WWOX sensitises ovarian cancer cells to paclitaxel via modulation of the ER stress response
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There are clear gaps in our understanding of genes and pathways through which cancer cells facilitate survival strategies as they become chemoresistant. Paclitaxel is used in the treatment of many cancers, but development of drug resistance is common. Along with being an antimitotic agent paclitaxel also activates endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress. Here, we examine the role of WWOX (WW domain containing oxidoreductase), a gene frequently lost in several cancers, in mediating paclitaxel response. WWOX is a key ER stress marker in paclitaxel-treated patients. We conclude that WWOX sensitises EOC to paclitaxel via ER stress-induced apoptosis, and predicts clinical outcome in patients. Thus, ER stress response mechanisms could be targeted to overcome chemoresistance in cancer.
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Epithelial ovarian cancer is the most lethal gynaecological malignancy. Use of platinum and taxane-based chemotherapy result in high response rates, but 70% of patients relapse and develop drug-resistant disease.⁷ Paclitaxel stabilises microtubule assembly, resulting in a mitotic block of cell cycle leading to apoptosis.² The cytotoxic effects of taxanes are not just because of its antimitotic function but are, in part, mediated by endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress/unfolded protein response (UPR).⁴–⁸ UPR is a programme initiated by the accumulation of unfolded proteins in ER to re-establish homeostasis by activation of chaperones and translation inhibition.⁹

The WWOX (WW domain containing oxidoreductase) gene on chromosome 16q23-24 is located at the same locus as the common fragile site FRA16D.¹⁰ WWOX loss increases tumour susceptibility in several mouse models.¹¹–¹³ WWOX expression is lost or downregulated in most cancers because of genomic disruption or epigenetic silencing, and, recently, The Cancer Genome Atlas data sets have highlighted 44 novel somatic mutations in WWOX in various cancer types, several of which lead to changes in the protein function.¹⁴–¹⁸ WWOX is highly expressed in secretory epithelia, in reproductive, exocrine and endocrine organs and also in neuronal bodies throughout the central nervous system. Mutations in WWOX have been reported in several neurological pathologies.¹⁸,¹⁹

WWOX is lost in 30% of ovarian carcinomas and this is associated with disease progression, and poor prognosis.²⁰ We previously demonstrated that WWOX transfection of PEO1 ovarian cancer cells abolished their in vivo tumorigenicity because of altered interaction of tumour cells with surrounding ECM.²¹ This did not correlate with decreased in vitro growth or survival, but was as a result of reduced integrin α3 levels.²¹ There was also no effect of WWOX on apoptosis following cisplatin exposure.²¹ As standard chemotherapy treatment in ovarian cancer is a combination of platinum and paclitaxel, we examined the impact of WWOX transfection on paclitaxel response. We report that WWOX transfection into the non-expressing PEO1 ovarian cancer cell line causes sensitisation to paclitaxel, as demonstrated by increased apoptosis following exposure with paclitaxel. This is independent of the antimitotic function of taxanes, but is related to apoptosis because of ER stress induced by paclitaxel. To mimic the natural course of events involving WWOX loss in cancer, we used siRNA knockdown of...
endogenous WWOX to study its role in paclitaxel-induced cell death in SKOV-3 and OVCAR-4 cell lines. We conclude that (1) both IRE-1 and PERK arms of UPR get activated on exposure of ovarian cancer cells to paclitaxel and active ER stress is a requirement for WWOX-mediated cell death caused by paclitaxel and (2) WWOX expression may predict patient outcome to taxane-based chemotherapy.

Results

WWOX sensitises ovarian cancer cells to paclitaxel. WWOX reconstitution in PEO1 ovarian cancer cells (WWOX-7, WWOX-8) significantly enhanced paclitaxel-mediated cell death (Figures 1a, P < 0.01) compared with vector-transfected control cells (Vector-9, Vector-p2). We examined caspase activation, Annexin V positivity and an antibody array to measure the relative levels of apoptosis-related proteins in these cell lines. WWOX transfection significantly increased caspase-3/7 activity following exposure with paclitaxel 24 h at either 8 or 16 nM, as compared with the vector-transfected control lines (Figures 1b, P < 0.05). Increased levels of cleaved caspase-3 was observed in WWOX-transfected lines on treatment with paclitaxel (Figure 1c). Following paclitaxel exposure, WWOX-transfected cells also displayed significantly higher levels of early apoptotic cells (Annexin V-positive, PI-negative) (Figures 1d, P < 0.05). The antibody array demonstrated no consistent differences in the expression of apoptosis-related proteins in basal conditions, but upon paclitaxel exposure, a lower B-cell lymphoma-extra large (Bcl-xl)/Bcl-2-associated x (Bax) ratio in WWOX-transfected cells was observed (Figure 1e).

