Recent advances in the utilization of insects as an ingredient in aquafeeds: A review
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Abstract
The aquafeed industry continues to expand in response to the rapidly growing aquaculture sector. However, the identification of alternative protein sources in aquatic animal diets to replace conventional sources due to cost and sustainability issues remains a major challenge. Recently, insects have shown tremendous results as potential replacers of fishmeal in aquafeed. The present study aimed to review the utilization of insects in aquafeeds and their effects on aquatic animals’ growth and feed utilization, immune response and disease resistance, and fish flesh quality and safety. While many insect species have been investigated in aquaculture, the black soldier fly (Hermetia illucens), and the mealworm (Tenebrio molitor) are the most studied and most promising insects to replace fishmeal in aquafeed. Generally, insect rearing conditions and biomass processing methods may affect the product’s nutritional composition, digestibility, shelf life and required insect inclusion level by aquatic animals. Also, insect-recommended inclusion levels for aquatic animals vary depending on the insect species used, biomass processing method, and test organism. Overall, while an appropriate inclusion level of insects in aquafeed provides several nutritional and health benefits to aquatic animals, more studies are needed to...
establish optimum requirements levels for different aquaculture species at different stages of development and under different culture systems. © 2022 The Authors. Publishing services by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of KeAi Communications Co. Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

The global population is expected to reach nearly 10 billion people by 2050 (United Nations, 2019). Therefore, the food production sector, particularly animal protein production will play a critical role in food and nutrition security. In most global communities, fish is the cheapest and most readily available source of animal protein (Maulu et al., 2020). Aquaculture is not only the fastest-growing food production sector but also a major contributor to global food fish (Food and Agriculture Organization [FAO], 2020). Besides, aquaculture is the most sustainable and efficient way of producing aquatic products (FAO, 2020; Maulu et al., 2021a). However, the increasing costs of production in aquaculture due to the rising cost of feeding threaten the sustainability of the sector (Dawood, 2021). This is primarily caused by the overdependence of intensive aquaculture production on fishmeal and fish oils as major feed ingredients whose prices continue to rise due to declining production (Dawood, 2022; Hazreen-Nita et al., 2022). In addition, the food-feed-fuel competition for the limited resources under the current changing climatic conditions has drastically affected the availability of conventional feedstuffs such as fishmeal, soybean, and cereals, leading to a decline in availability and high volatility in feed ingredient prices (Mugwanya et al., 2022). Besides, plant-based ingredients have been reported to cause negative side effects in the gut of carnivorous fish due to the presence of anti-nutritional factors (Zhou et al., 2018) and complex indigestible carbohydrates (Gaudioso et al., 2021), consequently impacting growth and welfare of the fish. Therefore, recent studies have focused on evaluating potentially sustainable alternatives including insects (Li et al., 2019; Alves et al., 2021; Terova et al., 2021), bacteria (Maulu et al., 2021b; Li et al., 2021) and organic by-products (Mo et al., 2014; Cheng et al., 2017). Among these, insects have attracted the most attention due to their wide application in aquaculture and ease of production.

Insects are reported to contain high crude protein content of 34% to 74% dry matter, DM (Freccia et al., 2020; Gasco et al., 2020). However, most whole-insects contain 42% to 63.3% crude protein on a dry matter basis (Alves et al., 2022) with up to 74% reported when insect meal is defatted (Alfeldo et al., 2022). Additional nutritional value includes a well-balanced essential amino acid (EAA) profile resembling that of fishmeal, high lipid (10% to 30%), DM level (albeit high variability in fatty acid profiles), a good source of vitamins like vitamin B12 and some bio-available minerals like iron and zinc (Alegbeleye et al., 2012; Gasco et al., 2020). Furthermore, insect meal contains bioactive compounds (e.g., chitin, fatty acids and antimicrobial peptides) with prebiotic, antioxidant and antimicrobial properties that promote animal health and counteract antimicrobial resistance (Gasco et al., 2018; Veldkamp et al., 2022). However, the nutritional composition varies with insect species (DeFoliart, 1995; Barroso et al., 2014), the rearing process (Zarantoniello et al., 2020) and the production process of the protein (Ramos-Elorduy et al., 2002), suggesting that the proximate composition could be modified to suit specific requirements. For instance, defatting increases the protein content in insect meal (Alfeldo et al., 2022), while rearing the insects on substrate rich in n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) could increase the PUFA profile content in insects (Zarantoniello et al., 2020). Unlike fishmeal and plant-based protein, insects can be produced intensively within a short time with little need for arable land, reduced water consumption/utilization, lower greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, and bio-waste conversion (Gasco et al., 2020; Pulido-Rodríguez et al., 2021). Thus, insect farming is considered sustainable due to its low ecological footprint. Also, when used in diets with multiple ingredients including plant-based proteins, insects have shown potential to counteract the negative effects on growth and gut health in carnivorous species, which are usually common when fishmeal is replaced with plant protein (Randazzo et al., 2021; Pulido-Rodríguez et al., 2021; Gaudioso et al., 2021).

The unique properties of insects and their suitability for application in aquafeeds as fishmeal and fish oil replacement have become a hotspot for research in aquaculture. Numerous authors have reviewed the existing literature to identify findings that provide a map for future development. Most of these studies have focused on the nutritional composition of different insects used in aquafeeds, their production technology, and prospects (Henry et al., 2015; Nogales-Merida et al., 2018; Ameixa et al., 2020; Gasco et al., 2020; Oonincx and Finke, 2021; Alfeldo et al., 2022). Others have further highlighted the effect of insects in aquafeed on aquatic animals (Wang and Shelomi, 2017; Freccia et al., 2020; Hawkey et al., 2021). English et al. (2021) reviewed the advancements in the production methods for BSF and their application in salmonids, while Priyadarshana et al. (2021) reviewed the application of BSF meal focussing on growth performance and body composition in finfish. Mousavi et al. (2020) reviewed the functional properties of insects focussing on their immunomodulatory and physiological effects on aquatic animals. Other reviews, such as those of Zarantoniello et al. (2020) and Shafique et al. (2021) only focussed on a single insect species and its effect on fish. Hodar et al. (2020) broadly looked at a range of alternative protein alternatives (including insects) as potential fishmeal and fish oil replacement in aquafeeds while Liland et al. (2021) performed a meta-analysis on the nutritional value of insects in aquafeeds. More recently, Alfeldo et al. (2022) reviewed the status and trends in the application of insects in fish feeds. In this study, we provide a more comprehensive overview of the most recent advances in the utilization of insects as a promising aquafeed ingredient. This paper attempts to bridge the gap in the existing literature by presenting information on the response of different aquaculture species to insect-based feeds and opportunities for further improvement.

