Different dietary methionine to lysine ratios in the lactation diet: effects on the performance of sows and their offspring and methionine metabolism in lactating sows
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Abstract

Background: Over the last decade, the nutritional requirements of lactating modern genotype sows have increased. The current nutritional recommendations might be unable to meet the needs of increased litter size and milk production, and thus the nutritional requirements need to be re-evaluated. The current study was conducted to investigate the effects of dietary methionine to lysine (Met:Lys) ratios on the performance of and methionine metabolism in lactating sows.

Results: During the 1st week of lactation, piglets reared on sows in the 0.37 to 0.57 Met:Lys ratio groups grew faster than those reared on sows in the control group (0.27) (P < 0.01). The 0.37-ratio group showed increased levels of GSH-Px in plasma during lactation (P < 0.01) and decreased concentrations of urea nitrogen in the plasma of sows (P < 0.05). Compared with the 0.27-ratio group, the levels of T-AOC and GSH-Px in the plasma and homocysteine in the milk of lactating sows were significantly increased in sows in the 0.47-ratio group (P < 0.01). In sows fed a 0.57-ratio diet, the levels of glutathione and taurine in the plasma and milk were improved significantly during lactation. However, the content of TBARS in the blood (P < 0.05 at day 7 and P = 0.06 at weaning day) was increased (P < 0.01). Moreover, there were linear increases in the levels of homocysteine in the blood and milk of sows during the lactation period (P < 0.01) with increased dietary Met:Lys ratios in the lactation diet.

Conclusions: The current study indicated that increasing the dietary Met:Lys ratio (0.37~0.57) in the lactation diet had no significant effect on the overall performance of sows or the colostrum and milk composition, but it increased piglet mean BW and piglet ADG during the first week of lactation. Increasing dietary methionine levels had no significant effect on antioxidant function in lactation sows, even though it increased levels of GSH and GSH-Px in the plasma of sows during lactation. However, the content of homocysteine in the plasma and milk increased during lactation due to a high level of dietary methionine.
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Introduction
During the last decade, litter size and milk production of modern genotype sows have increased drastically, and thus, the nutritional requirements may need to be re-evaluated [1]. Methionine, a sulfur amino acid (SAA), plays an important role in human and animal nutrition and health [2, 3]. Adequate amounts of methionine are necessary for protein synthesis [4, 5]. Previous studies that analyzed the profiles of amino acids in sow milk or other tissues have suggested that the supply of methionine in the diet may not match the requirements of sows. The Met:Lys ratio calculated from physiological IAA needs for milk synthesis by lactating sows predicted that the maximal mammary uptake of plasma IAA is 0.34 [6], which is higher than that recommended by the NRC (2012). Hence, the dietary Met:Lys ratio recommended by the NRC (2012) may be insufficient to achieve optimal lactation performance by hyperprolific sows.

Notably, systemic oxidative stress increases during lactation, and antioxidant nutrients, such as vitamin A, in circulation are substantially reduced and do not fully recover until weaning [7, 8]. Therefore, lactating sows may need more antioxidants to relieve oxidative stress. It has been demonstrated that methionine contributes to antioxidant function by forming cysteine or glutathione via sulfur metabolism [2]. However, homocysteine, an intermediate metabolite in methionine metabolism, potentially contributes to the formation of oxygen free radicals and peroxynitrite as well as increased oxidative stress by inhibiting the expression of antioxidant enzymes [9], thus leading to endothelial cytotoxicity [10, 11]. Therefore, the effect of dietary methionine levels on oxidant status of lactating sows might need to be evaluated.

Consequently, the current study aimed to investigate the effects of increased dietary Met:Lys ratios in lactation diets on the performance and methionine metabolism of sows. It was hypothesized that providing a higher and suitable dose of methionine than that recommended by the NRC (2012) in the diet of lactating sows is needed to meet the requirements for lactation, improve the metabolic status of sows and increase sow productivity. Moreover, it may also help to alleviate lactation oxidative stress and improve sow reproductive performance.

Methods
Experimental design, animals, and housing
A total of 130 multiparous sows (Large White) with a parity of 3 to 6 (4.22 ± 0.81) were randomly allocated to 4 dietary treatments (n = 33, 32, 32, 33) with 4 dietary SID Met:Lys ratios (0.27, 0.37, 0.47 and 0.57:1) based on body weight. The sows in the trial were moved to the farrowing unit 4 days before expected parturition and kept in individual farrowing crates with stalls (2.2 m × 0.7 m) in pens that provided space on both sides of the stall (2.2 m × 0.5 m) for the piglets after birth. The animals were studied throughout lactation after the determination of backfat thickness (measured from the back line 6.5 cm, P2, RENCO LEAN-METER Digital Backfat Indicator S/N 61323) and the body surface of all sows (particularly the claws and the teats) were washed and disinfected with peracetic acid before farrowing. The temperature in the farrowing unit was controlled at 15–22 °C, and each pen had a covered area in the corner equipped with a heating lamp for the piglets. Twenty-four hours postpartum (day 2 after birth), the litters of the experimental sows were standardized to at least 11 piglets by cross-fostering within the group. All piglets were given iron injections and were tail docked, and males were surgically castrated between days 5 and 7 postpartum. All animals were managed according to the general routines of the herd. The piglets were weaned on day 21 after farrowing.

