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Abstract

Let $\mathcal{M}_n(E)$ denote the set of vectors of the first $n$ moments of probability measures on $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ with existing moments. The investigation of such moment spaces in high dimension has found considerable interest in the recent literature. For instance, it has been shown that a uniformly distributed moment sequence in $\mathcal{M}_n([0,1])$ converges in the large $n$ limit to the moment sequence of the arcsine distribution. In this article we provide a unifying viewpoint by identifying classes of more general distributions on $\mathcal{M}_n(E)$ for $E = [a,b]$, $E = \mathbb{R}_+$ and $E = \mathbb{R}$, respectively, and discuss universality problems within these classes. In particular, we demonstrate that the moment sequence of the arcsine distribution is not universal for $E$ being a compact interval. Rather, there is a universal family of moment sequences of which the arcsine moment sequence is one particular member. On the other hand, on the moment spaces $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{R})$ the random moment sequences governed by our distributions exhibit for $n \to \infty$ a universal behaviour: The first $k$ moments of such a random vector converge almost surely to the first $k$ moments of the Marchenko-Pastur distribution (half line) and Wigner’s semi-circle distribution (real line). Moreover, the fluctuations around the limit sequences are Gaussian. We also obtain moderate and large deviations principles and discuss relations of our findings with free probability.
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1 Introduction

Let $\mathcal{P}(E)$ denote the set of probability measures on an (possibly infinite) interval $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ with finite moments of all orders. For a measure $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(E)$ denote by $m_j(\mu) = \int_E x^j \, d\mu(x)$ its $j$-th moment and define

$$\mathcal{M}_n(E) := \{(m_1(\mu), \ldots, m_n(\mu)) : \mu \in \mathcal{P}(E)\}$$
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as the set of moment sequences up to order \( n \), generated by \( \mathcal{P}(E) \). The set \( \mathcal{M}_n(E) \) is convex and has been the subject of many studies beginning with [19], [20] and [22]. In these classical works, geometric aspects of moment spaces were studied. While the even more classical moment problems deal with all possible moment sequences, a probabilistic investigation rather asks how a typical moment sequence looks like. This was initiated in [6], where a uniform distribution on \( \mathcal{M}_n([0,1]) \) was considered. There it was shown that the first \( k \) moments of such a random vector \( (m_1^{(n)}, \ldots, m_n^{(n)}) \) in \( \mathcal{M}_n([0,1]) \) obey a law of large numbers, when \( n \) tends to infinity (but \( k \) is fixed), that is

\[
(m_1^{(n)}, \ldots, m_k^{(n)}) \xrightarrow{d} (m_1^*, \ldots, m_k^*), \quad n \to \infty,
\]

\( \xrightarrow{d} \) denoting convergence in distribution. Here \( m_j^{(n)} \) is the \( j \)-th component of the random moment vector \( (m_1^{(n)}, \ldots, m_n^{(n)}) \) and \( m_j^* \) is the \( j \)-th moment of the arcsine distribution (on the interval \([0,1])\). They also derived the central limit theorem

\[
\sqrt{n}((m_1^{(n)}, \ldots, m_k^{(n)}) - (m_1^*, \ldots, m_k^*)) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma_k), \quad n \to \infty
\]

with the covariance matrix \( \Sigma_k = (m_{i+j}^* - m_i^*m_j^*)_{i,j=1}^k \). [13] investigated corresponding large deviations principles, while [24] studied similar problems for moment spaces corresponding to more general functions defined on a bounded set.

More recently, [10] defined special probability distributions on the non-compact moment spaces \( \mathcal{M}_n([0, \infty)) \) and \( \mathcal{M}_{2m-1}(\mathbb{R}) \). They could establish results analogous to (1.2) with the moments of the arcsine distribution replaced by those of the Marchenko-Pastur distribution (on \([0, \infty))\) and of the semicircle distribution (on \( \mathbb{R} \)), respectively.

In this article, we are going to investigate this surprising occurrence of the three distributions arcsine, Marchenko-Pastur and semicircle distribution in more detail. We are particularly interested in a possible universality of these distributions, as in random matrix theory the latter two appear naturally for large classes of random matrices with independent entries (see e.g. [3] and references therein). The arcsine measure also appears as a universal distribution of zeros of orthogonal polynomials with respect to weight functions on compact intervals (see [34]). Especially for unbounded moment spaces a clarification of universality seems desirable, as there is no uniform measure and thus the consideration of a particular probability measure needs justification. In other words, we are asking for how typical the moment sequences of arcsine, semicircle and Marchenko-Pastur distribution are.

The paper will be organized as follows. In Section 2 we review some basic facts about moment spaces and introduce general classes of distributions on the moment spaces under consideration. They keep two key features of the uniform distribution on \( \mathcal{M}_n([a,b]) \) and can be used to interpolate between distributions on compact and non-compact moment spaces. For these distributions we derive laws of large numbers of the type (1.1). In particular, we show that for moment spaces \( \mathcal{M}_n([a,b]) \) corresponding to compact intervals there is no universality of the arcsine distribution. Instead, the arising measures are known as free binomial distributions, i.e. the analogues of the binomial distribution in free probability theory. On the other hand, for the moment spaces \( \mathcal{M}_n([0, \infty)) \) and \( \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{R}) \) the first \( k \) moments of a random vector always converge to the first \( k \) moments of Marchenko-Pastur and semicircle distributions, respectively. The occurrence of both distributions will be explained in terms of free Poissonian and free central limit theorems for the free binomial distribution. In Section 3 we consider central limit theorems of the form (1.2) and investigate moderate and large deviations principles for random moment sequences. All proofs are postponed to Section 4. Our results provide an extensive description of the distributional properties of random moment sequences and a unifying view on several findings in the recent literature.
2 Laws of large numbers

To motivate the class of distributions considered in this paper, we remark first that a real valued sequence \( (m_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \) is a sequence of moments corresponding to a Borel measure on the real line if and only if all Hankel matrices \( (m_{i+j})_{i,j=0}^{n} \) are positive semi-definite (see [17]). Similar characterizations exist for measures supported on the half line \([0, \infty)\) and compact intervals, and the corresponding sequences are called Stieltjes and Hausdorff moment sequences (see [11]). Due to restrictions and relations of this type, the components of a random moment vector in \( M_n(E) \) are generically not independent coordinates. Moreover, for a compact interval \( E \) the moment space \( M_n(E) \) is a rather small set. For instance, it is known that the volume of \( M_n([0,1]) \) is of order \( \mathcal{O}(2^{-n^2}) \) (see [19]), as for a given moment sequence \( (m_1, \ldots, m_{n-1}) \in M_{n-1}([0,1]) \), the possible range of the \( n \)-th moment \( m_n \) is very small.

For these reasons, we will consider different sets of coordinates that scale with the possible range of values. Although there are infinitely many choices of such coordinates, some are particularly natural and have found considerable attention in the literature. To be precise, assume that \( (m_1, \ldots, m_{j-1}) \in M_{j-1}([a,b]) \) is a given vector of moments up to the order \( j-1 \). Then, because of convexity of \( M_j([a,b]) \), the set of possible values \( m_j \)

\[
\{ m_j(\mu) \mid \mu \in \mathcal{P}([a,b]); \, m_i(\mu) = m_i \text{ for all } i = 1, \ldots, j-1 \}
\]

is a compact interval, say \([m_j^-, m_j^+]\]. Following [11], we define for \( m_j^- \neq m_j^+ \) and a given \( j \)-th moment \( m_j \) the \( j \)-th canonical moment \( p_j \) via

\[
p_j := \frac{m_j^- - m_j^+}{m_j^+ - m_j^-}.
\]

The canonical moments are left undefined if \( m_j^- = m_j^+ \) (in this case \((m_1, \ldots, m_{j-1}) \) is a boundary point of the set \( M_{j-1}([a,b]) \) - see [20]). Clearly, \( p_j \in [0,1] \), and \( p_j \) gives the relative position of \( m_j \) in the available section of the set \( M_j([a,b]) \). It is also worthwhile to mention that canonical moments are invariant under linear transformations of the measure (see [11], p. 13). The correspondence map

\[
\phi_{[a,b]}^{[n]} : \vec{p}_n = (p_1, \ldots, p_n) \mapsto \vec{m}_n = (m_1, \ldots, m_n)
\]

between the canonical and ordinary moments is a diffeomorphism from \((0,1)^n\) onto \( \text{Int}(M_n([a,b])) \) (\text{Int} denoting the interior) and many classical quantities of the measure, especially of its associated orthogonal polynomials and the continued fraction expansion of its Stieltjes transform, have expressions in terms of the canonical moments (see [11] for more details). Canonical moments were introduced in the series of papers [31, 32, 33] and are closely related to the Verblunsky coefficients, which were investigated much earlier in [36, 35] for measures on the unit circle.

In case of the uniform distribution on \( M_n([0,1]) \), as studied in [6], the canonical moments have two important properties. After a change of variables by (2.1), the uniform distribution on \( M_n([0,1]) \) has a density w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure on \((0,1)^n\) proportional to

\[
\prod_{j=1}^{n} (p_j(1-p_j))^{n-j} = \exp \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{n} (n-j) \log(p_j(1-p_j)) \right\}.
\]

Thus, the canonical moments are independent and for \( n \gg j \) nearly identically distributed. To investigate a possible universality of the arcsine distribution, we will now define a class of distributions respecting these two properties. However, we will generalize the
situation by allowing for different distributions of even and odd canonical moments. This takes into account the different roles that even and odd moments play. While even moments are always positive and give some rough information about the size of the support of the measure, odd moments give information about location of the support and the symmetry of the measure. In canonical moments, symmetry around the center of $[a, b]$ can be characterized easily as the property that all odd canonical moments are $1/2$ (see [33]).

Let $V_1, V_2 : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous functions. Define the probability measure $P_{n,[a,b],V_{1,2}}$ on $\mathcal{M}_n([a,b])$ by $P_{n,[a,b],V_{1,2}}(\partial \mathcal{M}_n([a,b])) = 0$ and on $\text{Int}(\mathcal{M}_n([a,b]))$ via the density

$$P_{n,[a,b],V_{1,2}}(m_1, \ldots, m_n) := \frac{1}{Z_{n,[a,b],V_{1,2}}} \exp \left[ -n \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} V_1(p_{2j-1}) - n \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} V_2(p_{2j}) \right] \quad (2.3)$$

w.r.t. the $n$-dimensional Lebesgue measure, where $p_j = p_j(m_1, \ldots, m_j)$ is the $j$-th canonical moment of the sequence $(m_1, \ldots, m_n) \in \text{Int}(\mathcal{M}_n([a,b]))$ defined by (2.1) $(1 \leq j \leq n)$ and $Z_{n,[a,b],V_{1,2}}$ is the normalization constant. By $\lfloor x \rfloor$ we denote the largest natural number smaller or equal to $x$. Note that the case $V_1(x) = V_2(x) \equiv 0$ and $[a, b] = [0, 1]$ has been considered in [6]. The factors $n$ in the exponent in (2.3) are asymptotically equivalent to the factor $n - j$ in (2.2). It follows from (2.2) that under $P_{n,[a,b],V_{1,2}}$ the odd, respectively even, canonical moments are nearly i.i.d.

