Trogocytosis by *Entamoeba histolytica* contributes to cell killing and tissue invasion
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*Entamoeba histolytica* is the causative agent of amoebiasis, a potentially fatal diarrhoeal disease in the developing world. The parasite was named “*histolytica*” for its ability to destroy host tissues, which is probably driven by direct killing of human cells. The mechanism of human cell killing has been unclear, although the accepted model was that the parasites use secreted toxic effectors to kill cells before ingestion1. Here we report the discovery that amoebae kill by ingesting distinct pieces of living human cells, resulting in intracellular calcium elevation and eventual cell death. After cell killing, amoebae detach and cease ingestion. Ingestion of human cell fragments is required for cell killing, and also contributes to invasion of intestinal tissue. The internalization of fragments of living human cells is reminiscent of trogocytosis (from Greek *trogos*, nibble) observed between immune cells2–4, but amoebic trogocytosis differs because it results in death. The ingestion of live cell material and the rejection of corpses illuminate a stark contrast to the established model of dead cell clearance in multicellular organisms5. These findings change the model for tissue destruction in amoebiasis and suggest an ancient origin of trogocytosis as a form of intercellular exchange.

Amoebiasis is prevalent in the developing world, and in the first year of life, approximately one third of infants in an urban slum in Dhaka, Bangladesh are infected with *E. histolytica*6. Malnourishment and stunting are associated with repeated infections in children6. During infection, amoebae colonize the colon, which can be asymptomatic or result in diarrhoea, colitis or extraintestinal disease. Invasive disease is characterized by profound tissue destruction, manifesting as massive intestinal
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ulceration or fatal extraintestinal abscesses. This is probably driven by the cell killing activity of the amoebae, which are potently cytotoxic and capable of killing a variety of cell types including epithelial cells, immune cells and many tissue culture lines. Contact mediated by the amoeba surface galactose- and N-acetyl-D-galactosamine-binding (Gal/GalNAc) lectin is critical, but the molecular mechanism by which cells are killed is unknown. The established model is that the amoebae first kill cells and then ingest dead cell corpses¹⁻³¹⁰ (Extended Data Fig. 1a).

To elucidate the mechanism for human cell killing, we used live microscopy to examine host–parasite interactions directly. Surprisingly, we found that, immediately after human cell contact, the amoebae internalized distinct fragments of human cell membrane (Fig. 1a). This was initiated within one minute of human cell contact, and amoebae continued to ingest additional fragments thereafter (Supplementary Video 1).

By pre-labelling human cellular compartments, we found that ingested membrane fragments contained human cell cytoplasm 90% of the time (Fig. 1a) and mitochondria 31% of the time (Fig. 1b). Pre-labelling of human cells with biotin and streptavidin–gold before co-incubation with amoebae further demonstrated that the ingested fragments were human-derived (Fig. 1c and Extended Data Fig. 2a). There was pronounced actin polymerization within the amoebae at the site of human cell contact and ingested fragments were surrounded by polymerized actin (Extended Data

---

**Figure 2**  |  **Amoebic trogocytosis is predominant and specific to live human cells.** Imaging flow cytometry with CMFDA-labelled amoebae (A, blue), DiD-labelled human Jurkat cells (H, yellow) and live/dead violet-labelled dead cells (purple). a. Detection of internalized human material. b. Measurement of fragmentation of internalized human material, gated from low to high. c. Example merged CMFDA, DiD and bright field images. Images are representative of 10,000 images collected for each replicate. d. Detection of dead cells not attached to amoebae. e. Example images; live/dead violet (left panels) and merged CMFDA, DiD and bright field (right panels). Images are representative of 10,000 images collected for each replicate. f. Detection of dead cells attached to amoebae. g. Example images, as in e. Images are representative of 10,000 images collected for each replicate. h–k. Means and standard deviations for biological replicates (10,000 events/each). P values from t-tests: *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001.
Fig. 2b, c). Ingested fragments had two membranes, with an inner human cell membrane and an outer amoeba membrane (Extended Data Fig. 2a, d).

Another unexpected finding was that human cells were alive when ingestion of fragments initiated. Irreversible intracellular calcium elevation occurred in human cells within the first few minutes, typically following detectable amoebic trogocytosis (Fig. 1d, e and Supplementary Video 2). Despite the fact that numerous fragments were physically extracted from human cells by the amoebae, human cells initially retained plasma membrane integrity (Extended Data Fig. 3a). However, human cells were eventually killed as evidenced by loss of plasma membrane integrity11 (Fig. 1d, e, Extended Data Fig. 3b and Supplementary Video 1), at which point the amoebae detached from corpses. Ingestion of fragments ceased once cells were dead (Extended Data Figs 1b and 3b and Supplementary Fig. 4a, b). Interestingly, ingestion of fragments ceased dominance. The majority of dead human cells were not attached to amoebae (Fig. 2d, e, k), and very few remained attached to amoebae (Fig. 2f, g, k), supporting our observation that amoebae cease trogocytosis once cells have been killed (Extended Data Fig. 3b and Supplementary Video 1).

