Curves of genus 2 and Desargues configurations

D. Avritzer∗ H. Lange∗

1 Introduction

A Desargues configuration is the configuration of 10 points and 10 lines of the classical theorem of Desargues in the complex projective plane. For a precise definition see Section 2. The greek mathematician C. Stephanos showed in 1883 (see [11]) that one can associate to every Desargues configuration a curve of genus 2 in a canonical way. Moreover he proved that the induced map from the moduli space of Desargues configurations to the moduli space of curves of genus 2 is birational. Our main motivation for writing this paper was to understand the last result. Stephanous needed about a hundred pages of classical invariant theory to prove it. We apply instead a simple argument of Schubert calculus to prove a slightly more precise version of his result.

Let \( M_D \) denote the (coarse) moduli space of Desargues configurations. It is a three-dimensional quasiprojective variety. On the other hand, let \( M_b^6 \) denote the moduli space of stable binary sextics. There is a canonical isomorphism \( H^g \setminus \Delta_1 \cong M_b^6 \) (see [1]). Here \( H^g \) denotes the moduli space of stable curves of genus 2 in the sense of Deligne-Mumford and \( \Delta_1 \) the boundary divisor parametrizing 2 curves of genus 1 intersecting transversely in one point. So instead of curves of genus 2, we may speak of binary sextics. The main result of the paper consists of the following 2 statements:

(1) There is a canonical injective birational morphism

\[ \Phi : M_D \hookrightarrow M_b^6. \]

(2) We determine a hypersurface \( H \subset M_b^6 \) such that

\[ M_b^6 \setminus H \subseteq \Im(\Phi) \subseteq M_b^6, \]

where both the inclusions above are strict.

A Desargues configuration is called special if one of its lines contains 4 configuration points. We show:

(3) A Desargues configuration \( D \) is special if and only if the binary sextic \( \Phi(D) \) admits a double point, i.e. the corresponding curve of genus 2 is not smooth.
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The Moduli space $M_D$ admits a natural compactification $\overline{M}_D$. We also study the configurations corresponding to boundary points $\overline{M}_D \setminus M_D$, which we call degenerate Desargues configurations. In fact, there are degenerate Desargues configurations of the first, second and third kind (see Section 2). As a rational map of normal projective varieties $\Phi : \overline{M}_D \cdots \longrightarrow \overline{M}_6^b$ is defined in codimension 1. Hence it extends to a morphism on an open set of the divisor $\overline{M}_D \setminus M_D$. We show, however:

(4) The geometric interpretation of the morphism $\Phi : \overline{M}_D \cdots \longrightarrow \overline{M}_6^b$ does not extend to an open set $U : M_D \subset U \subset \overline{M}_D$, where the first inclusion is strict. In fact, the binary sextic associated to a degenerate Desargues configuration of the first kind in an analogous way is not semistable.

In Section 2, we construct the moduli spaces $M_D$ and its compactification $\overline{M}_D$. In Section 3, we give the definition of the map $\Phi : M_D \longrightarrow M_6^b$. Sections 4, 5, 6 and 7 contain the proofs of statements (1), (2), (3) and (4) respectively.

The second author would like to thank W. Barth with whom he discussed the subject, already 15 years ago.

2 The moduli space of Desargues configurations

Let $\mathbb{P}_2$ denote the projective plane over the field of complex numbers. The classical theorem of Desargues says: If the lines joining corresponding vertices of two triangles $A_1, B_1, C_1$ and $A_2, B_2, C_2$ in $\mathbb{P}_2$ meet in a point $A$ then the intersections of corresponding sides lie on a line $a$ and conversely (see Figure 1).

The triangles are then said to be in perspective, $A$ is called the center of perspective and $a$ the axis of perspective. The configuration consisting of the 10 points $A, A_i, B_i, C_i, (i = 1, 2, 3)$ and ten lines, namely the 6 sides of the triangles, the 3 lines joining $A$ to the vertices of the triangle and the axis of perspective, is called a Desargues configuration. It is a $10_3 -$ configuration meaning that each of the 10 lines contains 3 of the 10 points and through each of the 10 points there pass 3 of the 10 lines.

It may happen that one of the vertices of one triangle lies on the opposite side of the other triangle, in which case Desargues Theorem is still valid, but one line contains now 4 of the 10 points. Contrary to some authors, we consider this configuration also as a Desargues configuration and call it a special Desargues configuration.

As long ago as 1846, Cayley remarked (see [4], p.318) that the 10 lines and 10 planes determined by 5 points $e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5$ in general position in $\mathbb{P}_3$ meet a plane $\pi$ not containing any of the points $e_i$ in a Desargues configuration. Conversely, it follows from the standard proof of Desargues theorem via $\mathbb{P}_3$ (see [12]) that every Desargues configuration is obtained in this way. In the sequel, we choose the coordinates of $\mathbb{P}_3$ in such a way that

$$e_1 = (1 : 0 : 0 : 0), \ldots, e_4 = (0 : 0 : 0 : 1), e_5 = (1 : 1 : 1 : 1).$$
If the plane $\pi$ is given by the equation $\sum_{i=1}^{4} \alpha_i x_i = 0$, then the assumption that $e_i \notin \pi$ for $i = 1, \ldots, 5$ amounts to

$$\alpha_i \neq 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, 4 \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^{4} \alpha_i \neq 0.$$ 

We denote by $D_\pi$ the Desargues configuration determined by $\pi$. It consists of the 10 points $p_{ij} := \overline{e_i e_j} \cap \pi$ and the 10 lines $\ell_{ijk} := \overline{e_i e_j e_k} \cap \pi$ for $i \leq j, k \leq 5, i \neq j \neq k \neq i$ (see Figure 2). Note that the notation is meant to be symmetric, i.e. $p_{ij} = p_{ji}$ and $\ell_{ijk} = \ell_{jki}$, etc. From the picture it is obvious that every point of a Desargues configuration is the center of perspective of two triangles: the point $p_{ij}$ is the center of perspective of the triangles $p_{ik}p_{il}p_{jm}$ and $p_{jk}p_{jl}p_{im}$ where $\{i, j, k, l, m\} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$. In particular every point of the configuration admits an axis of perspective: for $p_{ij}$ it is the line $\ell_{klm}$.

By definition two Desargues configurations $D_1$ and $D_2$ are isomorphic if there is an automorphism $\alpha \in PGL_2(C)$ such that $D_2 = \alpha(D_1)$.

**Lemma 2.1.** For planes $\pi$ and $\pi' \in \mathbb{P}_3$ not containing a point $e_i$ the following conditions are equivalent:
1) $D_\pi$ is isomorphic to $D_{\pi'}$.
2) There is an $A \in PGL_3(C)$ such that
   a) $A\pi = \pi'$,
   b) $A$ permutes the 5 points $e_1, \ldots, e_5$.

For the proof we need the following notion: a complete quadrangle of a Desargues configuration $D$ is a set of 4 points and 6 lines of $D$ which form the points and lines of a complete quadrangle. From Figure 2 it is obvious that $D_\pi$ (and thus any $D$) admits exactly 5 complete quadrangles: any $e_i$ determines the complete quadrangle consisting of the points $p_{ij}, p_{ik}, p_{il}, p_{im}$ and the lines $\ell_{ijk}, \ell_{ijl}, \ell_{ijm}, \ell_{ikl}, \ell_{ikm}, \ell_{ilm}$.

