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Abstract. Whole genome and whole exome sequencing technologies play a very important role in the studies of the genetic aspects of the pathogenesis of various diseases. The ample use of genome-wide and exome-wide association study methodology (GWAS and EWAS) made it possible to identify a large number of genetic variants associated with diseases. This information is accumulated in the databases like GWAS central, GWAS catalog, OMIM, ClinVar, etc. Most of the variants identified by the GWAS technique are located in the noncoding regions of the human genome. According to the ENCODE project, the fraction of regions in the human genome potentially involved in transcriptional control is many times greater than the fraction of coding regions. Thus, genetic variation in noncoding regions of the genome can increase the susceptibility to diseases by disrupting various regulatory elements (promoters, enhancers, silencers, insulator regions, etc.). However, identification of the mechanisms of influence of pathogenic genetic variants on the diseases risk is difficult due to a wide variety of regulatory elements. The present review focuses on the molecular genetic mechanisms by which pathogenic genetic variants affect gene expression. At the same time, attention is concentrated on the transcriptional level of regulation as an initial step in the expression of any gene. A triggering event mediating the effect of a pathogenic genetic variant on the level of gene expression can be, for example, a change in the functional activity of transcription factor binding sites (TFBSs) or DNA methylation change, which, in turn, affects the functional activity of promoters or enhancers. Dissecting the regulatory roles of polymorphic loci have been impossible without close integration of modern experimental approaches with computer analysis of a growing wealth of genetic and biological data obtained using omics technologies. The review provides a brief description of a number of the most well-known public genomic information resources containing data obtained using omics technologies, including (1) resources that accumulate data on the chromatin states and the regions of transcription factor binding derived from ChIP-seq experiments; (2) resources containing data on genomic loci, for which allele-specific transcription factor binding was revealed based on ChIP-seq technology; (3) resources containing in silico predicted data on the potential impact of genetic variants on the transcription factor binding sites. Key words: transcription regulation; genetic variability; pathogenic genetic variants; transcription regulatory regions; transcription factor binding sites (TFBSs); genomic databases.
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Геномная изменчивость в регуляторных районах генов, ассоциированная с заболеваниями человека: механизмы влияния на транскрипцию генов и полногеномные информационные ресурсы, обеспечивающие исследование этих механизмов
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Аннотация. Полногеномные и полноэкзомные технологии секвенирования играют важную роль в исследовании генетических аспектов патогенеза различных заболеваний. Широкое применение методов полногеномного и полноэкзомного анализа ассоциаций позволяет идентифицировать множество вариантов геномной изменчивости (ГИ), ассоциированных с заболеваниями. Эта информация накапливается в базах данных GWAS central, GWAS catalog, OMIM, ClinVar и др. Большинство вариантов, идентифицированных методикой полногеномного анализа ассоциаций, располагается в некодирующих областях генома человека. По данным проекта ENCODE, доля участков в геноме человека, потенциально задействованных в регуляции транскрипции, во много раз превышает долю кодирующих областей. Таким образом, геномная изменчивость в некодирующих областях генома может повышать предрасположенность к заболеваниям, нарушая функционирование различных регуляторных элементов (промоторов, эн-
Introduction

At present, largely due to the widespread use of the technology of genome-wide and exome-wide association study (GWAS and EWAS), a large number of polymorphisms associated with diseases have been identified. For example, GWAS central (https://www.gwascentral.org/) contains information on more than 70 million associations between ~3.2 million SNPs and 1451 diseases or phenotypic characteristics (Beck et al., 2020). Experimental datasets of comparable volume have been accumulated in a number of other databases on genotype-phenotype associations (GWAS catalog, OMIM, ClinVar, HGMD, PheGenI, EGA, GAD, dbGaP).

Currently, a large amount of experimental data has been obtained about the disease-associated genetic variants (GVs), but the molecular mechanisms underlying these associations are extremely poorly understood. This is due to the fact that only a relatively small proportion of pathogenic GVs is located in the coding regions of the human genome, changes in the nucleotide sequence of which disrupt the structure and function of proteins. A huge mass of polymorphic loci associated with diseases is located in non-coding regions of the genome (introns, 5′- and 3′-flanking regions of genes, intergenic regions). For example, according to GWAS data, ~90 % of the total number of variants associated with diseases are located in noncoding regions of the human genome (Maurano et al., 2012; Farh et al., 2015).

It is known that non-coding regions of the genome contain regions that perform a wide range of regulatory functions: promoter regions, enhancers, negative regulatory elements, nuclear matrix attachment regions, regions that determine the structure of topologically associating domains (TADs), and other features of 3D organization of genome (Mathelier et al., 2015; Meddens et al., 2019; Ibrahim, Mundlos, 2020). The proportion of regions in the human genome potentially involved in the transcriptional regulation is extremely high. According to the ENCODE project, the chromatin regions corresponding to the peaks of transcription factor (TF) binding identified by the ChIP-seq occupy ~8.1 % of the total genomic DNA (ENCODE Project Consortium, 2012), which is significantly higher than the proportion of coding regions of the human genome (~1.2 %). Considering that not all known TFS and not all cell lines were studied in the ENCODE project, an obviously larger fraction of genomic DNA is involved in the interaction with TFs. The total length of human genome regions with enhancer-associated chromatin features also significantly exceeds the total size of the coding regions: for example, in only one cell type studied (H1-ES), enhancer regions occupy ~3.2 % (Roadmap Epigenomics Consortium et al., 2015).

