Detection of Incidental Prostate Cancer or Urothelial Carcinoma Extension in Urinary Bladder Cancer Patients by Using Multiparametric MRI: A Retrospective Study Using Prostate Imaging Reporting and Data System Version 2.0
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Purpose The study aimed to investigate the role of Prostate Imaging Reporting and Data System version 2 (PI-RADS v2) in predicting incidental prostate cancer (PCa) or urothelial carcinoma (UCa) extension in urinary bladder (UB) cancer patients.

Materials and Methods A total of 72 UB cancer patients who underwent radical cystoprostatectomy and 3 Tesla multiparametric MRI before surgery were enrolled. PI-RADS v2 ratings were assigned by two independent radiologists. All prostate specimens were examined by a single pathologist. We compared the multiparametric MRI findings rated using PI-RADS v2 with the pathologic data.

Results Of the 72 UB cancer patients, 29 had incidental PCa (40.3%) and 20 showed UCa exten-
sion (27.8%), with an overlap for 3 patients. With a score of 4 as the cut-off value for predicting incidental PCa, the diagnostic accuracy was 65.3%, specificity was 90.7%, and positive predictive value (PPV) was 66.7%. The diagnostic accuracy for incidental UCa extension was 47.2%, specificity was 92.3%, and PPV was 83.3%.

**Conclusion** Despite the low diagnostic accuracy, the PPV and specificity were relatively high. Therefore, PI-RADS v2 scores of 1, 2, or 3 may help exclude the probability of incidental PCa or UCa extension.

**Index terms** Cystectomy; Magnetic Resonance Imaging; Prostate Cancer; Urinary Bladder Cancer

**INTRODUCTION**

The gold standard treatment for localized high-grade muscle-invasive carcinoma of the bladder is radical cystoprostatectomy (CPT), and this includes the removal of the prostate, seminal vesicles, and vas deferens in male patients (1). Radical CPT can cause functional morbidities such as urinary incontinence or erectile dysfunction (2). It is known that the prevalence of incidental prostate cancer (PCa) far exceeds that of clinically detected disease (3). The prostate is involved in urothelial carcinoma (UCa) in up to 48% of patients undergoing radical CPT for urinary bladder (UB) cancer (4). In addition, PCa is detected incidentally in up to 40% of UB cancer patients, and up to 50% of incidental PCa cases are clinically significant (3).

The updated Prostate Imaging Reporting and Data System version 2 (PI-RADS v2) was established and standardized for the interpretation and systematic reporting of prostate MRI (5, 6). The main purpose of PI-RADS v2 is the efficient and reproducible detection of clinically significant PCa with multiparametric MRI (mpMRI) (5, 6). Several studies have demonstrated the use of PI-RADS v2 in the evaluation of PCa (7). However, no studies have reported the use of PI-RADS v2 for detecting incidental PCa or UCa extension of the prostate in UB cancer patients. To the best of our knowledge, our study is the first to compare the MRI rated by PI-RADS v2 with the pathologic data of UB cancer patients. We hypothesized that PI-RADS v2 could help identify the presence of incidental PCa or UCa extension by using it as a valid screening protocol for the risk stratification of occult prostatic malignancy (incidental PCa or UCa extension of the prostate) in UB cancer patients. We used PI-RADS v2 to retrospectively detect incidental PCa or UCa extension of the prostate in patients undergoing radical CPT for UB cancer and compared the results with histopathologic findings. Based on the results, we determined whether PI-RADS v2 could help predict incidental PCa or UCa extension of the prostate in UB cancer.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**STUDY SUBJECTS**

The Institutional Review Board of our institution approved this retrospective study and waived the requirement for informed consent owing to the retrospective design of the study (EUMC 2019-05-018).
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A total of 72 consecutive male UB cancer patients who were scheduled to undergo radical CPT and had no history of PCa, normal digital rectal exam before surgery were enrolled in this study between October 2016 and December 2017 at a single institution. Preoperatively, mpMRI and serum prostate-specific antigen (PSA) assay were performed for all enrolled UB cancer patients. In addition, radical CPT with bilateral pelvic lymphadenectomy was performed.

