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The study focuses on the chaotic behavior of a three-dimensional Hopfield neural network with time delay. We find the specific coefficient matrix and the initial value condition of the system and use MATLAB software to draw its graph. The result shows that their shape is very similar to the figure of Rosler’s chaotic system. Furthermore, we analyzed the divergence, the eigenvalue of the Jacobian matrix for the equilibrium point, and the Lyapunov exponent of the system. These properties prove that the system does have chaotic behavior. This result not only confirms that there is chaos in the neural networks but also that the chaotic characteristics of the system are very similar to those of Rosler’s chaotic system under certain conditions. This discovery provides useful information that can be applied to other aspects of chaotic Hopfield neural networks, such as chaotic synchronization and control.

1. Introduction

Chaotic systems are nonlinear dynamical systems working in a stochastic process that is neither periodic nor convergent but are highly dependent on the initial value. Particularly, sensitivity to initial conditions implies that any small perturbations in the current trajectory of a dynamic system results in significant differences in future behavior. Chaos exists widely in natural and social fields such as chemistry, physics, mathematics, and biology. The research upsurge for chaos theory began in the early 1970s but the origins of the new discipline can be traced back to the last century. Poincare, a French mathematician and physicist, was the first known scholar to discover chaos. In 1880, Poincare first studied the possibility of chaos [1]. He studied the three-body problem and became the first person to discover the deterministic system of chaos which showed an acyclic behavior dependent on initial conditions. This made long-term prediction impossible, thereby laying the foundation for modern chaos theory. Further contributions by Birkhoff, Cartwright and Littlewood, Levinson, and Kolmogorov, among others, came up later [2].

By 1963, Lorenz, an American meteorologist, discovered a three-dimensional chaotic system which he later called the Lorenz chaotic system while, when studying weather models [3]. The revolutionary work on chaos was discovered from a three-dimensional chaotic system by an American meteorologist, Edward Lorenz, in 1963 while studying weather models. Since then, in-depth studies on chaos theory has been done in science and engineering fields. The theory has important applications in information processing, high performance circuit, secure communication, and other issues [4–10].

Many classical paradigms have emerged since the discovery of Lorenz chaotic systems, such as the famous Rossler chaotic system [11], Lü system [12], Chen system [13], and Cai’s circuit chaotic system [14], among others. The Rossler system is the most famous chaotic system having simple asymmetric attractor substructure extracted from the Lorenz attractor by the German physical chemist, Rossler. It plays a vital role in signal processing [15], secure communication [16], and other issues.

In the past decade, the chaos of neural networks has been extensively researched. For example, Yang et al. analyzed the
transient chaos in a chaos bifurcation problem of a class of simple chaotic Hopfield neural networks. [17]. Zou et al. observed chaotic attractors of nonautonomous cellular neural networks by using antisymbol templates [18], and they found interesting fractal structures. In a study by Das et al., rich dynamic characteristics were revealed based on analysis of artificial neural networks composed of three neurons and they drew bifurcation and three-dimensional phase diagrams of the model [19]. In addition, Zhang et al. presented an example of a two-dimensional chaotic neural network [20]. A proposal by Sampath et al. showed a class of chaotic system with cubic term whereby they analyzed its basic properties [21].

Based on previous ideas and work of Sampath et al., in this paper, we focus on the chaotic behavior of a three-dimensional Hopfield neural network with time delay. We presented a neural network model with specific coefficients. To understand the graphical characteristics of this model, we used MATLAB to draw its phase diagram. The result shows that their shape is very similar to the figure of Rosler’s chaotic system. Then, we analyzed the divergence, the eigenvalue of the Jacobian matrix for the equilibrium point, and the Lyapunov exponent of the system. These properties prove that the system does have chaotic behavior. This research is a refreshing discovery.

