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Abstract

**Background:** Young gay, bisexual, and other men who have sex with men (YMSM) are vulnerable to the risks associated with sexualized substance use. This is a novel study in Singapore that aims to classify patterns of sexualized substance use among YMSM, and investigate its association with sexual and mental health outcomes.

**Methods:** In this cross-sectional study among 570 YMSM aged 18 to 25 years old, latent class analysis (LCA) conducted to identify classes with similar patterns of sexualized substance use, across which measures of inconsistent condom use, recent STI diagnoses, past suicide ideation and depression severity were compared.

**Results:** LCA revealed three classes of YMSM based on types of substances ever used in sexualized contexts, which we labelled as ‘substance-naïve’, ‘substance-novice’, and ‘chemsex’. Substance-naïve participants (n=404) had only ever used alcohol, while substance-novice participants (n=143) were primarily amyl nitrite users with a small proportion who reported using chemsex-related drugs. Chemsex partipants (n=23) comprised individuals who had mostly used such drugs. Those in the chemsex group were more likely to report recent unprotected anal sex with casual partners, depression severity and a history of suicide ideation.

**Conclusions:** Findings of this study highlight how the use of varying substances in sexualized contexts may be classified and characterized by different sexual and mental health outcomes. Health promotion efforts should be differentiated accordingly to address the risks associated with sexualized substance use among YMSM.

**Background**

A total of approximately 37.9 million people were living with HIV as of 2017 (1). Gay, bisexual, and other men who have sex with men (GBMSM) constitute a key population that continues to be disproportionately affected by HIV on a global scale (2). Within communities of GBMSM, young gay, bisexual, and other men who have sex with men (YMSM) experience a greater burden of HIV and other sexually transmitted infections (STI) risk compared to their older counterparts, which may be attributed to a higher incidence of risky sexual behaviors, the lack of help-seeking behaviors, and sexualized substance use (3, 4).

Past studies have shown that the prevalence of drug use is higher among GBMSM, compared to the general heterosexual male population, and is often used in sexualized contexts (5–7). YMSM are also especially vulnerable to substance use disorders and the risks associated with them (8–10). Sexualized substance use has also been referred to by other terms such as ‘chill fun’, ‘chemsex’, ‘party and play’ and ‘wired sex’, which vary by country setting, and typically denote the use of substances such as mephedrone, amyl nitrites (poppers), gamma-hydroxybutyrate/gamma-butyrolactone (GHB/GBL), crystal methamphetamine (meth), as well as drugs usually prescribed for erectile dysfunction (ED) before or during sexual activity (11–13). Chemsex has been found to be positively associated with incidence of STI, behaviors associated with HIV and other STI risk acquisition, as well as poorer mental health outcomes (14, 15).

Apart from the use of typically illicit substances that characterize chemsex, heavy alcohol use has been established to be common among GBMSM, especially in developed country settings (6, 16, 17). Past studies have also found that individuals who identify with any sexual minority status, including GBMSM, had initiated alcohol use at a younger age, compared to their heterosexual counterparts (18, 19). An early onset of alcohol initiation among GBMSM has been found to be associated with a greater number of lifetime sexual partners, elevated levels of depressive symptoms, and alcohol abuse later in life (20). Heavy alcohol use among GBMSM, and in particular the use of alcohol during or after sex, has also been found to be associated with behaviors associated with HIV acquisition risk, such as unprotected anal intercourse (21–23); however, these findings have been inconsistent due to methodological differences in measuring alcohol use and the lack of experimental study designs (24).

Past studies employing latent class analysis have found that substance use patterns may not be homogenous among GBMSM, and these classes are characterized by a range of substance use-related behaviors, from GBMSM who do not use substances in sexualized contexts, to those who engage in polydrug use during sex. These studies also find that these classes may be associated with varying sexual risk behaviors (14, 25). Similarly, the present study will conduct latent class analysis on data from the baseline analysis of the Pink Carpet Y Cohort Study (PCYCS), Singapore’s first prospective cohort study among YMSM, to explore classes of sexualized substance use and its association with other sexual and mental health outcomes. This study is noteworthy as it is novel in the present setting, and allows us to better understand the risk factors that may be associated with substance use initiation among YMSM.

