Effects of acute tryptophan depletion on executive function in healthy male volunteers
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Abstract

Background: Neurocognitive impairment is frequently described in a number of psychiatric disorders and may be a direct consequence of serotonergic dysfunction. As impairments in executive functions are some of the most frequently described, the purpose of this study was to examine the performance of normal volunteers on a range of executive tasks following a transient reduction of central serotonin (5-HT) levels using the method of acute tryptophan depletion (ATD).

Methods: Fifteen healthy male subjects participated in a within-subject, double-blind, counterbalanced crossover study. ATD was induced by ingestion of a 100 g amino-acid drink. Executive function was evaluated using the Wisconsin Card Sorting Test, Stroop, Verbal Fluency and Trail Making. Visual analogue scales were administered to assess mood.

Results: Plasma free and total tryptophan concentrations were significantly reduced by the depleting drink \((P < 0.001)\). ATD selectively improved motor speed/attention on the Trails A test \((P = 0.027)\), with no effect on subjective ratings of mood. Interaction effects between drink and the order of drink administration were observed on most neurocognitive tests.

Conclusions: The improvement in simple motor speed/attention following ATD is in keeping with the ascribed role of 5-HT in the cortex, however performance on tests of executive function is not robustly altered. The presence of interaction effects on most tasks suggests that subtle changes may occur but are masked, possibly by simple learning effects, in the context of a crossover design. This has implications for the design of future studies, particularly those examining executive functions.

Background

Serotonin (5-hydroxytryptamine; 5-HT) systems are widely distributed throughout the central nervous system. The existence of specific pathways projecting from the raphé nuclei to the forebrain and the density of 5-HT receptors in these and other areas, such as the
hippocampus, amygdala and cortex, supports the growing body of evidence implicating 5-HT in the processes of learning and memory [1,2].

Interest has focused on the serotonergic system not only because of its assigned role in normal neurocognitive functioning, but because of the implication that 5-HT systems may be compromised in many psychiatric disorders [3] including, schizophrenia [4], bipolar disorder [5] and major depression [6]. It has been established that neurocognitive impairment is a core feature of these disorders [7–9] and this may, in part, be a consequence of serotonergic dysfunction.

While the pattern and magnitude of impairment in these disorders is diverse and dependent upon many factors, including clinical state at the time of testing, previous studies have frequently reported impairments in executive functioning [10–12]. Executive functions are ‘higher-order’ cognitive processes involved in planning, judgement, decision-making, anticipation or reasoning, and are responsible for the control of attention, inhibition, set-shifting and task management [13]. The neuroanatomical locus of these processes is considered to be the frontal lobes, specifically the prefrontal cortex, which over time, has become synonymous with executive functioning itself. However, it is now understood that such processes activate diverse neural circuitry forming reciprocal frontal-subcortical loops [14] and therefore that executive functions are linked to, but not coterminous with, the operation of the frontal lobes [15,16]. Nevertheless, the known innervation of 5-HT pathways to these sites suggests that executive functions may be dependent upon the integrity of the serotonergic system.

The precise role of the serotonergic system in cognition is complex. Recent studies have suggested that a previously proposed dichotomy – that stimulation of the 5-HT system impairs learning and memory, whereas a reduction in serotonergic function may enhance these processes – requires reformulation as 5-HT1A, 5-HT2A, 5-HT2C/2B and 5-HT4 receptor antagonists do not consistently alter learning and memory in animals [17]. However, it is not clear how reliably this can be translated to humans. Impairments in spatial working memory have been demonstrated following administration of the 5-HT agonist, fenfluramine [18], and in list-learning following administration of the partial 5-HT1A receptor agonist, buspirone, accompanied by changes in regional cerebral blood flow [19]. Clomipramine, a non-selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor, has been shown to impair memory in patients with depression [20] and panic disorder [21], however this may be attributable to anti-cholinergic effects. In some studies, single doses of SSRIs have been shown to improve performance on choice reaction time tasks in normal volunteers [22–24], although some of these effects may occur though indirect actions involving other neurotransmitter systems [25]. Alternatively, acute administration of an SSRI through activation of pre-synaptic 5-HT1A receptors may result in a net reduction in serotonergic neurotransmission.

An alternative means of examining serotonergic involvement in cognition is by selectively decreasing brain 5-HT levels by the method of tryptophan depletion [26]. Acute tryptophan depletion (ATD) using an amino acid drink leads to around an 80% depletion of plasma total and free tryptophan (TRP), resulting in a moderate but significant reduction of central 5-HT metabolism [27]. We have previously found impairments in executive function in schizophrenia following ATD. Specifically, on the Wisconsin Card Sorting Test, ATD led to a significant reduction in the number of categories completed but only when the task is novel i.e. on the first visit. Tests of learning and memory were unaffected [28].

