Association between different combination of measures for obesity and new-onset gallstone disease
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Abstract

Background
Body mass index (BMI) is a calculation index of general obesity. Waist circumference (WC) is a measure of body-fat distribution and always used to estimate abdominal obesity. An important trait of general obesity and abdominal obesity is their propensity to coexist. Using one single measure of obesity could not estimate persons at risk for GSD precisely.

Objectives
This study aimed to compare the predictive values of various combination of measures for obesity (BMI, WC, waist to hip ratio) for new-onset GSD.

Methods
We prospectively studied the predictive values of various combination of measures for obesity for new-onset GSD in a cohort of 88,947 participants who were free of prior gallstone disease, demographic characteristics and biochemical parameters were recorded.

Results
4,329 participants were identified to have GSD among 88,947 participants during 713 345 person-years of follow-up. Higher BMI, WC and waist to hip ratio (WHtR) were significantly associated with higher risks of GSD in both genders even after adjustment for potential confounders. In males, the hazard ratio for the highest versus lowest BMI, WC, WHtR were 1.63(1.47~1.79), 1.53(1.40~1.68), 1.44(1.31~1.58), respectively. In females, the hazard ratio for the highest versus lowest BMI, WC, WHtR were 2.11(1.79~2.49), 1.85(1.55~2.22), 1.84(1.55~2.19), respectively. In male group, the combination of BMI+WC improved the predictive ability of the model more clearly than other combinations after adding them to the
multivariate model in turn, while for females the best predictive combination was BMI+WHtR.

Conclusions
Elevated BMI, WC and WHtR were independent risk factors for new-onset GSD in both sex groups after additional adjustment was made for potential confounders. In males, the combination of BMI+WC seemed to be the most predictable model to evaluate the effect of obesity on new-onset GSD, while the best combination in females was BMI+WHtR.

Introduction
Gallstone disease (GSD) is a worldwide disease of digestive system with multifactorial origins [1]. Most of the reported prevalence of GSD in Western counties ranged from 10–15%[2]. Research shows it affects 10%-15% adult population, meaning 20–25 million adults in America are afflicted with GSD[3], with medical cost for the treatment of almost 6.2 billion annually[4]. In 1989, it was reported that the prevalence of gallstones in China was 6.29% among 100,000 people[5]. Although the mortality rate for GSD is low at 0.6%, an increased overall mortality, particularly from cancer and cardiovascular disease in GSD patients, resulting in a heavy burden on the economy and public health services [6].

Several studies have shown that advancing age, female gender and ethnicity are risk factors for gallstone disease which cannot be modified[7]. Also, previous studies have proven that obesity is closely related to GSD, although the evidence is not consistent[8–11]. Body mass index (BMI; in Kg/m²) is a calculation index of general obesity which is frequently analyzed in the studies that concerned the relation between obesity and GSD[1–3]. However, growing evidence of comparison studies suggested that abdominal obesity was a more important risk factor for stones formation than general obesity[12,13]. Waist circumference(WC; in cm) is a measure of body-fat distribution and always used to estimate abdominal obesity[14,15]. Furthermore, a former study has already demonstrated that WC is positively correlated with height, waist-to-height ratio (WHtR) may be a better measure for abdominal obesity which is adjusted for height[16].

An important trait of general obesity and abdominal obesity is their propensity to coexist. This is owing partly to them sharing antecedent risk factors, also because one may directly predispose to the other[15]. Using one single measure of obesity could not estimate persons at risk for GSD precisely. It is highly doubted whether different combinations of measures for obesity have better predictive values than a single measure. Therefore, this study aimed to compare the predictive values of various combination of measures for obesity(BMI, WC, WHtR) for new-onset GSD.

Methods
Study design and population
Kailuan Study is a prospective population-based study in Kailuan community that is owned and managed by Kailuan Group in Tangshan city in northern China, and the study was designed to investigate risk factors for chronic disease. From July 2006 to October 2007, a total of 101,510 working and retired employees of the Kailuan Corporation underwent physical
examinations including questionnaire survey, clinical examination, and laboratory testing at the Kailuan General Hospital and its 10 affiliated hospitals.

