Transtendinous Rotator Cuff Tear Repair with Bone Marrow Aspirate Concentrate Dermal Allograft Augmentation
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Abstract: Rotator cuff tears involving the musculotendinous junction with a significant amount of tendon still attached to the footprint laterally represent a challenging scenario for shoulder arthroscopists. Because of these challenges, adjunctive techniques to bridge tissue gaps may be required, and biologic augmentation may be considered to improve the healing environment. The following technique presents a stepwise approach to accomplishing the dual goals of a stable anatomic repair and biologic augmentation of this difficult pattern of rotator cuff pathology.

The most common pattern of rotator cuff tear involves an avulsion of the supraspinatus and/or infraspinatus and teres minor from the greater tuberosity. Less commonly, the full thickness tears also can occur at, or close to, the musculotendinous junction. A recent study by Walcott et al.1 reports these types of tears occurred in only 9/502 (1.79%) patients over a 3-year span. This pattern of tear often leaves a significant amount of tendon still attached to the lateral footprint. This presents a more challenging clinical entity because debridement of the lateral tendon stump may leave inadequate tissue for primary repair or create a nonanatomic repair with increased tension on a primary repair of the medial tendon directly to the greater tuberosity. Conversely, retention of the tendon stump laterally requires tendon-tendon healing which is biologically less favorable.

Options for arthroscopic management include medialization of the footprint, side-to-side convergence stitches, tendon-tendon repair, or some combination of these.1,2 The technique we describe is a combination of a tendon-tendon repair with augmentation and acellular dermal allograft infused with bone marrow aspirate concentrate (BMAC). It accomplishes our goals of stable, anatomic repair with biologic augmentation of this difficult pattern of rotator cuff pathology.

Surgical Technique

Patient Positioning and Diagnostic Arthroscopy

The surgical technique is demonstrated in Video 1. A schematic of graft placement is demonstrated in Figure 1. The patient receives an upper extremity interscalene block before administration of general anesthesia, and examination is performed with the patient under anesthesia while supine with comparison to contralateral shoulder. The patient is then positioned in the beach chair position, and standard anatomic landmarks are identified.

A standard posterior portal is used to enter the glenohumeral joint, and diagnostic arthroscopy is performed. If associated pathology of the superior labrum, long head of the bicep tendon, or chondromalacia of
the glenoid and humeral head are identified, these are treated concomitantly as indicated. In the case of significant preoperative stiffness, capsular releases may be performed anteriorly and posteriorly to allow for better mobilization of the glenohumeral joint. The subscapularis tendon is examined and repaired as necessary.

BMAC Harvest

One option for harvest of BMAC is from the proximal humerus (Fig 2). The bone marrow aspirate is prepared according to manufacturer instructions using the Angel system (Arthrex Inc., Naples, FL). After preparation of the BMAC, the final product is used to infuse the acellular dermal allograft, ArthroFLEX (Arthrex Inc.), in a small sterile basin on the back table. The graft is covered with a sterile blue towel until implantation.

Confirmation of Tear Pattern

When indicated, a standard bursectomy and subacromial decompression is then performed with a standard midlateral portal. The camera is then placed through the lateral portal to properly examine the tear pattern, perform releases, and mobilize the tissue, as well as to confirm the transtendinous pattern including the remnants of the supraspinatus or infraspinatus still attached to the greater tuberosity (Fig 3). Posterolateral and anterolateral portals are created for visualization and suture management during the repair.

Placement of Medial Row Anchors

Next, 3 medial row knotless suture anchors, 4.75 mm Bio-composite SwiveLock (Arthrex Inc.), loaded with SutureTape (Arthrex Inc.) are placed just lateral to the articular margin. These three anchors serve as the medial row just medial to the rotator cuff tissue remnant laterally (Fig 4). The FiberTape (Arthrex Inc.) is then passed through the rotator cuff tissue anteriorly, centrally, and posteriorly using a suture passage device being attentive to including any delaminated portions of the superior capsular tissue. This is consistent with a standard SpeedBridge construct technique.

Placement of Locking Reduction Anchors

Locking looped reduction sutures (FiberLink; Arthrex Inc.) are then placed with a suture passing device into the leading edge of the remaining medial tendon. These sutures are cinched over the medial tendon stump as has been previously described. Next, the free ends of

Fig 1. Left shoulder, coronal plane. Schematic demonstrates two medial row anchors (MRA) with FiberTape sutures passed through the tendon proximal to the musculotendinous junction. A single looped locking suture (LL) is shown for simplicity with its distal ends passed through the tendon stump exiting laterally where it is reduced to a lateral reduction anchor (LRR). After provisional reduction to the LRR anchor, the FiberTape suture tails are fixed to a lateral row compression anchor (LRC) (only one is demonstrated for simplicity) as in standard SpeedBridge technique. Together the construct creates a “suture cage” where the acellular dermal allograft (ADA) is secured between the tendon edges to promote a healing response.

