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Abstract

Introduction: Many central pathophysiological aspects of complex regional pain syndrome (CRPS) are still unknown. Although brain-imaging studies are increasingly supporting the contribution of the central nervous system to the generation and maintenance of the CRPS pain, the brain’s white-matter alterations are seldom investigated.

Methods: In this study, we used diffusion tensor imaging to explore white-matter changes in twelve CRPS-type-1 female patients suffering from chronic right upper-limb pain compared with twelve healthy control subjects.

Results: Tract-based spatial-statistics analysis revealed significantly higher mean diffusivity, axial diffusivity, and radial diffusivity in the CRPS patients, suggesting that the structural connectivity is altered in CRPS. All these measures were altered in the genu, body, and splenium of corpus callosum, as well as in the left anterior and posterior and the right superior parts of the corona radiata. Axial diffusivity was significantly correlated with clinical motor symptoms at whole-brain level, supporting the physiological significance of the observed white-matter abnormalities.

Conclusions: Altogether, our findings further corroborate the involvement of the central nervous system in CRPS.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Although the complete pathophysiology of complex regional pain syndrome (CRPS) is still poorly understood, previous brain-imaging studies have suggested the involvement of central nervous system in the maintenance and progression of the pain (Marinus et al., 2011). For example, the CRPS patients can have altered hand representation as a sign of cortical reorganization (Di Pietro, Stanton, Moseley, Lotze, & McAuley, 2015; Juuttenen et al., 2002), and some of the observed brain alterations are associated with clinical characteristics of CRPS, such as motor dysfunction (Maihöfner et al., 2007).

More recently, morphometric changes have been found in the CRPS patients’ brains with anatomical T1 MRI (Baliki, Schnitzer, Bauer, & Apkarian, 2011; Barad, Ueno, Younger, Chatterjee, & Mackey, 2014; Geha et al., 2008; Pleger et al., 2014), and we have observed the enlargement of choroid plexus (Zhou, Hotta, Lehtinen, Forss, & Hari, 2015), in line with the suggestion that neuroinflammation might play a role in the pathophysiology of CRPS (Linnman, Becerra, & Borsook, 2013). In neuroinflammatory diseases, brain’s white matter can possess microstructural abnormalities although it appears normal in anatomical T1 MRI (Filippi et al., 2012).

Diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) has revealed plastic changes in the brain’s white matter after motor training in healthy subjects (Bengtsson et al., 2005; Scholz, Klein, Behrens, & Johansen-Berg, 2009; Valkanova, Eguia Rodriguez, & Ebmeier, 2014) as well as in patients with traumatic brain injury (Drijkoningen et al., 2015). DTI
can also detect effects of neuroinflammation (Pasternak, Kubicki, & Shenton, 2015; Quarantelli, 2015). Thus, considering the motor dysfunction, maladaptive neuroplasticity, and neuroinflammation in CRPS (Marinus et al., 2011), DTI has the potency to detect CRPS-related primary and secondary white-matter changes.

Two studies have previously analyzed DTI in CRPS, both focusing on fractional anisotropy (FA). In whole-brain analysis, van Velzen, Rombouts, van Buchem, Marinus, and van Hilten (2016) found no FA abnormalities, whereas Geha et al. (2008) showed FA to be lowered in the left cingulum; the subsequent region-of-interest analysis revealed decreased axial diffusivity (AD) and increased radial diffusivity (RD) in the same area. However, because FA is sometimes insensitive to changes in other DTI measures (Acosta-Cabronero, Williams, Pengas, & Nestor, 2010; Hasan, 2006), it would be important to examine each of these measures in a whole-brain manner.