2. Insect species utilized in aquafeed

Nowadays, insects are viewed as the most promising and sustainable source of animal protein mainly because of their nutritional value, amino acid composition and ease of propagation (Iaconisi et al., 2019; Gasco et al., 2016, 2020; Biancarosa et al., 2019; Tilami et al., 2020; Were et al., 2021). Many of these insects have shown beneficial conversion factors and productivity, fast life cycles and the ability to grow on a variety of available substrates, yielding high quality and readily assimilated proteins and highly unsaturated fatty acids (HUFA), as well as vitamins and functional compounds (Tacon and Metian, 2008; Gasco et al., 2016; Turek-nd/4.0/).
et al., 2020; Shafique et al., 2021). Consequently, some have been incorporated into aquafeed formulations for different aquatic species, yielding interesting results. The most promising insect species whose meal has been used to replace fish meal and/or fish oils include the black soldier fly (BSF, Hermetia illucens), the yellow mealworm (TM, Tenebrio molitor) and the common housefly (MD, Musca domestica) (Belforti et al., 2015; Gasco et al., 2020; Iaconisi et al., 2019; Sogari et al., 2020). So far, BSF, TM and MD have well-documented production processes. Although some of the insects like the house fly are known to be parasitic and disease vectors, other species like the BSF are considered symbiotic (Menino and Murta, 2021) as they can be propagated without causing any known harm to humans. Unlike animals, the feed conversion rate and GHG emissions of insects are much lower in a certain temperature range since insects do not use energy to maintain their body temperature in a strict range (Belforti et al., 2015). Irrespective of the different methods of propagation and production of different species, insects have shown promising results for potential use as a protein and oil source in aquafeed.

Many studies have revealed that insect meals and oil can partially or completely replace the fish and soybean meals and oils that are commonly used in aquaculture production (Henry et al., 2016; Nogales-Menda et al., 2018; Favole et al., 2020; Tilami et al., 2020; Xu et al., 2020a; Hender et al., 2021). Insects such as the BSF have been extensively studied, not only in fish culture but also in poultry and swine (Sogari et al., 2019). In aquaculture, many studies have revealed positive results when BSF meal was used as a substitute for fish meal for many species such as whiteleg shrimp (Litopenaeus vannamei) (Richardson et al., 2021), barramundi (Lates calcarifer) (Hender et al., 2021), climbing perch (Anabas testudineus) (Mapanao et al., 2021), Nile tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus) (Were et al., 2021), African catfish (Clarias gariepinus) (Favole et al., 2020), Japanese sea bass (Lateolabrax japonicus) (Wang et al., 2019), Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) (Lock et al., 2016; Stenberg et al., 2019), Siberian sturgeon (Acipenser baerii) (Zarantonello et al., 2021), gilthead sea bream (Sparus aurata) (Randazzo et al., 2021), clownfish (Amphiprion ocellaris) (Vargas-Álvarez et al., 2019) and in rainbow trout (Oncorhyncus mykiss) (Cardinaletti et al., 2019) to produce food fish. In the aforementioned species, BSF improved various growth parameters as well as the immune response to some diseases affecting aquatic species. Also, TM has shown positive results when utilized in the diets of many aquatic species, such as yellow catfish (Su et al., 2017), gilthead seabream (Fabrikov et al., 2021), largemouth bass (Micropterus salmoides) (Su et al., 2022), seabass (Dicentrarchus labrax) (Reyes et al., 2020), narrow-clawed crayfish (Pontastacus leptodactylus) (Mazlum et al., 2021), olive flounder (Paralichthys olivaceus) (Jeong et al., 2021), black porgy (Acanthopagrus schlegelii) (Jeong et al., 2022) and rainbow trout (Su et al., 2017; Melenchon et al., 2021). Furthermore, TM has a relatively high nutritional value as well as being a rich source of essential amino acids (methionine), lipids and fatty acids, that vary based on the developmental stage of the larvae (Shafique et al., 2021).

Other species of insects that have yielded promising results in aquatic animals include the superworm (Zophobas morio) in Nile tilapia (Alves et al., 2021) and the silkworm (Bombyx mori) in Pacific white shrimp (L. vannamei) (Rahimnejad et al., 2019) as well as its application in many other cultured fish and shrimp species (Sankian et al., 2018; Feng et al., 2019; Ido et al., 2019; Su et al., 2017). Despite limited information existing, the nutritional properties of insects for use in aquafeed are likely to vary across and within aquaculture species depending on developmental stage, culture media and rearing conditions (Liu et al., 2017; Yu et al., 2021). Overall, the proximate composition of most insects decreases with advancement in the developmental stage.

3. Insect biomass production and processing for aquafeed

The conversion of insects into aquafeed ingredients is an important step that determines their required level and effectiveness in aquatic animals. With the discovery of insects and their potential for replacing fishmeal in animal feeds, there is a danger that natural harvests could have serious biodiversity conservation-related issues. Hence, the mass production of insects for commercial-scale industry from agricultural organic residues and biowaste for feed purposes or food is a promising and sustainable approach (Varelas, 2019). However, due to variations that occur during culturing under controlled environments for insect mass production, the nutritional value is also expected to vary (Varelas, 2019). For example, Cortes Ortiz et al. (2016) noted that the artificial diets required by insects differ not only in presentation, from liquid to solid, but also in nutritive value, the feeding adaptation of the insect, insect species and the pre-manufacture method. Additionally, insects have been reported to have variable fatty acid profiles, particularly having a low level of PUFAs. PUFAs have important health benefits in humans and are required for optimal growth and development in children (Maulu et al., 2021c). Therefore, it is important to incorporate PUFAs enrichment methods such as rearing diet modifies or in-feeding rich substrates as demonstrated by Zanatta et al. (2020), Erbland et al. (2020) reported that insects can accumulate eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) by modifying their rearing substrates. The authors supplemented a chicken feed diet with increasing concentrations of salmon oil (0% to 42%) to the substrate over an increasing number of days (0 to 8 d) to improve the concentration of omega-3 fatty acids in BSF larvae. The accumulation of EPA and DHA was achieved in BSF and TM larvae by feeding the insects with round sardine (Sardinella aurita) Valencianennes, 1847 and black-spot seabream (Pogellus bogaraveo, Brünich, 1768) discards. Similar findings were reported by Romero-Lorente et al. (2022) in larvae of TM, however, the authors suggested that longer pre-treatment, for 5 days, would be required. Tirtawijaya and Choi (2021) fortified BSF larvae substrate with squid liver at different concentrations of 2.5% to 20% and a concentration of 5% was reportedly required to achieve a better accumulation of EPA and DHA in the insect. Very long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids (VLCPUFAs) could be altered in BSF larvae by modifying the diet of the insect (Barroso et al., 2017). Overall, these studies indicated the possibility of improving the nutritional value of insects for use in aquafeed by modifying their rearing conditions. However, the fatty acid composition of the substrate and the weight of the insect larvae are determinants of the fatty acid profile (Ewald et al., 2020).

In a review by Varelas (2019), the different methods of insect biomass production from food waste are presented in more detail. For insects to remain a sustainable protein source in aquafeed, low-cost production technology for commercial industries is very crucial. Thus, various biomass processing methods have recently been reviewed. The most recent one is that of Parniak et al. (2021) where a comprehensive overview of insect biomass processing methods is discussed.

