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ABSTRACT

Introduction: The 2014 curricular guidelines for medical courses in Brazil aim to train humanist, critic, reflexive and ethic general practitioners, able to work at different levels of health care, primarily based within the National Unified Health System scope. To achieve this goal, higher education institutions must adopt educational technologies such as active learning methodologies, which encourage students to seek and build their own knowledge. This study aims to identify and analyze the challenges faced by Public Health teachers from two medical courses in the state of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil in the use of Active Learning Methodologies. Method: The study was conducted as a qualitative research with a comparative case study approach, and the subjects comprised teachers of the abovementioned discipline in both universities. The information was collected through a sociodemographic questionnaire, semi-structured interviews, and semi-directed observation. The findings were assessed using thematic analysis and the questionnaire data were tabulated to assess its frequency. Result: The main findings were the understanding, on the part of the teachers, of the relevance of active methodologies in the teaching pedagogical processes, the difficulty of including them due to the lack of preparation, and the understanding of the need for the active teaching methodologies to guide the pedagogical project. Conclusion: It is concluded that the universities should be encouraged to build a continuing education project for the teaching staff in which these methodologies are assumed as the main point in the teaching practice, also contributing to reflect on the established training model, as well as to rethink the organization of the political pedagogical project of medical courses.
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INTRODUCTION

In June 2014, the National Education Council instituted new National Curricular Guidelines for the Medical Course (DCN-CGM), which constitute mandatory guidelines for the preparation of the curricula adopted by all medical schools in the country. According to the DCN-CGM, medical undergraduate students must have a general, humanistic, critical, reflective and ethical education, with the capacity to work at the different levels of health care, with social responsibility, commitment to the defense of citizenship and human dignity, and the human being’s comprehensive health and having as part of its practice the social determination of the health and disease process.

To achieve this objective, the use of active learning methodologies (ALMs) is suggested as the main reference of the educational process in medical courses. ALMs are considered light-hard educational technologies that provide students’ engagement in the learning process and favor the development of critical and reflective skills related to their professional practice. It is a process supported by social interactions that allows the acquisition and shared construction of knowledge. Thus, the new formulations and/or understandings of the study objectives are not acquired only through the transmission of content but are produced based on the meaning attributed by the students, conditioned by their experiences, contexts and living conditions. The ALMs are capable of promoting student development towards the training of a competent professional (acquired from expected contents, skills and attitudes), as well as committed to the society in which they live and work.

Active learning is anchored in critical pedagogy and with multiple possible methods, such as Case Study, Problematization Methodology with Magueres’ Arch, Peer Education, Team-Based Learning, Project-Based Learning and the most widely used in medical courses, Problem-Based Learning. Together with these more complex methods, Active Teaching and Learning Didactic Strategies are effective resources used by teachers in the student’s daily learning. These strategies have two components: Active Teaching-Learning Dynamics (ATLD) and Active Teaching Actions (ATA).

Active Teaching-Learning Dynamics are techniques used by the teacher for teaching; the Active Teaching Actions, on the other hand, correspond to interventions, postures and attitudes taken by the teacher that enhance active teaching. ATLDs can be implemented in any classroom environment. Among the ATLDs, Gleason et al. describe: Socratic Questioning, Debates, Fishbowl, Role-Plays, Student Presentations, Games, Research Devices / Audience Response Systems, among others.

In the ALMs, the teacher must forgo the perspective of the master, transmitter of knowledge, and assume the role of facilitator of the teaching process, as the teacher assumes a much more cooperative position than that of holder of the truth, whose main role is stimulating the critical thinking and students’ self-learning.

Freitas et al. affirm that the teacher needs to think about the educational process to structure it; however, generally, these professionals start their careers as educators without pedagogical training for teaching, despite having skills in their specialty. Considering this, the teaching action has been based on the experience of its formation process, being a mirror image of its own teachers, who conducted their classes using the traditional teaching methods.