In the present study, we performed whole-brain tract-based spatial-statistics (TBSS) analysis of FA, mean diffusivity (MD), AD, and RD to further examine changes in white-matter integrity in CRPS type-1 patients. To address the functional relevance of these changes, we also explored the associations between the motor dysfunction and disease duration, and the DTI measures.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Participants

Twelve female patients with chronic type-1 CRPS affecting right-dominant upper-limb (mean ± SD age 46 ± 6 years, median 46, range 35–57) participated in the study. As the control group, we selected twelve optimally age- and scanner-matched (see Image acquisition) subjects (44 ± 10 years, median 45, range 25–60) from sixteen successfully scanned healthy female participants. The groups did not differ in age (p > .05, Wilcoxon rank-sum test). All subjects were right-handed by the Edinburg Handedness Inventory and self-report. Before participation, all subjects gave informed written consent in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Helsinki and Uusimaa Hospital District.

Eight patients were recruited from the Pain Clinic at Helsinki University Hospital where we searched the patient records from year 2007 to 2013 for 18–65 years old patients with chronic upper-limb CRPS (disease duration > 6 months). Four patients were recruited from other clinics treating CRPS in the Uusimaa district. After interviewing all suitable candidates, we clinically examined those eligible for fulfilling both the current diagnostic criteria for CRPS (Harden, Bruehl, Stanton-Hicks, & Wilson, 2007) and our criteria stipulating (1) severe pain (pain intensity greater than four on 11-point numeric scale); (2) right-handedness; (3) no other major psychiatric or neurological diseases, or drug/alcohol addiction; (4) no contraindication for MRI.

In clinical examination, the diagnostic symptoms and signs were evaluated by an experienced neurologist (author H.H.) with a subspecialty in pain medicine. The disease duration was a mean ± SD of 5.8 ± 4.5 years. Table 1 summarizes details of clinical examination and demographic data.

2.2 | Motor-symptom-severity index

To evaluate the overall severity of motor-symptoms in the affected hand, we created motor-symptom-severity index (MSSI) as a compound score of five subjective and objective measures:

| Code | Age   | Symptom duration (years) | Maximum of pain in movement (NRS-11) | Symptoms and signs |
|------|-------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|
|      |       |                          |                                      | Sensory            |
|      |       |                          |                                      | Motor or trophic   |
|      |       |                          |                                      | Vasomotor          |
|      |       |                          |                                      | Sudomotor or edema |
| p01  | 44.5  | 7.5                      | 8                                    | ●                   |
| p02  | 47.1  | 15.5                     | 5                                    | ● ●                |
| p03  | 38.1  | 1.5                      | 10                                   | ● ○                |
| p04  | 46.0  | 1.4                      | 7                                    | ● ● ●              |
| p05  | 43.1  | 8.2                      | 7                                    | ● ● ●              |
| p06  | 56.6  | 11.8                     | 9                                    | ● ● ●              |
| p07  | 44.1  | 8.3                      | 10                                   | ● ● ●              |
| p08  | 50.4  | 2.1                      | 9                                    | ● ● ●              |
| p09  | 57.9  | 5.0                      | 7                                    | ● ● ●              |
| p10  | 48.1  | 2.0                      | 5                                    | ● ● ●              |
| p11  | 35.9  | 3.3                      | 8                                    | ● ● ●              |
| p12  | 47.8  | 3.2                      | 6                                    | ● ● ●              |

Some of these data have been presented in previous publications including the same patients (Hotta et al., 2015; Zhou et al., 2015)
NRS-11 = 11-point numeric rating scale. ●, symptoms and signs; ○, symptoms; O, no symptoms nor signs.

*During the previous week.

Hypo- or hyperesthesia, or allodynia.
(1) movement-related pain (11-point numeric rating scale) and (2) upper-limb disability (Disabilities of Arm, Shoulder, and Hand questionnaire i.e., DASH, Institute for Work & Health [Hudak, Amadio, & Bombardier, 1996]) questionnaires, and (3) hand dexterity (nine-hole peg test), (4) total active range of wrist movement, and (5) grip strength assessed by a skilled physiotherapist. We calculated MSSI as the average z-score of these five components after the grip strength and wrist AROM z-scores had been multiplied by −1, so that for all components a higher score implied more severe motor symptoms. See Supporting Information for details.

