Factors Associated with Health-Related Quality of Life among Saudi Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: A Cross-Sectional Survey
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**Background:** Diabetes mellitus (DM) is associated with high mortality, morbidity, poor general health, and loss of health-related quality of life (HRQOL). The objective of the study was to assess the factors associated with HRQOL among patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM).

**Methods:** This was a cross sectional study conducted among 283 T2DM patients during June 2011 and September 2012 at a major tertiary hospital in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. The respondents were purposively and conveniently selected according to their availability during their routine visit to the outpatient clinics and they were interviewed using the Arabic version of the Short-Form 36-item survey (SF-36) to assess the HRQOL.

**Results:** The mean age of the participants was 56.4±13.2 years. Around 63% (178) were males and 37% (105) were females. Glycosylated hemoglobin level was found to be significantly higher among female and HRQOL was higher among male. Respondents who were more than 50 years old had poor HRQOL than less than 50 years age group. Poor economic status, reported diabetic complications and longer duration of diabetes were significantly associated with poor HRQOL. The respondents treated with combination of therapies (oral medication plus insulin) indicated better HRQOL than patients with insulin therapy alone. Multivariate analysis indicated that gender, economic status (except subscale energy), and complications of DM (except subscale energy) as independent risk factor for HRQOL.

**Conclusion:** Gender, economic status, and complication of DM were independent risk factors for majority of the subscales of HRQOL.
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**INTRODUCTION**

The World Health Organization (WHO) and International Diabetes Federation (IDF) have projected that the number of diabetes cases will increase to 366 million by 2030, an increase of 214% compared to the year 2006 [1]. Diabetes mellitus (DM) is associated with multiple medical complications that decrease the health-related quality of life (HRQOL) and contribute to suboptimal physical and mental functioning and earlier mortality [2,3]. Coronary heart disease, depression, and unhealthy eating habits have significant negative effects on quality of life (QOL) of DM patients [2,4,5].

The IDF reported that five of the top 10 countries with the highest prevalence rates of diabetes in the world are situated within the Gulf region including Saudi Arabia [6]. There has been a changing pattern of lifestyle among the Saudi population towards urbanization and dietary habits [7]. The chronic consumption of high energy, high fat diets, and low levels of...
physical activity lead to changes in energy balance with conservation of energy stored as fat. Such excess energy consumption per se promotes insulin resistance even before significant weight gain occurs [7]. The WHO projects a 3-fold increase in the number of people with DM from 890,000 in 2000 to 2,523,000 in 2030 in Saudi Arabia [8].

HRQOL refers to the physical, psychological, and social domains of health that are influenced by a person's experiences, beliefs, expectations, and perceptions; therefore, health care providers should strive to understand the physical, emotional, and social impacts of chronic disease such as DM [9]. In order to improve the day-to-day functions and HRQOL, patient-centered knowledge can be incorporated into chronic disease treatment strategies that may lead to lesser office visits, hospitalizations and reduced health care costs [10]. Studies have shown that HRQOL among diabetics are much lower than those reported among nondiabetics [4,11].

There are multifactorial reasons for lower QOL among diabetics. Diabetics are more likely to be older, overweight, less likely to exercise, and more likely to have comorbidities such as hypertension, coronary artery disease, hypercholesterolemia [12]; and are more likely to have complications such as retinopathy, nephropathy, painful polyneuropathy, upper gastrointestinal symptoms, impotence, amputations, symptomatic hyperglycemia, and hypoglycemia [4]. Lower health related QOL scores are associated with all these aspects. In addition, the cost of managing diabetic patients is twice as costly as managing nondiabetic patients, mainly due to the high costs associated with management of diabetic complications [13]. The objective of the present study was to assess the factors associated with HRQOL among patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM).

METHODS

This was a cross sectional study conducted among 283 type 2 diabetic patients at a major tertiary hospital, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia between June 2011 and September 2012. The respondents were purposively and conveniently selected according to their availability during their routine visit to the outpatient clinics. Inclusion criteria were: age range of 18 to 70 years; diabetes identified as type 2; diabetes diagnosed ≥1 year and Saudi national. Patients who had history of psychopathology, medical instability, visual, hearing, or cognitive impairment, type 1 diabetes, and gestational diabetics were excluded from the study. The demographic and the history of the DM were also collected.

Definitions of demographic variables and measurements

The patients were classified as poor if their monthly income was ≤5,000 Saudi Arabian Riyal (SAR), monthly income SAR >5,000 to 10,000 were classified as middle and monthly income SAR >10,000 were considered as high income group.