The active methodologies bring direct benefits to the student, as they allow the development of their autonomy, thus forming a creative, reflective and independent professional who uses the knowledge acquired holistically, minimizing the occurrence of a fragmented education; they provide a contemporary medical training, aimed at the future of the understanding and interventions of the medical professional in a world where the changes resulting from the uninterrupted discoveries challenge
a set of skills, with an updated and resolutive professional.

For this to occur, the teacher has to increasingly become a manager and administrator of collective and individual pathways. However, this process is permeated by difficulties, as some teachers lack the confidence to implement these educational technologies or consider it risky to share control of the class with students, as well as reports regarding the lack of time to organize activities.

However, an obstacle to apply active methodologies is the necessity for investments and a curricular reform that can be radical regarding the pedagogical process. The implementation of this curricular architecture does not occur abruptly and without extensive planning by higher education institutions, which acquire a fundamental role in sustaining any innovative implemented curricular model. In the curriculum discussed herein, it is important that teachers preferentially dedicate themselves fully to the institution and are prepared to participate in the academic training.

The population consisted of teachers of the Public Health discipline at U1 and U2. The inclusion criteria comprised being an active teacher of the Public Health discipline in two medical schools in the countryside of the state of Rio Grande do Sul. The specific objectives were to describe the sociodemographic profile of the assessed teachers, report their experiences with ALMs, as shown in Chart 1.

The interviews were conducted by the main researcher, in person, observed. All interviews were recorded and later transcribed in full. The interviews were conducted by the main researcher, in person, respecting the participants’ confidentiality and privacy.

Two different groups were created to compare the results: group A corresponds to U1 teachers and received the letter “A,” just as U2 teachers received the letter “B,” all selected at random. The information recorded in the self-administered structured questionnaires was tabulated to assess its frequency. The semi-directed observations were recorded, read and categorized to list the main topics. These topics were reapproached in the semi-structured interviews aiming to identify the contradictions between theory and practice.

The individual semi-structured interviews, after their verbatim transcription, were read and summarized according to the criteria of exhaustiveness, representativeness and relevance of the content of the obtained responses. During the assessment of the material, the individual product was evaluated, reaching categories of expressions that were representative of the topic and its approach. At this moment, 33 empirical categories were identified, which were grouped into three categories. Of the categories resulting from the grouping, three categories of analysis were selected, which were investigated based on the reference concepts of the ALM, as shown in Chart 1.

The study followed Resolution 466/2012 and was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of Grupo Hospitalar Conceição according to Opinion 16-119, CAAE: 89303118.3.0000.5530.

Two categories of analysis will be described in this article: Knowledge and experiences of ALMs by the teachers and Possibilities and difficulties of teachers’ professional practice with Active Learning Methodologies.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Characterization of the subjects

The information obtained from the sociodemographic questionnaire is systematized in Chart 2. The predominant age group is from 37 to 56 years old, with the female sex being more prevalent. Regarding the
Chart 1

Description of the analysis categories

| Empirical Categories                  | Category Grouping                        | Analysis Categories                                      |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| Popular Education                     | Types of active methodologies             | Knowledge and experiences of ALMs by teachers            |
| PBL (Problem Based Learning)          |                                          |                                                          |
| Peer Education                        |                                          |                                                          |
| PjBL (Project Based Learning)         |                                          |                                                          |
| TBL (Team-based learning)             |                                          |                                                          |
| ALM - Institutional Policies          |                                          |                                                          |
| ALM - New Pedagogical Processes       |                                          |                                                          |
| ALM - Student                         |                                          |                                                          |
| ALM - Teacher training                |                                          |                                                          |
| ALM - Teacher                         |                                          |                                                          |
| ALM - Student assessment difficulty   |                                          |                                                          |
| ALM - Potentialities                  |                                          |                                                          |
| ALM - Practical field                 |                                          |                                                          |
| ALM - Teaching practice               |                                          |                                                          |
| ALM - Macro Historical Process        |                                          |                                                          |
| ALM - Micro Historical Process        |                                          |                                                          |
| Time-related difficulty in class organization |                                    |                                                          |
| Structural difficulty (didactic material, structure, equipment, internet) |                                    |                                                          |
| Teachers' financial difficulties      |                                          |                                                          |
| Difficulties with Students            |                                          |                                                          |
| Teacher Training Difficulty           |                                          |                                                          |
| Teaching Tools + Telemedicine          |                                          |                                                          |
| Current professional practice         |                                          |                                                          |
| Professional Trajectory               |                                          |                                                          |
| Historical Model of Medical Education |                                          |                                                          |
| Teacher Training Model                |                                          |                                                          |
| Student Changes                       |                                          |                                                          |
| Teachers' profile changes             |                                          |                                                          |
| Pedagogical Process - Pedagogical activities in teaching |                        |                                                          |
| Preparation of classes by teachers    |                                          |                                                          |
| Professional achievement              |                                          |                                                          |
| Student Achievement                   |                                          |                                                          |

