Autonomous bioluminescence imaging of single mammalian cells with the bacterial bioluminescence system
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Biomimimicry-based imaging of living cells has become an important tool in biological and medical research. However, many bioluminescence imaging applications are limited by the requirement of an externally provided luciferin substrate and the low bioluminescence signal which restricts the sensitivity and spatiotemporal resolution. The bacterial bioluminescence system is fully genetically encodable and hence produces autonomous bioluminescence without an external luciferin, but its brightness in single cells is much dimmer than that produced by other luciferases which require an external luciferin. We coexpressed codon-optimized forms of the bacterial luxCDABE and frp genes from multiple plasmids in different mammalian cell lines. Our approach produces high luminescence levels that are comparable to firefly luciferase, thus enabling autonomous bioluminescence microscopy of mammalian cells.

Significance

Bioluminescence is generated by luciferases that oxidize a specific luciferin. The enzymes involved in the synthesis of the luciferin from widespread cellular metabolites have so far been identified for only 2 bioluminescence systems, those of bacteria and fungi. In these cases, the complete reaction cascade is genetically encodable, meaning that heterologous expression of the corresponding genes can potentially produce autonomous bioluminescence in cell types other than the bacterial or fungal host cells. However, the light levels achieved in mammalian cells so far are not sufficient for single-cell applications. Here we present autonomous bioluminescence images of single mammalian cells by coexpression of the genes encoding the 6 enzymes from the bacterial bioluminescence system.
genes improve their expression in mammalian cells (11, 12, 21), yet the achieved brightness has been comparatively low (12, 13), hampering the prospects for single-cell visualization by microscopy. In addition to variations in codon usage between different cell types, the requirement for simultaneous multigene expression of all Lux proteins at high levels poses further challenges for the use in mammalian cells. Here, we demonstrate that codon-optimized (co) lux genes expressed from individual plasmids in an optimized ratio produce bright autonomous bioluminescence in different mammalian cell lines. The resulting signal is comparable to that of firefly luciferase and enables imaging of single cells over extended time periods. Moreover, it allows the observation of toxic compounds effects and starvation on cell viability and metabolic activity.

Results

Expression of Lux Proteins in Mammalian Cells. Since the wild-type lux genes have been shown to be poorly expressed in mammalian cells in their native form (11), we generated co versions of the luxCDABE genes from Photorhabdus luminescens and the NADPH (nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate)-flavin oxidoreductase gene frp from Vibrio campbellii using the codon adaptation tool JCat (http://www.jcat.de) (22). The resulting genes (SI Appendix, Fig. S1) were synthesized, cloned separately into the expression vector pcDNA3.1(+), and cotransfected in equal amounts into HEK 293 cells. While expression of the wild-type genes did not result in detectable bioluminescence levels, the optimized genes produced high signal (Fig. 1). Assuming that a signal 3-fold higher than the SD of the background (~8 counts per pixel for 10-min exposure time) would have been readily detectable, comparison of the camera counts between wild-type (no signal) and co lux (~3,000 counts per pixel for 1-min exposure time; Fig. 1) indicated that the gene optimization improved the light output by at least 3 orders of magnitude. This demonstrates that the Lux proteins are functional in mammalian cells, but that their expression is highly dependent on the codon usage or possibly other factors determined by the nucleotide sequence. Variation of the ratios of the transfected plasmids while keeping the total amount of DNA constant indicated that the brightness was increased by 66% if a 3-fold molar amount of the fatty acid reductase components luxC, luxD, and luxE was used (Fig. 2). Therefore, synthesis of the aldehyde seems to be the most rate-limiting step of the overall reaction cascade, rather than the luciferase-catalyzed bioluminescence reaction itself.

Since simultaneous cotransfection of 6 plasmids reduces the transfectable amount for each gene, we tested whether the brightness can be increased by reducing the number of plasmids and expressing more than one gene from the same vector. For this purpose, we linked several genes by viral 2A sequences, as this approach has been reported to increase the transfection efficiency and brightness (12). The 2A sequence impairs the formation of a peptide bond during translation and thus results in the synthesis of 2 separate proteins, with the major part of the peptide remaining attached to the C terminus of the first protein (P2A: ATNFSLLKQAGDGVEENPG1(P, T2A: EGRGSLLTCGDVEENPG1(P) (23). Although the transfected amount of the combined genes was accordingly increased, none of the tested combinations showed an increase in bioluminescence (Fig. 2). This might be caused by a lower transfection efficiency, decreased translation rates, or impaired function of the Lux proteins due to the remaining 2A fragments. Consequently, maximum brightness was achieved when all 6 proteins were expressed from separate plasmids (Fig. 2).

