The *International Journal of Epidemiology* (IJE) Education Corner was introduced in 2012, providing educational articles on epidemiological concepts and methods. We conducted a survey of the use and impact of the IJE Education Corner articles in relation to teaching, research and practice, collating suggestions on how we might increase their utility.

Questions for the Survey were developed iteratively by the Education Corner editors and IJE editorial staff. A 29-item online survey was administered between 11 November 2021 and 14 January 2022, using mailing lists of the *International Journal of Epidemiology* editorial board, the International Epidemiology Association and the World Congress of Epidemiology. The survey link was also posted on Twitter using @IntJEpidemiol and the hashtags #epitwitter #statswitter. Study data were collected and managed using REDCap electronic data capture tools hosted at the University of Sydney. We used mixed methods to analyse the results, with descriptive statistics for quantitative data and content analysis for qualitative data including free-text answers.

We received 213 responses from an estimated 2000 people invited to undertake the survey (denominator estimated from e-mail lists, does not include invitation via twitter; response rate approximately 11%). Of respondents, 56% (120) self-identified as male, 43% (92) as female and 1% (1) as other. Respondents were from 54 countries and their mean age was 46.4 years. Most had a PhD, DPhil, DSc or ScD in public health or epidemiology (64%) and worked in an academic role in teaching and/or research (82%) (Table 1).

Of the 213 respondents, 43% (91) said that they had used IJE Education Corner articles in their teaching, research or practice: 24% (52) used Education Corner articles specifically in their teaching (45 in epidemiology courses), 33% (70) in their research and 10% (22) in their public health/clinical practice (Table 2). We also found that Education Corner articles are used in teaching, research and practice across all regions of the world (Table 2).

Many respondents had used at least two Education Corner articles in their teaching or research, with basic epidemiological study designs the most popular topic. For example, the top two articles selected by respondents for use in teaching and research were ‘Classification of..."
### Table 1
**IJJE Education Corner: characteristics of survey respondents**

| Characteristic | Measure |
|----------------|---------|
| Age, years     | Median (IQR) 44 (17) Min, max 21, 81 |
| Sex, n (%)     | Male 120 (56%) Female 92 (43%) Other 1 (1%) |
| Country, n (%) | Australia 59 (28%) India 23 (11%) USA 20 (9%) Nigeria 11 (5%) UK 11 (5%) Brazil 6 (3%) Japan 6 (3%) China 5 (2%) Mexico 5 (2%) Cameroon 4 (2%) Canada 4 (2%) Germany 4 (2%) Austria 3 (1%) Colombia 3 (1%) Lebanon 3 (1%) Other 46 (22%) |
| Regions, n (%) | Oceania 60 (28%) Americas 44 (21%) North West Europe 27 (13%) Southern and Central Asia 26 (12%) Sub-Saharan Africa 20 (9%) North Africa and Middle East 13 (6%) North East Asia 12 (6%) Southern and Eastern Europe 6 (3%) South East Asia 5 (2%) |
| Source of invitation, n (%) | International Epidemiology Association 94 (44%) *International Journal of Epidemiology* Editorial 55 (26%) |
| Qualifications, n (%) | PhD/DPhil/ScD/Sc in public health/epidemiology 136 (64%) Master’s degree in public health/epidemiology 92 (43%) Medical degree (e.g. MBBS, MBChB, MD) 69 (32%) Undergraduate degree in public health/epidemiology 18 (9%) |
| Area of work, n (%) | Academic (research/teaching) 175 (82%) Public health practice 58 (27%) Clinical practice 20 (9%) |

*IQR, interquartile range; min, max minimum and maximum.

*There were two respondents from Bangladesh, Denmark, Iran, Morocco, Norway, Peru and Singapore, and one respondent from Bahrain, Chile, Cuba, Czech Republic, Egypt, Ethiopia, Finland, France, Italy, Kuwait, Latvia, Myanmar, The Netherlands, New Zealand, Oman, Panama, Portugal, Russia, Rwanda, South Africa, South Korea, Spain, Sri Lanka, Sudan, Sweden, Switzerland, Thailand, Togo, Tunisia, Uganda, United Arab Emirates and Uruguay.

*Three answered Asociación Mexicana de Inteligencia Epidemiológica, one answered The Epidemiological Monitor and one answered e-mail.

*Each of the following responses were provided once: Agriculture industry/anthropology/qualitative health/ATLAS.ti, clinical research, contract research, epidemiology-related surveys, not-for-profit, PhD student, regulatory affairs, social epidemiology.