In healthy volunteers the effects of ATD on executive functions are not consistent. Park et al [29] found no evidence directly implicating the 5-HT system in ‘frontal lobe’ functions. Schmitt and colleagues have suggested that long-term memory is impaired following ATD, while some executive functions may be enhanced, such as verbal fluency and focused attention [30]. ATD has been reported to alter decision making in executive tasks, but this may be the result of changes in impulsivity rather than an effect on planning per se [31,32]. Other studies have failed to find effects of ATD on any aspect of neuropsychological function [33,34].

In the present study we sought to focus on the effects of ATD on the WCST and a range of other tests of executive function. Changes in subjective mood were also assessed. As insufficient depletion may have been a contributory factor in the lack of effects seen in our previous study [34] we employed a more potent 100 g amino-acid drink.

**Methods**

**Subjects**

All subjects were recruited as part of a larger study examining the effects of ATD on EEG and neurocognitive function. The results of the EEG component of the study are reported elsewhere [35]. Fifteen healthy male volunteers, aged between 18 and 25 years (mean = 22.4, SD = 1.9 years) with a NART estimated IQ (National Adult Reading Test; [36]) of between 90 and 118 (mean = 109, SD = 7.9) and 14 to 19 years of education (mean = 16.7, SD = 1.8 years) participated in the study. All subjects were paid for their participation. After full description of the study, written informed consent was obtained. The study received full approval from the local ethics committee.
**Experimental Design**
A within subject, double-blind, cross-over study was used. Subjects attended the research unit at 0830 h following an overnight fast and underwent baseline assessments. A 100 g amino acid drink was then administered. Subjects received either the depleting or the control drink. Blood samples for free and total TRP were taken at baseline and 5 hours after ATD or control drink. Between 6 and 7 hours after consumption of the amino-acid drink, neuropsychological testing was carried out. After a minimum two-week washout period, subjects returned for repeat testing with the alternative drink. Nine subjects received the depleting drink on their first visit, and 6 the control drink.

**Drink Composition**
Both drinks were of identical composition, with the exception of the addition of 2.3 g of L-tryptophan to the control drink. A 100 g amino acid drink was used [28,37], the constituents being L-alanine 5.5 g, L-arginine 4.9 g, L-cysteine 2.7 g, L-glycine 3.2 g, L-histidine 3.2 g, L-isoleucine 8 g, L-leucine 13.5 g, L-lysine monohydrochloride 11 g, L-methionine 3 g, L-phenylalanine 5.7 g, L-proline 12.2 g, L-serine 6.9 g, L-threonine 6.5 g, L-tyrosine 6.9 g, L-valine 8.9 g. This was mixed in 300 ml water, flavoured with blackcurrant and sweetened with saccharin.

**Biochemical Measures**
Ten millilitres venous blood was taken on two separate occasions during each experimental session. The blood was added to anticoagulant and the plasma was immediately separated by centrifugation. A sample for free tryptophan was further centrifuged using an ultra-filtrate tube. All samples were stored at -20°C until assay. Plasma total and free tryptophan was determined by High Pressure Liquid Chromatography by the method of Marshall et al [38].

**Subjective Mood Ratings**
Subjective rating of mood was assessed using visual analogue scales (VAS). The 16 scales used in the present study condense into 3 factors: ‘Alertness’, ‘Contentedness’ and ‘Calmness’, which have been shown to be sensitive in the measurement of drug effects [39].

**Neurocognitive assessment**
*The Wisconsin Card Sorting Test (WCST)* (PAR Inc [40])
This computerised test requires the subject to sort a number of cards displaying either one, two, three or four; red, green, yellow or blue; triangles, stars, crosses or circles according to either number, colour, or shape of the symbols on the card. The subject is not told which parameter to sort by. After ten correct responses in a row, the sorting principle changes. Thus, while the cards must be sorted according to colour at the start of the test, the sorting principle changes to ‘shape’, then to ‘number’ as the test progresses. The test lasts until six blocks of ten correct matches have been made or until a maximum of 128 cards have been presented.

*Verbal Fluency (Benton’s FAS; [41])*
This is a test of verbal fluency which is sensitive to frontal dysfunction [42]. There are 3 trials, each lasting 60 seconds, in which subjects are required to list as many words as possible, beginning with the given letters – ‘F’, ‘A’ and ‘S’ – excluding proper nouns or repetitions of the same word with a different suffix. Performance is assessed by the sum of acceptable words produced across the 3 trials.