**Methods**

**Country setting**

GBMSM are disproportionately represented in prevalent cases of HIV in Singapore. As of 2019, a total of 8,295 incident HIV infections among Singapore residents have been notified to the Singapore ministry of health (MOH). In 2011, yearly incident cases of HIV transmitted through male ‘homosexual or bisexual’ modes exceeded that of ‘heterosexual’ modes for the first time, and that trend has persisted since (26). Recent studies have found that a majority of Singapore residents hold negative perceptions of, and attitudes towards lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender (LGBT) individuals. Specifically, most perceived same-sex relationships as being wrong, and are also not in favor of the repeal of Section 377A, the law that criminalizes sexual relations between men (27, 28). Past studies have also established the negative impact and trickle-down effects that such stigma has on HIV prevention efforts among GBMSM in Singapore (29-31).
Participants and recruitment

The PCYCS is a prospective cohort study exploring the syndemic risks associated with HIV and other sexually transmitted infections (STI) acquisition among YMSM in Singapore. This study was a partnership between Action for AIDS Singapore (AFA), one of Singapore's longest-running community-based organizations serving the health of GBMSM, and the National University of Singapore (NUS). To be eligible for this cohort, participants had to be HIV-negative or unsure of their HIV status, between the ages of 18 to 25 years old, Singapore citizens or permanent residents, and identify as gay, bisexual, or queer men at the point of recruitment, which spanned across May to September 2019. Participants were asked to self-report these attributes. Assuming a population of 210,000 GBMSM in Singapore (32), we targeted recruitment of at least 384 participants to achieve a 95% confidence level and 5% margin of error. However, 600 participants were targeted for recruitment to account for potential attrition at each follow-up for this cohort study.

Participants were invited to participate in this study through a recruitment flyer that was disseminated through both online (e.g. social media) and offline (e.g. at the organization's office or outreach activities) channels by a network of community-based organizations in Singapore who are engaged in health advocacy-related activities for GBMSM. Participants who were interested in participating and were eligible for the study signed up through an enrolment link with their self-reported alias, contact details, date of birth, gender, HIV status, sexual orientation, and their residence status. An AFA staff member subsequently verified the eligibility of participants who had signed up prior to sending them a unique identifier, and a link for the baseline survey.

It was imperative for the team to ensure that participants' identities their data would remain confidential, as drug use and sexual relations between men are criminalized in Singapore. To do so, the researchers ensured that no staff member from AFA or NUS had full access to either the enrolment details held by AFA which contained aliases and contact details of participants, and the baseline survey results held by NUS. Both sets of data were only linked by the unique identifier which participants entered at the beginning of the survey. Upon completion of the survey, a NUS staff member provided AFA with the unique identifiers who had completed the baseline survey, and an SGD20.00 (approximately USD15.00) cash reimbursement was given to the participant. A total of 570 participants were recruited at the baseline of the cohort; the response rate could not be established as it was not possible to ascertain the total number of eligible participants that the recruitment flyers had reached. Participants could also refer their friends to participate in the survey and be reimbursed SGD5.00 (approximately USD3.75) for each eligible individual successfully referred and who had completed the baseline survey; a total of 171 (30.0%) of participants were recruited through referrals.

Ethics declaration

Ethics approval was obtained from the institutional review board at the National University of Singapore (NUS-IRB Reference Code S-19-007) prior to data collection.

Variable measures

The survey collected sociodemographic information from respondents, including age (in years), ethnicity (Chinese vs non-Chinese), gender (cisgender vs non-cisgender), sexual orientation (gay vs non-gay), and monthly household income (SGD5000 and above vs below SGD5000; SGD5000 is approximately USD3668.94). As the YMSM in our sample included respondents who were still schooling, educational attainment and gross monthly personal income were omitted as variables, though they were collected in the baseline survey. Household income was thus collected and utilized as a proxy variable for socioeconomic status among participants.

