NIHAO-LG: the uniqueness of Local Group dwarf galaxies
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ABSTRACT

Recent observational and theoretical studies of the Local Group (LG) dwarf galaxies have highlighted their unique star-formation history, stellar metallicity, gas content, and kinematics. We investigate the commonality of these features by comparing constrained LG and field central dwarf halo simulations in the Numerical Investigation of a Hundred Astrophysical Objects (NIHAO) project. Our simulations, performed with NIHAO-like hydrodynamics which track the evolution of the Milky Way (MW) and M31 along with ~100 dwarfs in the LG, reveal the total gas mass and stellar properties (velocity dispersion, evolution history, etc.) of present-day LG dwarfs to be similar to field systems. However, relative to field galaxies, LG dwarfs have more cold gas in their central parts and more metal-rich gas in the halo stemming from interactions with other dwarfs living in a high-density environment like the LG. Interestingly, the direct impact of massive MW/M31 analogues on the metallicity evolution of LG dwarfs is minimal; LG dwarfs accrete high-metallicity gas mostly from other dwarfs at late times. We have also tested for the impact of metal diffusion on the chemical evolution of LG dwarfs, and found that it does not affect the stellar or gaseous content of LG dwarfs. Our simulations suggest that the stellar components of LG dwarfs offer a unique and unbiased local laboratory for galaxy-formation tests and comparisons, especially against the overall dwarf population in the Universe.

Key words: galaxies: formation – Local Group – galaxies: evolution – galaxies: dwarf – methods: numerical

1 INTRODUCTION

In the Λ cold dark matter (ΛCDM) paradigm, galaxy growth occurs through hierarchical assembly and secular evolution (White & Rees 1978; White & Frenk 1991; Kormendy & Sanders 1992). As a result, galaxies are dynamic entities that form and assemble into groups and clusters. This holds for our Milky Way (MW) and the Andromeda galaxy (M31) which, along with numerous dwarf galaxies, form the Local Group (LG) of galaxies (Mateo 1998; McConnachie 2012; Kirby et al. 2013). LG dwarf galaxies offer a superb laboratory to study the physics of galaxy formation and evolution. Indeed, numerous observational, theoretical, and numerical studies of the very nearby dwarf galaxies have been conducted (Mateo 1998; McConnachie 2012; Kirby et al. 2013; Benitez-Llambay et al. 2015, 2016; Libeskind et al. 2020, to name a few). A fundamental question arises regarding LG dwarfs as representative of the general dwarf galaxy population in the Universe. Alternatively one can ask whether the study of the LG dwarfs
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teaches us about galaxy formation at large, in the field. One might think that tidal interactions between dwarf galaxies and MW/M31 could lead to formation of streams and eventual phase mixing into the stellar haloes of MW/M31. These interactions can also transfer pristine gas from MW/M31 to surrounding dwarfs which may induce star formation and evolution of metals in dwarf galaxies (Buck 2020). Such interactions could also lead to the stripping of gas leading to a “freeze out” of the stellar population in LG dwarfs. Could such environmental interactions distinguish the LG dwarfs from the general population of dwarfs in the Universe? What are the cosmological ramifications of such differences? This Copernican question has directly motivated the present paper.

The stellar assembly of dwarf galaxies in the LG is sensitive to internal (feedback and winds) and environmental (ram pressure and tidal stripping) processes, as well as the reionization of gas due to the ultraviolet (UV) background at early times. As a result, the star-formation histories (SFHs) of many LG dwarfs have been extensively studied to better understand the influence of these internal and external processes. Some of these dwarfs form a large fraction of their stars at early times and are quenched after reionization (Sand et al. 2010; Brown et al. 2012; Okamoto et al. 2012), while others show moderate and continuous star formation to the present day (Weisz et al. 2014; Gallart et al. 2015). Overall, SFHs of dwarf galaxies are the product of reionization and the environment in which they are formed. Gallart et al. (2015) have also shown that dwarfs with no current star formation could form in dense, cluster/group-like environments. Dwarfs that form in low-density environments could thus retain their gas reservoir to fuel continuous star formation and younger stellar populations.

The presence of MW/M31 is likely to influence the gas, and therefore the stellar, content of LG dwarfs. Indeed, the fraction of neutral hydrogen in LG dwarf galaxies increases with distance from the MW (Spekkens et al. 2014; Putman et al. 2021). Dwarfs within the virial radius of the MW are especially deficient in cold gas while, in comparison, systems outside the virial radius have 100 times higher neutral gas fractions (Einasto et al. 1974; Greveich & Putman 2009; McConnachie 2012; Spekkens et al. 2014). Gas-poor systems result largely from interactions with the hot halo of the MW typically due to ram pressure stripping, viscous stripping, and starvation (Gunn & Gott 1972; Hester 2006; Kawata & Mulchaey 2008; Fillingham et al. 2016).

While the evolution of dwarf galaxies seems broadly understood, a key question remains: Are the observed features of LG dwarfs unique or do field dwarfs show similar evolutionary tracks? A robust answer to this question must rest on two pillars. One is our ability to simulate the formation of the LG and its nearby neighbourhood in way that reproduces the main features of the LG. Namely, simulations that are constrained to emulate the formation and evolution of the LG dwarfs within the ‘context’ of the actual observed LG. The other pillar consists of a detailed census of LG and field dwarfs in the local Universe. Depending on the choice of Stellar Mass — Halo Mass relation (SHMR), especially for the low-mass end, about 0.3 — 0.4 deg^{-2} of field dwarfs with surface brightness of ~30 mag arcsec^{-2} are expected in the local volume, with distances between 3 and 10 Mpc from us (Danieli et al. 2018). Indeed the LG includes approximately 100 dwarf galaxies with a magnitude range $\text{mag} > -17 \geq M_V \geq -7$ within a volume of 3 Mpc (McConnachie 2012). Until the advent of deep large sky surveys, such as those provided by the Rubin Observatory with a limiting magnitude of 32 mag arcsec^{-2}, comparisons with complete census of field dwarfs is beyond reach. While the presence (or lack) of unique aspects of the LG cannot currently be characterized with observed galaxies, high-resolution simulations may provide much valuable insight.

Identifying differences between LG and field dwarf galaxies can help in better isolating the role of environment in shaping the galaxy properties in the local Universe. In the context of other groups and clusters, galaxy properties like mean stellar age (Thomas et al. 2005; Clemens et al. 2006), morphology (Blanton & Moustakas 2009, and references therein), colour (Wilman et al. 2010; Cluver et al. 2020) and star formation rates (SFR; Fossati et al. 2015) have been shown to depend strongly on environment. Similar conclusions have been drawn about the influence of MW/M31 on LG satellite dwarfs (e.g., Gottloeber et al. 2010; Benítez-Llambay et al. 2015, 2016; Buck et al. 2019; Genina et al. 2019; Libeskind et al. 2020; Di Cintio et al. 2021). However, if similarities exist between LG and field dwarf, nearby population of dwarf galaxies (which are more easily accessible) can be used as a proxy for distant systems.

