Topological Lifshitz phase transition in effective model of QCD with chiral symmetry non-restoration
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Abstract The topological Lifshitz phase transition is studied systematically within an effective model of QCD, in which the chiral symmetry, broken at zero temperature, is not restored at high temperature and/or baryon chemical potential. It is found that during phase transition the quark system undergoes a first-order transition from low density fully-gapped state to high density state with Fermi sphere which is protected by momentum-space topology. The Lifshitz phase diagram in the plane of temperature and baryon chemical potential is established. The critical behaviors of various equations of state are determined.

1 Introduction

Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) is actually considered to be the theory of strongly interacting systems of quarks and gluons. At finite baryon chemical potential the theory also provides information on nuclear properties. We have expected that QCD at finite temperature $T$ and baryon chemical potential $\mu$ has a very rich phase structure \cite{1} such as the chiral symmetry restoration at high temperature and/or baryon chemical potential, the thermal phase transition from the Nambu-Goldstone phase to quark - gluon plasma, the phase transition from the Nambu-Goldstone phase to the color superconductivity at low $T$ and so on. The experimental exploration of these phase transitions is being actively carried out in RHIC (Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider) and then in LHC (Large Hadron Collider). Especially, the quantum phase transition from Nambu-Goldstone phase to the color superconductivity at low $T$, which is of interest in the interiors of neutron stars and possible quark stars, is also relevant for experimental realizations with moderate energy heavy-ion collisions. In addition to these phase transition patterns, based on the fundamental works of Weinberg \cite{2}, Dolan and Jackiw \cite{3} one believes that generally symmetry will get restored at high temperature from the broken phase at $T = 0$. However, in reality there exist physical systems which manifest the symmetry non-restoration (SNR) at high temperature. They associate with numerous different materials \cite{4}. The theoretical calculations performed in Refs. \cite{5, 6} proved that SNR really occurs in several models. The physical significance of these phenomena rests in the fact that SNR may have remarkable consequences for cosmology, namely, within the scenario of SNR the puzzle relating to topological defects in the Standard Cosmological Big Bang of universe could be solved \cite{5, 6}. Concerning QCD the results derived from an effective $U(N_f) \times U(N_c)$ chirally invariant Nambu–Jona-Lasinio model coupled to a uniform temperature dependent $A_0$ gauge field \cite{7} showed that the chiral symmetry is not restored at the deconfining temperature in the case when Re$\text{tr}(P)<0$, here $P$ is the Polyakov loop; this agrees with the simulation study for a quenched finite temperature QCD \cite{8}. Until now the phase structure of QCD has been step by step established by mean of either Lattice QCD \cite{9} or effective models of QCD for the scenario of chiral symmetry restoration at high temperature and/or baryon density. Nevertheless, there remains lacking information on phase structure of QCD corresponding to non-restoration scenario.

In view of what presented above, the present paper aims at remedying this gap, namely, we focus on investigating quantum phase transition of QCD associating with the sector of chiral symmetry non-restoration. Quantum phase transition means the transition occurs due to fluctuations driven by the Heisenberg uncertainty principle even in the ground state. For this purpose, we start from an effective model, whose Lagrangian reads

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi} \left[ i \gamma^\mu (i \partial_\mu - g_\alpha \omega_\alpha) - m_q + g_s (\phi + i \gamma^5 \tau \pi) \right] \psi$$
where
\[ U = \frac{1}{2} g_{sv} (\phi^2 + \pi^2) \omega_\mu \omega_\mu + \frac{m^2}{2} (\phi^2 + \pi^2) + \frac{\lambda}{4!} (\phi^2 + \pi^2)^2, \]
\[ \lambda = \frac{3}{f_k^2} (m_\sigma^2 - m_\pi^2), \]
\[ m^2 = \frac{1}{2} (3m_\sigma^2 - m_\pi^2). \]

In (1) \( F_{\mu \nu} = \partial_\mu \omega_\nu - \partial_\nu \omega_\mu; \psi, \phi, \pi, \omega_\mu \) are respectively the field operators of quark, sigma meson, pion and omega meson; \( m_q, m_\pi, \) and \( m_\sigma \) are respectively masses of current quark, sigma meson, pion and omega meson; \( m, \lambda, g_s, g_v, g_{sv} \) are the model parameters.

