Entropic transport in confined media: a challenge for computational studies in biological and soft-matter systems
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Transport in small-scale biological and soft-matter systems typically occurs under confinement conditions in which particles proceed through obstacles and irregularities of the boundaries that may significantly alter their trajectories. A transport model that assimilates the confinement to the presence of entropic barriers provides an efficient approach to quantify its effect on the particle current and the diffusion coefficient. We review the main peculiarities of entropic transport and treat two cases in which confinement effects play a crucial role, with the appearance of emergent properties. The presence of entropic barriers modifies the mean first-passage time distribution and therefore plays a very important role in ion transport through micro- and nano-channels. The functionality of molecular motors, modeled as Brownian ratchets, is strongly affected when the motor proceeds in a confined medium that may constitute another source of rectification. The interplay between ratchet and entropic rectification gives rise to a wide variety of dynamical behaviors, not observed when the Brownian motor proceeds in an unbounded medium. Entropic transport offers new venues of transport control and particle manipulation and new ways to engineer more efficient devices for transport at the nanoscale.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The study of transport has been traditionally performed by means of Langevin or Fokker-Planck equations (1) where the nature of the boundaries that may absorb or reflect particles, imposes conditions on the probability distribution function. To obtain the solution of these equations for the case of an irregular boundary is in general difficult and may sometimes constitute a formidable task. For example, different numerical methods such as Lattice Boltzmann (2, 3), or Molecular Dynamics (4) as been employed to study the transport properties of heterogeneous media. A variety of experimental techniques such as Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (5, 6) or neutron scattering (7) have been used to capture tracer dynamics in porous media. Theoretically, different perturbation approaches have been developed in order to capture the overall dynamics have been proposed (8–11).

In many cases of interest, such as those encountered in ion translocation through protein channels, in nanopores or in microfluidic devices, the geometry of the system is such that transport takes place along a preferred direction of motion. Changes in the position and momentum of the particles thus occur mainly along this direction whereas local equilibration is rapidly reached in the transverse directions. Under this circumstance, transport becomes practically one-dimensional and one can consider the effect of the tortuosity of the boundaries through the presence of an entropic barrier.

To analyze diffusion in confined systems such as those shown in Figure 1, an entropy driven diffusion theory has been developed in References (12–14). Subsequent works have shown that this theoretical framework, and in particular the expression for the diffusion coefficient proposed in reference (14) can be derived by a systematic expansion in a parameter related to the tortuosity of the channel where the first order term represents the contribution of the planar channel (15–17). Therefore, as opposed to other phenomenological schemes, Fick-Jacobs constitutes a theoretically well established homogenization scheme.

This theory has allowed to clarify the many peculiarities of transport through entropic barriers, or entropic transport (18), that differ essentially from those of the case of energetic barriers. Its range of applicability has been established in (19). It has been shown that entropic transport characterizes the diffusion (20, 21) or currents (22) of tracers through neutral or charged pores (23–25). Using the same framework, it has been possible to characterize particle-particle interaction through a pore (26), or through a soft-wall channel (27), and to analyze hard-disks dynamics as well, (28). The presence of the entropic barriers gives rise to the appearance of new resonant phenomena such as entropic stochastic resonance (29–33), in which the presence of entropic barriers may amplify an external signal. Moreover, the diffusion of neutral tracers through a wedge have been shown to be significantly affected by the geometrical confinement due to the local rectification induced by the
local symmetry breaking (34). Theoretical works have also shown phenomena out of the reach of the present scheme (35) and rectification currents have been explored. Alternatively, the limit of very steep modulations in channel width has been explored. In this regime the abrupt change in channel width, named entropic traps, significantly affects the overall particle dynamics (36) leading to a non-monotonous dependence of the diffusion coefficient on particle size (37).

Our purpose in this article is to review recent development in the study of diffusion in confined systems and its applications to biological and soft condensed matter problems. We will illustrate the effect of the confinement in the transport properties by analyzing two situations: the diffusion of charged particles in a pore and the functionality of a Brownian motor proceeding in a confined medium system. In both cases, we observe the appearance of emergent properties, such as current inversion, cooperative rectification (38) not observed when the systems evolves in an unlimited space. The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we will present the entropic transport model. In Sections 3 and 4, we will discuss the examples mentioned. Finally, in Section 5 we will summarize our main conclusions.

