Human immunodeficiency virus-related decreases in corpus callosal integrity and corresponding increases in functional connectivity
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Abstract
People living with human immunodeficiency virus (PLWH) often have neurocognitive impairment. However, findings on HIV-related differences in brain network function underlying these impairments are inconsistent. One principle frequently absent from these reports is that brain function is largely emergent from brain structure. PLWH commonly have degraded white matter; we hypothesized that functional communities connected by degraded white matter tracts would show abnormal functional connectivity. We measured white matter integrity in 69 PLWH and 67 controls using fractional anisotropy (FA) in 24 intracerebral white matter tracts. Then, among tracts with degraded FA, we identified gray matter regions connected to these tracts and measured their functional connectivity during rest. Finally, we identified cognitive impairment related to these structural and functional connectivity systems. We found HIV-related decreased FA in the corpus callosum body (CCb), which coordinates activity between the left and right hemispheres, and corresponding increases in functional connectivity. We found that individuals with impaired cognitive functioning have lower CCb FA and higher CCb functional connectivity. This result clarifies the functional relevance of the corpus callosum in HIV and provides a framework in which abnormal brain function can be understood in the context of abnormal brain structure, which may both contribute to cognitive impairment.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Up to half of people living with human immunodeficiency virus (PLWH) have neurological and neurocognitive impairment, even with sustained viral suppression on antiretroviral therapy (Heaton et al., 2010).
Neurocognitive impairment in HIV disease has been associated with structural and functional brain abnormalities (Schouten, Cinque, Gisslen, Reiss, & Portegies, 2011). HIV enters the central nervous system during early infection and viral reservoirs can linger even after successful antiretroviral treatment, which leads to an early and persistent immune/inflammatory response that causes neuronal damage (Schouten et al., 2011). Thus, initiation of early treatment is critical for optimal long-term neurocognitive outcomes: early treatment is associated with better outcomes among perinatally acquired HIV, sexually transmitted HIV, and other modes of transmission (Brahmbhatt et al., 2017; Hamilton et al., 1992; May et al., 2011; Willen, 2006).

Although in vivo changes in functional brain network connectivity have been detected in PLWH, findings are inconsistent. Some papers present increases in connectivity in PLWH, including our own, using data that constitutes a subsample of the current sample (e.g., Hall, Lal ee, Bell, Towe, & Meade, 2021), others present decreases (e.g., Thomas, Brier, Snyder, Vaida, & Ances, 2013) and others show no group differences (e.g., Guha et al., 2016). These studies have typically considered structural and functional measures independently, but it is widely understood that structural and functional connectivity are strongly related (Honey et al., 2009; Honey, Thivierge, & Sporns, 2010) with cognitive ramifications (Daselaar et al., 2015; Persson et al., 2012; Sarwar, Tian, Yeo, Ramamoharanaro, & Zalesky, 2021). Furthermore, multiple neurocognitive conditions associated with degraded white matter have associated large-scale functional network organization abnormalities (Fornito, Zalesky, Pantelis, & Bullmore, 2012; Griffis, Metcalf, Corbetta, & Shulman, 2020). Because this broad white matter/functional connectivity relationship is well-documented, we hypothesized that structural abnormalities would be associated with abnormalities in brain network organization in PLWH that have not been previously identified.

Brain white matter is made of connective fibers that facilitate communication among gray matter regions for overall brain function. White matter damage is typical in chronic HIV disease. Over 60% of PLWH have white matter lesions (Haddow et al., 2014), and PLWH are more than twice as likely to have cerebral small-vessel disease and other white matter damage than people without HIV (Moulignier et al., 2018; Soontornniyomkij et al., 2014; Trentalange et al., 2020). HIV-associated decreases in white matter integrity measured by fractional anisotropy (FA) are found diffusely across commissural, projection, and association fibers (Bell et al., 2018; Jones et al., 2018; Leite et al., 2013; Pomara, Crandall, Choi, Johnson, & Lim, 2001; Su et al., 2016; van Zoest et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2006), with decreased FA, frequently found in the corpus callosum (Ragin et al., 2015; Su et al., 2016; van Zoest et al., 2017). This white matter damage is associated with impaired functioning in various cognitive domains (Gongvatana et al., 2009; Underwood et al., 2017), but information connecting these structural declines with the functional system supporting cognition is lacking.

While the effects of HIV disease on white matter integrity are relatively well-established, the effects on functional connectivity in large-scale brain networks are less clear. Some work reports HIV-related increases in connectivity (A. Z. Abidin, DSouza, Schiffito, & Wismüller, 2020; Hall et al., 2021), while others report decreases (Thomas et al., 2013; Thomas, Brier, Ortega, Benzinger, & Ances, 2015). Further, there is a sizeable literature reporting no difference in functional brain network connectivity between PLWH and controls (A. Z. Abidin et al., 2018; Egbert et al., 2018; Egbert et al., 2019; Guha et al., 2016; Janssen et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2011). These studies typically investigate connectivity patterns across the whole brain or among canonical resting-state networks such as the default mode network, frontoparietal network, and the salience networks. This approach ignores the considerable white matter declines in PLWH, and therefore defining functional communities as anatomically constrained systems is an unconventional approach that may reveal novel large-scale functional abnormalities.

