Time-domain asymptotic-numerical solution for transient scattered electric field by a coated conducting cylinder covered with a thin lossy dielectric material
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Abstract: We derive a time-domain (TD) asymptotic-numerical solution for the transient scattered electric field by a coated conducting cylinder covered with a thin lossy dielectric material by substituting the frequency-domain asymptotic solution into the transient scattered electric field integral. The TD asymptotic-numerical solution is represented by a combination of each transient scattered field element which is obtained from the numerical integration. The validity and computational efficiency of the TD asymptotic-numerical solution are confirmed by comparing with the reference solution. We show that, since the TD asymptotic-numerical solution can extract and observe each pulse wave element from the response waveform even when the pulse wave elements overlap mutually, it is effective understanding the scattering phenomena in detail.
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1 Introduction

The studies in the high-frequency (HF) scattering analysis by an impedance or a coated cylinder have been important research subjects in the application area such as the low-observability techniques for the radar cross section of an aircraft fuselage [1, 2, 3, 4].

We have recently derived the frequency-domain (FD) extended UTD (uniform geometrical theory of diffraction) and the FD modified UTD solution for the scattered field by a coated conducting cylinder covered with a thin lossy dielectric material [5, 6, 7]. The extended UTD and modified UTD solutions can connect uniformly the asymptotic solution in the deep lit region and that in the deep shadow region through the transition region (TR) near the shadow boundary (SB). We have also derived the FD asymptotic solutions for the direct geometric optical ray (DOG) and the reflected geometric optical ray (RGO) effective in the deep lit region [6, 7].

In this paper, by extending the FD asymptotic solution in [5, 7], we derive a time-domain (TD) asymptotic-numerical solution for the transient scattered electric field when a HF modulated pulse wave is incident on a coated conducting cylinder covered with a lossy dielectric material. The thickness of the dielectric material is thin as compared with the wavelength of a central angular frequency of a pulse source function. The TD asymptotic-numerical solution is represented by a combination of the transient scattered electric field elements which consist of the DGO, the RGO, the extended UTD for the pseudo surface diffracted ray (pseudo SD), the modified UTD for the SD series, and the lowest order SD.

The validity and computational efficiency of the TD asymptotic-numerical solution are confirmed by comparing with the reference solution. We show that, since the TD asymptotic-numerical solution can extract and observe each pulse wave element from the response waveform even when the pulse wave elements overlap mutually, it is effective understanding the scattering phenomena in detail. The time convention exp(−iot) is adopted and suppressed in this paper.

2 Formulation and frequency-domain (FD) asymptotic solution

Figs. 1(a) and 1(b) show a coated conducting cylinder with radius a covered with a homogeneous medium 2 (ε2, μ0) of thickness t (= a − b) and the coordinate systems (x, y, z) and (ρ, φ). Notation ε2 denotes a complex dielectric constant of
the material 2 defined by $\varepsilon_2^* = \varepsilon_2 + i\sigma/\omega$ where $\sigma$ is a conductivity and $\mu_0$ a permeability in vacuum. The coated cylinder and an electric line source $Q(\rho_0, \phi_0)$ in the surrounding medium 1 ($\varepsilon_1, \mu_0$) are placed in parallel with the $z$-axis. We assume that the thickness $t$ of the medium 2 is thin as compared with a wavelength $\lambda = 2\pi c_1/\omega$, $\omega$: angular frequency, $c_1$: speed of light in the medium 1) of a cylindrical wave emanated from the point $Q$. The wavenumber in the medium 1 (in the medium 2) is represented by $k_1(=\omega\sqrt{\varepsilon_1\mu_0})$ ($k_2^* = \omega\sqrt{\varepsilon_2^*\mu_0}$).

