High carbon losses from oxygen-limited soils challenge biogeochemical theory and model assumptions
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Abstract
Oxygen (O2) limitation contributes to persistence of large carbon (C) stocks in saturated soils. However, many soils experience spatiotemporal O2 fluctuations impacted by climate and land-use change, and O2-mediated climate feedbacks from soil greenhouse gas emissions remain poorly constrained. Current theory and models posit that anoxia uniformly suppresses carbon (C) decomposition. Here we show that periodic anoxia may sustain or even stimulate decomposition over weeks to months in two disparate soils by increasing turnover and/or size of fast-cycling C pools relative to static oxic conditions, and by sustaining decomposition of reduced organic molecules. Cumulative C losses did not decrease consistently as cumulative O2 exposure decreased. After >1 year, soils anoxic for 75% of the time had similar C losses as the oxic control but nearly threefold greater climate impact on a CO2-equivalent basis (20-year timescale) due to high methane (CH4) emission. A mechanistic model incorporating current theory closely reproduced oxic control results but systematically underestimated C losses under O2 fluctuations. Using a model-experiment integration (ModEx) approach, we found that models were improved by varying microbial maintenance respiration and the fraction of CH4 production in total C mineralization as a function of O2 availability. Consistent with thermodynamic expectations, the calibrated models predicted lower microbial C-use efficiency with increasing anoxic duration in one soil; in the other soil, dynamic organo-mineral interactions implied by our empirical data but not represented in the model may have obscured this relationship. In both soils, the updated model was better able to capture transient spikes in C mineralization that occurred following anoxic-oxic transitions, where decomposition from the fluctuating-O2 treatments greatly exceeded the control. Overall, our data-model comparison indicates that incorporating emergent biogeochemical properties of soil O2 variability will be critical for effectively modeling C-climate feedbacks in humid ecosystems.
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The decomposition of organic C in soils and sediments to CO$_2$ (and to a lesser extent, CH$_4$) ranks among the largest global C fluxes. It is mediated by microbially catalyzed redox reactions, of which aerobic (O$_2$ dependent) respiration is most favorable from a thermodynamic perspective (Conrad, 1996). The importance of O$_2$ deprivation as a mechanism of soil C persistence has been well studied in wetlands and sediments (Arndt et al., 2013; Freeman et al., 2001), and is also increasingly recognized in well-drained upland soils, which contain anoxic microsites (Keiluweit et al., 2016). However, soil O$_2$ availability may also be temporally dynamic (O’Connell et al., 2018). In contrast with current theory and models, C decomposition under temporal O$_2$ fluctuations may differ from rates observed under constant oxic or anoxic conditions (DeAngelis et al., 2010; Lin et al., 2021; Pett-Ridge & Firestone, 2005; Reddy & Patrick, 1975). Furthermore, the intensification of hydrological cycles as a consequence of climate change (IPCC, 2014) and land use change (Piao et al., 2007) will likely alter temporal patterns of soil O$_2$ availability. Anoxic events over timescales of days to weeks may increasingly occur in a warmer and wetter world due to increased biological O$_2$ demand, lower O$_2$ solubility, and larger precipitation events (Calabrese & Porporato, 2019; Griffis et al., 2017; Hall et al., 2013; Liptzin et al., 2011; Figure 1a). Oxygen fluctuations are especially important in hydric soils (Jarecke et al., 2016), which experience periodic saturation and are globally widespread: in the United States, soil map units with at least a partial hydric condition conservatively account for >31% of total land area (Figure 1b). Thus, it is critical to better understand how soil O$_2$ fluctuations associated with hydrologic variability affect C losses as CO$_2$ and CH$_4$.

Traditional theory and mechanistic models postulate a monotonic decrease in C decomposition rate as O$_2$ availability decreases (e.g., Figure 1c), irrespective of temporal scale. Sustained O$_2$ limitation has been long known to decrease soil C decomposition (Greenwood, 1961) by inhibiting oxidative enzymes and decreasing microbial growth and metabolism relative to oxic conditions (Freeman et al., 2001; McLatchey & Reddy, 1998). The decomposition rates of both faster- and slower-cycling C pools are thought to decrease under O$_2$ limitation (Sierra et al., 2017; Figure 1c), commonly represented in ecosystem models using a constant scalar factor or Michaelis–Menten kinetics (Davidson et al., 2012; Koven et al., 2013; Oleson et al., 2013; Riley et al., 2011; Rubol et al., 2013). Anoxia also promotes methane (CH$_4$) production, which has 84 times the global warming potential of CO$_2$ over a 20-year timescale (Myhre et al., 2013). However, net CH$_4$ production from soils experiencing episodic anoxia over days to weeks is generally expected to be small, as methanogenesis is traditionally assumed to occur only after prolonged anoxic periods, when alternative electron acceptors such as iron (Fe) or sulfate have been consumed (Conrad, 1996; Reddy & Patrick, 1975; Riley et al., 2011).

These assumptions imply that periodic O$_2$ deprivation should decrease both total C losses and climate impact on a CO$_2$-equivalent basis (Figure 1c). However, recent experimental studies in soils subjected to sequential anoxic and oxic conditions challenge these ideas (Bhattacharyya et al., 2018; DeAngelis et al., 2010; Longhi et al., 2016). Thermodynamic constraints on C decomposition could be compensated by other biogeochemical processes that increase C availability under fluctuating O$_2$, such as Fe redox cycling and release of mineral-protected C (Chen et al., 2018, 2020; Huang & Hall, 2017b; Huang et al., 2020). Furthermore, even the intermittent presence of O$_2$ may sustain metabolism of reduced substrates (e.g., lipids) accumulated under anoxia (Burdige, 2007). Sustained and significant CH$_4$ production may occur even when O$_2$ is periodically present (Angle et al., 2017; Huang & Hall, 2018; Silver et al., 1999), challenging model assumptions (Riley et al., 2011). Rigorous model-data synthesis is needed to evaluate the possibility that periodic anoxia (i.e., O$_2$ fluctuations) characteristic of many hydric and even upland soil environments could lead to equal or greater CO$_2$-equivalent greenhouse gas emissions relative to static oxic conditions, counter to current prevailing theory (Figure 1c).

Current mechanistic models that incorporate microbial processes (known as “microbial models”) are capable of closely representing laboratory- and field-scale decomposition data from terrestrial ecosystems in some cases (Bradford et al., 2016; Meile & Scheibe, 2018; Wang et al., 2015, 2019). Models increasingly incorporate O$_2$ availability as a control on biogeochemical process rates (Davidson et al., 2012; Koven et al., 2013; Oleson et al., 2013; Riley et al., 2011; Rubol et al., 2013), with parameters that were defined from short-term experiments comparing oxic and anoxic conditions (Sierra et al., 2017). Yet, we are unaware of explicit tests and validation of their underlying assumptions in environments where O$_2$ availability varies over time. In particular, parameters derived from short-term incubations (i.e., hours to several weeks) could bias model parameterization and predictions (Jian et al., 2020). Integration of long-term (months–years) incubation data sets with microbial models remains quite limited (Jian et al., 2020). Here we used a high-resolution experiment-model comparison with 2–4-day measurement timesteps and hourly model timesteps over a 384-day experiment to test theory and model assumptions about biogeochemical responses to frequent temporal changes in O$_2$ availability.

