Abstract: Many disinfection technologies have emerged recently in water treatment industry, which are designed to inactivate water pathogens with extraordinary efficiency and minimum side effects and costs. Current disinfection processes, including chlorination, ozonation, UV irradiation, and so on, have their inherent drawbacks, and have been proven ineffective under certain scenarios. Bacterial inactivation by noble metals has been traditionally used, and copper is an ideal candidate as a bactericidal agent owing to its high abundance and low cost. Building on previous findings, we explored the bactericidal efficiency of Cu(I) and attempted to develop it into a novel water disinfection platform. Nanosized copper ferrite was synthesized, and it was reduced by hydroxylamine to form surface bound Cu(I) species. Our results showed that the generated Cu(I) on copper ferrite surface could inactivate E. coli at a much higher efficiency than Cu(II) species. Elevated reactive oxygen species’ content inside the cell primarily accounted for the strong bactericidal role of Cu(I), which may eventually lead to enhanced oxidative stress towards cell membrane, DNA, and functional proteins. The developed platform in this study is promising to be integrated into current water treatment industry.
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1. Introduction

Water pathogens are a great concern that threaten the safety of public drinking water. It has been reported that outbreaks of mass diseases in the cities are most likely linked to the failed disinfection facilities [1–3]. So far, water disinfection has been a widely researched topic, but nonetheless, available water disinfection techniques are limited. Most of the currently used water disinfection methods are chlorination, ozonation, and UV irradiation, and so on, all of which have significant drawbacks [4–8]. For example, chlorination byproducts after reaction with organic compounds in water are reported to be carcinogenic and are not generally avoided around the world [9,10]. Ozonation is a rather clean and powerful method, however, the electrochemical production of ozone relies on special anode materials and high applied voltage to surpass the overpotential of ozone evolution. Moreover, toxic nitric oxide species might also be generated during the electrochemical production of ozone [11,12]. Compared with the above two methods, UV irradiation is relatively simple and less vigorous, because lights in the UV range could penetrate the cell membrane to impair DNA and cause gene breakdown. While demonstrated in clear water bodies such as potable water, UV irradiation is ineffective in dark water bodies as the lights’ travel is blocked [8]. In addition, it has to be noted that water
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pathogens are observed to develop resistance to those traditional disinfection technologies, including chlorination/chloramination [13–15], ozonation [16–18], and UV irradiation [19–21]. Therefore, the development of more potent and environmentally-friendly techniques is necessary.

Recently, multifarious nanomaterials have been developed for bacterial inactivation purposes. For instance, a recent study reported that g-C$_3$N$_4$ nanolayers under visible light could kill Escherichia coli with high efficiency by generating reactive oxygen species [22,23]. However, the mass production of high quality g-C$_3$N$_4$ nanolayers has not been achieved so far, impeding the application of this material in the water disinfection industry. Graphene-based nanoparticles as a disinfectant have also attracted numerous attention [24,25], but face the same issue of upscaled production. Another widely used antibacterial reagent belongs to the silver-based material family [26–28]. Silver inactivates bacteria mainly through binding to the thiol groups of functional proteins and destroying the protein native structure [27]. The issue that prohibits silver from large-scale application into water disinfection industry is its high cost.

Copper has been used as an antibacterial material of low cost and easy manufacturing for hundreds of years [29–31]. It has been revealed that copper inactivates bacteria through a mechanism similar to silver’s bactericidal role, that is, deactivating functional proteins by chelating thiol groups [27]. Further studies showed that, among all copper valency (Cu(0), Cu(I), and Cu(II)), Cu(I) owns the highest antibacterial activity, mainly because Cu(I) has strong binding affinity, as well as its reduction capability towards functional proteins [7]. These two synergistic functions of Cu(I) lead to its bacteria inactivation performance with hundreds of times higher efficiency than Cu(II) and Cu(0). It has been previously reported that Cu(II) could be reduced by hydroxylamine (NH$_2$OH) to produce Cu(I) with a high efficiency [32,33], but Cu(II) ion should be prohibited from drinking water because of its strong toxicity to human beings [34,35]. Specifically, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)-permitted copper ion concentration in drinking water is 1.3 ppm [36]. Herein, we demonstrate that heterogeneous copper ferrite (CuFe$_2$O$_4$) nanoparticles with minimum leached toxic copper ions, after reduction by hydroxylamine, show significantly higher antibacterial activity than that without hydroxylamine addition. In this study, we chose E. coli as the target species, mainly because it has wide infectivity in various water bodies [37,38]. The bactericidal mechanism by CuFe$_2$O$_4$/NH$_2$OH was also revealed in this study with several molecular probes. Overall, this controllable manner of chemical addition and powerful bactericidal performance could attract the attention of the water disinfection industry.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

