Lyman continuum escape fraction in Lyα emitters at $z \simeq 3.1$
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ABSTRACT

We measure the Lyman continuum (LyC) escape fraction in 54 faint Lyman-alpha emitters (LAEs) at $z \simeq 3.1$ in the GOODS-South field. With the average magnitude of $R = 26.7$ AB ($M_{UV} = -18.8$ and $L \simeq 0.1L^*$), these galaxies represent a population of compact young dwarf galaxies. Their properties are likely to resemble those in the galaxies responsible for reionizing the Universe at $z > 6$. We do not detect LyC emission in any individual LAEs in the deep HST F336W images, which covers the rest-frame 820 Å. We do not detect the LyC emission of these LAEs in the stacked F336W images either. The $3\sigma$ upper limit of LyC escape fractions is $f_{\text{esc}} < 14$–32 per cent. However, the high Lyα rest-frame equivalent width (EW), low stellar mass, and UV luminosity of these LAEs suggest that they should have $f_{\text{esc}} > 50$ per cent. The low LyC escape fraction from this work and other stacking analyses suggests that the LyC-leaking galaxies with $f_{\text{esc}} > 50$ per cent at $z = 2$–3 do not follow the relation between $f_{\text{esc}}$ and UV luminosity and Lyα EW derived from typical galaxies at similar redshifts. Therefore, the UV luminosity and Lyα EW are not the best indicators for the LyC escape fraction.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The epoch of reionization is a period when neutral hydrogen in the intergalactic medium (IGM) was ionized by the first-generation energetic sources in the Universe. Current observations have relatively well constrained the cosmic reionization history, which occurred at the redshift of $z = 7$–10 and finished largely by $z = 6$ (e.g. Fan, Carilli & Keating 2006a; Fan et al. 2006b; Stark, Ellis & Ouchi 2011; Robertson et al. 2013; Schroeder, Mesinger & Haiman 2013; Schenker et al. 2014; Bian et al. 2015; Bouwens et al. 2015b; Planck Collaboration XLVII 2016; Bañados et al. 2018; Itoh et al. 2018). However, it is still under debate what are the major sources that reionize the Universe due to the following two main uncertainties: (1) the faint-end luminosity function of star-forming galaxies (e.g. Bouwens et al. 2017; Atek et al. 2018) and active galactic nuclei (e.g. Giallongo et al. 2015; Boutsia et al. 2018; McGreer et al. 2018; Matsuoka et al. 2018) at high redshifts, and (2) Lyman continuum (LyC) escape fraction ($f_{\text{esc}}$) in galaxies, the fraction of the ionizing photons ($<912$ Å) that can escape from a galaxy to reach the IGM. If star-forming galaxies are the major sources that reionize the Universe, it requires an LyC escape fraction of at least $f_{\text{esc}} = 0.2$ at the epoch of reionization (e.g. Robertson et al. 2013; Naidu et al. 2019), by adopting a typical IGM clumping factor (e.g. Pawlik, Schaye & van Scherpenzeel 2009), galaxy luminosity function at $z = 7$ (e.g. Atek et al. 2015, 2018; Bouwens et al. 2015a, 2017; Livermore, Finkelstein & Lotz 2017), and LyC photon production efficiency (e.g. Bouwens et al. 2016; Chevallard et al. 2018; Tang et al. 2019). However, LyC escape fraction cannot be directly measured in galaxies beyond $z = 4.5$ due to the high opacity of the IGM to LyC ionizing photons (e.g. Vanzella et al. 2018). Thus, we have to infer the LyC escape in the galaxies at the epoch of the reionization based on either directly measuring LyC escape fraction in galaxies at lower redshift or correlating galaxy spatial positions with the Lyman-alpha forest at $z > 6$ (e.g. Kakiichi et al. 2018). In the last decade, people have conducted extensive studies of LyC escape fraction in galaxies at $z < 4$ using a number of different approaches, including the rest-frame ultraviolet spectroscopy (e.g. Steidel, Pettini & Adelberger 2001; Shapley et al. 2006, 2016; Leitet et al. 2013; Nestor et al. 2013; Izotov et al. 2016a,b; Leitherer et al. 2016; Steidel et al. 2018) and narrow/intermediate/broad-band UV imaging (e.g. Siana et al. 2007, 2015; Vanzella et al. 2010, 2016; Nestor et al. 2011; Cooke et al. 2014; Rutkowski et al. 2016, 2017; Vasei et al. 2016; Bian et al. 2017; Japelj et al. 2017; Naidu et al. 2017; Fletcher et al. 2019; Ji et al. 2019). However, accurately measuring the escape fraction remains difficult. Most of these studies yielded null or tentative detection of LyC emission. Furthermore, studies based on ground-based observations suffer from foreground contamination, resulting in overestimating the LyC escape fraction. To date, there are only a few convincing detections of LyC emission in galaxies at $z \sim 3$, including Ion2 and Ion3 (Vanzella et al. 2016, 2018), Q1549–C25 (Shapley et al. 2016), A2218-Flanking (Bian et al. 2017), and Sunburst Arc (Rivera-Thorsen et al. 2019). In addition,
the LyC escape fraction measured in individual galaxies has a large uncertainty due to the opacity variations of the line of sight of IGM and galaxy ISM (Cen & Kimm 2015). Furthermore, the high LyC escape fraction measured in individual objects is not necessarily representing the LyC escape fraction of typical galaxies at the epoch of reionization.

