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ABSTRACT

We present a detailed dynamical analysis of the thin, extended stellar stream that encircles the disk galaxy NGC 1097. Within a fully statistical framework, we model the surface brightness map of the so called ‘dog leg stream’, using mock streams generated as in Amorisco (2015), and new deep imaging data obtained with the CHART32 telescope for the Stellar Tidal Stream Survey. We reconstruct the post-infall evolution of the progenitor galaxy, which, we find, has experienced three pericentric passages, and lost more than two orders of magnitude in mass. At infall, 5.4 ± 0.6 Gyr ago, the progenitor was a disky dwarf with a mass of log_{10}(m/M_\odot) = 10.35 ± 0.25 within its tidal radius, r_t = 3.4 ± 1 kpc. We illustrate how the sharp 90 degree turn in the stream, identifying the ‘dog leg’, is the signature of the progenitor’s internal rotation, inclined with respect to the orbital plane and imprinted in the escape conditions of the stream members. Today, the remnant is observed to be a nucleated dwarf galaxy, with a line-of-sight velocity of v_{los} = −30 ± 30 kms^{-1} with respect to NGC 1097, and a total luminosity of 3.3 × 10^{7} L_{\odot} (Gliani et al. 2010). Our independent analysis predicts a line-of-sight velocity of v_{los} = −51^{+17}_{−14} kms^{-1} and measures its current total mass at log_{10}(m/M_\odot) = 7.4^{+0.6}_{−0.8} implying that the compact nucleus (L ≈ 6.9 × 10^{5} L_{\odot}, Gliani et al. 2010) is soon destined to become a low-luminosity Ultra Compact Dwarf galaxy. The progenitor’s orbit is strongly radial, with a pericenter of a few kpc, and an apocenter reaching r_{apo} = 150 ± 15 kpc, more than a half of the inferred virial radius of the host, r_{200} = 250 ± 20 kpc. We find that NGC 1097 has a mass of M_{200} = 1.8^{+0.5}_{−0.4} × 10^{12} M_\odot, and its inferred concentration c_{200} = 6.7^{+2.4}_{−1.3} is in very good agreement with the expectation of the cold dark matter model. We can describe the stream almost down to the noise using a spherical host potential, and find that this would not be possible in case of a halo that is substantially triaxial at large radii. In the morphology of the stream, we can see the logarithmic density slope of the total density profile, γ, bending from its inner value, γ(r_{peri}) = 1.5 ± 0.15, and steepening towards large radii. For the first time on an individual extragalactic halo, we measure the outer slope of the density profile, γ(0.6r_{200}) = 3.9 ± 0.5. This demonstrates the promise of the newborn field of detailed, statistical modelling of extragalactic tidal streams.

Subject headings: galaxies: dwarf — galaxies: structure — galaxies: kinematics and dynamics — galaxies: interactions — galaxies: individual NGC1097

1. INTRODUCTION

Recent deep wide-field imaging surveys of stellar tidal streams have mainly focused on nearby Milky Way-like spiral galaxies that were suspected to contain diffuse-light, very faint features in their outer regions based on existing data from large scale surveys, such as POSSII (Malin & Hadley 1997) and the SDSS (Miskolczi et al. 2011), or amateur images (Martinez-Delgado et al. 2008, 2010). The morphology of the detected tidal streams include great circle streams resembling the Sagittarius stream around our Galaxy, isolated shells, giant clouds of debris floating within galactic halos, spike-like features emerging from galactic disks, and large-scale diffuse structures that may be related to the remnants of ancient, already thoroughly disrupted satellites (Martinez-Delgado et al. 2010). This extraordinary variety of morphologies represents one of the first comprehensive pieces of evidence for the hierarchical formation scenarios predicted by cosmological models (e.g., White & Frenk 1991, Bullock & Johnston 2005, Johnston et al. 2008, Cooper et al. 2010). Encouraged by these results, the Stellar Tidal Stream Survey (PI. Martinez-Delgado) is carrying out the first systematic survey of stellar tidal streams to a surface brightness sensitivity of μ_r = 28.5 mag arcsec^{-2} using a network of small, robotic telescopes placed on different continents.

These debris contain precious information on both (i) the evolutionary struggles of the progenitor dwarf galax-
ies, transformed and partially destroyed by the tides; (ii) the formation history of the massive host, its halo populations and the structure of its dark matter halo. However, rewinding the movie of these accretion events has so far been difficult. It is often possible to identify a set of properties of progenitor and host that provides a qualitative resemblance to the observed stream [Martínez-Delgado et al. 2008, 2009; Cooper et al. 2011; Foster et al. 2014; Martínez-Delgado et al. 2014], but a full exploration of the wide parameter space is exceedingly expensive to perform with N-body simulations, meaning that the extraction of reliable measurements is not possible. Therefore, it remains extremely difficult to provide robust confidence limits on several interesting quantities, such as the progenitor’s initial mass, infall time and orbital properties, as well as host halo mass, concentration and detailed density profile.

Extended and reasonably cold streams represent one of the least degenerate probes of the gravitational potential in which they orbit, as their global morphology directly traces the density slope and geometrical structure of the host galaxy. While it is not correct to assume that a stream traces an orbit of the system as different positions along its length are directly associated to a gradient in orbital energy (Johnston et al. 2001; Amorisco 2015), it is qualitatively correct to say that, for orbits, different density profiles imply substantially different shapes. For example, the opening angle between successive apocenters (Belokurov et al. 2014) is certainly a function of the underlying gravitational potential. Furthermore, in addition to what is easily understood within the single orbit interpretation, streams also provide additional constraining power, in the shifting galactocentric distances of successive apocenters (increasing along the trailing tail and shrinking along the leading tail), as well as the links between the stream’s total length and width and the inner density slope of the host (Amorisco 2015).

The interplay between the central dark matter distribution and the lifecycle of baryons is one of the crucial ingredients of the galaxy formation recipe. The predictions of dark-matter-only cosmological simulations are quite clear in this respect (see e.g., Navarro et al. 1997, 2004), but we still lack a thorough understanding of the equilibrium between competing processes such as adiabatic contraction (Blumenthal et al. 1986; Gnedin et al. 2004) and stellar feedback (Navarro et al. 1996; Mashchenko et al. 2006; Pontzen & Governato 2012) across the galactic mass scale. Therefore, the inner total and dark matter density slopes are currently subject to close observational attention, mainly through stellar and/or gaseous kinematics (e.g., de Blok 2010; Cappellari et al. 2013; and references therein) and strong lensing analyses (e.g., Kooiman & Governato 2014; Sonnenfeld et al. 2012; Grillo 2015).

Conversely, it is considerably more difficult to constrain the outer density profile of galactic dark matter haloes. Deep HI rotation curves of disk galaxies extend to ~10 disk scales, or ~50 kpc (e.g., de Blok et al. 2008), just beginning to explore the regime r > r0, where r0 is the scale radius of the halo. There’s also good hope for dynamical analyses based on discrete tracers in the haloes of massive galaxies: using Planetary Nebulae and Globular Clusters it is possible to probe scales of ~100 kpc, henceforth reaching a fraction of the virial radius (Napolitano et al. 2011; Pota et al. 2013; Brodie et al. 2014; Longobardi et al. 2015). However, modelling has to struggle against the intrinsic degeneracies of pressure supported equilibria (e.g., Binney & Mamon 1982), and while resorting to the joint modelling of distinct subpopulations is helpful in inferring the properties of the inner profile (Agnello et al. 2014; Napolitano et al. 2014), measuring the mass and density profile at large radii might just be too difficult.

