Use of GIS in soil fertility mapping of Rapti Municipality, Chitwan, Nepal
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Abstract
Mapping the spatial distribution of soil fertility in a particular area gives an idea about the nutrient content in the soil which plays an important role in fertilizer recommendation, sustainable soil management, integrated plant nutrient management, land use planning, and site-specific nutrient management (SSNM). A study was carried out to assess the soil fertility status of the Rapti Municipality, Chitwan, Nepal. A total of 120 soil samples was collected based on land use, slope, and aspects with the use of Google Earth Pro (GEP) and ArcGIS. Based on the soil test report spatial variation of soil texture, soil pH, total nitrogen, available phosphorous, and potassium of the study area was prepared. The majority of the study area (57.11%) has sandy clay soils. The soil pH was very strongly acidic to slightly alkaline with pH values ranging from 4.8 to 8.0. Soil organic matter (1.94-3.75%), total nitrogen (0.097-0.187%), available phosphorous (51.03-270.10 kg ha⁻¹), and available potassium (169.87-358.68 kg ha⁻¹) in the soil are within the range of medium to high in the study area. To maintain this nutrient status, the use of organic manure, reduced use of chemical fertilizers, and different soil management practices should be adopted in this area.

Introduction
Soil fertility is one of the most important factors for soil productivity. However, soil erosion, landslides, flood, and other different soil degradation factors cause a serious problem in rapid nutrient depletion and pose a great challenge in soil fertility management. Therefore, soil fertility evaluation and its spatial distribution play an important decision-making role in planning a particular land-use system (Havlin et al., 2010). Nepal is an agricultural country with about 66% of the population engaged in agriculture (MoAD, 2016). About 2 billion people in the world suffer from moderate to severe food insecurity (FAO et al., 2019). In the context of Nepal, about 52% of the households are suffering from food insecurity (Nepal Demographic and Health Survey 2016). IFPRI (2017) reported that about two million people are undernourished in Nepal. To achieve self-reliance in crop production, soil fertility plays a crucial role.
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Soil testing and its analysis of physical, chemical and biological properties is a prerequisite for sustainable soil management (Panda, 2010). Soil tests provide the data on nutrient content in a particular soil which can be the basis for the recommendation of fertilizers for increased crop production. Mapping the status and the spatial distribution of soil fertility plays an important role in the sustainable land use planning process (Khadka et al., 2018). The use of new technologies like GIS and GPS makes it easy in describing the spatial variability of soil fertility for a larger area. For collection, storage, retrieving, transformation and display of data, geographic information systems (GIS) is a powerful software tool (Burrough et al., 2015). Several studies have been conducted to characterize the spatial variability of different soil properties using GIS software tools (Hung et al., 2007; Liu et al., 2013; Khadka, 2018; Rawal, 2018). Soil fertility mapping with the use of GIS and remote sensing (RS) data helps to evaluate the nutrients status in the soil concerning the land use/cover and different physiographic units (Binita, Dasog and Patil, 2010). Soil fertility mapping and its spatial distribution is also an important requirement for site-specific nutrient management and farming practices (Patil et al., 2016). For the proper utilization of the agricultural land, crop production opportunities and constraints, land suitability and proper land use planning soil fertility mapping are essential (Rawal et al., 2018). In this study, we attempt to prepare the spatial distribution of soil fertility maps with the use of laboratory analysis soil test data.

Materials and Methods

Study area

The study was conducted at the Rapti Municipality of Eastern Chitwan covering 212.46 km² areas. The Rapti municipality is situated at the latitude of 27.64152 °N and longitude 84.61825 °E. The total area of the Chure range in Chitwan district is 1888.58 km², i.e. 85.14% of the total area. Elevation within the research boundary varies from 209 to 1923 meters which increases from South to North. Rapti Municipality of the Eastern Chitwan valley is located in the Central Region of Nepal where an ideal and conducive environment can be found for agriculture and livestock production. The research area covers the protected community forest, barren and agricultural land and larger settlement areas. The climate of the Rapti Municipality area is characterized by a warm and sub-tropical condition. The temperature rises to 40 °C from March to June. The average temperature of 25 °C is experienced from October to February. The annual average precipitation of the study area is 1955 mm (Department of Hydrology and Meteorology). Most of the population of the study area is engaged in agriculture. As soil fertility status directly influences the agricultural phenomenon, its estimation, assessment and mapping are important for the sustainability of the Agroecosystem. The major cropping patterns followed in the Rapti Municipality are rice-mustard-rice, rice-lentil-rice, rice-wheat-rice, rice-maize-rice, maize-fallow-maize, rice-fallow-maize and maize-fallow-buckwheat.