Studies have shown that the high-redshift galaxy luminosity function is steep at the faint end; thus, sub-L∗ galaxies dominate the UV emission at the epoch of reionization. It is essential to push the LyC escape fraction measurement to faint galaxies. In this study, we measure the LyC escape fraction in a sample of Ly α emitters (LAEs) at z ≃ 3.1 with L ∼ 0.1 L∗ in the GOODS-South field (Dickinson, Giavalisco & GOODS Team 2003). The redshift of these LAEs has been accurately measured by the MUSE Hubble Ultra-Deep Field (HUDF) survey and the MUSE-Wide survey based on their Ly α emission lines (Japelj et al. 2017; Urrutia et al. 2019). Their LyC emission is well covered by the deep HST/WFC3 F336W images from the Hubble Deep UV Legacy Survey (HUDF; Oesch et al. 2018).

Throughout this paper, we use the following cosmological parameters: Hubble constant H0 = 70 km s−1 Mpc−1, dark matter density ΩM = 0.30, and dark energy density ΩΛ = 0.70 for a flat universe. All the magnitudes are expressed in the AB magnitude system (Oke & Gunn 1983).

2 SAMPLE SELECTION

In this study, we use the deep HST/WFC3 F336W images to measure the LyC escape fraction in a sample of LAEs at z ≃ 3.1 in the GOODS-South field. We use the HST/WFC3 F336W imaging data from the HUDUV survey (Oesch et al. 2018). The HUDUV survey is a deep UV imaging legacy survey covering a total area of about 100 arcmin2 in the two GOODS fields in the F275W and F336W bands. The depths of the F275W and F336W bands are 27.6 and 28.0 (5σ), respectively. The deep F275W and F336W images from the HUDUV survey have been used to study the LyC escape fraction in galaxies at z ∼ 2 and 3, respectively (Japelj et al. 2017; Naidu et al. 2017; Rutkowski et al. 2017). In particular, Japelj et al. (2017) studied the LyC escape fraction in a sample of galaxies at z = 3–4 selected in 9 arcmin2 MUSE HUDF survey field using the HUDUV F336W image and found the relative escape fraction fesc,rel < 0.6 for galaxies with 0.1L∗. Here, we extend the study to a 44 arcmin2 area using the newly published MUSE-Wide survey (Urrutia et al. 2019).

The MUSE-Wide survey is an integral field spectroscopic survey, which largely overlaps with the HUDUV in the GOODS-South field. Thanks to the VLT/MUSE integral field spectrograph (Bacon et al. 2010), this survey is able to detect galaxies without photometric pre-selection; thus, it provides a high complete rate on detecting galaxy coordinates from the MUSE-Wide survey, because there exist small offsets (∼0.3 arcsec) between the MUSE coordinates and the HST coordinates (Urrutia et al. 2019). The stacked F336W image is generated by combining the F336W stamp images of all 54 LAEs using the mean flux at each pixel (Fig. 1). We measure the LyC flux in the F336W stacked image using a 0.7 arcsec diameter aperture and find that LyC flux is not detected at a 3σ level. The 3σ flux upper limit in the F336W band is <0.002 μJy, which corresponds to >30.64 AB mag. We use the flux in the HST/ACS F606W image, which corresponds to the rest-frame wavelength of 1500 Å, as an anchor point to estimate the intrinsic LyC emission at 820 Å. We use the ACS F606W images from the 3D-HST data release 4.5.1 (Momcheva et al. 2016). We combine the F606W images for the LAEs following the same procedure used for the F336W images (Fig. 1). Then the F606W flux is measured in the final combined F606W images using a 0.7 arcsec diameter aperture. This aperture size is the same as used in the 3D-HST survey (Skelton et al. 2014), which includes a large fraction of the flux from the object and avoids flux from the neighbouring objects. The flux density of the F606W band is 0.074 ± 0.001 μJy. The apparent magnitude in the F606W band is 26.72 ± 0.01 AB mag, and the absolute magnitude at the rest-frame wavelength of 1500 Å is MUV = −18.86, which corresponds to 0.1L∗ at z ∼ 3 (e.g. Bian et al. 2013).

