Bone Regeneration of Hydroxyapatite with Granular Form or Porous Scaffold in Canine Alveolar Sockets
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Abstract. This study was undertaken to assess bone regeneration using hydroxyapatite (HA). The primary focus was comparison of bone regeneration between granular HA (gHA) forms and porous HA (pHA) scaffold. The extracted canine alveolar sockets were divided with three groups: control, gHA and pHA. Osteogenic effect in the gHA and pHA groups showed bone-specific surface and bone mineral density to be significantly higher than that of the control group (p<0.01). Bone volume fraction, bone mineral density, and amount of connective tissue related to disturbing osseointegration of the gHA group was higher than in the pHA group. Quantity of new bone formation of the pHA group was higher than that of the gHA group. This study demonstrated that gHA and pHA are potentially good bone substitutes for alveolar socket healing. For new bone formation during 8 weeks' post-implantation, HA with porous scaffold was superior to the granular form of HA.

Hydroxyapatite (HA) is an alloplastic material commonly used as a bone graft substitute due to inherent bioactive properties that support osteo-conduction. However, a weakness of HA-based biomaterials is their slow degradation and absorption in vivo. The granulated form of calcium phosphate ceramics has a regenerative effect within an alveolar bone defect (1). HA is highly crystalline because of the sintering process and has a larger particle size than bone apatite due to grain growth. These large particles are highly resistant to biodegradation in the body, their osteo-conduction is very low, and they cannot be degraded by osteoclasts (2). The porous HA (pHA) scaffold has good bone regeneration in in vivo performance (3-5). This form of material requires proper size and three-dimensional porosity for demonstrating its intrinsic osteo-conductivity and osteogenic potential within limited and exiguous sites such as dental sockets. An HA scaffold with interconnected pore 300 μm in diameter has the most appropriate osteo-conductive capacity (6). Therefore, we hypothesized that HA types and crystallinity influence the rate of bone healing around alveolar sockets.

Bone-filling materials undergo radiological evaluation through determination of the bone mineral density (BMD) and information about bone change. Quantitative radiographic evaluation using x-rays is used to assess bone structure and mechanical properties indirectly. In particular, quantitative computed tomography (CT), and peripheral CT are used in humans for monitoring and treatment of metabolic diseases, skeletal status, and osteoporosis (7, 8). Micro-CT yields more information about bone mass and microstructure, and is used for studies of bone metabolism in animal models (9-11). Bone formation and mineralization will affect bone strength; mechanical stability depends on the amount and density of bone (12).

The bone-regeneration ability of each bone substitute is evaluated compared to bone metabolic disorders model by BMD, percentage bone volume, and other bone structural parameters. Our study evaluated bone substitutes in the alveolar socket of mandibles of beagle dogs to determine BMD levels by CT and bone parameter values by micro-CT at different time points.

In this study, osteogenic potential was compared, focusing on alveolar socket healing and restoration using granular HA (gHA) forms and porous HA (pHA) scaffolds. Tissue engineering methods were assessed by manufacturing functional, aesthetic HAs that would not affect adjacent tooth structures.
Materials and Methods

Experimental animals. Six adult (18-22 months old) male beagle dogs, weighing between 8.5 and 9.5 kg, were included in this study. The protocol for the animal experiment was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Chungbuk National University (no. 677-14-01). All dogs were kept in individual cages throughout the experimental period. Water and food were supplied ad libitum during the experimental period. The alveolar extraction sites were divided randomly and a split-mouth design was established in three groups: control group: extracted socket only, four alveolar sockets, gHA group: filled with granulated HA, four alveolar sockets, and pHA group: filled with porous HA scaffold, four alveolar sockets.

Preparation of gHA form and pHA scaffold. A gHA slurry was made by mixing 3 g nano-HA powders (OssGen Co., Daegu, Korea) and binders (2% high molecular weight polyvinyl alcohol, 1% carboxymethylcellulose). The gHA slurry was dripped in liquid nitrogen using a micropipette to make a granular structure. After lyophilization, gHA granules were sintered at 1,230˚C for 3 h. The Particle size of gHA was 500 μm to 2 mm.

pHA scaffold was fabricated using a polymeric template-coating technique, as described elsewhere (13). A polyurethane sponge (60 pores per inch) was coated with nano-alumina powders (OssGen Co., Daegu, Korea) in distilled water-based slurry. Binders (3% high molecular weight polyvinyl alcohol, 3% carboxymethylcellulose, 5% ammonium polyacrylate dispersant, and 7% N,N-dimethylformamide drying agent) were added to the slurry mixture to improve sintering and stabilize the scaffold structure. Coated sponges were dried overnight at room temperature before sintering at 1,500˚C for 3 h. Final mandible molar root-like shape of pHA scaffold dimensions were 4 mm in diameter, and 10 mm in length (Figure 1). The properties of the scaffold produced were observed using a stereoscope (Fisher Micromaster; Fisher Scientific, Fremont, CA, USA) and scanning electron microscope (SEM; EVO 40; ZEISS, Dublin, CA, USA).