Feeding system and diets
From 1 day before expected parturition to the end of the experiment, the sows were fed the corresponding treatment diets. The diets were formulated using standard ileal digestibility values of individual ingredients to be isoenergetic and isonitrogenous (Table 1). From farrowing to day 3 postpartum, the daily feed allowance was 3, 3.5, 4, and 4.5 kg/d on day 0, 1, 2, and 3, respectively. From day 4 until weaning, the sows had ad libitum access to feed and water. All sows were fed 3 times a day (07:00, 14:00, 21:00). Creep feed was not offered to litters during lactation.

Recording and sampling
The body weight of all sows was measured at day 110 of gestation and at weaning. The backfat thickness of all sows was measured at day 110 of gestation and the day of farrowing and weaning. Piglet weights were recorded separately at farrowing, day 7 of lactation, day 14 of lactation and weaning. The feed intake of sows was recorded every day, and the weaning to estrus interval was also recorded. At farrowing, day 7 and weaning day, blood samples were collected from each sow 2 h after the morning feeding using a 10-mL syringe and 5-mL vacuum blood collection tube containing an anticoagulant (EDTA-K2) and then placed on ice immediately. At least 10 sows with similar parity per diet group were selected randomly at each sampling time point. Plasma samples were obtained by centrifugation (HC-2066, China) at 1500×g for 15 min at room temperature, aliquoted into 0.5-mL microcentrifuge tubes, and then frozen at −20°C until analysis. The plasma was analyzed for the contents of plasma urea nitrogen, malondialdehyde, 8-hydroxy-deoxyguanosine, glutathione peroxidase, reactive oxygen species, total antioxidative...
capacity, and methionine metabolites, such as homocysteine and taurine. Before sample collection, 10 U oxytocin was injected in the sow ear vein, and colostrum and milk samples (25~30 mL) were collected from one side of the sow using a 50-mL centrifuge tube; at least 10 sows with similar parity per diet group were selected randomly at each sampling time-point. The well-mixed samples were collected and then immediately frozen at −20 °C until analysis.

Chemical analyses
The milk composition was determined by near-infrared reflectance spectroscopy with a Foss Milkoscan FT+ (CombiFT+ 200, Denmark). Before analysis, 5 mL thawed fresh milk per sample was aliquoted into a 50-mL centrifuge tube (sterilized), and 20 mL distilled water was added to dilute the sample. However, before the analysis of homocysteine, glutathione, methionine, cysteine, and taurine in milk, the whey was collected and centrifuged at 1500×g at 4 °C for 15 min (5804R, Eppendorf, Germany). Homocysteine and glutathione concentrations in the milk and plasma were determined using a homocysteine detection kit (E031) and a reduced glutathione assay kit (A006-2) (Jiancheng Bioengineering Limited, China), respectively, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The assay for homocysteine was based on the method of enzymatic cycling, and the assay for glutathione was based on the microenzyme label method. The concentrations of methionine, cysteine and taurine in plasma and milk were determined by ion-exchange chromatography using an L-8800 high-speed amino acid analyzer (Hitachi, Japan), as described previously [12]. The plasma levels of GSH-Px (A005), T-AOC (A015) and TBARS (A003) were determined using a detection kit (Jiancheng Bioengineering Limited, China) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Plasma contents of 8-OHdG (Dobio Biotech Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China) and ROS (MDL Biotech Co., Ltd.,

Table 1 Ingredients and nutrient composition of diets (Continued)

| Items | Met:Lys | Ingredients, % | Met:Lys | Ingredients, % |
|-------|--------|----------------|--------|----------------|
|       |        | 0.27 | 0.37 | 0.47 | 0.57 |
| Met | 2.65 | 2.64 | 2.63 | 2.62 |
| Arg | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.98 |
| His | 0.40 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.39 |
| BCAA | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.26 |
| Arg | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.98 |
| His | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.39 |

*Provided per kilogram of the diet: Cu 30 mg; Fe 160 mg; Zn 150 mg; Mn 50 mg; I 0.53 mg; Se 0.53 mg; Co 0.75 mg; Cr 0.22 mg; vitamin A 1.271 × 10^4U; vitamin D_3 2853 U; vitamin E 180 mg; vitamin K_3 3.85 mg; vitamin B_1 1.6 mg; vitamin B_2 5.75 mg; vitamin B_6 2.88 mg; vitamin B_12 0.02 mg; nicotinamide 32 mg; pantothenic acid 20 mg; folic acid 3.2 mg; biotin 0.44 mg; vitamin C 450 mg; choline 1800 mg
*Calculated chemical concentrations using values for feed ingredients from the NRC (2012)

