Collective modes of an imbalanced unitary Fermi gas

Johannes Hofmann,1,2 Frédéric Chevy,2 Olga Goulko,3,4 and Carlos Lobo5

1TCM Group, Cavendish Laboratory, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB3 0HE, United Kingdom
2Laboratoire Kastler Brossel, ENS-PSL Research University, CNRS, UPMC, Collège de France, 24, rue Lhomond, 75005 Paris
3Department of Physics, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA 01003, USA
4Raymond and Beverly Sackler School of Chemistry and School Physics and Astronomy, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv 6997801, Israel
5Mathematical Sciences, University of Southampton, Highfield, Southampton, SO17 1BJ, United Kingdom

(Dated: December 7, 2017)

We study theoretically the collective mode spectrum of a strongly imbalanced two-component unitary Fermi gas in a cigar-shaped trap, where the minority species forms a gas of polarons. We describe the collective breathing mode of the gas in terms of the Fermi liquid kinetic equation taking collisions into account using the method of moments. Our results for the frequency and damping of the longitudinal in-phase breathing mode are in good quantitative agreement with an experiment by Nascimbène et al. [Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 170402 (2009)] and interpolate between a hydrodynamic and a collisionless regime as the polarization is increased. A separate out-of-phase breathing mode, which for a collisionless gas is sensitive to the effective mass of the polaron, however, is strongly damped at finite temperature, whereas the experiment observes a well-defined oscillation.

I. INTRODUCTION

Landau’s Fermi liquid theory accounts for the fact that many normal state Fermi systems behave in a qualitatively similar way to a noninteracting Fermi gas [1,3]. The central assumption of the theory is the adiabatic continuity of excitations, meaning that excitations of the interacting system are characterized by the same quantum numbers of spin $\sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow$ and momentum $\mathbf{p}$ as the noninteracting system [4,6]. The robustness of this picture relies on phase space arguments and does not depend on the strength of the interparticle interaction.

Over the past ten years, the two-spin-component Fermi quantum gas has emerged as a new Fermi liquid [7]. For small polarization $P = (N_{\uparrow} - N_{\downarrow})/(N_{\uparrow} + N_{\downarrow})$ ($N_{\uparrow,\downarrow}$ being the total number of atoms of each species), the ground state is a superfluid [8]. As the polarization is increased beyond the Clogston-Chandrasekar limit, there is a first order phase transition to a Fermi liquid where both species coexist [9, 10]. In particular, the extreme limit $P \rightarrow 1$ describes a single spin-$\downarrow$ quasiparticle interacting with a majority spin-$\uparrow$ Fermi sea (a “polaron”) characterized by an effective mass $m^*$, energy $E_p = -\alpha E_F$ (where $E_F$ is the Fermi energy of the majority species), and quasiparticle residue. These parameters have been studied extensively at zero temperature [11,19].

There are three ways to measure the polaron parameters. First, through the equation of state [20]. Second, by measuring the radiofrequency spectrum, which has a pronounced quasiparticle peak at the polaron energy with a weight proportional to the quasiparticle residue [21,23]. The third method – which we are interested in here – measures the effective mass dynamically by exciting collective mode oscillations [24].

The experiment [24] by Nascimbène et al. studied the collective breathing modes in the longitudinal direction of an elongated harmonic trap as a function of polarization. At low polarization, both spin components oscillate in phase due to the strong coupling between them. At larger polarization, an additional out-of-phase mode was observed. In the $P \rightarrow 1$ limit its frequency was identified with the collisionless value $2\omega_z^*$, where $\omega_z^*$ is the axial trap frequency renormalized by the interaction of the minority atoms with the majority background [7]:

$$\omega_z^* = \omega_z \sqrt{m/m^* (1 + \alpha)}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Reference [24] obtained the polaron effective mass from Eq. (1) after linearly extrapolating the experimental out-of-phase breathing mode frequency to $P = 1$. This has resulted in a value at unitarity of $m^*/m = 1.17(10)$, in close agreement with theoretical results [14,16,18,25,26].

In a subsequent theory paper [27], Recati and Stringari analyzed the out-of-phase collective mode using a scaling ansatz with mean-field interactions but without collisions, and obtained a frequency behaviour that disagreed with the experiment [24] at lower values of polarization. However, at these polarizations collisions can become important so that a full theoretical description of the experiment is still lacking.

