Abstract

Cohomology operations (including the cohomology ring) of a geometric object are finer algebraic invariants than the homology of it. In the literature, there exist various algorithms for computing the homology groups of simplicial complexes ([Mun84], [DE95, ELZ00], [DG98]), but concerning the algorithmic treatment of cohomology operations, very little is known.

In this paper, we establish a version of the incremental algorithm for computing homology given in [ELZ00], which saves algebraic information, allowing us the computation of the cup product and the effective evaluation of the primary and secondary cohomology operations on the cohomology of a finite simplicial complex. The efficient combinatorial descriptions at cochain level of cohomology operations developed in [GR99, GR99a] are essential ingredients in our method. We study the computational complexity of these processes and a program in Mathematica for cohomology computations is presented.

1 Introduction

A simplicial complex is a well–known discrete model of a geometric object, which consists of a collection of simplices that fit together in a natural way to form the object. In order to classify simplicial complexes from a topological point of view, a first algebraic invariant that can be used is homology, which in some sense, counts the number of holes of the object.

We can cite two relevant algorithms for computing homology groups $H_\ast K$ of a simplicial complex $K$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$: (1) the classical algorithm based on reducing certain matrices to their Smith normal form [Mun84]; (2) the incremental algorithm [DE95, ELZ00, EZ01], avoiding the severe computational costs of the reduction to Smith normal form and consisting of assembling the complex simplex by simplex and at each step updates the Betti numbers of the current complex. Starting with the boundary of a negative simplex, this persistence process finds the cycle which is destroyed by this simplex through the search, computing in this way the geometric realization of a homology cycle. It runs in time at most
$O(m^3)$, where $m$ is the number of simplices of the complex. For simplicial complexes embedding in $\mathbb{R}^3$, this complexity is reduced to $O(m)$ in time and space [DE95]. The algorithm proposed in [DG98] is based on simulating a thickening of a given complex in $\mathbb{R}^3$ to a topological 3-manifold homotopic to it, and computing the homology groups of the last one using classical results. The time and space complexity is linear and this method also produces representations of generators of the homology groups.

In general, computing homology is not enough for determining whether two geometric objects are homeomorphic or not. Finer algebraic invariants such as the cohomology (an algebraic dual notion to homology), the cup product on cohomology or cohomology operations [Spa81], allow us to topologically distinguish two geometric objects having isomorphic homology groups. For example, a torus and the wedge product of a sphere and two circles have the same homology but the respective cup products on cohomology are “essentially” different. Using a field as the coefficient group, for example, $\mathbb{Z}_2$, the cohomology $H^*K$ of a simplicial complex $K$ gives us the same topological information as the homology of it. However, the additional ring structure on the cohomology determined by the cup product and cohomology operations cannot directly be produced from the algorithms previously mentioned for computing the homology. Roughly speaking, a cohomology operation $\theta : H^n(\cdot; G) \to H^m(\cdot; G')$ is a homomorphism that acts on cohomology ($G$ and $G'$ being groups); relevant examples of cohomology operations are Steenrod squares, Steenrod reduced powers and Adem secondary cohomology operations [MT68]. As an example of the strong constraints that these operations impose on the cohomology of spaces, we can cite that the use of this machinery is essential for showing that there do not exist spaces $X$ having cohomology $H^*(X; \mathbb{Z})$ a polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ unless $\alpha$ has dimension 2 or 4.

In this paper, we make use of an explicit chain contraction (a special chain equivalence) connecting the chain complex $C_*K$, canonically associated to a simplicial complex $K$ and its homology $H_*K$. Moreover, from this datum we can derive a cochain contraction from the cochain complex $C^*K = Hom(C_*K; \mathbb{Z}_2)$, to the cohomology $H^*K$. Using this information, we can compute:

1. Geometric realizations of (co)homology generators.
2. The (co)homology class of a (co)cycle in terms of (co)homology generators.
3. The construction of a (co)boundary of a given (co)cycle.
4. The induced homomorphism at (co)homology level of a simplicial map between two complexes.
5. The cup product on cohomology and some primary and secondary cohomology operations.
The first problem is to construct such chain contractions from $C_* K$ to $H_* K$. In [GR01], a translation of the classical matrix algorithm (1) in terms of chain contractions is designed. In this paper, we design a version of the incremental method described in [ELZ00] in terms of chain contractions. The complexity of our method is also $O(m^3)$ where $m$ is the number of simplices of $K$, but our algorithm saves information which allows us, for example, to compute the following operations:

1. The cohomology ring of $K$ in $O(m^5)$.
2. The Steenrod square operation $Sq^i \alpha_n$ of a cohomology class $\alpha_n$ of degree $n$ in $O(i^{n-i+1}m)$ (see [GR99a])
3. The Adem secondary cohomology operation $\Psi_2 \alpha_2$ of a cohomology class $\alpha_2 \in Ker Sq^2 H^1(K; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ in $O(m^3)$.

In fact, the modus operandi for evaluating a mod 2 cohomology operation $\bar{O} : H^m K \to H^n K$ on a cohomology class $\alpha_m$ is the following:

1. First, given a finite simplicial complex $K$, construct the chain contraction from $C_* K$ to $H_* K$ (denoted $(f^*, g^*, \phi^*) : C_* K \Rightarrow H_* K$), using our version of the incremental technique.
2. Evaluate $\bar{O}$ on the cohomology class $\alpha_m$ using the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
C^* K & \xrightarrow{g^*} & H^* K \\
\downarrow \phi & & \downarrow \phi \\
C^* K & \xrightarrow{f^*} & H^* K,
\end{array}
\]

where $\phi : C^* K \to C^* K$ is a cochain operation associated to $\bar{O}$ whose formulation is explicitly given in simplicial terms. An efficient combinatorial description $\phi$ for $\bar{O}$ being a Steenrod square [GR99, GR99a], a Steenrod reduced power [GR99] or some Adem secondary cohomology operations [GR01] have already been done by the authors. We do not deal with this question in this paper, but it is necessary to say that the algorithmic approach we give here will only be valid if combinatorial pictures of cohomology operations at cochain level are determined.

