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Cu(II), Ni(II) and Zn(II) mononuclear building blocks based on new polynucleating azomethine ligand: synthesis and characterization
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¹Faculty of Chemistry, University of Wrocław, F. Joliot-Curie 14, 50-383 Wrocław, Poland.
²Department of Chemistry, Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, Volodymyrska 60, 01601 Kiev, Ukraine.
³Department of Chemistry, University of Jyväskylä, Seminaarinkatu 15 (C building), FI-40100 Jyväskylä, Finland

Five new mononuclear complexes formed by the polynucleating ligand 2-[1-(3,5-dimethyl)pyrazolyl]-2-hydroxyimino-N’-[1-(2-pyridyl)ethylidene]acetohydrazide (HL): [Ni(L)(HL)]ClO₄·2CH₃OH (1), [Ni(L)₂CH₃OH (2), [Zn(L)(HL)]ClO₄·2CH₃OH (3), [Zn(L)₂]·CH₃OH (4) and [Cu(L)₂]·CH₃OH (5) were synthesized and characterized by elemental analysis, mass-spectrometry, IR-spectroscopy and X-ray analysis. The complexes reveal a distorted octahedral N₄O₂ coordination arrangement formed by both protonated and deprotonated (1, 3) or two deprotonated ligand molecules (2, 4, 5). The presence of non-coordinated oxime and pyrazole groups results in the formation of extensive systems of hydrogen bonds in the crystal packing of 1-5. Potentiometric titrations, ESI-MS and spectrophotometric studies of complex formation in MeOH/H₂O solutions indicated the presence of mononuclear complexes with Cu(II), Ni(II) and Zn(II) ions. The solution studies carried out for an excess of Cu(II) over HL ligand (2:1 and 2:3 molar ratio) indicated also the formation of polynuclear Cu₂L₃H₄ species, with an involvement of additional nitrogen donors in copper coordination.In binuclear complex[Cu₂(L)₂(DMF)₃]ClO₄·DMF (6) obtained in solid state, the Cu(II) coordination, analogous to the one in 1-5, was supported by pyrazole N atom.

Introduction

Polynucleating Schiff base ligands containing several various donor functions attract considerable attention because of their versatility, in some cases unpredictable, coordination properties and ability to form polynuclear metal complexes of various topologies.¹⁴,¹⁵ The presence of two or more different donor sets in the ligand molecule allows obtaining polynuclear complexes with such original topologies as helicates,¹⁵ mononuclear metallacrowns,¹⁶ and molecular grids.¹⁵-¹⁸ Previously it was shown that polydentate Schiff base ligand Hpop (Scheme 1) forms with transition metals ions polynuclear complexes with [2×2] molecular grid topology.¹⁶ Particularly, two donor sets of Hpop contain the donor atoms of different nature which promote the formation of the heterometallic grid-like [Cu₂MnHpop(OAc)₄] complex.¹⁷ Although the preparation of molecular grids, in particular, heterometallic grids, are one-pot reactions, the formation of these complexes is likely a two-step self-assembly process.¹⁶,¹⁷ In the first step the mononuclear, metal-to-ligand 1:2 species is formed. In the second step two such mononuclear bis-ligand species are aggregated with two additional transition metal ions to give the tetranuclear molecular grid. The implementation of these steps as separate synthetic stages expands synthetic abilities and can help to control the self-assembly processes.

The present work is devoted to the synthesis of new polynucleating ligand 2-[1-(3,5-dimethyl)pyrazolyl]-2-hydroxyimino-N’-[1-(2-pyridyl)ethylidene]acetohydrazide (HL) (Scheme 1) containing several donor functions of different nature (vide supra), and the preparation of a series of complexes on its basis. Similarly to Hpop, HL ligand possess two different donor sets, i.e. the pyridine plus azomethine (A) and oxime (B) having common amide O atom; also includes an additional donor set (C) formed by the pyrazole N and oxime O atoms.

The presence of three alternative donor compartments in HL makes the molecular design of the target polynuclear complexes complicated as any predictions on the topology and structure of the resulting metal complexes seem to be quite speculative and ambiguous. Moreover, the formation of a sole polynuclear species in this case could not be so straightforward as in the case of the ligands typically used for the preparation of molecular grids (like Hpop) in which the number of donor atoms as a rule corresponds to the total number of positions available in the coordination spheres of all metal ions. Consequently, one-pot approach for polynuclear complexes can be complicated in the case of HL (see below) and thus seems to be unjustified. It is more reasonable and important to (i) define the primary donor sets in which metal binding occurs first, resulting in the formation of mononuclear species, and (ii) isolate the corresponding mononuclear complexes for further use as building blocks for the preparation of polynuclear assemblies. Thus, speciation studies in solution and isolation of mononuclear complexes (which a priori should contain vacant donor atoms or even donor compartments) should be regarded as a primary task in studies of donor properties of HL.

![Scheme 1. Schematic depiction of Hpop and HL ligands.](image-url)
Material and methods

Materials

All of the reagents used in this work were of analytical grade and used without further purification. The solution studies were carried out in a MeOH/H2O (80:20 w/w) mixture. The ionic strength was fixed at I = 0.1 M with NaClO4. The solutions of Cu(II), Ni(II) and Zn(II) were prepared by dissolving M(ClO4)2·nH2O (M = Cu(II), Ni(II) and Zn(II)) in water and standardized by ICP-AES. Caution! Perchlorate salts combined with organic ligands are potentially explosive and should be handled in small quantity and with the necessary precautions. HClO4 solution was titrated by standardized NaOH in MeOH/H2O solution. Carbonate-free NaOH solution was standardized by titration with potassium hydrogen phthalate.

Syntheses

Ethyl 2-[1-(3,5-dimethyl)pyrazolyl]-2-hydroxyiminoacetate: A mixture of ethyl 2-chloro-2-hydroxyiminoacetate (906 mg, 6 mmol) synthesized according to [9] and 3,5-dimethylpyrazol (1152 mg, 12 mmol) in 10 ml of chloroform was left for crystallization. After one week green crystals of suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained (yield 14 mg, 40%). Found: C, 55.9; H, 5.5; N, 24.15. IR (KBr, cm\(^{-1}\)): 1673 (vC=Oamide 1), 1022 (vN-Ooxime).

2-[1-(3,5-dimethyl)pyrazolyl]-2-hydroxyiminoacetohydrazide: A solution of hydrazine hydrate in water (0.57 ml, 60%, 10.60 mmol) was added to the obtained solution. The resulting green-brown mixture was stirred with heating for 40 min, filtered off and left in air for crystallization. After one week yellow crystals of suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained (yield 19 mg, 55%). Found: C, 49.6; H, 4.8; N, 23.9. Calc. for C\(_2\)H\(_4\)N\(_2\)O\(_2\)Zn: C, 50.04; H, 4.92; N, 24.15. IR (KBr, cm\(^{-1}\)): 1624 (vC=Oamide 1), 1025 (vN-Ooxime).