Studies aimed at identifying the mechanisms of the influence of pathogenic GVs on the predisposition to diseases are carried out very actively, which is reflected in a number of review publications (Mathelier et al., 2015; Merkulov et al., 2018; Smith et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2019; Vohra et al., 2020). The most discussed effect of pathogenic GVs is a change in the binding activity of TFBSs (Lewinsky et al., 2005; Chen L. et al., 2013; Claussnitzer et al., 2015; Mathelier et al., 2015; Gorbacheva et al., 2018). It has also been shown that polymorphic loci can be associated with alteration of DNA methylation patterns (Howard et al., 2014; Kumar D. et al., 2017; Rahbar et al., 2018; Schmitz et al., 2019) and modifications of histone proteins (Kilpinen et al., 2013; Visser et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2018; Cong et al., 2019), with structural change in chromatin loops (Visser et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2018) and, as one of the manifestations of this process, with changes in the TADs structure (Cong et al., 2019; Mei et al., 2019). Examples of such effects will be discussed below (Table 1).

The effects of genetic variants on the functional activity of transcription factor binding sites

The key role in the transcriptional regulation is played by transcription factors – proteins that can specifically bind to DNA of the regulatory regions of genes and to initiate the transcription complexes formation. The human genome contains more than 1500 genes encoding TFs (Wingender et al., 2013). TF binding sites, as a rule, have a length of 10–25 nucleotides (Levitsky et al., 2014; Kulakovskiy et al., 2018).

Nucleotide substitutions, as well as short insertions/deletions at polymorphic loci, can disrupt TFBSs or create them de novo (see Table 1), and this, in turn, can have both negative and positive effects on the level of gene transcription (Chen L. et al., 2013; Gorbacheva et al., 2018). Such GVs (and the cor-
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The rs612529(T) allele facilitates binding of the transcription factor CREB1 leading to increased expression level of IL33.

rs928413(G) allele creates a binding site for the ARID5B repressor, which leads to derepression of a potent preadipocyte enhancer and a doubling of IRX3 and IRX5 expression.

The allele G of rs2001389 weakens the CTCF binding-activity of DNA, eliminating TAD boundary and altering 3D chromatin structure, and it is related to the lower expression of a putative antioncogene MFSD13A.

The rs612529(T) allele facilitates binding of the transcription factor PU.1, that acts as docking site for DNA demethylases. In carriers of pathogenic variant C, the interaction of PU.1 with DNA is disrupted, as a result, the methylation level of the VSTM1 promoter is elevated, and this is accompanied by a downregulation of VSTM1 expression.

CGG repeat expansion disrupts the structure of TAD, that includes FMR1. In individuals with mutation-length CGG triplet repeats, the 5'-boundary region of TAD is ablated (this region is hypermethylated, and its CTCF occupancy is lost). As a result, one subTAD dissolves. FMR1, which is normally associated with the downstream TAD, shifts to the upstream TAD. In this case, FMR1 promoter is hypermethylated, and FMR1 expression is down-regulated.

The risk allele rs4321755(T) creates a GATA3 binding motif within an enhancer, resulting in stronger binding of GATA3 and chromatin accessibility, thereby activating interaction between the enhancer and MRPS30/IRX3, and increasing the expression of MRPS30 and IRX3.

Breast cancer
rs4321755
C→T
Enhancer region of MRPS30 and RP11-53019.1 genes
The risk allele rs4321755(T) creates a GATA3 binding motif within an enhancer, resulting in stronger binding of GATA3 and chromatin accessibility, thereby activating interaction between the enhancer and MRPS30/IRX3, and increasing the expression of MRPS30 and IRX3.

Table 1. Examples of polymorphic loci associated with pathologies and mechanisms of their action on the gene expression level