Diabetes related complications including diabetic nephropathy, diabetic neuropathy, retinopathy, diabetic cardiomyopathy, coronary artery disease, peripheral vascular disease, and stroke detail were collected. Diabetic nephropathy was diagnosed by the nephrologists (the presence of microalbuminuria which defined as, the presence of microalbuminuria ≥30 to 299 mg/24 hours urine collection sample or the presence of macro albuminuria ≥300 mg/24 hours urine collection sample) was obtained from the patient's record. Diabetic neuropathies are heterogeneous, affecting different parts of the nervous system that present with diverse clinical manifestations. They may be focal or diffuse. Retinopathy was defined according to the American Academy of Ophthalmology. Patients were classified into nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy and proliferative diabetic retinopathy.

Blood pressure was measured using a standardized sphygmomanometer. A trained nurse performed the procedure while the subject was in a sitting position, with the arm at the level of the heart and after 5 minutes rest. Hypertension was defined as elevated systolic (≥140 mm Hg) or diastolic (≥90 mm Hg) blood pressure. Glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c) was analyzed using high-performance liquid chromatography method (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA).

The definition of control of lipid profile components used in this study is according to National Cholesterol Educational Program Adult Treatment Panel III and American Diabetes Association recommendations. Family history DM was defined as the presence of DM in first-degree relatives.

HADS

The anxiety and depression symptom's measurements were assessed for the patients using hospital anxiety and depression scale (HADS), Arabic version [14]. This scale is very simple and easy to use by most people with no major language problems, and has no cultural or psychological sensitive questions. In addition, it is known to have very high validity and reliability.

The HADS consists of seven items for anxiety (HADS-A)
and seven for depression (HADS-D). The items were scored on a 4-point scale from 0 (not present) to 3 (considerable). The item scores are added, giving subscale scores on the HADS-anxiety and the HADS-depression from 0 to 21 [14].

**SF-36**

Patients were interviewed using the Arabic version of the Short-Form 36-item survey (SF-36) to assess the HRQOL. Interviews were conducted in a separate room in order to maintain privacy and confidentiality. It was revealed that there were no significant mean differences between Arabic and English SF-36 questionnaires in Saudi Cultures [15]. The SF-36 includes eight health concepts: physical functioning, bodily pain, role limitations due to physical health problems, role limitations due to personal or emotional problems, emotional well-being, social functioning, energy/fatigue, and general health perceptions. It also includes a single item that provides an indication of perceived change in health. These 36 items were adapted from longer instruments completed by patients participating in the Medical Outcomes Study, an observational study of variations in physician practice styles and patient outcomes in different systems of health care delivery [16]. Subscale scores are calculated according to standard procedures, yielding score values of 0 to 100, where higher scores indicate better HRQOL. Questionnaires for illiterate subjects were filled in the presence of two witnesses.

The SF-36 Health Survey items and scales were constructed using the Likert method of summated ratings. Answers to each question were scored (some items need to be recoded). These scores were then summed to produce raw scale scores for each health concept which were then transformed to a 0 to 100 scale with higher scores indicating better functioning, well-being, and state of health. Reliability and validity of the SF-36 have been demonstrated for both type 1 diabetes patients and type 2 diabetes patients.

**Statistical analysis**

Data analysis was carried out using Microsoft Excel 2002 (Microsoft Corp., Seattle, WA, USA) and SPSS version 16 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). In addition to the descriptive analysis t-test, one way analysis of variance, and Tukey post hoc tests were conducted to look at differences carried out for making comparisons among test groups. Multivariate linear regression analysis was done to understand the variables associated with HRQOL. P value of <0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

The demographic data (gender, age, marital status, level of education, income, and employment) are presented in Table 1. The mean age of the study participants was 56.4±13.2 years.

