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1. Introduction

To satisfy the ever-increasing demands for the portable electronic devices, electric vehicles, and renewable energy harvesting at a large scale, intensive research efforts have been devoted to exploring reliable energy storage systems with high energy densities and low cost. The lithium–sulfur (Li–S) battery, based on conversion reaction chemistry between Li anode and S cathode, is considered as one of the promising candidates due to its high theoretical energy density (~2600 W h kg⁻¹). Sulfur can provide a high theoretical capacity (~1600 mAh g⁻¹) and has various merits of high natural abundance, low cost, environmental benignity, and nontoxicity. However, the practical application for Li–S batteries is still hindered by a series of technical issues, including the insulating nature of sulfur (5 × 10⁻³⁰ S cm⁻¹ at 25 °C) as well as the discharged products (Li₂S/Li₂S₂), large volume change (~80%) during the charge/discharge process, and the most critical problem of dissolution of lithium polysulfides (LiPSs) into electrolytes and their “shuttle effect.” During the lithiation of S cathode, the long-chain high-polarity LiPSs intermediates (LiₓSₓ, 4 ≤ x ≤ 8) are formed and easily dissolved in the liquid electrolyte and tend to migrate to the Li anode side, causing parasitic reactions. Particularly, the low conductivity of S and Li₂S/Li₂S₂ and the high solubility and diffusion of LiPSs lead to high charge transfer resistance and sluggish kinetics of polysulfide redox reactions on the cathode. Collectively, these issues likely result in low utilization of sulfur, loss of active materials, low coulombic efficiency and redox kinetics, structural collapse, and degradation of electrodes and thus impair the capacity, rate capability, and cycling stability of Li–S batteries.

Confining sulfur within various host materials has been a common strategy to reduce the diffusion of LiPSs for the cathode design. Early efforts have focused on developing conductive hollow and porous carbon hosts to physically immobilize the LiPSs, alleviate the volume changes and enhance the conductivity, bringing progressive enhancement of composite sulfur/carbon cathode performance. However, the high polarity of polysulfides reduces their affinity toward nonpolar carbon hosts. Their physical interaction is primarily based on relatively weak van der Waals’ force, which cannot entirely prevent the transport of LiPSs in the long term especially for high loading of sulfur, since the driving force for the migration of LiPSs is a much stronger electric field in Li–S batteries. Furthermore, the incompatibility in the surface affinity also impedes the efficient interfacial redox reaction of sulfur species on carbon,
possibly causing flooding of polysulfides. Therefore, recent attention has been paid to seek polar host materials with adequate strong chemical binding affinity to polysulfides. Diverse materials such as heteroatom-doped carbon,[2a,7] polymer chains,[8] and various transition-metal compounds including metal oxides,[9b,9c] sulfides,[9c,10] nitriles,[11] and carbides,[12] have been developed with varied affinity to polysulfides and conductivity. Among them, metal sulfides have attracted particular interest due to their strong sulfiphilicity, tunable crystal structures, and stoichiometric compositions.[10,13] In addition, some of the metal sulfides have been demonstrated to have an electrocatalytic effect in improving polysulfide redox reactions. For instance, Co9S8,[14] MoS2,[13c,15] NiS2,[16] and TiS2[17] have been used as sulfur hosts for Li–S batteries with enhanced binding affinity to LiPSs. However, most of the metal sulfides have low electronic conductivity compared to carbonaceous materials and easily aggregate to form large particles, thus limiting the charge transfer, surface area, adsorption site density, and high loading of sulfur species. For example, Li et al. introduced a moderate amount of elemental sulfur loaded on the surface of dense Ni3S2 layers on the Ni foam, forming a 3D hierarchical Ni/Ni3S2/S electrode. However, the electrode showed limited cycle life with low coulombic efficiency due to the poor adsorption mechanism toward polysulfides.[18] To enhance the conductivity and loading of sulfur, carbonaceous materials have been combined with metal sulfides either in a mixture powder or freestanding aerogel manner.[13c,15a,16] The former relies on the utilization of polymers binders and limits the charge transfer between particles, while the latter suffers from the mechanically fragile scaffold structure of aerosol and may collapse after long-term cycles. Therefore, it is still challenging to develop binder-free monolithic cathodes with high conductivity, favorable interfacial interaction, strong capability for trapping LiPSs, a large density of adsorption sites, and fast redox kinetics for high-energy Li–S batteries.

Herein, a bioinspired electrode structure design is proposed to construct self-supported cathodes (S/CNF-HC-Ni3S2) integrating Ni foam framework, host carbon (HC), carbon nanofibers (CNFs), and Ni3S2 with active sulfur for high-performance Li–S batteries through a programmed fabrication approach. As illustrated in Figure 1a, the architecture of such cathode mimics the structure of giardia lamblia, a parasitic microorganism. Two kinds of carbon layers are in situ grown on Ni foam framework, consisting of HC layer serves as the primary reservoir for loading of sulfur. As the giardia lamblia shows strong adhesion ability to the surface of the infected hosts, this electrode with similar structure design is expected to have affinity toward LiPSs species. In this work, such elaborately designed cathode possesses cooperative interfaces of “lithiophilic” S-doped carbon and “sulfiphilic” Ni3S2 (Figure 1b). The Ni3S2 particles have strong chemical adsorption affinity to polysulfide and high electrocatalytic activity for facilitating the LiPSs-involved redox reactions. The HC layer can enable a relatively high loading of sulfur and the partially sulfurized CNF layer can act as a barrier/functional layer to prevent the diffusion of LiPSs and facilitate the transport of Li ions and electrons, both of which can also accommodate the volume changes. Overall, this hierarchical electrode design integrates multiple building blocks with specialized roles into an ensemble to show a synergistic effect, providing a firm and effective 3D conductive network and cooperative interfaces to minimize the shuttle effect by increasing the density of adsorption sites, adsorption capability, electron/ion transfer, and catalytic redox kinetics for the sulfur species during the discharge–charge process. As a result, the S/CNF-HC-Ni3S2 cathode exhibits a stable reversible capacity of ~850 mAh g\(^{-1}\) after 100 cycles at a current density of 0.2 C, excellent rate capability, and superior cycle durability (620 mAh g\(^{-1}\) after 300 cycles at 2 C and 400 mAh g\(^{-1}\) after 500 cycles at 5 C). This work offers a programmed design strategy by integrating hierarchical functional units to develop high-performance cathodes for Li–S batteries.