Few studies have investigated the relationship between abnormal structure and function in HIV disease, and most of the existing work has focused on the abnormal structure and function of single regions. This small body of work suggests that regions typically involved in higher-level cognitive functioning show increased blood oxygenation level-dependent (BOLD) activity or connectivity when the underlying structural integrity is damaged (D. Liu et al., 2020; Sui et al., 2020; Zhou et al., 2017), whereas sensory regions show decreased BOLD activity, theta activity, and functional connectivity (D. Liu et al., 2020; Sui et al., 2020; Wilson et al., 2015), though this pattern is not consistent across all studies (e.g., Casagrande et al., 2021). To our knowledge, only one study has investigated large-scale brain network organization. Zhuang et al. (2021), who used graph theory, did not find a relationship between white matter abnormalities and whole-brain network organization. These studies support the idea that structural abnormalities are related to abnormal brain function in PLWH but also suggest the whole-brain network organization may not be as strongly impacted. Because structural abnormalities appear to be most strongly associated with function in those same regions, we hypothesize that large-scale communities defined by connectivity to a shared white matter tract with compromised integrity will have abnormal functional connectivity.

The current study sought to apply a common functional and structural connectivity framework to examine macroscale brain differences in PLWH compared to HIV-negative controls. First, we identified white matter tracts with reduced FA in PLWH. We then defined functional communities as collections of gray matter regions connected to a shared white matter tract. In functional communities connected to degraded white matter tracts, we examined group differences in functional connectivity strength. Finally, we examined the relationship between impaired cognition and structural,functional connectivity strength. This multimodal approach therefore may elucidate a multiplexed mechanism through which abnormal brain function is likely to develop in PLWH.

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Participant recruitment and eligibility

The study was open to adults with and without HIV aged 18–55. Participants in the PLWH group were included if they had a confirmed diagnosis of HIV disease of at least 3 months. Control participants were included if they had confirmed HIV-negative status, verified
using an OraQuick rapid test. To increase the generalizability of our results, the use of alcohol, marijuana, and nicotine was permitted in both groups due to the high prevalence of use in PLWH. For other substances, including cocaine, methamphetamine, heroin, and controlled medications that were not prescribed, participants were excluded for any lifetime dependence, regular use for >2 years, and regular use in the past 90 days. Additional exclusion criteria were: nonfluency in English; illiteracy; <8th-grade education; severe learning disability; severe mental illness; current use of antipsychotic or mood-stabilizing medications; serious neurological disorders or history of neuroinfections; severe head trauma with loss of consciousness >30 min and persistent functional decline; magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) contraindications; and/or impaired mental status (i.e., not acutely psychotic, manic, delirious, or intoxicated). All exclusion criteria were assessed using the screening measures described below.

2.2 | Procedures

We recruited participants through advertisements in local newspapers, websites, and community-based organizations. After a short prescreener to identify individuals with obvious exclusion criteria, individuals did an in-person screening. Eligible participants returned for the MRI scan and additional assessments, including clinical interviews and drug screening (see below). All participants provided written informed consent, and procedures were approved by the institutional review board at Duke University Health System. Participants were compensated up to $180 for their participation.

2.2.1 | Screening measures

All participants had a confirmed blood alcohol level of 0.00 before participating in any study activities. If blood alcohol levels were above 0.00, participants were asked to wait to begin testing until it was at 0.00 or returned another day. Participants completed clinical interviews, computerized surveys, urine drug screening, and pregnancy testing. Module E of the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV-TR identified substance use disorders, psychotic disorders, and bipolar disorder (First, Spitzer, Gibbon, & Williams, 1996), and the Addiction Severity Index-Lite assessed current and lifetime substance use and associated impairments (McLellan et al., 1992). A medical history interview was used to assess history of neurologic disorders, like stroke. Healthcare records were reviewed to ensure no exclusionary medical history, including substance abuse. Participants reported demographic characteristics, including age, gender, race, and education.

2.2.2 | Neuropsychological assessment

A neuropsychological battery was used to assess global cognitive functioning impairment. Because HIV-associated neurocognitive disorder is diagnosed based on impaired cognitive function, we identified individuals with such impairment using a dichotomous neuropsychological impairment score. To calculate global impairment, functioning was assessed in seven cognitive domains: working memory/attention, executive function, memory, learning, verbal fluency, motor skills, and processing speed, as described by Sui et al. (2020). Standardized T scores on each cognitive test were converted to deficit scores ranging from 0 to 5 (Carey et al., 2004). Domain deficit scores were calculated by averaging the deficit scores for each domain test, which were then averaged to create the global deficit score (GDS). A GDS cutoff of 0.5 yields the optimal balance between sensitivity and specificity in detecting HIV-related neurocognitive impairment (Carey et al., 2004; Heaton et al., 2004); therefore, a GDS ≥0.5 was categorized as impaired and GDS <0.5 was categorized as not impaired.

