Revisiting nature: a review of iridoids as a potential antileishmanial class
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Abstract Leishmaniasis still stands as one of the most prevalent neglected tropical diseases in the least developed and emerging countries. The recommended therapeutic arsenal to treat leishmaniasis is characterized by several shortcomings, and resistance has already been reported. Hence, this dramatic background highlights the pressing need to develop novel, affordable, and safe antileishmanial drugs. Multiple classes of natural compounds have been reported to possess antileishmanial activity. Among these classes, iridoids stand out as a special type of monoterpenoids with diverse biological properties—including their antileishmanial potential. This review aims to discuss the available literature between 1991 and 2020 related to the antileishmanial activity of the iridoid class. Throughout the past decades, various investigations attributed antileishmanial action to assorted iridoid types, including inhibitory potential towards validated drug targets and immunomodulatory activity. The latter deserves special attention due to the ability of some iridoids to improve the host’s immune response against parasites. It opens the possibility of iridoids become adjuncts in leishmaniasis treatments by improving the efficacy of currently employed drugs. Furthermore, the present study intends to provide a convenient visual representation of which iridoids and Leishmania spp. species have been most investigated as a guide for further researches.
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Abbreviations
NTD(s) Neglected tropical disease(s)
CL Cutaneous leishmaniasis
MCL Mucocutaneous leishmaniasis
VL Visceral leishmaniasis
Glc Glucose
SI Selectivity index
TyR Trypanothione reductase
Kᵢ Inhibitory constant
IC₅₀ Half inhibitory concentration
CC₅₀ Half cytotoxic concentration
EC₅₀ Half effective concentration
ROS Reactive oxygen species
MIC Minimum inhibitory concentration
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Introduction

General aspects of leishmaniasis

Neglected tropical diseases (NTDs) comprise a group of diseases related to poor living conditions, mostly prevailing in tropical and subtropical regions. In this context, leishmaniasis persists as one of the most prevalent NTDs in the least developed and emerging countries. The socioeconomic impacts combined with the complexity of the disease still challenges endemic countries as a public health problem (Malecela 2019; Sunyoto et al. 2019). Although much effort is still needed in terms of prevention, control, and novel treatments, recent progress has been made (Bodimeade et al. 2019). Control strategies implemented in Bihar, India, successfully eliminated visceral leishmaniasis in a highly-endemic district (Kumar et al. 2020); however, there is a concern where the emergence of Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) may reverse decades of efforts and aggravate the NTDs scenario in vulnerable populations (Ehrenberg et al. 2020).

The highly diverse genus *Leishmania* consists of multiple species of obligate intracellular parasites, mainly transmitted by female sandflies of the genera *Lutzomyia* and *Phlebotomus*. Each species is responsible for a particular sort of clinical manifestation, which may be categorized as: cutaneous leishmaniasis (CL), mucocutaneous leishmaniasis (MCL), and visceral leishmaniasis (VL). In fact, some species cause more than one clinical manifestation; for instance, *L. amazonensis* infections are commonly associated with CL, as well as with diffuse CL (Kaye and Scott 2011; Torres-Guerrero et al. 2017). The damages caused by leishmaniasis go beyond physical injuries. From lifelong skin scarring to facial mucosal deformities, CL and MCL, respectively, may lead to aesthetic and social stigma. As a result, this psychosocial burden affects directly the psychological health and quality of life of those affected (Bennis et al. 2018; Bailey et al. 2019). Known as kala-azar, VL is the most severe condition of this group of diseases, affecting internal organs such as the spleen, liver, and bone marrow. This systemic form of the disease features ineffective immune response in the host and high mortality, comparing to other leishmaniasis clinical manifestations (Kaye and Scott 2011; Torres-Guerrero et al. 2017). Consequently, at-risk populations such as preschool children, undernourished, and immunocompromised individuals require special attention (Torres-Guerrero et al. 2017).

Leishmania spp. life cycle

The life cycle of *Leishmania* spp. parasites (Fig. 1) comprend a complex relationship between a female sandfly vector and a vertebrate host (e.g., humans and rodents). This human-vector interaction is related to various circumstances, which anthropic interventions such as deforestation and exposure to wildlife certainly contributed to human infections. In urban areas, dogs are the main reservoirs of *Leishmania* species responsible for VL, thus participating in the infection cycle and contributing to the disease spread (Torres-Guerrero et al. 2017; Roque and Jansen 2014). *Leishmania* parasites occur as flagellated promastigotes and intracellular amastigotes. The flagellated forms are found in sandfly vectors and subdivided as procyclic (non-infective) and metacyclic (infective) promastigotes. The parasites adopt an oval form known as amastigotes to overcome the intracellular environment in the vertebrate host (Rossi and Fasel 2017). Immune evasion and modulation are essential strategies for parasite survival. The constant exposure to harsh environments during its digenetic cycle induces morphological and biochemical changes in the parasite (Dostálková and Volf 2012). To properly establish in the host, the parasite must evade and modulate the host’s immune system through multiple mechanisms. As expected, an immunocompromised host facilitates the persistence of the parasite. This is a typical scenario in *Leishmania*-HIV co-infected patients. Indeed, disease relapses and worsening of CL and VL clinical symptoms are quite common in patients affected with this serious condition (Kaye and Scott 2011; Conceição-Silva and Morgado 2019; Rossi and Fasel 2017; Geiger et al. 2016).

In general, the digenetic life cycle of *Leishmania* spp. parasites (Fig. 1) may be divided into vector and vertebrate host phases. In the vector phase, the flagellated procyclic promastigote forms are localized in the midgut of the sandfly. These non-infective spindle shaped parasites differentiate into infectious metacyclic promastigotes, and then are inoculated into the skin of the vertebrate host during sandfly blood feeding. Various types of phagocytic cells are recruited to the site of the bite (Kaye and Scott...
Before being phagocytized, the parasites surpass the extracellular matrix with the assistance of secreted proteases to breakdown collagen, thus facilitating their migration (de Menezes et al. 2016). Generally, Leishmania-macrophage initial interactions occur through parasite flagellum. Once phagocytized by macrophages, the metacyclic promastigotes establish within phagolysosome and differentiate into amastigotes. In this host phase, the intracellular parasites replicate via binary fission and persist by secreting survival factors to counter the oxidative damage and hydrolytic activity of phagolysosome. These oval forms replicate until the organelle become unable to support the parasitic load, causing cell rupture. Later on, the amastigotes are released and internalized by other phagocytic cells, gradually establishing the infection in the host (Podinovskaia and Descoteaux 2015; Moradin and Descoteaux 2012). The life cycle is complete when an uninfected sandfly takes a blood meal containing infected phagocytes or amastigotes (Kaye and Scott 2011; Dostálová and Volf 2012).
Current treatments on leishmaniasis

Recommended treatment regimens still rely on few drug options (Fig. 2), which present several drawbacks and limited efficacy, depending on the clinical manifestation. Multiple factors are involved in the correct chemotherapy choice, which may vary according to regional or national guidelines (Graebin et al. 2009; Sundar et al. 2019). In addition to important adverse effects, drug resistance has already been reported for currently employed drugs (Sundar et al. 2019; Tiwari et al. 2018). Current strategies to overcome the lack of treatment options are based on drug repurposing, multidrug therapy, and development of novel compounds. Another interesting approach is the development of new formulations for currently employed drugs, such as novel drug delivery systems (Sundar et al. 2019; Charlton et al. 2018; Sundar and Singh 2016).

Sodium stibogluconate (1) and N-methylglucamine antimoniate (2) (Fig. 2) are antimonial-based drugs normally available in endemic areas and present low cost. Pentavalent antimonials 1 and 2 are the first choice in many endemic countries for CL and VL. The wide range of adverse effects of these compounds includes vomiting, cardiotoxicity, and hepatotoxicity (Graebin et al. 2009; Sundar and Singh 2016). Pentamidine (3) belongs to the diamidine class and may be employed as second-choice treatment when antimonial therapy is unsuccessful. Its use is associated with the development of insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus in patients, as well as hypoglycemia, renal toxicity, and myocarditis (Sundar and Singh 2016; Chakravarty and Sundar 2019). The first oral drug for leishmaniasis, miltefosine (4), is effective in the CL and VL treatment. One of the major concerns regarding 4 is the emergence of resistance owing to its long half-life. The most severe side effects related to its use are gastrointestinal toxicity, hepatotoxicity, and nephrotoxicity. Additionally, teratogenicity has been reported in rats, hence the use of 4 by pregnant women is not recommended (Sundar and Singh 2016; Chakravarty and Sundar 2019; Dorlo et al. 2012). The antibiotics used to treat leishmaniasis are

**Fig. 2** Therapeutic options to treat leishmaniasis

1 Sodium Stibogluconate
2 N-methylglucamine antimoniate
3 Pentamidine
4 Miltefosine
5 Amphotericin B
6 Paromomycin sulfate
represented by amphotericin B (5) and paromomycin sulfate (6). Amphotericin B 5 is generally used as a second-choice drug, being an alternative to treat antimonial-resistant cases. Chemotherapy with 5 is associated with its known nephrotoxicity and long unpleasant infusion administrations. The serious adverse effects caused by 5 require close monitoring and extended hospitalization. Liposomal formulations of 5 are highly effective due to their unique delivery system. These presentations circumvent the toxicity associated to 5, although much research is still needed to decrease their cost (Sundar and Singh 2016; Chakravarty and Sundar 2019; Ortega et al. 2017).

