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Abstract: Landslides are the common phenomena in the hilly terrain of Western Ghats region due to the heavy rainfall. The occurrence of landslides in the study area Sakleshpura and Belthangudi Talluk in Karnataka state is quite less in comparison to Himalayan region. In the year 2018, during monsoon season the study area faced devastating floods and landslides. The aim of this study is to identify the landslide prone zones using Frequency Ratio Model with the help of ArcGIS software. The factors considered for this study are slope, soil, drainage density, distance from drainage and rainfall. All the spatial data have been calculated using frequency ratio model and each grid factor has been summed up into three categories such as high, moderate and low hazard zone. The results of this study reveals that 160 sq.km area is under very low with landslide density (LD) of 0.01, 894 sq.km area is under medium zone with LD of 0.02, 75.36 sq.km area is under high hazard zone with LD of 0.05. The study also reveals that the soil is more vulnerable factor for landslide on the basis of frequency ratio value flowed by rainfall, slope, distance from drainage and drainage density in the study area. The final output of the map can be helpful for the local government of the study area.
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Introduction
Landslide is the downward movement of the earth materials under the impact of gravity. On the basis of various physical factors in the study area such as geological, geo-environmental, geomorphological and hydrological, usually landslide happens under these various conditions (M. Geertsema et al., 2009). There are many natural processes that shape the earth’s surface, landslide is one of them. It has been said that landslide took third position in the world as a natural disaster that can lead many damages (Zillman, 2000). It is happened only when the landslide threatens to human beings as a hazard. In hilly mountainous region landslide is the most natural hazards (Rammohan et al., 2011). It is very common that in each year number of people gets damaged and also loses their lives, property in landslides all over the world. The hilly slope may unstable due to the deficiency of proper geotechnical investigation and leads to the adverse effects on the geo-environment in that region (R. Anbalagan et al., 2008). By these phenomena the local and global economy is also affected. Since 25 years or more, many government, local organization and international institute are investing resources to manage and mitigate the landslide hazards with the help of Susceptibility Map throughout the world (Guzzetti et al., 1999). For the study of landslide hazard mapping some temporal factors should be included such as earthquake, rainfall, variation of temperature (Guzzetti et al. 2006; Pradhan et al. 2010; Dahal et al. 2012). To mitigate the landslide hazard, the Landslide Hazard Evaluation Factor (LHEF) rating can be done and this factor is based on the existence of causative factors in the area of study. The similar technique has been applied in the rock mass classification e.g., RMR and the Q system (Barton et al., 1974; Bieniawski, 1979). For susceptibility of landslide mapping in GIS environment, tools and techniques have been classified into two approaches such as subjective and objective and the mapping of invention and analysis of expert are existed in subjective approaches (Lee et al. 1991; Soeters and Van Western 1996; Wang et al. 2009). In case of indirect mapping of susceptibility approaches, many studies have been done through the application of very popular tool such as Geographic Information System (GIS) (Bignell and Snelling, 1977). It is possible to identify the slope failure area as well as vulnerable to slope in the form of maps of landslide susceptibility with the help
of distribution of previous spatial data of landslides and their related causative factors (Nagarajan et al., 1998; Fernandez et al., 2003).

In the present study area Sakeleshpur Taluk in Hassan District, landslide happened in the year of 2018 due to torrential downpour. Since four days' heavy rainfall of an average of 11.9 cm had been received by the district. Total 120 landslides have been detected with the help of satellites such as ISRO Resource-sat 2, Worldview 2, spot 7 in Kodagu and Hassan Districts in Karnataka State. Out of the total landslides 25 has occurred in the study area (newindiaexpress.com). Besides heavy rainfall, the clay type soil, steep slope, number of drainages and also land use land cover caused the landslides in the study area. The study area is situated in Sahyadri folded mountain belt which is lithologically prone to landslides. The study area is covered by red gravelly clay type soil in which occurrence of landslides depended. This area has a loose and unconsolidated soil. Weathering is influenced by the climatic condition of the Western Ghats which is also one of the major causes of landslides. Most of the landslide is debris type in the study area. In the year of 2018 the heavy rainfall caused the massive landslides.

Study Area
Geographically the study area Shekeleshpur Talluk, consists of 221 villages of Hassan District of Karnataka State is located 12.943°N 75.775°E with a geographical area of 1,129.68 sq.km. Geomorphologically it is situated in the Sahyadri folded mountain of Western Ghats with an elevation of 956 m above Mean Sea Level (MSL). The Hemavathy and Kavery is the main river in this region which is empties into the Arabian Sea. Weathering and erosion is very high due to the heavy intensity of rainfall. The average annual rainfall is 2178 mm and the average annual temperature is 22.7 degree Celsius. According to 2011 census total population of the study area is 23,352 among them the total male population is 11,558 and female population is 11,754.

