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Selective Electrochemical Reduction of Nitrogen to Ammonia by Adjusting the Three-Phase Interface
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The electrochemical nitrogen reduction reaction (NRR) provides a sustainable and alternative avenue to the Haber-Bosch process for ammonia (NH₃) synthesis. Despite the great efforts made on catalysts and electrolytes, unfortunately, current NRR suffers from low selectivity due to the overwhelming competition with the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER). Here, we present an adjusted three-phase interface to enhance nitrogen (N₂) coverage on a catalyst surface and achieve a record-high Faradic efficiency (FE) up to 97% in aqueous solution. The almost entirely suppressed HER process combined with the enhanced NRR activity, benefiting from the efficient three-interface contact line, is responsible for the excellent selectivity toward NH₃, as evidenced by the theoretical and experimental results. Our strategy also demonstrates the applicability to other catalysts that feature strong H adsorption ability, to boost the FE for NH₃ synthesis above 90% and to improve the NRR activity by engineering the catalysts.

1. Introduction

The electrochemical nitrogen reduction reaction (NRR) to ammonia (NH₃) at ambient conditions provides a sustainable and alternative approach to the Haber-Bosch process for making fertilizers and energy carriers [1–5]. However, the inertness of nitrogen (N₂) with a strong N≡N triple bond (bonding energy of 940.95 kJ mol⁻¹), nonpolarity, and negative electron affinity typically result in a large overpotential and a very low Faradic efficiency (FE) (typically <10%) for NRR [6–8]. In addition, the NRR competes fiercely with the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER). Most metal catalysts with low NRR overpotentials favor adsorption of H over N₂, resulting in a tendency to produce hydrogen (H₂) rather than NH₃ [9–11]. Furthermore, the large energy gap (10.82 eV) between the highest occupied and the lowest unoccupied molecular orbitals of N₂ does not favor the one- or two-electron transfer process and therefore endows the NRR with sluggish kinetics [12–14]; most protons and electrons go toward generating H₂. Consequently, the selectivity remains a major challenge for the multielectron and multiproton NRR pathways in competing with the dominant HER for active catalysts.

Substantial efforts have been dedicated to optimization of the NRR selectivity by developing various heterogeneous electrocatalysts including metals, metal oxides, and nonmetallic materials, particularly focused on tailoring the particle size, crystallinity, morphology, defect density, and surface active sites [15–28]. For example, single-atom catalysts were demonstrated to have an atomic ensemble effect on suppressing the HER since it was perceived that only the top site of single-atom catalysts with positive relative energies contributed to H adsorption, with respect to the multiple adsorption sites (top, bridge, and hollow) for bulk metal surfaces [29]. But to date, the highest FE achieved for these single-atom catalysts is limited to 56.6% as the HER remains to be a highly competitive reaction [30]. Recently, the rates of NH₃ and H₂ production were deemed to be, respectively, zeroth order and first order in the electron and proton concentrations [31]. Thus, it was suggested that reducing the proton and electron supply would slow down the HER kinetics and make N₂ more accessible to the surface active sites, thereby promoting the NRR conversion. In this respect, a number of strategies were implemented to expect an improvement on the selectivity toward NH₃ by using an aprotic solvent with extremely few proton donors [32, 33], a hydrophobic
was limited to 10–12 mol s⁻¹ cm⁻². A recent work achieved a new layer to repel water molecules and trap N₂, but at a cost of high NRR selectivity (90%) via a superhydrophobic MOF. To enhance the coverage of N₂ on the catalyst surface in aqueous solution, low activity [34]. Hence, the accessibility of adequate N₂ molecules to the catalyst is critical but generally difficult, and it is difficult to obtain orders of magnitude improvement in selectivity while maintaining the activity by only manipulating the concentration of N₂ [31], although a theoretical study has pointed out the preference for NH₃ formation if the catalyst surface is covered with N₂ rather than H adatoms [10]. Previous reports have found that the selectivity and activity of CO₂ reduction are significantly affected by the CO₂ concentration at the catalyst surface and can be improved by adjusting the reaction interface [38, 39]. Besides, the solid-state electrochemical NRR cell with electrodes exposed to N₂ also demonstrates the promise to overcome the low conversion of the conventional catalytic reactors, but its performance is limited by the relative poor transport of protons and electrons [40]. Therefore, we believe that effective electrochemical reduction of nitrogen to ammonia requires efficient contact of N₂ (gas), H⁺ (liquid), and catalyst (solid).