In this study, we excluded participants who didn’t meet the criterion: participants with a history of GSD (n = 2,472), participants without measurement of BMI (n = 70) or WC (n = 46), missing data of ultrasonic examination (n = 37), participants with a prior history of cancer (n = 369), leaving 98,516 participants remained in the study cohort. Subsequent biennial follow-up screenings for the 98,516 participants were conducted until 31 December, 2015 with repeated questionnaires and physical examinations. We analyzed all participants who participated in at least two GSD screening, 9,569 failed to finish the series of assessment. Therefore, the total amount of participants in this study was 88,947. Those who lost to follow-up during the 8-year period were older (51.09±11.07 years versus 50.82±12.09 years, \( P < 0.001 \)), and have higher levels of BMI(26.17±4.10 Kg/m\(^2\) versus 25.07±3.46 Kg/m\(^2\), \( P < 0.001 \)) and exhibited higher total cholesterol concentrations(5.01±1.09 mmol/L versus 4.95±1.15 mmol/L, \( P < 0.001 \)) and higher prevalence of hypertension(4497(0.47) versus 37682(42.4), \( P < 0.001 \)), diabetes(871(9.1) versus 7632(8.6), \( P < 0.001 \)). The Ethics Committee of Kailuan General Hospital approved our study, in compliance with the Declaration of Helsinki. All participants or their legal representatives signed informed consent forms. The details of participants screening were shown in the Fig 1.

**Type-B ultrasonic examination and assessment of gallstone disease**

All participants were required to fast before examination, a panel of specialists examined the abdominal region (liver, gallbladder, pancreas and spleen in turn) of each participant, diagnosing GSD based on real-time ultrasound sonography (PHILIPS HD-15) with 3.5 MHz. GSD

![Fig 1. The procedure of participants screening.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0196457.g001)
was diagnosed according to the following criteria: cholecystic cavity exhibited a hyperechoic mass, with a stable shape; the rear of the hyperechoic mass exhibited a clear acoustic shadow; after changing position, the hyperechoic mass moved in the direction of gravity. In patients undergoing cholecystectomy for cholelithiasis, Type-B ultrasound was used to describe the circumstances of the common bile duct and whether a gallstone existed inside the liver or not, and "gallbladder removed for cholelithiasis" was recorded in such circumstances[17].

Physical examination and diagnostic criteria

Details of the collection of epidemiological data, anthropometric parameters were in accordance with the previous published articles by our group[18–20]. Basic data on height, weight, waist circumference, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure and other parameters were collected from all participants. BMI was measured as body weight in kilograms divided by the square of the body height in meters and was grouped into three categories, normal (BMI < 24.0 Kg/m²), overweight(24.0 < BMI < 28.0 Kg/m²), general obesity(BMI ≥ 28.0 Kg/m²). WC was measured with a tape measure midway between the lowest rib and the pelvis in position of expiration and was categorized into three groups by its tertiles. WHTR was measured as WC in cm divided by measured height in cm and was categorized into three groups by its tertiles. The diagnostic criteria for hypertension was in accordance with the World Health Organization: systolic blood pressure ≥ 140 mmHg and/or diastolic blood pressure ≥ 90 mmHg, diagnosed hypertension previously, had taken or was taking antihypertensive drugs. Smoking was defined as having smoked at least one cigarette per day on average for at least one year. Drinking status was defined as having 100ml/day (alcohol contents > 50%) of alcohol for more than one year. Exercise was defined as having ≥ 3 times weekly with each time lasting at least 30 minutes.

Laboratory examination parameters

Blood samples, after an overnight fast, were collected from cubital vein for 5ml at 7–9 am in the physical examination day. After separating and extracting serum, a same group of laboratory technicians tested the biochemistry analyzer (Hitachi 7600, Tokyo, Japan). The indicator included fasting blood glucose (FBG, in mmol/L), total cholesterol (TC, in mmol/L), triglyceride (TG, in mmol/L). The diagnostic criteria for diabetes was in accordance with the World Health Organization: FBG ≥ 7.0 mmol/L, previously diagnosed diabetes, had undergone or was undergoing hypoglycaemic therapy.