2. Results and Discussion

The programmed fabrication process of S/CNF-HC-Ni3S2 electrodes includes the hydrothermal surface pretreatment of Ni foam (Figures S1 and S2, Supporting Information), chemical vapor deposition (CVD) for growth of host carbon and carbon nanofiber forest and stepwise thermal treatment for Ni3S2 and
sulfur incorporation (Figures S3 and S4, Supporting Information) followed by C52 rinsing to remove bulk S residues. The average S mass ratio is estimated to 8 wt% by thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA, Figure S5, Supporting Information), which coincides with the mass change results as listed in Table S1 of the Supporting Information. The dominant peaks in the X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern of cleaned S/CNF-HC-Ni3S2 correspond to metallic nickel resulting from Ni foam framework (Figure S6a, Supporting Information), and the XRD patterns of other components are compared in Figure S6b of the Supporting Information. Further magnification of the XRD pattern of cleaned S/CNF-HC-Ni3S2 (Figure 2a) can unambiguously identify the existence of many minor peaks of the rhombohedral Ni3S2 phase (JCPDS No. 44–1418) and a broad peak centered at 26.4° arising from the graphitic carbon matrices with sulfur. One sharp peak at 2θ = 22° for Ni3S2 somehow disappears, which is probably due to the broad hump and ascending background from the graphitic carbon overlapping with it. Few sharp peaks of crystalline sulfur can be observed, indicating that sulfur was well dispersed in the carbon layer of the cathode. The morphologies of Ni foam substrate and S/CNF-HC-Ni3S2 cathode were characterized by the scanning electron microscopy (SEM) at different stages of preparation process. After the CVD process, the smooth surface of Ni foam is coated with numerous carbon nanofibers (Figure S7, Supporting Information). Then after stepwise thermal treatment for Ni3S2 and sulfur incorporation, the morphology of CNFs has little change except for the slight coarsening (Figure 2b). Few agglomerations of bulk sulfur particles can be observed suggesting the homogeneous dispersion and loading of sulfur within the S/CNF-HC-Ni3S2, consistent with the XRD results. The energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) elemental mapping of the ligament surface of S/CNF-HC-Ni3S2 demonstrates that Ni and S elements are homogeneously dispersed in the carbon matrix without notable segregation (Figure S8, Supporting Information). To clearly reveal the hierarchical architecture of Ni foam subjected to CVD treatment and cleaned S/CNF-HC-Ni3S2, their cross-sectional SEM images are shown in Figure 2c,d, respectively. Three layers can be observed distinctly after CVD process, including the top layer of CNF forest, interlayer of HC with void space, and Ni substrate layer for the Ni foam. S/CNF-HC-Ni3S2 has a similar hierarchical structure, while the HC interlayer is filled with sulfur and in situ formed Ni3S2 nanoparticles are embedded in the whole matrix. The cross-sectional EDX line scan profile and spectrum (Figure 2e,f) of S/CNF-HC-Ni3S2 corroborate that sulfur is primarily loaded in the HC reservoir and Ni3S2 is dispersed in CNF and HC matrix. This is the embodiment of the design that we conceived in Figure 1a.

The chemical interactions within S/CNF-HC-Ni3S2 especially associated with the chemical interactions toward LiPSs were further investigated by Fourier transform infrared (FTIR), Raman spectroscopy, and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). In the FTIR spectrum (Figure 3a), the typical peaks at 1575 and 1665 cm⁻¹ can be ascribed to the C=C stretching vibrations originating from the graphite planar.[19] The peak at 1728 cm⁻¹ is assigned to symmetric stretching vibrations of −COOH groups on the pyrolysis carbon.[190,20] The characteristic peaks at 1330 and 1450 cm⁻¹ can be due to the H-C stretching modes of H—C=O in carboxyl groups.[20] Other oxygen-containing and CH group can be also identified at the peaks of 1240 (C—O—C), 1052 (C—OH), and 740 cm⁻¹ (C—H), respectively.[21] These oxygen-containing functional groups can act as active sites bonding with the short sulfur chains, resulting in a peak at 1028 cm⁻¹ corresponding to the O—S vibrations.[22] The characteristic peaks of C=S bonds are located at 670 and 955 cm⁻¹, while the peak of Ni—S bond is at 1100 cm⁻¹.[24] In the Raman spectrum (Figure 3b), two prominent peaks at 1376 and 1585 cm⁻¹ corresponding to the D (disordered carbon) and G (graphitic carbon) bands are well-documented in the previous literature.[25] A sharp peak at ≈1440 cm⁻¹ is likely assigned to C—H [δ(CH2)] deformation caused by the methyl group from the residual toluene.[26] Additionally, two small humps centered at the peaks of 792 and 938 cm⁻¹ correspond to C=S and S=S vibrations, respectively.[27] A hump region from 100 to 500 cm⁻¹ indicates complicated vibration modes, where the peaks at 140, 243, and 406 cm⁻¹, are assigned to the vibrational modes of nickel sulfides.[28] In addition, the characteristic peaks of C=S and S=S can be identified at 308 and 468 cm⁻¹, respectively, indicating that sufficient active anchor sites for chemical adsorption toward polysulfides have been successfully created in this integrated electrode during the sulfurization process.[30,27b] as also confirmed by the Raman spectra of different components in Figure S9 of the Supporting Information.

