Potential biological control of the pupal stage of the European grapevine moth by the entomopathogenic fungus Beauveria pseudobassiana in the winter season in Chile
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Abstract
Objective: Lobesia botrana, the European grapevine moth, affects Vitis vinifera L. and other species of economic importance in a number of countries through damage caused by its larvae in berries and associated secondary diseases such as Botrytis cinerea. Control of the moth in urban areas is difficult due to poor chemical management of infested plants in houses. Additionally, in winter, L. botrana is in its pupal stage covered with a cocoon that prevents the penetration of chemical pesticides. For this reason, the objective of this work was to control the pupal stage with a formulation based on the entomopathogenic fungus Beauveria pseudobassiana in urban areas. Results: The present study of the biocontrol activity of the formulated fungus against the infestation of vines with breeding pupae without cocoons showed 100% infection 21 days after inoculation under winter conditions. Finally, the biocontrol activity of the formulated fungus against natural infestations of L. botrana in winter in urban areas reached an efficacy of 51%. This result suggests that the B. pseudobassiana formulation is able to penetrate the cocoon and contributes to the integrated pest management of L. botrana.

Background
Lobesia botrana (Denis and Schiffermüller) (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae), commonly known as the grapevine moth, occurs in Europe, Africa, Asia and in South America in countries such as Argentina and Chile [1], where the pest has been under the control of the Agricultural and Livestock Service (SAG, acronym in Spanish) since April 2008 [2]. The moth has spread to a wide range of regions in Chile from the Atacama region to the Araucanía region, causing disease in grapes (Vitis vinifera) and other plant hosts such as blueberry (Vaccinium corymbosum) and plum (Prunus domestica) [3]. The primary damage caused by this species occurs through larval feeding on berries, dehydration of tissue and fruit rotting, which leads to a subsequent round of fungal diseases such as grape mold (Botrytis cinerea) [4]. Currently, farmers have achieved effective chemical control and mating disruption of this pest, but reinfection of vineyards is caused by small urban outbreaks that are not controlled. Additionally, climate change contributes to shortening of its reproductive cycles and leads to appropriate conditions for new outbreaks within a season. As an alternative to reduce pesticide use to preserve our environment, health and nutrition, a goal is to use a formulation based on the EPF
Beauveria pseudobassiana to control the pest in urban areas during its winter diapause.

Methods

Biological material

All the pupae of L. botrana used for the in vitro and field trials were obtained from the breeding project of the Entomology Laboratory of INIA La Cruz. The strain B. pseudobassiana RGM 1747 was isolated from Polistes gallicus (Hymenoptera: Vespidae) and stored in the Bank of the Chilean Collection of Microbial Genetic Resources of INIA Quilamapu.

DNA extraction, PCR conditions and sequencing

The conidial DNA of strain RGM 1747 was extracted using the Quick DNA Fungal / Bacterial Kit (Zymo Research, CA, USA) following the manufacturer's instructions. The partial sequences amplified by PCR were for the rpb1, rpb2, tef and Bloc loci [5], which were sequenced at Macrogen (Seoul, South Korea). The DNA sequences determined for the four loci were submitted to the GENBANK Nucleotide Sequence Database under accession numbers MH048640, MH048641, MH048642 and MH048643.

Multilocus sequence analysis (MLSA) of Beauveria sp

Concatenated alignments were performed with MUSCLE for the Bloc, tef, rpb1 and rpb2 loci in 34 strains [6]. Poorly aligned and dissimilar regions were eliminated from the analysis. The evolutionary history was inferred using neighbor joining method [7]. The evolutionary distances were computed using the Tamura 3-parameter method [8]. The strength of the internal branches of the resulting trees was statistically evaluated by bootstrap analysis with 1000 bootstrap replications [9]. The rate of the variation of the nucleotide substitutions was modeled using a gamma distribution (shape parameter=0.68). The evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA7 [10].

EPF formulation

The entomopathogenic fungi were spread over the surface of PDA (Difco Ô, NJ, USA) in a plate. The fungi were grown for one week at 25°C, and the spores and hyphae produced were collected through the addition of 10 mL of PBS (pH 7.4) containing 0.05% Tween 20 (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) to a plate. The conidial concentration was determined by counting in a Neubauer chamber and adjusted to 10^6 conidia/mL. The formulation was generated to maintain the fungal biomass in the winter using
2.5% rice flour, 2.5% skim milk, 20% glycerol (Merck), 0.2% Silwet L-77 Ag (Arysta LifeScience, Santiago, Chile), 73.8% distilled water and 1% EPF at $10^6$ conidia/mL. All the components were mixed in a beaker at 300 rpm for 30 min.

