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Genetic Variation of Goat Interferon Regulatory Factor 3 Gene and Its Implication in Goat Evolution
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Abstract

The immune systems are fundamentally vital for evolution and survival of species; as such, selection patterns in innate immune loci are of special interest in molecular evolutionary research. The interferon regulatory factor (IRF) gene family control many different aspects of the innate and adaptive immune responses in vertebrates. Among these, IRF3 is known to take active part in very many biological processes. We assembled and evaluated 1356 base pairs of the IRF3 gene coding region in domesticated goats from Africa (Nigeria, Ethiopia and South Africa) and Asia (Iran and China) and the wild goat (Capra aegagrus). Five segregating sites with θ value of 0.0009 for this gene demonstrated a low diversity across the goats’ populations. Fu and Li tests were significantly positive but Tajima’s D test was significantly negative, suggesting its deviation from neutrality. Neighbor joining tree of IRF3 gene in domesticated goats, wild goat and sheep showed that all domesticated goats have a closer relationship than with the wild goat and sheep. Maximum likelihood tree of the gene showed that different domesticated goats share a common ancestor and suggest single origin. Four unique haplotypes were observed across all the sequences, of which, one was particularly common to African goats (MOCH-K14-0425, Poitou and WAD). In assessing the evolution mode of the gene, we found that the codon model dN/dS ratio for all goats was greater than one. Phylogenetic Analysis by Maximum Likelihood (PAML) gave a ω0 (dN/dS) value of 0.067 with LnL value of -6900.3 for the first Model (M1) while ω2 = 1.667 in model M2 with LnL value of -6900.3 with positive selection inferred in 3 codon sites. Mechanistic empirical combination (MEC) model for evaluating adaptive selection pressure on particular
codons also confirmed adaptive selection pressure in three codons (207, 358 and 408) in *IRF3* gene. Positive diversifying selection inferred with recent evolutionary changes in domesticated goat *IRF3* led us to conclude that the gene evolution may have been influenced by domestication processes in goats.

**Introduction**

Domesticated goats are very important livestock diversity; they play significant roles in the economy of many developing countries, particularly as source of organic protein in food, and savings for poor rural farmers. They are widely distributed across most continents of the world [1], over different ecological and geographic areas including humid tropical rain forest, hot desert regions, and cold to hypoxic high altitude regions, defying harsh environmental conditions and surviving under poor agrarian conditions [2].

Acquisition of new functions in genes is credited to adaptive selection pressures [3] in close association with phenotypes and fitness of organisms [4,5,6]. Adaptive selective pressure on genes has also been reported to be indicators of functional adaptations developed during the evolution of species that has the tendency of promoting species functional diversification [7].

The interferon regulatory factor (*IRF*) gene family control many different aspects of the innate and adaptive immune responses in vertebrates along with cells reactions to stress [8]. Approximately ten members of this gene family have been elucidated in many vertebrate species along with other related genes [9]. Among these, *IRF3* is known to play significant roles in many biological activities. First of all, *IRF3* serves as innate immune receptor activated upon recognition of specific pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) [8, 10]. Secondly, it plays active role in many toll-like receptors (TLR) signaling pathways [11, 12, 13] and also influences many different cellular processes such as cell death and metabolism [14]. Its activities have also been associated with a number of health indices in humans, mice, sheep and cattle [15].

Selection patterns in innate immune loci are of special interest in molecular evolutionary research because immune systems are fundamentally vital for evolution and survival of species [16]. Understanding the evolutionary footprint of *IRF3* gene will therefore provide valuable information for reconstructing evolutionary history and adaptation process of the species, and may provide useful insights into the design of marker-assisted selection and breeding for genetic improvement in goats. In this study, we investigated the molecular evolutionary signatures that may exert selection processes in the *IRF3* gene in goats and identified evolution footprints that may influence adaptation to different environments.

