Allergen sensitization linked to climate and age, not to intermittent-persistent rhinitis in a cross-sectional cohort study in the (sub)tropics

Larenas-Linnemann et al.

With the participation of members of both Mexican Colleges of Allergists, Under the lead of Désirée Larenas-Linnemann
Allergen sensitization linked to climate and age, not to intermittent-persistent rhinitis in a cross-sectional cohort study in the (sub)tropics

Désirée Larenas-Linnemann1 *, Alexandra Michels2,4, Hanna Dinger2,4, Kijawasch Shah-Hosseini2,4, Ralph Mösges2,4, Alfredo Arias-Cruz3,5, Marichuy Ambriz-Moreno3, Martín Bedolla Barajas3,6, Ruth Cerino Javier3,7, María de la Luz Cid del Prado3, Manuel Alejandro Cruz Moreno3,8, Roberto García Almaráz3,9, Cecilia Y García-Cobas3,10, Daniel A García Imperial3,11, Rosa García Muñoz3, Dante Hernández-Colín3,6, Francisco J Linares-Zapien3,12, Jorge A Luna-Pech5,10, Juan J Matta-Campos3,13, Norma Martinez Jiménez3, Miguel A Medina-Ávalos3, Alejandra Medina Hernández3,14, Alberto Monteverde Maldonado3, Doris N López3, Luis J Pizano Nazara3,15, Emmanuel Ramirez Sanchez3,16, José D Ramos-López3,17, Noel Rodríguez-Pérez3,18, and Pablo G Rodríguez-Ortiz3,19

Abstract

Background: Allergen exposure leads to allergen sensitization in susceptible individuals and this might influence allergic rhinitis (AR) phenotype expression. We investigated whether sensitization patterns vary in a country with subtropical and tropical regions and if sensitization patterns relate to AR phenotypes or age.

Methods: In a national, cross-sectional study AR patients (2-70 y) seen by allergists underwent blinded skin prick testing with a panel of 18 allergens and completed a validated questionnaire on AR phenotypes.

Results: 628 patients were recruited. The major sensitizing allergen was house dust mite (HDM) (56%), followed by Bermuda grass (26%), ash (24%), oak (23%) and mesquite (21%) pollen, cat (22%) and cockroach (21%). Patients living in the tropical region were almost exclusively sensitized to HDM (87%). In the central agricultural zones sensitization is primarily to grass and tree pollen. Nationwide, most study subjects had perennial (82.2%), intermittent (56.5%) and moderate-severe (84.7%) AR. Sensitization was not related to the intermittent-persistent AR classification or to AR severity; seasonal AR was associated with tree (p < 0.05) and grass pollen sensitization (p < 0.01). HDM sensitization was more frequent in children (0-11 y) and adolescents (12-17 y) (subtropical region: p < 0.0005; tropical region p < 0.05), but pollen sensitization becomes more important in the adult patients visiting allergists (Adults vs children + adolescents for tree pollen: p < 0.0001, weeds: p < 0.0005).

(Continued on next page)
Conclusions: In a country with (sub)tropical climate zones SPT sensitization patterns varied according to climatological zones; they were different from those found in Europe, HDM sensitization far outweighing pollen allergies and Bermuda grass and Ash pollen being the main grass and tree allergens, respectively. Pollen sensitization was related to SAR, but no relation between sensitization and intermittent-persistent AR or AR severity could be detected. Sensitization patterns vary with age (child HDM, adult pollen). Clinical implications of our findings are dual: only a few allergens—some region specific—cover the majority of sensitizations in (sub)tropical climate zones. This is of major importance for allergen manufacturers and immunotherapy planning. Secondly, patient selection in clinical trials should be based on the intermittent-persistent and severity classifications, rather than on the seasonal-perennial AR subtypes, especially when conducted in (sub)tropical countries.
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Background
Allergic rhinitis has been of increasing importance over recent decades, because of its rise in prevalence [1] and linked co-morbidities, including asthma and chronic upper respiratory tract infections [2]. Detection of the sensitizing allergen allows for a more complete therapy, as allergen avoidance should form an integral part of the treatment. Moreover, recognition of the sensitizing allergen is essential to the adequate preparation of specific allergen immunotherapy, an approach directed at the cause of the disease [23-5].

This manuscript explores allergen sensitization patterns in patients with AR in a country in which several climate zones can be differentiated, varying from sub-tropic to tropic, and thus leading to a sensitization pattern different from that found in Europe and the United States (US).

