Prevalence of susceptibility patterns of opportunistic bacteria in line with CLSI or EUCAST among *Haemophilus parainfluenzae* isolated from respiratory microbiota

Urszula Kosikowska, Sylwia Andrzejczuk, Ewelina Grywalska, Edyta Chwiejczak, Stanisław Winiarczyk, Dorota Pietras-Ożga & Dagmara Stępień-Pyśniak

The application of CLSI and EUCAST guidelines led to many discrepancies. Various doubts have already appeared in preliminary stages of microbiological diagnostics of *Haemophilus* spp. A total of 87 *H. parainfluenzae* isolates were obtained from throat or nasopharyngeal swabs from adults 18 to 70 years old, both healthy volunteers and patients with chronic diseases between 2013 to 2015 in eastern Poland. *Haemophilus* spp. were identified by colony morphology, Gram-staining, API NH and MALDI-TOF MS technique. Both susceptibility to various antimicrobials and phenotypes of *Haemophilus* spp. resistance to beta-lactams were determined. Statistically significant association between applied guidelines and drug resistance patterns were observed as follows: ampicillin, cefuroxime, cefotaxime, amoxicillin-clavulanate, azithromycin, tetracycline and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole. Resistance phenotypes according to CLSI vs. EUCAST were as follows: 3.4% vs. 8.0% for BLNAR and 6.9% vs. 19.5% for BLPACR isolates. In conclusion, this is the first study that reports comparative analysis of drug susceptibility interpretation using CLSI and EUCAST of haemophilic rods from human respiratory microbiota in Poland. In case of susceptible, increased exposure (formerly intermediate) category of susceptibility within *H. parainfluenzae* isolates we have observed EUCAST as more restrictive than CLSI. Moreover, BLNAl and BLPAI phenotype isolates have been observed, as well as BLPBR using only CLSI or EUCAST guidelines, respectively.

Recently, attention of many researchers is focused on microbes creating human microbiota, their mutual relationships and influence on host's health. Gastrointestinal microbiota is still the most thoroughly examined. Nevertheless, numerous researchers continuously working on other human systems (e.g. respiratory tract), focused on colonizing microorganisms and their potential relevance for host. Many factors, e.g., way of delivery, having siblings, diet, chronic or recurrent diseases, viral infections or taking various medications, may possibly contribute to the biodiversity, balance, qualitative or quantitative composition of respiratory microbiota. A frequent and dynamic changes in these microbiota, leading to increased number of infections, including lung and bronchioles diseases in children take abovementioned factors into consideration. Mucous membranes of the upper and lower respiratory tract are recognized as natural environment for numerous commensal microorganisms and pathogens that compose respiratory microbiota such as *Haemophilus* spp., including *Haemophilus*.
Table 1. Differences and statistics between *Haemophilus parainfluenzae* susceptibilities to a various antimicrobials in accordance to CLSI 2016/2020,12,23,24 and EUCAST 2017/2020,12,23,24 recommendations. AM: ampicillin, CXM: cefuroxime (oral), CTX: cefotaxime, IPM: imipenem, MEM: meropenem, AMC: amoxicillin-clavulanate, SAM: ampicillin-sulbactam, CIP: ciprofloxacin, AZM: azithromycin, TE: tetracycline, C: chloramphenicol, SXT: trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, S: susceptible isolates, I: susceptible, increased exposure (formerly intermediate) isolates, R: resistant isolates, CLSI Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; EUCAST European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing; nd not determined.