Participants were asked if they had ever used a series of substances in sexual contexts, including alcohol, poppers, meth, GHB/GBL as well as other ED medication or drugs (e.g. Viagra, Cialis, 'black ants'). For sexual health outcomes, participants were asked about their patterns of unprotected anal sex, as well as STI diagnoses in the last six months. Unprotected anal sex with casual partners in the last six months was coded as a binary variable (yes vs no), and was derived from a series of questions that solicited frequency of self-reported condom use through a five-point Likert scale from 1 to 5, with 1 being that they did not use condoms and 5 being that they had always used condoms; this question was repeated for permutations of oral and anal sex with regular, casual, and sex worker partners in the last six months. Participants who had not used condoms all the time with casual and sex worker partners in the last six months were coded as 'yes' under this variable. Participants who also reported being tested positive for either gonorrhoea, syphilis, chlamydia, genital herpes, genital warts or hepatitis C were assigned as having been diagnosed with an STI in the past six months through a binary (yes vs no) variable.

For mental health outcomes, both depression severity and past suicide ideation were measured. Depression severity, which was measured through the well-established, nine-item patient health questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9) validated by Kroenke and colleagues (33, 34). Participants were asked "over the last 2 weeks, how often have you been bothered by any of the following problems?" to a total of nine statements, to which they could respond to four possible answers on a Likert scale; 1 being not at all and 4 being nearly every day. Depression severity was measured as an index that was the sum score of all nine items, with a minimum score of 0 and a maximum score of 27. Cronbach's alpha of the scale was reported as 0.92. Participants were also asked about their suicide-related behaviors, including if they had ever contemplated suicide by responding to three possible answers: yes, no, or prefer not to say.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was carried out using the statistical software STATA version 15 (Stata Corp, College Station, TX, USA). We employed descriptive statistics to identify trends in sample characteristics. Latent class analysis was performed with STATA's gsem function to delineate classes of drug use in sexualized contexts. The chosen variables included a history of using alcohol, poppers, meth, GHB/GBL as well as other ED medication or drugs (e.g. Viagra, Cialis, 'black ants') in sexualized contexts. A latent class model was employed, whereby the conditional item probabilities for each class and class probabilities were
estimated through maximum likelihood procedures. A posteriori probabilities were calculated using the predict post-estimation command. In order to determine the number of clusters, models with consecutively increasing were estimated and compared using both Akaike and Bayesian information criteria (AIC and BIC), but BIC was favored given its reliance on both the log-likelihood and the adjusted sample size (35). Given that the three-class model had the lowest AIC and BIC values, it was thus reported in this study. A summary of goodness-of-fit statistics are provided in Supplementary Table S1. Entropy, which provides a measure of how well individuals fit into each class, was also taken into account to determine the fit of the model. Following identification of latent classes, we sought to determine the association between varying classes with outcome variables of unprotected anal sex, STI diagnoses, depression severity and past suicide ideation while adjusting for key sociodemographic covariates. We employed multivariable Poisson regression models with robust sandwich variances to compute the crude prevalence ratio (PR) and adjusted prevalence ratio (aPR) estimating these outcome variables. Statistical significance was set at p<0.05. Analysis for this study was not pre-registered and the results reported here should be considered exploratory.

Results

Sociodemographic attributes and description of analytic sample

| Variables                                                                 | n / Mean | % / SD |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|--------|
| Age                                                                       | 21.9     | 2.17   |
| Chinese ethnicity (Ref=Non-Chinese)                                       | 478      | 83.9%  |
| Cisgender male (Ref=Transgender, genderqueer, or others)                  | 525      | 92.1%  |
| Gay (Ref=Bisexual, queer, or others)                                      | 408      | 71.6%  |
| Monthly household income ≥ SGD5000 (Ref <SGD5000)                         | 203      | 35.6%  |
| Ever had sexualized substance use with                                     |          |        |
| Alcohol                                                                   | 190      | 33.3%  |
| Amyl nitrites (Poppers)                                                   | 161      | 28.3%  |
| Crystal methamphetamine (Meth)                                            | 27       | 4.7%   |
| Gamma-hydroxybutyrate/gamma-butyrolactone (GHB/GBL)                      | 27       | 4.7%   |
| Erectile dysfunction drugs (ED)                                            | 26       | 4.6%   |
| Ever contemplated suicide                                                 | 308      | 54.0%  |
| Unprotected anal sex with casual partners in the last 6 months             | 96       | 16.8%  |
| Tested positive for an STI in the last 6 months                           | 39       | 6.8%   |
| Depression severity (PHQ-9)                                               | 7.9      | 6.79   |

