Symmetry breaking with opposite stability between bifurcated asymmetric solitons in parity-time-symmetric potentials
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We report a new type of symmetry-breaking bifurcation of solitons in optical systems with parity-time-symmetric potentials. In this bifurcation, the two bifurcated branches of asymmetric solitons exhibit opposite stability, which contrasts all previous symmetry-breaking bifurcations in conservative and non-conservative systems. We show that this novel symmetry-breaking bifurcation can be exploited to achieve unidirectional propagation of high-intensity light beams in parity-time-symmetric potentials. © 2019 Optical Society of America
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Symmetry breaking of solitons is a common phenomenon in nonlinear wave systems [1]. In this symmetry breaking, two branches of asymmetric solitons emerge from the base branch of symmetric solitons through a pitchfork bifurcation, when the power of the symmetric soliton crosses a certain threshold. This bifurcation has been heavily studied, especially in the non-linear Schrödinger (NLS)-type models with symmetric conservative and non-conservative systems. We show that this novel symmetry-breaking bifurcation can be exploited to achieve unidirectional propagation of high-intensity beams but reciprocal propagation for low-intensity beams.

The optical system we consider is nonlinear paraxial beam propagation in complex $PT$-symmetric potentials under cubic-quintic or saturable nonlinearity. We first consider cubic-quintic nonlinearity, where the mathematical model is [7–9]

$$i\Psi_z + \Psi_{xx} + V(x)\Psi + |\Psi|^2\Psi + \gamma |\Psi|^4\Psi = 0. \quad (1)$$

Here, $\Psi$ is the complex envelope of the light’s electric field, $z$ is the propagation distance, $x$ is the transverse coordinate, $V(x)$ is a $PT$-symmetric potential, i.e., $V^*(x) = V(-x)$, with the asterisk $'$ representing complex conjugation, and $\gamma$ is the coefficient of quintic nonlinearity. All variables have been non-dimensionalized. Physically, the $PT$-symmetric potential means that the refractive index of the medium [i.e., $\text{Re}(V)$] is symmetric in space, while the gain-loss profile [i.e., $\text{Im}(V)$] is anti-symmetric in space [9]. Such potentials have been fabricated in various physical experiments [10–15]. In addition, the cubic-quintic nonlinearity is common in many materials too. The quintic term in the above equation is important, because without that term, symmetry breaking of solitons in Eq. (1) would not exhibit the novel behavior that we will report in this Letter [6]. Solitons in other $PT$-symmetric systems under cubic-quintic nonlinearity have been studied before [16,17], but there was no symmetry breaking in such studies.

Solitons in Eq. (1) are sought of the form $\Psi(x,z) = \psi(x)e^{i\mu z}$, where $\mu$ is a real propagation constant, and $\psi(x)$ is a localized function which satisfies the equation

$$\psi_{xx} + V(x)\psi + |\psi|^2\psi + \gamma |\psi|^4\psi = \mu \psi. \quad (2)$$

Since the potential $V(x)$ is $PT$-symmetric, solitons in Eq. (1) are generally also $PT$-symmetric, i.e., $\psi^*(x) = \psi(-x)$, and these solitons form continuous families parameterized by the propagation constant $\mu$ [12–15]. Symmetry-breaking of solitons is forbidden in generic $PT$-symmetric potentials [18]. However, in a special class of $PT$-symmetric potentials [6,19,20]

$$V(x) = g^2(x) + ag(x) + ig'(x), \quad (3)$$
where \( g(x) \) is a real and even function and \( \alpha \) a real constant, symmetry breaking of solitons is possible, where two branches of non-\( PT \)-symmetric (asymmetric) solitons bifurcate out from the base branch of \( PT \)-symmetric solitons when the power of the \( PT \)-symmetric soliton crosses a certain threshold. For Kerr nonlinearity (\( \gamma = 0 \)), symmetry breaking has been reported in [6]. However, for the cubic-quintic nonlinearity (\( \gamma \neq 0 \)), we will show that this symmetry breaking exhibits behaviors which have never been seen before.

To be concrete, let us take \( \gamma = -0.1 \), \( \alpha = -0.5 \), and

\[
g(x) = A(e^{-(x-x_0)^2} + e^{-(x+x_0)^2}),
\]

with \( A = 2 \) and \( x_0 = 1.2 \). The corresponding \( PT \)-symmetric potential is displayed in Fig. 1(a). The real part of this potential (i.e., the refractive index of the medium) is double-humped and symmetric, and these two humps can be viewed as two waveguide channels, which are centered at \( x = -x_0 \) and \( x = x_0 \), respectively. The imaginary part of this potential (i.e., gain-loss profile of the medium) is anti-symmetric. Notice that the gain and loss is quite strong, but this potential is still below phase plane. However, the asymmetric soliton in Fig. 1(f), whose energy is concentrated in the right waveguide channel, is stable. Obviously, neither soliton is \( PT \)-symmetric.

