An effective methodology to design scale model of non-metallic structural entity
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Abstract. In order to solve the problem on designing scale model of non-metallic structural entity, a method is first proposed according to the high-frequency approximation algorithm, in which reflection coefficients of designed scale model are optimized to be as identical as possible with those of theoretical scale model. An example is given to verify the effectiveness of the method, where a non-metallic cone is constructed and FEKO is employed to simulate the monostatic radar Cross Section (RCS) of the designed scale cone and the theoretical scale cone. Result reveals that the monostatic RCS of the designed scale cone agrees very well with that of the theoretical scale cone. The mean deviation of the monostatic RCS is just 1.31 dB in simulated elevation angles of 0°~90°. Thus, the method proposed in this paper is of important theoretical and practical significance in constructing scale model of high accuracy.

1 Introduction

Scale measurement technology has very important significance in studying electromagnetic scattering properties [1-2]. In scale measurement, one of keys is to construct an accurate scale model. Existing researches begin with studying scale models of perfect electric conductor (PEC) and then come to study scale models of non-metallic materials as more and more composites are applied to objects [3-7]. However, the non-metallic materials in the existing studies have plate-shaped without special circumstances. The non-metallic materials are always mounted on object surface and the scale materials can be designed according to the reflective loss. As the development of manufacturing technologies of composites, more and more non-metallic structural entities could be found in objects such as vehicles, aircraft, ships and so on. These non-metallic entities have great influences on the scattering properties. Meanwhile, these non-metallic entities not only have complicated electromagnetic properties but also have special geometric shapes. As it is always very difficult to manufacture scale material which has the same electromagnetic parameters with theoretical scale material, there is hardly any solution to solve the problem on constructing scale model of non-metallic structural entity over years. In this paper, a method is first proposed to solve the problem according to the high-frequency approximation algorithm. In order to verify the effectiveness of the designed result by the method, a non-metallic cone is constructed and FEKO is employed to simulate the monostatic radar Cross Section (RCS) of the designed scale cone and the theoretical scale cone.

2 Theories and methods

According to the high-frequency approximation algorithm, the scattering field can be calculated by the Stratton-Chu formula [8], which is expressed as follows,

\[
\mathbf{E}' = \frac{jk}{4\pi} \mathbf{E} e^{-jkr} \int_\Sigma \mathbf{s} \times (\mathbf{M} + \eta_0 \mathbf{J}) e^{j\mathbf{s} \cdot (\mathbf{i} - \mathbf{r})} \, ds'
\]

(1a)

\[
\mathbf{H}' = -\frac{jk}{4\pi} \mathbf{H} e^{-jkr} \int_\Sigma \mathbf{s} \times (\mathbf{J} - \frac{1}{\eta_0} \mathbf{s} \times \mathbf{M}) e^{j\mathbf{s} \cdot (\mathbf{i} - \mathbf{r})} \, ds'
\]

(1b)

where \(\mathbf{E}'\) and \(\mathbf{H}'\) are the scattering electric and magnetic field, respectively; \(\mathbf{M}\) and \(\mathbf{J}\), are induced electric and magnetic current on the surface, respectively; \(\eta_0\) is the impedance in free space; \(k\) is the propagation constant in free space; \(r\) is the distance; \(\mathbf{s}\) and \(\mathbf{i}\) are unit vectors in scattering direction and incident direction, respectively; \(\Sigma\) is the entire surface of target. The induced electric current and magnetic current are calculated by the following expressions,

\[
\mathbf{J}_s = \left[ - (1 - \Gamma_\perp) (\mathbf{e}_\perp \cdot \mathbf{e}_\perp) \mathbf{i} - \mathbf{n} - \mathbf{e}_\perp \mathbf{E} + (1 + \Gamma_\parallel) (\mathbf{e}_\parallel \cdot \mathbf{e}_\parallel) \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{e}_\perp \mathbf{E} \right] / \eta_0
\]

(2a)

\[
\mathbf{M}_s = (1 + \Gamma_\perp) (\mathbf{e}_\perp \cdot \mathbf{e}_\perp) \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{e}_\perp \mathbf{E} + (1 - \Gamma_\parallel) (\mathbf{e}_\parallel \cdot \mathbf{e}_\parallel) \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{i} \mathbf{e}_\perp \mathbf{E}
\]

(2b)

