Usefulness of Heavy Drinking and Binge Drinking for the Diagnosis of Alcohol Use Disorder
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Background: This research investigated the sensitivity and specificity of heavy and binge drinking for screening of alcohol use disorder.

Methods: This retrospective study was conducted with 976 adults who visited the Sun Health Screening Center for health screenings in 2015. Daily drinking amount, drinking frequency per week, and weekly drinking amount were investigated. Using criteria from the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism, participants were classified as normal drinkers, heavy drinkers, or binge drinkers, and grouped by age and sex. The sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), and negative predictive value (NPV) of heavy and binge drinking were compared for the diagnosis of alcohol abuse and alcohol dependence using the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM) 4th edition-text revision and alcohol use disorder using the DSM 5th edition.

Results: The sensitivity of heavy and binge drinking for the diagnosis of alcohol abuse, alcohol dependence, and alcohol use disorder were 51.7%, 43.8%, and 35.3%, and 69.0%, 62.5%, and 48.2%, respectively. The specificity of these were 90.1%, 91.7%, and 95.5%, and 84.3%, 86.8%, and 91.2%, respectively. The PPV of these were 51.7%, 43.8%, and 35.3%, and 69.0%, 62.5%, and 48.2%, respectively. The NPV of these were 69.0%, 62.5%, and 48.2%, respectively.

Conclusion: Heavy and binge drinking did not show enough diagnostic power to screen DSM alcohol use disorder although they did show high specificity and NPV.
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INTRODUCTION

Excessive alcohol drinking causes many problems both socially and economically.1,2) Therefore, it is important to address alcohol-related problems using appropriate diagnostic standards. In clinical practice, the guidelines proposed by the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism (NIAAA) are widely used.3,4) According to the NIAAA, healthy males aged less than 65 years should not drink more than four standard drinks per day or 14 standard drinks per week (a standard drink is any drink that contains approximately 14 g of pure alcohol). Healthy males aged 65 years and older and healthy females should not drink more than three standard drinks per day or seven standard drinks per week. The NIAAA defines an alcohol intake per drinking time that exceeds these amounts as binge drinking. A weekly alcohol intake that exceeds these amounts is defined by the NIAAA as heavy drinking. An alcohol-drinking pattern classified as either binge drinking or heavy drinking is also termed at-risk drinking.

Other academic and scientific criteria are also used to diagnose alcohol-drinking disorders. The Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM), published by the American Psychiatric Association, sets out the standard diagnostic criteria for the classification of mental disorders, including alcohol-drinking disorders. In May 2013, the DSM was revised from the DSM 4th edition-text revision (DSM-IV-TR) to the DSM 5th edition (DSM-5). Considerable changes were made in the DSM-5,5,6) including to the terminology and the diagnostic threshold. Additionally, several additions and deletions were made to the diagnostic criteria.

Alcohol-screening tools enable the detection of alcohol use disorder (AUD) according to the DSM criteria. However, these alcohol-screening tools can be difficult to use due to the limited time available in clinical practice. In contrast, the heavy- and binge-drinking standards of the NIAAA have been widely used in clinical practice due to their simplicity. Therefore, this study was conducted to investigate the diagnostic power of heavy- and binge-drinking standards for alcohol abuse and dependence as defined by the DSM-IV-TR, and for AUD as defined by the DSM-5.

METHODS

1. Participants

The participants comprised 976 adults (515 males, 461 females) who visited the Sun Health Screening Center for health screenings in 2015. As drinking is forbidden for youths in Korea, the participants were limited to those aged 19 years and older in accordance with the Republic of Korea Youth Protection Act, which defines a youth as a person less than 19 years old. During an interview before health screening, anyone who had recently experienced illness of sufficient severity to interfere with usual drinking habits was excluded from the study. The institutional review board of Korea's National Institute for Bioethics Policy approved this study (IRB number: P01-201512-21-004).