We examined the response of CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ production (C mineralization) to cyclic, time-varying O$_2$ fluctuations in two soils known to experience periodic anoxia: an Oxisol from a humid tropical forest and a Mollisol from a temperate agroecosystem. Litter from a C$_4$ grass (Andropogon gerardii) was added to both soils, and carbon isotope ratios ($\delta^{13}C$) of CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ were measured to partition decomposition between litter and soil sources. Soils were incubated under a static oxic control and four fluctuating-O$_2$ treatments for 384 days. The fluctuating-O$_2$ treatments consisted of either 2, 4, 8, or 12 days of anoxic conditions followed by 4 days of oxic conditions, cycles which were repeated...
for the duration of the experiment. These treatments are hereafter denoted by the length of their anoxic phases (2-, 4-, 8-, and 12-day treatments; Figure S1). The periodicity of O\textsubscript{2} fluctuations employed here mimicked patterns observed in the field, where recurring anoxic events may occur over days–weeks in response to precipitation dynamics (Liptzin et al., 2011; Logsdon, 2015). The incubation experiment was used to test the conventional understanding of how O\textsubscript{2} limitation impacts C decomposition under current model assumptions, whereby decomposition decreases monotonically with increasing anoxic duration. The Microbial-ENzyme Decomposition (MEND) model (Wang et al., 2019) with a new CH\textsubscript{4} module was used to simulate C mineralization responses to fluctuating O\textsubscript{2} (Figure S2). We first parameterized the MEND model using data from the control only and employed it under the current assumptions of the model to test the consensus understanding of how O\textsubscript{2} limitation impacts C decomposition. We then parameterized the MEND model using data from all treatments to estimate key model parameters representing biogeochemical processes that may compensate for O\textsubscript{2} limitation on decomposition.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Soil sampling

An Oxisol and Mollisol, which are both characterized by redox fluctuations under field conditions, were sampled in March 2017 in a perhumid tropical forest near the El Verde field station of the Luquillo Experimental Forest (18°17′N, 65°47′W), Puerto Rico and an agricultural field in north-central Iowa (41°75′N, 93°41′W), USA, respectively. The Oxisol was from an upland valley in the Bisley watershed, with mean annual precipitation and temperature of 3800 mm and 24°C, respectively. Soil was formed from volcaniclastic sediment (Buss et al., 2017). The Oxisol experiences O\textsubscript{2} fluctuations on scales of hours to weeks due to variations in rainfall and biological O\textsubscript{2} demand (Liptzin et al., 2011). Soil was randomly sampled from the A horizon (0–10 cm) by compositing six replicate soil cores without disturbing microaggregate structure (no sieving), and then shipped overnight to Iowa State University. The Mollisol was sampled from a topographic
depression that experiences periodic flooding (Logsdon, 2015) in the Walnut Creek watershed, with mean annual precipitation of 820 mm and mean monthly temperature ranging from -13.4°C (January) to 29.4°C (July; Hatfield et al., 1999). This very poorly drained soil was formed from till following the Wisconsin glacial and developed under tallgrass prairie and wetland vegetation, and is described as mucky silt loam (fine, montmorillonitic, mesic Cumulic Haplaquoll). This site was cultivated with corn (Zea mays) and soybean (Glycine max) rotated on an annual basis. We collected soils from the plow layer A horizon (0–20 cm) following corn cultivation. Six soil cores (10.2 cm diameter) were randomly sampled in a 50 × 50-m region and then composited.

2.2 | Laboratory incubations

We amended soils with finely ground leaf tissue of Andropogon gerardii (big bluestem, a C₄ grass), which ameliorated short-term C limitation of microbial metabolism (Chacon et al., 2006) and provided an isotopic contrast with extant C. Soils were gently mixed after coarse roots, organic debris and macrofauna (worms) were manually removed. Field moisture capacity was determined by saturating soils and then measuring gravimetric water content following 48 h of drainage (1.01 g H₂O g⁻¹ soil for the Oxisol and 0.46 g H₂O g⁻¹ soil for the Mollisol). Aliquots of litter (500 mg) were gently homogenized with fresh soil subsamples (5 g dry mass equivalent), and deionized water was added to achieve field moisture capacity. Each replicate was incubated in an open 50 ml centrifuge tube placed in a glass jar (946 ml) and sealed with a gas-tight aluminum lid with butyl septa for headspace gas purging and sampling.

Replicates from each soil were incubated under five headspace treatments in the dark at 23°C for 384 days, including a static oxic control and four fluctuating-O₂ treatments. Carbon mineralization data from the static oxic controls were previously published in a companion experiment that compared the impacts of long-term oxic versus anoxic conditions on soil C cycling (Huang et al., 2020). The fluctuating-O₂ treatments consisted of either 2, 4, 8, or 12 days of anoxic conditions followed by 4 days of oxic conditions, cycles which were repeated for the duration of the experiment. The fluctuating-O₂ treatments are denoted by the length of the anoxic phase (2-, 4-, 8-, and 12-day treatments, respectively). There were five replicates for each headspace treatment (total n = 50). To achieve anoxic and oxic phases according to the above treatments, each jar was flushed with humidified N₂ or CO₂-free air, respectively, at 500 ml min⁻¹ for 15 min immediately following headspace sampling for CO₂ and CH₄ measurements. Sample masses were recorded and additional water was added as necessary at approximately 8-day intervals to replace moisture loss during headspace flushing.

2.3 | Analysis of CO₂ and CH₄ production

Gas samples (5 ml) were collected immediately prior to headspace flushing for measurements of CO₂ concentration and δ¹³C values using a tunable diode laser absorption spectrometer (TDLAS, TGA200A, Campbell Scientific; Hall et al., 2017). Measurements were conducted daily for the first month and every 2 days thereafter in the control and fluctuating-O₂ treatments. Additional gas samples (20 ml) were collected at 4-day intervals to measure CH₄ concentration by gas chromatography (GC) with a flame ionization detector (GC-2014, Shimadzu). CH₄ production over 2-day intervals was estimated from the average of consecutive 4-day measurements (for the 2-day treatment, 4-day averages were calculated between adjacent measurements with the same sequence of anoxic/oxic phase transition). We also measured δ¹³C values of CH₄ by TDLAS every 4 days in order to achieve C isotope mass balance and account for the effects of CH₄ production on the δ¹³C values of CO₂ due to methanogenesis and methane oxidation (Huang & Hall, 2018; Whiticar, 1999). We chemically removed CO₂ from each gas sample and then combusted CH₄ to CO₂ (Huang & Hall, 2018). For the 4-, 8- and 12-day treatments, the δ¹³C values of CH₄ were measured at 2-day intervals prior to 84 days and subsequently at 4-day intervals. The δ¹³C values of CH₄ were interpolated over 2-day intervals using the same method for CH₄ production estimates. The CO₂-equivalent greenhouse gas emission was calculated over a 20-year timescale by multiplying CH₄ mass by 84 (1 g CH₄ = 84 g of CO₂ equivalent) and adding to the CO₂ mass (Myhre et al., 2013). Net N₂O production was negligible in our experiment, determined by periodic measurements of N₂O by gas chromatography concomitant with CH₄ measurements.