Statistical analysis

Data were input by data entry clerk of individual hospitals and transferred to Oracle 10g database located in Kailuan Hospital through Internet. Data in normal distribution were presented as mean ± standard deviation, and compared using one-way analysis of variance(ANOVA). The skewed distribution of data was described by median (interquartile range) and analyzed by the nonparametric. Categorical variables were described by percentage (N%) and chi-squared test was applied for the comparison of categorical variables. Pearson-years of follow-up were calculated as the time from baseline assessment to either the diagnosis of GSD or censoring, or end of follow-ups (December 31, 2015), whichever happened first. The incidence rates were calculated by dividing the number of events by person-years of follow-up. Cox proportional hazard model was used to calculate hazard ratios (HRs) and their 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for GSD. We created three multivariate-adjusted models. Model 1 was an univariate model (GSD status were defined as dependent variables, three prespecified categories of BMI, WC, WHTR were served as independent variables, respectively.). Model 2 was adjusted
for age based on model 1, Model 3 was further adjusted for age, TC, TG, diabetes(yes/no), hypertension(yes/no), current smoker(yes/no), drinking status (yes/no), physical activity (yes/no). The -2log L (likelihood ratio) and AIC (Akaike Information Criterion) were used as measures of the improvement of goodness of fit among models that added different combinations of measures for obesity to the multivariate model (Multivariate model included age, TG, TC, BMI, current smoker, drinking status, diabetes, hypertension, physical activity). Males and females were analyzed separately. Reported p values are two-sides, and all statistical computations were done using the SAS 9.4 statistical software.

Results

Analysis of general characteristics of participants stratified by sex

4,329 participants were identified to have GSD among 88,947 participants during 713,345 person-years of follow-up (The mean follow-up is 8.11±1.17 years). The overall incidence per 1000 person-years of GSD was 6.02 (4,329/713,345). The general characteristics of the participants stratified by sex were shown in Table 1. Males were older in age, larger in WC and higher in BMI, systolic blood pressure (SBP, in mmHg), diastolic blood pressure (DBP, in mmHg), FBG, and were more likely to have hypertension, diabetes. Male participants were associated with higher prevalence of current smoking, drinking status, and higher percentage of physical activity >4 times/week. While females were associated with higher concentrations of TC and TG.

Cox proportional hazard model of BMI, WC and WHtR for gallstone disease

After adjustment was made for traditional risk factors including age(year), TC(mmol/L), TG (mmol/L), diabetes(yes/no), hypertension(yes/no), current smoker(yes/no), drinking status (yes/no), physical activity(yes/no), the hazard ratios(HRs) of GSD indicated a clear trend for each sex group, where values increased as BMI, WC, WHtR increased. In males, the hazard ratios increased from 1.34(1.23~1.45) at 24.0 < BMI < 28.0 Kg/m² to 1.63(1.47~1.79) in BMI < 24 Kg/m² (BMI < 24Kg/m² served as the reference group), 1.27(1.15~1.40) at 84.0 < WC < 91.0

---

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the population stratified by sex.