This paper presents such a comparison between the dwarf populations found in the LG and in the field through high-resolution simulations in order to highlight any similarities and/or differences. To this end, we take advantage of the Numerical Investigation of a Hundred Astrophysical Objects (NIHAO) simulations which trace the evolution of individual dark matter haloes and their baryonic components for the complete history of the Universe (Wang et al. 2015). The NIHAO simulations have already proven to be successful in matching various observations aspects of galaxy formation and evolution (Macciò et al. 2016, 2017; Obreja et al. 2019; Buck et al. 2020; Blank et al. 2021, and references therein). More details about these simulations are provided in Sec. 2.1.

Our field dwarf sample uses NIHAO haloes as presented in Wang et al. (2015). The LG dwarf sample is comprised of two constrained LG simulations with initial conditions provided from the CLUES collaboration (Gottloeber et al. 2010), presented here for the first time, and performed with the exact same code and galaxy formation model used for the NIHAO project. The constrained LG simulation allowed for the evolution of LG environment containing the MW- and M31-like haloes and their associated dwarfs. Our comparisons rely mostly on common galaxy scaling relations such as the stellar mass—metallicity relation (hereafter MZR; Gallazzi et al. 2005; McConnachie 2012; Kirby et al. 2013), stellar mass—gas mass relation (Peebles et al. 2014), and the SHMR (Behroozi et al. 2013; Moster et al. 2014).

Because the formation and evolution of dwarfs in high-resolution simulations is sensitive to the implemented subgrid prescriptions such as feedback, chemical enrichment, and metal diffusion, we have also compared the subgrid physics formalism that may drive the pre-enrichment of LG dwarfs; namely metal diffusion. The impact of metal diffusion on the stellar assembly, SFRs, and chemical evolution of dwarf galaxies remains unclear. For instance, while Su et al. (2017) used the FIRE (Feedback In Realistic Environment; Hopkins et al. 2018) simulations to show that the subgrid metal diffusion does not impact SFRs on the galactic scales, Pilkington et al. (2012) and Williamson et al. (2016) found opposite results for the abundance of low-metallicity stars in dwarf galaxies (see also Kawata et al. 2014 and Escala et al. 2018). Given the current muddled picture about the evolution and abundance of metals in LG and field dwarfs, we present results from two constrained LG simulations; with and without metal diffusion.

This paper is organised as follows: Sec. 2 outlines the NIHAO galaxy formation simulation which provides the field galaxy sample for this study, and presents the two constrained LG simulations. Sec. 3.1 highlights the similarities and differences between the constrained LG dwarf galaxies and the NIHAO dwarf galaxies.
at $z \sim 0$. A multifaceted analysis of the dwarf galaxies using various galaxy scaling relations is also presented. The evolution of galaxy properties for the NIHAO and NIHAO-LG simulations to better understand the difference seen at $z = 0$ is addressed in Sec. 4, and conclusions are presented in Sec. 5 as we ponder the uniqueness (or lack thereof) of LG dwarfs and its implications.

2 SIMULATIONS

2.1 NIHAO galaxy formation simulations

Our field central galaxy sample relies on the ‘NIHAO’ cosmological zoom-in simulations presented in Wang et al. (2015). The simulations were run with a flat ΛCDM cosmology with parameters from the Planck satellite: $H_0 = 100h \, \text{km s}^{-1} \, \text{Mpc}^{-1}$ with $h = 0.671$, $\Omega_m = 0.3175$, $\Omega_L = 0.6824$, $\Omega_b = 0.049$, $\sigma_8 = 0.8344$ and $n = 0.9624$ (Planck Collaboration et al. 2014). The hydrodynamics were performed with the updated N-body SPH solver GASOLINE2 (Wadsley et al. 2017) which includes the treatment of $P_i P^T$ proposed by Ritchie & Thomas (2001). Gas cooling was performed through hydrogen, helium and various metal-lines in a uniform UV ionizing background. Photoionization and heating of the gas also occur via UV background and Compton cooling with temperatures from 10 to $10^9$ K (Shen et al. 2010).

All NIHAO galaxies were allowed to form stars provided that the gas follows the Kennicutt-Schmidt law (Kennicutt 1998) with suitable density and temperature thresholds, $T < 15000$ K and $n_h > 10.3 \, \text{cm}^{-3}$. Energy is re-injected back into the interstellar medium (ISM) from stars through stellar and blast wave supernova feedback. Massive stars also ionize the ISM prior to their supernova explosion; this is referred to as ‘early stellar feedback’ (ESF Stinson et al. 2006; Wang et al. 2015) where 13 percent of the total stellar flux of 2 x $10^{50}$ erg M$^{-1}$ is injected into the ISM. This differs from the original prescription presented in Stinson et al. (2013) in order to account for the increased mixing of gas and aligns with the abundance matching results on MW scale (Behroozi et al. 2013). For supernova feedback, massive stars with $8 M_\odot < M_* < 40 M_\odot$ inject energy of $10^{50}$ erg and metals into the ISM. Because the energy is injected into high density gas, it radiates away via efficient cooling on short time-scales. Therefore, for gas particles inside the blast radius, cooling is delayed by 30 Myr (Stinson et al. 2013) to prevent immediate radiation from high density gas particles (Stinson et al. 2006). The spiral and dwarf galaxies generated by NIHAO simulations have been shown to match numerous observed galaxy properties and scaling relations (Macciò et al. 2016; Obreja et al. 2016; Buck et al. 2017; Dutton et al. 2017). The NIHAO galaxy simulations result in numerically converged galaxies as shown by the ultra high-definition NIHAO runs (Buck et al. 2020).

2.2 NIHAO Local Group simulations

Our sample of dwarf galaxies in a LG environment was created with initial conditions from the Constrained Local Universe Simulations (CLUES) project1 (Gottloeber et al. 2010; Carlesi et al. 2016; Sorce et al. 2016; Libeskind et al. 2020). Constrained simulations allow us to track the position and environment of the MW, M31-like galaxies and their associated dwarfs. The haloes were identified and tracked in a cosmological box of 100 Mpc h$^{-1}$ on a side, and constrained by observational data of the nearby Universe. This resulted in a high-resolution simulated spherical region of approximately 5 Mpc in radius. The initial conditions for the simulation box relied on the Wiener filter (WF, Hoffman & Ribak 1991; Hoffman 2009), a Bayesian linear algorithm, and constrained realizations of the Gaussian matter density field from observations of the local Universe and an assumed prior model (Zaroubi et al. 1995). The WF allowed for the construction of the cosmic displacement field needed to robustly model particle positions as a function of time for the constrained objects. The cosmic displacement field was created via a peculiar velocity field of the local Universe using the CosmicFlows-2 (CF2) catalogue of galaxy redshift and direct distances (Tully et al. 2013). Malmquist biases and lognormal errors in the CF2 data set are corrected using the bias minimization technique described in Sorce (2015). To correct for such displacements due to cosmic evolution and to calculate the positions of the galaxies progenitors, the reverse Zel’dovich approximation was applied (Doumler et al. 2013; Sorce et al. 2014). Finally, renormalization of the velocity field was performed to get particles with initial velocity values of the particles in the simulation box. A more detailed description of the CLUES initial conditions is found in Carlesi et al. (2016); Sorce et al. (2016); Sorce (2018) and Libeskind et al. (2020).

