Agglutinated Foraminiferal Acmes and Their Role in the Biostratigraphy of the Campanian–Eocene Outer Carpathians
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Abstract: The biostratigraphy of the Outer Carpathians is based mainly on the ranges of agglutinated foraminifera. Species acmes provide the opportunity to enhance and support the existing biozonations. Assemblages of agglutinated foraminifera from the Campanian to Eocene of various tectonic-structural units were analyzed in terms of their qualitative and quantitative occurrence. In this stratigraphic interval, 19 species display significantly increased abundance within a relatively short interval: *Caudammina gigantea* (Geroch) (upper Santonian–lowermost Maastrichtian), *Caudammina ovulum* (Grzybowski) (Campanian–Danian), *Placentammina placenta* (Grzybowski)–*Saccammina grzybowskii* (Schubert) (upper Campanian–Danian), *Caudammina excelsa* (Dylążanka) (lowermost Maastrichtian–Danian), *Caudammina oeuroides* (Grzybowski) (Danian–Selandian), *Hormosina velascoensis* (Cushman) (upper Danian–Selandian), *Praesphaerammina gerochi* (Hanzlíková) (Thetian), *Glomospira charoides* (Jones et Parker)–*Glomospira gordialis* (Jones et Parker) (uppermost Thanetian–lowermost Ypresian), *Trochammina* spp. (upper Thanetian–lower Ypresian), *Reticulophragmium amplectens* (Grzybowski) (Lutetian–Bartonian), *Reophax duplex* (Grzybowski)–*Reophax “pilulifer”* Brady group of taxa (Lutetian–Priabonian), *Haplophragmoides walteri* (Grzybowski)–*Haplophragmoides nauticus* Kender, Kaminski et Jones (Lutetian–Bartonian), *Spiroplectammina spectabilis* (Grzybowski) (Lutetian–Priabonian), “*Ammodiscus* latus” Grzybowski (Bartonian–Priabonian), *Praesphaerammina subgaleata* (Vašíček) (Lutetian–Bartonian). The biostratigraphic position and quantitative data of species with increased abundance are presented.
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1. Introduction

Dating of the Cretaceous and Lower Paleogene deep-water Outer Carpathian deposits, dominated by turbidites, is generally based on the biostratigraphy. Due to some limitations, other methods are used sporadically. A commonly used microfossil group is the agglutinated foraminifera. Other groups, i.e., planktonic foraminifera, dinocysts, calcareous nannoplankton, and calpionellids, have limited applications, because their preservation depends on the nature of the paleoenvironment, i.e., oxygen deficiency or paleodepth relative to the CCD level. The agglutinated foraminifera in deep-water deposits have a universal application because they occur consistently, settled on the turbiditic sea floor, and lived in-situ. They produced durable tests, elements predominately from quartz silt and sand, and inhabited deep-water environments, both above and below the CCD. The agglutinated foraminifera had good fossilization potential and were successfully preserved in the fossil record. This group is among the most widespread microfossils. They are well preserved and occur abundantly within muddy- and clay-intervals of turbidities. For this reason, biozonations based on the agglutinated foraminifera are of great importance and are widely used ([1] and references therein). The interval zones or partial
range zones after the first published biozonation [2] are distinguished most often. Taking into account acme zones, the *Glomospira* div. sp. acme zone is the most widely distinguished as the most characteristic, with the index taxon showing a dramatic increase in number. However, generally acmes zones have limited use. The exception is the Outer Carpathian biozonation [3] where part of the zones is in the rank of acmes. The acmes are associated with the index species and apart from the *Glomospira* div. sp. acme zone, the total range of other index taxa characterize a relatively narrow stratigraphic range.

The qualitative data, next to the quantitative, indicate that within the deep-water Outer Carpathian deposits foraminiferal assemblages can be found with significantly increased numbers of a single species or group of species. These numerous occurrences are observed in units representing various sedimentary areas of the Outer Carpathian basins and are coeval. The current study specifies the foraminiferal species abundances, both short-ranging and long-ranging species, presents their percentage ratio, and considers their potential use in the biostratigraphy of the deep-water Carpathian deposits.

2. Study Area

The study was conducted in the Western Outer Carpathians mainly on the Polish territory. The Outer Carpathians consist of stratigraphic units originated from the northwestern part of the Tethys Ocean, along its outer margin. They consist mainly of turbidites deposited from the Jurassic to the Miocene within several sedimentary basins separated by ridges (Figure 1). The number and position of these basins changed through time. Generally, the Magura and Silesian domains can be distinguished within the Western Outer Carpathian Tethys ([4–7] and references therein). The more southern was the Magura Domain and it was related to the Alpine Tethys [4]. The Magura Basin and the Foremagura Basin (including the Dukla Basin in the Cretaceous and earliest) were connected. The Magura Domain was bordered by the Silesian Ridge with the Silesian Domain located to the north (Figure 1). The Silesian Domain comprised the most external part of the Tethys and contains the Silesian and Skole basins, the Paleogene stage of the Foremagura group of basins as well as the areas of the Subsilesian Ridge (Subsilesian Sedimentary Area). It was connected with the Neotethys [5]. The Outer Carpathians are the northern part of the Carpathian Orogenic Belt. They have a nappe structure and are overthrusted onto the European Plate ([4–8] and references therein) (Figure 2). Particular nappes more or less refer to the original individual sedimentary basins, thus the Magura Nappe contains the deposits of the Magura Basin, the Silesian Nappe contains the deposits of the Silesian Basin, the Skole Nappe consists of the deposits of the Skole Basin, the Foremagura group of nappes contains deposits of the Dukla Basin and other Foremagura basins, and the Subsilesian Nappe holds the deposits of the Subsilesian Sedimentary Area related to the uplifted Subsilesian Ridge and its surroundings. Micropaleontological studies were carried out mainly in the Magura, Silesian, and Subsilesian nappes, subordinately in other Outer Carpathian nappes (Figure 2).
Figure 1. Paleogeographical sketch map of the Outer Carpathian basins in late Cretaceous–lower Paleogene times (after [5], modified).

Figure 2. Location of the studied sections on the general geological map of the Western Carpathians (map on the basis of [9]—modified). Study areas: 1: Jaworzynka, Pod Mutnym, Szare, Wawrzeczów Gron sections; 2: Olza, Janoska, Kamesznica, Jasnowice, Istebna sections; 3: Leśna, Leśnianka sections; 4: Mutne section; 5: Sucha Beskidzka, Skawce, Śleszowice, Ryczki sections; 6: Mosorny, Składy sections; 7: Bachowiec Las, Szydłowiec, Gorzeć sections; 8: Harbutowice, Harbutowice/Jasienica, Gościbia, Ubionka sections; 9: Zamczysko, Stróże sections; 10: Osielec, Tokarnia sections; 11: Foszczówka, Glichów, Czerwin, Wiśniowa sections; 12: Nowy Targ section; 13: Lubomierz, Farony, Jezowe sections; 14: Szczyrzyc, Krzyworzeka, Stradowka, Krasławice, Kraszów sections; 15: Stare Rybie, Nowe Rybie, Pluskawka, Zegocina sections; 16: Melsztyn section; 17: Lipie, Jezioro Rożnowskie sections; 18: Zarzecze section; 19: Ścigocie section; 20: Klimentówka, Nowica sections; 21: Bodaki section; 22: Gorlice, Szymbark, Ropica, Sękówka sections; 23: Jasiółka section; 24: Zabratówka section; 25: Poreby-Czarnotówki, Błażowa, Strasydle, Zimny Dział sections; 26: Leszczawa section; and 27: Makowa section.

