Layer-by-layer paper-stacking nanofibrous membranes to deliver adipose-derived stem cells for bone regeneration
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Abstract: Bone tissue engineering through seeding of stem cells in three-dimensional scaffolds has greatly improved bone regeneration technology, which historically has been a constant challenge. In this study, we researched the use of adipose-derived stem cell (ADSC)-laden layer-by-layer paper-stacking poly-caprolactone/gelatin electrospinning nanofibrous membranes for bone regeneration. Using this novel paper-stacking method makes oxygen distribution, nutrition, and waste transportation work more efficiently. ADSCs can also secrete multiple growth factors required for osteogenesis. After the characterization of ADSC surface markers CD29, CD90, and CD49d using flow cytometry, we seeded ADSCs on the membranes and found cells differentiated, with significant expression of the osteogenic-related proteins osteopontin, osteocalcin, and osteoprotegerin. During 4 weeks in vitro, the ADSCs cultured on the paper-stacking membranes in the osteogenic medium exhibited the highest osteogenic-related gene expressions. In vivo, the paper-stacking scaffolds were implanted into the rat calvarial defects (5 mm diameter, one defect per parietal bone) for 12 weeks. Investigating with microcomputed tomography, the ADSC-laden paper-stacking membranes showed the most significant bone reconstruction, and from a morphological perspective, this group occupied 90% of the surface area of the defect, produced the highest bone regeneration volume, and showed the highest bone mineral density of 823.06 mg/cm³. From hematoxylin and eosin and Masson staining, the new bone tissue was most evident in the ADSC-laden scaffold group. Using quantitative polymerase chain reaction analysis from collected tissues, we found that the ADSC-laden paper-stacking membrane group presented the highest osteogenic-related gene expressions of osteocalcin, osteopontin, osteoprotegerin, bone sialoprotein, runt-related transcription factor 2, and osterix (two to three times higher than the control group, and 1.5 times higher than the paper-stacking membrane group in all the genes). It is proposed that ADSC-laden layer-by-layer paper-stacking scaffolds could be used as a way of promoting bone defect treatment.
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Introduction

Bone defects, especially large ones, still present a significant challenge in orthopedic tissue engineering, due to the limited self-repair capacity of bone tissues.1,2 Three-dimensional (3D) scaffolds play a vital role in bone regeneration and offer a promising approach to bone repair.3,4 Although perfectly imitative of bone structure, and providing necessary support for cells and new tissue in-growth, these scaffolds still have some limitations: how to imitate oxygen distribution and nutrient and waste transportation and how to signal transduction and spatiotemporal chemical gradients, which are important for cell growth in the scaffold and internal environment homeostasis in the...
living tissues. With these problems in view, Whitesides et al proposed a paper-supported scaffold and demonstrated that oxygen and nutrients can distribute to the center layers of the scaffold to form spatiotemporal chemical gradients for cell growth if thin (30–1,500 µm) and permeable papers are used. As a well-designed chemical gradient, this paper-stacking scaffold can be used to either promote the living tissue growth or inhibit cancer and infection.8–10 In addition, this paper-stacking strategy provides a versatile approach to fabricate 3D scaffolds, which, in turn, provide chemical gradients with different polymers. Moreover, by using different polymers with a variety of layers and thicknesses, these scaffolds can supply a range of properties vital for tissue functions, especially for bone or cartilage.11

Recently, therapeutics based on stem cells, such as bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells, embryonic stem cells, and adipose-derived stem cells (ADSCs), have gained extensive attention in bone tissue engineering. By virtue of their abundance, easy isolation, and culture, which induces the release of multiple growth factors required for bone regeneration, ADSCs have been recommended for osteoblast differentiation and bone regeneration.12–15 Combined with biomaterials, many researchers have incorporated ADSCs into 3D scaffold for bone repair and achieved great success. For instance, ADSCs have been incorporated into coral scaffold-enhanced bone reconstruction.12,14

Inspired by these studies, we constructed a novel and versatile 3D paper-stacking scaffold composed of gelatin/polycaprolactone (PCL) electrospun nanofibrous membranes for bone regeneration. This scaffold has both excellent biocompatibility and biomechanical stability due to the superlative quality of gelatin for cell adhesion and the good mechanical character of PCL.16,17 The electrospun membranes are thin (approximately 50 µm) and permeable, which facilitate a good distribution of oxygen and nutrients into the center of the paper-stacking scaffold.18 In addition, we laid ADSCs into the scaffold not only because they supply a large amount of stem cells that differentiate into osteogenic lineages but also because they secrete certain growth factors and extracellular matrix (ECM), which provide a biomimetic environment for tissue regeneration.19 When the ADSCs are cultured in a layer-by-layer paper-stacking scaffold, they create a spatiotemporal chemical gradient of the secreted factors and improve the signaling transduction inside the scaffold.20,21 The ADSC-laden scaffold promotes the cell-to-cell and cell-to-tissue interactions that are crucial for cell amplification, differentiation, and tissue regeneration.22–24 Moreover, this ADSC-laden paper-stacking scaffold constructs a layer-by-layer structure that is unique to bone tissue or subcartilage bone.

