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Abstract: This paper describes how three different unique design solutions for artificial knee joints each provide extremely low wear and the potential for a 50-year osteolysis-free lifetime in high-demand patients. Each of the three low-wearing prosthetic design solutions provides a different and distinctive functional solution for the patient and surgeon.
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Introduction

Low-wearing solutions are needed to provide long-term function and survival of knee prostheses in young and active patients, in order to avoid failure as a result of polyethylene wear debris-induced osteolysis.1-2 This paper describes the latest understanding of the tribology and wear of polyethylene in knee joint replacements and is based on research carried out over the last decade. In particular, it describes new scientific findings and knowledge about the different variables that control degradation and wear of polyethylene in the knee and that contribute to failure of knee prostheses. It focuses on the needs of high-demand patients with long life expectancies, such as active patients in their early 50s, who walk or run up to two million steps every year,3 and who may have life expectancies of “fifty active years after fifty”, and a lifetime functional demand of up to 100 million steps. Studies show the number and proportion of these high-demand patients are increasing and predicted to increase further.4 This paper describes how current scientific understanding of wear mechanisms has been used to develop new technological design solutions, with new materials and new design concepts, which generate lower wear and the potential of longer-lasting prostheses. The paper differentiates between fatigue failure and surface wear, and with the advent of stabilized and fatigue-resistant polyethylene, proposes that surface wear and osteolysis is the primary cause of long-term osteolysis and failure, most importantly because the surface wear of polyethylene is dependent on a number of different and independent variables. The paper describes how wear can be reduced by different mechanisms and design approaches, which result in three different and distinctive low-wear design solutions, each addressing the functional requirements of different surgeons and patients. This provides the basis for the first ever strategically and scientifically stratified product portfolio of low-wearing knee prostheses.

The paper is divided into six sections. The first two sections describe the science of polyethylene wear in the knee and the effect of surface wear and wear debris-induced osteolysis and failure. In particular, it stratifies the different types of wear and describes...
the system variables which control wear. This provides insight into, and a better understanding of, the complex relationships between prosthesis design variables and wear-induced failure mechanisms. The concept of the total critical wear volume and the osteolysis threshold is introduced, and this shows that if a wear rate is less than 5 mm³/million cycles then it can take over 50 years of wear to reach the threshold for osteolysis in the most active patient. The next three sections describe how wear can be reduced in three different ways, so producing three distinctive low-wear design solutions, which can provide up to “fifty active years after fifty” wear life. The third section describes the rotating platform mobile-bearing knee, low-wear solution, with low wear produced by the decoupling of complex motions into two linear motions resulting in low cross-shear. The fourth section describes the low-wearing fixed-bearing knee solution which utilizes moderately cross-linked polyethylene to give reduced surface wear. This also has a smooth tray and improved locking mechanism to reduce backside wear. The fifth section, describes the low conforming partial knee solution, a fixed-bearing knee with moderately cross-linked polyethylene, which has extremely low wear, associated with the low surface area being worn. The final section provides a summary of the scientific findings relating to knee replacement wear.

Science of polyethylene wear
This section describes the science of polyethylene wear, differentiating between the two fundamental wear mechanisms, ie, delamination and fatigue wear and surface wear.

Delamination and fatigue wear are dependent on the fatigue strength and toughness of the polyethylene, with a greater incidence of occurrence in historical gamma in air sterilized polyethylene, where the mechanical properties have deteriorated over time due to oxidative degradation. An example of delamination and fatigue failure is shown in Figure 1. The current generation of polyethylene, either polyethylene sterilized in an inert atmosphere or cross-linked polyethylene which is remelted, have higher levels of stability and are more resistant to oxidative degradation, and have a much lower incidence of delamination and fatigue failure. Delamination and structural fatigue has largely been eliminated in modern materials and designs and is no longer a clinical concern.

There is also an increased incidence of delamination and fatigue when contact stresses are higher. Traditional designs with historical gamma in air polyethylene moved to more conforming designs, with lower stresses to reduce the incidence of delamination. Such an example was the highly conforming low contact stress rotating mobile-bearing platform (DePuy Inc, Warsaw, IN). With the introduction of modern stabilized polyethylene materials, the mechanical properties of which do not deteriorate with time, it is possible to consider design solutions with a wider range of operating contact stresses, and still avoid delamination and fatigue wear. This can provide other advantages in performance. Although historically, with gamma in air sterilized polyethylene, more conforming designs were introduced to reduce the level of contact stresses and risk of delamination fatigue failure, this had only limited benefit, because high stresses could still occur due to misalignment or edge loading, which resulted in failure. Currently used stabilized polyethylenes provide a much better solution to reduce the risk of delamination fatigue, because these materials can withstand higher stress levels. With the current generation of stabilized polyethylenes that do not degrade, the potential risk of delamination fatigue wear is greatly reduced.

