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Burnout Patients Primed with Success Did Not Perform Better on a Cognitive Task than Burnout Patients Primed with Failure
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Burnout patients perform poorer on cognitive tasks than healthy controls. A possible explanation for this decreased performance is a relatively permanent reduced motivation to expend effort. In a previous study, we failed to enhance the performance of burnout patients using a monetary incentive and positive feedback. In an attempt to bypass cognitions about fatigue and performance, we tried to motivate healthy controls and burnout patients implicitly by priming participants with either success or failure prior to task performance. As expected, healthy controls primed with success outperformed healthy controls primed with failure. However, no differential priming effect was observed in burnout patients. This suggests that success priming fails to enhance performance in subjects with burnout.
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Introduction

Burnout is a stress-related syndrome characterized by exhaustion, occupational detachment, and reduced personal accomplishment. Burnout results from prolonged periods of stress and from an inability to achieve personal goals. Burnout patients frequently report reduced job satisfaction, physical complaints, especially fatigue, and impaired cognitive performance (Maslach, Schaufeli, & Leiter 2001; Schaufeli & Enzmann, 1998; Schmidt, Neubach, & Heuer, 2007; Taris, 2006).

Several studies have shown that burnout patients perform poorer on cognitive tasks than healthy controls (Sandström, Rhodin, Lundberg, Olsson, & Nyberg, 2005; Van Dam, Keijsers, Eling, & Becker, 2011; Van der Linden, Keijsers, Eling, & Van Schaik, 2005). Many authors regard a reduction in motivation to expend effort as the underlying mechanism for decreased performance in burnout (Boksem & Tops, 2008; Schaufeli & Taris, 2005; Van Dam et al., 2011). An important question is whether this decreased motivation can be reversed by a motivational intervention. Some authors suggest that motivational interventions may increase performance to normal levels (Halbesleben & Bowler, 2007; Rubino, Luksyte, Jansen Perry, & Volpone, 2009). Other authors, however, suggest that reduced motivation cannot readily be reversed by motivational interventions, because burnout patients suffer from biochemical changes due to prolonged periods of stress that affect performance over longer periods (months, years) of time (Boksem & Tops, 2008; Frankenhaeusser, 1986; Mommersteeg, Keijsers, Heijnen, Verbraak, & Van Doornen, 2006; Sandström et al., 2005; Van der Linden et al., 2005). Boksem and Tops (2008) argue that physiological changes in the dopaminergic/motivational system, (due to systematic neglect of signs of fatigue for prolonged periods of time), may be fundamental to long-term fatigue syndromes such as burnout. This theory is supported by a study by Van Dam et al. (2011): in which they failed to motivate burnout patients by providing fake positive feedback about their performance and by announcing a financial reward for the best performing participants.

The findings of Van Dam et al. (2011), however, fail to explain why burnout patients could not be motivated to increase their performance. One possibility is that performance was already as high as possible. Another possibility is that positive feedback and financial rewards did not successfully counteract the patient’s belief that their performance cannot be improved. Many authors (Afari & Buchwald, 2003; Knoop, Prins, Moss-Morris, & Bleijenberg, 2010) argue that cognitions play a major role in the perpetuation of symptoms in fatigue-related syndromes. Many individuals suffering from long-term fatigue believe that they have no control over their fatigue symptoms (Findley, Kerns, Weinberg, & Rosenberg, 1998; Knoop et al., 2010) and may perceive a good performance as unattainable, and therefore do not try to improve their performance despite an announced financial reward. It is theoretically and clinically important to find out whether reduced performance of burnout patients can be improved by the proper means. Therefore, we decided to examine the possibility of motivating patients implicitly using subliminal priming (Bargh, 2005; Dijksterhuis, Aarts, & Smith, 2005), thus bypassing cognitions about fatigue and performance. Several studies (Aarts, Custers, & Veldkamp, 2008; Chartrand & Bargh, 2002) have shown that motivation can be primed and that individuals primed with achievement-related stimuli perform at a higher level on subsequent tasks compared to non-primed individuals. A procedure for successfully priming subsequent behaviour is the “scrambled sentence task” developed by Srull and Wyer (1979; for a review,
see Bargh & Chartrand, 2000). The task is presented as a verbal ability task and is based upon sets of four words in random order. Participants are asked to construe grammatically correct sentences using three of the four words. For each set, only a single grammatically correct solution is possible. Without informing the participants, a proportion of these correct sentences refer to a specific behaviour, mood, or attitude which (unknowingly to the participant) becomes activated or “primed”. In our study, we used sentences that primed for success, for instance: “John is winning” or for failure, for instance “John gives up”.