3. Materials and Methods

The studied agglutinated foraminifera have been obtained from shales (mudstones, muddy claystones, and claystones). Samples were taken from: the upper part of a turbidite sequences, mostly from ungraded turbidite mudstone deposited from suspension or from
shally complexes. The shales were diverse within one lithostratigraphic unit. Mudstones gray or greenish in color prevailed over red-colored clayey mudstones and claystones. In general, red colored shales are considered to be deposits formed in well-oxygenated environments, while dark mudstones, which are usually characterized by elevated levels of TOC, are found sediments of oxygen-limited conditions. Studies were carried out in the most continuous segments (parts) of sections, then they were combined into composite sections. Location of the sections is indicated in Figure 2. Several to 40 samples were taken from each single segment of section. The starting weight of the crude rock was 500 g; only a small part of the samples had a weight closer to 300 g. The foraminifera were obtained by: maceration of the sampled sediment in heated and cooled Na2SO4 solution, and washing over a 63-µm mesh sieve. The fossils were picked from the clastic residue. To obtain quantitative data, all foraminifera were picked up from the whole sample, or from a split if they were particularly numerous. They were identified and counted. The numerical data were compiled only from foraminiferal assemblages with at least a hundred specimens, usually more than 300 specimens. Data from 400 samples were considered in this study; the samples were collected from the Magura, Foremagura, Dukla, Silesian, Subsilesian, and Skole nappes (Figures 2 and S1). Quantitative data (% share in the benthic assemblage, excluding tubular foraminifera, Figure S1) allow to select species that proportions in given time intervals increase significantly (acme) and this phenomenon is repeatable within the structural unit/units of the Outer Carpathians. A minimal percentage abundance (lower limit of acme) has been established separately for individual species and varied from 3 to 20%. In fossil material, tubular foraminifera are preserved as numerous fragments of tubes and often constitute the dominant component of the assemblage. A single living tubular foraminifera produces several dozen to a few hundred fragments, which essentially distort the perception of the original image of a foraminiferal assemblage. In fact the tubular forms constitute a marginal component considering the abundance of the individual living organisms [10]. Therefore, for the purpose of this work, the tubular forms like Bathy-siphon spp., Nothia spp., Rhabdammina spp., Rhizammina spp., and Psammosiphonella spp. are not included in the calculations. As a result, the general calculation error is minimal and does not distort the presented statistical data.

The foraminiferal tests were analysed under a Nikon VL 100POL binocular microscope at the Department of General Geology and Geotourism of Faculty of Geology, Geophysics and Environment Protection AGH. Photographic documentation was done using a Nikon Digital SIGHT DS-Fi1 camera, and a FEI QUANTA 200 FEG scanning microscope at the Scanning Microscopy Laboratory. The age of the samples was determined biostratigraphically using agglutinated foraminifera (zonation after [3]), or planktonic foraminifera if present. Of secondary importance was superposition within the section in relation to the dated samples, and assignment to lithostratigraphic units.

Paleontological materials are housed in the author’s collection at the AGH University of Science and Technology and in Micropress Europe Foundation (al. Mickiewicza 30, 30-059 Kraków, room 225a and 234).

4. Results

In the turbiditic deposits of the Outer Carpathians, mostly long-ranging agglutinated foraminifera are found and the variability in diversity of foraminiferal assemblages is rather very low. The most numerous specimens belong to the primitive creeping Nothia species or erect tubular Rhabdammina or Psammosiphonella species. Other common and numerous forms are Recurvoides spp., Trochamminoides and Paratrochamminoides spp., and— in the Paleogene deposits—Ammosphaeroidina pseudopauciloculata (Mjatliuk), which constitute the basic taxa. Other species, mostly cosmopolitan, are common but constitute a marginal component of the samples amounting usually maximally 1% of the assemblage. In particular time intervals, the relative proportions of some marginal species significantly increase and they display acmes noted in the regional scale. Based on quantitative data, 19
species whose numbers periodically increase were selected, and they are indicated in Figure 3. The growth ratio varies for the particular species (Figures 3 and S1). The descriptions of acmes including the threshold values and average abundances of acme taxa, their occurrence, age assignment, and remarks of the literature review are presented below.

**Figure 3.** Biostratigraphical scheme of upper Cretaceous and lower Paleogene with distribution of taxa acmes in the Outer Carpathians (time scale after [11]).

4.1. Caudammina gigantea (Geroch) Acme

4.1.1. Definition

An increased amount of *Caudammina gigantea* (Geroch) specimens (Figure 4) ranges 5% or more per assemblage. Together with numerous *C. gigantea*, an increased number of *Placentammina placenta* (Grzybowski) of up to over a dozen, sporadically several dozen percent in the upper part is observed. The Maastrichtian drop in *C. gigantea* specimen number coincides with the increase in taxonomical diversity and appearance of new taxa in the Outer Carpathian assemblages, such as *Glomospira diffundens* Cushman et Renz, *Spiroplectammina spectabilis* (Grzybowski), *Remesella varians* (Glaessner), *Annectina grzybowskii* (Jurkiewicz), and *Hormosina velascoensis* (Cushman).
4.1.2. Occurrence and Age Assignment

Uppermost Santonian–lowermost Maastrichtian (Figures 3, 5 and S1), commonly in the Magura and Silesian domains.

4.1.3. Remarks

*C. gigantea* is known in the Outer Carpathians from the upper Cretaceous and the lower Paleocene. Its first appearance is indicated from the lower Santonian and its last occurrence is assigned to the middle Paleocene ([2,3,12–14] and references therein). *C. gigantea* occurs only in fragments, as single chambers. A large variation in quantities is observed. In low-diversity assemblages with several co-occurring species, its share is usually over 25%, but in some assemblages it dominates. In higher-diversified assemblages its amount is about 5–25%. The maximum proportion amounting to 63% has been observed in the Silesian Nappe.

The growth in the number of *C. gigantea* begins in the Campanian and lasts until the lowermost Maastrichtian, ending within the Gnassserina gansseri planktonic foraminiferal zone [15]. In the upper part of the acme, the species *Rzhukina inclusa* (Grzybowski) co-occurs.

Assemblages with abundant *C. gigantea* were widely used in the Outer Carpathian stratigraphy. They were commonly reported from the Magura Nappe [12,15–27], quoted
from the Subsilesian and Silesian nappes [15,28,29]; from the Skole Nappe [30,31] and from the Dukla Nappe [32]. They were observed in the Pieniny Klippen Belt [33]. The authors of [34] indicated the universal biostratigraphical use of C. gigantea abundant assemblages in the Outer Carpathians, which was the basis for establishing the Caudammina gigantea acme zone of late Santonian–early Maastrichtian age. The Caudammina gigantea zone is used commonly for North Atlantic stratigraphy; it ranges from middle-upper Campanian to Maastrichtian ([35–38] and references therein). Similar use was introduced in the Contessa Highway section near Gubbio Italy [39].

Numerous C. gigantea occurs in different types of muddy and marly shales, the largest proportion being in the red clayey shales. The species is more frequent in the Magura Domain than in the Silesian. C. gigantea is characteristic for deep water, mainly bathyal, carbonate-poor environments with low terrigenous detrital input [36].