**Proof of Lemma 1.1:** We have to show that (1) implies (2), the converse implication being obvious. So let $\alpha : \pi \rightarrow \pi'$ be a linear isomorphism with $\alpha(D_\pi) = D_{\pi'}$. We have to show that $\alpha$ extends in a unique way to an $A \in PGL_3(C)$ permuting the 5 points $e_1, \ldots, e_5$. Certainly $\alpha$ maps the 5 complete quadrangles of $D_\pi$ onto the 5 complete quadrangles of $D_{\pi'}$. As outlined above a complete quadrangle of $D_\pi$ is uniquely determined by a point $e_i$ and similarly for $D_{\pi'}$. Hence $\alpha$ induces a permutation $\sigma$ of the 5 points $e_1, \ldots, e_5$. It is easy to see that there is a one-dimensional family $\{A_t \in PGL_3(C) | t \in \mathbb{P}_1\}$ satisfying $A_t(e_1) = e_{\sigma(1)}(1)$ and $A_t(\pi) = \pi'$ (choose suitable coordinates for the source-$\mathbb{P}_3$ and the image-$\mathbb{P}_3$ of $A : \mathbb{P}_3 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_3$). For every $t \in \mathbb{P}_1$ the automorphism $A_t$ maps the line $\overline{e_1e_2}$ onto the line $\overline{e_{\sigma(1)}e_{\sigma(1)\sigma(2)}}$. Since $e_2 \in \overline{e_1e_2}, e_{\sigma(2)} \in \overline{e_{\sigma(1)}e_{\sigma(1)\sigma(2)}}$, and $\{A_t(e_2) | t \in \mathbb{P}_1\} = \overline{e_{\sigma(1)}e_{\sigma(1)\sigma(2)}}$, there is a unique $t_0 \in \mathbb{P}_1$ such that

$$A_{t_0} = e_{\sigma(2)}.$$
We claim that $A := A_t$ satisfies apart from (a) also condition (b). But for $3 \leq k \leq 5$ we have $e_k = \overline{e_1p_{1k}} \cap \overline{e_2p_{2k}}$. Hence

$$A(e_k) = A(\overline{e_1p_{1k}}) \cap A(\overline{e_2p_{2k}}) = \overline{e_{\sigma(1)p_{\sigma(1)\sigma(k)}}} \cap \overline{e_{\sigma(2)p_{\sigma(2)\sigma(k)}}} = e_{\sigma(k)}$$

This concludes the proof of the Lemma.

The 5 points $e_1, \ldots, e_5$ form a projective basis of $\mathbb{P}_3$. Hence for every permutation $\sigma$ of the points $e_1, \ldots, e_5$ there is a unique $A_\sigma \in PGL_3(\mathbb{C})$ inducing $\sigma$. Let $\mathcal{S}_5$ denote the group of these $A_\sigma$. The action of $\mathcal{S}_5$ on $\mathbb{P}_3$ induces an action on the dual projective space $\mathbb{P}_3^*$. Define

$$U := \mathbb{P}_3^* \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^5 P_{e_i},$$

where $P_{e_i}$ denotes the plane in $\mathbb{P}_3^*$ parametrizing the planes $\pi$ with $e_i \in \pi$. The action of $\mathcal{S}_5$ on $\mathbb{P}_3^*$ restricts to an action on $U$. Since the quotient of a quasi-projective variety by a finite group is always an algebraic variety, we obtain as an immediate consequence of Lemma 2.1 Theorem 2.2.

The algebraic variety $M_D := U/\mathcal{S}_5$ is a moduli space for Desargues configurations.

It is clear how to define families of Desargues configurations. Doing this it is easy to see that $M_D$ is a coarse moduli space in the sense of geometric invariant theory. In particular, $M_D$ is uniquely determined as an algebraic variety. Since a Desargues configuration may admit a nontrivial group of automorphisms, $M_D$ is not a fine moduli space.

Next we work out the subspace of $M_D$ parametrizing special Desargues configurations. By definition a Desargues configuration $D$ is called special if and only if $D$ contains a point lying on its axis. The axis of a point $p_{ij}$ is the line $\ell_{klm}$ with $\{i, j, k, l, m\} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$. This implies that a configuration $D_\pi$ is special if and only if the plane contains the point of intersection of a line $\overline{e_i e_j}$ with the plane $\overline{e_k e_l e_m}$. Hence a Desargues configuration $D_\pi$ is special if and only if the plane $\pi$ contains a point $Q_{ij} = \overline{e_i e_j} \cap \overline{e_k e_l e_m}$, with $\{i, j, k, l, m\} = \{1, \ldots, 5\}$. Now let $P_{ij} \subset U = \mathbb{P}_3^* \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^5 P_{e_i}$, denote the hyperplane parametrizing the planes $\pi$ in $\mathbb{P}_3$ not containing the points $e_i$, but containing the point $Q_{ij}$. If $q: U \rightarrow M_D$ denotes the natural projection map, then we conclude, since the group $\mathcal{S}_5$ obviously acts transitively on the set of points $\{Q_{ij}\}$:

**Proposition 2.3.** The special Desargues configurations are parametrized by the irreducible divisor $q(P_{12})$ in $M_D$.

In other words: if $D$ is a special Desargues configuration then there is a plane $\pi \subset \mathbb{P}_3$ containing the point $P_{12} = (1 : 1 : 0 : 0)$ and not containing any $e_i$ such that $D_\pi \cong D$.

Finally we introduce degenerate Desargues configurations. Desargues’ Theorem fails if one of the two triangles is replaced by three lines passing through the center of perspective.
However, the following classical theorem (see [9], p.99, Exercise 14) may be considered as a limiting case of Desargues’ Theorem: If \(ABC\) is a proper triangle and \(a, b, c\) are 3 lines passing through a point \(O\) (not lying on the sides of the triangle) then the points \(a \cap BC, b \cap AC, c \cap AB\) are collinear if and only if there is a an involution \(\iota\) of the \(\mathbb{P}_1\) of lines centered in \(O\) such that \(\iota(a) = \overline{OA}, \iota(b) = \overline{OB}, \iota(C) = \overline{OC}\).

It is now easy to see that for any configuration of 7 points and 10 lines in \(\mathbb{P}_2\) satisfying the conditions of the theorem there is a plane \(\pi \subset \mathbb{P}_3\) containing exactly 1 of the 5 points \(e_i\) such that the configuration is isomorphic to the configuration \(D\) cut out on \(\pi\) by the lines \(e_i e_j\) and the planes \(e_i e_j e_k\). A picture of a degenerate Desargues configuration \(D\) is shown in Figure 3 where \(e_5 \in \pi\) and hence \(p_{15} = p_{25} = p_{35} = p_{45} = e_5\) with the notation above.

Note that of the 5 complete quadrangles of the Desargues configuration 4 still survive in the degenerate case namely (in the case of Figure 3) \(\{p_{12}, p_{13}, p_{14}, p_{15}\}, \{p_{12}, p_{23}, p_{24}, p_{25}\}, \{p_{13}, p_{23}, p_{34}, p_{35}\}, \{p_{14}, p_{24}, p_{34}, p_{45}\}\). This implies that the proof of Lemma 1.1 also works in this case. In other words: two degenerate Desargues configurations \(D\) and \(D'\) are isomorphic if and only if there is an automorphism \(A \in \text{PGL}_3(C)\) with \(A \pi = \pi'\) permuting the 5 points \(e_1, \ldots, e_5\) in \(\mathbb{P}_3\). Similar remarks can be made if the configuration is even more degenerate, that is if 2 triangles of a Desargues configuration collapse (equivalently if the plane \(\pi\) passes through 2 of the points \(e_i\) (see Figure 4 where the triangle \(p_{14}p_{24}p_{34}\) of Figure 3 collapsed) or if 2 triangles collapse and the 2 points come together (equivalently if the plane \(\pi\) passes through 3 of the points \(e_i\)). In this case the configuration is a complete quadrangle (see Figure 5, where \(e_3 = p_{13} = p_{23}\)). We omit the details since they are easy to work out (see also Section 6).

These remarks induce the following definitions: as above fix 5 points \(e_1, \ldots, e_5\) in \(\mathbb{P}_3\) in general position and consider any plane \(\pi \subset \mathbb{P}_3\). The 10 lines \(e_i e_j\) and 10 planes \(e_i e_j e_k\) in \(\mathbb{P}_3\) cut out on \(\pi\) a configuration \(D_\pi\) of points and lines. We call \(D_\pi\) a generalized Desargues configuration. If \(\pi\) contains a point \(e_i\), \(D_\pi\) is called a degenerate Desargues configuration. It is called of the \(i\)-th kind if \(\pi\) contains exactly \(i\) of the points \(e_i\) for \(i = 1, 2, 3\).

