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Light-emitting diodes (LEDs) are driving a shift toward energy-efficient illumination. Nonetheless, modifying the emission intensities, colors and directionalities of LEDs in specific ways remains a challenge often tackled by incorporating secondary optical components. Metallic nanostructures supporting plasmonic resonances are an interesting alternative to this approach due to their strong light–matter interaction, which facilitates control over light emission without requiring external secondary optical components. This review discusses new methods that enhance the efficiencies of LEDs using nanostructured metals. This is an emerging field that incorporates physics, materials science, device technology and industry. First, we provide a general overview of state-of-the-art LED lighting, discussing the main characteristics required of both quantum wells and color converters to efficiently generate white light. Then, we discuss the main challenges in this field as well as the potential of metallic nanostructures to circumvent them. We review several of the most relevant demonstrations of LEDs in combination with metallic nanostructures, which have resulted in light-emitting devices with improved performance. We also highlight a few recent studies in applied plasmonics that, although exploratory and eminently fundamental, may lead to new solutions in illumination.

Keywords: light-emitting diodes; nanophotonics; plasmonics; solid-state lighting

INTRODUCTION

Solid-state lighting (SSL) is an illumination technology that has emerged in the past decade due to the development of white light-emitting diodes (LEDs). Currently, LEDs use a mature technology that can outperform traditional light sources due to their higher efficiencies, longer lifetimes, fast switching, robustness and compact size.1,2. The working principle of LEDs is based on electroluminescence, that is, the radiative recombination of injected electron–hole pairs in a material. Electroluminescence in inorganic semiconductors was first observed by Round3, who applied a voltage across two contacts on a SiC crystal to generate yellow light. Electroluminescence was intensely investigated in subsequent years and was reported in several III–V semiconductors in the 1950s.6. Techniques to create p–n junctions also improved, leading to the demonstration of infrared and red LED emission in GaAs and GaAsP in 1962.7,8. Although blue LED emission turned out to be more complex than initially thought, due to difficulties associated with producing high-quality GaN and doping this material, it was finally accomplished in the early 1990s by two independent research groups.9–11. This achievement represented a technological breakthrough that led to the award, in 2014, of the Nobel Prize in Physics to Isamu Akasaki, Hiroshi Amano and Shuji Nakamura. We expect to see widespread replacement of traditional light sources with LEDs within the next two decades, leading to a considerable reduction in worldwide electricity consumption. To facilitate this transition, we must integrate LEDs into many different applications. To do this, we must be able to accurately and specifically control brightness, color and directionality of light emitted from LEDs. It appears that this control may be achieved using nanostructures.

Nanostructures, which have dimensions comparable to the wavelength of light, are especially suited to enhancing light–matter interactions.12. Metallic surfaces and nanostructures supporting surface plasmon polariton (SPP) resonances are of particular interest in this regard.13. These resonances have their origin in the coherent oscillation of charge carriers in the metal. The spontaneous emission from sources in the proximity of metals can be modified by SPPs, thereby influencing the emission rate and directionality.14–16. These modifications are analogous to the resonant amplification and directional radiation of antennas. Therefore, metallic nanoparticles supporting SPPs have been referred to as optical antennas or nanoantennas.17,18. However, integrating such resonant nanostructures into state-of-the-art lighting applications remains challenging. The vast majority of studies has focused on modification of the emission properties of single and/or low-efficiency emitters,23,37,38, while real applications in SSL require modification of emission over macroscopic areas, typically in the mm2 range, of highly efficient emitters for which the typical photoluminescence quantum yield (QY) exceeds 90%. Until recently, these stringent requirements have limited the use of plasmonic structures for SSL. This situation is quickly changing due to the introduction of cost-effective nanofabrication techniques for use in
light extraction, spectral shaping of emissions and strong beaming, without requiring additional external optical components\textsuperscript{57,39–42}. This article reviews recent developments regarding nanostructured metallic surfaces and nanoantennas for use in SSL, an emerging field that provides new opportunities for plasmonics applications.

