ABSTRACT
Genetic diversity has an important role in the stability of coral populations in coping with disturbances. In the last three bleaching events, the coral *Echinopora lamellosa* survived better in the eastern- than the western- Lombok waters that are not related to algal symbiont diversity. The present study aimed to assess the genetic diversity of *E. lamellosa* from the two locations in the Lombok waters. The ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 (whole ITS region) marker was used to identify and to determine the genetic structure, genetic variation, and demographic pattern of *E. lamellosa*. The results showed that *E. lamellosa* of the two locations are two different populations. The haplotype diversity was very high indicating a predominance of sexual reproduction mode for both eastern and western populations. The phylogenetic topology suggests there is possible connectivity between populations, whereas the haplotype network exhibits a restricted gene flow between the two populations. The results suggest that the present *E. lamellosa* populations were from both surviving colonies and new recruitment of long-distance larvae. Both populations likely share the same larvae supply brought from source-reefs in the Flores Sea or Makassar Strait by the Indonesian Throughflow. The present and previous studies revealed that genetic diversity alone yet to explain the resistance of *E. lamellosa* in eastern and western Lombok waters.
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INTRODUCTION
Genetic diversity has been recognized as one of the most important factors for any community in coping with disturbance. So far, studies on coral population genetic related to coral bleaching mostly focused on the diversity of coral's symbionts (Swain et al. 2021). Many studies showed that symbionts play an active role in determining the resistance of corals during bleaching events (e.g. Wall et al. 2020), however, the link between the resistant symbiont and the coral genetic diversity is obscure.

Genetic diversity is responsible for differences in the physiological responses of a coral population to environmental changes (Cooke et al. 2020). A coral population with a more diverse genotype will have more
chances to survive from coral bleaching (Morikawa & Palumbi 2019). Coral genetic diversity may support coral survivability from bleaching, by determining coral’s capability in sorting the right symbiont (Peixoto et al. 2017), production of photopigment (Zamani et al. 2019; Wall et al. 2020), or production of heat shock protein (Fuller et al. 2020). Biological legacies that survive in the population after disturbance will secure population recovery from both sexual and asexual reproductions. Surviving corals can increase their chances by shifting symbiont to cope with the next bleaching event, a mechanism commonly known as the adaptive bleaching hypothesis (Buddemeier & Fautin 1993).

Coral bleaching is one of the most threatening disturbances for coral reefs in the Anthropocene Epoch. During the last four decades, there have been four major bleaching events (severe bleaching), that severely caused global coral mortality in 1983, 1998, 2010, and 2016 (Brown & Suharsono 1990; Hughes et al. 2018). The bleaching events occurred at increasingly shorter intervals, leaving an insufficient time for corals to recover. The shorter time interval between each bleaching phenomenon potentially causes successive effects that may lead to an accelerated mass extinction of marine life.

In the Lombok waters, coral communities experienced at least three major bleaching episodes, in 1998, 2010, and 2016 (Bachtiar & Hadi 2019). During the three bleaching events, coral communities in the eastern population always survive, while the western population experienced severe mass coral mortality in many genera (Bachtiar 2001a; Bachtiar & Hadi 2019). The differential bleaching impacts between coral communities in western and eastern Lombok waters yet to be explained solely from the symbiont diversity. The coral *E. lamellosa* in the eastern population host multiple clades B and C, whereas those in the western population host only clade C (Bachtiar et al. 2019). Neither B nor C clades are the thermally tolerant symbiont. Surviving corals of *E. lamellosa* in both western and eastern populations provide opportunities to test a hypothesis that there is an association between coral genetic diversity and its bleaching resistance. If resistance to coral bleaching is associated with coral genetic diversity, coral populations of eastern Lombok waters should have more diverse DNA than the western population. The present work is the first study on the coral genetic population of *E. lamellosa* in Indonesian waters.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Sample collection**

Colony samples of the coral *Echinopora lamellosa* were collected from a key of Gili Petagan in the north-west of Alas Strait (eastern Lombok waters, 8°26’1” S and 116°44’33” E) and a key of Gili Anyaran in the south-east of Lombok Strait (western Lombok waters, 8°43’16” S and 115°54’50” E), as shown in Figure 1. Samples of *E. lamellosa* fragments at sizes of 2-3 cm² were collected in a SCUBA diving at 5-7 meters depth. To prevent the possibility of sampling clones, only colonies of *E. lamellosa* with a distance of at least 3 m apart were sampled. Samples were then preserved in 95% ethanol and stored at room temperature. The samples collected from the northwest Alas Strait are referred to as 'eastern populations' labeled with the 'GPE', while samples originated from Sekotong Bay (Lombok Strait) are referred to as 'western populations' labeled with 'SEL'.

