Guard Cell Starch Degradation Yields Glucose for Rapid Stomatal Opening in Arabidopsis
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INTRODUCTION

Stomata are microscopic pores in the plant epidermis bounded by a pair of guard cells. The appearance of stomata was a major evolutionary innovation for the transition of plants to life on land (Hetherington and Woodward, 2003; Berry et al., 2010); they interrupt the impermeable waxy cuticle and open to facilitate CO2 diffusion into the leaves for photosynthesis (CO2 assimilation, A). This process, however, also allows water to diffuse out of the leaf through the evapotranspiration stream, risking desiccation. The capacity of stomata to enable CO2 uptake or water loss is known as stomatal conductance (gs), and measured as a mole flux per unit area (mol m⁻² s⁻¹). To optimize daytime water use efficiency (WUE; amount of carbon fixed per unit water loss, A/evapotranspiration) and survive the harsh terrestrial environment, plants have evolved the capacity to actively control the stomatal pore aperture and change gₛ in response to fluctuating environmental conditions (Haworth et al., 2011). Plants generally open their stomata (increase in gₛ) in response to light and low CO2 concentrations, while they close them (decrease in gₛ) in darkness, in response to high CO2 concentrations, and under adverse environmental conditions (Murata et al., 2015). In the steady state, changes in A are often strongly associated with gₛ dynamics, leading to a near-optimal balance of carbon gain and water loss (Wong et al., 1979). In fluctuating environments, however, stomatal responses to changing conditions, especially light and temperature, are generally slower than photosynthetic responses (Lawson and Blatt, 2014; Lawson and Viallet-Chabrand, 2019). For example, upon changes in photosynthetic photon flux density during sun/shade flecks caused by passing clouds or overlapping leaves in a canopy, A adapts quickly by reaching a new steady state within several tens of seconds to minutes, whereas changes in gₛ can take minutes to hours (Barradas and Jones, 1996; Ooba and Takahashi, 2003; Vico et al., 2011; McAusland et al., 2016; Viallet-Chabrand et al., 2016; Lawson and Viallet-Chabrand, 2019). Despite considerable variation in the magnitude and time scales of opening and closing responses across species and environmental conditions (Barradas and Jones, 1996; Vico et al., 2011; McAusland et al., 2016; Qu et al., 2016),
stomatal delays to light fluctuations have a well-documented impact on the economics of leaf gas exchange, with important implications in terms of \( A \) and transpiration and, hence, leaf WUE (Naumburg et al., 2001; Lawson and Blatt, 2014; Vialet-Chabrand et al., 2017b; Lawson and Vialet-Chabrand, 2019).

Many studies have explored stomatal anatomy, size, and density as strategies for increasing or decreasing \( g_s \), based on the assumption that high densities of small stomata can alter aperture faster than fewer, larger stomata (Hetherington and Woodward, 2003; Franks and Beerling, 2009; Drake et al., 2013; Raven, 2014). These approaches have often met with limited success. Modifications of stomatal density that result in gain in \( A \) through increases in \( g_s \) can occur at the expense of WUE (Tanaka et al., 2013). Furthermore, manipulation of physical attributes may be counterbalanced by unpredicted modifications in function (Büssis et al., 2006). This holds true especially for species with elliptical (or kidney-shaped) guard cells, such as Arabidopsis spp and many crop plants, in which differences in \( g_s \) responses cannot simply be explained by the size of stomata (Elliott-Kingston et al., 2016; McAusland et al., 2016).

A less obvious and a relatively unexplored approach exploits stomatal movement kinetics to facilitate coordinated \( g_s \) responses with mesophyll demands for \( CO_2 \) (Viallet-Chabrand et al., 2017a). Modeled synchronous behavior in \( g_s \) and \( A \) in Phaseolus vulgaris subjected to dynamic light has been shown to theoretically increase WUE by 20% (Lawson and Blatt, 2014; Lawson and Viallet-Chabrand, 2019). Furthermore, optogenetic manipulation of stomatal kinetics by expression of the synthetic, light-gated potassium (\( K^+ \)) channel BLINK1 in Arabidopsis guard cells demonstrated a 2-fold enhancement of WUE (Papanatsiou et al., 2019). These gains, in turn, would substantially boost plant growth and yield. A current limitation of this strategy is that a full mechanistic understanding of the molecular components determining \( g_s \) kinetics is still lacking.

Stomatal opening is powered by the blue light (BL)-activated \( H^+ \)-ATPase (AHA1) at the guard cell plasma membrane (PM), which hyperpolarizes the membrane potential by pumping \( H^+ \) out of the guard cells (Assmann et al., 1985; Shimazaki et al., 1986). The hyperpolarization drives \( K^+ \) uptake through the inward-rectifying \( K^+ \) channels with accumulation of malate\(^2^-\) (Mal), chloride (\( Cl^- \)), and nitrate, driving changes in cell turgor and stomatal aperture (Inoue and Kinoshita, 2017; Jezek and Blatt, 2017). BL simultaneously inhibits the S-type anion channel activities via CONVERGENCE OF BL AND CO\(_2\) protein kinases to support stomatal opening (Marten et al., 2007; Hiyama et al., 2017).

In parallel to the activation of membrane ion transport, starch in guard cell chloroplasts is degraded within the first hour of light, contributing to a rapid increase in stomatal opening (Horrer et al., 2016). In the \( amy3 \) \( bam1 \) double mutant, which lacks the glucan hydrolases \( \beta \)-amylase1 (BAM1) and \( \alpha \)-amylase3 (AMY3) needed to break down this starch, stomata open more slowly and to a lesser extent (Horrer et al., 2016). Early studies hypothesized that carbon skeletons derived from starch degradation are used to synthesize Mal. This hypothesis is based on experiments linking changes in guard cell protoplast (GCP) volume to changes in Mal content (Schnabl, 1980a; Schnabl et al., 1982) as well as loss of starch in guard cells in the light (Lloyd, 1908; Outlaw and Manchester, 1979).

Interestingly, starch degradation is triggered by low levels of BL through the phototropin-mediated signaling cascade (Tallman and Zeiger, 1988; Horrer et al., 2016). Arabidopsis mutants lacking AHA1 also show defective guard cell starch degradation, indicating a coordinate requirement for the PM \( H^+ \)-ATPase (Horrer et al., 2016).
This previously unexpected connection between light-regulated membrane ion transport and guard cell starch metabolism prompted us to investigate how these processes integrate in the fine control of stomatal opening kinetics. We found that H\(^+\), K\(^+\), and Cl\(^-\) transport across the guard cell PM is unaltered in the Arabidopsis stomatal starch-degrading mutant amy3 bam1, suggesting that starch degradation products do not directly affect the capacity to transport ions. Despite the long-held view that Mal derives from starch degradation, we observed that Mal levels in guard cells of amy3 bam1 were similar to those of the wild type, whereas Glc levels were greatly reduced. Rapid starch degradation at dawn is therefore very likely required to maintain sugar homeostasis during stomatal opening. By comparing guard cell kinetics with guard cell starch dynamics in plants subjected to pulses of light and darkness under common light growth conditions (150 \(\mu\)mol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)), we further show that the amounts of starch and the ability to promptly break it down upon transition to light are associated with fast stomatal opening kinetics. Impaired guard cell starch degradation in the amy3 bam1 or aha1 mutant caused up to 40 min delay to reach 63% of the maximal starch degradation. Finally, we provide evidence that fast guard-cell kinetics depend on starch degradation. We observed that Mal levels in guard cells of amy3 bam1 were similar to those of the wild type, whereas Glc levels were greatly reduced. Rapid starch degradation at dawn is therefore very likely required to maintain sugar homeostasis during stomatal opening. By comparing guard cell kinetics with guard cell starch dynamics in plants subjected to pulses of light and darkness under common light growth conditions (150 \(\mu\)mol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)), we further show that the amounts of starch and the ability to promptly break it down upon transition to light are associated with fast stomatal opening kinetics. Impaired guard cell starch degradation in the amy3 bam1 or aha1 mutant caused up to 40 min delay to reach 63% of the maximal guard cell starch degradation, which was not linked with stomatal size and density. In response to pulses of higher light intensity (400 \(\mu\)mol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)) or in response to red light (RL; 300 \(\mu\)mol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)), under which the plant is photosynthesis-saturated, guard-cell kinetics did not depend on starch degradation. Finally, we provide evidence that fast guard-cell kinetics under RL are primarily driven by photosynthetic production of Suc in the mesophyll and the import to guard cells, as energized by the PM H\(^+-\)ATPase AHA1. Our findings provide insights into the molecular mechanisms determining fast stomatal opening kinetics to light in Arabidopsis, showing that they depend on a tight coordination between membrane ion transport and metabolic rearrangements.

