CD4 and CD8 T Cell Memory Interactions Alter Innate Immunity and Organ Injury in the CLP Sepsis Model

Matthew D. Taylor1,2*, Tiago D. Fernandes1,2, Alexander P. Kelly1,2, Mabel N. Abraham1,2 and Clifford S. Deutschman1,2

The role of T cell memory in sepsis is poorly understood. Recent work has demonstrated that mice exposed to frequent antigenic stimulation, in contrast to laboratory mice, better recapitulate the human T cell repertoire. This difference may profoundly alter responses to inflammatory insults. We induced isolated T cell memory by inoculating C57Bl/6 mice with an anti-CD3ε activating antibody, a process we term “immune education.” These mice were subjected to the cecal ligation and puncture (CLP) model of sepsis and responses were compared to those of isotype-treated controls. CLP-induced increases in 1) CD4 T cell production and serum levels of IFNγ, 2) CD8 T cell granzyme B levels, and 3) innate cell function were all more pronounced in educated mice than in control mice. Immune education increased CLP-induced liver injury and decreased survival. The differences in responses to CLP were not recapitulated in mice with either isolated CD4 or isolated CD8 T cell memory. Relative to controls, CLP in educated CD8−/− mice (isolated CD4 memory) increased monocyte-derived dendritic cells. Combined CD4 and CD8 memory did not increase monocyte-derived dendritic cells; this combination recapitulated increases in neutrophil and inflammatory monocyte numbers in educated wild-type mice. Induction of T cell memory prior to CLP alters immune responses, organ function, and survival. Both CD4 and CD8 memory T cells play important and independent roles in this response. These findings have profound implications for the development of murine models of human inflammatory disorders such as infection and sepsis.
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INTRODUCTION

Sepsis is defined as life-threatening organ dysfunction caused by a dysregulated host response to infection (1). The disorder contributes to an estimated 5.3 million annual deaths worldwide (2). Implementation of evidence-based guidelines emphasizing early intervention with fluids, antibiotics, and supportive measures has improved outcome (3). However, a myriad of clinical
trials have failed to identify any specific or effective therapeutic approach for this deadly disorder. Many of these trials were based on promising results from studies using animal models, most often cecal ligation and puncture (CLP) in rodents. These discrepancies have led to a re-evaluation of the model (4).

Recent work comparing laboratory mice to mice living in a “natural” environment has led to findings that may profoundly affect the CLP model. These studies demonstrated that mice living outside the laboratory setting are “immune educated.” These mice, which are exposed to multiple antigens and a more diverse microbiome, have higher numbers and proportions of memory T cells than mice kept in specific-pathogen free facilities (“immune naïve” or control mice) (5, 6). Study of immune educated mice identified a substantial and diverse population of circulating and solid-organ resident memory T cells (5, 6). The role of T cell memory in both clinical sepsis and CLP is poorly understood. In the experiments described here, we expand on the use of a novel, previously described method to induce a diverse repertoire of memory T cells without directly altering other aspects of the immune system (7). We then performed CLP on immune educated and control animals and compared responses. Our results demonstrate that the induction of T cell memory altered the CLP model in ways that may have important implications for translation of this model to human sepsis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mice

C57Bl/6J and B6.SJL (PtprcPepC/Boyl) male mice were obtained from the Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME) and maintained in the animal facility at the Feinstein Institutes for Medical Research. CD4−/− (B6.129S2-CD4tm1Mak/J) and CD8−/− (B6.129S2-CD8aTM1Mak/J) mice were obtained from the Jackson Laboratory and bred and maintained in our immunodeficient animal facility.

Cecal Ligation and Puncture Procedure

CLP was performed with two 22-gauge punctures performed in series under isoflurane anesthesia as previously described (8). All animals that underwent CLP were approximately 16 weeks of age. Animals were resuscitated with 50 ml/kg sterile normal saline at the end of surgery through subcutaneous tissue injection. Fluid administration was repeated every 24 h for up to 48 h. Mice were euthanized at given time points after CLP with pentobarbital. Sham operation was identical to CLP but with omission of ligation or puncture.

In Vivo Immunization

Ultra-LEAF Anti-mouse CD3ε Antibody (145-2C11, Biolegend, San Diego, CA, 50 µg in 200 µl sterile phosphate-buffered saline) and Ultra-LEAF isotype Armenian Hamster IgG control (HTK888) were administered to 11-week-old mice via retro-ocular venous sinus injection. Mice were monitored for adverse effects and rested for 35 days to allow for immune memory development and to avoid any continued activation effects. Details of the T cell response and long term phenotype and of the innate cell response following anti-CD3ε inoculation have been published separately (7). Briefly, anti-CD3ε treatment led to acute CD4 and CD8 T cell activation that dissipated by post-treatment day 5. By 35 days following treatment, no acute effector CD4 or CD8 T cells were detected. During this time period, numbers of central and effector memory CD4 T cells and effector memory CD8 T cells in the spleen, liver and lungs increased. This memory differentiation persisted through 135 days without any increase in anergy or T regulatory cells. No alteration was detected in the innate immune system at 35 days following anti-CD3ε treatment in unchallenged mice.

Adoptive Transfer

35 days following immunization, C57Bl/6J mice were sacrificed, spleens were homogenized and T cells were isolated by negative selection using the pan-T cell isolation kit (Miltenyi, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany). Cells were then labeled (CD90, CD4, CD8, CD44, CD11a) and sorted. 1.5 million T cells (CD4, CD8 or both) were injected into untouche B6.SJL mice. A BD FACS Aria was utilized for sorting. Controls were given saline vehicle. Seven days later, mice underwent CLP and were sacrificed for analysis at 24 h.

Leukocyte Isolation

Spleens were obtained from sacrificed mice, were immediately weighed and subjected to digestion with DNAse (100 µg/ml) and Collagenase A (1 mg/ml) in complete media for 30 min at 37°C. Cells were resuspended following filtration through a 70-µm filter. Red blood cells were lysed, white cells were counted using a Countess II Automated Cell Counter (ThermoFisher, Waltham, MA) and spleen cells were analyzed. Liver leukocytes were obtained following homogenization of livers and filtration through a 70-µm filter. Leukocytes were isolated at the interface of a 35%/75% Percoll solution gradient (GE Healthcare, Chicago, IL). Peritoneal cells were obtained immediately following euthanasia through an abdominal incision. The peritoneum was washed with 5 ml of sterile saline and collected. All cell counts were performed using a Countess II Automated Cell Counter (ThermoFisher, Waltham, MA). A minimum of 2 × 10⁶ events were analyzed for each sample.