The major concern with current knee joint replacements is surface wear, the generation of submicron wear particles, their accumulation in periprosthetic tissues, and the subsequent resulting wear debris-induced osteolysis and loosening. The risk of wear debris-induced osteolysis can be reduced or delayed by reducing the surface wear rate of polyethylene in the knee and hence reducing the rate of accumulation of wear debris in tissues surrounding the prostheses. Surface wear is a complex engineering process, which is dependent on a number of independent variables, and is not simply a material property.

In considering improved design solutions for polyethylene in the knee, we have modified Archard’s law and introduced a new wear equation for polyethylene:

\[
\text{wear volume} = C \times (\text{sliding distance}) \times (\text{contact area}) \times f(\text{cross-shear}) \times f(\text{counter face roughness}) \times f(\text{level of cross-linking})
\]
In particular, we have shown the wear rate is dependent on and reduces with reduced contact area, reduced cross-shear, reduced surface roughness, and increased cross-linking. The primary relationship shows that the surface wear volume increases as the area of polyethylene being worn increases.\textsuperscript{16–20}

The wear rate of polyethylene increases with an increase in cross-shear.\textsuperscript{21,22} At low cross-shear, associated with unidirectional motion, the wear rate is low; however, as cross-shear ratio increases to between 0.05 and 0.1 the wear rate reaches its maximum value. This is demonstrated for two different contact stress/contact area conditions in Figure 2.\textsuperscript{20,22}

In the hip, where kinematics produce higher levels of cross-shear >0.1, an increase in the level of cross-linking in the polyethylene reduces the wear rate (Figure 3). However, at a higher level of cross-linking, mechanical properties of the polyethylene are reduced; cross-linking levels of 7.5 and 10 mRad are too high to use in the knee.\textsuperscript{23}

In summary, delamination and fatigue wear can be eliminated by using currently manufactured stabilized polyethylene. The current major concern relates to surface wear and risk of wear debris-induced osteolysis, loosening, and failure of the prostheses. New knowledge on wear of polyethylene materials has defined a new wear law, where wear rate can be reduced by reducing cross-shear and wear area, and by increasing cross-linking, thus producing design solutions with lower wear rates and longer osteolysis-free lifetimes.

**Surface wear and threshold for osteolysis**

Surface wear of polyethylene and the potential of wear debris-induced osteolysis in the longer term is now becoming a major concern in the knee, as it has been in the hip for over a decade.\textsuperscript{24} The response to polyethylene debris varies from patient to patient, due to variation in individual patient responsiveness.\textsuperscript{1} Additionally, variations in design, fixation interfaces, and access of polyethylene debris to tissue interfaces produces variability in patient response. However, there is a pattern in the hip that, on average, osteolysis and loosening occurs when the accumulation of total wear volume of standard polyethylene reaches approximately 500 mm.\textsuperscript{3,25} Clearly local osteolysis can occur at much lower volumes of wear. The concept of a total volume wear as a threshold for osteolysis has been demonstrated in a number of papers\textsuperscript{2,25} on the hip and can be applied in the knee, where the rate of wear, and rate of accumulation of debris in periprosthetic tissues, is dependent on activity levels and number of steps per year, as well as kinematic demand.

Polyethylene surface wear debris in the knee is predominantly submicron in size and, like the hip, has a high potential to cause osteolysis.\textsuperscript{26} Analysis of the debris in retrieved tissues surrounding explanted hip prostheses shows increased volumetric concentration in tissues surrounding the hips with lifetimes greater than ten years than for retrievals of less than ten years, clearly demonstrating buildup of debris in the periprosthetic tissue over time.\textsuperscript{27} Analysis of tissue from knees retrieved after less than ten years shows similar levels to that found in early hip tissues at an equivalent time.\textsuperscript{27} Thus, the expectation is that the osteolytic threshold in the knee will be reached as in the hip, but the time taken to reach this is dependent on the rate of generation of debris. In fixed-bearing knees, the rate of wear is dependent on kinematic demand (level of cross-shear). Because the polymer insert in fixed-bearing knees cannot move relative to the tray, rotation of the knee occurs at the articulation between the femoral component and the tibial bearing component. Anterior–posterior translation also occurs at this articulation, as does flexion–extension. The rotation causes a multidirectional wear path which increases the cross-shear and thus wear rate (Figure 4).\textsuperscript{21}
In a displacement controlled knee simulator, the effect of different kinematic demands on wear can be studied in the conventional fixed-bearing knee, in this case the PFC Sigma® knee with gamma vacuum foil polyethylene and a fixed insert (DePuy International)21 Kinematic demand was increased by initially doubling internal–external rotation, then doubling the anterior–posterior translation and recording the effect on surface wear (Table 1). As the kinematic demand increased, the surface wear increased. Increasing rotation increased cross-shear and wear rate.16,21 An increase in anterior–posterior displacement increased the surface area exposed to increased cross-shear, again increasing surface wear (Figure 5).

