Characterizations and Photothermal Properties of Narrow Bandgap Conjugated Polymer Nanoparticles
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Abstract Photothermal therapy (PTT) is a minimally invasive treatment that kills cancer cells by converting photon energy into heat. The past few decades have witnessed the booming development of photothermal materials, mainly focusing on precious metal nanomaterials and carbon nanomaterials, such as nanogold and silver and nanocarbon materials for near-infrared (NIR) light-triggered PTT. As precious metals are expensive and potentially harmful to humans, exploration and development of a new type of photothermal materials has become a research hotspot in this field. Herein, we report narrow bandgap conjugated polymer nanoparticles (PDPP NPs) based on pyrrolo[3,4-c]pyrrole-1,4-dione (DPP) with intense NIR absorption at 900 nm, as well as a photothermal energy conversion efficiency of 75%. This polymer nanoparticle is essentially non-toxic, as the cell viability of mouse remained more than 90%, even when the concentration of PDPP NPs was at 0.5 mg·mL\textsuperscript{-1}.
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INTRODUCTION

The photothermal therapy (PTT) method relies on the use of a photothermal material to convert light energy into heat under an external light source (usually near-infrared light).\textsuperscript{[1]} The heat generated by converting light energy kills cancer cells. Since the transparent window of the biological tissue is located in the NIR region, an ideal photothermal agent should have strong NIR absorption and can effectively transfer the absorbed light energy into the heat.\textsuperscript{[2,3]} PTT also has a good development prospect for its obvious therapeutic effect, minimally invasive, and little or no side effects.\textsuperscript{[4−9]} Various nanomaterials with good photothermal properties, such as graphene oxide nanosheets,\textsuperscript{[10]} carbon nanomaterials,\textsuperscript{[11]} gold nanostuctures,\textsuperscript{[12−14]} CuS,\textsuperscript{[15]} and transition metal disulfide, have been widely used in PTT. However, inorganic nanomaterials tend to have poor biodegradability and are difficult to be discharged from the body. Metal nanoparticles are potentially very toxic to human. In view of this, there is an urgent need to search for other suitable photothermal materials that are nontoxic, biocompatible, and highly efficient for use in PPT.

Conjugated polymers with intense absorption in the NIR spectral region can be dissolved or dispersed in aqueous medium for biological applications and PTT. Pyrrolo[3,4-c]pyrrole-1,4-dione (DPP) moiety, which has excellent stability and high molar extinction coefficient, has been proven to be a strong electron withdrawing unit and was used to construct donor-acceptor (D-A) polymers for PTT.\textsuperscript{[16−25]} Yang et al. designed and synthesized three NIR absorption compounds based on thieno[2,3-b]indole (TI) and DPP, while only DPP-TI possessed excellent effective photothermal conversion efficiency (15.8% under the induction of 660 nm laser) as nanoparticles in water.\textsuperscript{[17]} Cai et al. synthesized DPP derivatives grafting hyaluronic acid (DTDPP-HA) involving complicated synthesis steps.\textsuperscript{[18]} In this work, in order to further improve the efficiency of photothermal conversion, a narrow band gap conjugated polymer containing DPP moiety was designed and synthesized through Suzuki coupling reaction and its nanoparticles were prepared and characterized.

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials

3,6-Bis-(5-bromothiophen-2-yl)-2,5-bis-(2-hexyldecyl)-2,5-dihydropyrrolo[3,4-c]pyrrole-1,4-dione (M1) and 2,5-bis(2-hexyldecyl)-3,6-bis(5-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)thiophen-2-yl)-2,5-dihydropyrrolo[3,4-c]pyrrole-1,4-dione (M2), tri(o-tolyl)phosphine (P(o-tol)), tri(dibenzy-lidenecaceton) dipalladium (Pd_2(dbac)), and polyether F-127 were purchased.
from Energy Chemical. Toluene and K₃PO₄ were purchased from Tianjin Chemical Co., Ltd., China. All of the reagents were of analytical grade and used as received without purification. The resistivity of water used in all experiments was 15 MΩ·cm.