Total C mineralization from litter and soil in the Oxisol and Mollisol at the end of experiment was calculated by multiplying cumulative mineralized C by its respective fractional contributions, which were determined by two-source mixing models described in the Supplementary Methods. The fractions of total C mass remaining in the Oxisol and Mollisol over time were calculated by subtracting cumulative total C mineralization from initial C (415 and 386 mg C for the Oxisol and Mollisol, respectively).

2.4 | Soil chemical analyses

We measured net Fe reduction and dissolved organic carbon (DOC) released by water extractions in additional replicate samples from each soil and headspace treatment during the initial 48 days. Three replicates per treatment were destructively sampled every 4 days for the control and at the end of each anoxic/oxic phase for the fluctuating-O₂ treatments. Soil subsamples were extracted in 0.5 M hydrochloric acid (HCl) for net Fe reduction and nanopure water for DOC in a 1:60 dry soil-to-solution ratio. Iron concentrations in 0.5 M HCl extractions (denoted Fe(II) HCl and Fe(III) HCl) were determined colorimetrically by ferrozine (Huang & Hall, 2017a). The DOC concentrations were measured on a Shimadzu TOC-L analyzer.

At the end of this experiment (384 days), soil subsamples were analyzed for dissolved organic C (DOC) concentrations in water (DOCH₂O) and several sequential extractions. The first extraction was sodium sulfate (DOCNa₂SO₄), which releases C from weak
polyvalent cation bridges (Ye et al., 2018), followed by sodium di-
thionate (DOC_{Na2S4O6}), which releases C sorbed or co-precipitated with reducible Fe phases (Wagai & Mayer, 2007), and finally sodium pyrophosphate (DOC_{Na2P2O7}), which releases C in organo-metal/ mineral complexes (Coward et al., 2017). The DOC_{Na2SO4} values were corrected for DOC_{H2O} measured on separate soil subsamples (n = 5) extracted by nanopure water in a 1:60 dry soil-to-solution ratio. For the additional sequential extractions, subsamples were first extracted by 0.5 M Na2SO4 at a soil-to-solution ratio (g ml^{-1}) of 0.0056 for 1 h, followed by 0.266 g Na2S4O6 (0.05 M) and 30 ml deionized water for 16 h. Then, to dissolve any sulfide-associated elements, soils were extracted in 0.05 M HCl for 1 h, prior to extraction with 0.1 M Na4P2O7 for 16 h (Huang et al., 2019). Following each extraction, slurries were centrifuged at 20,000 g for 10 min and supernatant solutions were stored at 4°C prior to analysis. The DOC concentrations and their δ^{13}C values were analyzed by measuring CO2 and δ^{13}C produced from sample oxidation by boiling with persulfate in serum vials followed by injection of the headspace gas on TDLAS (Huang & Hall, 2017b). The soluble litter- and soil- derived C in each extraction was estimated as the product of DOC concentration and the respective fractional contributions from litter and soil calculated using the isotope mixing models described above.

Two replicate soil subsamples from each treatment after the 384-day incubation were analyzed by δ^{13}C nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy to assess organic C molecular composition. More details on the δ^{13}C NMR analysis are provided in Supplementary Methods.

### 2.5 Data analysis

A mixed-effects model was used to test differences among treatments (fixed effects) in each soil for instantaneous CO2 and CH4 production, using the "lmer" function in R (Bates et al., 2015). Samples were treated as random effects to account for repeated sampling. Dunnett’s test was used to compare the variables in the fluctuating-O2 treatments with the static oxic control for each soil. Relationships between soil properties and anoxic phase duration were analyzed by linear regression models using the "lm" function in R. We also performed linear regression to analyze the response of cumulative C decomposition to relative O2 concentration and variance. The O2 availability was treated as a discrete random variable in our study. Thus, the O2 variance was determined by summing the product of the probability of anoxic or oxic phases occurring in one cycle of each fluctuating-O2 treatment, and the square of the difference between relative O2 concentrations (0 for anoxic and 1 or oxic phases) and the weighted relative O2 concentrations by their probability. The O2 variance was then normalized by the sum of O2 variances in all fluctuating-O2 treatments to calculate the relative O2 variance. We modeled trends in the fractions of C mass remaining over time using two-pool first-order exponential decay models using the "nls" function in R. Likelihood ratio tests comparing nested models were used to assess whether decomposition rate constants differed among treatments. The parameters from the two-pool first order exponential decay models were also used to simulate instantaneous total C decomposition rate (CO2 + CH4, defined hereafter as C mineralization) and to evaluate model performance by calculating the Akaike information criterion (AIC) (see below) to compare with the MEND model performance.

### 2.6 Process-based model simulation

The MEND model (Wang et al., 2013, 2015, 2019) explicitly represents: (i) density-based partitioning and physicochemical protection of soil organic matter (SOM); (ii) distinct microbial and enzyme functional groups regulating SOM decomposition; and (iii) microbial physiology such as growth and maintenance, dormancy, resuscitation, and mortality in response to changes in soil pH, temperature, moisture, and oxygen availability. In this study, we incorporated a CH4 module (Zhu et al., 2014) to simulate CH4 production and oxidation (Figure S2). Governing equations of soil C pools (Figure S2; Table S2) in the MEND model are shown in Table S3. Component fluxes and parameters in the MEND model are described in Tables S4 and S5.

The modified MEND model used O2 scalars to represent the impacts of O2 availability on multiple aspects of soil C processes (Tables S3 and S4). Values of these scalars were determined by comparing data from the static oxic control and the static anoxic treatment in a companion experiment with these same soils described in Huang et al. (2020). The MEND model simulated reversible dynamic transformations between two microbial functional groups (i.e., active and dormant microbes), where only the active microbes could take up C and nutrients and reproduce. In addition to the dependence of microbial dormancy on substrate and soil moisture availability, we assumed that some microorganisms were active under oxic than anoxic conditions (Eq. S38). In addition, CH4 production flux was a fraction of total C mineralization flux (Eq. S28), where the fraction (r_{CH4}) is modified by soil pH, temperature, and O2 availability. The actual CH4 production fraction (i.e., r_{CH4,mod} modified by environmental factors) represented the methanogenic activity compared to the total microbial activity mediating C mineralization. The CH4 oxidation flux was simulated as a fraction of CH4 production flux (Eq. S29), where the fraction (i.e., the CH4 oxidation coefficient r_{CH4,x}) followed Michaelis–Menten kinetics and was modified by soil temperature and O2 availability. With increasing O2 availability, CH4 production rate decreased and oxidation rate increased (Eq. S39). Initial C pool values are provided in the Supplementary Methods. The MEND model runs at an hourly timestep.