**Table 1. Demographic and history of diabetes mellitus of the study population**

| Variable                  | Frequency | Percentage |
|---------------------------|-----------|------------|
| Gender                    |           |            |
| Male                      | 178       | 62.9       |
| Female                    | 105       | 37.1       |
| Age                       |           |            |
| ≤50 yr                    | 110       | 38.9       |
| >50 yr                    | 173       | 61.1       |
| Education                 |           |            |
| Primary                   | 128       | 45.2       |
| Intermediate              | 66        | 23.3       |
| High school               | 66        | 23.3       |
| University                | 23        | 8.1        |
| Employment                |           |            |
| Employed                  | 74        | 26.1       |
| Unemployed                | 209       | 73.9       |
| Marital status            |           |            |
| Married                   | 233       | 82.3       |
| Unmarried                 | 50        | 17.7       |
| Economic status           |           |            |
| Poor                      | 41        | 14.1       |
| Middle                    | 182       | 64.3       |
| High                      | 60        | 21.2       |
| Family member having DM   |           |            |
| Family history            | 161       | 56.9       |
| No family history         | 122       | 43.1       |
| Treatment type            |           |            |
| Insulin alone             | 76        | 26.9       |
| Hypoglycemic drugs        | 141       | 49.8       |
| Combination               | 66        | 23.3       |
| Duration of DM            |           |            |
| ≤5 yr                     | 64        | 22.6       |
| 6–10 yr                   | 59        | 20.8       |
| 11–15 yr                  | 75        | 26.5       |
| >15 yr                    | 85        | 30.0       |
| Complication of DM        |           |            |
| No complication           | 118       | 41.7       |
| One complication          | 93        | 32.9       |
| ≥2 complication           | 72        | 25.4       |
| Hypertension              |           |            |
| No hypertension           | 61        | 21.6       |
| Hypertension              | 222       | 78.4       |
| Dyslipidemia              |           |            |
| Yes                       | 98        | 34.6       |
| No                        | 185       | 65.4       |

DM, diabetes mellitus.
One hundred and seventy-eight were males (62.9%) and 105 were females (37.1%). The mean duration of diagnosis of DM was 11.8±8.4 years. Table 2 presents the levels of glycemic control, anxiety, and depression of the study population. Compared to male, female had significantly higher HbA1c ($P<0.01$) and anxiety level ($P<0.05$). Likewise, the group with over 50 years of age had significantly higher HbA1c ($P<0.001$) and anxiety level ($P<0.05$) than ≤50 years of age group. Also, significant differences were found on HbA1c level of prolonged duration DM patients ($P<0.01$) and patients with ≥2 complications ($P<0.01$) and anxiety level ($P<0.01$).

### Table 2. Glycemic control, anxiety, and depression differences in the study population

| Variable                  | Glycemic control | Anxiety | Depression | HADS | HADS |
|---------------------------|------------------|---------|------------|------|------|
|                           | HbA1c            |         |            |      |      |
| Gender                    |                  |         |            |      |      |
| Male                      | 8.0±1.2          | 9.1±3.6 | 8.3±4.5    | 17.5±7.5 |      |
| Female                    | 8.6±1.2          | 9.6±3.0 | 8.7±3.9    | 18.3±6.3 |      |
| Age                       |                  |         |            |      |      |
| ≤50 yr                    | 7.9±1.2          | 9.3±2.3 | 8.4±3.9    | 16.9±6.3 |      |
| >50 yr                    | 8.7±1.3          | 9.7±2.4 | 8.8±3.7    | 18.5±6.5 |      |
| Education                 |                  |         |            |      |      |
| Primary                   | 8.2±1.2          | 9.8±3.3 | 8.5±4.2    | 18.3±7.0 |      |
| Intermediate              | 8.4±1.2          | 8.7±2.9 | 9.3±4.2    | 18.1±6.7 |      |
| High school               | 8.2±1.2          | 9.6±3.3 | 8.2±4.5    | 17.9±6.9 |      |
| University                | 8.3±1.4          | 6.8±4.7 | 6.8±4.1    | 13.6±8.4 |      |
| Employment                |                  |         |            |      |      |
| Employed                  | 8.3±1.2          | 8.7±4.0 | 8.2±4.8    | 17.0±8.3 |      |
| Unemployed                | 8.2±1.2          | 9.1±2   | 8.3±4.1    | 18.1±6.6 |      |
| Marital status            |                  |         |            |      |      |
| Married                   | 8.2±1.3          | 9.1±3.4 | 8.1±4.1    | 17.3±6.9 |      |
| Unmarried                 | 8.4±9            | 9.3±3.7 | 8.7±4.7    | 19.9±7.6 |      |
| Economic status           |                  |         |            |      |      |
| Poor                      | 8.3±1.3          | 9.6±3.1 | 8.8±4.1    | 18.4±6.3 |      |
| Middle                    | 8.2±1.2          | 9.0±3.6 | 8.1±4.3    | 17.1±7.4 |      |
| High                      | 8.3±1.3          | 10.2±9  | 9.4±4.2    | 19.5±6.5 |      |
| Family history of DM      |                  |         |            |      |      |
| Yes                       | 8.3±1.2          | 9.5±3.7 | 8.5±4.5    | 18.1±7.5 |      |
| No                        | 8.2±1.3          | 9.0±3.1 | 8.3±4.0    | 17.3±6.5 |      |
| Treatment type            |                  |         |            |      |      |
| Insulin alone             | 8.4±1.1          | 9.7±3.8 | 9.0±4.6    | 18.8±7.9 |      |
| Hypoglycemic drugs        | 8.3±1.3          | 9.2±3.3 | 8.5±4.1    | 17.7±6.6 |      |
| Combination               | 8.0±1.2          | 8.9±3.4 | 7.7±4.3    | 16.7±7.0 |      |
| Duration of DM            |                  |         |            |      |      |
| ≤5 yr                     | 8.6±1.1          | 9.9±2.9 | 9.3±4.0    | 19.3±6.0 |      |
| 6–10 yr                   | 8.3±1.1          | 9.1±3.8 | 7.7±4.3    | 16.8±7.5 |      |
| >15 yr                    | 8.3±1.2          | 9.4±3.7 | 8.7±4.4    | 18.2±7.0 |      |
| Complication of DM        |                  |         |            |      |      |
| No complication           | 7.9±1.3          | 8.8±3.3 | 8.1±4.4    | 16.9±7.0 |      |
| One complication          | 8.3±1.2          | 9.8±3.1 | 9.0±4.5    | 18.8±7.0 |      |
| ≥2 complication           | 8.0±1.2          | 8.6±3.7 | 7.7±4.0    | 16.3±7.1 |      |
| Hypertension              |                  |         |            |      |      |
| Yes                       | 8.3±1.2          | 9.3±3.0 | 8.9±5.0    | 18.0±9.3 |      |
| No                        | 8.0±1.2          | 9.0±4.8 | 8.3±4.1    | 17.7±6.4 |      |
| Dyslipidemia              |                  |         |            |      |      |
| Yes                       | 8.3±1.3          | 9.7±3.2 | 8.9±4.2    | 18.6±6.7 |      |
| No                        | 8.2±1.1          | 9.1±3.6 | 8.2±4.3    | 17.4±7.3 |      |