Table 1 Ingredients and nutrient composition of diets

| Items | Met:Lys | Ingredients, % | Met:Lys | Ingredients, % |
|-------|--------|----------------|--------|----------------|
|       |        | 0.27 | 0.37 | 0.47 | 0.57 |
| Met | 2.65 | 2.64 | 2.63 | 2.62 |
| Arg | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.98 |
| His | 0.40 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.39 |
| BCAA | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.26 |
| Arg | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.98 |
| His | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.39 |
Beijing, China) were determined by the pig ELISA kit strictly in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. All samples were analyzed in duplicate, and all frozen plasma and whey samples were thawed at 4 °C, mixed thoroughly and centrifuged at 10,000 r/min (5418, Eppendorf, Germany) for 10 min before the assay.

Calculations and statistical analyses
All calculations and statistical analyses were performed using SAS software (SAS 8.0, Inst, Inc., Cary, NC) with the individual sow as the experimental unit. Mixed procedures and ANOVA were also used in the analyses.

Piglet growth performance was analyzed using the following model:

\[ Y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_{ij} + X_{ij}^{(1)} + X_{ij}^{(2)} \]

where \( Y_{ij} \) is the response variable, \( \mu \) is the overall mean, \( \alpha_i \) is the fixed effect of diet, \( \beta_{ij} \) is the random effect of parity, \( X_{ij}^{(1)} \) is the covariate that represents the weight or litter weight of piglets after cross-fostering, and \( X_{ij}^{(2)} \) is the covariate that represents the number of piglets.

Milk composition was analyzed using the following model:

\[ Y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + X_{ij}^{(1)} + X_{ij}^{(2)} \]

where \( Y_{ij} \) is the response variable, \( \mu \) is the overall mean, \( \alpha_i \) is the fixed effect of diet, \( X_{ij}^{(1)} \) is the covariate that represents the parity of the sow, and \( X_{ij}^{(2)} \) is the covariate that represents the BW of the sow.

Data obtained by the mixed procedure are shown as LSmeans and SEM, and those obtained by ANOVA are shown as the mean and SEM. Statistical significance was declared at \( P < 0.05 \), and tendencies were declared at \( 0.05 < P < 0.10 \). Multiple comparisons were made when the ANOVA indicated significant differences. Tukey's test was used in multiple comparisons of means to adjust the \( P \)-values when using a mixed model procedure for data analysis. Duncan's test was used for One-way ANOVA.

Results
Sow performance
The body weight of the sows in different groups did not differ significantly at day 110 of lactation, day of parturition and weaning day, and weight loss during lactation did not differ (Table 2). Moreover, the dietary Met:Lys ratio did not affect backfat thickness, WEI or total milk yield during lactation (Table 2).

Piglet performance
The effects of dietary Met:Lys ratios in the lactation diet on piglet growth performance during lactation are shown in Table 3. There were no differences in piglet numbers, number of piglets weaned or piglet weights at day 14 after birth. However, the piglets reared on sows in the 0.37- or 0.57-ratio groups grew faster than those reared on sows in the control group (0.27) during the 1st week of lactation (\( P < 0.01 \)). Only the piglets in the 0.47-ratio group had a significantly higher weaning weight than those in the 0.27-ratio group. However, the average daily gain (ADG) of piglets and weaned litter weights were unaffected by dietary treatments (\( P > 0.05 \)).

Colostrum and milk composition and PUN
No difference was observed in the level of lactoprotein in the colostrum or milk. Similarly, fat, lactose, non-fat solids and total solids in the colostrum or milk were not influenced by treatments (Table 4). As shown in Fig. 1, the levels of urea nitrogen in the plasma of sows in the 0.37- and 0.57-ratio groups were lower than those in the 0.27-ratio group at day 7. However, on the day of weaning, only the sows fed with the 0.37-ratio diet had a lower content of plasma urea nitrogen than those fed the 0.27-ratio diet (\( P < 0.05 \)).

Methionine metabolites in the plasma of sows
On the day of farrowing, no significant differences were found for the concentrations of methionine, homocysteine, cysteine, taurine and glutathione in the plasma of sows in the different groups (Table 5). The levels of methionine increased gradually with an increased Met:Lys ratio in the lactation diet. Moreover, the levels of methionine in the plasma of sows fed with the 0.57-ratio diet were significantly higher than those in the other three groups (\( P < 0.01 \)). The levels of methionine in the plasma of sows fed with the 0.37- or 0.47-ratio diets were significantly higher than those in the 0.27-ratio group (\( P < 0.01 \)). Homocysteine levels in plasma decreased with the progression of lactation. On the 7th day of lactating and weaning day, the plasma homocysteine level in the 0.57-ratio group was significantly higher than that in the 0.27-ratio group (Table. 4). Moreover, on weaning day, the levels of glutathione and taurine in the plasma increased with the increased Met:Lys ratio (\( P < 0.01 \)). However, the concentration of cystine decreased gradually with an increased dietary Met:Lys ratio on day 7 of lactating and weaning day.

