Evaluation of Geo-morphological characteristics of Devanayakanahalli micro-watershed in Tumkur district of Karnataka
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Abstract
Devanayakanahalli micro-watershed lies between 13˚ 4’ 54” to 13˚ 6’ 29” N latitude and 76˚ 39’ 43” to 76˚ 41’ 17” E longitude in Tumkur district of Karnataka. The drainage networks of micro-watershed were delineated from the Survey of India topographical map of 1:50,000 scale. ArcGIS software was used for evaluation of linear, areal and relief aspects of the micro-watershed. The present study reveals that, drainage pattern of the study area is dendritic with trunk order 3. The watershed area, perimeter, maximum length and width of the watershed were 453 ha, 10.10 km, 3.68 km and 1.23 km respectively. The mean value of bifurcation ratio is 2.20, the micro-watershed has been suffered less structural disturbance and drainage pattern has not been distorted. The value of drainage density is 2.30 km km-2 which indicated that, the region is having permeable subsoil material and good vegetative cover. The value of form factor indicates micro-watershed is approaching towards elongated shape of watershed. The present study reveals that, GIS based approach in evaluation of geo-morphological characteristics is more appropriate than conventional techniques. Over all study suggests that the micro-watershed should be treated with soil and water conservation measures.
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Introduction
Morphometry is the measurement and mathematical analysis of the configuration of the earth's surface, shape and dimension of its landforms (Agarwal, 1998) [1], Horton (1940) [4] and Strahler (1950) [16] first initiated Morphometric studies in the field of hydrology. The morphometric analysis of the drainage basin and channel network play an important role in understanding the geo hydrological behaviour of drainage basin and expresses the prevailing climate, geology, geomorphology, structural antecedents of the catchment. The relationship among various drainage parameters and the aforesaid factors are well recognized by Horton (1945) [5], Strahler (1950) [16], Sreenivasa et al. (2016) [17] and Pande et al. (2017) [12]. The drainage basin analysis is important in any hydrological investigation for assessment of groundwater potential, groundwater management, pedology and environmental assessment. Hydrologists and geo-morphologists have recognized that, certain relations are almost important between runoff characteristics, geographic and geomorphic characteristics of drainage basin systems.

The assessment of hydrological characteristics of a drainage basin is a mandate for any basin management scheme. It involves a detailed morphometric analysis viz., basin size, shape, slope of drainage area, drainage density, size and length of the tributaries. Drainage basins are the fundamental units of the fluvial landscape and a great amount of research has focused on their geometric characteristics, which include the topology of the stream networks and quantitative description of drainage texture, pattern, shape and relief characteristics. Morphometric analysis of a watershed provides a quantitative description of the drainage system, which is an important aspect of the characterization of watersheds (Strahler, 1964) [19].
Material and Methods
The present study was taken up in Devanayakanahalli micro-watershed located in Turvekeretaluk of Tumkur district, Karnataka state (Fig.1) and having total area of 453 ha which lies between 76˚ 39’ 43” to 76˚ 41’ 17” E longitudes and 13˚ 4’ 54” to 13˚ 6’ 29” N latitudes with an average altitude of 450-800 m above MSL and located in the Southern part of Tumkur district. It is falling under the Survey of India toposheet of D43Q12 (1:50,000).

The geo-morphological characteristics of the micro-watershed were determined by digitizing the drainage networks (Fig.2) in SoI toposheet using Arc GIS (Arc map version 10.2.2) software and subsequent cleaning, editing and assigning topology produced a database in terms of linear, aerial and relief aspects of the watershed. The first step in quantitative analysis of drainage basin is designation of stream order. In the present study, for ordering of streams, Strahler’s ordering system was adopted. The parameters computed in the present paper using GIS technique includes stream number, stream order, stream length, bifurcation ratio, stream length ratio, drainage density, drainage texture, stream frequency, form factor, circulatory ratio, elongation ratio, maximum watershed relief, relief ratio and ruggedness number were evaluated with established mathematical formulae represented in the Table 1.

Fig 1: Location map of study area

Fig 2: Drainage map of Devanayanakanahalli micro-watershed
Table 1: Formulae adopted for evaluation of geo-morphological characteristics