Values are presented as mean±standard deviation (t-test, one way analysis of variance, and Tukey post hoc test). HADS, hospital anxiety and depression scale; HbA1c, glycosylated hemoglobin; DM, diabetes mellitus.

$^aP<0.01, ^bP<0.05, ^cP<0.001, ^d≤5$ years vs. >15 years.
complication of DM ($P<0.05$) compared to ≤5 years duration of DM and DM patients without any complication respectively. Table 3 presents the scores of the SF-36 domains/subscales among the type 2 diabetic subjects. The HRQOL was found to be significantly lower among female on subscale physical functioning, role emotional, energy, emotional, social, and pain than to their counterpart. The group with over 50 years of age also showed significantly lower HRQOL on subscale, physical functioning ($P<0.001$), role of emotional ($P<0.01$), and energy ($P<0.001$) than the group with under 50 years of age. When compared to those with poor economic status, patients with middle and high economic status had significantly higher HRQOL in all subscales ($P<0.05$). However, there was no significant difference found between middle and high economic status patients ($P>0.05$). Table 4 shows the scores of SF-36 domains/subscales among type 2 diabetic subjects which are presented based on diabetes complication and associated diseases. Compared to patients who used insulin alone, patient treated with combination of treatments i.e., insulin+hypoglycemic drug showed significantly higher HRQOL on all the subscales ($P<0.05$) except pain ($P>0.05$). However, no significant difference was observed between patients who had hypoglycemic drug and combination of treatments other than physical functioning. The patients who reported having DM