The survey XPS spectrum of pristine S/CNF-HC-Ni3S2 displays the typical peaks for C, S, Ni, and O elements (Figure S10a, Supporting Information). The C 1s XPS spectrum can be deconvoluted into four peaks (Figure 3c). The typical peak at 284.8 and 286.9 eV can be ascribed to sp² hybridized carbon and C—O species, respectively. The peak at 285.6 eV corresponding to C=S bonds proves the covalent bonding between sulfur and carbon matrices in the composite.[29] A shoulder peak at 283.7 eV corresponds to Ni—C bond resulting from the Ni3C formed during the CVD process.[28,30] The possible carbon deposition process and stages can be found in Figures S3 and S4 of the Supporting Information. The S 2p spectrum (Figure 3d) demonstrates a broad peak centered at 168.4 eV, which is assigned to the sulfate resulting from the adventitious oxidation of the surface during the sample transfer.[7,25e,31] As sulfur and Ni3S2 are both included in the electrode, there should be two 2p1/2/2p1/2 doublets in S 2p spectrum. The peaks at 164.6 and 163.6 eV can be attributed to the spin–orbit coupling, but the binding energy of the S 2p1/2 peak (163.6 eV) is lower than that of elemental sulfur (164.0 eV), reconfirming the chemical bonding sulfur atoms with carbon matrix (C—S).[29a,32] The other two peaks at 163.5 eV and 162.4 eV should be reasonably attributed to Ni3S2, which also coincides with the reported literature.[33] The Ni 2p1/2 and 2p1/2 peaks at 856.1 and 873.7 eV are coupled with their satellite peaks at 861.7 and 879.7 eV (Figure 3e), respectively, consistent with those of reported Ni3S2.[18,34] This confirms the existence of Ni3S2 in S/CNF-HC-Ni3S2 along with the XRD results. Figure 3f shows the XPS peak of the O 1s core level of pristine S/CNF-HC-Ni3S2, which is deconvoluted into two peaks. The peak at 532.6 eV can be due to the C=O groups in the aromatic ring; while the other peak at 531.3 eV is due to the C=O bonds. This C=O binding energy is slightly lower than the reported values, indicating that the O atoms are possibly sulfurized to form the C—O—S bonds as functional groups.[34b,35] Collectively, these morphological, structural and spectroscopic
characterization results substantiate that self-supported S/CNF-HC-Ni$_3$S$_2$ electrode was obtained on the basis of our elaborate design with hierarchical architecture integrating Ni foam framework, carbon host reservoir, carbon nanofiber forest, and Ni$_3$S$_2$.

Figure 2. a) The magnified XRD pattern of S/CNF-HC-Ni$_3$S$_2$ and standard XRD cards of Ni$_3$S$_2$ and S. SEM images of the top view of b) S/CNF-HC-Ni$_3$S$_2$ electrode. Cross-sectional SEM images of c) Ni foam after the CVD process and d) S/CNF-HC-Ni$_3$S$_2$ electrode. Inset of (c) is a lateral view of giardia lamblia structure. e) EDX line scan profile and f) EDX spectrum of S/CNF-HC-Ni$_3$S$_2$ with the corresponding elemental quantification in the inset.
with active sulfur. Although the functional groups demonstrate the interaction of CNF-HC-Ni$_3$S$_2$ host with sulfur rather than polysulfides, however, it is believed that the formed LiPSs will also chemically interact with the host upon discharge of the bonded sulfur.\footnote{12b}

