Influence of fluoride-containing adhesives and bleaching agents on enamel bond strength

Abstract: This study evaluated the influence of fluoride-containing carbamide peroxide (CP) bleaching agents and adhesive systems on bonded enamel interfaces that are part of the dynamic pH cycling and thermal cycling models. The buccal surfaces of 60 bovine incisors were restored with a composite resin and bonded with three- and two-step, etch-and-rinse, fluoride-containing adhesives, Optibond FL (FL) and Optibond Solo Plus (SP), respectively. Restored teeth were subjected to thermal cycling to age the interface. Both SP and FL adhesive-restored teeth were bleached (n = 10) with 10% CP (CP) and 10% CP + fluoride (CPF) or were left unbleached (control). Bleaching was performed for 14 days simultaneously with pH cycling, which comprised of 14 h of remineralization, 2 h of demineralization and 8 h of bleaching. The control groups (FL and SP) were stored in remineralizing solution during their bleaching periods and were also subjected to carious lesion formation. Parallelepiped-shaped samples were obtained from the bonded interface for microtensile bond strength (μTBS) testing. The enamel μTBS of the FL and SP groups (control, not bleached) were higher (p < 0.05) than those of the bleached interfaces (FL > FL + CPF = FL + CP and SP > SP + CPF = SP + CP). The groups subjected to treatment with the fluoride-containing bleaching agents exhibited similar μTBS compared to regular bleaching agents. Bleaching agents, regardless of whether they contained fluoride, decreased enamel bond strength.
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Introduction

The durability of an adhesive restoration is related to the integrity of the enamel/dentin interface. Marginal gaps in the restoration frequently lead to post-operative sensibility, marginal staining, and the development of pulp pathologies as a consequence of secondary carious lesions.1 The development of secondary caries is similar to that of primary caries but differs according to the location and characteristics of the surface, such as roughness and marginal defects.2,3

Conventional three- and two-step etch-and-rinse adhesives can effectively seal the enamel interface.4 To prevent caries around the interface, fluoride has been added to some of these adhesives, thus allowing these agents to work as “fluoride reservoirs” to avoid mineral loss by inhibiting
demineralization and enhancing remineralization. However, the ability of adhesives to release fluoride at the interface has been evaluated under caries challenge conditions, so it is uncertain whether the amount of fluoride released at the restoration wall may be able to prevent or reverse the development of caries.

In clinical situations, the adhesive interface is subjected not only to caries challenges but also to agents that compromise marginal integrity. Among these, carbamide peroxide bleaching emerges as a common esthetic procedure; however, several reports demonstrate its potential adverse effects on the enamel, particularly at the enamel-bonded interface. The side effects of peroxides on enamel include increased enamel porosity, pitting, erosion, demineralization of the periphery of enamel prisms and mineral loss promoted by the decrease in the inorganic content. To reverse the undesirable effects of bleaching, sodium fluoride has been added to some of these agents. Fluoride-containing bleaching agents may generate fluoridated hydroxyapatite and calcium fluoride crystals on the enamel, which may accelerate the remineralization of the bleached enamel.

To date, no study has reported the effects of fluoride-containing bleaching agents at the interface of three- and two-step fluoride-containing adhesive restorations. Therefore, this study aimed to evaluate the bond strength of the adhesive/enamel interface subjected to 10% carbamide peroxide (CP) agents with and without fluoride (F-) using a dynamic pH cycling model. The null hypotheses tested were that under caries challenge conditions, (1) no differences will be observed in enamel bond strengths between bleaching agents, with and without F-, and (2) no differences will be noted between the three- and two-step etch-and-rinse fluoride-containing adhesives.

**Methodology**

**Experimental design**

Sixty bovine incisors were obtained after approval of the Ethical Research Committee of Taubaté Dental School (protocol #0033/07), Unitau, Brazil, and randomly divided into six groups (n = 10) according to the following factors:

1. **adhesive systems**: Optibond FL (FL) and Opti-bond Solo Plus (SP) (three- and two-step, etch-and-rinse adhesives, respectively, Kerr, Danbury, USA) and
2. **bleaching treatment**: without bleaching, bleaching with 10% CP (CP) or 10% CP with F (CPF) (Opalescence, Ultradent Products, South Jordan, USA).

The factors and levels were arranged as follows:

1. (FL): Optibond FL without bleaching - control
2. (FL + CPF): Optibond FL and 10% CP bleaching with F-
3. (FL + CP): Optibond FL and 10% CP bleaching without F-
4. (SP): Optibond SP without bleaching - control
5. (SP + CPF): Optibond SP and 10% CP bleaching with F-
6. (SP + CP): Optibond SP and 10% CP bleaching without F-

Treatment consisted of bonding with FL or SP and bleaching treatment associated with a pH cycling model. After treatment, enamel bonding was evaluated by means of the µTBS, and the interface was analyzed based on the fracture failure modes. Table 1 summarizes the materials tested.