**Synthesis of the Polymer**

The target polymer PDPP was synthesized by coupling monomers M₁ and M₂ in good yield (Scheme 1). In a typical Suzuki cross-coupling reaction, M₁ (136.1 mg, 0.15 mmol) and M₂ (150.0 mg, 0.15 mmol) were charged into a 50-mL flask. Aliquot 336, P(o-tol)₃ (3.65 mg, 0.012 mmol), Pd₂(dba)₃ (2.75 mg, 0.003 mmol), toluene (10 mL), and 2 mol·L⁻¹ K₃PO₄ (5 mL) were then added. The mixture was first purged with nitrogen for 30 min, and gradually heated to 110 °C for 72 h. The mixture was then cooled to room temperature and the solution was added into methanol (50 mL) for precipitation. The polymer powder was first washed with acetone (24 h) and hexane (24 h) in Soxhlet extractor, and then extracted with chloroform (24 h). After concentrated, the chloroform solution was added dropwise to methanol. Then, the polymer was obtained by filtered and dried under vacuum at 50 °C for 24 h. The polymer was obtained as black solid (157 mg, 70% yield).

**Preparation of the PDPP NPs**

PDPP (10 mg) and polyether F-127 (100 mg) were separately dissolved in THF (1 mL). Then, these two solutions (0.1 mL of each) were mixed. The mixture was quickly injected into pure water (10 mL) via syringe under ultrasonic, which was left in open air to let THF completely evaporate off. A small amount of precipitate was filtered off through a 0.45 μm filter to obtain a clear stable solution of the nanoparticles. The filtered solution was then freeze-dried to yield a powdery product, which was stored at a low temperature.

**Cell Culture**

L929 cells were supplied by Sigma-Aldrich. The cells were cultured in a Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI-1640) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 2 mmol·L⁻¹ L-glutamine, and 0.086 μmol·L⁻¹ dexamethasone hydrochloride. The cells were harvested via trypsin and resuspended in a fresh complete medium before plating. L929 cells were seeded onto a 96-well plate at a density of 4 × 10⁴ cells per well. Cultures were incubated in RPMI-1640 supplemented with 10% FBS in a humidified 5% CO₂/95% air incubator at 37 °C. The medium was replaced with 100 μL of different concentrations of PDPP NPs for 24 h incubation after cells attachment. After treating these mouse cells with an 808 nm laser irradiation at the power of 1.5 W·cm⁻² for 5 min, the cell viability was determined quantitatively by CCK-8 analysis. The CCK-8 was added to the wells and incubated for 2 h to measure the viability of cells.

**Characterizations**

The UV-Vis-NIR absorption spectra of PDPP film and PDPP NPs solution were recorded on a Shimadzu UV-3600 spectrophotometer. The morphologies of PDPP NPs were observed with transmittance electron microscopy (TEM, Phenom LE). The molecular weight of PDPP was measured by gel permeation chromatography (GPC) with polystyrene as standards and chloroform as eluent. Photothermal spectra of PDPP NPs under 808-nm irradiation were recorded on a Ti480 thermal imaging camera.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Synthesis and Characterization**

The target polymer PDPP was synthesized by the procedure in Scheme 1. The molecular weight of PDPP was measured by gel permeation chromatography (GPC) with polystyrene as standards and chloroform as eluent. The number-average molecular weight (Mₙ) was 69.6 kg·mol⁻¹, while the polydispersity index (PDI) was 1.9. The UV-Vis-NIR absorption spectra of PDPP in chloroform are shown in Fig. 1. The dual-band n-n* absorption peak was observed in the wavelength range of 360−450 nm. There was a low energy absorption with a maximum absorption at 928 nm and a shoulder at 820 nm, owing to the D-A charge transfer between the donor and acceptor units. The molar extinction coefficient (ε) was 26.3 cm⁻¹·g⁻¹·L⁻¹.