As a consequence, observational constraints on the outer density profile of galaxy-sized dark matter haloes are extremely scarce. Gavazzi et al. (2007) have used a combined strong and weak lensing analysis on a sample of 22 early type massive lenses (aperture-averaged central velocity dispersions between 200 and 300 kms$^{-1}$), and inferred the average dark matter distribution out to ~300 kpc, or ~0.75r_{vir}. They find that the weak lensing signal can be satisfactorily described by a density profile having an outer slope of γ = −3. However, the radial range actually probed does not extend much beyond the regime γ_{DM}(r) ≈ −2, nor the available data is sufficient to allow for a comparison between models with different asymptotic density slopes. Analogous weak lensing analyses on stacked data are also available for more massive systems, as galaxy groups and clusters. [Mandelbaum et al. 2006] and [Umetsu et al. 2011] can see the total density slope bending from an inner value compatible with a typical NFW profile, γ = −1, and steepening in a manner that can be well described by a profile with γ = −3.
Differently from the constraints we just mentioned, which use stacked data and only reveal the average properties of haloes, extended tidal features offer us the opportunity to explore this dark matter dominated realm in individual galaxies, without having to worry about the additional biases introduced by stacking and by the homology hypothesis. Detailed modelling of extragalactic tidal features is definitely a field in its infancy, but the quick developments in the description and modelling of tidal streams (see e.g., Amorisco 2015; Kipper et al. 2015, and references therein), together with the observational race towards such low surface brightness targets (Martínez-Delgado et al. 2010; Abraham & van Dokkum 2014; Duc et al. 2015) ensure fast and promising developments. Expectations also include a clearer picture of the evolution of dwarf galaxies, by the reconstruction of the post infall evolution of the progenitors of the studied streams.

The extended jet-like features apparently emanating from the central regions of NGC 1097 are not a recent discovery (e.g., Arp 1976); however, their real nature has remained elusive for almost two decades, until their possible associations with radio jets was finally ruled out (Wehrle et al. 1997 and references therein). The four plumes in the X-shaped stream (see Fig. 1) reach out to projected distances of over 60 kpc, extending well beyond the barred disk of NGC 1097. The apparently longer plume displays a peculiar, sharp 90 degree turn, which has originated the name of “dog leg stream” (Galianni et al. 2010). The same authors identify a nucleated dwarf – total luminosity \( \approx 3.3 \times 10^7 L_{\odot} \) and half light radius of \( \approx 300 \) pc – well aligned along the ‘leg’ of the stream, as indicated in Fig. 1. They spectroscopically confirm its association with NGC 1097, and show that the stream appears to be emanating directly from this “dwarf Spheroidal-like” object, which is then recognised as the remnant of the progenitor.

The minor merger scenario has been explored by Higdon & Wallin (2003), which try to roughly reproduce the stream’s morphology using N-body simulations of the disruption of a disky dwarf. They conclude that the peculiar X-shape of the stream in NGC 1097 is due to the strong disk component of the host, as they do not seem to find viable models when using spherical potentials.

In this paper, we use new deep imaging data of the stream in NGC 1097 and a new dynamical technique to reconstruct this accretion event within a fully statistical framework. We model the surface brightness of the stream using mock streams orbiting static, spherical potentials (Amorisco 2015). These are generated within a mixed parametric/non-parametric approach, which allows us to follow the mass evolution and disruption of the progenitor. This technique is computationally efficient enough to allow for a maximum likelihood implementation and a systematic exploration of the parameter space, so that reliable confidence intervals can be inferred for the properties of both progenitor and host. Section 2 presents the available data; Section 3 provides an overview of the generative technique; Section 4 shows that the projected shape of an orbit is sufficient to constrain the underlying gravitational potential; Section 5 and 6 present the results of this paper, respectively pertaining host galaxy and progenitor dwarf galaxy; Sec-

Fig. 2.— The masks used to construct the surface brightness map of the stream. Left: the host galaxy, foreground stars and bright background galaxies are masked out. Right: the region of the stream is isolated to learn the properties of the noise in the remainder of the image.
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sources present in the data, such as foreground stars and background galaxies; (ii) estimating and accounting for the smooth variations in the non-flat background luminosity.

We solve issue (i) using a twofold strategy. First we take much care in manually masking out all foreground stars and background galaxies brighter than some threshold. The result of this operation is illustrated in the left panel of Fig. 2 which shows the resulting mask (excluded region in white). This concurrently masks out the host galaxy, the progenitor of the stream and the region in the upper left corner of the data. The reason for excluding the latter portion of data is that this contains the poorly determined apocenters of the two upper plumes of the stream. Because of scattered light from a bright foreground star and the intrinsically low surface brightness of this section of the stream, the exact apocenters of these plumes are highly uncertain. As a consequence, rather than imposing incorrect constraints through possibly inaccurate data, we prefer to leave complete freedom as to the extension of these plumes, and mask this region out. Second, in the original image, we systematically exclude all single pixels that are brighter than a given threshold. We refer to the final non masked region using the symbol $\mathcal{M}$.

In order to subtract the background, we smooth it through a classical Gaussian kernel. For a given size of the effective pixels, we calculate average brightnesses and uncertainties using the following classical estimates

\begin{equation}
\nu_i = \langle \mu \rangle_i - \langle \mu \rangle_g, \quad (1)
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
N_i \delta \nu_i^2 = \langle \nu_i^2 \rangle_i - \langle \nu_i \rangle_i^2 + \langle \mu_g^2 \rangle_i - \langle \mu \rangle_g^2, \quad (2)
\end{equation}

where $\nu_i$ indicates the brightness of the effective pixel $i$ and $\delta \nu_i$ its uncertainty, while $\mu$ is the brightness of pixels in the original data; $\langle \cdot \rangle_i$ is the average over the area of the effective pixel $i$ and $\langle \cdot \rangle_g$ indicates the average centred on the effective pixel $i$ and obtained using a Gaussian kernel – with characteristic size a few times larger than the size of the effective pixel itself. Note that both averages only use pixels in the original image that are not masked out, i.e. pixels in $\mathcal{M}$, so that $N_i$ is the number of such pixels used for the effective pixel $i$.

So to learn about the properties of the noise, we separate the available data in two regions, as from the mask in the right panel of Fig. 2 isolating the stream in the region $\mathcal{M}_{\text{str}}$. In the complementary region, marked out in the right panel of Fig. 2 we impose that

\begin{equation}
p\left(\frac{\nu_i}{n \delta \nu_i}\right) \approx \mathcal{G}(0, 1), \quad (3)
\end{equation}

where $p$ indicates the probability distribution of the brightness of the effective pixels, normalised by their uncertainty. In other words, we rescale the uncertainties calculated using eqn. (2) so that the distribution in eqn. (3) is as similar as possible to a standardised Gaussian, as it would be if outside $\mathcal{M}_{\text{str}}$, where the stream is absent, the image is dominated by noise. In practice we use

\begin{equation}
n = \left\lceil \left( \frac{\nu_i}{n \delta \nu_i} \right)^2 \right\rceil - \left( \frac{\nu_i}{n \delta \nu_i} \right)^2 \right\rceil^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (4)
\end{equation}

and find that, for an effective pixel size that is a fraction of the stream’s width, values $n \approx 3$ are needed. The grey shaded area in the upper panel of Fig. 3 shows the resulting distribution of the ‘signal’ in the region where the stream is absent, masked out in the right panel of Fig. 2. This well compares to a Gaussian distribution with zero mean and unit standard deviation, also shown as a full black line. Therefore, we apply the scaling required by eqn. (4) to all effective pixels, including those in the region containing the stream, $\mathcal{M}_{\text{str}}$.

The final result of this procedure is shown in the lower panels of Fig. 3 using the same grayscale for both average brightness and associated uncertainties, these illustrate the map of the effective pixels in the stream’s region $\mathcal{M}_{\text{str}}$. The stream’s morphology can be clearly recognised, with values $\nu_i/n \delta \nu_i$ reaching up to over 14 for this particular effective pixel size, corresponding to a side of 2 kpc in the sky at the distance of NGC 1097 ($D=16.96$ Mpc, Koribalski et al. 2004). The effective pixels characterised by significant uncertainties are due to the Poisson noise of eqn. (2); as they are close to regions masked out of $\mathcal{M}$, $N_i$ decreases considerably. The complete distribution in $\nu_i/n \delta \nu_i$ of the region $\mathcal{M}_{\text{str}}$ is shown in the upper panel of Fig. 3 with a (scaled) red histogram.

