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Abstract: 1-Butanol can be considered as a good fuel additive, which can be used at high pressures. Therefore, the knowledge of high-pressure thermophysical properties is crucial for this application. In this paper, new experimental data on the speed of sound in 1-butanol in the temperature range from 293 to 318 K and at pressures up to 101 MPa are reported. The speed of sound at a frequency of 2 MHz was measured at atmospheric and high pressures using two measuring sets operating on the principle of the pulse–echo–overlap method. The measurement uncertainties were estimated to be better than ±0.5 m·s⁻¹ and ±1 m·s⁻¹ at atmospheric and high pressures, respectively. Additionally, the density was measured under atmospheric pressure in the temperature range from 293 to 318 K using a vibrating tube densimeter Anton Paar DMA 5000. Using the experimental results, the density and isobaric and isochoric heat capacities, isentropic and isothermal compressibilities, isobaric thermal expansion, and internal pressure were calculated at temperatures from 293 to 318 K and at pressures up to 100 MPa.
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1. Introduction

1-Butanol is an important chemical platform used as feedstock in the plastic industry, plasticizers, paints, binders and food extractant. However, much attention has been paid to the use of 1-butanol as a fuel. 1-Butanol can be used as an additive for gasoline, diesel, and kerosene or as an alternative fuel [1–4]. Studies show that the use of 1-butanol for this purpose is much more advantageous than the use of ethanol. 1-Butanol has higher energy density, lower than ethanol vapor pressure and improved miscibility with gasoline, lower solubility in water and it is less corrosive than ethanol [2,5,6]. Currently, 1-butanol is produced almost entirely from petroleum and the global demand for 1-butanol is about 2.8 metric tons per year [1]. The International Energy Agency forecasts that the demand for biofuels will be 690 million tons per year in 2050 [1]. The strong renewed interest in 1-butanol as a sustainable vehicle fuel has led to the development of improved biobutanol production processes including both biotechnological production processes as well as separation techniques [1,7–11]. Various species of the Clostridium bacteria such as C. acetobutylicum, C. beijerinckii, C. saccharoperbutylacetonicum and C. saccharbutylicum are mainly used for 1-butanol production [7]. However, Clostridium can be genetically modified in order to increase its ability to produce 1-butanol, and others have extracted enzymes from bacteria and incorporated them into other microbes such as yeast in order to turn them into 1-butanol production. Yeast (for example, Saccharomyces cerevisiae [8]) and Escherichia coli, one of the major bacteria in the human gut, are believed to be easily grown on an industrial scale [7]. The produced biobutanol can be separated by several methods including adsorption, gas stripping pervaporation, and liquid–liquid extraction using, for example, ionic liquids [6,8,11]. The next stage is the test of the properties of biobutanol in terms of its use in engines. In the injection systems used in automotive engines, the pressure can reach 250 MPa and the fuel injection takes place under...
adiabatic conditions [12]. Therefore, the petrochemical industry is interested in the thermodynamic and acoustic properties of fuel biocomponents under high pressures. Although 1-butanol has many important applications, especially as a fuel additive or intrinsic biofuel, the high-pressure speed of sound in this alcohol is still incomplete. There are only two available datasets. The speed of sound in primary alcohols under high pressures has been measured by Sysoev and Otpuschennikov and published in Nauchnye Trudy (Kurskoei Gosudarstvennyœi Pedagogicheskiœi Institute) [13]. However, these data are not available. Khasanshin [14] published a correlation equation for the speed of sound in 1-alkanols with the carbon atoms in the chain ranging from 4 to 12 at pressures from (0.1 to 100) MPa and at six temperatures from (303.15 to 453.15) K determined at 20 K steps using the above-mentioned experimental data [13]. Plantier et al. [15] measured the speed of sound in 1-butanol at temperatures from (303.15 to 373.15) K at pressures up to 50 MPa. More literature data are available for the high-pressure density of 1-butanol. Recently, Safarov et al. [16] reported the experimental density of 1-butanol in the temperature range from (263.15 to 468.15) K and at pressures up to 140 MPa and they provided a careful literature search on experimental high-pressure density reported up to now. They also calculated the high-pressure speed of sound in 1-butanol using pressure dependence of density. Additionally, Dávila et al. [17] measured the density of 1-butanol over the temperature range from (278.15 to 358.15) K and at pressures up to 60 MPa. Khasanshin [18] published a correlation equation between the density and the number of carbon atoms ranging from 4 to 10 at pressures up to 50 MPa at 293.15 K and at 298.15 K. Cibulka and Zíková [19] also reported correlation equations of the Tait type based on the pρT data published by different authors before 1993.

This work is the completion of systematic research on the high-pressure thermodynamic and acoustic properties of 1-akanols [20,21]. In this paper, new experimental speed of sound data are reported for 1-butanol in the temperature range from (293 to 318) K and at pressures up to 101 MPa. To the best of my knowledge, measurement of the speed of sound has never been conducted in this temperature and pressure range. Additionally, the density data under atmospheric pressure in the temperature range from (293 to 318) K are presented. Using the experimental results of speed of sound as a function of temperature and pressure, the temperature dependence of density under atmospheric pressure and the temperature dependence of isobaric heat capacity under atmospheric pressure reported by Zábranský et al. [22], the thermodynamic characteristics of compressed 1-butanol were obtained for temperatures from (293 to 318) K and for pressures from (0.1 to 100) MPa. The temperature and pressure dependence of density ρ(T, p), the temperature and pressure dependence of isobaric heat capacity C_p(T, p), and related quantities such as isentropic compressibility, κ_s, isobaric thermal expansion, α_p, isothermal compressibility, κ_T, isochoric heat capacity, C_V, and internal pressure, p_int, were calculated. The method proposed by Davis and Gordon [23] with a numerical procedure described by Sun et al. [24] was applied for calculations. This study is aimed first to compare pressure dependence of density, isentropic compressibility and isobaric thermal expansion of 1-butanol with those of biocomponents or components of fuels such as ethanol, heptane, dodecane as well as biodiesel (fatty acid methyl esters of rapeseed oil, FAME) and low sulphur diesel oil (ekodiesel ultra), in the context of the use of 1-butanol as a fuel additive or alternative fuel. To the best of my knowledge, such analyses have never been performed to date. The second aim is to find whether the changes in properties of 1-butanol, caused by temperature and pressure, are reflected in the internal pressure.

