Prisoners' Dilemma Supergame on Rectangle Lattice
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ABSTRACT

In this paper a class of large supergames, i.e., infinitely repeated games played by many players are studied. The players located on the vertex set of planar rectangle lattice play several basic games with his neighbors. The basic game is two-person prisoners’ dilemma game with asymmetric payoffs. Under the conditions of the pre-specified updating rules and the transition probabilities, the relevant stochastic process of strategy evolution forms a Markovian process. The simulation results about the long-run behavior are provided.
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1. Introduction

In a series of our previous works we have investigated a class of large Ising-type supergames, i.e., infinitely repeated games played by (infinitely) many players located on networks. In these stylized class of supergames, game players are located on the vertex set of planar rectangle lattice or trees (see [1,2]). Each player plays several basic games with his neighbors. The basic game is two-person Ising-type game with symmetric payoffs. Under the conditions of the pre-specified updating rules and the transition probabilities i.e., these relevant stochastic process of strategy configuration given, the formula of invariant measures which represent the long-run equilibrium plays are obtained. The phase transition phenomena are discovered.

In this work we extend our previous work to prisoners’ dilemma game with asymmetric payoffs in stead of Ising-type game with symmetric payoffs. There has been many research works on prisoners’ dilemma games (see [3]) and for evolutionary prisoner’s dilemma games (for example, see [4,5]). In this work, we assume that each player plays several two person prisoners’ dilemma games only with her neighbors, in each and every period of discrete times. Players change their strategy simultaneously at every period of time. In section 2, we offer the structures of planar rectangle lattices and the formulation of the class of prisoners’ dilemma supergames by offering the ingredients needed. In section 3, we study a special dynamic supergame with basic two person prisoners’ dilemma game with asymmetric payoffs. The theoretic analysis is difficult. So we provide simulated results to pursue the limiting behavior of the dynamics. Section 4 is the conclusion, in which some further research directions are mentioned.

2. Supergames on Planar Rectangle Lattices Based on Basic Two Person Prisoners’ Dilemma Games

The players: We assume that players are located on the vertex sites of a planar rectangle lattice (see Figure 1) $G = (V, E)$, where $V$ is the vertex set and $E$ the edge set of the lattice. We also assume that all the players are identical.

Neighborhood: A neighborhood system $N = \{N_i, i \in V\}$ is a collection of nonempty subsets of the vertices of $V$ such that (i) $i$ does not belong to $N_i$ for all $i \in V$; (ii) $i \in N_j$ if and only if $j \in N_i$, for all $i, j \in V$; $N_i$ is called the neighborhood of $i$. We define the set $W_i = N_i \cap \{i\}$.

In this work we only consider the following structure of neighborhood. The structure of neighboring sites of the origin $o = (0,0)$ is given by

![Figure 1. Planar rectangle lattice and neighborhood structure.](image-url)
Given a measure \( \mu \). Suppose that the configuration \( P \); so his total payoff from \( \mu \) is \( \rho \). Equivalently \( A \) and \( ' \) are normalization factors to make \( \rho \).

Where \( C \) represents to cooperate and \( D \) represents defect. At any run of dynamic games, if both players choose \( C \), they get a pay-off \( \rho \); if both players defect, they get pay-off \( \rho \) against each of his neighbors. Then player \( i \) may revise his strategy from \( C \) to \( D \). Stage games: In our class of supergames, some stage games are played over discrete time \( i \in \{0,1,2,\cdots\} \). At each discrete time every player plays four 2-strategy 2-person prisoners’ dilemma games simultaneously with his neighbors. At the end of each game, player \( i \) receives payoff \( Q_y(x, x_i) \) if he plays strategy \( y \) while his neighbor \( j \) plays strategy \( x_j \); so his total payoff from playing strategy \( y \) is the sum of the payoffs received from playing \( y \) against each of his neighbors. Then player \( i \) may revise his strategy from \( y \) to \( z \) with probability

\[
p_i (z | x(i, y)) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \exp \left\{ \beta \frac{1}{\| N_i \|} \sum_{j \in N_i} [Q_y(z, x_i) - Q_y(y, x_i)] \right\} \tag{2}
\]

where \( \lambda \) and \( \lambda' \) are normalization factors to make

\[
\sum_{i \in A} p_i (z | x(i, y)) = 1 \tag{3}
\]

The global updating rule is synchronous, i.e., all players change their strategies simultaneously at the same time.