2.3 | Image acquisition

Diffusion tensor imaging was performed at the Advanced Magnetic Imaging Centre of Aalto Neuroimaging, Aalto University. Because of the scanner upgrade in-between our data collection, the first eight patients and eight healthy control subjects were measured with a 16-channel head coil GE HDxt 3T scanner (GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, WI, USA) and the remaining four patients and four healthy control subjects with a 30-channel head coil Magnetom Skyra 3T scanner (Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany). We acquired the diffusion-weighted images in 60/64 (GE/Siemens) noncollinear diffusion-sensitizing-gradient directions (b = 1,000 s/mm²) together with 4/1 (GE/Siemens) non-diffusion-weighted images (b = 0 s/mm²) in 51 axial slices (no gaps) with voxel size of 1.875 × 1.875 × 3.0 mm³.

2.4 | Tract-based spatial statistics

The preprocessing of DTI data included motion and eddy-current correction, and brain extraction using FSL toolkit (http://www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/; Smith et al., 2004). The B-matrices were also rotated (Leemans & Jones, 2009). A brain mask was created from the diffusion-weighted image with b-value of zero, using the FSL’s brain extraction tool (Smith, 2002).

Tensors were estimated on the corrected data within the brain mask using FSL’s dtifit and the resulting images were converted into DTI-TK (http://www.nitrc.org/projects/dtiTK/) format for tensor-based spatial normalization (Zhang et al., 2007). First, population-specific tensor template was bootstrapped using the IXI aging DTI template (Zhang, Yushkevich, Rueckert, & Gee, 2010). Individual tensor image was then registered to the population-specific template that was mapped to the IIT (Illinois Institute of Technology) DTI human template (v3; Zhang, Peng, Dawe, & Arfanakis, 2011) with rigid, affine and finally diffeomorphic registrations. Finally, aligned individual tensor images were wrapped to the population-specific template in standard space. This tensor-based normalization has been shown to be superior in detecting white-matter differences compared with low-dimensional registration using scalar values, such as FA (Wang et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2007).

The FA, MD, AD, and RD maps were reconstructed from the spatially normalized tensor images for each participant. These DTI parameters were compared voxel-by-voxel with TBSS (Smith et al., 2006), as part of FSL. Briefly, the mean FA skeleton image that represents the center of tracts, consistent across subjects, was obtained by thinning the across-subjects averaged FA image (threshold of 0.2). Then, each subject’s aligned FA, MD, AD, and RD images were projected onto the mean FA skeleton.

Between-group comparisons of the resulting skeletonized data were conducted using permutation test (FSL’s Randomise v2.1; 10,000 permutations), with multiple comparisons corrected using threshold-free cluster enhancement method (Smith & Nichols, 2009). We considered a difference to be statistically significant at a corrected p < .05. Confounding factors of age and scanner were included as covariates. Statistically significant tracts were labeled according to the white-matter atlas from Johns Hopkins University (JHU ICBM-DTI-81 white-matter labels).

Whole-brain skeletal FA, MD, AD, and RD were calculated by averaging over the FA skeleton. Between-group comparisons in these values were performed using unpaired two-tailed two-sample t tests. Age and scanner were regressed out using multiple linear regression before the comparison analysis.

To evaluate the bias caused by head motion, the motion-correction parameters (absolute displacement and mean absolute translations) were also compared between the two groups using unpaired two-tailed two-sample t test. The mean translation values were calculated across all three axes.

