PERIODS OF QUATERNIONIC SHIMURA VARIETIES. I.

ATSUSHI ICHINO AND KARTIK PRASANNA

Abstract. We study “quadratic periods” on quaternionic Shimura varieties and formulate an integral refinement of Shimura’s conjecture regarding Petersson inner products of automorphic forms that are related by the Jacquet-Langlands correspondence. The main result is that this integral refinement is implied by another conjecture (Conjecture D below) regarding integrality of theta lifts between certain quaternionic unitary groups.
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Introduction

In this paper and its sequels [31], [32], we study periods of automorphic forms on quaternionic Shimura varieties. Specifically, the periods that we focus on are the Petersson inner products of Hilbert modular forms and of their Jacquet–Langlands lifts to quaternionic Shimura varieties. This subject was pioneered by Shimura who proved many results on algebraicity of ratios of Petersson inner products and made a precise general conjecture ([68] Conjecture 5.10) that predicts a large number of algebraic relations in the \( \mathbb{Q} \)-algebra generated by such periods. Shimura’s conjecture was proved by Harris [23] under a technical hypothesis on the local components of the corresponding automorphic representation. This hypothesis was relaxed partly by Yoshida [78], who also used these period relations to prove a refined conjecture of Shimura ([68] Conj. 5.12, [69] Conj 9.3) on the factorization of Petersson...
inner products into fundamental periods up to algebraic factors. In later papers [24], [25], Harris has considered the question of generalizing such period relations to the setting of unitary Shimura varieties. Specialized to the case of hermitian spaces of dimension two, these latter results provide more precise information about the fields of rationality of quadratic period ratios of quaternionic modular forms.

In this series of papers, we will study the corresponding integrality questions. The simplest interesting case is the period ratio

$$\frac{\langle f, f \rangle}{\langle g, g \rangle}$$

where $f$ is a usual modular form of (even) weight $2k$ (for $GL_2$ over $\mathbb{Q}$) and trivial central character, and $g$ is its lift to a Shimura curve corresponding to an indefinite quaternion algebra also over $\mathbb{Q}$. The forms $f$ and $g$ here are assumed to be newforms and to be suitably integrally normalized. In this case, there is a very precise rationality result due to Harris and Kudla [27] which asserts that the ratio above lies in the field generated by the Hecke eigenvalues of $f$. As for the more refined integrality question, what is known is the following:

(i) In the special case when the weight $2k$ equals 2 and $f$ corresponds to an isogeny class of elliptic curves, it can be shown (see [58], §2.2.1) that the period ratio above equals (up to Eisenstein primes) an explicit product of Tamagawa numbers, which in turn are related to level-lowering congruences satisfied by the form $f$. This suggests that such period ratios contain rather deep arithmetic information. The proof in this case follows from combining three geometric ingredients:

- The work of Ribet on level-lowering [62] and its extension due to Ribet and Takahashi [63] which depend on a study of the geometry of Shimura curves, especially a description of their bad reduction and of the component groups of the Néron models of their Jacobians.
- The Tate conjecture for products of curves over number fields, which was proved by Faltings [15], and which implies that modular elliptic curves are equipped with a uniformization map $X \to E$, with $X$ being a Shimura curve.
- A study of the Manin constant for the map $X \to E$, following [51], [13], [11].

(ii) In the more general case of weight $2k > 2$, such geometric arguments are not available. The main obstruction is that the Tate conjecture is unknown for products of Kuga–Sato varieties. Instead, one may try to use purely automorphic techniques. This is the strategy employed in [58], where we showed (using the theta correspondence and results from Iwasawa theory) that for $f$ and $g$ of arbitrary even weight, the ratio $(f,f)/(g,g)$ is integral outside of an explicit finite set of small primes, and further that it is always divisible by primes at which the form $f$ satisfies certain level-lowering congruences. The converse divisibility and the more precise relation to Tamagawa numbers is also expected to hold in general, but seems harder to prove. This is one problem that we hope to eventually address by the methods of this paper.

Let us now elaborate a bit on the relation of this problem to the Tate conjecture. As described above, the case of weight two forms for $GL_2$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ is relatively simple since one knows by Faltings that the Tate conjecture holds for a product of curves. This implies that there exists an algebraic cycle on the product $X_1 \times X_2$, where $X_1$ and $X_2$ are modular and Shimura curves respectively, that at the level of cohomology, identifies the $f$ and $g$-isotypic components of the “motives” $H^1(X_1)$ and $H^1(X_2)$ respectively. The rationality of the period ratio $(f,f)/(g,g)$ is then a simple consequence of the fact that such a cycle induces an isomorphism of the Hodge–de Rham structures [22] attached to $f$ and $g$. For forms of higher weight, the Jacquet–Langlands correspondence can similarly be used to produce Tate classes on a product $W_1 \times W_2$ where $W_1$ and $W_2$ are Kuga–Sato varieties fibered over $X_1$ and $X_2$ respectively. However, we are very far from understanding the Tate (or even Hodge) conjecture in this case. The case of Hilbert modular forms considered in this paper is even harder: in the simplest setting, namely for forms of parallel weight two and trivial central character, the Tate conjecture predicts the
existence of algebraic cycles on products of the form $X \times (X_1 \times X_2)$, where $X$, $X_1$ and $X_2$ are suitably chosen quaternionic Shimura varieties such that $\dim(X) = \dim(X_1) + \dim(X_2)$. Again, these cycles should induce isomorphisms of Hodge–de Rham structures $H^r(X) \otimes H^r(X_1) \otimes H^r(X_2)$ in that turn should imply the predicted period relations up to rationality. (Here the subscript $\Pi$ denotes the $\Pi$-isotypic component for a fixed automorphic representation $\Pi = \Pi_\infty \otimes \Pi_f$.) This point of view—although the factorization of Hodge structures—occurs explicitly in the work of Oda [53, 54]. It is worth remarking here that the Tate and Hodge conjectures are only expected to hold rationally in general and not integrally, and thus by themselves do not predict any statements about integrality of period ratios. Nevertheless, the discussion above suggests that in the setting of arithmetic automorphic forms on Shimura varieties, such integral relations do hold and that their proofs lie much deeper than those of the corresponding rational relations.

With this background, we will outline the main results of this paper. Let $F$ be a totally real number field with $[F : \mathbb{Q}] = d$, ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_F$, class number $h_F$ and discriminant $D_F$. Let $\Pi = \otimes_v \Pi_v$ be an irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ of weight $(k_1, \ldots, k_d, r)$, conductor $\mathfrak{N}$ and central character $\xi_F$. We assume that $k_1 \equiv k_2 \equiv \cdots \equiv k_d \equiv r \pmod{2}$ and all the $k_i \geq 1$. These are thus the automorphic representations associated with classical Hilbert modular forms. (Note that we allow forms of partial or parallel weight one.)

For simplicity we will assume that at all finite places $v$ where $\Pi_v$ is ramified, it is either a special representation with square-free conductor (i.e., an unramified twist of the Steinberg representation) or a ramified principal series representation $\text{Ind}(\chi \otimes \chi_2)$ with $\chi_1$ unramified and $\chi_2$ ramified. We can thus factor the conductor $\mathfrak{N}$ of $\Pi$ as

$$\mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{N}_s \cdot \mathfrak{N}_{ps},$$

where $\mathfrak{N}_s$ is the (square-free) product of the conductors at places where $\Pi_v$ is special and $\mathfrak{N}_{ps}$ is the product of the conductors at places where $\Pi_v$ is ramified principal series.

Let $K_\Pi$ be the number field generated by the Hecke eigenvalues of $\Pi$ and $\mathcal{O}_{K_\Pi}$ the ring of integers of $K_\Pi$. We set $N_\Pi := N\mathfrak{N}$, $k_\Pi := \max k_i$ and

$$N(\Pi) := 2 \cdot h_F \cdot D_F \cdot N_\Pi \cdot k_\Pi!,$$

$$R := \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}^{\times}[1/N(\Pi)].$$

Let $\Sigma_F$ denote the set of all places of $F$ and $\Sigma_\infty$ and $\Sigma_{\text{fin}}$ the subsets of infinite and finite places respectively. Let $\Sigma_\Pi$ be the set of places $v$ of $F$ at which $\Pi_v$ is discrete series. Thus, $\Sigma_\Pi$ equals the union of $\Sigma_{\Pi,\infty}$ and $\Sigma_{\Pi,\text{fin}}$, where

$$\Sigma_{\Pi,\infty} := \Sigma_\Pi \cap \Sigma_\infty = \{v \in \Sigma_\infty : k_v \geq 2\},$$

$$\Sigma_{\Pi,\text{fin}} := \Sigma_\Pi \cap \Sigma_{\text{fin}} = \{v \in \Sigma_{\text{fin}} : \text{ord}_v(\mathfrak{N}_s) > 0\}.$$ 

For any quaternion algebra $B$ over $F$, let $\Sigma_B$ denote the set of places of $F$ at which $B$ is ramified. Also set

$$\Sigma_{B,\infty} := \Sigma_B \cap \Sigma_\infty,$$

$$\Sigma_{B,\text{fin}} := \Sigma_B \cap \Sigma_{\text{fin}}.$$ 

Henceforth we suppose that $\Sigma_B \subset \Sigma_\Pi$, so that by Jacquet–Langlands [53], $\Pi$ transfers to an automorphic representation $\Pi_B$ of $B'^\times(\mathbb{A})$. To such a pair $(B, \Pi)$, we will attach in Sec. 1.4 below a canonical quadratic period invariant

$$q_B(\Pi) \in \mathbb{C}^\times/R^\times.$$ 

This period invariant is essentially (i.e., up to some factors coming from normalizations of measures) equal to the Petersson inner product of a normalized eigenform $f_B$ in $\Pi_B$. Here we use the assumption that $\mathfrak{N}_s$ is square-free to first fix $f_B$ up to a scalar. The scalar is then fixed by requiring that $f_B$
correspond to an integrally normalized section of a suitable automorphic vector bundle on the Shimura variety associated with the algebraic group $B$.

The goal of this paper and its sequels is to study the relations between the invariants $q_B(\Pi)$ for fixed $\Pi$ as $B$ varies over all quaternion algebras in $\Sigma_{\Pi}$. The following conjecture is a more precise version of [59] Conjecture 4.2 and provides an integral refinement of Shimura’s conjecture on algebraic period relations. The reader may consult Sec. 4 of [59] for a discussion of the motivation behind this formulation. To state the conjecture, let $L(s, \Pi, \text{ad})$ denote the adjoint (finite) $L$-function attached to $\Pi$ and let $\Lambda(s, \Pi, \text{ad})$ denote the corresponding completed $L$-function that includes the $\Gamma$-factors at the infinite places. Let us recall the following invariant of $\Pi$, which has played a crucial role in the study of congruences of modular forms (see [29], [30], [75]):

(I.1) $\Lambda(\Pi) := \Lambda(1, \Pi, \text{ad})$.

**Conjecture A.** There exists a function $c(\Pi) : \Sigma_{\Pi} \to \mathbb{C}^\times / \mathbb{R}^\times$, $v \mapsto c_v(\Pi)$, such that:

(i) $c_v(\Pi)$ lies in $R \mod \mathbb{R}^\times$ if $v$ is a finite place, and 
(ii) for all $B$ with $\Sigma_B \subseteq \Sigma_{\Pi}$, we have

$$q_B(\Pi) = \prod_{v \in \Sigma_B} c_v(\Pi) \quad (\text{in } \mathbb{C}^\times / \mathbb{R}^\times).$$

**Remark 1.** It is easy to see that if it exists, the function $c(\Pi)$ is uniquely determined as long as $|\Sigma_{\Pi}| \geq 3$. Also, as the notation suggests, the invariants $c_v(\Pi)$ are not invariants of the local representation $\Pi_v$ but rather are really invariants of the global representation $\Pi$.

**Remark 2.** The conjecture should be viewed as describing period relations between the quadratic periods $q_B(\Pi)$ as $B$ varies. Indeed, the number of $B$ with $\Sigma_B \subseteq \Sigma_{\Pi}$ is $2^{|\Sigma_{\Pi}| - 1}$ but the conjecture predicts that the corresponding invariants $q_B(\Pi)$ can all be described using only $|\Sigma_{\Pi}| + 1$ invariants, namely the $L$-value $\Lambda(\Pi)$ and the additional invariants $c_v(\Pi)$, which are $|\Sigma_{\Pi}|$ in number.

**Remark 3.** For $B = M_2(F)$, the conjecture simply predicts that

$$q_{M_2(F)}(\Pi) = \Lambda(\Pi) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{C}^\times / \mathbb{R}^\times.$$

This piece of the conjecture is known to be true. Indeed, it follows from the fact that the integral normalization of $f_B$ in the split case coincides with the $q$-expansion normalization (see [11], §5), combined with the well known relation between the Petersson inner product of a Whittaker normalized form $f \in \Pi$ and the value of the adjoint $L$-function at $s = 1$. (See Prop. 6.6 for instance.)

It is natural to ask for an independent description of the invariants $c_v(\Pi)$. Before discussing this, we recall the notion of Eisenstein primes for $\Pi$. To any finite place $\lambda$ of $K_{\Pi}$, one can associate (by [67], [9], [12], [65], [5], [74], [71], [37]; see also [4]) an irreducible two dimensional Galois representation

$$\rho_{\Pi, \lambda} : \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/F) \to \text{GL}_2(K_{\Pi, \lambda})$$

that is characterized up to isomorphism by the requirement that

$$\text{tr} \rho_{\Pi, \lambda}(\text{Frob}_v) = a_v(\Pi)$$

for any finite place $v$ of $F$ that is prime to $\mathfrak{N} \cdot N\lambda$, with $a_v(\Pi)$ being the eigenvalue of the Hecke operator $T_v$ acting on a new-vector in $\Pi_v$. Choose a model for $\rho_{\Pi, \lambda}$ that takes values in $\text{GL}_2(O_{K_{\Pi, \lambda}})$.
and denote by \( \tilde{\rho}_{\Pi,\lambda} \) the semisimplification of the mod \( \lambda \) reduction of \( \rho_{\Pi,\lambda} \). The isomorphism class of \( \tilde{\rho}_{\Pi,\lambda} \) is independent of the choice of model of \( \rho_{\Pi,\lambda} \). Let \( F_\lambda = \mathcal{O}_K/\lambda \) be the residue field at \( \lambda \). The prime \( \lambda \) is said to be Eisenstein for \( \Pi \) if

\[
\tilde{\rho}_{\Pi,\lambda} : \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/F) \to \text{GL}_2(F_\lambda)
\]

is (absolutely) reducible, and non-Eisenstein otherwise.

Let \( N(\Pi)_{\text{Eis}} \) be the product of the \( N \lambda \) as \( \lambda \) varies over all the Eisenstein primes for \( \Pi \). (There are only finitely many such.) Let \( \tilde{R} \) denote the ring

\[
\tilde{R} := R[1/N(\Pi)_{\text{Eis}}] = \mathcal{O}_F[1/N(\Pi),N(\Pi)_{\text{Eis}}].
\]

The following conjecture characterizes the invariants \( c_v(\Pi) \) for finite places \( v \) up to Eisenstein primes, relating them to level-lowering congruences for \( \Pi \). (It is obviously conditional on the truth of Conjecture A.)

**Conjecture B.** Suppose that \( v \) belongs to \( \Sigma_{\Pi,\text{fin}} \). Let \( L \supseteq K_\Pi \) be a number field containing (a representative of) \( c_v(\Pi) \) and let \( \lambda \) be a finite place of \( L \) such that \((\lambda,N(\Pi)) = 1\). Let \( \lambda \) be the place of \( K_\Pi \) under \( \lambda \) and suppose that \( \Pi \) is not Eisenstein at \( \lambda \). Then \( v_\lambda(c_v(\Pi)) \) equals the largest integer \( n \) such that \( \rho_{\Pi,\lambda} \mod \lambda^n \) is unramified at \( v \).

At the infinite places \( v \), one might hope to similarly a description of the invariants \( c_v(\Pi) \) purely in terms of the compatible system \( \rho_{\Pi,\lambda} \) of two-dimensional Galois representations attached to \( \Pi \). In principle, to such a system one should be able to attach a motive defined over \( F \), and the \( c_v(\Pi) \) should be related to periods of this motive taken with respect to suitable integral structures on the de Rham and Betti realizations. In practice, the only case in which one can make an unconditional definition is when \( \Pi \) satisfies the following conditions:

(a) \( \Pi \) is of parallel weight 2, that is \( k = (2,\ldots,2) \).
(b) Either \( d(=[F:\mathbb{Q}]) \) is odd or \( \Sigma_{\Pi,\text{fin}} \) is nonempty.

If \( \Pi \) satisfies both (\( A \)) and (\( B \)) above, it is known (using (\( B \))) that one can associate to \( \Pi \) an abelian variety \( A \) over \( F \) (or more precisely, an isogeny class of abelian varieties) such that

- \( \dim(A) = [K_\Pi : \mathbb{Q}] \);
- \( \text{End}_F(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \supseteq K_\Pi \);
- \( A \) has good reduction outside \( \mathfrak{g} \);
- For any prime \( \lambda \) of \( K_\Pi \) lying over a rational prime \( \ell \), the representation \( \rho_{\Pi,\lambda} \) is isomorphic to the representation of \( \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/F) \) on \( H^1_{et}(A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}},\mathbb{Q}_\ell) \otimes_{K_\Pi \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell} K_{\Pi,\lambda} \).

We may pick in the isogeny class above an abelian variety \( A \) such that \( \text{End}_F(A) \supseteq \mathcal{O}_{K_\Pi} \). Then one can make a precise conjecture for \( c_v(\Pi) \) for \( v \in \Sigma_\infty \) in terms of the periods of \( A \). Here, we will be content to state this conjecture in the case \( K_\Pi = \mathbb{Q} \), namely when \( A \) is an elliptic curve over \( F \). Let \( \mathcal{A} \) denote the Néron model of \( A \) over \( R_F := \mathcal{O}_F[1/N(\Pi)] \). Then \( \mathcal{L} := H^0(\mathcal{A},\Omega^1_{\mathcal{A}/R_F}) \) is an invertible \( R_F \)-module. This module can be trivialized by picking a large enough number field \( K \supseteq F \) and extending scalars to the ring \( R_K := \mathcal{O}_K[1/N(\Pi)] \). Pick a generator \( \omega \) for \( \mathcal{L} \otimes_{R_F} R_K \) viewed as an \( R_K \)-module. Let \( \nu \) be any archimedean place of \( K \) extending \( v \), and denote by \( \sigma_v : F \to \mathbb{R} \) the real embedding of \( F \) corresponding to \( v \). The class of the integral

\[
\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{A \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}} \omega_{\nu'} \wedge \bar{\omega}_{\nu'}
\]

in \( \mathbb{C}^\times / \tilde{R}^\times \) can be checked to be independent of the choices above.
Conjecture C. Suppose that $K_{11} = \mathbb{Q}$ so that $A$ is an elliptic curve. Then
\[ c_v(\Pi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{A \otimes_{\sigma_v} \mathbb{C}} \omega_v' \wedge \bar{\omega}_v' \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{C}^\times / \tilde{\mathbb{R}}^\times. \]

Remark 4. One expects that the invariants $c_v(\Pi)$ are transcendental for any infinite place $v$. Note that if $A$ is the base change of an elliptic curve defined over a smaller totally real field $F'$ (in which case $\Pi$ is the base change of a Hilbert modular form for $F'$), then there are obvious algebraic relations between the $c_v(\Pi)$. It would be interesting to formulate a converse to this: namely, can one give a criterion for $\Pi$ to be a base change purely in terms of the $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra generated by the invariants $c_v(\Pi)$?

Remark 5. It would also be interesting to formulate the conjectures above without inverting $N(\Pi)$. There are lots of obvious difficulties with primes that are small with respect to the weight as well as with integral models at primes of bad reduction. In [32], we will extend the conjectures above in the case $F = \mathbb{Q}$ to include primes of bad reduction at which the local component of the automorphic representation $\Pi$ is ramified principal series. The only Shimura varieties that occur then are Shimura curves and those associated with definite quaternion algebras over $\mathbb{Q}$. The geometric difficulties with primes of bad reduction can be dealt with in this case “by hand”.

The goal of this first paper is to reformulate Conjecture A in terms of a new conjecture (Conjecture D below) on the arithmetic properties of a theta lift between quaternionic Shimura varieties. This reformulation has many advantages since the arithmetic of theta lifts can be studied via a range of automorphic techniques including the Rallis inner product formula and period integrals along tori. Moreover, the constructions involved seem to be useful in attacking several other related problems involving algebraic cycles. We will briefly discuss two such applications below.

Now we outline the main construction. Let $B_1$, $B_2$ and $B$ be three quaternion algebras in $\Sigma_{11}$ such that $B = B_1 \cdot B_2$ in the Brauer group of $F$. There is then, up to isometry, a unique skew-hermitian $B$-space $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ such that
\[ \text{GU}_B(V)^0 \simeq (B_1^\times \times B_2^\times)/F^\times. \]
Here $\text{GU}_B(V)^0$ denotes the identity component of the group of quaternionic unitary similitudes of $V$. For computational purposes, we will need an explicit construction of such a space $V$. For this, we pick a CM extension $E/F$ with
\[ E = F + Fi, \quad i^2 = u \in F^\times, \]
such that $E$ embeds in $B_1$ and $B_2$. Fix embeddings
\[ E \hookrightarrow B_1, \quad E \hookrightarrow B_2 \]
and write
\[ B_1 = E + Ej_1, \quad B_2 = E + Ej_2, \]
where $j_1^2 = J_1$ and $j_2^2 = J_2$ lie in $F$. Then there is an embedding of $E$ in $B$ such that
\[ B = E + Ej, \quad j^2 = J, \]
where $J = J_1J_2$. Let $V = B_1 \otimes_E B_2$, viewed as a right $E$-vector space. In Chapter 2 below, we show that $V$ can naturally be equipped with a right $B$-action extending the action of $E$ as well as a $B$-skew Hermitian form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ such that the quaternionic unitary similitude group $\text{GU}_B(V)^0$ has the form above.

Let $W$ be a one-dimensional $B$-space equipped with the standard $B$-hermitian form so that
\[ \text{GU}_B(W) = B^\times. \]
We wish to study the theta lift
\[ \Theta : \mathcal{A}(\text{GU}_B(W)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}(\text{GU}_B(V)^0), \]
where \( \mathcal{A} \) denotes the space of cuspidal automorphic forms. The pair \((U_B(W), U_B(V))\) is an example of a classical reductive dual pair. For our applications we need to work with the corresponding similitude groups. In order to construct the theta lift, one needs to first construct (local) splittings of the metaplectic cover over the subgroup
\[
\{(g, h) \in GU_B(V)^0 \times GU_B(W) : \nu(g) = \nu(h)\},
\]
that satisfy the product formula. (Here \( \nu \) denotes the similitude character.) For quaternionic unitary similitude groups, this does not seem to be covered in the existing literature. This problem is handled in the appendices under the assumption that \( u, J_1, J_2 \) are chosen such that for every finite place \( v \) of \( F \), at least one of \( u, J_1, J_2 \) an \( J \) is locally a square. (See Remark 7 below.)

The splittings being chosen, the correspondence \( \Theta \) above can be defined and studied. For any quaternion algebra \( B' \) with \( \Sigma_{B'} \subseteq \Sigma_B \), we let \( \pi_{B'} \) denote the unitary representation associated with \( \Pi_{B'} \). Thus
\[
\pi_{B'} = \Pi_{B'} \otimes \|\nu_{B'}\|^{-r/2},
\]
where \( \nu_{B'} \) denotes the reduced norm on \( B' \). In Chapter 3, we prove the following theorem regarding \( \Theta \) (in the case \( B \neq M_2(F) \)) which gives an explicit realization of the Jacquet–Langlands correspondence, extending the work of Shimizu [66].

**Theorem 1.**
\[
\Theta(\pi_B) = \pi_{B_1} \boxtimes \pi_{B_2}.
\]

**Remark 6.** Up to this point in the paper, we make no restrictions on \( F \) or \( \Pi \). However from Chapter 5 onwards (and thus in the rest of the introduction), we assume for simplicity the following:

- \( \mathfrak{O} \) is prime to \( 2\mathfrak{D}_{F/Q} \), where \( \mathfrak{D}_{F/Q} \) denotes the different of \( F/Q \).

These assumptions simplify some of the local computations in Chapters 5 and 6 and could be relaxed with more work.

While Theorem 1 is an abstract representation theoretic statement, for our purposes we need to study a more explicit theta lift. The Weil representation used to define the theta lift above is realized on a certain Schwartz space \( \mathcal{S}(X) \). In Chapter 3 we pick an explicit canonical Schwartz function \( \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(X) \) with the property that \( \theta_\varphi(f_B) \) is a scalar multiple of \( f_{B_2} \boxtimes f_{B_2} \). Thus
\[
\theta_\varphi(f_B) = \alpha(B_1, B_2) \cdot (f_{B_1} \times f_{B_2}),
\]
for some scalar \( \alpha(B_1, B_2) \in \mathbb{C}^\times \). The scalar \( \alpha(B_1, B_2) \) depends not just on \( B_1 \) and \( B_2 \) but also on the other choices made above. However, we will omit these other dependencies in the notation.

That \( \alpha(B_1, B_2) \) is nonzero follows from the following explicit version of the Rallis inner product formula, proved in Chapter 3 (The assumption \( B \neq M_2(F) \) in the statement below is made since the proof in the case \( B = M_2(F) \) would be somewhat different. See for instance §6 of [16]. Note that this case corresponds to the original setting of Shimizu [66], and is not needed in this paper.)

**Theorem 2.** Suppose \( B_1 \neq B_2 \), or equivalently, \( B \neq M_2(F) \). Then
\[
|\alpha(B_1, B_2)|^2 \cdot \langle f_{B_1}, f_{B_1} \rangle \cdot \langle f_{B_2}, f_{B_2} \rangle = C \cdot \langle f, f \rangle \cdot \langle f_B, f_B \rangle,
\]
where \( C \) is an explicit constant (see Thm. 6.7) and \( f \) is a Whittaker normalized form in \( \Pi \) (as in Remark 8).
\(\alpha(B_1, B_2)\) for which we may work one prime at a time. Thus we fix a prime \(\ell\) not dividing \(N(\Pi)\) and then choose all the data (for example, \(E, J_1, J_2, \varphi\)) to be suitably adapted to \(\ell\). The choices are described in detail in Sec. 7.1. Finally, we come to main conjecture of this paper, which is motivated by combining Theorem 2 with Conj. A.

**Conjecture D.** Suppose that \(B_1 \neq B_2\) and \(\Sigma_{B_1} \cap \Sigma_{B_2} \cap \Sigma_\infty = \emptyset\), that is \(B_1\) and \(B_2\) have no infinite places of ramification in common. Then

(i) \(\alpha(B_1, B_2)\) lies in \(\mathbb{Q}^\times\).

(ii) \(\alpha(B_1, B_2)\) is integral at all primes above \(\ell\).