| Disease or pathology | Polymorphic locus | Localization | Mechanism | Reference |
|----------------------|------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|
| Atopic asthma        | rs928413         | IL33 promoter region | rs928413(G) allele creates a binding site for the transcription factor CREB1 leading to increased expression level of IL33 | Gorbacheva et al., 2018 |
| Obesity              | rs1421085        | Introns of the FTO gene which contains the regulatory region of the IRX3 and IRX5 genes | rs1421085(C) variant disrupts a conserved motif for the ARID5B repressor, which leads to derepression of a potent preadipocyte enhancer and a doubling of IRX3 and IRX5 expression | Claussnitzer et al., 2015 |
| Pancreatic cancer    | rs2001389        | The boundary of TAD located on chromosome 10 | The allele G of rs2001389 weakens the CTCF binding-activity of DNA, eliminating TAD boundary and altering 3D chromatin structure, and it is related to the lower expression of a putative antioncogene MFSD13A | Mei et al., 2019 |
| Disturbances of lipid metabolism | rs174537 | An enhancer region of the FADS cluster | Individuals that have rs174537(T) allele exhibited a higher level of DNA methylation at CpG sites located within regulatory region of FADS cluster, which led to a decrease in transcriptional activity of FADS1 and FADS2 | Howard et al., 2014 |
| Atopic dermatitis    | rs612529         | VSTM1 promoter region | The rs612529(T) allele facilitates binding of the transcription factor PU.1, that acts as docking site for DNA demethylases. In carriers of pathogenic variant C, the interaction of PU.1 with DNA is disrupted, as a result, the methylation level of the VSTM1 promoter is elevated, and this is accompanied by a downregulation of VSTM1 expression | Kumar D. et al., 2017 |
| Fragile X syndrome   | CGG repeat expansion | The 5'-untranslated region of FMR1 gene | CGG repeat expansion disrupts the structure of TAD, that includes FMR1. In individuals with mutation-length CGG triplet repeats, the 5'-boundary region of TAD is ablated (this region is hypermethylated and its CTCF occupancy is lost). As a result, one subTAD dissolves. FMR1, which is normally associated with the downstream TAD, shifts to the upstream TAD. In this case, FMR1 promoter is hypermethylated, and FMR1 expression is down-regulated | Sun et al., 2018 |
| Rheumatoid arthritis and type-2 diabetes mellitus | rs7873784 | The 3'-untranslated region of TLR4 gene | rs7873784(C) allele creates a binding site for transcription factor PU.1, a known regulator of TLR4 expression. Functional PU.1 binding site augments the enhancer activity of TLR4 3'-UTR that leads to increased TLR4 expression | Korneev et al., 2020 |
| Breast cancer        | rs4321755        | Enhancer region of MRPS30 and RP11-53019.1 genes | The risk allele rs4321755(T) creates a GATA3 binding motif within an enhancer, resulting in stronger binding of GATA3 and chromatin accessibility, thereby activating interaction between the enhancer and MRPS30/IRX3, and increasing the expression of MRPS30 and IRX3. | Zhang et al., 2018 |

Responding polymorphic loci that affect the transcriptional activity of genes are usually called regulatory variants (Kumar S. et al., 2017; Guo, Wang, 2018; Merkulov et al., 2018).

Pathological (that is, associated with a disease) can be both an allelic variant of the DNA sequence containing a disrupted TFBS (Lewinsky et al., 2005; Chen L. et al., 2013; Claussnitzer et al., 2015; Kumar D. et al., 2017; Mei et al., 2019) and an allelic variant, leading to creation of TFBS de novo (Gorbacheva et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2018; Korneev et al., 2020) (see Table 1).

Pathological GVs, affecting the binding activity of TFBSs, can be located not only in promoter regions, but also in regulatory regions located at considerable distance from transcription start sites (TSSs) of genes: enhancers (Lewinsky et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2018; Meddens et al., 2019), regulatory regions with repressive function (Claussnitzer et al., 2015),

Table 1. Examples of polymorphic loci associated with pathologies and mechanisms of their action on the gene expression level

| Disease or pathology | Polymorphic locus | Localization | Mechanism | Reference |
|----------------------|------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|
| Atopic asthma        | rs928413         | IL33 promoter region | rs928413(G) allele creates a binding site for the transcription factor CREB1 leading to increased expression level of IL33 | Gorbacheva et al., 2018 |
| Obesity              | rs1421085        | Introns of the FTO gene which contains the regulatory region of the IRX3 and IRX5 genes | rs1421085(C) variant disrupts a conserved motif for the ARID5B repressor, which leads to derepression of a potent preadipocyte enhancer and a doubling of IRX3 and IRX5 expression | Claussnitzer et al., 2015 |
| Pancreatic cancer    | rs2001389        | The boundary of TAD located on chromosome 10 | The allele G of rs2001389 weakens the CTCF binding-activity of DNA, eliminating TAD boundary and altering 3D chromatin structure, and it is related to the lower expression of a putative antioncogene MFSD13A | Mei et al., 2019 |
| Disturbances of lipid metabolism | rs174537 | An enhancer region of the FADS cluster | Individuals that have rs174537(T) allele exhibited a higher level of DNA methylation at CpG sites located within regulatory region of FADS cluster, which led to a decrease in transcriptional activity of FADS1 and FADS2 | Howard et al., 2014 |
| Atopic dermatitis    | rs612529         | VSTM1 promoter region | The rs612529(T) allele facilitates binding of the transcription factor PU.1, that acts as docking site for DNA demethylases. In carriers of pathogenic variant C, the interaction of PU.1 with DNA is disrupted, as a result, the methylation level of the VSTM1 promoter is elevated, and this is accompanied by a downregulation of VSTM1 expression | Kumar D. et al., 2017 |
| Fragile X syndrome   | CGG repeat expansion | The 5'-untranslated region of FMR1 gene | CGG repeat expansion disrupts the structure of TAD, that includes FMR1. In individuals with mutation-length CGG triplet repeats, the 5'-boundary region of TAD is ablated (this region is hypermethylated and its CTCF occupancy is lost). As a result, one subTAD dissolves. FMR1, which is normally associated with the downstream TAD, shifts to the upstream TAD. In this case, FMR1 promoter is hypermethylated, and FMR1 expression is down-regulated | Sun et al., 2018 |
| Rheumatoid arthritis and type-2 diabetes mellitus | rs7873784 | The 3'-untranslated region of TLR4 gene | rs7873784(C) allele creates a binding site for transcription factor PU.1, a known regulator of TLR4 expression. Functional PU.1 binding site augments the enhancer activity of TLR4 3'-UTR that leads to increased TLR4 expression | Korneev et al., 2020 |
| Breast cancer        | rs4321755        | Enhancer region of MRPS30 and RP11-53019.1 genes | The risk allele rs4321755(T) creates a GATA3 binding motif within an enhancer, resulting in stronger binding of GATA3 and chromatin accessibility, thereby activating interaction between the enhancer and MRPS30/IRX3, and increasing the expression of MRPS30 and IRX3. | Zhang et al., 2018 |
and TAD boundary regions (Mei et al., 2019) (see Table 1). For example, the rs1421085 T→C substitution associated with obesity impairs the functioning of the negative regulatory region controlling expression of the IRX3 and IRX5 genes (Claussnitzer et al., 2015). The rs1421085 locus is located in the intron of the FTO gene (Fig. 1) at a considerable distance from the transcription start sites of IRX3 and IRX5 (~520,000 and ~1,164,000 bases). Normally, the DNA region containing allele T interacts with a repressor factor ARID5B, leading to a decrease in transcriptional activity of IRX3 and IRX5 genes. In carriers of the mutant variant of the DNA sequence (allele C), the binding site of the ARID5B repressor factor is disrupted, which causes an excessively high expression of the IRX3 and IRX5 genes and activates adipogenesis (Claussnitzer et al., 2015).