We hypothesized that, if we primed healthy controls with either failure or success, and if we subsequently presented them with a complex cognitive task, those primed with success would outperform those primed with failure. With regard to burnout patients, we also expected that those, primed with success, would perform better than those primed with failure if cognitions about the fatigue-performance relationship played a role in reduced cognitive performance.

Methods

Participants

Burnout patients (N=63) were recruited from institutions for mental health where they were being treated for their symptoms. The diagnosis of burnout was established by the mental health institutions using the following criteria. Patients had to meet: 1) the validated cut-off points (Breninkmeijer & van Yperen, 2003) for severe burnout on the Dutch version of the Maslach Burnout Inventory General Survey (see Measurements section for a description of the instruments): exhaustion ≥ 2.20 and either cynicism ≥ 2.00 or personal accomplishment ≤ 3.67; 2) the cut-off point for prolonged fatigue (Bültman et al., 2000) on the checklist individual strength (≥76); 3) the criteria for the proposed psychiatric equivalents of clinical burnout, namely the ICD-10 (World Health Organisation, 1994) criteria for work related neurasthenia (Schaufeli, Bakker, Hoogduin, Schaap, & Kladler, 2001; Schaufeli & Enzmann, 1998); and 4) the DSM-IV (American Psychiatric Association, 2000) criteria for unspecified somatoform disorder with prolonged fatigue as the main symptom (Hoogduin, Schaap, & Methorst, 2001). Both diagnoses were established by using the Dutch adaptation (Overbeek, Schruers, & Griez, 1999) of the Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview (Sheehan et al., 1998) and a semi-structured interview checking ICD-10 criteria for work-related neurasthenia. Of the 61 patients meeting these criteria, 12 patients also met the criteria of simple phobia as a secondary diagnosis. They were equally divided over the prime-conditions. Patients diagnosed with burnout were sent a brochure about the research project and were offered additional information by telephone, whenever they wanted to. When patients decided to participate, they signed an informed consent form and returned it to the experimenter.

Healthy controls (N=40) were volunteers and did not meet the criteria for any of the DSM-IV disorders or currently receive psychotherapeutic or psychopharmacologic treatment. They were employees (secretaries, cooks, cleaners and nurses) of a mental health institute or members of a sport club. Both groups were equally divided over the prime conditions. The healthy controls received 5 euros for participation and the burnout patients received a book on occupational stress.

Measures

Severity of burnout symptoms was assessed with the Dutch adaptation of the Maslach Burnout Inventory General Survey (Maslach, Jackson, & Leiter, 1996), referred to as the Utrecht BurnOut Scale-A (UBOS-A; Schaufeli & Dierendonck, 2000). The UBOS-A comprises the following scales: emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, and perceived job competence with high scores on emotional exhaustion and depersonalization and low scores on perceived job competence indicating burnout. Reliability and validity of the UBOS are good, Cronbach’s alpha’s are usually well above .70 (Schaufeli et al., 2001).

General fatigue was assessed with the Dutch adaptation (Vercoulen, Alberts, & Bleijenbergh, 1999) of the Checklist Individual Strength (CIS; Vercoulen et al., 1994). Its 20 items assess subjective feelings of fatigue and physical fitness, activity level, motivation, and concentration during the previous 14 days. Reliability and validity of the CIS are good, Cronbach’s alpha for the CIS is .90 (Vercoulen et al., 1994).

Participants were asked to rate their mood by placing a mark on a Visual Analogue Scale of 10 cm, with on the left side the word “sad” and on the right side the word “cheerful”. The distance between the left endpoint and the mark was used as a measure of mood.

Subjective assessment of acute fatigue was measured with the mental-fatigue scale (mf) of the short version of the Rating Scale Mental Effort (RSME; Zijlstra, 1993), which specifically measures how fatigued a participant is feeling as a result of performing the task at hand. The level of fatigue is indicated on a continuous line with 0 signifying “not fatigued at all” and 150 denoting “extremely fatigued”.

The Rating Scale Expectancy of Performance (RSEP) was specifically developed for this study to assess the participants’ expectations about their performance level for the Scrambled Sentence Task (SST) and the cognitive switch task (see Task section below). Participants were asked to place a mark on a line of 10 cm, with on the left side “poor” and on the right side “good”. The distance between the left endpoint and the mark was used as a measure of performance expectancy.