It is easy to see that Lemma 1.1 remains valid for generalized Desargues configurations. In fact the same proof works also in the degenerate case. One has only to remark that a degenerate Desargues configuration \(D_\pi\) of the first kind (respectively 2\(^{nd}\) kind, respectively 3\(^{rd}\) kind) admits 4 (respectively 3, respectively 1) complete quadrangles. In the same way as we deduced Theorem 1.2 from Lemma 1.1 we obtain from this

**Theorem 2.4.** The variety \(\overline{M_D} := \mathbb{P}_3^*/\mathcal{S}_5\) is a moduli space for generalized Desargues configurations.

**Remark 2.5.** In [9] which is the standard reference for Desargues configurations, K. Mayer constructs the moduli space \(M_D\) in a different way. He chooses the 4 points of a complete quadrangle as a projective basis of \(\mathbb{P}_2\), say \(A, A_1, B_1, C_1\) in Figure 1. Consider the
points $A'_i := \overline{AA_1} \cap B_iC_1$, $B'_i := \overline{AB_1} \cap A_1C_i$ and $C'_i = \overline{AC_1} \cap A_1B_1$. The three crossratios $(A, A_1, A'_1, A_2), (A, B_1, B'_1, B_2)$ and $(A, C_1, C'_1, C_2)$ determine the Desargues configuration and an open set $V$ of $\mathbb{P}_1^2$ represents Desargues configurations. The choice of a complete quadrangle induces an action of $S_5$ on $V$ which Mayer worked out explicitly. Moreover he showed that $V/S_5$ is the moduli space of Desargues configurations. For our purposes, the construction of Theorem 1.2 is more appropriate.

3 The map $\Phi : M_D \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_6^b$

Recall that a binary form $f(x, y)$ of degree 6 is called stable if $f$ admits no root of multiplicity $\geq 3$ and that the space $\mathcal{M}_6^b$ of stable binary sextics exists (see [7]). In this section, we present the construction of Stephanos (see [11] —in a slightly different set up) associating to every Desargues configuration $D_\pi$ a binary sextic $J_\pi$ in a canonical way. In Section 3 we will see that $J_\pi$ is stable so that we get a holomorphic map $\Phi : M_D \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_6^b$.

As in the last section we fix the coordinate system of $\mathbb{P}_3$ in such a way that $e_1 = (1 : 0 : 0 : 0), \ldots, e_4 = (0 : 0 : 0 : 1)$ and $e_5 = (1 : 1 : 1 : 1)$. Let $\pi$ be a nondegenerate plane in $\mathbb{P}_3$, meaning that the configuration $D_\pi$ is nondegenerate, with equation $\sum_{i=1}^{4} \alpha_i z_i = 0$. For the coefficients $\alpha_i$ of $\pi$ this just means $\alpha_i \neq 0$ for $i = 1, \ldots, 4$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{4} \alpha_i \neq 0$. In 1847, von Staudt proved in his fundamental book [10] that there is a unique (smooth) conic $s_\pi$ on $\pi$ such that the polar line of every point of the configuration $D_\pi$ is its axis. We call $s_\pi$ the von Staudt conic of $D_\pi$.

Lemma 3.1. The von Staudt conic $s_\pi$ of $D_\pi$ is given by the equation $\sum_{i=1}^{4} \alpha_i z_i^2 = 0$ in $\pi : \sum_{i=1}^{4} \alpha_i z_i = 0$.

Proof: According to a remark of Reye, which is easy to check (see [3], p. 135), there is a unique quadric $S_5$ in $\mathbb{P}_3$ such that the tetrahedron $e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4$ is a polar tetrahedron, i.e. the polar plane of $e_i$ with respect to $S_5$ is the opposite plane of the tetrahedron, and such that the polar plane of $e_5$ is the plane $\pi$. If, as usual, we denote by $S_5$ also the matrix of the quadric, the conditions mean $e_i S_5 e_j = 0$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq 4, i \neq j$ and $e_5 S_5 = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4)^t$. But this implies $S_5 = \text{diag}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4)$. Comparing the definitions, the von Staudt conic $s_\pi$ is just the restriction of $S_5$ to $\pi$, which gives the assertion. \hfill $\square$

Note that the proof of Lemma 3.1 yields actually a proof of von Staudt’s Theorem. Obviously $S_5$ depends on the choice of $e_5$ as the cone vertex. One could in an analogous way define $S_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq 4$) and use it to prove Lemma 3.1.

As remarked in the last section, each point $e_i$ corresponds to a complete quadrangle of $D_\pi$, namely $p_{ii}, \ldots, p_{i\pi}, \ldots, p_{\pi i}$. Since these 4 points are in general position, there is
a unique pencil of conics $\lambda q_1 + \mu q_2$ passing through the 4 points. The pencil $\lambda q_1 + \mu q_2$ restricts to a pencil of effective divisors of degree 4 on the von Staudt conic $s_\pi$. The Jacobian of this pencil is an effective divisor $j_\pi$ of degree 6 on $s_\pi$. It is defined as follows: choose an isomorphism $\varphi : s_\pi \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_1$ and coordinates $(x_1, x_2)$ of $\mathbb{P}_1$. There are binary quartics $f_1(x_1, x_2)$ and $f_2(x_1, x_2)$ with zero divisor $\varphi(q_1 \cap s_\pi)$ and $\varphi(q_2 \cap s_\pi)$. The Jacobian of $f_1$ and $f_2$

$$J_\pi(x_1, x_2) = \text{det}(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j})$$

is a binary sextic with zero divisor $\varphi(j_\pi)$. Note the binary sextic is determined by the pencil $\lambda q_1 + \mu q_2$ up to a nonzero constant and an automorphism of $\mathbb{P}_1$. We call $J_\pi$ the Jacobian sextic of the pencil, for the Jacobian divisor $j_\pi$ on $s_\pi$.

**Theorem 3.2.** The Jacobian divisor $j_\pi$ on the von Staudt conic $s_\pi$ is uniquely determined by the Desargues configuration $D_\pi$. It is cut out on $s_\pi$ by the cubic $\sum_{i=1}^4 \alpha_i z_i^3 = 0$.

**Proof:** Choose first $i = 5$. The pencil of conics passing through the 4 points $p_{15}, p_{25}, p_{35}, p_{45}$ is cut out on $\pi$ by the pencil of cones in $\mathbb{P}_3$ passing through the 4 lines $e_1 e_5, e_2 e_5, e_3 e_5, e_4 e_5$. The conditions for a quadric $Q \subset \mathbb{P}_3$ to be in this pencil are: $Q$ is singular in $e_5$, i.e. $Q e_5 = 0$ and $e_i \in Q$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, i.e. $e_i Q e_i = 0$. This yields

$$Q = \left( \begin{array}{cccc} 0 & a & b & -(a+b) \\ a & 0 & -(a+b) & b \\ b & -(a+b) & 0 & a \\ -(a+b) & b & a & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

Hence the pencil is generated by the cones

$$Q_1 = \left( \begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

and

$$Q_2 = \left( \begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right).$$

It is easy to see that the Jacobi divisor of the pencil $\lambda q_1 + \mu q_2$ on the curve $s_\pi = \{(z_1 : \ldots : z_4) \in \mathbb{P}_3| \sum_{i=1}^4 \alpha_i z_i = \sum_{i=1}^4 \alpha_i z_i^2 = 0\}$ is the intersection of the curve $s_\pi$ with the hypersurface of points in $\mathbb{P}_3$ where the vectors of partial derivatives $d(\sum \alpha_i z_i), d(\sum \alpha_i z_i^2), d(Q_1), d(Q_2)$ are linearly dependent. Here we consider $Q_i$ as the quadratic form determined by the matrix $Q_i$. This hypersurface is given by the equation:

$$J := \text{det} \left( \begin{array}{cccc} \alpha_1 & \alpha_1 z_1 & z_2 - z_4 & z_3 - z_4 \\ \alpha_2 & \alpha_2 z_2 & z_1 - z_3 & z_4 - z_3 \\ \alpha_3 & \alpha_3 z_3 & z_4 - z_2 & z_1 - z_2 \\ \alpha_4 & \alpha_4 z_4 & z_3 - z_1 & z_2 - z_1 \end{array} \right) = 0.$$  

