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Abstract

Background

Saline land in coastal areas has great potential for crop cultivation. Improving salt tolerance in rice is a key to expanding the available area for its growth and thus improving global food security. Seed priming with salt (halopriming) can enhance plant growth and decrease saline intolerance under salt stress conditions during the subsequent seedling stage. However, there is little known about rice defense mechanisms against salinity at seedling stages after seed halopriming treatment. This study focused on the effect of seed halopriming treatment on salinity tolerance in susceptible cultivars, IR 64, resistant cultivars, Pokkali, and two pigmented rice cultivars, Merah Kalimantan Selatan (Merah Kalsel) and Cempo Ireng Pendek (CI Pendek). We grew these cultivars in hydroponic culture, with and without halopriming at the seed stage, under either non-salt or salt stress conditions during the seedling stage.

Results

The SES scoring assessment showed that the level of salinity tolerance in susceptible cultivar, IR 64, and moderate cultivar, Merah Kalsel, improved after seed halopriming treatment. Furthermore improved the growth performance of IR 64 and Merah Kalsel rice seedlings. Quantitative PCR revealed that seed halopriming induced expression of the \textit{OsNHX1} and \textit{OsHKT1} genes in susceptible rice cultivar, IR 64 and Merah Kalsel thereby increasing the level of resistance to salinity. The level expression of \textit{OsSOS1} and \textit{OsHKT1} genes in resistant cultivar, Pokkali, also increased but not affected on the level of salinity tolerance. On the contrary, seed halopriming decreased the level expression of \textit{OsSOS1} genes in pigmented rice cultivar, CI Pendek, but not affected on the level of salinity tolerance. The transporter gene expression induction significantly improved salinity tolerance in salinity-susceptible rice, IR 64, and moderate tolerant rice cultivar, Merah Kalsel. Induction of expression of the \textit{OsSOS1} gene in susceptible rice, IR 64, after halopriming seed treatment leads to balance the osmotic pressure by ion exclusion mechanisms, so that be tolerant to salinity stress.

Conclusion

These results suggest that seed halopriming can improves salinity tolerance of salinity-susceptible and moderate tolerant rice cultivars.

Background

Salinity is a major problem in the production of cereal crops throughout the world (Ibrahim, 2016; Reddy et al., 2017; Walia et al., 2007). Continual salt intrusion as a result of global warming (J. Wang et al., 2018) and irrigation practices (Reddy et al., 2017) increases soil salinity. Rice (\textit{Oryza sativa} L.) is sensitive to salinity (Yoshida et al., 1976), especially during the seedling stage (Sakina et al., 2016; Zhao et al.,
2014); however, it is the only cereal crop that is recommended for growing in saline land. This is due to the ability of some rice plants to grow well in stagnant land and to leach ionic salts from the surface of the soil to the soil beneath. By diluting the salts, the plants increase the availability of nutrients such as iron, manganese, nitrogen, phosphorus, and silicon, as well as conserve nitrogen and reduce water stress (Lafitte et al., 2004). Saline land in coastal areas has great potential for crop cultivation and supporting food security, so engineering high-yield, salt-tolerant rice genotypes is an important goal. The susceptibility or tolerance of rice plants to salinity stress is determined by the coordinated action of multiple stress-responsive genes, which also associate with other components of stress signal transduction pathways (Reddy et al., 2017).

Seed priming is one option for alleviating the effects of salinity stress and preserving plant metabolism under saline conditions. Seed priming increases the natural endurance potential of the seed under abiotic stress, representing a value-added solution that can be implemented at an early stage of rice production to induce a mechanism that resists salinity stress during later growth (Yang et al., 2018). Many researchers have used conventional plant breeding to develop salt-tolerant cultivars, but seed priming is a simple and promising technique for improving plants’ stress tolerance that does not require producing a genetically modified organism (Moreno et al., 2018). The Different seed priming treatments in wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) seeds increase salinity tolerance, and osmopriming techniques with CaCl$_2$ are the most effective treatments for obtaining higher grain yields (Jafar et al., 2012). Then, use of n-Fe$_2$O$_3$ as a pre-sowing seed treatment can increase the germination and growth of sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*) seeds and protect the plants from salinity stress (Maswada et al., 2018). The effectiveness of priming treatments in *Chenopodium quinoa* and *Amaranthus caudatus* seeds to improve germination under salt stress had been evaluated. The results showed that seed hydropriming and osmopriming caused significant improvements in germination velocity and uniformity, reflected in high final germination percentages, high germination indexes, and reduced mean germination times under salinity. *C. quinoa* had a higher tolerance to salinity than *A. caudatus* during seed germination (Moreno et al., 2018).