In the present work, we proposed a simple strategy to enhance the coverage of N₂ on the catalyst surface in aqueous acid and promote the gas-solid catalyst-liquid electrolyte three-phase reaction interface. Different from the traditional reaction interface, in the adjusted three-phase interface, N₂ does not have to be dissolved in the electrolyte and N₂ bubbles surrounded the catalyst to promote substantial N₂ molecules adsorbing and occupying the active sites preferentially. A high FE to NH₃ of ~97% was achieved on a common supported Pd catalyst at 0.1 V vs. the reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) in an acidic aqueous solution (0.1 M HCl). Our strategy could be easily extended to other catalysts such as Ir and RuPd, though they feature strong H adsorption ability to boost the FE for electrocatalytic NRR. Moreover, excellent NRR activity was then achieved by employing the adjusted three-phase interface and engineering catalysts with more active sites. Our results offer a new outlook to break through the limitations in selectivity toward NH₃ from renewable energy sources especially in aqueous solution and also provide valuable insights to enhance the electrocatalytic performance of solid catalysts in a proton-sensitive competition system.

2. Results

2.1. Theoretical Insights into the Suppression of HER. Increasing N₂ coverage (θₐ₉) on the electrocatalyst should be an effective strategy to increase the N₂ selectivity to NH₃, and the HER process can be suppressed seriously (see Supplemental Information: Effect of θₐ₉ on selectivity). In order to gain a theoretical insight into the suppression of HER with the increase in θₐ₉ on the Pd surface, a p(3 × 3) model for Pd(111), Pd(100), and Pd(211) facets with various θₐ₉ was built and the following H adsorption has been investigated using density functional theory (DFT) calculations in this work. The adsorption configuration of N₂ was chosen to be vertical rather than parallel (Figure S1), which means θₐ₉ can vary from 1/9 ML to 1 ML on Pd(111) and Pd(100) facets and 1/9 ML to 6/9 ML on Pd(211) theoretically owing to its step-like property and the repulsion between vertical adsorbed N₂. As shown in Figure 1(a), Eₐ₉(H) decreases with the increase in θₐ₉ on the Pd(100) facet and quickly approaches 0 at 7/9 ML of θₐ₉, implying the gradually weakened adsorption of H. Additionally, H prefers permeating into Pd bulk, forming a PdH compound, rather than being on the surface stably at high θₐ₉ such as 7/9 ML (Figure 1(a)). As a result, the HER process, which requires surface-adsorbed H on the Pd(100) surface, was extensively suppressed. Similar phenomena could occur on other facets such as Pd(211) and Pd(111) (Figures S2 and S3). Hence, one can conclude that the HER process can be greatly repressed with the increase in θₐ₉ on the Pd surface via inhibiting the stable adsorption of H on active sites, thereby enhancing N₂ reduction selectivity toward NH₃. However, traditionally, N₂ is dissolved in the electrolyte and the NRR occurs in the solid-liquid two-phase interface, solid catalyst-electrolyte solution, as shown in Figure 1(b). As the solubility of N₂ in water is as low as 0.66 mmol L⁻¹ under standard temperature and pressure, corresponding to ~5 orders of magnitude fewer N₂ molecules than water molecules, the NRR activity is severely limited by the inefficient N₂ mass transport and H (or H₂O) has the priority over N₂ to occupy the active sites, leading to a dominant HER process and poor selectivity to NH₃. Therefore, in order to increase the coverage of N₂ instead of H adatoms on the catalyst surface, the gas- (N₂) solid- (catalyst) liquid (electrolyte solution) three-phase contact line should be enhanced to promote efficient gas mass transport. In the adjusted three-phase interface (Figure 1(c)), contrary to the traditional reaction interface (Figure 1(b)), N₂ does not have to be dissolved in the electrolyte and the catalysts are surrounded by N₂ bubbles, and thereby adequate N₂ molecules can adsorb and occupy the active sites preferentially, consequently hindering the stable adsorption of H and suppressing the HER. With N₂ bubbles covering catalyst surfaces, NRR can take place at the interface where N₂ bubbles, solid catalysts, and electrolytes...
contact meanwhile, thus improving the electrocatalytic NRR performances.

2.2. Catalyst Synthesis and Characterization. To demonstrate the feasibility of the adjusted three-phase interface for electrochemical NRR, a common Pd/activated carbon cloth (Pd/ACC) catalyst was prepared via an ultrasound-assisted reduction technique. The ACC support had a microporous structure and a specific surface area of 96 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ (Figure S4). The representative high-angle annular dark-field scanning transmission electron microscopy (HADDF-STEM) image revealed well-dispersed nanoparticles with an average size centered at 4.5 nm (Figure 2(a)). The lattice spacing of the nanoparticles was measured to be 0.196 nm and 0.225 nm, corresponding to the (200) and (111) planes of Pd, respectively (Figure 2(b)). The X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern of Pd/ACC could be indexed to face-centered cubic (fcc) Pd (PDF#65-6174) (Figure 2(c)). Additionally, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) results showed the presence of C, O, and Pd in the catalyst without the signal of N (Figure S5), and the surface Pd was mainly in a metallic state and partially oxidized to Pd$^{2+}$ and Pd$^{4+}$ (Figure 2(d)).

