Dilatation and curettage is more accurate than endometrial aspiration biopsy in early-stage endometrial cancer patients treated with high dose oral progestin and levonorgestrel intrauterine system
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ABSTRACT

Objective: To determine whether less invasive endometrial (EM) aspiration biopsy is adequately accurate for evaluating treatment outcomes compared to the dilatation and curettage (D&C) biopsy in early-stage endometrial cancer (EC) patients treated with high dose oral progestin and levonorgestrel intrauterine system (LNG-IUS).

Methods: We conducted a prospective observational study with patients younger than 40 years who were diagnosed with clinical stage IA, The International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics grade 1 or 2 endometrioid adenocarcinoma and sought to maintain their fertility. The patients were treated with medroxyprogesterone acetate 500 mg/day and LNG-IUS. Treatment responses were evaluated every 3 months. EM aspiration biopsy was conducted after LNG-IUS removal followed D&C. The tissue samples were histologically compared. The diagnostic concordance rate of the two tests was examined with κ statistics.

Results: Twenty-eight pairs of EM samples were obtained from five patients. The diagnostic concordance rate of D&C and EM aspiration biopsy was 39.3% (κ value=0.26). Of the seven samples diagnosed as normal with D&C, three (42.8%) were diagnosed as normal by using EM aspiration biopsy. Of the eight samples diagnosed with endometrioid adenocarcinoma by using D&C, three (37.5%) were diagnosed with endometrioid adenocarcinoma by using EM aspiration biopsy. Of the 13 complex EM hyperplasia samples diagnosed with the D&C, five (38.5%) were diagnosed with EM hyperplasia by using EM aspiration biopsy. Of the samples obtained through EM aspiration, 46.4% were insufficient for histological evaluation.

Conclusion: To evaluate the treatment responses of patients with early-stage EC treated with high dose oral progestin and LNG-IUS, D&C should be conducted after LNG-IUS removal.
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INTRODUCTION

Endometrial cancer (EC) is the most common gynecological tumor in the United States and the incidence rate has been gradually increasing, accounting for 6% of all female cancer cases in 2014 [1]. EC is more common in postmenopausal women than in premenopausal women. It occurs in 14% of all women and in approximately 4% of women younger than 40 years [2,3]. The importance of fertility sparing treatment is being emphasized in young EC patients. Typical drugs that can be used include systemic progesterone and levonorgestrel intrauterine system (LNG-IUS) [4-14].

As EC shows symptoms including vaginal bleeding in its early stage, it is often detected early and thus has a good prognosis, with a 5-year survival rate of 85% to 91% for stage I patients [15]. Tissue biopsy methods for diagnosing endometrial (EM) lesions include EM aspiration, dilatation and curettage (D&C), and hysteroscopic biopsy. EM aspiration biopsy is an easy, safe, and cost-effective method, and has been reported to be comparable to D&C in diagnosing EM hyperplasia and EC. Accordingly, this technique is widely used on an outpatient basis [16,17]. However, the gold standard follow-up evaluation tool for hormonally treated patients with EM atrophy due to progesterone still remains controversial. Repetitive D&C for evaluating treatment outcomes in early EC may damage the endometrium of patients who seek to preserve their fertility. Therefore, studies should investigate other diagnostic tools that can substitute for D&C, with less invasiveness and less risk of injuring the endometrium. Several studies investigated the accuracy of EM aspiration biopsy conducted without LNG-IUS removal after treating EC with LNG-IUS [9-13,18-20]. However, no study has evaluated the accuracy of EM aspiration biopsy after LNG-IUS removal in EC patients treated with high-dose progesterone and LNG-IUS therapy, and whether it can substitute for D&C. This study aimed to compare the accuracy of EM aspiration biopsy conducted after LNG-IUS removal and D&C biopsy in order to evaluate the treatment responses of patients with early EC conservatively treated with high-dose oral progestin and LNG-IUS, and its usefulness.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This was a prospective observational study. Patients who underwent conservative treatment upon diagnosis of EC at CHA Gangnam Medical Center, CHA University, Seoul, Korea, between January 2010 and February 2014 were enrolled. The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) age younger than 40 years; (2) endometrioid adenocarcinoma; (3) histologically confirmed The International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) grade 1 or 2; and (4) clinical stage 1A patients without extrauterine spreading of EC or myometrial invasion on magnetic resonance imaging, pelvic examination, or ultrasonography. All initial EC diagnoses were primarily confirmed by using D&C biopsy. All of the patients wanted to preserve their fertility and were treated with high dose oral progestin (medroxyprogesterone acetate [MPA] 500 mg/day) and LNG-IUS. Follow-up evaluations were conducted every 3 months [Fig. 1]. For the follow-up tests, in order to collect EM tissue samples, (1) EM aspiration biopsy was conducted by using a pipelle after LNG-IUS removal and (2) D&C biopsy was conducted subsequently. Afterward, the samples collected through the two methods were compared and we evaluated the concordance of the results of EM aspiration and D&C biopsy. Normal endometrium was defined as an EM gland tissue sample without any sign of hyperplasia or cancer that contains sufficient specimen for analysis. All histological evaluations were conducted independently by a gynecological pathologist.
All patients were advised with the nature of the treatment and all provided informed consent. All the patients enrolled in the study agreed to participate in the study by providing written consents. The collection and use of samples for this study were approved by the Institutional Review Board of CHA Gangnam Medical Center, CHA University. 

