Comparison of Intrathecal Bupivacaine with and without Fentanyl for Transurethral Resection of Prostate
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Abstract

Background: Opioids are being increasingly used these days as adjuvants to local anesthetics in spinal anaesthesia. The aim of this study is to compare the effects of adding fentanyl to low dose bupivacaine in spinal anaesthesia for Transurethral Resection of Prostate (TURP).

Methods: In this prospective, randomized double-blind study, spinal anaesthesia was performed in 50 American Society of Anesthesiologists I-III patients aged 55-90 years old, undergoing Transurethral Resection of Prostate using 10mg hyperbaric bupivacaine 0.5%- 2 ml (Group A) or by adding fentanyl 25 µg (Group B) to 9 mg hyperbaric bupivacaine 0.5%-2 ml (Group B). These groups were compared in terms of the quality of spinal anaesthesia as well as analgesia. The primary outcome was time to 2 segment regression and corresponding motor block. Secondary outcomes were time to reach maximal upper sensory level, maximal level of sensory block, and corresponding degree of motor block. Haemodynamic variables were also assessed.

Results: The onset of motor blockade was significantly rapid in Group II as compared with Group I. Quality of analgesia was significantly better and prolonged in fentanyl group as compared with low—dose bupivacaine alone group (P= 0.000). The maximum upper level of sensory block was higher in Group II patients than Group I patients. (P = 0.023). Motor block was more intense and more prolonged in fentanyl group as compared with Group I patients. The median (minimum, maximum) time to attain maximum level of upper sensory block was 5 (2,10) and 4 (2, 6) minutes for low – dose bupivacaine and for low – dose bupivacaine with fentanyl respectively. Mean maximal level was T6-T7 and T5-T6 for low – dose bupivacaine and for low – dose bupivacaine with fentanyl respectively. Duration of block above T10 was 44 (30, 60) and 63 (30,80) minutes for Group I and Group II respectively (P=0.000).

Conclusions: Spinal anaesthesia in elderly patients using low dose bupivacaine (10 mg) combined with 25 µg fentanyl is associated with a lower incidence of haemodynamic instability, better quality and prolonged duration.
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Introduction

Trans-urethral resection of prostate (TURP)\(^1\) is one of the common surgical procedures. It is still considered as the gold standard treatment of benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH), which induces urinary obstruction and consequently, increases the risk of urinary tract infection. This kind of surgery is mostly performed for patients with prostate weight less than 60 grams. TURP may have several complications before and after surgery\(^1\). Some of them are TURP syndrome, bladder rupture and prostate capsule perforation. Early detection of such complications depends on preserving the level of consciousness during the operation; thus, spinal anaesthesia\(^1\) is preferred over general anaesthesia in TURP.

Spinal anaesthesia for patients undergoing transurethral resection of prostate (TURP) is very commonly used. Most patients posted for TURP are elderly and frequently having cardiopulmonary, endocrine, or other comorbidities\(^1\). Thus, it is important to limit the block level to minimize haemodynamic changes during the spinal anaesthesia in such patients. Low-dose local anaesthetics can limit the spinal block level and induce rapid recovery from anaesthesia. Intrathecal opioids\(^{1,2,3,7}\) or clonidine are frequently administered as adjuvants with local anaesthetics to improve the anaesthetic quality and postoperative analgesia.

It was seen that in elderly patients, severe hypotension\(^1\) can occur following spinal anaesthesia, which may be due to sympathetic nerve blockade. Furthermore, bradycardia, urinary retention and neurological injuries can be mentioned as complications of spinal anaesthesia\(^1\), which is mostly dependent on the volume and dose of injection as well as the level of spinal block. Thus, to minimize such complications, especially in elderly patients\(^{5,6,8}\) with less compensatory capabilities, anaesthesia with appropriate duration, dosing of drugs and minimal complications is needed to be preferred.

The aim of this study was to compare the characteristics of spinal block, haemodynamic changes, and postoperative analgesia, following administration of intrathecal 25 µg fentanyl\(^{1,19,15}\) combined with low-dose bupivacaine in elderly patients undergoing low-dose bupivacaine in elderly patients undergoing TURP.

Materials and Methods

In this randomized double-blind study, 50 patients in the age group of 55-90 years, belonging to American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) physical status I and III, scheduled for elective transurethral resection of prostate surgery under spinal anaesthesia, were included. A written informed consent was obtained from each patient. Patients with a history of spine surgery or the presence of an infectious focus on the back, coagulopathy, hypersensitivity to local anaesthetics, cooperation difficulty, heart block/dysrhythmia or neurological disorders, morbid obesity were excluded from the study.