RESULTS

BL-Induced Proton Pumping, K\(^+\) and Cl\(^-\) Channel Activities Are Unaltered in amy3 bam1 Mutants

The major starch-degrading enzyme in Arabidopsis guard cells is BAM1, an exoamylase that attacks the nonreducing end of the glucan chains to release maltose. Upon illumination, BAM1 rapidly mobilizes starch in conjunction with the chloroplastic AMY3, an endoamylase that hydrolyzes \(\alpha\)-1,4 bonds within glucan chains. Simultaneous loss of BAM1 and AMY3 in the amy3 bam1 double mutant leads to elevated guard cell starch levels throughout the diurnal cycle, severely affecting stomatal opening (Horner et al., 2016). Guard cell starch is thought to be converted to Mal (Raschke and Schnabl, 1978; Schnabl, 1980a). Therefore, we hypothesized that reduced Mal synthesis and H\(^+\) accumulation associated with the inhibition of starch degradation in amy3 bam1 would suppress H\(^+-\)ATPase activity and reduce membrane voltage, and consequently limit the driving force for ion uptake, potentially explaining the defective stomatal opening response of amy3 bam1 (Blatt, 2016).

To test this hypothesis, we first examined the activity of the H\(^+\)-ATPase in GCPs in response to BL illumination (10 \(\mu\)mol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) BL superimposed to 50 \(\mu\)mol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) RL). Recordings of H\(^+\) pumping in wild-type GCPs yielded an average H\(^+\) flux of 0.72 nmol h\(^{-1}\) \(\mu\)g protein\(^{-1}\) (Figures 1A and 1B), which is in line with H\(^+\) fluxes reported in other studies (Ueno et al., 2005; Hiyama et al., 2017). The H\(^+\) extrusion measured here is also consistent with the H\(^+\)-ATPase activity needed to drive solute uptake for stomatal opening for intact guard cells (Wang et al., 2012, 2017), as supported by the estimation of the H\(^+\) extrusion rate presented in Supplemental File 1. To our surprise, GCPs from amy3 bam1 plants showed similar rates of BL-induced H\(^+\) pumping to those of the wild type (0.68 nmol h\(^{-1}\) \(\mu\)g protein\(^{-1}\); Figures 1A and 1B), as well as similar levels of phosphorylation of the H\(^+\)-ATPase (Figure 1C; Supplemental Figure 1A). While we did not detect differences in H\(^+\) pumping, amy3 bam1 plants showed slow guard-cell kinetics and reduced amplitudes when exposed to the same light conditions (Supplemental Figure 1B). These results indicate a fully functional proton pump, even in the absence of starch degradation.

Next, we recorded K\(^+\) and Cl\(^-\) channel currents under voltage clamp from intact guard cells. These methods bring membrane voltage under direct experimental control, thereby separating channel activity from complications of changes in membrane energization. Our voltage-clamp recordings detected no differences in K\(^+\) and Cl\(^-\) currents, their activation kinetics, or their conductances between the wild-type and amy3 bam1, indicating that the mutation did not alter the capacity for K\(^+\) or Cl\(^-\) uptake (Figures 1D and 1E).

BL-Induced Guard Cell Starch Degradation Yields Glc

In our current model, Mal in guard cells is postulated to derive mainly from BL-induced starch degradation. This model is based on early publications (Raschke and Schnabl, 1978; Schnabl, 1980a), mostly correlating in nature, and lacks biochemical validation. To assess whether starch is indeed converted to Mal, we quantified Mal by enzymatic methods in wild-type and amy3 bam1 guard-cell–enriched epidermal peels exposed to BL (75 \(\mu\)mol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)) for 30 min. Mal levels in peels harvested at the end of the night (EoN) were similar in both genotypes (Figure 2A; Supplemental Table 1). Mal then decreased to a similar extent when isolated peels floating in opening buffer were illuminated with BL (Figure 2A; Supplemental Table 1), presumably as it was further metabolized to energize stomatal opening. However, Mal levels remained unchanged if the peels were kept in the dark for 30 min (Supplemental Figure 2A), indicating that the decrease in Mal was specifically induced by BL illumination. As a control, we measured Mal content in the leaves at the EoN, and found no differences between wild-type and amy3 bam1 plants (Supplemental Figure 2B). Altogether, these data suggest that Mal is metabolized in guard cells in response to BL, most likely for energy production. Furthermore, starch degradation in Arabidopsis guard cells does not directly result in Mal production, likely explaining why membrane ion transport is unaltered in amy3 bam1 (Figure 1).

Given that there were no differences in Mal content, we reasoned that degradation of starch might directly influence soluble sugar homeostasis. We therefore quantified Glc, Fru, and Suc in guard-cell–enriched epidermal peels exposed to BL as detailed above. The wild type had substantial amounts of Glc at the EoN and lower quantities of Fru and Suc (Figure 2B; Supplemental Figure 2C; Supplemental Table 2). Fru and Suc then decreased when isolated peels floating in opening buffer were exposed to BL, while Glc levels did not significantly change (Figure 2B;
Supplemental Table 2). Notably, *amy3 bam1* guard cells had only half as much Glc as those of the wild type at the EoN (Figure 2B; Supplemental Figure 2C), and almost undetectable amounts after the light treatment (Figure 2B). Fru levels were similar to the wild type, but, surprisingly, *amy3 bam1* guard cells had 3- to 4-fold more Suc than the wild type at the EoN (Figure 2B; Supplemental Figure 2C; Supplemental Table 2). Interestingly, *amy3 bam1* plants contained elevated amounts of Suc at the EoN in the leaves as well, possibly explaining the high Suc content in guard cells, whereas leaf levels of Glc and Fru were similar to the wild type (Supplemental Figure 2D). Suc in guard cells was then depleted in both the wild type and *amy3 bam1* after 30 min of BL illumination (Figure 2B), consistent with the idea that Suc is a substrate for light-induced stomatal opening (Lima et al., 2018; Medeiros et al., 2018). If isolated wild-type guard cells were kept in the dark for 30 min, Glc levels decreased to less than half (Supplemental Figure 2C), suggesting that the sustained levels of Glc in wild-type guard cells under BL result from BL-induced starch degradation. Glc was also partially metabolized in *amy3 bam1* guard cells during dark incubation (Supplemental Figure 2C). Fru levels decreased in wild-type guard cells but not in that of *amy3 bam1* (Supplemental Figure 2C), while Suc remained unchanged during the 30 min of dark incubation (Supplemental Figure 2C). This result further supports the role of Suc as an energy supplier during light-induced stomatal opening. Overall, our findings suggest that under BL, isolated Arabidopsis guard cells release Glc from starch degradation. Thus, the slow and reduced stomatal opening of *amy3 bam1* at the start of the day (Horrer et al., 2016) is a consequence of altered guard cell sugar homeostasis.

**Fast Stomatal Opening Kinetics Are Associated with the Rate of Guard Cell Starch Degradation in Arabidopsis Plants Subjected To Alternating Pulses of Light and Darkness**

Our electrophysiological and metabolite measurements ruled out a forward impact of starch degradation on membrane ion min. One representative experiment out of five experiments is shown. WT, wild type.

(B) BL-dependent H\(^+\) pumping quantification. Values represent means ± se (n = 5).

(C) Immunoblots of BL-dependent H\(^+\)-ATPase phosphorylation in GCPs. The upper blot displays the detection of the phosphorylation level of the H\(^+\)-ATPase by immunoblot using the anti-phospho-Thr947 antibody (p-Thr). The lower blot shows detection of the H\(^+\)-ATPase using a specific antibody against the C terminus of the H\(^+\)-ATPase. Each lane contained 1.5 to 3.5 \(\mu\)g of guard cell proteins.

(D) Steady-state currents recorded under voltage clamp for \(I_{K,in}\) and \(I_{K,out}\) in isolated guard cells. Solid curves are fittings of the wild type (n = 8) and *amy3 bam1* (n = 8) to a Boltzmann function. Data are mean ± se. The insets show measurements that were typically obtained by clamping in cycles with a holding voltage of −100 mV and 6-s steps either to voltages from −120 to −240 mV for \(I_{K,in}\) or voltages from −80 to +40 mV for \(I_{K,out}\).

(E) Instantaneous current voltage curves for \(I_C\) recorded in the wild type (n = 8) and *amy3 bam1* (n = 11). Data are means ± se. Solid curve shows an empirical fitting to the second-order polynomial function and is included for clarity. The insets show representative \(I_C\) traces during 7-s clamp steps to voltages from +30 mV to −160 mV after a 10-s clamp step at +30 mV.
transport, and suggest that the rapid conversion of starch to Glc might directly influence \( g_s \) kinetics. To test this hypothesis, we examined kinetics of \( g_s \) and \( A \) in relation to guard cell starch dynamics in wild-type, \( amy3 \) \( bam1 \), and \( aha1 \) plants. Given that loss of \( AHA1 \) \( H^+\)-ATPase in Arabidopsis impairs both membrane transport activities and starch metabolism (Horner et al., 2016; Yamauchi et al., 2016), investigating the responses of \( aha1 \) should help in understanding the interaction between metabolism and ion transport in the control of \( g_s \) kinetics. Plants were subjected to a two-pulsed-light treatment, during which plants were given pulses of light and darkness of 2 h each. This began at the EoN, after 30 min of dark adaptation under 150 \( \mu \text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \) white light illumination, which is common for Arabidopsis (George et al., 2018). Given the purpose of our gas exchange measurements to compare stomatal opening kinetics between genotypes, \( g_s \) and \( A \) were normalized to the values at the EoN to facilitate the comparison of the velocity in the increase of the two parameters. Raw data for each experiment are provided in the Supplemental Figures.