Two independent siRNA oligos were used to knockdown WWOX in WWOX-8 cell line. This decreased the apoptotic response to these cells to 8 and 16 nM paclitaxel (not shown) as compared with control transfections (Figures 2a, *P < 0.05 and **P < 0.005) and cleaved caspase-3 expression (Figure 2b), confirming that paclitaxel chemotherapy is influenced by WWOX expression. In parallel, WWOX status has no impact on cisplatin response (Figure 2c) to complement the previous data generated with stable transfectants.21 To mimic the loss/reduction of WWOX as it happens in the course of cancer, we knocked down endogenous WWOX in ovarian cancer cells to monitor the impact on cell survival. We screened several ovarian cancer cells to detect WWOX expression (Figure 2d) and found endogenous protein expression in OVCAR-4, OVCAR-8, SKOV-3 and A2780 cell lines (Figure 2d). siRNA knockdown of WWOX in OVCAR-4 and SKOV-3 cell lines led to (Figure 2b) an improvement in cell survival in both SKOV-3 (Figure 2e, P = 0.0082) and OVCAR-4 cell lines (Figure 2f, P = 0.0022) on treatment with 50 and 20 nM paclitaxel, respectively.

WWOX-driven differential response to paclitaxel is independent of the antimitic action of taxanes, integrin α3 and transforming growth factor-β1 levels. We next examined mitotic arrest in paclitaxel-treated cells to determine whether reduced survival following WWOX overexpression was due to an increased proportion of cells arrested in mitosis (Figure 3a). WWOX status had no impact on the response of PEO1 cells to the kinesin Eg5 inhibitor monastrol, a selective antimitotic agent23 (Figure 3b). Thus, the effect of WWOX on paclitaxel response is distinct from the antimitic function of taxanes. We hypothesised that a differential response to paclitaxel treatment following WWOX transfection might be due to ITGA3 regulation.21 However, we observed no significant impact of ITGA3 knockdown in PEO1 cell line on responses to paclitaxel (Figures 3c and d). We examined the the levels of transforming growth factor-β1 (TGFβ1), which has previously been shown to be a critical mediator of paclitaxel response in ovarian cancer.24 We could not detect measurable levels of secreted TGFβ1 in culture medium in PEO1 clones, but quantitative RT-PCR (QPCR) revealed variable TGFβ1 levels and no correlation with WWOX expression (Figure 3e).

Paclitaxel induces ER stress response and WWOX determines cell fate in response to prolonged ER stress. Treatment of PEO1 cells with 8 nM paclitaxel led to induction of ER stress, as indicated by upregulation of GRP78 chaperone and phosphorylation of eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2A (eIF2A), as well as c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) and p38 mitogen-associated protein kinase (MAPK) activation25 (Figure 4a and Supplementary Figure 1). Similar changes were observed in a parallel time-course experiment following exposure to tunicamycin, a classic inducer of ER stress25 (Figure 4b). WWOX-transfected cells displayed significantly reduced survival rates when exposed to tunicamycin (200 ng/μl) (Figure 4c, P < 0.005), whereas WWOX siRNA knockdown increased survival (Figures 4d, P < 0.01 and Figure 4e, P < 0.05). Thus, WWOX expression directs UPR induced by tunicamycin or paclitaxel towards an apoptotic outcome. WWOX-dependent apoptosis during ER stress was examined in context of MAPK signalling.26 Inhibition of JNK27 abrogated paclitaxel response in WWOX-8 cells (Supplementary Figure 2) but marginally prevented tunicamycin toxicity (Supplementary Figure 3). Inhibition of JNK quite remarkably antagonised the antimitic (Supplementary Figure 4) function of taxanes in PEO1 cells. We found no evidence of WWOX-JNK1 interaction in co-immunoprecipitation experiments following exposure with paclitaxel (Supplementary Figure 5 and not shown).