2.3 | MRI data acquisition

MRI data were acquired on a 3.0 T GE Discovery MR750 whole-body scanner using an eight-channel head coil. High-resolution T1-weighted (T1w) images were recorded using a spoiled echo sequence (repetition time [TR] = 8.10 ms, echo time [TE] = 3.18 ms, voxel size = 1 mm × 1 mm × 1 mm, field of view [FOV] = 256 mm², 256 × 256 matrix, 12° flip angle, 166 interleaved slice data acquisition). Diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) data were acquired in the axial plane using a diffusion sensitized parallel echo-planar sequence (FOV = 256 mm², voxel size = 2 mm × 2 mm × 2 mm, 128 × 128 matrix, 90° flip angle, interleaved slice data acquisition). Data were collected during three studies. Several parameters differed slightly between protocol 1 (b-factor = 900 s/mm², TR/TE = 10,000/min: 79.4 ms, 73 slices; 16 PLWH, 18 controls), protocol 2 (b-factor = 800 s/mm², TR/TE = 8,000/min: 77.9 ms, 67 slices; 16 PLWH, 18 controls), and protocol 3 (b-factor = 800 s/mm², TR/TE = 8,000/min: 77.9 ms, 67 slices; 37 PLWH, 36 controls). Data were acquired in 30 directions for the first 2 protocols and 64 directions for the third protocol. To harmonize the data, the 64-direction protocol was downsampled using a MATLAB dot product function that identified the diffusion-encoding direction that was most similar to those in the 30-direction protocol (https://github.com/nankueichen/DWI_downsampling).

Whole-brain BOLD images were collected using T2*-weighted echo-planar imaging (TR = 2,000 ms, voxel size = 3.75 mm × 3.75 mm × 3.8 mm, FOV = 240 mm², 64 × 64 matrix, interleaved data acquisition). During resting-state (rs-fMRI) scanning, participants were asked to fixate on a crosshair with their eyes open. Additional parameters differed slightly between the first protocol (TE = 27 ms, 77° flip angle, 39 slices) and the other two protocols (TE = 25 ms, 90° flip angle, 35 slices). For data harmonization, each scan included only the first 150 volumes.

2.4 | MRI data processing/analysis

2.4.1 | Structural data processing

T1-weighted images (T1w) were skull-stripped using custom thresholds in FSL’s Brain Extraction Tool (BET) for registration to
We obtained the timecourse of brain activity and 43 cerebellar/brain stem regions (Craddock, James, Holtzheimer, & Mayberg, 2012). We used an atlas containing 392 regions: 322 cortical, 27 subcortical, and 43 cerebellar/brain stem regions (Craddock, James, Holtzheimer, Hu, & Mayberg, 2012). We obtained the timecourse of brain activity from each region across 144 time points using nilearn (Abraham et al., 2014). We then computed partial correlations between all possible pairs of regions, creating a $392 \times 392$ partial correlation matrix. The partial correlation between two regions is the correlation between two regions, regressing out all other time series (Smith et al., 2013). Partial correlations theoretically measure only direct connections between two regions and do not include indirect connections (e.g., the mediating effect of region C on the connectivity between regions A and B; Marrelec, Kim, Doyon, & Horwitz, 2009; Marrelec et al., 2006; Varoquaux & Craddock, 2013). Partial correlations are more accurate than Pearson’s correlations at identifying true network connections (Smith et al., 2011), and they are more closely related to structural connections (which are also direct connections) than full correlation (Liegeois, Santos, Matta, Van De Ville, & Sayed, 2020). Correlations between regions whose anatomical centers were less than 20 mm apart were excluded because connectivity between close regions may be artificially inflated due to motion (Power, Barnes, Snyder, Schlaggar, & Petersen, 2012). The partial correlation matrices were then Fisher-transformed.

### 2.4.2 Functional data processing

The first six volumes of the rs-fMRI data were excluded to ensure that steady state had been reached. The processing pipeline was run using FSL v5.0 and included the following steps: motion correction using rigid-body transformation, slice-timing correction, high-pass temporal filtering using a Gaussian filter with 0.01 Hz cutoff, intensity normalization across volumes (grand mean scaling = 1,000), spatial smoothing with a Gaussian kernel with a full width at half maximum (FWHM) of 6 mm, and nuisance signal regression of mean white matter and cerebral spinal fluid (Smith et al., 2004). Additional denoising to remove motion and physiological-related components was conducted through ICA-AROMA (Pruim et al., 2015). T1w images were registered to the 2 mm MNI template using nonlinear registration using FSL’s FNIRT (Andersson et al., 2007b), followed by registration of the rs-fMRI data to the T1w acquisition using a 12-parameter affine transformation. A motion threshold of relative mean displacement was set at 0.3 mm, measured using FSL’s MCFLIRT using the middle volume as the reference. This threshold was chosen as it is a commonly used threshold, including in populations at-risk of having high motion (Fair et al., 2020; Y. He, Byrne, & Kennedy, 2020; Hernandez-Alvarez et al., 2020; Y. Liu et al., 2017; Van Dijk, Sabuncu, & Buckner, 2012). All participants had a mean relative motion of <0.2 mm and were retained in the analysis.