Let us observe that in this paper we deal with the general case of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Versions in terms of chain contractions of the algorithms given in [DE95] and [DG98], designed for the special case of $\mathbb{R}^3$, would allow us to considerably reduce the computational costs of the processes.

2 Homology and Chain Contractions

In this section, we design a version of the incremental algorithm of [ELZ00] in terms of chain contractions. In this way, we construct a chain contraction
from the chain complex canonically associated to a simplicial complex $K$, to its homology. Let us observe that passing to cohomology is not a problem if we use a field as the ground ring. The resulting cochain contraction from $C^*K$ to $H^*K$ will help us to compute the cup product on cohomology and cohomology operations.

Now, we give a brief summary of concepts and notations. The terminology follows Munkres [Mun84].

Throughout this paper, we consider $\mathbb{Z}_2$ is the ground ring and $\mu$ denotes the product on $\mathbb{Z}_2$. A $q$–simplex $\sigma$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ (where $q \leq n$) is the convex hull of $q + 1$ affinely independent points $\{v_0, ..., v_q\}$. We denote $\sigma = \langle v_0, ..., v_q \rangle$. The dimension of $\sigma$ is $|\sigma| = q$. A 0–simplex is a vertex, a 1–simplex is an edge, a 2–simplex is a triangle, a 3–simplex is a tetrahedron, and so on. An $i$–face of $\sigma = \langle v_0, ..., v_q \rangle$ ($i < q$) is an $i$–simplex whose vertices are in the set $\{v_0, ..., v_q\}$.

The $(q−1)$–faces of $\sigma$ are called the facets of $\sigma$. A simplex is shared if it is a face of more than one simplex. Otherwise, the simplex is free if it belongs to one higher–dimensional simplex, and maximal if it does not belong to any. A simplicial complex $K$ is a collection of simplices such that:

- If $\tau$ is a face of $\sigma \in K$, then $\tau \in K$.
- If $\sigma', \sigma \in K$, then $\sigma' \cap \sigma \in K$ or $\sigma' \cap \sigma = \emptyset$.

Let us notice that $K$ can be given by the set of its maximal simplices. The dimension of $K$ is $\text{dim} K = \max\{|\sigma| : \sigma \in K\}$. In this paper, all the simplices have finite dimension and all the simplicial complexes are finite collections. The set of all the $q$–simplices of $K$ is denoted by $K^{(q)}$. If $L$ is a subcollection of $K$ that contains all faces of its elements, then $L$ is a simplicial complex in its own right; it is called a subcomplex of $K$. Let $K$ and $K'$ be two simplicial complexes. A map $f : K^{(0)} \to K'^{(0)}$ such that whenever $\langle v_0, ..., v_q \rangle \in K$ then $f(v_0), ..., f(v_q)$ are vertices of a simplex of $K'$, is called a vertex map.

Algebraic Topology is the study of algebraic objects attached to topological spaces; the algebraic invariants reflect some of the topological structure of the spaces.

The chain complex $C_*K$ associated to a simplicial complex $K$ is a family $\{C_qK, \partial_q\}_{q \geq 0}$ defined in each dimension $q$ by:

- $C_qK$ is the free abelian group generated by the $q$–simplices of $K$. An element $a = \sigma_1 + \cdots + \sigma_m$ of $C_qK$ ($\sigma_i \in K^{(q)}$) is called a $q$–chain.
- $\partial_q : C_qK \to C_{q−1}K$ called the boundary operator is given by

$$\partial_q(v_0, ..., v_q) = \sum_{i=0}^q \langle v_0, ..., \hat{v}_i, ..., v_q \rangle$$

where $\langle v_0, ..., v_q \rangle$ is a $q$–simplex of $K$ and the hat means that $v_i$ is omitted. By linearity, $\partial_q$ can be extended to $C_qK$, where it is a homomorphism.
A $q$–chain $a$ is called a $q$–cycle if $\partial a = 0$. If $a = \partial b$ for some $b \in C_{q+1} K$ then $a$ is called a $q$–boundary. We denote the groups of $q$–cycles and $q$–boundaries by $Z_q K$ and $B_q K$ respectively, and define $Z_0 K = C_0 K$. Since $B_q K \subseteq Z_q K$, we can define the $q$th homology group to be the quotient group $Z_q K/B_q K$, denoted by $H_q K$. Given that elements of this group are cosets of the form $a + B_q K$, where $a \in Z_q K$, we say that the coset $a + B_q K$, denoted by $[a]$, is the homology class in $H_q K$ determined by $a$ or $a$ is a representative cycle of $[a]$. Let $K$ and $L$ be two simplicial complexes. A chain map $f : C_* K \to C_* L$ is a family of homomorphisms
\[ \{f_q : C_q K \to C_q L\}_{q \geq 0} \]
such that $\partial_q f_q = f_{q-1} \partial_q$ for all $q$. Observe that for every vertex map $f : K^{(0)} \to L^{(0)}$, we can obtain the corresponding chain map $f_0 : C_* K \to C_* L$ such that
\[ f_0(v_0, \ldots, v_q) = \begin{cases} \langle f(v_0), \ldots, f(v_q) \rangle & \text{if } f(v_i) \text{ distinct} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \]