Flow Cytometric Analysis

Once single-cell suspensions were obtained, cells were stained for flow cytometric analysis. Staining was performed with LIVE/DEAD fixable viability dye (Life Technologies) and the following antibodies: CD90.2, CD44, CD8a, CD4, CD62L, KLRG1, CD11a, Ly6C, CD11c, Ly6G, MHCII, IL1β, TNFα, IL2, IFNγ; and IL17a. Full antibody details are available in Supplementary Table 1. All flow cytometric analysis was performed on a BD LSR Fortessa 16-color cell analyzer and analyzed using FlowJo software version 10 (BD Bioscience, San Jose, CA). Gating strategies are listed in figure captions. Gating strategies are illustrated in Supplementary Figure 1 for CD4 T cells, Supplementary Figure 2 for CD8 T cells and Supplementary Figure 3 for innate immune cells.

Cytokine Production Assays

To assess cytokine production, once cells were in single cell suspension, they were placed with appropriate stimuli. For T cells, T cell receptor stimulation was performed through plate bound anti-CD3ε (5 µg/ml) and anti-CD28 (1.7 µg/ml) in solution. Cells
were stimulated for 5 h in the presence of Brefeldin A (2 μg/ml). For
innate cells, cells were stimulated with LPS (500 ng/ml) for 3 h in
the presence of Brefeldin A. All stimulation assays were performed
alongside a control without stimulation to assess for background
production as previously described (9). For reactive oxygen species
assessment, the CellRox Green Flow cytometry Assay Reagent
(ThermoFisher, Waltham, MA) was used. This reagent reacts with
reactive oxygen species and fluoresces in the FITC spectrum
allowing for fluorescent detection of reactive oxygen species
production. The change from baseline with LPS stimulation was
used to determine ROS induction.

ELISA Assays
ELISA assays were performed per manufacturer instructions. The
Mesoscale Discovery Multiplex (Rockville, MD) was used for
initial analysis and findings were confirmed with BD OptEIA
ELISA kits. Bilirubin Assay Kit (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO)
and Alanine Aminotransferase 1 ELISA Kit (Biovision, Milpitas,
CA) were used per manufacturer instructions.

Real-Time Polymerase Chain Reaction
Samples were stored in RNALater solution (Invitrogen) until total
RNA was isolated (RNAeasy Mini Kit; Qiagen, Hilden, Germany).
Quantitative real-time PCR was performed using an Applied
Biosystems 7900HT Fast Real-Time PCR system. Primers were
obtained from Applied Biosystems: TaqMan Gene Expression
Assays Mm00441421_m1 (SCL10a1a), Mm01267415_m1
(SCL01a1), and Mm99999915_g1 (GAPDH). Relative amplifi-
cation to GAPDH was calculated using the ΔΔCT method, which measures
the change in the relative quantification of a control mRNA gene in an
experimental sample and a control sample to the relative
quantification of a target mRNA gene of interest in those same samples.

Statistical Analysis
Animal data were analyzed using Student’s two-way T test or
using one-way analysis of variance where appropriate. Survival
was calculated using the Spearman’s Log Rank Test (Prism 7.0;
GraphPad, San Diego, CA). All data shown are representative of
at least two independent experiments to ensure results. The
authors declare that all data supporting the findings of this study
are available within the paper and its Supplementary Material.

Study Approval
All animal studies were approved by the Institutional Animal
Care and Use Committee (IACUC #2017-039) and adhered to
National Institutes of Health and Animal Research: Reporting of
In Vivo Experiments (ARRIVE) guidelines.

RESULTS

CLP Differentially Depletes Memory and
Naïve T Cell Subsets in Uneducated
(Control) Laboratory Mice

We first sought to identify the effects of CLP on T cell subsets in
uneducated (control) laboratory mice. Data are detailed in Figure 1.

In the spleen of unoperated (T0) mice, 72% of CD4 T cells and 67%
of CD8 T cells were naïve (CD44+/CD11a−/CD62L−) or CD44/
CD11a+ effectors (CD44+/CD11a+/CD62L+). In the livers of T0
mice, CD44+/CD11a+ effector (CD44+/CD11a+/CD62L+) and
central memory (CD44+/CD11a−/CD62L−) T cells constituted
70% of the CD4 T cells population (30% naïve), while 68% of
CD8 T cells were naïve (32% memory). Populations in sham
operated (SO) mice were similar (data not shown). By 24 h post-
CLP the abundance of naïve and memory CD4 and CD8 T cells in
the spleen decreased significantly; this decrease persisted at 48 and
72 h. In the liver, CLP did not affect the number of memory CD4 T
cells or naïve CD8 T cells, but the number of naïve CD4 and
memory CD8 T cells decreased (Figures 1A, B; full gating strategy
detailed in Supplementary Figures 1 and 2). The ratio of memory-
to-naïve CD4 and CD8 T cells in the spleen did not change, nor did
the ratio of hepatic CD8 T cells (Figure 1C). The ratio of hepatic
CD4 memory T cells to naïve T cells increased 3.5-fold by 48 h and
remained elevated from T0 at 72 h (Figure 1C). These findings
indicate that, while the number of T cells either decreased or did not
change in response to CLP, the relative contribution of CD4 memory
T cells to the T cell population in the liver increased.

