Reproductive outcomes in women with unicornuate uterus undergoing in vitro fertilization: a nested case-control retrospective study

Yanrong Chen¹,²,³†, Victoria Nisenblat¹,³†, Puyu Yang¹,²,³, Xinyu Zhang¹,²,³ and Caihong Ma¹,²,³,⁴* 

Abstract

Background: Unicornuate uterus, a congenital uterine malformation resulting from unilateral maldevelopment of Mullerian duct, is more prevalent in women with infertility. Owing to relative rarity of the condition, the evidence on the associated reproductive outcomes is derived from small heterogeneous studies that report different clinical endpoints and often do not account for the anatomical variations of unicornuate uterus. The aim of this study was to evaluate the embryological and clinical outcomes following IVF-ICSI treatment in women with unicornuate uterus without rudimentary functional cavity (ESHRE-ESGE class IVb).

Methods: Retrospective nested case-control study comprised 342 women with unicornuate uterus and 1026 matched controls who underwent IVF-ICSI cycles between October 2012 and October 2016. Cumulative live birth rate upon one complete IVF cycle, including transfers of all resulting embryos was considered as a primary outcome measure.

Results: Baseline characteristics were comparable between the unicornuate uterus and control groups except for higher rate of primary infertility in unicornuate uterus. Ovarian response to stimulation did not differ between the groups. Transfer of day-3 embryos in fresh cycle resulted in lower clinical pregnancy rate (35.9% vs. 43.9%, p = 0.028) and live-birth rate (26.9% vs. 35.2%, p = 0.0017) per transfer, but the difference was not observed when either cleavage frozen-thaw embryos or blastocysts were transferred. Implantation rate was lower and miscarriage rate was higher in women with unicornuate uterus but the difference between the groups did not reach statistical significance. Transfer of cleavage embryos resulted in significantly higher miscarriage rate and lower live-birth rate in fresh versus frozen-thaw cycles in each group, whereas fresh and frozen-thaw blastocyst embryos had comparable outcomes. Upon completion of one IVF-ICSI cycle, the cumulative pregnancy rate (53.1% vs. 65.7, p < 0.001) and cumulative live birth rate (42.4% vs. 54.6%, p < 0.001) were significantly lower in women with unicornuate uterus compared to those in women with normal uterus. Cumulative outcomes were superior when embryos were cultured to blastocyst stage.

Conclusions: Women with unicornuate uterus have lower clinical pregnancy and live birth rate after IVF-ICSI treatment compared to women with normal uterus. The treatment outcomes are improved with blastocyst culture, which warrants evaluation in prospective setting.
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Background
Congenital uterine defects represent a wide range of abnormalities of uterine anatomy that result from elongation, fusion and absorption disorders of the bilateral Mullerian ducts during embryogenesis between 6 and 20 weeks of gestation [1]. The prevalence of uterine malformations is estimated at 5.5% in general population and at approximately 8% in women with infertility [2]. Unicornuate uterus is caused by maldevelopment of one Mullerian duct and is relatively uncommon, representing 2.5–13.2% of all uterine malformations [2]. It occurs in 0.1% of unselected population and is more prevalent in women with infertility (0.5%), miscarriage (0.5%) or both (3.1%) [2]. The European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology–European Society for Gynecological Endoscopy (ESHRE–ESGE) recognizes two types of unicornuate uterus: 1) hemi-uterus with a rudimentary functional contralateral cavity, communicating or non-communicating, due to a partial Mullerian duct development (class IVa) and 2) isolated hemi-uterus caused by a unilateral agenesis of Mullerian duct (class IVb) [3, 4]. Ovarian development usually is not compromised, although ovary on the affected side may be misplaced higher in the abdomen or even absent in rare cases [5]. Coincidental renal anomalies are common and there is an increased risk of developing endometriosis or chronic pain due to hematometra in women with rudimentary horn [5, 6].