The paper is organized as follows. The Section 2 is devoted to the calculation of effective potential and its related physical quantities. The chiral symmetry non restauration is dealt with in Section 3. In Section 4 we focus on the study of Lifshitz phase transition. The conclusion and discussion are presented in Section 5.

### 2 Effective potential and its related physical quantities

Starting from the Lagrangian (1) let us calculate the effective potential and its related quantities.

In the mean field approximation
\[ \langle \phi \rangle = u - \sigma_0, \quad \langle \pi \rangle = 0 \quad (\omega_\mu) = \omega_0 \delta_\mu, \]
the Lagrangian (1) takes the form
\[ \mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi} \gamma^0 (p_0 + \mu^*) + \gamma \cdot p - m_q^* \psi - U, \]
which
\[ U = -\frac{1}{2} m_\omega^2 \omega^2 + \frac{m_q^2}{2 g_s^2} + \lambda m_q^4, \]
\[ m_q^* = m_q - g_s u, \quad m_q = g_s \sigma_0, \]
\[ m_\omega^* = m_\omega - g_s u, \quad m_\omega = g_s \sigma_0, \]
\[ \mu^* = \mu - g_s \omega_0. \]

Effective potential derived from (2) reads
\[ \Omega = U - \frac{6}{\pi^2} \int p^2 dp \left[ E_p + T \ln \frac{1 + e^{-E_p/T}}{1 + e^{-E_p/T}} \right], \]
where
\[ E_\pm(p) = E_p \pm \mu^*, \quad E_p = \sqrt{p^2 + m_q^2}. \]

The ground state of the system is determined by minimizing (4)
\[ \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial u} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \omega_0} = 0 \]
yielding
\[ \frac{\partial U}{\partial u} = g_s \rho_s, \quad \rho_s = \frac{6}{\pi^2} \int p^2 dp \frac{m_q^2}{E_p} (n_- + n_+ - 1), \]
\[ \frac{\partial U}{\partial \omega_0} = g_v \rho_v, \quad \rho_v = \frac{6}{\pi^2} \int p^2 dp \left( n_+ - n_- \right), \]
\[ n_\pm = [1 + e^{E_p/T}]^{-1}, \]
the first of which is usually called the gap equation. Combining the gap equation and (3) gives
\[ g_s^2 \frac{m_q^2}{m_\omega^4 m_q^4} \rho_s^2 - \frac{m_q^4}{g_s^2} - \frac{\lambda m_q^3}{6 g_s^2} = \rho_s, \]
(6)

Next let us establish the formulas for thermodynamic quantities, the first one among them is pressure \( P \) to be determined through \( \Omega \) taken at minimum
\[ P = -\Omega. \]

The quark density is defined as
\[ \rho = \frac{\partial P}{\partial \mu}, \]
giving
\[ \rho = \rho_v. \]

In term of quark density the expression for \( P \) is written
\[ P = \frac{m_q^2 m_q^2}{2 g_s^2} + \frac{\lambda m_q^4}{24 g_s^2} - g_v^2 \frac{m_q^2}{2 m_\omega^4 m_q^4} \rho_v^2 \]
\[ + \frac{6}{\pi^2} \int p^2 dp \left[ E_p + T \ln \left( 1 + e^{-E_p/T} \right) + T \ln \left( 1 + e^{-E_p/T} \right) \right]. \]
(8)

The energy density \( \epsilon \) is then obtained by a Legendre of \( P \)
\[ \epsilon = -P + T s + \mu \rho \]
which together with (8) provides
\[ \epsilon = \frac{m_q^2 m_q^2}{2 g_s^2} + \frac{\lambda m_q^4}{24 g_s^2} + g_v^2 \frac{m_q^2}{2 m_\omega^4 m_q^4} \rho_v^2 \]
\[ + \frac{6}{\pi^2} \int p^2 dp E_p (n_- + n_+ - 1). \]
(9)

Here
\[ s = \frac{6}{\pi^2} \int p^2 dp \left[ n_- \ln n_- + (1 - n_-) \ln (1 - n_-) \right] + n_+ \ln n_+ + (1 - n_+) \ln (1 - n_+). \]
(10)

Eqs. (8) and (9) are the basic equations of state of the quark system under consideration.