### 2.4.3 Identifying structural abnormalities

We extracted the mean FA values from 24 intracerebral tracts, defined by the Illinois Institute of Technology white matter atlas (Qi & Konstantions, 2020; Zhang & Arfanakis, 2018) using FSL v. 5.0.9 (Jenkinson et al., 2012; Smith et al., 2004). A smoothing kernel of 4 mm FWHM was applied using FSL. We compared mean FA between groups using analysis of covariances (ANCOVAs) with age (mean-centered) and protocol as covariates of no interest using SPSS 26. FDR correction was performed to control for multiple comparisons across 24 tracts.

### 2.4.4 Construction of functional connectivity matrices

We used an atlas containing 392 regions: 322 cortical, 27 subcortical, and 43 cerebellar/brain stem regions (Craddock, James, Holtzheimer, Hu, & Mayberg, 2012). We obtained the timecourse of brain activity following the methods described in Davis, Szymanski, Boms, Fink, and Cabeza (2019), gray matter tract groups were defined as regions connected to a common white matter tract. Regions were considered to be connected to the white matter tract if there were at least 10 overlapping voxels. We calculated the average functional connectivity strength between each region in the tract group and every other region in the tract group for each participant.

### 2.4.5 Defining gray matter tract group functional connectivity

The average tract group connectivity strength was compared between groups using ANCOVAs with age (mean-centered), protocol, and in-scanner relative mean displacement as covariates of no interest. We compared functional connectivity strength among the tract groups that had significantly different FA between groups. We further investigated functional connectivity strength between regions in each hemisphere separately to identify whether group differences were driven by within- and between-hemisphere connectivity together or whether within-hemisphere connectivity alone also differed. To identify the specific regions with the greatest group differences in connectivity, we separately measured the functional connectivity between each region in the tract group and the rest of the tract group using ANCOVAs with the same covariates.

### 2.4.6 Identifying abnormal gray matter tract group functional connectivity

Next, we determined whether tracts with larger group differences in FA also have larger group differences in tract group functional...
connectivity strength. Group differences in FA and functional connectivity strength were quantified with effect size (partial $r^2$), controlling for age (mean-centered), protocol, and in-scanner relative mean displacement. We performed a Pearson correlation between the effect size measuring group differences in FA and the effect size measuring group differences in functional connectivity strength. A positive partial $r^2$ indicates higher FA or functional connectivity in the PLWH group, whereas negative values indicate lower values in the PLWH.

2.4.8 Relationship between tract FA and functional connectivity strength

To determine whether there was a relationship between tract FA and mean functional connectivity strength, we ran a regression using functional connectivity strength as the dependent variable and FA as an independent variable. This was run for tracts that had a group difference in FA as well as functional connectivity strength. Age (mean-centered), protocol, and in-scanner relative mean displacement were included as covariates. As a follow-up, we calculated the mean connectivity strength of the regions that had significant group differences in functional connectivity strength with the rest of their tract. These are referred to as group differentiating regions.

2.4.9 Relationship between brain and behavior

Finally, separate logistic regression models were used to examine the association of mean tract FA and tract group mean connectivity strength to GDS impairment. Age, protocol, and in-scanner relative mean displacement were included as covariates of no interest. As a follow-up analysis, we ran a logistic regression using only the mean functional connectivity strength of the high connectivity regions. FDR-correction was used to control for multiple comparisons.

3 RESULTS

3.1 Demographic data

The sample comprised 136 participants: 69 PLWH and 67 controls. These groups did not differ on key demographic variables (all p’s > .05; see Table 1). Most of the PLWH (84.84%) had suppressed viral load at <50 copies. The median most recent CD4 count was 678.50 (interquartile range [IQR] = 422), and the median lowest CD4 count was 256 (IQR = 332). The average years since HIV diagnosis was 9.89 (SD = 7.67). All PLWH were in HIV care, and almost all (97%) were currently on antiretroviral medication. Additionally, 94.20% (65 individuals) contracted HIV through sexual contact, 1.45% (1 individual) contracted HIV through a blood transfusion, 1.45% (1 individual) contracted HIV perinatally, and 2.90% (2 individuals) through an unknown source. A greater proportion of PLWH had an impaired GDS compared to controls (additional details in Table 1).

3.2 HIV-related decreases in FA

In Table 2, we show significantly decreased FA in the HIV group compared to controls in eight white matter tracts: the middle of the corpus callosum, the corpus callosum, the left and right frontal aslant tracts, the left cingulum, the left superior longitudinal fasciculus, the left middle longitudinal fasciculus, and the left arcuate. It is notable that every tract shows an HIV-related decrease in FA, even when the difference is not significant after FDR correction (see Supporting Information Table 1 for information about the other tracts).

