Comparison of Melanoma Subtypes among Korean Patients by Morphologic Features and Ultraviolet Exposure
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Background: Genetic alterations have been identified in melanomas according to different levels of sun exposure. Whereas the conventional morphology-based classification provides a clue for tumor growth and prognosis, the new classification by genetic alterations offers a basis for targeted therapy. Objectives: The purpose of this study is to demonstrate the biological behavior of melanoma subtypes and compare the two classifications in the Korean population. Methods: A retrospective chart review was performed on patients found to have malignant melanoma in Severance Hospital from 2005 to 2012. Age, sex, location of the tumor, histologic subtype, tumor depth, ulceration, lymph node invasion, visceral organ metastasis, and overall survival were evaluated. Results: Of the 206 cases, the most common type was acral melanoma (n=94, 45.6%), followed by nonchronic sun damage-induced melanoma (n=43, 20.9%), and mucosal melanoma (n=40, 19.4%). Twenty-one patients (10.2%) had the chronic sun-damaged type, whereas eight patients (3.9%) had tumors of unknown primary origin. Lentigo maligna melanoma was newly classified as the chronic sun-damaged type, and acral lentiginous melanoma as the acral type. More than half of the superficial spreading melanomas were newly grouped as nonchronic sun-damaged melanomas, whereas nodular melanoma was rather evenly distributed. Conclusion: The distribution of melanomas was largely similar in both the morphology-based and sun exposure-based classifications, and in both classifications, mucosal melanoma had the worst 5-year survival owing to its tumor thickness and advanced stage at the time of diagnosis. (Ann Dermatol 26(4) 485∼490, 2014)
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INTRODUCTION

The increasing incidence of melanoma and the difficulty to treat this disease has led to many researches in melanoma. Conventionally, cutaneous melanoma has been distinguished according to four main types based on morphology and histology, superficial spreading melanoma (SSM), lentigo maligna melanoma (LMM), nodular melanoma (NM), and acral lentiginous melanoma (ALM), by
using the World Health Organization classification. After
the skin, melanoma is most likely to arise in the juxta-
cutaneous mucous membranes, such as the oral mucosa,
nasal sinuses, vagina, and anorectal mucosa. Mucosal
melanomas are similar to acral melanomas in histologic
appearance and aggressiveness, which has led to the use
of the histologic term mucosal lentiginous melanoma. Mucosal melanoma is another common variant other than
cutaneous melanoma.

Recently, genetic alterations such as BRAF and KIT muta-
tions have been identified in melanomas according to
different levels of sun exposure, and a new set of clas-
sification has been established. On the basis of the anatomi-

cal location of the tumor and the degree of ultra-


tropic (UV) exposure, melanoma is classified into four
subtypes: (1) melanomas that occur on skin without
chronic sun-induced damage (non-CSD); (2) melanomas
on skin with chronic sun-induced damage (CSD); (3)
mucosal melanomas; and (4) acral melanomas. Mela-
noma of unknown primary site is a unique entity of
melanoma that develops in a lymph node, subcutaneous
tissues, or visceral organ without a primary lesion.

Whereas the conventional morphology-based classifica-
tion provides a clue for tumor growth and characteristics,
the new classification by genetic alterations offers a basis
for targeted therapy, such as with the BRAF inhibitor ve-
murafenib (PLX4032) or the tyrosine kinase inhibitor ima-
tinib (formerly known as STI571).

The purpose of this study is to compare the distribution of
the subtypes according to both classifications and demon-
strate the biological behavior of melanoma subtypes in the
Korean population.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients

We retrospectively analyzed the clinical records of 206
patients who had been found to have and were treated for
malignant melanoma in Severance Hospital (Seoul, Korea)
between 2005 and 2012. The study protocol was appro-
ved by the institutional review board of Yonsei University,
Severance Hospital (IRB No. 4-2012-0165). Clinical data
were available in all cases.

Clinicopathological data

Age, sex, location of the tumor, tumor depth, ulceration,
lymph node invasion, visceral organ metastasis, treatment
modality, and overall survival (OS) were evaluated. Tumor
thickness was measured according to Breslow thickness,
and OS was defined as the time from the day of diagnosis
to the date of death from any cause. Melanomas were
classified by using both the classification that was based
on the morphology of the tumor and that suggested by
Curtin et al. in 2005 according to the level of sun
exposure.

