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Abstract  With the recent technological advancement in cultivation-independent high-throughput sequencing, metagenomes have tremendously improved our ability to characterize the genomic contents of the whole microbial communities. In this chapter, we argue the notion of pangenome can be applied beyond the available genome sequences by leveraging metagenome-assembled genomes, to form a comprehensive representation of the genetic content of a taxonomic group in a particular environment. We present the concept of the meta-pangenome, a representation of the totality of genes belonging to a species identified in multiple metagenomic samplings of a particular habitat. As an essential component in genome-centric pangenome analyses, we emphasize the importance to perform stringent quality assessment and validation to ensure the high quality of metagenomic deconvoluted genomes. This expansion from the traditional pangenome concept to the meta-pangenome overcomes many of the biases associated with whole-genome sequencing, and addresses the in vivo ecological context to further develop a systems-level understanding of microbial ecosystems.
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1 Introduction

The first microbial genome, *Haemophilus influenzae*, was sequenced in 1995 (Fleischmann et al. 1995) with the second, *Mycoplasma genitalium*, following a few months later (Fraser et al. 1995). In analyzing the *M. genitalium* genome, the authors compared its sequence to that of *H. influenzae*, the only other available
genome sequence at the time, providing insights into the ecology and evolution of these two microbes. Every subsequent genome comparison enabled the identification of shared and unique genetic characteristics between sets of organisms. From this observation emerged the concept of pan-genome, which describes the core (genes present in every strain of the species) and accessory (genes present in a subset of strains) genomes. Studying the similarities and differences between the genomic content of organisms can inform their evolutionary relationships, ecological roles, relationship to health, and has revolutionized our understanding of microbial diversity (Touchman 2010; Xia 2013; Hardison 2003; Miller et al. 2004; France et al. 2016).

Over the years, and with significant technological advancement, the number of available genome sequences has expanded from a few to a seemingly endless catalog. Yet this impressive collection suffers from a rather severe bias toward species and strains that are related to human health, amenable to isolation, and/or generally tractable. Metagenomics, the sequencing of whole microbial communities, is filling in these gaps by characterizing the genomes of entire populations in a community without cultivation. In this chapter, we argue the notion of pan-genome can be applied beyond the available genome sequences by leveraging metagenome-assembled genomes (MAGs), to form a comprehensive representation of the genetic content of a taxonomic group in a particular environment. We present the concept of the meta-pan-genome, a representation of the totality of genes belonging to a species identified in multiple metagenomic samplings of a particular habitat. This expansion from the traditional pan-genome concept to the meta-pan-genome overcomes many of the biases associated with whole-genome sequencing and addresses the in vivo ecological context by describing the whole genetic potential of a species in a specific environment. Further building on this new concept, one can think of the pan-metagenome as the complete genes/proteins catalog of all species found in a giving environment.

2 Metagenome Deconvolution Enables Genome-Centric Analyses of Microbial Ecosystems

An overwhelming majority of microbial species have resisted cultivation in the laboratory, largely due to strict, yet unknown, growth requirements (Bakken 1985). The cultivation of fastidious microbes requires optimal combinations of nutrients, growth temperatures, oxygen levels or even, in some cases, and the presence of key microbial partners (Amann et al. 1995; Eckburg et al. 2005). The inability to grow these organisms has undoubtedly limited our understanding of the ecology of indigenous microbial communities. State-of-the-art whole community sequencing technology via metagenomics has opened the door to in vivo studies of microbial populations and communities. By definition, metagenomic sequencing characterizes the collection of all the genetic material isolated from an environmental sample...
without traditional cultivation (Handelsman 2004; Iverson et al. 2012; Mackelprang et al. 2011). This has aided the development of systems-level insights into the structure and function of microbial ecosystems (Handelsman 2004; Gilbert and Dupont 2011). Advancements in sequencing technologies and throughput have, and continue to improve our ability to characterize the genomic contents of microbial communities down to the rare biosphere (Eckburg et al. 2005; Sogin et al. 2006).

