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For many years, research into human cancer has concentrated on human patients and on artificially induced neoplasms in inbred murine hosts. Cancer, however, affects a great variety of mammals, particularly those that have been domesticated. Such naturally occurring neoplasms are common in dogs, cats, cattle, horses, etc., and offer fertile ground for studies relating to epidemiology, etiology, immunobiology, and therapy. Canine osteosarcoma is described in detail. The clinicopathologic features of this canine tumor closely approximate that of human osteosarcoma and thus make canine osteosarcoma an invaluable comparative model. Canine osteosarcoma and other naturally occurring tumors lie intermediate between the mouse models and human cancer. The use of these veterinary models in the future fabric of cancer research will broaden its base and will influence our conceptual approach to research and clinical options.

Neoplasia affects a broad spectrum of vertebrates from fish through amphibia, reptiles, birds, and mammals. It is not confined to only mouse and man. Each one of these naturally occurring neoplasms offers yet another clue or clues in the complex biology of neoplasia—a group of diseases that for the most part still remain poorly understood, despite the massive application of money and technology. The occurrence, therefore, of these neoplasms in a wide range of vertebrate hosts is of far more than passing interest. Cancer needs to be studied as a comparative biological problem and not as a disease restricted to only a few species.

The thrust of this paper will be twofold: (1) to emphasize the great values inherent in using naturally occurring neoplasms in domestic mammals and (2) to illustrate these values by reviewing canine osteosarcoma (OS) and comparing it to other experimental models and to its human counterpart.

Veterinarians have treated cancer in their animal patients for many decades. Only recently, however, has the great value of these animals been realized. These neoplasms occur naturally in largely outbred populations, a condition closely analogous to that in man. Such tumors have been most closely studied in dogs, cats, and cattle but much is also known about neoplastic diseases in horses, sheep, goats, and swine [1]. For the purposes of this paper, however, I will concentrate on naturally occurring solid tumors in dogs.

Do these canine tumors have any value in helping to unravel the mysteries of
cancer? The answer is a resounding yes. Aside from assisting in the treatment of a sick animal and helping a distressed owner, the veterinarian may resolve specific questions relevant to human neoplasia.

Let us first examine some experimentally induced OS in laboratory animals.

LABORATORY MODELS OF OS

This brief review does not attempt to include every experimental production of OS ever performed, but rather to familiarize the reader with some of the more important laboratory models.

1. *Ridgway OS (ROS)*: Originally described in 1948 from a spontaneous inguinal mass in a male AK mouse, it has been established as a transplantable tumor and maintained in serial passage in AKR mice. Therapeutic trials using ROS employ AKD2F hybrids as they withstand chemotherapy better than the inbred lines. Median lifespan of affected mice is 25–35 days. Up to 11 single drugs are known that will cure mice of this neoplasm. ROS is a highly reproducible model to study drug interactions and tumor cell kinetics. Clinical staging of affected mice can simulate different stages of human OS [2].

2. Moloney sarcoma virus inoculated into the tibial medulla of newborn Wistar Lewis rats results in OS in 97.7 percent of all animals. Lung metastasis develops in 77.9 percent after six weeks. whereas after initial growth of the primary OS, the remaining 22.1 percent of the tumors regress. Cell-mediated immune response and serum blocking activity can be demonstrated in vitro, and these results can be correlated with the clinical stage of the disease [3,4].

3. The implantation of methylcholanthrene into the embryonic odontogenic tissues of 30 Swiss mice, five months of age, produced a few OS although epithelial tumors were more common [5].

4. Cupric chelated N-hydroxy-2-acetylaminofluorene injected into the femoral medulla of 10–16 week old female Osborn-Mendel rats produced various types of sarcoma including OS in 21 of the 29 animals. Most neoplasms developed from the soft tissue adjacent to the bones where the carcinogen had leaked out from the trephine holes. Newer experiments are underway in which the trephine sites are plugged immediately after injection of the carcinogen. A variety of other compounds of nickel, chromium, cobalt, and other standard carcinogens such as benzpyrene and methylcholanthrene have also induced OS in mice [6].