Let us now formulate our first result for random moment sequences of measures supported on the interval $[a, b]$. Here and later on, we will tacitly assume that the random variables $(m^{(n)}_j)_{j,n \geq 1}$ are defined on the same probability space.

**Theorem 2.1.**

1. Let $a < b$ and $V_1, V_2 \in C^2((0, 1))$ be continuous at 0 and 1. Assume that the functions

$$W_1(p) := V_1(p) - \log(p(1-p)) \quad \text{and} \quad W_2(p) := V_2(p) - \log(p(1-p))$$

each have a unique minimizer $p^*_1 \in (0, 1)$ and $p^*_2 \in (0, 1)$, respectively. Let $m^{(n)}_1 = (m^{(n)}_1, \ldots, m^{(n)}_k)$ be drawn from $P_{n,[a,b],V_{1,2}}$ and abbreviate $q^*_i := 1 - p^*_i$, $i = 1, 2$. Then we have for each $k \geq 1$ as $n \to \infty$

$$(m^{(n)}_1, \ldots, m^{(n)}_k) \to (m^*_1, \ldots, m^*_k)$$

almost surely and in $L^1$, where $m^*_1, \ldots, m^*_k$ are the first $k$ moments of a probability measure $\mu_{p^*_1,p^*_2} = \mu^{ac}_{p^*_1,p^*_2} + \mu^d_{p^*_1,p^*_2}$.

Setting

$$l_{\pm} := a + (b-a) \left( \sqrt{p^*_1q^*_2} \pm \sqrt{p^*_2q^*_1} \right)^2,$$

the measures $\mu^{ac}_{p^*_1,p^*_2}$ and $\mu^d_{p^*_1,p^*_2}$ are given by

$$\mu^{ac}_{p^*_1,p^*_2}(dx) := \frac{(x - l_+)(l_- - x)}{2 \pi p^*_2(x-a)(b-x)} 1_{[l_-,1]}(x) dx,$$

$$\mu^d_{p^*_1,p^*_2} := \left( 1 - \frac{p^*_1}{p^*_2} \right) \delta_a + \left( \frac{p^*_1 + p^*_2 - 1}{p^*_2} \right) \delta_b.$$

Here $(y)_+$ denotes the positive part of $y \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\delta_y$ is the Dirac measure at the point $y$. 

EJP 23 (2018), paper 15.
Universality in Random Moment Problems

2. If \( p_1^*, p_2^* \) are such that \( \mu_{p_1^*, p_2^*} \) does not have atoms, then \( \mu_{p_1^*, p_2^*} \) is the equilibrium measure on the interval \([a, b]\) to the external field

\[
Q(t) := - \left( \frac{p_1^*}{p_2^*} - 1 \right) \log(t - a) - \left( \frac{1 - p_1^*}{p_2^*} \right) \log(b - t),
\]

i.e. \( \mu_{p_1^*, p_2^*} \) is the unique Borel probability measure on the interval \([a, b]\) minimizing the functional

\[
\mu \mapsto \int_a^b Q(t) d\mu(t) - \int_a^b \int_a^b \log|t - s| d\mu(t) d\mu(s). \tag{2.4}
\]

**Remark 2.2.**

1. If \( p_1^* = p_2^* = 1/2 \), the measure \( \mu_{p_1^*, p_2^*} \) in Theorem 2.1 is the arcsine distribution on the interval \([a, b]\). Note that this does not imply \( V_1 = V_2 \equiv 0 \). However, we see that for \( p_1^* \neq 1/2 \) or \( p_2^* \neq 1/2 \), the limiting measure (the measure having the limiting moments) is not an arcsine measure on any interval. We conclude that the moments of the arcsine measure are not universal within the class of random moment sequences in \( M_n([a, b]) \) with nearly i.i.d. canonical moments. Rather, the moment sequence of the arcsine measure is a member of the universal family of moment sequences corresponding to \( \mu_{p_1^*, p_2^*} \).

2. Since for probability measures supported on a fixed compact set convergence of moments is equivalent to convergence in distribution, the convergence result of Theorem 2.1 can be restated as follows: Let \( \mu_n \in \mathcal{P}([a, b]) \) be a random probability measure with first \( n \) moments \( (m_1^{(n)}, \ldots, m_n^{(n)}) \) which are \( \mathcal{P}([a, b], V_{1,2}) \)-distributed. Then \( \mu_n \) converges a.s. (and in expectation) weakly to \( \mu_{p_1^*, p_2^*} \) as \( n \to \infty \).

The measure \( \mu_{p_1^*, p_2^*} \) is known in the literature under (at least) two different names. In the context of probability theory on graphs, it is called Kesten-McKay measure (see [21, 25]). It has also been studied in the context of orthogonal polynomials (see [8, 29, 5]). In free probability, it is called free binomial distribution (see [27]). It will turn out useful to explain this naming in more detail.

Free probability is a variant of non-commutative probability theory initiated by Voiculescu (see [27] or Chapter 22 by Speicher in [1] for an introduction and references) that has found its applications in particular in random matrix theory. For our purposes it suffices to know that free probability theory uses a different notion of independence, called freeness, that manifests itself in a different convolution of probability measures. A constructive approach to this convolution uses random matrices: Let \( H_{1,n}, H_{2,n} \) be deterministic diagonal \( n \times n \) matrices with diagonal entries \( h_{1,n}(ii) \) and \( h_{2,n}(ii) \), respectively. Assume that the empirical measures of the diagonal entries, i.e. the eigenvalues, converge for \( n \to \infty \) weakly to probability measures of bounded support \( \mu_1 \) and \( \mu_2 \), respectively, that is

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{h_{j,n}(ii)} = \mu_j, \quad j = 1, 2, \quad \text{weakly.}
\]

Now let for each \( n \) a Haar distributed random unitary \( n \times n \) matrix \( U_n \) be given on a common probability space. The Haar probability measure on the unitary group \( U_n \) is the unique Borel probability measure that is invariant under left (and right) multiplication with any group element. Letting \( x_1, \ldots, x_n \) denote the \( n \) real random eigenvalues of the Hermitian random matrix \( H_{1,n} + U_n H_{2,n} U_n^* \), the empirical measure of the \( x_i \)’s converges
for $n \to \infty$ almost surely in distribution to a non-random limit. This limit is called the free (additive) convolution of $\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2$, in symbols

$$\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2 := \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{z_i},$$

a.s. weakly.

In analogy to classical probability, the free binomial distribution with parameters $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p \in [0,1]$ is then the $n$-fold free convolution of the Bernoulli distribution $\mu = (1-p)\delta_0 + p\delta_1$ with itself. It seems convenient to extend the name to convolutions of measures $\mu = (1-p)\delta_c + p\delta_d$ with itself, $c,d \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, even fractional convolution numbers are possible using an analytic approach to the free convolution via the so-called $R$-transform (see [1, Chapter 22]). It seems difficult to give a direct interpretation of the occurrence of the free binomial distribution in the context of random moments. For instance it is not hard to verify that for $\mu = \frac{1}{2}\delta_c + \frac{1}{2}\delta_d$ the free convolution $\mu \boxplus \mu$ is the arcsine measure with support $[c+d - \sqrt{c^2 + d^2}, c+d + \sqrt{c^2 + d^2}]$, but in general the measure $\mu_{\alpha^*,\beta^*}$ is not just a two-fold convolution of a Bernoulli measure with itself.

However, free probability indicates that universal limiting measures may be expected if random moment problems are considered for the moment spaces $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{R})$. Indeed, analogously to classical probability, there are free analogs of the Poisson limit theorem and central limit theorem for the free binomial distribution [1, Chapter 22]. Typically, they are considered for $\mu = (1-p_m)\delta_0 + p_m\delta_1$ and show weak convergence of the rescaled $n$-th convolution power $\mu_{\alpha,\beta}^{(-n)}$ to the free Poisson (Marchenko-Pastur distribution) or the free Gaussian law (semicircle distribution), as $m \to \infty$ and $p_m$ converges to a zero or non-zero number, respectively.

The following corollary can be seen as a variant of these limit theorems. The proof is straightforward and will be omitted.

**Corollary 2.3.** Let for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ $a_m < b_m$ and $p_{1,m}^*, p_{2,m}^* \in (0,1)$ be given.

1. Assume that, as $m \to \infty$,

$$a_m \to 0, b_m \to \infty, \quad p_{1,m}^*, p_{2,m}^* \to 0$$

such that $p_{1,m}^* b_m \to z_1^*, i = 1, 2,$

for some constants $z_1^*, z_2^* > 0$. Then the measure $\mu_{p_{1,m}^*, p_{2,m}^*}$ defined in Theorem 2.1 on the interval $[a_m, b_m]$ converges in the large $m$ limit weakly to the measure $\mu_{M P, z_1^*, z_2^*}$, where with $l_\pm := (\sqrt{z_1^*} \pm \sqrt{z_2^*})^2$

$$\mu_{M P, z_1^*, z_2^*}(dx) := \left(1 - \frac{z_1^*}{z_2^*}\right) \delta_0 + \frac{1}{2\pi z_2^*} \sqrt{\frac{(x - l_-)(l_+ - x)}{x}} 1_{[l_- l_+]}(x) dx. \tag{2.5}$$

The density of the absolutely continuous part of $\mu_{p_{1,m}^*, p_{2,m}^*}(x)$ converges pointwise to the density of the absolutely continuous part of $\mu_{M P, z_1^*, z_2^*}$ and uniformly within compact subsets of $(l_-, l_+)$. Moreover, the moments of $\mu_{p_{1,m}^*, p_{2,m}^*}$ converge to the moments of $\mu_{M P, z_1^*, z_2^*}$.