Abbreviation: SD, Standard Deviation; STI, Sexually Transmitted Infections; GHB/GBL, Gamma-Hydroxybutyrate/Gamma-Butyrolactone; PHQ-9, Patient Health Questionnaire-9

A total of 570 participants were recruited in this study. Table 1 summarizes the sociodemographic attributes and overall description of the analytic sample. In terms of their sociodemographic attributes, the mean age of the sample was 21.9 years (SD=2.17). 83.9% of the participants identified as Chinese (n=478), 92.1% identified as cisgender male (n=525), 71.6% identified as gay (n=408), and 35.6% reported a monthly household income of SGD5000 and above (n=203). A total of 33.5% (n=189), 28.0% (n=158), 4.6% (n=26), 4.6% (n=26), and 4.6% (n=26) reported ever using alcohol, poppers, meth, GHB/GBL, and ED medication or drugs in sexual contexts, respectively.

With regard to the outcome variables of the study, participants reported a mean score of 7.9 (SD=6.79) on depression severity, while most participants had ever contemplated suicide (n=308, 54.1%). A total of 16.8% of participants also reported unprotected anal sex with casual partners in the last six months (n=96), while 6.7% (n=38) reported testing positive for an STI in the past six months.

Patterns of sexualized substance use
Table 2  
Description of analytic sample by predicted substance-using classes (n=570)

| Variables | Substance-Naïve (n=404) | Substance-Novice (n=143) | Chemsex (n=23) |
|-----------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|
|           | n/ Mean | %/SD | n/ Mean | %/SD | n/ Mean | %/SD | Fisher's Exact Test | p-value |
| Age       | 21.7    | 2.18 | 22.4   | 2.11 | 22.3    | 1.77 | .001          |
| Chinese ethnicity (Ref=Non-Chinese) | 336 | 83.2% | 124 | 86.7% | 18 | 78.3% | .0436 |
| Cisgender male (Ref=Transgender, genderqueer, or others) | 365 | 90.4% | 139 | 97.2% | 21 | 91.3% | .018 |
| Gay (Ref=Bisexual, queer, or others) | 268 | 66.3% | 122 | 85.3% | 18 | 78.3% | <.001 |
| Monthly household income ≥ SGD5000 (Ref < SGD5000) | 131 | 32.4% | 65 | 45.5% | 7 | 30.4% | .019 |
| Ever had sexualized substance use with | | | | | | |
| Alcohol | 96 | 76.2% | 81 | 56.6% | 13 | 56.5% | <.001 |
| Amyl nitrites (Poppers) | 0 | 0.0% | 139 | 97.2% | 22 | 95.7% | <.001 |
| Crystal methamphetamine (Meth) | 0 | 0.0% | 5 | 3.5% | 22 | 95.7% | <.001 |
| Gamma-Hydroxybutyrate/Gamma-Butyrolactone (GHB/GBL) | 0 | 0.0% | 5 | 3.5% | 22 | 95.7% | <.001 |
| Erectile dysfunction drugs (ED) | 0 | 0.0% | 9 | 6.3% | 17 | 73.9% | <.001 |
| Ever contemplated suicide | 207 | 51.2% | 82 | 57.3% | 19 | 82.6% | .007 |
| Unprotected anal sex with casual partners in the last 6 months | 51 | 12.6% | 36 | 25.2% | 9 | 39.1% | <.001 |
| Tested positive for an STI in the last 6 months | 22 | 5.5% | 15 | 10.5% | 2 | 8.7% | .083 |
| Depression severity (PHQ-9) | 7.9 | 6.69 | 7.4 | 6.60 | 11.4 | 8.87 | .030 |

