Magnesium intake and incidence of pancreatic cancer: the VITamins and Lifestyle study
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Background: Studies document that magnesium is inversely associated with the risk of diabetes, which is a risk factor of pancreatic cancer. However, studies on the direct association of magnesium with pancreatic cancer are few and findings are inconclusive. In this study, we aimed to investigate the longitudinal association between magnesium intake and pancreatic cancer incidence in a large prospective cohort study.

Method: A cohort of 66,806 men and women aged 50–76 years at baseline who participated in the VITamins And Lifestyle (VITAL) study was followed from 2000 to 2008. Multivariable-adjusted Cox regression models were used to estimate hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) of pancreatic cancer incidence by magnesium intake categories.

Result: During an average of 6.8-year follow-up, 151 participants developed pancreatic cancer. Compared with those who met the recommended dietary allowance (RDA) for magnesium intake, the multivariable-adjusted HRs (95% CIs) for pancreatic cancer were 1.42 (0.91, 2.21) for those with magnesium intake in the range of 75–99% RDA and 1.76 (1.04, 2.96) for those with magnesium intake <75% RDA. Every 100 mg per day decrement in magnesium intake was associated with a 24% increase in the incidence of pancreatic cancer (HR: 1.24; 95% CI: 1.02, 1.50; P tend = 0.03). The observed inverse associations appeared not to be appreciably modified by age, gender, body mass index, and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug use but appeared to be limited to those taking magnesium supplementation (from multivitamins or individual supplement).

Conclusions: Findings from this prospective cohort study indicate that magnesium intake may be beneficial in terms of primary prevention of pancreatic cancer.

Pancreatic cancer is the fourth leading cause of cancer-related death in both men and women in the United States (Strimpakos et al, 2010; Klein, 2012). The overall incidence of pancreatic cancer has not significantly changed since 2002 but the mortality rate has increased an average of 0.4% annually from 2002-2011 (National Cancer Institute, 2014). It was estimated that about 46,420 people in the United States would be diagnosed with pancreatic cancer and about 39,590 would die of this disease in 2014 (Siegel et al, 2014). Approximately 80% of pancreatic cancer patients have concomitant diabetes (Morrison, 2012). Studies show that pancreatic tumour cells have receptors for insulin and have high levels of insulin as in type-2 diabetes and insulin resistance. Insulin and insulin-like growth factor (IGF) promote pancreatic tumour cell growth (Fisher et al, 1996; Giovannucci, 2003). Because epidemiological studies suggest that magnesium intake is inversely associated with the risk of type-2 diabetes (Kao et al, 1999; Lopez-Ridaura et al, 2004; Song et al, 2004; van Dam et al, 2006; Bo and Pisu, 2008; Villegas et al, 2009; Dong et al, 2011) – a risk factor of pancreatic cancer (Everhart and Wright, 1995; Michaud, 2004; Huxley et al, 2005; Ben et al, 2011; Li et al, 2011), it is reasonable to hypothesise that magnesium intake may decrease the risk of pancreatic cancer. However, data directly relating magnesium intake to the incidence of pancreatic cancer are sparse and the findings are inconsistent. Two case-control studies
reported that magnesium intake was inversely associated with the risk of pancreatic cancer (Jansen et al., 2013), whereas another case-control study (Manousos et al., 1981) found no association. Two recent prospective cohort studies, one from the Health Professionals Follow-up Study (HPFS; Kesavan et al., 2010) conducted only in men and the other from the European Prospective Investigation of Cancer (EPIC) study, (Molina-Montes et al., 2012) found no association between magnesium intake and the incidence of pancreatic cancer in the total cohort, but both observed an inverse association (borderline in EPIC study) among overweight men. Therefore, we aimed to (1) investigate the longitudinal association between magnesium intake and the incidence of pancreatic cancer, and (2) explore whether age, gender, body mass index (BMI, kg m\(^{-2}\)), non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) use and magnesium supplementation are effect modifiers in this large prospective cohort study.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study participants and design.** The authors obtained the data set for this study from the VITamins And Lifestyle (VITAL) study, which was previously described in detail elsewhere (White et al., 2004). In brief, the participants in the VITAL study cohort were recruited from men and women aged above 50 years and living in the 13-county area in Western Washington State covered by the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) program cancer registry. Baseline recruitment was conducted from Oct 2000 to Dec 2002. During this period, a total of 364,418 questionnaires were mailed for the VITAL study to residents in the covered counties, out of which 79,300 (21.8%) mail recipients responded. Of these, 77,719 questionnaires passed quality control checks in the VITAL study. For the present study, the authors excluded participants with a positive history of pancreatic cancer (n = 49) or missing data on history of pancreatic cancer (n = 213) at baseline. The authors also excluded individuals with missing data on family history of pancreatic cancer (n = 922), education (n = 1284), alcohol consumption (n = 1215), height and weight (n = 2565), or who failed to quality control checks on the food frequency questionnaire (FFQ; n = 4657). In addition, the authors excluded eight cases of pancreatic neuroendocrine tumour in the analyses. The final sample consisted of 66,806 participants.

