Could Avatar Therapy Enhance Mental Health in Chronic Patients? A Systematic Review
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Abstract: The use of avatars in the psychological treatment of some chronic diseases is in their infancy, and it represents a growing field of research with many possibilities for innovation. However, there is a lack of studies seeking to determine if avatar-based therapies could improve mental health in chronic care settings. In fact, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first systematic review addressing this research topic. The objectives of this study were to review the scientific literature on those studies involving avatar-based therapies for chronic patients, as well as different ways to develop them and their efficacy on mental health outcomes. Two main questions were addressed: (1) Are avatar-based strategies useful to improve mental health in chronic patients? (2) Which is the best way to develop avatar-based strategies regarding chronic diseases? A systematic review of the peer-reviewed literature was conducted in March 2021. The results were, not only useful for establishing suitable ways to develop avatar-based strategies to improve mental health in chronic care, but also for providing an ad hoc taxonomy regarding this research topic. Five modalities were established according to technological complexity and avatar presentation. Most of the studies were effective (61.1%) in enhancing mental health in chronic care.
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1. Introduction

Addressing chronic illnesses is one of the greatest international challenges for public health in the 21st century in terms of both the continuous suffering experienced by the patient, and the large-scale socio-economic consequences; particularly in the poorest and most vulnerable countries with average or low incomes [1].

The intervention strategies undertaken to tackle chronic illnesses are eminently preventative and focus on the self-management of symptoms [2,3]. These techniques include, for example, educational meetings to improve knowledge of the illness and a sense of responsibility [4]; lifestyle changes, including daily physical exercise, a balanced diet, weight control and reducing stress levels [5]; and the implementation of actions geared towards increasing perceived self-efficacy in health [3]. As such, we seek to improve the prognosis of chronic illness [2] and obtain relevant benefits in terms of the physical and psychological health of patients, which will increase their quality of life [5].

In order to improve patient chronic care, several digital health tools have recently been used, including avatar-based therapies. Avatars are digital animations or self-representations that allow users to interact in a virtual environment [3,6,7]. Furthermore, they can be personalized so that they are more realistic and are as similar as possible to the patient using them, which helps patients to better identify with them [8]. Avatars have been used in many different fields, including in healthcare and educational settings.
For example, they have been developed with obesity patients [9–11] and to treat mental health diseases such as depressive disorders [12], eating disorders [13,14], addictive disorders [15,16], social anxiety disorders [17–19] and agoraphobia [20]. They have also been developed as educational tools in order to enhance healthcare staff performance [21–25].

Facial emotion recognition is another area of study in which virtual reality has been used. The results found in the scientific literature suggest that immersive virtual reality, through the use of avatars, can be used as a tool for training patients in recognizing emotions [26]. This finding is particularly useful for people with schizophrenia, as they find it difficult to recognize facial emotions, causing alterations in their interpersonal relationships [27,28]. Its usefulness has also been established for people with autism, given their deficiency in social cognition and communication [29], and for major depressive disorders in which patients have a negative response bias towards sadness, tending to assess positive, neutral, and ambiguous facial expressions as being sadder [30]. The use of avatars for autism spectrum disorders (ASD) is of particular interest. It has been found that an improvement in the social behavior of minors diagnosed with ASD can be achieved when using a humanoid robot or avatar as opposed to a human [31]. Furthermore, in patients with autism, other benefits have also been shown, such as being able to imitate the movements of the avatar [32]; improving the ability to recognize and express basic emotions [33]; and increasing the capability for social interactions, by practicing verbal and non-verbal behavior in virtual reality environments [34].

Virtual reality is also booming in the context of video games, where tools have been developed and are becoming increasingly more accessible to users. These include the virtual reality devices head-mounted display (HMD), Oculus Go®, HTC Vive®, and Playstation®’s connected virtual reality platform. Despite the growth in virtual reality technology, therapies based on virtual reality in the clinical setting have not experienced the massive accessibility that recreational activities have seen, with mental health professionals still having relatively low access to such devices [35].

Avatars may be used in many different ways. They have been used through two-dimensional electronic devices (e.g., with an app on a mobile telephone or tablet, in which the avatar provides an extensive range of information according to the purpose of use; as well as via an online platform on which users can access a two-dimensional virtual environment and interact with other users via their avatars); through three-dimensional virtual reality, using a technology known as augmented reality, via which three-dimensional digital images can be incorporated and interacted with in a real-life physical environment [36]; and through mixed reality, which combines augmented and virtual reality, combining the physical and virtual worlds, and allowing the immersive interaction of the subject with this mixed reality [37]. However, there are still many questions regarding the best way to use avatars to improve the care of chronic patients, such as: What kind of patient would benefit the most from this treatment? What psychological variables could be improved with the use of this kind of technology (e.g., anxiety and depressive symptoms, quality of life, coping and symptom self-management strategies)? Therefore, a greater number of studies that review the use of this kind of technology in the field of psychology are required. Through these, the patients that could most highly benefit from its use, and the variables that could be most improved by it, may be determined. Similarly, factors that could indicate its unsuitability for certain groups of patients could also be determined.

