Complex and multilevel left ventricular outflow tract obstruction: What can 3D echocardiography add?
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Abstract

Background: Subaortic obstruction by a membrane or systolic anterior motion of the mitral valve leaflets is usually suspected in young patients, especially if the anatomy of the aortic valve is not clearly stenotic and unexplained left ventricular hypertrophy exists in the context of high transaortic gradients.

Main body: In certain circumstances, some patients show both aortic and subaortic stenotic lesions of variable severity. Doppler echocardiography can help in grading severity in the case of single-level obstruction but not in patients with multilevel obstruction where the continuity equation is of no value. Three-dimensional (3D) echocardiography allows "en-face" visualization of each level of the aortic valve and subaortic tract; in addition, direct planimetry of the areas can be done using multiplanar reformatting.

Conclusions: Accordingly, 3D echocardiography plays a crucial role in the assessment in patients with multilevel left ventricular outflow tract obstruction as it can accurately delineate the location and size, and severity of the stenosis.
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Background

Obstruction of the left ventricular tract usually has variable etiologies and severity. It can be subaortic, aortic, or supra-aortic stenosis, single or multilevel stenosis [1–3]. The continuity equation is the most sensitive method in grading isolated aortic valve stenosis, provided that neither significant aortic valve regurgitation nor subaortic obstruction is present [4, 5]. Subvalvular aortic stenosis may have a dynamic component and may be due to a fibrous membrane, muscular obstruction, or combined.

Diagnosis of aortic valve stenosis in special situations

Rheumatic valve infection is endemic in some of the developing countries affecting 10:1,000–15:1,000 patients, being the commonest cause of valve surgeries...
Fig. 1 2D TTE imaging sequence for the aortic–subaortic complex

Fig. 2 2D TOE imaging sequence for the aortic–subaortic complex

Fig. 3 X-plane-derived aortic valve area
Fig. 4 X-plane-derived aortic valve area (panel A) and subaortic area at the level of the subaortic membrane (panel B).

Fig. 5 3D zoomed mode, "en-face" view of the aortic valve (panel A) and subaortic membrane from the ascending aorta perspective (panel B) and subaortic membrane from LV apex (panel C).

Fig. 6 Multiplanar reformatting (MPR) of the 3D volume of the aortic valve. (panel A) Direct planimetry of the narrowest AV orifice was obtained (Panel B).
and causing nearly 300,000 deaths/year. Although it commonly affects the mitral valve, aortic valve can be affected in up to 30% of cases, resulting in serious effects on left ventricular function, quality of life, and overall prognosis. Nine percent of the patients have isolated aortic stenosis, 14% have isolated regurgitation, and 6% have mixed lesions. Rheumatic post-inflammatory lesions result in thickness, fibrosis of the aortic valve, and shrinkage of the cusps usually with fusion at the commissures and sometimes calcification [6–8].

Like the rheumatic mitral valve, the aortic valve shows systolic doming that makes proper planimetry of the narrowest orifice difficult to be done by the conventional two-dimensional (2D) transesophageal echocardiography.
Moreover, coexisting mitral valve stenosis is commonly encountered. This can lead to paradoxically low gradients across the aortic valve [9–12].

3D echocardiography shows greater sensitivity in comparison with 2D TOE, especially with the use of multiplanar reformatting (MPR) of the 3D volumes and biplane mode. With the help of MPR, 3D-derived LVOT and aortic valve areas can be accurately traced.

**Localization of the level of obstruction**

Conventional 2D echocardiography allows the localization of the obstruction using Doppler echocardiography yet, it is not useful in patients with severe aortic valve regurgitation and in combined valvular and subvalvular stenosis where continuity equation will not be valid.
Proposed protocol

With the advent of 3D echocardiography, planimetered areas of the aortic valve and LVOT can be easily traced.

It is recommended to start with 2D parasternal views with color Doppler to appreciate the aortic valve opening, presence of subaortic membrane and associated systolic anterior motion (SAM) of the mitral valve leaflets.

Apical 5- and 3-chamber views and right parasternal view if possible are of great value to measure the gradients across the LVOT (Fig. 1, Additional file 1: Video S1).