**Sample preparation**

The incisors were cleaned and stored in thymol solution at 5°C for 2 weeks and stored in deionized water for 24 h before beginning the experiment. The roots were removed and standard class I cavities (4 × 7 mm and 3 mm deep) were prepared on the buccal surface with diamond burs (FG 57 - KG Sorensen, Barueri, Brazil). The preparations were incrementally restored with a hybrid composite resin (Point 4, Kerr, Danbury, USA) after bonding with one of the two test adhesive systems (FL and SP) according to the manufacturers’ directions.

**Thermal cycling**

Samples of all groups were subjected to 2,000 thermal cycles (MSCT-3 PLUS - Marcelo Nucci-ME, São Carlos, Brazil) in deionized water baths.
at 5–55°C ± 1°C to age the bonded interface. After the thermal cycles, a nail varnish was applied 2 mm away from and around the bonded interface, leaving a standardized area for initial carious lesions. The samples were stored for 24 h in remineralizing solution before the caries regimen and bleaching treatment.

### Chemical caries regimen and bleaching treatment

The cycle consisted of the application of the bleaching agent for 8 h, followed by fluoridated dentifrice immersion (1 g of dentifrice : 3 ml of water) for 1 min, demineralization for 2 h (0.05 M acetate buffer, 2.2 mM CaCl₂, 2.2 mM Na₃PO₄, 1 ppm NaF, pH 4.5, and 6.25 mL/mm² of enamel) and a second fluoridated dentifrice immersion for 1 min. Samples of all groups were then immersed overnight in remineralizing solution (1.5 mM CaCl₂, 0.9 mM Na₃PO₄, 0.15 M KCl, pH 7.0, 3.125 mL/mm² of enamel) with the cycle continuing on the following day. This procedure was carried out for 14 days, corresponding to an average duration of home-applied bleaching treatment. The control groups (SP and FL, not subjected to bleaching) were kept in the remineralizing solution while bleaching was performed for the other groups. The bleaching agent was applied on the enamel surface (0.05 g), and the samples were stored at 37°C during bleaching, remineralization and demineralization. After bleaching, the specimens were rinsed thoroughly with deionized water and kept for 24 h in water prior to mechanical evaluation.

### Microtensile bond strength (µTBS) testing

The palatal surfaces of the restored teeth were polished in a grinding machine to reduce the thickness of the incisors to 1 mm-thick blocks. The block was sectioned longitudinally by dividing the teeth into two hemi-sections that were serially cut (mesial to distal) in a cutting machine (1100 Isomet, Buehler Ltd., Lake Bluff, USA). Cutting was performed in a direction perpendicular to the bonded interface to produce parallelepiped-shaped samples (“sticks”) with a thickness of 0.8 mm. This procedure yielded approximately three sticks per tooth, and no premature debonding occurred during sample preparation. The sticks were fixed to the Bencor device and subjected to a µTBS testing in a universal testing machine (4411, Instron Co., Canton, USA) at 0.5 mm/min crosshead speed until failure.

### Failure mode

The debonded enamel sites were viewed under a stereoscopic loupe at 40× magnification to assess the failure mode. Failure was classified as adhesive
(up to 90% of enamel surface exposure), cohesive in enamel, cohesive in resin or mixed failure (also nominated adhesive and cohesive failure indicating up to 50% of the enamel surface covered with adhesive or resin). Figure 1 shows a schematic illustration of the methodology.

**Statistical analysis**

Effects of the dependable variables, adhesive systems (p = 0.0016) and treatments (CP with and without F and no bleaching, p = 0.0022) and the interactions (adhesives × treatments, p = 0.0019) with enamel were analyzed. The normal distribution of the μTBS test values was verified by using Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Lilliefors tests (p > 0.05), and a parametric two-way ANOVA and Tukey test were performed. A value of 5% was considered significant (SAS 9.0 software, SAS Institute, Cary, USA).

**Results**

**Microtensile bond strength (μTBS) testing**

The results of the μTBS test (Table 2) indicated that the bond strength of the control group bonded with FL was higher than that of the enamel bonded with SP (p = 0.0016). However, both groups presented with higher μTBS values compared to the bleached groups (CPF and CP, p < 0.0001). No differences were observed in enamel bond strength between the groups subjected to CP 10% (FL + CPF = F + CP and SP + CP = SP + CPF), regardless of the addition of F to the bleaching agents (p > 0.05).

**Failure modes**

A total of 45 to 50% of the failure modes in the control groups (FL and SP, respectively) were of the adhesive type. The bleached enamel interfaces exhibited mostly cohesive fractures in the enamel, corresponding to 35 to 45% of the total failure pattern (Figure 2).

**Discussion**

Secondary caries development along the margins of an existing restoration is considered a major cause for the replacement of restorations over time.2,3 Therefore, controlling and/or preventing this condition is a concern that has led to the development of adhesives with anticariogenic potential.5 However, aside from biofilm formation, the interface of ex-
existing restorations may be submitted to bleaching treatments, and it is unknown whether the addition of F\textsuperscript{-} to these agents would affect enamel bonding. Hence, this study evaluated bond strength of existing restorations exposed to bleaching agents with and without F\textsuperscript{-} under caries challenge conditions.