2. Experimental Section

2.1. Chemical

The 1-butanol (0.998 mass fraction purity of C_4H_9OH) was purchased from Aldrich. Alcohol was dried over molecular sieves 0.3 nm. The mass fraction of water, determined by the Karl Fischer method, was less than 1 · 10^{-4}. The purity of 1-butanol was tested by comparison of the measured speed of sound and density at 0.1 MPa with literature data (Table 1). Additionally, the comparison of the results obtained of this work (y_{exp}) and literature values (y_{lit}) were presented as the relative
deviation \( \Delta \%) = 100 \cdot (y_{\text{exp}} - y_{\text{lit}})/y_{\text{exp}}. \) The relative deviations between the speed of sound at ambient pressure obtained of this work and literature values are in the range from \(-0.11\% \) [15] to \(0.013\% \) [25] (Figure 1). The relative deviations between density at ambient pressure obtained of this work and literature values are in the range from \(-0.016\% \) [16] to \(0.018\% \) [26] (Figure 2).

Table 1. Comparison of the speed of sound, \( u \), and density, \( \rho \), at atmospheric pressure obtained in this work with those reported in the literature.

| \( T \) (K) | This Work | Literature |
|------------|-----------|-------------|
| 293.15     | 1256.33   | 1256.25 [25], 1256.8 [26], 1257.5 [27] |
| 298.15     | 1239.29   | 1239.2 [28], 1239.22 [25], 1239.29 [29], 1239.3 [27], 1239.39 [30,31], 1239.8 [26] |
| 303.15     | 1222.36   | 1222.25 [25], 1222.36 [14], 1222.4 [27] |
| 308.15     | 1205.54   | 1205.53 [25], 1205.79 [32], 1206.2 [26] |
| 313.15     | 1188.81   | 1188.65 [25], 1189.6 [26], 1190.1 [15] |
| 318.15     | 1172.19   | 1173.0 [26] |

\( \rho \) (kg·m\(^{-3}\)) **

| \( T \) (K) | This Work | Literature |
|------------|-----------|-------------|
| 293.15     | 809.58    | 809.5 [26], 809.60 [27], 809.64 [16] |
| 298.15     | 805.79    | 805.7 [26], 805.770 [30], 805.77 [16], 805.78 [27] |
| 303.15     | 801.95    | 801.9 [26], 801.928 [30], 801.94 [27] |
| 308.15     | 798.10    | 798.0 [26], 798.054 [30] |
| 313.15     | 794.22    | 794.1 [26], 794.146 [30], 794.35 [16] |
| 318.15     | 790.24    | 790.1 [26], 790.196 [30] |

* calculated from Equation (1); ** experimental data.

Figure 1. Comparison of the speed of sound in 1-butanol as a function of temperature under atmospheric pressure obtained in this work (\( u_{\text{this work}} \)) with the literature values (\( u_{\text{lit}} \)) [14,15,25–32] presented as relative deviations \( RDs \) \( (RD\%) = 100 \cdot (u_{\text{this work}} - u_{\text{lit}})/u_{\text{this work}}). \)
water. The uncertainty of the density measurements was estimated to be ±0.05 kg·m⁻³. Between the high-pressure speed of sound obtained in this work and literature values are in (NIST certified) with an uncertainty of ±0.5 m·s⁻¹. The relative deviations (RD) % = 100·(ρ_work−ρ_lit) / ρ_work presented as relative deviations RDs (RD)% = 100·(ρ_work−ρ_lit) / ρ_work.

2.2. Speed of Sound Measurements

The speed of sound measurements were conducted at ambient and high pressures using two measuring sets operate based on the pulse-echo-overlap method with measuring vessels of the same acoustic path and constructed by a single transmitting–receiving ceramic transducer of 2 MHz and an acoustic mirror. The pressure was measured using a strain gauge measuring system (Hottinger Baldwin System P3MD) with accuracy better than 0.15%. During measurements, the pressure stability was ±0.005 K at ambient pressure and ±0.01 K at high pressures. The uncertainties of the speed of sound measurements under ambient and high pressures were estimated to be better than ±0.5 m·s⁻¹ and ±1 m·s⁻¹, respectively. The details concerning the construction of a high-pressure device as well as the method of the speed of sound measurements and calibration can be found in the previous paper [33].

2.3. Density Measurements

The density was measured at atmospheric pressure using a vibrating tube densimeter Anton Paar DMA 5000. The calibration was conducted using the extended procedure with dry air and re-distilled water. The uncertainty of the density measurements was estimated to be ±0.05 kg·m⁻³.

3. Results and Discussion

The speed of sound in 1-butanol was measured from (293 to 318) K in about 5 K steps and under the pressures up to 101.34 MPa. The experimental values are listed in Table 2. The relative deviations RDs between the high-pressure speed of sound obtained of this work and literature values are in the range from 0.12% [15] to −3.3% [16] (see Figure 3). Additionally, the absolute average relative deviations (AARDs) between results obtained in this work (y_exp) and the literature values (y_lit) were calculated as AARD = (100 / N) ∑[i=1]^N|y_exp, i − y_lit, i| / y_exp, i | (where N is the number of data points). The AARDs equal 0.03% [14], 0.08% [15], and 1.1% [16].
where coefficients \( \{ c_{bj} \} \) were approximated by the second-order polynomial:

\[
y = \sum_{j=0}^{2} b_j T^j
\]

Figure 3. Comparison of the speed of sound in 1-butanol as a function of pressure obtained in this work \( (u_{\text{this work}}) \) with the literature values \( (u_{\text{lit}}) \) [14–16] presented as relative deviations \( \text{RDs} \)

\[
\text{RD} \% = 100 \cdot \frac{u_{\text{this work}} - u_{\text{lit}}}{u_{\text{this work}}}
\]

The density of the alcohol under test was measured under atmospheric pressure within the temperature range from (293.15 to 318.15) K. The experimental values are collected in Table 1.

