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Abstract

Background: The associations between obesity, hypertension and diabetes are well established, and the renin-angiotensin system (RAS) may provide a link among them. The effect of RAS inhibition on type 2 diabetes is still unclear; however, RAS seems to play an important role in the regulation of the pancreas and glucose intolerance of mice fed high-fat (HF) diet.

Methods: C57BL/6 mice fed a HF diet (8 weeks) were treated with aliskiren (50 mg/kg/day), enalapril (30 mg/kg/day) or losartan (10 mg/kg/day) for 6 weeks, and the protective effects were extensively compared among groups by morphometry, stereological tools, immunostaining, Western blotting and hormonal analysis.

Results: All RAS inhibitors significantly attenuated the increased blood pressure in mice fed a HF diet. Treatment with enalapril, but not aliskiren or losartan, significantly attenuated body mass (BM) gain, glucose intolerance and insulin resistance, improved the alpha and beta cell mass and prevented the reduction of plasma adiponectin. Furthermore, enalapril treatment improved the protein expression of the pancreatic islet Pdx1, GLUT2, ACE2 and Mas receptors. Losartan treatment showed the greatest AT2R expression.

Conclusion: Our findings indicate that ACE inhibition with enalapril attenuated several of the deleterious effects of the HF diet. In summary, enalapril appears to be responsible for the normalization of islet morphology and function, of alpha and beta cell mass and of Pdx1 and GLUT2 expression. These protective effects of enalapril were attributed, primarily, to the reduction in body mass gain and food intake and the enhancement of the ACE2/Ang (1-7) /Mas receptor axis and adiponectin levels.

Introduction

Obesity is strongly associated with both type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) and hypertension, a combination that has become a major public health problem because of its epidemic proportions worldwide [1]. The renin-angiotensin system (RAS) is overexpressed when associated with obesity and its comorbidities and has emerged as an important target for pharmacological treatment [2,3].

Classically, the RAS is known for its role in body fluid and cardiovascular homeostasis. The RAS consists primarily of an enzymatic cascade through which angiotensinogen is converted to angiotensin (Ang) I, which is then converted to Ang II, through the action of renin and the angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) [4]. ACE also inactivates bradykinin [5], and Ang II mediates its specific functions via type 1 and type 2 receptors, i.e., AT1R and AT2R. Most of these functions are mediated by AT1R, including the potent vasoconstriction, proinflammatory, pro-oxidative, proliferative and hypertrophic effects. Moreover, advances in cell and molecular biology have allowed the recognition of other active elements of the RAS metabolism. Ang (1-7) may be formed primarily from Ang II...
(directly) and Ang I (indirectly) by the action of ACE 2, a homolog of ACE [6]. Through its G-protein-coupled receptor Mas, Ang (1-7) induces responses in opposition to those of Ang II, including vasodilation, antihypertrophic effects, and antiproliferative properties [7,8].

Over the past few years, RAS components have been found in almost every tissue, including the heart, blood vessels, kidney, brain, pancreas, adipose tissue and skeletal muscles [2]. Furthermore, a large body of evidence indicates that RAS activation has been closely correlated to both insulin resistance and beta cell dysfunction [9]. The mechanism behind this deleterious effect appears to be related to the negative regulation, exerted by Ang II through AT1R, of several steps of the insulin signaling cascade [10]. In addition, hyperglycemia increases the expression of RAS components in pancreatic islets, which leads to insulin secretion modulation in beta cells, decreased adiponectin, impaired insulin sensitivity in target tissues [11], inhibited GLUT4 translocation and increased levels of reactive oxygen species, inflammation, and ectopic fat storage [12].

The increase in the ACE2/Ang (1-7) /Mas receptor axis could be associated with diminished insulin resistance by inducing the activation of insulin signaling pathways and counteracting the inhibitory effects of ACE/Ang II/AT1R [7]. ACE2 gene therapy improves glycemic control in diabetic mice through a mechanism mediated by the Ang (1-7) /Mas receptor because of its proven ability to potentiate the action of bradykinin [13]. There is evidence that bradykinin itself may have an effect on enhancing insulin action and signaling [14]. Moreover, it is remarkable to note that, together with results from the beta cell injury, stands out the key role of the Pdx1 (pancreatic-duodenal homeobox 1) in prenatal development of the pancreas, as well as the postnatal maintenance of the insulin production, and the transcriptional expression of GLUT (glucose transporter) 2 [15–17].

The present study aimed to compare the effect of blockades, using a direct renin inhibitor, an ACE inhibitor, and an AT1R antagonist, at different points in the RAS on glucose intolerance and pancreatic injury in a mice model of insulin resistance and obesity.