Source: Prepared by the authors 2019.

Chart 2

Characterization of participants.

| A - U1 | B - U2 | Training  | Year  | Master's Degree  | Ph.D. Degree  | Employment - Longer Workload | Type of employment bond | Salary range - minimum wages | WL - Weekly hours | Year of Admission |
|--------|--------|-----------|-------|------------------|---------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| A1     | 56 Female | Nursing   | 1998  | Health management | In progress   | Teaching                     | Contract                | Between 3 and 6               | 23                 | 2010              |
| A2     | 40 Female | Dentistry | 2002  | Public Health    | Concluded     | Teaching                     | Selection               | Between 3 and 6               | 22                 | 2010              |
| A3     | 38 Female | Physiotherapy | 2004 | Epidemiology     | Concluded     | Teaching                     | Exam – private service  | Between 3 and 6               | 26                 | 2015              |
| A4     | 40 Female | Medicine   | 2003  | Human Aging      | Concluded     | Teaching                     | Civil service exam      | Between 3 and 6               | 20                 | 2009              |
| B1     | 52 Female | Philosophy | 1999  | Education - emphasis on Health. | Concluded | Teaching                     | Civil service exam      | Between 6 and 9               | 40 ED              | 2013              |
| B2     | 43 Male  | Medicine   | 2004  | Human Aging      | In progress   | Assistance                   | Civil service exam      | Between 3 and 6               | 20                 | 2016              |

Continue...
educational institution, four were teachers at U1 (a nurse, a physiotherapist, a physician and a dentist) and five at U2 (a philosopher and four physicians), showing that the training areas bring a multidisciplinary view to the teaching of the collective health discipline. As for postgraduate studies, two had a Ph.D. degree, four had a Master's degree and the others are studying to obtain a Master's degree. As for the time working as a teacher at the current institution, it ranges between one and nine years, ranging between one and two years for the majority, that is, most are at the beginning of their careers. The salary range of participants varies, with five of them receiving between three and six minimum wages (MW-2018), three receiving between six and nine MW and only one receiving more than nine MW.

Six participants considered teaching as their main occupation, but the workload dedicated to the job varies, and only one worked with exclusive dedication. Most teachers at U1 have a shorter workload dedicated to teaching than teachers at U2, where two of them work 40 hours a week. However, they still find it difficult to exercise this function:

So, it is hard work, demands time and sometimes people do not have time due to financial reasons, because they are working on other things or they do not see the motivation [...] (A1).

As stated by Mesquita, Meneses et al.\(^5\), it is necessary for the professional to have a critical reflection on the exercise of teaching in order to value the teaching profession, the knowledge of teachers, their collective work at the institutions as spaces for continuing education, as well as for the recognition of the teacher as a researcher and knowledge producer. According to the participants,

I think he is an advisor, he is a stimulator [...] (A1).

Our role is to be a researcher during our entire life, because we become more facilitators of the process, but we must always be very up-to-date, we need to have the mastery of the methodology and also of the content, because in order to help them ask the questions, we must know what the key content is, so we are able to guide them [...] (B1).

Regarding the other sociodemographic characteristics, no significant differences were found between the two groups. Below, the categories of analysis that will be assessed in greater depth according to the study objectives are described, exploring the teachers’ perceptions regarding the practice of ALMs.