In this study, we first used surface markers to characterize ADSCs. We then seeded ADSCs on the membrane in the osteogenic medium (OM) and detected the secreted osteogenic proteins. We also investigated cell viability and osteogenic relative gene expressions in vitro in paper-stacking scaffolds. Finally, ADSC-laden paper-stacking membranes were implanted into the rat parietal bone defect model. After 12 weeks, the regenerated bone tissue was detected using micro-computed tomography (micro-CT), histological staining, and a real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) test.

Materials and methods

Materials

PCL, gelatin, and trifluoroethanol were all purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Saint Louis, MO, USA). An electrosprun machine was made by Beijing Ucalery Technology Development Co., Ltd (Beijing, People’s Republic of China). Live/dead cell staining kits were purchased from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA, USA). A Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8) was obtained from Biotium Inc (Hayward, CA, USA). Low glucose Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM), fetal bovine serum, penicillin (100 units/mL), and streptomycin (100 units/mL) were all ordered from Gibco (Grand Island, NY, USA). Dexamethason (100 nM), ascorbate-2-phosphate (50 µM), and β-glycerophosphate (10 mM) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. Trizol reagent and a SuperScript First-Strand Synthesis System kit for RT-PCR were from Invitrogen. Rat glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH), osteocalcin antibody (OCN), osteoproteoglycan antibody (OPG), and osteopontin antibody (OPN) were purchased from Abcom (Cambridge, MA, USA). Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) figures were obtained using a microscope (JSM-5510LV, JEOL, Tokyo, Japan). Flow cytometry tests were conducted with FACScalibur cytometry (Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA). Images were taken using a confocal laser scanning microscope (Olympus FV1000, Tokyo, Japan), and the micro-computed tomography (micro-CT) was Letheta 200 (Alcoa Company, Tokyo, Japan). The absorbance was measured with an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) reader (Hiperion MPR4, Germany). Ribonucleic acid (RNA) concentration was detected with an RNA reader (Hiperion MPR4, Germany). Ribonucleic acid (RNA) concentration was detected with an RNA reader (Hiperion MPR4, Germany). Ribonucleic acid (RNA) concentration was detected with an RNA reader (Hiperion MPR4, Germany). Ribonucleic acid (RNA) concentration was detected with an RNA reader (Hiperion MPR4, Germany).
Rat ADSC isolation, culture, passage, and identification

ADSCs were isolated from a 1-month-old Sprague Dawley (SD) rat’s fat, according to the procedure outlined as follows. After perfect anesthesia with 10% chloral hydrate (0.2 mL/100 g), the SD rats were sterilized with the 75% ethyl alcohol three times, and the inguinal fat pad area was explored. The inguinal fat pad was harvested and minced in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), adding two times the volume of 0.2% type I collagenase, and put in a 37°C water-bath shaker for 40 minutes, then centrifuged at 800 g for 5 minutes. The supernatant was discarded to obtain the ADSC pellets. Then, the cell pellets were suspended and seeded in 75 cm² cell culture flasks in DMEM, supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% antibiotics. Next, the cells were cultured at 37°C under 5% CO₂. Unattached cells were removed after 24 hours. The medium was changed every 2 days until cells reached 80% confluence. Subculture and passage used a ratio of 1:3, and cells were used on passage 3 or 4.

ADSCs were identified by flow cytometry. Passage 4 ADSCs were collected with Trypsin-EDTA, then were resuspended in PBS and counted. All the cells were divided into five aliquots of 10⁶ cells in a 15 mL tube. The cells were centrifuged and the cell pellets were obtained. A volume of 100 µL PBS was added to each tube, and the cells were resuspended. The cells were stained with cell surface marker antibodies: antirat monoclonal CD90, CD49d-(fluorescein isothiocyanate), CD106, CD45-(phycoerythrin) and CD11b, and CD29-(allophycocyanin). CD29 antibody was added in sample 1; CD90 in sample 2; CD90 and CD11b in sample 3; CD106, CD29, and CD49d in sample 4; and no antibody in sample 5. All the antibodies were added at a concentration of 2 µg/mL at 4°C and incubated for 30 minutes. Then, we added 5% fetal bovine serum PBS 5 mL, and the cells were resuspended and centrifuged at 800 rpm for 5 minutes. Then, 300 µL 5% fetal bovine serum was added and washed with PBS. The unstained cells served as the control group. Then, the samples were examined using flow cytometry using CellQuest software.

Fabrication of electrospinning nanofibrous membranes and the gelatin crosslinking procedure in the membrane

The PCL and the gelatin were dissolved separately in the trifluoroethanol and stirred overnight to make solutions at a concentration of 10 wt%, respectively. Three milliliters of each solution was poured into 10 mL glass syringes. Then, the electrospinning was performed in the electrosinup machine for about 2–3 hours in a gelatin:PCL ratio of 1:1 to manufacture the nanofibrous membranes to a thickness of approximately 70 µm. The electrospinning rate was set to 1.0 mL/hr. In the electrical field of 15 kV, the syringe tip injected the materials solution to the tuning axis, which was wound with a 6 × 8 cm piece of aluminum foil and tuned at a speed of 5 m/min. The distance between the syringe tip and the foil was 12 cm.

