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Abstract: In ESL classroom, teachers need qualified reading material together with some questions exercises to fill students’ need to train their higher order thinking. This opinion is in accordance with Miskiah (2015,87). She said that the learning material plays a very important role in a learning process, it is the representation of teacher’s explanation in the classroom. On the other side, learning material is one of the learning aid to achieve the core competency. It also helps teachers in learning process, helps students to learn one component systematically so that the student may be able to master a certain competency completely and integratedly. Moreover, learning material may be defined as series of facts, concept, foundation, procedures, and a devised generalisation specifically to make the learning process becomes easier (Abidin, 2012:33). This research analyses the effectiveness a product of HOTS questions for reading narrative text on IX grade students of SMPN 2 Jorong. The procedure of instructional material development is aimed to make the material developed is suitable to the reader and the class condition. The research design applies 4D (four D model) Research and Development. According to Thiagarajan, (1974:6-9), this has 4 steps that is : defining, design, development, and disseminating. By the research the writer tries to dig students critical thinking and they can be the creative students in the future.
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Introduction

In recent years, a lot of emphasis has been given to the teaching of thinking skills in the ESL classroom. The Indonesian Constitution No 20 year 2003 article 3 aims at producing faithful, healthy, knowledgeable, smart, creative, self-supporting students and be democratic and responsible person. Based on the objective of the Indonesian government constitution, Higher Order Thinking skills (HOTS) assessments are introduced to schools for this purpose, to enable students to apply their knowledge in their real life, analyze it, evaluate it and think creatively.

HOTS assessments are also applied at schools in National Examination. They are inserted in form of questions that make the students think critically, analytically and smart. Poorly, based on the result of the National Examination, South Kalimantan gets lower National Examination scores compared with those of 2017. In 2017 the scores were 52.90 and they declined to 51.67 in 2018 with 1.23 percentage difference (https://www.kanalkalimantan.com, verified Feb 23, 2019).

For solving the problem of decreasing scores of Junior High School students on National Examination and the students’ analytic thinking, the researcher tries to make an instructional material contains exercises questions that may be able to arise students’ high order thinking skills. Students’ high order thinking skill (HOTS) is defined in terms of transfer, critical thinking and, problem solving (Brookhart, 2010).

Jack C Richards and Willy A Reinandya (2002:38) said that teaching reading process needs 6 (six) levels of thinking process, that is level of knowledge, comprehension, application, analysis, synthesis, and evaluation. These level should combine together in the learning process. If the students only learn several levels, the students mind wouldn’t grow creatively and think analytically. If the stimul in the teaching reading process doen’t cover one of the levels of thinking process it is predicted that that the students are not able to inspire the others to solve several problems they face.

Developing HOTS questions for reading narrative text also supports the government policy on Curriculum 2013. It has 4 (four) aspects to explore that is the aspects of knowledge, skill, affective, and attitude. It also supports the Minister Regulation No 21 year
of 2016. The regulation regulates the Contain Standards of the Middle and the Elementary Education. The regulation also writes that the students should master the core competition includes spiritual attitude, socially attitude, knowledge, and skill competency.

By this research, hopefully, the design of HOTS questions of narrative text material may improve the students’ HOTS level and creates faithful, creative, knowledgeable, and self-supporting students of Indonesia. It is expected also be inspireable writing for the other research about how to create and raise smart Indonesia students.

Product specification of the instructional material covers (1) the content of the material, (2) the material systematic, (3) The language feature, and (4) the material performance.

The content of the material specification is as follow:

A. The content is in accordance with the Basic Competence (KD) for the Junior High School grade IX That is Basic Competence 3.7 and Basic Competence 4.7. Basic Competence 3.7 states that the students are supposed to be able to compare the social function, text structure, language feature of some narrative text both written and orally by giving and asking the information related to fairy tales, shortly and simple according to the context. Basic Competence 4.7 states that the students are supposed to be able to get the meaning contextually related to the social function, text structure, and language feature on the narrative text both written and orally, very short and simple related to fairy tales.

B. The content is related to the narrative text, the Material contains the narrative text and the questions based on the text discussed, learns the language feature of narrative text, hopefully the students are able to tell the answer of the questions and retell the story with their point of view creatively.

C. The objectives of the lesson are to make the students be able to learn the narrative with the language features, think critically and creatively, analyse the text, and appreciate the narrative text.

D. The examples of the narrative text is taken from student text book, printed media, and digital media.

E. Reading practice is done by reading aloud, answering the questions, knowing the language features, listening the story, and retelling the story with their own version.

F. Evaluation is done by evaluating student’s reading ability, answering the question, knowledge of language features, and retelling the story with their own version.

Higher Order Thinking skills (HOTS) was introduced to schools for this purpose, to enable students to apply, analyse, evaluate and think creatively. HOTS is defined in terms of (1) transfer, (2) critical thinking and, (3) problem solving (Brookhart, 2010). In describing transfer, Brookhart (2010) states that students not only acquire knowledge and skills, but also the ability to apply the knowledge and skills to new situations. This applies to life outside of school where thinking is considered a series of transfer opportunities rather than a series of recalled assignments.

Norris and Ennis (1989) described critical thinking as referring to reasonable, reflective thinking to decide on what to believe or do. Students can therefore apply wise judgement or produce a reasoned critique.

