Nitrite is one of the main pollutants in the water worldwide. In this study, we have applied the reverse suspension crosslinking methodology based on chitosan (CS) and Fe₃O₄ (FeO) to synthesize the novel magnetic nanomaterial of chitosan (CS-FeO). The physical and chemical properties of CS-FeO were further characterized by scanning electron microscopy, particle size distribution, thermogravimetry, fluxgate magnetometer, Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy, X-ray diffraction spectroscopy, and energy dispersive spectroscopy. Results revealed that CS-FeO showed high thermal stability in the temperature ranging from 50 to 200°C. CS-FeO showed high crystallinity and magnetism and was easily and quickly separated from aqueous solution in the presence of an external magnetic field. The molecular structure of CS-FeO showed that the core-shell structure of CS-FeO was established with FeO as the core and CS as the shell. Furthermore, the adsorption rate of nitrite by CS-FeO reached 65.83 ± 0.76% under optimal conditions. Moreover, CS-FeO showed high regeneration capability with Na₂SO₄ used as the eluent. Our study demonstrated evidently that CS-FeO can be potentially used to remove nitrite from drinking water sources and industrial wastewater, suggesting the promising future of the application of CS-derived magnetic nanomaterials in the areas of environmental protections.

1. Introduction

With the rapid development of industrialization and urbanization, large amounts of pollutants are released into the natural environments, resulting in environmental pollutions and degradations [1–4]. Nitrite is not only one of the intermediate products in the nitrogen cycle in the natural environment but also one of the main pollutants in the drinking water sources worldwide [5]. The increasing content of nitrite in both the surface water and groundwater has received extensive attention due to its significant impact on human health and ecosystems [6, 7]. It is well known that nitrite can severely reduce the capability of hemoglobin to exchange oxygen by participating in the interaction of oxygen transport in the body [8, 9]. Studies have shown that the acute toxicity of nitrite is ten times higher than that of nitrate [10]. The increase of nitrite in the aquatic ecosystem has shown severe detrimental effects on the environment, leading to the acceleration of environmental eutrophication, the imbalance of species distribution in the ecosystem, the growth of a single species, the destruction of the system’s material cycle and energy flow, and ultimately the pollution and deterioration of the ecosystem [11, 12]. However, due to the improper treatment of various industrial and domestic sewages, the incomplete abiotic and nitrification/denitrification processes in the soil have caused a significant increase in the concentration of nitrite in both surface water and groundwater systems such as rivers, lakes, and other major sources of drinking water in the world [13]. In order to remove the nitrite from water, several categories of technologies have been developed, including physical, chemical, electrical, and biological methods, such as adsorption [14–18], ion exchange [19], electrochemistry [20], biological denitrification [21, 22], and catalytic reduction [23, 24]. However, due to its high solubility and easy diffusion...
in water, nitrite is generally difficult to be removed from the environments. At present, biological denitrification and ion exchange are two commonly used treatment methods, which are limited by several disadvantages such as the generation of a large amount of excess sludge and brine during the treatment process, low removal efficiency, and nonreusable treatment materials. Adsorption is now considered as the most promising technology of removing nitrite due to its high reusability of treatment materials, high cost-effectiveness, and flexible design and operation [18]. Among many types of adsorbents, the natural adsorbents have received increasing attention because of their low cost and nearly no pollution in comparison to chemical adsorbents.

Chitosan (CS) is a type of nontoxic natural polymer and low-priced environmental protection material [25]. CS is mainly produced by deacetylation of chitin in the exoskeletons of some animals (i.e., crustaceans and insects) and in the cell walls of plants, algae, and fungi. The chemical name of CS is poly (beta-(1,4)-2-amino-2-deoxy-D-glucose), mainly produced by deacetylation of chitin in the exoskeletons of some animals (i.e., crustaceans and insects) and in the cell walls of plants, algae, and fungi. The chemical name of CS is poly (beta-(1,4)-2-amino-2-deoxy-D-glucose), containing amino cation and showing several beneficial properties such as hydrophilicity, nontoxicity, biodegradability, biocompatibility, and bacteriostasis [26]. CS contains a unique polycation component with a large number of amino and hydroxyl groups located on the surface of its molecular structure. These amino and hydroxyl groups contain lone pair electrons, which chelate with Cu$^{2+}$, Cr$^{2+}$, Cd$^{2+}$, Pb$^{2+}$, and other heavy metal ions through coordination to form stable chelates. Therefore, CS shows high selective adsorption for heavy metal ions [25, 27–30].