Let $h$ and $k$ be two chain maps from $C_* K$ to $C_* L$. A chain homotopy from $h$ to $k$ is a family of homomorphisms
\[ \{\phi_q : C_q K \to C_{q+1} L\}_{q \geq 0} \]
such that $\partial_q \phi_q + \phi_{q-1} \partial_q = h_q + k_q$. We write $h \sim k$ if a chain homotopy between $h$ and $k$ exists. Two chain complexes $C_* K$ and $C_* L$ are chain equivalent if there exist two chain maps $f : C_* K \to C_* L$ and $g : C_* L \to C_* K$ such that
\[ fg \sim 1_{C_* L} \quad \text{and} \quad gf \sim 1_{C_* K}. \]

Observe that, in this case, $\phi_q : C_q K \to C_{q+1} K$ for all $q \geq 0$. A chain contraction [EM52] from $C_* K$ to $C_* L$ is a chain equivalence such that
\[ fg = 1_{C_* L} \quad \text{and} \quad gf \sim 1_{C_* K} \quad \text{(that is, } 1_{C_* K} + gf = \partial \phi + \phi \partial) \]
and $\phi$ has the following “annihilation” properties: $f \phi = 0$, $\phi g = 0$ and $\phi \phi = 0$. We denote such chain contraction as $(f, g, \phi) : C_* K \Rightarrow C_* L$. Observe that if a chain contraction from $C_* K$ to $C_* L$ exists then $L$ has fewer or the same number of simplices than $K$. Now, we show some examples of contractions.

(a) Edge Contractions.

Conditions under which edge contractions are homeomorphisms appear in [DEGN99]. Here, we show one condition under which edge contractions become, at algebraic level, chain contractions.

Let $K$ be a simplicial complex and $\tau = \langle a, b \rangle$ an edge in $K$. An edge contraction is given by the vertex map $f : K^{(0)} \to L^{(0)} = K^{(0)} - \{a, b\} \cup \{c\}$ where $f(a) = f(b) = c$, and $f(v) = v$ for all $v \neq a, b$. 
Let $B$ be a subset of $K$ that is not necessarily a subcomplex. Define

$$
\overline{B} = \{ \sigma' \in K : \sigma' \leq \sigma \in B \}, \quad \text{St} B = \{ \sigma \in K : \sigma \geq \sigma' \in B \},
$$

$$
Lk B = \overline{\text{St} B} - \text{St} \overline{B},
$$

where $\sigma' < \sigma$ means that $\sigma'$ is a face of $\sigma$.

If $Lka \cap Lkb = Lk\tau$, then a chain contraction $(f\#, g, \phi)$ from $C_*K$ to $C_*L$ is defined as follows:

- $f\#$ is the chain map induced by the vertex map $f$.
- $g : C_*L \to C_*K$ is such that
  
  $g\tau = \tau$ \quad $\forall \tau \notin \text{St} c$,  
  
  $g(\langle c \rangle) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
  \omega \cup \langle a \rangle & \text{if} \quad \omega \in Lk a, \\
  \omega \cup \langle b \rangle + \bar{\omega} \cup \langle a, b \rangle & \text{if} \quad \omega \in Lk b - Lk \tau \\
  \omega \cup \langle b \rangle & \text{if} \quad \omega \in Lk b - Lk \tau, \quad \bar{\omega} \in Lk \tau \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\omega} \ni Lk \tau
  \end{array} \right.$

- $\phi : C_*K \to C_{*+1}K$ is given by
  
  $\phi(v_0, ..., v_q, b) = \langle v_0, ..., v_q, a, b \rangle$ \quad $\text{if} \quad \langle v_0, ..., v_q \rangle \in Lk \tau$

  and $\phi\tau = 0$ otherwise.

(b) Simplicial Collapses.

Suppose $K$ is a simplicial complex, $\sigma \in K$ is a maximal $q$–simplex and $\sigma'$ is a free $(q - 1)$–face of $\sigma$. Then, $K$ simplicially collapses onto $K - \{ \sigma, \sigma' \}$.

More generally, a simplicial collapse is any sequence of such operations. A thinned simplicial complex $M_{\text{scol}}(K)$ is a subcomplex of $K$ with the condition that all the faces of the maximal simplices of $M_{\text{scol}}(K)$ are shared. Then, it is obvious that it is no longer possible to collapse. There is an explicit chain contraction from $C_*K$ onto $C_*(M_{\text{scol}}K)$ [For99]. The following algorithm computes $M_{\text{scol}}K$ and the chain contraction from $C_*K$ onto $C_*(M_{\text{scol}}K)$. Suppose that $K$ is given by the set of its maximal simplices.

Initially, $M_{\text{scol}}K = K$, \quad $\phi\tau = 0$, \quad $f\tau = g\tau = \tau$ \quad $\text{for each} \quad \tau \in K$.

While there exists a maximal simplex $\sigma$ with a free face $\sigma'$ do

$M_{\text{scol}}K = M_{\text{scol}}K - \{ \sigma, \sigma' \}$,

$\phi\sigma' = \sigma$, \quad $f\sigma' = \sigma' + \partial\sigma$ \quad $\text{and} \quad f\sigma = 0$

End while
(c) Contraction to a Vertex.