Depending upon the nature of Intrathecal drug used, these patients were randomized into two groups of 25 patients each, as: Group A: Subarachnoid block with 0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine 10 mg (2 ml). Group B: Subarachnoid block with 0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine 9 mg (1.8 ml) + Fentanyl 25 µg (0.2 ml) Total 2 ml.

Routine pre-anaesthetic check-up comprising of general physical examination, systemic examination and routine investigations of all patients was conducted a day before surgery. All patients were premedicated with tablet diazepam 10 mg. After shifting the patient to the operating table, monitoring of heart rate, non-invasive blood pressure, respiratory rate and electrocardiography and oxygen saturation was done. An intravenous line was secured and patients were preloaded with isotonic saline 5 ml/kg body weight over a period of 15-20 min. The fluid was minimally infused during the surgery to avoid overloading associated with the systemic absorption of irrigating fluid.

Under strict aseptic conditions, lumbar puncture was performed at the level of L 3-4 or L 4-5 intervertebral space using 25 G spinal needle in the lateral decubitus position. After obtaining a...
free flow of cerebrospinal fluid, 2 ml study drug was injected intrathecally at approximately 0.25 ml/s and patient was made supine immediately.

Clinical Assessment
The primary end-point of this study was the time to the regression of 2-dermatomes from the peak sensory block level. The secondary end-points were the maximum upper level of sensory block and the time taken to attain maximum upper level and corresponding motor block. Level of sensory block was determined on the bilateral mid-clavicular line with a pin-prick every 2 min from the time of drug injection until the maximum level reached. The peak block level was defined as the same block level that persisted for four consecutive tests. The degree of block was scored using the Modified Bromage scale (0=able to move the hip, knee, and ankle; 1=unable to move the hip, but able to move the knee and ankle; 2=unable to move the hip and knee, but able to move the ankle; 3=unable to move the hip, knee, and ankle). Mean arterial pressure (MAP) and heart rate (HR), respiratory rate (RR) were measured every 2 min for 20 min. Respiratory depression was defined as a respiratory rate of ≤ 8 breaths per minute and oxygen saturation of ≤ 85% in room air. The peak sensory block level, time to reach the maximum upper sensory level of block, motor block grade at peak sensory block, and in the recovery room, the time to the sensory regression of 2-dermatomes and corresponding motor block scales were recorded.

Data are presented as mean (S.D) or numbers as appropriate. Patient characteristics like age, body mass index, sex, duration of operation, were analyzed using two way ANOVA test, time to peak block, time to 2-dermatomes regression were analyzed using the independent t-test. Maximum motor block scale was analyzed by Mann-Whitney U-test. P values < 0.05 were interpreted as statistically significant.

Results
Demographic data of this study were statistically comparable as regards to age, sex, weight, height, type of surgery and ASA physically status (Table 1). Thirty-nine of fifty patients had more than one systemic disease, such as hypertension (n=34), diabetes mellitus (n=30), coronary artery disease (n=6), cerebrovascular accident (n=2), chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (n=36), and chronic renal failure (n=12).

Table 1: Demographic Data

| Parameters                  | Group I | Group II | P value |
|-----------------------------|---------|----------|---------|
| Age in years                | 68.3±9.5| 66.0±9.5 | 0.407   |
| Body mass index (BMI)       | 24.8±3.4| 24.7±3.1 | 0.953   |
| Duration of surgery (min)   | 52.0±18.7| 28.2±10.3| 0.388   |
| Sex (M:F)                   | 31:19   | 25:25    | 0.235   |

Data represented as Mean±SD. Group I; bupivacaine alone. Group II; bupivacaine with fentanyl

Table 2 Intraoperative variation of heart rate

| Parameters                  | Group I | Group II | P value |
|-----------------------------|---------|----------|---------|
| Baseline HR                 | 64.7±10.5| 72.8±12.6| 0.001   |
| Five minutes after spinal   | 63.0±8.9 | 72.4±9.1 |         |
| Ten minutes after spinal    | 64.5±8.9 | 70.5±7.9 |         |
| Fifteen minutes after spinal| 60.5±8.9 | 66.5±10.3|         |
| Twenty minutes after spinal | 63.1±9.0 | 64.7±6.8 |         |
| Sixty minutes after spinal  | 61.9±6.9 | 69.2±5.6 |         |
| Postoperative HR after 30 min| 60.0±4.8 | 71.2±6.8 |         |

Data represented as mean±SD. Group I; bupivacaine alone. Group II; bupivacaine with fentanyl.