**Materials and Methods**

Complete protocols for genomic sequencing and assembly, scaffold anchorage and gene annotation for these genes are as published in an earlier work [17]. The sequences of 1356 base pairs encoding region of *IRF3* gene in domesticated goats from Nigeria (West Africa), Ethiopia (East Africa), South Africa (Southern part of Africa), Iran (West Asia) and China (East Asia) and wild goat (*Capra aegagrus*) were obtained from our goat resequencing data. *IRF3* coding sequences for Moroccan, Iranian goats and *Ovis aries* were obtained from NextGen Capra Project (http://52.193.26.230/view/ERP001579) and GenBank, respectively. Accession numbers of sequences downloaded from public databases and information on resequencing data are presented in Table 1.
Translated sequences were aligned in the MEGA software program (version 6.0) as published earlier [18]. The alignment was manually checked and corrected for any ambiguity. 42 sequences with the frame shift were removed because they are quite possibly from low quality sequencing. Finally 36 sequences remained (S1 Fig) and were used for further analyses. Gene tree was constructed by Neighbor-joining (NJ) method in MEGA 6.0 with bootstrap value set to 1000 and sheep (Ovis aries) as the out-group. Maximum likelihood (ML) tree was inferred using the PhyML program version 4.8 and rooted in Ovis arie, tree visualization and editing was done with MEGA.

To test the hypothesis of neutrality operating on the gene, DnaSP v5.10.01 [19] was used to estimate population statistics including number of segregation sites, haplotype diversity, Fu and Li’s and Tajima’s D statistics [20] in goat sequences alone.

Adaptive selection pressure was tested using tree topology branch lengths calculated by codeML model in PAML package version 4 [21]. The F3 × 4 codon frequency model calculated using the nucleotide frequencies at the three codon positions was used. To detect positive selection at individual codons within the gene pair of models were compared using codeML: M1 (neutral model) was compared with M2 (adaptive model) and M7 was compared against M8 model [22]. Statistically significant evidence of positive selection was inferred with likelihood ratio test (LRT).

The influence of positively diversifying selection on genes can be inferred when ratio (ω) of non-synonymous (dN) to synonymous (dS) substitution rates exceeds one. The value of ω serves as a measure of comparative evolutionary patterns of codons and lineages [23]. To further confirm codon site selection pressure, multiple codon sequence alignments of IRF3 for goats alone were submitted to the Selecton Server, version 2.2 (http://selecton.tau.ac.il/). Selecton version 2.2 allows the ω ratio to shift among codons within the multiple sequence alignments (MSA) and this parameter is estimated by maximum-likelihood value via Bayesian inference approach [24]. Additionally, the results from Selecton version 2.2 are visualized with color scales representing the different types of selection.

Table 1. Accession Identification for goat IRF3 Sequences downloaded from NCBI and NextGen Capra Project for Moroccan and Iranian goats.

| Specie              | Sample name               | Location       | Data Source          | Accession Number                   |
|---------------------|----------------------------|----------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|
| Goat                | West African Dwarf (WAD)  | Nigeria        | Resequenced data     |                                    |
| Red Sokoto          |                            | Nigeria        | Resequenced data     |                                    |
| Ethiopian_Borena    |                            | Ethiopia       | Resequenced data     |                                    |
| Ethiopia_Somali     |                            | Ethiopia       | Resequenced data     |                                    |
| Iran_Cashmere       |                            | Iran           | Resequenced data     |                                    |
| Iran meat goat      |                            | Iran           | Resequenced data     |                                    |
| South African Meat goat |                    | South Africa  | Resequenced data     |                                    |
| South African poitou|                            | South Africa  | Resequenced data     |                                    |
| Chinese Black Yunana (Capra hircus) |             | China          | Resequenced data     |                                    |
| Morocan goat (MOCH) |                            | public databases | NextGen Capra Project | ERS421320 ERS154595 ERS154569 ERS154584 |
| Iranian goat (IRCH) |                            | public databases | NCBI GenBank         | ERS239011 ERS239007 ERS239027 ERS239043 ERS239030 ERS239028 |
| Wild goat           | Capra aegagrus            | Iran           | Resequenced data     |                                    |
| Sheep               | Ovis aries                | public databases | NCBI GenBank         | DQ152970.1                      |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0161962.t001
Results