[Ni(L)\(_2\)]Cl\(_2\)·2CH\(_3\)OH (2): Solution of NaOH in methanol (0.1 M, 1 ml, 0.10mmol) was added to solution of HL in methanol (0.1 M, 1 ml, 0.10mmol) and then solution of Ni(ClO\(_4\))\(_2\)·6H\(_2\)O in methanol (0.1 M, 0.5 ml, 0.05 mmol) was added to the obtained mixture. The resulting yellow-green mixture was stirred with heating for 40 min, filtered off and left in air for crystallization. After one week green crystals of suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained by slow diffusion of diethyl ether vapours into resulting DMF solution during one week.

[Ni(L)\(_2\)]Cl\(_2\)·2CH\(_3\)OH (2): Solution of NaOH in methanol (0.1 M, 1 ml, 0.10 mmol) was added to solution of HL in methanol (0.1 M, 1 ml, 0.10 mmol) and then solution of Ni(ClO\(_4\))\(_2\)·6H\(_2\)O in methanol (0.1 M, 0.5 ml, 0.05 mmol) was added to the obtained mixture. The resulting yellow-green mixture was stirred with heating for 40 min, filtered off and left in air for crystallization. After one week green crystals of suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained by slow diffusion of diethyl ether vapours into resulting DMF solution during one week.
month (yield 9 mg, 16%). IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 1631 (νC=O, amide I), 1025 (νN-O, oxim).}

**Physical measurements**

Potentiometric titrations of HL and its complexes were performed using an automatic titrator system Titrand 905 (Metrohm) with a combined glass electrode (Mettler Toledo InLab Semi-Micro) calibrated daily in hydrogen ion concentration using HClO₄ (Metrohm) with a combined glass electrode (Mettler Toledo) performed using an automatic titrator system Titran do 905.

The concentration of the ligand was around 2·10⁻³ M. The solvent mixture used in measurements was NaCl in MeOH/H₂O (80:20 w/w) mixture before every measurement. Between measurements electrode was stored in the same electrolyte solution. The experiments were carried out at 25±0.2°C and stream of Argon, pre-saturated with MeOH/H₂O (80:20 w/w) vapour, passed over the surface of the solution cell protecting from excessive evaporation of the sample. All the titrations were carried out on 3 ml samples with the ligand concentration of 1-3·10⁻³ M and metal to ligand molar ratios 1:1, 1:2, 2:1 and 3:2 for Cu(II), 1:1 and 1:2 for Ni(II) and Zn(II). The exact concentration of the ligand was determined using the method of Gran. The potentiometric data were refined with Superquad [11] program, which use nonlinear least-squares methods. The obtained pKw in the solvent mixture used in measurements was -14.42 [12].

Absorption spectra were recorded using a Varian Cary 300 UV-Vis spectrophotometer at 25.0±0.2°C. The spectrophotometric data were analyzed with SPECFIT program. pH-dependent UV-Vis titrations were performed in the pH range 2-11. The combined glass electrode (Mettler Toledo InLab Semi-Micro) was prepared as in the case of potentiometric titration calibrated with buffers prepared in MeOH/H₂O (80:20 w/w) mixture before every measurement. [13] For Ni(II)-HL system, total volume of the solution containing 1:2 and 1:1 molar ratio of Ni(II):ligand was 7 ml. The concentration of the ligand was around 2·10⁻³ M. The starting pH was adjusted to around 2 with HClO₄ and the titration was carried out in a cell with 5 cm optical path length. For Cu(II), titrations were performed in a 1 cm cell with 3.2 ml as total volume of the solution containing 1:1, 1:2 and 2:1 molar ratios of Cu(II):ligand. The pH was controlled by addition of HClO₄.

EPR spectra were recorded on a Bruker ELEXSYS E500 CW-EPR spectrometer equipped with an NMR teslameter (ER 036TM) and frequency counter (E 41 FC) at X-band frequency, at 77 K. The solutions for EPR were prepared by using MeOH/H₂O mixture (80/20 w/w). The experimental spectra were simulated using WINEPR Simfonia 1.26 program and Doubletnew (EPR OF S=1/2) program by Dr. Andrew Ozarowski, National High Field Magnetic Laboratory, University of Florida.

Electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) data were collected in two series of experiments: (i) using a Jeol JMS-800D device or (ii) a Bruker apex ultra FT-ICR mass spectrometer and a BrukerMicro-TOF-Q spectrometer (BrukerDaltonik, Germany), equipped with an Apollo II electrospray ionization source with an ion funnel. In the first series the 1-5 complexes were dissolved in methanol (concentration of 10⁻⁴-10⁻⁶ M). For the second experiment stock solutions were prepared by using MeOH/H₂O mixture (80/20 w/w) as a solvent. The metal to ligand molar ratios were 1:1, 1:2 and 2:1 and the final pH of the solutions were 2 and 8. The instrument parameters were: dry gas–nitrogen, temperature 200°C, ion source voltage 4500 V, collision energy 10 eV. The instrument was calibrated using the Tungemix mixture (BrukerDaltonik, Germany) in the quadratic regression mode. During both experiments the spectra were recorded in the positive ion mode in the range 100 to 1500 m/z. The overall charge of the analyzed ion was calculated on the base of the distance between the isotopic peaks. For MS spectra analysis, a Bruker Compass DataAnalysis4.0 software was used.

³H NMR spectra (400 MHz) were recorded using a Bruker AC-400 spectrometer at 293 K. IR spectra (KBr pellets) were recorded using a Perkin-Elmer Spectrum BX spectrometer in the wavenumber range 200-4000 cm⁻¹ (Figure S1).

**X-ray crystallography**

All the measurements were performed using a NoniusKappa CCD diffractometer with a horizontally mounted graphite crystal as a monochromator and Mo Kα radiation. Data were collected and processed using Collect software. The structures were solved and refined using the SHELXS-97 and SHELXL-97 programs, respectively. For structure representation, the Diamond 3 program was used.

**Results and discussion**

The HL ligand was synthesized from ethyl 2-chloro-2-hydroxyiminoacetate in three steps (Scheme 2).

![Scheme 2. Synthetic route to the HL ligand.](image)

Compounds 1-5 were obtained by the reaction between the HL ligand and the corresponding metal salt in methanol, with or without an addition of alkali. It is important to note that the presence of metal ions facilitates the deprotonation of the amide group in such type of ligands. Thus, the reaction of HL with zinc (II) and nickel (II) perchlorates gives complexes 1 and 3, respectively, where one of two ligand molecules is deprotonated. The synthesis under the same experimental conditions, but performed with addition of one equivalent of sodium hydroxide, permits to obtain compounds 2 and 4, where both ligand molecules are deprotonated. In the case of copper (II) perchlorate the deprotonation of both ligand molecules occurs without addition of alkali.
IR-spectroscopic studies of 1-5 show a limited low frequency shift of the v(NO) band of the oxime group compared to the spectrum of HL (Δν = 20-24 cm⁻¹ stretching mode), which suggests lack of involvement of this group in the coordination. The long wave shift of the v(CO) Amide I band of the amide group (Δν = 49-64 cm⁻¹) indicates the amide O atom coordination.