Recent systematic reviews have indicated the different uses of avatar-based therapies for chronic patients in the area of mental health [7,38]. Despite the fact that avatars are used in different ways and with patients suffering from diverse conditions, no standard description exists of the different ways an avatar can be used with chronic patients. Such a description would make it easier for researchers and/or systemized clinics to use and test the methods’ effectiveness. There is also no categorization of the psychological variables that could benefit from using this kind of technology with chronic patients.

Therefore, the objectives of this study are as follows: (1) to determine the specific chronic pathologies in which avatars have been used; (2) to categorize different kinds of
avatars according to how they have been used in order to tackle psychological variables in patients diagnosed with a chronic illness; (3) to establish the kind of psychological variables that have been studied, including the standardized instruments used for their evaluation; (4) to specify whether or not said psychological variables have benefited from the use of avatars (effectiveness); (5) to specify the level of patient satisfaction with the use of avatars.

Following the review of the scientific literature on the use of avatars in mental illness treatment, and having established the objectives of this paper, the methodology used in the systematic review and the estimated risk of methodological bias are discussed in the following sections.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. General Description

A systematic search strategy was implemented in March 2021 to find all the relevant studies that involved the use of avatars to treat chronic illnesses. The systematic research protocol is registered in PROSPERO (CRD42021248171).

2.2. Scientific Literature Review

Selection criterion: The study papers considered relevant were those in which a psychological intervention was undertaken, including the use of an avatar, with the aim of improving any psychological variable of an adult patient (over 18 years old) diagnosed with a chronic condition.

Papers that did not include adult patients (under 18 s) and that were not published in English were excluded, as were manuscripts that did not study any psychological variables (focusing on medical concepts or technological aspects), protocols with unpublished results, studies geared towards educating or teaching, and those that did not include a psychological intervention.

The search strategy was guided by the standards of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) 2020 Statement. Studies were searched for in EBSCO (PsycINFO and MEDLINE), PubMed, Scopus, Ovid, and The Cochrane Library in March 2021. When conducting the search, we sought keywords in the field “Abstract” in EBSCO and Ovid, in “Title/Abstract” in PubMed, in “Title/Abstract/Keywords” in Scopus and in “Keywords” in The Cochrane Library. Results with the following keywords were extracted: “avatar + review”, “avatar + chronic illness”, “avatar + chronic disease”, “avatar + patient care”, “avatar + anxiety”, “avatar + depression”, “avatar + quality of life” and “avatar + mental health”. Two of the authors of this study (MF and ER) independently reviewed the titles and abstracts of all the search results in order to identify eligible papers. If, after reading the titles and the abstracts, a reviewer considered that a reference was relevant, the full text of the paper was extracted. Duplicated studies were eliminated.

Data extraction: All references were downloaded and inserted into a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet, and duplicates were removed. A total of 2 independent investigators conducted the screening for inclusion and exclusion criteria in 3 phases: first, the titles of the records were assessed; then their abstracts; finally, the full-text papers. After this, Cohen kappa scores were calculated to measure the inter-rater agreement between the two investigators (MF and ER). The interpretation of the Cohen kappa coefficient was calculated using SPSS version 27 (IBM Corp) based on the categories developed by Douglas Altman [39]: 0.00–0.20 (poor), 0.21–0.40 (fair), 0.41–0.60 (moderate), 0.61–0.80 (good), and 0.81–1.00 (very good) [39,40]. The two raters consulted a third investigator (CM) in case of disagreements.

2.3. Data

The following data were extracted from the papers selected: (1) year of publication, (2) country of study, (3) type of study, (4) objective of study, (5) number of participants, (6) average age, (7) chronic pathology diagnosed, (8) type of avatar used, (9) user identification with the avatar, (10) device with which the avatar was created, (11) variables
evaluated and evaluation instrument, (12) additional information, (13) results obtained, (14) statistical method, (15) effectiveness of the intervention, and (16) participant satisfaction. Disagreements were resolved by consensus. The reporting of this systematic review was guided by the standards of PRISMA 2020 Statement (the details are provided in Supplementary File S2).

2.4. Risk of Methodological Bias

As the research designs of the papers included were extremely varied, it was decided, for the purpose of analyzing the transparency of the studies and the quality of the corresponding evidence, to assess the risk of bias via the Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT) verification list [41]. The tool used comprises 25 items and a score of 0 or 1 was assigned for each RCT included, indicating whether or not the study satisfactorily met the criteria. Studies different from RCTs were also analyzed through the CONSORT checklist to unify the risk of bias assessment. The overall scores with the highest values indicated a lower methodological risk of bias (the details are provided in Supplementary File S3).

3. Results

3.1. Study Selection and Inclusion

Through the electronic database search, 960 results were obtained. These were reduced to 505 after the elimination of duplicates, which were evaluated based on the title and abstract. Of the 505, 463 were ruled out for not complying with the inclusion criteria, and 42 papers were selected for a full text reading. Of the 42 studies, 24 were finally ruled out on the following grounds: 11 studies were excluded for not providing published results; six papers had the objective of teaching or educating healthcare professionals or students through the use of an avatar, but did not undertake a psychological intervention; three papers did not have the objective of treating a chronic illness; two manuscripts did not relate to the study of any psychological variable; one paper included subjects under the age of 18, and one paper was a conference summary.