(iii) If in addition \(B_1\) and \(B_2\) have no finite places of ramification in common, then \(\alpha(B_1, B_2)\) is a unit at all primes above \(\ell\).

While not immediately apparent, Conjecture D implies Conjecture A. Indeed, in Sec. 7.2, we show the following.

**Theorem 3.** Suppose that Conjecture D is true for all \(\ell\) in some set of primes \(\Xi\). Then Conjecture A holds with \(R\) replaced by \(R[1/\ell : \ell \not\in \Xi]\). Consequently, if Conjecture D is true for all \(\ell \not\mid N(\Pi)\), then Conjecture A is true.

At this point, the reader may feel a bit underwhelmed since all we seem to have done is reformulate Conjecture A in terms of another conjecture that is not visibly easier. However, we believe that Conjecture D provides the correct perspective to attack these fine integrality questions about period ratios, for several reasons. Firstly, it does not require an a priori definition of the invariants \(c_v\). Second, it fits into the philosophy that theta lifts have excellent arithmetic properties and is amenable to attack by automorphic methods of various kinds. Lastly, it is usually a very hard problem (in Iwasawa theory, say) to prove divisibilities; on the other hand, if a quantity is expected to be a unit, then this might be easier to show, for instance using congruences. Part (iii) of Conjecture D which states that \(\alpha(B_1, B_2)\) is often a unit, has hidden in it a large number of divisibilities that would be very hard to show directly, but that might be more accessible when approached in this way. This is the approach taken in the sequels [31] and [32] where we study Conjecture D and give various applications to periods.

As mentioned earlier, the constructions discussed above also have concrete applications to problems about algebraic cycles. We mention two articles in progress that rely crucially on this paper:

- In [33], we study the Bloch–Beilinson conjecture for Rankin–Selberg \(L\)-functions \(L(f_E, \chi, s)\), where \(f\) is a modular form of weight \(k\) and \(\chi\) is a Hecke character of an imaginary quadratic field \(E\) of infinity type \((k', 0)\) with \(k' \geq k\). The simplest case is when \((k, k') = (2, 2)\). In this case we give an explicit construction of cycles corresponding to the vanishing of the \(L\)-function and prove a relation between the \(p\)-adic logarithms of such cycles and values of \(p\)-adic \(L\)-functions. (All previous constructions of cycles for such \(L\)-functions ([19], [54], [3]) only work in the case \(k > k'\).) The key input from this paper is the embedding

\[\text{GU}_B(V)^0 \to \text{GU}_E(V)\]

which provides a morphism of Shimura varieties that can be used to construct the relevant cycle.

- In [34] we consider the Tate conjecture for products \(X_1 \times X_2\) where \(X_1\) and \(X_2\) are the Shimura varieties associated with two quaternion algebras \(B_1\) and \(B_2\) over a totally real field \(F\) that have identical ramification at the infinite places of \(F\). As explained earlier, the Jacquet–Langlands correspondence gives rise to natural Tate classes on \(X_1 \times X_2\) and the Tate conjecture predicts the existence of algebraic cycles on the product giving rise to these Tate classes. While we cannot as yet show the existence of such cycles, we are able to at least give an unconditional construction of
the corresponding Hodge classes. Moreover, these Hodge classes are constructed not by comparing periods but rather by finding a morphism

\[ X_1 \times X_2 \to X \]

into an auxiliary Shimura variety \( X \) and constructing Hodge classes on \( X \) that restrict nontrivially to \( X_1 \times X_2 \). Thus we reduce the Tate conjecture on \( X_1 \times X_2 \) to the Hodge conjecture on \( X \) which should in principle be an easier problem. The relation with the current paper is that \( X_1 \times X_2 \) and \( X \) may be viewed as the Shimura varieties associated with certain skew-hermitian \( B \) spaces, with \( B = B_1 \cdot B_2 \).

Finally, we give a brief outline of the contents of each chapter. In Chapter 1 we recall the theory of automorphic vector bundles on quaternionic Shimura varieties and define the canonical quadratic period invariants \( q_B(\Pi) \). In Chapter 2 we give the key constructions involving quaternionic skew-hermitian forms. Chapter 3 discusses the general theory of the theta correspondence as well as the special case of quaternionic dual pairs, while Chapter 4 establishes the general form of the Rallis inner product formula in our situation and proves that the theta lift we are considering agrees with the Jacquet–Langlands correspondence. In Chapter 5 we pick explicit Schwartz functions, which are then used in Chapter 6 to compute the precise form of the Rallis inner product formula in our setting. In Chapter 7 we first discuss all the choices involved in formulating the main conjecture, Conjecture D above, and then show that it implies Conjecture A. Appendix A is strictly not necessary but is useful in motivating some constructions in Chapter 1. The results from Appendix B on metaplectic covers of symplectic similitude groups are used in the computations in Appendix C. Appendices C and D are invoked in Chapters 3, 4 and 5, and contain the construction of the relevant splittings, on which more is said in the remark below.

**Remark 7.** The problem of constructing the required splittings and checking various compatibilities involving them turns out to be rather nontrivial and occupies the lengthy Appendices C and D. For isometry groups, these can be handled using the doubling method as in Kudla [39, §4]. This gives a collection of splittings (one for each place \( v \))

\[ s_{\text{Kudla},v} : U_B(V)(F_v) \times U_B(W)(F_v) \to \mathbb{C}^{(1)} \]

that satisfy the product formula:

\[ \prod_v s_{\text{Kudla},v}(\gamma) = 1 \]

for \( \gamma \in U_B(V)(F) \times U_B(W)(F) \). The problem is really to extend these splittings to the groups

\[ \{(g,h) \in GU_B(V)^0(F_v) \times GU_B(W)(F_v) : \nu(g) = \nu(h)\} \]

in such a way that they still satisfy the product formula. A similar problem for the dual pairs consisting of the unitary similitude groups of a hermitian \( E \)-space \( V \) and a skew-hermitian \( E \)-space \( W \) can be solved using the fact that \( V \otimes_E W \) can be considered as a skew-hermitian \( E \)-space, and the group

\[ \{(g,h) \in GU_E(V) \times GU_E(W) : \nu(g) = \nu(h)\} \]

(almost) embeds in \( U_E(V \otimes_E W) \). This fails when working with \( B \)-spaces since \( B \) is non-commutative and the tensor product construction is not available. To circumvent this problem, we first construct by hand, splittings

\[ s_v : \{(g,h) \in GU_B(V)^0(F_v) \times GU_B(W)(F_v) : \nu(g) = \nu(h)\} \to \mathbb{C}^{(1)} \]

in Appendix C and check that they satisfy several natural properties including the product formula (Proposition C.20). This suffices to construct the theta lift \( \Theta \). In order to prove the Rallis inner product formula, we need to check a further compatibility between our splittings \( s_v \) and the splittings \( s_{\text{Kudla},v} \), in the context of the doubling method. This is accomplished in Lemma D.4 in Appendix D.
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1. Quaternionic Shimura varieties

1.1. Shimura varieties.

1.1.1. Shimura varieties and canonical models. We recall quickly the general theory of Shimura varieties and their canonical models [10]. Let \( S := \text{Res}_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{G}_m \) denote the Deligne torus. There is an equivalence of categories

\[
\text{R-Hodge structures} \leftrightarrow \text{R-vector spaces with an algebraic action of } S,
\]

described as follows. Suppose that \( V \) is an \( \text{R-vector space equipped with a pure Hodge structure of weight } n \). Thus we have a decomposition of \( V_{\mathbb{C}} := V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \):

\[
V_{\mathbb{C}} = \bigoplus_{p+q=n} V^{pq},
\]

where \( V^{pq} = \overline{V^{qp}} \). Define an action \( h \) of \( \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \) on \( V_{\mathbb{C}} \) by

\[
h(z)v = z^{-p}\overline{z}^{-q}v \quad \text{for } v \in V^{pq}.
\]

Since \( h(z) \) commutes with complex conjugation, it is obtained by extension of scalars from an automorphism of \( V \) defined over \( \mathbb{R} \). This gives a map on real points \( h : S(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{C}^\times \to \text{GL}(V)(\mathbb{R}) \), that comes from an algebraic map \( S \to \text{GL}(V) \).

A Shimura datum is a pair \((G, X)\) consisting of a reductive algebraic group \( G \) over \( \mathbb{Q} \) and a \( G(\mathbb{R})\)-conjugacy class \( X \) of homomorphisms \( h \) of \( \mathbb{G}_m, \mathbb{C} \to S_{\mathbb{C}} \to G_{\mathbb{C}} \), satisfying the following conditions:

(i) For \( h \) in \( X \), the Hodge structure on the Lie algebra \( g \) of \( G_{\mathbb{R}} \) given by \( \text{Ad} \circ h \) is of type \((0,0) + (-1,1) + (1,-1)\). (In particular, the restriction of such an \( h \) to \( G_{m,\mathbb{R}} \subset S \) is trivial.)

(ii) For \( h \) in \( X \), \( \text{Ad} \ h(i) \) is a Cartan involution on \( G^{\text{ad}}_{\mathbb{R}} \), where \( G^{\text{ad}} \) is the adjoint group of \( G \).

(iii) \( G^{\text{ad}} \) has no factor defined over \( \mathbb{Q} \) whose real points form a compact group.

These conditions imply that \( X \) has the natural structure of a disjoint union of Hermitian symmetric domains. The group \( G(\mathbb{R}) \) acts on \( X \) on the left by

\[
(g \cdot h)(z) = g \cdot h(z) \cdot g^{-1}.
\]

To agree with our geometric intuition, we will sometimes write \( \tau_h \) (or simply \( \tau \)) for \( h \) in \( X \).

Let \( \mathbb{A} \) and \( \mathbb{A}_f \) denote respectively the ring of adèles and finite adèles of \( \mathbb{Q} \). Let \( \mathcal{K} \) be an open compact subgroup of \( G(\mathbb{A}_f) \). The Shimura variety associated to \((G, X, \mathcal{K})\) is the quotient

\[
\text{Sh}_{\mathcal{K}}(G, X) = G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash X \times G(\mathbb{A}_f)/\mathcal{K}.
\]

For \( \mathcal{K} \) small enough, this has the natural structure of a smooth variety over \( \mathbb{C} \). The inverse limit

\[
\text{Sh}(G, X) = \varprojlim_{\mathcal{K}} \text{Sh}_{\mathcal{K}}(G, X)
\]

is a pro-algebraic variety that has a canonical model over a number field \( E(G, X) \), the reflex field of the Shimura datum \((G, X)\). In particular, each \( \text{Sh}_{\mathcal{K}}(G, X) \) has a canonical model over \( E(G, X) \).

We recall the definition of \( E(G, X) \). This field is defined to be the field of definition of the conjugacy class of co-characters

\[
\mu_h : \mathbb{G}_m, \mathbb{C} \to S_{\mathbb{C}} \to G_{\mathbb{C}},
\]

\[
\text{Sh}(G, X) = \varprojlim_{\mathcal{K}} \text{Sh}_{\mathcal{K}}(G, X)
\]
where the first map is \( z \mapsto (z,1) \) and the second is the one induced by \( h \). Let us say more precisely what this means. For any subfield \( k \) of \( \mathbb{C} \), let \( \mathcal{M}(k) \) denote the set of \( G(k) \)-conjugacy classes of homomorphisms \( G_{m,k} \to G_k \). Then the inclusion \( \mathbb{Q} \to \mathbb{C} \) gives a bijection between \( \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{Q}) \) and \( \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{C}) \). This gives a natural action of \( \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Q}) \) on \( \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{C}) \). The reflex field \( E(G,X) \) is then the fixed field of the subgroup

\[
\{ \sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Q}) : \sigma M_X = M_X \}
\]

where \( M_X \) is the conjugacy class of \( \mu_h \) for any \( h \in X \).

1.1.2. Automorphic vector bundles. We recall the basics of the theory of automorphic vector bundles following \cite{1}, \cite{2}, \cite{3}. First, to any \( \mu : G_{m,C} \to G_C \) as above one can associate a filtration \( \text{Filt}(\mu) \) of \( \text{Rep}_C(G_C) \). This is the functor which assigns to every complex representation \((V,\rho)\) of \( G_C \) the filtered vector space \((V,\mathcal{F}_\mu)\) where \( \mathcal{F}_\mu \) is the filtration on \( V \) corresponding to \( \rho \circ \mu \); that is, \( \mathcal{F}_\mu^i V = \oplus_{i \geq p} V^1 \), where \( V^1 \) is the subspace of \( V \) on which \( G_m(C) \) acts via \( \sigma \mapsto \sigma^i \). In particular, one obtains a filtration on \( \mathbb{Q}_C \) via the adjoint representation of \( G(C) \). Let \( P_\mu \) be the subgroup of \( G_C \) that preserves the filtration \( \mathcal{F}_\mu \) in every representation \((V,\rho)\). Then \( P_\mu \) is a parabolic subgroup of \( G_C \) that contains \( \mu \) and has Lie algebra \( \mathcal{F}_\mu^0 \mathfrak{g}_C \). The unipotent radical \( R_\mu P_\mu \) of \( P_\mu \) has Lie algebra \( \mathcal{F}_\mu^0 \mathfrak{g}_C \) and is the subgroup that acts as the identity on \( \text{Gr}_i(V) \) in every representation \((V,\rho)\). The centralizer \( Z(\mu) \) of \( \mu \) in \( G_C \) is a Levi subgroup of \( P_\mu \), isomorphic to \( P_\mu / R_\mu P_\mu \). Thus the composite map

\[
\tilde{\mu} : G_{m,C} \to P_\mu \to P_\mu / R_\mu P_\mu
\]

is a central homomorphism. Then \( \text{Filt}(\mu) \) equals \( \text{Filt}(\mu') \) if and only if \( P_\mu = P_{\mu'} \) and \( \tilde{\mu} = \tilde{\mu'} \).

Let \( \tilde{X} \) denote the compact dual Hermitian symmetric space to \( X \). As a set, it may be defined as the set of filtrations of \( \text{Rep}_C(G_C) \) that are \( G(C) \)-conjugate to \( \text{Filt}(\mu_h) \). Equivalently, it may be described as the set of equivalence classes \([[(P,\mu)]\) of pairs where \( P \) is a parabolic in \( G_C \) and \( \mu : G_{m,C} \to P \) is a co-character such that \( (P,\mu) \) is \( G(C) \)-conjugate to \( (P_{\mu},\mu_h) \) for some (and therefore every) \( h \in X \). Here we say that \( (P,\mu) \) is equivalent to \( (P',\mu') \) if \( P = P' \) and \( \tilde{\mu} = \tilde{\mu'} \). Note that if \( (P,\mu) \) is conjugate to \( (P_{\mu'},\mu') \), then \( \tilde{\mu} = \tilde{\mu}' \). Indeed, if \( g^{-1}(P,\mu)g = (P,\mu') \), then \( g \in N_{G_C}(P) = P \). Write \( g = tu \), with \( t \in Z(\mu) \) and \( u \in R_\mu P \), we see that

\[
\mu' = g^{-1} \mu g = u^{-1} \mu u,
\]

so that \( \tilde{\mu}' = \tilde{\mu} \) as claimed. Thus in a given conjugacy class of pairs \( (P,\mu) \), the homomorphism \( \tilde{\mu} \) is determined entirely by \( P \). Conversely, for any pair \( (P,\mu) \) in the conjugacy class of \( (P_{\mu},\mu_h) \), the parabolic \( P \) must equal \( P_{\mu} \) so that \( \mu \) determines \( P \). It follows from this discussion that the natural map

\[
G(C) \times \tilde{X} \to \tilde{X}, \quad (g,[(P,\mu)]) \mapsto [g(P,\mu)g^{-1}]
\]

makes \( \tilde{X} \) into a homogeneous space for \( G(C) \) and the choice of any basepoint \([[(P,\mu)]\) gives a bijection \( G(C)/P \simeq \tilde{X} \). Further, there is a unique way to make \( \tilde{X} \) into a complex algebraic variety such that this map is an isomorphism of complex varieties for any choice of base point. Moreover, the map

\[
\xi : M_X \to \tilde{X}, \quad \mu \mapsto [(P_\mu,\mu)]
\]

is surjective and \( \tilde{X} \) has the natural structure of a variety over \( E(G,X) \) such that the map \( \xi \) is \( \text{Aut}(C/E(G,X)) \)-equivariant. When we wish to emphasize the rational structure of \( \tilde{X} \), we will write \( \tilde{X}_C \) instead of \( \tilde{X} \).

There is a natural embedding (the Borel embedding)

\[
\beta : X \to \tilde{X}, \quad h \mapsto [(P_h,\mu_h)],
\]

where henceforth we write \( P_h \) for \( P_{\mu_h} \). Let \( \check{V} \) be a \( G_C \)-vector bundle on \( \tilde{X} \). The action of \( G(C) \) on \( \tilde{X} \) extends the \( G(R) \) action on \( X \). Thus \( V := \check{V}|_X \) is a \( G(R) \)-vector bundle on \( X \). For an open compact
subgroup $K$ of $G(\mathbb{A}_f)$, define
\[ V_K = G(\mathbb{Q}) / V \times G(\mathbb{A}_f) / K, \]
which we view as fibered over $Sh_K(G, X)$. In order that this define a vector bundle on $Sh_K(G, X)$, we need to assume that $\hat{V}$ satisfies the following condition:

(1.1) The action of $G_C$ on $\hat{V}$ factors through $G_C^\circ$.

Here $G^\circ = G/Z_s$, where $Z_s$ is the largest subtorus of the center $Z_G$ of $G$ that is split over $\mathbb{R}$ but that has no subtorus split over $\mathbb{Q}$. Assuming $[\mathbf{1}]$, for sufficiently small $K$, $V_K$ is a vector bundle on $Sh_K(G, X)$. If $\hat{V}$ is defined over $E \supseteq E(G, X)$, then $V_K$ has a canonical model over $E$ as well.

Remark 1.1. The reader may keep in mind the following example which occurs in this paper. Let $G = \text{Res}_{F/\mathbb{Q}} \text{GL}_2$, with $F$ a totally real field. Then $Z_G = \text{Res}_{F/\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{G}_m$ and $Z_s = \ker(N_{F/\mathbb{Q}} : Z_G \to \mathbb{G}_m)$.

We now recall the relation between sections of the bundle $V_K$ and automorphic forms on $G(\mathbb{A})$. This requires the choice of a base point $h \in X$. Let $K_h$ be the stabilizer in $G(\mathbb{R})$ of $h$. Let $t_h$ denote the Lie algebra of $K_h$ and consider the decomposition of $g_C$ with respect to the action of $\text{Ad} \circ h$:
\[ g_C = p_h^+ \oplus t_{h, C} \oplus p_h^- . \]
Here $p_h^+ = g_C^{-1,1}$, $p_h^- = g_C^{1,-1}$ and $t_{h, C} = g_C^{0,0}$ for the Hodge decomposition on $g_C$ induced by $\text{Ad} \circ h$.

Thus $p_h^+$ correspond to the holomorphic and antiholomorphic tangent spaces of $X$ at $h$. Then $P_h$ is the parabolic subgroup of $G(\mathbb{C})$ with Lie algebra $t_{h, C} \oplus p_h^-$. The choice of $h$ gives identifications $X = G(\mathbb{R})/K_h$, $\hat{X} = G(\mathbb{C})/P_h$ and the Borel embedding is given by the natural map
\[ G(\mathbb{R})/K_h \hookrightarrow G(\mathbb{C})/P_h. \]

Let $V_h$ denote the fiber of $V$ at $h$; equivalently this is the fiber of the bundle $\hat{V}$ at $\beta(h) \in \hat{X}$. This comes equipped with a natural action of $K_h$, denoted $\rho_{V_h}$. Let $\varepsilon_h$ denote the map
\[ G(\mathbb{A}) \to Sh_K(G, X) = G(\mathbb{Q}) \times G(\mathbb{A}_f) / K, \quad g = (g_\infty, g_f) \mapsto [(g_\infty(h), g_f)]. \]
Then there is a canonical isomorphism
\[ \varepsilon_h^*(V_K) \simeq G(\mathbb{A}) \times V_h, \]
via which sections of $V_K$ can be identified with suitable functions from $G(\mathbb{A})$ into $V_h$. This gives a canonical injective map
\[ \text{Lift}_h : \Gamma(\text{Sh}_K(G, X), V) \to C^\infty(G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}) / K, V_h) \]
whose image is the subspace $A(G, K, V, h)$ consisting of $\varphi \in C^\infty(G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}) / K, V_h)$ satisfying:

(i) $\varphi(gk) = \rho_{V_h}(k)^{-1}\varphi(g)$, for $g \in G(\mathbb{A})$ and $k \in K_h$;

(ii) $Y \cdot \varphi = 0$ for all $Y \in p_h^-$;

(iii) $\varphi$ is slowly increasing, $K_h$-finite and $Z(g_C)$-finite, where $Z(g_C)$ is the center of the universal enveloping algebra of $g_C$.

Let us make explicit the map $\text{Lift}_h$. Fix some $\tau = \tau_h \in X$ and let $s$ be a section of $V_K$. For any $g_f \in G(\mathbb{A}_f)$, there is a canonical identification
\[ V_\tau \simeq V_{K, [\tau, g_f]} \]
where $[\tau, g_f]$ denotes the class of $(\tau, g_f)$ in $Sh_K(G, X)$. Let $g = (g_\infty, g_f) \in G(\mathbb{A}) = G(\mathbb{R}) \times G(\mathbb{A}_f)$. The section $s$ gives an element $s([g_\infty \tau, g_f]) \in V_{g_\infty \tau}$. However, the element $g_\infty$ induces an isomorphism
\[ t_{g_\infty} : V_\tau \simeq V_{g_\infty \tau} . \]
The map $\text{Lift}_h(s) : G(\mathbb{A}) \to V_\tau$ is then defined by sending
\[ g \mapsto t_{g_\infty}^{-1}s([g_\infty \tau, g_f]) . \]
Remark 1.2. The subgroup $P_h$ of $G_C$ acts on the fiber $\tilde{V}_{\beta(h)}$ at the point $\beta(h)$. This gives an equivalence of categories

$$G_C\text{-vector bundles on }\tilde{X} \longleftrightarrow \text{complex representations of } P_h.$$  

The functor in the opposite direction sends a representation $(V, \rho)$ of $P_h$ to the vector bundle

$$G_C \times \rho V = (G_C \times V)/\{(gp,v) \sim (g,\rho(p)v), \; p \in P_h\},$$

which fibers over $G_C/P_h$ in the obvious way. Sections of this vector bundle can be identified with functions

$$f : G(C) \to V, \quad f(gp) = \rho(p)^{-1}f(g).$$

Example 1.3. This example will serve to normalize our conventions. Let $G = GL_{2,\mathbb{Q}}$ and $X$ the $G(\mathbb{R})$-conjugacy class containing $h_0 : \mathbb{S} \to G_{\mathbb{R}}, \quad a + bi \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix}$.

Identify $X$ with $\mathfrak{h}^\pm$, the union of the upper and lower half planes; $h_0$ is identified with the point $i$. Then $E(G, X) = \mathbb{Q}$ and $X \simeq P^1_\mathbb{Q} = G/P$, where $P$ is the Borel subgroup (of upper triangular matrices) stabilizing $\infty$, for the standard action of $G$ on $\mathbb{P}^1$. We will fix the isomorphism $\tilde{X} \simeq P^1_\mathbb{Q}$ such that the map

$$\mathfrak{h}^+ = X \xrightarrow{\beta} \tilde{X}_C$$

is the identity map. For $k \equiv r \mod 2$, let $\mathcal{V}_{k,r}$ be the homogeneous $G_C$-bundle on $\tilde{X}_C$ corresponding to the character

$$\chi_{k,r} : P_C \to C^\times, \quad \begin{pmatrix} a & * \\ d & b \end{pmatrix} \mapsto a^k \det(\cdot)^{\frac{r}{2}}$$

of $P_C$. Note that abstractly $\tilde{V}_{k,r} \simeq O(-k)$ though the $G_C$-action depends on $r$ as well. For any $h \in X$, we write $\rho_{k,r}$ for the corresponding representation of $K_h$. The representation $\rho_{k,r}$ of $K_{h_0} = \mathbb{R}^\times \cdot SO_2(\mathbb{R})$ is the character given by

$$z \cdot \kappa_\theta \mapsto z^{-r}e^{-i\kappa_\theta}, \quad \kappa_\theta = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}.$$  

For more general $h$, the character $\rho_{k,r}$ is given by composing the above character with the isomorphism $K_h \simeq K_{h_0}$ given by $x \mapsto \alpha^{-1}x\alpha$ for any $\alpha \in G(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\alpha h_0 \alpha^{-1} = h$. The corresponding automorphic line bundle $\mathcal{V}_{k,r,K}$ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ and is the usual bundle of modular forms of weight $k$ and level $K$. We can make this more explicit as follows.

The connected hermitian space $\mathfrak{h}^+$ carries a natural family of (polarized) elliptic curves, the fiber over $\tau \in \mathfrak{h}^+$ being the elliptic curve $A_\tau = \mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z}\tau + \mathbb{Z})$. Let $\omega$ be the shear of relative one-forms; it is a line bundle on $\mathfrak{h}^+$ and there is a canonical isomorphism $\beta^*\mathcal{V}_{k,r}|_{\mathfrak{h}^+} \simeq \omega^k$. This gives a canonical trivialization $Triv_h : \mathcal{V}_h \simeq \mathbb{C}$ for all $h \in \mathfrak{h}^+$, namely the map sending $dz^k$ to 1, where $z$ is the coordinate on $\mathbb{C} = \text{Lie}(A_\tau)$. Thus any section $\varphi$ of $\mathcal{V}_{k,r,K}$ on $Sh_K(G, X)$ gives rise (via $Triv_h \circ \text{Lift}_h$) to a function

$$\varphi_h : GL_2(\mathbb{A}) \to \mathbb{C}, \quad h \in \mathfrak{h}^+,$$

such that $\varphi_h(g\kappa) = \rho_{k,r}(\kappa)^{-1}\varphi_h(g)$ for all $\kappa \in K_h$. In particular, for $z \cdot \kappa_\theta \in K_{h_0}$, we have

$$\varphi_{h_0}(g \cdot z \cdot \kappa_\theta) = \varphi_{h_0}(g) \cdot z^{r} \cdot e^{ik\theta}.$$

Finally, there is a unique modular form $f$ of weight $k$ on $\mathfrak{h}^+$ such that for all $h \in \mathfrak{h}^+$, we have

$$\varphi_h(g) = f(g_{\infty}(\tau_h))j(g_{\infty}, \tau_h)^{-k} \det(g)^{\frac{-k}{2}},$$

where $g = g_{\infty}(g_{\infty})$ with $g_{\infty} \in G(\mathbb{Q})$, $g_{\infty} \in K$ and $g_{\infty} \in G(\mathbb{R})^+$. (Here $G(\mathbb{R})^+$ denotes the topological identity component of $G(\mathbb{R})$.)
1.1.3.1. bundle $S$ where (vector bundles consists of the following:

To summarize the relevant results. We will also need integral models of automorphic vector bundles on $O_L$. Integral models.