5. X-irradiation, or the injection of various radionuclides have produced OS in rabbits, mice, dogs (Beagles), miniature swine, and macaques [7–11]. Young rabbits given Sr90 I.V. develop OS of the external auditory meatus, a site where these bone-seeking isotopes tend to accumulate because there is little bone turnover [8]. In miniature swine the daily feeding of mCi + Sr90 caused OS to develop in the bones of the skull. OS formation usually coincides with maximal irradiation necrosis of bone followed by perversion of the repair process [9].

In Beagles given Sr90, OS occurred at the three highest dose levels tested. The mean induction time was 266 days but the first histologic detection of OS may occur within 90 days. Only 4 percent of these tumors metastasized and the liver was the most common organ affected. Most OS arose from the endosteum with only a few being of metaphyseal origin. The major site of OS in order of frequency was femur, lumbar spine, tibia, pelvis, sacrum, and thoracic spine. Whether or not irradiation activates a latent tumor virus is unknown [11].

6. FBJ-Moloney sarcoma virus is the only naturally occurring murine sarcoma virus. It is unique as it produces mostly OS. In 1966 FBJ virus was isolated from a
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spontaneous OS of the thoracic vertebra in a male CF$\textsubscript{1}$/Anl mouse. C-type viral particles similar to the murine oncorna viruses were detected electronmicroscopically. Serial passage through newborn CF-1 mice can shorten the latency period to 21 days after injection even though the average latent period is 40–60 days. In tissue cultures both focus-forming sarcoma virus (FBJ-MSV) and associated non-focus forming leukemia virus (FBJ-MLV) are present. A delicate balance of FBJ-MSV and FBJ-MLV is needed for focus induction [12].

There is no question that such simplified laboratory systems have yielded a wealth of information to the cancer biologist. It is not the purpose of this paper to deny the great worth of such experimental models. Rather, my purpose is to point out that there is a wide gap between these highly artificialized models and clinical human cancer. While further simplification of these model systems allows for the construction of clear conclusions in a particular experiment, one must wonder whether some of these conclusions are applicable to the natural human disease, the ultimate goal of such research. Indeed, such highly artificialized systems may at times be muddles rather than models. Thus while these mouse systems have a great deal to offer in cancer research, they possess some serious limitations. Until very recently, research in OS, has been confined almost completely to the development of artificial models of OS in mice, rats, rabbits, etc., whereas naturally occurring OS in dogs, cats, and other domestic species has been ignored. We must therefore, in addition, also seek other models that more closely approximate the disease in man. Such animal models are commonplace and are seen almost daily by the average veterinary practitioner. By broadening the scope of cancer research into these available domestic animal tumors, we can learn much more about etiology, epidemiology, immunology, metastasis, and therapy.

The advantages of these models can be outlined as follows: Neoplasia occurs naturally in these animals, most of which are outbred—that closely simulating the human disease.

Many of these animals (dogs and cats) closely share the human environment. Because they live far shorter lives than man and tend to be restricted to their domiciles far more closely than their owners, they offer excellent subjects for research into environmental causes of cancer. Indeed, a study in the Philadelphia area indicated that dogs with squamous cell carcinoma of the tonsil were more closely associated with areas of air pollution than were dogs with primary lung and nasal carcinomas [13]. Furthermore, there is always the distinct possibility that neoplasia in these animals may serve as an early warning system for a similar neoplasm in man. It is now very well known that the reproductive failures in many birds of prey was a clear signal that the biosphere was heavily contaminated with DDT (dichlorodiphenyl trichloroethane) [14].

The biological behavior of many canine tumors very closely approximates that of their human counterparts. In the past decade there has been a great upsurge of interest in veterinary oncology. Many veterinary schools have oncology units and good data retrieval systems. A national group, the Veterinary Cancer Society, was formed in 1973 to unite all those with an interest in these diseases. The present-day therapy of many canine and feline neoplasms is multidisciplinary and involves all of the modalities used in the treatment of similar diseases in man. The literature on these animal neoplasms has shown a tremendous increase and several texts on veterinary oncology are now in preparation. All of this means that the veterinary profession is now in an excellent position to play an important role in many facets of cancer biology.
Furthermore, the World Health Organization has published a standardized system of histological diagnosis for canine neoplasms [15] and recently has completed work on TNM (primary tumor, regional lymph node, distant metastases) clinical staging systems which are very similar to those being used in humans. There is increasing cooperation between members of the veterinary profession both nationally and to some extent internationally.