2. CHARGED PARTICLE IN A CONFINED ENVIRONMENT

When charged particles suspended in a electrolyte are embedded in a porous medium their dynamics is governed by the interplay of several, distinct physical mechanisms such as electrostatic, steric and hydrodynamic interactions. Several numerical techniques (39) have been developed to address such a problem. If the particle-particle interaction can be disregarded, i.e., in the dilute regime, the motion of a suspension of particles is characterized by a convection-diffusion equation, that in the overdamped regime, reads:

$$\partial_t P(x,y,t) = \nabla \cdot D \beta (P(x,y,t) \nabla U(x,y)) + D \nabla^2 P(x,y,t)$$  (1)

where $D$ is the diffusion coefficient and $U(x,y)$ is the total energy coming from a conservative potential acting on the particles, $\beta = k_B T^{-1}$ with $k_B$ the Boltzmann constant and $T$ the temperature. When particles move in a confined region such as a narrow channel, the boundary condition for the probability distribution will vary according to the channel amplitude. If the channel section varies solely along the $x$-direction and it is constant along $z$, the free space accessible to the center of mass of a point-like particle is $2h(x) L_z$, being $h(x)$ the half-width of the channel along the $y$-direction and $L_z$ the width along the $z$-direction. For such a situation, we encode the presence of the channel and of all conservative potentials, in the overall potential energy $U(x,y,z)$ defined as:

$$U(x,y,z) = U(x + L, y, z)$$
$$U(x,y,z) = U(x, y) , |y| \leq h(x) & |z| \leq L_z/2$$
$$U(x,y,z) = \infty, |y| > h(x) or |z| > L_z/2$$

that is periodic along the longitudinal direction, $x$, and confines the particles inside the channel.

We will assume that the particle distribution equilibrates much faster in the cross section of the channel than along the main transport direction. This condition is fulfilled for smoothly varying-section channels, $\partial_x h \ll 1$, in which particle distribution reaches local equilibrium in the cross section almost immediately. Under this condition, we can approximate the radial profile of the probability distribution function, $P(x,y,t)$, can be approximated as:

$$P(x,y,z,t) = p(x,y) e^{-\beta V(x,y)} e^{-\beta \Delta(x)}$$  (2)

where

$$e^{-\beta \Delta(x)} = \int_{-L_z/2}^{L_z/2} \int_{-h(x)}^{h(x)} e^{-\beta V(x,y)} dy dz. \tag{3}$$

being $\Delta(x)$ the potential of the mean force that can be identified with the free energy in the coarse-grained description. By integration of Equation 1 over $dy, dz$ one then obtains:

$$\dot{P}(x,y,t) = \partial_x D \left[ \beta p(x,t) \partial_x A(x) + \partial_y p(x,t) \right] \tag{4}$$

Equation 4 encodes both the confining and the conservative potentials given by Equation 2 in the free energy $A(x)$.

Since all the quantities of interest are independent of $z$, without loss of generality we express quantities in units of $L_z$. It is possible to identify the contribution to the free energy coming from conservative potentials as:

$$\langle V(x) \rangle = e^{\beta A(x)} \int_{-h(x)}^{h(x)} V(x,y) e^{-\beta V(x,y)} dy \tag{5}$$

Therefore we can identify the entropic contribution in Equation 3 by $TS(x) = \langle V(x) \rangle - A(x)$ hence resulting:

$$S(x) = \ln \left( \int_{-h(x)}^{h(x)} e^{-\beta V(x,y)} dy \right) + \beta \langle V(x) \rangle \tag{6}$$

In the linear regime, reached when $\beta V(x,y) \ll 1$, Equation 6 yields:

$$S(x) \simeq \ln(2h(x))$$

The entropy, $S(x)$, has a clear geometric interpretation, being the logarithm of the space, $2h(x)$, accessible to the center of mass.
of the tracer. Accordingly, we introduce the entropy barrier, $\Delta S$, defined as:

$$\Delta S = \ln \left( \frac{h_{\text{max}}}{h_{\text{min}}} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

where $h_{\text{max}}$ and $h_{\text{min}}$ are the maximum and the minimum of the channel width respectively. We will consider a channel whose $x$-dependent width has the same periodicity as $V(x, y)$ and is given by

$$h(x) = h_0 - R + h_1 \sin \left[ \frac{2\pi}{L} (x + \phi_0) \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

where $h_0$ is the average channel section, $h_1$ determines its modulation and $R$ is the particle radius. $h_{\text{max}}$ and $h_{\text{min}}$ depend both on $h_1, R$ and the dephasing, $\phi_0$. The latter will be useful to control the relative displacement between geometrical and potential modulations, as discussed in the next Sections.

