Wideband THz Time Domain Spectroscopy based on Optical Rectification and Electro-Optic Sampling
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Generation and detection of THz electromagnetic waves (0.1–10 × 10¹² Hz) is not a novel topic¹–³, but it has been arousing an ever-increasing interest only in the last decade. Recent studies demonstrated that many substances and particularly bio-polymers like proteins, amino and DNA possess specific global and sub-global modes in the THz band. Hence, particular consideration has been recently devoted to THz spectroscopy since it reveals information on the conformational stage of molecules and potentially enables their discrimination in various compounds. Indeed, THz pulses have been broadly used, ranging from spectroscopy and time-resolved pump-probe experiments to imaging applications⁴–⁵. Moreover, the negligible ionization power of the THz radiations compared to optical and X-rays technologies make them perfectly suitable for biological applications⁶. For the above-mentioned reasons, THz technology are penetrating in lots of areas, beyond fundamental Physics investigation, spanning from medical care and homeland security to cultural heritage conservation⁷–¹³. The most challenging technology development remains in the area of THz sources and detectors, since, in general, both wide band and high power are required at the same time. Thanks to the improvement of the pulsed laser technology, different types of stable THz sources have been developed so far, with peak power values ranging from kW to MW¹⁴,¹⁵. In particular, Optical Rectification (OR)¹⁶ combined with Electro-Optic Sampling (EOS) detection is still the configuration of choice in the few standard high energy pulsed THz system. For this reason, in this paper we present an analytical model able to simulate the full electromagnetic propagation which takes place in the THz-TDS set-up¹⁷, sketched in Fig. 1 (refer to Methods), based on OR and EOS and employing <110> ZnTe crystals. We will explain and justify the approach and the approximations which underlie the modelling of each stage and that allowed us to build a complete transfer function in the frequency domain, in order to predict the set-up behaviour.

Results

Optical rectification. In noncentrosymmetric crystals the second-order nonlinear optical susceptibility 𝜇(2) is nonzero¹⁸ and, for this reason, parametric processes (such as second-harmonic generation, sum- and difference-frequency generation, parametric solitons, optical rectification, etc.) can take place¹⁹–²⁴. As regards Optical Rectification, when a CW laser impinges on such a material, the latter responds generating a DC -i.e., rectified- electric field proportional to the pump intensity. On the other hand, when an ultrashort (i.e., broadband) laser pulse (≈100 fs) passes through a non-centrosymmetric crystal, optical rectification performs a mixing of the frequencies within each pulse -i.e., difference-frequency generation (DFG) between pairs of
frequencies within the pulse bandwidth- generating a low-frequency radiation, that is the THz wave. The time dependent equation describing this phenomenon is\(^{25-27}\):

\[
\left[ V^2 - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \right] E_{\text{THz}}(\vec{r},t) = \frac{1}{i_0 c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \left[ P_{\text{THz}}^l(\vec{r},t) + P_{\text{THz}}^r(\vec{r},t) \right]
\]

(1)

where \(E_{\text{THz}}\) is the instantaneous THz field, \(P_{\text{THz}}^l\) is the linear polarization induced by the THz electric field and \(P_{\text{THz}}^r\) is the nonlinear polarization induced by the electric field of the laser pump \(E_{\text{pump}}(\vec{r},t) = 1/2 \vec{z}(\vec{r},t) \exp \left[ i \left( \omega_{\text{pump}} - \omega_{\text{THz}} \right) t \right] + c.c., \) where \(\omega_{\text{pump}}\) and \(\omega_{\text{THz}}\) are the carrier frequency and the wave vector respectively, and \(\vec{z}(\vec{r},t)\) is the slowly-varying envelope both in \(\vec{r}\) and \(t\), for each pulse. We will neglect the contribution of other nonlinear processes, such as, second harmonic generation, two photon absorption, free carrier absorption and self-phase modulation. In addition, the pump depletion is always negligible in most practical cases, since the conversion efficiency for the OR case \((\approx 10^{-10} \ldots 10^{-10})\) is far below the upper limit of the quantum efficiency, given by the ratio of the central THz frequency and the optical carrier. By assuming a near-infrared pumping and pulses of transform-limited duration of 100 fs or longer, we neglect the second time-derivative of \(\vec{z}\) (slowly varying envelope approximation, SVEA). Furthermore, we consider both pump and THz beams as plane waves, neglecting the dependence on the transverse coordinates \((x, y)\), being the diffraction length in those cases always much larger than the crystal thickness in all the generated spectrum. By taking the Fourier transform of eq. (1), with \(P_{\text{THz}}^l(\vec{k},\Omega) = i \delta (\vec{k} - \vec{V}_{\text{THz}}(\Omega)) \tilde{E}_{\text{THz}}(\vec{r},\Omega)\), we obtain:\(^{26}\)