2. Data Collection

Gender, age, weekly alcohol intake, and daily average alcohol intake were obtained from the health-screening center's basic questionnaire. The weekly alcohol-drinking amount was determined using the question “How many days do you drink in a week?” Multiple responses to this question were possible, as follows: do not drink, 1 day, 2 days, 3 days, 4 days, 5 days, 6 days, or 7 days.

The daily average alcohol-drinking amount was determined using the question “When drinking, how much do you drink on average per day?” The possible responses to this question were as follows: “I drink beer/soju/whisky/rice wine/wine,” together with a short answer “I drink ( ) glasses a day.”

To convert daily average alcohol intake into standard drinks (one standard drink = 14 g of alcohol), a glass of soju was multiplied by 4/7 and a glass of beer by 1/2. Glasses of wine, rice wine, and whisky were not converted. The data of drinks per week were obtained by multiplying the average standard drinks per drinking day by the number of drinking frequency per week.

Heavy drinking was defined as drinking 15 standard drinks or more because the recommended amount is 14 drinks or fewer for males up to 65 years of age. For males over 65 years of age and females, heavy drinking was defined as drinking eight standard drinks or more because the recommended amount is seven drinks or fewer.

Binge drinking was defined as drinking five standard drinks or more because the recommended amount is four drinks or fewer for males up to 65 years of age. For males over 65 years of age and females, binge drinking was defined as drinking four standard drinks or more because the recommended amount is three drinks or fewer.

In addition, to investigate whether the participants were diagnosed with DSM-IV-TR alcohol abuse, alcohol dependence, and/or DSM-5 AUD, the following diagnostic interview regarding alcohol-drinking habits was administered to health-screening examinees: (1) “Alcohol is often taken in larger amounts or over a longer period than was intended.” (2) “There is a persistent desire or unsuccessful efforts to cut down or control alcohol use.” (3) “A great deal of time is spent in activities necessary to obtain alcohol (e.g., driving long distances), use alcohol, or recover from its effects.” (4) “Cranking, or a strong desire or urge to use alcohol.” (5) “Recurrence of alcohol use resulting in a failure to fulfill major role obligations at work, school, or home.” (6) “Continued alcohol use despite having persistent or recurrent social or interpersonal problems caused or exacerbated by the effects of the alcohol.” (7) “Important social, occupational, or recreational activities are given up or reduced because of alcohol use.” (8) “Recurrence alcohol use in situations in which it is physically hazardous (e.g., driving an automobile or operating a machine when impaired by alcohol).” (9) “Alcohol use is continued despite knowledge of having a persistent or recurrent physical or psychological problem that is likely to have been caused or exacerbated by the substance.” (10) “A need for markedly increased amounts of alcohol to achieve intoxication or the desired effect.” (11) “Alcohol is taken to relieve or avoid withdrawal symptoms (e.g., paroxysmal sweats, tremor, or anxiety).” (12) “Recurrence alcohol-related le-
Participants who responded “yes” to more than one of four questions (questions 5, 6, 8, and 12) were diagnosed with alcohol abuse using the DSM-IV-TR. Participants who responded “yes” to more than three of seven questions (questions 1, 2, 3, 7, 9, 10, and 11) were diagnosed with alcohol dependence using the DSM-IV-TR. Participants who responded “yes” to two or more questions (of the first 11) were diagnosed with DSM-5 AUD.

3. Statistical Analyses
Age, drinking frequency per week, drinks per drinking day, and drinks per week among the heavy-drinking group, non-heavy-drinking groups, binge-drinking group, and non-binge-drinking groups were compared using an independent t-test. The presence or absence of alcohol abuse, alcohol dependence, and AUD in the four groups was compared using a chi-square test. The sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), and negative predictive value (NPV) of heavy drinking and binge drinking for predicting alcohol abuse, alcohol dependence, and AUD were investigated. The areas under the receiver operating characteristic curves (AUROC) for alcohol abuse, alcohol dependence, and AUD were assessed. Windows SPSS ver. 13.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) and Windows Medcalc ver. 12.1.0.0 (MedCalc, Mariakerke, Belgium) were used for statistical analysis; a P-value <0.05 was regarded as statistically significant.

