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boarded every state to submit laboratory results to this system—the first of its kind in the US. We set out to evaluate the quality of data collected by CELR.

**Methods & Materials:** We compared jurisdiction-level data collected through CELR and published by HHS to the testing data published by jurisdictions on their health department webpages. Because jurisdictions define their testing data differently, we anticipated some differences from federal testing data. However, jurisdictions also tend to prioritize their dashboard reporting—since it is what is used for policy decisions like reopening—we hypothesized that differences from federal data absent a definitional explanation could point to problems with federal data. Where we found differences between jurisdictional and federal data, we conducted interviews with public health officials to understand their cause.

**Results:** Of the 56 states and territories, as of April 2021 (the first month when all states were onboarded to CELR), 38 had federal total data that diverges from state data by more than 5%. Of those states, the differences of 27 could not be explained by definitional factors. Based on our interviews, we identified three problems: non-electronic reporting streams, out-of-date surveillance systems, and deduplication of laboratory data.

**Conclusion:** The federal testing dataset displays major unresolved quality problems, and because states present testing data so differently, state-published data forms a poor alternative to federal datasets. The federal government, which is uniquely positioned to provide testing data on infectious diseases, must work to improve the quality of laboratory data submissions by states. To support better national laboratory data, the United States should invest in updating state and laboratory data surveillance infrastructure—including updates to state surveillance systems and laboratory system updates to eliminate outdated reporting methods like faxes—and in creating more national laboratory data infrastructure.
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**Purpose:** The objective of this paper is to showcase how COVID-19 control has been enhanced by interrelating syndromic surveillance, case based surveillance and laboratory surveillance and outbreak investigation into an integrated electronic Infectious Diseases Information System (IDIS).

**Methods & Materials:** Development of IDIS began in December 2016 with the goal to modernize the Albanian surveillance system by developing a user-friendly, comprehensive database for case investigation, contact tracing, and laboratory data focusing on the improvement of the timeliness indicators of disease detection and response. The platform is based on open-source software solutions featuring (1) integration of data from different surveillance systems such as indicator, syndromic and event base; (2) better investigation and management of outbreaks and reduced data-entry time and errors (3) generation of line listing and contact-tracing and workflows to appropriately follow-up of cases and contacts; (4) integration with the national vaccination registry for retrieving vaccination history and prospectively apply ring vaccinations during outbreak control; (5) integration with laboratory information system public and private to retrieve diagnostic information; and (6) repository with qualitative and quantitative cache of epidemiological information and data.

**Results:** The IDIS system has assisted public health surveillance to document COVID-19 cases and to take disease control actions such as case isolation, contact tracing and contact isolation, and more recently vaccination. To date 400,000 potential cases of COVID-19 have been notified in the system has been notified 273,000 have been individually investigated. About 487,000 laboratory results have been linked with case based information and respective contacts. The system has proved useful for data analysis as a series of epidemiological indicators have been calculated to inform evidence based decision making in controlling COVID-19 epidemic in Albania.

**Conclusion:** IDIS implementation has improved the consistency, communication, and effective use of public health data. In particular integration of different surveillance systems and workflows which are developed within IDIS provide an array of instruments to epidemiologists to detect cases faster, make better decisions based on multiple data sources and more effectively plan response measures.
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**Purpose:** Several non-pharmaceutical interventions such as physical distancing, self-isolation, a stay-at-home order, hand washing, and schools and businesses closures were implemented in British Columbia (BC) following the first lab-tested case of COVID-19 on January 26, 2020. These interventions were aimed at minimizing in-person contacts that could potentially lead to new COVID-19 infections. The BC COVID-19 Population Mixing Patterns survey (BC-Mix) was established as a surveillance system to measure behaviour and contact patterns in BC over time to inform the timing of the easing/re-imposition of control measures. We describe the BC-Mix survey design and the demographic characteristics of respondents.

**Methods & Materials:** The ongoing repeated online survey was launched in September 2020. Participants are recruited through a variety of social media platforms including Instagram, Facebook, YouTube, and community group mailing lists. A follow up survey is sent to participants two to four weeks after completing the first iteration. Survey responses are weighted to BC’s population by age, sex, geography, and ethnicity to obtain generalizable estimates. A survey completion rate of at least 33% AND a valid response for the sex questionnaire item AND a valid response for age questionnaire item were required for inclusion in weighting and further analysis. Additional indices such as material and social deprivation index,