2. Assume that, as $m \to \infty$,

$$a_m \to -\infty, b_m \to \infty,$$

for constants $\alpha^* \in \mathbb{R}, \beta^* > 0$. Then the measure $\mu_{p_{1,m}^*, p_{2,m}^*}$ defined in Theorem 2.1 on the interval $[a_m, b_m]$ converges weakly in the large $m$ limit to the measure $\mu_{S C, \alpha^*, \beta^*}$, where with $l_\pm := \alpha^* \pm 2\sqrt{\beta^*}$

$$\mu_{S C, \alpha^*, \beta^*}(dx) := \frac{1}{2\pi \beta^*} \sqrt{(x - l_-)(l_+ - x)} 1_{[l_- l_+]}(x) dx. \tag{2.6}$$
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The density of the absolutely continuous part of $\mu_{p_1^r, p_2^r}(x)$ converges pointwise to the density of $\mu_{SC, \alpha^*, \beta^*}$ and uniformly within compact subsets of $(l_-, l_+)$. Moreover, the moments of $\mu_{p_1^r, p_2^r}$ converge to the moments of $\mu_{SC, \alpha^*, \beta^*}$.

**Remark 2.4.**

1. The measure $\mu_{MP,z_1^*, z_2^*}$ is called Marchenko-Pastur distribution (see [18] or [27]). For $z_1^* \geq z_2^*$ (absolutely continuous case) it is the equilibrium measure on $\mathbb{R}_+$ (in the sense of (2.4)) to the field

$$Q(t) = \frac{t}{z_2^*} - \frac{z_1^* - z_2^*}{z_2^*} \log t.$$ 

Besides its role in free probability theory as the free analog of the Poisson distribution it is particularly well-known for its universality in random matrix theory. More precisely, let $X$ denote an $m \times n$ random matrix with real i.i.d. entries having mean 0 and variance $\sigma^2 > 0$. Assume that as $m, n \to \infty$ we have $m/n \to \lambda \in (0, \infty)$. Then the empirical distribution of the eigenvalues of the sample covariance matrix $XX^T/n$ converges a.s. and in expectation weakly to $\mu_{MP,z_1^*, z_2^*}$, where $z_1 := \sigma^2(1 + \sqrt{\lambda})/(1 + \sqrt{\lambda})^2$ and $z_2 := \lambda z_1$. For this result and generalizations we refer to [3] and references therein.

2. The measure $\mu_{SC, \alpha^*, \beta^*}$ is called semicircle distribution. It is the equilibrium measure to the field

$$Q(t) = \frac{t^2}{2\beta^*} - \frac{\alpha^* t}{\beta^*}.$$ 

In free probability, it plays the role of the Gaussian distribution. In random matrix theory it is the universal limit of so-called Wigner matrices: Let $X$ be an $m \times n$ random matrix with real i.i.d. mean 0 and variance $\sigma^2 > 0$. Then the empirical distribution of the eigenvalues of $X/\sqrt{n}$ converges a.s. and in expectation weakly to $\mu_{SC, \alpha^*, \beta^*}$ as $n \to \infty$, where $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta = \sigma^2$, see e.g. [3].

The universality in these random matrix statements lies in the fact that the limiting distribution is always the same regardless of the distribution of the matrix entries.

3. The measures $\mu_{p_1^r, p_2^r}, \mu_{MP,z_1^*, z_2^*}$ and $\mu_{SC, \alpha^*, \beta^*}$ all belong to the so-called free Meixner class. It consists of the free analogues of the six classical Meixner class distributions which are Gaussian, Poisson, gamma, binomial, negative binomial and hyperbolic secant distribution. The distributions of the free Meixner class enjoy some interesting characterizing properties, for instance having a generating function of resolvent type for the corresponding orthogonal polynomials (see [2] for details) in analogy to the generating functions of the classical Meixner class being of exponential type (see [26]).

Let us now turn to infinite moment spaces, starting with $M_n(\mathbb{R}_+)$ (recall $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$).

Following [10], we may define the canonical moments $z_1, \ldots, z_n$ of a moment sequence $m_1, \ldots, m_n$ in the interior of $M_n(\mathbb{R}_+)$ as

$$z_k := \frac{m_k - m_k^-}{m_{k-1}^- - m_{k-1}}, \quad k = 1, \ldots, n,$$

$m_0^- = 0, m_0 = 1$. Here one uses that given $m_1, \ldots, m_{k-1}$, the section of possible values of $m_k$ for given moments $(m_1, \ldots, m_{k-1}) \in \text{Int}(M_{k-1}(\mathbb{R}_+))$ is an interval of the form $[m_k, \infty)$ (see [20], Chapter V). Clearly, $z_k \in \mathbb{R}_+$. The correspondence

$$\varphi_{R_+}^n : z_n = (z_1, \ldots, z_n) \mapsto \tilde{m}_n = (m_1, \ldots, m_n)$$

(2.7)
between canonical and ordinary moments is a diffeomorphism from \((0, \infty)^n\) onto the interior \(\text{Int}(M_n(\mathbb{R}^+))\) of the moment space (for all \(n \in \mathbb{N}\)). The Jacobian of this transformation is readily computed as

\[
\left| \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial m_k}{\partial z_k} \right| = \prod_{k=1}^{n} (m_{k-1} - m_{k-1}^{-1}) = \prod_{k=2}^{n} z_1 z_2 \ldots z_{k-1} = \prod_{k=1}^{n} z_{k-1} \cdot (2.8)
\]

To define a probability measure on \(\text{Int}(M_n(\mathbb{R}^+))\), consider any continuous functions \(V_1, V_2 : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\), such that for some \(\varepsilon > 0\) and all \(z\) large enough the inequality

\[
\frac{V_i(z)}{\log z} \geq 2 + \varepsilon, \quad i = 1, 2
\]

holds. Then define \(P_{n, R^+, V_{1,2}}\) on \(M_n(\mathbb{R}^+)\) by \(P_{n, R^+, V_{1,2}}(\partial M_n(\mathbb{R}^+)) = 0\) and on the interior \(\text{Int}(M_n(\mathbb{R}^+))\) via the density

\[
P_{n, R^+, V_{1,2}}(m_1, \ldots, m_n) := \frac{1}{Z_{n, R^+, V_{1,2}}} \exp \left[\sum_{j=1}^{2} \left( \frac{1}{z_{j}} \right) \sum_{j=1}^{2} \left( \log V_j(z) \right) \right], \quad (2.10)
\]

where \(Z_{n, R^+, V_{1,2}}\) is the normalizing constant such that \(P_{n, R^+, V_{1,2}}\) is a probability density with respect to the Lebesgue measure on \(\text{Int}(M_n(\mathbb{R}^+))\). This is possible due to (2.8) and (2.9). Because of (2.8), the canonical moments \(z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_k\) are independent under \(P_{n, R^+, V_{1,2}}\) and for large \(n\) and fixed \(k\) nearly identically distributed.

Note that [10] considered the special case of (2.10) with \(V_1(t) = V_2(t) = t - \frac{\varepsilon}{n} \log t\) and showed that under this measure the (ordinary) moments converge to those of the Marchenko-Pastur distribution. Here we will show that the moments of the Marchenko-Pastur distribution are in fact universal for all generic functions \(V_1, V_2\).

**Theorem 2.5.** Let \(V_1, V_2 \in C^2((0, \infty))\) be continuous at 0, satisfy (2.9) and assume that

\[
W_1(z) := V_1(z) - \log z \quad \text{and} \quad W_2(z) := V_2(z) - \log z
\]

each have a unique minimizer \(z_1^* \in (0, \infty)\) and \(z_2^* \in (0, \infty)\), respectively. Let the vector \(m^{(n)} = (m_1^{(n)}, \ldots, m_n^{(n)})\) be drawn from \(P_{n, R^+, V_{1,2}}\). Then we have for any \(k \geq 1\) as \(n \rightarrow \infty\)

\[
(m_1^{(n)}, \ldots, m_k^{(n)}) \rightarrow (m_1^*, \ldots, m_k^*)
\]

almost surely and in \(L^1\), where \(m_1^*, \ldots, m_k^*\) are the first \(k\) moments of the Marchenko-Pastur distribution \(\mu_{MP, z_1^*, z_2^*}\) defined in (2.5), that is

\[
m_j^* = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor j \rfloor - 1} \binom{j-1}{i} \left( z_1^* \right)^{i+1} \left( z_2^* \right)^i \cdot \frac{1}{i+1} \binom{2i}{i}.
\]

Next, we consider the moment space corresponding to measures supported on \(\mathbb{R}\). We will use the recurrence coefficients of the corresponding orthogonal polynomials as a coordinate system. To be precise, note that for any measure \(\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})\) there is a sequence of monic polynomials \(P_0(x), P_1(x), \ldots\) with \(\deg P_j = j\) that is orthogonal in \(L^2(\mu)\). If \(\mu\) is supported on finitely many points, the sequence is finite. In any case, \(P_j(x)\) depends on the measure \(\mu\) via its moment sequence \((m_1, \ldots, m_{2j-1})\) only. The orthogonal polynomials satisfy a three-term recurrence relation of the form

\[
P_{j+1}(x) = (x - \alpha_{j+1})P_j(x) - \beta_j P_{j-1}(x), \quad j = 1, \ldots
\]

\[
P_0(x) = 1, \quad P_1(x) = x - \alpha_1
\]
with recurrence coefficients $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\beta_1, \beta_2, \cdots > 0$. For more details regarding orthogonal polynomials we refer to [7]. The mapping
\[
\varphi_{2n-1}^R : (\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \beta_n-1, \alpha_n) \mapsto \vec{m}_{2n-1} = (m_1, \ldots, m_{2n-1})
\] (2.12)
is a diffeomorphism from $(\mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty))^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$ onto $\text{Int}(\mathcal{M}_{2n-1}(\mathbb{R}))$ (for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$). Moreover, as observed by [10], $(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \beta_n-1, \alpha_n)$ constitutes a system of independent coordinates on the moment space $\mathcal{M}_{2n-1}(\mathbb{R})$. The corresponding Jacobian is given by
\[
\det D\varphi_{2n-1}^R = \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \beta_j^{2n-2j-1}.
\]

Similarly, we may define a map for moment spaces of even order.