### Knowledge and experience of ALMs

The profile of the Brazilian teacher of medical courses is that of professionals who teach without necessarily having pedagogical knowledge\(^6\), and this characteristic is identified in this study, which shows the lack of training in ALMs. This scenario is consistent with a practice in which the teachers teach their classes according to their convictions and repeat models experienced during their training\(^7\). However, a teacher’s training cannot be restricted to participation in occasional courses, but must necessarily include training, supervision and evaluation programs that are carried out in an integrated and permanent manner\(^5\).

If I tell you that I have experience with active methodologies, I have little [...] (A2).

So I end up observing what the other teachers worked on, what I learned, what I read and then applied [...] (A3).

I have no training in active methodologies. What I have is self-taught training (B3).

[...] I took a Distance Learning course for the institution’s teachers related to practices of active methodologies, but otherwise, regarding active methodology, nothing (B4).

A group of teachers of the assessed discipline in both universities reports that they feel lack of knowledge, uncertainties and difficulties in the use of active methodologies in their teaching practice in health:

[...] You have to make this as dynamic as possible, as clear as possible, whether using someone’s case from a BHU or from a visit they made (A3).

[...] I use dialogued exposition, sometimes we have to use this technique, I also guide a directed study and then we carry out a debate, like a seminar; in short, it is quite diverse, sometimes I use short videos [...] (B1).

We try to adopt a methodology that we consider to be an active...
methodology [...] the first meetings are face-to-face, theoretical meetings, with lectures, readings of some articles, materials, there are also some videos that we bring to classes. [...] So they go to the Units, come back, organize the materials and we have a debate (B3).

In their practice, teachers need to think about the educational process in order to structure it, aiming to provide reflections, skills and actions. This structuring is the result of planning, which is directly related to the choice of content, activities, available resources and the methodology to be used9.

[...] You have to follow a schedule, follow a syllabus, a teaching plan, but always with a dialogue, no matter how much it is a lecture [...] (A3).

[...] I am trying to provide, merge, traditional lectures, but always making them reflect and always trying to permeate these traditional classes with clinical cases [...] (B2).

[...] The first meetings are face-to-face, theoretical meetings, with lectures [...] (B3).

It can be perceived in the participants' speeches, whether they are doctors or not, that they have the intention to use ATLD; however, this becomes fragmented and interspersed with traditional lectures, during which the transmission of knowledge occurs, and the use of the new tools aims only at bringing the students' attention back to the class, in an attempt to make it more interesting8.

There is a simulated jury, which is another way to bring SUS into it. I also use a methodology that I do not know what it is called, I learned as GVG (Verbalization Group and Observation Group), but to study, for instance, SUS legislation, each group studies one of the laws [...] (B1).

Here in Medicine, we use TBL (Team-based Learning) a lot. We use post-tests, which also constitute a way to remember the class content [...] it has been very interesting to work with playful activities, the games that give different ideas to use other different active methodologies, because otherwise we always use the same old ones [...] (B2).

I use a little bit of PBL (Problem Based Learning), here I have these clinical cases that I created to address the policy [...] (B5).

It can be observed that teachers from U1 have some knowledge about what types of ALMs exist and how to use them. However, the curriculum is still fragmented, and it is up to the teachers to decide which teaching strategies they are going to use in class. At U2, however, there is a greater lack of knowledge, and there is still a lot of conceptual misunderstanding between ALMs, active teaching strategies and ATLD, which is in line with what Freitas et al. reported.

Regarding the observations made in the classroom, there was a large number of students per class, which in itself makes active learning difficult and demonstrates that the curricular structure is not supported by the ALM, since the active pedagogical processes should preferably be organized in small groups of 6 to 8 students with a facilitator. The most often used resources were audiovisuals with a projector and video, seminars, case discussions and the development of products related to the discipline contents; however, the lectures stand out, with a traditional organization, in which the teacher plays the role of 'knowledge holder' and the student is the listener.