**SOLID-STATE WHITE-LIGHT GENERATION**

Organic LEDs\textsuperscript{53–50} and light-emitting electrochemical cells\textsuperscript{51,52} are lightweight, flat and thin large-area diffuse light sources that represent new illumination technologies. However, inorganic LEDs are currently best suited for general illumination purposes\textsuperscript{53}. Therefore, the following discussion focuses on inorganic LEDs. There are two generic approaches to generating artificial white light using inorganic semiconductor LEDs\textsuperscript{54–56}. In the first, several LEDs emit different colors that are combined to produce white light\textsuperscript{57}. Although significant advances have been made in the development of nanoscale LEDs\textsuperscript{58–62}, the maximum efficiency of all semiconductor-based white LEDs is limited by the relatively low efficiencies of green and yellow LEDs, a challenge referred to as the green–yellow gap. In the second and currently the most prevailing approach, highly efficient blue LEDs are used to generate green and red light via color conversion using one or more photoluminescent materials, traditionally called phosphors\textsuperscript{63–65}. This second approach is illustrated in Figure 1. The phosphor must have the following: (i) a close-to-one QY to maximize blue-to-green/red conversion efficiency; (ii) excellent temperature and chemical stabilities; (iii) moderate thermal quenching of emissions at temperatures over 100 °C; (iv) an absorption spectrum that overlaps with the blue LED emission spectrum; (v) a large absorption cross section; and (vi) an emission spectrum that leads to high-quality white-light emission. Therefore, one of the greatest remaining challenges in SSL is the difficulty associated with simultaneously tuning the chemical, structural and optical characteristics of the phosphor material that fulfills the above requirements. This challenge has become the subject of extensive research in materials science\textsuperscript{66–73}.

Currently, the leading commercial methodology for obtaining white light using phosphors, so-called phosphor-converting LEDs (pcLEDs), uses yttrium aluminum garnet (YAG, $Y_3Al_5O_{12}$) doped with $\text{Ce}^{3+}$ rare-earth ions (YAG:Ce) as the phosphor. Phosphors based on rare-earth ions have low absorption coefficients in the blue region, resulting in the need for a relatively large amount of material (the typical thickness of such phosphors is on the order of several tens of microns). Such phosphor layers incorporate randomly positioned light scatterers that maximize light extraction\textsuperscript{74–76}, albeit with a Lambertian angular profile. Moreover, approximately half of the converted light exits the phosphor layer in the backward direction, that is, toward the blue LED. For these reasons, additional optical elements, such as mirrors or scattering materials, are incorporated into the device to maximize light output.

**Efficiency of LEDs**

In general terms, the efficiency of a light-emitting device is the product of three partial efficiencies:

$$\eta = \eta_{\text{exc}} \cdot \eta_{\text{rad}} \cdot \eta_{\text{ext}}$$ \hspace{1cm} (1)

$\eta_{\text{exc}}$ is the excitation efficiency. In the case of electrically driven devices, it represents the fraction of injected carriers that recombine in the active region. In the case of optically pumped devices, it accounts for the absorption efficiency of the phosphor. $\eta_{\text{rad}}$ is the radiative efficiency, often referred to as the internal quantum efficiency or QY. It represents the fraction of the excited or injected electron–hole pairs that recombine to emit a photon, and it is defined as the ratio of the radiative rate to the total recombination rate. The last factor in Equation (1) is the extraction efficiency $\eta_{\text{ext}}$ which is the fraction of blue/green/red light that escapes the device into free space. Because emitted light can be trapped within the device (via total internal reflection) and eventually absorbed, many light-emitting devices rely on the integration of light-extracting structures to increase $\eta_{\text{ext}}$. In addition to efficiency, other parameters defining the color of the emission must be assessed when developing new LEDs\textsuperscript{57}.

