Analysis of the Generated Output Energy by Different Types of Wind Turbines
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Abstract

This study intends to analyse the generated individual output energy by different types of wind turbines. Focusing on estimating the total energy output generated by a wind farm utilizing three distinct wind turbines, Siemens Gamesa SG 3.4-132, Vesitas HTq V126, and Lagerwey L100, with rated powers of 3.465 MW, 3.45 MW, and 2.5 MW respectively. Sixty turbines of each type will be installed at the elevations of 97 m, 87 m, and 99 m consecutively. For the purpose of the study, the Sorochi Gory region was chosen as an eligible location to the farm, due to its physiographic location and desirable forestry. A virtual experiment will be conducted, by testing different possible wind turbines configurations, and calculating their gross energy output, considering the output wind speed is in the ideal case, while the output energy includes the wake effect, using (WindFarmer analyst software). Thereupon, the results will be presented, including the optimal wind turbine configuration for the wind farm, in terms of efficiency, stability, and economy wise.
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1. Introduction

Sorochi Gory is located in the center of Tatarstan republic, where the wind turbines will be installed; for the purpose of this study, a mast is placed on the site to measure the wind speed from July 2018 until July 2019, to estimate the turbines’ energy output. The results of this study lead to the conclusion that the chosen wind turbines are optimal for this farm [1, 2]. Three types of turbines could be used. The first one is (Siemens Gamesa SG 3.4-132, 3.465 MW) where its rated power is 3.465 Mw, and it can function at the air temperature range of -20 to 40°C when the average air density is about 1.24 kg/m³. The peak power coefficient of the first type is 0.46, and the recommended height of the hub installation must be at the elevation of 97 m [3]. The second type is (Vestas V126-3.45 MW HTq) which is able to give the rated power of 3.45 Mw, and can function at the air temperature range of -20 to 45°C when the average air density is about 1.25 kg/m³. The peak power coefficient of this type is 0.45 and the appropriate elevation is 87m [4]. The third type is (Lagerwey L100-2.5 MW) which gives the rated power of 2.52 Mw, but the acceptable temperature is limited to the range between (-30 to 30°C) when the average density of the air is about 1.225 kg/m³. The peak power coefficient of this type is 0.48 and the appropriate elevation is 99m [5]. Three types of wind turbines will be used is a specific configuration, sixty turbines of each type will be installed.
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To achieve the goal of this study, a virtual simulation will be conducted, by calculating the gross energy for each turbine individually. The simulation will be carried out using WindFarmer Analyst. WindFarmer Analyst, is a software that uses the association method, to give the wind flow modelling results, present the data of each configuration, estimate the individual output, and calculate the total energy configuration.

2. Turbines’ Farm Location

The wind farm is set to be located in Sorochi Gory region, south of Kazan the capital of Tatarstan. It is worth noting that a river passes near the farm. The surrounding area is relatively smooth and unpopulated. The following figure (Figure 1), presents the location of the farm, Kazan, the river, and the distance between Sorochi Gory and Kazan (68 Km).

![Figure 1. A bird view of the farm’s location](image1)

Figure 2, shows a closer view of the terrain and the surrounding of the farm’s location.

![Figure 2. A closer look of the farm’s location.](image2)

Figure 3, shows a satellite view of the Farm and its surrounding.

![Figure 3. A satellite view of the farm’s location.](image3)
3. Methodology and Apparatuses

3.1. Wind Turbines Specifications

This study utilizes three types of turbines.

- The first type is Siemens Gamesa SG3.4-132, 3.465MW. Its technical specifications are shown in the Table 1. The resulted power from this turbine depends of its working conditions, where the elevation, directing and the local wind properties, strongly affect on the power generation [6, 7];

- The second type is Vestas V126-3.45 HTq. Its technical details are shown in the Table 2. This type is smaller than the first one. While its capacity is about the same of the first one, the maximum permissible wind speed of the second type is less than that of the first one [8];

- The third type in this study is Lagerwey L100-2.5 MW. Its technical specifications are shown in the Table 3 [9].

The turbines will be configured as follows, SG3.4-132, V126, and then L100. The technical specification of each is presented in the following tables.

| Table 1. The technical specifications of Siemens Gamesa SG3.4-132, 3.465 MW turbine |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| Manufacturer       | Siemens Gamesa |
| Turbine            | SG3.4-132 3.465MW |
| Rated power        | 3465 KW |
| Diameter           | 132 meters |
| Hub height         | 97 meters |
| Rotor speed        | 6.7 rpm to 10.7 rpm |
| Air density        | 1.24 Kg/m³ |
| Turbulence intensity | Variable |
| Peak Cp            | 0.46 |
| Cut-out 10-minute mean wind speed | 25 m/s |
| Restart 10-minute mean wind speed | Unknown |
| Temperature operational range | -20 °C to +30°C/ +40°C |

| Table 2. The technical specifications of Vestas V126-3.45 HTq turbine |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| Manufacturer       | Vestas |
| Turbine            | V126-3.45 HTq |
| Power control      | Pitch |
| Rated power        | 3450 KW |
| Diameter           | 126 meters |
| Hub height         | 87 meters |
| Rotor speed        | 5.9 rpm to 16 rpm |
| Air density        | 1.25 Kg/m³ |
| Turbulence intensity | 6 % to 12 % |
| Peak Cp            | 0.45 |
| Cut-out 10-minute mean wind speed | 22.5 m/s |
| Restart 10-minute mean wind speed | 20.5 m/s |
| Temperature operational range | -20°C to +30°C/ +45°C |
Table 3. The technical specifications of Lagerwey L100-2.5 MW turbine

| Manufacturer | Lagerwey |
|--------------|----------|
| Turbine      | L100-2.5 MW |
| Power control| Pitch    |
| Rated power  | 2520 KW   |
| Diameter     | 100 meters |
| Hub height   | 99 meters |
| Rotor speed  | Unknown   |
| Air density  | 1.225 Kg/m³ |
| Turbulence intensity | Unknown |
| Peak Cp      | 0.48 |
| Cut-out 10-minute mean wind speed | 25 m/s |
| Restart 10-minute mean wind speed  | Unknown |
| Temperature operational range      | -30°C to +30°C |

The windfarmer analysis requires the coordinates of the wind farm, the configuration of the turbines, wind data (speed distribution) and the turbulence intensity.

