Luteal estradiol supplementation in gonadotropin-releasing hormone antagonist cycles for infertile patients in vitro fertilization
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Objectives: To evaluate the effect of the addition of estradiol to luteal progesterone supplementation in GnRH antagonist cycles for infertile patients undergoing IVF/ICSI.

Methods: One hundred and ten infertile patients, aged 28 to 39 years, were recruited for this prospective randomized study. They were randomly assigned to receive vaginal progesterone gel (Crinone) along with 4 mg estradiol valerate (group 1, n = 55) or only Crinone (group 2, n = 55) for luteal support. A GnRH antagonist multiple dose protocol using recombinant human FSH was used for controlled ovarian stimulation (COS) in all of the subjects. The COS results and pregnancy outcomes of the two groups were compared.

Results: Group 1 and 2 were comparable with respect to the patient characteristics. The COS and IVF results were also comparable between the two groups. There were no differences in the clinical pregnancy rate (PR) and multiple PR between the two groups. However, the embryo implantation rate were significantly higher in group 1 than that in group 2 (22.2% vs. 13.3%, P = 0.035). The incidence of luteal vaginal bleeding (LVB) was significantly lower in group 1 (7.4% vs. 27.8%, P = 0.010).

Conclusion: The addition of estradiol to luteal progesterone supplementation in GnRH antagonist cycles reduces the incidence of LVB and increases the embryo implantation rate in infertile patients undergoing IVF/ICSI.
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Introduction

Luteal phase supplementation after controlled ovarian stimulation (COS) for IVF-ET has been current practice, because stimulated IVF cycles are associated with luteal phase defect (LPD) due to very low LH concentration after COS [1].

Luteal supplementation is important for successful embryo implantation after COS for IVF. Progesterone supplementation in the luteal phase after COS is widely accepted, and the role of progesterone in luteal support in COS cycles is well established. However, it has been shown that mid-luteal estradiol levels decrease under progesterone supplementation alone. This might be associated with a decrease in pregnancy rates [2]. In addition, luteal vaginal bleeding (LVB) can develop more frequently in patients supplemented with progesterone vaginal gel, or vaginal suppositories containing micronized progesterone compared with those who receive intramuscular progesterone [3], although it is very easy and convenient to use progesterone vaginal gel. The importance of estradiol levels during the luteal phase.
or the addition of luteal estradiol to progesterone supplementation for luteal support in the IVF cycle is controversial [4].

This prospective, randomized study was performed to evaluate the effect of the addition of estradiol to luteal vaginal suppositories containing micronized progesterone supplementation in GnRH antagonist cycles for infertile patients undergoing IVF/ICSI.

Material and methods

1. Patient population

This prospective randomized study was performed at a university-based infertility clinic at Asan Medical Center, Seoul, Korea. The study population consisted of 110 infertile patients who had undergone 110 IVF cycles. Patients were randomized to receive progesterone vaginal gel (Crinone 8%, Merck-Serono SA, Geneva, Switzerland), progesterone vaginal gel along with estradiol valerate (Progynova, Bayer-Schering, Berlin, Germany) (group 1) or only progesterone vaginal gel (group 2). They were in good health with normal thyroid, hepatic and renal functioning. The Institutional Review Board of our center approved the study (2006-0446) and all of the patients provided written informed consent.

2. Ovarian stimulation protocols

The GnRH antagonist multiple dose protocol (MDP) using recombinant human follicle stimulating hormone FSH (rhFSH) was used for COS in all of the subjects. On cycle day 3, ovarian stimulation was commenced using rhFSH (Gonal-F, Merck-Serono SA) of 150 to 225 IU/day after establishing ovarian and uterine quiescence using vaginal ultrasound. The rhFSH dose was adjusted according to the ovarian response, every 3 to 4 days. GnRH antagonist (Cetrotide, 0.25 mg; Merck-Serono SA) was started when the leading follicle reached an average of 14 mm in diameter, and was continued daily until the day of hCG administration. Recombinant hCG (rhCG, Ovidrel, Merck-Serono SA) of 250 μg was injected to induce follicular maturation when one or more follicles reached a mean diameter of ≥ 18 mm. Oocyte retrieval was performed 35 to 36 hours after hCG injection and one to three embryos were transferred into the uterus on the third day after oocyte retrieval. For group 1, 90 mg of vaginal progesterone gel (Crinone 8%) once daily and estradiol valerate orally 4 mg daily were administrated for luteal support from the day of oocyte retrieval. For group 2, 1 out of 55 cycles initiated (1.8%) was cancelled due to ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome (OHSS). There was no significant difference in the cycle cancellation rate between the two groups. To compare the mean values between the two groups, the Student’s t-test was used.

