A prefix brachial plexus with two trunks and one anterior cord
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The brachial plexus presents a great variability in formation, division and branching pattern. Its variants are of immense importance during axillary and arm surgery and nerve blockade.

The current case highlights a unilateral atypical formation of brachial plexus, the so called prefix, in which the C₄ root contributed a large branch to the superior trunk and further anastomosis with the inferior trunk. Thus, the prefix or high brachial plexus consisted of a superior and inferior trunk and one anterior cord.

Coexisting neural and arterial variations are also discussed in relation to the data literature. (Folia Morphol 2020; 79, 2: 402–406)
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INTRODUCTION

The brachial plexus (BP) is a complex neural network typically formed by the union of the ventral rami of C₅, C₆, C₇, C₈ and T₁ nerves and supplies cutaneous, muscular and sympathetic innervation to the upper limb. The ventral rami of C₅ and C₆ nerves unite to form the superior trunk (ST), the C₇ nerve courses as the middle trunk (MT) and C₈ and T₁ nerves unite to form the inferior trunk (IT). Infraclavicularly, each trunk bifurcates into anterior and posterior divisions. The ST and MT anterior divisions form the lateral cord (LC). The IT anterior division continues as the medial cord (MC). Posterior divisions of all trunks unite to form the posterior cord (PC). From the LC, MC and PC arise the terminal branches of BP including the musculocutaneous, median, ulnar, axillary and radial nerves (MCN, MN, UN, AN and RN) [22] (Fig. 1).

Almost 50% of the BP showed variability in their branching pattern [2]. Anomalies of the cords and terminal branches of BP have been well documented [8, 10, 25]; however, anomalies of the roots and trunks are comparatively rare and have been report-
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BP variants are of immense importance during surgery of the axilla and arm region and during nerve blockade. BP may be damaged in open, closed or obstetrical injuries, it may be pressed upon by the cervical rib or through the atypical growth of the Struthers’ ligament which presses the median nerve and Struthers arcades which cause the ulnar nerve compression [24] or it may be involved in a tumour [3, 16]. Understanding BP variants helps the clinicians explain some previously unexplained clinical symptoms, such as significant disability after injury.

The current case report highlights a case of unilateral abnormal formation of the BP, the so-called prefix or high BP, in which the C4 root contributed to the ST formation and further anastomosis with the IT. The atypical left-sided prefix BP consisted of two trunks (ST and IT) and one anterior cord formed after the fusion of the ST and IT anterior divisions. Coexisted axillary artery (AA) variations and its relations to BP arrangement are described and the ontogeny and phylogeny of this variant entity are also discussed.

**CASE REPORT**

During routine dissection of the axilla and arm in a 76-year-old formalin-embalmed female cadaver conducted for undergraduate medical students in the Department of Anatomy and Surgical Anatomy of the Medical School of the Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, a unilateral variation of the BP was observed at the roots, trunks’ division and cords’ formation, at the left side. Further dissection of the neck and pectoral region was performed to meticulously observe BP formation at the level of the roots, trunks, divisions, cords and branching pattern. The AA was located posteromedial to both the anterior cord (AC) and PC. Two trunks (ST and IT) instead of three were identified. The ST was formed by the union of C4, C5 and C6 roots. The special contribution of C4 root characterises the BP as prefix. The C7 root, instead of continuing as the MT, joined with the C8 and T1 roots to form the IT. Anterior divisions of the ST and IT joined to form an AC and posterior divisions of the two trunks joined to form a PC instead of the three typical cords (LC, MC and PC) (Figs. 2, 3).

---

**Figure 2.** A. The atypical formation of the prefixed brachial plexus (contribution of C4 root). A, B. C4, C5 and C6 roots joined to form the superior trunk (ST) and C7, C8 and T1 to form the inferior trunk (IT). The anterior and posterior cords (AC and PC); AA — axillary artery; AS — anterior scalene muscle; CCT — costocervical trunk; RN — radial nerve; AN — axillary nerve; TDN — thoracodorsal nerve; MCN — musculocutaneous nerve; UN — ulnar nerve; MBCN — medial brachial cutaneous nerve; MN — median nerve.

**Figure 3.** The atypical formation of the prefixed brachial plexus (contribution of C4 root). C4, C5 and C6 roots joined to form the superior trunk (ST) and C7, C8 and T1 to form the inferior trunk (IT). The anterior and posterior cords (AC and PC); AA — axillary artery; AS — anterior scalene muscle; AP — ansa pectoralis; AN — axillary nerve; MCN — musculocutaneous nerve; UN — ulnar nerve; MBCN — medial brachial cutaneous nerve; MN — median nerve.
Both cords were located anterolateral to the AA. The suprascapular nerve only contained fibres from C4 and C5, but not C6 root. The MCN, MN, UN and medial cutaneous brachial and antebrachial nerves originated from the AC by a common stem. The lateral pectoral nerve received fibres from C4, C5 and C6 roots and from the anterior part of C7, C8 and T1 and the medial pectoral nerve from the posterior part of C7, C8 and T1. Both pectoral nerves formed an ansa pectoralis (Fig. 4). The point of AC formation was the level of thoracoacromial trunk origin from the AA. The superior subscapular nerve originated from the posterior divisions of C4, C5 and C6 roots. The thoracodorsal nerve, the AN and RN arising at the same level from the PC. The AN, after its common origin with the inferior subscapular nerve, divided into an anterior and posterior branch (Fig. 4). The intercostobrachial nerve coursed anterior to the long thoracic nerve and posterior to the lateral thoracic artery. The MN originated from the AC. The anterior and posterior circumflex humeral arteries arising by a common trunk at the same level of origin with of subscapular artery which further divided into the circumflex scapular artery and the thoracodorsal artery. The posterior circumflex humeral artery accompanied the AN (Fig. 5). On the right side, a typical pattern of the BP was detected.

**DISCUSSION**

**Embryological development of the brachial plexus**

In the 4th developmental week, the first differentiation of the mesenchyme occurs. Primordia of the dorsal nerves end up at the height of the distal end of the humerus in the sheath of the forming primordia arm muscles. On 32nd day, nerves’ extensions from C5–T1 are formed and on 33rd day, the nerves merge and BP formation begins. Between 39th and 40th day, the MN, RN and UN reach the hand. A similar arrangement and orientation of the BP to that in adults is observed on the 49th and 50th day [5]. As the embryonic somites migrate to form the extremities, they carry their neural supply, so that each dermatome and myotome retains its original segmental innervation. Throughout somite migration, some nerves fuse in a particular pattern, forming a plexus early in foetal life [5, 7].
Prasada Rao and Chaudary [19] suggested that developmentally, the BP in humans appears as a single radicular cone in the upper limb bud. Initially, a plexus is formed by a connection between the spinal nerves and then it develops into a solid plate that finally divides into separate trunks and then, divisions. The posterior division supplies the extensor muscles and the anterior division the flexor ones [12]. Any alterations in the signalling between the mesenchymal cells and the neuronal growth cones or the circulatory factors at the time of BP cords’ disruption, can lead to significant variations [6]. Ontogenically, the present variation may be due to a failure on the part of the radicular cone of the upper limb nerves to divide into different trunks.

Brachial plexus variations are often accompanied by vessels’ abnormalities [7, 12, 14]. The AA has an association to the cords’ division [14]. During development, if the AA has abnormal relations to the BP, the cords’ division would be modified by the presence of the abnormally placed artery [14, 17, 25]. Our case reinforces this view, since the AA is atypically located posteromedial to the AC and PC [14].

**Variant cases of abnormal brachial plexus**

A prefix pattern may be found in 10–65% of the BP [17]. Uncommon trunk variations reported in the literature are the IT absence characterised by the non-union of C7 and T1 [11, 25] and the MT absence [11, 18]. In cases of MT absence, the ST may be formed by the ventral rami of C7, C8, and T1 roots [11, 18] or the IT may be formed by the ventral rami of C7, C8 and T1, [11]. Unilateral ST variations have been reported [11, 25]. The ventral rami of C7 and C8 roots, without joining to form the ST, independently divided into anterior and posterior divisions which joined the LC and PC, respectively. Additionally, the atypical suprascapular nerve originated directly from C5 root [1, 9, 26].

Formation of the IT of the BP by the C7 and C8 roots is very rare. Singla et al. [21] mentioned the MT absence, with the C7 root joining C8 and C6 to form the upper trunk; the lower trunk being formed by C8 and T1. In our case, the two trunks were formed, but C7 root joined C6 and T1.

Uysal et al. [25] supported that the ST absence was less common (1%) than the IT absence (9%).

**Clinical significance**

Brachial plexus variations, such as the occurrence of a prefix pattern, may lead to deviation from the expected dermatome distribution or differences in the motor innervation of the upper limb muscles [17]. Clinical implications may appear in a cervical nerve root impingement. Thus, in individuals with a suprascapular nerve directly emerged from C5 root, a C5 root impingement may result in complete supraspinatus muscle paralysis [3, 13, 23].

The trunk variation presented in the current case did not result in abnormal terminal branches distal to the level of cords. Therefore, it is unlikely that the described variants would negatively affect the normal function of the upper limb, although this cannot be proven with certainty.

Superior trunk absence may increase the chance of nerve root avulsion due to BP traction injury. A downward traction force of the upper limb may cause a breaking strain expended on the BP from above and result in a C7 root lesion [23]. Thus, a blow from above on the neck or shoulder may stress the integrated cord and the stress is transmitted to the sites of cord attachment. One of these risk sites is where the nerve roots meet the spinal cord. According to Stevens [23], the combination of five cords as one will withstand a greater amount of strain than the same cords divided. Thus, in a typical BP, a portion of the stress applied to a cord will be transferred back to the spinal cord where it would then be disseminated to the cervical roots by way of the trunk. This division of force decreases the strain on cervical roots and may prevent avulsion. Absence of a trunk results in the full force of strain being applied to the cervical nerve root [13].

**CONCLUSIONS**

Knowledge of the BP variants is of paramount importance for radiologists, anaesthesiologists, neurosurgeons and orthopaedic surgeons. Cervical spine procedures need a thorough knowledge of the typical and abnormal formation of BP, as well as knowledge of the surgical treatment of tumours of the nerve sheaths and non-neural tumours. A BP with two trunks, with the lower trunk having a root value of C7, C8 and T1 may give a confusing clinical picture if it is affected by Klumpke’s paralysis. In such cases, the injury may not be restricted to C7 only, but rather may extend to C8. Moreover, the occurrence of BP variants may be used to explain unexpected clinical manifestations or nerve palsy syndromes and other vascular dilemmas. Taking into consideration the abnormal anatomy would aid anaesthesiologists to successfully blockade the infraclavicular nerves in order to approach the BP pathology [15, 20].
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