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Abstract

The purpose of this study was to assess the possibility of screening and supervising influenza A (H7N9) virus-infected patients via rapid point-of-care-test kit with upper or lower respiratory specimens. Here, we demonstrate that the POCT kits can detect H7N9 virus (Guangzhou strain) in different type of samples, which obtained from upper and lower respiratory tract, via the simulated experiment. The detection limit ranged from 4 to 6 log10 TCID50/mL and 2.78 × 107 to 1.05 × 108 copies/mL in PBS and nasopharyngeal swab solution (NSS) solutions respectively. Furthermore, the detection ranges were enhanced by 0.5 or 1 log10 TCID50/mL in BALF or sputum solution (SS) diluents for some parts of the POCT kits. Furthermore, we observed that there were higher replication and longer screening of H7N9 virus in lower respiratory tract (LRT) samples, such as endotracheal aspirate (ETA) and sputum, from the H7N9 virus-infected patients, although the detection results of POCT kits were negative for these clinical samples. So that, we think that POCT kits can be used to screen and supervise H7N9 virus-infected patients if in the accurate timing. And the LRT samples, special ETA and sputum, seem a good sample option for detecting H7N9 virus via POCT kits, so we need pay more attention to the LRT samples when the new POCT kits were developed.
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Introduction

A new influenza virus, which is of avian origin and called avian influenza A (H7N9) virus, emerged around the Yangtze River delta in March 2013 in China, and caused serious human disease and death [1,2]. Then the infection spread to South China and the second wave of the epidemic occurred in Guangdong province of South China in the winter of 2013 [3]. Additionally, data from China’s National Surveillance System for Pneumonia of Unknown Etiology showed that infection with H7N9 could also result in mild or asymptomatic cases [2,4] in humans. The reality is hypothesized to be much worse because of a number of unrecognized infections [2]. Therefore, a quick and accurate diagnosis is essential, especially for mild or asymptomatic cases.

Point-of-care test (POCT) kits are based on antibodies that specifically bind to the influenza virus nucleoprotein, and they can provide results within 15-30 min. Thus, they are used on an outpatient basis to screen and supervise for influenza virus infection. As reported previously, commercially available POCT kits could be used to detect the H7N9 virus [5-7]. Therefore, we hypothesise that POCT kits can be sued to screen and supervise H7N9 patients as seasonal influenza using the upper or lower respiratory specimens.

Six commercially available POCT kits were used in this study, which are commonly used in China and other countries and all kits within the effective using. Including Vondfo(A+B) and Vondfo(H7) (Vondfo, Biotech Company, China), QuickNavi (Denka Seiken, Japan), ImunoAce (TAUNS, Japan), BD Directigen (Becton, Dickinson and company, Sparks, USA) and Alere BinaxNOW (Alere, USA) and Alere BinaxNOW (Alere, USA) and Alere BinaxNOW (Alere, USA) and Alere BinaxNOW (Alere, USA). The using virus strains, influenza A (H7N9) virus A/Guangzhou/8/2013 (Guangdong province), which was provided by the Chinese National Influenza Center, and the influenza A (H1N1) pdm09 virus A/Guangzhou/GIRD02/09 [8] (which was stored in the State Key Laboratory of Respiratory Diseases, Guangzhou, China). Additionally, for assessing whether the POCT kits can test the H7N9 virus in different upper and lower respiratory specimens or not, the nasopharyngeal swab, sputum and bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF) were obtained from healthy person and confirmed to be free of the influenza virus, with which to make the different solutions: BALF (mainly 0.9% saline), sputum solution (SS), which contains 0.3% DL-dithiothreitol (DTT), and nasopharyngeal swab solution (NSS), which mainly contains minimum essential medium (MEM) to make the basal simulated experiment. Viruses were standardized to 1 × 107 TCID50/mL and serial half-log10 dilutions were made in PBS, BALF SS, and NSS solution. Furthermore, the realistic respiratory samples of H7N9 virus-infected patients were collected between August 2013 and January 2015, throughout the period of hospitalization in the First Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou Medical University in Guangdong province within the second wave of epidemic. The samples from different respiratory tract at different point-in-time included upper respiratory specimen (URS), such as nasopharyngeal swab (NS), throat swab (TS), and nasopharyngeal secretion (NPS); lower respiratory specimen (LRS), such as endotracheal aspirate