CuSO$_4$ (Alfa Aesar, Ward Hill, MA, USA), Fe$_2$(SO$_4$)$_3$•5H$_2$O (ACROS Organics, Morris Plains, NJ, USA) and dodecyltrimethylammonium bromide (Sigma Aldrich, Natick, MA, USA) were used to synthesize CuFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticles. Hydroxylamine (NH$_2$OH) was purchased from Sigma Aldrich (Natick, MA, USA). LB medium and agar from BD Difco (Pittsburgh, PA, US) were used to culture E. coli cells. MOPS buffer (ACROS Organics, Morris Plains, NJ, USA) was used to maintain the physiological integrity of cell membrane. HPF probe (3’-p-(hydroxyphenyl) fluorescein, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bedford, MA, USA) and EDTA (Millipore Sigma, Burlington, MA, USA) were used to scavenge ROS (reactive oxygen species) generation. Milli-Q water was used throughout the study, and all nutrients were autoclaved before being used to culture E. coli cells.

2.2. Synthesis and Characterization of Copper Ferrite

We followed a reported procedure to synthesize copper ferrite nanoparticles [39]. Briefly, 0.1 M dodecyltrimethylammonium bromide was used as capping agent. Then, 1.6 g CuSO$_4$ and 4.9 g Fe$_2$(SO$_4$)$_3$•5H$_2$O was added into the solution to achieve a Fe/Cu molar ratio of 2:1. The solution was stirred by a magnetic bar for 15 min to totally dissolve copper and iron salts. Then, solution pH was
adjusted to pH 12.5 with 5 M NaOH, and the solution was then stirred for 45 min to allow sufficient precipitation. Subsequently, the solution was transferred into an autoclave vessel, and kept at 120 °C for 1 h. After hydrothermal treatment, the obtained powders were then extensively washed with hexane. Eventually, the powders were sintered in a 100 °C oven overnight. The produced CuFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticle samples were collected for future use.

Copper and iron ions concentration in solution were quantified with ICP (inductively coupled plasma). Then, 2% HNO$_3$ solution was used to dissolve particles. Copper and iron were analyzed with emission wavelengths of 324.754 nm and 259.940 nm, respectively.

The synthesized CuFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticles were then characterized by transmission electron microscopy (TEM, JEOL, Beijing, China). The crystal structure was analyzed by X-ray diffractometry using a Thermo Scientific ARL EQUINOX 1000 diffractometer, and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy studies were performed utilizing a Thermo Scientific™ K-Alpha™ spectrometer to evaluate the electronic properties of elements on the surface of synthesized CuFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticles.

2.3. E. Coli Inactivation Assay

Exponential phase E. coli cells were used for the bacterial inactivation assays. A single E. coli K12 colony picked up from an LB-agar petri dish was cultured overnight in 5 mL LB medium at 37 °C. Then, 50 µL saturated E. coli solution was added into 5 mL fresh LB medium. The bacterial solution was then shaken at a speed of 250 rpm at 37 °C for around 2 h, until OD600 reached ~0.7. Exponential phase E. coli cells were then collected by centrifugation at 5 g for 1 min, and washed extensively with 10 mM MOPS buffer (pH 7) to remove residual nutrients. Subsequently, cells were transferred in 5 mL of 10 mM MOPS buffer and stored at 4 °C. Bacterial solutions were used within the same day.