Problem solving may be defined as a skill to find a solution to a problem that cannot be solved simply by memorizing (Collins, 2014). This includes the ability to remember
information, learn with understanding, critically evaluate ideas, formulating creative alternatives, and communicating effectively. The relationship between reading skills and critical thinking has been highlighted as a field in cognitive psychology.

Piaget (1952) presented three cognitive processes which are assimilation, accommodation, and equilibrium. Assimilation is explained as a continuous process that helps one to integrate new information with existing schemata. Accommodation is the process of developing or constructing new schemata because there is no prior schema to add on to or modify. Equilibrium is a balance between assimilation and accommodation. According to Rubin (1997), equilibrium process would enable a child to see similarities between the stimuli and assimilate them, and also determine when new schemata are needed for accommodation.

A number of researches support the idea that critical thinking and reading are interrelated (Beck, 1989; Ruggiero, 1984; Yu-hui et al., 2010). Critical thinking enables one to work out reading texts by generalizing and interpreting, analyzing according to prior or world knowledge and synthesis. However, the investigation is investigating of how teachers construct pedagogical content knowledge for teaching language skills by integrating HOTS in SMP N 2 Jorong (Malini & Sarjit, 2014).

Jack C Richards and Willy A Reinandya (2002:38) said that teaching reading process needs 6 (six) levels of thinking process, that is level of knowledge, comprehension, application, analysis, synthesis, and evaluation. These levels should combine together in the learning process. If the students only learn several levels, the students mind wouldn’t grow creatively and think analytically. If the stimulus in the teaching reading process doen’t cover the synthesis level it is predicted that the students are not able to inspire the others to solve several problems they face.

Discussion

to ease and make shorter the process of designing the product, the researcher limits the development just to six steps. Besides, the researcher also adapts the process with the needs of development.
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Figure 1. Design of Current Study (in Hermaniar 2013, adapted from Borg and Gall)

This studies the Material Development Expert Validation Try-out ProductIn developing the supplementary material for students of SMP N 2 Jorong, the model proposed by Borg and Gall is adapted. The model involves the following steps: needs assessment, reference study, material development, expert validation, tryout, and final product.
Based on the observation it is seen the activity of the students in the classroom

Table 1. Students activities in the classroom

| No | Aktivitas Siswa                          | Pra SIKLUS | Siklus 1 | Siklus 2 |
|----|-----------------------------------------|------------|----------|----------|
| 1  | Mental activities (mempertahankan penjelasan guru) | 15 siswa (43%) | 25 siswa (71%) | 34 siswa (97%) |
| 2  | Writing activities (mencatat/menulis)     | 17 siswa (49%) | 30 siswa (86%) | 33 siswa (94%) |
| 3  | Oral activities: (bertanya)              | 15 siswa (43%) | 20 siswa (57%) | 26 siswa (74%) |
| 4  | Oral activities: (menjawab)             | 13 siswa (37%) | 25 siswa (72%) | 32 siswa (91%) |
| 5  | Oral activities: (melakukan diskusi kelompok) | 15 siswa (43%) | 25 siswa (71%) | 35 siswa (100%) |
|    | Rata - rata                             | 15 siswa    | 25 siswa  | 32 siswa  |
|    | Persentase                              | 42.85%      | 71.42%    | 91.42%    |

A. Hasil Belajar Siswa

Based on the data taken from the test, it can be seen that the scores of the students are increasing. The applying of HOTS questions is repaired and applied in cycle 2. After doing cycle 2, the students’ scores are increasing significantly.

Table 2. Students test results on applying HOTS questions of narrative text in grade IX C SMP Negeri 2 Jorong.

| No  | Aktivitas Siswa                          | Pra SIKLUS | Siklus 1 | Siklus 2 |
|-----|-----------------------------------------|------------|----------|----------|
| 1   | Mental activities (mempertahankan penjelasan guru) | 15 siswa (43%) | 25 siswa (71%) | 34 siswa (97%) |
| 2   | Writing activities (mencatat/menulis)    | 17 siswa (49%) | 30 siswa (86%) | 33 siswa (94%) |
| 3   | Oral activities: (bertanya)              | 15 siswa (43%) | 20 siswa (57%) | 26 siswa (74%) |
| 4   | Oral activities: (menjawab)             | 13 siswa (37%) | 25 siswa (72%) | 32 siswa (91%) |
| 5   | Oral activities: (melakukan diskusi kelompok) | 15 siswa (43%) | 25 siswa (71%) | 35 siswa (100%) |
|     | Rata - rata                             | 15 siswa    | 25 siswa  | 32 siswa  |
|     | Persentase                              | 42.85%      | 71.42%    | 91.42%    |

Briefly, material is an important element during the learning process. Appropriate material will catch students’ interest that motivate them to know what they could get today in learning. Students would expect they will learn something, know new information, and can think creatively based on the story they learnt. We cannot deny that students nowadays are getting bored with the old stuff or maybe some teachers are not using material optimally.

Conclusion and Suggestion

Briefly, material is an important element during the learning process. Appropriate material will catch students’ interest that motivate them to know what they could get today in learning. Students would expect they will learn something new, know new information, and can think creatively based on the story they learnt. We cannot deny that students nowadays are getting bored with the old stuff or maybe some teachers are not using material optimally.
This would cause lack of motivation and lead to boredom. Teachers are models for students in the learning process. Teachers should be more creative, unique, and can create the comfort environment in the class. Teaching is not only giving tons of exercises but also creating activities that will make students more interested but enhancing students’ comprehension of what they are studied.
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