*Stroop Colour-Word Test [43]*
This selective attention task is comprised of two trials. Trial “C” requires subjects to read aloud a list of 112 colour names in which no name is printed in its matching colour. In the subsequent “C-W” trial, subjects are required to name the colour of ink in which the colour names are printed. The colour-word score is considered a measure of inhibitory control. Time to complete each trial is recorded, and an interference index by subtracting trial C from CW.

*Trail-Making Test [44]*
This test of visuo-motor speed and attention requires subjects to join numbers in ascending order (part A), and then alternate between letters and numbers (part B). Scoring was the time (seconds) to complete each condition, and a shift index derived by subtracting the time taken to complete part A from part B.

**Statistical Analysis**
All descriptive statistics are presented as mean and standard deviation (SD). Changes in TRP levels were analysed using paired t-tests. All mood scales and neurocognitive tests were subjected to a mixed effects analysis of variance (ANOVA) with ‘drink’ (ATD or control) as a within-subjects factor and ‘order’ of depletion (ATD first or control first) as a between subjects factor. Where the assumptions of the ANOVA were violated, data were subjected to logarithmic (base 10) transformations (i.e. verbal fluency). *Post hoc* analyses were carried out by t-test. The WCST could not be transformed satisfactorily to achieve normality, therefore main effects and any *post hoc* analyses of significant interactions were confirmed non-parametrically. All analyses were performed using SPSS version 9 [45].

**Results**
*Tryptophan levels*
Complete sets of plasma samples were not available for three subjects. As a result data are quoted from the remaining 12 subjects. Following ATD, plasma total (mean = 85.6, SD = 3.9%) and free (mean = 84.4, SD = 5.1%) tryptophan concentrations were significantly reduced (*P* < 0.001). Conversely, the control drink
increased total (mean = 64.7, SD = 51.8%) and free (mean = 71.3, SD = 57.9%) tryptophan concentrations (P = 0.001). These findings are in line with previous studies using a similar amino acid mixture [26].

**Neurocognitive and mood measures**

All results are presented in table 1.

There were no main effects of drink, order or interactions on any of the VAS sub-scales. In the case of the neurocognitive tests, the only main effect of drink was found on the Trails A test which was completed significantly faster following ATD. Main effects of order were present for verbal fluency and the Trails test shift-index, with the group receiving ATD first performing significantly better on both measures.

**Interaction effects**

Significant drink by order interactions were found on several measures: WCST, perseverative errors, non-per perseverative errors and conceptual level responses; Stroop, colour-word latency and interference index; Trails A, latency (see Table 1).

On the WCST, *post hoc* analyses revealed that in the group who were depleted on the second visit, significantly fewer perseverative (z = -2.060, P = 0.039) and non-per perseverative (z = -2.023, P = 0.043) errors were committed, and greater conceptual level response attained (z = -2.032, P = 0.042) following ATD. The opposite pattern emerged in subjects depleted on their first visit, with non-per perseverative errors being significantly lower following the control drink (z = -2.240, P = 0.025). Perseverative errors were also lower (z = -1.719, P = 0.086) and conceptual level responses higher (z = -1.334, P = 0.182) although these results did not reach statistical significance (see figure 1).

A similar overall pattern emerged in the interactions from the Stroop test. In subjects who were depleted on the second visit, latencies were significantly reduced for the 'CW' component (t = -2.712, df = 5, P = 0.042) and the interference index (t = -2.607, df = 5, P = 0.048) following ATD. In subjects who were depleted on the first visit, the 'CW' latency was significantly lower following the control drink (t = -2.333, df = 8, P = 0.048) although the difference in the interference index did not reach significance (t = -1.809, df = 8, P = 0.108) (See figure 2).

For the Trails A interaction, subjects who were depleted on their second visit completed the test significantly faster following ATD (t = -3.728, df = 5, P = 0.014), however there was no difference in performance between drinks in