\[
\left[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + \frac{\Omega^2}{c^2} \tilde{n}_{\text{THz}}^2(\Omega) \right] \tilde{E}_{\text{THz}}(\vec{r},\Omega) = \frac{1}{i_0 c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \left[ P_{\text{THz}}^l(\vec{k},\Omega) + P_{\text{THz}}^r(\vec{k},\Omega) \right] + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \tilde{E}_{\text{pump}}(\vec{k},\Omega)
\]

(2)

where the over-lines stand for the Fourier operator and \(\tilde{n}_{\text{THz}}(\vec{k},\Omega) = \sqrt{1 + \omega^2(\vec{k})^2(\Omega)}\) is the refractive index at the THz frequency \((\Omega)\). It is worth highlighting the presence of the second spatial derivative in eq. (2), since the generated THz wave has a bandwidth comparable to its central frequency, i.e. SVEA does not hold for THz radiation. According to the laser features commonly employed in the THz collinear generation, we approximate the pump pulse envelope with a Gaussian profile \(E_{\text{pump}}(t) \approx \left( t - t_0 \right)^{2}/2 \sigma \), where by definition \(t_0 = \tau_{\text{FWHM}}/2 \sqrt{\ln(2)}\), \(\tau_{\text{FWHM}}\) being the full-width at half maximum pulse duration of the pump intensity, \(\gamma_k = c/\pi n_k\) and \(E_0\) the group velocity and the magnitude of the electric field, respectively. Here, we assume that \(\gamma_k\) is not varying along the propagation because of dispersion, since the thickness of the crystal \((\approx 1 \text{ mm})\) is much smaller than the dispersion length of both fields. Thus, by considering the boundary conditions \(E_{\text{THz}}(0,\Omega) = \epsilon_0 E_{\text{THz}}(z,\Omega)/\epsilon z_{\text{TDS}} = 0\), one obtains the exact solution for the THz electric field in the frequency domain, from eq. (2):

\[
E_{\text{THz}}(\vec{r},\Omega) = \frac{E_{\text{pump}}^2(\vec{k}) \Omega}{2 \tilde{n}_{\text{THz}}^2(\Omega) - n_k^2} \exp \left[ -\frac{\tau_{\text{FWHM}}^2}{4} \right] \exp \left[ i \left( \Omega t - \omega_{\text{THz}} z \right) \right]
\]

\[
\left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{n_k}{\tilde{n}_{\text{THz}}(\Omega)} \right) e^{-\frac{\tau_{\text{FWHM}}^2}{4}} + \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{n_k}{\tilde{n}_{\text{THz}}(\Omega)} \right) e^{-\frac{\tau_{\text{FWHM}}^2}{4}} - e^{-\frac{\tau_{\text{FWHM}}^2}{4}} \right]
\]

(3)

