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Abstract: The World Health Organization (WHO) recommends rooming-in to reduce infant mortality rates. Little research has been done to assess practices such as rooming-in and its relation to breastfeeding in the United Arab Emirates (UAE). The aim of this study was to examine the prevalence of rooming-in during hospital stay among mothers with infants six months old and below, in addition to other associated factors in Abu Dhabi, UAE. This study utilized a sub-sample extracted from a dataset based on a convenience sample of mothers who were recruited from governmental maternal and child health centers as well as from the community. The purpose of the original research was to evaluate infant and young children's feeding practices. A pre-tested questionnaire was used during interviews with mothers once ethical clearance was in place. Multivariable logistic regression was conducted to describe the results. The original sample included 1822 participants, of which 804 infants met the inclusion criteria. The mean age for mothers and infants was 30.3 years and 3.5 months, respectively. The rate of rooming-in during hospital stay was 97.5%. Multivariable logistic regression analysis indicated factors associated with not rooming-in were low maternal age (Adjusted Odds Ratios (AOR) = 1.15, 95% confidence interval (CI): 1.03, 1.30), low gestational age (GA) (AOR = 1.90, 95% CI: 1.52, 2.36), abnormal pre-pregnancy body mass index (BMI) (AOR = 3.77, 95% CI: 1.22, 11.76), and delayed initiation of breastfeeding (AOR = 4.47, 95% CI: 1.08, 18.48). In the context of the high rate of rooming-in revealed in this study, there should be a focus on those groups who do not room-in (i.e., younger women and those with babies of a younger gestational age). Rooming-in practice provides self-confidence in taking care of a baby, knowledge about breastfeeding, and stimulates early-phase lactation.
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1. Introduction

The practice of rooming-in, as recommended by the World Health Organization (WHO) and United Nations Children’s Fund (UNICEF), is one of the ten steps for hospitals with maternity facilities to be accredited as a Baby-Friendly Hospital (BFH) [1]. The practice of rooming-in, as defined by the WHO and UNICEF, is a “hospital practice where postnatal mothers and normal infants stay together in the same room for 24 h a day from the time they arrive in their room after delivery” [2]. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) defines rooming-in as the process in which
mothers and their newborns stay together for at least 23 h a day in the hospital [3]. Research shows that rooming-in plays a critical role in initiation of breastfeeding and enhances skin-to-skin contact, thus protecting infants from the risk of infections potentially contracted from other infants or health personnel [4,5]. Other advantages of rooming-in include the promotion of early breastfeeding and encouragement of maternal–infant bonding, increases to the mother’s confidence, and the contribution of protection against stress related to change in the parenting role for some mothers. It has also been well documented that newborn babies kept in a separate nursery, which used to be common practice, would be a reason to receive significantly more breastmilk substitutes and less breastmilk than babies who are kept in the same room with their mothers [6].

Several studies have found a strong association between rooming-in and improved breastfeeding outcomes [7,8]. Research shows the importance of rooming-in stems from birth, whether at home or at a hospital, as the mother’s and infant’s physical and emotional relationship would be established, and their need for each other would continue [9,10]. The more time the mother and newborn spend together, the better the results of breastfeeding [11]. When together, mothers quickly learn their babies’ needs and how best to care for, soothe, and comfort their newborns.

Studies have revealed that mothers who room-in with their babies increase their milk production, breastfeed for longer periods, and are more likely to breastfeed exclusively compared to mothers who have limited contact with their infants (i.e., those with babies in the nursery at night) [11–14].

A study in the United Arab Emirates (UAE) reported that mothers who kept their infants in the same room after delivery had a successful rate of breastfeeding, which was six times higher than mothers who kept their infants in separate rooms [14]. Previous studies have identified that not rooming-in infants in the mother’s room was amongst the most significant factors associated with not breastfeeding [15,16].