We applied the multi-objective calibration method to determine selected MEND model parameters (Duan et al., 1992; Wang et al., 2015). Six calibrated parameters included CH4 production as a fraction (r_{CH4}) of total active microbial respiration, two parameters controlling microbial growth and maintenance (V_G and V_M), and three parameters regulating enzyme production and turnover (p_{EP}, p_{EM}, and r_{E}; see Table S5 for further description). Here, microbial maintenance (V_M, mg C mg^{-1} active-biomass-C h^{-1}) refers to additional consumption...
of energy and C for purposes other than biomass production (Wang & Post, 2012). The other model parameters were fixed based on previous studies (Wang et al., 2013, 2015, 2019). Each objective evaluated goodness-of-fit of a specific observed variable, for example, daily CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ fluxes. Parameter optimization minimized the total objective function ($J$) computed as the weighted average of multiple objectives. The individual objective function $J_i$ (i.e., for CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ fluxes) was calculated as $(1 - R^2)$, where $R^2$ denoted the coefficient of determination and a higher $R^2$ indicates better model fitness (Wang et al., 2019). We used the shuffled complex evolution (SCE) algorithm (Duan et al., 1992; Wang et al., 2015) to search the optimal model parameters that minimize $J$. In addition, we used the AIC, corrected AIC (AICc), and Bayesian information criterion (BIC) to evaluate effects of different parameters (Johnson & Omland, 2004), that is, all six parameters, three parameters ($r_{CH_4}$, $a$, and $p_{EM}$), and two parameters ($r_{CH_4}$ and $a$). These criteria (AIC, AICc, and BIC) consider both model fit (i.e., the mean squared error) and complexity (i.e., the number of free parameters and the number of observations). The smaller the criterion value, the better the simulation (Johnson & Omland, 2004).

In our study, mean CO$_2$ flux was one order of magnitude higher than mean CH$_4$ flux under the control treatment. To make selection criteria comparable between different variables, we normalized the sum of squares of residuals (SSR) by the total sum of squares (SST) in the calculation of the likelihood (Johnson & Omland, 2004). Given that $SSR/SST = 1 - R^2$ ($R^2$ denotes the coefficient of determination; Wang et al., 2015), we modified the three criteria as follows:

$$AIC = n \cdot \ln \left( \frac{1 - R^2}{n} \right) + 2p,$$

$$AIC_c = n \cdot \ln \left( \frac{1 - R^2}{n} \right) + 2p \cdot \left( \frac{n}{n - p - 1} \right),$$

$$BIC = n \cdot \ln \left( \frac{1 - R^2}{n} \right) + p \cdot \ln(n),$$

where $AIC$, $AIC_c$, and BIC are defined above; $ln(\cdot)$ denotes the natural logarithm; $R^2$ is coefficient of determination between simulated values ($y_{sim}(i)$) and observed values ($y_{obs}(i)$); $n$ denotes the number of observations (i.e., sample size); and $p$ denotes the number of free parameters.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Observed C mineralization

We found that the overall impact of fluctuating O$_2$ availability on C mineralization was generally similar in both the Oxisol and Mollisol and challenged prevailing assumptions of how O$_2$ limitation impacts decomposition. The treatments with increasingly longer anoxic phases depressed CO$_2$ production to a greater degree during periods of anoxia. However, CO$_2$ production in the subsequent oxic phases rebounded to a greater degree in treatments with longer anoxic duration (Figure 2a,b), and largely (if not completely) compensated for decreased anoxic decomposition over most of the experiment. Total C mineralization from the fluctuating-O$_2$ treatments varied over time due to the changing magnitude of the decomposition pulse following each anoxic–oxic transition. Over the first few days–weeks of the experiment, treatments with longer anoxic duration tended to suppress total C loss, but this was invariably followed by a period of stimulated decomposition. This is demonstrated by expressing C mineralization from the fluctuating-O$_2$ treatments as a ratio relative to the control: ratios >1 were observed from the first week up to 9 months, depending on the particular soil and treatment (Figure 2e–h). In general, relative C mineralization ratios >1 occurred later in treatments with longer anoxic duration (Figure 2g,h). Eventually, C mineralization from all of the fluctuating-O$_2$ treatments decreased relative to the control. However, by the end of experiment, cumulative C mineralization from the Oxisol was statistically equivalent among the control (274 ± 23 mg g$^{-1}$ C) and the 12-day (254 ± 6 mg g$^{-1}$ C), 8-day (237 ± 9 mg g$^{-1}$ C), and 4-day (234 ± 4 mg g$^{-1}$ C) treatments, and was only significantly lower in the 2-day treatment (227 ± 9 mg g$^{-1}$ C; $p < .05$). Cumulative C mineralization from the Mollisol was similar between the control (258 ± 9 mg g$^{-1}$ C) and the 12-day treatment (238 ± 2 mg g$^{-1}$ C), whereas the 8-day (224 ± 6 mg g$^{-1}$ C; $p < .05$), 4-day (233 ± 3 mg g$^{-1}$ C; $p < .05$), and 2-day (222 ± 5 mg g$^{-1}$ C; $p < .01$) treatments were slightly but significantly lower than the control.

Despite the general similarities in CO$_2$ production among treatments, the CO$_2$-equivalent greenhouse gas emissions over a 20-year timescale significantly increased with anoxic phase duration in both soils due to CH$_4$ production ($p < .01$; Figure 3), which increased with anoxic phase duration and continued during the oxic treatment phases (Figure 2c,d). Overall, the contribution of CH$_4$ emission to total mineralized C increased with anoxic duration (from 3.3 ± 0.9% in the 2-day treatment to 12.4 ± 1.7% in the 12-day treatment for the Oxisol and from 1.5 ± 0.6% to 7.8 ± 0.9% for the Mollisol), and was negligible in the control (0.3 ± 0.1% for the Oxisol and 0.5 ± 0.2% for the Mollisol). Correspondingly, the CO$_2$-equivalent greenhouse gas emissions in the 12-day treatment rose to 437% of the control in the Oxisol and 292% of the control in the Mollisol.

3.2 | Sources of decomposed C

Differences in $\delta^{13}$C values of total mineralized C (CO$_2$ + CH$_4$) among treatments (Figure S3) showed that soil- and litter-derived C responded differently to the fluctuating-O$_2$ treatments. The $\delta^{13}$C values of total mineralized C tended to decrease during oxic phases relative to anoxic phases, indicating that soil-derived C drove the increased C mineralization that typically occurred at the beginning of each oxic phase (Figure 2a,b). The difference in cumulative $\delta^{13}$C values of total mineralized C at the end of experiment showed that the fluctuating-O$_2$ treatments generally suppressed losses of litter-derived C, but not soil-derived C, relative to the control (Figures S3 and S4). We also found that DOC$_{H_2}O$ increased under the anoxic versus oxic phases and increased with anoxic phase duration during the first 48 days (Figure S5a,b). At the end of experiment, the fluctuating-O$_2$ treatments had...
greater net losses of mineral-associated soil C relative to the control in the Oxisol, measured by sequential chemical extractions (Figure S6). For the Mollisol, there were some greater net losses of litter-derived DOC$_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ and mineral-associated litter C (DOC$_{\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4}$ + DOC$_{\text{Na}_4\text{P}_2\text{O}_7}$) under the fluctuating-O$_2$ treatments relative to the control (Figure S6). However, we did not observe any differences in C chemical composition or oxidation state among treatments, as indicated by solid-state $^{13}$C NMR (Table S1).

