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ABSTRACT

Background: The COVID-19 pandemic generated an unprecedented volume of evolving clinical guidelines that strained existing clinical information systems and necessitated rapid innovation in Emergency Departments (EDs).

Objectives: Our team aimed to harness new COVID-19-related reliance on digital clinical support tools to re-envision the storage of and access to all clinical guidelines in our ED.

Methods: We used a design-thinking approach including empathizing, defining the problem, ideating, prototyping and testing to develop a low-cost, homegrown clinical information hub: E*Drive. To measure impact, we compared web traffic on E*Drive to our legacy cloud-based folder system and conducted a survey of end-users with a validated health technology utilization instrument.

Results: Our final product, E*Drive, is a centralized clinical information hub storing everything from clinical guidelines to discharge resources. Clinical guidelines are standardized and housed within the high-traffic E*Drive platform to increase accessibility. Since launch, E*Drive has averaged 84 unique weekly users, compared to <1 weekly user on the legacy system. We surveyed 52 clinicians for a total response rate of 47%. Prior to the E*Drive rollout 12.5% of ED clinicians felt confident accessing clinical information on the legacy system, while 76.6% of ED clinicians felt they could more easily access clinical information using E*Drive.
Conclusion: The COVID pandemic revealed vulnerabilities within our information dissemination system and presented an opportunity to improve clinical information delivery. Centralized web-based clinical information hubs designed around the clinician end-user experience can increase clinical guideline access in the ED.
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INTRODUCTION

At the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic, the volume of rapidly evolving clinical information necessitated innovation in Emergency Department (ED) information management.¹⁻³ Among these innovations was our hospital’s COVID-19 decision support tool, zsfgCOVID, a digital COVID-19 information source with up-to-date guidelines related to testing, PPE and airway management.¹ Epidemics and other public health crises can expose weaknesses in the organization and delivery of clinical care.⁴ Although COVID-19 clinical guidelines have tended to change more rapidly than guidelines in other areas of clinical care, the challenges presented by COVID-19 information management are broadly applicable.

Clinical guidelines are an important component of high-quality patient care.⁵,⁶ This is vital in the ED setting, where clinicians must provide treatment in high acuity situations.⁷ However, there is substantial variation in the use of clinical guidelines in emergency care.⁸ Several factors contribute to this variation,⁹,¹⁰ including inefficient access to relevant information,¹¹⁻¹⁴ difficulty
rapidly synthesizing information in variable formats, and challenges maintaining up-to-date information as practice guidelines evolve.

Our team aimed to harness COVID-19-driven innovation to address key barriers in the utilization of clinical guidelines in our ED through the creation of E*Drive: a centralized, web-based clinical information hub that houses important and commonly-used clinical information (https://edrive.ucsf.edu). We used a design thinking approach that relied on rapid prototyping and end-user feedback to ensure ease of use and leadership engagement to ensure rigorous quality control. In this study, we describe an example of grassroots, clinician-driven systems improvement. We describe how our approach led to an innovative final product and share our impact and lessons learned to empower ED administrative and clinical leadership to replicate our efforts.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

We approached building a web-based centralized clinical information hub using design thinking methodology, an iterative process centered on the end-user and using rapid prototyping to increase utility and usability of products in the healthcare setting. Steps in the design thinking process include: 1) empathizing with the end-user to understand their needs; 2) defining the problem; 3) ideating to generate potential solutions; 4) prototyping the product; 5) launching and testing the product in the natural environment.
1. **Empathize:** In the early months of the pandemic, clinicians on our team quickly realized the powerful applicability of our COVID-19 platform and received multiple requests to include non-COVID related guidelines. Based on these requests and informal interviews with fellow clinicians while working on shift, our team brainstormed how we could apply the lessons we learned from the development of our COVID-19 platform to a broader clinical information tool. We learned that there was a desire to quickly access and that such a system did not exist at our institution. With the support of our departmental leadership, we set out to design a system for rapidly accessing hospital and department-specific clinical guidelines in-house, rather than using an expensive, third-party system.