We compared the brightness of co Lux to the widely used firefly luciferase (FLuc). Although FLuc was transfected in a 12-fold molar amount compared to the luxAB luciferase subunits, its brightness was only 76% higher (Fig. 2). Hence, bacterial luciferase is functional in mammalian cells when efficiently expressed and produces signal comparable to firefly luciferase if cellular production of the substrates is high enough.

Since bioluminescence systems based on the exogenous luciferin coelenterazine often suffer from background signal due to autooxidation of coelenterazine in solution, we compared its background to the co Lux system. For this purpose, we measured the signal from nontransfected cells with 5 μM coelenterazine and from cells transfected with the FMNH2-producing co frp (SI Appendix, Fig. S2). Whereas frp expression resulted in no detectable bioluminescence emission, nonenzymatic coelenterazine oxidation produced clear signal. Therefore, the low background of the co Lux system allows its bioluminescence detection with a higher specificity than coelenterazine-based systems.

As co versions of the lux genes have been previously expressed in mammalian cells (11, 12), we compared the brightness of our co Lux system to pCMVlux (12). pCMVlux is a humanized lux expression plasmid that contains all 6 luxCDABE and frp genes separated by 2A sequences and produces the highest levels of autonomous bioluminescence in mammalian cells reported so far. Expression of pCMVlux in HEK 293 cells was not detectable with our bioluminescence imager at 37 °C (SI Appendix, Fig. S3). Weak signal (on average, ~20 counts above background in 10 min) was only detectable after the cells had cooled down to room temperature, but the overall brightness was still ~3 orders of magnitude lower than with our co Lux system (SI Appendix, Fig. S3 and Fig. 1). In order to find out the main reasons for this large difference, we first compared the codon adaptation indices (CAIs) of our co lux genes and the humanized genes from pCMVlux (referred to as hlux) and the nonoptimized wild-type genes (SI Appendix, Table S1). While the low CAIs of the nonoptimized genes (average 0.13) may account for poor expression and consequently nondetectable light levels, the differences of the CAIs of the hlux and co lux genes are smaller (average 0.74 and 0.95, respectively). Therefore, differences in codon usage may not be the only factor that contributes to the decreased brightness from the lux genes. To test the influence of the 2A sequences contained in pCMVlux on the bioluminescence levels, we cloned the individual hlux genes without the 2A sequences into pcDNA3.1(+), analogous
not show significant differences ($P > 0.5$) in MTT reduction (Fig. 34). However, lysates of untransfected cells exhibited a 53% higher absorption, indicating that the only toxicity observed is caused by the transfection reagent rather than expression of the co lux genes. Since toxic effects may involve more subtle cellular changes due to depletion of ATP (adenosine triphosphate) or NADPH or increased production of hydrogen peroxide due to reaction of the luciferase-bound FMNH₂ with molecular oxygen (17, 24), we compared their cellular levels with and without co lux expression. For this purpose, we cotransfected HeLa cells with a ratiometric fluorosensor for ATP, NADPH, or H₂O₂ and imaged them by fluorescence microscopy (SI Appendix, Fig. S5). For imaging of ATP, we used the sensor PercevalHR which measures the cellular ATP-to-ADP (adenosine diphosphate) ratio. ATP and ADP binding increases its fluorescence excited at 500 nm and 420 nm, respectively; there is a fixed isosbestic point at ~455 nm (25). NADPH concentration was imaged with the sensor iNap1, which increases its fluorescence excited at 420 nm and decreases its fluorescence excited at 485 nm upon NADPH binding (26). H₂O₂ levels were compared using the sensor HyPer-3 which increases its fluorescence ratio F500/F420 upon excitation at 500 and 420 nm (27). No significant change of the fluorescence ratios between cells transfected with co lux or empty pcDNA3.1(+) vector was observed for ATP and H₂O₂ ($P > 0.05$; Fig. 3 B and D). In the case of NADPH, we found a small (9%) but significant ($P < 0.01$) change in the iNap fluorescence ratio (Fig. 3C). The reason for this might be increased NADPH consumption for the synthesis of the aldehyde or FMNH₂.

