Parametric study of corn cob biochar (CCBc) yield via microwave pyrolysis
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Abstract. In the long-run, microwave pyrolysis can be a simpler and low energy-requiring alternative to conventional pyrolysis for the thermochemical conversion of biomass to useful products. However, there are still research gaps in its mechanism. Thus, this study investigated the various factors affecting the biochar yield using a half resolution \( (2^{4-1}) \) factorial design on the microwave pyrolysis of corn cob wastes. A viable biochar product was produced within minutes of the reaction; wherein, the statistical analysis confirmed the exposure time, microwave output power and their interaction as significant in the CCBc yield. The highest yield obtained was 52.87% when exposure time and output power were set to 5 min and 450 W, respectively. A general decreasing effect on the yield was observed from increasing exposure time and output power. This was due to the rapid heating experienced by the corn cob wastes causing the hydrocarbons to react and transform into permanent gases at higher temperatures. To confirm the carbon content of the CCBc, elemental analysis showed an average of 67.11% C at low time-low power (LTLP) of 450 W for 5 min and 81.32% C for the samples operated at high time-high power (HHTP) of 700 W for 10 min.

1 Introduction

Biomass is foreseen to have a much greater significant role in humanity’s carbon-free future supply of materials, fuel and energy. In the Philippines, renewable sources like biomass can be easily sourced from agricultural crops and their residues. Locally, there are over 30 million hectares of arable land with about 47% dedicated to growing crops [1]. Corn, being one of the top agricultural products in the country, is generated at 4.96 million tons per year [1] However, high throughputs of corn consequently creates high amounts of waste in the form of corn cobs and corn stover which are underutilized in the country.

In lieu of decarbonizing economies towards sustainability, pyrolysis is a well-known waste valorization technology which converts biomass wastes into useful fuels, chemicals and materials. It produces biogas for fuel [2], bio-oil for asphalt applications and recently biochar for gas separation [3], catalyst application [4], carbon sequestration [5] and even electrode nanomaterials [6].

Traditionally, pyrolysis is conducted in pressurized and complex reactor vessels with significant input of heat at prolonged amounts of time. Moreover, pre-processing requires the drying of biomass to increase the calorific value of the feedstock [7]. To circumvent the complexity and input of additional energy, a growing body of research shows microwave processing to be a beneficial alternative [4,8,9]. Ingole et. al [10] listed various factors affecting microwave assisted pyrolysis namely biomass type and size, moisture content, reaction temperature and time, microwave output power and type, reactor design, microwave absorber, catalyst, agitation and carrier gas. In this study, microwave output power, reaction time and absorber loading were investigated since there were no studies integrating such factors and these were individually identified as key players in controlling biochar yield as published in various studies [11][12] [13].

A study by Wang et. al [14] investigated the transformation of biomass into carbon by using a domestic microwave as pyrolysis reactor with the aid of a microwave absorber. It also follows an outward heating profile contrary to the inward profile of common heating mechanisms [15]. This creates a more uniform heat transfer within the sample which makes it a potential method for pyrolysis. Reaction time greatly decreased from hours in conventional methods to minutes inside a microwave which also lowered operational cost. However, there are little to no studies about the effects and interaction of various operating parameters such as exposure time, power, and amount of microwave absorber in production of biochar, especially in corn cob wastes. To trail braze the process development of microwave pyrolysis, the position of this study was to determine the significant factors through a parametric analysis affecting the production of corn cob biochar (CCBc).
2 Material and methods

2.1 Materials and reagents
A Samsung Me711K domestic microwave oven was used for the reactor. Moreover, a 50-cc quartz boiling flask lined with Teflon tape was fitted to a condenser to form a reactor vessel. Corn cobs were size reduced and sieved using a mesh 4 screen. Pyrrole monomer and FeCl₃ hexahydrate was used for the polymerization of polypyrrole.