So far, several parts of insects, including meal (Iio et al., 2019), the pulp (Xu et al., 2020b), paste (Weththasinghe et al., 2021), frass (Yildirim-Aksoy et al., 2020) and oil (Kumar et al., 2021) have been used as ingredients in aquafeed. However, the meal, either full-fat or defatted is the most commonly used form of insects in aquafeed. For example, the BSF is processed mainly into a dry meal using partially defatted or in-feeding rich substrates (English et al., 2021). In yellowtail (Seriola quinqueradiata), feeding the fish with completely defatted BSF larvae meal enhanced the growth of the fish compared with using partially defatted larvae (Iio et al., 2021). Therefore, defatting insects before milling for inclusion in aquafeed could
yield better results in many fish species. For commercial use, BSF is processed using technologies like drying ovens (Dortmans et al., 2017) while defatting is achieved using an oil press or centrifuge (English et al., 2021). Drying at the right temperature is important particularly for storage purposes as it prevents microbial activity from affecting the nutritional value of the product (Dortmans et al., 2017). Defatting is recommended because it renders a product with high protein content and low moisture which is ideal for feeding and storage (Dortmans et al., 2017). As BSF larvae are usually dried at high temperatures (>90 °C), there are concerns that there will be a decrease in the nutrient (protein) digestibility coefficient which could negatively affect fish growth (Wetthasinghe et al., 2021; Xu et al., 2020a). However, few studies have comprehensively investigated the effect of processing such as drying temperature and pressure of partially defatted, fully defatted, or full-fat BSF larvae meals in diets for fish (English et al., 2021). Therefore, further research is needed in that regard. Most studies simply investigate the effect of one processing method e.g., partial defatting in comparison to the fishmeal control diet, although they try to regulate temperature below 90 °C when drying the larvae. The TM larvae can be fed fresh (Henry et al., 2015) or prepared as a meal by oven drying, sun drying or freeze-drying the larvae before grinding. As with BSF, processing of TM by defatting or utilizing it with full fat could affect acceptability and consequently maximum inclusion levels in diets (Shaﬁque et al., 2011). However, defatted TM has been reported to provide the benefit of increased protein content and a more stable pelleting process of the feed (Shaﬁque et al., 2021). Other insects such as the MD and earthworm (Perionyx excavatus) could be processed by boiling in hot water followed by drying in an oven before being milled (Gbai et al., 2018). Interestingly, the mopane worm (Imbrasia belina) has been processed by first gutting it before boiling in brine and later sun-drying in preparation for grinding into a meal (Rapatsa and Moyo, 2017). Although degutting is mainly used for preservation purposes, the authors observed that the plant matter in the gut of the mopane worm could contain amylase activity. Further studies are necessary to investigate the effect of degutting the mopane worm before use in aquafeed. Different processing methods and recommended levels of different insect meals are summarized in Table 1.

4. Utilization in aquafeeds

4.1. Recommended levels in diets for aquatic animals

The incorporation of insects in aquafeed has been investigated and is considered a breakthrough in the efforts to replace fishmeal in many aquaculture species. Currently, a very limited number of studies have determined the optimal requirement levels of insect meals in aquafeed (Katya et al., 2017; Shekarabi et al., 2021; Tippayadara et al., 2021). What is available are mostly recommended levels based on the results yielded from insect meal inclusion in the diets mainly as replacements for fishmeal. The results reported so far regarding insect incorporation levels in aquafeeds have shown conflicting results depending on factors such as fish species, growth stage, feed formulation, insect biomass processing method and dietary administration period. A recent review of the meta-analysis studies on the nutritional value of insects in aquafeed indicates a high degree of variation regarding the maximum inclusion levels of insects in aquafeed based on these factors (Liland et al., 2021). Hence, the authors observed that 20% to 30% could be the maximum range for insect meal inclusion levels without adverse effects. Also, whether the diet is plant-based or animal-based appears to influence the insect requirement level of different species. Earlier reviews predominantly focused on inclusion levels of insect meals in freshwater species (Henry et al., 2015) but recent reviews are broadening the scope to include marine species (English et al., 2021; Priyardarshana et al., 2021). This is because of the growing evidence that insect meal requirement levels between freshwater and marine aquaculture species could vary. However, there are no studies that have critically compared this in aquatic animals even though it is obvious that the nutritional requirements between the two are different. In some marine fish species, such as the European seabass (D. labrax), optimal growth had previously not been achieved by replacing fishmeal with full-fat insect meal at levels higher than 50% (Basto et al., 2021). This was attributed to n-3 long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids (LC-PUFA) deficiencies (<0.7% DM) at higher fishmeal replacement levels (Skalli and Robin, 2004). A recent study by Basto et al. (2021), however, showed that up to 80% (360 g/kg) of fishmeal could be replaced by TM in the diet of D. labrax fingerlings without detrimental effects on growth and nutrient digestibility. As aquaculture is a diverse industry in terms of cultured species and their developmental stages, production systems used and culture conditions, more studies are required to investigate insect meal requirement levels in aquatic animals.

Most of the progress made in the utilization of insects in aquafeed has focused on replacing fishmeal due to rising costs and sustainability issues. As such, only a few existing studies have investigated the effect of replacing fishmeal at different levels in the diets of aquatic animals with a view to partially or fully replace fishmeal. This has been done either by combining some insect species (Hoffmann et al., 2021) or singly, with amino acids supplemented to meet the EAA requirements of fish (Chemello et al., 2020). However, when used in combination, Hoffmann et al. (2021) reported that the type of insect meal had a crucial impact on fish growth and feed utilization parameters. In their study, the authors noted that combinations of full-fat larval stage TM and BSF meal performed better than combinations of imago stage tropical house cricket (Gryllodes sigillatus) and Turkestan cockroach (Blatta lateralis) in diets of sea trout (Salmo trutta) larvae. In Eurasian perch (Perca fluviatilis), fingerlings fed an experimental diet containing a combination of 50 g/kg house cricket and 50 g/kg of superworm (25% fishmeal replacement) had significantly lower growth compared to the control (Tilami et al., 2020). This was attributed to several factors including reduced feed intake (palatability), presence of chitin and oxidized fat. Insects have also been used singly or in combination with other ingredients to replace plant-based proteins in animal diets. For instance, BSF inclusion at 324 g/kg (47% replacement of vegetable mix) and BSF and protein by-product meal (PBM) inclusion levels at 81 and 206 g/kg, respectively (49% replacement of vegetable mix), led to faster growth of gilthead sea bream in comparison to the vegetable mix and fishmeal only controls (Randazzo et al., 2021). This study is of interest because while it is important to look at studies in which insect meals are used to replace fishmeal in aquafeeds; it is also beneficial to compare the effects with insect replacement in commonly utilized plant-based diets.