Abbreviation: SD, Standard Deviation; STI, Sexually Transmitted Infections; GHB/GBL, Gamma-Hydroxybutyrate/Gamma-Butyrolactone; PHQ-9, Patient Health Questionnaire-9

LCA revealed three classes (AIC=1743.14; BIC=1808.33), which we labelled post hoc as ‘Substance-naïve’, ‘Substance-novice’, and ‘Chemsex’. Participants in the substance-naïve (n=403) class reported only ever using alcohol (n=96, 76.2%), and never using any other substances during sex. Participants in the substance-novice class (n=143) had mostly ever used poppers during sex (n=139, 97.2%), with some reporting ever using meth (n=5, 3.9%), GHB/GBL (n=5, 3.9%), and erectile dysfunction drugs (n=9, 6.3%) during sex, over and above those who reported ever using alcohol during sex (n=81, 56.6%). A similar proportion of participants in the chemsex class (n=23) reported ever using alcohol (n=13, 56.5%) and poppers during sex (n=22, 95.7%), but a large proportion reported ever using meth (n=22, 95.7%), GHB/GBL (n=22, 95.7%), and erectile dysfunction drugs (n=17, 73.9%) during sex. Table 2 describes the analytic sample by substance using classes, while Figure 1 summarizes the proportion of individuals who reported ever using varying substances by substance using classes.

**Association between substance use class membership and sexual health outcomes**
### Table 3
Multivariable Poisson regression for sexual health outcomes and its association with substance use classes

|                                | Unprotected anal sex with casual partners in the last six months (n=96) | STI diagnoses in the last six months (n=39) |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
|                                | PR 95% CI                  | aPR 95% CI                  | PR 95% CI                  | aPR 95% CI                  |
| Age                            | 0.94 (0.87, 1.02)          | 0.91 (0.84, 0.99)           | 0.95 (0.83, 1.08)          | 0.92 (0.80, 1.06)           |
| Chinese ethnicity (Ref=Non-Chinese) | 0.83 (0.53, 1.32)          | 0.85 (0.53, 1.36)           | 0.75 (0.35, 1.57)          | 0.72 (0.35, 1.51)           |
| Cisgender male (Ref=Transgender, genderqueer, or others) | 0.83 (0.45, 1.53)          | 0.77 (0.43, 1.37)           | 1.59 (0.39, 6.37)          | 1.42 (0.36, 5.63)           |
| Gay (Ref=Bisexual, queer, or others) | 1.13 (0.74, 1.71)          | 1.04 (0.67, 1.60)           | 1.32 (0.64, 2.73)          | 1.19 (0.57, 2.46)           |
| Monthly household income ≥ SGD5000 (Ref < SGD5000) | 0.82 (0.55, 1.22)          | 0.79 (0.52, 1.19)           | 0.90 (0.47, 1.72)          | 0.88 (0.46, 1.67)           |
| Classes                        |                          |                             |                             |                             |
| Substance-naive                | ref ref ref ref ref ref ref ref ref | ref ref ref ref ref ref ref ref ref ref | ref ref ref ref ref ref ref ref ref ref |
| Substance-novice               | 1.99 (1.36, 2.92)          | 2.42 (1.51, 3.33)           | 1.93 (1.03, 3.61)          | 2.01 (1.05, 3.85)           |
| Chemsex                        | 3.10 (1.75, 5.49)          | 3.28 (1.85, 5.79)           | 1.60 (0.40, 6.39)          | 1.64 (0.42, 6.38)           |

**Notes**

- Abbreviations: CI, Confidence Interval; PR, Prevalence Ratio; aPR, Adjusted Prevalence Ratio
- Statistically significant (p<0.05) are highlighted in bold font

At the bivariate level, participants who were in the substance-novice (PR=1.99, 95%CI [1.36, 2.92]) and chemsex (PR=3.10, 95%CI [1.75, 5.49]) classes were more likely than substance-naive participants to have reported engaging in unprotected anal sex with a casual partner in the last six months. Participants who were in the substance-novice class were also more likely than those in the substance-naive class to have reported a diagnosis for any STI in the last six months (PR=1.93, 95%CI [1.03, 3.61]). At the multivariable level, analyses revealed that an increasing age was negatively associated with (aPR=0.91, 95%CI [0.84, 0.99]), while participants who were in the substance-novice (aPR=2.42, 95%CI [1.51, 3.33]) and chemsex (aPR=3.28, 95%CI [1.85, 5.79]) classes were more likely than substance-naive participants to have reported engaging in unprotected anal sex with a casual partner in the last six months. Participants who were in the substance-novice class were also more likely that those in the substance-naive class to have reported a diagnosis for any STI in the last six months (aPR=2.01, 95%CI [1.05, 3.85]).