Materials and Methods

Animals and diet

Male C57BL/6 mice (12 weeks old) were maintained on a 12 h light/dark cycle (light on at 1 a.m.; light off at 1 p.m.), in a humidity- (60 ± 10%) and temperature- (21 ± 2 °C) controlled room. Animal care and procedures were in accordance with the conventional guidelines for experimentation with animals (National Institutes of Health Publication No. 85-23, revised in 1996) and were approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of the State University of Rio de Janeiro (Protocol Number CEA/21/2011).

The mice were fed ad libitum a standard chow (SC, n=15) diet (14% protein, 10% fat, and 76% carbohydrates, total energy 15 kJ/g) or a high-fat (HF, n=60) diet (14% protein, 50% fat and 36% carbohydrates, total energy 21 kJ/g). The diets were manufactured by PragSolucoes (Jau, Sao Paulo, Brazil) and were consistent with the recommendations of the American Institute of Nutrition (AIN 93M) [18]. After eight weeks of diet, the animals fed HF chow were randomly allocated into four groups, and each group received one of the following treatments over six weeks (the drugs were mixed into the diets, as follow):

a) HF group (n=15), untreated;

b) HF-A group (n=15), HF diet treated with aliskiren (50 mg/kg/day), Rasilez, Novartis;

c) HF-E group (n=15), HF diet treated with enalapril maleate (30 mg/kg/day), Renitec, Merck;

d) HF-L group (n=15), HF diet treated with losartan (10 mg/kg/day), Cozaar, Merck.

Both the SC and HF groups continued to have free access to their diet during the entire experimental period (eight weeks plus six weeks). Fresh chow was provided daily, and their food intake was evaluated. Energy intake was measured as the product of food consumption by the energy content of the diet. The body mass (BM) of the animals was measured weekly.

Blood pressure

The animals were trained for two weeks in constraint conditions before the measurement of the blood pressure (BP) to minimize their stress. Twice a month, systolic BP was measured by tail-cuff plethysmography in conscious mice (Leticia LE 5100; Panlab, Barcelona, Spain).

Glucose and insulin tolerance

An oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) was made after 6 h of food deprivation (1 a.m.-7 a.m.). Glucose (1.0 g/kg) was administered by orogastric gavage, and caudal vein blood samples were collected before and at 15, 30, 60 and 120 min after glucose administration. Blood glucose concentrations were measured using an Accu-Chek Go glucometer (Roche Diagnostics). An intraperitoneal insulin tolerance test (IPITT) was performed after 4 h of food deprivation (1 a.m.-5 a.m.). Insulin (0.5 U/kg, Humalog Insulin Lispro, Lilly) was administered intraperitoneally, and caudal vein blood samples were collected before and at 15, 30, 60, 120 min after the injection. Blood glucose concentrations were measured using an Accu-Chek Go glucometer (Roche Diagnostics).

The area under the curve (AUC) was calculated for OGTT and IPITT from 0 to 120 minutes using the trapezoid rule (GraphPad Prism version 6.02 for Windows, GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA) to assess glucose intolerance and insulin sensitivity, respectively.

Tissue extraction

After 14 weeks on the diet (including the 6 weeks of treatment), the animals were fasted overnight (food-deprived from 01:00 to 07:00 h) and then deeply anaesthetized (sodium pentobarbital, 150 mg/kg intraperitoneal). Blood samples were collected, and the plasma was separated by centrifugation (120 g for 15 min), used to measure fasting plasma glucose, and stored at -20 °C until further analyses could be performed. The pancreas was completely dissected (n=6), or the islets were
isolated by collagenase digestion (n=9). When dissected, the pancreas was weighed and then rapidly fixed in freshly prepared fixative solution [4% (w/v) formaldehyde and 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.2] for analysis by light microscopy (n=6).

**Metabolic data**

The plasma concentrations of insulin and glucagon were evaluated using the Milliplex mouse metabolic hormone panel kit NMHMAG-44K, while the concentrations of adiponectin were evaluated with MADPK-71K-1ADPN with Luminex xMAP equipment (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). The homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) index, a simple assessment of insulin sensitivity, was calculated by the following formula: [fasting plasma glucose (mmol/L) × insulin (µU/L)]/22.5 [19].

**Pancreas**

**Islet morphology.** Fixed pancreas samples were embedded in Paraplast plus (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, USA), sectioned into 5-µm-thick sections, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. From the digital images of the pancreatic slides (TIFF format, 36-bit color, 1280×1024 pixels, LC Evolution camera and Olympus BX51 microscope), the smallest and largest diameters from each islet were measured to calculate the mean diameter (Image-Pro Plus version 7.01, Media Cybernetics, Silver Spring, MD, USA). At least 150 islets per group were measured, as described previously [20].

Next, the pancreatic fat density was estimated by a 36 test point test (Pp) system superimposed on the tissue image by STPAnizer [21], as Vv[fat] = Pp/PpT, where Pp represents the points that fall on fat cells in a sample of ten random fields per animal.