| Sl. No. | Parameters | Formulae | References |
|---------|------------|----------|------------|
| 1 | Stream order (U) | Hierachical rank | - | Strahler (1964) [19] |
| 2 | Stream length (L_u), km | Length of the stream | - | Horton (1945) [13] |
| 3 | Mean stream length (L_u), km | \( L_u = \sum_{u=1}^{N} L_{u+1} \) | | Strahler (1964) [19] |
| 4 | Bifurcation ratio (R_b) | \( R_b = \frac{N_u}{N_{u+1}} \) | | Schuum (1956) |
| 5 | Stream length ratio (R_l) | \( R_l = \frac{L_u}{L_{u+1}} \) | | Horton (1945) [15] |
| 6 | Drainage density (D_d), km \( \text{km}^{-2} \) | \( D_d = \frac{L}{A} \) | | Horton (1932) |
| 7 | Drainage texture (D_t) | \( D_t = \frac{N}{P} \) | | Smith (1950) [16] |
| 8 | Stream frequency (F) | \( F = \frac{N}{A} \) | | Horton (1945) [15] |
| 9 | Form factor (R_f) | \( R_f = \left( \frac{A}{L_u} \right)^{2} \) | | Horton (1945) [15] |
| 10 | Elongation ratio (R_e) | \( R_e = \left( \frac{2}{L_u} \right) \sqrt{\frac{A}{\pi}} \) | | Strahler (1964) [19] |
| 11 | Circulatory ratio (R_c) | \( R_c = \frac{4\pi A}{(A_0)^2} \) | | Schuum (1956) |
| 12 | Length of over land flow (L_o), km | \( L_o = \frac{1}{2D_d} \) | | Horton (1945) [15] |
| 13 | Maximum watershed relief (H), m | \( H = h_{\text{max}} - h_{\text{basin}} \) | | Schuum (1956) |
| 14 | Relief ratio (R_r) | \( R_r = \frac{H}{L_p} \) | | Schuum (1956) |
| 15 | Relative relief (R_r), % | \( R_r = \frac{100}{L_p} \) | | Schuum (1956) |
| 16 | Rugness number (R_n) | \( R_n = \frac{H D_d}{1000} \) | | Melton (1957) [10] |
| 17 | Time of Concentration (T_c), min | \( T_c = 0.0195 \left[ 0.77^{S-0.385} \right] \) | | Kirpich (1940) [40] |

Results and Discussion

The geomorphological analysis and measurements were made from the digitized drainage pattern map of the Devanayakanahalli micro-watershed. Digitized drainage pattern was shown in Fig.2.

Linear aspects of drainage network

In this chapter, stream order, stream number, basin length, average basin width, stream length, mean stream length, bifurcation ratio and stream length ratio were analysed. After analysis it was found that, the micro-watershed was of 3rd order trunk stream and drainage pattern was dendritic which indicates the homogeneity in texture and lack of structural control. Maximum length and basin width of micro-watershed was found to be 3.68 km and 1.23 km respectively. The number of streams for 1st, 2nd and 3rd order streams were found to be 11, 3 and 1 respectively. The values of cumulative stream length for 1st, 2nd and 3rd order streams were found to be 5.60, 3.11 and 1.68 km respectively (Table 2). The stream length of different orders and respective mean stream lengths were found out by digitizing the stream networks using ArcGIS software.

Table 2: Stream order and its mean stream lengths of Devanayakanahalli micro-watershed

| Parameters                  | Stream order (U) | Total |
|-----------------------------|------------------|-------|
|                             | I                | II    | III   | Total |
| No. of streams              | 11               | 3     | 1     | 15    |
| Cumulative stream length(km)| 5.60             | 3.11  | 1.68  | 10.39 |
| Mean stream length(km)      | 0.50             | 1.03  | 1.68  | 3.21  |

The other important properties of linear aspects of drainage network is bifurcation ratio (R_b), which reflects about geological and tectonic characteristics of the watershed. The bifurcation ratio (R_b) values of 1st to 2nd and 2nd to 3rd stream was found to be 3.66 and 3.00 respectively (Table 3). The mean value of bifurcation ratio was found to be 2.22. Thus, the bifurcation ratio value was low indicates that, micro-watershed had suffered less structural disturbance and drainage pattern had not been distorted by structural disturbance (Nag, 1998) [11]. The values of stream length ratio (R_o) for 2nd to 1st and 3rd to 2nd order streams were found to be 2.06 and 1.63 respectively (Table 3). Change in stream length ratio from one order to another order indicating their late youth stage of geomorphic development (Singh and Singh 1997) [15]. The variation in stream length ratio was due to change in slope and topography.
Table 3: Bifurcation ratio and stream length ratio of Devanayakanahalli micro-watershed

| Stream order (U) | Bifurcation ratio (Rb) | Stream length ratio (Rc) |
|------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| I                | 3.66                   | -                        |
| II               | 3.00                   | 2.06                     |
| III              | -                      | 1.63                     |
| Mean             | 2.22                   | 1.23                     |

Aerial aspects of drainage network
Aerial aspects of drainage network include measurement of aerial elements viz., drainage area, form factor, drainage density, drainage texture, stream frequency, circulatory ratio, elongation ratio and length of overland flows were represented in a systematic way. From the study it was revealed that, the value of form factor (R_f) was found to be 0.33 (Table 4). Since the value of form factor was less than 0.78, it indicates an elongated shape of the micro-watershed (Horton, 1932). An elongated basin with low form factor shows flatter peak flow for longer duration (Mahadevaswamy et al., 2011) [8].