**Metals and light emission**

Recently, various arrangements of metallic nanostructures have been proposed as a means of controlling light emission\textsuperscript{15–33}. Research efforts in nanophotonics have mainly focused on using nanostructures to improve $\eta_{\text{rad}}$ via modification of the local density of optical states to which an emitter can decay\textsuperscript{5,78}. For low-QY emitters (for example, QY < 0.3), this approach leads to a significantly brighter source. However, emitters with QY ca. 100% are readily available for use in SSL. Therefore, enhancing the QY of poor emitters is not necessary for SSL applications. In fact, metallic nanostructures may reduce the overall efficiency of phosphor-based devices. Where metallic nanostructures benefit emission wavelength is in extracting specific emission colors in defined directions, thereby controlling the angular and spectral distributions of emitted light without diminishing significantly the device efficiency. Regarding $\eta_{\text{exc}}$, optical losses occurring at the excitation wavelength associated with the permittivity of metals are expected to be among the main limitations with regard to the overall efficiencies of plasmonic pcLEDs. A recent article addresses the role of metal absorption in the external QY of emitters coupled to arrays of plasmonic nanoparticles. It concludes that due to the reduced fraction of light absorbed by the metal nanoparticles control of the illumination conditions of the arrays can provide significant QY enhancement\textsuperscript{49}.

SPPs are surface waves at the interface between a metal and a dielectric that are characterized by the manner in which they propagate along the surface while decaying evanescently away from it. These characteristics depend on the permittivities of the metal and the dielectric. SPPs result from the coherent oscillations, driven by the electromagnetic field, of the charge carriers in the metal. Emissions from sources in proximity to a metallic layer are strongly modified via the excitation of SPPs, which can be coupled to free space radiation by structuring the metal surface. A number of calculations describing the SPP modification of exciton decay rates have been reported\textsuperscript{40,80–83}. As illustrated in Figure 2, SPP-coupled emission can be described...
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According to a simple model based on coupling rates, in which the transfer of energy from the emitter to the plasmon modes occurs, followed by the radiative coupling of the plasmon modes into radiation. As discussed in the next section, this coupling has been used to improve the emission of LEDs. Complementary to metal layers are metal nanostructures that support localized optical resonances known as localized surface plasmon resonances (LSPRs). The LSPR frequency and linewidth depend on the geometry and size of the nanoparticles, the permittivity of the metal and the surrounding medium. For emitters in close proximity to metallic nanoparticles, the fluorescence can be modified via processes occurring at the excitation and/or the emission wavelengths, thereby involving all three terms in Equation (1). In addition, fluorescence enhancement depends strongly on the QY of the emitter. Indeed, for high-QY emitters distributed over large areas, very little improvement of the QY can be obtained when using nanostructures that only modify the emissions of highly localized sources in their proximity. Nevertheless, the directionality of the emission of a localized source coupled to a resonant metal nanoparticle can be modified as illustrated in Figure 3a.

Individual nanoantennas may be used to modify the emission of quantum wells, but they are not an option for influencing the emission of the much thicker phosphor layers. To circumvent this limitation, nanoparticles can be arranged in periodic arrays, such that their optical response is reinforced through coherent scattering. This leads to a collective plasmonic–photonic resonance first described by Carron et al. and Markel in the context of surface-enhanced Raman scattering. Schatz and co-workers later revived interest in this collective resonance phenomenon via a series of theoretical papers that demonstrate the emergence of very sharp resonances (ca. 1-meV linewidth) in the extinction spectra of arrays composed of metal nanoparticles. Such sharp resonances signify the extremely low radiation losses that collective resonances feature. In-plane scattering by the nanoparticles and phase accumulation of these scattered fields govern the optical response of the array. Periodic arrays in homogeneous dielectrics are characterized by narrow resonances called surface lattice resonances (SLRs). Interestingly, SLRs with linewidths as narrow as ca. 8 meV have been obtained experimentally using carefully designed periodic arrangements of gold nanorods. These comprise the sharpest plasmonic resonances ever reported, reaching values close to those theoretically predicted by Schatz, which are the limit of meaningful expectations for lighting applications. In addition, if an optical waveguide is placed near the array, waveguide-plasmon polaritons can be excited. In the case of SLRs, the nanoparticles interact with Rayleigh anomalies, which are the onset of diffraction orders, that is, the frequency at which diffraction orders propagate in the plane of the array. This in-plane diffraction enhances the radiative coupling between the individual nanoparticles, giving rise to a collective resonance. For waveguide-plasmon polaritons, this radiative coupling between nanoparticles is enhanced by guided modes in high-refractive index layers. In the particular case of light emission,
emitters in the proximity of an array can decay into either of these
collective resonances and consequently radiate into free space with a
spectrum and directionality determined by the dispersion of these
collective modes.\textsuperscript{107,108}