Occasionally a nucleotide substitution at a polymorphic locus disrupts the TFBS and this, in turn, affects the functional activity of the TAD (see Table 1). This effect was found in the case of A→G (rs2001389), associated with the risk of pancreatic cancer (Fig. 2). The rs2001389 locus is located in the region that determines the structure of chromatin loops within the TAD. This TAD contains 91 genes and is formed by spatially adjacent chromatin regions (Mei et al., 2019). The DNA region containing the risk allele G is characterized by a reduced ability to interact with CTCF, which in this case acts as a structural protein of chromatin. Normally, CTCF binding ensures the functioning of one of the regions that determines the structure of chromatin loops within the considered TAD. The pathogenic allele G alters the activity of CTCF binding motif within TAD boundary disrupting the stability of corresponding 3D structure of chromatin. As a result, the expression of the genes within this TAD is impaired. In this case, the greatest decrease in MFSD13A expression is observed.

### The effects of genetic variability on DNA methylation and gene transcriptional activity

DNA methylation doesn’t change the nucleotide sequence and is the addition of a methyl group to the fifth carbon atom of cytosine (Angeloni, Bogdanovic, 2019). An increase in the level of DNA methylation, as a rule, leads to a long-term inactivation of the expression of genes lying in the methylated region, since, according to the generally accepted concept, methylation of a DNA region facilitates recruiting protein complexes, containing histone deacetylase (HDAC) (Jones et al., 1998; Nan et al., 1998). DNA methylation can also decrease the ability of some TFs to interact with DNA: it is known that CTCF factors and factors from the ETS family have such sensitivity to methylation (Wang et al., 2019). In contrast, another transcription factor, ZFP57, binds only to methylated DNA (Quenneville et al., 2011). Thus, cytosine methylation can activate different mechanisms of gene transcription regulation, and not always an increase in the methylation level of the regulatory DNA region is associated with a decrease in the expression of the corresponding gene (Izzi et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2019).

Genetic variability affects significantly the methylation of DNA regions that have regulatory potential. Thus, a genome-wide analysis of the methylation patterns of DNA collected from 24 subjects from Norfolk Island genetic isolate (Benton et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2019). Therefore, the level of DNA methylation, as a rule, leads to a long-term inactivation of the expression of genes lying in the methylated region. The effects of genetic variability on DNA methylation and gene transcriptional activity are shown in Table 1.

### Table 1. Effects of genetic variability on DNA methylation and gene transcriptional activity

| Allele | CTCF binding site | TAD structure |
|--------|-------------------|---------------|
| A      | Functional        | Normal        |
| C      | Disrupted         | Altered       |
| T      | Functional        | Normal        |

Fig. 1. Disruption of the binding site caused by T→C substitution (rs1421085) weakens ARID5B repressor binding to the regulatory region of the IRX3 and IRX5 genes. As a result, the level of expression of IRX3 and IRX5 is increased.

Fig. 2. Disruption of the CTCF binding site caused by the nucleotide substitution (rs2001389) eliminates one of the boundary regions that determine the TAD structure. As a result, the tumor suppressor gene MFSD13A expression is downregulated. The contacts between chromatin regions within the TAD are shown with brown lines. Interrogation points in the bottom figure indicate the lack of data on the new structure of TAD.
et al., 2019), identified 12,761 regions containing at least two CpG dinucleotides and having an allele-specific methylation level. In most cases (98%), regions with allele-specific methylation level are co-localized with single nucleotide variants presented in dbSNP (Benton et al., 2019).

This study (Benton et al., 2019) also analyzed the location of allele-specific methylation regions relative to the set of polymorphic loci associated with human diseases extracted from the GWAS catalog database. It turned out that polymorphic loci associated with diseases overlap with regions of allele-specific methylation twice more often than it would be expected by chance. This means that the change in methylation levels due to genetic variability is one of the factors that increase the risk of disease.