### Table 1: Descriptives and ANOVA results for all measures

|                        | ATD Mean (SD) | Placebo Mean (SD) | Drink ANOVA results † | Order ANOVA results | Drink × Order ANOVA results |
|------------------------|--------------|-------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|
| **WCST**               |              |                   |                       |                     |                            |
| Categories completed   | 5.5 (1.4)    | 5.7 (0.8)         | F = 0.019, P = 0.893  | F = 0.019, P = 0.893 | F = 3.161, P = 0.099       |
| Trails to complete 1st category | 12.0 (4.9)   | 13.6 (4.6)       | F = 0.996, P = 0.336  | F = 0.002, P = 0.966 | F = 0.183, P = 0.676       |
| Perseverative errors (%) | 9.2 (4.4)    | 9.1 (3.2)        | F = 0.112, P = 0.744  | F = 1.457, P = 0.249 | F = 4.712, P = 0.049 *     |
| Non-perseverative errors (%) | 9.8 (7.3)    | 8.3 (4.4)        | F = 0.012, P = 0.887  | F = 0.549, P = 0.472 | F = 12.531, P = 0.004 *** |
| Conceptual level responses (%) | 76.8 (16.1)  | 79.5 (9.7)      | F = 0.004, P = 0.949  | F = 0.902, P = 0.359 | F = 7.947, P = 0.014 *     |
| **Verbal fluency**     |              |                   |                       |                     |                            |
| Correct                | 43.2 (9.2)   | 44.2 (9.4)       | F = 0.166, P = 0.690  | F = 6.098, P = 0.028 * | F = 0.432, P = 0.522       |
| **Stroop**             |              |                   |                       |                     |                            |
| C (latency; seconds)   | 54.1 (5.5)   | 54.1 (8.9)       | F = 0.006, P = 0.940  | F = 0.007, P = 0.934 | F = 0.149, P = 0.706       |
| CW (latency; seconds)  | 114.0 (16.7) | 112.7 (14.8)     | F = 0.049, P = 0.828  | F = 0.079, P = 0.783 | F = 11.560, P = 0.005 ***  |
| Interference (CW – C)  | 59.9 (16.9)  | 58.7 (15.3)      | F = 0.022, P = 0.883  | F = 0.056, P = 0.816 | F = 7.615, P = 0.016 *     |
| **Trail Making**       |              |                   |                       |                     |                            |
| Trails A (seconds)     | 23.3 (5.0)   | 25.3 (6.5)       | F = 6.232, P = 0.027 * | F = 0.021, P = 0.886 | F = 8.680, P = 0.011 * *** |
| Trails B (seconds)     | 49.9 (10.4)  | 48.4 (9.4)       | F = 0.109, P = 0.746  | F = 3.272, P = 0.094 | F = 1.999, P = 0.181       |
| Shift index (Trails B – A) | 26.7 (11.0) | 23.1 (7.4)     | F = 1.601, P = 0.228  | F = 4.829, P = 0.047 * | F = 0.008, P = 0.928       |
| **VAS mood scale**     |              |                   |                       |                     |                            |
| Alertness              | 74.0 (14.0)  | 71.4 (16.2)      | F = 0.595, P = 0.454  | F = 0.905, P = 0.359 | F = 3.838, P = 0.072       |
| Contentedness         | 83.4 (7.5)   | 86.5 (7.8)       | F = 2.372, P = 0.147  | F = 1.922, P = 0.189 | F = 1.298, P = 0.275       |
| Calmness               | 82.8 (12.2)  | 81.6 (16.4)      | F = 0.014, P = 0.908  | F = 0.854, P = 0.372 | F = 0.570, P = 0.464       |

† degrees of freedom = 1,13 * Results confirmed non-parametrically; see main text.
subjects depleted on their first visit ($t = -0.344, df = 8, P = 0.740$) (see figure 3).

**Discussion**

In this study, the effects of ATD on executive function and subjective mood state were examined. Both free and total plasma TRP were significantly reduced by the depletion protocol, however no effect on mood was found. Of the neurocognitive tests, the only main effect of drink was found on the Trails A test, with latencies reduced by ATD compared to the control drink. Drink by order interactions were observed in outcome measures from all tests with the exception of verbal fluency.

In our previous study in healthy volunteers [34], we found no main effect of ATD on any measure of learning, memory or executive function. Inadequate depletion as a result of using a less potent but more tolerable 52 g amino-acid drink was suggested to be a possible contributory factor. A 100 g drink was used in the present study, but despite reducing tryptophan levels by around 85%, no effects were observed on any aspect of executive functioning other than an improvement in visuo-motor speed and attention (Trails A).

It has been suggested that the most accurate method of estimating centrally available tryptophan is by defining the percentage depletion as a ratio of tryptophan to other large neutral amino acids (TRP/LNAA ratio) [46]. Although LNAAs were not measured in the present study, our previous work has shown that the active 100 g amino-acid drink significantly decreases TRP/LNAA ratios, while this ratio remains unchanged following administration of the control drink, despite an increase in absolute tryptophan levels [28]. This protocol is also specific to 5-HT function. The ratio of tyrosine (the precursor of catecholamines) to other LNAAs does not change significantly with ingestion of either drink, and thereby excludes possible dopaminergic effects [28].