The power curves in Fig. 1(b) cannot distinguish between the two branches of asymmetric solitons. Thus, in Fig. 1(c) we plot the soliton amplitudes \( |\psi| \) at the right waveguide channel \( x = x_0 = 1.2 \) versus the propagation constant \( \mu \). In this figure, the two asymmetric soliton branches (dashed lines), with energy concentrated in the right and left waveguide channels, are above and below the base \( PT \)-symmetric soliton branch (solid line) respectively; thus, they are now clearly distinguishable.

Our focus in this Letter is the stability behaviors of solitons near the symmetry-breaking point. In particular, we consider their linear stability. For this purpose, we perturb these solitons as

\[
\Psi(x,z) = e^{i\zeta t}[\psi(x) + u(x)e^{i\zeta} + w(x)e^{-i\zeta}].
\]

where \( |u|, |w| \ll |\psi| \). Substituting it into Eq. (1) and linearizing, we arrive at the eigenvalue problem

\[
L \begin{bmatrix} u \\ w \end{bmatrix} = \lambda \begin{bmatrix} u \\ w \end{bmatrix},
\]

where

\[
L = \begin{bmatrix} L_{11} & L_{12} \\ L_{12} & L_{11} \end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
L_{11} = i(\partial_x + V - \mu + 2|\psi|^2 + 3\gamma|\psi|^4),
\]

\[
L_{12} = i\psi^2(1 + 2|\psi|^2).
\]

If eigenvalues \( \lambda \) with positive real parts exist, then the soliton is linearly unstable; otherwise it is linearly stable. It is easy to see that eigenvalues of operator \( L \) always come in conjugate pairs \( (\lambda, \lambda^*) \). In addition, if two asymmetric solitons are related as \( \psi_1^*(x, \mu) = \psi_2(-x, \mu) \), as those in Fig. 1, then eigenvalues for \( \psi_2(x, \mu) \) would be negative of those for \( \psi_1(x, \mu) \).

The eigenvalue problem Eq. (6) can be numerically computed by the Fourier collocation method [8]. Our computation shows that the \( PT \)-symmetric branch of solitons in Figs. 1(b) and 1(c) is stable before the symmetry-breaking bifurcation and becomes unstable after the bifurcation. In addition, the branch of asymmetric solitons with energy concentrated in the right waveguide channel [the upper dashed branch in Fig. 1(c)] is unstable, but the branch of asymmetric solitons with energy concentrated in the left waveguide channel [the lower dashed branch in Fig. 1(c)] is stable. These stability results are shown in Fig. 2(a), which is a copy of Fig. 1(c) but with stability information displayed (blue for stable and red for unstable). To illustrate the reasons for these stability results, linear-stability eigenvalue spectra for the three solitons in Figs. 1(d)–1(f) are plotted in Figs. 2(d)–2(f), respectively. These spectra show that the \( PT \)-symmetric soliton in Fig. 1(d), which is above the symmetry-breaking point, is unstable due to the appearance of a pair of real eigenvalues, one of which is positive. The asymmetric soliton in Fig. 1(e), whose energy is concentrated in the right waveguide channel, is unstable due to the appearance of a conjugate pair of eigenvalues on the right half of the complex plane. However, the asymmetric soliton in Fig. 1(f), whose energy is concentrated in the left waveguide channel, is stable.
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because there are no eigenvalues in the right half of the complex plane.

It is constructive to understand the above stability results from the point of view of eigenvalue bifurcations. At the symmetry breaking point $\mu = \mu_c$, zero eigenvalue in the linear-stability operator $\mathcal{L}$ has geometric multiplicity two and algebraic multiplicity four [5, 18]. When the soliton bifurcates away from the symmetry-breaking point, the zero eigenvalue of geometric multiplicity one and algebraic multiplicity two stays at the origin [due to phase invariance of the system Eq. (1)], but the zero eigenvalue with the remaining geometric and algebraic multiplicities bifurcates out of the origin. Along the base branch, since the soliton is $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric, if $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of $\mathcal{L}$, so must be $\lambda^*$, $-\lambda$, and $-\lambda^*$. This quartet eigenvalue symmetry forces the zero eigenvalue of algebraic multiplicity two to bifurcate along only the real or imaginary axis, thus creating a pair of real or purely imaginary eigenvalues of opposite sign [see Fig. 2(d)]. Along the bifurcated branches, however, since the soliton is asymptotic, eigenvalues of $\mathcal{L}$ only feature the pair $(\lambda, \lambda^*)$ symmetry but generally not the quartet symmetry. In this case, that zero eigenvalue of algebraic multiplicity two does not have to bifurcate along the real or imaginary axis. Instead, it generically bifurcates to a conjugate pair of complex eigenvalues on the same side of the complex plane. In particular, when the bifurcation is along the upper branch of Fig. 1(c), the zero eigenvalue bifurcates to the right side, creating oscillatory instability [see Fig. 2(e)]. But when the bifurcation is along the lower branch of Fig. 1(c), the zero eigenvalue bifurcates to the left side, thus not generating any instability [see Fig. 2(f)].