Methionine metabolites in the colostrum and milk of sows
The effects of the dietary Met:Lys ratio on methionine metabolites in milk are shown in Table 6. The concentrations of methionine in the colostrum and milk were not influenced by the dietary Met:Lys ratio (\( P > 0.05 \)). However, on day 20 of lactation, the levels of homocysteine in milk increased in those fed an increased dietary
Met:Lys ratio \( (P < 0.01) \). On day 20 of lactation, the cysteine levels in milk from sows in the 0.37- or 0.47-ratio groups were higher than those in the 0.27-ratio group \( (P = 0.050) \). Furthermore, on day 7 and day 20 of lactation, the milk concentrations of taurine and glutathione, respectively, in the 0.57-ratio group were higher than those in the 0.27-ratio group \( (P < 0.05) \).

Oxidative stress parameters in sows
Table 7 shows that the levels of GSH-Px, T-AOC, 8-OHdG and TBARS were significantly affected by dietary treatments \( (P < 0.05) \), but no differences were observed in the contents of ROS among treatments during lactation \( (P > 0.05) \). On day 7 of lactation and weaning day, the levels of GSH-Px in the plasma of sows in the 0.37- and 0.47-ratio groups were higher than those in the 0.27-ratio group \( (P < 0.01) \). The 0.57-ratio diet improved the GSH-Px level on weaning day compared with the 0.27-ratio diet. For T-AOC, compared with the 0.27-group, the levels were remarkably improved in the 0.37-, 0.47- and 0.57-ratio groups at day 7 of lactation and improved in the 0.37- and 0.47-ratio groups at day 14 \( (P < 0.01) \). Although the levels of ROS in the plasma of sows at different stages of lactation showed no difference \( (P > 0.05) \), the levels of 8-OHdG were significantly lower in the 0.27- and 0.37-ratio groups than those in the 0.47- and 0.57-ratio groups on day 7 of lactation \( (P < 0.05) \), while the lowest level of 8-OHdG was

### Table 2. Effect of Met:Lys ratio in the lactation diet on the performance of sows

| Items                        | Met:Lys | SEM | P-value |
|-----------------------------|---------|-----|---------|
|                            | 0.27    | 0.37| 0.47    | 0.57    |
|                            | Linear  | Quadratic | Feed |
| Basic information          |         |     |         |
| No. of sows                 | 33      | 32  | 32      | 33      |
| Culled\(^a\) during lactation | 4      | 1   | –       | 1       |
| Average body weight, kg     | 266.70  | 266.50| 266.70  | 266.40  |
| Average parity              | 4.40    | 4.10| 4.00    | 4.38    |
| BW of sows, kg              |         |     |         |
| Day 110 of lactation        | 268.9   | 266.4| 265.8   | 266.8   |
| Parturition                 | 264.8   | 262.3| 261.8   | 262.8   |
| Weaning                     | 245.2   | 243.9| 244.5   | 247.3   |
| Loss during lactation       | –19.6   | –18.4| –17.2   | –15.4   |
| WEI of sows, d              |         |     |         |
| No. of sows                 | 29      | 31  | 31      | 32      |
| Within 1 week               | 4       | 5   | 4       | 5       |
| After 1 week                | 6       | 8   | 5       | 6       |
| Estrus rate of sows, %\(^b\) |         |     |         |
| Within 1 week               | 89.66   | 77.42| 93.55   | 90.63   |
| After 1 week                | 96.55   | 100.00| 100.00  | 100.00  |
| Sow backfat thickness, mm   |         |     |         |
| Day 110 of lactation        | 15.72   | 15.97| 15.72   | 15.33   |
| Parturition                 | 14.94   | 15.38| 15.19   | 14.76   |
| No. of sows                 | 29      | 31  | 32      | 33      |
| Weaning                     | 14.41   | 15.29| 14.94   | 14.42   |
| Loss during lactation       | –0.59   | –0.19| –0.25   | –0.33   |
| Lactation performance, kg   |         |     |         |
| Total milk yield\(^c\)      | 203.59  | 207.18| 213.29  | 210.94  |
| Average daily milk yield    | 11.10   | 11.34| 11.62   | 11.44   |

\(^a\)The sows eliminated in each group for death, lameness, limb hoof disease and so forth during lactation

\(^b\)Significance test for estrus rate of each group derived from the chi-square test

\(^c\)The result of total milk yield during the whole lactation period is shown as Lsmeans and derived from the mixed procedure of SAS (8.0). The formula for the calculation of total milk yield is total milk yield (kg) = piglet ADG × litter size × lactating days × 4 \( [13] \)
observed in the 0.37-ratio group on the day of weaning. Moreover, the contents of TBARS were increased in sows fed the 0.57-ratio diet compared with those fed a lower Met:Lys ratio diet ($P < 0.05$ at day 7, $P = 0.06$ at weaning day).