To investigate ER stress induced by paclitaxel and the role of WWOX in this context, we carried out analysis of (1) PEO1 cells (Figures 5a) and (2) by knocking down endogenous WWOX expression in SKOV-3 and OVCAR-4 cell lines (Figure 5b). We examined the expression of the two key ER transmembrane kinases IRE-1 and PERK, which activate ER stress responses. Both IRE-1 and PERK showed induction or increase of phosphorylation under the influence of paclitaxel in all cell lines compared with untreated cells. When probed with a phosphorylated IRE-1 antibody, a band at 110 kDa appeared in paclitaxel-treated cells. The identity of this band was confirmed using another antibody detecting total IRE-1 (Supplementary Figure 6), suggesting differences in the phosphorylation status of the protein under paclitaxel and thapsigargin treatments. It
WWOX-transfected PEO1 lines show increased apoptosis following treatment with paclitaxel, compared with vector-transfected lines. (a) Relative cell survival following treatment with paclitaxel for 72 h, measured by SRB assay. Means of quadruplicate experiments ± S.E.M. (b) Caspase-3/7 activation following treatment with paclitaxel for 24 h, measured by CaspaseGlo3/7 reagent (Promega). Means of six experiments ± S.E.M. (c) Immunoblot showing activated caspase-3 levels (MAB835 antibody; R&D Systems) following treatment with 8 nM of paclitaxel for 24 h. β-Actin bands (AC15 antibody; Sigma) show equal loading of blot and WWOX immunoblot (antibody gifted by Dr. M Aldaz, MD Anderson Cancer Centre) demonstrates successful knockdown. (d) Early apoptotic (Annexin V-positive/propidium iodide (PI)-negative) cells following treatment with paclitaxel for 24 h. Means of quadruplicate experiments ± S.E.M. (e) Left panel: Bcl-xL and Bax probe spots from protein arrays, showing increased Bax and decreased Bcl-xL in WWOX-transfected lines following exposure with paclitaxel for 24 h; right panel: densitometry from protein array shows lower Bcl-xL:Bax ratio in WWOX-transfected cells treated with paclitaxel. Means of two probe spots ± S.D. (*P < 0.05; **P < 0.01)
was noteworthy, however, that the intensity of the pIRE-1 band induced by thapsigargin was less in WWOX-null Vector-9 compared with WWOX-overexpressing WWOX-8 cells indicating higher levels of ER stress in the latter (Supplementary Figure 6). PERK expression showed a shift from a distinct lower band in untreated conditions to a characteristic fuzzy
upward shifted band/smeared after paclitaxel treatment (Figures 5a and b), indicating activation of PERK. A similar response was observed on treating the PEO1 cells with thapsigargin and analysing PERK expression with a different antibody (abcam, Cambridge, UK; ab65142). No changes in activation of PERK were observed as a result of WWOX status (Figures 5a and b and Supplementary Figure 6). Changes in the phosphorylated status of JNK1/2 in the PEO1 cells with WWOX overexpression were observed, but this was not pronounced in SKOV-3 and OVCAR-4 cells with WWOX knock-

Figure 2 Knockdown of WWOX in WWOX-transfected PEO1, SKOV-3 and OVCAR-4 cells decreases apoptosis following treatment with paclitaxel compared with non-targeting controls. (a) Relative cell survival following treatment with paclitaxel for 72 h, measured by SRB assay. Means of quadruplicate experiments ± S.E.M. Western blot shows loss of WWOX expression after treatment with WWOX siRNA for 72 h, as compared with mock-transfected and non-targeting cells in PEO1 cells. (b) Immunoblot showing activated caspase-3 levels (MAB835 antibody; R&D Systems) following treatment with 8 nM of paclitaxel for 24 h. Hsp60 (ab46798 antibody; abcam) bands show equal loading of blot, while WWOX blot shows successful knockdown in PEO1 cells. (c) Relative cell survival following treatment with cisplatin for 72 h, measured by SRB assay. Means of six experiments ± S.E.M. NT, non-targeting siRNA. (d) ITGA3 expression following siRNA knockdown as measured by FACS, compared with mock-transfected and non-targeting siRNA. Means of quadruplicate experiments ± S.E.M. (e) Relative expression of TGFBI mRNA is not related to WWOX expression in WWOX- and vector-transfected PEO1 lines. Means of six experiments ± S.E.M. NT, non-targeting siRNA.

