Cadmium-induced Oxidative Stress and Evaluation of *Embilica officinalis* and Stressroak in Broilers
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ABSTRACT

Cadmium (Cd) toxicity was studied in broilers, and efficacy of *Embilica officinalis* (500 ppm in feed), vitamin E (300 ppm in feed), and stressroak (1 g/kg feed) were evaluated for prophylactic and therapeutic management of Cd toxicity. One-day-old male broiler chicks were randomly divided into eight groups consisting of 10 chicks in each. Groups 1 and 2 were maintained as plain control and Cd (100 ppm in feed) toxic control (for six weeks). Groups 3, 4, and 5 were maintained on a combination of Cd (100 ppm in feed) and *Embilica officinalis*, vitamin E, and stressroak for six weeks. Groups 6, 7, and 8 were maintained with Cd for the first four weeks and on *Embilica officinalis*, vitamin E, and stressroak during the subsequent two weeks without Cd. Body weights, feed consumed, Feed conversion ratio (FCR), and glutathione (GSH) were significantly (*P* < 0.05) decreased, whereas the activities of antioxidant enzymes (catalase and Superoxide dismutase (SOD)) and concentration of Thiobarbituric acid reacting substances (TBARS) were significantly (*P* < 0.05) increased in toxic control group. After treatment with *Embilica officinalis*, vitamin E, and stressroak in groups 6, 7, and 8 during last two weeks and discontinuation of Cd, the parameters revealed improvement. From this study, it is concluded that Cd induces toxicity by oxidative stress, and supplementing *Embilica officinalis*, vitamin E, and stressroak in feed is useful in preventing and treating the toxicity.
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INTRODUCTION

Cadmium (Cd) is a hazardous heavy metal that is widely distributed in the environment; it is present in trace levels in sea water and in a broad range of animal and plant species.[1] Many of the poultry industries are located near highways and in the proximity of industries that liberate Cd as pollutant. So, it is a common finding that poultry industry is affected with Cd toxicity. In poultry, diets containing 60 to 75 ppm Cd have been reported to induce reduced egg production and kidney damage.[2] Cd is a potent inducer of apoptosis; the apoptotic effects of Cd, at least in part, are mediated through induction of oxidative stress by inhibition of antioxidant enzymes particularly thiol-containing antioxidant enzymes.[3,4] Cd is known to cause stress by increasing lipid peroxidation or by changing intracellular glutathione (GSH) levels and to affect the ubiquinon/ATP dependent proteolytic pathway.[5] Keeping these toxic effects of Cd in view, an experimental study was conducted to evaluate its mechanisms of oxidative stress and to evaluate the benefit of using *Embilica officinalis*, vitamin E, and stressroak.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A total of 80 one-day-old male broiler chicks of Cobb strain obtained from Venkateshwara Hatcheries were randomly divided into eight groups consisting of 10 chicks in each. Group 1 was maintained on basal diet for six weeks, group 2 on Cd (100 ppm in feed) for six weeks, group 3 on a combination of Cd + *Embilica officinalis* (500 ppm in feed)
for six weeks, group 4 on a combination of Cd + vitamin E (300 ppm in feed) for six weeks. Group 5 was fed on a combination of Cd + stressroak (Himalach Pradesh) (1 g/kg feed) for six weeks. Groups 6, 7, and 8 were fed with Cd for first four weeks followed by Emblica officinalis, vitamin E, and stressroak respectively during subsequent two weeks. Body weights of birds were recorded at weekly intervals to determine growth pattern and feed efficiency. The blood samples were drawn from wing vein at the end of fourth and sixth week for assay of SOD[6] and catalase. [7] TBARS[8] and GSH[9] were estimated in liver tissue at the end of sixth week. The data were analyzed by one-way ANOVA using statistical package for social sciences (SPSS) 10th version. P<0.05 was considered as significant.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The average weekly body weight (g) of basal diet control (group 1) was 1795.2 ± 60.77 at the end of sixth week, and it was significantly (P<0.05) decreased to 1233.9 ± 40.28 in Cd toxic control group 2. The Cd toxic control groups 6, 7, and 8 that were treated with Emblica officinalis, vitamin E, and stressroak during the last two weeks, following discontinuation of Cd, revealed a significant (P<0.05) increase in the body weights (1355.5 ± 12.03, 1368.6 ± 30.84, and 1364.0 ± 42.92, respectively) as compared with the toxic control group 2 (1233.9 ± 40.28) at the end of sixth week. The progressive loss of body weight indicates growth-retarding effect of Cd, and this could be attributed to reduced feed intake[3] and general systemic toxaemia by Cd. Cd-induced oxidative stress plays a major role in decreasing the performance in broilers.[10] The results on performance parameters like body weights can be further substantiated from the ongoing peroxidative stress. It has been reported earlier that Cd impairs the antioxidant defenses of cells and make them more susceptible to oxidative attacks.[11] Cd induces

The weekly feed consumption (g) per bird in group 1 was 520 and 900, respectively at the end of fourth and sixth week, and the feed consumption of toxic control groups 2, 6, 7, and 8 at the end of fourth week was 396, 400, 408, and 412, respectively. Following supplementation of Emblica officinalis, vitamin E, and stressroak in groups 6, 7, and 8, respectively during the last two weeks after discontinuation of Cd revealed the feed intake as 886, 889, and 886, respectively, and these values were higher when compared with that of group 2. The FCR of group 1 was 1.40 and 2.19 respectively at the end of fourth and sixth week. The FCR of toxic control groups 2, 6, 7, and 8 was 1.72, 1.69, 1.74, and 1.77, respectively at the end of fourth week and it was higher as compared with that of group 1. However, following supplementation of Emblica officinalis, vitamin E, and stressroak respectively in groups 6, 7, and 8, there was a decrease in FCR, i.e., 2.27, 2.21, and 2.46, respectively at the end of sixth week as compared with group 2.

