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Abstract A planar Babinet-inverted dimer metamaterial possessing strong optical activity is proposed and characterized. An original fabrication method to produce large area (up to several cm²) freely suspended flexible metallic membranes is implemented to fabricate the metamaterial. Its optical properties are characterized by terahertz time-domain spectroscopy, revealing anisotropic transmission with high optical activity. A simple coupled resonator model is applied to explain the principal optical features of the dimers, with predictive power of positions and number of resonances through a parametrical model. The model is validated for correct polarization-dependent quantitative results on the optical activity in transmission spectra. The fabrication method presented in this work as well as the slit dimer design has great potential for exploitation in terahertz optics.
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1. Introduction

Terahertz (THz) radiation is widely employed in a broad range of fields, e.g. in biology, medicine, communication, security, chemistry, and spectroscopy [1–3]. To expand the application of terahertz radiation in the aforementioned fields new device designs and fabrication methods are needed. The ability of metamaterials to manipulate the electromagnetic waves makes them natural candidates for THz optical components [4].

Various chiral metamaterial designs have already been proposed and characterized [5–12]. Many of the proposed designs are complex (e.g. consisting of multi-layer or truly three dimensional structures) making them hard to fabricate or integrate into a THz-optic component. On the other hand, the majority of metamaterials for the THz region are supported by either a bulk silicon wafer or thin layer of material such as polyimide, silicon nitride, silk or paper, which inevitably leads to increased optical losses [13–16]. In this paper, we propose a novel fabrication method for large area, freestanding, micrometer thick flexible planar metamaterials (PMMs).

Within a simple geometric framework, PMMs can acquire pronounced optical activity relative to their thickness.

We follow the concept of asymmetric nano-rod dimers with straight, non-parallel elements of different sizes, as theoretically described in [17]. This structure mimics the widely used asymmetric split ring geometry [6], though with straightened segments. It was shown that this structure possesses strong optical activity due to elliptical dichroism [17]. In our case, instead of having a metamaterial consisting of metallic rods, we chose its inverse (Babinet) counterpart, where the rods are substituted by hollow elements (antirods) in a metal membrane [18]. According to the Babinet principle [19, 20], spectral properties of such mutually inverse structures (rods and slots) are predicted to be similar, but inverted. To differentiate our PMM from that reported in [17], we refer to our design as the Babinet asymmetric dimer. The Babinet structure makes it possible to design and fabricate a freestanding PMM film consisting only of metal. Besides the advantages with respect to fabrication and mechanical properties, the Babinet design resonantly increases transmission near the frequencies where the PMM displays the highest optical activity.

We have developed a fabrication process to grow at least 2 μm thick nickel (Ni) PMM membranes with areas from 8 × 8 mm². The thickness of the Ni metamaterial film is limited primarily by the thickness of the photoresist (PR)
used to define the surface structures. The dimensions which can be reached with our process are difficult to achieve by traditional lift-off processes due to the following factors. The deposition of metals on the photoresist sidewalls during the evaporation process is critical to avoid for the lift-off. This is because the overall shape of the metallic structures depends on the amount of metal deposited on the sidewalls, and this cannot be accurately controlled with such a thick PR. Additionally, one needs a rather high ratio, on the order of at least 5:1, between the thickness of the PR itself and the metal deposited on top of it, and it is difficult to perform precise UV-lithography on a very thick PR with sufficient final resolution of the pattern. Our process clears away these limitations.

In Sections 2 and 3 we describe the fabrication process and confirm the quality of the fabricated films by optical characterization. In Section 4 we discuss a theoretical model that describes the behavior of our freely suspended PMM design. In Section 5 we discuss the anisotropic properties of our samples.

2. Fabrication

The membranes were fabricated with an area of $8 \times 8 \text{ mm}^2$, and a thickness of 2 $\mu$m. Such a huge aspect ratio (1:4000) poses various challenges in the fabrication process. The thickness of 2 $\mu$m was chosen for mechanical robustness of the sample. The fabrication process leads to free-standing membranes, thus eliminating substrate influences such as increased reflection losses and Fabry-Perot interference effects. The processing steps are illustrated in Fig. 1(a), with the final layer structure shown in Fig. 1(b). The structure of the fabricated metamaterial is shown in Fig. 2(a) and is defined by the following target dimensions: the lengths $l_1 = 260 \mu$m and $l_2 = 230 \mu$m, width $d = 20 \mu$m, spacing $\Delta = 160 \mu$m of the two slots, angle $\alpha = 45^\circ$ between them, and periodicities $\Lambda_x = \Lambda_y = 500 \mu$m.