The initial conditions from CLUES simulations with the cosmology of NIHAO and hydrodynamics from GASOLINE2 lead to the constrained NIHAO-LG simulations. Along with the effect of environment (field vs. LG), we also monitored the variations in galaxy properties due to changes in subgrid physics implementations; especially the chemical evolution in dwarfs. To that end, we created two sets of constrained LG simulations: (i) NIHAO-LG: full NIHAO cosmology and hydrodynamics included along with metal diffusion from Wadsley et al. (2008), and (ii) NIHAO-LG(nmd): full NIHAO cosmology and hydrodynamics with no metal diffusion. We used the Chabrier initial mass function (Chabrier 2003) for stellar sampling in the simulation. As we sought differences between field central dwarfs and LG dwarfs, the variation of chemical evolution was an obvious implementation to modulate. Table 1 summarizes the basic properties of the three NIHAO simulations used in this study.

2.2.1 Dwarfs in the LG simulations

From the constrained LG simulations, we selected all haloes with 100 per cent high-resolution DM particles (i.e., no pollution from low-resolution particles), having at least 1000 particles (baryon and DM) and at least 100 stellar particles. Our dwarf galaxies are defined as central systems at $z = 0$ with $7.0 \leq \log(M_\star/M_\odot) \leq 9.5$ from all pure haloes inside the constrained LG simulations. Unless stated otherwise, all quantities (stellar mass, cold gas mass, etc.) were measured within 0.2$/R_{200}^{-1}$, where $R_{200}$ is the radius within the average density is 200 times the critical matter density of the Universe; $r_{crit,0} = 3H_0^2/8\pi G$. We expect numerical resolution and convergence to only play a small role in the results presented here. The hydrodynamics, performed with GASOLINE2, as is the case for NIHAO and the constrained LG simulations, were stable with respect to resolution (Macciò et al. 2017, 2019). Galaxy properties in the NIHAO simulations have also been shown to converge despite the varying spatial and mass resolution over a large range of halo masses. The highest resolution NIHAO dwarf galaxies presented in Macciò et al. (2017) yielded galaxy properties in broad agreement with the general NIHAO-simulated galaxy population (Wang et al. 2015).

The distribution of our LG simulations (with and without metal diffusion) is presented in Fig. 1 through face-on and edge-on views

---

1 http://www.clues-project.org.
centred on the respective MW analogue. MW and M31 analogues for both constrained LG simulations are shown as a pink star and a blue square respectively. The size of the dwarf galaxies (shown as circles) scales with their respective stellar masses at $z = 0$. Both LG simulations, NIHAO-LG and NIHAO-LG(nmd), resulted in similar spatial distributions of the central dwarf systems extending out to ~5 Mpc. In each constrained LG simulation, two massive haloes were found with a total mass $M_{200} \sim 10^{12} M_{\odot}$, a ratio of stellar masses for the massive haloes of $M_{\text{MW}}/M_{\text{M31}} \sim 1.05$, and circular velocities of $\sim 230$ km s$^{-1}$. Both massive haloes have virial radii of $\sim 200$ kpc and are separated by $\sim 1$ Mpc. Altogether, both NIHAO-LG simulations yielded similar stellar and cold gas distribution for the dwarfs halos selected for this study.

We also investigated NIHAO-LG and NIHAO-LG(nmd) for any “backsplash” dwarf halos; i.e., whether LG dwarfs were ever a satellite of the MW and/or M31 analogues (Buck et al. 2019). However, within the stellar mass range of $7.0 \leq \log(M_*/M_\odot) \leq 9.5$, no backslash haloes were found in our constrained LG simulations. Only a few of backslash haloes exist for central LG dwarfs with stellar mass of $\log(M_*/M_\odot) < 7.0$. The differences between LG and field central dwarf galaxy properties, discussed later in this paper, are attributed mainly to the global LG environment with possible relatively negligible contributions from the two massive haloes, MW/M31.

2.2.2 Observational comparisons

Fig. 2 shows the SHMR at $z = 0$ for the NIHAO and constrained NIHAO-LG simulations. For comparison, the halo abundance matching relations from Moster et al. (2014), Behroozi et al. (2013), and Kravtsov et al. (2018) are also shown. The inset panel in Fig. 2 features the NIHAO field and constrained LG analogues for the MW and M31 along with observed measurements. The simulated stellar and halo masses for the MW/M31 agree with current estimates of the observed stellar and halo masses for MW (Bland-Hawthorn & Gerhard 2016) and M31 (Yin et al. 2009; Sofue 2015). As stated in Wang et al. (2015), the NIHAO galaxies, which serve as our field galaxy sample, also agree well with halo abundance matching results (Kravtsov et al. 2018). The dwarf galaxies $(\log(M_{200}/M_\odot) \leq 10.5)$ in the constrained LG simulations also match the abundance matching relation from Behroozi et al. (2013). Likewise, the galaxies from the constrained LG simulations with $(\log(M_{200}/M_\odot) \geq 10.5)$ match the SHMR of NIHAO field centrals and the relation presented in Kravtsov et al. (2018). However, dwarfs in both LG simulations have stellar masses that exceed their NIHAO field counterparts by $0.2 \mathrm{--} 0.3$ dex.

The shallow potential of LG dwarf galaxies is insufficient to retain high-metallicity gas due to strong supernova feedback and stellar winds (Dekel & Silk 1986). The LG dwarfs may also have lower DM fraction for the same stellar mass relative to field systems as a result of interaction episodes with host halos and other systems (Buck et al. 2019).

We further tested the validity of NIHAO and NIHAO-LG simulations by comparing various observational scaling relations. The left-hand panel of Fig. 3 shows the cold gas ($T < 20000$ K) content versus stellar mass in the NIHAO-LG simulations at redshift $z = 0$ within $0.2 R_{200}$. This choice of radius isolates the central parts of haloes as $\sim 90$ per cent of the atomic and molecular gas resides within $0.2 R_{200}$ of both the field galaxies and LG dwarfs. Two observed cold gas mass-stellar mass relations from Peeples et al. (2014) and Bradford et al. (2015) are also presented. The green squares represent the median cold gas mass–stellar mass relation in Peeples et al. (2014) using the observed data from McGaugh (2005, 2012), Leroy et al. (2008), and Saintonge et al. (2011). The error bars represent the 16per cent–84per cent percentile range of the observed data. The cyan line and shaded region, taken from Bradford et al. (2015), delineate the distribution of atomic gas mass for low mass galaxies $(\log M_* \leq 8.6)$ in the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS; Albareti et al. 2011) and ALFALFA (Haynes et al. 2011). While the ALFALFA survey only detected H1 gas content, the total (atomic) gas content is calculated as $M_g = 1.4 M_\odot$ (Oh et al. 2015).