\[ \kappa \]

Statistics were used to assess the agreement of the two methods. Interpretation of \( \kappa \) values <0 as indicating no agreement and 0 to 0.20 as slight, 0.21 to 0.40 as fair, 0.41 to 0.60 as moderate, 0.61 to 0.80 as substantial, and 0.81 to 1 as almost good agreement. Values of \( p < 0.05 \) were considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

From five patients, 28 pairs of EM tissue samples were obtained, with median of 6 tissue biopsies (range, 1 to 8 tissue biopsies) conducted for each patient. The five patients had a median age of 31 years (range, 29 to 35 years) and 0 parity. Of the five patients, three were diagnosed as having FIGO grade 1 disease and the remaining two were diagnosed as having FIGO grade 2 disease. The median body mass index (BMI) of the patients was 22.8 kg/m\(^2\) (range, 18.5 to 32.7 kg/m\(^2\)). One of them had a high BMI, exceeding 30 kg/m\(^2\) (32.7 kg/m\(^2\)) and another was overweight (26.2 kg/m\(^2\)). The BMI values of the other three patients were within the normal range (range, 18.5 to 22.8 kg/m\(^2\)). None of the patients complained of adverse events during treatment.

**Table 1** compares D&C and EM aspiration biopsy. Of the 28 samples examined by using D&C biopsy, seven samples (25%) had normal results, eight samples (28.6%) were diagnosed with endometrioid adenocarcinoma, and the other 13 samples (46.4%) were diagnosed with complex EM hyperplasia. Of the seven samples diagnosed as normal by using D&C biopsy, three samples also had normal results in the EM aspiration biopsy and
four samples contained insufficient materials (diagnostic concordance rate, 42.8%). Of the eight samples diagnosed with endometrioid adenocarcinoma through D&C biopsy, two samples were diagnosed as normal by using EM aspiration biopsy, three samples were diagnosed with endometrioid adenocarcinoma, and three samples contained insufficient materials (diagnostic concordance rate, 37.5%). Of the 13 samples diagnosed as complex EM hyperplasia through D&C, two samples had normal results, five samples were diagnosed with complex EM hyperplasia, and six samples contained insufficient materials when examined by using EM aspiration biopsy (diagnostic concordance rate, 38.5%).

Among the 28 pairs of samples, the diagnoses made through D&C and EM aspiration biopsy were concordant in 11 pairs, showing a diagnostic concordance rate of 39.3% and a κ value of 0.26 (p<0.001). This indicates that the results of the two test methods were not in agreement. Of the 11 samples with concordant diagnoses, three samples had normal results, another three samples were diagnosed with EC, and the remaining five samples were diagnosed with complex hyperplasia. The diagnostic concordance rate was 37.5% for EC. Moreover, a high ratio of insufficient materials was observed when EM aspiration biopsy was conducted. Of the 28 samples obtained through EM aspiration biopsy, 13 samples (46.4%) were insufficient. Of the 13 samples, four samples were diagnosed as normal by using D&C, three samples were diagnosed with EC, and six samples were diagnosed with complex hyperplasia. None of the samples obtained through D&C was insufficient for evaluation.