Paromomycin sulfate 6, also known as aminosidine, is an aminoglycoside antibiotic alternatively used to treat leishmaniasis. Depending on the treatment guideline, 6 is used in combination with antimonials. Similar to 5, 6 parenteral administrations are painful and also may cause nephrotoxicity. In fewer cases, reversible ototoxicity occurs as a serious adverse effect (Sundar and Singh 2016; Chakravarty and Sundar 2019; Matos et al. 2020).

Approaches to find new antileishmanial agents from plants

The aspects discussed above highlight the main reasons why leishmaniasis has the NTD status. In this sense, there is a pressing need to develop novel, safe, and affordable drugs to treat leishmaniasis in its entire clinical manifestation spectrum. To accomplish that, a classic strategy is the investigation of plants and their constituents aiming to combat the disease in question (Ullah et al. 2016). Endeavors such as plant-screening programs have identified that several plants employed in folk medicine present antileishmanial activity (Rocha et al. 2005). The study of ethnomedical knowledge of communities and natives from certain regions is another approach where potential antileishmanial extracts and plant-derived compounds may be identified (Ullah et al. 2016; Rocha et al. 2005; Scotti et al. 2016).

The chemical diversity and complexity of natural compounds have always fascinated researchers regarding their pharmacological potential. Plant extracts and phytochemicals of various classes have been reported to possess antileishmanial properties (Scotti et al. 2016; Boniface and Ferreira 2019; Gonçalves et al. 2020; Raj et al. 2020). Among these classes, iridoids comprise a vastly diverse group of cyclopenta[c]pyran monoterpenoids (Raj et al. 2020). Throughout the past decades, extensive investigations have been conducted and attributed various biological activities to this class, including antileishmanial properties (Raj et al. 2020; Tundis et al. 2008).

Taking into account these matters, the present study aims to discuss the available literature between 1991 and 2020 related to the antileishmanial activity of iridoids. In this period, a total of 32 works were published, comprising in vitro, in vivo, ex vivo, and in silico studies. The available information was gathered using different databases (Web of Science, Science-Direct, and Scopus) using the words iridoids, antileishmanial, leishmanicidal, antiparasitic, and antiprotozoal. Additional data from books were also included. All 32 publications were covered in this review, regardless of the lack of antileishmanial activity. Considering the present work aims to discuss the available data, it is appropriate to report negative results to optimize further studies. Genus Leishmania has numerous species and different forms, which may present varying susceptibilities towards the same compound; therefore, different species and forms may be investigated, and redundant studies prevented. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first review article entirely dedicated to the antileishmanial potential of the iridoid class.

Iridoids as a potential antileishmanial class

A glimpse on iridoid chemistry

Iridoids are a special class of cyclopentanoid monoterpenes that occur in the plant kingdom as glycosides,
regularly bound to glucose at C-1. The basic skeleton of carbocyclic iridoids (Fig. 3) generally features a cyclopentane unit attached to a dihydropyran ring, whereas secoiridoids occur as a result of the C-7/C-8 cleavage. These compounds are considered chemotaxonomic markers and their occurrence have been providing evidences that allow the circumscription of many taxa whose taxonomic boundaries are confusing and unresolved (Jensen and Shripsema 2002; Franzyk 2000).

Iridoids are formed by two principal biosynthetic routes (Fig. 4). Route I originates compounds that are mainly found in species of the orders Cornales and Gentianales. This pathway leads to the synthesis of deoxyloganic acid (11), which is a precursor to many iridoids with the 8β stereochemistry, including loganin (12), and secologanin (13). The latter results from the oxidative cleavage of the C-7/C-8 linkage of the cyclopentane ring. After a complex synthesis involving tryptamine, 13 gives rise to indole alkaloids; vincristine, vinblastine, and reserpin, among others, are usually found in the families Apocynaceae, Rubiaceae, and Loganiaceae, order Gentianales. Other group of iridoids, which may be considered more advanced, is biosynthetically formed through route II. This pathway gives rise to 8-epi-deoxyloganic acid (14), which is a precursor to iridoids with 8α carbon substituent, both C-4 carboxylated and C-4 decarboxylated carbocyclic iridoids (e.g., ipolamiide (15) and aucubin (16), respectively). These compounds are almost exclusively found in families of the order Lamiaceae (Jensen 1992).

A large number of iridoids isolated from plants employed in traditional medicine have shown biological activities, thus validating their popular use all over the world. This class of monoterpenoids exhibits a wide range of biological effects, such as antiviral, antidiabetic, anticancer, anti-inflammatory, hepatoprotective, neuroprotective, molluscicidal, among many others (Bhattamisra et al. 2019; Zeng et al. 2020; Wang et al. 2020; Hamdi and Castellon 2005; de Sa Barreto et al. 2007; Kubo and Matsumoto 1984; Drewes et al. 1996). Furthermore, some iridoids have shown antiprotozoal activity against Plasmodium spp. (Tamura et al. 2010; Rocha e Silva et al. 2013), Trypanosoma spp. (Kwofie et al. 2016), and Leishmania spp., the focus of this review. Indeed, the anti-Leishmania activity of iridoids has been drawing the attention of the scientific community for several decades (Hussain et al. 2014, 2019). The following section is dedicated to the discussion of several investigations that explored the antileishmanial potential of the iridoid class.

Antileishmanial properties of iridoids

Around 22 plant species and their corresponding phytochemicals, specifically iridoids (52 substances), were analyzed in this review. With some exceptions, the majority of plants herein discussed belong to the orders Gentianales and Lamiaceae. In brief, the in vitro antileishmanial evaluations were performed using the promastigote and amastigote forms of Leishmania spp. parasites. Eight Leishmania species have been investigated so far: L. donovani, L. major, L. hertigi, L. enriettii, L. amazonensis, L. braziliensis, L. infantum, and L. mexicana (in order of appearance). It is important to keep in mind that the intracellular forms, amastigotes, play a key role in the emergence of leishmaniasis clinical manifestations; therefore, these forms deserve an extra attention. Some studies are dedicated to the in vivo assessment of iridoids in experimental VL models. Organ biopsies were the main objects to evaluate the parasite load after treatment termination.

The investigation of Nyctanthes arbor-tristis L. (Oleaceae) biological potential led to the discovery of its antileishmanial properties. The n-BuOH fraction extracted from its seeds, which exhibited antileishmanial activity in a previous screening, resulted in the subsequent isolation of the iridoid glucosides arbortristoside A (17), arbortristoside B (18), arbortristoside C (19), and 6β-hydroxyloganin (20) (Fig. 5). These isolates were evaluated both in vivo and in vitro against the amastigote forms of L. donovani. The compounds were assessed using two concentrations. All compounds presented some degree of activity in both concentrations tested, where 17 displayed a percentage of inhibition of average number of amastigotes per infected macrophage of 45.83 ± 7.21% at 30 μg/mL (52.95 μM) and 64.58 ± 3.60% at 100 μg/mL (176.55 μM). Unfortunately, 19 and 20 were toxic against macrophages at 60 μg/mL (108.59 μM and 147.64 μM, respectively). In the same test conditions, the standard drug sodium stibogluconate (1) showed a percentage of inhibition of average number of amastigotes per infected macrophage of 80% and 100% at 30 μg/mL...
(32.93 μM) and 100 μg/mL (109.78 μM), respectively. The in vivo studies using hamsters infected with *L. donovani* yielded interesting results. Iridoid glucosides 17–20 were administered via intraperitoneal at a dose of 10 mg/kg given for 5 days. The parasite burden was evaluated after spleen biopsies.
and expressed as percentage of inhibition. Spleen biopsies on days 7 and 28 post treatment revealed a significant antileishmanial activity for compound 17 (79.68 ± 21.68% of inhibition on day 28). Furthermore, 17 was also administered orally at 100 mg/kg given for 5 days and produced 57% of inhibition. In contrast, no inhibition was observed for 19, indicating that the \textit{para}-methoxy substituent at the cinnamoyl portion is important for the activity. As positive control, 1 administered intraperitoneally at 100 mg/kg given for 5 days exhibited 93.51% of inhibition (day 28) (Tandon et al. 1991).

