Improving the quality of tuberculosis care in the post-pandemic world

In 2019, recognizing the importance of quality in TB care, the *Journal of Clinical Tuberculosis and Other Mycobacterial Diseases*, launched a series on this topic [1]. The series included 19 published papers [2–20], and covered a diverse range of topics. The entire series is open access and available at: https://www.sciencedirect.com/journal/journal-of-clinical-tuberculosis-and-other-mycobacterial-diseases/special-issue/10J8LN0VVT.

In this concluding article, we cover the key messages from papers in the series. We also outline some strategies for improving quality of TB care. Given the Covid-19 pandemic and its negative impact on TB services, the topic of quality of TB care has become even more pertinent.

1. Impact of Covid-19 pandemic on TB services

Progress in TB control was stalling, even before the Covid-19 pandemic. The 2020 Global TB report shows little change since the previous year [21]. Nearly 1.4 million people died from TB in 2019. Of the estimated 10 million people who developed TB that year, some 3 million were either not diagnosed, or were not officially reported to national authorities.

Progress towards SDG, End TB and UN High Level TB meeting targets is lagging. For example, while the target for TB preventive therapy is 30 million by 2022, only 6.3 million people have been treated during 2018 and 2019. While the target for funding TB care and prevention is $13 billion annually, only $6.5 billion were raised during 2020.

As predicted, the Covid-19 pandemic is making things worse. In September, several civil society organizations working on TB released the results of a large survey done to document the impact of the pandemic on TB care, research and funding [22]. Around the world, policy and program officers reported significant drops in TB notification. Over 70% of healthcare workers and advocates reported a decrease in the number of people coming to health facilities for TB testing. In Kenya, 50% of people with TB reported having trouble finding transport to care and in India, 36% of people with TB reported health facilities they normally visit closed.

The 2020 Global TB Report shows big reductions in TB notifications. The data show 25–30% reductions in TB notifications reported in 3 high burden countries – India, Indonesia, the Philippines – between January and June 2020 compared to the same 6-month period in 2019. TB services are similarly disrupted in many countries, and the disruptions extend over several months, rather than just weeks.

In India, the world’s highest burden country, TB services are seriously disrupted, and the disruptions extend over several months, rather than just weeks [23]. India is dealing with a large-scale *syndemic* of TB and Covid-19.

A model by WHO [21] suggests that the global number of TB deaths could increase by around 0.2–0.4 million in 2020 alone, if health services are disrupted to the extent that the number of people with TB who are detected and treated falls by 25–50% over a period of 3 months.

The first pressing priority is to catch-up on all the missed patients and offer them TB treatment, in both public and private health sectors. It is also critical to ensure that everyone on TB therapy is adequately supported to complete the full duration of treatment. In this context, the key messages in the 19 articles in our series are highly relevant.

2. Key messages from the articles in the series

Table 1 attempts to summarize the key messages in the 19 articles in the series. The articles clearly demonstrate that poor quality of TB care is a major issue in all subgroups (adults, children), in all forms of TB (childhood, latent and DR-TB), and in a variety of countries and settings [2,3,5,10,12,15]. Standardized patient (SP) studies in 4 high-burden countries showed that few patients were offered appropriate diagnostic tests but many were offered empirical therapies, including broad-spectrum antibiotics and steroids [2]. Several articles described the so-called “know-do” gap in which healthcare workers can describe best practices in theory but don’t necessarily implement them in practice [2,4]. Other articles highlighted the importance of high-quality health systems (as part of universal health coverage [UHC]) in improving the quality of TB care, arguing for a shift in focus from the quality of individual providers to the strength of the health system at every level of care in both public and private sectors [5,6,8,11,14,18]. The series also highlights the importance of the private health sector in many high TB burden countries, and the importance of engaging private providers to improve quality of TB care [13,20].