The surrounding space in the medium 1 is divided into 4 regions, which consist of I, II, III, and IV, by the SB and the TR near the SB, when a HF cylindrical wave is incident on the coated cylinder from a counterclockwise direction without encircling the cylinder (see Figs. 1(a) and 1(b)) [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6]. The region I denotes a deep lit region far away from the SB and the region II is a lit side of the
TR near the SB. The regions III and IV represent a shadow side of the TR and a deep shadow region far away from the SB, respectively. We have also shown in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b) the propagation paths of the DGO (1 and 2), the RGO (3), the pseudo SD (4), and the SD (5 and 6).

The asymptotic analysis method for the FD scattered electric field when the HF electric type cylindrical wave is incident on the coated cylinder has been discussed in [5, 7] in detail. In the following sections, only the results needed in the TD asymptotic-numerical analysis for the transient scattered electric field in Section 3 is summarized.

### 2.1 FD asymptotic solution for DGO

The FD asymptotic solution $E_{z,DGO}(\omega)$ for the DGO effective in the regions I and II (see 1 in Fig. 1(a) and 2 in Fig. 1(b)) along the path (Q → P) from the source point Q to the observation point P($\rho, \phi$) is given by [7, 8]

$$E_{z,DGO}(\omega) = i \frac{2}{\pi k_1 \hat{s}_1} \exp(ik_1 \hat{s}_1 - i\pi/4), \quad \hat{s}_1 = \overline{QP}. \quad (1)$$

In order to simplify notation of $E_{z,DGO}(\omega)$ in (1), the wavenumber $k_1$ which is a function of $\omega$ is used.

### 2.2 FD asymptotic solution for RGO

The FD asymptotic solution $E_{z,RGO}(\omega)$ for the RGO effective in the region I with the path Q → R → P (see 3 in Fig. 1(a)) which arrives at the point P after radiating from the point Q and reflecting at the point R is expressed by [1, 7, 9]

$$E_{z,RGO}(\omega) = E_{z,in}(R) I(w_s) \sqrt{\frac{\rho^2}{\rho^2 + \ell_2}} \exp(ik_1 \ell_2), \quad \ell_2 = \overline{RP}. \quad (2)$$

Here $E_{z,in}(R)$ and $I(w_s)$ are the incident cylindrical wave propagating from the point Q to the reflection point R and the reflection coefficient at the point R and are defined as follows [7].

$$E_{z,in}(R) = i \frac{2}{\pi k_1 \ell_1} \exp(ik_1 \ell_1 - i\pi/4), \quad \ell_1 = \overline{QR}, \quad (3)$$

$$I(w_s) = \frac{\cos w_s - Y(v_s)}{\cos w_s + Y(v_s)}, \quad v_s = k_1 a \sin w_s, \quad (4)$$

$$Y(v) \sim i \frac{Z_1}{Z_2} \sqrt{\frac{(k_s^2 a)^2 - v^2}{k_s^2 a}} \cot(B_{1,v} - B_{2,v}), \quad Z_1 = \sqrt{\frac{\mu_0}{\varepsilon_1}}, \quad Z_2 = \sqrt{\frac{\mu_0}{\varepsilon_2}}, \quad (5)$$

$$B_{1,v} = \sqrt{(k_s^2 a)^2 - v^2 - v \cos^{-1} \frac{v}{k_s^2 a}}, \quad B_{2,v} = \sqrt{(k_s^2 b)^2 - v^2 - v \cos^{-1} \frac{v}{k_s^2 b}}. \quad (6)$$

Where $w_s$ denotes the incident angle of the RGO (see Fig. 1(a)) and $Y(v)$ a normalized admittance [1, 5, 7], and $Z_1$ ($Z_2$) the characteristic impedance in the medium 1 (in the medium 2).