The dynamics of a supergame is characterized by a stochastic process which is called strategy evolution process (SEP). Technically, the SEP for a large supergame is a Markov chain whose state at time \( t \) is denoted by \( X_t = \{x_i, i \in V \} \). It takes value over \( \Omega = \Omega = A^V = \{C, D\}^V \) which is called configuration space of the SEP at time \( t \).
value of parameter $\beta$, we simulate the dynamics of evolution 500 steps. For simplicity, we only report the following three cases. The dynamic percentage of write vertices and the final state are provided via graphs.

1) Case 1. $\beta = 0$

The change of every player’s strategy is independent of the history of his own and his neighbors’ past strategies. So this case is not of interest.

2) Case 2. $\beta = 1$

i) The white boundary conditions: (Figure 2)

ii) The black boundary conditions: (Figure 3)

iii) The periodic boundary conditions: (Figure 4)

3) Case 3. $\beta = 3$

i) White boundary conditions: (Figure 5)

ii) Black boundary conditions: (Figure 6)

iii) Periodic boundary conditions: (Figure 7)

Figure 2. (a) $\beta = 1$ case with white boundary conditions: the dynamic percentage of write vertices; (b) $\beta = 1$ case with white boundary conditions: the final configuration after 500 steps of evolution.

Figure 3. (a) $\beta = 1$ case with black boundary conditions: the dynamic percentage of write vertices; (b) $\beta = 1$ case with black boundary conditions: the final configuration after 500 steps of evolution.
Figure 4. (a) $\beta = 1$ case with periodic boundary conditions: the dynamic percentage of write vertices; (b) $\beta = 1$ case with periodic boundary conditions: the final configuration after 500 steps of evolution.

Figure 5. (a) $\beta = 3$ case with white boundary conditions: the dynamic percentage of write vertices; (b) $\beta = 3$ case with white boundary conditions: the final configuration after 500 steps of evolution.

Figure 6. (a) $\beta = 3$ case with black boundary conditions: the dynamic percentage of write vertices; (b) $\beta = 3$ case with black boundary conditions: the final configuration after 500 steps of evolution.
From the above simulation result, we can see that for small value of $\beta$ ($\beta > 0$), the dynamic percentage of white vertices approaches to 50%, while for large value of $\beta$ ($\beta > 0$) the dynamic percentage of white vertices approaches to 100%. In other word, the final configuration of SEP will approach to the sole state with all white color. This means that all players approach to defect. The greater the $\beta$, the faster the convergence. So we conjecture that there exists a critical value of $\beta$ denoted by $\beta_c$ such that for $0 < \beta < \beta_c$ and $\beta > \beta_c$ the limiting behavior of the dynamic supergames are different. For $0 < \beta < \beta_c$ cases, the dynamic percentages of white vertices approach to 50%. While for $\beta > \beta_c$ cases, the dynamic percentages of white vertices approach to 100%. To find the exact value of $\beta_c$ is also of interest. But the problem to prove these confirmations and conjecture theoretically remains open.

4. Conclusions

We study the dynamic prisoners’ dilemma supergame on rectangle lattice and provide some interesting simulation results and some conjecture. The theoretic analysis remains open. Other types of supergames on different lattices and based on other basic games will be reported elsewhere.

5. Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Program No. 11171215) and Shanghai 085 Project for financial supports.

REFERENCES

[1] A. J. Wang, Z. X. Ye and Y. C. Liu, “On the Long-run Equilibria of a Class of Large Super Game on $Z^d$,” Journal of Mathematical Sciences: Advances and Applications, Vol. 12, No. 1, 2012, pp. 21-46.

[2] H. Ou and Z. X. Ye, “Dynamic Supergames on Trees,” Proceeding of 2010 International Conference on Progress in Informatics and Computing(PIC-2010), Shanghai, December 10-12, 2010.

[3] G. Kendall, X. Yao, S. Y. Chong, “The Iterated Prisoners’ Dilemma: 20 Years On,” World Scientific Publishing Co Pte Ltd, 2007.

[4] Y. K. Liu, Z. Li, X. J. Chen and L. Wang, “Evolutionary Prisoners’ Dilemma Game on Highly Clustered Community Networks,” Chinese Physics, Vol. 18, No. 7, 2009, pp. 2623-2628, doi: 10.1088/1674-1056/18/7/001

[5] M. A. Saif and P. M. Gade, “Prisoner’s Dilemma with Semi- synchronous Updates: Evidence for a First Order Phase Transition,” Vol. 6, 2009.

[6] R. Kinderman and J. L. Snell, “Markov Random Fields and Their Applications,” American Mathematical Society (AMS), Providence Rhode Island, 1980. doi: 10.1090/conm/001