**THz wave properties.** In eq. (3), we wrote the THz frequency-dependent electric field as the product of three main terms in order to point out the properties of the THz wave. The third factor shows that the THz waveform is actually the result of the interference among three waves: the first two travel with the same phase velocity \(v_{\text{THz}}(\Omega) = c/\text{Re} \left[ \tilde{n}_{\text{THz}}(\Omega) \right]\) (precisely, one is backward\(^{29,30}\) and the other one is forward with respect to the \(z\) axis) whereas the third one is a forward wave with phase velocity matching the group velocity of the pump beam. However, the amplitudes of the three contributions are quite different: it results that although the backward wave vanishes at the frequency satisfying the phase-matching condition, as explained below, it has always negligible magnitude in the whole THz range. The relative term will be therefore neglected. Conversely, the forward wave reaches its maximum at the phase-matching condition and it is generally comparable with the third wave in the whole THz range and they together contribute to the generated THz beam. The second factor in eq. (3) is a Gaussian term centred at \(\Omega = 0\), which acts as a low-pass filter: the shorter the transform-limited pulse duration \(\tau_{\text{FWHM}}\), the wider the bandwidth. Indeed, there is an upper limit for the THz band \(\Delta \nu_{\text{THz}}\) obtainable via ultrashort laser pulses, according to Heisenberg’s principle\(^{31}\), i.e., the time-bandwidth product, \(\Delta \nu_{\text{THz}} \cdot \tau_{\text{FWHM}} = 0.441/\tau_{\text{FWHM}}\). However, in most practical cases of femtosecond-pulse driven generation, the achievable bandwidth is never as wide as it is determined by the latter expression, since it mostly depends on the chosen crystal, as we will show later. Finally, the first factor in eq. (3) is comprehensive of the nonlinear parameter and the difference between the refractive index of the crystal in the THz range and the infrared group index. Both \(\gamma_k(\Omega)\) and \(\tilde{n}_{\text{THz}}(\Omega) = n_k(\Omega) + ik(\Omega)\) are complex frequency-dependent functions, accounting for dispersion and absorption, whose effects are not negligible because of the ultrashort nature of the pulse pump. Hence, we model the refractive index of the crystal, in the THz range, using Maxwell-Helmholz-Drude’s semi-quantic model\(^{32}\) of the transversal phonon resonance modes which establishes that the dielectric permittivity can be expressed by:

\[
\epsilon_{\text{THz}}(\Omega) = \epsilon(\infty) + \left[ \epsilon(0) - \epsilon(\infty) \right] \frac{\Omega^2}{\Omega^2_{\text{TO}} - \Omega^2 + i \gamma_{\text{TO}} \Omega}
\]

(4)

where \(\epsilon(\infty)\) is the dielectric constant at frequencies much higher than 1 THz, \(\epsilon(0)\) is the DC dielectric constant, \(\Omega_{\text{TO}}\) is the transversal optical (TO) resonance frequency, \(\gamma_{\text{TO}}\) is the full-width of the mode. Noteworthy, this model is the best choice for our purposes, since ZnTe is featured by a very weak coupling between the transversal and longitudinal modes. Hence, for frequencies close to \(\Omega_{\text{TO}}\), the resonant absorption becomes so strong that the material appears completely opaque. As \(\Omega_{\text{TO}} = 5.32 \text{ THz}^{24,26}\), ZnTe sources exhibit a contiguous generation window between hundreds of GHz up to 3 THz. Note that other materials guarantee larger bandwidths\(^{25,34}\). ZnTe is still the material of choice in many set-up due to a nicely shaped THz generation spectrum and the significant power-spectral density within the lower THz band. For the nonlinear susceptibility, we have used Miller’s rule\(^{9,20}\).
Phase-matching and coherence length. The phase matching condition is fulfilled when the optical group velocity matches the THz phase velocity:

\[ v_{\text{THz}}(\Omega) = v_g(\lambda^*) = n(\Omega) = \frac{\lambda}{n_g(\lambda^*)} \tag{5} \]

For ZnTe it turns out that \( n_g = n_{g,zn} = 3.285, v_g \approx 2.55 \) THz, \( \lambda^* = 788 \text{ nm} \). The THz frequency \( \Omega^* \) is generated with the maximum possible conversion efficiency. This could be further ascertained by manipulating eq. (3), as it follows:

\[ E_{\text{THz}}(z, \Omega) \propto L_{\text{gen}} \left[ \sin \left( \frac{\Delta k(\Omega)L_{\text{gen}}}{2} \right) \right] \]

where \( \Delta k(\Omega) = \Omega(n - n_g)c \) is the mismatch term and \( L_{\text{gen}} \) is the generation crystal thickness. Close to the PM condition, i.e. \( \Delta k(\Omega) \approx 0 \), the THz field magnitude scales almost proportionally to the crystal length. Anyway, for each frequency within the bandwidth and for a fixed laser wavelength, there is an upper theoretical limit for \( L_{\text{gen}} \) related to the so-called coherence length:

\[ L_{\text{coh}}(\Omega) = \frac{\lambda_{\text{THz}}}{2(\pi - n(\Omega))} \tag{7} \]

