Why do miRNAs live in the miRNP?
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Do tiny RNAs now have a home?

Noncoding RNAs function in diverse pathways—dosage compensation, gene imprinting, transcriptional regulation, pre-mRNA splicing, and the control of mRNA translation—and they carry out these roles from within specific RNA-protein complexes that ensure each noncoding RNA is in the right cellular compartment with the appropriate proteins needed to accomplish its biochemical function. Thus, identifying the ribonucleoprotein complex [RNP] associated with a noncoding RNA gives clues to its cellular function and biochemical mechanism by revealing the proteins whose company it keeps. The discovery by Dreyfuss and coworkers that microRNAs reside in a ∼550-kD [15S] particle provides new clues toward the functions of this novel and surprisingly large class of tiny, noncoding RNAs [Mourelatos et al. 2002].

The first microRNA, [miRNA] lin-4, was identified in 1993 [Lee et al. 1993]. Ambros and coworkers positionally cloned the lin-4 gene, a locus required for the correct timing of development in Caenorhabditis elegans, only to find that the gene encodes no protein [Lee et al. 1993]. Instead, lin-4 comprises two small noncoding RNAs, one 22 nucleotides long, and a longer form, lin-4L, that can fold into a hairpin structure. Seven years later, Ruvkun and colleagues discovered that let-7, which likewise regulates developmental timing in worms, is also a tiny, noncoding RNA [Reinhart et al. 2000]. Because lin-4 and let-7 control developmental timing, they have been dubbed small temporal RNAs [stRNAs]. Recently, three laboratories succeeded in cloning additional stRNA-like RNAs from worms, flies, and human cells [Lagos-Quintana et al. 2001; Lau et al. 2001; Lee and Ambros 2001]. These efforts uncovered a wealth of 19–25 nucleotide RNAs, including lin-4 and let-7, which are collectively known as miRNAs [for reviews, see Moss 2001; Ruvkun 2001, Banerjee and Slack 2002]. These efforts added an additional 100 tiny RNAs to the original pair of stRNAs. As anticipated by Ambros, stRNAs and miRNAs derive from longer stem-loop precursor RNAs. Thus, the longer lin-4L is the precursor of mature lin-4. Whereas many of the new miRNAs are produced constitutively, some are temporally regulated or expressed only in specific tissues. A few appear to be transcribed in coordinately regulated operons, suggesting that they are cleaved from their stem-loop precursors from within a long, common transcript. Others are found only in the germ line or in the early embryo, in which translational control dominates the hierarchy of regulatory mechanisms.

The 21–25 nucleotide size of miRNAs is remarkably similar to that of small interfering RNAs [siRNAs], the 21–25 nucleotide double-stranded RNAs that mediate RNA interference [for reviews, see Bernstein et al. 2001b; Carthew 2001; Sharp 2001; Vaucheret et al. 2001; Waterhouse et al. 2001]. siRNAs are generated by the endonucleolytic cleavage of long double-stranded RNA by the multidomain RNase III enzyme, Dicer [Bernstein et al. 2001a]. siRNAs are then incorporated into a ∼500-kD RNP complex, the RNA-induced silencing complex [RISC], in which they provide the specificity determinants that direct an as yet unidentified protein nuclease to cleave mRNAs complementary to the siRNA [Hammond et al. 2000]. lin-4 and let-7, as well as the new miRNAs, are encoded by ∼70 nucleotide stem-loop structures [Lee et al. 1993; Pasquinelli et al. 2000], whose stems are substrates for processing by Dicer [Grishok et al. 2001; Hultvågner et al. 2001; Ketting et al. 2001]. Dicer liberates miRNAs from the larger stem-loop precursors in much the same way it generates siRNAs from long dsRNA, leaving the signature 3’ hydroxyl and 5’ phosphate termini of an RNase III cleavage reaction. Both siRNA and stRNA production by Dicer requires ATP, consistent with the presence of an ATP-dependent helicase domain at the amino terminus of Dicer [Zamore et al. 2000; Bernstein et al. 2001a; Hultvågner et al. 2001; Nykänen et al. 2001]. Mature lin-4 and let-7 are thought to bind partially complementary sequences in the 3’ UTRs of their target mRNAs [Lee et al. 1993; Reinhart et al. 2000]. Unlike the binding of siRNAs, which triggers RNA destruction, binding of the stRNA lin-4, and likely let-7, leads to translational repression of their natural mRNA targets [Olsen and Ambros 1999; Reinhart et al. 2000; Slack et al. 2000]. In worms, translational repression of lin-4 and let-7 target mRNAs is required for the progression from one stage of development to the next.