The staging was determined according to the American
Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) guidelines for melano-
ma at the time of diagnosis. The AJCC Melanoma Staging

Table 1. Patient demographics and clinicopathological factors

| Clinicopathological factor | Acal | Mucosal | CSD | Non-CSD | UP | Total |
|---------------------------|------|---------|-----|---------|----|-------|
| Patient                   | 94   | 40      | 21  | 43      | 8  | 206   |
| Age (yr)                  | 62   | 60      | 59  | 57      | 47 | 206   |
| Gender (male : female)    | 1    | 1       | 1   | 1       | 1  | 1     |
| Thickness (mm)            | 4.01 | 4.45    |     |         |    |       |
| Ulceration, present       | 30   | 57      |     |         |    |       |
| Stages                    |      |         |     |         |    |       |
| Localized (stage I)       | 33   | 11      | 6   | 15      | 0  | 65    |
| Localized (stage II)      | 32   | 12      | 9   | 8       | 0  | 61    |
| Regional (stage III)      | 20   | 9       | 3   | 12      | 6  | 50    |
| Distant (stage IV)        | 9    | 8       | 3   | 8       | 2  | 30    |
| WHO classification        |      |         |     |         |    |       |
| SSM                       | 2    | 0       | 3   | 20      | 0  | 25    |
| LMM                       | 0    | 0       | 16  | 0       | 0  | 16    |
| ALM                       | 82   | 0       | 0   | 0       | 0  | 82    |
| NM                        | 10   | 0       | 2   | 17      | 1  | 30    |
| MM                        | 0    | 40      | 0   | 0       | 0  | 40    |
| Unclassified              | 0    | 0       | 0   | 6       | 7  | 13    |

Values are presented as number (%), median (range), or number only.
CSD: chronic sun-induced damage, UP: unknown primary, NA: not applicable, SSM: superficial spreading melanoma, LMM: lentigo
maligna melanoma, ALM: acral lentiginous melanoma, NM: nodular melanoma, MM: mucosal melanoma.
Database divides melanoma into four stages: localized melanoma (stages I and II), regional metastatic melanoma (stage III), and distant metastatic melanoma (stage IV).

**Statistical analysis**

All statistical analyses were performed by using PASW Statistics 18.0 (IBM Co., Armonk, NY, USA) and MedCalc ver. 12.7.4 (MedCalc Software bvba, Ostend, Belgium). Categorical data were described by using numbers and percentages. The 5-year survival and OS of the patients were estimated by using the Kaplan-Meier method, and compared by using the log-rank test. All tests were two-sided, and a p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

**Melanoma subtypes in the Korean population**

Of the 206 cases, the most common type was acral melanoma (n=94, 45.6%) followed by the non-CSD type (n=43, 20.9%) and mucosal melanoma (n=40, 19.4%). Twenty-one patients (10.2%) had the CSD type, whereas eight patients (3.9%) had tumors of unknown primary origin (Table 1, Fig. 1). The unknown primary origin type was diagnosed in patients who had an initial presentation of melanoma in the lymph nodes, subcutaneous tissue, or visceral organ without a known primary site.

The median age of patients with the acral type was 62 years (range, 18∼89 years), ranking as the oldest median age among the subtypes, whereas patients with tumors of unknown primary origin had the youngest median age of 47 years. The other subtypes showed no significant differences. The male-to-female ratio of the total included patients was 1 : 1.03. The ratio was increased more than threefold in the CSD type, whereas the other types did not show such a gap (Table 1).

The mucosal type was the thickest subtype (thickness, 5.79 mm), followed by the non-CSD type (4.73 mm), acral type (4.01 mm), and CSD type (3.03 mm). With the classification based on the morphology and histology, NM showed a Breslow thickness of 6.86 mm, ALM 3.34 mm, LMM 2.84 mm, and SSM 1.72 mm.

In the CSD type, 71.4% of the patients had localized melanoma (stages I and II), whereas in the non-CSD and mucosal types, localized melanoma was seen less frequently (53.5% and 57.5% of the patients, respectively).
Anatomic location of mucosal melanoma and melanoma of unknown primary origin

Among the 40 cases of mucosal melanoma, 16 were melanoma arising from the oral cavity, such as the buccal mucosa and gingiva, whereas 12 were melanoma arising from the nasal cavity, such as the nasal mucosa or nasopharynx. Eight patients had melanoma from the gastrointestinal tract (esophagus, stomach, small bowel, and rectum), and four patients had melanoma from the genital mucosa (vagina and vulva) (Table 2).

Of the eight cases of melanoma from unknown origin, five cases were arising from a lymph node, one arising from the subcutaneous tissue of the trunk, and two were from a visceral organ such as the spine and the brain (Table 2).