Figure 1. Location map of Rapti Municipality, Chitwan, Nepal
Soil survey methods
A total of 120 soil samples from the top 0-20 cm were taken from 13 different wards of the Rapti Municipality. Soil samples were taken systematically using the Google Earth Pro (GEP) and ArcGIS software base on the land cover/land use, slope and aspects. To locate the soil sampling pits, a GPS device was used. Primary nutrients present in soil (NPK), soil pH and soil texture were analyzed in the soil testing laboratory. The rating classes of soil parameters and pH is given in Table 1 and 2 respectively. The location of soil sampling points in the Rapti Municipality is shown in Figure 2. Digital elevation model (DEM) from the USGS Earth Explorer published on 23rd September 2014 was downloaded to determine the elevation, slope and aspect of any point inside the study area by using Arc GIS software. The elevation ranges from 209 to 1923 meters as shown in Figure 3.

![Figure 2. Soil sampling points inside the Rapti Municipality, Chitwan, Nepal](image)

![Figure 3. Digital elevation model of Rapti Municipality, Chitwan, Nepal](image)

| SN | Soil parameters | Unit | Very low | Low  | Medium | High | Very high |
|----|-----------------|------|----------|------|--------|------|-----------|
| 1  | Organic matter | %    | <0.75    | 0.75-1.5 | 1.5-3.0 | 3.0-5.0 | >5        |
| 2  | Total N        | %    | <0.03    | 0.03-0.07 | 0.07-0.15 | 0.15-0.25 | >0.25    |
| 3  | Available P₂O₅| kg ha⁻¹ | <11  | 11-28  | 28-56  | 56-112 | >112     |
| 4  | Available K₂O | kg ha⁻¹ | <55  | 55-110 | 110-280 | 280-500 | >500     |
Table 2. Rating of soil according to pH adopted by soil testing laboratory, Nepal

| Soil reaction (pH)       | pH range |
|--------------------------|----------|
| Extremely acidic         | <4.5     |
| Very strongly acidic     | 4.5-5.0  |
| Strongly acidic          | 5.0-5.5  |
| Moderately acidic        | 5.5-6.0  |
| Slightly acidic          | 6.0-6.5  |
| Nearly neutral           | 6.5-7.5  |
| Slightly alkaline        | 7.5-8.0  |
| Moderately alkaline      | 8.0-8.5  |
| Strongly alkaline        | 8.5-9.5  |
| Very strongly alkaline   | 9.5-10   |
| Extremely alkaline       | >10.0    |

**Data sources**

Data sources used in this research included the Digital Elevation Model (DEM) and soil test data. The DEM was used to generate the slope, aspect and hill shade required for sampling soils. By using the DEM, the elevation of the study area was calculated. Analysis of the soil samples was carried out in the laboratory to determine the soil pH, texture, total nitrogen, available phosphorous and available potassium to prepare the soil fertility maps.

**Statistical analysis and soil fertility mapping**

Soil parameter data with the sample points coordinates i.e. latitude and longitude was entered in a spreadsheet. This data was added to ArcGIS version 10.3 software for necessary processing. Ordinary kriging interpolation tool was used to prepare the soil fertility maps and Thiessen polygon was used to prepare the soil pH and textural class maps of the study area. Ordinary kriging tool has minimum estimation variance (Kumke et al., 2005). Interpolation is one of the important geostatistical tools which helps to estimate the values of unsampled points so as to prepare continuous dataset to study the spatial distribution (Gunarathna et al., 2016). Thiessen polygon delineate the polygon on the basis of space occupied by each sample points such that any location in the polygon is closer to the original sample point than to any other sampling points (Andujar et al., 2013; Bermingham et al., 2014). Thiessen polygon is an important tool in mapping categorical point information.