The presence of chitin in insect meals could have beneficial effects on fish by shaping the gut microbial community and boosting the innate immune response when incorporated at moderate quantities ranging from 25 to 50 mg/kg (Esteban et al., 2001; Bruni et al., 2018). On the other hand, the effect of higher inclusion levels of insect meal has been reported to yield negative results in most species, and this has been associated with the increased level of chitin at higher levels (Kroecel et al., 2012; Renna et al., 2017). For instance, BSF larvae meal incorporated at 400 g/kg (corresponding to a chitin level of 2 g/100 g DM) was reported to reduce dry matter and crude protein digestibility but did not affect growth in rainbow trout (O. mykiss) (Renna et al., 2017). BSF pre-pupae meal incorporated in diets of juvenile turbot (Psetta maxima) at levels higher
# Table 1

Maximum recommended levels of insect meal for inclusion in aquafeed based on different insect biomass processing methods.

| Fish species          | Initial weight, g | Insect meal                     | Processing method                        | Recommended (Fish meal replacement) | Administration period | References          |
|-----------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| Red seabream (P. major) | 24.9 ± 0.71       | Yellow mealworm larvae          | Ground and defatted                      | 650 g/kg (100%)                    | 4 wk                   | Ido et al. (2019)   |
| Seabass (D. labrax)   | 10.7              | Black soldier fly (BSF, H. illucens) larvae | Larvae fed on a broiler diet           | 109 g/kg (30%)                     | 49 d                   | Reyes et al. (2020) |
| Rockfish (S. schlegeli) | 3.11 ± 0.01       | Defatted BSF                    | Air-dried and ground                    | 200 g/kg (40%)                     | 71 d                   | Caimi et al. (2020b) |
| Pacific white shrimp (L. vannamani) | 1.55 ± 0.5 | Defatted TM larvae               | Oven dried, ground, and dried           | 205 g/kg (100%)                    | 8 wk                   | Motte et al. (2019) |
| White shrimp (L. vannamei) | 2.39 ± 0.49       | Partially defatted or full defatted TM larvae | Oven dried and milled                  | 100 g/kg (50%)                     | 8 wk                   | Choi et al. (2018)  |
| Sea trout (S. trutta) | 5.08 ± 0.9        | BM larvae                       | Hydrolyzed                              | 100 g/kg (42%)                     | 8 wk                   | Mikolajczak et al. (2020) |
| Nile tilapia (O. niloticus) | 14.77 ± 2.09 | BM larvae                       | Dried in hot air and ground             | 100 g/kg (100%)                    | 12 wk                  | Tippayadara et al. (2021) |
| Mandarin fish (Siniperca scherzi) | 20.8 ± 0.05 | MD larvae meal                  | Oven dried and milled                   | 330 g/kg (75%)                     | 10 wk                  | Wang et al. (2017)  |
| Butter catfish (Ompok pabda) | 0.6             | Earthworm meal (Perionyx excavates) | Boiled, oven-dried, and ground          | 260.4 g/kg (75%)                   | 8 wk                   | Chakraborty et al. (2021) |
| Asian sea bass (Lates calcarifer) | 12.52 ± 0.52 | Defatted superworm larvae (Zophobas morio) | Ground, defatted, oven-dried, and reground | 120 g/kg (44%)                     | 12 wk                  | Prachom et al. (2021) |
| Mozambique tilapia (Oreochromis mossambicus) | 40 ± 2.5 | Mopane worm (Imbrasia belina) | Gutted, cooked in brine & sundried    | 24 g/kg (60%)                      | 51 d                   | Rapatsa and Moyo (2017) |
| African catfish (Clarias gariepinus) | 4.00 ± 0.8 | Field cricket (Gryllus bimaculatus) meal | Oven-dried and ground                   | 300 g/kg (100%)                    | 56 d                   | Taufek et al. (2018) |
| Red seabream (P. major) | 10.4              | Defatted MD larvae meal         | Boiled, air-dried and milled            | 700 g/kg (100%)                    | 4 wk                   | Hashizume et al. (2019) |
|                        | 12.8              | Defatted MD larvae meal         | Boiled, air-dried and milled            | 400 g/kg (100%)                    | 4 wk                   | Hashizume et al. (2019) |
than 332 g/kg (chitin level ranging from 47 to 73 g/kg DM) led to reduced feed intake and feed conversion and subsequently reduced growth (Kroekel et al., 2017). According to Soetemans et al. (2020), the crystalline nature of chitin present in some insects is what limits its utilization in aquafeed. Wang et al. (2020) found that this crystalline nature increases with the advance in developmental state of insects, particularly BSF from larvae to adults. For example, in Siberian sturgeon juveniles, the inclusion of highly defatted BSF meal from 185 to 375 g/kg (25% to 50% fishmeal replacement; 0.72 to 1.52 g/100 g chitin in feed) reduced the feed intake and apparent digestibility coefficient (ADC) of protein, while inclusion at 750 g/kg (100% fishmeal replacement; 3.75 g/100 g chitin in feed) led to complete rejection of the feed (Cairn et al., 2020a). Feeding sea trout (S. trutta) fingerlings with hydrolyzed TM at an inclusion level of at least 100 g/kg (9.3 g/kg chitin in feed; 42% fishmeal replacement) resulted in a significantly reduced protein efficiency ratio (Mikołajczak et al., 2020). However, whether insect biomass processing methods affect the chitin content in the meal is not yet clear and as such, further studies are required. Although Gasco et al. (2018) reported that the content level of chitin can be reduced through the extraction process or dietary enzyme inclusion to improve its digestibility, appropriate technologies have not yet been fully applied. Jayanegara et al. (2017) were able to completely remove chitin from cricket (Gryllus assimilis) by chemical digestion while reducing chitin levels from 7.7% dry matter to 3.5% by exoskeleton removal.

Besides the presence of chitin, negative effects observed in aquatic animals when insect meals are incorporated in aquafeeds can be attributed to lower levels of fatty acids in the diets in comparison to the fishmeal control diet (Zarantoniello et al., 2021). Insects have been reported to have lower levels of n-3 PUFA (Zarantoniello et al., 2020) and therefore without sufficient enrichment processes in the insect rearing process, this might translate to lower n-3 PUFA levels in the aquafeeds. For instance, in a study by Zarantoniello et al. (2021), diets in which 50% fishmeal was replaced by BSF had significantly lower n-3 fatty acids. Consequently, Siberian sturgeon fed these diets had significantly lower growth and specific growth rate than those fed the control diet. According to the authors, the fish spent energy converting linoleic acid and α-linolenic acid to EPA and DHA instead of utilizing the energy all for growth. Additionally, the authors reported lower diet acceptance in the fish-fed diets containing 50% insect meal thus, requirement levels might be affected by the palatability of the diets (Zarantoniello et al., 2021). However, several studies have shown that the absence of n-3 long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids (LCPUFA) in terrestrial insects can be alleviated by feeding insects with diets rich in n-3 LCPUFA (Barroso et al., 2017; Fabrikov et al., 2020, 2021; Tirtawijaya and Choi, 2021).

In other studies, the negative effects when aquatic animals are fed with higher dietary levels of insect meal were attributed to the presence of non-protein nitrogen in some insects, which could lead to the overestimation of protein (Janssen et al., 2017). Nevertheless, the recommended levels of different insect meals under different processing methods for different fish species are presented in Table 1 below.