Wild-type plants opened and closed their stomata in response to the alternating pulses of light and darkness (Figure 3A; Supplemental Figures 3A and 3B). However, stomatal opening during the second light pulse (4.5 h after dawn) was reduced and much slower compared with the first pulse (Figure 3B; Supplemental Figures 3A and 3B).

Modeling the temporal responses of \( g_s \) to light consistently revealed a two-fold increase in the time constant, \( \tau \), for \( g_s \) response between the second and the first light pulse, corresponding to an increase in the half-time for opening of 19 min (Figure 3C); whereas the maximum slope (\( S_{\text{max}} \)), a parameter that combines rapidity and amplitude of the \( g_s \) response (Vialet-Chabrand et al., 2013), decreased by half (Figure 3D). The \( amy3 \) \( bam1 \) and \( aha1 \) mutants also responded to the fluctuations of light and darkness by opening and closing their stomata (Figure 3A; Supplemental Figures 3A and 3B), but their \( g_s \) kinetics were slow during both light pulses, particularly in the case of \( amy3 \) \( bam1 \) (Figure 3A; Supplemental Figures 3C to 3H). Compared with the wild type, the \( amy3 \) \( bam1 \) and \( aha1 \) mutants showed significantly higher \( \tau \) values for the first light pulse (\( \tau_{\text{amy3} \text{ bam1}} = 57 \pm 7 \text{ min} \); \( \tau_{\text{aha1}} = 25 \pm 2 \text{ min} \); versus \( \tau_{\text{WT}} = 17 \pm 1 \text{ min} \)), with a concomitant reduction in \( S_{\text{max}} \), corresponding to 10 min to 40 min slower opening kinetics (Figures 3E and 3F; Supplemental Table 3). Note that the \( g_s \) responses to the second light pulse were similar between all genotypes, with calculated \( \tau \) for opening of 36 \( \pm \) 2 min for the wild type, 48 \( \pm \) 4 min for \( amy3 \) \( bam1 \), and 56 \( \pm \) 7 min for \( aha1 \) (Figures 3A and 3C; Supplemental Figures 3E and 3F; Supplemental Table 3).

The differences in stomatal opening kinetics impacted on photosynthetic rates. \( A \) in the wild-type plants increased rapidly during the first light pulse and reached a final steady state after \( \sim 20 \) min of light, while \( A \) reached steady state only after \( \sim 50 \) min of light in response to the second light pulse (Figure 3G; Supplemental Figure 3I). Compared with the wild type, \( amy3 \) \( bam1 \) mutants had lower \( A \) rates during the first light pulse (Figure 3G; Supplemental Figure 3I), in line with previous reports (Horner et al., 2016). Estimations of \( C/\text{Ci} \), describing the changes in the ratio of intercellular to ambient \( CO_2 \) concentrations throughout the treatment, assuming the resistance for \( CO_2 \) uptake being the same as for water efflux, confirmed that differences in photosynthetic rate in response to dark-to-light transition were driven largely by stomatal behavior. In wild-type plants, \( C/\text{Ci} \) values during the first pulse initially decreased when light was turned on due to photosynthetic consumption of \( CO_2 \), followed by an increase in \( C/\text{Ci} \) due to stomatal opening (Supplemental Figure 3J). In response to the second light pulse, \( C/\text{Ci} \) values after the initial drop increased more slowly due to the slower \( g_s \) kinetics (Supplemental Figure 3J).

It is well established that light-induced activation of ribulose-1,5-bis-phosphate carboxylase/oxygenase influences the kinetics of \( A \) (Woodrow and Mott, 1989, 1992), particularly during the first 10 min, which is illustrated by the initial decrease in \( C/\text{Ci} \). However, the absence of a difference in \( C/\text{Ci} \) response during the first minutes of light between the two pulses and that the subsequent increase in \( C/\text{Ci} \) associated with the increase in \( g_s \) suggests stomatal limitation of \( A \) in our experimental conditions. The \( C/\text{Ci} \) dynamics in \( amy3 \) \( bam1 \) and \( aha1 \) plants followed a similar trend to that of the wild type, but \( amy3 \) \( bam1 \) showed lower \( C/\text{Ci} \) values during the first light pulse due to the diffusive stomatal limitations imposed by the slow \( g_s \) responses (Supplemental Figure 3J).

As anticipated, rapid starch degradation occurred in wild-type guard cells during the first light pulse (Figure 3H). The second
stomatal opening was, surprisingly, associated with a net increase in starch content up until the middle of the light pulse, followed by starch mobilization (Figure 3H). In the case of the amy3 bam1 mutant, starch content remained high for the entire duration of the experiment, with little or no turnover (Figure 3H). The aha1 mutant showed an intermediate phenotype, with slight starch degradation occurring during the second half of both light pulses (Figure 3H). A possible explanation for this observation is that other H^+–ATPase isoforms may partially subsume the role of AHA1 in its absence. Consistent with this interpretation, we found that AHA5 and to some extent AHA2, which are preferentially expressed in guard cells (Yamauchi et al., 2016), were upregulated in guard-cell–enriched epidermal peels of the aha1 mutant when compared with the wild type at the EoN (Supplemental Figure 4).

Altogether, these results show that the differences in stomatal opening kinetics between the first and the second light pulse in the wild type, and between the wild type and the mutants, which affected A rates, were consistent with the underlying differences in guard cell starch metabolism. As a control, we measured starch content in the leaves, and found no differences between the wild type and the mutants (Supplemental Figure 5). In all cases, starch accumulation occurred in the light, while only a modest degradation of starch was observed in the wild type in response to the second dark period 6.5 h after dawn (Supplemental Figure 5). Thus, the delayed starch degradation in the wild-type guard cells during the second light pulse (Figure 3H) can explain the slow opening response.

Figure 3. Stomatal Opening Kinetics and Guard Cell Starch Dynamics in Plants Subjected To a Two-Pulsed–Light Treatment.

(A) Normalized whole-plant recordings of g_s values in dark-adapted (30 min) plants in response to 2-h L, 2-h D, 2-h L, and 2-h D (L, light; D, darkness). Plants were illuminated with 150 μmol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) white light. The g_s values were normalized to values at the EoN. Number of measured plants per genotype n ≥ 3; values presented are means. WT, wild type.

(B) Changes in g_s values in response to a shift from dark to light in wild-type plants. Data are taken from (A).

(C) to (F) Rapidity of the stomatal response estimated using a time constant (τ[C]) and the maximum slope of g_s increase (S\(_{\text{max}}\)[D]) during the two consecutive pulses of light in the wild type [C and D] and during the first pulse in all three genotypes [E and F]. Unpaired student’s t test determined statistical significance between the indicated comparisons (P < 0.05; n.s., not significant).

(G) Normalized whole-plant recordings of A–A\(_{\text{EoN}}\) values from plants under the same light regime as given in (A). Values for A were normalized to values at the EoN. Number of measured plants per genotype n ≥ 3; values presented are means.

(H) Guard cell starch dynamics of plants under the same light regime as given in (A). Each value represents mean ± se of three biological replicates of >110 individual guard cells obtained from three independent experiments. Different letters indicate statistically significant differences among time points for the given genotype for P < 0.05 determined by one-way ANOVA with post hoc Tukey’s test.
To test the connection between guard cell starch degradation and \( g_s \) kinetics further, we extended the length of the first light pulse from 2 to 3 h (Figure 4). We reasoned that guard cell starch content might recover sufficiently to reach a threshold level that would allow immediate starch breakdown at the onset of the second light pulse and again promote fast stomatal opening. Indeed, in response to this modified two-pulsed-light treatment, wild-type guard cells degraded starch at the beginning of the second pulse (occurring this time 5.5 h after dawn; Figure 4A), and stomata opened more rapidly (Figures 4B and 4C; Supplemental Figures 6A and 6B). The changes in \( t_\tau \) and \( S_m \) between the second and the first light pulse (Figure 4D and 4E) indicated statistically significant differences among time points for the given genotype for \( P < 0.05 \) determined by one-way ANOVA with post hoc Tukey’s test. WT, wild type.