The findings of the previous work promoted a deep analysis concerning compounds arbortristoside A (17), arbortristoside C (19), and 6\textbeta-hydroxyloganin (20) mechanism of action (Fig. 5). Trypanothione reductase (TryR) is a unique enzyme present in Trypanosomatids responsible for the maintenance of redox balance and homeostasis. The absence of TryR in mammalian hosts is an enormous advantage in the drug development perspective, thus being considered a validated drug target. In this work, compounds 17, 19, and 20 were isolated from \textit{Nyctanthes arbor-tristis} leaves and their inhibitory potential was evaluated towards TryR. All iridoid glucosides displayed competitive inhibition of TryR, showing \( K_i \) values of 3.34 ± 0.03 \( \mu \)M, 3.24 ± 0.05 \( \mu \)M, and 6.49 ± 0.05 \( \mu \)M for isolates 17, 19, and 20, respectively. Moreover, the IC\(_{50}\) values demonstrated that all compounds were able to inhibit TryR at low concentrations. Whereas 17 and 19 exhibited similar inhibition values (2.65 ± 0.05 \( \mu \)M and 2.29 ± 0.03 \( \mu \)M, respectively), 20 showed an IC\(_{50}\) of 4.74 ± 0.05 \( \mu \)M against TryR (Shukla et al. 2011).

Continuing the investigation to better understand the antileishmanial activity of iridoid glucosides arbortristoside A (17), arbortristoside C (19), and 6\textbeta-hydroxyloganin (20) (Fig. 5), various experiments were conducted. Promastigote forms of \textit{L. donovani} were more susceptible to 17–19, in comparison to axenic amastigote forms. The most active isolate, 17, presented an IC\(_{50}\) value of 3.264 ± 0.05 \( \mu \)M and 7.26 ± 0.05 \( \mu \)M for promastigote forms and axenic amastigotes, respectively. It has been demonstrated that the iridoid glucosides 17, 19, and 20 promoted the increase of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in parasites. As a result, this redox imbalance induced oxidative stress, causing cell membrane damage and apoptotic-like death in both promastigote and axenic amastigote forms. In addition, the toxicological assessment of the isolates indicated that these compounds may possess a safe profile, since low cytotoxicity was observed in human embryonic kidney (HEK 293) and mouse macrophage (J774A.1) cell lines. Low cytotoxicity towards macrophages represents a valuable finding since parasites reside inside them to replicate and persist (Shukla et al. 2012). Putting together all these data, it is evident that \textit{Nyctanthes arbor-tristis} is a valuable source of antileishmanial agents (Fig. 5). Its constituents 17–20, as well as its extracts, possess significant leishmanicidal activity against \textit{L. donovani}. The increased ROS production in parasites, which leads to their apoptosis-like death, correlates well with the reported TryR inhibitory ability of iridoid glucosides 17 and 19.

The secoiridoid glucoside oleuropein (21) (Fig. 6) has been attracting substantial attention owing to its wide range of biological activities. Olive leaf extracts, which normally contains 21, are generally considered safe (Hamdi and Castellon 2005; Hassen et al. 2015). Isolated from \textit{Olea europaea} \textit{L.} (Oleaceae) leaves, 21 had its antileishmanial potential evaluated in vitro and in vivo. The leishmanicidal potential of 21 was investigated in three \textit{Leishmania} species in vitro: \textit{L. infantum}, \textit{L. donovani}, and \textit{L. major}. A preliminary screening showed that \textit{L. donovani} promastigote forms were more susceptible to 21 (IC\(_{50}\) = 77.2 ± 10.5 \( \mu \)g/mL, 142.82 ± 19 \( \mu \)M). Following
these results, \( \text{21} \) was screened against \( L. \text{donovani} \) amastigote forms and exhibited an IC\textsubscript{50} value of 110 ± 32 \( \mu \)g/mL (203.51 ± 59 \( \mu \)M). Both reference drugs miltefosine (4) and paromomycin (6) were highly active against promastigote and amastigote forms of all parasites. However, \( \text{21} \) exhibited less cytotoxicity against mouse macrophage (J774A.1) cell lines (CC\textsubscript{50} = 356 ± 23 \( \mu \)g/mL, 658.86 ± 42 \( \mu \)M) than \( \text{4} \) (CC\textsubscript{50} = 143 ± 43 \( \mu \)g/mL, 350.83 ± 105 \( \mu \)M) and \( \text{6} \) (CC\textsubscript{50} = 275 ± 53 \( \mu \)g/mL, 385.31 ± 74 \( \mu \)M). Miltefosine 4 presented a selectivity index (SI) of 297.9, while \( \text{21} \) was less selective towards amastigotes (SI = 3.24). Albeit \( \text{21} \) did not present an expressive in vitro activity against the parasites, the in vivo studies demonstrated the opposite. Oleuropein \( \text{21} \) was able to reduce significantly the parasitic burden in BALB/c mice infected with \( L. \text{donovani} \). In this experimental VL model, \( \text{21} \) was administered intraperitoneally for 28 days using three concentrations (45 mg/kg, 15 mg/kg, and 5 mg/kg of body weight). Three days after treatment termination, it was observed that \( \text{21} \) significantly decreased the parasitic burden in BALB/c mice spleen and liver. To verify whether \( \text{21} \) was able to control the infection or relapse occurred, mice were sacrificed 6 weeks after treatment completion and their parasitic burden in the liver and spleen was evaluated. Oleuropein \( \text{21} \) exhibited a persistent leishmanicial action and remarkably decreased the spleen parasitic burden at 45 mg/kg (99.7%) and 15 mg/kg (99.8%), successfully inhibiting the progression of the disease. Miltefosine 4, which was used as positive control, showed similar results in the same conditions. It is appropriate to highlight \( \text{21} \) displayed lower in vitro cytotoxicity towards macrophages than \( \text{4} \), indicating a safer profile for \( \text{21} \) (Kyriazis et al. 2013). The study of an association between \( \text{4} \) and \( \text{21} \) would be an interesting approach for further researches.

The same research group investigated the possible mechanisms underlying oleuropein \( \text{21} \) (Fig. 6) antileishmanial action. This study not only demonstrated the ability of \( \text{21} \) to elevate ROS levels in both in vitro and in vivo VL models but also its capacity to increase nitric oxide production in ex vivo cultures of splenocytes and hepatocytes obtained from \( L. \text{donovani} \)-infected BALB/c mice. Interestingly, \( \text{21} \) also presented a protective action in in vivo conditions by elevating \( \text{Leishmania} \)-specific IgG2a/IgG1 levels, as well as a positive delayed type hypersensitivity response. An immunomodulatory effect was also attributed to \( \text{21} \) due to its capacity to simultaneously downregulate antioxidant enzymes in parasites and to enhance host’s immune response by increasing Th1 predominance (Kyriazis et al. 2016). In another study, \( L. \text{donovani} \) promastigote forms showed morphological changes and DNA fragmentation after treatment with \( \text{21} \) (under in vitro conditions). The experiments indicated that \( \text{21} \) promotes apoptosis-like death in parasites and intracellular ROS production after 48 h treatment. According to the authors, \( \text{21} \) may trigger apoptosis-like death through a ROS-independent mechanism (Kyriazis et al. 2017).

Oleuropein \( \text{21} \) (Fig. 6) has also displayed cytotoxic and antiproliferative activity against \( L. \text{major} \) promastigote forms. The secoiridoid glucoside \( \text{21} \) exhibited a dose-dependent activity against the flagellated forms of \( L. \text{major} \). At a dose of 250 \( \mu \)g/mL (462.52 \( \mu \)M), \( \text{21} \) reduced 88.5% of \( L. \text{major} \) promastigote forms, while 74.8% of parasites were killed when exposed to sodium stibogluconate (1) at 250 \( \mu \)g/mL (274.45 \( \mu \)M). This cytotoxic action was investigated and it has been observed that \( \text{21} \) possesses apoptotic properties, triggering cell death through apoptosis in \( L. \text{major} \) promastigotes (Elamin and AL-Maliki 2014).
Previous reports have already pointed the antiprotozoal potential of tetracyclic iridoids, more specifically against *Trypanosoma brucei* parasites (Kwofie et al. 2016; Suzuki et al. 2015). The phytochemical investigation of *Morinda lucida* Benth. (Rubiaceae) leaves yielded the isolation of three tetracyclic iridoids (22–24) (Fig. 6). Considering *Leishmania* spp. and *Trypanosoma* spp. parasites are trypanosomatids and share common characteristics, the antileishmanial activity of the isolates 22–24 was assessed. Both 22 and 24 exhibited leishmanicidal action against *L. hertigi* and *L. enriettii* parasites. While 22 displayed an IC50 of 4.24 μM against *L. hertigi* and a minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of 4.17 μM towards *L. enriettii* parasites, 24 presented a more pronounced activity. The tetracyclic iridoid 24 exhibited a half-inhibitory concentration of 3.38 μM against *L. hertigi* and a MIC of 2.60 μM against *L. enriettii* promastigotes. It is possible to infer that the presence of a carboxylate ester group is important for the activity, since the isolate 23 bearing a carboxylic acid did not show leishmanicidal action (*L. hertigi*: IC50 = > 50 μM; *L. enriettii*: MIC = > 50 μM). Lipophilicity also seems important for the activity, by comparing the leishmanicidal action with the carboxylate ester side chain size. Concerning isolates 22 and 24 toxicity, both compounds exhibited cytotoxicity against different human cell lines in the range of 3.38–18.13 μM. The presence of an α-methylene γ-lactone portion may play a role in the indiscriminate activity observed in the tetracyclic iridoids 22 and 24. Their increased lipophilicity, compared to 23, seems to enhance cell penetration; as a result, 22 and 24 may act as Michael-acceptors to biological nucleophiles, which explains their possible cytotoxic action towards human cell lines (Amoa-Bosompem et al. 2016).

