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Abstract

Vitrification of bovine cumulus-oocyte complexes (COCs) is not as successful as bovine embryos, due to oocyte’s complex structure and chilling sensitivity. Synchrotron X-ray diffraction (SXRD), a powerful method to study crystal structure and phase changes, was used to detect the glass or ice formation in water, tissue culture medium (TCM)-199, vitrification solution 2 (VS2), and vitrified bovine COCs and morulae. Data revealed Debye’s rings and peaks associated with the hexagonal ice crystals at 3.897, 3.635, 3.427, 2.610, 2.241, 1.912 and 1.878 Å in both water and TCM-199, whereas VS2 showed amorphous (glassy) appearance, at 102K (−171°C). An additional peak of sodium phosphate monobasic hydrate (NaH2PO4.H2O) crystals was observed at 2.064 Å in TCM-199 only. All ice and NaH2PO4.H2O peaks were detected in the non-vitrified (control) and vitrified COCs, except two ice peaks (3.145 and 2.655 Å) were absent in the vitrified COCs. The intensities of majority of ice peaks did not differ between the non-vitrified and vitrified COCs. The non-vitrified bovine morulae in TCM-199 demonstrated all ice- and NaH2PO4.H2O-associated Debye’s rings and peaks, found in TCM-199 alone. There was no Debye’s ring present in the vitrified morulae. In conclusion, SXRD is a powerful method to confirm the vitrifiability of a solution and to detect the glass or ice formation in vitrified cells and tissues. The vitrified bovine COCs exhibited the hexagonal ice crystals instead of glass formation whereas the bovine morulae underwent a typical vitrification.
Introduction

Cryopreservation of mammalian oocytes and embryos is important for conservation of female genetics in domestic animals and endangered species [1, 2], and for assisted reproduction in humans [3]. The success of cryopreservation of mammalian oocytes and embryos differs among species, developmental stage and origin [1]. The cryopreservation of bovine oocytes is more difficult than early embryo [4–6]. This is mainly due to oocyte’s complex structure, i.e. large surface to volume ratio, chilling sensitivity, reduced plasma membrane permeability and low hydraulic conductivity [7–9]. Mammalian oocytes and early embryos are commonly cryopreserved by conventional slow freezing or vitrification method. During conventional slow freezing, the ice formation (intra- and extra-cellular), toxicity of cryoprotectant(s), osmotic swelling and shrinkage, and tissue fracture are the common cryoinjuries to mammalian cells [10, 11]. In vitrification, cells are exposed to higher concentrations of permeating cryoprotectants and cooled with ultra-rapid velocity [12]. The vitrified cells/tissues turn into a solid amorphous glass phase bypassing ice formation due to high viscosity of cryoprotectants in cellular compartments [13, 14]. Vitrification has become a popular method of cryopreservation for mammalian oocytes and embryos as it avoids chilling injury and damage due to the intracellular ice formation [15, 16]. Moreover, it is fairly cheap, simple, quick and superior to slow freezing [1, 17]. Vitrification has been successful for mouse oocytes [18], whereas it is still challenging for bovine oocytes [19]. There is no single universal method of vitrification for oocytes and embryos [7]. Like other studies, we also observed poor embryonic development from the vitrified cumulus-oocyte complexes (COCs) as compared with the non-vitrified control COCs [20, 21]. Vitrification causes the lysis of cumulus cells and oocyte, and the misplacement of cortical granules in bovine germinal vesicle (GV) stage COCs [22]. It also causes the disorganization of metaphase plate, condensation of chromosomes and clustering of cortical granules in metaphase II (MII) stage oocytes [23, 24].

The vitrification solutions (VSs) for oocytes and embryo are developed based on empirical or theoretical analyses [25]. The probability of vitrification is directly proportional to viscosity and cooling rate, and inversely proportional to sample volume [26]. The success of vitrification also depends upon warming rate [27]. In vitrification, toxicity of cryoprotectants (CPs) and intracellular ice formation are mainly responsible for the cellular damage [9]. The permeability of plasma membrane to water and CPs varies among cells and tissues [28]. Earlier, we did not observe a significant toxic effect of CPs on bovine COCs [21]. Therefore, it was hypothesized that sufficient quantity of CPs could not reach inside oocytes to manifest their toxic effects and did not increase the intracellular viscosity required for vitrification. Consequently, there could be intracellular ice formation in COCs, following vitrification, which damaged the organelles resulting in poor oocyte maturation, fertilization and embryo development. There is no published report so far to confirm the glass or ice formation in mammalian COCs, embryos or other tissues, upon vitrification.
X-ray diffraction (XRD) is a fast and powerful non-invasive method for phase analysis, i.e. type and quantities of phases in sample, and crystal structure, size and stress [29]. It is used for the quantification of degree of crystallinity. XRD is a result of scattering of X-ray wave from atoms’ electrons and is based on “Bragg’s law”:

