Dressed-state amplification by a superconducting qubit
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We demonstrate amplification of a microwave signal by a strongly driven two-level system in a coplanar waveguide resonator. The effect known from optics as dressed-state lasing is observed with a single quantum system formed by a persistent current (flux) qubit. The transmission through the resonator is enhanced when the Rabi frequency of the driven qubit is tuned into resonance with one of the resonator modes. Amplification as well as linewidth narrowing of a weak probe signal has been observed. The laser emission at the resonator’s fundamental mode has been studied by measuring the emission spectrum. We analyzed our system and found an excellent agreement between the experimental results and the theoretical predictions obtained in the dressed-state model.

The concept of inversionless amplification of a probe field by coherently strongly driven two-level systems has been pioneered several decades ago [1, 2]. The coupling between the driving field and the two-level quantum system is characterized by the so-called Rabi frequency. Two resonances occur at the Rabi sidebands with the frequencies

\[ \omega_s = \omega_d \pm \Omega_R, \quad (1) \]

where \( \Omega_R = \sqrt{\Omega_{R0}^2 + \delta^2} \) is the generalized Rabi frequency at the detuning \( \delta = \omega_d - \omega_q \) between driving field frequency \( \omega_d \) and qubit transition frequency \( \omega_q \), and \( \Omega_{R0} \) is the on-resonance Rabi frequency. The analysis of such systems shows that there is an absorption of the probe field at one of the Rabi sidebands \( \omega_p = \omega_d + \Omega_R \) and a gain at the other one \( \omega_p = \omega_d - \Omega_R \). Indeed, the amplification (damping) was realized experimentally by Wu et al. [3], and lasing was demonstrated by Khitrova et al. [4].

The active medium of conventional lasers and amplifiers consists of many quantum systems, which are usually natural molecules and atoms. Due to the tiny size of these objects, they are weakly coupled to the cavity. Nevertheless, the strong coupling regime has been achieved and a single atom laser with vanishing pumping threshold has been convincingly demonstrated [5]. By using superconducting qubits as artificial atoms this regime can be achieved easily. As a consequence, lasing action with a single Josephson-junction charge qubit has been realized [6].

Characteristic frequencies of superconducting qubits belong to the microwave frequency range. Recent activities, motivated by quantum limited measurements at this range and the implementation of quantum information processing devices, require extremely low-noise microwave amplifiers. Such amplifiers are usually based on the nonlinearity of superconducting weak links [6, 10]. Recently, the squeezing of quantum noise and its measurement below the standard quantum limit was demonstrated [11]. These successful experiments motivated the development of a new generation of parametric amplifiers based on 'classical' Josephson junction structures [12, 13]. However, quantized energy levels of superconducting qubits can also be used for these purposes. For instance, the stimulated emission by a superconducting qubit was successfully employed for the amplification of a microwave signal passing through a transmission line [14].

In this Letter, we show that the concept of dressed-state lasers [4, 15] can be used for the amplification of a microwave signal. We demonstrate experimentally a proof of principle of a dressed-state amplifier with a single two-level system and provide a full theoretical analysis. The present realization exploits the direct transition at the Rabi frequency [16] \( \Omega_R \) rather than the sideband transitions \( \omega_s \), usually used in quantum optics. Here the Rabi frequency is tuned into resonance with the oscillator, providing a qubit-resonator energy exchange at the Rabi frequency.

In order to show dressed-state amplification we have chosen a flux (or persistent-current qubit) as an artificial atom coupled to a superconducting coplanar waveguide resonator. The niobium (Nb) resonator was fabricated by e-beam lithography and dry etching of a 200-nm-thick Nb film, deposited on a silicon substrate. The length of the resonator’s central conductor is \( L \approx 23 \text{ mm} \) which results in a resonance frequency of \( \omega_r/2\pi \approx 2.5 \text{ GHz} \) for the fundamental half-wavelength mode. The width of the central conductor is 50 \( \mu \text{m} \), and the gap between the central conductor and the ground plane is 30 \( \mu \text{m} \) resulting in a wave impedance of about 50 \( \Omega \). In the middle of the resonator the central conductor is tapered to a width of 1 \( \mu \text{m} \) for a length of 30 \( \mu \text{m} \) with a 9 \( \mu \text{m} \) gap (see Fig. 1), which provides better qubit-resonator coupling and a small impedance mismatch to detune the harmonics of the resonator. We achieved a detuning of about 25 linewidths between fundamental mode and third harmonic. At millikelvin temperatures the resonator quality factor is measured to be \( Q \approx 4 \times 10^4 \).