The CS-derived magnetic nanomaterials are composed of CS polymer matrix and a dispersed phase containing magnetic nanoparticles. Due to their remarkable biological and chemical properties, the CS-derived magnetic nanomaterials have been widely investigated as convenient materials of magnetic carriers [31]. Magnetic nanomaterials have the advantages of extremely high surface area to volume ratio, high adsorption capacity, simple recycling, high reusability and superparamagnetism, and convenient surface modification [32, 33]. However, in practical applications, the magnetic nanomaterials show the disadvantageous characteristics of poor stability, poor biocompatibility, and easy oxidization. These problems could be potentially solved by the CS-derived magnetic nanomaterials obtained by combining both CS and magnetic nanomaterials to maintain the advantages of these two types of materials to compensate the disadvantages of a single material along in the practical application. The magnetic nanomaterials could be separated, recycled, and recycled easily and quickly by the external magnetic field, solving the problem of difficult recycle of the adsorbent CS along. The CS-derived magnetic nanomaterials have already been widely applied in various fields, such as water treatment [34] and antibacterial [35], and used as biomedical carriers [36, 37] and active substance carriers [38, 39]. Studies have shown that CS-derived magnetic nanomaterials have shown significant effects on the treatment of heavy metal ions in water [40]. To date, studies on the treatment of nitrite in water using CS-derived magnetic nanomaterials are lacking.

In our study, we used the reverse suspension crosslinking method based on CS and Fe$_3$O$_4$ (FeO) to prepare the CS-derived magnetic nanomaterials (CS-FeO). The molecular structures and the chemical and physical properties of CS-FeO were analyzed and characterized by scanning electron microscopy (SEM), energy dispersive spectrum (EDS), particle size distribution analyzer, thermogravimetric analysis (TGA), Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), X-ray diffraction spectroscopy (XRD), and fluxgate magnetometer (FM). Our goals were to use the response surface method (RSM) to optimize the adsorption conditions of nitrite in water by CS-FeO, including the adsorption time, the amount of adsorbent, and the adsorption temperature. We have also investigated the regeneration conditions of CS-FeO to explore the potential application of CS-FeO in the removal of nitrite from the water sources. Our results demonstrated clearly that CS-FeO can be potentially used to remove nitrite from drinking water sources and industrial wastewater, indicating the prospective future of the application of CS-derived magnetic nanomaterials in environmental protections.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Chemicals. Chitosan (deacetylation 85-95%) was purchased from China Pharmaceutical Group Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). The iron oxide (Fe$_3$O$_4$; nanograde) was purchased from Sigma Chemical Co., Ltd. (St. Louis, MO, USA). All other chemical reagents of analytical grade were purchased from Beijing Chemical Reagent Factory (Beijing, China).

2.2. Synthesis of Chitosan-Iron Oxide Magnetic Nanomaterials. The CS-iron oxide magnetic nanomaterials (CS-FeO) were prepared and characterized using a modified method based on a previous study [41]. The optimal preparation conditions of CS-FeO were identified based on the examination of the nitrite adsorption rate in water under the single-factor experiments. A total of 3 g of CS was dissolved in 200 mL of the acetic acid solution (2%) by stirring to prepare the CS stock solution. A total of 0.30 g of FeO was dissolved into 2 mL of polyethylene glycol 400 and added to the CS solution (200 mL), respectively, with the pH value adjusted to 9.0. A total of 60 mL of liquid paraffin used as dispersant was added to the mixture of CS and FeO at a constant speed for 20 min at room temperature. Then, a total of 20 mL of formaldehyde (i.e., the crosslinking agent) solution was added to the reaction system, stirred constantly at 40°C for 30 min, and then heated to 60°C. Finally, a total of 6 mL of glutaraldehyde (i.e., the crosslinking agent) solution was added to the reaction system and stirred continuously for 2 h. To determine the optimal pH value for the synthesis of CS-FeO, a total of 0.30 g of FeO was dissolved into 2 mL of polyethylene glycol 400 and added to the CS solution (200 mL), respectively, with the pH value adjusted to 8.0, 8.5, 9.0, 9.5, and 1.0, respectively. A total of 60 mL of liquid paraffin was added to the mixture of CS and FeO by stirring at a constant speed for 20 min at room temperature. Then, a
total of 20 mL of formaldehyde solution was added to the reaction system, stirred constantly at 40°C for 30 min, and then heated to 60°C. Finally, a total of 6 mL of glutaraldehyde solution was added to the reaction system and stirred continuously for 2 h. To determine the optimal temperature for the synthesis of CS-FeO, a total of 0.30 g of FeO was dissolved into 2 mL of polyethylene glycol 400 and added to the CS solution (200 mL), with the pH value adjusted to 9.0. A total of 60 mL of liquid paraffin was added to the mixture of CS and FeO by stirring at a constant speed for 20 min at room temperature. Then, a total of 20 mL of formaldehyde solution was added to the reaction system, stirred constantly at 40°C for 30 min, and then heated to 50°C, 55°C, 60°C, 65°C, and 70°C, respectively. Finally, a total of 6 mL of glutaraldehyde solution was added to the reaction system and stirred continuously for 2 h. The CS-FeO magnetic suspensions obtained by stirring were washed sequentially with petroleum ether and absolute ethanol and then filtered under negative pressure, repeated three times, until the washings were neutral. The filter residue was placed in a flat dish, dried, and ground to obtain the powder of the CS-FeO nanomagnetic materials.

