Effect of Isopropyl Alcohol Concentration and Etching Time on Wet Chemical Anisotropic Etching of Low-Resistivity Crystalline Silicon Wafer
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A micropyramid structure was formed on the surface of a monocrystalline silicon wafer (100) using a wet chemical anisotropic etching technique. The main objective was to evaluate the performance of the etchant based on the silicon surface reflectance. Different isopropyl alcohol (IPA) volume concentrations (2, 4, 6, 8, and 10%) and different etching times (10, 20, 30, 40, and 50 min) were selected to study the total reflectance of silicon wafers. The other parameters such as NaOH concentration (12% wt.), the temperature of the solution (81.5°C), and range of stirrer speeds (400 rpm) were kept constant for all processes. The surface morphology of the wafer was analyzed by optical microscopy and atomic force microscopy (AFM). The AFM images confirmed a well-uniform pyramidal structure with various average pyramid sizes ranging from 1 to 1.6 μm. An UV-Vis spectrophotometer with integrating sphere was used to obtain the total reflectivity. The textured silicon wafers show high absorbance in the visible region. The optimum texture-etching parameters were found to be 4–6% vol. IPA and 40 min at which the average total reflectance of the silicon wafer was reduced to 11.22%.

1. Introduction

Silicon solar cells dominate the current photovoltaic market [1] due to their advantages, including low cost, easy fabrication, and environmental friendliness [2]. Planar Si surfaces have a high natural reflectivity with a strong spectral dependence [3]. In order to reduce this high reflectivity and to trap the light in the solar cell, different surface texturing techniques have been developed over the last years [4–8] like plasma etching [9, 10], mechanical engraving [10], chemical anisotropic etching [11], laser texturing [12, 13], and reactive ion etching [14, 15]. However, the wet chemical anisotropic etching in alkaline solutions is the most common process for industrial solar cell texturing [15] because it is actually a good compromise between cost and efficiency [10]. These solutions rely on the difference in etch rate between (100) and (111) oriented planes (Figure 1) and result in random, upright micrometer-scale pyramids on a (100) oriented surface. Each pyramid forces the reflected ray to be incident on an adjacent pyramid and thus to undergo another reflection into the wafer. Hence, light collection increases due to multiple internal reflections. Alkaline solutions used in anisotropic etching can be either an organic or an inorganic compound. Among all alkaline solutions, the two inorganic KOH and NaOH solutions and the organic TMAH (tetramethylammonium hydroxide) solution are the most frequently used [6]. Silicon reacts with NaOH in deionized water (DI-W) as in the following total reaction equation [7]:

\[
\text{Si}^{(s)} + 2\text{NaOH}^{(aq)} + \text{H}_2\text{O}^{(l)} \rightarrow \text{Na}_2\text{SiO}_3^{(aq)} + 2\text{H}_2^{(g)} \uparrow (1)
\]

The alkaline solution etches (111) planes with a very low etching rate compared with other planes, especially (100) planes (the etching rate ratio for (100) to (111) planes is 10–35). This strong dependence of the etching rate on crystal orientation leads to the formation of 4-sided pyramidal structures that have a (100) base plane and (111) faces [16].

One problem in the texturing process is the generation of H₂ bubbles that attach to the wafer’s surface causing the
formation of big pyramids and low uniformed surface texture (Figure 2). Many studies reported that adding isopropyl alcohol (IPA) increases the wettability of the silicon surface [6] and then removes the adhering hydrogen bubbles sticking on the surface, leading to an increase in the uniformity of the random pyramids. The other effect of adding IPA is that it strongly decreases the etching rate of the silicon wafer [8, 17]. Additionally, few studies focused on the amount of IPA ensuring the improvement of the surface morphology in the etching process.

However, the topography of the Si surface also depends on a number of parameters including the concentration of the etching solution [18], the solution temperature [18], the texturing time, and the presence of a surfactant or catalyst [6]. Mechanical agitation is reported to have a significant effect on the quality of the etching process and on the etching rate [19]. This is because stirring the solution enhances the uniformity of the random pyramid texture as it drifts the reaction products away from the surface. Moreover, the etching rate depends on the origin of the c-Si wafer (Cz, Fz, etc.) [8], the wafer quality (defects, etc.) [8], the crystal orientation [18], and the doping concentration [20].

For laboratorial and industrial c-Si solar cells, a silicon base with a resistivity of ∼1–3 Ω·cm is commonly used, which has been empirically found to provide a good balance between solar cell parameters. Therefore, a major number of literatures study the texturing process using Si wafers that have a resistivity of ∼1Ω·cm. However, decreasing base resistivity provides a way to increase $V_{oc}$ and, accordingly, potentially the cell efficiency as well [21]. Brody et al. (2001) described the relation between the base resistivity of silicon solar cells and the cell efficiency, and they concluded that the optimal base resistivity should be lower or even much lower than the commonly used wafers.