**DNA extraction**

The genomic DNA was isolated from pulverized and sterilized *E. lamellosa* samples by using the DNeasyTM Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen®) as per
manufacturer instruction (Qiagen® manufacturer protocol for extracting DNA of tissue and blood) with 12 hours pre-incubation. The DNA of coral was separated from the DNA of the symbiont through multilevel centrifugation steps as described in Kenkel & Bay (2018). A check for the quality of the extracted DNA was performed on a 1% agarose gel. Re-extraction of DNA was carried out on samples with low DNA quality, which is indicated by a faded band, or no band pattern appears on the agarose gel.

This study utilizes the whole ITS region (ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 region) of *E. lamellosa* rDNA to be amplified as a marker. A previous study conducted by Wijayanti et al. (2018) using a mtCOI marker on *Acropora hyacinthus* populations resulted in accurate genetic identification, but less sensitivity to build a phylogenetic tree. A single copy of ITS (Internal Transcribed Spacer) region has been extensively used for population genetic and phylogenetic studies of corals and symbionts (see Takabayashi et al. 2003; Terrana et al. 2021). It has been reported that the rDNA ITS region has potentially become an accurate and sensitive marker to reveal the genetic diversity in the coral population, even with a small sample size (Afiq-Rosli et al. 2019).

Amplification of the whole ITS region was carried out using forward primer ITS1F (5’-CTTGTTTCATTTAGAGGAAAGTAA-3’) and reverse primer ITS4 (5’TCTTCGCTTAATGATGATGC-3’) (Gardes & Bruns 1993) by Integrated DNA Technology™. The reaction mixture contained 2 µL of each primer, 12.5 µL of Taq DNA Polymerase (MyTaq™ HS Red Mix, Bioline®), 9 µLof ddH2O (SuperPure nuclease-free water, BioScience®), and 2 µL of DNA template. The amplification was processed in the peqSTART Thermal Cycler (PEQLAB®) with the program set as follows: 1 cycle at 95°C (2 min); 35 cycles at 94°C (45 s), 47°C (30 s), and 72°C (1 min). The amplicon quality was checked through electrophoresis on a 1% agarose gel and then visualized under a transilluminator. The high-quality amplicon appears as a clear and thick band. Only high-quality amplicons were sent for further sequencing to the 1st Base-Asia, Malaysia (http://www.base-asia.com).
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**Figure 1.** Sampling locations (SEL and GPE) of the study. The distance between the two locations is approximately 97 km.
### Data analysis

All sequences were analyzed further to confirm species identification of the coral samples genetically using BLAST (Basic Local Alignment Search Tool) features on NCBI (http://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov). The chromatograph of each sequence was checked to verify and determine the base calling. ClustalW was used for sequence alignment. Sequences alignment and trimming were carried out in MEGA V.06 to generate a pairwise distance matrix and specific "fasta" formatted file (.fas) for downstream analysis. Molecular genetic diversity indices such as haplotype diversity and polymorphic site were obtained using DNAsp V5.10 (Librado & Rozas 2009), with defaulted exclude gap or missing data sets. The population genetic diversity value range from 0 to 1. Measurement of genetic differentiation was carried out using ARLEQUIN V3.5 (Excoffier & Lischer 2010) with AMOVA (Analysis of Molecular Variance) test, as well as the genetic difference between the two populations (Fst and P-value). The significance covariance components were tested with 10,000 permutations.

The phylogenetic tree, genetic distance matrix, and population genetic network were constructed to determine the connectivity and relationship between samples. The Neighbor-joining tree (NJ) was generated using MEGA V6.0 (Tamura et al. 2013) with Kimura 2-parameter and a bootstrap value of 1000× replication. The genetic pairwise distance was visualized in a similarity matrix using HeatMapper (https://heatmapper.ca) based on the Euclidean distance method. The POPart V1.7 (Leigh & Bryant 2015) was used to implement automatically the unrooted minimum spanning network (MSN), while Network V1.0 was used for haplotype network analysis using the median-joining method (Bandelt et al. 1999).