We claim that $J$ is congruent to $\sum_{i=1}^4 \alpha_i z_i^3$ modulo the ideal $(\sum_i \alpha_i z_i, \sum_i \alpha_i z_i^2)$. But

$$J = \text{det} \left( \begin{array}{cccc} \alpha_1 & \alpha_1 z_1 & z_2 - z_4 & z_3 - z_4 \\ \alpha_2 & \alpha_2 z_2 & z_1 - z_3 & z_4 - z_3 \\ \alpha_3 & \alpha_3 z_3 & z_4 - z_2 & z_1 - z_2 \\ \sum \alpha_i & \sum \alpha_i z_i & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right).$$
\[ \equiv - \sum_i \alpha_i \det \left( \begin{array}{cccc} \alpha_1 z_1 & z_2 - z_4 & z_3 - z_4 \\ \alpha_2 z_2 & z_1 - z_3 & z_4 - z_3 \\ \alpha_3 z_3 & z_4 - z_2 & z_1 - z_2 \end{array} \right) \mod \left( \sum_i \alpha_i z_i \right) \]

\[ = - \sum_i \alpha_i \left[ 2(\alpha_1 z_1^3 + \alpha_2 z_2^3 + \alpha_3 z_3^3) - (\alpha_1 z_1^2 - \alpha_2 z_2^2 - \alpha_3 z_3^2)z_4 - z_4(\alpha_1 z_1^2 + \alpha_2 z_2^2 + \alpha_3 z_3^2) \right] \\
+ (z_1 + z_2 + z_3)(z_4(\alpha_1 z_1 + \alpha_2 z_2 + \alpha_3 z_3) - (\alpha_1 z_1^2 + \alpha_2 z_2^2 + \alpha_3 z_3^2))] \]

\[ \equiv -2 \sum_{i=1}^4 \alpha_i \sum_{j=1}^4 \alpha_j z_j^3 \mod \left( \sum_i \alpha_i z_i, \sum_i \alpha_i z_i^2 \right) \]

It remains to show that if we start with another point \( e_i \), we obtain the same Jacobi divisor \( j_\pi \) on \( s_\pi \). Without loss of generality we may start with \( e_1 \), since choosing another \( e_i \) \((2 \leq i \leq 4)\) amounts only to a permutation of the coordinates.

The pencil of conics in \( \pi \) passing through the points \( p_{12}, p_{13}, p_{14}, p_{15} \) is cut out on \( \pi \) by the pencil of cones in \( \mathbb{P}_3 \) passing through the lines \( e_1 e_2, e_1 e_3, e_1 e_4, e_1 e_5 \). This pencil is generated by the cones:

\[ Q'_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad Q'_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \]

A very similar computation as the one above shows that modulo the ideal \((\sum \alpha_i z_i, \sum \alpha_i z_i^2)\) the hypersurface of points in \( \mathbb{P}_3 \) where the vectors of partial derivatives \( d(\sum \alpha_i z_i), d(\sum \alpha_i z_i^2), d(Q'_1), d(Q'_2) \) are linearly dependent is given by \( \sum_{i=1}^4 \alpha_i z_i^3 \), which completes the proof of the theorem.

If \( \pi' \) is another nondegenerate plane in \( \mathbb{P}_3 \) such that the Desargues configurations \( D_\pi \) and \( D_{\pi'} \) are isomorphic, it follows immediately from Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 3.2 that the isomorphism \( \alpha : \pi \rightarrow \pi' \) with \( \alpha(D_\pi) = D_{\pi'} \) maps the Jacobi divisor \( j_\pi \) on \( s_\pi \) onto the Jacobi divisor \( j_{\pi'} \) on \( s_{\pi'} \).

In the sequel we will always interpret \( j_\pi \) as the binary sextic \( J_\pi \) (see above and recall that \( J_\pi \) is determined up to a nonzero constant and up to an automorphism of \( \mathbb{P}_1 \)). In Theorem 5.1, we will show that \( J_\pi \) is always stable. Hence we obtain a canonical map

\[ \Phi : M_D \rightarrow M^6_0 \]

of the moduli space of Desargues configurations into the moduli space of stable binary sextics. It is clear that \( \Phi \) is holomorphic, since Theorem 3.2 implies that it is given by polynomials.

**Remark 3.3.** The proof of Theorem 3.2 suggests another definition of the Jacobi divisor \( j_\pi \) : the von Staudt conic \( s_\pi \) is not contained in the pencil of conics \( \lambda q_1 + \mu q_2 \) passing through the points \( p_{15}, p_{25}, p_{35}, p_{45} \). Hence \( \lambda q_1 + \mu q_2 + \nu s_\pi \) is a net of conics in the plane \( \pi \). Its discriminant locus given by

\[ \det(q_1, q_2, s_\pi) = 0 \]

is a cubic which intersects the conic \( s_\pi \) in the Jacobian divisor \( j_\pi \). For the proof note only that the net is general and thus its discriminant is equivalent to its Jacobian locus given by \( \det(dq_1, dq_2, ds_\pi) = 0 \).
Remark 3.4. Given a nondegenerate plane $\pi$, we associated to every point $e_i, (1 \leq i \leq 5)$ a pencil of quartic divisors $\lambda f_1^i + \mu f_2^i$ on the von Staudt conic $s_\pi$. It is easy to check that in general these 5 pencils are different from each other.

4 Injectivity of $\Phi : M_D \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_6^b$

The main object of this section is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. The map $\Phi : M_D \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_6^b$ is an injective birational morphism.

For the proof we need some preliminaries. Let $A$ and $B$ denote two quadrics in $\mathbb{P}_n$ (for us $n = 2$ or $3$) given by the equations $x^t Ax = 0$ and $x^t Bx = 0$. Recall that $A$ is called apolar to $B$ if

$$tr(A \cdot Adj(B)) = 0.$$

Here $adj(B)$ denotes the adjoint matrix of $B$, i.e. the matrix of the dual quadric $\hat{B}$ of $B$. Geometrically this means the following (see [9]): a conic $A$ is apolar to $B$ in $\mathbb{P}_2$ if and only if there is a triangle inscribed in $A$ and self-polar with respect to $B$. A quadric $A$ is apolar to $B$ in $\mathbb{P}_3$ if and only if there is a tetrahedron inscribed in $A$ and self-polar with respect to $B$.

Proposition 4.2. Let $D_\pi$ be a Desargues configuration. Every conic in $\pi$ passing through the 4 points of a complete quadrangle of $D_\pi$ is apolar to the von Staudt conic $s_\pi$.

Proof: Since apolarity is a linear condition and by the special choice of the coordinates it suffices to show that the quadric cones $Q_1, Q_2, Q_1'Q_2'$ (for the notation see proof of Theorem 3.2) are apolar to the the quadric $diag(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4)$ in $\mathbb{P}_3$, whose restriction to $\pi$ is $s_\pi$, which is an immediate computation.

