Coil-Protected Embolization Technique for a Branch-Incorporated Aneurysm
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Objective: A small branch-incorporated aneurysm is an aneurysm with a small branch incorporated into the sac or the neck. It is one of the most difficult aneurysms to treat with coil embolization. The aim of this study was to evaluate the safety and effectiveness of the coil-protected embolization technique for small-branch incorporated aneurysm.

Materials and Methods: Fourteen aneurysms (2 ruptured and 12 unruptured) in 12 patients (mean age, 56 years, range, 40–73 years; 6 men and 6 women) were treated with the coil-protected embolization technique during the period between February 2007 and October 2011. Clinical and angiographic outcomes were retrospectively evaluated.

Results: All aneurysms were successfully treated without any complications during the procedure. Immediate post-treatment angiographies demonstrated complete or near complete occlusion in 12 and incomplete occlusion in 2 patients. Two patients had a delayed small embolic infarction in the relevant posterior circulation territory and middle cerebral artery territory 10 days and 14 days later, respectively, but both recovered completely or almost completely (modified Rankin scale score [mRS score], 0 and 1, respectively). During the clinical follow-up period (mean, 21 months; range: 2–58 months), all patients reported an mRS score of 0 (n = 10) or 1 (n = 2). Vascular imaging follow-up (catheter angiography: n = 3 and MR angiography: n = 8) was available in 11 aneurysms at 6–12 months. All 11 aneurysms showed complete occlusion except for 1 minor neck recurrence that did not require further treatment.

Conclusion: In this series of cases, the coil-protected embolization technique seems to be feasible and effective in the treatment of small-branch incorporated aneurysms.
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INTRODUCTION

Coil embolization has been increasingly used to treat intracranial ruptured or unruptured aneurysms. The rapid development of devices and embolization techniques have allowed endovascular treatment to be applied to more complex aneurysms that were previously impossible to be treated via endovascular methods. However, a small branch-incorporated aneurysm still remains a challenge for endovascular treatment (1, 2). Although a balloon or stent is very useful in treating wide-necked aneurysms, sometimes it cannot protect the incorporated small branch into the
aneurysm neck or sac. In this series of cases, we present our experiences of treating small branch-incorporated aneurysms with a novel, coil-protected embolization technique.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The institutional review board approved this retrospective study, and written informed consent was waived. Twelve patients (6 men and 6 women; mean age, 56 years old; age range, 40-73 years) with 14 small-branch incorporated aneurysms (2 ruptured and 12 unruptured; mean aneurysm size, 4.1 mm; range, 2.5-7 mm) were treated with the coil-protected embolization technique in 2 referral hospitals during the period between February 2007 and October 2011. A small branch (≤ 1 mm in diameter)-incorporated aneurysm is an aneurysm with a small branch incorporated into the sac or neck (1, 2). The locations of aneurysms under study were in the middle cerebral artery (MCA) bifurcation and M1 portion in 8, anterior communicating artery in 2, vertebral artery - posterior inferior cerebellar artery (PICA) origin in 2, anterior cerebral artery in the A2 portion in 1, and basilar artery - superior cerebellar artery (SCA) origin in 1 (Table 1).

Patients with unruptured small aneurysm were decided to treat after discussing with the responsible neurosurgeons for probable increased risk of aneurysm rupture (past history or family history of subarachnoid hemorrhage or simultaneous coil embolization of multiple intracranial aneurysms). Medical records and imaging studies were reviewed to obtain the relevant clinical and radiographic information.

Treatment Technique

All treated aneurysms had a branch arising from the sac or neck. Incorporated branches had a small diameter and an acute inverse angle from the axis of the parent artery such that navigation of a catheter or a balloon was not feasible (Fig. 1). Inverse angle of the incorporated branch indicates that the incorporated branch runs in the opposite direction of the parent artery. Thus, a pre-shaped or steam-shaped microcatheter, whose tip was able to face the origin of the incorporated branch, was introduced into the aneurysm neck, and the aneurysm sac was selected with another microcatheter for coiling. While a small-sized coil (helical or 3D shape, 1.5 or 2 mm in diameter and 2-6 cm in length) was deployed through the catheter facing the incorporated branch origin for protection, the initial coil frame was made with another catheter and coil (Fig. 1C). Just after making the initial coil frame, the protected coil was carefully retrieved (Fig. 1D), and angiography was performed to confirm the preservation of the incorporated branch and stability of the coil basket (Fig. 1E). Once the stability of the coil frame and preservation of the incorporated branch were confirmed, further coil embolization was completed by repeating the procedure.