### Table 2
**Use of Education Corner articles in teaching, research and practice**

| Characteristic | Measure |
|----------------|---------|
| Health service administration | 13 (6%) |
| Other* | 8 (4%) |

*There were two respondents from Bangladesh, Denmark, Iran, Morocco, Norway, Peru and Singapore, and one respondent from Bahrain, Chile, Cuba, Czech Republic, Egypt, Ethiopia, Finland, France, Italy, Kuwait, Latvia, Myanmar, The Netherlands, New Zealand, Oman, Panama, Portugal, Russia, Rwanda, South Africa, South Korea, Spain, Sri Lanka, Sudan, Sweden, Switzerland, Thailand, Togo, Tunisia, Uganda, United Arab Emirates and Uruguay.

*Three answered Asociación Mexicana de Inteligencia Epidemiológica, one answered The Epidemiological Monitor and one answered e-mail.

*Each of the following responses were provided once: Agriculture industry/anthropology/qualitative health/ATLAS.ti, clinical research, contract research, epidemiology-related surveys, not-for-profit, PhD student, regulatory affairs, social epidemiology.

| Geographical region | Teaching, n (%) | Research, n (%) | Practice, n (%) |
|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Oceania             | 6 (12%)         | 17 (24%)        | 3 (14%)         |
| Americas            | 16 (31%)        | 19 (27%)        | 5 (23%)         |
| North West Europe   | 6 (12%)         | 9 (13%)         | 1 (5%)          |
| Southern and Central Asia | 4 (8%) | 6 (9%) | 6 (27%) |
| Sub-Saharan Africa  | 5 (10%)         | 6 (9%)          | 3 (14%)         |
| North Africa and Middle East | 7 (13%) | 4 (6%) | 3 (14%) |
| North East Asia     | 4 (8%)          | 7 (10%)         | 1 (5%)          |
| Southern and Eastern Europe | 4 (8%) | 2 (3%) | – |
| South East Asia     | –               | –               | –               |

| Course in which Education Corner articles were used* (n = 52) | Teaching, n (%) | Research, n (%) | Practice, n (%) |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Epidemiology                                              | 45 (87%)        | –               | –               |
| Biostatistics                                             | 15 (29%)        | –               | –               |
| Other                                                      | 4 (8%)          | –               | –               |

| Course level* (n = 52) | Teaching, n (%) | Research, n (%) | Practice, n (%) |
|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Beginner               | 17 (33%)        | –               | –               |
| Intermediate           | 26 (50%)        | –               | –               |
| Advanced               | 24 (46%)        | –               | –               |

| Number of Education Corner articles used (n = 122)* | Teaching, n (%) | Research, n (%) | Practice, n (%) |
|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Median (IQR)                                        | 2 (2)           | 2 (2)           | –               |
| 1                                                   | 15 (29%)        | 19 (27%)        | –               |
| 2                                                   | 15 (29%)        | 20 (29%)        | –               |
| 3                                                   | 10 (19%)        | 10 (14%)        | –               |
| 4                                                   | 3 (6%)          | 2 (3%)          | –               |
| 5                                                   | 4 (8%)          | 4 (6%)          | –               |
| 10                                                  | 1 (1%)          | –               | –               |

*There were two respondents from Bangladesh, Denmark, Iran, Morocco, Norway, Peru and Singapore, and one respondent from Bahrain, Chile, Cuba, Czech Republic, Egypt, Ethiopia, Finland, France, Italy, Kuwait, Latvia, Myanmar, The Netherlands, New Zealand, Oman, Panama, Portugal, Russia, Rwanda, South Africa, South Korea, Spain, Sri Lanka, Sudan, Sweden, Switzerland, Thailand, Togo, Tunisia, Uganda, United Arab Emirates and Uruguay.

*Three answered Asociación Mexicana de Inteligencia Epidemiológica, one answered The Epidemiological Monitor and one answered e-mail.

*Each of the following responses were provided once: Agriculture industry/anthropology/qualitative health/ATLAS.ti, clinical research, contract research, epidemiology-related surveys, not-for-profit, PhD student, regulatory affairs, social epidemiology.

*Eleven respondents answered ‘Yes’ to both epidemiology and biostatistics courses, two answered ‘Yes’ to both epidemiology and other courses.

*Three respondents answered ‘Yes’ to using in all of beginners, intermediate and advanced courses; four answered ‘Yes’ to using in both beginners and intermediate courses; six answered ‘Yes’ to using in both intermediate and advanced courses.

*Data missing for five respondents who used articles in their teaching and 14 respondents who used articles in their research.
epidemiological study designs' and ‘Case-control studies: basic concepts'. Other popular articles included introductions to epidemiological concepts and methods, such as ‘Mediation analysis in epidemiology: methods, interpretation and bias' and ‘Competing risks in epidemiology: possibilities and pitfalls'. These and other articles that survey respondents reported using are shown in Supplementary Table S1 (available as Supplementary data at IJE online).

Respondents who did not use IJE Education Corner articles in their teaching, research or practice provided suggestions for increasing their engagement with articles (Supplementary Table S2, available as Supplementary data at IJE online). Many expressed their lack of awareness of IJE Education Corner articles but a willingness to use them in the future.