The Subjective Effort Scale (SES) was specifically developed for this study to measure to what extent participants had tried to perform well at the SST and the switch task (see Task section below). Participants were asked to rate on a five-point Likert scale to what extent they had tried to perform well at the SST and the switch task (see Task section below).

Tasks

The Scrambled Sentence Task (SST) is an adaptation of the SST developed by Srull and Wyer (1979). The task was presented to participants as a verbal ability task and comprised 25 lines of 4 words placed in random order (for example: “John, winning, chair, is”). Participants were asked to construe grammatically correct sentences of three words out of 4 words. Only one grammatically correct solution was possible. For 16 lines the correct solution was related to either success (for example: “John is winning”) or failure (for example: “John gives up”), the other nine lines comprised neutral words only to disguise the purpose of the task.

Because mental fatigue seems to affect performance on complex tasks more than on simple tasks (Holding, 1983; Matthews, Davies, Westerman, & Stammers, 2000), we presented participants with a complex task. The task, based on the switch task of
Rogers and Monsell (1995), involves the use of higher control processes necessary for the planning and preparation of future actions. This switch task paradigm has been used frequently in studies on cognitive performance in healthy controls as well as in burnout patients (Matthews et al., 2000; Oosterholt, Van der Linden, Maes, Verbraak, & Kompier, 2011; Van Dam et al., 2011).

Using the current version of the switch-task, 300 letters appeared successively in a clockwise fashion in each corner of a screen, starting in the upper left square. The letters were randomly chosen from the set: A, B, E, G, O, and S. The colour of the letters was randomly chosen from the set green or red. If a green letter appeared in the upper half of the screen, participants had to push the left button on a button box as fast as possible; in case of a red letter, they had to push the right button. If the letter was in the lower half of the screen, participants had to push the left button as fast as possible when the letter was a vowel, and the right button if it was a consonant. Thus, subjects were asked to switch tasks every second trial.

The task started with an Inter-Stimulus-Interval (ISI) of 1500 ms, which is ample time for healthy controls to respond adequately without much effort (Lorist et al., 2000; Nieuwenhuis & Monsell, 2002; Rogers & Monsell, 1995). Four correct responses in succession resulted in a reduction of the ISI by 50 ms, leading to an acceleration of the letters appearing on the screen. When participants made two or more errors in a set of four responses, the ISI was increased by 20 ms. Accordingly, the speed of the task was adapted to the level of performance. The speed (ISI) of the letters appearing on the screen at the end of the task (Mean of last 30 ISIs) was used as a measure for performance.

In order to check whether the prime was effective during the cognitive task, we used an adaptation of a task employed by Kruglanski and colleagues (Richter & Kruglanski, 1998) to measure the implicit activation of success and failure. After performing the cognitive task, participants were presented with an employment advertisement (Employment Advertisement Task; EAT) describing a commercial job. Subsequently, they were presented with a photograph of a young man and were asked to rate the likelihood that the man will be admitted to the job by placing a mark on a Visual Analogue Scale of 10 cm, with printed on the left side “very unlikely” and on the right side “highly likely”. We hypothesized that if the prime was still active, healthy controls primed with success would rate the chances of success as higher than healthy controls primed with failure.

### Procedures

Prior to participation, diagnoses were established as described in the participant section. Participants were tested in a quiet room during the day. They completed a short biographical questionnaire and rated their scores on the mood rating scale and the RSME-mf, which took about 2 minutes. Subsequently, the experimenter asked them to complete the SST presented to them as a verbal ability task. This took approximately 7 minutes to complete. Participants were randomly assigned to the success or failure condition in advance. Next they received instructions for the switch task and completed the RSEP (the mood rating scale) and the RSME-mf for the second time, which took less than a minute. Subsequently they performed the switch task which took about 10 minutes. Afterwards, participants again rated the mood rating scale and the RSME-mf.

Participants were presented with the EAT. After rating the job candidate’s chances for success, they were asked to rate the extent that they had tried to perform well on the SST and the switch task and they were asked to describe what they thought the purpose of the experiment was in order to check if they discovered the particular content of the SST.