\(^a\) Corresponding author: liming_y@163.com (Liming Yuan)
in which, \( \hat{a}, \hat{i} \) and \( \hat{s} \) are unit vectors in normal direction of surface, incident direction and scattering direction, respectively; \( \hat{e}_i \) is the unit vector of incident electric field; \( \hat{e}_r \) and \( \hat{e}_t \) are unit vectors of incident electric field in incident plane and perpendicular to the incident plane; \( \Gamma_r \) and \( \Gamma_t \) denote reflection coefficients irradiated by TM and TE wave, respectively. It can be seen that the same reflection coefficients lead to the same induced electric and magnetic current and then the same scattering property. When scale material is designed by reflection coefficients, both \( \Gamma_r \) and \( \Gamma_t \) should be taken into account. They can be calculated by following expressions,

\[
\Gamma_r = \frac{\cos \theta - \sqrt{\varepsilon_r \mu_r - \sin^2 \theta}}{\cos \theta + \sqrt{\varepsilon_r \mu_r - \sin^2 \theta}} \tag{3a}
\]

\[
\Gamma_t = \frac{\varepsilon_r \mu_r \cos \theta - \sqrt{\varepsilon_r \mu_r - \sin^2 \theta}}{\varepsilon_r \mu_r \cos \theta + \sqrt{\varepsilon_r \mu_r - \sin^2 \theta}} \tag{3b}
\]

in which, \( \theta \) is incident angle; \( \varepsilon_r \) and \( \mu_r \) are relative permittivity and permeability of material. According to Eq. (3a) and (3b), scale model of non-metallic entity can be designed by following four steps.

(i) Input reflection coefficients of theoretical scale material. The reflection coefficients are calculated at different incident angles.

(ii) Built a collection of electromagnetic parameters at scale frequency. Selected some inclusions and mix them with a binder in different proportions. Measure electromagnetic parameters of mixtures and fit the measured data by effective medium theories. Built the collection of electromagnetic parameters by the fitting formulae and number each pair of permittivity and permeability.

(iii) Perform loop calculations. In each loop calculation, permittivity and permeability are determined by a number generated by a random function. Calculate reflection coefficients and deviation by comparing with the reflection coefficients of theoretical scale material. Record the deviation and the corresponding electromagnetic parameters.

(iv) Search the optimal result. After the loop calculations, find out the optimal result by the minimum deviation of calculated reflection coefficients. The scale material could be determined according to the record in step (ii) and the designed scale model has the same geometric parameters with the theoretical scale model.

3 Results and discussions

Now an example is given to verify the effectiveness of the method. It is hypothesized that a non-metallic material is non-magnetic and its permittivity is 1-100j. The measurement frequency in full size system is 10 GHz and then the corresponding frequency in scale system is 100 GHz as the scale ratio is 1/10. The scale material can be designed by the method introduced in section 2. Here, the collection of electromagnetic parameters at 100 GHz is built by random functions. The collection includes 1000 pairs of permittivity (\( \varepsilon = \varepsilon' - j\varepsilon'' \)) and permeability (\( \mu = \mu' - j\mu'' \)). All \( \varepsilon' \), \( \varepsilon'' \), \( \mu' \), and \( \mu'' \) are in 1-50, 0-5, 1-5 and 1-2, respectively. Record and number each pair of permittivity and permeability. After optimizing, the permittivity and permeability of designed scale material are 47.66-1.86j and 0.29-1.89j, respectively. Figure 1 plots the complex reflection coefficients of the designed scale material and the theoretical scale material irradiated by TM wave and TE wave as the incidence angle changes from 0° to 90°. As shown in Fig. 1, it can be seen that the reflection coefficients of the designed scale material are very identical with those of the theoretical scale material.
frequency is 100 GHz; the azimuth angle is 0° and the elevation angle changes from 0° to 90°.

Fig. 2 The simulated RCS of the designed scale model and the theoretical scale model of the non-metallic cone.

Figure 2 shows the simulated RCS of the designed scale model and the theoretical scale model of the non-metallic cone. As shown in the figure, it can be seen that there is a good agreement on monostatic RCS between the designed scale cone and the theoretical scale cone. The average value of absolute deviations is just 1.31 dB in 0°~90°. The deviation completely falls within the RCS measurement tolerance. Therefore, it can be concluded that the method proposed in this paper is very effective to design scale model of non-metallic structural entity.

4 Conclusions

According to the high-frequency approximation algorithm, a method is first proposed to design scale model of non-metallic structural entity. In order to verify the effectiveness of the method, an example is given to design scale model of a non-metallic cone. The simulated RCS of the designed scale cone is compared with that of the theoretical scale cone and the average value of absolute deviations is just 1.31 dB in 0°~90°. The deviation completely falls within the RCS measurement tolerance. Therefore, it can be concluded that the method proposed in this paper is very effective to design scale model of non-metallic structural entity.
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