Compared to previous stability results of symmetry-breaking bifurcations of solitons [2–6], the stability switching on the base branch of $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric solitons in Fig. 2 is similar to previous results and, thus, not surprising. However, the opposite stability between the two bifurcated branches of asymmetric solitons in Fig. 2 is totally new because it has never been seen before, to the best of our knowledge. Conventional wisdom has always expected the two asymmetric solitons in a symmetry-breaking bifurcation to share the same stability, often out of symmetry considerations when the underlying wave system is symmetric in space [2–5]. However, in $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric potentials, the anti-symmetric gain-loss profile breaks this spatial symmetry and causes the two asymmetric solitons to possess opposite linear-stability eigenvalues [see the paragraph below Eq. (6)], which opens the door for opposite stability between these asymmetric solitons, as Fig. 2 shows.

To corroborate the linear-stability results in Fig. 2, we consider the nonlinear evolution of these solitons under perturbations. As examples, we perturb the three solitons in Figs. 1(d)–1(f) under 10% random noise initial perturbations, and their subsequent nonlinear evolutions are displayed in Figs. 3(a)–3(c), respectively. These evolutions show that the $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric soliton above symmetry breaking and the asymmetric soliton with energy concentrated in the right waveguide channel are also nonlinearly unstable, and initial perturbations break them up and drive them to the left waveguide channel. However, the asymmetric soliton with energy concentrated in the left waveguide channel is nonlinearly stable.

The opposite stability between the two branches of asymmetric solitons in Fig. 2 and nonlinear evolution results in Fig. 3 reveal that the wave system Eq. (1) under the $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric potential Eqs. (3)–(4) favors asymmetric solitons with energy concentrated in the left waveguide channel, and this preference can motivate interesting applications. As an example, one potential application is a unidirectional (non-reciprocal) nonlinear light routing device. In this device, the $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric complex potential in Fig. 1(a) is set up (with the refractive index and gain-loss distributions engineered as the real and imaginary parts of this potential), and the waveguide material is chosen to exhibit cubic-quintic nonlinearity as in Eq. (1). Then, we launch a Gaussian beam

$$\Psi(x, 0) = R_0 e^{-(x-b_0)^2}/2$$

into this device, where $R_0$ and $b_0$ are the initial amplitude and center position of the beam. At the high amplitude of $R_0 = 1.2$, evolutions of the beam launched at the initial positions of $b_0 = 1$ (inside the right waveguide channel) and $b_0 = -1$ (inside the left waveguide channel) are displayed in the upper panels of Fig. 4. It is seen that both beams eventually move into the left waveguide channel, exhibiting unidirectional (non-reciprocal) transmission. However, if the initial beam has lower amplitude of $R_0 = 0.6$, then the corresponding evolutions from the above two initial positions are shown in the lower panels of Fig. 4. In this case, transmission is reciprocal and bi-directional. This means that this device exhibits unidirectional transmission only at high amplitudes but not at low amplitudes. Physically, unidirectionality is not expected at low amplitudes, because this transmission is governed by the linear Schrödinger equation with a
\(PT\)-symmetric potential below phase transition; so there is no instability to drive the beam’s unidirectionality.

In [22,23], unidirectional transmission was reported in \(PT\)-symmetric as well as non-\(PT\)-symmetric dimer (ordinary differential equation) models. However, unidirectionality in those studies was due to different physical mechanisms and exhibiting different dynamical behaviors.

This opposite stability between asymmetric solitons of symmetry-breaking bifurcations in the \(PT\)-symmetric model Eq. (1) is not restricted to the quintic coefficient \(\gamma = -0.1\) used above. We have found that this phenomenon also occurs for a wide range of other positive and negative \(\gamma\) values (except for \(\gamma = 0\) where the nonlinearity is Kerr [6]). In addition, it occurs for other types of nonlinearities such as saturable nonlinearity. Let us consider the saturable nonlinearity, where Eq. (1) becomes

\[
i \Psi_z + \Psi_{xx} + V(x) \Psi - \frac{E_0}{1 + |\Psi|^2} \Psi = 0,\tag{8}\]

and \(E_0\) is a real constant. Saturable nonlinearity arises in many materials, such as photorefractive crystals [24]. When the potential \(V(x)\) is as given in Eq. (3), \(g(x)\) as given in Eq. (4), \(\alpha = -0.9\) and \(E_0 = 4\), \(PT\)-symmetry breaking of solitons is displayed in Fig. 5. In this case, we have found that the two branches of asymmetric solitons exhibit opposite stability as well [see Fig. 5(c)]. Thus, this novel type of symmetry breaking can arise in many physical \(PT\)-symmetric systems.

In summary, we have reported a new type of symmetry-breaking bifurcation of solitons in \(PT\)-symmetric optical systems with cubic-quintic and saturable nonlinearities. In this bifurcation, the two bifurcated branches of asymmetric solitons exhibit opposite stability, which contrasts all previous symmetry-breaking bifurcations. This novel bifurcation can be exploited for potential applications, such as devices featuring unidirectional transmission for high-intensity beams but reciprocal transmission for low-intensity beams.
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