The high stellar mass galaxies $(\log M_*/M_\odot \geq 8.5)$ within the NIHAO and NIHAO-LG simulations agree well with observed cold gas content, while the cold gas content in dwarfs from NIHAO and both NIHAO-LG simulations is higher than the observed rela-
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Table 1. Various properties of our three NIHAO simulations. Columns give the box size, mass resolution of the DM particles, softening length of the dark and gas particles, number of haloes at $z = 0$, usage of metal diffusion, and the simulated environment.

| Simulation     | Box Size [Mpc h$^{-1}$] | $m_{\text{dark}}$ [$M_\odot$] | $\epsilon_{\text{dark}}$ [pc] | $\epsilon_{\text{gas}}$ [pc] | $N_{\text{haloes}}$ | $N_{\text{dwarfs}}$ | Metal Diffusion? | Environment     |
|----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| NIHAO          | 60.1                    | $1.74 \times 10^6$           | 931.5                         | 398.0                         | 91                  | 37                | Yes               | Field            |
| NIHAO-LG       | 100.0                   | $1.62 \times 10^6$           | 860.3                         | 487.7                         | 104                 | 64                | Yes               | Local Group      |
| NIHAO-LG (nmd) | 100.0                   | $1.62 \times 10^6$           | 860.3                         | 487.7                         | 115                 | 73                | No                | Local Group      |

---

---

---

---
Figure 2. SHMR at redshift $z = 0$ for NIHAO (red stars) and two constrained LG simulations with (purple circles) and without (gold circles) metal diffusion. The dashed lines and shaded regions depict popular abundance matching relations from Moster et al. (2014), Behroozi et al. (2013) and Kravtsov et al. (2018). The zoomed inset panel show MW and M31 analogues and comparisons with massive NIHAO spirals. The observed stellar and halo masses for the MW (Bland-Hawthorn & Gerhard 2016), pink square, and M31 (stellar mass; Yin et al. 2009 and halo mass; Sofue 2015), blue square, are also presented.

The larger gas content in the simulated galaxies (field and LG) is due to the overcooling of gas. However, given the large error bars (presenting the 16 per cent–84 per cent percentile range) at the low-mass end for Peeples et al. (2014), a fraction of the simulated LG dwarfs agree with the observations.

We also compared the sSFR–$M_*$ relation in the central panel of Fig. 3 with observations. The specific star formation rate, $\text{sSFR} = \frac{\text{SFR}}{M_*}$, is the ratio of the average SFR within the last 100 Myr and the enclosed stellar mass within $0.2R_{200}$. $M_*$. In general, NIHAO and both NIHAO-LG simulations match the distribution of SDSS galaxies, albeit with simulated dwarfs falling in the outskirts of the observed distribution. Given the uneven selection function of the simulated NIHAO systems and the different methods for measuring the observed quantities in Fig. 3, comparing NIHAO galaxies with large-scale surveys is a non-trivial task. However, even with this caveat, the overall agreement between NIHAO, NIHAO-LG and the SDSS is comforting.
The MZR relation of dwarf galaxies at redshift \( z = 0 \) can inform us about chemical evolution of the galaxies. Most of the metals were formed within stars and are distributed into the galaxy via stellar feedback. A comparison of the MZR between NIHAO and NIHAO-LG simulations and SDSS observations from Gallazzi et al. (2005) is presented in the right-hand panel of Fig. 3. While the observed data were only available for relatively massive systems \( (\log(M_*/M_\odot) \geq 9) \), both NIHAO and NIHAO-LG-simulated systems match observations well. The MW and M31 analogues from both NIHAO-LG simulations also fall within the observed relation of Gallazzi et al. (2005). Small differences between simulations and observations slope measurements are expected from the sample sizes of our respective studies (Sorce & Guo 2016).

A key feature of this study is to highlight the similarities and differences between the simulated NIHAO field systems and NIHAO-LG dwarfs. Due to the near-identical dwarf galaxy properties in NIHAO-LG and NIHAO-LG(nmd) galaxies in Figs. 1, 2 and 3; we only used dwarf galaxies from NIHAO-LG to compare with NIHAO field galaxies herein. For general interest, the comparison of different properties between NIHAO field and NIHAO-LG(nmd) at redshift \( z = 0 \) is presented in App. A.

## 3 FIELD AND LG COMPARISONS

Below, we highlight similarities and differences between the NIHAO field and the NIHAO-LG dwarf samples. We begin with comparisons of the gas properties, specifically mass and metal content, followed by the metal content in stars of the simulated field and LG dwarfs. Each comparison contains the calculation of the average difference between the NIHAO field and NIHAO-LG dwarfs. The average difference between the two NIHAO field and NIHAO-LG dwarfs is defined as:

\[
\langle \Delta\gamma_{(M_*/M_\odot)} \rangle_{\text{LG}} = \gamma_{\text{LG}} - (\alpha_N M_{*,\text{LG}} + c_N),
\]

where \( \gamma_{\text{LG}} \) is a galaxy property (gas mass, average stellar metallicity, etc.), \( \alpha_N \) and \( c_N \) are the slope and intercept of the fitted scaling relation from NIHAO field sample, and \( M_{*,\text{LG}} \) is the stellar mass of the NIHAO-LG systems. The variables on the right-hand side of Eq. (1) all yield the average difference, \( \langle \Delta\gamma_{(M_*/M_\odot)} \rangle_{\text{LG}} \).

### 3.1 Gas mass

We start with a comparison of the gas content of dwarf galaxies in the LG and in the field. The left-hand panel of Fig. 4 shows total gas mass within \( R_{200} \) versus stellar mass (measured within \( 0.2R_{200} \)) for the NIHAO field and NIHAO-LG dwarfs. The red line and shaded region are linear best fit and scatter for the \( M_\bullet - M_\ast \) relation of the NIHAO field simulation. It is found that the total gas content of the NIHAO-LG dwarfs lies within the 1σ scatter (0.30 ± 0.06 dex) of the NIHAO field galaxies. A few LG dwarfs \( (\log(M_\ast \leq 7.8) \) deviate from the observed trends for field dwarf systems which might be associated to random scatter about the NIHAO field galaxy relation. Most of these NIHAO-LG dwarfs exhibit lower gas content exhibit older, metal-poor stellar content as 50 per cent of the stellar mass was formed within the first ~5 Gyr of their formation.

The right-hand panel of Fig. 4 shows the cold gas \( (T < 20000 \text{ K}) \) content in the field and LG dwarf systems at redshift \( z = 0 \) within \( 0.2 R_{200} \). The 1σ scatter for the NIHAO field dwarf relation is \( 0.46 \pm 0.10 \text{ dex} \), and the average difference with the NIHAO-LG dwarf population is calculated to be \( 0.41 \pm 0.11 \text{ (Table 2) } \). While the average difference for NIHAO-LG dwarfs, across the complete stellar mass range, is less than the scatter for the NIHAO field relation, LG dwarfs with \( \log(M_\ast \leq 8.0 \) have a larger \( (~0.5 \text{ dex}) \) central cold gas content compared to field galaxies. While the total gas distribution for field and LG are very similar; a larger fraction of the total gas within the NIHAO-LG dwarfs appears to be cold. The residuals for the NIHAO-LG dwarfs in comparison to NIHAO field systems, shown in the bottom-right panel in Fig. 4, present a systematic trend for NIHAO-LG systems with an excess cold gas at the low-stellar mass end and a dearth of cold gas at the high-stellar mass end.