**Immunohistochemistry.** For glucagon and insulin immunohistochemistry, deparaffinized and hydrated tissue sections were treated with citrate buffer, pH 6.0, at 60 °C for antigen retrieval and then with a 3% hydrogen peroxide solution in methanol to block endogenous peroxidase activity. The pancreas sections were incubated overnight with rabbit anti-glucagon (A0565, Dako; 1:100), or guinea pig anti-insulin (HistostainPlus Kit, Invitrogen, CA, USA), and counterstained with hematoxylin and eosin. The digital images of the blot were obtained with Bio-Rad’s Molecular Imaging ChemiDoc XRS Systems (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). Thus, alpha cell mass was estimated as the product of Vv[alpha cell] and M[islet] [20].

Beta cell volume density (Vv[beta cell]) and beta cell mass (M[beta cell]): Vv[beta cell] were estimated by image analysis using the density threshold selection tool applied to islets with insulin-positive areas. Vv[beta cell] was expressed as a percentage of the islets (Image-Pro Plus version 7.01, Media Cybernetics, Silver Spring, MD, USA). Thus, the beta cell mass was estimated as the product of Vv[beta cell] and M[islet] [22].

**Islet isolation.** Islets were isolated by the collagenase method [23]. Briefly, the pancreas was cannulized via the bile duct and inflated in situ with cold Hanks’ solution (supplemented with foetal bovine serum 1 mg/mL) containing 0.8 mg/mL collagenase (C9263, Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, USA). The pancreas was removed, placed into a tube and incubated in a 37 °C water bath for 15 min to allow the digestion of the exocrine tissue. Afterwards, the tubes were vigorously shaken for approximately 15 seconds. The collagenase digestion was terminated by the addition of cold Hanks’ solution. The digest was then washed three times by filling the vial with Hanks’ solution. The islets were collected manually under a stereomicroscope (Luxeo 4D Stereozoom Microscope, Labomed, CA, USA) with a Pasteur pipette and immediately homogenized in extraction buffer (urea, 7 M; EDTA, 5 mM; Triton X-100, 1%; protease and phosphatase inhibitors).

**Western blotting analysis**

The isolated pancreatic islet homogenate was centrifuged at 11,000 rpm at 4 °C for 10 min, and the supernatant was collected. The lysate protein concentration was determined using a BCA protein assay kit (Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL, USA). After denaturation, proteins were separated by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane (Amersham Biosciences, Piscataway, NJ, USA). The membrane was then blocked by incubation in 6% (w/v) non-fat dry milk in TBS-T Tris-buffered saline [20 mmol/L Tris/HCl (pH 7.4) and 500 mmol/L NaCl] and sequentially incubated overnight at 4 °C with the following primary antibodies: Pdx1 (anti-rabbit, AB3503; Chemicon; 1:1000), GLUT2 (anti-rabbit, 07-1402; Millipore; 1:1000), Renin (anti-mouse, SC137252; Santa Cruz Biotechnology; 1:1000), ACE (anti-rabbit, ab11734; Abcam; 1:500), AT1R (anti-rabbit, SC579; Santa Cruz Biotechnology; 1:1000), AT2R (anti-goat, SC48452; Santa Cruz Biotechnology; 1:1000), ACE 2 (anti-rabbit, ab108252; Abcam; 1:500) and Mas receptor (anti-rabbit, SC135063; Santa Cruz Biotechnology; 1:1000). Following incubation with the primary antibody, the membrane was incubated with the secondary antibody for 1 h at room temperature. The membrane was developed using ECL western blotting detection reagents, and images of the blot were obtained with Bio-Rad’s Molecular Imaging ChemiDoc XRS Systems (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). The intensity of the chemiluminescent bands was quantified using ImageJ software, version 1.44 (NIH, image.nih.gov/ij, USA). The blots were stripped and reprobed for beta actin (anti-mouse, SC81178; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Silver Spring, MD, USA).
Biotechnology; 1:1000) as a loading control to normalize the blot data.

**Statistical analysis**

The data were tested for normality and homoscedasticity of the variances. The differences among the groups were tested by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by the Holm-Sidak post-hoc test. In all cases, P<0.05 was considered statistically significant (GraphPad Prism version 6.02 for Windows, GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA).

**Results**

**Body mass and food behavior**

The animals started the study with no significant difference in their initial BM (Figure 1). After two weeks on their respective diets, the HF group demonstrated a higher BM than the SC group (+17%, P<0.001), and the difference in BM continued to increase up +31% in the HF group compared to SC group at eight weeks of diet consumption (P<0.0001). After six weeks of treatment, HF-E group showed a significant decrease in BM, 25% less than the HF group (P<0.0001). However, the HF-A and HF-L groups continued to be heavier than the SC group (+21% and +28%, respectively, P<0.0001) and the HF-E group (+24% and +31%, respectively, P<0.0001) (Figure 1).

