The greater omentum is an apron-like fold of peritoneum suspended from the greater curvature of the stomach and transverse colon. The omentum functions in the protection of the underlying viscera and immunoregulation of the peritoneal cavity. As a highly vascularized tissue, the greater omentum is supplied by the right, middle, and left omental arteries, which arise from the right and left gastroepiploic arteries. All or part of the greater omentum can be harvested based on this blood supply for free tissue transfer. It has stimulated new interest in its use as the donor site in the treatment of lymphedema. For patients who have failed other management options or have limited peripheral lymph node donor sites, the greater omental lymph node flap may offer the best chance for lymphedema treatment.

Background: The greater omentum is supplied by the right, middle, and left omental arteries, which arise from the right and left gastroepiploic arteries. All or part of the greater omentum can be harvested based on this blood supply for free tissue transfer. It has stimulated new interest in its use as the donor site in the treatment of lymphedema. For patients who have failed other management options or have limited peripheral lymph node donor sites, the greater omental lymph node flap may offer the best chance for lymphedema treatment.

Methods: We report a 59-year-old woman with a history of left breast cancer who was treated with left modified radical mastectomy and axillary lymph node dissection and developed left upper extremity Grade IV lymphedema. She received vascularized groin lymph node transfer and lymphaticovenous anastomosis, but the result was not satisfactory. She also had nasopharyngeal cancer that was treated with radiotherapy to the head and neck, making use of the submental lymph nodes flap impossible. Due to a lack of other options of lymph node donor sites, the split greater omental lymph node flap (GOLF) was used.

Results: After surgery, it showed an arm circumference reduction of 42.9% above the elbow and 36.4% below the elbow at an 8-month follow-up. There was no intraabdominal complication.

Conclusions: The split GOLF has shown good results in a peripheral lymph node–depleted lymphedema patient. Using a laparoscopic technique for flap harvest has less risk of donor site morbidity and hides scarring. (Plast Reconstr Surg Glob Open 2017;5:e1288; doi: 10.1097/GOX.0000000000001288; Published online 25 April 2017.)
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nous anastomosis, \(^5\)–\(^{10}\) lymphatico-lymphatic anastomosis, \(^{11}\) vascularized lymph node transfer, \(^{12}\)–\(^{17}\) and liposuction\(^{18}\)–\(^{22}\); however, the outcomes of these methods have been variable. Perhaps the most recent and promising of these therapies is the transplantation of vascularized lymph nodes from one area of the body to an affected region using microsurgical techniques. The groin and submental areas are reported as the most commonly used vascularized lymph node transfer donor sites, \(^{12}\)–\(^{15}\), whereas the peritoneal cavity is rarely utilized. For patients who have failed other treatment options or have limited peripheral lymph node donor sites, the greater omental lymph node flap (GOLF) may offer the best approach for lymphedema treatment.

The GOLF can be transferred as a pedicled flap or free flap. \(^{23}\)–\(^{31}\) The first animal study using omental tissue for the treatment of lymphedema was described in 1966 when Goldsmith et al.\(^{23}\) transposed omental tissue via a subcutaneous tunnel to the leg in a canine model. Subsequently, Nakajima et al.\(^{32}\) were the first to report omental flap transposition to the axilla to treat upper extremity lymphedema. Since these initial reports, laparoscopy has become widely used in abdominal surgery as a minimally invasive technique. Saltz et al.\(^{33}\) described this approach using laparoscopic harvesting omental flap for large defect coverage in a canine model in 1993. Kamei et al.\(^{34}\) further modified laparoscopic omentum harvesting with their work in human subjects in 1998. The advances in both laparoscopic and microsurgical techniques combined with an increased understanding of lymphedema over the past decades have emerging interest in the greater omentum for its use as a vascularized lymph node donor site. For patients who have failed previous lymph node transfers, have refractory lymphedema, or have limited lymph node donor sites, the GOLF may provide the last option for surgical treatment of this disease. In this article, we present a novel case using a laparoscopically harvested split GOLF for vascularized lymph node transfer in a peripheral lymph node-depleted patient.

**CASE PRESENTATION**

A 59-year-old woman with a history of left breast cancer was treated with left breast lumpectomy and radiation in 2001. Subsequently, she developed recurrent breast cancer and underwent a left modified radical mastectomy with axillary lymph node dissection in 2006. In this context, the patient then developed left upper extremity Grade IV lymphedema (Cheng’s Grading System)\(^{16}\),\(^{35}\) that resulted in recurrent episodes of cellulitis (Fig. 1). After failure of conservative therapy, she underwent several surgeries for the treatment of the left upper extremity lymphedema. These included left breast reconstruction with a combined deep inferior epigastric artery perforator and left vascularized groin lymph node (VGLN) flap transfer to the left breast and axilla in 2006; right VGLN transfer to the left elbow in 2012; multiple wedge resections of the left upper limb between 2006 and 2012; and left arm side-to-end lymphaticovenous anastomosis in 2013. Her other past medical history was significant for nasopharyngeal cancer that was treated with radiotherapy to the head and neck in 2011. In the aftermath of her lymphedema management, her upper limb became softer; however, the patient continued to suffer from recurrent episodes of cellulitis every 2–3 months in 2013. Lymphoscintigraphy was then performed and revealed total lymphatic obstruction.

