Domestication of Lambda Phage Genes into a Putative Third Type of Replicative Helicase Matchmaker
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Abstract

At the onset of the initiation of chromosome replication, bacterial replicative helicases are recruited and loaded on the DnaA-oriC nucleoprotein platform, assisted by proteins like DnaC/DnaI or DciA. Two orders of bacteria appear, however, to lack either of these factors, raising the question of the essentiality of these factors in bacteria. Through a phylogenomic approach, we identified a pair of genes that could have substituted for dciA. The two domesticated genes are specific of the dnaC/dnaI- and dciA-lacking organisms and apparently domesticated from lambdoid phage genes. They derive from kO and kP and were renamed dopC and dopE, respectively. DopE is expected to bring the replicative helicase to the bacterial origin of replication, while DopC might assist DopE in this function. The confirmation of the implication of DopCE in the handling of the replicative helicase at the onset of replication in these organisms would generalize to all bacteria and therefore to all living organisms the need for specific factors dedicated to this function.
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Replicative helicases are essential components of the replication machinery where they unwind double stranded DNA in front of the replisome, ensuring speed and processivity to the replication process. In bacteria, these enzymes are recruited at the onset of replication initiation by a nucleoprotein platform built through the ordered assembly of the initiator protein, DnaA, at the unique origin of replication of the chromosome, oriC, with the assistance of a factor that will tie the assembly of the replicative helicase hexamer around the parental DNA strand that serves as the lagging strand template with the formation of the replication machinery. This factor, whose function could be depicted as that of a Replicative Helicase Matchmaker (RHeMa), is specified by DnaC or DnaI (DnaCl)—a family of proteins encoded by genes of phage origin—and DciA, the ancestral bacterial RHeMa (Forterre 1999; Bell and Kaguni 2013; Brezellec et al. 2016). The presence of either of these two RHeMa in bacterial genomes suggested that such systems were essential components of the initiation of replication and universally present in bacteria, as much as CDT1 and CDC6—their alter ego in eukaryotes and archaea (Bell and Kaguni 2013; Samson et al. 2016). Yet, we identified several species that apparently lack a bona fide RHeMa (Brezellec et al. 2016). Although the absence in most cases likely arose as a consequence of genome reduction during the process of symbiotization, the situation was more perplexing in a few gammaproteobacteria regrouping Cellvibrionales and Oceanospirillales, as dciA and dnaClI appear to be missing in a complete clade of closely related and nonsymbiotic species. A phylogenetic analysis of these species shows indeed that dciA was lost in an ancestor of the Cellvibrionales and Oceanospirillales (fig. 1a, Materials and Methods), leading to the evolution of successful lineages of species and questioning the essentiality of RHeMa in bacteria.

Considering the ancestrality of dciA and the mutual exclusion reported earlier between dciA and dnaClI (Brezellec et al. 2016), we hypothesized that if RHeMa is essential in bacteria, then, Cellvibrionales and dciA-deprived Oceanospirillales specify another type of RHeMa that emerged at the expense of
**FIG. 1.**—dopE is a domesticated phage replicative helicase operator gene. (a) Cladogram based on DnaA–DnaB–DnaX–DnaE–concatenated protein sequences of the Cellvibrionales and Oceanospirillales (Materials and Methods). The organisms in which a J-P-like gene was domesticated (DopE) are indicated. Organisms specifying DciA and DnaC/I are also identified. The betaproteobacteria Neisseria gonorrhoeae was used as an out-group. DciA, DnaC/I,
In order to identify this potential RHeMa, we built an IN-group (regrouping genomes of Cellvibrionales and Oceanospirillales that lack dciA and dnaCI) and an OUT-group (regrouping dciA-containing Oceanospirillales) and searched the Microscope database for genes systematically present in the IN-group and absent in the OUT-group (Materials and Methods). This first step returned 23 genes (sup_tab1), whose presence was assessed by BLAST (E-value cut-off = 10^-6) in the remaining genomes of the IN-group missing in the Microscope database.

The list of 23 shrank to a single gene encoding a protein (named DopE—see below for description—fig. 1a and supplementary table S1, Supplementary Material online), whose HMM profile is that of the P protein of the phage lambda (PF06992, Prob = 100%); P is the lambda phage RHeMa protein that brings the host replicative helicase DnA8 to the phage replication initiation complex (Alfano and McMacken 1989).