In this paper, a texturing process on low-resistivity silicon wafers (∼0.1Ω·cm) in NaOH solution with the addition of IPA has been studied. The experiments were carried out with different IPA concentrations at 81.5°C (near the boiling point of IPA, 82°C) for different etching times, and the range of stirrer speeds (400 rpm) was involved. Detailed analyses of the surface phenomena, etching rates, surface morphology, and surface total reflectance have been carried out.

2. Experimental Materials and Methods

The p-type monocrystalline Si ⟨100⟩ wafer with a thickness of 500 μm and a resistivity of about 0.1 Ω·cm was used in this work. The silicon wafer was first cut to about 1 cm$^2$ samples.
The cleaning process was done in two steps: the first step was to remove contaminants from the silicon samples. In this step, the samples were cleaned in deionized water (DI-W) for 5 min followed by absolute ethanol for another 5 min under ultrasonic treatment at room temperature. The second step was to remove any native oxide. This step was carried out in ~10% HF for 1 min. After a thorough wash in flowing DI-W, the samples were etched in the solutions. The experiments were carried out in a glass flux dipped in an oil bath to achieve indirect heating of the solution (Figure 3).

In addition, a water reflux condenser was put on the flux to recondense the vapor of chemicals (mainly that of the IPA) in order to keep the concentrations of the compounds constant. A thermometer with an accuracy of 0.1°C was inserted through the condenser to monitor the solution temperature. The alkaline compound used in this study was sodium hydroxide (NaOH) with concentration of 12% wt. dissolved in DI-W with a resistance of 18 MΩ. IPA was added to the solution with different volume concentrations (2, 4, 6, 8, and 10%) and for several etching times: 10, 20, 30, 40, and 50 min. In order to study the stability of the texturing process, the changes in the average etching rate with etching time and concentration of IPA were analyzed as shown in Figure 5.

It appears that $R_{etch}$ decreases with increasing $t_{etch}$. After 40 min, no further reduction of $R_{etch}$ is observed in all solutions and this may be attributed to the strong dependence of the etching rate on the crystal orientation. At the beginning, solutions etch the Si wafer surface, that is, $⟨100⟩$ orientation, with the highest etching rate. With time, $⟨111⟩$ facets, which are etched with the lowest etching rate, are formed and all other orientations disappear. Figure 6 shows $R_{etch}$ as a function of $C_{IPA}$ at $t_{etch} = 40$ min.

According to distinct features of $R_{etch}$ versus $C_{IPA}$, three different ranges can be defined. In the first range for $C_{IPA}$ below 4%, $R_{etch}$ decreases with increasing $C_{IPA}$, and this behavior is due to the effect of IPA on the etching rate in alkaline solutions [5, 7].

In the second range for $4\% < C_{IPA} < 6\%$, the average etching rate almost remains constant and goes through a minimum value, and this indicates that maximum anisotropic etching takes place in this range. In the third range for $C_{IPA}$
higher than 6%, $R_{\text{etch}}$ increases with increasing $C_{\text{IPA}}$. This behavior is typical in etching Si wafer and can be attributed to the isotropic etching that starts to occur leading to a higher etching rate [7].

3.2. Optical Studies. The total reflectance was used as a first check to identify the appropriate process parameters. It is worth noting that the specular reflectance is not a reliable parameter to check the effectiveness of the etching process. A low efficiency etching process can strongly decrease the specular reflection but increase the diffuse reflection, leaving the total reflectance almost unchanged. The total reflectance $R\%$ was recorded over the wavelength range 200–800 nm using integrating sphere. The changes in the average total reflectance $R_{\text{ave}}\%$ (for the range 400 nm and above, see inset Figure 8) with $t_{\text{etch}}$ and $C_{\text{IPA}}$ were analyzed as shown in Figure 7. As we can see, $R_{\text{ave}}\%$ decreases with increasing $t_{\text{etch}}$. After 40 min, no further noticeable reduction of $R_{\text{ave}}\%$ is observed in all samples. The total reflectance spectra (200–800 nm) of the samples for different $C_{\text{IPA}}$ at $t_{\text{etch}} = 40$ min and for different $t_{\text{etch}}$ at $C_{\text{IPA}} = 4$% were plotted in Figures 8 and 9, respectively. In general, we notice that the shoulder peak was obtained at 275 and 365 nm which are the peaks of silicon wafer. It was recorded in the wavelength range over 365 nm, the lowest average reflectance was 11.22%, and it was obtained for $C_{\text{IPA}} = 4$% vol. The reflectance values in the visible range were less than 17% and reached 9.1% at 800 nm. The average reflectance values are also summarized in Table 1.
Figure 8: Total reflectance spectrum of textured samples for different IPA concentrations at $t_{\text{etch}} = 40$ min. The inset figure is a plot of $R_{\text{ave}}$ % versus $C_{\text{IPA}}$ for the range 365 nm and above.

