The Effects of Foot Reflexology on the Physical Symptoms of Cancer Patients
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PURPOSE: Foot reflexology has become popular for sustainable health management because it is free from side effects, easy to learn and perform. The purpose of this study was to investigate the effects of foot reflexology on the physical symptoms of cancer patients.

METHODS: The systematic review and meta-analysis was conducted by following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA). Data were pooled using fixed- or random-effects models. Pain, sleep, fatigue, and nausea and vomiting were analyzed for the main effects. Eighteen studies were included, representing 931 participants.

RESULTS: Foot reflexology was effective in alleviating pain (d = -1.05; 95% CI: -1.52, -0.59), promoting sleep (d = 1.15; 95% CI: 0.45, 1.85), and relieving fatigue (d = -1.46; 95% CI: -1.79, -1.14), but it was not significantly effective in reducing nausea and vomiting (d = -0.53; 95% CI: -1.29, 0.22).

CONCLUSIONS: Based on these findings, there is clear evidence that foot reflexology has significant positive effects on the physical symptoms of cancer patients. Further study is needed, however, to perform subgroup analysis using the frequency of sessions as predictors.
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INTRODUCTION

Despite the advances in modern medicine, the incidence of cancer, the no. 1 cause of death in South Korea at present, has increased by about 3.3% annually over the past decade. For the South Koreans who meet the current life expectancy rate, cancer is estimated to occur in 2 out of 5 men (38.3%) and in 1 out of 3 women (33.3%) [1]. Cancer patients experience lots of physical pains during cancer diagnosis and treatment. The main symptoms patients complain of include fatigue, anorexia, pain, sleep disorders, and nausea and vomiting [2,3]. In particular, these symptoms are characterized by clustering, in which several symptoms occur simultaneously or sequentially rather than alone [4]. According to previous relevant studies, these symptoms have been reported to affect the disease recovery of cancer patients due to the nature of recurring diseases [5,6]. Therefore, appropriate interventions are needed to control the physical problems of cancer patients so as to enhance their daily life adaptation and improve their quality of life.

Many cancer patients worldwide use a variety of complementary and alternative medicines (CAMs) to relieve symptoms. National Cancer Institute (NCI) in the U.S. provides practical guidance by investigating evidence-based CAMs for the control and treatment of major symptoms experienced by cancer patients [7]. In South Korea, more than 42% of the cancer patients reported that they had an experience of using CAMs [8]. Foot reflexology uses the toes to stimulate the acupressure points
corresponding to each part of the body, reducing the tension of the skin and muscles and improving circulation [9]. It can be using holistic health approach that reduce the severity of chemotherapy-induced vomiting and nausea of some kinds of cancer patients.

Foot reflexology has been proven to be effective in cancer patients’ symptom management with only one application, and many interventional studies have been conducted since it was reported to be effective in enhancing adaptability in daily life and improving the quality of life [10-12]. Accordingly, systematic reviews of foot reflexology were conducted, but these studies included subjects other than cancer patients [13] or other CAMs [14,15]. There have been few studies that systematically consider the effects of foot reflexology in cancer patients. Therefore, this study aimed to provide fundamental data for evidence-based nursing practice by systematically examining and analyzing the effects of foot reflexology intervention applied to cancer patients on their physical symptoms.

METHODS

1. Eligibility Criteria

The study selection criteria is based on PICOTS-SD (Participants, Intervention, Comparisons, Outcomes, Timing of Outcome Measurement, Settings, Study Design): participants of this study (P) were cancer patients; method of intervention (I) was foot reflexology; comparison group (C) was comprised of those not undergoing interventions or programs comparable to the main one; outcome of the study (O) were pain, sleep, fatigue, nausea, and vomiting; Timing of Outcome Measurement (T) was the duration of the intervention; setting of the study (S) was hospital, hospice center or community; and study design (SD) included randomized controlled trials (RCT) or non-randomized controlled trial (NRCT). The exclusion criteria for the articles were as follows: studies whose subjects were not cancer patients or whose interventional technique was not foot reflexology, studies that did not have a comparative intervention, and studies in which the mean and standard deviation of the measured results could not be calculated, as well as case studies, descriptive studies, observational studies, meta-analyses, and review articles rather than experimental studies.