In summary, we have found similar distributions for the total gas contents within the NIHAO field and NIHAO-LG dwarfs. However, a significant fraction of the gas content within NIHAO-LG dwarfs exists as cold gas in the central parts. The unique gas content for the NIHAO-LG dwarfs should be connected to metal content...
and its evolution. We explore the metal content of the NIHAO field and NIHAO-LG dwarfs next.

### 3.2 Gas metallicity

Fig. 5 shows a comparison of the gas metallicity between field NIHAO field and NIHAO-LG dwarf galaxies. The left- and right-hand panels show the mean gas metallicity for all the gas within the halo and the mean gas metallicity for the cold gas within 0.2 $R_{200}$ respectively. The field NIHAO systems have $Z_g \propto M_*^{0.56\pm0.05}$ with a scatter of $0.12 \pm 0.03$ dex. The NIHAO-LG dwarfs, on the other hand, exhibit a bimodal distribution in the $Z_g$-$M_*$ relation. Low stellar mass NIHAO-LG dwarfs ($\log(M_*/M_\odot) \leq 8.0$) have a higher, though approximately constant, gas metallicity than NIHAO field with $\log(Z_g/Z_\odot) \sim -1.6$. High-stellar mass NIHAO-LG dwarfs follow the same trend and scatter as the NIHAO field galaxies. The average difference between NIHAO field and NIHAO-LG systems of $0.18\pm0.06$ for the $Z_g$-$M_*$ relation is larger than the $1\sigma$ scatter for the NIHAO field relation. This excess metallicity is addressed at greater length in Sec. 4.3.

The right-hand panel of Fig. 5 shows the cold gas metallicity versus stellar mass for NIHAO field and NIHAO-LG dwarfs. The field sample follows a similar relation to total gas content in the halo, with $Z_g \propto M_*^{0.50\pm0.06}$ but with a larger scatter of $0.19 \pm 0.04$ dex. The NIHAO-LG dwarf galaxies fall within the field relation, presenting no difference in the central cold gas metallicity. Indeed, the difference between NIHAO field and NIHAO-LG dwarfs is found to be negligible; equal to $0.00\pm0.04$ (Table 2). Comparing the left- and right-hand panels of Fig. 5, we can conclude that metal-rich gas in the NIHAO-LG systems is found outside the central parts of dwarf halos, at radii greater than 0.2 $R_{200}$. The source of the excess gas-phase metals could be indicative of recent inflowing metal-rich gas due to interactions in the LG which has yet to cool down, and/or the result of strong stellar feedback related outflows from the central regions of dwarf systems itself. To evaluate the dominant process, we compare field and LG dwarfs in the $M_*$-$Z_g$ and $Z_g$-$M_*$ relations as a function of time in Sec. 4.3.

### 3.3 Stellar metallicities

Fig. 6 shows a comparison of stellar metallicity between NIHAO field and NIHAO-LG dwarf systems. NIHAO field dwarfs follow $Z_* \propto M_*^{0.47\pm0.04}$ with a scatter of $0.09 \pm 0.02$ dex. NIHAO-LG dwarfs differ mildly ($\Delta Z_* = 0.02\pm0.03$) from NIHAO field systems. However, within the broad dispersions of each distribution, the field and NIHAO-LG dwarfs have statistically matching mean stellar metallicities. We also explored stellar velocity dispersion, mean stellar age, various measures of formation times and SFRs, and no striking differences between NIHAO field and NIHAO-LG dwarfs were found. Within all practical measures, the NIHAO field and NIHAO-LG dwarfs have similar stellar populations.

The interested reader will find further comparisons between NIHAO field and NIHAO-LG dwarfs for the average stellar iron abundance in App. B. Different methods for measuring iron abundance are also discussed.

Finally for this section, Table 2 presents a quantitative com-
Figure 5. Average gas metallicity versus stellar mass for NIHAO field (red circles) and NIHAO-LG (purple circles) dwarf galaxies. The left- and right-hand panels show the metallicity of all the gas within $R_{200}$ and the metallicity of the cold gas within $0.2R_{200}$, respectively. All other details are as in Fig. 4.

Table 2. Summary of scaling relations used for comparison of the three different simulated dwarf populations. Column (1) lists the scaling relation; columns (2–4) present the slope, zero-point, and scatter, respectively for the NIHAO field dwarfs; and column (5) shows the average difference between the NIHAO field and NIHAO-LG dwarf populations. If the value in column 5 is greater than the scatter of the NIHAO field scaling relations (column 4), the LG dwarf population is considered statistically different. The errors were bootstrapped over 2000 runs.

| Scaling Relation | NIHAO | NIHAO-LG |
|------------------|-------|----------|
|                  | Slope | Zero-point | Scatter  | Average Difference [dex] |
| $M_{\text{gas}} - M_{*}$ | 0.73±0.09 | 3.47±0.73 | 0.30±0.06 | 0.00±0.05 |
| $M_{\text{coldgas}} - M_{*}$ | 1.09±0.15 | 0.12±1.27 | 0.46±0.10 | 0.41±0.11 |
| $Z_{\text{gas}} - M_{*}$ | 0.56±0.05 | -5.92±0.37 | 0.12±0.03 | 0.18±0.06 |
| $Z_{\text{coldgas}} - M_{*}$ | 0.50±0.06 | -5.24±0.49 | 0.19±0.04 | 0.00±0.04 |
| $Z_{*} - M_{*}$ | 0.47±0.04 | -5.25±0.31 | 0.09±0.02 | 0.02±0.03 |

We have found so far that the properties of simulated NIHAO field and NIHAO-LG dwarfs at low redshift ($z = 0$) show non-negligible differences. NIHAO-LG dwarfs have larger (0.2 dex) stellar masses and a larger (0.41 dex) cold gas content within $0.2R_{200}$ than field dwarfs at redshift. The hot gas content for NIHAO-LG dwarfs is also more metal-rich (0.18 dex) than in NIHAO field systems. In this section, we study the evolution of various galaxy properties in an attempt to isolate, if present, evolutionary differences between LG and field dwarf systems.

Motivated by our objective to study the similarities and differences between NIHAO field and NIHAO-LG dwarfs, we wish to trace the evolution of some key dwarf galaxy scaling relations with time. In doing so, we must isolate specific times in the evolutionary
history of NIHAO-LG galaxies where environment plays a key role. Ultimately, we constrain the possible influence of LG environment on the evolution of NIHAO-LG dwarf galaxies.