It has been widely recognized that unicornuate uterus is associated with increased risk of miscarriage, ectopic pregnancy in rudimentary horn and adverse obstetrics outcomes [5–7]. The relationship between unicornuate uterus and infertility is less clear. A retrospective observational study in 3181 women reported that 23.7% of women with unicornuate uterus were diagnosed with subfertility [8]. A meta-analysis of 25 studies on women with different Mullerian anomalies revealed that a probability of spontaneous conception was not different in women with unicornuate uterus compared with the controls, although these conclusions were based on two small retrospective studies [7]. Several investigators demonstrated poorer outcomes of assisted reproductive technology (ART) treatments in women with unicornuate uterus compared to those with normal anatomy [9–13]. Most of these studies, however, included low number of patients, focused on varying treatment endpoints and presented reproductive outcomes in different ways, all of which challenge the evaluation and synthesis of the reported data. Moreover, none of these studies stratified the outcomes by the type of unicornuate uterus, which may influence reproductive outcomes owing to variations in uterine vascularity, degree of uterine muscle development and presence of other concurrent pelvic pathology [14].

The aim of this study was to evaluate the embryological and clinical outcomes in women with unicornuate uterus without rudimentary horn (ESHRE–ESGE class IVb) following one complete ART cycle including the transfer of all the resulting fresh and frozen-thaw embryos, with a focus on the cumulative birth rate as a primary outcome measure.

Methods
Study design and participants
Retrospective nested case control study was conducted at the Reproductive Centre of the Peking University Third Hospital, a tertiary university hospital and a center of excellence in Reproductive Medicine in China. We assessed the medical records of all women who underwent in vitro fertilization (IVF) or intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) cycles between October 1st, 2012 and October 31st, 2016. Patients were identified through the hospital electronic database.

In addition to the routine pre-treatment work-up, which included history, gynecological examination, baseline reproductive hormones, thyroid function, tubal patency test, pelvic ultrasound and male partner semen analysis, women with any suspected uterine pathology underwent hysteroscopy with or without laparoscopy to confirm the diagnosis and karyotype as deemed appropriate within the clinical context. The inclusion criteria in the study group (unicornuate uterus group) were as follows: 1) unicornuate uterus class IVb (isolated hemi-uterus without functional rudimentary cavity) diagnosed by 3D transvaginal sonography (3D-TVS) and by hysteroscopy, with or without laparoscopy and MRI (Magnetic Resonance Imaging); 2) first IVF/ICSI cycle in our center. The exclusion criteria were: 1) other uterine malformations (septum, unicornuate uterus class IVa, bicornuate uterus); 2) endometrial lesions (polyps, endometrial hyperplasia, intrauterine adhesions); 3) uterine fibroids distorting uterine cavity diagnosed by TVS or hysteroscopy; 4) sonographic features of adenomyosis; 5) chromosomal abnormality of male or female partner; 6) patients who undertook a donor oocyte program or had preimplantation genetic diagnosis (PGD)/pre-implantation genetic screening (PGS); 7) patients who had cancelled IVF cycle that did not result in embryo transfer (ET). The control group included women with normal uterus who otherwise met the similar selection criteria and underwent IVF treatment during the study period. Controls were randomly selected from the same database, matched in a ratio of 1:3 by age, BMI, cause of infertility, and number of embryos transferred.

ART treatment protocols
All participants underwent ovarian stimulation by using either long GnRH agonist downregulation or antagonist protocol, using either recombinant or human menopausal gonadotrophins. Human chorionic gonadotrophin (hCG) trigger was administered when there were at least
one to three follicles above 18 mm. Stimulation protocol, type of gonadotrophins and starting dose were at discre-
tion of the treating clinician in discussion with the pa-
tient. Ultrasound-guided transvaginal oocyte retrieval
was performed 36–38 h after the trigger injection ac-
cording to the department protocol. Oocytes were in-
seminated either by conventional IVF or by ICSI
depending on sperm quality. Fertilization was assessed
17–19 h after insemination and was defined by the pres-
ence of two pronuclears (2PN) and two polar bodies
(PBs). An embryo quality was assessed 68–72 h (day 3)
after insemination according to the Istanbul Consensus
Workshop on Embryo Assessment criteria [15]. Day-3
cleavage embryos were either transferred or cultured for
the additional 48 h to the blastocyst stage. The blasto-
cysts were evaluated on day 5 by using Gardner mor-
phological grading system [16]. All spare embryos were
cryopreserved for future use. Two cryopreservation
methods including vitrification and slow freezing were
used.