The average weekly food intake was constant in each group over the period of six weeks of treatment, but the food intake was diminished in the HF-E group compared to the other groups (P<0.05). The energy intake was greater in the HF group than in the SC group (+35%; P<0.01), as indicated by calculating the energy provided by the diets based on their energy density (Table 1). Compared to the mice in the SC group after six weeks of treatment, the mice in the HF-A group had increased their energy intake by 31% (P<0.05), and the mice in the HF-L group had increased their energy intake by 44% (P<0.01). In the six-week treatment period, the mice in the HF-E group decreased their energy intake by 25% compared to the mice in the HF group (P<0.05), by 23% compared to the mice in the HF-A group (P<0.05), and by 30% compared to the mice in the HF-L group (P<0.01) (Table 1).

After four weeks of the diets, the BP was significantly increased in the animals fed HF diets, compared to that of the SC group (+10%, P<0.05). The difference continued to increase and reached 15% higher at 14 weeks (P<0.01) (Figure 2). All of the treatments that decreased BP had reached values similar to the SC group by the end of the experiment (Figure 2).

**Glucose and Insulin**

The OGTT results were similar in both the SC and HF-E groups (Figure 3A and B). Compared to the SC group, the OGTT result was higher in the following groups: HF (+24%, P<0.01), HF-A (+17%, P<0.05), and HF-L (+19%, P<0.05) (Figure 3B). Compared to the HF group, enalapril ameliorated glucose tolerance, based on the lower levels of insulin necessary to clear the plasma glucose (-17%, P<0.01), the lower absolute fasting glucose levels, and the decreased rate of change after glucose overload administration in the first 15 to 30 minutes observed in the HF-E group (Figure 3A).

Compared to the SC group, the AUC total values to the IPITT were higher in the following groups: HF (+33%, P<0.01), HF-A (+23%, P<0.05), and HF-L (+21%, P<0.05) (Figure 3C and D). These results imply that these latter groups were less insulin sensitive, with consequent abnormally high glucose concentrations from 15 to 30 minutes compared with SC animals (Figure 3C). This is the typical feature of the islet dysfunction in the insulin resistance state. The HF-E group has shown a better insulin sensitivity in comparison to the HF group, since the AUC to the IPITT was smaller in the HF-E group than in the HF group (-21%, P<0.01) (Figure 3D).

**Metabolic parameters**

Compared to the SC group, hyperinsulinemia and hyperglycemia were observed in the HF, HF-A, and HF-L groups (Table 1), which is consistent with the findings of glucose intolerance in the OGTT and insulin resistance in the IPITT (Figure 3). Consequently, the HF, HF-A, and HF-L groups showed both higher fasting plasma glucose and insulin levels and higher HOMA-IR compared to the SC group (P<0.01). However, enalapril markedly reduced the fasting plasma insulin (-27%, P<0.05) and glucose levels (-21%, P<0.001), resulting in a 48% lower HOMA-IR than the HF group (P<0.001) (Table 1).

Additionally, fasting plasma glucagon concentrations were higher in the HF (+126%; P<0.001), HF-A (+75%; P<0.05), and HF-L (+108%; P<0.01) groups than in the SC group (Table 1), indicating that insulin resistance contributes to the

---

**Table 1. Food behavior, carbohydrate metabolism and hormones.**

| Data                  | SC   | HF   | HF-A  | HF-E  | HF-L  |
|-----------------------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|
| Food intake (g/week per mouse) | 16.0 ± 0.9 | 16.0 ± 0.9 | 16.0 ± 0.9 | 12.0 ± 0.5[a,d] | 17.1 ± 0.5[a] |
| Energy intake (kJ/week per mouse) | 248.0 ± 9.9 | 334.8 ± 19.3[a] | 325.8 ± 19.0[a] | 251.2 ± 9.8[b,c] | 357.4 ± 10.6[a,d] |
| Glucose (mg/dL)       | 126.1 ± 8.3 | 168.8 ± 7.1[a] | 153.8 ± 5.8[a] | 133.2 ± 4.3[a] | 153.0 ± 5.9[a] |
| HOMA-IR               | 5.3 ± 0.7 | 13.8 ± 1.5[a] | 10.2 ± 1.0[a] | 7.3 ± 0.5[a] | 10.4 ± 0.7[a] |
| Insulin (µU/mL)       | 15.2 ± 1.6 | 30.8 ± 2.6[a] | 23.6 ± 1.9[a] | 21.8 ± 1.6[a] | 26.4 ± 2.0[a] |
| Glucagon (pg/mL)      | 18.8 ± 4.1 | 42.3 ± 3.7[a] | 32.8 ± 4.0[a] | 24.3 ± 2.7[a] | 39.0 ± 3.6[a] |
| Adiponectin (µg/mL)   | 17.6 ± 1.0 | 10.1 ± 0.5[a] | 12.0 ± 0.4[a] | 17.3 ± 0.7[a] | 13.1 ± 1.0[a] |

Values represent means ± SEM, n=8 per group. P<0.05 when compared with the SC group [a], HF group [b], HF-A group [c] and HF-E group [d] (one-way ANOVA and post-hoc Holm-Sidak test).
dysregulation of glucagon secretion in altered glycemic states. The concentrations in the HF-E group was less elevated, and this difference was significant compared to the HF group (-43%; \(P<0.05\)) (Table 1).