**Figure 5.** Main basinal lithostratigraphic units with acmes in the Outer Western Carpathians (on the basis of [4]).

### 4.2. Caudammina ovulum (Grzybowski) Acme

#### 4.2.1. Definition

The growth of C. ovulum (Figure 6) is up to 3% or more specimens in the assemblage. Abundant C. ovulum occurs within two types of assemblages. From the Campanian to lowermost Maastrichtian within low-diversified assemblages it co-occurs with C. gigantea. Moreover, it is present in higher-diversified Maastrichtian–Paleocene assemblages. In some Maastrichtian–Paleocene assemblages, it co-occurs with other relatively abundant Caudammina spp. such as Caudammina excelsa (Dylążanka) and/or Caudammina ovuloides (Grzybowski), as well as with an increased number, about a few percent, of Saccammina spp.
4.2.2. Occurrence and Age Assignment

Campanian (upper Senonian?)–Danian (Figures 3, 5 and S1), Magura, and Silesian domains.

4.2.3. Remarks

In the Outer Carpathians C. ovulum is known from the lower Cretaceous up to the lower Eocene [28], and is abundant from the Santonian to the lower Paleocene. In the Carpathian deposits, C. ovulum occurs only as single chambers, uniserial specimens are not known. The maximum proportion reaching 39% was observed in the Paleocene deposits of the Magura Nappe. The increase in C. ovulum is typical of the upper part of the Caudammina gigantea zone, it occurs irregularly within the Rzehakina inclusa zone, and it is common in the lower part of the Rzehakina fissistomata zone.

C. ovulum-rich assemblages from the Santonian of the Silesian Nappe were reported by [20]; its higher relative abundance with numerous C. gigantea was described by [21,25,26]. Abundant C. ovulum was reported in the lower Paleocene of the Skole and Magura nappes [40], from the Paleocene of the Subsilesian Nappe [18] and Silesian Nappe [28,41], and from the upper Senonian and Paleocene in the Magura Nappe [42–44]. The relative abundance of Caudammina was the basis for distinguishing the Caudammina association in the Danian of the Subsilesian Nappe [14]. In this type of assemblages the total quantity of Caudammina and H. velascoensis reached up to 45% of the assemblage. An increased number of C. ovulum was reported from the Paleocene of the Eastern Outer Carpathians [45,46],

Figure 6. Caudammina ovulum (Grzybowski). (A): Szydłowiec section; (B,D,I,K): Mutne section; (C,H): Rzyczki section; (E–G): Gorzeń section; (J,L): Zimny Dział section; (M): Gościbia section; (M–O): Jaworzynka section.
from the Boreal realm from the uppermost Cretaceous [38] and in the Paleocene of the Tasman Sea.

4.3. *Placentammina placenta* (Grzybowski)-*Saccammina grzybowskii* (Schubert) Acme

4.3.1. Definition

The relative abundance of *P. placenta* and/or *Saccammina grzybowskii* (Schubert) (Figures 7 and 8) ranges 10% or more in the foraminiferal assemblage. Frequent *Saccammina-Placentammina* spp. occurs in lower- or higher-diversified assemblages having different taxonomical structure. Usually the second most abundant co-occurring taxon is *H. excelsa*, in a quantity of a few to a dozen percent (occasionally more) or *A. pseudopauciloculata* in the quantity of a dozen percent. Characteristic of the acme is the occurrence of large-sized specimens of *P. placenta*, large-sized specimens of *Glomospira glomerata* (Grzybowski), *Glomospira* ex. gr. *irregularis* (Grzybowski), and particularly numerous *Trochamminoides-Paratrochamminoides* spp. in part of the assemblages.

4.3.2. Occurrence and Age Assignment

Upper Campanian–Danian (Figures 3, 5 and S1), commonly in the Magura and Silesian domains.

4.3.3. Remarks

Both species belong to widespread long-ranging cosmopolitan species ([1] and references therein). In the Outer Carpathians, they occur commonly in Cretaceous and Paleogene deposits, usually as a permanent accessory component. An abundance of *P. placenta* and/or *S. grzybowskii* is observed from the upper (uppermost?) Campanian to the lower Paleocene. The total domination of *P. placenta* with *S. grzybowskii* is rare. The standard amount of the acme varies between 20% and 35%. The maximum number, reaching over 60%, was observed in Upper Cretaceous deposits of the Foremagura Nappe.

*P. placenta* dominated assemblages were described from the Moravian Paleocene [47]; assemblages with abundance of *P. placenta* were mentioned in many papers referring to the Outer Carpathian Paleocene deposits [16,40–42,44,48–51], as well as the upper Cretaceous and Paleocene deposits, both in the Magura and Silesian domains as well [15,28,52,53]. High amounts of *P. placenta* were observed in the uppermost Paleocene of the Eastern Carpathians [46].

Next to the Campanian–Paleocene acme, an increased number of *P. placenta* with *S. grzybowskii* is also observed in Lower Eocene assemblages with very low taxonomical diversity. Such assemblages are not common.

The *P. placenta-S. grzybowskii* acme usually occurs in grey mudstones with increased TOC values (0.4–0.5% TOC) [44]. It developed in low energy environments with a significant delivery of organic matter. *S. grzybowskii* represents different morphotypes; the species is in need of revision.
Figure 7. *Placentammina placenta* (Grzybowski). (A): Zimny Dział section; (B,E,K): Mutne section; (C,D,J): Rzyczki section; (F): Krzesławice section; (G): Gorzeń section; (H,L,M): Jaworzynka section; (I): Poręby-Czarnotówki section; (N,O): Świątkowa section; (P): Gorlice section; (R): Melsztyn section.
4.4. Caudammina excelsa (Dylążanka) Acme

4.4.1. Definition

The relative abundance of C. excelsa (Figure 9) specimens in assemblages is at least 3% or more. In the lower part of the acme, it co-occurs with R. inclusa and Cretaceous planktonic foraminifera, whilst in the upper part with Rzehakina fissistomata (Grzybowski). In some assemblages with relatively abundant C. excelsa, an increased number, usually a dozen percent of P. placenta and S. grzybowskii can occur, and an increase in the abundance of Paratrochamminoides spp. or/and Recurvoides spp. is also observed.

4.4.2. Occurrence and Age Assignment

Lowermost Maastrichtian–Danian (lower Paleocene) (Figures 3, 5 and S1), Magura, and Silesian domains.

4.4.3. Remarks

C. excelsa is noted commonly from Campanian up to Paleocene in the Outer Carpathians, less often from the lower Eocene [1,40,52–54]. C. excelsa in the assemblages occurs usually as one- to four-chamber fragments. Relatively abundant C. excelsa is observed
from the lowermost Maastrichtian to the lower Paleocene. On average, a relative abundance of 15–30% is common. A maximum proportion of 63% was observed in assemblages from the Subsilesian Nappe. It is present in assemblages with a dozen or several dozen species, some assemblages are highly-diversified.

Numerous C. excelsa were commonly observed in the deposits of the Outer Carpathians. Relatively abundant C. excelsa in the Magura Nappe was described: in Upper Cretaceous deposits [21,22,25,44], in the Paleocene deposits by [27,44,49], and in Campanian–Maastrichtian and Maastrichtian–lower Paleocene deposits [22,23,43]. It was noticed in the Silesian Nappe [28,55–58] and in other Western Outer Carpathian areas [30,52], significant amounts were the basis for distinguishing the C. excelsa-R. inclusa beds [57]. In the Eastern Outer Carpathians, the relatively abundant occurrence of C. excelsa is known from the Paleocene [45,46], as well as from the uppermost Cretaceous of the Boreal realm [38].

Relatively abundant C. excelsa occurs in grey and green mudstones with an increased TOC values (about 0.4–0.6%). It is interpreted as related to high siliciclastic input and organic matter flux and low oxygen content ([44,52 and references therein]).