The physical meaning of (7) is that, when the propagation length approaches \( L_{\text{coh}} \), the generation process equates the back-conversion process that reconverts the THz photons into pump power until the THz field vanishes for \( z = 2L_{\text{coh}} \). This means that it is necessary to achieve a trade-off between power and bandwidth when the thickness of the generation crystal has to be chosen according to the proper application. To this end, Fig. 2a shows how the coherence length is related to the frequency for three different pump wavelengths. We note that employing a 1-mm thick ZnTe crystal, the bandwidth is maximum (about 2.9 THz) for a 778 nm pump (red line), but it shrinks when the laser wavelength moves away from \( \lambda^* \), even if the generation efficiency (related to \( L_{\text{coh}} \)) becomes higher for all the frequencies within the band (blue and green lines).

The \( L_{\text{gen}} = 2 \text{ mm} \) case is also plotted showing that the generation process is not efficient for a relatively large THz window when \( \lambda^* \) is employed as pump source. Indeed, in this case, as pointed out by the double brown dashed-arrow, the most of the bandwidth achievable with ZnTe in the rage \( \Omega < \Omega_{\text{TO}} \) is not practically generated, resulting in a narrow-band spectrum centred at the frequency \( \Omega^* \).

Crystal interface. The effect of the crystal-air interface can be formalized considering the dispersion of the Fresnel reflection and transmission coefficients within the THz band within the hypothesis of non-diffracting (collimated) beam. These considerations are sketched on Fig. 2b. We can then introduce frequency-dependent Fresnel coefficients for transmission (T) and reflection (R), as:

\[ T_{1\rightarrow 2}(\Omega) = \frac{2 \sqrt{\varepsilon_{\text{THz}}(\Omega)}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_{\text{THz}}(\Omega) + 1}}, \quad R_{1\rightarrow 2}(\Omega) = \frac{\varepsilon_{\text{THz}}(\Omega) - 1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_{\text{THz}}(\Omega) + 1}} \tag{8} \]

where “1” and “2” in the subscripts stand for ZnTe and air, respectively. As the dielectric constant diverges for \( \Omega \rightarrow \Omega_{\text{TO}} \), eq. (8) has a resonant complex nature that emphasizes reflection in the high THz band. From the previous consideration, it immediately follows that an important phenomenon which takes place inside the crystal is the Fabry-Perot (FP) effect, which acts as a selective filter, according to:

\[ T_{\text{FP}}(L_{\text{gen}},\Omega) = \frac{T_{1\rightarrow 2}^2(\Omega) \exp \left[ -i\Omega n_{\text{THz}} \frac{L_{\text{gen}}}{c} \right]}{1 - R_{1\rightarrow 2}^2(\Omega) \exp \left[ -2i\Omega n_{\text{THz}} \frac{L_{\text{gen}}}{c} \right]} \tag{9} \]

From eq. (9) we note that if the crystal is thin enough, the longitudinal resonances are established for those frequencies which do not fall into the achievable THz bandwidth, thus resulting in no THz pulse-repetitions outside the material. In addition, eq. (9) somewhat modifies the THz bandwidth, because it mostly transmits those frequencies which fulfill the nonlinear condition, \( 2\Omega n(\Omega)L_{\text{gen}}/c + \phi(\Omega) = 2\pi m \), where \( \phi(\Omega) \) is the phase of \( R(\Omega) \) and \( m \) is the order of the longitudinal mode.

Diffraction. Outside the crystal, the broadband THz beam propagates toward the mirror that lies in the far field region, but it diffracts out much quickly than a Gaussian beam. Therefore, we cannot use Rayleigh’s law to quantify its aperture along the propagation, since the latter only holds in the paraxial approximation. Instead, we can apply Bethe’s theory of diffraction by small holes. If the diffraction, the main result of the theory is that we can introduce a different confocal parameter similar to the Rayleigh length, defined as \( z_B = k_{\text{THz}}^2 w_{\text{THz}}^2/2 = \Omega^2 w_{pp}^2/(4 \sqrt{2} c^2) \), which shows how the lower frequencies diffract quicker than what is predicted by Rayleigh’s law, since in this case \( z_B \) depends on the square of frequency. However, we note how for larger waist size \( w_{pp} \), Bethe’s
diffraction is practically negligible for the whole bandwidth, as we will show in the following. Then, in order to compute the field rate gathered by the first mirror, we would follow the procedure proposed in Ref. 28, calculating the transfer function for the diffraction stage:

\[
T_{\text{Diff}}(\Omega, \theta_{\text{mir}}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{\tan^2(\theta_{\text{mir}}) z_{\text{diff}}^2}{w_{\text{diff}}^2}}} \tag{10}
\]

where \(\theta_{\text{mir}} = \arctan(1/(2f_s))\) and \(f_s = f/D\) are the semi-aperture and the \(f\)-number of the mirror, respectively, whose diameter and focal length are \(D\) and \(f\), respectively (see Figs. 3b and 3c). For more accuracy, we distinguished two cases, defining the confocal parameter \(z_{\text{diff}}(\Omega) = z_B\) if \(k_{\text{THz}}w_{\text{THz}} \leq 1\) whereas \(z_{\text{diff}}(\Omega) = z_R\) if \(k_{\text{THz}}w_{\text{THz}} > 1\), with \(z_R = k_{\text{THz}}w_{\text{THz}}^2/2\) the usual Rayleigh length, which anyway describes quite well the behaviour of the higher frequencies. In order to show the effect of Bethe’s diffraction, we report on a plot of eq. (10) in Fig. 3a, under our working conditions, i.e. \(f_s = 1.4\), \(w_{\text{pp}} = 30 \mu m\), which shows the different behaviour of the two laws. As we can see, the frequencies lower than 2 THz -which fall in the so-called sub-wavelength regime- are very weakly collected by the mirror since its numerical aperture is not wide enough. The black circles in Fig. 3a indicates the trend switch of the curve, i.e. those frequency whose propagation follow again Rayleigh’s law. Since we are mainly interested in as diffraction is related to the pump beam waist and how the former affects the THz pulse bandwidth, we simulated several cases, as reported in Fig. 4, where the generation parameters are fixed, except for the pump waist size. In particular, in Fig. 4a, the comparison among the bandwidths collected through the first parabolic mirror -varying the parameter \(w_{\text{pp}}\) is shown. Again, it is possible to recognize how the mirror cannot efficiently collect the lowest side of the THz bandwidth -which includes the sub-wavelength regime, highlighted by the dashed lines- and that smaller \(w_{\text{pp}}\), bigger such region, as it is stressed by the trend of the curves. The black thicker curve indicates our generation condition, meaning that most of the bandwidth we generated falls into Bethe’s regime, so its inclusion in the model is a crucial aspect in order to achieve agreement with the experiments. Simulations reasonably show that the generation process -for a fixed pump power- is more efficient when the pump beam is tightly focused (in fact, the THz intensity for \(w_{\text{pp}} \approx 200 \mu m\) is at least one order-of-magnitude lower than the others). However, it should be kept in mind that waist sizes too small (\(w_{\text{pp}} \approx 200 \mu m\)) fail to satisfy the hypothesis we imposed when we solved eq. (2), and which could probably give rise to other nonlinear phenomena due to the extreme pump beam focusing, phenomena which are not included in the model and which we are not interested to. On the other hand, pump waists too large (\(w_{\text{pp}} \approx 500 \mu m\)) are not suitable -in addition to the too low efficiency conversion- because, for this case, it has been shown that the THz radiation generated at peripheral transversal positions along the pump beam destructively interfere with the one generated on the axis\(^8\). It is also worth noting that the THz pulse gathered by the off-axis is featured by a central frequency (given by the intensity-weighted average frequency, i.e. the first-order moment with respect to intensity) that moves towards higher frequencies as the waist size decreases.