The co-evolution of NIHAO-LG dwarfs in a LG-like environment should be apparent in the gas properties of the NIHAO-LG dwarfs relative to NIHAO field systems. The full set of NIHAO-LG and NIHAO field dwarfs at all redshifts was compared using the average residual from the NIHAO field galaxy scaling relations. The average residual between NIHAO field and NIHAO-LG samples is calculated using Eq. (1) as a function of time. The error on the average residuals are calculated as $\epsilon_{\text{LG}} = \sigma_{\text{LG}} / \sqrt{N}$; where $\sigma_{\text{LG}}$ is the standard deviation of the residual from the field scaling relation and $N$ is the number of data points. In this formalism, we have defined the LG and field dwarfs as different galaxy populations when $\epsilon_N < \langle \Delta \sigma_{\text{LG}}(M_\star) \rangle_{\text{Field}}(t)$, where $\epsilon_N$ is the error of the linear fit to the NIHAO field galaxies. In this comparison of the NIHAO-LG and NIHAO field dwarfs, the scatter of the field galaxy scaling relations is also presented. Due to their evolution with time, the slopes of nearly all scaling relations are also expected to evolve; therefore, the forward scatter ($\epsilon_{\text{NIHAO}}$) alone is not a robust comparison metric. Instead, we use the orthogonal scatter, defined as $\sigma_N = \epsilon_{\text{NIHAO}} / \sqrt{1 + \epsilon_N^2}$, for our comparisons.

4.1 Gas content

We study the evolution of gas properties, in particular mass and metallicity, for both NIHAO field and NIHAO-LG dwarfs. A comparison of the mass and/or metallicity of the total and/or gas in the NIHAO-LG haloes with the NIHAO field systems at multiple redshifts, can reveal the unique role of the Local Group environment in shaping properties of dwarf galaxies. Fig. 7 shows the comparison of the field and LG systems as a function of time for the $M_\text{gas}$–$M_\star$ relation. The top and bottom panels show all total gas content within $R_{200}$ and the cold gas content within $0.2 R_{200}$, respectively. The orthogonal scatter in the total $M_\text{gas}$–$M_\star$ relation within $R_{200}$ increases from ~0.11 dex at redshift $z \sim 3.2$, to 0.26 dex at present day. Although not presented here, the slope of the relation shows little change over time.

Both NIHAO field and NIHAO-LG dwarfs have the same distribution of total gas content for the complete evolutionary history; the purple shaded band does indeed follow the dark red shaded region (see Fig. 7). The NIHAO field systems occupy the central regions of their respective DM halo and are fed gaseous material from the cosmic filaments, and infrequent gas-rich mergers. Along with similar processes, NIHAO-LG dwarfs are expected to have modified gas content due to the high-density environment of the LG. However, as depicted in Fig. 7, the different environment of the LG does not play a significant role in altering the total gas mass of the NIHAO-LG dwarf galaxies (see also Sawala et al. 2012).

While the evolution of the total gas content of the NIHAO-LG and NIHAO field systems is very similar, we find differences of the field and LG systems as a function of time for the $M_\text{gas}$–$M_\star$ relation.
between the two dwarf populations for the central cold gas content. For the NIHAO field dwarf sample, the slope and the scatter of the cold $M_g - M_*$ relation in the field evolve significantly with time (see bottom panel of Fig. 7). The slope changes range from $0.80$ at redshift $z \sim 3.2$ to $1.10$ at present day, while the scatter grows from $0.09$ dex at redshift $z \sim 3.2$ to $0.31$ dex at redshift $z = 0$. Significant differences in the cold gas content between the NIHAO field and NIHAO-LG dwarfs emerge at redshift $z \leq 2$ and continue to grow until the present day. At redshift $z = 0$, the NIHAO-LG dwarfs contain $\sim 0.4$ dex more cold gas within $0.2R_{200}$ than the NIHAO field systems. The increasing cold gas mass in the NIHAO-LG dwarfs, compared to the field, is evidence of the unique role that the LG plays. The excess central cold gas through the evolution of NIHAO-LG dwarfs is connected to the gas-phase metallicity evolution of the various star-formation episodes and interactions within the LG environment.

### 4.2 Chemical content

We now consider the evolution of the gas-phase metal content in NIHAO field and NIHAO-LG dwarf galaxies. Fig. 8 shows the gas metallicity in the NIHAO field and NIHAO-LG dwarf samples over time. The format of Fig. 8 is the same as Fig. 7. In the top panel, where gas metallicity of total gas content is computed within $R_{200}$, NIHAO field dwarfs display little variation in the scatter of the $Z_g - M_*$ relation over time. The NIHAO-LG simulations behave slightly differently with time showing dwarfs with more metal-rich gas in the halo than the NIHAO field systems.

From redshift $z \sim 1$ to present day, the NIHAO-LG dwarfs possess a more metal rich gas content (by $0.15$ dex, or $3\sigma$) than the NIHAO field systems. While the total gas mass of the NIHAO field and NIHAO-LG dwarfs evolve in similar ways (see top panel of Fig. 7), the gas content of the NIHAO-LG dwarfs is significantly more metal-enriched. The excess gas-phase metals in NIHAO-LG dwarfs can be attributed to either the interactions within the high-density environment of the LG and/or due to star-formation driven feedback. The next section will investigate the dominance of the environmental (accretion in a high-density environment) and in-situ (star formation-driven) processes for the metallicity evolution of the LG.

The bottom panel in Fig. 8 depicts the cold gas metallicity evolution of the NIHAO field and NIHAO-LG dwarf galaxies. Unlike the $Z_g - M_*$ relation for total gas, the cold gas $Z_g - M_*$ relation for the NIHAO field shows increasing scatter as a function of time. Once again, the NIHAO-LG dwarfs behave differently; over their complete evolution history, NIHAO-LG dwarfs have more cold gas metallicity relative to the NIHAO field systems. The excess metal in the gas within the halo translates into metal-rich cold gas in the central parts (0.2$R_{200}$). The difference between the NIHAO field and NIHAO-LG dwarfs for cold gas metallicity decreases over redshift $z \sim 1 - 2$. During this period, the total gas metallicity (top panel of Fig. 8) difference between NIHAO field and NIHAO-LG dwarfs continues to grow.

For redshift $z < 1$, the difference between NIHAO field and NIHAO-LG for cold gas metallicity remains approximately constant. NIHAO-LG dwarfs show marginal evolutionary differences from the NIHAO field dwarfs for stellar properties, especially for the average stellar metallicity. The lack of evolution in the cold gas metallicity and similar stellar properties is linked to the lack of late star-formation activity in NIHAO-LG dwarfs. Star-formation quenching hinders the recycling of metals in gas and yields a static metal content in the NIHAO-LG cold gas content.

### 4.3 Metal enrichment: in-situ versus environment

The metal evolution for these simulated dwarf haloes can come from two sources: in-situ star formation (and its associated feedback) as well as interactions within a dense environment of the LG. Fig. 9, which attempts to isolate which of these processes is dominant, shows the comparison of gas-phase metals accreted onto a simulated dwarf versus the gas-phase metals produced in-situ, normalized by the gas mass at redshift $z = 0$. It should be noted that only NIHAO-LG dwarfs lying $1.5\sigma$ outside of the NIHAO field $Z_g - M_*$ relation presented in Fig. 5 are included in Fig. 9.