Comparison of the two classifications

All of the LMM cases were newly classified as the CSD type, and all of the ALM cases were classified into the acral type. Of the SSMs, 80.0% (20 of 25) were newly grouped as the non-CSD type. However, NM seemed to be rather evenly distributed. Among the 30 NM patients, 17 patients (56.7%) were grouped into the non-CSD type, 10 patients (33.3%) into the acral type, 2 patients (6.7%) into the CSD type, and 1 patient (3.3%) into the unknown primary origin type (Table 1). The unclassified types include melanomas such as desmoplastic melanoma and spitzoid melanoma, and these unclassified types were reclassified into the non-CSD type (n=6, 46.1%) and the unknown primary type (n=7, 53.8%) (Table 1, Fig. 1).

Melanoma treatment

Most of the patients found to have malignant melanoma received operation in variable manners. Excluding 9 patients who were unable to undergo surgery owing to their poor general condition, 197 patients (95.6%) received surgery under general anesthesia. Most of the patients (n=161, 78.1%) underwent wide excision, whereas ampu-
tation was done in 31 patients (15.0%). Two patients with LMM had Mohs micrographic surgery, and three patients had partial excision of the melanoma but not complete excision owing to the metastatic site, such as the spine or chest wall (Table 3).

In addition to surgery, 32 patients had high-dose interferon-α treatment, 46 patients had a chemotherapy regimen of dacarbazine, and 31 patients had metastasis in such sites as the spine or chest wall (Table 3). Many patients received a combination of more than one systemic therapy. As this study was a retrospective study in which the patients were enrolled between 2005 and 2012 and followed until 2012 December, no patients were treated with targeted therapy such as the BRAF inhibitor vemurafenib.

Survival of the subtypes in Korean population

Concerning patient survival, mucosal melanoma had the worst 5-year survival in both classifications (p < 0.05), owing to its tumor thickness and advanced stage at the time of diagnosis (Fig. 2). The median survival of the total enrolled patients was 61 months, whereas mucosal melanoma patients had the shortest median survival of 34 months. Mucosal melanoma had a hazard ratio of 2.07 (95% confidence interval [CI], 1.12 ~ 3.82) compared with acral melanoma, and a hazard ratio of 2.25 (95% CI, 1.12 ~ 4.53) compared with non-CSD melanoma. In the morphology-based classification, mucosal melanoma had a hazard ratio of 2.23 (95% CI, 1.09 ~ 4.84) compared with SSM, and a hazard ratio of 2.12 (95% CI, 1.15 ~ 3.89) compared with ALM.

DISCUSSION

The incidence of melanoma has increased significantly worldwide during the last several decades. As the classic consensus for diagnosing melanoma is based on histopathologic evaluation of biopsy specimens, melanoma has been classified according to the morphological and architectural features of the tumor.

In Caucasian data, SSM is the most common subtype, accounting for approximately 70% of all cutaneous melanomas. However, in Asians, ALM is the most common type, accounting for roughly 45% ~ 66%. Our data (Fig. 1) show that ALM has the highest incidence, which is consistent with previous data in Korean melanoma patients.

Among the risk factors of melanoma, the most important factor is known to be a history of periodic and intense sun exposure during childhood and adolescence. Considering that UV light exposure is an inseparable factor for melanoma development, Curtin et al. reported in 2005 that there are distinct sets of genetic alternations in melanoma with different susceptibilities to UV exposure. Curtin et al. divided the types according to the level of UV exposure: (1) melanomas that occur on skin with non chronic sun damage; (2) melanomas on skin with chronic sun damage; and melanomas that arise without obvious exposure to light, which include (3) mucosal melanomas and (4) acral melanomas.

In the Caucasian data of 1,112 cases by Greaves et al., the non-acral cutaneous type, which refers to the non-CSD and CSD types, constituted 69.6%, whereas the acral type constituted only 10%. In the Chinese data of 502 cases representing the Asian population, the most prevalent type was the acral type (38.4%) followed by the mucosal type (33.3%). There is a noticeable difference in the proportion of melanoma subtypes in Caucasian and Asian populations, and the finding of our study was consistent with the Chinese data (Fig. 1).

The single most important prognostic factor for survival in localized melanoma is tumor thickness, measured from the top of the granular layer to the greatest depth of tumor invasion. It is well known that NM often lacks a radial growth phase and thus presents with rapid evolution; consistent with these facts, NM had the thickest Breslow thickness (6.86 mm) in our study. Although mucosal melanoma had a Breslow thickness of 5.79 mm, it had a greater proportion of advanced-staged tumors (stage 3 and stage 4: 42.5%) than NM (stage 3 and stage 4: 36.5%) and showed the worst prognosis among the subtypes in the morphology-based classification and also in the UV exposure-based classification.

In summary, this study reveals that the two classifications share many cases in common, such as cases of ALM with the acral type and LMM with the CSD type. On the basis of the tumor-node-metastases (TNM) stage, mucosal melanoma was associated with poor prognosis in Korean melanoma patients.
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