3.3 Group differences in tract group functional connectivity strength

We compared functional connectivity strength between groups within the gray matter tract groups associated with the eight tracts that had significant HIV-related decreases in FA. There was a significant group difference in functional connectivity strength in the middle of the corpus callosum tract group among right hemisphere regions. There were no significant group differences in functional connectivity strength among left hemisphere regions, but there was increased functional connectivity strength in the HIV group in the CCb tract among right hemisphere regions (see Supporting Information Tables 1 and 2).

Further, across all 24 tracts, there was a significant correlation between HIV-related FA degradation effect size and HIV-related increases in functional connectivity, as measured by effect size ($r(22) = -.47, p = .022$). This shows that in all intracerebral tracts, greater HIV-related FA degradation is related to more HIV-related increases in functional connectivity in the corresponding tract group (see Supporting Information Table 3 for partial $r^2$ values for all tracts).

3.4 Gray matter regions in the CCb tract group

There were 92 gray matter subregions linked to the CCb. Regions in the tract group are listed in Supporting Information Table 4. In order to assess whether there was a discernible pattern of increased or decreased connectivity across the tract group, we further investigated which of the subregions in this tract group showed the largest HIV-related differences in functional connectivity. Among the 92 subregions, 15 group differentiating regions had significantly increased functional connectivity to the rest of the tract group in PLWH compared to controls (p’s < .05, uncorrected; see Supporting Information Table 4 for details), and one had significantly decreased functional connectivity to the rest of the tract group in PLWH. These subregions include the right superior parietal lobule and the right and left superior
TABLE 1 Demographic and neuropsychological information

| Demographic characteristics | HIV (N = 69) | Control (N = 67) | Statistic | p-Value |
|-----------------------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------|---------|
| Male sex, %, N              | 73.91%, 51  | 64.18%, 43      | $\chi^2(2) = 2.85$ | .241    |
| Cisgender, %, N             | 98.55%, 68  | 100%, 67        | $\chi^2(1) = 0.98$ | .323    |
| Age in years, M (SD)        | 39.43 (9.46)| 37.42 (9.03)    | t(134) = 1.28  | .203    |
| Black race, %, N            | 73.91%, 51  | 61.20%, 41      | $\chi^2(1) = 2.51$ | .113    |
| Hispanic ethnicity, %, N    | 4.35%, 3    | 1.59%, 1        | $\chi^2(1) = 0.97$ | .324    |
| Education in years, M (SD)  | 13.93 (2.11)| 14.57 (2.08)    | t(134) = -1.78 | .078    |
| Married status              |             |                 | $\chi^2(3) = 0.83$ | .843    |
| Married, partnered, %, N    | 17.39%, 12  | 22.39%, 15      |           |         |
| Separated, %, N             | 2.90%, 2    | 1.49%, 1        |           |         |
| Divorced, %, N              | 15.94%, 11  | 16.42%, 11      |           |         |
| Never married, %, N         | 63.77%, 44  | 59.70%, 40      |           |         |
| In-scanner mean displacement M (SD) | 0.06 (0.03) | 0.05 (0.03) | t(134) = 0.69  | .494    |
| Daily cigarette smoker, N, %| 31.88%, 22  | 23.88%, 16      | $\chi^2(1) = 1.08$ | .298    |
| Alcohol use past 90 days, M (SD) | 5.32 (7.48) | 8.16 (14.71) | t(134) = -1.43 | .156    |
| Alcohol lifetime dependence, %, N | 13.04%, 9 | 9.00%, 6 | $\chi^2(2) = 0.58$ | .447    |
| Cannabis use past 90 days, M (SD) | 22.23 (36.00) | 21.42 (36.65) | t(134) = 0.13 | .896    |
| Cannabis lifetime dependence, %, N | 18.84%, 13 | 22.39%, 15 | $\chi^2(2) = 0.26$ | .609    |
| Neuropsychological impairment |            |                 | $\chi^2(1) = 9.66$ | .002    |
| Global deficit score impairment, % | 59.42% | 32.83% |           |         |

Abbreviations: HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; IQR, interquartile range; M, mean; SD, standard deviation