**ESI-MS Spectrometry**

Complex formation and the compositions of species formed in solution upon mixing HL ligand with Ni(II), Zn(II) and Cu(II)metal ions were first monitored using ESI-MS. Although this technique is not able to distinguish the ionizable protons in the species, the method can be successfully applied to determine the metal-to-ligand stoichiometry directly from the m/z values and has been successfully used by us when previously describing analogous systems. Analysis of the ESI-MS spectra of the reaction mixture of Ni(II)HL, with a metal to ligand molar ratio 1:1, showed the formation of mononuclear and oligomeric species successfully attributed to mononuclear ([NiHL]+H⁺) (m/z 657.2), ([NiL]+Na⁺) (m/z 679.2), and polynuclear ([NiL]⁺) (m/z 1013.2), ([NiL]⁺-H⁺+Na⁺) (m/z 1035.2), ([NiL]+2H⁺) (m/z 1071.2), ([NiL]+3H⁺) (m/z 1427.2) species (Figure 1). The recorded spectra were almost identical for solutions at pH 2 and 8, as well as for Ni(II):HL 1:2 molar ratio. All peak assignments were based on the comparison between the calculated and experimental isotope patterns (Figure S2, Supplementary Material).

![Figure 1: ESI-MS spectra of the Ni(CIO₄)₂-HL system (pH 8) in methanol/water solution.](image)

Similar behaviour has been observed for Zn(CIO₄)₂-HL system, where the following species were detected by ESI-MS: ([ZnL]⁺+H⁺) (m/z 663.2), ([ZnL]+Na⁺) (m/z 685.2), ([ZnL]+K⁺) (m/z 701.1) and ([ZnL]⁺) (m/z 1029.2) (Figure S3).

The ESI-MS spectra of the reaction mixture of Cu(CIO₄)₂-HL were interpreted as: ([CuL]⁺) (m/z 362.1), ([CuL]+H⁺+Cl⁻) (m/z 398.0), ([CuL]+H⁺+CIO₄⁻) (m/z 462.0), ([CuL]+CIO₄⁻+Na⁺) (m/z 484.0), ([CuL]+H⁺) (m/z 662.0), ([CuL]⁺+H⁻) (m/z 723.1), ([CuL]+2Cl⁻) (m/z 825.1), ([CuL]⁺+H⁻+Cl⁻) (m/z 847.0), ([CuL]+2Na⁺+2CIO₄⁻) (m/z 947.0), ([CuL]⁺+2H⁻+2Na⁺+3CIO₄⁻) (m/z 1090.9) (Figure S5). The ([CuL]+CIO₄⁻+H⁺) species was predominating the solution.

Here again, the presence of ([CuL]+H⁺) was evidenced even at 1:1 metal to ligand molar ratio, and an excess of ligand in the solution gave spectra with the latter species predominating the solution. In the case of 2:1 metal to ligand molar ratio, at pH 3 only mononuclear ([CuL]⁺), ([CuL]+H⁺+CIO₄⁻) and ([CuL]+CIO₄⁻+Na⁺) species were identified, however, at pH 9 the ESI-MS spectra showed the formation of oligomeric ([CuL]+3H⁻) complex.

Methanolic solutions of the synthesized complexes 1-5 were also subjected to ESI-MS analysis. In the mass-spectra of all the complexes the patterns corresponding to mononuclear ([ML₂]+X⁺) species were found (M = Ni(II), Zn(II), Cu(II), X = H⁺, Na⁺ or K⁺). Furthermore, low intensity signals (< 5%) corresponding to bimetallic 2:3 species (([NiL]⁺)³⁻, ([NiL]+H⁻+Na⁺)⁻, ([ZnL]⁺)⁻ and ([CuL]⁺)²⁻) were also observed. The results of the mass-spectrometric experiments are collected in Table S1.

In spite of the fact that there is a low correlation between intensities of signals in ESI-MS mass-spectra and concentrations of corresponding species, we suppose that mononuclear complexes are prevailing in solution of all the systems studied in this work. At the same time bimetallic species are probably minor species, which are formed from the mononuclear species due to the Equation 1.

$$2([ML₂]+X⁺)\rightleftharpoons([ML₂(H₂L₂)]=2X⁺)²⁻+HL \quad \text{Equation 1.}$$

(M = Ni(II), Zn(II) or Cu(II); X⁺ = H⁺, Na⁺ or K⁺)

The presence of such equilibrium suggests the possibility of the association of HL-containing mononuclear complexes into more complex supramolecular aggregates.

**Speciation studies**

**Free ligand.** In order to evaluate the coordination properties of the studied HL ligand toward metal ions, first the acid-base properties of this ligand were determined. The deprotonated form of the ligand, [L]⁻, may attach three protons in the measured pH range, and the protonation constants determined are presented in Table 1. The first constant (logK₁ = 8.77) corresponds to the protonation of oxime O group, the second (logK₂ = 2.98) and the third (logK₃ = 1.81) of the pyridine and pyrazole N atoms. The determination of microconstants and assignment of the successive protonation constants logK₄ and logK₅ to particular protonation site was not the goal of the work and was not studied in detail. Although slightly lower, logK₄ and logK₅ agree with the corresponding oxime and pyridine protonation constants determined for Hpop ligand. It should be emphasized that HL and Hpop were measured under different experimental conditions (MeOH/H₂O 80/20 w/w and DMSO/H₂O 10/90 v/v, respectively), thereby precluding direct comparison of the data. However, the decrease of the protonation constants of HL ligand may come from an inductive effect of the pyrazole group.
Ni(II) and Zn(II) complexes. According to the potentiometric titrations, for Ni(II) and Zn(II) ions the stoichiometry of the complexes formed in solution were different for experiments performed in 1:1 and 1:2 metal to ligand molar ratios. In equimolar solutions only oligomeric species were found, starting from \([\text{NiL}_3^3]^{2+}\) and \([\text{ZnL}_3^3]^{2+}\), for Ni(II) and Zn(II) respectively, up to \([\text{M}_3\text{L}_4]^3\) where \(\text{M} = \text{Ni}(\text{II}), \text{Zn}(\text{II})\). For 1:2 metal-to-ligand molar ratio the speciation models obtained were best fitted with monomeric species, from \([\text{NiL}_3^4]^{2+}\) to \([\text{ML}_2^2\text{H}_2]^2\) (Table S4, Figure 2).