**Data collection.** Data were collected at baseline using a 24-page, self-administered, sex-specific, optically scanned questionnaire that covered three content areas: diet, supplement use, and health history and risk factors.

**Diet assessment.** Diet was assessed using a FFQ that included adjustment questions on types of foods and preparation techniques. The FFQ was adapted from the one used in the Women’s Health Initiative and other studies (Patterson et al., 1999). Participants were asked about their usual consumption frequency and portion size of 120 food items and beverages over the past year. The FFQ analytic program based on nutrient values from the Minnesota Nutrient Data System was used to estimate average dietary nutrient intake per day (Schakel et al., 1997). Total nutrient intake was computed by combining the data on dietary intake and average 10-year daily supplement intake.

**Supplement use assessment.** Data on supplement use were collected using items of the VITAL questionnaire that covered supplement use during the 10 years before baseline. For multivitamin use, participants were asked about frequency (times per week) and duration of use over the previous 10 years, and either selected one of 16 common brand names or provided dose information on vitamins and minerals in the brand they used. For individual supplements and other combinations (e.g., magnesium plus calcium), closed-ended questions were used to enquire about current vs past use, frequency and duration of use, and average dose per day. The 10-year average daily supplemental intake of each nutrient was computed as (dose used per day) × (number of days used per week/7) × (number of years used/10), and summed over multivitamin intake and individual nutrient supplemental intake.

The VITAL supplement study questionnaire was validated by a study of 220 participants by comparing it with repeated administration of the questionnaire 3 months after baseline and a detailed home interview and supplement inventory (White et al., 2004). Excellent reliability of the questionnaire on mean supplementary magnesium intake over the past 10 years administered at baseline and 3 months later was documented with an intraclass correlation coefficient of 0.74 and a correlation of 0.69 for current supplemental magnesium intake from the questionnaire compared with the in-home interview and transcription of supplement bottle labels (Satia-Abouta et al., 2003).

**Covariate assessment.** Participants provided information on personal characteristics including age, gender, ethnicity (White and non-White), education, BMI, recreational physical activity, cigarette smoking, alcohol consumption, family history of pancreatic cancer, and medical history as part of the baseline questionnaire. The average total metabolic equivalent (MET) hours per week over the past 10 years were estimated based on duration, frequency, and published energy expenditure for different activities in the Compendium of Physical Activities. Smokers were classified as never, current, quit 10 years ago or longer, or quit <10 years ago. Duration and doses of smoking were estimated by the reported number of years of smoking and by the usual number of cigarettes smoked per day, respectively. Pack-years were computed as duration × cigarettes per day/20 (Macleod et al., 2013). Alcohol consumption and intakes of energy, total calcium, selenium, and long-chain omega-3 fatty acids were categorised into tertiles. Diabetes mellitus status, family history of pancreatic cancer, and NSAID use were dichotomised (yes or no).

**Outcome ascertainment.** Participants were followed from the time of enrolment to 31 Dec 2008. The median follow-up time in the present study was 6.8 years. Participants were followed up passively for outcomes including cancer and death by linking to public databases. Incident cases of pancreatic cancer were ascertained by linking the study cohort to the Western Washington SEER cancer registry, maintained by the Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center under contract to the National Cancer Institute. All incident cases of pancreatic adenocarcinoma were identified based on the International Classification of Disease for Oncology, 3rd edition (ICD-O-3) with codes C25.0–C25.3 or C25.7–C25.9. We excluded neuroendocrine tumours (C25.4). The remaining participants were right censored from the analysis at the earliest date of the following events: withdrawal, emigration from SEER catchment area, death or the end of the follow-up period.