Figure 3 The differential response of WWOX-transfected cells to paclitaxel is not related to the antimitotic action of taxanes, and WWOX-induced apoptosis is independent of ITGA3 and TGFBI. (a) WWOX transfection does not alter the percentage of mitotic (MPM2 antibody-labelled (Millipore)) cells as determined by FACS following treatment with paclitaxel. Means of triplicate experiments ± S.E.M. (b) WWOX depletion does not alter the cytotoxic response to monastrol. Cell survival following treatment with monastrol for 72 h, measured by SRB assay. Means of triplicate experiments ± S.E.M. (c) ITGA3 expression following siRNA knockdown as measured by FACS, compared with mock-transfected and non-targeting siRNA. Means of quadruplicate experiments ± S.E.M. (d) ITGA3 siRNA knockdown did not affect cell survival following exposure with 8 nM paclitaxel, measured by SRB assay. Means of six experiments ± S.E.M. (e) TGFBI mRNA expression is not related to WWOX expression in WWOX- and vector-transfected PEO1 lines. Means of six experiments ± S.E.M. NT, non-targeting siRNA.
down. To examine upstream events in the ER stress-mediated apoptosis analysis of expression of procaspase and cleaved caspase-2 and -7 was performed. Increase in the expression of cleaved caspase-7 in paclitaxel-treated cells (Supplementary Figure 7) was observed, but this was not influenced by WWOX expression. Subtle increase in the expression of cleaved caspase-2 in paclitaxel-treated WWOX-overexpressing PEO cells and a reduction in SKOV-3 and OVCAR-4 cells on siWWOX was observed (Supplementary Figure 7).

Since the adaptive and maladaptive arms of UPR coexist under ER stress, it is essential to determine which arm is dominant in paclitaxel-induced ER stress and how these are affected by WWOX expression. Thus, cells were treated with paclitaxel alone or in combination with IRE-1 inhibitor KIRA6 and/or PERK inhibitor GSK2656157 and cell viability was analysed. As observed in the earlier results (Figures 1a and 2e, f), WWOX expression sensitised all three cell lines, that is, PEO1 (Figure 5c), SKOV-3 (Figure 5d) and OVCAR-4 cells (Figure 5e) to paclitaxel-induced cell death. Cotreatment of cells with KIRA6 and paclitaxel improved cell viability compared with the paclitaxel-alone group in all cell lines (Figures 5c–e). Combination of PERK inhibitor, GSK2656157, with paclitaxel enhanced cell survival in only SKOV-3 cells (Figure 5d). Notably, in the absence of WWOX in PEO1 cells (Vector-9) or when WWOX expression was knocked down by siRNA in SKOV-3 and OVCAR-4, cells were less sensitive to KIRA6 and GSK2656157 as shown by the blunted effects on cell viability (Figures 5c–e) compared with the respective
paclitaxel-alone treated groups. Among the combination treatments, the visible rescue in cell viability on WWOX knockdown was seen in GSK2656157-treated OVCAR-4 cells, indicating that WWOX may not be acting by regulating cell survival through the PERK pathway in this cell line (Figure 5e). To further examine the impact of the IRE-1 and PERK inhibitors with paclitaxel on cell survival, we carried out propidium podide (PI) staining in OVCAR-4 and SKOV-3 treated with paclitaxel alone or in combination with KIRA6 and GSK2656157. Combination of IRE-1 inhibitor KIRA6 with paclitaxel reduced cell death, while combination with the PERK inhibitor GSK2656157 led to no change or slight increase in cell death (Supplementary Figure 8), indicating that the two pathways might have different roles in mediating the response to paclitaxel in these ovarian cancer cell lines (Figure 5 and Supplementary Figure 8).

Figure 5 WWOX regulates ER stress through IRE-1 and PERK. Western blots showing the expression of WWOX and ER stress regulators IRE-1 (ab48187 and ab37073 antibodies; abcam), PERK (no. 3192; Cell Signalling Technology), JNK (MAB2076 (Total) and AF1205 (phospho) antibodies; R&D Systems) (a) untreated or treated with 8 nM paclitaxel for 24 h in PEO1 cell lines in the presence or absence of WWOX. (b) SKOV-3 and OVCAR-4 cells, 72 h after treatment with siWWOX or no targeting control and 24 h after treatment with paclitaxel in 50 nM SKOV-3 and 20 nM OVCAR-4 cells. β-Actin has been used as a loading control. Cell viability was measured through SRB assay in (c) WWOX-8 and Vector-9 (d) SKOV-3 (72 h siRNA treatment) and (e) OVCAR-4 cells (72 h siRNA treatment) with IRE-1 inhibitor (KIRA6; 532281; Calbiochem) and PERK (GSK2656157; S7033; Selleckchem) alone or together in combination with paclitaxel. Cells were treated with 1 μg/μl inhibitors 2 h before paclitaxel treatment for 24 h. Triplicate experiments were performed.
WWOX expression predicts PFS in paclitaxel-treated ovarian cancer patients. We used data from two large cohorts of primary ovarian tumours to investigate the associations between WWOX expression and clinical outcome to chemotherapy. The data set referred to as ‘TCGA’, featuring over 500 primary tumour samples, was obtained from The Cancer Genome Atlas (https://tcga-data.nci.nih.gov/tcga/).30 The data set referred to as ‘Tothill’, featuring nearly 300 primary tumour samples, was obtained from Gene Expression Omnibus (series accession GSE9891).31 Raw gene expression data (Affymetrix CEL files) were obtained for each data set and normalised using robust multiarray average (RMA).32