## 4.2. Effects of insect meal on aquatic animals

### 4.2.1. Growth and feed utilization

The growth performance and feed utilization effects of several insects have been studied in aquaculture. These include BSF (Fawole et al., 2020; Peng et al., 2021b), yellow mealworm (T. molitor) (Sankian et al., 2018), housefly (M. domestica) (Hashizume et al., 2019), mopane worm (I. belina) (Rapatsa and Moyo 2017), chironomid (Roncarati et al., 2019) and cricket (G. bismaculatus) (Taifek et al., 2016), with BSF being the most studied insect in aquaculture. Insects can be utilized either as dry meals (Jeong et al., 2021; Kamarudin et al., 2021), pulps (Peng et al., 2021a, 2021b), or oils (Belghit et al., 2018; Xu et al., 2020a; Abu Bakar et al., 2021). For example, Fawole et al. (2020) carried out a 60-day experiment to examine the effect of fish meal substitution with BSF larvae meal at 25%, 50% and 75% on the growth performance, nutrient utilization and health parameters of African catfish (C. gariepinus). This study discovered that black soldier fly larvae meal at 50% presented the highest final body weight, weight gain and specific growth rate compared to other groups. Feed conversion ratio, protein efficiency ratio and protein productive value were better in fish fed 50% BSF larvae meal (Fawole et al., 2020). According to Kamarudin et al. (2021), a black soldier pre-pupa meal inclusion level of 75% was needed to increase the growth performance of lemon fin barb hybrid fingerlings. A study by Belghit et al. (2019) indicated that a total replacement of fish meal with BSF meal was possible in Atlantic salmon (S. salar) without compromising their growth and nutrient digestibility. Furthermore, the dietary inclusion of black soldier fly pulp reportedly improved the growth performance of largemouth bass (M. salmoides) (Peng et al., 2021a, 2021b). Xu et al. (2020a) compared the dietary effect of TM and silkworm oils on the growth and other metabolic parameters of the juvenile mirror carp (Cyprinus carpio). The results showed that BSF oil alone or in combination with two of the other insect oils in fish diets significantly enhanced the growth and feed utilization of the fish.

TM is the second most widely studied insect in aquaculture after BSF, with the potential to be utilized as an optional protein ingredient in aquafeed. A study by Rema et al. (2019) reported that graded inclusion of defatted TM increased the growth and feed utilization of rainbow trout (O. mykiss) and showed the potential to completely replace fish meal. Improved growth and feed utilization parameters were also reported in freshwater prawns (Macrobrachium rosenbergii) (Feng et al., 2019) and mandarin (Siniperca scherzeri) (Sankian et al., 2018) fed TM diets. On the contrary, no significant effect on the growth and feed utilization parameters was observed when mealworm was used to partially substitute fish meal at 25% and 50% for 131 days in blackspot seabream (P. bogaraveo) (Iaconisi et al., 2017). The same was reported in O. mykiss (Iaconisi et al., 2018) and yellow catfish (Pelteobagrus fulvidraco) (Su et al., 2017). However, negative effects on growth performance and feed utilization of TM were reported in some fish species (Coutinho et al., 2021; Jeong et al., 2021). These findings may call for better processing of the ingredient and the need for further studies to optimize this ingredient in aquaculture.

Furthermore, the housefly (M. domestica) (Hashizume et al., 2019), mopane worm (I. belina) (Rapatsa and Moyo, 2017), chironomid (Roncarati et al., 2019) and cricket (G. bismaculatus) (Taifek et al., 2016) are some of the insects that showed potential to be used as protein ingredients to improve fish growth, however, more research is deemed important.