Compared to the SC group, adiponectin levels were lower in the HF (-43%; \(P<0.0001\)), HF-A (-32%; \(P<0.0001\)), and HF-L (-26%; \(P<0.001\)) groups, but not in the HF-E group. Adiponectin levels were not different between the HF-E and SC groups, but the differences between the HF-E group and the other HF groups were significant: +71% compared to the HF group (\(P<0.0001\)), +44% compared to the HF-A group (\(P<0.0001\)), and +32% compared to the HF-L group (\(P<0.001\)) (Table 1). Adiponectin increases insulin sensitivity by several mechanisms, and these results are thus consistent with previous results regarding insulin resistance.

**Pancreas**

The islets were affected by the consumption of the HF diet (Table 2). All groups fed the HF diet, including the treated groups, showed an accumulation of fat within the pancreas in the interlobular, intralobular, and perilobular spaces. This accumulation was 230% greater in the HF group than in the SC group.

---

**Figure 1. BM evolution over the course of the experimental period.** Weeks 1–8 correspond to the period of the induction of obesity and insulin resistance, and weeks 8–14 correspond to the treatment phase. Values are means ± SEM, n=15. Significant differences between the groups are indicated using symbols (\(P<0.05\)), as determined by a one-way ANOVA and a post-hoc Holm-Sidak test: a ≠ SC; b ≠ HF; c ≠ HF-A, and d ≠ HF-E.

doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0067192.g001
group ($P<0.0001$) (Figure 4). In addition, the HF diet led to islet hypertrophy. Compared to the SC group, the islet mean diameter was 23% greater in the HF-E group ($P<0.01$). However, the islet mean diameter was 15% smaller in the HF-E group than in the HF group ($P<0.01$) (Table 2). The volume density of the islets correlated with the islet hypertrophy that was observed in the HF groups. Each of the HF, HF-A, and HF-L groups showed a greater volume density of islets than that of the SC group ($P<0.01$). However, the HF-E group recuperated the volume density of islets close to that of the SC group (Table 2).

The masses of alpha and beta cells in the islets were greater in the HF, HF-A, and HF-L groups than in the SC group ($P<0.01$). Only the HF-E group recuperated the masses of alpha and beta cells close to those of the SC group. Compared to the HF group, the alpha cell mass was 57% lower and the beta cell mass was 45% lower in the HF-E group ($P<0.001$ in both masses) (Table 2).

**Immunohistochemistry**

The SC group showed small islets with a regular pattern of islet distribution. In comparison to the SC group, the HF, HF-A,
and HF-L groups showed increased glucagon (Figure 5A) and insulin (Figure 6A) immunoreactivity in alpha and beta cells, respectively. In these groups, the islets showed alpha cells in both the core and periphery and a thickening of the layer of non-beta cells, with disorganization in the distribution of the endocrine cells (Figure 5B). In addition, supporting the findings of insulin secretion and islet hypertrophy, the beta cell mass increased in the HF, HF-A, and HF-L groups (Table 2), and the disorganized histoarchitecture was consistent with the dysfunction of beta cells and insulin resistance (Figure 6B).

The appearance of the alpha and beta cell distributions was similar between the SC group and the HF-E group (Figures 5C and 6C), which is consistent with the findings on the plasma insulin and glucagon levels in these groups.

**Western blotting analyses**

The protein expressions in the HF groups were expressed as a percentage of the protein expression in the SC group. All Western blotting data were normalized to beta actin, which was...
used as a loading control. Beta actin expression did not differ among the SC, HF and HF-treated groups (data not shown).

**Pdx1 and GLUT2.** The islet expression of Pdx1 and GLUT2 was lower in the HF group (P<0.001 and 0.0001, respectively), in the HF-A group (P<0.05 and 0.001, respectively), and in the HF-L group (P<0.05 and 0.001, respectively) than in the SC group (Figure 7). The Pdx1 and GLUT2 expression levels were not different between the HF-E and the SC groups.