Figure 4. Stomatal Opening Kinetics and Guard Cell Starch Dynamics in Plants Subjected to a Modified Two-Pulsed-Light Treatment. (A) Guard cell starch dynamics in dark-adapted (30 min) plants in response to 3-h L, 2-h D, 2-h L, and 2-h D (L, light; D, darkness). Plants were illuminated with 150 \( \mu \text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \) white light. Each value represents mean \( \pm \text{SE} \) of three biological replicates of >110 individual guard cells obtained from three independent experiments. Different letters indicate statistically significant differences among time points for the given genotype for \( P < 0.05 \) determined by one-way ANOVA with post hoc Tukey’s test. WT, wild type. (B) Normalized whole-plant recordings of \( g_s - g_{\text{initial}} \) values in plants exposed to the same light regime as given in (A). The \( g_s \) values were normalized to values at the EoN. Number of measured plants per genotype \( n \geq 3 \); values presented are means. (C) Changes in \( g_s - g_{\text{initial}} \) values in response to a shift from dark to light in wild-type plants. Data are replotted from (B). (D) and (E) Rapidity of the stomatal response estimated using a time constant \( (t_\tau) \) and the maximum slope of \( g_s \) increase \( (S_m) \) during the two consecutive pulses of light in the wild type. Unpaired Student’s \( t \) test determined statistical significance between the indicated comparisons (*\( P < 0.05 \); n.s., not significant). (F) Normalized whole-plant recordings of \( A - A_{\text{initial}} \) values from plants under the same light regime as given in (B). Values for \( A \) were normalized to values at the EoN. Number of measured plants per genotype \( n \geq 3 \); values presented are means.
Taken together, our two-pulsed–light experiments and guard cell metabolite measurements suggest that the acceleration of stomatal opening above a baseline rate is associated with the amount of starch that is degraded to Glc, presumably needed to maintain proper guard cell sugar homeostasis.

Guard Cell Starch Dynamics in Response to Changes in Light Regime Do Not Depend on the Time of Day

To examine whether the changes in starch dynamics in response to the extension of the first light pulse from 2 to 3 h might simply reflect a time-of-day–dependent effect on guard cell starch metabolism, we subjected wild-type plants to a second regime of modified two-pulsed–light treatment. The first light pulse (2 h) was followed by 3 h of darkness, such that the beginning of the second light pulse still occurred 5.5 h after dawn (Figure 5). Under these conditions, stomatal opening during the second light pulse was accompanied by substantial guard cell starch accumulation, resulting in slow $g_s$ kinetics (Figures 5A to 5C; Supplemental Figure 7A). The time constant of the second pulse increased 4-fold, while the maximum slope decreased by 2.6-fold, corresponding to an increase in the half-time for stomatal opening of $\sim$1 h (Figures 5D and 5E). $A_w$ was also affected by the change in the light treatment, showing slower rates compared with the first light pulse.

Figure 5. Effect of Time of Day on Guard Cell Starch Metabolism and Stomatal Kinetics.

(A) Guard cell starch dynamics in dark-adapted (30 min) plants in response to 2-h L, 3-h D, 2-h L, and 2-h D (L, light; D, darkness). Plants were illuminated with 150 $\mu$mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ white light. Each value represents mean $\pm$ se of three biological replicates of $>110$ individual guard cells obtained from three independent experiments. Different letters indicate statistically significant differences among time points for the given genotype for $P < 0.05$ determined by one-way ANOVA with post hoc Tukey’s test. WT, wild type.

(B) Normalized whole-plant recordings of changes in $g_s-g_{\text{initial}}$ in plants exposed to the same light regime as given in (A). The $g_s$ values were normalized to values at the EoN, $n = 3$; values presented are means $\pm$ se.

(C) Changes in $g_s-g_{\text{initial}}$ in response to a shift from dark to light in wild-type plants. Data are replotted from (B).

(D) and (E) Rapidity of the stomatal response estimated using a time constant ($\tau$ [D]) and the maximum slope of $g_s$ increase ($S_{\text{max}}$ [E]) during the two consecutive pulses of light in the wild type. Unpaired Student’s t test determined statistical significance between the indicated comparisons ($*P < 0.05$; n.s., not significant).

(F) Normalized whole-plant recordings of $A-A_{\text{initial}}$ values from plants under the same light regime as given in (A). Values for $A$ were normalized to values at the EoN, $n = 3$; values presented are means $\pm$ se.
pulse (Figure 5F; Supplemental Figure 7B). Thus, the rearrangements of guard cell starch metabolism observed in our experiments were directly linked to the applied light regime, excluding the possibility that the decline in starch content in response to the second light pulse in the modified two-pulsed–light treatment (Figure 4A) was affected by the time of day.

Stomatal Anatomical Features of amy3 bam1 and aha1 Mutants Do Not Explain Their Slow \( g_s \) Kinetics

We also examined foliar stomatal anatomy to assess its contribution to the altered \( g_s \) response to light of amy3 bam1 and aha1 mutants. For this purpose, we calculated the maximum theoretical conductance (\( g_{\text{max}} \)). Anatomical \( g_{\text{max}} \) defines the potential maximum rate of \( g_s \) to water vapor as determined by the size and density of stomata in a diffusion-based equation (Dow et al., 2014). Compared with the wild type, both amy3 bam1 and aha1 mutant plants had more stomata per unit leaf area (143 ± 3 mm\(^{-2}\) and 127 ± 8 mm\(^{-2}\), respectively, versus 98 ± 6 mm\(^{-2}\); Figure 6A). They also showed a smaller pore area (\( g_{\text{max}} \); 152 ± 4 \( \mu \)m\(^2\) for amy3 bam1 and 134 ± 3 \( \mu \)m\(^2\) for aha1 compared with 161 ± 5 \( \mu \)m\(^2\) for the wild type; Figure 6B), primarily because of a smaller aperture rather than reductions in pore length or depth (Figures 6C to 6E), indicating that the guard cell membrane surface in amy3 bam1 and aha1 was likely similar to that of the wild type. The physical attributes of amy3 bam1 and aha1 with highly dense stomata and smaller pore area should theoretically allow increased stomatal conductance values compared with the wild type (Drake et al., 2013). Indeed, our calculations yielded significantly higher \( g_{\text{max}} \) values for both mutants (Figure 6F). The higher \( g_{\text{max}} \) of the amy3 bam1 and aha1 mutants, however, did not match the observed \( g_s \) responses. These results suggest that the effect of starch and proton pumping on \( g_s \) kinetics of Arabidopsis stomata is independent of anatomical features such as size and density. We interpret the elevated density of stomata in amy3 bam1 and aha1 mutants as an adaptive developmental response to the limited capacity to open the pore.

Fast \( g_s \) Kinetics under Saturating Photosynthetic Active Radiation Are Independent of Guard Cell Starch Degradation, But Require the PM H\(^+\)-ATPase

It is well established that guard cell osmoregulation is driven by different processes depending on the light quality and intensity.
increases in tissue to saturate photosynthesis (400 intensity of 400 nating pulses of white light and darkness of 2 h each with a light (Figure 7A; Figures 3A and 4B for comparison), and a greater is nonphotosynthetic, is associated with H (Talbott and Zeiger, 1996). The early morning BL response, which is determined by the capacity of the mesophyll tissue to fix carbon (Wong et al., 1979).

To test further the connection between guard cell starch degradation and stomatal opening kinetics under light intensities that saturate photosynthesis (400 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ for Arabidopsis; George et al., 2018), we subjected wild-type, amy3 bam1, and aha1 plants to a typical two-pulsed–light treatment with alternating pulses of white light and darkness of 2 h each with a light intensity of 400 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹.

Under these conditions, all genotypes achieved higher steady-state gs compared with plants illuminated with 150 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ (Figure 7A; Figures 3A and 4B for comparison), and a greater A (Figure 7B; Figures 3G and 4F for comparison). Wild-type stomata opened rapidly in response to both light pulses, with the speed of gs responses resembling those of the first pulse at a fluence rate of 150 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ (Figure 7A; Supplemental Figures 8A to 8C). However, in this case, stomatal opening in the wild type was accompanied by starch accumulation (Figure 7C), suggesting that when plants are carbon-saturated, fast stomatal opening kinetics are independent of guard cell starch degradation and its derived metabolites.

This observation was supported by data from the amy3 bam1 mutant. Guard cell starch dynamics in this mutant were unaffected by the changes in light intensity, and starch content remained high throughout the experiment (Figure 7C). Nonetheless, gs responses were similar to the wild type: the amy3 bam1 mutant showed rapid increases in gs as well as wild-type–like A rates and C/Cₐ values (Figures 7A and 7B; Supplemental Figures 8A to 8D). Surprisingly, under these saturating light intensities, the gs response of the aha1 mutant differed from that of the amy3 bam1 mutant. The aha1 mutant displayed slower stomatal opening kinetics and reduced steady-state gs during both light periods, which resulted in lower A rates (Figures 7A and 7B; Supplemental Figure 8E and 8F). The aha1 mutant also showed reduced C/Cₐ values compared with the wild type and the amy3 bam1 mutant (Supplemental Figure 8G). The fact that amy3 bam1, but not aha1, behaved similar to the wild type suggests that: (1) carbon sources for stomatal opening under photosynthesis-saturating light conditions do not derive from starch degradation, and (2) photosynthesis-driven opening response depends on the activity of the PM H⁺-ATPase.

PM H⁺-ATPase Activity Is Required for Fast Stomatal Opening Kinetics and Guard Cell Starch Accumulation under RL

To uncover the reasons for the stomatal phenotype of aha1 under saturating white light irradiation, we examined stomatal opening kinetics under RL, which avoids the nonphotosynthetic BL responses (Shimazaki et al., 2007). The RL response is abolished by 3-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-1,1-dimethylurea (an inhibitor of PSII; Olsen et al., 2002; Messinger et al., 2006), and, by contrast to BL, is associated with net guard cell starch accumulation (Talman and Zeiger, 1988; Horner et al., 2016).