The square root term $\sqrt{\rho^2/(\rho^2 + \ell_2)}$ in (2) denotes the divergence factor and $\rho^2$ is the caustic distance of RGO [1, 7, 9].
2.3 FD extended UTD solution for pseudo SD

The FD extended UTD solution $E_{z, \text{extended UTD}}(\omega)$ for the pseudo SD effective in the region II with the path $Q \rightarrow Q_1 \rightarrow Q_2 \rightarrow P$ (see 4 in Fig. 1(b)) which retreats to the surface diffraction point $Q_2$ by the surface diffraction after emanating from the point $Q$ and entering into the surface diffraction point $Q_1$, carries out the surface diffraction again at the point $Q_2$, and then arrives at the point $P$, is given by [7]

$$E_{z, \text{extended UTD}}(\omega) = E_{z, \text{in}}(Q_1) \tilde{T}(Q_1, Q_2) \frac{\exp(ik_1s_2)}{\sqrt{s_2}}. \tag{7}$$

Here $E_{z, \text{in}}(Q_1)$ denotes the incident cylindrical wave propagating from the point $Q$ to the point $Q_1$ and $\tilde{T}(Q_1, Q_2)$ is the transmission function, which expresses the scattering phenomena along the arc $Q_1 \rightarrow Q_2$ of the coating surface ($\rho = a$) from the point $Q_1$ to the point $Q_2$, and are defined by

$$E_{z, \text{in}}(Q_1) = \frac{i}{4} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi k_1s_1}} \exp(ik_1s_1 - i\pi/4), \quad s_1 = \overline{QO_1}, \tag{8}$$

$$\tilde{T}(Q_1, Q_2) = -M \sqrt{\frac{2}{k_1}} \exp(ik_1\tilde{\ell}) \left[ - \frac{\exp(i\pi/4)}{2\sqrt{\pi \xi}} F(\tilde{\xi}) + p^\prime_s(\tilde{\xi}, L, q_s(\tau)) \right]. \tag{9}$$

In (9), the distance $\tilde{\ell}$ of the creeping wave (CW), the Fresnel function $F(\tilde{\xi})$, and the extended Pekeris function $p^\prime_s(\tilde{\xi}, L, q_s(\tau))$ are defined as follows.

$$\tilde{\ell} = -a|\theta|, \quad \theta = |\phi - \phi_0| - \cos^{-1} \frac{a}{\rho_0} - \cos^{-1} \frac{a}{\rho}, \tag{10}$$

$$F(\tilde{\xi}) = -i2\tilde{\xi} \exp(-i\tilde{\xi}^2) \int_{\tilde{\xi}}^{\infty} \exp(it^2)dt, \quad \tilde{\xi} = \sqrt{2k_1L} |\theta|/2, \tag{11}$$

$$p^\prime_s(\tilde{\xi}, L, q_s(\tau)) = \frac{e^{-i\pi/4}}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{w_2'(\tau) - q_s(\tau)w_2(\tau)}{w_1'(\tau) - q_s(\tau)w_1(\tau)} \exp \left[ i\tilde{\xi}^2 + i \frac{M^2}{2k_1L} \tau^2 \right] d\tau + \frac{e^{-i\pi/4}}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{Ai'(\tau) - q_s(\tau)Ai(\tau)}{w_1'(\tau) - q_s(\tau)w_1(\tau)} \exp \left[ i\tilde{\xi}^2 + i \frac{M^2}{2k_1L} \tau^2 \right] d\tau, \tag{12}$$

$$w_1(\tau) = Ai(\tau) \mp iBi(\tau), \quad w_1'(\tau) = Ai'(\tau) \mp iBi'(\tau), \tag{13}$$

$$q_s(\tau) = iMY(\tau), \quad \tilde{\xi} = -M|\theta|, \quad M = \left( \frac{k_1a}{2} \right)^{1/3}, \quad L = \frac{s_1s_2}{s_1 + s_2}, \quad s_2 = \overline{Q_2P}, \tag{14}$$

where $Ai(\tau)$ and $Bi(\tau)$ are the Airy functions [10] and the primes ($) on these functions denote the derivative with respect to the argument. Notation $Y(\tau)$ in (14) represents a function obtained by $\nu = k_1a + Mt$, $M = (k_1a/2)^{1/3}$, replacing $\nu$ by $\tau$ in (5) associated with (6). The last term in (7) represents a cylindrical wave propagating along the path $Q_2 \rightarrow P$ from the point $Q_2$ to the point $P$.