3. Statistical analysis

The mean value was expressed as the mean ± SD. The Student’s t-test was used to compare the mean values between the two groups. The chi-squared test and Fisher’s exact test were used for the comparison of fractions, where applicable. Statistical significance was defined as p < 0.05. All analyses were performed using the SPSS ver. 12.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

Results

Group 1 and 2 were comparable with respect to the patient’s characteristics such as the age of patients, infertility duration, the proportion of nullipara, body mass index (BMI), antral follicle count (AFC) and basal serum FSH level (Table 1).

Each group 1 and 2 consisted of 55 cycles initiated corresponding to 55 patients. In group 1, 1 out of 55 cycles initiated (1.8%) was cancelled before ET, because no oocytes were obtained despite a follicular aspiration for oocyte retrieval. In group 2, 1 out of 55 cycles initiated (1.8%) was cancelled after oocyte retrieval due to a high risk of ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome (OHSS). There was no significant difference in the cycle cancellation rate between the two groups. Ta-

Table 1. Patient characteristics

| Characteristic | Group 1 (E+P) | Group 2 (P) | p-value |
|---------------|-------------|------------|---------|
| No. of patients | 55          | 55         |        |
| Age of patients (yr) | 37.3 ± 3.6  | 36.7 ± 3.5 | NS<sup>a</sup> |
| Age of husband (yr) | 42.5 ± 5.2  | 41.6 ± 4.9 | NS<sup>a</sup> |
| Infertility duration (mo) | 48.5 ± 24.5 | 45.3 ± 26.8 | NS<sup>a</sup> |
| Patients with primary infertility (%) | 20 (36.4) | 18 (32.7) | NS<sup>b</sup> |
| Body mass index (kg/m²) | 21.8 ± 1.9 | 21.6 ± 2.0 | NS<sup>a</sup> |
| Antral follicle count | 12.8 ± 2.6 | 13.1 ± 3.0 | NS<sup>a</sup> |
| Basal serum FSH (IU/L) | 7.2 ± 1.9 | 7.0 ± 2.0 | NS<sup>a</sup> |
| Etiology of infertility (%) | | | |
| Male factor | 20 (36.4) | 19 (34.5) | NS<sup>a</sup> |
| Tubal/peritoneal | 22 (40.0) | 21 (38.2) | NS<sup>a</sup> |
| Others | 6 (10.9) | 7 (12.7) | NS<sup>a</sup> |
| Unexplained | 7 (12.7) | 8 (14.5) | NS<sup>a</sup> |

Values are presented as mean ± SD or number (%).

E<sub>e</sub>, estradiol; P, progesterone; FSH, follicle stimulating hormone; NS, not significant.

<sup>a</sup>Student’s t-test; <sup>b</sup>Chi-squared test or Fisher’s exact test.
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Table 2. Comparison of controlled ovarian stimulation results and IVF outcome

| Characteristic                        | Group 1 (E+P) | Group 2 (P) | p-value |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|-------------|---------|
| No. of cycles initiated              | 55            | 55          | -       |
| No. of cycles retrieved              | 55            | 55          | -       |
| No. of ET cycles                     | 54            | 54          | -       |
| No. of cycles cancelled              | (1.8)         | (1.8)       | NS      |
| No. of cycles with ICSI              | (43.6)        | (40.0)      | NS      |
| Total dose of rFSH (IU)              | 1,811.0 ± 412.1 | 1,799.5 ± 433.6 | NS |
| Days of rFSH                         | 10.1 ± 2.3    | 10.0 ± 2.1  | NS      |
| No. of oocytes retrieved             | 10.6 ± 2.7    | 10.9 ± 2.9  | NS      |
| No. of mature oocytes                | 9.7 ± 2.6     | 9.8 ± 2.7   | NS      |
| No. of fertilized oocytes            | 9.6 ± 2.6     | 9.6 ± 2.7   | NS      |
| No. of grade I, II embryos           | 4.1 ± 1.4     | 4.0 ± 1.6   | NS      |
| No. of embryos frozen                | 2.1 ± 1.3     | 1.9 ± 1.1   | NS      |
| No. of embryos transferred           | 2.6 ± 0.2     | 2.7 ± 0.2   | NS      |
| Clinical PR per ET cycle (%)         | 48.1 (26/54)  | 37.0 (20/54) | NS |
| Multiple PR per clinical pregnancy (%)| 30.8 (8/26)   | 15.0 (3/20) | NS      |
| Implantation rate (%)                | 26.0 (38/146) | 15.8 (24/152) | 0.033 |
| Luteal vaginal bleeding (%)           | 5.6 (3/54)    | 24.1 (13/54) | 0.013 |

Values are presented as mean ± SD or number (%).

IVF, in vitro fertilization; E, estradiol; P, progesterone; ET, embryo transfer; ICSI, intracytoplasmic sperm injection; rFSH, recombinant human follicle stimulating hormone; PR, pregnancy rate; NS, not significant.

‘Chi-squared test or Fisher’s exact test; ‘Student’s t-test.