Because of the much shorter life span of the dog, the manifestations of the neoplasm are compressed into a much shorter period. Likewise, evaluation of therapeutic results can be made in a far shorter time period than in man. In general a one-year survival in the dog or cat is roughly equivalent to a 4–5 year survival in man.

Considerable epidemiological information on canine and feline neoplasms is already available, particularly that emanating from an extensive study in several California counties [16–18] as well as from various university teaching hospitals [19]. It is well known that different breeds of dogs have different susceptibilities to various histologic types of tumors in a variety of anatomic sites. For example, Boxer dogs have a broad range of susceptibility to a host of neoplasms (gastro-intestinal, lung, lymphoid, testicular, aortic body, thyroid, brain, cutaneous mast cell tumors, osteosarcoma, etc.) [19,20]. Because of these observations and their apparently increased susceptibility to systemic mycoses [21–23], great interest has focused on possible defects in cell-mediated immunity in this breed. Malignant melanoma of the oral cavity, a common canine tumor, has a predilection for heavily pigmented breeds, particularly the Cocker Spaniel [19]. Suffice it to say that we have a wide range of naturally occurring tumors in our domestic animals. Up until now, the comparative value of these lesions has been largely wasted, and it is now time to utilize some of these animals in comparative cancer research.

The clinicopathologic features of canine OS have been described in considerable detail in the veterinary literature [24–28]. I am reviewing the salient features of canine OS to familiarize physicians with the remarkable similarity between OS in man and dog.

INCIDENCE

OS appears to occur far more frequently in dogs than in man. Epidemiologic studies of Alameda County in the San Francisco region indicate that the annual incidence rate of bone tumors is 7.9/100,000 dogs, whereas the incidence of bone tumors in man is only 1.1/100,000 [18]. At the University of Pennsylvania Veterinary Hospital (UPVH), OS accounted for 4.8 percent (123) of 2,550 histologically diagnosed canine neoplasms from 1952–1963 inclusive [19]. Furthermore, the vast majority of canine bone tumors are malignant, with OS being by far the most common [27,28], whereas in man a large variety of benign bone neoplasms are also observed [29]. In Alameda County when basal and squamous cell tumors were excluded, the yearly cancer rates per 100,000 were 272.1 for humans compared to 360 for dogs [18].

Age

In one study of nearly 200 dogs, the median age was 7 years; average age 7.4 years; mode 9 years and the range 1–15 years. Ten percent of the dogs were less than 2 years of age and most of these were between 18 and 24 months [27]. Osteosarcoma in dogs
less than one year of age is rare. The life expectancy of many breeds varies considerably; in general, the giant breeds such as St. Bernards and Great Danes have a lower life expectancy than the smaller breeds. The mean ages of the following breeds with OS were St. Bernard—6.2 years; Great Dane—6.5 years; Boxer—7.3 years; and Irish setter—8 years [27].

The best comparison of canine and human ages was formulated by Lebeau [30]. A 12-year-old human equals a 1-year-old dog, and a 24-year-old human equals a 2-year-old dog. From then on, each rise of four years in man is equivalent to an increase of one year in the dog. Thus, a 56-year-old man would be equivalent to a 10-year-old dog. Using this comparison, it is clear that canine OS occurs in a much older age group (mean human equivalent age of 44 years) than does human OS. In most large dogs, epiphyseal growth has ceased by 11 months, yet most OS occurs long after that date. This later age of onset represents the major difference in the clinicopathologic features of OS between the two species, as most human OS occurs during childhood, adolescence, and early adulthood [29].

In almost all canine non-osseous malignancies (excluding lymphomas), there is an increased risk with advancing age, but this is not so with OS where there is a sharp rise in risk from 5–9 years with a sudden decrease from then on, suggesting that a viral agent may be acting on dogs of large size and of certain genetic strains [19] (Fig. 1).