WWOX expression and OS: Fitting Cox proportional hazards regression models revealed significant association between higher WWOX expression and longer OS in patients treated with platinum in combination with taxane in both TCGA (n = 373, hazard ratio = 0.48, P = 0.036) and Tothill (n = 194, hazard ratio = 0.53, P = 0.028) cohorts. In both the cohorts higher expression of WWOX was associated with longer survival times even when the extent of disease after primary surgery was taken into account (TCGA hazard ratio = 0.48, P = 0.046; Tothill hazard ratio = 0.67, P = 0.19), implying that WWOX levels had a clear impact on patient survival. When the patients who received taxanexes in the first-line chemotherapy are excluded, the association between WWOX expression and OS was lost (TCGA n = 33, hazard ratio = 1.9, P = 0.64; Tothill n = 48, hazard ratio = 1.0, P = 0.98). To illustrate these associations, Figures 6a and c show Kaplan–Meier plots of OS when patients are partitioned into WWOX-high (greater than median expression) and WWOX-lows subsets, for the cohorts receiving both platinum and taxane. P-values reported in the figures represent results from log-rank test based on dichotomisation used to draw curves. Figures 6b and d show equivalent Kaplan–Meier plots of OS in patients who received platinum alone. Thus, across independent data sets taxane-specific association between higher WWOX expression and longer patient OS was observed. As WWOX is often deleted in tumours, we investigated the TCGA data set to understand the impact on survival of WWOX expression being classed as detectable or absent relative to normal physiological levels. Using the median expression across normal tissue samples (eight normal samples in TCGA) to estimate population mean, and the median absolute deviation to estimate the population standard deviation, the 10th percentile of expression (extrapolated from a normal distribution across the normal tissues) was used as a cutoff to reflect present versus absent WWOX expression in patient samples. Figures 6e and f show that patients who received Taxol showed longer OS in the presence of WWOX compared with the absence of WWOX (n = 373, P = 0.050) and this distinction was lost in the absence of Taxol (n = 33, P = 0.92).

WWOX expression and PFS: We further investigated the association between WWOX expression and progression-free survival (PFS) (time of death or disease progression). Figures 7a–d represent Kaplan–Meier curves of PFS for patients partitioned into WWOX-high (greater than median expression) or WWOX-low. In both TCGA and Tothill cohorts, when patients were treated with platinum and taxane, those with higher WWOX expression had significantly longer PFS than those with lower WWOX (TCGA n = 333, P = 0.011; Tothill n = 191, P = 0.00045). However, no significant difference in PFS according to WWOX expression was observed in patients who received the platinum alone (Figures 7b and d). We used the TCGA data set to investigate the impact on progression of WWOX expression being classed as detectable or absent relative to normal physiological levels. Corresponding Kaplan–Meier curves in Figures 7e and f show that, in patients treated with platinum and taxane (Figure 7e) but not in patients treated with platinum alone (Figure 7f), this dichotomisation suggests longer PFS in patients with WWOX expression detectable at a level comparable to normal tissue.

WWOX expression influences ovarian cancer molecular subtypes: WWOX expression showed very significant variation in expression levels across the molecular subtypes reported in the Tothill study.31 The poor outcome ovarian cancer subtype ‘1’ had lower WWOX expression across three probesets (Figure 8a, P = 0.0035; left, P < 1x10^-4; middle and P = 0.00069; right), implying that the loss of WWOX expression has a role in development of aggressive characteristics of this subtype of ovarian cancer.