The transmembrane movement of Na$^+$ and K$^+$ in plants is mediated by several types of transporters and/or channels, and many transporters have been implicated in Na$^+$ exclusion from leaves (Wangsawang et al., 2018). These include members of the high-affinity K$^+$ transporter (*HKT*) family, such as *OsHKT2;1* (*OsHKT1*) and *OsHKT2;4*, which are expressed in the outer part of the root and in the root hairs and may provide entry points for Na$^+$ into plant roots from the soil (Wangsawang et al., 2018). By contrast, *O. sativa SOS1* (*OsSOS1*) is implicated in the conservation of the salt-sensitive pathway in rice (Martínez-Atienza et al., 2007). In addition to Na$^+$ exclusion, plants may avoid toxic Na$^+$ accumulation in the cytosol by sequestering excess Na$^+$ in vacuoles, which is mediated by the Na$^+$/ H$^+$ antiporter (*NHX7*) localized in the vacuolar membranes (Wangsawang et al., 2018).

Hydroponic culture is a reliable method of assessing the response of genotypes to salt stress (Sakina et al., 2016). Evaluations of responses to salinity stress in different plant species using hydroponic culture have been well documented (Manimaran et al., 2017; Sakina et al., 2016; Walia et al., 2007; W. S. Wang et
al., 2016; Widodo et al., 2009), and all of these studies used Yoshida's solution as a nutrient. Yoshida's nutrient solution, which is routinely used for growing rice plants in hydroponic cultures, consists of macronutrients and micronutrients needed by plants to grow well (Yoshida et al., 1976).

Pigmented rice is widely consumed because of its high nutritional value and antioxidant contents, which benefit human health (Sutrisno et al., 2018). Several Indonesian black rice cultivars are reportedly resistant to bacterial blight disease (Sutrisno et al., 2018). The possible involvement of the antioxidant gene(s) in such defense under drought and salinity stresses in leaves of Indonesian black rice (*Oryza sativa* Cv. Cempo Ireng Pendek (CI Pendek)) seedlings had been studied (Purwestri & Refli, 2016). They found that dismutation of superoxide radicals and biosynthesis of reduced ascorbic acid in the glutathione—ascorbate cycle within cells were lower in seedlings under drought stress, so the oxidative damage to seedlings under drought was higher than that under salinity, indicating that CI Pendek is more resistant to salinity stress than drought stress. Our preliminary study showed that Indonesian black rice (CI Pendek) and red rice (Cv. Merah Kalimantan Selatan (Merah Kalsel)) will grow on media with concentrations of up to 200 mM NaCl after seed priming treatment.

Several priming techniques are available; depending on the priming agents, they are classified as hydropriiming, osmopriming, halopriming, hormone priming, hardening, solid matrix priming, humidification and stratification, or thermal shock. The first four approaches are the most commonly used (Nawaz et al., 2013)(Paparella et al., 2015). In this study, we used a halopriming technique combining NaCl, CaCl₂, KCl, KNO₃, and H₂O₂ to induce salinity tolerance in (i) Indonesian pigmented rice (CI Pendek and Merah Kalsel), (ii) salinity-tolerant rice (*O. sativa* Cv. Pokkali (Pokkali)), and (iii) salinity-susceptible rice (*O. sativa* Cv. IR 64 (IR 64)). The objectives of this study were to (a) determine the effect of seed halopriming on the salinity resistance of rice seedlings with different tolerance levels; (b) identify some morpho-physiological changes of rice plants in the early growth stage after halopriming treatment of seeds; and (c) study the molecular mechanism of salinity resistance based on transporter gene expression in rice seedlings after seed halopriming treatment.

**Materials And Methods**

**Rice materials**

Two Indonesian pigmented rice cultivars, Cv. Merah Kalsel and Cv. CI Pendek were obtained from the germplasm collection at Gadjah Mada University in Indonesia. The seeds for the other two cultivars, Pokkali and IR 64, were obtained from the Indonesian Center for Rice Research (ICRR).

**Methods**

**Seed priming treatment**

Four selected rice cultivar seeds were surface-sterilized by soaking in 10% sodium hypochlorite solution for 15 min, followed by washing three times with distilled water, each for 15 min. The sterilized seeds
were then soaked in a solution consisting of 100 mM NaCl, 2.2% CaCl₂, 2.2% KCl, 2.2% KNO₃, and 50 mM H₂O₂ for 48-hr priming. NaCl, CaCl₂, KCl, KNO₃, and H₂O₂ of 99.9% purity and ultra-pure water were used to adjust concentrations. The resulting seeds were dried back to their original moisture content before use. Unprimed dry seeds were used as a control.