**Lemma 2.6.** There is a diffeomorphism
\[
\varphi_{2n}^R : (\mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty))^n \to \text{Int}(\mathcal{M}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})),
\]
\[
(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n, \beta_n) \mapsto (m_1, \ldots, m_{2n})
\] (2.13)
between the recursion coefficients of the orthogonal polynomials and the corresponding moments. The Jacobian of $\varphi_{2n}^R$ is
\[
\det D\varphi_{2n}^R = \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \beta_j^{2n-2j}.
\]

The values $\beta_j$ have a simple interpretation in terms of moments, as
\[
\beta_j = \frac{m_{2j} - m_{2j-1}}{m_{2j-2} - m_{2j-3}}, \quad j = 1, \ldots, n,
\]
is the ratio of two consecutive even moments. The coefficients $\alpha_j$ give information about symmetry of the measure, e.g. for $\mu$ symmetric around 0, one has $\alpha_j = 0$ for all $j$. Taking into account these two different roles, we will again consider two continuous functions $V_1 : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ and $V_2 : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ such that for some $\varepsilon > 0$ and $|\alpha|, \beta$ large enough
\[
\frac{V_1(\alpha)}{\log |\alpha|} \geq 1 + \varepsilon, \quad \frac{V_2(\beta)}{\log \beta} \geq 3 + \varepsilon.
\] (2.14)

With these notations we define the probability measure $\mathbb{P}_{n,R,V_{1,2}}$ on $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{R})$ by $\mathbb{P}_{n,R,V_{1,2}}(\partial \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{R})) = 0$ and on $\text{Int}(\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{R}))$ via the density
\[
P_{n,R,V_{1,2}}(m_1, \ldots, m_n) := \frac{1}{Z_{n,R,V_{1,2}}} \exp \left[ -n \sum_{j=1}^{\lceil \frac{n+1}{2} \rceil} V_1(\alpha_j) - n \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} V_2(\beta_j) \right],
\]
and obtain the following universal law of large numbers.

**Theorem 2.7.** Let $V_1 \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$, $V_2 \in C^2((0, \infty))$ be continuous at 0 and satisfy (2.14). Furthermore, assume that
\[
W_1(\alpha) := V_1(\alpha) \quad \text{and} \quad W_2(\beta) := V_2(\beta) - 2 \log \beta
\]
each have unique minimizers $\alpha^* \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\beta^* \in (0, \infty)$, respectively. Let $m^{(n)} = (m_1^{(n)}, \ldots, m_n^{(n)})$ be drawn from $\mathbb{P}_{n,R,V_{1,2}}$. Then for any $k \geq 1$ as $n \to \infty$
\[
(m_1^{(n)}, \ldots, m_k^{(n)}) \to (m_1^*, \ldots, m_k^*)
\]
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almost surely and in $L^1$, where $m^*_1, \ldots, m^*_k$ are the first $k$ moments of the semicircle distribution $\mu_{SC, \alpha*, \beta*}$ defined in (2.6), that is
\begin{equation}
    m^*_j = \sum_{i=0}^{[j/2]} \binom{j}{2i}(\sqrt{\beta^*})^{2i}(\alpha^*)^{j-2i}\frac{1}{i+1}\binom{2i}{i}.
\end{equation}

We finish this section with some concluding remarks concerning the class of models we consider. We study random moment sequences with independent and nearly identically distributed canonical moments or recurrence coefficients, respectively. Dropping either of the two properties will in general result in non-universal limiting sequences even on unbounded intervals, if there is any limit at all. Nevertheless, other related models have been used for successful studies of random matrix models. More precisely, so-called Gaussian beta ensembles admit tridiagonal matrix models, see [12]. More recently, tridiagonal matrix models for studying non-Gaussian beta ensembles were used in [23]. They consider $\exp(-n \operatorname{Tr} Q(T)) \det(D\varphi_R^n)$ as density on the space of recursion coefficients, where $T$ is the symmetric tridiagonal matrix (truncated Jacobi operator) with the $\alpha_j$’s on the main diagonal and $\beta_j$’s on the neighboring diagonals, $Q$ is a strictly convex polynomial and $\operatorname{Tr}$ denotes the trace. It is not hard to see from the results in [23] that the limiting moments corresponding to this model are those of the equilibrium measure to $Q$ (see (2.4)), only for $Q$ quadratic (this case is the one studied in [12]) the moments of the semicircle appear.

The connection between certain random matrix ensembles and canonical moments resp. recursion coefficients has also been used in [14] and [15] for deriving so-called sum rules for free binomial, semicircle and Marchenko-Pastur distribution.

### 3 Asymptotic normality, moderate and large deviations

In this section, we examine the fluctuations of the random moment sequences around their non-random limits. We state the central limit theorem and moderate and large deviations results. For the uniform distribution on the moment space $\mathcal{M}_n([0,1])$, results of this type were obtained in [6] and [13], respectively. The following theorem shows that the fluctuations of random moment vectors around their limits are Gaussian. We will adopt a short notation that allows us to state the three cases $E = [a, b]$, $E = \mathbb{R}_+$, $E = \mathbb{R}$ simultaneously. Note that the functions $W_1, W_2$ as well as the limiting moments $m^*_j$ differ, depending on $E$.

**Theorem 3.1.** In the situation of Theorem 2.1, Theorem 2.5 or Theorem 2.7, assume that $W''_i(y^*_i) \neq 0$ for $i = 1, 2$, where
\begin{equation}
    y^*_i := \begin{cases} 
        p^*_i, & \text{if } E = [a, b], \\
        z^*_i, & \text{if } E = \mathbb{R}_+, \\
        \alpha^*, & \text{if } E = \mathbb{R}, i = 1, \\
        \beta^*, & \text{if } E = \mathbb{R}, i = 2.
    \end{cases}
\end{equation}

Then in any of the three cases $E = [a, b]$, $E = \mathbb{R}_+$, $E = \mathbb{R}$, for any $k \geq 1$ as $n \to \infty$
\begin{equation}
    \sqrt{n}((m_1^{(n)}, \ldots, m_k^{(n)}) - (m^*_1, \ldots, m^*_k)) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma_k),
\end{equation}
where the matrix $\Sigma_k$ has full rank and is given by
\begin{equation}
    \Sigma_k = (D\varphi^E_k(\vec{y}'))^{-1} \text{diag}(W_1''(y_1^*), W_2''(y_2^*), W_3''(y_3^*), \ldots)(D\varphi^E_k(\vec{y}')).
\end{equation}
Here, the maps $\varphi^E_k$ have been defined in (2.1), (2.7) and (2.12), (2.13), the diagonal matrix is of size $k \times k$ and $\vec{y}^* = (y_1^*, y_2^*, y_3^*, \ldots) \in \mathbb{R}^k$. 
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In the case $E = \mathbb{R}_+$ and $z_1^* = z_2^*$, we have

$$(D_{\varphi_k^*}^{\mathbb{R}_+}(\vec{y}))_{i,j} = (z_1^*)^{i-1}\left(\binom{2i}{i} - \binom{2i}{i-j-1}\right).$$

Theorem 3.1 shows that in all considered cases the $1/\sqrt{n}$-fluctuations of $m_1^{(n)}, \ldots, m_k^{(n)}$ around $m_1^*, \ldots, m_k^*$ are Gaussian. We will now study larger fluctuations. The appropriate tool for describing the exponentially small probabilities associated to these fluctuations is the large deviations principle. Recall that a sequence of random vectors $(X_n)$ with values in a Polish space $X$ is said to satisfy a large deviations principle with speed $(b_n)_n$, $\lim_{n \to \infty} b_n = \infty$, and good rate function $I$, if $I : X \to [0, \infty]$ is lower semi-continuous, has compact level sets $\{x \in X : I(x) \leq K\}$, $K \geq 0$ and for any open set $O \subset X$ and closed set $U \subset X$

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{b_n} \log P(X_n \in O) \geq - \inf_{x \in O} I(x),$$

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{b_n} \log P(X_n \in U) \leq - \inf_{x \in U} I(x),$$

(3.1) (3.2)

cf. [9, p. 6]. The next theorem is a result on moderate deviations. It shows that on scales up to $o(1)$ the exponential leading order asymptotics are still given by the Gaussian distributions from Theorem 3.1, in particular they are universal.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let the conditions of Theorem 3.1 be satisfied. Then for any of the three cases $E = [a, b], E = \mathbb{R}_+, E = \mathbb{R}$, for any real-valued sequence $(a_n)_n$ with $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = \infty$ and $a_n = o(\sqrt{n})$, the sequence of random variables

$$a_n \left((m_1^{(n)}, \ldots, m_k^{(n)}) - (m_1^*, \ldots, m_k^*)\right)$$

satisfies a large deviations principle on $\mathbb{R}^k$ with speed $b_n = \frac{\alpha}{\sigma_n}$ and good rate function

$$I(x) := \frac{1}{2} \| \text{diag}(W_1''(y_1^*), W_2''(y_2^*), \ldots) \|_{1/2}D_{\varphi_k^*}(\vec{y}^*)^{-1}x\|_{1/2}^2.$$ 

The next result shows that for fluctuations of order 1 a new, non-universal rate function arises.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let the conditions of Theorem 2.1, Theorem 2.5 or Theorem 2.7 be satisfied. Then in each of the three cases, the sequence $(m_1^{(n)}, \ldots, m_k^{(n)})_n$ satisfies a large deviations principle on $\mathcal{M}_k(E)$ with speed $n$ and good rate function $I(m) := \infty$ for $m \in \partial \mathcal{M}_k(E)$ and for $m \in \text{int} (\mathcal{M}_k(E))$

$$I(m) := \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{k+1}{2} \rfloor} \left\{W_1(y_{2j-1}) - W_1(x^*_1)\right\} + \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} \left\{W_2(y_{2j}) - W_2(x^*_2)\right\}.$$ 

Here $y^*_i, i = 1, 2$ are as in Theorem 3.1 and $y_j, j = 1, \ldots, k$ are defined similarly as $p_j$ ($E = [a, b])$, $z_j$ ($E = \mathbb{R}_+$) or for $E = \mathbb{R}$ as $\alpha^{\lfloor \frac{j}{2} \rfloor}$ ($j$ odd) and $\beta_{j/2}$ ($j$ even).

We remark in passing that the case $E = [0, 1], V_1 = V_2 = 0$ is Theorem 2.6 in [13].

