Identification of a novel three-column classification for double-column die-punch fractures of the distal radius
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Abstract. The present study aimed to classify double-column die-punch fractures of the distal radius according to imaging data, and to evaluate their clinical features. A retrospective analysis of imaging data derived from 498 patients diagnosed with a double-column die-punch fracture of the distal radius was performed. The fractures were divided into those with middle-column avulsion with fracture of the radial-column articular surface (type I), those with middle-column collapse with fracture of the radial-column articular surface (type II), those with middle-column collapse with fracture of epiphysis of the radial column (type III) or mixed-type fractures (type IV). The intra- and inter-observer consistency between assessors was analyzed with kappa statistics. The patients with double-column die-punch fractures of the distal radius were followed up. There were 21 cases of type I fracture, 135 cases of type II fracture, 130 cases of type III fracture and 212 cases of type IV fracture. The intra-observer kappa coefficient ranged from 0.810-0.861, whereas the inter-observer kappa coefficient range was 0.830-0.876, with high consistency. Following 13 months of follow-up, the patients were assessed for functional recovery of the wrist and hand using the Gartland-Werley scoring system. The analysis indicated that in 95.78% of the patients, wrist function was rated as excellent or good (n=477), while in 4.22% of patients it was rated as fair (n=21), mainly due to the development of post-traumatic arthritis of the wrist following inappropriate therapy. All of the cases were type IV and type III fractures. These data demonstrated the application of a novel classification system named the Three-Column Classification, used to classify double-column die-punch fractures of the distal radius. This method reflected the mechanisms and severity of the fractures, conforming to the principle of AO fracture classification. Furthermore, it exhibited high consistency and may provide reference values for clinical diagnosis, treatment and prognostic evaluation.

Introduction

An avulsion fracture of the dorsal articular surface of the lunate fossa in the distal radius was initially described as a die-punch fracture in 1962 (1). Subsequently, fractures of the articular surface of the lunate fossa caused by an axial force and transferred via the lunar bone to the lunate fossa were collectively referred to as die-punch fractures of the distal radius. The most typical manifestation was that of a collapsing fracture of the articular surface (2,3). Die-punch fractures of the articular surface of the distal tibia, of the posterior articular surface of the calcaneus and of the articular surface of the patella have also been described (4-6). Therefore, a die-punch fracture refers only to the mechanism of the fracture and does not reflect either the fracture site or the affected area.

Due to differences in the extent and complexity of force at the time of injury, wrist position and bone condition, die-punch fractures may not only affect the ulnar demifacet of the distal radius but also the radial demifacet of the distal radius. These fractures include articular surface collapsed fractures and articular surface split fractures, as well as marginal fractures on the volar or dorsal side (2). According to the ‘three-column theory’ of distal radius fractures proposed by Rikli and Regazzoni (7) (Fig. 1), the die-punch fractures may be classified into the following two types: Single column and double column (Figs. 2 and 3A). A die-punch fracture is a rare occurrence. The Müller-AO classification of distal radius fractures includes die-punch fractures, although no specific system currently exists for the classification of die-punch fractures. Although certain studies have described a classification system for single-column die-punch fractures of the distal radius (2,8), the classification of double-column die-punch fractures remains to be established.
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Fracture classification may aid in the identification of fracture types and subsequent treatment, as well as determine the prognosis of this disorder. The aim of the present study was to classify double-column die-punch fractures of the distal radius according to imaging data. Furthermore, the current study presented and evaluated the application of a novel classification based on the review of imaging data from patients with double-column die-punch fractures of the distal radius admitted to Wuxi Ninth People’s Hospital (Wuxi, China).

Materials and methods

Subjects. The present retrospective study was approved by the Ethics committee of Wuxi Ninth People’s Hospital (Wuxi, China, No. LW2109003) and Liyang People’s Hospital (Changzhou, China, No. LYCZ2019022).

A total of 10,596 patients who presented with distal radius fractures were initially screened, 498 of whom were included in the present study. These patients were diagnosed with a double-column die-punch fracture of the distal radius at the Wuxi Ninth People’s Hospital (Wuxi, China) between June 2007 and June 2017. The patients included 223 females and 275 males with a mean age of 45.3 years (range, 13-90 years). Among these patients, there were 167 cases with falling injuries, 142 cases with bruising injuries, 114 cases with traffic traumas and 75 cases with impact injuries. In addition, the study included 45 cases of combined ulnar styloid fractures, 30 cases of combined relaxation and subluxation of the distal radioulnar joint fractures, and 86 cases of complicated fractures at other sites. All cases were diagnosed by X-ray examinations and 492 out of the 498 (98.79%) underwent CT examination.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria. The inclusion criteria were as follows: Patients with an intra-articular fracture of the middle column, accompanied by a mild fracture of the radial column of the distal radius caused by an axial force. The exclusion criteria were as follows: i) Patients with open intra-articular fractures of the middle column, accompanied by a fracture of the radial column of the distal radius caused by a direct impact; ii) patients with particularly severe fractures of the radial column or those with severe fractures in the radial column and middle column; and iii) patients with incomplete imaging data.