**RAS classical axis: Renin, ACE, AT1R and AT2R.** Renin expression was higher in the treated groups, similar to the HF groups, than in the SC group (P<0.0001, in all cases) (Figure 8A and B). This result indicates that the islet RAS is upregulated in animal models of insulin resistance and obesity that are induced by diet. ACE expression was lower in the HF-A group (P<0.01) compared to the HF group (Figure 8A and C). This result is most likely due to renin activity inhibition by aliskiren. However, ACE expression was higher in the HF, HF-E and HF-L groups compared to SC and HF-A groups (P<0.01) (Figure 8C).

**AT1R expression in the treatment groups was similar to that of the SC group and significantly different from that of the HF group (P<0.01) (Figure 8A and D).** Unlike AT1R, AT2R expression increased in all treated groups relative to the HF group (P<0.01); however, the peak levels were higher in the HF-L group (P<0.0001) (Figure 8A and E).

### ACE2/Mas receptor axis.

The HF-A and HF-L groups had ACE2 expression levels similar to those of the HF group and lower than those of the SC group (P<0.01). Only treatment with enalapril caused an increase of ACE2 expression (P<0.0001 vs. HF group) (Figure 9A and B). The Mas receptor expression was significantly lower in the HF, HF-A, and HF-L groups than in the SC group (P<0.01). In addition, Mas receptor expression was highest in the HF-E group (Figure 9A and C).

### Discussion

The present study compared RAS blockers, including a direct renin inhibitor, an ACE inhibitor and an AT1R antagonist, in a mice model of diet-induced obesity and insulin resistance. All treatments significantly attenuated the increased BP in HF mice. However, HF mice treated with enalapril, in addition to a reduction in both energy intake and BM, showed improved glucose tolerance and insulin sensitivity (as indicated by the improvement of fasting plasma insulin and glucose, as well as higher serum adiponectin), enhanced islet remodeling, normalization of both alpha and beta cell masses, and sustained beta cell function (Pdx1 and GLUT2 expression), compared to the HF group. Among the different mechanisms that may explain these findings, primarily the decreased energy intake and BM, the increase of the ACE2/Mas receptor axis is a prime candidate. Our current results may contribute to understanding the role of systemic and local RAS in modulating the physiological effects of insulin in response to a HF diet.

The HF diet yielded overweight C57BL/6 mice with the highest BP and high expression levels of components of the RAS, among all the groups. In other words, the expression of renin, ACE and AT1R were increased in HF mice, proving the activation of classical RAS intra-islets. Ang II may be responsible for triggering inflammation by inducing oxidative stress [24], abnormalities of islet blood flow regulation, lipotoxicity and by negatively modulating insulin signaling [10], resulting in insulin resistance and endocrine pancreas dysfunction. In addition, HF mice showed the worst expression of the ACE2/Mas receptor axis. ACE2 is expressed in the liver, adipose tissue, pancreas and skeletal muscle, which constitute the primary organs implicated in insulin resistance [25,26] and exhibits its tissue-protective effects not only by decreasing Ang II but also by producing Ang (1-7). In fact, the genetic deletion of specific Ang (1-7) Mas receptors leads to a metabolic syndrome-like state in mice, implying a close relationship between these axes and glucose metabolism [13,27].

There is substantial cross-talk between the insulin and Ang II signaling cascades, offering a potential mechanism for Ang II to impair insulin sensitivity [10]. Mice fed a HF diet have greater islet mass, accumulation of fat within the pancreas, hyperglycemia, and high plasma insulin and beta cell mass, resulting in a high HOMA-IR. There is mounting evidence that the acute exposure of pancreatic beta cells to high glucose concentrations results in a substantial increase in insulin release, whereas chronic exposure results in desensitization to secretions to the point of suppression [28]. This suppression...
contributes to a progressive decline in beta cell function that can lead to adverse pancreatic islet remodeling by processes commonly referred to as glucotoxicity, progressing into T2DM [29].

These events result in increased intra-islet insulin concentrations and the dysregulation of glucagon secretion, increasing alpha cell turnover and ultimately releasing more glucagon into circulation [30]. This is demonstrated by the rise
in fasting plasma glucagon and alpha cell mass in the present study. Furthermore, we have observed hypertrophies and more irregularly shaped islets in the HF group. The disorganized histoarchitecture shown in this group is consistent with hyperinsulinemia and hyperglucagonemia and is characterized by alpha cell infiltration, a finding typically observed in diabetic animal models [31]. In addition, the lower levels of adiponectin observed in mice fed a HF diet, impairs insulin sensitivity through several mechanisms, including an increase in energy expenditure and fatty acid oxidation, an augmentation of the hepatic glucose output and a reduction in muscular glucose utilization [12].

