Prevalence of Behavioral Health Problems Among Adults With and Without Communication Disabilities

Michelle L. Stransky, PhD, Jennifer Y. Osbita, MS, CCC-SLP, and Megan A. Morris, PhD, MPH, CCC-SLP

Background: Adults with communication disabilities (CDs) experience poor health and health care outcomes. Few studies have examined behavioral health outcomes among this population. We compare the behavioral health of adults with CDs to their peers without such disabilities.

Methods: Retrospective cohort study examining the 2012 National Health Interview Survey Voice, Speech, and Language Supplement. We compared adults (>17 years old) with voice only (n = 2169), speech/language (SL) only (n = 730), and speech/language and voice (SLV; n = 450) disabilities to adults without CDs (n = 29,873). Outcomes include behavioral health diagnoses (eg, depression), substance misuse (eg, excessive alcohol or tobacco use), experiences (eg, nonspecific psychological distress), and health care utilization. Unadjusted Pearson’s χ² and adjusted logistic regression analyses controlling for sociodemographic, health, and other disability measures were conducted.

Results: Adults with CDs more frequently reported diagnoses (7.1% to 35.9% vs 1.8% to 8.6%), substance misuse (SL only: 15.5% vs 5.5%), and nonspecific psychological distress (SL only: 14.7%; SLV: 22.3% vs 2.3%) compared with adults without CDs (all P < .001). These findings were consistent for all outcomes and in multivariate analyses. Odds ratios ranged from 1.4 (99.7% CI, 1.1-1.7) to 5.0 (99.7% CI, 3.6-6.8). Adults with CDs more frequently endorsed visiting mental health professionals compared with adults without CDs (voice only: 11.4%; SL only: 19.1%; SLV: 23.1%; vs 6.8%, all P < .001), but these differences became nonsignificant in multivariate analyses.

Conclusions: Adults with CDs experience poorer behavioral health and health care outcomes compared with persons without CDs. Barriers to identification and treatment related to CDs must be addressed for persons with CDs. (J Am Board Fam Med 2020;33:932–941.)
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Introduction
Adults with communication disabilities (CDs) experience poorer health and health care outcomes and increased restrictions in social participation compared with their nondisabled peers. Defined as impairments in producing and/or understanding verbal or written language, CDs affect approximately 10% of adults in the United States, although only 2% report being formally diagnosed. CDs can stem from a variety of disease etiologies, including voice disabilities related to head and neck cancer, speech sound disabilities from Parkinson’s Disease, or the language disability of aphasia following a stroke. In...
addition to worse health outcomes and restricted social participation, persons with CDs seem similar to persons with other disabilities, who have lower incomes, fewer years of education, and higher rates of unemployment compared with their peers without CDs.2,6

Behavioral health disorders, defined as “mental health and substance abuse conditions, stress-linked physical symptoms, patient activation and health behaviors,”7 are among the conditions with the highest disease burdens in the United States.8 Existing literature addressing behavioral health outcomes among persons with CDs has traditionally been oriented by disease,9,10 resulting in a fragmented and siloed understanding of the shared experience of CD across diverse conditions. Among these isolated CD subgroups, scholarship suggests a pattern of poorer behavioral health outcomes. For example, 1 in every 5 adults who have had a laryngectomy surgery were diagnosed with psychiatric disorders within 2 decades following their surgery.11 Persons with common voice disabilities like muscle tension dysphonia or vocal-fold lesions report elevated stress, anxiety, and depression compared with those without these disorders at rates of between 25% to 37%.12,13 In contrast, the prevalence of any mental illness (including mental, behavioral, or emotional disorder) in the US population is 18.9%.14 Adults who stutter have a significantly higher prevalence of smoking, drug use, and depressive symptoms than nonstuttering adults.15 Finally, aphasia is 1 of the top 3 predictors of depression after experiencing a stroke16 and is associated with severe and persistent mood disorder.17

The US federal prevention agenda, Healthy People 2020, identified the health of individuals with disabilities as a priority area.18 We contribute to this scholarship by examining the experiences of mental health and substance misuse, as well as access to behavioral health care, comparing adults with and without CDs. To do so, we leveraged the Voice, Speech and Language supplement of the 2012 National Health Interview Survey (NHIS).

