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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: Y-configuration stent-assisted coil embolization is used for treating wide-neck aneurysms. Noninvasive alternatives to x-ray DSA for follow-up after Y-configuration stent-assisted coil embolization treatment are required. This study aimed to assess the usefulness of non–contrast-enhanced MRA by using a Silent Scan (silent MRA) for follow-up after Y-configuration stent-assisted coil embolization for basilar tip aneurysms.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: Seven patients treated with Y-configuration stent-assisted coil embolization for basilar tip aneurysms underwent silent MRA, 3D TOF-MRA, and DSA. Silent MRA and 3D TOF-MRA images were obtained during the same scan session on a 3T MR imaging system. Two neuroradiologists independently reviewed both types of MRA images and subjectively scored the flow in the stents on a scale of 1 (not visible) to 5 (nearly equal to DSA) by referring to the latest DSA image as a criterion standard. Furthermore, we evaluated the visualization of the neck remnant.

RESULTS: In all patients, the 2 observers gave a higher score for the flow in the stents on silent MRA than on 3D TOF-MRA. The average score ± standard deviation was 4.07 ± 0.70 for silent MRA and 1.93 ± 0.80 (P < .05) for 3D TOF-MRA. Neck remnants were depicted by DSA in 5 patients. In silent MRA, neck remnants were depicted in 5 patients, and visualization was similar to DSA; however, in 3D TOF-MRA, neck remnants were depicted in only 1 patient.

CONCLUSIONS: Silent MRA might be useful for follow-up after Y-configuration stent-assisted coil embolization.

ABBREVIATIONS: BA = basilar artery; CE-MRA = contrast-enhanced MRA; PCA = posterior cerebral artery; UTE = ultrashort echo time

In recent years, intracranial stents have been used for the treatment of wide-neck aneurysms. The Y-configuration stent-assisted coil embolization technique has been generally used for wide-neck bifurcation aneurysms such as those at the tip of the basilar artery (BA). The Y stent deploys 2 stents from the BA to the bilateral posterior cerebral artery (PCA). The 2 stents overlap in the distal BA trunk, with 1 stent penetrating the mesh of the other. The use of 2 stents in a “Y” configuration to assist with coil embolization for bifurcation aneurysms has been accepted for broad-neck aneurysms.

X-ray DSA is the standard technique used for follow-up after an intracranial stent. However, DSA is an invasive technique that carries a risk of neurologic complications, contrast materials, and x-ray radiation. On the other hand, 3D TOF-MRA is widely used as a noninvasive substitute for DSA for the follow-up of coiled aneurysms. Although there have been reports of 3D TOF-MRA being used after stent-assisted coil embolization, it remains difficult to visualize flow in an intracranial stent when using this method because of magnetic susceptibility and radiofrequency shielding. Therefore, contrast-enhanced MRA (CE-MRA) is used for follow-up after stent-assisted coil embolization. However, the use of contrast materials in CE-MRA is associated with nephrogenic systemic fibrosis and anaphylactic shock; therefore, this
Furthermore, it has been reported that gadolinium-based contrast material accumulates in the dentate nucleus and globus pallidus. It is a non–contrast-enhanced MRA technique; therefore, it is better for the patient and suitable for repeated follow-ups.

To the best of our knowledge, there have been no studies of the use of non–contrast-enhanced MRA for follow-up after Y-configuration stent-assisted coil embolization for basilar tip aneurysms. Therefore, in the present study, we evaluated the usefulness of silent MRA compared with 3D TOF-MRA for follow-up after Y-configuration stent-assisted coil embolization for basilar tip aneurysms.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Between October 2014 and September 2015, 7 aneurysm cases treated with Y-configuration stent-assisted coil embolization were retrospectively examined. Written informed consent was not required because of the retrospective nature of this study. All patients had incidentally found unruptured aneurysms and were followed-up with silent MRA, 3D TOF-MRA, and DSA. The average interval between the latest DSA and both MRAs was 27 days (range, 1–180 days). The average interval between the aneurysm treatment and both MRAs was 187.9 days (range, 1–395 days).

The DSA of catheter-based intraarterial cerebral angiography was performed with the following biplane angiographic systems: the AXIOM Artis dTA (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) until February 2014 and the Artis Q BA Twin (Siemens) from March 2014 to present.

The intracranial stents used were the Enterprise stent (Codman & Shurtleff, Raynham, Massachusetts), Neuroform stent (Stryker Neurovascular, Kalamazoo, Michigan), and LVIS (Low-profile Visualized Intraluminal Support) Jr stent (MicroVention, Tustin, California).