**Susceptibility category** | **Criteria** | **AM** | **CXM** | **CTX** | **IMP** | **MEM** | **AMC** | **SAM** | **CIP** | **AZM** | **TE** | **C** | **SXT** |
--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
**S** | CLSI 2016 | 73 (83.9) | 78 (89.7) | 69 (79.3) | 83 (95.4) | 83 (95.4) | 78 (89.7) | 76 (87.4) | 84 (96.6) | 83 (95.4) | 30 (34.5) | 73 (83.9) | 70 (80.5) |
| | CLSI 2020 | 73 (83.9) | 77 (88.5) | 69 (79.3) | 83 (95.4) | 83 (95.4) | 78 (89.7) | 76 (87.4) | 84 (96.6) | 83 (95.4) | 30 (34.5) | 73 (83.9) | 70 (80.5) |
| | EUCAST 2017 | 55 (63.2) | 0 (0.0) | 56 (64.4) | 80 (92.0) | 80 (92.0) | 85 (97.7) | 83 (95.4) | 80 (92.0) | 0 (0.0) | 70 (80.5) | 64 (73.6) | 80 (92.0) |
| | EUCAST 2020 | 40 (46.0) | 0 (0.0) | 48 (55.2) | 80 (92.0) | 80 (92.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 80 (92.0) | 0 (0.0) | 70 (80.5) | 64 (73.6) | 80 (92.0) |
P value | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | 0.0006 | 0.6266 | 0.6266 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | 0.3346 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | 0.3346 | <0.0001 | 0.0144 |
**I** | CLSI 2016 | 7 (8.0) | 3 (3.4) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 2 (2.3) | 6 (6.9) | 1 (1.1) | 0 (0.0) | 37 (42.5) | 8 (9.2) | 4 (4.6) |
| | CLSI 2020 | 7 (8.0) | 4 (4.6) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 2 (2.3) | 6 (6.9) | 1 (1.1) | 0 (0.0) | 37 (42.5) | 8 (9.2) | 4 (4.6) |
| | EUCAST 2017 | 0 (0.0) | 54 (62.1) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 87 (100.0) | 9 (10.3) | 0 (0.0) | 3 (3.4) |
| | EUCAST 2020 | 0 (0.0) | 48 (55.2) | 8 (9.2) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 85 (97.7) | 81 (93.0) | 0 (0.0) | 87 (100.0) | 9 (10.3) | 0 (0.0) | 3 (3.4) |
P value | nd | <0.0001 | nd | nd | nd | <0.0001 | 0.0008 | 0.0008 | 0.9605 |

**Results**

**Susceptibility categories with CLSI and EUCAST.** Due to a switching the interpretational criteria from CLSI into EUCAST brought statistically significant results in categorization of *H. parainfluenzae* isolates tested (Table 1). In the group of sensitive isolates major differences (*p* < 0.0001) have occurred in case of: cefuroxime, amoxicillin-clavulanate, ampicillin-sulbactam and azithromycin, as well as in cefotaxime (*p* = 0.0006) and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (*p* = 0.0216). Isolates categorized as antimicrobials' resistant differed the most (*p* < 0.0001) in case of as follows: ampicillin, cefuroxime and chloramphenicol, as well as cefotaxime (*p* = 0.0223),
tetracycline ($p=0.0065$) and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole ($p=0.0144$). In Fig. 1 major differences between sensitive, increased exposure and resistant isolates with previous and actual recommendations were presented.

**Figure 1.** Differences in the number of susceptible, extended exposure (A) and resistant (B) *Haemophilus parainfluenzae* isolates between CLSI vs. EUCAST recommendations. *p < 0.05, AMC amoxicillin-clavulanate, CTX cefotaxime, IPM imipenem, MEM meropenem, SXT trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, CXM cefuroxime, AM ampicillin, TE tetracycline, SAM ampicillin-sulbactam, AZM azithromycin, CIP ciprofloxacin, C chloramphenicol, CLSI Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, EUCAST European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing.*

cLSi vs. eUcASt reliance on susceptibility patterns. Table 2 shows susceptibility patterns reliance on applied criteria. We observed that a total of 65.5% (57/87) of *H. parainfluenzae* beta-lactams RPs obtained by both criteria were covered with $p<0.001$. We have found 48.3% (42/87) vs. 73.6% (64/87) *H. parainfluenzae*
| Recommendation version | CLSI | EUCAST |
|-------------------------|------|--------|
|                         | 2016 | 2020   | 2017   | 2020   |
| **Incubation conditions** |      |        |        |        |
| Temperature             | 35 ± 2 °C | 18 ± 2 h | 35 ± 1 °C | 18 ± 2 h |
| Duration                | 16–18 h | 5% CO₂  | 18 ± 2 h | 5% CO₂ |
| Atmosphere              | 5% CO₂ |        |         |        |
| **Inoculum**            | Direct colony suspension, equivalent to a 0.5 McFarland standard prepared using colonies from an overnight (preferably 20- to 24-h) chocolate agar plate | 0.5 McFarland standard |
| **Medium**              | Haemophilus test medium (HTM) | Mueller-Hinton agar + 5% defibrinated horse blood and 20 mg/L β-NAD (MH-F) |