RESULTS

1. General Characteristics of Research Participants according to Heavy Drinking and Non-Heavy Drinking
The mean±standard deviation (SD) age of males in the heavy- and non-heavy-drinking groups were 47.20±9.37 and 46.33±9.36 years, respectively. There was no significant difference in age. Those of females in the heavy- and non-heavy-drinking groups were 41.44±8.20 and 45.25±9.28 years, respectively. The age of females was significantly (P<0.01) higher in the heavy-drinking group than in the non-heavy-drinking group.

In males, drinking frequency per week, drinks per drinking day, and drinks per week in the heavy- and non-heavy-drinking group were 3.38±1.30, 7.08±2.59, and 22.27±9.42, and 1.24±1.00, 2.84±20.5, and 15.21±9.03, respectively. In females, those of the heavy- and non-heavy-drinking group were 3.25±1.61, 4.96±1.95, and 15.21±9.03, and 0.60±0.81, 0.79±1.07, and 0.93±1.46, respectively. Drinking frequency per week, drinks per drinking day, and drinks per week were significantly (P<0.01) higher in the heavy-drinking group than in the non-heavy-drinking group.

Regarding the diagnostic interview results, the heavy-drinking group included 30 individuals with DSM-IV alcohol abuse (24.8%), 49 with DSM-IV alcohol dependence (40.5%), and 88 with DSM-5 AUD (72.7%). In males, the heavy-drinking group included 22 participants with DSM-IV alcohol abuse (24.7%), 40 with DSM-IV alcohol dependence (44.9%), and 67 with DSM-5 AUD (75.3%). In females, the heavy-drinking group included 8 participants with DSM-IV alcohol abuse (25.0%), 9 with DSM-IV alcohol dependence (28.1%), and 21 with DSM-5 AUD (65.6%). The non-heavy-drinking group included 28 participants with DSM-IV alcohol abuse (3.3%), 63 with DSM-IV alcohol dependence (7.4%), and 161 with DSM-5 AUD (18.8%). In males, the non-heavy-drinking group included 25 participants with DSM-IV alcohol abuse (5.9%), 58 with DSM-IV alcohol dependence (13.6%), and 138 with DSM-5 AUD (32.4%). In females, the non-heavy-drinking group included 3 participants with DSM-IV alcohol abuse (0.7%), 5 with DSM-IV alcohol dependence (1.2%), and 23 with DSM-5 AUD (5.4%). The values were significantly (P=0.000) higher in the heavy-drinking group than in the non-heavy-drinking group (Table 1).

2. General Characteristics of Research Participants according to Binge Drinking and Non-Binge Drinking
The mean±SD age of males in the binge- and non-binge-drinking groups were 44.05±8.52 and 47.48±9.52 years, respectively. The age of males was significantly (P=0.000) higher in the non-binge-drinking group than in the binge-drinking group. The age of females in the binge- and non-binge-drinking groups were 40.59±7.30 and 45.33±9.31 years, respectively. The age of females was significantly (P=0.000) higher in the non-binge-drinking group than in the binge-drinking group.

Table 1. General characteristics of participants according to heavy drinking and non-heavy drinking

| Variable                  | Heavy-drinking group (n=121) | Non-heavy-drinking group (n=855) | P-value* |
|---------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------|
|                           | Male (n=89)                  | Female (n=32)                  | Male (n=426) | Female (n=429) | Male | Female |
| Age (y)                   | 47.20±9.37                   | 41.44±8.20                     | 46.33±9.36 | 45.25±9.28 | 0.424 | 0.024 |
| Drinking frequency per week| 3.38±1.30                    | 3.25±1.61                      | 1.24±1.00 | 0.60±0.81 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Drinks* per drinking day  | 7.08±2.59                    | 4.96±1.95                      | 2.84±2.05 | 0.79±1.07 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Drinks* per week          | 22.27±9.42                   | 15.21±9.03                     | 4.39±4.07 | 0.93±1.46 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| DSM criteria              |                              |                                |           |           |      |        |
| DSM-IV alcohol abuse      | 22 (24.7)                    | 8 (25.0)                       | 25 (5.9)  | 3 (0.7)   | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| DSM-IV alcohol dependence | 40 (44.9)                    | 9 (28.1)                       | 58 (13.6) | 5 (1.2)   | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| DSM-5 alcohol use disorder| 67 (75.3)                    | 21 (65.6)                      | 138 (32.4)| 23 (5.4)  | 0.000 | 0.000 |