At zero temperature Eqs. (6), (7), (8) and (9) reduce to
\[ g_s^2 \frac{m_q^2}{m_\omega^4 m_q^4} \rho_s^2 - \frac{m_q^4}{g_s^2} - \frac{\lambda m_q^3}{6 g_s^2} = \frac{6}{\pi^2} \int_0^{E_p} p^2 dp \frac{m_q^4}{E_p}, \]
(11)
\[ \rho = 6 \rho_v^3 \frac{\lambda^2}{3 \pi^2}, \]
(12)
\[ P = \frac{m_q^2 m_q^2}{2 g_s^2} + \frac{\lambda m_q^4}{24 g_s^2} - g_v^2 \frac{m_q^2}{2 m_\omega^4 m_q^4} \rho_v^2 \]
\[ + \frac{6}{\pi^2} \int_0^{E_p} p^2 dp E_p, \]
(13)
\[ \epsilon = \frac{m_q^2 m_q^2}{2 g_s^2} + \frac{\lambda m_q^4}{24 g_s^2} + g_v^2 \frac{m_q^2}{2 m_\omega^4 m_q^4} \rho_v^2 + \frac{6}{\pi^2} \int_0^{E_p} p^2 dp E_p. \]
(14)
With the aid of the analytical expressions derived in the foregoing Section let us investigate successively the following phase transitions.

3 Chiral symmetry non-restoration

For the numerical calculations let us use the inputs such as \( g_s = 3.3 \text{ fm}^2 \), \( g_s = 0.99 \text{ fm}^2 \), \( m_q = 5 \text{ MeV} \), \( m_\sigma = 600 \text{ MeV} \), \( m_\omega = 783 \text{ MeV} \).

Let us first numerically compute the \( T \) dependence of the order parameter \( m_q^* \) at \( \mu = 0 \) (Fig. 1) and \( \mu = 100, 160, 200, 220 \text{ MeV} \) (Fig. 2). The numerical computation implemented for Eqs. (6) and (11) produces Figs. 1 and 2 with the main properties: Fig. 1 shows that at \( \mu = 0 \) the order parameter decreases to zero as \( T \) increases infinitely. Thus, the chiral symmetry gets restored and the behavior of the graph means that the transition is first order. Meanwhile, at non-vanishing \( \mu \) Fig. 2 yields the expected scenario of chiral non-restoration.

Next the \( \mu \) dependence of order parameter \( m_q^* \) at \( T = 0 \) (solid line), 115 MeV (dashed line) is plotted in Fig. 3. The behavior of \( m_q^* \) typically describes the first-order phase transition in the region restricted by two dotted lines where it is a multi-valued function of \( \mu \). The isothermal spinodal points which delimit the region of equilibrium first-order phase transition are denoted by \( A, A', B, B' \). It is easily seen that the chiral symmetry is not restored at high baryon density, too. As we will see later, the feature that \( m_q^* \) is a multi-valued function of \( \mu \) in a large range of \( T \) gives rise to the specific behaviors of energy density and pressure as functions of \( \mu \) in a large range of \( T \).

4 Lifshitz phase transition

It is commonly accepted that there exist so far two schemes of classifications relevant to physical systems:

a) The conventional classification by symmetry which reflects the phenomena of spontaneously broken symmetry when the energy is reduced.

b) Another scheme of classification deals with classifying vacuum states by momentum-space topology [10, 11]. It reflects the opposite tendency, the symmetry gradually emerges at low energy. The universality classes of quantum vacuum states are determined by the momentum-space topology, which is primary while the vacuum symmetry is the emergent phenomenon in the low energy corner.