Plant growth condition and salinity treatment

Unprimed and primed seeds were imbibed in distilled water at 27/28°C for 12 h in dark condition. For germination and seedling establishment, the seeds were placed on moist filter paper over a Petri dish for 7 days until the second or third leaf of the seedlings emerged, in controlled conditions at 30/27°C day/night, with a photoperiod regime of 12/12 h day/night. The resulting sprouts were watered with distilled water every day. Three to five 7-day-old rice seedlings were transplanted to a black seed tray (size: 28 cm × 10 cm with a 21 hole), so each tray could accomodate 63-105 seedlings. The trays were placed in plastic containers (size: 35 cm × 30 cm × 15 cm) filled with Yoshida's solution (9.14% NH₄NO₃, 4.03% NaH₂PO₄ • 2H₂O, 7.14% K₂SO₄, 8.86% CaCl₂, 32.40% MgSO₄ • 7H₂O, 0.15% MnCl • 4 H₂O, 0.0074% (NH₄)₆ • Mo₇O₂₄ • 4H₂O, 0.0934% H₂BO₃, 0.0035% ZnSO₄ • 7H₂O, 0.0031% CuSO₄ • 5H₂O, 0.77% FeCl₃ • 6H₂O, 1.19% C₆H₈O₇ • H₂O, and 5% H₂SO₄). The pH of the nutrient solutions was maintained between 5.0 and 5.5 with 2 N HCl or 2 N NaOH throughout the growth period as described by Yoshida (Yoshida et al., 1976).

The seedlings were grown in an environmentally controlled greenhouse in the Research Center for Biotechnology, Gadjah Mada University, in Indonesia at 25–32°C with a 12-h light/12-h dark photoperiod. The nutrient solution was renewed every 7 days, and plants were doused with distilled water daily as compensation for loss of water due to evapotranspiration. Salinity treatments were performed on 21-day-old seedlings by adding NaCl to the nutrient solution until a final concentration of 200 mM NaCl or an electrical conductivity (ECw) of 21.1 dS m⁻¹ was reached. The non-saline control (fed with Yoshida solution only) had an ECw of 1.1 dS m⁻¹ (Bado et al., 2016). Salt stress symptoms were assessed according to the Standard Evaluation System for Rice (SES) used by the IRRI (Bado et al., 2016) (Wangsawang et al., 2018). To assess visual damage, ten seedlings were scored as follows: 1 (highly tolerant), 3 (tolerant), 5 (moderate), 7 (sensitive) and 9 (highly sensitive) after 7 days of salinity stress. The experiments had a split-split plot design with three replicates. The treatment groups were (i) without priming (unprimed) and non-stressed; (ii) unprimed and stressed with 200 mM NaCl solution; (iii) primed and non-stressed, and (iv) primed and stressed with 200 mM NaCl solution for each rice cultivar.

Measurement of chlorophyll and relative water content

Total chlorophyll content (CC) was measured for 7 days after salt treatment using a chlorophyll meter (Konica Minolta SPAD 502 Plus, Japan). Relative water content (RWC) was measured according to the methods described by (Wu et al., 2018). RWC was calculated as follows:

\[ \text{RWC} (%) = \left( \frac{\text{FW} - \text{DW}}{\text{TW} - \text{DW}} \right) \times 100 \]

Plant fresh weight (FW) was measured immediately after harvest. The plants were subsequently soaked in deionized water for 8 h at 4°C. Then the plants were
quickly weighed the turgid weight (TW) and their dry mass (DW) was measured after oven drying at 105°C for 10 min, followed by 80°C for 24 h.

**Measurement of plant growth responses**

Ten plants of each rice cultivar were harvested from the pots after completion of the experiment. The root length and plant height were subsequently measured. Root and shoot biomass were determined after drying the samples at 70°C for 72 h.

**Determination of Na\(^+\) and K\(^+\) ion content**

Root and leaf tissues from each individual plant were harvested 0, 4, and 7 d after stress treatment. The root and leaf samples were finely ground into powder after drying in an oven. The Na\(^+\) and K\(^+\) ion contents were quantified according to Manimaran et al. (2017), with minor modification. Dried leaf and root samples (500 mg) were placed in digestion tubes containing 5 ml of a nitric acid and perchloric acid (5:1, v/v) mixture; the tubes were incubated overnight. The next day, the tubes were subjected to 8 h of digestion at 175°C with gradual increases in the heat until 300°C was reached, to allow the mixture to clear. The digested liquid was cooled overnight, followed by filtering through Whatman no. 1 filter paper. Then the volume was brought to 50 ml with deionized water. Sodium and potassium concentrations were analyzed using an atomic absorption spectrophotometer (AAS, Varian-240 FS). Ion concentrations in each sample were estimated using Na\(^+\) and K\(^+\) standard curves.