Metagenomic sequencing results in a dataset of sequence reads that belong to the various species that make up the microbial community. Assembly of these datasets into stretches of contiguous DNA sequences, termed contigs, can be complicated by the presence of conserved genomic regions across species. Development of metagenomic specific short reads assembly algorithms and tools that can disentangle these similar sequences originating from different taxa has improved the quality of metagenomic assemblies (Pevzner et al. 2001), those include IDBA-UD (Peng et al. 2012), MetaVelvet (Namiki et al. 2012), SOAPdenovo (Li et al. 2010; Luo et al. 2012), ABYSS (Simpson et al. 2009), Khmer (Pell et al. 2012; Howe et al. 2012), Ray-meta (Boisvert et al. 2012), MEGAHIT (Li et al. 2015, 2016), and metaSPAdes (Nurk et al. 2017). Binning of these contigs based on genomic characteristics like GC content, tetramer frequency, sequence coverage, among others has enabled researchers to identify sets of contigs that belong to the same species. These advancements have resulted in the concept of metagenome-assembled genomes (MAGs), which represent the collection of all contigs or scaffolds from a single or closely related strains of a given species. Developments in bioinformatics tools used in assembly and binning have made the recovery of genomes from metagenomic datasets a routine analysis, including rare species and draft genomes from previously uncultivated species (Albertsen et al. 2013). Binning algorithms and tools have been reviewed previously (Sangwan et al. 2016; Breitwieser et al. 2017). For each species, the genetic contents of all strains in the population are included in a species bin, although sequencing depth, library construction methods, presence of host DNAs, and other factors may affect the metagenomic sequencing results (Zaheer et al. 2018; Pereira-Marques et al. 2019; Bowers et al. 2015).

MAGs have led to the discovery of a remarkable amount of genomic diversity and the characterization of novel bacterial membership. However, MAGs should always be used with caution for the reasons discussed above. False positives in binning, conflicted, and incomplete MAGs have been observed for a variety of different binning tools that can reduce the quality of public genome repositories if MAGs are not evaluated carefully (Shaiber and Eren 2019). Multiple studies have suggested that downstream MAGs quality assessment and validation steps are critical, and available tools published recently to serve such purpose include MetaQUAST (Koren and Phillippy 2015), CheckM (Parks et al. 2015), MAGpy (Stewart et al. 2019), Anvio (Eren et al. 2015), AMBER (Meyer et al. 2018), and DAS tool (Sieber et al. 2018). Further refinement, stringent quality assessment, extending assembly length through re-assembly after recruiting reads back to the MAGs, and genome completeness assessments are important and necessary steps to ensure the fidelity of the MAGs (Eren et al. 2015). High-quality metagenome-deconvoluted genomes are essential to perform genome-centric in vivo analyses of microbial ecosystems.
3 Metagenome-Assembled Genomes Revealed Extensive within Community Intraspecies Diversity in a Microbial Community

Microbial populations often composed of multiple strains of each species, and the resulting intraspecies diversity could have significant functional and clinical implications (Kraal et al. 2014; Greenblum et al. 2015; Oh et al. 2014). Gel microdroplet cultivation afforded nearly finished single genomes and revealed substantial intraspecies diversity within human oral and fecal microbiomes (Fitzsimons et al. 2013). Strains of dominant human skin bacterial species were shown to be heterogeneous and multiphyletic, which the authors suggested to be the result of micro-scale differences in the environment that shaped the ecology and evolution of each subpopulation (Oh et al. 2014). Another study reported extensive strain-level variation detected in the human gut microbiome using large-scale intraspecies copy number variation (Greenblum et al. 2015). This intraspecies variation is thought to be associated with obesity and inflammatory bowel disease. These studies highlight the complex relationships between within-species diversity and functional capacity, linking compositional shifts to subspecies-level variations.