WWOX, associations with ER stress genes and influence on survival: To investigate the clinical relevance of WWOX expression and ER stress genes on survival, we investigated the interaction between a panel of genes transcriptionally upregulated by ER stress (GRP78, XBP1, ATF6, eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2-alpha kinase 3 (EIF2AK3), ERN1 and DNA damage-inducible transcript 3) and association of WWOX expression. For each of the panel of genes, proportional hazards regression models were fitted to evaluate the additive effects of WWOX and the ER stress gene, and the interaction between the two genes. It was striking that the association between higher WWOX expression and better outcomes (longer OS and PFS) was dependent on the endogenous levels of GRP78 (Figures 8b–e) but none of the other factors examined (not shown). GRP78 is the best described marker of ER stress, regarded as a key regulator of multiple arms of the UPR, and transcriptionally regulated via conserved ER stress response elements in its promoter.9 We found that the impact of WWOX expression on OS (Figures 8b and c) and PFS (Figures 8d and e) was effectively seen in patients (Tothill data set) who had lower endogenous expression of GRP78 (Figures 8c and e) and not in those who had higher GRP78 expression (Figures 8b and d). The patients were split according to GRP78 expression being above or below the median value. The median was 2.42-fold greater than the minimum value and 3.25-fold lower than the maximum value, making the total range of expression (lowest to highest) 7.89-fold. The samples with high GRP78 expression likely represent tumours with intrinsic ER stress leading to apoptosis, irrespective of chemotherapy, and it might be speculated that WWOX status has no impact on these tumours, which have activation of proapoptotic pathways before chemotherapy.
Figure 6  WWOX levels predict OS in taxane-treated ovarian cancer patients. Kaplan–Meier plots to show the effect of WWOX expression on OS in two ovarian cancer cohorts, namely (a) TCGA (n = 491) and (b) Tothill (n = 258). OS plots of patients in the TCGA cohort treated with platinum alone (d) and taxane-platinum (c) and survival plots in the Tothill data set of patients treated with platinum alone (f) or taxane-platinum (e). Red line represents patients with higher WWOX expression, whereas black line represents patients with lower WWOX expression. (g and h) OS curves when the TCGA data set was analysed, setting a cutoff to reflect the absence or presence of WWOX in patients who received platinum treatment (h) or platinum in combination to paclitaxel (g). Black represents WWOX absence, whereas red line represents WWOX expression.
Figure 7  WWOX levels predict PFS in taxane-treated ovarian cancer patients. Kaplan–Meier plots to show the effect of WWOX expression on PFS in two ovarian cancer cohorts namely (a) TCGA (n = 491) and (b) Tothill (n = 258), PFS plots of patients in the TCGA cohort treated with platinum alone (d) and taxane+platinum (c) and survival plots in the Tothill data set of patients treated with platinum alone (f) or taxane+platinum (e). Red line represents patients with higher WWOX expression, whereas black line represents patients with lower WWOX expression.
Figure 8  Lower WWOX is characteristic of more aggressive type of ovarian cancer and improved survival through WWOX involves ER stress. (a) Box plots to show WWOX expression across three probesets demonstrating in all three that the most aggressive tumour type namely '1' has significantly lower WWOX expression.31 Kaplan–Meier plots to show that the effect of WWOX expression on OS (b and c) and PFS (d and e) was effectively seen in patient cohorts that had lower endogenous expression of GRP78 (c and e) and not in those with higher GRP78 expression (b and d)
commences. Also, tumours with high GRP78 may represent evolved tumours with intrinsic ER stress, which is more inclined towards a cytoprotective UPR function. Conversely, WWOX appears to strongly affect PFS in the low GRP78 tumours, and this may correspond to tumours with intrinsically low, but paclitaxel-inducible, ER stress. These data suggest an inter-relationship between WWOX and the UPR. We could not however detect any interaction between WWOX and GRP78 under the influence of paclitaxel in co-immunoprecipitation assays performed in WWOX-8 cells (Supplementary Figure 9).

Discussion

The combination of platinum and taxanes is the gold standard for chemotherapy in ovarian cancer; however, cure rates remain low. Our data suggest that WWOX regulates taxane response in ovarian cancer by modulating the drug-related ER stress response. One-third of ovarian cancers exhibit loss of WWOX protein, and these would therefore be expected to respond less to paclitaxel. Turning to the clinical relevance of our findings, WWOX expression levels predicted longer OS and PFS in a population of paclitaxel-treated ovarian cancer patients.