The density of the alcohol under test was measured under atmospheric pressure within the temperature range from (293 to 318) K.

Table 2. The experimental speed of sound, \( u \), in 1-butanol measured at pressures up to 101.34 MPa within the temperature range from (293 to 318) K.

| \( T \) (K) | \( p \) (MPa) | \( u \) (m·s\(^{-1}\)) | \( T \) (K) | \( p \) (MPa) | \( u \) (m·s\(^{-1}\)) | \( T \) (K) | \( p \) (MPa) | \( u \) (m·s\(^{-1}\)) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 292.65 | 0.1 | 1258.06 | 303.16 | 0.1 | 1222.26 | 313.16 | 0.1 | 1188.85 |
| 292.86 | 15.2 | 1336.38 | 302.98 | 15.21 | 1304.62 | 313.10 | 15.21 | 1274.40 |
| 292.85 | 30.39 | 1406.63 | 302.97 | 30.39 | 1376.93 | 313.13 | 30.39 | 1348.79 |
| 292.85 | 45.59 | 1470.61 | 303.00 | 45.59 | 1442.45 | 313.12 | 45.59 | 1416.05 |
| 292.85 | 60.79 | 1516.04 | 308.03 | 60.79 | 1490.34 | 318.46 | 60.80 | 1464.54 |
| 292.85 | 75.99 | 1570.22 | 307.99 | 76.00 | 1545.86 | 318.45 | 76.01 | 1521.23 |
| 292.87 | 91.19 | 1633.68 | 303.01 | 91.19 | 1608.67 | 313.03 | 91.19 | 1585.65 |
| 292.87 | 76.00 | 1583.46 | 307.99 | 76.00 | 1557.55 | 318.45 | 76.01 | 1533.90 |
| 292.87 | 91.19 | 1633.68 | 303.01 | 91.19 | 1608.67 | 313.03 | 91.19 | 1585.65 |
| 298.00 | 101.32 | 1665.21 | 302.98 | 101.33 | 1640.91 | 312.99 | 101.33 | 1618.69 |
| 298.16 | 0.1 | 1239.24 | 308.16 | 0.1 | 1205.55 | 318.43 | 0.1 | 1170.66 |
| 298.00 | 15.20 | 1319.99 | 308.00 | 15.2 | 1289.43 | 318.52 | 15.2 | 1257.86 |
| 298.00 | 30.40 | 1391.35 | 308.01 | 30.39 | 1362.92 | 318.52 | 30.39 | 1333.80 |
| 297.99 | 45.59 | 1456.21 | 308.00 | 45.59 | 1429.35 | 318.47 | 45.60 | 1402.24 |
| 297.99 | 60.79 | 1516.04 | 308.03 | 60.79 | 1490.34 | 318.46 | 60.80 | 1464.54 |
| 297.99 | 75.99 | 1570.22 | 307.99 | 76.00 | 1545.86 | 318.45 | 76.01 | 1521.23 |
| 298.00 | 91.19 | 1633.68 | 303.01 | 91.19 | 1608.67 | 313.03 | 91.19 | 1585.65 |
| 297.99 | 101.34 | 1652.77 | 308.02 | 101.33 | 1629.34 | 318.46 | 101.34 | 1606.71 |
Table 3. Coefficients of polynomial (1) for the speed of sound and density.

| $c_0$ (m·s$^{-1}$) | $c_1$ (m·s$^{-1}$·K$^{-1}$) | $c_2$·10$^3$ (m·s$^{-1}$·K$^{-2}$) | $\delta u_0$ a (m·s$^{-1}$) |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 2436.59           | -4.63487                    | 2.07654                        | 0.07                        |

| $\rho_0$ (kg·m$^{-3}$) | $\rho_1$ (kg·m$^{-3}$·K$^{-1}$) | $\rho_2$·10$^4$ (kg·m$^{-3}$·K$^{-2}$) | $\delta \rho$ a (kg·m$^{-3}$) |
|------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| 964.750                | -0.304950                      | -7.65424                                 | 0.01                         |

* mean deviation from the regression line.

The speed of sound dependence on pressure and temperature was approximated using the equation proposed by Sun et al. [24]:

$$p - p_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{j=0}^{2} a_{ij}(u - u_0)^jT^i, \quad (2)$$

where $a_{ij}$ are the polynomial coefficients calculated by the least-squares method, $u$ is the speed of sound at $p > 0.1$ MPa, $u_0$ is the speed of sound at ambient pressure, calculated from Equation (1). The coefficients $a_{ij}$ and the mean deviation from the regression line are provided in Table 4. The stepwise rejection procedure was used to reduce the number of non-zero coefficients.

Table 4. Coefficients of Equation (2).

| $a_{ij}$ (K$^{-j}$·MPa$^j$·m$^{-1}$) | $a_{2j}$ (K$^{-j}$·MPa$^j$·s$^2$·m$^{-2}$) | $a_{3j}$ (K$^{-j}$·MPa$^j$·s$^3$·m$^{-3}$) | $\delta u$ a (m·s$^{-1}$) |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 0.282824                          | 1.38485·10$^{-4}$                        | 1.37252·10$^{-7}$                        | 0.29                        |
| 1                                 | -                                        | -                                        | -                           |
| 2                                 | -1.20119·10$^{-6}$                       | -                                        | 7.92802·10$^{-13}$         |

* mean deviation from the regression line.