In this paper, we analyze the collective breathing mode spectrum of a Fermi liquid taking into account finite-temperature effects, mean-field interactions and also quasiparticle collisions. The theoretical framework that allows us to do this is the Landau-Boltzmann equation, which we solve using the method of moments. This method has already been successfully applied to study
the collective modes of balanced Fermi gases [28][33]. The paper is structured as follows: in Sec. II we solve the quasiparticle kinetic equation for a trapped and strongly imbalanced Fermi gas using the single-polaron parameters obtained in [14]. We obtain the eigenmodes in a trap by expanding the distribution function in small deviations from equilibrium in a finite-dimensional basis of trial functions. In this way, both the single-particle contribution to the kinetic equation as well as the collision integral can be reduced to a set of linear equations whose eigenvalues determine the collective mode frequencies. We present results for collective modes for the experimental setup of Ref. [24], and compare with the experimental results.

II. COLLECTIVE MODES

In the high-polarization limit of the imbalanced Fermi gas, the minority atoms (spin-↓) form a dilute gas of polaron which interact with the majority species (spin-↑). Within Fermi liquid theory, the quasi-classical evolution of the minority and majority distribution function \( n_\sigma(r, p, t) \) is described by the coupled Landau-Boltzmann kinetic equation [setting \( \hbar = 1 \)],

\[
\left[ \partial_t + \frac{\partial \varepsilon_\sigma(r, p)}{\partial p} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{\partial \varepsilon_\sigma(r, p)}{\partial p} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \right] n_\sigma(r, p) = -I_\sigma[n_\uparrow, n_\downarrow],
\]

where the distribution functions of each spin state are normalized as

\[
N_\sigma = \int d^3r \, n_\sigma(r) \quad \text{with} \quad n_\sigma(r) = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} n_\sigma(r, p).
\]

where \( \bar{\sigma} \) denotes the opposite spin species of \( \sigma \), \( v_{\text{rel}} \) the relative velocity of colliding particles, and \( \frac{\partial E}{\partial n_\bar{\sigma}} = \left( \frac{m_{\bar{\sigma}}}{2\pi} f_{\bar{\tau}} \right)^2 \) the differential scattering cross section, where the scattering amplitude \( f_{\bar{\tau}} \) is linked to the single-polaron energy by \( f_{\bar{\tau}} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial n_\bar{\sigma}} \). The first line of the collision integral describes the depopulation of the state \( \varepsilon_\sigma(r, p) \) is the energy of a quasiparticle with spin \( \sigma \) and momentum \( p \) at position \( r \):

\[
\varepsilon_\sigma(r, p) = \frac{p^2}{2m_\sigma} + U_\sigma(r) + V(r).
\]

We take \( m_\downarrow = m^* \), the effective polaron mass, and \( m_\uparrow = m \), the bare atom mass. The spin-independent harmonic trapping potential with trapping frequencies \( \omega_i (i = x, y, z) \) is given by

\[
V(r) = \sum_{i=x,y,z} \frac{m\omega_i^2}{2} r_i^2.
\]

\( U_\sigma = U_\sigma[n_\uparrow(r), n_\downarrow(r)] \) are the mean-field interactions experienced by each spin component, which are deduced from the single-polaron parameters at zero temperature. For the minority species, the mean field potential is given by the single-polaron energy \( U_\downarrow = -\alpha E_F(r) \), while the majority mean field \( U_\uparrow \) is chosen such that the total force acting on the system vanishes,

\[
U_\uparrow[n_\uparrow, n_\downarrow] = -\frac{1}{2m} (6\pi^2)^{2/3} n_{\downarrow}^{2/3}(r) \quad \text{(6)}
\]

\[
U_\downarrow[n_\uparrow, n_\downarrow] = \frac{2}{3} \frac{(6\pi^2)^{2/3} n_{\uparrow}(r)}{2m} n_{\downarrow}^{1/3}(r). \quad \text{(7)}
\]

The distribution in thermal equilibrium is the Fermi-Dirac distribution with chemical potential \( \mu_\sigma \) [23][24]

\[
n_\sigma^{eq}(r, p) = \frac{1}{e^{\beta(\varepsilon_\sigma(r, p) - \mu_\sigma)} + 1}.
\]