3. DIFFUSION THROUGH A PORE

The motion of charged tracers in an electrolyte has become a matter of interest due to its relevance in both biological situations (40) as well in the development of micro- nano-fluidic devices (41). In many cases, tracers move in an electrolyte that is embedded in a channel or in a porous medium. Due to the interaction with the electrolyte, the walls of the channel or the porous medium accumulate net charge (42). Hence a net, screened, electric field develops inside the channel. This feature is at the basis of phenomena such as electro-osmosis and it has been exploited for micro- nano-pumping (41). The currents in these devices generally rely on the control of particle currents as it happens, for example, in sodium-potassium pumping in neurons (40). The treatment of the motion of the electrolyte and the fluid inside channels of varying shape (43) or the diffusion of charged tracers in porous media (44) is challenging computationally.

Recently, different groups (45), (46) have characterized the flow in varying-section channels when the electrostatic field generated by the charged channel walls is characterized by a Debye screening length, $k^{-1}$, that is vanishing small compared to the channel half-amplitude, $h(x)$ (42), and homogenization techniques have been proposed for the regime where the $k^{-1}$ is larger than the channel width for channels of generic shape (47). The regime where $k^{-1}$ is comparable to the channel bottleneck has not been characterized before. In this regime, the comparable length scales of the Debye double layer and the channel amplitude lead to a competition between electrostatic forcing and geometric confinement and can induce new dynamic scenarios where channel modulation plays a relevant role in charged tracer transport (Malgaretti, in preparation). This regime has already shown interesting features, such as current inversion and negative mobility for forced electrolytes (Malgaretti, in preparation).

In order to study the motion of tracers embedded in a varying-section channel, as sketched in Figure 2 characterized by charged walls, the electrostatic potential, $\psi(x, y)$, inside the channel must be known. In order to do so, one should solve the, 2D, Poisson equation:

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi(x, y)}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi(x, y)}{\partial y^2} = -\frac{\rho_q(x, y)}{\epsilon}$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

with the boundary condition given by Equation 2, being $\rho_q = \rho_0 \exp \left(-\beta z e \psi(x, y)\right)$ the, equilibrium, charge density inside the channel. Assuming smooth-varying channel walls, $\partial_x h \ll 1$, one can take advantage of the lubrication approximation, $\partial^2_x \psi(x, y) \ll \partial^2_y \psi(x, y)$. It is possible to reduce Equation 9 to an effective 1D equation for the potential $\psi(x, y)$. Such an approximation introduces an error in the electrostatic field that can be estimated. In fact we know that, prior to the lubrication approximation, the electrostatic field is perpendicular to the channel wall. Hence, for varying-section channel, one should count for the projection of the electrostatic field along the radial direction when solving the Poisson equation. For smooth-varying channel amplitude case under study the projected electrostatic field reads:

$$E = E_0 \cos(\alpha)$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

being $\alpha = \arctan \left( \partial_x h(x) \right)$. Due to the assumption on the smoothness of the variation of channel amplitude, $\partial_x h(x) \ll 1$ leading to a second order correction in $\partial_x h(x)$ for the electrostatic field

$$E = E_0 \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_x h(x))^2 \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

In the following we will neglect such a correction assuming, $E = E_0$ along the channel. Assuming low salt concentration in the electrolyte and small $\zeta$ potential on channel walls Equation 9 can be further simplified by linearizing the charge density $\rho_q(x, y) \simeq \rho_0 \left( 1 - \beta z e \psi(x, y) \right)$, hence getting:

$$\psi(x, y) = \frac{\zeta \cosh(ky)}{\cosh(kh(x))}$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)
for a channel made by conducting walls or
\[
\psi(x, y) = \frac{\sigma \cosh(\kappa y)}{2\epsilon \sinh(\kappa x)}
\]
for an insulating-wall channel characterized by a constant surface-density of electric charge \(\sigma\), being \(\epsilon\) the dielectric constant of the electrolyte. Such an assumption, known as Debye-Hückel approximation, allows to identify the screening length, \(k^{-1}\), of the electrostatic potential as \(k^2 = \beta \varepsilon \rho_0 / \epsilon\).