For a conventional fixed-bearing knee, a high-demand patient with two million steps per year, high kinematic demand, and a polyethylene wear rate of 40 mm³/year, can reach the osteolytic threshold of 500 mm³ in 12 years. In contrast, a low-demand patient with only moderate activity, one million steps/year, and moderate kinematic demand, may have a wear rate of less than 10 mm³/year, and in this low-demand case, an existing conventional fixed-bearing knee is likely to last more than 50 years. This is supported by data from the Swedish hip registry where survivorship is lower in young and active patients, with wear and osteolysis being the major cause of failure.28

Conventional fixed-bearing knees do not have sufficiently low wear rates to give an extended osteolysis-free lifetime in young and active patients with a life expectancy of up to 50 years. Alternative low-wearing solutions are required. Because polyethylene wear is dependent on a number of independent variables, it is possible to generate different and distinctive low-wear solutions.

**Rotating platform mobile-bearing knee**

The rotating platform mobile-bearing knee provides one design solution for low surface wear by reducing the cross-shear on polyethylene at the articulating surfaces. The design uniquely decouples the complex motions into two more linear motions on separate articulating interfaces.

In the rotating platform mobile-bearing knee, flexion-extension and anterior–posterior translation occurs at the upper femoral interface. This is a linear low cross-shear motion. Rotation occurs at the lower tibial interface, as a curvilinear sliding motion, around the central peg. This is also a low cross-shear motion (Figure 6).21 Investigation of wear in the knee simulator shows a five-fold reduction in wear rate with the PFC Sigma rotating platform mobile-bearing knee compared with the PFC Sigma fixed-bearing knee with a curved insert, both with gamma vacuum foil polyethylene (DePuy International, Figure 7).21

![Figure 4](image1.png) **Figure 4** Complex cross-shear motion on superior surface of fixed-bearing knee.

![Figure 5](image2.png) **Figure 5** Increase in surface wear in fixed-bearing knee with increased kinematic demand and increased cross-shear.

![Figure 6](image3.png) **Figure 6** Decoupled motions with low cross shear on the upper and lower surfaces of the rotating platform bearing.

**Table 1** Kinematic conditions for wear simulation studies

|                  | High kinematics | Intermediate kinematics | Low kinematics |
|------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|----------------|
| AP displacement  | 10 mm           | 5 mm                    | 5 mm           |
| IE rotation      | ±5°             | ±5°                     | ±2.5°          |

**Abbreviations:** AP, anterior–posterior; IE, internal–external.
Reduction in wear and cross-shear only occurs with the rotating platform mobile-bearing knee. Other mobile-bearing knees have cross-shear motion on the lower surface and high wear. The rotating platform is the only knee design using conventional gamma vacuum foil polyethylene, which has a wear rate of less than 5 mm³/million cycles and a predicted osteolysis free life of 50 years in high-demand patients. All knee designs require stability and soft tissue balance to ensure good function. In the rotating platform mobile-bearing knee, incorrect soft tissue tension, instability, and lift-off can cause an increase in the surface wear rate of the polyethylene, due to cross-shear introduced by the lateral shift during lift-off. In order to reduce wear in fixed-bearing knees, it is necessary to consider alternative design approaches.

**Low-wearing fixed-bearing knee**

For a conventional fixed-bearing knee (such as PFC Sigma) with high kinematic demand, the wear rate is 20 mm³/million cycles. For a high-demand patient with two million steps per year and high kinematic demand, the polyethylene surface wear rate is 40 mm³/year and the osteolytic threshold of 500 mm³ can be reached in 12 years. It has been shown that about 30% of the wear is associated with the back side on the fixed tray, with the remainder on the upper articulating surface. In order to reduce surface wear, two approaches are needed, ie, a reduction in backside wear with use of a smooth tray and improved locking mechanism and introduction of medium cross-linked polyethylene.