In addition to Dicer, two members of the PPD family of proteins, ALG-1 and ALG-2, are required for the bio-
genesis or function of lin-4 and let-7 in worms (Grishok et al. 2001). PPD proteins, so named because they contain PAZ and Piwi domains, protein sequence motifs of unknown biochemical function, are required for a diverse array of developmental functions in plants and animals. alg-1/alg-2 mutants accumulate lin-4 and let-7 precursors and display striking defects in developmental timing (Grishok et al. 2001). Worms lacking alg-2 also fail to form a normal germ line (Cikaluk et al. 1999). A role for PPD proteins in the biogenesis or function of miRNAs has only been shown for the PPD proteins ALG-1 and ALG-2 in worms, but it seems likely that PPD proteins will be needed for miRNA biogenesis in other organisms.

PPD proteins function not only in miRNA maturation, but are also required in animals, plants, and fungi for a variety of RNA-silencing phenomena, including RNAi and cosuppression, the RNAi-like silencing of an endogenous gene by a transgene copy of the same sequence (Tabara et al. 1999; Catalanotto et al. 2000; Fagard et al. 2000; Hammond et al. 2001; Pal-Bhadra et al. 2002). In C. elegans, the PPD protein RDE-1 is required for RNAi [but not cosuppression; Tabara et al. 1999; Dernburg et al. 2000; Ketting and Plasterk 2000]; QDE-2 is required for cosuppression in the fungus Neurospora crassa (Catalanotto et al. 2000); and Argonaute is required both for RNA silencing and for normal meristem function in plants (Bohmert et al. 1998; Fagard et al. 2000). In flies, the PPD protein, Piwi, is required for the maintenance of germ-line stem cells, for the post-transcriptional silencing of endogenous genes by transgenes encoding the same mRNA, and even for some aspects of transcriptional silencing (Cox et al. 1998; Pal-Bhadra et al. 2002). Intriguingly, Piwi localizes to the nucleoplasm, not the cytoplasm, in Drosophila ovaries and testes, but disperses to the cytoplasm during mitosis (Cox et al. 2000). The role of Piwi in post-transcriptional silencing—a phenomenon that all current evidence suggests occurs in the cytoplasm—implies that at least a subpopulation of Piwi functions outside of the nucleus. Might Piwi associate with miRNAs in the cytoplasm, then move as a Piwi-containing miRNP to the nucleus to regulate transcription or nuclear mRNA stability?

The human homolog of Piwi, Hiwi, plays a role in maintaining hematopoetic stem cells, and the mouse homolog, Miwi, is expressed in primordial germ cells, suggesting its function in mammals may parallel that of Piwi in flies [Kuramochi-Miyagawa et al. 2001; Sharma et al. 2001]. Another Drosophila PPD protein, Aubergine, is required for the RNAi-like silencing of the Stellite locus in testes, for antero-posterior patterning in the developing embryo, in which it is required for the translation of the developmental regulator Oskar, and for the formation of pole cells, the progenitors of both male and female germ cells [Wilson et al. 1996; Aravin et al. 2001; Harris and Macdonald 2001]. Perhaps all of the phenotypes observed for PPD protein mutants arise from their failure to produce specific sets of miRNAs required for germ-line development, stem-cell maintenance, or patterning. Reinforcing this view, worms mutant for Dicer [dcr-1], like those lacking ALG-2, show germ-line defects (Knight and Bass 2001). Dreyfus and coworkers now find that many human miRNAs are present in a ~550-kD complex, the miRNP, and that this complex contains the PPD protein eIF2C2 (Mourelatos et al. 2002).

Current evidence supports the view that in metazoans, both the RNAi and miRNA pathways require the activity of Dicer and PPD proteins. The RNAi and miRNA pathways are clearly related, but there are features that differentiate them. First, siRNAs are processed from perfectly complementary, long dsRNA into double-stranded siRNAs that guide the destruction of a target mRNA [Hamilton and Baulcombe 1999; Hammond et al. 2000; Zamore et al. 2000; Bernstein et al. 2001a,b, Nykänen et al. 2001]. In contrast, miRNAs are single stranded, processed from ~70 nucleotide precursors that have the ability to form stem-loop structures containing loops and bulges of unpaired nucleotides. Only one strand of the stem of the miRNA precursor accumulates, indicating that the other strand must either not be produced or is differentially degraded. The fact that multiple PPD proteins are found in various organisms that exhibit RNAi may be an indication that different classes of these proteins play a specialized role in the two pathways. But reality must be more complex than this simple model, which predicts that two PPD proteins—one for RNAi and one for miRNAs—would suffice. Instead, the number of PPD proteins is large and varies greatly in different organisms [Fig. 1]: flies have five, humans have four, and Arabidopsis have six, but worms have at least 24!