Neither amoebic trogocytosis nor cell killing occurred when cells were artificially brought together by centrifugation and co-incubated at 4 °C instead of 37 °C (Extended Data Figs 6a and 7f), in agreement with the known inhibition of amoebic cytotoxicity at 4 °C13. Pharmacological inhibition of amoebic phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K) signalling using wortmannin also inhibited amoebic trogocytosis and cell death (Extended Data Fig. 6g, j). Interference with the dynamics of microfilament formation using cytochalasin D inhibited both amoebic trogocytosis and cell death (Extended Data Fig. 7a–f). Tetracycline-inducible overexpression of a kinase-dead point mutant of the amoebic C2 domain-containing protein kinase (EhC2PK)14 reduced both amoebic trogocytosis and cell death (Fig. 3). EhC2PK is an early regulator of phagocytosis in E. histolytica14, thus some initiators of phagocytosis may be common to amoebic trogocytosis. To examine signalling upstream of EhC2PK, we used blocking antibodies to interfere with the amoebic Gal/GalNAc lectin, which has been shown to have a role in initiating cell killing15,16, separable from its role in host-cell attachment13. An anti-Gal/GalNAc lectin blocking monoclonal antibody directed to epitope 1, which reduces 

**Figure 3 | Amoebic trogocytosis contributes to human cell killing.** a–d, Imaging flow cytometry analysis with amoebae expressing a tetracycline (Tet)-inducible copy of EhC2PK K179A. a, Measurement of fragmentation. b, Detection of dead cells. c, d, Means and standard deviations for biological duplicates at each time point (10,000 events/each). e, Western blot demonstrating EhC2PK overexpression in Tet-induced cells; Lgl, loading control. Blots are representative of three independent experiments. f, g, Imaging flow cytometry analysis with vector control amoebae; shown are means and standard deviations for biological duplicates (10,000 events/each). P values from t-tests: *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001.
killing while enhancing attachment19, inhibited both amoebic trogocytosis and cell death compared to a control monoclonal antibody directed to epitope 3 (Extended Data Fig. 7g–l). Thus amoebic trogocytosis contributes to human cell killing and requires physiological temperature, actin epitope 3 (Extended Data Fig. 7g–l). Thus amoebic trogocytosis contributes to human cell killing and requires physiological temperature, actin 

The hallmark of amoebiasis is the detection of amoebae with ingested red blood cells17. Live imaging demonstrated that amoebic trogocytosis occurred during red blood cell ingestion (Fig. 4a, b and Supplementary Videos 3 and 4). We also detected amoebic trogocytosis of human colonic epithelial cells (Extended Data Fig. 8). Intriguingly, amoebic trogocytosis occurred when amoebae were incubated with ex vivo mouse intestinal tissue and monitored live in three dimensions using two-photon microscopy (Fig. 4c and Supplementary Videos 5 and 6). Amoebae traversed the intestinal crypts, as has been demonstrated in studies using ex vivo human intestine18. Tissue cell death occurred and amoebic trogocytosis of intestinal enterocytes preceded amoebic invasion into the tissue (Extended Data Fig. 9 and 10 and Supplementary Videos 7 and 8), further supporting its pathologic relevance. Moreover, inhibition of amoebic trogocytosis by treatment with wortmannin or cytochalasin D significantly blocked tissue invasion (Fig. 4d). Further supporting the relevance of amoebic trogocytosis to pathogenesis, we found that amoebae that had previously undergone amoebic trogocytosis were primed to undergo more ingestion and more cell killing (Fig. 4e–g) than amoebae that had not undergone trogocytosis. More of the primed amoebae underwent trogocytosis (Fig. 4e), although they ingested the same number of fragments as non-primed amoebae (Fig. 4f), indicating that priming enhances the initiation of amoebic trogocytosis.

Therefore several lines of evidence demonstrate that amoebic trogocytosis contributes to cell killing and is likely to contribute to pathogenesis in vivo. Cell death probably stems from the accumulation of membrane damage. Targeted cells initially retain membrane integrity, hence ingestion of one fragment is not enough to kill. Inhibition experiments demonstrated that a reduction in the number of ingested fragments almost completely prevented cell death, indicating that there is a threshold of tolerated damage. It has previously been reported that amoebae ingest killed cells10, but in these studies the target cells were pre-killed and probably had different characteristics from cells killed directly by the amoebae. Consistent with this idea, we found that pre-killed cells were internalized whole and thus they were recognized differently than endogenously killed cells, which the amoebae ceased ingesting.

The rejection of dead cell corpses is remarkable and makes a nutritional role for amoebic trogocytosis seem unlikely. Intestinal bacteria may be the primary food source during infection and the energetic costs of full internalization of human cells may outweigh the benefits. The large size of epithelial cells and the tight intercellular connections may make ingestion of entire cells difficult. Amoebic trogocytosis could potentially lower tissue density and create a more spacious environment for amoeboid migration. Ingesting fragments could also provide the amoebae with a means of sensing the environment. Interestingly, amoebae that had undergone amoebic trogocytosis were primed to undergo more trogocytosis, suggesting that there might be ‘feed-forward’ regulation, similar to what has been demonstrated during the ingestion of