The aluminum flux qubit was fabricated in the central part of the resonator by making use of conventional shadow evaporation technique. The qubit loop size \( (12 \times 5 \mu \text{m}^2) \) is interrupted by three Josephson junctions. Two of them have a nominal size of \((550 \times 120 \text{ nm}^2)\), while the third is about 25 percent smaller. Two cryoperm shields and one superconducting shield are used to minimize the influence of the external magnetic fields. The sample was thermally anchored to the
mixing chamber of a dilution refrigerator, providing a base temperature of about 10 mK.

The parameters of the qubit, the persistent current \( I_p = 12 \) nA and the minimum transition frequency (gap) \( \Delta/2\pi = 3.7 \) GHz, were determined from the transmission of the resonator measured as a function of the qubit transition frequency. As the gap of the qubit is higher than the frequency of the fundamental mode, we observe a dispersive shift of the resonator’s fundamental frequency. The energy gap and persistent current of the qubit were separately determined from this dispersive shift \(^{[17]}\) and from resonant interaction \(^{[18, 19]}\) combined with the positions of the anticrossings \(^{[20, 21]}\). Similar to Ref. \(^{[22]}\) both sets of data are completely consistent.
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FIG. 1: (color online) (a) Electron micrograph of the central part of the resonator: the central line of the resonator (left), qubit (middle), and the gold film resistor (right). (b) Sketch of the dressed system manifolds \( N \) and \( N+1 \). The dashed lines correspond to the uncoupled states. The qubit relaxation rate \( \Gamma \) is sketched for the uncoupled states. When coupled the levels will split depending on the photon state \( N \). (c) After tracing over the photon number \( N \) an effective two-level system, denoted with states \( |1\rangle \) and \( |2\rangle \) is obtained. Both the sign and strength of the relaxation (excitation) in this system depend on the detuning. For large detunings \( |\delta| \) the excitation (relaxation) rate can be identified with the qubit relaxation rate (see Eq. 7). This feature follows directly from the property of the superposition between qubit ground and excited state.

The for a flux qubit unusual low persistent current was chosen for emission stability and efficiency. We have decreased the critical current of the Josephson junctions and increased the energy difference of the two-level system to make it more immune against flux fluctuation \(^{[23]}\). Although the qubit became more sensitive to charge fluctuations, we did not observe any significant charge noise effects. In addition, the relaxation time was intentionally decreased by a gold resistor placed in parallel to one arm of the qubit loop (see Fig. 1).

In the first set of experiments, the frequency of the driving signal \( \omega_d \) was set to the frequency of the third harmonic of the resonator \( \omega_d \approx 3\omega_r \). The odd harmonics of the resonator provide optimal coupling of the driving signal to the qubit. The transmission of a weak coherent probe signal, populating the resonator with an average photon number less than one, was measured at frequencies \( \omega_p \) close to the frequency of the resonator’s fundamental mode \( \omega_r \). The dependence of the transmission on the qubit transition frequency is shown in Fig. 2. The two dark areas correspond to the amplification. The inset shows the Lorentzian shaped transmission vs. the detuning in the amplification point (dashed line) and out of the Rabi resonance (solid line). The experiments were done at the presence of a strong driving field applied at the third harmonic of the resonator. (b) Numerical simulations by making use of Eq. 6 in a four photon space. The probing power is assumed to be weak creating a coherent state with a mean photon number less than one in the fundamental mode.
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FIG. 2: (color online) (a) Normalized transmission amplitude of the probe signal as a function of the detuning from the resonator’s fundamental mode frequency \( (\omega_p - \omega_r)/2\pi \) and qubit energy bias \( \epsilon/2\pi \). The inset shows the Lorentzian shaped transmission vs. the detuning in the amplification point (dashed line) and out of the Rabi resonance (solid line). The experiments were done at the presence of a strong driving field applied at the third harmonic of the resonator.
ternal flux in the qubit loop. Quantitatively, the amplitudes of