In the spectrophotometric titration carried out for Ni(II)-HL in molar ratio 1:1 and 1:2, the d–d transitions centred at 864 nm (Table 1, Figure S4). In the spectrophotometric titration carried out for Ni(II)-HL in molar ratio 1:1 and 1:2, the d–d transitions centred at 864 nm (Table 1, Figure S4). In the spectrophotometric titration carried out for Ni(II)-HL in molar ratio 1:1 and 1:2, the d–d transitions centred at 864 nm (Table 1, Figure S4).

| Table 1. Potentiometric and spectroscopic data for proton and M(II) complexes of HL$^+$ |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| species                    | log $\beta$     | log $K$         | $\lambda$ (nm)  |
| protonation of L           |                 |                 |                 |
| HL                         | 8.74 (2)        | 8.74            |                 |
| H$_2$L                     | 11.72(4)        | 2.98            |                 |
| H$_3$L                     | 13.53(6)        | 1.81            |                 |
| Ni(II) complexes           |                 |                 |                 |
| (Ni(II):L = 1:1)           |                 |                 |                 |
| \([\text{NiL}_2^2]^{3+}\)  | 41.11(9)        | 864            | 11              |
| \([\text{NiL}_2^3]^{2+}\)  | 38.03(7)        | 864            | 13              |
| \([\text{NiL}_2^4]^{+}\)   | 33.41(1)        | 864            | 15              |
| \([\text{NiL}_2^5]^{2+}\)  | 27.81(1)        | 864            | 18              |
| \([\text{NiL}_2^6]^{+}\)   | 21.42(2)        | 864            | 21              |
| \([\text{NiL}_3]^{3+}\)    | 12.11(1)        | 864            | 22              |
| Ni(II) complexes           |                 |                 |                 |
| (Ni(II):L = 1:2)           |                 |                 |                 |
| \([\text{NiHL}]^{+}\)      | 22.25(3)        | 864            | 22              |
| \([\text{NiL}]^2\)         | 18.52(4)        | 864            | 39              |
| \([\text{NiL}_2^2]^{2+}\)  | 8.33(5)         | 864            | 43              |
| \([\text{NiL}_3^2]^{2+}\)  | -2.43(5)        | 864            | 45              |
| Zn(II) complexes           |                 |                 |                 |
| (Zn(II):L = 1:1)           |                 |                 |                 |
| \([\text{ZnL}_2^2]^{3+}\)  | 41.23(5)        | 3.80           | 3.08            |
| \([\text{ZnL}_2^3]^{2+}\)  | 37.43(9)        | 3.18           | 3.28            |
| \([\text{ZnL}_2^4]^{+}\)   | 34.15(7)        | 6.76           | 6.77            |
| \([\text{ZnL}_2^5]^{2+}\)  | 27.39(5)        | 6.77           | 6.77            |
| \([\text{ZnL}_2^6]^{+}\)   | 20.62(7)        | 6.05           | 6.05            |
| \([\text{ZnL}_3]^{3+}\)    | 14.57(9)        | 5.93           | 8.67            |
| Zn(II) complexes           |                 |                 |                 |
| (Zn(II):L = 1:2)           |                 |                 |                 |
| \([\text{ZnHL}_2]^{2+}\)   | 23.79(3)        | 3.15           | 3.15            |
| \([\text{ZnL}]^2\)         | 20.64(2)        | 4.16           | 4.16            |
| \([\text{ZnL}_2^2]^{2+}\)  | 16.84(3)        | 9.51           | 9.51            |
| \([\text{ZnL}_3^2]^{2+}\)  | -3.85(5)        | 10.82          | 10.82           |

Cu(II) complexes. Calculations based on the potentiometric data obtained for equimolar solutions of Cu(II) and HL indicate the formation of monomeric and dimeric species, i.e. starting with \([\text{CuL}]^+\) predominating the solution in pH range 2-5.5, and followed by \([\text{CuL}_2^2\text{H}]^+\) and \([\text{CuL}_2^2\text{H}_2]^2\) (Table 2, Figure S7). Using UV-Vis spectroscopy, we observed d-d transitions centred at 685 nm, which showed only small hypso- and hyperchromic shifts above pH 5.5 (Table 2, Figure S7). Such characteristics may indicate that in \([\text{CuL}]^+\) copper coordination is realized via two nitrogen donors, from the pyridine ring and from the azomethine group, and completed by an oxygen atom from amide group.

\[\text{Solvent: MeOH/H}_2\text{O 80:20 w/w, I = 0.1 M (NaClO}_4, T = (25.0 \pm 0.2) ^\circ\text{C.}}\]
In dimeric complexes an additional nitrogen atom from the pyrazole ring may support the copper binding (as observed in X-ray structure of 6, Figure 7). Also EPR parameters strongly support the model based on monomeric and dimeric species (Figure S6). [CuL]⁺ complex is characterized by $A_{\text{II}} = 156.1$ G and $g_{\text{av}} = 2.25$, while the spectra of dimeric species are distinguished by the presence of three g factor values: $g_x = 2.25$, $g_y = 2.12$ and $g_z = 2.03$, what points toward the pentacoordination of Cu(II), and the geometry of the complex being intermediate between the square pyramid and the trigonal bipyramid (Figure 7). To distinguish between the two geometries, geometric parameters $\tau$ (Equation 2) and $R$ (Equation 3) were calculated:

$$\tau = \frac{\beta - \alpha}{60} \quad \text{Equation 2.}$$

$$R = \frac{g_x - g_y}{g_z - g_y} \quad \text{Equation 3.}$$

$g_x$, $g_y$, and $g_z$ are g factor values of dimeric [CuL₂Hₓ]⁺ (x=1–6) species. [23]

The values of $\tau$ ($\tau_{\text{CuI}} = 0.09$, $\tau_{\text{CuII}} = 0.05$) and $R$ ($R = 0.64$) parameters indicate the geometry of the distorted square pyramid with less than 10% share of the trigonal bipyramid. [23]

The potentiometric titrations performed for 1:2 Cu(II) to ligand molar ratio suggested the formation of the [CuL]⁺ mononuclear species up to pH 5.5, followed by [CuL₂], [CuL₂H₁]²⁺ and [CuL₂H₂]³⁺ biscomplexes (Table 2, Figure 3B). The maximum of absorption spectra moves from 685 nm ($ε = 110$ M⁻¹·cm⁻¹), through 574 ($ε = 165$ M⁻¹·cm⁻¹), up to 554 nm ($ε = 285$ M⁻¹·cm⁻¹) (Table 2, Figure S7), suggesting that Cu(II) changes the coordination environment from (Npyridine, Nazomethine, Oamide) to 2x(Npyridine, Nazomethine, Oamide). The presence of mononuclear forms along the entire pH range studied (2-11) was confirmed by EPR spectra (Table 2). The EPR behaviour, i.e. a decrease of $A_{\text{II}}$ from 153 G for [CuL]⁺ till 114.5 G for [CuL₂H₁]²⁺ with almost constant $g_z$ (Table 2, Figure S6) may be the result of a decrease in the symmetry of the complex. It has to be underlined that present speciation is different to the one previously observed for Cu(II)H₃pooph system, where only biscomplexes were formed along the entire pH range. [6]

The solution studies carried out for an excess of Cu(II) over HL ligand (2:1 and 3:2 molar ratio) show that metal ion complexation again starts with the formation of the [CuL]⁺ complex, and is followed by polynuclear CuL₂Hₓ species (Table 2, Figure 3C). The distinct increase of the d–d transitions energy up to 630 nm indicate involvement of additional nitrogen donors in copper coordination (Figure S7). The disappearance of EPR signal confirms the formation of polynuclear species (Figure S6).