Mexico is situated between latitude 14.32° and 32.46° north of the Equator and as such falls partly in the subtropics and partly in the tropics. A striking humidity-gradient can be detected from the hot, dry North through the semi-dry temperate center of the country, to the humid south-eastern tropical part. These characteristics together with the varying altitude divide the country according to the National Geographic Institute (Instituto Nacional de Estadística y Geografía, INEGI) in six climate zones [6]. The ISAAC studies found a prevalence of rhinitis in Mexican children between 11.6-15.4% and asthma-like symptoms between 8.5-15.6% [1,7,8]. Allergic rhinitis risk factors and economic impact have been documented in that country [9,10]. In the present article we describe how allergen sensitization is distributed over the whole country and how it is linked to allergic rhinitis phenotypes, age and climate zones. Detailed AR phenotype data will be presented in a separate manuscript [11].

Climate zones and patient selection
Twenty-six study centers were selected, distributed over the six climate zones across Mexico. The division of the climate zones was according to the official INEGI assignment (http://www.inegi.org.mx/geo/contenidos/recnat/clima/default.aspx and related charts), see Figure 1. Consecutive patients (2-70 years) with rhinitis symptoms were pre-selected if they had symptoms compatible with allergic rhinitis, e.g. symptoms exacerbating during a specific season and/or on exposure to certain allergen(s). After testing positively with the routine SPT of the center, subjects were asked to participate in the study. Thus, recruited patients were those routinely diagnosed as having allergic rhinitis by the allergists. The patients (and for the pediatric patients the parents/legal guardian) gave written informed consent. The study was evaluated and approved by an independent Ethics Committee. Subjects were excluded if they had any contra-indication for undergoing skin prick testing or if they had had immunotherapy within the previous five years. The elimination criteria were an invalid study SPT or if the criteria for the study diagnosis of rhinitis—see below—were not met.

Allergic rhinitis symptom phenotypes
Eligible subjects were invited to fill out a validated questionnaire on rhino-conjunctivitis symptoms [12], which allows classification of allergic rhinitis (AR) as seasonal-perennial (SAR-PAR) on one hand and according to the ARIA classification as intermittent (IAR) versus persistent (PER) and mild versus moderate-severe on the other hand [13]. For children below 12 years, the carer completed the questionnaire. A subject was defined as suffering from rhinitis if at least two of the four enquired rhinitis symptoms (congestion, rhinorrhea, pruritus, sneezing) were positive and conjunctivitis if one of three conjunctivitis symptoms (erythema, pruritus, lacrimation) were present. AR was classified according to the questionnaire data as completed by the patients and following the ARIA........
guidelines as intermittent (symptoms appearing <4 days per week or <4 wks.) or persistent.

**Skin prick testing**

Once the presence of rhinitis/rhinoconjunctivitis was confirmed, subjects underwent the study skin prick test with a blinded set of sixteen of the most common [14] allergens in Mexico (*Dermatophagoides* mixstand, *cat*stand, *Poa pratensis*stand, *Phleum pratense*stand, *Cynodon dactylon*stand, *Ambrosia*stand, profilin, peach: ALK-Abelló, Madrid, Spain, stand = standardized; *Blomia tropicalis*stand: Biocen, La Havana, Cuba; *Blatella germanica*/Periplaneta americana mix, *Fraxinus excelsior*, *Quercus ilex*, *Prosopis*, *Cupressus sempervirens*, *Chenopodium album*, *Heliantus*, *Alternaria alternata*, *Aspergillus fumigatus*: Allerquim, Mexico-city, Mexico). *Dermatophagoides* mix is a 50-50% mix of *Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus* and farinae. The English names of the allergens are: house dust mite, cat, Kentucky bluegrass, Timothy, Bermuda grass, Ragweed, Blomia, cockroach mix, Ash, oak, mesquite, cypress, lamb’s quarter, sunflower, Alternaria, Aspergillus. Blinding of SPT extracts was carried out at a central site, not involved in patient recruitment. Technicians applying the SPT; physicians and patients were all blinded to the content of the vials, as was the statistical team. The skin testing was done in duplicate. With an ALK-lancet (ALK-Abelló, Madrid, Spain), the skin was penetrated, passing through each drop of allergen extract at 90°. Personnel that applied the test in the centers passed a previously described proficiency test [15] (coefficient of variation <20%) before recruiting the first patient. The results were read at 15 min by drawing around the perimeter of the wheel with a ballpoint pen, placing transparent tape against the skin and transferring the prints to the data collection sheet. A test was considered positive if the wheal surface ≥5 mm [2] and if it met the criteria of a valid test (negative control <5 mm², positive control ≥5 mm²) [16].