Figure 9. Caudammina excelsa (Dylążanka). (A–C,H,I,M): Ropica section; (D,F): Rzyczki section; (E,I): Mutne section; (G): Stradomka section; (J,K): Jaworzynka section.
4.5. *Caudammina ovuloides* (Grzybowski) Acme

4.5.1. Definition

The occurrence of relatively abundant *C. ovuloides* (Figure 10) specimens is up to 3% or more in foraminiferal assemblage. Co-occurring abundant *Recurvoides* spp. and large-sized *Paratrochamminoides* spp. are common.

![Figure 10. Caudammina ovuloides (Grzybowski). (A–E,G): Zimny Dział section; (F,H): Sucha Beskidzka section.](image)

4.5.2. Occurrence and Age Assegment

Danian–lower Selandian (Figures 3, 5 and S1), Silesian Domain.

4.5.3. Remarks

Usually the *C. ovuloides* amount is 3–10%, but a quantity of a dozen percent or more is occasionally observed. The maximum proportion, amounting to 39%, was observed in the Skole Nappe, but this is exceptional. Relatively abundant *C. ovuloides* is observed in highly-diversified assemblages with a dozen or several dozen species. It occurs usually as one-chamber fragments of a uniserial test.

*C. ovuloides* is not very often recognized as a separate species; Early workers [18] suggested that there is no argument for distinguishing this morphotype as a separate species. In the Subsilesian Nappe, deposits with relatively abundant *C. ovuloides* have been reported [14,59–61]. It occurs in the lower part of the Rzehakina fissistomata zone. An increased number of *C. ovulum* and *C. ovuloides* was reported from the Paleocene of the Eastern Outer Carpathians [45] as well as from the uppermost Cretaceous of the Boreal realm [38].

*C. ovuloides* is most frequent in different types of shales, but higher numbers were observed in the grey shales.
4.6. Hormosina velascoensis (Cushman) Acme

4.6.1. Definition

The rise in _H. velascoensis_ specimens (Figure 11) is at least up to 3% of specimens in the assemblage.

Relatively abundant _H. velascoensis_ occurs in highly-diversified assemblages and co-occurs with _Caudammina_ spp. and with abundant _Recurvoides_ spp. and large-sized _Paratrochamminoides_ spp.

![Figure 11. Hormosina velascoensis (Cushman). (A): Stradomka section; (B,E,F): Zimny Dzial section; (C): Gorzen section; (D,G,I): Szydlowiec section; (H): Ropica section; (I,J): Szymbark section.](image)

4.6.2. Occurrence and Age Assignment

Upper Danian–Selandian (Figures 3, 5 and S1), Magura, and Silesian domains.

4.6.3. Remarks

_H. velascoensis_ is a characteristic species, and is used in the biostratigraphy of the Outer Carpathians as an indicator for the Maastrichtian–lower Paleocene [34,58]. In the Carpathian deposits, _H. velascoensis_ tests tend to fragment and usually occur as one- to five-chamber segments. Unusually, its number amounts to 10–20% of specimens, but the highest relative abundance was observed in the Subsilesian Nappe and reached 32%.

Relatively abundant _H. velascoensis_ was described in Paleocene deposits of the Magura Nappe [19,40] and in the Paleocene of the Subsilesian Nappe [14,18,61,62]. A value
of 6.7% of *H. velascoensis* was mentioned from the Paleocene of the Eastern Carpathians [46], about a 10% share was reported from Bulgaria [63].

Relatively abundant *H. velascoensis* usually occurs in the grey and green muddy shales typical for environments with a supply of organic matter, in the red and variegated clay they are rare.

4.7. *Praesphaerammina gerochi* (Hanzlíková) Acme

4.7.1. Definition

The abundance of *Praesphaerammina gerochi* (Hanzlíková) (Figure 12) is a minimum of 5% of foraminiferal assemblage. *Bathysiphon* spp. and *Recurvoides* spp. are the main taxa co-occurring with *P. gerochi*.

![Figure 12. Praesphaerammina gerochi (Hanzlíková). (A,B,E): Kamesznica section; (C,D): Istebna section; (F,H): Błażowa section; (G): Nowica section.](image)

4.7.2. Occurrence and Age Assignment

Thanetian (Figures 3, 5 and S1), commonly in the Silesian Domain, rare in the Magura Domain.

4.7.3. Remarks

*P. gerochi* is known from the upper Cretaceous to the lower Eocene in the Carpathians [1,40,52,58,64,65]. A large variation in its quantity is observed. In low-diversified assemblages with several co-occurring species, its proportion is usually 25% or more, and it is often the dominant taxon. In higher-diversified assemblages its amount is lower. The maximum abundance was mentioned by [40], who described nearly monospecific assemblages from the upper Paleocene shales. Higher-diversified assemblages contain a lower proportion of *P. gerochi*, usually about 20–30%.
P. gerochi was described in the early 1970s [58] as Sphaerammina gerochi Hanzlíková; earlier it was counted together with Praesphaerammina subgaleata (Vašíček). In older literature, the differences between the Paleocene and Eocene specimens of P. subgaleata were underlined, for Paleocene forms the cf. designation or “depressa form” was used [18,28,66,67].

The Paleocene Sphaerammina gerochi zone was distinguished in the Silesian Nappe [58]. In the Paleocene “Sphaerammina subgaleata (Vašíček)”, abundant or almost monospecific P. gerochi assemblages were reported from the Silesian and Subsilesian nappes [12,28]. Low-diversity P. gerochi-Bathysiphon spp. assemblages were noted from the Subsilesian Nappe [18,68]. The authors of [34] reported an increase in P. subgaleata generally in the Outer Carpathian Paleocene. It also occurs in large numbers in western Spitzbergen lower Eocene deposits [1], and in the Paleocene of the Tasman Sea [69].

Numerous P. gerochi are present in grey and dark shales. The dominant or totally monospecific assemblages occur within black or dark grey shales with siderite concretions and abundant pyrite. P. gerochi preferred oxygen-poor environments rich in organic matter.

4.8. Glomospira charoides (Jones et Parker)-Glomospira gordialis (Jones et Parker) Acme

4.8.1. Definition

The proportion of Glomospira spp. is 20% or more in the assemblage. Bathysiphon spp., Recurvvoides spp., Paratrochamminoides spp. and Trochamminoides spp. are the main co-occurring taxa.

4.8.2. Occurrence and Age Assignment

Uppermost Thanetian–lower Ypresian (Figures 3, 5 and S1), common in the Silesian and Magura domains. Glomospira acme assemblages commonly contain abundant Recurvvoides spp. and Thalmannammina spp. in the amount of several dozen percent (as a rule, 20–40% or more), a dozen percent of Paratrochamminoides spp. and up to a dozen percent of A. pseudopauciloculata. In some assemblages an abundance of Karrerulina spp. is observed.

4.8.3. Remarks

The proportion of Glomospira spp. is usually 20–40% in an assemblage. The dominance of G. charoides with G. gordialis (Figure 13) is not so frequent and it occurs in low-diversity assemblages. The Glomospira spp. dominated assemblages were described as almost monotypic [2], and the highest amount (reaching 90% of Glomospira) was observed in the Silesian Nappe.

Abundant G. charoides and G. gordialis occur in low-diversified assemblages. The presence of dwarf foraminifera is typical for this assemblages, which is particularly visible among the Glomospira specimens. The individual share of G. charoides and G. gordialis in the acme is variable, usually G. charoides is the most abundant. In majority, the Glomospira acme assemblages are rich in specimens.

A lower Eocene age was assigned by [3] based on planktonic foraminifera (Zone P6b-P7 of [70]).