As anticipated, illumination of wild-type plants with 300 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ of RL resulted in rapid stomatal opening with elevated steady-state gs, and was accompanied by efficient guard cell starch accumulation, which was sustained for the entire duration of the treatment (Figures 8A and 8B; Supplemental Figures 9A to 9C). Compared to the wild type, both amy3 bam1 and aha1 mutants showed reduced gs amplitudes, with aha1 having exceptionally slow gs kinetics (Figure 8A; Supplemental Figures 9A to 9C). The aha1 mutant also showed no changes in guard cell starch
**Figure 8.** Effect of RL on Guard Cell Carbohydrate Metabolism and Stomatal Kinetics.

(A) Normalized whole-plant recordings of $g_s - g_{\text{initial}}$ in dark-adapted (30 min) plants in response to 6-h illumination with 300 $\mu$mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ of RL. The $g_s$ values were normalized to values at the EoN, $n = 3$; values presented are means. WT, wild type.
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content, while the amy3 bam1 had slight, but significant net increase in starch levels (Figure 8B). These differences, however, did not affect A rates, which were similar in all three genotypes (Supplemental Figures 9D and 9E).

Based on these observations and earlier research suggesting that stomatal opening under saturating light likely depends on the import of mesophyll-derived sugars (Pffenroth et al., 1992), we reasoned that the differences in starch accumulation under RL between the wild type and aha1 may reflect the capacity of their guard cells to import apoplastic sugars.

Firstly, we compared the ability to accumulate starch in response to RL of wild-type guard cells of intact leaves and wild-type guard cells in isolated epidermal peels in which there is no connection with the mesophyll. We observed starch accumulation in both cases; however, guard cells of intact leaves, despite a short delay in the onset of synthesis, accumulated substantially more starch than isolated guard cells, showing a 4-fold increase in starch content by the end of the treatment (Figures 8C and 8D). We also observed that stomata of intact leaves efficiently opened in response to RL, whereas isolated stomata remained closed (Figures 8E and 8F). To verify that the isolated guard cells were still responsive to external stimuli after floating for several hours in the buffer, we performed a control experiment in which we treated isolated peels with fusicoccin (Fc). Fc is a chemical activator of the PM H+-ATPase and, in turn, of guard cell starch degradation (Horrer et al., 2016). After 3 h of RL illumination, exogenous application of Fc resulted in efficient starch degradation and induction of stomatal opening, as determined after 1 h and 3 h of treatment (Figures 8G and 8H). Altogether, these findings show that starch in guard cells under RL is made primarily from imported sugars; and they further support the idea that a mesophyll-derived signal (presumably sugars) is required for RL-induced stomatal opening (Lee and Bowling, 1992; Mott et al., 2008).

Having established the importance of mesophyll-derived sugars for RL-mediated responses, we next determined soluble sugar content in wild-type and aha1 guard cells of intact leaves at the EoN and after 2 h of RL illumination (300 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹). Glc and Fru levels were similar in the two genotypes and remained constant under RL (Figure 8I; Supplemental Table 4). Notably, Suc levels at the EoN were 2-fold higher in the wild type compared to aha1 (Figure 8J; Supplemental Table 4) and Suc doubled in wild-type guard cells under RL, whereas it remained low in aha1 (Figure 8I; Supplemental Table 4). Quantification of total amount of soluble sugars further showed that in wild-type guard cells, sugar content rose under RL by ~30%, but only ~12% in aha1 (Figure 8J).

Taken together, the severely impaired gᵣ kinetics of aha1 under RL, along with impaired guard cell Suc accumulation and lack of starch synthesis, strongly suggest that the RL stomatal response depends on Suc supply from the mesophyll and that the uptake of mesophyll-derived Suc is mediated by the PM H⁺-ATPase, presumably via energization of Suc transporters.

DISCUSSION

Integration of Guard Cell Starch Metabolism with Membrane Ion Transport during BL-Induced Stomatal Opening

Loss of AHA1 H⁺-ATPase in Arabidopsis directly translates into a reduction of proton extrusion by the guard cells, impairing both membrane transport activities and starch metabolism, and causing reduced stomatal opening (Horner et al., 2016; Yamauchi et al., 2016). Despite this seemingly tight connection between guard cell starch metabolism and ion transport, here we showed that starch degradation does not directly affect H⁺ flux or the capacity for K⁺ and Cl⁻ transport (Figure 1; Supplemental Figure 1). These findings have important implications. Firstly, besides the energy stored in starch, other metabolic processes, such as the electron transport chain in the chloroplast (Suetsugu et al., 2014), oxidative phosphorylation in mitochondria (Daloso et al., 2015), or BL-dependent β-oxidation of lipids (McLachlan et al., 2016), can contribute to the energy requirements of stomatal opening. Secondly, the presence of a functional H⁺-ATPase and of unaffected K⁺ and Cl⁻ channel currents in amy3 bam1 show that their transport activities alone do not limit light-induced stomatal opening. We found the amy3 bam1 mutant capable of driving H⁺ flux like the wild type under BL illumination and

Figure 8. (continued).

(B) Starch accumulation in guard cells.

(C) Starch content in wild-type guard cells of intact leaves.

(D) Starch content in wild-type isolated guard cells.

(E) Stomatal aperture in wild-type guard cells of intact leaves.

(F) Stomatal aperture in wild-type isolated guard cells.

(G) Starch content in wild-type isolated guard cells with or without treatment (10 μM of Fc applied after 3 h of light exposure).

(H) Stomatal aperture in wild-type isolated guard cells with or without treatment (10 μM of Fc; applied after 3 h of light exposure).

(I) Soluble sugar and (J) total sugar contents of wild-type and aha1 guard-cell–enriched epidermal peels at the EoN and after 2-h illumination with 300 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ of RL. Data for two independent experiments are shown (means ± se; n ≥ 11). FW, fresh weight.

(B) to (D) and (G) Same light conditions as given in (A). Each value represents mean ± se of three biological replicates of >110 individual guard cells obtained from three independent experiments. Different letters indicate statistically significant differences among time points for the given genotype. Asterisk (⁎) indicates statistically significant difference between genotypes for the given time point for P < 0.05 determined by one-way ANOVA with post hoc Tukey’s test.

(E) to (M) Same light conditions as given in (A). Each value represents mean ± se of four biological replicates of >200 individual stomata obtained from two independent experiments. Different letters indicate statistically significant differences among time points for the given genotype. Asterisk (⁎) indicates statistically significant difference between genotypes for the given time point for P < 0.05 determined by one-way ANOVA with post hoc Tukey’s test.
sufficient to energize the ion uptake needed for the increase in inorganic solute content during stomatal opening (Wang et al., 2012, 2017; Jezek and Blatt, 2017), yet g$_s$ increased only slowly in response to BL (10 $\mu$mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) superimposed on RL (50 $\mu$mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$; Supplemental Figure 1B). We conclude that starch degradation in guard cells is not primarily required for energy production to drive stomatal opening, and does not directly affect the ability of membrane ion transport.

Glc Is the Major Starch-Derived Metabolite during BL-Induced Stomatal Opening

In the early 20th century, starch-to-sugar conversion was the most widely accepted theory explaining the osmotic changes leading to alterations in guard cell turgor (Lloyd, 1908; Scarth, 1927). However, soon after the importance of K$^+$ in stomatal movement was revealed (Fischer, 1968; Fischer and Hsiao, 1968), the starch–sugar theory was put aside. Since then, K$^+$ has been recognized as the major osmoticum in guard cells, with Mal and/or Cl$^{-}$ and nitrate acting as the counterions (Humble and Raschke, 1971; Allaway, 1973; Outlaw and Lowry, 1977; Travis and Mansfield, 1977; Schnabl and Raschke, 1980). According to this model, Mal is synthesized within the guard cells using starch as a source of carbon skeletons. Experimental support for this model comes from studies in Vicia faba linking changes in GCP volume to changes in Mal and starch contents. Mal was determined enzymatically in GCPs incubated under white light and CO$_2$-free air (Schnabl, 1980a, 1980b; Schnabl et al., 1982). Further studies have reported an increase in Mal content in guard cells due to white light illumination (Allaway, 1973; Travis and Mansfield, 1977). These reports are based on measurements from V. faba or Commelina communis guard cells of intact leaves (Allaway, 1973) or epidermal fragments (Travis and Mansfield, 1977), not excluding the possibility of Mal import from the mesophyll.

Here, we quantified enzymatically Mal and sugars in wild-type and amy3 bam1 isolated guard cells before and after floating them in opening buffer under BL for 30 min. We showed that defective starch degradation in amy3 bam1 had no impact on Mal accumulation in guard cells at the EoN and after the BL treatment when compared to the wild type (Figure 2A; Supplemental Table 1). In response to BL illumination, Mal decreased in both genotypes (Figure 2A; Supplemental Figure 2A), indicating that Mal is a substrate for BL-induced stomatal opening in Arabidopsis. However, we cannot exclude that a transient peak in Mal accumulation was missed due to our experimental setup. Simultaneous synthesis and use of Mal in guard cells makes it difficult to detect fine changes in the amount of this metabolite. The fact that there were no differences in Mal content between the wild type and amy3 bam1 leads us to conclude that Mal is not the major starch-derived metabolite in Arabidopsis guard cells during BL-induced stomatal opening.