HISTOPATHOLOGIC EVALUATION
Radical CPT specimens were immersed intact in formalin solution. Complete transverse sections were taken from the apex to the base at 4 mm intervals. All prostates were examined by a single pathologist. The 2002 TNM classification system was used to determine the pathologic stage. The Gleason score (GS), presence of extracapsular extension, and evidence of seminal vesicle invasion were assessed. According to the Epstein criteria, clinically insignificant cancer is defined as GS ≤ 6, organ-confined tumor (category < T3), and tumor volume < 0.5 cc based on the pathologic findings of the surgical specimen (8).

IMAGING ACQUISITION AND ANALYSIS
Preoperative mpMRI was performed with 3.0 Tesla MRI (Achieva; Philips Healthcare System, Gainesville, FL, USA) for UB cancer staging and incidental PCa detection. The patients were examined in the supine position using a 16-channel SENSE-XL-Torso coil (In Vivo; Philips Healthcare System). The MRI protocol was composed of routine T1-weighted imaging, T2-weighted imaging, diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI), and dynamic contrast-enhanced (DCE) imaging. After obtaining three-plane localizer images, T2-weighted images were obtained in the axial, sagittal, and coronal planes. The imaging parameters were as follows: repetition time (TR)/echo time (TE), 2900–3800/80–100 ms; slice thickness, 3 mm; interslice gap, 1 mm; matrix, 520 × 247; field of view (FOV), 240 × 240 mm; number of signals acquired (NSA), 3. Axial T1-weighted turbo spin-echo images (4 mm slice thickness) were acquired to assess the lymph nodes and the pelvic bone. Axial DWI was performed using the single-shot echo-planar imaging technique with the following parameters: TR/TE, 5000–6000/ 76.39–63.96 ms; b values of 0, 100, and 1000 s/mm²; matrix, 124 × 124; slice thickness, 4.0–5.0 mm; interslice gap, 0 mm; number of excitations, 8.0; FOV, 250 × 250. The direction of the phase-encoding gradient was from the left to right to minimize motion artifacts. Apparent diffusion coefficient maps were automatically constructed on a pixel-by-pixel basis using the manufacturer’s software.

For axial DCE-MRI, fat-saturated T1-weighted fast-field-echo images (echo-planar imaging) with a temporal resolution of 4–7 s were acquired before and after a bolus injection of gadolinium diethylenetriamine pentaacetic acid (Gadavist; Bayer Schering Pharma, Berlin, Germany) at a rate of 2–3 mL/s through a power injector at a dose of 0.1 mmol/kg body weight, followed by a 20 mL saline flush. The imaging parameters were as follows: TR/TE, 400–700/8–
10 ms; flip angle, 90°; matrix, 512 × 256; slice thickness, 4 mm; interslice gap, no; FOV, 240 × 240 mm; NSA, 1. DCE-MRI was performed from the apex to the base of the prostate. Before MRI, 20 mg of butylscopolamine (Buscopan; Boehringer Ingelheim, Ingelheim am Rhein, Germany) was injected intramuscularly to suppress bowel peristalsis.

All of the MR images were archived in a PACS (PathSpeed Workstation; GE Healthcare, Chicago, IL, USA) for image interpretation. All MR images were retrospectively reviewed by two experienced radiologists (19 and 3 years of experience in prostate MRI). They were blinded to pathologic results; however, the readers were aware that all patients had undergone radical CPT for UB cancer. They evaluated the PI-RADS v2 score (S) for the peripheral zone, transitional zone and the highest score of PI-RADS v2 was assigned for each patient (Figs. 1, 2).

Fig. 1. A 55-year-old man with pT2b urinary bladder cancer and clinically significant PCa, a Gleason score of 7 (4 + 3) based on the surgical specimen, and a baseline prostate-specific antigen level of 1.13 ng/mL.
A. Axial T2-weighted MR image shows a 1.3-cm lenticular-shaped lesion (arrow) with an indistinct margin and a moderately hypointense lesion in the right anterior transition zone at the base level (T2-weighted imaging score: 4).
B, C. High b-value (b = 1400 s/mm²) diffusion-weighted MR image (B) and ADC map (C) showing a markedly hyperintense lesion on DWI (arrow on B) and a markedly hypointense lesion on the ADC map (arrow on C) (DWI-ADC imaging score: 4). Since T2-weighted MR imaging is the primary method for assessing transition zone abnormalities, this focal lesion was assigned a PI-RADS v2 score of 4.
D. Pathologic specimen show clinically significant PCa (arrow).
ADC = apparent diffusion coefficient, DWI = diffusion-weighted imaging, MR = magnetic resonance, PCa = prostate cancer, PI-RADS v2 = Prostate Imaging Reporting and Data System version 2
The prevalence of incidental PCa and UCa extension of the prostate in the radical CPT specimens was assessed, and the clinical significance of these cancers was determined. Then, the clinical characteristics of these patients were examined, and the relationship between clinical parameters including age, PSA, and pathologic information was determined. Differences were determined by chi-square test ($p < 0.01$).