The considered coordinates are the location of the mast (431079, 6137641), the configuration of the three mentioned turbines (Siemens Gamesa SG 3.4-132, Vestas HTq V126, and Lagerwey L100) was taken independently. The accumulated wind data was used in estimating the wobble distribution and wind rows, and calculated throughout two years (2018-2019) using four anemometers at several heights (99, 94.8, 75, and 55m), with two wind vanes at 75m, 55m. The turbulence intensity is taken into consideration. The output gross energy calculated is shown in the Table 4.

Table 4. Turbine layout configurations and gross energy

| Configuration Id | Turbine type                  | Hub height [m] | Number of turbines | Rated power [MW] | Average wind speed [m/s] | Gross energy output [GWh/annum] |
|------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1                | Siemens Gamesa SG 3.4-132 3.465MW | 97             | 60                 | 207.9            | 7.2                      | 848.9                           |
| 2                | Vestas V126-3.45 MW HTq         | 87             | 60                 | 207              | 7                        | 763.3                           |
| 3                | Lagerwey L100-2.5 MW            | 99             | 60                 | 150              | 7.2                      | 532.9                           |

3.2. The Curve Properties of the Wind Turbine

The terminology of this paper includes: thrust force, which is the propulsive force on the wind turbine, and it’s correlated to wind velocity [10], turbine swept area, air density and thrust coefficient as shown in the following formula [8]:

\[ T = \frac{1}{2} C_t \rho A V^2 \]  

(1)

where: \( T \) – thrust force, \( C_t \) – coefficient of thrust [11], which is also in function of wind speed and turbine geometry, \( \rho \) – air density, \( A \) – swept area of the turbine, \( V \) – wind speed.

The other parameters that must be illustrated, is the power coefficient, \( C_p \)– efficiency of the turbine. In addition, can be written as [12]:

\[ C_p = \frac{P_a}{P_w} \]  

(2)

where: \( P_a \)–the actual power from the turbine, kW; \( P_w \) – the wind power acting on the thrust force, and can be written as [13]:

\[ P_w = \frac{1}{2} \rho A V^3 \]  

(3)

Calculating the actual power of each turbine will be universal, as it depends on the average wind speed at various times. The output power, thrust coefficient and power coefficient are three terms linked together when studying the wind turbines [14]. The optimal wind speed in this situation ranges between \((12 - 19)\) m/s, then the turbine reaches maximum power [15]. While the wind speed that achieves maximum power coefficient ranges between \((6 - 8)\) m/s. The maximum thrust is at 3 m/s wind speed [16].
It is clear that the maximum output power from the turbine will be achieved when the wind speed is between (11 – 23) m/s. When the wind speed exceeds 23 m/s, the turbine shuts down, because that will damage the turbine itself. The power coefficient starts to increase when the wind speed exceeds 3 m/s. When the wind speed exceeds 9 m/s, the power coefficient starts to drop gradually [17]. The maximum thrust coefficient also takes place at 3 m/s wind speed.

3.3. Turbines’ Gross Energy Analysis

This study analyses each turbine individually, as well as a part of the whole wind farm. The output energy from the turbine is called gross energy, when it is working individually. In this study, there are no turbulences caused by the other turbines [18]. Constructing the wind farm using the computer program WindFarmer analyst, allows to visualize the data as in the following graphs. The Gross energy for each configuration is also calculated using WindFarmer analyst.

4. Results and Discussion

The CFD simulation of the farm, in three different configurations, where each wind turbine is processed individually, leads to the results shown in the following graphs. Configuration 1, Siemens Gamesa SG3.4-132, 3.465MW: In this configuration, the hubs of the turbines are installed at the elevation of 97 meters. The total energy output of this configuration is 614.5 GWh/annum.

- Configuration 2, Vestas V126-3.45 HTq: In this configuration, the hubs of the turbines are installed at the elevation of 87 meters. The total energy output of this configuration is 551.9 GWh/annum.
Configuration 3, Lagerwey L100-2.5 MW: In this configuration, the hubs of the turbines are installed at the elevation of 99 meters. The total energy output of this configuration 2 is 411.1 GWh/annum.

![Lagerwey L100-2.5 MW](image)

**Figure 6. Energy results for configuration 3, Lagerwey L100-2.5 MW**

5. Conclusions

Following the results of this study; if the wind farm is to be constructed in the region of Sorochi Gory, Republic of Tatarstan, Russia, the following should be taken into account:

- Siemens Gamesa SG3.4-132, 3.465MW is the optimal wind turbine to be used to build the wind farm, because of its high efficiency, stability, and generated power;
- To achieve ideal results, above 95m is the recommended hub turbine height;
- Lagerwey L100-2.5 MW is the less efficient of the three turbines, due to wake effect. Therefore, it generates less power than the others.

The total generated power of each configuration in this study represents the ideal situation, which gives the maximum amount of energy.
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