The clear phage ancestry of this \( \lambda P \)-like gene led us to consider a scenario of domestication of \( \lambda P \) in an ancestor of these species to handle the bacterial replicative helicase in place of DciA, mirroring the DnaC/I situation (Brezellec et al. 2016). To investigate further this hypothesis, we asked whether the genes encoding the P-like proteins found in Cellvibrionales and Oceanospirillales that lack dciA and dnaCI were resident, i.e., whether their phylogeny was congruent with that of the host and whether the protein sequences were under selection pressure. Proteins from identified organisms sharing homology with the P-like protein of \( S. \) degradans (obtained by BLASTP against the reference proteome database, E-value cut-off = \( 10^{-5} \)) were collected to build a phylogenetic tree (fig. 1b). P-like proteins are distributed into two distinct groups. Twenty proteins display features typical of mobile elements. Their distribution is not congruent with the host phylogeny, branch length reflects a low selection pressure and the genes that encode these proteins are sparsely distributed in the orders represented. The analysis of their genomic context by two independent prophage detection methods (Lima-Mendez et al. 2008; Arndt et al. 2016) revealed that, except for a few cases where the contexts appear to be genomic, these \( \lambda P \)-like genes are enclosed in prophages (supplementary table S2, Supplementary Material online) and encoded in genomes carrying a cellular RHeMa (supplementary table S3, Supplementary Material online). In contrast, the other P-like proteins (magenta) are all under stronger selection pressure (shorter branch length) and encoded by dciA- and dnaCI-lacking organisms (supplementary tables S2 and S3).

Also, their distribution in Cellvibrionales and Oceanospirillales is congruent with the host phylogeny (compare the phylogenetic distribution of the species present in fig. 1a and b) and prophage detection methods revealed the absence of a prophage context in the DNA surrounding these genes (supplementary tables S2, Supplementary Material online). The inspection of the same regions, using the integrated microbial genome interface (Markowitz et al. 2014) led to the identification of four different classes of genomic contexts (fig. 2), whose distribution is congruent with our bacterial phylogeny (fig. 1a), all of them regrouping genes specifying genuine cellular functions and whose transcription direction alternates. Altogether, this indicates that the genes encoding the P-like proteins of the latter group are “resident” and suggests that a phage \( \lambda P \) gene was domesticated in the \( dciA \)-containing common ancestor of these organisms at the expense of \( dciA \) (fig. 1a). We renamed the \( \lambda P \)-like gene dopE for domesticated \( P \) element.

In the lambda genome, the \( \lambda O \) gene is located immediately upstream of \( \lambda P \). \( \lambda O \) encodes the initiator protein of lambda that builds the initiation complex and to which the P-hijacked host replicative helicase is brought. In addition, \( \lambda O \) contains the phage origin of replication ‘ori\( \lambda \)’ (Tsurimoto and Matsubara 1981). Interestingly, upstream of dopE, settles a gene that shares some features with the lambda \( \lambda O \) gene (fig. 3). This \( \lambda O \)-like gene is present in all dopE genomic contexts analyzed (fig. 2). This phylogenetic distribution of O-like proteins in bacteria (performed with protein sequences sharing homology with the O-like protein of \( S. \) degradans; same parameters as above), is congruent with that established for DopE (data not shown), suggesting that dopE and its neighboring gene co-evolved and were domesticated together. Accordingly, we renamed this gene dopC, for dopE-companion gene.

The homology between DopC and O is apparently restricted to the Nter extremity of the proteins. In DopC, the Cter domain “\( \Phi \) 2220, C” of O is absent. Instead, the protein contains a domain found in the Cter of DnaT, the primosomal protein required for replication fork reactivation in \( E. \) coli (fig. 2) (Kornberg and Baker 1992). Moreover, we could not detect iveron-like sequences typical of phage replication origins (DnaA boxes, diagnostic of a bacterial origin of replication, were not found either) within the dopC locus (Zahn and Blattner 1985). Nonetheless, we investigated the possibility that this latter locus served as an origin of replication by scoring the cumulative GC skew over the entire genome of these bacteria (Materials and Methods) (Gernova and Gelfand 2008) (fig. 4). The lower inversion point of a V-like

---

**Fig. 1.** Continued and DopE are indicated in cyan, brown, and magenta, respectively. Oceanospirillales (OCE) are split into dciA- or dopE-carrying species, while Cellvibrionales (CEL) are all dopE-carrying species. (b) Phylogenetic tree of the resident (DopE) and nonresident P-like proteins. Strains in which a \( \lambda P \)-like gene was domesticated (dopE) are in magenta. Underlined genomes are those used in (a). Scale bar represents 0.1 substitution per site. OCE: Oceanospirillales, CEL: Cellvibrionales, \( \Phi \): phage. Significant bootstrap values (> 70%) are indicated. *: phage environment could not be established around the \( \lambda P \)-like gene within these species (supplementary table S2, Supplementary Material online).
curve, which likely indicates the origin of replication, is located elsewhere than in the dopC locus in all 13 genomes analyzed (fig. 4). Instead, the lower inversion point is located within the gidA-dnaA, suggesting that replication initiates within this region. In support for this hypothesis, we noticed the presence of clusters of potential DnaA box sequences, whose organization is consistent with that expected for bacterial origins of replication, upstream of gidA (data not shown). Altogether, these data strongly suggest that dopC does not specify anymore a replication initiation protein. Yet, further molecular analyses will be required to identify precisely the bacterial origin of replication in these organisms.

