Effects of Nutrition on Osteoarthritis in Women Over the 50 Years: Using the Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES) Data
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Abstract

There are prior articles on osteoarthritis of demographic and nutritional factors, previous studies show a lack of empirical analysis using public data, focusing primarily on predicting or assessing risk factors focusing on demographic characteristics. Since the disease called osteoarthritis itself has yet to be clearly treated, we would like to establish that the preventive medical aspect of osteoarthritis is very important and that prevention through nutrient intake can reduce the social and economic costs of osteoarthritis. We intend to prepare basic data for osteoarthritis by analyzing the prevalence of osteoarthritis in women over the 50 age based on demographic and nutritional characteristics using the 7th National Nutrition Survey data in 2016 and 2017. As results, cholesterol and sodium negatively affect the odds of osteoarthritis and nutrients classified as inorganic reduce the odds of osteoarthritis in 50 age women to 59 years old. In addition, in women over 60 and under 69 years of age, vitamin B has been found to reduce the risk of osteoarthritis and iron has a significant effect on women in their 70s and older. Therefore, these nutrients are ‘micronutrients’ and, among the five major nutrients, were identified as the nutrients that assisted the ‘macronutrients’.
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1. Introduction

Osteoarthritis is a degenerative disorder that damages the cartilage, bones and ligaments, and other essential components of joints which leads to inflammation and pain. In the past, osteoarthritis was called ‘degenerative arthritis’ and was known as a condition mainly related to aging (Ha et al., 2019). Presently, osteoarthritis is a disorder known to have multiple causes and not only age-related. Severity over time and symptoms emerge and change for individual patients depending on complex factors including age, genetic predisposition, obesity, joint shape, and hormones (CDCP., 2019; Fauci et al., 2008).

In South Korea, the prevalence of osteoarthritis in the age groups 44 years old or older is 201.2 per 1000 for men, and 472.6 per 1000 for women, which is a high-level of morbidity, and accordingly, there are a growing number of people who suffer from pain and physical impairments (Hur, 2008). Also, the prevalence of osteoarthritis tends to rise gradually as age increases, therefore is reported as one of the leading factors contributing to joint disorders in middle-aged and older people but not among younger people (Breedveld, 2004).

Although risk factors for osteoarthritis have not been comprehensively identified, it seems from previous studies that this disorder is mainly due to interactions among genetic and environmental factors. An epidemiological study showed that 39–65% of osteoarthritis cases are caused by genetic factors (Spector, 1996), and other studies report that men, higher than the average body-mass index (BMI), and being in an older age group are major risk factors for osteoarthritis(Pearson-Ceol, 2007; Zakkak, 2009). In Korea, the prevalence of musculoskeletal disorders and other various chronic diseases has been on the rise as median age and life expectancy increase, and the reported data show that the prevalence of osteoarthritis is increasing in women, not men (Cho, 2011).
There have been active attempts to identify the effect of nutrition on osteoarthritis. Calcium, antioxidants, vitamins (in particular B vitamins), and omega-3 fatty acids have been reported to be helpful for arthritis (AMC, 2019), and recent findings have reported that cholesterol causes degenerative arthritis if it excessively accumulates in articular cartilage (Choi, 2019).

While earlier data suggest demographic and nutritional factors contributing to osteoarthritis, these previous studies focused on predicting or characterizing risk factors based mostly on demographic characteristics (Kim et al., 2011; Gardiner et al., 2015), and therefore, it seems that few empirical studies have been conducted using publicly available data. Since there is no method, other than surgery, to treat osteoarthritis effectively, it is critical to prevent osteoarthritis and prevention through proper nutrition could reduce the socioeconomic costs of osteoarthritis.

Thus, the present study’s goal is to provide a primary reference for preventing osteoarthritis in women 50 years old and older by analyzing the prevalence of osteoarthritis in this population and considering their demographic and nutritional features using data from the 7th Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2016-2017 (KNHANES).

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Study Design

The present study used the data from KNHANES, which consists of four parts: (1) Health Interview Survey—that examines disease morbidity, limited activity, accident injury, and healthcare utilization; (2) Health Behavior Survey—which relates to drinking and smoking; (3) Health Examination Survey; and (4) Nutrition Survey. The present study was conducted pursuant to the Ethical Principles for Medical Research Involving Human Subjects under the Declaration of Helsinki.