3. How can we improve quality of care?

In addition to pointing out key gaps in care quality, some of the articles outline several potential solutions (Fig. 1 provides a high-level summary). A clear consensus is that improving the quality of TB care cannot be accomplished in a vacuum – it requires UHC and approaches that target the foundational strength of robust health systems [5,6,8,11,14,18]. Quality in TB care needs to be better defined so it can be measured [5,11], and it must be centered on patients’ perspectives to ensure that their needs and expectations are addressed [19]. Efforts to improve quality of TB care can be designed with lessons learned from other disciplines as a guide [6]. Promising approaches proposed in these articles included using existing tools and approaches for quality improvement [7,14] and pursuing a research agenda that investigates...
### Table 1

**Key messages from the articles in the series on quality of care.**

| Focus (reference) | Authors | Abstract |
|-------------------|---------|----------|
| Lessons on quality of TB diagnosis from standardized patients | Benjamin Daniels, Ada Kwan, Madhukar Pai, Jishnu Das | Standardized patient (SP) studies in India, China, South Africa and Kenya show that in general quality of TB care is low: relatively few SPs were offered appropriate diagnostic tests but 83% of interactions resulted in prescription of medication, frequently inappropriate broad-spectrum antibiotics, fluoroquinolones and steroids. |
| Quality of drug-resistant tuberculosis care: Gaps and solutions | Zarir Udawadia, Jennifer Furin | There is a quality crisis in the field of drug-resistant tuberculosis (DR-TB) care. DR-TB care is unsafe, inequitable, not patient-centred, and ineffective. The paper posits strategies to improve quality of care and advocates for a human-rights based approach to DR-TB care. |
| In the eye of the multiple beholders: Qualitative research perspectives on studying and encouraging quality of TB care in India | Andrew McDowell, Nora Engel, Amrita Dafary | Three qualitative case studies on TB diagnosis in India. (1) “Know-do” gap: GPs know best practices but don’t implement them. (2) Quality of care is limited by health system issues, even with easy-to-use diagnostics. (3) Patients in private pharmacies expect to receive tangible products. Pharmacists can “dispense” free vouchers for TB screening tests. |
| Measuring and improving the quality of tuberculosis care: A framework and implications from the Lancet Global Health Commission | Catherine Arsenault, Sanam Roder-DeWan, Margaret E. Kruk | Expanding diagnosis and treatment coverage alone will not create a TB-free world; high-quality health systems are essential. Efforts should focus on governing for quality, redesigning service delivery, transforming the health workforce and igniting demand for quality TB services. |
| Implementing quality improvement in tuberculosis programming: Lessons learned from the global HIV response | Daniel J. Ikeda, Apollo Basenero, Joseph Murungu, Margaret Jasim, … Bruce D. Agins | Lessons learned from successful QI programs for HIV can guide improvements in TB care quality. QI programs should be NTP-coordinated. NTPs should develop comprehensive frameworks for QI capacity building, specifying curricula and standards for training at all health system levels in both public and private sectors, with scalability planned from the outset. |
| Quality of TB services assessment: The unique contribution of patient and provider perspectives in | Charlotte Colvin, Gretchen De Silva, Celine Garfin, Soumya Alva, … Jeanne Chauffour | The quality of TB services assessment (QTSA) aims to identify gaps in TB services and prioritize ways to improve care. A recent QTSA in the Philippines showed that providers report having counselled patients on TB more than patients report having received the information. |