The patients were grouped according to their pathologic results (normal prostate or hyperplastic prostate, prostatitis, incidental PCa, UCa extension of the prostate). Subsequently, the MRI rated by PI-RADS v2 was compared with the patient pathologic results, and the diagnostic accuracy, specificity, sensitivity, positive predictive value (PPV), and negative predictive value (NPV) were calculated using S3 or S4 as a cut-off value for cancer prediction. SPSS for Win-
dows version 12.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used for all statistical analyses, and \( p < 0.05 \) was considered significant.

## RESULTS

### PATIENT CHARACTERISTICS

The clinicopathological characteristics of the 72 patients are summarized in Table 1. Radical CPT with neobladder formation or ileal conduit formation was performed for all 72 patients. The mean age and preoperative PSA level of the patients were 65.1 ± 10.2 years and 2.42 ± 2.34 ng/mL, respectively. Of the 72 UB cancer patients, the overall incidence of incidental PCa or UCa extension of the prostate was 63.4% (46 patients). And 29 patients (40.3%) had incidental PCa, which was diagnosed after surgery. The mean age of patients with incidental PCa and without incidental PCa was 68.0 ± 9.3 years and 63.3 ± 10.4 years, respectively, and this difference was significant \( (p = 0.017) \). The mean serum PSA level of patients with incidental PCa was similar to that of patients without incidental PCa (2.50 ± 2.66 ng/mL and 2.36 ± 2.13 ng/mL, respectively) \( (p = 0.036) \). UCa extension of the prostate was identified

| Parameters | Total | Incidental PCa | p-Value |
|------------|-------|----------------|---------|
| No. of patients (%) | 72 (100) | 43 (59.7) | 29 (40.3) |
| Age, years | | | |
| Median (SD) | 68 (37–84) | 64 (37–84) | 70 (44–83) |
| Mean (SD) | 63.1 (10.2) | 63.3 (10.4) | 68.0 (9.3) | 0.017 |
| PSA, ng/mL | | | |
| Median (SD) | 1.32 (< 0.1–11.26) | 1.21 (< 0.1–9.29) | 1.58 (0.25–11.26) |
| Mean (SD) | 2.42 (2.34) | 2.36 (2.13) | 2.50 (2.66) | 0.036 |

PCa = prostate cancer, PSA = prostate-specific antigen, SD = standard deviation

### Table 2. Baseline Characteristics of the Patients with Incidental PCa \( (n = 29) \)

| Parameters | Total | Clinically significant PCa | p-Value |
|------------|-------|----------------------------|---------|
| No. of patients (%) | 29 (100) | 16 (55.2) | 13 (44.8) |
| Age, years | | | |
| Median (SD) | 70 (44–83) | 69.5 (54–79) | 73 (44–83) |
| Mean (SD) | 67.97 (9.25) | 67.94 (7.22) | 68.0 (11.6) | 0.012 |
| PSA, ng/mL | | | |
| Median (SD) | 1.58 (< 0.1–11.26) | 2.27 (< 0.1–9.29) | 1.22 (0.25–11.26) |
| Mean (SD) | 2.50 (2.66) | 2.87 (2.47) | 2.14 (2.89) | 0.140 |

Gleason score

| 6 | 17 |
| 7 | 11 |
| 8–10 | 1 |

PCa = prostate cancer, PSA = prostate-specific antigen, SD = standard deviation
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in 20 patients (27.8%), with an overlap with incidental PCa for 3 of these patients.