Instrument-associated parameters. An X-ray plain-film examination was performed using Siemens digital radiography (DR) equipment (Ysio 1,500 Ma 50 kW; Siemens Ag) or Philips DR equipment (Digital Diag 500 Ma kW; Philips Medical Systems). The CT examination was performed using a GE 64-slice spiral CT scanner (Optima 660; GE Healthcare) with a screw pitch of 1.2, tube voltage of 120 Kv, tube current of 250 mA, conventional reconstruction and spacing of 2.5 mm, thin layer reconstruction and spacing of 1.0 mm, convolution sum of the bone algorithm of 75 and field of view of 145x145 mm.

Fracture classification method. The patients with double-column die-punch fractures of the distal radius exhibited an apparent fracture of the middle column and the majority of the fractures were collapsed, with only a limited number of avulsion fractures noted at the volar or dorsal side. The fracture line was usually vertical. The fractures of the radial column were mild with a horizontal fracture line. The double-column die-punch fractures of the distal radius were divided into four classes based on the Müller-AO classification system and the three-column theory, which is named as the Three-Column Classification. This classification included the determination of area of the radial column area and was described as follows: Type I: Middle-column avulsion with fracture of the radial-column articular surface; type II: Middle-column collapse with fracture of the radial-column articular surface; type III: Middle-column collapse with fracture of the epiphysis of the radial column; type IV: Mixed type, middle-column fracture with fractures of articular surface as well as epiphysis of the radial column.

The type I and II fractures affected the articular surface of the radial column, without affecting the metaphysis of the radial column. Of note, no fracture was noted in the cortical bone at the radial side of the metaphysis. These fractures were classified as type I with avulsion in the volar or dorsal edge of the middle column (Fig. 3B-D), and as type II for collapsed or comminuted fracture at the center or at the volar and dorsal sides of the middle column (Fig. 3E-I).

The type III fractures of the patients affected the metaphysis of the radial column, particularly the cortical bone at the radial side of the metaphysis, without affecting the articular surface of the radial column (Fig. 3J-N).

The type IV fractures affected the articular surface and metaphysis of the radial column. In addition, the fracture line of the radial column was usually horizontal and comminuted. Fig. 3O-S displays images of mixed-type fractures with fracture collapse of the middle column, and a combination of epiphyseal and articular fractures of the radial column.

Intra- and inter-observer agreement on fracture classification. Three-Column Classification was taught to two senior radiology residents. In this system the double-column die-punch fractures (n=498) of the distal radius were classified as type I-IV. The radiologists independently classified 100 cases of double-column die-punch fractures as follows: i) Type I (n=10); ii) type II (n=30); iii) type III (n=30); and iv) type IV (n=30). The classification of the 100 cases of double-column die-punch fractures was performed independently once more, three months after study initiation. In cases of inconsistency between the fracture types assigned by the radiologists, the decision of the most senior radiologist was accepted. Cohen’s kappa coefficients and quadratic weighted kappa coefficients were subsequently determined (2,3).

Gartland and Werley score. The Gartland and Werley scoring system was used to evaluate wrist and hand function (9). A score between 0 and 2 was regarded as ‘Excellent’; between 3 and 6 as ‘Good’; between 7 and 18 as ‘Fair’; and >19 as ‘Poor’.

Statistical analysis. The inter- and intra-observer consistency were analyzed using kappa statistics (SPSS software version 13.0; SPSS, Inc.). The kappa coefficient ranged between -1 and +1. A kappa coefficient of >0 was considered to indicate significant consistency and improved consistency was
**Results**

**Distribution of fracture types.** The incidence of single-column die-punch fractures of the distal radius in 10,596 patients with distal radius fractures was 0.70% (74/10,596), whereas the incidence of double-column die-punch fractures of the distal radius was 4.70% (498/10,596). Among the 498 patients diagnosed with double-column die-punch fractures of the distal radius, 21 cases presented with middle-column avulsion with radial-column articular surface fracture (type I), whereas 135 cases exhibited middle-column collapse with radial-column articular surface fracture (type II). Furthermore, 130 cases revealed middle-column collapse with fracture of the epiphysis of the radial column (type III), whereas 212 cases presented with mixed-type fractures (type IV). Middle-column avulsion fractures involving the radial-column articular surface (type I) were classified as type B (21 cases, 4.22%) according to the Müller-AO classification, whereas most collapsed fractures of the middle column (type II and type III, n=265) and the mixed-type (type IV, n=212) were classified as type C (477 cases, 95.78%) according to the Müller-AO classification (Table I). The fractures of all the patients were able to be categorized using the aforementioned classification system.