Unlike for a noninteracting gas, Eq. (5) is a complicated self-consistent expression since \( n_\sigma^{eq}(r, p) \) enters \( \varepsilon_\sigma(r, p) \) through the mean-field potential. The attractive mean-field potential increases the particle density in the trap center. Interactions change the distribution function through the collision integral

\[
I_\sigma[n_\uparrow, n_\downarrow] = \int \frac{d^3p_1 (2\pi)^3}{2} \int d\Omega \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} [v_{\text{rel}}] \left[ n_\sigma(r, p, t)n_\bar{\sigma}(r, p_1, t)(1 - n_\sigma(r, p', t))(1 - n_\bar{\sigma}(r, p_1', t)) - n_\sigma(r, p', t)n_\bar{\sigma}(r, p'_1, t)(1 - n_\sigma(r, p, t))(1 - n_\bar{\sigma}(r, p_1, t)) \right],
\]

where \( (p, \sigma) \) by collisions with a quasiparticle \( (p_1, \bar{\sigma}) \) to a final state \( (p', \sigma) \) and \( (p'_1, \bar{\sigma}) \). The second line describes the reverse process \( (p', \sigma) + (p'_1, \bar{\sigma}) \rightarrow (p, \sigma) + (p_1, \bar{\sigma}) \). The collisions are constrained by energy and momentum conservation. Writing in the center-of-mass frame \( p = \frac{m_\uparrow}{M} P + q \) and \( p_1 = \frac{m_\downarrow}{M} P - q \), where \( M = m + m^* \) is the total mass and \( P = p + p_1 \) the total momentum,
we have \( \mathbf{p}' = \frac{m}{\bar{m}} \mathbf{P} + \mathbf{q}' \) and \( \mathbf{p}'_i = \frac{m}{\bar{m}} \mathbf{P} - \mathbf{q}' \), where \( |\mathbf{q}| = |\mathbf{q}'| \), as well as \( v_{\text{rel}} = \mathbf{q}/m_{\text{rel}} \) with \( m_{\text{rel}} = \frac{m m'}{m + m'} \), the reduced mass. The integration over the angle element \( d\Omega \) in Eq. (3) describes the change in the solid angle between \( \mathbf{q} \) and \( \mathbf{q}' \).

Solving the full collision integral is a complicated task. Here, we use an approximate method to study small oscillations around the equilibrium distribution (see, e.g., Refs. [29, 32] for more details). To this end, we expand the distribution function as

\[
n_\sigma(r, p, t) = n_\sigma^{\text{eq}}(r, p) + n_\sigma^{\text{st}}(r, p) (1 - n_\sigma^{\text{eq}}(r, p)) \Phi_\sigma(r, p, t),
\]

where the prefactor in Eq. (10) is chosen such that \( \Phi_\sigma \) can be interpreted as a potential perturbation that corrects the quasiparticle energy \( \varepsilon_\sigma \). The collision integral then reads:

\[
I_\sigma[\Phi_\sigma, \Phi_\sigma] = \int \frac{d^3p_1}{(2\pi)^3} \int d\Omega \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} [v_{\text{rel}}]
\]

\[
\times n_\sigma^{\text{eq}}(r, p) n_\sigma^{\text{st}}(r, p_1) (1 - n_\sigma^{\text{eq}}(r, p_1)) (1 - n_\sigma^{\text{eq}}(r, p'))
\]

\[
\times [\Phi_\sigma(r, p, t) + \Phi_\sigma(r, p_1, t) - \Phi_\sigma(r, p', t) - \Phi_\sigma(r, p'_1, t)] .
\]

This complicated kinetic equation can be solved approximately by expanding the perturbation \( \Phi_\sigma(r, p) \) in a suitably chosen set of basis functions

\[
\Phi_\sigma(r, p, t) = e^{-i\omega t} \sum_{\sigma} c_{j,\sigma} \psi_{j,\sigma}(r, p),
\]

where we assume a harmonic time-dependence with frequency \( \omega \). Substituting this form in Eq. (2), multiplying by \( \psi_i(r, p) \), and integrating over \( r \) and \( p \) reduces the kinetic equation to a set of linear equations of the form

\[
\omega M_1 + M_2 + M_3 + C = 0,
\]

where \( M_1, M_2, \) and \( C \) are matrices with coefficients

\[
(M_1)_{ij} = -i \int \frac{d^3r d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \psi_{i,\sigma}(r, p) n_\sigma^{\text{eq}}(r, p)
\]