The PDPP NPs were prepared through ultrasonic self-assembly method. There were some precipitates in the prepared nanoparticle aqueous dispersion, which became clear after filtration (Fig. 2). The particle size of the PDPP NPs measured by laser particle size analyser was in the range of 50−80 nm. The morphology of the PDPP NPs was observed by transmission electron microscopy (TEM). As shown in Fig. 3, the PDPP NPs appeared to be in a spherical shape, indicating that the nanoparticles were successfully prepared. The UV-Vis-NIR absorption spectra of PDPP NPs in chloroform are shown in Fig. 1. In comparison with the spectrum of PDPP in chloroform, the NPs show similar absorption profile over 650−950 nm but with a blue-shift, mainly due to the light scattering caused by the particles. The maximal absorption peak is at 900 nm, well covering the wavelength of typical 808-nm NIR laser (Fig. 1).

**Photothermal Performance of PDPP NPs**

The PDPP NPs aqueous dispersions (0.5 mL) with different
In order to determine the photothermal conversion capacity of the PDPP NPs, the temperature variation of the aqueous dispersion (0.5 mg·mL⁻¹) was recorded under 808 nm laser irradiation at the power of 0.5 W·cm⁻² (Fig. 5a). The photothermal conversion efficiency (η) was calculated according to the method reported by Roper et al.\(^\text{25}\) and the time-temperature curve (Fig. 5). The calculation equation is as follows:

\[
\eta = \frac{hA(T_{\text{MAX}} - T_{\text{surr}}) - Q_{\text{dis}}}{I(1 - 10^{-A_{808}})} \tag{1}
\]

where \(h\) is the coefficient of heat transfer, \(A\) is the superficial area of the receptacle, \(T_{\text{MAX}}\) and \(T_{\text{surr}}\) represent the maximal temperature (45 °C) (Fig. 5a) and room-temperature (25 °C), \(I\) represents laser power density (0.5 W·cm⁻²), and \(A_{808}\) represents the absorbance of PDPP NPs at the wavelength of 808 nm. \(Q_{\text{dis}}\) is the heat generation power after the solvent absorbs light, and it indicates how much heat can be generated per unit time. Generally, \(Q_{\text{dis}}\) of pure water is 14 mW, and \(hA\) is calculated according to the Eq. (2):

\[
\tau_s = \frac{m_0C_D}{hA} \tag{2}
\]

where \(m_0\) is the mass (0.5 g) of pure water while \(C_D\) is the heat capacity (4.2 J·g⁻¹·K⁻¹). \(\tau_s\) was calculated according to Eq. (3):

\[
t = -\frac{\tau_s}{\ln \theta} \tag{3}
\]
where \( \theta \) is the ratio of \( \Delta T \) to \( \Delta T_{\text{MAX}} \), and \( \tau_s \) is calculated from Fig. 5(b).

It was further determined through measurement and calculation that \( \eta \) of the PDPP NPs was about 75\%, which was higher than the values of most previously reported PTT reagents such as Au nanorods (21.0\%).\(^{[26]}\) Dpa-melanin CNSs (40.0\%).\(^{[27]}\) and C16 pBDP@HSA (37.5\%).\(^{[28]}\) Such a high photothermal conversion efficiency further illustrated that the PDPP NPs had a good application prospect in NIR PTT.

**Stability of the PDPP NPs**

To further investigate the storage stability of the nanoparticles, the aqueous dispersion of PDPP NPs (0.5 mg·mL\(^{-1}\)) was examined at different storage time. No visible changes were observed after the aqueous dispersion was stored under room-temperature conditions for 30 days (Fig. 6). This result confirmed the good stability of PDPP NPs.

![Fig. 5](image-url)  
(a) Photothermal response of PDPP NPs (0.5 mg·mL\(^{-1}\)) upon irradiation with 808 nm light (0.5 W·cm\(^{-2}\)) for 10 min and then allowed to cool naturally. (b) Relationship of temperature and time during the cooling off period in (a).

![Fig. 6](image-url)  
Photographs of the PDPP NPs (0.5 mg·mL\(^{-1}\)) after different storage time of (a) 1 day, (b) 7 days, (c) 14 days, and (d) 30 days.

![Fig. 7](image-url)  
Temperature variations over 5 irradiation/cooling cycles (PDPP NPs 0.5 mg·mL\(^{-1}\), 808 nm light, 1.5 W).