**Why so many PPD proteins?**

One explanation is that not all PPD proteins function in the RNAi or miRNA pathways. This seems unlikely, as three of the five Drosophila family members have already been implicated in one or another RNA-silencing phenomenon. Perhaps different subclasses of miRNAs require distinct PPD proteins for their production, stability, or function. Such a requirement might reflect the individual peculiarities of a miRNA’s sequence or precursor structure, or perhaps the subcellular localization of its target miRNAs. Consistent with this notion, human eIF2C1 [also known as GERP95] is associated with the golgi and ER, in which it might play a specialized role in mediating miRNA-based translational regulation of secreted proteins (Cikaluk et al. 1999; Tábhaz et al. 2001). Alternatively, all PPD proteins might be biochemically interchangeable, but be distinguished by distinct patterns of developmental or tissue-specific expression. For example, in worms, a subset of PPD proteins are more abundant in the germ line than the soma [Reinke et al. 2000]. The finding that PPD proteins play important roles in stem-cell production, gametogenesis and patterning may be an indication that different classes of tissue-specific miRNAs regulate gene expression in these key developmental events.

In addition to the PPD protein eIF2C2, the recently discovered miRNA contains at least two more proteins, Gemin3 and Gemin4 (Mourelatos et al. 2002). These pro-
Proteins may interact directly with eIF2C2, because in vitro-translated Gemin3 and Gemin4 bind an eIF2C2-glutathione-S-transferase fusion protein (and vice versa). These interactions may be direct or they may be bridged by proteins present in the reticulocyte lysate used for translation. Gemin3 and Gemin4 were identified previously as part of a multi-protein complex containing the Survival of Motor Neurons (SMN) protein, Gemin2 (Charroux et al. 1999, 2000; Mourelatos et al. 2001), Gemin5 (Meister et al. 2001; Gubitz 2002), and Gemin6 (Pelizzoni et al. 2002). The SMN-containing complex is distinct from the miRNP. The SMN complex—comprising SMN, Gemin2, Gemin3, Gemin4, Gemin5, and Gemin6—is found in the nucleus in discrete foci known as gems. The SMN complex functions in the assembly and restructuring of diverse RNP particles, including spliceosomal snRNPs. SMN protein is defective in the neurodegenerative disease, spinal muscular atrophy (SMA). It is, therefore, presumed that the underlying cause of SMA is a failure in snRNP biogenesis or recycling. The sequences of the proteins in the SMN complex has thus far failed to reveal their biochemical function, with the exception of Gemin3, a 105-kD member of the DEAD-box family of putative ATP-dependent RNA helicases.

Gemin3 may provide a catalytic function in the assembly of RNPs (Charroux et al. 1999). Previously, Gemin3 was detected together with Gemin4 in a second complex of ~550 kD (Charroux et al. 1999). In their present work, Dreyfuss and coworkers characterized this second, less abundant complex through a series of coimmunoprecipitations, identifying it as the miRNP (Mourelatos et al. 2002). Two anti-Gemin3-specific monoclonal antibodies were used to characterize Gemin3-containing complexes in total lysates prepared by sonicating human HeLa cells. One antibody recognizes Gemin3 in the SMN complex, whereas the second de-
What do miRNAs do?