2.4 FD modified UTD solution for SD series

The FD modified UTD solution $E_{z, \text{modified UTD}}(\omega)$ for the SD series effective in the regions III and IV with the path $Q \rightarrow Q_1 \rightarrow Q_2 \rightarrow P$ (see 5 in Fig. 1(a)) which propagates along the arc $Q_1 \rightarrow Q_2$ to the point $Q_2$ by the surface diffraction after the cylindrical wave excited at the point $Q$ enters into the point $Q_1$, carries out the surface diffraction at the point $Q_2$ after that, and arrives at the point $P$, is expressed by [5, 7]
\[ E_{z,\text{modified UTD}(M)}(\omega) = E_{z,\text{in}}(Q_1) \sum_{m=1}^{M} [D_m(Q_1)A_m(Q_1) \exp(ik_1\ell - \Omega_m\ell)] \times \frac{\exp(ik_1s_2)}{s_2}, \quad s_2 = Q_2P, \] (15)
\[ \ell = a\theta, \quad \theta = |\phi - \phi_0| - \cos^{-1} \frac{a}{\rho_0} - \cos^{-1} \frac{a}{\rho}. \] (16)

Here \( E_{z,\text{in}}(Q_1) \) denotes the incident wave in (8) and \( D_m(Q_1)A_m(Q_1) \) (\( D_m(Q_1)A_m(Q_2) \)) the new surface diffraction coefficient at the point \( Q_1(Q_2) \) [5, 7]. The conventional GTD diffraction coefficient \( D_m(Q_{1,2}) \), the modification coefficient \( A_m(Q_{1,2}) \), and the attenuation coefficient \( \Omega_m \) are defined by [4, 5, 7]. Notation \( M \) is the truncation number of the SD series solution.

When the point \( P \) moves to the region IV from the region III, the FD asymptotic solution in (15) may be represented by only the lowest order SD with \( M = 1 \) along the path \( Q \to Q_1 \to Q_3 \to P \) (see 6 in Fig. 1(a)) [11].

\[ E_{z,\text{SD}}(\omega) \sim E_{z,\text{modified UTD}(M=1)}(\omega) \]
\[ = E_{z,\text{in}}(Q_1)[D_1(Q_1)A_1(Q_1)e^{ik_1\ell - \Omega_1\ell}D_1(Q_3)A_1(Q_3)] \exp(ik_1s_3), \] (17)

here \( \ell (= Q_1 \to Q_3) \) denotes the distance of the CW from the point \( Q_1 \) to the point \( Q_3 \) and \( s_3 (= Q_3P) \) the distance from the point \( Q_3 \) to the point \( P \).

### 2.5 FD asymptotic solution for scattered electric field

The FD asymptotic solution \( E_{z,\text{asy}}(\omega) \) for the scattered electric field \( E_z(\omega) \) arriving at the point \( P \) from the counterclockwise direction without encircling the coated cylinder after radiated from the point \( Q \) can be represented by a combination of each FD asymptotic solution summarized in the foregoing sections.

\[ E_z(\omega) \sim E_{z,\text{asy}}(\omega) = \sum_{i=1}^{IV} U_i(P) \sum_{r} E_{z,r}(\omega), \] (18)

where \( r = \text{DGO, RGO, extended UTD, modified UTD}(M), \text{SD} \).

Where \( E_{z,\text{DGO}}(\omega), E_{z,\text{RGO}}(\omega), E_{z,\text{extended UTD}}(\omega), E_{z,\text{modified UTD}(M)}(\omega), \) and \( E_{z,\text{SD}}(\omega) \) denote respectively the DGO in (1), the RGO in (2), the extended UTD in (7), the modified UTD in (15), and the SD in (17). The function \( U_i(P), i = 1, II, III, IV, \) is defined as \( U_i(P) = 1 \) (or \( U_i(P) = 0 \)) when the observation point \( P \) is (is not) located in the region \( i \).