The activity of enzymatic antioxidant defenses in blood such as SOD (units/g protein) and catalase (moles/sec) was significantly (P<0.05) elevated in toxic controls (2, 6, 7, and 8) as compared with group 1 at the end of fourth week [Table 1]. On supplementation of Emblica officinalis, vitamin E, and stressroak in groups 6, 7, and 8 as a therapy, the antioxidant enzyme level in blood revealed a decreasing trend at the end of sixth week. The tissue (liver) GSH level was significantly (P<0.05) decreased in toxic control group 2 (75.857 ± 0.062) when compared with the remaining groups, whereas TBARS concentration in liver was significantly (P<0.05) increased in toxic control group 2 (1.253 ± 0.012) when compared with the remaining groups at the end of sixth week. Liver TBARS concentration and blood catalase and SOD activities were significantly increased in toxic group, suggesting the ongoing peroxidative stress. It has been reported earlier that Cd impairs the antioxidant defenses of cells and make them more susceptible to oxidative attacks.[11] Cd induces

| Table 1: Results of cadmium-induced oxidative stress |
|---------------------------------------------------|
| **Group**                                      | **Catalase (moles/s)** | **SOD (units/g protein)** | **TBARS (n moles/mg protein)** | **GSH (n moles/g protein)** |
|                                                  | 4th week   | 6th week | 6th week | 6th week | 6th week | 6th week | 6th week |       |
| Basal diet (1 – 42 d)                            |            |          |          |          |          |          |          |       |
| Cadmium (1 – 42 d)                               | 2.365 ± 0.050a   | 2.515 ± 0.085a   | 41.555 ± 0.488a   | 43.422 ± 0.049a   | 0.805 ± 0.047a   | 105.25 ± 0.241a |
| Cadmium + Emblica officinalis (1 – 42 d)         | 3.387 ± 0.075a   | 3.626 ± 0.064a   | 60.845 ± 0.021a   | 70.115 ± 0.014a   | 1.253 ± 0.012a   | 75.857 ± 0.062a |
| Cadmium + vitamin E (1 – 42 d)                   | 2.465 ± 0.030a   | 2.653 ± 0.047a   | 55.090 ± 0.010a   | 57.135 ± 0.043a   | 0.954 ± 0.085a   | 90.575 ± 0.064a |
| Cadmium + stressroak (1 – 42 d)                  | 2.453 ± 0.086a   | 2.668 ± 0.048a   | 55.072 ± 0.047a   | 57.087 ± 0.085a   | 0.953 ± 0.086a   | 90.617 ± 0.025a |
| Cadmium (28 d); Emblica officinalis (29 – 42 d)  | 3.385 ± 0.025a   | 2.856 ± 0.031a   | 61.077 ± 0.012a   | 57.062 ± 0.015a   | 0.865 ± 0.011a   | 85.667 ± 0.094a |
| Cadmium (1 – 28 d); vitamin E (29 – 42 d)        | 3.386 ± 0.040a   | 2.855 ± 0.057a   | 60.080 ± 0.025a   | 57.077 ± 0.025a   | 0.864 ± 0.025a   | 85.915 ± 0.025a |
| Cadmium (1 – 28 d); stressroak (29 – 42 d)       | 3.385 ± 0.028a   | 2.854 ± 0.054a   | 60.350 ± 0.243a   | 57.085 ± 0.085a   | 0.856 ± 0.085a   | 85.672 ± 0.021b |

Values are mean ± SE of six observations; Means with different alphabets as superscripts differ significantly (P<0.05)-ANOVA; Capital alphabets (horizontal comparison); small alphabets (vertical comparison); SOD - ; TBARS - ; GSH – glutathione; SE - Standard error
a time- and dose-dependent decrease in intracellular glutathione.[8]

Stressroak is a polyherbal formulation comprising of *Withania somnifera*, *Ocimum sanctum*, *Mangifera indica*, and shilajit. Lupeol, a triterpene present in mango and other fruits, was found to be effective in combating oxidative stress-induced cellular injury of mouse liver by modulating cell-growth regulators.[12] The aqueous stem bark extract of *Mangifera indica* L. has been reported to have antioxidant properties.[13] Vitamin E which is abundant in several natural sources has free radical-quenching activity,[14] by decreasing MDA concentration and increasing the activity of liver catalase and brain SOD.[15] The activity of the fruit of *Emblica officinalis* was attributed to the high-content of ascorbic acid (1.28%, w/w), and vitamin C accounts for approximately 45 to 70% of the antioxidant activity.[16] The tannoid principles of fruits of amla, comprising emblicanin A, emblicanin B, punigluconic, and pedunculagin are reported to exhibit 70% of the antioxidant activity.[17] Kumar *et al.*[18] studied the free and bound phenolic antioxidants in amla and attributed higher level of antioxidant activity in *Emblica officinalis* to the phenolic content. Gallic acid and geraniin, which are the active principles of *Phyllanthus emblica*, have been reported to possess strong nitric oxide (free radical) scavenging activity.[19]
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