The tetracyclic iridoids 22 and 24 (Fig. 6) have also showed significant activity against *L. donovani* (22, IC50 = 2.94 ± 0.60 μM; 24, IC50 = 0.91 ± 0.50 μM) and *L. major* (22, IC50 = 1.85 ± 0.20 μM; 24, IC50 = 1.77 ± 0.20 μM) promastigote forms. Microscopic analysis showed important morphological changes on parasites such as flagellum loss and induced cell-rounding. Additionally, apoptotic properties were attributed to isolates 22 and 24. Likewise the previous study, these compounds were isolated from *M. lucida* leaves (Azerigiyik et al. 2018).

Plumericin (25) and isoplumericin (26) (Fig. 7) are another well-known iridoids with reported antileishmanial properties. These highly functionalized iridoids were isolated from *Plumeria rubra* L. (syn. *Plumeria bicolor* Ruiz & Pav.) (Apocynaceae) stem barks and assayed against *L. donovani* promastigote and amastigote forms. Plumericin 25 exhibited important antileishmanial activity against promastigote (IC50 = 3.17 ± 0.12 μM) and amastigote (IC50 = 1.41 ± 0.03 μM) forms. Curiously, the parasites were more resistant when treated with 26 (IC50 = 7.2 ± 0.08 μM for promastigotes; IC50 = 4.1 ± 0.02 μM for amastigotes). The chloroform extract, which yielded the isolates 25 and 26, displayed an IC50 of 21 ± 2.2 μg/mL against promastigotes and 14 ± 1.6 μg/mL towards intracellular amastigote forms. Cytotoxicity assessment against murine macrophage (J774G8) cell lines revealed a CC50 value of 24 ± 0.7 μM, 20.6 ± 0.5 μM, and 75 ± 5.3 μM for 25, 26, and the chloroform extract, respectively. Plumericin 25 provoked cytopathological and morphological changes in *L. donovani* promastigotes, a similar action promoted by tetracyclic iridoids 22 and 24. The high activity displayed by tetracyclic iridoids 25 and 26 may be related to their propensity to undergo a Michael-type addition with biological nucleophiles (Sharma et al. 2011). Indeed, the tetracyclic iridoids 22 and 24 possess similar structural patterns and also presented cytotoxicity against macrophage cell lines (Amoa-Bosompem et al. 2016). Both isolates 25 and 26 showed less cytotoxicity against murine macrophage (J774G8) cell lines, although further studies are needed to verify their safety profile (Sharma et al. 2011).

Ethnomedical studies based on plants used by Peruvian Amazon region locals highlighted the antileishmanial properties of *Himatanthus sucuuba* (Spruce ex Mull. Arg.) Woodson (Apocynaceae). In this particular case, patients affected with CL apply *H.
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**sucuuba** fresh barks and latex at the lesion site. The ethanolic extract obtained from this plant exhibited an IC$_{50}$ of 5 µg/mL against *L. amazonensis* amastigote forms. Further extract purifications yielded the tetracyclic iridoids plumericin (25) and isoplumericin (26) (Fig. 7). Axenic amastigotes were highly susceptible to both isolates (25, IC$_{50}$ = 0.21 µM; 26, IC$_{50}$ = 0.28 µM). This strong activity also resulted in significant cytotoxicity against BALB/3T3 and Vero cell lines. The antileishmanial activity of 25 was also investigated in macrophages infected with amastigotes, and revealed an IC$_{50}$ value of 0.9 µM. This assessment was not carried out with 26, since macrophages were affected in all tested concentrations (Castillo et al. 2007).

Five Brazilian medicinal plants were evaluated against *L. amazonensis* and *L. braziliensis* promastigote forms. Extracts and fractions of all investigated plants exhibited interesting activity. *Allamanda schottii* Pohl (Apocynaceae) root extracts stood out as the most promising source of antileishmanial compounds, yielding plumericin (25) and plumieride (27) (dichloromethane fraction). Plumericin 25 displayed a half inhibitory concentration value of 0.3 ± 0.07 µg/mL (1.03 ± 0.24 µM) and 0.04 ± 0.007 µg/mL (0.13 ± 0.02 µM) against *L. amazonensis* and *L. braziliensis*, respectively. *Leishmania amazonensis* promastigotes were more resistant to 27 (IC$_{50}$ = > 100 µg/mL, > 212.57 µM), while the required concentration to inhibit half of *L. braziliensis* parasites was 21.3 ± 2.80 µg/mL (45.27 ± 5.95 µM) (Filho et al. 2013).

The leishmanicidal action of *Valeriana jatamansi* Jones (syn. *Valeriana wallachii* DC.) (Valerianaceae) rhizome extracts (Ghosh et al. 2011) resulted in further investigations concerning its constituents and their possible contribution to the activity. The isolates (28–31) (Fig. 8) were assayed against *L. major* promastigotes and showed significant activity (IC$_{50}$ = 0.8–2.3 µg/mL, 1.52–4.98 µM). However, high cytotoxicity towards macrophages (J774.1 murine cell line) was also observed. The antileishmanial activity of 28–31 may be related to their cytotoxicity action against macrophages. Additional studies concerning their mechanism of action are required to validate this hypothesis. Isolates 28–31 feature multiple ester substituents, differing from other iridoids reported in this review. This information may support further structure–activity relationship and toxicological studies. Also, the leishmanicidal action of *V. jatamansi* rhizome extracts may be associated with iridoids 28–31, considering they represent the lowest IC$_{50}$ values among all phytochemicals analyzed (e.g., flavonoids, terpenoids, and cinnamic acid derivatives) (Glaser et al. 2015).

*Sweertia chirata* Buch.-Ham. ex Wall. (Gentianaceae) has been known to possess diversified biological properties, including antileishmanial activity (Singha et al. 1992). The secoiridoid glucoside amarogentin (32) (Fig. 8) was isolated from *S. chirata* aerial parts and assayed against *L. donovani* DNA topoisomerase I enzyme. Amarogentin 32 demonstrated a potent inhibitory capacity by binding to the enzyme and preventing the binary complex formation between DNA and topoisomerase I. This enzyme is involved in critical processes in *Leishmania* parasites, playing a crucial role in replication, transcription, repair, and mitosis events (Ray et al. 1996).

Amarogentin (32) (Fig. 8) has also exhibited encouraging results in *L. donovani*-infected hamsters. The in vivo antileishmanial potential of 32 was assessed in its free, liposomal, and niosomal forms. Subcutaneous administration at a dose of 2.5 mg/kg every 3 days (for 30 days) revealed that the liposomal and niosomal delivery systems reduced spleen parasite burden more efficiently than the free form. In fact, the 32 niosomal formulation was able to decrease the parasite load by 90%. Toxicological evaluations indicated a safer profile for liposomal and niosomal preparations than the free form. Serum glutamate pyruvate transaminase and alkaline phosphatase levels were increased in mice treated with free 32; this pattern was not observed for its liposomal and niosomal forms. Moreover, kidney function evaluations by measuring creatinine and urea levels pointed no toxicity for both vesicular forms. Histological assessment of the spleen also showed no apparent toxicity when treated with free, liposomal, and niosomal 32 (Medda et al. 1999).

*Lantana montevidensis* (Spreng.) Briq. (Verbenaceae) roots are another convenient source of iridoid glucosides. This perennial herb is native to Brazil and Uruguay, and possesses a variety of biological properties. 6-O-β-D-xlyopyranoside-shanzhiside methyl ester (33), shanzhiside methyl ester (34), lamalbid (35), geniposidic acid (36), and theveside (37) (Fig. 9) were isolated and assayed against *L. donovani* promastigote and amastigote forms. Unfortunately, none
of the isolates exhibited acitivity in the investigated concentrations (Mohamed et al. 2017).