Figure 3. Species distribution profiles for Cu(II) complexes of HL. A: Cu(II)-HL in molar ratio M:HL = 1:1, B: Cu(II)-HL in molar ratio M:HL = 1:2, C: Cu(II)-HL in molar ratio M:HL = 2:1; [HL] = 2x10⁻⁴ M, $I = 0.1$ M (NaClO₄), MeOH/H₂O 80:20 w/w, $T = (25.0 \pm 0.2)$°C.
Table 2: Potentiometric and spectroscopic data for Cu(II) complexes of HL

| Species                        | logβ | logK | λ (nm) | ε (M⁻¹cm⁻¹) | Aₓ | Aᵧ | Aₜ | gₓ | gᵧ | gₜ | T₁Cu₁ | T₁Cu₂ | R  |
|-------------------------------|------|------|--------|-------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|-------|-------|----|
| Cu(II):L = 1:1                |      |      |        |             |    |    |    |    |    |    |       |       |    |
| [CuL⁺]                        | 10.74(2) | 685 | 110   | 18  | 18  | 156.1 | 2.07 | 2.07 | 2.25 |      |       |      |
| [Cu₂L₂⁺]                      | 18.11(17) | 660 | 245   | 108 | 35  | 30   | 2.25 | 2.12 | 2.03 | 0.09 | 0.05  | 0.64  |
| [Cu₂L₂⁺H⁻]                    | 11.28(13) | 6.83 | 668   | 400 | 108 | 35   | 30   | 2.25 | 2.12 | 2.03 | 0.09  | 0.05  | 0.64  |
| Cu(II):L = 1:2                |      |      |        |             |    |    |    |    |    |    |       |       |    |
| [CuL⁺]                        | 10.74(2) | 685 | 110   | 18  | 18  | 156.1 | 2.07 | 2.07 | 2.25 |      |       |      |
| [Cu₂L₂⁺H⁻]                    | 23.16(9) | 630 | 160   |    | 29  | 29   | 114.5 | 2.10 | 2.10 | 2.26 |      |       |      |
| [Cu₂L₂⁺H⁻] ᵃ               | -6.72(9) | 10.15 | 630  | 160 |    |      |       |       |       |      |       |       |

Solvent: MeOH/H₂O 80:20 w/w, I = 0.1 M (NaClO₄), T = (25.0 ± 0.2) °C.

X-ray analysis

Mononuclear complexes. All the reported mononuclear complexes 1-5 are crystallized in P-1 space group with very close unit cell parameters (Table 3). The compounds 1 and 3 have similar ionic structures, which consist of the [M(L)(HL)]⁺ (M = Ni(II) or Zn(II)) complex cation, perchlorate anion and two methanol solvent molecules (Figure 4). The perchlorate anion in structures 1 and 3 is disordered over two near positions; the distance between Cl atoms of two such positions is less than 0.7 Å. The compounds 2, 4 and 5 have similar molecular structures, which consist of the neutral complex species [M(L)₂] (M = Cu(II), Ni(II) or Zn(II)) and methanol solvent molecules (Figure 4). Thus, compounds 1-5 reveal two structural types: molecular and ionic. The elements of crystal structures are connected by the extensive systems of hydrogen bonds. Selected bond lengths and angles are collected in Table 4.

The complex species [M(L)(HL)]⁺ and [M(L)₂] include two ligand molecules and reveal similar structures. One or two ligand molecules are singly deprotonated in [M(L)(HL)]⁺ and [M(L)₂], respectively. Note that the deprotonation occurs at the azomethine group, the amide nitrogen is deprotonated although it is not involved in the metal coordination. Such behaviour is similar to that observed in a series of Hpop-containing complexes.¹⁴⁻²⁶ Both ligand molecules are coordinated in a tridentate (N₆pyridine, N₅azomethine, O₆amide) mode, thus forming two fused five-membered chelate rings. The central atoms have the distorted octahedral coordination arrangements with N₅O₂ chromophores. Interestingly, in both complex species such an efficient donor as the oxime group remains uncoordinated and protonated, in spite of the fact that it can be involved in the chelate ring formation with the neighbouring pyrazole or amide nitrogen atoms. Presumably, the bis-chelating mode observed in 1 and 3 provides the most efficient donor set for primary metal binding.

Figure 4. The structures of [Ni(L)(HL)]⁺ complex cation of 1 (top) and [Cu(L)₂] of 5 (bottom).
Table 3. Crystallographic data for 1-5.