### 4.2.2. Antioxidant capacity

The effect of insect utilization in aquafeed on the antioxidant capacity of fish has been reported in numerous studies with promising results. A summary of the results reported by different studies is presented in Table 2. However, the results vary depending on the insect species and parts used in aquafeed. For example, dietary insect (such as BSF) meal as a replacement for fishmeal showed deleterious effects on the transcription of antioxidant enzymes and stress-related genes in the leukocytes of the head kidney (Stenberg et al., 2019). In the African catfish, substituting fishmeal with BSF at 75% did not impair the antioxidant status of
| Insect species       | Used part     | Aquaculture species                     | Fish weight, g | Period | Inclusion level, % | Effect                                                                                           | References                  |
|----------------------|---------------|----------------------------------------|----------------|--------|--------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Black soldier fly (Hermetia illucens) Frass | Hybrid tilapia, Nile × Mozambique (Oreocromis niloticus × O. mozambique) | 2.6 ± 0.04 | 12 wk | 5 to 30 | Improved protein efficiency, serum complement activity and resistance against Flavobacterium columnare and Streptococcus iniae | Yildirim-Aksoy et al. (2020) |
| Meal                | Rice field eel (Monopterus albus) | 24.0 ± 0.02 | 10 wk | 15.78  | Improved growth performance and gut microbiota balance                       | Hu et al. (2020) |
| Meal                | Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) | 17.5 ± 7.5  | 8 wk  | 66 to 100 | Down-regulation of stress and antioxidant-related gene expression in the leucocytes. | Stenberg et al. (2019) |
| Defatted meal        | Japanese seabass (Lateolabrax japonicus) | 14.1 ± 0.17 | 8 wk  | 64     | Enhanced feed intake but lowered serum properties, blood lipid and inhibited lipid deposition | Wang et al. (2019) |
| Meal                | African catfish (Clarias gariepinus) | 4.0 ± 0.01  | 60 d  | 50     | Improved growth performance and feed utilization and antioxidant enzymes.     | Fawole et al. (2020) |
| Meal                | European sea bass (Dicentrarchus labrax) | 50.0 ± 0.50 | 62 d  | 22.5   | Reduced lipid oxidation in the fillet                                       | Moutinho et al. (2021) |
| Meal                | Rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) | 32.0        | 10 wk | 8 to16 | Successful prevention of soybean meal (SBM)-induced enteritis in the intestine and enhanced immune response | Kumar et al. (2021) |
| Oil                 | Rainbow trout | 32.0        | 10 wk | 16     | Improved serum-peroxidase activity and upregulation of kidney interleukin-8 (IL-8), tumour necrosis factor (TNF), and interferon regulatory factor 1 (IFN-γ) | Kumar et al. (2021) |
| Meal                | Pre-smolt Atlantic salmon | 49.0 ± 1.50 | 8 wk  | 85     | Reduced the deposition of excess lipids in the pyloric caeca and stimulated xenobiotic metabolism. | Li et al. (2019) |
| Meal                | Rainbow trout | 137.0 ± 10.50 | 98 d  | 50     | Activation of immune related genes such as interleukin 10 (IL-10), TNF-α and toll-like receptor 5 (TLR-5) | Cardinaletti et al. (2019) |
| Meal                | Pacific white shrimp (Litopenaeus vannamei) | 0.67 ± 0.15 | 4 wk  | 7.5    | Improved weight gain, feed conversion ratio (FCR) and specific growth rate (SGR) | Richardson et al. (2021) |
| Meal                | Barramundi (Lates calcarifer) | 1.74 ± 0.15 | 42 d  | 30     | Improved growth and feed utilization, bactericidal activity and upregulation of immune-related genes such as interleukin 1 (IL-1) and IL-10 | Hender et al. (2021) |
| Oil                 | Barramundi   | 1.74 ± 0.15 | 42 d  | 30     | Enhanced growth performance and upregulation of immune-related genes (IL-1 and IL-10) | Hender et al. (2021) |
| Partially defatted meal | Rainbow trout | 178.9 ± 9.81 | 78 d  | 50     | Sensitivity and modulation of intestinal bacterial community and structure. | Bruni et al. (2018) |
| Meal                | Atlantic salmon | 49.0 ± 1.5 | 8 wk  | 60     | Modulation of intestinal microbiota, enrichment of beneficial bacteria | Li et al. (2021) |
| Meal                | Atlantic salmon | 1400 ± 43  | 16 wk | 15     | Improved microbial richness and diversity related to immune responses and barrier function in the distal intestine | Li et al. (2021) |
| Oil                 | Mirror carp (Cyprinus carpio var. specularis) | 2.74  | 8 wk  | 50 to 100 | Enhanced growth and feed utilization and health parameters | Xu et al. (2020a) |
| Meal                | Atlantic Salmon | 34     | 7 wk  | 12.5   | Reduction in enterocyte steatosis in pyloric caeca improved distal intestine histology and enhanced plasma lysozyme content | Weththasinghe et al. (2021) |
| Meal                | Rainbow trout | 201.8 ± 13.9 | 5 wk  | 30     | Increased diversity and modulation of gut bacteria composition | Huuben et al. (2019) |
| Pulp                | Mirror carp | 13.68 ± 0.02 | 8 wk  | 50     | Decreased whole-body lipid content and increased antioxidant enzyme activity | |
| Meal                | Species                  | Treatment | Duration | Effect                                                                                                    |
|---------------------|--------------------------|-----------|----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Meal                | Rainbow trout            | 100       | 131 d    | Modulation of the gut microbial community by enhancing the abundance of bacteria taxa related to fish health | Rimoldi et al. (2021) |
| Meal                | Baltic prawn (Palaemon adspersu) | 0.49 ± 0.1 | 60 d    | Improved growth performance and survival                                                                   | Mastoraki et al. (2020) |
| Meal                | Siberian sturgeon (Acipenser baerii) | 640 ± 3.9  | 60 d    | Improved gut microbiota composition and intestinal morphology but reduced mucosa thickness in the gastrointestinal tract. Lowered diet acceptance results in lowered growth and survival, decreased hepatic lipids and glycogen content, adverse effects on gut histology, but with a higher hepatic heat shock protein 70.1 (hsp70.1) gene expression | Józefiak et al. (2019b) Zarantoniello et al. (2021) |
| Meal                | Siberian sturgeon        | 60 d      | 50       |                                                                                                            | Józefiak et al. (2019a) |
| Meal                | Rainbow trout            | 53.4 ± 3.74 | 71 d     | Improved growth performance and an increased count of beneficial bacteria in the intestine                  |                                 |
| Defatted meal       | Pacific white shrimp (L. vannamei) | 0.2 ± 0.02  | 8 wk    | Improved digestibility, antioxidant capacity and reduced molting time. Improved growth performance, immune response, disease resistance against Lactococcus garvieae, and Aeromonas hydrophila. Improved growth and feed conversion ratio, enhanced resistance against early mortality syndrome (Vibrio parahaemolyticus) | Rahimnejad et al. (2019) Mote et al. (2019) Chemello et al. (2020) Antonopoulou et al. (2019) |
| Meal                | Giant river prawn (Macrobrachium rosenbergii) | 3.26 ± 0.13  | 10 wk   | Reduced apparent digestibility of crude protein Establishment of novel nutritional niches in the gut | Piccolo et al. (2017) |
| Defatted meal       | Pacific white shrimp     | 1.5 to 1.6 | 8 wk     | Improved growth and feed conversion ratio, enhanced resistance against early mortality syndrome (Vibrio parahaemolyticus) |                                      |
| Partially defatted meal | Rainbow trout (Sparus aurata) | 78.3 ± 6.24 | 154 d    | Reduced apparent digestibility of crude protein Establishment of novel nutritional niches in the gut |                                 |
| Meal                | Gilthead seabream        | 105.2 ± 0.17 | 163 d   | Best final weight, specific growth rate, weight gain, protein efficiency ratio and a lower feed conversion ratio |                                 |
| Meal                | European sea bass        | 5.2 ± 0.82  | 70 d     | Establishment of novel nutritional niches in the gut                                                                 |                                 |
| Meal                | Rainbow trout            | 115.2 ± 14.21 | 90 d     | Improved specialized gut bacterial community Increased resistance against pathogenic Edwardsiella tarda bacteria |                                 |
| Meal                | Red seabream (Pargus major) | 30.4       | 8 wk     |                                                                                                            |                                 |
| Meal                | Rainbow trout            | 115.6 ± 14  | 90 d     | Increased activity of the antioxidant enzymes in the intestine and reduction of lipid peroxidation. Also increased antibacterial activity of the serum |                                 |
| Meal                | European sea bass        | 65.3 ± 5.7 | 6 wk     | Enhanced lysozyme antibacterial activity and serum trypsin inhibition linked to the anti-parasite activity of the fish. Reduction in some essential amino acids (Ala, Ile, Leu, and Lys). |                                 |
| Meal                | Rainbow trout            | 105.2 ± 0.17 | 163 d   |                                                                                                            |                                 |
| Meal                | Rainbow trout            | 1.11 ± 0.01 | 8 wk     |                                                                                                            |                                 |
| Meal                | Baltic prawn (P. adspersu) | 0.49 ± 0.1  | 60 d    | Improved growth performance and lysozyme activities                                                      |                                 |
| Meal                | Siberian sturgeon        | 640 ± 3.9  | 60 d     |                                                                                                            |                                 |
| Meal                | Rainbow trout            | 78.3 ± 6.24 | 22 wk    | Improved growth performance and survival                                                                   | Terova et al. (2021) |