Next, to examine the time-integrated responses of faster- and slower-cycling C pools to the fluctuating-O$_2$ treatments, we fit simple statistical models (two-pool, first-order decay) to the cumulative C mass remaining over time (Figure S7). We emphasize that the pool sizes and decomposition rate constants estimated by this approach are strictly empirical, represent long-term mean treatment responses, and cannot simulate instantaneous changes in decomposition following oxic/anoxic transitions. The models fit the data very well.
significantly increased in the fluctuating- \textsuperscript{O} \textsubscript{2} treatments. In the Mollisol, the proportion of C in the fast pool \textsuperscript{and 12- day treatments significantly increased the proportion of C in the fast pool relative to the control (\textit{p} < .05 and \textit{p} < .01 for all).}

Note: Values are means and SEM; \textit{f} \textsubscript{f} and \textit{f} \textsubscript{s}, fractions of C in the fast and slow pools; \textit{k} \textsubscript{f} and \textit{k} \textsubscript{s}, decomposition rates of the fast and slow C pools; \textit{T} \textsubscript{f}, \textit{T} \textsubscript{s}, and \textit{T}, turnover rates of the fast, slow, and total C pools.

**Denotes \textit{p} < .01.

with \textit{R} \textsuperscript{2} values of .9973--.9999; rate constants indicated mean turnover rates of months and years for fast and slow pools, respectively (Table 1; Figure S7). In both soils, decreasing cumulative \textit{O} \textsubscript{2} availability \textsubscript{increased mean turnover times of total C, calculated as the mass-weighted average of both pools. However, in the Oxisol, the 2- and 4-day treatments significantly increased the decomposition rate of the fast C pool relative to the control (\textit{p} < .01 for all), whereas the 8- and 12-day treatments significantly increased the proportion of C in the fast pool. In the Mollisol, the proportion of C in the fast pool significantly increased in the fluctuating-\textit{O} \textsubscript{2} treatments (\textit{p} < .01 for all), although its decomposition rate decreased. Next, we asked what mechanism(s) contributed to the observed changes in C mineralization under the fluctuating-\textit{O} \textsubscript{2} treatments.

### 3.3 Integrating experimental data with a mechanistic model (MEND)

To test our existing understanding of how \textit{O} \textsubscript{2} limitation alters decomposition, we first simulated the impacts of fluctuating \textit{O} \textsubscript{2} availability under the current assumptions of our mechanistic model (MEND), which are shared by other models. That is, we used data from the oxic control for model parameterization and applied a scalar to suppress decomposition under anoxic conditions, where the scalar was calibrated by the data shared by other models. That is, we used data from the oxic control for model parameterization and applied a scalar to suppress decomposition under anoxic conditions, where the scalar was calibrated by the data from a static anoxic incubation in a companion study (Huang et al., 2020). In the control, the model closely reproduced observed trends in C mineralization over time (Figures S8a,b and S9a,b). However, in the fluctuating-\textit{O} \textsubscript{2} treatments, the model generally failed to capture the temporal pulses

### TABLE 1 Parameters for two-pool first-order decay models fit to the fractions of total C mass remaining in the Oxisol and Mollisol incubated under the static oxic control and fluctuating-\textit{O} \textsubscript{2} treatments. The 2-, 4-, 8-, and 12-day treatments indicate the fluctuating-\textit{O} \textsubscript{2} treatments, which consisted of repeated cycles of 2, 4, 8, or 12 days of anoxia followed by 4 days of oxic conditions, respectively.

| Treatment | \textit{f} \textsubscript{s} | \textit{k} \textsubscript{s} (year\textsuperscript{-1}) | \textit{T} \textsubscript{s} (year) | \textit{f} \textsubscript{f} | \textit{k} \textsubscript{f} (year\textsuperscript{-1}) | \textit{T} \textsubscript{f} (year) | \textit{R} \textsuperscript{2} | \textit{T} (year) |
|-----------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| **Oxisol** | | | | | | | | |
| Control   | \textit{f} \textsubscript{f} = 0.10 ± 0.00 | \textit{k} \textsubscript{f} = 3.86 ± 0.15 | \textit{T} \textsubscript{f} = 0.26 | \textit{f} \textsubscript{s} = 0.26 | \textit{k} \textsubscript{s} = 0.90 | \textit{T} \textsubscript{s} = 0.21 ± 0.00 | \textit{R} \textsuperscript{2} = 4.8 | \textit{T} = 9.9992 | \textit{T} = 4.3 |
| 2 days    | \textit{f} \textsubscript{f} = 0.08 ± 0.00 | \textit{k} \textsubscript{f} = 7.77 ± 0.10 | \textit{T} \textsubscript{f} = 0.13 | \textit{f} \textsubscript{s} = 0.13 | \textit{k} \textsubscript{s} = 0.92 | \textit{T} \textsubscript{s} = 0.17 ± 0.00 | \textit{R} \textsuperscript{2} = 5.9 | \textit{T} = 9.9997 | \textit{T} = 5.4 |
| 4 days    | \textit{f} \textsubscript{f} = 0.10 ± 0.00 | \textit{k} \textsubscript{f} = 6.67 ± 0.03 | \textit{T} \textsubscript{f} = 0.15 | \textit{f} \textsubscript{s} = 0.15 | \textit{k} \textsubscript{s} = 0.90 | \textit{T} \textsubscript{s} = 0.16 ± 0.00 | \textit{R} \textsuperscript{2} = 6.4 | \textit{T} = 9.9999 | \textit{T} = 5.8 |
| 8 days    | \textit{f} \textsubscript{f} = 0.16 ± 0.00 | \textit{k} \textsubscript{f} = 3.71 ± 0.04 | \textit{T} \textsubscript{f} = 0.27 | \textit{f} \textsubscript{s} = 0.27 | \textit{k} \textsubscript{s} = 0.84 | \textit{T} \textsubscript{s} = 0.10 ± 0.00 | \textit{R} \textsuperscript{2} = 10.0 | \textit{T} = 9.9998 | \textit{T} = 8.5 |
| 12 days   | \textit{f} \textsubscript{f} = 0.32 ± 0.04 | \textit{k} \textsubscript{f} = 1.59 ± 0.14 | \textit{T} \textsubscript{f} = 0.63 | \textit{f} \textsubscript{s} = 0.63 | \textit{k} \textsubscript{s} = 0.68 | \textit{T} \textsubscript{s} = 0.00 ± 0.03 | \textit{R} \textsuperscript{2} = 8.9 | \textit{T} = 9.9981 | \textit{T} = 28.9 |
| **Mollisol** | | | | | | | | |
| Control   | \textit{f} \textsubscript{f} = 0.09 ± 0.00 | \textit{k} \textsubscript{f} = 8.71 ± 0.11 | \textit{T} \textsubscript{f} = 0.11 | \textit{f} \textsubscript{s} = 0.11 | \textit{k} \textsubscript{s} = 0.91 | \textit{T} \textsubscript{s} = 0.20 ± 0.00 | \textit{R} \textsuperscript{2} = 5.0 | \textit{T} = 9.9996 | \textit{T} = 4.6 |
| 2 days    | \textit{f} \textsubscript{f} = 0.13 ± 0.00 | \textit{k} \textsubscript{f} = 5.94 ± 0.05 | \textit{T} \textsubscript{f} = 0.17 | \textit{f} \textsubscript{s} = 0.17 | \textit{k} \textsubscript{s} = 0.87 | \textit{T} \textsubscript{s} = 0.11 ± 0.00 | \textit{R} \textsuperscript{2} = 8.9 | \textit{T} = 9.9998 | \textit{T} = 7.8 |
| 4 days    | \textit{f} \textsubscript{f} = 0.15 ± 0.00 | \textit{k} \textsubscript{f} = 5.08 ± 0.04 | \textit{T} \textsubscript{f} = 0.20 | \textit{f} \textsubscript{s} = 0.20 | \textit{k} \textsubscript{s} = 0.85 | \textit{T} \textsubscript{s} = 0.10 ± 0.00 | \textit{R} \textsuperscript{2} = 9.9 | \textit{T} = 9.9998 | \textit{T} = 8.4 |
| 8 days    | \textit{f} \textsubscript{f} = 0.17 ± 0.00 | \textit{k} \textsubscript{f} = 4.36 ± 0.07 | \textit{T} \textsubscript{f} = 0.23 | \textit{f} \textsubscript{s} = 0.23 | \textit{k} \textsubscript{s} = 0.83 | \textit{T} \textsubscript{s} = 0.08 ± 0.00 | \textit{R} \textsuperscript{2} = 13.2 | \textit{T} = 9.9991 | \textit{T} = 11.0 |
| 12 days   | \textit{f} \textsubscript{f} = 0.22 ± 0.01 | \textit{k} \textsubscript{f} = 3.35 ± 0.11 | \textit{T} \textsubscript{f} = 0.30 | \textit{f} \textsubscript{s} = 0.30 | \textit{k} \textsubscript{s} = 0.78 | \textit{T} \textsubscript{s} = 0.03 ± 0.01 | \textit{R} \textsuperscript{2} = 37.0 | \textit{T} = 9.973 | \textit{T} = 28.9 |
of C mineralization during anoxic/oxic transitions—mostly underestimating, but occasionally overestimating these pulses ($R^2 = .18–.24$ and $.32–.40$ in the Oxisol and Mollisol; Figures S8 and S9). Overall, the default MEND model underestimated cumulative C mineralization to a greater extent as anoxic duration increased (Figure 4).