| Substance | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|-----------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Empirical formula | C_{59}H_{74}Cl_{2}N_{24}NiO_{19} | C_{59}H_{74}Cl_{2}N_{24}O_{19}Zn_{2} | C_{59}H_{74}Cl_{2}N_{24}O_{19}Zn | C_{59}H_{74}Cl_{2}N_{24}O_{19}Zn | C_{59}H_{74}Cl_{2}N_{24}O_{19}Zn | C_{59}H_{74}Cl_{2}N_{24}O_{19}Zn |
| Formula weight | 1611.70 | 1624.05 | 792.18 | 695.20 | 1114.91 |
| Temperature (K) | 100(2) | 100(2) | 100(2) | 100(2) | 100(2) | 100(2) |
| Wavelength (Å) | 0.71073 | 0.71073 | 0.71073 | 0.71073 | 0.71073 | 0.71073 |
| Crystal system | Triclinic | Triclinic | Triclinic | Triclinic | Triclinic | Triclinic |
| Space group | P | P | P | P | P | P |
| Unit cell dimensions | | | | | | |
| a (Å) | 10.6303(3) | 10.7043(2) | 10.6569(3) | 10.8509(3) | 10.6915(3) | 10.7101(3) |
| b (Å) | 11.4519(3) | 11.4389(2) | 11.4695(3) | 11.3171(3) | 11.4517(3) | 11.4389(2) |
| c (Å) | 15.0083(4) | 14.8083(3) | 15.1619(4) | 14.8271(4) | 15.0562(4) | 14.8083(3) |
| α (°) | 77.7024(10) | 77.7370(10) | 77.776(2) | 77.9980(10) | 77.444(2) | 77.776(2) |
| β (°) | 77.4310(10) | 76.9800(10) | 76.735(2) | 76.2320(10) | 77.3300(10) | 76.9800(10) |
| γ (°) | 81.7251(13) | 82.1410(10) | 81.414(2) | 82.2400(10) | 81.8790(10) | 82.1410(10) |
| Volume (Å³) | 1733.22(8) | 1718.75(6) | 1752.98(8) | 1722.78(8) | 1747.02(8) | 1722.78(8) |
| Z | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Density (calc) (Mg/m³) | 1.544 | 1.623 | 1.538 | 1.527 | 1.322 | 1.585 |
| Absorption coefficient (mm⁻¹) | 0.710 | 0.723 | 0.849 | 0.784 | 0.679 | 1.081 |
| F(000) | 838 | 876 | 841 | 832 | 724 | 1180 |
| Crystal size (mm³) | 0.41 x 0.15 x 0.07 | 0.38 x 0.22 x 0.13 | 0.47 x 0.17 x 0.06 | 0.38 x 0.22 x 0.13 | 0.31 x 0.20 x 0.12 | 0.31 x 0.20 x 0.12 |
| Theta range for data collection | 1.83 to 31.00° | 1.83 to 33.30° | 1.40 to 33.42° | 1.85 to 33.38° | 1.83 to 35.09° | 1.57 to 33.25° |
| Index ranges | -15h≤15, -15k≤15, -15l≤15 | -15h≤15, -15k≤15, -15l≤15 | -16h≤16, -17k≤17, -23l≤23 | -16h≤16, -17k≤17, -23l≤23 | -16h≤16, -17k≤17, -23l≤23 | -16h≤16, -17k≤17, -23l≤23 |
| Reflections collected | 40316 | 13062 | 34844 | 13236 | 15375 | 62467 |
| Independent reflections | 11019 (R(int) = 0.0531) | 13062 (R(int) = 0.0000) | 13540 (R(int) = 0.0430) | 13236 | 15375 | 18240 (R(int) = 0.0227) |
| Absorption correction | Numerical | Semi-empirical from equivalents | Semi-empirical from equivalents | Semi-empirical from equivalents | Semi-empirical from equivalents | Semi-empirical from equivalents |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.9506 and 0.7571 | 0.9125 and 0.7778 | 0.9524 and 0.6890 | 0.9056 and 0.7560 | 0.9925 and 0.8196 | 0.9243 and 0.6686 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on F² | Full-matrix least-squares on F² | Full-matrix least-squares on F² | Full-matrix least-squares on F² | Full-matrix least-squares on F² | Full-matrix least-squares on F² |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 11019 / 115 / 492 | 13062 / 0 / 431 | 13450 / 13 / 522 | 13326 / 0 / 431 | 15375 / 0 / 432 | 18240 / 0 / 652 |
| Goodness-of-fit on F² | 1.038 | 1.054 | 1.001 | 1.091 | 1.078 | 1.019 |
| Final R indices [I>2σ(I)] | R1 = 0.0451, wR2 = 0.1012 | R1 = 0.0374, wR2 = 0.0911 | R1 = 0.0492, wR2 = 0.0878 | R1 = 0.0317, wR2 = 0.0875 | R1 = 0.0441, wR2 = 0.0909 | R1 = 0.0443, wR2 = 0.1010 |
| R indices (all data) | R1 = 0.0733, wR2 = 0.1132 | R1 = 0.0517, wR2 = 0.0946 | R1 = 0.1114, wR2 = 0.1036 | R1 = 0.0411, wR2 = 0.0909 | R1 = 0.0677, wR2 = 0.1073 | R1 = 0.0490, wR2 = 0.1030 |
| Largest diff. peak and hole (e.Å⁻³) | 0.812 and -0.828 | 0.578 and -0.547 | 0.572 and -0.595 | 0.699 and -0.432 | 1.186 and -0.541 | 1.705 and -1.018 |
The Ni-N coordination bond lengths are ranging from 1.9822(15) to 2.0930(16) Å and 1.9911(9)-2.1034(10) Å, and Ni-O 2.0592(14)-2.1633(14) Å and 2.0780(9)-2.1302(9) Å in 1 and 2, respectively. The Zn-N bond distances in 3 fall in the range 2.0592(14)-2.1592(15) Å, Zn-O 2.0924(13)-2.2511(13) Å, and in 4 the range of those distances is 2.0679(8)-2.1929(9) Å for Zn-N and 2.1130(7)-2.1480(8) Å for Zn-O.

Table 4. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [°] of 1-5.

|   | 1                | 2                |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|
| Ni(1)-N(2) | 2.0043(19)      | 1.9969(10)      |
| Ni(1)-N(1) | 2.0769(17)      | 2.0920(10)      |
| Ni(1)-N(7) | 2.0930(15)      | 2.1034(10)      |
| Ni(1)-N(8) | 1.9822(19)      | 2.0911(9)       |
| Ni(1)-O(1) | 2.1633(13)      | 2.1302(9)       |
| Ni(1)-O(3) | 2.0593(13)      | 2.0780(9)       |
| O(1)-Ni(1)-N(2) | 75.979(60) | 76.44(4)        |
| N(2)-Ni(1)-N(1) | 77.645(66) | 77.99(4)        |
| N(7)-Ni(1)-N(8) | 78.436(66) | 78.23(4)        |
| N(8)-Ni(1)-O(3) | 77.530(62) | 76.99(4)        |

3

Zn(1)-N(2) 2.0950(1) 2.0679(0)
Zn(1)-N(1) 2.1270(1) 2.1929(0)
Zn(1)-N(7) 2.1592(1) 2.1841(0)
Zn(1)-N(8) 2.0592(0) 2.0866(0)
Zn(1)-O(1) 2.2511(1) 2.148(0)
Zn(1)-O(3) 2.0924(1) 2.113(0)
O(1)-Zn(1)-N(2) 72.861(1) 74.667(1)
N(2)-Zn(1)-N(1) 75.533(1) 75.366(1)
N(7)-Zn(1)-N(8) 76.387(1) 75.403(1)
N(1)-Zn(1)-O(3) 75.347(1) 73.484(1)

4

Cu(1)-N(2) 2.0576(10)
Cu(1)-N(1) 2.2059(12)
Cu(1)-N(7) 2.0480(11)
Cu(1)-N(8) 1.9299(10)
Cu(1)-O(1) 2.3844(10)
Cu(1)-O(3) 2.0024(9)
O(1)-Cu(1)-N(2) 72.69(4)
N(2)-Cu(1)-N(1) 75.81(4)
N(7)-Cu(1)-N(8) 79.99(4)
N(8)-Cu(1)-O(3) 79.14(4)

Table 5. Hydrogen bond parameters of 1-5.