**Expression analysis of transporter genes**

Root and leaf tissues from each individual plant were harvested at 0, 6, and 24 h after priming and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. Then the tissues were ground into a powder using a mortar and pestle under liquid nitrogen. RNA was isolated using the RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen). The RNA concentration was determined by Nanodrop spectrophotometer. The primers for the transporter genes (OsSOS1, OsNHX1, and OsHKT1) were designed using the online Primer3 0.4.0 software (http://bioinfo.ut.ee/primer3-0.4.0/) based on the *O. sativa* Japonica Group sequence data (Table 1). The RNA (1 µg) was subjected to cDNA synthesis using the Superscript III First-Strand Synthesis System for reverse transcription (Invitrogen). Quantitative reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) was performed using SYBR® Green Mastermix (Bio-Rad). The reaction mixture contained 5 µl of SYBR® Green Mastermix, 0.75 µl of forward primer, 0.75 µl of reverse primer, 1 µl of cDNA, and 2.5 µl of nuclease-free water. RT-qPCR was performed with the following cycles: an initial incubation at 95°C for 30 s, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 10 s and extension at 55°C for 40 s. Relative expression levels of the gene transcripts were calculated by using the 2\(^ {-\Delta\Delta CT} \) method (Livak & Schmittgen, 2001). The ubiquitin gene was used as an internal control gene to normalize gene expression (Sutrisno et al., 2018). The sequences of the primers used are listed in Table 1.
Table 1
Primers used for RT-qPCR

| Genes   | Sequences (5’→3’) | Number of bases | % GC content | \( T_m \) (°C) | Product size (kb) |
|---------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------|----------------|------------------|
| OsSOS1  | F: acgcaaggcaatagaagagg  | 20             | 50.00        | 59.48          | 164              |
|         | R: ttggctggtccaacaattac | 20             | 45.00        | 58.48          |                  |
| OsNHX1  | F: cgggatgattgttggttct   | 20             | 45.00        | 59.79          | 128              |
|         | R: cccgccaactaaagatggta  | 20             | 50.00        | 59.95          |                  |
| OsHKT1  | F: gctcaaggccctcacaaag  | 20             | 50.00        | 59.99          | 152              |
|         | R: ggcccaattagaaacctgaa  | 20             | 45.00        | 59.02          |                  |
| Ubiquitin | F: cacaagaaggtgaagctgc  | 20             | 55.00        | 62.00          | 183              |
|         | R: ctctctggtgtagacgtagg  | 21             | 52.00        | 64.00          |                  |

\( T_m \): melting temperature

---

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed using SAS 9.1 for Windows (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was carried out independently for each measurement using the GLM (general linear model) procedure of SAS. The standard errors are shown as an estimate of variability. Differences between the means were compared by least significant difference (LSD) at \( p < 0.05 \) and \( p < 0.01 \). The data are presented as the means and standard error (SE) of three replicates.

**Results**

**Salt stress symptoms**

To assess the salinity tolerance of each rice cultivar after seed priming treatment, we used the SES to score the visual symptoms of salt toxicity (Gregorio et al., 1997). On the basis of previous publications, we chose Pokkali as a positive control for salinity tolerance, whereas we used IR 64 as a salinity-susceptible control (Bado et al., 2016; Gregorio et al., 1997). We also used IR 64 to examine whether our seed priming technique increased salinity resistance in a salinity-sensitive rice cultivar. Salt treatment with up to 200 mM NaCl increased the salinity tolerance of Pokkali, a salinity-tolerant rice cultivar. Thus, we used a 200 mM NaCl treatment for further study.
We observed the initial signs of salt stress damage in the oldest leaves, which started to desiccate and roll inward (Table 2). Signs of damage were observed in the unprimed IR 64 rice cultivar on the first day after 200 mM NaCl treatment. Three days after the treatment, signs of salt stress damage also appeared in unprimed Merah Kalsel. Four days after the treatment, the oldest leaves of primed CI Pendek started to desiccate and roll inward. Five days after the treatment, unprimed Pokkali, unprimed CI Pendek, primed Merah Kalsel, and primed IR 64 showed signs of damage due to salt stress. Primed Pokkali seedlings looked nearly normal at 7 days after treatment.