To calculate the accreted and in-situ metal masses of a dwarf halo, all gas particles within a halo (at redshift $z = 0$) are traced back to the time when they became bound to the halo progenitor. The metal fraction for gas particles at infall translates to the accreted metal mass and the metals created in-situ, $M_Z(\text{in - situ})$, according to:

$$M_Z(\text{in - situ}) = M_{Z,0} - M_Z(\text{@ infall}),$$

where $M_{Z,0}$ is the present-day metal mass for gas particles. Fig. 9 also shows contours of constant gas-phase metallicity, $\log(Z_g/Z_*)$. 

---

*Figure 8.* Same as Fig. 7 for gas metallicity.
Figure 9. Amount of gas-phase metal mass accreted from infall versus gas-phase metal mass generated in-situ, for simulated dwarf haloes normalized by the total gas mass at $z = 0$. Red circles correspond to NIHAO field systems, while purple diamonds represent the NIHAO-LG dwarfs. The size of the each point corresponds to the stellar mass at $z = 0$. The contours display present-day constant gas phase metallicity (see color scale to the right). The dashed line represents the line of 50% in-situ metal evolution. Only NIHAO-LG galaxies found $1.5\sigma$ outside of the NIHAO field $Z_{\mathrm{gas}} - M_*$ relation are shown (see Fig. 5).

Horizontal or vertical displacements (i.e., metallicity evolution) of dwarf haloes along the contours in Fig. 9 are predominantly driven by accretion and/or stellar feedback related outflows, respectively. Galaxies located on the curved part of these contours also have comparable contributions to their metallicity evolution from both accretion and in-situ processes. It should be noted that all galaxies (NIHAO and NIHAO-LG) produce more gas-phase metals through star-formation processes (in-situ production) than accretion. The dashed line represents 50 percent of the metals created through in-situ processes. All galaxies (NIHAO field and NIHAO-LG) lie on the left-hand side of the dashed line.

We find that nearly all NIHAO field dwarfs lie on the curved region of the constant gas-phase metallicity contours showing contributions from both in-situ and accretion processes. NIHAO haloes with larger stellar mass reside on contours of larger metallicity (a different way to represent the field $Z_{\mathrm{gas}} - M_*$ relation), while NIHAO-LG dwarf haloes lie on the horizontal parts of constant contours ($\log(Z_{\mathrm{gas}}/Z_\odot) \approx -1.7$). We find that all NIHAO-LG dwarfs (which are outliers of the field $Z_{\mathrm{gas}} - M_*$ relation) have constant amounts of metals created via in-situ processes but varying contributions from the environment.

Analyzing the accretion of gas-phase metals as a function of time, we find that NIHAO-LG dwarfs accrete gas with high metal content at late times ($t \sim 7\,\text{Gyr}$). We have also traced the origins of gas particles with high metal fractions. We find that only three NIHAO-LG dwarf haloes with $\log(M_*/M_\odot) < 8.5$ have gas particles that evolved through star formation in the MW/M31 analogues. With the MW/M31 analogues playing minimal roles in the metallicity evolution, the role of environment in this case is a result of the co-evolution of NIHAO-LG dwarfs in a high-density region in the Universe. Throughout their evolution, LG dwarfs co-evolve whilst sharing high metallicity gas released through active star formation feedback. Given that the accretion in LG dwarfs occurs at a late time, the gas has not had time to cool (the cooling time typically exceeds the Hubble time) and participate in star formation activities.

As a result, no differences are found for the metal content of stars between field and LG dwarfs (see Fig. 6). Finally, some NIHAO-LG dwarf halos, show negligible infall metals but high in-situ gas-phase metals. Such galaxies accrete a large number of gas particles with low metal fractions early in their evolution and process gas through star formation, resulting in higher metallicities.

We also found that NIHAO-LG dwarf with excess gas-phase metals are randomly distributed through the simulated LG region; no correlations exist between the excess gas metallicity and distances from MW/M31 analogues or the barycenter of the LG. This is further evidence that MW/M31 analogues play negligible roles
in the metallicity evolution of NIHAO-LG dwarfs. It is the full dwarf population in simulated LG regions which goes through star formation at early times to process gas and then exchange it with other NIHAO-LG dwarfs at late times. This creates a unique gas property for NIHAO-LG dwarf populations with respect to NIHAO field systems.

5 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The Local Group (LG) is a superb laboratory for the study of the formation and evolution of the dwarf galaxies; the most abundant cosmological structures in the Universe. In this paper, we have examined whether the LG provides an unbiased foil for studies of galaxy formation and evolution. In this comparative study, we have highlighted the similarities and differences between simulated LG and field dwarf galaxies as a function of time/redshift and compared with observations. Our field control sample relied on dwarf haloes from the NIHAO high resolution zoom-in simulations. For the LG dwarfs, we used the constrained LG simulations run with NIHAO hydrodynamics.

Present-day NIHAO field and NIHAO-LG dwarfs were found to have similar stellar populations; properties like stellar velocity dispersion, mean stellar age, accretion times, and SFRs, etc. Relative to field systems, NIHAO-LG dwarfs show small evolution in cold gas metallicity with time. This is understood in the following way: While the high metallicity gas in the halo should allow for gas to cool efficiently and lead to star-formation events, stellar feedback and outflows in the dwarf systems suppress any further stellar evolution leading to a quenched system and locking metals in the hot halo gas. Therefore, the stellar and cold gas evolution of NIHAO-LG dwarfs is strongly dictated by in-situ processes such as stellar feedback, winds, and photoevaporation due to re-ionization, which are not affected by environment (Sawala et al. 2012). A significant fraction of the dwarfs in our constrained LG simulations build up their stellar content early in their evolution history and remain quenched thereafter (e.g. Sand et al. 2010; Simon et al. 2021).

At all times, NIHAO-LG and NIHAO field dwarfs have very similar total gas content. In other words, the total gas content of the LG dwarfs is not influenced by environment, in agreement with findings of Sawala et al. (2012). However, a larger fraction of the gas within NIHAO-LG dwarfs is cold and resides within $0.2R_{200}$. The larger cold gas content is correlated to the higher metal content (relative to the NIHAO field dwarfs) of the gas in the halo; which cools down and enhances the metal content at early times. The higher gas metal content of the NIHAO-LG dwarfs is expected to be accumulated via interactions within the LG which allows the NIHAO-LG dwarfs to exchange the heavy metal generated during star-formation episodes in other LG systems. The time evolution of the cold gas mass within NIHAO-LG dwarfs shows departures from the field sample around redshift $z \sim 1 - 2$. This excess cold gas content in NIHAO-LG dwarf through time is related to the metal evolution of the hot gas in NIHAO-LG dwarfs as well; departure for NIHAO-LG gas metallicity emerges around redshift $z \sim 1 - 2$. Indeed, relative to NIHAO field systems, NIHAO-LG dwarf possess excess metals in the hot gas phase at $R > 0.2R_{200}$ at present day.