2.3. Electrocatalytic Performances. The electrocatalytic NRR activities of the Pd/ACC catalyst were then measured in 0.1 M HCl solution in a gas-tight two-compartment electrochemical cell separated with a Nafton 211 membrane and connected with a gas absorber (Figure 3(a)). To reduce a false positive from potential contaminant in the input gas stream, ultra-high-purity N$_2$ (99.9999% purity) was used as the feeding gas and the potential NO$_x$ in N$_2$ was detected with a nitrite assay kit and by gas chromatography and diffuse reflectance infrared Fourier transform spectroscopy (DRIFTS) before NRR tests (see Supplemental Information and Determination of NO$_x$ Contamination in Ultra-High-Purity N$_2$ Gas). Almost no nitrite was detected after N$_2$ flowing for 2 h (~1 ppb, Figure S6), indicating that the NO$_x$ in ultra-high-purity N$_2$ could be ignored. Gas chromatographic curve (Figure S7) and DRIFTS spectrum (Figure S8) both show no signal of NO$_x$ which corresponds well with the nitrite assay kit result, further demonstrating the high purity of feeding gas. Then, N$_2$ gas was supplied in a continuous feed stream with a flow rate of 130 sccm to the cathode compartment. The gas tube was positioned near the electrode to ensure that the entire Pd/ACC catalyst was surrounded by a mass of N$_2$ bubbles during the electrocatalytic process (Movie S1), expecting to adjust the gas-solid-liquid three-phase contact interface and increase the coverage of N$_2$ on the catalyst surface.

In order to verify the feasibility of this strategy, linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) was conducted on Pd/ACC in N$_2$-saturated and Ar-saturated HCl solution with bubbles covering the catalyst in the same cell. As shown in Figure S9(a), the reduction current density of N$_2$ bubbles covering Pd/ACC is slightly smaller than that of Ar bubbles, implying that some nitrogen species may be adsorbed on the catalysts hindering the HER activity when Ar was changed to N$_2$ [41]. In addition, once N$_2$ flow (red curve in Figure S9(b)) was changed to Ar with the same cell
assembly, the current density increased immediately, reconfirming that the decreased current density was due to the impeditive HER in the N₂-catalyst-electrolyte three-phase interface. These phenomena were repeated and became more obvious with chronoamperometry. Catalysts surrounded by N₂ bubbles might have two mechanisms in impeding H₂ production: (1) reducing the proton donors with bubbles and (2) occupying more active sites of the catalyst with nitrogen. To gain further insight into the suppressed HER, Ar gas was injected into the cathode compartment and LSV was tested on Pd/ACC with or without Ar bubbles covering by adjusting the position of the gas tube. As shown in Figure S9(c), the LSV curves coincide well with each other, suggesting that the inert Ar bubbles have no discernible effect on H₂ production in the three-phase interface, and therefore, one could conclude that nitrogen adsorbed on the active sites is the main reason for the inhibited HER under the adjusted three-phase interface, which corresponds well with the DFT results. Based on the above, we believe that the possible mechanism of this three-phase boundary control is that once the catalyst sites are exposed to the nitrogen gas (via bubbles), the hydrogen is replaced by it and NRR can take place at the interface where N₂ bubbles, solid catalysts, and electrolytes contact meanwhile.

Subsequently, to further study the impact of this adjusted three-phase interface, chronoamperometry was tested on Pd/ACC and the electrochemical NRR performances were systematically investigated. Unless otherwise specified, all the potentials were converted and reported as values vs. RHE. As shown in Figure 3(b), Pd/ACC with N₂ bubbles produces a smaller current density after continuous electrolysis at 0.1 V for 2 h than that with Ar bubbles, which demonstrates that the competing HER was suppressed during the NRR process obviously. These results correspond well with those obtained from the above LSVs. The primary NRR product NH₃ was further quantified by the indophenol blue (Figure S10) and salicylic acid methods (Figure S11) simultaneously, while the yield of the by-product N₂H₄ was determined by the para-dimethylaminobenzaldehyde method (Figure S12). In our system, only NH₃ was detected without the presence of N₂H₄ (Figure S13), implying good selectivity for N₂ reduction to NH₃ on the Pd/ACC catalyst. The average NH₃ production rates and the corresponding