**DISCUSSION**

EC in young patients, which is related to estrogen or hormonal disorders, including obesity, primipara, polycystic ovarian syndrome, and infertility [4,7,21], is usually detected in its early stage with good cellular differentiation and shows a good prognosis. It rarely invades the extraterine organs and myometrium [4,21-23]. The standard treatment of EC includes total hysterectomy, bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy, peritoneal cytology, and sometimes, lymph node dissection when required [24,25]. However, conservative hormonal therapy can be performed before surgical treatment in young patients diagnosed with clinical stage IA (no evidence of extraterine spread of disease and myometrial invasion), FIGO grade 1, endometrioid type EM adenocarcinoma who desire to preserve their fertilities [26,27].

Progesterin is the most commonly used drug to conservatively treat EC and is available in forms of oral MPA and megestrol acetate. For patients who are contraindicated to receive oral progesterin or those with complaints of systemic side effects, LNG-IUS, which works locally
in the endometrium, can be used. Recent studies have reported that combination treatment shows better results than single LNG-IUS treatment [8-13,18,19]. In particular, Kim et al. [28] conducted a prospective observational study where MPA 500 mg/day and LNG-IUS were used in 16 patients with early-stage EC and reported that complete remission without any particular side effect was observed in 14 of 16 patients. As such, hormonal therapy is advantageous, as it is safe, effective, and can preserve the uterus. However, as it has risks of cancer progression, recurrence, and metastasis, more emphasis is placed on the diagnostic accuracy of follow-up evaluation.

Kim et al. [20] used the combination of high-dose oral progestin and LNG-IUS in patients with early EC and conducted EM aspiration biopsy while the LNG-IUS devices had not been removed yet. For the first time, they compared the biopsy accuracy of these EM aspiration biopsy in situ LNG-IUS and those obtained using D&C biopsy after LNG-IUS removal [20]. The diagnostic concordance rate of the two methods was 32.1%, and the methods showed a low κ value of 0.27. The authors suggested that the low accuracy of EM aspiration biopsy was probably due to (1) LNG-IUS acting as a barrier blocking the appropriate EM aspiration and (2) EM atrophy caused by the combination treatment with high-dose oral progestin and LNG-IUS. In the present study, to overcome the caveat that LNG-IUS may act as a barrier for EM aspiration biopsy, EM aspiration was done after LNG-IUS removal. Our study results also showed a low concordance rate of 39.3% between EM aspiration and D&C biopsy conducted for follow-up evaluation of hormonal therapy and that 46.4% of the samples obtained by using the EM sampling method were insufficient. Van Doorn et al. [29] conducted a prospective cohort study in 913 postmenopausal women with vaginal bleeding. Of these patients, 403 underwent office EM sampling. Sixty-six samples (16.4%) were insufficient. The authors also reported that three patients were diagnosed with EC and one patient was diagnosed with EM atypical hyperplasia when evaluated by using hysteroscopy and D&C biopsy [29]. Furthermore, Clark et al. [30] reported that 20% of samples collected from postmenopausal women through EM aspiration biopsy contained insufficient materials. Other factors that may affect insufficient biopsy results include poor patient compliance, lack of skills in conducting the biopsy, varying pathological interpretation, and EM atrophy. Moreover, adequate sampling is also influenced by EM thickness and hormonal therapy [31,32].

Progestin affects secretory differentiation of EM glands, inhibits cellular differentiation of EM and estrogen receptor functions, promotes apoptosis, and has antiangiogenic effects; thereby, inhibiting the proliferation of endometrium [14]. Combined treatment with high-dose oral progestin and LNG-IUS induces EM atrophy by inhibiting EM proliferation just as in postmenopausal women. In our study, although LNG-IUS was removed, the amounts of the samples were insufficient and the accuracy was low owing to EM atrophy when EM aspiration was conducted. Although EM aspiration biopsy is a convenient method with a low false-positive rate, it is not capable of ruling out EC when used in patients undergoing hormonal therapy [33].

Our study is significant because it is the first study to discover that the low diagnostic accuracy of EM aspiration biopsy when used as a follow-up evaluation method for EC patients who had undergone combination treatment with high-dose oral progestin and LNG-IUS, when compared with D&C, can be attributed to EM atrophy. The limitations of our study include the fact that it was not a randomized trial and that it investigated a limited number of patients. Therefore, more prospective studies need to be conducted. Moreover, further research is necessary to compare the diagnostic accuracy of EM aspiration and D&C biopsy conducted in patients with EM hyperplasia treated with low-dose progestin.
In conclusion, because the accuracy of EM aspiration biopsy was lower than that of D&C when used as a follow-up method for the patients with early-stage EC treated with high-dose oral progestin and LNG-IUS, D&C should be the method of choice.
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