Silent MRA and 3D TOF-MRA were performed during the same scan session on a 3T MR imaging system (Discovery MR750w; GE Healthcare) with a 12-channel head-neck coil. The scan parameters of silent MRA were as follows: TR, 1116.4 ms; TE, 0.016 ms; flip angle, 5°; FOV, 180 × 180 mm; matrix, 150 × 150; section thickness, 1.2 mm; NEX, 1.5; bandwidth, ±20 kHz; and acquisition time, 7 minutes, 40 seconds. The scan parameters of silent MRA were as follows: TR, 1116.4 ms; TE, 0.016 ms; flip angle, 5°; FOV, 180 × 180 mm; matrix, 150 × 150; section thickness, 1.2 mm; NEX, 1.5; bandwidth, ±20 kHz; and acquisition time, 7 minutes, 40 seconds. The scan parameters of 3D TOF-MRA were as follows: TR, 19 ms; TE, 2.9 ms; flip angle, 15°; FOV, 200 × 200 mm; matrix, 416 × 192; section thickness, 1.2 mm; NEX, 1; bandwidth, ±41.7 kHz; and acquisition time, 3 minutes, 31 seconds (3 slabs, overlap between a slab: 10 sections, 1 slab: 32 sections).

Visualization of the parent artery with silent MRA and 3D TOF-MRA was compared with that of the DSA images. The latest DSA images were used as a criterion standard. Silent MRA and 3D TOF-MRA images were processed to MIP in the same manner as the DSA images. We evaluated DSA images and 2 types of MRA images. The 2 types of MRA images were independently assessed to minimize bias from the knowledge of the results of the other MRA image. Two experienced neuroradiologists (M.S., R.I.) independently reviewed silent MRA and 3D TOF-MRA and rated the conditions of visualization of the flow in each stent subjectively on a 5-point scale as follows: 1, not visible (almost no signal in the stent); 2, poor (structures are slightly visible, but with substantial blurring or artifacts); 3, acceptable (acceptable quality diagnostic information with medium blurring or artifacts); 4, good (good quality diagnostic information with minimum blurring or artifacts); or 5, excellent (the depiction is almost equal to DSA). This scale is used to assess the image quality. Furthermore, we evaluated the visualization of the neck remnants of silent MRA and 3D TOF-MRA in patients in whom DSA indicated neck remnants. The scores given by the 2 observers were averaged, and a Wilcoxon signed rank test was performed in the statistical analysis of the subjective scores for the flow in the stents. \( P < .05 \) was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS
Patient data and the scores given by the 2 observers are shown in the On-line Table. Both observers gave silent MRA a higher score than 3D TOF-MRA in all cases. The average score ± standard deviation was 4.07 ± 0.70 for silent MRA and 1.93 ± 0.80 for 3D TOF-MRA (\( P < .05 \)). Neck remnants were depicted by DSA in 5 cases (case number 1, 3, 4, 5, and 7). In silent MRA, neck remnants were depicted in all of these 5 cases, and visualization was similar to DSA; however, in 3D TOF-MRA, neck remnants were depicted in only 1 case. Figs 1–3 show the silent MRA, 3D TOF-MRA, and DSA images for each case.

DISCUSSION
The Y-configuration stent-assisted coil embolization technique has been used for wide-neck bifurcation aneurysms such as those of the tip of the BA. In the present study, we evaluated the usefulness of silent MRA compared with that of 3D TOF-MRA for follow-up after Y-configuration stent-assisted coil embolization for basilar tip aneurysms. It has been reported that 3D TOF-MRA and CE-MRA have been used after single stent–assisted coil embolization. Irie et al reported follow-up after stent-assisted coil embolization by using silent MRA, and Cho et al reported that the degree of agreement and correlation between 3D TOF-MRA and 3D rotational angiography was high. With respect to the status of neck remnants, the sensitivity was 80% (4/5 cases). It is thought that magnetic susceptibility artifacts and radiofrequency shielding were decreased because of the very small voxel size (reconstructed voxel size, 0.24/0.24/0.7 mm). Cho et al reported comparisons between the 3D TOF-MRA and conventional angiography, showing that the MIP plus source images had almost perfect agreement (\( k = 0.892 \)), with better agreement than with the MIP images alone (\( k = 0.598 \)). It is difficult, through the evaluation of source images, to assess the residual flow that is protruding in the direction of the body axis after stent-assisted coil embolization. To confirm the residual flow, MPR with an
Irie et al\textsuperscript{20} showed the usefulness of the silent MRA for stent-assisted coil embolization rather than a comparison between silent MRA and 3D TOF-MRA. Moreover, the visualization of neck remnants was good.