CLP Differentially Alters T Cell Receptor
(TCR)-mediated Activation of Memory and
Naïve T Cells in Control Mice

A number of characteristics differentiate memory T cells from naïve
T cells. Distinctions include early proliferation with antigen
activation, early response to stimuli and resistance to apoptosis
and overall enhancement of the immune response. Memory T cells
are also frequently found in non-lymphoid organs and solid tissue,
while naïve T cells in these locations are less common. These
alterations associated with T cell memory suggest that these cells
could play a more important role in CLP than do naïve T cells. As
noted above, CLP increased the ratio of memory to naïve T cells in
the liver. Therefore, we examined the effects of CLP on the
percentage of naïve (CD44+/CD11a−) and memory (CD44+/CD11a+)
T cells producing cytokines following isolation from
either the spleen (lymphoid tissue) or liver (non-lymphoid
tissue). Twenty-four hours after CLP in control mice, T cell
receptor (TCR, CD3/CD28) stimulation of intracellular cytokine
production in memory T cells differed from that observed in naïve T
cells in both spleen and liver (Figure 1D). Responses were similar in
CD4 and CD8 T cells (data not shown). In both the spleen and liver
following CLP, IFNγ was produced by a higher percentage of
memory CD4 and CD8 T cells compared to naïve T cells. In
contrast, TNFα was produced primarily by naïve T cells in the
spleen, while a significantly smaller proportion of memory T cells
produced this cytokine. In the liver, a similar proportion of both
memory and naïve T cells produced TNFα, while a greater fraction
of memory T cells in the liver produced IL2. The percentages of
memory and naïve T cells producing IL2 in the spleen did not differ
(flow cytometric plots shown in Supplementary Figures 1 and 2).
No difference in CD4 T regulatory cells (Tregs) was detected
between control and educated mice 24 h following CLP (data not
shown) although educated mice had a decreased percent of T
regulatory cells prior to CLP (7).
FIGURE 1 | Effects of CLP on memory and naïve T cell subtypes in the spleen and liver. C57Bl/6 laboratory mice underwent CLP and were sacrificed at given timepoints. Data obtained using flow cytometry. Unoperated mice were used as T0 controls. Data as mean ± SEM, p<0.05 for spleen (*) or liver (#) compared to T0 by one-way ANOVA with Dunnett correction for multiple comparisons. Figures representative of at least two independent experiments. (A) Total CD4 and CD8 Memory and Naïve T cells as a function of time post-CLP. Spleen – circles, Liver – squares. Gating: FSC/SSC, singlets, Live, CD90/CD4, CD90/CD8, CD44/CD11a; N = 3–4/group. (B) Flow cytometric plots of CD4 (left) and CD8 T cells (right) by CD44/CD11a from the spleen (top) and liver (bottom) at T0, 24, 48, and 72 h with percentage of parent in gate. Gating: FSC/SSC, singlets, Live, CD90/CD4, CD90/CD8, CD44/CD11a; N = 3–4/group. (C) Ratio of splenic and hepatic memory to naïve T cells over time. Gating: FSC/SSC, singlets, Live, CD90/CD4, CD90/CD8, CD44/CD11a; N = 3–4/group. (D) Percentage of memory and naïve CD4 T cells producing cytokine after 5 h ex vivo stimulation with CD3/CD28. Gating: FSC/SSC, singlets, Live, CD90/CD4, CD90/CD8, CD44/ CD11a, IFNγ, IL2, TNFα. N= 3/group. *p<0.05 for all studies by two-way Student’s T-test.
 Serum IFNγ/IL12p70 Levels Following CLP Are Higher in Immune Educated Mice Than in Controls

Following CLP, memory T cells were more likely to produce IFNγ than were naïve T cells (Figure 1D). CLP in control mice also increased the ratio of memory-to-naïve CD4 T cells in the liver. Given recent findings that the memory T cell proportion is significantly higher in wild and pet store mice compared to laboratory mice (5, 6), we examined the effect of a pre-surgical increase in the combined CD4 and CD8 memory T cell populations on the response to CLP. In previous studies, we used an anti-CD3ε activating antibody to induce broad T cell memory (7). Prior to CLP, serum cytokine levels in educated and control mice did not differ. To determine the effects of immune education on CLP-induced cytokine production, serum cytokine levels were measured 24 h after CLP. Levels of IFNγ and IL12p70, but not IL10, IL6 or TNFα, were significantly higher in educated mice than in controls (Figure 2A). Immune Education Enhances the Innate Immune Response to CLP

T cell-derived IFNγ induces production of IL12p70, a response that amplifies innate immune responses in a “feed-forward” loop (10, 11). Because immune education increased CLP-induced serum levels of both cytokines (Figure 2A), we examined the effects of immune education on CLP-induced activation of the innate immune system. The CLP-induced expansion of CD11b+ (myeloid lineage) cell populations in the spleen and liver was more profound in immune educated mice than in control mice (Figures 2B, C). No such difference was noted in the peritoneum (Figure 2D). Our previous study demonstrated that immune education increased CD4 and CD8 memory T cell proportions but did not alter the innate immune system (7). Thus, the presence of memory T cells increased the effects of CLP on the innate immune response. Further, the CLP-induced myeloid (CD11b+) cell expansion in educated mice resulted from an increase in Ly6Chi inflammatory monocytes (CD11b+/MHCII/Ly6G/Ly6Chi) in spleen, liver and peritoneum and of neutrophils (CD11b+/Ly6G+/Ly6C/MHCII) in the liver (Figures 2B–D), indicating T cell memory drives a neutrophilic and monocytic response to CLP in this system. Gating strategy and representative flow plots are shown in Supplementary Figure 3.

LPS-stimulated intracellular cytokine production in inflammatory monocytes isolated following CLP in immune educated mice also differed from that observed in control animals. Effects specific to immune educated mice included 1) a higher percentage of splenic inflammatory monocytes that produced high levels of IL1β (Figure 2E), 2) a higher percentage of hepatic inflammatory monocytes that produced high levels of TNFα and IL1β (Figure 2E), and 3) higher overall production of IL1β (median fluorescence intensity) by hepatic monocytes (data not shown). Histograms of IL1β and TNFα production by hepatic monocytes are depicted in Figure 2F; unstimulated controls shown in Supplementary Figure 3. Further, both neutrophils and monocytes isolated from livers of immune educated mice following CLP produced more reactive oxygen species in response to LPS stimulation than did cells isolated from control mice (Figure 2G). In aggregate, these findings indicate that the induction of T cell memory significantly enhanced the CLP-induced innate immune response. The augmented response was particularly evident in the liver, suggesting that immune education effected lymphoid organs and solid organs differently.