In order to show that a conic $q$ in the plane $\pi$ passing through the points of a complete quadrangle of $D_\pi$ is the unique conic apolar to $s_\pi$ and passing through $q \cap s_\pi$ (with multiplicities) we change the coordinates. We choose the coordinates $(x_0 : x_1 : x_2)$ of $\pi = \mathbb{P}_2$ in such a way that the von Staudt conic $s_\pi$ is given by the equation

$$x_1^2 - 4x_0x_2 = 0 \quad (1)$$

So the matrix of the dual conic is:

$$\hat{s}_\pi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & -4 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Let $(t_0 : t_1)$ denote homogeneous coordinates of $\mathbb{P}_1$. Then

$$(t_0 : t_1) \rightarrow (x_0 : x_1 : x_2) = (t_0^2 : 2t_0t_1 : t_1^2)$$
is a parametrization $\mathbb{P}_1 \to s_\pi$ of $s_\pi$. Using this, any effective divisor of degree 4 on $s_\pi$ can be interpreted as a binary quartic $f(t_0, t_1)$. Hence

$$f(t_0, t_1) = a_0 t_0^4 + 4 a_1 t_0^3 t_1 + 6 a_2 t_0^2 t_1^2 + 4 a_3 t_0^1 t_1^3 + a_4 t_1^4$$

(2)

with $(a_0 : a_1 : a_2 : a_3 : a_4) \in \mathbb{P}_5$ representing an arbitrary divisor of degree 4 on $s_\pi$. Given an effective divisor $\delta$, of degree 4 on $s_\pi$, i.e. a binary quartic $f$, consider the conic

$$q = a_0 x_0^2 + a_2 x_1^2 + a_4 x_2^2 + 2 a_1 x_0 x_1 + 2 a_2 x_0 x_2 + 2 a_3 x_1 x_2.$$

**Lemma 4.3.** The conic $q$ is the unique conic passing through the divisor $\delta$ and apolar to the von Staudt conic $s_\pi$.

**Proof:** Note first that $q$ passes through $\delta$ by the choice of the parametrization. It is apolar to $s_\pi$, since

$$tr \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 \\ a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ a_2 & a_3 & a_4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & -4 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 2 a_2 - 4 a_2 + 2 a_2 = 0.$$

On the other hand, apolarity is one linear condition. Hence the space of conics apolar to $s_\pi$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}_4$. Now it is easy to see ([3], p.17) that any divisor of degree 4 on $s_\pi$ imposes independent conditions on conics. In other words, the space of conics passing through $\delta$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}_1$. Hence there is exactly one conic passing through $\delta$ and apolar to $s_\pi$ if we only show that not every conic passing through $\delta$ is apolar to $s_\pi$.

For this we assume $\delta = p_1 + p_2 + p_3 + p_4$ with $p_i \neq p_j$, for $i \neq j$, the degenerate cases being even easier to check. We may choose the coordinates in such a way that in $\mathbb{P}_1$ we have $p_1 = (0 : 1)$, $p_2 = (1 : 0)$, $p_3 = (1 : 1)$, $p_4 = (1 : t)$ with $t \neq 0, 1$.

So in $\mathbb{P}_2 : p_1 = (0 : 0 : 1), p_2 = (1 : 0 : 0), p_3 = (1 : 2 : 1), p_4 = (1 : 2 t : t^2)$ then $2t(t - 1)xy + (1 - t^2)y^2 + (2t - 2)yz = 0$ is a conic passing through $p_i$, for $i = 1, \ldots, 4$ and not apolar to $s_\pi$, if $t \neq -1$.

If $t = -1$ then $2xy + 4xz - y^2 - 2yz$ satisfies these conditions. \[ \Box \]

We call $q$ the conic associated to the binary quartic ([2]). If we associate to every divisor of a pencil $\lambda \delta_1 + \mu \delta_2$ of quartic divisors the unique conic of Lemma 4.3, we obtain a pencil of conics. Hence we obtain as an immediate consequence of Proposition 4.2 and Lemma 4.3.

**Corollary 4.4.** Let $D_\pi$ be a Desargues configuration. The pencil of conics $\lambda q_1 + \mu q_2$ passing through the 4 points of a complete quadrangle of $D_\pi$ is the unique pencil of conics cutting out the pencil of quartic divisors $(\lambda q_1 + \mu q_2) \cap s_\pi$ which is apolar to the von Staudt conic $s_\pi$.

Given a smooth conic $q_0$, we choose the coordinates in such a way that $q_0$ is given by equation ([2]). As outlined above, any pencil of binary quartics can be interpreted (up to isomorphism) as a pencil of quartic divisors on $q_0$. There is a unique pencil of conics associated to it according to Lemma 4.3. We call a pencil of binary quartics (respectively the corresponding pencil of quartic divisors) admissible if its associated pencil of conics is general, i.e. its base locus consists of 4 different points. The following proposition is the first step in the proof of Theorem 4.1.
Proposition 4.5. Consider an admissible pencil of quartic divisors on a smooth conic \(q_0\). There is a unique Desargues configuration \(D\) such that \(q_0\) is the von Staudt conic of \(D\) and the pencil of quartics is cut out on \(q_0\) by the pencil of conics passing through the points of a complete quadrangle of \(D\).

Proof: Let \(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4\) denote the 4 base points of the pencil of conics associated to the given pencil of quartic divisors. Consider the complete quadrangle consisting of the 4 points \(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4\) and the 6 lines \(\ell_{ij} = \overline{p_ip_j}\) for \(1 \leq i < j \leq 4\). Let \(p_{ij}\) be the poles of the lines \(\ell_{ij}\) and \(\ell_i\) the polars of the points \(p_i\) with respect to the conic \(q_0\). Then the 10 points \(P_{ij}, p_i\) and the 10 lines \(\ell_{ij}, \ell_i\) form a Desargues configuration according to a theorem proved by von Staudt in 1831 (see [2], p.62). Using Lemma 4.3 we have the assertion.

Before we go on, let us note the following characterization of admissible binary quartics, which we need later.

Proposition 4.6. The pencil of binary quartics \(\lambda f_1 + \mu f_2\) with \(f_i = \sum_{j=1}^{4} (i^j) a_j t_0^{i-j} t_1^j\) is admissible if and only if the discriminant of the binary cubic \(\det(t_0 q_1 + t_1 q_2)\) is nonzero, where \(q_i = \begin{pmatrix} a_0' & a_1' & a_2' \\ a_1' & a_2' & a_3' \\ a_2' & a_3' & a_4' \end{pmatrix}\) is the matrix of the conic associated to \(f_i\) for \(i = 1, 2\).

Proof: This is a consequence of the fact (see [12], Section 6.3,) that the base locus of a pencil of conics consists of 4 different points if and only if its discriminant does not vanish together with Lemma 4.3.

In order to complete the proof of Theorem 4.1 we need to compute the degree of the Jacobian map. Note that the binary quartic \(2\) determines a point in \(\mathbb{P}_4\) namely \((a_0 : \ldots : a_4)\). If we consider \(\mathbb{P}_4\) as the space of quartics, the space of pencils of binary quartics is \(Gr(1,4)\) the Grassmannian of lines in \(\mathbb{P}_4\). Considering in the same way the space of binary sextics \(\sum_{i=0}^{6} a_it_0^{6-i}t_1^i\) as \(\mathbb{P}_6\), the map associating to every pencil of quartics its Jacobian defines a morphism

\[ Jac : Gr(1,4) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}_6. \]

Note that \(Gr(1, 4)\) is also of dimension 6. We need the following lemma:

Lemma 4.7. The Jacobian map \(Jac : Gr(1,4) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}_6\) is a ramified covering of degree 5.