'I was not aware of this resource … I may use them in the future now that I am aware.'

'I don’t teach classes, but plan to check it out to help support HDR [higher degree by research] students. I just didn’t know it existed.'

Given this lack of familiarity with the Education Corner, respondents also suggested that there was a need for increased promotion and accessibility of Education Corner articles.

'E-mail reminders of the release of issues, with a table of contents, may motivate me to read the Education Corner and use it in my teaching.'

'An index of articles so I can easily identify them.'

'I think it needs to be better publicised on the webpage and in social media.'

Respondents also indicated that they would be more likely to use Education Corner articles if topics were relevant to their work. Some indicated that papers covering foundational epidemiology would be most useful to them, whereas others wanted papers covering more advanced epidemiological concepts, or specific topics more applicable to their area of work.

'I think the articles are very good, but I mostly teach undergraduate medical students, so the articles are too advanced.'

'Some more basic concepts would be good for foundation-level students.'

'Wider scope from basic to advanced.'

'Educational topics of comprehensive and state-of-the-art subjects.'

'Case studies for teaching epidemiological research from diverse contexts, especially community settings.'

'Methodology on environmental epidemiology, especially climate change and health research.'

'Free [Education Corner] full texts from research in developing countries.'

These survey data have formed part of an evaluation of Education Corner by a team of IJE editors, and inform the expanded vision for the Education Corner, outlined in the accompanying Editorial. In particular, we have changed the format in the hope of increasing accessibility and encouraging uptake of both basic and advanced methods. We will also be increasing outreach to under-represented voices at all career stages. We plan to continue to grow Education Corner for those learning about and using epidemiology wherever they are in the world, as a contribution to the health of populations.
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The opportunities of change score analyses
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In a recent International Journal of Epidemiology article, Tennant et al. (2021) asserted that regressions with change score estimators (i.e. ‘gain scores’, ‘difference scores’) do not estimate causal treatment effects in observational data. Their argument—aided by directed acyclic graphs (DAGs)—relies on within-person models with a single treatment measure and causally related outcomes. Whereas this framing is conventional for change score analyses, it may not apply to other settings that are commonly represented in panel data: that is, change score analyses with dyadic (two-person) data can yield valid treatment estimates and may be preferable to more general methods. I motivate this claim with a common study design: sibling comparison analysis.

Consider the causal DAG (A) in Figure 1, which is a typical two-sibling comparison model. Subscripts $i = 1, \ldots, N$ and $j = 0, 1$ indicate cluster and sibling, respectively. There are four variables: a treatment, $X_{ij}$; an outcome, $Y_{ij}$; a change score, $\Delta Y_i = Y_{i1} - Y_{i0}$; and an unobserved fixed effect, $U_i$, that confounds treatments and outcomes. Greek letters denote linear causal effects. Following standard fixed effects assumptions, the unobserved confounding effect $\psi (U_i \rightarrow Y_{ij})$ and the treatment effect $\delta (X_{ij} \rightarrow Y_{ij})$ are equivalent for both siblings, and $Y_{ij}$ does not cause $Y_{ij}$. The absence of causally related outcomes in observational data may be justified substantively or evaluated with placebo tests. Mallinson and Elwert (2021) used this model to estimate the effect of birth outcomes on academic performance within sibling clusters, although it readily applies to other dyadic settings, such as studies on health interventions among spousal pairs or friends.

Our estimand in DAG (A) is the treatment effect $\delta$. Regressing $Y_{ij}$ on $X_{ij}$ yields a biased treatment effect estimate, covariate adjustment notwithstanding, because $Y_{ij}$ and $X_{ij}$ are generically confounded by the unobserved fixed effect $U_i$. However, change score regression, $\Delta Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{i0} + \beta_2 X_{i1}$, offsets equi-confounding bias that is transmitted via $X_{ij} \leftarrow U_i \rightarrow Y_{ij}$ and $X_{ij} \leftarrow U_i \rightarrow Y_{ij}$ through outcome differencing. Additionally, the confounding bias that is transmitted via $X_{ij} \leftarrow U_i \rightarrow X_{ij}$ is blocked because the regression conditions on both treatments. The resulting partial regression coefficient $\beta_2 = \delta$ precisely estimates the treatment effect. Likewise, $\beta_1 = -\delta$.

Still, a standard conditional maximum likelihood estimator also precisely estimates the treatment effect in DAG (A). We may thus question the value of change score estimation, but its utility is evident in the presence of treatment-to-outcome spillover. The causal DAG (B) in Figure 1 introduces a spillover effect $\theta (X_{ij} \rightarrow Y_{ij})$. A conditional maximum likelihood estimator cannot estimate the treatment effect $\delta$ with this spillover. In contrast, change score regression, $\Delta Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{i0} + \beta_2 X_{i1}$, still precisely estimates the treatment effect ($\beta_2 = \delta$), and it also
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