RESULTS

All aneurysms were successfully embolized without any treatment-related complications (Table 1). Balloon-remodeling technique, catheter-protected technique, or

| No | Age | Present | Loc. | Size | No. of Aneurysm | Post-Tx Angio | mRS (months) | Fu Angio (months) |
|----|-----|---------|------|------|----------------|---------------|--------------|------------------|
| 1  | 57  | SAH     | PICA,Rt | 5    | 1              | Complete      | 1 (58)       | Minor recurrence (12) |
| 2  | 61  | Unrupture | MCA,Rt | 7    | 1              | Near complete | 0 (58)       | Complete (12)    |
| 3  | 43  | Unrupture | MCA,Rt | 4    | 1              | Complete      | 0 (33)       | Complete (6)     |
| 4  | 55  | Unrupture | MCA,Rt | 3    | 2              | Incomplete    | 0 (20)       | Complete (6)     |
| 5  | 69  | SAH     | MCA,Rt | 4    | 1              | Complete      | 0 (20)       | Complete (6)     |
| 6  | 57  | Unrupture | PICA,Rt | 4.5  | 3              | Complete      | 1 (20)       | Complete (7)     |
| 7  | 40  | Unrupture | MCA,Rt | 3    | 2              | Incomplete    | 0 (16)       | Complete (6)     |
|    |     | Unrupture | MCA,Lt | 4.2  | Complete       |              |              | Complete (6)     |
| 8  | 45  | Unrupture | MCA,Lt | 5.2  | 5              | Complete      | 0 (9)        | Complete (6)     |
| 9  | 68  | Unrupture | Acom   | 6    | 1              | Complete      | 0 (8)        | Complete (6)     |
| 10 | 53  | Unrupture | SCA,Lt | 2.5  | 2              | Near complete | 0 (7)        | Complete (6)     |
| 11 | 73  | Unrupture | MCA,Rt | 2.5  | 3              | Complete      | 0 (6)        | NA               |
| 12 | 52  | Unrupture | Acom   | 3    | 2              | Near complete | 0 (2)        | NA               |
|    |     | Unrupture | A2,Lt  | 3.5  | Complete       |              |              | NA               |

Note.— Loc. = location of aneurysm, Post-Tx angio = degree of aneurysm occlusion on immediate post-treatment angiogram, mRS = modified Rankin Scale score, Fu angio = degree of aneurysm occlusion on follow-up angiogram, PICA = posterior inferior cerebellar artery, MCA = middle cerebral artery, Acom = anterior communicating artery, Rt = right, Lt = left, NA = not applicable
a 2-catheter technique was initially attempted but all failed to preserve the incorporated branch in 8 aneurysms. The coil-protected technique was then used, resulting in successful coil embolization with preservation of the incorporated branch. The remaining 4 aneurysms were initially treated with the coil-protected technique. Seven patients underwent simultaneous coil embolization for 2 or 3 intracranial aneurysms. Five patients, who were treated in the earlier period, did not receive post-treatment antiplatelet medication but the remaining 7 patients received post-treatment antiplatelet medication (aspirin 100 mg or plavix 75 mg daily) for 2-4 weeks. Two of the 5 patients who did not receive post-treatment antiplatelet medication had a delayed embolic infarction in the relevant posterior circulation territory and MCA territory 10 and 14 days later, respectively; however, both patients recovered completely or near completely (modified Rankin scale score [mRS score], 0 and 1, respectively).

Immediate post-treatment angiography revealed complete or near complete occlusion in 12 aneurysms and incomplete occlusion in 2. During the clinical follow-up period (mean: 21 months; range: 2-58 months), all patients reported an mRS score of 0 (n = 10) or 1 (n = 2). Vascular imaging follow-up (catheter angiography: n = 3 and MR angiography: n = 8) were available in 11 aneurysms at 6-12 months. All 11 aneurysms showed complete occlusion except for 1 minor neck recurrence that did not require further treatment.