The baseline values were comparable (P=0.524) between the two groups (Table 2). The mean HR was significantly more in the Group II (69.6±1.3) than in the Group I (69.6±1.4) and this difference was significant with P=0.001. There was a decline in the mean heart rate across observation times and this decline was also significant with P=0.000. The interaction was also significant P=0.007. This means that the course of decline was not the same in the two groups and decrease was more in Fentanyl group.

Table 3: Intraoperative variation of mean arterial pressure

| Parameters                  | Group I | Group II | P value |
|-----------------------------|---------|----------|---------|
| Baseline MAP                | 97.0±21.1| 86.6±9.7 | 0.032   |
| Five minutes after spinal   | 88.5±6.9 | 83.4±7.8 |         |
| Ten minutes after spinal    | 86.4±6.1 | 83.8±5.3 |         |
| Fifteen minutes after spinal| 83.0±3.1 | 84.0±6.8 |         |
| Twenty minutes after spinal | 86.5±3.6 | 83.4±6.4 |         |
| Sixty minutes after spinal  | 88.4±5.0 | 85.0±4.9 |         |
| Postoperative MAP after 30 min| 91.1±6.7 | 87.0±5.1 |         |

Data represented as the mean±SD. Group I; bupivacaine alone. Group II; bupivacaine with fentanyl.
The baseline values were comparable (P=) between the two groups (Table 3). The mean MAP was significantly lower in the Group II (88.8±1.0) than the Group I (84.8±0.98) and this difference was significant, P=0.007. There was a decline in the mean MAP across different time periods up to 15 minutes observation and then increased. This change was also significant P=.000. The interaction was also significant P=0.001 indicating that the changes were not uniform in both the groups. The baseline values of respiratory rate were comparable (P=0.5801) between the two groups. The changes in the mean RR across different time periods was significant P=0.003. The interaction was not significant.

**Table 4**: Sensory block characteristics

| Parameters                                      | Group I    | Group II   | Pvalue |
|------------------------------------------------|------------|------------|--------|
| Time to attain maximum upper level of sensory block (minutes) | 5.3±2.3    | 3.6±1.4    | 0.004  |
| Duration of sensory block (minutes)             | 44.4±9.2   | 58.1±12.3  | 0.000  |
| Number of patients with maximum upper level of sensory block | 8.9         | 11         | 0.899  |
| T2-T4                                           | 4          | 9          |        |
| T5-T6                                           | 8          | 11         |        |
| T7-T8                                           | 7          | 5          |        |
| T9-T10                                          | 6          | 0          |        |

Group II showed a shorter time to reach the peak sensory block level and a longer time to reach the 2-segment regression compared to Group I. Duration of sensory block was significantly prolonged in Group II (P<0.01) (Table 4). The mean time (S.D.) to the sensory regression of 2-dermatomes was 44.4±9.2 min and 58.1±12.3 min in the bupivacaine group and bupivacaine with fentanyl group respectively. Maximum upper level of sensory block was higher in group II compared to group I. Number of patients in Group II with a sensory block above T4 was higher compared to Group I (P=0.033).

**Table 5** motor block characteristics

| Parameters                                      | Group I    | Group II   | P Value |
|------------------------------------------------|------------|------------|---------|
| Number of patients with modified Bromage score grade at upper sensory level |            |            |         |
| Bromage score 0                                | 1          | 4          | 0.023   |
| Bromage score 1                                | 9          | 11         |         |
| Bromage score 2                                | 11         | 8          |         |
| Bromage score 3                                | 4          | 2          |         |
| Number of patients with modified Bromage score at two segment regression |            |            |         |
| Bromage score 0                                | 2          | 1          | 0.000   |
| Bromage score 1                                | 9          | 0          |         |
| Bromage score 2                                | 13         | 12         |         |
| Bromage score 3                                | 1          | 12         |         |

**Independent t test for time and Mann Whitney U for grade data**

In Modified Motor Block scale, Group II had higher scale especially at the regression of 2-dermatomes than Group I (P=0.000) (Table 5). Onset of motor block was quicker in Group II as compared to Group I with a median time of onset of 4 minutes in Group II and 5 minutes in Group I. In Group I, 16% patients had modified Bromage score of 3 and 44 % patients had modified Bromage score of 2. In Group II, 8% patients had modified Bromage score of 3 and 32% patients had modified Bromage score of 2.