|   | D-H...A d(D-H),Å d(H...A),Å d(D...A),Å (DH...A),° |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 1 | O(2)-H(2O)...N(9)#1 0.92 1.81 2.714(2) 166.3 |
|   | O(4)-H(4O)...O(9)#2 0.83 1.76 2.568(2) 163.4 |
|   | O(9)-H(90)...N(6) 0.88 1.89 2.776(3) 176.2 |
|   | N(3)-H(3N)...O(10) 0.88 1.98 2.850(3) 170 |
| 2 | O(2)-H(2O)...N(9)#1 0.86 1.9 2.7522(13) 171.3 |
|   | O(4)-H(4O)...O(5) 0.76 1.84 2.5763(15) 163.4 |
|   | O(5)-H(5O)...N(6)#2 0.8 1.98 2.7622(15) 162.9 |
| 3 | O(2)-H(2O)...N(9)#1 0.82 1.89 2.7046(19) 171.6 |
|   | O(4)-H(4O)...O(5) 0.76 1.86 2.5936(11) 163 |
|   | O(5)-H(5O)...N(6)#2 0.8 2 2.7664(12) 161.5 |
| 4 | O(2)-H(2O)...N(9)#1 0.87 1.9 2.7675(10) 173.3 |
|   | O(4)-H(4O)...O(5) 0.76 1.86 2.5936(11) 163 |
|   | O(5)-H(5O)...N(6)#2 0.8 2 2.7664(12) 161.5 |
| 5 | O(2)-H(2O)...N(9)#1 0.87 1.85 2.7126(13) 171.1 |
|   | O(4)-H(4O)...O(5) 0.77 1.87 2.5837(15) 155.8 |
|   | O(5)-H(5O)...N(6)#2 0.81 2 2.7679(16) 157.9 |

The deviations of the metal atoms from the mean plane formed by the other four atoms in the ring are less than 0.08Å. Bond lengths N-N', N-C and C-O of the azomethine group of HLL are typical for the protonated moieties of these type (Table 4). At the same time the N-N', N-C and C-O bond lengths of L indicate values typical for the deprotonated azomethine group. The C=N and N-O bond lengths in the oxime moieties clearly indicate that the oxime groups exist in the nitroso rather than isonitroso form and are typical protonated oxime groups in the amide derivatives of 2-hydroxyiminopropanoic acid. In the [M(L)(HL)]+ cation the azomethine oxygen atom is situated in an anti-position with respect to the oxime N atom in the case of HL and in syn-position in the case of L. There is a similar arrangement in of [M(L)]2 molecule: one of the azomethine O atoms is situated in an anti-position with respect to the oxime N atom, another one - in syn-position. Note, that the free oxime group is normally located in anti-position to the amide group which was earlier shown in the structures of the free Hpop ligand and related amide derivatives of 2-hydroxyiminopropanoic acid.29

In the [M(L)(HL)]+ cation the azomethine oxygen atom is situated in an anti-position with respect to the oxime N atom in the case of HL and in syn-position in the case of L. There is a similar arrangement in of [M(L)]2 molecule: one of the azomethine O atoms is situated in an anti-position with respect to the oxime N atom, another one - in syn-position. Note, that the free oxime group is normally located in anti-position to the amide group which was earlier shown in the structures of the free Hpop ligand and related amide derivatives of 2-hydroxyiminopropanoic acid.
The NC(=NOH)C(=O)NH fragments are not planar; the torsion angles \( \text{amide-C-C-N}_{\text{oxime}} \) are 159.6(2)° and 22.0(3)° for HLand Lof 1 respectively, -161.1(0)° and -22.0(0)° for 2, -159.0(1)° and -20.0(0)° for HLand Lof 3 respectively, 157.9(0)° and -21.5(0)° for 4, and -158.6(1)° and -20.2(2)° for 5.

Hydrogen bond parameters of 1-5 are collected in Table 4.

**Figure 5.** Fragments of crystal packing of 3 (top) and 5 (bottom).

There are two types of methanol molecules in the structures of 1 and 3. The first type molecules form NH···O hydrogen bond where the amide N atom of HL acts as donor and the methanol molecule acts as acceptor. The molecules of the second type are involved in the formation of two hydrogen bonds: OH···O where the exime O atom of L acts as donor and the methanol molecule acts as acceptor, and OH···N where the methanol molecule acts as donor and the pyrazole N atom of HL acts as receptor. Two \([\text{M}(\text{L})\text{L}]^2\) ions are connected through two methanol molecules of the second type by the system of hydrogen bonds, giving supramolecular unit like shown in Figure 5. In the crystal packing of 1 and 3 such units are connected by O-H···N hydrogen bonds into columns spreading along the a-axis (Figure 6, Scheme 3).

**Figure 6.** Crystal packing of 1 (top) and 4 (bottom).

The crystal packing of 2, 4 and 5 is similar to the crystal packing of 1 and 3. Two \([\text{M}(\text{L})_2]\) molecules and two methanol molecules due hydrogen bonds form supramolecular units, which have similar structure to analogous units of 1 and 3 (Figure 5). These units are connected by O-H···N hydrogen bonds into columns spreading along the a-axis (Figure 6, Scheme 3).

**Scheme 3.** Formation of columns along the a-axis in structures of 1-5: ovals – \([\text{M}(\text{L})\text{L}]^2\) or \([\text{M}(\text{L})_2]\), circles - methanol molecules, dot lines – hydrogen bonds.

**Binuclear Cu(II) complex.** The compound 6 is crystallized in P-1 space group and has ionic structure, which consists of the complex cation \([\text{Cu}_2(\text{L})_2(\text{DMF})_2]^2+\) (Figure 7), the perchlorate anion and two DMF solvent molecules. The elements of crystal structure are connected by the extensive systems of hydrogen bonds. Selected bond lengths and angles are collected in Table 6.

**Figure 7.** The structure of \([\text{Cu}_2(\text{L})_2(\text{DMF})_2]^2+\) (6) complex cation.
Both Cu(II) atoms of the complex cation [Cu(L)₂(DMF)]²⁺ are equivalent and have distorted tetragonal-pyramidal N₄O₂ arrangement formed by the pyridine nitrogen atom, azomethine nitrogen atom and amide oxygen atom of the first ligand molecule, the pyrazole nitrogen atom of the second molecule of ligand, and the amide oxygen atom of the dimethylformamide molecule. Thus, both ligand molecules are coordinated in a tridentate-monodentate \((N\text{pyrazole},N\text{azomethine},O\text{amide})(N'\text{pyrazole})\)-mode. Similarly to compounds 1-5, the ligand molecules in [Cu(L)₂(DMF)]²⁺ are singly deprotonated via the amide nitrogen atoms; the oxime O atom acts as donor and the amide N atom acts as acceptor. Due to these hydrogen bonds in the crystal packing of 6 (Figure 8) the columns spreading along the ac diagonal are formed.

Each complex cation [Cu(L)₂(DMF)]²⁺ is connected with two neighbouring cations through two hydrogen bonds, in which the oxime O atom acts as donor and the amide N atom acts as acceptor. Due to these hydrogen bonds in the crystal packing of 6 (Figure 8) the columns spreading along the ac diagonal are formed.

![Figure 8. Crystal packing of 6.](image)

### Conclusions

A new polynucleating azomethine ligand HL has been successfully used with Ni(II), Cu(II) and Zn(II) salts to obtain a series of mononuclear and binuclear complexes, characterized both in solution and solid state.