Figure 3b and 3c illustrates the electromagnetic field enhancements
characteristic of two distinct resonances in a periodic array of metal
nanoparticles. Figure 3b represents a cross section at the LSPR
condition. Associated with the LSPRs is a large electromagnetic field
enhancement that is highly localized to the individual particles, as seen
near the base of the nanostructures in Figure 3b. In contrast, Figure 3c
shows a collective resonance where the field is enhanced over a much
larger volume. These extended field enhancements enable circumve-
vention of the limitations regarding critical placement of the emitter
relative to the metal nanoparticles.\textsuperscript{109} Moreover, the emission from
such an extended state can be highly directional, as shown below. These
are the key advantages of using collective resonances for
modifying the emission of phosphor layers.\textsuperscript{23} The metallic nanopar-
ticle array behaves as a phased array of optical antennas, with the
relative phases between antennas determined by their resonant
responses and separations. The radiation patterns of subwavelength
sources are modified by coherent scattering within the periodically
spaced metal nanoparticles.\textsuperscript{110}

It is noteworthy that although this review focuses on the impact of
metallic nanostructures on the performance of light-emitting devices,
metallic and dielectric nanostructures represent complementary
approaches to modifying the emission properties of light sources.
Several reviews that discuss modification of the emission charac-
teristics of LEDs using dielectric materials have been reported in the past
few years.\textsuperscript{113–115} In particular, wavelength-sized dielectric structures
have demonstrated improved light extraction\textsuperscript{116–118} and accurate
control of radiation patterns\textsuperscript{119} and polarizations.\textsuperscript{120} A recent report
demonstrated that the effects of periodic arrays of Si nanoparticles on
the performance of thin layers of emitters are similar to those of their
plasmonic counterparts.\textsuperscript{121} Choosing between metals and dielectrics
for enhancement of light emission will depend on fabrication
constraints and/or the limitations associated with the particular goal.

PLASMONIC-BASED LIGHT EMISSION ENHANCEMENT

Plasmon-enhanced emission from quantum wells

In this section we focus on the different nanophotonics-based
approaches that have been used to improve the efficiencies of
inorganic semiconductor LEDs. Inorganic blue LEDs that are based on
InGaN/GaN multi-quantum-well heterostructures are currently
used in advanced architectures to obtain white-light emission.
However, light generated in the active region of the multi-quantum-well
structure can be reflected at the interfaces and trapped in the layered
structure before it reaches the phosphor. To remedy this and
maximize light extraction, metallic surfaces and nanostructures have
been used.

The metallic thin films used with SPPs have been applied directly to
LEDs to enhance the spontaneous emission rate of excitons in
quantum wells and, therefore, the QY.\textsuperscript{127–129} The process
can be explained as follows. Electron–hole pairs are injected in the active
region of the LED. When a metal layer is grown at a distance smaller
than the evanescent decay length of the SPPs, the electron–hole pairs
recombine, giving their energy to the SPPs. Thus, the metal provides
additional states for exciton recombination.\textsuperscript{122} This enhanced density
of states for exciton recombination can significantly increase the
recombination rate. Because SPPs are evanescent surface waves, they
cannot radiate to free space. The metallic surface can be made rough
to efficiently couple SPPs to free space radiation and enhance the
emission intensity. To illustrate this effect, Figure 4a shows the
photoluminescence intensity spectrum for different metal layers
deposited over the blue LED at a distance of 10 nm. Enhancement
of the visible light emission originates from a combined higher
recombination rate and a higher quantum-well extraction efficiency
enabled by the nanometer-sized roughness in the metal layer.
Although such random textures result in improved extraction
efficiencies, they provide little control over the directionality of the
emitted light, which typically displays a Lambertian profile.\textsuperscript{123}