ADSCs were cultured on the membranes

The PCL/gelatin electrospun nanofibrous membranes were first fabricated using the method described in the section “Fabrication of electrospinning nanofibrous membranes and the gelatin crosslinking procedure in the membrane”, then the membrane was punched into 6 mm diameter circular pieces. The membranes were sterilized under ultraviolet light for 5 hours and turned every over 30 minutes. Then, the membranes were immersed in sterilized PBS for 24 hours. The PBS was changed every 4 hours. The cells’ culture dishes were first coated with 1% sterilized agarose. ADSCs at passage 4 were harvested, seeded on one side of the membranes (using sterilized filter paper to absorb surplus water), and cultured in an incubator heated to 37°C in 5% CO₂ for 1 hour, allowing the cells to attach to the membrane. After 1 hour, the membranes were turned over and the cells were seeded on another side and cultured in the incubator for another hour, then fresh culture medium was added to them. They were then put back into the incubator. The medium was changed every 2 days.

SEM view of the membrane and ADSC-laden membrane

To analyze the structure and morphology of gelatin/PCL nanofiber electrospun membranes, we used the SEM at an acceleration voltage of 15 kV. Three days after the cells were cultured, all the samples (membrane and ADSC-laden membrane) were rinsed twice with PBS, fixed with 2% glutaraldehyde, and dehydrated in increased concentration gradient alcohol (50%, 70%, 80%, 90%, 95%, and 100% ethanol solution). Then, the samples were dried, coated with a layer of gold, and scanned with the SEM.

ADSC osteogenic differentiation on a single membrane

ADSCs were cultured on the membrane as shown in section “ADSCs were cultured on the membranes” in the osteogenic
culture medium, which contained dexamethasone (10 nM), ascorbate-2-phosphate (50 µM), and β-glycerophosphate (10 mM). Then, the ADSC osteogenesis differentiation was detected with immunofluorescence technique and antibodies such as OPN, OCN, and OPG. ADSCs (2×10^3) were seeded on the membrane and cultured in the six-well cell culture plate, and the culture medium was changed every 2 days. After 2 weeks and 4 weeks, the samples were fixed with 4% formalin (pH 7.4) for 15 minutes, immersed in 2 mL 0.5% Triton X-100, and left at room temperature for 10 minutes. The samples were rinsed with PBS three times. One percent bovine serum albumin was added to each well for 30 minutes, then the antibodies-I (OCN, OPN, OPG) were added to the samples separately and incubated at 37°C for 2 hours. The antibodies-II were added and incubated for 1 hour. 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) at 5 µg/mL was added into to the well for 5–10 minutes. The samples were put on the slides. Images were taken with a confocal laser scanning microscope.

**Fabrication of the ADSC-laden layer-by-layer paper-stacking membranes (three layers)**

The membrane pieces were sterilized under ultraviolet light for 5 hours and turned over every 30 minutes. The cells’ culture dishes were first coated with 1% sterilized agarose. ADSCs at passage 4 were cultured as shown in the section “ADSC osteogenic differentiation on a single membrane”.

Two days later, the ADSCs were seeded on both sides of the membrane. After 2 more days, three pieces of ADSC-laden membrane were assembled in a layer-by-layer paper-stacking way to form the 3D scaffold, then the scaffold was clamped with two sterilized stainless steel mesh clips and cultured in 5% CO₂ at 37°C for another 2 days. The membranes interacted with each other and were developed into the 3D scaffold of ADSC-laden layer-by-layer paper-stacking membranes (three layers). The fabrication procedure is shown in Figure 1.

**Figure 1** Schematic of fabrication procedure of the adipose-derived stem cell (ADSC)-laden layer-by-layer paper-stacking membranes three-dimensional scaffold. **Abbreviation:** PCL, polycaprolactone.
Viability test of ADSCs in the layer-by-layer paper-stacking membrane scaffold

Three days after the cells were seeded, the ADSC-laden paper-stacking membrane scaffolds were harvested and immersed in 0.5% Triton X-100 solution at room temperature for 5 minutes, and then immersed in 1% bovine serum albumin PBS solution at room temperature for another 10 minutes. The cells in the scaffold were stained with the live/dead kit and DAPI staining kit, then embedded in the optimal cutting temperature compound. Then, the sections were cut into 5 μm thickness with a cryostat sectioning machine. Finally, images were taken using the fluorescence microscope.