2. Literature Search

The data were from articles published until April 30, 2020 from the year when individual electronic databases provided data. The electronic databases that were used for literature search included Pubmed, Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature (CINAHL), Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), Excerpta Medica Database (EM-BASE), Koreanstudies Information Service System (KISS), KoreaMed, and Research Information Sharing Service (RISS). For the search formula, MeSH terms and text words of the title and abstract were properly applied. The keywords that were used for the search were massage, reflexology, reflexotherapy, relaxation therapy, complementary, alternative, foot, cancer, neoplasm, neoplasia, tutor, malignancy, randomized controlled trial, controlled, trial, groups, placebo, and randomly.

3. Data Extraction

In the first stage of the study, data were selected by searching articles in academic journals and dissertations, and in the second stage, the duplicate data were excluded. In the third stage, the relevant data were selected by applying the inclusion and exclusion criteria through the title and abstract of the article. Then in the fourth stage, the final data were selected by reviewing the full text of the article. The selected articles were recorded using a coding table, which consisted of the author, year of publication, country of publication, number of subjects, age of subjects, eligibility criteria, mode of intervention, frequency of intervention, time of intervention, outcome variable, outcome measurement tool, and mean and standard deviation.

4. Data Analysis

The effect size and homogeneity of foot reflexology intervention were analyzed using the RevMan 5.2 program of Cochrane Library. When homogeneity was confirmed, the effect size was calculated with a fixed-effects model, and when heterogeneity was confirmed, it was calculated with a random-effects model. The statistical significance of the effect size was judged through the overall effect test and using a 95% confidence interval (CI), based on the 5% significance level. The homogeneity of the study was tested using Higgins I2, and the publication bias was tested using the Funnel plot.

5. Methodological Quality

Based on Cochrane Library’s systematic review guidelines [16] and previous studies [17], the quality of the articles was assessed for five areas: randomization, blinding of outcome assessment, comparison possibility of participants, incomplete outcome data, and selective reporting. For
each item, the risk of bias was designated as low, unclear or high.

**RESULTS**

1. Literature Search

In the first stage, 1,050 articles were retrieved through the databases. In the second stage, 342 articles were selected, excluding the duplicate ones from among the retrieved data. In the third stage, 53 articles were selected based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria, focusing on the title and abstract of the article. In the fourth stage, 18 articles were finally selected by reviewing the full text of the article (Fig. 1).

2. Study Characteristics

A look at the distribution of the 18 articles included in the systematic review by year will reveal that 15 articles (83.3%) were published until 2009, and 3 (16.7%) were published after 2010. By country, 15 articles (83.3%) were published in South Korea, 2 (11.1%) were published in the U.S., and 1 (5.6%) was published in Taiwan. In terms of study design, only 4 articles (22.2%) were subjected to RCT. The total number of subjects was 931, 468 in the experimental group and 463 in the control group. As for the frequency of interventions, 10 articles (55.6%) had one to three sessions, and as for the time of intervention, 7 articles (38.9%) had a 20-minute intervention. In terms of the intervention provider, the intervention was provided by a nurse trained by an expert in 16 articles, by a foot reflexology expert in 1 article, and by the spouse or family member in 1 article (Table 1).

![Fig. 1. Flow diagram of the study selection process.](https://www.ksep-es.org)

3. Foot Reflexology Effects

In eleven articles, foot reflexology was conducted for pain relief. The effect size was -1.05 (95% CI: -1.52, -0.59), indicating a large effect size, and the heterogeneity was high (I² = 84%). Seven articles examined the effect on sleep, and the effect size was 1.15 (95% CI: 0.45, 1.85), showing a large effect size and high heterogeneity (I² = 85%). Six articles examined fatigue, and the effect size was -1.46 (95% CI: -1.79, -1.14), showing a large effect size and moderate heterogeneity (I² = 49%). Four articles examined nausea and vomiting, and the effect size was -0.53 (95% CI: -1.29, 0.22), which was not statistically significant (Fig. 2). For the results of the publication bias testing, the risk of publication bias was low in sleep, fatigue, and nausea and vomiting, but there was a risk of publication bias in pain.