## 4 Proofs

**Proof of Lemma 2.6.** For each vector of moments $(m_1, \ldots, m_{2n})$ in the interior of the moment space $\mathcal{M}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$, we can find a probability measure $\mu$ with infinite support and the first $2n$ moments given by $m_1, \ldots, m_{2n}$. Using an induction argument and (2.11), it is
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easy to see that the orthogonal polynomials $P_k$ corresponding to $\mu$ and their recursion coefficients $\alpha_i, \beta_i$ satisfy
\[
\int x^k P_k(x) \, d\mu(x) = \int x^{k-1} (P_{k+1}(x) + \alpha_{k+1} P_k(x) + \beta_k P_{k-1}(x)) \, d\mu(x)
= \beta_k \int x^{k-1} P_{k-1}(x) \, d\mu(x) = \beta_1 \cdots \beta_k,
\]
(4.1)
\[
\int x^{k+1} P_k(x) \, d\mu(x) = \int x^k (P_{k+1}(x) + \alpha_{k+1} P_k(x) + \beta_k P_{k-1}(x)) \, d\mu(x)
= \alpha_{k+1} \int x^k P_k(x) \, d\mu(x) + \beta_k \int x^k P_{k-1}(x) \, d\mu(x) = \beta_1 \cdots \beta_k (\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{k+1}).
\]
(4.2)

From this we can immediately see that the recursion coefficients $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_k$ only depend on the moments $m_1, \ldots, m_{2k}$, while $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_1$ only depend on the moments $m_1, \ldots, m_{2k-1}$. On the other hand, we may determine each moment $m_{2k}$ from $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_k, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k$ and each moment $m_{2k-1}$ from $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{k-1}, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k$. Therefore the mapping $\varphi_{2n}^R$ in (2.12) is a well-defined bijection between $(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \ldots, \alpha_n, \beta_n)$ and $(m_1, \ldots, m_{2n})$. The corresponding Jacobian matrix $D\varphi_{2n}^R$ is a lower triangular matrix with determinant given by
\[
\det D\varphi_{2n}^R = \prod_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial m_{2k-1}}{\alpha_k} - \frac{\partial m_{2k}}{\beta_k} \right).
\]

In order to calculate these derivatives, note that since the $P_k$ are monic orthogonal polynomials we have
\[
\int x^k P_{k-1}(x) \, d\mu(x) = m_{2k-1} + \sum_{i=0}^{2k-2} \lambda_i m_i
\]
for some real numbers $\lambda_i$ (that may depend on $k$). Since $m_1, \ldots, m_{2k-2}$ only depend on $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{k-1}, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{k-1}$, we get with (4.2)
\[
\frac{\partial m_{2k-1}}{\partial \alpha_k} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_k} \int x^k P_{k-1}(x) \, d\mu(x) = \beta_1 \cdots \beta_{k-1}.
\]

A similar argument using (4.1) shows
\[
\frac{\partial m_{2k}}{\partial \beta_k} = \beta_1 \cdots \beta_{k-1},
\]
which leads to
\[
\det D\varphi_{2n}^R = \prod_{k=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \beta_j^2 = \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \prod_{k=j+1}^n \beta_j^2 = \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \beta_j^{2^{n-2j}}.
\]

We will now prove the large deviations principles, as they play an important role in the proofs of Theorems 2.1, 2.5 and 2.7.

Proof of Theorem 3.3. For the sake of brevity we restrict ourselves to the case $E = [a, b]$, the remaining cases can be proved analogously. Note that in the other cases $I$ is a good rate function by means of the growth conditions (2.9) resp. (2.14).

We will show that each $p_{2i-1}$ satisfies a large deviations principle on $(0, 1)$ with good rate function
\[
I_1(p) := W_1(p) - W_1(p_1^*),
\]
(4.3)
where \( W_1(p) = V_1(p) - \log(p(1-p)) \). Analogously, the \( p_{2j}^{(n)} \) satisfy a large deviations principle on \((0,1)\) with good rate function \( I_2(p) := W_2(p) - W_2(p^*)_2 \). The assertion then follows from the independence of the \( p_i \)'s and the contraction principle. Note that \( \varphi_{[a,b]} \) is a bijection between \((0,1)^k\) and \( \text{Int} \, M_k([a,b]) \) and thus the rate function only changes on the boundary \( \partial M_k([a,b]) \), where its value is \( \infty \).

For the upper bound \((3.2)\), let \( U \subset (0,1) \) be a closed set. Then, with \( W^U := \inf_{x \in U} W_1(x) \),

\[
\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \int_U e^{-nV_1(x) + (n-i) \log(x(1-x))} \, dx \leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \int_0^1 e^{-nV_1(x) - (n-i)W^U} \, dx = -W^U.
\]

For the lower bound \((3.1)\), let \( O \subset (0,1) \) be an open set and define \( W^O := \inf_{x \in O} W_1(x) \). Let \( \varepsilon > 0 \) be arbitrary. By continuity of \( W \) on the interval \((0,1)\) and openness of \( O \) we know that \( O \cap \{ W_1 < W^O + \varepsilon \} \) is a nonempty open set. This yields

\[
\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \int_O e^{-nV_1(x) + (n-i) \log(x(1-x))} \, dx \\
\geq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \int_{O \cap \{ W_1 < W^O + \varepsilon \}} e^{-nV_1(x) + (n-i) \log(x(1-x))} \, dx \\
\geq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \int_{O \cap \{ W_1 < W^O + \varepsilon \}} e^{-iV_1(x) - (n-i)(W^O + \varepsilon)} \, dx = -W^O - \varepsilon.
\]

Now let \( \varepsilon \to 0 \), then the assertion finally follows from the choice \( U = O = (0,1) \) which shows that the normalization constant of the density satisfies

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \int_0^1 e^{-nV_1(x) + (n-i) \log(x(1-x))} \, dx = - \inf_{y \in (0,1)} W_1(y).
\]

Next, we will prove the results on laws of large numbers in Section 2. It follows from Theorem 3.3 and an application of the Borel-Cantelli lemma that in all three cases \((m_1^{(n)}, \ldots, m_k^{(n)}) \to (m_1^*, \ldots, m_k^*)\) almost surely as \( n \to \infty \), where \( m_j^* \) are determined by \( p_i^*, z_i^*, i = 1, 2 \) or \( a^*, \beta^* \), respectively. The convergence in \( L^1 \) follows for \( E = [a,b] \) immediately by the boundedness of the moments. For unbounded \( E \), it suffices to see that the \( m_j^{(n)} \)'s are uniformly integrable thanks to the exponential decay from the large deviations principle. It remains to identify the corresponding measures to the moment sequences \((m_1^*, m_2^*, \ldots)\). The general technique to do this is to consider the Jacobi operator associated to the recurrence coefficients of the orthogonal polynomials and derive an equation for the Stieltjes transform of the desired measure via a continued fraction expansion. We start with the simplest case of Theorem 2.7, where we explain the strategy in detail.

We will make use of the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.1.** Let \( \mu \) be a Borel probability measure on \( \mathbb{R} \) that is determined by its moments (i.e. the Hamburger moment problem to the moments of \( \mu \) is determinate). Let \( \alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2, \ldots \) denote the recurrence coefficients of the monic orthogonal polynomials to the measure \( \mu \) (see \((2.11)\)). If \( \mu \) is supported on \( N \) points, we set \( \beta_j := 0 \) for \( j \geq N \). Then the Stieltjes transform of \( \mu \),

\[
\Phi(z) := \int \frac{d\mu(x)}{z - x}.
\]
defined for $z \in \mathbb{C}^+ := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \Im z > 0\}$, has the continued fraction expansion

$$\Phi(z) = \frac{1}{z - \alpha_1} - \frac{\beta_1}{z - \alpha_2} - \frac{\beta_2}{z - \alpha_3} - \ldots$$

Here the convergents

$$\frac{1}{z - \alpha_1} - \frac{\beta_1}{z - \alpha_2} - \ldots - \frac{\beta_i}{z - \alpha_{i+1}}$$

converge locally uniformly in $\mathbb{C}^+$ as $l \to \infty$.

Lemma 4.1 is known as Markov’s theorem. For a nice historical survey we refer to [4]. However, as most statements or proofs in the literature are hard to understand for readers without a background in orthogonal polynomial theory, we give an elementary proof below.

**Proof of Lemma 4.1.** Let $\mu$ be a measure whose support consists of precisely $N$ distinct points. Then the monic orthogonal polynomials $P_1, \ldots, P_N$ up to order $N$ with respect to $\mu$ and the corresponding recursion coefficients $\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_{N-1}, \alpha_N$ are well-defined. Moreover, if $\mu$ has masses $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_N$ at the points $t_1, \ldots, t_N$ and $m_j$ denotes the $j$-th moment of $\mu$, the monic orthogonal polynomial $P_N$ is proportional to the polynomial

$$\tilde{P}_N(t) = \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & m_1 & \ldots & m_{N-1} & 1 \\ m_1 & m_2 & \ldots & m_N & t \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ m_{N-1} & m_{N-1} & \ldots & m_{2N-1} & t^N \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{i_0=1}^{N} \ldots \sum_{i_{N-1}=1}^{N} \omega_{i_0} \cdots \omega_{i_{N-1}} t_{i_0} t_{i_1}^2 \cdots t_{i_{N-1}}^{N-1} \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \ldots & 1 & 1 \\ t_{i_0} & t_{i_1} & \ldots & t_{i_{N-1}} & t \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ t_{i_0}^{N} & t_{i_1}^{N} & \ldots & t_{i_{N-1}}^{N} & t^{N} \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

Now the determinant in the last line vanishes whenever two indices $i_j$ and $i_k$ coincide. If all indices are different, the determinant is equal (up to a sign) to the polynomial $\ell(t) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} (t - t_i)$. Consequently, the polynomials $\tilde{P}_N$ and $P_N$ are also proportional to $\ell(t)$ and therefore vanish precisely at the the support points $t_1, \ldots, t_N$ of the measure $\mu$.

We now define for $z \in \mathbb{C}^+$ the continued fraction

$$f_j(z) := \frac{1}{z - \alpha_1} - \frac{\beta_1}{z - \alpha_2} - \frac{\beta_2}{z - \alpha_3} - \ldots - \frac{\beta_{j-1}}{z - \alpha_j}, \quad j = 1, \ldots, N.$$ 

Writing $f_j(z)$ as a single fraction $\frac{A_j(z)}{B_j(z)}$, we see that $A_j(z)$ and $B_j(z), j = 1, \ldots, m$ satisfy the recursions $A_0(z) := 0, B_0(z) := 1, A_1(z) := 1, B_1(z) := z - \alpha_1$ and

$$A_j(z) = (z - \alpha_j)A_{j-1}(z) - \beta_{j-1}A_{j-2}(z),$$

$$B_j(z) = (z - \alpha_j)B_{j-1}(z) - \beta_{j-1}B_{j-2}(z)$$

for $2 \leq j \leq N$. Clearly, $B_j$ is a polynomial in $z$ of degree $j$ with leading coefficient 1 and as it satisfies the same recursion as the orthogonal polynomials $P_j$, we conclude $B_j = P_j$ for $0 \leq j \leq N$. Furthermore, note that the sequence of functions

$$Q_j(z) := \int \frac{P_j(z) - P_j(t)}{z - t} \, d\mu(t)$$
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satisfies the same recursion as $A_j$, from which we can conclude $Q_j = A_j$ for $0 \leq j \leq N$. As the roots of $P_N$ are precisely the support points of the measure $\mu$ we obtain

$$f_N(z) = \frac{A_N(z)}{B_N(z)} = \frac{1}{P_N(z)} \int \frac{P_N(z)}{z-t} d\mu(t) = \int \frac{1}{z-t} d\mu(t),$$

which concludes the proof for a measure $\mu$ with finite support.