Values are presented as mean±standard deviation or number (%). DSM-IV, Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders 4th edition; DSM-5, Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders 5th edition. *By independent sample t-test or χ² test. *One standard drink: 14 g ethanol.
In males, drinking frequency per week, drinks per drinking day, and drinks per week in the binge- and non-binge-drinking groups were 2.25±1.16, 6.86±1.95, and 15.73±10.27, and 1.35±1.30, 2.22±1.52, and 4.09±4.77, respectively. In females, these values in the binge- and non-binge-drinking groups were 2.32±1.58, 5.47±1.61, and 12.91±10.17, and 0.66±0.97, 0.73±0.88, and 1.05±1.98, respectively. Drinking frequency per week, drinks per drinking day, and drinks per week were significantly (P=0.000) higher in the binge-drinking group than in the non-binge-drinking group.

Regarding the diagnostic interview results, the binge-drinking group included 40 participants with DSM-IV alcohol abuse (21.7%), 70 with DSM-IV alcohol dependence (38.0%), and 120 with DSM-5 AUD (65.2%). The number of male and female binge drinkers diagnosed with DSM-IV alcohol abuse, DSM-IV alcohol dependence, and DSM-5 AUD was 31 (20.7%), 59 (39.3%), and 99 (66.0%), and 9 (26.5%), 11 (32.4%), and 21 (61.8%), respectively. The non-binge-drinking group included 18 participants with DSM-IV alcohol abuse (2.3%), 42 with DSM-IV alcohol dependence (5.3%), and 129 with DSM-5 AUD (16.3%); these values were higher in the binge-drinking group than in the non-binge-drinking group. The number of male and female non-binge drinkers diagnosed with DSM-IV alcohol abuse, DSM-IV alcohol dependence, and DSM-5 AUD was 16 (4.4%), 39 (10.7%), and 106 (29.0%), and 2 (0.5%), 3 (0.7%), and 23 (5.4%) respectively; these values were significantly (P=0.000) higher in the binge-drinking group than in the non-binge-drinking group (Table 2).

3. Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV, NPV, and the AUROC of Heavy Drinking for the DSM-IV Alcohol Abuse and Alcohol Dependence, and the DSM-5 Alcohol Use Disorder

The sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV, and the AUROC of males over 65 years of age who drink over four drinks per drinking day for diagnosis of DSM-IV alcohol abuse were 66.7%, 73.9%, 20.4%, 95.7%, and 0.703, respectively. The corresponding values for diagnosis of DSM-IV alcohol dependence were 60.0%, 77.4%, 38.8%, 89.0%, and 0.687, respectively. The associated values for diagnosis of DSM-5 AUD were 49.2%, 82.9%, 65.3%, 71.5%, and 0.661, respectively. There were no significant differences in the AUROC among DSM-IV alcohol abuse, DSM-IV alcohol dependence, and DSM-5 AUD.

The sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV, and the AUROC of the heavy-drinking group for diagnosis of DSM-IV alcohol abuse were 51.7%, 90.1%, 24.8%, 96.7%, and 0.709, respectively. The corresponding values for diagnosis of DSM-IV alcohol dependence were 43.8%, 91.7%, 40.5%, 92.6%, and 0.677, respectively. The values for diagnosis of DSM-5 AUD were 35.3%, 95.5%, 72.7%, 81.2%, and 0.654, respectively. There were no significant differences in the AUROC among DSM-IV alcohol abuse, DSM-IV alcohol dependence, and DSM-5 AUD (Table 3).

4. Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV, NPV, and the AUROC of the Binge-Drinking Group for the DSM-IV Alcohol Abuse and Alcohol Dependence, and the DSM-5 Alcohol Use Disorder

The sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV, and the AUROC of males over 65 years of age who drink over four drinks per drinking day for diagnosis of DSM-IV alcohol abuse were 66.7%, 73.9%, 20.4%, 95.7%, and 0.703, respectively. The corresponding values for diagnosis of DSM-IV alcohol dependence were 60.0%, 77.4%, 38.8%, 89.0%, and 0.687, respectively. The associated values for diagnosis of DSM-5 AUD were 49.2%, 82.9%, 65.3%, 71.5%, and 0.661, respectively. There were no significant differences in the AUROC among DSM-IV alcohol abuse, DSM-IV alcohol dependence, and DSM-5 AUD.

The sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV, and the AUROC of males over 65 years of age and females who drink over 7 drinks per week for diagnosis of DSM-IV alcohol abuse were 69.2%, 94.0%, 24.3%, 99.1%, and 0.816, respectively. The corresponding values for diagnosis of DSM-IV alcohol dependence were 64.7%, 94.4%, 29.7%, 98.7%, and 0.796, respectively. The values for diagnosis of DSM-5 AUD were 48.1%, 97.4%, 70.2%, 93.7%, and 0.728, respectively. There were no significant differences in the AUROC among DSM-IV alcohol abuse, DSM-IV alcohol dependence, and DSM-5 AUD.

The sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV, and the AUROC of the heavy-drinking group for diagnosis of DSM-IV alcohol abuse were 51.7%, 90.1%, 24.8%, 96.7%, and 0.709, respectively. The corresponding values for diagnosis of DSM-IV alcohol dependence were 43.8%, 91.7%, 40.5%, 92.6%, and 0.677, respectively. The values for diagnosis of DSM-5 AUD were 35.3%, 95.5%, 72.7%, 81.2%, and 0.654, respectively. There were no significant differences in the AUROC among DSM-IV alcohol abuse, DSM-IV alcohol dependence, and DSM-5 AUD (Table 3).

---

Table 2. General characteristics of participants according to binge drinking and non-binge drinking

| Variable              | Binge-drinking group (n=184) | Non-binge-drinking group (n=792) | P-value* |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------|
|                       | Male (n=150)                  | Female (n=34)                    | Male (n=365) | Female (n=427) |
| Age (y)               | 44.05±8.52                    | 40.59±7.30                       | 47.48±9.52 | 45.33±9.31 | 0.000 | 0.004 |
| Drinking frequency per week | 2.25±1.16                    | 2.32±1.58                       | 1.35±1.30 | 0.66±0.97 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Drinks per drinking day | 6.86±1.95                    | 5.47±1.61                       | 2.22±1.52 | 0.73±0.88 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Drinks per week       | 15.73±10.27                   | 12.91±10.17                      | 4.09±4.77 | 1.05±1.98 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| DSM criteria          |                               |                                  |          |          |      |      |
| DSM-IV alcohol abuse  | 31 (20.7)                     | 9 (26.5)                         | 16 (4.4)  | 2.0 (0.5) | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| DSM-IV alcohol dependence | 59 (39.3)                   | 11 (32.4)                        | 39 (10.7) | 3 (0.7)  | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| DSM-5 alcohol use disorder | 96 (66.0)                    | 21 (61.8)                        | 106 (29.0) | 23 (5.4) | 0.000 | 0.000 |

Values are presented as mean±standard deviation or number (%).

DSM-IV, Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders 4th edition; DSM-5, Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders 5th edition.