|                      | Male       | Female     | Total      | F/X²   | P value |
|----------------------|------------|------------|------------|--------|---------|
| No. of participants  | 70 172     | 18 775     | 88 947     |        | —       |
| Age (Year)           | 51.44±12.21| 48.51±11.32| 50.82±12.09| F = 877.29| <0.001  |
| SBP (mmHg)           | 131.90±20.30| 124.35±20.89| 130.31±20.66| F = 2014.10 | <0.001  |
| DBP (mmHg)           | 84.42±11.63| 79.37±11.00| 83.36±11.68| F = 2845.46 | <0.001  |
| WC(cm)               | 87.99±9.51 | 82.91±10.67| 86.92±9.98 | F = 4012.24 | <0.001  |
| BMI(Kg/m²)           | 25.19±3.37 | 24.65±3.78 | 25.07±3.46 | F = 354.69  | <0.001  |
| FBG(mmol/L)          | 5.49±1.63  | 5.30±1.60  | 5.45±1.62  | F = 209.95  | <0.001  |
| TC(mmol/L)           | 4.95±1.16  | 4.98±1.08  | 4.95±1.15  | F = 10.38  | <0.001  |
| TG(mmol/L)           | 1.18(0.81~1.74)| 1.30(0.92~2.00)| 1.27(0.89~1.94)| X² = 642.39 | <0.001  |
| Current smoker(%)    | 26993(38.47)| 248(1.32)  | 27241(30.6)| X² = 9619.42 | <0.001  |
| Drinking status(%)   | 15779(22.49)| 87(0.46)   | 15866(17.8)| X² = 4901.75 | <0.001  |
| Diabetes(%)          | 6223(8.87) | 1409(7.50) | 7632(8.6)  | X² = 35.10  | <0.001  |
| Hypertension(%)      | 31816(35.77)| 5866(31.24)| 37682(42.4)| X² = 1205.40 | <0.001  |
| Physical activity(%) | 11063(15.77)| 2450(13.05)| 13513(15.2)| X² = 84.82  | <0.001  |

SBP: systolic blood pressure, DBP: diastolic blood pressure, WC: waist circumference, BMI: body-mass index, FBG: fasting blood glucose, TC: total cholesterol, TG: triglycerides.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0196457.t001
Obesity and gallstone disease

The improvements of fitness for different combinations of measures for obesity

The likelihood ratio test showed that all combinations of measures for obesity could improve the models’ goodness of fit when adding them to the traditional multivariate model which included age, TC, TG, current smoker, drinking status, diabetes, hypertension and physical activity. In male group, the combination of BMI+WC improved the predictive ability of the model more clearly than other combinations after adding them to the multivariate model in turn, while for females the best predictive combination was BMI+WHtR. The detailed results were shown in Table 5.

Discussion

Epidemiological studies have reported a steady increase in the incidence of chronic diseases such as hypertension, diabetes, coronary heart disease and gallstones disease with increasing

| Cases of GSD | Person-years | Model 1 | Model 2 | Model 3 |
|--------------|--------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Men          | Women        | Ref     | Ref     | Ref     |
| BMI<24       | BMI<28       | 1.35(1.25–1.47) | 1.63(1.48–1.79) | 1.34(1.23–1.45) |
| BMI<24       | BMI<28       | 1.36(1.26–1.48) | 1.68(1.53–1.85) | 1.36(1.23–1.45) |
| BMI<24       | BMI<28       | 1.34(1.23–1.45) | 1.63(1.47–1.79) | 1.34(1.23–1.45) |
| Men          | Women        | Ref     | Ref     | Ref     |
| WC<84        | WC<91        | 1.34(1.22–1.47) | 1.24(1.39–1.90) | 1.27(1.15–1.40) |
| WC<84        | WC<91        | 1.29(1.18–1.42) | 1.57(1.44–1.72) | 1.27(1.15–1.40) |
| WC<84        | WC<91        | 1.27(1.15–1.40) | 1.53(1.40–1.68) | 1.27(1.15–1.40) |

Note: Participants were divided by waist circumference (WC) tertiles in each sex.

Model 1, an univariate analysis.

Model 2, adjusted for age(year).

Model 3, adjusted for age(year), total cholesterol (mmol/L), triglycerides (mmol/L), current smoker(yes/no), drinking status(yes/no), diabetes(yes/no), hypertension (yes/no), physical activity (yes/no).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0196457.t005

Table 3. Hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence interval (CI) for risk of new-onset gallstone disease according to WC tertiles.
BMI, WC or WHtR\[16,21\]. BMI, a useful measure of overall obesity, does not distinguish between fat and lean body mass, may not serve as a perfect measure of obesity, particularly in older adults\[22\]. Therefore, abdominal obesity must be taken into consideration when studies specialized in relation between adiposity and GSD. Recently, researchers have found that magnetic resonance imaging and computed tomography showed a simple measurement such as WC was the best anthropometric correlate of the amount of visceral adipose tissue\[23\]. Also, growing evidence of comparison studies suggested that WHtR was the best predictor for metabolic diseases\[24\]. It is doubted whether predictive values varied among different combinations of measures for obesity (BMI, WC, WHtR) in the same model which evaluate the effect of obesity on new-onset GSD.