In a typical antibacterial assay, MOPS buffer was replaced by a solution containing 0.2 g/L CuFe$_2$O$_4$ and 2 mM NH$_2$OH with E. coli cells. The solutions were constantly shaken at 37 °C at a speed of 250 rpm. At each hour, 200 µL solution was withdrawn from the tube for analysis, and the bacteria survival rate was determined by a 10-fold serial dilution method in 96-well plates [7]. A volume of 10 µL from the six dilutions of each sample was dropped onto an LB-agar plate, and incubated at 37 °C overnight. To calculate the total survived cell number, CFUs (colony forming units) were counted.

In order to investigate whether the bactericidal role of CuFe$_2$O$_4$/NH$_2$OH was from the reaction between leached Cu$^{2+}$ and NH$_2$OH, we performed a simulation experiment. It was determined that the leached Cu$^{2+}$ concentration in solution was below 20 ppb. Therefore, 20 ppb Cu$^{2+}$ was mixed with 2 mM NH$_2$OH, and bactericidal efficiency was then determined with the abovementioned assay.

2.4. ROS Quantification Assay

The ROS content in E. coli cells was quantified by a fluorescent HPF probe. In detail, bacterial cultures were incubated with 10 µM HPF at 37 °C. At 1, 2, and 3 h, and 200 µL was transferred into a 96-well plate for analysis. The fluorescence analysis was performed with excitation/emission maxima at 490/515 nm, respectively. The following positive and negative groups were researched [40]: (1). 0.2 g/L CuFe$_2$O$_4$, 2 mM NH$_2$OH, with E. coli cells; (2). 0.2 g/L CuFe$_2$O$_4$, with E. coli cells; (3). 2 mM NH$_2$OH, with E. coli cells; (4). 0.2 g/L CuFe$_2$O$_4$, 2 mM NH$_2$OH, without E. coli cells; and (5) E. coli cells. The (2)–(5) negative controls were used, to verify that the generated ROS signal in E. coli cells after CuFe$_2$O$_4$/NH$_2$OH reaction was indeed from the oxidative stress within bacterial cells. The results indicated that the (2)–(5) negative controls produced negligible fluorescence response (data not shown), suggesting that the used CuFe$_2$O$_4$ or NH$_2$OH chemical or E. coli cells have no influence on HPF fluorescence. Therefore, the HPF used in this method is valid for measuring ROS content in our study.

2.5. ROS Scavenging Assay

We conducted ROS scavenging assays, in order to verify that ROS played a vital role in inactivating E. coli cells. In detail, 2 mM EDTA or 10–100 mM DMSO was added into a bacterial solution containing 0.2 g/L CuFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticles and 2 mM NH$_2$OH. Reaction solutions were maintained at 37 °C,
and shaken at 250 rpm. The bacterial survival rate was determined by the abovementioned serial dilution method.

2.6. Recycling of Copper Ferrite

The reusability of heterogeneous CuFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticles was examined. After each round of aqueous reaction, CuFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticles were collected by centrifugation at 10 g for 5 min. The pellets were then transferred into an oven at 80 °C to heat for 2 h. Afterwards, the pellets were used for the subsequent round of bacterial inactivation assay.

2.7. Statistical Analysis

Bactericidal assays were performed with three independent replicates ($n = 3$), and statistical analysis was performed with t-test. Asterisks of $p$-values indicate the level of significance compared with E. coli control cells in MOPS buffer, that is, ** $p < 0.01$ and *** $p < 0.001$.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Characterization of Synthesized Copper Ferrite

The copper ferrite (CuFe$_2$O$_4$) used was synthesized via a hydrothermal method [39]. After synthesis, the powders were extensively washed to remove residual copper and iron salts from CuFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticles. The residual copper and iron ion concentrations in solution was below 30 ppb measured by ICP, which was primarily because of leaching. The synthesized CuFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticles were then subjected to analysis to confirm their identity. As shown in Figure 1a,b, the CuFe$_2$O$_4$ morphology was examined by TEM. The CuFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticle sizes were in the range of 20–80 nm, showing relatively homogeneous distribution. The pseudospherical shape of the nanoparticles was owed to the isotropic growth of the crystal from a core. Besides, it is also noted that these nanoparticles tended to aggregate, because of the iron magnetic interactions between particles. It was further determined that the atomic ratio between Cu and Fe is 1.8:1 (Figure 1c), close to theoretical 2:1 value. The detected carbon element was attributed to the used capping reagent and hexane cleaning agent. The crystal structure of CuFe$_2$O$_4$ was then probed by XRD (X-ray diffraction). It was shown in Figure 2 that the diffraction pattern of obtained sample matched well with the standard, indicating that the main phase of the powder was cuprospinel. In particular, the main peak at 2theta = 35.64 degree dominated both the standard and the obtained sample.
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**Figure 1.** (a,b) Morphology of synthesized CuFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticles by transmission electron microscopy (TEM), and (c) elemental composition of CuFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticles.
3.2. Enhanced Bactericidal Performance of Copper Ferrite by Hydroxylamine Addition