*By independent sample t-test or χ² test. **One standard drink: 14 g ethanol.
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study revealed that using the cut-down, annoyed, guilty, and eye-opener (CAGE) with the drinking standard suggested by the NIAAA was more effective than the use of CAGE alone.6 Many problem drinkers tend to obtain alcohol-related counseling by chance during health checkups at a health-screening center rather than visiting an alcohol clinic for counseling. Recently in Korea, a large number of health-screening centers that provide health checkups to large numbers of people in a short time have been established.7 In many cases, health-screening centers administer questionnaires about past medical history, obesity, smoking, alcohol drinking, and exercise, consisting of only one or two questions each. In most health-screening centers, questions about alcohol drinking ask only about the type of alcohol beverage, drinking frequency per week, and number of drinks per drinking day. However, it is possible to apply the heavy-drinking and binge-drinking standards suggested by the NIAAA to the patient’s age and sex using this limited questionnaire. Accordingly, it is meaningful to evaluate the heavy- and binge-drinking standards of the DSM diag-

Table 3. Sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV, and the AUROC of heavy drinking for the DSM-IV alcohol abuse and alcohol dependence, and the DSM-5 alcohol use disorder

| DSM-IV alcohol abuse | Sensitivity | Specificity | PPV | NPV | AUROC |
|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-----|-----|-------|
| Total heavy-drinking group* | 30/58 (51.7) | 827/918 (89.1) | 30/121 (24.8) | 827/855 (96.7) | 0.709 |
| Males up to age 65 | 21/45 (46.7) | 386/449 (86.0) | 21/84 (25.0) | 386/410 (94.1) | 0.663 |
| Males over age 65/females | 9/13 (69.2) | 441/469 (94.0) | 9/37 (24.3) | 441/445 (99.1) | 0.816 |
| DSM-IV alcohol dependence | 49/122 (41.2) | 792/864 (91.7) | 49/121 (40.5) | 792/855 (92.6) | 0.677 |
| Males up to age 65 | 38/95 (40.0) | 353/399 (88.5) | 38/84 (45.2) | 353/410 (86.1) | 0.642 |
| Males over age 65/females | 11/17 (64.7) | 439/465 (94.4) | 11/37 (29.7) | 439/445 (98.7) | 0.796 |
| DSM-5 alcohol use disorder | 88/249 (35.3) | 694/727 (95.5) | 88/121 (72.7) | 694/855 (81.2) | 0.654 |
| Males up to age 65 | 62/105 (59.0) | 277/299 (92.6) | 62/84 (73.8) | 277/410 (67.6) | 0.622 |
| Males over age 65/females | 26/54 (48.1) | 417/428 (97.4) | 26/37 (70.2) | 417/445 (93.7) | 0.728 |

Values are presented as number (%), unless otherwise stated.
PPV, positive predictive value; NPV, negative predictive value; AUROC, areas under the receiver operating characteristic curves; DSM-IV, Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders 4th edition; DSM-5, Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders 5th edition.

*Heavy-drinking group: over 14 drinks in a week for males up to age 65 years; over seven drinks in a week for males over age 65 years and females.

Table 4. Sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV, and the AUROC of binge drinking for the DSM-IV alcohol abuse and alcohol dependence, and the DSM-5 alcohol use disorder

| DSM-IV alcohol abuse | Sensitivity | Specificity | PPV | NPV | AUROC |
|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-----|-----|-------|
| Total binge-drinking group* | 40/58 (69.0) | 774/918 (84.3) | 40/184 (21.7) | 774/792 (97.8) | 0.766 |
| Males up to age 65 | 30/45 (66.7) | 332/449 (73.9) | 30/147 (20.4) | 332/347 (95.7) | 0.703 |
| Males over age 65/females | 10/13 (76.9) | 442/469 (94.2) | 10/37 (27.0) | 442/445 (99.3) | 0.856 |
| DSM-IV alcohol dependence | 70/112 (62.5) | 750/864 (86.8) | 70/184 (38.0) | 750/792 (94.7) | 0.747 |
| Males up to age 65 | 57/95 (60.0) | 309/399 (77.4) | 57/147 (38.8) | 309/347 (89.0) | 0.687 |
| Males over age 65/females | 13/17 (76.5) | 441/465 (94.8) | 13/37 (35.1) | 441/445 (99.1) | 0.857 |
| DSM-5 alcohol use disorder | 120/249 (48.2) | 663/727 (91.2) | 120/184 (65.2) | 663/792 (83.7) | 0.697 |
| Males up to age 65 | 96/195 (49.2) | 248/299 (82.9) | 96/147 (65.30) | 248/347 (71.5) | 0.661 |
| Males over age 65/females | 24/54 (44.4) | 415/428 (97.0) | 24/37 (64.9) | 415/445 (93.3) | 0.707 |