And of these 29 patients with incidental PCa, clinically significant PCa was found in 13 patients (44.8%) (18.1% of all UB cancer patients; Table 2). The mean age of patients with clinically significant PCa was similar to that of clinically insignificant PCa patients (68.0 ± 11.6 years and 67.9 ± 7.2, respectively) (p = 0.012). The mean serum PSA level of patients with clinically significant PCa (2.14 ± 2.89 ng/mL) was lower than that of clinically insignificant PCa patients (2.87 ± 2.47 ng/mL), but the result was statistically non-significant (p = 0.140).

PATHOLOGY-PI-RADS V2 CORRELATION

We compared PI-RADS v2 results with the pathologic findings of UB patients undergoing radical CPT. We used the S3 and S4 of PI-RAD v2 as the cut-off value according to the pathologic results, and the results are summarized in Table 3.

Of the 72 UB cancer cases, 46 cases (63.4%) involved underlying incidental PCa or UCa extension. In addition, based on PI-RADS v2, 25 cases (34.7%) were classified as over S3, and 10 cases (13.9%) were classified as over S4. With S4 as a cut-off value for predicting incidental PCa or UCa extension, the diagnostic accuracy was 47.2%, specificity was 92.3%, PPV was 83.3%, and NPV was 40.0%. With S3 as a cut-off value for predicting incidental PCa or UCa extension, the diagnostic accuracy was 63.9%, specificity was 80.8%, PPV was 83.3%, and NPV was 50.0%.

Of the 29 incidental PCa cases, 20 cases (69.0%) were classified as over S3 by PI-RAD v2

| Cut-Off: S3 | Peripheral Zone or Transition Zone (Higher Score) | Peripheral Zone | Transition Zone |
|-------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|
|             | S1–2                          | S3–5           | S1–2           | S3–5           | S1–2 | S3–5 |
| Normal prostate or hyperplastic prostate | 20 | 5 | 23 | 2 | 22 | 3 |
| Prostatitis | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Incidental PCa | 9 | 17 | 14 | 12 | 17 | 9 |
| UCa extension | 11 | 5 | 11 | 5 | 15 | 1 |
| Incidental PCa + UCa extension | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| UCa extension + prostatitis | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

| Cut-Off: S4 | Peripheral Zone or Transition Zone (Higher Score) | Peripheral Zone | Transition Zone |
|-------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|
|             | S1–3                          | S4–5           | S1–3           | S4–5           | S1–3 | S4–5 |
| Normal prostate or hyperplastic prostate | 23 | 2 | 24 | 1 | 24 | 1 |
| Prostatitis | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Incidental PCa | 19 | 7 | 19 | 7 | 26 | 0 |
| UCa extension | 14 | 2 | 14 | 2 | 15 | 1 |
| Incidental PCa + UCa extension | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| UCa extension + prostatitis | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

PCa = prostate cancer, PI-RADS v2 = Prostate Imaging Reporting and Data System version 2, S = score, UCa = urothelial carcinoma
with clinical significance for 10 cases (50%) (Table 4). In addition, 8 cases (27.6%) were classified as over S4 by PI-RADS v2 with clinical significance for 6 cases (75%). With S4 as a cut-off value for predicting incidental PCa, the diagnostic accuracy was 65.28%, specificity was 90.7%, PPV was 66.7%, and NPV was 65.0%. With S3 as a cut-off value for predicting incidental PCa, the diagnostic accuracy was 73.6%, specificity was 76.7%, PPV was 66.7%, and NPV was 78.6%.

And of the 26 non-malignant cases, 24 cases (92.3%) were classified as below PI-RADS v2 S3, and 21 cases (87.5%) were classified as below S2. Only 2 cases (7.7%) were over S4 of PI-RADS v2.

**DISCUSSION**

UB cancer is the 11th most frequent cancer worldwide, and it was the 2nd most common urologic cancer from 1999 to 2012 (9, 10). A previous study revealed that incidental PCa occurs in 30% of 50-year-old male and in 70% of 80-year-old male in the USA (11). In addition, a recent pathologic study reported that incidental PCa can be found in around 40% of UB cancer patients undergoing radical CPT in Korea (12). PI-RADS v2 has demonstrated good diagnostic performance in detecting clinically significant PCa (12, 13). However, no studies have reported the use of PI-RADS v2 in detecting incidental PCa or UCa extension of the prostate in UB cancer patients.