2.4. Characterization of the Chemical and Physical Properties of CS-FeO

2.4.1. SEM Observation. All samples were observed using an ESEM FEG scanning electron microscope (XL-30, FEI Company, USA). Samples were evenly dispersed on a sample stage covered with a double-sided adhesive and sprayed with gold powder. The accelerated voltage was set to 20.0 kV with each sample observed at ×300, ×5,000, and ×20,000 magnifications, respectively.

2.4.2. Particle Size Distribution Analysis. Samples (2 g) were dissolved in ultrapure water (100 mL) to make a suspension solution (2%). The particle size distribution of each sample was measured by a laser particle size analyzer (Mastersizer 3000, Malvern Instruments Co., Ltd., UK) with a scattering rate of 35% and scattering intensity of 10–20%.

2.4.3. Thermogravimetric Analysis. In the thermogravimetric analysis (TGA), the sample (5 mg) was placed on an aluminum sample plate of the thermogravimetric analyzer (TGA4000, PerkinElmer, USA). Nitrogen (99.999%) with a flow rate of 30 mL/min was used as a carrier gas. The detection temperature was raised from 50°C to 800°C at a heating rate of 10°C/min.

2.4.4. Fluxgate Magnetometer Analysis. In the fluxgate magnetometer analysis (FMA), the sample (1 g) was placed in a nonmagnetic Eppendorf tube (1.5 mL) and slowly and repeatedly passed through the induction gantry of the fluxgate magnetometer (Ctm-w, Beijing Zero Magnetic Technology Co., Ltd., Beijing, China) to measure the range of the magnetic strength of the sample.

2.4.5. Energy Dispersive Spectrum Analysis. The microstructure of CS-FeO was observed with a SEM (XL-30, FEI Company, USA) with its energy dispersion spectrum recorded simultaneously.

2.4.6. Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy Analysis. The infrared spectral analysis was performed using an FTIR spectrometer (IR Prestige-21, Shimadzu, Japan). The sample of 2 mg was dried with 200 mg potassium bromide at 105°C, mixed uniformly, and compacted into a disk for the collection of infrared spectra at 4,000–400/cm. The spectra were recorded with a resolution of 4/cm and a coaddition of 16 scans, analyzed using IR Solution (Shimadzu Optics Ltd., Japan).

2.4.7. X-Ray Diffraction Spectroscopy Analysis. The X-ray diffraction patterns were recorded on a diffractometer (PW1820, Philips, New York, USA) with a Cu-Ka radiation for crystalline phase identification. The sample was scanned from 20° to 80° and operated at the current of 40 kV/40 mA.

2.5. Optimization of Adsorption Conditions of Nitrite by CS-FeO. Optimization of adsorption conditions of nitrite by CS-FeO was performed according to a previously reported method by Lv et al. [43] with some minor modifications. First, the single-factor experiments of the nitrite adsorption were conducted. To determine the optimal content of CS-FeO, a total of 25 mL of sodium nitrite solution (100 mg/L) was added into the Erlenmeyer flask containing 160, 200, 240, 280, and 320 mg of CS-FeO, respectively, with the reactions shaken in a water bath at 120 r/min and 50°C for 5 h. To determine the optimal adsorption temperature, a total of 25 mL of sodium nitrite solution (100 mg/L) was added into the Erlenmeyer flask containing 240 mg of CS-FeO, with the reactions shaken in a water bath at 120 r/min for 5 h at 30°C, 40°C, 50°C, 60°C, and 70°C, respectively. To determine the optimal adsorption time, a total of 25 mL of sodium nitrite solution (100 mg/L) was added into the
Erlenmeyer flask containing 240 mg of CS-FeO, with the reactions shaken in a water bath at 120 r/min and 50°C for 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7 h, respectively. After centrifugation at 3,000 r/min for 10 min at room temperature, the supernatant (200 μL) was placed in a 25 mL colorimetric tube with a stopper. After the dilution of 50 times, 2 mL P-sulfanilic acid solution were added to mix well for 3–5 min; then, 1 mL naphthalene ethylenediamine hydrochloride solution was added to the reaction, and finally, distilled water was used to make the final volume of 25 mL, mixed well and kept in the dark for 25 min. The absorbance was measured with a spectrophotometer at 540 nm to determine the nitrite adsorption rate. Then, a three-factor three-level RSM analysis was performed based on the results of the single-factor experiments using the Box-Behnken central combination test design. The nitrite adsorption rate (Y) was the response value with three response factors, including the amount of adsorbent (factor A), the adsorption temperature (factor B), and adsorption time (factor C).