The Standard Evaluation System for Rice (SES) was used to assess visual salt damage in seedlings at 7 days after salinization (200 mM NaCl). SES scores: 1 (highly tolerant), 3 (tolerant), 5 (moderately tolerant), 7 (sensitive) and 9 (highly sensitive). Values are the means of ten seedlings ± SE.
Scoring was performed 7 days after salinization (Table 3). The SES scores of three cultivars decreased after seed priming; CI Pendek was the exception. Pokkali had the lowest SES scores for both unprimed and primed seedlings (Table 3), which is consistent with its phenotype; the damage levels of these two groups of seedlings appeared to be almost the same (FIGURE 1; Table 3). Unprimed CI Pendek and primed CI Pendek had similar SES scores and showed similar phenotypes under salinity stress (FIGURE 1; Table 3). Unprimed Merah Kalsel exhibited growth retardation, and most of its lower leaves rolled. Furthermore, some of the oldest leaves in unprimed Merah Kalsel dried up, and only the two youngest leaves remained green (FIGURE 1). Because of these phenotypic changes, unprimed Merah Kalsel was assessed as moderately tolerant. After salinity stress, primed Merah Kalsel had a 3.6 ± 0.97 SES score and grew better than its unprimed counterpart (FIGURE 1; Table 3). IR 64 is a highly salinity-susceptible cultivar (Bado et al., 2016; Gregorio et al., 1997). Consistent with these reports, the SES score of unprimed IR 64 was 8.4 ± 0.97. Surprisingly, priming greatly increased the salinity tolerance of IR 64 (FIGURE 1; Table 3).

**Chlorophyll content and relative water content in leaves**

To quantify damage levels after salinity stress with and without priming, we first examined the total chlorophyll content (CC) in leaves. CCs in primed Pokkali and Merah Kalsel were higher than those in unprimed Pokkali and Merah Kalsel under salinity (FIGURE 2a). CCs of IR 64 leaves were similar in unprimed and primed treatments (FIGURE 2a). By contrast, CCs of primed CI Pendek plants were lower than those of unprimed CI Pendek plants under salinity (FIGURE 2a).

As with CC quantification, water content of whole plant is often measured to determine stress levels of a plant (Wangsawang et al., 2018). We observed no change in relative water content (RWC) in unprimed Merah Kalsel or salinity-tolerant, Pokkali and CI Pendek, regardless of salinity stress (FIGURE 2b). Without priming, salinity stress decreased the RWC in IR 64 (FIGURE 2b). Seed priming treatment led to a significantly increased RWC in IR 64 ($p < 0.01$; LSD test; FIGURE 2b). Although the extent of increased RWC was different between rice cultivars, similar effects were observed in primed Pokkali, Merah Kalsel, and CI Pendek (FIGURE 2b). These results suggest that seed priming increases the ability of rice plants to maintain their RWC.

**Plant growth responses**

To understand the effect of salinity stress on plant growth with and without priming, we next examined plant height, root length, and dry weight biomass (FIGURE 3). Overall, salinity stress led to decreased shoot length, root length, and dry biomass in seedlings of all four rice cultivars, regardless of priming. In unprimed controls, salinity stress led to decreased plant height, root length, and dry weight in all four rice cultivars. Once we primed seeds with NaCl, plant height and root length after salinity stress were partially rescued in Merah Kalsel and IR 64 compared with equivalent unprimed controls (FIGURE 3a). But we observed decreased plant height and root length in primed Pokkali and CI Pendek compared with unprimed controls after stress treatment (FIGURE 3a). Compared with the control plants, decreases in dry weight in unprimed IR 64 were the most severe of all the rice cultivars. When we primed IR 64 seeds, the
reduction in dry weight was well rescued. Furthermore, we detected only slight decreases in dry weight in the other three primed rice cultivars (FIGURE 3b). Although size changes in response to salinity in primed and unprimed plants differed between rice cultivars, seed priming sufficiently increased the level of salinity tolerance to affect dry weight.

**Na\(^+\) and K\(^+\) ion content**

To test whether ion transport differed between primed and unprimed seedlings after salinity stress, we next examined Na\(^+\) and K\(^+\) accumulations. Seed priming led to increased Na\(^+\) concentrations in Merah Kalsel roots but decreased Na\(^+\) concentrations in the roots of the other rice cultivars at 7 days of salinity treatment (FIGURE 4a). Furthermore, Na\(^+\) concentrations in primed IR 64 (\(p < .01\), LSD test) and primed Pokkali (\(p < .05\), LSD test) roots significantly decreased under salinity. Seed priming significantly increased Na\(^+\) accumulations in Merah Kalsel leaves under salinity treatment (\(p < .01\), LSD test) (FIGURE 4b).

