Sagittal Plane Alignment and Functional Outcomes Following Surgery for Scheuermann Kyphosis

Alinhamento do plano sagital e resultados funcionais após cirurgia para a cifose de Scheuermann
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Abstract

Objective To evaluate and correlate the pelvic parameters, the sagittal balance (SB), and the functional outcome of the patients submitted to surgical treatment for Scheuermann hyperkyphosis (SK).

Methods Patients submitted to surgery between January 2005 and December 2016 were included. The following radiographic measurements were obtained: thoracic kyphosis (TK); lumbar lordosis (LL); SB; pelvic incidence (PI); pelvic tilt (PT); and sacral slope (SS). Complications during the follow-up period were recorded.

Results A total of 19 patients were included (16 males): the mean preoperative kyphosis was of 83°, and the postoperative kyphosis was of 57°. The mean preoperative lumbar lordosis was of 66°, with a postoperative spontaneous correction of 47°. Regarding the preoperative pelvic parameters, the average PI, PT and SS were of 48°, 10° and 39° respectively. In the postoperative period, these values were of 50°, 16° and 35° respectively. The preoperative SB was neutral, and it was maintained after the surgical correction. Concerning complications during the follow-up period, three junctional kyphosis were observed—two requiring revision surgery, one nonunion, and one dehiscence of the surgical wound. Regarding the functional results, the average score on the Scoliosis Research Society-22 (SRS-22) patient questionnaire was of 4.04, and we verified that the SB obtained in the postoperative period had no influence on the functional outcome (p = 0.125) nor on the postoperative LL (p = 0.851).
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Introduction

Scheuermann hyperkyphosis (SK) is a deformity in the sagittal plane with an incidence that ranges from 0.4% to 8%, with a slightly higher male prevalence, and it is currently the most common cause of rigid hyperkyphosis in the pediatric population.1,2

The diagnosis is often made in adolescents presenting with chronic symptoms of mild back pain and a typical cosmetic deformity of the thoracic or thoracolumbar spine. According to Sorensen,3 the radiographic criteria for diagnosis include thoracic kyphosis (TK) > 40° or thoracolumbar kyphosis (TLK) > 30°, and at least 3 consecutive vertebral bodies with a minimum of 5° of wedging.

The goal of the surgical treatment in cases of SK is not only the correction of deformity, but also a symptomatic and cosmetic improvement. A large number of studies report radiographic outcomes, but most of them focus mainly on spinal parameters without including the pelvic assessment and its relationship with the sagittal balance (SB) and quality of life. The pelvic incidence (PI) is a key characteristic of the pelvis, which is defined as an anatomical feature unique to each individual that becomes set at the end of growth and that determines the relative position of the sacral plate in relation to the femoral heads. The pelvic slope (SS) is the angle of the sacral plateau to the horizontal plane, and it determines the position of the lumbar spine since the sacral plateau forms the base of the spine. The pelvic tilt (PT) is defined by the angle between a vertical line through the femoral head and a line from the mid-sacral plateau and femoral head, and it determines the spatial orientation of the pelvis. The PT is a positional parameter, as well as the SS. The possibility of rotation of the pelvis around the axis of the femoral heads is one of the best mechanisms of regulation of the SB.4

Conclusion

We verified a spontaneous improvement in the lumbar hyperlordosis at levels not included in the fusion after correction of the TK. Although the postoperative functional results were globally high, we did not find any statistically significant relationship with TK nor LLs. High PI is associated with a greater rate of complications regarding the proximal junctional kyphosis (PJK), and these pelvic parameters should be considered at the time of the SK surgical treatment.

Resumo

Objetivo Avaliar e correlacionar os parâmetros pélvicos, o equilíbrio sagital (SB), e o resultado funcional dos pacientes submetidos ao tratamento cirúrgico da hipercifose de Scheuermann (SK).

Métodos Foram incluídos pacientes submetidos à cirurgia entre janeiro de 2005 e dezembro de 2016. Foram obtidas as seguintes medidas radiográficas: cifose torácica (TK); lordose lombar (LL); SB; incidência pélvica (PI); inclinação pélvica (PT); e inclinação sacral (SS). Complicações foram registradas durante o período de follow-up.