To understand the pattern of evolution of the IRF3 gene in goats, we assembled IRF3 gene coding sequences in domesticated and wild goats from our resequencing data and we also used the available sequences for the gene in public databases. To understand the basis for evolutionary patterns in the gene in the domesticated breeds and wild goat, we conducted phylogenetic analysis of aligned gene sequences in MEGA. Gene trees were constructed by Neighbor-joining (NJ) method in MEGA 6.0 with bootstrap value set to 1000 and Ovis aries as the out-group (Fig 1). Maximum likelihood (ML) tree was inferred using the PhyML program version 4.8 and rooted in Ovis arie (Fig 2). The gene trees showed closer relationships among all domesticated goats than with the wild goat and sheep IRF3 gene. ML tree showed that the IRF3 genes in different domesticated goats share a common ancestor, as illustrated in the length of the tree branches, suggesting single origin of domesticated goats.

To assess if the evolution of IRF3 gene in goats deviates from neutrality (Table 2), we tested the hypothesis of neutrality with DnaSP v5.10.01 and estimated population statistics including number of segregation sites, haplotype diversity, Fu and Li’s and Tajima’s D statistics in goat sequences alone. Five segregating sites were observed in the coding sequences of domesticated and wild goats. Tajima’s D was significantly negative (P < 0.05) while the Fu and Li’s tests were significantly positive (P < 0.05). Four unique haplotypes (Hap_1, Hap_2, Hap_3 and Hap_4) were observed across all the sequences (Table 3), of which Hap_2 was particularly common to African goats (MOCH-K14-0425, Poitou and WAD), Hap_1 found in all domesticated goats, Hap_3 in the wild goat and Hap_4 associated with sheep Ovis aries.

For further assessment of the evolution mode at the codon level, the codon models of PAML were used to infer estimates of ω under a maximum likelihood framework for all goat codon sequences (Table 4). Analysis was conducted using M1 versus M2 and M7 versus M8 PAML models and LRT was determined by using the likelihood logs. Model M1 gave a ω0 (dN/dS) value of 0.067 with LnL value of -6900.3 while ω2 = 1.667 in model M2 with LnL value of -6900.3; model M2 was judged favorable for this analysis. Model M2 demonstrated that more than 88% of the gene was under purifying selection pressure, while about 11% was under neutral selection pressure and 1% under active positive selection pressure; suggesting a small fraction of sites are under positive or diversifying selection in the genes, which might have led to the deviation from neutrality of the gene’s evolution.

Considering that PAML is prone to high false positive result, we also submitted aligned sequences of goats to the selecton online tool (http://selecton.tau.ac.il/) that employs the mechanistic empirical combination (MEC) model for evaluating adaptive selection pressure in codons. The MEC model takes into account the differences between amino acid replacement rates. Adaptive selection pressure was inferred in three codons (207, 358 and 408) in IRF3 (Fig 3), identified under positive selection. A comparison of translated multiple sequence alignment of domesticated goats and wild goats in MEGA, revealed unique single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the domestic goats in reference with the wild goat in the selected codons. Evolutionary changes in these codons resulted in non-synonymous changes in domesticated goats, which coded for different amino acid between domestic and wild goats (Table 5).