FIG. 1. Age distribution of total hospital population and age-specific rates of lymphosarcoma and osteosarcoma in dogs at the University of Pennsylvania Veterinary Hospital, Jan. 1, 1952—Dec. 31, 1961 (from Cohen D, Reif JS, Brodey RS, et al: Cancer Res 34:2859–2868, 1974, with permission of publisher.)
Breed

Using artificial selection, man has created hundreds of different canine breed types, all of which have different predilections for OS. That OS is a disease of large breeds has long been recognized by practicing veterinarians. Indeed, the risk of OS in dogs greater than 80 lb has been estimated to be 61–185 times the risk for dogs weighing less than 20 lb [31]. In a review of 194 dogs with OS, only 4 percent weighed less than 25 lb [27]. Thus, OS is a size- rather than a breed-related disease. The major large breeds affected are St. Bernard, Great Dane, Boxer, German Shepherd, and Irish setter. The proportions of these breeds affected vary with the population characteristics of dogs in the study area. The demonstration that OS was far more common in large dogs was the basis of a study in children which indicated that children with osseous malignancies were of larger skeletal size than those with non-osseous neoplasms [32]. Achondroplastic dogs such as Dachshunds, and Bassett Hounds have an exceedingly low incidence of OS [27]. The Beagle, an exceedingly common breed for laboratory research in cancer, has an exceedingly low natural incidence of OS [33]. Mixed breed dogs also have a much lower than expected incidence of OS, probably because most of them tend to be small in size [27]. A study conducted at the University of Pennsylvania Veterinary Hospital (UPVH) from 1952–1963 inclusive indicated a breed-specific crude rate per thousand for OS of 2.1 for all breeds compared to 6.9 for Boxers [19].

Genetic Factors

OS was found in six of 148 first-degree relatives of 21 index St. Bernard dogs with histologically proved OS at the University of Pennsylvania Veterinary Hospital, but was not found in any of the 110 first-degree relatives of 18 breed, age, and sex-matched controls [34]. Analysis of a composite pedigree constructed from individual four-generation pedigrees showed that all 21 index dogs were related. The average coefficient of relationship for members of the OS-bearing group was significantly higher than that for members of the control group. The average coefficient of inbreeding of the OS-bearing group was lower than, but not significantly lower than, that of the controls. This suggests that the presence of specific genes within certain family lines, and not inbreeding per se, influences susceptibility to OS. The lack of information on entire litters precluded the formal testing of specific genetic hypotheses, but examination of the pedigree excluded fully penetrant autosomal dominant and X-linked recessive inheritance. Other monogenic models or more complex modes, perhaps involving an interaction between genetic and environmental factors, cannot be excluded, nor can vertical transmission of an infectious agent. Based on the familial pattern of occurrence of affected dogs, developing a strain with a high incidence of OS should be possible by selective breeding. A method for identifying ancestors having a major contribution to the genome of the group of index dogs was also described.

Sex

In 272 dogs with OS at the University of Pennsylvania Veterinary Hospital, 160 were males, and 112 were females, a M:F ratio of 1.5:1.0 [35]. In Alameda County, California, canine bone tumors comprised 2.85 percent of all cancers in males and 1.7 percent in females [17]. However, the sex ratios vary from breed to breed and these differences are presently being evaluated.
Site

In general, 77 percent of canine OS arises in the long bones, and 23 percent in the flat bones. The four major weight-bearing bones (radius, humerus, tibia, and femur) account for 70 percent of the OS [27]. Generally the forelimb to hind limb ratio is nearly 2 to 1, but this ratio varies greatly from breed to breed, e.g., in 45 Boxers it was 1.2:1; whereas in 27 Great Danes it was 5.7:1. Furthermore, the long bone to flat bone ratio varies widely from breed to breed, e.g., Boxer 2.1:1 and Great Dane 26:1. The five most common breeds appeared to fall into three major groupings relative to site distribution: I. Irish Setters and German Shepherds; II. Boxers; III. Great Danes and St. Bernards [27]. Metaphyseal origin is far more common than diaphyseal. The major sites in the forelimbs are quite specific, proximal humerus and distal radius; the elbow region is only infrequently affected. The predilection sites in the forelimb appear to correlate with the approximate times of epiphyseal closure, e.g., the later the time of closure, the greater the frequency of OS. The closure times of the proximal and distal epiphyses of the humerus are 10–12 months and 8 months, whereas those of the proximal and distal radial epiphyses are 9 months and 10–12 months, respectively. In the hind limb, OS is commonly found on both the proximal and distal femur and tibia.

The major sites of axial skeleton OS are the skull (24 of 42 in one study) and the ribs, particularly at the costochondral junction (8 of 42 cases). Other flat bones are also involved but with far less frequency [27].