*Corresponding authors: Zifeng Yang, State Key Laboratory of Respiratory Diseases, Guangzhou Institute of Respiratory Disease, National Clinical Centre of Respiratory Disease, The First Affiliated Hospital, Guangzhou Medical University, Guangzhou, China, Tel: + 853 8897 2326; E-mail: jefiyah@163.com

Jicheng Huang, Guangdong Entry-exit Inspection and Quarantine Bureau Technology Center, Guangzhou, China, E-mail: huangjic@yahoo.cn

Received September 14, 2016; Accepted October 03, 2016; Published October 07, 2016

Citation: Li Z, Li L, Wu S, Li X, Li R, et al. (2016) Assess the Possibility of Screening and Supervising Influenza A (H7N9) Virus-Infected Patients via Rapid Point-of-Care-Test Kit with Upper or Lower Respiratory Specimens. J Pulm Respir Med 6: 371. doi: 10.4172/2161-105X.1000371

Copyright: © 2016 Li Z, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.
(ETA), sputum and bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF). NS, TS and NPS mainly contain MEM, sputum and ETA were handled by DTT, and BALF was mainly 0.9% saline. The ethical approval of the study protocol was granted from the Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou Medical University [8]. The virus detection was according to the manufacturer’s instructions with POCT kits [9] and real-time PCR kit (Liferiver, Shanghai, China, RR-0309-02) [10]. The experiment was performed in the Guangdong Entry-exit Inspection and Quarantine Bureau Technology Center (BSL-3 lab).

Our results showed that in the basal simulated experiment, based on log_{10} TCID₅₀/mL, NSS had the same detection limit as PBS. However, in BALF, the detection limits of the QuickNav, ImunoAce, and Alere BinaxNOW kits were enhanced by 0.5 log_{10} TCID₅₀/mL, and the detection limits of the Wondfo (A+B), Wondfo (H7), and BD Directigen kits were unchanged. Additionally, in SS, the detection limits of the Wondfo (A+B), ImunoAce, and BD Directigen kits were increased by 0.5 or 1 log_{10} TCID₅₀/mL, while the detection limits of the Wondfo (A+B), QuickNav, and Alere BinaxNOW kits were unchanged. Similar results were obtained based on the number of RNA copies/mL. Although the detection limits were higher than in the H7N9 virus A(H1N1)pdm09 virus (Table 1), yet the simulated experiment showed that all POCT kits using in this study can test the H7N9 virus in the PBS, nasopharyngeal swab, sputum and BALF solution. Furthermore, we used the realistic clinical respiratory specimens (URS and LRS) to test it. The results showed the H7N9 virus of URS and LRS respiratory specimens can be tested positive by RT-PCR methods (Table 2), and the virus copies was higher in the specimens form LRS than those from URS. However, the RNA copies level of these respiratory specimens were lower than the detected limited of these six POCT kits. What’s more, the detection results of POCT kits were negative for these clinical samples (Table 2).

On one hand, our results and the other research showed that the POCT kits can test H7N9 virus (Table 1) [6,7]. However, the clinical specimens obtained from the H7N9 patients in the second wave of the epidemic in Guangdong province was tested negative via POCT kits in our study (Table 2). It may be due to the clinical specimens were obtained too late after ill onset (Table 2). A statistical analysis found that specimens obtained within 2-4 days after the onset of illness were the most suitable for virus detection by POCT kits [11,12]. Additionally, early specimens (isolated <12 h after the onset of illness) and late specimens (isolated >5 days after the onset of illness) showed more false-negative results when the specimens were analyzed by POCT kits [10]. On the other hand, we found that the POCT kits using in our study can test the H7N9 virus in different specimen solutions, including nasopharyngeal swab, sputum and BALF specimen solutions in the basal simulated experiment (Table 1), although the detection limit was increased for some POCT kits. Additionally, the other research also