In order to discover the ADSCs’ proliferation in the paper-stacking membrane scaffold, we used a CCK-8 to investigate. ADSCs were seeded in the paper-stacking membrane scaffold (three layers of membrane) at a density of 10^4 cells/well in a 96-well culture plate system. The samples were divided into three groups as follows: an ADSCs group in which ADSCs were cultured in the plate wells, an ADSC-laden paper-stacking membranes group in which the ADSCs were cultured in the paper-stacking membrane scaffold, and paper-stacking membranes without ADSCs in the culture medium were used as a control group. At the designated time points of 6, 12, 24, and 72 hours, 10 μL of CCK-8 reagent was added to each well and incubated for 1 hour in 5% CO₂ at 37°C. Then, all the liquid in the sample well was transferred to a new 96-well plate. The absorbance was measured at 570 nm using an ELISA reader (n=3).

Osteogenic gene expressions in vitro

We introduced the RT-qPCR technique to test the gene expression in the ADSC-laden paper-stack 3D scaffolds. Four groups were investigated as follows: in Group I, ADSCs were cultured in a regular medium (cells group); in Group II, ADSC-laden paper-stacking scaffolds were cultured in a regular medium (cells-paper-stacking membranes group); in Group III, ADSCs were cultured in OM (cells-OM group); and, in Group IV, ADSC-laden paper-stacking scaffolds were cultured in OM (cells-paper-stacking membranes-OM group, n=3). At the designated time points (1, 2, 3, and 4 weeks), three samples of each group were harvested. The total RNA was isolated following a standard RNA isolating protocol. RNA pellets were dissolved in 15 μL ribonuclease- or deoxyribonuclease-free water. The RNA concentration of each sample was detected with an RNA reading machine on A495, and then the same amount of RNA from the samples was reversed and transcribed into first-strand complementary deoxyribonucleic acid (cDNA). First-strand cDNA (800 ng) was amplified by RT-qPCR using the oligonucleotide primers fabricated by GeneCopoeia. Forty-two cycles were used to amplify the first-strand cDNA in all samples, and the DNA products were analyzed in the StepOnePlus RT-qPCR system. The housekeeping gene GAPDH was used as an internal control. The osteogenic genes were examined, including OCN, OPN, OPG, bone sialoprotein (BSP), runt-related transcription factor 2 (RUNX2), and osterix (OSX). Primer sequences (forward primer and reverse primer) for RT-qPCR analysis were as follows:

| Gene       | Forward Primer                  | Reverse Primer                  |
|------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| GAPDH      | F-AGGTCGGTTGTAACGGGATTTG        | R-TGTAGACCATGAGTGAGGTA          |
| OCN        | F-ATTGTAACGCACCTAGCGGAC         | R-TTCGAGTCTGGAGAGTGCC          |
| OPN        | F-CTACGACCATTAGATGTGGCAG        | R-CATGTGGTCTAGATCGTGGG         |
| OPG        | F-CAGTGTCACACGGCATATCG          | R-CCAGGCAAGCTCTCCATCAA         |
| BSP        | F-AGCTGACACATTAGTGACCC          | R-TTCCCATACTCAACCGTGC          |
| RUNX2      | F-CAACCGAGTCAGTGAGTGC           | R-AAAGGCGTGGTTGACCCAT          |
| OSX        | F-CCTCGGTGGCAGACCCTCTTG         | R-GGACTGGGTCGGGTGATTG          |

The ADSC-laden paper-stacking scaffolds for bone regeneration in vivo

The ADSC-laden layer-by-layer paper-stacking 3D scaffolds were investigated in a rat calvarial defect model. Twenty-seven male SD rats (weight range 250–300 g, 2 months old) were fed with a standard diet and housed in a standard laboratory for 1 week before the experiments. All animals were purchased from the Southern Medical University Experiment Animal Center (Guangzhou, People’s Republic of China) and were approved by the Southern Medical University Animal Ethics Committee, in accordance with the Regulations for the Administration of Affairs Concerning Experimental Animals.

Implantation procedure of the paper-stacking scaffolds

Two 5 mm diameter circular acute calvarial bone defects were created in each calvarial bone, each in the middle of the parietal bones, and three groups were designed as follows: in Group I, two bone defects were created without any treatment (control group, n=9); in Group II, the paper-stacking membrane scaffolds (three layers of membranes
for each scaffold and one scaffold per bone defect) were implanted into the bone defects (paper-stacking membranes group, $n=9$); and, in Group III, ADSC-laden paper-stacking membrane scaffolds were implanted in the parietal bone defects (ADSC-laden paper-stacking membranes group, $n=9$).