Figure 2: Structure analyses of the Pd/ACC catalyst. (a) Representative HAADF-STEM image of the Pd/ACC catalyst with an inset of particle size distribution. (b) HRTEM image of the Pd/ACC catalyst. (c) XRD images of the ACC and Pd/ACC catalysts. (d) Pd 3d XPS spectrum of the Pd/ACC catalyst.
FEs at various applied potentials are plotted in Figure 3(c) and Figure S14. The values obtained from the two methods were in good agreement (Figure S15). The average rate reached a maximum of $5.5 \times 10^{-11}$ mol s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ at 0.1 V, which was normalized by the area of the working electrode. More importantly, a high FE of 97% for NH$_3$ production was achieved, which may be attributed to the adjusted three-phase interface and the relative positive potential. The value was several times to one order of magnitude higher than that reported in aqueous solutions up to date (Table S1). The NRR performances were evaluated with the same kind of Pd/ACC catalyst in N$_2$-saturated HCl.
at constant voltage for 2h for three times, and the results are shown in Figure S16. To demonstrate the almost inhibited HER, the produced H₂ was then detected by gas chromatography and no signals of H₂ was detected (note that the HER process can occur at positive potentials; see Figure S17 and Supplemental Information: Calculation of equilibrium potential of HER). The metal nanoparticles were still homogeneously dispersed on the ACC support after 2h of continuous electrolysis (Figure S18). Recycling experiments also found that the chronoamperometry curves exhibited little change and the FE maintained around 90% during recycling for nine times, manifesting the repeatability and the stability of the adjusted three-phase interface (Figure S19 and Figure 3(d)). The Pd/ACC catalyst after NRR was further characterized. Compared with pristine Pd/ACC and a reference sample prepared by heating Pd/ACC in a tube furnace with a N₂ flow, the catalyst after NRR showed diffraction peaks slightly shifted toward lower diffraction angles in the XRD pattern and enlarged lattice distances in the HRTEM image, suggesting that Pd hydride might form after the NRR test (Figures S20–S23). The solid-state NMR measurement further confirmed it (Figure S24), consistent with the above DFT results and the previous reports that H atoms can form it (Figures S20–S23), consistent with the above DFT results and the previous reports that H atoms can form.

A series of control experiments were then performed to demonstrate that the detected NH₃ was truly generated from the electrochemical NRR (Figures S25 and S26). When Pd/ACC was evaluated in N₂ at an open circuit, no signal of NH₃ was detected, indicating the absence of NH₃ impurity in the external environment and N₂. Also, no NH₃ was found after controlled electrolysis of Pd/ACC at 0.1 V in Ar for 2h, which was consistent with the absence of N in the Pd/ACC XPS spectrum. In addition, no NH₃ was produced on the pure ACC support. To further confirm the origin of NH₃ production during the NRR test, an isotopic labeling experiment with ¹⁴N₂ as the feed gas was conducted. As shown in Figure 3(e), the ¹H nuclear magnetic resonance (¹H NMR) spectrum of ¹⁴NH₄⁺ shows a doublet coupling with a Jₖ,ₜ of 73.2 Hz, while a triple coupling with a Jₖ,ₜ of 52.2 Hz was found for ¹⁴NH₄⁺. Only ¹⁴NH₄⁺ was observed when ¹⁴N₂ gas was fed into the cell. By contrast, the ¹⁵N₂ sample showed distinguished peaks for ¹⁵NH₄⁺, and no signal for ¹⁴NH₄⁺ was observed in ¹H NMR spectra, suggesting the negligible amount of background NH₃ and coinciding with the control experiment under Ar electrolysis. These results all proved that the produced NH₃ was entirely derived from the electrochemical reduction of N₂.

2.4. Validity and Applicability of the Adjusted Three-Phase Interface. To prove the validity and the importance of the adjusted three-phase interface, we investigated the influence of N₂ coverage on the NRR performance by altering the N₂ flow rate (50, 80, 100, and 130 sccm) and controlling the position of the gas tube. The results show that the current densities and total electric charges diminish with the increase in the N₂ flow rate and achieve the lowest values at 130 sccm (Figure 4(a) and Figure S27), while the FE exhibits an opposite trend (Figure 4(b)). To explore the side reaction along with the NRR process at 50 sccm of N₂, the produced H₂ was detected by gas chromatography. As shown in Figure S17, the H₂ signal is found, indicating that HER occurs at 50 sccm of N₂. These phenomena all proved that the HER process was suppressed with the increase in bubbles accessible to the electrode under 130 sccm of N₂. Moreover, if the gas tube was positioned near the Pd/ACC catalyst to ensure that N₂ bubbles surrounded the electrode, the current densities increased quickly in the chronoamperometry curves (Figures 4(c)–4(e)). Also, FE and NH₃ yield increased prominently (Figure 4(f)), manifesting that the NRR activity could be enhanced with the N₂ bubbles covering. Based on the above results, the significantly improved NRR selectivity of the Pd/ACC catalyst can be attributed to the synergistic effect of inhibited HER and promoted NRR activity with the enhanced three-phase interface.