If $\mu$ has infinite support, all recursion coefficients $\beta_j$ are strictly positive. Let $N$ be an arbitrary natural number. There is a unique measure $\mu_N$ supported on $N$ points such that the corresponding monic orthogonal polynomials have the recursion coefficients $\alpha_1, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{N-1}, \alpha_N$. By the arguments above, the Stieltjes transform of $\mu_N$ has the form

$$f_N(z) = \frac{1}{z - \alpha_1} \frac{\beta_1}{z - \alpha_2} \frac{\beta_2}{z - \alpha_3} \cdots \frac{\beta_{N-1}}{z - \alpha_N}$$

Since the recursion coefficients up to order $N$ determine the moments of $\mu_N$ up to order $2N-1$, we know that $m_j(\mu_N) = m_j(\mu)$ for $1 \leq j \leq 2N-1$. Letting $N \to \infty$ thus shows $\lim_{N \to \infty} m_j(\mu_N) = m_j(\mu)$ for all $j$. Since the measure $\mu$ is uniquely determined by its moments, this implies the weak convergence $\mu_N \overset{w}{\to} \mu$. For any fixed $z \in \mathbb{C}^+$, the function $t \mapsto \frac{1}{z-t}$ is a bounded continuous function. Therefore the Stieltjes transform of $\mu_N$ converges to the Stieltjes transform of $\mu$, i.e.

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \int \frac{1}{z-t} d\mu_N(t) = \int \frac{1}{z-t} d\mu(t) = \frac{\beta_1}{z - \alpha_1} - \frac{\beta_2}{z - \alpha_2} - \frac{\beta_3}{z - \alpha_3} - \ldots$$

As $z \mapsto \frac{1}{z-t}$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C}^+$ and uniformly bounded away from the real line, $f_N$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C}^+$ and for any compact $K \subset \mathbb{C}^+$ we have $\sup_{N,z \in K} |f_N(z)| \leq M$ for some $M > 0$. It follows by Montel’s theorem that the convergence is uniform on $K$.

**Proof of Theorem 2.7.** Let $\mu_{SC,\alpha^*,\beta^*}$ be the measure for which the recurrence coefficients of the associated monic orthogonal polynomials are $\alpha_j = \alpha^*$ and $\beta_j = \beta^*$ for all $j$. From (2.12) we know that $\mu_{SC,\alpha^*,\beta^*}$ has finite moments. By Carleman’s criterion (in terms of recurrence coefficients, see [30, p. 59], the Hamburger moment problem for the moments of $\mu_{SC,\alpha^*,\beta^*}$ is determinate, if

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta_j}} = \infty, \quad (4.4)$$

which is clearly the case here. Thus by Lemma 4.1 the Stieltjes transform

$$\Phi_{SC,\alpha^*,\beta^*}(z) := \int \frac{d\mu_{SC,\alpha^*,\beta^*}(x)}{z-x},$$

has the continued fraction expansion

$$\Phi_{SC,\alpha^*,\beta^*}(z) = \frac{1}{z - \alpha^*} - \frac{\beta^*}{z - \alpha^*} - \ldots = \frac{1}{z - \alpha^* - \beta^* \Phi_{SC,\alpha^*,\beta^*}(z)}, \quad (4.5)$$

where the dots . . . in (4.5) mean a continued repetition of the last fraction before the dots. Solving algebraically for $\Phi_{SC,\alpha^*,\beta^*}(z)$ yields the two solutions

$$z - \alpha^* \pm \sqrt{(z - \alpha^*)^2 - 4 \beta^*}$$

Since any Stieltjes transform maps the upper half plane to the lower half plane, we get

$$\Phi_{SC,\alpha^*,\beta^*}(z) = \frac{z - \alpha^* - \sqrt{(z - \alpha^*)^2 - 4 \beta^*}}{2 \beta^*}, \quad (4.6)$$
where we define $\sqrt{(z - \alpha^*)^2 - 4\beta^*}$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}^+$ as the branch with positive imaginary part. Note that $\sqrt{(z - \alpha^*)^2 - 4\beta^*}$ admits a continuous extension from $\mathbb{C}^+$ to $\mathbb{R}$ via

$$\lim_{y \to 0^+} \sqrt{(x + iy - \alpha^*)^2 - 4\beta^*} = \begin{cases} -\sqrt{(x - \alpha^*)^2 - 4\beta^*}, x < \alpha^* - 2\sqrt{\beta^*} \\ i\sqrt{4\beta^* - (x - \alpha^*)^2}, x \in [\alpha^* - 2\sqrt{\beta^*}, \alpha^* + 2\sqrt{\beta^*}] \\ \sqrt{(x - \alpha^*)^2 - 4\beta^*}, x > \alpha^* + 2\sqrt{\beta^*} \end{cases}.$$ 

Thus $\Phi_{SC,\alpha^*,\beta^*}$ has a continuous extension from the upper half plane to the real line and $\mu_{\alpha^*,\beta^*}$ has a density on $\mathbb{R}$ which is given by the Stieltjes inversion formula (see e.g. [27, Remark 2.20])

$$\frac{\mu_{\alpha^*,\beta^*}(dx)}{dx} = -\frac{1}{\pi} \lim_{y \to 0^+} \Im \Phi_{SC,\alpha^*,\beta^*}(x + iy) = \frac{1}{2\pi\beta^*} \sqrt{4\beta^* - (x - \alpha^*)^2} I_{[\alpha^* - 2\sqrt{\beta^*}, \alpha^* + 2\sqrt{\beta^*}]}(x).$$

It is well-known that (see [27, Corollary 2.14]) the 2j-th moment of the semicircle distribution $\mu_{SC,0,1}$ is $\frac{\pi}{4}(2j)_j$, (2.15) follows by a simple computation.

**Proof of Theorem 2.1.** Let $\mu_{p_1^*,p_2^*}$ be the probability measure determined by having canonical odd moments $p_j^*$ and canonical even moments $p_j^2$. For a probability measure on $[a, b]$ with canonical moments $p_1, p_2, p_3, \ldots$ the recurrence coefficients of its monic orthogonal polynomials are given by (cf. [10])

$$\alpha_j = a + (b - a)(q_{2j-3}p_{2j-2} + q_{2j-2}p_{2j-1}),$$

$$\beta_j = (b - a)^2 q_{2j-2}p_{2j-1} - p_{2j}, \quad (j \geq 1).$$

Here we set $p_{-1} = p_0 = 0$ and as usual $q_1 := 1 - p_3$. In our case $\alpha_1 = a + (b - a)p_1^*$, $\beta_1 = (b - a)^2 p_1^* q_1^* p_2^*$, and for $j \geq 2$ we have $\alpha_j = a + (b - a)(p_j^* q_1^* + p_2^* q_1^*), \beta_j = (b - a)^2 p_j^* q_1^* p_2^* q_1^*$. Since $[a, b]$ is compact, the moment problem is determinate and hence Lemma 4.1 yields that the Stieltjes transform

$$\Phi_{p^*_1,p^*_2}(z) := \int \frac{d\mu_{p^*_1,p^*_2}(x)}{z - x}$$

has the continued fraction expansion

$$\Phi_{p^*_1,p^*_2}(z) = 1 + \left[ \frac{1}{z - a - (b - a)p_1^*} \right] - \left[ \frac{(b - a)^2 p_1^* q_1^* p_2^*}{z - a - (b - a)(p_1^* q_2^* + p_2^* q_1^*)} \right] - \left[ \frac{(b - a)^2 p_1^* q_1^* p_2^* q_2^*}{z - a - (b - a)(p_1^* q_2^* + p_2^* q_1^* + p_2^* q_2^*)} \right] - \cdots,$$

$$\Phi_{p^*_1,p^*_2}(z) = \frac{1}{z - a - (b - a)p_1^*} - (b - a)^2 p_1^* q_1^* p_2^* \Phi_{SC,\alpha,\beta}(z),$$

where $\Phi_{SC,\alpha,\beta}$ is from (4.5) with $\alpha := a + (b - a)(p_1^* q_2^* + p_2^* q_1^*), \beta := (b - a)^2 p_1^* q_1^* p_2^* q_2^*$. Thus by (4.6)

$$\Phi_{p^*_1,p^*_2}(z) = \frac{2q_2^*}{2q_2^* (z - a - (b - a)p_1^*) - (z - a - \sqrt{(z - a)^2 - 4\beta^*})} = \frac{(1 - 2p_1^*)z + a - 2q_2^*(a + (b - a)p_1^*) - \sqrt{(z - a)^2 - 4\beta^*}}{2p_2^*(z - a)(b - z)}.$$ 

As atoms of $\mu_{p^*_1,p^*_2}$ are simple poles of the Stieltjes transform, atoms can only be at $a$ or $b$. They can be identified using the formula

$$\mu_{p^*_1,p^*_2}(\{x\}) = -\lim_{y \to 0^+} y \Im \Phi_{p^*_1,p^*_2}(x + iy).$$
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Using this, we get after some algebra for $x = a$
\[
\mu_{p_1^*, p_2^*}(\{a\}) = \frac{p_2^* - p_1^* + |p_2^* - p_1^*|}{2p_2^*} = \begin{cases} 
0, & \text{if } p_1^* \geq p_2^* \\
1 - \frac{p_1^*}{p_2^*}, & \text{if } p_1^* < p_2^*. 
\end{cases}
\]
For $x = b$, we get similarly
\[
\mu_{p_1^*, p_2^*}(\{b\}) = \frac{p_1^* + p_2^* - 1 + |1 - p_1^* - p_2^*|}{2p_2^*} = \begin{cases} 
0, & \text{if } p_1^* + p_2^* \leq 1, \\
\frac{p_1^* + p_2^* - 1}{p_2^*}, & \text{if } p_1^* + p_2^* > 1. 
\end{cases}
\]
\(\Phi_{p_1^*, p_2^*}(z)\) has a continuous extension to \(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{a, b\}\). Thus the measure is absolutely continuous on \(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{a, b\}\) and the density of the absolutely continuous part \(\mu_{p_1^*, p_2^*}^{\text{ac}}\) can be computed using (4.7) as
\[
\frac{\mu_{p_1^*, p_2^*}^{\text{ac}}(dx)}{dx} = \frac{\sqrt{4\beta - (\alpha - x)^2}}{2\pi p_2^* (x - a)(b - x)}
\]
for \(x \in [\alpha - 2\sqrt{\beta}, \alpha + 2\sqrt{\beta}]\), and 0 elsewhere. This proves (1), since \(l_\pm = \alpha \pm 2\sqrt{\beta}\).