**Figure I** quality of motor block at peak sensory block

**Figure II** maximum upper sensory level

**Discussion**

Bupivacaine, an amide type local anaesthetic, has high potency, slow onset and long duration of action but has been associated with prolonged motor block, central nervous system and cardiac
toxicity. Opioid analogues\(^{(2, 7, 9)}\) have been used as additives in spinal anaesthesia to improve the onset of action, prolong the duration of block and to improve the quality of perioperative analgesia. Fentanyl, a lipophilic opioid has a rapid onset and short duration of action following intrathecal administration.

The primary end-point of this study was the time to regression of 2-sensory dermatomes from the peak sensory block level. In the current study, it was observed that 25µg of intrathecal fentanyl significantly prolonged the duration of sensory block compared to the low-dose bupivacaine control group. The time to reach peak block level was also shorter in the fentanyl group than in the control group. In addition, despite similar peak sensory block levels for the two groups, motor block scales were significantly higher in the fentanyl group.

Patients undergoing TURP are usually elderly\(^{(5, 6, 8)}\) having various co-morbidities. Thus, it is important to limit the block level to minimize the hemodynamic instability during spinal anaesthesia. Therefore, the dose of intrathecal local anesthetic should be decreased to limit the block level. In our institute, 10 mg bupivacaine has been used to obtain short duration of block and rapid return of motor function in elderly patients undergoing TURP.

Morphine was the first opioid to be used intrathecally, but a wide variety of clinically relevant side-effects, especially respiratory depression is seen. A favorable pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic profile of lipophilic opiates e.g. fentanyl and sufentanil, makes them better alternatives, because of a rapid uptake, faster onset and shorter duration of action. This minimizes the rostral migration of the drug to the respiratory center, avoiding delayed respiratory depression. Sufentanil, a pure agonist is an N-4 thienyl derivative of fentanyl. It is considered to be more lipid soluble, a better receptor ligand and is 7-10 times more potent analgesic than fentanyl.

Lee et al\(^{(18)}\) used 10 mg isobaric bupivacaine and 10 mg isobaric ropivacaine with 15 µg fentanyl for urological surgery. They observed that all patients achieved sensory block upto T10 dermatome or higher after 15 min of intrathecal injection and cephalad spread of sensory block was higher in bupivacaine group than ropivacaine group. Thus, it was found that 25 µg fentanyl could be appropriate for intrathecal dose with 9 mg bupivacaine.

In the current study, 25 µg of fentanyl with 9 mg bupivacaine somewhat increased the peak sensory block level compared to the control group and did prolong the duration of sensory block. Although, there was no significant difference in peak sensory block level between bupivacaine group and bupivacaine adding fentanyl group, all patients in fentanyl group had a sensory level above T10 which was thought to be adequate for TURP.

In our study, Modified Bromage Score 3 (complete motor block) was seen only in 4% of patients in group I, while it was in 48% of patients in fentanyl group at the end of operation. This shows that the degree of motor block was more intense in the fentanyl group. Though we did not evaluate the duration of motor block, this indicates that small dose of fentanyl can potentiate the degree of motor block. As seen in Group II, fentanyl prolongs not only the duration of sensory block, but also the degree and duration of the motor block.

The time required to reach maximum height of the block was significantly more in fentanyl group (5.3±2.3 min) as compared to plain bupivacaine group (3.6±1.4 min). However, Kim et al., showed no significant difference in time to peak block level (15.4 min in fentanyl group and 15.1 min in sufentanil group)\(^{(2)}\).

Addition of opioids to low dose bupivacaine definitely improves the analgesic effect and also leads to a significant beneficial effect of early ambulation because of minimal motor block. Karamraz et al., demonstrated significantly prolonged motor block in plain bupivacaine group as compared to fentanyl with low dose bupivacaine in elderly patients undergoing TURP\(^{(16)}\). Soni et al, also concluded that low dose...
intrathecal ropivacaine (3 mg) with sufentanil (10 μg) improved quality and duration of analgesia without impairing the motor strength during labor\textsuperscript{13,14}, to facilitate early ambulation\textsuperscript{17}.

None of the patients in Group I and three patients in GroupII had hypotensive episodes. They were given increments of mephenteramine 6 mg intravenously and an infusion of 500 ml normal saline. One of the patient in group II had bradycardia. He was given atropine 0.6 mg intravenously.

To conclude, spinal anaesthesia with low dose bupivacaine (9 mg) when combined with25 μg fentanyl provides adequate anaesthesia for TURP surgery in elderly patients and is associated with a lower incidence of haemodynamic instability when compared to spinal anaesthesia with 10 mg bupivacaine (0.5%) alone. If intensity of motor block is required for longer duration, then bupivacaine – fentanyl is a better choice.
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