The results suggest that bonding of the restorations was significantly influenced by CP, as bleached groups presented lower bond strength values, regardless of the adhesive used or the addition of F\textsuperscript{-} to the bleaching agents. Previous reports observed few or no alterations on enamel integrity after bleaching,\textsuperscript{18} whereas a number of studies have described the effects of bleaching as morphological defects and the demineralization of enamel prisms.\textsuperscript{9-12} Enamel mineral loss due to a significant decrease in calcium and phosphate content may occur after bleaching\textsuperscript{13} which may even increase enamel susceptibility towards demineralization.\textsuperscript{19}

To reverse some of the adverse effects of peroxides on enamel, the addition of F\textsuperscript{-} to bleaching agents has been suggested as a form of inhibiting demineralization.\textsuperscript{14} However, the reports are controversial, as some authors defend the positive outcome of F\textsuperscript{-} added to CP-based agents, whereas others do not observe any prevention of enamel demineralization.\textsuperscript{20} In the current study, CP decreased enamel μTBS, regardless of the presence of F\textsuperscript{-}. Enamel demineralization promoted by CP might have helped to lower the bond strength. Chuang \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{21} observed that 10% CP containing high F\textsuperscript{-} concentrations (0.37%) was able to maintain μTBS as effectively as unbleached enamel. The authors observed that non-fluoridated and 0.11% fluoridated CP agents exhibited lower μTBS immediately after bleaching, which is in accordance with our findings, but their reported values were recovered after 7 and 14 days of storage. According to the authors, the positive outcome of F\textsuperscript{-} addition to bleaching agents may assist subsequent restorative treatment by inhibiting enamel demineralization.\textsuperscript{21}

Failure mode patterns of CP-treated interfaces demonstrated predominance (35 to 45%) of cohesive failure in the enamel for both the bleaching agents used in this study. The cohesive failures confirm the hypothesis that bleaching may promote enamel structural changes, thus initializing fracture during debonding at the enamel rather than at the adhesive-bonded interface. Cavalli \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{12} observed that bleached fractured enamel presented altered prism structure following exposure to regular (fluoride-free) carbamide peroxides. Scanning electron microscopy showed a preference for dissolution of the boundaries between the prism and the interprismatic substance and a porous-like appearance of the bleached prisms. The porosity created by the bleaching agent in the enamel may have acted as a stress raiser during μTBS testing, resulting in premature failures.\textsuperscript{12}

The control groups (FL and SP), however, exhibited both higher bond strengths than bleached enamel and a predominance of adhesive failure (45 to 50%). Differences were observed between the three-step (FL) and two-step (SP) etch-and-rinse adhesives (μTBS FL > μTBS SP). Three- and two-step conventional etch-and-rinse adhesive systems were chosen because these agents could effectively seal the interface and promote reliable adhesion to enamel. Acid-etching selectively dissolves hydroxyapatite crystals and creates enamel microporosities, which are infiltrated by monomers that form resin tags and promote micromechanical retention.\textsuperscript{4} The
etch-and-rinse adhesives involve a separate etching step, but the two-step combines the primer and the adhesive resin into one solution. In a clinical trial studying adhesives (two self-etching and two etch-and-rinse), Perdigão et al. observed that, after 18 months, enamel marginal deficiencies were less prevalent in teeth bonded with etch-and-rinse systems compared to teeth bonded with self-etching adhesives. The authors also note that the three-step etch-and-rinse have better laboratory and clinical performance than the two-step adhesives; in the case of the former, the application of the hydrophilic monomer is performed separately before the application of the hydrophobic monomer, granting optimal resin infiltration and mechanical interlocking.

Peris et al. evaluated the µTBS of a dentin interface bonded with fluoride-containing and fluoride-free adhesives and subjected (or not) to pH cycling. They observed that pH cycling reduced dentin bond strength of all adhesives. The authors attribute the decreased µTBS values to the resulting demineralization occurring around the restorations to weaken the bonding, regardless of the presence of fluoride within the adhesives. In the current study, all groups were subjected to pH cycling, which caused demineralization to occur around the bonded interface, and the bleaching treatment of the enamel interface, which was performed simultaneously with the pH cycling, was able to decrease bond strength to enamel, regardless of the presence of F in the bleaching agents. Possibly, if the amounts of F released from the bleaching agents were sufficient to remineralize the enamel, then the bond strengths exhibited by groups treated with bleaching gels containing F (FL + CPF and SP + CPF) would be similar to the bond strengths of the non-bleached groups (FL and SP). These results should, however, be confirmed with an in situ evaluation to corroborate the findings of this preliminary in vitro report.

Our first null hypothesis tested was accepted as, under caries challenge, (1) no differences were observed in the enamel bond strength after treatment with the bleaching agents, either with or without F. The second hypothesis was rejected because (2) differences were observed between the three- and two-step fluoride-containing adhesive systems.

**Conclusion**

Bleaching treatment decreased the enamel bond strengths of existing adhesive restorations, regardless of the addition of fluoride to these agents. In addition, the three-step adhesive displayed higher bond strength values than the simplified two-step etch-and-rinse adhesive.
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