2.6. Regeneration of CS-FeO. A regeneration test of CS-FeO was carried out based on the optimal adsorption conditions. The adsorbed CS-FeO was precipitated by an external magnetic field, and the supernatant was removed. A total of 25 mL of NaCl (1 M), NaSO₄ (1 M), and NaOH (1 M) solutions was added as eluents for elution, shaken at 35°C for 2 h, respectively. After the eluent was removed, the fixed concentration of sodium nitrite solution was added to readсорb with the adsorption rate calculated again and compared with that prior to the regeneration. The regeneration experiments were repeated 6 times to evaluate the adsorption effect.

2.7. Statistical Analysis. All experiments were performed thrice, and data were presented as mean ± standard deviation. Differences between groups of samples were evaluated by analysis of variance (ANOVA) using SPSS v.25.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Significant differences among treatments were evaluated using Duncan’s multiple range test (P < 0.05).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Physical and Chemical Properties of CS-FeO

3.1.1. Synthesis of CS-FeO. The optimal synthesis parameters of the CS-FeO included pH value of 9.5, FeO content of 0.30 g, and temperature at 65°C. Under these conditions, the adsorption rate of nitrite reached 58.07%. Studies have shown that CS-FeO has been widely synthesized to carry out various biological functions. For example, Bharathi et al. reported the preparation of CS-FeO nanocomposite using rutin with a facile greener route [41]. Results revealed that the prepared nanoparticles showed potential antibacterial activity against both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria [41]. Similarly, Soares et al. prepared CS-FeO nanoparticles of different molecular weights by chemical precipitation and thermal decomposition and further investigated the thermal and magnetic properties of the synthesized materials [44]. Furthermore, Hastak et al. applied the coprecipitation technique to synthesize and characterize the superparamagnetic CS-FeO nanoparticles to show its antioxidant properties [45]. Moreover, Nehra et al. synthesized chitosan-iron oxide particles by coprecipitation technology and further assessed their antibacterial and antifungal activities [46]. However, the reports on the treatment of nitrite in water by CS-FeO nanoparticles are still lacking. We prepared CS-FeO using the reverse suspension crosslinking methodology to further investigate its adsorption properties of nitrite in water.

3.1.2. SEM Observation. The microscopic morphological characteristics of CS, FeO, and CS-FeO were analyzed by SEM (Figure 1). Results revealed that CS showed a smooth surface and no voids (Figures 1(c), 1(f), and 1(i)), while many regular particles (i.e., mainly round crystals) were observed on the surface of FeO (Figures 1(a), 1(d), and 1(g)). CS-FeO showed an uneven surface (Figures 1(b), 1(e), and 1(h)). Compared with CS and FeO, the surface characteristics of CS-FeO were more suitable for adsorbing target substances. Specifically, a large amount of granular substances was observed on the surface of CS-FeO, which was attributed to the FeO embedded in the CS matrix. Through the package of the matrix, the particles showed irregular shapes, mainly rhombus and ellipse. Similar findings of these surface characteristics were also reported in CS-derived magnetic materials [27]. However, the CS-FeO magnetic nanoparticles synthesized by Bharathi et al. [41] showed agglomerated rod-like grains. These differences may be caused by the different synthetic materials used and methods of synthesizing the CS-FeO. It was noted that the sizes of Fe₃O₄ particles (Figure 1(g)) and the CS-FeO nanoparticles (Figure 1(h)) were different. This was due to the random crosslinking and loading processes between CS and FeO during the synthesis of CS-FeO, while the sizes of FeO particles were in the range of 0.1–10 μm.

