Pandemic Response to COVID-19: Lessons from Restructuring Breast Imaging at a Multi-site Academic Center in New York City
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Abstract

Purpose of Review The COVID-19 pandemic has been an unprecedented challenge for healthcare delivery, disrupting normal workflows and demanding re-allocation of resources. In the setting of breast imaging, this entailed an initial marked reduction of services during the acute phase of the pandemic followed by a gradual reopening with modified protocols to minimize potential virus exposure.

Recent Findings Protocols were devised for providing limited breast imaging services during the acute phase of the pandemic and for safely reopening during the post acute phase. Example cases of breast cancers diagnosed during the acute phase of the pandemic are provided to illustrate the modified workflow.

Summary This manuscript reviews practical strategies for restructuring breast imaging workflow, onsite policies, and staffing at a multi-site academic center in New York City during the COVID-19 pandemic. By sharing our experience, we hope to provide others with a roadmap for managing breast imaging services during future unforeseen crises.
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Introduction

On March 1, 2020, the first COVID-19 case in New York State was identified, linked to travel to Iran, a country known to have widespread contemporaneous coronavirus transmission [1]. On March 3, 2020, the first confirmed non-travel-related COVID-19 case was identified in NY State in an individual who lived in Westchester County and worked in New York City [2]. This was followed by rapid exponential growth of confirmed cases in New York State, resulting in the declaration of a State of Emergency by Governor Andrew Cuomo on March 7, 2020 and a statewide stay at home order on March 20, 2020 [3, 4]. The stay at home order mandated the closure of all non-essential businesses and all non-essential gatherings. The closure of non-essential businesses included elective care and non-urgent medical procedures, including breast cancer screening services.

It was against this backdrop that our department urgently re-structured our multi-site academic breast imaging services. At the time, there were no published guidelines for managing breast health services during a pandemic. As such, our physicians, administrators, and staff collaborated closely to rapidly devise and deploy appropriate policies and procedures for delivering care during this unprecedented crisis.

This manuscript shares strategies used at our multi-site academic facility in New York City for restructuring breast imaging workflow, onsite protocols, and staffing to allow for optimal patient care while minimizing risk during the acute phase of the COVID-19 pandemic and for safe reopening during the post-acute peri-pandemic period. By sharing our experience, we hope to offer others a roadmap for managing breast imaging services during future unforeseen crises.
Acute Phase of the Pandemic

During the acute phase, defined as the period during which New York State experienced a rapid exponential growth in COVID-19 cases, our protocols were modified to minimize potential virus exposure and conserve resources, including personal protective equipment, staff, and limited COVID-19 testing capacity. This can be thought of as the “blunt instrument” phase of our response, where simple, straightforward, and quickly deployable protocols were devised and implemented to achieve our goals.

Workflow

Cases were triaged into two groups: those requiring immediate attention and those which should be postponed. All routine screening breast imaging exams were postponed. Routine diagnostic cases, defined as imaging performed for post-treatment surveillance, 6 months follow-up imaging, and evaluation of non-focal breast pain, were postponed. Scheduled breast biopsies were reviewed by attending radiologists, and those designated as low suspicion for malignancy (BI-RADS 4a, < 10% likelihood of malignancy) were deferred. Scheduling and front desk staff contacted these patients to reschedule their exams for 3 months in the future, with a plan to re-assess and implement further delays, if needed.

Urgent diagnostic cases were expedited and included patients with newly diagnosed breast cancer, suspected abscess, newly palpable masses, suspicious nipple discharge, and BI-RADS 0 screening exam callbacks. Patients with pending biopsies for lesions deemed BI-RADS 4b, 4c, and 5 (10% or greater suspicion for malignancy) were also expedited. Cases deemed appropriate for expedited breast imaging exams and procedures were pre-screened for COVID-19 symptoms by phone prior to their appointments and onsite at the facility entrance. Patients experiencing symptoms had their exams delayed by at least 2 weeks, given the known incubation period of the virus. Figure 1 summarizes our modified workflow.

Onsite Protective Measures

New rules regarding the use of personal protective equipment were implemented to minimize potential virus transmission onsite, including mandatory surgical masks for all staff and patients. Social distancing was enforced through new signage posted throughout the facility and gentle verbal reminders to both staff and patients. Social distancing was also facilitated by decreased density of personnel and patients onsite resulting from changes in workflow and staffing protocols. Figure 2 illustrates this decrease in density. In-person exchanges were reduced by communicating by phone whenever possible, such as when checking cases and relaying biopsy recommendations to patients.

Staffing

With the changed workflow and resulting marked decrease in volume, an oversupply of staff had to be managed. Some breast imaging attendings, due to health concerns and childcare issues, took voluntary unpaid leaves. The remaining attendings were encouraged to use vacation days and conference time. Academic time was also given to develop abstracts, papers, and resident teaching files. When two or more attendings were assigned to a single site, they were permitted to split their shifts so that only one radiologist was in the reading room at a time.

Residents assigned to breast imaging were re-assigned to either emergency department or inpatient radiology rotations. Others were re-assigned to inpatient medical teams. All resident lectures were transitioned to online platforms.

Technologist shifts were staggered. Some technologists and support staff took leave due to childcare needs. Technologists were encouraged to make use of space in empty exam rooms to reduce breakroom density.