For all fermionic systems in three-dimensional (3D) space and invariant under translations of coordinates there are four basic universality classes of vacua provided by topology in momentum space [10, 11]:
- Vacua with fully-gapped fermionic energy excitations, such as semiconductors, superconductors and Dirac particles.
- Vacua with fermionic energy excitations characterized by Fermi points in 3D momentum space determined by $E(p) = 0$.
- Vacua with fermionic energy excitations determined by Fermi surfaces in 3D momentum space, and
- Vacua with fermionic energy excitations characterized by lines in the 3D momentum space.

The phase transitions which follow from this classification scheme are quantum phase transition occurring at $T = 0$. Among these QPT’s the Lifshitz phase transition (LPT) is marked as a QPT from the fully-gapped state to the state with Fermi surface [12], which should be not confused with the Lifshitz points [13]. In recent years LPT becomes one of the hot topics in condensed matter physics [14–17]. The LPT in nuclear matter has been studied in Refs. [18, 19].

Now we present the LPT in the effective model (1) of QCD characterized by the chiral non-restoration at high temperature and/or baryon chemical potential. We first concern the case $T = 0$, then extend to the finite temperature case.

In the vacuum state the quark energy excitation possesses the form (5),

$$E_\pm(p) = \sqrt{p^2 + m_q^2} \pm \mu^*$$

Then the locus of Fermi points are determined by

$$E_+(p) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \mu^* > 0$$
$$E_-(p) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \mu^* < 0$$

Let us first consider the case $\mu^* > 0$ where the locus of Fermi points in the $(p_z, \mu)$-plane is given by the equation

$$p_z = \pm \sqrt{\mu^2 - m_q^2}, \quad p_x = p_y = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

In the Fig. 4 is shown this locus of Fermi point in the $(p_z, \mu)$-plane which is the boundary separating the domain I where $\mu^2 - m_q^2 < 0$ from the domain II with $\mu^2 - m_q^2 > 0$. In 4-dimensional space $(p_x, p_y, p_z, \mu)$ the locus of Fermi points forms the Fermi sphere in 3-dimensional momentum space with center in the $\mu$ axis and radius equal to $p_r = \sqrt{\mu^2 - m_q^2}$. Close to this sphere the spectrum of quasi-fermion takes the form

$$E_\pm \approx \frac{p_z^2}{2\mu^*} = \frac{p^2}{2\mu^*} - \varepsilon_F.$$ \hspace{1cm} (16)

Here $\varepsilon_F = p_r^2/2\mu^*$ is the Fermi energy. Thus, the domain II corresponds to the states with Fermi sphere. In the domain I the spectrum of quasi-fermions possesses non-vanishing energy gap $E_-(p) = m_q^2 - \mu^2 \neq 0$. It is the domain of fully-gapped states. The point $\mu = \mu_0 = 289.25$ MeV corresponding to $\mu^2 - m_q^2 = 0$ is exactly the critical point of the LPT from fully-gapped states to states with Fermi sphere.

It is known that for a general system, relativistic or non-relativistic, the stability of the $j$-th Fermi point is guaranteed by the topological invariant, which is called the winding number $N_j$ defined as

$$N_j = \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho} \text{tr} \oint_{\Sigma_j} dS^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial p_\alpha} G^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_\nu} G^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_\rho} G.$$ \hspace{1cm} (17)

Here $G$ is the quark propagator, $\Sigma_j$ is the 2D surface around the $j$-th isolated Fermi point $E_j(p) = 0$, $j = 1, 2$, and the trace is taken over all relevant indices. In our case

$$G^{-1} = i\omega - H(p), \quad H(p) = \alpha p + \mu^* - \beta m_q^*$$

which, combining with (15) and (17), yields $N_1 = +1, N_2 = -1$. At $\mu = \mu_0$ these two points merge and form one topological trivial Fermi point $p_0 = 0$ with winding number $N_0 = N_1 + N_2 = 0$. This intermediate state is marginal and cannot protect the vacuum against decay into two topologically stable vacua.

It is evident that the stability of two Fermi points entails the stability of the Fermi sphere. However, the Fermi sphere itself is also a topologically stable object protected by another winding number. Indeed, in the domain II we have the inverse Green function (in imaginary frequency)

$$G^{-1} = i\omega - \frac{p^2}{2\mu^*} + \varepsilon_F$$

taking into account (16).