4. Methodological Quality

All the articles had low bias in the comparison possibility of participant and selective reporting. Randomization was conducted by using the random number table or computer program in 3 articles (16.7%), and the reason for dropout was explained in six articles (33.3%). Investigator blinding was conducted in 2 articles (11.1%), showing high bias in this area (Fig. 3).

**DISCUSSION**

It was well known that foot reflexology might be a useful non-pharmacological interventions against diverse chemotherapy whereas the scientific lack of evidences. However, there are some references that it can be utilized as a physical and nursing intervention tool for breast cancer patients [2,5,18]. Foot reflexology was impacts on fatigue, nausea, and vomiting especially in diverse cancer patients [19]. It was also reported as an effective tools to reduce depression, anxiety, pain and enhance sleep quality and quality of life.

This study investigated the effects of foot reflexology on the physical symptoms of cancer patients by systematically and objectively synthesizing the studies on foot reflexology interventions applied to cancer patients through meta-analysis. For the results of the study, only 3 articles (16.7%) were published after 2010 among the 18 articles included in the systematic review, and only 3 articles (16.7%) were published outside of South Korea. Considering that research on other CAMs, such as acupuncture interventions, to alleviate the symptoms of cancer patients has been actively performed of late [20], and that massage therapy is com-
Table 1. Characteristics of Included studies

| First author and year | Country | Study design | Cancer Type | eN  | cN  | Mean age (yr) | Female (%) | Interventions | Type | Setting | Providers | Sess. (min) | Leng. (min) | Comp | Main outcome measures |
|-----------------------|---------|--------------|-------------|-----|-----|---------------|------------|---------------|-------|----------|-----------|-------------|-------------|-------|------------------------|
| Choi 2005             | Korea   | NRCT         | Gastrectomy | 20  | 20  | 60.1          | 30.0       | FR            | Hospital | Nurse     | 1         | 35          | UC        | VSH     |
| Ham 2003              | Korea   | NRCT         | HC ChT      | 30  | 30  | 35.5          | 0.0        | FR            | Hospital | Nurse     | 3         | 20          | UC        | INVR    |
| Han 2001              | Korea   | NRCT         | Gastrectomy | 17  | 17  | 60.5          | 23.5       | FR            | Hospital | Nurse     | 2         | 20          | UC        | VAS     |
| Jang 2002             | Korea   | NRCT         | Mastectomy  | 16  | 12  | 47.5          | 100.0      | FR            | Hospital | Nurse     | 1         | 20          | UC        | VAS     |
| Jang 2006             | Korea   | NRCT         | Gastrectomy | 10  | 12  | 56.8          | 40.9       | FR            | Hospital | Nurse     | 8         | 20          | UC        | VAS     |
| Jung 2010             | Korea   | NRCT         | Terminal    | 19  | 17  | 69.4          | 5.8        | FR            | HC       | Nurse     | 10        | 32          | UC        | NRS, VSH |
| Kim 2004              | Korea   | NRCT         | RT          | 30  | 30  | 57.0          | 48.3       | FR            | Hospital | Nurse     | 5         | 20          | UC        | PFS     |
| Kim 2008              | Korea   | NRCT         | Terminal    | 19  | 18  | 60.1          | 48.6       | FR            | HC       | Nurse     | 12        | 32          | UC        | VAS, VSH |
| Kyong 2006            | Korea   | NRCT         | Terminal    | 14  | 13  | 60.4          | 55.6       | FR            | HC       | Nurse     | 12        | 30          | UC        | VAS, VSH |
| Lee 2006              | Korea   | NRCT         | Breast RT   | 16  | 16  | 49.5          | 100.0      | FR            | Hospital | Nurse     | 3         | 20          | UC        | PFS     |
| Lee 2011              | Korea   | NRCT         | Terminal    | 28  | 29  | 60.6          | 42.1       | FRO           | HC       | Nurse     | 10        | 30          | UC        | BPI-K, VSH |
| Park 2006             | Korea   | NRCT         | Mastectomy  | 15  | 15  | 48.8          | 100.0      | FR            | Hospital | Nurse     | 2         | 30          | UC        | VAS, VSH |
| Sim 2008              | Korea   | NRCT         | HM          | 30  | 30  | 35.5          | 36.6       | FR            | Hospital | Nurse     | 4         | 30          | UC        | BFI     |
| So 2004               | Korea   | NRCT         | ChT         | 19  | 17  | 52.2          | 63.8       | FR            | Hospital | Nurse     | 2         | 25-30       | UC        | VSH, VAS, INVR |
| Stepherson 2007       | USA     | RCT          | Metastatic  | 42  | 44  | 58.3          | 51.0       | FR            | Hospital | Partner   | 1         | 30          | Reading  | BPI     |
| Tsay 2008             | Taiwan  | RCT          | Digestive   | 30  | 31  | 59.8          | 52.5       | FR            | Hospital | Nurse     | 3         | 20          | UC        | VAS     |
| Wyatt 2012            | USA     | RCT          | Advanced stage breast | 95  | 96  | 56.3          | 100.0      | FR            | Hospital | Ref.st    | 4         | 30          | UC        | BPI-SF, BFI, VAS |
| Yang 2005             | Korea   | NRCT         | Breast ChT  | 18  | 16  | 51.7          | 100.0      | FR            | Hospital | Nurse     | 5         | 40          | UC        | PFS, INVR |