Methods
Data and Sample
We conducted a retrospective cohort study, examining data from the 2012 NHIS.19 The NHIS is a nationally representative survey administered annually by the US Census Bureau. US households are selected using a multi-stage sampling technique. Information on health behaviors, conditions, and health care experiences are collected on all civilian household members. One adult from each family is randomly selected for more extensive data collection. In 2012, the NHIS Sample Adult questionnaire, which contained supplementary questions on CD, gathered information on 34,525 adults (≥17 years old; 61.2% response rate). Complete information on CD and behavioral health questions were available for 33,222 adults (96.2% of the sample). The Institutional Review Board determined this study was exempt from review because the NHIS is publicly available.

We created a mutually exclusive measure to categorize persons without CD (n = 29,873), with voice only disabilities (n = 2169), with speech and/or language (SL) only disabilities (n = 730), and with speech and/or language and voice (SLV) disabilities (n = 450) based on responses to questions about voice, speech, and language problems in the past 12 months (Table 1). Voice only and SL only disabilities were categorized separately because of differences in the duration of such problems (on average, voice disabilities past 56 days per year compared with 142 and 195 days for respondents with speech or language disabilities).5 An SLV group was created to capture the impact of co-occurring CDs. CDs resulting from primary sensory impairments (hearing and visual) were not included in this analysis as data on these populations are more

Table 1. Communication Disabilities Definitions

| Category | Question |
|----------|----------|
| Voice    | “During the past 12 months, have you had any problems or difficulties with your voice, such as having a hoarse, raspy, or strained voice, or with difficulty speaking loud enough to be heard” |
| Speech   | “During the past 12 months, have you had a speech problem, such as stuttering, repeating words, or not being able to pronounce words properly” |
| Language | “During the past 12 months, have you had a language problem, such as problems using or understanding words or sentences” |

Questions from the 2012 National Health Interview Survey Sample Adult Questionnaire Supplement on Communication Disabilities.
readily available and, thus, comparatively better studied.

Outcomes

Diagnoses
Adults were asked whether they had ever been diagnosed with attention deficit disorder/attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADD/ADHD) and bipolar disorder. Persons were asked whether they had been diagnosed with phobias, depression, or other mental health disorders during the past 12 months.

Substance Use
Adults were queried on excessive alcohol, tobacco, or other substance use during the past 12 months.

Stress-Related Experiences
Adults were asked whether they experienced fatigue or lack of energy for >3 days; excessive sleepiness during the day; insomnia or trouble sleeping; feeling anxious, nervous or worried; and feeling stressed during the last year. Respondents were categorized as experiencing difficulty “participating in social activities such as visiting friends, attending clubs and meetings, going to parties” if they endorsed having any difficulty (vs no difficulty).19

Nonspecific psychological distress was measured by the K-6 scale.20 These questions query experiences of being nervous, restless or fidgety, hopeless, worthless, and feeling that everything was an effort during the past 30 days on a 5-point scale ranging from “All the Time” (1) to “None of the Time” (5). Responses were reverse coded from 0 to 4 and summed across items. Persons with a final score >12 were categorized as experiencing nonspecific psychological distress. Persons who reported experiencing at least 1 of these feelings all, most, or some of the time were asked how much “these feelings interfered with your life or activities.” Persons who reported “a lot” of interference were categorized separately from those who reported lower levels of interference.

Health care
All respondents were asked whether they had visited a mental health professional and whether they forewent mental health care because they could not afford it in the past 12 months.

Other Measures
Self-reported age, sex, race/ethnicity, employment status, marital status, educational attainment, region of residence, and health insurance status were used as control measures. Poverty status was measured as family-size adjusted income in comparison to the federal poverty line. Cases with missing data were imputed by the National Center for Health Statistics. Persons who endorsed physical, cognitive, vision, independent living, or self-care limitations or being deaf or hard of hearing were coded as having any other disability. A summary measure of 0, 1, or >1 chronic conditions was created based on endorsement of hypertension, cardiovascular disease, stroke, emphysema or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, asthma, cancer, diabetes, or arthritis.