Let $\sigma = \langle v_0, \ldots, v_q \rangle$ be a simplex and let $K[\sigma]$ be the simplicial complex whose maximal simplex is $\sigma$. It is obvious that we can obtain a chain contraction from $C_\ast K[\sigma]$ to $\langle v_0 \rangle$ using simplicial collapses. But now, we show another contraction from $C_\ast K[\sigma]$ to $\langle v_0 \rangle$ determining the acyclicity of the simplex $\sigma$. This last chain contraction is the key for constructing another one from any simplicial complex to its homology as we will see in the following section. We define $(f_\sigma, g_\sigma, \phi_\sigma) : C_\ast K[\sigma] \Rightarrow \langle v_0 \rangle$ as follows:

$$f_\sigma \langle v_i \rangle = \langle v_0 \rangle \quad 0 \leq i \leq q,$$

$$g_\sigma \langle v_0 \rangle = \langle v_0 \rangle;$$

$$\phi_\sigma \langle v_0, v_{j_1}, \ldots, v_{j_n} \rangle = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_\sigma \langle v_{j_1}, \ldots, v_{j_n} \rangle = \langle v_0, v_{j_1}, \ldots, v_{j_n} \rangle$$

where $1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_n \leq q$.

Let us observe that in this case $\langle v_0 \rangle$ represents the unique class of homology in $H_\ast K[\sigma]$.

2.1 Incremental Homology Algorithm and Chain Contractions

Our algorithm for computing a chain contraction from the chain complex of a simplicial complex $K$ to its homology is based on the incremental algorithm for computing the persistence of the Betti numbers developed in [ELZ00].

The input of our algorithm implemented in Mathematica is the sorted set of all the simplices, $K = \{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_m\}$, with the property that any subset of it, $\{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_i\}, i \leq m$, is a simplicial complex itself. The output $\ell = \text{contraction}[K]$ is a list of sorted lists. Each sorted list has three elements. The first one is a simplex $\sigma$ of $K$, the second one is the image of $\sigma$ under $f$ and the third one consists of the image of $\sigma$ under $\phi$. We omit in the list the simplices such that the image of them are null under $f$ and $\phi$. In general, a class of homology $\alpha$ is represented by a simplex $\tau$, so in order to obtain the image of $\alpha$ under $g$, we only have to compute $a = \tau + \phi \partial \tau$. Moreover, $a$ will be a representative cycle of $\alpha$.

Now, let us suppose we have constructed the list $\ell = \text{contraction}[L]$ for $L = \{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{i-1}\}, i \leq m$ (if $L = \emptyset$, we assume $\ell = \emptyset$). We construct $\text{contraction}[[\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_i]]$ as follows:

If $f[\partial \sigma_i, \ell] = 0$ then,

$$\ell \cup \{(\sigma_i, \sigma_i, \phi \sigma_i)\},$$

Else

Replace $\ell$ with

$$\text{Solve}[f[\partial \sigma_i, \ell] = 0],$$
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Solve[φ[∂σ_i, ℓ]=σ_i]

]  

End if

where, for a simplex τ, \( f[τ, ℓ] \) and \( φ[τ, ℓ] \) are, respectively, the second and the third element of the list of ℓ that has τ as the first element. If this list does not exist, then \( f[τ, ℓ]=0 \) and \( φ[τ, ℓ]=0 \). Now, let us explain what \text{contraction}[\{σ_1, \ldots, σ_i\}] computes. If \( f[∂σ_i, ℓ]=0 \) then \( σ_i \) “creates a cycle”, so in fact, \( σ_i \) is a new generator of homology. Otherwise, \( f[∂σ_i, ℓ] \) is a sum of elements of the form \( ∑_{σ_j∈N⊂L} σ_j \). The idea of this last case is that \( σ_i \) destroys the cycle generated by \( ∂σ_i \) in \( L \). Therefore, we impose \( f[∂σ_i, ℓ]=0 \) and \( φ[∂σ_i, ℓ]=σ_i \). We replace these relations in ℓ with the commands Replace and Solve.

At the end of the algorithm, all the elements of the form \( φτ \) are replaced by zero. For obtaining the morphism \( g \) and the representative cycles of the homology classes of \( K \), we compute \( τ + φ∂τ \) for each simplex τ (the generators of homology) satisfying that \( f[τ, ℓ]=τ \) in the list \( ℓ = \text{contraction}[K] \). We create a new list of sorted lists, called \text{representativeCycles}[K] such that in each sorted list the first element is a generator of homology, \( τ \), and the second element is its image under \( g, τ + φ∂τ \). Observe that this last chain is, in fact, a cycle:

\[
∂(τ + φ∂τ) = \partial τ + ∂φ∂τ \\
= \partial τ + (gf - 1 - φ∂)∂τ \\
= gf∂τ - φ∂∂τ \quad \text{[ since } ∂∂τ = 0, \text{ then ]} \\
= gf∂τ \quad \text{[ since, by construction, } f∂τ = 0, \text{ then ]} \\
= 0.
\]

It is easy to check that \( (f, g, φ) \) is, in fact, a chain contraction from \( C_∗K \) to \( H_∗K \). Observe that given a cycle \( a \), if \( fa = 0 \) then \( a \) is also a boundary. In order to compute a chain \( a' \) such that \( a = ∂a' \), we can use the relation

\[
a - gfa = φ∂a + ∂φa.
\]

Since \( ∂a = 0 \) and \( fa = 0 \), we have \( a = φ∂a \).

**Theorem 3** The complexity of our algorithm for computing the homology of a finite simplicial complex \( K \) and a chain contraction from \( C_∗K \) on \( H_∗K \) is \( O(m^3) \), where \( m \) is the number of simplices of \( K \).