**Focusing.** THz beam focusing is hampered by the same issues of the collecting stage. Because of the broadband nature of the THz beam, each frequency-component is being focused not only to different spot sizes, but also to different focal planes. This is a serious problem because the strength of the THz electric field is distributed on a volume of the detection crystal, making the detection process more difficult, being based on the optical index modulation caused by the THz field, as we will see later. However, we have to stress that the bandwidth of the beam arriving at the second mirror has not significant low-frequency terms, so their contribution is marginal. This consideration allows us to simply apply the geometrical optics rules. The beam size arriving at the second mirror is:

\[
r_{\text{in}}(\Omega) = \min \left( \frac{D}{2\sqrt{2}}, w_{\text{THz}} \sqrt{1 + \frac{f^2}{z_{\text{diff}}^2(\Omega)}} \right) \tag{11}
\]

where we have supposed, for sake of generality, that the THz beam could diffract more than the dimension of the mirror. Actually, eq. (11), plotted in Fig. 4b, shows how in the sub-wavelength regime, the THz beam diffraction is so strong that the beam radius -ideally measured in front of the mirror- is larger than the cross section of the mirror. This means that the collected THz beam waist is essentially fixed by the mirror dimension for most of the band of interest, for several suitable values of \(w_{\text{pp}}\). Now, if we use Gauss’ formula, it is found out that the mirror focuses the THz beam to a waist as wide as \(w_{\text{foc}}(\Omega) = 2c(\Omega) r_{\text{in}}(\Omega)\), from which the focusing effect could be modelled as:\(^{13}\)

\[
T_{\text{foc}}(\Omega) = \frac{r_{\text{foc}}(\Omega)}{r_{\text{in}}(\Omega)} = \frac{2c(\Omega)}{\Omega r_{\text{in}}^2(\Omega)} \tag{12}
\]

A plot of this transfer function is reported in Fig. 4c, revealing some interesting aspects. Certainly, the most important effect of this stage is the low-frequency enhancement of the generated bandwidth, as it can be also seen in Fig. 4d, in the case of \(r_{\text{foc}}\) practically constant within

---

**Figure 3** (a) \(|T_{\text{Diff}}|^2\) transfer function (eq. 9) compared with Rayleigh’s and Bethe’s diffraction (plotted for a pump beam waist fixed at \(w_{\text{pp}} = 30 \mu m\)). Sketch of the (b) collimating stage and (c) focusing stage.
Electro-optic sampling. Free-space electro-optic sampling is a coherent pulse detection technique, which has been extensively experimented for several years\(^\text{12-41}\). The working principle is sketched in Fig. 5a (refer to Methods). The advantage of EOS is that one can determine not only the amplitude of the pulses but also their phases with high accuracy (\(< 10^{-2}\) rad). Although the simplicity of the above-described mechanism, EOS is afflicted by the same issues of the OR, such as\(^{44,45}\): (i) dispersion and absorption inside the crystal and at the air-crystal interface; (ii) Fabry-Perot effect inside the detection crystal; (iii) finite pulse duration of the probe beam; (iv) phase-mismatch between the probe and THz pulses. Furthermore, a critical problem is (v) the geometrical overlapping between the probe and THz beams. While the modeling of (i–ii) is the same as the previous stages, for (iii–iv) we write the following frequency response for the detection stage:

$$F(\Omega,\omega_{PB}) = A_{\text{corr}}(\Omega) x^{(2)}(\omega_{PB}; \Omega, \omega_{PB} - \Omega) \Delta \Phi(\Omega)$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

where \(A_{\text{corr}}(\Omega)\) is the autocorrelation of the Gaussian probe pulse, which accounts for the loss of resolution due to the finite pulse duration, \(x^{(2)}(\omega_{PB}; \Omega, \omega_{PB} - \Omega)\) is the second-order susceptibility for the DFG case (the same as the OR case, according to Kleinman’s symmetry) and \(\Delta \Phi(\Omega)\) is the frequency-dependent mismatch factor. With simple manipulations, it is found out:

$$A_{\text{corr}}(\Omega) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\tau_{PB}} \exp \left( - \frac{\Omega^2 \tau_{PB}^2}{4} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

$$\Delta \Phi(\Omega) = \exp \left( -i \Delta \kappa(\Omega) L_{\text{det}} - \frac{1}{i \Delta \kappa(\Omega)} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

where \(\tau_{PB}\) is the probe pulse duration, \(\Delta \kappa(\Omega) = k_p(\omega_{PB}) + k(\Omega) - k_p(\omega_{PB} - \Omega)\) is the mismatch term between the probe field, the THz field and the frequency-difference field wave vectors, respectively, and \(L_{\text{det}}\) is the thickness of the detection crystal.