Finally, a total of 18 publications were included in the review. The Cohen kappa showed a substantial level of agreement, and it was categorized as “good” ($\kappa = 0.69$) (range 0.61–0.80) based on the categories developed by Altman [39]. A PRISMA flow diagram is provided in Figure 1.

3.2. Characteristics of the Studies Included

For points 1 (year of publication), 2 (country of the study) and 3 (type of study), the following results were extracted (Table 1): the 18 selected studies were published between 2010 and 2020 (38.9%). Many of them ($n = 7$) were published in 2020 [3,42–47]. The majority of the studies were conducted in the United States ($n = 6$) [6,25,43–45,48], Australia ($n = 4$) [3,49–51] and the United Kingdom ($n = 4$) [42,46,52,53]. The remaining papers were published in Canada [54], China [47], Ireland [55] and Italy [56].
Figure 1. Systematic review of the literature flowchart.

Table 1. Characteristics of included papers.

| Study                  | Publication Year | Country   | Type of Study          |
|------------------------|------------------|-----------|------------------------|
| Aali et al. [42]       | 2020             | England   | Review                 |
| Andrade et al. [6]     | 2015             | USA       | RCT ¹                  |
| Cho et al. [43]        | 2020             | USA       | RCT                    |
| Clarke et al. [55]     | 2019             | Ireland   | Review                 |
| Dang et al. [44]       | 2020             | USA       | Feasibility study      |
| du Sert et al. [54]    | 2018             | Canada    | RCT                    |
| Falconer et al. [52]   | 2017             | England   | Feasibility study      |
| Leff et al. [53]       | 2013             | England   | RCT                    |
| Pinto et al. [48]      | 2013             | USA       | Pilot testing          |
| Robinson-Whelen et al. [45] | 2020           | USA       | RCT                    |
| Rus-Calafell et al. [46]| 2020             | England   | RCT                    |
| Stewart et al. [25]    | 2010             | USA       | Narrative review       |
| Thomas et al. [49]     | 2019             | Australia | Narrative review       |
| Tong et al. [47]       | 2020             | China     | Case series            |
| Tongpeth et al. [50]   | 2018             | Australia | Feasibility pilot testing |
| Triberti et al. [56]   | 2019             | Italy     | Pilot testing          |
| Wonggom et al. [51]    | 2019             | Australia | Review                 |
| Wonggom et al. [3]     | 2020             | Australia | RCT                    |

¹ RCT: randomized controlled trial.

The most common studies were randomized controlled trials (RCTs) \((n = 7)\) [3,6,43,45,46,53,54], used in 38.9\% of the studies, followed by reviews \((n = 3)\) [42,51,55], accounting for 16.7\% of the papers. Other types of studies used included feasibility
studies ($n = 2$) [44,52], narrative reviews ($n = 2$) [25,49] and pilot testing ($n = 2$) [48,56], each corresponding to 11.1%. The least common were feasibility pilot tests ($n = 1$) [50] and case series ($n = 1$) [47], each accounting for 5.6% of the total (Table 1).

For points 4 (objective of the study), 5 (number of participants), 6 (average age) and 7 (chronic pathology diagnosed), the following results were extracted:

The objectives of the studies were extremely varied. In 22.2% of the studies ($n = 4$), the effectiveness was examined in terms of educating patients using avatar-based technology regarding the knowledge and the self-care behavior of patients with chronic conditions [3,48,50,51], as well as the effect of the therapy [6,42,54,55]. In 16.7% of the papers ($n = 3$) [45,49,52] the viability and acceptability of the use of an avatar was studied. In the remaining studies, the adherence of patients to, and the acceptability of, the intervention were evaluated ($n = 1$) [44]; the benefits of the intervention based on virtual reality were examined ($n = 1$) [47]; the opinions of consumers regarding the avatar-based application were studied ($n = 1$) [43]; the effect of the feeling of presence afforded by having a voice connected to an avatar with regard to the reduction of anxiety was explored ($n = 1$) [46]; a computerized system that allowed patients to speak to the avatar was developed ($n = 1$) [53]; the relationship between the personalized avatar and the symptoms of anxiety and depression was explored ($n = 1$) [56].

The number of participants ranged from 5, in the paper with the lowest number of subjects [47], to 1535, in a review that compiled information from several studies [55]. In total, 44.4% of the papers ($n = 8$) [44,45,48,50,52–54,56] included between 10 and 30 participants (Table 2).

The average age of patients was 50.36 years (SD = 10.59). However, nine papers did not disclose the ages of the participants [25,42,44,45,48,49,51,53,55] (Table 2).

A wide variety of diagnosed chronic pathologies for which avatars were used were found, the most common being schizophrenia/psychosis ($n = 6$) [42,46,49,53–55], accounting for 33.3% of cases; cardiovascular disease ($n = 2$) [3,50] and cancer ($n = 2$) [44,56]; overactive bladder ($n = 1$) [6]; HIV ($n = 1$) [43]; borderline personality disorder ($n = 1$) [52]; depression ($n = 1$) [48]; spinal cord injury ($n = 1$) [45] and phantom limb pain ($n = 1$) [47].