Intra-species diversity obviously complicates MAGs generation, a problem that is compounded by the use of short-read sequencing technology. It is difficult to establish linkage and synteny between genotypes in a species genome. Binning strategies can separate sequences that belong to different species, but are generally not capable of distinguishing between strains of the same species in a metagenomic dataset (Huson et al. 2011). There are encouraging developments in binning algorithms recently that have addressed strain-level resolution from metagenomic short-read sequencing such as StrainPhlAn (Truong et al. 2017), ConStrains (Luo et al. 2015), MetaSNV (Costea et al. 2017), and DESMAN (Quince et al. 2017). However, the word “strain” has been used interchangeably with subspecies type, genotype, biotype, among others, in metagenome-derived strain-level resolution analyses. Although intraspecies diversity can be purged during assembly, the remainder often leads to species bins that contain composite genetic information from multiple genotypes (strains) of the species. Advancements in chromosome conformation capture (Hi-C) and long-read sequencing technologies such as PacBio SMRT sequencing and Oxford nanopore technologies could improve strain deconvolution from metagenomic data by extending the read length and assembly quality (Frank et al. 2016; Tsai et al. 2016; Belton et al. 2012). However, these technologies have not been widely adopted probably due to technical limitations.
4 A Practical Definition of Meta-Pangenome

The pangenome has been an important concept and a tool used in comparative genomics to dissect microbial diversity. A pangenome generally refers to the entire collection of genetic content from all strains of a species (Tettelin et al. 2005; Medini et al. 2005; Vernikos et al. 2015). By definition, a pangenome represents all of the genetic potentials of a species and is typically determined by homology among sets of genes belonging to multiple strains of the species in all environments the species is found. Here, we extend the pangenome concept to incorporate metagenome-derived genes and genomes. It is a natural extension as MAGs and metagenomic contigs have been used to generate species-specific gene catalog and that for all species present in a given environment (Ma et al. 2019). We introduce the term, meta-pangenome that refers to the union of genes of a species found in a habitat using both culture-independent sequencing (metagenome) and culture-based sequencing (genome) methods. In computational terms, the meta-pangenome is the entire sequence space of a species in an environment. Thus, within a sample, a metagenomic species represents known combinations of strains of a species. In this chapter, we choose to discuss the meta-pangenome in the context of a species, while the meta-pangenome paradigm can be applied to genera or broader of taxonomic groups (Lefebure and Stanhope 2007) as well as other domains of life such as fungus (McCarthy and Fitzpatrick 2019). The term “pan” itself means “whole” or “everything”, and “meta” as a prefix could mean “with”, “among”, and “beyond”. Together the words “meta-pangenome” literally mean whole genomes of a species from among samples collected in a given environment.

Similar to the pangenome concept, a meta-pangenome is bound to a specific species. In order to define the meta-pangenome for a species, say species A, we start from collecting all available genomes and constructing MAGs of species A from metagenomes (illustrated in Fig. 1). We then perform gene calling for these MAGs contigs after quality assessment, followed by similarity search to generate homologous gene clusters as in conventional pangenome analyses. The final step is to perform meta-pangenome size interpolation and extrapolation for species A. This procedure can then be repeated for each of the species present in a particular environment to define their meta-pangenome. Alternatively, the genetic contents characterized in all metagenomes and genomes of a habitat can be collectively pooled to generate homologous gene clusters. Taxonomic assignment of the resulting gene clusters can then be used to produce meta-pangenomes for each of the species present in the habitat.