Discussion

Evolutionary studies in immune system genes have been widely conducted, especially in the toll like receptors (TLR) genes families such as the IRF gene family in many model species[15, 25, 26, 27]; however there is no published data on IRF3 gene in goats. Our aim was to reveal evolutionary patterns and selection signatures in the goat innate immunity gene IRF3. Five segregating sites and θ value of 0.0009 for this gene demonstrated a low diversity across the goats’
Fig 1. Neighbor joining phylogenetic trees for goat IRF3 gene sequences constructed by Neighbor-joining (NJ) method in MEGA 6.0 with bootstrap value set to 1000 and Ovis aries IRF3 gene sequence as outgroup. MOCH = Moroccan goats; IRCH = Iranian goats; WAD = West African Dwarf.
Fig 2. The phylogeny of goat IRF3 gene was inferred by the maximum likelihood (ML) method using the PhyML program in MEGA software to analyze aligned sequences and this tree was rooted using the Ovis aries IRF3 gene sequence. MOCH = Moroccan goats; IRCH = Iranian goats; WAD = West African Dwarf.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0161962.g002
populations, compared with 36 segregating sites when sheep IRF3 sequence was added. This low diversity in goat population may not be unconnected with the number of samples used in the present study, data from a larger sample size may illuminate this farther. Neighbor Joining (NJ) and Maximum Likelihood (ML) gene trees showed close pattern of genetic relationship among domesticated goat, and a clear divergence between domesticated and wild goat types. Goats used in this study were sampled from diverse ecological environments across Asia and Africa, which may account for the genetic variation observed in the study. Four different haplotypes were observed in the study. One was found to be common in all goats, one only in wild goat and one particular haplotype was found only in three African domesticated goats including a North African goat MOCH-K14-0425 and dwarf goats (Poitou and WAD) from South Africa and West Africa respectively. This particular haplotype may represent a conserved segment of the sequence that survives the descent of many generations of reproduction [28], which may account for unique regional variation [29]. Also it is perhaps the product of environmental influence and local adaptation to environmental differences peculiar to some African goats. The dwarf goat breeds are particularly known to habit forest regions, they are hardy and often shown tendency of going feral. Strong humoral and innate immune responses have also been reported in these breeds [30].

Fu and Li’s tests were significant and positive while Tajima’s D test was significant but negative, indicating a deviation from neutrality and suggesting positive selection in the gene [31]. The influence of positive diversifying selection in genes can be inferred, when ratio of non-synonymous (dNS) to synonymous (dSN) substitution rates exceeds one [32]. Inferred dNS/dSN ratio greater than one detected by codon models of PAML attests to the positive selection pressure in the IRF3 gene. Although only about 1% of the codon sites in of gene were inferred to be under positive selection, this explains the observed deviation from neutrality of the gene’s evolution. Possible reason for evolution of adaptive selection in the gene might have resulted from adaptation to different environments. Goats, particularly the domesticated ones have always

### Table 2. Population statistics and neutrality test in IRF3 gene in goats alone and goats with Sheep.

| Population statistics | Neutrality test |
|-----------------------|-----------------|
| Sequences             | M   | S   | Ps   | θ   | Tajima’s D | P- Value | Fu & Li’s | P- Value |
| Goats only            | 41  | 5   | 0.004 | 0.0009 | -1.807 | 0.035 | 1.543 | 0.025 |
| Goats and sheep       | 42  | 36  | 0.218 | 0.006  | -2.551 | 0.01  | 1.983 | 0.02  |

M = Number of sequences
S = Number of segregating sites
Ps = Population diversity
θ = Haplotype diversity.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0161962.t002

### Table 3. Haplotypes found in different goat types.

| Haplotype ID | Haplotype | Population where haplotype is found |
|--------------|-----------|------------------------------------|
| Hap_1        | CGACGGTCAAC | All domesticated goats except MOCH-K14-0425, Poitou, WAD |
| Hap_2        | CGACGGTCCAC | MOCH-K14-0425, Poitou, WAD |
| Hap_3        | CGTGGGTCCGT | Capra_aegagrus |
| Hap_4        | GTTGACCTCGT | Ovis_aries |

Hap = Haplotype
MOCH = Moroccan goats
WAD = West African Dwarf.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0161962.t003
been influenced both by natural selection imposed by the environments and artificial selection influenced by human through selective breeding for specific production functions (such as milk, meat or both) [33]; a key factor in goat domestication. Breeding activities may have influence adaptive evolution in this gene.