**Proof.**

Let \( K = \{σ_1, \ldots, σ_m\} \) and \( d = \text{dim } K \). Suppose that we have computed \( ℓ = \text{contraction}[\{σ_1, \ldots, σ_{i-1}\}] \). In the worst case, we have to solve \( f[∂σ_i, ℓ] = 0 \) and \( φ[∂σ_i, ℓ]=σ_i \). Observe that the number of simplices involved in \( ∂σ_i \) is less or equal than the dimension of \( σ_i \) which is at most \( d \) and then, the number of
simplices involved in the formulas of $f[\partial\sigma_i, \ell]$ and $\phi[\partial\sigma_i, \ell]$ is $O(dm) = O(m)$. Since we have to solve the equations and replace the solution in $\ell$, the total cost of these operations is $O(m^2)$. Moreover, for obtaining the representative cycles, we have to compute $\tau + \phi\partial\tau$ for every generator of homology. The cost of this is also $O(m^2)$. Therefore, the total algorithm runs in time at most $O(m^3)$. □

4 Cohomology and Cohomology Operations

One reason in order to use the cohomology for distinguishing spaces instead of homology, is that the cohomology has additional structures, such as cup product and cohomology operations. If two spaces have isomorphic (co)homology groups but the behaviour of the ring structure or cohomology operations is different, then they are not homeomorphic. In this section we explain how we can compute the cup product and cohomology operations starting from a chain contraction from an algebraic object to its homology. We first need to define more concepts.

The cochain complex associated to $K$, denoted by $C^*K$, is the family

$$\{C^qK, \delta^q\}_{q \geq 0},$$

defined in each dimension $q$ by:

- The group $C^qK = \text{Hom}(C_qK; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ = \{c : $C_qK \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ is a homomorphism\}.
- The homomorphism $\delta^q : C^qK \rightarrow C^{q+1}K$ called the coboundary operator given by

$$\delta^q c a = c \partial_{q+1} a$$

where $c \in C^qK$ and $a \in C_{q+1}K$.

The elements of $C^qK$ are called $q$–cochains. Observe that a $q$–cochain can be defined on $K^{(q)}$ and it is naturally extended by linearity on $C_qK$. $Z^qK$ and $B^qK$ are the kernel of $\delta^q$ and the image of $\delta^{q-1}$, respectively. The elements in $Z^qK$ are called $q$–cocycles and those in $B^qK$ are called $q$–coboundaries. The $q$th cohomology group

$$H^qK = Z^qK/B^qK$$

can be defined for each integer $q$. Take into account that since the ground ring is a field, the homology and cohomology of $K$ are isomorphic. Moreover, given a generator of homology, $\alpha$, of dimension $q$, we can define the corresponding generator of cohomology $\alpha^* : H_qK \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ such as

$$\alpha^* \alpha = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha^* \beta = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \alpha \neq \beta \in H_qK.$$ 

One can also define the dual concept of chain maps and chain contractions, in the obvious way. Furthermore, starting from a chain contraction $(f, g, \phi)$ from $C_*K$ to $H_*K$, we construct a cochain contraction $(f^*, g^*, \phi^*)$ from $C^*K$ to $H^*K$. 
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as follows. Let $c \in C^* K$ and $\alpha^* \in H^* K$. Define $f^* c = cg$, $g^* \alpha^* = \alpha^* f$ and $\phi^* c = c \phi$.

The cohomology of $K$ is a ring with the cup product

$$\cdot : H^i K \otimes H^j K \rightarrow H^{i+j} K$$

defined at a cocycle level by $(c \cdot c')\sigma = \mu(c(v_0, \ldots, v_i) \otimes c'(v_i, \ldots, v_{i+j}))$, where $c$ and $c'$ are an $i$–cocycle and a $j$–cocycle, respectively, and $\sigma = (v_0, \ldots, v_{i+j}) \in K^{(i+j)}$ is such that $v_0 < \cdots < v_{i+j}$. Using the chain contraction $(f, g, \phi)$ from $C_* K$ to $H_* K$, we can compute the cohomology ring of $K$ in the following way:

Take $\alpha^*$ and $\beta^*$, cohomology classes of $K$
For every $\gamma \in H_{i+j} K$
compute $(\langle \alpha^* f \rangle - (\beta^* f))g\gamma$
End for

Notice that the resulting cohomology class is determined by the cocycle $c = \langle \alpha^* f \rangle - (\beta^* f)$.

In order to compute a cohomology operation $O : H^* K \rightarrow H^{*+1} K$, on one hand, we need to compute contraction[$K$] in order to obtain a chain contraction $(f, g, \phi)$ from $C_* K$ to its homology and, on the other hand, we need a simplicial version $\bar{O} : C^* K \rightarrow C^{*+1} K$ of $O$. Therefore, for obtaining $\bar{O}(\alpha^*)$, where $\alpha^* \in H^* K$, we only need to compute $O(\alpha^* f)g$ (for more details, see [GR01]). For example, from the combinatorial formulae of Steenrod squares given in [Ste47, SE62],

$$Sq^q : H^* K \rightarrow H^{*+q} K,$$

for calculating the cohomology class $Sq^q(\alpha^*)$ with $\alpha^*$ in $H^q K$, we only have to compute $Sq^q(\alpha^* f)g$. More concretely, at cochain level, $Sq^q c = c \cdot c \mod 2$. Moreover, given a $p$–cochain $c$ and a $q$–cochain $c'$, $c \cdot c'$ is a $(p+q-n)$–cochain defined by

$$(c \cdot c')\sigma = \sum_{0 \leq i_0 < \cdots < i_n \leq p+q-n} \mu(c(\cup_{j \text{ even}} z^j)) \otimes c'(\cup_{j \text{ odd}} z^j)$$

where $\sigma = (v_0, \ldots, v_{p+q-n})$, $v_0 < \cdots < v_{p+q-n}$; $z^0 = (v_0, \ldots, v_0)$, $z^j = (v_{i_{j-1}}, \ldots, v_{i_j})$, for $1 \leq j \leq n$, and $z^{n+1} = (v_{i_n}, \ldots, v_{p+q-n})$. Finally, we can express Steenrod squares in a matrix form due to the fact that these cohomology operations are homomorphisms. The process of diagonalization of such matrices can give us detailed information about the kernel and image of these cohomology operations. This information will be very useful in the next section in order to compute Adem secondary cohomology operations.