Finally, we use the original image to measure the current position of the remnant of the progenitor in the plane of the sky. With respect to centre of NGC 1097, we find

\begin{equation}
(x_{p,e_1}, x_{p,e_2}) = (51.5, -33.8) \pm (0.3, 0.3) \text{ kpc}, \quad (5)
\end{equation}
where the directions $\hat{e}_1$ and $\hat{e}_2$ are respectively the horizontal and vertical directions in Fig. [1] in the plane of the sky. This corresponds to a projected distance of

$$R_p = 61.6 \pm 0.4 \text{ kpc}.$$  \hfill (6)

3. STREAM GENERATOR

3.1. The technique and the free parameters

We refer to Amorisco (2015), for brevity A15, for a complete description of the method used to generate mock tidal streams, and report here a brief summary of the strategy and a description of any additional features.

As in A15, the underlying total gravitational potential is generated by a spherically broken power law profile

$$\rho(r) = \rho_0 \left( \frac{r}{r_0} \right)^{-\gamma_i} \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{r}{r_0} \right)^2 \right]^{-\left(\gamma_0 - \gamma_i\right)/2},$$  \hfill (7)

with inner and outer slopes $\gamma_i$ and $\gamma_o$, and break radius $r_0$. Orbits in such potential are described using a purposely optimised orbit library, that covers the parameter space

$$\gamma_i \in [0, 2.9], \quad \gamma_o \in [2.1, 5], \quad j \in [0, 1], \quad \log_{10}(r_c/r_0) \in [-2, 2].$$  \hfill (8)

where $j$ is the classical circularity and the ratio $r_c/r_0$ is used as a convenient substitute to orbital energy, $r_c(E/G\rho_0 r_0^2)$ being the radius of the circular orbit with the dimensionless energy $E/G\rho_0 r_0^2$. As fully described in A15, this technique bypasses all ‘on the fly’ integrations of the differential equations of the motion and, as a consequence, is such that evolution of a set of particles to any given time is equally expensive.

Mock streams are obtained by releasing particles along the orbit of the progenitor $(r_{c,p}/r_0, j_p)$, starting from infall (operatively, the first apocenter) and until the present time, according to a parametrized shedding history $p_{sh}(t)$. This is the sum of Gaussian distributions, one for each pericentric passage, with free mean shedding time, characteristic spread and shed mass.

The initial conditions at escape ($\delta \mathbf{r}, \delta \mathbf{v}$) can also be varied at will, so to mimic the mass evolution of the progenitor or the disruption of a system with internal angular momentum. Particles are released in the proximity of the instantaneous tidal radius of the progenitor

$$r_1 = \left( \frac{Gm}{\Omega^2 - \partial^2 \Phi / \partial r^2} \right)^{1/2},$$  \hfill (9)

the size of which depends on the progenitor mass $m$ and on the instantaneous galactocentric distance. Particles leave the progenitor from apertures in the surface of the effective potential, the size of which is connected to their energy, or equivalently to the escape velocity $\delta \mathbf{v}$. Estimates for this link have been provided in A15, and we report them here for reference:

$$\bar{\omega}_\varphi = r_1 \sqrt{\frac{\delta \mathbf{v} \cdot \hat{e}_1^2}{Gm}}, \quad \bar{\omega}_z = \bar{\omega}_\varphi \sqrt{\frac{\gamma_i}{\gamma_i + 1}},$$  \hfill (10)

where $\bar{\omega}_\varphi$ and $\bar{\omega}_z$ are the semi-axes of the elliptical escape apertures, lying in the plane perpendicular to the radial direction $\hat{r}$, crossing $r_1$. $\hat{\varphi}$ is the angular direction within the orbital plane, while $\hat{z}$ is perpendicular to the orbital plane. As in A15, these quantities are used as characteristic scales of Gaussian probability distributions, describing the pdfs of the spatial initial conditions at escape (see eqns. (60-62) in A15).

As to the kinematic escape condition, particles are lost with the same instantaneous angular velocity of the progenitor (Kupper et al. 2012 Gibbons et al. 2014), and an additional velocity component $\delta \mathbf{v}$. Its cylindrical components – referred to the orbital plane – have a Gaussian distribution with adjustable mean and dispersion. Using the notation of A15, we find that $\sigma_\varphi = \sigma_z = \sigma_r = \sigma$ is sufficient for the data we have in hand, so that the spread in the kick velocities is described by a single function of time, $\sigma_t$. On the other hand, we need flexibility in the means of the different components. In particular, we use the following parametrisation

$$\begin{cases}
(\delta \mathbf{v}_\varphi) = \delta V_{\text{rot}} \cos(\phi_{\text{incl}}) \\
(\delta \mathbf{v}_z) = \delta V_{\text{rot}} \sin(\phi_{\text{incl}}) \cos(\varphi(t) - \phi_{\text{orient}})
\end{cases},$$  \hfill (11)

where $\delta V_{\text{rot}}$ phenomenologically corresponds to internal rotation in the progenitor and is a function of time; $\phi_{\text{incl}}$ indicates the inclination between internal and orbital angular momentum, and $\phi_{\text{orient}}$ allows us to change the initial orientation of the disky progenitor, as illustrated in A15. $\varphi(t)$ is the instantaneous orbital location of the progenitor.

It is worth recalling that we only model the release of ‘stellar particles’ and our mock streams will be used to fit the stellar stream alone. Dark matter is also lost together with stars: the dark stream is likely much hotter and phase-mixes more rapidly as a result of warmer initial conditions, as the halo is more extended and has an accordingly warmer kinematical profile within the progenitor.

Time dependences are treated in a non parametric way. Time dependent free parameters are: (i) $m(t)$, which implies the size of the tidal radius; (ii) $\sigma(t)$, which is kept independent of the progenitor mass, and fixes the spread in the kick velocities at escape; (iii) $\delta V_{\text{rot}}(t)$, which approximately fixes the time when any disk component of the progenitor is disrupted and lost, and the magnitude of such signature in the escape velocities. For $m$ and $\sigma$ we simply use a smooth, spline interpolation between the values of these functions at each pericentric passage, which are treated as free parameters. We find that this is enough for our scopes and the current data, and we do not need to increase the number of free parameters by using a finer mesh in time. For $\delta V_{\text{rot}}$, instead, we possibly need to resolve finer time scales, and therefore use a different approach. We parametrize $\delta V_{\text{rot}}$ as the sum of two Gaussians in time, with free means, spreads and maximum rotational velocities. This allows us to phenomenologically capture at the same time the quck disruption of a fragile disk, and/or the durable effects of a rotating compact progenitor.

In conclusion, the free parameters and free functions of the model are listed below.

- The progenitor’s orbit $(r_{c,p}/r_0, j_p)$ and the time spent since infall, i.e. the first apocenter, in units
of the progenitor’s orbital time, \( t_{\text{inf}}/T_{r,p} \).

- The progenitor’s line-of-sight position with respect to the centre of NGC 1097 at the current time, \( x_{p,\text{los}} \) – the projected position of the progenitor in the sky is fixed by the data within its uncertainty, see eqn. (5). A possible rotation of the orbital plane, \( \theta_{\text{orb}} \), around the vector identifying the position of the progenitor at the current time; in practice, this fixes the inclination of the orbital plane with respect to the line-of-sight direction.

- The host total density profile, parametrized by the two parameters \( \gamma_i, \gamma_o \). The characteristic density \( \rho_0 \) is not directly a free parameter as data are limited to relative surface brightness values only, and no kinematic information on the stream is available. At the same time, the break radius \( r_0 \) is only apparently a free parameter: once the energy of the progenitor’s orbit and the three-dimensional position of the progenitor are chosen, \( r_0 \) is univocally determined.

- The shedding history \( p_{\text{sh}}(t) \), i.e. three free parameters for each Gaussian pdf per pericentric passage, minus one, as the integral of the complete pdf is normalised to unity.

- The mass evolution of the progenitor \( m(t) \), i.e. the mass itself – or equivalently the tidal radius – at each pericentric passage. Note that this is treated independently from the shed luminous mass at the previous point.

- The velocity dispersion at escape \( \sigma_s(t) \), i.e. its value at each pericentric passage.

- The ordered kick velocities at escape \( \delta V_{\text{rot}}(t) \), i.e. three free parameters for each of the two used Gaussian functions plus two angles for inclination and orientation, \( \phi_{\text{inc}} \) and \( \phi_{\text{orient}} \).