3.1.3. Particle Size Distribution Analysis. The particle size distribution of each product is shown in Figure 2. The particle size distribution of CS was uniform and in accordance with normal distribution, while the particle size distributions of both FeO and CS-FeO were not uniform. The median D₅₀ values for CS-FeO and CS were 72.18 μm and 85.38 μm, respectively. The specific surface area of CS-FeO was much larger (170.3 m²/kg) than that of CS (50.69 m²/kg), indicating that CS-FeO showed more suitable surface characteristics for the adsorption of target substances than those of CS. Therefore, it was reasonable to speculate that CS-FeO could be an appropriate candidate of adsorbents for treating nitrite in water sources. Varied results were reported by Cheng et al. [47] who synthesized rod-shaped FeO nanoparticles with different sizes ranging from 50 to 120 nm, while the magnetic nanoparticles with average diameter less than 10 nm of the precipitate were produced by the inverse microemulsion method [48, 49]. These inconsistent results were probably due to the fact that raw materials of CS used in our study were not at nanograde.
Figure 1: SEM images of (a, d, g) iron oxide, (c, f, i) chitosan, and (b, e, h) CS-FeO with a sample thickness of ~0.1 μm. ×300 magnification in (a)–(c); ×5,000 in (d)–(f); ×20,000 in (g)–(i).
3.1.4. Thermogravimetric Analysis. Both thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) and derivative thermogravimetric analysis (DTGA) are generally used to monitor the physicochemical changes of polymers. In our study, the results of thermal stability of the samples assessed by TGA and DTGA revealed that FeO showed a little weight loss as temperature increased from 50 to 800°C, while CS showed slightly water loss from 50 to 270°C but the most severe weight loss at 295°C (Figure 3). CS-FeO lost some water from 50 to 201°C with the most weight loss at 234°C. The main reason for the weight loss at both 234°C and 295°C was probably due to the depolymerization and pyrolysis of glycosides in CS [50]. These results revealed that CS-FeO showed high thermal stability than CS in the temperature range of 50 to 200°C, which was sufficient for the application of CS-FeO in water treatment. Similar findings were reported previously, showing the high thermal stability of CS magnetic nanoparticles at temperature range of 80 to 200°C [27].

3.1.5. Fluxgate Magnetometer Analysis. The results of magnetic intensity of each sample measured with a fluxgate magnetometer (FM) showed that CS was nearly nonmagnetic, while the magnetic strength of FeO and CS-FeO ranged from −0.5 to 1.8 nT and from −1.0 to 4.0 nT, respectively (Figure 4). Compared with FeO, the magnetic strength of CS-FeO was significantly improved. This was the first time that the significant improvement in the magnetic strength of CS-FeO was reported in our study. Studies have shown that a value of 5.79 emu/g for the saturation magnetization of the magnetic properties of CS nanoparticles was measured by a vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM) [27]. This value was far less than that reported for FeO (36.941 emu/g) by Li et al. [51]. The magnetic saturation intensity of the CS magnetic adsorbent prepared by Vu et al. [52] and Cao et al. [53] was 23.7 emu/g and 17.1 emu/g, respectively, both of which were less than the value of FeO measured in our study. Generally, it is expected that the magnetic value of Fe₃O₄ is higher than that of CS-FeO. These inconsistent results revealed in our study may be caused by the different synthesizing methods of the nanomaterials in these studies. Specifically, in our study, there was a long period of high-speed stirring of the reaction system during the synthesis process, which probably increased the paramagnetism of CS-FeO, leading to higher magnetic strength in CS-FeO than that in FeO. Consequently, under the action of an external magnetic field, CS-FeO was easily and quickly separated from the aqueous solution (Figure 4). These results revealed that CS-FeO showed sound magnetic separation, indicating that CS-FeO can be easily separated and recovered in the practical applications. Therefore, the potential use of CS-FeO as an adsorbent could significantly reduce the cost of nitrite treatment, solving the problem in the traditional methods that the materials of nitrite treatment cannot be recycled.

3.2. Spectroscopic Characterization of CS-FeO

3.2.1. Energy Dispersive Spectrum Analysis. The energy dispersive spectrum (EDS) layered images observed under SEM (×2,000) and the spectrogram results of CS-FeO are shown in Figure 5. Results showed that CS-FeO contained a clear core of Fe (Figures 5(a) and 5(b)), while elements carbon (C) and oxygen (O) (Figures 5(c) and 5(d)) formed a shell outside the core. Based on the EDS of CS-FeO, the proportions of the surface elements of CS-FeO were C (66.51%), C (29.89%), and Fe (3.60%). The positions of two Fe characteristic peaks in the spectrum indicated the existence of FeO in the sample (Figure 5(e)). The presence of CS polymer in the prepared nanocomposite materials was further supported by the detection of elements C and O in the EDS. These results indicated that CS-FeO contained a core-shell structure with FeO as the core and CS as the shell. This structure was expected to enhance the molecular stability of CS-FeO and further enhance its stability in practical application as well.