We denote the ring of integers of $O_L$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathcal{O}$ as $\mathcal{O}$.

Recall that the compact dual $\check{X}$ has a natural model $\check{X}$ over $\mathcal{O}(\lambda)$ whose $A$-valued points for any $\mathcal{O}(\lambda)$-algebra $A$ are in bijection with equivalence classes of pairs $(P, \mu)$ consisting of a parabolic subgroup $P$ of $G_{0,\lambda}$ and a cocharacter $\mu : G_{m, A} \to P$, where $(P, \mu) \sim (P', \mu')$ if $P = P'$ and $\mu = \mu'$.

The data needed to define integral models of automorphic vector bundles consists of the following:

- A finite extension $L$ of $E(G, X)$ and a $G_L$-equivariant vector bundle $\check{V}$ on $\check{X}_L$. The corresponding automorphic vector bundle $V_{\mathcal{O}_L}$ on $\mathcal{O}(\lambda)$ has a canonical model over $L$.
- A prime $\lambda$ of $\mathcal{O}$: we write $\lambda$ for the induced prime of $\mathcal{O}$ as well.
- A $G_0$-equivariant vector bundle $\check{V}_{\lambda}$ on $\check{X}_{\mathcal{O}_L(\lambda)}$ which extends the $G_L$-equivariant vector bundle $\check{V}$ on $\check{X}_L$.

To this data, one can associate (by the results of [38]) in a functorial way a vector bundle $V_{\mathcal{O}_L}$ over $\mathcal{O}_L(\lambda)$ which extends $V_{\mathcal{O}_L}$. Likewise, if one fixes $\mathcal{O}_L$ and varies $\mathcal{O}_L$, one gets a vector bundle $V_{\mathcal{O}_L,\lambda}$ over $\mathcal{O}_L(\lambda)$ which extends $V_{\mathcal{O}_L}$. If $f : V_{\lambda} \to V_{\lambda}$ is a map of $G_0$-equivariant vector bundles over $\check{X}_{\mathcal{O}_L(\lambda)}$, there are natural associated maps $f_\lambda : V_{\lambda} \to V_{\lambda}$ and $f_\sigma : V_{\sigma,\lambda} \to V_{\sigma,\lambda}$.

Models over $\mathcal{O}_L[\frac{1}{N}]$. Suppose now that we are given a reductive group $G_0$ over $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N}]$ such that $G_{0,\mathbb{Q}} = G$ and that $\mathcal{K}$ is of the form $\prod \mathcal{K}_\ell$, where $\mathcal{K}_\ell = G_0(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ for all $\ell$ not dividing $N$, so that $\mathcal{K}_\ell$ is hyperspecial for such $\ell$. Then the integral models of $Sh_{K}(G, X)$ for varying $\ell$ (not dividing $N$) patch together to give a canonical model $\mathcal{S}_{K,\mathcal{O}[\frac{1}{N}]}(\mathbb{Q})$ over $\mathcal{O}[\frac{1}{N}]$.

The compact dual $\check{X}$ has a natural model $\check{X}$ over $\mathcal{O}[\frac{1}{N}]$ as well. If we are given moreover:

- A finite extension $L$ of $E(G, X)$ and a $G_L$-equivariant vector bundle $\check{V}$ on $\check{X}_L$.
- A $G_0$-equivariant vector bundle $V$ on $\check{X}_{\mathcal{O}_L[\frac{1}{N}]}$ which extends the $G_L$-equivariant vector bundle $V$ on $\check{X}_L$.

Then the integral models $V_{\mathcal{O}_L}$ (as $\lambda$ varies over the primes of $\mathcal{O}_L$ not dividing $N$) patch together to give an integral model $V_{\mathcal{O}_L[\frac{1}{N}]}$ over $\mathcal{O}_L[\frac{1}{N}]$. 

Example 1.4. Let $G = B^\times$, where $B$ is a non-split indefinite quaternion algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$. Then $E(G, X) = X$ and $\check{X}$ is a form of $\mathbb{P}^1$; in fact it is a Severi–Brauer variety associated to the class of $B$ in the Brauer group of $\mathbb{Q}$. The variety $\check{X}$ (over $\mathbb{C}$) carries the line bundles $\mathcal{O}(k)$ but only for $k$ even do these descend to line bundles over $\mathbb{Q}$. Indeed, the canonical bundle on $\check{X}$ has degree $-2$, so $\mathcal{O}(-2)$ descends. On the other hand, $\mathcal{O}(1)$ does not descend since if it did, by Riemann–Roch it would admit a section whose zero locus is a rational point. Nevertheless, for any $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q})$, the line bundle $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{O}(1)$ on $\check{X}_\mathbb{C}$ satisfies $\sigma^* \mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{L}$, so its field of definition is $\mathbb{Q}$.
1.2. Automorphic vector bundles on quaternionic Shimura varieties. In this section, we review the connection between automorphic forms on the multiplicative group of a quaternion algebra over a totally real field and sections of automorphic vector bundles on the corresponding Shimura variety. We will also define canonical metrics on such bundles.

Remark 1.5. Everything in this section goes through verbatim even in the case that the quaternion algebra $B$ is totally definite, even though this does not strictly speaking give a Shimura variety in the sense of [1.1].

Let $F$ be a totally real field and $B$ a quaternion algebra over $F$. Let $G_B$ denote the $\mathbb{Q}$-algebraic group $\text{Res}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(B^\times)$. Thus for any $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra $R$, the $R$-valued points of $G_B$ are given by

$$G_B(R) = (B \otimes \mathbb{Q} R)^\times.$$

Let $\Sigma_B$ denote the set of places of $F$ at which $B$ is ramified.

We fix for the moment some choice of isomorphisms

$$B \otimes F, \sigma \mathbb{R} \simeq \mathbb{M}_2(\mathbb{R}), \quad \text{for } \sigma \in \Sigma_\infty \setminus \Sigma_B; \quad (1.2)$$

$$B \otimes F, \sigma \mathbb{R} \simeq \mathbb{H}, \quad \text{for } \sigma \in \Sigma_B, \Sigma_\infty, \quad (1.3)$$

where $\mathbb{H}$ is the subalgebra

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ -\bar{\beta} & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} : \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$$

of $\mathbb{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$. (Later we will fix these isomorphisms more carefully.) The choice of isomorphisms above gives us identifications

$$G_B(\mathbb{R}) \simeq \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma_\infty \setminus \Sigma_B} \mathbb{GL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \times \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma_B, \Sigma_\infty} \mathbb{H}^\times$$

and

$$G_B(\mathbb{C}) \simeq \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma_\infty} \mathbb{GL}_2(\mathbb{C}).$$

Let $X_B$ be the $G_B(\mathbb{R})$-conjugacy class of homomorphisms $\mathbb{S} \to G_{B,\mathbb{R}}$ containing

$$h_0 : \mathbb{S} \to G_{B,\mathbb{R}}, \quad h_0 := \prod_{\sigma} h_{0,\sigma}, \quad h_{0,\sigma}(z) = \begin{cases} z, & \text{if } \sigma \in \Sigma_\infty \setminus \Sigma_B; \\ 1, & \text{if } \sigma \in \Sigma_B, \Sigma_\infty, \end{cases}$$

where we identify $\mathbb{C}$ with a subring of $\mathbb{M}_2(\mathbb{R})$ (see remark below.) Denote by $\hat{X}_B$ the corresponding compact dual hermitian symmetric space. The choice of isomorphisms $(1.2)$ and $(1.3)$ above gives rise to an identification $\hat{X}_B = (\mathbb{P}^1)_{\mathfrak{d}_B}$ and $X_B = (\mathfrak{h}^\perp)^{d_B}$, with $d_B$ being the number of infinite places of $F$ where $B$ is split.

Remark 1.6. (Choices) We embed $\mathbb{C}$ in $\mathbb{M}_2(\mathbb{R})$ by identifying $a + bi$ with the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix}$$

In addition, we identify the homomorphism

$$\mathbb{S} \to \mathbb{GL}_2(\mathbb{R}), \quad a + bi \mapsto a + bi = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix}.$$
1.2.1. Hermitian forms. For $\sigma \in \Sigma_\infty \setminus \Sigma_B$, let $V_{\sigma,\mathbb{R}}$ denote the vector space $\mathbb{R}^2$ of column vectors viewed as a left $M_2(\mathbb{R})$-module. Let $h : \mathbb{C}^\times = S(\mathbb{R}) \to (B \otimes F, \sigma) = \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ be any homomorphism that is $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$-conjugate to $h_0,\sigma$. Then we can write
\begin{equation}
V_{\sigma,\mathbb{C}} = V_{\sigma,\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} = V_{\sigma,\bar{h}}^{-1,0} \oplus V_{\sigma,\bar{h}}^{0,-1},
\end{equation}
where the decomposition on the right corresponds to the $\mathbb{C}$-subspaces on which $h(z) \otimes 1$ acts as $1 \otimes z$ and $1 \otimes \bar{z}$ respectively. The bilinear form
\begin{equation}
(x, y) \mapsto ' x \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} y
\end{equation}
on $V_{\sigma,\mathbb{R}}$ is almost $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$-invariant:
\begin{equation}
gx,gy = \det(g) \cdot (x, y).
\end{equation}
Further, it satisfies the following conditions:
\begin{enumerate}
  \item $(x, y) = -(y, x)$.
  \item $(h(i)x, h(i)y) = (x, y)$.
  \item The form $(x, h(i)y)$ is symmetric. (This follows formally from (i) and (ii).) Further, it is positive definite if $h$ is $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})^+$-conjugate to $h_0$. (Otherwise it is negative definite.)
\end{enumerate}

\textbf{Remark 1.7.} Let $\tau$ be the unique point on the complex upper half plane fixed by $K_h$. The bilinear form above equals $\frac{1}{2\pi i} \lambda_\tau$ where $\lambda_\tau$ is the Weil pairing on $H_1(E_\tau)$ given in the ordered basis $\{\tau, 1\}$.

The composite map
\begin{equation}
V_{\sigma,\mathbb{R}} \to V_{\sigma} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \to V_{\sigma,\bar{h}}^{-1,0}
\end{equation}
is an $\mathbb{R}$-linear isomorphism; via this isomorphism one gets a skew-symmetric bilinear form on $V_{\sigma,\bar{h}}^{-1,0}$, which is the \textit{negative} of the imaginary part of a (necessarily unique) hermitian form $H_h$ on $V_{\sigma,\bar{h}}^{-1,0}$ defined by identifying $V_{\sigma,\bar{h}}^{-1,0}$ with $V_{\sigma,\mathbb{R}}$ and setting
\begin{equation}
H_h(x, y) = (x, h(i)y) - i(x, y) = (x, iy) - i(x, y).
\end{equation}

\textbf{Remark 1.8.} The form $H_h$ is linear in the first variable and conjugate linear in the second variable. If we denote the form (1.5) above by $\tilde{B}$, then $H_h$ agrees with the positive definite form $2 \cdot \tilde{B}_{h(i)}$ of Appendix A where:
\begin{equation}
\tilde{B}_{h(i)}(v, w) = \tilde{B}_C(v, h(i)\bar{w}).
\end{equation}

If $h$ is $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})^+$-conjugate to $h_0$, the form $H_h$ is positive definite on account of condition (iii) above. Note that
\begin{equation}
H_h(x, x) = (x, h(i)x).
\end{equation}
The subgroup $K_h$ preserves the decomposition (1.6) and the form $H_h$ is $K_h$-invariant up to a scalar. In fact, for $\kappa \in K_h$, we have
\begin{equation}
H_h(\kappa x, \kappa y) = \det(\kappa)H_h(x, y).
\end{equation}
Moreover, the natural action of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ on $V_{\sigma,\mathbb{C}}$ takes $V_{\sigma,\bar{h}}^{-1,0}$ isomorphically onto $V_{\sigma,\bar{g}h}^{-1,0}$ (recall $g \cdot h = ghg^{-1}$) and we have
\begin{equation}
H_{g,h}(gx, gy) = (gx, (gh(i)g^{-1})y) = \det(g)(x, h(i)y) = \det(g)H_h(x, y).
\end{equation}
We note also that $\det(V_{\sigma,\mathbb{C}})$ carries a natural bilinear form induced from the $\mathbb{C}$-linear extension of $(\cdot, \cdot)$. We equip $\det(V_{\sigma,\mathbb{C}})$ with the positive definite Hermitian form
\begin{equation}
H_{\det}(x, y) = (x, \bar{y}),
\end{equation}
where the complex conjugation is with respect to the natural real structure coming from \( \det(V_{\sigma, R}) \).
This hermitian form satisfies
\[
H_{\det}(gx, gy) = \det(g)^2 \cdot H_{\det}(x, y)
\]
for all \( g \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \).

For \( \sigma \in \Sigma_{B, \infty} \), let \( V_{\sigma, \mathbb{C}} \) denote the \( \mathbb{C} \)-vector space \( \mathbb{C}^2 \) of column vectors viewed as a left \( M_2(\mathbb{C}) \)-module. The form
\[
(x, y) \mapsto {}^tx \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} y
\]
is almost \( \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \)-invariant:
\[
(gx, gy) = \det(g) \cdot (x, y).
\]
Let \( L \) be the \( \mathbb{R} \)-linear operator
\[
L(x) := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \bar{x}.
\]
The operator \( L \) is the analog in this case of the operator \( w \mapsto h(i) \bar{w} \) in (1.6) and the operator \( x \mapsto h(i)x \) in (1.7) above. Define a hermitian form \( H \) on \( V_{\sigma, \mathbb{C}} \) by
\[
H(x, y) = (x, Ly) = {}^tx \bar{y}.
\]
where \( \nu \) is the reduced norm.

Let \( \rho_{\sigma, k, r} \) denote the representation
\[
V_{\sigma, k, r} = \text{Sym}^k(V_{\sigma, \mathbb{C}}) \otimes \det(V_{\sigma, \mathbb{C}})^{r - k}
\]
of \( \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \). Note that the central character of \( \rho_{\sigma, k, r} \) is \( z \mapsto z^r \).

1.2.2. Hermitian metrics on automorphic vector bundles. Let \((k, r)\) be a multi-index of integers with \( k = (k_{\sigma})_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{\infty}} \) such that
\[
k_{\sigma} \equiv r \pmod{2} \quad \text{for all } \sigma \in \Sigma_{\infty}.
\]
We assume that \( k_{\sigma} \geq 1 \) if \( B \) is split at \( \sigma \) and that \( k_{\sigma} \geq 0 \) if \( B \) is ramified at \( \sigma \).

Let \( \rho_{k, r} = \otimes_{\sigma} \rho_{\sigma, k_{\sigma}, r} \) be the representation of \( G_B(\mathbb{C}) \) on
\[
V_{k, r} = \bigotimes_{\sigma} V_{\sigma, k_{\sigma}, r}.
\]
This gives rise to a \( G_B(\mathbb{C}) \)-homogeneous vector bundle \( \mathcal{V}_{k, r} \) on \( X_B \):
\[
\mathcal{V}_{k, r} = X_B \times V_{k, r},
\]
where the \( G_B(\mathbb{C}) \) action is:
\[
g \cdot (x, v) = (gx, gv).
\]
By restriction one gets a \( G_B(\mathbb{R}) \)-homogeneous vector bundle \( \mathcal{V}_{k, r} \) on \( X_B \). Further, the latter admits a unique \( G_B(\mathbb{R}) \)-equivariant sub-bundle \( V_{k, r} \) corresponding to the \( K_h \)-subrepresentation \( \rho_{k, r, h} \) on
\[
V_{k, r, h} = \bigotimes_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{h, \infty}} \left( (V_{\sigma, h}^{-1, 0}) \otimes_{k_{\sigma}} \otimes \det(V_{\sigma, \mathbb{C}})^{r - k_{\sigma}} \right) \bigotimes_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{B, \infty}} V_{\sigma, k_{\sigma}, r}.
\]
Let $X_B^+$ denote the connected component of $X_B$ containing $h_0$. Note that for $h \in X_B^+$, the $K_h$-representation above carries a natural positive definite hermitian metric $\langle \cdot , \cdot \rangle_h$ obtained from the hermitian metrics in (1.7), (1.8) and (1.9) above. This gives a metric on $V_{k,r}$ that is almost $G_B(\mathbb{R})$-equivariant; in fact, one has

$$\langle gx, gy \rangle_{g \cdot h} = \nu(g)^r \langle x, y \rangle_h$$

for $g \in G_B(\mathbb{R})$ and $x, y \in V_{k,r}$. Now consider the vector bundle $V_{k,r[X_B^+] \times G_B(\mathbb{A}_f)}$ on $X_B^+ \times G_B(\mathbb{A}_f)$. We equip this with the hermitian metric that assigns to the fiber $V_{k,r[h]} \times \{g_f\}$ over $(h, g_f) \in X_B^+ \times G_B(\mathbb{A}_f)$ the metric defined above on $V_{k,r} \times_{G_B(\mathbb{A}_f)}$ multiplied by the factor $\|\nu(g_f)\|^r$. (Here $\nu(g_f) \in \mathbb{A}_f^\times$ and $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the idelic norm.) Recall that

$$\text{Sh}_K(G_B, X_B) = G_B(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash X_B \times G_B(\mathbb{A}_f)/\mathcal{K} = G_B(\mathbb{Q})^+ \backslash X_B^+ \times G_B(\mathbb{A}_f)/\mathcal{K}$$

and

$$V_{k,r,K} = G_B(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash V_{k,r} \times G_B(\mathbb{A}_f)/\mathcal{K} = G_B(\mathbb{Q})^+ \backslash V_{k,r[X_B^+]} \times G_B(\mathbb{A}_f)/\mathcal{K},$$

where $G_B(\mathbb{Q})^+ = G_B(\mathbb{R})^+ \cap G_B(\mathbb{Q})$.

**Proposition 1.9.** The metric on $V_{k,r[X_B^+]} \times G_B(\mathbb{A}_f)$ above descends to a (positive definite hermitian) metric on the vector bundle $V_{k,r,K}$ over $\text{Sh}_K(G_B, X_B)$.

**Proof.** Let $(h, g_f)$ and $(h', g'_f)$ be two elements of $X_B^+ \times G_B(\mathbb{A}_f)$ whose classes in $\text{Sh}_K(G_B, X_B)$ are equal. Then there exist elements $\gamma \in G_B(\mathbb{Q})^+$ and $\kappa \in \mathcal{K}$ such that

$$(h', g'_f) = (\gamma h, g_f \gamma \kappa).$$

Here $\gamma$ is $\gamma$ viewed as an element of $G_B(\mathbb{A}_f)$. We need to check that the bijection

$$V_{k,r,h} \times \{g_f\} \mapsto V_{k,r,h'} \times \{g'_f\} = V_{k,r,\gamma h} \times \{\gamma g_f \gamma \kappa\}$$

given by $(v, g_f) \mapsto (\gamma v, \gamma g_f \gamma \kappa)$ is metric preserving. But

$$\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle_{\gamma h} \cdot \|\nu(\gamma g_f \gamma \kappa)\|^r = \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{\kappa}} \sigma(\nu(\gamma)) \cdot \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle_h \cdot \|\nu(\gamma)\|^r \cdot \|\nu(g_f)\|^r$$

using the product formula and the fact that $\|\nu(\kappa)\| = 1$. \hfill $\square$

We will need to work with the dual vector bundle $V_{k,r}^\vee$. This is motivated by observing that in the case of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$, the bundle $V_{k,r}^\vee$ corresponds to the relative homology of the universal elliptic curve and the sub-bundle $V_{k,r}$ corresponds to its relative Lie algebra. The line bundle of usual modular forms corresponds to the bundle of relative differentials, which is why we need to replace $V_{k,r}$ by its dual. We begin by making the following completely elementary remark, which we nevertheless state carefully to avoid any confusion.

**Remark 1.10.** If $\rho$ is a representation of a group $G$ on a finite-dimensional complex vector space $V$, then $\rho^\vee$ is defined by

$$\rho^\vee(g)(L) = L \circ \rho(g^{-1})$$

for $L \in V^\vee = \text{Hom}(V, \mathbb{C})$ and $g \in G$. Thus for the tautological pairing

$$\langle \cdot , \cdot \rangle : V^\vee \times V \to \mathbb{C}, \quad (L, v) = L(v),$$

we have

$$\langle \rho^\vee(g^{-1}) L, v \rangle = (L, \rho(g)v).$$
Suppose \( V \) is equipped with a non-degenerate hermitian pairing \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \) that is linear in the first variable and conjugate linear in the second variable, and such that
\[
\langle gv, gw \rangle = \chi(g) \langle v, w \rangle
\]
for some character \( \chi : G \to \mathbb{C}^\times \). Since \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \) is non-degenerate, it induces a conjugate linear isomorphism
\[
V \simeq V^\vee, \quad w \mapsto L_w, \quad L_w(v) = \langle v, w \rangle.
\]
Composing the inverse of this isomorphism with the canonical isomorphism \( V \simeq V^\vee \) gives a conjugate linear isomorphism \( V^\vee \simeq (V^\vee)^\vee \), which one may view as a hermitian form on \( V^\vee \). Explicitly this isomorphism sends \( L_w \) to the linear functional \( \text{eval}_w \in (V^\vee)^\vee \), so that for any \( L \in V^\vee \), we have
\[
\langle L, L_w \rangle = L(w).
\]
Note that
\[
gL_w(v) = L_w(g^{-1}v) = \langle g^{-1}v, w \rangle = \chi(g)^{-1} \langle v, gw \rangle = \chi(g)^{-1} L_{gw}(v),
\]
so that \( gL_w = \chi(g)^{-1} L_{gw} \). For any \( L \in V^\vee \), we have
\[
\langle gL, gL_w \rangle = \langle gL, L_{gw} \rangle = \overline{\chi(g)^{-1} \langle L, L_{gw} \rangle}
= \overline{\chi(g)^{-1} L(w)}
= \chi(g)^{-1} \overline{\langle L, L_w \rangle},
\]
so for any \( L_1, L_2 \in V^\vee \), we have
\[
\langle gL_1, gL_2 \rangle = \overline{\chi(g)^{-1} \langle L_1, L_2 \rangle}.
\]
From the remark above, it is clear that for \( x, y \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{K}, r, h}^\vee \) and \( g \in G_B(\mathbb{R}) \), we have
\[
\langle gx, gy \rangle = \nu(g)^{-r} \langle x, y \rangle.
\]
Thus we take on \( \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{K}, r, h}^\vee \times G_B(\mathbb{A}_f) \) the metric which on \( \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{K}, r, h}^\vee \times \{ g_f \} \) is \( \| \nu(g_f) \|^{-r} \) times the induced metric on \( \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{K}, r, h}^\vee \). This descends to a positive definite hermitian metric \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \) on \( \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{K}, r, h}^\vee \). (See Prop. 1.19 above.)

**Definition 1.11.** A holomorphic automorphic form of weight \( (k, r) \) and level \( \mathcal{K} \) on \( G_B \) is a holomorphic section \( s \) of the bundle \( \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{K}, r, h}^\vee \) on \( \text{Sh}_\mathcal{K}(G_B, X_B) \). Let \( \mathcal{K} \supseteq \mathcal{K} \) be any open compact subgroup of \( G_B(\mathbb{A}_f) \) such that \( \{ s(x), s(x) \} \) descends to a function on \( \text{Sh}_{\mathcal{K}}(G_B, X_B) \). Then the Petersson norm of the section \( s \) (normalized with respect to \( \mathcal{K} \)) is defined to be the integral
\[
\langle s, s \rangle_{\mathcal{K}} := \int_{\text{Sh}_{\mathcal{K}}(G_B, X_B)} \{ s(x), s(x) \} \, d\mu_x
\]
where \( d\mu_x \) is the measure on \( \text{Sh}_{\mathcal{K}}(G_B, X_B) \) defined in Sec. 6.1.2.

**Remark 1.12.** Defn. 1.11 above has the advantage that it does not depend on any choice of base point. In practice though, one usually needs to pick a base point to make any computation at all, and so we shall now discuss the translation between these two points of view.

Pick a base point \( h \in X_B^+ \). Via the isomorphism \( \text{Lift}_h \), the space of holomorphic automorphic forms \( s \) as above is identified with the space of functions \( A(G_B, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{K}, r, h}^\vee) \). An element
\[
F : G_B(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash G_B(\mathbb{A})/\mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{K}, r, h}^\vee
\]
in \( A(G_B, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{K}, r, h}^\vee) \) satisfies in particular the condition
\[
(1.10) \quad F(g \kappa_h) = \rho_{\mathbb{K}, r, h}(\kappa_h)^{-1} F(g), \quad \text{for all } \kappa_h \in K_h.
\]
Henceforth we will fix a character $\xi$ of $F^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}^\times_F$ which satisfies
\[ \xi(z \cdot z_\infty) = N(z_\infty) \bar{\xi}(z) \]
for $z \in \mathbb{A}^\times_F$, $z_\infty \in \mathbb{A}^\times_{F,\infty}$, and assume that the section $s$ satisfies the following invariance under the center $Z_{G_B}(\mathbb{A}_f) = \mathbb{A}^\times_{F,\infty}$:
\[ s(x \cdot \alpha) = \xi(\alpha) \cdot s(x). \]

This enables us to take $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}$ containing the maximal open compact subgroup of $Z_{G_B}(\mathbb{A}_f)$, and implies that the corresponding function $F$ above satisfies the following invariance property: for $\alpha \in \mathbb{A}^\times_F = Z_{G_B}(\mathbb{A})$, we have
\[ F(g \cdot \alpha) = \xi(\alpha) \cdot F(g) \]
and
\[ \langle F(g \cdot \alpha), F(g \cdot \alpha) \rangle = \| \alpha \|^{2r} \cdot \langle F(g), F(g) \rangle. \]

**Proposition 1.13.** Suppose $\text{Lift}_h(s) = F$. Let $\mathcal{K}_0$ denote any maximal compact subgroup of $G_B(\mathbb{A}_f)$ containing $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}$. Then
\[ \langle s, s \rangle_{\mathcal{K}} = 2^{[\Sigma_{\infty} \setminus \Sigma_B]} \cdot h_F \cdot [\mathcal{K}_0 : \tilde{\mathcal{K}}] \cdot \langle F, F \rangle_h, \]
where
\[ \langle F, F \rangle_h = \int_{[G_B]} \langle F(g), F(g) \rangle_h \cdot \| \nu(g) \|^{-r} \, dg. \]

Here and henceforth we write $[G_B]$ for $G_B(\mathbb{Q})Z_{G_B}(\mathbb{A}) \backslash G_B(\mathbb{A})$. Also, $dg$ denotes the standard measure on $[G_B]$ which is defined in [6.1.2].