The Sigma fixed-bearing knee (DePuy International) has been improved with the introduction of a smooth cobalt chrome tray and an improved locking mechanism. A smooth cobalt chrome tray and an improved locking mechanism in the new Sigma knee reduced the wear by 30% compared with the PFC Sigma knee with a rough titanium tray, in knee joint simulator tests with gamma vacuum foil polyethylene as the insert material (Figure 8). However, a wear rate of 15 mm³/million cycles only gives an osteolysis-free lifetime of 17 years in a high-demand patient. Therefore, in the Sigma knee, it is important to consider the use of cross-linked polyethylene to reduce surface wear further.

XLK (DePuy International) cross-linked polyethylene uses GUR1020 resin to give increased toughness, and is moderately cross-linked in order to retain mechanical properties. Following irradiation cross-linking, the polyethylene is remelted to remove free radicals and stabilize the material, and is surface-sterilized to retain stability. In the Sigma knee with curved inserts, XLK polyethylene reduced the surface wear compared with gamma vacuum foil polyethylene by more than 50% under both intermediate and high kinematic demand (Figure 9). For XLK polyethylene, the surface wear with Sigma knee was between 3 and 6 mm³/million cycles. This substantial reduction in wear with XLK in Sigma fixed-bearing knees provides a second low-wear design solution with an extended osteolysis-free lifetime for a high-demand patient. This option will give predicted osteolysis-free lifetimes in excess of 40 years.
Low-conforming, low-wearing partial knee replacement

Basic science has also demonstrated that polyethylene wear is dependent on the surface area being worn. For patients with good intrinsic soft tissue stability in the knee, a low-conforming bearing design, with a low surface area to be worn is the third low-wearing solution. This is particularly relevant to the partial knee, but is also applicable to tissue preserving the total knee. This lower-conforming design is made possible with the improved stability and mechanical properties of moderately cross-linked XLK polyethylene. It is particularly attractive for use in partial knee solutions where soft tissue stability is maintained.

The principle of a low-conforming low-wear knee was first demonstrated by applying a flat prototype insert to a DePuy Sigma knee with gamma vacuum foil polyethylene.\textsuperscript{16} The worn area of contact is reduced considerably, as shown by the outline of the wear scar in Figure 10. The knee simulator studies with the flat insert and gamma vacuum foil polyethylene showed an extremely low wear rate of 3 mm\textsuperscript{3} per million cycles, a five-fold reduction compared with a curved insert under high kinematic demand\textsuperscript{16} (Figure 11). This is consistent with a five-fold reduction in surface area being worn.

The principle of low conformity can be readily applied in the partial knee replacement which utilizes XLK polyethylene, because the stability in the knee is delivered through retention of the native soft tissues. In this study, the wear of the Sigma partial knee is compared with the wear of the Oxford conforming mobile unicondylar knee.\textsuperscript{32} The partial knee has a substantially lower wear rate, expressed as the wear rate of a single compartment (Figure 12).\textsuperscript{32}

It is possible to compare the wear of a combination of medial and lateral Sigma XLK partial knees (equivalent to a total knee), to the wear of the curved Sigma XLK total knee (Figure 13).\textsuperscript{32} The wear of the lower-conforming partial knee was first demonstrated by applying a flat prototype insert to a DePuy Sigma knee with gamma vacuum foil polyethylene.\textsuperscript{16} The worn area of contact is reduced considerably, as shown by the outline of the wear scar in Figure 10. The knee simulator studies with the flat insert and gamma vacuum foil polyethylene showed an extremely low wear rate of 3 mm\textsuperscript{3} per million cycles, a five-fold reduction compared with a curved insert under high kinematic demand\textsuperscript{16} (Figure 11). This is consistent with a five-fold reduction in surface area being worn.

The principle of low conformity can be readily applied in the partial knee replacement which utilizes XLK polyethylene, because the stability in the knee is delivered through retention of the native soft tissues. In this study, the wear of the Sigma partial knee is compared with the wear of the Oxford conforming mobile unicondylar knee.\textsuperscript{32} The partial knee has a substantially lower wear rate, expressed as the wear rate of a single compartment (Figure 12).\textsuperscript{32}

It is possible to compare the wear of a combination of medial and lateral Sigma XLK partial knees (equivalent to a total knee), to the wear of the curved Sigma XLK total knee (Figure 13).\textsuperscript{32}
knee is less than the XLK curved total knee, but both give low wear rates and a long osteolysis-free lifetime in the total knee.\(^3\)

**Summary**

A new wear law has been defined for polyethylene in the knee.\(^6\) Wear is reduced by reduced cross-shear, increased cross-linking, and a reduced surface area. This new scientific relationship has been used to create three different and distinctive low-wear solutions in the knee, ie, the rotating platform mobile-bearing knee, the fixed-bearing moderately cross-linked polyethylene knee, and the low-conforming partial knee.
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