2.2 Experimental set-up
This study investigated significant factors affecting biochar yield by using a half-resolution 2ᵏ⁻¹ factorial. The five factors investigated in the study are namely: (1) microwave exposure time, (2) catalyst concentration, (3) pyrrole concentration, (4) polymerization time of polypyrrole, and (5) microwave output power.

Figure 1 shows the experimental setup used in the pyrolysis of corn cob wastes. Five grams of the biomass was placed in an Erlenmeyer flask which was initially added with 50 mL of the pyrrole solution. It was let to stand for at least 2 minutes for proper wetting. Next, another 50 mL of the hexahydrate solution was added into the mixture. The in-situ formation of polypyrrole was denoted by the darkening of the solution. Next, the flasks were covered with aluminum foil to prevent contact with the environment.

![Figure 1. Experimental setup for pyrolysis experiment](image)

After 24 hours of in-situ polymerization under ambient conditions, the mixtures were filtered and pyrolyzed at varying time (5 min and 10 min) and output power (450 W and 700 W). Table 1 show the combination of factors used in the experiment.

| Level | Exposure Time (min) | Hexahydrate Conc (mol/L) | Pyrrole Conc (mol/L) | Polymerization Time (h) | Output Power (W) |
|-------|---------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| -1    | 5                   | 0.1                     | 0.05                | 3                      | 450             |
| 1     | 10                  | 0.3                     | 0.10                | 24                     | 700             |

After pyrolysis, samples at LTLP and HTHP were subjected to elemental analysis using energy dispersive X-ray attached to a Hitachi SU3500 scanning electron microscopy.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Corn cob biochar (CCBc) yield
Analysis of variance showed that exposure time, output power and their interaction were significant in the CCBc yield. The corn cob samples pyrolyzed under higher energy denoted by higher exposure time and output power, regardless of other parameter levels, produced a significantly lower amount of biochar compared to those in lower energy level as shown in Fig. 2. Considering these significant parameters, the highest yield of 52.87% was obtained at low time-low power (LTLP) at 5 min and 450 W. On the other hand, the lowest yield of 13.99% was produced at high time-high power (HTHP) of 10 min and 700 W.

3.2 Effect of exposure time, output power and their interaction
Microwave pyrolysis employs thermal decomposition of the biomass leaving primarily carbon in the biochar [15]. As mentioned earlier, there is a general decrease in yield at higher output power (Fig. 2a) and the same trend is also true in the relationship of microwave exposure time and biochar yield (Fig. 2b). This is probably due to the large amount of heat generated within the system at high wattage and longer exposure time. In an atomic-level, this heat comes from the oscillation of molecules as it tries to be in phase with the electromagnetic wave [16]. The movement transforms kinetic energy into dissipated heat which consequently increases the system temperature [17].

![Figure 2a. Effect of output power on CCBc yield (one-factor comparison)](image)
Due to high temperature effects on the hydrocarbons present in the biomass, it permanently transforms into its gaseous form called syngas [18]. Therefore, it is agreeable that higher output power and longer exposure time yields lower biochar product.

On the other hand, Fig. 3 shows the interaction of both factors and its relationship to the CCBc yield. The heating rate experienced at lower power pertains to the slow pyrolysis of corn cob. At this operating condition, lower energy is absorbed per unit time therefore it can be deduced that the maximum temperature attained is lower than that of the system operated at 700 W. Lower temperatures favor char production than syngas [14], hence the significant effect of the interaction.

However, their interaction becomes insignificant, denoted by the overlapping error bars, at high output power of 700 W. At this point, the system experiences high temperatures early in the process as it absorbs high amount of energy per unit time. This environment favors the conversion of many hydrocarbons into syngas rather than thermally decomposing the material [18] as mentioned earlier. At this point, it is suspected that the system approached its ultimate temperature, which was described in the study of Y. F. Huang (2008) [19], in less than 5 minutes therefore giving a low biochar yield early in the process and this yield is considered as the fixed carbon content.