The participants were stratified by government administrative district-type (e.g., districts such as ‘dong,’ ‘eup,’ or ‘myeon’), residential type (apartment or detached house) and regionally by seven Metropolitan Cities and six provinces including Gyeonggi-do, Gangwon-do, Chungcheong-do, Jeolla-do, Gyeongsang-do, and Jeju-do Island) based on the Population and Housing Census. Participants were allocated in proportion to each stratum’s population for each district-type and then selected with systematic sampling. Then all members of the selected households were surveyed. The present study used survey data for women 50 years old or older from this sample. Sample data included information about participants’ age, education, income, physical activity, tobacco use, alcohol consumption, osteoarthritis history, and nutrient consumption. Of the 17,658 total persons in the KNHANES data, all men, women less than 50 years old, and women with chronic diseases or key variables missing were excluded. Thus, 2,084 participants remained and were included in this study. The 7th KNHANES obtained its exemption from the Institutional Review Board of the Korea Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

2.2 Study Variables

The dependent variable used in the present study was the presence or absence of osteoarthritis. The control variables were set as income level, education level, place of residence, physical activity, type of occupation, marital status, private health insurance, economic activity, drinking, and smoking. The independent variables used in the model included proteins, fats, saturated fatty-acids, monounsaturated fatty-acids, polyunsaturated fatty-acids, n-3 fatty-acids, n-6 fatty-acids, cholesterol, carbohydrate, dietary fiber, calcium, phosphorus, iron, sodium, potassium, vitamin A, carotene, retinol, thiamine, riboflavin, and niacin. All the independent variables were measured as the amount of intake right before the survey date. The dependent variable osteoarthritis was surveyed based on arthritis history as diagnosed by the physician.

2.3 Analysis Method

The present study calculated osteoarthritis prevalence depending on demographic variables and performed the Cochran–Mantel–Haenszel test to examine the significance of grouping and categorical variables. The independent t-test was conducted for continuous variables. Osteoarthritis prevalence adjusting for all covariates was designated as either ‘No’ (no osteoarthritis) or ‘Yes’ (osteoarthritis) for each item, and then logistic regression was performed. Multi-variable logistic regression adjusting for confounding variables was performed for the odds ratio (OR) and with a 95% confidence level for the confidence interval (CI) of osteoarthritis prevalence in each group by age, and all statistical analyses were analyzed using STATA/SE 13.0 version.

3. Results

3.1 Sample Characteristics

Table 1 shows the differences in demographic characteristics between the osteoarthritis group and the
non-osteoarthritis group.

Table 1. Osteoarthritis prevalence depending on demographic characteristics

| Variable                  | Osteoarthritis |                | p   |
|---------------------------|----------------|----------------|-----|
|                           | No | % | Yes | % |     |
| N                         |   |   |     |   |     |
| Income                    |    |   |     |   |     |
| Low                       | 254| 17.2 | 135 | 22.1 | <0.01 |
| Low-moderate              | 275| 18.7 | 128 | 20.9 |     |
| Moderate                  | 283| 19.2 | 114 | 18.7 |     |
| Moderate-high             | 336| 22.8 | 107 | 17.5 |     |
| High                      | 325| 22.1 | 127 | 20.8 |     |
| Place of residence        |    |   |     |   |     |
| Rural                     | 281| 19.1 | 110 | 18.0 | 0.688 |
| Urban                     | 1192| 80.9 | 501 | 82.0 |     |
| Age                       |    |   |     |   |     |
| 50-59                     | 621| 42.2 | 109 | 17.8 | <0.01 |
| 60-69                     | 469| 31.8 | 214 | 35.0 |     |
| Over 70                   | 383| 26.0 | 288 | 47.1 |     |
| Education level           |    |   |     |   |     |
| ≤6 years                  | 567| 38.5 | 345 | 56.5 |     |
| 7-9 years                 | 255| 17.3 | 124 | 20.3 | <0.01 |
| 10-12 years               | 387| 26.3 | 94  | 15.4 |     |
| ≥13 years                 | 264| 17.9 | 48  | 7.9  |     |
| Type of occupation        |    |   |     |   |     |
| Unemployed                | 158| 10.7 | 14  | 2.3  |     |
| Office work               | 52 | 3.5  | 8   | 1.3  | <0.01 |
| Sales and Services        | 218| 14.8 | 63  | 10.3 |     |
| Agriculture, forestry and fishery | 287| 19.5 | 125 | 20.5 |     |
| Machine fitting and simple labor | 758| 51.5 | 401 | 65.6 |     |
| Marital status            |    |   |     |   |     |
| Married                   | 1360| 92.3 | 562 | 92.0 | 0.464 |
| Single                    | 98 | 6.7  | 45  | 7.4  |     |
| bereavement               | 15 | 1.0  | 4   | 0.7  |     |
| Private health insurance  |    |   |     |   |     |
| No                        | 457| 31.0 | 277 | 45.3 | <0.01 |
| Yes                       | 1016| 69.0 | 334 | 54.7 |     |
| Economic activity         |    |   |     |   |     |
| No                        | 837| 56.8 | 409 | 66.9 | <0.01 |
| Yes                       | 636| 43.2 | 202 | 33.1 |     |
Using income data by quintile, differences across each income quintile were evaluated between the No-group and the Yes-group ($\chi^2=12.70, p<0.001$). For the place of residence, no difference was observed between the two groups ($\chi^2=0.326, p=0.688$). In age, there was a difference between the two groups ($\chi^2=134.16, p<0.001$). In the Yes-group, participants tended to be diagnosed with osteoarthritis more as age increased. A difference between the two groups was also found for education level ($\chi^2=85.4, p<0.001$). There was also a difference between the two groups for occupation ($\chi^2=66.88, p<0.001$). No difference was found between the two groups in terms of marital status ($\chi^2=0.951, p=0.464$). Private health insurance ($\chi^2=38.76, p<0.001$) and economic activity ($\chi^2=18.38, p=0.001$) showed a difference between the groups. For smoking and alcohol consumption, a difference between the two groups was confirmed only for alcohol consumption ($\chi^2=13.22, p<0.001$).