## 5 Adem Secondary Cohomology Operations

For attacking the computation of secondary cohomology operations, we will see in this section that the homotopy operator $\phi$ of the chain contraction $(f, g, \phi)$
from $C, K$ to the homology of $K$, is essential.

First of all, we will need the following mod 2 relation [Ste47]:

$$\delta(c \smile n c') = c \smile n c + \delta c \smile n c' + c \smile c' \smile n c' + c \smile c' \smile n c' + \delta c'$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $c$ and $c'$ are two cochains. Now, we shall indicate how Adem secondary cohomology operations $\Psi_q : N^q K \rightarrow H^{q+3}(K; \mathbb{Z}_2)/Sq^2 H^{q+1}(K; \mathbb{Z})$, $q \geq 2$

$N^q K$ denotes the kernel of $Sq^2 : H^q(K; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{q+2}(K; \mathbb{Z}_2)$. These operations appear using the known relation:

$$Sq^2 Sq^2 \alpha + Sq^3 Sq^1 \alpha = 0$$

for any $\alpha \in H^*(K; \mathbb{Z})$. For this particular relation there exist cochain mappings $E_j : C^*(K \times K \times K \times K) \rightarrow C^{*-j} K$ such that mod 2

$$(c \smile_{q-2} c) \smile q (c \smile_{q-2} c) + (c \smile_{q-1} c) \smile_{q-2} (c \smile_{q-1} c) = \delta E_{3q-3} c^4,$$

where $c$ is a $q$–cochain with integer coefficients. Making use of the relation (1) we have that mod 2

$$(c \smile_{q-2} c) \smile q (c \smile_{q-2} c) = \delta(b \smile q \delta b + b \smile_{q-1} b)$$

$$(c \smile_{q-1} c) \smile_{q-2} (c \smile_{q-1} c) = \delta(\eta \smile_{q-2} \delta \eta + \eta \smile_{q-3} \eta)$$

where $b$ is a $(q + 1)$–cochain such that $c \smile_{q-2} c = \delta b$ and $\eta = \frac{1}{2}(c \smile q c + c)$. Therefore

$$w = \begin{cases} 
E_{3q-3} c^4 + b \smile_{q-1} b + b \smile q \delta b + \eta \smile_{q-2} \delta \eta + \eta \smile_{q-3} \eta, & q > 2 \\
E_3 c^4 + b \smile_{q-1} b + b \smile_{q-2} \delta b + \eta \smile \delta \eta, & q = 2
\end{cases}$$

is a mod 2 cocycle. If $c$ is a representative $q$–cocycle of a cohomology class $\alpha \in N^q K$ with integer coefficients then,

$$\Psi_q \alpha = [w] + Sq^2 H^{q+1} K.$$

Now, suppose $\mathbb{Z}_2$ is the ground ring and suppose we have computed the contraction $(f, g, \phi)$ from $C_\ast K$ to $H_\ast K$, $\ell = \text{contraction}[K]$. Then, the cochain $b$ is $\phi^*(c \smile_{q-2} c) = (c \smile_{q-2} c)\phi$. Observe that for computing $\Psi_q \alpha^*$, $\alpha^* \in H^\ast K$, we need to have a combinatorial expression of the morphism $E_{3q-3}$. A method
for obtaining “economical” combinatorial formulae for $E_{3q-3}$ is given in [Gon00].

For example,

$$
(\text{Eq}^4)\sigma = \mu(c\langle v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3 \rangle \otimes c\langle v_0, v_1, v_2 \rangle \otimes c\langle v_3, v_4, v_5 \rangle)
$$

where $c$ is a 2–cochain and $\sigma = \langle v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5 \rangle$ is a 5–simplex such that $v_0 < v_1 < v_2 < v_3 < v_4 < v_5$. Therefore, the steps for computing $\Psi_q$ are the following:

1. Take $\alpha^* \in N^qK$ making use of the diagonalization of the matrix of $S^qH^qK$.

2. Compute $c = \alpha^* f$.

3. Compute $b = (c \sim_q 2) \phi$, $\eta = \frac{1}{2}(c \sim_q c + c)$, $b \sim_q b$, $\eta \sim_q -\eta$, $\eta \sim_q -\eta \cdot \eta$ and $E_3q3c^2$.

2. Compute $wg$.

Let us explain with more detail the first step. In our implementation in Mathematica, the command $\text{hclass}[\ell, q]$ computes the list of all the cohomology classes of $K$ in dimension $q$. We compute $S^qH^qK$ for each $\alpha^* \in \text{hclass}[\ell, q]$ and we write the result as a vector $\text{sq2}[ \ell, \alpha^* ]$ of 0’s and 1’s such that

$$S^qH^qK = \text{sq2}[ \ell, \alpha^* ] \cdot \text{hclass}[\ell, q + 2] .$$

Then, we construct the matrix corresponding to $S^qH^qK$ with the command

$$\text{matrixSq2}[\ell, q] = \text{Table}[\text{sq2}[\ell, \text{hclass}[\ell, q]][[i]], \{i, 1, \text{Length[hclass}[\ell, q]]\}$$

After this, we compute

$$\text{NullSpace}[\text{matrixSq2}[\ell, q], \text{Modulus} \to 2]. \text{hclass}[\ell, q]$$

in order to obtain a base of $N^qK$.