2.5 | Correlation between DTI parameters and clinical characteristics

Pearson coefficients were computed between the whole-brain skeletal DTI and clinical characteristics including the disease duration and MSSI (Matlab, Mathworks Inc.). Voxelwise correlation analyzes between DTI measures and clinical characteristics were performed using FSL’s Randomise (v2.1; 10,000 permutations) and multiple correlations were corrected using the threshold-free cluster enhancement method. Age and scanner were included as covariates.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | DTI changes

Figure 1 (left) shows the DTI skeleton at one axial plane and (right) the subject-wise whole-brain skeletal parameters (mean FA, MD, AD, and RD) for all the CRPS patients and healthy control subjects. The patients had lower mean FA (Cohen’s d = 0.97, p = .027, two-tailed two-sample t test), and higher mean MD (d = 1.19, p = .008) and RD (d = 1.18, p = .009) than the control subjects. The groups did not differ in mean AD (d = 0.79, p = .066). The mean AD showed a statistically significant positive correlation with MSSI in the patients (r = .67, p = .018). No other significant correlations were present between the DTI parameters and MSSI or disease duration.

Figure 2 illustrates the TBSS findings and Table 2 summarizes the results. MD (top row) was higher in the CRPS patients than control subjects in widespread regions of the white-matter skeleton (23% of all tested voxels, p < .05, corrected with threshold-free cluster enhancement). Similarly, RD was increased in patients in multiple regions...
(second row from top; 10% of all tested voxels), whereas AD increases appeared more locally, mainly in the left hemisphere (third row from top; 3% of all tested voxels). The brain regions showing statistically significant between-group differences for all MD, RD, and AD (lowest row) included the corpus callosum (genu, body, and splenium) and the corona radiata (left anterior, right superior, and left posterior). The groups did not differ in local skeletal FA. For further statistics, see Table 2.

The groups did not differ in head motion during the DT imaging (absolute displacements: CRPS 1.54 ± 0.38 mm; CON 1.53 ± 0.23; \(d = 0.04, p = .93\); mean absolute translations: CRPS 0.44 ± 0.13 mm; CON 0.40 ± 0.11; \(d = 0.36, p = .38\)).

4 | DISCUSSION

We examined the white-matter integrity in the CRPS patients suffering from right upper-limb pain. The CRPS patients exhibited abnormally high MD values in large parts of white-matter tracts, coupled with more local increases in RD and AD, and an overall decrease in FA. Although these findings do not specify the underlying microstructural abnormalities, they further support the involvement of the central nervous system in the pathophysiology of CRPS, implying a large-scale pathology in the structural connectivity of the brains of chronic CRPS patients. Furthermore, the correlations between the severity of motor symptoms and the AD values support the functional significance of the observed white-matter alterations.

4.1 | Potential mechanism underlying DTI changes in CRPS

Diffusion tensor imaging abnormalities have been found in a variety of chronic-pain conditions, such as migraine (Szabó et al., 2012; Yu et al., 2013), temporomandibular disorder (Moayedi et al., 2012), and
**TABLE 2**  Tract-based spatial-statistics analysis results