As an example, consider the rs174537 (G→T) polymorphic locus located in the enhancer of the FADS1 and FADS2 genes encoding fatty acid desaturases 1 and 2. The T variant of the rs174537 locus is associated with an increased risk of pathological disturbances of lipid metabolism (see Table 1). It was shown that individuals with rs174537(T) allele had a higher methylation level of the regulatory region of the FADS1 and FADS2 genes in human liver (Howard et al., 2014), which led to the suppression of the transcriptional activity of FADS1 and FADS2.

Occasionally, in one of the allelic variants, DNA demethylation occurs, initiated by TF binding to DNA (see Table 1). For example, such a mechanism was revealed for rs612529 T→C. This locus is located in the promoter region of the VSTM1 (Fig. 3). The low expression of VSTM1 in monocytes provokes the development of atopic dermatitis. In this cell type, the promoter region containing the protective variant T interacts with the transcription factor PU.1 more actively than the other one containing variant C. PU.1 initiates DNA demethylation by recruiting DNA demethylases (for example, Tet2). As a result, carriers of the T allele have completely demethylated VSTM1 promoter, and VSTM1 expression is activated. In carriers of pathogenic variant C, the interaction of PU.1 with DNA is disrupted, as a result, methylation level of the VSTM1 promoter is elevated, and this is accompanied by a decrease in VSTM1 expression (Kumar D. et al., 2017).

**The effects of the genetic variability on the chromatin states and chromatin spatial organization**

Pathogenic GVs may impair the chromatin state (Kilpinen et al., 2013). There are cases when the presence of a pathogenic GV was accompanied by a change in the patterns of histone modification and the appearance (or disappearance) of DNase I hypersensitive sites (McVicker et al., 2013; Visser et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2018; Cong et al., 2019). In these cases, allele-specific contacts between promoters and enhancers were identified, the number of which correlated with the activity of the enhancer regions.

There are also known cases when structural variations of the genome (insertions, deletions, duplications, inversions, translocations longer than 50 nucleotides) lead to a change in the spatial organization of chromatin, thereby disrupting the expression of genes associated with pathological processes (Sun et al., 2018; Ibrahim, Mundlos, 2020). For example, the expansion of CGG trinucleotide repeats in the 5′-untranslated region (5′-UTR) of the FMR1 gene, associated with the fragile X syndrome, disrupts the structure of TAD, that includes FMR1 (Fig. 4, see Table 1). Normally, FMR1 is very close to the 5′-boundary region of TAD (in Fig. 4, this is TAD1). The DNA region corresponding to this 5′-boundary is hypomethylated and is occupied by CTCF. In individuals with mutation-length CGG triplet repeats (more than 100), this boundary is ablated (this region is hypermethylated and its CTCF occupancy is lost). As a result, TAD1 dissolves and the boundary of the other TAD (in Fig. 4 it is designated as TAD2) shifts to the 3′-region of FMR1. Therefore, FMR1 is within the TAD2, which normally does not contain this gene. In this case, FMR1 promoter is hypermethylated, and FMR1 expression is inactivated (Park et al., 2015; Sun et al., 2018).

To study molecular-genetic mechanisms of the effect of genome variability on the 3D chromatin structure, it is necessary to reconstruct the spatial genome organization. The following basic levels of the 3D genome organization have been identified: (1) regulatory DNA loops that bring together promoters and enhancers; (2) topologically associating domains (TADs), within which DNA regions have more contacts with each other than with neighboring domains; (3) A and B compartments corresponding to transcriptionally active and condensed chromatin; and finally (4) chromosome territories (Fishman et al., 2018; Hansen et al., 2018). Disruption of 3D contacts between promoters and enhancers within the TAD, caused, for example, by chromosomal rearrangements, can significantly affect the transcriptional activity of a gene, increasing risk of diseases (Lupiñez et al., 2015).

The Institute of Cytology and Genetics SB RAS has developed an experimental computer approach for prediction...
physical contacts between promoters and enhancers within the 3D chromatin structure (Fishman et al., 2018; Belokopytova et al., 2020; Belokopytova, Fishman, 2021). The approach is based on the following information: (1) cell type; (2) cell-specific localization of enhancers in the linear genome (from the ENCODE database); (3) transcriptional activity of promoters (from RNA-seq experiments); (4) boundaries of chromatin loop extrusion (based on Hi-C experiments); (5) orientation of CTCF binding motifs (based on motif prediction pipeline); (6) A or B chromatin compartment (according to Hi-C experiments). Analysis of these data using the original 3DPredictor program (Belokopytova et al., 2020), developed on the basis of machine learning algorithms, allows to predict the frequencies of physical contacts between promoters and enhancers in the 3D genome structure with an accuracy that exceeds the accuracy of other known prediction methods.