**Proof.** Recall that if $g = (g_\infty, g_f)$, we have
\[ F(g) = g^{-1}_\infty s[(g_\infty \cdot h, g_f)], \]
where we view $s[(g_\infty \cdot h, g_f)]$ as an element in $V^\vee_{\Sigma_f, g_\infty \cdot h}$. Now
\[ \langle F(g), F(g) \rangle_h = \nu(g_\infty)^r \langle s[(g_\infty \cdot h, g_f)], s[(g_\infty \cdot h, g_f)] \rangle_{g_\infty \cdot h} = \nu(g_\infty)^r \| \nu(g_f) \|^{-r} \cdot \langle s[(g_\infty \cdot h, g_f)], s[(g_\infty \cdot h, g_f)] \rangle \]
\[ = \| \nu(g_f) \|^{-r} \langle s[(g_\infty \cdot h, g_f)], s[(g_\infty \cdot h, g_f)] \rangle. \]
The proposition follows from this and the comparison of measures in Lemma [6.3].

Next, we simplify further to scalar valued forms. For $\kappa = (z_\sigma e^{i\theta_\sigma})_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{\infty}} \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^d$, let $\kappa_h$ be the element of $K_h \subset G_B(\mathbb{R})$ defined by:
\[ \kappa_h, \sigma = \begin{cases} h_\sigma (z_\sigma e^{i\theta_\sigma}), & \text{if } \sigma \in \Sigma_{\infty} \setminus \Sigma_B; \\ z_\sigma e^{i\theta_\sigma}, & \text{if } \sigma \in \Sigma_{B, \infty}, \end{cases} \]
where for $\sigma \in \Sigma_{B, \infty}$, we view $z_\sigma e^{i\theta_\sigma}$ as an element in $\mathbb{C}^\times \subset \mathbb{H}^\times \simeq (B \otimes_{F, \sigma} \mathbb{R})^\times$ via (1.3). The equation (1.10) can be rewritten as
\[ F(g \kappa_h) = \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma_\infty} z_\sigma r \cdot \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{\infty} \setminus \Sigma_B} e^{ik_\sigma \theta_\sigma} \cdot \bigotimes_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{B, \infty}} \rho_{\sigma, k_\sigma, r} (e^{-i\theta_\sigma}) F(g). \]

For $\sigma \in \Sigma_{\infty} \setminus \Sigma_B$, let $v_{\sigma, k_\sigma}$ be any nonzero vector in the one-dimensional $\mathbb{C}$-vector space
\[ (V_{\sigma, h}^{-1, 0})^\otimes k_\sigma \otimes (V_{\sigma, \mathbb{C}})_{(-k_\sigma)}^\otimes h, \]
so that
\[ (1.12) \]
\[ \rho_{\sigma, k_\sigma, r}(\kappa_h, \sigma) \cdot v_{\sigma, k_\sigma} = z_\sigma r e^{i k_\sigma \theta_\sigma} \cdot v_{\sigma, k_\sigma}. \]
For $\sigma \in \Sigma_{B,\infty}$, let $v_{\sigma,k_\sigma} \in V_{\sigma,k_\sigma,r}$ be any nonzero vector such that the condition (1.12) is satisfied for all $\kappa \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^d$. Such a vector is well-defined up to scaling.

Set $v_k = \otimes_{\sigma \in \Sigma} v_{\sigma,k_\sigma} \in V_{k,r,h}$. Define

$$\phi_F(g) = (F(g), v_k).$$

Then $\phi_F(g)$ satisfies

\begin{equation}
\phi_F(g\kappa_h) = \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{\infty}} z_{\sigma}^r e^{ik_\sigma \theta_\sigma} \cdot \phi_F(g)
\end{equation}

and

\begin{equation}
\phi_F(\alpha g) = \xi(\alpha) \phi_F(g), \quad \text{for } \alpha \in Z_{G_B}(h) = h^\times.
\end{equation}

**Proposition 1.14.** The map $F \mapsto \phi_F$ is injective.

**Proof.** This follows immediately from the fact that $V_{k,r,h}$ is irreducible as a module over $G = \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{B,\infty}} (B \otimes F, \sigma \mathbb{R})^\times$. Indeed, given any $w \in V_{k,r,h}$, there exist elements $\kappa_i \in G$ and $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$w = \sum_i \alpha_i \rho(\kappa_i) v_k,$$

where $\rho$ denotes the natural action of $G$ on $V_{k,r,h}$. Then

\begin{align*}
(F(g), w) &= \sum_i \alpha_i (F(g), \rho(\kappa_i) v_k) = \sum_i \alpha_i (\rho^\vee(\kappa_i)^{-1} F(g), v_k) \\
&= \sum_i \alpha_i (F(g\kappa_i), v_k) = \sum_i \alpha_i \phi_F(g\kappa_i).
\end{align*}

Thus if $\phi_F$ is identically zero, then so is $F$. \qed

We will now compare $\langle F, F \rangle$ to $\langle \phi_F, \phi_F \rangle$, where

$$\langle \phi_F, \phi_F \rangle = \int_{[G_B]} \phi_F(g)\overline{\phi_F(g)} \cdot \|\nu(g)\|^{-r} \, dg.$$

We use the following well known lemma.

**Lemma 1.15.** Let $K$ be a compact Lie group and $V$ a (finite dimensional) irreducible complex representation of $K$. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be a nonzero $K$-invariant hermitian form on $V$ (such a form is unique up to scalar multiples) and denote also by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the induced hermitian form on $V^\vee$. Then for all $v_1, v_2 \in V$ and $L_1, L_2 \in V^\vee$, we have

$$\int_K \langle \rho^\vee(k)L_1, v_1 \rangle \overline{\langle \rho^\vee(k)L_2, v_2 \rangle} \, dk = \frac{1}{\dim(V)} \cdot \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle \langle L_1, L_2 \rangle,$$

where $dk$ is Haar measure normalized to have total volume $1$.

**Remark 1.16.** It is immediate to check that if the form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $V$ is scaled by $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, then the form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $V^\vee$ is scaled by $\bar{\alpha}^{-1}$, so the right hand side is independent of the choice of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

**Proposition 1.17.**

$$\langle F, F \rangle_h = \frac{\text{rank } V_{k,r}}{\langle v_k, v_k \rangle_h} \cdot \langle \phi_F, \phi_F \rangle.$$
Proof. Let $K^0_h$ denote the maximal compact subgroup of $K_h$. Since $\mathcal{V}_{k,v,h}$ is an irreducible representation of $K^0_h$, using Lemma 1.13 we get

$$
\langle \phi_F, \phi_F \rangle = \int_{[G_B]} \phi_F(g)\phi_F(g) : \|\nu(g)\|^{-r} \, dg \\
= \int_{K_h^0 \setminus [G_B]} \phi_F(g)\phi_F(g) : \|\nu(g)\|^{-r} \, dg \, dk \\
= \int_{[G_B]} \phi_F(gk^{-1})\phi_F(gk^{-1}) : \|\nu(gk^{-1})\|^{-r} \, dg \, dk \\
= \int_{[G_B]} \int_{K_h^0} (F(gk^{-1}), v_k)(F(gk^{-1}), v_k) : \|\nu(g)\|^{-r} \, dg \, dk \\
= \frac{1}{\text{rank } \mathcal{V}_{k,v}} \int_{[G_B]} \langle F(g), F(g) \rangle_k \|\nu(g)\|^{-r} \, dg.
$$

\[\blacksquare\]

1.3. Rational and integral structures. Let $\Pi = \otimes_v \Pi_v$ be an irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation of $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ corresponding to a Hilbert modular form of weight $(k, r)$, character $\xi_\Pi$ and conductor $\mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{N}_c \cdot \mathfrak{N}_{ps}$, as in the introduction. Thus the character $\xi_\Pi$ satisfies

$$
\xi_\Pi(z \cdot z_\infty) = N(z_\infty)^{\tau} \cdot \xi_\Pi(z)
$$

for $z \in \mathbb{A}_F^\times$ and $z_\infty \in \mathbb{A}_{F,\infty}^\times$. We also let $\pi = \otimes_v \pi_v$ denote the corresponding unitary representation:

$$
\pi := \Pi \otimes \|\text{det}(\cdot)\|^{-r/2}.
$$

Recall that $\Sigma_\Pi$ denotes the set of all places $v$ of $F$ at which $\Pi_v$ is discrete series. Thus $\Sigma_\Pi$ contains $\Sigma_\infty$, but will typically be larger. Let $B$ be any quaternion algebra over $F$ such that $\Sigma_B \subseteq \Sigma_\Pi$, where $\Sigma_B$ denotes the set of places $v$ of $F$ where $B$ is ramified. By the Jacquet–Langlands correspondence, there exists (up to isomorphism) a unique irreducible (cuspidal) automorphic representation $\Pi_B \simeq \otimes_v \Pi_{B,v}$ of $G_B(\mathbb{A})$ such that $\Pi_{B,v} \simeq \Pi_v$ for all $v \notin \Sigma_B$. Let $k_{B,\sigma} = (k_{B,\sigma})_{\sigma \in \Sigma_\infty}$ be defined by:

$$
k_{B,\sigma} = \begin{cases} 
   k_\sigma, & \text{if } B \text{ is split at } \sigma, \\
   k_\sigma - 2, & \text{if } B \text{ is ramified at } \sigma.
\end{cases}
$$

Then $\Pi_B$ has weight $(k_{B,\sigma})_v$ at infinity.

Choose a maximal order $\mathcal{O}_B$ in $B$. Recall that we have assumed that the conductor $\mathfrak{N}$ of $\Pi$ satisfies

$$
\mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{N}_c \cdot \mathfrak{N}_{ps}
$$

where $\mathfrak{N}_c$ is divisible exactly by those primes at which $\Pi_v$ is discrete series and $\mathfrak{N}_{ps}$ is divisible exactly by those primes at which $\Pi_v$ is ramified principal series. Let $\mathfrak{A}_B$ be the (finite part of the) discriminant of $B$, so that $\mathfrak{A}_B$ divides $\mathfrak{N}_c$. Then there is a unique integral ideal $\mathfrak{N}_B$ in $\mathcal{O}_F$ such that

$$
\mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{N}_B \cdot \mathfrak{A}_B,
$$

and we may choose and fix an Eichler order $\mathcal{O}_B(\mathfrak{N}_B)$ in $\mathcal{O}_B$ of level $\mathfrak{N}_B$. We will also fix an orientation of this order at the places dividing $\mathfrak{N}_{ps}$. By this, we mean a ring homomorphism

$$
o : \mathcal{O}_B(\mathfrak{N}_B) \to \mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{N}_{ps}.$$
This choice determines an open compact subgroup $K = \prod K_\ell$ of $G_B(A_f)$, namely $K_\ell = \prod_{v | \ell} K_v$ where for any finite place $v$ of $F$, we have

$$K_v = \ker \left[ o_v : (O_B(\mathfrak{N}_B) \otimes \mathcal{O}_F, \mathcal{O}_F, v) \to (O_{F,v}/\mathfrak{p}_v O_{F,v})^\times \right].$$

Here $o_v$ is the natural map induced by the orientation $o$. For all rational primes $\ell$ such that $(\ell, N(\Pi)) = 1$, the subgroup $K_\ell$ is a hyperspecial maximal compact subgroup of $G_B(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$.

Now, we will assume that $B$ is not totally definite, relegating the case of totally definite $B$ to Remark 1.1.4 at the end of this section. Let $\ell$ be such that $(\ell, N(\Pi)) = 1$. Then for each prime $\lambda$ of $E(G_B, X_B)$ dividing such an $\ell$, one has (see [1.1.3]) an associated canonical integral model $S_{K, \lambda} = S_{K, \lambda}(G_B, X_B)$ of $\text{Sh}_K(G_B, X_B)$ defined over $O_{E(G_B, X_B), (\lambda)}$.

We will now fix more carefully the isomorphism (1.16)

$$\phi_B : B \otimes \mathbb{R} \simeq \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{\infty} \setminus \Sigma_B} M_2(\mathbb{R}) \times \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma_B, \infty} \mathbb{H}.$$ 

Note that the vector bundles previously denoted by $V_{\rho_B, r, K}$ and $V_{\rho_B, r, K}$ actually depend on the choice of $\phi_B$. In this section alone, we will be pedantic and write $V_{\rho_B, r, K}$ and $V_{\rho_B, r, K}$ to indicate the dependence on $\phi_B$. Let $L \supset F$ be a number field such that $L$ splits $B$. We may assume by enlarging $L$ if necessary that it is Galois over $\mathbb{Q}$. Then $L$ contains $E(G_B, X_B)$. We pick the isomorphism $\phi_B$ above such that $B$ maps into $\prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{\infty}} M_2(L)$. This data defines an $L$-rational structure ([20], [52]) on the automorphic vector bundle $V_{\rho_B, r, K}$ on $\text{Sh}_K(G_B, X_B)$ associated to the $G_B(\mathbb{R})$-homogeneous vector bundles $V_{\rho_B, r, K}$ as well as the sub-bundles $V_{\rho_B, r, K}$. To define integral models of these vector bundles, we first pick a rational prime $\ell$ prime to $N(\Pi)$ and insist that the isomorphism $\phi_B$ satisfy (1.17)

$$\phi_B(O_B) \subset \prod_{\sigma} M_2(O_{L,(\ell)}).$$

so that $\phi_B$ gives an isomorphism (1.18)

$$O_B \otimes O_{L,(\ell)} \simeq \prod_{\sigma} M_2(O_{L,(\ell)}).$$

By the discussion in Sec. 1.1.3 this data defines for all primes $\lambda'$ of $L$ with $\lambda' | \lambda | \ell$, natural integral models for the bundles $V_{\rho_B, r, K}$ and $V_{\rho_B, r, K}$ over $S_{K, \lambda} \otimes O_{E(G_B, X_B), (\lambda)} O_{L,(\lambda')}$. Indeed, the choice of the Eichler order $O_B(\mathfrak{N}_B)$ determines a reductive group $\mathcal{G}_0$ over $\mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)}$ such that $\mathcal{G}_0 \mathbb{Q} = G_B$; namely for any $\mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)}$-algebra $A$, we have

$$\mathcal{G}_0(A) = (O_B(\mathfrak{N}_B) \otimes A)^\times.$$

Further, the map $\phi_B$ induces an isomorphism (1.19)

$$\mathcal{G}_0 \otimes O_{L,(\ell)} \simeq \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{\infty}} \text{GL}_2(O_{L,(\ell)}).$$

This gives an integral model over $O_{L,(\ell)}$ for the compact dual symmetric space and the vector bundle $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{G}_0, r}$. Via the identification (1.19) above, the integral model $\mathcal{X}_{O_{L,(\ell)}}$ for the compact dual is simply the conjugacy class of the parabolic subgroup

$$\mathcal{P} := \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{\infty} \setminus \Sigma_B} B \times \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma_B, \infty} \text{GL}_2(O_{L,(\ell)}),$$

of $\mathcal{G}_0 \otimes O_{L,(\ell)}$, where

$$B = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \right\} \subset \text{GL}_2(O_{L,(\ell)}).$$
Thus \( \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{O_L,(t)} \) is isomorphic to \( \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{\infty} \setminus \Sigma_B} \mathcal{P}_1^{(1.21)} \), the isomorphism depending on the choice of \( \phi_B \). Let \( \mathcal{L} = O_L^{(1.21)}(t) \) with the obvious left action of \( \text{GL}_2(O_L,(t)) \). Then the integral model of the vector bundle \( \mathcal{V}_{\bar{L},\mathcal{P}} \) over \( \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{O_L,(t)} \) is the vector bundle \( \mathcal{V}_{\bar{L},\mathcal{P}}^{\phi_B} \) corresponding to the representation

\[
\prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{\infty} \setminus \Sigma_B} \chi_{k_B,\sigma} \cdot \bigotimes_{\sigma \in \Sigma_B, \infty} \text{Sym}^{k_B,\sigma}(\mathcal{L}) \otimes \det(\mathcal{L})^{r-k_B,\sigma} \]

of \( \mathcal{P} \). (Recall that \( \chi_{k,r} \) has been defined in Eq. (1.23))

For all finite places \( v \) of \( F \) at which \( B \) is split, we will fix an isomorphism

\[
i_v : B \otimes F_v \simeq M_2(F_v)
\]
such that for all but finitely many \( v \), we have

\[
i_v : \mathcal{O}_B(\Pi) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{F,v} \simeq M_2(\mathcal{O}_{F,v}).
\]

Let \( \Delta \) be a large enough finite set of places of \( F \) such that

- \( \Delta \) contains all the infinite places, and all the finite places \( v \) at which \( \Pi_v \) is ramified.
- For all \( v \not\in \Delta \), the condition \((1.21)\) holds.

For all finite places \( v \) not in \( \Delta \), we get (using \( i_v \)) an identification

\[
\mathcal{K}_v \simeq \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{F,v}), \quad \mathcal{H}_v' \simeq \mathcal{H}_v
\]

where \( \mathcal{H}_v' \) and \( \mathcal{H}_v \) denote the spherical Hecke algebras on \( B_v^\times \) and \( \text{GL}_2(F_v) \) constructed using the maximal compact subgroups \( \mathcal{K}_v \) and \( \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{F,v}) \) respectively. Let

\[
\mathcal{H}_\Delta' = \bigotimes_{v \not\in \Delta} \mathcal{H}_v', \quad \mathcal{H}_\Delta = \bigotimes_{v \in \Delta} \mathcal{H}_v.
\]

Note that \( \mathcal{H}_\Delta' \) acts naturally on the space of sections of \( \mathcal{V}_{\bar{L},\mathcal{P},K} \), and we have an identification \( \mathcal{H}_\Delta' \simeq \mathcal{H}_\Delta \). Also \( \mathcal{H}_\Delta \) acts on \( \otimes_{v \not\in \Delta} \Pi_v \). Let \( \varphi = \otimes_{v \not\in \Delta} \varphi_v \) be a new-vector in the space \( \otimes_{v \not\in \Delta} \Pi_v \), so that \( \varphi \) is an eigenvector for the action of \( \mathcal{H}_\Delta \). Let \( \Lambda_\Pi \) denote the corresponding character of \( \mathcal{H}_\Delta \).

**Proposition 1.18.** There exists up to scaling a unique non-zero section \( s_B \) of the bundle \( \mathcal{V}_{\bar{L},\mathcal{P},K}^{\phi_B} \) which satisfies the following conditions:

- \( s \) is an eigenvector for the action of \( \mathcal{H}_\Delta' \) and \( \mathcal{H}_\Delta \) acts on it by \( \Lambda_\Pi \), via the identification \( \mathcal{H}_\Delta' \simeq \mathcal{H}_\Delta \) above.
- \( s \) satisfies \((1.11)\) for \( \xi = \xi_\Pi \).

**Proof.** Let \( s \) be any section of \( \mathcal{V}_{\bar{L},\mathcal{P},K}^{\phi_B} \). Pick some point \( h \in X_B \). Let \( F_{s,h} = \text{Lift}_h(s) \) and set \( \phi_{s,h} = \phi_{F,s,h} \), notations as in the previous section. By strong multiplicity one, the assignment \( s \mapsto \phi_{s,h} \) gives a bijection of the space of sections of \( \mathcal{V}_{\bar{L},\mathcal{P},K}^{\phi_B} \) on which \( \mathcal{H}_\Delta' \) acts by \( \Lambda_\Pi \) with the space of functions

\[
\phi : G_B(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash G_B(h)/K \to \mathbb{C}
\]

that satisfy \((1.13)\) and \((1.14)\) and on which \( \mathcal{H}_\Delta' \) acts by \( \Lambda_\Pi \). By the Jacquet–Langlands correspondence and the uniqueness of newforms \([8]\), this latter space is one-dimensional, generated by a nonzero element \( \phi \). If \( s_B \) is such that \( \phi_{s_B,h} = \phi \), then \( s_B \) is our required section.

Let us enlarge \( L \) if necessary so that \( E_{\Pi} \subset L \) where \( E_{\Pi} \) is the field generated by the Hecke eigenvalues of \( \Pi \). By \([23]\) Prop. 2.2.4, the section \( s_B \) of Prop. \((1.18)\) can be chosen to be \( L \)-rational. Further, for \( \lambda' \mid \lambda \mid \ell \) as above, the integral model of \( \mathcal{V}_{\bar{L},\mathcal{P},K}^{\phi_B} \) over \( S_{\mathcal{K},\lambda} \otimes \mathcal{O}_E(G_B,X_B),(\lambda) \otimes \mathcal{O}_L(\lambda') \) defines an \( \mathcal{O}_L(\lambda') \)-lattice \( M_{\lambda'} \) in

\[
H^0(\text{Sh}_K(G_B,X_B)/L,\mathcal{V}_{\bar{L},\mathcal{P},K}^{\phi_B}).
\]
Fixing \( \ell \), choose \( s_B \) (by suitably scaling) such that for all \( \lambda' \mid \lambda \mid \ell \), it is a generator for the rank one \( \mathcal{O}_{L,(\lambda')} \)-lattice \( M_{\lambda'} \cap \mathcal{L}_B \). We will say that the section \( s_B \) is \( \ell \)-normalized.

**Remark 1.19.** In this remark we deal with the case of totally definite \( B \). Pick \( \phi_B \) satisfying (1.17), (1.18) above with an appropriate choice of \( L \). Then \( X_B = \{ h_0 \} \), and sections \( s \) of \( \mathcal{V}_{L,B,r,K}^{\phi_B} \) are identified with functions

\[
F: G_B(\mathbb{Q})\backslash G_B(\mathbb{A}_f)/\mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{V}_{L,B,r,K}^{\phi_B} = \bigotimes_{\sigma \in \Sigma_\infty} V_{\sigma,k_B,r}\n
\]
satisfying the appropriate invariance property under the right action of \( G_B(\mathbb{R}) \). Then \( \mathcal{V}_{L,B,r,K}^{\phi_B} \) admits a natural \( L \)-rational structure as well as a natural \( \mathcal{O}_{L,(\ell)} \)-submodule:

\[
\mathcal{V}_{L,B,r}^{\phi_B}(\mathcal{O}_{L,(\ell)}) = \bigotimes_{\sigma \in \Sigma_\infty} \text{Sym}^{k_B,r} \mathcal{O}_{L,(\ell)}^2 \otimes \det(\mathcal{O}_{L,(\ell)})^{-\frac{k_B,r}{2}}.
\]

This gives an \( L \)-rational structure and an \( \mathcal{O}_{L,(\ell)} \)-integral structure on the space of sections of \( \mathcal{V}_{L,B,r,K}^{\phi_B} \), namely we take sections \( s \) which on \( G_B(\mathbb{A}_f) \) take values in \( \mathcal{V}_{L,B,r}(L) \) and \( \mathcal{V}_{L,B,r}(\mathcal{O}_{L,(\ell)}) \) respectively. We pick isomorphisms \( i_v \) as above and Prop. (1.18) continues to hold. Finally, we pick \( s_B \) to be \( \ell \)-normalized with respect to the integral structure provided by \( \mathcal{V}_{L,B,r}(\mathcal{O}_{L,(\ell)}) \).

### 1.4. Canonical quadratic period invariants

We can now define the canonical quadratic period invariants attached to \( \Pi \) and state the main conjecture relating these invariants. Let \( b \) be a quaternion algebra such that \( \Sigma_B \subseteq \Sigma_{\Pi} \). As in the introduction, let \( R \) be the ring \( \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}[1/N(\Pi)]]} \). For any rational prime \( \ell \) prime to \( N(\Pi) \), we define an invariant \( q_B(\Pi, \ell) \in \mathbb{C}^X/R_{(\ell)}^X \) as follows. Let \( \mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{K} \) be the open compact subgroup of \( G_B(\mathbb{A}_f) \) defined by \( \mathcal{K} = \prod \mathcal{K}_v \) with

\[
\mathcal{K}_v = (\mathcal{O}_B(\mathcal{N}_B) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_F} \mathcal{O}_{F,v})^X.
\]

Choosing a section \( s_B \) as above that is \( \ell \)-normalized, define

\[
q_B(\Pi, \ell) := \langle s_B, s_B \rangle_{\mathcal{K}} \in \mathbb{C}^X/R_{(\ell)}^X,
\]

to be the Petersson norm of the section \( s_B \) as in Defn. (1.11).

**Proposition 1.20.** The invariant \( q_B(\Pi, \ell) \) is well defined, in that as an element of \( \mathbb{C}^X/R_{(\ell)}^X \), it does not depend on the choices of the number field \( L \), the pair \( (\mathcal{O}_B(\mathcal{N}_B), o) \) consisting of the Eichler order \( \mathcal{O}_B(\mathcal{N}_B) \) and the orientation \( o : \mathcal{O}_B(\mathcal{N}_B) \to \mathcal{O}_F/\mathcal{N}_{PS} \), the isomorphism (1.16) satisfying (1.17), (1.18) above and the collection of isomorphisms (1.20).

**Proof.** We will give the argument in the case when \( B \) is not totally definite. In the case of a totally definite \( B \), a similar (but simpler) argument can be given which we leave to the reader.

Independence of the choice of \( L \) is clear since we can always replace \( L \) by a larger field without changing the choice of \( s_B \). Implicitly in the arguments below we may need to make such a field extension and we do this without comment. Let us first show that fixed choices of other data, there is no dependence on the choice of isomorphisms (1.20). Indeed, for all but finitely many \( v \), the isomorphisms \( i_v \) must satisfy (1.21). Let \( \{ i'_v \} \) be a different set of choices. Then for all but finitely many \( v \), the isomorphisms \( i_v \) and \( i'_v \) must differ by conjugation by an element of \( \mathcal{K}_v \). For such \( v \), the identifications \( \mathcal{H}_v \simeq \mathcal{H}_v \), given by \( i_v \) and \( i'_v \) are the same. This implies that the same choice of \( s_B \) can be used if \( \{ i_v \} \) is replaced by \( \{ i'_v \} \) and the norm \( \langle s_B, s_B \rangle_{\mathcal{K}} \) is unchanged.
Next let us look at the dependence on the choice of isomorphism $\phi_B$ in (1.16), for fixed choices of other data. Let $\phi'_B$ be a different choice of isomorphism satisfying (1.17). Then $\phi_B$ and $\phi'_B$ differ by conjugation by an element
\[
t \in \prod_{\sigma} \text{GL}_2(L) \cap \left( \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma_B, \sigma B} \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \times \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma_B, \infty} \mathbb{H}^\times \right)
\]
that normalizes $\prod_{\sigma} \mathcal{M}_2(O_{L,(t)})$. The normalizer of $\mathcal{M}_2(O_{L,(t)})$ in $\text{GL}_2(L)$ is $L^\times \cdot \text{GL}_2(O_{L,(t)})$, so we may assume that $t$ lies in $\prod_{\sigma} \text{GL}_2(O_{L,(t)})$. Then there is a natural morphism of integral models
\[
\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathcal{O}_{L,(t)}}^{\phi_B} \simeq \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathcal{O}_{L,(t)}}^{\phi'_B}
\]
which is just given by the (left) action of $t$ on the fibers. This induces an isomorphism between the integral models of the corresponding automorphic vector bundles that is also given by the action of $t$ on the fibers. (Keep in mind that the $G(\mathbb{Q})$-action on the fibers are different, and differ by conjugation by $t$, so the left action of $t$ on the bundles is indeed a map of bundles.) Thus if $s_B$ is an $\ell$-normalized section of $V_{\mathcal{O}_{b^\ell}}^{\phi_B}$, then $t \cdot s_B$ is an $\ell$-normalized section of $V_{\mathcal{O}_{b^\ell}}^{\phi'_B}$. Then the inner products $\langle s_B, s_B \rangle^\mathcal{K}$ and $\langle s'_B, s'_B \rangle^\mathcal{K}$ differ by a power of $\|\nu(t)\|$, which is a unit at $\ell$.