**Table 2.** Key practical differences in methodologies between CLSI and EUCAST recommendations for antimicrobial susceptibility testing of *Haemophilus parainfluenzae* isolates by using disk diffusion method. AM ampicillin, CXM cefuroxime (oral), CTX cefotaxime, IPM imipenem, MEM meropenem, AMC amoxicillin-clavulanate, SAM ampicillin-sulbactam, CIP ciprofloxacin, AZM azithromycin, TE tetracycline, C chloramphenicol, SXT trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, S susceptible isolates, I susceptible, increased exposure (formerly intermediate) isolates, R resistant isolates, CLSI Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; EUCAST European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing; 0.5 *McFarland* standard bacterial suspension comprising 1–4 × 10⁸ CFU/mL; NAD nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide; ATU Area of Technical Uncertainty; ATCC American Type Culture Collection. Notes: 1. AMoxicillin-clavulanate, azithromycin, cefadroxil, cefditoren, cefuroxime, cefixime, and clarithromycin used as empiric therapy for *Haemophilus* spp. respiratory tract infections. 2. Rare BLNAR *H. influenzae* considered as resistant to amoxicillin-clavulanate, ampicillin-sulbactam, cefaclor, cefadroxil, cefixime, cefotetan, cefonicid, cefpodoxim, cefuroxime, loracarbef, and piperacillin-tazobactam, despite apparent in vitro susceptibility of some BLNAR strains to these agents. For CSF *H. influenzae*, only results of ampicillin, any of the 3rd-generation cephalosporins, chloramphenicol, and meropenem appropriate to report. 3. Not routinely reported on urinary tract isolates. 4. Erythromycin used to determine susceptibility to azithromycin, clarithromycin and roxithromycin. 5. Benzylpenicillin used to screening, not to distinguish beta-lactamase producing isolates or with PBP mutations. 6. Susceptibility can be inferred from amoxicillin-clavulanic acid. 7. Equivalent with susceptibility to doxycycline and minocycline, but some tetracycline resistant may be susceptible to minocycline and/or doxycycline; an MIC should be used if required. 8. Trimethoprim:sulfamethoxazole in the ratio 1:19; breakpoints expressed as trimethoprim concentration. 9. Clinical evidence for macrolides efficacy in *H. influenzae* respiratory infections is conflicting due to high spontaneous cure rates; should there be a need to test any macrolide, the epidemiological cut-offs should be used as follows: azithromycin 4 mg/L, clarithromycin 32 mg/L, erythromycin 16 mg/L and telithromycin 8 mg/L. 10. Benzylpenicillin screen used to exclude beta-lactam resistance mechanisms. 11. ATU relevant only if benzylpenicillin disk screen is positive. 12. Susceptibility inferred from amoxicillin-clavulanic acid. 13. Nalidixic acid test used to screen for fluoroquinolone resistance; nalidixic acid susceptibility can be reported as susceptible to ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin, moxifloxacin and ofloxacin. 14. Indications other than meningitis. 15. Equivalent with susceptibility to doxycycline and minocycline, but some tetracycline resistant may be susceptible to minocycline and/or doxycycline; an MIC should be used if required. 16. *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 29213—control strain used for testing amoxicillin-clavulanate. 17. *Escherichia coli* ATCC 35218—control strain used for testing amoxicillin-clavulanate.
| Clinical isolate | Susceptibility patterns according to (No. of isolates, n = 87) |
|------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
|                  | **CLSI 2016** | **EUCAST 2017** |
| **Group of isolates from healthy volunteers** | | |
| 2AU              | AmC Ctx Ipm Mem Sxt | Csx Ctx |
| 2BU              | Am Te            | Am Csx Ctx |
| 2CU              | Am AmC Sam Ctx   | Am Sam Csx |
| 3CU              | Te              | - |
| 4AU              | Sxt              | Ipm |
| 5BU              | Am Te Sxt       | Am Sam Te |
| 6BU              | Ctx Te          | Csx Ctx |
| 7AU              | Te              | Ctx |
| 10AU             | -               | Ipm |
| 10BU             | Am AmC Sam Csx Ctx Ipm Mem Azm | Am Sam Csx Ctx |
| 11AU             | AmC Sam Csx Ctx | Am Csx |
| 11BU             | -               | Csx Ctx Cip |
| 21AU             | Mem             | - |
| 21BU             | -               | C |
| 21CU             | -               | C |
| 22AU             | -               | Ctx Mem |
| 23AU             | -               | Am Csx Ctx C |
| 23CU             | Csx Ctx Ipm     | Am Csx Ctx C |
| 24AU             | Sam Ctx Ipm     | Am Csx Ctx Sxt |
| 24BU             | Csx Ctx C Sxt   | - |
| 24CU             | Sxt             | - |
| 24GU             | -               | Am Csx Ctx C |
| 25CU             | Csx C Sxt       | Csx Mem |
| 25BU             | Am Ctx          | Am Csx Ctx |
| 26BU             | Csx Ctx C Sxt   | C |
| 26CU             | -               | Ctx |
| 27BU             | Csx Ctx        | Ctx |
| 27CU             | -               | Ctx |
| 28BU             | -               | Ctx |
| 28CU             | -               | Ipm, C |
| 39CU             | -               | Am Csx Ctx C Sxt |
| 43AU             | Ctx Azm Te C   | Ctx |
| 46BU             | -               | - |
| 47BU             | -               | Ctx |
| 50AU             | Sxt             | Csx, C |
| 50CU             | -               | Am |
| 50DU             | Ctx             | - |
| W1HB             | Te              | Am |
| W1HC             | -               | Am |
| W1HE             | -               | Am |
| W2HA             | -               | Am Csx Ctx C |
| W3HA             | -               | Am Csx Ctx C |
| W3HB             | Sxt             | Am Csx Ctx |
| W3HC             | -               | Sxt |
| W4HB             | AmC Ctx Te     | Csx Ctx Ipm Mem |
| W4HC             | Sxt             | Am AmC Csx Ctx Ipm Mem Te Cip C |
| W5HD             | AmC Azm Te C   | Am Csx Te Cip C |
| W5HP             | Te              | Am AmC Csx Ctx Te C |
| W6HB             | -               | Am Csx Te Cip C |
| W7HB             | -               | C |
| W7HC             | Te              | Am Mem |