The antiprotozoal potential of *Nymphoides indica* (L.) Kuntze (Menyanthaceae) phytochemicals was investigated. In this work, three secoiridoids were isolated from *N. indica* leaves: 7-epiexaltoside (38), 6”,7”-dihydro-7-epiexaltoside (39), and menthiafolin (40) (Fig. 9). The isolates 38–40 presented no activity against *L. infantum* amastigote forms in the assay (IC_{50} = > 64 μM). Regarding their toxicity, the compounds 38–40 showed no cytotoxicity (IC_{50} > 64 μM) against MRC-5 cells (human fetal lung fibroblasts) in the tested concentrations (Amin et al. 2016).

The iridoids ipolamiide (15) and (41–44) (Fig. 10) were isolated from three plant species: geniposide (41) and asperuloside (44) were obtained from *Escalonia bifida* Link & Otto (Escalloniaceae); theveridoside (42) and 15 from *Amphilophium crucigerum* (L.) L.G.Lohmann (Bignoniaceae); galiridoside (43) from *Angelonia integerrima* Spreng. (Plantaginaceae). The aerial parts of all plants afforded the iridoid glucosides 15 and 41–44, which were screened against *L. amazonensis* promastigote forms. None of the isolates inhibited the parasites in the tested concentrations (5–100 μM). Notwithstanding the compounds did not display leishmanicidal activity, in silico studies indicated that the low activity may be related to the
absence of bulky substituents attached to the iridoid scaffold (as it may be observed in plumericin 25). Structure–activity relationship and pharmacophore model studies also pointed the importance of structural features such as the cyclopentane[C]pyran ring and substituents at C-1/C-6 positions (Vendruscolo et al. 2019). In another study, the iridoid ixoside (45) (Fig. 10) was isolated from *Tecoma stans* var. *velutina* DC. (syn. *Tecoma mollis* Kunth) (Bignoniaceae) stem barks and evaluated against *L. donovani* promastigotes. At a dose of 80 l g/mL (206.01 l M), 45 was able to inhibit only 13% of parasites. Due to the low activity observed, the IC50 and IC90 values were not determined. Both reference drugs pentamidine (3) and amphotericin B (5) inhibited 100% of promastigote forms at the same conditions (Abdel-Mageed et al. 2012).

Some iridoid glucosides (Fig. 11) were isolated from *Melampyrum arvense* L. (Orobanchaceae) aerial parts and assayed against *L. donovani* axenic amastigotes. While aucubin (16) and mussaenoside (46) did not present activity in the assay, the carboxyl-containing iridoid mussaenosidic acid (47) displayed an IC50 of 88.1 l g/mL (234.08 l M). The parasites were more susceptible to melampyroside (48) (IC50 = 52.7 l g/mL, 116.99 l M) and 8-epi-loganin (49) (IC50 = 41.1 l g/mL, 105.53 l M). Cytotoxicity assay revealed that all isolates (16, 46–49) did not show toxicity against L6 cell lines in the tested concentrations; yet, further assessments are needed to verify their CC50 and SI towards parasites. It is noteworthy to mention that the aqueous extract, which yielded the iridoid glucosides, exhibited a half inhibitory concentration of 50.9 l g/mL against *L. donovani* axenic amastigotes (Kirmizibekmez et al. 2011).

Several species of the genus *Vitex* are employed in the traditional medicine. Curiously, only around 24 species among the 215 that compose the genus have been investigated for their phytochemical profile, thus being a potential taxon to be explored. Iridoid glucosides, along with flavonoids and diterpenoids, are one of the main constituents of this genus, contributing as secondary metabolites (Rani and Sharma 2013). The investigation of *Vitex grandifolia* Gürke (Lamiaceae) leaves resulted in the isolation of batsioside (50) and agnuside (51) (Fig. 11). These iridoid glucosides were screened against both *L. donovani* forms: promastigotes and amastigotes (axenic and in THP1 cells). Batsioside 50 displayed an IC50 value of 9.09 l g/mL (27.51 l M) against promastigote forms, while the axenic amastigotes were more resistant (IC50 = 16.90 l g/mL, 51.16 l M) and no activity was observed against intracellular amastigotes (IC50 = > 25 l g/mL). Surprisingly, 51 demonstrated the opposite effect towards parasites. Whilst no activity was detected against the flagellated forms (IC50 = > 25 l g/mL, > 53.59 l M), both axenic and intracellular amastigotes were clearly more affected when treated with 51 (IC50 = 16.98 l g/mL, 36.40 l M; and 5.38 l g/mL, 11.53 l M, respectively). These results prove how important is to comprise all *Leishmania* forms in the search of novel antileishmanial compounds, evidencing that the activity may vary between forms (Bello et al. 2018).

Past studies have reported the antiprotozoal potential of the genus *Phlomis*. The evaluation of extracts obtained from Turkish medicinal plants revealed the antileishmanial, antiplasmodial, and antitrypanosomal action of numerous *Phlomis* species (Tasdemir et al. 2005a, b). The phytoconstituents obtained from *P. brunneogaleata* Hub.-Mor. (Lamiaceae) aerial parts were evaluated against various protozoa, including *L. donovani* axenic amastigotes. Brunneogaleatoside (52) (Fig. 11), the only representative of the iridoid class in this study, presented an IC50 value of 4.7 l g/mL (8.29 l M) against *L. donovani* axenic amastigotes. Furthermore, no cytotoxic effect in L6 cell lines
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was observed in the concentration range tested (IC\textsubscript{50} = > 90 \textmu g/mL, > 158.85 \textmu M). Even though 52 appears to be selective towards parasites, assessments against macrophage cell lines are crucial to verify its safety (Kirmizibekmez et al. 2004).

Scrophularia is another important genus known as a rich source of iridoids. A plethora of investigations have identified a range of biological properties for this genus, where the antiprotozoal activity may be highlighted (Pasdaran and Hamedi 2017). Harpagide (53) and its acetylated form acetylharpagide (54) (Fig. 12) were isolated from Scrophularia cryptophila Boiss. (Scrophulariaceae) and assayed against L. donovani axenic amastigotes. A significant leishmanicidal action was observed for 53, which exhibited an IC\textsubscript{50} of 2.0 \textmu g/mL (5.48 \textmu M); however, a decrease in the activity was detected for 54 (IC\textsubscript{50} = 6.9 \textmu g/mL, 16.97 \textmu M), indicating the importance of the hydrogen bond donor hydroxyl group at C-8. Fortunately, the toxicological assessment of iridoid glucosides 53 and 54 showed no cytotoxicity against L6 cell lines. The increased activity observed for 53 revealed its ability to maintain low cytotoxicity against L6 cell lines, which is a notable finding (Tasdemir et al. 2008). Both isolates 53 and 54 were also identified in Ajuga laxmannii (Murray) Benth. (Lamiaceae) aerial parts. In this report, among ten phytochemicals evaluated, 53 and 54 represented the most active isolates against L. donovani axenic amastigotes (IC\textsubscript{50} = 2.0 \textmu g/mL, 5.48 \textmu M).
5.48 µM; and 6.9 µg/mL, 16.97 µM, respectively) (Atay et al. 2016).

The ethanolic extract of *Scrophularia syriaca* Benth. (Scrophulariaceae) aerial parts provided the iridoids 6-O-α-L-rhamnopyranosylcatalpol (55) and scropolioside B (56) (Fig. 12). These structurally diverse compounds were screened against the promastigote forms of *L. major* and *L. mexicana*. The isolate 55 was unable to inhibit the parasites of both *Leishmania* species. A remarkable enhance in the activity was observed for 56, which exhibited an EC₅₀ of 6.70 ± 0.18 µM against *L. major* promastigotes and 8.34 ± 1.70 µM towards *L. mexicana* promastigotes. The presence of two trans-cinnamoyl groups attached to the rhamnose portion may be related to the improved activity of 56. This relation is based on the occurrence of free hydroxyl groups at the rhamnose moiety in 55, although further studies are needed to elucidate 56 mode of action (Alkhaldi et al. 2020).