2. **Define:** In informal interviews, clinicians reported difficulty accessing up-to-date guidelines and applying lengthy text-based guidelines in the acute care setting. To understand previous efforts to address this problem, we conducted a literature review of academic articles describing digital information systems for clinical guidelines using the search term “emergency department AND digital AND clinical AND (guidelines OR protocols).” Our PubMed search returned 35 results two of which described platforms for digital clinical guideline storage. We also conducted a landscape analysis of publicly accessible ED clinical guideline platforms using a Google search with the search term; “emergency department clinical guidelines protocols.” We reviewed the first 15 web-based platforms from US academic centers and community hospitals in order to better understand the current state of how clinical guidelines are stored and accessed online (Appendix A1). We found significant variation in quality and format with two key features that stood out as barriers to usability: first, clinical guidelines were stored in a number of formats ranging from PDF to Word documents that were not mobile-friendly; second, clinical guidelines
were housed in siloed websites, rather than integrated with other clinical information such as department announcements and discharge resources.

3. Ideate: We assembled an ED Clinical Guidelines Task Force consisting of an emergency medicine attending and resident, a medical student, and a website administrator to adapt our previously developed COVID-19 platform to serve as a broad clinical information hub. The goal of this group was to increase accessibility and usability starting with the most utilized clinical guidelines. The team iterated on several early guidelines to define best practices for transforming lengthy text-based documents to a standardized, user-friendly algorithmic template (Appendix A2), which were then applied to future rounds of development. These best practices are being used to ensure quality control moving forward.

4. Prototype: Our team used rapid-cycle, bottom-up end-user feedback to develop the initial prototype and make real-time improvements during the design process. We engaged ED leadership to ensure top-down support and address additional administrative concerns, including developing a rigorous change control process to ensure sustainability and retain clinical integrity of the guidelines during the reformatting process (Appendix A3). The standardized protocol development process and key stakeholders are outlined in Figure 1, with defined roles and estimated costs provided in Table 1.

5. Launch and Test: E*Drive was formally launched on October 13, 2020. A multimodal outreach and messaging campaign was employed, which included email announcements and
flyers with QR codes and the E*Drive web link distributed throughout the ED on workstations and other highly-trafficked areas (Appendix A4). A promotional video was also shown at multiple staff meetings and departmental conferences to build name recognition and generate enthusiasm for the launch of E*Drive (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MFEzGffOYfo).

6. Measure Impact: Following launch, we used a quantitative survey to assess the usability and utility of E*Drive based on the Technology Acceptance Model (Appendix A5). The survey was distributed on the Qualtrics survey platform (Qualtrics Software Version: November 2020, Provo, UT), with participants recruited via email outreach that included all ED attending, resident, and advanced practice providers. Results from these efforts are being used to measure impact and to refine the E*Drive product through continuous improvement, a key tenant of the design thinking process. This study was approved as exempt research by the University of California, San Francisco Institutional Review Board (Study #20-30875).

RESULTS

Final Product
E*Drive a centralized clinical information hub accessible at https://edrive.ucsf.edu and built on a Drupal web platform (Version 9; 2020). The landing page contains five broad categories of clinical information, including clinical guidelines, reporting forms, COVID-19 guidelines, antibiotics, and discharge navigation. Rapidly changing announcements, which include important updates about COVID-19, equipment, and other hospital-wide announcements that need to be quickly and broadly circulated to all ED staff, are also located on the landing page (Figure 2).
Within the clinical guidelines section, the E*Drive site is optimized to access relevant guidelines within two clicks, and guidelines are standardized and optimized for accessibility in the acute care setting (Figure 3). Guidelines are created in Google Slides so they can be created, added, and modified with no specialized training.

**Impact**

Using internal ED operations data, we compared the use of E*Drive to the legacy cloud-based folder system for clinical guideline storage. Prior to the E*Drive rollout, the cloud-based site housing clinical guidelines received 47 unique page views from December 2019 to December 2020, for an average of 0.13 views per day or less than one view per week. Since launch on October 13, 2020, E*Drive has averaged 12 unique views per day and 84 views per week. Given an average daily staffing volume of 35 providers, this means about 34% of providers access E*Drive on a given day, on average.

52 clinicians responded to the survey, including 29 attending physicians, 17 resident/fellow physicians, and 5 advanced practice providers. This response rate represents 47% of 110 clinical providers who have worked in our ED since the launch of E*Drive. Of all clinicians surveyed, 12.5% felt confident accessing clinical information on the internal cloud-based folder system prior to the E*Drive rollout (Table 2). 76.6% agreed or strongly agreed that they were able to access clinical information using E*Drive more easily. 70.3% agreed or strongly agreed that E*Drive was helping them do their job more efficiently, and 78.1% found the E*Drive platform understandable and easy to navigate. Clinical guidelines were reported to be the most useful
component of the E*Drive platform (50.8%), followed by antibiograms (29.7%) and COVID-19 guidelines (26.6%). On a scale of 1-10, the average likelihood of recommending use of E*Drive to a colleague was 8.02 (95% CI = 7.49 - 8.55). End-user feedback collected in the Qualtrics survey after rollout suggested the following areas for improvement in future iterations of the E*Drive platform: enhanced searchability and sitemap for clinical guidelines, expansion to hospital-wide clinical guidelines, and relevant phone numbers (which were subsequently added to E*Drive within one month of launch).