TABLE 2 IIT tracts with significant group differences in FA (FDR-corrected)

| Tract                          | HIV, mean (SD), N = 69 | Control, mean (SD), N = 67 | F-statistic (df: 1.131) | p-Value | Adjusted p-value | Partial η² |
|--------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|---------|-----------------|------------|
| Left frontal aslant tract      | 0.335 (0.016)          | 0.344 (0.012)               | 10.773                   | .001    | .024            | -0.076     |
| Middle of corpus callosum      | 0.433 (0.020)          | 0.443 (0.015)               | 7.985                    | .005    | .048            | -0.057     |
| Left superior longitudinal fasciculus | 0.305 (0.014)         | 0.312 (0.013)               | 7.609                    | .007    | .048            | -0.055     |
| Corpus callosum                | 0.421 (0.019)          | 0.430 (0.014)               | 7.054                    | .009    | .048            | -0.051     |
| Left cingulum                  | 0.445 (0.022)          | 0.456 (0.019)               | 6.798                    | .010    | .048            | -0.049     |
| Right frontal aslant tract     | 0.334 (0.015)          | 0.341 (0.012)               | 6.539                    | .012    | .048            | -0.048     |
| Left middle longitudinal fasciculus | 0.383 (0.017)         | 0.392 (0.017)               | 5.918                    | .016    | .048            | -0.043     |
| Left arcuate fasciculus        | 0.350 (0.016)          | 0.357 (0.015)               | 5.911                    | .016    | .048            | -0.043     |

Abbreviations: FA, fractional anisotropy; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; IIT, Illinois Institute of Technology.

TABLE 3 Group differences in functional connectivity strength within tract groups associated with tracts with HIV-related FA degradation

| Tract                          | HIV functional connectivity strength, mean (SD) | Control functional connectivity strength, mean (SD) | F-statistic (df: 1.133) | p-Value | Partial η² |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------|------------|
| Middle of corpus callosum      | 0.142 (0.070)                                   | 0.112 (0.066)                                     | 5.916                    | .016    | 0.044      |
| Right frontal aslant tract     | 0.163 (0.089)                                   | 0.136 (0.095)                                     | 2.362                    | .127    | 0.018      |
| Corpus callosum                | 0.121 (0.062)                                   | 0.107 (0.061)                                     | 1.440                    | .232    | 0.011      |
| Left cingulum                  | 0.132 (0.090)                                   | 0.114 (0.075)                                     | 1.396                    | .239    | 0.011      |
| Left frontal aslant tract      | 0.154 (0.104)                                   | 0.133 (0.107)                                     | 1.174                    | .281    | 0.009      |
| Left superior longitudinal fasciculus | 0.160 (0.110)       | 0.142 (0.079)                                     | 0.758                    | .386    | 0.006      |
| Left middle longitudinal fasciculus | 0.132 (0.090)      | 0.117 (0.124)                                     | 0.701                    | .404    | 0.005      |
| Left arcuate fasciculus        | 0.137 (0.111)                                   | 0.144 (0.121)                                     | 0.255                    | .614    | -0.002     |

Abbreviations: FA, fractional anisotropy; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus.
frontal gyri. Further, medial regions were consistently negatively connected to the rest of the tract group, with a significant group difference in the posterior cingulate cortex. Gray matter tract subregions are shown in Figure 2.

### 3.5 Correlation between CCb FA and functional connectivity strength

Using linear regression, there was a negative but nonsignificant relationship between CCb FA and mean functional connectivity strength ($\beta =-.108, p = .264$). We then calculated the mean connectivity strength for the regions that had significantly higher connectivity strength in PLWH (indicated in yellow in Figure 2 and listed in Supporting Information Table 4). This yielded a significant negative correlation ($\beta =-.190, p = .045$; Figure 3), indicating that decreased FA is associated with increased functional connectivity strength in the CCb tract group.

### 3.6 CCb FA and CCb tract group functional connectivity strength relationship with behavior

Finally, we used logistic regression models to determine whether the observed group differences in CCb FA and functional connectivity were related to cognitive impairment. For FA, lower FA was associated with increased likelihood of cognitive impairment ($\beta =-20.883, \text{Wald } \chi^2(1) = 3.610, p = .057$, adjusted $p = .086$). For CCb tract group functional connectivity strength, higher connectivity strength was associated with an increased likelihood of cognitive impairment ($\beta = 4.079, \text{Wald } \chi^2(1) = 2.476, p = .116$, adjusted $p = .116$), indicating that people with higher functional connectivity are more likely to have impaired global functioning. We then investigated the relationship between the mean functional connectivity strength of the high connectivity regions (see Figure 2 and Supporting Information Table 4) and again found that increased connectivity strength was associated with an increased likelihood of cognitive impairment ($\beta = 5.083, \text{Wald } \chi^2(1) = 5.664, p = .017$, adjusted $p = .051$; see Figure 4).

### 4 DISCUSSION

We present results from a multimodal analysis of canonical fiber systems, their concurrent functional connectivity, and their behavioral relevance in the context of HIV disease. We had four primary findings. The first was decreased FA in PLWH in eight white matter tracts, including the CCb. Second, we found that gray matter regions connected to the CCb had increased functional connectivity in PLWH. The regions with the most altered HIV-related connectivity in this
tract group included superior frontal and parietal regions, and midline regions. Third, we found a significant negative correlation between CCb FA and CCb tract group functional connectivity in regions with the greatest degree of HIV-related hyperconnectivity. Fourth, we found that lower FA and higher functional connectivity were related to global cognitive impairment across both groups, confirming expectations of the role of structural and functional connectivity in neuroHIV.