In the fabrication flow, we start with a double-side polished 525 $\mu$m-thick Si wafer. On the front side we evaporate a double layer of Ti/Au. The 5 nm thin Ti layer is used to promote the adhesion of gold to the substrate, while the 50 nm of Au is the seed layer for the electrochemical growth of the Ni membrane. On the backside of the substrate, 300 nm of $\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4$ is deposited by plasma-enhanced chemical vapor deposition (Fig. 1(a.a)). The bottom layer of $\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4$ is needed to protect the edges of the Si wafer from etching with KOH, depicted in the step between (Fig 1(a.f)) and (Fig 1(a.g)). After these initial steps (Fig. 1(a.a)), the membrane aperture is defined through standard UV-lithography on a very thick PR with sufficient final resolution of the pattern. Our process clears away these limitations.

In Sections 2 and 3 we describe the fabrication process and confirm the quality of the fabricated films by optical characterization. In Section 4 we discuss a theoretical model that describes the behavior of our freely suspended PMM design. In Section 5 we discuss the anisotropic properties of our samples.
A scanning electron microscopy (SEM) picture of the fabricated structure is shown in Fig. 2(a), demonstrating that the features are sharply defined, with all critical design dimensions preserved. In Fig. 2(b) an optical image of the structure is shown. The Si frame can be clearly seen and, at the same time, light passes through the dimer structures, showing that all other layers behind the membrane are removed.

It should be mentioned that during the KOH etch of Si, the <111> crystallographic plane is inert, thus allowing for a very smooth and clean side of the frame. The membrane is easily peeled off the substrate. Figure 2(c) shows the membrane completely detached from the frame. Apart from a slight defect in the top corner, the membrane is complete and the structures are intact. This demonstrates that the Ni layer is sufficiently mechanically robust to serve as a flexible structure and that membranes with larger dimensions can be fabricated.

3. Measurements

Transmission spectra of linearly polarized light through the Babinet PMM are measured by a commercial terahertz time-domain spectroscopy system (Picometrix T-Ray 4000). The system generates and records the THz transients using photoconductive switches [3] that are fiber coupled to a femtosecond laser. The system operates at a scan rate of 100 Hz, and we record the average of 100,000 waveforms (time window 320 ps, total acquisition time 17 min) with spectroscopic information from 0.1 to 2.0 THz and an electric field dynamic range of 3200 at 0.5 THz (70 dB power dynamic range). Figure 3 displays the transmission spectra for the two orthogonal linear polarizations for both the V-shape and parallel slots dimer PMM. Linear polarization transmission coefficients are recovered by cross polarization measurements, where the transmission through air is used as a reference,

\[
\tau_{ij}(\omega) = \frac{E_{ij}^{\text{sample}}}{E_{ii}^{\text{reference}}}, \quad \text{indices } i \text{ and } j \text{ can be either } x \text{ or } y. \]

The incident polarization is controlled by rotation of the samples.

At 0° incident polarization (see inset of Fig. 3) two transmission resonances at 0.48 and 0.56 THz are observed for both samples. Even though the hollow elements cover only 4.3% of the total Ni membrane area, an enhanced relative field transmission amplitude [21] as high as 0.67 is observed for the resonance at 0.56 THz. The two transmission resonances occur due to a favorable coupling between the incident field and the eigenmodes of metamaterial structure. Since the dimensions of the slot are identical for both parallel and V-shape samples, the transmission peaks appear at the same frequencies, with similar strengths and widths. The relative strength of the two resonances (which can be individually suppressed or enhanced) is influenced by the distance between the hollow asymmetric elements [22]. A parallel slot dimer PMM is geometrically similar to a wire grid polarizer, so expectedly, the transmission coefficient drops to zero when the sample is rotated by 90°. In contrast to this, we observe a single transmission peak at 0.5 THz for the V-shape sample rotated by 90° (Fig. 3). As
The amplitude linear-polarization transmission coefficients $\tau_{ij}$ for an $\hat{x}_j$-polarized incident wave are calculated as

$$\tau_{ij} = \frac{\int \hat{E} \cdot \hat{x}_j dA}{\int \hat{E}_{inc} \cdot \hat{x}_j dA}. \quad (2)$$

Figure 3 shows good quantitative agreement between the measurements and modeling results. The sharp dip seen at 0.6 THz in the simulated spectra results from lattice effects (the Rayleigh anomaly), when the wavelength of light equals the lattice period. In experiment, the PMM interacts with a finite-sized beam rather than an infinitely extended plane wave, therefore, this lattice-related dip is much less pronounced (but still visible) in the measured spectra. For the same reason, the second resonance (at 0.56 THz) is more pronounced in simulations than in measurements, and it is slightly shifted in frequency by 10 GHz. Some minor deviations may also be attributed to small differences between the model dielectric function of Ni and that of the actual, grown layer.