Finally, we consider dependence on the choice of the pair $(\mathcal{O}_B(\mathcal{B}_f), o)$. Let $(\mathcal{O}_B(\mathcal{B}_f)', o')$ be another such pair and let $\phi'_B$ (respectively $i'_v$) denote our choices of isomorphism (1.16) satisfying (1.14) (respectively the isomorphisms (1.20) satisfying (1.21) for all but finitely many $v$). Let us suppose first that the pair $(\mathcal{O}_B(\mathcal{B}_f), o')$ is conjugate to $(\mathcal{O}_B(\mathcal{B}_f), o)$ by an element in $B^\times$, say $\mathcal{O}_B(\mathcal{B}_f)' = b^{-1} \mathcal{O}_B(\mathcal{B}_f) b$ and $o'(x) = o(bxb^{-1})$. By what we have shown so far we may assume that $\phi'_B(x) = \phi_B(bxb^{-1})$, $i'_v(x) = i_v(bxb^{-1})$.

The open compact subgroup $\mathcal{K}'$ of $G_B(\mathbb{A}_f)$ determined by the pair $(\mathcal{O}_B(\mathcal{B}_f)', o')$ satisfies $\mathcal{K}' = b^{-1} \mathcal{K} b$. Let us write $b = b_\infty \cdot b_f$ where $b_\infty$ and $b_f$ denote the infinite and finite components of $b$ respectively, viewed as elements in $\mathcal{G}_B(\mathbb{A})$. There is a natural isomorphism of Shimura varieties
\[
\text{Sh}_{\mathcal{K}}(G_B, X_B) = G_B(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash X_B \times G_B(\mathbb{A}_f)/\mathcal{K} \simeq G_B(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash X_B \times G_B(\mathbb{A})/\mathcal{K}' = \text{Sh}_{\mathcal{K}'}(G_B, X_B),
\]
given by
\[
(h, g_f) \mapsto (h, g_f b_f).
\]
Further, there is a natural isomorphism
\[
V_{\mathcal{O}_{b^\ell}, r, \mathcal{K}}^{\phi_B} = G_B(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash V_{\mathcal{O}_{b^\ell}, r}^{\phi_B} \times G_B(\mathbb{A}_f)/\mathcal{K} \simeq G_B(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash V_{\mathcal{O}_{b^\ell}, r}^{\phi'_B} \times G_B(\mathbb{A}_f)/\mathcal{K}' = V_{\mathcal{O}_{b^\ell}, r, \mathcal{K}'}^{\phi'_B},
\]
covering $\xi_b$, given by
\[
(\nu, g_f) \mapsto (\phi_B(b) \cdot v, g_f b_f).
\]
Note that if $\gamma$ is an element in $G_B(\mathbb{Q})$ then
\[
\gamma \cdot (\nu, g_f) = (\phi_B(\gamma) \cdot v, g_f) \mapsto (\phi_B(b) \phi_B(\gamma) \cdot v, \gamma g_f b_f) = (\phi_B(\gamma) \phi_B(b) \cdot v, \gamma g_f b_f) = \gamma \cdot (\phi_B(b) \cdot v, g_f b_f),
\]
so that the assignment in (1.20) does descend to equivalence classes for the $G_B(\mathbb{Q})$-action. Note also that $\xi_B$ is the map on automorphic vector bundles corresponding to a morphism of vector bundles that extend to the integral models, since these integral models are defined using the triples $(\mathcal{O}_B(\mathcal{B}_f), o, \phi_B)$ and $(\mathcal{O}_B(\mathcal{B}_f)', o', \phi'_B)$ respectively. Thus $\xi'_b$ is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{K}$ at the level of integral models, and so we may assume that $s'_B = \xi_b(s_B)$. But then we see from the definition of the metrics on the vector bundles $V_{\mathcal{O}_{b^\ell}, r, \mathcal{K}}^{\phi_B}$ and $V_{\mathcal{O}_{b^\ell}, r, \mathcal{K}'}^{\phi'_B}$ and the product formula that
\[
\langle s'_B, s_B \rangle^\mathcal{K} = \|\nu(b_\infty)\|^{-r} \cdot \|\nu(b_f)\|^{-r} \cdot \langle s_B, s_B \rangle^\mathcal{K} = \langle s_B, s_B \rangle^\mathcal{K}.
\]
In general, it may not be true that the pairs \((\mathcal{O}_B(N_B), o)\) and \((\mathcal{O}_B(N_B)', o')\) are conjugate by an element of \(B^\times\). Nevertheless, we can always find an element \(\beta_f \in B^\times(h_f)\) such that

\[ \mathcal{O}_B(N_B)' = \beta_f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_B(N_B)\beta_f, \quad o'(x) = o(\beta_f x \beta_f^{-1}). \]

Let \(b\) be an element of \(B^\times\) approximating \(\beta_f = (\beta_v)\) at \(\ell\) so that

\[ \mathcal{O}_B(N_B)' \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell = (b^{-1}\mathcal{O}_B(N_B)b) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell. \]

Then we may assume that

\[ \phi_B'(x) = \phi_B(bxb^{-1}), \quad i_v'(x) = i_v(\beta_v x \beta_v^{-1}). \]

The open compact subgroup \(K'\) satisfies \(K' = \beta_f^{-1}K\beta_f\). We now run through the same argument as above, defining

\[ \xi_b([h, g_f]) = [\langle h, g_f \beta_f \rangle], \quad \xi_b([v, g_f]) = [\langle \phi_B(b) \cdot v, g_f \beta_f \rangle]. \]

The result follows from observing that \(||\nu(b_\infty)|| \cdot ||\nu(\beta_f)||\), while not necessarily 1, is still an element in \(R^\times(\ell)\).

We can also define an invariant \(q_B(\Pi) \in C^\times/R^\times\) such that the class of \(q_B(\Pi)\) in \(C^\times/R^\times(\ell)\) equals \(q_B(\Pi, \ell)\). Indeed, pick the isomorphism \(\phi_B\), the number field \(L\) and the maximal order \(O_B\) in \(B\) such that

\[ \phi_B(O_B) \subset \prod M_2(O_L). \]

Choose a pair \((\mathcal{O}_B(N_B), o)\) consisting of an Eichler order and an orientation. The constructions in \(\S1.3\) can be copied verbatim to give integral models for everything in sight over \(O_L[1N]\). (See Sec. \(1.1.3.1\).) By enlarging \(L\) if need be, we can pick a section \(s_B\) that is \(\ell\)-normalized at all rational primes that are prime to \(N(\Pi)\) and then define \(q_B(\Pi)\) to equal \(\langle s_B, s_B \rangle_{K'}\) for such a choice of \(s_B\). This is an element of \(C^\times\) that maps to \(q_B(\Pi, \ell)\) under the natural map \(C^\times \to C^\times/R^\times(\ell)\) for all \(\ell\) such that \((\ell, N(\Pi)) = 1\). Since the map

\[ C^\times/R^\times \to \prod_{(\ell, N(\Pi))=1} C^\times/R^\times(\ell) \]

is injective, the class of \(q_B(\Pi)\) in \(C^\times/R^\times\) is well defined. This defines the invariants needed in the formulation of Conjecture \(\text{A}\) of the introduction.

2. Unitary and quaternionic unitary groups

In \(\S 2.1\) we review the general theory of hermitian and skew-hermitian forms over local fields and number fields. In \(\S 2.2\) we describe the construction of a certain skew-hermitian space over a quaternion algebra (over a number field), which plays an important role throughout the paper, while in \(\S 2.3\) we review the connection between this construction and the failure of the Hasse principle for quaternionic skew-hermitian forms.

2.1. Hermitian and skew-hermitian spaces.
2.1.1. **Hermitian spaces.** Let $F$ be a field of characteristic zero and $E$ a quadratic extension of $F$, possibly split. Let $V$ be a right $E$-vector space of dimension $n$ (i.e., a free $E$-module of rank $n$), equipped with a Hermitian form

$$(\cdot, \cdot) : V \times V \to E.$$ 

Such a form is linear in one variable and antilinear in the other, and we fix any one convention at this point. For example, if $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is antilinear in the first variable and linear in the second, then:

$$(\alpha v, \beta v') = \alpha^\rho(v, v') \beta, \quad (v, v') = (v', v)^\rho,$$

where $\rho$ denotes the nontrivial involution of $E/F$.

To such a $V$, one associates the following invariants: $\dim(V) = n$ and $\text{disc}(V) \in F^\times / N_{E/F} E^\times$, where

$$\text{disc}(V) = (-1)^{n(n-1)/2} \det((v_i, v_j)),$$

with $\{v_i\}$ an $E$-basis for $V$. Since $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is Hermitian, $\text{disc}(V)$ lies in $F^\times$ and its class in $F^\times / N_{E/F} E^\times$ is independent of the choice of basis.

Let $\text{GU}(V)$ denote the unitary similitude group of $V$. (Occasionally, we will write $\text{GU}_E(V)$ for clarity.) This is an algebraic group over $F$ such that for any $F$-algebra $R$, we have

$$\text{GU}(V)(R) := \{g \in \text{GL}(V \otimes R) : (gv, gv') = \nu(g)(v, v') \text{ for all } v, v' \text{ and } \nu(g) \in R^\times\}.$$ 

If $E = F \times F$, then $\text{GU}(V) \simeq \text{GL}_n \times \text{GL}_1$. If $E$ is a field, the various possibilities for $\text{GU}(V)$ are discussed below.

2.1.1.1. **$p$-adic local fields.** Let $F$ be $p$-adic. As a Hermitian space, $V$ is determined up to isomorphism by its dimension and discriminant. Further, given any choice of dimension and discriminant, there is a space $V$ with these as its invariants. If $\dim(V)$ is odd, the group $\text{GU}(V)$ is (up to isomorphism) independent of $\text{disc}(V)$ and is quasi-split. If $\dim(V)$ is even, there are two possibilities for $\text{GU}(V)$ up to isomorphism and $\text{GU}(V)$ is quasi-split if and only if $\text{disc}(V) = 1$.

2.1.1.2. **Archimedean fields.** Let $F = \mathbb{R}$ and $E = \mathbb{C}$. Then the form $(\cdot, \cdot)$ can be put into the diagonal form $(1, \ldots, 1, -1, \ldots, -1)$ which is called the signature of $V$; we say $V$ is of type $(p, q)$ if the number of $1$s is $p$ and the number of $-1$s is $q$. Hermitian spaces are classified up to isomorphism by their signature (which determines both the dimension and discriminant) and we write $\text{GU}(p, q)$ for the associated group. The only isomorphisms between these groups are $\text{GU}(p, q) \simeq \text{GU}(q, p)$.

2.1.1.3. **Number fields.** Let $E/F$ be a quadratic extension of number fields. If $V$ is a Hermitian $E$-space, then for each place $v$ of $F$, one gets a local space $V_v$, which is a Hermitian space for $E_v/F_v$ and such that for almost all $v$, the discriminant of $V_v$ is 1. The Hasse principle says that $V$ is determined up to isomorphism by this collection of local spaces. Conversely, suppose we are given for each place $v$ a local space $V_v$ (of some fixed dimension $n$) such that almost all of the local discriminants are equal to 1. The collection of local discriminants gives an element of $\mathbb{A}_E^\times / N_{E/F} \mathbb{A}_E^\times$. Such a collection of local spaces comes from a global space if and only if this element lies in the image of $F^\times$, i.e., is trivial in the quotient $\mathbb{A}_F^\times / F^\times N_{E/F} \mathbb{A}_E^\times$, which has order 2.

2.1.2. **Skew-Hermitian spaces.** Let $E/F$ be a quadratic extension as in the beginning of the previous section. Skew-hermitian $E$-spaces are defined similarly to hermitian spaces but with the condition

$$(v, v') = -(v', v)^\rho.$$ 

We can go back and forth between hermitian and skew-hermitian spaces simply by multiplying the form by an element in $E^\times$ of trace zero. Indeed, pick a trace zero element $i \in E^\times$. If $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is skew-hermitian form on $V$, the product $(\cdot, \cdot)' := i \cdot (\cdot, \cdot)$ is hermitian. The group $\text{GU}(V)$ is the same for
both $(\cdot, \cdot)$ and $(\cdot, \cdot)^*$. Thus the classification of skew-hermitian forms (and the corresponding groups) can be deduced from the hermitian case.

2.1.3. Quaternionic hermitian spaces. Let $F$ be a field and $B$ a quaternion algebra over $F$. Let $a \mapsto a^*$ denote the main involution on $B$. A $B$-Hermitian space is a right $B$-space $V$ equipped with a $B$-valued form

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V \times V \to B$$

satisfying

$$\langle v\alpha, v'\beta \rangle = \alpha^* \langle v, v' \rangle \beta, \quad \langle v, v' \rangle = \langle v', v \rangle^*,$$

for $v, v' \in V$ and $\alpha, \beta \in B$.

Let $\text{GU}(V)$ denote the unitary similitude group of $V$. (Sometimes, we write $\text{GU}_B(V)$ for clarity.) This is an algebraic group over $F$ such that for any $F$-algebra $R$, we have

$$\text{GU}(V)(R) := \{g \in \text{GL}(V \otimes R) : \langle gv, gv' \rangle = \nu(g) \langle v, v' \rangle \text{ for all } v, v' \text{ and } \nu(g) \in R^\times \}.$$

If $B$ is split, there is a unique such space $V$ of any given dimension $n$ over $B$. The corresponding group $\text{GU}(V)$ is identified with $\text{GSp}(2n)$. If $B$ is nonsplit, the classification of such spaces over $p$-adic fields and number fields is recalled below.

2.1.3.1. $p$-adic fields. If $F$ is a $p$-adic field, there is a unique such space of any given dimension, up to isometry. The corresponding group is the unique nontrivial inner form of $\text{GSp}(2n)$.

2.1.3.2. Archimedean fields. If $F = \mathbb{R}$, such spaces are classified by dimension and signature. If the signature is of type $(p, q)$, the associated group is denoted $\text{GSp}(p, q)$. The only isomorphisms between these are $\text{GSp}(p, q) \cong \text{GSp}(q, p)$.

2.1.3.3. Global fields. The Hasse principle holds in this case, so a global $B$-hermitian space is determined up to isometry by the collection of corresponding local $B_v$-Hermitian spaces. Conversely, given any collection of $B_v$-hermitian spaces, there is a (unique) $B$-Hermitian space that gives rise to this local collection up to isometry.

2.1.4. Quaternionic skew-hermitian spaces. These are defined similarly to $B$-hermitian spaces but with the condition

$$\langle v, v' \rangle = -\langle v', v \rangle^*.$$

To such a space $V$ is associated the invariant $\det(V) \in F^\times/(F^\times)^2$ as follows. Pick a $B$-basis $\{v_i\}$ for $V$ and set

$$\det(V) = \nu_B(\langle v_i, v_j \rangle).$$

Here $\nu_B$ denotes the reduced norm. (Often, we will omit the subscript $B$ when the choice of quaternion algebra is clear.) The group $\text{GU}(V)$ is defined similarly as above. It is however not connected as an algebraic group. We now recall the classification of such spaces and the associated groups. Note that if $B$ is split, we can associate to $V$ a quadratic space $V^\dagger$ over $F$ of dimension $2n$ (where $n = \text{dim}_B(V)$) and $\text{GU}(V) \cong \text{GO}(V^\dagger)$.

2.1.4.1. $p$-adic fields. Let $F$ be $p$-adic. If $B$ is split, $V$ is determined by $\text{dim}(V)$, $\det(V)$ and the Hasse invariant of $V^\dagger$. If $B$ is nonsplit, $V$ is determined by $\text{dim}(V)$ and $\det(V)$.

2.1.4.2. Archimedean fields. If $F = \mathbb{R}$ and $B$ is split, $V$ is determined by the signature of $V^\dagger$. The group $\text{GU}(V)$ is isomorphic to $\text{GO}(p, q)$ where $(p, q)$ is the signature. If $B$ is nonsplit, $V$ is determined just by $n = \text{dim}_B(V)$. The group $\text{GU}(V)$ is isomorphic to $\text{GO}^*(2n)$. If $F = \mathbb{C}$, then $B$ must be split and there is a unique skew-hermitian space of any given dimension. Then $\text{GU}(V) \cong \text{GO}(2n, \mathbb{C})$. 
2.1.4.3. Global fields. Let $F$ be a number field. If $B$ is split, then the classification reduces to that for quadratic spaces via the assignement $V \mapsto V^1$. In this case, the Hasse principle holds. If $B$ is non-split, then the Hasse principle does not hold. Let $\Sigma_B$ be the set of places $v$ where $B$ is ramified and let $s = |\Sigma_B|$. The space $V$ gives rise to a collection of local spaces and up to isometry there are exactly $2^{s-2}$ global $B$-skew-hermitian spaces that give rise to the same set of local spaces. Conversely a collection of local $B_v$-skew-hermitian spaces $V_v$ arises from a global $B$-skew-hermitian space $V$ if and only if there exists a global element $d \in F^\times$ such that $\det(V_v) = d$ in $F_v^\times/(F_v^\times)^2$ for all $v$ and for almost all $v$, the Hasse invariant of $V_v$ is trivial.

2.2. The key constructions. In this section, we assume that $B_1$ and $B_2$ are two quaternion algebras over a number field $F$ and $E/F$ is a quadratic extension that embeds in both $B_1$ and $B_2$. We will fix embeddings $E \hookrightarrow B_1$ and $E \hookrightarrow B_2$. Via these embeddings, $B_1$ and $B_2$ are hermitian spaces over $E$. Let $\tau_i$ and $\nu_i$ be respectively the reduced trace and norm on $B_i$. We think of $B_1$ and $B_2$ as right $E$-vector spaces, the Hermitian form being described below. Write

$$B_1 = E + Ej_1 = E + j_1E, \quad B_2 = E + Ej_2 = E + j_2E,$$

where $\tau_1(j_1) = \tau_2(j_2) = 0$. We write $\text{pr}_i$ for the projection $B_i \to E$ onto the "first coordinate" and $*_i$ for the main involution on $B_i$. Then $B_i$ is a right Hermitian $E$-space, the form being given by:

$$(x, y)_i = \text{pr}_i(x^*y).$$

If $x = a + j_1 b$, $y = c + j_1 d$, then

$$(x, y)_i = (a + j_1 b, c + j_1 d)_i = a^e c - J_i b^e d,$$

where $J_i := -\nu_i(j_i) = j_i^2$. This form satisfies the relations

$$(x\alpha, y\beta)_i = \alpha^e (x, y)_i \beta, \quad \text{for} \quad \alpha, \beta \in E,$$

and

$$(x, y)_i = (y, x)_i^e.$$

We note that $B_1^\times$ acts naturally on $B_i$ by left multiplication, and this action is $E$-linear. Further,

(2.1) $$(\alpha x, \alpha y)_i = \nu_i(\alpha)(x, y)_i$$

for all $\alpha \in B_i$. Thus $B_i^\times$ embeds naturally in $\text{GU}_E(B_i)$. In fact,

$$F^\times/(B_1^\times \times E^\times) \simeq \text{GU}_E(B_i),$$

where $E^\times$ acts on $B_i$ by right multiplication, and we think of $F^\times$ as a subgroup of $B_1^\times \times E^\times$ via $\lambda \mapsto (\lambda^{-1}, \lambda)$.

Consider the (right) $E$-vector space

$$V := B_1 \otimes_E B_2.$$

Remark 2.1. If $x \in B_1$, $y \in B_2$, $\alpha \in E$, then by definition,

$$(x \otimes y)\alpha = x\alpha \otimes y = x \otimes y\alpha.$$

The $E$-vector space $V$ is equipped with a natural Hermitian form given by the tensor product $(\cdot, \cdot)_1 \otimes (\cdot, \cdot)_2$. We fix a nonzero element $i \in E$ of trace 0, and define $(\cdot, \cdot)$ on $V$ by

(2.2) $$(\cdot, \cdot) := i \cdot (\cdot, \cdot)_1 \otimes (\cdot, \cdot)_2.$$

Clearly, $(\cdot, \cdot)$ satisfies

$$(x\alpha, y\beta) = \alpha^e (x, y)\beta, \quad \text{for} \quad \alpha, \beta \in E,$$

$$(x, y) = -(y, x)^e.$$

Thus $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is an $E$-skew-Hermitian form on $V$. 

It will be useful to write down the form $(\cdot, \cdot)$ explicitly in terms of coordinates with respect to a suitable $E$-basis. We pick the following (orthogonal) basis:

\begin{equation}
  (e_1 := 1 \otimes 1, e_2 := j_1 \otimes 1, e_3 := 1 \otimes j_2, e_4 := j_1 \otimes j_2).
\end{equation}

In this basis,

\begin{equation}
  (e_1 a + e_2 b + e_3 c + e_4 d, e_1 a' + e_2 b' + e_3 c' + e_4 d') = 1 \cdot [a^\nu a' - J_1 b^\nu b' - J_2 c^\nu c' + J_1 J_2 d^\nu d'].
\end{equation}

There is a natural map

\begin{equation}
  \mathrm{GU}_E(B_1) \times \mathrm{GU}_E(B_2) \rightarrow \mathrm{GU}_E(V),
\end{equation}

given by the actions of $\mathrm{GU}_E(B_1)$ and $\mathrm{GU}_E(B_2)$ on the first and second component respectively of $V = B_1 \otimes_E B_2$. The kernel of this map is

\begin{equation}
  Z := \{ ([\lambda_1, \alpha_1], [\lambda_2, \alpha_2]) : \lambda_i \in F^*, \alpha_i \in E^*, \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \alpha_1 \alpha_2 = 1 \}.
\end{equation}

Let $B := B_1 \cdot B_2$ be the product in the Brauer group over $F$. Then $E$ embeds in $B$ as well, and we will fix an embedding $E \rightarrow B$. We may write

\begin{equation}
  B = E + Ej
\end{equation}

where $\tau(j) = 0$ and $J := -\nu(j) = j^2$ satisfies

\begin{equation}
  J = J_1 J_2.
\end{equation}

Here $\tau$ and $\nu$ are respectively the reduced trace and reduced norm on $B$. We define a right action of $B$ on $V$ (extending the right $E$-action on $V$) by setting

\begin{align}
  (1 \otimes 1) \cdot j &= j_1 \otimes j_2, \\
  (j_1 \otimes 1) \cdot j &= J_1 (1 \otimes j_2), \\
  (1 \otimes j_2) \cdot j &= J_2 (j_1 \otimes 1), \\
  (j_1 \otimes j_2) \cdot j &= J_1 J_2 (1 \otimes 1)
\end{align}

and requiring the right action by $j$ on $V$ to be conjugate $E$-linear. (It is straightforward to check that this gives an action.) Then $V$ is a free rank-2 right $B$-module. For example, a basis for $V$ as a right $B$-module is given either by

\begin{equation}
  \{ 1 \otimes 1, j_1 \otimes 1 \}
\end{equation}

or

\begin{equation}
  \{ 1 \otimes 1, 1 \otimes j_2 \}.
\end{equation}

Further, one checks that (equivalently)

\begin{align}
  (xj, y) &= (yj, x), \\
  (xj, y)^\nu &= -J(x, y)
\end{align}

for all $x, y \in V$.

We will now show that there is a $B$-valued skew-Hermitian form $(\cdot, \cdot)$ on $V$ such that

\begin{equation}
  \text{pr}_0 (\cdot, \cdot) = (\cdot, \cdot).
\end{equation}

Indeed, define

\begin{equation}
  \langle x, y \rangle = (x, y) - \frac{1}{J} \cdot j : (xj, y).
\end{equation}

It may be checked (using (2.8) and (2.9)) that

\begin{align}
  \langle x\alpha, y\beta \rangle &= \alpha^\ast (x, y) \beta, \\
  \langle x, y \rangle^\ast &= \langle y, x \rangle^\ast,
\end{align}

for all $\alpha, \beta \in B$. For future reference, we write down the matrix of inner products $\langle e_i, e_j \rangle$. 

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle & e_1 & e_2 & e_3 & e_4 \\
e_1 & i & 0 & 0 & ij \\
e_2 & 0 & -J_1i & -ij & 0 \\
e_3 & 0 & -ij & -J_2i & 0 \\
e_4 & ij & 0 & 0 & Ji
\end{array}
\]

From the table, we see that \(\det(V) = \nu(-J_1u) = 1\) in \(F^\times/(F^\times)^2\).

Notice that \(B_1^\times\) and \(B_2^\times\) act on \(V\) by left multiplication on the first and second factor of \(V = B_1 \otimes_E B_2\) respectively. These actions are (right) \(E\)-linear and in fact (right) \(B\)-linear as is easily checked using (2.3) through (2.7). Further, it follows from (2.1), (2.2), and (2.10) that

(2.13) \[
\langle \alpha_i \cdot x, \alpha_i \cdot y \rangle = \nu_i(\alpha_i) \langle x, y \rangle
\]

for \(\alpha_i \in B_i^\times\). Clearly, the actions of \(B_1^\times\) and \(B_2^\times\) commute, hence one gets an embedding

(2.14) \[
F^\times/(B_1^\times \times B_2^\times) \hookrightarrow GU_B(V),
\]

the quaternionic unitary group of the \(B\)-skew-Hermitian form \(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\). (Here we think of \(F^\times\) as embedded antidiagonally in \(B_1 \times B_2\) via \(\lambda \mapsto (\lambda^{-1}, \lambda)\).) Then (2.14) gives an isomorphism

\[
F^\times/(B_1^\times \times B_2^\times) \simeq GU_B(V)^0,
\]

where \(GU_B(V)^0\) denotes the identity component of \(GU_B(V)\). Further, one has a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
F^\times/(B_1^\times \times B_2^\times) & \simeq & GU_B(V)^0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
Z/(GU_E(B_1) \times GU_E(B_2)) & \hookrightarrow & GU_E(V)
\end{array}
\]

where the vertical map

\[
F^\times/(B_1^\times \times B_2^\times) \hookrightarrow Z/(GU_E(B_1) \times GU_E(B_2))
\]

is

\[
[b_1, b_2] \mapsto [[[b_1, 1]], [[b_2, 1]]],
\]

and the vertical map \(GU_B(V)^0 \hookrightarrow GU_E(V)\) is just the natural inclusion.