Proof: Let \(\lambda f_1 + \mu f_2\) be a pencil of quartics with \(f_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{4} (i^j) a_it_0^{i-4}t_1^4\) and \(f_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{4} (i^j) b_it_0^{i-4}t_1^4\). Its Jacobian is given by:

\[ Jac(f_1, f_2) = \det(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial t_j}) = \]

\[ 16[p_{01}t_0^6+3p_{02}t_0^5t_1+(2p_{12}+p_{03})t_0^4t_1^2+(8p_{13}+p_{04})t_0^3t_1^3+3(2p_{23}+p_{14})t_0^2t_1^4+3p_{24}t_0t_1^5+p_{34}t_1^6], \]

where \(p_{ij} := a_ib_j - a_jb_i\) denotes the Plücker coordinates of the pencil. In particular up to a constant the Jacobian does not depend on the choice of \(f_1\) and \(f_2\) and defines a point \(Jac(\lambda f_1 + \mu f_2)\) in \(\mathbb{P}_6\). The explicit form of \(Jac(f_1, f_2)\) implies that the map \(Jac\) factorizes via the Plücker embedding \(p\).
with a linear projection map \( q \). In fact \( Jac \) is given by

\[
\lambda f_1 + \mu f_2 \mapsto (p_0 : 3p_{02} : 3(2p_{12} + p_{03}) : 8p_{13} + p_{04} : 3(2p_{23} + p_{14}) : 3p_{24} : p_{34}) \in \mathbb{P}_6.
\]

It is the linear projection of the \( \mathbb{P}_9 \) with coordinates \((p_0 : \ldots : p_{34})\) with center the plane \( P \) with equations \( p_{01} = p_{02} = 2p_{12} + p_{03} = 8p_{13} + p_{04} = 2p_{23} + p_{14} = p_{24} = p_{34} = 0 \). On the other hand, the Plücker variety \( p(Gr(1, 4)) \) in \( \mathbb{P}_9 \) is given by the Plücker relations among which, there are

\[
\begin{align*}
p_{12}p_{34} - p_{13}p_{24} + p_{14}p_{23} &= 0 \\
p_{01}p_{34} - p_{03}p_{14} + p_{04}p_{13} &= 0 \\
p_{01}p_{23} - p_{02}p_{13} + p_{03}p_{12} &= 0
\end{align*}
\]

Now if \((p_0 : \ldots : p_{34}) \in P \cap p(Gr(1, 4))\), this implies \( p_{14}p_{23} = p_{04}p_{13} = p_{03}p_{12} = 0 \), so all \( p_{ij} = 0 \). Hence the center of projection \( P \) does not intersect the Plücker variety. This implies that the degree of \( Jac \) equals the degree of the Plücker variety \( p(Gr(1, 4)) \) in \( \mathbb{P}_9 \). It is well known that this degree is 5 (see \cite{6}, p. 247). Since \( Jac \) cannot contract a positive dimensional subvariety of \( Gr(1, 4) \) this completes the proof of the lemma.

Using this we can finally prove Theorem \ref{main_theorem}.

**Proof of Theorem \ref{main_theorem}:** Since admissibility of a pencil of quartics is an open condition and the Jacobian map is finite, we conclude from Proposition \ref{openness} that \( \Phi \) is dominant and there is an open dense set \( U \subset \mathcal{M}_6^b \) such that for every sextic \( j \in U \) the preimage \( Jac^{-1}(j) \) consists of exactly 5 pencils of quartics. Shrinking \( U \) if necessary we may assume according to Remark \ref{openness2} that every Desargues configuration \( D \in \Phi^{-1}(U) \) admits 5 different pencils of quartic divisors on the von Staudt conic. Hence for every \( j \in U \) the 5 pencils of quartics of any preimage \( D \in \Phi^{-1}(j) \) must coincide. Thus by Proposition \ref{openness} \( \Phi^{-1}(j) \) consists only of one Desargues configuration, i.e. \( \Phi : \Phi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U \) is bijective. This implies that \( \Phi \) is of degree 1. It remains to show the injectivity.

Since \( \Phi \) is a finite birational morphism of normal varieties, the injectivity can only fail at points \( p \) of \( M_D \) for which \( \Phi(p) \) is a singular point of \( \mathcal{M}_6^b \). But \( \mathcal{M}_6^b \) admits only 3 singular points (see \cite{1}, Remark 5.4, p.5551 ), namely a point of multiplicity 5 represented by the binary sextic \( j_5 = t_5^6 - t_0t_1^5 \), a point of multiplicity 2 represented by \( j_2 = t_0^6 - t_0^2t_1^4 \), a point of multiplicity 3 represented by \( j_3 = t_0^5t_1 - t_0^3t_1^3 \). The moduli space \( M_D \) also admits a singular point of multiplicity 5: the Desargues configuration \( D_{11} \) with \( \pi_5 \) given by \( z_1 - \mu z_2 + \mu^2 z_3 - \mu^3 z_4 = 0 \) where \( \mu \) is a root of the equation \( x^4 + x^3 - x^2 + x - 1 = 0 \), admits an automorphism of order 5 namely:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 1
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
It permutes the points $e_i$ as follows: $e_1 \mapsto e_2 \mapsto e_3 \mapsto e_4 \mapsto e_5 \mapsto e_1$. It is easy to check that $D_{E_5}$ is of multiplicity 5 in $M_d$ and that $\Phi^{-1}(j_5) = D_{E_5}$.

As in Example 5.3 below one checks that all pencils of quartics with Jacobian $j_2$ are not admissible. Hence $j_2$ is not in the image of $\Phi$. Finally as in Example 5.4 below one checks that only one pencil of quartics with Jacobian $j_3$ is admissible namely $\lambda f_3 + \mu g_3$ with $f_3 = 2t_0^4 + 6t_0^2t_1^2 + 4t_0t_1^3$ and $g_3 = \frac{1}{16}t_0^2t_1^2$. Hence $\Phi$ is also injective at the corresponding point at $M_D$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

5 The image of the map $\Phi$

Using the set up of the last section we are now in a position to prove the following theorem, which was announced (but not applied) already in Section 3.

**Theorem 5.1.** For any Desargues configuration $D$ the associated binary sextic $J = \Phi(D)$ is stable.

**Proof:** Let $J(t_0, t_1)$ be a nonstable binary sextic, i.e. admitting a root of multiplicity $\geq 3$. We choose coordinates in such a way that this root is $(0 : 1)$. Hence $J$ is of the form

$$J(t_0, t_1) = A_0t_0^6 + A_1t_0^5t_1 + A_2t_0^4t_1^2 + A_3t_0^3t_1^3.$$ 

Suppose $\lambda f + \mu g$ with $f = \sum_{i=1}^{4} \binom{4}{i}a_it_0^{4-i}t_1^i$ and $g = \sum_{i=1}^{4} \binom{4}{i}b_it_0^{4-i}t_1^i$ is a pencil of binary quartics whose Jacobian is $J$ (up to a nonzero constant). According to the results of Section 4, it suffices to show that $\lambda f + \mu g$ is not admissible which according to Proposition 4.4 means that $\det(t_0q_1 + t_1q_2)$ admits a double root where $q_1$ and $q_2$ denote the conics associated to $f$ and $g$. By the equation for $J$ given in the proof of Lemma 4.7 the coefficients of $f$ and $g$ satisfy the following system of equations

$$2(a_2b_3 - a_3b_2) + a_1b_4 - a_4b_1 = 0$$
$$a_2b_4 - a_4b_2 = 0$$
$$a_3b_4 - a_4b_3 = 0$$

(3)

Assume first that $a_4$ or $b_4 \neq 0$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $a_4 = 1$. Replacing $g$ by $g - b_4f$ we may assume $b_4 = 0$. But then the system (3) implies $b_3 = b_2 = b_1 = 0$ and we obtain

$$\det(t_0q_1 + t_1q_2) = \begin{pmatrix}
    t_0a_0 + t_1b_0 & t_0a_1 & t_0a_2 \\
    t_0a_1 & t_0a_2 & t_0a_3 \\
    t_0a_2 & t_0a_3 & t_0 \\
\end{pmatrix}$$

which has a double root $(0 : 1)$. Hence $a_4 = b_4 = 0$. Then (3) just says

$$a_2b_3 - a_3b_2 = 0$$

(4)

If $a_3 = b_3 = 0$,

$$\det(t_0q_1 + t_1q_2) = \begin{pmatrix}
    t_0a_0 + t_1b_0 & t_0a_1 + t_1b_1 & t_0a_2 + t_1b_2 \\
    t_0a_1 + t_1b_1 & t_0a_2 + t_1b_2 & 0 \\
    t_0a_2 + t_1b_2 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix}$$
which has a triple root. Finally, again without loss of generality, we may assume that \(a_3 = 1, b_3 = 0\). Then (\(i\)) implies \(b_2 = 0\) and

\[
\det(t_0q_1 + t_1q_2) = \begin{pmatrix}
  t_0a_0 + t_1b_0 & t_0a_1 + t_1b_1 & t_0a_2 \\
  t_0a_1 + t_1b_1 & t_0a_2 & t_0 \\
  t_0a_2 & t_0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

which again has a double root \((0:1)\). This completes the proof of the theorem. \(\Box\)