Day-3 or day-5 embryos were transferred in a fresh
cycle with luteal support by vaginal and/or intramuscu-
lar progesterone, unless there were medical indications
for freezing all the embryos. When the cryopreserved
embryos generated from the index stimulation cycle
were available, frozen-thaw embryo transfer (FET) was
performed in a natural or artificial estradiol and proges-
terone endometrium priming as described previously
[17]. The best morphological grade embryos were se-
lected for transfer and if were not available, the decision
to transfer lower quality embryo was based on clinical
circumstances and patient wishes after appropriate
counselling. ETs were performed by using a soft catheter
(K-Soft 5100; Cook, Queensland, Australia). Serum hCG
was measured 14 days after ET and was considered posi-
tive for hCG level ≥ 10 IU. Transvaginal ultrasonography
at 30 days after transfer confirmed clinical pregnancy if
intrauterine gestational sac was demonstrated.

Outcome measures
Cumulative live birth rate was a primary outcome meas-
ure and was calculated by the number of first live births
generated from a single IVF/ICSI cycle including all the
fresh or frozen-thaw ETs generated from the index cycle
divided by all women who received treatment. Live birth
was defined as a pregnancy that led to delivery of at least
one living child, irrespective of the duration of gestation.

Secondary outcomes included the following: 1) im-
plantation rate (the number of gestational sacs divided
by the number of transferred embryos); 2) miscarriage
rate (loss of clinical pregnancy before 24 weeks of gesta-
tion divided by the number of clinical pregnancies); 3)
cumulative clinical pregnancy rate (number of first clin-
ical pregnancies from a single IVF/ICSI cycle including
all the fresh or frozen-thaw ETs generated from the
index cycle divided by all women who received treat-
ment); 4) clinical pregnancy per transfer cycle (number
of clinical pregnancies divided by the number of women
who had transfer); and 5) live birth rate per transfer
cycle (number of live births divided by the number of
women who had transfer). The ‘per cycle’ parameters
were calculated separately for fresh and frozen-thaw ET
cycles.

Statistical analysis
The Student’s t-test was used for comparison of continu-
ous variables between the groups. The chi-squared test
or Fisher’s exact test, where appropriate, were used for
comparisons of categorical variables. Results are pre-
sent as mean ± standard deviation (SD) or as percent-
ages. The multivariable logistic regression analyses were
employed to delineate the independent prognostic risk
factors for cumulative live birth rate. Statistical signifi-
cance was set at a probability (p) value < 0.05 (two-
sided). All statistical analyses were performed using
SPSS 23.0 software (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

Results
Overall 1368 women were included in the study. Of
them, 342 patients with unicornuate ESHRE-ESGE class
IVb uterus comprised the study group and 1026 women
served as controls. There was no significant difference
between the groups with respect to age, BMI, baseline
FSH, duration and cause of infertility (Table 1). Majority
of women in both groups were nulliparous. Primary in-
fertility was significantly more common in women with
unicornuate uterus (p = 0.005). The characteristics of the
ART cycles and the embryology outcomes are summa-
rized in Table 2. There was no difference in number of
women assigned to a specific stimulation protocol or in
number of ICSI cycles. Both groups did not differ in
ovarian response to simulation, had comparable yield of
oocytes and of cleavage stage embryos, and had similar
fertilization rate. The endometrium on hCG trigger day
was significantly thinner in women with unicornuate
uterus compared to that in controls, but this difference
was of marginal clinical value (10.08 ± 1.57 vs. 10.78 ±
1.55, p=0.001).