**Inter- and intra-observer agreement on fracture classification.** Inconsistency occurred in patients with minor fractures, including a type IV fracture that was easily misdiagnosed as type III fracture (Fig. 3I-S). In patients with obvious fractures, the inter-observer agreement was optimal. In general, the intra-observer kappa coefficient was between 0.810 and 0.861 and the inter-observer kappa coefficient was between 0.830 and 0.876, with high consistency (Table I).

**Treatment outcomes vs. fracture type.** Among the 498 patients, 226 and 272 selected conservative treatment or surgical treatment, respectively. After clinical healing of the fractures, gradual rehabilitation exercise was taken. In the 13th month of the patients’ follow-up, the wrist function of 95.78% of the patients was rated as excellent or good (n=477; Fig. 4), whereas that of 21 patients (4.22%) was rated as fair according to the Gartland-Werley scoring system. This was mainly due to the development of post-traumatic arthritis of the wrist as a result of inappropriate therapy (Fig. 5). All of these patients exhibited type IV and type III fractures. No patients with a poor score were noted in the present study.

**Discussion**

Previous biomechanical studies have indicated that the middle column of the distal radius is the hub and primary load-bearing surface, which transmits an axial load to the wrist and has a major role in mechanical conduction (10). The fracture line of the middle column of the distal radius is usually vertical. The radial column of the distal radius mainly stabilizes the wrist and controls rotation and its fracture line is usually horizontal (11-14). Different types of die-punch fractures may occur, depending on the type of impact and stress, the position of the wrist, and the effects of local anatomy and bone condition (2,5,11). A single-column die-punch fracture of the middle column frequently occurs when the radiocarpal joint is in a neutral position and the axial force is not severe. However, single-column die-punch fractures of the distal radius are rare and double-column die-punch fractures are commonly encountered in the clinic (2). In the present study, the incidence of single-column die-punch fractures of the distal radius was 0.70%, whereas the incidence of double-column die-punch fractures of the distal radius was 4.70%. According to the fracture sites of the radial column noted on the radiographs, the double-column die-punch fractures of the distal radius were divided into the four following classes based on the Three-Column Classification system: Type I middle-column avulsion involving the radial column articular surface; type II middle-column collapse involving the radial column articular surface; type III middle-column collapse involving epiphysis of the radial column; and type IV mixed type. In the patients with type I fractures, the axial force resulted in volar or dorsal edge fracture of the middle column when the radiocarpal joint was in flexion or extension position leading to articular fracture of the radial column due to the associated rotational load. In patients with type II fractures, the axial force resulted in fracture collapse of the middle column when the radiocarpal joint was in a neutral position and the associated rotational load resulted in articular fracture of the radial column. Type III fractures occurred when the radiocarpal joint was in a neutral position and during the incidence of epiphysis fracture of the radial column as a result of ulnar deviation of the position of the radiocarpal joint. Type IV fractures were caused by a relatively large axial load or a combination of the aforementioned factors. Therefore, the fracture line in the radial column was usually horizontal and comminuted, suggesting that the mechanisms underlying the different types of die-punch fractures of the distal radius varied and depended on a range of factors, including the size and nature of the axial load, the associated rotational load and the position of the radiocarpal joint. The results indicated that the Three-Column Classification system

![Figure 1. Illustration of the three-column theory of distal radius fractures.](image-url)
reflected the mechanisms associated with the development of different types of fracture.

The Melone classification II and Fernandez classification III are established classifications of die-punch fractures, which were denoted as type III fractures in the present study (15,16). The Melone and Fernandez classification systems have been extensively cited by previous studies (17-21). Although this type of double-column die-punch fracture is widely known, the description of double-column die-punch fractures of the distal radius has not been previously reported (10,22). Therefore, a lack of studies is available regarding the mechanism of the occurrence and classification of double-column die-punch fractures. In the present study, 4 types of double-column die-punch fracture of the distal radius were included as follows:
130 type III fractures, 156 type I and II fractures and 212 type IV fractures. The incidence of the mixed-type fractures (42.57%) was more common than that of the other types (4.22, 27.11 and 26.10% for type I, II and III, respectively).

| Type   | Number  | Percentage |
|--------|---------|------------|
| Type I | 21 (4.22) |            |
| Type II| 135 (27.11) |          |
| Type III| 130 (26.10) |          |
| Type IV| 212 (42.57) |          |

Table I. Distribution of fracture types and consistency of fracture classification.