The 3DPredictor was used to analyze the 3D genome structure in homozygous DelB/DelB mice that have a deletion of the 1.5 Mb genomic region containing Epha4. This deletion is accompanied by the appearance of additional contacts between Pax3 gene and Epha4 enhancer region, altering Pax3 expression and leading to brachydactyly. Mice with the DelB/DelB genotype are a genetic model of human pathology accompanied by limb malformations (Lupiáñez et al., 2015). Testing 3DPredictor on this model has demonstrated the high efficiency of the program: in homozygous DelB/DelB mice, ectopic contacts between the Pax3 gene and Epha4 enhancers cluster were predicted (Belokopytova et al., 2020), and these predictions were in good agreement with the experimental data.

**Genetic variability: combined analysis of heterogeneous big biological and genetic data**

As noted above, many polymorphic loci associated with diseases are located at a considerable distance from the coding regions of genes (ENCODE Project Consortium, 2012; Maurano et al., 2012). Additional studies are needed to identify the molecular-genetic mechanisms of the influence of such GVs on the predisposition to diseases. The purpose of such studies is to clarify the regulatory role of GVs. A typical example is the work (Zhang et al., 2018), which made it possible to find a functionally active regulatory variant rs4321755 associated with the risk of breast cancer. The rs4321755 locus is located in a distant enhancer that regulates the expression of the MRPS30 and RP11-530I19.1 genes (see Table 1). It turned out that in the presence of the pathogenic variant rs4321755(T), a new GATA3 binding site is created. The transcription factor GATA3 increases the functional activity of the enhancer, this leads to the formation of more contacts between the enhancer and the divergent promoter of the MRPS30 and RP11-530I19.1 genes, and increased expression level of these genes. To identify this functionally significant regulatory variant, the authors developed an integrated experimental computer method based on a combined analysis of heterogeneous big biological and genetic data, including: (1) data on allele-specific expression obtained from RNA-seq in combination with data on haplotypes; (2) expression quantitative trait loci (eQTL); (3) genomic distribution of DNase I hypersensitive sites; (4) localization of ChIP-seq peaks from ENCODE and GEO databases; (5) localization of regulatory motives predicted by machine learning algorithms; (6) A or B chromatin compartment (according to Hi-C experiments); (7) allele-specific binding site annotations (GENCODE); (2) genome variability in human populations (HapMap, 1000 Genomes Project, IGSR, dbSNP); (3) GVs associated with diseases (GWAS central, GWAS catalog, ClinVar, HGMD, OMIM, etc.); (4) modifications of the chromatin (ENCODE, NIH Roadmap Epigenomics Mapping Consortium); (5) expression quantitative trait loci (GTEx project, eQTL databases, eSNP, etc.); (6) profiling of transcription factor binding events by ChIP-seq (Cistrome Data Browser, GTRD, ReMap); (7) allele-specific binding of TFs, identified using ChIP-seq data in combination with the data on the genotypes of the studied cells (AlleleDB,
Table 2. Information resources on genomic data obtained on the basis of the modern high-performance experimental methods

| Information resource | URL | Description |
|----------------------|-----|-------------|
| The human genome annotation | | Reference quality human gene annotations created by merging the results of manual and computational gene annotation methods |
| GENCODE* | https://www.gencodegenes.org/ | A map of haplotype blocks of the human genome and the specific SNPs that identify the haplotypes (tag SNPs) |
| HapMap (Haplotype Map) | https://www.genome.gov/1001688/ftp/ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/hapmap/ | Genetic variants (single nucleotide polymorphisms, insertions/deletions, structural variants) and genotypes identified in individuals from 26 populations |
| 1000 Genomes Project (1KGP) | https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/variation/tools/1000genomes/ | Combining 1000 Genomes Project data with the other large datasets generated on 1000 Genomes samples by projects such as EGVADIS, who generated RNA-Seq data on the 1000 Genomes European samples and the YRI population, and ENCODE, who have carried out extensive assays on the NA12878 cell line |
| International Genome Sample Resource (IGSR) | https://www.internationalgenome.org | Human single nucleotide variations, microsatellites, and small-scale insertions and deletions along with population frequency, publication, molecular consequence, and genomic and ReSeq mapping information for both common variations and clinical mutations. The human data in dbSNP include submissions from the SNP Consortium, variations mined from genome sequence as part of the human genome project, and individual lab contributions of variations in specific genes, mRNAs, ESTs, or genomic regions |

| Disease-associated genetic variants |
|-----------------------------------|
| GWAS central (Genome-wide association studies central) | https://www.gwascentral.org/ | Allele and genotype frequency data, genetic association significance findings. GWAS central gathers datasets from public domain projects, and also encourage direct data submission from the community |
| GWAS catalog (Genome-wide association studies catalog) | https://www.ebi.ac.uk/gwas/home | Data on associations between polymorphic loci and phenotypic traits extracted from the published GWA studies |
| OMIM (Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man) | https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/omim | A compendium of human genes and genetic disorders and traits, with particular focus on the molecular relationship between genetic variation and phenotypic expression. OMIM is based on the peer-reviewed biomedical literature |
| ClinVar (Clinical Variations) | https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/clinvar/ | A public archive of reports of the relationships among human variations and phenotypes |
| HGMD (The Human Gene Mutation Database) | http://www.hgmd.cf.ac.uk/ac/index.php | All published gene lesions responsible for human inherited disease |
| PheGeni (The Phenotype-Genotype Integrator) | https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gap/phegeni | Phenotype-oriented resource that merges GWAS catalog data with several other databases (Gene, dbGaP, OMIM, eQTL and dbSNP) |
| EGA (The European Genome-phenome Archive) | https://ega-archive.org/ | Data on the relationship between genotypes and phenotypes obtained by various experimental methods (GWAS, exome sequencing, whole-genome sequencing, single-cell sequencing, genotyping) |
| dbGaP (The database of Genotypes and Phenotypes) | https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gap/ | Data and results from studies that have investigated the interaction of genotype and phenotype in humans. Such studies include genome-wide association studies, medical sequencing, molecular diagnostic assays, as well as association between genotype and non-clinical traits |