### Table 2. Characteristics of included papers (part 2).

| Study                | Participant Number | Mean Age | Target Population                                                                 |
|---------------------|--------------------|----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Aali et al. [42]    | 195                | Not provided | Schizophrenia or related disorders                                                |
| Andrade et al. [6]  | 41                 | 61       | Overactive bladder                                                                |
| Cho et al. [43]     | 39                 | 55       | HIV with HANA conditions                                                          |
| Clarke et al. [55]  | 1535               | Not provided | Psychosis                                                                         |
| Dang et al. [44]    | 11                 | Not provided | Cancer                                                                            |
| du Sert et al. [54] | 19                 | 42.9     | Schizophrenia                                                                     |
| Falconer et al. [52] | 11            | 31.2     | Borderline personality disorder                                                    |
| Leff et al. [53]    | 26                 | Not provided | Schizophrenia                                                                     |
| Pinto et al. [48]   | 28                 | Not provided | Depression                                                                        |
| Robinson-Whelen et al. [45] | 21   | Not provided | Spinal cord injury                                                                 |
| Rus-Calafell et al. [46] | 39          | 43.87    | Schizophrenia                                                                     |
| Stewart et al. [25] | Not provided      | Not provided | People with disabilities (physical, psychological, cognitive rehabilitation, chronically ill, convalescing or homebound) |
| Thomas et al. [49]  | Not provided      | Not provided | Schizophrenia                                                                     |
| Tong et al. [47]    | 5                  | 50.2     | Phantom limb pain (brachial plexus avulsion injury and amputees’ outpatients)       |
| Tongpeth et al. [50] | 10                | 52.2     | Acute coronary syndrome                                                            |
| Triberti et al. [56] | 22               | 49.4     | Cancer                                                                            |
| Wonggom et al. [51] | 752                | Not provided | Chronic disease (cardiovascular and chronic respiratory disease, diabetes, cancer) |
| Wonggom et al. [3]  | 36                 | 67.5     | Heart failure                                                                     |
Two systematic reviews included several pathologies, one of which ($n = 1$) referred to multiple chronic illnesses (cardiovascular disease and chronic respiratory disease, diabetes, and cancer) [51], and the other ($n = 1$) to a disability (physical, psychological, or cognitive rehabilitation) or subjects that are either suffering from a chronic illness, are convalescing, or are housebound [25] (Table 2).

In relation to points 8 (type of avatar used), 9 (user identification with the avatar) and 10 (device with which the avatar was created), the following results were extracted (Table 3): five different modalities were categorized during use of an avatar (Figure 2), along with the corresponding percentages of frequency of appearance in the papers (Figure 3). The five different modalities consisted of the following:

- **Modality 1 (Graphic representation)—**The avatar as a “virtual twin” without interaction with the patient. The simplest modality, from a technological point of view, this involved a two-dimensional graphic representation produced on a mobile or tablet screen, with the avatar representing the user. In the study in which this modality was used ($n = 1$) [56], the patients with breast cancer experienced a higher degree of identification with the avatar, given that they were able to personalize it to have a greater level of physical similarity to them.

- **Modality 2 (Virtual representation: F2F with symptoms)—**The avatar as “another virtual patient that interacts only with the patient”. This was a two-dimensional virtual representation of an avatar that embodied the symptoms of the user and with which they could communicate face to face. It was the second most used modality ($n = 4$) [42,46,53,55], and the objective of the studies that applied this kind of avatar was to make subjects confront their own auditory hallucinations in a face-to-face conversation, so that, with help from the therapist, who also guided the avatar, they could gradually take control of these hallucinations.

- **Modality 3 (Virtual environment)—**The avatar as a “virtual twin that interacts with the avatars of other patients”. This modality involved a digital self-representation in a non-immersive, two-dimensional virtual environment ($n = 3$) [25,45,52]. Here, the users could interact with other people, also represented by avatars, on a screen through a written and/or audio messaging platform. The fact that it was not immersive meant that the virtual environment was two-dimensional rather than three-dimensional and users did not receive sensory stimuli, which does occur in immersive experiences. Two of the studies that used this modality [25,45] used the Second Life© platform (SL), and, while one [25] allowed users to personalize the avatar, the other [45] did not specify whether the users adapted the avatars to resemble themselves. The other study [52] used the ProReal© platform, and the degree of identification with the avatar was low, as the representations consisted of colorless silhouettes with neither facial nor bodily elements.