Furthermore, to demonstrate the feasibility of this strategy and explore the applicability of the adjusted three-phase interface on other catalysts, Ir-based and RuPd-based catalysts with strong H adsorption ability were prepared via an ultrasound-assisted reduction method and then characterized using XRD, XPS, and HRTEM techniques. The results are shown in Figures S29–S31, and their corresponding NRR properties were then examined. Maximum FEs of 93% and 99% for NH₃ synthesis were achieved with the Ir/ACC and RuPd/ACC catalysts, respectively (Figures 4(g) and 4(h)). Additionally, it was found that more N₂ coverage on Ir and RuPd surfaces could accelerate NH₃ production and inhibit the HER process simultaneously (Figures S32–S34), therefore improving the NRR selectivity and activity synergistically. These findings were consistent with those for Pd/ACC, which could open up a new outlook to break through the selectivity limitations in electrochemical NRR, especially in aqueous solution. In addition, it was reasonable to expect an excellent NRR performance with the enhanced three-phase interface by engineering catalysts with more active sites. We found that the developed Pd clusters/ACC and IrPd/ACC catalysts with supersmall metal nanoparticle sizes (Figures S35–S38) could achieve improved ammonia yield rates of 106 μg h⁻¹ mg⁻¹ and 93 μg h⁻¹ mg⁻¹, respectively, while the high FEs remains (97% and 87%, Figures S39–S41). These all demonstrated the wide applicability of the enhanced three-phase interface.

3. Discussion

In summary, we demonstrate a theory-guided design of the adjusted three-phase interface as an effective strategy for favoring NRR over HER and achieve high NH₃ production selectivity with FE up to 97% in an aqueous acid solution under ambient condition. N₂ does not have to be dissolved in electrolyte, and the increased N₂ coverage on the catalyst surface weakens H adsorption, leading to a suppressed HER and improved selectivity toward NH₃, as evidenced by the theoretical and experimental results. This strategy is also extended to Ir- and RuPd-based catalysts for selective
Figure 4: Effect of nitrogen bubbles on electrochemical NRR performances. (a) Total electric charge and (b) FE for Pd/ACC at 0.1 V under various flow rates of N₂. Chronoamperometry curves of Pd/ACC at 0.1 V with a gas flow rate of (c) 130 and (d) 50 sccm. Gas tube far from the electrode (red curve) and gas tube near the electrode (blue curve). (e) Total electric charges and FEs and (f) average NH₃ yield rates for Pd/ACC at 0.1 V for 2 h by changing the gas flow rate and the position of the gas tube. (g) FEs and average NH₃ yield rates for (g) Ir/ACC and (h) RuPd/ACC at 0.1 V for 2 h by changing the gas flow rate and the position of the gas tube.
reduction of N₂ to NH₃, even though they both possess strong H adsorption ability, thereby demonstrating its feasibility for electrochemical NRR especially in aqueous solutions. The NRR activity and selectivity can also be improved simultaneously by employing the three-phase interface and engineering catalysts with more active sites such as Pd clusters/ACC and IrPd/ACC. Thus, the results should provide a new perspective for enhancing the electrocatalytic performance of solid catalysts in a gas-involved and/or proton-sensitive competition system.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Chemicals. Sodium tetrachloropalladate (Cl₄Na₂Pd), ruthenium chloride hydrate (RuCl₃·xH₂O), chloroiridic acid hydrate (H₂IrCl₆·xH₂O), sodium borohydride (NaBH₄), hydrazine monohydrate (N₂H₄·H₂O, >98% (T)), sodium nitroferricyanide dihydrate (C₅FeN₆Na₂O₆·2H₂O), sodium citrate (C₆H₅Na³O₇), sodium salicylate (C₇H₅NaO₃), sodium hypochlorite solution (NaClO, 5% Cl⁻), ammonium chloride (NH₄Cl), and 15N enrichment of ammonium chloride (¹⁵NNH₄Cl, 99 atom% ¹⁵N) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Hydrochloric acid (HCl, 35%-38%), sodium hydroxide (NaOH), and ethyl alcohol (C₂H₅OH) were used without any further purification. Hydroxide (NaOH), and ethyl alcohol (C₂H₅OH) were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd. Paraformaldehyde (C₅H₄N·H₂O), sodium borohydride (NaBH₄), and ammonium nitrate (NH₄NO₃) with Nicolet 6700 FTIR fitted with an MCT detector with a resolution of 4 cm⁻¹ and 32 scans. Gas chromatography was performed on a Agilent 7820A equipped with a thermal conductivity detector with Ag as the carrier gas. A heated glass column was used to separate the N₂ product from the input gas stream. In addition, before NRR tests, the ultra-high-purity N₂ was continuously purged into the cathodic compartment for at least 1 h before NRR tests. Then, potentiostatic tests were performed in N₂-purged gas (99.9999% purity) as a carrier gas to reduce a false positive from potential contaminant of NO in the feeding gas. The concentration of ammonia was measured with a PerkinElmer Optima OES 8000, which was dissolved by HNO₃. The concentration of ammonia was measured on a PerkinElmer Optima OES 8000, which was dissolved by HNO₃.