Figure 01: Study Area

Objective
- The objective of this study is to identify the root cause of landslide in this region.
- To demarcate the landslides prone zone using Frequency Ratio Model with the help of the ArcGIS software.

Database and Methodology
In this present study five causative factors have been used such as slope, soil, distance from drainage, rainfall and drainage density. Table 1 show the data used of this study.
Table 01: Data Used in the Present Study

| Data Type                | Source                          | Data Derive                                      |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| Carto DEM (1 m resolution) | Bhuvan, NRSC                  | Slope, distance from drainage and Drainage Density Map |
| Soil                    | Raitamitra.kar.nic.in          | Soil Type Map                                    |
| Landslide               | Sentinel 2B and Google Earth   | Landslide Inventory Map                          |
| Rainfall                | IMD, Karnataka                 | Rainfall Distribution Map                        |

There are number of bivariate statistical method that can be used to prepare the susceptibility of landslide mapping, among them Frequency Ratio (FR) Model is one and in the present study, FR model has been used (Pradhan and Lee, 2009). The FR model can build the spatial relationship between the location of landslide and the factors of landslides (Lee, 2005). GIS based Frequency Ratio Model has been applied based on the causal relationship between the causative factors and the occurrence of landslide in this area. It has fixed value of 1. The value more than 1 indicates the higher correlation between the causative factors and the occurrence of landslide. On the other hand, value less than 1 shows the lower correlation. After creating all the thematic layers have been converted into raster and reclassification has also been done using ArcGIS 10.4 software. Occurrence of landslide (LS), percentage of LS occurrence, total number of pixel, percentage of pixel have been identified and frequency ratio have been calculated of each factor such as slope, soil, distance from drainage, drainage density and rainfall of the study area. All the layers have been summed up and finally the landslide hazard prone map has been done with three classes namely high, moderate and low in the study area.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Relationship between Soil and Landslides

The type of soil has been classified into four classes including water such as red gravelly clay soil, coastal lateritic soil, and lateritic gravelly soil and water bodies. The maximum number of landslides has occurred in red gravelly clay type soil 13 out of the total landslides 25, followed by coastal laterite, lateritic gravelly and water bodies. Figure 3 shows the soil map of the study area. Table 1 describes that the frequency ratio of each soil types. In this table the soil type red gravelly clay shows the maximum number of landslides of 13 out of total landslides 25 which is 52 percentages. The frequency ratio of the soil class has been calculated with the percentage of landslide occurrence divided by the percentage of pixel domain which is 7.38. Likewise, frequency ratio of all the other soil type has been calculated.

| Soil class                  | No. of Pixels | Landslide occurrence | Landslide occurrence % | Pixels domain | Pixels domain % | Ratio |
|-----------------------------|---------------|----------------------|------------------------|---------------|----------------|-------|
| Red Gravelly Clay Soil      | 3914          | 13                   | 52                     | 3914          | 8.99           | 5.78  |
| Coastal Laterite Soil       | 18488         | 8                    | 32                     | 18488         | 42.49          | 0.75  |
| Lateritic Gravelly Soil     | 15501         | 2                    | 8                      | 15501         | 35.62          | 0.22  |
| Waterbodies                 | 5610          | 2                    | 8                      | 5610          | 12.89          | 0.62  |
| Total                       | 43513         | 25                   | 100                    | 43513         | 100            | 7.38  |

Relationship between Rainfall and landslides

The average rainfall has been classified into five classes as 1761 mm, 1833 mm, 1904 mm, 1976 mm and 2047 mm. The maximum number of landslides has occurred in 1976 mm average rainfall class of 17 out of the total landslides 25, followed by 1904, 1833 and 1761 mm. Figure 4 shows the rainfall map of the study area. Table 2 explains the frequency ratio of each average of rainfall classes. In this table the maximum number of landslides has occurred in 1904 mm rainfall class are 11 out of total landslides 25 which are 68 percentages. The frequency ratio of this class has been calculated with the percentage of landslide occurrence divided by the percentage of pixel domain which is 3.13. Likewise, frequency ratio of all the other rainfall class has been calculated.
Table 02: Frequency Ratio of Rainfall to Landslide occurrences

| Rainfall (mm) | No. of Pixels | Landslide occurrence | Landslide occurrence % | Pixels domain | Pixels domain % | Ratio |
|---------------|---------------|----------------------|------------------------|---------------|----------------|-------|
| 1761          | 13913         | 0                    | 0                      | 13913         | 32.52          | 0     |
| 1833          | 8169          | 1                    | 4                      | 8169          | 19.09          | 0.21  |
| 1904          | 5525          | 7                    | 28                     | 5525          | 12.91          | 2.17  |
| 1976          | 9281          | 17                   | 68                     | 9281          | 21.69          | 3.13  |
| 2047          | 5892          | 0                    | 0                      | 5892          | 13.77          | 0     |
| Total         | 42780         | 25                   | 100                    | 42780         | 100            | 5.51  |