- **Modality 4 (Embodiment)—**The avatar as a “virtual incarnation that interacts with the avatars of other patients”. This modality entailed the incarnation of an avatar from a first-person perspective using a virtual reality device called a head-mounted display (HMD) ($n = 2$), which creates a three-dimensional, non-immersive virtual environment that reduced the users’ feeling of pain [47] or improved their verbal communication via the movements and gestures involved in operating the avatar [44];

- **Modality 5 (Graphic representation: virtual health coach)—**The avatar as “coach” that only interacts with the patient. The graphic representation here could also comprise an avatar that represented a person other than the user. This was the modality most frequently used ($n = 5$) [3,6,43,48,50]. Here, the avatar was used as a virtual health coach that guided and instructed the user in managing their illness. In one study using this modality [6], two types of avatars were used: one with a high degree of physical resemblance to the patient, a self-avatar “peer” mentor (SAP) that produced an increased sense of identification; and another that had no physical resemblance to the user, a generic avatar coach (GAC), the sense of identification with which was consequentially lower.
As regards the three remaining papers, in two [49,51], information was compiled from several studies employing different avatar modalities, and in the other [54], a combination of virtual representation (F2F with symptoms) and embodiment was used. Here, the users confronted their symptoms by talking to an avatar which embodied them (Modality 2), while also having a three-dimensional immersive experience through the virtual reality device (Modality 4).

The devices most commonly used to generate the avatar, accounting for 16.7%, were mobile apps (n = 3) [3,50,56], computer systems (n = 3) [42,53,55], online platforms (n = 3) [6,25,45] and headset devices (n = 3) [44,47,54]. The least used were screen-based devices (n = 1) [48] and virtual reality software (n = 1) [52], at 5.6%. Lastly, four papers (n = 4) did not provide information on the type of device used [43,46,49,51].

Figure 2. Categorization of avatars.

Figure 3. Avatar percentage.
Table 3. Types of avatars, users’ identification with the avatars, and device.

| Study                  | Avatar                      | Identification | Device               |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|----------------------|
| Aali et al. [42]       | F2F with symptoms           | No             | Computerized system  |
| Andrade et al. [6]     | Virtual health coach        | Both           | Online platform      |
| Cho et al. [43]        | Virtual health coach        | Yes            | Not applicable       |
| Clarke et al. [55]     | F2F with symptoms           | No             | Computerized system  |
| Dang et al. [44]       | Embodiment                  | Yes            | Headset              |
| du Sert et al. [54]    | Embodiment and F2F with symptoms | Both          | Headset              |
| Falconer et al. [52]   | Virtual environment         | No             | VR software          |
| Leff et al. [53]       | F2F with symptoms           | No             | Computerized system  |
| Pinto et al. [48]      | Virtual health coach        | No             | Screen-based         |
| Robinson-Whelen et al. [45] | Virtual environment     | Not applicable | Online platform      |
| Rus-Calafell et al. [46] | Virtual environment         | Not applicable | Not applicable       |
| Stewart et al. [25]    | Virtual environment         | Not applicable | Online platform      |
| Thomas et al. [49]     | Several types of avatars    | Not applicable | Not applicable       |
| Tong et al. [47]       | Embodiment                  | Yes            | Headset              |
| Tongpeth et al. [50]   | Virtual health coach        | No             | App                  |
| Triberti et al. [56]   | Graphic representation     | Yes            | App                  |
| Wonggom et al. [51]    | Several types of avatars    | Not applicable | Not applicable       |
| Wonggom et al. [3]     | Virtual health coach        | No             | App                  |

As regards points 11 (variables evaluated and evaluation instrument), 12 (additional information), 13 (results obtained) and 14 (statistical method), the following results were extracted: the variables most studied were quality of life \( (n = 5) \) [6,42,44,51,54], psychotic symptoms \( (n = 5) \) [46,49,53–55] and symptoms of depression \( (n = 5) \) [45,47,53,54,56], found in 27.8% of the studies, followed by symptoms of anxiety \( (n = 4) \) [46,47,54,56], which appeared in 22.2% of the studies. Self-care behavior \( (n = 3) \) [3,45,51], knowledge of the illness \( (n = 3) \) [3,50,51], self-efficiency [6,45,51] and beliefs regarding the voices [46,53,54] appeared in 16.7% of the studies. The rest of the variables appeared only in one or two studies.

The instruments used were extremely varied. Those used with greater frequency, appearing in 22.2% of the studies, were PSYRATS [57] \( (n = 4) \) [42,53–55] and BAVQ-R [58] \( (n = 4) \) [42,46,53,54], while PHQ-9 [59] which was used in 11.1% \( (n = 2) \) of the studies [45,56]. The other instruments are set out in the multimedia Supplementary File S1.

Additionally, it was found that in 38.9% of the studies \( (n = 7) \) [3,43,44,46,48,53,54], a follow-up was undertaken after the intervention; 22.2% performed a literature review \( (n = 4) \) [25,42,49,55], one of which [55] reviewed 21 papers while another [42] reviewed three trials; in 22.2% of the studies \( (n = 4) \), an outcome measure was undertaken prior to applying the intervention, and another after it (pre-post) [6,47,50,51]; in two studies \( (n = 2) \) [45,56], the measure was only undertaken after the treatment (post), which, in one of the studies, was done via telephone [45]; lastly, in another study \( (n = 1) \) [52] group sessions were carried out at weekly intervals.