2. A Three-Dimensional Delay Chaotic Hopfield Neural Network

We considered the following three-dimensional delay Hopfield neural network:

\[
\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bf(x(t)) + Cf(x(t - \tau)),
\]

where \( x(t) = (x_1(t), x_2(t), x_3(t)) \) denotes the state variable, \( f(x(t)) = (f_1(x_1(t)), f_2(x_2(t)), f_3(x_3(t))) \) denotes the activation function, and \( \tau \) denotes the transmission delays and we set \( \tau = 1 \), \( f_1(x_i(t)) = \tanh x_i(t) \) \( (i = 1, 2, 3) \), and

\[
A = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix},
B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b_{12} & 0 \\ b_{21} & 0 & b_{23} \\ 0 & b_{31} & 0 \end{pmatrix},
C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ c_{31} & 0 & c_{33} \end{pmatrix}.
\]

When we take the following parameter values, system (1) presents a Rossler chaotic attractor:

\[
\begin{align*}
b_{12} &= 30, \\
b_{23} &= 90, \\
b_{31} &= 0.01, \\
b_{33} &= \sqrt{3}, \\
c_{31} &= 0.04, \\
c_{33} &= -1.23,
\end{align*}
\]

with initial conditions being

\[
\begin{align*}
x_1(0) &= 30, \\
x_2(0) &= 11, \\
x_3(0) &= 0.12.
\end{align*}
\]

Figure 1 displays the three-dimensional view of chaotic neural network system (1) when MATLAB for numerical simulation was used, having one attractor, while Figures 2–4 show the two-dimensional view (projection) of the system’s three-dimensional view attractor on three coordinate planes. Figure 5 shows the system (1) state variable \((x_1(t), x_2(t), x_3(t))\), respectively, against time \( t \).

3. Properties of Chaotic Systems in Three-Dimensional Delayed Neural Networks

In this section, we analyzed the basic properties of chaotic systems (1), such as dissipativity, the stability of the equilibrium point, the Lyapunov exponent, and the Kaplan–Yorke dimension.

3.1. Dissipativity. We present the system in another vector form as follows:

\[
\dot{x} = f(x) = \begin{pmatrix} f_1(x_1, x_2, x_3) \\ f_2(x_1, x_2, x_3) \\ f_3(x_1, x_2, x_3) \end{pmatrix},
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
f_1(x_1, x_2, x_3) &= -x_1 + b_{12} \cdot \tanh x_2, \\
f_2(x_1, x_2, x_3) &= -x_2 + b_{23} \cdot \tanh x_3, \\
f_3(x_1, x_2, x_3) &= -x_3 + b_{31} \cdot \tanh x_1 + b_{33} \cdot \tanh x_3 + c_{31} \cdot \tanh x_1(t - \tau) + c_{33} \cdot \tanh x_3(t - \tau).
\end{align*}
\]
The parameter value in the chaotic case was named as

\[ b_{12} = 30, \]
\[ b_{23} = 90, \]
\[ b_{31} = 0.01, \]
\[ b_{33} = \sqrt{3}, \]
\[ c_{31} = 0.04, \]
\[ c_{33} = -1.23. \]

Let \( \forall \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \) with smooth boundary, and let \( V(t) \) represent the volume of \( \Omega(t) \), and according to Liouville’s theorem, we obtain

\[ \frac{dV}{dt} = \int_{\Omega(t)} (\nabla \cdot f) dx_1 dx_2 dx_3. \]  

It is easy to know what the divergence of system (1) is as follows:

\[ \nabla \cdot f = \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_2} + \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x_3} = -3 + b_{33}(1 - f(x_3)^2) \]
\[ = -3 + \sqrt{3}(1 - (\tanh x_3)^2) \leq -3 + \sqrt{3} < 0. \]

Substituting (9) into (8), we obtain

\[ \frac{dV(t)}{dt} = \int_{\Omega(t)} (\nabla \cdot f) dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \leq \int_{\Omega(t)} (-3 + \sqrt{3}) dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \]
\[ = (-3 + \sqrt{3})V(t). \]

Since \( V(t) > 0 \), then

\[ \frac{1}{V(t)} \frac{dV(t)}{dt} \leq (-3 + \sqrt{3}). \]  

Integrating both sides of inequality (11) from 0 to \( t \), we obtain

\[ V(t) \leq V(0)e^{(-3 - \sqrt{3}) t}. \]

It is easy to identify from equation (12) that \( \lim_{t \to \infty} V(t) = 0 \). This result indicated that the system (1) is dissipative.