### 3.2 Nutrient Intake Differences Between Groups

The study analyzed differences in nutrient intake between the two groups. Variables used in this analysis are continuous. Thus, an independent t-test was conducted (Table 2).

| Variable                    | Osteoarthritis | p     |
|-----------------------------|----------------|-------|
|                            | No             |       |
|                            | Mean           | Std.dev|       |
| proteins                   | 54.25          | 26.39  |       |
| fats                       | 30.36          | 22.74  |       |
| saturated fatty-acids      | 8.54           | 7.23   |       |
| monounsaturated fatty-acids| 9.30           | 8.06   |       |
| polyunsaturated fatty-acids| 8.34           | 6.98   |       |
| n-3 fatty-acids            | 1.55           | 1.90   |       |
| n-6 fatty-acids            | 6.78           | 5.88   |       |
| cholesterol                | 180.63         | 199.77 |       |
| carbohydrate               | 276.20         | 115.47 |       |
| dietary fiber              | 24.35          | 14.58  |       |
| calcium                    | 436.69         | 262.99 |       |
| phosphorus                 | 894.56         | 407.79 |       |
| iron                       | 14.57          | 10.21  |       |
| sodium                     | 2.930          | 4.92   |       |
| potassium                  | 2.794          | 1.54   |       |
| vitamin A                  | 552.04         | 980.01 |       |
| carotene                   | 3.142          | 5.87   |       |
| retinol                    | 80.72          | 164.66 |       |
| thiamine                   | 1.50           | 0.71   |       |
| riboflavin                 | 1.16           | 0.66   |       |
| niacin                     | 12.27          | 6.39   |       |

Table 2. Osteoarthritis prevalence depending on nutrient intake
The results in Table 2 show that while vitamin A and carotene showed differences only in mean intake, there were differences in all variables between the two groups. In particular, the Yes-group had lower intake in all nutrients than the No-group, and these differences were statistically significant.

3.3 Theoretical review: Factors influencing osteoarthritis

A theoretical review was conducted using binary logistic regression to evaluate the effect of nutrient intake on osteoarthritis depending on the control variables (demographic characteristics). The most significant feature distinguishing logistic regression from traditional regression analysis is that its outcome variable is 0 or 1. Hence, the linear regression equation, The logistic model expression ensures that the dependent variable or result value is always between ranges [0,1], regardless of which number of the independent variable is [-∞,∞]. The following explanation describes how the logistic function is obtained:

$$\logit(\mathbb{E}[Y_{i}|x_1, i, \ldots, x_m, i]) = \logit(p_i) = \ln \frac{p_i}{1 - p_i}$$

Since the outcome of logit transformation in logistic regression is identical to the linear function for x, it becomes

$$\logit(p_i) = \beta_0 + \beta_1x_{1,i} + \cdots + \beta_mx_{m,i} = \beta \cdot X_i$$