4.2. Synthesis of ACC. ACC was synthesized according to the previous report [44]. Typically, a piece of CC was heated to 600°C with a heating rate of 10°C min⁻¹ and kept at 600°C for 1 h under flowing industry N₂ (99% purity) at 300 sccm to obtain ACC.

4.3. Synthesis of the Pd/ACC Catalyst. 2.5 mL of 0.01 g mL⁻¹ Cl₂Na₂Pd solution was dispersed in 20 mL of ultrapure water. A piece of ACC was immersed in the solution under ultrasound for 10 min. Next, 2.5 mL of 2 mg mL⁻¹ NaBH₄ solution was added in the as-prepared solution with an ultrasonic dispersion for 30 min. Finally, the as-obtained Pd/ACC catalyst was further washed and dried at 60°C in a vacuum oven. The content of Pd on the Pd/ACC catalyst was determined to be ~0.4 mg cm⁻² according to the ICP-AES result.

4.4. Characterizations. The X-ray diffraction (XRD) data of the samples were obtained on a D/Max Ultima TV wide-angle X-ray diffractometer equipped with Cu Kα radiation (λ = 0.15406 nm). High-resolution TEM (HRTEM) and high-angle annular dark-field scanning transmission electron microscopy (HADDF-STEM) analyses were performed on an FEI Tecnai G2 F20 S-TWIN microscope operating at an acceleration voltage of 300 kV. The X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) data were accomplished with an ESCALAB MARK II spherical analyzer with an Al Kα (1486.6 eV) X-ray source. The inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry (ICP-AES) was carried out on a PerkinElmer Optima OES 8000. The content of Pd was obtained with inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry (ICP-AES, PerkinElmer Optima OES 8000), which was dissolved by HNO₃. The concentration of ammonia was measured on a UV-vis spectrophotometer (TU-1901). The specific surface area and pore size distribution were calculated based on the N₂ desorption analysis performed at 77 K on Micromeritics ASAP 2020. 600 M¹H nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) was detected on Agilent DD2-600. Diffuse reflectance infrared Fourier transform spectroscopy (DRIFTS) was collected with Nicolet 6700 FTIR fitted with an MCT detector with a resolution of 4 cm⁻¹ and 32 scans. Gas chromatography was performed on a Agilent 7820A equipped with a thermal conductivity detector with Ar as the carrier gas (injector, oven, and detector temperatures were set at 150°C, 120°C, and 200°C, respectively).

4.5. Electrochemical NRR Measurements. Electrochemical experiments were carried out in a three-electrode configuration at an electrochemical station (CHI660E). Typically, the catalysts were freestanding, which were directly used as the working electrode without the addition of any additives. The area of the working electrode was controlled to be 0.8 cm². Pt foil and Ag/AgCl electrode (saturated KCl electrolyte) were used as the counter electrode and reference electrode, respectively. In this work, all potentials were converted to reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) scaling by \[ E (\text{vs. RHE}) = E (\text{vs. Ag/AgCl}) + 0.1989 \, \text{V} + 0.0591 \times \text{pH} \].