The density and isobaric heat capacity of 1-butanol were determined for temperatures from (293 to 318) K and for pressures up to 100 MPa using the acoustic method. In the calculations, the experimental speed of sound data as a function of temperature and pressure were used, together with the temperature dependence of density and isobaric heat capacity at atmospheric pressure. The temperature dependence of the isobaric heat capacity reported by Zábranský et al. [22] was used.

The applied acoustic method is based mainly on the thermodynamic relationship between isothermal compressibility $\kappa_T$ and isentropic compressibility $\kappa_S$:

$$\kappa_T = \kappa_S + \frac{a_p^2 \cdot T}{\rho \cdot c_p}, \quad (3)$$

where $c_p$ is the specific isobaric heat capacity and $a_p$ is the isobaric thermal expansion defined as:

$$a_p \equiv -\rho^{-1} \cdot (\partial \rho / \partial T)_p, \quad (4)$$

The substitution the Laplace formula:

$$\kappa_S = \frac{1}{\rho u^2}, \quad (5)$$

and definition of isothermal compressibility:

$$\kappa_T \equiv \rho^{-1} \cdot (\partial \rho / \partial p)_T, \quad (6)$$
into Equation (3) leads to the following relationship:

\[
\left( \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial p} \right)_T = \frac{1}{u^2} + \frac{T \alpha_p^2}{c_p}.
\]

(7)

The change of density, \( \Delta \rho \), caused by the change of pressure from \( p_1 \) to \( p_2 \) at constant temperature \( T \) can be approximated sufficiently accurate as follows:

\[
\Delta \rho = \left[ \frac{1}{u^2} + \frac{\alpha_p^2 T}{c_p} \right] dp \approx \int_{p_1}^{p_2} \left[ \frac{1}{u^2} + \frac{\alpha_p^2 T}{c_p} \right] dp,
\]

(8)

provided that \( \Delta p = p_2 - p_1 \) is small. The initial values of \( u(T, p_0 = 0.101325 \text{ MPa}), \rho(T, p_0 = 0.101325 \text{ MPa}), \) and \( c_p(T, p_0 = 0.101325 \text{ MPa}) \) were used in the Equation (8). The specific isobaric heat capacity at \( p_2 \) is acquired by:

\[
c_p(p_2) \approx c_p(p_1) - \frac{T}{\rho} \left\{ \alpha_p^2 + \left( \frac{\partial \alpha_p}{\partial T} \right)_p \right\} \Delta p,
\]

where \( c_p(p_2) \) is the specific isobaric heat capacity at \( p_1 \). The uncertainties of the density and specific isobaric heat capacity estimated using the perturbation method are \( \pm 0.02\% \) and \( \pm 0.3\% \), respectively. The expanded uncertainties were estimated to be better than \( U(\rho) = 5 \times 10^{-4} \rho \text{ kg m}^{-3} \) and \( U(C_p) = 1 \times 10^{-2} C_p \text{ J mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1} \) for density and molar isobaric heat capacity, respectively. The density and molar isobaric heat capacity at high pressures are collected in Tables 5 and 6, respectively.

Table 5. The density, \( \rho \), of 1-butanol at pressures up to 100 MPa and within the temperature range from (293 to 318) K.

| \( p \) (MPa) | \( \rho \) (kg m\(^{-3}\)) |
|--------------|------------------|
|              | \( T \) (K)      |
| 0.1 *        | 293.15 298.15 303.15 308.15 313.15 318.15 |
| 10           | 809.58 805.79 801.96 798.10 794.20 790.26 |
| 20           | 816.60 812.99 809.36 805.69 801.98 798.24 |
| 30           | 823.12 819.67 816.19 812.68 809.14 805.57 |
| 40           | 829.18 825.86 822.52 819.15 815.75 812.32 |
| 50           | 834.86 831.66 828.43 825.18 821.90 818.59 |
| 60           | 840.20 837.11 833.99 830.84 827.67 824.47 |
| 70           | 845.27 842.27 839.24 836.19 833.11 830.00 |
| 80           | 850.08 847.17 844.23 841.26 838.27 835.25 |
| 90           | 854.67 851.84 848.98 846.09 843.17 840.23 |
| 100          | 863.27 860.58 857.86 855.12 852.34 849.54 |

* calculated from Equation (1).

Table 6. The isobaric molar heat capacity, \( C_p \), of 1-butanol at pressures up to 100 MPa and within the temperature range from (293 to 318) K.

| \( p \) (MPa) | \( C_p \) (J mol\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-1}\)) |
|--------------|------------------|
|              | \( T \) (K)      |
| 0.1 *        | 293.15 298.15 303.15 308.15 313.15 318.15 |
| 10           | 173.70 177.17 180.82 184.62 188.57 192.62 |
| 20           | 172.8 176.2 179.9 183.6 187.5 191.5 |
| 30           | 172.0 175.4 179.0 182.7 186.6 190.6 |
| 40           | 171.3 174.7 178.2 181.9 185.8 189.7 |
The density of 1-butanol obtained in this work was compared with the experimental data reported by 1993, correlated by Cibulka and Ziková [19]. The RDs are in the range from 0.02 to 0.09% (see Figure 4). The AARD was found to be 0.04%. Particularly, the attention has been paid to excellent agreement between the raw density reported by Zúñiga–Moreno et al. [34] and density obtained in this work as follows: 801.97 kg·m⁻³ at 313.10 K, 9.996 MPa [34] and 801.98 kg·m⁻³ at 313.15 K, 10 MPa as well as 809.14 kg·m⁻³ at 313.10 K, 20.015 MPa [34] and 809.14 kg·m⁻³ at 313.15 K, 20 MPa. A good agreement was found also for data reported by Dávila et al. [17]. The RDs are in the range from −0.13% to −0.004% (see Figure 4), the AARD is 0.07%. The density obtained in this work is also in very good agreement with those reported by Safarov et al. [16], the RDs are in the range from −0.04 to 0.08% (see Figure 4) and AARD equals 0.04%. Thus, again the density calculated by the indirect, acoustic method is in an excellent agreement with density measured by high-pressure vibrating tube densimeter. On the other hand, the speed of sound calculated from experimental ρ(p,T) data is in worse agreement with experimental ones (see Figure 3). This was discussed in detail in the previous work [35]. Using both the acoustic method and densimetric one, the Cₚ(p,T) data can be obtained by the same relationship (Equation (6)). The agreement between the Cₚ(p,T) data obtained by the acoustic method (this work) and densimetric one (reported by Safarov et al. [16]) is excellent, the RDs are in the range from −0.67 to 0.17% and AARD is 0.22% (see Figure 5).