From the results of the present study it is clear that the most consistent finding is the presence of interaction effects in the majority of tests, although the interpretation of such effects is somewhat complex. The most parsimonious explanation is that the interaction represents a simple learning effect. As can be seen in figures 1 and 2, subjects receiving the depleting drink on visit 2 perform better when depleted, whereas those receiving the control drink on visit 2 are better following the control drink. In
other words, there is an apparent improvement in performance on the second visit irrespective of the drink administered.

Alternatively, it is possible that ‘genuine’ effects of drink may be confounded by these learning effects, when present in the context of a crossover design. For example, when analysed post hoc, all 6 outcome measures on which significant interactions were observed showed significantly improved performance following ATD when administered on the second visit. However, in the group which received the control drink on their second visit, only 2 actually reached significance. This is especially clear in the interaction on the Trails A test (figure 3). Previous studies examining the effects of ATD on executive functions have suggested that attention may be improved when depleted, through the removal of inhibitory actions of 5-HT in the cortex [30,37]. Therefore, if ATD does improve this aspect of performance, the difference would be magnified in the group depleted on their second visit where the effects of ATD and task familiarity would combine. The opposite is also true and the effect would be markedly attenuated in the group depleted on visit 1 when the task is novel, and the result is compared to the ‘learning effect’ when the control drink is administered on visit 2.

The finding of an improvement in attentional performance (trails A) following ATD in the present study is in keeping with the literature and the role of 5-HT [47]. What
is more inconsistent are the effects on other executive functions, especially in contrast to effects on learning and memory. In their study Park et al [29] used a range of tests from the CANTAB, particularly executive and visuo-spatial memory and concluded that ATD elicited a deficit in retrieval processes. However, ATD has also been shown to impair episodic memory recall in the absence of changes in EEG neural correlates of retrieval in healthy subjects [35]. This suggests that ATD may affect specific stages of information processing, namely acquisition and/or consolidation. There is some support for this hypothesis, as effects have been demonstrated in other tests of long-term memory (LTM). Schmitt et al [30] reported impaired memory consolidation following ATD, but improved focused attention. Riedel et al [48] found ATD impaired several measures of long-term memory consolidation in the absence of retrieval effects. Importantly, this effect appeared to be highly specific and did not influence short-term or working memory, perceptual, attentional, psychomotor and executive functions. This would seem to suggest that the functioning of the hippocampus – which contains a high density of 5-HT receptors – and therefore the declarative memory system, is differentially sensitive to the acute depletion of 5-HT in healthy subjects.

Executive tasks such as the WCST (or the analogous ID/ED set-shift task from the CANTAB) and the Tower of London (TOL) test of planning have been utilised in several ATD studies with mixed results. Rogers et al [31] found impairments in ID/ED reversal shifts, while Park et al [29] reported order-dependent effects on the TOL. Our earlier study failed to find effects on any of these tasks [34], although we have found subtle effects in cohorts of patients with possible vulnerabilities of the serotonergic system [28,37]. There are several possible explanations for this:

Firstly, Robbins [49] has suggested that performance on these tasks is mediated by different neurotransmitter systems. Therefore manipulations affecting central catecholamine systems specifically affect certain tasks sensitive to dorsolateral prefrontal dysfunction (i.e. TOL), whereas tasks involving reversal shifts or decision making are dependant upon the integrity of the orbitofrontal cortex and are sensitive to indolamine manipulation. However, this dichotomy is not always present as can be seen in the studies discussed previously.

A second possibility is that even in 'healthy' subjects, some individuals may be particularly sensitive to the effect of ATD through risk factors such as a positive family history (Fisher) of psychiatric disorder [For a review see, [47]]. Several studies have shown small reductions in mood following ATD in such individuals [50,51] although there are exceptions to this, particularly with respect to neurocognitive functioning where several studies have failed to find differential effects in Fh+ subjects [48] or in cohorts with a high proportion of Fh+ [33]. This is especially problematic as studies of the effects of ATD tend to involve small sample sizes.