To obtain a deeper physical understanding of spectral properties of the Babinet PMM we employ a coupled-dipole theory developed previously for plasmonic nanorod dimer metamaterials [17, 24]. Making note of the fact that a nanoslot antenna can be described by a bound-charge oscillator model similar to a nanorod antenna [25] by virtue of the Babinet principle, we can assume that each individual slot responds to the incident harmonically oscillating electric field $E_0$ with an induced dipole moment $\mathbf{d}_{1,2} = \alpha_{1,2} \mathbf{E}_0$, where the polarizabilities $\alpha_{1,2}$ are tensors of the form

$$\alpha_{j=1,2} = \frac{f_j}{\omega_j^2 - \omega^2 - i\omega (\gamma_j + \delta_j \omega^2)} \hat{\mu}_j \otimes \hat{\mu}_j = \alpha_j (\omega) \hat{\mu}_j \otimes \hat{\mu}_j. \quad (3)$$

Here the expression $\hat{\mu}_j \otimes \hat{\mu}_j$ denotes the outer (dyadic) product of the unit vector pointing along the slot orientation with itself (see Fig. 4). The parameters $f_j, \omega_j, \gamma_j, \gamma_j$ and $\delta_j$ are determined by fitting the results of numerical simulations.

When two slots are coupled together in a dimer, their induced dipole moments are modified by the presence of each other. This can be accounted for by the Green function approach [24], resulting in a system of coupled equations for $\mathbf{d}_{1,2}$ and the following form of the total effective dipole polarizability of the dimer

$$\alpha_{eff} = \frac{\alpha_1 \hat{\mu}_1 \otimes \hat{\mu}_1 + \alpha_2 \hat{\mu}_2 \otimes \hat{\mu}_2}{1 - \kappa^2 \alpha_1 \alpha_2} \times (\hat{\mu}_1 \otimes \hat{\mu}_2 + \hat{\mu}_2 \otimes \hat{\mu}_1). \quad (4)$$

where $\kappa$ is the coupling coefficient determined by the relative arrangement of the slot elements. The detailed procedure of its calculation can be found elsewhere [17]. Neglecting interaction between the neighboring dimers in the lattice, we can determine the effective permittivity tensor of the metamaterial as $\varepsilon_{eff} = 1 - (\varepsilon_0 V_{cell})^{-1} \alpha_{eff}$.
Representing the actual metamaterial as a slab of this effective material, we can then use the generalized transfer-matrix approach \[26, 27\] to determine the reflection and transmission spectra. Previous accounts demonstrate a good agreement of this approach with direct numerical simulations for rod dimers \[17, 24\].

Figure 5 compares the analytically calculated transmission spectra for the V-shaped and parallel dimer structures with the results of the full-wave simulation. We see that by properly choosing the parameters in Eq. (3), a very good qualitative agreement can be achieved, thus confirming that the coupled dipole model captures the underlying physics of wave interaction with the slot dimer metamaterials.

We can now explain why there are two peaks in \(\tau_{xx}\) and only one in \(\tau_{yy}\), for the V-shaped structures. From the analytical description given above, we can determine the polarization eigenstates \[26\] \(e_{1,2}\) of the metamaterial, i.e., polarization states that remain unchanged as a wave passes through the metamaterial. An arbitrarily polarized incident wave can be now obtained by its expansion in the eigenstates basis,

\[
E_{\alpha}(\omega) = C_{\alpha}^1(\omega)e_{1}(\omega) + C_{\alpha}^2(\omega)e_{2}(\omega), \quad \alpha = x, y, \tag{5}
\]

leading to the following form of the transmission components \(\tau_{\alpha\beta}\) of Eq. (2),

\[
\tau_{\alpha\beta} = C_{\beta}^1(\omega)t_1(\omega)C_{\alpha}^1(\omega) + C_{\beta}^2(\omega)t_2(\omega)C_{\alpha}^2(\omega), \tag{6}
\]

where \(t_{1,2}(\omega)\) are transmission spectra of the eigenmodes. This finally results in

\[
\tau_{xx} = [C_{1}^1(\omega)]^2t_1(\omega) + [C_{2}^1(\omega)]^2t_2(\omega),
\tau_{yy} = [C_{1}^1(\omega)]^2t_1(\omega) + [C_{2}^2(\omega)]^2t_2(\omega). \tag{7}
\]
Figure 6 Eigenmode transmission coefficients $t_{1,2}(\omega)$ together with the eigenmode expansion coefficients for the incident light linearly polarized (a) along the x-axis: $C_{x}^{1,2}(\omega)$; (b) along the y-axis: $C_{y}^{1,2}(\omega)$. The dotted lines with shading denote the resulting transmission $\tau_{xx}$ and $\tau_{yy}$ given by Eq. (6).