Figure 4 | Amoebic trogocytosis occurs with red blood cells, contributes to intestinal invasion, and promotes enhanced cell killing. a, DiD-labelled red blood cells (RBC) and CMFDA-labelled amoebae (A); ingested fragments (arrows) and a fragment that remains extracellular (0:51). Scale bar, 5 μm. Images are representative of three independent experiments. b, Example three dimensional reconstruction with DiD-labelled red blood cells and CMFDA-labelled amoebae; ingested fragments (arrows) and a fragment that remains extracellular (3:25). Images are representative of three independent experiments. c, Planes from live three-dimensional two-photon microscopy with mouse intestinal tissue from a mouse expressing membrane-targeted EGFP (yellow); calcine violet-labelled amoebae (blue) are ingesting fragments (arrows). Images are representative of three independent experiments. d, Amoebae treated with wortmannin or cytochalasin D were incubated with tissue. Invasion depth, means and standard deviations from biological replicates (at least 40 cells per treatment); P values are indicated. e–g, Amoebae were incubated with or without human Jurkat cells (primed), before incubation with labelled human Jurkat cells. e, Measurement of internalization. f, Measurement of fragmentation. g, Detection of dead cells. Means and standard deviations for biological duplicates (10,000 events/each). P values from t-tests: *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001.
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beads and apoptotic cells. Therefore amoebic trogocytosis and destruction of cells may promote further destruction. Further work will be needed to confirm with certainty that the proposed model for amoebic trogocytosis in cell killing and tissue invasion is applicable to pathogenesis in vivo, and to explore the implications of this process in disease.

Distortion of target cell shape during amoebic ingestion has been reported previously. We have similarly observed distortion before the appearance of fragments. We used a variety of membrane labels, and fragments are more readily detected using these tightly localized and bright labels, than with more diffuse probes. It is therefore possible that, owing to differences in detection methods and the dynamic and rapid appearance of fragments, they were not previously observed. Because increased red blood cell rigidity reduces cell distortion during ingestion, it is also conceivable that differences in the deformability of different cell types influence the extent of fragmentation that occurs. Less deformable targets like beads are likely to be ingested whole. Finally, the size of the target cell type determines the amount of material that remains extracellular; very little remains following red blood cell ingestion, whereas much more remains following Jurkat or epithelial cell ingestion.

Some mechanistic details of trogocytosis between immune cells are beginning to be defined. A key difference between immune cell trogocytosis and amoebic trogocytosis is that immune trogocytosis does not result in cell death. This may be because immune trogocytosis involves the exchange of fewer fragments, and we find that targeted cells can withstand the ingestion of a limited number of fragments. Interestingly, there are hints that protozoa like Naegleria fowleri may also be capable of ingesting host cell fragments. Therefore, trogocytosis as a form of intercellular exchange may be more evolutionarily ancient and widespread than is currently appreciated. Finally, amoebic trogocytosis is a potentially promising target for the future development of new therapeutics for amoebiasis, a major neglected disease in the developing world.

METHODS SUMMARY

Amoebae (HM1-IMSS), human Jurkat cells and human red blood cells were prepared as described. In some experiments, parasites were stably transfected with a plasmid for tetracycline-inducible expression of EhC2PK K179A. Mice with membrane-targeted enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) were used in compliance with the University of Virginia Institutional Care and Use Committee, and PHS and USDA guidelines. For live imaging, Jurkat cells were pre-labelled with Dil, Dil, CMFDA and/or JC-1 (Invitrogen) and were combined with amoebae immediately before imaging on a Zeiss LSM 510 or LSM 700 confocal microscope. In some experiments, Sytox blue (Invitrogen) was present during imaging. Human red blood cells were labelled with Dil. For electron microscopy, amoebae were pre-labelled with sulphonated DiD. Pharmacological interventions were carried out in the amoebo-cytotaxis imaging flow cytometry, amoebae were pre-labelled with CMFDA and Jurkat cells were combined before fixation, processing and imaging on a JEOL 1230 microscope. For imaging flow cytometry, amoebae were pre-labelled with CMFDA and Jurkat cells with sulphonated Dil. Pharmacological interventions were carried out in the amoebae after CMFDA labelling, using wortmannin or cycloheximide (Sigma). At each time point, samples were transferred to ice and labelled with live/dead fixable violet (Invitrogen) before fixation. Samples were collected on an Anims ImageStreamX or ImageStreamX Mark II flow cytometer. 10,000 to 15,000 events were collected for each sample and data were analysed using Anims IDEAS. For ex vivo imaging, amoebae were labelled with calcine violet (Invitrogen). Mouse caeca were isolated and amoebae added immediately before imaging with a FLUOVIEW FV1000 Olympus multiphoton system. Three dimensional reconstructions were made with Velocity (PerkinElmer). Depth of tissue invasion was quantified in a blinded fashion in ten non-consecutive frozen sections per treatment. Quantitative data are expressed as the mean and standard deviation of the mean. Statistical significance was determined with the unpaired two-tailed Student’s t-test (Prism, GraphPad Software, Inc.).