For electrochemical NRR measurements, the tests were conducted in a two-compartment cell with 40 mL electrolyte in each cell which was separated with a Nafion 211 membrane and connected with a gas absorption cell. Before experiments, the Nafion 211 membrane was pretreated with H₂O₂ (5%) aqueous solution and ultrapure water each for 1 h. Ultra-high-purity N₂ (99.9999% purity) was used as the feeding gas to reduce a false positive from potential contaminant of NO from the input gas stream. In addition, before NRR tests, the potential NOₓ in ultra-high-purity N₂ gas (99.9999% purity) was first detected with a nitrite assay kit by using colorimetric tests, gas chromatography, and DRIFTS (see Determination of NOₓ Contamination in Ultra-High-Purity N₂ Gas). These results all show that almost no NOₓ in the feeding gas was detected. Then, the ultra-high-purity N₂ was continuously purged into the cathodic compartment for at least 1 h before NRR tests. Then, potentiostatic tests were performed in N₂-saturated 0.1 M HCl solution for 2 h at room temperature under atmospheric pressure for evaluating the average ammonia yield rate. The gas tube was positioned to ensure that the entire cathode was surrounded by gas bubbles during the whole process. In order to absorb the gas and electrolyte out with the large flow rate of N₂, 0.1 M HCl was also used as an absorber in a gas absorption cell. To reduce the
contaminations from the environment, the whole cell was sealed and soaked in 0.1 M HCl solution when not in use and it was thoroughly rinsed with deionized water and 0.1 M HCl electrolyte each for more than three times. Before LSV tests, Ar flowed into the cell for 1 h. Then, polarization curves in Ar were tested with a scan rate of 5 mV s\(^{-1}\), and this step was repeated to obtain a steady curve. Next, the gas was changed to ultra-high-purity \(N_2\) and it flowed in the cell to 1 h to guarantee a \(N_2\) atmosphere. For comparison, the LSV curves in \(N_2\) were obtained at 5 mV s\(^{-1}\) with the same cell assembly. Then, \(N_2\) gas was changed to Ar again and Ar was inputted in the cell for 1 h before collecting the LSV curves in \(N_2\) at 5 mV s\(^{-1}\). All the polarization curves shown were the steady ones. The chronoamperometry test of Ar was conducted on a Pd/ACC sample from the same batch of catalyst in 0.1 M Ar-saturated HCl at 0.1 V for 2 h with 130 sccm of Ar flow rate before the NRR test. Repeated tests were run on Pd/ACC with the same electrode or from different batches in 0.1 M HCl solution at constant voltage for 2 h with 130 sccm of \(N_2\) flow rate. Recycling experiments were repeated for nine times, and each was evaluated by NRR tests on the same Pd/ACC electrode in 0.1 M HCl solution at 0.1 V for 2 h with 130 sccm of \(N_2\) flow rate.

4.6. Determination of \(NO_x\) Contamination in Ultra-High-Purity \(N_2\) Gas. The potential \(NO_x\) in ultra-high-purity \(N_2\) gas should be noticed to avoid a false positive. The nitrite assay kit, gas chromatography, and DRIFTS were used to detect the potential \(NO_x\) in the ultra-high-purity \(N_2\). Similar to the NRR test, \(N_2\) was purged into the electrolytic cell for 2 h, and then, the solution was detected with the nitrite assay kit according to the previous report [45]. Ultrapure water and 20 \(\mu\)mol/L NaNO\(_2\) sample added with nitrite assay kits were also prepared as the blank sample and standard sample, respectively. After color development for 15 min at room temperature, these samples were measured at a wavelength of 546 nm on a UV-vis spectrophotometer. As shown in Figure S6, the UV-vis spectrum of the test solution is consistent with that of the blank solution. The concentration of nitrite in the test solution was low to 1 ppb, and thus, the \(NO_x\) in the ultra-high-purity \(N_2\) could be ignored. Also, the feeding gas was further detected by gas chromatography and DRIFTS. Ar was used as the carrier gas, and the flow rate was 30 sccm for gas chromatographic analysis. As shown in Figure S7, only the peak of \(N_2\) is found and no other peak is detected, indicating a negligible \(NO_x\) in the \(N_2\) feeding gas. For DRIFTS, Ar was used as the background and the final spectrum of \(N_2\) was obtained by subtracting that from the Ar background (Figure S8). Similarly, no vibrations of \(NO_x\), \(NO_y\), and other \(NO_x\) were observed. The results all demonstrated the negligible \(NO_x\) in the feeding \(N_2\).

4.7. Determination of Ammonia

4.7.1. Indophenol Blue Method. Concentration of ammonia was determined by the indophenol blue method. In detail, 3 mL of solution after NRR tests was first removed to a colorimetric tube. Then, 3 mL of 1 M NaOH solution containing 5 wt% sodium citrate and 5.79 wt% sodium salicylate was added, followed by the addition of 1.5 mL of 0.05 M NaClO and 0.3 mL of 1 wt% sodium nitroferricyanide dehydrate. After color development for 2 h at room temperature, the adsorption spectrum was obtained on a UV-vis spectrophotometer. The absorbance at a wavelength of 655 nm was used for qualitative determination of indophenol blue. The blank control was prepared by replacing 3 mL of sample with 3 mL of 0.1 M HCl solution without ammonia. The concentration-absorbance curve was calibrated using standard ammonia chloride solutions with a series of concentrations. To reduce other influence factors, the background was corrected by subtracting the value of the blank control from all readings of samples.