3.2. Likelihood and model comparison

We populate each stream with \( N_{\text{str}} = 1.25 \times 10^4 \) particles, scale it and rotate it so that the position of the progenitor in the plane of the sky at the current time coincides with the one observed in the data. The number of particles in each effective pixel \( n_i \) is calculated, and transformed into a quantity that can be directly compared to the data. This transformation uses an estimate of the surface brightness per particle \( \nu_{pp} \), which is obtained from the region of the stream \( M_{\text{str}} \), highlighted in Fig. 2.

\[
\nu_{pp} = \sum_{M_{\text{str}}} \nu_i / \sum_{M_{\text{str}}} n_i .
\]  

For each model \( \mathcal{M} \), identified by its set of free parameters, this produces the likelihood

\[
\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M}) = \prod_i \exp \left[ \frac{-1}{2} \frac{(\nu_i - \nu_{pp} n_i)}{\sigma_i^2 + \sigma_{pp}^2 n_i} \right] \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(\sigma_i^2 + \sigma_{pp}^2 n_i)}} ,
\]

based only on the map of the relative surface brightness of the stream and in which the Poisson noise of each effective pixel is taken into account together with the observational uncertainties.

Given the granularity of the mock streams, samplings of the pdf functions that define a given model \( \mathcal{M} \) produce slightly different estimates of the likelihood \( \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M}) \); in other words, \( \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M}) \) is subject to variations when calculated repeatedly. This variability disrupts the natural exploration of the parameter space, as it causes walkers to get stuck in samplings that are fortuitously performing better than the average of that model. As a consequence, such variations must be suppressed, and we do this by tempering the likelihood. For a given effective pixel size and number of particles in the stream \( N_{\text{str}} \), we use

\[
\log_5 \left[ \sqrt{\langle \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M})^2/T_{\text{str}} \rangle} - \langle \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M})^1/T_{\text{str}} \rangle^2 \right] = 1 ,
\]

where averages are calculated on repeated samplings of the same model \( \mathcal{M} \), which, operatively, is taken to be the best fitting model. In this way, oscillations of the
tempered likelihood $\mathcal{L}^{1/T}$ are limited an order of magnitude at most, allowing for a smooth evolution of the walkers. For $N_{\text{str}} = 12500$ and an effective pixel size of 4 kpc$^2$, we find that $T_5 \approx 12$ is needed.

4. TESTS ON SINGLE ORBITS

In order to test whether it is possible to constrain the density profile of the host using the projected morphology of the stream alone, we perform tests on single orbits. A sample of orbits is chosen with properties comparable to those inferred for the progenitor’s orbit, which we illustrate in Section 5. Such orbits are populated with $\approx 10^3$ particles and the resulting surface brightness in the plane of the sky is mapped in effective pixels. In order to mimic the characteristic width of the plumes of dog leg stream, the size of the effective pixels used for these tests is taken to be approximately four times larger in area than those used to generate the maps of Fig. 3. As shown in Fig. 4, this considerably broadens the projected profile of the orbits.

We fit such mock datasets using single orbits from our library and find that target parameters are always well recovered. Figure 5 illustrates how the measured radial profile of the density slope compares with the generating one (in red). The grey shaded area corresponds to the 68% confidence region, contained between the 16% and 84% quantiles; the 95% confidence region is also shown. Vertical lines illustrate the position of orbital pericenter and apocenter, together with the break radius of the underlying density profile.

Figure 6 illustrates in more detail the 68% and 95% confidence regions of the parameters that describe the main projected morphology of the orbit, and how these compare with the target values: as shown by the red lines, all values are recovered within one sigma. Most parameters are not degenerate and the only noticeable degeneracies are those associated with the profile of $\gamma(r)$. The inner and outer slopes, $\gamma_i$ and $\gamma_o$, can be slightly varied around the best fitting values together with the energy of the orbit $r_c/r_0$, which, as mentioned earlier, is a measure of the break radius. Such degeneracy manifests itself in a clear pinch point in the recovered profile $\gamma(r)$, in Fig. 5 located where the profile first begins to steepen, between pericenter and break radius.

5. RESULTS: THE HOST GALAXY

We explore the available parameter space using a suite of Metropolis-Hastings walkers. We first perform a series of tests on single orbits from our library and find that target parameters are always well recovered. Figure 5 illustrates how the measured radial profile of the density slope compares with the generating one (in red). The grey shaded area corresponds to the 68% confidence region, contained between the 16% and 84% quantiles; the 95% confidence region is also shown. Vertical lines illustrate the position of orbital pericenter and apocenter, together with the break radius of the underlying density profile.

We repeat the complete analysis on data obtained using two different effective pixel sizes – 4 kpc$^2$ and 3 kpc$^2$ – so to test the influence of these on any results together with the influence of a shifting binning pattern on the image. Furthermore, we perform the final runs using two different numbers of particles per stream, $N_{\text{str}} = 1.25 \times 10^4$ and $N_{\text{str}} = 7.5 \times 10^3$. We find that the inferred confidence intervals for all parameters are comparable in all cases. There’s perhaps a tendency for the confidence intervals obtained using a lower $N_{\text{str}}$ to be $\approx 10\%$ wider, as a natural consequence of the comparatively higher values of $T_5$, which are a result of a slightly
higher Poisson noise in the effective pixels.

5.1. The logarithmic total density slope

Figure 3 shows the 68% and 95% confidence contours of the parameters that define the qualitative global morphology of the stream. As in the test runs, Fig. 4, the only noticeable degeneracy we identify is between the outer density slope $\gamma_o$ and the break radius $r_b$, through the orbital energy of the potential $r_{c,p}/r_0$. The asymptotic inner density slope is found to be

$$\gamma_i = 1.4 \pm 0.15$$

(15)

where we are reporting median, 16% and 84% quantiles, identifying the 68% confidence interval. The stream’s morphology allows us to clearly see the density profile steepening from this central value, and the constraint on the asymptotic value of the outer slope is

$$\gamma_o = 4.1 \pm 0.6$$

(16)

The break radius is at

$$r_b = 37^{+11}_{-9} \text{ kpc}$$

(17)

well within the apocenter of the progenitor’s orbit and the total radial extension of the stream.

The full radial profile we infer for the logarithmic total density slope is displayed in Fig. 8, where both 68% and 95% confidence contours are shown. Vertical lines identify the inferred pericenter of the progenitor

$$r_{peri} = 4.1^{+1.2}_{-1.1} \text{ kpc}$$

(18)

the break radius, and the orbital apocenter

$$r_{apo} = 153^{+17}_{-13} \text{ kpc}$$

(19)

The progenitor lies on a significantly eccentric orbit

$$j = 0.12 \pm 0.03$$

(20)

and has just recently passed apocenter,

$$t/(T_{r,p}/2) = 5.23 \pm 0.07$$

(21)

where $t = 0$ here identifies the first pericentric passage and $t/(T_{r,p}/2) = -1$ is infall, or the first apocenter. It follows that, in the mean time, the progenitor has experienced three pericentric passages, at $t/(T_{r,p}/2) \in \{0.2, 4\}$.

A sampling of the best-fitting model $M_{bf}$ is displayed in Fig. 9. The left panel shows the position of the progenitor, in black, together with the distribution of stream members, within the same projected window probed by Fig. 1. The stream’s morphology is easily recognised, with its characteristic X-shape and bright ‘dog leg’. Color coding indicates shedding time as from the displayed legend. For ease of reference, we have associated a number to each of the four plumes of the stream. Plume 1 is the ‘leg’, contains the remnant of the progenitor, and is a combination of both leading a trailing material. It collects essentially all stars shed after the first pericentric passage. Plumes 2, 3 and 4 are all made of leading material lost at the first pericentric passage, and plume 4 is the farther away from the progenitor in terms of differential streaming, and – as a direct consequence – also the less extended. The right panel is a full map of the model residuals, normalised by the uncertainty of each effective pixel. As illustrated by the colour coding, residuals are everywhere quite low, rarely above 3 in absolute value, and the only noticeable deficiencies of the model are: (i) a somewhat reduced brightness in plume 4 (visible in red in the residuals map), and (ii) a
mildly puffier 90 degree turn in plume 1 (evident as a blue clump). We return on this in Section 6.