Lower white matter integrity has been commonly observed in the body of the CC in PLWH (Davies et al., 2019; Li, Sun, Tang, Zhang, & Li, 2018; Su et al., 2016). It has been suggested that the CC is especially vulnerable to viral invasion due to its proximity to cerebrospinal fluid, which is an HIV reservoir that can carry the virus within 8 days of infection (Li et al., 2018; Shiramizu et al., 2005; Valcour et al., 2012). Consistent with this early impact on the brain, white matter degradation has been found within the first 100 days after HIV transmission (Ragin et al., 2015). This early cerebral infiltration of the virus can occur before antiretroviral medications are administered, exposing the surrounding white matter to the neurotoxic effects before effective viral suppression. Our finding of decreased FA in PLWH in the CCb is consistent with this body of work.

Our finding of increased functional connectivity in the CCb tract group is also consistent with previous research showing HIV-related increases in localized functional connectivity. In PLWH, regions in the frontal cortex and some posterior midline regions become more globally central (i.e., more connections with the rest of the brain; A.Z. Abidin et al., 2018; Thomas et al., 2015) and our previous work showing HIV-related increases in local connectivity across the brain (Hall et al., 2021). Further, a longitudinal study examining PLWH with mild cognitive impairment showed increasing intranetwork connectivity over time in networks that contained superior parietal, superior frontal, posterior midline, and motor regions (Correa et al., 2017), similar regions to those found in the CCb tract group. Further, midline regions had relatively consistent HIV-related hypoconnectivity with the rest of the tract group, which may reflect an exaggeration of the anti-correlation typically found between the default mode network regions and other task-related networks (Fox et al., 2005; Raichle et al., 2001; Uddin, Kelly, Biswal, Castellanos, & Milham, 2009). The decrease in connectivity between midline regions and the rest of the CCb tract group is consistent with other work done in PLWH showing decreased connectivity between regions in the default mode network (which encompasses similar medial regions) and other

**FIGURE 3** Relationship between corpus callosum body (CCb) fractional anisotropy (FA) and CCb functional connectivity strength. CCb functional connectivity strength is the mean connectivity strength for the group differentiating regions (i.e., those with significantly greater connectivity with the rest of the tract group in people living with human immunodeficiency virus (PLWH) than controls, indicated in yellow in Figure 2)

**FIGURE 4** Relationship between brain structure/function residuals and global deficit score (GDS). There is a relationship between corpus callosum body (CCb) fractional anisotropy (FA) and GDS (left) and CCb functional connectivity strength and GDS (right). Note that functional connectivity strength is measured in group differentiating regions. Residuals are obtained by regressing functional connectivity/FA against age (mean centered), protocol, and relative mean displacement. The mean and SE for each group are indicated by the point in the middle of each violin plot. Lower FA and higher functional connectivity are associated with a higher incidence of GDS impairment.
networks (Samboju et al., 2018; Thomas et al., 2013). This suggests that decreased CCb integrity in PLWH may enhance the connections that exist: positive connections that become more positive and negative connections that become more negative. Furthermore, these results extend this previous work by providing greater anatomical specificity.

Although there is work done on PLWH showing increased connectivity, there is also a relatively sizeable literature reporting no significant abnormalities in connectivity in canonical functional brain networks in PLWH (A.Z. Abidin et al., 2018; Egbert et al., 2018; Egbert et al., 2019; Guha et al., 2016; Janssen et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2011); our work provides a potential explanation. Structural degradation can impact brain function (Honey et al., 2010), but the functional connectivity within canonical functional networks does not have a one-to-one relationship with structural connectivity (Misch et al., 2016). In fact, structural connectivity has a stronger relationship with the functional connectivity in hub regions than with canonical functional networks (Misch et al., 2016). The increased connectivity we found in the CCb tract group was largely driven by strong HIV-related hyperconnectivity in the superior parietal cortex, and lateral superior frontal cortex, as well as hypoconnectivity between midline regions and the rest of the tract group. These are all regions typically considered to be hub regions (van den Heuvel & Sporns, 2011, 2013). Therefore, white matter degradation in PLWH may not have a strong impact on functional connectivity within canonical functional networks but rather, it may impact the functional connectivity of the hub regions directly connected to it, as hub regions typically have longer connections that may be more susceptible to white matter damage (Fornito, Zalesky, & Breakspear, 2015). The significant relationship between white matter degradation and functional connectivity strength of the regions with the strongest hyperconnectivity supports that these hub nodes may be particularly susceptible to the effects of white matter damage. Follow-up work investigating dysfunction in hub nodes, particularly in networks containing these regions, like the dorsal attention network (Behrmann, Geng, & Shomstein, 2004; Corbetta & Shulman, 2002), the executive control network (Seeley et al., 2007), and default mode network (Raichle et al., 2001), may illustrate how structural degradation impacts canonical functional network organization. It is possible that as HIV disease progresses and white matter degradation becomes more severe, which occurs even in individuals successfully treated with cART (Schouten et al., 2011; Su et al., 2016), abnormal connectivity in canonical functional networks may become more apparent.