| Region                  | MD (CRPS > CONTROL, No. clusters = 1) | AD (CRPS > CONTROL, No. clusters = 8) | RD (CRPS > CONTROL, No. clusters = 9) |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
|                         | No. voxels   | Peak coordinate (x, y, z) | corr. p | No. voxels   | Peak coordinate (x, y, z) | corr. p | No. voxels   | Peak coordinate (x, y, z) | corr. p |
| **Corpus callosum**     |             |                           |         |             |                           |         |             |                           |         |
| Genu                    | 1,140       | −6, 24, 12               | 0.014   | 144         | −14, 33, 7               | 0.041   | 1,127       | −4, 26, 8               | 0.024   |
| Body                    | 1,464       | −2, 19, 16               | 0.015   | 93          | −5, 18, 17               | 0.045   | 1,389       | 13, 3, 31               | 0.025   |
| Splenium                | 428         | 19, −33, 33             | 0.015   | 391         | −21, −53, 22             | 0.032   | 62          | 20, −40, 30             | 0.031   |
| **Internal capsule**    |             |                           |         |             |                           |         |             |                           |         |
| **Anterior limb**       |             |                           |         |             |                           |         |             |                           |         |
| R                       | 501         | 24, −6, 18               | 0.019   | 175         | 22, 15, 12               | 0.047   |
| L                       | 362         | −17, 14, 0              | 0.020   | 229         | −21, −2, 15              | 0.039   |
| **Posterior limb**      |             |                           |         |             |                           |         |             |                           |         |
| R                       | 463         | 26, −26, 16             | 0.015   |            |                           |         |             |                           |         |
| L                       | 449         | −22, −12, 8             | 0.021   | 307         | −27, −24, 18             | 0.031   |
| **Retrolenticular part**|             |                           |         |             |                           |         |             |                           |         |
| R                       | 316         | 26, −26, 15             | 0.017   |            |                           |         |             |                           |         |
| L                       | 67          | −27, −28, 10            | 0.023   | 262         | −27, −26, 15             | 0.034   |
| **Superior fronto-occipital fasciculus** |  |   |         |             |                           |         |             |                           |         |
| R                       | 47          | 21, −9, 19              | 0.019   | 33          | 22, 6, 19               | 0.049   |
| **Corona radiata**      |             |                           |         |             |                           |         |             |                           |         |
| **Anterior**            |             |                           |         |             |                           |         |             |                           |         |
| R                       | 858         | 17, 35, 10              | 0.016   | 470         | 11, 28, −12             | 0.027   |
| L                       | 568         | −15, 35, 5              | 0.014   | 126         | −15, 35, 5              | 0.041   | 310         | −15, 35, 5              | 0.025   |
| **Superior**            |             |                           |         |             |                           |         |             |                           |         |
| R                       | 903         | 23, −13, 34             | 0.015   | 66          | 23, −12, 36             | 0.040   | 370         | 17, −5, 39              | 0.024   |
| L                       | 704         | −25, −20, 33            | 0.016   | 376         | −28, −23, 21            | 0.030   | 258         | −17, −3, 37             | 0.026   |
| **Posterior**           |             |                           |         |             |                           |         |             |                           |         |
| R                       | 378         | 22, −31, 38             | 0.015   | 13          | 26, −23, 22             | 0.047   | 179         | 20, −30, 36             | 0.025   |
| L                       | 307         | −22, −35, 38            | 0.016   | 218         | −28, −23, 22            | 0.030   | 130         | −21, −39, 37            | 0.038   |
| **Superior longitudinal fasciculus** |  |   |         |             |                           |         |             |                           |         |
| R                       | 532         | 28, −23, 39             | 0.015   | 271         | −32, −22, 38            | 0.040   |
| L                       | 437         | −35, −24, 36            | 0.016   |             |                           |         |             |                           |         |

(Continues)
| Region                              | MD (CRPS > CONTROL, No. clusters = 1) | AD (CRPS > CONTROL, No. clusters = 8) | RD (CRPS > CONTROL, No. clusters = 9) |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
|                                    | No. voxels | Peak coordinate (x, y, z) | corr. p | No. voxels | Peak coordinate (x, y, z) | corr. p | No. voxels | Peak coordinate (x, y, z) | Corr. p |
| Fornix\(^b\)                      | 50         | 2, −15, 16 | .020     |            |                        |        |            |                        |        |
| External capsule                   |            |            |          |            |                        |        |            |                        |        |
| R                                  | 32         | 32, −22, 1 | .020     |            |                        |        |            |                        |        |
| L                                  | 23         | −28, −16, 18 | .023     | 28         | −28, −16, 18 | .034 |            |                        |        |
| Posterior thalamic radiation\(^c\) |            |            |          |            |                        |        |            |                        |        |
| L                                  | 96         | −28, −57, 18 | .020     | 39         | −31, −39, 13 | .036 | 29         | −28, −57, 18 | .039 |
| Cingulum (cingulate gyrus)         |            |            |          |            |                        |        |            |                        |        |
| L                                  | 14         | −8, −21, 32 | .037     |            |                        |        |            |                        |        |
| Corticospinal tract                |            |            |          |            |                        |        |            |                        |        |
| L                                  | 62         | −8, −20, −31 | .030     |            |                        |        |            |                        |        |
| Cerebral peduncle                  |            |            |          |            |                        |        |            |                        |        |
| R                                  | 163        | 15, −22, −5 | .020     |            |                        |        |            |                        |        |
| L                                  | 153        | −18, −20, −10 | .023     |            |                        |        |            |                        |        |