We can then apply the concepts of core, accessory, and unique genes to the meta-pangenome framework. A species meta-pangenome core genes are those consistently present in all or almost all metagenomes in a habitat such as wastewater or the GI tract, and meta-pangenome-specific genes are only observed a single sample of the habitat. The variable or accessory meta-pangenome includes those genes only present in a subset of populations. As a metagenome can be considered a snapshot of the microbial community genetic potential at the time of collection, the core meta-pangenome can be referred as the set of genes being repeatedly observed after
Fig. 1 Illustration for a workflow to generate a meta-pangenome for a species. The steps could be modified. For example, the step of gene calling could be after the step of the pooling all
multiple sampling events. A closed meta-pangenome would thus refer to the case where no or very few new genes of the species are added with each additional metagenome sequenced. Conversely, a species open meta-pangenome would refer to the case where a substantive number of new genes for that species are discovered with each additional metagenome sequenced. The core meta-pangenome for a species could be quite small, or even nonexistent, if the abiotic and biotic constraints on its colonization of the environment are loose or large if these constraints are strict.

Similar to the original pangenome ecological significance (Tettelin et al. 2005), population size and niche versatility are likely to drive the size of a meta-pangenome. For example, the meta-pangenome of Gardnerella vaginalis, a highly prevalent bacterial colonizer of human vagina, is a collection of all the genes assigned to that species derived from all available vaginal metagenomes and genomes. Despite hundreds of metagenomes available containing G. vaginalis, this important species shows an open meta-pangenome (Fig. 2). On the other hand, Lactobacillus gasseri, another important and beneficial vaginal bacterial species demonstrates an essentially closed meta-pangenome such that new metagenome sequences add relatively few genes. An in-depth understanding of the genetic diversity of constituent community members and its relation to community dysbiosis will afford the development of novel strategies to evaluate and optimize prevention, diagnostics, and treatment for adverse health conditions.

Fig. 2 Species-specific metagenome accumulation curves for the number of homologous gene clusters. Figure reproduced from Ma et al. (2019)
5 A Conceptual Framework for Microbial Comparative Genomics: Meta-Pangenome, Metagenomic Subspecies, and Pan-Metagenome

Meta-pangenome forms a practical framework that provides unprecedented insights into the genetic and functional basis underlying ecological fitness of microbial population in an environmental niche. The variable or accessory meta-pangenome of a species are the genes only present in a subset but not all of samples, which has led to the new concept of “metagenomic subspecies” (Ma et al. 2019). In essence, a metagenomic subspecies represents a slice of a species’ meta-pangenome that is commonly identified in metagenomic samplings of a habitat. This slice contains the genetic contents of a combination of strains that tend to co-occur. In theory, this co-occurrence could be driven by interactions among the strains and/or their tendency to co-colonize, termed dispersal limitations (Telford et al. 2006). Specific mechanisms that can lead to the co-existence of multiple strains in a population include frequency-dependent selection (Svensson and Connallon 2019), cross-feeding (Livingston et al. 2012; Hunt and Bonsall 2009), spatial structure (France and Forney 2019), resource partitioning (Rosenzweig et al. 1994), and interference competition (Kerr et al. 2002), among others. That said, the metagenomic subspecies concept is equivalent to a species genetic “ecotype” for an environment. Several metagenomic subspecies can exist in a given environment but cannot co-occur within a sample. The metagenomic subspecies can be determined in silico by hierarchical clustering over the data matrix such as gene prevalence or gene abundance profiles. Further development of relevant pattern recognition tools (supervised or unsupervised) as well as the approximation of the population size (number of strains) are important ongoing research developments that will contribute to this field.

The concepts of meta-pangenome and metagenomic subspecies have great value to investigate intraspecies diversity within a community and the genetic foundation underlining the functions, resilience, resistance or fitness, among others, of microbial communities. We term the entire collection of all species’ meta-pangenomes that exist in a specific environment the “pan-metagenome,” which is essentially the “habitome” that encompasses the genetic landscape of a habitat. For instance, the pan-metagenome of the human gastrointestinal (GI) tract is the collection of all genes of all species found in the human GI tract (Qin et al. 2010; Li et al. 2014), and the pan-metagenome of the human oral communities encompasses the total genetic content of all species in the human oral environment (Tierney et al. 2019). The concept of pan-metagenome is represented by extensive gene cataloging, such as those constructed for the pig (Xiao et al. 2016) or the mouse GI tract (Xiao et al. 2015). A pan-metagenome of a specific habitat, when used as a catalog of the genetic contents, has provided a comprehensive reference framework for the study of microbial communities and their interaction with the environment.