**Results and Discussion**

Soils were analyzed for mechanical composition, pH, organic matter, total nitrogen, available phosphorus and potassium. (Figures 4-9 and Table 3-6).

**Soil texture**

Soil texture is a permanent attribute of soils. Crop production, land use and land management are greatly affected by soil texture and also it has a direct role in water infiltration, drainage and nutrient retention (Brady & Weil, 2008). From the laboratory soil test, seven different classes of soil texture were identified in the study area. The largest area (57.11%) was sandy loam and only 2.13% area was with silt loam which is shown in Figure 4 and Table 3. Sandy loam, loam, sandy clay loam site is good for the cultivation of different kinds of crops however special care should be taken for soil conservation and water management in the slopy areas.
Table 3. Area occupying different soil textural class in the study area

| Textural class        | Area (ha) (percentage) |
|-----------------------|------------------------|
| Sandy loam            | 12134.22 (57.11)       |
| Silt loam             | 452.84 (2.13)          |
| Loam                  | 767.63 (3.61)          |
| Sand                  | 1005.60 (4.73)         |
| Sandy clay loam       | 831.74 (3.91)          |
| Loamy sand            | 5505.68 (25.91)        |
| Clay loam             | 548.72 (2.58)          |
| **Total area**        | **21246.44**           |

Soil pH

Soil pH refers to the acidity and alkalinity of the soil influenced by the presence of different acid and base-forming cations. Soil pH has an important role in nutrient availability, solubility and plant growth (Brady and Weil, 2008). At higher pH, nutrient such as Fe, Cu and Zn, etc are not easily available to the plants and at lower pH, plant nutrient such as Ca, Mg, Mo and P remains unavailable (Wang et al., 2006). Alkaline and sodic soils can be reclaimed by using gypsum and sulphuric acid (Amezketa et al., 2005). The application of lime helps to reclaim the acidic soil.

The soil pH in the study area varied from 4.8 to 8.0 with a mean value of 5.96 and a standard deviation of 0.75. The soil pH class was distributed from very strongly acidic to moderately alkaline. However, the majority of the area (38.05%) is under strongly acidic soils followed by moderately acidic (21.76%) and nearly neutral (19.19%). About 4.28% area has slightly alkaline soils as shown in Figure 5 and Table 4.

Figure 4. Spatial distribution of soil texture in Rapti Municipality, Chitwan, Nepal
Table 4. Area occupying different pH class in the study area

| pH class                  | Area (ha) (percentage) |
|--------------------------|------------------------|
| Very strongly acidic     | 135.16 (0.64)          |
| Strongly acidic          | 8084.67 (38.05)        |
| Moderately acidic        | 4623.69 (21.76)        |
| Slightly acidic          | 3417.08 (16.08)        |
| Nearly neutral           | 4077.28 (19.19)        |
| Slightly alkaline        | 908.56 (4.28)          |
| Total                    | 21246.44 (100)         |

**Soil organic matters**

Soil organic matters (SOM) play an important role in the water holding and nutrient storage of soil, stabilizes soil structure, reduces soil erosion, improves drainage and increase the availability of several nutrients such as Nitrogen, Phosphorous, sulfur and calcium (Ca) (de Bouchervile et al., 2001). Bouchervile et al., 2001). SOM improves the soil physical, chemical and biological properties therby maintains the sustainability in cropping system (Fageria, 2012). Organic matters from the different sources can increase the nitrogen mineralizable pool (Sanchez et al., 2001). Soil organic matter (SOM) content in the study area ranges from 1.94 to 3.75% varying from medium to high with a mean value of 2.73% as shown in Figure 6. About 76.54% of the total area has a medium range of SOM content and 23.46% of the total area has a high range of SOM as shown in Table 6.
Nitrogen is one of the major primary nutrients required for the growth and development of plants. It is an essential constituent of protein, amino acids, chlorophyll which imparts dark green color in plants and promotes vegetative growth (Bloom, 2015). Furthermore, nitrogen also encourages the uptake and utilization of other macronutrients, namely phosphorous and potassium. Deficiency of nitrogen in plants causes retardation of growth, yellowing of leaves, reduce apical dominance and poor vegetative growth of the plants (Bianco et al., 2015). The total nitrogen content in the study area varied from 0.097% to 0.187% with the mean value of 0.13% as shown in Figure 7 and Table 6. Nitrogen content was in the range of medium to high. About 76.54% of the total area has a medium range of nitrogen in the study area.