**In vitro EPF formulation evaluation against L. botrana**

The assessment of the formulation was carried out in *in vitro* assays at 25°C. One milliliter of the formulation mix was placed in the center of a paper disk that covered the bottom of a plate, and ten moth pupae were deposited on the paper. As a control, water was used instead of the formulation. The infection of pupae with EPF was monitored every day for eight days. The experiment included three replicates.

**Field trial**

The assay was developed in the *V. vinifera* ´Red Globe´ field at La Platina research station in the Metropolitan region of Santiago, Chile, in natural environmental conditions in July, during the winter. The field trial was arranged in a randomized block design with four treatments, each with four replications with three vines each (4x4x3). To infest the vines, ten pupae without cocoons per plant were deposited under the rhytidome, covered with a tulle mesh and fixed with adhesive tape to avoid dispersion of the pupae and the entry of other arthropods. The first treatment was performed using one application of the formulation. In the second treatment, we used two applications on days one and seven. In the third treatment, we performed applications during days one, seven and fourteen. The fourth treatment was the control using water. The EPF concentration for treatments one, two and three was $10^9$ CFU/L. The applications were performed with a hand pump, and wetting was performed with a volume of 500 mL per vine. Each treatment was inspected seven days after each application. For the inspection of the infected pupae, we removed the pupae from under the rhytidome and deposited them in a plate containing a humid paper disk when the EPF had completed colonization. The evaluation of efficacy was performed over 48 h at 25°C.

**Urban trial**
The assay was developed in *V. vinifera* plants located in urban residences in the Metropolitan region of Santiago, Chile, under natural environmental conditions in August, during the winter diapause of pupae with cocoons. The urban trial was arranged in a randomized block design with four treatments, each with three replications with three vines each (4x3x3). The distribution of the blocks in the urban area was set up according the captures of the moths in pheromone (E7, Z9-dodecadienyl acetate) traps during the spring and summer by SAG. The treatments and the methodology for the application of the formulation and inspection of the vines were the same as those described for the field trial. Finally, the pupae grown in cocoons with EPF were dissected to determine infection by the fungus. The pupae were dyed with lactophenol blue (Merck) to observe the penetration of the fungus into their structure.

Temperature and humidity data during the field and urban trials were collected through the weather station network (http://agromet.inia.cl/).

**Statistical analysis**

The efficacy of the formulation *in vitro* and in field trials was determined for uniform populations [11], and the efficacy in the urban trials was determined for nonuniform populations [12]. The percentages of efficacy in the field test and urban test were compared by Tukey’s HSD test (a=0,05). All the experiments were analyzed using the software Statgraphics Centurion XVII (Statpoint Technologies Inc., VA, USA).

**Results**

**Molecular species identification of an EPF isolate**

The *Beauveria* sp. RGM 1747 strain was subjected to molecular characterization using MLSA methodology, which indicated that this strain belongs to the clade of *Beauveria pseudobassiana*, as shown in Fig. 1 and Table 1S. It was grouped with other strains that have been isolated from different insect orders and countries such as Hymenoptera in South Korea and Lepidoptera and Thysanoptera in the USA.

**In vitro evaluation**

Once the EPF formulation was prepared, *in vitro* infestation was carried out at 25°C under high
humidity. All the pupae were infected by the EPF with 100% efficacy by four dpa (Fig. 2a).

Field trial evaluation

The vineyard infestations were performed under controlled conditions with an average temperature of 9.1°C and relative humidity of 78.3%. In the three treatments with the application of the EPF formulation, the development of incipient mycelia and conidia of *B. pseudobassiana* in different areas on the head and sutures of the pupa was observed. All the examined pupae were infected by *B. pseudobassiana*, which showed the capacity of the EPF to achieve colonization in winter conditions with an efficacy of 100% at 21 dpa (Fig. 2b).

Urban trial evaluation

We used the same formulation treatment as in the field trial, but the infestations of *L. botrana* were natural in this case. The average temperature and relative humidity in the assay were 8.4°C and 73.7%, respectively. In these assays, not all the examined pupae were infected by *B. pseudobassiana*. The efficacy reached 51% in the first treatment (Fig. 2c). The efficacy in the second and third treatments reached 15% and 13%, respectively. The pupae colonized by the EPF showed development of different levels mycelia and conidia of *B. pseudobassiana* in several areas on the head and sutures of the pupa when the cocoon was removed (Fig. 3). These results indicate the ability of the EPF formulation to penetrate the cocoons and colonize the pupa.