Beams overlapping. The issue mentioned in (v) is due to the fact that THz focusing is frequency-dependent as well. The THz beam could be ideally considered as the overlap among lots of single-frequency
beams, whose waist is frequency-dependent. So, those frequencies whose spot size is more spatially overlapped with the probe one are being detected with higher efficiency, as shown in Fig. 5b. To compute this effect, we have to consider the transversal beam profiles and solve the overlap integral:

\[
T_{overlap}(\Omega) \propto \int \left| E_{PB}(r) \right|^2 r_{THz}^{foc}(\Omega) \, dr = \frac{\pi w_{PB}^2 r_{foc}^2(\Omega)}{w_{THz}^2 + 2 r_{foc}^2(\Omega)} \tag{16}
\]

where we have assumed a Gaussian shape for both beams, whereas \(r_{foc}(\Omega)\) is the THz waist and \(w_{PB}\) is the probe one. It should be noted that eq. (16) is only valid when the two beams are exactly concentric. This effect can be quite important, since the probe beam size is generally smaller than the THz one, due to its focusing into the detection crystal, in order to obtain an enhancement of the EOS efficiency.

**Discussion**

As we mentioned in the beginning, we can then multiply together all the previous terms in order to obtain an overall transfer function \(T_{set-up}\) in the frequency domain, which models the entire set-up behaviour providing the detected THz electric field:

\[
E_{THz}^{det}(\Omega) = T_{set-up}(\Omega, f_{\#}, \theta_{mir}, L_{det}, w_{PB}, \tau_{PB}) \times E_{THz}^{gen}(\Omega, L_{gen}, v_{PP}, f_{PP}) \tag{17}
\]

where \(E_{THz}^{gen}\) is the THz electric field emerging from the generation crystal. Obviously, taking the Fourier transform of eq. (17), one can analyse the instantaneous THz electric field in the temporal domain. However, it should be kept in mind that this can be only performed with a numerical approach, since generally \(E_{THz}^{det}(t)\) cannot be expressed with elementary functions. In order to test the effectiveness of the model, we report on the comparison with the experimental results. As we have mentioned through the text, we built an ad-hoc model for our set-up, so we have already given many experimental parameters, which we list again in the following for simplicity. The generation and detection crystals are both \(\text{ZnTe}\): the first one length is \(L_{gen} = 1\) mm, whereas for the second one \(L_{det} = 2\) mm. The optical source is a Ti:Sapphire pulsed laser (Mai Tai, Spectra-Physics) whose centre emission line is \(\lambda_{PP} = 800\) nm. The intensity pulse duration is \(\tau_{PP} = \tau_{PB} = 200\) fs, whereas the waist sizes focused to the crystals are equal to \(w_{PP} = w_{PB} = 30\) \(\mu\)m, for both beams. The \(f\)-number of both collimating and focusing optics is \(f_{\#} \approx 1.4\). By using these parameters for the simulations, we obtained the results plotted in Figs. 6a and 6b, which represent the comparison.
between the experimental (circles) and the simulated (red solid-line) spectra and waveforms, respectively. The experimental data were obtained in a temporal window of about 50 ps and are indicated as green circles in both the figures. In order to make a convenient comparison with the model, we had to perform a post-processing of these data. In fact, we note how the corresponding spectrum in Fig. 6a (green circles) is corrupted by some unwanted phenomena, mainly related to the choice of the observation window and to the laboratory atmosphere. As regarding to the first point, the observation window at the detection stage has to be wide enough in order to achieve a good frequency resolution. However, in this way, the instrumentation also reveals the spurious THz pulse repetitions, because of the FP effect inside the crystals. From theory, we know that the Fourier transform of a periodically repeated signal in time domain, appears as the sampled spectrum of the fundamental waveform (which, instead, we are interested to). With regards to the standard laboratory atmosphere, it results quite opaque to THz radiation, because of the presence of many resonance lines in such a range of the spectrum, due to the water vapour. As a consequence, the bandwidth lacks the contribution of such frequency components, because of the efficient resonant absorptions. In particular, we stress that the notch around 1.7 THz in Fig. 6a is so strong that the waveform is featured by a residual quasi-sinusoidal ripple at that frequency for positive times. Obviously, the latter is not predictable with an analytical approach. These considerations help us to explain the form of the curve (indicated by the green circles). Nevertheless, to test our model, we needed to manipulate a quasi-ideal waveform and its related spectrum. Thus, we isolated the fundamental THz pulse in time domain -corresponding to the blue circles in Fig. 6b- and calculated the corresponding spectrum plotted via the blue circles, as shown in Fig. 6a. The two curves can now be compared with our simulations. As we can see, the agreement between the model (red line) and the experimental curves is very good, meaning that our model is able to describe quite well the various phenomena that take place in the set-up. In particular, the spectra demonstrate the hypothesis of sub-wavelength generation for almost all the bandwidth, exactly as described by Bethe’s diffraction, which is a crucial aspect of the THz propagation modelling. Moreover, it can be noticed that the central frequency is about $f_{\text{mean}} = 1$ THz, whereas the bandwidth measures about $B_{f_2} = 1.2$ THz, as provided by the PM conditions (5) and (7) with $L_{\text{syn}} = 1$ mm. Conversely, in time domain, the matching between the simulated and experimental waveforms is very good, and both show that the obtained THz profile significantly deviates from the single-cycle case -as usual for ZnTe crystal- since the bandwidth generated is not wide enough.