Available phosphorous
Phosphorous is the second most limiting nutrient for the growth and development of plants (Shen et al., 2011). In soils, phosphorous exists in organic and inorganic form and their fate in soil and behavior differs with different forms (Turner et al., 2007). Furthermore, phosphorous increases nodule mass and dry matter yield in legumes (Ssali and Keya, 1983) The available phosphorous content in the study area ranged from 51.03 to 270.10 kg ha⁻¹ with a mean value of 79.13 kg ha⁻¹ as shown in Figure 8 and Table 6.
Available potassium

Potassium is the third major plant nutrients after nitrogen and phosphorous which mainly takes part in the activation of enzymes (Havlin et al., 2010). Available potassium content in the study area ranged from 169.87 to 358.68 kg ha\(^{-1}\) with a mean value of 267.58 kg ha\(^{-1}\) as shown in Figure 9 and Table 5 and 6.

Table 5. Soil fertility status of Rapti Municipality, Chitwan, Nepal

| Soil parameters | Unit   | Min. value | Max. value | Mean value | Standard deviation |
|-----------------|--------|------------|------------|------------|--------------------|
| Soil pH         | pH scale | 4.8        | 8.0        | 5.96       | 0.75               |
| SOM             | %      | 1.94       | 3.75       | 2.73       | 0.32               |
| Total N        | %      | 0.097      | 0.187      | 0.13       | 0.016              |
| Available P\(_2\)O\(_5\) | kg ha\(^{-1}\) | 51.03    | 270.10     | 79.13      | 10.81              |
| Available K\(_2\)O | kg ha\(^{-1}\) | 169.87   | 358.68     | 267.58     | 32.89              |

Note: SOM denotes soil organic matter
Table 6. Area occupying different classes of soil parameters in Rapti Municipality, Chitwan, Nepal

| Soil parameters | SOM | Total nitrogen | Available phosphorous | Available potassium |
|-----------------|-----|----------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| Low             | -   | -              | -                    | -                  |
| Medium          | 16262.33 | 16262.33       | 1.16                 | 12715.29           |
|                 | (76.54%) | (76.54%)       | (0.005%)             | (59.85%)           |
| High            | 4984.11 | 4984.11        | 21244.71             | 8531.15            |
|                 | (23.46%) | (23.46%)       | (99.99%)             | (40.15%)           |
| Very high       | -   | -              | 0.58                 | -                  |
|                 |     |                | (0.003%)             |                    |
| Total area (ha) | 21246.44 | 21246.44       | 21246.44             | 21246.44           |

Conclusion

The study concluded that the use of GIS, GEP and GPS tools had great importance in soil fertility mapping and its spatial distribution. Based on the soil test data and the use of interpolation tools of GIS, the majority of the Rapti Municipality area had a strongly acidic pH. As suitable soil pH helps to increase microbial population and nutrient availability to the plants, the area belonging to the strongly acidic to moderately acidic pH needs quick reclamation either by reduced use of acid-forming fertilizers like urea, ammonium sulfate, etc. or by promoting the use of organic fertilizers like FYM, vermicompost, green manuring, etc. The organic matter level is optimum ranging from medium to high. To maintain their recent status, the use of organic matter with proper management practices should be followed. Primary plant nutrients: nitrogen, phosphorous and potassium level in the soil of the study area were also in the optimum range. However, leaching and runoff of phosphorous in groundwater, canal, ponds and river cause detrimental effects in the environment such as groundwater pollution and eutrophication. This study provides the soil nutrients status of the Rapti Municipality which could help farmers, researchers, government bodies and students. Furthermore, this study would be a basis for sustainable soil management, integrated plant nutrient management, land use planning and site-specific nutrient management to the municipality in near future.
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