Continued
Table 3. Comparison of antimicrobial susceptibility patterns of *Haemophilus parainfluenzae* according to CLSI 2016 and EUCAST 2017 recommendations. *AmC* amoxicillin-clavulanate, *Ctx* cefotaxime, *Ipm* imipenem, *Mem* meropenem, *Sxt* trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, *Cxm* cefuroxime, *Am* ampicillin, *Te* tetracycline, *Sam* ampicillin-sulbactam, *Azm* azithromycin, *Cip* ciprofloxacin, *C* chloramphenicol, *CLSI* Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, *EUCAST* European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing.

![Figure 2. Percentage of *Haemophilus parainfluenzae* resistant to various number of antimicrobials classes. Grey bars group of isolates selected from patients with chronic diseases, dark bars control group of isolates selected from healthy volunteers, *CLSI* Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, *EUCAST* European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing.](image-url)
isolates resistant to one or more antimicrobials (Table 3) with \( p = 0.0010 \), respectively. Isolates sensitive to every used antimicrobials at 16.1% (14/87) were not considered in any further analysis. Relevant differences of AST results within two groups of isolates (from control group and chronic disease patients) were revealed (Fig. 2). Among all isolates, 8.0% (7/87) vs. 9.2% (8/87) were MDR strains according to CLSI vs. EUCAST-derived drug patterns (Fig. 2). With regard to the results among both tested groups of isolates, in control group of healthy volunteers the number of MDR isolates accounted for 8.0% (7/87) vs. 5.7% (5/87), and in a group of chronic diseased patients—0% (0/87) vs. 3.4% (3/87).

**Figure 3.** Distribution of resistance phenotypes among *Haemophilus parainfluenzae* isolates. CLSI Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, EUCAST European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing, BLNAS beta-lactamase-negative, cefinase-negative susceptible to ampicillin, BLNAI beta-lactamase-negative, cefinase-negative, ampicillin intermediate, low-BLNAR beta-lactamase-negative, cefinase-negative, ampicillin resistant (MIC\(_{\text{amp}}\) = 0.5–2.0 mg/L), BLNAR beta-lactamase-negative, cefinase-negative, ampicillin resistant (MIC\(_{\text{amp}}\) ≥ 2.0 mg/L), BLPAS beta-lactamase-positive, cefinase-negative, ampicillin and/or amoxicillin susceptible, BLPAI beta-lactamase-positive, cefinase-negative, ampicillin intermediate, BLPAR beta-lactamase-positive, cefinase-negative, ampicillin and benzylpenicillin resistant, amoxicillin-clavulanate susceptible, BLPACR beta-lactamase-positive, cefinase-negative, ampicillin, amoxicillin-clavulanate or benzylpenicillin resistant, BLPBR beta-lactamase-positive, cefinase-positive, resistant to one or more beta-lactams (benzylpenicillin, ampicillin, cephalosporins or carbapenems).