We have recently constructed a pan-metagenome for the human vaginal tract named VIRGO (the human vaginal nonredundant gene catalog) using an array of urogenital bacterial isolate genomes and vaginal metagenomes (Ma et al. 2019).
VIRGO has been shown to be comprehensive and to provide an unbiased representation of the genetic diversity of each species found in the vaginal microbiome. In building VIRGO, we found that the vast majority of the genetic diversity was contributed by MAGs derived from the metagenomic datasets. In fact, the metagenomic data used to build VIRGO comprise a much larger genetic diversity (high number of nonredundant genes) than that of all combined single isolate genome sequences (Fig. 3a, b). This result indicates the importance of extending the pangenome concept beyond isolate genome sequences.

VIRGO has afforded a different view of the vaginal microbiome, where each population is composed of complex mixtures of multiple strains, highlighting the large amount of intraspecies diversity present in these communities. We found that, in general, the majority of a species’ genes are meta-pangenomic accessory genes. For example, for *Lactobacillus crispatus*, the number of meta-pangenomic accessory genes is twice as many as the number of meta-pangenomic core genes (Fig. 3c). *G. vaginalis* demonstrated particularly high intraspecies diversity, for which the core meta-pangenome does not even exist and the majority of the genes are accessory or sample specific, suggesting that the species should be split into multiple different species within the genus *Gardnerella*. We further observed three distinct metagenomic subspecies of *L. gasseri*, among which there were two distinct types and the third being a combination of the two (Fig. 3d). This suggests that there is environmental specialized co-colonization of *L. gasseri* strains in the vaginal environment. Future studies are needed to reveal the linkage between specific metagenomic subspecies and pathophysiological conditions.

6 Conclusion Remarks

The field of comparative genomics has bloomed from that initial genome comparison two decades ago. Thanks to advancements in cultivation-independent whole community sequencing technology and the increased availability of metagenome-assembled genomes, we have obtained unprecedented insights into the incredible amount of diversity present within microbial populations. Intraspecies diversity exceeds that found in our current reference genome databases. The pangenome paradigm expanded to metagenome-assembled genomes and metagenomic contigs comprehensively profile microbial genetic diversity in a specific habitat. However, the incorporation of metagenome-derived genomes has to be performed carefully with stringent quality assessment to avoid spurious inflation of gene content. The meta-pangenome concept unites pangenomics and metagenomics to obtain a more compete and ecologically meaningful view of different ecosystems. Meta-pangenomes and pan-metagenomes represent a critical step in the development of a systems-level understanding of microbial ecosystems.
Fig. 3 Intraspecies diversity revealed using VIRGO (human vaginal nonredundant gene catalog) of seven vaginal species including *L. crispatus*, *L. iners*, *L. jensenii*, *L. gasseri*, and *G. vaginalis*, *A. vaginae* and *P. timonensis*. (a) Summary of the number (N) of isolate genomes and metagenome (MG) samples with more than 80% of their average genome’s number of coding genes for a species, based on a dataset of 1507 in-house vaginal metagenomes characterized using VIRGO. (b) Boxplot of number nonredundant genes in isolate genomes versus vaginal metagenomes. (c) Heatmap of presence/absence of *L. crispatus* nonredundant gene profiles for 56 available isolate genomes and 413 VIRGO-characterized metagenomes that contained either high (red) or low (blue) relative abundance of the species. Hierarchical clustering of the profiles was performed using ward linkage based on their Jaccard similarity coefficient. *p* number of isolate genomes and metagenome samples. \( p < 0.05 \), \( **p < 0.001 \) after correction for multiple comparisons. Figure reproduced from Ma et al. (2019)
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