Correction of Class II Division 2 Deepbite Malocclusion with Non-extraction Therapy
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INTRODUCTION
Class II malocclusion is among the common malocclusions that is faced in orthodontic practice. Early diagnosis and proper management can avoid the unnecessary extractions and even orthognathic surgeries that might be needed in some cases. Monobloc (anterior bite plane) appliance can be used in such case to treat the growing skeletal malocclusion. Further treatment refinement is done using Class II elastics, which shows desirable mandibular growth leading to non-extraction correction of skeletal and dental Class II malocclusion.

CASE HISTORY
A 13 years and 7 months old female patient whose chief complaint in her own words was “I don’t like the way my upper teeth are” was presented to the Department of Orthodontics, Peoples Dental College and Hospital. The patient’s medical history was noncontributory and her dental history included routine dental check-ups. Other findings and history were unremarkable and perverse habits were not present.

CLINICAL EXAMINATION
Extra-oral examination of the patient showed symmetric and leptoprosopic facial type on frontal view. The profile view revealed prominent chin, convex profile, prominent upper lip, deep labio-mental sulcus, average nasolabial angle, and competent lip. Temporomandibular joint examinations confirmed normal joints and maximum inter-incisal opening was acceptable with no deviation. No joint noises were perceptible in excursive movements of the mandible.

Orthodontically the patient presented with Angle’s Class II Division 2 malocclusion. Complete traumatic deep bite with 2 mm overjet and flared upper lateral incisors was noted. There was mild lower anterior crowding (2 mm) and mild upper anterior crowding (1.5 mm); and a moderate curve of Spee in both arches. The soft tissue was within normal limits (Figure 1).

CEPHALOMETRIC EVALUATION
Cephalometric analysis (Figure 2) revealed normognathic maxilla (SNA 82°), rethrogognathic mandible (SNB 73°); skeletal Class II relationship (ANB 9°) with a horizontal growth pattern (Y-axis growth 54°). The upper and lower central incisors were retroclined (UL to NA angle 6°, LI to NB angle 8°), and the chin was prominent (Table I). Panoramic evaluation revealed permanent dentition with all permanent teeth present. The periodontal condition was within normal limits (Figure 3).

TREATMENT
After reviewing the diagnostic records and patient history; non-extraction orthodontic correction with
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Table 1: Comparative cephalometric analysis

| Parameters      | Pre treatment | Post treatment | Mean value |
|-----------------|---------------|----------------|------------|
| SNA             | 78            | 83             | 83.2       |
| SNB             | 73            | 78             | 79.8       |
| ANB             | 5             | 5              | 3.4        |
| UI to NA        | 6             | 21             | 21.3       |
| LI to NB        | 8             | 30             | 26.3       |
| Facial Angle    | 90            | 87             | 89.8       |
| Angle of Convexity | 4           | 4               | 3.1        |
| Cant of OP      | 4             | 4              | 7.1        |
| MP angle        | 16            | 20             | 23.2       |
| Y-axis          | 54            | 57             | 58.6       |

The overbite and overjet were corrected; and maxillary and mandibular crowding was eliminated. The final cephalometric radiograph is shown in Figure 5. The posterior intercuspation was excellent with occlusal settlement and the panoramic radiograph (Figure 6) showed near parallel root position. The maxilla showed a slight forward movement, most likely because of the residual growth. The mandible moved in a favorable forward and downward direction. The upper and lower incisors showed excellent inter-arch relationship. Slight extrusion and significant protraction of the lower molars were observed (Figure 4). This can be attributed to Class II mechanics that opened the bite. The following esthetic changes were achieved: a decrease in facial convexity, increase in anterior facial height, and flatter labiomental sulcus. The profile was well balanced.

DISCUSSION

The treatment attempted to potentiate more forward growth and development of the mandible. Use of mono-block (anterior bite plane) worked for anterior placement of mandible as well as for correction of deep bite; also to eliminate crowding, correct midline discrepancy, obtain good torque and root axial inclination. Obtaining Class I molar and canine relationship; obtaining an acceptable overjet and overbite; achieve proper intercuspation; improve facial profile by reducing facial convexity and increasing anterior lower facial height were other treatment goals achieved.