![Figure 5](image_url)

**Figure 4.** Comparison of the density of 1-butanol as a function of pressure obtained in this work (ρ_{this work}) with the literature values (ρ_{lit}) [16,17,19] presented as relative deviations RDs (RD%) = 100·(ρ_{this work}−ρ_{lit})/ρ_{this work}.
Figure 5. Comparison of the isobaric heat capacity of 1-butanol as a function of pressure obtained in this work ($C_p$,this work) with the literature values ($C_p$,lit) [16] presented as relative deviations $RD$s ($RD/\% = 100 \cdot (C_p$,this work $- C_p$,lit)/$C_p$,this work).

The isentropic compressibility, $\kappa_S$, was determined from Equation (5) using the experimental speed of sound and determined density. The results are collected in Table 7. The overall expanded uncertainty of $\kappa_S$ was estimated to be $U(\kappa_S) = 1.5 \cdot 10^{-3} \kappa_S$ Pa$^{-1}$. The isentropic compressibility calculated from the speed of sound and density reported by Plantier et al. [15] is in an excellent agreement with those reported in this work. The $RD$s are in the range from $-0.31\%$ to $0.16\%$ (see Figure 6), the $AARD$ is $0.16\%$. The $RD$s between $\kappa_S$ obtained from $p\rho T$ data reported by Safarov et al. [16] and obtained in this work are in the range from $-0.004\%$ to $6.3\%$ (see Figure 6), the $AARD$ is $2.1\%$.

Table 7. The isentropic compressibility, $\kappa_S$, of 1-butanol at pressures up to 100 MPa and within the temperature range from (293 to 318) K.

| $p$ (MPa) | $\kappa_S \cdot 10^9$ (Pa$^{-1}$) |
|-----------|----------------------------------|
|           | $T$ (K)                           |
| 0.1       | 293.15  298.15  303.15  308.15  313.15  318.15 |
| 10        | 0.7826  0.8080  0.8345  0.8621  0.8909  0.9209  |
| 20        | 0.7150  0.7361  0.7579  0.7805  0.8038  0.8279  |
| 30        | 0.6586  0.6765  0.6949  0.7138  0.7332  0.7532  |
| 40        | 0.6117  0.6272  0.6430  0.6592  0.6757  0.6926  |
| 50        | 0.5720  0.5855  0.5994  0.6134  0.6278  0.6423  |
| 60        | 0.5379  0.5499  0.5622  0.5746  0.5872  0.5999  |
| 70        | 0.5083  0.5191  0.5300  0.5411  0.5523  0.5636  |
| 80        | 0.4823  0.4920  0.5019  0.5119  0.5219  0.5321  |
| 90        | 0.4592  0.4681  0.4771  0.4861  0.4952  0.5044  |
| 100       | 0.4202  0.4277  0.4353  0.4428  0.4504  0.4580  |
Based on the temperature dependence of density, the isobaric thermal expansion was calculated using a definition (Equation (4)). The obtained results are collected in Table 8. The overall expanded uncertainty of $\alpha_p$ is $U(\alpha_p) = 1 \times 10^{-2} \alpha_p$ K$^{-1}$. The agreement with literature data is very good, the RDs are in the range from $-1.9$ to $0.17\%$ [16] and from $-2.2$ to $0.19\%$ [17] (see Figure 7). The AARD is $0.83\%$ [16] and $1.0\%$ [17].

**Table 8.** The isobaric thermal expansion, $\alpha_p$, of 1-butanol at pressures up to 100 MPa and within the temperature range from (293 to 318) K.

| $p$ (MPa) | $T$ (K) | $\alpha_p \times 10^3$ (K$^{-1}$) |
|-----------|---------|-----------------------------------|
|           | 293.15  | 298.15                            |
| 0.1       | 0.931   | 0.945                             |
| 10        | 0.879   | 0.891                             |
| 20        | 0.834   | 0.845                             |
| 30        | 0.796   | 0.806                             |
| 40        | 0.763   | 0.772                             |
| 50        | 0.733   | 0.742                             |
| 60        | 0.707   | 0.715                             |
| 70        | 0.682   | 0.691                             |
| 80        | 0.660   | 0.668                             |
| 90        | 0.640   | 0.648                             |
| 100       | 0.621   | 0.629                             |

**Figure 6.** Comparison of the isentropic compressibility of 1-butanol as a function of pressure obtained in this work ($\kappa_S$ (this work)) with the literature values ($\kappa_S$ (lit)) [15,16] presented as relative deviations RDs ($\text{RD}/\% = 100 \times (\kappa_S$ (this work) $- \kappa_S$ (lit))/$\kappa_S$ (this work)); * calculated from the $p\rho T$ data [16].
Figure 7. Comparison of the isobaric thermal expansion of 1-butanol as a function of pressure obtained in this work ($\alpha_p$\_this work) with the literature values ($\alpha_p$\_lit) [16,17] presented as relative deviations RDs ($RD/\% = 100 \cdot (\alpha_p$\_this work $- \alpha_p$\_lit)/$\alpha_p$\_this work).