Even with the recognition of pedagogical trends that guide the teaching-learning process and some individual attempts by teachers to include ATLD during class, the influence of the traditional teaching method, centered on the teacher and the content, remains a strong part of the everyday life of teachers working with the collective health discipline in both universities. It is possible to observe that there is no methodological structure supported by ALMs in the discipline, differently from what is described in the PPP of both universities.

Intervening in this process of professional naturalization requires a systematized reflection energy, based on the deconstruction of the experience. The teachers only change their practices when they are able to reflect on themselves and on their training26, aiming at leaving the perspective of the master, the transmitter of knowledge to assume a role of facilitator of the teaching process, since the teachers, in the ALMs, assume a much more cooperative position than that of holder of the truth. Their main role is to stimulate students' critical thinking and self-learning.

Possibilities and difficulties of teachers' professional practice with Active Learning Methodologies

Regarding the possibilities, the two groups of teachers point out that ALMs are important for both the teacher and the student:

The potential is to change this classic teaching model a little bit, in which the teachers are right when talking [...] I think that in this exchange there can be a very nice gain for the student, to be able to contribute more, having to take the initiative; it is a different form of learning, in which they have to mobilize themselves. I think it stimulates more creativity, student leadership, [...] (A3).

I think it has a huge potential to turn the students into protagonists, to awaken the desire, the willingness to study. It means being the builder of your own knowledge, of showing commitment, of being fascinated, because knowledge is something that generates a lot of pleasure, [...] the search for wisdom is something that fascinates and gives a lot of pleasure, so if we can challenge the students to do that, they become fascinated and we see it [...] (B1).

Xavier, Oliveira et al.16 address this perception and affirm that the teacher's role is to facilitate the teaching process, encouraging the learners to choose relevant solutions for each problem-situation, as these new ways of working with knowledge in higher education aim to break with the traditional model of teaching.

Freitas et al.3 state that the relationship between teacher and student allows the exchange of knowledge, being an essential condition for the teaching-learning process, which makes the educational process a dynamic one and gives it meaning. The teachers also experience changes because, by meeting and getting closer to students, they can review their ideas and, above all, reflect on their values and judgments. From this perspective, the teacher increasingly becomes a manager and advisor of collective and individual, predictable and unpredictable pathways, in a more open,
creative and innovative construction\(^4\), as can be observed in the following excerpts from participants of both universities:

\[
[...] Extremely gratifying, I cannot see myself far from it (A4).
\]

\[
[...] We meet, they come to thank me for these small gestures that I think are those from a dedicated educator, which we must have (B1).
\]

\[
[...] we also feel more accomplished as teachers. [...] I think the teachers feel better, I think they feel more accomplished, that was the impression I had today when I left the class (B5).
\]

However, pedagogical innovations require time, effort, human and structural resources. It is necessary to have a greater workload to consider and carry out the actions required by the ALMs; the effort is a condition to reach the goals established in the innovation, and sometimes the necessary resources are scarce or nonexistent to meet the demands that ALMs bring, such as for instance, more teachers and more classrooms to be able work in small groups, an essential aspect of the pedagogical process of active methodologies. However, although their lack can be an obstacle to innovation, their presence is also no guarantee of its attainment\(^6\).

The lack of time for the teacher to plan and prepare the classes is present in the statements of the teachers from both institutions, as only one of them works with exclusive dedication. Almeida and Batista\(^26\) states that the deprivation of the teacher, little institutional recognition, low wages and, consequently, lack of time due to the need to compensate the financial gain with other activities, often impairs the teacher's development process.

\[
[...] To prepare a lecture, it will take time for you to do it, it is ready, and you will always use the same one. An Active Methodology is not quite like that, you may even have the material you will need ready, but maybe you will have to correct something later, or prepare the entire material for that class. [...] I think that is more or less what I said, about the teacher needing time to do this (A3).
\]

\[
[...] I think it takes a lot more time to prepare the class than a normal theoretical lecture and, sometimes, we end up sort of settling down because there is a limited time for class creation and it is not possible, but it exists, I think this possibility exists (B4).
\]