**Illustrative Cases**

**Case 4** (Fig. 1)

A 55-year-old patient was referred for treatment of an...
unruptured aneurysm at the right MCA M1. She had a family history of subarachnoid hemorrhage and had a fear of her aneurysm. Angiography revealed that a small frontal branch, from which the right lenticulostriate arteries arose, was incorporated into the aneurysm neck. We initially attempted to treat the aneurysm using a balloon-remodeling technique with a HyperForm balloon (ev3, Irvine, CA, USA) but failed to save the incorporated branch. We then attempted to introduce a microcatheter into the incorporated branch but failed due to its acute angle to the axis of the MCA. Finally, the coil-protected embolization technique was tried using a guglielmi detachable coil 360 2/4 coil, which allowed for successful coil embolization and preservation of the incorporated branch. This patient had a small infarction in the left basal ganglia 14 days post surgery but she recovered completely. Six-month follow-up MR angiography revealed complete embolization of the aneurysm and a well-preserved incorporated branch.

Case 6 (Fig. 2).
A 57-year-old patient was admitted for treatment of unruptured bilateral distal internal carotid artery (ICA) aneurysms and a right PICA aneurysm. The bilateral distal ICA aneurysms were treated with balloon-assisted coiling

---

**Fig. 2.** Case 6. 57-year-old patient presenting with bilateral distal internal carotid artery aneurysms and right posterior inferior cerebellar artery aneurysm.

A. Right vertebral angiogram in working projection shows small aneurysm with left posterior inferior cerebellar artery incorporated into aneurysm neck. B. Initial coil basket is successfully made with coil-protection technique using helical 2/4 coil. C. Final control angiogram shows complete embolization with well-preserved posterior inferior cerebellar artery. D. Six-month follow-up angiogram reveals complete occlusion of aneurysm sac (asterisk) with well-preserved right posterior inferior cerebellar artery (arrow).
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Case 10 (Fig. 3)

A 53-year-old patient was referred for the treatment of a left distal ICA and basilar artery-SCA aneurysm. The left distal ICA aneurysm was treated with stent-assisted coiling (not shown). The SCA aneurysm was then embolized with the coil-protected technique in the same session. Six-month follow-up angiography revealed complete occlusion of both aneurysms.

DISCUSSION

Endovascular coil embolization of intracranial aneurysms has made remarkable progress over the last decade and has been accepted as an alternative to surgical clipping showing lower morbidity and mortality rates (3). Owing to recent advances and developments of new devices and techniques for coil embolization, very small aneurysms or wide-neck aneurysms are no longer the contraindications to coiling (4-9). However, aneurysms with an incorporated branch are still regarded as relative contraindications to coil embolization because protecting the small branch arising from the neck or sac of aneurysms remains technically challenging (1, 2). Recently, Kim et al. (1)
reported successful endovascular treatment of aneurysms with an incorporated branch using various neck remodeling techniques. Their clinical and angiographic results were more favorable than expected.

As demonstrated by Lubicz et al. (10) a hypercompliant HyperForm balloon may be very useful in some cases of aneurysms with an incorporated branch for preservation of the branch. Additionally, multiple catheter or catheter-assisted techniques can be used when a balloon or stent is not able to be inserted into the incorporated branch artery due to its narrow diameter (2, 4, 11, 12). However, these techniques may also be limited in treating aneurysms with an incorporated branch. Therefore, we developed a coil-protected, coil embolization technique and evaluated its efficacy and safety as an alternative to balloon-remodeling, 2-catheter, and catheter-protected techniques in the treatment of branch-incorporated aneurysms. To our knowledge, similar techniques have been described only in 2 studies in the previously reported literature (1, 4).

The coil-protected embolization technique is particularly useful in treating aneurysms that have an incorporated branch arising with an acutely inverse angle to the parent artery axis. In such cases, navigation of a microcatheter to the incorporated branch is extremely difficult and risky. In contrast, in the current case studies, whenever the tip of the microcatheter was appropriately shaped and faced the origin of the incorporated branch, the coil loop or mass could be smoothly formed or introduced into the origin of the branch. In turn, this coil loop or mass interferes with moving of the framing coil loop toward the incorporated branch. After a stable coil frame had been formed without compromise of the incorporated branch, the protection coil was carefully retrieved to confirm the stability of the framing coil. In some cases, the protection coil and framing coil were deployed alternatively rather than sequentially to increase the effect of interference with each other, resulting in the prevention of both movement of the framing coil loops toward the incorporated branch and that of the protection coil loops toward the aneurysm dome.