We isolate the dominance of in-situ metal evolution and/or impact of the LG environment. Most NIHAO-LG dwarf galaxies present excess gas-phase metals relative to simulated NIHAO field dwarfs; these metal-rich gas reside at radii $> 0.2R_{200}$. While environment does play a role, the direct influence or presence of the massive haloes (MW/M31) is found to be insignificant; rather, the interaction of dwarfs in a high-density environment such as the LG is the dominant factor. We found that these NIHAO-LG dwarfs accrete high-metallicity gas, processed in other NIHAO-LG dwarfs, at late times ($t \sim 7\text{Gyr}$). Because of the late accretion times, the NIHAO-LG dwarf hot gas-phase metals have not had time to cool and participate in star-formation activity. As a result, the gas metallicity evolution of the LG is not reflected in stellar properties of NIHAO-LG dwarfs. The NIHAO-LG dwarfs with excess gas-phase metals are found to have stochastic distribution through the simulated regions; that is, no correlations exist between the excess gas metallicity and the central parts of the simulation LG region.

Given the similar stellar properties of simulated dwarfs, high-resolution dwarf simulations such as NIHAO (Wang et al. 2015) and FIRE (Garrison-Kimmel et al. 2019) may then be compared with the general dwarf population. Our results have demonstrated the value of LG observations, specifically their stellar properties, as constraints for the overall dwarf populations in our Universe. The unique aspects seen in the gas properties of the LG can be examined using high-resolution constrained LG simulations (Gottloeber et al. 2010; Sorce et al. 2016; Carlsten et al. 2016; Libeskind et al. 2020). Furthermore, with the advent of next generation telescopes such as JWST, Euclid, Rubin/LSST, and SKA, observational signatures of pre-enrichment can ultimately be teased out of high redshift LG analogs and their accompanying dwarfs.
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mean iron abundance is given by

\[ \langle \log H_{\alpha i} \rangle = \frac{\sum_i \langle \log [\text{Fe}/\text{H}]_{\alpha i} \rangle m_{\alpha i}}{\sum_i m_{\alpha i}} \],

(B1)

where \([\text{Fe}/\text{H}]\) is the logarithmic iron abundance and \(m_{\alpha i}\) is the stellar mass of the star particle \(i\). However, the averaging process in logarithmic units is inappropriate. A proper expression for the mean iron abundance is given by

\[ \langle \log [\text{Fe}/\text{H}]_{\alpha i} \rangle_{\text{lin}} = \log \left( \frac{\sum_i \langle [\text{Fe}/\text{H}]_{\alpha i} \rangle m_{\alpha i}}{\sum_i m_{\alpha i}} \right) \].

(B2)

In Eq. (B2), the iron abundance per star particle, \(i\), is calculated in linear space and the logarithm of the complete expression is taken once the average is computed. Our comparison of the simulated dwarf systems uses linear averages presented in Eq. (B2). For complementarity and comparison with observations, the stellar iron abundances averaged in logarithmic space are also reported.

The left-hand panel of Fig. B1 presents the linearly averaged iron abundance versus stellar mass for NIHAO field and NIHAO-LG dwarf samples. Field dwarf galaxies from NIHAO follow \( \langle [\text{Fe}/\text{H}] \rangle \propto M_{\star}^{0.36 \pm 0.04} \) with a scatter of \( 0.09 \pm 0.02 \) dex. NIHAO-LG low-mass dwarfs are somewhat poorer in metals than the field. A linear average for the stellar iron abundance is preferentially biased towards metal-rich stars.

NIHAO-LG shows metal-poor stellar populations relative to field systems. Given the deep potential of the MW and M31, a significant fraction of the outflowing gas and metals may be retained by the massive halo through galactic fountain effect. With most of the metal retained by the massive halos, the LG dwarf populations would show a metal-poorer stellar population relative to expectations due to pre-enrichment. Our result in the left-hand panel of Fig. B1 is quantitatively similar to that of FIRE simulations (Escala et al. 2018) or the high-resolution NIHAO simulations (Buck et al. 2019).

The right-hand panel of Fig. B1 shows the logarithmic averaged stellar iron abundance for the NIHAO field and NIHAO-LG dwarfs and observed relation from Kirby et al. (2013). With a different averaging technique comes a revised relation; the field galaxies relation, \( \langle [\text{Fe}/\text{H}] \rangle_{\alpha i} - M_{\star} \), is now slightly steeper and tighter with a slope of \( 0.39 \pm 0.04 \) and scatter \( 0.09 \pm 0.02 \). The differences between linear and logarithmic averaging of the \( \langle [\text{Fe}/\text{H}] \rangle_{\alpha i} - M_{\star} \) relations are still well within the confidence intervals. The observed relation shows similar linear slope (0.30 \pm 0.02) but with a larger scatter (0.17 dex) and lower zero-point. The simulated field galaxies from NIHAO are more iron-poor, as is expected for these low-mass galaxies which cannot retain metal-rich gas due to stellar feedback and winds. The NIHAO-LG dwarfs show an even poorer metal content in stars, with their [Fe/H] distribution lower than observations by a factor 15. The simulations and observations differ only in their zero-point offset (the trends have the same slope) which is directly linked to the implementation of supernova feedback in the simulations (Escala et al. 2018). The study of Buck et al. (2021) provides an improved chemical enrichment scheme for NIHAO simulations that should yield a better match with observations. We shall return to such data-model comparison in a future study.
Figure A1. Total gas mass (left-hand panel) and cold gas mass \( (T < 20000 \text{K}) \) (right-hand panel) versus stellar mass at redshift \( z = 0 \) for NIHAO field (red circles) and NIHAO-LG(nmd) presented as gold circles dwarf galaxies. The solid red line and shaded region represent a best fit of the NIHAO field dwarf galaxies and 1\( \sigma \) scatter about that fit, respectively. Total gas masses were measured within \( R_{200} \), while cold gas and stellar masses were measured within \( 0.2R_{200} \). The residuals with respect to the NIHAO field dwarf best fit are shown in both bottom panels.

Figure A2. Dwarf galaxy comparison between NIHAO field and NIHAO-LG(nmd) for various properties related to metal content. Panel a shows the total gas metallicity within \( R_{200} \), panel b shows the cold gas metallicity within \( 0.2R_{200} \), and panel c shows the mean stellar metallicity. The red dots, lines, and shaded regions present the NIHAO field galaxies, linear fit, and scatter, respectively. The gold points show dwarfs from the NIHAO-LG(nmd) simulation.
Figure B1. Iron abundance, $\langle \text{[Fe/H]} \rangle_*$, versus stellar mass for NIHAO field and NIHAO-LG dwarfs. The color scheme is as in Fig. 4. $\langle \text{[Fe/H]} \rangle_*$ was averaged over all stellar particles within the half-light radius, $R_{1/2}$. The left-hand panel shows mass-weighted averages carried out in linear space (see Eq. (B2) for definition) while the right-hand panel shows mass-weighted averages calculated in log space as presented in Eq. (B1). In cyan, the right-hand panel shows the observed relation for LG dwarf galaxies from Kirby et al. (2013).