### Table 3. Influence of demographic variables on health related quality of life of the study population

| Variable       | Physical functioning | Role physical health | Role emotional | Energy | Emotional | Social | Pain | General health | Health change |
|----------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------|--------|-----------|--------|------|----------------|---------------|
| Gender         |                      |                      |               |        |           |        |      |                |               |
| Male           | 73.4±20.0            | 83.3±30.8            | 76.2±33.6     | 54.3±9.5 | 60.2±11.2 | 71.0±17.5 | 74.3±19.6 | 64.9±20.6 | 64.4±26.4     |
| Female         | 40.8±18.1            | 57.2±8.4             | 44.9±41.4     | 36.1±12.1 | 52.6±13.6 | 58.2±18.2 | 59.8±22.2 | 45.6±22.3 | 28.5±23.1     |
| Age, yr        |                      |                      |               |        |           |        |      |                |               |
| ≤50            | 63.2±19.2            | 76.3±28.3            | 67.2±26.8     | 56.4±11.2 | 61.4±11.2 | 71.4±16.5 | 64.2±21.3 | 65.4±23.4 | 52.5±26.5     |
| >50            | 45.5±17.5            | 53.1±8.4             | 47.9±38.7     | 34.5±13.5 | 57.3±14.3 | 65.6±18.2 | 62.1±24.5 | 41.5±18.9 | 46.7±27.3     |
| Education      |                      |                      |               |        |           |        |      |                |               |
| Primary        | 62.3±26.4            | 73.5±37.1            | 63.5±39.3     | 48.0±13.5 | 57.9±12.9 | 65.1±17.9 | 70.4±22.1 | 59.5±23.1 | 52.1±31.0     |
| Intermediate   | 57.7±72.7            | 36.7±65.6            | 65.6±42.9     | 47.2±14.4 | 56.1±12.1 | 67.8±18.7 | 67.3±20.3 | 52.7±23.0 | 51.1±30.5     |
| High school    | 62.9±24.2            | 75.5±35.1            | 64.3±39.0     | 47.0±14.3 | 56.8±13.5 | 65.3±21.6 | 66.1±23.1 | 56.7±23.3 | 47.2±28.8     |
| University     | 61.5±23.6            | 72.8±32.7            | 68.1±35.5     | 47.6±11.3 | 60.1±10.5 | 71.2±14.8 | 73.8±19.0 | 65.5±22.0 | 56.5±33.8     |
| Employment     |                      |                      |               |        |           |        |      |                |               |
| Employed       | 60.1±24.6            | 75.3±34.9            | 68.0±38.7     | 49.1±13.7 | 59.3±12.3 | 68.2±17.8 | 70.2±20.8 | 60.2±22.4 | 51.3±30.5     |
| Unemployed     | 61.7±25.1            | 73.0±36.5            | 63.3±39.9     | 47.0±13.7 | 56.7±12.8 | 65.6±19.2 | 68.5±22.1 | 56.9±23.4 | 51.0±30.5     |
| Marital status |                      |                      |               |        |           |        |      |                |               |
| Married        | 62.3±24.7            | 74.8±36.0            | 65.9±38.8     | 48.4±13.7 | 58.0±12.2 | 66.1±18.5 | 69.5±21.1 | 57.9±23.0 | 51.8±30.3     |
| Unmarried      | 56.8±26.0            | 68.1±36.2            | 58.3±43.1     | 43.7±12.9 | 54.3±14.4 | 67.0±20.4 | 66.1±24.3 | 57.1±24.2 | 47.9±31.9     |
| Economic status|                      |                      |               |        |           |        |      |                |               |
| Poor           | 36.9±16.3            | 27.4±29.4            | 17.0±30.8     | 39.0±12.2 | 44.6±12.8 | 46.6±17.0 | 47.9±18.8 | 30.3±15.6 | 23.7±23.6     |
| Middle         | 64.1±22.9            | 79.8±31.8            | 72.0±35.9     | 48.4±13.4 | 58.7±11.3 | 70.1±17.2 | 71.6±19.3 | 60.9±21.1 | 54.0±29.5     |
| High           | 69.4±26.0            | 86.6±27.4            | 74.4±32.6     | 51.0±13.5 | 62.2±11.2 | 68.1±16.6 | 75.2±22.5 | 67.0±20.0 | 60.8±27.7     |
| Family history of DM |          |                      |               |        |           |        |      |                |               |
| Yes            | 60.9±24.6            | 71.8±36.6            | 62.6±40.8     | 47.3±13.6 | 56.4±13.1 | 64.9±19.1 | 68.8±22.1 | 56.6±23.8 | 51.0±31.5     |
| No             | 61.9±25.4            | 76.0±35.4            | 67.2±38.0     | 47.9±13.8 | 58.7±12.0 | 68.1±18.4 | 69.2±21.4 | 59.3±22.3 | 51.2±29.4     |

Values are presented as mean±standard deviation (t-test, one way analysis of variance, and Tukey post hoc test).

DM, diabetes mellitus.

$^{a}P<0.001$, $^{b}P<0.01$, $^{c}P<0.05$. 
Table 4. Diabetes and its complications on health related quality of life of the study population