Seed priming also affected K\(^+\) concentrations in roots and leaves. Under salinity stress, K\(^+\) concentrations in Pokkali roots decreased 4 days after salt treatment (FIGURE 4c). But K\(^+\) concentrations in Pokkali roots returned to or surpassed their original levels in both unprimed and primed seedlings 7 days after salinity stress (FIGURE 4c). K\(^+\) concentrations in unprimed and primed Merah Kalsel roots decreased 7 days after salinity treatment (FIGURE 4c). Both unprimed and primed CI Pendek roots showed the highest K\(^+\) concentrations 7 days after salt treatment (FIGURE 4c). Seed priming treatment in IR 64 resulted in decreased K\(^+\) concentrations in roots (FIGURE 4c). K\(^+\) concentration decreased 7 days after salinity stress in unprimed Pokkali, unprimed Merah Kalsel, and primed IR 64 leaves. K\(^+\) concentration decreased 4 days after salinity stress in primed Pokkali, primed Merah Kalsel, unprimed and primed CI Pendek, and unprimed IR 64 leaves but increased 7 days after salinity stress (FIGURE 4d).

**Determination of transporter gene expression**

To understand the molecular basis of salinity stress with and without priming, we assessed gene expression by qRT-PCR. The expression of *OsSOS1* and *OsNHX1* in IR 64 leaves induced after seed priming treatment. On the other hand, the expression of *OsHKT1* increased in roots after seed priming treatment (FIGURE 5). In roots, the expression of *OsSOS1* increased in primed Pokkali, primed Merah Kalsel, and unprimed and primed CI Pendek after salinity stress (FIGURE 5a). In leaves, the expression of *OsSOS1* increased in primed Pokkali and unprimed Merah Kalsel Merah Kalsel after salinity stress (FIGURE 5b). The expression of *OsNHX1* increased in primed IR 64 leaves under saline conditions (FIGURE 5d). The expression of *OsHKT1* increased in primed Merah Kalsel and IR 64 roots under salinity stress (FIGURE 5e). The *OsHKT1* expression in unprimed Merah Kalsel and primed IR 64 leaves increased after salinity stress (FIGURE 5f).

**Discussion**
Soil salinity is a global problem that reduces crop yields substantially. Maswada et al. (2018) estimated that ~10 million hectares of land are degraded annually. Seed priming improves plant growth, especially under unfavorable condition (Farooq et al., 2005; Maswada et al., 2018). In this study, we compared the effects of salinity stress on salinity-tolerant rice (Pokkali), salinity-susceptible rice (IR 64), and two pigmented rice cultivars, the salinity-tolerant CI Pendek and the moderately salinity-tolerant Merah Kalsel, with and without priming. We also assessed differences in the morpho-physiology and gene expression of these plants after salinity stress. The methods used in this study would be useful in attempts to improve the physiological characteristics of rice for agriculture. The salinity tolerance of Pokkali and Merah Kalsel, the moderate tolerant rice cultivars, increased slightly but the salinity tolerance in CI Pendek decreased after seed priming treatment. Seed priming of a salinity-susceptible rice cultivar, IR 64, greatly increased salinity tolerance.

The CC of leaves in each rice cultivar increased after seed priming treatment but then decreased after salt stress as compared with that of controls in both unprimed and primed seedlings. The enhancement of photosynthetic pigments under hydro- and halopriming in all three rice varieties points toward a role for seed priming in positively influencing the synthesis of chlorophylls and carotenoids in seedlings growth from primed seeds. Seed priming in rice causes increases in chlorophyll and carotenoid contents under NaCl stress (Jamil et al., 2013). As a result of NaCl/polyethylene glycol stress, the photosynthetic pigment contents and the activity of photosystems decreased in all the varieties studied. These reductions may be due to the degradation of chlorophyll pigments or degradation of complexes involved in photosynthetic machinery (Jisha & Puthur, 2014). According to Abd el-Samad et al. (2011), the reduction in CC under osmotic stress may be due to the suppression of enzymes required for chlorophyll synthesis or the destruction of chloroplasts and instability of the pigment protein complex.