Each woman completed one IVF-ICSI treatment in-
cluding all fresh and frozen-thaw ETs of the embryos
generated from this cycle. There was a total of 1939 ET
cycles, which included 500 ET cycles in the study group
and 1439 ET cycles in controls. The number of embryos
transferred per fresh or frozen-thaw cycle, either at
cleavage or blastocyst stage was similar between the
study and control groups. The clinical outcomes of fresh
ETs are presented in Table 3. Cleavage day-3 stage em-
lyros were transferred in majority of fresh ET cycles:
1216 transfers of cleavage stage embryos and 84 transfers of blastocysts. In women with unicornuate uterus transfer of day-3 embryos in fresh cycle was associated with lower rates of clinical pregnancy (35.9% vs. 43.9%, \( p = 0.028 \)), live birth (26.9% vs. 35.2%, \( p = 0.017 \)) and multiple live birth (4.9% vs. 9.7%, \( p = 0.024 \)) compared to those in controls. Implantation rate was also lower (23.7% vs. 28.0%, \( p = 0.073 \)) and miscarriage rate was higher (25.0% vs. 19.7%, \( p = 0.283 \)) in unicornuate uterus but the difference did not reach statistical significance. For fresh cycle blastocyst transfers the comparison between the two groups failed to demonstrate significant difference for any of the outcome parameters. The results of frozen-thaw ET (FET) are illustrated in Table 4. There were 307 cycles of cleavage stage ET and 332 cycles of blastocyst transfer. No significant difference was observed between the study and control groups with respect to any of the clinical endpoints when either cleavage stage or blastocyst embryos were transferred, although there was a similar trend towards lower clinical pregnancy and live birth rate in unicornuate uterus group.

The results of the comparisons between fresh and frozen-thaw ETs stratified by the type of embryos transferred in each group is summarized in Additional file 1: Table S1. Transfer of day-3 embryos in fresh cycle resulted in significantly higher implantation and clinical pregnancy rate, but significantly lower miscarriage rate than in frozen-thaw cycle in both the unicornuate uterus or control groups.

Table 1 Baseline characteristics of the study population

|                          | Unicornate uterus | Controls | \( p \)-value |
|--------------------------|-------------------|----------|--------------|
| **n = 342 women**        | **n = 1026 women**|          |              |
| Age (years), mean ± SD   | 30.53 ± 4.20      | 30.61 ± 4.28 | 0.784        |
| BMI (kg/m²), mean ± SD   | 22.95 ± 3.36      | 22.63 ± 2.93 | 0.120        |
| Basal FSH (mIU/ml), mean ± SD | 6.21 ± 2.40    | 5.95 ± 2.59 | 0.089        |
| Primary infertility, n (%) | 215/342 (62.9%)  | 556/1026 (54.2%) | 0.005        |
| Infertility duration (years), mean ± SD | 4.17 ± 3.24 | 4.26 ± 3.11 | 0.640        |
| Nulliparity, n (%)       | 328/342 (95.9%)   | 961/1026 (93.7%) | 0.124        |
| Cause of infertility     |                   |           |              |
| Tubal factor, n (%)      | 216/342 (63.2%)   | 684/1026 (66.7%) | 0.891        |
| Male factor, n (%)       | 63/342 (18.4%)    | 176/1026 (17.2%) | 1.000        |
| Endometriosis, n (%)     | 5/342 (1.5%)      | 12/1026 (1.2%) | 0.124        |
| PCOS, n (%)              | 11/342 (3.2%)     | 26/1026 (2.5%) | 0.124        |
| POR, n (%)               | 13/342 (3.8%)     | 37/1026 (3.6%) | 0.124        |
| Unexplained, n (%)       | 34/342 (9.9%)     | 91/1026 (8.9%) | 0.124        |

BMI body mass index, FSH follicle stimulating hormone, PCOS polycystic ovary syndrome, POR poor ovarian response