This adverse islet remodeling is implicated in progressive beta cell dysfunction and determines the course of obesity-related insulin resistance. In the present study, HF mice had weak Pdx1 expression. In the mature pancreas, Pdx1 expression is linked to insulin-producing beta cells, gene transcription activation (glucose-stimulated insulin secretion) and sustained mature beta cell function. Preclinical studies demonstrated increased DNA methylation and decreased expression of Pdx1 in the development of T2DM [32]. In addition, Pdx1 also regulates the expression of GLUT2, both of which play a role in insulin resistance. In the present study, the HF diet reduced GLUT2 expression in hypertrophied islets. GLUT2 is a glucose sensor that triggers glucose-stimulated insulin secretion under physiological conditions in beta cells [33]; therefore, its decline indirectly suggests a worsening of beta cell function and insulin secretion.

The effectiveness of the doses administered to the treatment groups has been tested in previous studies in rodents (aliskiren [34], enalapril [35] and losartan [36]). Aliskiren administered to HF diet mice was efficient in reducing BP but did not significantly reverse the metabolic changes or adverse islet remodeling; it only partially enhanced the alpha cell mass and Mas receptor expression. As the initial limiting step in Ang II synthesis, renin represents a target for the complete inhibition of the RAS. However, the renin expression in islet tissue was increased by aliskiren, most likely due to inhibition of plasma renin activity [37]. In addition, renin inhibitors attenuate the production of all angiotensin derivatives from renin, inhibiting both their positive and negative effects on the metabolism. These findings suggest that the suppression of insulin resistance by aliskiren may require the use of higher doses than those used to treat high BP and cardiovascular injury [34], although aliskiren was efficient in renin inhibition in islets, as demonstrated by the observed reductions in ACE and AT1R levels.

Enalapril was the most successful treatment for the reduction of BM and insulin resistance in diet-induced obesity. The loss of body mass due to enalapril treatment is not an unexpected finding [38,39]. On the other hand, large randomized clinical trials suggest that ACE inhibitors improve insulin resistance and reduce the incidence of new-onset type 2 diabetes in high-risk patients with cardiovascular disease [40–43]. The data of the present study provide additional support for a role for the RAS in the control of energy balance and the potential for beneficial effects of ACE inhibitors as a therapeutic strategy for patients with obesity and concomitant hypertension. Furthermore, enalapril is most likely working through other pathways that modulate the reduction in BM gain (e.g., increased adiponectin that enhanced fatty acid oxidation, improved insulin and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR) gamma signaling, or increased expression of FAS) that corroborates with the decreased food intake [44,45].

Increased adiponectin levels have been associated with enhanced insulin sensitivity [45] and enalapril markedly attenuated the glucose and insulin resistance in mice in the HF group. We examined the effects of enalapril on endocrine

Figure 6. Beta cell immunohistochemistry. Photomicrographs show islets with immunoperoxidase (brown)-stained insulin (counterstained with hematoxylin, same magnification, bar = 50 µm). The SC group (A) shows smaller islets than the untreated HF group and a normal pattern of islet distribution, with beta cells restricted to the core of this structure. The untreated HF group (B) shows an increase in insulin immunoreactive-positive beta cells, representing an augmentation of beta cell mass, pancreatic islets hypertrophy, and a complete disarrangement of beta and non-beta cell distributions (arrows). Treatment with enalapril (C) displays a partial restoration of normal patterns of islet cell distributions (arrows) and islet size.

doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0067192.g006
pancreas morphology and function, including alpha and beta cell masses, the distribution of the cells into islets and Pdx1 and GLUT2 expressions. All of these parameters were improved in the mice of the HF-E group compared to the mice of the HF group. Enalapril significantly attenuated the increase of both alpha and beta cell masses, islet hypertrophy, and the islet cell disarray in the mice in the HF group. Additionally, enalapril significantly restored the Pdx1 and GLUT2 protein levels in the mice in the HF group, indicating the maintenance of beta cell function and glucose-stimulated insulin secretion. This result agrees with the idea that the ability of ACE inhibitors to augment insulin-stimulated glucose transport activity in insulin-resistant skeletal muscle is mediated primarily by the action of bradykinin, with little or no contribution from the decrease in Ang II action [46], and this effect was reproduced by acute treatment with bradykinin, mediated through its B1 and B2 receptors [14], but not reproduced with losartan and eprosartan [5,46].

Our study shows that enalapril produces an increase in the expression of ACE2 and Mas receptors in the islet. There are two counter-regulatory peptides, Ang II and Ang (1-7), produced by the balance between ACE and ACE2 [47]. Therefore, a decreased ACE/ACE2 activity ratio by long-term treatment of enalapril stimulates generation of circulating Ang (1-7), and is followed by weight loss [48]. A lipolytic effect of Ang (1-7) has been recovered by administration of a Mas receptor blocker [47], and ACE-deficient mice had less body mass and fat than controls [49]. Additionally, ACE2 gene therapy improves glycemic control in diabetic mice by improving beta cell function through a mechanism mediated by Ang (1-7) via a Mas receptor-dependent mechanism stimulates adiponectin release [50] and provides consequent beneficial
effects on glucose utilization in peripheral tissues. These results provide evidence that the increased expression of the ACE2/Mas receptor axis by enalapril contributed to the above-mentioned protection against BM gain and the maintenance of the pancreatic islet functionality.