Values are presented as number (%), unless otherwise stated.
PPV, positive predictive value; NPV, negative predictive value; AUROC, areas under the receiver operating characteristic curves; DSM-IV, Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders 4th edition; DSM-5, Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders 5th edition.

*Binge-drinking group: over four drinks in a day for males up to age 65 years; over seven drinks in a day for males over age 65 years and females.

44.4%, 97.0%, 64.9%, 93.3%, and 0.707, respectively. There were no significant differences in the AUROC among DSM-IV alcohol abuse, DSM-IV alcohol dependence, and DSM-5 AUD.

The sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV, and the AUROC of the binge-drinking group for diagnosis of DSM-IV alcohol abuse were 69.0%, 84.3%, 21.7%, 97.8%, and 0.766, respectively. The corresponding values for diagnosis of DSM-IV alcohol dependence were 62.5%, 86.8%, 38.0%, 94.7%, and 0.747, respectively. The associated values for diagnosis of DSM-5 AUD were 48.2%, 91.2%, 65.2%, 83.7%, and 0.697, respectively. There were no significant differences in the AUROC among DSM-IV alcohol abuse, DSM-IV alcohol dependence, and DSM-5 AUD (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

Many studies have developed and evaluated screening tools for the diagnosis of alcohol disorders using the DSM criteria. In addition, one
In the current study, the sensitivity of the heavy- and binge-drinking group for DSM-IV alcohol abuse, DSM-IV alcohol dependence, and DSM-5 AUD was 51.7%, 43.8%, and 35.3%, and 69.0%, 62.5%, and 48.2%, respectively. Park et al. reported the sensitivity of the alcohol use disorders identification test (AUDIT) and CAGE for DSM-IV alcohol dependence and AUD to be 77.8%–100.0% and 87.1%–89.1%, and 44.4%–88.9% and 76.1%–97.8%, respectively. Kim et al. reported the sensitivity of the AUDIT for DSM-IV alcohol dependence and AUD to be 84.4%–90.1% and 76.1%–97.8%, respectively. In the current study, the sensitivity of the heavy- and binge-drinking group for DSM-IV alcohol abuse, DSM-IV alcohol dependence, and DSM-5 AUD was 21.7%, 38.0%, and 65.2%, respectively. Lee et al. reported the NPV of the AUDIT and CAGE for problem drinking to be 67.5%–88.1% and 43.2%–85.7%, respectively. In addition, Nam et al. reported the NPV of binge drinking for problem drinking in males to be 85.4%–92.6% and in females to be 41.2%–50.0%. The PPV in our study for the DSM-IV criteria is lower compared with previous reports. However, the PPV of heavy drinking for the diagnosis of DSM-5 AUD in this study was 72.7%. The PPV of binge drinking for the diagnosis of DSM-IV alcohol abuse and DSM-IV alcohol dependence was lower compared with previous reports. However, the PPV of binge drinking for the diagnosis of DSM-5 AUD in this study was 65.2%.