We measure the relative LyC escape fraction fesc

\[ f_{\text{esc,rel}} = \frac{L_{\text{1500}}}{L_{\text{820}}} \times \exp(\tau_{\text{IGM,820}}) \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

3 THE LYMAN CONTINUUM ESCAPE FRACTION IN LAES AT Z=3.1

The HST/WFC3 F336W image covers the LyC emission at the rest-frame wavelength of ∼820 Å in the LAEs at z ≃ 3.1. None of the 54 Lyα-emitting galaxies (LAEs) is detected in the F336W image at 3σ. Therefore, we try to detect and measure the LyC emission by stacking the F336W images. We generate a 10 arcsec × 10 arcsec stamp image in F336W for each individual LAE based on the galaxy coordinate measured in the F606W images. Here, we do not use the galaxy coordinate from the MUSE-Wide survey, because there exist small offsets (∼0.3 arcsec) between the MUSE coordinates and the HST coordinates (Urrutia et al. 2019). The stacked F336W image is generated by combining the F336W stamp images of all 54 LAEs using the mean flux at each pixel (Fig. 1). We measure the LyC flux in the F336W stacked image using a 0.7 arcsec diameter aperture and find that LyC flux is not detected at a 3σ level. The 3σ flux upper limit in the F336W band is <0.002 μJy, which corresponds to >30.64 AB mag. We use the flux in the HST/ACS F606W image, which corresponds to the rest-frame wavelength of 1500 Å, as an anchor point to estimate the intrinsic LyC emission at 820 Å. We use the ACS F606W images from the 3D-HST data release 4.5.1 (Momcheva et al. 2016). We combine the F606W images for the LAEs following the same procedure used for the F336W images (Fig. 1). Then the F606W flux is measured in the final combined F606W images using a 0.7 arcsec diameter aperture. This aperture size is the same as used in the 3D-HST survey (Skelton et al. 2014), which includes a large fraction of the flux from the object and avoids flux from the neighbouring objects. The flux density of the F606W band is 0.074 ± 0.001 μJy. The apparent magnitude in the F606W band is 26.72 ± 0.01 AB mag, and the absolute magnitude at the rest-frame wavelength of 1500 Å is M_{UV} = −18.86, which corresponds to 0.1L∗ at z ∼ 3 (e.g. Bian et al. 2013).

We measure the relative LyC escape fraction f_{\text{esc}}

\[ f_{\text{esc,rel}} = \frac{L_{\text{1500}}}{L_{\text{820}}} \times \exp(\tau_{\text{IGM,820}}) \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

1https://3dhst.research.yale.edu/Data.php
and absolute LyC escape fraction

\[ f_{\text{esc}} = f_{\text{esc,rel}} \times 10^{-0.4A_{1500}}, \]

where \( f_{\text{1500/820}} \) is the observed flux density ratio between 1500 and 820 Å measured in the HST F606W and F336W images. \( L_{1500}/L_{820} \) is the intrinsic luminosity density ratio between 1500 and 820 Å. Here, we adopt two \( L_{1500}/L_{820} \) values, \( L_{1500}/L_{820} = 3 \) and 7, to cover a wide range of possible star formation history (e.g. Siana et al. 2007; Chisholm et al. 2019), \( \exp(T_{\text{IGM,820}}) \equiv 1/T \) is the mean IGM opacity at 820 Å at \( z = 3.1 \) (e.g. Madau 1995), and \( T \) is the mean IGM transmission. In this study, we adopt the Inoue et al. (2014) recipe to estimate the mean IGM opacity and find \( \exp(T_{\text{IGM,820}}) \equiv 3.84 \) at \( z = 3.1 \), corresponding to \( T = 0.26 \). \( A_{1500} \) represents the total dust extinction at 1500 Å, which is estimated from the median extinction at the \( V \) band, \( A_V = 0.18 \), by adopting the Gordon et al. (2003) Small Magellanic Cloud (SMC) dust extinction curve. At last, we find that the 3σ upper limits of average LyC escape fractions in this sample of LAEs are \( f_{\text{esc}} < 14 \) and 32 per cent, for \( L_{1500}/L_{820} = 3 \) and 7, respectively.

4 PHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF THE LAES

We study the physical properties of the LAEs in this work by fitting their mean spectral energy distribution (SED). We obtain the mean SED by stacking the HST F435W, F606W, F775W, F814W, F850LP, F120W, F140W, and F160W bands (orange data points).