Relationship between Distance from drainage and Landslides
The distance from drainage to landslide has been classified into six classes such as 250m, 500m, 750m, 1000m, 1250m and 1500m by using buffer tools. The maximum number of landslides has occurred in 250m, followed by 500m, 750m, 1000m, 1500m and 1250m. Figure 5 shows the drainage distance from landslide map of the study area. Table 3 shows that the frequency ratio of each drainage buffer categories. In this table the drainage class of 250m shows the maximum number of landslides of 9 out of total landslides 25 which is 34.62 percentages. The frequency ratio of the buffer class has been calculated with the percentage of landslide occurrence divided by the percentage of pixel domain which is 0.97. Likewise, frequency ratio of all the other buffer classes has been calculated.

Table 03: Frequency Ratio of Distance from Drainage to Landslide occurrences

| Distance from drainage (m) | No. of Pixels | Landslide occurrence | Landslide occurrence % | Pixels domain | Pixels domain % | Ratio |
|---------------------------|---------------|----------------------|------------------------|---------------|----------------|-------|
| 250                       | 15876         | 9                    | 34.62                  | 15876         | 35.69          | 0.97  |
| 500                       | 13377         | 8                    | 30.77                  | 13377         | 30.07          | 1.02  |
| 750                       | 8588          | 5                    | 23.08                  | 8588          | 19.30          | 1.19  |
| 1000                      | 3136          | 2                    | 7.69                   | 3136          | 7.05           | 1.09  |
| 1250                      | 1813          | 0                    | 0                      | 1813          | 4.08           | 0     |
| 1500                      | 1699          | 1                    | 3.85                   | 1699          | 3.82           | 1.00  |
| Total                     | 44489         | 25                   | 100                    | 44489         | 100            | 5.29  |

Relationship between Slope and Landslides
Slope has been classified into five categories such as, 0 – 7°, 7°- 12°, 12° – 20°, 20° – 30°, >30°. The maximum number of landslide has occurred in the slope category of 20° – 30°, followed by >30°, 7°- 12°, 12° – 20°, and 0° – 7°. Figure 6 shows the slope map of the study area. Table 4 shows that the frequency ratio of each slope category. In this table the slope category of 20° – 30° shows the maximum number of landslides of 8 out of total landslides 25 which is 30.77 percentage. The frequency ratio of the slope has been calculated with the percentage of landslide occurrence divided by the percentage of pixel domain which is 2.68. Likewise, frequency ratio of all the other slope classes has been calculated.

Table 04: Frequency Ratio of Slope to Landslide occurrences

| Slope class | No. of Pixels | Landslide occurrence | Landslide occurrence % | Pixels domain | Pixels domain % | Ratio |
|-------------|---------------|----------------------|------------------------|---------------|----------------|-------|
| 0–7         | 284034        | 2                    | 7.69                   | 284034        | 28.41          | 0.27  |
| 7–12        | 331028        | 5                    | 19.23                  | 331028        | 33.11          | 0.58  |
| 12–20       | 229106        | 4                    | 19.23                  | 229106        | 22.92          | 0.84  |
| 20–30       | 114667        | 8                    | 30.77                  | 114667        | 11.47          | 2.68  |
| 30–60       | 40804         | 6                    | 23.08                  | 40804         | 4.08           | 0.00  |
| Total       | 999639        | 25                   | 100                    | 999639        | 100            | 4.43  |

Table 1 shows the frequency ratio of each slope category. In this table the slope category of 20° – 30° shows the maximum number of landslides of 8 out of total landslides 25 which is 30.77 percentage. The frequency ratio of the slope has been calculated with the percentage of landslide occurrence divided by the percentage of pixel domain which is 2.68. Likewise, frequency ratio of all the other slope classes has been calculated.