*Scrophularia lepidota* Boiss. (Scrophulariaceae) is a source of multiple iridoid glucosides: aucubin (16), catalpol (57), 6-O-methylcatalpol (58), sinuatol (59), 6-O-β-D-xylopyranosylaucubin (60), ajugol (61), ajugoside (62), 3,4-dihydro-methylcatalpol (63), and scrolepidoside (64) (Fig. 13). With exception to 59 (IC₅₀ = > 100 µg/mL, > 203.05 µM), all isolates presented activity against *L. donovani* axenic amastigotes in different degrees (IC₅₀ = 6.1–12.7 µg/mL, 12.11–33.56 µM). Catalpol 57 and 58 displayed similar activity (IC₅₀ = 10.4 µg/mL, 28.70 µM; and 8.3 µg/mL, 22.05 µM, respectively), being the methoxylated form more active against parasites. The presence of a rhamnose at C-6 was detrimental to the activity (IC₅₀ = > 100 µg/mL, > 203.05 µM), as may be observed in 59. On the other hand, the iridoïd containing a xylopyranosyl group at the same position, 60, exhibited an IC₅₀ value of 8.5 µg/mL (17.76 µM). Ajugol 61, 62, and 63 inhibited half of parasites at a concentration of 7.2 µg/mL (20.66 µM), 8.5 µg/mL (21.77 µM), and 12.7 µg/mL (33.56 µM), respectively. The most active isolate was 64, which presented an IC₅₀ of 6.1 µg/mL (12.11 µM). Intriguingly, 64 contains the deoxy sugar rhamnose at C-6, being structurally similar to the inactive 59. The bulky group attached at the rhamnose moiety may be related to the enhanced leishmanicidal action of 64. Moreover, L6 cell lines were not affected when treated with the isolated iridoid glucosides in the tested concentrations. Each isolate presents diverse structural features, which may contribute to additional toxicological and mechanism of action studies. In this assay, 16 showed activity towards *L. donovani* axenic amastigotes (IC₅₀ = 10.9 µg/mL, 31.47 µM) (Tasdemir et al. 2005a, b), while in the previously mentioned study no activity was observed (Kirmizibekmez et al. 2011). This inconsistence may be related to the strain employed, conferring distinct results. Each strain has its peculiarities; therefore, the parasites may present different susceptibilities when exposed to the same compound.

Picroliv, a standardized fraction of *Picrorhiza kurroa* Royle ex Benth. (Plantaginaceae) roots and rhizomes ethanolic extracts, has been attracting substantial attention in the past decades owing to its immunomodulatory and hepatoprotective activities. The main constituents of picroliv are picroside I (65) and kutkoside (66), composing a mixture in a ratio of 1:1.5 (Fig. 14). Presented as a yellow solid, picroliv
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**Fig. 13** *Scrophularia lepidota* iridoids: aucubin (16), catalpol (57), 6-O-methylcatalpol (58), sinuatol (59), 6-O-β-D-xylopyranosylaucubin (60), ajugol (61), ajugoside (62), 3,4-dihydro-methylcatalpol (63), and scrolepidoside (64)
has already been administered in humans, and no serious adverse effects were observed. As a result, this promising mixture of iridoids has already completed phase I and II clinical trials aiming to assess its safety profile (Verma et al. 2009; Girish and Pradhan 2008).

Back in 1992, a study reported the ability of picroliv to enhance non-specific immune response in hamsters infected with *L. donovani*, the causative agent of VL. The activation of both macrophages and splenocytes induced by picroliv clearly played a pivotal role in the parasite burden decrease. Indeed, the iridoid mixture was able to significantly reduce the number of amastigotes per infected macrophage in the spleen, bone marrow, and liver. This protective action was a remarkable finding, considering macrophages are responsible for the host’s first line defense against pathogens. In fact, *Leishmania* parasites are known for their capacity to modulate the host’s immune system and to establish within macrophages, evading immune responses through complex mechanisms and hence persisting their survival. VL is a complicated clinical manifestation characterized by the suppression of the host’s immune response by parasites; thus, the immunostimulant action of picroliv may contribute to parasite elimination by enhancing the efficacy of currently employed antileishmanial drugs (Puri et al. 1992).

Further studies were conducted in order to evaluate the efficacy of standard drugs (*e.g.*, sodium stibogluconate 1 and miltefosine 4) in combination with picroliv. The premise of employing picroliv as an adjunct is fundamentally based on its immunostimulant and protective actions, since this mixture of iridoids possesses negligible antileishmanial activity.

The combination of sodium stibogluconate (1) with picroliv afforded positive results in *L. donovani*-infected golden hamsters (*Mesocricetus auratus*). Picroliv in combination with 1 presented superior efficacy in comparison to the standard drug alone, significantly reducing the parasite burden in golden hamsters. Not only picroliv was able to enhance the effectiveness of 1 but it also showed hepatoprotective action by significantly preventing the alteration of important enzymatic markers (Mittal et al. 1998).

Miltefosine (4) was a breakthrough in leishmaniasis treatment, being the first orally available drug. As mentioned before, there are some limitations concerning 4 use. In addition to important adverse effects, its long half-life may promote the emergence of resistance. Considering all these aspects, an investigation was conducted to verify the efficacy of 4 in suboptimal doses in combination with picroliv in golden hamsters. On day 7 after treatment termination, the in vivo analysis revealed that the 4 and picroliv combination was evidently more effective (84%) than 4 alone (45%). A posterior analysis on day 28 still conferred a better efficacy for the combination in reducing the parasite burden (64%), in comparison to the standard drug alone (32%). Hence, this combination may contribute as a new treatment regimen aiming to reduce the recommended dose of 4 in VL treatment (Gupta et al. 2005). Concomitant administration of 4, paromomycin (6), and picroliv has also proved to be fruitful. The parasite load in hamsters infected with *L. donovani* was significantly reduced (96.6%) when treated with all three components. This improved efficacy was higher than any possible combination investigated. For instance, 4 and 6 co-administered resulted in 84.5% of parasite inhibition. The phagocytic activity of macrophages was improved as well, along with higher lymphocyte proliferation (Sane et al. 2011).

The repurpose of azole antifungal drugs for leishmaniasis treatment has been studied for several
decades (Emami et al. 2017). The efficacy of miltefosine (4) and fluconazole combination therapy at their less toxic doses was evaluated with and without picroliv in L. donovani-infected golden hamsters. The employment of picroliv undoubtedly enhanced the efficacy of 4 and fluconazole combination, reducing parasite burden from 77 to 88%. The immunomodulatory activity of picroliv has also played an important role by upregulating cell-mediated immunity response (Shakya et al. 2011a, b). In a similar study, the efficacy of ketoconazole and 4 combination (at their suboptimal doses) co-administered with picroliv was assessed. Golden hamsters were infected with L. donovani parasites, providing a VL model. The addition of picroliv increased the antileishmanial efficacy of 4 and ketoconazole combination from 72 to 82%. Cell-mediated immune response was also enhanced with picroliv adding, evidencing the immunostimulant action of this mixture of iridoids (Shakya et al. 2011a, b).

Table 1 summarizes all studies dedicated to the antileishmanial potential of the iridoid class discussed in this review. It comprises 32 publications from 1991 to 2020, 52 substances, 22 plants, and investigations involved. For a better experience, we recommend using (Fig. 15) as a supplement to identify which iridoids and Leishmania spp. have been most investigated. IC_{50} values were standardized in µM.

Concluding remarks and prospects

The data shown in this review indicate that this class of compounds deserves greater attention concerning antileishmanial activity. Iridoids of different structures should be analyzed in order to find more active compounds and also to outline a mechanism of action profile. Mechanistic studies have already pointed apoptotic, immunomodulatory, and protective properties for iridoids with assorted structural patterns. Among these substances, arbortristoside A (17) (Fig. 5) showed interesting activity in in vivo L. donovani VL model studies (Tandon et al. 1991) and inhibitory capacity towards TryR, a validated trypanosomatid drug target (Shukla et al. 2011). Previous works reported immunomodulatory properties for 17 in mice infected with Candida albicans (Khan et al. 1995); moreover, 17 has exhibited anti-inflammatory, anticancer, antiallergic, and antiviral activities (Agrawal and Pal 2013). Amarogentin (32) (Fig. 8) also deserves attention due to its inhibitory activity against L. donovani DNA topoisomerase I and encouraging in vivo results (Medda et al. 1999). Amarogentin 32 pharmacological potential is not restricted to its antileishmanial activity; 32 showed anticarcinogenic properties through mechanisms involving apoptosis and cell cycle modulation (Pal et al. 2012). Even though oleuropein (21) (Fig. 6) exhibited negligible in vitro activity against L. donovani (Kyriazis et al. 2013) and L. major parasites (Elamin and AL-Maliki 2014), immunomodulatory properties were observed through in vivo assessments. The persistent leishmanicidal action attributed to 21 in in vivo L. donovani VL model studies highlights its ability to enhance the host’s immune response, countering its suppression by parasites (Kyriazis et al. 2013, 2016). This could be an interesting approach to develop new VL treatment regimens and enhance the efficacy of the available drugs. Indeed, this strategy was investigated by employing picroliv, a mixture of iridoids (Fig. 14), in combination with antileishmanial drugs (Puri et al. 1992; Mittal et al. 1998; Gupta et al. 2005; Sane et al. 2011; Shakya et al. 2011a, b; Shakya et al. 2011a, b). Picroliv is still vastly studied; a recent review discussed some of its reported activities, which include antitumor, anti-inflammatory, and hepatoprotective properties (Guo et al. 2019). We highlight the immunomodulatory potential the iridoid class seems to possess in in vivo VL model studies, which may be a convenient approach to improve current antileishmanial treatments. Also, the immunomodulatory investigation of isolates or standardized extract fractions containing iridoids, as picroliv, could be an attractive strategy for further investigations.