3.2.2. FTIR Analysis. The FTIR spectra of CS, FeO, and CS-FeO (Figure 6) showed that the structure of the CS...
functional group in CS-FeO maintained with the addition of FeO. These results were consistent with those reported previously showing the consistency between the infrared spectra of CS and CS-derived magnetic materials, indicating that the structure of CS was not altered during the synthesis of CS-FeO [27, 41]. Based on these results, it was predicted that the functional groups of CS were not interrupted once complexed with metal ions (FeO). The absorption peaks of CS and CS-FeO near 3,450 cm\(^{-1}\) was previously designated as O-H stretching vibration [54], while the N-H and N-O stretching vibrations were located around 1,660 cm\(^{-1}\) [55]. The absorption peaks of CS and CS-FeO near 1,375 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1,080 cm\(^{-1}\) were mainly vibrations of C-O and C-C and bending vibrations of C-C-H and C-O-H, respectively [56, 57]. The absorption peak at 585 cm\(^{-1}\) was determined as the characteristic absorption peak of FeO [58]. In our study, an absorption peak was observed at 572 cm\(^{-1}\) (Figure 6), indicating that FeO was successfully incorporated into the structure of CS-FeO. In the spectrum of CS-FeO, a new absorption peak was observed at 1,568 cm\(^{-1}\), which was the characteristic peak of -NHCO. These results suggested that the NH\(_2\) of CS was opened and crosslinked during the synthesis process, forming the core-shell structure of CS-FeO [27]. In our study, significant changes in the peak shape and signal intensity of CS and CS-FeO were observed in the range of 2,000-800 cm\(^{-1}\) (Figure 6). These changes were probably due to the decrease of the single substance content ratio after the CS and FeO were mixed.

3.2.3. XRD Analysis. The XRD patterns of CS, FeO, and CS-FeO are shown in Figure 7. As a type of amorphous polymer, the diffraction pattern of CS was not clearly observed to
show the significant diffraction peak at $2\theta = 28.24^\circ$, which was attributed to the molecular hydrogen bonding in CS [59]. A total of 6 evident diffraction peaks were observed in the FeO diffraction pattern. Compared with the available data kept at the Joint Committee on Powder Diffraction Standards (JCPDC) database (PDF No. 65-3107), these 6 diffraction peaks of FeO were identified as 30.08°, 35.54°, 43.16°, 53.59°, 57.69°, and 62.71° corresponding to (220), (311), (400), (422), (511), and (440), respectively. No evident impurity peaks were observed in the diffraction pattern, while the 6 diffraction peaks show clear peak shapes and high intensity, indicating that CS-FeO was of high purity and crystallinity. The diffraction pattern of CS-FeO was similar to that of FeO, but showing weakened intensity of the diffraction peaks, indicating that CS-FeO was of high crystallinity with the crystal structure of CS-FeO mainly derived

**Figure 5:** The energy dispersive spectrum (EDS) images observed under SEM ($\times2,000$) of layered structures of (a) CS-FeO, (b) Fe, (c) carbon, and (d) oxygen and the spectrogram of (e) CS-FeO. The green portion represents Fe, red portion represents carbon (C), and yellow portion represents oxygen (O).
3.3. Optimization of Adsorption Conditions of Nitrite by CS-FeO

3.3.1. Establishment of Mathematical Model and Significance Test. The Box-Behnken central composite design was adopted to establish the mathematical model and to conduct the significance test of the optimal adsorption condition of nitrite by CS-FeO. Based on the results of the single-factor tests, the RSM analysis of three factors and three levels was conducted. Specifically, high adsorption rates were observed at the following conditions: 200, 240, and 280 mg of CS-FeO, 40°C, 50°C, and 60°C of adsorption temperature, and 4, 5, and 6 h of adsorption time. These parameters were chosen to further conduct the RSM analysis. The results of experimental designs based on the central combination test design principle, the ANOVA, and the reliability analysis of regression model are given in Tables 1–3, respectively.