\[ n\lambda = 2d\sin\theta \]

where \( n \) (integer) is the “order” of reflection, \( \lambda \) is the wavelength of incident X-ray beam, \( d \) is the distance between atomic layers in crystal and \( \theta \) is the angle of incidence.

In a hexagonal system, which is the case of water crystals, an inverse of the interplanar distance, \( 1/d^2 \), is given by:

\[ \frac{1}{d^2} = \frac{4(h^2 + hk + k^2)}{3a^2 + l^2/c^2} \]

where \( h, k \) and \( l \) are the Miller indices which fully describe the set of crystallographic planes, and \( a \) and \( c \) are cell dimensions.

Synchrotron X-ray radiation is several folds stronger than conventional X-ray which is less sensitive due to the low flux of X-ray source. Synchrotron X-ray diffraction (SXRD) is widely used in pharmaceutical industry especially under extreme conditions of temperature and pressure. SXRD has been successfully used to detect crystallinity in amorphous pharmaceuticals [30], anomalous behaviour of ice during freezing [31] and phase transitions in frozen system [32]. SXRD and 2-dimensional (2D) area detector possess highly sensitive and rapid data acquisition capability compared to the conventional X-ray instrument [30]. In SXRD, the data of entire Debye’s ring are collected and thus errors in the measurements of net intensities of peaks are minimum [33].

The objectives of this study were to determine the vitrifiability of vitrification solution, and to confirm the glass or ice formation in the vitrified bovine COCs and morulae, using SXRD.

**Materials and Methods**

**Chemicals and supplies**

Dulbecco’s phosphate buffered saline (DPBS), newborn calf serum (CS), tissue culture medium (TCM)-199 and MEM non-essential amino acids were purchased from Invitrogen Inc. (Burlington, ON, Canada). Lutropin-V (luteinizing hormone, LH) and Folltropin-V (follicle stimulating hormone, FSH) were supplied by Bioniche Animal Health Inc. (Belleville, ON, Canada). Unless otherwise stated, all other chemicals and reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Oakville, ON, Canada).
Cryodevices

Two custom-designed cryodevices, i.e. cryoloop and cryotop, were used in this study (Fig. 1). In both cryodevices, CrystalCap Copper Magnetic (Hampton Research, Aliso Viejo, CA) were used. Each cap possesses an alloy base, which magnetically secures the cap in cryovial or on the goniometer head, and a copper pin. A Cryoloop (0.3–0.4 mm in diameter; Hampton Research) was mounted at the end of a copper pin. For a cryotop, the copper pin was replaced with 10–13 mm long propylene strip from original Cryotop (Kitazato Supply Co., Fujinomiya, Japan). Cryoloop was used for the SXRD analysis of solutions (water, TCM-199 and VS2) and cryotop for bovine COCs and morulae.

COCs collection

Bovine ovaries were procured from a slaughterhouse, operated under strict regulations of Canadian Food Inspection Agency, and transported to laboratory at approximately 25°C. Ovaries were washed in 0.15 M sodium chloride and extra tissues surrounding the ovaries were removed. The follicles (3–8 mm in diameter) were aspirated using an 18-gauge needle attached to 5 ml-syringe containing 1 ml 5% calf serum (CS) in DPBS (vol/vol). The immature (GV stage) COCs were harvested from the pooled follicular fluid under a stereomicroscope at 10× magnification and washed (3x) in 5% CS in DPBS (vol/vol). The COCs with more than three layers of compact cumulus cells and uniform cytoplasm were selected for vitrification or morulae production.
In vitro maturation, fertilization and culture