Next, participants completed the CIS and the UBOT. We asked them to complete these questionnaires at the end of the experiment so that they could not serve as a prime for the tasks. Finally participants were debriefed about the purpose of the tasks and procedures. It is well-known that priming-effects are short lived (Bargh, 2005) and we did not expect effects after the experiment. But in case of potential negative effects, participants were given the phone number and e-mail address of the researcher if they had any questions about the experiment. None of the participants contacted us after the experiment. Approval for the study was obtained from the Ethical Committee (ECG) of the Faculty of Social Sciences of Radboud University Nijmegen in the Netherlands.

### Results

Characteristics of the burnout patients and healthy controls in the different conditions are presented in Table 1. With regard
to gender and education, there were no significant differences between burnout patients and healthy controls or between the conditions, but there was a significant difference in age between burnout patients healthy controls, F(1, 124) = 21.5, p < .001. Inspection of the means showed that burnout patients were older (M = 44.6, SD = 8.6) than healthy controls (M = 36.2, SD = 11.5). We correlated Age with Performance; the correlation was not significant in HCs (p > .1) but was significant in BPs (r = .30, p < .05). In order to correct for potential age effects, Age was used as a covariate in subsequent analyses.

With regard to symptoms, we conducted a two-way between-groups multivariate ANCOVA with emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, perceived job competence (UBOS-A), and general level of fatigue (CIS) as dependent variables. Group [BPs, HCs] and Condition [Success, Failure] were the independent variables. There was a significant effect for Group, F(4, 102) = 13.7, p < .001 and the results for the separate dependent variables also reached statistical significance: exhaustion, F(1, 105) = 31.1, p < .001, depersonalization F(1, 105) = 23.2, p < .001, perceived job competence, F(1, 105) = 6.6, p < .05, general level of fatigue, F(1, 105) = 24.0, p < .001. Burnout patients reported significantly more burnout symptoms and fatigue than healthy controls. There were no differences between the conditions and there were no interaction effects between Group and Condition.

All participants performed faultlessly on the SST. When asked at the end of the experiment what the participants thought that the purpose of the experiment was, only one participant (healthy control primed with success) correctly noted the purpose of the experiment.

The scores on the Mood Rating Scale, RSME-mf, RSEP, SES and EAT and level of performance on the switch task for the two groups and the two conditions are presented in Table 2. The course of the ISI for burnout patients and healthy controls primed with success or failure is presented in Figure 1. With regard to performance, we conducted a two-way between-groups univariate ANCOVA with Group [BPs, HCs] and Condition [Success, Failure] as the independent variables, ISI as dependent variable and Age as covariate.

There was a significant effect for Group, F(1, 123) = 4.1, p < .05. Significant for Group (burnout patient/healthy controls) at p < .05. **Significant for Group (burnout patient/healthy control) at p < .001. #Significant interaction effect for Group (burnout patient/healthy control) and Condition (Success, Failure) at p < .05.

Table 2. Scores on rating scales during the experiment and performance of the burnout patients and healthy controls primed with failure or with success.

|                      | Burnout patients                  | Healthy controls                  |
|----------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
|                      | Success prime (N = 31)            | Failure prime (N = 30)            |
|                      | Success prime (N = 35)            | Failure prime (N = 32)            |
| Mood Rating Scale T1 | 62.8 (19.2)                       | 62.7 (17.4)                       | 67.7 (19.6)                       | 71.0 (15.0) |
| Mood Rating Scale T2 | 62.4 (17.9)                       | 62.7 (17.8)                       | 63.8 (17.6)                       | 70.1 (14.8) |
| Mood Rating Scale T3 | 56.9 (23.5)                       | 57.2 (20.1)                       | 59.6 (20.2)                       | 62.7 (15.9) |
| RSME-mf T1**         | 54.7 (32.3)                       | 55.6 (28.4)                       | 39.3 (29.0)                       | 33.9 (26.1) |
| RSME-mf T2**         | 54.9 (34.7)                       | 56.4 (30.2)                       | 41.7 (28.8)                       | 32.4 (23.4) |
| RSME-mf T3**         | 59.2 (37.9)                       | 65.9 (33.7)                       | 45.4 (27.6)                       | 40.2 (25.0) |
| Performance (Mean ISI (ms) on last 30 trials)** | 1983 (1011) | 1653 (951) | 1090 (267) | 1612 (836) |
| Rating Scale Expectancy of Performance (RSEP) | 54.0 (19.4) | 55.8 (20.1) | 48.9 (14.3) | 54.9 (15.9) |
| Employment Advertisement Task (EAT)* | 50.9 (24.8) | 61.0 (18.9) | 70.8 (14.0) | 59.0 (19.2) |
| Subjective Effort Scale (SES) on SST | 4.2 (1.1) | 4.4 (.9) | 4.3 (.7) | 4.3 (.9) |
| Subjective Effort Scale (SES) on Switch task | 4.2 (.9) | 4.3 (.8) | 4.1 (.6) | 4.1 (.8) |

*Significant for Group (burnout patient/healthy controls) at p < .05.