Each type of HA was placed in a 24-well petri-dish using plastic forceps. Two milliliters of 70% ethanol was poured on the well. After 1 h, the ethanol was removed using suction. HA was washed three times with phosphate-buffered saline (pH 7.4), soaked in fetal bovine serum-free medium, then left overnight under ultraviolet light. HA was washed three times with fresh medium. After removing the water from the HA, it was implanted.

Surgical procedures. Surgical procedures were performed under sterile conditions and general anesthesia. The left and right mandibular first molars were extracted without injury using the closed extraction technique. The graft site was closed with 4-0 single interrupted absorbable sutures (Surgifit, Ailee Co., Busan, Korea) with gingival flap technique. Enough tissue was elevated for the flap to be placed over the socket without spontaneous retraction. Commercial dog feed soaked in water was fed to minimize the damage of surgical area during the entire experimental period. Antibiotics and analgesics were administered in the postoperative period.

Figure 1. Photographs of granular hydroxyapatite before implantation (A) and in alveolar socket post-implantation (B). Scanning electron microscope image of porous HA (pHA) scaffold (C) and photograph of aseptically prepared pHA before implantation (D).
Evaluation of CT and micro-CT. CT images were taken sequentially at baseline, four, and eight weeks post-implantation to assess the new bone formation and mineralization. CT images were obtained by a single-slice spiral CT (Hi Speed CT/e, GE Medical Co., USA). CT exposures were performed at 120 kVp (150 mA), with 1 mm thickness and 512x512 voxel matrix.

At 8 weeks post-implantation, the harvested mandible was scanned using a micro-CT. A Skyscan Desktop Micro-CT 1172 (Skyscan; Aartselaar, Belgium), with a source voltage of 60 kV, a current of 167 μA, resolution 26.7 μm was used to acquire x-ray radiographs. The specimens were attached to a stage that rotated 180° with images acquired every 0.6°. After scanning, cross-sectional slices were reconstructed and each scan result was reconstructed using the 0.008-0.031 threshold values to distinguish bone and air. The parameters of bone mass and microarchitecture were evaluated using the micro-CT built-in software, including BMD, bone volume, tissue volume, bone surface, percent bone volume, bone-specific surface, bone surface density, trabecular thickness, trabecular number, trabecular separation and interception surface.

Fluorescent bone labeling and preparation of specimens for fluorescence microscopy. To label the mineralized tissue and assess the time course of new bone formation and mineralization, tissue was conducted by a polychrome sequential fluorescent labeling method. Oxytetracycline (25 mg/kg; Green Cross, Yongin, Gyeonggi, Korea) and calcein (20 mg/kg, Sigma-Aldrich Co., St Louis, MO, USA) were intravenously injected at four and six weeks after the implantation. Alizarin red S (30 mg/kg, Sigma-Aldrich Co.) was intraperitoneally injected at 8 weeks after the implantation. The formalin-fixed samples from the sacrificed alveolar sockets in each beagle were dehydrated in a graded series of ethanol, then immersed and infiltrated using Technovit 7200VLC (Technovit; Hatfield, PA, USA) before light catalysis for 24 h. A macrocutting and grinding system (Exakt 310CP series; Exakt Apparatebau, Norderstedt, Germany) was used to produce undecalcified cut and ground sections. A final thickness of approximately 50 μm of each section was produced for fluorescence microscopy. Labeling of new bone formation was evaluated with confocal laser scanning microscope. Active bone formation was evaluated relative to the presence or absence, intensity, and width of the fluorochrome markers.

Statistical analysis. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS statistical software package version 19.0.1.1. (IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 19.0; IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Data are presented as the mean±standard deviation (SD). Normality and homogeneity of the data were confirmed before analysis of variance (ANOVA). Differences among the experimental groups were assessed by one-way ANOVA followed by Duncan’s multiple range tests. Null hypotheses of no difference were rejected if p-values were less than 0.05.