A final possibility returns to our earlier suggestion that disruption of 5-HT levels at the hippocampus may be central to the observable effects on neurocognitive function, even executive functions. Riedel et al [47] have speculated that memory consolidation impairment is mediated by the inhibiting effects of ATD on temporal regions, especially the hippocampus, whereas the improved attentional performance is mediated by enhanced frontocortical arousal. However, as stated earlier, there are a multiplicity of processes subserved by the central executive [13] the functioning of which is linked to, but not necessarily co-terminous with, the operation of the frontal lobes [15,16]. Executive functions activate diverse neural circuitry forming reciprocal frontal-subcortical loops stemming from structures such as the basal ganglia and hippocampus, projecting forward to the frontal lobes [14]. Animal studies have confirmed that tryptophan depletion reduces 5-HT levels in frontal cortex [52], hippocampus and striatum [53] as well as total brain levels [54]. Therefore (executive) tasks which rely upon the integrity of these circuits are likely to be affected differentially by ATD depending upon the specific demands of the task and possibly the particular outcome measures derived. For example, increased frontal arousal and inhibition at posterior sites may result in increased speed of response, but with decreased accuracy, especially with complex tasks. This is also consistent with the finding that reduced serotonergic function in patients with personality disorder is associated with high impulsivity [59]. Therefore, low 5-HT levels or dysfunction at the receptor level may underpin the symptomatic profile of impulse control disorders, possibly through a loss of executive control. However, this is based on very limited evidence from the results of the present study and should be considered tentatively, but may warrant examination in future research.

Furthermore, studies have demonstrated that impaired performance on 'executive-type' tasks in Parkinson's Disease can be overcome by some individuals through a shift to processing within the declarative memory system [55]. Conversely, in patients with frontal lobe damage who perform within normal limits on executive tasks, performance can be compromised if specific test instructions are given thereby 'switching' from a relatively automatic process to one more 'supervisory' in nature [56,57]. While the disruption of a single neurotransmitter system through ATD is not directly comparable to the structural damage described above, it may be possible that some subjects are
capable of altering strategy and maintain normal levels of performance.

Conclusions
In summary, the robust nature of the amino-acid tryptophan depletion paradigm has again been demonstrated. Significant depletion of plasma TRP levels was achieved, which had no effect on mood but speeded simple reaction times on a test of attention in line with the findings of many previous studies. Methodological problems limit our understanding of the role of 5-HT in executive functions. Larger studies may allow detailed analysis of the frequently observed interaction effects, or parallel group designs may be required which are not affected by carry-over effects or task familiarity, a significant confound when utilising tests of executive function which can be dependant upon novelty [58].

Competing Interests
None declared.

Authors’ Contributions
R.H.McA.-W contributed to the design, supervision of the research, analysis of data and the writing up. P.G. contributed to the design, analysis of data and the writing up. A.E.M. contributed to the design, recruiting of subjects, the technical procedures and the writing up. A.H.Y. contributed to the design, analysis of data and the writing up.

Acknowledgements
This work was supported by the Stanley Medical Research Institute and the Medical Research Council (UK) via a Clinician Scientist Fellowship to R.H.McA.-W.