the resonant curves at these points are increased by 10 % and show linewidth narrowing; the resonator loss rate is reduced as well by about 10 %, see inset in Fig. 2. For quantitative analysis, we consider the Hamiltonian of a driven qubit, using an approach similar to Ref. [16]. However, we use a quantized analysis, we consider the Hamiltonian of a driven qubit, using as well by about linewidth narrowing; the resonator loss rate is reduced the resonance curves at these points are increased by

is calculated according to Eq. 4 and used to map the on resonance
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By diagonalization the Hamiltonian is transformed to the dressed-state basis. This yields a ladder

\[ H_d = \hbar \omega_d \left( b^\dagger b + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \hbar \omega_q \sigma_z + \hbar g_d \omega_q \left( b^\dagger \sigma_- + b \sigma_+ \right). \tag{2} \]

where we introduced the number operator \( \hat{n} = \sum N \langle 1N \rangle \langle 1N \rangle + \langle 2N \rangle \langle 2N \rangle \) and the Rabi operator \( \hat{\Omega}_R = \sum \hat{\Omega}_R^{(N)} \langle 1N \rangle \langle 1N \rangle - \langle 2N \rangle \langle 2N \rangle \). The dressed states \( |1N\rangle \) and \( |2N\rangle \) are found by a standard transformation [24]. We use the modified generalized Rabi frequency as:

\[ \Omega_R^{(N)} = \sqrt{\delta^2 + 4g_b^2 N \Delta^2 / \omega_q^2}, \tag{4} \]

where \( \delta = \omega_d - \omega_q \) is the detuning. For large \( N \) and small deviations from the average photon number \( \langle N \rangle \) of the driving cavity field, \( \Omega_R^{(N)} \) can be substituted by the constant value \( \Omega_R = \Omega_R^{(1N)} \). In the dressed-state basis the interaction between the dressed-state system and the resonator’s fundamental mode is described by the Hamiltonian:

\[ H = H_d + \hbar \omega_a a^\dagger a + \hbar g_e \left( \frac{\Omega_R^{(N)} N \Delta z}{\Omega_R} \right) |a^\dagger + a\rangle + H_p. \tag{5} \]

Here, the fundamental mode of the resonator is expressed by the product of the creation and annihilation operators \( a^\dagger \) and \( a \); \( g_e \) is the effective coupling constant of that mode to the qubit, and the probing field is described by \( H_p = \hbar \Omega_p \cos \omega_p t \langle a^\dagger + a \rangle \). Note that the Hamiltonian in Eq. 4 reflects the dynamics at the Rabi frequency: the transitions between the dressed states from different manifold \( N \) are neglected.

The dynamics of this Rabi lasing system is essentially dissipative. The effects of dissipation can be accounted for by the Liouville equation for the density matrix of the system, including the relevant damping terms, which are assumed to be of Markovian form. The corresponding damping (so-called Lindblad) terms are found for relaxation with rate \( \Gamma \) and dephasing with rate \( \Gamma_\phi \) of the qubit as well as the photon decay of the resonator with rate \( \kappa \).