The stability of the Fermi sphere is then guaranteed by the non-vanishing winding number

$$N_F = \text{tr} \oint_{\text{surface}} \frac{dp_\mu}{2\pi i} G \frac{\partial}{\partial p_\mu} G^{-1} = 1.$$ \hspace{1cm} (18)

As for the energy excitation $E_+(p)$ the locus of Fermi points corresponds to $\mu < 0$. As is shown in Fig. 4 the loci of Fermi points associating with $E_+(p)$ and $E_-(p)$ are symmetric through the $p_z$ axis. Hence, for the sake of simplicity we focus on the case $\mu > 0$ only.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{fig4.png}
\caption{The locus of Fermi points in the $(k_z, \mu)$-plane in the case $\mu^* > 0$.}
\end{figure}
At finite temperature $m_q^*$ and $\mu^+$ turn out to be functions of both $T$ and $\mu$. As a matter of fact, due to (15) the states with Fermi sphere are determined by

$$\theta(T, \mu) = \mu^{*^2}(T, \mu) - m_q^{*^2}(T, \mu) > 0$$

with two Fermi points

$$p_x(T, \mu) = \pm \sqrt{\mu^{*^2}(T, \mu) - m_q^{*^2}(T, \mu)}, \quad p_x = p_y = 0.$$ \(\text{(16)}\)

The fully-gapped states are characterized by $\theta(T, \mu) < 0$ and, consequently, the critical states are given by

$$\theta(T, \mu) = \mu^{*^2}(T, \mu) - m_q^{*^2}(T, \mu) = 0$$ \(\text{(17)}\)

separating fully-gapped states from states with Fermi sphere.

This means that $\theta$ plays the role of order parameter of LPT and Eq. (18) describes the phase diagram of LPT in the $(T, \mu)$-plane plotted in Fig. 5.

In order to determine the order of LPT let us examine the $\mu$ dependence of energy density, Eqs. (9) and (14), at several values of $T = 0, 40, 80, 115$ MeV. Its graphs depicted in Fig. 6 are featured by the existence of cusps and their behaviors which are typical for first-order phase transition: during phase transition the system emits an amount of latent heat. For example, at $T = 0$ the QCP of LPT locates at $\mu = 289.25$ MeV, from Fig. 6 the emitted latent heat is derived

$$\Delta \varepsilon = \varepsilon(\mu = 289.25 \text{MeV} + 0, T = 0) = -\varepsilon(\mu = 289.25 \text{MeV} - 0, T = 0) = 138.50 \text{MeV}^{-3} \text{fm}.$$ \(\text{(19)}\)

Hence, we conclude that the LPT is first order.

In addition, according to Abrikosov [20] the order of LPT is also derived by considering the deviation of energy density $\varepsilon$ and pressure $P$ from their critical values. The evolution of $P$, Eqs. (8) and (13), versus $\mu$ at several temperature steps plotted in Fig. 7. Our calculation provides

$$\Delta \varepsilon \approx 1.26|\mu - \mu_c|^{0.99} \approx 5\Delta P, \quad \mu_c = 289.25 \text{ MeV},$$ \(\text{(20)}\)

which means that LPT is first order.

In order to get deeper insight into the issue let us observe the evolution of $\partial \varepsilon / \partial \mu$ at several values of $T$ depicted in Fig. 8. The analytical formula for this quantity is given in Appendix. Combining Figs. 6 and 8 indicates that for given $T$ the graph of $\varepsilon$ possesses two bifurcation points: the first one corresponds to the divergence of $\partial \varepsilon / \partial \mu$ and the second one is exactly the cusp where $\partial \varepsilon / \partial \mu$ is not well definite, its value depends on the way we approach this cusp. As we will see later, the segment connecting these two bifurcation points belongs to the mechanically unstable region.

The existence of cusps also appears in the evolution of the pressure $P$ shown in Fig. 7 which tells that at every value of $T$ there exhibit two cusps, one of them corresponds to
the cusp of $\epsilon$ and another cusp corresponds to the second bifurcation point of $\epsilon$.