Resultados Foram incluídos 19 pacientes (16 homens): a cifose pré-operatória média foi de 83°, e, após a cirurgia, de 57°. A LL média pré-operatória foi de 66°, com uma correção espontânea pós-operatória de 47°. Quanto aos parâmetros pélvicos pré-operatórios, as médias de PI, PT e SS foram de 48°, 10° e 39°, respectivamente. No pós-operatório, esses valores foram de 50°, 16° e 35°, respectivamente. O SB pré-operatório foi neutro e mantido após correção cirúrgica. Quanto às complicações durante o período de seguimento, foram observadas três cifoses juncionais – duas necessitando de cirurgia de revisão, uma má consolidação, e uma deiscência de sutura. Em relação aos resultados funcionais, a pontuação média no questionário de 22 itens da Scoliosis Research Society (SRS-22) foi de 4,04, e verificou-se que o SB no pós-operatório não teve influência no desfecho funcional (p = 0,125), nem na LL pós-operatória (p = 0,851).

Conclusão Verificamos uma melhora espontânea da hyperlordose lombar em níveis não incluídos na fusão após a correção da TK. Embora os resultados funcionais pós-operatórios tenham sido globalmente elevados, não encontramos nenhuma relação estatisticamente significativa com a TK nem com a LL. A PI elevada está associada a maior complicações de a cifose juncional proximal (PJK), e esses parâmetros pélvicos devem ser considerados no momento do tratamento cirúrgico da cifose de Scheuermann.

Palavras-chave
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Clinical expertise and current literature\(^5\) suggest that the reestablishment of a physiological SB is extremely important and should guide the surgical corrections. The understanding of spinopelvic parameters and their importance in spine biomechanics has gained relevance, and several articles\(^6\) have tried to describe them and their relationship, but there is limited data regarding the response of spinopelvic parameters and sagittal plane alignment of the spine to surgical the treatment for SK. The aim of the present study is to evaluate and correlate the pelvic parameters, the SB and the functional outcome of the patients submitted to surgical treatment for SK.

**Material and Methods**

The records of patients submitted to SK correction at our institution between January 2005 and December 2016 were retrieved from our database. The diagnosis was based on the radiological criteria provided by Sorensen.\(^3\) Patients with congenital spine deformities, neuromuscular or syndromic disease, previous spine surgery, spine tumor, postural kyphosis or primary deformity of scoliosis, spondylolisthesis, or spondylolysis were excluded. The indication for surgery was a TK or TLK with a curve > 70° with persistent back pain, with no satisfactory response to the conservative management. To be included in the study, all the patient parameters had to be available, and the follow-up had to be complete. All patients underwent a posterior-only correction procedure with periapical osteotomies and pedicle-screw fixation by the same surgical team consisting of two senior surgeons. The upper limit of instrumentation was the proximal-end Cobb vertebra and the lower instrumented vertebra was the sagittal stable vertebra or the first lordotic vertebra according to a previously discussed decision. During surgery, care was taken to preserve the supraspinous and interspinous ligaments, the spinous processes of the upper limit of instrumentation, and the level immediately above it. Ambulation without a brace is accomplished on the first or second day after surgery.

Radiographic measurements were obtained through longstanding spine radiographs before surgery and throughout the follow-up. In each patient the following parameters were measured: TK; lumbar lordosis (LL); SB; PI; PT; SS. All measurements were performed by two physicians, and their mean values were recorded (►Fig. 1).

The other imaging parameters recorded were the number of instrumented vertebrae, the level of distal fusion, and the complications during the follow-up period, such as the radiographic junction kyphosis – defined as the caudal endplate of the uppermost instrumented vertebra (UIV) to the cephalad endplate of two supradyacent vertebrae above the UIV and the same for the last instrumented inferior vertebra.\(^7\)

The Scoliosis Research Society-22 (SRS-22) patient questionnaire, translated to our native language, was filled out by all patients.

All statistical analyses were conducted using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS, IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, US) software, version 21.0 for Windows. The Student t-test was used to assess the differences in mean values between the groups for normally distributed continuous variables. The statistical comparisons between the groups preoperatively and postoperatively were performed using the Mann-Whitney U test. The paired samples t-test was used for the comparison of preoperative and postoperative measurements. Values of \(p < 0.05\) were considered statistically significant.

The authors obtained approval form the institutional review board for all aspects of the present study.

**Results**

A total of 19 patients (3 female and 16 male patients; average age at surgery: 18.4 years) who underwent SK correction
surgery were included. The follow-up time varied from 2 to 12 years, with a mean time of 6 years. The apex of the deformity was in the thoracic spine, following this distribution: T7–6 cases; T8–9 cases; T9–4 cases. A mean of 11 levels were fused, and the level of distal fusion was L1 in 3 cases, L2 in 10 cases, L3 in 5 cases, and L4 in 1 case, and all patients underwent a posterior-only procedure. The mean preoperative kyphosis was of 83°, with a mean correction to 57°. The mean preoperative lumbar lordosis was 66°, with a postoperative spontaneous correction to 47°.