Analysis
We analyzed the NHIS using Stata 15,21 accounting for the Sample Adults weights and the complex sampling design. Statistical significance was set at 0.0029 to account for multiple outcomes.22 We first examined unadjusted associations between behavioral health and CD using Pearson’s χ² test. Logistic regression analyses (odds ratios and 99.7% CIs) were used to assess these associations, controlling for sociodemographic, health, and other disability measures. Regression analyses of health care also controlled for behavioral health conditions and experiences.

Results
In our sample, a total of 3349 adults reported a CD. Adults with CDs more frequently reported other disabilities and multiple chronic conditions than their peers without CDs (Table 2). For example, approximately 25% of persons without CDs reported >1 chronic condition compared with 40% of persons with voice disabilities, 44% of persons with SL disabilities, and 62% of persons with SLV disabilities. Adults with CDs were more frequently unmarried, unemployed, relied on public insurance, and lived in families at or near the poverty line. Persons with SL only and SLV disabilities seemed to fare the worst compared with their peers without CDs.

Adults with CDs more frequently reported ever being diagnosed with ADHD/ADD (SL only: 14.2% P < .001) and bipolar disorder (SL only: 11.7%; SLV: 11.4%; all P < .001) compared with adults without CDs (3.8% and 1.8%, respectively; Table 3). Fewer than 9% of adults without CDs were currently...
Table 2. Characteristics of Persons with Communication Disabilities (n = 33,222)