**Statistical analysis.** To increase statistical power, we categorised total magnesium intake into three groups, that is, ≥100% recommended dietary allowance (RDA) (≥320 mg per day for females and ≥420 mg per day for males), 75–99% RDA, and <75% RDA (<240 mg per day for females and <315 mg per day for males) for assessing hazard ratios (HRs) of pancreatic cancer (Institute of Medicine of National Academics, 2015). Baseline characteristics of participants were expressed as means (s.d.), median (interquartile ranges), or proportions and were compared among the three groups using analysis of variance, Kruskal–Wallis, or χ\(^2\)-test, respectively. Multivariable-adjusted HRs and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for the incidence of pancreatic cancer associated with magnesium intake were calculated using Cox proportional hazard models. The proportional hazard assumption was tested by graphical method and the supremum test (Lin et al., 2002) and it generally holds for all the exposure.
variables and the potential covariates. To examine the dose–response relationship, we calculated HR with every 100 mg per day decrement in magnesium intake. To control for potential confounders, we used a sequential covariates-adjusted strategy in the Cox models. In model 1, we considered four key demographic variables including age (continuous), gender, race (White or non-White), and education (less than high school graduate, some college, college or advanced degree); in model 2, we additionally adjusted for BMI (<25, 25–29, or ≥30 kg m⁻²), physical activity (0, tertiles of MET hours per week), smoking (0, tertiles of pack-years), alcohol consumption (tertiles), diabetes mellitus (yes or no), family history of pancreatic cancer (yes or no), NSAIDs use (yes or no), and total intakes (tertiles) of calcium, selenium, long-chain omega-3 fatty acids, and total energy intake. P-values for linear trend were calculated using the continuous variable of magnesium intake excluding the extreme values that were greater than its 99th percentile.

In addition, we examined whether age, gender, BMI (<25 or ≥25 kg m⁻²), NSAIDs use (yes or no), or magnesium supplementation (from multivitamins or individual supplements; users or non-users) was an effect modifier. The P-value for interaction was calculated from the likelihood ratio test by comparing models with and without the interaction term of magnesium intake (continuous) and the potential effect modifiers of interest.

All analyses were performed using SAS software (version 9.3; SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). All reported P-values are two sided and those that were ≤0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Table 1 presents the baseline characteristics of participants included in the study according to total magnesium intake. Participants in the present analysis were 51% female and 6% non-White. The average age of participants was 62 years old. Compared with those who met the RDA for magnesium intake, participants with magnesium intake below 75% RDA tended to be males, non-Whites, smoked more, more overweight and were more likely to be diabetic patients. They had lower levels of education and physical activity, and lower intakes of alcohol, calcium, selenium, omega-3 fatty acids, and total energy.

Table 2 shows the association between total magnesium intake and pancreatic cancer incidence. Compared with those who met the RDA for magnesium intake, the multivariable-adjusted HRs (95% CI) of pancreatic cancer were 1.42 (0.91, 2.21) and 1.76 (1.04, 2.96) for those with magnesium intake at 75–99% RDA and below 75% RDA, respectively. After excluding cases that occurred in the first 2 years, the inverse association generally remained, but somewhat attenuated 1.82 (0.995, 3.31), P = 0.052, comparing those below 75% RDA to those who met the RDA. For dose–response analysis, every 100 mg per day decrement in magnesium intake resulted in 24% elevation in incidence of pancreatic cancer (HR (95% CIs): 1.24 (1.02, 1.50), P for linear trend = 0.03).

When participants were stratified by use of supplemental magnesium (from multivitamins or individual supplements), the

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of overall study participants and by levels of total magnesium intake, VITAL Cohort Study, 2000–2008