Figure 9: Total reflectance spectrum of textured samples for different etching times at $C_{\text{IPA}} = 4$% vol. The inset figure presents the AFM images for Si wafers’ surface.

Table 1: Average total reflectance for different IPA concentrations.

| $C_{\text{IPA}}$ (% vol.) | $R_{\text{ave}}$ % (400–800 nm) |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 2                        | 14.40                           |
| 4                        | 11.22                           |
| 6                        | 12.34                           |
| 8                        | 17.45                           |
| 10                       | 18.25                           |

3.3. Morphological Studies. The density, the uniformity, and the size of the pyramids are important parameters in texturing silicon for solar cell production. The morphology of the wafer surface was analyzed using both an optical microscope and AFM. Figure 10 shows the optical microscope images of the samples’ surface under 600x magnification for different $C_{\text{IPA}}$ at $t_{\text{etch}} = 40$ min.

For the sample etched with high IPA concentration (10%, Figure 10(e)), the surface is covered with small pyramids, the uniformity has been improved, and the specular reflectivity dominates. At low IPA concentrations (2%, Figure 10(a)), some pyramids with large size ($\sim 10 \mu m$) are formed, high size distribution is obtained (Figure 13(b)), the surface is not fully covered with pyramids, and the diffused reflectivity dominates.

The surface detailed topology of samples was examined using atomic force microscopy (AFM, Nanosurf easyScan2, Switzerland), tapping mode, and Tip Material-Si$_3$N$_4$ (silicon...
nitride). AFM images of the samples’ surface for different $C_{\text{IPA}}$ are shown in Figure 11. The impact of $C_{\text{IPA}}$ on pyramid size, size, and height distribution (see Figures 12 and 13) is clearly highlighted in these images. The mean pyramid size ($S_m$), root mean square (RMS) roughness, mean height ($h_m$), and coverage ratio are summarized in Table 2.

As we show, for $C_{\text{IPA}} = 2\%$, a low coverage ratio, high mean size, high mean pyramid height, and high surface roughness was obtained, whereas by increasing IPA concentration the coverage ratio increases and the mean pyramid

**Table 2: Texture parameters of Si wafers surface at different $C_{\text{IPA}}$.**

| $C_{\text{IPA}}$ (% vol.) | $S_m$ ($\mu m$) | RMS ($\mu m$) | $h_m$ ($\mu m$) | Coverage ratio (%) |
|--------------------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|------------------|
| 2                        | 1.57           | 0.37         | 0.48           | 85.1             |
| 4                        | 1.32           | 0.30         | 0.42           | 94.7             |
| 6                        | 1.29           | 0.29         | 0.39           | 95.3             |
| 8                        | 1.27           | 0.22         | 0.33           | 93.8             |
| 10                       | 0.98           | 0.18         | 0.28           | 93.6             |
size, mean height, and surface roughness decrease. According to Figures 12 and 13, the distributions of pyramid size and height were measured for low and high concentrations. A narrow distribution of pyramid size and height was observed at a lower concentration of 2%, while a broader distribution in the pyramid size and height was obtained at higher concentrations of 10%.

According to the morphological and reflectance results, we can safely conclude that the optimal pyramid coverage of the wafer surface, optimum pyramid size (≈1.3 µm), and total reflectivity (11.22%) are achieved around 4% IPA concentrations, and this result is in good agreement with the literatures [22].

4. Conclusion

The influence of IPA concentration and the etching time on the pyramidal surface structures was realized on etched mc-Si samples in alkaline solutions. Both $C_{IPA}$ and $t_{etch}$ were optimized based on the reflectance measurements. The optimization of the process variables yields the condition $C_{IPA} = 4–6\%$ vol. and $t_{etch} = 40 \text{ min}$. The obtained surface was covered uniformly with ≈1.3 µm size pyramid structure and it has an average total reflectance of less than 11.22% on the visible range. These conditions have an optimal light trapping effect and are suitable for archiving the highest efficiency of solar cells compared to that with other etching conditions.
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