| Variable | Quality of life | Physical functioning | Role physical health | Role emotional | Energy | Emotional | Social | Pain | General health | Health change |
|----------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------|--------|-----------|--------|------|---------------|---------------|
| Treatment type | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Insulin alone | 52.3±24.9 | 68.2±37.2 | 62.4±41.1 | 47.1±14.0 | 56.6±12.3 | 64.0±18.7 | 68.8±21.6 | 54.9±23.7 | 49.7±29.6 |
| Hypoglycemic drugs | 58.4±23.9 | 71.0±37.6 | 64.3±32.4 | 49.8±13.7 | 57.4±11.5 | 69.2±14.3 | 67.4±24.7 | 57.9±21.1 | 52.4±26.7 |
| Combination of drugs (insulin+ hypoglycemic drug) | 74.4±21.7 | 83.2±30.3 | 75.0±35.3 | 51.4±11.6 | 60.4±12.6 | 72.3±18.5 | 71.4±21.3 | 64.8±21.3 | 59.5±31.3 |
| Duration of DM, yr | | | | | | | | | | |
| ≤ 5 | 68.9±23.9 | 81.7±28.7 | 72.4±36.3 | 49.9±12.5 | 59.6±11.9 | 70.8±17.6 | 68.2±22.3 | 62.0±22.4 | 59.3±29.3 |
| 6–10 | 62.5±25.1 | 77.5±35.8 | 68.9±36.5 | 49.4±14.0 | 59.4±12.2 | 66.9±17.1 | 71.2±20.1 | 62.1±21.0 | 48.3±31.4 |
| 11–15 | 61.6±25.0 | 73.3±38.4 | 65.7±39.1 | 47.2±12.9 | 57.1±12.7 | 66.0±18.6 | 71.6±21.2 | 58.3±22.5 | 53.6±30.1 |
| >15 | 54.5±24.1 | 65.1±37.8 | 54.6±43.0 | 44.8±14.7 | 54.5±13.1 | 62.6±20.4 | 65.6±22.7 | 51.1±24.5 | 44.6±30.1 |
| Complication of DM | | | | | | | | | | |
| No complication | 68.6±24.6 | 86.2±27.1 | 74.5±32.4 | 49.2±13.5 | 60.9±11.2 | 68.7±17.3 | 73.6±22.0 | 64.9±19.8 | 58.4±28.0 |
| One complication | 62.8±23.1 | 78.2±33.4 | 72.0±37.5 | 48.4±13.5 | 57.8±12.4 | 70.9±17.7 | 69.2±20.8 | 60.9±21.5 | 52.9±30.3 |
| ≥2 complication | 47.3±22.2 | 38.8±42.2 | 41.7±84.5 | 34.8±13.6 | 51.0±13.1 | 56.2±19.1 | 60.9±20.4 | 42.0±23.3 | 36.8±30.5 |
| Hypertension | | | | | | | | | | |
| No HTN | 64.3±24.3 | 77.4±34.0 | 69.9±37.3 | 49.5±12.8 | 59.2±11.3 | 67.4±19.5 | 70.1±22.6 | 59.0±22.4 | 52.0±27.4 |
| HTN | 60.5±25.1 | 72.6±36.6 | 63.1±40.2 | 47.0±13.9 | 56.9±13.0 | 65.9±18.7 | 68.6±21.5 | 57.4±23.4 | 50.8±31.4 |
| Dyslipidemia | | | | | | | | | | |
| No dyslipidemia | 61.0±25.0 | 74.8±35.7 | 66.3±39.9 | 47.5±13.3 | 57.6±12.3 | 67.2±18.3 | 69.3±21.8 | 57.5±23.1 | 50.9±29.8 |
| Dyslipidemia | 61.9±24.9 | 71.4±36.8 | 61.3±39.1 | 47.7±14.4 | 57.0±13.3 | 64.4±19.7 | 68.3±21.6 | 58.2±23.4 | 51.4±32.1 |

Values are presented as mean±standard deviation (t-test, one way analysis of variance, and Tukey post hoc test).

DM, diabetes mellitus; HTN, hypertension.

- *P < 0.05, **P < 0.001, ***P < 0.01, 5 years vs. > 15 years, 'No complication vs. ≥ 2 complications, 'P < 0.001, **P < 0.05, ***P < 0.01, one complication vs. ≥ 2 complication.

for longer duration (> 15 years) had significantly higher risk of subscale, physical functioning, role of physical health, role emotional, general health, and health change. When compared to diabetic patients with no complication, patients ≥2 complication had significantly higher risk of subscale, physical functioning, role of physical health, role emotional, energy, emotional, social, pain, general health, and health change. Significant differences were also observed in patients with ≥2 complication on all subscales of HRQOL except energy when compared to patients with one complication. Table 5 demonstrates the results of regression analyses with β-coefficient and 95% confidence interval for SF-36 dimensions adjusted for significant confounders gender, age, economic status, treatment type, duration of DM, and complication of DM. Gender, economic status (except subscale energy) and complications of DM (except subscale energy) were the independent risk factor for HRQOL.

**DISCUSSION**

The prevalence of DM is steadily increasing in Saudi Arabia due to population growth, aging, urbanization, increasing prevalence of obesity and physical inactivity. HRQOL refers to the physical, psychological, and social domains of health that are influenced by a person's experiences, beliefs, expectations, and perceptions [8]. According to the previous study, poor
Glycemic control is reported among more than half of Saudi populations with T2DM [17].