Immune Education Enhances CLP-induced Hepatic Dysfunction

Organ dysfunction is the defining characteristic of sepsis (1). To examine the effects of immune education on hepatic dysfunction, we compared responses in control and educated mice at 24 h following CLP. Specifically, we measured the effects of immune education on the hepatic response to CLP using standard measures of liver injury (transaminases, bilirubin). In addition, based on results from previous studies, we examined the effects of immune education on the CLP-induced reduction in the expression of the genes encoding the sodium/bile acid cotransporter (SCL10a1) and the organic anion transporter protein 1 (SCLO1a1) in the liver (12). Data are detailed in Figure 3. CLP-induced increases in serum alanine aminotransferase (ALT, Figure 3A) and bilirubin (Figure 3B) and decreases in the abundance of mRNA encoding SCL10a1 (Figure 3C) and SCL01a1 (Figure 3D) were more profound in immune educated mice.

Immune Education Decreases Survival Following CLP

Cohorts of educated and control mice were subjected to CLP and were followed for five days. Survival in educated mice was significantly lower than that observed in controls (Figure 3E).

CLP-Induced Changes in the Abundance of Different T Cell Subtypes Are Altered by Immune Education

Both CLP and induction of T cell memory change the relative numbers of specific T cell subtypes (13, 14). We have previously demonstrated that immune education expanded the central and effector memory CD4 T cell population and the effector memory CD8 T cell in multiple solid organs (7). We therefore examined CLP-induced changes in T cell sub-populations in educated and control mice. Data are depicted in Figure 4.

At 24 h post-CLP, the total number of CD4 T cells in the spleen of educated mice did not differ from the number observed in controls. The number of CD8 T cells was, however, significantly lower in educated mice than in controls (Figure 4A). Despite the absence of a difference in total CD4 T cells, there were significant differences in CD4 T cell subsets post-CLP in educated and control mice. Following CLP, both percentage and numbers of splenic central memory (CD44+/CD62L−) and CD44+/CD11a+ effector (CD44+/CD62L−) CD4 T cells (Figures 4B, C) were higher in educated mice than in control mice; CD11a expression was also increased. The numbers of splenic naïve (CD44+/CD62L+) and CD44+/CD11a− effector (CD44+/CD62L+) CD4 T cells were unchanged. The number of naïve (CD44+/CD62L+) CD8 T cells in the spleen was lower in educated mice than in control mice, while the percentage and number of CD44+/CD11a− effector CD8 T cells
Figure 2: Effects of immune education on the innate immune response to CLP. C57Bl/6 mice were treated with anti-CD3ε (circles) or isotype control (squares) and subjected to CLP 35 days later. Mice were sacrificed at 24 h post-CLP. Gating for B-D: Leukocytes: FSC/SSC, singlets, Live, CD11c-/CD11b+: Neutrophils: FSC/SSC, singlets, Live, CD11b+/Ly6G+, ROS; also Ly6Cint; Inflammatory monocytes: FSC/SSC, singlets, Live, CD11c-/CD11b+, CD11b+/Ly6G-, CD11b+/MHCII-, CD11b+/Ly6Chi, IL1α, TNFα, ROS. Shown in Supplementary Figure 3. Data as mean ± SEM, *p < 0.05 by two-way Student’s T test. Figures representative of at least two independent experiments. (A) Alteration in serum IFNγ, IL12p70, and IL10. N = 17–18/group for IFNγ, 8/group for IL12p70 and 9/group for IL10. (B) Number of innate immune cells obtained from the spleen. N = 3. (C) Number of innate immune cells obtained from the liver. N = 3. (D) Number of innate immune cells obtained from peritoneal wash. N = 8. (E) Percentage of inflammatory monocytes that responded to ex vivo LPS stimulation with IL1β or TNFα production. N = 5–8. Left: Spleen. Right: Liver. Cytokine production without LPS stimulation was minimal. Gating shown in Supplementary Figure 3. (F) Histograms comparing hepatic inflammatory monocyte production of IL1β (left) and TNFα (right) in response to ex vivo LPS stimulation. Red=Educated, Blue=Control. Representative of 5–8 animals. Comparison to unstimulated controls shown in Supplementary Figure 3. (G) Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS) induction by hepatic neutrophils and monocytes. ROS presence determined by increase in median fluorescence intensity (MFI) of CellRox reagent (see methods) following ex vivo stimulation with LPS. N = 5.
was greater in educated mice than in control mice (Figures 4B, D). These differences explained the global differences in numbers of CD8 T cells depicted in Figure 4A. Consistent with the expansion of the CD4 T cell memory population, the effects of CLP on the number of CD4 T cells spontaneously producing IFNγ, IL2 and IL17a during CLP in both the spleen (Figure 4E) and the liver (Figure 4F) was greater in educated mice than in controls (flow cytometric plots of intracellular cytokine staining shown in Supplementary Figures 1 and 2). In addition, the number of CD4 T cells in the spleen 24 h following CLP that responded to TCR stimulation by producing IFNγ, IL2 and TNFα was greater in immune educated mice than in control mice (Figure 4G). However, the number of splenic CD8 T cells that, when subjected to TCR stimulation 24 h following CLP, produced TNFα was lower in educated mice than in control mice (Figure 4H). We did not observe any other significant changes in the CD8 T cell cytokine response.

CD8 T cells also have cytolytic capacity that is enhanced by T cell memory. Granzyme B is both a marker of CD8 T cell memory and an inducer of cytolytic apoptosis in target cells during immune synapse formation. Granzyme B expression was low in splenic CD8 T cells 24 h following CLP in both control and immune educated mice, although there was a significant increase in the percentage of granzyme B expressing cells in educated mice. A significantly greater proportion of hepatic CD8 T cells expressed granzyme B; almost 35% of hepatic CD8 T cells in educated mice expressed granzyme B while approximately 10% of hepatic CD8 T cells expressed granzyme B in control mice (Figure 4I, flow cytometric plots shown in Supplementary Figure 2). Almost all granzyme B expressing CD8 T cells had a memory phenotype (CD44+/CD11a+, data not shown) indicating that immune education increased the cytolytic capacity of hepatic memory CD8 T cells.