For the comprehensive characterization of the tested liquid, the compressibility at a constant temperature, $\kappa_T$, is the next important material constant. In this work, $\kappa_T$ was calculated from $\kappa_S$ using Eq. (3), the results are listed in Table 9. The overall expanded uncertainty of $\kappa_T$ was estimated to be $U(\kappa_T) = 5 \cdot 10^{-3} \kappa_T$ Pa$^{-1}$. In this work, the $\kappa_T$ was obtained by the acoustic method based on integration procedures. On the other hand, the $\kappa_T$ obtained by the densimetric method is based on differentiation procedures [16,17]. The RDs between results obtained in this work using the acoustic method and literature data obtained using the densimetric method are in the range from −0.08 to 5.2% [16] and from −3.5 to −1.5% [17] (see Figure 8). The AARD is 1.6% [16] and 2.7% [17].

Table 9. The isothermal compressibility, $\kappa_T$, of 1-butanol at pressures up to 100 MPa and within the temperature range from (293 to 318) K.

| $p$ (MPa) | 293.15 | 298.15 | 303.15 | 308.15 | 313.15 | 318.15 |
|-----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| $T$ (K)   |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| 0.1       | 0.917  | 0.946  | 0.977  | 1.009  | 1.042  | 1.077  |
| 10        | 0.834  | 0.858  | 0.884  | 0.910  | 0.937  | 0.964  |
| 20        | 0.766  | 0.786  | 0.808  | 0.830  | 0.852  | 0.875  |
| 30        | 0.709  | 0.727  | 0.745  | 0.764  | 0.783  | 0.803  |
| 40        | 0.661  | 0.677  | 0.693  | 0.709  | 0.726  | 0.743  |
| 50        | 0.620  | 0.634  | 0.648  | 0.663  | 0.677  | 0.692  |
| 60        | 0.584  | 0.597  | 0.610  | 0.623  | 0.636  | 0.649  |
| 70        | 0.553  | 0.564  | 0.576  | 0.588  | 0.600  | 0.612  |
| 80        | 0.525  | 0.536  | 0.546  | 0.557  | 0.568  | 0.579  |
| 90        | 0.500  | 0.510  | 0.520  | 0.530  | 0.540  | 0.550  |
| 100       | 0.478  | 0.487  | 0.496  | 0.506  | 0.515  | 0.524  |
Figure 8. Comparison of the isothermal compressibility of 1-butanol as a function of pressure obtained in this work \( (\kappa_T, \text{this work}) \) with the literature values \( (\kappa_T, \text{lit}) \) [16,17] presented as relative deviations \( RD_s \) 
\[
RD_s/\% = 100 \cdot (\kappa_T, \text{this work} - \kappa_T, \text{lit})/\kappa_T, \text{this work}.
\]

The molar isochoric heat capacity, \( C_V \), was calculated using the experimental speed of sound as well as the determined density, molar isobaric heat capacity and isobaric thermal expansion:

\[
C_V = C_p - \frac{\alpha_p^2 \cdot T \cdot V}{1 + \alpha_p^2 \cdot T \cdot \rho \cdot u^2}.
\] (10)

The \( C_V \) values obtained in this work are collected in Table 10. The overall expanded uncertainty of \( C_V \) was estimated to be \( U(C_V) = 2 \times 10^{-2} C_V \) J·mol\(^{-1}\)·K\(^{-1}\). The \( C_V \) values obtained in this work by the acoustic method and those obtained using the densimetric method by Safarov et al. [16] are in excellent agreement. The \( RD_s \) are in the range from –0.19 to 1.1% [16] (see Figure 9) and AARD is 0.35% [16].

Table 10. The isochoric molar heat capacity, \( C_V \), of 1-butanol at pressures up to 100 MPa and within the temperature range from (293 K to 318) K.

| \( p \) (MPa) | \( C_V \) (J·mol\(^{-1}\)·K\(^{-1}\)) |
|----------------|---------------------------------------------|
|                | \( T \) (K)                                 |
| 293.15         | 298.15                                      |
| 0.1            | 148.3                                       |
| 10             | 148.2                                       |
| 20             | 148.0                                       |
| 30             | 147.8                                       |
| 40             | 147.7                                       |
| 50             | 147.6                                       |
| 60             | 147.4                                       |
| 70             | 147.3                                       |
| 80             | 147.2                                       |
| 90             | 147.1                                       |
| 100            | 147.0                                       |
Figure 9. Comparison of the isochoric heat capacity of 1-butanol as a function of pressure obtained in this work (\(C_V\), this work) with the literature values (\(C_V\), lit) [16] presented as relative deviations \(RDs\)
\[
\frac{RD}{\%} = 100 \cdot \frac{C_V,\text{this work} - C_V,\text{lit}}{C_V,\text{this work}}.
\]

The internal pressure was calculated using the experimental speed of sound and determined density, isobaric heat capacity, and isobaric thermal expansion:

\[
p_{\text{int}} = T \cdot \left(\frac{\alpha_p}{kT}\right) - p = \left[T \cdot \alpha_p \cdot \left(\frac{1}{p \cdot n^2} + \frac{\alpha_p^2 \cdot T \cdot V}{C_p}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}\right] - p.
\] (11)

The results are collected in Table 11. The overall expanded uncertainty of \(p_{\text{int}}\) was estimated to be \(U(p_{\text{int}}) = 1 \cdot 10^{-2} p_{\text{int}}\) Pa. The \(RDs\) for \(p_{\text{int}}\) obtained in this work by the acoustic method and those obtained by the densimetric method are in the range from −6.3 to −0.36% [16] and from −0.21 to 3.0% [17] (see Figure 10). The AARD is 2.7% [16] and 1.7% [17].

