Calcified retained intrauterine device in the setting of a vesicovaginal fistula
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1. Introduction

A patient with a complicated medical history including: pelvic malignancy, acute vaginal bleeding, chronic incontinence, pelvic external radiation therapy, and a history of an intrauterine device (IUD), presents for a challenging diagnosis. A detailed physical exam, imaging, cystoscopy and vaginoscopy were all important tools to evaluate and treat the patient’s conditions.

1.1. Case presentation

A 64-year-old female was admitted from the emergency department after experiencing profuse vaginal bleeding while on anticoagulation for atrial fibrillation. She had previously experienced intermittent vaginal spotting. Her medical history was also significant for cervical cancer treated with external beam radiation therapy, breast cancer treated with lumpectomy, hypertension, urinary incontinence, and chronic urinary tract infections. The cervical cancer was treated 17 years prior to presentation and believed to be in remission. Her only other known gynecological treatment was remote placement of IUD and she reported that it was removed prior to her radiation therapy.

Physical exam was benign except for the pelvic exam that revealed blood in the vaginal canal, and a stricture 2 cm from the introitus, which was approximately 1 cm in diameter. A five centimeter solid mass was appreciated on bimanual exam. There was a small protruding extension of the solid mass on palpation of the center of the vaginal stricture.

Computed tomography (CT) abdomen and pelvis was performed with and without contrast. An irregular, enhancing mass seen along the posterior left urinary bladder wall concerning for local extension of the patient’s known primary carcinoma. Diffuse, enhancing mass-like thickening of the posterior wall of the urinary bladder measuring 4.4 cm × 2.8 cm was noted (Fig. 1). The bladder wall demonstrated thickening with mild perivesical fat stranding and there were small pockets of intraluminal air in the nondependent portion of the bladder as well. Radiopaque material within the lower uterine segment and cervix was also identified (Fig. 2).

Additionally, there was mild right hydronephrosis and moderate renal cortical scarring.

The physical exam and imaging alone did not reveal the etiology of the solid mass and the patient was taken to the operating room (OR) by gynecology to perform a more thorough vaginal exam, which exposed a fused and shortened vagina consistent with radiation treatment. At the top of the vagina, a fistula with urine leakage was noted, and it appeared that a plastic cannula emerged through the fistula. At this point, urology was consulted and performed cystoscopy revealing a large vesicovaginal fistula (VVF). Vaginoscopy was also performed and an object was identified in the vaginal canal consistent in appearance with a calcified string of an IUD. The left ureteral orifice was identified and a retrograde pyelogram was performed and revealed no hydronephrosis, extravasation or filling defects. Methylene blue was administered and the right ureteral orifice could not be identified. The mass identified on CT was correlated during cystoscopy as inflamed tissue around the fistula tract. This tissue was biopsied and pathology identified squamous mucosa with acute and chronic inflammation, parakeratosis, hyperkeratosis and a stromal fragment with dilated
vessels and few adherent benign epithelial cells.

The patient returned to the OR during this admission and after
gentle digital dilation of the vaginal stricture polyp forceps were
introduced. This allowed the calcified mass to be broken and then
removed in pieces from the vaginal canal. Within the calcified mass
was a Lippes Loop IUD (Fig. 3).

Shortly after discharge the patient went to the OR with urology
for transabdominal VVF repair and right ureteral reimplantation with
psosas hitch. She had an uneventful post-operative course and after
two years of outpatient follow up, patient continues to report res-
olution of vaginal bleeding and urinary incontinence.

2. Discussion

The Lippes Loop was the most widely prescribed IUD in 1962
when it began distribution.\textsuperscript{1} Since that time, inert IUDs are no
longer utilized in the United States and newer devices have
replaced them. Perforation of an IUD is exceedingly rare, occurring
in approximately 1 out of 1000 insertions, and fewer than 10\% are
expulsed, generally in the first year after placement.\textsuperscript{2}Currently
there is no consensus for the safe length of use of inert IUD under
normal circumstances, but it is generally advised to remove the
device one-year post menopause.\textsuperscript{1} Risks of neglecting an IUD
include migration, infection, and calcification.

This patient has a very complicated medical history with three
factors that may have contributed to the formation of VVF: radia-
tion therapy, malignancy, and foreign body, which are all known
causes of fistula. However, considering the irregular mass in the
bladder and the fact that the patient was told the IUD was removed
17 years early, making the diagnosis was difficult.

External beam radiation therapy to the pelvis certainly played a
role in the decreased integrity of the pelvic tissue and contributed
to the unfortunate series of complications. Vaginal stenosis is pre-
sent in as many as 62\% of women following pelvic radiation ther-
apy.\textsuperscript{1} This patient’s vaginal stenosis had a less than 1 cm opening,
making complete expulsion of the IUD very difficult.

VVF is much less common in the developed world than it is in
the developing world, and approximately 13% of genitourinary fistulas can be attributed to iatrogenic causes. In this patient, proper follow up may have made the difference of detecting the expelled IUD at an earlier time. When an IUD migrates, it can cause debilitating symptoms such as seen in our patient with chronic urinary tract infections, vaginal bleeding, and chronic urinary incontinence.

3. Conclusion

There are only a few cases of VVF due to a foreign body in the vagina reported in the literature. This patient had a history of malignancy, radiation and foreign body, all known causes of fistula formation. A complicated medical history with unclear details regarding management made diagnosis and treatment of this patient very challenging. Imaging can be helpful to determine the relevant anatomical defects however; a thorough physical exam is essential for assessment and management of patients with suspected VVF.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data related to this article can be found at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eucr.2018.01.023.
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