CLP-Induced Increases in Innate Immune Responses in Educated Mice Dependent on Both CD4 and CD8 Memory As Well As Monocyte-derived Dendritic Cells

Immune education induced both CD4 and CD8 T cell memory. Further, both CD4 and CD8 T cells contribute to the CLP response (15, 16). To identify the contribution of immune education of CD4 and CD8 T cell education to CLP-induced activation of innate immunity, we used CD4−/− (ie, CD8 T cells only) and CD8−/− (ie, CD4 T cells only) mice. CLP was performed 35 days after immune education and mice were sacrificed 24 h later. Mice were compared to isotype treated CD4−/− and CD8−/− mice.

In mice with only CD8 T cells (CD4−/−), immune education did not alter the innate immune response to CLP. However, in mice with only CD4 T cells (CD8−/−), splenic neutrophil counts 24 h post-CLP were greater in educated mice than in control mice (Supplementary Figure 4). In contrast to findings in wild type mice (Figures 2B–D), immune education in either CD4−/− or CD8−/− mice failed to affect CLP-induced changes in hepatic or peritoneal inflammatory monocyte or neutrophil counts (Figure 5A). However, CLP-induced increase in hepatic and peritoneal...
FIGURE 4 | Effects of Immune Education on T cell response to CLP. C57Bl/6 mice were treated with anti-CD3ε (circles) or isotype control (squares) and 35 days later were subjected to CLP. 24 h post-CLP, mice were sacrificed and specific assays were performed. Gating: FSC/SSC, singlets, Live, CD90/CD4, CD90/CD8, CD44/CD62L for subset analysis, IFNγ, IL2, IL17a, TNFα, granzyme B for cytokine studies and granzyme B expression. Data as mean ± SEM, *p < 0.05 by Student’s two-way T test. Figures representative of at least two independent experiments. (A) Total number of splenic CD4 and CD8 T cells. N = 9–11 per group. (B) Flow cytometric plots of CD4 (left) and CD8 T cells (right) by CD44/CD62L (top) and CD44/CD11a (bottom) from the spleen 24 h following CLP in control and educated mice with percentage of parent in gate. Gating: FSC/SSC, singlets, Live, CD90/CD4, CD90/CD8, CD44/CD62L or CD44/CD11a; N = 3–5/group. (C) Total number of splenic CD4 T cell subsets. N = 9–11 per group. (D) Total number of splenic CD8 T cell subsets. N = 9–11 per group. (E) Total splenic T cells spontaneously producing IFNγ, IL2, IL17a and TNFα. Cells treated with Brefeldin A for 5 h prior to intracellular cytokine staining. N = 3. (F) Total hepatic T cells spontaneously producing IFNγ, IL2, IL17a and TNFα in response to TCR stimulation. Cells treated with Brefeldin A for 5 h prior to intracellular cytokine staining. N = 3. (G) Total splenic CD4 T cells producing IFNγ, IL2, IL17a and TNFα in response to TCR stimulation. Cells treated with Brefeldin A for 5 h prior to intracellular cytokine staining. N = 3. (H) Total splenic CD8 T cells producing TNFα in response to TCR stimulation. Cells treated with Brefeldin A for 5 h prior to intracellular cytokine staining. N = 3. (I) Percent CD8 T cells expressing granzyme B in the spleen and liver. Left – Spleen, Right – Liver N = 3.
monocyte derived dendritic cells (moDCs, CD11b+/CD11c Ly6G-, MHCII+, gating strategy shown in Supplementary Figure 3) were more profound in immune educated CD8−/− than in control CD8+/− (Figure 5A). The CLP-induced increase in moDCs in wild-type mice or CD4−/− (ie CD8−) mice was not altered by immune education. These findings suggest that CD4 memory T cells contribute to moDC development, while CD8 memory T cells may negate this effect.

To determine if the effects of immune education on CLP-induced changes in innate immunity required both CD4 and CD8 T cell memory, we isolated CD4 and CD8 memory (CD44+/CD11a−) T cells from educated wild type C57Bl/6 mice. Post-sort purity was greater than 90% memory T cells (CD44+/CD11a−) for both CD4 and CD8 T cell populations (Supplementary Figure 5). Memory CD4 and/or CD8 T cells (1.5 x 10^6 cells) were transferred to congeneric B6.SJL mice. Wild type C57Bl/6 mice express CD45.2 on all hematopoietic cells while B6.SJL mice express the isoform CD45.1; therefore, transferred T cells can be distinguished from endogenous T cells. These animals rested for 7 days and were then subjected to CLP. Following CLP, transferred (CD45.2+/CD45.1−) CD4 T cells constituted approximately 0.2% of total CD4 T cells, while transferred CD8 T cells constituted approximately 0.24% of total CD8 T cells (Supplementary Figure 6). CD45.2−/CD45.1− cells were not detected in control mice, CD4−/CD45.2−/CD45.1− were not detected in mice injected with CD8 T cells and CD8−/CD45.2−/CD45.1− were not identified in B6.SJL mice injected with CD4 T cells. Transferred cells maintained memory T cell phenotypes as indicated by CD44/CD62L/CD11a expression (Supplementary Figure 6) The effects of memory CD4, memory CD8 or double adoptive transfer on the innate immune response to CLP were compared to sham injected mice.

Results mirrored findings in knockout mice. Specifically, 24 h following CLP, the livers of memory CD4 T cell injected mice contained more moDCs and classical (CD11c+/MHC+, gating strategy shown in Supplementary Figure 3) dendritic cells than the livers of sham injected mice (Figure 5B). Adoptive transfer of memory CD8 T cells alone did not affect the response to CLP in hepatic moDCs (Figure 5B). Importantly, the effects of CLP on hepatic moDCs in mice subjected to double adoptive transfer (both CD4 and CD8 memory T cells) did not differ from sham injected control mice (Figure 5B). These findings indicate that memory CD4 T cells induced moDCs but this change was blocked or reversed through an interaction with CD8 memory T cells with the effects of memory CD4 T cells (Figure 5B). At 24 h post-CLP, the number of inflammatory monocytes in the liver was higher in mice that received either memory CD4 T cells or that received double adoptive transfer. The percentage of Ly6Chi monocytes of total monocytes was only increased in mice that received double adoptive transfer (Figures 5C, D). In contrast to CLP-mediated effects on hepatic moDC numbers, mice receiving double adoptive transfer responded to CLP with an increase in the percentage of hepatic neutrophils of total CD11b cells and an increase in the number of hepatic and peritoneal neutrophils (Figures 5C, D). When combined with data regarding effects of immune education on CLP-induced responses in CD4−/− or CD8−/− mice, these findings indicate that the effects of immune education on the CLP-induced changes in neutrophils and monocytes requires both CD4 and CD8 T cell memory (Figures 5B–D).