The tremendous variations in site frequencies is being studied further. Age, sex, breed, and site information has been collected from 1,400 dogs with OS [35]. Analysis of this data should give us a great deal of epidemiological information on the interrelationships of these four parameters. The site predilections for naturally occurring OS in man and dog differs from those observed with irradiation induction, suggesting a cause other than bone-seeking radionuclides [36].

Clinical Findings

The two major findings are lameness and swelling. The median duration of lameness is six weeks and 75 percent of the dogs have signs ranging from 1–9 weeks. Clinical signs have been observed for six months, but this is rare. The lameness may or may not precede the swelling. The affected area contains a hard fusiform and often painless swelling. In severe cases lymphedema may be present distal to the tumor. The growth of the mass is usually very rapid. Pathological fracture may be the presenting sign in a few very lytic tumors, or it may occur later in the clinical course. Clinical signs of pulmonary involvement are very uncommon initially, although pulmonary signs are very common in the later stages [27]. Pulmonary metastases commonly lead to the development of hypertrophic pulmonary osteoarthropathy (Fig. 2), a syndrome that has already been studied in man and dogs [27,37]. New concepts concerning its pathogenesis in man developed from studies of limb blood flow initially performed in affected dogs [37].

Radiological Features

Canine OS shows all the features of a highly aggressive bone lesion with varying admixtures of osteolytic and osteoblastic changes. Purely lytic tumors account for approximately 5 percent of all OS [38]; pathological fractures are most common in this group. Characteristic findings include sunbursting, Codman's triangle, irregular
osteolysis, varying degrees of reactive periosteal new bone formation, and origin at a metaphyseal site. All of these findings are not always present in any given case\[27,28\] (Figs. 3 and 4).

Pulmonary metastases (Fig. 5) are seen in less than 10 percent of dogs at the time of initial radiographic examination [25], but are extremely common at a median time of 18 weeks after limb amputation [39].

**Histopathologic Features**

Canine OS is identical to its human counterpart. The characteristic finding is the direct formation of osteoid by the sarcoma cells (Fig. 6). Many canine OS also produce varying amounts of chondroid and collagen, so that a mixed type of sarcoma is present [27,28].

**CONTRIBUTING FACTORS**

**Medullary Infarcts**

These infarcts were first described in 1972 in four dogs, all of whom had superimposed sarcomas [40]. Since then, six more cases have been detected by the same authors. Nine of the 10 neoplasms were OS, and one was a fibrosarcoma. Most of the tumors arose in the tibia and femur. Most dogs were of the smaller breeds, and 4 of the 10 were Miniature Schnauzers, a breed rarely affected with OS. The cause of the infarcts is not yet known, but intramedullary occlusive vascular disease appears to play a role. Most dogs had multiple bony infarcts but only one site of sarcoma formation. One dog presented with two primary OS. Similar cases have also been reported in man [41]. We suspect that the stress of repair in the infarcted areas leads to a greatly increased risk of OS.
FIG. 3. Lateral radiograph of the foreleg of a Great Dane with osteosarcoma of the distal third of the radius—a classic site in this breed. The lesion is characterized by extensive cortical lysis, sunbursting, Codman's triangle, and a soft tissue mass.

FIG. 4. Dorsoventral radiograph of the foreleg of a St. Bernard with an osteosarcoma of the distal radial metaphysis. There is severe osteolysis extending proximally along the shaft of the radius as well as irregular osteoblastic foci and a pathological fracture of the distal ulna.
Metallic Bone Implants

Since the first canine OS associated with metallic bone implants was observed in 1959 [24], an additional 11 case reports have been published [42–44]. Corrosion products from these implants are suspected to have a carcinogenic potential. A review of the case histories of the 12 reported canine cases revealed the following significant features. The latency periods between the introduction of the metallic implant and the development of the OS ranged from 1–11 years with a median time of four years. Eight of the 12 tumors arose in the midshaft of a long bone, most often the femur (in OS unassociated with metallic implants, the metaphysis is a far more common site than the diaphysis). The types of implants used were as follows: Jonas splint—5; Jonas splint plus Steinman pin—1; bone plate—3; Steinman pin—2; and Steinman pin plus cerclage wire—1. The Jonas splint, a self-retaining intramedullary splint consists of three components. Metallurgical studies have indicated that each component has a different composition and characteristics. These dissimilar metals in contact with each other caused an electrolytic reaction that accelerated the corrosion of the more ionic metals and increased the dispersion of metallic products into the tissues. Chronic inflammation and drainage often developed after the insertion of this device.