\[
(M_2)_{ij} = \int \frac{d^3r d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \psi_{i,\sigma}(r, p) \left[ (\nabla_{p} \varepsilon_{\sigma}(r, p)) \cdot \nabla_{r} - (\nabla_{r} \varepsilon_{\sigma}(r, p)) \cdot \nabla_{p} \right] n_\sigma^{\text{eq}}(r, p)
\]

\[
(M_3)_{ij} = -\int \frac{d^3r d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \psi_{i,\sigma}(r, p) \left( \nabla_{r} U_{\text{eq},\sigma}(r, p) \right) \delta n_{j,\sigma}(r)
\]

\[
(C)_{ij} = \int \frac{d^3r d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \psi_{i,\sigma}(r, p) I_\sigma \psi_{j,\sigma}(r, p, 0),
\]

where

\[
\delta n_{j,\sigma}(r) = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} n_\sigma^{\text{eq}}(r, p) (1 - n_\sigma^{\text{eq}}(r, p)) \psi_{j,\sigma}(r, p).
\]

The eigenmodes \( \omega \) are obtained by computing the matrices \( M_1, M_2, M_3, \) and \( C \) numerically and solving the eigenvalue problem for the matrix \( -M_1^{-1} [M_2 + M_3 + C] \). For the breathing mode oscillation, a suitable set of basis functions is

\[
\psi_{1,\sigma} = x^2 + y^2
\]

\[
\psi_{2,\sigma} = z^2
\]

\[
\psi_{3,\sigma} = xp_x + yp_y
\]

\[
\psi_{4,\sigma} = zp_z
\]

\[
\psi_{5,\sigma} = p_x^2 + p_y^2
\]

\[
\psi_{6,\sigma} = p_z^2.
\]

The computation of the moments is intricate, and we relegate the details of this calculation and the results to App. [A].
The oscillation frequency in the collisionless limit, the collective mode frequency, which clearly displays a crossover between a hydrodynamic and a collisionless description of the collisionless-to-hydrodynamic crossover with the experimental parameters. At unitarity, the Clogston-Chandrasekar limit is at \( P = 0.75 \), which puts a lower limit on the applicability of our theory. Nevertheless, even below that, in the superfluid phase, there is only a small quantitative discrepancy with the experiment. Figure 1(b) shows the damping of the collective mode frequency. Again, our theoretical calculations are in good quantitative agreement with the experiment [24], with optimal agreement at a temperature \( T \approx 0.12T_F \), the same optimal temperature as for the collective mode frequency.

Finally, in Fig. 1(c), we show a reduced plot of damping versus frequency, which does not contain the polarization. All results approximately collapse onto a single scaling curve. This indicates the presence of a single dominant relaxation time \( \tau \) and is consistent with a thermodynamic argument for the crossover, which predicts that frequency and damping satisfy \( \omega^2 = \omega_{cl}^2 + \frac{\omega_{hd}^2 - \omega_{cl}^2}{1 + i\omega\tau} \),

where \( \omega \) is a complex number, the real part of which sets the mode frequency \( \omega_1 \) and the imaginary part sets the damping \( \gamma_1 \). This scaling solution is shown as a black dashed line in Fig. 1(c) for comparison.

In addition to the longitudinal in-phase breathing mode, there is also a radial in-phase oscillation. Because the radial trapping frequency is much larger than the longitudinal frequency, \( \omega_r \gg \omega_z \), collisions are much less efficient here (as can be seen, for example, from Eq. (25)). For all temperatures in our calculation, the oscillation is only very weakly damped and remains close to the collisionless value \( \omega_1^{(r)} = 2\omega_r \) for all polarizations. We do not plot this mode.

B. Out-of-phase mode

There is a second higher-frequency breathing mode excitation for each trap direction, which corresponds to an out-of-phase breathing mode at small polarization and reduces to an oscillation of the minority atoms at large polarization. This limit is of particular interest as the collisionless oscillation frequency, Eq. (1), depends on the polaron mass.