### Discussion

Sow feed intake during lactation has a significant impact on reproductive performance [14]. Many studies have shown that increased feed intake decreases body weight loss as well as increases the backfat depth [15, 16]. In the current study, we showed that the increased dietary Met:Lys ratios in the lactation diet did not impact the WEI, weight loss or backfat thickness loss of sows during lactation, which is consistent with previous studies [17].

It is well known that lactating sows need abundant nutrients to maintain lactation and need essential nutritional reserves for subsequent reproduction. Amino acids are essential for the normal development of mammary glands and milk synthesis in lactating sows [18]. A previous study showed that the methionine level recommended by the NRC (2012) may be insufficient for supporting optimal milk yield and milk protein synthesis in lactating sows [6]. However, our research results showed that increased dietary Met:Lys ratios did not affect the total milk yield or the milk protein content. Moreover, the fat, lactose, nonfat solids and total solids in the colostrum or milk were also not influenced by the dietary Met:Lys ratio.

Since the milk yield and nutrient content in milk remained unchanged, the litter weight was not affected by the dietary treatments during the lactation period. However, piglet weights weaned from sows fed a 0.47-ratio diet were significantly higher than those weaned from sows fed a 0.27-ratio diet (7.55 kg vs. 6.85 kg, Table 3). The improved piglet weight might be caused by the lower weekly litter size of sows in the 0.47-group.

**Table 3** Effect of dietary Met:Lys ratio in the lactation diet on growth performance of piglets

| Items                                      | Met:Lys | SEM     | P-value |
|--------------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| No. of sows                                | 0.27    | 0.37    | 0.47    | 0.57    |
| Litter size, number/litter                 |         |         |         |         |
| After cross-fostering                       | 11.26   | 11.5    | 11.18   | 11.42   |
| At day 7                                   | 10.63   | 10.87   | 10.14   | 10.45   |
| At day 14                                   | 10.22   | 10.47   | 9.68    | 10.13   |
| Pigs weaned                                | 9.89    | 10.13   | 9.36    | 9.97    |
| Survival rate of piglets, %                | 87.91   | 88.20   | 84.29   | 87.45   |
| Piglet mean BW, kg                         |         |         |         |         |
| After cross-fostering                       | 1.78    | 1.78    | 1.74    | 1.76    |
| At day 7                                   | 2.78    | 3.13    | 3.01    | 3.04    |
| At day 14                                   | 4.78    | 5.11    | 5.07    | 5.07    |
| At day 21                                   | 6.85    | 7.07    | 7.55    | 7.25    |
| Piglet ADG, g/d                             |         |         |         |         |
| Week 1                                     | 143     | 191     | 174     | 179     |
| Week 2                                     | 280     | 288     | 289     | 285     |
| Week 3                                     | 289     | 300     | 294     | 307     |
| Days 1–21                                  | 243     | 261     | 256     | 260     |
| Litter weight, kg                          |         |         |         |         |
| After cross-fostering                       | 20.48   | 20.34   | 19.46   | 20.04   |
| At day 7                                   | 31.54   | 32.13   | 31.25   | 31.54   |
| At day 14                                   | 51.6   | 51.63   | 50.11   | 50.86   |
| At day 21                                   | 70.53   | 71.24   | 69.10   | 71.23   |

SEM standard error of the mean, BW body weight, ADG average daily gain

$^c$ $^d$ Means in the same row with different letters differ significantly; the different lowercase letters represent $P < 0.05$, and the different capital letters represent $P < 0.01$

*The results of piglet mean BW, ADG and litter weight are expressed as Lsmeans
metabolite glutathione, which is generated via sulfur metabolism. In the current study, the results showed that increasing the dietary Met:Lys ratio in the lactation diet improved the content of methionine in plasma and facilitated sulfur metabolism to form glutathione during the entire lactation period (Table 5). However, oxidative status biomarkers, ROS, in the plasma of sows were unaffected by the dietary Met:Lys ratio. Notably, another metabolite, homocysteine, is readily oxidized in the body to cause oxidative stress [19]. In the present study, the concentrations of homocysteine in plasma were increased with an increased Met:Lys ratio. This is in accordance with research that reported that an increase in the methionine level in the diet would enhance sulfur metabolism [20]. The increased homocysteine level might abolish the antioxidative effect of glutathione.