Salinity causes cellular dehydration and induces increased solute concentrations in plants, thereby increasing the osmotic potential and leading to ion toxicity (Yang et al., 2018). Relative water content—the measure of water status in terms of cellular hydration as a consequence of leaf water potential and osmotic adjustment—normally decreases at higher salinity levels (Razzaque et al., 2019). The RWC decreases under salinity stress, possibly due to lower external (medium) water potential as compared with internal (tissue) water potential. The osmotic potential of leaves becomes more negative with increasing salinity stress (Maswada et al., 2018). In this study, the RWCs in primed seedlings were higher than those in unprimed seedlings under salinity conditions. Similarly, Djanaguiraman et al. (2006) observed that seed priming with $n$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ at 100 and 500 mg/L significantly increased the RWC (%) in leaves rice at 36th date after sowing (DAS), leading to turgor maintenance that results in salt tolerance improvement in rice. The mechanism involved in the maintenance of turgor, namely osmotic adjustment, is accumulation of compatible solutes (Maswada et al., 2018). The accumulation of compatible solutes is often considered a basic strategy for protection of plants from salinity, and the compatible solutes accumulate in the cytosol, contribute to the decrease of cytoplasmic water potential, and act as osmoprotectants (Reddy et al., 2017). Rice plants require RWC content of more than 70% for healthy
growth, while RWC less than 60% is an indication of stress (Zhao et al., 2014). The salinity-susceptible rice (IR 64) was able to maintain RWC value above 70% after seed halopriming treatment.

Growth (plant height, root length, and whole-plant dry weight) decreased under salinity stress (200 mM NaCl) compared with that of controls (0 mM NaCl), in both unprimed and primed seedlings. High salinity levels caused simultaneous reductions in seedling root and shoot dry biomass production (Razzaque et al., 2019). However, seed priming increased plant height, root length, and whole-plant dry weight in Merah Kalsel and IR 64 but not in salinity-tolerant rice. The increased growth and biomass associated with seed priming could be due to enhanced photosynthetic rates, photosystem II efficiency, water uptake, and decreased membrane damage (Maswada et al., 2018). The significant increases in chlorophyll content ($p < 0.05$; LSD), as observed in this study, might be due to the enhanced biomass.

To determine the mechanisms underlying salinity tolerance in rice, we analyzed expression profiles of the transporter gene(s)/genes encoding Na$^+$ transport proteins. The Na$^+$/H$^+$ antiporter, OsSOS1, localized in the plasma membrane, is considered a general regulator of Na$^+$ export from cytosol (Shi et al., 2002). Our study showed a higher level of induced expression of the OsSOS1 gene in salinity-tolerant rice, which might be responsible for relatively low Na$^+$ accumulation in roots under salt stress. Salinity stress induced expression of the OsSOS1 gene in leaves in salinity-tolerant rice and primed seedlings. Relative expression of the other Na$^+$/H$^+$ antiporter, OsNHX1, induced in primed seedling leaves under salinity stress, might be responsible for increased Na$^+$ accumulation in the leaf vacuoles under salt stress. The Na$^+$/H$^+$ antiporter plays an important role in tolerance to salt stress by exchanging Na$^+$ and H$^+$ across the plasma or vacuolar membrane. The tonoplast Na$^+$/H$^+$ antiporter, which has been identified in several plant species, transports Na$^+$ from the cytoplasm into vacuoles, thereby increasing the cytoplasmic K$^+$/Na$^+$ ratio and protecting cells from sodium toxicity (Fukuda et al., 1999). The functions of the OsSOS1 and OsNHX1 proteins are recognized as key determinants of salinity tolerance in higher plants (Wangsawang et al., 2018). Na$^+$ transporter, OsHKT1, is one of the main regulators of Na$^+$ accumulation in shoots, this gene plays a role in the mechanism of exclusion of Na$^+$ ions from shoots by recruiting Na$^+$ ions from xylem and transporting them to xylem parenchyma cells in the root (Wangsawang et al., 2018). In this study, qRT-PCR analyses showed that salinity stress induces relative expression of OsHKT1, which may cause reduced Na$^+$ accumulation in the leaves.

Among salinity-tolerant traits in glycophytes, the most significant plant adaptation to salinity is the ability to restrict the transport and accumulation of Na$^+$ in the leaf blades (Mekawy et al., 2015). Thus, seed priming increases Na$^+$ concentrations in leaves, making them better able to handle salinity stress. This restricted transport of Na$^+$ to the leaves is often accompanied by a reduced Na$^+$/K$^+$ ratio, which is relevant for the sustainability of normal metabolic functions (Tester & Davenport, 2003). The other favorable trait we observed in salinity-tolerant rice was the maintenance of higher K$^+$ concentrations in the leaves under both control and salinity stress conditions. Maintenance of higher K$^+$ concentrations, and thus lower Na$^+$/K$^+$ ratios in the tissues, is detrimental to the salinity tolerance of glycophytes because
accumulation of Na$^+$ in the cytosol disrupts K$^+$-dependent biochemical reactions that are essential for plant growth. Earlier reports suggested that Ca$^{2+}$ helps in the maintenance of cellular membrane integrity, thus reducing Na$^+$ concentrations and favoring K$^+$ absorption (Ashraf et al., 2003). Decreased Na$^+$ uptake and improved K$^+$ uptake are among the important indicators of salinity tolerance (Wangsawang et al., 2018). The ability of plants to limit Na$^+$ transport to shoots is important for the maintenance of growth rates and protection of the metabolic process in elongation cells from the toxic effects of Na$^+$ (Razmjoo et al., 2008). Physiologically, the beneficial effects of these priming treatments can be attributed to increased accumulations of K$^+$ with simultaneous decreases in Na$^+$ uptake (Yang et al., 2018).