**Detection of Biototoxicity of the PDPP NPs**

To further explore the feasibility of the PDPP NPs for PTT, the survival rate of mouse cells in different concentrations of the PDPP NPs was also explored (Fig. 8). CCK-8 analysis was used to quantitatively determine cell viability, after treating these mouse cells with PDPP NPs under an 808 nm laser irradiation.

![Fig. 8](image-url)  
Cell viability of mouse L929 cells when different concentrations of PDPP NPs were added.
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at the power of 1.5 W·cm⁻² for 5 min. Even when the concentration of the PDPP NPs was up to 500 μg·mL⁻¹, the cell viability still reached more than 90%. This preliminary result proved that PDPP NPs had good biocompatibility to mouse cells. Meanwhile, it also suggested great development prospects for PDPP NPs as PTT reagents.

CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we synthesized and characterized a new type of photothermal nanomaterials based on DPP conjugated polymer (PDPP). The PDPP NPs had broad UV-Vis-NIR absorption, high photothermal stability, and no or very low toxicity to mouse cells, making it potentially suitable for use in NIR PTT.

REFERENCES

1. Mi, Y.; Shao, Z. Y.; Vang, J.; Kaidar, O.; Wang, A. Z. Application of nanotechnology to cancer radiotherapy. Cancer Nano. 2016, 7, 11.
2. Zhao, W.; Li, A. H.; Zhang, A. T.; Zheng, Y. W.; Liu, J. Q. Recent advance in functional-polymer-decorated transional-metal nanomaterials for biocaching and cancer therapy. Chem. Med. Chem. 2018, 13, 2134–2149.
3. Zhao, J. Y.; Zhong, D.; Zhou, S. B. NIR-I-to-NIR-II fluorescent nanomaterials for biomedical imaging and cancer therapy. J. Mater. Chem. B 2018, 6, 349.
4. Li, J. C.; Rao, J. H.; Pu, K. Y. Recent progress on semiconducting polymer nanomaterials for molecular imaging and cancer phototherapy. Biomedical Polymers and Materials 2019, 7, 1704247.
5. Hyo, S. J.; Verwilst, P.; Sharma, A. Organic molecule-based photothermal agents: an expanding photothermal therapy universe. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2018, 47, 2280.
6. Cai, Y.; Si, W. L.; Huang, W. Organic dye-based nanoparticles for cancer phototheranostics. Small 2018, 14, 1704247.
7. Chen, Q.; Xu, L. L.; Liang, C. Photothermal therapy with immune-adjuvant nanoparticles together with checkpoint blockade for effective cancer immunotherapy. Nat. Commun. 2016, 7, 13193.
8. Liu, Y. J.; Bhattara, P.; Dai, Z. F. Photothermal therapy and photoacoustic imaging via nanotheranostics in fighting cancer. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2019, 48, 2053.
9. Zhen, X.; Feng, X. H.; Xie, C.; Zheng, Y. J.; Pu, K. Y. Surface engineering of semiconductor polymer nanoparticles for amplified photoacoustic imaging. Biomaterials 2017, 127, 97–106.
10. Syama, S.; Mohanan, P. V. Comprehensive application of graphene: emphasis on biomedical concerns. Nano-Micro. Lett. 2019, 11, 6.
11. Jiang, B. P.; Zhou, B.; Lin, Z. X.; Liang, H.; Shen, K. C. Recent advances in carbon nanomaterials for cancer phototherapy. Chem. Eur. J. 2019, 25, 3993–4004.
12. Poursalehi, Z.; Saleh, R.; Samad, N.; Rastad, H. S.; Mansoorie, B. A simple strategy for chemo-photothermal ablation of breast cancer cells by novel smart gold nanoparticles. Photodiagn. Photodyn. 2019, 28, 25–37.