Moreover, in the present study, we showed that the homocysteine content increased dramatically in the 0.37-, 0.47- and 0.57-ratio groups on day 20 of lactation (*P* < 0.01). We showed that the homocysteine levels in milk were greater than those in the plasma during the lactation period, which could be attributed to the lower activity of enzymes involved in homocysteine metabolism. However, there is no available information on the expression or activity of these enzymes in porcine mammary glands. Growing evidence has demonstrated that the level of homocysteine is positively correlated with the content of ADMA (asymmetrical dimethyl arginine) [21], which is an endogenous inhibitor of inducible nitric oxide synthase to form nitric oxide (NO) [22, 23]. Since the important role of NO in regulation of blood flow, it has been proposed that increased homocysteine decreased regional blood flow [24]. However, in the current study, the increased homocysteine did not decreased total milk yield or the milk protein content, suggesting that the blood flow might not affect by the changed homocysteine levels. Interestingly, it

### Table 4 Influence of dietary Met:Lys ratio in lactation diet on composition of colostrum and milk

| Items                  | Met:Lys   | SEM | P-value |
|------------------------|-----------|-----|---------|
|                        | 0.27      | 0.37| 0.47    | 0.57    |
|                        | Linear    | Quadratic | Feed |
| No. of sows a          | 10        | 10  | 10      | 10      |
| Fat, %                | Colostrum| 5.57| 0.28    | 0.74    | 0.82    |
| Milk of day 7          | 7.02      | 0.23| 0.20    | 0.41    | 0.45    |
| Milk of day 20         | 6.94      | 0.14| 0.23    | 0.47    | 0.46    |
| Protein, %             | Colostrum| 12.07| 0.52    | 0.46    | 0.63    | 0.33    |
| Milk of day 7          | 6.31      | 0.14| 0.27    | 0.51    | 0.2     |
| Milk of day 20         | 6.31      | 0.05| 0.58    | 0.86    | 0.9     |
| Lactose, %             | Colostrum| 4.90 | 0.09    | 0.82    | 0.74    | 0.1     |
| Milk of day 7          | 6.61      | 0.09| 0.088   | 0.16    | 0.2     |
| Milk of day 20         | 6.73      | 0.07| 0.71    | 0.40    | 0.45    |
| Total solids content, %| Colostrum| 30.01| 0.47    | 0.83    | 0.92    | 0.49    |
| Milk of day 7          | 27.53     | 0.29| 0.24    | 0.40    | 0.32    |
| Milk of day 20         | 27.48     | 0.15| 0.27    | 0.54    | 0.6     |
| Moisture, %            | Colostrum| 69.99| 0.47    | 0.83    | 0.92    | 0.49    |
| Milk of day 7          | 72.47     | 0.29| 0.24    | 0.40    | 0.32    |
| Milk of day 20         | 72.52     | 0.15| 0.27    | 0.54    | 0.6     |
| Solid not fat, %       | Colostrum| 20.75| 0.44    | 0.42    | 0.59    | 0.38    |
| Milk of day 7          | 16.46     | 0.06| 0.87    | 0.50    | 0.16    |
| Milk of day 20         | 16.50     | 0.06| 0.84    | 0.32    | 0.61    |

*The number of samples of sows in each group was more than 9 but not equal; SEM, standard error of the mean.*

---

![Fig. 1](Image)  
**Fig. 1** Dietary effect on the concentration of urea nitrogen in plasma. Blood samples were collected from the ear vein of sows at the morning feeding and 2 h later. Data are presented as the means±SEM, *n* ≥ 10/lactation diet. a,bMeans in the same row with different letters differ significantly, *P* < 0.05.
Table 5 Effect of dietary Met:Lys ratio in the lactation diet on the levels of methionine metabolites in the blood of sows

| Items            | Met:Lys 0.27 | Met:Lys 0.37 | Met:Lys 0.47 | Met:Lys 0.57 | SEM  | P-value  | Linear | Quadratic | Feed |
|------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|------|----------|--------|-----------|-------|
|                  |              |              |              |              |      |          |        |           |       |
| No. of sows1     |              |              |              |              |      |          |        |           |       |
| At parturition   |              |              |              |              |      |          |        |           |       |
| Methionine, μmol/L3 | 26.88        | 27.97        | 34.11        | 34.37        | 1.92 | 0.11     | 0.27   | 0.43      |       |
| Homocysteine, μmol/L3 | 26.78        | 27.40        | 26.82        | 25.47        | 0.76 | 0.52     | 0.67   | 0.85      |       |
| Cystine, μmol/L  | 10.70        | 9.51         | 10.47        | 7.92         | 0.60 | 0.36     | 0.18   | 0.39      |       |
| Taurine, μmol/L3 | 52.2         | 46.1         | 55.7         | 50.0         | 2.0  | 0.89     | 0.87   | 0.85      |       |
| Glutathione, μmol/L | 74.58        | 76.77        | 77.77        | 79.34        | 1.52 | 0.75     | 0.27   | 0.55      |       |
| At day 7         |              |              |              |              |      |          |        |           |       |
| Methionine, μmol/L2,3 | 21.96B       | 39.57B       | 49.63B       | 63.84A       | 2.75 | 0.00     | 0.00   | 0.00      |       |
| Homocysteine, μmol/L2,3 | 15.88B       | 15.40B       | 17.70A       | 19.76A       | 0.47 | 0.00     | 0.00   | 0.00      |       |
| Cystine, μmol/L  | 6.58ab       | 7.16a        | 5.78b        | 5.49b        | 0.22 | 0.01     | 0.03   | 0.02      |       |
| Taurine, μmol/L3 | 43.3         | 61.1         | 72.7         | 79.9         | 5.2  | 0.01     | 0.03   | 0.07      |       |
| Glutathione, μmol/L | 55.14        | 53.55        | 55.34        | 54.76        | 0.73 | 0.92     | 0.95   | 0.84      |       |
| At weaning       |              |              |              |              |      |          |        |           |       |
| Methionine, μmol/L2,3 | 12.21c       | 26.48c       | 36.55B       | 52.99A       | 2.40 | 0.00     | 0.00   | 0.00      |       |
| Homocysteine, μmol/L2,3 | 13.88b       | 14.55b       | 15.40b       | 18.96A       | 0.48 | 0.00     | 0.00   | 0.00      |       |
| Cystine, μmol/L  | 3.90ab       | 4.11a        | 3.40b        | 3.34b        | 0.11 | 0.01     | 0.04   | 0.02      |       |
| Taurine, μmol/L3 | 23.3B        | 36.6B        | 30.4B        | 64.7A        | 3.8  | 0.00     | 0.00   | 0.00      |       |
| Glutathione, μmol/L | 59.31B       | 59.04B       | 58.04B       | 64.97A       | 0.77 | 0.02     | 0.00   | 0.00      |       |