In 16.7% of the studies \( (n = 3) \), the avatar-based technology geared towards educating patients were shown to have a positive effect on the knowledge and self-care behaviors that foster health in patients with chronic illnesses, compared with normal care [3,45,51], as well as the corresponding self-efficiency [51]; in 11.1% of the studies \( (n = 2) \) [47,48], an improvement in symptoms of anxiety and depression was observed, as well as a significant improvement in the pain rating [47], and two studies \( (n = 2) \) [53,54] found significant improvements in terms of psychotic symptoms. In the other studies, an increase was observed in the score relating to knowledge, as well as in patient satisfaction after using
the application \( (n = 1) \) [50]; an inverse relationship was found between positive attitudes (kindness, intensity, and appeal) towards the avatars that realistically represented the patient and symptoms of anxiety and depression \( (n = 1) \) [56]; significant improvements in quality of life were found \( (n = 1) \) [6]. Finally, one study \( (n = 1) \) [42] found a lack of clear evidence for or against the use of avatar therapy as a treatment for people suffering from serious mental illnesses, while another study \( (n = 1) \) [55] added that the avatar-based therapies seem promising.

Extensive heterogeneity was found in the statistical methods used in the studies (see multimedia Supplementary File S1), with diversity in the presentation of the results obtained. A single meta-analysis was found \( (n = 1) \) [55].

Lastly, as regards points 15 (effectiveness of the intervention) and 16 (participant satisfaction), the following results were extracted (see Table 4): 61.1% of the studies \( (n = 11) \) [3,6,43,45–48,50,51,53,54] found that the avatar-based intervention was effective, while other authors \( (n = 4) \) concluded that there was a lack of effectiveness [42,44,52,55] or that the information was simply not provided \( (n = 3) \) [25,49,56]. Patient satisfaction was high in 44.4% of the studies \( (n = 8) \) [3,25,43–45,50–52].

| Study                  | Effectiveness | Patient Satisfaction Measured |
|------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|
| Aali et al. [42]       | No            | Unknown                       |
| Andrade et al. [6]     | Yes           | Unknown                       |
| Cho et al. [43]        | Yes           | Yes                           |
| Clarke et al. [55]     | No            | Unknown                       |
| Dang et al. [44]       | No            | Unknown                       |
| du Sert et al. [54]    | Yes           | Unknown                       |
| Falconer et al. [52]   | No            | Yes                           |
| Leff et al. [53]       | Yes           | Unknown                       |
| Pinto et al. [48]      | Yes           | Unknown                       |
| Robinson-Whelen et al. [45] | Yes | Yes |
| Rus-Calafell et al. [46] | Yes | Unknown |
| Stewart et al. [25]    | Unknown       | Yes                           |
| Thomas et al. [49]     | Unknown       | Unknown                       |
| Tong et al. [47]       | Yes           | Unknown                       |
| Tongpeth et al. [50]   | Yes           | Yes                           |
| Triberti et al. [56]   | Unknown       | Unknown                       |
| Wonggom et al. [51]    | Yes           | Yes                           |
| Wonggom et al. [3]     | Yes           | Yes                           |

4. Discussion

The use of avatars in treating illnesses has advanced in recent years, offering many possibilities in terms of the pathologies they are geared towards. Although not all the benefits of this kind of intervention are currently known, good results have been obtained in the treatment of anxiety in disorders such as agoraphobia [20] and social phobia [17–19], as well as in treating eating disorders [13,14], addictions [15,16], weight control [9–11], facial pattern recognition [26–30], and ASD [31–33]. Education is another area in which they have been used. As such, many have tried to improve the skills of healthcare professionals through role-play exercises in specifically designed virtual environments [21–25]. The objective of this paper was to review the scientific literature on studies that have used avatars to treat patients with chronic conditions in order to discover the most suitable intervention strategies and determine whether such interventions improve the mental health of said patients.