Online Content Any additional Methods, Extended Data display items and Source Data are available in the online version of the paper; references unique to these sections appear only in the online paper.
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Methods

Cell culture. Amoebic trophozoites (HM1:IMSS) and human Jurkat cells (Clone E6-1, ATCC) were cultivated as previously described\(^2\). Human Caco-2 cells (ATCC) were cultivated in 5% CO\(_2\) at 37°C in Eagle’s minimum essential medium (Gibco) supplemented with non-essential amino acids, sodium pyruvate, 20% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (Gibco), penicillin and streptomycin sulphate. Caco-2 cells were collected at 80% confluence by using a rubber policeman. In some experiments, amoebae were stably transplanted with a plasmid for tetracycline-inducible expression of EhC2PK K179A\(^3\), or as a control, the same plasmid with a bacterial chloramphenicol acetyl transferase (CAT) gene. Following transfection using Attractene reagent (Qiagen), stable transfectants were obtained by selection with hygromycin at 10 \(\mu g\) ml\(^{-1}\).

To induce protein expression, tetracycline induction was carried out at 20 \(\mu g\) ml\(^{-1}\) for 36 h. Jurkat cultures were collected at mid-log phase and were enriched for viable cells by Ficoll-Paque gradient centrifugation using Ficoll-Paque PLUS (Amersham) at 800 g for 10 min at room temperature. Human red blood cells were prepared as previously described\(^4\). All co-incubations between amoebae and human cells were conducted in M199 medium (Gibco) not containing phenol red and supplemented with 5.7 mM cysteine, 0.5% bovine serum albumin (Gemini) and 25 mM HEPES (Sigma) pH 6.8, referred to as M199s.

Confocal imaging. For Jurkat cells, DiD, DiI or DiO (Invitrogen) were used at 5 \(\mu M\) for a membrane-targeted Tomato fluorescent protein followed by a gene for membrane-targeted enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP)\(^5\), or vice-versa, to label Jurkat cells at 2.5 \(\mu M\) for 4 min. Jurkat cells were then washed and incubated in fresh M199s at 37°C for 10 min before washing and labelling with DiD as above. C57BL/6-Tg(Zp3-cre)93Knw/J mice (The Jackson Laboratory), carrying a floxed gene for a membrane-targeted Tomato fluorescent protein followed by a gene for membrane-targeted enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP)\(^6\), were crossed to Sortm4(ACTB-tdTomato,-Egfp)Luo/J mice (The Jackson Laboratory), carrying a floxed gene with PHS and USDA guidelines for laboratory animal welfare. Animal use protocols were reviewed and approved by the University of Virginia Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee, and were in compliance with the manufacturer’s directions. Briefly, fixed cells were permeabilized with ice-cold 70% ethanol, washed, and nicked DNA was labelled by using TdT enzymes to incorporate BrdU at 37°C for 60 min, with gentle agitation. Labelled cells were washed and incubated with an antibody conjugated to Alexa Fluor 488 directed to BrdU, at room temperature for 30 min. All samples were mounted with vectashield H-1000 anti-fading agent and imaged using Zeiss LSM software on a Zeiss LSM 710 inverted confocal microscope equipped with a \(\times 100\) apochromatic oil objective and a heated stage; or using Zeiss Zen software on a Zeiss LSM 700 inverted microscope equipped with a \(\times 63\) apochromatic oil objective and a heated stage.

Immunofluorescence. For imaging polymerized actin with rhodamine-phalloidin, CMFDA (Invitrogen) was used to pre-label amoebae at 200 nM in M199s at 37°C for 10 min; cells were then washed and incubated in fresh M199s at 37°C for 10 min and washed twice. Jurkat cells were washed in M199s and combined with amoebae at 1 amoeba to 5 Jurkat cells and incubated at 37°C before fixation with 4% paraformaldehyde in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) for 30 min at room temperature. Samples were kept in solution and permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 (Sigma) street-vortexed with rhodamine-phalloidin (Cytoskeleton, Inc.) at 100 nM for two hours. For immunofluorescence studies, amoebae and Jurkat cells were washed in M199s and combined at 1:5 ratio and incubated at 37°C before fixation with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 30 min at room temperature. Samples were kept in solution and were quenched with 100 mM glycine, permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X-100 and blocked with goat serum (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, Inc.) and bovine serum albumin (Germi). Antibody labelling was carried out in solution. The 3F4 anti-Gal/GalNAc lectin monoclonal antibody and an anti-CD3 rabbit polyclonal (Abcam) were used, followed by goat anti-mouse dylight 649 (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, Inc.) and goat anti-rabbit CY3 (Jackson Immunoresearch Laboratories, Inc.). For terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase (TUNEL) labelling, Jurkat cells were combined with amoebae in M199s at 1 amoeba to 5 Jurkat cells and incubated at 37°C for 40 min, or Jurkat cells were incubated at 37°C for 40 min in the absence of amoebae. Cells were transferred to ice and labelled with live/dead fixable red dead cell stain (Invitro) at 1 \(\mu l\) per ml for 30 min in the dark, and then fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 30 min at room temperature. Fixed cells were washed with PBS and then TUNEL labelling was carried out using the APO-BrDu TUNEL Assay Kit (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s directions. 3F4-anti ganglioside GM1 (Biot, All) was used, followed by goat anti-mouse dylight 649 (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, Inc.) and goat anti-rabbit CY3 (Jackson Immunoresearch Laboratories, Inc.).