All the rats were anesthetized with chloral hydrate (5%, 0.2 mL/100 g) using an intraperitoneal injection. Once anesthetized, a medial front–back incision was made on the rat’s head, penetrating the scalp, subcutaneous tissue, and periosteum and exposing the middle line of the cranium. Then, the scalp and subcutaneous tissue was carefully pulled to the side. With a sharp trephine, a 5 mm diameter cylindrical defect totally penetrating the calvarial bone was made without any damage to the dura mater. The PBS was injected into the defect to wet the operation site. The PCL/gelatin membranes were punched into 6 mm diameter circular pieces and sterilized for usage. ADSC-laden layer-by-layer paper-stacking membrane scaffolds were fabricated following the method described in the section “Fabrication of the ADSC-laden layer-by-layer paper-stacking membranes (three layers)”. The ADSCs (10$^6$, passage 4) were suspended in a 10 $\mu$L medium, seeded on each surface of the 6 mm diameter circular membranes, and cultured in the incubator. After 2 days, three layers of membrane were overlaid, clamped with two sterilized clips, and cultured in the incubator in 5% CO$_2$ at 37°C. Two days later, ADSC-laden layer-by-layer paper-stacking membrane scaffolds were implanted into the defect (ADSC-laden paper-stacking membranes group). Finally, the incision was sutured in separate layers and the wound was cleaned. The layer-by-layer paper-stacking membrane scaffolds without cells (three layers of membranes) were fabricated and planted into each defect (paper-stacking membranes group), and the incision closed in the same way. For the control group, the defect was made without any treatment. The regeneration tissue samples were harvested at 12 weeks postoperation, and the micro-CT test, histological analysis, and qPCR detection were performed.

Micro-CT scanning

For the bone regeneration investigation, we introduced the micro-CT to scan and show a morphological view of the defect site. During the scanning procedure, the parameters used for scanning were as follows: voltage source 85 kV, current source 120 mA, and a tomographic rotation of 180°. The results were reconstructed with Mimics (10.0) software, using a global threshold of 250–3,071 (low–high Hounsfield units).

Histological analysis

All the rats were sacrificed. The defect regenerated tissue samples were washed with PBS and then were fixed in 4% phosphate-buffered formalin (pH 7.4) for 48 hours and decalcified in 20% EDTA for 4 weeks, with the EDTA solution changed every 3 days. The tissue samples were dehydrated through a graded series of ethanol treatments and embedded in paraffin. Finally, sections of 5 $\mu$m thickness were made. To analyze bone regeneration, hematoxylin and eosin (HE) and Masson staining were used.

Osteogenic gene expressions analysis in vivo

The collected bone regenerated samples for RT-qPCR analysis were frozen in liquid nitrogen and then milled to powder. Total RNA isolation, cDNA synthesis, and RT-qPCR were conducted with the same standard method described in the section “Osteogenic gene expressions in vitro”.

Statistical analysis

The mean and standard deviations of the data were presented and were analyzed statistically using one-way analysis of variance with 95% confidence interval. A value of $P<0.05$ was considered to be statistically significant.

Results and discussion

In this study, we constructed a 3D paper-stacking gelatin/PCL nanofiber scaffold. The electrospun nanofibrous membranes made of gelatin and PCL were stacked using a layer-by-layer method (Figure 1).

ADSC identification with flow cytometry technique

Rat ADSCs were first identified by flow cytometry. CD29, CD90, and CD49d are characteristic ADSC surface markers and are commonly used for ADSC identification. As shown in Figure 2, the ADSC-positive antibodies, such as CD29 (99.65%), CD90 (68.38%), and CD49d (86.82%), are at high levels, while the negative antibodies, such as CD45 (0.06%), CD11b (0.24%), and CD106 (0.84%), are low. The results showed that the cells that we harvested from the rat’s fat were mainly ADSCs.

Fabrication of electrospun nanofiber membranes

Gelatin and PCL are both biodegradable and biocompatible polymers that endow the membranes with good mechanical properties and cell adhesion. The nanofibrous structure
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has a large surface area for cells to grow and adhere to the membrane and is highly porous, facilitating the transportation of the cells’ nutrients and waste.47,48 As shown in Figure 3A and B, a uniform membrane composed of random nanofibers was fabricated via electrospinning. The membrane contains interconnected pores, which are favorable for cell growth, proliferation, and migration. Figure 3C and D demonstrate that cells grew and adhered to the membrane to form a lamellar shape and stretched further into the pores. The results suggest that the gelatin/PCL membranes provide space for cells to stretch inside.

Osteogenic differentiation of ADSCs seeded on the single membrane

The ADSCs were seeded on the membranes in the OM. After 2 weeks and 4 weeks, the osteogenic protein secretion was examined with immunofluorescence technique. As shown in Figure 4A and B, the OCN in Figure 4A (a, b, and c) and Figure 4B (a, b, and c), OPG in Figure 4A (d, e, and f) and Figure 4B (d, e, and f), and OPN in Figure 4A (g, h, and i) and Figure 4B (g, h, and i) can be found around the cells as the ECM. The osteogenic proteins secreted after 4 weeks were found to be at a much higher level than they were after 2 weeks.

The results show that the ADSCs grew well on the membranes, differentiated into osteoblasts, and secreted osteogenic proteins for bone regeneration. Used as an ECM, the membranes can provide support for cell adhesion and proliferation, as well as providing a porous structure for the transportation of nutrients and wastes. The ADSCs in paper-stacking membrane scaffolds secrete proteins and other factors that produce a biomimetic environment for cell growth and osteogenic differentiation.