| Characteristics                     | Total (n = 66 806) | ≥100% RDA (n = 35 348) | 75–99% RDA (n = 17 063) | <75% RDA (n = 14 395) | P-valueb |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|----------|
| Magnesium intake (mg per day)       | 405.09 (169.75)   | 518.51 (148.94)        | 326.74 (50.79)         | 219.43 (53.53)        |          |
| Calcium intake (mg per day)         | 914.0 (510.4)     | 1130.3 (552.8)         | 769.5 (326.4)          | 554.0 (251.0)         | <0.01    |
| Selenium (mcg per day)              | 114.5 (52.4)      | 135.9 (55.3)           | 101.4 (37.5)           | 77.4 (29.9)           | <0.01    |
| Omega-3 Fatty Acids (g per week)    | 1.8 (1.0)         | 2.1 (1.1)              | 1.7 (0.8)              | 1.3 (0.7)             | <0.01    |
| Total calories (kcal per day)       | 1860.6 (773.6)    | 2190.1 (800.8)         | 1661.4 (555.8)         | 1287.4 (440.3)        | <0.01    |
| Age, years                          | 61.7 (7.4)        | 61.8 (7.4)             | 61.7 (7.4)             | 61.6 (7.5)            | 0.09     |
| BMI (kg m⁻²)                        | 27.4 (5.2)        | 27.3 (5.2)             | 27.5 (5.1)             | 27.6 (5.2)            | <0.01    |
| Female (%)                          | 50.5              | 52.7                   | 47.8                   | 48.6                  | <0.01    |
| White (%)                           | 93.6              | 94.6                   | 93.6                   | 91.1                  | <0.01    |
| Education (%)                       |                   |                       |                       |                      | <0.01    |
| High school graduate or less        |                   |                       |                       |                      |          |
| Some college                        |                   |                       |                       |                      |          |
| College or advanced degree          | 18.7              | 16.0                   | 19.1                   | 24.9                  |          |
| Physical activity (MET hours per week) | 6.1 (3.3–15.5)    | 7.3 (5.0–17.5)         | 5.4 (1.2–14.6)         | 3.9 (0.6–11.7)        | <0.01    |
| Smoking (pack-years)                | 0.1 (0.0–10.6)    | 2.1 (0.0–11.0)         | 1.6 (0.0–10.4)         | 1.2 (0.0–8.7)         | <0.01    |
| Alcohol consumption (g per day)     |                   |                       |                       |                      |          |
| Diabetes mellitus (%)               | 6.4               | 6.2                    | 6.6                    | 7.5                   | <0.01    |
| Family history of pancreatic cancer (%) | 2.9               | 3.0                    | 2.9                    | 2.7                   | 0.19     |

Abbreviations: BMI = body mass index; IQR = interquartile range; MET = metabolic equivalent; RDA = recommended daily allowance; VITAL = Vitamins and Lifestry. The magnesium intake RDA cutoff points used are in females 240 mg (75% RDA) and 320 mg (100% RDA) and in males 315 mg (75% RDA) and 420 mg (100% RDA).

Magnesium intake includes both dietary and supplemental sources.

*P*-values for any difference across the three groups were calculated using analysis of variance (continuous variables that are normally distributed), Kruskal–Wallis test (continuous variables that are not normally distributed or ordinal variables) or χ²-test (proportions) as appropriate.
linear association became borderline significant in supplement users (HR = 1.33 (0.98, 1.80), P for linear trend = 0.07, with every 100 mg per day increment in magnesium intake) and disappeared in supplement non-users (HR = 0.93 (0.65, 1.33), P for linear trend = 0.88). However, the interaction between supplement use (users vs non-users) and magnesium intake (continuous) was not statistically significant (P for interaction = 0.21).

None of age, gender, BMI status, and NSAID use appreciably modified the linear inverse association (data not shown).

To increase the statistical power, we pooled the relative risk of pancreatic cancer in our study with that of two other cohort studies (Kesavan et al., 2010; Molina-Montes et al., 2012) comparing the highest magnesium intake with the lowest (Table 3). We observed that the pooled result is consistent with our finding (RR = 0.82 (0.68, 0.999), P = 0.049; Figure 1).

### DISCUSSION

Findings from this large prospective cohort study indicate that magnesium intake is modestly and inversely associated with the incidence of pancreatic cancer. This potential benefit is not appreciably modified by age, gender, BMI status, Mg supplement use, or NSAIDs.

Results from the present study are generally consistent with findings from two case–control studies (Jansen et al., 2013), which reported an inverse association between magnesium intake and the risk of pancreatic cancer. In addition, HPFS (Kesavan et al., 2010) found a significant inverse association between magnesium intake and the incidence of pancreatic cancer in overweight men, but not in men with normal weight. However, the study observed a statistically non-significant inverse relation between magnesium intake and the incidence of pancreatic cancer in the entire cohort. The EPIC study (Molina-Montes et al., 2012) found a borderline inverse association between magnesium intake and the incidence of pancreatic cancer in overweight men. In EPIC study, the incidence of pancreatic cancer was reduced by 21% with a 100 mg per day increment in magnesium intake among overweight men. Although findings in the present study are generally in concordance with the two previous cohort studies, we did not observe effect modification by gender or weight status.

None of the previous studies considered effect modification by supplementation. In the present study, we found that the inverse association between magnesium intake and the incidence of pancreatic cancer disappeared in supplement non-users, presumably due to a relatively lower and narrow range of magnesium intake. This observation suggests that, to gain the benefit of magnesium intake, at least meeting the recommended daily allowance for magnesium intake may be needed and dietary magnesium intake alone may not be sufficient.