In vitro maturation, fertilization and culture were conducted following the procedures described earlier [20]. The immature COCs were washed (3x) in maturation medium [TCM-199 supplemented with 5% CS, 5 μg/ml LH, 0.5 μg/ml FSH and 0.05 μg/ml gentamicin]. The groups of 20 COCs were placed in each 100 μl-droplets of maturation medium, under mineral oil and incubated at 38.5°C, 5% CO₂ in air and saturated humidity, for 22 h. For in vitro fertilization (IVF), two semen straws from each three fertile bulls were thawed at 37°C for 1 min, pooled and washed through Percoll gradient (45% and 90%) [34]. After washing, sperm were added to Brackett-Oliphant (BO) fertilization medium [35] to final concentration 3 × 10⁶ cells/ml, and droplets of 100 μl were made under mineral oil. Following IVM, the groups of 20 mature COCs were washed (3x) with 10% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in BO fertilization medium (wt/vol), added to each 100 μl-sperm droplets, and incubated under mineral oil at 38.5°C, 5% CO₂ in air and saturated humidity. After 18 h coincubation, cumulus cells and sperm attached to COCs were mechanically removed via pipetting. The presumptive zygotes were washed (3x) in in vitro culture (IVC) medium CR1aa consisting 5% CS (vol/vol), 2% BME amino acids (vol/vol), 1% MEM nonessential amino acids (vol/vol), 1% L-glutamic acid (vol/vol), 0.3% BSA (wt/vol) and 0.05 μg/ml gentamicin. Zygotes were transferred into 100 μl-IVC droplets under mineral oil and incubated at 38.5°C, 5% CO₂, 90% N₂, 5% O₂ and saturated humidity. On day 6 of culture, morulae were harvested for vitrification.

Vitrification of COCs and morulae

The COCs (GV stage) and morulae were first equilibrated in 10 ml vitrification solution 1 [VS1; TCM-199 containing 7.5% ethylene glycol (EG; vol/vol), 7.5% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO; vol/vol), 20% CS (vol/vol)] in a petri dish (35 mm diameter) for 5 min at 37°C. After equilibration, COCs and morulae were transferred through three 20 μl-microdrops of vitrification solution 2 [VS2; TCM-199 containing 15% EG (vol/vol), 15% DMSO (vol/vol), 20% CS (vol/vol), 17.1% sucrose (wt/vol)] at 37°C for 45–60 sec [16, 36]. The COCs and morulae were loaded quickly on separate cryotops under stereomicroscope, the extra surrounding medium was aspirated as much as possible and immediately plunged in liquid nitrogen [15]. The COCs and morulae in TCM-199 without cryoprotectants were also loaded on cryotops, and plunged in liquid nitrogen as non-vitrified controls for ice formation. The cryotop containing COCs and morulae were placed in the ultra-cooled cryovial and stored in liquid nitrogen until SXRD analysis.

Synchrotron X-ray diffraction

SXRD was performed at Canadian Macromolecular Crystallography Facility (CMCF), Canadian Light Source (http://www.lightsource.ca) located on the University of Saskatchewan campus in Saskatoon, using a fully automated
synchrotron beamline 08ID-1. The details of beamline 08ID-1 and CMCF have been reported earlier \[37, 38\]. Briefly, Canadian Light Source is a 2.9 GeV national synchrotron radiation facility. The beamline 08ID-1 is illuminated by a hybrid small-gap in-vacuum undulator. The end-station of beamline 08ID-1 is equipped with a Rayonix MX300 HD CCD X-ray detector; a Huber 410 single axis goniometer; on-axis sample visualization system and CryoJet (Oxford Instruments) (for details, see Fig. 2). The typical size of beamline 08ID-1 is 0.1 mm with a passing flux of $2 \times 10^{12}$ photons/s. The CMCF software developed in-house has three fully integrated components, i.e. 1) MX Data Collector (MxDC), a beamline control system integrated with data processing module; 2) AutoProcess, a fully automated data collection and processing system with minimum human intervention; and 3) Sample management and remote monitoring system (Fig. 3) \[39\].

### Synchrotron X-ray diffraction of water, TCM-199 and VS2 for detection of glass or ice formation

This experiment was conducted as a proof of ice formation in water and TCM-199 and glass formation in VS2. The cryoloop was immersed in deionized water, TCM-199 or VS2 solutions (22°C), directly plunged in liquid nitrogen and quickly mounted on the goniometer head with an ultra-cooled CryoTong (Hampton Research) (S1 Figure).