After reviewing the 18 papers included in this systematic review, five modalities were established according to technological complexity and avatar presentation. These were: Modality 1—“Graphic representation”, only used in one study [56], in which patients diagnosed with cancer described a high degree of identification with the avatar as they
were allowed to personalize it so that it bore a resemblance to them. The authors did not provide information on either the effectiveness of the avatar intervention (created in a mobile app) or patient satisfaction. However, they did confirm that anxiety and depression seem to be inversely related to the attitude of the patient towards the avatar representing them. Modality 2—“Virtual representation: F2F with symptoms”, the second most commonly used modality [42,46,53,55]. Patients affirmed that the hallucinations described by the avatars resembled their own, but they did not report any sense of identification with them [42,46,53,55]. The condition for which this modality was used was schizophrenia or psychotic disorders, and the results show that this intervention was effective in two of the five studies [46,53], finding that the frequency and intensity of the hallucinations, as well as the perception of omnipotence and wickedness in the voices [53], paranoia effects, and levels of self-reported anxiety [46], were all significantly reduced. The avatar therapy produced fear and stress via the invoking of hallucinations, but in a safe space and under conditions controlled by the therapist. This allowed them to incorporate new information and change their relationship with the voices, thus achieving a reduction in anxiety during the sessions. In this regard, recent research [60] has revealed that empathic listening—attempting to reconcile with the avatar representing their auditory hallucinations and taking control of the experience—seems to improve the relationship between the patients and their hallucinated voices. As such, the interaction between the strong sense of presence of the hallucinations and the decrease in anxiety was key in reducing the frequency of the hallucinations, although the level of patient satisfaction was unknown, as the authors did not provide this information. In the avatar modality that entailed a digital self-representation in a non-immersive, two-dimensional virtual environment (Type 3) [25,45,52], the users could interact with other people (also represented by avatars) via a screen, through written and/or audio messaging. In this modality, the avatar could be personalized to make it more realistic, and it could be made to resemble the patients as much as possible, which increased their identification with it. They could also incorporate differences in order to maintain anonymity or address intentions and aspirations that were currently unrealistic for them due to the limitations of their condition. This was the case for patients with some kind of disability (physical, psychological, cognitive rehabilitation, chronic illnesses, convalescing or housebound) [25], who, through the avatar, were able to walk, perform a job they had always wanted, and interact with other users, giving them a greater feeling of empowerment and of being more socially connected than in their normal daily environment. All participants rated SL as enjoyable, with the majority describing it as more enjoyable and more convenient than meeting face-to-face. The use of avatars did not hinder the development of support among group members. In fact, several participants explicitly expressed satisfaction with the anonymity of SL [45]. One of the three studies that used this modality [45] was effective. It found that patients with a spinal cord injury noticed an improvement in two behaviors that foster health (spiritual growth and interpersonal relations), and in these three studies [25,45,52], the satisfaction of users was high. In the study of patients diagnosed with borderline personality disorder [52], the authors found that the combination of avatars and mentalization-based therapy (MBT) was useful in providing patients with perspective, group participation, expression, aloofness, and the general experience, but added that more research was required with controlled trials to assess the effectiveness of this type of intervention. Modality 4—“Embodiment”, a term for virtual reality that provides a much greater sense of presence than other modalities. Patients with whom this modality was used had been diagnosed with cancer [44], had phantom limb pain after an amputation, or had a brachial plexus avulsion injury [47]. In addition to helping users experience real-world sensations via identification with the avatar, this modality allowed a greater degree of identification between the user and the avatar. That was due to the use of the HMD device, via which the subject personified the avatar in an immersive virtual environment. In one of the studies that used this modality [47], this was found to be effective in reducing the pain felt by patients, and in improving the levels of anxiety and depression. However, it was not possible to determine whether or not the level
of patient satisfaction was high. The majority of the studies used Modality 5—“Graphic representation (virtual health coach)”, in which the avatar represented a virtual health coach guiding the user in managing their illness. All the interventions undertaken with this avatar modality [3,6,43,48,50] were effective; specifically, in 40% of the studies, the patients had heart disease [3,50], and the use of an avatar application improved specific knowledge of the condition [3,50] as well as self-care behavior [3]. In the study with patients diagnosed with an overactive bladder [6], two types of avatars were used: one with a high degree of physical resemblance to the patient (a self-avatar “peer” mentor (SAP)), which produced an increased sense of identification, and one that had no physical resemblance to the user (a generic avatar coach (GAC)), with which the sense of identification was consequentially lower. It was concluded that the benefits felt by the patients increased when there was a higher degree of identification (physical resemblance) with the avatar, achieving an improvement in quality of life and in the symptoms reported by the patients. The patients with HIV with HANA conditions [43] showed improvements in general health, as well as their management of symptoms. Furthermore, those with depression showed significant reductions in depressive symptoms during the three-month period of the study. In 50% of the studies included in this modality [3,43,50], patient satisfaction was high.

Personalizing avatars to bear a resemblance to users increases the probability that patients adopt healthier behaviors [10], as suggested by Andrade et al., [6] who stated that patients with an avatar that looked like them obtained better results than those who had no such avatar. It should be mentioned that, despite these preliminary results, the majority of studies do not allow users to design the appearance of the avatar. The fact that patients can use avatars with a greater resemblance to them, with the aim of addressing different chronic pathologies, may provide benefits, as using an avatar that is physically similar establishes a psychological or emotional bond, giving rise to a greater degree of identification. Recent data confirms that avatars with a greater physical resemblance to users, affording greater identification, are more persuasive than those that are less physically similar [61]. Therefore, designing avatars to look like users may further increase their persuasiveness [62,63]. In comparison with non-avatar computer programs, an avatar that talks establishes a relationship that is not only more appealing, but that is also more persuasive and longer lasting [64,65]. Importantly, a higher degree of identification with the avatar may give rise to positive consequences for users, such as in pursuing personal desires or needs, that the user is not able to fulfil themselves. However, negative consequences may also arise; for example, for those that use avatars to play in virtual environments, greater identification may increase the likelihood of developing a gaming addiction [66]. As such, a lower degree of identification with the avatar is a variable that may be beneficial for some patients, but not others. Future research in this regard is required in order to specify the extent to which, and the kinds of patients for whom, greater physical resemblance (identification) between the user and the avatar is advisable.