Discussion

Based on the increasing interest in biological control, we identified and classified *B. pseudobassiana* RGM 1747 and compared its activity with that of other isolates from EPF-infected insects around the world that had already been sequenced. In Chile, *B. pseudobassiana* was isolated from *P. gallicus* and showed activity against *L. botrana* in this work. In addition, Gerding et al. (2008) reported that this EPF was assessed in *A. mellifera* to understand its potential adverse effects on this pollinizer. These authors showed no negative effect in bees and found that the fungus achieved control over *V. germanica* [13]. Furthermore, the use of biological products in winter stages reduces the possibility of cross-activity with beneficial arthropods due to a decrease in their activity during winter because of low temperatures and a lack of flowers. In Chile, there are no chemical and biological products for
controlling the pupae during the winter. Therefore, the application of EPF in winter could be compatible with application of fungicides in spring within an IPM program for fungal disease control in grapes.

The *in vitro* formulation evaluation achieved 100% efficacy in *L. botrana* due to the occurrence of all the conditions required for the EPF to colonize the pupae. However, we considered it necessary to perform field trials under the temperature and humidity conditions of July. This trial reached an efficacy of 100% and was considered representative of the behavior of the fungi because under the environmental conditions and oscillations in temperature and humidity that occurred in this trial, hypha and conidia were observed between the sutures of the pupae, and the viability of the fungi was assessed and confirmed in cultures of different pupae from the field.

On the basis of the results of the field trial, we assessed an assay conducted in urban zones during August. The primary barrier to the EPF is the cocoon, which provides natural protection against pathogens and arthropods. In this case, the efficacy reached 51% using only one application. The other treatments in which we performed two and three applications did not show significant differences (resulting in 15% and 13% efficacy, respectively), but there was a significant difference compared with the first treatment. In addition to the decrease in efficacy caused by the cocoon in all the treatments, another possible explanation for this difference is that during the first application, the EPF consumes most of the carbon sources and proteins from the pupae and the formulation, but after seven dpa, opportunistic fungi such as *Aspergillus* sp. *Penicillium* sp. and *Rhizopus* sp. begin to appear (observations of urban samples). These fungi may have taken advantage of the components of the formulation and reduce the efficacy of the product.

In conclusion, we achieved an efficiency of 51% with one application of EPF formulation in urban areas to control the pest during its winter diapause. Additionally, the formulation is useful in fruit crops because pollinators and antifungal chemical applications are not encountered in the field. Finally, possible annual applications in urban and rural zones contribute to the control of *L. botrana* in the country in combination with other IPM strategies.

Limitations
To improve the formulation, it will be necessary to examine its components and different concentrations thereof to increase their efficacy. We will need to perform a series of urban trials over time to optimize the use of the EPF.
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CFU: colony-forming units
IPM: integrated pest management
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**Declarations**

**Ethics approval and consent to participate**

Not applicable.

**Consent for publication**

Not applicable.

**Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

**Availability of data and materials**

All amplified DNA fragments from the *Beauveria* isolate were registered in NCBI GenBank and are available in Table 1S. The isolate of *B. pseudobassiana* was deposited in the Chilean Collection of Microbial Genetic Resources, International Depository Authority, with the access number RGM 1747.

**Funding**

Fundación para la innovación Agraria, PYT-2017-0182

**Authors’ contributions**

FA generated the EPF formulation, performed molecular analysis and *in vitro* assays, and contributed with the writing of the paper. NDLB, PE, and NV performed the field and urban trials. RS analyzed the pupae in the urban areas. PR and SS analyzed and isolated the EPF from the samples. ET conceived the study and the experimental design, dissected and stained the pupae and wrote the paper.

**Acknowledgments**
We gratefully acknowledge the farmers, urban residents and the National Program of *Lobesia botrana*, SAG. Special thanks to FIA (“Fundación para la Innovación Agraria” in Spanish) for supporting this research project PYT-2017-0182 and all the members of the entomology laboratory of INIA La Platina.

**References**

1. CABI: *Lobesia botrana* (grape berry moth). https://www.cabi.org/isc/datasheet/42794 (2018). Accessed 28 Dec 2018.

2. (2017). *Lobesia botrana* o polilla del racimo de la vid (2017). http://www.sag.gob.cl/ambitos-de-accion/lobesia-botrana-o-polilla-del-racimo-de-la-vid. Accessed 28 Dec 2018.