Sugar homeostasis, on the other hand, was dramatically altered in amy3 bam1 guard cells. Already at the EoN, amy3 bam1 had half as much Glc as the wild type, but accumulated 4-fold more Suc (Figure 2B). After the BL treatment, Glc (7-fold less than the wild type) was almost undetectable, while wild-type guard cells still contained high amounts of Glc (Figure 2B; Supplemental Table 2). This was not the case if isolated guard cells were dark-incubated for 30 min (Supplemental Figure 2C). Glc levels in both genotypes decreased during darkness, demonstrating that Glc derives from guard cell starch degradation specifically under BL.

These unexpected findings suggest that Glc is the major-starch derived metabolite in Arabidopsis guard cells. We conclude that it is unlikely that Mal is synthesized from carbon skeletons derived from starch degradation, and propose that Mal is more likely produced from anaplerotic CO$_2$ fixation within the guard cells (Asai et al., 2000; Robaina-Estévez et al., 2017) or directly imported from the apoplast via the ABC transporter ABCB14 (Lee et al., 2008) to fulfill its function as an allosteric activator, counterion, and osmotically active solute.

Even though the starch-sugar hypothesis got short shrift, recent evidence has again pointed to the significance of carbohydrates, in addition to K$^+$ and anions, during the build-up of the guard cell turgor (reviewed by Daloso et al., 2016, 2017; Santelia and Lawson, 2016; Santelia and Lunn, 2017; Lima et al., 2018; Granot and Kelly, 2019). Our data further support this view. A first consideration is that ion transport across the PM requires a significant amount of energy in the form of ATP. One of the roles of guard cell carbohydrate metabolism is to meet this energetic demand. Suc was long thought to act as an osmolyte (Poffenroth et al., 1992; Talbott and Zeiger, 1993; Amodeo et al., 1996), but more recent reports suggest that Suc is broken down to fuel the tricarboxylic acid cycle and provide energy for stomatal opening (Daloso et al., 2015, 2016; Medeiros et al., 2018). In line with this hypothesis, we observed, in both wild-type and amy3 bam1 guard cells, Suc depletion under light (Figure 2B) but not in darkness (Supplemental Figure 2C). A second consideration is that sequestration of K$^+$ in the vacuole (in the form of K$_2$Mal or KCI) requires cytosolic volume to be maintained. This can be achieved through import or synthesis of sugars. Thus, the cytoplasmic sugar pool must be replenished during stomatal opening to maintain cellular homeostasis and provide carbon skeletons for energy production. The reduced levels of Glc along with the slow and reduced stomatal opening in amy3 bam1 suggests that fast starch degradation at dawn is required for sufficient and continuous provision of sugars. Reduced levels of Glc in amy3 bam1 invokes compensatory Suc uptake and may explain why, at the EoN, amy3 bam1 accumulated 4-fold more Suc than the wild type along with the increased amount of available Suc from amy3 bam1 mesophyll cells.

The metabolic pathways within the mitochondria, chloroplasts, and cytosol are in a delicate balance. The rapid conversion and exchange of metabolites between these subcellular compartments is a cardinal event in guard cells, which ultimately coordinate the energetic and metabolic status of the cell with membrane ion transport activity.

BL-Induced Guard Cell Starch Degradation Promotes Fast Stomatal Opening Kinetics under Common Lighting Conditions

In response to fluctuations in environmental parameters, plants try to coordinate stomatal opening with the mesophyll demand for CO$_2$ and stomatal closure with the need to minimize water loss through transpiration. An important limitation in this process is the rate at which stomata open and close, which is usually more than an order-of-magnitude slower compared with photosynthetic
responses (Lawson and Blatt, 2014; Lawson and Vialet-Chabrand, 2019). The intercellular CO2 concentration (C) was long considered to be the factor mediating the coordination between A and gs (reviewed in Lawson et al., 2014). However, recent research reporting increases in gs with light despite high C, or after reaching steady-state A (Lawson et al., 2008; Matrosova et al., 2015) raises questions about the role of C as primary driver of A-gs coordination. Furthermore, there is increasing evidence that for species with kidney-shaped stomata, such as Arabidopsis, anatomical features, including size and density, are not directly correlated with the speed nor the amplitude of stomatal responses (Franks and Farquhar, 2007; Elliott-Kingston et al., 2016; McAusland et al., 2016).

Therefore, it has been hypothesized that characteristics other than stomatal anatomy may influence the gs kinetics in this type of stomata (McAusland et al., 2016).

In this study, we provide evidence that identifies guard cell starch metabolism as a key determinant of fast stomatal opening kinetics under common light conditions. We show that guard starch degrad- radation in Arabidopsis helps to accelerate stomatal opening above a baseline rate. The temporal responses of gs to light showed that inhibiting guard cell starch degradation in amy3 bam1 or aha1 mutants resulted in slow stomatal opening kinetics compared to the wild type, with a calculated increase in the time constant for opening of up to 40 min (Figures 3E and 3F). The fact that the amy3 bam1 and aha1 mutants have a higher potential tsmax despite the observed reduced gs amplitude (Figure 6F), further demonstrates that the effect of starch on gs of Arabidopsis stomata was independent of size and density. Similar alterations in anatomical features that cannot explain the different temporal responses of gw were found in other mutants, such as the outward rectifying K+ channel mutant gork1-1 (Violet-Chabrand et al., 2017a). Thus, metabolism—and its coordination with membrane ion transport—overrides anatomy in the control of stomatal opening kinetics in kidney-shaped stomata. We propose that the manipulation of gs kinetics by controlling guard cell starch dynamics could be a potential tool to improve the coordination of stomatal opening with mesophyll demand for CO2, that may be exploited to enhance plant WUE.

H+ -ATPase Energizes Sugar Uptake for Fast gs Kinetics during Photosynthesis-Mediated Stomatal Responses

We showed that when plants are photosynthetic-rate-saturated (i.e., at 400 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ of light), fast stomatal opening kinetics are independent of guard cell starch degradation. Consistent with this idea, the amy3 bam1 mutant showed gs responses similar to the wild type when illuminated with 400 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ of light (Figure 7A; Supplemental Figure 8). It is plausible that the osmolytes normally deriving from starch degradation were replaced by the high photosynthetic sugar production in the mesophyll, which, in concomitance with K⁺ uptake and inhibition of anion channels (Marten et al., 2008), was sufficient to promote rapid guard cell turgor and stomatal opening. The fact that the aha1 mutant had reduced and slow gs responses under these saturating light intensities (Figures 7A and 7C) led us to conclude that the activity of the PM H⁺-ATPase is necessary to promote the uptake of K⁺ and/or mesophyll-derived sugars for stomatal opening. Our discovery of the slow gs kinetics and reduced amplitude of aha1 stomata under RL illumination (Figure 8A; Supplemental Figure 9), which eliminates the BL-dependent response, further defines an essential role for PM H⁺-ATPase in photosynthesis-mediated stomatal responses. This finding is in line with recent studies reporting that RL induces photosynthesis-dependent phosphorylation of PM H⁺-ATPase in guard cells to promote stomatal opening in whole leaves (Ando and Kinoshita, 2018).

The RL response is partially driven by the accumulation of photosynthetically derived sugars, synthesized by the guard cell itself or imported from the mesophyll (Poffenroth et al., 1982; Talbott and Zeiger, 1993; Lu et al., 1995; Olsen et al., 2002), and is associated with net guard cell starch accumulation (Tallman and Zeiger, 1988; Horrer et al., 2016). It was indeed demonstrated that RL can stimulate stomatal opening via K⁺ accumulation and starch breakdown (i.e., the classic BL-dependent response) only under low CO2 conditions, when photosynthetic rates are low (Olsen et al., 2002). Because guard cell photosynthesis can provide only limited amounts of carbon (Tarczynski et al., 1989; Reckmann et al., 1990), mesophyll-derived sugars have long been considered as the most important source of osmotica for RL-mediated stomatal opening (reviewed in Lawson et al., 2014). In this study, we provide evidence supporting the role of mesophyll sugars in the RL response. When illuminated with 300 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ of RL, guard cells in isolated epidermal peels accumulated only ~25% of starch amounts compared with guard cells of intact leaves (Figures 8C and 8D). Furthermore, stomata of intact leaves efficiently opened in response to RL, whereas isolated stomata remained closed (Figures 8E and 8F).