Results

Material characterization. The particle size of gHA ranged from 500 μm to 2 mm (Figure 1A). Scaffolds with pHA were fabricated using the polymeric sponge replication method, which has received particular attention because it can provide very high porosity with good interconnections between the pores. Open channels were observed to be arranged with isotropic geometry. The stereoscopic image of pHA scaffold is shown in Figure 1C and D.

Findings of quantitative CT. All dogs survived during the experimental period, and all of their wounds healed well. At 8 weeks post-implantation, BMD values were 0.57±0.15 g/cm³ and 0.24±0.11 g/cm³ for the gHA and pHA groups (Figure 2). The percentage bone volume was 61.72±6.54% and 49.58±13.61% for gHA and pHA groups (Figure 3). The percentage bone volume of the pHA group was significantly lower than that of the gHA group (p<0.05). The percentage bone volume and BMD were significantly higher in the gHA and the pHA groups than in the control group (p<0.01). BMD values in the gHA group were significantly higher than those of the pHA group at 8 weeks post-implantation (p<0.05).

Findings of micro-CT. New bone formation at the interface between the graft material and the host bone was observed in gHA and pHA groups on micro-CT. There was no alveolar crest resorption in the pHA and gHA groups. The particles of gHA were close to the socket wall on micro-CT images (Figure 4). The granulated HAs were radiopaque due to intrinsic properties. There was no peripheral alveolar bone regression and bone resorption in the gHA and pHA groups. However, a radiolucent zone was observed around the scaffold and the apical area of alveolar socket in the pHA group (Figure 5).

The values of the interception surface were positively correlated with BMD values, and bone-specific surface values were negatively correlated with BMD values (Table 1). The trabecular thickness of gHA group was significantly higher than that of pHA group (p<0.05). Trabecular separation of the gHA group was significantly lower than that of the pHA group (p<0.05).

Fluorescence microscopic evaluation. In histological evaluation, the alveolar socket in the gHA and pHA groups were filled with fibrous connective tissues, and new bone formation was seen at the extracted region (Figure 6). It was observed that at 4 and 8 weeks post-implantation, the pHA group had a superior osteogenic effect compared with the gHA group.

The gHA and pHA groups showed very new bone formation around and along the implants. The obtained images are labeled in green and red colors to delineate regions of calcium precipitation labeled by fluorochromes at different times of tissue mineralization. The calcein labeling (green) represents the regions where calcium precipitated at 6 weeks after implantation. The alizarin labeling (red) represents the regions where calcium precipitated at 8 weeks after implantation. Most of the HA at six weeks after implantation was closest to the HA surface in alveolar sockets, and the HA at 8 weeks following implantation were further away from the implant surface.
Discussion

After dental extraction, alveolar ridge maintenance is requisite for healing for bone dimensional changes. The implants in tooth extraction sockets from bone substitute materials have positive effects on bone healing and prevent atrophy in circumferential alveolar bones (14). HA has since long been among the most studied biomaterials in the medical field for both its proven biocompatibility and for being the main constituent of the mineral part of bone. It possesses unique properties, such as the ability to chemically bond to bone, lack of toxicity or inflammation, and ability to stimulate bone growth through a direct action on osteoblasts. HA is widely used in periodontology and in oral and maxillofacial surgery. Its use in oral implantology, is widely practiced and well-established, as this substance has excellent osteoinductive capacity and improves bone-to-implant integration (15). In this study, we evaluated bone regeneration according to HA manufacturing method in canine alveolar sockets.

Clinicians have been diagnosing, treatment planning, placing and restoring dental implants using radiographs to assess bone anatomy. CT has led to a new era of implant imaging, enabling evaluation of proposed dental implant sites and providing diagnostic information that other imaging or combinations of imaging techniques cannot provide. In the present study, bone regeneration according to HA manufacturing method in canine alveolar sockets was evaluated with CT, micro-CT, and fluorescence microscopic evaluations. CT measurements in our study were carried out at 4, 6, and 8 weeks after HA implantation. The

Table 1. Bone parameters of canine alveolar sockets at 8 weeks post-implantation of hydroxyapatite. Data are the mean ± SD (n=4).

| Hydroxyapatite | i.S (mm²) | BS/BV (mm⁻¹) | BS/TV (mm⁻¹) | Tb.Th (mm) | Tb.N (mm⁻¹) | Tb.Sp (mm) |
|----------------|-----------|---------------|---------------|------------|-------------|------------|
| Granular       | 80.23±18.02* | 8.12±2.32*   | 4.93±1.13     | 0.85±0.13* | 0.74±0.11   | 0.43±0.05* |
| Porous         | 65.01±25.54 | 11.32±3.34   | 5.24±0.64     | 0.52±0.09  | 0.94±0.15   | 0.54±0.07  |

i.S: Interception surface, BV: bone volume, TV: tissue volume, BS: bone surface, BS/BV: bone specific surface, BS/TV: bone surface density, Tb.Th: trabecular thickness, Tb.N: trabecular number, Tb.Sp: trabecular separation, *p<0.05.