![Fig. 1. Preoperative view. The scar of previous vascularized groin lymph node on left medial elbow, and previous lymphovenous anastomosis on the dorsal wrist (black arrow).](image-url)
of the left upper limb despite all the aforementioned procedures (Fig. 2).

The patient’s quality of life was compromised by this disease process, and she was motivated to pursue any further treatment necessary. Thus, we planned to perform another vascularized lymph node transfer to the left upper extremity. As for the donor site selection, the patient was now severely limited. Both groins had been previously used, and the radiation she endured for her nasopharyngeal cancer precluded the use of vascularized submental lymph node (VSLN) or supraclavicular lymph node flaps. In our experienced opinion, the next best option for her was a right thoracic lymph node flap. On the morning of the planned right thoracic lymph node transfer, a reverse mapping of the right thoracic lymph nodes was performed with Tc-99 injected at the second web space of the hand and patent blue dye injection into the thoracic region. Unfortunately, reverse mapping was unable to locate available lymph nodes for transfer in this region. With no other options remaining, the decision was made to proceed with a GOLF transfer to the left upper extremity for management of refractory lymphedema.

**Operative Technique**

Laparoscopic harvest of the greater omental flap was performed by a general surgeon (T.-J.W.). The patient was placed on supine position and slight reverse Trendelenburg to assist the dissection. The greater omentum was identified and then freed from its attachments to the transverse colon and the greater curvature of stomach. The left gastroepiploic vessels were divided, and the right gastroepiploic vein and artery were dissected to provide adequate pedicle length for anastomosis. (Video Graphic 1, See video, Supplemental Digital Content 1, which displays laparoscopic greater omental lymph node flap (GOLF) harvest. This video is available in the “Related Videos” section of the Full-Text article on PRSGlobalOpen.com or available at [http://links.lww.com/PRSGO/A411](http://links.lww.com/PRSGO/A411).)

Before dividing the pedicle, indocyanine green was injected into the periphery of the flap. The flap was then viewed under infrared light to confirm the presence of lymphatic tissue and lymph nodes within the flap. The pedicle was divided and the GOLF was transferred to the left distal forearm (Fig. 3).

The proximal radial artery and one comitant vein were used as recipient vessels. Fluorescence from the previously injected ICG was found throughout the entire flap and was also visualized draining into the radial comitant vein after anastomosis. (Video Graphic 1, See video, Supplemental Digital Content 1, which displays laparoscopic greater omental lymph node flap (GOLF) harvest. This video is available in the “Related Videos” section of the Full-Text article on PRSGlobalOpen.com or available at [http://links.lww.com/PRSGO/A411](http://links.lww.com/PRSGO/A411).) To prevent compression and folding of the flap, the
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**Fig. 2. Preoperative lymphoscintigraphy.** WB (whole body), AP (anteroposterior) view of upper limbs, 15 minutes, 1 hour, 2 hours, and 4 hours after injection, respectively.
recipient site was not closed primarily. Instead, the exposed partial omentum was covered by Mepitel (Mölnlycke, Göteborg, Sweden) film. This transparent film allowed for flap monitoring postoperatively while maintaining a healthy bed for subsequent skin grafting if needed. The patient was sent to the intensive care unit for microsurgery for flap and monitoring after an uncomplicated operative course.

**RESULTS**

Postoperatively, the patient and flap were monitored in the intensive care unit for 3 days. The arm was kept in a neutral position for 1 week after the procedure. All compressive therapies were discontinued, and the patient was asked to resume activities as tolerated after complete healing of the skin graft that replaced the Mepitel at 2 weeks. The left arm became soft and decreased in its circumference. She had no further episodes of cellulitis and reports a lighter feel to her arm. There were no complications with the flap or donor site. At 2-month and 8-month follow-ups, the reduction of the arm circumference was 42.9% at both times above the elbow and 27.3% and 36.4%, respectively, below the elbow (Figs. 4, 5). The patient was satisfied with the functional outcome and minimal donor site morbidity (Fig. 6).