Acute Phase Protocols in Practice: Case Examples

Case 1: Expedited Workflow

New architectural distortion was identified in the upper outer left breast on a screening mammogram in a woman in her 50s with a positive family history of breast cancer in her mother in her 50s (Fig. 3a). While most screening
exams were postponed, if a patient was reluctant to delay her exam, particularly if high risk, we would make accommodations. This patient presented early in the course of the pandemic, as we were transitioning to the new workflow protocols. The patient had left the department before her screening mammogram was reviewed by the radiologist, as the technologist who performed the exam was following our routine pre-pandemic workflow for screening exams. She was immediately called back, and diagnostic imaging was performed the next day. This demonstrated a highly suspicious 6 × 9 × 6 mm shadowing, irregular, non-parallel mass on ultrasound corresponding to the new mammographic distortion (Fig. 3b). Same-day ultrasound-guided biopsy was performed and yielded invasive ductal carcinoma. Pathology results were available within 24 h of biopsy. 24 h after the biopsy (48 h after the initial screening exam), the patient underwent breast MRI to evaluate the extent of disease. The MRI demonstrated no multifocal, multicentric, or contralateral disease (Fig. 3c). Wire localization and lumpectomy was performed 4 days later. Detection, workup, diagnosis, and treatment of this patient’s breast cancer were performed within 7 days. As a result of this case, we amended our workflow policies to address the few screening exams which were performed, such as this high-risk patient who was reluctant to delay her exam. Technologists were instructed to present these cases for reads before the patient left the department, so that any necessary workups could be performed the same day.

Case 2: Delayed Workflow

A woman in her 60s underwent screening mammography through our mobile van mammography program the week before the state-wide stay at home order and closure of breast screening services. Review of her mammogram demonstrated a highly suspicious mass in her upper outer right breast (Fig. 4a). An appointment for diagnostic imaging and same-day biopsy was scheduled for the patient the following week, after the new workflow was implemented. During a phone call to pre-screen the patient the day before her appointment, she reported symptoms suspicious for possible COVID-19. Per the new workflow protocol, her exam was postponed 2 weeks. Her diagnostic imaging was further delayed, as a family member contracted COVID-19 and was unable to bring the patient in for her appointment. Arrangements were subsequently made through our mobile mammography program to provide the patient with transportation to and from the imaging center for her diagnostic imaging and biopsy. Three months after the initial screening exam, diagnostic imaging demonstrated a highly suspicious irregular hypoechoic nonparallel mass with indistinct margins in the 10 o’clock right breast and an abnormal right axillary lymph node, both of which underwent ultrasound-guided biopsies the same day(Fig. 4b). Pathology demonstrated invasive ductal carcinoma metastatic to the right axilla.

Case 3: Urgent Exam

A woman in her early 20s presented for evaluation of a palpable area of concern in the 6:00 left breast and a 3 months history of bloody left nipple discharge. The patient was initially evaluated with bilateral whole breast ultrasound which was read remotely due to reduced staffing. No abnormality was detected. A recommendation was made for breast surgical consultation for further evaluation of the patient’s suspicious clinical symptoms. The patient presented for breast surgical consultation the following day at our breast cancer center. A same-day diagnostic
mammogram was performed, demonstrating highly suspicious segmental amorphous and pleomorphic calcifications spanning 4.2 cm at the site of palpable concern (Fig. 5). Stereotactic biopsy was performed the next morning; pathology results were available 24 h later and demonstrated ductal carcinoma in situ. Time from initial presentation to diagnosis in this patient was 72 h.

Re-opening and Operating in the Post-acute Phase

In early May 2020, Governor Cuomo announced plans for a four-phase Statewide reopening, establishing regional metrics for infection rate, hospital capacity, testing capacity, and contact tracing to permit entry into each phase [5]. On June 8, 2020, New York City met criteria to enter the first phase of reopening, which permitted resumption of elective surgery and ambulatory care services [6]. As compared to the “blunt instruments” used for closure of breast imaging services at our multi-site academic facility in New York City, reopening involved fine, carefully calibrated incremental changes.

We began our reopening by expediting diagnostic and screening patients whose appointments were postponed. Fortunately, most had already been given appointments starting in mid-June 2020 when appointments were initially rescheduled at the start of the pandemic in mid-March 2020. Our first and foremost priority was to ensure the safety of staff and patients as we reopened. New templates were designed to accommodate social distancing, visitors were not permitted, capacity limits were established for waiting rooms, and mask compliance was enforced. Initially, while volume remained low, screening exams were checked before patients left the department, with any required diagnostic workups performed the same day. As volume increased, we reverted back to our “screen and go” policy, as those patients waiting for screening exams to be read resulted in an untenable increase in density in our waiting areas.

Patients were initially hesitant to resume in-person care, particularly for screening exams and routine diagnostic follow-up exams. These patients were reassured through direct phone calls, as well as television and social media advertising campaigns. By September 2020, monthly patient volume had increased to 105% as compared to
2019 (Fig. 6). In order to accommodate these patients while still allowing for adequate social distancing, evening hours and weekend hours were expanded.

With the advent of COVID-19 vaccinations in late December 2020, COVID-19 vaccine-related axillary adenopathy became an additional factor to consider in our breast imaging workflow. Patient intake questionnaires were modified to include questions regarding vaccination status, date, and site of administration. Some patients were offended by the questions and had to be reassured by staff that the questions were medically necessary for their breast imaging care, to obviate unnecessary biopsies and screening callbacks. Screening patients were encouraged to schedule screening exams either prior to initial dose of COVID-19 vaccination or 4–6 weeks following the last dose of COVID-19 vaccination.
Conclusion

Since COVID-19 emerged as a full-fledged pandemic in early 2020, there have been several guidelines issued regarding management of breast care during the pandemic, including statements from the Society of Breast Imaging and a comprehensive joint statement from the American Society of Breast Surgeons, The National Accreditation Program for Breast Centers, the National Comprehensive Care Network, the Commission on Cancer, and the American College of Radiology [7, 8]. A review of the existing published guidelines is beyond the scope of this manuscript. Instead, by sharing our experience, we hope to provide others with insights into the practical solutions for the implementation of pandemic-related modified protocols for breast imaging services.
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