Glomospira acme assemblages are widely reported from the lower Eocene Outer Carpathian deposits of the Magura Nappe [12,16,17,19,21,23–26,40,53]), from the Subsilesian Nappe [18,61,71], from the Silesian Nappe [28,40,41], from the Dukla Nappe [30,52,72] and from the Skole Nappe [73,74]. It is used in the Outer Carpathian biostratigraphy as an acme zone of earliest Ypresian age [3]. The early Ypresian Glomospira acme is a worldwide phenomenon and it is connected with the Paleocene-Eocene Thermal Maximum and mass extinction of benthic foraminifera in the late Paleocene and early Eocene ([1,75–78] and references therein).
Abundant *Glomospira* spp. are identified within different types of uppermost Paleocene and lower Eocene mudstones in the Outer Carpathians. It seems that the largest proportion of *G. charoides* and *G. gordialis* is found in red shales, reflecting oxygenated conditions with a low supply of clastic material.
Figure 13. *Glomospira charoides* (Jones et Parker). (A): Czerwin section; (B,F): Krzeslawice section; (C): Stradomka section; (D): Poręby-Czarnotówki section; (E): Sucha Beskidzka section; (G): Mutne section; (H,J): Olecka section; (I): Gorlice section; (K,L): Zamczysko section. *Glomospira gordialis* (Jones et Parker); (N): Jezioro Rożnowskie section; (O): Lipie section; (P): Krzeszów section; (Q): Sucha Beskidzka section; (R): Rzyczki section; (T): Mutne section; (U): Zamczysko section; (W): Klimkówka section.

4.9. *Trochammina* spp. Acme

4.9.1. Definition

The number of *Trochammina* spp. amounts to a minimum of 20%. *Bathysiphon* spp., *Recurvoides* spp., *Paratrochamminoides* spp. and *Trochamminoides* spp. are the main co-occurring taxa. In higher-diversified assemblages a relative abundance of over a dozen percent of *G. charoides*, and a few percent of *Haplophragmoides walteri* (Grzybowski) occur.

4.9.2. Occurrence and Age Assignment

Upper Thanetian–lower Ypresian (Figures 3, 5 and S1), Silesian Domain.

4.9.3. Remarks

The acme consists of small-sized *Trochammina* spp. (Figure 14), that have not been unequivocally assigned to any species. However, it is a group with consistent morphological features referring to a single species, resembling *Trochammina globigeriniformis* (Parker et Jones). The number of *Trochammina* spp. usually amounts to 20–50% and it can be the dominant taxa. In the upper Paleocene deposits of the Silesian Nappe, its maximum share was 55% [78], while in the lower Eocene deposits it reached 90%.

The taxonomical diversity of *Trochammina* acme assemblages is low, e.g., usually over a dozen species. The age of the *Trochammina* acme was established with reference to rare planktonic and benthic foraminifera [78,79] and it requires further clarification. The assemblages with the *Trochammina* acme are abundant in specimens, their number is from a few up to 30 specimens per 1 g of mudstone sample. Locally in the lower Eocene *Trochammina* acme assemblages replaced the *Glomospira* acme assemblages.

The authors of [52] mentioned the *Recurvoides* spp., *Trochamminoides* spp., *Paratrochamminoides* spp., and small-sized *Trochammina* spp. dominated assemblages from the upper Paleocene of the Dukla Nappe. Significant growth of small-sized *Trochammina* spp. was observed within deposits of the Paleocene–Eocene Thermal Maximum anomaly in Spitzbergen [80].

Assemblages with abundant *Trochammina* spp. have features of post crisis assemblages. The low taxonomical diversity with high number of a single taxon and the occurrence of dwarf specimens including *Trochammina* spp. is characteristic. The *Trochammina* acme assemblages lived in a low energy environment with a continuous and relatively large supply of fine siliciclastic material and a reduced supply of organic matter [79]. They are found in grey muddy shales.
Figure 14. *Trochammina* sp. (A–C,E): Krzesławice section; (D,F–J,M,O): Janoska section; (K,L,N,P): Stradomka section.

4.10. *Reticulophragmium amplectens* (Grzybowski) Acme

4.10.1. Definition

The increase in *Reticulophragmium amplectens* (Grzybowski) (Figure 15) is up to 10% or more in assemblages. Other numerous taxa are *Recurvoides* spp. with the share of several dozen percent and *Paratrochamminoides* spp. in various amounts. In some assemblages *Reophax* spp. or *Karrerulina* spp. are also present in amounts of a few percent.
Figure 15. *Reticulophragmium amplectens* (Grzybowski). (A–C): Krzesławice section; (D–F,H): Leszczawa section; (G,L,M,O,P): Poreby-Czarnotówki section; (I–K): Sucha Beskidzka section; (N): Lipie section; (R,S): Gorlice section; (T): Zameczysko section; (U,W): Jezioro Rożnowskie section.
4.10.2. Occurrence and Age Assignment

Lutetian–lower Bartonian (Figures 3, 5 and S1), commonly in the Magura and Silesian domains.

4.10.3. Remarks

*Reticulophragmium amplectens* is the one of the most widely distributed and best known Eocene deep-water foraminifera. It is used in standard biostratigraphical zonations of the Outer Carpathians [2,3]. It occurs from the lower Eocene (e.g., [1,34,40,53,55] and references therein), with an acme in the middle Eocene. Commonly the proportion of *R. amplectens* is between 12 and 20%. A maximum amount of 63% was observed in the Silesian Nappe, but the dominance of *R. amplectens* is rare.

The growth of number of *R. amplectens* specimens is observed in high-diversity assemblages. Usually, these assemblages contain plenty of foraminiferal specimens (1000 or more per 500 g sample). The lower part of the acme coincides with the *Reticulophragmium amplectens* zone [3] and is correlated with the planktonic foraminiferal scheme. In this interval, the share of *R. amplectens* is the greatest. The acme occurs also in the lower part of the Ammodiscus latus zone [3], but afterwards the number of *R. amplectens* is lower.

Abundant *R. amplectens* in the middle Eocene is reported from the Outer Carpathians. It was observed in the Magura Nappe [16,17,19,21,26,43,53,81], in the Silesian Nappe [12,41,54,82–84], in the Dukla Nappe [32], in the Subsilesian Nappe [18], and in the Skole Nappe [30,76]. The *R. amplectens* acme is known from the Eastern Carpathians (e.g., [85–88], and from the deep-water Atlantic and North Sea [89,90].

The *R. amplectens* assemblages occur in different types of middle Eocene shales, the most numerous occur in red shales. It was interpreted as preferring well-oxygenated environments with a low supply of clastic material [91].

4.11. *Reophax duplex* (Grzybowski)-*Reophax “pilulifer”* Brady Acme

4.11.1. Definition

Increased amounts of *Reophax* spp. specimens, particularly *Reophax duplex* (Grzybowski) and *Reophax “pilulifer”* Brady (Figures 16 and 17) are up to 5% or more in assemblages. A relatively abundant *H. walteri* together with *Haplophragmoides nauticus* Kender, Kaminski et Jones co-occurs in the range of a few or less often up to a dozen percent. In the lower part of the acme, a similar quantity of *R. amplectens* is observed. *Paratrochamminoides* spp. are the most numerous, generally dominating the assemblage.

4.11.2. Occurrence and Age Assignment

Lutetian–Priabonian (Figures 3, 5 and S1), Magura, and Silesian domains.

4.11.3. Remarks

The *R. duplex* and *R. “pilulifer”* group of taxa occur commonly in the Cretaceous and Paleogene Outer Carpathian deposits, usually as an accessory component. *Reophax* occurs frequently in the middle Eocene, in high diversity assemblages, usually with over 25 species. It was treated as the *R. duplex* and *R. “pilulifer”* group. In the Carpathian deposits, a greater taxonomic diversity of *Reophax* is observed, but mainly two species are mentioned, e.g., common *Reophax globosus* Sliter is rarely mentioned. The *Reophax* tests tended to fragment and are usually preserved as one- to four-chamber specimens. The observed increase of *Reophax* spp. from 7 to 20% in the assemblages is common, rarely is it up to 30%.