Solution studies of HL ligand demonstrate its ability to form stable complexes with a stoichiometry dependent on the metal to ligand molar ratio applied in the experiment. In the case of equimolar solutions the formation of oligomeric species was observed for Ni(II) and Zn(II) ions, however, for the Cu(II) complexation led to the formation of dimeric complexes. The two-fold molecular stoichiometry of the ligand vs metal ion promoted formation of monomeric [M₂(H₃L)] complexes, where M = Ni(II), Zn(II), Cu(II). In 2:1 and 3:2 Cu(II) to ligand species, preceded by the creation of the polynuclear Cu₃L₂H species, preceded by the creation of the [CuL]³⁻ complex.

In a series of mononuclear complexes 1-5 obtained in solid state the corresponding metal ion has a distorted octahedral coordination arrangement N₄O₂ formed by two ligand molecules. HL molecules are coordinated in the protonated or the singly deprotonated form via the pyridine and the azomethine nitrogen atoms and the amide oxygen atom. Such coordination mode is typical for mononuclear complexes based on already described polynucleating azomethine ligand Hpop: Zn[pop]₂ 2H₂O and Zn[(Hpop)Cl₂] 2H₂O [21, 24]. It is important to note that the reaction of Hpop with ZnCl₂ proceeds without ligand deprotonation giving Zn[(Hpop)Cl₂] 2H₂O [24], but at the same time in the structures of 1-5 half of ligand molecules are deprotonated. Thus, the

### Table 6. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [°] of 6.

| Bond                  | Length [Å] | Angle [°] |
|-----------------------|------------|-----------|
| Cu(1)-N(1)            | 2.0164(12) |           |
| Cu(1)-N(2)            | 1.9388(12) |           |
| Cu(1)-N(12)           | 1.9640(12) |           |
| Cu(1)-O(1)            | 2.0001(10) |           |
| Cu(1)-O(5)            | 2.1418(12) |           |
| Cu(2)-N(6)            | 1.9806(11) |           |
| Cu(2)-N(7)            | 2.0009(13) |           |

The Cu-N bond distances in 6 are in the range 1.9388(12)-2.0164(12) Å, Cu-O = 1.9865(10)-2.1472(11) Å, what is typical for tetragonal-pyramidal complexes of copper with similar ligands. The distance between the two copper atoms is 4.50(1) Å. Bond angles O-M-O', O-M-N, N-M-N' have typical values for the tetragonal-pyramidal arrangement (Table 6). The bite angles N(2)-Cu(1)-N(1), N(2)-Cu(1)-O(1), N(8)-Cu(2)-N(7) and N(8)-Cu(2)-O(3) are deviated from the value of 90° (Table 6), which is conditioned by the formation of five-membered chelate rings.

The chelate rings Cu(1)N(1)C(6)C(5)N(2)Cu(1)N(2)N(2)C(8)O(1), Cu(2)N(7)C(19)C(20)N(8) and Cu(2)N(8)N(9)C(22)O(3) are almost planar. The deviations of the metal atoms from the mean plane formed by the other four atoms in the rings are less than 0.1 Å.

Bond lengths N-N', N-C and C-O have typical values for the deprotonated azomethine group (Table 6). The C=N and N-O bond lengths are typical for the non-coordinated non-deprotonated oxime groups in the nitroso form. In both ligand molecules of [Cu(L)₂(DMF)]²⁺ the azomethine oxygen atom is situated in an anti-position with respect to the oxime N atom. The NC(=NOH)C(=O)NH fragments are not planar, the torsion angles Oamide-C=C-Noxime are 154.8(1)° and 156.9(1)°.

### Table 7. Hydrogen bond parameters of 6.

| D-H...A | d(D-H), Å | d(H...A), Å | d(D...A), Å | <(D-H-A), ° |
|---------|-----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| O(2)-H(2O)...N(3)#1 | 0.81 | 1.98 | 2.765(2) | 163.7 |
| O(4)-H(4O)...N(9)#2 | 0.87 | 1.91 | 2.753(2) | 162.2 |
amide group of HL is, most probably, more inclined to the deprotonation than analogous group of Hpop. It can be explained by lower electron density on the amide group of HL because of the presence of electron-deficient pyrazole ring in the ligand molecule.

In 1-5 compounds only one of three donor sets of the ligand is involved in coordination to the metal ion. Thus, there are four vacant donor atoms in the reported complexes which are available for coordination of extra metal ions. Moreover, these donor atoms can form two adjacent bidentate chelating units, i.e. \(\{N_{\text{amide}}, N_{\text{imine}}\}\) and \(\{O_{\text{oxime}}, N_{\text{pyrazole}}\}\). Therefore 1-5 can be regarded as promising building blocks for obtaining of coordination compounds of higher nuclearity. It is partially regarded as promising building blocks for obtaining of such complexes. In the case of 2:1 Cu(II) to ligand molar ratio at pH 9 the hexanuclear complex \(\text{(CuL)}_6\text{L}^2\text{H}^+\) formation was observed. \(\text{(M}_2\text{LH}_2\text{L}^1\text{H}+\text{X}^2\}^{\pm\pm}\) binuclear species is observed in methanol solution of \(\text{Zn(II)}, \text{Cu(II)}\) solutions with a metal to ligand molar ratio 1:1. Moreover, \(\text{Ni(ClO}_4)_2\text{L}^2\text{H}^+\) solution reveal the formation of \(\text{Ni}_3\text{L}_2\text{L}^2\text{H}^+\) and \(\text{Ni}_4\text{L}_4\text{H}^+\) species. In the case of 2:1 Cu(II) to ligand molar ratio at pH 9 the hexanuclear complex \(\text{(CuL)}_6\text{L}^2\text{H}^+\) formation was observed. \(\text{(M}_2\text{LH}_2\text{L}^1\text{H}+\text{X}^2\}^{\pm\pm}\) formation was observed in methanol solution of 1-5, thereby suggesting the possibility of associative assembly of such complexes.

In binuclear complex 6 obtained in solid state both Cu(II) atoms are equivalent and have distorted tetragonal-pyramidal \(\text{N}_2\text{O}_2\) arrangement formed by two ligand molecules and molecule of DMF. Both ligand molecules are singly deprotonated and coordinated in a tridentate-monodentate \(\{\text{Ni}\text{L}_2\text{L}^1\text{H}^+\}^{\pm\pm}\)-mode. The coordination mode is similar to the one in 1-5 but it additionally includes coordinated pyrazole N atom.

The involvement of HL-containing mononuclear complexes by metal ions into more complex coordination compounds is more predictable and controlled process than the direct reactions between the ligand and metal salts in a certain metal-to-ligand ratio. Presently synthetic work aimed in preparation and characterization of such polynuclear compounds is underway in our laboratories.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

CCDC 1534659-1534664 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for 1-6 complexes. These data can be obtained free of charge via http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/conts/retrieving.html, or from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; fax: (+44) 1223-336-033; or e-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk.
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The new polynucleating ligand possessing several donor functions of different nature (pyridine, azomethine, oxime and pyrazole groups) have been synthesized. A series of complexes on its basis have been characterized in solution and solid state as a preliminary studies to preparation and characterization of more complex coordination compounds.