In order to illustrate in more detail how the stream’s projected morphology is capable of constraining the slope of the total density profile, we show the effect of varying the outer density slope \( \gamma_o \), while all other parameters are fixed as from the best-fitting model \( M_{\text{fit}} \). We explore approximately the edges of the 68% confidence region of \( \gamma_o \). As shown in the upper panes of Fig. 10, increasing the outer density slope to \( \gamma_o = 4.7 \): (i) increases the speed of the differential streaming, so that plume 4 appears considerably brighter at the expenses of plume 2; (ii) implies significant increase in the galactocentric distances of the apocenters of the stream’s plumes, as for similar orbital energies larger distances can be reached thanks to a more slowly rising gravitational potential; (iii) increases the angles between plume 1 and each of the others, as a consequence of the change in the shape of the orbits. The result is that the location and shape of all four plumes is changed enough to result in substantial residuals, shown in the upper residuals map with the same colour coding of Fig. 9, much higher than in the case of the best fitting model.

The effect of a lower \( \gamma_o = 3.7 \) is analogous, although changes have the opposite qualitative direction, as proved by a comparison between the two residuals maps: the streaming speed is reduced and so are the length of the plumes and the angles between them. From this overview we see that the most important single pieces of information providing the constraints on the outer host density profile are: the length of plume 3 with respect to the current position of the remnant (or alternatively, to the length of plume 1), and the angles between plume 1 and all the others – it is worth recalling that the lengths of plumes 2 and 4 are not constrained by the data (see Section 2).

5.2. The total mass profile

The logarithmic density profile is a dimensionless quantity and can be derived from the surface brightness map of the stream alone. To associate a physical scale to the break radius of the profile, a dimensional scale of length is necessary and this is provided by the projected distance of the progenitor from the centre of NGC 1097, eqns. (5) and (6). However, in order to proceed further, we need a dimensional scale to transform our inference on \( \gamma(r) \) in an inference on mass. In order to do so, we use the HI rotation curve measured by Higdon & Wallin (2003): we make reference to the outer parts of the available rotation curve, which is observed to be approximately flat:

\[
V_c(29.6 \pm 3 \text{ kpc}) = 270 \pm 10 \text{ kms}^{-1}.
\]  

We use this condition to bring each of the dimensionless models accepted by our walkers to dimensional ones. Note that, in all inferences that require use of (22), reported uncertainties are the combination of the uncertainties deriving from the stream model, together with those propagated by the observational errors of the constraint (22), which we assume have a Gaussian distribution.

Figure 11 shows the resulting circular velocity profile of NGC 1097, in both linear and logarithmic scale. The yellow point in the upper panel displays the normalisation imposed by (22). As measured by Higdon & Wallin (2003), the rotation curve is approximately flat at those radii. The stream model naturally reproduces this finding and suggests the circular velocity remains flat out to \( r \gtrsim r_o \). At even larger radii, however, the density profile steepens and the circular velocity declines considerably, as illustrated by the left panel.

The combination of the constraint (22) and our stream model also provides a total mass: NGC 1097 is found to have a sizeable virial mass of

\[
\log_{10}(M_{200}/M_\odot) = 12.23 \pm 0.12,
\]  

and a virial radius of

\[
r_{200} = 250^{+21}_{-19} \text{kpc}.
\]  

We do not subtract stellar mass explicitly, and infer the concentration of this halo using the total mass profile. This implies

\[
e_{200} = 6.7^{+2.4}_{-1.3}.
\]  

Figure 12 shows the degeneracy that exists between asymptotic outer density slope \( \gamma_o \) and concentration, and correspondingly, virial mass. Steeper density profiles require larger break radii, implying lower values of the concentration. In turn, less steep density profile can accommodate larger values of the virial mass.

6. RESULTS: THE PROGENITOR

6.1. Mass evolution

The main ingredients in the global morphology of the stream are the density profile of the host, which we have described in Section 5, and the mass of the progenitor. By themselves, the density profile and the progenitor’s orbit determine the approximate shape of the track of the stream. However, the actual length and width of the streaming material along this track are determined in first instance by the progenitor’s mass. Through the tidal radius (9), this fixes the speed of the differential streaming (see A15), and therefore the extension of the tidal tails with respect to the progenitor.
As mentioned in Section 3, we model the time dependence of the progenitor’s mass in an essentially non parametric way, i.e. by using the masses at each pericentric passage as free parameters, and by interpolating with smooth splines between them. The progenitor is found to have recently passed the orbital apocenter (see eqn. (21)), and has experienced three pericentric passages since infall. Using eqn. (22), we find that the apocenter preceding the first pericenter happened at

\[ t_{\text{inf}} = -5.4 \pm 0.6 \text{ Gyr} \, , \]

and we can use this as an estimate of the infall time.

Since that time, the total mass of the progenitor has evolved considerably: Figure 13 shows the complete marginalized inference we obtain for the mass evolution of the progenitor. The upper panel displays the probability distributions of the total masses at each pericentric passage. For construction, these are the masses contained within the tidal radius at that time, the probably distribution of which is shown in the intermediate panel. At its first interaction with the host, the progenitor is found to have a total mass of

\[ \log_{10} \left[ m_{\text{peri1}} (3.4^{+1.1}_{-0.9} \text{ kpc}) / M_\odot \right] = 10.35 \pm 0.25 \, . \]

Such a high mass is required in order to ensure the significant differential streaming of the material shed around the first pericenter. As illustrated by the left panel of Fig. 9, such material entirely makes up plumes 2, 3 and 4.

At the second pericentric passage, we find that the total mass is reduced by more than 1.5 orders of magnitude:

\[ \log_{10} \left[ m_{\text{peri2}} (0.86^{+0.26}_{-0.23} \text{ kpc}) / M_\odot \right] = 8.5^{+0.2}_{-0.3} \, . \]

We interpret this as due to the eccentric progenitor’s orbit: tidal forces likely strip very efficiently the biggest part of the progenitor’s dark matter halo when this plunges deep into the central regions of its host for the first time. Luminous material lost around the second pericenter is in the leg of the stream, as shown in Fig. 9 and actually makes up both the peculiar ‘foot’ and puffy ‘knee’. After the first pericentric passage, the surviving remnant is compact enough to be quite resilient to tides, and mass evolution slows down considerably, with the total mass at the third and last pericentric passage being just slightly lower than at the second (see Fig. 13). Material lost since the second pericenter has a much lower differential streaming and has not gained much distance from the progenitor, making up for the straight part of the plume 1, or the ‘leg’ itself.

Simplifying, these constraints are provided by the distances between progenitor and material shed at each pericentric passage, together with the extent of such material along the track of the stream. Both quantities are directly related to the speed of the differential streaming, which is a function of the tidal radius at the time of escape, and then a function of progenitor mass. It follows that uncertainties on the total mass of the remnant at the current time are comparatively larger, as the amount of stream members shed between the last apocenter and the current time is comparatively much smaller:

\[ \log_{10} \left[ m_{\text{now}} (0.36^{+0.24}_{-0.16} \text{ kpc}) / M_\odot \right] = 7.4^{+0.6}_{-0.8} \, . \]

The complete constraints on the mass evolution of the progenitor are collected in the bottom panel of Fig. 13 which shows the total mass \( m \) at each of the three pericentric passages, and today. Here time is measured from
the current time \( t = 0 \), and the 68% confidence region on each pericentric passage is also shown.

6.2. The ‘missing’ trailing tail

As to the fractions of luminous material shed at each pericentric passage, it is unfortunately difficult to transform the inferences of the stream model into dimensional units. This would require resolved colour information along the stream. On a qualitative level, our modelling approach measures that the fractions of material shed at each pericenter decrease with time, and that the first interaction with the host strips the progenitor of a significant amount of luminous mass (≈ 0.6 of the luminance of the total shed mass).

Note however, that while material shed at the second and third pericentric passages is entirely probed by the available data (see Fig. 9), visible material shed around pericenter 1 is limited to the leading tail only. The corresponding trailing tail lies entirely outside the region probed by Fig. 1. Its location is illustrated in Fig. 14: the trailing tail extends to over 100 kpc in projection and, as shown in the lower panel, its actual radial distance from the centre of NGC 1097 reaches over 230 kpc, almost out to the nominal virial radius \( r_{200} \). Our model assumes that equal amounts of material are lost from leading and trailing tidal radii. As shown by Fig. 14 under this assumption the trailing tail contains a significant amount of mass and should be almost as bright as the other plumes.