Research has well documented that supporting the quality of hospital-based breastfeeding support services and implementing the ten steps practices will ultimately improve breastfeeding and achieve national and international breastfeeding goals [17,18]. While many studies have been conducted to evaluate breastfeeding practices in the UAE, little has been done to evaluate the practices that are known to improve breastfeeding, such as rooming-in.

Despite the continuous efforts of the programs to improve breastfeeding, there are considerable disparities in breastfeeding outcomes for the UAE, as reported by several studies [19,20]. This study was prompted by the need to assess practices that may influence and encourage breastfeeding practices among mothers in the UAE. Therefore, the aim of this study was to examine the prevalence of and factors associated with rooming-in during hospital stay among mothers with infants aged six months and below in Abu Dhabi, UAE.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Participants and Data Collection

The study sample included 804 Emirati and non-Emirati mothers with infants aged six months and below. Data were extracted from a larger cross-sectional study where a convenience sample of 1822 mothers was recruited from the community, as well as seven governmental healthcare centers located in different geographical areas in Abu Dhabi from March to September 2017. Mothers with young children attending the centers during the study and from the community were approached by trained bilingual (Arabic and English) female research assistants, who provided oral and written information about the study. Mothers who met the inclusion criteria of the main project, having at least one child under two years of age, were interviewed by the research assistants using a structured questionnaire. The purpose of the original research was to evaluate infant and young children’s feeding practices. The study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of Zayed University (ZU17_006_F), and all participants provided written informed consent.
2.2. Study Instrument

The study instrument was a questionnaire previously validated by conducting a pilot study where the study team utilized face validity prior to distribution. Participants were divided into two categories, namely rooming-in and not rooming-in. To describe results, these two groups were compared based on factors to include: family demographics (e.g., education, age, nationality, occupation), child’s information (e.g., birth weight and length, delivery mode), and infant feeding practices (e.g., initiation of breastfeeding and exclusive breastfeeding). The questionnaire was first designed in English and then interpreted in Arabic, using a cross-translation strategy, where a local Arabic speaker transformed the English document into Arabic. Thereafter, another local Arabic speaker, blinded to the original translation, translated the document back to English. Any interpretation errors recognized were addressed by the investigators to minimize errors and produce the final version of the instrument.

2.3. Study Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

All infants aged six months and younger and their mothers, who completed data regarding the main outcome (rooming-in), were included in this study, regardless of the gestational age (GA). The cut-off age of six months was chosen to limit the mothers’ potential for recall bias. All children included in the study were reportedly born without medical complications and had no medical issues at the time of the interview.

2.4. Statistical Analysis

Data were collected and analyzed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) [21]. Descriptive and inferential analysis was used to describe results. T-test and Chi-square tests were applied to analyze continuous and categorical variables, respectively. Variables with significant $p$-values ($<0.05$) in univariate analysis were further analyzed using multivariable logistic analysis with the dependent variable (i.e., rooming-in coded as 0 and not rooming-in coded as 1). Other variables such as age, GA, maternal body mass index (BMI), mode of delivery, occupation, and initiation of breastfeeding were used as independent variables. Odds Ratio (OR) and 95% Confidence Interval (CI) were calculated. $P$-value $< 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

2.5. Ethics

The original study from which these data were extracted was approved (ZU17_006_F) by the Research Ethics Committee at Zayed University, UAE, and the Abu Dhabi Health Services Company. Informed consent was obtained from the mothers. Privacy and confidentiality were ensured by several measures, such as excluding names and personal identifiers throughout the period of data collection and analysis.
2.6. Definitions