**DISCUSSION**

The greater omentum contains groups of lymph nodes (level 4a/b along the left gastroepiploic vessels and level 4d along the right gastroepiploic vessels) and lymphatic ducts for adequate drainage, which makes GOLF a good alternative choice for donor lymph node transfer. Our patient was an ideal candidate for GOLF transfer because she had very limited superficial extremity lymph nodes available.

The advantages of GOLF are an abundance of lymph nodes, moderate pedicle diameter, minimal donor site lymphedema, and minimal incision wounds, which are in unobtrusive areas and should leave little scarring.

On the other hand, the disadvantages are long operation time, incapability of primary closure, less aesthetic results at the recipient site, the possibility of intraperitoneal organ injury and conversion to an open method, and postoperative abdomen and chest discomfort caused by pneumoperitoneum. In our patient, we spent 4 hours for flap dissection and harvest, which is typical as described in the literature. The long operation time may be decreased by repetitive practices. The organ injury is more likely to occur when harvesting the omentum with left gastroepiploic vessels. It is likely to injure the spleen due to vessels that are difficult to identify. Moreover, extraction of the flap pedicle during dissection of the gastroepiploic vessels was also suggested. Surgeons who perform the flap harvest should be aware of these details or seek the assistance of a reconstructive microsurgeon.

Kamei et al. suggested that when a large volume of omental flap is required, the laparotomy method would be the best first choice. For Grade II–III lymphedema patients, just a small amount of omental tissue could provide an adequate number of lymph nodes. It is safer to harvest only the right-side omentum with the right gastroepiploic artery as the pedicle.

Furthermore, 2-team approach with general surgeons allows plastic surgeon to prepare recipient site simultaneously, and save at least 1–2 hours of operation time. If there is emergent intra-abdominal complication happened such as massive bleeding or injury of pancreas, the general surgeons could react faster and change into open method immediately to avoid further damage or delay of rescue.

Our standard strategy for treating Grade 0–I postmastectomy upper limb lymphedema without cancer recurrence or metastasis is to do complete decongestive treatment or lymphovenous anastomosis; Grade II–IV is indicated to transfer a VGLN or VSLN flap to dorsal wrist concerning better functional recovery and then do further scar tissue release or skin paddle revision in combination with partial excision and liposuction. According to previous studies, the VGLN flap could improve the circumference of the arm from 31.6% to 50.5% above the elbow, 9.6% to 42.2% below the elbow, 17.3% to 56.6% at the wrist, and 15.7% to 54.5% at the palm. The volume reduction achieved by VSLN flap transposition was more than 60% in the
Fig. 4. Two months postoperative follow-up. The arm circumference reduction was 42.9% above the elbow and 27.3% below the elbow. Recipient vessels were the radial artery and one conitent vein (black arrow).

Fig. 5. Eight months postoperative follow-up. The arm circumference reduction was 42.9% above the elbow and 36.4% below the elbow.
lower extremities. Nevertheless, the omental flap has been reported to have a reduction in circumference from 9% to 22.2% of the upper extremities, 13,29 50% to 75% of the lower extremities, 29 and 2% to 29% differential improvement in volumetric measurements. 29 Nguyen et al. also reported a latest long-term outcome study in 42 patients who underwent a free omental lymphatic flap transfer with a mean follow-up of 14 months. The mean volumetric improvement was 22% and the subjective improvements were noted in 83% of patients in their study. 40 At 8 months’ follow-up the arm circumference of the patient was reduced by 42.9% above the elbow and 36.4% below the elbow. This was a better result than the patient had achieved after previous treatment using other modalities.

The possible reasons of ineffectiveness of the patient’s former groin lymph node flaps may be due to vascular occlusion and inadequate inclusion of lymph nodes in the donor groin area, which still showed lymph nodes remained by CT scan and sonography. The GOLF has been proven to have patent recipient vessels and adequate transferred lymph nodes amounts detected by sonography.

The iatrogenic donor site morbidity of lymph node transfer was a major concern. Although the vascularized lymph nodes from the groin or the axilla have the advantage of a well-hidden scar, abundant surrounding soft tissue and lymph node, the possibility of causing donor site lymphedema after harvesting lymph nodes was a concern. The submental flap and supraclavicular flap have a relatively low risk for donor site morbidity, but have possible visible scar and damage to the marginal mandibular nerve. 29 For the laparoscopic harvest of the omental flap, none of the cases reported major complications related to the donor site, and only slight abdominal pain, which resolved rapidly, was noted. 2, 28, 29, 33, 40

**SUMMARY**

The split GOLF has shown good results in a peripheral lymph node–depleted lymphedema patient. It is one of the alternative choices for a lymph node transfer donor site. Using laparoscopic technique for GOLF harvest has a minimal donor site morbidity.
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