References
1. Buhot MC: Serotonin receptors in cognitive behaviors. Curr Opin Neurobiol 1997, 7:243-54.
2. Buhot MC, Martin S and Segu L: Role of serotonin in memory impairment. Ann Med 2000, 32:210-21.
3. Sandyr K: L-tryptophan in neuropsychiatric disorders: a review. Int J Neurosci 1992, 67:127-44.
4. Lee MA and Meltzer HY: 5-HT1A receptor dysfunction in female patients with schizophrenia. Biol Psychiatry 2001, 50:758-766.
5. Mahmood T and Silverstone T: Serotonin and bipolar disorder. J Affect Disord 2001, 66:1-11.
6. Arango V, Underwood MD and Mann JJ: Serotonin brain circuits involved in major depression and suicide. Prog Brain Res 2002, 136:443-53.
7. Bearden CE, Hoffman KM and Cannon TD: The neuropsychology and neuroanatomy of bipolar affective disorder: a critical review. Bipolar disorders 2001, 3:106-50.
8. Elvevag B and Goldberg TE: Cognitive impairment in schizophrenia is the core of the disorder. Crit Rev Neurobiol 2000, 14:1-21.
9. Porter RJ, Gallagher P, Thompson JM and Young AH: Neurocognitive impairment in drug-free patients with major depressive disorder. Br J Psychiatry 2003, 282:142-220.
10. Elliott R: The neuropsychological profile in unipolar depression. Trends in Cognitive Sciences 1998, 2:447-454.
11. Ferrier IN, Stanton BR, Kelly TP and Scott J: Neuropsychological function in euthymic patients with bipolar disorder. Br J Psychiatry 1999, 175:246-51.
12. Hoff AL and Kremen WS: Is there a cognitive phenotype for schizophrenia: the nature and course of the disturbance in cognition? Current Opinion in Psychiatry 2002, 15:43-48.
13. Funahashi S: Neuronal mechanisms of executive control by the prefrontal cortex. Neurosci Res 2001, 39:1-47.
14. Alexander GE, DeLong MR and Strick PL: Parallel organization of functionally segregated circuits linking basal ganglia and cortex. Annu Rev Neurosci 1986, 9:357-87.
15. Baddeley A, Della Sala S and Papagno C: Dual-task performance in dysexecutive and nondysexecutive patients with a frontal lesion. Neuropsychology 1997, 11:187-94.
16. Andres P and Van der Linden M: Are central executive functions working in patients with focal frontal lesions? Neuropsychologia 2002, 40:835-845.
17. Meneses A: 5-HT system and cognition. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 1999, 23:1111-15.
18. R.H.McA.-W.
19. de Carvalho SC, Marcorakis T, Artes R and Gorenstein C: Memory performance in panic disorder patients after chronic use of clomipramine. J Psychopharmacol 2002, 16:220-6.
20. Hasbroucq T, Rihet P, Bin O and Possamai CA: Serotonin and human information processing: fluvoxamine can improve reaction time performance. Neurosci Lett 1997, 229:204-8.
21. Nathan PJ, Sitaram G, Stough C, Silverstein RB and Sal A: Serotonin, noradrenaline and cognitive function: a preliminary investigation of the acute pharmacodynamic effects of a serotonin versus a serotonin and noradrenaline reuptake inhibitor. Behav Pharmacol 2000, 11:639-42.
22. Rihet P, Hasbroucq T, Bin O and Possamai CA: Serotonin and human information processing: an electrophysiological study of the effects of fluvoxamine on choice reaction time. Neurosci Lett 1999, 265:143-6.
23. Schmitt AJ, Kruizinga MJ and Riedel WJ: Non-serotonergic pharmacological profiles and associated cognitive effects of serotonergic reuptake inhibitors. J Psychopharmacol 2001, 15:173-9.
24. Reilly JG, McTavish SFB and Young AH: Rapid depletion of plasma tryptophan: a review of studies and experimental methodology. J Psychopharmacol (Oxf) 1997, 11:381-392.
25. Carpenter LD, Anderson GM, Pelton LH, Heninger GR and McDougle CJ: Tryptophan Depletion During Continuous CSF Sampling in Healthy Human Subjects. Neuropharmacology 1998, 34:26-35.
26. Golightly KL, Lloyd JA, Hobson JE, Gallagher P, Mercer G and Young AH: Acute tryptophan depletion in schizophrenia. Psychol Med 2001, 31:75-84.
27. Park SB, Coull JT, McShane RH, Young AH, Sahakian BJ, Robbins TW and Cowen PJ: Tryptophan depletion in normal volunteers produces selective impairments in learning and memory. Neuropharmacology 1994, 33:575-88.
28. Schmidt AJ, Jorsbien BL, Sobczak S, van Boxtel MPJ, Hogervorst E, Deutz NEP and Riedel WJ: Tryptophan depletion impairs memory consolidation but improves focussed attention in healthy young volunteers. J Psychopharmacol (Oxf) 2000, 14:21-29.
29. Rodgers RD, Blackshaw AJ, Middleton HC, Matthews K, Hawtin K, Crowley C, Hopwood A, Wallace C, Deakin JFW and Sahakian BJ et al.: Tryptophan depletion impairs stimulus-reward learning while methylphenidate disrupts attentional control in healthy young adults: implications for the monoaminergic basis of impulsive behaviour. Psychopharmacology (Berlin) 1999, 146:482-91.
30. Rodgers RD, Everitt BJ, Baldaschino A, Blackshaw AJ, Swainson R, Wynne K, Bakera NB, Hunter J, Carthy T and Booker E et al.: Dissociable Deficits in the Decision-Making Cognition of Chronic Amphetamine Abusers, Opiate Abusers, Patients with Focal