Values are expressed as n (%).

Figure 4. Successful surgical treatment of a type III fracture. (A) Anteroposterior and (B) lateral radiographs of the fracture prior to treatment. (C) Anteroposterior and (D) lateral radiographs of the fracture after the treatment. The arrow indicates the fracture site.

Figure 5. Conservative treatment of a type III fracture resulted in traumatic arthritis of the wrist. (A) Anteroposterior and (B) lateral radiographs of the fracture prior to treatment. (C) Anteroposterior and (D) lateral radiographs of the fracture after the treatment. (E) The arrow indicates the fracture site.
A comprehensive fracture classification system must include all fracture types and reflect the characteristics of the fractures. In addition, the system must exhibit an optimal performance (19,23,24). In the present study, all of the 498 patients were successfully grouped in the absence of missing data. The results indicated that the Three‑Column Classification system reflected the underlying fracture mechanical mechanisms and the fracture sites. Furthermore, it accurately presented all of the types of double-column die‑punch fracture, conforming to the principles of the AO fracture classification.

Another purpose of the Three‑Column Classification is to provide a common language for the communication of fracture severity (23,24). In the present study, the classification of the fracture type was consistent in the vast majority of the patients, with the exception of the classification of several minor double column die‑punch fractures. In general, the intra- and inter‑observer kappa coefficients were >0.80, indicating that the consistency of the present classification system was optimal.

A third purpose of the Three‑Column Classification system was to provide a reference for diagnosis, treatment selection and prognostic evaluation (19,25). According to the Müller‑AO classification, a double-column die‑punch fracture is more severe than a single-column fracture, as the majority of them are type C fractures, which usually have a worse outcome and poor prognosis compared with those of type B fractures (25‑27). Therefore, type II, III and IV fractures (AO type C) with a collapsing fracture in the middle column are more severe and exhibit a poorer prognosis than type I fractures (AO type B). In the present study, 21 cases (4.22%) presented with a collapsing fracture in the middle column that resulted in poor rehabilitation of the wrist due to poor reduction of the displaced fracture. Optimal rehabilitation of the wrist was present in 91.57% (n=456) of the subjects due to optimal reduction and fixation of the displaced fracture, although all of these were type IV and type III. The principal fractures that require treatment are collapsing fractures of the middle‑column radius that are particularly noted in type IV and type III fractures. These fragmented bone tissues are prone to inducing traumatic arthritis of the wrist with inappropriate therapy. According to previous studies, the surgical techniques used for die‑punch fractures involve the following: Reduction followed by depressed fixation for avulsion fractures and reduction followed by bone graft support and subsequent internal fixation for collapsing fractures (5,12,14,22). This suggests that different types of fracture require different treatment methods. Therefore, the Three‑Column Classification system applied in the present study reflects the characteristics and severity of the fracture and may provide a reference for the treatment and prognostic evaluation of patients.

The present study had certain limitations. First, it included a relatively small number of cases. In addition, a small number of misdiagnoses occurred. Furthermore, two types of DR equipment were used in our hospital. In the present retrospective study, DR images of 10,596 patients were included. Upon admission to the hospital, the patients were randomly assigned to the two different types of equipment. The difference noted between these instruments may be further investigated in future studies.

In conclusion, the double-column die‑punch fractures of the distal radius were divided into four classes using the novel classification system, named the Three Column Classification. The classification was mainly based on the different fracture sites of the radial column noted from the radiographs. The advantages of this novel classification are as follows: First, it comprises all subtypes, while previously, only type III was included. Furthermore, it reflects the mechanism of different types. In addition, different subtypes have different outcomes and prognosis. It also exhibited high consistency. Therefore, this classification may provide a common, consistent and easy-to-use system and reference values for clinical diagnosis, treatment and prognostic evaluation of this type of disorder.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Dr Ying Yang of Wuxi Ninth People's Hospital Affiliated to Soochow University for the contribution of his cases to the study and Professor Yongjun Rui of Wuxi Ninth People's Hospital Affiliated to Soochow University for his photography and graphics assistance.