A total of 135 distinct miRNAs have been identified thus far in worms, flies, or humans, yet the regulatory function of miRNA targets is known for only C. elegans lin-4 and let-7. The presence of a putative ATP-dependent RNA helicase suggests that the miRNPs might catalyze mRNA target recognition. In this regard, it is important to note that target recognition by siRNAs requires no ATP [Nykänen et al. 2001]. siRNA–mRNA interactions encompass 21 perfectly complementary base pairs, whereas lin-4 and let-7 form only 14 to 17 Watson-Crick base pairs with their target mRNAs. Therefore, the weaker stRNA–mRNA and putative miRNA–mRNA interactions may require the aid of an ATP-dependent helicase to remove local secondary structure in the target mRNA or perhaps even to zipper the miRNA to its target sequence. Finally, a helicase might, in principle, act to recycle miRNAs, although if miRNAs function as translational regulators, they would likely form a stoichiometric complex with their regulatory targets and turnover infrequently. If, like lin-4 and let-7, miRNAs are sequence-specific translational repressors that bind their targets through 3’ UTR sequences, the miRNP might correspond to the actual mediator of translational repression. In support of this idea, a significant fraction of human elF2C2, Gemin3, and Gemin4 cosediment in a sucrose gradient with the ribosomal pellet [Mourelatos et al. 2002], as has been reported also for the RNAi-associated PPD protein Ago-2 from extracts of cultured fly cells [Hammond et al. 2001]. It has not yet been determined whether this cosedimentation reflects an actual association of either elF2C2 or Ago-2 with ribosomes, although the miRNA lin-4 has been shown to be directly associated with polysomal lin-14 mRNA, whose translation it represses [Olsen and Ambros 1999]. Furthermore, elF2C2 is 85% identical to elF2C1, which was originally identified as a translational initiation cofactor [Zou et al. 1998; Koesters et al. 1999]. It is important to note that although purified fractions containing the elF2C1 protein were shown to enhance translational initiation in vitro, no biochemical activity has yet been shown for the protein that was cloned as elF2C1, and that mRNA repression by lin-4 occurs at a step after translational initiation. It is also important to recall that outside of C. elegans, no function has been ascribed to any miRNA, including Drosophila and human let-7. Thus, miRNAs may act not only as translational regulators, but also to modulate mRNA stability or to direct mRNA localization. It is conceivable that some may even provide sequence specificity to regulators of transcription.

Of the 135 miRNAs identified thus far, none is perfectly complementary to any known mRNA in the worm, fly, or human genome. It is therefore unlikely that miRNAs act in the destruction of mRNA in vivo, because effective RNAi requires a high degree of complementarity between the siRNA and the target mRNA [Elbashir et al. 2001b]. As the RNAi pathway is thought to defend eukaryotic cells against colonization by parasitic
DNA, it is surprising that not a single 21–25 nt RNA corresponding to a transposon has been cloned from \textit{C. elegans} or human cells. In contrast, small RNA cloning from trypanosomes, which also contain the RNAi machinery, revealed abundant siRNAs corresponding to retrotransposons (Djikeng et al. 2001). Why have miRNA screens from higher organisms failed to detect such siRNAs? One possibility is that the RNAi pathway plays a major role in silencing transposons only at a highly specific time in development or in a specialized tissue such as germ-cell progenitors. In support of this idea, Tuschl and colleagues reported cloning a small number of siRNAs derived from retrotransposon sequences from syncitial blastoderm stage \textit{Drosophila} embryos, a stage in development when germ-cell progenitors are formed (Elbashir et al. 2001a).

There are several tantalizing similarities between the miRNP discovered by Dreyfuss and colleagues and the RISC, the RNP that mediates RNAi. First, the sizes of the miRNP (∼550 kD; Mourelatos et al. 2002) and the RISC (∼500 kD; Hammond et al. 2000) are quite similar. Second, a helicase-like Gemin3 must play a role in RNAi, because ATP-dependent unwinding of an siRNA duplex is a prerequisite for formation of an active RISC (Nykanen et al. 2001). The putative DEAD-box helicase protein, Spindle E, is required for the RNAi-like silenc-
ing of the endogenous Stellate locus in Drosophila testes [Aravin et al. 2001; Stapleton et al. 2001], and it will be important to determine whether Spindle E is a component of either a Drosophila miRNP or the RISC, or both. Finally, PPD proteins are found in both the miRNP [human elf2c2] and the RISC [Drosophila Ago-2]. Might the miRNP and the RISC be one and the same, a single RNP with multiple functions? If so, it is tempting to predict that the as-yet-unidentified 115-kD miRNP protein is the elusive Slicer, the ribonuclease postulated to cleave target RNA in the RNAi pathway. Alternatively, the miRNP and the RISC may be distinct complexes containing pathway-specific proteins drawn from the same protein families. In either case, the search for what miRNAs do and how they do it is easier now that we know where they live.
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In Figure 2, panel A, Gemin4 was inadvertently labeled as Gemin2. The corrected figure is printed below along with its legend.

Figure 2. Models for miRNA biogenesis and function. (A) Dicer may cleave a single-stranded miRNA directly from its stem-loop precursor RNA, then transfer the mature miRNA to components of the miRNP. Alternatively, Dicer may initially generate a double-stranded siRNA, then transfer it to the miRNP. Protein components of the miRNP would then unwind the siRNA, select one strand of the siRNA to become the mature, single-stranded miRNA, and catalyze degradation of the other siRNA strand. (B) In the RNAi pathway, a perfectly complementary siRNA targets mRNA for endonucleolytic cleavage. In contrast, miRNAs pair only imperfectly with sequences in the 3’ UTRs of their target RNAs and are believed to repress mRNA translation without altering mRNA stability.
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