Damage Prefrontal Cortex, and Tryptophan-Depleted Normal Volunteers: Evidence for Monoaminergic Mechanisms. Neuropsychopharmacology 1999, 20:222-339.
33. Shansis FM, Busenello JV, Quevedo J, Forster L, Young S, Izquierdo I and Kapczinski F: Behavioural effects of acute tryptophan depletion in healthy male volunteers. J Psychopharmacol (Oxf) 2000, 14:157-63.
34. Hughes JH, Gallagher P, Stewart M, Matthews D, Kelly T and Young AH: The effects of acute tryptophan depletion on neuropsychological function. J Psychopharmacol (Oxf).
35. McAllister-Williams RH, Massey A and Rugg D: Effects of tryptophan depletion on brain potential correlates of episodic memory retrieval. Psychopharmacology (Berlin) 2002, 160:434-42.
36. Nelson HE: National Adult Reading Test, NART. Windsor: Nelson Publishing Company 1982.
37. Hughes JH, Gallagher P and Young AH: Effects of acute tryptophan depletion on cognitive function in euthymic bipolar patients. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol 2002, 12:123-128.
38. Marshall EF, Kennedy WN and Eccleston D: Whole blood serotonin and plasma tryptophan using high-pressure liquid chromatography with electrochemical detection. Biochem Med Metab Biol 1987, 37:81-6.
39. Bond A and Lader M: The use of analogue scales in rating subjective feelings. Br J Med Psychol 1974, 47:211-218.
40. PAR Inc: Wisconsin Card Sorting Test: computer Version-2: Research Edition 1993.
41. Benton AL and Hamsher K: Multilingual Aphasia Examination. Iowa City: University of Iowa 1976.
42. Lezak MD: Neuropsychological assessment 3rd edition. New York: Oxford University Press; 1995.
43. Stroop JR: Studies of interference in serial verbal reactions. J Exp Psychol 1935, 18:643-662.
44. Reiten RM: Validity of the Trail Making Test as an indicator of organic brain damage. Perceptual & Motor Skills 1958, 8:271-6.
45. SPSS: SPSS for Windows version 9. Chicago: SPSS Inc 1998.
46. van der Does AJ: The mood-lowering effect of tryptophan depletion: possible explanation for discrepant findings. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2001, 58:200-2.
47. Riedel WJ, Klaassen T and Schmitz JA: Tryptophan, mood, and cognitive function. Brain Behavior and Immunity 2002, 16:581-589.
48. Riedel WJ, Klaassen T, Deutz NEP, van Someren A and van Praag HM: Tryptophan depletion in normal volunteers produces selective impairment in memory consolidation. Psychopharmacology (Berlin) 1999, 141:362-369.
49. Robbins TW: Chemical neuromodulation of frontal-executive functions in humans and other animals. Exp Brain Res 2000, 133:130-138.
50. Benkelfat C, Ellenberg MA, Dean P, Palmour RM and Young SN: Mood-lowering effect of tryptophan depletion. Enhanced susceptibility in young men at genetic risk for major affective disorders. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1994, 51:687-97.
51. Klaassen T, Riedel WJ, van Someren A, Deutz NEP, Honig A and van Praag HM: Mood effects of 24-hour tryptophan depletion in healthy first-degree relatives of patients with affective disorders. Biol Psychiatry 1999, 46:489-497.
52. Heslop K, Portas CM and Curzon G: Effect of altered tryptophan availability on tissue and extracellular serotonin in the rat cortex. In: Monitoring molecules in neuroscience Edited by: Rollher H, Westerink B, Drijfhout WJ, Meppel: Krips Repro; 1991:259-261.
53. Brown CM, Fletcher PJ and Coscina DV: Acute amino acid loads that deplete brain serotonin fail to alter behavior. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 1998, 59:15-21.
54. Moja EA, Cippolla P, Castoldi D and Tofanetti O: Dose-response decrease in plasma tryptophan and in brain tryptophan and serotonin after tryptophan-free amino acid mixtures in rats. Life Sci 1989, 44:971-6.
55. Daghe A, Owen AM, Boecker H and Brooks DJ: The role of the striatum and hippocampus in planning: a PET activation study in Parkinson's disease. Brain 2001, 124:1020-32.
56. Stuss DT, Benson DF, Kaplan EF, Weir WS, Naeser MA, Lieberman I and Ferrill D: The involvement of orbitofrontal cortex in cognitive tasks. Neuropsychologia 1983, 21:235-48.
57. Stuss DT, Levine B, Alexander MP, Hong J, Palmoubo C, Hamer L, Murphy KJ and Izukawa D: Wisconsin Card Sorting Test performance in patients with focal frontal and posterior brain damage: effects of lesion location and test structure on separable cognitive processes. Neuropsychologia 2000, 38:388-402.
58. Lowe C and Rabbitt P: Test/re-test reliability of the CANTAB and ISPOCD neuropsychological batteries: theoretical and practical issues. Cambridge Neuropsychological Test Automated Battery. International Study of Post-Operative Cognitive Dysfunction. Neuropsychologia 1998, 36:915-23.
59. Dolan M, Anderson IM and Deakin JFW: Relationship between 5-HT function and impulsivity and aggression in male offenders with personality disorders. Br J Psychiatry 2001, 178:352-359.

Pre-publication history
The pre-publication history for this paper can be accessed here:

http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-244X/3/10/prepub