13. Oluwole, D. O.; Manotob, S. L.; Malabib, R.; Maphangab, C. Evaluation of the photophysicochemical properties and photodetected activity of nanoconjugates of zinc phthalocyanine linked to glutathione capped Au and Au₃Ag₁ nanoparticles. Dyes Pigments 2018, 150, 139–150.
14. Lapatynsky, A. M.; Malymon, Y. O.; Lytvyn, V. K.; Mogylyni, I. V.; Rachkov, A. E. Solid and hollow gold nanostructures for nanomedicine: comparison of photothermal properties. Photonics 2018, 13, 1659–1669.
15. Rozanova, N.; Zhang, J. Z. Photothermal ablation therapy for cancer based on metal nanostructures. Sci. China B 2019, 10, 1559–1575.
16. Gong, X.; Tong, M.; Xia, Y.; Cai, W.; Moon, J. S.; Cao, Y. High-Detectivity polymer photodetectors with spectral response from 300 nm to 1450 nm. Science 2009, 325, 1655–1667.
17. Yang, J.; Cai, Y.; Zhou, Y. X.; Zhang, C. X.; Liang, P. P.; Zhao, B. M.; Shao, J. J.; Fu, N. N.; Huang, W.; Dong, X. C. Highly effective thiene(2,3-b)indole-diketopyrrolopyrole near-infrared photosensitizer for photodynamic/photothermal dual mode therapy. Dyes Pigments 2017, 147, 270–282.
18. Cai, Y.; Tang, Q. Y.; Wu, X. J.; Si, W. L.; Huang, W. Diketopyrrolopyrole derivatives grafting hyaluronic acid for targeted photodynamic therapy. Chem. Select 2016, 1, 3071–3074.
19. Wang, W.; Zhang, F.; Bai, H.; Li, L.; Gao, M.; Zhang, M. Photomultiplication photodetectors with P3HT: fullerene-free material as the active layers exhibiting a broad response. Nanoscale 2016, 8, 5578–5586.
20. Qi, J.; Zhou, X. K.; Yang, D. Z.; Qiao, W. Q.; Wang, Z. Y. Optimization of solubility, film morphology and photodetector performance by molecular side-chain engineering of low-bandgap thienothiadiazole-based polymers. Adv. Funct. Mater. 2014, 24, 7605–7612.
21. Lyu, Y.; Fang, Y.; Miao, Q.; Zhen, X.; Ding, D.; Pu, K. Intraparticle molecular orbital engineering of semiconducting polymer nanoparticles as amplified theranostics for in vivo photoacoustic imaging and photothermal therapy. ACS Nano 2016, 10, 4472–4481.
22. Dong, R.; Bi, C.; Dong, Q. F.; Guo, F. W.; Yuan, Y. B.; Fang, Y. J.; Xiao, Z. G.; Huang, J. S. An ultraviolet-to-NIR broad spectral nanocomposite photodetector with gain. Adv. Opt. Mater. 2014, 2, 549–554.
23. Hendriks, K. H.; Heintges G. H. L.; Gevaerts, V. S.; Wienk, M. M.; Janssen, R. A. J. High-molecular-weight regular alternating diketopyrrolopyrrole-based terpolymers for efficient organic solar cells. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 8341–8344.
24. Li, W. T.; Wang, L. Y.; Tang, H. Diketopyrrolopyrole-based fluorescent probes for detection and bioimaging: current progresses and perspectives. Dyes Pigments 2019, 162, 934–950.
25. Roper, D. K.; Ahn, W.; Hoeﬂinger, M. Microscale heat transfer transduced by surface plasmonresonant gold nanoparticles. J. Phys. Chem. C 2007, 111, 3636–3641.
26. Hessel, C. M.; Pattani, V. P.; Rasch, M.; Panthani, M. G. Copper selenide nanocrystals for photothermal therapy. Nano Lett. 2011, 11, 2560–2566.
27. Liu, Y. L.; Ai, K. L.; Liu, J. H.; Deng, M.; He, Y. Y.; Lu, L. H. Dopamine-selenin colloidal nanospheres: an efficient near-infrared photothermal therapeutic agent for in vivo cancer therapy. Adv. Mater. 2013, 25, 1353–1359.
28. Zhang, W.; Lin, W. H.; Wang, X.; Li, C. N.; Liu, S.; Xie, Z. G. Hybrid nanomaterials of conjugated polymers and albumin for precise photothermal therapy. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2019, 11, 278–287.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s10118-020-2420-z