Thus, the limit set of the system is ultimately limited to a specific limit set of zero volume, and the asymptotic motion of chaotic system (1) is adsorbed to a strange attractor of the system.
Figure 5: Trajectory components of system (1) \((x_1(t), x_2(t), x_3(t))\), respectively, against time for the parameters \(b_{12} = 30, b_{23} = 90, b_{31} = 0.01, b_{33} = \sqrt{3}, c_{31} = 0.04\), and \(c_{33} = -1.23\) when the initial state \((x_1(0), x_2(0), x_3(0)) = (30, 11, 0.12)\).
3.2. Stability of the Equilibrium Point. By solving the following equations (where \( b_{12} = 30; \ b_{23} = 90; \ b_{31} = 0.01; \ b_{33} = \sqrt{3}; \ c_{31} = 0.04; \ c_{33} = -1.23)\), chaotic system (1) has one equilibrium point, that is, the origin (0, 0, 0):

\[
\begin{align*}
-x_1^* + b_{12} \cdot \tanh x_2^* &= 0, \\
-x_2^* + b_{23} \cdot \tanh x_3^* &= 0, \\
-x_3^* + (b_{31} + c_{33}) \cdot \tanh x_1^* + (b_{33} + c_{33}) \cdot \tanh x_3^* &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

(13)

Therefore, we can obtain the Jacobian matrix of system (1) at the equilibrium point as follows:

\[
J = \begin{bmatrix}
-1 & b_{12} \cdot (1 - \tanh^2 x_2^*) & 0 \\
0 & -1 & b_{23} \cdot (1 - \tanh^2 x_3^*) \\
(b_{31} + c_{33}) \cdot (1 - \tanh^2 x_1^*) & 0 & -1 + (b_{31} + c_{33}) \cdot (1 - \tanh^2 x_3^*)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(14)

Calculating the eigenvalue of the matrix \( J \), we have

\[
\lambda_1 = 4.3028, \\
\lambda_{2,3} = -3.4004 \pm 4.4380i.
\]

(16)

The equilibrium point was considered a saddle point focus. It can be seen that the equilibrium point of system (1) is unstable.

3.3. Lyapunov Exponents and Kaplan–Yorke Dimension. The Lyapunov exponent is an important parameter to measure a chaotic system. It is usually used to describe the characteristics of the motion of a system. Its positive values and negative values along a certain direction indicate the average divergence or convergence speed of the adjacent orbitals in the attractor for a long time. When Lyapunov exponent is less than 0, it indicates that the phase volume will shrink, the motion state of the system will tend to be stable, and the system is not sensitive to the initial state. When the Lyapunov exponent is greater than 0, it indicates that the phase volume will expand and the adjacent two orbitals will gradually separate and have more and more differences, so that the motion state of the system will finally enter into a chaotic state. When Lyapunov exponent is equal to 0, the system is in critical stable situation. If the system is in a chaotic state, there must be a Lyapunov exponent greater than zero. Therefore, judging the magnitude, positive and negative of the Lyapunov exponent become a criterion for whether the system enters chaos [22–25].

To analyze the chaotic behavior of system (1) by using the Lyapunov exponents, for parameter value (3) and initial condition (4), by using MATLAB, we obtained the Lyapunov exponents of the system (1) as follows:

\[
\lambda_1 = 0.15, \\
\lambda_2 = 0, \\
\lambda_3 = -9.009.
\]

(17)

From this, system (1) has three Lyapunov exponents: positive, negative, and zero. The maximum Lyapunov exponent of system (1) is \( \lambda_1 = 0.15 \). This makes the initial conditions unpredictable to the long-term behavior of the system. System (1) should therefore present a chaotic phenomenon which is consistent with the numerical simulation.

The Kaplan–Yorke dimension (KYO) was obtained using the Lyapunov index of system (1) as in the following equation:

\[
D_{KY} = k + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\lambda_i}{|\lambda_{k+1}|},
\]

(18)

where \( k \) is an integer and \( k + 1 \) is the number of Lyapunov exponents. The number of Lyapunov exponents of system (1) is equal to the number of state variables, that is, 3. When equation (1) presents chaotic behavior, \( k = 2 \) and \( \lambda_{k+1} = \lambda_3 \) is the third Lyapunov exponent (in descending order). Therefore, from equation (18), the KYD of system (1) is

\[
D_{KY} = 2 + \frac{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}{|\lambda_3|} = 2.01665.
\]

(19)

4. Conclusion

In summary, we draw a graph of a neural network model with a specific coefficient matrix and analyze the properties of the system, such as equilibrium point, divergence, and Lyapunov exponent. This result proves that the neural network not only has chaos but also has similar chaotic characteristics with Rosler’s chaotic system under some
specific parameters, although their equations are different. This discovery provides useful information that can be applied to other aspects of chaotic Hopfield neural networks, such as chaotic synchronization and control.
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