For (2) we use the well-known fact from potential theory (cf. e.g. [28, Theorem I.3.3]) that \(\mu\) is the minimizing measure of (2.4) if and only if it satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equations
\[
Q(t) - 2 \int \log|t - s|d\mu(s) \begin{cases} 
= l, & \text{if } t \in \text{supp}(\mu), \\
\geq l, & \text{if } t \notin \text{supp}(\mu), 
\end{cases} \tag{4.10}
\]
where \(l\) is a real constant. Differentiating, we get for \(t \in \text{supp}(\mu)\)
\[
Q'(t) = 2H_\mu(t), \tag{4.11}
\]
where
\[
H_\mu(t) := \int \frac{d\mu(s)}{t - s}
\]
is the Hilbert transform of \(\mu\). Note that the integral is understood as a principal value integral. The Hilbert transform of an absolutely continuous measure can be obtained from its Stieltjes transform \(\Phi_\mu\) via (see e.g. [18, p. 94])
\[
H_\mu(t) = \lim_{y \to 0^+} \Re \Phi_\mu(t + iy).
\]
In our case this gives together with (4.11)
\[
Q'(t) = \frac{(1 - 2p_2^*)t + \alpha - 2p_2^*(a + (b - a)p_1^*)}{p_2^*(t - a)(b - t)} = -\frac{p_1^* - p_2^*}{p_2^*(t - a)} + \frac{1 - p_1^*}{p_2^*(b - t)}.
\]
Integration yields
\[
Q(t) = -\left(\frac{p_1^*}{p_2^*} - 1\right) \log(t - a) - \left(\frac{1 - p_1^*}{p_2^*}\right) \log(b - t) \tag{4.12}
\]
on the support. The integration constant does not matter here and thus is set to 0 for simplicity. We will consider \(Q\) defined by (4.12) as function \(Q : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}\) by construction, \(Q\) satisfies the equation of (4.10) on the support of \(\mu_{p_1^*, p_2^*}\). For the inequality in (4.10), we compute the Hilbert transform \(H_{\mu_{p_1^*, p_2^*}}\) outside of the support of \(\mu_{p_1^*, p_2^*}\) as
\[
H_{\mu_{p_1^*, p_2^*}}(t) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{Q(t)}{2} + \frac{(t - a)^2 - 4\beta}{2p_2^*(t - a)(b - t)} \geq \frac{Q'(t)}{2}, & t \leq \alpha - 2\sqrt{\beta}, \\
\frac{Q(t)}{2} - \frac{(t - a)^2 - 4\beta}{2p_2^*(t - a)(b - t)} \leq \frac{Q'(t)}{2}, & t \geq \alpha + 2\sqrt{\beta}.
\end{cases}
\]
Consequently, \(Q(t) - 2 \int \log|t - s|d\mu_{p_1^*, p_2^*}(s)\) is nonincreasing on \([a, l_-]\), constant on \([l_-, l_+]\) and nondecreasing on \((l_+, b]\). This implies the inequality in (4.10) and thus proves (2). ⊓⊔
Proof of Theorem 2.5. For a probability measure $\mu$ on $\mathbb{R}_+$ with canonical moments $z_1, z_2, \ldots$ the recursion coefficients are given by
\[
\alpha_j = z_{2j-2} + z_{2j-1}, \quad \beta_j = z_{2j-1}z_{2j}, \quad (j \geq 1)
\]
with the convention $z_0 := 0$. To see this, define the Hankel determinants $H_2 := \det(m_{i,j})_{i,j=0}^{1,n}, H_{2j+1} := \det(m_{i,j})_{i,j=0}^{2,n}, j \geq 0$ and $H_{-1} := H_{-2} := 1$. By the solution of the Stieltjes moment problem in terms of Hankel determinants (see [30, p. 6] and an expansion of $H_{2j}$, we find $H_{2j} = (m_j - m_1)H_{2j-2}$ (cf. [11, Theorem 1.4.4]). By Theorem 9.1 and the subsequent corollary in Chapter I of [7], the recursion coefficients are given by $\alpha_j = \gamma_{2j-1} + \gamma_{2j}$ and $\beta_j = \gamma_{2j-2}\gamma_{2j-1}$, where $\gamma_{2j} := \frac{P_j(z)}{R_{j-1}(0)}, \gamma_{2j-1} := \frac{P_j(z)}{R_j(z)}$. Assertion (4.13) now follows from Theorem 4.2 and Exercise 3.1 in Chapter I of [7] and observing $\gamma_j = z_j - 1$.

Let $\mu_{MP,z_1,z_2}$ be the probability measure on $\mathbb{R}_+$ with canonical moments $z_{2j-1} = z_1^j$ and $z_{2j} = z_2^j, j = 1, \ldots$. Then the recursion coefficients of the corresponding orthogonal polynomials are $\alpha_j = z_1^j, \alpha_j = z_1^j + z_2^j, j \geq 2$ and $\beta_j = z_1^jz_2^j, j \geq 1$. The Stieltjes transform of $\mu_{MP,z_1,z_2}$ will be denoted by $\Phi_{MP,z_1,z_2}$. By (4.4), the moment problem is determinate and thus $\Phi_{MP,z_1,z_2}$ admits the continued fraction expansion
\[
\Phi_{MP,z_1,z_2}(z) = \frac{1}{\left| \begin{array}{c} z - z_1^1 \\ z - z_1^2 \\
\end{array} \right| \left| \begin{array}{c} z - z_1^2 \\ z - z_1^3 + z_2^2 \\
\end{array} \right| \cdots} = \frac{1}{z - z_1^1 - z_1^2 \Phi_{SC,\alpha,\beta}(z)},
\]
where $\Phi_{SC,\alpha,\beta}(z)$ is from (4.5) with $\alpha := (z_1^1 + z_2^2)$ and $\beta = z_1^2z_2^2$. Using (4.6), this gives
\[
\Phi_{MP,z_1,z_2}(z) = \frac{2\beta}{2(z - z_1^1) - z_1^1z_2^2(z - \alpha - \sqrt{(z - \alpha)^2 - 4\beta})}.
\]
Clearly, $\mu_{MP,z_1,z_2}$ can have an atom only at 0. A computation using (4.9) gives
\[
\mu_{MP,z_1,z_2}(\{0\}) = \frac{z_2^2 - z_1^1 - |z_1^1 - z_2^2|}{2z_2^2} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } z_2^2 \geq z_1^1, \\
1 - \frac{z_1^1}{z_2^2}, & \text{if } z_2^2 < z_1^1. \end{cases}
\]
The density of the absolutely continuous part can again be determined using (4.7) as
\[
\frac{\mu_{MP,z_1,z_2}(dx)}{dx} = \frac{\sqrt{4\beta - (\alpha - x)^2}}{2\pi z_2^2x}
\]
for $x \in [\alpha - 2\sqrt{\beta}, \alpha + 2\sqrt{\beta}], x \neq 0$, and 0 elsewhere. Now the statement of the theorem follows noting $l_k = \alpha \pm 2\sqrt{\beta}$.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. We will only prove the case $E = \mathbb{R}_+$, as the remaining parts are shown by similar arguments. Consider a moment vector under the distribution $F_{n,:R_+,V_{1,2}}$ defined by the density (2.10). We will show that the canonical moments satisfy
\[
\sqrt{n}(z_{2i-1}^{(n)} - z_1) \overset{d}{\rightarrow} \mathcal{N}(0, W_1''(z_1)^{-1})
\]
\[
\sqrt{n}(z_{2i}^{(n)} - z_2) \overset{d}{\rightarrow} \mathcal{N}(0, W_2''(z_2)^{-1})
\]
as $n \to \infty$. The assertion of the theorem then follows from the independence of the $z_i^{(n)}$ and an application of the delta-method. Note that $\Sigma_k$ is nonsingular, as $\varphi_k^E$ is a diffeomorphism and therefore $\det D\varphi_k^E(z_1^*, z_2^*, \ldots) \neq 0$. 
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By Scheffé’s Lemma, weak convergence of a sequence of measures can be proved by showing pointwise convergence of the corresponding densities. The density of
\[ f_n(x) := \frac{g_n(x)}{c_n}, \]
where
\[ g_n(x) := \exp \left\{ -n(W_1(z_1^n + x/\sqrt{n}) - W_1(z_1^n)) \right\} (z_1^n + x/\sqrt{n})^{-(2i-1)} \]
and \( c_n \) is an appropriate normalization constant. By Taylor’s theorem we obtain that
\[ W_1(z_1^n + x/\sqrt{n}) = W_1(z_1^n) + \frac{x^2}{2n} W_1''(z_1^n) + \lambda x/\sqrt{n} \]
holds for some \( \lambda \in [0, 1] \). From this we can easily conclude
\[ g_n(x) \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} \exp(-W_1''(z_1^n)x^2/2)(z_1^n)^{-(2i-1)}, \]
and it remains to prove the convergence of the normalization constant. By assumption \( W_1''(z_1^n) \neq 0 \) and since \( z_1^n \) is a minimizer of \( W_1 \), we have \( W_1''(z_1^n) > 0 \). Hence we may choose \( 0 < \varepsilon < z_1^n \) so small that the inequality \( W_1''(x) > W_1''(z_1^n)/2 \) is satisfied for all \( x \) with \( |x - z_1^n| < \varepsilon \). This yields
\[ c_n = \int_{-z_1^n}^{z_1^n} \exp \left\{ -n(W_1(z_1^n + x/\sqrt{n}) - W_1(z_1^n)) \right\} (z_1^n + x/\sqrt{n})^{-(2i-1)} \, dx \]
\[ = \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \exp \left\{ -n(W_1(z_1^n + x/\sqrt{n}) - W_1(z_1^n)) \right\} (z_1^n + x/\sqrt{n})^{-(2i-1)} \, dx + o(1) \]
\[ \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} \int \exp \left\{ -W_1''(z_1^n)x^2/2 \right\} (z_1^n)^{-(2i-1)} \, dx = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{W_1''(z_1^n)}} (z_1^n)^{-(2i-1)}. \]
Here we have used the dominated convergence theorem with dominating function
\[ g(x) := \exp \left\{ -W_1''(z_1^n)x^2/4 \right\} (z_1^n - \varepsilon)^{-(2i-1)}. \]
The \( o(1) \) term stems from the fact that outside of the interval of integration \( (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \) the function \( W_1(z_1^n + x/\sqrt{n}) - W_1(z_1^n) \) is bounded from below by some positive constant \( K > 0 \). The remaining integral can then be bounded by
\[ \sqrt{n}e^{-(n-(2i-1))K} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left\{ -(2i-1)(V_1(x) - V_1(z_1^n) + \log(z_1^n(1 - z_1^n))) \right\} \, dx = o(1). \]
Hence the density \( f_n \) converges pointwise to a centered normal distribution with variance \( 1/W_1''(z_1^n) \), which completes the proof of the first part of the theorem.
It remains to determine the entries of \( D_{\varphi_k}^R \) in the case \( z_1^n = z_1^* \). In order to do this, we will follow the arguments in [10]. Therein, a double sequence \( g_{i,j} \) is defined by
\[ g_{i,j} := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i = 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } i \neq 0, i > j, \\ g_{i,j-1} + z_{j-i+1}g_{i-1,j}, & \text{if } i \neq 0, i \leq j. \end{cases} \]
We will first consider $U_i$ and $EJP$ treated similarly. We will first show that each $a_i$ of degree $x((\limsup_{n}r_{n}(z)_{i})_{i=1,\ldots,n}) \leq \sup_{n}r_{n}(z)_{i} \leq \varepsilon$ and $dm_{i}(1,1,\ldots) = (z_{i}^{\ast})^{i-1} \frac{dm_{i}}{dz_{i}}(1,1,\ldots) = (z_{i}^{\ast})^{i-1} \left( \frac{2i}{i-r} - \left( \frac{2i}{i-r-1} \right) \right)$.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. We will only prove the case $E = [a,b]$, the remaining cases are treated similarly. We will first show that each $a_{n}(p_{j-1}^{n} - p_{j}^{n})$ satisfies a large deviations principle with good rate function $J(x) := W''_{1}(p_{1}^{1})x^{2}/2$ and speed $b_{n}$, where $(a_{n})$ and $(b_{n})$ are chosen as in Theorem 3.2. In order to see this, let $p_{1}^{1} - b_{n}^{\ast}$ be any $p_{1}^{n}$ in $(0,1)$ and some positive constant $M > 0$. Set $\gamma := \inf_{x \in U} |x|, R(p) := (p(1-p))^{-2(\gamma-1)}$ and let $I_{1}$ be the function (4.3). Note that $I_{1} \geq 0$ with unique zero $p_{1}^{0}$ and $I''_{1} = W''_{1}$. In order to limit the number of indicator functions in the following calculations, we extend the definition of $I_{1}$, $W_{1}$ and $R$ by $I_{1}(x) = W_{1}(x) = \infty$ and $R(x) = 0$ for $x \in R \setminus (0,1)$ and use the convention $e^{-\infty} = 0$. For (3.2) we show first