**DISCUSSION**

Using design thinking, we developed a novel clinical information system for increasing access to clinical guidelines in our ED. The E*Drive platform significantly increased clinician confidence in accessing clinical information, and the majority of users found it easy to use and integrate into their clinical practice. The impact of E*Drive was also supported by usage data, which showed that E*Drive significantly increased the proportion of providers accessing clinical guidelines compared to our prior clinical information system.

Compared to existing online clinical information platforms, E*Drive stands apart as a low-cost, clinician designed, and highly customizable platform that can be rapidly updated to incorporate real-time information. Like our COVID-19 platform, E*Drive was developed in-house using open-source software rather than relying on a third-party developer. This approach allowed us to create system-level change at low cost with a small, agile team including ED clinicians with first-hand clinical expertise, operational support for rapid execution, and a direct line to ED
leadership (Table 1). The design-thinking approach allowed us to bridge bottom-up end-user feedback and top-down leadership requirements to maximize ease of use while retaining rigorous clinical standards. Our formal change-control process has allowed us to continue to standardize, upload and widely disseminate protocols nearly instantaneously as dictated by changing circumstances during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Key to the usability E*Drive are three unique features that set our platform apart from comparable systems: standardization, integration, and accessibility. Other clinical information hubs reviewed in our landscape analysis link to documents in a variety of non-standardized formats, including text-based and visual guidelines, requiring downloads to access, which may present a barrier to real-time clinical use. The E*Drive platform makes use of rigorous guidelines for flow, color, and location of information to ensure that guidelines are easy to synthesize and apply in the acute care environment (Appendix A2).

In building E*Drive, we integrated multiple streams of commonly accessed clinical information, including redesigned clinical guidelines, COVID-19 information, local antibiograms, and daily announcements on a single landing page. Our platform also employs an open-access and mobile friendly design (Figure 2). Site design emphasized minimizing clicks, and guidelines are displayed in JPEG format so that mobile users can easily enlarge information on their devices, further increasing ease of access in a busy ED setting.

Lastly, we harnessed COVID-19 digital innovation as a call-to-action illustrating the power of frontline clinicians to create meaningful systems change. By integrating the E*Drive platform
with our pre-existing COVID-19 information platform, we leveraged momentum from COVID-19-necessitated practice changes to create buy-in for a new clinical information hub. The challenges of delivering emergency care during a global pandemic has provided a testing-bed and unprecedented momentum for clinical digital innovation.

**Limitations**

There are several limitations to the current study. First, 47% of clinical providers in the ED responded to our survey, introducing potential non-response bias that could skew the reported relative utility of the E*Drive product. However, objective usage data supports our conclusion that the E*Drive platform has increased the usability of clinical guidelines. Second, this is a single-center study, and variation in clinical systems and department culture could affect implementation at other institutions. However, we used open-source and low-cost resources to build the E*Drive platform, and we share our process, lessons learned, and next steps for application to other institutions to encourage replication (Appendix A6). Third, E*Drive currently houses ED-specific guidelines with limited in inpatient protocols. Including inpatient protocols on our platform is an important area of ongoing work to improve care transitions between ED and inpatient services and to optimize care for admitted patients boarding in the ED. Lastly, we do not know whether increased accessibility to clinical information impacted clinical practice among providers at our institution. Future studies should investigate whether greater access to clinical guidelines from E*Drive has a direct correlation with improving provider adherence to guidelines.

**CONCLUSION**
The COVID-19 pandemic called for rapid innovation in the use of digital tools to streamline access to clinical information. We applied lessons learned from COVID-19 to create a centralized clinical information hub with standardized clinical guidelines to increase accessibility in our ED. Using a design thinking process, we built a flexible, in-house, low-cost platform that is scalable and reproducible. The creation and implementation of E*Drive demonstrates that design thinking methodology, including rapid prototyping and soliciting clinician end-user feedback, can help improve accessibility and use of clinical guidelines in the ED.