### Synchrotron X-ray diffraction of bovine COCs and morulae for detection of glass or ice formation

The cryovial, containing cryotop with bovine COCs or morulae, were removed from liquid nitrogen storage tank into a wide mouth thermos flask. CrystalCap Copper Magnetic was dislodged from cryovial with an ultra-cooled CrystalWand Magnetic (Hampton Research) and quickly mounted on the goniometer head with an ultra-cooled CryoTong (Hampton Research) (S1 Figure).

After mounting CrystalCap, cryoloop or cryotop propylene strip was aligned to X-ray beam using on-axis sample visualization system. The sample was positioned in the center of X-ray path by moving and rotating the goniometer head using the remote monitoring system (S1 Video). SXRD was conducted using following beamline set up: energy 12.6580 keV, pixel size of the X-ray CCD detector 0.073242 mm, beam stop’s distance from sample 30 mm, beam size $0.1 \times 0.1$ mm, angle of incidence 90°, sample to detector distance 150 mm, exposure time 1 sec. The sample temperature was maintained at 102 K ($-171^\circ$C) with a constant flow of temperature-stabilised liquid nitrogen vapors (7.5 L/min) using CryoJet Controller (Oxford Instruments, Austin, TX).

Data were collected with in-house developed MxDC, a component of CMCF software system. The 2D data were analyzed for Debye’s rings using Diffraction Image Viewer software developed at Canadian Macromolecular Crystallography Facility, Canadian Light Source. The 2D data from TCM alone and the non-
vitrified (control) COCs were submitted to International Center for Diffraction Data (ICDD) for comparison with the published data on powder diffraction. The 2D data were converted to 1-dimensional (1D) d-spacing (Å) scans using FIT2D software developed at European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (ESRF) [40]. S1 Figure and S1 Video are available online at www.plosone.org.

Statistical analysis
SXRD analysis of water, TCM-199 and VS2 was repeated twice on different batches of solutions. Five COCs and morulae (vitrified and non-vitrified each) were studied for SXRD analysis, on different dates (replicates). The intensities of ice peaks in the non-vitrified control and vitrified COCs were compared with student t-test using SYSTAT statistical software (SPSS, Chicago, IL).

Results
Synchrotron X-ray diffraction of water, TCM-199 and VS2 for detection of glass or ice formation
Water, TCM-199 and VS2 represented exactly similar Debye’s rings and ice peaks between replicates; therefore, their representative physical appearance, 2D and 1D SXRD are shown in Fig. 4. The physical appearance of water and TCM showed ice crystal formation at 102K. The 2D SXRD data revealed Debye’s rings, corresponding to the hexagonal ice crystals, at 3.897, 3.635, 3.427, 2.610, 2.241, 1.912 and 1.878 Å in both water and TCM-199. The ice peak at 3.946 Å was present in water only. Data from ICDD confirmed all hexagonal ice peaks and an additional peak at 2.064 Å corresponding to sodium phosphate monobasic hydrate (NaH₂PO₄·H₂O) in TCM-199. In contrast, VS2 showed typical glass appearance at 102K (Fig. 4A). The 2D and 1D SXRD data of VS2 did not show
any Debye’s ring and ice peaks rather exhibited smooth curves representing typical amorphous phase (Fig. 4B and 4C).

Synchrotron X-ray diffraction of bovine COCs and morulae for detection of glass or ice formation
The non-vitrified COCs in TCM-199 clearly showed normal size with icy appearance whereas the vitrified COCs in VS2 reduced in size and appeared glassy (Fig. 5A). SXRD data demonstrated the common ice peaks between the non-vitrified and vitrified COCs at 3.876, 3.638, 2.238 and 1.911 Å (Fig. 5B and 5C). The intensities of ice peaks did not differ statistically between the non-vitrified and vitrified COCs except an ice peak at 3.876 Å was higher (P<0.05) in the non-