Recently, WWOX has been shown to be responsible for cancer cells’ response to chemotherapy on two accounts. WWOX sensitises squamous cell carcinoma cells to apoptosis induced by the antifolate chemotherapeutic agent methotrexate by regulating autophagy responses in cancer cells and absence of WWOX leads to chemotherapeutic drug resistance. A second study demonstrated that WWOX through its WW1 domain interacts with the p53 homologue protein ΔNP63 known to induce chemoresistance in cancer cells. WWOX sequesters ΔNP63 in the cytoplasm, thus changing its cellular location and transactivation of its target genes. WWOX could reverse ΔNP63-induced chemoresistance to cisplatin by sensitising cancer cells to cisplatin-induced cell death.

ER stress response is also activated in hypoxic or nutrient-deprived tumours, and thus WWOX loss might be the key to multistep carcinogenesis that enables tumours reaching a macroscopic size to survive under conditions of cellular stress due to stimuli such as hypoxia. WWOX, via its WW domain, physically interacts with hypoxia-inducible factor 1α subunit and modulates its levels and transactivation functions. Multiple myeloma cells are stressed by unfolded protein overload because of their production of monoclonal immunoglobulins. Interestingly, WWOX is frequently lost or downregulated in myeloma cells and may be involved in ER stress response. With two interaction-rich WW domains and an enzymatic tail, WWOX could be involved in protein transport, act as a chaperone or it may regulate redox status, particularly since WWOX knockout mice display severe metabolic abnormalities. WWOX involvement in ER stress response is consistent with the stress response network hypothesis for common fragile site genes based on the conservation of their loci including the intronic or intragenic fragile site structure that could serve as stress sensors and involvement in stress response already ascribed to WWOX, fragile histidine triad and RAR-related orphan receptor. In conclusion, our study provides novel insights into the function of the WWOX and suggests that defective proapoptotic signalling during ER stress response associated with loss of WWOX may confer paclitaxel resistance in a proportion of ovarian cancer patients. In general, adaptive UPR mechanisms could be targeted to increase chemotherapy efficacy where that cytotoxic mechanism is mediated by ER stress.

Materials and Methods

Cell lines. The PEO1 ovarian cancer cell line (derived within our previous laboratory in University of Edinburgh) is homozygously deleted for WWOX exons 4–8 and lacks WWOX protein expression. We developed independent stable WWOX- and vector-transfected lines as described previously. Cells were maintained in RPMI containing 10% FCS, 100 U/ml penicillin, 100 µg/ml streptomycin (and 3 µg/ml blastidin for stably transfected cells) and cultured at 37 °C, 5% CO2. PEO1 line derivatives were recently authenticated by confirming the presence of a specific homozygous deletion within WWOX by RTPCR; the presence of plasmid vector by blastidin selection; and that WWOX was expressed in WWOX-transfected lines, but null in vector-transfected lines by qRT-PCR and immunoblotting.

Growth curves. Log-phase cells (6 × 10^3 per well) were seeded into 96-well plates. Following 24 h incubation, media were replaced with media containing paclitaxel, cisplatin, monastrol, tunicamycin, SP600125, KIRA6 or GSK2656157. Monastrol and SP600125 were obtained from Tocris Bioscience (Bristol, England, UK) and tunicamycin from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). KIRA6 from Calbiochem (San Diego, CA, USA) and GSK2656157 from Selleckchem (Houston, TX, USA). Cells were quantified by sulforhodamine B (SRB) assay.

Caspase-3/7 activation assays. Log-phase cells (1.5 × 10^5 per well) were seeded into 96-well plates. Following 24 h incubation, media were replaced with media containing paclitaxel. Caspase-3/7 activity was measured after 24 h of drug exposure with CaspaseGlo37 Assay Kit (Promega, Fitchburg, WI, USA).

Annexin V apoptosis assays. Log-phase cells (2.5 × 10^5 per well) were seeded into 6-well plates. Following 24 h incubation, media were replaced with media containing paclitaxel. After 24 h of drug exposure, floating and adherent cells were collected and Annexin V was measured by flow cytometry using TACSTM Annexin V-FITC Apoptosis Detection Kit (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA).

Measuring mitotic index. Cells were plated and treated as for Annexin V assays. Following drug exposure, the cells were fixed with 2% paraformaldehyde, blocked with 1% BSA and permeabilized with 1× BDPerm/Wash solution (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA). Cells (2 × 10^5) were stained with 1:100 dilution of anti-MPM2 antibody (Millipore, Leicester, UK) followed by incubation with 1:500 dilution of secondary fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-conjugated antibody (Sigma-Aldrich). Cells were analysed by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) and the percentage of MPM2-positive cells was designated as mitotic index.