resonances. For the other polarization, strong $t_{1,2}(\omega)$ at the peaks is cancelled by weak $C_{1,2}^{1,2}(\omega)$ at those frequencies, so a significant response is only seen where the four quantities, $t_{1,2}(\omega)$ and $C_{1,2}^{1,2}(\omega)$, are all significantly non-zero. This is the case only in the overlap region between the eigenstate resonances, where the eigenpolarizations display sufficient ellipticity, resulting in a weaker response with only one peak.

In contrast to the V-shaped dimers, the parallel dimers can only have linearly polarized eigenmodes, which is seen from Eq. (4) since $\lvert \hat{\mu}_{1} \rvert \neq \lvert \hat{\mu}_{2} \rvert$. Therefore only one polarization of the incident light can couple to the resonant modes of the dimer in the first place, barring any significant response for the orthogonal polarization.

5. Discussion

To discuss the optical activity properties of the PMMs, it is useful to determine their optical response with respect to their circular polarization components. The components of the circular-polarization transmission matrix $\tau$ are recovered from the linear-polarization coefficients $t_{ij}$ by the standard transformation

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
\tau_{++} \\
\tau_{--}
\end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix}
\tau_{xx} + \tau_{yy} + i (\tau_{xy} - \tau_{yx}) & \tau_{xx} - \tau_{yy} - i (\tau_{xy} + \tau_{yx}) \\
\tau_{xx} - \tau_{yy} + i (\tau_{xy} + \tau_{yx}) & \tau_{xx} + \tau_{yy} - i (\tau_{xy} - \tau_{yx})
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

(8)

The resulting power transmittances ($T_{++} = \lvert t_{1+} \rvert^2$, $T_{--} = \lvert t_{1-} \rvert^2$) and circular polarization conversion coefficients ($T_{+-} = \lvert t_{+-} \rvert^2$, $T_{-+} = \lvert t_{-+} \rvert^2$) extracted from the measurements are presented in Fig. 7(a). We observe a pronounced anisotropic transmission behavior for the V-shaped Babinet PMM. Although the transmission of the right-handed polarization component ($T_{++}$) is almost equal to the left-handed polarization component ($T_{--}$), the circular polarization conversion rates are significantly different. In the frequency range from 0.49 to 0.52 THz, the conversion difference between left-to-right ($T_{+-}$) and right-to-left ($T_{-+}$) circular polarizations is approximately 65 %, coinciding with the frequency band of high transmission.
Since no noticeable difference is observed for $T_{++}$ and $T_{--}$ we conclude that the V-shape antirod planar structure exhibits no sizable circular dichroism within a broad frequency band. However, the PMM has pronounced elliptical dichroism, as discussed in section 4. This results in significant optical activity, reaching a maximum of the polarization plane rotation rate of approximately $500 \, \lambda/\lambda$ at 0.53 THz (Fig. 7(b)). Such huge optical activity is comparable with performance of more complex (dual layer) designs reported previously [28, 29].

The corresponding parallel Babinet PMM does not exhibit any optical activity. This shows that the asymmetric design of the V-shaped slot dimers is crucial for the anisotropic transmission behavior.

6. Conclusions

In conclusion, we have developed a new process for fabricating high aspect ratio, freestanding metal films. We have fabricated and characterized a terahertz anisotropic Babinet planar metamaterial designed as a macroscopic, patterned 2 $\mu$m-thick Ni membrane suspended in air. We find very good agreement between the design and the fabricated dimensions, as evidenced by the excellent agreement between the numerical simulations and experimental measurements. Despite its relative simplicity, our V-shaped slot design shows optical activity comparable with two and three dimensional chiral materials, reaching a maximum of approximately $500 \, \lambda/\lambda$ at 0.53 THz, and a polarization conversion difference between left-to-right and right-to-left circular polarization of approximately 65 % in the range between 0.49 and 0.52 THz. By optimizing the angle and distance between the rods even stronger circular polarization conversion may be achieved. The presented fabrication flow is a versatile platform for other designs that are sensitive to thick substrates and we believe that due to the simple design and flexibility of the PMM, it has a great potential to be integrated as a component in THz optical circuitry.
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