We next investigated the bactericidal potential of the synthesized CuFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticles. The exponential phase E. coli cells were incubated with 0.2 g/L CuFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticles at 37 °C under shaking conditions, and bacterial viability was measured every hour. It was shown that CuFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticles only induce slight bacterial death during the 3 h incubation, indicating that CuFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticles are a weak antibacterial agent, primarily because of limited surface exposed Cu(II) species (Figure 3).

It is interesting to observe that, after addition of hydroxylamine (NH$_2$OH), the antibacterial potency of CuFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticles increased remarkably. For instance, the E. coli cell inactivation rate increased from 0.60-log by CuFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticles to 2.71-log by coupled CuFe$_2$O$_4$/NH$_2$OH reaction after incubation for 3 h. In addition, it was observed that NH$_2$OH alone did not show detectable toxicity to E. coli cells. Specifically, after incubating exponential phase E. coli cells with 2 mM NH$_2$OH for 3 h, the cell inactivation rate was 0.03-log. The above results demonstrated that the bactericidal capacity by CuFe$_2$O$_4$/NH$_2$OH reaction was in fact from a new generated species rather than either CuFe$_2$O$_4$ or NH$_2$OH alone. Besides, the bacterial inactivation action by CuFe$_2$O$_4$/NH$_2$OH reaction...
exhibited a time-dependent pattern, and the *E. coli* cells’ inactivation rate at 1, 2, and 3 h was 1.03-, 2.41-, and 2.71-log, respectively (Figure 3). The progressive bacterial death thus indicated a persistent antibacterial mode by CuFe₂O₄/NH₂OH reaction. It is worth noting that the bacterial amount in drinking water bodies is around 10⁸ CFU per mL, and 99% removal efficiency is desired in most cases [41–43]. As the CuFe₂O₄ nanoparticles could inactivate 2.71-log of 10⁸ CFU/mL *E. coli* cells after addition of NH₂OH, the disinfection process developed in this study holds great potential in the streamlined water treatment industry.

It should be noted that the observed strong antibacterial capability of CuFe₂O₄/NH₂OH reaction could be attributed to leached copper ions into the solution. For this purpose, we used ICP to analyze dissolved copper ions in the solution. It was revealed that the detected copper ion concentration was below 20 ppb. To test if such an amount of copper ions could play a role in inactivating *E. coli*, we spiked 20 ppb Cu(II) ion into the bacterial solutions with or without 2 mM NH₂OH. The results showed that the homogeneous Cu(II)/NH₂OH reaction had no effect on bacterial inactivation (Figure 4).

![Figure 4](image_url)

**Figure 4.** Inactivation of *E. coli* cells by homogeneous Cu(II)/NH₂OH reaction with or without NH₂OH. During reactions, 20 ppb Cu(II) ions, 2 mM NH₂OH, and 10⁸ CFU/mL *E. coli* cells in 10 mM MOPS buffer at pH 7 were used.