The value of drainage density (D_d) was found to be 2.30 km km\(^{-2}\) (Table 4) which falls in the range of 2.0 to 2.5 km km\(^{-2}\) and indicated that the region is having permeable subsoil material and good vegetation cover (Mallik et al., 2011) [9]. The value of drainage texture (D_t) was found to be 1.48 km\(^{-1}\) (Table 4) which indicates about the coarse drainage texture of the micro-watershed (Smith, 1950) [10]. The coarse drainage texture indicates a good permeability of sub surface material and infiltration and lower runoff rate (Hajam et al., 2013) [3]. The value of stream frequency was found to be 3.31 km\(^{-1}\) which indicates, high discharge carrying capacity and greater erosion hazard (Kuldeep and Upasan, 2011) [7]. The lesser the values of drainage density and stream frequency indicates the runoff basin is slower and chances of flooding may be very rare. Circulatory ratio (R_c) and elongation ratio (R_e) were found to be 0.55 and 0.64 respectively (Table 4). The greater elongation ratio than circulatory ratio results in elongated formation of watershed than circular watershed. In the present study, the value of elongation ratio (0.64) indicates elongated shaped micro-watershed.

The length of over land flow is the length of water over the ground before it gets concentrated into definite stream channels and is equal to half of drainage area (Horton, 1945) [5]. Length of overland flow relates inversely to the average channel slope. In the present study, the lower values of length of overland flow due to low drainage density and which confirm with Horton’s (1945) [5].

Table 4: Aerial aspects of Devanayakanahalli micro-watershed

| Sl. No. | Aerial aspects | Value |
|--------|----------------|-------|
| 1      | Drainage area (A_c), ha | 453   |
| 2      | Form factor (R_f) | 0.33  |
| 3      | Drainage density (D_d), km km\(^{-2}\) | 2.30  |
| 4      | Drainage texture (D_t), km\(^{-1}\) | 1.48  |
| 5      | Stream frequency (F_s), km\(^{-1}\) | 3.31  |
| 6      | Circulatory ratio (R_c) | 0.55  |
| 7      | Elongation ratio (R_e) | 0.64  |
| 8      | Length of overland flow (L_o), km | 0.21  |

Relief aspects of drainage network
Relief aspects of drainage network for Devanayakanahalli micro-watershed were calculated and represented in the Table 5. The estimated value of Maximum watershed relief (H), Relative relief (R_h) and Relief ratio (R_r) were found to be 66 m, 0.006 and 0.017 respectively. The lower value of relief ratio indicates a presence of basement rocks that were exposed in the form of small ridges and mounds with lower degree of slope (Praveen et al., 2012) [13]. Addition to these properties, ruggedness number value was computed and it was found to be 0.15. The lower value of ruggedness number indicates that, the area was less prone to soil erosion and had an intrinsic structural complexity in association with relief and drainage density (Guha, 2015) [8]. Time of concentration directly influences on runoff generation from the watershed. In the present study the time of concentration is 54 min and it indicates more time is required for water to travel from the most distant part of watershed to its outlet.

Table 5: Relief aspects of Devanayakanahalli micro-watershed

| Sl. No. | Relief parameters | Value |
|--------|-------------------|-------|
| 1      | Maximum watershed relief (H) in m | 66    |
| 2      | Relative relief (R_h) | 0.006 |
| 3      | Relief ratio (R_r) | 0.017 |
| 4      | Ruggedness number (R_n) | 0.15  |
| 5      | Time of concentration (T_c) in min | 54    |

Conclusion

- From the study it was revealed that, Devanayakanahalli micro-watershed had 3rd order trunk stream and drainage pattern was dendritic which indicates the homogeneity in texture and lack of structural control.
- The values of drainage density, drainage texture and texture ratio were found to be 2.30 km km\(^{-2}\), 1.48 km\(^{-1}\) and 1.08 km\(^{-1}\) respectively and these values indicate that micro-watershed has permeable sub soil geology and good vegetation cover.
- From the relief aspect it was found that, time of concentration is 54 min. It indicates more time is required for water to travel from the most distant part of micro-watershed to outlet and leads to low runoff due to permeable soils and gentle slope in study area.
- The use of GIS can make the cumbersome geomorphological analysis as an easy task as compared to traditional methods. It saves time and helpful in planning of resource conservation techniques in the watershed area for sustainable development. This study indicates that, systematic analysis of morphometric parameters using GIS can provide significant value in understanding basin hydrological characteristics for watershed planning and management.
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