Figure 2 shows our results for the frequency and damping of the longitudinal out-of-phase for three different temperatures \( T/T_F = 0.02, 0.03, \) and \( 0.04 \). Different from the in-phase oscillation, the collisionless limit cannot be reached by changing the polarization, and we find that the mode is very strongly damped at any polarization. Indeed, for any larger temperatures \( T/T_F > 0.05 \),

\[ ~ \]
FIG. 3. (a) Frequency and (b) damping of the radial out-of-phase breathing mode of an imbalanced Fermi gas in an anisotropic trap with aspect ratio $\lambda = 0.075$ as a function of polarization for different temperatures $T/T_F = 0.02, 0.04, 0.06, 0.1$ and 0.15. For comparison, we show the collisionless results as dashed lines. The continuous black line indicates the zero temperature scaling result of Ref. [10] and the thin black line denotes the collisionless limit Eq. (1).

The calculated frequencies are at odds with the experimental measurements [24] (black points in Fig. 2). While already the collisionless results differs from the experimental data, it was suggested in [27] that collisions could be responsible for this discrepancy. Our calculations, which do include collisions, would seem to refute this claim. We find that the damping of this mode is very significant, to the extent that it would be overdamped for all values of $P$ at the experimental temperatures, rendering it difficult to observe, in contradiction with the experiment.

The radial out-of-phase breathing mode persists over a larger range of temperatures since collisions are less efficient compared to the longitudinal oscillation. Figure 3 shows the frequency and damping of this mode for temperatures $T/T_F = 0.02, 0.04, 0.06, 0.1$, and 0.15. Collisions decrease the oscillation frequency compared to the collisionless case (dashed lines) with a strong damping at any polarization. The oscillation reduces to the collisionless frequency only at small temperatures.

III. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we have studied the collective breathing modes of a strongly imbalanced unitary Fermi gas, assuming that it can be described as an interacting gas of minority polarons and majority atoms. We have solved the kinetic equation in an elongated harmonic trap taking into account quasiparticle collisions. For the in-phase breathing mode, our results provide an accurate description of both frequency and damping observed in the experiment by Nascimbène et al. [24]. The theory displays a crossover between a collisionless limit at large polarization, where the mode frequency $\omega$ is much larger than the inverse collision time $1/\tau$, $\omega\tau \gg 1$, and a hydrodynamic limit $\omega\tau \ll 1$, where single excitations decay rapidly. Our theory appears to be reliable down to the critical polarization $P \sim 0.7$, below which a superfluid core forms at the trap center. By contrast, our results for the out-of-phase breathing mode oscillation differ from the findings in [24]. While our results are consistent with predictions from a scaling ansatz for the collisionless gas [10], taking into account collisions does not resolve the discrepancy between theory and experiment.
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Appendix A: Method of moments

We determine the lowest breathing mode excitations of a spin-imbalanced Fermi gas by solving the linearized
Boltzmann equation using the method of moments. This appendix describes the details of the calculation.

We define the potential energy per spin species:

\[ E_{\text{pot},\sigma} = \int d^3r \, V_{\text{trap}} \, n_{\sigma}^{\text{eq}} \]  

(A1)

and the kinetic energy

\[ E_{\text{kin},\sigma} = \int d^3r d^3p \, \frac{p^2}{2m_\sigma} \, n_{\sigma}^{\text{eq}}. \]  

(A2)

They are related through the virial theorem

\[ \frac{E_{\text{kin},\sigma}}{E_{\text{pot},\sigma}} = 1 - \tilde{\chi}_\sigma, \]  

(A3)

where \( \chi_\sigma \) is defined as

\[ \tilde{\chi}_\sigma = -\frac{1}{2E_{\text{pot},\sigma}} \int d^3r \, n_{\sigma}^{\text{eq}} \frac{\partial U_{\sigma}^{\text{eq}}}{\partial r}. \]  

(A4)

The eigenmodes are determined by solving the equation

\[ \det(A + B) = 0. \]  

(A5)

\( A \) is the matrix of moments of the streaming term, and \( B \) the matrix for the collision integral. They are:

\[ A^{\sigma\sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} 2\omega_3 (1 + \varphi_\sigma) & \omega_3 \varphi_\sigma & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \omega_3 \varphi_\sigma & 2(1 + \varphi_\sigma) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2\omega_3 (1 + \varphi_\sigma) & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2\omega_3 \varphi_\sigma & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \omega_3 \varphi_\sigma & 2(1 + \varphi_\sigma) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2\omega_3 \varphi_\sigma & 2(1 + \varphi_\sigma) \end{pmatrix} \]  

