart Top Green qPCR SuperMix (TransGen Biotech). Actin gene was used as reference gene here. All assays were carried out in triplicate or greater and the expression levels were calculated using the relative quantitation method ($\Delta \Delta C_T$).
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