Table 2 Characteristics of IVF-ICSI stimulation cycles

|                          | Unicornate uterus | Controls | \( p \)-value |
|--------------------------|-------------------|----------|--------------|
| **n = 342 women**        | **n = 1026 women**|          |              |
| Number of IVF/ICSI cycles, n | 342               | 1026     | 0.146        |
| ICSI, n (%)              | 26.3% (90/342)    | 30.5% (310/1026) | 0.092        |
| GnRH agonist protocol, % (n) | 55.8% (191/342)  | 61.0% (626/1026) | 0.001        |
| Total gonadotrophin dose (IU), mean ± SD | 2778.29 ± 866.62 | 2730.50 ± 1214.06 | 0.501        |
| EM thickness on hCG-day (mm), mean ± SD | 10.08 ± 1.57    | 10.78 ± 1.55 | 0.001        |
| E2 on hCG-day (pmol/L), mean ± SD | 9661.81 ± 6011.21 | 9442.79 ± 5834.27 | 0.580        |
| LH on hCG-day (mIU/ml), mean ± SD | 2.76 ± 1.42     | 2.81 ± 1.47 | 0.651        |
| P on hCG-day (nmol/L), mean ± SD | 1.73 ± 1.79     | 1.62 ± 2.91 | 0.526        |
| Oocytes collected, mean ± SD | 13.11 ± 7.77    | 12.28 ± 6.02 | 0.073        |
| 2PN embryos, mean ± SD   | 7.63 ± 5.88      | 7.10 ± 4.60 | 0.113        |
| Day-3 embryos, mean ± SD | 5.24 ± 5.30      | 4.95 ± 4.21 | 0.363        |

E2 estradiol, EM endometrial, HCG human chorionic gonadotrophin, ICSI intracytoplasmic sperm injection, IVF in vitro fertilization, 2PN two pronuclear zygote, \( P \) progesterone
The outcomes of the blastocyst transfers were not different between the fresh and frozen-thaw cycles in either group.

The cumulative outcomes of one complete ART treatment with all the ETs generated from a single stimulation-oocyte retrieval cycle are presented in Table 5. The cumulative clinical pregnancy rate was significantly lower in women with unicornuate uterus than that in controls (53.1% vs. 65.7%, \( p < 0.001 \)). Likewise, the unicornuate uterus group had significantly lower cumulative live birth rate compared to the women with normal uterine anatomy (42.4% vs. 54.6%, \( p < 0.001 \)) (Table 5A). Among women with unicornuate uterus, transfer of cleavage embryos resulted in significantly lower clinical pregnancy and live birth than transfer of blastocyst embryos (47.5% vs. 65.1%, \( p = 0.006 \) and 38.2% vs. 53.0%, \( p = 0.021 \)), respectively (Table 5B).

### Table 3 Reproductive outcomes calculated per fresh ET cycle

|                          | Unicornuate uterus | Control | OR (95% CI)     | \( p \)-value |
|--------------------------|--------------------|---------|-----------------|--------------|
| Cleavage day-3 ET cycles, n | 223                | 993     |                 |              |
| Embryos per transfer, mean ± SD | 1.87 ± 0.49        | 1.93 ± 0.46 | 0.847 (0.703–1.021) | 0.123       |
| Implantation rate, % (n) | 23.7% (99/418)     | 28.0% (535/1914) | 0.817 (0.676–0.987) | 0.028       |
| Clinical pregnancy rate, % (n) | 35.9% (80/223)    | 43.9% (436/993) | 1.267 (0.829–1.937) | 0.283       |
| Miscarriage rate, % (n)   | 25.0% (20/80)      | 19.7% (86/436)  |                 |              |
| Live birth rate, % (n)    | 26.9% (60/223)     | 35.2% (350/993) | 0.763 (0.605–0.963) | 0.017       |
| Multiple live birth rate, % (n) | 4.9% (11/223)    | 9.7% (96/993)  | 0.510 (0.278–0.936) | 0.024       |

| Blastocyst ET cycles, n | 59                 | 25      |                 |              |
| Embryos per transfer, mean ± SD | 1.07 ± 0.25        | 1.16 ± 0.37 | 1.013 (0.553–1.853) | 0.992       |
| Implantation rate, % (n) | 34.9% (22/63)      | 34.5% (10/29) |                 |              |
| Clinical pregnancy rate, % (n) | 37.3% (22/59)     | 40.0% (10/25) | 0.932 (0.520–1.670) | 0.815       |
| Miscarriage rate, % (n)   | 18.2% (4/22)       | 20.0% (2/10) |                 |              |
| Live birth rate, % (n)    | 30.5% (18/59)      | 32.0% (8/25)  | 0.953 (0.479–1.899) | 0.892       |
| Multiple live birth rate, % (n) | 3.4% (2/59)       | 0        | 0.966 (0.921–1.013) | 0.351       |