Discussion

COS has contributed to improving assisted reproductive technology (ART) outcomes. However, COS frequently results in luteal phase defect (LPD). The luteal function could be attributed to COS, resultant altered hormone levels and the process of oocyte retrieval. The elevation of serum estradiol to supraphysiologic levels by COS was prone to alter endometrial receptivity by causing an imbalance of the estradiol/progesterone ratio. Follicular fluid aspiration for oocyte retrieval may disrupt and reduce the number of granulosa cells undergoing luteinization, thereby diminishing the corpus luteal function and reducing progesterone levels. Luteal function can be suppressed by the direct effect of GnRH agonist on the corpus luteum in a GnRH agonist long protocol [5]. Applying GnRH antagonist co-treatment in IVF cycles has also shown that luteolysis is initiated prematurely, resulting in a significant reduction in the length of the luteal phase [5]. In these conditions, LPD can be overcome by supplementation of hCG or progesterone, a concept referred to as luteal phase support, and this modality has been the standard for luteal phase support since late the 1980s [6]. A recent meta-analysis demonstrated that the effect of hCG is comparable to progesterone for luteal phase support with respect to clinical PR [6]. Nevertheless, progesterone is often favored, because hCG is closely related to the development of OHSS. Various preparations of progesterone including oral, intramuscular (IM) and vaginal forms are currently available. A recent meta-analysis investigating possible differences in ART outcomes between the different progesterone preparations have shown that IM and vaginal progesterone are equally effective for luteal phase support [7]. IM progesterone is often associated with many side effects such as painful injection, skin rash, urticaria and inflammatory reactions. Therefore, vaginal progesterone is frequently favored. However, a few studies have reported a higher incidence of LVB in patients supplemented with the vaginal progesterone gel, Crinone compared with those supplemented with IM progesterone [3]. The clinical significance of LVB remains unclear. In patients who received only Crinone in our study, LVB occurred more frequently in the nonpregnant subgroup than in the pregnant subgroup (35.3% vs. 5.0%, respectively). In addition, in patients who received Crinone with estradiol in our study, LVB occurred more frequently in the nonpregnant subgroup than in the pregnant subgroup (7.1% vs. 3.8%, respectively). In a recent study, Yanushpolsky et al. [8] reported the incidence of LVB in pregnant patients who were supplemented with estradiol was significantly lower than in those who were supplemented with only progesterone (10% vs. 23.9%, respectively). It is unknown whether LVB is the cause or result of embryo implantation failure. However, LVB may be an ominous sign of implantation failure and be disquieting to both patients and physicians. Therefore, an effort to reduce
LVB is needed. Actually, in the present study, the addition of estradiol valerate to luteal Crinone supplementation in GnRH antagonist cycles significantly reduced the incidence of LVB, while also increasing the embryo implantation rate.

Today evidence is mounting that COS is associated with the occurrence of an abnormal luteal phase with characteristic features of decreased production of estradiol and progesterone levels and significantly reduced luteal phase length. Therefore, the addition of estradiol to progesterone supplementation may be more effective for luteal phase support compared with progesterone supplementation alone. Several clinical trials have investigated the effect of adding estradiol to progesterone during luteal phase support in the ART cycle. These studies differed in the type of COS protocol, dose and type of estradiol, and type of progesterone used. A recent randomized controlled trial (RCT) evaluating the effect of adding oral estradiol to luteal progesterone in GnRH agonist down-regulation cycles showed no benefits of adding estradiol. In this recent RCT, the serum progesterone concentrations on day 7, 10, and 12 after ET were similar in the luteal estradiol addition group and luteal progesterone only group [9]. On the other hand, Farhi et al. [10] reported that estradiol supplementation during the luteal phase improved the PR and implantation rates in patients who were treated with a long GnRH agonist protocol for COS. In addition, a RCT by Ghanem et al. [11] demonstrated that luteal estradiol addition in long GnRH agonist protocol cycles resulted in a significantly higher clinical PR and implantation rate in patients who underwent ICSI. In our present study, only GnRH antagonist MDP cycles were included. Although clinical PR per cycle initiated was higher in the estradiol addition group in comparison to the Crinone only group, this difference did not achieve statistical significance. However, the addition of estradiol to luteal Crinone supplementation significantly reduced the incidence of LVB and increased the embryo implantation rate. These results support that estradiol has an active role in the implantation process and reduced estradiol levels during the luteal phase leading to a reduced chance of conception.

In conclusion, LVB during Crinone supplementing the luteal phase may be related to the embryo implantation failure in GnRH agonist protocol IVF/ICSI cycles and therefore an effort to reduce LVB may be required. Furthermore, the addition of estradiol to luteal Crinone supplementation in GnRH antagonist cycles may reduce the incidence of LVB and increase the implantation rate in infertile patients undergoing IVF/ICSI.
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