**Test of Toxic Effects Caused by the Expression of co lux.** Since the bacterial bioluminescence reaction requires a long-chain aldehyde substrate that is potentially cytotoxic, we tested whether the expression of co lux has adverse cellular effects. First, we performed an MTT (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide) assay based on the biochemical reduction of the tetrazolium dye MTT by NAD(P)H. Decreased cell growth and viability result in lower formation of the reduced formazan, which can be detected as a lower absorption. HeLa cells transfected with co lux or the empty pcDNA3.1(+) vector using Lipofectamine 2000 did to our co lux genes. Cotransfection of the hlux pcDNA3.1(+) plasmids in HEK 293 cells produced detectable bioluminescence, but only 1 to 2% of that from the co lux pcDNA3.1(+) plasmids (SI Appendix, Fig. S4). This suggests that the 2A peptides are one important factor that reduces expression or activity of the Lux proteins, in accordance with the results from our co lux 2A constructs (Fig. 2). In addition, we combined single hlux pcDNA3.1(+) plasmids with the remaining co lux pcDNA3.1(+) plasmids to test the influence of the individual hlux genes on bioluminescence levels (SI Appendix, Fig. S4). All hlux combinations exhibited decreased brightness compared to co lux, with the brightness lowest for hfp. While the observed differences may partly be attributed to the lower CAIs of the hlux genes, other reasons may be responsible for the strongly decreased light emission with the hfp gene. The most likely explanation is a lower functionality of the protein in mammalian cells due to multiple differences in the protein sequence, since a different host strain was chosen for hfp (Vibrio harveyi instead of V. campbellii for co fp). Overall, our co Lux system provides an improvement in brightness of at least 3 orders of magnitude compared to previous approaches of lux gene expression in mammalian cells.

![Fig. 2. Bioluminescence of HEK 293 cells expressing different combinations of co lux genes or FLuc from pcDNA3.1(+). Cells were grown in 24-well plates, transfected with the same total amount of DNA, and imaged with an Amersham Imager 600. Asterisk (*) indicates transfection of all plasmids in equal amounts. Otherwise, plasmids encoding LuxA, LuxB, LuxC, LuxD, LuxE, and Frp were transfected in a ratio of 1:1:3:3:1. Plasmids encoding 2 or 3 genes were transfected in 2- and 3-fold amounts, respectively. The following abbreviations are used: luxAB, luxA-P2A-luxB; luxBA, luxB-P2A-luxA; luxCD, luxC-P2A-luxD; luxDE, luxD-P2A-luxE; luxABfrp, luxA-P2A-luxB-T2A-frp; luxDEC, luxD-P2A-luxE-T2A-luxC. Plus (+) signs indicate expression from separate plasmids. For imaging of FLuc, 150 μg/mL α-luciferin was added to the medium. Error bars represent SD of the signal of 5 separate wells.](https://www.pnas.org/content/first/fig/fig2)

![Fig. 3. Co lux toxicity control measurements. HeLa cells were cotransfected with co lux genes in pcDNA3.1(+) or the empty vector, and a fluorescent sensor where indicated. (A) Absorption of cell lysates from MTT assay with untransfected cells (-) for comparison. Error bars represent SD of 3 separate coverslips. (B–D) Comparison of (B) ATP, (C) NADPH, and (D) H₂O₂ levels by ratiometric fluorescence imaging of the sensors (B) PercevalHR, (C) iNap1, and (D) HyPer-3 using the indicated excitation wavelengths. Error bars represent SD of 50 cells. ** represents a $P$ value of <0.01 as calculated by a 2-tailed Student’s $t$ test.](https://www.pnas.org/content/first/fig/fig3)
Overall, no major toxic effects of co lux expression were observed. Aldehyde toxicity might not be observed because its concentration is too low. The reason for this could be relatively low aldehyde production by the fatty acid reductase or fast backconversion of the aldehyde into the acid by the bioluminescence reaction if the activity of all Lux proteins is well balanced.