**Conclusion**

Seed halopriming significantly ($p < 0.01$; LSD) increased the level of salinity tolerance significantly in salinity-susceptible rice, IR 64, and moderately tolerant rice, Merah Kalsel. After seed priming treatment, IR 64 and Merah Kalsel seedling survived under high salinity stress. Induction of expression of the *OsSOS1* gene in susceptible rice, IR 64, after halopriming seed treatment leads to balance the osmotic pressure by ion exclusion mechanisms, so that be tolerant to salinity stress. However, seed halopriming decreased SES scores in the salinity-tolerant cultivars Pokkali and CI Pendek but did not affect their salinity tolerance.

**Abbreviations**
| Term            | Description                                      |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| SES Scoring     | Standard Evaluation System Scoring               |
| *OsHKT1*        | Oryza sativa High Affinity K⁺ Transporter 1      |
| *OsSOS1*        | Oryza sativa Salt-Overly Sensitive 1             |
| *OsNHX1*        | Oryza sativa Na⁺/H⁺ antiporter 1                 |
| ECw             | electrical conductivity                           |
| RWC             | Relative Water Content                           |
| q-RT PCR        | Quantitative-Real Time Polymerase Chain Reaction |
| NaCl            | Natrium Chloride / Sodium Chloride               |
| CaCl₂           | Calcium Chloride                                 |
| KCl             | Potassium chloride                               |
| KNO₃            | Potassium nitrate                                |
| H₂O₂            | Hydrogen peroxide                                |
| NH₄NO₃          | Ammonium nitrate                                 |
| NaH₂PO₄•2H₂O    | Sodium phosphate monobasic dihydrate             |
| K₂SO₄           | Potassium sulfate                                |
| MgSO₄•7H₂O      | magnesium sulfate heptahydrate                   |
| MnCl•4H₂O       | Manganese (II) Chloride Tetrahydrate             |
| (NH₄)₆•MO₇O₂₄•4H₂O | Molybdic acid ammonium salt tetrahydrate       |
| H₃BO₃           | Boric acid                                       |
| ZnSO₄•7H₂O      | Zinc sulfate heptahydrate                        |
| CuSO₄•5H₂O      | Copper sulfate pentahydrate                      |
| FeCl₃•6H₂O      | Iron(III) chloride hexahydrate                   |
| C₆H₈O₇•H₂O      | Citric acid monohydrate                          |
| H₂SO₄           | Sulfuric acid                                    |
| NaOH            | Sodium hydroxide                                 |
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**Figures**
Figure 1

Most of tested cultivars were less affected by salinity stress after priming. For each cultivar, an example of the following treatment groups is shown: (from left to right) (a) unprimed and non-stressed, (b) unprimed and stressed with 200 mM NaCl solution, (c) primed and non-stressed, and (d) primed and stressed with 200 mM NaCl solution.

Figure 2

Seed priming significantly increased relative water content (RWC) of IR 64 seedlings. Chlorophyll contents were measured for 7 days after salinity treatment; relative water content in the whole plant with and
without priming was measured 7 days after salinity stress. Values are means of three replicates ± SE. *$p < 0.05$, **$p < 0.01$, LSD test.

Figure 3

Seed priming significantly increased dry weight of IR 64 seedlings. (a) plant height and root length and (b) dry weight of whole plant with and without priming were measured 7 days of salinity stress. Values are means of three replicates ± SE.
Seed priming significantly reduced the accumulation of Na\(^+\) and K\(^+\) concentrations in root of salinity susceptible rice, IR 64 (a and c), but increased the accumulation of Na\(^+\) and K\(^+\) concentrations in seedlings leaves of moderate tolerant rice, Merah Kalsel (b and d) 7 days after salinity treatment. Na\(^+\) and K\(^+\) ion concentration in roots (a and c, respectively) and leaves (b and d, respectively) were measured at 0, 4, and 7 days of salinity treatment (200 mM NaCl). Values are means of three replicates ± SE. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, LSD test. DW: dry weight.
Figure 5

Relative expression of transporter genes in roots and leaves as compared with ubiquitin. Expression was measured at 0, 6, and 24 hours of salinity treatment (200 mM NaCl). Values are means of three replicates ± SE.