Table 4. Inference of positive selection in IRF3 genes using two pairs of models in Phylogenetic Analysis by Maximum Likelihood (PAML).

| Gene | Model   | Parameter Estimates | LnL  | LRT  | Positive selection codon sites |
|------|---------|---------------------|------|------|--------------------------------|
| IRF3 | Model1  | \( p_0 = 0.888 \quad p_1 = 0.112 \) | -6900.3 | 0 | Not Allowed |
|      |         | \( \omega_0 = 0.067 \quad \omega_1 = 1.000 \) | | | |
|      | Model2  | \( p_1 = 0.888 \quad p_2 = 0.111 \quad p_3 = 0.006 \) | -6900.3 | 3 | |
|      |         | \( \omega_1 = 0.086 \quad \omega_2 = 1.667 \quad \omega_3 = 1.667 \) | | | |
|      | Model7  | \( p = 0.632 \quad q = 3.182 \) | -6897.87 | 0.476 | Not Allowed |
|      | Model8  | \( p_0 = 0.886 \quad p = 0.562 \quad q = 3.778 \) | -6897.87 | 3 | |
|      |         | \( p_1 = 0.010 \quad \omega = 1.777 \) | | | |

\( (\omega) = \text{ratio of nonsynonymous-to-synonymous substitutions, Purified selection (p0), neutral selection (p2), positive selection (p3), substitution ratio for all sites, p and q = } \beta \text{ distribution parameters, LnL = log likelihood; LRT = likelihood ratio.} \)

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0161962.t004

Variation of Goat Interferon Regulatory Factor 3 Gene
Furthermore, mechanistic empirical combination (MEC) codon site selection also confirmed codons 207, 358 and 408 to be under strong adaptive selection pressure. Although all three codons were found in domesticated and wild goats, codons 207, 358 and 408 coded for Serine, Asparagine and Asparagine respectively in domesticated goats but Tryptophan, Tyrosine and Aspartic acid respectively in reference with the wild goat. Evolutionary changes of the gene in domesticated goats appeared to be more recent than in the wild goat, which may be

| Chromosome ID | No of Exons | Codon under selection | Mutation | Mutation Type | Domesticated goats Affected |
|---------------|-------------|-----------------------|----------|---------------|-----------------------------|
| 18            | 8           | 207                   | W(TGG)→S(ACC) | NS            | all domestic goats          |
|               |             | 358                   | T(ACC)→N(AAC) | NS            | MOCH-AA11-2174              |
|               |             |                       |           |               | MOCH-AA6-2031               |
|               |             |                       |           |               | MOCH-AA7-2034               |
|               |             |                       |           |               | MOCH-AA9-2152               |
|               |             |                       |           |               | MOCH-AB10-2181              |
|               |             |                       |           |               | MOCH-H19-1343               |
|               |             |                       |           |               | MOCH-J17-1355               |
|               |             |                       |           |               | MOCH-J18-1324               |
|               |             |                       |           |               | MOCH-H19-1309               |
|               |             |                       |           |               | MOCH-K15-0440               |
|               |             |                       |           |               | IRCH-B4-5209                |
|               |             |                       |           |               | IRCH-B5-5032                |
|               |             |                       |           |               | IRCH-C3-5039                |
|               |             |                       |           |               | IRCH-C6-5204                |
|               |             |                       |           |               | IRCH-C7-5144                |
|               |             |                       |           |               | IRCH-D5-5240                |
|               |             |                       |           |               | IRCH-D6-5189                |
|               |             |                       |           |               | IRCH-E5-5053                |
|               |             |                       |           |               | IRCH-E6-5087                |
|               |             |                       |           |               | IRCH-E7-5193                |
|               |             |                       |           |               | IRCH-F11-5140               |
|               |             |                       |           |               | Ethiopia_Somali             |
|               |             |                       |           |               | Iran_Cashmere               |
|               |             |                       |           |               | Nigeria_Red_Sokoto          |
|               |             |                       |           |               | Nigeria_WAD                 |
|               |             |                       | T(ACC)→Deletion | NS            | MOCH-AB11-2160              |
|               |             |                       |           |               | MOCH-AB11-2167              |
|               |             |                       |           |               | MOCH-K13-0366               |
|               |             |                       |           |               | IRCH-B3-5131                |
|               |             |                       |           |               | IRCH-C9-5206                |
|               |             |                       |           |               | IRCH-D7-5132                |
|               |             |                       |           |               | IRCH-F3-5044                |
|               |             |                       |           |               | Ethiopian_Borena            |
| 408           |             |                       | D(GAC)→N(AAC) | NS            | all domestic goats          |