The effect of CuFe₂O₄ nanoparticles or NH₂OH concentrations was further investigated. At first, the CuFe₂O₄ nanoparticle concentration varied between 0.1 and 1 g/L, while NH₂OH concentration was fixed at 2 mM. The results showed that, at a concentration of 0.1, 0.2, 0.4, and 1 g/L CuFe₂O₄ nanoparticles, the *E. coli* inactivation rate was 0.27-, 2.71-, 3.55-, and 4.74-log, respectively (Figure 5a), suggesting a dose-dependent CuFe₂O₄ nanoparticle-induced reduction of bacterial viability. This is presumably because more exposed Cu(I) species mediated by NH₂OH reduction acted as a highly potent antibacterial agent [32,33]. We subsequently evaluated the effect of NH₂OH concentration. A total of 1 to 10 mM NH₂OH was used to mix with 0.2 g/L CuFe₂O₄ nanoparticle for bactericidal assays. It was shown that at 1, 2, 4, and 10 mM NH₂OH, 1.27-, 2.71-, 3.07-, and 3.41-log *E. coli* inactivation rate was obtained (Figure 5b). The plateaued enhancement of cell inactivation by increased NH₂OH doses was perhaps because of the fact that the majority of copper species on 0.2 g/L CuFe₂O₄ were reduced by 4 mM NH₂OH, and the further increase in NH₂OH concentration did not improve copper reduction. Such a trend has also been observed in other studies [7].
electronic property. For example, O 1s of CuFe$_2$O$_4$ action of NH$_2$OH respectively (Table 1). The remarkable increase of Cu(I) species was primarily because of the reductive specificaion of Cu(II) on CuFe$_2$O$_4$ surface. Interestingly, the addition of NH$_2$OH diminished, primarily because NH$_2$OH drastically changed the speciation of copper (i.e., Cu(I) and Cu(II)) on CuFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticle surfaces based on Cu 2p$_{3/2}$ deconvolution results (Figure 6a).

3.3. Reduction of Surface Cu(II) into Cu(I) by Hydroxylamine

It has been previously reported that NH$_2$OH was able to efficiently transform Cu(II) ion into Cu(I) ion, which shows approximately 100–1000-fold enhancement in terms of antibacterial activity [7,33]. Because CuFe$_2$O$_4$ also owns Cu(II) species exposed onto the nanoparticle surface, we thus explored if the highly bactericidal Cu(I) species was formed by NH$_2$OH reduction. XPS (X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy) was utilized to detect the electronic properties of elements on the surface of CuFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticles. The electronic properties of CuFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticles were tested before and after reduction by NH$_2$OH.

XPS results are shown in Figure 6. It was observed that Fe 2p$_{3/2}$ peaks almost did not show any detectable change in either octahedral or tetrahedral site Fe(III) species (Figure 6b), suggesting that iron species may not participate in the redox evolution of CuFe$_2$O$_4$/NH$_2$OH reaction. Besides, it was shown in Figure 6c that, after addition of NH$_2$OH, the surface adsorbed H$_2$O molecules were diminished, primarily because NH$_2$OH repulsed H$_2$O molecules away to approach the CuFe$_2$O$_4$ surface. Interestingly, the addition of NH$_2$OH drastically changed the speciation of copper (i.e., Cu(I) and Cu(II)) on CuFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticle surfaces based on Cu 2p$_{3/2}$ deconvolution results (Figure 6a).

Specifically, the fraction of Cu(I) before and after CuFe$_2$O$_4$/NH$_2$OH reaction was 27.4% and 75.2%, respectively (Table 1). The remarkable increase of Cu(I) species was primarily because of the reductive action of NH$_2$OH. Besides, CuFe$_2$O$_4$/NH$_2$OH reaction also mediated a significant change in O 1s electronic property. For example, O 1s of CuFe$_2$O$_4$ was mainly composed by lattice O (28.6%), surface...
OH (66%), and absorbed H2O (47.4%). However, after reaction with NH2OH, the components of O
1s on CuFe2O4 nanoparticle surface became lattice O (32.5%) and surface OH (67.5%), whereas the
surface adsorbed H2O molecules disappeared (Table 1). This is probably because NH2OH might need
to repel surface adsorbed H2O molecule before accessing the reactive center on CuFe2O4 nanoparticle.
Overall, the results indicated that Cu(I) fraction was successfully increased on the surface of CuFe2O4
after NH2OH reduction, and the transformed nascent Cu(I) species is supposed to play a major role in
E. coli inactivation.