An example of the computation of Adem secondary cohomology operation using our algorithm is the following. Let $K$ be a simplicial complex whose set of maximal simplices is

$$\{ 1, 3, 7, 3, 4, 7, 1, 4, 7, 1, 2, 8, 2, 3, 8, 1, 3, 8, 4, 5, 9, 4, 6, 9, 5, 6, 9, 3, 4, 10, 3, 6, 10, 4, 6, 10, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 11, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 11, 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 11, 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 11, 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 11, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 11 \}$$
We first compute the chain contraction to the homology:

\[
\{(1), (1), 0\}, \{(2), (1), (1, 2)\}, \{(3), (1), (1, 3)\}, \\
\{(4), (1), (1, 3) + (3, 4)\}, \{(5), (1), (1, 3) + (3, 4) + (4, 5)\}, \\
\{(6), (1), (1, 3) + (3, 4) + (4, 6)\}, \{(7), (1), (1, 7)\}, \{(8), (1), (1, 8)\}, \\
\{(9), (1), (1, 3) + (3, 4) + (4, 9)\}, \{(10), (1), (1, 3) + (3, 10)\}, \\
\{(11), (1), (1, 11)\}, \{(1, 4), 0, (1, 3, 7) + (1, 4, 7) + (3, 4, 7)\}, \\
\{(1, 5), 0, (1, 3, 7) + (1, 4, 5) + (1, 4, 7) + (3, 4, 7)\}, \\
\{(1, 6), 0, (1, 3, 6) + (3, 4, 10) + (3, 6, 10) + (4, 6, 10)\}, \\
\{(2, 3), 0, (1, 2, 8) + (1, 3, 8) + (2, 3, 8)\}, \\
\{(2, 4), 0, (1, 2, 4) + (1, 3, 7) + (1, 4, 7) + (3, 4, 7)\}, \\
\{(2, 5), 0, (1, 2, 5) + (1, 3, 7) + (1, 4, 5) + (1, 4, 7) + (3, 4, 7)\}, \\
\{(2, 6), 0, (1, 2, 6) + (1, 3, 6) + (3, 4, 10) + (3, 6, 10) + (4, 6, 10)\}, \\
\{(2, 8), 0, (1, 2, 8)\}, \{(2, 11), 0, (1, 2, 11)\}, \\
\{(3, 5), 0, (1, 3, 5) + (1, 3, 7) + (1, 4, 5) + (1, 4, 7) + (3, 4, 7)\}, \\
\{(3, 6), 0, (3, 4, 10) + (3, 6, 10) + (4, 6, 10)\}, \{(3, 7), 0, (1, 3, 7)\}, \\
\{(3, 8), 0, (1, 3, 8)\}, \{(3, 11), 0, (1, 3, 11)\}, \\
\{(4, 7), 0, (1, 3, 7) + (3, 4, 7)\}, \{(4, 10), 0, (3, 4, 10)\}, \\
\{(4, 11), 0, (1, 3, 7) + (1, 4, 7) + (1, 4, 11) + (3, 4, 7)\}, \\
\{(5, 6), 0, (4, 5, 9) + (4, 6, 9) + (5, 6, 9)\}, \{(5, 9), 0, (4, 5, 9)\}, \\
\{(5, 11), 0, (1, 3, 7) + (1, 4, 5) + (1, 4, 7) + (1, 5, 11) + (3, 4, 7)\}, \\
\{(6, 9), 0, (4, 6, 9)\}, \{(6, 10), 0, (3, 4, 10) + (4, 6, 10)\}, \\
\{(6, 11), 0, (1, 3, 6) + (1, 6, 11) + (3, 4, 10) + (3, 6, 10) + (4, 6, 10)\}, \\
\{(1, 2, 3), (1, 2, 3), 0\}, \{(1, 3, 4), (1, 3, 4), 0\}, \\
\{(1, 4, 6), (1, 4, 6), 0\}, \{(1, 5, 6), (1, 5, 6), 0\}, \\
\{(2, 3, 4), (1, 2, 3) + (1, 3, 4), (1, 2, 3, 4)\}, \{(2, 3, 5), (1, 2, 3), (1, 2, 3, 4)\}, \\
\{(2, 3, 6), (1, 2, 3), (1, 2, 3, 6)\}, \{(2, 3, 11), (1, 2, 3), (1, 2, 3, 11)\}, \\
\{(2, 4, 5), 0, (1, 2, 4, 5)\}, \{(2, 4, 6), (1, 2, 4, 6)\}, \\
\{(2, 4, 11), 0, (1, 2, 4, 11)\}, \{(2, 5, 6), (1, 5, 6), (1, 2, 5, 6)\}, \\
\{(2, 5, 11), 0, (1, 2, 5, 11)\}, \{(2, 6, 11), 0, (1, 2, 6, 11)\}, \\
\{(3, 4, 5), (1, 3, 4), (1, 3, 4, 5)\}, \{(3, 4, 6), (1, 3, 4) + (1, 4, 6), (1, 3, 4, 6)\}, \\
\{(3, 4, 11), (1, 3, 4), (1, 3, 4, 11)\}, \{(3, 5, 6), (1, 5, 6), (1, 3, 5, 6)\}, \\
\{(3, 5, 11), 0, (1, 3, 5, 11)\}, \{(3, 6, 11), 0, (1, 3, 6, 11)\}, \\
\{(4, 5, 6), (1, 4, 6) + (1, 5, 6), (1, 4, 5, 6)\}, \{(4, 5, 11), 0, (1, 4, 5, 11)\}, \\
\{(4, 6, 11), (1, 4, 6), (1, 4, 6, 11)\}, \{(5, 6, 11), (1, 5, 6), (1, 5, 6, 11)\}, \\
\{(2, 3, 4, 5), 0, (1, 2, 3, 4, 5)\}, \{(2, 3, 4, 6), 0, (1, 2, 3, 4, 6)\}, \}
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We now compute the cochains of the 3rd step of the algorithm for computing $\alpha$