An increase in the abundance of *Reophax* is observed in a wide interval covering the *Reticulophragmium amplectens*, Ammodiscus latus and *Reticulophragmium rotundidoraum (=R. gerochi) zones. From Carpathian turbidites, abundant *Reophax pilulifer* Brady is denoted commonly, following the identification by [92]. However, the species *R.*
*pilulifer* described by Brady and *R. “pilulifer”* from the Carpathians show different morphological features and are in need of revision [93].

The increase in the number of *Reophax* was observed in the middle Eocene in both the Magura and Silesian domains [16,19,21,23,29,34,40,41,43,53,66,76,91]. *R. “pilulifer”*, *R. duplex*, *R. globosus*, *Reophax guttifer* Brady, *Reophax splendidus* Grzybowski, and *Reophax subnodulosus* (Grzybowski) were mentioned within the *Reophax* group. In the Eastern Carpathians, abundances of *R. pilulifer* Brady were indicated from the upper part of the middle Eocene [85]. The authors of [40], next to Eocene abundance of *Reophax*, also mentioned an increase in the Paleocene of the Skole Nappe.

![Figure 16. Reophax pilulifer type group. Reophax “pilulifer” Brady. (A,B): Krzesławice section; (C): Sucha Beskidzka section; (D): Gorlice section; (E): Ryczki section. Reophax globosus Sliter; (F,H,I): Poręby-Czarnotówki section; (G,K): Stradomka section; (J,L): Mutne section; (L): Gorzeń section.](image-url)
4.12. Haplophragmoides walteri (Grzybowski)-Haplophragmoides nauticus Kender, Kaminski et Jones Acme

4.12.1. Definition

Relative abundance of *H. walteri-H. nauticus* (Figures 18 and 19) is from 5% or more in foraminiferal assemblages. Numerous *H. walteri* or/and *H. nauticus* are present in two types of assemblages. In high-diversity assemblages with several dozen species, in which they usually co-occur with large-sized different species of *Paratrochamminoides*, and in low-diversified, with a few or a dozen species. Low-diversified assemblage is characterized by abundant *P. subgaleata* and the presence of *Haplophragmoides parvulus* Blaicher. Both *H. walteri* and *H. nauticus* are represented by dwarf specimens.

Figure 17. *Reophax duplex* (Grzybowski). (A): Poręby-Czarnotówki section; (B,D,G–I): Krzesławice section; (C,F): Lipie section, (E): Sucha Beskidzka section; (K): Leszczawa section; (L,J): Ropica section.
Figure 18. *Haplophragmoides walteri* (Grzybowski). (A,C,D,J,L,P): Krzesławice section; (B,H): Jezioro Rożnowskie section; (E,F,L): Stradomka section; (G,K): Leszczawa section; (I): Sucha Beskidzka section; (M,N): Gorlice section; (O): Zamczysko section.
4.12.2. Occurrence and Age Assignment

Lutetian–Bartonian (Figures 3, 5 and S1), Silesian and Magura domains.

4.12.3. Remarks

The most common assemblages contain from 3% to 15% of H. walteri-H. nauticus. The maximum percentage (42%) was observed in the Magura Nappe.

A high relative abundance of H. walteri is known from the Eocene of the Magura Nappe [7,16,17,19,21–23,26,42,53,91], from the Skole Nappe [76] and from the Silesian Nappe [28,40,82]. In the Eastern Carpathians, an increased share was described from dark Eocene deposits [94]. H. nauticus occurs in the Outer Carpathians from the upper Paleocene, through the Eocene [95]. H. nauticus was described as a separate form in 2007 [96] and it was next reported from the Outer Carpathians [14,53,79,91,97–100]. Earlier, the species was lumped together with the H. walteri form. Features typical of H. nauticus have been documented in descriptions or photo-documentations under the name H. walteri [101,102]. In the Paleocene Rzehakina fissistomata zone, assemblages with an increased number of H. walteri occur ephemeral.

The relative abundance of H. walteri or/and H. nauticus occurs in different types of shales, their number significantly increases in the grey and dark grey shales. Both species preferred environments with significant delivery of organic matter, and were tolerant of oxygen-poor environments.
4.13. Spiroplectammina spectabilis (Grzybowski) Acme

4.13.1. Definition

Relative abundances of *S. spectabilis* (Figure 20) is from 5% or more in foraminiferal assemblages. Assemblages have different taxonomic composition, usually *Recurvoides* spp. with *Recurvoidella* spp. and *Paratrochamminoides* spp. are abundant. Other relatively abundant taxa like *H. nauticus*, *H. walteri*, *Karrerulina coniformis* (Grzybowski), and *R. ampl ectens* generally do not exceed a dozen percent and occur randomly in different configurations. *R. "pilulifer"*, and *R. duplex* constitute subordinate component. Typical of the *S. spectabilis* acme assemblages is the co-occurrence of an increased number, up to a few percent of large-sized *G. glomerata*.

![Image of Spiroplectammina spectabilis](image)

**Figure 20.** *Spiroplectammina spectabilis* (Grzybowski). (A–J,O): Jeleśnia section; (B–D): Poręby-Czarnotówka section; (E–I,N): Krzeszów section; (K,L): Jezioro Rożnowskie section; (M): Stradomka section; (P,R): Olecka section; (S): Gorlice section.

4.13.2. Occurrence and Age Assignment

Lutetian–Priabonian (Figures 3, 5 and S1), Silesian Domain, rare in Magura Domain.
4.13.3. Remarks

*S. spectabilis* belongs to the most characteristic species among deep-water Carpathian foraminifera. In the Outer Carpathians, it occurs from the Maastrichtian up to the upper Eocene [1,34,65,93,101]. Usually it occurs in highly-diversified assemblages, with over 20 species. The share of *S. spectabilis* predominantly is from 5 to 15% in the assemblage, a maximum amount reaching 30% was observed in the Silesian Nappe deposits.

Relatively abundant *S. spectabilis* are observed in the Silesian, Skole, and Subsilesian nappes in the Reticulophragmium amplectens zone and in the lower part of the *Ammodiscus latus* zone. It occurs in the Eocene in the Silesian Nappe [28,40,82,89], and in the Skole Nappe [40,76]. A Spiroplectammina spectabilis zone marked by the dominance of *S. spectabilis* was distinguished in the upper Eocene of the Eastern Carpathians [86,103]. Mass occurrences were reported from the Paleocene in the boreal North Atlantic [89], and Trinidad [36].

An increased number in the Outer Carpathians, usually up to a few percent of *S. spectabilis*, is observed also in the upper Paleocene. *H. velascoensis* and different Caudammina spp. in amounts of up to 20% in low-diversity assemblages, or up to few percent in highly-diversified assemblages and in some assemblages with relatively abundant, usually a few percent, of *Haplophragmoides*. Abundant Paratrochamminoides spp. co-occurs, ranging from 25 to 75%. In some assemblages abundant Thalmannammina subturbinata (Grzybowski) and Recurvoides spp. is present, as well as *P. subgaleata*.

4.14. “Ammodiscus” latus Grzybowski Acme

4.14.1. Definition

The relative abundance of “Ammodiscus” *latus* Grzybowski (Figure 21) ranges from 4% in assemblages. “A.” *latus* usually co-occurs with a relatively abundant *Reophax* spp. in amounts of up to 20% in low-diversity assemblages, or up to few percent in highly-diversified assemblages and in some assemblages with relatively abundant, usually a few percent, of *Haplophragmoides*. Abundant *Paratrochamminoides* spp. co-occurs, ranging from 25 to 75%. In some assemblages abundant *Thalmannammina subturbinata* (Grzybowski) and *Recurvoides* spp. is present, as well as *P. subgaleata*.