eN, experimental group number; cN, control group number; Comp, Comparison; ChT, undergoing chemotherapy; RT, undergoing radiation therapy; HC, Hepatocellular carcinoma; HM, Hematological malignancies; FRO, FR using aroma oil; Ref.st, reflexologist; UC, Usual care; VSH, Verran and Snyder-Halpern Sleep Scale; INVR, Index of Nause, Vomitting and Retching; VAS, Visual Analog Scale; NRS, Numeric Rating Scale; PFS, Piper Fatigue Scale; BPI-K, Korean Version of Brief Pain Inventory; BFI, Brief Fatigue Inventory; BPI-SF, Brief Pain Inventory–Short Form.
monly used at present as a self-care strategy for cancer patients [21], more research on foot reflexology needs to be conducted internationally.

The average intervention time was 27.1 minutes, and the intervention was conducted for one to three sessions per week in 10 articles. This result is similar to the result of the study of Lee et al. [13] that foot reflexology has a shorter intervention time but a moderate effect than other non-pharmacological interventions [13,14]. The foot is the most sensitive area for reflex stimulation among the body parts as capillaries, sweat glands, and nerves are concentrated therein. Stimulation of the foot, which has corresponding lymphatic system or body organ reflex points, in spite of only a short intervention, promotes blood circulation in the body through the activation of the parasympathetic nerves, increases the oxygen saturation of the tissues, and promotes detoxification, thereby having a positive effect on physical symptoms of cancer patients [22,23].

Fig. 2. Forest plot of effect size by foot reflexology on physical symptoms in cancer patients.
A look at the items with a high risk of bias in the methodological quality assessment of the articles included in the systematic review will reveal that randomization was not carried out in about 77.8% of the articles, and that investigator or subject blinding was not conducted in 88.9% of the articles. For the identification of the net effect of a foot reflexology intervention program, a description of the protocol, which is an important component of intervention fidelity, or use of double blinding, is necessary [24]. Therefore, in future studies, high-quality research should be attempted by describing the details of randomization and explaining the subject and investigator management from the time of the study design.