1The number of samples of sows in each group was more than 9 but not equal; SEM, standard error of the mean
2Means in the same row with different letters differ significantly; the different lowercase letters represent P < 0.05, and the different capital letters represent P < 0.01
3There was a treatment × sampling day interaction for plasma methionine (P < 0.001), homocysteine (P < 0.05) and taurine (P < 0.05) concentrations

Table 6 Effect of dietary Met:Lys ratio in the lactation diet on the levels of methionine metabolites in the colostrum and milk of sows

| Items            | Met:Lys 0.27 | Met:Lys 0.37 | Met:Lys 0.47 | Met:Lys 0.57 | SEM  | P-value  | Linear | Quadratic | Feed |
|------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|------|----------|--------|-----------|-------|
|                  |              |              |              |              |      |          |        |           |       |
| No. of sows1     |              |              |              |              |      |          |        |           |       |
| Colostrum        |              |              |              |              |      |          |        |           |       |
| Methionine, μmol/L | 3.28         | 4.69         | 3.48         | 3.20         | 0.42 | 0.71     | 0.64   | 0.62      |       |
| Homocysteine, μmol/L | 25.66        | 25.18        | 38.99        | 35.29        | 2.93 | 0.10     | 0.26   | 0.46      |       |
| Cystine, μmol/L  | 0.68         | 0.76         | 0.86         | 0.40         | 0.09 | 0.35     | 0.17   | 0.26      |       |
| Taurine, μmol/L3 | 57.57        | 501.7        | 552.8        | 552.4        | 34.7 | 0.95     | 0.90   | 0.92      |       |
| Glutathione, μmol/L | 53.06        | 52.72        | 51.88        | 50.23        | 0.58 | 0.07     | 0.16   | 0.31      |       |
| Milk at day 7    |              |              |              |              |      |          |        |           |       |
| Methionine, μmol/L | 14.18        | 16.30        | 16.13        | 18.56        | 0.74 | 0.05     | 0.15   | 0.23      |       |
| Homocysteine, μmol/L | 30.39        | 38.19        | 30.62        | 32.99        | 2.33 | 0.96     | 0.90   | 0.66      |       |
| Cystine, μmol/L  | 2.11         | 2.39         | 2.62         | 2.24         | 0.14 | 0.67     | 0.77   | 0.64      |       |
| Taurine, μmol/L3 | 78.04        | 944.7        | 851.9        | 790.4        | 24.7 | 0.70     | 0.08   | 0.07      |       |
| Glutathione, μmol/L | 45.63        | 47.36        | 47.41        | 50.16        | 0.58 | 0.01     | 0.03   | 0.04      |       |
| Milk at day 20   |              |              |              |              |      |          |        |           |       |
| Methionine, μmol/L | 18.41        | 18.48        | 16.77        | 16.49        | 0.93 | 0.38     | 0.68   | 0.82      |       |
| Homocysteine, μmol/L2 | 9.58b        | 20.46a       | 25.01a       | 29.38a       | 2.08 | 0.00     | 0.00   | 0.00      |       |
| Cystine, μmol/L  | 2.01         | 3.39         | 3.40         | 2.82         | 0.21 | 0.20     | 0.02   | 0.05      |       |
| Taurine, μmol/L3 | 801.0b       | 965.3b       | 927.9b       | 1068.4a      | 29.4 | 0.01     | 0.02   | 0.02      |       |
| Glutathione, μmol/L | 50.19        | 50.27        | 52.29        | 51.69        | 0.73 | 0.32     | 0.59   | 0.68      |       |

1The number of samples of sows in each group was more than 9 but not equal; SEM, standard error of the mean
2Means in the same row with different letters differ significantly; the different lowercase letters represent P < 0.05, and the different capital letters represent P < 0.01
3There was a treatment × sampling day interaction trend for the level of taurine in milk (P = 0.074)
has been shown that GSH enhanced production of NO in rodents and humans [25]. Hence, we speculate that No production might remain balance when both GSH and homocysteine levels was increased in the current study, but further testing is necessary for confirmation.