Early biochemical studies suggested that Suc produced by mesophyll photosynthesis is transported to the guard cells via the apoplast and is taken up into the guard cells, apparently in symport with protons (Dittrich and Raschke, 1977; Lu et al., 1997; Ritte et al., 1999). In agreement with this hypothesis, we showed that guard cells of wild-type and aha1 plants contained different amounts of soluble sugars at the EoN, with wild-type plants showing elevated Suc. Wild-type guard cells doubled their Suc content, whereas aha1 guard cells failed to increase Suc levels (Figure 8I; Supplemental Table 4). This, together with the fact that aha1 mutant guard cells did not accumulate starch in response to RL irradiation (Figure 8B), lead us to conclude that the activity of H⁺-ATPase under RL or saturating photosynthetic active radiation is essential to energize Suc uptake for guard cell turgor generation and starch biosynthesis. Our results are in line with research demonstrating a role for the PM H⁺-ATPase (PHA1; AHA1 from potato [Solanum tuberosum]) in Suc-starch metabolism in stolons of potato (Stritzler et al., 2017). It is conceivable that RL-induced CO2 fixation provides the precursors needed for starch synthesis, but whether or not this accumulation of starch is required for RL stomatal responses remains unclear.

METHODS

Plant Material and Growth Conditions

All experiments were performed with four-week-old, non-flowering Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) plants in the Columbia (Col-0) background. The Arabidopsis mutants used in this study, aha1-8 (Salk_118350C) and amy3 bam1, were described by Horrer et al. (2016). Plants were grown in
soil in controlled-climate chambers (either KKD Hiross from CLITEC, or a Fitoclima 1200 or Fitoclima 2500 from Aralab) under a 12-h light/12-h dark photoperiod at a constant temperature of 21°C/19°C (day/night) and a relative humidity of 45/55% (day/night). Plants were illuminated with a total photon flux density of 150 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ with a combination of white (BloluX; Osram) and purple (Fluora; Osram) halogen lamps. Alternatively, plants were illuminated with a Fitoclima 2500 light-emitting diode (LED) panel (Aralab) or Fitoclima 2500 LED tubes (Aralab). Guard cell starch quantification and gas exchange measurements were performed at the indicated time points on plants subjected to a two-pulsed-light treatment.

In a typical experimental setup, plants were given pulses of light and darkness of 2 h each. Alternatively, plants were subjected to modified versions of the two-pulsed-light treatment, in which the first light pulse or the first dark pulse were extended to 3 h. In all cases, the experiment started at the EoN when plants were directly transferred from the climate chamber to the whole-plant Arabidopsis chamber, a model no. 6400-17 (LI-COR Biosciences), and given 30 min of dark adaptation before the beginning of the first light pulse. Plants were illuminated with standard growth light conditions (150 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹) or saturating photosynthetic active radiation (400 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹). For the RL experiments, plants were transferred at the EoN from the climate chamber to a reach-in climate chamber equipped with LED light sources (Fytoscope FS130; Photon Systems Instruments) and illuminated with 300 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ RL.

**Guard Cell Starch Quantification**

Guard cell starch content was quantified as described by Flütsch et al. (2018). In brief, at the indicated time points, epidermal peels obtained from leaf number 5 or 6 were fixed immediately in fixative solution (50% [v/v] methanol and 10% [v/v] acetic acid). Alternatively, leaves number 5 and 6 from eight individual plants were blended using a kitchen blender (ProBlend Avance, Philips). Isolated guard cells were collected using a 200-μm nylon mesh (Sefar) and incubated in 1 mL of basic opening buffer (5 mM of MES-bistrispropane at pH 6.5, 50 mM of KCl, and 0.1 mM of CaCl₂). Isolated guard cells were dark-incubated for 1 h in a reach-in climate chamber (model no. Fytoscope FS130; Photon Systems Instruments). The isolated guard cells were subsequently exposed to 300 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ RL for 6 h. In a modified version of this experiment, isolated guard cells were treated with 10 μM of Fc (Sigma-Aldrich) after 3 h of RL illumination. After the incubation and at the indicated time points, isolated guard cells were fixed in 50% (v/v) methanol and 10% (v/v) acetic acid solution. After fixation, starch granules were stained using the modified pseudo-Schiff propidium iodide staining (Trueritt et al., 2008; Flütsch et al., 2018). To oxidize the hydroxyl groups of the Gic entities, samples were incubated in 1% (w/v) periodic acid solution. The epidermal peels were stained with propidium iodide (1 mg mL⁻¹ [w/v]) and Schiff reagent (100 mM of sodium metabisulphite and 5 N of HCl). After destaining in distilled water, the samples were covered with chloral hydrate solution on a microscopy slide. Finally, epidermal peels were fixed with Hoyer’s solution after an overnight dark incubation. Guard cell starch contents were visualized using a model no. TCS SP5 confocal laser-scanning microscope (Leica Microsystems). Starch granule area was determined using the software ImageJ v1.48 (NIH; http://rsbweb.nih.gov/ij/).

**Stomatal Aperture and Trait Analysis**

Stomatal morphological parameters (aperture, guard cell length, guard cell width, and stomatal density) were measured from the fifth or the sixth leaf after 2 h of light, when stomata are fully open, as previously described by Horrer et al. (2016). For the time course of stomatal aperture, images were taken at the indicated time points. Briefly, leaf number 5 or 6 was fixed on an adhesive tape with the abaxial epidermis facing the tape. The mesophyll cell layer and the adaxial epidermis were removed using a razor blade. The abaxial epidermal cell layer remaining on the tape was washed with a 10 mM MES-KOH, pH 6.15 solution and subsequently fixed on a microscopy slide. Stomata were immediately imaged using an inverted microscope (Eclipse TS100; Nikon) at 40 × magnification.

Alternatively, isolated guard cells from leaves number 5 and 6 obtained as described in “Guard Cell Starch Quantification” were transferred to microscopy slides at the indicated time points with or without treatment with 10 μM of Fc (Sigma-Aldrich), and immediately imaged.

Stomatal anatomical traits were determined using the software ImageJ v1.48 (NIH).

**Anatomical gmax Calculation**

The anatomical gmax to water vapor (mol m⁻² s⁻¹) was determined according to the double end-corrected version of the equation by Franks and Farquhar (2001):

\[
g_{\text{max}} = \frac{d_{\text{is}} S_{\text{p}} a_{\text{max}}}{v (l + \pi i_{\text{b}}^{\text{max}}/\pi l)}
\]

where d_{is} is the diffusivity of water vapor in air (m² s⁻¹) at 22°C, v is the molar volume of air (m³ mol⁻¹) at 1 atm and 22°C, S_p is the stomatal density (m⁻²), and l represents the guard cell pore depth (m).

Maximum stomatal pore area (a_{max}) was calculated as \( \pi (P_{\text{min}}/2)^2 \). The maximum stomatal pore area was an ellipse with the main axis equal to pore length L (m) and the minor axis equal to L/2.

**GCP Isolation and H⁺ Pumping**

GCPs were enzymatically prepared from Arabidopsis wild-type or amy3 bam1 mutant leaves as described previously by Yamauchi and Shimazaki (2017). Isolated, overnight dark-adapted GCPs were illuminated with 50 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ of RL for 2 h, after which BL (10 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹) was applied for 30 min. BL-dependent H⁺-extrusion was determined using a glass pH-electrode as described by Yamauchi and Shimazaki (2017). The reaction mixture (0.8 mL) was composed of 0.125 mM of MES-KOH at pH 6.0, 10 mM of KCl, 0.4 M of mannitol, 1 mM of CaCl₂, and Arabidopsis GCPs (50 μg of protein).

**Determination of Phosphorylation Levels of PM H⁺-ATPase**

GCPs were exposed to 50 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ of RL for 30 min, after which BL (10 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹) was applied. Immunoblotting was performed as previously described by Kinoshita and Shimazaki (1999) with slight modifications. The antibodies against the H⁺-ATPase were reported by Kinoshita and Shimazaki (1999). The phospho-specific antibodies against the putative Thr of H⁺-ATPase (anti-pThr) were raised in rabbits according to Hayashi et al. (2010).

**Measurements of K⁺ Currents**

Currents were recorded using double-barreled microelectrodes as described by Chen et al. (2012) using Henry’s EP software (http://www.psrq.org.uk). To record inwardly rectifying K⁺ currents (I_{K1}), and outwardly rectifying K⁺ currents (I_{K,ADR}), electrodes were filled with 200 mM of K-acetate at pH 7.5 to avoid anion leakage from the microelectrode (Blatt and Slayman, 1983; Chen et al., 2012). Microelectrodes were constructed to give tip resistances >500 MΩ for Arabidopsis guard cell impalements. Guard cells from epidermal peels were treated with depolarizing buffer and light of 150 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ before recording K⁺ currents in standard bathing solution of 5 mM of CaCl₂-MES at pH 6.1 containing 10 mM of KCl. Voltage and currents were recorded using a μP electrometer amplifier (WyeScience) with an input impedance of >2 × 10¹¹ Ω (Blatt, 1987). Surface area
and volume of impaled guard cells were estimated from the cell length and diameter, assuming a spheroid geometry.

**Measurements of Cl− Currents**

Voltage-clamp recordings were performed from Arabidopsis intact guard cells in epidermal peels using Henry’s EP Software Suite (http://www.psr.org.uk). Double-barreled microelectrodes were filled with 200 mM of CsCl at pH 7.5, and the tissue was superfused with 5 mM of MES-Ca2+ at pH 6.1, containing 15 mM of CsCl and 15 mM of tetraethylammonium chloride. Surface areas of impaled guard cells were calculated assuming a spheroid geometry (Blatt and Slayman, 1983) and voltages were analyzed using Henry’s EP Software Suite. For clarity, the data of instantaneous current were fitted with a second-order polynomial function: \( I = y_0 + ax + bx^2 \).