Electron microscopy. In some experiments, Jurkat cells were pre-labelled with streptavidin–gold before incubation with amoebae. Jurkat cells were washed three times in ice-cold PBS pH 8.0 and resuspended at 10 \(\times 10^5\) cells ml\(^{-1}\). Salts-NN-SS-biotin (Tri), was reconstituted at 6 mg ml\(^{-1}\) in water and added to cells at 80 \(\mu g\) ml\(^{-1}\). Samples were washed three times in ice-cold PBS containing 100 mM Tris pH 8.0. Samples were washed once with ice-cold PBS pH 7.4 and incubated with streptavidin conjugated to 6 nm gold (Electron Microscopy Sciences/Aurion) diluted at 1:3 in ice-cold PBS pH 7.4. Samples were incubated on ice for 30 min with gentle agitation. Samples were washed three times with ice-cold PBS pH 7.4 and twice with ice-cold M199s, and then used for co-incubulation with amoebae. Amoebae and Jurkat cells were washed two times with K Medium and combined with amoebae at 1 amoeba to 5 Jurkat cells and incubated at 37°C before fixation with 2% glutaraldehyde/2% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer for 60 min at room temperature. For experiments without streptavidin–gold labelling, amoebae and Jurkat cells were washed in M199s and combined at 1 amoeba to 5 Jurkat cells and incubated at 37°C before fixation as above. Samples were post-fixed with 1% osmium tetroxide and 0.1% potassium ferrocyanide (Electron Microscopy Sciences). Dehydration through an ethanol gradient was performed, followed by infiltration and embedment in Epon. Sections were cut on a Leica Ultracut Ultramicrotome. Samples without streptavidin–gold labelling were post-stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate, while samples with streptavidin–gold labelling were not post-stained. Samples were imaged using a JEOL JEM-1230 transmission electron microscope using ultra high resolution digital imaging.

Imaging flow cytometry analysis. Jurkat cells and amoebae were washed in M199s and separately labelled with fluorescent dyes before co-incubation. For experiments using amoebae stably transfected with plasmids for inducible expression of EhC2PK K179A or CAT, cells were grown in the presence or absence of 20 \(\mu g\) ml\(^{-1}\) tetracycline for 36 h. CMFDA (Invitrogen) was used to label amoebae at 200 nM in M199s at 37°C for 10 min; cells were then washed and incubated in fresh M199s at 37°C for 10 min and washed twice. Pharmacological interventions or antibody blocking were carried out after CMFDA labelling. Pharmacological interventions were carried out at 37°C as follows: amoebae were treated with wortmannin (Sigma) at 10 nM, 50 nM, 100 nM or an equal volume of DMSO vehicle; or amoebae were treated with cytochalasin D (Sigma) at 2 \(\mu M\), 10 \(\mu M\), 20 \(\mu M\), or an equal volume of DMSO vehicle. Antibody blocking was carried out at 4°C, using anti-Gal/GalNAc.
lectin monoclonal antibodies 3F4 or 7F4 at 10 μg per 10^4 amoebae, as described previously26. For Jurkat cells, sulphonated DIL (Invitrogen) was used at 5 μM in M199s; cells were incubated at 37°C for 4 min followed by 4°C for 15 min and then washed twice. Labelled amoebae and Jurkat cells were combined at 1 amoeba to 5 Jurkat cells in biological replicate or triplicate and incubated at 37°C for 5, 20 or 40 min. Control Jurkat cells were incubated in the absence of amoebae. At each time point, samples were transferred to ice and labelled with live/dead fixable violet dead cell stain (Invitrogen) at 1 μl per ml for 30 min in the dark, and then fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 30 min at room temperature. For experiments in which amoebae were pre-incubated with Jurkat cells, amoebae and unlabelled Jurkat cells were combined at a ratio of 1 amoeba to 2 Jurkat cells, or the same amount of amoebae were incubated without Jurkat cells as a control. Samples were incubated 37°C for 2 h, at which point, DIL-labelled Jurkat cells were added at a ratio of 1 amoeba to 5 Jurkat cells, and samples were then incubated at 37°C for 40 min, followed by live/dead fixable violet staining and fixation, as above. All samples were washed twice in PBS and transferred to round-bottom 96-well plates (Corning); plates were sealed with piercable plate covers (X-Pierce). Data were collected using an AutoSampler on an Amnis ImageStreamX or ImagestreamX Mark II flow cytometer, equipped with a ×40 objective. 10,000–15,000 events were collected for each sample and data were analysed using Amnis IDEAS software. In example images presented in Fig. 2, note that images have been cropped to minimize blank space.

Protein preparation and western blotting. Cells were grown in the presence or absence of 20 μg ml⁻¹ tetracycline for 36 h. After washing three times with PBS, protein extracts were prepared by incubation in lysis buffer containing 50 mM Tris-Cl pH 8.3, 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP40 and 1x SigmaFast protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma). Laemmli sample buffer was added to 1× final concentration and samples were boiled and processed for western blotting. EhC2PK was detected with a rabbit polyclonal antibody27 and as a loading control, the light chain (Lg) of the Gal/GalNAc lectin was detected with a mouse monoclonal antibody. Anti-rabbit and anti-mouse secondary antibodies, conjugated to Cy5 and Cy3 (GE Healthcare) respectively, were used, and fluorescent images were acquired on a GE Typhoon Trio+ Variable Mode Imageer.