| Chromatin modifications and chromatin states |
|---------------------------------------------|
| ENCODE (The Encyclopedia of DNA Elements) | http://genome.ucsc.edu/ENCODE/https://www.encodeproject.org/ | Genome-wide profiles of histone modifications, genome-wide DNA methylation profiles, regions of TF binding derived from ChIP-seq experiments, interactions between genomic loci, genomic distribution of DNase I hypersensitive sites, expression data for more than 300 cell types |
| NIH Roadmap Epigenomics Mapping Consortium | http://www.roadmapepigenomics.org/ | Human epigenomic data (DNA methylation profiles, histone modifications, chromatin accessibility, etc.). Annotation of the human genome in accordance with the classifications of chromatin states (15, 18, 25-state models) |
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URL
https://www.ebi.ac.uk/eqtl/
A collection of ChIP-seq, ChIP-exo, DAP-seq experiments from public studies.

The ChIP-seq, DNase-seq and ATAC-seq data: (1) genomic regions interacting with TFs, (2) DNase I hypersensitive sites, (3) the binding locations of modified histone proteins. The data has been assigned statuses according to six quality control criteria.

- Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) project
- eQTL databases
- exSNP
- eQTL Catalogue
- eQTL Browser

Profiling of transcription factor binding events by ChIP-seq

Cistrome Data Browser
http://cistrome.org/db/#/
The ChIP-seq, DNase-seq and ATAC-seq data: (1) genomic regions interacting with TFs, (2) DNase I hypersensitive sites, (3) the binding locations of modified histone proteins. The data has been assigned statuses according to six quality control criteria.

Gene Transcription Regulation Database (GTRD)
https://gtrd.biouml.org/#/
A collection of ChIP-seq experiments aimed at finding TF binding sites in the human and mouse genomes.

ReMap (Global map of regulatory elements)
http://remap.univ-amu.fr/
A collection of ChIP-seq, ChIP-exo, DAP-seq experiments from public resources (GEO, ENCODE, ENA). Chromatin regions in contact with TFs, transcriptional coactivators, and chromatin remodeling factors.

Allele-specific binding of TFs, identified using ChIP-seq data in combination with the data on the genotypes of the studied cells

AlleleDB
http://alleledb.gersteinlab.org/
Genomic annotation of cis-regulatory SNVs associated with allele-specific binding and expression derived from RNA-seq and ChIP-seq data of 383 individuals from the 1000 Genomes Project.

AlleleSeq
http://allelesq.gersteinlab.org/
Allele-specific binding of six TFs (cFos, cMyc, JunD, Max, NfkB, CTCF) identified using variation data for NA12878 from the 1000 Genomes Project as well as matched, deeply sequenced RNA-Seq and ChIP-Seq data sets generated for this purpose.

The effects of genetic variants on TFBSs predicted in silico by computer programs

HaploReg
https://pubs.broadinstitute.org/mammals/haploreg/haploreg.php
Annotation of polymorphic loci within haplotype blocks that were defined using LD information from the 1000 Genomes Project. Annotation includes: (1) chromatin state and protein binding annotation from the Roadmap Epigenomics and ENCODE projects, (2) sequence conservation across mammals, (3) the effect of GVs on regulatory motifs, (4) the effect of GVs on expression from eQTL studies.

SNP2TFBS
http://ccg.vital-it.ch/snp2tfbs/
Genetic variants from 1000 Genomes Project, which, according to in silico predictions, affect the similarity of TFBSs with weight matrices.

rsSNPBase
http://rsnp3.psych.ac.cn/index.do
SNP-related regulatory elements (TF binding regions, TADs, mature miRNA regions, predicted miRNA target sites, etc.), SNP-related regulatory element-target gene pairs, SNP-based regulatory networks.

rVarBase
http://rv.psych.ac.cn/
Annotation of polymorphic loci (including copy number variations). Annotation includes (1) chromatin state, (2) related regulatory element (CpG islands, matched TF binding sites, miRNA target sites, etc.), (3) target genes.

Information resources integrating or accumulating diverse types of data

UCSC Genome Browser
https://genome.ucsc.edu/
Data is integrated based on a graphical interface that allows visualizing genome sequences along with a large number of annotations and features (positions of transcripts, GC percent, chromatin states, histone marks, contacts between chromatin regions, expression, genetic variability, etc.). Data can be retrieved in text format via special Table Browser program.

Ensembl Genome Browser
https://www.ensembl.org/index.html
Data is integrated based on a graphical interface that allows visualizing genome sequences along with a large number of annotations and features (positions of transcripts, GC percent, chromatin states, genetic variability, etc.). Tables of Ensembl data can be downloaded via the highly customizable BioMart data mining tool.