**Gas Exchange Measurements**

Whole-plant gas exchange measurements were performed using a model no. 6400 XT Infrared Gas Analyzer equipped with a 6400-18 light source and the whole-plant Arabidopsis 6400-17 chamber (all from LI-COR Biosciences). To prevent any CO₂ diffusion and water vapor from the soil, the pots were sealed with a clear film. All measurements were performed at 22°C, 50% relative humidity, and 400 µl g⁻¹ CO₂. Before measurements, plants were equilibrated in darkness for 30 min. Measurements of net \( A \) and \( g_s \) values were performed on at least three different plants per genotype and light treatment, starting always at the same time of the diurnal cycle (EoN). Whole rosette area was determined using the software ImageJ v1.48 (NIH). The \( g_s \) and \( A \) values were normalized by subtracting the conductance values EoN (set as 0 = initial values for \( g_s \) or \( A \)) as described by Baroli et al. (2008). In all experiments, normalized \( g_s \) values during the dark pulses were lower compared with the \( g_s \) values at time 0 due to stomatal preopening during the last hours of the night period (Lebaudy et al., 2008). Calculation of gas- exchange parameters were made, according to von Caemmerer and Farquhar (1981) with \( C_\text{c} \) corrected for water vapor efflux from the leaf.

**Temporal Response of \( g_s \)**

The temporal response of \( g_s \) to light has been described by a time constant (\( \tau \)) estimated using an exponential equation:

\[
g_s = g_{\text{max}} + (g_{\text{min}} - g_{\text{max}}) e^{-t/\tau}
\]

with \( g_{\text{max}} \) and \( g_{\text{max}} \) being the minimum and maximum \( g_s \). The time constant represents the time to reach 63% of the total \( g_s \) variation, and was used to estimate the maximum slope \( (S_{\text{max}}) \) using the maximum derivative:

\[
S_{\text{max}} = \frac{g_{\text{max}} - g_{\text{min}}}{\tau}
\]

Equation 1 was fitted on the observed data of each genotype collected in the different experiments using a non-linear mixed effect model. Fixed effects were set for \( g_{\text{max}}, g_{\text{min}}, \) and \( \tau \), and random effect were set for \( g_{\text{min}} \) and \( g_{\text{max}} \). The average and confidence interval estimated with this model was calculated for each genotype and experimental conditions. The analysis was performed using the R (v3.4.1) package nlme (v3.1) and the nlme function. Initial parameter values were approximated using the initial and final \( g_s \) observed, and the time to reach 63% of the observed variation for \( \tau \).

**RNA Isolation and Quantitative PCR Analysis**

For RNA extraction from leaf material, three entire rosettes per genotype and time point (three biological replicates) were harvested and frozen in liquid nitrogen.

For RNA extraction from epidermal peels, the middle veins of 12 rosettes per genotype and time point (one biological replicate) were excised and the remaining leaf material was blended in 100 mL of ice-cold water using a blender (ProBlend Avance, Philips). A total of three biological replicates per genotype and time point were used for one experiment. The blended material was passed through a 200-µm nylon mesh (Sefar) and the remaining epidermal peels were dried, collected, and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. Subsequently, the epidermal peels were ground using a tissue grinder (Mix Mill MM-301; Retsch). Total RNA was extracted from 30 mg of ground tissue using the SV Total RNA Isolation Kit (Promega) following the manufacturer’s instructions. RNA quality and quantity were determined with a NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). A total of 1 µg of RNA was used for cDNA first-strand synthesis using the M-MLV Reverse Transcriptase RNase H Minus Point Mutant and oligo(dt)15 primer (Promega). Transcript levels were examined by RT-qPCR using the SYBR Green Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) and the 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems). RT-qPCR was performed in triplicates. Transcript levels were calculated according to the comparative Ct method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001) and were normalized against the expression of the Act2 gene (ACT2; At3g18780). Error calculations were done according to Applied Biosystems guidelines (http://www3.appliedbiosystems.com/cms/groups/mcb_support/documents/generaldocuments/cms_042380.pdf). Primers and PCR efficiencies for RT-qPCR are listed in Supplemental Table 5.

**Mesophyll Starch Extraction and Quantification**

Mesophyll starch contents were determined enzymatically according to Hostettler et al. (2011). In brief, entire Arabidopsis rosettes were harvested at the indicated time points and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. Rosettes were homogenized using a tissue grinder (Mix Mill MM-301; Retsch) and resuspended in 0.7M perchloric acid. Insoluble material was washed three times with 70% (v/v) ethanol and subsequently resuspended in water. Starch was solubilized by heating (95°C) and thereafter digested to Glc via enzymatic reactions (α-amyrase and amyloglucosidase, both from Roche) at 37°C. The amount of Glc equivalents was determined using the enzymes hexokinase (Roche) and Glc-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (Roche), which convert NAD to NADH in an equimolar ratio. The increase in NADH was determined spectrophotometrically (Synergy H1; BioTek) by monitoring the absorption spectrum at 340 nm.

**Guard Cell Soluble Sugar Quantification**

To extract soluble sugars from guard-cell–enriched epidermal peels, six rosettes per genotype, corresponding to one biological replicate, were collected at the EoN or after the plants were exposed to 300 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ of RL for 2 h, and the petiole was removed using scissors. The remaining leaf material was blended in 100 mL of ice-cold water using a kitchen blender (Avance Collection, Philips). The blended material was filtered through a 200-µm nylon mesh and the remaining epidermal peels were dried, collected in a tube, and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. Alternatively, epidermal peels from EoN samples were collected from the nylon mesh and incubated in basic opening buffer (6 mM of MES-bistrispropane at pH 6.5, 50 mM of KCl, and 0.1 mM of CaCl₂) for 30 min under 75 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ of BL or darkness.

To remove residual sugars from the guard cell apoplast, the samples were washed with 2 L of Milli-Q water (Merck Millipore) according to Daloso et al. (2015) and refrozen in liquid nitrogen. Subsequently, guard-cell–enriched epidermal peel materials were ground into a fine powder with a ball mill (Mix Mill MM-301; Retsch). Up to six biological replicates per genotype and time point were harvested for one experiment. Two independent experiments were performed.
Soluble sugars were extracted as described by Thalmann et al. (2016). After the extraction, the samples were lyophilized in a freeze-dryer (Lyovac GT1; Lybold) and resuspended in 60 μL of Milli-Q water (Merck Millipore).

Guard cell soluble sugars were quantified based on the protocol for quantification of root soluble sugars described by Thalmann et al. (2016) using 50 μL of neutralized soluble fraction obtained from the lyophilized and resuspended initial perchloric acid extraction as starting material.

**Leaf Soluble Sugar Quantification**

Leaf soluble sugars were determined enzymatically according to Thalmann et al. (2016). In brief, entire Arabidopsis rosettes were harvested at the EoN and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. Rosettes were homogenized using a tissue grinder (Mix Mill MM-301; Retsch) and resuspended in 0.7 M perchloric acid. After pelleting the insoluble material, 600 μL of clear supernatant was transferred to a fresh 1.5-mL Eppendorf tube. The soluble fraction was neutralized with neutralization buffer (400 mM of MES and 2 M of KOH), and 600 μL of clear supernatant was kept for analysis. Eight rosettes per genotype were harvested for one experiment. Soluble sugars were quantified using 20 μL of neutralized soluble fraction obtained from the initial perchloric acid extraction.

**Guard Cell Malate Quantification**

To quantify the amount of malate from guard-cell-enriched epidermal peels, six rosettes per genotype, corresponding to one biological replicate, were ground into a fine powder with a ball mill (Mix Mill MM-301; Retsch). Up to six biological replicates per genotype and time point were harvested for one experiment. Two independent experiments were performed.

To extract organic acids, 1 mL of Milli-Q water was added to the ground tissue and resuspended in 60 μL of Milli-Q water. L-malate content was determined using the K-LMAL–116A kit (Mega-zyme) following the manufacturer’s protocol using 50 μL of the lyophilized and resuspended organic acid extract.

**Malate Quantification in Leaves**

Malate content of leaves was determined using the K-LMAL–116A kit (Megazyms) following the manufacturer’s protocol. Entire Arabidopsis rosettes were harvested at the EoN and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. Malate was extracted as described above in “Guard Cell Malate Quantification.” Eight rosettes per genotype were harvested for one experiment. L-malate content was determined using 10 μL of the initial organic acid extract.

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical differences between genotypes and time points were determined by ANOVA with post hoc Tukey’s Honest Significant Difference test (P-value < 0.05) or by the unpaired Student’s t test. Statistical significance was marked as follows: *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001. All data are indicated as means ± σ. Details are given in Supplemental File 2.

**Accession Numbers**

Sequence data from this article can be found in the Arabidopsis Genome Initiative or GenBank/EMBL databases under the following accession numbers: At3g23920 (BAM1), At1g69830 (AMY3), and At2g18960 (AHA1).
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