Ex vivo imaging. Amoebae were labelled with calcein violet (Invitrogen) at 1.6 μM in M199s at 37°C for 10 min and washed twice. Mouse caeca were isolated and gently inverted inside out in order to facilitate washing and access to the lumen. Caeca were washed three times in Hank’s balanced salt solution (Gibco) and then washed once in M199s out in order to facilitate washing and access to the lumen. Caeca were washed three times in Hank’s balanced salt solution (Gibco) and then washed once in M199s and transferred to 35 mm Petri dishes. Tissue handling was minimal and mucus was not removed. Caeca were cut into three equal sections, such that tissue from the same caecum was used for each different experimental condition. 5 × 10^4 amoebae were added per caecum and incubated at 37°C for 30 min in M199s. Samples were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS at 4°C for 24 h. Samples were then cryoprotected in 30% sucrose overnight, embedded in OCT (Tissue-Tek) and 10 μm sections were obtained using a cryostat; sections were taken at a defined orientation, such that they represented cross-sections of the tissue. Samples were mounted with Vectashield H-1000 anti-fading agent and imaged using Zeiss Zen software on a Zeiss LSM 780 inverted microscope equipped with a ×63 apochromatic oil objective. In each of two biological replicates per treatment, ten non-consecutive sections were imaged, such that all amoebae that were present in each section were imaged. Prior to quantification of tissue invasion, samples were coded by the assignment of random identifiers; tissue invasion was then scored in the coded samples in a blinded fashion. Tissue invasion was quantified using ImageJ software. Amoebae contacting the epithelium but not invading it were scored as a depth of invasion of 0 μm. For amoebae that had invaded the epithelium, the depth was measured as the minimum distance between the surface of the epithelium and the edge of the amoeba that was closest to the epithelial surface. At least 40 cells from 2 independent experiments were quantified for each treatment. Following quantification of all data sets, the coded samples were unmasked for analysis.

Statistical analysis. Quantitative data are expressed as the mean and standard deviation of the mean. Statistical significance was determined with the unpaired two-tailed Student’s t-test using the program Prism (GraphPad Software, Inc.). Samples analysed in Fig. 4d had unequal variances (confirmed with the F-test); therefore an unpaired two-tailed Student’s t-test with the Welch correction was performed in this analysis. P values are indicated on each figure; *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001.

26. Williams, M., Burdsal, C., Periasamy, A., Lewandoski, M. & Sutherland, A. Mouse primitive streak forms in situ by initiation of epithelial to mesenchymal transition without migration of a cell population. Dev. Dyn. 241, 270–283 (2012).
27. McCoy, J. J., Weaver, A. M. & Petri, W. A. Jr. Use of monoclonal anti-light subunit antibodies to study the structure and function of the Entamoeba histolytica Gal/GalNAc adherence lectin. Glycoconj. J. 11, 432–436 (1994).
28. Mann, B. J. Structure and function of the Entamoeba histolytica Gal/GalNAc lectin. Int. Rev. Cytol. 216, 59–80 (2002).
Extended Data Figure 1 | Models for ingestion and human cell killing.

a. Previous model for cell killing, in which human cell attachment is followed by human cell killing and then ingestion of the killed cell. b. Model for amoebic trogocytosis in cell killing, in which attachment is followed by ingestion of fragments of human cell material, which leads to human cell death. Following cell killing, amoebae dissociate from the dead cells. c. Model for phagocytosis of pre-killed human cells, which are ingested whole.
Extended Data Figure 2 | Polymerized actin is detected during amoebic trogocytosis.

a, Electron microscopy with human Jurkat cells pre-labelled with biotin and streptavidin–6 nm gold. Human cells (H) were co-incubated with amoebae (A) for 2 min. Top left, low magnification image. Top right, membrane-bound fragment of gold-labelled (circles) human cell material (arrow) within the amoeba. Bottom left, high magnification image of the amoeba cell membrane, demonstrating the absence of gold labelling. Bottom right, high magnification image demonstrating gold in the human cell membrane but not in the amoeba membrane. Scale bar, 5 μm (top left), 0.5 μm (top right and bottom). Images are representative of two independent experiments.

b, Electron microscopy imaging of human Jurkat cells co-incubated with amoebae for one minute. Left, demonstration of human cell material contained within polymerized amoeba cytoskeleton (black arrow); note the distorted shape of the human cell as it is pulled into the amoeba (white arrow). Middle, a fragment of human cell material visible (white arrow) within polymerized amoeba cytoskeleton (black arrow). Right, a fragment of human material (white arrow) distal to the targeted human cell is surrounded by polymerized cytoskeleton (black arrow); N, nucleus. Scale bars, 5 μm. Images are representative of three independent experiments.