### 3 Time-domain (TD) asymptotic-numerical solution

#### 3.1 Transient scattered electric field integral

The transient scattered electric field \( y(t) \) by a coated cylinder covered with a thin lossy dielectric material can be expressed by the following inverse Fourier transform of the product of the FD scattered electric field \( E_z(\omega) \) and the frequency spectrum \( S(\omega) \) of a pulse source function \( s(t) \) [12].

\[ y(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_z(\omega)S(\omega) \exp(-i\omega t) d\omega. \] (19)
We assume the following HF modulated pulse source \( s(t) \) defined by the product of the modulated wave \( s_0(t) \) and the carrier wave \( \exp(-i\omega_0(t-t_0)) \) whose central angular frequency is \( \omega_0 \):

\[
s(t) = \begin{cases} 
  s_0(t) \exp[-i\omega_0(t-t_0)] & \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq 2t_0 \\
  0 & \text{for } t < 0, t > 2t_0
\end{cases},
\]

where \( t_0 \) is the constant parameter. Denoting the Fourier transform of \( s_0(t) \) by \( S_0(\omega) \), the Fourier transform \( S(\omega) \) of \( s(t) \) can be represented by

\[
S(\omega) = S_0(\omega - \omega_0) \exp(i\omega_0 t_0).
\]

After substituting the exact solution calculated from the eigenfunction expansion \([1, 2]\) for the FD scattered electric field \( E_z(\omega) \) and the frequency spectrum \( S(\omega) \) in (21) into the integral \( y(t) \) in (19), by carrying out the numerical integration of \( y(t) \), one may calculate the transient scattered electric field numerically.

In this paper, the numerical solution which can be obtained by applying the fast Fourier transform (FFT) numerical code to the transient scattered electric field integral \( y(t) \) in (19) is used as the reference solution in Section 4. The response waveform of \( y(t) \) is obtained from the real part of \( y(t) \) i.e., \( \text{Re}[y(t)] \).

### 3.2 TD asymptotic-numerical solution

Substituting the FD asymptotic solution \( E_{z,asy}(\omega) \) in (18) into (19), one may derive the following representation.

\[
y(t) \sim y_{asy}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{IV} U_i(P) \sum_r y_r(t),
\]

where \( r = \text{DO}, \text{RO}, \text{extended UTD}, \text{modified UTD(M)}, \text{SD}. \)

In (22), \( y_r(t) \) denotes the transient scattered electric field element and is represented by the inverse Fourier transform of the product of the FD asymptotic solution \( E_{z,r}(\omega) \) (see (18)) and the Frequency spectrum \( S(\omega) \) in (21).

\[
y_r(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_{z,r}(\omega) S(\omega) \exp(-i\omega t) d\omega.
\]

The integral \( y_r(t) \) in (23) is numerically calculable by applying the FFT numerical code. Therefore, we can derive the TD asymptotic-numerical solution for the transient scattered field by substituting the numerical solution \( y_r(t) \) in (23) into (22).

Since the TD asymptotic-numerical solution \( y_{asy}(t) \) in (22) can extract and observe each pulse wave element \( \text{Re}[y_r(t)] \) in (23) from the response waveform \( \text{Re}[y_{asy}(t)] \) even when the pulse wave elements overlap mutually, it is effective understanding the scattering phenomena by a coated conducting cylinder covered with a thin lossy dielectric material in detail.

### 4 Numerical results and discussions

In this section, we perform the numerical calculations required to assess the validity and computational efficiency of the TD asymptotic-numerical solution derived in Section 3.2 and to interpret the scattering phenomena when the HF modulated...
pulse wave is incident on a coated conducting cylinder covered with a thin lossy dielectric material.