Figure 1. ISI (Inter-Stimulus-Interval) over 300 trials for the burnout patients and healthy controls primed with success or with failure.
that healthy controls primed with success performed better than
independent variable, and ISI as dependent variable revealed
that success primes resulted in a better performance in healthy controls in comparison to burnout patients, $F(1, 63) = 14.7, p < .001, \eta^2 = .19$. There was no difference between the groups in the failure condition.

We conducted two-way repeated measures ANCOVAs with Group [BPs, HCs] and Condition [Success, Failure] as the between-subjects variable and Time (T1, T2, T3) as withinsubjects variable for the mood rating scales and the RSME-mf separately. No significant effects were found for the various scores on the mood rating scale. For the RSME-mf there was a significant effect for Group, $F(1, 123) = 20.8, p < .001, \eta^2 = .16$. As expected, burnout patients reported more mental fatigue than healthy controls.

With regard to RSEP, SES and EAT, we conducted two-way between-groups univariate ANCOVAs, with RSEP, TPWS and EAT scores as dependent variables. Group [BPs, HCs] and Condition [Success, Failure] were the independent variables.

With regard to the EAT there was a significant effect for Group, $F(1, 123) = 5.7, p < .05, \eta^2 = .04$, and a significant Group x Condition interaction, $F(1, 123) = 9.9, p < .01, \eta^2 = .08$. Inspections of the means showed that healthy controls ($M = 65.2, SD = 17.6$) estimated the chances of success larger for the job candidate than the burnout patients ($M = 55.9, SD = 22.5$). Separate ANCOVAs for burnout patients and healthy controls with Condition [Success, Failure] as the independent variable, and ISI as dependent variable revealed that healthy controls primed with success performed better than healthy controls primed with failure, $F(1, 64) = 12.8, p < .001, \eta^2 = .17$ on the cognitive switch task and that there was no difference between the burnout patients in the two conditions. Separate ANCOVAs for the success condition and the failure condition with Group [BPs, HCs] as the independent variable and ISI as dependent variable revealed that success primes resulted in a better performance in healthy controls in comparison to burnout patients, $F(1, 63) = 14.7, p < .001, \eta^2 = .19$. There was no difference between the groups in the failure condition.

Discussion
Motivational interventions do not appear to be effective in improving performance in burnout patients (van Dam et al., 2011). It is not clear, however, whether the performance in burnout patients already tends to be as high as possible or whether burnout patients do not believe that their performance can be improved despite positive feedback and financial rewards. In order to bypass cognitions about fatigue, we investigated the possibility that motivation can be enhanced in an implicit way, using subliminal priming. We primed burnout patients and healthy control with success or failure. After priming, the participants were presented with a complex cognitive task that has been used in previous studies to measure cognitive performance in fatigued individuals (Lorist et al., 2000; Van Dam et al., 2011). As expected, burnout patients reported more burnout symptoms and fatigue than healthy controls. With regard to task performance, burnout patients reported that they tried to perform well at the cognitive task just like the healthy controls (SES), but they showed poorer performance than the healthy controls, and experienced more fatigue during the task. These findings are in line with studies that show that cognitive performance in burnout is reduced and that mental effort leads to enhanced fatigue increase (Sandström et al., 2005; Van Dam et al., 2011; Van der Linden et al., 2005).

Healthy controls primed with success outperformed healthy controls primed with failure on the cognitive task. Apparently the prime was effective in increasing motivation in healthy controls. EAT findings suggest that prime effects were still present in healthy controls at the end of the experiment. However, burnout patients primed with success did not perform better than burnout patients primed with failure or healthy controls primed with failure. Burnout patients were not positively affected by the success primes to perform well. This finding is in line with the theory of Boksem and Tops (2008) that burnout patients are not responsive to motivational interventions anymore.