3. González RH. Biología y Manejo Fitosanitario de la Polilla Mediterránea, *Lobesia botrana* (D. & S.) y de otras polillas de la vid en Chile (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae). 2014. Universidad de Chile, Facultad de Ciencias Agronómicas, Departamento de Sanidad Vegetal.

4. Gilligan TM, Epstein ME, Passoa SC, Powell JA, Sage OC, Brown JW. Discovery of *Lobesia botrana* ([Denis & Schiffermüller]) in California: an invasive species new to North America (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae). Proc Entomol Soc Wash. 2011;113:14-30.

5. Rehner SA, Minnis AM, Sung GH, Luangsaa-ard, JJ, Devotto L, Humber RA. Phylogeny and systematics of the anamorphic, entomopathogenic genus *Beauveria*. Mycologia. 2011;103:1055-73.

6. Edgar RC. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. Nucleic Acids Res. 2004;32:1792-97.

7. Saitou N, Nei M. The neighbor-joining method: A new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. Mol Biol Evol. 1987;4:406-25.

8. Tamura K. Estimation of the number of nucleotide substitutions when there are strong transition-transversion and G + C-content biases. Mol Biol Evol. 1992;9:678-
9. Felsenstein J. Confidence limits on phylogenies: An approach using the bootstrap. Evolution. 1985;39:783-91.

10. Kumar S, Stecher G, Tamura K. MEGA7: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets. Mol Biol Evol. 2016;33:1870-4.

11. Abbott WS. A method of computing the effectiveness of an insecticide. J Econ Entomol. 1925;18:265-7.

12. Henderson CF, Tilton EW. Tests with acaricides against the brown wheat mite. J Econ Entomol. 1955;48:157-61.

13. Gerding M. Control Biológico de Avispa Chaqueta Amarilla (Vespula germanica) con hongos entomopatógenos. In Estay P, Ripa R, Gerding M, Araya J, Curkovic T, editors. Manejo Integrado de la Avispa Chaqueta Amarilla Vespula germanica (Fabricius) (Hymenoptera: Vespidae). Chile: Boletín N° INIA, 2008. pp. 41-49.

Tables
Table 1S Comparation between molecular marker sequences of B. pseudobassiana RGM 1747 and the type strain B. pseudobassiana ARSEF 3405

| Molecular marker | GenBank molecular number | Identity (%) | Coverage (%) |
|------------------|--------------------------|--------------|--------------|
|                  | strain RGM 1747          |              |              |
| Bloc             | MH048640                 | 99           | 100          |
| tef              | MH048641                 | 99           | 100          |
| rpb1             | MH048642                 | 99           | 93           |
| rpb2             | MH048643                 | 99           | 94           |
|                  | strain ARSEF 3405        |              |              |
| Bloc             | HQ880723                 |              |              |
| tef              | AY531931                 |              |              |
| rpb1             | HQ880723                 |              |              |
| rpb2             | HQ880936                 |              |              |

Figures
Figure 1

Phylogenetic tree of Beauveria strains based on multilocus analysis of Bloc, tef, rpb1, and rpb2. The evolutionary history was inferred using the neighbor-joining method. The evolutionary distances were computed using the Tamura 3-parameter method. Bootstrap values were over 50% (1000 replications). The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths measured in the number of substitutions per site. I. cicadae ARSEF 7260 was used as the outgroup. The strains associated with the type of material are indicated with diamonds, and our strain is indicated with a circle. NA, Not Available.
Efficacy of experiments in the laboratory, field and urban areas. a, Efficacy of the laboratory application in the pupae over 8 days. b, Efficacy of the field application of the EPF formulation on plants with controlled infestations. c, Efficacy of urban applications of the EPF formulation on infested vines in gardens. In both b and c, the treatments were as follows: the first treatment was performed with one application on day one. Treatment two was performed with two applications: one on day one and one on day seven. Treatment three was performed with three applications: on days one, seven and fourteen. Each treatment was inspected seven days after each application. The evaluation of efficacy was performed after 48 h at 25°C. The in vitro and field efficacy results were calculated with the method of Abbott. The efficacy results for the urban assay were calculated with the method of Henderson and Tilton. The same letters in each bar chart indicate that there was no statistically significant difference by Tukey’s HSD test (α=0.05).
Figure 3

Representative images of EPF colonization on pupae of Lobesia botrana. In the first row, images of a pupa subjected to the control treatment are shown. The lower row shows images of a pupa infected through EPF formulation treatment in the urban sector. The hyphae that penetrated the cuticle of the pupa are stained with lactophenol blue.