The peak coordinates are given in MNI space. Only tracts with a number of voxels > 10 are shown. CRPS, complex regional pain syndrome patients; CONTROL, healthy control subjects; MD, mean diffusivity; AD, axial diffusivity; RD, radial diffusivity; corr., corrected; L, left hemisphere; R, right hemisphere.

\(^a\)Could be a part of the anterior internal capsule.

\(^b\)The column and body of fornix.

\(^c\)Includes the optic radiation.
irritable bowel syndrome (Chen, Blankstein, Diamant, & Davis, 2011). Our current findings indicate that the white-matter integrity in the chronic CRPS is widely affected. However, the interpretations of the altered DTI parameters are not straightforward because the underlying cellular-level pathophysiology is incompletely understood (for a review, see e.g., Concha, 2014). For example, increased AD combined with increased RD has been found in several neurological diseases such as multiple sclerosis (Roosendaal et al., 2009), Alzheimer’s disease (Acosta-Cabronero et al., 2010), and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (Metwali et al., 2010) with otherwise separate and distinctive pathophysiology.

In the current study, MD was higher in more than one-fifth of all tested voxels in the CRPS patients compared with healthy subjects. MD changes can reflect e.g., alteration of cellularity, or necrosis (Alexander et al., 2011; Concha, 2014), but MD also increases in vasogenic edema, which could be indicative of e.g., neuroinflammation (Pasternak et al., 2015) that is suspected to occur in CRPS. However, more research is needed to study the role of central inflammation in CRPS and its relationship to DTI abnormalities. For example, neuroinflammation-specific changes of extracellular volume are more likely to be identified with free-water imaging which is sensitive to water diffusion in the extracellular space (Pasternak et al., 2012, 2015).

The DTI changes can also be experience-related one (Scholz et al., 2009). Thus, the profound abnormalities in the CRPS patients’ daily lives—such as continuous pain, altered sensory input (Rommel, Malin, Zenz, & Jänig, 2001) and reduced motor activity (Schilder et al., 2009)—could explain the large-scale pathology of the DTI alterations. The positive correlation between motor disability (MSSI) and whole-brain inactivity or chronic pain from other etiologies are not yet available, which could be indicative of e.g., neuroinflammation (Pasternak et al., 2012, 2015) that is suspected to occur in CRPS. However, more research is needed to study the role of central inflammation in CRPS and its relationship to DTI abnormalities. For example, neuroinflammation-specific changes of extracellular volume are more likely to be identified with free-water imaging which is sensitive to water diffusion in the extracellular space (Pasternak et al., 2012, 2015).

4.2 | Caveats

Compared with FA-intensity-based registrations, the tensor-based DTI-TK registration technique, as used in the present study (see Section 2), improves the alignment of different brains and thereby the detection of group differences (Van Hecke et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2007). The differences of these registration methods can affect the detection of group differences with TBSS e.g., in the cingulum bundle (Bach et al., 2014). Despite the present study’s methodological advantages and the highly homogeneous patient group (dominant upper-limb CRPS), we acknowledge that the sample size is relatively small. As DTI studies on the effects of dominant-arm inactivity or chronic pain from other etiologies are not yet available, the specificity of our findings to CRPS remains to be shown. Future studies with larger number of patients are necessary to understand the spread and significance of white-matter microstructural abnormalities in the chronic CRPS patients.

5 | CONCLUSIONS

Several DTI parameters were altered in our chronic CRPS patients, supporting the involvement of the central nervous system in the generation and maintenance of the CRPS symptoms. The present results demonstrate specifically the presence of white-matter alterations in CRPS. The role of white-matter changes in the clinical course of CRPS should be assessed in future studies.
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