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{b_{n}} \log \int_{U} e^{-nI_{1}(x/a_{n}+p_{1}^{n})} R(x/a_{n}+p_{1}^{n}) dx \leq -W''_{1}(p_{1}^{0})\gamma^{2}/2.$$ 

Note that $e^{-nI_{1}(x/a_{n}+p_{1}^{n})} R(x/a_{n}+p_{1}^{n})$ is the density of $a_{n}(p_{j-1}^{n} - p_{j}^{n})$, up to the normalization constant. The case $\gamma = \infty$ is trivial, since then $U = \emptyset$, so we may assume $\gamma < \infty$.

We will first consider $U \cap \{|x| \geq \varepsilon a_{n}\}$ and get

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{b_{n}} \log \int_{U} \mathbb{I}_{\{|x| \geq \varepsilon a_{n}\}} e^{-nI_{1}(x/a_{n}+p_{1}^{n})} R(x/a_{n}+p_{1}^{n}) dx \\
\leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{b_{n}} \log \int_{R} \mathbb{I}_{\{|x| \geq \varepsilon a_{n}\}} e^{-(2i-1)V_{1}(x/a_{n}+p_{1}^{n})} \exp \left( -(n-(2i-1)) \inf_{|y-p_{1}^{n}| \geq \varepsilon} I_{1}(y) \right) dx \\
\leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{b_{n}} \log \int_{R} a_{n} e^{-(2i-1)V_{1}(t)} \exp \left( -(n-(2i-1)) \inf_{|y-p_{1}^{n}| \geq \varepsilon} I_{1}(y) \right) dt \\
\leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} a_{n}^{2} \log a_{n} \left( n-(2i-1) \right) \inf_{|y-p_{1}^{n}| \geq \varepsilon} I_{1}(y) / n = -\infty.$$ 

For the set $U \cap \{|x| < \varepsilon a_{n}\}$, note that by Taylor’s theorem

$$\int_{U} \mathbb{I}_{\{|x| < \varepsilon a_{n}\}} e^{-nI_{1}(x/a_{n}+p_{1}^{n})} R(x/a_{n}+p_{1}^{n}) dx \\
\leq \sup_{|y-p_{1}^{n}| < \varepsilon} R(y) \int_{U} \mathbb{I}_{\{|x| < \varepsilon a_{n}\}} \exp \left( -(1-\varepsilon)\frac{nx^{2}}{(2a_{n}^{2})} + \varepsilon \frac{nx^{2}}{(2a_{n})} \right) \inf_{|z-p_{1}^{n}| < \varepsilon} W''_{1}(z) dx \\
\leq \sup_{|y-p_{1}^{n}| < \varepsilon} R(y) \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} \frac{nx^{2}}{2a_{n}^{2}} + \varepsilon \frac{nx^{2}}{(2a_{n})} \right) \inf_{|z-p_{1}^{n}| < \varepsilon} W''_{1}(z) \sqrt{2\pi} \left( \frac{eb_{n}}{\varepsilon} \inf_{|z-p_{1}^{n}| < \varepsilon} W''_{1}(z) \right).$$ 

Consequently,

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{b_{n}} \log \int_{U} \mathbb{I}_{\{|x| < \varepsilon a_{n}\}} e^{-nI_{1}(x/a_{n}+p_{1}^{n})} R(x/a_{n}+p_{1}^{n}) dx \leq -(1-\varepsilon) \inf_{|z-p_{1}^{n}| < \varepsilon} W''_{1}(z) \gamma^{2}/2.$$ 
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Using $\log(a + b) \leq \log 2 + \max\{\log a, \log b\}$, $a, b \geq 0$, we conclude
\[
\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{b_n} \log \int_U e^{-nI(x/a_n+p_1^*)}R(x/a_n+p_1^*) \, dx \\
\leq \max \left\{ \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{b_n} \log \int_U \mathbb{I}_{\{x < c_{a_n}\}}e^{-nI(x/a_n+p_1^*)}R(x/a_n+p_1^*) \, dx, \right. \\
\left. \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{b_n} \log \int_U \mathbb{I}_{\{x \geq c_{a_n}\}}e^{-nI(x/a_n+p_1^*)}R(x/a_n+p_1^*) \, dx \right\} + \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log 2}{b_n} \\
\leq -(1-\varepsilon) \inf_{|z-p_1^*| < \varepsilon} W_1''(z) \frac{\gamma^2}{2}.
\]

Letting $\varepsilon \to 0$ now yields
\[
\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{b_n} \log \int_U e^{-nI(x/a_n+p_1^*)}R(x/a_n+p_1^*) \, dx \leq -W_1''(p_1^*) \frac{\gamma^2}{2}. \tag{4.14}
\]

For the lower bound (3.1), let $O \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an arbitrary nonempty open set. Set again $\gamma := \inf_{x \in O} |x| < \infty$. By the definition of $\gamma$ the set $O \cap \{|x| < \gamma + \varepsilon\}$ is a nonempty open set.

Therefore by Taylor’s theorem
\[
\int_O e^{-nI(x/a_n+p_1^*)}R(x/a_n+p_1^*) \, dx \\
\geq \int_O \mathbb{I}_{\{|x| < \gamma + \varepsilon\}}e^{-nI(x/a_n+p_1^*)}R(x/a_n+p_1^*) \, dx \\
\geq \inf_{|y-p_1^*| < (\gamma + \varepsilon)/a_n} R(y) \lambda(O \cap \{|x| < \gamma + \varepsilon\}) \exp \left(-n(\gamma + \varepsilon)^2/(2a_n)\right) \sup_{|y-p_1^*| < (\gamma + \varepsilon)/a_n} W_1''(y),
\]

where $\lambda$ is the Lebesgue measure. This yields
\[
\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{b_n} \log \int_O e^{-nI(x/a_n+p_1^*)}R(x/a_n+p_1^*) \, dx \geq -W_1''(p_1^*) (\gamma + \varepsilon)^2. 
\]

Letting $\varepsilon \to 0$ we therefore get
\[
\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{b_n} \log \int_O e^{-nI(x/a_n+p_1^*)}R(x/a_n+p_1^*) \, dx \geq -W_1''(p_1^*) \frac{\gamma^2}{2}. \tag{4.15}
\]

Note that the density of $a_n(p_{2i-1}^{(n)} - p_1^*)$ is
\[
\frac{1}{c_n} e^{-nI(x/a_n+p_1^*)}R(x/a_n+p_1^*) \, dx,
\]
where $c_n$ is the normalization constant. Plugging $U = O = \mathbb{R}$ into (4.14) and (4.15) shows $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{b_n} \log c_n = 0$. This proves the large deviations principle for $a_n(p_{2i-1}^{(n)} - p_1^*)$.

Analogously, $a_n(p_{2i} - p_2^*)$ satisfies a large deviation principle with speed $b_n$ and good rate function $W_2''(p_2^*)\gamma^2/2$. Since the canonical moments are independent, we can conclude that the vector
\[
a_n((p_1^{(n)}, \ldots, p_k^{(n)}) - \bar{y})
\]
satisfies a large deviations principle with speed $b_n$ and good rate function $\|Hx\|_2^2/2$, where the matrix $H$ is given by $H = \text{diag}(W_1''(p_1^*), W_2''(p_2^*), W_1''(p_1^*), \ldots)^{1/2} \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$. Recall that $\bar{y} = (p_1^*, p_2^*, p_1^{(n)} \ldots) \in (0,1)^k$. 
Universality in Random Moment Problems

In order to transfer this large deviations principle to the sequence of ordinary moments, we need to apply the delta-method for large deviations. As Theorem 3.1 in [16] states, the sequence

\[ a_n \left( (m_1^{(n)}, \ldots, m_k^{(n)}) - (m_1^{*}, \ldots, m_k^{*}) \right) = a_n \left( \varphi_k^{[a,b]}(p_1^{(n)}, \ldots, p_k^{(n)}) - \varphi_k^{[a,b]}(\bar{y}^n) \right) \]

satisfies a large deviations principle with good rate function

\[ I(x) := \inf \{ \|Hy\|_2^2 / 2 \mid \langle D\varphi_k^{[a,b]}(\bar{y}^n) y = x \rangle = \|HD\varphi_k^{[a,b]}(\bar{y}^n)^{-1} x\|_2^2 / 2 \}. \]
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