RNAi transfections. A total of 2.5 × 10^5 cells was incubated in 6-well plate for 24 h. One hundred microlitres of 2 µM ITGA3 siRNA SMARTpool, WWOX
siRNA SMARTpool (Dharmacon, Lafayette, CO, USA), oligo 1, 15 or non-targeting oligonucleotide pool 2 (Perbio, Helsingborg, Skane Lan, Sweden) were mixed with 100 μl of OptiMEM media and incubated for 5 min. Three microlitres of Dharmafect transfection reagent 1 (Perbio) was incubated with 197 μl OptiMEM media for 5 min, combined with relevant siRNA solution for 20 min, mixed with medium and added to cells. To measure the level of ITGA3 knockdown, cells were collected 72 h post transfection, fixed with 2% paraformaldehyde and blocked with 1% BSA. Cells (2 x 10^5) were stained with 1:100 dilution of ITGA3 antibody (Millipore), followed by incubation with 1:500 dilution of secondary FITC-conjugated antibody (Sigma) and analysed by FACS.

Quantitative RT-PCR. QRT-PCR was conducted as described previously. Primer sequences: TGFB1 (5′-ATGGGACTGCTAGGATGTG-3′) and 5′-TGTAGA CTCCTTCCCCTGGTG-3′, PPIA (5′-CTGACTGCGCAAGACTGA-5′ and 5′-GCCAT TCTGGACACCAAAA-3′), TUBA1B (5′-GCGAGGCTGCCGTTGCTT-3′ and 5′-CA CACAAACTCTCTTCA-3′).

Protein arrays. Cells were plated and treated as for Annexin V assays. Cell lysates from three experiments (100 μg protein each) were combined, incubated with human apoptosis protein or phosphoprotein arrays (ARY009 and ARY003; R&D Systems) and processed as per the manufacturer’s protocol. The films were scanned with transmission-mode scanner and pixel densities analyzed with ImageQuant (GE Healthcare, Chicago, IL, USA).

Western blotting. Western blotting was conducted according to standard protocols. The antibodies used in this study were as follows: rabbit anti-WWox (a kind gift of Dr. M Aldaz, MD Anderson Cancer Centre, Houston, TX, USA) and ab137726 antibody (abcam), rabbit anti-JNK (Mammalian JNK Antibody Product no. 4970; Cell Signalling Technology, Danvers, MA, USA), rabbit anti-Hsp70 (abcam; ab46798), rabbit anti–cleaved (Asp175) caspase-3 (55653; BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA), mouse anti-caspase-9 (no. 4273; Cell Signalling Technology), rabbit caspase-7 (no. 9492; Cell Signalling Technology), rabbit anti-phospho-ERK1/2 (Cell Signalling Technology; 9212), mouse anti-GAPDH (no. 5174; Cell Signalling Technology), mouse anti-phospho-eIF2α (Cell Signalling Technology; 9721), mouse anti-GRP78 (474421; R&D Systems), mouse anti-JNK1/ JNK2 252323, MAB2076 and rabbit AF1205 (phospho-JNK) (R&D Systems), rabbit anti-phospho (T183/Y185)-JNK (AF1205), rabbit IRE-1 (ab48187 and ab37073; abcam) and PERK (no. 3192; Cell Signalling Technology).

Co-immunoprecipitation. The cells were cultured on 15-cm Petri dishes and collected when they reached 70–80% confluence (when using PEO1 stable transfectants the medium with blasticidin was replaced with media without the drug 5 min. The immunoprecipitates were analysed by immunoblotting as described above.

Bioinformatics and statistical analysis. The TCGA data set, featuring over 500 primary tumour samples, was obtained from The Cancer Genome Atlas (https://tcga-data.nci.nih.gov/tcga/). The ‘Tofthill’ data set featuring nearly 300 primary tumour samples was obtained from Gene Expression Omnibus (series accession GSE8961). Raw gene expression data (Affymetrix CEL files) were obtained for each data set and normalised using RMA. Statistics derived from Cox proportional hazards models were used to evaluate the quantitative association between a unit increase of some measure of term(s) of interest and either PFS time or OS time. Dichotomisation of patient cohorts into higher-than-median expression of a gene of interest and lower-than-median expression of the gene of interest was carried out for the purposes of illustrating effects in Kaplan–Meier plots. Statistical significance of differences in survival curves were evaluated using log-rank tests. Linear models were fitted with LIMMA to derive F-statistics to assess the variation of a given probe’s measured gene expression levels across samples grouped according to the categorical clinical variables ‘residual disease following primary surgery’ and ‘molecular subtype’.
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