The best adsorption rates (~63%–66%) of nitrite by CS-FeO were obtained under 240 mg of CS-FeO at 50°C and 5 h (Table 1). Multiple regression fitting was performed with the results assessed based on both ANOVA and significance test (Table 2) to obtain the binary regression equation with the nitrite adsorption rate \( Y \) as the objective function and the coded value of each condition:

\[
Y = 64.19 - 1.13A - 1.58B + 1.46C - 0.25AB - 0.29AC - 1.30BC - 8.10A^2 - 14.18B^2 - 5.41C^2.
\]

These results indicated that the regression model performed to a highly significant level \( (P < 0.01) \), while the error term was not significant, suggesting that the established regression equation showed a sound fit with the
actual experimental results with trivial trial error. In the regression model, the three one-time terms (A, B, and C), one interaction terms (BC), and the three quadratic terms (A^2, B^2, and C^2) showed significant effects on the experimental results. Therefore, the established regression equation model could be used to assess the test results due to the high correlation between predicted and observed values (R^2 = 0.9931), indicating the high reliability of the regression equation system, which included partial derivatives of the three variables of the regression equation model. The trivial difference between the goodness-of-fit (R^2) and adjusted R^2 suggested the high reliability of the established regression model of nitrogen adsorption by CS-FeO, while the high reliability of the regression model was also supported by the low value of the coefficient of variation (0.0231) of the reliability of the established regression model of nitrite adsorption by CS-FeO, while the high reliability of the regression model was also supported by the low value of the coefficient of variation (0.0231) of the reliability of the established regression model of nitrite adsorption by CS-FeO (Table 3).

### 3.3.2. Interaction of Factors.

The RSM plot is a flat and a three-dimensional space surface plot constructed by the interaction of response values corresponding to each factor of A, B, and C, which allows the visualization of the effect of the interaction of each pair of two factors on the tested results (Figure 8). The contour line intuitively reflects the effect of the interaction of each factor on the response value, and the oval indicates that the interaction of each pair of two factors is significant, while the circle indicates the opposite effect [61].

Our results showed that the effects of the interactive relationships of BC but not AC and AB on the adsorption of nitrite by CS-FeO were significant, suggesting that with fixed value of the amount of CS-FeO (factor A), the adsorption of nitrite by CS-FeO would be significantly affected by the changes of the adsorption temperature (factor B) and time (factor C). Taking the results of the ANOVA and the reliability analysis of the established regression model of the adsorption of nitrite by CS-FeO (Tables 2 and 3), it was concluded that the effects of the three factors, i.e., the amount of CS-FeO (A), the adsorption temperature (B), and the adsorption time (C), were ranked in the order of B > C > A. These results were consistent with those reported by Fu et al. [62], showing that the effects of reaction temperature on the adsorption rate by the chitosan magnetic microspheres were greater than those of reaction time.

### 3.3.3. Optimization and Validation of the Synthesis Process of CS-FeO.

In order to find the code value of the optimal points of factors A, B, and C in the established regression model of the nitrite adsorption by CS-FeO with the maximum value of Y (the adsorption rate), we identified the first-order partial derivatives of the three variables of the regression equation with their values to 0 to obtain the ternary linear equation system, which included: 1.13 – 0.25B – 0.29C – 8.10A = 0, 1.58 – 0.25A – 1.30C – 14.18B = 0, and 1.46 – 0.29A – 1.30B – 5.41C = 0. The results showed that the optimum parameters were as follows: the adsorbent dosage of 237.152 mg, the adsorption temperature of 49.388°C, and the adsorption time of 5.145 h. Considering the convenience of practical operation, the parameters were modified as adsorbent dosage 237 mg, adsorption temperature 49°C, and adsorption time 5.1 h. In order to verify these conditions, three parallel tests were carried out with the modified parameters. Results showed that the nitrite adsorption rate was 65.83 ± 0.76%, which fell into the range of the theoretically predicted values of nitrite adsorption rate by CS-FeO (63.14% to 66.63%) under optimal conditions, indicating that the mathematical model established in our study was highly reliable. Wahid et al. synthesized aminated silica carbon nanotubes (ASCNT) to remove nitrite with a removal rate of 85% [63]. Similarly, Mirzayi et al. synthesized magnetohematite nanoparticles (MNP) with a nitrite adsorption...
rate of 88% under the optimal conditions [64]. Furthermore, Diriba et al. reported that under the optimal conditions, the nitrite adsorption rate reached 90% on treated bagasse [65]. However, it was noted that the high nitrite adsorption rates were obtained under the condition of low pH values (i.e., 2–5). In our study, the nitrite adsorption rate of CS-FeO reached more than 85% under the condition of pH value of 3, which was similar to the adsorption rates reported in the previous studies. Furthermore, our preliminary observations showed that the CS-FeO maintained sound stability at low pH of 3. In comparison to these results, our study revealed that the CS-FeO showed slightly lower nitrite adsorption rate but with the pH values of nearly neutral (i.e., 6–8), indicating that the adjustment of pH value was not required and significantly reducing the cost of adsorption treatment in practical applications.