In the current study, the sensitivity of the heavy- and binge-drinking group for DSM-IV alcohol abuse, DSM-IV alcohol dependence, and DSM-5 AUD was 24.8%, 40.5%, and 72.7%, respectively. The PPV of the binge-drinking group for DSM-IV alcohol abuse, DSM-IV alcohol dependence, and DSM-5 AUD was 21.7%, 38.0%, and 65.2%, respectively. Lee et al. reported the NPV of the AUDIT and CAGE for problem drinking to be 67.5%–88.1% and 43.2%–85.7%, respectively. In addition, Nam et al. reported the NPV of binge drinking for problem drinking in males to be 85.4%–92.6% and in females to be 41.2%–50.0%. The PPV in our study for the DSM-IV criteria is lower compared with previous reports. However, the PPV of binge drinking for the diagnosis of DSM-5 AUD in this study was 72.7%. The PPV of binge drinking for the diagnosis of DSM-IV alcohol abuse and DSM-IV alcohol dependence was lower compared with previous reports. However, the PPV of binge drinking for the diagnosis of DSM-5 AUD in this study was 65.2%. In conclusion, it may be inappropriate to use only heavy and binge drinking for screening of DSM AUD due to the low sensitivity. However, we report here higher specificity and NPV than several complex alcohol-screening tools. This study also showed an increased PPV for the revised DSM-5 AUD.

This study has the following limitations. First, it was a retrospective study without using an alcohol-screening tool in clinical situations.
Heavy and Binge Drinking for Alcohol Use Disorder Screening

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

REFERENCES

1. Bouchery EE, Harwood HJ, Sacks JJ, Simon CJ, Brewer RD. Economic costs of excessive alcohol consumption in the U.S., 2006. Am J Prev Med 2011;41:516-24.
2. Naimi TS, Brewer RD, Mokdad A, Denny C, Serdula MK, Marks JS. Binge drinking among US adults. JAMA 2003;289:70-5.
3. Willenbring ML, Massey SH, Gardner MB. Helping patients who drink too much: an evidence-based guide for primary care clinicians. Am Fam Physician 2009;80:44-50.
4. National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism. Alcohol use disorder: a comparison between DSM-IV and DSM-5 [Internet]. Bethesda (MD): National Institutes of Health; 2013 [cited 2015 Jul 19]. Available from: http://pubs.niaaa.nih.gov/publications/dsmfactsheet/dsmfact.pdf.
5. American Psychiatric Association. Highlights of changes from DSM-IV-TR to DSM-5 [Internet]. Arlington (VA): American Psychiatric Publishing; 2013 [cited 2015 Jul 19]. Available from: http://www.dsm5.org/documents/changes%20from%20dsms-iv-5.pdf.
6. Friedmann PD, Saizt R, Gogineni A, Zhang JX, Stein MD. Validation of the screening strategy in the NIAAA "Physicians' Guide to Helping Patients with Alcohol Problems". J Stud Alcohol 2001;62:234-8.
7. Cho B, Lee CM. Current situation of national health screening systems in Korea. J Korean Med Assoc 2011;54:666-9.
8. Park BK, Kim JS, Lee DB. Comparison of four alcoholism screening tools based on sensitivity and specificity for DSM-IV criteria. J Korean Acad Fam Med 2000;21:1427-34.
9. Kim JS, Oh MK, Park BK, Lee MK, Kim GI. Screening criteria of alcoholism by alcohol use disorders identification test (AUDIT) in Korea. J Korean Acad Fam Med 1999;20:1152-9.
10. Lee JG, Kim JS, Jung JG, Choi TK, Ryu YI. Usefulness of the alcohol use disorders identification test in screening for problem drinkers among college students. Korean J Fam Med 2011;32:29-36.
11. Nam TW, Kim JS, Kim SS, Jung JG, Kang DS, Hyeon YH, et al. Utility of single alcohol questions related to binge drinking in identifying problem drinkers. Korean J Fam Med 2009;30:777-83.
12. Kim JS. Guideline of moderate alcohol drinking amount for Koreans. Korean J Fam Pract 2015;5(Suppl 1):S117-9.
13. Ministry of Health and Welfare, Korea Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Korea health statistics 2014: Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES VI-2) [Internet]. Seoul: Ministry of Health and Welfare, Korea Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2015 [cited 2016 Mar 18]. Available from: http://cdc.go.kr/CDC/contents/CdcKrContentView.jsp?cid=60949&menuIds=HOME001-MNU1130-MNU1639-MNU1749-MNU1761.