We then employed another parameterization strategy whereby we calibrated the model individually for each treatment to test whether we could discern the key parameters that controlled the decomposition response to O$_2$ availability. We calibrated two, three, or six parameters that regulate C mineralization processes (see a description of these parameters in Figure S10). We found that the new model could achieve good performance (i.e., lower or comparable AIC, AICc, and/or BIC) with only two free parameters, although the model with six free parameters performed better in CO$_2$ flux simulations for the Oxisol (Figure S10a–d). Thus, we present the new MEND results from the simulations with two free parameters: the fraction of CH$_4$ production in total C mineralization ($r_{\text{CH}_4}$, dimensionless) and microbial specific maintenance rate ($\nu_m$, mg C mg$^{-1}$ active-biomass-C h$^{-1}$) that denotes the microbial maintenance rate per unit active microbial biomass. Overall, model performance in simulating C mineralization data from the fluctuating-O$_2$ treatments was significantly improved over the previous calibration based on the control treatment only ($R^2 = .46–.73$ and $.60–.73$ in the Oxisol and the Mollisol, respectively; Figures S8e,f, S9 and S10). The AIC values indicated that the new calibrated MEND model outperformed the empirical two-pool first-order statistical model at simulating instantaneous C mineralization rates in the 8- and 12-day treatments, but not in the other treatments (Figures S11 and S12).

In the new calibrated MEND model, we found that $r_{\text{CH}_4}$ increased with longer anoxic durations for both soils, although the response was nonlinear for the Oxisol and linear for the Mollisol (Figure S13a,b). The $\nu_m$ value also linearly ($R^2 = .99$) increased with anoxic duration for the Mollisol (Figure S13d), indicating higher microbial maintenance cost under anoxic than under oxic conditions. However, we did not find any trend in $\nu_m$ under the fluctuating-O$_2$ treatments in the Oxisol (Figure S13c).

To synthesize our results from the observations and model simulations, we expressed cumulative C mineralization normalized to the static oxic control as a function of cumulative O$_2$ availability and the temporal variance in O$_2$ availability at three representative dates throughout the experiment (days 48, 144, and 384; Figure 4). We found that cumulative C mineralization did not consistently decrease as cumulative O$_2$ availability declined (Figure 4a,b). In contrast, the MEND model based on traditional assumptions simulated decreasing decomposition with lower O$_2$ availability due to suppressed microbial activity. For the new calibrated MEND model, the simulations for the Mollisol showed generally similar patterns as the observations, while modeled C mineralization from the Oxisol was still underestimated relative to the observations, although better than under the "old" MEND model.

Temporal O$_2$ variance ($p < .01$), rather than cumulative O$_2$ availability ($p < .05$), was a better predictor of cumulative C mineralization at 384 days across both soils. Increased temporal O$_2$ variance appeared to dampen the effects of increased O$_2$ availability, resulting in lowest C mineralization in the 2-day treatment, which had the highest O$_2$ variance relative to the other fluctuating-O$_2$ treatments (Figure 4c,d). The MEND model based on traditional assumptions showed a significant influence of O$_2$ concentration ($p < .01$), but not O$_2$ variance, on cumulative C mineralization. However, the new calibrated MEND model showed significantly negative effects of increasing O$_2$ variance on cumulative C mineralization in the Mollisol ($p < .05$), consistent with the observations.

4 | DISCUSSION

In light of our results, we propose a new conceptual view of decomposition responses to temporal variation in O$_2$ availability to inform theory and mechanistic model development (Figure 1c). We showed that the decomposition rates of slow-cycling C pools decreased as cumulative O$_2$ availability declined, supporting traditional theory (Freeman et al., 2001; Greenwood, 1961; LaRowe & Van Cappellen, 2011; Lin et al., 2021). However, during our year-long experiment, the fluctuating-O$_2$ treatments increased either the decomposition rate or size of empirically defined fast-cycling C pools, in contrast to model assumptions (Davidson et al., 2012; Koven et al., 2013; Oleson et al., 2013; Riley et al., 2011; Rubol et al., 2013) and short-term (hours to days) experiments (Bhattacharyya et al., 2018; Sierra et al., 2017). Even in the 12-day treatment, where O$_2$ was only present 25% of the time, cumulative C decomposition did not differ from the control after weeks–months (Figure 2) because of increased decomposition during oxic periods. The increase in decomposition rates following the anoxic to oxic transition was poorly represented by the initial mechanistic model parameterizations, leading to underestimation of total C loss. Underestimation of CH$_4$ production in the fluctuating-O$_2$ treatments with longer anoxic durations also contributed to the model-observation discrepancy. Fluctuating-O$_2$ treatments with longer anoxic durations lost a greater proportion of C as CH$_4$, thereby increasing CO$_2$-equivalent greenhouse gas emissions by as much as threefold (Figure 3). Together, these findings demonstrated that oxic/anoxic fluctuations largely sustained, or even transiently stimulated, C decomposition and substantially increased its climate impact on a CO$_2$-equivalent basis relative to static oxic conditions over periods of weeks to months.