|                              | No Communication Disability | Voice Only Disability | Speech/Language Only Disability | Speech/Language & Voice Disability |
|------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| % (n)                        | % (n)                      | % (n)                | % (n)                           | % (n)                            |
| **Total**                    | 90.2 (29,873)              | 6.4 (2169)           | 2.2 (730)                       | 1.2 (450)                        |
| Any other disability        | 14.7 (5076)                | 24.1 (623)           | 52.8 (426)                      | 64.0 (318)                       |
| Multiple chronic conditions |                            |                      |                                 |                                 |
| None                        | 50.2 (14,249)              | 32.1 (603)           | 31.7 (208)                      | 20.7 (77)                        |
| One                         | 25.0 (7474)                | 27.5 (582)           | 24.4 (176)                      | 17.9 (79)                        |
| > 1                          | 24.9 (8150)                | 40.4 (984)           | 43.9 (346)                      | 61.5 (294)                       |
| Race/ethnicity              |                            |                      |                                 |                                 |
| Hispanic                    | 15.3 (5242)                | 9.1 (230)            | 15.0 (127)                      | 14.5 (71)                        |
| White, non-Hispanic         | 66.8 (17,907)              | 76.7 (1537)          | 65.9 (412)                      | 66.5 (266)                       |
| Black, non-Hispanic         | 11.6 (4467)                | 10.8 (313)           | 14.4 (153)                      | 14.7 (89)                        |
| Other, Non-Hispanic         | 6.2 (2257)                 | 3.4 (89)             | 4.7 (38)                        | 4.3 (24)                         |
| Married                     | 54.1 (13,284)              | 50.2 (862)           | 36.1 (211)                      | 36.8 (129)                       |
| Employed                    | 62.2 (17,803)              | 57.2 (1155)          | 39.0 (277)                      | 37.2 (144)                       |
| Educational attainment      |                            |                      |                                 |                                 |
| Less than high school       | 13.7 (4658)                | 11.7 (279)           | 22.5 (199)                      | 28.6 (133)                       |
| High school graduate        | 26.2 (7739)                | 22.9 (527)           | 30.4 (207)                      | 30.8 (132)                       |
| Some college                | 20.4 (5908)                | 22.4 (478)           | 21.6 (155)                      | 23.3 (96)                        |
| College degree              | 39.7 (11,568)              | 43.0 (885)           | 25.4 (169)                      | 17.2 (89)                        |
| Poverty status*             |                            |                      |                                 |                                 |
| < 100% FPL                  | 13.4 (5003)                | 12.9 (374)           | 25.0 (216)                      | 25.6 (133)                       |
| 100 to 199% FPL             | 19.0 (5425)                | 19.2 (404)           | 25.7 (168)                      | 28.2 (108)                       |
| 200 to 399% FPL             | 29.8 (7546)                | 30.7 (578)           | 27.2 (163)                      | 30.0 (106)                       |
| ≥ 400% FPL                  | 37.7 (8780)                | 37.3 (608)           | 22.1 (117)                      | 16.3 (63)                        |
| Health insurance            |                            |                      |                                 |                                 |
| Uninsured                   | 17.0 (5419)                | 12.7 (300)           | 18.7 (139)                      | 16.7 (64)                        |
| Public only                 | 16.4 (5852)                | 17.6 (464)           | 32.5 (276)                      | 39.0 (200)                       |
| Any private                 | 66.6 (18,602)              | 69.7 (1405)          | 48.9 (315)                      | 44.2 (186)                       |
| Region of residence         |                            |                      |                                 |                                 |
| Northeast                   | 18.3 (5034)                | 16.4 (337)           | 16.4 (99)                       | 11.9 (53)                        |
| Midwest                     | 22.3 (6094)                | 28.2 (556)           | 24.3 (154)                      | 23.0 (86)                        |
| South                       | 36.5 (10,889)              | 36.1 (759)           | 37.1 (281)                      | 40.5 (178)                       |
| West                        | 22.9 (7856)                | 19.3 (517)           | 22.1 (196)                      | 24.6 (133)                       |
| Female                      | 51.0 (16,461)              | 66.3 (1464)          | 48.6 (370)                      | 48.5 (244)                       |
| Age, years                  |                            |                      |                                 |                                 |
| 18 to 24                    | 12.7 (2935)                | 12.0 (217)           | 20.8 (95)                       | 17.4 (48)                        |
| 25 to 34                    | 18.0 (5461)                | 15.7 (326)           | 14.7 (114)                      | 10.4 (44)                        |
| 35 to 44                    | 17.3 (5106)                | 14.3 (306)           | 13.2 (100)                      | 11.8 (52)                        |
| 45 to 54                    | 18.6 (5153)                | 18.7 (350)           | 16.9 (120)                      | 17.7 (80)                        |
| 55 to 64                    | 16.1 (5019)                | 16.4 (385)           | 17.3 (147)                      | 18.4 (97)                        |
| ≥ 65                        | 17.3 (6199)                | 22.9 (585)           | 17.2 (154)                      | 24.4 (129)                       |

FPL, federal poverty line.
Percentages may not sum to 100 due to rounding.
*Poverty status was imputed by the National Center for Health Statistics. The sample sizes shown are from the cases that were not imputed.
consistent with bivariate results, showing that persons without CD (SL only: 13.1%; SLV: 15.4%; no CD reported other mental illnesses compared with adults SL only and SLV disabilities more frequently of adults with SLV disabilities (ADD/ADHD, attention de

Table 3. Behavioral Health Outcomes by Communication Disability Type (n = 33,222)