Funding

No funding was received.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Authors' contributions

DL and QY conceived the study. WT and YL designed the study and drafted the manuscript. YL and DCL collected the data and analyzed the data. QY and WT reviewed the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was approved by the Ethics Committees of Liyang People's Hospital (Changzhou, China) and Wuxi Ninth People's Hospital (Wuxi, China).

Patient consent for publication

All patients provided consent for the publication of images.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

1. Scheck M: Long‑term follow‑up of treatment of comminuted fractures of the distal end of the radius by transfixation with Kirschner wires and cast. J Bone Joint Surg Am 44‑A: 337‑351, 1962.
2. Ma Y, Yin Q, Rui Y, Gu S and Yang Y: Image classification for Die‑punch fracture of intermediate column of the distal radius. Radiol Med 122: 928‑933, 2017.
3. Zhang J, Ji XR, Peng Y, Li JT, Zhang LH and Tang PF: New classification of lunate fossa fractures of the distal radius. J Orthop Surg Res 11: 124, 2016.

4. Falcochio DF, Crepaldi BE, Trindade CA, da Costa AC and Chakkour I: What is the best radiographic view for ‘Die Punch’ distal radius fractures? a cadaver model study. Rev Bras Ortop 47: 27-30, 2015.

5. Sun YQ, Stephen M and Meinhard BP: Surgical treatment of comminuted die-punch patellar fracture. Orthopedics 24: 947-950, 2001.

6. Zhang X, Hu C, Yu K, Bai J, Tian D, Xu Y and Zhang B: Volar locking plate (VLP) versus non-locking plate (NLP) in the treatment of die-punch fractures of the distal radius, an observational study. Int J Surg 34: 142-147, 2016.

7. Rikli DA and Regazzoni P: Fractures of the distal end of the radius treated by internal fixation and early function. A preliminary report of 20 cases. J Bone Joint Surg Br 78: S88-S92, 1996.

8. Inagaki K and Kawasaki K: Distal radius fractures-Design of locking mechanism in plate system and recent surgical procedures. J Orthop Sci 21: 258-262, 2016.

9. Lucas GL and Sachtjen KM: An analysis of hand function in patients with colles’ fracture treated by Rush rod fixation. Clin Orthop Relat Res: 172-179, 1981.

10. Melone CP Jr: Distal radius fractures: Patterns of articular fragmentation. Orthop Clin North Am 24: 239-253, 1993.

11. Fernandez DL: Fractures of the distal radius: Operative treatment. Instr Course Lect 42: 73-88, 1993.

12. Burnier M, Herzberg G and Izem Y: Patient-accident-fracture (PAF) classification of distal radius fractures. Hand Surg Rehabil 35S: S34-S38, 2016 (In French).

13. Chia B, Kozin SH, Herman MJ, Safier S and Abzug JM: Complications of pediatric distal radius and forearm fractures. Instr Course Lect 64: 499-507, 2015.

14. Mathews AL and Chung KC: Management of complications of distal radius fractures. Hand Clin 31: 205-215, 2015.

15. Mulkers MA, Rikli D, Goslings JC and Schep NW: Classification and treatment of distal radius fractures: A survey among orthopaedic trauma surgeons and residents. Eur J Trauma Emerg Surg 43: 239-248, 2017.

16. Qiu WJ, Li YF, Ji YH, Xu W, Zhu XD, Tang XZ, Zhao HL, Wang GB, Jia YQ, Zhu SC, et al: The comparative risk of developing postoperative complications in patients with distal radius fractures following different treatment modalities. Sci Rep 5: 15318, 2015.

17. Yamamoto K, Masaoka T, Shishido T and Imakiire A: Clinical results of external fixation for unstable Colles’ fractures. Hand Surg 8: 193-200, 2003.

18. Kamphaus A, Rapp M, Wessel LM, Buchholz M, Masselme E, Schneidmüller D, Roeder C and Kaiser MM: LiLa classification for paediatric long bone fractures. Intraobserver and interobserver reliability. Unfallchirurg 118: 326-335, 2015 (In German).

19. Sonderegger J, Schindele S, Rau M and Gruenert JG: Palmar multidirectional fixed-angle plate fixation in distal radius fractures: Do intraarticular fractures have a worse outcome than extraarticular fractures? Arch Orthop Trauma Surg 130: 1263-1268, 2010.

20. Bartl C, Stengel D, Bruckner T and Gebhard F; ORCHID Study Group: The treatment of displaced intra-articular distal radius fractures in elderly patients. Dtsch Arztebl Int 111: 779-787, 2014.

21. Chen C, Cai L, Zhang C, Wang J, Guo X and Zhou Y: Treatment of die-punch fractures with 3D printing technology. J Invest Surg 31: 385-392, 2018.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) License.