FIG. 6. Canine osteosarcoma. Focal area of osteoid (arrows) is surrounded by large pleomorphic neoplastic cells.
In the past few years, six additional sarcomas (five osteosarcomas and one fibrosarcoma) have been observed in association with metallic implants at the UPVH [45]. Undoubtedly the number of implant-associated OS actually observed in dogs greatly exceeds that which is recorded in the literature. The canine findings strongly suggest that sarcomas in man are also associated with such devices far more often than the three case reports in the present literature [46–48] would indicate.

**Trauma**

Four or five OS arising at the site of a pre-existing healed fracture have been observed but such occurrences are exceedingly rare [26,35]. Immediate trauma seems to have no relationship whatsoever to OS induction in dogs. Indeed, fractures are exceedingly common in dogs, primarily because of automobile injuries, whereas OS following such injuries (excluding metallic implant fixation) is very rare. While a single traumatic incident plays no known role in the development of canine OS, small oft-repeated traumas associated with weight bearing may be of some importance. The large and particularly giant breed dogs bear more weight on their forelimbs than on their hindlimbs, and correspondingly develop considerably more OS on their forelimbs. Weight bearing and biomechanical balance are presently being studied by the author in 1,400 dogs with OS.

**Surgical Therapy**

Surgical excision of OS in 65 dogs (limb amputation in 62 and rib removal in 3) resulted in a median survival of 18 weeks. When this was combined with a preoperative median duration of clinical signs of six weeks, the total median duration of disease was 24 weeks. The survival times ranged from three to greater than 578 weeks. Only 13.8 percent lived more than 9 months after surgery, and 11 percent survived for one year. Two dogs were alive and free of disease more than two years postoperatively, and four other dogs died of causes unrelated to osteosarcoma. No correlation could be found between postsurgical survival time and the duration of presurgical signs, the age, sex, or breed of the dog, the site of the tumor [39]; or the radiographic features [38]. Histologic features of OS do not correlate with biological behavior except in a few OS where the tumor is predominantly fibroblastic [49].

Recently a few dogs have been treated by segmental resection of an affected ulna or fibula followed by chemotherapy [50].

Because many dogs with OS are euthanatized rather than dying a natural death, there is some variability in the survival results obtained, e.g., some owners may have their pets destroyed as soon as radiographic evidence of lung metastasis is detected, whereas others may wait until terminal signs of disease become evident. For this reason, the first detection of metastatic disease radiographically is a more objective and sensitive indicator of treatment failures. The median interval between amputation and the onset of radiographically visible metastatic lung disease was 73 days (range, 50–160 days) in nine dogs treated by amputation and doxorubicin [51].

**Immunological Monitoring**

Cell-mediated reactivity (CMR) and serum blocking activity (SBA) were assessed sequentially in 11 dogs treated surgically for OS. Six dogs received postoperative BCG and five served as controls. SBA decreased significantly soon after surgery in both groups. No consistent relationship between CMR and SBA and clinical
metastasis was detected in the postsurgical period in either group [52,53]. Presently our sequential immunologic monitoring studies include lymphocyte transformation with various mitogens, leukocyte migration inhibition, and determinations of effector T lymphocytes by the rosette technique both preoperatively and during adjuvant therapy. Immunological studies of canine OS are still in their infancy.

**Immunotherapy**

Very little work has been done in dogs with OS. Six dogs given freeze-dried BCG (Glaxo strain, $50-250 \times 10^6$ viable organisms) intravenously 1, 2, 4, and 8 weeks after amputation had a median survival of 52 weeks (range 16–65 weeks) as compared to a mean survival of 11 weeks (range 6–17 weeks) for five control dogs [54]. Another group of six dogs was treated postsurgically with intradermal BCG (Trudeau strain, $1.5 \times 10^7$ viable organisms) at two-week intervals for one year. Their median survival was 40 weeks as contrasted to five controls having a median survival of 13 weeks [53]. However, when the results of the two studies were combined, there was a statistically significant difference in survival times ($p<0.01$) even though the strain of BCG and route of administration were different. More recently I.V. administration of Glaxo BCG given to 20 dogs following amputation for OS was associated with a mean survival time of 25 weeks. Seven of these dogs were alive one year later [55]. Although these early results appeared encouraging, a recent controlled study of dogs with OS indicated that survival times in 11 amputated dogs were similar to that of 12 dogs that were amputated and given postoperative BCG and autologous tumor homogenate [56].