| Diagnosis                                      | No communication Disability | Voice Only Disability | Speech/Language Only Disability | Speech/Language and Voice Disability |
|------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| % (n)                                          | % (n)                       | % (n)                 | % (n)                           | % (n)                                |
| Ever diagnosed with                           |                             |                       |                                 |                                      |
| ADD/ADHD                                       | 3.8 (940)                   | 4.8 (103)             | 14.2 (89)                       | 11.7 (52)                            |
| Bipolar disorder                               | 1.8 (578)                   | 3.5 (84)              | 11.7 (76)                       | 11.4 (45)                            |
| In the past 12 months...                       |                             |                       |                                 |                                      |
| Diagnoses                                      |                             |                       |                                 |                                      |
| Phobia/fears                                   | 3.3 (986)                   | 7.1 (156)             | 12.8 (102)                      | 21.4 (84)                            |
| Depression                                     | 8.6 (2880)                  | 15.1 (381)            | 30.4 (232)                      | 35.9 (178)                           |
| Other mental health disorders                  | 2.1 (696)                   | 4.3 (106)             | 13.1 (107)                      | 15.4 (62)                            |
| Substance use                                  |                             |                       |                                 |                                      |
| Excessive alcohol or tobacco use               | 5.5 (1714)                  | 7.1 (170)             | 15.5 (109)                      | 15.7 (63)                            |
| Other substance abuse                          | 0.7 (200)                   | 0.7 (21)              | 1.6 (11)                        | 1.5 (8)                              |
| Experiences                                    |                             |                       |                                 |                                      |
| Fatigue or lack of energy for > 3 days         | 13 (4010)                   | 31.6 (717)            | 38.7 (291)                      | 54.3 (264)                           |
| Regularly had excessive sleepiness             | 10.5 (3249)                 | 24 (568)              | 38.1 (282)                      | 55.2 (255)                           |
| Regularly had insomnia or trouble sleeping     | 17 (5405)                   | 34.9 (794)            | 42.2 (327)                      | 53.8 (254)                           |
| Frequently anxious, nervous, or worried         | 16.5 (5173)                 | 34 (765)              | 51.2 (389)                      | 57.4 (275)                           |
| Frequently stressed                            | 26.4 (7969)                 | 47.1 (1027)           | 57.1 (420)                      | 62.4 (296)                           |
| Difficulty participating in social activities  | 8.4 (2837)                  | 16.2 (417)            | 33.9 (280)                      | 47.2 (231)                           |
| Health care utilization                        |                             |                       |                                 |                                      |
| Visited mental health professional             | 6.8 (2133)                  | 11.4 (265)            | 19.1 (152)                      | 23.1 (108)                           |
| Could not afford mental health visit           | 2 (687)                     | 4 (90)                | 11.9 (76)                       | 11.7 (54)                            |
| In the past 30 days...                         |                             |                       |                                 |                                      |
| Non-specific psychological distress (K-6)     | 2.3 (787)                   | 4.6 (117)             | 14.7 (112)                      | 22.3 (105)                           |
| Feelings interfered with life/activities‡     | 11.2 (885)                  | 10.7 (124)            | 30.2 (138)                      | 27.9 (106)                           |

*Statistical significance compares each communication disability group to the group with no communication disabilities.

†n = 9510; This question was only asked of persons who reported at least one of the items queried in the K6 “all,” “most,” or “some” of the time.

‡Comparison of voice only with speech/language only, P < .0029.

§Comparison of voice only with speech/language and voice, P < .0029.

ADD/ADHD, attention deficit disorder/attention deficit hyperactivity disorder.

With CD had 1.6 (1.1 to 2.1) to 3.3 (2.3 to 4.9) times higher odds of reporting mental health diagnoses than their peers without CDs (Figure 1). Persons with SLV disabilities fared worse than their peers with voice only disabilities for phobias (2.1 [1.1 to 3.7]) and other mental health disorders (2.1 [1.0 to 4.1]). Persons with SL only and SLV disabilities had higher likelihoods of depression (SL only: 1.7 [1.1 to 2.6];
SLV: 1.8 [1.1 to 2.9]) than their peers with voice-only disabilities.

Adults with SL only (15.5%) disabilities reported excessive alcohol and tobacco use nearly 2 times more frequently than their peers without CDs (5.5%, P < .001). There were no differences in the reporting of other substance use by adults with and without CDs. These findings remained consistent in multivariate analyses.

Adults with CDs also more frequently reported fatigue or lacking energy (voice only: 31.6%; SL only: 38.7%; SLV: 54.3%), excessive sleepiness (voice only: 24.0%; SL only: 38.1%; SLV: 55.2%), and insomnia or trouble sleeping (voice only: 34.9%, SL only: 42.2%, SLV: 53.8%) compared with their peers without CDs (13.0%, 10.5%, and 17.0%, respectively; all P < .001). Similarly, persons with CDs more frequently endorsed feeling anxious, nervous or worried, and stressed. Adults with voice only disabilities were between 2.1 (1.7-2.6) and 2.3 (1.9-2.9) times more likely than their peers without CDs to have these experiences, as shown in regression analyses (Figure 2). For those same outcomes, the odds for adults with SL only disabilities ranged from 2.1 (1.4-3.0) to 3.1 (2.2 to 4.2) and SLV disabilities ranged from 2.9 (1.8-4.5) to 5.0 (3.1 to 7.9) compared with adults without CDs. Adults with SLV disabilities also fared worse in terms of excessive sleepiness than their peers with voice only (2.4 [1.4-4.0]) and SL only (1.9 [1.1 to 3.3]) disabilities.