However, an alternative explanation is possible as well. The primes we used in the SST may have also invited the participants to compare themselves with others. Brenninckmeijer et al. (2000) found that comparison with successful others leads to a negative affect in burnout. The effect of the primes might have been different if we had used words like “I” or “You” in combination with success or failure-related words. We found no differences in reported mood between groups and conditions however, which suggests that the formulation of the SST did not affect our results.

The mean performance of burnout patients primed with success was inferior (although not significantly), compared to that of burnout patients primed with failure. The large variance suggests that success primes may even lead to reduced performance in some of the burnout patients. The finding that primes can elicit behaviour in the opposite direction than would have been expected has been observed before and seems to occur when primed behaviour is by participants perceived as out of reach (Dijksterhuis et al., 1998; Hart & Albarracin, 2009). This may also have been the case in our study because several studies suggest that burnout patients may react differently to success than healthy controls because they perceive success as unattainable (Brenninckmeijer, Van Yperen, & Buijk, 2001; Brenninckmeijer, 2002).

Although burnout patients primed with success did not improve performance, they reported similar levels of expected success on the task (RSEP) and similar levels of subjective effort spent at the task (SES) as the control participants. Apparently the prime did not influence the subjective expectations for successful performance, nor the perceived amounts of effort spent on the task or mood during the task. This finding is in line with many studies on priming that show that priming influences behaviour, but does not necessarily lead to a change in feelings.
or cognitions (Bargh, 2005), although some studies demonstrated that achievement priming can trigger higher expectations of task outcomes (Custers, Aarts, Oikawa, & Elliot, 2009). Nevertheless, we conclude that differences in performance between the two groups cannot be explained by differences in success expectation and perceived effort.

A limitation of our study is that we did not use a neutral priming condition. Therefore, we cannot determine to what extent priming effects can be attributed to priming for success, failure or both. Several studies have determined that success-related priming can increase motivation for task performance (Ciani & Sheldon, 2010; Custers et al., 2009; Custers & Aarts, 2005; Lowery, Eisenberger, Hardin, & Sinclair, 2007), and that failure-related priming can decrease motivation for task performance (Bry, Follenfant, & Meyer, 2008; Ciani & Sheldon, 2010; Legal & Meyer, 2007). A comparison with the performance (ISI) of unprimed burnout patients (M = 1716, SD = 888) and healthy controls (M = 1089, SD = 351) from an earlier study (Van Dam et al., 2011) in which the same cognitive task was used, suggests that the strongest prime effect in healthy controls in this study was the failure prime and the strongest effect in burnout patients, although in the opposite direction, was the success prime. As many studies (Johnson, Benas, & Gibb, 2011; Steiger & Burger, 2010) have demonstrated, psychological disorders are associated with specific implicit cognitions. An explanation for this finding may be that burnout patients exhibit implicit associations with failure as suggested by Brenninkmeijer et al. (2000) and healthy controls exhibit explicit associations with success. This is in line with many studies that show a positive self-judgment bias in healthy individuals (Dunn, Stefanovitch, Buchan, Lawrence, & Dalgleish, 2009; Schmidt & Mast, 2010). It is possible that the implicit cognitions that are already active cannot be activated to a much larger extent in contrast to cognitions that are not activated yet.

A second limitation that cannot be ruled out is that the score on the EAT is also influenced by the level of performance on the switch task. Success on the switch task may have served as a prime for the EAT. We assume that this effect is small, however, because participants did not receive feedback about their performance on the switch task and therefore unable to determine how well they performed.

A third limitation is that participants performed the switch task only once. Therefore we cannot establish differential, within-subjects-effects of the priming procedure, we can only determine whether there was a difference between the experimental groups.

A fourth limitation may be that the burnout patients in our study were somewhat older than the healthy controls. Although statistically significant, the difference was relatively small. Moreover, the burnout patients performed less well than healthy controls, and this effect is still significant if age is taken into account. We therefore assume that the age difference between the groups did not substantially affect our results.

A fifth limitation may be that healthy controls and burnout patients received a different kind of reward for participating in the experiment. Because the reward was related to participation and not to performance, we assume that this difference did not affect our results.

In conclusion, this study showed that success primes did not increase performance in burnout, which supports theories that state that burnout patients are not responsive to motivational interventions. Moreover this study indicates that the non-responsive burnout patients to motivational interventions is not a mere consequence of cognitions about fatigue and performance but seems to stem from a more structural condition.
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