Accurate control over the angular distribution of the emission can be
achieved using metallic nanostructures, which are directly fabric-
ated, with predetermined geometries and dimensions, on the emissive
semiconductor surface.\textsuperscript{126–129} Aperiodic designs may also be used to
avoid undesirable angular and/or spectral dependencies.\textsuperscript{130} Unidir-
ctional beaming of the LED emission has been recently demonstrated
using a periodic array of optical antennas with specifically designed
geometries.\textsuperscript{126} Figure 4b shows the far-field radiation pattern of such a
nanostructured blue LED. In the absence of the metallic nanostruc-
ture, a broad Lambertian emission is observed (blue dashed dotted
curve). The silver flat film causes a substantial reduction in the
intensity of the emitted light for both polarizations (green dashed
curve), because no mechanism is provided to scatter the excited SPPs
into radiation. In contrast, in the direction of the maximum intensity
for one polarization, the output intensity of the LED with metallic
nanostructures (black dotted and red solid curves) is enhanced
compared with that of the flat sample. This polarization dependence
can be attributed to the asymmetric shape of the nanostructures.
Emission enhancements with a preferential light polarization can be
beneficial for applications where light impinges upon smooth surfaces at nearly grazing angles, for example, automotive lighting. In these cases, it may be desirable to selectively enhance the emission obtained for one polarization only, because the other polarization may lead to unwanted effects, such as glare from incoming drivers.

**Plasmon-enhanced emission from phosphor layers**

We now turn our attention to emission modifications obtained using extended emitting layers. Phosphor layers are typically much thicker than quantum wells. The potential of metallic nanoparticle arrays to modify the Lambertian emission from such thick luminescent layers has been demonstrated in several reports. Lozano et al. proposed that these systems be applied to SSL by using highly efficient visible-light-emitting dye molecules as extended phosphor layers and arrays of aluminum nanoparticles. In this work, a sample such as the one shown in Figure 5a was used to enhance the emission of a 700-nm-thick phosphor layer consisting of a high-QY dye molecules relax, exciting collective resonances in the particle array. The periodic structure of the array is responsible for the directional outcoupling of the emission in defined directions. The narrow linewidths of the emission associated with the collective modes are a direct consequence of the enhancement of the spatial coherence of the emission due to the coherent scattering by the nanoantennas. The 60-factor fluorescence enhancement of Ref. 33 can be attributed to a ca. 6-fold enhancement of the excitation efficiency (\(\eta_{\text{exc}}\)) and a ca. 10-fold enhancement of the extraction efficiency (\(\eta_{\text{ext}}\)). Moreover, based on time-resolved measurements, only a very small modification of the QY was determined (ca. 15% degradation at most). A visualization of this enhanced emission is shown in Figure 5d, which is a photograph of the emission of a phosphor layer on top of a nanoantenna array (right side). For direct comparison, a reference sample consisting of the phosphor layer with the same thickness but without the antenna array is also shown (left side).

The directionality of this emission enhancement can be controlled depending on the application. Figure 5e–5h highlights recent results demonstrating tailored enhanced directional emission in narrow angular ranges for red light (\(\lambda = 620 \text{ nm}\)) with hexagonal arrays of nanoantennas. This spectral region is of particular interest with regard to achieving warm white light in SSL applications. High-symmetry lattices, such as the hexagonal array, facilitate a more homogeneous distribution of the emission over the azimuthal angle, as shown in the photoluminescence intensity polar plot measurements displayed in Figure 5e–5h. Manipulating the separation distance between aluminum particles enables accurate control over the directionality of the red emitted light in pLEDs.

The inclusion of metallic nanoparticles minimizes the need for optical components in LEDs, such as parabolic mirrors or condenser lenses that are used for beaming the emission. These optical elements are often bulky, increasing the total size of the LEDs and limiting their

---

**Figure 5** (a) Scanning electron micrograph of a square array of aluminum nanoparticles. The inset is a sketch of a metal nanoparticle array pLED, where the phosphor layer is represented by the transparent red layer. (b) Extinction and (c) PLE of an aluminum nanoparticle array covered by a thin phosphor layer. Reprinted with permission from Macmillan Publishers Ltd, copyright 2013. (d) Photograph of the emission of a standard pLED (left) and a pLED that exhibits enhanced emission due to the integration of a hexagonal array of metal nanoparticles (right). (e) Fourier image of the unpolarized red emission (610–620 nm) of an unstructured pLED. (f–h) Fourier images of the unpolarized red emission of a similar pLED that features a hexagonal array of Al nanoparticles with lattice constants (f) 475 nm, (g) 425 nm and (h) 375 nm. Reproduced from Ref. 42 with permission from The Royal Society of Chemistry.
integration. Therefore, the performance of metallic nanoparticle arrays in SSL applications must be assessed in terms of overall system efficiency with and without the presence of the metallic nanoparticle arrays. From a device perspective, the enhancement of phosphor-layer emissions enabled by the use of nanoparticles must not only be compared with emissions obtained from the same layer when no nanoparticles are used. We must also compare the results obtained with the same phosphor layer under conditions in which the usual secondary optical elements are in place.