### RESULTS

#### Sequence identification and genetic structure

A total of 30 samples of E. lamellosa were successfully sequenced from both eastern- and western- populations with the length of sequences ranging from 701 to 728 bp. All sequences were genetically identified as E. lamellosa using BLAST features. The query cover value for all sequences is above 90% indicating all the nucleotides sequence in the samples is very similar to the GenBank data. The value of identification percentage for all sequences ranging from 88.87% to 98.68%. The wide range of percentages may indicate a high genetic variation and the possible presence of unique sequences within populations that have not been registered in GenBank.

The topology of the Neighbor-Joining (NJ) tree divides the samples into 3 (three) clades (Figure 2). The NJ tree also shows a pattern of shared clades between the eastern and western groups. This indicated that there is close genetic connectivity between the two groups. Although both sequencing of GPE 33 and GPE 35 have the lowest identification percentage (88.87% and 88.81%, respectively), they still have very high query cover (96% and 95%, respectively). It suggests that these colonies were genetically unique. The phylogenetic tree reconstruction suggests to outgroup the GPE33 as the Clade C, while GPE35 remains within the Clade A (Figure 2). The phylogenetic tree was constructed based on NJ methods, including the downloaded GenBank sequence of Seriatopora hystrix was put as an outgroup. The use of the whole ITS region is feasible and very sensitive in representing small differences and mutations in E. lamellosa population. The ITS region also provides more robust data than any other genetic markers for coral, such as the mtCOI markers (Wijayanti et al. 2018). The Euclidean distance matrix also supports that colonies GPE 33 and GPE 35 are very distinct from other samples in both western and eastern groups (Figure 3).
The heatmap distance matrix shows that there is a constrained flux of genes among the two populations. The genetic distance was lower than 0.144 within groups but moderate to high between groups (>0.144 to 0.288) (Figure 3). However, there is an exception for both GPE 33 and GPE 35, which exhibits a very high difference value that close to 0.5759 against all colonies.

**Genetic variation and demographic pattern**

Both the eastern and western groups were in the same high haplotype diversity category. The eastern group had a slightly higher haplotype diversity value (0.9804; n=18) than the western group (0.9394; n=12). The trimming and cutting were carried out for all data set, resulting in the similar-sized 581 bp segment of the 5' end of the obtained sequence from all individual samples. The comparison of those similar-sized sequences revealed 193 polymorphic sites. These polymorphic sites defined 23 haplotypes and 2 (two) of those haplotypes were shared between the eastern and western groups. A total of 19 haplotypes (63.33% of the total sample) were unique and each consisted of only a single sample. The haplotype diversity for the
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**Figure 2.** The phylogenetic tree represents the genetic structure of *E. lamellosa* from Lombok waters. This phylogenetic tree is detailed by a typology tree (inserted picture), showing 3 clades within the 2 geographic regions. Reconstruction of the phylogenetic tree only shows an indicative of coral clade variation based on ITS region, rather than a definitive means due to a lack of study on this species.
entire *E. lamellosa* of Lombok waters (0.9770) were classified as very high diversity.

The network pattern analysis shows an indication of demographic expansion forming a large coral population. Both major clades (A and B) comprise both eastern and western colonies. This may suggest no obvious geographical differences in the distribution of haplotypes. Clade A consists of 13 haplotypes, clade B consists of 9 (nine) haplotypes, and clade C comprises only a single haplotype belong to the eastern population. This population genetic structure is also supported by the minimum spanning network, which indicates a very close relationship among the three clades (Figure 4A). Minimum spanning network shows that the GPE02, which belongs to clade A and the eastern group, formed the center of a star-like network. Haplotype 1, which belongs to the eastern group, was put at the center of the haplotype network (Figure 4B). It suggests that the *E. lamellosa* from Lombok waters had experienced a demographic expansion. All network analysis confirms a possibility of genetic connectivity among all clades and haplotypes.

Despite the close genetic connectivity among all clades and haplotypes, AMOVA revealed a significant difference in population genetic between the two locations (Table 1). Genetic variation among groups was 7.76%, but variation within a group was very high, reach up to 92.33%. The fixation index (Fst) was 0.07667, which is classified in a low category (see Excoffier et al. 1992) and indicates a weak genetic relationship between the two populations.
DISCUSSION
The present study revealed that the coral *E. lamellosa* in the western and eastern of Lombok waters are two different populations. This indicates that the geographical features separating the western and eastern waters of Lombok Island are a sufficient key barrier to their gene flow. This result supports a previous study by Nakajima et al. (2010), that corals within very close proximity could have small but significant genetic differences. Several studies suggest that in some cases, the geographical distance may not be correlated with the degree of genetic variation or divergence (Miller & Ayre 2004; Magalon et al. 2005). Oceanographic conditions and coral reproduction type seem to be more crucial in determining the genetic diversity and connectivity (Hemond & Vollmer 2010; Richards & van Oppen 2012; Peluso et al. 2018), rather than geographical distance and spatial factors.