(continued on next page)
| Insect species                           | Used part | Aquaculture species                  | Fish weight, g | Period | Inclusion level, % | Effect                                                                 | References                      |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------|--------------------------------------|----------------|--------|-------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Meal                                    | Mandarin fish (*Siniperca scherzeri*) | 20.8 ± 0.05                      | 8 wk           | 30     | Improved growth and feed efficiency and enhanced serum lysozyme and glutathione peroxidase (GPx) activities. | Sankian et al. (2018)            |
| Meal                                    | Yellow catfish (*Pelteobagrus fulvidraco*) | 10.0 ± 0.03                       | 5 wk           | 18     | Enhanced immune response and disease resistance against *Edwardsiella ictaluri* | Su et al. (2017)                |
| Meal                                    | Rainbow trout             | 53.4 ± 3.74                      | 71 d           | 20     | Improved growth performance, reduced villus height and increased count of beneficial bacteria in the intestine | Józefiak et al. (2019a)          |
| Meal                                    | White shrimp               | 2.39 ± 0.49                      | 8 wk           | 100    | Enhanced the weight gain, specific growth rate and feed conversion rate | Choi et al. (2018)              |
| Meal                                    | Narrow-clawed crayfish (*Pontastacus leptodactylus*) | 0.011 ± 0.002                  | 80 d           | 50     | Improved weight gain, specific growth rate, protein efficiency ratio, apparent net protein utilization, molting frequency, and feed conservation ratio, but lower survival rate as compared to the other diet groups. Also improved protein and lipid content of the whole body | Mazlum et al. (2021)            |
| Meal                                    | Black porgy, (*Acanthopagrus schlegelii*) | 6.43 ± 0.00                      | 12 wk          | 60     | Improved serum lysozyme activity and upregulation of antioxidant enzyme-related genes but with declined fillet lipid content | Jeong et al. (2022)             |
| Superworm larvae (*Zophobas morio*)    | Meal                   | Nile tilapia                      | 3.00 ± 0.2     | 12 wk  | Enhanced innate immune parameters (thrombocytes and neutrophils), liver and serum lysozyme activity, and complement system activity | Alves et al. (2021)             |
| Housefly (*Musca domestica*)            | Meal                   | Baltic prawn (*P. adspersu*)     | 0.49 ± 0.1     | 60 d   | Improved growth performance but lowered survival | Mastoraki et al. (2020)          |
| Cricket (*Gryllus bimaculatus*)         | Meal                   | African catfish                  | 13.2 ± 0.3     | 7 wk   | Improved growth performance, haemoglobin, haematocrit and catalase activity | Taufek et al. (2016)            |
| Tropical house cricket (*Gryllodes sigillatus*) | Meal   | Rainbow trout                    | 53.4 ± 3.74    | 71 d   | Lowered growth performance, reduced villus height and increased count of beneficial bacteria in the intestine | Józefiak et al. (2019a)          |
| Turkestan cockroach (*Blatta lateralis*) | Meal                   | Rainbow trout                    | 53.4 ± 3.74    | 71 d   | Improved growth performance, increased villus height and count of beneficial bacteria in the intestine | Józefiak et al. (2019a)          |
the fish (Fawole et al., 2020). In rainbow trout, Elia et al. (2018) reported that dietary inclusion of at least 20% BSF could adversely affect the fish’s oxidative homeostasis, particularly in the liver and kidney by lowering the glutathione peroxidase (GPx) activity while enhancing the activities of ethoxyresorufin O-deethylation (EROD), glutathione S-transferase (GST) and total glutathione (GSH). Therefore, the authors suggested adding levels of BSF that are lower than 20% in the fish’s diets. In Atlantic salmon, increasing the levels of BSF paste from 6.25% to 25% in fishmeal and plant-based diets improved the antioxidant capacity in the blood of the fish (Wetthasinghe et al., 2021). In Pacific white shrimp, dietary replacement of fishmeal with defatted silkworm (SW) (B. mori L.) pupae meal enhanced the serum antioxidant capacity of the shrimp (Rahimnejad et al., 2019). Recently, Xu et al. (2020a) reported the effect of insect oils on the antioxidant status of juvenile mirror carp (C. carpio var. specularis). In this study, the combined inclusion of BSF oil, silkworm pupae oil and TM oil at the same level improved the antioxidant capacity in the liver of the fish. When individual insect oils were compared, the authors observed that BSF oil could provide better results compared to the other two oils. Furthermore, Xu et al. (2020b) reported significantly improved serum antioxidant capacity in mirror carp fed dietary BSF pulp at low levels. Other defensive proteins in BSF oil and defatted silkworm include cricket (Gryllus bimaculatus) meal in the diet of African catfish (Taufek et al., 2016) and maggot meal in the diet of common carp (Ogunji et al., 2011). Dietary inclusion of TM in the diet of rainbow trout improved the intestinal antioxidant enzyme activity and a led to a decline in lipid peroxidation (Henry et al., 2018). The antioxidant capacity of the hybrid tilapia was not affected when the fish was fed a diet containing maggot meal as a full replacement for fishmeal (Qiao et al., 2019).

### 4.2.3. Immune response and disease resistance

The response of immune function in aquatic animals to dietary supplementation has become an important criterion for evaluating the suitability of feed ingredients in aquaculture. Insect utilization in aquafeed has been evaluated on several immune-related parameters including blood biochemical composition, histopathology of related organs, gut health, related gene expression and disease resistance in numerous aquaculture species. The results of these parameters are included in Table 2. In Atlantic salmon diets, full fishmeal replacement with BSF meal could be achieved without negative effects on liver histology and the transcription of pro-inflammatory genes in the fish’s head kidney (Belghit et al., 2019; Stenberg et al., 2019). In juvenile Japanese seabass, dietary BSF meal supplementation did not alter the intestinal histomorphology of the fish (Wang et al., 2019). The substitution of fish meal with BSF meal in the diets of the African catfish did not alter the fish’s blood biochemical parameters and differential leucocyte counts (Fawole et al., 2020). Also, no significant effect was observed on the gut histology, stress levels, and immune response in zebrafish when fishmeal was replaced by BSF at 25% and 50% (Zarantoniello et al., 2019). In juvenile Japanese seabass (L. japonicus), partial replacement of fishmeal up to 64% with defatted BSF larvae meal did not affect the histomorphology of the intestine and liver, or intestinal antioxidant status and immune response of the fish (Wang et al., 2019). However, replacing fishmeal with TM in the diets of juvenile Pacific white shrimp improved the survival rates of the shrimp after being challenged with pathogenic bacteria (Vibrio parahaemolyticus) (Motte et al., 2019). In yellow catfish, dietary TM at 18% could improve the immune response and disease resistance of the fish against a bacterial ( Edwardsiella ictaluri) challenge (Su et al., 2017). In juvenile mandarin fish, the inclusion of TM in the diets could enhance the immune system of the fish (Sankian et al., 2018). In Siberian sturgeon, BSF meal enhanced the morphology of the intestine, although higher inclusion levels of more than 18.5% were likely to negatively impact the health status (Jóźefiak et al., 2019a; Caimi et al., 2020b). Interestingly, the resistance of Pacific white shrimp against V. parahaemolyticus and stress was not affected after feeding the shrimp with BSF meal (Richardson et al., 2021). In zebrafish grown from larvae to adult, fishmeal could be replaced by 25% and 50% BSF meal without adversely affecting the immune response and stress resistance of the fish (Zarantoniello et al., 2019). The health status of the African catfish was not negatively affected when fishmeal was replaced by 75% (Fawole et al., 2020). In giant freshwater prawn (M. rosenbergii), replacing fishmeal with TM at 12% improved immune response and the resistance of the prawn against Lactococcus garvieae and Aeromonas hydrophila (Feng et al., 2019). Existing studies show slight variations with regard to the insect species and meal status used in aquafeed. For example, replacing fishmeal with a 50% partially-defatted BSF meal did not yield a significant effect on the histology of the spleen, liver and gut of rainbow trout (Elia et al., 2018). While 28% to 67% full-fat TM meal as a replacement for fishmeal could improve the immune response in the fish (Henry et al., 2018; Jeong et al., 2020). In Nile tilapia, a total replacement of fishmeal was achieved using BSF meal with observed improvement in the haematologic and vitality of the fish. Furusus (Uppayajadara et al., 2021), while only 15% could be replaced with superworm (SW) larvae (Z. morio) for enhanced innate immunity of the fish (Alves et al., 2021). Few studies have also reported the combined effect of multiple species of insect meals in aquaculture (Jóźefiak et al., 2019b), but further investigations are required.

In Pacific white shrimp, dietary replacement of fishmeal with defatted silkworm pupae meal at higher (over 75%) levels could have adverse effects on the integrity of the hepatopancreas in the shrimp (Rahimnejad et al., 2019). In the findings of Motte et al. (2019), replacing fishmeal with 50% defatted TM improved the disease resistance of the pacific white shrimp against EMS (V. parahaemolyticus). In rainbow trout, Bruni et al. (2018) reported the effect of replacing fishmeal with partially defatted BSF meal on the intestinal microbial community of the fish. In this study, the authors concluded that 50% of BSF meal in the diets could improve biodiversity and modify the microbial community structure in the intestine of rainbow trout. In red seabream (Pargus major), feeding the fish with diets containing TM after challenge with a bacterial pathogen (Edwardsiella tarda) improved the fish’s survival (Ido et al., 2019).