Patients with T2DM were generally found to be overweight, obese, sedentary, and frequently found to be hypertensive. It is, therefore, suggested that patients need to pay attention to their weight by participating in weight reduction programmes and improving their physical activity levels to reduce the risk of developing the complications associated with type 2 diabetes [11]. A comprehensive approach that include, diabetes education, life style modification, good glycemic control, mini-

Table 5. Results of regression analyses with β-coefficient and 95% confidence interval for SF-36 dimensions adjusted for significant confounders gender, age, economic status, treatment type, duration of diabetes mellitus, and complication of diabetes mellitus (n = 283)

| Variable              | Physical functioning |       | Role physical health |       | Role emotional |       |
|-----------------------|----------------------|-------|----------------------|-------|----------------|-------|
|                       | β        | 95% CI Lower | 95% CI Upper | P value | β        | 95% CI Lower | 95% CI Upper | P value | β        | 95% CI Lower | 95% CI Upper | P value |
| Gender                | −22.5    | −27.1       | −17.8       | <0.001  | −24.9    | −9.72       | <0.001      | <0.001  | −22.1    | −30.8       | −13.3       | <0.001  |
| Age                   | 0.001    | −0.148      | 0.150       | 0.986   | −0.050   | −0.294      | 0.194       | 0.686   | −0.067   | −0.347      | 0.214       | 0.639   |
| Economic status       | 7.60     | 3.65        | 11.5        | <0.001  | 16.4     | 10.0        | 22.9        | <0.001  | 15.7     | 8.36        | 23.2        | <0.001  |
| Treatment type        | 0.808    | −2.31       | 3.92        | 0.611   | 1.43     | −3.66       | 6.53        | 0.580   | 0.079    | −5.79       | 5.95        | 0.979   |
| Duration of DM        | −1.01    | −2.99       | 0.958       | 0.311   | −1.65    | −4.89       | 1.58        | 0.315   | −1.15    | −4.87       | 2.56        | 0.541   |
| Complication of DM    | −6.31    | −9.19       | −3.44       | <0.001  | −11.4    | −16.1       | −6.70       | <0.001  | −10.4    | −15.8       | −4.98       | <0.001  |

| Variable              | Energy |       | Emotional |       | Social |       |
|-----------------------|--------|-------|-----------|-------|--------|-------|
|                       | β      | 95% CI Lower | 95% CI Upper | P value | β      | 95% CI Lower | 95% CI Upper | P value | β      | 95% CI Lower | 95% CI Upper | P value |
| Gender                | −9.94  | −12.8 | −7.07     | <0.001  | 4.63   | −7.54     | −1.72       | 0.002   | −9.72   | −14.1       | −5.28       | <0.001  |
| Age                   | 0.019  | −0.073 | 0.111     | 0.682   | 0.015  | −0.078    | 0.109       | 0.744   | −0.003  | −0.145      | 0.139       | 0.971   |
| Economic status       | 1.45   | −0.987 | 3.88      | 0.242   | 5.68   | 3.21      | 8.15        | <0.001  | 5.32    | 1.56        | 9.09        | 0.006   |
| Treatment type        | 1.01   | −0.911 | 2.94      | 0.300   | 0.163  | −1.78     | 2.11        | 0.869   | −0.430  | −3.40       | 2.54        | 0.776   |
| Duration of DM        | −1.54  | −2.76 | −0.323    | 0.013   | −0.511 | −1.74     | 0.726       | 0.417   | −0.870  | −2.75       | 1.01        | 0.364   |
| Complication of DM    | 0.868  | −0.910 | 2.64      | 0.337   | −2.81  | −4.61     | −1.01       | 0.002   | −3.04   | −5.79       | −0.304      | 0.030   |