Both western and eastern populations of *E. lamellosa* have high haplotype diversity. Although there is no haplotype diversity data on the pre-bleaching population, such high haplotype diversity indicates that there are no selection processes that recently worked in both western and eastern coral populations. The present population of *E. lamellosa* is a mixture of old colonies and post-bleaching re-colonization. The coral *E. lamellosa* was

### Table 1. The AMOVA table revealed two different populations of the coral *E. lamellosa*.

| Source of Variation | d.f. | Sum of Squares | Variation Components | Variation Percentage |
|---------------------|------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| Among group         | 1    | 35.644         | 1.34794 Va           | 7.67%               |
| Within-group        | 28   | 454.556        | 16.23413 Vb          | 92.33%              |
| Total               | 29   | 490.200        | 17.58207             | 100%                |

Fst 0.07667

P-value 0.04985±0.00696
recorded as one of the surviving species in the western population during the 2016 bleaching (Bachtiar et al. 2016). In the eastern population, coral bleaching in 2016 did not leave any noticeable impact when authors (IB and MIAG) dived to collect samples in 2018.

The present study also revealed that both western and eastern populations shared clades indicating the existence of gene flow between the two populations. Gene flow plays a major portion in determining the genetic distance of both intra- and inter-population. The Heatmap distance matrix shows that there is a restricted flux of genes between the two populations. Following genetic distance data, the AMOVA suggested a weak genetic relationship between the two populations. The genetic relationship between the two populations likely only occurs in the source reefs that supply planula larvae to both populations. The oceanographic condition of the two populations, which only have surface current from the Indonesian Throughflow (ITF), suggests that there are no source-sink interactions between the two populations. All ITF flow southward all year long in both the Lombok Strait (western population) and the Alas Strait (eastern population) (Sprintall et al. 2009).

Both coral populations are likely to be the sink of coral planula larvae drifted by the ITF from northern reefs in the Flores Sea or Makassar Strait. Previous studies suggest that the ITF contributed as the main transporter for larval dispersal across the coral triangle area. Bachtiar (2001b) reported similarity in spawning times between acroporid corals in Lombok Strait and Dampier Archipelago of Western Australia (Babeck et al. 1994) and hypothesized genetic connectivity from larval flow carried away in the ITF. More recent studies supported the hypothesis that acroporid corals in the Spermonde Islands (Makassar Strait) and Bolinao-Andara reef of the Western Philippines spawn at the same months as corals in the Lombok Strait (Yusuf et al. 2013; Gomez et al. 2018). Coral spawning in the Dampier Archipelago suggested that spawning synchrony is inherited from its ancestor in the tropical north (Babeck et al. 1994). Monismith et al. (2018) revealed that long-competence coral larvae may travel up to 1700 km in 100 days. Looking at the potential larval flow from northern reef sources, the recovery of both eastern and western groups was probably depending on the recolonization of larvae brought on by the ITF from common larval sources. This should explain why there were some common haplotypes (haplogroup) and clade variation shared between the two populations, with an expanded demographic trend. The post-settled corals then develop locally, resulting in restricted gene flow and differentiated populations geographically with the low genetic relationship among them.

The present study suggests that the two coral populations are mainly established from larval recolonization instead of the fragmentation of the surviving corals. The high genetic diversity values indicate the absence of the bottleneck effect. Such cases have been reported in the coral population of Seriatopora hystrix in the Flinders Reef (Noreen et al. 2009) and Porites lutea in the South China Sea (Huang et al. 2018). Both populations of E. lamellosa show higher genetic diversity values than other coral populations from Sulawesi waters and the eastern Lesser Sunda Islands (Jompa et al. 2020; Rosser et al. 2020). Several studies suggest that recolonization from long-distance source reefs contributes to relatively greater genetic diversity value in a recently established coral population (Starger et al. 2010; Aurelle et al. 2020; Smallhorn-West et al. 2020). The long-distance dispersal in corals can only be achieved by sexual reproduction with broadcast spawning mode.