Table 11. The internal pressure, \(p_{\text{int}}\), of 1-butanol at pressures up to 100 MPa and within the temperature range from (293 to 318) K.

| \(p\) (MPa) | \(p_{\text{int}} \cdot 10^{-6}\) (Pa) |
|---|---|
| 0.1 | 293.15 298.15 303.15 308.15 313.15 318.15 |
| 10 | 297.9 297.4 297.2 296.9 296.5 295.9 |
| 20 | 299.1 299.4 299.7 300.0 300.2 300.5 |
| 30 | 299.6 300.5 301.4 302.2 303.0 303.7 |
| 40 | 299.4 300.8 302.2 303.6 304.9 306.1 |
| 50 | 298.5 300.4 302.2 304.0 305.8 307.6 |
| 60 | 296.9 299.2 301.5 303.8 306.0 308.3 |
| 70 | 294.6 297.4 300.1 302.8 305.5 308.3 |
| 80 | 291.8 295.0 298.1 301.3 304.4 307.6 |
| 90 | 288.5 292.0 295.6 299.2 302.8 306.4 |
| 100 | 284.7 288.6 292.6 296.6 300.6 304.7 |

| \(T\) (K) | \(p_{\text{int}} \cdot 10^{-6}\) (Pa) |
|---|---|
| 293.15 | 298.15 303.15 308.15 313.15 318.15 |
| 297.9 | 297.4 297.2 296.9 296.5 295.9 |
| 299.1 | 299.4 299.7 300.0 300.2 300.5 |
| 299.6 | 300.5 301.4 302.2 303.0 303.7 |
| 299.4 | 300.8 302.2 303.6 304.9 306.1 |
| 298.5 | 300.4 302.2 304.0 305.8 307.6 |
| 296.9 | 299.2 301.5 303.8 306.0 308.3 |
| 294.6 | 297.4 300.1 302.8 305.5 308.3 |
| 291.8 | 295.0 298.1 301.3 304.4 307.6 |
| 288.5 | 292.0 295.6 299.2 302.8 306.4 |
| 284.7 | 288.6 292.6 296.6 300.6 304.7 |
| 280.5 | 284.8 289.2 293.6 298.0 302.5 |
The density, isentropic compressibility and isobaric thermal expansion of 1-butanol were compared with density, isentropic compressibility and isobaric thermal expansion of heptane [36,37], ethanol [36,37], dodecane [38], diesel oil (ekodiesel ultra) [12] and biodiesel [12]. Heptane and dodecane are components of the fuels [36–38]. The engine simulations were carried out first using heptane and then dodecane as a more suitable “low or no sulphur”, “ideal” surrogate component for petrodiesel fuels [39,40]. Moreover, the thermophysical properties of dodecane are similar to the thermophysical properties of aviation kerosene [41]. For comparison, ethanol was also chosen as the most commonly used bioalcohol. Additionally, the properties of 1-butanol were compared with density, isentropic compressibility and isobaric thermal expansion of heptane [36,37], ethanol [36,37], dodecane [38], diesel oil (ekodiesel ultra) [12] and biodiesel [12].

The relative deviations RDs (Figure 10) suggest that the pressure dependence of the internal pressure of 1-butanol is different depending on the data source (see Figure 11).

**Figure 10.** Comparison of the internal pressure of 1-butanol as a function of pressure obtained in this work ($p_{\text{int-this work}}$) with the literature values ($p_{\text{int-lit}}$) [16,17] presented as relative deviations RDs ($RD\% = 100 \times (p_{\text{int-this work}} - p_{\text{int-lit}})/p_{\text{int-this work}}$).

**Figure 11.** Comparison of the internal pressure of 1-butanol as a function of pressure obtained in this work with those obtained from the ppT literature data [16,17].
used bioalcohol. Additionally, the properties of 1-butanol were compared with those of petrodiesel oil with sulfur content < 10 mg/kg which fulfilled norm EN 590 [12] (named ekodiesel ultra) and biodiesel composed of fatty acid methyl esters from rapeseed oil, which fulfilled norm EN 14214 [12]. Among studied fuel components, the density of 1-butanol at 288.15 K is the closest to norm EN 590 for diesel and the most similar to the density of diesel oil ekodiesel ultra (see Figure 12). Moreover, the differences between the density of 1-butanol and ekodiesel ultra decrease with increasing pressure from 2.8% at 0.1 MPa to 1.9% at 100 MPa and at 298.15 K (see Figure 13).

**Figure 12.** Comparison of the density at 288.15 K of heptane [36,37], dodecane [38], ethanol [36,37], 1-butanol (this work), ekodiesel ultra [12], biodiesel [12] with norm EN 590 for diesel and EN 14214 for biodiesel.

**Figure 13.** The pressure dependence of density of heptane and dodecane—fuel components, ethanol—the most common used bioalcohol, low sulfur diesel oil (ekodiesel ultra) and biodiesel (FAME) at 298.15 K.
The 1-butanol is less compressible than heptane by $43 \div 20\%$ in the pressure range of $0.1 \div 100\, \text{MPa}$, and ethanol by $21 \div 12\%$ in the pressure range of $0.1 \div 90\, \text{MPa}$ at $298.15\, \text{K}$. The 1-butanol is more compressible than diesel by $18 \div 12\%$ and biodiesel by $28 \div 17\%$ in the pressure range of $0.1 \div 100\, \text{MPa}$ at $298.15\, \text{K}$. On the other hand, the compressibility of 1-butanol is close to dodecane, and furthermore, the differences between compressibility of 1-butanol and dodecane decrease with increasing pressure from $1.5\%$ at $0.1\, \text{MPa}$ to $0.5\%$ at $100\, \text{MPa}$ and at $298.15\, \text{K}$ (Figure 14).

![Figure 14.](image-url) The pressure dependence of isentropic compressibility of heptane and dodecane—fuel components, ethanol—the most common used bioalcohol, low sulfur diesel oil (ekodiesel ultra) and biodiesel (FAME) at $298.15\, \text{K}$.