**Single-Cell Bioluminescence Imaging.** Next, we investigated whether the light produced by co lux in mammalian cells is sufficient to detect single cells with a custom-built bioluminescence microscope. HEK, HeLa, and CV-1 cells were transfected with the co lux genes and imaged using different exposure times (Fig. 4). Bioluminescence was already detectable after only 1 s in all cell lines tested, and the signal-to-noise ratio increased with prolonged exposure times, as expected. A calibration of the camera demonstrated that several hundred thousand and, in some cases, more than a million photons per minute per cell were detected.

Since cotransfection of 6 co lux plasmids is required to generate bioluminescence, which might result in a low number of cells expressing all components in sufficient amounts, we determined the fraction of bioluminescent cells. For this purpose, we stained co lux-transfected cells with the fluorescent DNA-binding dye Hoechst 33342. This membrane-permeable dye labels the nuclei of living cells and was used to determine the total cell number. Concomitant bioluminescence imaging indicated that ~30% of the cells exhibited detectable bioluminescence emission during the 2-min exposure time and therefore expressed all 6 genes in sufficient amounts for single-cell imaging (SI Appendix, Fig. S6).

We compared the brightness of co lux to FLuc and pCMV Lux on the single-cell level. In line with the measurements on whole wells of cells (Fig. 1 and SI Appendix, Fig. S3), FLuc produced similar levels of bioluminescence as co lux, whereas no signal from pCMV Lux was detectable (SI Appendix, Fig. S7).

Subsequently, we imaged co lux-expressing cells for longer timespans. First, imaging was performed in cell culture medium without additives (Fig. 5A and Movie S1). Some cells divided, whereas other cells lost their bioluminescence signal, possibly due to cell death resulting from toxicity of the transfection reagent. Since ATP and NADPH are required for sustained bioluminescence, the bioluminescence signal provides information about the metabolic state and cellular viability. As a proof-of-principle application, we tested the influence of the pore-forming toxin gramicidin on co lux-expressing cells (Fig. 5B and Movie S2). Gramicidin is a peptide antibiotic mainly against Gram-positive bacteria that also affects the ion permeability of eukaryotic cells. It forms ion channels in the cell membrane and thereby disturbs the electrochemical

---

**Fig. 4.** Bioluminescence images of different cell lines expressing the co lux genes. Images were taken with the indicated exposure times using a (A) 60x or (B) 100x objective lens. The color map was scaled to the minimum and maximum pixel values of each image. For the (A) 3-min and (B) 5-min images, the color bars represent the number of detected photons per pixel. Blue pixels represent saturation of the camera. Fluorescence images (fluo) of cotransfected lifeact-EYFP excited at 491 nm are shown in gray. (Scale bars, 20 μm.)
gradient, which finally leads to cell death. This became apparent as rounding of the cells and complete loss of bioluminescence within a few hours, confirming its toxicity to eukaryotic cells. The second substance tested was 2,4-dinitrophenol (DNP) that acts as a proton ionophore and thus decouples the proton gradient across the mitochondrial membrane. As a result, ATP synthesis by oxidative phosphorylation is impaired, and the energy of the proton gradient is instead lost as heat. DNP has therefore been used as a drug against obesity, but, due to its high toxicity and its severe and sometimes fatal side effects, its use is no longer authorized. Up to now, no efficient antidote against DNP poisoning is known. Cells treated with DNP maintained their original shape, except for some cases where cells rounded immediately before cell death (Fig. 5C and Movie S3), but they moved less on the coverslip than untreated cells (Movie S1). In addition, the signal steadily decreased upon nutrient deprivation. This effect was investigated by imaging the cells in Earle’s Balanced Salt Solution (EBSS) without glucose, instead of cell culture medium (Fig. 5D and Movie S4). The signal decreased rapidly during the first ~30 min and then continued decreasing at a lower rate to almost zero after 12 h (SI Appendix, Fig. S8B). When the EBSS was replaced by cell culture medium, the signal increased immediately and rose further over the next hours (Fig. 5D, SI Appendix, Fig. S8B, and Movie S4). Although several cells died during the 24-h observation time, other cells survived and divided, demonstrating that some cells are able to recover after the starvation period. The energy source of the prolonged bioluminescence which was still detectable in many cells after 12 h in EBSS is not known. Energy might be derived from remnants of nutrients from the cell culture medium, from intracellularly stored nutrients, or from uptake of components of the extracellular matrix (29).