The electrochemical performances of S/CNF-HC-Ni$_3$S$_2$ were systematically investigated as cathodes of Li–S batteries. To study the role of Ni$_3$S$_2$, S/CNF-HC (Figure S11, Supporting Information) was also prepared as a control sample with the similar morphology and architecture except for the absence of Ni$_3$S$_2$ (see details in the Experimental Section). \textbf{Figure 4a} shows the first five cyclic voltammogram (CV) curves of the S/CNF-HC-Ni$_3$S$_2$ electrode at a scan rate of 0.1 mV s$^{-1}$ between 1.7 and 3.0 V. Two sharp cathodic peaks at $\approx$2.3 (I) and $\approx$2.0 (II) V can be observed, corresponding to the reduction of S$_8$ to long chain LiPSs ($\text{Li}_2\text{S}_x$, 4 $\leq$ x $\leq$ 8) and then to insoluble short chain discharged products Li$_2$S$_2$/Li$_2$S. Two anodic peaks appear at $\approx$2.3 and $\approx$2.4 V, accounting for the oxidation of lithium sulfides to LiPSs and sulfur.\footnote{36} After the initial activation cycle, the following successive CV curves are well overlapped, indicating the highly reversible redox conversion reactions and constant suppression on electrochemical polarization.\footnote{13c,37} \textbf{Figure 4a} shows the first five cyclic voltammogram (CV) curves of the S/CNF-HC-Ni$_3$S$_2$ electrode at a scan rate of 0.1 mV s$^{-1}$ between 1.7 and 3.0 V. Two sharp cathodic peaks at $\approx$2.3 (I) and $\approx$2.0 (II) V can be observed, corresponding to the reduction of S$_8$ to long chain LiPSs ($\text{Li}_2\text{S}_x$, 4 $\leq$ x $\leq$ 8) and then to insoluble short chain discharged products Li$_2$S$_2$/Li$_2$S. Two anodic peaks appear at $\approx$2.3 and $\approx$2.4 V, accounting for the oxidation of lithium sulfides to LiPSs and sulfur.\footnote{36} After the initial activation cycle, the following successive CV curves are well overlapped, indicating the highly reversible redox conversion reactions and constant suppression on electrochemical polarization.\footnote{13c,37} By contrast, the S/CNF-HC electrode exhibits much broader CV peaks for both cathodic and anodic reactions, apparent peak shifts and degradation during continuous cycles (Figure 4b), indicative of slow redox kinetics and severe polarization due to the absence of Ni$_3$S$_2$ component. For better comparison, the first CV curves of S/CNF-HC-Ni$_3$S$_2$ and S/CNF-HC are shown in Figure S12 of the Supporting Information. It is obvious that the cathodic and anodic peaks of S/CNF-HC-Ni$_3$S$_2$ electrode are sharper and narrower, which also confirms the critical role of Ni$_3$S$_2$ during the redox reactions. The CV results signify that Ni$_3$S$_2$ is able to substantially accelerate kinetics, promote redox reversibility and stability, and mitigate polarization in LiPSs redox reactions.

The cycling performances of S/CNF-HC-Ni$_3$S$_2$ and S/CNF-HC cathodes at 0.2 C are compared in Figure 4c. The specific capacity of S/CNF-HC cathode is rapidly decreased to only $\approx$400 mAh g$^{-1}$ after 100 cycles, whereas the S/CNF-HC-Ni$_3$S$_2$ electrode still maintains a high specific capacity ($\approx$850 mAh g$^{-1}$). This result manifests that the design of S/CNF-HC-Ni$_3$S$_2$ can effectively mitigate the diffusion of soluble LiPSs and loss of active sulfur, minimize the volume change and structural collapse, thus resulting in the high sulfur utilization, specific capacity and cyclability. The corresponding discharge and charge curves (Figure S13, Supporting Information) show characteristic plateaus in good agreement with their respective CV curves as well as the results in the literature.\footnote{38} In sharp contrast to S/CNF-HC with exacerbated polarization, the S/CNF-HC-Ni$_3$S$_2$ cathode exhibits overlapped discharge/charge voltage stages and low polarization during cycles. This suggests the effective binding and anchoring of LiPSs with abundant active sites, fast redox kinetics, and remarkable reversibility in S/CNF-HC-Ni$_3$S$_2$. The rate capability of S/CNF-HC-Ni$_3$S$_2$ cathode was evaluated at various rates (Figure 4d) with corresponding charge/discharge curves presented in Figure S13c of the Supporting Information. S/CNF-HC-Ni$_3$S$_2$ exhibits
stable and high reversible capacities of 1017.8, 883.8, 787.4, and 688.8 mAh g\(^{-1}\) at 0.2, 0.5, 1, and 3 C, respectively. Even when current rate increases to 5 C, a capacity of 530 mAh g\(^{-1}\) can still be maintained. In addition, the characteristic stable and phased plateaus can still be clearly observed even at 5 C (Figure S13c, Supporting Information), reflecting the enhanced redox kinetics of S/CNF-HC-Ni\(_3\)S\(_2\). Such outstanding rate performance is attributed to the integrated conductive electrode architecture composed of Ni foam, HC and CNF layers for providing 3D electron pathway network and to rich active and adsorption sites for facilitating ion transfer and redox kinetics. The excellent structure stability of S/CNF-HC-Ni\(_3\)S\(_2\) electrode can also be revealed by Figure S14 of the Supporting Information. The stable high capacity could still be maintained for S/CNF-HC-Ni\(_3\)S\(_2\) electrode after the current density switched back from 5 to 0.2 C. A capacity of 810 mAh g\(^{-1}\) can be delivered on return to 0.2 C with 80% specific capacity retention after 110 cycles (Figure S14a, Supporting Information), indicating the excellent robustness and stability of the integrated electrode. Moreover, long-term high rate cycling stability for S/CNF-HC-Ni\(_3\)S\(_2\) electrodes was determined at 2 and 5 C. The capacities can be maintained at \( \approx \)620 mAh g\(^{-1}\) (2 C) and \( \approx \)400 mAh g\(^{-1}\) (5 C) after 300 cycles (Figure S14b, Supporting Information) and 450 cycles (Figure 4e), respectively, with the coulombic efficiencies close to 100%. This accentuates the enhanced cycling stability and redox kinetics primarily owing to the structural design advantages. More remarkably, the host carbon layer acting as the main reservoir for sulfur in S/CNF-HC-Ni\(_3\)S\(_2\) can accommodate a relatively high sulfur loading of \( \approx \)4 mg cm\(^{-2}\), which can sustain a reversible discharge capacity of \( \approx \)770 mAh g\(^{-1}\) (i.e., 3.2 mAh cm\(^{-2}\)) at 0.2 C after 100 cycles (Figure S15, Supporting Information), presenting the superior design of S/CNF-HC-Ni\(_3\)S\(_2\) cathodes with great promise in robust, long-term, and high current load energy applications. Above all, the electrochemical performances of S/CNF-HC-Ni\(_3\)S\(_2\) stand out among recently reported cathodes for Li–S batteries (Table S2, Supporting Information).