Let \(V = \text{Res}_{E/F}(V)\), that is \(V\) is just \(V\) thought of as an \(F\)-space, with non-degenerate symplectic form

\[
\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle := \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}_{E/F}(v_1, v_2).
\]

Let

\[
X = Fe_1 \oplus Fe_2 \oplus Fe_3 \oplus Fe_4 \subset V.
\]

Since \(X\) is maximal isotropic for \(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\), there exists a unique maximal isotropic subspace \(Y\) in \(V\), such that \(V = X \oplus Y\). Let \((e_1^*, \ldots, e_4^*)\) be an \(F\)-basis for \(Y\) that is dual to \((e_1, \ldots, e_4)\). We can identify this basis precisely: letting \(i^2 = u \in F^\times\), we have

(2.15) \[
e_1^* = \frac{1}{u} e_1 i, \quad e_2^* = -\frac{1}{J_1u} e_2 i, \quad e_3^* = -\frac{1}{J_2u} e_3 i, \quad e_4^* = \frac{1}{Ju} e_4 i.
\]
2.2.1. The unitary group $U_E(V)$ at infinite places. This section will not be relevant in this paper. We simply record for future use the isomorphism class of the unitary group $U_E(V)$ at the infinite places $v$ assuming that $F_v = \mathbb{R}$ and $E_v = \mathbb{C}$. The skew-hermitian form is given by
\[
i \cdot [a^\rho a' - J_1 b^\rho b' - J_2 c^\rho c' + Jd^\rho d']
\]
Thus we have the following table which summarizes the relation between the ramification of $B_1$ and $B_2$ at $v$ and the isomorphism class of $U_E(V)$.

| $B_1, B_2$       | $J_1, J_2$   | $U_E(V)$ |
|-------------------|--------------|-----------|
| split, split      | $J_1 > 0, J_2 > 0$ | $U(2, 2)$ |
| ramified, split   | $J_1 > 0, J_2 > 0$ | $U(2, 2)$ |
| split, ramified   | $J_1 > 0, J_2 < 0$ | $U(2, 2)$ |
| ramified, ramified| $J_1 < 0, J_2 < 0$ | $U(4, 0)$ |

2.3. The failure of the Hasse principle. The constructions above illustrate the failure of the Hasse principle for skew-hermitian $B$-spaces. Indeed, let us fix a $B$ and consider pairs $(B_1, B_2)$ such that $\Sigma_{B_1} \cap \Sigma_{B_2} = \Sigma_0$, where $\Sigma_0$ is some fixed set of places not intersecting $\Sigma_B$. Let $E/F$ be a quadratic extension that is nonsplit at all the places in $\Sigma_B \cup \Sigma_0$. Then $E$ embeds in $B, B_1, B_2$, so the constructions from the previous section apply. The various spaces $V$ obtained by taking different choices of $B_1$ and $B_2$ are all locally isometric, since all of them have $\det(V) = 1$ and the Hasse invariant of $V^\dagger_v$ is independent of $V$ for $v \not\in \Sigma_B$. Since interchanging $B_1$ and $B_2$ gives an isometric global space, the number of different global spaces obtained in this way (up to isometry) is exactly $2^{s-2}$, where $s = |\Sigma_B|$. Conversely, suppose that we are given a quaternion division algebra $B$ and a collection of local $B_v$-skew-hermitian spaces $V_v$ such that $\det(V_v) = 1$ for all $v$ and the Hasse invariant of $V_v^\dagger$ (for $v \not\in \Sigma_B$) is 1 for all but finitely many $v$. Then there are up to isometry $2^{s-2}$ different global skew-hermitian spaces that give rise to this collection of local spaces, and all of them may be obtained by the construction above, by suitably choosing $B_1, B_2$ and $1$.

3. Theta correspondences

3.1. Preliminaries.

3.1.1. Weil indices. Let $F$ be a local field of characteristic not 2 and fix a non-trivial additive character $\psi$ of $F$. For a non-degenerate symmetric $F$-bilinear form $q$, we let $\gamma_F(\psi \circ q) \in \mu_8$ denote the Weil index associated to the character of second degree $x \mapsto \psi(q(x,x))$ (see [73], [61 Appendix]). When $q(x, y) = xy$ for $x, y \in F$, we write $\gamma_F(\psi) := \gamma_F(\psi \circ q)$. Put
\[
\gamma_F(a, \psi) := \frac{\gamma_F(a\psi)}{\gamma_F(\psi)}
\]
for \( a \in F^\times \), where \((a\psi)(x) := \psi(ax)\) for \( x \in F \). Then we have
\[
\gamma_F(ab^2, \psi) = \gamma_F(a, \psi),
\gamma_F(ab, \psi) = \gamma_F(a, \psi) \cdot \gamma_F(b, \psi) \cdot (a, b)_F,
\gamma_F(a, \psi)^2 = (-1, a)_F,
\gamma_F(a, \psi)^2 = 1,
\gamma_F(\psi)^2 = \gamma_F(-1, \psi)^{-1},
\gamma_F(\psi)^8 = 1
\]
for \( a, b \in F^\times \) (see [61] p. 367). Here \((\cdot, \cdot)_F\) is the quadratic Hilbert symbol of \( F \).

Let \( q \) be a non-degenerate symmetric \( F \)-bilinear form. Let \( \det q \in F^\times / (F^\times)^2 \) and \( h_F(q) \in \{ \pm 1 \} \) denote the determinant and the Hasse invariant of \( q \). For example, when
\[
q(x, y) = a_1x_1y_1 + \cdots + a_my_my_m
\]
for \( x = (x_1, \ldots, x_m) \), \( y = (y_1, \ldots, y_m) \in F^m \), then
\[
\det q = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq m} a_i, \quad h_F(q) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} (a_i, a_j)_F.
\]

Moreover, we have
(3.1) \[
\gamma_F(\psi \circ q) = \gamma_F(\psi)^m \cdot \gamma_F(\det q, \psi) \cdot h_F(q)
\]
(see [61] pp. 367–368).

3.1.2. Leray invariants. Let \( \mathbb{V} \) be a \( 2n \)-dimensional \( F \)-vector space with a non-degenerate symplectic form \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{V} \to F \). For maximal isotropic subspaces \( \mathbb{Y}, \mathbb{Y}', \mathbb{Y}'' \) of \( \mathbb{V} \), the Leray invariant \( q(\mathbb{Y}, \mathbb{Y}', \mathbb{Y}'') \) is a non-degenerate symmetric \( F \)-bilinear form defined as follows. (See also Definitions 2.4 and 2.10 of [61].)

Suppose first that \( \mathbb{Y}, \mathbb{Y}', \mathbb{Y}'' \) are pairwise transverse. Let \( P_\mathbb{Y} \) be the maximal parabolic subgroup of \( \text{Sp}(\mathbb{V}) \) stabilizing \( \mathbb{Y} \) and let \( N_\mathbb{Y} \) be its unipotent radical. By Lemma 2.3 of [61], there exists a unique \( g \in N_\mathbb{Y} \) such that \( \mathbb{Y}'g = \mathbb{Y}'' \). We write
\[
g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ b & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad b \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Y}', \mathbb{Y})
\]
with respect to the complete polarization \( \mathbb{V} = \mathbb{Y}' + \mathbb{Y} \). Then \( q = q(\mathbb{Y}, \mathbb{Y}', \mathbb{Y}'') \) is a symmetric bilinear form on \( \mathbb{Y}' \) defined by
\[
q(x', y') := \langle x', y'b \rangle.
\]

In general, we consider a symplectic space \( \mathbb{V}_\mathbb{R} := \mathbb{R}^\perp / \mathbb{R} \), where
\[
\mathbb{R} := (\mathbb{Y} \cap \mathbb{Y}') + (\mathbb{Y}' \cap \mathbb{Y}'') + (\mathbb{Y}'' \cap \mathbb{Y}),
\]
and maximal isotropic subspaces
\[
\mathbb{Y}_\mathbb{R} := (\mathbb{Y} \cap \mathbb{R}^\perp) / \mathbb{R}, \quad \mathbb{Y}'_\mathbb{R} := (\mathbb{Y}' \cap \mathbb{R}^\perp) / \mathbb{R}, \quad \mathbb{Y}''_\mathbb{R} := (\mathbb{Y}'' \cap \mathbb{R}^\perp) / \mathbb{R}
\]
of \( \mathbb{V}_\mathbb{R} \). By Lemma 2.9 of [61], \( \mathbb{Y}_\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Y}'_\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Y}''_\mathbb{R} \) are pairwise transverse. We put
\[
q(\mathbb{Y}, \mathbb{Y}', \mathbb{Y}'') := q(\mathbb{Y}_\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Y}'_\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Y}''_\mathbb{R}).
\]

By Theorem 2.11 of [61], we have
\[
q(\mathbb{Y}g, \mathbb{Y}'g, \mathbb{Y}''g) = q(\mathbb{Y}, \mathbb{Y}', \mathbb{Y}'')
\]
for $g \in \text{Sp}(V)$.

3.2. **Weil representation for $Mp$.**

3.2.1. **Heisenberg group, Heisenberg representations.** Let $F$ be a local field of characteristic not 2. For simplicity, we assume that $F$ is non-archimedean.

Let $V$ be a finite dimensional vector space over $F$ equipped with a non-degenerate symplectic form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V \times V \to F$.

The Heisenberg group $H(V)$ is defined by

$$H(V) := V \oplus F$$

as a set, with group law

$$(v_1, z_1) \cdot (v_2, z_2) = (v_1 + v_2, z_1 + z_2 + \frac{1}{2} \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle).$$

The center of $H(V)$ is $F$.

Let $\psi$ be a nontrivial additive character of $F$. Then by the Stone–von Neumann theorem, $H(V)$ admits a unique (up to isomorphism) irreducible representation $\rho_\psi$ on which $F$ acts via $\psi$. This representation can be realized as follows. Fix a complete polarization $V = X \oplus Y$, i.e., $X$ and $Y$ are maximal isotropic subspaces of $V$. We construct a character $\psi_Y$ of $H(Y) = Y \oplus F$ by setting

$$\psi_Y(y, z) = \psi(z).$$

Define

$$S_Y := \text{Ind}_{H(Y)}^{H(V)} \psi_Y.$$

i.e. $S_Y$ is the space of functions $f : H(V) \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfying

(i) $f(\tilde{y} \tilde{v}) = \psi_Y(\tilde{y}) f(\tilde{v})$ for $\tilde{y} \in H(Y), \tilde{v} \in H(V)$.

(ii) $f$ is smooth i.e. there exists an open compact subgroup (a lattice !) $L$ in $V$ such that

$$f(\tilde{v} \ell) = f(\tilde{v})$$

for all $\ell \in L \subset V \subset H(V)$.

Then $H(V)$ acts on $S_Y$ on the right naturally. We can identify $S_Y$ with $S(X)$, the Schwartz space of locally constant functions with compact support on $X$, via the restriction of functions to $X$.

3.2.2. **Metaplectic group.** Let $\text{Sp}(V)$ be the symplectic group of $V$. Following Weil, we let $\text{Sp}(V)$ act on $V$ on the right. Recall that $\text{Sp}(V)$ acts on $H(V)$ by

$$(v, z)^g := (vg, z).$$

Let $\tilde{\text{Sp}}(V)$ be the unique non-trivial 2-fold central extension of $\text{Sp}(V)$. The metaplectic group $\text{Mp}(V)$ is a central extension

$$1 \to \mathbb{C}^1 \to \text{Mp}(V) \to \text{Sp}(V) \to 1$$

defined by

$$\text{Mp}(V) := \tilde{\text{Sp}}(V) \times \{ \pm 1 \} \mathbb{C}^1.$$

**Lemma 3.1.** Any automorphism of $\text{Mp}(V)$ which lifts the identity map of $\text{Sp}(V)$ and which restricts to the identity map of $\mathbb{C}^1$ must be the identity map of $\text{Mp}(V)$. 

Proof. Let $p : \text{Mp}(V) \rightarrow \text{Sp}(V)$ be the projection. Let $f : \text{Mp}(V) \rightarrow \text{Mp}(V)$ be such an automorphism. Since $p(f(g)) \cdot p(g)^{-1} = 1$ for $g \in \text{Mp}(V)$, there exists a character $\kappa : \text{Mp}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^1$ such that $f(g) \cdot g^{-1} = \kappa(g)$. Since $f(z) = z$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}^1$, $\kappa$ is trivial on $\mathbb{C}^1$, and hence induces a character of $\text{Sp}(V)$. Since $[\text{Sp}(V), \text{Sp}(V)] = \text{Sp}(V)$, this character must be trivial. \hfill \square

One can realize $\text{Mp}(V)$ explicitly as follows. Put

$$z_V(g_1, g_2) = \gamma_F\left(\frac{1}{2} \psi \circ q(Y, g_2^{-1}, Y g_1)\right)$$

for $g_1, g_2 \in \text{Sp}(V)$. By Theorem 4.1 of [3], $z_V$ is a 2-cocycle, and the group

$$\text{Mp}(V)_V := \text{Sp}(V) \times \mathbb{C}^1$$

with group law

$$(g_1, z_1) \cdot (g_2, z_2) = (g_1g_2, z_1z_2 \cdot z_V(g_1, g_2))$$

is isomorphic to $\text{Mp}(V)$. Moreover, by Lemma 5.1, this isomorphism is canonical. If there is no confusion, we identify $\text{Mp}(V)_V$ with $\text{Mp}(V)$.

Let $V = X' \oplus Y'$ be another complete polarization.

**Lemma 3.2.** We have

$$z_{V'}(g_1, g_2) = \lambda(g_1, g_2) \lambda(g_1)^{-1} \lambda(g_2)^{-1} \cdot z_V(g_1, g_2),$$

where $\lambda : \text{Sp}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^1$ is given by

$$\lambda(g) := \gamma_F\left(\frac{1}{2} \psi \circ q(Y, g_2^{-1}, Y g_1)\right) \cdot \gamma_F\left(\frac{1}{2} \psi \circ q(Y', g_2^{-1}, Y g_1)\right).$$

In particular, the bijection

$$\text{Mp}(V)_V \rightarrow \text{Mp}(V)'_V$$

$$(g, z) \mapsto (g, z \cdot \lambda(g))$$

is an isomorphism.

**Proof.** See Lemma 4.2 of [3]. \hfill \square

Suppose that $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$, where each $V_i$ is a non-degenerate symplectic subspace. One can lift the natural embedding $\text{Sp}(V_1) \times \text{Sp}(V_2) \hookrightarrow \text{Sp}(V)$ to a homomorphism

$$\text{Mp}(V_1) \times \text{Mp}(V_2) \rightarrow \text{Mp}(V).$$

If $V_i = X_i \oplus Y_i$ is a complete polarization and

$$X = X_1 \oplus X_2, \quad Y = Y_1 \oplus Y_2,$$

then this homomorphism is given by

$$\text{Mp}(V_1)_{V_1} \times \text{Mp}(V_2)_{V_2} \rightarrow \text{Mp}(V)'_{V},$$

$$((g_1, z_1), (g_2, z_2)) \mapsto (g_1g_2, z_1z_2)$$

i.e., we have

$$z_{V_1}(g_1, g_1') \cdot z_{V_2}(g_2, g_2') = z_{V}(g_1g_2, g_1'g_2')$$

for $g_i, g_i' \in \text{Sp}(V_i)$ (see Theorem 4.1 of [3]).
Let \( L \) be a self-dual lattice in \( \mathcal{V} \) and let \( K \) be the stabiliser of \( L \) in \( \text{Sp}(\mathcal{V}) \). If the residual characteristic of \( F \) is not 2, then there exists a splitting

\[
\text{Mp}(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \text{Sp}(\mathcal{V})
\]

Moreover, if the residue field of \( F \) has at least four elements, then \([K,K] = K\) (see Lemma 11.1 of [53]), and hence such a splitting is unique. In the next section, we shall describe this splitting by using the Schrödinger model.

3.2.3. Weil representation, Schrödinger model. Recall that \( \rho_{\psi} \) is the unique (up to isomorphism) irreducible smooth representation of \( H(\mathcal{V}) \) with central character \( \psi \). Let \( S \) be the underlying space of \( \rho_{\psi} \). The Weil representation \( \omega_{\psi} \) of \( \text{Mp}(\mathcal{V}) \) on \( S \) is a smooth representation characterized by the following properties:

- \( \rho_{\psi}(h^3) = \omega_{\psi}(g)^{-1}\rho_{\psi}(h)\omega_{\psi}(g) \) for all \( h \in H(\mathcal{V}) \) and \( g \in \text{Mp}(\mathcal{V}) \).
- \( \omega_{\psi}(z) = z \cdot \text{id}_S \) for all \( z \in \mathbb{C}^1 \).

One can realize \( \omega_{\psi} \) explicitly as follows. We regard \( \mathcal{V} = F^{2n} \) as the space of row vectors. Fix a complete polarization \( \mathcal{X} = \mathbb{X} \oplus \mathbb{Y} \). Choose a basis \( e_1, \ldots, e_n, e_1^*, \ldots, e_n^* \) of \( \mathcal{V} \) such that

\[
\mathbb{X} = Fe_1 + \cdots + Fe_n, \quad \mathbb{Y} = Fe_1^* + \cdots + Fe_n^*, \quad \langle e_i, e_j^* \rangle = \delta_{ij}.
\]

Then we have

\[
\text{Sp}(\mathcal{V}) = \left\{ g \in \text{GL}_{2n}(F) \mid g \begin{pmatrix} -1_n & 1_n \\ 1_n & -1_n \end{pmatrix}^t g = \begin{pmatrix} -1_n & 1_n \\ -1_n & -1_n \end{pmatrix} \right\}.
\]

The Weil representation \( \omega_{\psi} \) of \( \text{Mp}(\mathcal{V})_\psi \) on the Schwartz space \( \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{X}) \) is given as follows:

\[
\omega_{\psi} \left( \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ t \cdot a^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} , z \right) \varphi(x) = z \cdot |\det a|^{1/2} \cdot \varphi(xa)
\]

\[
\omega_{\psi} \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1_n & b \\ 1_n & 1 \end{pmatrix} , z \right) \varphi(x) = z \cdot \psi \left( \frac{1}{2} xb^t x \right) \cdot \varphi(x)
\]

\[
\omega_{\psi} \left( \begin{pmatrix} -1_n & 1_n \\ 1_n & 1_n \end{pmatrix} , z \right) \varphi(x) = z \cdot \int_{F^n} \varphi(y) \psi(x^t y) \, dy.
\]

for \( \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{X}) \), \( x \in \mathbb{X} \cong F^n \), \( a \in \text{GL}(\mathbb{X}) \cong \text{GL}_n(F) \), \( b \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}) \cong M_n(F) \) with \( b = b \), and \( z \in \mathbb{C}^1 \).

More generally, for \( (g, z) \in \text{Mp}(\mathcal{V})_\psi \) with \( g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Sp}(\mathcal{V}) \), we have

\[
\omega_{\psi}(g, z) \varphi(x) = z \cdot \int_{F^n/\ker(c)} \psi \left( \frac{1}{2} (xa, xb) + (xb, yc) + \frac{1}{2} (yc, yd) \right) \varphi(xa + yc) \, d\mu_y(y),
\]

where \( (x, y) = x^t y \) for row vectors \( x, y \in F^n \), and the measure \( d\mu_y(y) \) on \( F^n/\ker(c) \) is normalized so that this operator is unitary (see [10] Proposition 2.3]). In particular, if \( \det c \neq 0 \), then \( \omega_{\psi}(g, 1) \varphi(x) \)
is equal to
\[
\int_{F^n} \psi \left( \frac{1}{2}(xa, xb) + (xb, yc) + \frac{1}{2}(yc, yd) \right) \varphi(xa + yc) d\mu_g(y)
\]
\[
= |\det c|^{-1} \cdot \psi \left( \frac{1}{2}(xa, xb) \right) \cdot \int_{F^n} \psi \left( (xb, y - xa) + \frac{1}{2}(y - xa, yc^{-1}d - xac^{-1}d) \right) \varphi(y) d\mu_g(y)
\]
\[
= |\det c|^{-1} \cdot \psi \left( \frac{1}{2}(xa, x(ac^{-1}d - b)) \right) \cdot \int_{F^n} \psi \left( (y, (x(b - ac^{-1}d)) + \frac{1}{2}(y, yc^{-1}d) \right) \varphi(y) d\mu_g(y)
\]
\[
= |\det c|^{-1} \cdot \psi \left( \frac{1}{2}(xa, x^{-c}_1) \right) \cdot \int_{F^n} \psi \left( -(y, x^{-c}_1c^{-1}) + \frac{1}{2}(y, yc^{-1}d) \right) \varphi(y) d\mu_g(y)
\]
\[
= |\det c|^{-1/2} \cdot \psi \left( \frac{1}{2}(xac^{-1}, x) \right) \cdot \int_{F^n} \psi \left( -x^{-c}_1c^{-1}, y + \frac{1}{2}(yc^{-1}d, y) \right) \varphi(y) dy,
\]
where \( dy \) is the self-dual Haar measure on \( F^n \) with respect to the pairing \( \psi \circ (\cdot, \cdot) \).

If the residual characteristic of \( F \) is not 2, let \( K \) be the stabilizer of the self-dual lattice

\[ \varnothing \varnothing_1 + \cdots + \varnothing \varnothing_n + \varnothing \varnothing_1^* + \cdots + \varnothing \varnothing_n^* \].

Then the splitting \( K \to \text{Mp} (V) \) is given as follows. Assume that \( \psi \) is of order zero. Let \( \varnothing \varnothing^0 \in \mathcal{S}( X ) \) be the characteristic function of \( \varnothing \varnothing_1 + \cdots + \varnothing \varnothing_n \cong \varnothing^n \). Since the residual characteristic of \( F \) is not 2, we see that

\[ \omega_{\psi}(k, 1) \varnothing^0 = \varnothing^0 \]

for

\[ k = \begin{pmatrix} a & \tau_a^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1_n & b \\ 0 & 1_n \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} -1_n & 1_n \\ 0 & 1_n \end{pmatrix}, \]

where \( a \in \text{GL}_n(\varnothing) \) and \( b \in \text{M}_n(\varnothing) \). Since these elements generate \( K \), there exists a function \( s_{\psi} : K \to C^1 \) such that

\[ \omega_{\psi}(k, 1) \varnothing^0 = s_{\psi}(k)^{-1} \cdot \varnothing^0 \]

for all \( k \in K \).

Thus we obtain

\[ z_{\psi}(k_1, k_2) = s_{\psi}(k_1k_2)s_{\psi}(k_1)^{-1}s_{\psi}(k_2)^{-1} \]

for \( k_1, k_2 \in K \), so that the map \( k \mapsto (k, s_{\psi}(k)) \) is the desired splitting.

3.2.4. Change of models. Suppose \( \mathcal{V} = \mathcal{X} \oplus \mathcal{Y} \) is another polarization of \( \mathcal{V} \). Then likewise the representation \( \rho_{\psi} \) can be realized on \( S_{\mathcal{Y}} \simeq \mathcal{S}( \mathcal{X} ) \). We will need an explicit isomorphism between these representations of \( H(\mathcal{V}) \). At the level of the induced representations, this is given by the map

\[ S_{\mathcal{Y}} \to S_{\mathcal{Y}}', \quad f' \mapsto f \]

\[ f(\tilde{v}) = \int_{\mathcal{Y} \setminus \mathcal{Y}'} f'( (y, 0) \cdot \tilde{v} ) \cdot \varphi_{\mathcal{Y}}(y, 0)^{-1} dy = \int_{\mathcal{Y} \setminus \mathcal{Y}'} f'( (y, 0) \cdot \tilde{v} ) dy. \]

For now, we will take any Haar measure on \( \mathcal{Y} \) to define this. We will fix this more carefully later. Let us now write down this isomorphism in terms of Schwartz spaces.

Lemma 3.3. Suppose that \( \varphi \in \mathcal{S}( \mathcal{X} ) \) and \( \varphi' \in \mathcal{S}( \mathcal{X}' ) \) correspond to \( f \in S_{\mathcal{Y}} \) and \( f' \in S_{\mathcal{Y'}} \) respectively. Then we have

\[ \varphi(x) = \int_{\mathcal{Y \setminus \mathcal{Y}'} \mathcal{Y}} \psi \left( \frac{1}{2} \langle x', y' \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle x, y \rangle \right) \varphi'(x') dy, \]

where \( x' = x'(x, y) \in \mathcal{X}' \) and \( y' = y'(x, y) \in \mathcal{Y}' \) are given by \( x' + y' = x + y \in \mathcal{V} \).
Proof. Let \( \varphi' \in \mathcal{S}(X') \). Let \((x' + y', z) \in H(V)\). We write this as:

\[
(x' + y', z) = \left(y', z - \frac{1}{2} \langle y', x' \rangle \right) \cdot (x', 0).
\]

Thus if \( f' \in S_V \) corresponds to \( \varphi' \), then

\[
f'(x' + y', z) = \psi \left(z - \frac{1}{2} \langle y', x' \rangle \right) \cdot \varphi'(x').
\]

We can rewrite this as: (with \( v = x' + y' \))

\[
f'(v, z) = \psi \left(z - \frac{1}{2} \langle v, x' \rangle \right) \cdot \varphi'(x').
\]

Thus \( f' \) corresponds to \( f \in S_V \) given by

\[
f(x + y, z) = \int_{Y \cap V' \setminus Y} f'((y_0, 0) \cdot (x + y, z)) \, dy_0 = \int_{Y \cap V' \setminus Y} f' \left(x + y + y_0, z + \frac{1}{2} \langle y_0, x \rangle \right) \, dy_0.
\]

Thus

\[
\varphi(x) = \int_{Y \cap V' \setminus Y} f' \left(x + y_0, \frac{1}{2} \langle y_0, x \rangle \right) \, dy_0
\]

\[
= \int_{Y \cap V' \setminus Y} \psi \left(\frac{1}{2} \langle y_0, x \rangle \right) \cdot f'(x + y_0, 0) \, dy_0
\]

\[
= \int_{Y \cap V' \setminus Y} \psi \left(-\frac{1}{2} \langle x + y_0, x' \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle y_0, x \rangle \right) \cdot \varphi'(x') \, dy_0.
\]

\[\square\]

Thus we obtain an \( H(V) \)-equivariant isomorphism \( \mathcal{S}(X') \cong \mathcal{S}(X) \) defined by the partial Fourier transform \([85]\). Using the characterization of the Weil representation of \( \text{Mp}(V) \), one sees that this isomorphism is also \( \text{Mp}(V) \)-equivariant.

The isomorphism \( \mathcal{S}(X') \cong \mathcal{S}(X) \) is in fact a partial Fourier transform. To see this, using \([31]\) Lemma 2.2], we choose a basis \( e_1, \ldots, e_n, e_1^*, \ldots, e_n^* \) of \( V \) such that

\[
X = Fe_1 + \cdots + Fe_n,
\]

\[
Y = Fe_1^* + \cdots + Fe_n^*,
\]

\[
X' = Fe_1 + \cdots + Fe_k + Fe_{k+1}^* + \cdots + Fe_n^*,
\]

\[
Y' = Fe_1^* + \cdots + Fe_k^* + Fe_{k+1} + \cdots + Fe_n,
\]

and \( \langle e_i, e_j^* \rangle = \delta_{ij} \), where \( k = \dim(Y \cap V') \). In particular, we have \( Y \cap V' = Fe_1^* + \cdots + Fe_k^* \).