**CLSI vs. EUCAST resistance phenotypes.** According to CLSI 2016, majority of 56.3% (49/87) and 25.3% (22/87) *H. parainfluenzae* isolates were of BLPAS and BLNAS phenotype, respectively (Fig. 3). EUCAST 2017 criteria resulted in majority of BLPAS isolates 42.5% (37/87), followed by BLNAS and BLPACR phenotypes found in 20.7% (18/87) and 19.5% (17/87) of isolates, respectively. Prevalence of RPs among *H. parainfluenzae* isolates occurred CLSI-derived BLNAI and BLPAI phenotype occurred in 2.3% (2/87) and 3.4% (3/87) of isolates, respectively. Phenotype called BLPBR defined as beta-lactamase-positive, cefinase-positive, resistant to one or more beta-lactams (benzylpenicillin, ampicillin, cephalosporins or carbapenems) was observed in 2.3% (2/87) of *H. parainfluenzae* isolates using exclusively EUCAST criteria (Fig. 3). CLSI 2020 criteria did not change anything in our results, whereas EUCAST 2020 increased the number of BLPACR isolates to 27.6% (24/87) and decreased of BLPAS isolates to 34.5% (30/87). Prevalence of RPs among isolates selected from healthy volunteers and patients with chronic disease was performed (Fig. 3). We have revealed a seven various patterns in control group of isolates. Among isolates of the second group, five vs. four RPs of CLSI vs. EUCAST have been found, respectively. In CLSI-derived results among patients with chronic diseases a strong dominance of beta-lactamase-positive phenotypes was assessed.

**Discussion**

Reliable interpretation of microorganisms’ sensitivity data to various antimicrobial drugs may create some difficulties and requires expertise. During our studies, association between applied interpretation criteria and susceptibility patterns, as well as different phenotypes of resistance to selected antimicrobials among opportunistic haemophilus rods were detected. Many authors\(^4\)-\(^8\) point to the differences occurring at the following fields: categorization of drug susceptibility, assignment to individual beta-lactam phenotypes of resistance in *Haemophilus* spp. bacteria, as well as CLSI\(^1\) and EUCAST\(^2\) cut-off values. The CLSI 2020 criteria has not changed since 2016, except cefuroxime sensitivity category ranges for this type of bacteria\(^9\) On the contrary, EUCAST 2020 update brought significant changes in cut-off values, especially for ampicillin and amoxicillin with clavulanic acid for haemophilus rods\(^3\). This resulted in an increase of the number of e.g.: isolates resistant to these antibiotics, and BLPACR phenotype isolation, as well as a decrease in the number of BLPAS phenotype and MDR isolates selection consequently. Among isolates selected from healthy volunteers the number of MDR isolates have been higher regardless of criteria used in comparison with diseased patients group in which, by contrast, EUCAST-derived dominance of MDR isolates has been observed.
In this study, we observed both criteria—CLSI and EUCAST coincide with obtained RPs amongst *H. parainfluenzae* (*p* < 0.001). Either CLSI-derived BLNAR and BLPAI or exclusively EUCAST-derived BLPBPR phenotype defined as beta-lactamase-positive, cefinase-positive, resistant to one or more beta-lactams (benzylpenicillin, ampicillin, cephalosporins or carbapenems) found in 2.9% of *H. parainfluenzae* isolates, isolates revealed practical disparity. Prevalence of RPs among isolates selected from healthy volunteers revealed greater diversity regardless of guidelines used, whereas among isolates selected from patients with chronic diseases a strong dominance of beta-lactamase-positive phenotypes in CLSI-derived results was assessed.