Finally, we investigated the contribution of CD4 and/or CD8 memory T cells to CLP-induced changes in innate immune cell function following CLP. Twenty-four hours following CLP, splenic cells were obtained from educated or control CD4−/− or CD8−/− mice and stimulated ex vivo with LPS. Following CLP, the percentage of monocytes producing of IL1β and TNFα was greater in educated CD8−/− mice than in control knockout mice (Figure 5E), suggesting memory CD4 T cells were responsible for the increase in cytokine production. However, a similar change in the ex vivo response to LPS was noted only in mice subjected to double adoptive transfer. The response to stimulation in educated mice did not differ from that observed when control mice were subjected to CLP after adoptive transfer of either CD4 or CD8 memory T cells alone (Figure 5E). These findings suggest a role for naïve T cells; while CD8−/− mice lack both naïve and memory CD8 T cells, naïve CD8 T cells (but not memory T cells) are present in mice subjected to CD4 adoptive transfer.

DISCUSSION

The experiments detailed in this report demonstrate that T cell memory altered several key aspects of the response to CLP. Specifically, T cell memory affected 1) innate and adaptive immune responses, 2) organ dysfunction, and 3) mortality. The implications are profound. All adult humans have a significant and unique repertoire of memory T cells that arise from a lifetime of antigenic exposure. This repertoire is not, however, replicated in laboratory mice because these animals are maintained in an isolated, pathogen-free environment and exposure to antigenic stimulation is limited (6) Therefore, the absence of memory T cells represents a crucial difference between CLP, the most commonly-used animal model of sepsis, and human sepsis itself.

CLP and other animal models have provided important information regarding sepsis pathobiology. These models have not, however, identified therapeutic approaches that have advanced the treatment of sepsis. Several potential reasons for these difficulties arise when the recent re-definitions of sepsis as “life-threatening organ dysfunction caused by a dysregulated host response to infection” is considered (1). Adult humans have a significant memory T cell compartment that laboratory mice lack (6). Our work demonstrates that the introduction of immune education alters CLP-induced changes in both innate and adaptive immunity, suggesting that memory T cells contribute to “immune dysregulation.” Similarly, immune education increased hepatic dysfunction, suggesting that memory T cells play a key role in the development of organ dysfunction. Importantly, nearly all theoretical constructs of sepsis pathobiology are based on immune dysregulation/dysfunction – and most therapeutic approaches, many arising from experiments in laboratory mice, have focused on correcting these abnormalities. Thus, the lack of a robust memory T cell compartment in previous murine studies constitutes a critically important gap in our ability to translate findings from mice to human sepsis. Further, CD4 and CD8 T cell memory interaction is necessary to recapitulate findings in wild-type mice, indicating that involvement of these cells in the
FIGURE 5 | Effects of isolated and combined CD4 and CD8 memory on CLP-induced changes in the innate immune system. CLP was performed on educated (circles) and control (squares) CD4−/− (CD8 T cells only) and CD8−/− (CD4 T cells only) mice. In a separate cohort, flow-cytometry assisted sorted CD4 memory T cells, CD8 memory T cells or both were transferred into congenic B6.SJL mice. Seven days later, these mice and untreated control mice underwent CLP. In all groups, liver and spleen were harvested 24 h following CLP and peritoneal cavity washings were obtained. Cell samples were analyzed using flow cytometry. Gating: Monocyte-derived Dendritic Cells (MoDCs): FSC/SSC, singlets, Live, CD11c-/CD11b+, CD11b+/Ly6G-, CD11b+/MHCII+; Inflammatory monocytes: FSC/SSC, singlets, Live, CD11c-/CD11b+, CD11b+Ly6G-, CD11b+MHCII-, CD11b+/Ly6Chi; Neutrophils: FSC/SSC, singlets, Live, CD11c-/CD11b+, CD11b+Ly6G+, also Ly6Cint; Classical dendritic cells: FSC/SSC, singlets, Live, CD11c+/CD11b-, CD11c+/MHCII+. N=4–5/group for knockout mice, N = 4–9 for adoptive transfer experiments. Data as mean ± SEM, *p < 0.05 for all studies by two-way T test used in experiments involving knockout mice and by one-way ANOVA with Dunnett correction for multiple comparisons in adoptive transfer experiments. Figures representative of at least two independent experiments. Experimental data pooled for adoptive transfer. (A) Total moDCs, Ly6Chi monocytes and neutrophils in the liver of CD8−/− mice (CD4 memory T cells only) or CD4−/− mice (CD8 memory T cells only). Control (squares) and Educated (circles) mice. (B) Total classical DCs and moDCs in the liver following adoptive transfer and CLP. Controls (squares), CD4 memory T cells (inverted triangles), CD8 memory T cells (diamonds), both CD4 and CD8 memory T cells (crosses). (C) Flow cytometric plots of neutrophils (CD11b+/Ly6G+, top) and inflammatory monocytes (CD11b+/Ly6G+/MHCII+/Ly6Chi, bottom) from the liver 24 h following CLP in mice following adoptive transfer of CD4 T cells, CD8 T cells, both CD4 and CD8 T cells or sham injection. Neutrophils: FSC/SSC, singlets, Live, CD11c+/CD11b-, CD11b+Ly6G+, also Ly6Cint; Inflammatory monocytes: FSC/SSC, singlets, Live, CD11c+/CD11b-, CD11b+Ly6G+, CD11b+MHCII+, CD11b+/Ly6Chi; N = 3–5/group. (D) Hepatic and peritoneal Ly6Chi monocytes and neutrophils following adoptive transfer and CLP. Controls (inverted triangles), CD4 memory T cells (triangles), CD8 memory T cells (diamonds), or both CD4 and CD8 memory T cells (crosses). (E) Percent of splenic monocytes producing cytokines following Brefeldin A treatment and ex vivo LPS stimulation for 3 h. Left: Effects of CLP on control (squares) and educated (circles) CD8−/− mice (CD4 memory T cells only) or CD4−/− mice (CD8 memory T cells only). Right: Effects of CLP on controls (inverted triangles), mice with adoptive transfer of CD4 memory T cells (triangles), mice with adoptive transfer of CD8 memory T cells (diamonds), and mice with adoptive transfer of both CD4 and CD8 memory T cells (crosses).
The immune response independently could modulate human responses to sepsis and represent unique targets for future studies. Our results indicate that combined CD4 and CD8 T cell memory contribute independently to changes in this model. Combined T cell memory is rarely accounted for in animal models of human disease, nor is nonspecific T cell memory.