| Variable                               | The Definition                                                                                                                                 |
|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Rooming-in                             | The mother and infant being placed in the same room immediately after leaving the delivery suite, and in the case of cesarean sections when the mother was able to respond to her infant. Babies who were not in the same room with mother since the delivery time, or those who required separation out of rooming-in in the middle of the course due to poor condition of babies or due to maternal condition. |
| Not rooming-in group                   | Babies who were not in the same room with mother since the delivery time, or those who required separation out of rooming-in in the middle of the course due to poor condition of babies or due to maternal condition. |
| Timely initiation of breastfeeding     | When the infant initiated breastfeeding within one hour of birth.                                                                                                                                   |
| Delayed initiation of breastfeeding    | When the infant initiated breastfeeding more than one hour after birth.                                                                                                                              |
| Exclusive breastfeeding                | The infant being fed only breastmilk without any other oral intake, except medications and vitamins, for the first six months of life. It was calculated based on the last 24 h.                                      |
| Breastfeeding support                  | Support and encouragement from family (mother, husband, other relatives, and other non-relatives) on breastfeeding.                                                                                     |
| Breastfeeding advice and/or discussion | Any received information, positive or negative things, about breastfeeding before or after delivery.                                                                                            |
| Body Mass Index (BMI)                  | It is defined as the weight in kilograms divided by the square of the height in meters (kg/m²). Based on the index, it was subcategorized to normal between 18.50 and 24.99 and abnormal (underweight <18.5, overweight 25–29.9, and obese ≥30) [22]. In the present study, the weight and height data were based on maternal self-reported information. A recent review has documented the accuracy of self-reported pregnancy-related weight [23]. |

3. Results

A total of 804 (44.1%) infants from the original sample (N = 1822) met the inclusion criteria (Figure 1).

First, socio-demographic factors were analyzed to describe their significance among mothers who reportedly roomed-in and those who did not (Table 1). Of the 804 mothers, 784 (97.5%) practiced rooming-in, and 463 (57.6%) initiated breastfeeding within the first hour of delivery. The mean (SD) of maternal age and infants' age was 30.3 (5.0) years and 3.5 (1.5) months, respectively. The mothers' ages ranged from 18 to 47 years. The mean and standard deviation (SD) of the infant's birth weight was
3025 (532.6) g. The mean (SD) of the GA at delivery was 39.2 (1.6) weeks, ranging from 30 to 43 weeks. The pre-term (GA < 37 weeks) rate was (5.9%).

Table 1. Socio-demographic characteristics of the studied participants in Abu Dhabi, UAE.

| Variables                      | Total (N = 804) | Rooming-in (n = 784) | Not Rooming-in (n = 20) | p-Value |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|---------|
| Maternal age (years)           | 30.3 (5.0)      | 30.4 (5.0)           | 27.9 (5.4)              | 0.031   |
| Child age (months)             | 3.5 (1.5)       | 3.5 (1.5)            | 3.9 (1.6)               | 0.184   |
| Gestational age (weeks)        | 39.2 (1.6)      | 39.3 (1.5)           | 36.0 (3.0)              | <0.001  |
| Birth weight (grams)           | 3025.3(532.6)   | 3030.5 (517.1)       | 2822 (960.8)            | 0.084   |
| Child gender                   | N %             | N %                  | N %                     | p-value |
| Female                         | 433 53.9        | 425 54.2             | <10                      | 0.208   |
| Male                           | 371 46.1        | 359 45.8             | 12                       | 0.090   |
| Nationality                    | Arab 523 65     | 279 35.6             | <10                      | 0.018   |
| Non-Arab                       | 281 35          | 505 64.4             | 18                       | 0.925   |
| Mode of delivery               | Vaginal delivery| 547 68               | 536 68.4                | 0.184   |
| Caesarean section              | 257 32          | 248 31.6             | <10                      | 0.045   |
| Marital Status                 | Married 798 99.3| 778 99.2             | 20                       | 0.695   |
| Unmarried                      | <10 0.7         | <10 0.8              | 0                        | 0.001   |
| Received breastfeeding support | Yes 748 93      | 733 93.5             | 15                       | 0.001   |
| No                             | 56 7            | 51 6.5               | <10                      | 0.025   |
| Received breastfeeding advice  | Yes 728 90.5    | 712 90.8             | 16                       | 0.033   |
| No                             | 76 9.5          | 72 9.2               | <10                      | 0.067   |
| Pre-pregnancy BMI              | Normal (18.5, 24.9) | 539 67.0     | 530 67.6                | <10       | 0.034   |
| Abnormal (<18.5 or ≥25)        | 265 33.0        | 254 32.4             | 11                       | 0.059   |
| Mother’s education             | <Secondary level| 22 2.7               | 22 2.8                  | 0         | 0.447   |
| ≥Secondary level               | 782 97.3        | 762 97.2             | 20                       | 0.001   |
| Father’s education             | <Secondary level| 14 1.7               | 13 1.7                  | <10       | 0.259   |
| ≥Secondary level               | 790 98.3        | 771 98.3             | 19                       | 0.001   |
| Mother’s occupation            | Housewives 522 64.9 | 512 65.3     | 10                       | 0.157   |
| Employed                       | 282 35.1        | 272 34.7             | 10                       | 0.001   |
| Exclusive breastfeeding        | Yes 344 42.8    | 341 43.5             | <10                      | 0.011   |
| No                             | 460 57.2        | 443 56.5             | 17                       | 0.85    |
| Initiation of breastfeeding    | Timely initiated| 463 57.6             | 457 58.3                | <10       | 0.011   |
| Delayed initiated              | 341 42.4        | 327 41.7             | 14                       | 0.70    |
| Family income rating           | Good and above  | 766 95.3             | 748 95.4                | 18       | 0.243   |
| Less than good                 | 38 4.7          | 36 4.6               | <10                      | 0.10    |