### 3.1. MEAN FIRST PASSAGE-TIME

In order to characterize the geometrically induced contribution to the diffusion of charged tracers at equilibrium, we will analyze the first passage time distribution. In particular we focus on the the mean first passage time (MFPT) that tracers take to pass through the channel. Such a quantity has a twofold interest. On one hand, the MFPT captures, even at equilibrium where all currents vanish, the role played by the geometrically-induced potential. On the other hand, it is an interesting quantity for situations like ion separators, i.e., a Brownian ratchet, might bring to rectification of the substrate with thermal fluctuation in an out-of-equilibrium environment, i.e., Brownian ratchets have spread in many
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fields ranging from biophysics, where they are used to model molecular motors (49), to synthetic systems (50), and artificial steppers (51–53). Due to their reduced dimensions, these micro-nano-metric systems are often embedded in a confined region whose boundary condition might affect significantly the behavior of the system. The rational is that the confinement, by modulating the free space particles can explore, affects the overall particle dynamics. Such a scenario has been observed in several experimental situations ranging from micrometric systems, like microfluidic devices (54, 55) or colloids moving in optical tweezer arrays (56), to nanometric conditions, as realized with molecular motors (49, 57), down to the atomic scale where optical trapping allows to manipulate cold atoms (58).

To analyze the impact of confinement in the rectification of a Brownian particle, we will consider two different types of complementary, well-established ratchet models that have the same periodicity as the geometric confinement (38, 59). In the former case we deal with a rocking ratchet where the position dependence of the amplitude of the noise (multiplicative noise) breaks detailed balance leading to net currents for asymmetric ratchet potentials. Alternatively, we consider a bio-inspired model developed to study molecular motors dynamics. For both models the potential is given by:

\[ V(x) = V_0 \left[ \sin \frac{2\pi}{L} x + \lambda \sin \frac{4\pi}{L} x \right] \]  
(16)

where the parameter \( \lambda \) measures the degree of asymmetry of the potential.

### 4.1. FLASHING RATCHET

A possible route to generate particle fluxes out of the rectification of thermal fluctuation is provided by flashing ratchets. In such devices a colloidal particle is subjected to a periodic external potential

\[ V(x) = V_1 V_0(x) \]  
(17)

and it is exposed to a position-dependent random force that breaks detailed balance (56). This can be simply achieved for a Gaussian white noise with a second moment amplitude \( g(x) = \sqrt{D(x) + Q(\partial_x V_0(x))^2} \) (60), where \( Q \) controls Brownian rectification and \( D(x) \) accounts for the corrugation-induced modulations in the effective diffusion coefficient (14). In the Fick-Jacobs limit, the equation for such a flashing ratchet in a varying-section channel reads

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} p(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left\{ g(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ p(x)g(x) \right] + D(x)p(x) \frac{\partial^2 A(x)}{\partial x^2} \right\} \]  
(18)

According to the last expression, equilibrium is achieved for \( Q = 0 \). For \( Q > 0 \) detailed balance is broken and net fluxes arise when the effective free energy difference over the channel period, \( \Delta F = \int_0^L \partial_x A(x) dx \), is not vanishing, i.e., for:

\[ \beta \Delta F = \int_0^L \left[ \frac{D(x)\partial_x A(x)}{g(x)^2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \ln g(x) \right] dx \neq 0. \]  
(19)

The second term, \( \int_0^L \partial_x \ln g(x)dx \), is vanishingly small for a periodic channel. As a result particle currents emerge from the interplay between both the entropic and enthalpic forces, encoded in \( A(x) \) and the position-dependent noise, \( g(x) \). Three dimensionless parameters govern the Brownian ratchet performance: \( \beta V_1 \) and \( \Delta S \) quantify the relevance of the enthalpic and entropic contributions, respectively, while \( \Delta F/L \) determines rectification.