Using 2D TOE, aortic long- and short-axis mid-esophageal views and aortic transgastric views are more sensitive than transthoracic echocardiography (TTE) to clearly assess the above-mentioned data (Fig. 2).

3D-derived X-plane, zoomed and full-volume multi-beat modes of acquisition are highly recommended to grade the severity of the stenosis. In the X-plane mode, TOE-derived aortic long-axis is obtained (nearly at ~120°), the line at the reference clip should be placed at the level of obstruction (Aortic cusps’ tips or subaortic membrane) and then frozen the clip at the mid-systolic frame, and then, either the aortic valve area (AVA) or LVOT area can be easily traced in the corresponding perpendicular plane (Figs. 3, 4, Additional file 2 and 3: Video S2, S3).

Using zoomed and full-volume multi-beat modes, “en-face” views of the aortic and subaortic planes can be easily obtained. The morphology and dynamic variations of each level are much easier and faster to be assessed in comparison with 2D TOE (Fig. 5, Additional file 4, 5 and 6: Video S4–S6).

Moreover, with routine exercising of MPR-derived measurements, areas of the valves and stenotic tracts can be easily traced as they are less susceptible to gain artifacts (Figs. 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, Additional file 7 and 8: Video S7, S8). A stepwise approach for the assessment of aortic–mitral and aortic–subaortic stenoses is illustrated in Fig. 15.
and subaortic stenosis is highly evolving with an accurate assessment of the size, location, and severity of each level of obstruction. Subsequently, 3D echocardiography is an excellent imaging tool to provide a roadmap before surgical interventions in such cases.

**Conclusions**

With the revolution of 3D echocardiography, a better understanding of the anatomical details of complex lesions is no longer impossible. Being radiation-free and less invasive, 3D echocardiographic evaluation of aortic and subaortic stenosis is highly evolving with an accurate assessment of the size, location, and severity of each level of obstruction. Subsequently, 3D echocardiography is an excellent imaging tool to provide a roadmap before surgical interventions in such cases.
Fig. 12  Upper panels, multiplanar reformatting (MPR)-derived diameters and area of the LVOT at the level of the subaortic membrane. (10 X 12 mm and 1.1 cm², respectively) Lower panels, multiplanar reformatting (MPR)-derived aortic valve area (mid-systolic and at the tips) is 3.1 cm²
Fig. 13 Right upper panel, 2D TOE (ME aortic long-axis view) shows small rims of the subaortic membrane seen immediately adherent to the aortic cusps. Left upper panel, 2D TOE (ME aortic short-axis view) shows the trileaflet aortic valve. Right lower panel, 2D TOE (TG aortic long-axis view) shows a small tunnel of the LVOT. Left lower panel, 2D TOE (TG short axis of the LV) shows hypertrophy of the left ventricle and papillary muscles.
Fig. 14 Upper panels, multiplanar reformatting (MPR)-derived aortic valve area (mid-systolic and at the tips) is 1.1 cm². Multiplanar reformatting (MPR)-derived area of the LVOT at the level of the subaortic membrane is 1.0 cm².

Central Illustration: Step-wise approach for assessment of aortic, aortic-mitral and aortic-subaortic stenoses

Fig. 15 Stepwise approach for the assessment of aortic–mitral and aortic–subaortic stenoses
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Additional file 1. Video 1: 2D TTE, right parasternal view shows restricted and doming aortic cusps.
Additional file 2. Video 2: X-plane-derived aortic valve area.
Additional file 3. Video 3: X-plane-derived subaortic area.
Additional file 4. Video 4: Fluttering motion of the aortic cusps in case of the subaortic membrane.
Additional file 5. Video 5: Zoomed mode (LVOT perspective) shows circumferential narrowing of the LVOT with a subaortic membrane.
Additional file 6. Video 6: Zoomed mode (LV apex) shows a subaortic membrane.
Additional file 7. Video 7: Multplanar reformatting (MPR)-derived aortic valve area.
Additional file 8. Video 8: Multplanar reformatting (MPR)-derived sub-aortic area.
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