**Table 1.** Species distribution of Cu 2p, Fe 2p, and O 1s on CuFe2O4 nanoparticle surface before and
after reduction by NH2OH.

|       | Cu 2p | Fe 2p | O 1s |
|-------|-------|-------|------|
|       | Cu(II)| Cu(I) | O-Site Fe(III) | T-Site Fe(III) | Lattice O | Surface OH | Absorbed H2O |
| Before| 72.6% | 27.4% | 45.5%          | 54.5%          | 28.6%     | 66%        | 47.4%        |
| After | 24.8% | 75.2% | 42.4%          | 57.6%          | 32.5%     | 67.5%      | n/a          |

**3.4. Bactericidal Action by CuFe2O4/NH2OH Reaction**

We were interested in understanding the molecular biology mechanism associated with the
bactericidal action of CuFe2O4/NH2OH reaction. It has been reported that Cu(I) is a strong complexing
and denaturing agent for functional proteins in particular membrane proteins [44-48]. In fact, the
antibacterial potency of Cu(I), which is called contact-killing [47,48], is significantly higher than
other well-established heavy metals such as silver. Although the exact bactericidal actions of Cu(I)
are unclear, it is widely accepted that it increases the oxidative stress inside the cell [44,47,48]. We
thus attempted to evaluate the ROS content — a direct oxidative stress indicator — with a fluorescent
probe [49,50]. The results suggested that the incubation of CuFe2O4 and CuFe2O4/NH2OH with E.
coli cells could increase the ROS content by comparison with the control (Figure 7). Specifically, after
incubation for 3 h, the fluorescence change for the control, CuFe2O4, NH2OH, and CuFe2O4/NH2OH
was 7.48%, 15.34%, 8.49%, and 25.69%, respectively. In addition, the CuFe2O4/NH2OH treatment
mediated a more significant increase in ROS content than the CuFe2O4 treatment, indicating that the
generated Cu(I) species is more powerful in producing oxidative stress, in agreement with previous
literatures [44,47,48].

**Figure 6.** X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) characterization of synthesized CuFe2O4
nanoparticles before (upper panel) and after (lower panel) reduction by NH2OH. (a) Cu 2p3/2, (b) Fe
2p3/2, and (c) O 1s peaks were deconvoluted.
proteins, and found that the bacterial inactivation was negligible (0.07-log) (Figure 8). The above according with other reports [7,15]. A detailed mechanistic illustration is shown in Figure 9.

... 

Figure 7. HPF (3’-p-(hydroxyphenyl) molecular probe fluorescence change of E. coli cells by CuFe2O4/NH2OH and related controls. Excitation/emission at 490/515 nm was used for fluorescence determination. Control: No addition of CuFe2O4 or NH2OH. During reactions, 10 µM HPF probe, 0.2 g/L CuFe2O4, 2 mM NH2OH, and 10^8 CFU/mL E. coli cells in 10 mM MOPS buffer at pH 7 were used. ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001.

The interaction between Cu(I) species and E. coli cell was further explored. At first, we added 2 mM EDTA as a complexing reagent to block the effective binding of copper species to membrane proteins, and found that the bacterial inactivation was negligible (0.07-log) (Figure 8). The above results verified the bactericidal role of copper species in CuFe2O4. We further investigated if the bacterial inactivation could be alleviated by adding a ROS scavenger. DMSO was used as a ROS scavenger [51–53], and a different DMSO concentration (10–100 mM) was used. It was found that the addition of DMSO could suppress the bactericidal potency of CuFe2O4/NH2OH reaction. In detail, after the addition of 10, 20, 40, and 100 mM DMSO, E. coli inactivation efficiency by CuFe2O4/NH2OH reaction was 1.60-, 0.98-, 0.40-, and 0.31-log, respectively. The results clearly indicated that ROS generation was the major reason accounting for bacterial inactivation in our system, which is in good accordance with other reports [7,15]. A detailed mechanistic illustration is shown in Figure 9.