Live/dead and DAPI staining of ADSC-laden paper-stacking membrane scaffold

ADSC-laden layer-by-layer paper-stacking gelatin/PCL electrospun membranes were harvested, stained with live/dead assay, and cut into sections of 5 µm thickness. Figure 5 shows that the cells can be seen on and in between the membrane layers. All the nuclei were stained in blue (DAPI staining). The cells are mainly green stained, showing that most of the cells are live, and some red-stained cells were also observed, indicating that the cells in the paper-stacking membranes were viable.

Viability of ADSCs cultured on the paper-stacking scaffold

Proliferation and viability of the ADSCs were estimated using the CCK-8 kits. At specified time points, the CCK-8 reagent was added and samples were tested under an ELISA reader.
As shown in Figure 6, in the first 24 hours, the cells proliferated slightly slower in the scaffold group than in the cells group, possibly due to the time required for the cells to adhere onto the membranes. But after 24 hours, the cells in the scaffold group proliferated faster than those in the cells group. The results suggest that the paper-stacking membrane scaffold may provide more space for the cells to grow, thereby promoting cell proliferation and migration.

Expression of osteogenic genes in vitro
Bone tissues can generally be divided into two major parts: the mineral part is mostly composed of hydroxyapatite, while the organic part, which accounts for 20% of the dry weight, includes OPN, OCN, OPG, BSP, type I collagen, and alkaline phosphatase. Type I collagen accounts for the major portion (nearly 90%) of the proteins in bone tissues, but it can also be secreted in other tissues. OCN, OPN, OPG, and BSP are characteristic genes for bone regeneration.49,50

OPN is a glycoprotein secreted by osteoblasts for bone synthesis.51,52 It is a prominent component of bone tissues and plays a vital part in bone formation, biomineralization, remodeling, and maintenance. OCN is a hormone secreted by osteoblasts and has a special role in the body’s metabolic regulation and pro-osteoblastic modification.53–55 OPG can protect the bone by reducing the osteoclasts and inhibiting precursor cells from differentiating into osteoclasts.56 BSP can promote vascular formation.57 RUNX2 and OSX are two important factors in the upward pathway and regulate the differentiation of ADSCs into osteoblasts.58–60 RUNX2 is an indispensable transcription factor for stem cell differentiation and the osteoblast maturation process during both endochondral and intramembranous ossification. It is important to maintain the progenitors of the stem cells and make the cells differentiate into osteoblasts. OSX is in the downstream of RUNX2 and is important in the process to regulate the stem cell differentiations.

In order to investigate the impact of paper-stacking membranes’ osteogenic differentiation in vitro, RT-qPCR experiments were introduced to investigate OCN, OPN, OPG, BSP, RUNX2, and OSX gene expressions in four groups.
Figure 4 Adipose-derived stem cell osteogenic differentiation on the membranes in vitro.

Notes: (A) Adipose-derived stem cell (ADSC) osteogenic differentiation on the membranes after 2 weeks: a, b, and c show osteocalcin (OCN) protein staining; d, e, and f show osteoproteoglycan (OPG) protein staining; g, h, and i show osteopontin (OPN) protein staining; a, d, and g show 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) staining; b, e, and h show antibody staining; and c, f, and i are the merged images. Scale bar, 50 µm. (B) ADSC osteogenic differentiation on the membranes after 4 weeks: a, b, and c show OCN protein staining; d, e, and f show OPG protein staining; g, h, and i show OPN protein staining; a, d, and g show DAPI staining; b, e, and h show antibody staining; and c, f, and i are the merged images. Scale bar, 50 µm.
As shown in Figure 7, after 1 week, OCN, OPN, OPG, and BSP gene expressions were low, while RUNX2 and OSX expressions were higher in the cell-laden paper-stacking membranes group, the cells-OM group, and the cells-paper-stacking membranes-OM group. After 2, 3, and 4 weeks, OCN, OPN, OPG, BSP, RUNX2, and OSX gene expressions in the cell-laden paper-stacking membranes-OM group were significantly higher than in other groups. In the cells-paper-stacking membranes group, the relative gene expressions (OCN, OPN, OPG, BSP, RUNX2, and OSX) were significantly higher when compared with the cells group and cells-OM group.

The results showed that the scaffold group (cells-paper-stacking membranes group, cells-paper-stacking membranes-OM group) had higher osteogenic gene expressions. The layer-by-layer paper-stacking 3D scaffold has a highly porous structure and good biocompatibility for ADSCs to grow inside. The 3D scaffold produces a biomimetic environment for cell growth and promotes cell amplification, differentiation, and osteogenic gene expressions for bone regeneration. When the ADSCs grow in the layer-by-layer paper-stacking 3D scaffolds, they have more osteogenic gene expressions.