**Association between substance use class membership and mental health outcomes**
The findings of other studies as well (28, 38) corroborates the findings of other studies (25, 39, 40). As we are not able to establish causation due to the study design, an alternative explanation would be that substance use itself may be a factor that may cause poorer mental health, and disinhibition associated with sexual risk-related behaviors, which corroborate the findings of other studies as well (40). These two may mechanisms may also be working in tandem to exacerbate poorer sexual and mental health outcomes, compared to those who were in the substance-naïve class. Given that those in the chemsex class reported both historical and recent indicators of greater nuances in such patterns of use, separating those who are polydrug users without meth or mephedrone, and those who do (25). Several studies have also found that these classes differed based on their associations with a variety of sexual and mental health outcomes, specifically recent unprotected anal sex with casual partners and STI diagnoses, as well as depression severity and ever contemplating suicide. This study is noteworthy in that, to our knowledge, no prior study has sought to delineate classes of substance use specifically in younger samples of MSM, which allows us to better understand the risk factors that may be associated with substance use initiation. Furthermore, no other study had ever sought to investigate such patterns of substance use among GBMSM in Singapore.

Results of latent class analysis revealed three classes of YMSM based on the substances that they had ever used in sexualized contexts, which we labelled post hoc as ‘substance-naïve,’ ‘substance novice,’ and ‘chemsex.’ Those in the substance-naïve group had only ever used alcohol, while the substance-novice group were primarily poppers users with a small proportion who reported using drugs typically associated with chemsex, including meth, GHB/GBL, as well as erectile dysfunction drugs (13, 36). The chemsex group comprised individuals who had mostly used such drugs. This finding aligns with that of other studies, which identified classes of GBMSM characterized by those who were negligible or non-users of recreational substances excluding alcohol, those who were ‘soft’ drug users, and those who were ‘hard’ drug or polydrug users (25).

At the bivariate level, increasing age was positively associated with ever contemplating suicide (PR=0.96, 95%CI [0.93, 1.00]). Participants who were in the chemsex class (PR=1.61, 95%CI [1.31, 1.99]) were more likely than substance-naïve participants to have reported ever contemplating suicide. Increasing age (Beta=-0.41, 95%CI [-0.66, -0.15]), being of Chinese ethnicity (Beta=-1.86, 95%CI [-3.37, -0.34]), and identifying as a cisgender male (Beta=-2.48, 95%CI [-4.55, -0.42]) were negatively associated with depression severity. Participants who were in the chemsex class were also more likely that those in the substance-naïve class to have reported higher scores for depression severity (Beta=3.51, 95%CI [0.66, 6.36]). All factors that were statistically significant at the bivariate level remain significant at the multivariable level. Increasing age was positively associated with ever contemplating suicide (PR=0.96, 95%CI [0.93, 0.99]). Participants who were in the chemsex class (PR=1.64, 95%CI [1.33, 2.03]) were more likely than substance-naïve participants to have reported ever contemplating suicide. Increasing age (Beta=-0.39, 95%CI [-0.65, -0.14]), being of Chinese ethnicity (Beta=-1.86, 95%CI [-3.37, -0.34]), and identifying as a cisgender male (Beta=-2.13, 95%CI [-4.22, -0.04]) were negatively associated with depression severity. Participants who were in the chemsex class were also more likely that those in the substance-naïve class to have reported higher scores for depression severity (Beta=3.69, 95%CI [0.87, 6.51]).