One-third of the mothers (N = 265; 33.0%) had abnormal BMI, with 15 (5.7%) of mothers classified as underweight (BMI of < 18.5), 209 (78.9%) of mothers classified as overweight (BMI of ≥ 25 to 29.9), and 41 (15.4%) of mothers classified as obese (BMI of ≥ 30).

From the 804 mothers, 784 (97.5%) practiced rooming-in, and 463 (57.6%) initiated breastfeeding within the first hour of delivery.

Maternal nationality, having received breastfeeding support, and practicing exclusive breastfeeding, were factors associated with not rooming-in in bivariate analysis only (Table 1).

After establishing the significance of socio-demographic variables and their association with rooming-in, multivariable logistic regression analysis was performed to describe the odds of rooming-in.

Factors associated with not rooming-in were low maternal age AOR = 1.15, 95% CI: 1.03, 1.30, low GA (AOR = 1.90, 95% CI: 1.52, 2.36), abnormal pre-pregnancy BMI (AOR = 3.77, 95% CI: 1.22, 11.76), and delayed initiation of breastfeeding (AOR = 4.47, 95% CI: 1.08, 18.48), which are depicted in Table 2.
Table 2. Multivariable logistic regression analyses of factors associated with not rooming-in among mothers with infants of six months and less in Abu Dhabi, UAE.

| Variable                  | Adjusted Odds Ratio (AOR) | (95% CI)     | p-Value |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|---------|
| Low maternal age, years   | 1.15                      | 1.03, 1.30   | 0.018   |
| Low gestational age, weeks| 1.90                      | 1.52, 2.36   | <0.001  |
| Nationality               |                           |              |
| Arab                      | 0.35                      | Reference    | 0.197   |
| No-Arab                   |                           |              |
| Received breastfeeding support | 2.57                   | Reference    | 0.222   |
| No                        |                           |              |
| Yes                       |                           |              |
| Pre-pregnancy BMI         |                           |              |
| Abnormal                  | 3.77                      | Reference    | 0.022   |
| Normal                    |                           |              |
| Exclusive breastfeeding   |                           |              |
| No                        | 1.90                      | Reference    | 0.745   |
| Yes                       |                           |              |
| Initiation of breastfeeding|                           |              |
| Delayed initiated         | 4.47                      | Reference    | 0.012   |
| Timely initiated          |                           |              |

4. Discussion

Although several studies have examined infant feeding practices in Middle Eastern populations, very few studies have focused specifically on the role of rooming-in [14,24]. Although the Ministry of Health for the UAE has adopted many of the WHO recommendations, included in their implementation of infant feeding policies, breastfeeding practices are sub-optimal. The Federal National Council passed legislation to recommend breastfeeding for the first two years of an infant’s life [19]. As one of the ten steps for a BFH as recommended by WHO, the factor of rooming-in has not been widely studied in the Middle East, and only two Emirates were previously examined in the UAE [14].