The relative performance of a ratchet in a uniform channel, defined as the ratio between the Brownian ratchet average speed, \( \bar{v} \) and the average speed of a particle with mobility \( \bar{\mu} \equiv \beta D_0 \) under the action of a uniform effective force, \( f_0 \equiv \Delta F_0/L \) can be quantified in terms of the dimensionless parameter:

\[ \mu_0 = \frac{L \bar{v}}{\bar{\mu} \Delta F_0} \]  
(20)

where \( \bar{v} = \frac{1}{L} \int_0^L J(x)dx \) with \( J(x) = D \left\{ \bar{\mu} p(x, t) \partial_x A(x) + \Delta S p(x, t) \right\} \) derived from Equation 4. When the ratchet does not lead to rectification, \( \Delta F_0 = 0 \), \( \mu_0 \) remains 1. Alternatively, when the ratchet leads to an intrinsic rectification, in order to capture the overall performance we need to replace the free energy drop \( \Delta F_0 \), typical of a flat channel, with the overall free energy drop, \( \Delta F \), as given by Equation 19. Accordingly we define the dimensionless parameter

\[ \mu = \frac{L \bar{v}}{\bar{\mu} \Delta F} \]  
(21)

Uniform channels, for which there is no coupling between the ratchet potential and the confinement, lead to \( \mu/\mu_0 = 1 \). Therefore, deviations of \( \mu/\mu_0 \) from 1 can be regarded as a convenient means to address the interplay between the geometrical confinement and the intrinsic dynamics of the Brownian ratchet. In particular, when \( \mu/\mu_0 > 1 \) the geometrical constraints cooperate with the force associated to the Brownian ratchet to induce an efficient cooperative rectification, larger than the one obtained in an unstructured environment. On the contrary, when \( \mu/\mu_0 < 1 \) the confinement and the ratchet counterbalance each other reducing the value of \( \mu/\mu_0 \). A part of the comparison with \( \mu_0 \) the absolute value of \( \mu \) provides insight into the dynamics. On one hand, when \( \mu > 1 \) the performance of the cooperative rectification beats the one obtained under a constant, effective force \( f \equiv \Delta F/L \), while the opposite holds for \( \mu < 1 \). On the other hand \( \mu \) easily identifies the non-linear rectifying regime, when \( \partial \bar{\mu} \neq 0 \).

### 4.2. TWO STATE MOLECULAR MOTOR

Molecular motors are characterized by an heterogeneous dynamics for which motors perform very quick steps along the filament they move on interspersed with larger pauses. Different models have been proposed to account for such a dynamics, ranging from discrete (61), to continuous (62) [for a quite comprehensive review on molecular motors model see ref. (60)]. Here we use the so called "two-state ratchet model" that is a standard, simple framework to describe molecular motor motion along filaments. According to this model, a molecular motor is regarded as a Brownian particle characterized by two internal states, \( i = 1, 2, \ldots \).
(strongly and weakly bound) that determine under which potential, $V_i = 1, 2$, the particle displaces (63). It is possible to break detailed balance by a proper choice of the hopping rates $\omega_{12,21}$ between the two states. In this situation, the energy injected into the system by the hopping dynamics couples to the potential of the bound state, $V_i(x)$, and, for asymmetric potentials $V_1$, it leads to a net molecular motor velocity $v_0 \neq 0$. The processive nature of the motors significantly affects motors overall dynamics. Infinitely-processive molecular motors remain always attached to the filament along which they displace and are affected by the geometrical restrictions only while displacing along the filament; accordingly, we choose channel-independent binding rates $\omega_{21,1}(x) = k_{21}$. On the contrary, highly non-processive molecular motors detach frequently from the biofilament and diffuse away; an effect we account for considering a channel-driven binding rate, $\omega_{21,mp}(x) = k_{21}/h(x)$. Motors jump to the weakly bound state only in a region of width $\delta$ around the minima of $V_1(x)$, with rate $\omega_{12} = k_{12}$. Accordingly, the motor densities in the strong(weak) states, $p_{1}(x)$ along the channel follow (63)

$$
\frac{\partial p_1(x)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial J_1}{\partial x} = -\omega_{12}(x)p_1(x) + \omega_{21}(x)p_2(x)
$$

$$
\frac{\partial p_2(x)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial J_2}{\partial x} = \omega_{12}(x)p_1(x) - \omega_{21}(x)p_2(x)
$$

where $J_{1,2}(x) = -D(x)\left[\frac{\partial p_1(x)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial p_2(x)}{\partial x}\right] - \frac{\partial \beta A_{1,2}(x)}{\partial x}$ stands for the current densities in each of the two states in which motor displaces and $D(x)$ accounts for the corrugation-induced modulations in the effective diffusion coefficient (14). Depending on the motor internal state, two free energies, $A_{1,2}(x) = V_1(x) - k_B T S(x)$, account for the interplay between the biofilament interaction and the channel constraints.