Notwithstanding that some iridoids, as highlighted above, possess an antileishmanial potential and apparent safety, investigations seem restricted to academic environments. For instance, picroliv (Fig. 14) showed encouraging results improving the efficacy of currently employed drugs, even at suboptimal doses. Still, the last article published involving picroliv on in vivo VL studies was in 2011. Leishmaniasis is a complex disease. Both in vitro and in vivo studies require high costs due to the Leishmania spp. digenetic life cycle (Fig. 1). The NTD status leishmaniasis burdens may explain the recurrent lack of funds. Academic research generally relies on funding, and without financial
Table 1  Summary of iridoids assayed against *Leishmania* spp. parasites

| Entry | Compound name | Activity | Plant name and part | References |
|-------|---------------|----------|---------------------|------------|
| (15)  | Ipolamiide    | *L. amazonensis* promastigotes (IC$_{50}$ = $>$ 100 µM) | *Amphilophium crucigerum* aerial parts | Vendruscolo et al. (2019) |
| (16)  | Aucubin       | *L. donovani* axenic amastigotes (IC$_{50}$ = $>$ 259.86 µM)$^a$ | *Melampyrum arvense* aerial parts$^a$ | Kirmizibekmez et al. (2011)$^a$ |
| (17)  | Arbortristoside A | *L. donovani* amastigotes inhibition (45.83 ± 7.21% at 52.95 µM; 64.58 ± 3.60% at 176.55 µM)$^a$ in vivo | *Nyctanthes arbortristis* seeds$^a,b,c$ | Shukla et al. (2011)$^a$ |
|       |               |          |                      |            |
|       |               | *L. donovani* promastigotes (IC$_{50}$ = 3.264 ± 0.05 µM)$^b$ |                      |            |
|       |               | *L. donovani* axenic amastigotes (IC$_{50}$ = 7.63 ± 0.05 µM)$^c$ |                      |            |
| (18)  | Arbortristoside B | *L. donovani* amastigotes inhibition (25.12 ± 7.11% at 51.32 µM; 47.34 ± 9.12% at 102.64 µM)$^a$ in vivo | *L. donovani* VL model studies$^a$ | Tasdemir et al. (2005a, b)$^b$ |
|       |               |          |                      |            |
| (19)  | Arbortristoside C | *L. donovani* amastigotes inhibition (67.43 ± 4.02% at 54.29 µM; toxic to macrophages at 108.59 µM)$^a$ in vivo | *L. donovani* VL model studies$^a$ | Tandon et al. (1991)$^a$ |
|       |               |          | TryR inhibition (IC$_{50}$ = 2.65 ± 0.05 µM)$^b$ | Shukla et al. (2011)$^b$ |
|       |               | *L. donovani* promastigotes (IC$_{50}$ = 3.504 ± 0.04 µM)$^c$ |                      |            |
|       |               | *L. donovani* axenic amastigotes (IC$_{50}$ = 7.63 ± 0.05 µM)$^d$ |                      |            |
| (20)  | 6β-hydroxyloganin | *L. donovani* amastigotes inhibition (65.77 ± 4.56% at 73.82 µM; toxic to macrophages at 147.64 µM)$^a$ in vivo | *L. donovani* VL model studies$^a$ | Elamin and AL-Maliki (2014)$^c$ |
|       |               |          | TryR inhibition (IC$_{50}$ = 4.74 ± 0.05 µM)$^b$ |            |
|       |               | *L. donovani* promastigotes (IC$_{50}$ = 5.016 ± 0.05 µM)$^c$ |                      |            |
|       |               | *L. donovani* axenic amastigotes (IC$_{50}$ = 9.00 ± 0.03 µM)$^c$ |                      |            |
| (21)  | Oleuropein     | *L. donovani* promastigotes (IC$_{50}$ = 142.82 ± 19 µM)$^a$ | *Olea europaea* leaves$^a,b,c$ | Kyriazis et al. (2013)$^a$ |
|       |               | *L. donovani* amastigotes (IC$_{50}$ = 203.51 ± 59 µM)$^a$ |                      | Kyriazis et al. (2016)$^a$ |
|       |               | *L. infantum* promastigotes (IC$_{50}$ = 268.26 µM ± 38 µM)$^a$ |                      | Elamin and AL-Maliki (2014)$^b$ |
|       |               | *L. major* promastigotes (IC$_{50}$ = 260.86 ± 42 µM)$^a$ in vivo | *L. donovani* VL model studies$^a,b$ |            |
|       |               | *L. major* promastigotes inhibition (88.5% at 462.52 µM)$^c$ |                      |            |
| (22)  | Molucidin      | *L. hertigi* promastigotes (IC$_{50}$ = 4.24 µM)$^a$ | *Morinda lucida* leaves$^a,b$ | Amoa-Bosompem et al. (2016)$^a$ |
| Entry | Compound name | Activity | Plant name and part | References |
|-------|---------------|----------|---------------------|------------|
| (23)  | ML-2–3        | L. enriettii promastigotes (MIC = 4.17 µM)<sup>a</sup> | Plumeria rubra stem barks<sup>a</sup> | Azerigiyik et al. (2018)<sup>b</sup> |
|       |               | L. donovani promastigotes (IC<sub>50</sub> = 2.94 ± 0.60 µM)<sup>b</sup> | Himatanthus succuuba stem barks<sup>b</sup> | |
|       |               | L. major promastigotes (IC<sub>50</sub> = 1.85 ± 0.20 µM)<sup>b</sup> | | |
| (24)  | ML-F52        | L. hertigi promastigotes (IC<sub>50</sub> = 3.38 µM)<sup>b</sup> | Allamanda schottii roots<sup>c</sup> | | |
|       |               | L. enriettii promastigotes (MIC = > 50 µM)<sup>a</sup> | | |
|       |               | L. donovani promastigotes (IC<sub>50</sub> = 0.91 ± 0.50 µM)<sup>b</sup> | | |
|       |               | L. major promastigotes (IC<sub>50</sub> = 1.77 ± 0.20 µM)<sup>b</sup> | | |
| (25)  | Plumericin     | L. donovani promastigotes (IC<sub>50</sub> = 3.17 ± 0.12 µM)<sup>a</sup> | Plumeria rubra stem barks<sup>a</sup> | Sharma et al. (2011)<sup>a</sup> |
|       |               | L. donovani amastigotes (IC<sub>50</sub> = 1.41 ± 0.03 µM)<sup>a</sup> | Himatanthus succuuba stem barks<sup>b</sup> | Castillo et al. (2007)<sup>b</sup> |
|       |               | L. amazonensis axenic amastigotes (IC<sub>50</sub> = 0.21 µM)<sup>b</sup> | | |
|       |               | L. amazonensis amastigotes (IC<sub>50</sub> = 0.9 µM)<sup>b</sup> | | |
|       |               | L. amazonensis promastigotes (IC<sub>50</sub> = 1.03 ± 0.24 µM)<sup>b</sup> | | |
|       |               | L. braziliensis promastigotes (IC<sub>50</sub> = 0.13 ± 0.02 µM)<sup>b</sup> | | |
| (26)  | Isoplumericin  | L. donovani promastigotes (IC<sub>50</sub> = 7.2 ± 0.08 µM)<sup>a</sup> | Plumeria rubra stem barks<sup>a</sup> | Sharma et al. (2011)<sup>a</sup> |
|       |               | L. donovani amastigotes (IC<sub>50</sub> = 4.1 ± 0.02 µM)<sup>a</sup> | Himatanthus succuuba stem barks<sup>b</sup> | Castillo et al. (2007)<sup>b</sup> |
|       |               | L. amazonensis axenic amastigotes (IC<sub>50</sub> = 0.28 µM)<sup>b</sup> | | |
| (27)  | Plumieride     | L. amazonensis promastigotes (IC<sub>50</sub> = > 212.57 µM) | Allamanda schottii roots<sup>c</sup> | Filho et al. (2013)<sup>c</sup> |
|       |               | L. braziliensis promastigotes (IC<sub>50</sub> = 45.27 ± 5.95 µM) | | |
| (28)  | Valepotriates  | L. major promastigotes (IC<sub>50</sub> = 2.96 µM) | Valeriana jatamansi rhizomes | Glaser et al. (2015) |
| (29)  |               | L. major promastigotes (IC<sub>50</sub> = 1.52 µM) | | |
| (30)  |               | L. major promastigotes (IC<sub>50</sub> = 1.7 µM) | | |
| (31)  |               | L. major promastigotes (IC<sub>50</sub> = 4.98 µM) | | |
| (32)  | Amarogentin    | L. donovani DNA topoisomerase I enzyme inhibitor<sup>a</sup> | Swertia chirata aerial parts<sup>a,b</sup> | Ray et al. (1996)<sup>a</sup> |
|       |               | in vivo L. donovani VL model studies<sup>b</sup> | | Medda et al. (1999)<sup>b</sup> |
| (33)  | 6-O-β-D-xylopyranoside-shanzhiside methyl ester | None of the compounds showed activity towards L. donovani promastigotes and axenic/intracellular amastigotes | Lantana montevidensis roots | Mohamed et al. (2017) |
| (34)  | Shanzhiside methyl ester | | | |
| (35)  | Lamalbid       | | | |
| (36)  | Geniposidic acid | | | |
| Entry | Compound name | Activity | Plant name and part | References |
|-------|---------------|----------|---------------------|------------|
| (37)  | Theveside     |          | L. infantum amastigotes (IC₅₀ = > 64 µM) | Nymphoides indica leaves | Amin et al. (2016) |
| (38)  | 7-epiexaltoside |          | L. infantum amastigotes (IC₅₀ = > 64 µM) | Escalonia bifida aerial parts | Vendruscolo et al. (2019) |
| (39)  | 6°,7°-dihydro-7-epiexaltoside |          | L. infantum amastigotes (IC₅₀ = > 64 µM) | | |
| (40)  | Menthiafolin   |          | | | |
| (41)  | Geniposide     | L. amazonensis promastigotes (IC₅₀ = > 100 µM) | Escalonia bifida aerial parts | Vendruscolo et al. (2019) |
| (42)  | Theveridoside  |          | Amphilophium crucigerum aerial parts | | |
| (43)  | Galiridoside   |          | Angelonia integerrima aerial parts | | |
| (44)  | Asperuloside   |          | Escalonia bifida aerial parts | | |
| (45)  | Ixoside        | L. donovani promastigotes (13% of inhibition at 206.01 µM) | Tecoma stans stem barks | Abdels-Mageed et al. (2012) |
| (46)  | Mussaenoside   | L. donovani axenic amastigotes (IC₅₀ > 230.54 µM) | Melampyrum arvense aerial parts | Kirmizibekmez et al. (2011) |
| (47)  | Mussaenosidic acid | L. donovani axenic amastigotes (IC₅₀ = 234.08 µM) | | |
| (48)  | Melampyroside  | L. donovani axenic amastigotes (IC₅₀ = 116.99 µM) | | |
| (49)  | 8-epi-loganin  | L. donovani axenic amastigotes (IC₅₀ = 105.53 µM) | | |
| (50)  | Batsioside     | L. donovani promastigotes (IC₅₀ = 27.51 µM) | Vitex grandifolia leaves | Bello et al. (2018) |
| (51)  | Agnuside       | L. donovani axenic amastigotes (IC₅₀ = 51.16 µM) | | |
| (52)  | Brunneogaleatoside | L. donovani axenic amastigotes (IC₅₀ = 8.29 µM) | Phlomis bruneogaleata aerial parts | Kirmizibekmez et al. (2004) |
| (53)  | Harpagide      | L. donovani axenic amastigotes (IC₅₀ = 5.48 µM) | Scrophularia cryptophila aerial parts | Atay et al. (2016) |
| (54)  | Acetylharpagide| L. donovani axenic amastigotes (IC₅₀ = 16.97 µM) | Ajuga lasmannii aerial parts | Atay et al. (2016) |
| (55)  | 6-O-α-L-rhamnopyranosylcatalpol | L. major promastigotes (EC₅₀ = > 100 µM) | Scrophularia syriaca aerial parts | Alkhaldi et al. (2020) |
| (56)  | Scropolioside B | L. mexicana promastigotes (EC₅₀ = > 100 µM) | | |
| (57)  | Catalpol       | L. donovani axenic amastigotes (IC₅₀ = 28.70 µM) | Scrophularia lepidota roots | Tasdemir et al. (2005a, b) |
| (58)  | 6-O-methylcatalpol | L. donovani axenic amastigotes (IC₅₀ = 22.05 µM) | | |
| (59)  | Sinuatol       | L. donovani axenic amastigotes (IC₅₀ = > 203.05 µM) | | |
support, investigations may meet a dead end. Besides, it is well-known that, in comparison to other conditions, the pharmaceutical industry still lacks interest in the NTDs field.