Key practical differences between each guidelines for disk diffusion method in comparison with the latest ones (EUCAST 2020, CLSI 2020) were performed (Table 2), including both methodologies (e.g., bacteriological media, incubation conditions, antibiotic disc contents, zone diameter breakpoints), as well as reference strains for routine quality control. First doubts have already appeared in the preliminary, microorganisms identification stage. Two types of solid media are in use (HTM, MH-F) for AST. Both contain acidic casein, starch, agar, as well as beef and yeast (HTM) or meat extracts (MH-F), and specific growth factors for *Haemophilus* spp. bacteria—NAD-phosphate (V) and/or hem/haemin/hematin (X) in the form of HTMS supplement or 5% mechanically de-fibered horse blood. Many microbiologists preferred to use other media such as MHF or chocolate agar which may support haemophili rods in a better way due to improved nutritive quality, resulting in increased MIC values and decreased growth inhibitory zones. The adoption of richer medium such as chocolate agar or agar enriched with blood may also result in a sudden unwarranted increase of BLNAR strains (from 3.5% on HTM agar, through 14.7% on MHF, to 15.4% on chocolate agar) during agar dilution methods. Currently the use of HTM agar as a reference medium in CLSI recommendations is more often questioned.

Introduction of EUCAST can result in significant differences compared to CLSI in classification of microorganisms for particular groups of sensitivity to antimicrobials, being considered as more stringent. Classification of medium-sensitive—intermediate strains became great variability, because EUCAST discarded such category and changed the definition into ‘susceptible, increased exposure’ in 2019. A microorganism is categorized as above when a high likelihood of therapeutic success is observed because exposure to the agent is increased by adjusting the dosing regimen or by its concentration at the site of infection. In practice, CLSI strains in question are usually assigned on the basis of EUCAST to resistant ones. From a clinical point of view, it deprived therapists the possibility of using a damp antimicrobial drug in therapy. Application of stricter criteria and breakpoints in accordance with EUCAST was aimed at reducing the misuse of antibiotics and controlling increasing level of resistance to them. This is an important issue, because different criteria for interpreting the results of antibiograms could have influenced phenotypic determination of resistance among others to beta-lactam antibiotics. It also could be a critical spectra in context of decisions regarding treatment of chronic diseases, in which formation could have been involved e.g. opportunistic microbes resistant to this group of drugs. In addition to therapeutic aspects, another consequence arising from interpretation of received data were either potential epidemiological consequences or the spread of resistance among *Haemophilus* spp. being a respiratory tract microbiota component and other bacteria e.g. to beta-lactams. In our study, among *H. parainfluenzae* isolates switching the criteria from CLSI 2016 into EUCAST 2017 resulted in statistically significant (*p* < 0.05) modifications in (1) sensitivity mostly to beta-lactams with or without inhibitors (ampicillin, cefuroxime, cefotaxime, amoxicillin-clavulanate, ampicillin-sulbactam), as well as macrolides (azithromycin), tetracyclines (tetracycline) and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole; (2) susceptibility; increased exposure (formerly intermediate) mainly to cefuroxime (3.4% vs. 62.1%), azithromycin (0% vs. 100%) and tetracycline (42.5% vs. 10.3%); (3) resistance to cefotaxime (4.0% vs. 36.8%), cefuroxime (6.9% vs. 37.9%), tetracycline (73.3% vs. 9.2%) and chloramphenicol (6.9% vs. 26.4%). The comparison of our results with the data obtained during the interpretation with the most current recommendations from 2020 revealed several more important problems. Both CLSI recommendations were consistent with overall isolates categorization. The update caused only slight changes in the number of sensitive and susceptible, increased exposure of isolates to cefuroxime. In turn, the introduction of actual EUCAST 2020 recommendations caused major statistically significant changes, mainly in relation to beta-lactams with or without beta-lactamase inhibitors, as well as macrolides and chloramphenicol or trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole. This, considering all, may suggest significant discrepancies related to a definition of ‘intermediate’ category of isolates which may result in confusion and inaccuracy when prescribing treatment for example to urinary tract infections. Generally, older versions of recommendations may have resulted in a lack of clinical response, while newer versions may reduce or even avoid therapeutic errors or failures.