An additional advantage of nanoantenna-enhanced emission is that it also reduces the phosphor-layer thickness, which is important with regard to heat dissipation. Heat reduces emission efficiency, limiting the performance of LEDs. So far, it has not been easy to use thin layers in pLEDs owing to their low blue absorption; the conversion efficiencies of these layers have not been sufficient to generate the desired emission spectrum. Therefore, the combination of metallic arrays and new phosphors, such as dye molecules or quantum dots, enables the use of layers that are much thinner than standard YAG:Ce pallets, resulting in improved heat management and high extraction efficiencies.

OUTLOOK AND CONCLUSIONS

LEDs constitute a new technology that is currently driving substantial changes in the way artificial light is generated. Metallic nanostructures enable strong light–matter interactions that facilitate unprecedented improvements in the emission intensities, colors and directionalities of light sources positioned nearby. This review presents and discusses new methods for enhancing the efficiency of LEDs using metals structured on the nanometer scale. We have provided a general overview of state-of-the-art LED lighting, discussing the main requirements of both quantum wells and phosphors for efficient generation of white light. We also discuss the main challenges facing researchers in this regard and the potential of plasmonics to overcome them. In what follows, we highlight a few recent findings in plasmonics that may lead to new illumination solutions and provide perspectives for future progress.

Several applications, for example, screen or automotive lighting, require light to be directed in only one direction. For planar structures, such as shallow nanoantenna arrays, light beam ing into small angles is enhanced with roughly equal strengths in the forward and backward directions. The light emitted backward must be recycled using secondary optics, resulting in losses. To address this issue, the forward–backward light emission symmetry of planar structures can be broken by integrating an array of nanostructures with a pyramidal shape into the fluorescent layer. Figure 6 shows the PLE spectrum of an aluminum nanop pyramid array. Notice that the PLE differs toward the top (black curve) and bottom (red curve) of the nanop yramids. At the LSPR wavelength (~650 nm), the nanop yramid array beams more light toward the bottom of the pyramids. The opposite occurs at the SLR wavelength (~585 nm). These effects are due to the enhanced magneto electric response of the nanop pyramid array (magnetic dipole moments are excited via the electric field of light), which originates from the pyramidal shape and height of the nanostructures. Future research should further investigate these phenomena in order to increase emission asymmetry and maximize the fraction of the emitted intensity that can be efficiently used in SSL.

Beyond passive spectral and directional control of light emission, a long-standing goal in nanophotonics is to actively control emitted light properties by means of an external tuning parameter. This can be achieved by incorporating materials with optical properties that depend on applied voltages, heat, strain or illumination profiles. Liquid crystals are particularly interesting in this regard. Their tunable orientations have been used to actively control the properties of LSPRs and SPPs. Active light emission from antenna arrays has recently been demonstrated with liquid crystals. Further research in this regard is sure to attain active control of the color, direction, polarization and intensity of emission from pLEDs.

Thus far, the examples of spontaneous emission modifications of light emitters coupled to plasmonic resonances provided in this review involve the so-called weak coupling regime. Recently, strong coupling has attracted more attention. Strong coupling is characterized by an emitter–resonator energy exchange rate that exceeds all loss rates. The energy exchange rate between an ensemble of emitters, such as those found in macroscopic light-emitting devices, and an optical mode depends on the concentrations of the emitters. When the collective oscillator strength of the ensemble of emitters becomes comparable to that of the optical mode, that is, at high densities of emitters, mixed light–matter states known as polaritons can be created. Strong coupling between emitters and SPPs has been investigated with regard to propagating modes in flat and perforated metallic layers, as well as creating localized modes in nanostructures. Recently, strong coupling between SLRs and emitters has also been observed. The collective oscillatory strength of the ensemble of emitters becomes comparable to that of the optical mode, that is, at high densities of emitters, mixed light–matter states known as polaritons can be created. Strong coupling between emitters and SPPs has been investigated with regard to propagating modes in flat and perforated metallic layers, as well as creating localized modes in nanostructures.}