**Data analysis**

Using all the above data, we analyzed SPT positivity allergen-clusters (‘grasses’, ‘trees’, etc.) and individual allergens nationally. With house dust mite (HDM) we refer to only *Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus* and farinae, not Blomia. Likewise, the frequency distribution of skin test sensitivity to certain allergen-clusters and its relation to the following factors was examined: rhinitis symptom phenotypes, age and climate zones.

**Statistical analysis**

The programmed sample was 90 patients per climate zone. Sample size calculation [17] was based on an estimated population size of 500,000 AR patients (500 practicing allergists seeing approximately 1,000 allergic rhinitis patients/year), an estimated frequency of SPT positivity for HDM of 55% with a confidence level or 95% and a confidence interval of 10% (standard error 0.05). For the allergens with an estimated frequency of SPT positivity close to 25% (or 75%), 73 patients per climate zone would have been sufficient to achieve the identical confidence interval. Pearson’s χ squared tests were used to compare the frequency of the SPT positivity between the climate zones, the age-groups and the phenotypes of rhinitis (if necessary Yates’ correction
was applied). Differences in wheal size were compared with the Mann-Whitney rank test.

**Results**

Six hundred twenty-eight patients were recruited. 91/628 patients were excluded because of a too large negative control (83) or other SPT errors. Nationwide a total of 529 patients with skin prick test positivity and rhinitis and/or conjunctivitis symptoms were included (aged 2-68 years, mean age 21.8 years, 48.2% male). Their distribution over the six climate zones can be seen in Figure 1. In the tropical zone 6 there were more subjects in the 2-11 year age-group, see Table 1.

**Table 1 Distribution of subjects over the tropical and subtropical zones**

| Gender | Subtropics (Zones 1-5) | Tropics (Zone 6) |
|--------|------------------------|-----------------|
| Total  | 457                    | 68              |

|     | Male | Female | Age |         |
|-----|------|--------|-----|--------|
| Male| 216 (48%) | 239 (52%) | 2 to 11 years | 111 (24%) |
| Female| 216 (48%) | 239 (52%) | 12 to 17 years | 78 (17%) |
| 18+ years | 268 (58%) | 9 (13%) |         |

Frequency of skin prick test positivity Nationwide

Nationally, SPT positivity was mostly found for mites (proportion 0.599, 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.55-0.64), followed by tree pollens (0.442, 95% CI: 0.40-0.48), grass pollens (0.338, 95% CI: 0.30-0.38), weed pollens (0.265, 95% CI: 0.23-0.30) and finally molds (0.113, 95% CI: 0.09-0.14).

Firstly, the most frequent allergen, Dermatophagoides mix (pteronyssinus + farinae), with a positive SPT in more than 56% of the patients. Secondly, the ‘frequent’ allergens, with percentages of SPT positivity between 20-26% - cat allergen is in this group- and thirdly, the less frequent ones (SPT positivity from 6 to 14%). As for the mean wheal size in SPT positive patients, there was large variation. The largest wheals were produced by Cynodon dactylon, followed by profilin, peach and Aspergillus. Dermatophagoides mix produced a mean wheal size in SPT positive patients, there was large differences smaller than the other two age-groups, reaching statistical significance for several allergens. In general there was no difference between the 12-17 year and 18+ year subjects, even with larger wheals in the adolescents as compared to the adult subjects for both mites (Dermatophagoides: p = 0.023; blomia: p = 0.026).

Frequency of skin prick test positivity and wheal sizes: allergic rhinitis phenotypes

There was no difference between intermittent (IAR) and persistent (PER) allergic rhinitis in the frequency of SPT positivity for any allergen tested, except for trees. Patients with PER had more frequent SPT positivity to trees as compared to patients with IAR (p = 0.012, Figure 4). For mild versus moderate-severe AR no difference could be found for any allergen. Comparing SAR with PAR patients, grass, tree and cat SPT positivity was more frequent in SAR patients (Figure 5).