As we previously reported for *Escherichia coli* cells (30), the bioluminescence signal from a few HeLa cells fluctuated before cell death (Movies S3 and S4). This “blinking” occurred less frequently than in kanamycin-treated *E. coli* cells where it was shown to be accompanied by changes in the cellular ATP concentration (30). The cause of the blinking is unknown, but might involve similar processes affecting the energy metabolism during cell death in both cell types.

**Discussion and Conclusion**

We demonstrated autonomous bioluminescence imaging of single mammalian cells by coexpression of 6 co genes from the bacterial bioluminescence system. Although the brightness of bacterial bioluminescence has so far been considered to be much lower...
than that of other luciferases requiring an external luciferin, we found that co Lux produced autonomous bioluminescence at levels similar to firefly luciferase. Codon optimization was found to be crucial for high expression in mammalian cells, although we cannot rule out that features of the nucleotide sequence other than the codon usage contribute to enhanced expression (e.g., due to formation of messenger RNA secondary structures). In addition, several other factors affect the expression or function of the Lux proteins and may explain the previously observed decreased performance of bacterial bioluminescence in mammalian cells:

1. choice of the specific LuxCDAEB and flavin reductase amino acid sequences, as the proteins from different bacterial species may differ in their stability at 37 °C and their expression, maturation, or activity under the conditions in mammalian cells, which we observed in particular for the flavin reductase;
2. the presence of fusion partners at the N and C termini of the Lux proteins, as even the small 2A peptides can strongly reduce the bioluminescence signal;
3. the ratio at which the 6 genes are transcribed, since aldolase synthesis seems to be the most rate-limiting step of the overall process, and expression of all co lux genes from individual plasmids allows freely adjusting the protein ratios; and
4. choice of the expression vector and distance of the lux genes from the promoter.

Although the aldehyde produced by the Lux system may be cytotoxic for eukaryotic cells (31), we did not observe significant effects on cell number or metabolic activity 24 h posttransfection, which is in line with other reports (11, 12, 32). Furthermore, co lux-expressing cells maintained their physiological shape and their ability to divide. The only significant effect of co lux expression was found to be a small decrease of NDP levels which possibly results from elevated NDP consumption during synthesis of the aldolase or FMNH₂. It is not clear whether this represents already the metabolic limit for the light levels achievable by autonomous bioluminescence due to its high energy demand, or whether the brightness could be further increased by optimization of the involved proteins and their expression levels in mammalian cells.

Because of its dependence on metabolic energy, bioluminescence generated by the co lux genes can be used to investigate metabolic changes in mammalian cells, for example, during the cell cycle phases, during nutrient deprivation, or in response to toxic compounds. On the other hand, the generation of bioluminescence requires substantial amounts of metabolic energy, which may itself influence cellular processes. Bioluminescence measurements with co Lux can easily be performed with cell monolayers using a commercial luminescence imager. Owing to the high bioluminescence signal, they are also feasible on the single-cell level, which allows the observation of individual differences between cells and events such as fluctuations in brightness, cell division, and cell death. Therefore, co Lux holds promise as an easy-to-use reporter system for numerous applications in biological and medical research. Although fluorescence-based methods remain superior for imaging of cells at high speed and resolution due to their much higher signals, further improvements of the co Lux system will extend its scope of application in single-cell imaging.

**Materials, Methods, and Data Availability**

Details of plasmid generation, cell culture, bioluminescence imaging, and toxicity tests are described in **SI Appendix, SI Materials and Methods**. In brief, co lux and frp genes were cloned separately into the vector pcDNA3.1(+), cotransfected into mammalian cell lines. Bioluminescence imaging was performed with a custom microscope containing a cooled electron-multiplying charge-coupled device camera and a 491-nm excitation laser for fluorescence charge measurements. The co lux pcDNA3.1(+)-based plasmids are available from Addgene (www.addgene.org, plasmid numbers 129085 to 129090). All data are included in the manuscript and SI Appendix.
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