HIV is not the only condition in which there is increased functional connectivity associated with callosal damage. Individuals born without a CC or whose CC has been severed can have increased functional connectivity between temporal lobe regions and their cross-hemispheric counterpart, as well as increased intrahemispheric functional connectivity (Koeda et al., 1995; Roland et al., 2017). Further, 2 years post-commissurotomy, the average functional path length between any two regions is shorter, with increased cross-hemispheric functional connectivity (Liang, Kim, Ko, Kim, & Lee, 2018). Although individuals with callosal agenesis or who have had commissurotomies typically have a general decrease in interhemispheric functional connectivity (Johnston et al., 2008; Mancuso, Uddin, Nani, Costa, & Cauda, 2019; Pizoli et al., 2011; Roland et al., 2017; Uddin et al., 2008), the increased functional connectivity may be driven by localized increases in connectivity. In older adults, in which the CC is intact but damaged, there are both decreases and increases in functional connectivity in response to degraded white matter in different regions (Davis et al., 2019). This suggests that in PLWH, the specific damage done to the CCb may lead to an increase in functional connectivity between regions with direct CCb connections.

Given that some of the abnormal functional connectivity in PLWH may be tied to CCb white matter degradation, understanding the role played by the CC in facilitating functional connectivity can help explain the mechanism through which such abnormalities in functional connectivity exist. The CC regulates cross-hemispheric connectivity (Bogen, Fisher, & Vogel, 1965). It contains both glutamatergic (i.e., excitatory) connections, which facilitate cross-hemispheric connectivity, and GABAergic (i.e., inhibitory) connections, which constrain cross-hemispheric connectivity (Fabri & Manzoni, 2004; Gonchar, Johnson, & Weinberg, 1995; Peters, Payne, & Josephson, 1990; Rock, Zurita, Lebby, Wilson, & Apicella, 2018). There is evidence to suggest that HIV can excite glutamatergic functioning and can inhibit GABAergic functioning (Musante et al., 2010), which would produce heightened cross-hemispheric connectivity. Furthermore, there is also evidence to suggest that patients with callosotomies have increased intrahemispheric connectivity compared to the pre-collosotomy state (Mancuso et al., 2019; Roland et al., 2017), consistent with our finding of increased connectivity in PLWH among regions in the right hemisphere. There is also evidence that there can be increased connectivity among regions contralateral to a site of structural damage (Almeida et al., 2017; Maccotta et al., 2013). Although the CCb is a bilateral white matter tract, five of the six lateralized white matter tracts that had structural degradation were left-lateralized, which may have led to compensatory increases in right-lateralized functional connectivity. Such compensatory activity may have occurred because lesions have been associated with decreased connectivity between regions laying on the lesioned pathway but increased connectivity between parallel connections (Alstott, Breakspear, Hagmann, Cammoun, & Sporns, 2009). Future work examining the relationship between glutamate/GABA and functional connectivity in PLWH will clarify this issue.

The behavioral consequences of HIV-related abnormalities in brain structure and function are critical for identifying potential intervention targets. PLWH in our sample had a greater incidence of impaired cognitive functioning compared to controls, which is consistent with a broader literature showing HIV-related impairments in multiple cognitive domains (for a review, see Woods, Moore, Weber, & Grant, 2009). In our sample, individuals with lower FA and with higher functional connectivity in group differentiating regions were more likely to have impaired global functioning (trending toward significance for FA). Callosal damage is associated with impaired cognitive function (Hinkley et al., 2012; Huang et al., 2015; Zahr, Rohlfing, Pfefferbaum, & Sullivan, 2009) and a variety of other neurocognitive conditions like...
We focused on global neuropsychological function as our measure of behavioral function. However, it is possible that in less severe cases of HIV disease, the behavioral impacts may require measures that focus on specific cognitive processes rather than broad cognitive categories. Fourth, our design was cross-sectional, so we cannot draw conclusions about causation. Fifth, it is possible that cART medication may have neurotoxic effects (Gannon, Khan, & Kolson, 2011), which would be confounded with HIV disease and could not be teased apart in the current analysis. Finally, we relied on one white matter tract parcellation and one gray matter parcellation. To confirm whether these results are robust, future work will be necessary to replicate these results using different parcellations, including parcellations that include smaller tracts, which may differ in across-subject variance.

4.2 | Conclusions

This work shows that HIV-related degradation in white matter tracts is related to increased functional connectivity between regions directly connected by these tracts. The CCB is most strongly impacted by these abnormalities in HIV disease. This suggests a framework for neuroHIV disease in which functional connectivity abnormalities are underlain by structural degradation. Future work is needed to investigate the specific impact of CCB degradation on cross-hemispheric connectivity, as well as on dysfunction in hub versus non-hub regions within the CCB tract group, and to identify the impact of HIV disease progression on the structure/function relationship will further this work. This line of research has strong potential to explain the discrepancies in the HIV-related functional connectivity literature.
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