### Table 1 (continued)

| Focus (reference) | Authors | Abstract |
|-------------------|---------|----------|
| Quality of life with tuberculosis | Ashutosh N. Aggarwal | Diminished capacity to work, social stigmatization, and psychological issues worsen quality of life (QOL) in TB patients. Governments and program managers need to step up socio-cultural reforms, health education, and additional support to patients to counter impairment in QOL. |
| Quality of TB care among people living with HIV: Gaps and solutions | Kogieleum Naidoo, Santhanam Thani, Gengiah, Satvinder Singh, Jonathan Stillo, Nesri Padayatchi | Gaps within HIV-TB care cascades must be systematically analysed. HIV-infected patients often present asymptotically with TB and are under-evaluated with routinely available diagnostics. HIV-TB patients can have poor treatment outcomes due to unmanageable side effects of concomitant TB therapy and ART and the financial expense of multiple health visits. |
| Closing gaps in the tuberculosis care cascade: an action-oriented research agenda | Ramnath Subbaraman, Tulip Jhaveri, Ruvandhi R. Nathavitharana | Many people with active TB suffer poor outcomes at critical points in the health system, highlighting poor quality of TB care. The proposed research agenda asks: 1) Who is falling out of the TB care cascade?, 2) Why are patients falling out of the cascade?, and 3) What interventions are needed to reduce gaps in the care cascade? |
| Quality matters: Redefining child TB care with an emphasis on quality | Farhana Amanullah, Jason Michael Bacha, Lucia Gonzalez Fernandez, Anna Maria Mandalakas | Child TB often presents like non-TB pneumonia or with difficult-to-diagnose extrapolmonary TB. Bacteriological confirmation is challenging. Children are rarely included in Phase 3 trials so have delayed access to new medications. Child TB cascade data is rarely |
When the series was launched in 2019, we had hoped it would result in a robust and sustained conversation about quality TB care, a topic that has heretofore been woefully neglected. At the start of 2021, we find ourselves in a crisis, where the TB epidemic has worsened because of the Covid-19 pandemic. Given the massive setback to progress in reaching any of the TB targets, it’s time for the TB community to leverage Covid-19 innovations and systems (e.g. home-based and tele-health care, rapid diagnostics, digital adherence tools, real-time data tracking, sick pay and social benefits) to improve TB care and get back to improving quality.

When high quality care is defined without patients’ perspectives, their needs and expectations are not addressed. High quality care for TB-affected patients is affordable, easily available and accessible, delivered efficiently, and provided in a dignified, empathetic and stigma-free manner. The Lancet Commission on TB states that strategies for improving quality must be hard-wired into the organization of NTPs. It calls for implementation research to understand how to improve care, cascades, highlights the compelling economic rationale for ending TB and describes addressing TB as a core component in achieving Universal Health Coverage.

In many high TB burden countries, the private healthcare sector manages a large share of all patients. However, quality of TB care in the private sector falls short of international standards in many places and urgently needs improvement.

Fig. 1. Strategies to improve quality of TB care. DR-TB: drug-resistant TB. NTP: national TB program. PLHIV: people living with HIV/AIDS. UHC: universal health coverage.

**Table 1 (continued)**

| Focus (reference) | Authors |
|-------------------|---------|
| Quality of tuberculosis care by pharmacies in low- and middle-income countries: Gaps and opportunities [13] | Ronalind Miller, Catherine Goodman |
| Implementation science to improve the quality of tuberculosis diagnostic services in Uganda [14] | Adithya Cattamanchi, Christopher A. Berger, Priya R. Shete, Stavia Turyahabwe, ... Achilles Katamba |
| Identifying gaps in the quality of latent tuberculosis infection care [15] | Hannah Ahdurf, Dick Menzies |
| User experience and patient satisfaction with tuberculosis care in low- and middle-income countries: A systematic review [16] | Danielle Cazabon, Tripti Pande, Paulami Sen, Amrita Daftary, ... Madhuakar Pai |
| Tuberculosis deaths are predictable and preventable: Comprehensive assessment and clinical care is the key [17] | Anurag Bhargava, Madhavi Bhargava |

**Table 1 (continued)**

| Focus (reference) | Authors |
|-------------------|---------|
| Improving quality is necessary to building a TB-free world: Lancet Commission on Tuberculosis [18] | Michael J.A. Reid, Eric Goosby |
| What quality of care means to tuberculosis survivors [19] | Chapal Mehra, Deebhree Lokhande, Deepiti Chavan, Saurabh Rane |
| Quality of tuberculosis care in the private health sector [20] | Guy Stallworthy, Hannah Monica Dias, Madhukar Pai |

![Improving the Quality of TB Care](image)

- **3R-TB**: drug-resistant TB
- **NTP**: national TB program
- **PLHIV**: people living with HIV/AIDS
- **UHC**: universal health coverage

**Fig. 1.** Strategies to improve quality of TB care. DR-TB: drug-resistant TB. NTP: national TB program. PLHIV: people living with HIV/AIDS. UHC: universal health coverage.

reasons for losses at each stage of the TB care cascade [11]
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