Figure 8. E. coli inactivation by CuFe2O4/NH2OH after addition of EDTA and DMSO scavengers and related controls. During reactions, 0.2 g/L CuFe2O4, 2 mM NH2OH, and 10^8 CFU/mL E. coli cells in 10 mM MOPS buffer at pH 7 were used. EDTA of 2 mM and DMSO of 10–100 mM were added in the above bacterial solution. *** p < 0.001.
3.5. Recycling Assay of Copper Ferrite Nanoparticle

An attractive advantage associated with heterogeneous water disinfection system is that the antibacterial agents could be reused for multiple rounds. To test if CuFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticle could be reused in our developed water disinfection platform, after each round of bactericidal assay, the nanoparticles were centrifuged and collected for a subsequent round of analysis. Then, 10$^4$ CFU/mL exponential phase E. coli cells were used to simulate real water bodies. Figure 10 shows that, during the 10 rounds of tests, the reused CuFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticles showed a steady antibacterial efficiency, from 96.1% to 99.9%, indicating that the proposed CuFe$_2$O$_4$/NH$_2$OH antibacterial platform could be used for water treatment industry with a low cost. Besides, it is worth to mention that CuFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticles (NPs) have a strong magnetic property and could be collected by magnetic attraction. This property further simplifies the reuse procedure in industry because magnetic enrichment and collection has been well established.

![Proposed mechanism of CuFe$_2$O$_4$/NH$_2$OH reaction inactivating E. coli cells. Generated Cu(I) species on nanoparticle surface after NH$_2$OH reduced CuFe$_2$O$_4$ inactivates membrane proteins, leading to generation of H$_2$O$_2$. The disruption of the Fe–S cluster by H$_2$O$_2$ releases free Fe$^{2+}$ ions, which catalyzes the Fenton chemistry to convert H$_2$O$_2$ into HO• (a reactive oxygen species). The HO• inactivates E. coli cell through destroying the membrane structure, breaking DNA, and denaturing functional proteins. NPs, nanoparticles.](image)

**Figure 9.** Proposed mechanism of CuFe$_2$O$_4$/NH$_2$OH reaction inactivating E. coli cells. Generated Cu(I) species on nanoparticle surface after NH$_2$OH reduced CuFe$_2$O$_4$ inactivates membrane proteins, leading to generation of H$_2$O$_2$. The disruption of the Fe–S cluster by H$_2$O$_2$ releases free Fe$^{2+}$ ions, which catalyzes the Fenton chemistry to convert H$_2$O$_2$ into HO• (a reactive oxygen species). The HO• inactivates E. coli cell through destroying the membrane structure, breaking DNA, and denaturing functional proteins. NPs, nanoparticles.

![Inactivation of E. coli by reused CuFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticles and addition of NH$_2$OH. During reactions, 0.2 g/L fresh or reused CuFe$_2$O$_4$, 2 mM NH$_2$OH, and 10$^4$ CFU/mL E. coli cells in 10 mM MOPS buffer at pH 7 were used.](image)

**Figure 10.** Inactivation of E. coli by reused CuFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticles and addition of NH$_2$OH. During reactions, 0.2 g/L fresh or reused CuFe$_2$O$_4$, 2 mM NH$_2$OH, and 10$^4$ CFU/mL E. coli cells in 10 mM MOPS buffer at pH 7 were used.
4. Conclusions

In this study, we showed that the antibacterial capability of CuFe$_2$O$_4$ nanomaterial could be significantly enhanced after addition of hydroxylamine. This was because surface Cu(II) species was successfully reduced to Cu(I), as evidenced by XPS. Cu(I) has a much stronger binding affinity and reduction capability to functional proteins on bacterial cell membrane than Cu(II) species, leading to a contact-killing phenomenon. It is worth noting that the bacterial death caused by CuFe$_2$O$_4$/NH$_2$OH reaction was mainly because of the Cu(I) species on the nanoparticle surface, rather than that dissolved in solution. This implies that the minimum leaching of CuFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticle guarantees its safe application in the water disinfection industry. Besides, NH$_2$OH has also been widely used in water treatment, and meets the criteria of public drinking water safety.

Further, the bactericidal mechanism of CuFe$_2$O$_4$/NH$_2$OH reaction towards E. coli was revealed with multiple molecular approaches. The results indicated that ROS content is elevated inside the cell, which might impair vital cellular components and cause leakage, presumably accounting for the death of E. coli cells. In addition, CuFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticles were reused for several rounds in our study, delivering uncompromised E. coli inactivation performance. In conclusion, considering the low cost of the chemicals and negligible secondary contamination concern, these results demonstrated that the generation of Cu(I) species immobilized on CuFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticles after reduction by NH$_2$OH is a viable option for water pathogens' disinfection.
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