The Liouville equation for the density matrix of the system can be written in the usual form

\[ -\frac{i}{\hbar} [H, \rho] + L^R(\rho) + L_\tau(\rho) = 0 \tag{6} \]

for the reduced elements of the density matrix \( \rho \) in the rotating frame. The damping terms, \( L^R \) from the qubit and \( L_\tau \) for the resonator, are written in the dressed-state basis. Since the operators \( a^\dagger \) and \( a \) are the same in both basis, the photon decay of the resonator’s fundamental mode is not changed after this transformation. Nevertheless, the population of the dressed states itself is modified by the relaxation rates of the qubit. By
omitting the qubits decoherence rate and the oscillating terms in the rotating frame the relaxation of the qubit in the dressed basis reads

\[
L_{11}^{R} = \frac{\Gamma}{2\Omega_{R}} \left( 1 - \frac{\delta}{\Omega_{R}} \right) \rho_{11} + \left( 1 + \frac{\delta}{\Omega_{R}} \right) \rho_{22}
\]

\[
L_{22}^{R} = -L_{11}^{R}.
\]

Here, \( L_{11}^{R} = tr^{(N)}(1N|L_{q}|1N) \) and \( L_{22}^{R} = tr^{(N)}(2N|L_{q}|2N) \) are two reduced elements of the Lindblad operator for the dressed-state system, which yield from the standard Markovian Lindblad operator \( L_{q} \) in the qubit’s eigenbasis. We similarly introduced the reduced elements of the density matrix \( \rho_{11} = tr^{(N)}(1N|\rho|1N) \) and \( \rho_{22} = tr^{(N)}(2N|\rho|2N) \). Since the states of this effective two-level system are formed by a superposition of qubit ground and excited state, the rate of relaxation depends on the weight of both states in this superposition. This is illustrated in Fig.1 (b) and (c). The relaxation is mainly defined by the detuning. Moreover, for positive detuning \( \delta \) the relaxation of the qubit puts the effective two-level system to the higher energetic state. Therefore, in the frame of the dressed-state model, a population inversion of the energy levels is created. For small detunings the dephasing rate equalizes the population of the two reduced dressed levels.

In order to find the quasi steady state density matrix elements we solved Eq. (6) numerically. We limited the photon space of the resonators fundamental mode to a size of four, since we considered weak probing but expect an increasing photon number due to the amplification effect. The transmission of the resonator is then given by the expectation value of the annihilation operator \( \langle a \rangle \) [21]. Theory and experimentally obtained transmission data are in good agreement (Fig. 2). We observe the amplification of the weak probe signal as well as the linewidth narrowing, see Fig. 2. From the best fitting the following parameters of the system were obtained \( g/2\pi \approx 0.8 \text{ MHz}, \quad \epsilon/2\pi \approx 65 \text{ kHz}, \quad \Gamma/2\pi \approx 80 \text{ MHz}, \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_{\phi} \ll \Gamma \).

The pure dephasing is negligible relative to the relaxation rate which was intentionally enhanced by the gold film resistor.

The power dependence of the amplification point (Fig. 3) has been described as well. The dotted line in Fig. 3a) keeps the resonance condition \( \Omega_{R} = \omega_{p} \) as a function of the detuning \( \delta \) and driving power. By making use of this condition the photon number was calculated according to Eq. 4. Consistency between theory and experiment was also found in this case. The optimum of the amplification process results from the dependence of the effective excitation rates and the coupling constant on the detuning \( \delta \), since a monotonic dependence of the qubit excitation rate \( (\Gamma \propto \delta/\Omega_{R}) \) is compensated by the decreasing of the effective coupling \( (g_{e} \propto \Omega_{d}/\Omega_{R}) \).

In a second set of experiments we removed the probing field and measured the emission of the resonator at its fundamental mode with optimum amplification parameters. The results are shown in Fig. 4. An increasing of the emission in the presence of strong driving (open circles) was clearly observed, compared to the thermal response of the resonator (dots). Standard fitting of the data by Lorentzian curves demonstrates a linewidth narrowing. In general, this could indicate laser action in the system, but this issue requires further study.

In conclusion, we designed a superconducting resonator-qubit system and demonstrated experimentally the main features of dressed-state amplification of a probe field by a strongly driven qubit directly at the Rabi-frequency. Linewidth narrowing for the transmission of the weak probe field was observed. Furthermore, free emission provided by the strong driving was detected. Numerical simulations done in the frame of the dressed-state model and obtained experimental results are completely consistent. We believe that optimizations in the design could lead to the realization of new types of quantum microwave amplifiers and sources.
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