Figure 2. Levels of bone mineral density (BMD) in canine alveolar cavity at 8 weeks post-implantation. Mean±SD (n=4), *p<0.05.

Figure 3. Percentages of bone volume (BV)/tissue volume (TV) in canine alveolar cavity at 8 weeks post-implantation. Mean±SD (n=4), *p<0.05.
Timing was based on animal experiments which indicated that 4 weeks sufficed for angiogenesis and bone formation (16, 17). This period is a clinically acceptable standard time for an early evaluation of bone formation (18). This is because the intrinsic density of the granules and particles was as high as that of cortical bone. Bone formation in our study was observed during all experiment periods. The osteogenic effects of HA were maintained well in alveolar sockets, and there was new bone formation in particle spaces. HA materials gave strong results in bone mineralization in the early stage of dental extraction.

In micro-CT parameters, interception surface is the part of the volume-of-interest boundary surface that runs through solid objects. High interception surface values in the gHA and

Figure 4. Micro-computed tomography photos of grafting treated with granular hydroxyapatite in canine alveolar socket at 8 weeks post-implantation. Microcomputed tomography in transaxial (A), sagittal (B), coronal (C) axes, and 3D (D).

Figure 5. Micro-Ct photos of grafting treated with porous HA scaffold in canine alveolar socket at 8 weeks post-implantation. Micro-computed tomography in transaxial (A), sagittal (B), coronal (C) axes, and 3D (D).
pHA groups were measured against the control group. Our findings were consistent in that high connectivity between the exterior bone and filling material was good for mechanical stabilization (19). Bone-specific surface represents the characteristics of the structural complexity and is affected by the thickness. Bone-specific surface is at a low value when trabecular thickness and bone surface density/tissue volume are at a high level (20). We thought that the bone-specific surface might be affected by structural changes. The size of HA granules was larger than that of inter-connected pores in the pHA scaffold. From these points, the bone-specific surface may be affected depending on structural changes. Therefore, if the bone parameters by micro-CT are associated with bone loss in osteoporosis, values of BMD, bone volume/tissue volume, trabecular thickness, and trabecular number will decrease, and trabecular separation and bone surface/bone volume will increase (21, 22). In this study, trabecular thickness and low trabecular number were higher in the gHA group than in the pHA group. Given these findings, the measurement of BMD and bone volume fraction using CT or micro-CT can be over-estimated depending on the characteristics of bone substitutes. These findings were in accordance with published results claiming that it is hard to estimate osteogenic activity with CT images because of the inherent radio-opacity of particles, granules and porous HA bone grafts of individual bone substitutes (23).

In fluorescence microscopic findings, at 4 and 8 weeks post-implantation the pHA group had more osteocytes compared with the gHA group, and there was new bone formation originating from the alveolar sockets. New bone formation or a significant increase of osteophytes is observed within 2 months in canine alveolar sockets. In the present study, polyurethane template-coating was used to create a HA scaffold with a controllable pore size and good pore interconnectivity. This method creates a friendly structure for cells and tissues that is similar to the natural bone structure. The importance of having interconnected pHA scaffolds was demonstrated in our earlier study (5, 24), indicating successful bone formation, mineralization, and vascularization by 12 weeks post-implantation in a canine defect model.

Our results showed that new bone formation of pHA scaffold type is faster than that of the gHA form. We found that alveolar sockets in canine mandibles were completely healed when the alveolar socket was filled with HA scaffold containing an isotropic pore structure. Observations from this study, therefore, suggest that the pHA scaffold is suitable for osteogenesis and may be an ideal scaffold for repair of alveolar sockets.
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**Figure 6.** Fluorescence microscopic images of granular hydroxyapatite (gHA) and porous HA (pHA) in canine alveolar sockets at 8 weeks post-implantation. Yellow (oxytetracycline), green (calcein) and red (alizarin) indicated new bone formation after implantation under confocal microscopy.
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