4.1 | Experiments reveal discrepancies among data, theory, and model assumptions

Our results challenged conventional theory and model assumptions by showing that C decomposition did not monotonically decrease with the cumulative duration of anoxic conditions (Figure 4). Carbon mineralization rate was depressed under the anoxic phases relative to the oxic phases, consistent with traditional theory (Greenwood, 1961). However, intermittent anoxic conditions did not consistently suppress C loss, especially for soil C (Figure S4). The maintenance of high decomposition despite periodic anoxia likely arose from different responses of C availability and molecular C composition to fluctuating-O$_2$ conditions.
First of all, discrepancies between our observations and the theory/model could be partly ascribed to greater sizes and/or fluxes of the fast-cycling C pool under O$_2$ fluctuations (Figure 1), linked to changes in C protection mechanisms and bioavailability. Concentrations of DOC increased during anoxic phases (Figure S5), likely due to a combination of kinetic and thermodynamic constraints on anaerobic decomposition (Keiluweit et al., 2017) and the release of mineral-associated C (Buettner et al., 2014; Thompson et al., 2006). In contrast, during the oxic phases DOC declined by as much as 10 mg C g$^{-1}$ C (Figure S5), indicating rapid decomposition corresponding with observed pulses of CO$_2$ production (Figure 2a,b). The $\delta^{13}$C measurements of sequential extractions and mineralized C indicated that previously protected soil C released under the anoxic phases provided additional C for microbial decomposition in the presence of O$_2$ and thus sustained soil C decomposition under the fluctuating-O$_2$ treatments (Figures S4 and S6). Replacement of older soil C with litter C in organo-mineral associations (Leinemann et al., 2018) might also have increased the availability and decomposition of soil C under the fluctuating-O$_2$ treatments (Figure S4).

Indeed, significant litter-derived C (~12% of initial litter C on average) ultimately became incorporated in all measured mineral-associated pools (Figure S6). In the Mollisol, the litter was the dominant C source in both the sodium sulfate and sodium pyrophosphate extractions. Release and decomposition of extant mineral-associated C due to disruption of cation bridges by acidification (Ye et al., 2018), as evidenced by carbonate loss in the Mollisol, or following Fe reduction, as observed in both soils (Figure S5), therefore likely contributed to increases in the size of fast C pools in the fluctuating-O$_2$ treatments with longer anoxic durations in both soils (Table 1). Overall, increased C availability compensated for any depression in C mineralization.

**FIGURE 4** Relationships of cumulative C decomposition, normalized to the static oxic controls, with relative O$_2$ availability (a, b) and temporal variance (c, d) for the Oxisol and Mollisol, respectively. The 2-, 4-, 8-, and 12-day treatments indicate the fluctuating-O$_2$ treatments, which consisted of repeated cycles of 2, 4, 8, or 12 days of anoxia followed by 4 days of oxic conditions, respectively. The solid lines with closed symbols represent the observations, and the blue and red dotted lines with open symbols represent the simulations by MEND model calibrated with the control only and full dataset, respectively. The error bars indicate SEM ($n = 5$).
due to anoxia after approximately 1 week to 2 months, depending on the particular fluctuating-O$_2$ treatments and soils (Figure 2).

Moreover, the absence of changes in C chemical composition indicated by $^{13}$C NMR (Table S1) further indicated the resilience of long-term decomposition processes to O$_2$ variability. This contrasts with previous findings of selective protection for reduced C compounds (e.g., lipids and/or lignin) under anoxia (Keiluweit et al., 2017; LaRowe & Van Cappellen, 2011) and the observation of preferential persistence of lignin C under a year-long static anoxic incubation of these same soils (Huang et al., 2020). This latter observation indicates that $^{13}$C NMR was sensitive enough to detect meaningful changes in soil C molecular composition if they had occurred. Rather, the absence of change in C composition suggests that microbial taxa able to tolerate periods of anoxia and increase activity during oxic periods (DeAngelis et al., 2010; Pett-Ridge & Firestone, 2005) may have maintained the overall decomposition of molecules with low nominal oxidation state (lipids) and hydrolysis-resistant bonds (lignin). In addition, production of reactive oxygen species during the anoxic/oxic transition, when Fe(II) co-occurred with O$_2$, provides another plausible mechanism contributing to decomposition of lignin and lipids despite O$_2$ deprivation (Chen et al., 2020; Hall et al., 2015; Huang et al., 2019).

4.2 | Modeling C decomposition under fluctuating-O$_2$ conditions

Calibrating the MEND model with data from all treatments versus the control only showed that results were improved by changing the assumptions of microbial physiology under fluctuating-O$_2$ conditions. Most ecosystem models that include a mechanistic representation of soil O$_2$ dynamics assume a consistent decline in decomposition as O$_2$ decreases, although the precise shape of the response varies among models (Davidson et al., 2012; Koven et al., 2013; Oleson et al., 2013; Riley et al., 2011; Rubol et al., 2013). For this reason, C losses are predicted to be smaller under longer anoxic periods versus shorter ones (Knapp et al., 2008; Waring & Piao, 2005), as simulated by our initial calibration of the MEND model after separate calibration for each treatment suggesting a consistent decline in decomposition as O$_2$ decreases, although the precise shape of the response varies among models (Davidson et al., 2012; Koven et al., 2013; Oleson et al., 2013; Riley et al., 2011; Rubol et al., 2013). However, simulations from the MEND model after separate calibration for each treatment suggested that periodic O$_2$ deprivation did not consistently suppress the decomposition of all C pools (i.e., POM1, POM2, and MAOM; Figure S14).

We used two different modeling approaches, each with different strengths and weaknesses, to understand impacts of O$_2$ variation on soil C cycling. The two-pool, first-order statistical model fit the data well and demonstrated clear, cumulative impacts of O$_2$ variation on mathematically defined fast- and slow-cycling C pools over multiple experimental redox cycles (Figure S7). However, such models by definition are incapable of reproducing the observed high-frequency oscillations in C mineralization following anoxic-oxic transitions (Figure S12), and the fitted parameters could not necessarily be easily extrapolated to other ecosystem contexts. In contrast, the process-based MEND model reproduced the temporal oscillations in C mineralization (Figure S12) but required a more complex structure. Process-based models like MEND inevitably contain many parameters, but as commonly practiced in environmental modeling, most of these are specified a priori based on literature values, and only a limited number of parameters remain to be calibrated in a given study (Luo & Schuur, 2020; Wang et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2020). In this regard, the information criteria (e.g., AIC) calculated here accounted for the number of free (i.e., calibrated) parameters, not all the parameters (Johnson & Omland, 2004; Zhang et al., 2020). Comparison of AIC values showed that the new calibrated MEND model had better performance (lower AIC, Figure S11) in simulating instantaneous total C mineralization than the first-order two-pool model only under the treatments with longer anoxic durations (i.e., the 8- and 12-day treatments). Better performance of the first-order model than the new calibrated MEND model under the 2- and 4-day treatments may be explained by the small temporal fluctuation in the total C mineralization (Figure S12c–f). The poorer fitting of the flux rates by the MEND model in these treatments was likely due to overestimation of temporal oscillation in C fluxes. This points to the potential issue that the current MEND model may overreact to high temporal variability in O$_2$ concentrations. However, due to its simple structure, the first-order model by definition could not reproduce the highly fluctuating C mineralization rates observed under longer anoxic durations (Figure S12g–j). Such models cannot inform field-scale modeling with irregular fluctuations in environmental factors such as temperature, moisture, and O$_2$.