CE-MRA has a better depiction of the flow in a stent than 3D TOF-MRA, and it is reported that CE-MRA has a visualization ability similar to DSA.\textsuperscript{15} Previous reports have examined 3D TOF-MRA and CE-MRA for stent-assisted coil embolization by using 1 stent; however, a Y-configuration stent-assisted coil embolization case was not examined. Moreover, there have been no studies on the use of MRA for follow-up after Y-configuration stent-assisted coil embolization. The Y stent has a strong influence on magnetic susceptibility artifacts and radiofrequency shielding effects, particularly at the cross point of the 2 stents. It is thought
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\caption{Patient number 1: 53-year-old woman. A, Y-configuration stent-assisted coil embolization is performed with Neuroform (right PCA) and Enterprise stent (left PCA). Short arrows are stent edges. B, X-ray DSA shows neck remnant (long arrow). C, Silent MRA demonstrates minimal signal loss at stented segments; right PCA stent edge segment demonstrates strong signal loss (arrowhead). Neck remnant is depicted (long arrow). D, 3D TOF-MRA on same day shows strong signal loss at BA, and left PCA segment demonstrates complete signal loss (outlined arrows). Neck remnant is depicted (long arrow).}
\end{figure}
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\caption{Patient number 2: 75-year-old woman. A, Y-configuration stent-assisted coil embolization is performed with Neuroform (right PCA) and Enterprise stent (left PCA). Short arrows are stent edges. B, X-ray DSA shows complete occlusion. C, Silent MRA demonstrates mild signal loss at right PCA segment. Silent MRA shows complete occlusion. D, 3D TOF-MRA on same day shows mild signal loss at BA, and bilateral PCA segments demonstrates complete signal loss (outlined arrows).}
\end{figure}
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\caption{Patient number 3: 66-year-old woman. A, Y-configuration stent-assisted coil embolization is performed with Neuroform (left PCA) and Enterprise stent (right PCA). Short arrows are stent edges. B, X-ray DSA immediately after stent-assisted coil embolization shows neck remnant (long arrow). C, Silent MRA demonstrates minimal signal loss at stented segment and visualizes neck remnant (long arrow). D, 3D TOF-MRA on same day shows mild signal loss at BA, and right PCA segment demonstrates almost complete signal loss (outlined arrows).}
\end{figure}
that the depiction of the flow in Y stents is difficult in 3D TOF-MRA.

On the other hand, CE-MRA has certain problems such as nephrogenic systemic fibrosis, gadolinium accumulation, and anaphylactic shock, and it is not necessarily suitable for repeated examination. Therefore, we examined the usefulness of silent MRA in the present study. Silent MRA is a non–contrast-enhanced MRA technique as is 3D TOF-MRA. In the present study, the visualization ability of silent MRA was higher than that of 3D TOF-MRA for Y-configuration stent-assisted coil embolization. The reason silent MRA is superior in the depiction of the flow in a stent is the data acquisition by UTE. The TE of silent MRA was 0.016 ms and that of 3D TOF-MRA was 2.9 ms. Gönnen et al reported that 3D TOF-MRA using UTE reduced the artifacts after coil or stent placement; however, a TE of 2.4 ms was used in that study. In addition, Yamada et al reported a TE of 1.54–1.60 ms was used in their 3D TOF-MRA study. In silent MRA, UTE can minimize the phase dispersion of the labeled blood flow signal in the voxel and decrease magnetic susceptibility artifacts, and accordingly, the artifacts from stents or coils are decreased.

The Y stent deploys 2 stents from the BA to the bilateral PCA. Moreover, the PCA is positioned almost perpendicular to the BA by stent deployment. Therefore, the PCA has weak inflow effect parallel to a section direction. The visualization ability of the PCA decreased because 3D TOF-MRA was used inflow effect. The limitation of 3D TOF-MRA is spin dephasing by slow flow, turbulent flow, and horizontal directional flow. Moreover, the visualization ability of the BA trunk is worse because of the susceptibility artifacts with 2 stents.

On the other hand, the visualization ability of the BA and PCA is good in silent MRA. The arterial spin-labeling technique is used as a preparation pulse for the visualization of the blood flow. Therefore, visualization of the blood flow does not depend on the flow direction, such as with 3D TOF-MRA in the silent MRA.