These studies have limitations. We induced isolated T cell memory. This is a model that allowed us to manipulate the T cell response specifically, but may not fully reflect the complexity of the human system as other parts of the immune system are likely changed by immunologic experiences. A recent report by Huggins et al., noted changes in the CLP model in laboratory mice co-housed with pet store mice (17). The results were modulated by Toll-like receptor (TLR) – 4 and were associated with diversification of the microbiome, consistent with findings reported by others under different experimental conditions (18–22). As in the findings reported here, CLP-induced mortality and serum cytokine levels increased in co-housed mice, as did IFNγ production by T cells in response to Listeria infection. Thus, the findings in these two studies complement each other. The Huggins et al. model may more closely replicate the manner in which the memory T cell compartment develops in nature, allowing for identification of how interactions between the innate and adaptive immune systems develop. In contrast, our approach focused solely on the T cell memory response.

Another method utilized to induce increased T cell memory has been inoculation of mice with serial infections with Listeria monocytogenes and lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus (LCMV), both of which induce a T cell mediated immune response. Utilization of this model has been used to demonstrate increased T cell loss mediated by upregulation of 2B4 coinhibitory receptor during CLP challenge. While this model may mimic bacterial challenge following specific viral or bacterial infection, the T cell clonal memory developed in response to Listeria and LCMV are very specific with well-defined, finite epitope specificity. The anti-CD3 model activates a far more diverse repertoire of T cell clones, likely causing variation in the response to CLP (23).

We also examined T cell memory formed through uniform induction. While the anti-CD3 T cell memory model likely leads to development of diverse T cell memory without alteration of the innate immune system, the method of T cell activation through dendritic cell synapse formation through Fc receptor binding is artificial and may bias the formation of T cell memory in a specific immunologic direction. Therefore, the true human physiologic T cell response may be far more varied. Variation in human genetics or the environment at the time of memory induction may lead to alteration in the T cell response. Further study of other aspects of an experienced immune system are needed, but mechanistic understandings from these models will be extremely difficult due to the inherent complexity and variability of a mouse or human exposed to a lifetime of antigenic exposure. Similarly, to begin to translate these findings to human disease, the diversity of the T cell response during sepsis must be examined. The variability of the human T cell repertoire is profound even in normal healthy humans, but patterns in the T cell response to sepsis may occur given specific infections and sepsis phenotypes. This work supports pursuing examination of these responses during sepsis to begin to identify sepsis phenotype subsets that may portend different responses to treatments or outcomes.

The contribution of immune education on the liver requires additional comment. We chose to examine hepatic changes because we have also demonstrated that immune education alters the immune cell repertoire in the liver. Our work revealed that T cell memory exacerbated CLP-induced hepatic dysfunction. These findings suggest a link between immune responses and organ dysfunction that is in part mediated by T cell memory. Future studies will examine 1) direct effects of memory T cells themselves, 2) the importance of antigen-specific and/or nonspecific, antigen independent T cell activity, 3) the role of the varied T cell subsets, and 4) the contribution of memory T cell-mediated effects on other immune cells, such as neutrophils or inflammatory monocytes. Future studies will also examine effects of immune education on CLP-induced dysfunction in other organ systems.

Perhaps most importantly, mortality is the outcome variable most often assessed in both human clinical trials and following CLP. Indeed, translation from bench to bedside is usually based on the ability of a therapeutic approach to improve survival in an animal model. Our studies revealed that induction of a broad and robust memory T cell compartment prior to CLP significantly decreased survival. These finding suggest that sepsis therapies developed in lab mice, that is, in the absence of a robust memory T cell repertoire, are likely to fail in humans whose immune systems are rich in memory T cells. Clinical trials in humans confirm the validity of this statement as very few have successfully translated into successful treatment.

In summary, we have shown that the presence of memory T cells alters the immune response, organ dysfunction and survival following CLP. These three are the defining characteristics identified in the current definitions of sepsis (1). We believe that the presence of a robust memory T cell repertoire adds an element of construct validity to CLP, providing a model that more closely resembles adult human sepsis. Future studies into sepsis and, indeed, all inflammatory disorders should consider the contribution of T cell memory.

**DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT**

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material; further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

**ETHICS STATEMENT**

The animal study was reviewed and approved by Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Northwell Health.

**AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

MT designed the research study, conducted the experiments, acquired and analyzed all data, and wrote the manuscript. TF, AK, and MA assisted in all animal experiments, performed procedures, assisted in experimentation, and reviewed the
manuscript. CD assisted in study design, data analysis, assisted in writing the manuscript, and supervised all aspects of the research. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

**FUNDING**

MT received funding from the NIH NIGMS K08GM132794 and from the Thrasher Research Fund Early Career Award 14734. CD received funding from the NIH NIGMS R01GM121102.