4.14.2. Occurrence and Age Assignment

Lutetian–Priabonian (Figures 3, 5 and S1), common in the Silesian Domain. In the Magura Domain the species is less frequent.

4.14.3. Remarks

“*A. latus*” is an important stratigraphic marker widely used for dating deposits in the Outer Carpathians. The Ammodiscus latus zone has been applied in numerous papers using zonation according [2,3]. In low-diversified assemblages with several co-occurring species, the proportion amounts over a dozen or more to a few percent; in highly-diversified assemblages its proportion comes to a few percent. Usually its abundance is 5–20%. A maximum proportion was observed in assemblages from the Silesian and Skole nappes where it reaches over 35% of the foraminiferal assemblage.

A high relative abundance occurs in the upper part of the Ammodiscus latus and within the Reticulophragmium rotundidorstaum (=R. gerochi) zones. Forma *latus*, and forma *latus ovoidalis sensu* [107] occur together. A taxonomical study on “*A. latus*” indicates the need for the emendation of the *Ammodiscus* genera or the description of a new genus for *A. latus* [107].
Abundant “A.” latus are known from the middle–upper Eocene [40,108]. The species was reported from the Silesian Nappe [41,54,82], from the Skole Nappe [30,76], from the Dukla Nappe [72] and from the Magura Nappe [19,26,109].

Assemblages with abundant “A.” latus occur in different types of shales, the most numerous come from the muddy, grey deposits.

Figure 21. „Ammodiscus” latus (Grzybowski). (A–C,E,F): Krzesławice section; (D,H,J): Poręby-Czarnotówki section; (G): Leszczawa section; (J–L): Lipie section.

4.15. Praesphaerammina subgaleata (Vašíček) Acme

4.15.1. Definition

The share of P. subgaleata (Figure 22) is 20% and more in foraminiferal assemblages. P. subgaleata co-occurs with Chilostomella (usually piritized and mainly Chilostomella chilostomelloides Vašíček), H. parvulus, and H. walteri as well as other cosmopolitan and long-ranging foraminiferal species of the genera Nothia spp., Recurvoides spp. and Paratrochamminoides spp. The accompanying species are dwarfed, except the Chilostomella spp. and tubular forms.
4.15.2. Occurrence and Age Assignment

Lutetian–Bartonian (Figures 3, 5 and S1), Magura, and Silesian domains.

4.15.3. Remarks

P. subgaleata occurs in the Outer Carpathians from the middle Eocene [1,10,65,99]. It belongs to the large-sized agglutinated foraminifera among the benthic foraminifera found in deep-water turbidites. Its stratigraphic position was constrained based on agglutinated foraminiferal zonations, and correlated with nannoplankton and planktonic foraminiferal zones [97,110]. The quantity of P. subgaleata oscillates usually from 60% up to 90% in the Magura Domain. Completely monospecific assemblages are rare. The assemblages are low-diversified, with a few or just over a dozen species. In the Silesian Domain, the number of P. subgaleata is lower. P. subgaleata constitutes several dozen percent of assemblage and occurs within the higher-diversified assemblages. The increase in taxonomic diversity is clearly connected with the decrease in the amount of P. subgaleata and dwarfed foraminifera.

The abundance of P. subgaleata has been used repeatedly in Outer Carpathian biostratigraphy. The P. subgaleata assemblages in the middle-upper Magura Eocene deposits are common [12,16,17,21,22,26,34,42,43,53,99,110–117]. Abundant P. subgaleata are known
from the Subsilesian Nappe deposits also [12,28,40]. Nearly monospecific assemblages were also reported from the Eastern Carpathians [118].

In the older literature, abundance of *P. subgaleata* were also noted in the Paleocene [12,18,34,40,71]. However, up to the 1970s, the species *P. gerochi* and *P. subgaleata* were lumped together as a single taxon. Therefore, the Paleocene acme is due to the species *P. gerochi*.

*P. subgaleata* occurred in environments with oxygen deficiency with an increased amount of organic matter [7,22,112]. It is found in grey shales, among the species withstanding low oxygenation and with a large amount of pyrite in sediments.

5. Discussion

The increase in the abundance of a given taxon is an ecological phenomenon. Acmes mentioned above represent two groups. The first group consists of common and cosmopolitan long-ranging species whose relative abundance increased temporary because of regional/global environmental changes. The changes introduced favourable conditions for their development. Therefore, the opportunistic forms like *G. charoides*, *G. gordialis*, *P. placenta*, *S. grzybowskii*, *R. duplex*, *R. “pilulifer”*, and *H. walteri* belong to this group (Figure 3). They are known from the fossil record from more than 150 Ma up to about 60 Ma, while their acmes lasted from about 4 to 12 Ma (Figure 3). It is a short time in relation to their total range. The second group embraces short-ranging species, and their abundances are related to the main phase of species development—its maximum spread (Figure 1 in [119]) under favourable ecological conditions. The species *C. gigantea*, *C. excelsa*, *C. ovulum*, *C. ovuloides*, *H. velascoensis*, *P. gerochi*, *R. amplectens*, *H. nauticus*, “A.” *latus*, and *P. subgaleata* belong to this group. Maximum spread begins with a rapid increase in quantity and ends with a rapid decrease. The acme covered a significant interval of the species range and lasted from 22 Ma in case of longer-ranging species (e.g., *C. ovulum*) up to 5 Ma in the case of shorter-ranging species (e.g., *P. subgaleata*). The prevailing ecological conditions determined the structure of benthic foraminiferal assemblage. Favourable conditions for species acmes are connected with the presence of a factor or group of factors in the environment that causes the optimal development of the species. This is characteristic for well-diversified assemblages. As a rule, along with the growth in taxonomic diversity, the number of species in an acme decreases. Ecological limits to the development of other co-occurring species also made opportunities for settlement of the available ecological niches by opportunistic taxa. The dominance of one or more species in an acme and nearly monospecific assemblages like *Trochammina* sp., *G. charoides-G. gordialis*, *P. subgaleata*, or *P. gerochi* are results of ecological crises and environmental restrictions. This type is short-ranging acme that typically lasted only a few million years.

The presented data do not cover all the relevant species of an acme. There are still a few that require further investigation. These include *A. pseudopauciloculata* numerous in the middle Eocene and also in the late Paleocene, the *Paratrochamminoides* group, in particular, the numerous occurrence of large-sized forms in the uppermost lower Paleocene and upper Paleocene, as well as *Karrerulina* spp., *Thalmannammina subturbinalata* (Grzybowski), and *Recurvoidella lamella* (Grzybowski) that number increased in the Eocene.