Fig 2. A, Right shoulder posterior view, demonstrating the exposed humeral head and the exposed medial supraspinatus stump. B, Same shoulder posterior view, demonstrating the right lateral tendon supraspinatus stump. SS, Supraspinatus tendon; HH, humeral head.
the locked reduction sutures are shuttled through the lateral portion of the cuff remaining on the greater tuberosity utilizing a SutureLasso (Arthrex Inc.) (Fig 5). Attention is taken to optimize reduction of the intra-tendinous tear just lateral to the musculotendinous junction. The sutures should pass through the mid-portion of the lateral tendon stump rather than exiting inferiorly or superiorly, which could result in malreduction of the medial tissue during final tensioning.

**Placement of Dermal Allograft**

A 30° PowerPick (Arthrex Inc.) is then used to microfracture the greater tuberosity to stimulate a healing response (Fig 6). The BMAC infused acellular dermal allograft (ArthroFLEX; Arthrex Inc.) is then carefully introduced into the subacromial space and placed between the medial tendon stump medially, the lateral tendon stump laterally, the greater tuberosity and medial row (FiberTape; Arthrex Inc.) inferiorly, and the locking reduction loops superiorly. This creates a “sandwich” effect of the biologic augmentation at the site of the side to side rotator cuff repair (Fig 7).

**Final Reduction and Fixation**

The locking looped reduction sutures are attached laterally to a central reduction to reduce the rotator cuff and graft to the greater tuberosity as has been
previously described. The remaining FiberTapes are then placed in a crisscrossed fashion to lateral knotless anchors, SwiveLock (Arthrex Inc.), completing a double-row SpeedBridge construct to add compression to the repair (Fig 8).

Postoperative Rehabilitation

After surgery, the patient is placed in a brace in neutral position with a small abduction pillow to protect the repair. Full active range of motion to the elbow, wrist and hand are allowed immediately. The patient wears the sling at all times, except while showering and during formal physical therapy, for the first 6 weeks. The patient is not allowed to perform any active range of motion nor passive abduction for 6 weeks, consistent with a conservative massive rotator cuff repair protocol. The patient is instructed to perform closed chain passive table slides and scapular stabilization exercises for 1 month. After 6 weeks the patient will wean from the sling and begin active and passive range of motion exercises and introducing strengthening around 3 months after surgery.

Discussion

Transtendon rotator cuff tears are a rare and challenging clinical entity. When recognized, the next challenge is to preserve and incorporate the lateral tendon still attached to the footprint. Failure to incorporate the lateral tendon can result in overtensioning of the medial tendon to the anatomic footprint. The described technique combines a side-to-side repair of the medial and lateral tendons with a modified double row transosseous rotator cuff repair. Finally, the addition of BMAC and acellular dermal allografts improve the biomechanical and biologic environment for definitive healing.

Walcott et al. reported a case series of transtendinous rotator cuff tears with an average remaining stump tendon of at least 1 cm who underwent an arthroscopic...
repair with side-to-side (tendon-tendon) technique. They reported acceptable clinical outcome scores, with their technique preserving the lateral tendon stump. Other authors have suggested variations on the tendon-tendon repair technique with some modifications. In contrast to our presented technique, these authors do not discuss augmentation in their surgical techniques. Given the biologic challenges of tendon-tendon healing, it is reasonable to consider supplementing the primary repair.

Because of the inherent challenges and poor outcomes with primary repair of large to massive rotator cuff tears, the use of dermal allografts has emerged as an increasingly common treatment adjunct. Hall et al. presented a retrospective series of patients with rotator cuff tears at the musculotendinous junction who underwent arthroscopic repair with dermal allograft. At 2 years after operation, the repairs all remain intact. Others have presented improvement in clinical outcomes scores with dermal allograft through a mini-open approach. Our technique builds on these previous techniques by combining an arthroscopic approach to transtendinous tears with an all-arthroscopic augmentation with dermal allograft.

Literature regarding the use of BMAC in rotator cuff repair is limited. Hernigou et al., in a small case-controlled series, suggested that BMAC harvested from the iliac crest may increase the rate healing of repairs, as well as prevent further ruptures at 10-year follow-up. Similarly, Muench et al. demonstrated improvements in clinical outcomes with BMAC and platelet-rich plasma in the setting of massive rotator cuff tears. BMAC from the proximal humerus can be aspirated effectively and with no substantial rises in postoperative complications and has demonstrated the presence of osteogenic progenitor cells. Harvesting BMAC during subpectoral biceps tenodesis allows the surgeon to exploit the same drill hole in the bicipital groove. There is a reasonable rationale for obtaining BMAC at the surgical site because of the limited morbidity to the patient and convenience for the treating surgeon.

The primary advantage to the described technique is that, by combining the procedures, they can successfully be performed in one arthroscopic setting. This is technically challenging and requires significant arthroscopic skill in suture management and advanced arthroscopic techniques. Other potential drawbacks to this procedure are the costs associated with allograft tissue and BMAC. Finally, arthroscopic passage of the allograft can be challenging and may require a mini-open approach.

In conclusion, transtendon rotator cuff tears represent a unique injury pattern that can be challenging to recognize and treat. We present a reproducible technique for arthroscopic repair and biologic augmentation.
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