Fig. 3. The beamline setup, sample camera and cryoject control tabs of the MxDC software at the 08ID-1 beamline. A view from sample camera shows two oocytes on cryotop and square in the middle shows the path and size (0.1 x 0.1 mm) of X-ray beam.
vitrified than vitrified COCs (Fig. 6). Two ice peaks at 3.415 and 2.655 Å were present in the non-vitrified COCs only (Fig. 5C and 6). The additional peak (2.067 Å) corresponding to NaH$_2$PO$_4$.H$_2$O, found in TCM-199, was also present in the non-vitrified COCs. All Debye’s rings and peaks corresponding to the hexagonal ice crystals except one peak (*) in TCM-199 which corresponded to NaH$_2$PO$_4$.H$_2$O. Like COCs, the non-vitrified bovine morulae in TCM-199 showed normal size and extracellular ice crystal formation (Fig. 7A). The Debye’s rings and peaks
corresponding to the hexagonal ice crystals (3.870, 3.647, 3.437, 2.653, 2.244 and 1.912 Å) and NaH$_2$PO$_4$·H$_2$O (2.058 Å) were present in the non-vitrified morulae (Fig. 7B and 7C). Bovine morulae in VS2 reduced in size and appeared glassy, and there was no detectable Debye's ring and ice peaks in the vitrified morulae.

Fig. 5. Physical appearance, 2D SXRD and 1D SXRD of bovine COCs in TCM-199 (non-vitrified control) and VS2 (vitrified) on cryotops at 102K. A) Appearance of COCs through sample camera. B) Representative 2D SXRD with display of d-spacing. C) Representative 1D SXRD indicating the relative intensities of peaks vs. d-spacing. All peaks corresponded to the hexagonal ice crystals except one peak (*) which corresponded to NaH$_2$PO$_4$·H$_2$O.
Discussion

This is the first study on the determination of vitrifiability of vitrification solution, and the confirmation of glass or ice formation in the vitrified bovine COCs and early embryos (morulae) at ultra-low temperature (102 K) using synchrotron X-ray diffraction (SXRD) method. SXRD confirmed the vitrifiability of VS2 used in this study for vitrification of bovine COCs and morulae. The non-vitrified COCs in TCM-199 exhibited Debye’s ring and peaks corresponding to the hexagonal ice crystals and NaH2PO4.H2O. The vitrified COCs in VS2 demonstrated randomly distributed fine ice crystals and thus did not undergo true vitrification. In contrast, the vitrified bovine morulae underwent typical vitrification.

This study provided an evidence of the ice crystal formation in water and TCM-199, and the glass formation in a commonly used VS2 for bovine COCs and embryos. The “grainy” structures in Debye’s rings in water and TCM-199 indicated their polycrystalline nature with a limited number of individual crystals. Therefore, 1D SXRD data for a “grainy” 2D data was only useful for peak identification, but not for evaluation of relative intensity of peaks. In this study, the majority of Debye’s rings, representing the hexagonal ice crystals, were common between water and TCM-199. Similar ice peaks, with slight differences in d-spacing by a fraction of Å, have been reported earlier in water at temperature 98 K [41]. The addition of permeating cryoprotectants increases the viscosity of solution which turns into glass upon ultra-rapid cooling. Normally, the vitrifiability, glass stability and toxicity of a vitrification solution are predicted

Fig. 6. Ice peaks' intensities in bovine COCs in TCM-199 (non-vitrified control) or VS2 (vitrified). Each bar represents mean±SEM (N = 5 COCs in each group). Asterisk (*) denotes the significant difference (P < 0.05) in ice peak intensity between non-vitrified and vitrified COCs at a given d-spacing. Double asterisks (**) denote the presence of ice peaks in the non-vitrified COCs only.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0114801.g006
Based on the mathematical calculations for water permeability, solute permeability and activation energy [42]. SXRD data showed the amorphous behaviour of VS2 upon vitrification and did not demonstrate any sharp ice peak, as found in water and TCM-199. In a typical diffraction study, the crystalline and amorphous materials are characterized by sharp peaks and smooth curve-like pattern respectively [30]. Visual appearance of the non-vitrified and vitrified solutions in liquid nitrogen with naked eye has been reported earlier [7, 17, 43]. During

**Fig. 7.** Physical appearance, 2D SXRD and 1D SXRD of bovine morulae in TCM-199 (non-vitrified control) and VS2 (vitrified) on cryotops at 102K. A) Appearance of morula through sample camera. B) Representative 2D SXRD with display of d-spacing (Å). C) Representative 1D SXRD indicating the relative intensities of peaks vs. d-spacing (Å). All peaks corresponded to the hexagonal ice crystals except one peak (*) which corresponded to NaH₂PO₄·H₂O.
conventional freezing, the growth of intracellular ice crystal formation has been observed under cryomicroscope \[44,45\]. However, both methods did not yield the detailed information on ice peaks in cryoprotectant solutions and tissues.