When combined lineally it yields (Equation 2),

$$\ln \frac{p_i}{1 - p_i} = \beta \cdot X_i$$

Therefore, when the explanatory variable x is given, the probability that the outcome variable belongs to the category becomes the following (Wooldridge J., 2016) (Equation 3),

$$p_i = \logit^{-1}(\beta \cdot X_i) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\beta \cdot x_i}}$$

Using the above equations, an analysis was performed for the effect of nutrient intake on osteoarthritis (Table 3).

| Independent Variables         | Non-Adjusted (Equation 1) | Adjusted (Equation 2) |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
|                               | Odds Ratio                | 95% CI                | Odds Ratio           | 95% CI                |
|                               | (Std.err)                 | Min       | Max       | (Std.err)           | Min       | Max       |
| Intercept                     | 0.85 (0.037)              |           |           | 0.517 (0.032)       |           |           |
| proteins                      | 0.994 (.006)              | .982      | 1.006     | 0.987 (.007)        | .960      | 1.015     |
| fats,                         | 0.960 (.025)              | .913      | 1.008     | 1.056** (.026)      | 1.023     | 1.092     |
| saturated fatty-acids         | 1.046 (.034)              | .972      | 1.111     | 0.951 (.036)        | .812      | 1.112     |
| monounsaturated fatty-acids   | 1.046* (.034)             | .996      | 1.136     | 0.931** (.035)      | .801      | .998      |
| polyunsaturated fatty-acids   | 1.489* (.228)             | .952      | 2.329     | 0.726 (.233)        | .157      | 3.344     |
| n-3 fatty-acids               | 0.696 (.229)              | .444      | 1.091     | 1.314 (.235)        | .287      | 6.014     |
| n-6 fatty-acids               | 0.710 (.220)              | .461      | 1.093     | 1.317 (.225)        | .294      | 5.890     |
| cholesterol                   | 1.000 (.000)              | 1.000     | 1.001     | 0.999 (.000)        | .997      | 1.001     |
Independent Variables | Non-Adjusted (Equation 1) | Adjusted (Equation 2) |
|------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
|                        | Odds Ratio (Std.err)    | 95% CI Min Max        | Odds Ratio (Std.err)    | 95% CI Min Max        |
| carbohydrate           | 1.000 (.001)            | .999 1.002            | 1.001 (.001)            | .997 1.003            |
| dietary fiber          | 0.997 (.007)            | .984 1.010            | 0.996 (.007)            | .968 1.020            |
| calcium                | 0.999* (.000)           | .999 1.000            | 0.999** (.001)          | .999 1.000            |
| phosphorus             | 1.000 (.000)            | .999 1.001            | 1.000 (.000)            | .998 1.002            |
| iron                   | 1.013 (.010)            | .994 1.032            | 0.951 (.010)            | .905 1.000            |
| sodium                 | 1.001** (.000)          | 1.000 1.002           | 1.001** (.000)          | 1.000 1.002           |
| potassium              | 0.999 (.000)            | 1.000 1.000           | 0.999 (.000)            | .999 1.000            |
| vitamin A              | 1.000 (.000)            | 1.000 1.000           | 1.000 (.000)            | .999 1.000            |
| carotene               | 0.999 (.000)            | 1.000 1.000           | 0.999 (.000)            | .999 1.000            |
| retinol                | 0.999** (.001)          | 0.999 1.000           | 0.996 (.001)            | .992 1.001            |
| thiamine               | 0.862 (.128)            | .671 1.109            | .699** (.151)           | .397 .897             |
| riboflavin             | 1.165 (.156)            | .858 1.583            | 1.166 (.128)            | .671 2.027            |
| niacin                 | 1.014 (.016)            | .983 1.047            | 1.019 (.012)            | .945 1.099            |

a. p<0.1*, p<0.05**, p<0.01***.

The following calculations are the estimated osteoarthritis odds ratio across all age groups 50 years old or older. In Equation 1, which did not adjust for control variables in the logistic regression model, greater sodium intake (OR=1.001, 95% CI [1.000, 1.002]) increased osteoarthritis odds ratio (0.05 p-value significance level). For retinol, 1 μg more intake decreased osteoarthritis odds ratio, which was statistically significant. However, the odds ratio calculated from these two nutrients was too low to serve as evidence that they would have a significant effect. In Equation (2), which adjusted for control variables, greater fat intake (OR=1.056, 95% CI [1.023, 1.092]) and greater sodium intake (OR=1.001, 95% CI [1.000, 1.002]) increased osteoarthritis odds ratio. By contrast, greater monounsaturated fatty acid intake (OR=0.931, 95% CI [0.801, 0.998]) and greater vitamin B1 intake (OR=0.699, 95% CI [0.397, 0.897]) decreased osteoarthritis odds ratio. These variables were all statistically significant.