### Table 4 Reproductive outcomes per FET cycle

|                          | Unicornuate uterus | Control | OR (95% CI)     | \( p \)-value |
|--------------------------|--------------------|---------|-----------------|--------------|
| Cleavage day-3 FET cycles, n | 93                 | 214     |                 |              |
| Embryos survival rate, % (n) | 90.2% (203/225)   | 91.9% (475/517) | 0.820 (0.575–1.169) | 0.267       |
| Embryos per transfer, mean ± SD | 2.18 ± 0.59      | 2.21 ± 0.68 | 0.908 (0.643–1.283) | 0.581       |
| Implantation rate, % (n) | 16.7% (34/203)     | 20.4% (97/475) |                 |              |
| Clinical pregnancy rate, % (n) | 32.3% (30/93)     | 35.5% (76/214) | 0.954 (0.479–1.899) | 0.412       |
| Miscarriage rate, % (n)   | 6.7% (2/30)        | 9.2% (7/76) |                 |              |
| Live birth rate, % (n)    | 30.1% (28/93)      | 32.2% (69/214) | 0.934 (0.468–1.346) | 0.712       |
| Multiple live birth rate, % (n) | 4.3% (4/93)       | 9.8% (21/214) | 0.438 (0.155–1.241) | 0.105       |

| Blastocyst FET cycles, n | 125                | 207     |                 |              |
| Embryos survival rate, % (n) | 97.9% (141/144)   | 98.7% (234/237) | 0.820 (0.375–1.169) | 0.267       |
| Embryos per transfer, mean ± SD | 1.13 ± 0.34       | 1.13 ± 0.34 | 0.820 (0.697–1.231) | 0.596       |
| Implantation rate, % (n) | 34.0% (48/141)     | 36.8% (86/234) |                 |              |
| Clinical pregnancy rate, % (n) | 36.8% (46/125)   | 40.1% (83/207) | 0.918 (0.619–1.219) | 0.550       |
| Miscarriage rate, % (n)   | 21.7% (10/46)      | 10.8% (9/83) |                 |              |
| Live birth rate, % (n)    | 28.8% (36/125)     | 35.7% (74/207) | 0.806 (0.579–1.121) | 0.192       |
| Multiple live birth rate, % (n) | 1.6% (2/125)     | 1.4% (3/207)  | 1.104 (0.187–6.516) | 0.067       |

ET embryo transfer, CI confidence interval, OR odds ratio, SD standard deviation
Our study used the cumulative live birth as a dependent variable, unicornuate uterus, infertility type, protocol type, basal FSH and oocytes collected as independent variables, analyzed by the method of multivariable logistic regression. The unicornuate uterus (OR 0.756, 95%CI 0.586–0.974, p = 0.030) and infertility type (OR 0.487, 95%CI 0.391–0.607, p < 0.001) were independent factors of the cumulative live birth.

**Discussion**

This study aimed to evaluate the IVF-ICSI treatment outcomes in infertile women with unicornuate uterus without functional rudimentary cavity. We considered cumulative live birth rate after one cycle of ovarian stimulation - oocyte retrieval with all the resulting fresh and frozen-thaw ET cycles as a primary outcome measure and reported the data from 342 women with unicornuate uterus and 1026 randomly selected matched controls from the same cohort. To the best of our knowledge, this is the largest report that includes well-characterized women with specific phenotype of unicornuate uterus and accounts for multiple confounding factors that may impact the treatment outcomes.