As for wheal size comparing IAR versus PER and mild versus moderate-severe patients, no differences could be found, with one exception: PER patients presented a larger wheal size for Alternaria. PAR patients had larger wheals than SAR patients for Prosopis, Chenopodium, cockroach mix and profilin.

Frequency of skin prick test positivity per climate zone

Statistically significant differences of positive SPT in a specific zone as compared to the rest of the country are documented in Figure 6 and Table 2 compares sensitization between the climate zones. In the dry-hot and the (sub) humid zones HDM was the most frequent allergen, followed by trees. In the dry North of Mexico sensitization to molds and weeds (and consequently also to pan-allergens such as peach –rich in lipid transfer protein- and profilin) are high. In the tropical zone 6, warm with rainfall all year long, almost all patients are sensitized to HDM, leaving only 20% of the patients with some additional pollen allergy. However, for the patients in the middle
of the country, living in the agricultural zone with a (semi)-dry temperate climate, both tree and grass pollen sensitization is more common than HDM sensitization. Cockroach sensitization is important all over the country.

**Discussion**

This article’s main accomplishments are four. Firstly, it delivers a detailed description of allergen sensitization patterns in a (sub)tropical country, different from those found in Europe and the US. Secondly, it demonstrates that in this setting allergic sensitization is not linked to a specific AR symptom phenotype, with the exception of SAR in which patients had slightly more tree and grass pollen positivity. Thirdly, it reveals that in this study population children have a higher rate of house dust mite sensitization and that pollen sensitization is more frequent in adult patients. Finally, it shows allergen sensitization profiles can vary within one country. Thus, although allergen sensitization did not vary with AR phenotypes, it is linked to climate zones, with grass
and tree pollen being the most common sensitizing aeroallergen in the sub-tropical, temperate mid-country agricultural region, as opposed to *Dermatophagoides* being by far the most important sensitizing allergen in the rest of the country. Nationwide *Dermatophagoides* sensitization duplicated the sensitization prevalence of the second most frequent allergens: the storage mite *Blomia tropicalis*, cat, cockroach and pollen of Bermuda grass, ash (*Fraxinus excelsior*) and oak (*Quercus ilex*). In the tropical zone HDM allergy was present in approximately 90% of the subjects, consistent with previously published retrospective findings [14].

Many studies have reported sensitization prevalence using skin prick testing. However, the large epidemiologic studies have often encountered problems in standardizing the SPT methodology, resulting in unexplainable differences found in centers within the same region. Moreover, in both large epidemiological SPT studies, the US National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) II and III [18] and the European Community Respiratory
Health Survey I [19] investigators’ bias had not been excluded as the skin testing panel was not blinded. With our study design we overcame these pitfalls applying skin prick testing with a blinded panel of allergens, using the same batch, the same device and a standardized method in the centers. The SPT technique was submitted to quality control, as all personnel involved passed a proficiency SPT test before study-start [15]. Also, different from NHANES III and ECRHS-I, our study population was a selected one: patients visiting allergy centers with rhinitis symptoms and a positive routine SPT. Finally, apart from skin testing, the patients also completed a validated allergic rhinitis questionnaire allowing us to categorize the AR symptom phenotype of each patient.

Figure 6 Skin prick test positivity according to climate zones. Percentage of skin prick test positivity per allergen cluster according to the six climate zones.

| Table 2 Statistically significant differences* linked to Figure 6 |
|-----------------------------------------------|
|                                | (Semi)dry, (semi)hot | Dry, temperate | Semi-dry, temperate | Subhumid, temperate | Subhumid, (semi)hot | Humid, semi-hot |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| Mites                                          | < 0.0001              | 0.0003         | <0.05               | < 0.0001              |                   |
| Grasses                                         | 0.0004                | < 0.0001       |                   |                   |
| Trees                                           | <0.004                | 0.007          |                   |                   |
| Weeds                                           | 0.02                  | <0.05          | (-0.058)           | <0.04               |
| Molds                                           | 0.01                  | 0.03           |                   |                   |
| Cockroach                                       | 0.01                  | 0.01           |                   |                   |
| Cat                                              | 0.01                  | 0.01*          |                   |                   |
| Peach                                            | < 0.0001              | 0.01*          |                   |                   |
| Prolin                                           | < 0.0001              | *Yates’ correction | 0.006*           |

*Pearson X-square tests were used, if necessary with Yates’ correction.