**Chemotherapy**

The small numbers of dogs treated by chemotherapy that have been reported and the variation in treatment plans makes detailed assessment of the results rather difficult at present. In 1964, 17 dogs with malignancies of bone, most of which were OS, were treated with triethylene glycol diglycidyl ether. The drug was given intraarterially to five dogs, by regional perfusion to five dogs, and using a technique to occlude the aorta and limit the drug to the cranial half of the body, it was administered to three others. Regression of the neoplasms occurred in 12 dogs but was maintained for two months or more in only five of these. The longest period of regression was five months. Following perfusion there was local relief of pain, but edema often developed and in four dogs gangrene was observed [57].

In 1978, 14 dogs treated by amputation and doxorubicin (30 mg/m² of body surface area) were reported. Doxorubicin therapy was initiated three weeks after surgery, and was given intravenously every three weeks for 24 weeks. Drug therapy was discontinued if pulmonary metastases were detected radiographically. The median survival was 15 weeks (range 52–368 days) and 14 percent survived more than one year [51]. These findings were almost identical to that following amputation alone in 65 dogs [39].

At present, several chemotherapy or chemotherapy-immunotherapy protocols are being evaluated, but the studies have yet to be completed.

A major problem in canine therapy trials involves the cost to the owner. Hopefully, a greater appreciation of the value of these naturally occurring animal models will make money available to help owners defray some of the costs for multimodality therapy.
Radiation Therapy

Prophylactic lung irradiation using 600 R on two occasions at a one-week interval was found to be of no value in dogs with OS [58]. Seventeen dogs had their affected limbs treated with fractionated doses of 4,000-4,500 R of X-irradiation from a 15 MeV linear accelerator giving 120–130 rads/minute with 100 percent penetration at 3 cm in tissue. Doses of 1,000 R were given at weekly intervals. Reduction in pain was remarkable but mean pain-free survival was only four months with a range of 1–12 months [59].

Combined Modality Approach

Many exciting possibilities exist for future studies. If it can be shown that micro- and macrometastatic disease can be successfully treated medically, then trials concentrating on limb-saving technics can begin. Combinations of irradiation with hyperthermia and radiation sensitizers may be of considerable value in treating the primary tumor with or without segmental resections. Carefully designed multimodality clinical trials in dogs with OS should generate valuable biological data in a much shorter time than is possible in man.

PROGNOSTIC VARIABLES

A recent necropsy study of 144 untreated dogs with OS indicated that 49 had metastases, 44 of which involved the lungs [60]. Histologic subtype (excluding the fibrosarcomatous type) and grade, mitotic index, amount of intercellular substance, numbers of peritumorous lymphoid and plasma cells, duration of clinical signs, breed, sex, and age of the dogs were not of prognostic significance. The occurrence of pulmonary metastases was closely correlated with tumor diameter and volume as well as with extension of the OS into adjacent soft tissues and the origin of the OS in bones of the hindlimbs. Future design of prospective randomized clinical trials in dogs should take these prognostic variables into account so that patient groups are biologically balanced to ensure more accurate evaluation of the results.

ADVANTAGES OF STUDYING NATURALLY OCCURRING CANINE OS

1. OS is much more common in dogs than in man. It is a striking disease clinically and usually is easily recognized in the field. Centers carrying out therapeutic trials or other studies have not had difficulty attracting cases from local veterinarians. At the UPVH we have seen approximately 50 dogs with OS during the past year alone. This great increase in case load has primarily been due to the combined surgical, chemo- and immunotherapy trial now under way.

2. There is a tremendous similarity in the clinical, radiological, and histological features of OS in dogs and man (Table 1), making an affected dog of tremendous value for a variety of etiological, epidemiological, immunobiological, and therapeutic studies.

3. As both dog and man often share a common environment, similar causative factors may be operative in both species. Dogs with OS and other neoplasms may be important environmental monitors for such diseases in man. Future epidemiological research should correlate human OS incidence data with that in other species in given geographic areas.