Regarding the preoperative pelvic parameters, the average PI, PT and SS were of 48°, 10° and 39° respectively, and, in the postoperative period, these values were of 50°, 16° and 35° respectively. The mean preoperative SB, assessed by the distance from the C7 plumbline to the posterosuperior S1 vertebral body was neutral (negative trend of -8mm) and it was maintained after the surgical correction (-7mm) (►Fig. 2).

Concerning the complications during the follow-up period, a total of three junctional kyphosis were observed (two proximal and one distal) – two requiring revision surgery, one nonunion, and a dehiscence of the surgical wound. The functional scores assessed by the SRS-22 questionnaire were of 4.0 on average. Relating the SRS-22 scores with the imaging parameters, we verified that the SB obtained in the postoperative period had no influence on the functional outcome ($p = 0.125$) nor on the postoperative LL ($p = 0.851$). Although there was a trend towards lower SRS-22 scores with increased postoperative kyphosis, this score did not significantly affect the functional outcome ($p = 0.079$) (►Table 1).

Discussion

The surgical treatment of SK does not consist solely in the correction of the TK, but it is rather a challenge of balance through the sagittal alignment.

Sagittal spinopelvic parameters were evaluated in many areas of spinal deformity, including early-onset scoliosis, spondylolisthesis, and adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. However, there is a paucity of literature on sagittal spinopelvic alignment in SK.

Sagittal spinopelvic alignment was linked to health-related quality of life, mainly because the global sagittal imbalance and its compensatory mechanisms were associated to increased energy consumption, which negatively influences the quality of life.

The pathological increase in TK in SK leads to a compensatory development of a lumbar hyperlordosis with the aim of reaching a new state of balance.

In the present study, the mean values of preoperative TK and LL were of 83° and 66° respectively. After surgical correction of hyperkyphosis, the mean value of LL was of 47°, so there was a spontaneous improvement in the lumbar hyperlordosis at levels not included in the fusion, as described in the literature and also verified by Ashraf et al. in a retrospective study of 18 patients submitted to surgical treatment.

We report that the mean preoperative values of PI, PT and SS were of 48°, 10° and 39° respectively. These are consistent with the previous reports by Mac-Thiong et al., who described average values of PI, PT and SS as 49°, 8° and 41° respectively, for normal children and adolescents. These observations are consistent with those of the study published by Cahill et al. who evaluated the differences in spinopelvic parameters among patients with SK and unaffected normal controls, allowing us to conclude that there was no statistically significant difference in the pelvic parameters. Therefore, this suggests that SK is not driven by an inherent problem in the pelvic anatomy resulting in abnormal mechanics in the thoracic or thoracolumbar spine with subsequent secondary vertebral wedging, but rather that the anatomic changes observed at the apex of the deformity in SK are in fact the primary pathologic process.

The postoperative SB is an important predictor of functional results when depicting the muscular forces acting on the spine and the associated energy spent. In the present study, the mean values of the SB remained within the normal range before and after surgery, which was also described by Guler et al. after surgical correction of SK.
In the postoperative period, the mean values of the pelvic parameters were of 50° for PI, 16° for PT, and 35° for SS. Although PI is a morphological parameter and therefore should not change, this difference is acceptable, and it can be explained by the subjectivity of the measurements on the radiographs. Regarding PT, it ranges from 0° to 25°, which can justify the increase of pre- to postoperative values, and can be due to the inclusion of patients who had some complications during follow-up. Ultimately, in the postoperative period, the mean values remained within normal limits, which enables the described ratio of pelvic incidence to the sum of the PI tilt and the SS.

Other formulas attempt to correlate the sagittal spinal and pelvic parameters in SK. For instance, Berderman et al. described a new correlation between parameters such as TK or TLK, PI and LL, and concluded that \((TK - 45°) + (TLK - 0°) + (PI - LL)\), if kept within \(-10°\), is a valuable formula to evaluate the global SB in patients with skeletally-mature SK.

The level of distal fusion in SK is a controversial topic, and there must be a balance between minimizing the risk of developing distal junctional problems and preserving the levels of motion. Besides the usual discussion between extending the fusion to the sagittal stable vertebra or the first lordotic vertebra, Zhu et al. suggest the type of SK curve should also be considered, stating that the biomechanics of each curve is different. These authors suggest that in the thoracolumbar curve type, a shorter fusion should be performed, while in the thoracic one, this instrumentation should be extended until the sagittal stable vertebra. In the present study, only the thoracic curves were included, and the level of distal fusion mostly coincided with the sagittal stable vertebra (15 versus 4 cases).