As in the case of isentropic compressibility, the isobaric thermal expansion of 1-butanol is lower than those of heptane by $32 \div 15\%$ in the pressure range of $0.1 \div 100\, \text{MPa}$, and ethanol $15 \div 12\%$ in the pressure range of $0.1 \div 90\, \text{MPa}$ at $298.15\, \text{K}$. The isobaric thermal expansion of 1-butanol is higher than those of diesel by $10 \div 7\%$ and biodiesel by $12 \div 5\%$ in the pressure range of $0.1 \div 100\, \text{MPa}$ at $298.15\, \text{K}$. On the other hand, isobaric thermal expansion of 1-butanol is the most similar to dodecane and likewise, the pressure dependence of isobaric thermal expansion of 1-butanol is the most similar to the pressure dependence of isobaric thermal expansion of dodecane (Figure 15). The differences between the expansivity of 1-butanol and dodecane slightly decrease with increasing pressure from $3.3\%$ at $0.1\, \text{MPa}$ to $2.9\%$ at $100\, \text{MPa}$ and at $298.15\, \text{K}$.

The internal pressure is related to the solubility parameter [42–48] and cohesive energy density [43,46,49]. According to the definition, the internal pressure reflects molecular interactions which determine the change of internal energy that accompanying a very small isothermal expansion of 1 mole of liquid. Therefore, the internal pressure should be affected mainly by dispersion, repulsion, and weak dipole–dipole interactions. Kartsev et al. [50–53] pointed out that the temperature dependence of internal pressure is sensitive to the structural organization and reflects the character of the interactions of not hydrogen-bonded, hydrogen-bonded with the spatial net of H-bonds and associated liquids. They showed that, at atmospheric pressure, the sign reversal of the temperature coefficient of the internal pressure from $\left( \frac{\partial p_{\text{int}}}{\partial T} \right)_p > 0$ to $\left( \frac{\partial p_{\text{int}}}{\partial T} \right)_p < 0$ is characteristic for primary linear alkanols. Our group found that the pressure coefficient of internal pressure $\left( \frac{\partial p_{\text{int}}}{\partial p} \right)_T$ is also sensitive to the structural organization of the molecular liquids like alcohols [20,54,55] as well as ionic liquids [56] and reflects the character of the interactions. The temperature and pressure dependence of the internal pressure of 1-butanol found in this work confirms the results obtained previously for 1-alkanols [20,54,55]. In the temperature and pressure ranges under investigation, the maximum of the pressure dependence of the internal pressure of 1-butanol was observed for each isotherm.
(see Figure 16). With increasing temperature, the maximum moves toward higher pressures. Thus, the internal pressure first increases with increasing pressure and then it decreases. This was also observed for ethanol and for other 1-alkanols from 1-pentanol to 1-decanol [20,54,55]. The internal pressure of 1-butanol obtained by Safarov et al. [16] decreases with increasing pressure \((\partial p_{\text{int}}/\partial p)_T < 0)\) in the pressure range under investigation and at temperatures as in this work. Meanwhile, the internal pressure of 1-butanol obtained by Dávila et al. [17] increases with increasing pressure \((\partial p_{\text{int}}/\partial p)_T > 0)\) in the pressure range under investigation and at temperatures as in this work. Moreover, the results obtained in this work shows that with the increasing pressure, the temperature dependence of the internal pressure of 1-butanol changes from \((\partial p_{\text{int}}/\partial T)_p < 0)\) to \((\partial p_{\text{int}}/\partial T)_p > 0)\). This reflects the crossing point of the isotherms of the internal pressure, which was observed for ethanol and for other 1-alkanols from 1-pentanol to 1-decanol [20,54,55]. Dávila et al. [17] also found the crossing point of internal pressure isotherms of 1-butanol. On the other hand, Safarov et al. [16] did not observe this effect; \((\partial p_{\text{int}}/\partial T)_p > 0)\) in the whole pressure range. The inspection of literature data shows better internal coherence of \(p_{\text{int}}(p,T)\) dependence obtained by the acoustic method than obtained by the densimetric method. In the case of the densimetric method, isobaric thermal expansion and isothermal compressibility are obtained by differentiation using their definitions acquired by Equations (4) and (6), respectively. Meanwhile, in the acoustic method, differentiation is needed only for the determination of isobaric thermal expansion (Equation (4)). The crossing point of the internal pressure isotherms was also observed for 2-methyl-2-butanol and 3-pentanol [54,55]. For 3-pentanol, the crossing point of each two neighbor isotherms shifts toward higher pressure with increasing temperature [54,55]. For 2-methyl-2-butanol, the crossing point of each two neighbor isotherms appears at temperatures higher than 303.15 K and it moves toward higher pressure with increasing temperature as in the case of 3-pentanol [55]. On the other hand, for 2-methyl-1-butanol, the crossing point is not observed, and the internal pressure increases with increasing temperature over the whole investigated temperature and pressure range. However, the temperature dependence of the internal pressure of 2-methyl-1-butanol under atmospheric pressure indicates that the crossing point could appear at higher temperatures [55].

Figure 15. The pressure dependence of isobaric thermal expansion of heptane and dodecane—fuel components, ethanol—the most common used bioalcohol, low sulfur diesel oil (ekodiesel ultra) and biodiesel (FAME) at 298.15 K.
1-butanol are close to those of dodecane—a surrogate component for petrodiesel fuels and aviation kerosene. The temperature and pressure dependence of the density, isobaric heat capacity and related thermodynamic properties such as isentropic and isothermal compressibilities, the isobaric thermal expansion and internal pressure as a function of temperature and pressure were determined using the acoustic method. The obtained results show that the density of 1-butanol is close to norm EN 590 for diesel oil and, as a consequence, is close to the density of ekodiesel ultra. The isobaric expansivity and isentropic compressibility of 1-butanol are close to those of dodecane—a surrogate component for petrodiesel fuels and aviation kerosene. The temperature and pressure dependence of the internal pressure, obtained by the acoustic method, qualitatively confirms similarities and dissimilarities of 1-butanol, other 1-alkanols as well as 2-methyl-2-butanol and 3-pentanol. Discrepancies in the sign of \( \frac{\partial p_{int}}{\partial T} \) and \( \frac{\partial p_{int}}{\partial p} \) were found for 1-butanol obtained by the acoustic method and densimetric method. Thus, the determination of internal pressure as a function of temperature and pressure is still an open, complex issue.
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