Figs. 2(a) through 2(d) show the response waveform vs. time curves observed in the region I (Fig. 2(a)), the region II (Fig. 2(b)), the region III (Fig. 2(c)), and the region IV (Fig. 2(d)) (see Figs. 1(a) and 1(b)). Numerical parameters used in the calculations are given in the caption of Fig. 2 and the time is set \( t = 0 \) when the HF modulated pulse wave is radiated from the source point \( Q \). In this case, the SB (see Figs. 1(a) and 1(b)) is located at \( |\phi - \phi_0| = 95.7^\circ \) and the range of the region II is defined by \( 84.8^\circ \leq |\phi - \phi_0| \leq SB \) as a case where the variable of \( X \) in (11) satisfies \( 0 \leq X \leq \sqrt{3} \). In the same manner, the range of the region III is defined by \( SB \leq |\phi - \phi_0| \leq 106.6^\circ \) as a case where the following variable \( X \) satisfies \( 0 \leq X \leq \sqrt{3} \) (see (8) in [5] or (13) in [7]).

\[
X = \frac{\sqrt{2k_1L}}{2} \theta, \quad \theta = |\phi - \phi_0| - \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{a}{\rho_0} \right) - \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{a}{\rho} \right).
\] (24)

The response waveform of the reference solution \( \text{Re}[y(t)] \) (---: red dashed curve) has been calculated by applying the FD exact solution and the FFT numerical code to (19). The response waveform of the TD asymptotic-numerical solution \( \text{Re}[y_{asy}(t)] \) (-----: solid curve) has been obtained from (22) by applying the FFT numerical code to \( y,(t) \) in (23) (see (25), (26), (27), and (28)). It is confirmed in Figs. 2(a) to 2(d) that each TD asymptotic-numerical solution (-----) agrees excellently with the reference solution (---).

In the following, we discuss the interpretation method of the response waveforms in Fig. 2(a) through Fig. 2(d) and show the computation times of the reference and the TD asymptotic-numerical solution.

As shown in Fig. 2(a), two wave packets are observed in the response waveform. The region I in Fig. 1(a) is shown the DGO (1) and the RGO (3) arriving at the point \( P \). From the arrival time difference of the two wave packets, the \( \text{Re}[y(t)] \) in (19) can be divided into the pulse wave elements (1) and (3). While, when set the function \( U_i(P) \) in (22) to \( U_i(P) = 1 \) and \( U_{II}(P) = U_{II}(P) = U_{IV}(P) = 0 \), the \( \text{Re}[y_{asy}(t)] \) is simplified and is given by

\[
\text{Re}[y_{asy}(t)] = \text{Re}[y_{DGO}(t)] + \text{Re}[y_{RGO}(t)] \quad \text{for region I.}
\] (25)

Since the \( \text{Re}[y_{asy}(t)] \) in (25) is represented by the sum of the pulse wave elements \( \text{Re}[y_{DGO}(t)] \) and \( \text{Re}[y_{RGO}(t)] \), it can be recognized immediately that the first wave packet is the DGO (1) and the second one is the RGO (3). As to a computation time, it takes 64 seconds for the \( \text{Re}[y(t)] \) and approximately 1 second for the \( \text{Re}[y_{asy}(t)] \).

Fig. 2(b) is depicted one wave packet in the response waveform. As shown in Fig. 1(b), the region II is arrived at the DGO (2) and the pseudo SD (2). In this case, the reference solution \( \text{Re}[y(t)] \) cannot decompose the response waveform into the two pulse waves (2) and (3). Whereas setting \( U_i(P) \) in (22) to \( U_i(P) = 1 \) and \( U_{II}(P) = U_{II}(P) = U_{IV}(P) = 0 \), the \( \text{Re}[y_{asy}(t)] \) is expressed by

\[
\text{Re}[y_{asy}(t)] = \text{Re}[y_{DGO}(t)] + \text{Re}[y_{\text{extended UTD}}(t)] \quad \text{for region II.}
\] (26)