In-depth electrochemical experiments and postmortem analyses were performed to anatomize the reasons for the outstanding electrochemical performances of S/CNF-HC-Ni\(_3\)S\(_2\) cathodes, which will shed light on the mechanistic insights for guiding rational and competent cathode designs in the future. First, the well-distributed Ni\(_3\)S\(_2\) particles can serve as a highly efficient electrocatalyst with high electrocatalytic activity for both reducing the energy barriers and facilitating the kinetics for LiPSs-involved redox reactions. To elucidate the electrocatalytic
effects, the peak voltages of S/CNF-HC-Ni$_3$S$_2$ and S/CNF-HC electrodes for two cathodic peaks (I and II) and one anodic peak (III) derived from their CV curves (Figure 4) are compared (Figure 5a). The presence of Ni$_3$S$_2$ can raise the discharge voltages of cathodic peaks by at least 170 mV and reduce the charge voltage of anodic peak by 270 mV. In this case, Ni$_3$S$_2$ is able to substantially mitigate the polarization from 0.73 to 0.29 V (i.e., voltage hysteresis between III and II). These results are consistent with those of the galvanostatic discharge–charge profiles (Figure S13, Supporting Information), suggesting that Ni$_3$S$_2$ can weaken the energy barriers for redox reactions. The onset potential was taken at a current density of 10 $\mu$A cm$^{-2}$ beyond the baseline current, determined by a reported method.$^{[14]}$ Likewise, Ni$_3$S$_2$ contributes to the increased onset potentials of cathodic peaks (I and II) and decreased onset potential of anodic peak (III) (Figure 5b). Such trends are more evident in the comparison of their polarization curves (Figure 5c,d). Tafel plots were obtained from the polarization curves to uncover the electrocatalytic effect of Ni$_3$S$_2$ on the charge transfer kinetics in LiPSs-involved redox reactions. S/CNF-HC-Ni$_3$S$_2$ shows Tafel slopes of 75 and 62 mV dec$^{-1}$ in the reduction (I) and oxidation (III) processes (Figure 5e), respectively, much smaller than those of S/CNF-HC counterpart, implying the promoted kinetics over the Ni$_3$S$_2$ electrocatalyst. Moreover, the redox kinetics and charge transfer were examined by CV in symmetric cells, using two identical electrodes with Li$_2$S$_6$ electrolyte. To eliminate the influence from the capacitive background current in the CV curves, a symmetric cell with the Li$_2$S$_6$-free electrolyte and CNF-HC-Ni$_3$S$_2$ electrode couple was also measured, presenting negligible current density (Figure 5f). The current density of CNF-HC-Ni$_3$S$_2$ symmetric cells is much higher than that of CNF-HC control sample, indicative of notable enhancement on the redox reactions of LiPSs. The Nyquist plots in the electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) of symmetrical cells further confirm the

Figure 5. Comparison of a) CV peak voltages, b) onset potentials, c) cathodic and d) anodic polarization curves, and e) Tafel plots of asymmetrical Li–S cells consisting of both S/CNF-HC-Ni$_3$S$_2$ or S/CNF-HC cathode and Li anode. f) CV curves and g) EIS Nyquist curves of symmetric cells of CNF-HC-Ni$_3$S$_2$ or CNF-HC electrodes. h) C 1s and i) S 2p XPS spectra of S/CNF-HC-Ni$_3$S$_2$ cathode in asymmetrical Li–S cells after 100 cycles at discharged state.
boosted charge transfer process at Li2S6/CNF-HC-Ni3S2 interface, with a significantly lower charge transfer resistance ($R_c$) of 168 $\Omega$ cm$^2$ compared to that of CNF-HC (2214 $\Omega$ cm$^2$). Detailed equivalent circuit and fitting results are shown in Figure S16 of the Supporting Information. It is clear that the intimate bonding of well-distributed sulfiphilic Ni3S2 and electrically conductive HC and CNF matrices can readily promote the access of polysulfide ions and electron transfer to LiPSs/Ni3S2 interface to trigger the LiPSs redox reactions, unambiguously demonstrating expedited redox conversion kinetics and charge transfer. Collectively, these electrochemical analyses verify that Ni3S2 plays pivotal roles in electrocatalytically decreasing the energy barriers and accelerate kinetics for LiPSs-involved redox reactions. Second, the S/CNF-HC-Ni3S2 cathode possesses rich anchoring and adsorption sites with strong chemical LiPSs binding capability for immobilizing soluble LiPSs at the interfacial sites through interactions primarily with polar Ni3S2 and possible C=S bonds. To unravel the interactions, the XPS characterization of S/CNF-HC-Ni3S2 cathode after 100 cycles at the discharged state was conducted. For C 1s spectrum (Figure 5b), besides the C=C/C=O bonds from the carbon host, a strong peak corresponding to C=S bonding can be identified at 286.0 eV, which is shifted toward higher binding energy by 0.4 eV compared to that of the pristine electrode, together with the emerging carbonate species on the cycled electrode. This signifies the interaction of polysulfide with sulfurized carbon host.[19] The S 2p spectrum (Figure 5i) shows three major peaks of discharged lithium polysulfide and sulfide on the surface of cycled cathode, centered at 162.7, 161.3, and 160.1 eV, corresponding to the residual bridging ($S_{br}$), terminal ($S^t$) sulfur species and sulfides, respectively.[40] These binding energies are lower than those of pristine counterparts, indicating the chemical trapping of polysulfide/sulfide species on the S/CNF-HC-Ni3S2.[39,40,41] Moreover, the negative shift of the Ni 2p$_{3/2}$ peak together with the Li 1s spectrum is also observed on the cycled electrode (Figure S17, Supporting Information), indicating the chemical bonding between Ni3S2 and LiPSs.[16,42]