### 4.3. COOPERATIVE RECTIFICATION

The case of a symmetric potential and a symmetric channel, $h_2 = \lambda = 0$, is challenging because rectification is not possible when considering both potential separately and therefore no net particle current is observed. Currents may, however, arise due to the interplay between bot potentials.

#### 4.3.1. Flashing ratchet

The steady state ($\dot{p}(x) = 0$), numerical solution of Equation 18, with $h_2 = \lambda = 0$, is shown Figure 4. As shown in Figure 4A, when the channel and the ratchet potential are not in registry a net particle current develops. Therefore the interplay between confinement and the ratchet potential leads, when out-of-phase, to net particle currents as captured by Equation 19. When the channel and the ratchet are not in phase, $\phi_0 \neq 0$, the overall free energy drop, Equation 19, is finite and a net current develops. The Fick-Jacobs equation identifies $\phi_0$ and $\Delta S$ (defined in Equation 7) as the relevant parameters that control rectification. For any finite channel modulation, the spatial symmetry breaking is controlled by $\phi_0$. On the contrary, a straight channel, $\Delta S = 0$, will not induce rectification because the underlying potential is symmetric. Figure 4B shows that $\Delta S$, as given by Equation 7, captures the changes in the system geometrical properties. In fact variations in both the particle radius, $R$, and the channel corrugation, $h_1$, are properly captured by $\Delta S$. In particular, particle current dependence upon both, $R$ and $h_1$, collapse on a main curve when represented as a function of $\Delta S$.

The dependence of particle rectification on $Q$ is quite smooth. For example the value of $\Delta S$ providing the maximum current is only weakly affected by a drop of $Q$ of two orders of magnitude, as shown in Figure 4B. Since both the channel corrugation and the ratchet potential are symmetric, Figure 4A is symmetric under inversion of the velocity and dephasing angle. Therefore, a uniform distribution of $\phi_0$ will not induce any net current, but any asymmetric distribution will.

The insets of Figure 4 display the changes of the dimensionless velocity, $\mu$, as given by Equation 21, as a function of the relevant dimensionless parameters. They show that both regimes, $\mu > 1$ and $\mu < 1$ can be achieved. In particular for $\mu > 1$, the confinement and the ratchet potential cooperate to induce an efficient particle rectification, while for $\mu < 1$ they compete with each other, partially hindering rectification. Both regimes depend weakly on confinement, as shown in Figure 4, while $\mu$ is significantly affected by the magnitude of the noise amplitude, $Q$.

#### 4.3.2. Two state molecular motor

The steady state ($\dot{p}(x) = 0$), numerical solution of Equation 22, with $h_2 = \lambda = 0$, is shown Figure 5. Net particle currents set when the ratchet potential and the channel corrugation are out of registry as shown in Figure 5A. The symmetry of the channel and rectifying potentials imply that the velocity profile is invariant if both axis of the figure are inverted; hence a uniform distribution of $\phi_0$ will not induce a net current, but any asymmetric distribution will. The internal reorganization of the molecular motor as it moves along the channel allows for qualitatively new scenarios with respect to the rectification features observed for the flashing ratchet. Interestingly, we observe particle flux reversal, as Figure 5B, upon increase in $\Delta S$. This flux reversal can be exploited to induce particle separation according to its size (due to the implicit dependence of $\Delta S$ on particle radius, $R$), or the differential particle response to $V_1$. As for the flashing ratchet, $\Delta S$ captures the essential features of net molecular motor motion upon variations of the overall