Micro-CT scanning of regenerated bone

Twelve weeks postoperation, all the animals were sacrificed for micro-CT scanning. As shown in Figure 8A, the large cavity defect in the control group still remains, indicating that there had been little bone regeneration. In Figure 8A, a, b, and c are the outside–inside view, a’, b’, and c’ are the inside–outside view, a and a’ are the control group, b and b’ are the paper-stacking membranes group, and c and c’ are the ADSC-laden paper-stacking membranes group. In the paper-stacking membranes group, more bone tissue with higher density had been detected in the defect site than in the control group, and the regenerated bone tissues covered most of the defect. However, there was a big gap in the defect site, and the edge of the gap was not smooth. The largest amount of regenerated bone tissues and the highest bone density were found in the ADSC-laden paper-stacking membranes group, with the regenerated bone tissues filling almost 90% of the defect and producing a smooth edge at the defect site. The result showed that the ADSC-laden paper-stacking membranes group obtained the best results in bone regenerations. Figure 8B shows the coronal view of the samples of the three groups in three different section planes (along the sagittal diameter of the defect, sections on 25%, 50%, and 75% of the defect diameter, one sample from each group). In Figure 8B, a, d, and g are different section planes of the control group; b, e, and h are different section planes of the paper-stacking membranes group; and c, f, and i are different section planes of the ADSC-laden paper-stacking membranes group. In the ADSC-laden paper-stacking membranes group, the defect site was filled with new bone tissue to almost 90% of the defect, and the density of the new bone is similar to the host bone. In the paper-stacking membranes group, there is a larger gap in the defect site, and the density of the new bone is lower than in the former group. There is little bone growth in the defect site in the control group.

The quantitative results of the regenerated bone was examined by micro-CT, and the bone mineral density (BMD) was investigated. As shown in Figure 8C, the results showed a significantly enhanced BMD in the ADSC-laden
paper-stacking membranes group. The BMD value is 823.06 mg/cm³. In the paper-stacking membranes group, the BMD is 610.91 mg/cm³, and in the control group (defect group), the BMD value is around 58.54 mg/cm³. The results showed that the ADSC-laden paper-stacking membranes group generated the bone in the highest BMD, which is close to the BMD value of host bone.

**Histological analysis**

The layer-by-layer paper-stacking electrospun 3D scaffolds were planted into the parietal bone defects of the rats. Two circular defects 5 cm in diameter were created on the calvarial bone of each rat, and the paper-stacking scaffold was implanted into the defect site, which is shown in (Figure 9A–C). During the procedure, all rats survived without dura mater damage. The scaffolds were implanted without any infection. After 12 weeks postoperation, all the rats remained alive and did not show obvious immunoreaction or infectious complications. The animals were sacrificed and the morphology of the regenerated tissues in the parietal defect area was examined. In the control group, two holes of 2–3 mm in diameter were still visible in the parietal defect sites and the holes were covered with soft tissue (Figure 9D). In the paper-stacking membranes group, two small holes remained and the color of the regenerated tissues was different from that of the host bone. There was a gap between the new and host bones, and the edge of the gap was not smooth (Figure 9E). However, in the ADSC-laden paper-stacking membranes group, the regenerated tissues substantially filled the parietal defect and integrated smoothly with the host bone. The color of the regenerated tissues was only slightly whiter than that of the host bone (Figure 9F), showing that the ADSC-laden paper-stacking membranes group produced more regenerated bone tissue and repaired the parietal defect more effectively.

The regenerated tissues in the parietal defect area were harvested, decalcified, embedded, cut into sections, and stained. In HE staining, collagen showed red. As indicated in Figure 10, the defect area of the control group shows largely white, indicating that there is little new tissue in the defect.
area (Figure 10A–C). In the paper-stacking membranes group, the staining is uniformly red in the bottom part of the defect area, indicating a significant amount of regenerated tissue. The regenerated tissue has the same color and density as the host bone, but it is more disordered when compared with the host bone. Also, no layered structure was identified in the regenerated tissue. The top part of the regenerated tissue is loose and lightly stained, and there are many cells growing within it (Figure 10D–F). In the ADSC-laden paper-stacking membranes group, the regenerated tissue was much more extensive than in the control group or the paper-stacking membranes group. The uniformly red-stained and fibrous-layered structure tissue in the defect site suggests that most of the defect area was covered by dense regenerated bone tissue. The regenerated bone has similar color and density to the host bone. The new bone in this group is very similar to the host bone but is thicker (Figure 10G–I).

In Masson trichrome staining, collagen was stained blue, nuclei were stained black, and the muscle, cytoplasm, and keratin were stained red. Among them, collagen accounts for 90% of bone proteins. Therefore, it is a good indicator of regenerated bone. As shown in Figure 11, in the control group, there was very limited collagen and regenerated tissues formed in the defect site, as indicated by the thin layer of blue stain around the edge of the defect (Figure 11A–C). In the paper-stacking membranes group, much more tissue was regenerated than in the control group, as indicated by the blue stain at the bottom part of the defect (Figure 11D–F). Some blue stain was also identified at the top part of the defect, indicating that it was undergoing the process of bone regeneration. In the ADSC-laden paper-stacking membranes group, the largest amount of tissue was regenerated using a layered structure, as indicated by the blue stain evenly distributed over the entire defect area (Figure 11G–I). This shows that the ADSC-laden paper-stacking membrane scaffolds can support more bone tissue regeneration and can repair the bone defect better. The 3D scaffold may activate the growth factors for bone regeneration and promote the differentiation of ADSCs to form the bone tissues. These results agree well with the RT-qPCR results in the in vitro experiments.