(A6)

\[ A^{\sigma\tau} = \begin{pmatrix} 2(1 + \varphi_{1,\sigma} - \varphi_{3,\sigma}) & \varphi_{1,\sigma} - \varphi_{3,\sigma} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \varphi_{1,\sigma} - \varphi_{3,\sigma} & 2(1 + \varphi_{\sigma}) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2(1 + \varphi_{1,\sigma} - \varphi_{3,\sigma}) & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \varphi_{1,\sigma} - \varphi_{3,\sigma} & 2(1 + \varphi_{\sigma}) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \varphi_{1,\sigma} - \varphi_{3,\sigma} & 2(1 + \varphi_{\sigma}) \end{pmatrix} \]  

(A7)

\[ B^{\sigma\sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \]  

(A8)

where the upper sign for \( B \) applies if \( \sigma = \tau \) and the lower if \( \sigma \neq \tau \) and we define the dimensionless quantities (use rescaled coordinates \( \tilde{r}_i = \omega_i r_i / \omega_0 \)):

\[ \chi_{\sigma\tau} = -\frac{1}{2E_{\text{pot},\sigma}^{\tau}} \int d^3\tilde{r} \, n_{\sigma}^{\text{eq}} \tilde{r}_i \frac{\partial U_{\sigma}^{\text{eq}}}{\partial \tilde{r}_i} \frac{\partial n_{\tau}^{\text{eq}}}{\partial \tilde{r}_i}. \]  

(A9)

\[ \chi_{\sigma}' = \frac{3}{4E_{\text{pot},\sigma}^{\sigma}} \int d^3\tilde{r} \, n_{\sigma}^{\text{eq}} \frac{\partial U_{\sigma}^{\text{eq}}}{\partial n_{\tau}^{\text{eq}}} \]  

(A10)

\[ \varphi_{\sigma} = \frac{1}{10E_{\text{pot},\sigma}^{\sigma}} \int d^3\tilde{r} \, \tilde{r}^2 \frac{\partial n_{\sigma}^{\text{eq}}}{\partial \tilde{r}} \frac{\partial U_{\sigma}^{\text{eq}}}{\partial \tilde{r}} \]  

(A11)

\[ \varphi_{1,\sigma} = \frac{1}{10E_{\text{pot},\sigma}^{\sigma}} \int d^3\tilde{r} \, \tilde{r}^2 \frac{\partial n_{\sigma}^{\text{eq}}}{\partial \tilde{r}} \frac{\partial U_{\sigma}^{\text{eq}}}{\partial \tilde{r}} \]  

(A12)
\[ \varphi_{3,\sigma} = -\frac{1}{2E_{\text{pot},\sigma}} \int d^3 \tilde{T} \hat{n}_{\sigma}^{\text{eq}} \frac{\partial H_{\sigma}^{\text{eq}}}{\partial \hat{n}_{\sigma}^{\text{eq}}} - \frac{1}{m\omega_0^2} \frac{\partial U_{\sigma}^{\text{eq}}}{\partial \hat{T}}. \]  

(A13)

The various relaxation times can be calculated along the lines of Ref. [35]:

\[ \frac{1}{\tau_{i\sigma}} = \int_0^\infty d\tilde{T}^2 \int_0^\infty dP P^2 \int_0^{\infty} dq \hat{q}^5 \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} \int_{-1}^1 d(x,y) n_\uparrow(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{p}) n_\downarrow(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{p}_1) (1 - n_\uparrow(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{p}')) (1 - n_\downarrow(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{p}_1')) \times g_{i\sigma}(x,y), \]

(A14)

where \( g_{A,\sigma}(x,y) = \frac{\beta \omega_0^2 (1 - xy)}{6\pi^2 m_{\text{red}} E_{\text{pot},\sigma}} \) and

\[
 g_{i\sigma}(x,y) = \frac{\beta}{\pi^2 m_{\text{red}}^2 \omega_0 E_{\text{kin},\sigma}} \begin{cases} 
 \frac{q^2}{40} (1 + x^2 + y^2 - 3x^2y^2) & i = B \\
 \frac{qP}{30} (x+y)(1 - xy) & i = C \\
 \frac{P^2}{10} (1 + x^2 + y^2 - 3xy) & i = D \\
 \frac{P^2}{4} (x-y)^2 & i = E 
\end{cases}.
\]

(A15)
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