There are however at least two possible reasons as to why the trailing tail might have escaped detection so far. The first is that the hypothesis of equal escape fractions at the leading and trailing tidal radii is wrong for sufficiently close pericentric passages. Material could be lost in larger amounts from the leading tidal radius, where tides are stronger, so that our working assumption may well be over simplistic. The second possibility has to do with the exact profile of the density slope at large radii. Our model can account for significantly steep profiles, but does not allow for truncations at even larger radii. A density slope that continues to steepen with radial distance reached by the trailing tail, up to determining the escape of part of such material.

6.3. Line-of-sight kinematics

Figure 14 displays a map of the line-of-sight velocity of the stream material, with respect to the systematic velocity of NGC 1097. Note that the models are symmetric with respect to the transformation

\[
x_{\text{los}} \rightarrow -x_{\text{los}} ,
\]

and additional information is required to fix the sign of the line-of-sight velocities, as well as the sign of the line-of-sight position of the progenitor at the current time \( x_{p,\text{los}} \). \cite{Galianni+2010} measure that the progenitor is receding faster than NGC 1097, and we fix the direction of the line-of-sight accordingly. In more detail, \cite{Galianni+2010} measure

\[
v_{\text{los}} \approx -30 \pm 30 \, \text{kms}^{-1} ,
\]

while the predictions of the model are

\[
\begin{align*}
v_{p,\text{los}} &= -51^{14}_{-17} \, \text{kms}^{-1} , \\
x_{p,\text{los}} &= 134 \pm 17 \, \text{kpc} ,
\end{align*}
\]

so that the progenitor is nearer to us than NGC 1097. As shown in Fig. 14, the entire leg is found receding, with a clear velocity gradient along its length. An even more marked gradient can be observed along plume 2, while plume 3 has a high internal velocity dispersion due to containing at the same time material that approaches and recedes our viewpoint. This is also clear from the bottom panel of the same Figure, which shows a plot of radial distance against line-of-sight velocity. Colour coding is the same as in Fig. 9 and shows the escape time of the stream particles. The extended trailing tail has mainly positive line-of-sight velocities, as plume 2; plume 3 instead is folded around its apocenter.

6.4. Internal kinematics

We find that the random velocities at escape increase with time, from \( \sigma_s \approx 1 \, \text{kms}^{-1} \) for material lost at the first pericentric passage, to \( \sigma_s \approx 3 \, \text{kms}^{-1} \) at the current time. More interestingly, the model finds that non negligible ordered kick velocities are required to explain the morphology of the dog leg. These are larger than the random velocities and therefore correspond to internal rotation in the progenitor. Fig. 15 shows the ratio between the ordered and random components of the kick velocities at escape for all stream members. The X-shape, defined by plumes 2, 3 and 4, is well described by material escaping with negligible coherent velocities. However, in order to
Opposite signs of the coherent escape velocities for trailing and leading material allow them to stream to opposite sides of the main track of the leg. The trailing part of such material entirely makes up for the peculiar 90 degree turn at the edge of plume 1, currently at apocenter (see also the bottom panel of Fig 14). Opposite kick velocities in the leading material cause the shift and peculiar morphology of the bright ‘knee’ of the dog leg. Both these features are the signature of internal rotation in the progenitor. The required rotation is prograde, and although limits on the precise inclination of the internal angular momentum are loose, we find that $\phi_{\text{inc}} < -0.3$, i.e. the disk is indeed somewhat inclined with respect to orbital plane.

As noted in Section 5, our model seems to produce a dog leg that is slightly puffier than required by the data, and somewhat shorter, as shown by the residual map of Fig 9. We advocate this is due to our certainly simplified treatment of the escape conditions, and in particular the relation between escape velocities and spatial spreads in the initial conditions. The need for ordered kick velocities increases the value of $\delta v$, which in turns makes the stream locally thicker because of the prescriptions [10].

Finally, we can use our measurement of the remnant’s mass at the current time, eqn. (29) to estimate the velocity dispersion of the remnant:

$$\sigma_p \approx 12^{+14}_{-6} \text{ kms}^{-1},$$

(33)

where we have adopted the virial relation provided by Amorisco & Evans (2011) and the half-light radius of the remnant as measured by Galli et al. (2010), $r_h \approx 300$ pc.

7. DISCUSSION

7.1. Limits of the model

In this Section, we discuss some of the limitations of our modelling approach, and the possible biases they may introduce.

7.1.1. Dynamical friction

We start with dynamical friction, which is not taken into account by the model, so that the parameters of the progenitor’s orbit remain constant in time since infall. We first note that dynamical friction operates on the progenitor, but is negligible on the very light and diffuse material populating the stream once this is shed. For example, the morphology of the leading tail lost at the first pericenter – plumes 2, 3, and 4 – is unaffected by dynamical friction. As a consequence, the logarithmic slope of the total density profile of the host, which mainly derives from the shape of such tail, is largely unaffected by our working hypothesis.

On the other hand, the orbital evolution of the progenitor driven by dynamical friction may cause shifts in the pericentric distances reached at each successive pericentric passage. In turn, such shifts cause variations to the speed of the differential streaming of material shed around that time. In other words, while material lost at the second and third pericentric passages is assumed to be lost around the pericentric distance of the first passage, its actual distance might have been smaller due to dynamical friction. This translates in a bias on the inferred masses of the remnant at the second and third passage. As the pericenter shrinks, the speed of the differential streaming increases (A15). As a consequence, masses that are even lower than in eqns. (28-29) would be required after the first pericentric passage – or conversely an even higher initial mass (27). Therefore, dynamical friction would make the mass evolution of the progenitor even starker.

It turns out, however, that dynamical friction has little effect on the precise case in hand. We show this by providing an estimate of the relative loss of angular momentum caused by each pericentric passage. We assume that the friction force can be described as in Binney & Tremaine (1987), i.e. as it were excerpted by a sea of Maxwellian particles, with characteristic velocity $v_c$. As the orbit of the progenitor is strongly radial, we approximate the interaction time with $\delta t \approx 2r_{\text{peri}}/v_p$, where $v_p$ is the velocity of the progenitor at pericenter. Under these assumptions we find

$$\frac{\delta J_p}{J_p} \approx -2c \ln \Lambda \frac{Gm}{v_p^2} r_{\text{peri}} \left( \frac{v_c}{v_p} \right)^2,$$

(34)

where $\Lambda \approx r_{\text{peri}}v_p^2/Gm$ is the usual Coulomb logarithm, and the precise value of the dimensionless constant $c$ depends on the hypothesis made on the phase space distribution of the deflectors, $c \approx 0.43$ for a Maxwellian distribution. Even at the first pericentric passage, when the progenitor is at its most massive, we find a very limited effect, $|\delta J_p|/J_p \lesssim 0.05$, comparable with the uncertainty of our measurement (see eqn.[20]). After the considerable mass loss of the first interaction, dynamical friction
becomes negligible, with $|\delta J_p|/J_p \lesssim 0.002$ at the second pericentric passage, and accordingly smaller thereafter.

7.1.2. Host mass evolution

Another host limitation is connected to the assumption of a static host potential. If the mass $M(r)$ increases as a function of time, this implies an evolution in the orbital energy of each streaming particle. For a stream like the one studied here, this effect is expected to be small. In a Milky Way sized halo, the mass within 100 kpc is already in place to within a few percent since redshift $z = 1$ (Diemand et al. 2007; Boylan-Kolchin et al. 2010). For our stream, infall is at $z \approx 0.5$ implying, on average, a very small mass evolution within the extension of the observed plumes.

More in detail, a first effect of mass evolution is to make streams somewhat longer and thicker. The precise change in the energy of each particle depends on its orbit and the mass inferred for the remnant at the current time (red). Intermediate panel: with the same colour coding, the marginalised pdfs for the corresponding tidal radii. Lower panel: the total mass evolution in physical time.