Relationship between Drainage Density and Landslides
The density of drainage has been classified into five classes as 0-1.2, 1.3-2.3, 2.4-3.5, 3.6-4.6 and 4.7-5.8. The maximum number of landslides has occurred in 1.3-2.3 of 11 out of the total landslides 25, followed by 0-1.2, 2.4-3.5, 3.6-4.6 and 4.7-5.8. Figure 7 shows the drainage density map of the study area. Table 4 shows that the frequency ratio of each drainage density classes. In this table the class interval 1.3-2.3 shows the maximum number of landslides of 11
out of total landslides 25 which is 44 percentages. The frequency ratio of the density class has been calculated with the percentage of landslide occurrence divided by the percentage of pixel domain which is 1.16. Likewise, frequency ratio of all the other drainage density has been calculated.

| Drainage density | No. of Pixels | Landslide occurrence | Landslide occurrence % | Pixels domain | Pixels domain % | Ratio |
|------------------|---------------|----------------------|------------------------|--------------|----------------|-------|
| 0-1.2            | 17631         | 8                    | 32                     | 17631        | 41.18          | 0.78  |
| 1.3-2.3          | 16201         | 11                   | 44                     | 16201        | 37.84          | 1.16  |
| 2.4-3.5          | 7014          | 5                    | 20                     | 7014         | 16.38          | 1.22  |
| 3.6-4.6          | 1821          | 1                    | 4                      | 1821         | 4.25           | 0.94  |
| 4.7-5.8          | 145           | 0                    | 0                      | 145          | 0.34           | 0     |
| **Total**        | **42812**     | **25**               | **100**                | **42812**    | **100**        | **4.10**|

Reclassification using Frequency Ratios

In the present study five parameters have been taken as a causative factor for the preparation of landslide hazard map have been assigned the method of Frequency Ratio by using ArcGIS software 10.4. For the preparation final map, all the thematic maps have been reclassified by using ArcGIS Spatial Analyst extension tool. All the reclassified maps have been shown in figure wise.

Landslide Susceptibility Mapping (LSM)

In this study, the GIS based Frequency Ratio has been applied to prepare the Landslide Susceptibility Map by using five causative factors such as slope, drainage density, and distance to drainage, rainfall and soil. To calculate the Landslide Susceptibility Index (LSI) all the parameters has been converted into 30*30 m grid cells. The total number of cell is 42290 and the total number of landslide is 25 in the study area. In the overlay analysis tool, the summation of each frequency ratio value has been given to calculate the LSI.

\[
LSI = \sum Fr
\]

The landslide hazard zonation (LHZ) map has been created by using LSI assigned value to each cell. According to frequency ratio table, the frequency ratio of soil is maximum of 7.38, followed by rainfall is 5.51, distance to drainage is 5.29, slope is 4.43 and drainage density is 4.1. Therefore, all the frequency value has been multiplied by 100 and has been put on the weighted overlay table according to their highest to lowest weight by using ArcGIS software. The final LHZ map has been presented in figure 8.

Validation

The final LHZ map of the study area should be validated in respect to each causative factor with landslide inventory map to check the prediction is matched with the expected outcome or not. All the parameters such as slope, soil, rainfall, drainage density and distance to drainage maps have been considered with landslide and overlaid on the LHZ map and also the distribution of landslide in each zone has been calculated which is shown in figure 8.

| LS Classes | LS  | LS % | Pixel Domain | Pixel % | Area(sq.km) (A) | LS/A |
|------------|-----|------|--------------|---------|----------------|------|
| Low        | 1   | 4    | 5337         | 14.17   | 160.11         | 0.01 |
| Medium     | 20  | 80   | 29807        | 79.15   | 894.21         | 0.02 |
| High       | 4   | 16   | 2512         | 6.67    | 75.36          | 0.05 |
| **Total**  | 25  | 100  | 37656        | 100     | 1129.68        | 0.08 |

The LHZ map has been classified into three zone such as Low, Medium and High hazard zone by using Jenks method of natural break in ArcGIS software. The LHZ map shows that the maximum number of landslide has occurred in medium zone are 20 and 4 in high zone and 1 in low zone. Out of the total area of 1129.68 sq.km, medium hazard zone covered 894.21 sq.km areas, high zone is 75.36 s.km and low zone covered 160.11 s.km areas. Therefore, the results of the present study reveal that the study area is fall under medium to high hazard zone (table 6).
Figure 3: Soil

Figure 4: Rainfall

Figure 5: Drainage Buffer

Figure 6: Slope

Figure 7: Drainage Density

Figure 8: Landslide Hazard Zonation Map
Conclusion
The landslide hazard zonation (LHZ) mapping based on the Frequency Ratio technique has given the acceptable results. The study also reveals that the soil is more vulnerable factor for landslide on the basis of frequency ratio value flowed by rainfall, slope, distance from drainage and drainage density in the study area. Beside this hilly terrain with steep slope and the heavy rainfall in 2018 also leads the major role on the landslides.
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