3.4. Regeneration of CS-FeO. The reusability of CS-FeO was investigated based on the results of the regeneration experiments of CS-FeO with three different types of eluents, i.e., NaOH, Na₂SO₄, and NaCl (Figure 9). Compared with the adsorption rate before regeneration, the adsorption rate was greatly reduced after each regeneration of CS-FeO with either NaOH or NaCl as eluents. For example, the adsorption rate of nitrite by CS-FeO decreased by over 50% in 5 and 3 regenerations with NaOH and NaCl as eluents, respectively, while after 6 times of regeneration, the adsorption rate of nitrite by CS-FeO with NaOH and NaCl as eluents decreased from 65.76 ± 0.54% to 22.47 ± 0.60% and 5.47 ± 0.85%, respectively. However, after 5 times regeneration of CS-FeO with Na₂SO₄ as eluent, no significant decrease in the nitrite adsorption rate was observed between each regeneration. Although the nitrite adsorption rate was significantly decreased after 6 times of regeneration in comparison to that of 5 times of regeneration with Na₂SO₄ as eluent, the adsorption of nitrite by CS-FeO with Na₂SO₄ as eluent was still much higher than that with either NaOH or NaCl as eluents. Furthermore, after each regeneration, the amount of CS-FeO lost by less than 2% of the initial contents with Na₂SO₄ as eluent. These results indicated that CS-FeO showed the best regeneration performance with Na₂SO₄ as the eluent. Studies have shown that a novel type of nanomaterial was synthesized by grafting porphyrin onto FeO was used to remove nitrite from water sources, showing high adsorption rate (80%) after 5 times of regeneration with NaOH as the eluents [66]. However, the cost of synthesizing this type of nanomaterial was much higher due to its highly complex preparation procedures and requirements of costly material (i.e., porphyrin) than that of preparing the CS-FeO in our study, making it difficult to adopt this type of nanomaterials in practical application. Moreover, anthracite has also been used to remove the nitrite from the water sources [13]. Result showed that the adsorption of nitrite by anthracite maintained at 85% after 4 times of regeneration with HCl as eluents. Furthermore, the CS solely showed no adsorption effect on nitrite. Therefore, it is speculated that both porphyrin and anthracite are involved in the adsorption of nitrite, i.e., showing the surface adsorption mechanisms. Furthermore, due to their larger surface areas than CS-FeO, both porphyrin and anthracite were capable of generating higher adsorption rate of nitrite than CS-FeO [13, 66]. Although the CS-FeO showed lower adsorption rate of nitrite than anthracite, CS-FeO demonstrated strong
Figure 8: Response surfaces and contour plots of the pairwise interactive effects on the adsorption of nitrite by CS-FeO of the amount of CS-FeO (factor $A$), the adsorption of temperature (factor $B$), and the adsorption time (factor $C$).

Figure 9: Effect of different eluents (i.e., NaOH, Na$_2$SO$_4$, and NaCl) on nitrite adsorption rate after regeneration of CS-FeO. Symbols "*" and "**" indicate significant difference set at $P < 0.05$ and $P < 0.01$, respectively, in comparison to the nitrite adsorption rate prior to regeneration.
magnetic separation, making it much easier to recycle the CS-FeO (i.e., with the external magnetic field) than the anthracite. Therefore, compared with anthracite, the recovery cost of adsorption materials in practical application is highly reduced for the use of CS-FeO as the adsorbents.

4. Conclusion

In this study, we synthesized and characterized the CS-derived magnetic nanomaterial (CS-FeO) and identified its optimal conditions of nitrite removal. CS-FeO was a black crystalline powder with high crystallinity and thermal stability in the temperature range of 50 to 200°C. CS-FeO showed high magnetism and could be easily and quickly separated from aqueous solution under the action of external magnetic field. The energy dispersive spectral data showed that the structural and functional groups of CS maintained in the molecular structure of CS-FeO, forming a core-shell structure with FeO as the core and CS as the shell. Furthermore, under the optimal conditions, the adsorption rate of nitrite by CS-FeO reached $65.83 \pm 0.76\%$. Moreover, CS-FeO demonstrated strong regeneration performance with Na$_2$SO$_4$ used as eluent. These results indicated that CS-FeO could be potentially used as an efficient and cost-effective adsorbent for nitrite removal from drinking water sources and industrial wastewater.
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