Let \( \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(X) \) and \( \varphi' \in \mathcal{S}(X') \) be as in \([85]\). We also regard \( \varphi' \) as a function on \( F^n \) via the basis \( e_1, \ldots, e_k, e_{k+1}^*, \ldots, e_n^* \). Write \( x + y = x' + y' \) with \( x \in X, y \in Y, x' \in X', y' \in V' \). If we write

\[
x = x_1 e_1 + \cdots + x_n e_n, \quad y = y_1 e_1^* + \cdots + y_n e_n^*,
\]

with \( x_i, y_j \in F \), then

\[
x' = x_1 e_1 + \cdots + x_k e_k + y_{k+1} e_{k+1}^* + \cdots + y_n e_n^*,
\]

\[
y' = y_1 e_1^* + \cdots + y_k e_k^* + x_{k+1} e_{k+1} + \cdots + x_n e_n,
\]

and

\[
\langle x, y \rangle = x_1 y_1 + \cdots + x_n y_n, \quad \langle x', y' \rangle = x_1 y_1 + \cdots + x_k y_k - x_{k+1} y_{k+1} - \cdots - x_n y_n.
\]
Hence we have
\[
\varphi(x) = \int_{Y \cap Y'} \psi \left( \frac{1}{2} (\langle x', y' \rangle - \langle x, y \rangle) \right) \varphi'(x') dy
\]
\[
= \int_{F_n} \psi(-x_k+1y_{k+1} - \cdots - x_ny_n) \varphi'(x_1, \ldots, x_k, y_{k+1}, \ldots, y_n) dy_{k+1} \cdots dy_n.
\]

3.2.5. Over global fields. In this section, let \( F \) be a number field with ring of adeles \( \mathcal{A} \). Let \( \mathcal{V} \) be a symplectic space over \( F \). The global metaplectic group \( \text{Mp}(\mathcal{V})_\mathcal{A} \) is defined as follows.

Fix a lattice \( L \) in \( \mathcal{V} \). For each finite place \( v \), let \( K_v \) be the stabilizer of \( L_v \) in \( \text{Sp}(\mathcal{V}_v) \). For almost all \( v \), \( L_v \) is self-dual and there exists a unique splitting \( K_v \hookrightarrow \text{Mp}(\mathcal{V}_v) \), in which case we identify \( K_v \) with its image in \( \text{Mp}(\mathcal{V}_v) \).

For a finite set \( S \) of places of \( F \) including all archimedean places, we define a central extension
\[
1 \to C^1 \to \text{Mp}(\mathcal{V})_S \to \prod_{v \in S} \text{Sp}(\mathcal{V}_v) \to 1
\]
by
\[
\text{Mp}(\mathcal{V})_S := \left( \prod_{v \in S} \text{Mp}(\mathcal{V}_v) \right) / \left\{ (z_v) \in \prod_{v \in S} C^1 | \prod_{v \in S} z_v = 1 \right\}.
\]

Put \( K^S := \prod_{v \notin S} K_v \). If \( S \subset S' \) are sufficiently large, the splitting \( K_v \hookrightarrow \text{Mp}(\mathcal{V}_v) \) induces an embedding
\[
\text{Mp}(\mathcal{V})_S \times K^S \hookrightarrow \text{Mp}(\mathcal{V})_{S'} \times K^{S'}.
\]

Then \( \text{Mp}(\mathcal{V})_\mathcal{A} \) is defined by
\[
\text{Mp}(\mathcal{V})_\mathcal{A} := \lim_{S \to \mathcal{A}} \left( \text{Mp}(\mathcal{V})_S \times K^S \right).
\]

There exists a unique splitting
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Mp}(\mathcal{V})_\mathcal{A} & \to & \text{Sp}(\mathcal{V}(F)) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{Sp}(\mathcal{V}(F)) & \to & \text{Sp}(\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{A}))
\end{array}
\]
given as follows. Fix a complete polarization \( \mathcal{V} = X \oplus \mathcal{Y} \) over \( F \). Recall that the metaplectic group \( \text{Mp}(\mathcal{V}_v) = \text{Sp}(\mathcal{V}_v) \times C^1 \) is determined by the 2-cocycle \( z_{\mathcal{Y}_v} \). Moreover, for almost all \( v \), there exists a function \( s_{\mathcal{Y}_v} : K_v \to C^1 \) such that
\[
z_{\mathcal{Y}_v}(k_1, k_2) = s_{\mathcal{Y}_v}(k_1k_2)s_{\mathcal{Y}_v}(k_1)^{-1}s_{\mathcal{Y}_v}(k_2)^{-1}
\]
for \( k_1, k_2 \in K_v \).

**Lemma 3.4.** Let \( \gamma \in \text{Sp}(\mathcal{V})(F) \). Then we have
\[
s_{\mathcal{Y}_v}(\gamma) = 1
\]
for almost all \( v \).

**Proof.** By the Bruhat decomposition, we may write \( \gamma = p_1 wp_2 \) with some
\[
p_i = \begin{pmatrix} a_i & b_i \\ t^{a_i^{-1}} & a_i \end{pmatrix}, \quad w = \begin{pmatrix} 1_k & 1_n-k \\ -1_k & 1_n-k \end{pmatrix},
\]
where
where \( a_i \in \text{GL}_n(F) \) and \( b_i \in M_n(F) \). By Theorem 4.1 of \([61]\), we have
\[
z_{\mathcal{V}_v}(p_1, g) = z_{\mathcal{V}_v}(g, p_2) = 1
\]
for all \( v \) and \( g \in \text{Sp}(\mathcal{V}_v) \), so that
\[
(p_1 w p_2, 1) = (p_1, 1) \cdot (w, 1) \cdot (p_2, 1) \quad \text{in } \text{Mp}(\mathcal{V}_v).
\]
On the other hand, for almost all \( v \), we have \( p_i \in K_v \) and
\[
\omega_{\psi_v}(p_1, 1) \varphi_v^0 = \varphi_v^0, \quad \omega_{\psi_v}(w, 1) \varphi_v^0 = \varphi_v^0,
\]
where \( \psi_v \) is a non-trivial character of \( F_v \) of order zero and \( \varphi_v^0 \) is the characteristic function of \( \mathfrak{o}_v^0 \). Thus we obtain
\[
\omega_{\psi_v} (\gamma, 1) \varphi_v^0 = \omega_{\psi_v} (p_1, 1) \omega_{\psi_v} (w, 1) \omega_{\psi_v} (p_2, 1) \varphi_v^0 = \varphi_v^0
\]
for almost all \( v \).

For \( \gamma \in \text{Sp}(\mathcal{V})(F) \), let \((\gamma, 1)\) be the element in \( \prod_v \text{Mp}(\mathcal{V}_v) \) such that \((\gamma, 1)_v = (\gamma, 1)\) for all \( v \). By Lemma 3.3 we have \((\gamma, 1)_v \in K_v\) for almost all \( v \). Hence, if \( S \) is a sufficiently large finite set of places of \( F \), then \((\gamma, 1)\) maps to an element \( i(\gamma) \) in \( \text{Mp}(\mathcal{V})_S \times K^S \).

**Lemma 3.5.** The map
\[
i : \text{Sp}(\mathcal{V})(F) \longrightarrow \text{Mp}(\mathcal{V})_A
\]
is a homomorphism.

**Proof.** Let \( \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \text{Sp}(\mathcal{V})(F) \). For each \( v \), we have
\[
(\gamma_1, 1)_v \cdot (\gamma_2, 1)_v = (\gamma_1 \gamma_2, z_{\mathcal{V}_v}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)) \quad \text{in } \text{Mp}(\mathcal{V}_v).
\]
Choose a finite set \( S \) of places of \( F \) such that
\[
\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in K_v, \quad s_{\mathcal{V}_v}(\gamma_1) = s_{\mathcal{V}_v}(\gamma_2) = s_{\mathcal{V}_v}(\gamma_1 \gamma_2) = 1
\]
for \( v \notin S \). Then we have
\[
z_{\mathcal{V}_v}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = 1
\]
for \( v \notin S \). Moreover, by the product formula for the Weil index, we have
\[
\prod_{v \in S} z_{\mathcal{V}_v}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = 1.
\]
Hence the image of \((\gamma_1, 1) \cdot (\gamma_2, 1)\) in \( \text{Mp}(\mathcal{V})_S \times K^S \) is equal to \( i(\gamma_1 \gamma_2) \).

Fix a non-trivial additive character \( \psi \) of \( \mathbb{A} / F \). We have the global Weil representation \( \omega_\psi \) of \( \text{Mp}(\mathcal{V})_A \) on the Schwartz space \( \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{A})) \). For each \( \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{A})) \), the associated theta function on \( \text{Mp}(\mathcal{V})_A \) is defined by
\[
\Theta_\psi(g) := \sum_{x \in \mathfrak{X}} \omega_\psi(g) \varphi(x).
\]
Then \( \Theta_\psi \) is a left \( \text{Sp}(\mathcal{V})(F) \)-invariant slowly increasing smooth function on \( \text{Mp}(\mathcal{V})_A \).

### 3.3. Reductive dual pairs

In this section, we consider the reductive dual pair \((\text{GU}(V), \text{GU}(W))\), where \( V \) is a skew-Hermitian right \( B \)-space of dimension two and \( W \) is a Hermitian left \( B \)-space of dimension one.
3.3.1. Reductive dual pairs: examples. Recall that in [2.2] we have constructed the 2-dimensional skew-Hermitian right $B$-space $V = B_1 \otimes_E B_2$ with the skew-Hermitian form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V \times V \to B$. Let $W = B$ be the 1-dimensional Hermitian left $B$-space with the Hermitian form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : W \times W \to B$ defined by $\langle x, y \rangle = xy^*$. These forms satisfy that

$$
\langle v \alpha, v' \beta \rangle = \alpha^* \langle v, v' \rangle \beta
$$

$$
\langle \alpha w, \beta w' \rangle = \alpha \langle w, w' \rangle \beta^*
$$

for $v, v' \in V$, $w, w' \in W$, and $\alpha, \beta \in B$. We let $GL(V)$ act on $V$ on the left and let $GL(W)$ act on $W$ on the right. Let $GU(V)$ and $GU(W)$ be the similitude groups of $V$ and $W$ with the similitude characters $\nu : GU(V) \to F^\times$ and $\nu : GU(W) \to F^\times$ respectively:

$$
GU(V) := \{ g \in GL(V) \mid \langle gv, gv' \rangle = \nu(g) \cdot \langle v, v' \rangle \text{ for all } v, v' \in V \},
$$

$$
GU(W) := \{ g \in GL(W) \mid \langle wg, w'g \rangle = \nu(g) \cdot \langle w, w' \rangle \text{ for all } w, w' \in W \}.
$$

Let $U(V) := \ker \nu$ and $U(W) := \ker \nu$ be the unitary groups of $V$ and $W$ respectively. Put

$$
\mathbb{V} := V \otimes_B W.
$$

Then $\mathbb{V}$ is an $F$-space equipped with a symplectic form

$$
\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle := \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}_{B/F} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \otimes \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle^*.
$$

If we identify $\text{Res}_{B/F}(V)$ with $\mathbb{V}$ via the map $v \mapsto v \otimes 1$, then the associated symplectic form on $\mathbb{V}$ is given by

$$
\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}_{B/F} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}_{E/F} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle,
$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = \text{pr} \circ \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the associated $E$-skew-Hermitian form. We let $GL(V) \times GL(W)$ act on $\mathbb{V}$ on the right:

$$
(v \otimes w) \cdot (g, h) := g^{-1}v \otimes wh.
$$

This gives a natural homomorphism

$$
G(U(V) \times U(W)) \longrightarrow \text{Sp}(\mathbb{V}),
$$

where

$$
G(U(V) \times U(W)) := \{(g, h) \in GU(V) \times GU(W) \mid \nu(g) = \nu(h)\}.
$$

3.3.2. Splittings. Recall that

$$
\mathbb{V} = e_1 E + e_2 E + e_3 E + e_4 E.
$$

Let $\mathbb{X} = X + Y$ be the complete polarization given by

$$
X = Fe_1 + Fe_2 + Fe_3 + Fe_4, \quad Y = Fe_1^* + Fe_2^* + Fe_3^* + Fe_4^*.
$$

We first suppose that $F$ is a local field. In Appendix C we define a function

$$
s : G(U(V) \times U(W))^0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^1
$$

such that

$$
z_v(g_1, g_2) = s(g_1 g_2) s(g_1)^{-1} s(g_2)^{-1},
$$

so that the map

$$
l : G(U(V) \times U(W))^0 \longrightarrow \text{Mp}(\mathbb{V})\mathbb{V}
$$

$$
g \mapsto (g, s(g))
is a homomorphism. Thus we have a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
G(U(V) \times U(W))^0 & \rightarrow & \Sp(V) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\Mp(V) & \rightarrow & \Sp(V)
\end{array}
\]

If \( V = X' + Y' \) is another complete polarization, we choose \( g_0 \in \Sp(V) \) such that \( Y' = Yg_0 \) and define a function

\[
s' : G(U(V) \times U(W))^0 \rightarrow C^1
\]

by

\[
s'(g) = s(g) \cdot z_Y(g_0, gg_0^{-1}) \cdot z_Y(g, g_0^{-1})
\]

\[
= s(g) \cdot z_Y(g_0 g_0^{-1}, g_0) \cdot z_Y(g_0, g).
\]

By Lemma 3.2, we have

\[
z_{Y'}(g_1, g_2) = s'(g_1, g_2) s'(g_1)^{-1} s'(g_2)^{-1},
\]

so that the map

\[
G(U(V) \times U(W))^0 \rightarrow \Mp(V)_{Y'}
\]

\[
g \mapsto (g, s'(g))
\]

is a homomorphism.

We next suppose that \( F \) is a number field. For each place \( v \) of \( F \), we have defined a function

\[
s_v : G(U(V_v) \times U(W_v))^0 \rightarrow C^1
\]

with associated homomorphism

\[
\iota_v : G(U(V_v) \times U(W_v))^0 \rightarrow \Mp(V_v).
\]

**Lemma 3.6.** The homomorphisms \( \iota_v \) induce a homomorphism

\[
\iota : G(U(V) \times U(W))^0(\mathbb{A}) \rightarrow \Mp(V)_{\mathbb{A}}.
\]

Moreover, the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
G(U(V) \times U(W))^0(F) & \rightarrow & G(U(V) \times U(W))^0(\mathbb{A}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\Sp(V)(F) & \rightarrow & \Sp(V)_{\mathbb{A}} \\
\iota & & \\
\end{array}
\]

is commutative.

**Proof.** Recall that, for almost all \( v \), \( K_v \) is the maximal compact subgroup of \( \Sp(V_v) \) and \( s_{V_v} : K_v \rightarrow C^1 \) is the function which defines the splitting \( K_v \hookrightarrow \Mp(V_v) \). Put

\[
K_v := G(U(V_v) \times U(W_v))^0 \cap K_v.
\]

Then \( K_v \) is a maximal compact subgroup of \( G(U(V_v) \times U(W_v))^0 \) for almost all \( v \). By Lemma 3.2, we have

\[
s_v|_{K_v} = s_{V_v}|_{K_v}
\]

for almost all \( v \). Hence, for \( g = (g_v) \in G(U(V) \times U(W))^0(\mathbb{A}) \), the element \( (\iota_v(g_v)) \in \prod_v \Mp(V_v) \) maps to an element \( \iota(g) \) in \( \Mp(V)_S \times K^S \) if \( S \) is sufficiently large. This proves the first assertion.
Let $\gamma \in G(U(V) \times U(W))^0(F)$. By Proposition C.20, we have

$$\prod_v s_v(\gamma) = 1.$$ 

Hence, if $S$ is sufficiently large, the image of $(t_\upsilon(\gamma))$ in $Mp(\mathbb{V})_S \times K^S$ is equal to that of $(\gamma, 1)$. This proves the second assertion.

3.3.3. **Weil representation for the above dual reductive pair.** If $F$ is local, we get the Weil representation $\omega_\psi \circ \iota$ of $G(U(V) \times U(W))^0$ on $\mathcal{S}(X)$, where $\omega_\psi$ is the Weil representation of $Mp(\mathbb{V})_\upsilon$ and $\iota : G(U(V) \times U(W))^0 \to Mp(\mathbb{V})_\upsilon$ is the above homomorphism. Similarly, if $F$ is global, we get the global Weil representation $\omega_\psi \circ \iota$ of $G(U(V) \times U(W))^0(\mathbb{A})$ on $\mathcal{S}(X(\mathbb{A}))$. If there is no confusion, we suppress $\iota$ from the notation.

4. **The Rallis inner product formula and the Jacquet–Langlands correspondence**

4.1. **Setup.** Let $F$ be a number field and $B$ a quaternion algebra over $F$. As in Appendix B, we consider the following spaces:

- $V = B_1 \otimes_F B_2$ is the 2-dimensional right skew-hermitian $B$-space.
- $W = B$ is the 1-dimensional left hermitian $B$-space.
- $W^\square = W \oplus W$ is the 2-dimensional left hermitian $B$-space.
- $V = \langle V,B,W \rangle$ is the complete polarization over $B$.
- $\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{X} \oplus \mathbb{Y}$ is the complete polarization over $F$.
- $\mathbb{V}_v = \mathbb{X}_v \oplus \mathbb{Y}_v$ is the complete polarization over $F_v$.
- $\mathbb{V}^\square = \mathbb{V}^\nabla \oplus \mathbb{V}^\Lambda$ is the complete polarization over $F$.
- $\mathbb{V}_v^\square = \mathbb{X}_v^\square \oplus \mathbb{Y}_v^\square$ is the complete polarization over $F_v$.

We have a natural map

$$\iota : G(U(V) \times U(W)) \to GU(W^\square)$$

and a see-saw diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
GU(W^\square) & \longrightarrow & GU(W^\square) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
G(U(W) \times U(W)) & \longrightarrow & GU(V)
\end{array}$$

4.1.1. **Partial Fourier transform.** Fix a non-trivial character $\psi = \otimes_v \psi_v$ of $\mathbb{A}/F$. Recall that $e_1, \ldots, e_4$ is a basis of $X$ over $F$. For each place $v$ of $F$, this basis and the self-dual measure on $F_v$ with respect to $\psi_v$ define a Haar measure $dx_v$ on $X_v$. Then the product measure $dx = \prod_v dx_v$ is the Tamagawa measure on $X(\mathbb{A})$. We define a hermitian inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $\mathcal{S}(X(\mathbb{A}))$ by

$$\langle \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \rangle := \int_{X(\mathbb{A})} \varphi_1(x) \varphi_2(x) \, dx.$$ 

Recall that $\mathbb{V}^\square = \mathbb{V}^\nabla \oplus \mathbb{V}^\Lambda = \mathbb{X}^\square \oplus \mathbb{Y}^\square$. We define a partial Fourier transform

$$S(\mathbb{X}_v^\square(\mathbb{A})) \to S(\mathbb{V}_v^\nabla(\mathbb{A}))$$

$$\varphi \mapsto \hat{\varphi}$$
by
\[ \hat{\varphi}(u) = \int_{Y \cap Y \setminus Y} \varphi(x) \psi \left( \frac{1}{2} (\langle x, y \rangle - \langle u, v \rangle) \right) \, dv, \]
where we write \( u + v = x + y \) with \( u \in \mathcal{V}(\mathbb{A}), v \in \mathcal{V}(\mathbb{A}), x \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{A}), y \in \mathcal{Y}(\mathbb{A}) \), and \( dv \) is the Tamagawa measure.

**Lemma 4.1** ([I] p. 182, (13)). If \( \varphi = \varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2 \in S(\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{A})) \) with \( \varphi_1 \in S(\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{A})) \), then we have
\[ \hat{\varphi}(0) = \langle \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \rangle. \]

**Proof.** We include a proof for convenience. Since \( \mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{V} \), we have
\[ \hat{\varphi}(u) = \int_{\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{A})} \varphi(x) \psi \left( \frac{1}{2} (\langle x, y \rangle - \langle u, v \rangle) \right) \, dv. \]
We write
\[ v = (v_0, v_0), \quad u = (u_0, -u_0), \quad u_0 = x_0 + y_0 \]
with \( v_0, x_0 \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{A}) \) and \( y_0 \in \mathcal{Y}(\mathbb{A}) \), so that
\[ x = (v_0 + x_0, v_0 - x_0), \quad y = (y_0, -y_0). \]
We have
\[ \langle x, y \rangle = \langle v_0 + x_0, y_0 \rangle - \langle v_0 - x_0, y_0 \rangle = 2 \langle v_0, y_0 \rangle, \quad \langle u, v \rangle = 2 \langle u_0, v_0 \rangle = 2 \langle y_0, v_0 \rangle, \]
and hence
\[ \hat{\varphi}(u) = \int_{\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{A})} \varphi(v_0 + x_0, v_0 - x_0) \psi(2 \langle v_0, y_0 \rangle) \, dv_0, \]
where \( dv_0 \) is the Tamagawa measure on \( \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{A}) \). In particular, we have
\[ \hat{\varphi}(0) = \int_{\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{A})} \varphi(v_0, v_0) \, dv_0. \]

\[ \square \]

For each place \( v \) of \( F \), we define a hermitian inner product \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \) on \( S(\mathcal{X}_v) \) with respect to the Haar measure \( dx_v \) on \( \mathcal{X}_v \) given above. Fix a Haar measure on \( \mathcal{X}_v \) and define a hermitian inner product \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \) on \( S(\mathcal{X}_v') \) similarly. For \( \varphi' \in S(\mathcal{X}_v') \), we define its partial Fourier transform \( \varphi \in S(\mathcal{X}_v) \) by
\[ \varphi(x) = \int_{\mathcal{X}_v' \cap \mathcal{Y}_v} \varphi'(x') \psi_v \left( \frac{1}{2} (\langle x', y' \rangle - \langle x, y \rangle) \right) \, dy, \]
where we write \( x + y = x' + y' \) with \( x \in \mathcal{X}_v, y \in \mathcal{Y}_v, x' \in \mathcal{X}_v', y' \in \mathcal{Y}_v' \), and we normalize a Haar measure \( dy \) so that
\[ \langle \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \rangle = \langle \varphi_1', \varphi_2' \rangle \]
holds for \( \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in S(\mathcal{X}_v) \) and their partial Fourier transforms \( \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in S(\mathcal{X}_v) \).

**4.1.2. Weil representations.** Fix a place \( v \) of \( F \) and suppress the subscript \( v \) from the notation. In Appendices \[\text{[C, D]}\] we have defined the maps
- \( s : G(U(V) \times U(W)) \to \mathbb{C}^1 \) such that \( z_{\mathcal{V}} = \partial s \),
- \( s : GU(V)^0 \times GU(W) \to \mathbb{C}^1 \) such that \( z_{\mathcal{W}} = \partial s \),
- \( s' : GU(V)^0 \times GU(W) \to \mathbb{C}^1 \) such that \( z_{\mathcal{W}'} = \partial s' \).

Let \( \omega_{\psi} \) and \( \omega_{\psi'} \) be the Weil representations of \( \text{Mp}(\mathcal{V}) \) and \( \text{Mp}(\mathcal{W}) \) with respect to \( \psi \), respectively. Composing these with \( s, s, s' \), we obtain:
- a representation \( \omega_{\psi} \) of \( G(U(V) \times U(W)) \) on \( S(\mathcal{V}) \),
- a representation \( \omega_{\psi'} \) of \( G(U(V) \times U(W)) \) on \( S(\mathcal{W}) \),
- a representation \( \omega_{\psi'} \) of \( G(U(V) \times U(W)) \) on \( S(\mathcal{W'}) \).
• a representation \( \omega_{\psi} \) of \( G(U(V)^0 \times U(W)) \) on \( S(\mathbb{X}) \),
• a representation \( \omega_{\psi} \) of \( G(U(V)^0 \times U(W)) \) on \( S(\mathbb{X}') \).

By [D.4] the partial Fourier transform
\[
S(\mathbb{V}^\square) \cong S(\mathbb{X}^\square) = S(\mathbb{X}) \otimes S(\mathbb{X})
\]
induces an isomorphism
\[
\omega_{\psi} \circ (\text{id}_V \otimes i) \cong \omega_{\psi} \otimes \bar{\omega}_{\psi}
\]
as representations of \( G(U(V)^0 \times U(W) \times U(W)) \). By definition, the partial Fourier transform \( S(\mathbb{X}') \cong S(\mathbb{X}) \) is \( G(U(V)^0 \times U(W)) \)-equivariant.

4.2. The Jacquet–Langlands–Shimizu correspondence. Let \( F \) be a number field and \( B \) a quaternion algebra over \( F \). We assume that \( B \) is division. Set
\[
G = GU(W), \quad H = GU(V), \quad H^0 = GU(V)^0,
\]
\[
G_1 = U(W), \quad H_1 = U(V), \quad H_1^0 = U(V)^0.
\]
Recall that \( G \cong B^\times \) and
\[
1 \rightarrow F^\times \xrightarrow{i} B_1^\times \times B_2^\times \rightarrow H^0 \rightarrow 1,
\]
where \( B_1 \) and \( B_2 \) are quaternion algebras over \( F \) such that \( B_1 \cdot B_2 = B \) in the Brauer group and \( i(z) = (z, z^{-1}) \).

Put \( (A^\times)^+ = \nu(G(A)) \cap \nu(H^0(A)) \),
\[
G(A)^+ = \{ g \in G(A) \mid \nu(g) \in (A^\times)^+ \}, \quad H^0(A)^+ = \{ h \in H^0(A) \mid \nu(h) \in (A^\times)^+ \}.
\]
For each place \( v \) of \( F \), we define \( (F_v^\times)^+ \), \( G_v^+ \), \( (H_v^0)^+ \) similarly. We have \( (F_v^\times)^+ = F_v^\times \) if \( v \) is either finite or complex. If \( v \) is real, then we have
\[
(F_v^\times)^+ = \begin{cases} \mathbb{R}^\times & \text{if } B_v, B_{1, v}, B_{2, v} \text{ are split,} \\ \mathbb{R}_+^\times & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\]
We have \( (A^\times)^+ = \prod_v (F_v^\times)^+ \), \( G(A)^+ = \prod_v G_v^+ \), and \( H^0(A)^+ = \prod_v (H_v^0)^+ \).

Let \( \pi \) be an irreducible unitary cuspidal automorphic representation of \( GL_2(A) \). We assume that its Jacquet–Langlands transfers \( \pi_B, \pi_{B_1}, \pi_{B_2} \) to \( B^\times(A) \), \( B_1^\times(A) \), \( B_2^\times(A) \) exist. We regard \( \pi_B \) and \( \pi_{B_1} \otimes \pi_{B_2} \) as irreducible unitary automorphic representations of \( G(A) \) and \( H^0(A) \) respectively.