The current study contributes to the gap in research in the UAE and highlights the rate of rooming-in practices among mothers and infants in Abu Dhabi. Findings show that a large number of mothers practiced rooming-in (97.5%). These results reflect better practices of rooming-in, as compared to a previous study conducted in the UAE (87.2%) [14]. Similar results of the high rate of rooming-in have been reported in other countries such as South Korea (90.3%) [5]. These rates are high, considering the results of the original study that reported only half of mothers delivered in a BFH [20].

Additional research is necessary to determine whether this pattern is consistent across all seven Emirates, considering that the diversity of the population changes based on geographical location.

Results of this study showed that factors associated with not rooming-in included maternal age, gestational age (GA), pre-pregnancy body mass index (BMI), and initiation of breastfeeding. This study supports other research that has indicated not rooming-in to be associated with low maternal age, which ultimately affects breastfeeding practices [25,26]. Another factor considered in this study relates to maternal physical condition, as measured by BMI. Not rooming-in was more likely among mothers with abnormal BMI. Research has shown that this further reduces the likelihood of breastfeeding practices and breastfeeding duration, which is the ultimate goal for improving infant health [27,28]. Abnormal body weight increases the likelihood of mother–child separation due to pre-term labor and other complications [29,30].

Low GA was significantly associated with not rooming-in, and, even after nursery discharge, pre-term infants are at risk of poor breastfeeding practices such as early weaning [31]. The delay in breastfeeding initiation was also found to be significantly associated with not rooming-in and supports previous findings [15,16]. The previous studies have shown that not rooming-in can be associated with poor breastfeeding practices such as shorter duration of breastfeeding [32]. Therefore, hospitals should always implement rooming-in practice and encourage mothers to initiate and maintain breastfeeding.

One of several factors previously reported was included in this study, namely, cesarean section. Other factors that have been reported in other studies are fatigue [33], lack of support from healthcare providers, perception that routine separation is necessary for bathing, examinations, observation, and
other medical procedures [34,35], and cesarean section [33] related difficulties. The gap in research on additional factors that may shed light on rooming-in practices is further highlighted by the current study.

Several limitations should be considered when interpreting the study results. Data for this study represents one of the seven Emirates in the UAE. Although Abu Dhabi is one of the more densely populated Emirates, geographical differences may exist when considering all seven Emirates. Therefore, results are not generalizable to the entire UAE, and additional research is needed. Another limitation in this study is recall bias. Recall bias is common among subjects interviewed about past events [36]. Many studies of mothers and hospitals on breastfeeding practices have relied on data reported retrospectively [37,38]. While prospective designs are preferable, they may not be feasible in some settings. Therefore, the cut-off age of six months was chosen in the current study to limit mothers’ potential for recall bias. In addition, the use of a subsample where the primary researchers did not collect information on maternal health or hospitalization would make it difficult to interpret the results as related to the explanation of the high association between not rooming-in and abnormal BMI. Moreover, the small sample size of the rooming-in group (n = 20) may affect the reliability of the results in detecting any significance, especially in the abnormal BMI group composed of only 11 subjects.

5. Conclusions

In the context of the high rate of rooming-in detected in this study, there should be a focus on those groups who do not room-in, such as younger mothers and those with younger gestational-aged babies. Rooming-in promotes both breastfeeding initiation and continuation. Results from this study should serve as the foundation for examining the effects of rooming-in on breastfeeding practices and infant health. In addition, future research is needed to further understand the factors associated with the implementation of rooming-in, as one of the ten steps for a BFH as recommended by WHO. A larger sample size covering subjects from all Emirates would ensure that the sample is considered representative of the UAE and, hence, will support generalization of the results.
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