Notice that if a simplex of $K$ doesn’t appear in this list, it is because its image under $f$ and $\phi$ is null. The representative cycle of every homology class is:

$$g\langle 1 \rangle = \langle 1 \rangle$$

$$g\langle 1, 2, 3 \rangle = \langle 1, 2, 3 \rangle + \langle 1, 2, 8 \rangle + \langle 1, 3, 8 \rangle + \langle 2, 3, 8 \rangle$$

$$g\langle 1, 3, 4 \rangle = \langle 1, 3, 4 \rangle + \langle 1, 3, 7 \rangle + \langle 1, 4, 7 \rangle + \langle 3, 4, 7 \rangle$$

$$g\langle 1, 4, 6 \rangle = \langle 1, 3, 4 \rangle + \langle 1, 3, 6 \rangle + \langle 1, 4, 6 \rangle + \langle 3, 4, 10 \rangle + \langle 3, 6, 10 \rangle + \langle 4, 6, 10 \rangle$$

$$g\langle 1, 5, 6 \rangle = \langle 1, 4, 5 \rangle + \langle 1, 4, 6 \rangle + \langle 1, 5, 6 \rangle + \langle 4, 5, 9 \rangle + \langle 4, 6, 9 \rangle + \langle 5, 6, 9 \rangle$$

$$g\langle 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 11 \rangle = \langle 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 \rangle + \langle 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 11 \rangle + \langle 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 11 \rangle + \langle 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 11 \rangle + \langle 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 11 \rangle + \langle 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 11 \rangle + \langle 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 11 \rangle.$$ 

A base of the kernel of $Sq^2H^2K$ is:

$$\{(1, 2, 3)^*, (1, 3, 4)^*, (1, 4, 6)^*, (1, 5, 6)^*\}.$$ 

Now, given an element $\alpha$ of this kernel, we first have to compute $c = g^*\alpha$. Let us study a concrete example with all the details. Let us take $\alpha = \langle 1, 2, 3 \rangle^* + \langle 1, 5, 6 \rangle^*$. Then

$$c = g^*\alpha = \alpha f = \langle 1, 2, 3 \rangle^* + \langle 1, 5, 6 \rangle^* + \langle 2, 3, 4 \rangle^* + \langle 2, 3, 5 \rangle^* + \langle 2, 3, 6 \rangle^* + \langle 2, 3, 11 \rangle^* + \langle 2, 5, 6 \rangle^* + \langle 3, 5, 6 \rangle^* + \langle 4, 5, 6 \rangle^* + \langle 5, 6, 11 \rangle^*.$$ 

We now compute the cochains of the 3rd step of the algorithm for computing $\Psi_2$.

$$\delta b = c \overset{\delta}{\sim} c = \langle 1, 2, 3, 5, 6 \rangle^* + \langle 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 \rangle^* + \langle 2, 3, 5, 6, 11 \rangle^*$$

$$b = (c \overset{\delta}{\sim} c) \phi = \langle 2, 3, 5, 6 \rangle^*.$$ 

Then, we have that $b \overset{\delta}{\sim} b = 0$ and $b \overset{\delta}{\sim} \delta b = 0$. On the other hand, $\delta \eta = c \overset{\delta_1}{\sim} c = 0$ therefore $\gamma \overset{\delta_2}{\sim} \delta \eta = 0$. We thus get,

$$w = f^*(E_3c^4) = (E_3c^4)g = \langle 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 \rangle^*g = \langle 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 11 \rangle^*.$$
Therefore, \( \Psi_2((1, 2, 3)^* + (1, 5, 6)^*) = (2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 11)^* \). Finally, observe that since there are no classes of cohomology of dimension 3, then \( (2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 11)^* \notin \text{Im } Sq^2 H^3 K \).

6 Some Comments

All these results can be given in a more general framework working not necessarily with finite simplicial complexes. Nevertheless, a contraction from the (co)chain complex associated to the simplicial complex to its (co)homology must exist in order to develop the method.

In this paper, the ground ring is \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) for simplicity, but the same process can be done working with any field as the ground ring. For example, let \( \mathbb{Z}_p \) (\( p \) being a prime) be the group of coefficients. From the combinatorial formulae for the reduced \( p \)th powers \( P_i \) [Ste47, SE62] at cochain level in terms of face operators established in [GR99, Gon00] and the algorithm for computing the chain contraction \( (f, g, \phi) \) from \( C_*(K; \mathbb{Z}_p) \) to \( H_*(K; \mathbb{Z}_p) \), Steenrod cohomology operations can effectively be computed. Let \( \alpha^* \in H^q(K; \mathbb{Z}_p) \), for calculating the cohomology class \( P_i(\alpha^*) \) with \( \alpha^* \in H^q(K; \mathbb{Z}_p) \), we only have to compute \( P_i(\alpha f)g \).

Finally, in order to obtain the image of any cohomology operation at cochain level over a representative cocycle using our formulae, we have to compute them on a base of \( C_*(K) \) in the desired dimension. A way of decreasing the complexity of this is to do a “topological” thinning of the simplicial complex \( K \) in order to obtain a thinned simplicial subcomplex \( M_{\text{top}} K \) of \( K \) (such that there exists a chain contraction from \( C_*(K) \) to \( C_*(M_{\text{top}} K) \)). Two examples of thinning in this way are edge contractions (example (a)) and simplicial collapses (example (b)). Therefore, we can apply our machinery to compute cohomology operations in the thinned simplicial complex \( M_{\text{top}} K \) and then, the results can be easily interpreted in the “big” simplicial complex \( K \) via composition of contractions.
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