Plants used in folk medicine to treat leishmaniasis could be a starting point for investigations aimed at finding new drugs with this action. A fact that collaborates with this study strategy is the restricted occurrence of iridoids in certain taxons. For keen eye and experienced researchers, the presence of these compounds in some genera is quite predictable. Thus, if the goal is to find iridoids with antileishmanial activity, the search based on ethnobotanical criteria would be corroborated by chemotaxonomy.

As discussed in this work, some iridoid types possess reactive moieties prone to undergo indiscriminate reactions in biological environments (e.g., tetracyclic iridoids molucidin 22 and plumericin 25). Hence, it is imperative the toxicological assessment of the iridoid class as a whole. Depending on the clinical manifestation, leishmaniasis requires long pharmacotherapy and even hospitalization; in this manner, adverse effects should be minimized at the maximum. Malnourished patients and those with preexisting conditions such as Leishmania-HIV co-infection are recurrent in the leishmaniasis scenario, being more susceptible to treatment adverse effects. Both mechanism of action and toxicological studies should take advantage of in silico tools to assist in-depth investigations; for instance, this approach could help the development of semisynthetic iridoids, improve their selectivity towards a target, find new potential targets, or rationally design iridoid-based compounds through molecular simplification. Vendruscolo et al. (2019) indicated through in silico studies the importance of some structural patterns in iridoids with antileishmanial potential. The occurrence of iridoids as glycosides is another feature that may play a key role in their pharmacokinetics. In fact, this feature is very convenient for the development of drug delivery systems such as liposomal and niosomal preparations containing iridoids—highlighting the versatility of this class from a pharmaceutical technology point of view (Medda et al. 1999).

With regard to the antileishmanial assessment per se, we strongly recommend the inclusion of both Leishmania forms in the investigation workflow. In this review, it has been demonstrated how important is to

---

**Table 1 continued**

| Entry | Compound name | Activity | Plant name and part | References |
|-------|---------------|----------|---------------------|------------|
| (60)  | 6-O-β-D-xyl| L. donovani axenic amastigotes (IC_{50} = 17.76 μM) | Picrorhiza kurroa roots and rhizomes | Puri et al. (1992) |
| (61)  | Ajugol       | L. donovani axenic amastigotes (IC_{50} = 20.66 μM) | | Mittal et al. (1998) |
| (62)  | Ajugoside    | L. donovani axenic amastigotes (IC_{50} = 21.77 μM) | | Gupta et al. (2005) |
| (63)  | 3,4-dihydro- | L. donovani axenic amastigotes (IC_{50} = 33.56 μM) | | Sane et al. (2011) |
| (64)  | Scrolepidoside| | | Shakya et al. (2011a, b) |
| (65)  | Picroside I  | Picroliv consists of a 65 | | Shakya et al. (2011a, b) |

---

**Table 1 continued**

| Entry | Compound name | Activity | Plant name and part | References |
|-------|---------------|----------|---------------------|------------|
| (66)  | Kutkoside     |          | Picrorhiza kurroa roots and rhizomes | |
comprise all *Leishmania* forms in the search of novel antileishmanial compounds by evidencing that the activity may vary between promastigotes and amastigotes. Although promastigotes are opportune for screening purpose, the amastigote forms are of best interest, since clinical manifestations are related to these intracellular forms. Even more, we recommend the use of intracellular amastigotes rather than axenic amastigotes, considering the intracellular conditions simulate more accurately when the parasites establish in the host. We acknowledge that this workflow is a reflection of lack of funds, which is recurring in the NTDs area. To circumvent this issue, collaborations between research groups are essential and must be encouraged.

![Fig. 15 General overview of iridoids evaluated against *Leishmania* spp. Each *Leishmania* species is represented as a rhombus and correlated according to the iridoids herein discussed. Iridoids assayed against more than one *Leishmania* species (21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 55, and 57) are represented as a gray circle. It is important to note that axenic and intracellular amastigotes were not differentiated in this representation.](image-url)
Finally, the (Fig. 15) illustrates the current situation and dimension concerning which iridoids and Leishmania species have been most—and least – investigated so far. Surprisingly, antileishmanial assessment is concentrated on L. donovani amastigote forms, a species responsible for VL. However, this representation still corroborates the premise that promastigotes are normally assayed prior anti-amastigote evaluations, with some exceptions; for example, the isolates 38, 39, and 40 (Fig. 9) were exclusively assayed against L. infantum amastigotes. Even though these compounds did not exhibit activity, it does not exclude the possibility of leishmanicidal action towards other Leishmania species. Arguably the most important information of this representation is the scarcity of investigation of some species, bringing to the light an opportunity for research groups to explore. We intend to inspire researchers to use this representation as a guide for further studies. Moreover, we stimulate the investigation of some species, bringing to the light a potential antileishmanial class worth exploring.
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