MOCH = Moroccan goats
IRCH = Iranian goats
WAD = West African Dwarf
NS = Non-Synonimous.
connected with common domestication processes in domesticated goats. These codons probably play major roles in adaptive immune response. Adaptive selection has been reported to occur when a new or previously rare mutation bestows fitness benefit on individuals. Positively selected gene regions influence protein coding, host defense against pathogens, reproduction, speciation and adaptation to a new environment [34, 35, 36]. Although IRF3 may not be directly involved in pathogen recognition, it has been reported to be active in signaling platform for transcriptional activities and many pathways involving resistance to viral infection [13, 37, 38]; these evidences suggest that the activation of these transcription factors is a precursor of other interferons and pathways implicated in adaptive immune responses intonation [37].

Remarkably, adaptive selection has been suggested to be connected with acquisition of new functions in genes [3] in connection with phenotypes and organism’s fitness [4, 5, 6]. This selective pressure has also been connected with functional adaptations gained in active evolution of species; which have the tendency of promoting functional diversification in species [7]. We therefore postulate that adaptive evolution observed in IRF3 in domesticated goats is probably the result of the breeding processes associated with domestication.

IRF3 gene has been reported to be involved in encoding proteins in connection with fundamental interactions between organisms and their environments [21] as such adaptive evolution of the gene may have taken place as a key factor in the evolution of goats for survival of unfriendly pathogenic environments during the process of domestication, when goats were bred for different functional purposes in various ecological environments. In support of this, a study based on TLR2 genes published elsewhere [15], proposed that ruminant species are actively undergoing differential selective pressures. This process is attributed in part to direct selective breeding; resulting in population reduction and reduced effective population size of many ruminants species, which in turn may mirror initial domestication, breed formation or selection for specialized (e.g for meat, milk or fiber) [39]. An alternative proposal by Cui [40] is that breeding operations indirectly impacted changes in host-pathogen interactions suggesting that increased animal stocking density, pathogen transmission and load may also have increased selection for rapid adaptation in host and pathogen genes.

**Conclusion**

IRF3 gene have been widely studied in many species. However, to the best of our knowledge, the first report on adaptive selection of the gene in goats. The gene is showed low diversity in goats. Various analyses conducted in this study implicated positive or adaptive selection in the gene. Active adaptive evolution observed in IRF3 gene suggested that the gene has experienced positive selection particularly in codons 207, 358 and 408. Our results suggest that adaptive evolution occurred in these codons in IRF3 gene as a result of breeding processes associated with domestication and that these genes may play important role in response to changing pathogenic pressure and adaptation. This gene may be promising target for further studies aiming at linking genetic variation to pathogen susceptibility in ruminants and other vertebrate groups that are threatened by emerging infectious diseases.

**Supporting Information**

S1 Fig. Aligned sequences of IRF3 gene used in the study. The gene is located on chromosome 18 and contains 8 exons.
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