GEO (Gene Expression Omnibus)
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gds
The largest public repository that archives and freely distributes comprehensive sets of microarray, next-generation sequencing, and other forms of high-throughput functional genomic data submitted by the scientific community.

* GENCODE reference gene annotations for the human and mouse genomes are also available through the UCSC Genome Browser (https://genome.ucsc.edu/) and the Ensembl genome browser (https://www.ensembl.org/index.html).
AlleleSeq); (8) the effects of genetic variability on TFBSs predicted in silico by computer programs (HaploReg, SNP2TFBS, RSNPBase, rVarBase).

A separate category of information resources includes:
(1) the genome browser of the University of California, Santa Cruz, USA (UCSC Genome Browser, https://genome.ucsc.edu/) and (2) the genome browser of the Ensembl database which is a joint research project of the European Bioinformatics Institute and the Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute (Ensembl Genome Browser, https://www.ensembl.org/index.html). These genome browsers integrate data on genome sequences and its features obtained by different research groups using a wide range of experimental methods (Lee et al., 2020; Yates et al., 2020). The websites of these browsers provide access to the primary DNA sequences and genome annotations for many organisms (including vertebrates and several other model species). Browser’s graphical interfaces allow to obtain scalable maps of genomic regions and to visualize interactively a large number of annotations and features (for example, positions of transcripts, positions of GVs, chromatin regions interacting with TFs detected by ChIP-seq experiments, data on genome-wide mapping of DNase I hypersensitive sites, etc.) (Fig. 5).

The websites of the UCSC Genome Browser and Ensembl Genome Browser provide access to software tools for extraction data as text files: UCSC table browser (https://genome.ucsc.edu/cgi-bin/hgTables) and BioMart data mining tool (https://www.ensembl.org/info/data/biomart/index.html). Information resources on allele-specific binding of transcription factors and on the effects of genetic variants on TFBSs predicted in silico

As noted above, the influence of pathogenic GVs on gene expression is often mediated through a change in the functional activity of TFBSs. In this regard, information resources that include whole genome data on allele-specific binding of TFs, identified based on the ChIP-seq method, can be extremely useful. A range of approaches have been developed to identify allele-specific binding of TFs (Rozowsky et al., 2011; Reddy et al., 2012; Waszak et al., 2014; Younesy et
al., 2014). These approaches are based on the analysis of the ChIP-seq data in combination with the sequencing data, which allow to find heterozygous loci within a single genome and to phase genotypes of the studied cells. Thus, for each type of cells examined, its own set of genomic loci interacting with a specific transcription factor in an allele-specific manner can be identified. For example, in (Cavalli et al., 2016a), the ChIP-seq data for 55 TFs in the HepG2 cells and 57 TFs in the HeLa-S3 cells were analyzed. In HepG2 cells, 3001 genomic loci with allele-specific signals were found, and 712 loci were found in HeLa-S3 cells. The authors note the pronounced tissue-specific nature of allele-specific TF binding: of the entire set of identified loci, only 34 were found in both cell lines (Cavalli et al., 2016a).

The data on allele-specific binding of TFs are collected in the following information resources: AlleleDB (http://alleledb.genesteinlab.org/) (Chen J. et al., 2016), AlleleSeq (http://alleleseq.genesteinlab.org/) (Rozowsky et al., 2011) (see Table 2), as well as in the supplemental files to publications (Cavalli et al., 2016a, b, 2019; Shi et al., 2016).

Studies aimed at identifying allele-specific TF binding made it possible to estimate the number of genetic variants that affect the binding of a particular transcription factor to DNA in a particular cell type. The average number of such events registered for a single transcription factor can range from 19 to 37 for cells with a normal karyotype (GM12878, H1-hESC) and from 12 to 55 for cancer cell lines (SK-N-SH, K562) (Cavalli et al., 2016a, b).

When generating hypotheses on the mechanisms that mediate the effect of GVs on disease risk, one can also use the data on the effects of genetic variants on the functional activity of TFBSs predicted in silico. Such information is accumulated in specialized databases: HelploReg (https://pubs.broadinstitute.org/mammals/haploreg/haploreg.php) (Ward, Kellis, 2012), SNP2TFBS (http://ccg.vital-it.ch/snp2tfbs/) (Kumar S. et al., 2017), rSNPBase (http://rspb.ry.psych.ac.cn/index.do) (Guo, Wang, 2018), rVarBase (http://rv.psych.ac.cn) (see Table 2).

**Conclusion**

A significant proportion of pathogenic genetic variants associated with diseases are located in non-coding regions of the human genome. Such genetic variants can with a high degree of probability disrupt functional activity of regulatory regions that control the transcriptional activity of genes. The examples of the mechanisms of influence of pathogenic genetic variants on gene expression considered in this review confirm this possibility. The studies that have made it possible to identify these mechanisms are complex and are based on the analysis of big heterogeneous genetic data. The online omics data resources provide ample opportunities for such research. Further development of experimental techniques and bioinformatics methods for analyzing the data obtained with the help of this techniques, as well as an increase in the set of investigated cell types, will significantly expand these possibilities.
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