**Table 1**: Limit of detection of the POCT kits for the different virus diluents in the basal simulated experiment.

| Designation | Limit of detection (log_{10} TCID₅₀/mL) | RNA copies/mL[^a] |
|-------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|
| human-infect avian influenza A (H7N9) A/Guangzhou/8/2013 | | |
| PBS | 4.599 (9.99 × 10[^a]) | 5.167 (10[^a]) |
| BALF | 4.599 (9.99 × 10[^a]) | 5.167 (10[^a]) |
| SS | 5.167 (10[^a]) | 5.167 (10[^a]) |
| NSS | 5.167 (10[^a]) | 5.167 (10[^a]) |
| Influenza A (H1N1) pdm09 | | |
| PBS | 2.566 (8 × 10[^a]) | 2.260 (10[^a]) |
| BALF | 2.566 (8 × 10[^a]) | 2.260 (10[^a]) |
| SS | 2.260 (10[^a]) | 2.260 (10[^a]) |
| NSS | 2.260 (10[^a]) | 2.260 (10[^a]) |

[^a]: RNA copies/mL was tested with real-time RT-PCR with standard thermocycling conditions for the H7 gene with real-time PCR kit (Liferiver, Shanghai, China, RR-0309-02), and the H7 gene sequence reference to Guangdong Provincial Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

**Table 2**: Assess the possibility of testing H7N9 virus in upper or lower respiratory specimens of H7N9-infected patients via rapid point-of-care-test kit.

| Patient | Sample type[^b] | Numbers | Day after illness onset | RT-PCR (RNA copies/mL[^c]) | Six POCT kits[^d] |
|---------|-----------------|---------|------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
| 1 | TS | 7 | 10-19 | 3.57 × 10[^d] | 4.0 × 10[^d] | Negative |
| | ETA | 4 | 11-16 | 5.4 × 10[^d] | 6.3 × 10[^d] | Negative |
| | BALF | 5 | 8-15 | 6.3 × 10[^d] | 8.0 × 10[^d] | Negative |
| | NPS | 2 | 14-15 | 8.0 × 10[^d] | 4.0 × 10[^d] | Negative |
| | ETA | 5 | 16-29 | 1.0 × 10[^d] | 2.6 × 10[^d] | Negative |
| | BALF | 4 | 14-17 | 1.45 × 10[^d] | 8.3 × 10[^d] | Negative |
| | TS | 1 | 11 | 1.52 × 10[^d] | Negative |
| | ETA | 9 | 11-19 | 4.28 × 10[^d] | Negative |
| | BALF | 7 | 6-18 | 2.58 × 10[^d] | Negative |
| | TS | 7 | 8-17 | 9.53 × 10[^d] | Negative |
| | ETA | 12 | 7-18 | 1.2 × 10[^d] | Negative |

[^b]: NS: Nasopharyngeal Swab; TS: Throat Swab; NPS: Nasopharyngeal Secretion; ETA: Endotracheal Aspirate; BALF: Sputum And Bronchoalveolar Lavage Fluid; RT-PCR (RNA copies/mL[^c]): RT-PCR with standard thermocycling conditions for the H7 gene with real-time PCR kit (Liferiver, Shanghai, China, RR-0309-02); and the H7 gene sequence reference to Guangdong Provincial Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC); Six POCT kits[^d]: Vondfo(A+B) and Vondfo(H7) (Vondfo, Biotech Company, China), QuickNav (Denka Seiken, Japan), ImunoAce (TAUNS, Japan), BD Directigen (Becton, Dickinson and company, Sparks, USA) and Alere BinaxNOW (Alere, United States).
showed that the POCT kits can test H7N9 virus in the clinical samples, particularly ETA, positive [13]. What’s more, in our results and the previous research, we also found that the H7N9 virus titer was high and replication last longer in LRT samples, such as sputum and BALF (Table 2) [14]. So that, we think that POCT kits can be used to screen and supervise H7N9 virus-infected patients if in the accurate timing. And the LRT samples, special ETA and sputum, seem a good sample option for detecting H7N9 virus via POCT kits, so we need pay more attention to the LRT samples when the new POCT kits were developed.
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