Theorems 4.1 and 5.1 lead to the question whether the map \(\Phi : M_D \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_6^b\) is surjective. In order to analyze this question, recall that we represented a quartic divisor on the conic \(s : x_1^2 - 4x_0x_2\) by the binary quartic:

\[
f(t_0, t_1) = a_0t_0^4 + 4a_1t_0^3t_1 + 6a_2t_0^2t_1^2 + 4a_3t_0t_1^3 + a_4t_1^4
\]

and the conic associated to it was given by the matrix \(\begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 \\ a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ a_2 & a_3 & a_4 \end{pmatrix}\). We are identifying in this way the projective space \(\mathbb{P}_4 = \mathbb{P}_4(a_0 : \ldots : a_4)\) of binary quartics with the \(\mathbb{P}_4\) of conics apolar to the conic \(s\). Similarly we consider \(Gr(1, 4)\) as a space of pencils of conics. Hence we get a map

\[Jac : Gr(1, 4) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_6\]

associating to every pencil of conics apolar to \(s\) the Jacobian of its associated pencil of binary quartics. According to the definition of the map \(\Phi\) a binary sextic \(j\) is in the image of \(\Phi\) if and only if the pre-image \(Jac^{-1}(j)\) (which consists of 5 pencils counted with multiplicities) consists only of special pencils of conics, i.e. pencils of conics \(\lambda q_1 + \mu q_2\) such that \(\det(\lambda q_1 + \mu q_2)\) admits a multiple root \((\lambda : \mu)\). Let \(\mathcal{D} \subset Gr(1, 4)\) denote the hypersurface of \(Gr(1, 4)\) given by the equation

\[\text{discrim}(\det(\lambda q_1 + \mu q_2)) = 0\]

\(Jac\) being a finite morphism, the image \(Jac(\mathcal{D})\) is a hypersurface in \(\mathbb{P}_6\). If \(U\) denotes the open set of \(\mathbb{P}_6\) parametrizing stable sextics and \(\rho : U \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_6^b\) the natural projection, it is easy to see that also

\[\mathcal{H} := p(Jac(\mathcal{D}) \cap U)\]

is a hypersurface in \(\mathcal{M}_6^b\). We obtain

**Proposition 5.2.** The image \(\Im(\Phi)\) of \(\Phi\) satisfies

\[\mathcal{M}_6^b \setminus \mathcal{H} \subset \Im(\Phi) \subset \mathcal{M}_6^b\]

The following two examples show that both inclusions are strict, i.e. \(\Im(\Phi) \neq \mathcal{M}_6^b\) and \(\mathcal{M}_6^b \setminus \mathcal{H} \neq \Im(\Phi)\).

**Example 5.3.** Consider the binary sextic

\[j_0 = -16t_1^6 - 48t_0^4t_1^2 + 128t_0^3t_1^3 - 48t_0^2t_1^4 - 16t_1^6\]

\(Jac^{-1}(j_0)\) contains the following 3 pencils of conics \(p_i = \lambda q_1^i + \mu q_2^i, (i = 1, 2, 3)\) with
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\quad q_1^1 = 
\begin{pmatrix}
-8 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
q_1^2 = 
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\quad q_2^1 = 
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
q_1^3 = 
\begin{pmatrix}
-1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\quad q_2^2 = 
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Since the Jacobian matrix of the map \(Jac : Gr(1,4) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_6\) at the points \(p_1, p_2, p_3\) of \(Gr(1,4)\) is of rank \(5, 6, 5\) the pencils \(p_1\) and \(p_3\) are in the ramification locus of \(Jac\). Hence \(Jac^{-1}(j_0) = \{p_1, p_2, p_3\}\). Now it is easy to check that \(\text{discr}(\det(\lambda q_1^i + \mu q_2^i)) = 0\) for \(i = 1, 2, 3\). Hence there is no Desargues configuration \(D_\pi\) with \(\Phi(D_\pi) = j_0\).

**Example 5.4.** Consider the binary sextic

\[
j_1 = 48t_0^6t_1 + 48t_0^2t_1^4
\]

\(Jac^{-1}(j_1)\) contains the 2 pencils of conics \(p_i = \lambda q_1^i + \mu q_2^i, (i = 1, 2)\) with

\[
q_1^1 = 
\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{2} & 1 & \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{4} \\
\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2} & \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{4} & \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{4} \\
\frac{1}{8}\sqrt{4} & \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{4} & \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{2} \\
\frac{1}{8}\sqrt{4} & \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{2} & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\quad q_2^1 = 
\begin{pmatrix}
2\sqrt{2} & -1 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
q_1^2 = 
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & \frac{1}{2} \\
1 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\quad q_2^2 = 
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

One easily checks \(\text{discr}(\det(\lambda q_1^1 + \mu q_2^1)) = 0\) and \(\text{discr}(\det(\lambda q_1^2 + \mu q_2^2)) \neq 0\). So \(\lambda q_1^2 + \mu q_2^2\) comes from a Desargues configuration, whereas \(\lambda q_1^1 + \mu q_2^1\) does not. This implies \(j_1 \in \mathfrak{S}m(\Phi)\), but \(j_1 \in H\).

### 6 Special Desargues configurations

Consider the 10 points in \(\mathbb{P}_3\)

\[
S_{12} := e_1 e_2 \cap e_3 e_4 e_5 = (1 : 1 : 0 : 0) \quad S_{34} := e_3 e_4 \cap e_1 e_2 e_5 = (0 : 0 : 1 : 1)
\]

\[
S_{13} := e_1 e_3 \cap e_2 e_4 e_5 = (1 : 0 : 1 : 0) \quad S_{15} := e_1 e_5 \cap e_2 e_3 e_4 = (0 : 1 : 1 : 1)
\]

\[
S_{14} := e_1 e_4 \cap e_2 e_3 e_5 = (1 : 0 : 0 : 1) \quad S_{25} := e_2 e_5 \cap e_1 e_3 e_4 = (1 : 0 : 1 : 1)
\]
Recall that a Desargues configuration $D_\pi$ is special if and only if the plane $\pi$ contains one of the points $S_{ij}$ (and no point $e_j$). The following theorem gives a characterization of special Desargues configurations in terms of their associated binary sextics.

**Theorem 6.1.** For a Desargues configuration $D_\pi$ the following statements are equivalent:

1. $D_\pi$ is a special Desargues configuration.
2. The binary sextic $\Phi(D_\pi)$ admits a double point.

**Proof:** Suppose $\pi$ given by $f(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{4} \alpha_i z_i = 0$ is a nondegenerate plane in $\mathbb{P}_3$, such that $\Phi(D_\pi)$ admits a double point. According to Theorem 3.3 $\Phi(D_\pi)$ is the sextic divisor on the von Staudt conic defined by the complete intersection $I(f, g, h)$ with $g(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{4} \alpha_i z_i^2 = 0$ and $h(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{4} \alpha_i z_i^3 = 0$. A point on this complete intersection scheme is a double point if and only if the rank of the Jacobian matrix $J(f, g, h)$ is $\leq 2$ at this point. But

$$J(f, g, h) = \begin{pmatrix} df \\ dq \\ dh \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \alpha_3 & \alpha_4 \\ 2\alpha_1 z_1 & 2\alpha_2 z_2 & 2\alpha_3 z_3 & 2\alpha_4 z_4 \\ 3\alpha_1 z_1^2 & 3\alpha_2 z_2^2 & 3\alpha_3 z_3^2 & 3\alpha_4 z_4^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

So $rk(J(f, g, h)) = rk \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ z_1 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 \\ z_1^2 & z_2^2 & z_3^2 & z_4^2 \end{pmatrix} \leq 2$ if and only if

$$det\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ z_1 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 \\ z_1^2 & z_2^2 & z_3^2 & z_4^2 \end{pmatrix} \} \text{ with } i^{th} \text{ column omitted} = 0$$

for $i = 1, \ldots, 4$. But these are Vandermonde determinants. Hence $(z_1 : \ldots : z_4) \in \Phi(D_\pi)$ is a double point if and only if it satisfies the following system of equations

$$\begin{cases} (z_1 - z_2)(z_1 - z_3)(z_2 - z_3) = 0 \\ (z_1 - z_2)(z_1 - z_4)(z_2 - z_4) = 0 \\ (z_1 - z_3)(z_1 - z_4)(z_3 - z_4) = 0 \\ (z_2 - z_3)(z_2 - z_4)(z_3 - z_4) = 0 \end{cases}$$

The solutions of the system above are exactly the points in $\mathbb{P}_3$ with 3 equal coordinates or two pairs of equal coordinates. So after normalizing one type of such points is represented by $(1 : 1 : 1 : \gamma)$ and the other by $(1 : 1 : \gamma : \gamma)$ with $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$. Such a point is contained in the complete intersection $I(f, g, h)$ if and only if $\gamma = 1$ or $\gamma = 0$. If $\gamma = 1$ then $s_\pi$ contains the point $e_5$, so $\pi$ is degenerate. If $\gamma = 0$ then the $(z_1 : \ldots : z_4)$ is one of the 10 points $S_{ij}$ as above. We conclude that $\Phi(D_\pi)$ admits a double point if and only if $D_\pi$ is special. \qed

**Remark 6.2.** In the same way one can show that a Desargues configuration $D_\pi$ admits 2 (respectively 3) lines containing 4 points if and only if $\Phi(D_\pi)$ admits 2 (respectively 3) double points.
7 Degenerate Desargues configuration

In this section we study an extension of the map $\Phi : M_D \rightarrow M_D^6$ to a holomorphic map $\Phi : U \rightarrow M_D^6$ where $U$ is an open set with $M_D \subset U \subset \overline{M_D}$, where the first inclusion is strict. First we have to define the von Staudt conic for degenerate Desargues configurations.

Recall that the von Staudt conic of a non degenerate Desargues configuration $D_\pi$ is defined by the fact that the map associating to every point $p_{ij}$ in $D_\pi$ the line $l_{klm}$ with complementary indices is a polarity. This definition can be generalized verbatim to the case of generalized Desargues configurations. One only has to add that if 2 points $p_{ij}$ and $p_{kl}$ fall together, no line is associated to them.

To be more precise, let $D_\pi$ be of the first kind, i.e. $D_\pi$ contains exactly one of the points $e_i$. For the sake of notational simplicity we assume that $e_5 \in \pi$ (see Figure 3). In this case the map associating to $p_{ij}$ ($i, j \neq 5$) the line $l_{klm}$ is just given by the involution $i$ on the pencil of lines through $e_5$ given by the fact that $D_\pi$ is a limit of Desargues configurations (see Section 1). This involution has 2 fixed lines and their union is the von Staudt conic $s_\pi$.

Let now $D_\pi$ be of the second kind. Without loss of generality, we assume the case of Figure 4, i.e. $e_4$ and $e_5 \in \pi$. There are only 3 points apart from $e_4$ and $e_5$ namely $p_{12}, p_{13}$ and $p_{23}$. To $p_{12}$ the line $l_{345}$ is associated, to $p_{13}$ the line $l_{245}$ and to $p_{23}$ the line $l_{145}$. But these 3 lines coincide with $e_4e_5$. Hence the von Staudt conic $s_\pi$ in this case is the double line $e_4e_5$.

Finally if $D_\pi$ is of the third kind, there are 3 points in the plane $\pi$ to which no line is associated. This implies that the von Staudt conic $s_\pi$ is the zero conic, i.e. the whole plane $\pi$. Note that if $D_\pi$ is of the $i^{th}$ kind, the rank of the conic is $3 - i$. Analogously to Lemma 3.1 we have:

Lemma 7.1. Suppose $D_\pi$ is a degenerate Desargues configuration. The von Staudt conic $s_\pi$ of $D_\pi$ is given by the equation $\sum_{i=1}^{4} \alpha_i z_i^2 = 0$ in the plane $\pi = \sum_{i=1}^{4} \alpha_i z_i = 0$.

Proof: One can prove this either in the same way as Lemma 3.1 using an analogous version of Reyes remark (see proof of Lemma 3.1) or just check it by computation:

If $D_\pi$ is degenerate of the first kind with say $e_4 \in \pi$, i.e. $\pi$ is given by $\alpha_1 z_1 + \alpha_2 z_2 + \alpha_3 z_3 = 0$ with $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \sum_{i=1}^{3} \alpha_i \neq 0$, the two fixed lines of the involution on the pencil of lines with centre $e_4$ are

$$\ell_1 : \ (-\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 - \alpha_2 \sqrt{D}) z_2 - (-\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 + \alpha_3 \sqrt{D}) z_3 = 0$$

and

$$\ell_2 : \ (-\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 + \alpha_2 \sqrt{D}) z_2 - (-\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 - \alpha_3 \sqrt{D}) z_3 = 0$$

with $D = -\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3) \neq 0$. One only has to check that the ideal $\left( \sum_{i=1}^{3} \alpha_i z_i, \ell_1, \ell_2 \right)$ coincides with the ideal of $s_\pi$.

If $D_\pi$ is degenerate of the second kind with say $e_3, e_4 \in \pi$ one easily checks that $(\alpha_1 z_1 + \alpha_2 z_2, \alpha_1 z_1^2 + \alpha_2 z_2^2)$ is the ideal of the double line $s_\pi = 2e_3e_4$. Finally if $D_\pi$ is
degenerate of the third kind with say \( e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \pi \) then \((\alpha_1 z_1, \alpha_1 z_1^2)\) is the ideal of the whole plane \(\pi\).

In order to investigate a possible extension of the map \(\Phi : M_D \rightarrow M^6_b\), recall its definition. Consider a Desargues configuration with von Staudt conic \(s_\pi\). The pencil of conics passing through a complete quadrangle of \(D_\pi\) restricts to a pencil of quartic divisors in \(s_\pi\) whose Jacobian is a stable sextic \(J\) independent of the choice of complete quadrangle and we defined \(\Phi(D_\pi) = J\). Note that \(J\) was given by
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{4} \alpha_i z_i = \sum_{i=1}^{4} \alpha_i z_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{4} \alpha_i z_i^3 = 0,
\]
(6)
if \(\pi : \sum_{i=1}^{4} \alpha_i z_i = 0\). (Notice that the difference to the above situation is that one (or two) of the constants \(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_4, \alpha_1 + \ldots + \alpha_4\) vanishes.) Now if \(D_\pi\) is of the first kind, the von Staudt conic is the union of two lines which intersect in a point, say \(p_0 \in \pi\) and it is easy to check that the divisor \(j_\pi\) on \(s_\pi\) given by equations (6) is 6\(p_0\). If \(D_\pi\) is of the second kind, the von Staudt conic is a double line \(s_\pi = 2\ell_\pi\) and it is easy to see that the zero set of (6) is the whole line \(\ell_\pi\). In the first case \(j_\pi\) can be interpreted as the sextic with a 6-fold point and in the second case not a sextic at all. In any case \(j_\pi\) cannot (at least in an obvious way) be interpreted as a semistable binary sextic. This means that the geometric definition of the map \(\Phi\) does not extend to degenerate Desargues configurations.

Remark 7.2. At first sight this seems to contradict the fact that the rational map \(\Phi : \overline{M}_D \cdots \rightarrow M^6_b\) is a morphism outside a subvariety of codimension \(\geq 2\). The explanation comes from the fact that the space of all binary sextics is not separated.
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