In this work we built an analytical 1-D model able to simulate a typical generation and detection THz pulses set-up based on OR and EOS. We studied the phenomena that occur along the THz beam propagation, in order to obtain an analytical approach that allowed us to predict the behaviour of each stage by means of a proper frequency-dependent transfer function. We compared the results of the simulations with the experimental data, obtaining a good agreement. This means that our study of the theoretical phenomena was careful enough to take into account the main frequency properties of the stages. The peculiarity of the simulated waveforms consists in assuming them as reference signal, when employed for the study of particular properties of materials such as their frequency-response (for example in the TDS technique), whenever it is not possible to obtain their profile without external and unwanted phenomena.

**Methods**

**Experimental set-up.** The experimental set-up is sketched in Fig. 1. A train of ultrashort laser pulses at 800 nm, emitted by a Ti:Sapphire laser (Mai Tai, Spectra-Physics) is focused through a lens into a <110> ZnTe sample, which acts as the nonlinear generation crystal, giving rise to the OR. It is worth to highlight the possibility of tailoring the size of the pump waist focused into the generation crystal, by moving the position of the lens along the propagation axis. The resulting THz broadband beam and the optical beam emerge from the crystal and are handled by a 2-f 2-f coherent system consisting of two 90° off-axis parabolic metal mirrors with focal $f = 50$ mm and diameter $D = 50.8$ mm (see Figs. 2b and 2c), for both the collecting and the focusing stages, which are free from spherical aberrations. The latter is an essential property, since THz beam spreads very strongly and spherical mirrors are thus unsuitable. After the collecting mirror, the pump beam is filtered by means of an IR-opaque material, whereas the THz beam is focused into the detection crystal -a <110>-ZnTe sample- together with the optical (IR) probe pulse, thus providing the coherent nature of the set-up. Also the focusing lens allows to adjust the waist size of the focused THz beam. Finally, the EOS technique (see the following subsection) permits to detect the train of THz pulses, by means of the downstream electronic instrumentation.

**EOS working-principle.** The Electro-Optic Sampling is based on the Pockels effect inside electro-optic (EO) crystals, the dual phenomenon of the OR, thus it can be described with similar equations. Physically, a linearly polarized optical probe pulse $E_{\text{probe}}(t)$—generally obtained by splitting the pump beam—is launched into the detection crystal together with the THz wave under the PM condition. However, compared to the optical field, THz field is almost constant for several tens of optical periods. This means that THz field—which we assume linearly polarized as well—provides a change of the refractive index along one axis of the EO crystal, making it slightly birefringent. Therefore, while $E_{\text{probe}}$ is being propagated through the material, its polarization becomes slightly elliptical depending on the THz strength. By separating the two optical orthogonal components and sending them to a balanced photodetector, THz field time-varying amplitude is recovered by their difference. As tradiantly said “sampling”, since the electronics on the detection side captures a sample of the instantaneous THz pulse at each laser probe pulse so the pulse is simply obtained by bringing together this value sequence.
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