In this study, bovine COCs (GV stage) were selected due to their easy accessibility and vitrification in the field conditions. The mature oocyte (MII stage) could be another alternate to preserve the female genetics but meiotic spindle at this stage is more sensitive to chilling \[46,47\]. Although the vitrified COCs shrunk in response to osmotic gradient across membrane but they exhibited similar ice peaks in the non-vitrified control COCs except two peaks at 3.43 and 2.65 Å were missing. An intracellular origin of these ice crystals in so-called vitrified COCs is anticipated because extracellular VS2 turned into glass, as demonstrated in the first experiment. Furthermore, it is expected that these ice crystals, formed inside the cumulus cells and/or oocyte, damage the organelles leading to poor oocytes’ maturation, cleavage and embryo development. The ice formation in COCs suggested the permeating cryoprotectants in VS2, in spite of possessing vitrifiability, could not necessarily penetrate throughout COCs and the critical concentration of cryoprotectants required for intracellular vitrification, could not be achieved. It could be due to the slow permeability of plasma membrane of mammalian oocytes \[9\]. It should be kept in mind that COCs have large number of surrounding cumulus cells which retard the penetration of permeating cryoprotectants \[4,48\]. In this study, the vitrified bovine morulae served as an internal control since morulae survive vitrification better than oocytes \[1,5,6,49\]. Morulae not only shrunk but also avoided the hexagonal ice crystal formation and underwent typical vitrification. Our data also suggested the success of vitrification depends upon type of cells/tissues to be vitrified.

In addition to several ice peaks, a peak corresponding to NaH$_2$PO$_4$·H$_2$O was also observed in TCM-199, non-vitrified COCs and non-vitrified morulae. TCM-199 is a common base-medium for bovine oocyte maturation, fertilization, embryo culture, and for preparation of vitrification solutions. The bulk portion of TCM-199 is composed of water which demonstrated several Debye’s rings and ice peaks. Sodium phosphate monobasic is one of the inorganic salts present in TCM-199 along with amino acids, vitamins and other components. The Debye’s rings and peaks associated with the hexagonal ice crystals and NaH$_2$PO$_4$·H$_2$O in TCM-199 and the non-vitrified control COCs were confirmed by ICDD. The Debye’s rings at the lower end of d-spacing (<1.9 Å) did not match with any powder diffraction files.

The potential side effect of X-ray diffraction is an intracellular damage leading to malfunctioning of cells, tissues or organisms after their exposure to X-ray \[50\]. However, cryocooling of a sample to 100K or below reduces the radiation damage to cells or tissues \[51\]. In future, it will be interesting to study the maturation and fertilization abilities of COCs and developmental competence of early embryos following exposure to synchrotron X-ray.
Conclusions

SXRD is useful to determine the vitrifiability of any solution and its efficiency in vitrifying various cells/tissues. SXRD can be used to detect glass or ice formation in the vitrified and non-vitrified cells/tissues, respectively. This study indicated that bovine COCs at GV stage did not transform into glass upon vitrification rather produced randomly distributed fine ice crystals; whereas, bovine morulae turned into a glass. The strenuous attempts should be made to improve the vitrification of bovine COCs by developing a suitable cryoprotectant solution, and optimum cooling and warming rates.

Supporting Information

S1 Figure. Handling of samples in liquid nitrogen. CrystalWand Magnetic holding CrystalCap Magnetic, containing either cryoloop or cryotop, in a thermos flask filled with liquid nitrogen. After fixing CryoTong around CrystalCap, the CrystalWand is dislodged and CrystalCap is quickly transferred to the goniometer head on the end station of O8ID-1beamline.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0114801.s001 (TIF)

S1 Video. Remote monitoring and rotation of vitrified COCs on the modified cryotop. This procedure helped to visualize and position the sample in the center of X-ray path.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0114801.s002 (MPG)
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