Sexual reproduction improves genetic variation in a population through gene recombination between participating gametes. E. lamellosa is a hermaphrodite broadcast spawner (Petersen et al. 2007), but it has also a high
potential to have asexual reproduction through clonal fragmentation. The coral *E. lamellosa* has a thin plate-form colony that can easily break off by physical forces from wave, anchors, or divers. It was expected therefore that many colonies of the population possibly generated from clonal fragmentation. This study revealed a very high overall haplotype diversity score that indicates a sexual reproduction mode was predominant in the recruitment mechanism (Boulay et al. 2012; Schweinsberg et al. 2017). It is also found that *E. lamellosa* from western and eastern populations were mostly consisting of unique genotypes with no clone identified in our dataset, which supports the prevalence contribution of sexual reproduction mode for both populations.

The present study rejected the hypothesis stated in the introduction that there is an association between coral genetic diversity and its bleaching resistance. Coral genetic diversity cannot explain the resistance of the coral *E. lamellosa* in Lombok waters. The haplotype diversity between the two populations is only slightly different (0.9804 versus 0.9394). It is not very convincing therefore that such a small difference solely results in the ecological difference in coping with thermal stress. In a previous study, the algal symbiont of the two populations of *E. lamellosa* neither provide any explanation about the coral resistance to bleaching (Bachtar et al. 2019), although algal symbiont has been reported by many authors to affect coral resistance to bleaching (Wall et al. 2020). Bachtar et al. (2019) found that the algal symbiont of the corals in the eastern population consists of clade B and C, while the western population only has clade C symbiont. Both clades B and C symbionts are non-resistant to bleaching. Loram et al. (2007) reported that the clade B symbiont only provides minor physiological benefits that may aid in their host survival. Neither diversity of coral nor its symbiont has an association with the resistance of *E. lamellosa* to coral bleaching. Likely, the survival of coral *E. lamellosa* in Lombok may not depend on the existence of adaptive symbionts but rather depends on the other environmental factors.

The environmental setting may explain the resistance of *E. lamellosa* in the eastern population, but not in the western population. Both populations have different environmental complexities with the eastern population have an advantage, that likely serves as coral refugia. Gili Petagan in Eastern Lombok waters is a key entirely covered by mangroves and surrounded by two other mangrove-covered keys, Gili Sulat and Gili Lawang. High survivability of corals are often found within or close to coastal vegetation, such as mangrove forest or vast seagrass bed (Camp et al. 2016; Lord et al. 2020). Mangrove vegetation not only exports litter to its adjacent ecosystems but also disperse dissolved tannin (López-Portillo et al. 2017; Stewart et al. 2021). The dissolved tannin and other chromophoric dissolved organic matter (CDOM) derived from marine plants may protect corals under the stress of water temperature from excessive ultraviolet radiation (UV-R) exposure and act as a pH buffer during the bleaching (Kellogg et al. 2020). Such a mechanism may provide coral refugia that increase coral survival from bleaching. In contrast, coral in the Gili Anyaran of the western population is about 7 km away from the mangrove area, and 5 km from seagrass beds.

The resistance to bleaching of the coral *E. lamellosa* is likely related to its holobiont and trophic strategy. Coral *E. lamellosa* has been reported as moderately susceptible to bleaching, in the Great Barrier Reefs (Marshall & Baird 2000). Recent studies are accumulating to see the importance of the coral holobiont to the physiology of the coral, including its thermal stress tolerance (Boilard et al. 2020). Holobiont is a consortium of coral, algal symbiont, and microbiota. The microbiota is composed of prokaryotes, eukaryotes, and viruses. Coral susceptibility to bleaching is dependent on the robustness of the complex mutual relationship within the coral holobiont.
Coral trophic strategy may also explain the resistance of *E. lamellosa*. Corals may be autotrophic, heterotrophic, or mixotrophic. Conti-Jerpe et al. (2020) showed that autotrophic corals are more vulnerable to bleaching than heterotrophic corals. So far, there is no information about the trophic strategy of the coral *E. lamellosa*. Future studies should address the trophic strategy to obtain a more practical assessment method to determine the resistance of coral taxa to bleaching.

**CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, neither coral- nor symbiont- genetic diversity may explain the resistance of the coral *E. lamellosa* to bleaching. The genetic of *E. lamellosa* showed high diversity at either western or eastern Lombok waters. Population genetic connectivity between them may occur at the larval source. The two populations both survived well from the 2016 coral bleaching, regardless they have B and C clades symbiont. Both populations likely achieve their resistance to bleaching by adopting a robust holobiont or suitable trophic strategy.
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