The relevant dimensionless ratio that quantifies the importance of such effect is therefore the ratio between the additional energy spread introduced by mass evolution, $\sigma(E)_{\text{m.ev.}}$, and the characteristic energy difference (between tail and progenitor) that fixes the average tidal streaming speed $\delta E_t$. For the particular case in hand, the orbital phases of stars lost after the first pericenter have not grown different enough from each other to result in an appreciable energy difference from mass evolution. Therefore, the only part of the stream that might be affected is the long leading tail lost at the first interaction. Even if we largely overestimate the spread in energy introduced by mass evolution with $\sigma(E)_{\text{m.ev.}}/\delta E_t \approx \delta M/M$, we obtain that the effect on the angular length of the stream is still very small, of the same order of $\delta M/M$, i.e. a few percent.

A second effect of mass evolution has to do with the shape of the orbits: if $M(r)$ increases maintaining approximately its spherical symmetry, the orbital angular momentum is conserved while energy decreases. This circularises the orbits and determines a reduction of their apocentric distances with time, potentially affecting our measurement of the logarithmic density slope. This mainly derives from the ratios between the apocentric distances reached by the different plumes, so that when such reduction is uniform over all particles, no bias is created.

Instead, cold dark matter halos evolve in an inside-out manner: at recent times their mass has evolved more slowly in their inner regions then in their outskirts (e.g. Zhao et al. 2009, and references therein). As a result, it is the apocenters of the most energetic particles that are the most affected by mass evolution. While the potential well experienced by particles more deeply embedded in the potential stays unchanged, the particles with higher energies experience a reduction of their apocenters. The qualitative net effect is therefore a bias towards measuring lower values of $\gamma$; for a fixed energy gradient along the stream, a less steep density profile can compensate for the smaller differences between the apocenters of the different plumes determined by mass evolution.

7.1.3. Spherical symmetry

Finally, a more worrying limitation is that the present model uses spherically symmetric host density distributions. Dark matter haloes have been shown to be substantially non-spherical, and haloes with virial masses similar to what we infer for NGC 1097 typically have axes ratios distributed around $a/c \approx 0.6$ and $b/c \approx 0.8$ (Schneider et al. 2012, and references therein). On the other hand, the density distribution of NGC 1097 is certainly non spherical in its central regions, which are likely dominated by its stellar disk and bar.

The main effects of a non spherical potential are of two different kinds. First, as the equipotential surfaces are non spherical, apocentric distances are not constant in time, and vary with orbital phase. Second, as particles experience a net torque, their instantaneous orbital plane is subject to a time dependent precession. If the non sphericity of the total density distribution is only due to the baryons, the quadrupole moment at the radii of the plumes is small, and so are these effects: the equipotentials at those distances are almost spherical (see for example Amorisco & Bertin 2010), and the precession of
the orbital plane is not big enough to become apparent in a stream that extends for only three orbital oscillations. However, if the density profile of the halo itself is non-spherical out to large radii, then these effects are substantial, and it may appear surprising that we are in fact capable of describing the stream almost down to the noise using a spherical model.

We have made tests using single orbits, similar to those described in Section 4, in which mock datasets have been constructed by solving the equations of motion for tracer particles in homeoidal density distributions (Chandrasekhar 1969). These have initial conditions fixed by our inferences for the current position and velocity of the remnant, and integrate its orbit backward and forward in time to mimic the four observed plumes. The trial density distributions we use are less prolate than suggested by cosmological simulations, with axes ratios $a/c \approx 0.85$ and $b/c \approx 0.9$, constant with radius, and oriented at random with respect to the present, instantaneous orbital plane. We find that use of our spherical library to fit for such orbits is uniformly unsuccessful. Over three full orbital oscillations, orbits in these triaxial potentials lose their planar nature to the point that reproducing all four plumes (in this case apocenters) with a planar orbit from our library is impossible. In particular, we can never achieve $\chi^2$ levels that are comparable with those obtained in either our single orbit tests in spherical potentials, or in our stream fit.

Although more detailed explorations are required, this suggests that, outside its central regions, the total density distribution of NGC 1097 is not substantially non-spherical. Observational constraints on the shape of dark matter haloes are quite loose, and almost completely limited to modelling the Sagittarius stream around the Milky Way (e.g. Law & Majewski 2010; Belokurov et al. 2014 and references therein). More recently, significant expectation is building up for the inferences obtained modelling the thin streams of globular clusters (Kilipper et al. 2015; Bowden et al. 2015). However, in comparative terms, these are limited to inner regions, where baryons are still important. On the other hand, it is widely accepted that dissipative dynamics and the lifecycle of baryons makes haloes rounder in cosmological hydrodynamical simulations (e.g., Kuzantzidis et al. 2004; Debattista et al. 2008; Butsky et al. 2015) when compared to dark-matter-only runs. Our result provides observational evidence in this direction.

7.2. Autopsy of a massive halo

With a virial mass of $\approx 2 \times 10^{12} M_\odot$, we find NGC 1097 is not considerably more massive than the Milky Way. CDM expectations for the concentration of such a halo are $c = 8^{+2.5}_{-2}$, with the mentioned values indicating the 25% and 75% quantiles (Ludlow et al. 2014; Macciò et al. 2008). NGC 1097 is in very good agreement with these figures. In the stream’s morphology, we can see the total density profile bending from an inner slope of $\gamma(r_{\text{peri}}) \approx 1.5$ around the pericenter, $r_{\text{peri}} \approx 4$ kpc, and can clearly resolve its steepening. Interestingly, the circular velocity remains approximately flat at radii that are even larger than the break radius of the total density profile, $r_0 \approx 37$ kpc. The very extended stream allows us to map the profile of the total logarithmic slope at least until the orbital apocenter, $r_{\text{apo}} \approx 150$ kpc, where we find $\gamma(\gamma_{\text{apo}}) = 3.9 \pm 0.5$ (68% confidence region). To our knowledge, this represents the first statistical measurement of the outer density slope of a single extragalactic halo, i.e. on data that do not derive from stacking.

As to the rather steep outer slope, our result certainly calls for the analysis of a wider sample of galaxies, so to establish whether NGC 1097 is just a case in the distribution of outer slopes, or whether the outer density profile of galactic dark matter haloes is systematically steeper than expected. We recall that cosmological simulations show that the outer regions of dark matter haloes should be characterised by substantial halo-to-halo variations. Avila-Reese et al. (1999) suggest that the outer slope of galaxy-sized haloes correlates with environment and even when selecting isolated haloes only, they measure that the 68% confidence interval for the outer slope is sizeable, $2.5 < \gamma_{\text{apo}} < 3.8$ (see also Prada et al. 2006).

Recently, Diemer & Kravtsov (2013) have made clear that the simple NFW profile is often a poor fit to the outskirts of $\Lambda$CDM haloes, even in the region $0.5 \leq r/r_{200,m} \leq 1$. They show the significant halo-to-halo scatter in the outer density slope at $z = 0$, with many galaxy-sized haloes having envelopes that extend all the way down to $\gamma(r_{200,m}) \approx -5$. Their analysis concludes that the value of the density slope around the virial radius is systematically associated to the mass accretion rate of the halo: all across the mass scale, steeper outer density profiles correspond to higher rates of recent physical mass accretion. However, note that our apocentric distance is not large enough to explore the radial range studied in Diemer & Kravtsov (2014). 150 kpc $\approx 0.35 r_{200,m}$, underlying the need for a statistics of extended streams and measurements of more outer density slopes.
a luminosity of \( \approx 2.6 \times 10^6 L_{\odot} \) and an half-light radius of a few hundred parsecs. Its spectrum suggests its metallicity is not too low, with \([\text{Fe}/\text{H}] \approx -0.7\) providing a reasonable fit.

Our analysis reconstructs that this is the remnant of a disky dwarf, and that the progenitor was considerably more massive at infall, with a total mass of \( \approx 2.5 \times 10^9 M_\odot \) within a few kpc (see eqn. (27)). Infall happened \( \approx 5.4 \) Gyr ago, and in the mean time, the progenitor has experienced three pericentric passages. The first has been the most destructive, reducing its total mass by over 1.5 orders of magnitude. Most likely, this corresponds to the removal of the largest part of its extended dark matter halo, leaving the remnant with only a few percent of its initial mass after just one interaction. The progenitor was internally rotating, as testified by the peculiar morphology of the dog leg, which requires ordered kick velocities \( \delta V_\text{rot}/\sigma_s > 1 \) for the material lost around the second pericentric passage.