Acknowledgements: None

Funding: This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

REFERENCES

1. Stark N, Kerrissey M, Grade M, Berrean B, Peabody C. Streamlining Care in Crisis: Rapid Creation and Implementation of a Digital Support Tool for COVID-19. West J Emerg Med. 2020;21(5):1095-1101. doi:10.5811/westjem.2020.7.48537

2. Lai L, Sato R, He S, et al. Usage Patterns of a Web-Based Palliative Care Content Platform (PalliCOVID) During the COVID-19 Pandemic. J Pain Symptom Manage. 2020;60(4):e20-e27. doi:10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2020.07.016

3. Noble J, Degesys NF, Kwan E, et al. Emergency department preparation for COVID-19: accelerated care units. Emerg Med J. 2020;37(7):402-406. doi:10.1136/emergmed-2020-209788
4. Krausz M, Westenberg JN, Vigo D, Spence RT, Ramsey D. Emergency Response to COVID-19 in Canada: Platform Development and Implementation for eHealth in Crisis Management. *JMIR Public Health Surveill*. 2020;6(2):e18995. doi:10.2196/18995

5. Ziegler DW, Agarwal NN. The morbidity and mortality of rib fractures. *J Trauma*. 1994;37(6):975-979. doi:10.1097/00005373-199412000-00018

6. Trends in the Use of Clinical Decision Support by Health System–Affiliated Ambulatory Clinics in the United States, 2014-2016. AJMC. Accessed October 28, 2020. https://www.ajmc.com/view/trends-in-the-use-of-clinical-decision-support-by-health-system-affiliated-ambulatory-clinics-in-the-united-states-20142016

7. Taufique Z, Timen M, Swartz JL, Friedmann DR. Adherence to Subspecialty Guidelines in the Emergency Department. *The Laryngoscope*. n/a(n/a). doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/lary.29202

8. Ebben RH, Vloet LC, Verhofstad MH, Meijer S, Groot JAM, van Achterberg T. Adherence to guidelines and protocols in the prehospital and emergency care setting: a systematic review. *Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med*. 2013;21:9. doi:10.1186/1757-7241-21-9

9. Francke AL, Smit MC, de Veer AJE, Mistiaen P. Factors influencing the implementation of clinical guidelines for health care professionals: a systematic meta-review. *BMC Med Inform Decis Mak*. 2008;8:38. doi:10.1186/1472-6947-8-38

10. Adapting Clinical Guidelines for the Digital Age | PHIO | CDC. Published June 11, 2020. Accessed October 28, 2020. https://www.cdc.gov/csels/phio/clinical-guidelines/index.html
11. Woolf SH, Grol R, Hutchinson A, Eccles M, Grimshaw J. Clinical guidelines: potential benefits, limitations, and harms of clinical guidelines. *BMJ*. 1999;318(7182):527-530. doi:10.1136/bmj.318.7182.527

12. Sutton RT, Pincock D, Baumgart DC, Sadowski DC, Fedorak RN, Kroeker KI. An overview of clinical decision support systems: benefits, risks, and strategies for success. *npj Digital Medicine*. 2020;3(1):1-10. doi:10.1038/s41746-020-0221-y

13. Individual, health system, and contextual barriers and facilitators for the implementation of clinical practice guidelines: a systematic metareview | Health Research Policy and Systems | Full Text. Accessed October 28, 2020. https://health-policy-systems.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12961-020-00588-8

14. Van de Velde R. Framework for a clinical information system. *International Journal of Medical Informatics*. 2000;57(1):57-72. doi:10.1016/S1386-5056(99)00062-3

15. Ciccarese P, Caffi E, Boiocchi L, Quaglini S, Stefanelli M. A guideline management system. *Stud Health Technol Inform*. 2004;107(Pt 1):28-32.