This study also shows the lack of experience of the Public Health discipline teachers, with their statements demonstrating the lack of training to teach and the lack of planning to exercise this activity. In general, these professionals enter the career of educators without having had pedagogical training for teaching, despite their skill in their specialty\(^7\):

\[
[...] When I started teaching, I started with Internship Supervision, [...] then they invited me to teach and I said, “but I don’t know how to teach”, “but it is easy, we’ll help you” (A3).
\]

\[
I had not had formal teaching experience before this one (B3).
\]

Another difficulty brought by the professionals is the student's role in the active learning process. Gleason et al.\(^8\) point out as potential barriers the lack of interest in the students' disposition or training to participate in active learning, as traditional lectures, with their unidirectional transmission of information, demand little effort on their part. Active learning is a departure from the passive modality, as it requires students to think critically about the process. Consequently, some may resist the active learning. This was observed in the classroom, in which students were used to the practice of being just listeners and, when asked to contribute, few were those who participated:

\[
[...] The question of motivating students to participate, as the active methodology demands participation and if the student is not inclined to collaborate, it gets a little more complicated [...] (B4).
\]

This lack of availability by the students to participate in active learning processes can occur at the beginning of the process but allowing them to have a clear understanding of the purpose of these activities and establishing roles and responsibilities can improve their participation in classes and their performance\(^6\).

As stated by Xavier, Oliveira et al.\(^18\) about the teacher-student relationship, the addressed contents in the teaching-learning process must be dynamic and favor a closer relationship between teachers and students, as well as being able to contribute to the formation of critical and reflective professionals. Therefore, the teacher must include the students in different situations, addressing prior knowledge, diversifying the scenarios and considering the practice to facilitate the construction of new knowledge\(^16\). Additionally, ALMs promote the necessary conditions for activities that encourage the development of students’ distinct thinking skills and enable the teacher to act in those situations that promote autonomy, replacing, whenever possible, the evidently controlling situations\(^8\).

There are no facilities for teachers who take on this pedagogical challenge\(^19\), since the use of active methods requires greater involvement/ knowledge of the teaching-learning process, unpredictability and variability, as they are centered on the student, flexibility, the sharing of power and the joint construction of knowledge\(^16,26\).

These aspects lead us to think about how to improve this process. Teachers suggest that training courses be held, aiming at qualifying them for activities consistent with the active learning methods. They also emphasize training in small groups, following the active methodologies, in line with the study by Xavier, Oliveira et al.\(^18\). However, when comparing the two universities, it was observed that U1 promotes training courses, however infrequent, but only with theoretical approaches of the several existing active methodologies, without correlating them to the teachers' experiences and practices. At U2, training moments are very sporadic, without the purpose of training teachers for their daily practice.

\[
[...] To train Public Health teachers in this issue of active methodologies (B2).
\]
Mainly to promote, promote continuing education for the teacher [...] (B4).

This practice is consistent with what Mesquita, Meneses et al.15 says, as continuing education should be offered, indicating that it is necessary to provide training moments for all those involved in the educational process. The objective is to train an active professional, prepared for the exercise of teaching, mainly in order to meet the needs of understanding pedagogies, methodology and methods that favor a more critical, reflective learning, articulated with reality. However, when thinking about continuing education, our view aims at the institution and how much it supports them in this process:

[...] We have some training for teachers here at the Medical School, which I think was a very important advance (A1).

[...] an afternoon of training will not give a new meaning to the practice, so we are actually talking about a Permanent Education Policy at the university, in the institutions, so that I could learn something during a six-month training and apply it (B5).

It is necessary for the institutions to allow teachers to rethink and modify their educational practice, considering the need to train teachers capable of instructing critical, reflective and questioning subjects, in response to the needs of society15.

The improvement of teachers' training / development practices in the health area must be part of a broader institutional project, which implies assuming it as a permanent process26. When analyzing the teachers' reports, it was observed that neither of the two institutions promotes this training, providing their teachers with sporadic training only, without stimulating spaces for joint reflection on established pedagogical practices, or to reformulate these practices, much less to share experiences.