c, Polymerized actin within the amoebae at the site of human cell attachment. CMFDA-labelled amoebae (green) were co-incubated with human Jurkat cells for 1 min, and post-stained with rhodamine-phalloidin (red). Polymerized actin within the amoebae is indicated with black arrows. A ring of polymerized actin likely surrounding an ingested fragment is indicated with a white arrow. Scale bars, 5 μm. Images are representative of two independent experiments.

d, Immunofluorescence microscopy imaging, with human cells co-incubated with amoebae for five minutes. Shown are images acquired at the indicated z-heights, with the amoeba plasma membrane stained with anti-Gal/GalNAc lectin, the human Jurkat cell plasma membrane stained with anti-CD3 and DAPI stained nuclei. Arrows, human cell fragments within amoebae, surrounded by amoebic Gal/GalNAc lectin. Scale bar, 10 μm. Images are representative of two independent experiments.
Extended Data Figure 3 | Ingestion of fragments precedes human cell death and ceases after cell death.  

**a**, **b**, Live microscopy with DiD-labelled human Jurkat cells and with SYTOX blue present during imaging.  

**a**, Human cells (H) initially retain membrane integrity while amoebae (A) are extensively internalizing fragments (arrows), demonstrated by the lack of SYTOX blue uptake. Images are representative of three independent experiments.  

**b**, Loss of human cell membrane integrity indicative of cell death at $T = 15:20$, and disassociation between the amoebae and the dead human cell at $T = 16:00$. White arrows, amoebae; black arrow, human cell. Scale bars, 10 µm. Images are representative of three independent experiments.
Extended Data Figure 4 | Permeable human cells are not viable and trogocytosis requires viable human cells.  

**a**, Detection of 3’OH nicked DNA using terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase dUTP nick end labelling (TUNEL), in conjunction with detection of cell permeability. Amoebae (A) and human Jurkat cells (H) were co-incubated for 40 min, or control human cells were incubated in the absence of amoebae. Prior to fixation, cells were labelled with live/dead fixable red to allow for the detection of membrane permeability. Following fixation, TUNEL was used to allow for the detection of nicked DNA. As indicated by arrows, confocal imaging demonstrates that most permeable human cells (red) also contain nicked DNA (green). Control human cells are not permeable and lack nicked DNA. Images are representative of three independent experiments.

**b**, Detection of mitochondrial potential and membrane permeability using live confocal microscopy. DiD and JC-1-labelled human Jurkat cells were co-incubated with SYTOX blue present during imaging. Mitochondrial potential is detected in living, non-permeable human cells (arrows). In contrast, cells that are permeable, as indicated by SYTOX blue staining (arrowheads), lack mitochondrial potential. Images are representative of six independent experiments.

**c, d**, Killed human Jurkat cells were labelled with CMFDA, whereas live human Jurkat cells were separately labelled with DiD. c, Living and pre-killed human cells were combined at 1:1 and SYTOX blue was present in the media during imaging. SYTOX blue staining confirms that only the pre-killed (green) cells are dead (blue). d, Living and dead human cells were combined with amoebae in the presence of SYTOX blue. DiD-labelled fragments (arrows) of living human cells (asterisks) are internalized, whereas pre-killed cells (arrowheads) are ingested whole, demonstrating that live human cells are required for amoebic trogocytosis. Scale bars, 10 μm. Images in c, d are representative of three independent experiments.
Extended Data Figure 5 | Imaging flow cytometry analysis. Shown is the gating strategy that was used to analyse imaging flow cytometry data, with the percentage of gated events, and number of gated events in parentheses, shown in each case. This example illustrates the gating of the T 340 min. sample shown in Fig. 2, with CMFDA-labelled amoebae, DiD-labelled human Jurkat cells, and live/dead violet-labelled dead cells; 10,000 events were collected.