In general, process-based models differ in scope of application and philosophy relative to simple statistical models where all information to obtain parameters comes from the data alone. The parameterization of the MEND model takes a large amount of information from the literature through the default parameterization of the model, and then adds extra information from the experimental results. To this end, there is an asymmetry in the amount of information that comes from the experiment versus the information coming from the literature. However, complex process-based models offer advantages in terms of mechanistic representation of physical–chemical–biological processes and their interactions (Luo & Schuur, 2020). In short, although the CH$_4$-enabled MEND model did not outperform the simple first-order model in simulating C mineralization under short anoxic duration, its mechanistic detail enabled us to test which biogeochemical processes may impact C cycling processes under O$_2$ fluctuations, paving the way for real-world application beyond the laboratory. Even though the two-pool, first-order model clearly indicated that O$_2$ fluctuations changed the sizes and decomposition rates of statistically defined C pools, it could not provide insights into underlying mechanisms.

The relative increase in microbial maintenance rate with anoxic duration indicated by the MEND model of the Mollisol (Figure S13) provides a potential mechanistic explanation contributing to
sustained C mineralization under periodic anoxia. Higher microbial maintenance respiration under anoxic conditions is consistent with our first principles understanding that anaerobic metabolisms (respiration, fermentation) yield less energy from per C atom oxidized than aerobic respiration (Pirt, 1965). Accordingly, as microbes allocate more C to sustain their maintenance needs, more C is lost as CO₂ and CH₄ and less C is retained in growing biomass. Because microbial biomass and necromass production are key mechanisms of soil C persistence (Kallenbach et al., 2016), increased maintenance may be an important and largely overlooked mechanism of sustaining C losses in spite of the constraints of O₂ limitation on decomposition. However, we found no consistent relationship between the modeled microbial maintenance parameter and anoxic duration in the Oxisol. This finding may have resulted from an overall lower performance of the model for the Oxisol than the Mollisol, reflecting additional missing processes (such as explicit representation of Fe–C interactions that can protect and decompose C; Chen et al., 2020) that may have obscured a clear response of maintenance respiration to anoxic duration.

Moreover, allowing the ratio of CH₄ production to total C mineralization (rCH₄) to vary with anoxic duration also greatly improved MEND model performance. The default MEND model used a constant baseline rCH₄ (0.2), which significantly underestimated CH₄ production (and consequently, CO₂-equivalent greenhouse gas emissions) under fluctuating-O₂ conditions. The fraction of anaerobic C mineralization released as CH₄ was as great as 0.35 in soils that were exposed to O₂ 25% of the time (i.e., for four out of every 16 days; Figure S13), implying the persistence of anaerobic microsites despite periodic O₂ incursions (Angle et al., 2017; Huang & Hall, 2018; Silver et al., 1999). Together, our results point to the importance of accounting for impacts of anoxic conditions on key metrics of microbial physiology to interpret and model impacts of O₂ variability on soil C cycling processes.

Our data-model comparison also indicates a potential role for temporal O₂ variance, beyond cumulative O₂ availability, as a control on C mineralization (Figure 4). Previous work demonstrated that temporal variance in environmental predictors (e.g., temperature) has a differing impact on mean soil respiration that depends on the convex versus concave shape of the response functions: for convex functions, greater predictor variance leads to greater mean soil respiration, whereas for concave functions, greater predictor variance leads to lower mean soil respiration, even when mean predictor values are the same (Sierra et al., 2011). Many models have represented C mineralization as empirical concave-down functions of O₂ concentration (Davidson et al., 2012; Rubol et al., 2013). Although we applied binary anoxic and oxic phases to soils by flushing with nitrogen or air, respectively, in reality these soil samples experienced more gradual changes in O₂ concentrations due to lagged diffusion of O₂ into soil microsites at the beginning ofoxic phases (evidenced by persistent CH₄ production; Figure 2), and possibly delayed consumption of microsite O₂ at the beginning of anoxic phases. Therefore, the response of microbial respiration to these continuous spatial and temporal gradients in O₂ availability might follow a concave, rather than binary, relationship. In this case, we would expect C mineralization to decrease with increasing O₂ variance; this prediction was consistent with our experimental data, where cumulative C decomposition decreased with increased O₂ variance from the 12- to 2-day treatments. Intriguingly, the initial calibration of the MEND model did not predict any relationship between O₂ variance and C mineralization, whereas the new calibrated MEND model did display such a relationship for the Mollisol (Figure 4), despite the fact that it did not explicitly specify a concave or convex relationship between O₂ and C mineralization (this was treated as a binary variable). This suggests that the two key calibrated parameters in the MEND model might be mechanistically related to the hypothesized concave response function of C mineralization to O₂ availability.

5 | CONCLUSION

The occurrence of shifts between anoxic and oxic conditions due to hydrological change is likely to become more frequent in many ecosystems with significant soil C stocks (e.g., croplands in humid biomes (Griffis et al., 2017), ephemeral wetlands (Knapp et al., 2008), arctic permafrost and boreal peatlands (Avis et al., 2011), and wet tropical ecosystems (O’Connell et al., 2018)), where relatively small changes in soil C storage linked to O₂ dynamics could have substantial impacts on climate change (Knoblauch et al., 2018; Schädel et al., 2016). The frequency and magnitude of O₂ fluctuations impact the rate and form (CO₂ vs. CH₄) of C released from these soils and thus impact the C-climate feedback. We showed that traditional model assumptions may substantially underestimate both C loss and CO₂-equivalent greenhouse gas emissions, driven by high CO₂ and CH₄ production that is sustained under frequent anoxic–oxic transitions over timescales of weeks–months. The results suggest that impacts of O₂ limitation on greenhouse gas emissions depend strongly on the length and temporal variance of the anoxic phase over timescales of days, a factor that is highly sensitive to interactions among physical (e.g., precipitation) and biological processes (e.g., respiration) and is not mechanistically incorporated in current C models. These findings underscore the need to improve a versatile model framework that accounts for the positive and negative impacts of O₂ limitation on C availability to microbes (e.g., organo-mineral interactions), dynamic microbial physiology under O₂ variability (e.g., decomposition resilience and sustained CH₄ production), and co-occurrence of aerobic and anaerobic metabolisms with dynamic microbial physiology that responds to the length of anoxic duration (e.g., microbial maintenance). Our model-data synthesis suggests that simple functions relating O₂ exposure to C mineralization will not necessarily capture the emergent properties of O₂ fluctuations. These attributes will be critical for accurate prediction of changes in the relative and absolute emissions of CO₂ and CH₄ in landscapes with heterogeneous O₂ availability, which have large implications for global greenhouse balances and future biosphere-climate feedbacks.
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