### Discussion

This study sought to identify classes of YMSM based on the substances that they had ever used in sexualized contexts. We explored the sociodemographic and substance use patterns across each of the different classes, and how these classes differed based on their associations with a variety of sexual and mental health outcomes, specifically recent unprotected anal sex with casual partners and STI diagnoses, as well as depression severity and ever contemplating suicide. This study is noteworthy in that, to our knowledge, no prior study has sought to delineate classes of substance use specifically in younger samples of MSM, which allows us to better understand the risk factors that may be associated with substance use initiation. Furthermore, no other study had ever sought to investigate such patterns of substance use among GBMSM in Singapore.

| Classes | Substance-naïve | Substance novice | Chemsex |
|---------|-----------------|------------------|---------|
| Monthly household income ≥ SGD5000 (Ref < SGD5000) | PR | 95% CI | aPR | 95% CI | β | 95% CI | aβ | 95% CI |
| Age | 0.96 | (0.93, 1.00) | 0.96 | (0.93, 0.99) | -0.41 | (-0.66, -0.15) | -0.39 | (-0.65, -0.14) |
| Chinese ethnicity (Ref=Non-Chinese) | 0.87 | (0.72, 1.04) | 0.87 | (0.72, 1.04) | -1.92 | (-3.43, -0.40) | -1.86 | (-3.37, -0.34) |
| Cisgender male (Ref=Transgender, genderqueer, or others) | 0.89 | (0.69, 1.15) | 0.88 | (0.69, 1.14) | -2.48 | (-4.55, -0.42) | -2.13 | (-4.22, -0.04) |
| Gay (Ref=Bisexual, queer, or others) | 1.04 | (0.88, 1.24) | 1.06 | (0.89, 1.26) | -0.55 | (-1.7, -0.69) | 0.04 | (-1.22, 1.31) |
| Monthly household income ≥ SGD5000 (Ref < SGD5000) | 1.00 | (0.86, 1.18) | 1.02 | (0.87, 1.20) | 0.33 | (-0.83, 1.50) | 0.69 | (-0.48, 1.86) |

**Notes**

Abbreviations: CI, Confidence Interval; PR, Prevalence Ratio; aPR, Adjusted Prevalence Ratio; β, Unadjusted Coefficient; aβ Adjusted Coefficient

Statistically significant (p<0.05) are highlighted in bold font.
outcomes in the chemsex class, compared to the substance-naïve class of YMSM. We remain mindful that we cannot draw a direct association between substance use and some of these outcomes as the time frame assessed for both differ.

Our study has several strengths. As it was conducted among self-identified HIV-negative YMSM aged 18 to 25 years old, we may be identifying certain risk factors and substance use patterns that may place them at risk of HIV and other STI acquisition. We may also draw conclusions around factors that may be associated with substance use initiation without stronger confounds of age, as GBMSM are more likely to be exposed to various substances throughout their life course due to its cumulative availability via sexual and social networks (41).

We are mindful of several limitations in our study. As only HIV-negative YMSM were recruited in this study, we may have missed out on HIV-positive YMSM whom may exhibit higher levels of substance use, which would be consistent with the findings of the other studies (42, 43). Furthermore, as drug use carries with it severe penalties in Singapore, participants may not be entirely honest with their answers around drug use, which may have led to an underreporting of substance use in the present sample. This form of non-differential misclassification would have biased our results towards the null, when in fact the associations between substance use and the outcomes of interest would have been stronger.

We have several recommendations for health promotion and policy interventions. Overall, such health promotion efforts and interventions should acknowledge that substance use-related support needs among YMSM and GBMSM may be heterogenous, and would require a combination of upstream interventions that promote literacy around the risks associated with substance use, and downstream ones that mitigate such risks or promote the well-being of those who require long-term support. Findings of this study indicate that efforts for early intervention and education for YMSM should focus on addressing suicide and depression, which may be associated with future HIV and other STI acquisition risk and other risks associated with substance use.
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Figures
Figure 1

Proportion of types of substances used by predicted classes (n=570) Abbreviation: ED, Erectile Dysfunction Drugs; GHB/GBL, Gamma-Hydroxybutyrate/Gamma-Butyrolactone; Meth, Crystal Methamphetamine
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