Surprisingly, the concentrations of homocysteine in the colostrum and milk on day 7 were high, indicating large-scale homocysteine accumulation in the mammary gland cells of perinatal sows. Furthermore, the levels of homocysteine in the plasma of sows at parturition were high and decreased gradually as lactation progressed (Fig. 2); interestingly, this trend is almost the same as that of ROS during lactation in sows [8]. Thus, we speculate that high levels of homocysteine in the plasma of perinatal sows may be an important potential factor for high oxidative stress during the perinatal period, as homocysteine is easily oxidized in the body [19]. Therefore, these results provide a new direction for further research on the mechanism of sow systemic oxidative stress and the optimization of nutrition regulation strategies for sows.

Table 7 Effect of dietary Met:Lys ratio in the lactation diet on oxidative stress parameters of sows

| Items              | Met:Lys | SEM  | P-value |
|--------------------|---------|------|---------|
|                    | 0.27    | 0.37 | 0.47    | 0.57    |
|                    |         |      | Linear  | Quadratic | Feed |
| No. of sows        |         |      |         |          |
| At parturition     |         |      |         |          |
| GSH-Px, U/mL       | 843.73  | 800.09 | 768.65 | 811.04 | 16.33 | 0.38 | 0.28 | 0.44 |
| T-AOC, U/mL        | 0.77    | 0.59  | 0.98   | 0.95   | 0.06  | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.44 |
| ROS, U/mL          | 36.49   | 30.83 | 41.48  | 41.65  | 2.27  | 0.44 | 0.62 | 0.30 |
| 8-OHdG, ng/mL      | 37.44   | 33.07 | 37.72  | 33.26  | 1.83  | 0.66 | 0.91 | 0.70 |
| TBARS, nmol/mL     | 3.08    | 3.1   | 2.81   | 2.71   | 0.16  | 0.35 | 0.60 | 0.79 |
| At day 7           |         |      |         |          |
| GSH-Px, U/mL       | 672.88b | 811.65a | 763.09a | 621.40b | 16.91 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| T-AOC, U/mL        | 0.30c   | 0.58b | 1.00a  | 0.50c  | 0.06  | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ROS, U/mL          | 34.66   | 32.54 | 39.11  | 38.22  | 1.87  | 0.21 | 0.41 | 0.12 |
| 8-OHdG, ng/mL      | 50.05a  | 43.08b | 38.47b  | 38.78b | 1.60  | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 |
| TBARS, nmol/mL     | 3.82b   | 3.78b | 4.51ab  | 4.73a  | 0.15  | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 |
| At weaning         |         |      |         |          |
| GSH-Px, U/mL       | 632.84c | 895.69a | 773.88b | 799.85b | 17.92 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| T-AOC, U/mL        | 0.36b   | 0.62b | 1.27a  | 1.36a  | 0.10  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ROS, U/mL          | 46.20   | 37.92 | 39.79  | 40.64  | 1.79  | 0.64 | 0.54 | 0.45 |
| 8-OHdG, ng/mL      | 50.56a  | 40.58b | 49.15a  | 50.42a | 1.37  | 0.58 | 0.31 | 0.02 |
| TBARS, nmol/mL     | 2.47    | 2.24  | 2.67   | 3.65   | 0.19  | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.17 |

1The number of samples of sows in each group was more than 9 but not equal; SEM, standard error of the mean; 2Means in the same row with different letters differ significantly; the different lowercase letters represent P < 0.05, and the different capital letters represent P < 0.01; 3There was a treatment × sampling day interaction for plasma GSH-Px and T-AOC concentrations (P < 0.01), and the levels of GSH-Px and T-AOC in plasma at parturition and weaning were significantly higher than those at day 7 (P < 0.001); GSH-Px glutathione peroxidase, T-AOC total antioxidant capacity, ROS reactive oxygen species; 8-OHdG 8-hydroxy-deoxyguanosine; TBARS thiobarbituric acid reactive substances
Conclusion
The current study indicated that increasing the dietary Met:Lys ratio (0.37–0.57) in the lactation diet had no significant effect on the overall performance of sows or the colostrum and milk composition, but it increased piglet mean BW and piglet ADG during the first week of lactation. Increasing dietary methionine levels increased levels of homocysteine GSH and GSH-Px in the plasma of sows, but had no significant effect on antioxidant status in sows.
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