Our results showed a low diagnostic accuracy in detecting incidental PCa or UCa extension of the prostate in UB cancer patients. Despite the low diagnostic accuracy rate (65.3%) over S4 for predicting incidental PCa in UB cancer patients, the specificity was relatively high (90.7%). Moreover, despite the low diagnostic accuracy (47.2%) over S4 for predicting incidental PCa or UCa extension of the prostate in UB cancer patients, the PPV (83.3%) and specificity (92.3%) were relatively high for excluding the non-incidental PCa or UCa without extension. Therefore, MRI by PI-RADS v2 could help exclude the probability of incidental PCa or UCa extension if a score of 1, 2, or 3 was assigned by PI-RADS v2.

According to the Epstein criteria, clinically insignificant PCa is defined as GS ≤ 6, organ-confined tumor (category < T3), and tumor volume < 0.5 cc based on the pathologic findings.
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of the surgical specimen (8). These characteristics may also be used to detect clinically significant PCAs with PI-RADS v2. Our study showed 44.8% of clinically significant PCa detection rate, which was lower than that of a recent pathologic study of the incidental PCa in UB cancer patients undergoing radical CPT in Korea (57.1%) (5). Our study revealed that 65.3% of patients with S4 or S5 disease determined by PI-RADS v2 had incidental PCa, of which 69.0% had clinically significant PCa.

In a previous study, increasing patient age, especially over 60 years, was associated with the possibility of incidental PCa (3). In our study, the mean age of patients with incidental PCa was 68.0 ± 9.3 years, and the mean age of patients without incidental PCa was 63.3 ± 10.4 years; this difference was significant (p = 0.017). In addition, a previous study reported that the serum PSA level of patients with incidental PCa was significantly higher than that of patients without incidental PCa (3). However, in our study, the mean serum PSA level of patients with incidental PCa was similar to that of patients without incidental PCa (2.50 ± 2.66 ng/mL and 2.36 ± 2.13 ng/mL, respectively; p = 0.036).

A limitation of our study was the small number of patients, and there was no control group. In addition, we analyzed PCa at the per-patient level. Lesion-by-lesion and sector-by-sector analyses with a prospective design are needed. Therefore, further studies with a larger number of cases with lesion-by-lesion or sector-by-sector analyses should be conducted. Other limitations are retrospective study design and non-comparison between PCa and UCa extension.

In conclusion, our preliminary study showed that mpMRI with PI-RADS v2 may help predict or exclude incidental PCa or UCa extension of the prostate in UB cancer patients. Furthermore, it could be used for planning treatment.
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방광암 환자의 다중 매개 자기공명영상에서 우연히 발견된 전립선암 또는 요로상피세포암종의 전립선 침범의 검출: 전립선 이미징 보고 및 데이터 시스템 버전 2.0을 사용한 후향적 연구

윤상은1 · 강병철1,2* · 조현혜1,2 · 박상희3

목적 본 연구는 방광암 환자에서 전립선 Prostate Imaging Reporting and Data System version 2 (이하 PI-RADS v2)가, 우연히 발견된 전립선암 또는 요로상피세포암종의 전립선 침범을 예측하는데 도움이 되는지 분석하였다.

대상과 방법 3 Tesla 다중 매개 자기공명영상에서 수술 전 영상을 촬영한 후, 근치적 방광전립 선절제술을 시행한 72명의 방광암 환자가 연구에 포함되었다. 수술 전 영상 소견은 두 명의 영상의학과 의사가 분석하였고, 수술 검체는 한 명의 병리과 의사가 평가하였다. 그 후, 전립선 PI-RADS v2의 결과와 병리 소견을 비교 분석하였다.

결과 72명의 방광암 환자 중 29명이 전립선암(40.3%)이 있었고, 20명이 요로상피세포암종(27.8%)이 있었다. 스코어 4를 기준값으로 설정하였을 때, 전립선암을 예측하는 진단 정확도는 65.3%, 특이도는 90.7%, 양성 예측도는 66.7%였다. 또한 전립선암 또는 요로상피세포암종을 예측하는 진단 정확도는 47.2%, 특이도는 92.3%, 양성 예측도는 83.3%였다.

결론 정확도는 낮은 편이었지만, 양성 예측도와 특이도는 높은 편이었다. 따라서 전립선 PI-RADS v2에서 스코어 1, 2 또는 3에 해당되면 우연히 발견된 전립선암과 요로상피세포암종의 침범을 배제하는데 도움이 될 수 있다.
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