The most commonly used instruments were PSYRATS [57] and BAVQ-R [58] for assessing psychotic symptoms and PHQ-9 [59] for assessing the health and mood of patients. All three of these are deemed reliable and valid in assessing different constructs. PSYRATS [57] is a structured and hetero-applied scale that helps assess the seriousness of psychotic symptoms. It comprises 17 items with Likert-type responses of 0–4 and an administration time of 10–30 min; it is applicable to an adult population (over 18 s) and groups the items into two scales for the assessment of auditory hallucinations and the assessment of delirium, respectively, which have suitable reliability and validity. BAVQ-R [58] is a self-reporting tool that measures the beliefs of people regarding their hallucinations, as well as the behaviors and emotions related to them. It comprises 35 items that are rated through a four-point Likert scale, grouped into five subscales: wickedness, benevolence, omnipotence, tolerance, and commitment. The mean Cronbach coefficient alpha used is 0.86 (range 0.74–0.88). Therefore, it is considered a highly reliable scale. PHQ-9 [59] is a structured and self-applied questionnaire that aims to assess the health of patients over the age of 18, comprising nine items with Likert-type responses of 0–3.
The administration time is under 10 min, and it is considered a reliable scale with a Cronbach’s alpha of 0.89. As such, the instruments most commonly used were PSYRATS [57], BAVQ-R [58] and PHQ-9 [59]. However, none of the papers incorporated a scale specifically designed to evaluate treatments of chronic patients including avatars.

The results reveal that over half of the studies (61.1%) were effective [3,6,43,45–48,50,51,53,54], achieving reductions in the anxiety [46,47,54,56] and depression symptoms [45,47,53,54,56] of patients and improving variables such as quality of life [6,42,44,51,54], knowledge of the condition [3,50,51] and self-care behavior [3,45,51].

As other authors have mentioned [51], there are several different definitions of avatar-based patient educational programs, as well as of the avatar-based technologies developed for use with chronic patients. As a result, a taxonomy is required to design power RCTs that can test the most suitable approach for each chronic patient.

Therapies based on virtual reality are very promising, due to their potential use during the lockdowns brought about by the pandemic, as can be seen in several recently published studies [67–70]. The events that have unfolded over the last year as a result of the pandemic have driven important growth in virtual reality technology in order to provide online care. However, the 18 studies included in the systematic review were not conducted during lockdowns, and the patients received outpatient care. Therefore, taking into account the most recent studies conducted during the pandemic, it seems that patients can benefit from both outpatient care and remote care through virtual reality.

5. Conclusions

In accordance with the objectives proposed and the results obtained in this systematic review, the following conclusions may be reached: (1) An extensive range of chronic pathologies was found, for which avatars—with extensive heterogeneity between them—have been used, some displaying greater clinical complexity than others. Therefore, although the most frequent diagnosis in the 18 studies analyzed was schizophrenia (33.3%), various other pathologies were also included, such as cardiovascular disease, cancer, overactive bladder, HIV, borderline personality disorders, depression, spinal cord injury and phantom limb pain, highlighting the great heterogeneity in the diagnoses for which avatars have been used. (2) Taking into account the different types of avatar proposed in the studies included in the review, five modalities were established, according to technological complexity and avatar presentation. (3) The psychological variables most studied were quality of life, psychotic symptoms, depressive symptoms, anxiety symptoms, knowledge of the disease, self-care behavior, and self-efficacy, with PSYRATS, BAVQ-R and PHQ-9 being the most commonly used standardized instruments. (4) The results reveal that 61.1% of the studies were effective at improving patients’ mental health. (5) The level of patient satisfaction was high in 44.4% of the studies. Ultimately, most avatar-based treatments were found to be effective.

Future randomized controlled trials are needed to determine the following remaining questions: Does the effectiveness of the avatar-based strategies depend on the type of avatar modality used? Are there specific modalities of avatar that allow for a greater degree of identification (physical resemblance) between avatar and user, producing a greater psychological benefit for patients? Are there modalities of avatar that produce a greater level of patient satisfaction? Do some chronic illnesses benefit more from the use of avatars than others? Do some psychological variables benefit more from the use of avatars than others?

Taking into account the benefits that the avatar-based interventions can have in terms of improving the mental health of chronic patients, as well as in improving quality of life, there is still a long way to go in developing treatment programs with augmented and mixed reality technology. This approach is still not common in the field of psychology but represents a great step forward in the treatment of mental illnesses.
5.1. Clinical and Researcher Implications

Further, larger randomized controlled trials are needed to determine the best way to develop avatar-based treatments that can enhance mental healthcare in chronic patients. As such, further research is needed to establish scientific protocols for answering the remaining questions.

5.2. Limitations

The main limitations of this study include the great methodological heterogeneity of the 18 studies included, as well as the great diversity in the chronic diagnoses of the patients who were treated with avatar-based therapies. This hinders the uniformity of the results, as well as their generalizability, although it also highlights one of the main advantages of the use of avatars in the clinical setting: the great versatility of their use in different pathologies and under different methodological designs. However, in order to produce conclusive results on the effectiveness of this approach, greater homogeneity in the corresponding diagnoses as well as in the methodology used would be desirable.

Likewise, the technology of the devices used for virtual reality-based therapy is undergoing significant and rapid advancement. As such, the devices included in future studies could improve upon the quality of those featured in this systematic review. This necessitates future updates through systematic reviews and meta-analyses.
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