To further visualize the suppressive effects of Ni3S2 on the shuttling of LiPSs, the pristine electrodes of S/CNF-HC and S/CNF-HC-Ni3S2 were immersed into 0.1 m Li2S6 solution for adsorption ability test toward sulfides. Figure S18 of the Supporting Information shows the optical image of the adsorption test result. After standing for 3 days, the solution involved with the S/CNF-HC-Ni3S2 becomes colorless, while it still keeps light yellow for S/CNF-HC electrode. This comparative result demonstrates the excellent capability of S/CNF-HC-Ni3S2 electrode in polysulfides immobilization. Moreover, Figure 6 compares the photographs of cycled Li–S cells based on S/CNF-HC-Ni3S2 and other control samples. The S/CNF-HC cathode (Figure 6a) contains visible white sulfur spots and yellow green soluble LiPSs solution can be found when the cycled electrode was soaked in dimethoxyethane (DME). Meanwhile, black contaminants adhere to the separator and the Li foil has been seriously corroded, indicating the severe migration of LiPSs toward Li anode due to the weak binding capability of S/CNF-HC in the absence of Ni3S2 chemical anchors. Indeed, S/CNF-HC delivers fast capacity decay during cycles (Figure 4c). Previous reports have also confirmed that the incompatibility in the surface affinity of nonpolar carbon with polar polysulfides makes it incapable of effectively inhibiting LiPSs migration and flooding in pure carbon-based sulfur cathodes.[8a,43] In stark contrast, the S/CNF-HC-Ni3S2 electrode (Figure 6b) after 100 cycles shows few visible sulfur species, and its solution is slightly colored when soaked in DME solvent. The separator and Li anode are much cleaner, demonstrating the extraordinary chemical adsorption and binding capabilities for anchoring polysulfides. The binding energies of multiple LiPSs on the Ni3S2 surface at the molecular level have been calculated through the density functional theory in previous reports, which are much higher than those on nonpolar carbon surface.[18,44] revealing an inherent energetically favorable interaction between LiPSs and Ni3S2. These results confirm the superior adsorption and binding capabilities of S/CNF-HC-Ni3S2 for LiPSs, which are responsible for the phenomenal cycle stability and high utilization of sulfur.

Third, the S/CNF-HC-Ni3S2 cathode holds cooperative interfaces of “thiophilic” heteroatom-doped carbon and “sulfiphilic” Ni3S2, which can help to address the shuttle and kinetics issues synchronously by binding polysulfides and enhancing affinity to Li (e.g., Li+ ions and/or terminal Li in LiPSs) and transport of charge carriers. As confirmed by the spectroscopic characterization in Figure 3, the pristine S/CNF-HC-Ni3S2 electrode contains heteroatom-doped carbon (e.g., C=S and C=O bonding), which is found to exhibit desirable thiophilicity via Li=S or Li=O bonds.[33c–45] Meanwhile, Ni3S2 demonstrates favorable sulphilicity to bind the terminal S of LiPSs with exposed Ni sites via Ni=S bonds, which is supported by reported results for hybrid metal sulfide-LiPSs and other metal-site-containing host-LiPSs systems.[14,18,46] Such binary cooperative complementary with distinct chemisorptivity is conducive to enriching Li local concentration in the vicinity of cathode surface for promoting Li+ transfer induced kinetics and also to immobilizing LiPSs on the heterogeneous surfaces for facilitating electrocatalytic redox conversion and restraining LiPSs shuttling. The cooperative interfaces of “thiophilic” heteroatom-doped carbon and “sulfiphilic” Ni3S2 for interaction with LiPSs are tentatively suggested by the XPS peak shifts of C 1s (C=S), S 2p, and Ni 2p$_{3/2}$ and the changes of Li 1s and O 1s (O=Li) of S/CNF-HC-Ni3S2 cathode after 100 cycles.[33d] Further synchronotron-based spectroscopic characterization and theoretical calculations will be employed for in-depth understanding of the role of such cooperative interfaces in Li–S electrochemistry. The cooperative interfaces are proposed to play critical roles in the enhanced cycling stability (Figure 4) and accelerated redox kinetics as well as reduced charge transfer resistance (Figure 5) of S/CNF-HC-Ni3S2 beyond those of S/CNF-HC. Fourth, the binder-free freestanding S/CNF-HC-Ni3S2 electrode architecture containing electronically conductive Ni foam framework, HC and CNF layers (Figures 1 and 2) provides an efficient 3D electron pathway network, enabling fast electron transport to interfacial adsorbed LiPSs and Ni3S2 electrocatalyst for fast redox kinetics and remarkable rate capability. Moreover, Ni3S2 also has a fairly low resistivity (1.8 $\times$ 10$^{-3}$ $\Omega$ cm at room temperature).[16,47] Such 3D interconnected continuous electron channels is benefited from the strong coupling of various components, rendering electrons to readily reach the LiPSs adsorption interfaces and electrocatalytic active sites and hence promoting the charge transfer and redox kinetics. In combination with the strong LiPSs binding capability and cooperative interfaces,
the conductive network S/CNF-HC-Ni$_3$S$_2$ electrode ensures its accelerated redox kinetics and small $R_{ct}$ (Figure 5g) and exceptional rate performance (Figure 4d).