**REFERENCES**

1. Singer M, Deutschman CS, Seymour CW, Shankar-Hari M, Annane D, Bauer M, et al. The Third International Consensus Definitions for Sepsis and Septic Shock (Sepsis-3). JAMA (2016) 315(8):801–10. doi: 10.1001/jama.2016.0287
2. Fleischmann C, Scherag A, Adhikari NK, Hartog CS, Tsaganos T, Schlattmann P, et al. Assessment of Global Incidence and Mortality of Hospital-treated Sepsis. Current Estimates and Limitations. Ann J Respir Crit Care Med (2016) 193(3):259–72. doi: 10.1164/rrc.201504-0781OC
3. Rhodes A, Evans LE, Alhazzani W, Antonelli M, Ferrer R, et al. Surviving Sepsis Campaign: International Guidelines for Management of Sepsis and Septic Shock: 2016. Crit Care Med (2017) 45(3):486–552. doi: 10.1097/CCM.0000000000002255
4. Osuchowski MF, Ayala A, Bahrami S, Bauer M, Boros M, Cavaillon JM, et al. Minimum Quality Threshold in Pre-Clinical Sepsis Studies (MQTiPSS): an international expert consensus initiative for improvement of animal modeling in sepsis. Infection (2018) 46(5):687–91. doi: 10.1007/s15010-018-1183-8
5. Abolins S, King EC, Lazarou L, Weldon L, Hughes L, Drescher P, et al. The comparative immunology of wild and laboratory mice, Mus musculus domesticus. Nat Commun (2017) 8:14811. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-01455-2
6. Beura LK, Hamilton SE, Bi K, Schenkel JM, Odumade OA, Casey KA, et al. Normalizing the environment recapitulates adult human immune traits in laboratory mice. Nature (2016) 532(7600):512–6. doi: 10.1038/nature17655
7. Taylor MD, Brewer MR, Deutschman CS. Induction of diverse T cell memory through antibody-mediated activation in mice. Eur J Immunol (2020) 50(11):1838–40. doi: 10.1002/eji.2020048570
8. Abejo AS, Andrejko KM, Raj NR, Deutschman CS. Failed interleukin-6 signal transduction in murine sepsis: attenuation of hepatic glycoprotein 130 phosphorylation. Crit Care Med (2009) 37(5):1729–34. doi: 10.1097/CCM.0b013e31819de81
9. Taylor MD, Burn TN, Wherry EJ, Behrens EM. CD8 T Cell Memory Increases Immunopathology in the Perforin-Deficient Model of Hemophagocytic Lymphohistiocytosis Secondary to TNF-alpha. Immunohorizons (2018) 2(2):67–73. doi: 10.4049/immunohorizons.1800003
10. Ma XJ, Chow JM, Gri G, Carra G, Gerosa F, Wolf SE, et al. The interleukin 12 p40 gene promoter is primed by interleukon gamma in monocytic cells. J Exp Med (1996) 183(1):147–57. doi: 10.1084/jem.183.1.147
11. Soudja SM, Chandrabos C, Yakob E, Veenstra M, Palliser D, Lauvau G. Memory-T cell-derived interleukon-gamma instructs potent innate cell activation for protective immunity. J Immunol (2014) 40(6):974–88. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2014.05.005
12. Andrejko KM, Raj NR, Kim PK, Cereda M, Deutschman CS. IL-6 modulates sepsis-induced decreases in transcription of hepatic organic anion and bile acid transporters. Shock (2008) 29(4):490–6. doi: 10.1097/SHK.0b013e318150762b
13. Cabrera-Perez J, Condotta SA, James BR, Kashem SW, Brincks EL, Rai D, et al. Alterations in antigen-specific naive CD4 T cell precursors after sepsis impair their responsiveness to pathogen challenge. J Immunol (2015) 194(4):1609–20. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1401711
14. Duong S, Condotta SA, Rai D, Martin MD, Griffith TS, Badovinac VP. Polymicrobial sepsis alters antigen-dependent and -independent memory CD8 T cell functions. J Immunol (2014) 192(8):3618–25. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1303460
15. Martignoni A, Tschop J, Goetzman HS, Choi LG, Reid MD, Johannigman JA, et al. CD4-expressing cells are early mediators of the innate immune system during sepsis. Shock (2008) 29(5):591–7. doi: 10.1097/shk.0b013e318157f427
16. Sherwood ER, Enoh V, Murphy ED, Lin CY. Mice depleted of CD8+ T and NK cells are resistant to injury caused by cecal ligation and puncture. Lab Invest (2004) 84(12):1653–65. doi: 10.1038/labinvest.3700184
17. Huggins MA, Sjaastad FV, Pierson M, Kucaba TA, Swanson W, Staley C, et al. Microbial Exposure Enhances Immunity to Pathogens Recognized by TLR2 but Increases Susceptibility to Cytokine Storm through TLR4 Sensitization. Cell Rep (2019) 28(7):1729–43 e5. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2019.07.028
18. Weaver LK, Minichino D, Biswas C, Chu N, Lee JJ, Bittinger K, et al. Microbiota-dependent signals are required to sustain TLR-mediated immune responses. JCI Insight (2019) 4(1):e124370. doi: 10.1172/jci.insight.124370
19. Ivamura C, Bouladoux N, Belkaid Y, Sher A, Jankovic D. Sensing of the microbiota by NOD1 in mesenchymal stromal cells regulates murine hematopoiesis. Blood (2017) 129(2):171–6. doi: 10.1182/blood-2016-06-723742
20. Josefsdottir KS, Baldridge MT, Kadmon CS, King KY. Antibiotics impair murine hematopoiesis by depleting the intestinal microbiota. Blood (2017) 129(6):729–39. doi: 10.1182/blood-2016-03-708594
21. Khosravi A, Yanez A, Price JG, Chow A, Merad M, Goodridge HS, et al. Gut microbiota promote hematopoiesis to control bacterial infection. Cell Host Microbe (2014) 15(3):374–81. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2014.02.006
22. Deshmukh HS, Liu Y, Menkiti OR, Mei J, Dai N, O’Leary CE, et al. The microbiota regulates neutrophil homeostasis and host resistance to Escherichia coli K1 sepsis in neonatal mice. Nat Med (2014) 20(5):524–30. doi: 10.1038/nm.3542
23. Xie J, Chen CW, Sun Y, Laurie SJ, Zhang W, Otani S, et al. Increased attrition of memory T cells during sepsis requires 2B4. JCI Insight (2019) 4(9):e126030. doi: 10.1172/jci.insight.126030

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We would like to thank Mariana Brewer and Daniel Leisman for feedback during the experiments performed here.

**SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL**

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2020.563402/full#supplementary-material

Conflict of Interest: CD is a consultant for Enlivex Therapeutics Inc, Jerusalem, Israel.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Taylor, Fernandes, Kelly, Abraham and Deutschman. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.