Our results demonstrate that unicornuate uterus did not affect ovarian response to stimulation and embryology outcomes. Overall the IVF-ICSI endpoints in women unicornuate uterus without functional rudimentary cavity are reassuring but are inferior to those in women with normal uterus. In women with unicornuate uterus, the odds of achieving clinical pregnancy following one complete IVF-ICSI cycle were 24% lower (OR 0.809, 95%CI 0.724–0.904) and the odds of live birth were 28% lower (OR 0.777, 95% CI 0.677–0.892) than in women with normal uterine anatomy after control for important demographic and clinical confounders.

This is in line with the results of previous observational studies that demonstrated lower pregnancy rate and/or live-birth in women with unicornuate uterus than in controls with normal uterine morphology [6, 10, 11, 18]. In contrast, Jayaprakasan et al., did not observe difference in ART outcomes between women with uterine malformations and normal uterus, but the study included only 6 women with bicornuate uterus, while arcuate uterus represented majority of the evaluated uterine malformations [13]. When we stratified the outcomes by the type of embryos transferred, statistically significant difference between the groups with respect to clinical pregnancy and live birth were observed only for fresh cleavage stage embryos, while transfer of frozen-thaw cleavage or of blastocyst embryos resulted in only non-significantly lower outcomes in unicornuate uterus group.

In contrast with previously demonstrated lower implantation rate in unicornuate uterus [18], in this study implantation rate was only non-significantly reduced in women with unicornuate uterus, which is in agreement with the findings reported by Ozgur et al. [10]. The association between unicornuate uterus and miscarriage following either

**Table 5** Cumulative reproductive outcomes from one complete ART cycle including fresh and frozen-thaw ETs

|                    | Unicornuate | Control | OR (95% CI) | p-value |
|--------------------|-------------|---------|-------------|---------|
| **A. Cumulative outcomes in women with unicornuate uterus and in controls** |             |         |             |         |
| n = 335 women      | n = 920 women |         |             |         |
| Number of IVF/ICSI cycles, n | 335        | 920     |             |         |
| Number of ET cycles, n    | 486        | 1206    |             |         |
| Fresh ET cycles, n       | 277        | 916     |             |         |
| FET cycles, n           | 209        | 290     |             |         |
| Cumulative pregnancy rate, % (n) | 53.1% (178/335) | 65.7% (604/920) | 0.809 (0.724–0.904) | p<0.001 |
| Cumulative live birth rate, % (n) | 42.4% (142/335) | 54.6% (502/920) | 0.777 (0.677–0.892) | p<0.001 |
| **B. Cumulative outcomes in women with unicornuate uterus group stratified by the type of embryos transferred** |         |         |             |         |
| n = 217 women        | n = 83 women |         |             |         |
| Number of IVF-ICSI cycles, n | 217        | 83      |             |         |
| Number of ET cycles, n | 251        | 148     |             |         |
| Fresh ET cycles, n    | 185        | 64      |             |         |
| FET cycles, n         | 66         | 84      |             |         |
| Cumulative pregnancy rate, % (n) | 47.5% (103/217) | 65.1% (54/83) | 0.730 (0.591–0.901) | p = 0.006 |
| Cumulative live birth rate, % (n) | 38.2% (83/217) | 53.0% (44/83) | 0.722 (0.554–0.939) | p = 0.021 |

*ART assisted reproductive technologies, CI confidence interval, ET embryo transfer, FET frozen-thaw ET, ICSI intracytoplasmic sperm injection, IVF in vitro fertilization, OR odds ratio*

*only women who either achieved pregnancy or utilized all the embryos resulting from the index stimulation cycle were included in this analysis*