Additionally, further analyses of the effect of nutrient intake on osteoarthritis by age were evaluated (Table 4).

### Table 4. Odds ratio of osteoarthritis prevalence depending on whether control variables are adjusted including age as a factor

| Independent Variables | Age 50-59 | Ages 60-69 | Age 70 or older |
|-----------------------|-----------|------------|-----------------|
|                       | OR (Std.err) | 95% CI Min Max | OR (Std.err) | 95% CI Min Max | OR (Std.err) | 95% CI Min Max |
| Intercept             | 0.69 (0.030) | 0.335 (0.038) | 0.607 (0.030) |                   |
| proteins              | 1.001 (0.047) | 0.985 1.033 | 1.005 (0.050) | 0.983 1.027 | 0.988 (0.014) | .960 1.015 |
| fats                  | 1.078 (0.057) | 0.988 1.175 | 1.055 (0.053) | .960 1.158 | 1.056 (0.056) | .950 1.173 |
| saturated fatty-acids | 0.958 (0.056) | 0.851 1.078 | 0.959 (0.061) | .846 1.087 | 0.951 (0.076) | .812 1.112 |
| monounsaturated fatty-acids | 0.891 (0.031) | 0.786 1.008 | 0.946 (0.578) | .839 1.066 | 0.931 (0.070) | .801 1.080 |
| polyunsaturated fatty-acids | 0.717 (0.314) | .303 1.659 | 0.883 (0.304) | .449 1.734 | 0.726 (0.565) | .157 3.344 |
| Independent Variables | Age 50-59 |          | Ages 60-69 |          | Age 70 or older |          |
|-----------------------|----------|----------|------------|----------|----------------|----------|
|                       | OR (Std.err) | 95% CI Min Max | OR (Std.err) | 95% CI Min Max | OR (Std.err) | 95% CI Min Max |
| n-3 fatty-acids       | 1.377 (0.611) | 0.576 3.288 | 1.006 (0.352) | 0.506 2.000 | 1.314 (1.020) | 0.287 6.014 |
| n-6 fatty-acids       | 1.261 (0.546) | 0.539 2.950 | 1.052 (0.341) | 0.557 1.969 | 1.318 (1.010) | 0.294 5.890 |
| cholesterol           | 1.01** (0.001) | 1.000 1.002 | 0.999 (0.001) | 0.998 1.001 | 0.999 (0.001) | 0.997 1.001 |
| carbohydrate          | 1.001 (0.001) | 0.993 0.999 | 0.998 (0.001) | 0.996 1.001 | 1 (0.001) | 0.997 1.003 |
| dietary fiber         | 1.008 (0.013) | 0.980 1.035 | 1.012 (0.011) | 0.989 1.036 | 0.996 (0.013) | 0.968 1.023 |
| calcium               | 0.978** (0.001) | 0.965 0.998 | 1 (0.001) | 0.998 1.001 | 1.001 (0.001) | 0.999 1.002 |
| phosphorus            | 0.999 (0.001) | 0.997 1.001 | 0.999 (0.001) | 0.997 1.001 | 1 (0.001) | 0.998 1.002 |
| iron                  | 0.989 (0.023) | 0.945 1.035 | 0.995 (0.011) | 0.974 1.016 | 0.952** (0.024) | 0.905 0.997 |
| sodium                | 1.001** (0.001) | 1.000 1.002 | 0.969 (0.001) | 0.919 1.000 | 1 (0.001) | 0.999 1.001 |
| potassium             | 0.999** (0.001) | 0.999 0.999 | 0.999 (0.001) | 0.999 1.000 | 1 (0.001) | 0.999 1.000 |
| vitamin A             | 0.999 (0.001) | 0.997 0.999 | 0.999 (0.001) | 0.998 1.001 | 1 (0.001) | 0.998 1.002 |
| carotene              | 1.001 (0.001) | 0.998 1.000 | 1 (0.001) | 0.999 1.000 | 1 (0.001) | 0.999 1.001 |
| retinol               | 0.999 (0.001) | 0.996 1.002 | 0.998 (0.002) | 0.994 1.001 | 1 (0.002) | 0.992 1.001 |
| thiamine              | 1.232 (0.352) | 0.703 2.158 | 0.83** (0.301) | 0.790 0.892 | 0.699 (0.201) | 0.397 1.234 |
| riboflavin            | 0.687 (0.229) | 0.356 1.323 | 0.981* (0.23) | 0.482 1.429 | 1.166 (0.329) | 0.671 2.207 |
| niacin                | 1.001 (0.012) | 0.944 1.062 | 1.01 (0.011) | 0.957 1.067 | 1.019 (0.039) | 0.945 1.099 |

a. p<0.1*, p<0.05**, p<0.01***.
b. Control variables: Income, place of residence, education level, type of occupation, marital status, private health insurance, economic activity, smoking, and drinking.
c. n = 2,084.