The meta-analysis revealed that foot reflexology had the greatest effect on fatigue (d = -1.46). In a study by Kim and Oh [8], a meta-analysis of five fatigue studies measured by Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) or Revised Piper Fatigue Scale (RPFS), foot massage intervention reported a large effect size (d = -1.43) on fatigue reduction, supporting the result of the present study. Cancer-related fatigue is the most common symptom experienced by up to 80% of cancer patients [25]. According to the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) guidelines, the fatigue experienced by cancer patients is independent of physical activity and is characterized by persistent fatigue that is not resolved by rest or sleep [26]. The results of the present study suggest that foot reflexology can be used as a complementary intervention for cancer patients’ fatigue management considering that it showed a large fatigue reduction effect in cancer patients.

Foot reflexology also had a large effect on sleep following fatigue (d = 1.15). A meta-analysis study by Lee et al. [13] showed a 1.31 effect size when it was applied to general patients, and a 1.09 effect size in elderly people, showing a similar result as the present study. Sleep disorder was measured by VAS and Korean Sleep Scale and foot massage was done by reflection and relaxation points with pre stage and main stages during 30 minutes [13].

The prevalence of sleep disorder was shown to be twofold higher in cancer patients than in the general population [27], and was shown to be associated with pain and depression, poor quality of life, immune dysfunction, decreased cognitive function, and increased mortality [28,29,30]. As the results of the present study confirmed that foot reflexology has a large effect on sleep, it is necessary to apply foot reflexology that is non-invasive and that has a systemic relaxation effect to enhance sleep in the future clinical practice.

To date, the most effective method of controlling pain in cancer patients is known to be the administration of narcotic analgesics. Narcotic analgesics, however, have side effects (e.g., mental confusion, constipation, respiratory depression, nausea and vomiting, and drug addiction) requiring other alternative interventions [31]. The results of this study showed that foot reflexology has a large effect on pain reduction (d = -1.05). The subjects of the articles in which the pain variable was examined were cancer patients with severe pain (36.4%) or terminal cancer patients (54.5%). According to the gate control theory of pain, contact behaviors like massage have been reported to block the transmission of pain to the brain by activating the pain suppression system in the spinal cord.

It was also reported that foot reflexology enhanced the quality of life, decreased anxiety and pain intensity as well as side effects reduction in stage of metastatic cancer patients [18,32,33]. It can deduced a conclusion from the enhanced psychological wellbeing and reduced muscle tension, caused by blood redistribution to the target organ [30,31,33].

This study is significant in that it is the first study to have analyzed the effect size of foot reflexology on the physical symptoms of cancer patients, which it did by systematically reviewing and analyzing the relevant studies conducted at home and abroad. Moreover, it provided a basis for the application of foot reflexology as a complementary and alternative medicine modality for cancer patients. On the other hand, this study also has limitations. First, the information on cultural areas using
different languages may have been excluded because only the articles published in English and Korean were reflected in the systematic review process. Second, as the meta-analysis was performed using the statistics obtained immediately after the intervention to evaluate the effect of foot reflexology, the long-term effects of foot reflexology could not be examined. Third, there were not many articles providing the statistics necessary for analyzing the effect size, and the heterogeneity of the effect size was high. Thus, the effect size may have been overestimated or underestimated, and care should be taken in interpreting it.

CONCLUSION

This study systematically reviewed domestic and international studies on foot reflexology, and analyzed the effect of foot reflexology on the physical symptoms of cancer patients. As a result, foot reflexology was found to have a positive effect on pain, sleep, and fatigue in cancer patients. Considering that the number of cancer patients is steadily increasing, it is suggested that foot reflexology be actively used as a complementary and alternative medicine (CAM) modality that can be easily accessed by the general public, rather than limiting the management of the physical symptoms of cancer patients to hospital treatment or drug therapy. To enhance the clinical significance of foot reflexology, well-tailored various meta-analyses should be performed based on the evidence accumulated through subsequent repeated intervention studies.
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