Magnesium is suggested to have several potential mechanisms of preventing cancer. Epidemiological evidence indicates that magnesium deficiency is a risk factor of insulin resistance and type-2 diabetes (Ma et al, 1995; Rosolova et al, 2000; Wang et al, 2013). A clinical trial indicated that magnesium supplementation improved insulin sensitivity (Guerrero-Romero and Rodriguez-Moran, 2011). Further, studies also suggest that glucose intolerance, insulin resistance, and high insulin concentration, which increase IGF levels by reducing IGF-binding proteins or activating...
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Table 3. Characteristics of studies included in the meta-analysis

| Source                        | Participants (n) | Follow-up (years) | Person-years of follow-up | No of cases | Age at baseline (years) | Male (%) | Exposure assessment | Exposure categories | Outcome assessment | Adjusted variables | Measures of association |
|-------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------|------------------------|----------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| Dibaba TD, 2014, USA          | 66 806           | 6.8               | 451 560                   | 151         | 50-76                  | 49.5     | 120-item FFQ, plus supplement use | Three groups based on RDA: <75% RDA; 75-99% RDA; ≥100% RDA | Pancreatic adenocarcinoma was identified based on the International Classification of Disease for Oncology, 3rd edition (ICD-O-3) | Age, gender, ethnicity, education, BMI, physical activity, smoking (pack-years smoked), alcohol intake, diabetes, family history of pancreatic cancer, NSAID use, and intakes of calcium, selenium, omega-3 fatty acids, and calories | HR (95% CIs) for total magnesium intake: highest versus lowest: 0.569 (0.338, 0.940). Per 100 mg per day increase: 0.806 (0.667, 0.980) |
| Molina-Montes et al, 2012, Europe | 477 202         | 11.3              | 1 591 205 (men); 3 671 100 (women) | 865         | 25-70                  | 29.8     | Country-specific FFQ were used | Quintiles of energy-adjusted magnesium intake (mg per day): <292.9; 292.9-329.8; 329.9-360.8; 360.9-399.9; >399.9 | Linkage with regional or national population-based cancer registries and national mortality registries (ICD-O-3 was used) | Energy intake from fat source, energy intake from non-fat sources, smoking, height, weight, and self-reported diabetes | HR (95% CIs) for energy-adjusted magnesium intake: highest versus lowest: 0.84 (0.65, 1.10). Per 100 mg per day increase: 1.00 (0.82, 1.22) |
| Kesavan et al, 2010, USA      | 47 893           | 20                | 851 476                   | 300         | 40-75                  | 100.0    | Semiquantitative FFQ was used, plus supplement and multivitamin use | Quintiles of total magnesium intake (median, mg per day): 263, 307, 343, 384, 457 | Self-report, and medical record with confirmed with complete histology | Age, BMI, height, history of diabetes (yes/no), physical activity (quintiles of metabolic equivalent task hours per week), smoking history (categories), total caloric intake (quintiles) | RR (95% CIs) for total magnesium intake: 0.94 (0.66, 1.32) |

Abbreviations: BMI = body mass index; CI = confidence interval; FFQ = food frequency questionnaire; HR = hazard ratio; ICD-O-3 = International Classification of Disease 3rd edition; NA = not available; NSAIDs = non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; OR = odds ratio; RDA = recommended dietary allowance; RR = relative risk; T = tertile.

Figure 1. Multivariable-adjusted RRs and 95% CIs of pancreatic cancer incidence comparing those in the highest to those in the lowest magnesium intake group from prospective cohort studies. The summary estimate was obtained by using a fixed-effects model. The dots indicate the adjusted RRs. The size of the shade square is proportional to the weight of each study. The horizontal lines represent 95% CIs. The diamond marker indicates the pooled RRs. *The current study. CI = confidence interval; RR = relative risk.