![Figure 6 PLE toward the top (black curve) and bottom (red curve) of the pyramids. The inset displays a scanning electron micrograph of the fabricated structures before the deposition of the luminescent layer.](image)

Figure 6 PLE toward the top (black curve) and bottom (red curve) of the pyramids. The inset displays a scanning electron micrograph of the fabricated structures before the deposition of the luminescent layer. Reprinted with permission from Ref. 134. Copyright 2014, American Physical Society.
band-edge lasers have been extensively investigated during the past two decades\textsuperscript{166-169}. Plasmonic nanolasers provide faster dynamics and offer the opportunity to realize ultracompact devices at the expense of beam directionality\textsuperscript{170}. Diffractive plasmon lattices overcome this limitation\textsuperscript{32,171-175}. Figure 7a displays the lasing threshold curve for an Au particle array combined with a dye-doped polymer acting as a gain medium\textsuperscript{32}. Figure 7b and 7c shows the Fourier images of the emissions below and above threshold for an array made of Ag nanoparticles, respectively, illustrating the highly directional nature of the lasing mode. Moreover, it has been demonstrated that the lasing modes in these sorts of arrays can be modified with liquids of different indexes of refraction, as illustrated in Figure 7d\textsuperscript{175}.

In conclusion, we have reviewed different metallic nanostructures that provide new possibilities for efficient light management in the next generation of LED devices. A central goal of SSL technology is to replace all incandescent light bulbs worldwide. Consequently, efficiency and cost are currently driving the market. Solutions implying an increase in the overall cost of the lighting device are currently not viable for general lighting purposes. However, this situation will change if the market becomes design- or performance-driven or once the first generation of LED luminaires becomes obsolete. Such a paradigm shift will open the door to the integration of cost-effective nanophotonic structures, which will in turn enable realization of more compact devices with fine control over the intensity, directionality and color quality of the resulting emitted light. Several parameters are typically used to assess the performance of LED-based lighting, that is, quantum efficiency, luminous efficacy, correlated color temperature and color-rendering index (CRI), and maximizing all of them simultaneously is unfeasible. Indeed, there exists a clear trade-off between luminous efficacy and light quality. White-light sources require an emission spectrum that extends throughout the visible range, thereby significantly reducing their maximum luminous efficacy (which is attained for a light source that converts 100\% of its electrical power into green radiation, to which the human eye is most sensitive). A wide variety of applications can benefit from LED devices that integrate different emission characteristics. In particular, for general illumination applications, the light source must show a high correlated color temperature as well as a CRI of at least 90 and a uniform color-over-angle. Even higher CRI values, that is, toward 100, are required in museums or in retail businesses. In contrast, highly directional sources are sought for automotive lighting, where the compactness and the freedom to design the esthetics of the light source are more important than the efficiency or quality of the emitted light. Finally, directional narrowband sources are needed in the light guides used in screens. Metallic nanostructures offer new opportunities for tailoring the emission spectra of luminescent materials that compose emitting devices as well as associated angular dependence. Such fine emission control, as illustrated by the different approaches highlighted in this review, should certainly improve the performances of devices designed to for the aforementioned applications. The overall benefit of nanostructures is, in many cases, still limited due to increased complexities in device fabrication. Nonetheless, tuning light emission in LEDs continues to be a key challenge of fundamentally interest and technological relevance.
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Figure 7 (a) Emission spectra as a function of the pump power for a plasmonic array laser. The inset shows the output emission intensity as a function of the input pump pulse energy. Reprinted with permission from Macmillan Publishers Ltd\textsuperscript{22}, copyright 2013. (b,c) Fourier images below and just above threshold for an array with a lattice constant of 380 nm. Reprinted with permission from Ref. 174. Copyright 2014, American Physical Society. (d) Lasing emission from Au arrays embedded in different refractive index environments. Reprinted from Ref. 175, Macmillan Publishers Ltd, licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0.
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