16. Chan WV, Pearson TA, Bennett GC, et al. ACC/AHA Special Report: Clinical Practice Guideline Implementation Strategies: A Summary of Systematic Reviews by the NHLBI Implementation Science Work Group: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*. 2017;69(8):1076-1092. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2016.11.004
17. Jun J, Kovner CT, Stimpfel AW. Barriers and facilitators of nurses’ use of clinical practice guidelines: An integrative review. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*. 2016;60:54-68. doi:10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2016.03.006

18. Mathe JL, Martin JB, Miller P, et al. A Model-Integrated, Guideline-Driven, Clinical Decision-Support System. *IEEE Software*. 2009;26(4):54-61. doi:10.1109/MS.2009.84

19. UCSF E*Drive. Accessed November 8, 2020. https://edrive.ucsf.edu/

20. Roberts JP, Fisher TR, Trowbridge MJ, Bent C. A design thinking framework for healthcare management and innovation. *Healthcare*. 2016;4(1):11-14. doi:10.1016/j.hjdsi.2015.12.002

21. Altman M. Design Thinking in Health Care. *Prev Chronic Dis*. 2018;15. doi:10.5888/pcd15.180128

22. Davis FD. Perceived Usefulness, Perceived Ease of Use, and User Acceptance of Information Technology. *MIS Quarterly*. 1989;13(3):319-340. doi:10.2307/249008

23. *Qualtrics*.; 2005. https://www.qualtrics.com/

24. *Drupal*.; 2020. https://www.drupal.org/
ARTICLE SUMMARY:

1) Why is this topic important?
Clinical guideline utilization is associated with improved outcomes in the acute care setting; however, numerous barriers in existing clinical information systems have limited clinicians’ ability to access and utilize clinical guidelines.

2) What does this study attempt to show?
We aimed to use a design-thinking approach to build an in-house, customizable, low-cost clinical information hub to increase access to clinical guidelines in the emergency department. We built on momentum from COVID-19 pandemic-related practices changes and increased reliance on digital clinical support tools to generate momentum for broader systems change.

3) What are the key findings?
Our final product, E*Drive, is an open-access, web-based platform housing everything from standardized clinical guidelines to discharge resources. Web-traffic usage data showed that the E*Drive platform increased the frequency with which clinicians accessed clinical guidelines. Clinicians reported significantly increased ability to access and use clinical guidelines in their clinical practice.

4) How is patient care impacted?
By increasing access to clinical guidelines in the emergency department, E*Drive has the potential to impact patient care through the application of clinical guidelines.
FIGURE CAPTIONS

**Figure 1.** Clinical Guideline Development: Key Stakeholders and Change Control Process Map
Figure 2. E*Drive Landing Page (https://edrive.ucsf.edu)
Figure 3. Exemplar Protocol Pre and Post Standardization: Cervical Spine Clearance
### Table 1. Example Roles, Hours, and Costs to Develop a Web-Based Clinical Information Hub

| Title                | Role                                                                 | Total Hours | Estimated Cost   |
|----------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|------------------|
| Project Manager      | Oversee project timeline, coordinate meetings, monitor progress, manage platform revisions | 25-35       | $40-50/hour      |
| Clinician            | Review and approve clinical guidelines, prioritize clinical guidelines to standardize | 25-35       | $150-250/hour    |
| Non-Clinical Project Staff | Draft redesigned clinical guidelines in collaboration with clinical team members | 40-60       | $20-30/hour      |
| Site Administrator   | Regularly update platform with new guidelines                         | 15-25       | $20-30/hour      |

**One Time Total Cost Range:** $5,850 – $13,050
Table 2. Quantitative End-User Feedback Survey Results

| Question                                                                 | Strongly Agree | Agree | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|-------|----------|-------------------|
|                                                                          | N   | %     | N   | %     | N   | %     | N   | %     |
| Prior to the E*Drive platform, I felt confident accessing clinical       | 6   | 12%   | 2   | 4%    | 29  | 57%   | 14  | 27%   |
| information on the wiki system at ZSFG.                                 |     |       |     |       |     |       |     |       |
| Since the launch of the E*Drive platform, I am able to more easily      | 21  | 41%   | 28  | 55%   | 2   | 4%    | 0   | 0%    |
| access clinical information at ZSFG.                                    |     |       |     |       |     |       |     |       |
| Accessing E*Drive is useful in helping me do my job more efficiently.   | 14  | 27%   | 31  | 61%   | 6   | 12%   | 0   | 0%    |
| I find the E*Drive platform understandable and easy to navigate.        | 19  | 37%   | 31  | 60%   | 2   | 4%    | 0   | 0%    |
| I use the E*Drive platform approximately:                               |     |       |     |       |     |       |     |       |
|                                                                          | Daily | N   | %     | Multiple X/Wk | N | % | Once/Week | N | % | Once/Month | N | % | Never | N | % |
|                                                                          | 3     | 6%  |       | 8   | 15% |       | 18 | 35% |         | 18 | 35% |         | 5  | 10% |     |