Proportion of skin prick test positivity (SPT (+)) in each climate zone as opposed to the rest of the country Normal font = % of SPT (+) is lower than country mean, bold font = % of SPT (+) is higher than country mean.
according to the seasonal-perennial and the ARIA classifications. Consequently, AR phenotypes could be linked to sensitization profiles.

This is the first epidemiologic study to show that in a (sub)tropical region there is no specific relationship between any of the ARIA symptom phenotypes and allergen sensitization. For the old SAR-PAR classification there was a weak association between SAR and certain pollen sensitizations: SAR subjects have 11.5% more tree and 13.3% more grass pollen SPT-positivity. Although there have been epidemiological studies before [20-24] on AR and allergen sensitization, these studies did not report on the relationship between AR symptom phenotypes and specific sensitizations patterns.

Comparing the sensitization profile of AR patients in a (sub)tropical country to those found in SPT positive subjects in Europe (ECRHQ), the frequency of HDM sensitization falls in the same range, that of grass pollen is lower than in Europe, but tree and ragweed pollen sensitization is higher in our subjects [19]. Moreover, the main allergenic grass pollen in Europe was Timothy and is Bermuda grass pollen in our patients. More recently, skin test sensitization in a market in Belgium showed a similar profile as the ECRHQ, but with lower HDM sensitization in this Northern European country [25].

Of all SPT positive subjects in the US epidemiologic census, NHANES-III, SPT positivity to HDM, perennial rye pollen, short ragweed pollen or *Blatella germanica* were all close to 50%. In our selected AR patient population HDM sensitization was higher, but cockroach, grass and ragweed pollen sensitization much lower than in this US census. However, as the potency of the US extracts used in NHANES-III was higher than the potency of the European extracts we used [26,27] and the criterion for a positive test was less stringent in NHANES-III, sensitization frequencies can only be compared relatively.

Apart from *Dermatophagoides* spp. we also tested the storage mite, *Blomia tropicalis*, reported in some subtropical climates to be of importance [28]. Although 25% of our AR subjects had SPT positivity for *B. tropicalis*, 93.7% of them were also sensitized to *Dermatophagoides*, leaving only 6.3% of the HDM sensitized subjects, corresponding to 3.8% of the whole population, with specific *B. tropicalis* sensitization. Chinese investigators found similar numbers. Analyzing subjects with allergic rhinitis and/or asthma with SPT to HDM and storage mites (SM) – including *Blomia tropicalis*- 82% had SPT positivity to HDM and% to SM, but only 1.5% of the patients were sensitized to SM without HDM sensitization, as judged by SPT, and 14% according to specific IgE [29]. In a non-selected population of adults in Canary Islands, Spain, SPT positivity for *Blomia* was as high as 37.2% among those patients with rhinitis symptoms (95% CI 28.1-47.6%) and even 50% among those with asthma (95% CI 26.8-73.2%) [28].

Our observation of increased pollen sensitization in the adult age-group is in agreement with those reported by Asero et al. with respect to the age of onset of ragweed pollen sensitization, situated in the third decade of life [30].

The sensitization pattern partly agrees with the aero- biology of Mexico [31] that shows high concentrations of ash pollen in winter months (November-February), followed by oak and Bermuda grass pollen end-winter into spring. Ash is a species that grows easily under the climate conditions present in a subtropical country. In Mexico *City Fraxinus* is even more abundant, because it is the main tree used in re-forestation projects. Interestingly, sensitization to cypress pollen is not as high as would be expected from the abundant pollen quantity in the air. Worldwide, there is currently a clear tendency towards an increase in atmospheric pollen, including highly allergenic taxa. Experimental studies in a multinational study across Europe suggest that these trends cannot solely be attributed to rising temperatures, but might also be influenced by the increase of the greenhouse gas CO2 [32], an observation definitely of importance in the three major cities of Mexico where pollution is an issue.

In conclusion, in patients with AR symptoms living in the (sub)tropics, SPT sensitization patterns are different from those found in Europe and US. Sensitization patterns are not clearly linked to any specific AR symptom phenotype, but they do vary according to age (child-adolescent vs. adult) and climate zone. Hence, in our population sensitization to a certain allergen is not linked to either intermittent or persistent AR, or to mild or moderate-severe AR.
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Take home message
Sensitisation of Mexicans with allergic rhinitis (AR) 60% Dermatophagoides and related to age and climate, not to ARIA classification.
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