1. In-focus events were gated using a gradient of Brightfield. 2. Events gated in 1 were refined to remove events with more than one cell or group of cells not in contact, that is, cases where multiple independent events were captured in flow in the same image. 3. Events gated in 2 were gated according to the intensity and aspect ratio of the CMFDA labelled amoebae. These parameters divided the events into ‘human cells not attached to amoebae’, ‘amoebae’, and clusters with more than one amoeba. Clusters with more than one amoeba were typically less than 2% of gated events, and were omitted from subsequent analyses due to the difficulty in obtaining independent measurements (that is, the extent of human cell internalization) on each individual amoeba present in a cluster. 4. Events gated in 3 as ‘amoebae’ were further examined to determine if human cells were present; events gated as ‘amoebae with human cells’ contained human cells. 5. Human cell positive events gated in 4 were further examined to determine if the human cells were internalized by using the internalization score feature to quantify the overlap between the DiD image and a mask based on the CMFDA image. An internalization score of 1 was used as the cutoff to gate ‘internalized human cells’. 6. ‘Internalized human cells’ from 5 were further examined to determine if the DiD label was intact or fragmented, by measuring the bright detail and maximum pixel intensity of the DiD image. Events were gated as ‘High’, ‘Mid’, or ‘Low’ according to the extent of fragmentation. 3a. To assess the viability of human cells in events not containing amoebae, ‘human cells not attached to amoebae’ gated in 3 were further examined to measure the intensity of live/dead violet staining and granularity (SSC, side scatter). Dead cells were gated as indicated, to define ‘dead human cells not attached to amoebae’. 4a. To assess the viability of human cells in events containing amoebae, ‘amoebae with human cells’ gated in 4 were further examined. Dead amoebae were first excluded by measuring the overlap between the live/dead violet image and a mask based on the CMFDA image. ‘Live amoebae’ were gated as indicated. 4b. Events gated in 4a that contained human cells and live amoebae (‘live amoebae’) were further examined to identify dead human cells by measuring the intensity of live/dead violet staining and granularity (SSC). Dead human cells were gated as indicated, to define ‘dead human cells attached to amoebae’.
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Extended Data Figure 6 | Incubation on ice or treatment with wortmannin inhibits amoebic trogocytosis and human cell killing. a–f, Imaging flow cytometry analysis with amoebae and human Jurkat cells that were incubated at 37 °C (control), or with amoebae and human Jurkat cells that were briefly centrifuged and incubated on ice; cells were co-incubated for 40 min.
a, Measurement of human cell internalization. b, Measurement of fragmentation of internalized human cellular material, gated from low to high.
c, Detection of dead human cells. Shown in d–f are means and standard deviations for biological replicates at each time point (10,000 events/replicate).
d–f, Measurement of internalization. g–j, Imaging flow cytometry analysis with amoebae treated with wortmannin. Shown are plots from T = 40 min. g, Measurement of fragmentation. h, Detection of dead cells. i, j, Means and standard deviations for biological triplicates (15,000 events/replicate). P values from t-tests: *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001.
Extended Data Figure 7 | Treatment with cytochalasin D or anti-Gal/GalNAc lectin blocking antibodies inhibits amoebic trogocytosis and human cell killing. a–f, Imaging flow cytometry analysis with amoebae treated with vehicle (control) or treated with cytochalasin D. Shown are plots from T = 40 min. a, Measurement of human cell internalization. b, Measurement of human cell fragmentation. c, Detection of dead human cells. Shown in d–f are means and standard deviations for biological replicates at each time point (10,000 events/replicate). g–l, Imaging flow cytometry analysis with amoebae treated with a control monoclonal antibody (7F4, control) directed to the amoebic Gal/GalNAc lectin epitope 3 (amino acids 1082–1138) or treated with a blocking monoclonal antibody (3F4, blocking) directed to the amoebic Gal/GalNAc lectin epitope 1 (amino acids 895–998). Mab 3F4 was previously shown to enhance adhesion to human cells, while inhibiting human cell killing. Shown are plots from T = 40 min. g, Measurement of human cell internalization. h, Measurement of human cell fragmentation. i, Detection of dead human cells. Shown in j–l are means and standard deviations for biological replicates at each time point (10,000 events/replicate). P values from t-tests: *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001.
Extended Data Figure 8 | Amoebic trogocytosis occurs with human Caco-2 colonic epithelial cells. Live confocal microscopy with human Caco-2 cells pre-labelled with DiD and CMFDA. Shown is an amoeba (A) in contact with a human cell (H) at time 0, and fragments (arrows) of DiD- and CMFDA-labelled human material ingested over time. Scale bar, 10 \( \mu \text{m} \). Images are representative of two independent experiments.
Extended Data Figure 9 | Amoebic trogocytosis precedes tissue invasion.

Live three-dimensional multiphoton microscopy with amoebae interacting with ex vivo mouse intestinal tissue, demonstrating that amoebic trogocytosis occurs before amoebic tissue invasion. Intestinal tissue was from the caecum of a mouse expressing membrane-targeted enhanced EGFP (yellow false colour) and amoebae were pre-labelled with calcein violet (blue). a, Time points from one x–y plane taken from the three-dimensional reconstruction of the live three-dimensional data. Note that ingestion of fragments (arrows) of mouse cells occurs before amoebic tissue invasion in the z-axis. This is visualized as loss of an amoeba (asterisk) from the x–y plane. b, The same time points as in panel a, shown as three-dimensional reconstructions. Shown is a subset of the total collected z-height. The same amoeba (asterisk/arrow) can be seen invading the tissue in the z-axis. Images are representative of three independent experiments.
Extended Data Figure 10 | Cell death occurs during tissue invasion.  

(a) Live confocal microscopy with amoebae interacting ex vivo mouse intestinal tissue, demonstrating that cell death occurs during tissue invasion. Amoebae were pre-labelled with calcein violet (blue) and incubated with mouse intestinal tissue from the caecum of a mouse expressing membrane-targeted enhanced EGFP (green), in the presence of SYTOX Orange. Dead cells are predominantly found adjacent to amoebae, which are undergoing amoebic trogocytosis. Images are representative of three independent experiments.

(b) Live multiphoton microscopy with amoebae interacting ex vivo mouse intestinal tissue, demonstrating that the parasites traverse the intestinal crypts, as has been demonstrated in studies using ex vivo human intestine\(^1\). Intestinal tissue was from the caecum of a mouse expressing membrane-targeted GFP (green) and amoebae were pre-labelled with calcein violet (blue). Parasites can be seen localized to the centre of crypts, shown in cross-section (brackets). Scale bars, 20 \(\mu\)m. Images are representative of three independent experiments.