In the current study, losartan had no impact on body composition or food intake. However, any interpretations from studies on AT1R blockers should be considered carefully, as a number of AT1R blockers (e.g., irbesartan, telmisartan) are also partial PPAR gamma agonists; PPAR gamma plays an integral role in adipose differentiation and physiology [51]. Accordingly, our recent report suggested that telmisartan prevents BM gain and the normalization of islet morphology and function in mice fed a HF diet [51]. Only telmisartan activates PPAR gamma signaling, compared with losartan [52]. In addition, in the present study, losartan did not improve glucose homeostasis, adiponectin levels or pancreatic remodeling; instead, it normalized BP, as was also shown in obese hypertensive patients treated with losartan with impaired fasting glucose [53].

Animals treated with losartan had the highest AT2R expression in islets. In this way, the ability of Ang II to stimulate AT2R in the presence of an inhibitor of AT1R provided the additional activation of AT2R, generating a positive regulatory feedback. However, chronic exposure may cause receptor desensitization by high concentrations of Ang II. The role of AT2R stimulation in the pathogenesis of insulin resistance is still unclear [54]. Studies have shown that both AT1R and AT2R may modulate fat mass expansion through the upregulation of adipose tissue lipogenesis (AT2R) and the downregulation of lipolysis (AT1R) [55]. Additionally, AT2R deficient mice are protected against obesity that is induced by adipose tissue angiotensinogen overexpression, showing that AT2R plays a major role in mediating local Ang II action on fat mass enlargement [56]. Mice lacking AT2R fail to decrease adiponectin, increase whole-body lipid oxidation, or reduce their insulin resistance when fed a HF diet, indicating that

Figure 8. Western blotting analysis illustration (A) of pancreatic islets for renin (B), ACE (C), AT1R (D), and AT2R (E) expressions. Average values were measured, and equal protein loading was confirmed by probing blots with beta actin antibodies. Each is expressed as a percentage of the SC counterpart. Data are reported as the means ± SEM, n=9; *P<0.05, one-way ANOVA and post-hoc of Holm-Sidak test: a ≠ SC; b ≠ HF; c ≠ HF-A and d ≠ HF-E.

doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0067192.g008
AT2R-mediated Ang II signaling plays a crucial role in the control of energy metabolism and in glucose homeostasis [55].

The ACE2 and Mas receptors expression levels in islets were lower in HF-L mice than in either SC or HF-E mice. This agrees with reports that the reduced activity of the counter-regulatory ACE2/Ang (1-7)/Mas axis results in glucose intolerance and reduced insulin sensitivity [57]. Mas receptor-deficient mice and/or mice with ACE2 ablation showed insulin resistance that was not eliminated by AT1R blockers but could be eradicated by Ang (1–7) [25,27]. Thus, losartan did not cause any changes in ACE2/Ang (1-7)/Mas axis expression, and a reduced activity of the counter-regulatory ACE2/Ang (1-7)/Mas axis was found in enalapril treatment.

The effects of a RAS inhibitor on glucose homeostasis have been controversial and depend on the experimental model of obesity and insulin resistance, the time of starting the drug treatment, and differences in the dosage and duration of drug treatment. One of the limitations of the current study is that we did not measure plasma or tissue concentrations of Ang (1-7). However, this drawback does not exclude the results found by this work, as demonstrated by the G protein-coupled receptor expression, Mas, the specific receptor mediator of actions of Ang (1-7).

**Conclusion**

The present study compared RAS blockers in mice fed HF diets and concluded that enalapril treatment greatly affected BM, energy intake and glucose tolerance, the normalization of the islet structure, both alpha cell and beta cell masses, and overall function (Pdx1 and GLUT2 expression). Thus, the current study provides evidence that enalapril protects the pancreatic islets against adverse remodeling in diet-induced obese mice with insulin resistance. The main findings include the following: (i) a reduction in food and energy intake that results in a small BM gain; (ii) an increase of adiponectin levels; (iii) an increase in the activity of the counter-regulatory ACE2/Ang (1-7)/Mas axis. In addition, losartan does not
improve neither beta cell function nor insulin responsiveness in the HF mice, but increases the AT2R expression in islets. This indicates a role for AT2R in obesity-related disorders, principally regarding energy metabolism and insulin resistance. Further experimental and clinical studies are needed to clarify the precise mechanisms of RAS blockers, whether alone or in combination with other drugs, in cases of hypertension associated with T2DM. ACE inhibitors may be a promising therapeutic agent for obesity and its complications.
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