The utilization of insect meals in aquaculture could promote the use of plant-based protein, particularly soybean meal whose application in the culture of high-value species has declined because it causes intestinal enteritis. In rainbow trout, the inclusion of BSF meal in soybean meal-based diets successfully prevented soybean meal-induced intestinal enteritis (Kumar et al., 2021). This was accompanied by down-regulated prostaglandin and interferon regulatory factor 1 (IRF-1) in the fish’s intestine. However, the mechanism through which insect meal prevents soybean meal-induced enteritis in fish is not clearly understood. According to Xiang et al. (2020), insect meal contains bioactive peptides that could be attributed to the prevention of this disease. Therefore, insect meal presents the potential prevention of intestinal inflammation in aquaculture. However, as observed by Kumar et al. (2021), this requires further investigation to characterize the bioactive peptides present in insect meals.

In some aquaculture species, the component of the insect used in the diet could yield different results. Furthermore, different organs of aquatic animals could respond differently to varying levels of insect meal included in the diets. For example, in the diets of Atlantic salmon, the inclusion of 6.25% and 12.5% of BSF meal in fishmeal and plant-based diets could reduce the enterocyte
inclusion of BSF larvae (H. illucens) meal (0%, 9.2%, 18.4% and 27.6%, corresponding to 0%, 25%, 50% and 75% of fishmeal substitution) reduced the n-3 PUFA in gilthead sea bream fillets, it did not reduce the overall n-3 PUFA positioned in the sn-2 of fillet triglycerides, nor EPA percentage (Pulido et al., 2022). Replacement of 25% fishmeal by a mixture of house cricket (Acheta domestica) and superworm (Z. morio) in the diet of perch (Perca fluviatilis) increased the linoleic fatty acid and the total content of n-6 fatty acids in fish fillets but did not affect the nutritional value of the fish with the insect-based diet for human consumption, despite a decrease in growth performance and an increase in feed intake (Tilami et al., 2020). The effects of insect-derived product feeding on the content of heavy metals and mycotoxins in fish flesh are rarely investigated and warrant further work.

Regarding fish texture properties, fishmeal replacement using insect meals might have an impact. Texture parameters are technologically important (Wang et al., 2017) therefore, need not be overlooked. Incorporation of maggot meal in diets of Nile tilapia (O. niloticus) at levels ranging from 110 g/kg to 430 g/kg (25% to 100% fishmeal replacement) significantly increased hardness and reduced thaw loss in comparison to the control (Wang et al., 2017). Incorporation of TM in diets of yellow croaker (Larimichthys crocea) led to reduced muscle hardness and significantly lower shear force in fillets in which fishmeal was replaced at 426.2 to 568.3 g/kg (75% to 100% fishmeal replacement) (Yuan et al., 2022). Fillet composition was not affected by the inclusion of BSF (H. illucens) pre-pupa larvae meal at 65 to 195 g/kg (15% to 45% fishmeal replacement) in diets for European seabass (D. labrax) (Moutinho et al., 2021). There were no significant differences in texture properties of fillets of barramundi (L. calcarifer) fed diets supplemented with tuna hydrolysate and BSF (H. illucens) larvae meal (50 to 100 g/kg insect meal inclusion levels) (Chaklader et al., 2021).

4.2.5. Consumer opinion on the consumption of aquaculture products fed with insect meal

The use of insects as feed ingredients in aquaculture is a relatively new but highly promising technology for mitigating the rising cost of aquafeed due to sustainability issues of fishmeal (Baldi et al., 2021; Hasimuna et al., 2019; Kord et al., 2022). However, the wider adoption of insect utilization in aquafeed will likely depend, to a larger extent, on aquaculture producers and consumer acceptance. Despite few existing studies investigating people’s perception concerning the use of insects as feed ingredients, the majority of the aquatic animal product consumers have shown favourable responses for various reasons, including risk-free (Popoff et al., 2017; Szendrö et al., 2020), sustainability considerations (Verbeke et al., 2015; Rumbos et al., 2021), as well as availability and access to information about the products (Baldi et al., 2021; Rumbos et al., 2021). Product awareness and information availability are considered the most important factors that could accelerate the acceptance and positive perception of aquatic products produced on insects-based feeds (Baldi et al., 2021; Rumbos et al., 2021). According to Baldi et al. (2021) reducing information asymmetry could promote wider consumer acceptance. Interestingly, a study conducted in Italy revealed that men and young consumers are more likely to accept aquatic products given insect-based feeds (Baldi et al., 2021), suggesting that gender and age could play a role. Further, the authors observed that well-informed respondents had a higher acceptance rate compared with those that had little to no information. Sogari et al. (2019) also noted that, in Australia, males were more likely to accept insect products as food compared with their female counterparts. However, in Belgium, age and gender did not appear to significantly affect the perception of the aquatic products. As observed by Verbeke (2015), consumer perception regarding insect use in aquafeed is likely to evolve with time and
5. Conclusion

Insects have emerged as a potentially sustainable alternative protein source to the conventional fishmeal whose production continues to be unsustainable, resulting in rising costs. Significant progress has so far been made in the efforts to unlock the potential of insects for use in aquafeed. Our review of existing studies in this area has shown promising results, particularly with regards to the utilization and benefits of insects in aquafeed. Additionally, different cultures and beliefs are likely to affect perception although further studies are required to confirm it. Currently, the majority of existing studies were conducted in developed countries, particularly the European Union (EU), with no current information for developing countries. This lack of information makes it difficult to predict perception in developing countries and among different social groups. Therefore, more studies are required in different countries, at least the major producers, and among consumers from different cultures to ascertain the future of insect utilization in aquafeed.

6. Prospects

Despite the promising results reported from the inclusion of insects as ingredients in aquafeed, important gaps still exist concerning their full utilization in aquaculture. For example, the majority of effects of insect utilization in aquafeed reported so far have important biases towards adult species. A large gap still exists with regards to the effects in the initial ontogenetic stages of fish such as embryos, fingerlings and larvae. Additionally, the insect requirement levels in aquafeed for different aquatic animal species and stages of development under different culture systems are unclear. This knowledge is very important for commercializing the utilization of insects in aquafeed. Also, given the numerous insect species currently reported as ingredients in aquafeed, there is a need to explore value addition methods during biomass production to improve the nutritional value. This will ensure the diets are easily utilized by the aquatic animals while reducing waste in culture facilities. Emerging studies show that different parts of insects such as meal, oil, pulp and paste can be used in aquafeed. However, the majority of studies in the literature have focused on insect meals to a larger extent and oils to a lesser extent, while very little is known regarding the utilization of pulp and paste. Furthermore, important bioactive compounds such as chitin, fatty acids and antimicrobial peptides have been reported in insects, however, their role in aquatic animal growth and physiology is not very clear. Besides, chitin has shown detrimental effects at higher insect inclusion levels in the diets of aquatic animals. Future studies are required to explore how different parts and compounds of insects could be utilized in aquafeed. Finally, studies evaluating the effect of insects on flesh safety and quality of fish and other aquatic food for human consumption are necessary. Addressing these gaps is relevant for the commercialization of insect utilization in aquafeed.
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