The results showed that the osteoarthritis odds of women 50–59 years old was higher when cholesterol intake was higher (OR=1.010, 95% CI [1.000, 1.002]) and when sodium intake was higher (OR=1.001, 95% CI [1.000, 1.002]). Greater calcium intake (OR=0.978, 95% CI [0.965, 0.998]) and greater potassium intake (OR=0.999, 95% CI [0.999, 0.999]) tended to decrease osteoarthritis prevalence with statistical significance.

For women 60–69 years old, greater vitamin B1 intake (OR=0.830, 95% CI [0.790, 0.892]) and greater vitamin B2 intake (OR=0.981, 95% CI [0.482, 1.429]) reduced osteoarthritis odds. Nevertheless, the variable that was found significant within the present study’s significance level (p-value 0.05) was vitamin B1, and vitamin B2 was statistically significant at 10%.

For women 70 years old or older, more iron intake (OR=0.952, 95% CI [0.905, 0.997]) decreased osteoarthritis
odds with statistical significance.

4. Discussion

Summarizing the results of this study, among women 50–59 years old, cholesterol and sodium increased osteoarthritis prevalence, while nutrients classified as minerals reduced osteoarthritis prevalence. Further, B vitamins decreased osteoarthritis prevalence in women 60–69 years old, and iron was found to have a significant effect on women 70 years old or older.

A study by the Ministry of Food and Drug Safety (MFDS, formerly known as the Korea Food & Drug Administration or KFDA) reported that minerals are the nutrients that primarily form bones and influence bone metabolic homeostasis(KFDA., 2012), and the results of the current study are consistent with the MFDS study’s conclusions. Because B vitamins alleviate edema, help the blood to circulate better, and protect nerves, B vitamins help relieve arthritis(Lee, 2014). Furthermore, another study on the osteoarthritis prevalence of people 65 years old or older in South Korea also confirmed that B vitamins and riboflavin had a significant effect on osteoarthritis prevalence. The present study’s results are consistent with those from earlier studies.

These results seem to be attributable to a lack of the intake of the so-called ‘micronutrients’ (such as minerals and vitamins) among women 50 years old or older compared to men and younger women(Koo et al., 2014; Choi et al, 2007). These nutrients are most important for bone health, and their low levels among middle-aged or post-menopause women seem to have contributed to the present study’s results. As bone mass itself is not determined at a particular point in time but slowly changes throughout a person’s life(Choi et al., 2007), it would be necessary to conduct a longitudinal study, which explores ways to manage bone mass across various age groups.

The study analyzed the effect of nutrient intake on osteoarthritis based on data from the 7th KNHANES in 2016 and 2017. The results showed that nutrients influenced osteoarthritis prevalence differently across age groups. Minerals, vitamins, and iron were found to have a statistically significant effect on osteoarthritis in women 50–59 years old, 60–69 years old, and 70 years old or older, respectively. These nutrients are ‘micronutrients’ and, among the five major nutrients, were identified as the nutrients that assisted the ‘macronutrients’ (carbohydrates, fats, and proteins).

Due to its limitation as a cross-sectional study that could only show whether there was a correlation with osteoarthritis, the present study could not examine each correlation over time, nor could it use data based on a 24-hour dietary recall method to reflect participants’ daily average intake. However, the present study is critical because it used data from KNHANES, which sampled all people in Korea, and identified more representative and accurate factors influencing osteoarthritis.

Based on these results, further research is recommended. It is necessary to conduct a study that analyzes and compares the correlation between nutrient intake and osteoarthritis prevalence across different editions of KNHANES data. Further, a study that provides nutrition education programs to osteoarthritis patients and evaluates their osteoarthritis-related pain and quality of life is needed(Kim., 2016). Finally, it is crucial to develop a protocol for educating osteoarthritis patients about nutritional needs at different ages.
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