Above all, the elaborate structural design of S/CNF-HC-Ni$_3$S$_2$ integrates all building blocks of Ni foam, sulfurized HC/CNF forest, Ni$_3$S$_2$ particles, and S with their respective functions into an ensemble, demonstrating a synergistic effect on the outstanding cathode performances in Li–S cells. Ni foam acts as a robust and conductive framework. The heteroatom-doped HC layer with desired lithiophilicity and electronic conductivity serves as a primary reservoir for loading of active sulfur, helps to bind LiPSs and enables fast electron transport to interfacial adsorbed LiPSs and Ni$_3$S$_2$ sites. The sulfurized CNF forest with analogous lithiophilicity and electronic conductivity can increase the access to electrolyte, shorten the electron transport, facilitate the Li-ion transport, and retard the LiPSs diffusion as a barrier layer. Sulfiphilic Ni$_3$S$_2$ acts as both a chemical anchor with strong chemical LiPSs binding capability for immobilizing soluble LiPSs and Ni$_3$S$_2$ sites. The sulfurized CNF forest with analogous lithiophilicity and electronic conductivity can increase the access to electrolyte, shorten the electron transport, facilitate the Li-ion transport, and retard the LiPSs diffusion as a barrier layer. Sulfiphilic Ni$_3$S$_2$ acts as both a chemical anchor with strong chemical LiPSs binding capability for immobilizing soluble LiPSs at the interfacial sites and an efficient electrocatalyst with high catalytic activity for reducing the energy barriers and facilitating the kinetics of redox reactions. To highlight the structural merits of S/CNF-HC-Ni$_3$S$_2$, two control samples, bare Ni$_3$S$_2$/Ni and Ni foam coated by carbon microspheres layer with sulfur (S/CMP) electrodes together with the aforementioned S/CNF-HC cathode were prepared by modified procedures for comparison. The Ni$_3$S$_2$/Ni electrode contributes to a limited capacity (4–6 mAh g$^{-1}$) within the potential window of 1.7–3.0 V (Figure S19, Supporting Information). The S/CMP electrode without functional units of HC, CNF, and Ni$_3$S$_2$ contains crystalline bulk sulfur in the macropores of Ni foam and on the surface of CMP, exhibiting rapid decay of capacity and much lower coulombic efficiency (Figure S20, Supporting Information). The polysulfides shuttle resulted in the seriously contaminated separator and corroded Li anode with the dark yellow LiPSs solution when soaked the S/CMP electrode only after 30 cycles in the DME solvent (Figure 6c). In the absence of Ni$_3$S$_2$ as the chemical anchor and electrocatalyst, S/CNF-HC cathode also shows poor cycling stability (Figure 4c) and inferior redox kinetics (Figure 5). These results indicate the severe shuttling of LiPSs with low sulfur utilization and sluggish redox kinetics in the cathodes without functional building blocks. By contrast, S/CNF-HC-Ni$_3$S$_2$ exhibits not only remarkable cycle stability and rate capability but also good structure stability. After 100 cycles, S/CNF-HC-Ni$_3$S$_2$ still maintains the texture (Figure 6b) and surface structure with intact CNFs and Ni$_3$S$_2$ which securely bind the lithium polysulfide and/or sulfide (Figure S21, Supporting Information) without any bulk sulfur species aggregated on the surface (Figure S22, Supporting Information), indicating little pulverization and volume changes in the electrode. Synergistically, all building blocks of
S/CNF-HC-Ni₃S₂ promote the lithium ion coupled electron transfer for redox conversion and retention of LiPSs intermediates in the Li–S battery electrochemistry.

3. Conclusions

In summary, a bioinspired hierarchical electrode structure design is developed to integrate multiple functional units of Ni foam, HC, CNF forest, Ni₃S₂, and sulfur into an ensemble to obtain a versatile and high-performance cathode (S/CNF-HC-Ni₃S₂) for Li–S batteries through a programmed fabrication approach. These building blocks have respective specialized functions. Overall, such integrated electrode demonstrates a synergy and thus provides a robust and effective 3D conductive network and cooperative interfaces to minimize the shuttle effect and enhance rate and cycling performances by increasing the density of adsorption sites, adsorption capability, electron/ion transfer and catalytic redox kinetics for the sulfur species during the discharge–charge process. Due to such unique structural design, the S/CNF-HC-Ni₃S₂ cathode delivers high reversible capacities of ~850 mAh g⁻¹ at 0.2 C after 100 cycles and ~400 mAh g⁻¹ at 5 C after 450 cycles. This work provides a promising cathode candidate and a novel programmed fabrication strategy for rational design of versatile electrodes for high-energy Li–S batteries.

4. Experimental Section

Surface Modification of Ni Foam: A piece of Ni foam was first punched into circular disks with a diameter of ~10 mm and then pressed under 1500 lb pressure for 2 min to keep the mechanical strength during the following process. Five Ni foam disks were subsequently immersed into the 80 mL deionized (DI) water dissolving 0.45 g FeSO₄·7H₂O, 0.2 g urea, and 0.016 g sodium lauryl sulfate. The transparent yellow solution with Ni disks was then transferred into a Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave with a capacity of 100 mL for hydrothermal treatment at 100 °C for 12 h. The obtained Ni foam disks were collected and washed with DI water and absolute ethanol several times and then dried under vacuum at 80 °C. In this way, the Ni foams were coated with NiFe₂O₄ layers (Figures S1 and S2, Supporting Information).