### 3.3 Effect of pyrrole, catalyst and polymerization time

From the statistical analysis, varying pyrrole concentration, FeCl₃ hexahydrate (catalyst) concentration and polymerization time of polypyrrole has no significant effect on the biochar yield. However, the absence of the following factors will make the pyrolysis impossible since it will only cook the corn cob samples rather than thermally decompose it.

In the experiment, the addition of iron catalyst immediately initiates the in-situ formation of the microwave absorber polypyrrole via wet polymerization of pyrrole monomer into its polypyrrrole form, a black-colored precipitate. Figure 4 shows the color transition in the mixture upon addition of the catalyst. Low concentrations of the reagents were observed to have longer transition times (approximately 2-3 minutes) while high concentrations require shorter times (approximately <1 min). These transitions can be hastened by agitation to properly disperse the reagents in the mixture.
concentration does not have a significant effect on the thermal decomposition and can also possibly have a critical concentration much lower than the proposed range.

3.4 Carbon content of biochar

Raw corncobs (RC) have approximately 42% carbon. After pyrolysis, the carbon content increases at higher temperatures from 55% [21] up to 92.3% [22] depending on the operating conditions used in the pyrolysis.

To verify the CCBc as a viable biochar product, both samples ran at LTLP and HTLP, including both trials, were evaluated for composition analysis through EDX. The percent weight of carbon and oxygen were the major components observed in the biochar. Table 2 shows the average composition analysis of the 2 trials per level.

Table 2. Elemental composition of the biochar using EDX analysis.

| PARAMETER | %C  | %O  | %N  | %Cl |
|-----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 450 W, 5 min (LTLP) | 67.11 | 22.45 | 3.69 | 6.73 |
| 700 W, 10 min (HTLP) | 81.32 | 13.06 | 1.04 | 4.59 |

Results showed that pyrolysis ran at LTLP have more than 20% increase in average carbon content while HTLP conditions almost doubled the initial carbon content (based on 42% C in RC). This confirms that the biochar is indeed carbonized under microwave pyrolysis as signified by the increase in elemental carbon. This behavior is contrary to the trend of the biochar yield as discussed previously. However, biochar yield may be decreased but its purity (in terms of %carbon) is more enhanced. In comparison, lignite has 60-70% carbon, bituminous coal has 77-87% carbon and anthracite has over 87% carbon [23].

The increase in carbon content is due to the continuous liberation of moisture and syngas as the temperature increases inside the microwave. The syngas is mainly composed of CO₂, CO, CH₄ and H₂ and can vary in percentage depending on operating conditions. It is the product of tar cracking, char decomposition and reaction between their by-products [24]. Therefore, as the reaction proceeds, the mass decreases due to the escape of the syngas lowering the biochar yield but at the same time saturates most of the carbon in the solid biochar.

Based from the quantitative results, it can be said that, indeed, microwave pyrolysis is successful in carbonizing corn cob wastes into biochar. Moreover, the biochar produced has enough carbon content to become a viable source of carbon-rich materials that is comparable to industrially available carbon-based products such as activated carbon, lignite, bituminous coal, etc. This makes microwave pyrolysis a potential method in producing biochar for fuel-based applications due to its purity in terms of carbon content.

4 Conclusion

The significant effect of the exposure time and output power on the CCBc yield can be majorly attributed to the energy absorbed by the material. At low energy, the system temperature is low enough to promote char production rather than syngas and the degree of carbonization can be modified just by adjusting the exposure time. However, at high output power, the system bypasses carbonization and prefers syngas production due to high temperatures early in the process. Also, there is an observed interaction between the two factors wherein high output power makes the exposure time insignificant due to the high amounts of energy absorbed per unit time early in the process. On the other hand, the other three factors dictate the amount of microwave absorber produced in the system, but their insignificance suggest manipulating the range to obtain optimum values. Lastly, further confirmation of the biochar’s carbonization through EDX shows a minimum of 67.11% or at least 20% increase in carbon content even at LTLP and has easily double up to 81.32% at HTLP.
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