In the series, we experienced an interesting case (Fig 1) that used both a Neuroform and Enterprise stent. The Neuroform stent was deployed from the BA trunk to the right PCA, and the Enterprise stent was deployed from the BA trunk to the left PCA. The stent sizes were 3.0 × 20 mm and 4.5 × 22 mm, respectively. In this particular case, the depiction of the distal stent edge of the right PCA showed strong signal loss in both MRAs. Neuroform and Enterprise stents are nitinol stents. The Neuroform stent has an open-cell design (ie, stent-strut is not interconnected). On the other hand, the Enterprise stent has a closed-cell design (ie, stent-strut is interconnected). Open cell–design stents have lower susceptibility artifacts than closed-cell designs. Therefore, the Neuroform stent has a better visualization ability compared with the Enterprise stent.

The marker of Neuroform comprises stainless steel and platinum. On the other hand, the marker of Enterprise comprises tantalum. Agid et al reported a “marker band effect” that appeared in small arteries with a diameter of 2 mm or less and markers of different alloy than the rest of the stent (usually made of platinum), which creates a stronger local susceptibility artifact. The markers of Neuroform stents are made of platinum, which creates a strong magnetic susceptibility artifact.

In our study, this effect appeared in the right PCA, where the Neuroform stent (marker made of stainless steel and platinum) with a 3-mm diameter (the smallest diameter in our 7 cases) was deployed. This phenomenon corresponded with that in the report by Agid et al; it is thought that a similar phenomenon is caused in the silent MRA.

Case number 3 (Fig 3) also used both a Neuroform and Enterprise stent. The left PCA segment was depicted more clearly than the right PCA segment. The Enterprise stent was deployed in the right PCA. Choi et al reported that the open-cell design and thinner strut of the Neuroform stent provide better image quality than the Enterprise stent when using 3D TOF-MRA. In the present study, silent MRA more clearly depicted the Neuroform stent than the Enterprise stent, similar to the previous study. The cell design and thickness of the stent strut are important factors in both silent MRA and 3D TOF-MRA.

The depiction of the neck remnants in silent MRA was similar to that of DSA in the present study. Cho et al and Agid et al reported good depiction of the neck remnants in 3D TOF-MRA and CE-MRA in a case of single stent–assisted coil embolization. In the present study, 3D TOF-MRA had poor image quality compared with that reported in previous studies. With respect to Y-configuration stent–assisted coil embolization, the aneurysm is sandwiched between 2 stents.

Therefore, the influence of 2 stents on the susceptibility artifact was stronger. On the other hand, silent MRA uses UTE and the arterial spin-labeling technique, decreasing susceptibility artifacts and depicting the neck remnants in comparison with 3D TOF-MRA.

As a similar sequence to silent MRA, Iryo et al reported the contrast inherent inflow-enhanced multiphase angiography, or CINEMA, sequence. The CINEMA sequence is a non–contrast-enhanced MRA using arterial spin-labeling, such as silent MRA. This is a 4D MRA sequence. They evaluated patients with AVF. It is thought that the reduction of the susceptibility artifacts can possibly decrease if we shorten TE by this sequence. However, TE of silent MRA is 0.016 ms. TE of CINEMA cannot use UTE, such as silent MRA. They reported that a TE of 2.2 ms was used. Therefore, it is thought that silent MRA has a higher visualization ability of the artery treated with intracranial stenting compared with the CINEMA sequence. On the other hand, CINEMA has high temporal resolution; as a result, it is useful for a patient needing temporal resolution, such as one with shunt lesions.

On the other hand, Koktzoglou et al reported the pointwise encoding time reduction with radial acquisition, or PETRA, sequence. PETRA uses the UTE sequence. They evaluated carotid stenosis by using a vascular phantom. They reported that arterial spin-labeling MRA could improve the display of hemodynamically significant carotid arterial stenosis. Silent MRA and PETRA MRA are arterial spin-labeling with a UTE MRA sequence. If we use the PETRA MRA sequence, a result similar to that of our examination may be provided.

A major limitation of the present study is the small sample size. The examination of more cases and a variety of stents, lengths, and diameters is required. In the future, we should evaluate stent–specific visualization. There is a report that CE-MRA is useful, and comparative evaluations with CE-MRA are also required. It is important to elucidate whether silent MRA is not inferior to CE-
MRA; however, patients in the current study did not undergo CE-MRA. In the current study, silent MRA picked up all neck remnants, with 1 false-positive test result. Thus, we postulate that silent MRA is noninferior to CE-MRA, which needs to be confirmed in future studies.

CONCLUSIONS

Silent MRA could visualize flow in the stent more clearly than 3D TOF-MRA at the Y-configuration stent-assisted coil embolization for basilar tip aneurysms. Moreover, it is not necessary to use contrast materials. Silent MRA might be useful for follow-up after Y-configuration stent-assisted coil embolization for basilar tip aneurysms.
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