In this large prospective cohort study, we found that higher concentrations of BMI, WC and WHtR\[2,21\]. BMI, a useful measure of overall obesity, does not distinguish between fat and lean body mass, may not serve as a perfect measure of obesity, particularly in older adults\[22\]. Therefore, abdominal obesity must be taken into consideration when studies specialized in relation between adiposity and GSD. Recently, researchers have found that magnetic resonance imaging and computed tomography showed a simple measurement such as WC was the best anthropometric correlate of the amount of visceral adipose tissue\[23\]. Also, growing evidence of comparison studies suggested that WHtR was the best predictor for metabolic diseases\[24\]. It is doubted whether predictive values varied among different combinations of measures for obesity (BMI, WC, WHtR) in the same model which evaluate the effect of obesity on new-onset GSD.

In this large prospective cohort study, we found that higher concentrations of BMI, WC and WHtR were significantly associated with higher risks of GSD in both sex groups even after adjustment was made for potential confounders. Study conducted in the US had found women with BMI\(>32.0\)Kg/m\(^2\) could expect a sixfold increase in the risk of GSD compared to

| Table 4. Hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence interval (CI) for risk of new onset gallstones disease according to WHtR tertiles. |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Men | Women |
| **Cases of GSD** | **WHtR<0.49** | **0.49<WHtR<0.54** | **WHtR>0.54** | **WHtR<0.49** | **0.49<WHtR<0.54** | **WHtR>0.54** |
| **Person-years** | 169036 | 204826 | 188958 | 52771 | 45443 | 52309 |
| **Model 1** | Ref | 1.30(1.19–1.43) | 1.73(1.58–1.89) | Ref | 1.64(1.38–1.96) | 2.50(2.13–2.93) |
| **Model 2** | Ref | 1.22(1.11–1.34) | 1.48(1.35–1.62) | Ref | 1.42(1.19–1.70) | 1.94(1.63–2.29) |
| **Model 3** | Ref | 1.20(1.09–1.32) | 1.44(1.31–1.58) | Ref | 1.39(1.16–1.66) | 1.84(1.55–2.19) |

Note: Participants were divided by waist-to-height ratio (WHtR) tertiles in each sex (Both sexes have the same cut-off value).

Model 1, an univariate analysis.
Model 2, adjusted for age(year).
Model 3, adjusted for age(year), total cholesterol (mmol/L), triglycerides (mmol/L), current smoker(yes/no), drinking status(yes/no), diabetes(yes/no), hypertension (yes/no), physical activity (yes/no).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0196457.t004

| Table 5. Increases in goodness of fit by progressively adding to multivariate model. |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Men | Women |
| **Multivariate (Ref)** | -2 Log L | AIC | -2 Log L | AIC |
| Multivariate +BMI | 70818 | 70840 | Multivariate +BMI | 20026 | 20048 |
| Multivariate +WC | 70830 | 70852 | Multivariate +WC | 20057 | 20079 |
| Multivariate +WHtR | 70857 | 70879 | Multivariate +WHtR | 20054 | 20076 |
| Multivariate +BMI +WC | 70795 | 70831 | Multivariate +BMI +WC | 20019 | 20045 |
| Multivariate +BMI +WHtR | 70810 | 70836 | Multivariate +BMI +WHtR | 20018 | 20044 |
| Multivariate +WC +WHtR | 70830 | 70856 | Multivariate +WC +WHtR | 20052 | 20078 |

Note: **-2 Log L**: likelihood ratio, AIC: Akaike Information Criterion.
The difference of **–2 Log L** value of 3.8 corresponds with **P** value of 0.05, 6.6 to 0.01, and 10.8 to 0.001.

