Unethical not to Investigate Radiotherapy for COVID-19
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Abstract
The primum non nocere letter by Boon et al. urged caution and careful examination of the evidence and logistics of low-dose radiotherapy in COVID-19 patients. This is exactly what was requested in March 2020 when the first phase I/II clinical trial was approved at the Winship Cancer Institute, Emory University Hospital. The preprint of day-7 interim results by the investigators concluded, “In a small pilot trial of 5 oxygen-dependent patients with COVID-19 pneumonia, low-dose whole-lung radiation led to rapid improvement in clinical status, encephalopathy, and radiographic infiltrates without acute toxicity or worsening the cytokine storm. Low-dose whole-lung radiation appears to be safe, shows early promise of efficacy, and warrants larger prospective trials.” Preliminary results from another clinical trial gave similar results. In conclusion, the authors believe it would be unethical not to investigate radiotherapy as a potential remedy against COVID-19 induced pneumonia.
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The COVID-19 Rapid Letter by Boon et al. urged caution and careful examination of the evidence and logistics of low-dose radiotherapy in COVID-19 patients.¹ This is exactly what was requested in March 2020, Cuttler to Hahn letter to the FDA² and what has occurred since late April 2020 when the first phase I/II clinical trial was approved at the Winship Cancer Institute, Emory University Hospital.³ The preprint of day-7 interim results by the investigators concluded, “In a small pilot trial of 5 oxygen-dependent patients with COVID-19 pneumonia, low-dose whole-lung radiation led to rapid improvement in clinical status, encephalopathy, and radiographic infiltrates without acute toxicity or worsening the cytokine storm. Low-dose whole-lung radiation appears to be safe, shows early promise of efficacy, and warrants larger prospective trials.” In conclusion, the authors believe it would be unethical not to investigate radiotherapy as a potential remedy against COVID-19 induced pneumonia.

Overall, around 5%-10% of patients who are treated in a hospital setting die from pneumonia. Calabrese and Dhawan pointed out 7 years ago that an X-ray treatment was effective in the 1940s and suggested a focused clinical research program to assess the use of X-ray therapy as an adjunct treatment for high-risk patients.⁷ This recommendation was ignored, likely because radiotherapy is accepted only to cause biological damage, such as killing cancer cells. Would the availability of radiotherapy against acute respiratory distress syndrome have avoided many of the 685,000 deaths to date⁸ and eliminated most of the extreme precautionary measures that have been adopted worldwide during this pandemic?

A recent review of medical therapies using low doses of radiation discusses the evidence and mechanism by which radiation upregulates adaptive protection systems against many diseases.⁹ It identifies high dose thresholds for the onset of harmful effects.
Nasal radium irradiation (NRI), widely used from 1940 through 1970 to treat adenoid inflammation or ear dysfunction, was stopped because of concerns about potential adverse effects. Children are considered most vulnerable to radiation-related cancers; however, the studies did not confirm a definite link between NRI and any disease.\textsuperscript{10,11} Hence, any concerns about children being particularly sensitive to radiation are unwarranted.

Biological dosimetry determined the exposures received by 106 Chernobyl workers, who recovered from acute radiation syndrome. Twenty-two of them died during the next 19 years, a mortality rate of 1.1\% per year, lower than the 1.4\% average mortality rate in Russia in 2000. Thirty years after the accident, 26 had died, a mortality rate of 0.82\% per year. Their cancer mortality was 27\%, which is about the same as the fraction of cancer deaths among all mortality causes for Central Europe.\textsuperscript{9} A 29-year follow-up study by Tubiana et al. on a cohort of 5,000 survivors of childhood cancer\textsuperscript{12} suggested a lower than normal cancer incidence for an exposure dose below several Gy. This human evidence contradicts the concerns raised by Boon et al. about delayed health effects.\textsuperscript{1}

In conclusion, we believe it would be unethical not to investigate radiotherapy as a potential remedy against COVID-19 induced pneumonia.

\textbf{Authors' Note}

J.J.B. and S.M.J.M. have contributed to this work.

\textbf{Declaration of Conflicting Interests}

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

\textbf{Funding}

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

\textbf{ORCID iD}

Jerry M. Cuttler \href{https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9532-9818}{https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9532-9818}

\textbf{References}

1. Boon IS, Yong TPA, Boon CS. Radiotherapy for COVID-19: primum non nocere. \textit{Radiother Oncol.} 2020;149:236-237. doi:10.1016/j.radonc.2020.05.046

2. Cuttler JM. The LNT issue is about politics and economics, not safety. \textit{Dose-Response.} 2020; in press. Supplementary Material.

3. Emory-Winship Cancer Institute. Low dose chest radiation for COVID-19 patients. Press Release. Published 2020. Accessed on August 2, 2020. \url{https://winshipcancer.emory.edu/about-us/newsroom/press-releases/2020/low-dose-chest-radiation-for-covid-19-patients.html#XuxJL.2hKjik}

4. Hess CB, Buchwald ZS, Stokes W, et al. Low-dose whole-lung radiation for COVID-19 pneumonia: planned day-7 interim analysis of an ongoing clinical trial. \textit{medRxiv}. Preprint. Accessed on August 2, 2020. \url{https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.06.03.2116988v1}

5. Ameri A, Rahnana N, Bozorgmehr R, et al. Low-dose whole-lung irradiation for COVID-19 pneumonia: short course results. \textit{Research Square}. Preprint. Accessed on August 2, 2020. \url{https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-40507/v1}

6. US National Library of Medicine. ClinicalTrials.gov. Search criteria: COVID-19 and low dose radiation. 2020. Accessed August 2, 2020. \url{https://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/results?cond=COVID-19&term=low-dose+radiation&cntry=&state=&city=&dist=}

7. Calabrese EJ, Dhawan G. How radiotherapy was historically used to treat pneumonia: could it be useful today? \textit{Yale J Biol Med.} 2013;86(4):555-570. \url{https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3848110/}

8. Johns Hopkins University. COVID-19 Dashboard by the CSSE. Accessed August 2, 2020. \url{https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/map.html}

9. Cuttler JM. Application of low doses of ionizing radiation in medical therapies. \textit{Dose-Response.} 2020;18(1):1559325819895739. \url{https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/1559325819895739}

10. National Cancer Institute. Nasopharyngeal radium irradiation (NRI) and cancer: Fact sheet. U.S. HHS NIH. Published 2003. Accessed August 2, 2020. \url{https://stacks.stanford.edu/file/druid:st37o4366/Fs3_87.pdf}

11. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Radiation and Your Health, NRI: General Information. U.S. HHS. Published 2014. Accessed August 2, 2020. \url{https://www.cdc.gov/nceh/radiation/nri/default.htm}

12. Tubiana M, Diallo I, Chavaudra J, et al. A new method of assessing the dose-carcinogenic effect relationship in patients exposed to ionizing radiation, a concise presentation of preliminary data. \textit{Health Phys.} 2011;100(3):296.