This technique has several advantages over the balloon or stent-assisted technique. First, the devices required for the coil embolization technique are the same as those used in conventional coil embolization. No additional femoral puncture is required, and the use of one 6 Fr guiding catheter is conventional. Second, this coil embolization technique can achieve coil stability without compromising the side branch. Various factors can influence coil stability, including the position of microcatheters, coil size and morphologic features. Of these influencing factors, catheter and coil factors are controllable. Placing the first microcatheter close to the origin of the incorporated vessel may be difficult at times. However, unsatisfactory catheter positioning can be overcome by choosing various pre-shaped microcatheters or by appropriate steam-shaping of the microcatheter tip. Additionally, alternative and nonsequential deployment of the protection and framing coils may increase the effectiveness of the mutual interference of the protection and framing coils as mentioned above. We preferred using a smaller diameter coil for branch protection when possible. We were able to use this coil as a filling coil after making the stable coil framing basket in most of our cases. Another advantage of this technique is that it can be readily changed to a 2-catheter technique whenever needed. Once the stability of the intra-aneurysmal coil frame and preservation of the incorporated branch are confirmed, embolization of the residual aneurysmal sac can be completed by repeating the procedure or by changing to the 2-catheter technique.

Finally, the advantage of this novel coil embolization technique is that pre- or postprocedural antiplatelet aggregation therapy is not necessarily required. Therefore, following surgical procedures in patients with ruptured aneurysms, external ventricular drainage or decompressive craniotomy can be performed after endovascular treatment.

There may be potential complications associated with coil-protected embolization including thrombo-embolism or coil stretching during the procedure. However, in this study, we did not encounter any thrombo-embolisms during the procedure. This is likely related to technical considerations of the procedure. That is, the protection coil loops were not wedged into the artery to preserve antegrade blood flow through the incorporated branch and it was flushed with heparinized saline during the entire procedure. Another potential problem associated with coil manipulation is coil interlocking. However, it should be noted that that we have not yet experienced such problems. However, such problems in coil manipulation may exist and should be kept in mind.

The overall incidence of thromboembolic complications with balloon- and stent-assisted techniques ranges from 4% to 14% and from 0% to 21%, respectively (13-18). Considering that the aneurysms in this study were of a branch-incorporated type, an increased risk of thromboembolic complications was expected. A previous study also suggested that protection of the incorporated
branch is one of the most important factors that determine the clinical outcome of the disease (2). This thromboembolic complication may be prevented by the proper use of antiplatelet agents. In this case series, 2 of 5 patients who did not receive post-treatment antiplatelet medication suffered a delayed small infarction in the relevant region supplied by the incorporated branch. In contrast, the remaining 7 patients who received post-treatment antiplatelet medication for 2-4 weeks did not experience such delayed thromboembolic complications. The delayed infarctions in the 2 patients might have been due to a delayed distal embolization of a non-detected small thrombus close to the origin of the incorporated branch. Thus, post-treatment antiplatelet medication may be helpful in preventing such delayed embolization.

There are some limitations to the present case series. Due to the retrospective nature of this study, selection bias, which may have affected the results, cannot be overlooked. However, all data on aneurysms with endovascular treatment had been recorded prospectively into a database; therefore, selection bias was likely minimized. Our case series showed that the coil-protected embolization technique is likely a feasible and safe option for the treatment of branch-incorporated aneurysms. This technique would be a useful alternative to traditional remodeling or protective techniques in cases with anatomical contraindications to balloon- or stent-assisted techniques, such as acute angulated parent arteries which are risky to navigate with protective devices or small side-branching arteries that are not able to be preserved by a balloon, stent or catheter.

Conclusion
In this small case series, coil-protected embolization appears to be a feasible and safe alternative option for the treatment of branch-incorporated aneurysms that are not untreatable with other techniques.
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