Multivariate model included age(year), total cholesterol (mmol/L), triglycerides (mmol/L), current smoker(yes/no), drinking status(yes/no), diabetes(yes/no), hypertension(yes/no), physical activity (yes/no).
BMI: body mass index, WC: waist circumstance, WHtR: waist-to-height ratio.
#: The best predictable model in men.
*: The best predictable model in women.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0196457.t005
those at BMI < 20.0 Kg/m², while studies concerned about Japanese men or Taiwanese men failed to find such a relationship [1,20,21]. However, an inverse relationship between BMI and GSD had been reported in several epidemiologic studies [25–27]. Abdominal obesity was also shown to be a powerful predictor of GSD. Heaton KW et al reported that the waist to hip ratio, not body mass index, was significantly associated with GSD [28]. A population-based study of 29,847 US men in which ultrasonography was used to assess GSD confirmed a positive relation between WC and new-onset GSD [16]. But, other studies failed to find such an association [29,30]. Those discrepancies may be due to different epidemiological approaches, ethnicity and genders.

There has been a huge interest in the development of effective strategies to recognize persons at risk for GSD. Traditional risk factors such as age, sex, obesity, diabetes mellitus have been used in several studies that concerned about the risks of GSD worldwide [1–3]. The relationship between obesity and GSD is a major public health concern. New methods that evaluate the obesity should be tested in prospective cohort studies for effects in prediction models. Improving our ability to assess risk more accurately so that the most appropriate follow-up and care can be provided. As with our results, the combination of BMI+WC seemed to be the most predictable model for new-onset GSD in male participants, while the best combination in females were BMI+WHtR. It was not surprising to find that BMI was included in both sex groups, indicating that general obesity was essential for stones formation in both sex groups. But the measures of abdominal obesity were not the same. WC was found to be a better measure in males. As for female, WHtR was the best measure. Different obesity types as well as abdominal fat distribution between men and women may explain this discrepancy [31].

The possible pathogenesis for the close association between obesity and GSD are complex and not fully understood. However, cholesterol-supersaturated bile obese participants and the gallbladder volume was observed to be larger in obese patients. Also, the activities of the rate-limiting enzyme of cholesterol synthesis that could cause cholesterol supersaturation and secreted into the bile duct, thus contributing to gallstone formation.

The composition of gallstones in Asian is considered to be mainly pigment stones, which is different from that of Western countries [32–34]. Nevertheless, the composition might change with dietary diet and lifestyle. Cholesterol stones that considered to be related to obesity, diabetes, or dyslipidemia, sex hormone estrogen are common among Western population. In contrast, pigment stones that may be related to bacterial infection, hemolysis, or liver disease rather than hormone factors are common in Asia [35]. The changes in diet and lifestyle have been linked to the increased prevalence of gallstones as exemplified by Japan: The frequency of GSD doubled in the late 1940s, in association with the occurrence a change in stone’s composition from pigment to cholesterol and a reversal in the sex ratio, which women become the predominant gender diagnosed, was also seen [36]. In our study, sex and obesity are observed to be related to GSD. Could this mean the composition of stones have also changed in China?

The strength of this study was: the gallbladder was unselectively evaluated by ultrasonography in a defined population, its large sample size and high participation rates during an eight-year period. However, several limitations should be noticed in our study. First, ultrasonography is regarded as a safe, accurate, and convenient tool to evaluate the presence of gallstone disease in epidemiological surveys, but stone types cannot be provided. Second, female gender is an established risk factor for GSD, and pregnancies and the frequency of oral contraceptives were founded to be positively related to GSD. however, there are no data considering the number of pregnancies and the frequency of oral contraceptives. Third, the majority of the participants were from the Kailuan Mine Corporation, with more males than females in the study, but the bias concerning sex can be minimized as men and women were studied separately. Finally, the characteristics of the general participants significantly differed from those of non-
respondents, indicating that the participants who did not complete the follow up might have severe GSD.

**Conclusions**

Our study corroborated that elevated BMI, WC and WHtR were independent risk factors for new-onset GSD in both sex groups after additional adjustment was made for potential confounders. In males, the combination of BMI+WC seemed to be the most predictable model to evaluate the effect of obesity on new-onset GSD, while the best combination in females were BMI+WHt.
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