**Expressions of osteogenic genes in vivo**

For further quantitative measurement of osteogenesis, we introduced an RT-qPCR technique to analyze the osteogenic gene expressions in the rat parietal bone defect model. After 12 weeks postoperation, regenerated tissues in the parietal bone defect were harvested (n=4). As shown in Figure 12, gene expressions of OCN, OPN, OPG, BSP, RUNX2, and OSX were significantly higher in the paper-stacking membranes group and the ADSC-laden paper-stacking membranes group when compared with the control group.
Figure 9 The rat calvarial bone defect model and the morphology of the calvarial bone defect 12 weeks postoperation.

Notes: (A, B, C) The rat calvarial bone defect model: (A) the rat calvarial bone defect model, (B) the layer-by-layer paper-stacking scaffold, and (C) the scaffold was implanted into the defect. (D, E, F) The morphology of the regenerated tissues in the parietal defect area 12 weeks postoperation: (D) the control group, (E) the paper-stacking membranes group, and (F) the adipose-derived stem cell (ADSC)-laden paper-stacking membranes group.

Figure 10 Hematoxylin and eosin staining.

Notes: (A, B, C) control group; the arrows in (A) show the defect edge; (D, E, F) paper-stacking membranes group; (G, H, I) adipose-derived stem cell (ADSC)-laden paper-stacking membranes group; and NB is new bone. The scale bar of (A), (D), and (G) is 500 µm. The scale bar of (B), (E), and (H) is 200 µm. The scale bar of (C), (F), and (I) is 50 µm.
OSX and RUNX2 both are key steps for osteogenic differentiation and bone formation in the bone formation signal pathways, such as Hedgehog signaling, Notch signaling, WNT signaling, BMP signaling, and FGF signaling. As shown in Figure 12, the high gene expressions of RUNX2 and OSX suggest the active differentiation of ADSCs to osteoblasts. Meanwhile, as shown in vitro, the osteogenic gene expressions investigation (Figure 7) and the increase of RUNX2 and OSX gene expressions were found to occur during the whole process of bone regeneration.

OCN, OPN, OPG, and BSP are important, special proteins secreted by osteoblasts. They form the ECM in the bone and play vital roles in all stages of bone formation, including osteogenic differentiation, biomineralization remodeling, and maintenance. As shown in Figure 12, all gene expression levels were significantly higher in the ADSC-laden paper-stacking membranes group than those in the control group, with OCN two times higher, OPN 12 times higher, OPG eight times higher, BSP eight times higher, RUNX2 nine times higher, and OSX 20 times higher, respectively \( (P<0.05 \text{ for all gene expressions}) \). Compared with the paper-stacking membranes group, the ADSC-laden paper-stacking membranes group showed significantly higher gene expressions, with OCN one time higher, OPN one time higher, OPG almost one time higher, BSP six times higher, RUNX2 five times higher, and OSX four times higher, respectively \( (P<0.05 \text{ for all genes}) \). The results suggest that the increase of RUNX2 and OSX gene expressions promotes the differentiation of ADSCs into osteoblasts, thus encouraging the formation of more bone.

**Conclusion**

In this study, we fabricated a novel layer-by-layer paper-stacking nanofibrous scaffold composed of the biocompatible polymers, gelatin, and PCL. Osteogenic differentiation capability and ADSC viability on the layer-by-layer paper-stacking membranes were evaluated. The results showed that the paper-stacking membrane scaffold has a good biocompatibility and outstanding osteogenic differentiation capability. The osteogenic protein staining showed that ADSCs have a good osteogenic differential capability in an osteogenic differential environment (eg, in an OM). The ADSC-laden
paper-stacking membrane scaffold cultured in the OM showed a significant osteogenic phenotype, with the highest osteogenic gene expressions and a rich osteogenic protein secretion.

In vivo, the paper-stacking membrane scaffold group and the ADSC-laden paper-stacking membranes group healed the calvarial bone defect better than the control group, and the ADSC-laden paper-stacking membranes group healed the defect best. Overall, the results of the experiments suggest that the ADSC-laden paper-stacking membrane scaffold played a pivotal role in osteogenic differentiation and bone regeneration. Also, they provided a 3D biomimetic microenvironment for ADSC growth, forming spatiotemporal chemical gradients (which are crucial for cell amplification, differentiation, and tissue regeneration), assisting signal transduction, and improving the cell-to-cell, cell-to-scaffold, and cell-to-matrix interactions, thus demonstrating osteoconduction and osteoinduction capacities for bone regeneration.

The limitation of this study is that we did not have a longer time point (6 months or 1 year) to examine the long-term result of bone regeneration in the in vivo part.
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