Although estimating the total luminosity of the stream is not an easy task, from its approximate shape and mean surface brightness, Galianni et al. (2010) obtain \( L_\text{tot} \approx 5 \times 10^7 L_{\odot} \). This implies that, during its post-infall evolution, the progenitor has also lost the largest part of its stellar component. With a lower bound to the total stellar mass of \( \approx 10^8 M_\odot \), and assuming that the virial mass of the progenitor at infall is at least \( M_\text{vir} \approx 10^9 M_\odot \), such a dwarf galaxy is then roughly comparable with the expectation of most abundance matching recipes (e.g. Guo et al. 2010 Behroozi et al. 2013). Furthermore, our progenitor is also in rough agreement with the relation between nuclear stellar mass and total galaxy mass within the star’s characteristic radius. The relation constructed by Ferrarese et al. (2006) using the galaxy population of the Virgo Cluster implies that a nuclear stellar mass of \( \approx 2 \times 10^8 M_\odot \) should correspond to a progenitor total mass of \( \approx 2 \times 10^9 \) within the effective radius of its stellar distribution.

Comparison between our measurement of the total mass of the remnant, eqn. (20), and the estimate of its stellar mass of \( \approx 6 \times 10^7 M_\odot \) obtained by Galianni et al. (2010) suggests that it may still contain some residual dark matter in the central regions. The current remnant is not massive enough to be subject to substantial tidal friction (see Section 7.1), and will keep evolving almost undisturbed within the halo of NGC 1097. As \( r_t \approx r_h \), its diffuse halo will be entirely lost, most probably within a few Gyrs, and the only remains of the progenitor will be its bare compact nucleus.

If we use the the current luminosity of the nucleus and the same mass-to-light ratio inferred by Galianni et al. (2010) for the remnant, we get that the Roche lobe of the nucleus has a size of about a hundred parsecs when at the orbital pericenter. This implies that it will survive for a long time, details depending on the precise value of its poorly constrained half-light radius, \( r_h \ll 80 \) pc. Tidal stripping of galaxy nuclei has been shown to be one of the channels of formation of ‘bright’ Ultra Compact Dwarf galaxies (Pfeffer & Baumgardt 2013 Seth et al. 2014). In the case of NGC 1097, we are directly assisting to one of the stages in the formation of a low-luminosity UCD (Brodie et al. 2011 Norris et al. 2014), so that the progenitor of the dog leg stream proves that such evo-

---

**Fig. 15.** The ratio between the ordered \( \delta V_\text{rot} \), and random \( \sigma_s \), components of the escape velocity of each stream member (colour coding is illustrated by the legend). Both 90 degree turn and puffy ‘knee’ in plume 1, the ‘dog leg’, are the signature of the internal angular momentum of the progenitor.

In fact, it is quite surprising that using a simple, spherical broken power law profile we can describe the stream almost down to the noise. Apparently, the dynamics of the streaming material is not strongly affected by the details and local asperities of the gravitational potential, and a simple description like the one used here is sufficient to capture its salient properties. At the same time, our study shows that a full exploration of the parameter space is absolutely necessary: Higdon & Wallin (2003) concluded that X-shaped streams could not be created within spherically symmetric hosts because their suite of N-body simulations did not produce any. The approach of this paper is considerably less numerically expensive than full N-body simulations, and therefore allows for a more systematic inspection of the wide range of parameters. This is very promising and will allow the detailed study of more massive galaxies through the tidal features that encircle them. It certainly encourages the search for more low surface brightness, thin and extended streams. Here, we have made use of the projected morphology of the stream only, but kinematics of discrete tracers will be particularly helpful.

### 7.3. The life of a cannibalised dwarf galaxy

Galianni et al. (2010) report that the remnant of the progenitor is highly symmetric, and has a well developed core-halo structure. Its nucleus is bright (\( \approx 5.9 \times 10^5 L_{\odot} \)) and compact, but its half-light radius, certainly smaller than 80 pc, could not be measured precisely because of seeing. The halo of the remnant, instead, is observed to extend for the full width of the stream, for
lutionary path also determines the formation of a low luminosity tail in the population of non-globular stellar clusters. This study shows that analysis of the stream properties is capable of providing a one-to-one association between the observed remnant and the structure and nature of the progenitor dwarf galaxy, through the reconstruction of its post-infall evolution.

8. SUMMARY

By modelling the two-dimensional surface brightness map of its stellar stream, we have reconstructed the accretion of a dwarf galaxy on to the massive disk galaxy NGC 1097. We have shown that modelling the projected map of the stream alone allows us to constrain at the same time the initial properties of the progenitor galaxy, its post-infall evolution, and the density profile of the host. We find that:

- We can fully describe the properties of the stream using a spherical model for the total density distribution of the host galaxy. We perform tests using single orbits in triaxial potentials and find that this would not be possible if the halo of NGC 1097 were to be substantially triaxial out to large radii (i.e. with constant axis-ratios).
- The progenitor dwarf galaxy is on a strongly radial orbit, so that the stream extends out to over 150 kpc, allowing us to map the logarithmic slope of the total density profile $\gamma$ of the host out to $\gtrsim 0.6r_{200}$. The shape of the stream implies that the density slope bends from an inner value of $\gamma(r_{\text{peri}} \approx 4 \text{ kpc}) = 1.5 \pm 0.15$, to a quite steep value of $\gamma(r_{\text{apo}} \approx 150 \text{ kpc}) = 3.9 \pm 0.5$.
- We can clearly resolve this steepening with radius and find that the location of the break radius is in very good agreement with the predictions of ΛCDM, so that NGC 1097 has a concentration of $c_{200} = 6.7_{-1.3}^{+2.4}$ and a virial (total) mass of $M_{200} = 1.8_{-0.4}^{+0.5} \times 10^{12} M_\odot$.
- Our model predicts that the remnant of the progenitor has a line-of-sight velocity of $v_{\text{p,los}} = -51_{-14}^{+17} \text{ kms}^{-1}$ with respect to NGC 1097, which is in extremely good agreement with the measured value of $v_{\text{obs}} = -30 \pm 30 \text{ kms}^{-1}$ [Galianni et al. 2010].
- The progenitor dwarf galaxy, which is now observed as a nucleated dwarf with a total stellar luminosity of $\approx 3.3 \times 10^7 L_\odot$ [Galianni et al. 2010], has in fact lost more than two orders of magnitude in total mass since infall, $5.4_{-0.6}^{+0.6} \text{ Gyr}$ ago, and experienced three pericentric passages. Our inference for its initial mass is $\log m(< 3.4 \pm 1 \text{kpc})/M_\odot = 10.35 \pm 0.25$.
- The peculiar morphology of the dog leg stream is due to the initial properties of such progenitor dwarf, which had a non negligible internal angular momentum and was then likely a disky dwarf. Prograde rotation is mirrored in the coherent escape velocities that are necessary for reproducing both ‘foot’ (i.e. sharp 90 degree turn) and ‘puffy knee’ in the dog-leg morphology, material lost around the second pericentric passage.
- The remnant today is observed to have a marked ‘core-halo’ structure. Our inference for the current total mass in the remnant implies that the stellar ‘halo’ is not compact enough to be resilient to tides and will be lost entirely. Conversely, the bright and compact nucleus is unaffected by both tides and dynamical friction and will survive for a long time.
- With a luminosity of $5.9 \times 10^7 L_\odot$, our model shows explicitly that tidal stripping of dwarf galaxies down to their nuclei is one of the mechanisms that brings to the formation of UCDs, in this case of what would be called a low-luminosity UCD, and can reconstruct the properties of its progenitor.

These results encourage the observational effort towards the search for more extended, reasonably thin, stellar streams around massive galaxies. The combination of a wider sample of systems and the statistical modelling approach presented here will allow us to unveil the properties of both massive haloes and dwarf galaxies.

It is a pleasure to thank Aaron Romanowsky for constructive comments. The DARK Cosmology Centre is funded by the DNRF.
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