4.7.2. Salicylic Acid Method. Concentration of ammonia was also confirmed with the salicylic acid method [8]. 4 mL of solution after NRR tests was first removed to an empty bottle. Then, 4 mL of ultrapure water was added, followed by the addition of 50 \(\mu\)L of oxidizing solution containing 0.75 M NaOH and NaClO \((P_{ClO}\) = 4–4.9) and 500 \(\mu\)L of coloring solution containing 0.4 M sodium salicylate and 0.32 M NaOH. Finally, 50 \(\mu\)L of catalyst solution containing 1 wt% sodium nitroferricyanide dehydrate was added. After color development for 1.5 h at room temperature, the adsorption measurement was conducted at a wavelength of 670 nm on a UV-vis spectrophotometer. The blank control was prepared by replacing 4 mL of sample with 4 mL of 0.1 M HCl solution without ammonia. The concentration-absorbance curve was calibrated using standard ammonia chloride solutions with a series of concentrations. To reduce other influence factors, the background was corrected by subtracting the value of the blank control from all readings of samples.

4.8. Determination of Hydrazine

4.8.1. Para-dimethylaninobenzaldehyde Method. The hydrazine present was estimated by the method of Watt and Chrisp [46]. A mixture of para-dimethylaninobenzaldehyde (5.99 g) and HCl (concentrated, 30 mL) and ethanol (300 mL) was used as a color reagent. First, 2 mL of solution after NRR tests was mixed with 2 mL water. Then, 1 mL of 1 M KOH solution and 5 mL of color reagent were added, followed by sitting for 10 min at room temperature for color development. The absorbance of the resulting solution was measured at 456 nm. The blank control was prepared by replacing 2 mL of sample with 2 mL of 0.1 M HCl solution without hydrazine. The concentration-absorbance curve was calibrated using standard hydrazine hydrate solutions with a series of concentrations. To reduce other influence factors, the background was corrected by subtracting the value of the blank control from all readings of samples. The calibration curve was plotted with 2 mL of hydrazine hydrate-water solution of certain concentration, 2 mL of 0.1 M HCl solution, 1 mL of 1 M KOH solution, and 5 mL of color reagent.

4.8.2. \(^{15}\)N\(_2\) Isotope Labeling Experiments. \(^{15}\)N\(_2\) was used as the feeding gas for the isotopic labeling experiment to confirm the source of ammonia. \(^{15}\)N\(_2\) (≥98.5% chemical purity) was purchased from Newradar Special Gas Co., Ltd. Before the NRR test, \(^{15}\)N\(_2\) was fed into the electrolytic cell with a flow
rate of 10 sccm for 30 min. Pd/ACC was tested at 0.1 V vs. RHE for 4 h in the airtight device. This procedure was repeated for 2 times. The obtained acid electrolyte solution was concentrated, added with D$_2$O, and then identified by 600 M $^1$H NMR (Agilent, DD2-600).

4.8.3. **DFT Calculations.** DFT calculations were carried out using the Vienna Ab initio Simulation Package (VASP) [47] according to the projector augmented wave (PAW) method [48]. A cutoff energy of 400 eV for plane waves was set through all the calculations, and exchange-correlation functional approximation was treated in Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) functional [49]. The (211) slabs were built with 4 atomic layers in a $p(1 \times 3)$ supercell with the bottom two layers fixed during structural relaxation. A $p(3 \times 3)$ supercell containing 4 atomic layers for (111) and (100) slabs was modeled with the bottom two layers fixed during structural relaxation. The periodic condition was employed along the x and y directions, and the vacuum space along the z direction was set to be 15 Å in all slab calculations. The Monkhorst-Pack scheme was used for sampling the Brillouin zone, and the k-point grid of $3 \times 3 \times 1$ is selected. During structural optimizations, the residual force between atoms was converged to a value below 0.02 eV/Å.

The average adsorption energies for N$_2$ chemisorption with given N$_2$ coverage are defined as

$$E_{\text{ads}}(\text{N}_2) = \frac{E_{\text{tot}1} - E_{\text{slab}} - E_{\text{N}_2}}{n(\text{N}_2)}, \quad (1)$$

where $n(\text{N}_2)$ is the number of N$_2$ adsorbed on the catalyst, $E_{\text{tot}1}$ is the total energy after $n(\text{N}_2)$ of N$_2$ is adsorbed on the catalyst, $E_{\text{slab}}$ is the energy of the clean catalyst alone, and $E_{\text{N}_2}$ is the energy of the molecule N$_2$ in the gas phase.

The adsorption energies for H atom chemisorption are defined as

$$E_{\text{ads}}(\text{H}) = E_{\text{tot}2} - E_{\text{tot}1} - E_{\text{H}}, \quad (2)$$

where $E_{\text{tot}2}$ is the total energy after an H atom is adsorbed on the catalyst with given N$_2$ coverage and $E_{\text{H}}$ is the half of the energy of the molecule H$_2$ in the gas phase.
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