Approximately 16% of adults with voice only, 34% of adults with SL only, and 47% of adults with SLV disabilities reported difficulty participating in social activities, compared with 8.5% of adults without CDs (all P < .001). These differences remained statistically significant in multivariate analyses. For example, persons with SLV disabilities were 2.7 (1.8-4.2) times more likely to report difficulty participating than their peers without disabilities. Persons with SLV disabilities were also more likely to report difficulties than their peers with voice only disabilities (2.0 [1.2 to 3.3]).

Adults with CDs also more frequently reported nonspecific psychological distress in the past 30 days (SL only: 14.7%; SLV: 22.3%) compared with adults without CDs (2.3%; P < .001). Persons with SL disabilities more frequently reported that those feelings interfered with their lives and activities (SL only: 30.2%) compared with adults without CDs (11.2%; P < .001). Logistic regression models were consistent with the bivariate results, which show persons with CDs had 2.1 (1.6-2.9) to 4.0 (2.5 to 6.6) higher odds of reporting nonspecific psychological distress in the past 30 days.
distress worse than their peers. Persons with SLV disabilities fared worse than their peers with voice only disabilities (2.9 [1.6-5.2]). Persons with SL only and SLV disabilities were more likely than their peers with voice only disabilities to report that their distress interfered with their lives (SL only: 2.5 [1.4-4.5]; SLV: 1.9 [1.0 to 3.7]).

Adults with CDs more frequently endorsed visiting a mental health professional (voice only: 11.4%, SL only: 19.1%, SLV: 23.1%) compared with adults without CDs (6.8%, all $P<.001$), but these differences became nonsignificant in multivariate analyses that controlled for behavioral health diagnoses and experiences (results not shown). With the exception of persons with SL only disabilities, bivariate statistically significant differences for CD and foregoing mental health care due to cost became nonsignificant in multivariate analyses, controlling for behavioral health conditions. Approximately 12% of persons with SL only disabilities reported foregoing care due to cost compared with 2.0% of adults without CDs ($P<.001$). Multivariate analyses remained statistically significant for this group, revealing that persons with SL only disabilities were 2.0 (1.1 to 3.7) times more likely to forego care because of cost than their peers without CDs (results not shown).

**Sensitivity Analyses**

In 2018, the NHIS added 1 permanent question regarding CDs to the Sample Adult survey. The question asks respondents to rate their level of “difficulty communicating, for example, understanding or being understood” in their “usual language.” It does not differentiate between types of CD. From 2011 to 2017, this question was asked of only half of the sample adults who participated in the Adult Functioning and Disability Questionnaire. We reran our analyses on the behavioral health measures available in the 2018 NHIS: feeling anxious, nervous, or worry; nonspecific psychological distress; visits to a mental health professional; and unmet need for mental health care due to cost. These findings were consistent with the 2012 findings for persons with any SL disability.

**Discussion**

In this population level analysis of behavioral health outcomes in adults with voice, speech, and language disabilities, we found that adults with CDs have poorer behavioral health outcomes than their peers without CDs. Our sample included heterogeneous disease etiologies, revealing the commonality of experience among adults with CDs. Adults with CDs were 1.6-3.3 times as likely to have a mental health diagnosis, 2.0 times more likely to have excessive alcohol and tobacco use, and 2.1 to 5.0 times more likely to have stress-related experiences compared with adults without CDs. These findings align with prior, smaller scale studies of clinical samples with single CD etiologies11,12,15–17 and among persons with hearing loss and deafness.21–25 We also found that, despite poorer behavioral health, adults with CDs are no more likely than their peers to visit mental health professionals.