4. The large size of the canine patients makes them ideal for obtaining adequate samples of blood, tumor tissue, etc.—a problem that is often of major importance in laboratory rodents.
5. Veterinary oncology expertise has risen sharply in the last decade. An increasing number of veterinary schools and other institutions have oncology sections and many of these are carrying out various therapy protocols. Since most of these protocols are on an outpatient basis, the research costs are greatly reduced.

6. Recent pedigree studies indicate we now have the necessary genetic knowledge to develop strains of dogs with heightened susceptibility to OS. Such strains would be invaluable, particularly in studying etiological factors and host-tumor interactions in the early stages of the disease.

7. Many owners of dogs with OS would be very grateful to know that treatment or other related investigations in their pets might also help humans with OS. Because cancer will afflict one of every four persons in the U.S., it is reassuring to such owners to know that therapeutic research in their pet may someday help them or their families.

8. Rat and mouse bone is non-osteonal, i.e. lacks Haversian canals, unlike bone in rabbits, all domestic mammals, and man[61]. Thus the development of OS in species having osteonal bone most closely approximates OS in man.
DISADVANTAGES

1. The large size of affected dogs (95 percent weigh more than 30 lb) means that housing them will often demand larger kennel areas and increased feeding costs. Similarly, drug costs will be greater because of the large size of many of the patients when compared to laboratory rodents. However, most of these objections can be circumvented by relying primarily on outpatient studies.

2. Beautifully controlled studies such as those in experimental murine hosts are not as likely in canine OS because of the variability of owner responses to the stress of treatment. Similar problems are encountered with human patients and their families.

OTHER NATURALLY OCCURRING OS

Dogs and humans are the two mammalian species most commonly affected. Domestic cats are the third most common species to develop OS. The most common sites in cats appear to be the humerus, femur, tibia, and skull [62]. Flat bones are involved as commonly as long bones, and the hindlimbs are involved more often than the forelimbs [35]. It has been suggested that feline OS has a lower metastatic potential than in man or dog [51], but more cases must be studied before this can be documented. Many of the clinical, radiological, and pathological features of feline OS closely approximate those of OS in man and dog. The major difference is that OS in cats occurs primarily in older animals [62]. Almost no information relevant to therapy has been published. Three cats with limb OS were treated by amputation and postoperative doxorubicin. One was euthanatized 180 days after surgery because of unrelated disease, another at 143 days because of lung metastases. A third cat was alive 436 days postoperatively despite the presence of lung metastasis [51].

Osteosarcoma developed in the thoracic vertebrae of three piglets and in the lumbar vertebrae of another piglet in England. Ages of affected animals were 4, 5, 9, and 11 weeks. Two of the piglets originated from the same farm. In India OS of the ribs was diagnosed in two pigs that were nine months old [63]. More careful studies of young pigs may reveal that OS is more common than is presently realized. Interestingly the age incidence of pigs with OS closely approximates that of humans with OS.

In sheep OS is rare, although chondrosarcoma arising from the rib cage has been reported in a number of instances [64]. The ultrastructure of an OS obtained from the pelvic region of an adult ewe was studied. The pattern of distribution of the organized interchromatin-like granules in almost every tumor cell nucleus was similar to that observed in human rhabdomyosarcoma where a viral origin is suspected [65].

In all other domestic mammals OS is exceedingly rare; however, OS has been reported in a few other subhuman primates, e.g., an adult male squirrel monkey had an OS of the proximal humerus. It was reported to be clinically well 20 months after amputation. A 10-month-old lemur developed an OS in the carpal region. No metastases were detected at post mortem [66].

CONCLUSION

This review of naturally occurring canine OS (it also occurs in cats, swine, etc.) and artificially induced OS in rabbits, rodents, swine, and dogs indicates that a wealth of biological data already exists on this deadly disease. It is the responsibility of all of us interested in the biology and treatment of cancer to glean as much knowledge as possible from these mammalian systems, each of which offers many clues. Such a
broad-based knowledge will undoubtedly influence our conceptual approach to research, and clinical options. To concentrate solely on OS in humans and rodents greatly limits these options, for as Robert Burns so clearly stated, “the best laid schemes of mice and men gang aft a-gley.”
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