In agreement with Almeida and Batista26, that the management of medical schools must be carried out by leaders capable of planning and managing the curriculum, and ensuring that teaching and student activities are carried out with motivation and that the expected results are achieved, the following excerpt considers the following:

[...] doing the training and I think they have also to encourage the teaching staff, allowing the training of the staff, show the possibilities of both structural and physical resources, as well as human resources to support these methodologies and there is also the entire managerial issue, of putting some things regarding the question of the Political Pedagogical Plan, the Teaching Plan (A3).

FINAL CONSIDERATIONS

The current training of medical professionals recommended by the DCN-CGM-2014 requires curricular changes and pedagogical processes supported by ALMs in higher education institutions. In both assessed Medical Schools, the PPPs propose to train a doctor who develops critical and reflective thinking and “with the capacity to act at different levels of health care, with actions aimed at the promotion, prevention, recovery and rehabilitation of health, at the individual and collective level”11,12.

However, a contradiction was observed between what is proposed in the PPPs and what happens in the daily life of the assessed medical courses. Therefore, there is a discrepancy with the DCN-CGM:

“It is necessary for the institutions to allow teachers to rethink and modify their educational practice, considering the need to train teachers capable of instructing critical, reflective and questioning subjects, in response to the needs of society”15.

It can be observed that the changes in the guidelines have proposed changes in the characteristics of teachers and in the development of their pedagogical practices. However, many teachers have no experience with ALMs; they do not know how to use it in their daily teaching practice. Therefore, they end up using mixed processes, with ATLD and traditional teaching, which culminates in difficulties regarding their inclusion in the pedagogical process. All teachers report the important role of ALMs for student training but claim they still need to prepare themselves to put them into practice.

Therefore, the plans for teacher development anchored on permanent education will help to support the teachers on their needs and difficulties regarding training in ALMs, as well as offering a moment to exchange experiences about their daily practices.

There is a concern regarding what type of medical professional is being trained and whether curriculum guidelines are being met. It has been proven that ALMs are essential for the training of these professionals, mainly by encouraging students to have a critical reflective attitude, to learn from practice and, mainly, taking into account all the previous knowledge of these students, to encourage them to leave the university with the skill of ‘learning to learn’.

When analyzing the PPP of each of the studied universities, there was a discrepancy with what is proposed in the DCN-CGM, as the curricula of both institutions are still fragmented – U1 uses the traditional method, divided into disciplines, while U2 has a modular organization.

The PPP of both universities mentions the ALMs; however, when considering the teachers' experienced and observed practices, one can conclude that the classes assumed an expository format, with a predominantly traditional pedagogical reference. As there is a discrepancy between what is registered in the PPP and the classroom, it is up to the teachers to decide what type of methodology they will use in their pedagogical meetings, since the curriculum instituted in the two universities is not concretely structured on the ALMS, but on a traditional pedagogy that allows the use of ATLD. On the other hand, it is evident that the courses coordination supports and encourages ALMs but does not place them as the main methodology for student training. It was also observed that the PPP of U2 is more integrated, but, it is more traditional in terms of teacher training and teaching practice, in contrast to U1, which has a traditional curriculum regarding disciplines and teachers, with a more active practice, with more comprehensive institutional encouragement and support. This contradiction between theory (PPP) and practice (traditional curriculum, pedagogical process, training of teachers and medical students) makes it difficult and puts stress on teachers' teaching practice and the training of the future doctors, as demonstrated by the curriculum guidelines.

Thus, in addition to teacher training, there are other institutional actions that can be explored with the adoption of ALMs, especially those...
aimed at restructuring the PPP and continuous collaboration to provide teachers with the means to apply ALMs in their classes.

It is also observed that it is necessary to broaden the discussion on the topic, especially regarding the role of management and the pedagogical training necessary for the effectiveness of ALM-based teaching in health, which, even with all the guiding and restructuring guidelines of this teaching methodology, do not guarantee that the training takes place as recommended.

Therefore, universities should be encouraged to have a permanent educational project with the definition of which theoretical structure they will utilize, in which ALMs are placed as the main point in teaching practice, thus also contributing to rethink the training model and the pedagogical political project that is implemented.
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