Synthesis of 3D Conductive S/CNF-HC-Ni₃S₂ Electrode: The NiFe₂O₄-modified Ni foam disks arranged on a Ni foam slab were put into a quartz tube for CVD process. The toluene was used as the carbon source and carried by 5% H₂/Ar at a flow rate of 0.1 L min⁻¹. The reaction system was heated to 800 °C at a ramping rate of 5 °C min⁻¹ and maintained at this temperature for 3 h to enable the growth of carbon nanofibers and host carbon layers (CNF-HC) over premodified Ni foam disks. After the CVD process, each Ni foam disk was mixed with ~50 mg sulfur powder and sealed in a separate vial under the protection of Ar gas and then heated at 300 °C for 1 h to create the chemical anchors mainly composed of Ni₃S₂ and sulfurized carbon. Then a certain amount of sulfur powder (~10 mg) was mixed with each Ni foam disk, which was heated at 155 °C in Ar for 12 h to infiltrate sulfur into host carbon layers. Finally, the disk was rapidly rinsed by CS₂ to remove possible bulk sulfur on the surface. The S/CNF-HC-Ni₃S₂ electrode was obtained. The sulfur loading for each disk was controlled to ~2 mg cm⁻² unless stated otherwise.

Synthesis of 3D Conductive S/CNF-HC Electrode: The control sample, S/CNF-HC, was synthesized by a similar method except for the absence of thermal sulfurization treatment at 300 °C. After the CVD growth of CNF-HC layers on Ni foam disks, a certain amount of sulfur powder (~10 mg) was mixed with each disk, which was heated at 155 °C in Ar for 12 h to infiltrate sulfur into host carbon layers. Finally, the disk was rapidly rinsed by CS₂ to remove possible bulk sulfur on the surface. The S/CNF-HC electrode has the similar architecture yet without Ni₃S₂ component.

Synthesis of 3D Conductive S/CMP Electrode: As a comparison, pristine Ni foam disks without hydrothermal pretreatment were also used in the similar CVD process to enable the growth of CMPs layers over their surfaces. In the absence of formation of chemical anchors at 300 °C, the same amount of sulfur powder was mixed with CMP-modified Ni foam disk, which was heated at 155 °C in Ar for 12 h to infiltrate sulfur into CMP layers. Finally, the disk was also rinsed by CS₂ and the self-supported S/CMP electrode was obtained.

Synthesis of Ni₃S₂/Ni Electrode: The Ni₃S₂/Ni electrode was prepared by directly mixing the Ni foam disks and sulfur powder for the thermal sulfurization at 300 °C for 1 h in Ar.

Materials Characterization: XRD phase structures of the samples were characterized by PANalytical X’Pert Pro X-ray diffractometer at 45 kV and 40 mA using Cu Kα radiation. The mass ratio of sulfur on each electrode was estimated by TGA (SDT Q600). The microstructure and morphology of the samples were observed by an SEM (Hitachi S-4700) equipped with EDX spectroscopy. The surface chemical states were characterized by Digilab FTS 7000/UMA 600 FTIR spectroscopy, Raman spectroscopy (Renishaw InVia, excited by 532 nm laser), and XPS (PHI VersaProbe 5000, energy range: 0–1486.6 eV binding energy with Al Kα source). To confirm the role of chemical anchors, the S/CNF-HC-Ni₃S₂/Li cell was disassembled in the glovebox after cycles for various characterizations. The working electrodes were washed with DME to remove electrolyte residues and then dried at 60 °C in the vacuum oven prior to XPS characterization.

 Electrochemical Measurements: Li–S Cells Assembly and Measurement: The coin cells were assembled using either self-supported S/CNF-HC-Ni₃S₂, S/CNF-HC or S/CMP disks as the working electrode, lithium metal foil (MTI Corporation) as the counter electrode, and porous polypropylene (Celgard 2400) as a separator. The liquid electrolyte was 1 M lithium bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl) imide (LiTFSI) and 1% LiNO₃ dissolved in dioxolane (DOL) and DME (1:1 v/v). The coin cells (CR2032) were fabricated in an argon-filled glove box (moisture and oxygen levels less than 1 ppm). The electrochemical performance of the cells was tested by Arbin BT2143 32CH with the voltage range between 1.7 and 3.0 V versus Li⁺/Li. The rate performance of the corresponding cells was tested at various current densities from 0.2 to 5 C (1 C = 1000 mA g⁻¹). The cyclic voltammetry (CV) measurements were conducted with the electrochemical workstation (Gamry Interface 5000E) at a scan rate of 0.1 mV s⁻¹ within a voltage range of 1.7–3.0 V.

Symmetrical Cells Assembly and Measurement: The electrodes for symmetrical cells were fabricated without the presence of elemental sulfur. Either CNF-HC or CNF-HC-Ni₃S₂ disks were used as identical working and counter electrodes. 30 μL electrolytes containing 1 μL Li₂S₆ dissolved in DOL/DME (1:1, v/v) was injected into each coin cell. The dark brown Li₂S₆ electrolyte was prepared by mixing Li₂S ad S into the solvent at a molar ratio of 1:5 under stirring at 60 °C for 12 h in Ar. CV measurements of the symmetrical cells were performed at scan rate of 10 mV s⁻¹ within a voltage range from ~1.2 to 1.2 V. EIS measurements were performed with the Gamry Interface 5000E at open-circuit potential with sinusoidal potential excitation of 5 mV amplitude. The frequency range was from 1 MHz to 0.1 Hz.
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