The occurrence of complications regarding the surgical treatment of SK has been the object of study of several published works, in which a global rate of 14% was reported. Besides the choice of fusion level, prevention of the development of junctional kyphosis includes, nowadays, the study of spinopelvic parameters, whose results may explain this development.

Junctional kyphosis has incidences that can reach 30%, depending on the study population, and although proximal junctional kyphosis (PJK) is more common, distal junctional kyphosis (DJK) is more often implicated in the revision surgery. While relating the development of DJK with the spinopelvic parameters, Ghasemi et al. suggested that there is an increased risk of developing DJK among younger patients, and concluded that patients with higher degrees of TK correction and with more negative absolute SB values had a higher risk of developing this developing complications. However, in the case of DJK in the present study, we did not observe any of these conditions, as this patient had a TK within the preoperative mean values (80°) and a normal SB (+10mm), and, regarding his age at the time of surgical correction, he was also close the mean value (18 years).

Concerning PJK, Sardar et al. report that a larger TK both before surgery and at the final follow-up tend to be associated with the development of PJK, and this complication was also

| Case | Final sagittal balance | Postoperative kyphosis (degrees) | Postoperative lumbar lordosis (degrees) | SRS-22 |
|------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------------|--------|
| 1    | Neutral               | 42                               | 27                                     | 3.5    |
| 2    | Negative              | 65                               | 22                                     | 3.7    |
| 3    | Neutral               | 52                               | 47                                     | 4.12   |
| 4    | Negative              | 51                               | 37                                     | 4.05   |
| 5    | Neutral               | 59                               | 47                                     | 3.27   |
| 6    | Neutral               | 67                               | 45                                     | 4      |
| 7    | Neutral               | 67                               | 21                                     | 4.63   |
| 8    | Negative              | 30                               | 23                                     | 4.5    |
| 9    | Positive              | 69                               | 68                                     | 4.2    |
| 10   | Neutral               | 41                               | 47                                     | 3.8    |
| 11   | Neutral               | 74                               | 56                                     | 4.05   |
| 12   | Negative              | 63                               | 52                                     | 4.5    |
| 13   | Neutral               | 56                               | 55                                     | 4.27   |
| 14   | Positive              | 51                               | 62                                     | 4.45   |
| 15   | Negative              | 67                               | 49                                     | 4.1    |
| 16   | Neutral               | 69                               | 45                                     | 3.2    |
| 17   | Negative              | 49                               | 68                                     | 4.4    |
| 18   | Neutral               | 60                               | 71                                     | 4.8    |
| 19   | Positive              | 53                               | 64                                     | 3.27   |

Abreviatura: SRS-22, Scoliosis Research Society-22 patient questionnaire.
found to be directly correlated with the magnitude of the PI.28 In the two cases of PJK in the present study, we found high PI values (59° and 60°), which is in agreement with previously described.

Scheuermann hyperkyphosis is associated with worsening of the quality of life and diminished SRS-22 scores in all domains when compared with other spine deformities, such as adolescent idiopathic scoliosis, as reported by Lonner et al.29 This impairment can be explained by the energy-consuming and often painful compensatory mechanisms while trying to preserve an adequate global SB.30 Glassman et al.30 found that positive SB was linearly correlated with the severity of the back pain, and is the radiographic measurement most frequently associated with adverse outcomes. Moreover, they also state a successful long-term outcome after TK correction surgery may be more accurately predicted by the restoration of the sagittal plane alignment rather than through an isolated correction of the TK. The mean score of the patients included in the present study was 4 (maximum score: 5), which revealed a good overall functional result. However, when trying to relate these SRS-22 scores with the SB, no statistically significant relationship was established, even though these scores were within the normal range. The SRS-22 scores were not influenced by TK nor LL, albeit we report a trend to lower scores on the SRS-22 with higher degrees of postoperative TK.

There are some limitations to the present study. Firstly, the small sample. Secondly, the retrospective character of the analysis made. Thirdly, all procedures were performed in the same hospital and by the same surgical team.

Conclusion

The surgical treatment of SK aims not only to correct the deformity but also to achieve a global spine balance. We verified a spontaneous improvement in lumbar hyperlordosis at levels not included in the fusion after correction of the TK. Although the postoperative functional results were globally high, we did not find any statistically significant relationship with TK nor LL, even though we reported a trend towards lower scores on the SRS-22 with higher degrees of postoperative TK. Postoperative complications like junctional kyphosis are related not only to factors that are already known – such as the magnitude of curve correction and the selection of fusion levels – but also to new parameters such as sagittal plane alignment. High PI is associated with greater complications regarding the PJK, and these pelvic parameters should be considered at the time of SK surgical treatment, as we concluded. Nevertheless, further studies are needed in this field.
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