In order to interpret the wave packet in Fig. 2(b) in detail, Fig. 3 shows two pulse wave elements \( \text{Re}[y_{DGO}(t)] \) (Fig. 3(a)) and \( \text{Re}[y_{\text{extended UTD}}(t)] \) (Fig. 3(b)),...
and the response waveform $\text{Re}[y_{\text{asy}}(t)]$ (Fig. 3(c)) which are provided by (26). Since the $\text{Re}[y_{\text{asy}}(t)]$ in Fig. 3(c) agrees excellently with the $\text{Re}[y(t)]$ in Fig. 2(b), the wave packet in Fig. 2(b) can be interpreted as a bunch of the pulse waves by superposition of the DGO (2) and the SD (4). The computation times of the $\text{Re}[y(t)]$ and the $\text{Re}[y_{\text{asy}}(t)]$ are 66 seconds and 19 seconds, respectively.

As shown in Fig. 2(c), one wave packet is observed in the response waveform. By referring to the region III in Fig. 1(a), the reference solution $\text{Re}[y(t)]$ can be checked with the SD (5). On the other hand, setting the $U_i(p)$ and the truncation number $M$ in (22) to $U_{\text{II}}(P) = 1$, $U_i(P) = U_{\text{II}}(P) = U_{\text{IV}}(P) = 0$, and $M = 10$, the $\text{Re}[y_{\text{asy}}(t)]$ is given by

$$\text{Re}[y_{\text{asy}}(t)] = \text{Re}[y_{\text{modified UTD(M=10)}}(t)] \quad \text{for region III.}$$

From (27), the wave packet of Fig. 2(c) can be interpreted as a bunch of 10 pulse wave elements. The computation times of the $\text{Re}[y(t)]$ and the $\text{Re}[y_{\text{asy}}(t)]$ are respectively 67 seconds and 20 seconds.

Finally, one wave packet is observed in the response waveform in Fig. 2(d). By referring to the region IV in Fig. 1(a), the $\text{Re}[y(t)]$ can be checked with the SD
In the same manner, setting the \( U_i(P) \) in (22) to \( U_{IV}(P) = 1 \) and \( U_{III}(P) = U_{II}(P) = U_{I}(P) = 0 \), the Re\( y_{asy}(t) \) is represented by

\[
\text{Re}\left[y_{asy}(t)\right] = \text{Re}\left[y_{SD}(t)\right] \quad \text{for region IV.} \tag{28}
\]

It can be recognized from (28) that the wave packet in Fig. 2(d) is the SD (6). The computation time is 76 seconds for the Re\( y(t) \) and 4 seconds for the Re\( y_{asy}(t) \).

From the above-mentioned discussions, the TD asymptotic-numerical solution in (22) associated with (23) has been able to extract and observe each pulse wave element from the response waveform even when the pulse wave elements overlap mutually. The computational efficiency of the TD asymptotic-numerical solution was demonstrated as compared with the computation time of the reference solution. Hence, it was confirmed that the TD asymptotic-numerical solution is effective understanding the scattering phenomena by a coated conducting cylinder covered with a thin lossy dielectric material in detail.

\[\text{Fig. 3.} \quad \text{Pulse wave elements Re}\left[y_{DGO}(t)\right] \text{ (Fig. 3(a)) and Re}\left[y_{extended UTD}(t)\right] \text{ (Fig. 3(b)) and response waveform Re}\left[y_{asy}(t)\right] \text{ (Fig. 3(c)) observed in the region II. Numerical parameters used in the calculations in Figs. 3(a), 3(b), and 3(c) are the same as those used in Fig. 2(b).}\]

5 Conclusion

We have derived the time-domain (TD) asymptotic-numerical solution for the transient scattered electric field by a coated conducting cylinder covered with a thin lossy dielectric material. By comparing with the reference solution, we confirmed the validity and computational efficiency of the TD asymptotic-numerical solution. We showed that the TD asymptotic-numerical solution proposed here is effective understanding the scattering phenomena in detail.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported in part by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) (24560492) from Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS).