Primary ectopic frontotemporal craniopharyngioma
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INTRODUCTION: Primary ectopic craniopharyngiomas have only rarely been reported. Craniopharyngiomas involve usually the sellar and suprasellar region, but can be originated from cell remnants of the obliterated craniopharyngeal duct or metaplastic change of andenohypophyseal cells. We present the first case of a primary ectopic frontotemporal craniopharyngioma.

PRESENTATION OF CASE: A 35-year old woman presented with a one-year history of headache and diplopia. MRI showed a large frontotemporal cystic lesion. Tumor resection was performed with a keyhole endoscopic frontal lateral approach. The pathological features showed an adamantinomatous craniopharyngioma with a cholesterol granuloma reaction.

DISCUSSION: There have been reported different localizations for primary ectopic craniopharyngioma. Our case presented a lobulated frontotemporal cystic mass formed by a dense eosinophilic proteinaceous material dystrophic calcifications and cholesterol crystals, with epithelial remnants. No tumor regrowth was observed in the magnetic resonance image 27 months postoperatively.

CONCLUSION: Primary ectopic craniopharyngioma is a rare entity with a pathogenesis that remains uncertain. This is an unusual anatomic location associated with unique clinical findings.

© 2015 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. on behalf of Surgical Associates Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).
Fig. 1. Preoperative MRI (A and B) notice that midline structures and sellar compartment is not involved. Postoperative MRI (C and D) after 27 months revealed no residual tumor.

0° Karl Storz endoscope was introduced into the cystic cavity. A brownish fluid was drained and the natural cystic cavity was used for endoscopic navigation. Tumor resection was performed with endoscopic visualization with two-handed microsurgical technique with microsurgical dissectors, microscissors, aspirator and bipolar coagulation (see video).

2.3. Postoperative course

Left rectus paresis improved immediately after surgery. No diabetes insipidus, electrolyte imbalances or hormone deficiencies were documented during her hospital stay. KPS was 100 after surgery and during the follow up. MRI 27 months after surgery was negative to any residual tumor (Fig. 1C and D).

2.4. Pathology

The gross aspect of the tumor resected was a lobulated mass with spongy consistency and a cystic component of brownish thick liquid. Histologically we found a stratified epithelium remnant (Fig. 2D), immersed in dense, necrotic, eosinophilic and proteinaceous material (Fig. 2B), which formed cleft cholesterol (Fig. 2A) and dystrophic calcifications (Fig. 2C). No stellate reticulum was observed. Histopathology was suggestive of adamantinomatous variety of craniopharyngioma with a cholesterol granuloma reaction.

3. Discussion

3.1. Pathogenesis

The first tumor description of a craniopharyngioma was published by Mott and Barratt [13], in which they proposed that craniopharyngiomas might arise from the hypophyseal duct. In 1904, the pathologist Jakob Erdheim [14] was surprised to find small clusters of squamous epithelium above the cyst, at the junction of the infundibulum and pituitary. He proposed that craniopharyngioma originated from the embryonic squamous cell remnants of the obliterated craniopharyngeal duct. This theory explains the embryological reason for most of the adult craniopharyngiomas including the extracranial nasopharyngeal craniopharyngioma, unless they lacked of dural covering, intracranial extension or deformation on the sella; supporting the theory of ectopic infrasellar tissue [7]. The anterior, posterior and lateral extension has been reported extensively, but an ectopic craniopharyngioma does not have an intrasellar or suprasellar involvement. A primary ectopic craniopharyngioma is a tumor that arises for the first time without any previous surgery in any compartment with no midline involvement from remnants of the
Rathke’s pouch within the vestigial craniopharyngeal duct. Secondary ectopic craniopharyngioma is an ectopic recurrence of a previously operated tumor, that arises from tumor cell spillage along the resection corridor or via the cerebrospinal fluid, which is a rare but more common phenomenon [12].

Craniopharyngiomas are rare epithelial tumors that account for approximately 1–4% of all primary intracranial neoplasms in adults [8,15]. In our hospital The National Institute of Neurology and Neurosurgery, 153 adult patients underwent transcranial and transsphenoidal surgery in a period of 25 years [16], our neuropathology department reported that 86% were adamantinomatous and no primary ectopic case had been documented before [17].

There have been reported different localizations for primary ectopic craniopharyngioma including the cerebellopontine angle [5,6], extracranial infrasellar without dural covering [7] petroclival [3], ethmoid sinus [10], third ventricle [9], pineal gland [8] and temporal lobe [1]. To our knowledge, this is the first case of a primary ectopic frontotemporal craniopharyngioma. Two hypotheses have been proposed for the development of a craniopharyngioma. The first proposed by Erdheim, in which they may arise from any location along the craniopharyngeal duct [7]. The second supports the squamous cell nests may derive from metaplastic change of adenohypophyseal cells. The close morphologic similarity between craniopharyngioma and ameloblastoma of the jaw may also point to a common cellular origin such as embryonic misplacement of the stomodeal cells [18]. For secondary ectopic craniopharyngiomas the literature suggests that they can seed the surgical corridor or there may be originated from spillage of tumor cells into the subarachnoid space including either the cisterns or the Virchow–Robin spaces [12]. For a primary ectopic craniopharyngioma there is no hypothetical consensus, we believed that is a multifactorial process that starts with an embryological error from mismigrated cells in addition to mutations of oncogenes and tumor suppressor genes in which different endocrinological, growth and vascular factors produce an ideal environment.

Our case presented a lobulated cystic mass formed by a dense eosinophilic proteinaceous material dystrophic calcifications and cholesterol crystals, with epithelial remnants. Xanthogranulomatous change of craniopharyngioma, consisting of cholesterol clefts, macrophages, chronic inflammatory infiltrates, necrotic debris and hemosiderin deposits, has been traditionally considered a hallmark of the adamantinomatous variant, even in the absence of epithelium [19]. We believed that the patient did a cholesterol granuloma reaction, depending on the characteristic histological feature, cholesterol granuloma reaction was classified into 3 groups according Shirataki et al, [20] each of which also represented a step of process of organization. Group I (cholesterol clefts predominates in hemorrhagic and necrotic foci), group II (foreign body giant cells, macrophages, round cell infiltrations are present other than cholesterol clefts, signifying active organization) and group III (numerous cholesterol clefts predominates in fibrous scar tissue). Our tumor had a cholesterol granuloma reaction Grade III and only remnants of the epithelium were observed.

3.2. Endoscopic approach.

Although endoscopic techniques have been used since the beginning of the last century it is not always the preferred technique for craniopharyngioma depending on the origin of the tumor in relation to the diaphragm sella and the floor of the third ventricle. In our case the tumor was not in relation with the midline so the hypothalamus and pituitary were not at risk. A pterional approach would be reasonable for our frontotemporal cystic lesion, but we rather preferred a keyhole frontolateral approach. We thought about the same principle that is used for middle fossa arachnoid cysts in which the natural cavity allows better visualization and better angles for adequate dissection. After draining the giant cyst...
we introduced the endoscope and used a two-handed microsurgical technique with a better screen image of neurovascular elements, better illumination and less brain retraction. Endoscope intradural dissection is controversial because carries risks of neurovascular injury proximal to the endoscopic tip, the manipulation of the instruments through the corridor has to be made with precaution and direct vision. This is a unique cystic tumor that was proper for endoscopic resection. There is oncological control after two years without adjuvant radiation therapy and no endocrinological or neurological deficit.

4. Conclusion

Primary ectopic craniopharyngioma is a rare entity with a pathogenesis that remains uncertain. The primary treatment of craniopharyngioma remains surgical and the approach depends on the origin of the tumor. Pure endoscopic resection of the solid tumor was achieved in this case because the cavity allowed us proper navigation and safe dissection.
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