![FIGURE 4] Cooperative-rectification induced velocity of a Brownian motor under the action of a symmetric flashing ratchet and confined in a symmetric channel. (A) Normalized particle velocity, in units of $D_0/L$ with $D_0 = D_0(R = 1)$, upon variation of the phase shift $\phi_0$ for different values of the parameter $\Delta S = 1.73, 2.19, 2.94$ (the larger the symbol size, the larger $\Delta S$), with $\nu_1 = 0.2$ and $\nu_2 = 2$. Inset: $\mu$ as a function of $\phi_0$ for the same parameters. (B) Normalized particle velocity upon variation of $\Delta S$ for different particle radii $R$ (solid lines, with $h_0 = 1.25, h_0 = 0.2$), $h_0$ (solid points, with $R = 1, h_1 = 0.2$ or $h_1$ (open points, with $R = 1, h_0 = 1.25$) with $\phi_0 = 0.1, 0.2$ and $V_1 = 0.2, Q = 2$ (the larger the symbol the larger $\phi_0$). As a comparison the case with $V_1 = 0.2, Q = 0.02$ and $\phi_0 = 0.01, 0.2$, (the larger the symbol the larger $\phi_0$), is shown (blue diamonds). Inset: $\mu$ as a function of $\Delta S$ for the same parameters. Reprinted with permission from (69). Copyright (2013) by the American Physical Society.
As for the previous cases, the entropy barrier $h$ sets the Brownian ratchet net velocity, $v$, as well as motor size $R$. As $\Delta S$ increases, the sensitivity to the separate variation of $h_0$, $h_1$, and $R$ for the case of non-processive motors intensifies. These deviation from the geometrical dependence only through $\Delta S$ arise because the binding rate, $\omega_{2,1}$, depends on the probability that the motor is close to the filament, which depends indirectly on the channel section. Hence, different channel amplitudes $h_0$, $h_1$, $R$, even if leading to the same $\Delta S$, give rise to different binding rates that modulate the molecular motor velocity.

Molecular motors show a maximum current for an optimal $\Delta S$ that depends weakly on $V_1$, as displayed in Figure 5B.

### 4.4. Effect of the confinement on rectification

The case of an asymmetric ratchet, $\lambda \neq 0$, and a symmetric channel, $h_2 = 0$, allows us to address the impact that an inhomogeneous environment has on an intrinsically rectifying Brownian ratchet. In this regime, the net current provided by the ratchet will be affected by the corrugation. Interestingly, we find that such modulations are quite relevant and can lead to significant velocity changes. This effect is well captured in experiments showing new venues to manipulate particles and even to trap them.

The interplay between $h_1$ and $\lambda$ strongly affects the intrinsic Brownian ratchet net velocity, $v_0$, as shown in Figure 6A. In this case we observe two regimes. On one hand the average velocities exceed $v_0$, showing strong enhancements, while on the other the velocities change sign, indicating confinement-induced flow reversal. In the latter case, particles moving against the direction imposed by the ratchet can display speeds larger than $v_0$. As for the previous cases, the entropy barrier $\Delta S$ captures the dependence of particle current upon variation of the geometric parameters and the interplay between the ratchet and the entropic potential is magnified when the entropy barrier $\Delta S$, as shown in Figure 6B. In the presence of flux reversal, geometrical confinement leads to a mechanism for particle separation based on their size because $\Delta S$ depends both on the channel geometry and the particle size. As shown in Figure 6B, modulating the size of the particles one can control and switch their velocities, offering new venues to manipulate particles and even to trap them. The average particle current obtained in a disordered channel, i.e., with a uniform distribution of $\phi_0$, is not much affected by the geometrical constraint.

Since the Brownian ratchet is characterized by an intrinsic rectifying velocity, $v_0$, it is useful to study the ratio $\mu/\mu_0$ in order to quantify the relative variation in the mobility of the system due to geometrical constraints. In Figures 6A,B $\mu_0 = 6.1$, hence the system takes advantage of the $x$-dependent effective free energy drop, $\Delta F$, induced by the intrinsic ratchet mechanism.

#### 4.4.2. Two state model

The, steady state ($\dot{p}(x) = 0$), numerical solution of Equation 22, with $h_2 = 0$, $\lambda = 0$, is shown Figure 7. The net velocity as a function of the phase shift, $\phi$, leading to large velocity amplification and also to flux reversal, a feature that was not possible for symmetric channels. In fact, both processive and non-processive motors show velocity enhancement and reversal when varying the channel corrugation, $\Delta S$, as shown in Figure 7B. Hence, even symmetric channels offer the possibility to control molecular motor motion according to their size, allowing for segregation and particle trapping. As compared to the case of a symmetric ratchet, for asymmetric ratchets the entropic barrier $\Delta S$ captures even better the dynamics and