To proceed to the stability of states in LPT let us introduce the density susceptibility defined as

\[ \chi = \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \mu} = -\frac{\partial^2 \Omega}{\partial \mu^2} \]

whose analytical formula is presented in Appendix. It is commonly accepted that the density susceptibility is related to density fluctuation which allows us to observe signals of phase transition in experiments. We show in Fig. 10 the graphs describing the evolution of $\chi$ versus $\mu$ at several values of $T$. Let us analyze some characters exhibiting in this figure.

For $T = 0$ we see that $\chi$ diverges at $\mu = 302.4$ MeV and has three branches in the interval $250.10 \text{ MeV} \leq \mu \leq 302.40 \text{ MeV}$: two positive branches correspond to stable states which associate with minima of effective potential and the negative one corresponds to mechanically unstable states where effective potential gets maximum, therefore, all points belonging to this branch describe the spinodal line. Two co-existing states in the LPT, occurring at $\mu_0 = 289.25$ MeV, belong to the topologically stable states: the one with low density is the fully-gapped state which is protected against any small perturbation [11] and the other with higher density belongs to the domain of state with Fermi sphere protected by the winding number $N_{FS}$. At finite $T$ Fig. 10 exhibits the same physical picture.

It is easy to recognize a common property of Figs. 6 and 8 which express the fact that for every value of $T$ two bifurcation points of $\epsilon$ and $\rho$ emerge at the same value of $\mu$. In view of the foregoing result, the segment to connect these two bifurcation points of $\epsilon$ belongs to the mechanically unstable region. Analogously, at given $T$ the segment connecting two cusps of pressure plotted in Fig. 7 is exactly the domain of mechanically unstable states. Fig. 9 indicates that each unstable state is the intermediate one separating a state with higher density from state with lower density.
5 Conclusion and outlook

In the preceding Section we presented the topological Lifshitz phase transition in an effective model of QCD where the chiral symmetry broken at $T = 0$ never gets restored at high $T$ and/or $\mu$. The main results we found are in order a) We indicated that under the LPT the quark system undergoes a transition from liquid to gas states which correspond respectively to fully-gapped state and state with Fermi surface. In this connection, the gas state is stable under any small perturbation [10, 11] and the liquid state is protected by non-vanishing values of winding numbers.

b) We obtained the Lifshitz phase diagram in the $(T, \mu)$-plane. The transition is first order everywhere.

It is interesting to mention that the calculations performed for the Nambu–Jona-Lasinio model [21–24] predict that the chiral restoration at $\mu \neq 0$ is of rather strong first order at $T < 50 \text{ MeV}$, provided that the vector coupling between quarks is absent. As a matter of fact, the strength and even the existence of the first-order restoration transition strongly depend on the strength of the vector coupling. Hence, in this case we also have another effective model with chiral non-restoration and what we found above is valid for this class of models, whose quark energy excitation takes the form

$$E = \sqrt{p^2 + M^2(T, \mu) - F(T, \mu)},$$

$M$ and $F$ are functions of control parameter denoted by $\mu$ and other parameters involving temperature $T$. Then the order parameter of LPT is defined as

$$\theta = F^2 - M^2.$$

Depending on $\mu$ the order parameter can get various values from $\theta > 0$ to $\theta < 0$. It results that corresponding to negative $\theta$ is the fully-gapped state and the positive $\theta$ corresponds to state with Fermi surface which is protected by momentum-space topology.
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Appendix A: The analytical expression for $\partial \varepsilon / \partial \mu$ and $\chi$

$$\frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial \mu} = \frac{GB + HD}{BC + DE},$$

$$\chi = \frac{AB + FD}{BC + DE}.$$
\[ I_8 = \int dk \frac{k^2}{E_k} [E_+(n_+ - n_+^2) + E_-(n_- - n_-^2)], \]
\[ I_9 = \int dk \frac{k^2}{E_k} \left[ \frac{m^2 q^2}{T} (n_+ + n_- - n_+^2 - n_-^2) - \frac{k^2}{E_k} (n_+ + n_-) \right]. \]
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