| Variable              | Pain |       | General health |       | Health change |       |
|-----------------------|------|-------|----------------|-------|---------------|-------|
|                       | β    | 95% CI Lower | 95% CI Upper | P value | β    | 95% CI Lower | 95% CI Upper | P value | β    | 95% CI Lower | 95% CI Upper | P value |
| Gender                | −10.6 | −15.6 | −5.57       | <0.001  | −13.2 | −18.1       | −8.26       | <0.001  | −31.5 | −37.7       | −25.2       | <0.001  |
| Age                   | −0.092 | −0.254 | 0.070      | 0.265   | 0.012 | −0.146     | 0.170       | 0.882   | −0.010 | −0.211      | 0.191       | 0.921   |
| Economic status       | 9.33  | 5.05  | 13.62       | <0.001  | 10.6  | 6.48       | 14.8        | <0.001  | 7.59   | 2.27        | 12.9        | 0.005   |
| Treatment type        | 1.85  | −1.53 | 5.23        | 0.283   | −0.713 | −4.02      | 2.59        | 0.672   | −0.954 | −5.15       | 3.24        | 0.655   |
| Duration of DM        | 1.43  | −0.710 | 3.58      | 0.189   | −0.838 | −2.93      | 1.25        | 0.432   | −0.429 | −3.09       | 2.23        | 0.751   |
| Complication of DM    | −3.57 | −6.70 | −0.453     | 0.025   | −6.63  | −9.68      | −3.58       | <0.001  | −4.96  | −8.84       | −1.09        | 0.012   |

Multivariate linear regression analysis.
CI, confidence interval; DM, diabetes mellitus.
mization of cardiovascular risk, avoidance of drugs that can aggravate glucose or lipid metabolism, and screening for diabetes complications are essential for the management of patients with T2DM. QOL can also be improved by delaying the advancement of diabetes complications through a comprehensive diabetes management [18].

Over the past decade, differences between men and women with T2DM have been intensively investigated, revealing that the women with diabetes appeared to have worse HRQOL and mental well-being than the men with diabetes [13,19]. The present study also reported that female with diabetes appeared to have worse HRQOL and mental well-being than their counterpart. The multivariate analysis indicated gender as an independent risk factor of HRQOL. Therefore, identifying strategies to improve self-rated health and HRQOL among diabetic patients, especially among Saudi women, is of great importance.

Age has been another parameter which has an effect on the HRQOL of diabetic patients [20]. Hanninen et al. [21] reported that age has no effect on diabetic patient’s HRQOL; however, another study reported that patients who are less than 40 years of age have significantly better QOL than other age groups [22]. The present study found a negative correlation between age and HRQOL. In addition, it is understood that men and women with diabetes face different challenges in the management of their condition [23].

Low socioeconomic status and patients with a high school education or less had a strong negative impact on HRQOL of diabetes patients especially in the younger age group [24,25]. The present study also found that patients with a low economic status and high school education or less had at least one poor HRQOL outcome. However, multivariate linear regression analysis indicated only economic status as independent risk factor for HRQOL in diabetes patients.

Many studies reported an association between increased duration of diabetes and poor HRQOL, in both types of diabetes [26,27]. On the other hand, there are also contradicting findings about the association between duration of diabetes and HRQOL. In this present study we found a negative association between diabetes duration and HRQOL. The longer duration of diabetes is associated with the poor HRQOL.

Studies reported that insulin treatment was one of the important factors associated with HRQOL. Insulin-treated diabetic patients reported reduced impact on HRQOL than tablets/diet-treated patients [28,29]. This study also observed a significantly better HRQOL among insulin treated patients than oral hypoglycemic drugs treated patients. In addition, the combination of insulin and oral hypoglycemic drugs treated patients had better HRQOL than those treated with insulin alone. However, multivariate analysis indicated no significant association between type of type of treatment and HRQOL.

Diabetes patients are more susceptible to macro or microangiopathic complications, such as myocardial infarction, stroke, and peripheral arterial occlusive disease than those without T2DM. Literature also suggested a link between diabetic microvascular complications such as retinopathy, nephropathy, and neuropathy, and cardiovascular diseases. There is now extensive evidence that microalbuminuria, a marker of nephropathy, is also a strong predictor of total and cardiovascular mortality in patients with T2DM [11,30]. The American Diabetic Association has estimated that 75% to 80% of adult diabetic patients will ultimately die as a result of macrovascular complications due to their underlying disease [31]. The incidence of diabetic complications has been shown to have a significant impact on QOL in a number of studies [32]. The long-term complications, particularly microvascular disease, have been directly related to poor glycemic control [33]. As many patients are likely to remain undiagnosed for several years before symptoms appear, many will show evidence of diabetic complications at diagnosis [34,35]. The present study indicated a significantly lower HRQOL among patients with diabetes complication than the patients with diabetes alone. Further, multivariate analysis indicated complication of DM as an independent risk factor for all subscales of SF36 except energy.

In conclusion, the result of this study indicates a significant association between DM and HRQOL. Gender, economic status, diabetes treatment type and complication of DM were independent risk factors for majority of the subscales of HRQOL. Understanding the effect of diabetes on QOL is important for day-to-day clinical management and also for public health policy initiatives in order to improve the QOL and health outcomes of those with diabetes.
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