Here, we identified a putative cellular RHeMa system, potentially enlightening another example of phage proteins diverted from their viral function to fulfill a cellular one. The experimental validation of DopE as a third RHeMa system in the last clade in which neither DnaC/I nor DciA was identified (Brezellec et al. 2016) would imply that RHeM as needed components of the replication program in bacteria, like in archaea and eukaryotes. In turn, it would enlarge the variety of mechanisms operating bacterial replicative helicases during replication. The last conundrum regarding bacterial RHeM as is found in Thiotrichales. This order of gammaproteobacteria encompasses mostly dciA-containing species. Some species appear, however, to be devoid of dciA, dnaC/I or dopE gene. Intriguingly, RHeM-lacking species are not regrouped in one phylogenetic clade, but scattered within the order (data not shown).

The close relatedness between P and DopE sequences suggests that the domesticated protein could perform like its viral ancestor during bacterial replication initiation. According to this hypothesis, DopE would bring free replicative helicases to the DnaA-built initiation complex formed at oriC rather than to the phage origin of replication. The fact that DopC does not serve as an origin of replication anymore gives weight to this hypothesis. Nonetheless, dopC is always maintained in dopE-containing genomes and genomically associated with...
dopE, suggesting that both genes specify synergistic functions. The substitution of DciA phage features in DopC by a protein domain found in primosomal proteins, may link the function of the domesticated gene with replication forks reactivation. Alternatively, DopC might help recruit the DopE-bound replicative helicase during chromosomal replication initiation. Experimental investigation is now required to answer these questions.

The easiness with which a cellular machinery that manages the recruitment and the positioning of the replicative helicase on the replication initiation complex may be replaced by another one is remarkable. DciA was replaced in the bacterial domain multiple times by viral proteins, at least seven times by dnaC/I (Brezellec et al. 2016) and potentially once or more by dopE. This suggests limited adjustments and likely requires the predominated gene to belong to a phage that employs the host replicative helicase for its own replication. As a matter of fact, we have not detected traces of domestication of the bacteriophage T4 RHeMa gene S9 in bacteria, likely because T4 specifies its own replicative helicase, unrelated to the bacterial ones. Yet, the wide distribution of domesticated RHeMases in the bacterial kingdom may reflect an additional selective advantage of the viral RHeMa over DciA. It is noteworthy, indeed, that RHeMa substitutions identified to date are unilateral and characterized by the replacement of the ancestral dciA by a domesticated phage gene. The mechanism through which P fulfills its function illustrates marvelously the selective advantage that can be associated with the domestication of viral RHeMases. In Escherichia coli, P titrates out the bacterial replicative helicase already complexed with DnaC for the benefit of the phage replication and to the expense of that of the genome (Mallory et al. 1990), inferring that the strength of the interaction between competing RHeMases and the replicative helicase governs over the choice of DNA that will be replicated. It is therefore conceivable that the domestication of a viral RHeMa gene brought a selective advantage to cells within which it was domesticated, by jeopardizing the replication and subsequently the proliferation of certain categories of phages.
Materials and Methods

Phylogenetic Analyses

An alignment of proteins (a crude alignment generated using the program ClustalW and refined by hand) was fueled into PhyLM (v. 3.0) and 100 bootstrap replicates were generated for each analysis (Guindon and Gascuel 2003). A consensus tree was eventually obtained by running the program CONSENSE and fed as an input tree into PhyML. Significant bootstrap scores (arbitrarily above 70%) are indicated.

Datasets

The following strains were used to identify dopE in RHeMa-lacking species through “Gene phyloprofile” at the Microscope platform. IN-group: Cellvibrio japonicus Ueda107, Congregibacter litoralis KT71, Microbulbifer thermotolerants, Saccharophagus degradans 2-40, Simiduia agarivorans SA1, Spongiibacter sp. IMCC21906, Teredinibacter turnerae T7901, Gynuella sunshinyii YCG258, Thalassolituus oleivorans MIL-1, Oleispira antarctica RB-8, Marinomonas mediterranea MMB-1, Marinomonas posidonica IVIA-Po-181, and Marinomonas sp. MWYL1. OUT-group: Azotobacter vinelandii DJ, Chromohalobacter salexigens DSM 3043, Halobacterium salinarum NV-1, Halomonas elongata DSM 2581, and Pseudomonas aeruginosa PA01.

Gene Phyloprofile

“Gene phyloprofile” searches for genes systematically present in each species of the IN-group set, excluding genes sharing homology with a gene found in at least one genome of a species constituting the OUT-group set. “Gene phyloprofile” was run with the following parameters: Homology constraints within the IN-group set (minLrap > 0.9, maxLrap > 0, Identity ≥ 35%) and in the OUT-group set (minLrap > 0.7; maxLrap ≥ 0; Identity ≥ 30%)

GC Skew

GC-skews have been computed as (G-C)/(G+C) on the whole genomic sequence. We used a window size of 200 nucleotides and a sliding step of 50 nucleotides. Both GC skew and cumulative GC skew have been computed and drawn using a script available on request (supplementary information, Supplementary Material online). Due to compositional strand bias between the lagging and the leading strand, the plot of the cumulative GC-skew typically produces a V-curve. The minimum value of this V-curve is commonly interpreted as the putative origin of replication (Sernova and Gelfand 2008).

Supplementary Material

Supplementary data are available at Genome Biology and Evolution online.
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