The most frequently used antibacterial drugs in diseases caused by *H. parainfluenzae*, are beta-lactams used in mono- or in a combination therapy with antimicrobials from other therapeutic classes. Analysis of our AST results within two groups of *Haemophilus* spp. isolates either from control group or chronic disease patients revealed relevant differences, especially significant reliance of applied guidelines on drug resistance patterns to e.g.: ampicillin, cefuroxime and cefotaxime. Moreover, susceptibility to amoxicillin-clavulanate of *H. parainfluenzae* depended on applied guideline, in a clavulanic acid dose-unrelated manner. On the contrary, high compatibility for amoxicillin-clavulanate between CLSI and EUCAST can be observed. On the other hand, if EUCAST was used, a higher percentage of resistant *E. coli* and *Klebsiella* spp. isolates as well as lower one of *Proteus* spp. can be obtained. Further, many authors noted significant differences between amoxicillin-clavulanate MIC determination methods. Researchers have come to the final conclusion that EUCAST-derived amoxicillin-clavulanate MIC values were more predictive of therapeutic failure than CLSI ones. Demonstrated differences in the assessment of drug resistance of haemophilic rods, both isolated as etiological factors of acute infections, as well as examples of microbiota components shown in our work, may indicate a great need to further research and verification of criteria for AST. It should be taken into account that whether the incorrect determination of drug resistance of pathogens or the presence of antimicrobial resistance genes among bacteria derived from microbiota, can play a significant role in the therapy development and therapeutic failure.
In our study, according to CLSI 2016 vs. EUCAST 2017, 48.3% vs. 73.6% of *H. parainfluenzae* isolates were resistant to one or more antimicrobials (p = 0.0010). Relevant discrepancies occurred during analysis of both *H. parainfluenzae* isolates groups as follows: distinctly more isolates resistant to one or more antimicrobials classes regardless of considered criteria; in the chronic disease group—nearly two times more isolates resistant to one class and two classes of antimicrobials according to EUCAST; in the control group—no isolates resistant to three or more antimicrobials classes observed using CLSI criteria; and three-folded higher number of such isolates when EUCAST applied. EUCAST beta-lactam interpretative criteria of *Haemophilus* spp. can be considered as close to median MICs for susceptible population, with subtle changes in MICs which slightly influenced on the proportion of strains categorized as resistant at the same time6,17. 

To conclude, this is the first study that reports comparative analysis of drug susceptibility interpretation using CLSI and EUCAST recommendations of haemophilic rods isolated from human respiratory microbiota in Poland. In our study, it was observed EUCAST criteria as more restrictive in case of susceptible, increased exposure category within tested isolates. We have found 65.5% statistically significant covering of resistance phenotypes obtained by both CLSI and EUCAST criteria. Either CLSI-derived BLNAI and BLPAI or EUCAST-derived BLPRB phenotypes were determined. We have also found the EUCAST-derived dominance of MDR isolates amongst isolates from chronic diseased patients.

Prevalence of RPs among isolates selected from healthy volunteers revealed a strong dominance of beta-lactamase-positive phenotypes in CLSI-derived results in *Haemophilus* spp. bacteria. In this study, we observed EUCAST recommendations more restrictive in case of susceptible, increased exposure (formerly intermediate) category within tested haemophilic rods isolates. We agree with many other authors who agreeably suggested a still need of EUCAST breakpoint major revision, as well as a careful analyses and changes in EUCAST criteria, comprising all specialists involved in the therapeutic process of infectious diseases. Both incorrect determination of drug resistance of pathogens and the presence of antimicrobial resistance genes among bacteria derived from human microbiota, can play much more important role in the therapy development and therapeutic failure.

**Methods**

**Haemophilus spp. isolates.** A total of 87 *H. parainfluenzae* isolates were obtained from throat and nasopharyngeal swabs from adults (18–70 years of age) in eastern Poland between 2013 and 2015. Experimental protocol was approved by the ethical standards of the Medical University of Lublin Bioethical Commission No. KE-0254/75/2011 28 April 2011 and prolonged as No. KE-0254/59/2016 25 February 2016. Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

Isolates were subdivided into two separate groups: (1) 61 isolates selected from healthy volunteers as the control group and (2) 26 isolates selected from patients with chronic diseases (either lung cancer or chronic lymphocytic leukaemia). Specimen was collected from cancerous patients before, during and after given chemotherapy. The following reference from the American type Culture Collection (ATCC) were used: *H. parainfluenzae* ATCC 33392, *H. parainfluenzae* ATCC 51505, *H. influenzae* ATCC 10211, *H. influenzae* ATCC 49766, *H. influenzae* ATCC 49247 and *Escherichia coli* ATCC 35218.