*only women who had one type of embryos transferred in both fresh and FET cycles, either only cleavage or only blastocysts, were included in this analysis*
natural or assisted conception were previously reported by several investigators [6, 10, 11, 13, 18, 19]. In this study, there was only non-significant increase in miscarriage rate in the overall group of women with unicornuate uterus. However, women who had a transfer of fresh cleavage stage embryos had significantly higher miscarriage rate and lower live-birth rate compared to those who had frozen-thaw cycles, which held true for women with either unicornuate or normal uterus. This observation is consistent with the results of recent randomized controlled trial (RCT) that demonstrated that frozen ET cycles were associated with higher rate of live birth and lower rate of miscarriage in women with PCOS [20]. Transfer of blastocyst stage embryos did not appear to be associated with different outcomes in either group. Importantly, however, the cumulative reproductive outcomes in women with unicornuate uterus were significantly higher when embryos were cultured to the blastocyst stage with 37% higher odds to achieve clinical pregnancy (OR 0.730, 95%CI 0.591–0.901) and 39% higher odds to achieve live-birth (OR 0.722, 95%CI 0.554–0.939) compared to the transfer of cleavage stage embryos. Improved implantation and pregnancy rates have been increasingly reported for transferred blastocysts compared to cleavage embryos in IVF cycles. This finding should be interpreted with caution in view of relative paucity of blastocyst ET cycles in this study. The most recent Cochrane library systematic review concluded that in general IVF population, the live birth rate per fresh transfer was significantly higher with blastocyst culture compared to cleavage stage embryos, whereas there was no difference between the groups in cumulative live birth rates following both fresh and frozen-thaw cycles resulting from one egg collection [21]. Higher likelihood of failure to make embryo transfer along with lower availability for surplus embryos with blastocyst culture are possible explanation. This meta-analysis, however, included earlier studies employing slow freezing and overall reported low quality evidence for the cumulative outcomes, hence the effect of the day of transfer on cumulative pregnancy and live birth rate remains unclear. In this study women with unicornuate uterus had higher rate of primary infertility, similarly to the observed in previous studies [6]. Unicornuate uterus has been recognized in association with adverse obstetrics and neonatal outcomes, including preterm labor, fetal malpresentation, fetal growth restriction and perinatal death [5–7]. The possible causative factors include reduced uterine muscle mass, aberrant uterine vasculature and smaller size of uterine cavity [5, 14]. The underlying mechanism by which unicornuate uterus affects fertility and ART treatment outcomes is unclear. It has been proposed that derangements in endometrial vascularization with deleterious effect on endometrial receptivity and implantation could play a role [14]. It is also possible that mechanical factor is more likely to be present in unicornuate uterus due to presence of a single tube. Unicornuate uterus has been also associated with increased risk of ectopic pregnancy and endometriosis, particularly in women with functional rudimentary uterine cavity. In our cohort, tubal factor accounted for majority of causes of infertility, 63.2%, which is higher than the estimated ~ 18% in general population of women who undergo ART [22].

The strengths of this study are in its relatively large sample size of well-characterized cohort and the utilized strategies to control for confounding, including carefully 1:3 matched controls and multiple subgroup analyses. In addition, the study reports the cumulative success rate after one complete stimulation cycle, which is a more appropriate way to estimate the ART treatment outcomes than presenting a data per an individual transfer cycle. The information presented this study helps to refine patient counselling and directs the clinicians towards more effective tailored interventions.

The main limitations of this study are its retrospective nature and no information on the obstetrics and neonatal outcomes. Furthermore, not all women that commenced ART treatment in 2016 utilized their available embryos from the index stimulation cycle and were not included in estimation of cumulative live birth rate. Finally, culture to blastocyst was performed in relatively small number of treatment cycles as the shift towards blastocyst embryo transfer has occurred in our center in the last several years.

Conclusion
In summary, women with unicornuate uterus have lower clinical pregnancy and live-birth rate after IVF-ICSI treatment compared to women with normal uterine morphology with similar baseline characteristics. Culture to blastocyst is associated with the improved treatment cycle outcomes. The findings of this study require further validation in large well-defined cohort of women with unicornuate uterus in different population. It is unrealistic to expect a single-center interventional RCT that focuses on management of unicornuate uterus as the condition is infrequent. Multi-center initiatives or non-randomized prospective studies would help to evaluate the contribution of blastocyst transfer, especially single blastocyst transfer approach to improvement of ART treatment outcomes in women with unicornuate uterus.
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