Pneumothorax in hair dye poisoning: An unrecognized danger

Sir,

Hair dye (Super-Vasmol) poisoning causes cervicofacial edema, laryngeal edema, rhabdomyolysis, acute renal failure, severe acidosis, and rarely bronchospasm and pulmonary edema,[1,2] but pneumothorax was not documented earlier. We report two cases of right sided pneumothorax in hair dye poisoning on admission along with probable mechanisms, in order to create awareness and recognition of this entity among practitioners for better patient care.

Young, tall, unmarried females aged 20 and 18 years were brought with alleged history of consuming 200 and 150 ml of hair dye on different days, respectively. Both had cervicofacial edema, difficulty in breathing, hoarseness of voice, and inspiratory stridor. They were not in menstrual periods and were without positive clinical history. Physical examination revealed alert and acyanotic status with tachypnoea, tachycardia, and hypotension. There was an audible inspiratory stridor with drooling of saliva.

Both had hyper-resonant right hemithorax with decreased air entry. Rest of the physical examination was unremarkable. Dyspnoea increased over the next few minutes. Direct laryngoscopy showed bizarre anatomy with almost totally occluded laryngeal inlet due to supraglottic edema with viscous crusts. They were mechanically ventilated. Bedside lung sonography with 10-5 MHz linear transducer was suggestive of pneumothorax [Figure 1] on right side, which was confirmed by chest X-ray.

Tube thoracostomy was done for both, as they were on positive-pressure ventilation. The tube was removed on the third day, as the air leak stopped. Post procedure radiography demonstrated complete re-expansion of the right lung. Contrast swallow ruled out esophageal rupture, and thoracic CT scan did not reveal emphysematous change or bullous disease in the expanded nonatelectatic lung parenchyma in these cases. They were discharged on day seven and were asymptomatic during follow-up.

Oral ingestion of hair dye produces severe airway compromise as a result of direct trauma to the tissues by chemicals and causes dyspnoea and asphyxia[3] which frequently warrants an emergency airway intervention as noticed in our cases.

In the absence of significant lung disease and/or bullae on the CT scan of these patients, it is likely that alveolar rupture may be secondary to trapping of large volume of air possibly due to intense inspiratory effort secondary to laryngeal edema which might have contributed to over-distension of the alveoli, and interstitial pulmonary air leak in these two cases, rather than rupture of bullae. The development of pneumothorax following laryngeal edema due to insect sting anaphylaxis was reported[4] earlier.

In an autopsy series of eight cases of hair dye poisoning, we noticed pneumothorax in two without any other demonstrable pathology. In view of clinical observations and autopsy evidences of pneumothorax in hair dye poisoning, physicians treating such cases may consider the possibility of pneumothorax if patient has respiratory distress, as timely intervention saves lives.

The diagnosis of spontaneous pneumothorax may also be made at the bedside with lung sonography by identifying “lung point” which has greater sensitivity and specificity than supine chest radiography.[5] The strength of this report is that pneumothorax was considered clinically identified by sonography at bedside and confirmed by radiography, and other causes for pneumothorax were ruled out by thoracic CT.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Dr. K. Arthanari, M.S, for his logistic support.
Letters to Editor

Subramanian Senthilkumaran, J. Ram¹, Ritesh G. Menezes², Shah Sweni³, Ponniah Thirumalaikolundusubramanian⁴

Departments of Accident, Emergency and Critical Care Medicine, ¹Pulmonology, Sri Gokulam Hospitals and Research Institute, Salem, Tamil Nadu, ²Department of Forensic Medicine and Toxicology, Kasturba Medical College, Mangalore, and ³Department of Internal Medicine, Chennai Medical College and Research Center, Irungalur, Trichy, India
E-mail: maniansenthil@yahoo.co.in

REFERENCES

1. Sampathkumar K, Yesudas S. Hair dye poisoning and the developing world. J Emerg Trauma Shock 2009;2:129-31.
2. Chrispal A, Begum A, Ramya I, Zachariah A. Hair dye poisoning-an emerging problem in the tropics: An experience from a tertiary care hospital in South India. Trop Doct 2010;40:100-3.
3. Verma R, Tewari N, Jaiswal S, Rastogi V, Singh DK, Tiwari A. Fatal poisoning caused by oral ingestion of hair dye. Internet J Emerg Intensive Care Med 2008: 11. Available from: http://www.ispub.com/journal/the_internet_journal_of_emergency_and_intensive_care_medicine/volume_11_number_1_2/article/fatal_poisoning_caused_by_oral_ingestion_of_a_hair_dye.html. [Last accessed on 2010 Nov 05].
4. Giltay EJ, Berendsen HH. Pneumothorax following insect sting anaphylaxis. Allergy 2002;57:270-1.
5. Lichtenstein D, Meziere G, Biderman P, Gepner A. The “lung point”: An ultrasound sign specific to pneumothorax. Intensive Care Med 2000;26:1434-40.

Sir,

The ethical and publication issues presented in Lung India over the past few issues have made interesting and informative reading. [1,2] Those involved in academics and research would not find them alien. Bringing them to light must have initiated at least a few more debates, if not more. I would like to bring into focus another issue related to research and publication.

There is a significant discrepancy between the number of presentations (oral / poster) in the original research made in various medical conferences and those getting published in scientific journals. One cannot help but wonder that annual conferences of various medical associations / medical specialities are flooded with both poster and oral presentations. However, most of these are never printed in a scientific journal, except for the conference abstract book.

Research is essential to carry science forward. However, equally important is dissemination of the research findings. Presentations during scientific meetings do serve this purpose, but to a limited audience. Publication of the study makes it accessible to many more. It is true that not all studies (my own included) would find merit with scientific journals and it might be inappropriate to expect a 100 percent publication rate for all research studies. However, the authors must make publication of their study a priority and integral part of the research process.

Publication: An essential step in research