Observation of the sequence of occurrences and registration of species diversity not only in terms of the appearance or disappearance of taxa, but also in terms of changes in their number can have biostratigraphical significance. In the Carpathian biozonations based on agglutinated foraminifera, acmes have had a limited importance. Zones are based on species ranges and the most important is the first appearance of a taxon. In the Santonian–Eocene, four acme zones were proposed [3] (Figure 3): Caudammina gigantea, *Rzehakina inclusa*, *Glomospira* div. sp., and *Saccamminoides carpathicus* zones. In practice, only the *Glomospira* div. sp. zone is commonly used. The definition of these zones does not explain what is exactly considered acme. An open descriptive definition has been used and acme is defined as “interval of numerous occurrence, frequent occurrence or abundant occurrence of the index species”, which in practice for *R. inclusa* or *Saccamminoides carpathicus*
Geroch means more than a few specimens per sample, but it is still a marginal amount, well below 1% in the assemblage. Earlier, there were indicated intervals with an increased amount of over a dozen species, used as index forms in the proposed biostratigraphy [34]. *C. gigantea*, *G. charoides*, *G. gordialis*, *R. amplectens*, *R. pilulifer*, and *P. subgaleata* were among them. The number of species useful in biostratigraphy can be increased by including *P. placenta*, *S. grzybowskii*, *R. duplex*, *H. walteri*, *S. spectabilis*, *C. excelsa*, *C. ovulum*, *C. ovuloides*, *H. velascoensis*, *P. gerochi*, *H. nauticus*, and “A.” *latus* (Figure 3).

Problems in the biostratigraphy of the Outer Carpathians are connected with low taxonomical variability of the foraminiferal assemblages, which contain cosmopolitan and mostly long-ranging agglutinated taxa. With the exception of the *Glomospira* acme zone, agglutinated foraminiferal biozonations in the Outer Carpathians are based on occurrence of the relatively short-ranging species. Main index species like *R. inclusa*, *R. fissistomata*, *S. carpathicus*, and *R. gerochi* are accessory components of assemblages, present in assemblages in very low numbers, usually as single specimens. Limitations of research processes related to the sample volume result in the lack of diagnostic biomarkers, despite their presence in the sediment. Such situations are commonly noted, therefore in continuous sections the index species are present only in some samples in the continuous sequence within the distinguished foraminiferal zone. The recognition of acmes is an alternative that can be used in biostratigraphy separately or as supplement together with classic zonation. Acmes has the following features as biostratigraphical tools: (1) they are common, (2) they are widely distributed in the Outer Carpathian units, (3) they occur in limited stratigraphic interval, and (4) they are easily identified. Especially commonness of occurrence is a feature of great importance, which favour the use in biostratigraphy. The possibility to increase the accuracy of biostratigraphic dating by using acmes is a challenging problem. Compiling the currently used biostratigraphic zonations based on the studies of [2,3] with periods of increased occurrence of species can provide additional detail to the biostratigraphic subzonations. In Campanian–Paleocene time, significant changes in the agglutinated assemblages took place. Both the taxonomic composition and the assemblage structure were changing. The acme boundaries of *Caudammina*, *Hormosina*, and *Placentammina* with *Saccammina* occurred within the Rzehakina inclusa and Rzehakina fissistomata zones. The application of both first occurrences and acmes in biostratigraphy gives a chance to improve the zonal scheme by subdividing the biozones into subzones based on an acme. It will be important to precisely determine the periods of acmes of short-ranging species, as it is suitably younger than the first occurrence of the species.

The use of acme also has limitations. Ames are related to environmental changes and both opportunistic species and long-ranging ones occur in acmes. The presented acmes are the result of regional changes that occurred in the different Carpathian units. However, it should be taken into account that in other time periods the local, rapid, and very short-ranged environmental change can result in ephemeral grow of individual amounts of species in assemblages.

Two domains occurred in the Outer Carpathian basins during the Cretaceous and Paleogene. They were separated by the Silesian Ridge and constituted individual areas with individual sedimentary history and sedimentary cover (Figures 1 and 5). The majority of the discussed acmes occur in both domains and have regional range (Figure 5). All acmes are observed in the Silesian Domain. In the Magura Domain only 13 acmes were observed. Currently, *C. ovuloides* and *Trochammina* acmes were found only in the Silesian Domain. Differences between the acme development are connected with specific ecological and sedimentological conditions in each domain. Differences are connected with the share of individual acme species and frequency of acme assemblages. In the Magura Domain, the species *P. subgaleata*, *Glomospira*, and *C. excelsa* are more numerous in acme assemblages, in turn, *P. gerochi*, *S. spectabilis*, and *A. latus* are more abundant and common in the acmes in the Silesian Domain. In the Silesian Nappe, there is an acme gap within the Istebna Formation. This formation is predominated by thick- and very thick-bedded sandy and conglomeratic turbidites and its micropaleontological record is very poor. The
acme gap is due to the lack of conditions for proper foraminiferal development or fossil preservation. Data from the Dukla Nappe do not show the full spectrum of acmes. The sampling of this unit was not systematic against the Cretaceous and Paleogene deposits and does not give a proper picture of the foraminiferal record. Published micropaleontological data [30,32,55,76] indicate increased numbers of taxa in time intervals, i.e., Glo-
mospira, Trochammina, R. amplectens, and A. latus which refers to acmes in other structural units.

6. Conclusions

In the Outer Carpathians, the intervals of the agglutinated foraminiferal acmes are a support or an alternative for standard biozones currently in use. Within the Campanian–Eocene interval, 15 acmes are distinguished: Caudammina gigantea (Geroch) (uppermost Santonian–lowermost Maastrichtian), Caudammina ovulum (Grzybowski) (Campanian–Danian), Placentammina placenta (Grzybowski)-Saccammina grzybowskii (Schubert) (upper Campanian–Danian), Caudammina excelsa (Dylążanka) (lowermost Maastrichtian–Danian), Caudammina ovuloides (Grzybowski) (Danian–lower Selandian), Hormosina velas-
coensis (Cushman) (upper Danian–Selandian), Praesphaerammina gerochi (Hanžlíková) (Thanetian), Glomospira charoides (Jones et Parker)-Glomospira gordialis (Jones et Parker) (uppermost Thanetian–lower Ypresian), Trochammina sp. (upper Danian–lower Ypresian), Reticulophragmium amplectens (Grzybowski) (Lutetian–Bartonian), Reophax du-
plex (Grzybowski)-Reophax “pilulifer” Brady (Lutetian–Pliocene), Haplophragmoides walteri (Grzybowski)-Haplophragmoides nautilus Kender, Kaminski et Jones (Lutetian–Bartanian), Spiroplectammina spectabilis (Grzybowski) (Lutetian–lower Priabonian), “Am-
modiscus” latus Grzybowski (Bartonian–Priabonian), and Praesphaerammina subgaleata (Vašíček) (upper Lutetian–Priabonian).

The agglutinated foraminiferal acmes are related to the environmental conditions. In detail, the presence of suitable conditions for the development of the accessory species resulted in their increased abundance in the amount of at least a few percent or more. The Carpathian acmes intervals lasted from 4 to 22 Ma. The shortest-ranging acmes, lasting several Ma, were associated to post-crisis assemblages where opportunistic taxa settled the free niches. The nearly monospecific assemblages or assemblages with a single domi-
nant taxon are typical of such intervals. Less numerous are longer-ranging acmes. They were connected with introducing favourable conditions for development of selected taxa or groups of taxa. Acme species occurred within more taxonomically diversified assem-
lages in amounts from a few percent. The Carpathian acmes are characterised by the occurrence of the cosmopolitan deep water species, both long-ranged (lived 60 Ma or more) and relatively short-ranged species.

The described agglutinated foraminiferal acme intervals are easy to identify and pro-
vide a biostratigraphical tool at regional scale for the Outer Carpathian sedimentary se-
quences. The Silesian and Magura domains display repeatability in the sequence of acmes. A more complete acme sequence with 15 acmes is found in the Silesian Domain. In the Magura Domain, 13 acmes were observed. The Caudammina ovuloides and Trochammina sp. acmes have been found only in the Silesian Domain.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/geosciences11090367/s1, Figure S1. Percent share and litho- and biostratigraphic distribution of species occurring in acmes in the Outer Carpathian foraminiferal assemblages.
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