**Culture and identification.** Isolates were stored as a frozen stock in trypticase in soy broth (TSB, Bio-corp, Poland) with *Haemophilus* Test Medium Supplement (HTMS, Oxoid, Hampshire, United Kingdom), in the presence of 30% (v/v) glycerol at −70 ± 2 °C until its use. Bacteria were then re-cultured by applying a frozen bacterial suspension on chocolate agar (BioMerieux, Craponne, France), incubated for 24 h in microaerophilic (5–10% CO2, 80–90% N2, 5–10% O2, Generbag microaer, BioMerieux, Craponne, France) conditions at 35 °C. *Haemophilus* spp. isolates were identified as previously shown13 by colony morphology, Gram-staining and API NH microtests (BioMerieux, Craponne, France), as well as by using the UltraXtreme Matrix Assisted Laser Desorption Ionization Time of Flight Mass Spectrometry (Bruker Daltonics, Bremen, Germany) (MALDI-TOF MS) with MALDI-Biotyper 3.0 software (Bruker Daltonics, Bremen, Germany) according to the procedure described earlier29.

**Antimicrobial susceptibility testing (AST).** The susceptibility to the following antimicrobials: ampicillin (Am), amoxicillin-clavulanate (AmCl), ampicillin-sulbactam (Samb), cefuroxime (Cxm), cefotaxime (Ctx), imipenem (Ipm), meropenem (Mem), azithromycin (Azm), tetracycline (Te), chloramphenicol (C) and thimetoprim-sulfamethoxazole (Sxt), was determined by the Kirby-Bauer disk-diffusion method10 under the current recommendations (Table 2). Diameters of inhibition of bacterial growth zones around drug discs were measured by using the Interscience Scan® 1200 version 8.0.3.0 (Interscience, Saint-Nom-la-Bretèche, France). All AST experiments and evaluation criteria were performed in accordance with EUCAST 2017 vs. 7.031 and CLSI 2016 26th ed12 guidelines and regulations. The most actual EUCAST 2020 vs. 10.034 and CLSI 2020 30th ed13 recommendations were also used for comparative purposes.

In a subsequent step, the minimal inhibitory concentrations (MICs) values for ampicillin (MICAm) were determined by the E-test method using the E-test strips (BioMerieux, Craponne, France) with antibiotic at a concentration gradient of 0.016–256 mg/L. Strips were placed on Mueller–Hinton agar with 5% defibrinated horse blood and 20 mg/L β-NAD (MH-F; BioMerieux, Craponne, France) after medium inoculation, incubation was performed in abovementioned microaerophilic conditions for 24 h at 35 °C. MICAm breakpoints were interpreted according to EUCAST 2017 (susceptible MIC ≤ 1.0 mg/L, resistant MIC > 1.0 mg/L) and CLSI 2016 (susceptible MIC ≤ 1.0 mg/L, intermediate MIC = 2.0 mg/L, resistant MIC ≥ 4.0 mg/L) criteria. According to EUCAST24 and CLSI23 2020 guidelines, MICAm cut-off values have not changed. To determine the beta-lactamase
production ability, either benzylpenicillin (1 U, Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, USA) or cefinase test (Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, USA) were used.

**Resistance phenotypes (RPs).** The multidrug-resistance (MDR) was defined as resistance to one or more antimicrobials from three or more therapeutic classes\(^1\). Phenotypes of resistance to beta-lactam antibiotics for *Haemophilus* spp. were identified on the basis of as follows: cefinase test, ampicillin MIC values, susceptibility to beta-lactams, benzylpenicillin 1U disk test, as well as determination of the presence of selected beta-lactamase *bla* genes described previously\(^2\). Accordingly, RPs were than classified into nine various categories: beta-lactamase-negative ampicillin sensitive BLNAS, ampicillin intermediate BLNAI, ampicillin resistant low-BLNAR and BLNAR, as well as beta-lactamase-positive ampicillin sensitive BLPAS, ampicillin intermediate BLPAI, ampicillin resistant BLPAR, amoxicillin-clavulanate resistant BLPACR and beta-lactams resistant BLPBPR, as described earlier\(^3\) and below the Fig. 3 in this paper.

**Statistical analysis.** Data were summarized and analysed by using GraphPad InStat 3.00 (GraphPad Software, USA). The p value (p < 0.05 considered as significant), the 95% confidence interval ranges (95% CI) and the relative risk (RR), calculated by the approximation of Katz, Pearson's Chi-square test or the Fisher's exact test were used for analysis of the extent of agreement between CLSI and EUCAST.

**Ethical approval.** All procedures performed in study involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the Medical University of Lublin Bioethical Commission No. KE-0254/75/2011 28 April 2011 and prolonged as No. KE-0254/59/2016 25 February 2016. Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study. This article does not contain any studies with animals performed by any of the authors.
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