---

**FIGURE 5** | Cooperative-rectification induced velocity of processive (circles) and non-processive (triangles) molecular motors embedded in a symmetric channel. (A) Normalized particle velocity, in units of $D_2/L$, with $D_0 = D_2 (R = 1)$, upon variation of the phase shift $\phi_0$ for different values of the parameter $\Delta S = 1.73, 2.19, 2.94$ (the larger the symbol size, the larger $\Delta S$), for $V_1 = 0.2$ and $\omega_{2,1}/\omega_{1,2} = 0.01$. (B) Normalized velocity, in units of $D_2/L$, against entropic barrier for processive (circles), non-processive (triangles) molecular motor as a function of $\Delta S$ upon variation of particle radius $R$ (solid lines, for $h_1 = 1.25$, $h_2 = 0.2$, $R$) (open points, for $R = 1$, $h_1 = 0.2$) for $\phi = 0.1, 0.2$, and $\phi = 0$ (larger symbols correspond to larger $\phi_0$). As a comparison, the case for $\phi_0 = 0.1, 0.2$ and $V_1 = 0.2$ is shown (green diamonds) (the larger the symbol the larger $\phi_0$ with $\omega_{2,1}/\omega_{1,2} = 0.01$. (The curves for $V_1 = 1$ have been magnified by a factor of 5 for the sake of clarity). Reprinted with permission from (59). Copyright (2013) by the American Physical Society.

**FIGURE 6** | Confinement modulated velocity for a Brownian motor moving due to an asymmetric flashing ratchet in a symmetric channel. (A) Normalized particle velocity, in units of $v_0 = v(\Delta S = 0)$, upon variation of the phase shift $\phi_0$ for different values of the parameter $\Delta S = 0.84, 2.19, 2.94$ (the larger the symbol size, the larger $\Delta S$), for $V_1 = 0.2$ and $Q = 2$. Inset: $\mu$, in units of the dimensionless mobility, $\mu_2$, as a function of $\phi_0$ for the same parameters. (B) Normalized particle velocity upon variation of $\Delta S$ as obtained by varying particle radius $R$ (solid lines, with $h_1 = 1.25$, $h_2 = 0.2$, $h_3$) (solid points, with $R = 1$, $h_1 = 0.2$) for $\phi = 0.2, 0.3, 0.5, 0.6$ and $V_1 = 0.2$, $Q = 2$ (the larger the symbol size, the larger $\phi_0$). Cyan open circles represent the average velocity obtained by a uniform distribution of $\phi_0$ as a function of $\Delta S$. Inset: $\mu/\mu_0$ as a function of $\phi_0$ for the same parameters. Reprinted with permission from (59). Copyright (2013) by the American Physical Society.
only at smaller $\Delta S$ the different behavior upon variation of $h_0$, $h_1$ and $R$ becomes appreciable.

5. CONCLUSIONS

In this article, we have shown the relevance of confinement in the transport properties of small-scale systems. We have used a diffusion theory which models the presence of spatial contractions by entropic barriers and proposes the Fick-Jacobs equation to derive the main transport properties.

We have analyzed two different cases, namely the motion of Brownian motors in a varying section channel and the case of charged tracers diffusion through a pore. In the former case, the energy injected in the system by the ratchet mechanism couples to the entropic potential induced by the confinement leading to new dynamic behavior not observed in the unbounded cases. In particular, net particle fluxes are predicted in situations in which neither of the two possible rectification mechanisms, i.e., the ratchet and the entropic rectification, are active. Moreover, even when Brownian motors develop net fluxes for unbound systems, the coupling with the confinement strongly affects the overall dynamics allowing both for velocity enhancement and velocity reversal. The latter shows a remarkable dependence on particle size opening the possibility of a new route for particle segregation. In the case of diffusion in a pore we have observed a size and corrugation dependence in the MFPT for neutral tracers underlying the relevant role played by the confinement for diffusive processes. For charged tracers, we observe an interplay between the inhomogeneous transverse tracer distribution and the confinement leading to more involved, charge dependent, MFPTs. In particular, varying the Debye screening length it is possible to almost prevent pore crossing for ions depleted from the walls whereas the diffusion of ions attracted to the walls is promoted.

Entropic transport is now being used to study transport of atoms in nanoporous materials, of particles in microfluidic devices and of ions in protein channels. The theoretical framework described enables one to consider in a simple way the influence of the shape of those quasi-1D structures on the main transport properties, an issue not addressed up to now due to the difficulties in solving the 2D and 3D transport equations. All in all, entropic transport offers new mechanisms for particle manipulation at small scales.
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