IGF-receptors, may play an important role in carcinogenesis (Giovannucci, 2003; Salvatore et al, 2015). Some pancreatic cancer cell lines including MIA PaCa-2 and Panc-1 tumours possess IGF-1 receptors and are promoted by IGF-1 in vitro (Fisher et al, 1996). A study showed in IGF-1-deficient mice model the burden of pancreatic tumour cell line JCI101 was significantly reduced (Lashinger et al, 2011). In magnesium deficient states, a high level of insulin secretion due to type-2 diabetes may thus have a deleterious effect on pancreatic exocrine cells, leading to mutation and transformation to a tumour (Jansen et al, 2012). This is supported by several previous studies. Binding studies have shown pancreatic cancer cells have insulin receptors and high affinity for insulin (Fisher et al, 1996). In vitro studies also showed that insulin promotes growth of hamster pancreatic cancer cell line H2T.
(Fisher et al, 1998), and rat acinar pancreatic cancer cell lines AR42J (Mossner et al, 1985) and several human pancreatic cell lines including Capan-1, Capan-2, CFPAC-1, HS766T, MIA PaCa-2, Panc-1, ASPC-1,COLO-357, and BxPC-3 (Beauchamp et al, 1990; Takeda and Escribano, 1991; Fisher et al, 1996; Kormann et al, 1998; Ding et al, 2000). These evidences suggest that the link between magnesium deficiency and pancreatic cancer might be through insulin resistance and type-2 diabetes. In addition, magnesium is required for genomic stability (Anastassopoulou and Theophanides, 2002; Mahabir et al, 2008), DNA duplication and repair (Sahmoun and Singh, 2010), apoptosis (Tam et al, 2003), inhibition of chemical carcinogenesis (Kasprzak and Waalkes, 1986), and reduction of inflammation and oxidative stress (Mazur et al, 2007; Castiglioni and Maier, 2011). A study reported that magnesium ions actively bind to DNA polymerase, altering its structure, and also create a magnesium substrate scaffold to which the enzymes bind during structural conformations before and after chemical reactions for DNA repair and synthesis (Yang et al, 2004). Another study reported that magnesium cations stabilise nucleic acid duplexes and facilitate their folding into the biologically active secondary and tertiary structures and are necessary cofactors for many enzymatic reactions involving nucleic acids (Owczarzy et al, 2008). Magnesium deficiency is associated with increased levels of free radicals and inflammation that might lead to DNA damage and carcinogenesis (Błaszczyk and Duda-Chodak, 2013). Studies (Durlach et al, 1986; Anastassopoulou and Theophanides, 2002) have found that magnesium also acts as a competitive antagonist against some weak carcinogenic chemicals such as lead and cadmium and as a non-competitive antagonist against nickel.

Our study has several strengths that need to be highlighted. First, this study is a fairly large sample size with a long period of follow-up, though we may still have insufficient statistical power in some analyses. Second, information on magnesium supplementation was collected in this study through a validated questionnaire (Satia-Abouta et al, 2003), which enables us to examine the potential effect modification of supplement use. The VITAL study had detailed information on magnesium supplemental intake from multivitamins and individual supplements, allowing a more accurate estimate of total magnesium intake in both male and female participants, compared with prior studies that were either only in males (Kesavan et al, 2014) or collected only dietary magnesium intake (Molina-Morales et al, 2012). However, our study also has limitations. First, we acknowledge that pancreatic cancer is a rare disease, and more incident cases of pancreatic cancer may be needed to achieve sufficient statistical power for some examinations, for example, to test the interactions between magnesium intake and potential effect modifiers. Nevertheless, our findings are consistent with the combined results when pooled with the other two studies. Second, measurement errors of diet assessment are inevitable. However, the dietary measurement instruments that we used have been validated and used in other established cohorts. Also, in a prospective study, the bias due to measurement error of the estimated association between magnesium intake and pancreatic cancer would be expected to be toward the null. Finally, because of bioavailability, biomarkers of magnesium status such as ionised serum magnesium levels or cellular magnesium level will help us better understand the potential benefit of magnesium intake with respect to pancreatic cancer prevention.

In conclusion, a high level of magnesium intake (that meet RDA) may be beneficial in terms of primary prevention of pancreatic cancer. Adhering to the RDA for magnesium intake is recommended. To achieve that level, dietary magnesium intake alone may not be sufficient. Magnesium supplementation may help achieve the RDA for magnesium, especially for those who may have an elevated risk of pancreatic cancer, such as those with family history of pancreatic cancer or diabetes mellitus. Further research is needed to confirm our findings and to establish causal inference.

KEY MESSAGES

- The study indicates 100 mg per day decrement in magnesium intake resulted in 24% increase in incidence of pancreatic cancer.
- Compared to those who met the RDA for magnesium intake there was 76% increase in incidence of pancreatic cancer in those with <75% RDA magnesium intake.
- The observed inverse association was not modified by BMI, gender, and age.
- Magnesium intake may be beneficial in the primary prevention of pancreatic cancer.
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