The relationship between experiencing a CD and poor behavioral health is likely complex and multifactorial. In our sample, persons with CDs had fewer socioeconomic resources available to them as compared with those without CDs. This is consistent with prior literature, which acknowledges the influential role of social determinants on the health outcomes of persons with disabilities.26–28 However, we also found that the relationship of experiencing a CD and behavioral health outcomes remained even after adjusting for these factors. One potential explanation is the social isolation that can occur as a result of having a CD. All CD groups endorsed difficulties participating in social activities at higher rates than their nondisabled peers. In addition, the CD subgroup with the highest rates of social participation restrictions, persons with SLV disabilities, also had the poorest behavioral health outcomes. This suggests that social participation limitations play an important role in the relationship between experiencing a CD and behavioral health. This relationship also aligns with findings previously reported in the literature.3,4,29 When comparing CD subgroups, persons with SL disorders fared worse than those with voice only disorders on many behavioral health outcomes. One final potential explanation for this is related to the high rate of neurologic etiologies that result in SL disabilities, such as traumatic brain injuries and strokes. This damage could result in neurological changes that lead to behavioral health outcomes.30,31

We found that despite consistently poorer behavioral health outcomes experienced by persons with CDs, behavioral health services were underutilized. There are several possible reasons for this. The first is the problem of cost, as respondents...
with CDs more frequently reported being unable to afford mental health services. All 3 CD groups had higher rates of unemployment and being on public insurance than did persons without CDs. Notably, underutilization of behavioral health care contrasts the pattern of higher physical medicine utilization rates of office-based visits, emergency department visits, and inpatient hospital stays found in this same NHIS sample in Stransky et al. It is conceivable that this is also related to cost. When forced to choose between competing health care needs in this resource constrained population, acute physical needs may take precedence over behavioral ones.

The paucity of mental health identification and assessment methods validated on persons with CD could lead to under-identification of behavioral health disorders in this population. Qualitative evidence from primary care indicates that persons with CDs interact with and convey messages to their physicians differently than those without CDs. Persons with CDs rely heavily on caregivers for communication, need additional time to be understood, and are at risk of feeling misunderstood by their health care practitioners. Even the most seasoned clinicians endorse challenges in identifying the emotional state of persons with CDs. Validated identification and assessment methods for this group are needed to ensure behavioral health symptoms are not missed or inappropriately treated.

There are also few psychological and pharmacological treatment approaches specifically validated on persons with CDs. The mainstay of psychological services is psychotherapy, also known as “talk therapy,” which poses inherent challenges for those with CDs. Fortunately, approaches are emerging in the aphasia population, with several new behavioral health approaches under investigation. Another opportunity for addressing these needs is the addition of speech-language pathologists as part of the primary care and behavioral health team. Such providers are specifically trained in addressing CDs and can work with both the patient and providers to improve communication and understanding of symptoms and treatment effects.

Limitations
The conclusions drawn from this study are limited by the cross-sectional nature of the design. As this is an exploratory study, it is not meant to provide causal explanations, but rather to describe the experience of this population. A second limitation is the age of the data, as the 2012 NHIS was the only year that multiple questions were asked distinguishing specific types of CD experienced by respondents. The 2012 NHIS specification by type of CD allowed for stratification of CD groups not possible in any other year. This was important given the notable differences in the duration of experiencing their CD and behavioral health prevalence rates in adults with voice as compared with adults with SL and SLV CDs.

Conclusion
Persons with CDs are at high risk for poor behavioral health and health care outcomes. Future studies should continue to investigate the underlying drivers of this phenomenon. The development of behavioral health screening tools and interventions validated on this patient population is essential. Finally, national data collection efforts on disability should consistently include CDs, differentiating among persons with voice, speech, and language CDs. Only then can we hope to improve the state of behavioral health and health care in this population. After all, persons with CDs deserve an equal opportunity to achieve not just optimal physical health, but also the highest behavioral health possible.

To see this article online, please go to: http://jabfm.org/content/33/6/932.full.
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