5 DISCUSSION

5.1 LyC emission and Galaxy properties

The relation between the LyC escape fraction and galaxy properties has been established by both theoretical and observational studies (e.g. Reddy et al. 2016; Verhamme et al. 2017; Steidel et al. 2018). Galaxies with stronger Lyα emission strength, weaker interstellar absorption strength, higher [O III]/[O II] ratio, higher star formation surface density, and lower stellar mass and UV luminosity tend to have higher escape fraction (cf. Bassett et al. 2019; Ji et al. 2019). Such studies help us to better understand the physical mechanism(s) that drives the LyC leaking from a galaxy; the extrapolation of such relations to galaxies at higher redshift can be used to predict the LyC escape in galaxies at the epoch of reionization.

In this study, the galaxies are selected by their strong Lyα emission lines. These LAEs show high Lyα EW and high Lyα escape fraction. Studies have suggested that galaxies with high LyC escape fractions commonly show strong Lyα emission [EW(\text{Lyα})]...
found that in some of the cases the Ly$\alpha$ EW itself is not a good indicator of LyC escape fraction (e.g. Guaita et al. 2016, Grazian et al. 2017; Ji et al. 2019), because the Ly$\alpha$ photons can escape via pure radiative transfer effects even in a relatively high H\textsc{i} column density (e.g. $N_{\text{HI}} > 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$), which is completely optically thick for the LyC radiation (e.g. Verhamme, Schaerer & Maselli 2006). Therefore, the detailed Ly$\alpha$ profile, such as the separation of the blue and red peaks and the leaking of the Ly$\alpha$ at systematic redshift, can also provide crucial information on the LyC leaking.

### 5.2 Systematic uncertainties of LyC escape fraction

Our LyC escape fraction measurement also faces large systematic uncertainties as follows:

1. Galaxy viewing angle: Studies have shown that the LyC photons can only escape from chimneys and holes in the ISM of galaxy caved by the supernovae and other stellar feedbacks (e.g. Heckman et al. 2011). This indicates that the leaking LyC photons can only be detected in a small fraction of the solid angle of galaxies, and the LyC escape fraction measurements highly depend on the viewing angle of the galaxy. It can cause a large uncertainty on the LyC escape fraction based on the measurements in a small sample of galaxies. Cen & Kimm (2015) suggested that it requires to stack at least 100 galaxies to reduce the LyC escape fraction uncertainty down to 20 per cent.

2. IGM transmission: LyC escape fraction measurement also depends on the IGM transmission. Japelj et al. (2017) found that the $z = 3.1$ IGM transmission in the F336W band at a random line of sight is 0.26$^{+0.30}_{-0.22}$. For a sample of 54 galaxies, the uncertainty of the IGM transmission is about 15 per cent.

3. The spatial offset between the LyC and UV light centroids: The stacking strategy relies on the assumption that the stacking process, and the LyC escape fraction can be significantly underestimated.

### 6 CONCLUSIONS

In this letter, we study the LyC escape fraction in a sample of LAEs. These LAEs represent a population of compact young dwarfs at $z = 3.1$. We summarize the main results of this work as follows:

1. A sample of 54 Ly$\alpha$ emitters (LAEs) at $z = 3.02$–3.24 is selected from the MUSE Hubble Ultra Deep Field (HUDF) and MUSE MUSE-Wide integral field spectroscopic survey in the GOODS-South field based on their prominent Ly$\alpha$ emission.

2. We fit stellar synthesis models to the composite SED of these LAEs and find the stellar mass of log ($M/M_\odot$) = 8.6, the age of 120 Myr, and the SFR of 3.2 $M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$.

3. The galaxy size at the UV wavelength measured in the stacked $HST$ F606W image is 1.2 kpc, and the rest-frame EW of the Ly$\alpha$ emission is 142 Å, which is measured in the composite MUSE spectra of these LAEs.

4. The LyC emission of these LAEs is not detected at a 3σ level in the stacked HDUV deep $HST$ F336W image, covering the rest-frame wavelength of 820 Å in these LAEs. The upper limits of LyC...
escape fraction are $f_{\text{esc}} < 14$ and 32 per cent for $L_{5500}/L_{6200} =$ 3 and 7, respectively.

5. Such a low LyC escape fraction of these LAEs suggests that the LyC-leaking galaxies at $z \sim 3$ do not follow the relation of LyC escape fraction and galaxy properties, including UV luminosity and Ly $\alpha$ EW. It implies that the UV luminosity and Ly $\alpha$ EW are not the best properties to predict the LyC escape fraction, particularly for galaxies with high escape fractions.
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