We define a theta distribution \( \Theta : S(\mathbb{X}(A)) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \) by
\[
\Theta(\varphi) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{X}(F)} \varphi(x)
\]
for \( \varphi \in S(\mathbb{X}(A)) \). Let \( \varphi \in S(\mathbb{X}(A)) \) and \( f \in \pi_B \). For \( h \in H^0(A)^+ \), choose \( g \in G(A)^+ \) such that \( \nu(g) = \nu(h) \) and put
\[
\theta_{\varphi}(f)(h) := \int_{G_1(F) \backslash G_1(A)} \Theta(\omega_{\psi}(g_1 gh) \varphi) f(g_1 g) dg_1.
\]
Here \( dg_1 = \prod_v dg_{1, v} \) is the Tamagawa measure on \( G_1(A) \) and we may assume that the volume of a hyperspecial maximal compact subgroup of \( G_1, v \) with respect to \( dg_{1, v} \) is 1 for almost all \( v \). Note that \( \text{vol}(G_1(F) \backslash G_1(A)) = 1 \). Using Eichler’s norm theorem, one can see that \( \theta_{\varphi}(f)(\gamma h) = \theta_{\varphi}(f)(h) \) for \( \gamma \in H^0(F) \cap H^0(A)^+ \) and \( h \in H^0(A)^+ \). Since \( H^0(A) = H^0(F) H^0(A)^+ \), \( \theta_{\varphi}(f) \) defines an automorphic form on \( H^0(A) \). Let \( \Theta(\pi_B) \) be the automorphic representation of \( H^0(A) \) generated by \( \theta_{\varphi}(f) \) for all \( \varphi \in S(\mathbb{X}(A)) \) and \( f \in \pi_B \).

**Lemma 4.2.** The automorphic representation \( \Theta(\pi_B) \) is cuspidal.
Proof. If both \( B_1 \) and \( B_2 \) are division, then \( H^0 \) is anisotropic and the assertion is obvious. Hence we may assume that either \( B_1 \) or \( B_2 \) is split. Then there exists a complete polarization \( V = X \oplus Y \) over \( B \). As in \cite{47} we regard \( V \) as a left \( B \)-space. Choosing a basis \( \vvec, \vvec^* \) of \( V \) such that \( \hat{X} = \hat{B} \vvec, \hat{Y} = \hat{B} \vvec^* \), \( \langle \hat{\vvec}, \hat{\vvec}^* \rangle = 1 \), we may write
\[
H = \left\{ h \in \text{GL}_2(B) \mid h \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} h^* = \nu(h) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.
\]
Put
\[
n(b) := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in H
\]
for \( b \in F \). It remains to show that
\[
\int_{F \setminus \hat{A}} \theta_{\hat{\varphi}}(f)(n(b)) \, db = 0
\]
for all \( \varphi \in S(X(\hat{A})) \) and \( f \in \pi_B \).

Let \( V = \hat{X} \oplus \hat{Y} \) be another complete polarization over \( F \) given by \( \hat{X} = W \otimes_B \hat{X} \) and \( \hat{Y} = W \otimes_B \hat{Y} \), where we regard \( W \) as a right \( B \)-space. As in \cite{39} \S 5, we define a Weil representation \( \hat{\omega}_\psi \) of \( G_1(\hat{A}) \times H_1(\hat{A}) \) with respect to \( \psi \) on \( S(X(\hat{A})) \cong S(W(\hat{A})). \) Note that
\[
\hat{\omega}_\psi(g_1) \hat{\varphi}(x) = \hat{\varphi}(g_1^{-1} x), \quad \hat{\omega}_\psi(n(b)) \hat{\varphi}(x) = \psi(\frac{1}{2}(x, x)b) \hat{\varphi}(x)
\]
for \( \hat{\varphi} \in S(W(\hat{A})), x \in W(\hat{A}), g_1 \in G_1(\hat{A}), \) and \( b \in \hat{A} \). Let \( \hat{\varphi} \in S(W(\hat{A})) \) be the partial Fourier transform of \( \varphi \in S(X(\hat{A})). \) Then we have
\[
\Theta(\omega_\psi(g)\varphi) = \chi(g) \sum_{x \in W(F)} \hat{\omega}_\psi(g) \hat{\varphi}(x)
\]
for \( g \in G_1(\hat{A}) \times H_1^0(\hat{A}) \) with some character \( \chi \) of \( G_1(\hat{A}) \times H_1^0(\hat{A}) \) trivial on \( G_1(F) \times H_1^0(F) \). One can see that \( \chi(g_1) = \chi(n(b)) = 1 \) for \( g_1 \in G_1(\hat{A}) \) and \( b \in \hat{A} \). Since \( W \) is anisotropic, we have
\[
\int_{F \setminus \hat{A}} \theta_{\hat{\varphi}}(f)(n(b)) \, db = \int_{F \setminus \hat{A}} \int_{G_1(F) \setminus G_1(\hat{A})} \sum_{x \in W(F)} \psi(h(x, x)b) \hat{\omega}_\psi(g_1) \hat{\varphi}(x)f(g_1) \, dg_1 \, db
\]
\[
= \int_{G_1(F) \setminus G_1(\hat{A})} \hat{\omega}_\psi(g_1) \hat{\varphi}(0)f(g_1) \, dg_1
\]
\[
= \hat{\varphi}(0) \int_{G_1(F) \setminus G_1(\hat{A})} f(g_1) \, dg_1.
\]
Since \( \pi \) is cuspidal, the restriction of \( \pi_B \) to \( G_1(\hat{A}) \) is orthogonal to the trivial representation of \( G_1(\hat{A}) \), so that this integral vanishes. This completes the proof.

Lemma 4.3. The automorphic representation \( \Theta(\pi_B) \) is non-zero.

The proof of this lemma will be given in \cite{47} below.

Proposition 4.4. We have
\[
\Theta(\pi_B) = \pi_{B_1} \boxtimes \pi_{B_2}.
\]

Proof. Since \( \Theta(\pi_B) \) is cuspidal and non-zero, the assertion follows from the local theta correspondence for unramified representations and the strong multiplicity one theorem.

4.3. The doubling method.
4.3.1. Degenerate principal series representations. Set 
\[ G^{\Box} = GU(W^{\Box}), \quad G^{\Box}_1 = U(W^{\Box}). \]
Choosing a basis \( w, w^* \) of \( W^{\Box} \) such that \( W^{\Box} = Bw, \ W^{\Box} = Bw^* \), \( \langle w, w^* \rangle = 1 \), we may write 
\[ G^{\Box} = \{ g \in \text{GL}_2(B) \mid g \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{array} \right) g^* = \nu(g) \cdot \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{array} \right) \}. \]
Let \( P \) and \( P_1 \) be the Siegel parabolic subgroups of \( G^{\Box} \) and \( G^{\Box}_1 \) given by 
\[ P = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & \ast \\ \nu \cdot (a^*)^{-1} & \ast \end{array} \right) \in G^{\Box} \mid a \in B^\times, \ \nu \in F^\times \right\} \]
and \( P_1 = P \cap G^{\Box}_1 \) respectively. Let \( \delta_P \) and \( \delta_{P_1} \) denote the modulus characters of \( P(\mathbb{A}) \) and \( P_1(\mathbb{A}) \) respectively. We have 
\[ \delta_P \left( \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & \ast \\ \nu \cdot (a^*)^{-1} & \ast \end{array} \right) \right) = |\nu(a)|^2 \cdot |\nu|^{-3} \]
and \( \delta_{P_1} = \delta_P|_{P_1(\mathbb{A})} \). Put 
\[ d(\nu) := \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \nu \end{array} \right) \in P \]
for \( \nu \in F^\times \). We fix a maximal compact subgroup \( K \) of \( G^{\Box}(\mathbb{A}) \) such that \( G^{\Box}(\mathbb{A}) = P(\mathbb{A})K \) and \( G^{\Box}_1(\mathbb{A}) = P_1(\mathbb{A})K_1 \), where \( K_1 = K \cap G^{\Box}_1(\mathbb{A}) \).

For \( s \in \mathbb{C} \), we consider a degenerate principal series representation \( I(s) := \text{Ind}_{P_1}^{G^{\Box}}(\delta^{s/3}_P) \) of \( G^{\Box}(\mathbb{A}) \) consisting of smooth functions \( \mathcal{F} \) on \( G^{\Box}(\mathbb{A}) \) which satisfy 
\[ \mathcal{F} \left( \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & \ast \\ \nu \cdot (a^*)^{-1} & \ast \end{array} \right) g \right) = |\nu(a)|^{s+\frac{1}{2}} \cdot |\nu|^{-s-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \mathcal{F}(g). \]
We define a degenerate principal series representation \( I_1(s) := \text{Ind}_{P_1}^{G^{\Box}_1}(\delta^{s/3}_P) \) of \( G^{\Box}_1(\mathbb{A}) \) similarly. Then the restriction \( I(s) \to I_1(s) \) to \( G^{\Box}_1(\mathbb{A}) \) as functions is a \( G^{\Box}_1(\mathbb{A}) \)-equivariant isomorphism. For each place \( v \) of \( F \), we define degenerate principal series representations \( I_v(s) \) and \( I_{1,v}(s) \) of \( G^{\Box}_v \) and \( G^{\Box}_{1,v} \) similarly.

For \( \varphi \in S(\mathcal{V}^{\Box}(\mathbb{A})) \), we define \( \mathcal{F}_\varphi \in \mathcal{T}(\frac{1}{2}) \) by 
\[ \mathcal{F}_\varphi(g) = |\nu(g)|^{-2} \cdot (\omega_{\varphi}(d(\nu(g))^{-1})g)(0). \]
One can see that the map \( \varphi \mapsto \mathcal{F}_\varphi \) is \( G(U(V) \times U(W^{\Box}))(\mathbb{A}) \)-equivariant, where \( G(U(V))(\mathbb{A}) \) acts trivially on \( \mathcal{T}(\frac{1}{2}) \).

4.3.2. Eisenstein series. For a holomorphic section \( \mathcal{F}_s \) of \( I(s) \), we define an Eisenstein series \( E(\mathcal{F}_s) \) on \( G^{\Box}(\mathbb{A}) \) by (the meromorphic continuation of) 
\[ E(g, \mathcal{F}_s) = \sum_{\gamma \in P(F) \backslash G^{\Box}(F)} \mathcal{F}_s(\gamma g). \]
For a holomorphic section \( \mathcal{F}_{1,s} \) of \( I_1(s) \), we define an Eisenstein series \( E(\mathcal{F}_{1,s}) \) on \( G^{\Box}_1(\mathbb{A}) \) similarly. If \( \mathcal{F}_s \) is a holomorphic section of \( I(s) \), then \( \mathcal{F}_s|_{G^{\Box}_1(\mathbb{A})} \) is a holomorphic section of \( I_1(s) \) and \( E(\mathcal{F}_s)|_{G^{\Box}_1(\mathbb{A})} = E(\mathcal{F}_s|_{G^{\Box}_1(\mathbb{A})}) \). By [17] Theorem 3.1, \( E(\mathcal{F}_s) \) is holomorphic at \( s = \frac{1}{2} \). In particular, the map 
\[ E : \mathcal{T}(\frac{1}{2}) \to \mathcal{A}(G^{\Box}) \]
given by \( E(\mathcal{F}) := E(\mathcal{F}_s)|_{s=\frac{1}{2}} \) is \( G(\mathbb{A}) \)-equivariant, where \( \mathcal{A}(G^{\Box}) \) is the space of automorphic forms on \( G^{\Box}(\mathbb{A}) \) and \( \mathcal{F}_s \) is the holomorphic section of \( I(s) \) such that \( \mathcal{F}_{s,\frac{1}{2}} = \mathcal{F} \) and \( \mathcal{F}_s|_K \) is independent of \( s \).
4.3.3. Doubling zeta integrals. Let \(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\) be the invariant hermitian inner product on \(\pi_B\) given by

\[
\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle := \int_{Z_G(\mathbb{A})G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A})} f_1(g) \overline{f_2(g)} \, dg
\]

for \(f_1, f_2 \in \pi_B\). Here \(Z_G\) is the center of \(G\) and \(dg\) is the Tamagawa measure on \(Z_G(\mathbb{A}) \backslash G(\mathbb{A})\). Note that \(\text{vol}(Z_G(\mathbb{A})G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A})) = 2\). Fix an isomorphism \(\pi_B \cong \otimes_v \pi_{B,v}\). For each place \(v\) of \(F\), we choose an invariant hermitian inner product \(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\) on \(\pi_{B,v}\) so that \(\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle = \prod_v \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle_v\) and \(\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle_v = 1\) for almost all \(v\) for \(f_1 = \otimes_v f_1, v, f_2 = \otimes_v f_2, v \in \pi_B\). Set

\[
\mathbb{G} = G(U(W) \times U(W)) = \{(g_1, g_2) \in G \times G | \nu(g_1) = \nu(g_2)\}.
\]

Then the doubling zeta integral of Piatetski-Shapiro and Rallis [57] is given by

\[
Z(\mathcal{F}_s, f_1, f_2) = \int_{Z(\mathbb{A})G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A})} E(\iota(g_1, g_2), \mathcal{F}_s) f_1(g_1) \overline{f_2(g_2)} \, dg
\]

for a holomorphic section \(\mathcal{F}_s\) of \(\mathcal{I}(s)\) and \(f_1, f_2 \in \pi_B\). Here \(Z\) is the center of \(G^\mathbb{A}\) and \(dg\) is the Tamagawa measure on \(Z(\mathbb{A}) \backslash G(\mathbb{A})\). Note that \(\text{vol}(Z(\mathbb{A})G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A})) = 2\). For each place \(v\) of \(F\), put

\[
\prod_{G_{1,v}} \mathcal{F}_{s,v}(\iota(g_1, v, 1)) |_{\pi_{B,v}(g_1, v), f_1, f_2, v} dg_{1,v}
\]

for a holomorphic section \(\mathcal{F}_{s,v}\) of \(\mathcal{I}_v(s)\) and \(f_1, f_2, v \in \pi_{B,v}\). Note that, for fixed \(f_1, v\) and \(f_2, v\), this integral depends only on the holomorphic section \(\mathcal{F}_{s,v} \in G^\mathbb{A}\) of \(\mathcal{I}_v(s)\).

**Lemma 4.5.** We have

\[
Z(\mathcal{F}_s, f_1, f_2) = \frac{L^S(s + \frac{1}{2}, \pi, \text{ad})}{\zeta^S(s + \frac{1}{2})\zeta^S(2s + 1)} \prod_{v \in S} Z(\mathcal{F}_{s,v}, f_1, f_2, v)
\]

for a holomorphic section \(\mathcal{F}_s = \otimes_v \mathcal{F}_{s,v}\) of \(\mathcal{I}(s)\) and \(f_1 = \otimes_v f_1, v, f_2 = \otimes_v f_2, v \in \pi_B\). Here \(S\) is a sufficiently large finite set of places of \(F\).

**Proof.** The assertion follows from the doubling method [57]. Indeed, as in [57, 23, §6.2], we unfold the Eisenstein series \(E(\iota(g_1, g_2), \mathcal{F}_s)\) and see that only the open \(G\)-orbit \(P \backslash PG\) in \(P \backslash G^\mathbb{A}\) contributes to the integral \(Z(\mathcal{F}_s, f_1, f_2)\). Hence we have

\[
Z(\mathcal{F}_s, f_1, f_2) = \int_{Z(\mathbb{A})G^\mathbb{A}(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A})} \mathcal{F}_s(\iota(g_1, g_2)) f_1(g_1) \overline{f_2(g_2)} \, dg,
\]

where \(G^\mathbb{A} = \{(g, g') | g \in G\}\). We have \(\mathcal{F}_s(\iota(g_1, g_2)) = \mathcal{F}_s(\iota(g_2^{-1} g_1, 1))\) for \((g_1, g_2) \in G\). Writing \(g = g_2\) and \(g' = g_2^{-1} g_1\), we have

\[
Z(\mathcal{F}_s, f_1, f_2) = \int_{G_1(\mathbb{A})} \int_{Z_G(\mathbb{A})G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A})} \mathcal{F}_s(\iota(g_1, g_2)) f_1(g_1) \overline{f_2(g_2)} \, dg \, dg'
\]

\[
= \int_{G_1(\mathbb{A})} \mathcal{F}_s(\iota(g', 1)) \langle \pi_B(g'), f_1, f_2 \rangle dg'
\]

\[
= \prod_v Z(\mathcal{F}_{s,v}, f_1, f_2, v).
\]

By [57], we have

\[
Z(\mathcal{F}_{s,v}, f_1, f_2, v) = \frac{L(s + \frac{1}{2}, \pi_v, \text{ad})}{\zeta_v(s + \frac{1}{2})\zeta_v(2s + 1)}
\]

for almost all \(v\). This completes the proof. \(\square\)
4.3.4. Local zeta integrals.

**Lemma 4.6.** The integral \( Z(\mathcal{F}_v, f_1, v, f_2, v) \) is absolutely convergent for \( \mathcal{F}_v \in \mathcal{I}_v(\frac{1}{2}) \) and \( f_1, v, f_2, v \in \pi_{B,v} \).

**Proof.** If \( B_v \) is split, then the lemma is proved in [16, Lemma 6.5]. If \( B_v \) is division, then \( G_{1,v} \) is compact and the assertion is obvious. \( \square \)

**Lemma 4.7.** There exist \( \varphi_v \in S(\mathcal{V}^\nabla_v) \) and \( f_1, v, f_2, v \in \pi_{B,v} \) such that \( Z(\mathcal{F}_{\varphi_v}, f_1, v, f_2, v) \neq 0 \).

**Proof.** If \( B_v \) is split, then the lemma is proved in [16, Lemma 6.6]. Assume that \( B_v \) is division. As in [12, Theorem 3.2.2], [44, Proposition 7.2.1], one can see that there exist \( \mathcal{F}_v \in \mathcal{I}_v(\frac{1}{2}) \) and \( f_1, v, f_2, v \in \pi_{B,v} \) such that \( Z(\mathcal{F}_v, f_1, v, f_2, v) \neq 0 \). On the other hand, by [76, Theorems 1.2, 9.2], the map

\[
S(\mathcal{V}^\nabla_v) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_v(\frac{1}{2})
\]

\[
\varphi_v \mapsto \mathcal{F}_{\varphi_v}|_{G_{1,v}}
\]

is surjective. This yields the lemma. \( \square \)

If \( \varphi_v \) is the partial Fourier transform of \( \varphi_{1,v} \otimes \varphi_{2,v} \in S(\mathcal{X}_v) \) with \( \varphi_{1,v} \in S(\mathcal{X}_v) \), then we have

\[
Z(\mathcal{F}_v, f_1, v, f_2, v) = \int_{G_{1,v}} \langle \omega_\psi(g_{1,v})\varphi_{1,v}, \varphi_{2,v} \rangle \langle \pi_{B,v}(g_{1,v})f_1, v, f_2, v \rangle dg_{1,v}.
\]

This will be used later to explicate the Rallis inner product formula.

4.4. The Rallis inner product formula.

4.4.1. Theta integrals. Recall that \( G(U(V) \times U(W^\square)) (\mathbb{A}) \) acts on \( S(\mathcal{V}^\nabla(\mathbb{A})) \) via the Weil representation \( \omega_\psi \). We define a \( G_1^\square(\mathbb{A}) \)-equivariant and \( H_1(\mathbb{A}) \)-invariant map

\[
I : S(\mathcal{V}^\nabla(\mathbb{A})) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(G_1^\square)
\]

as follows. Here \( \mathcal{A}(G_1^\square) \) is the space of automorphic forms on \( G_1^\square(\mathbb{A}) \).

Let \( \Theta : S(\mathcal{V}^\nabla(\mathbb{A})) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \) be the theta distribution given by

\[
\Theta(\varphi) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{V}^\nabla(F)} \varphi(x)
\]

for \( \varphi \in S(\mathcal{V}^\nabla(\mathbb{A})) \). Let \( dh_1 \) be the Haar measure on \( H_1(\mathbb{A}) \) such that \( \text{vol}(H_1(F) \backslash H_1(\mathbb{A})) = 1 \).

First we assume that either \( B_1 \) or \( B_2 \) is split. Then the integral

\[
\int_{H_1(F) \backslash H_1(\mathbb{A})} \Theta(\omega_\psi(g_{1,h_1})\varphi) dh_1
\]

may not be convergent. Following Yamana [77, §2], we choose a place \( v \in \Sigma_B \) and an element \( z_0 \) in the Bernstein center of \( H_{1,v} \) or the universal enveloping algebra of the complexified Lie algebra of \( H_{1,v} \). Then the integral

\[
I(g_{1}, \varphi) := \int_{H_1(F) \backslash H_1(\mathbb{A})} \Theta(\omega_\psi(g_{1,h_1})(z_0, \varphi)) dh_1
\]

is absolutely convergent for all \( g_{1} \in G_1^\square(\mathbb{A}) \) and \( \varphi \in S(\mathcal{V}^\nabla(\mathbb{A})) \), and defines an automorphic form on \( G_1^\square(\mathbb{A}) \). Note that \( I(g_{1}, \varphi) = \text{4.3} \) if the right-hand side is absolutely convergent for all \( g_{1} \). In particular, \( I(g_{1}, \varphi) \) does not depend on choice of \( v \) and \( z_0 \). Next we assume that both \( B_1 \) and \( B_2 \) are
Proposition 4.9. Let \( dh \) be the Haar measure on \( H_1(F) \). Then we have

\[
\int_{H_1(F) \backslash H_1(\mathbb{A})} \Theta(\omega(gh_1 h_1^{\frac{1}{2}})) \, dh_1,
\]

where \( Z \) is the Tamagawa measure for the identity operator for uniformity.

Similarly, we define a \( G_1(\mathbb{A}) \)-equivariant and \( H_1(\mathbb{A}) \)-invariant map

\[
I^0 : S(\mathbb{V}(\mathbb{A})) \rightarrow A(G_1(\mathbb{A}))
\]

by

\[
I^0(g_1, \varphi) := \int_{H_1(F) \backslash H_1(\mathbb{A})} \Theta(\omega(gh_1 h_1^{\frac{1}{2}})) \, dh_1,
\]

where \( dh_1 \) is the Tamagawa measure on \( H_1(\mathbb{A}) \). Note that \( \text{vol}(H_1(F) \backslash H_1(\mathbb{A})) = 2 \).

Lemma 4.8. We have

\[
I^0 = 2 \cdot I.
\]

Proof. The lemma follows from [41, Proposition 4.2] with slight modifications. We include a proof for convenience. For each place \( v \notin \Sigma_B \), we consider the space \( \text{Hom}_{H_1,v}(S(\mathbb{V}(\mathbb{A})), \mathbb{C}) \) with the natural action of \( H_1(\mathbb{A}) \). Let \( V_1^+ \) and \( W_1^+ \) be the 4-dimensional quadratic \( F_v \)-space and the 4-dimensional symplectic \( F_v \)-space associated to \( \nu_v \) and \( W_1^+ \) respectively. Since \( \dim V_1^+ > \frac{1}{2} \dim(W_1^+)^1 \), we have \( \text{Hom}_{H_1,v}(S(\mathbb{V}(\mathbb{A})), \mathbb{C}^+) = \{0\} \) by [60, p. 399], where \( sgn \) is the non-trivial character of \( H_1,v \). Hence \( H_1,v \) acts trivially on \( \text{Hom}_{H_1,v}(S(\mathbb{V}(\mathbb{A})), \mathbb{C}) \). On the other hand, we have \( H_1,v = H_1,v \) for all \( v \in \Sigma_B \). Hence \( H_1(\mathbb{A}) \) acts trivially on \( \text{Hom}_{H_1,v}(S(\mathbb{V}(\mathbb{A})), \mathbb{C}) \), so that

\[
I(g_1, \varphi) = \int_{H_1(F) \backslash H_1(\mathbb{A})} I^0(g_1, \omega(\hat{h}_1)) \, d\hat{h}_1
\]

\[
= \int_{H_1(F) \backslash H_1(\mathbb{A})} I^0(g_1, \varphi) \, d\hat{h}_1
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \cdot I^0(g_1, \varphi),
\]

where \( d\hat{h}_1 \) is the Haar measure on \( H_1(F) \backslash H_1(\mathbb{A}) \) such that \( \text{vol}(H_1(F) \backslash H_1(\mathbb{A})) = \frac{1}{2} \). \( \square \)

4.4.2. The Siegel–Weil formula. The Siegel–Weil formula [77, Theorem 3.4] due to Yamana says that

\[
I(\varphi) = E(\mathcal{F}_{\varphi}) |_{G_1(\mathbb{A})}
\]

for \( \varphi \in S(\mathbb{V}(\mathbb{A})) \). Hence, by Lemma 4.8 we have

\[
I^0(\varphi) = 2 \cdot E(\mathcal{F}_{\varphi}) |_{G_1(\mathbb{A})}
\]

for \( \varphi \in S(\mathbb{V}(\mathbb{A})) \).

4.4.3. The Rallis inner product formula. Let \( Z_{H_0} \) be the center of \( H_0 \) and \( dh_0 \) the Tamagawa measure on \( Z_{H_0}(\mathbb{A}) \backslash H_0(\mathbb{A}) \). Note that \( \text{vol}(Z_{H_0}(\mathbb{A}) H_0(F) \backslash H_0(\mathbb{A})) = 4 \).

Proposition 4.9. Let \( \varphi = \otimes_v \varphi_v \in S(\mathbb{V}(\mathbb{A})) \) be the partial Fourier transform of \( \varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2 \in S(\mathbb{X}(\mathbb{A})) \) with \( \varphi_i = \otimes_v \varphi_{i,v} \in S(\mathbb{X}(\mathbb{A})) \). Let \( f_1 = \otimes_v f_{1,v} \), \( f_2 = \otimes_v f_{2,v} \in \pi_B \). Then we have

\[
\int_{Z_{H_0}(\mathbb{A}) H_0(F) \backslash H_0(\mathbb{A})} \theta_{\varphi_1}(f_1(h^0)) \cdot \theta_{\varphi_2}(f_2(h^0)) \, dh^0 = \frac{L(1, \pi) \otimes \text{ad}}{\zeta(2)} \cdot \prod_{v \in S} Z(\mathcal{F}_{\varphi_v}, f_{1,v}, f_{2,v})
\]

Here \( S \) is a sufficiently large finite set of places of \( F \).
Proof. Put \((F^\times)^+ = F^\times \cap (A^\times)^+\),
\[
G(F)^+ = G(F) \cap G(A)^+, \quad H^0(F)^+ = H^0(F) \cap H^0(A)^+.
\]
Set \(C = (A^\times)^2(F^\times)^+ \setminus (A^\times)^+\). Then the similitude characters induce isomorphisms
\[
Z_G(A)G_1(A)G(F)^+ \setminus G(A)^+ \cong C, \quad Z_{H^0}(A)H^0_1(A)H^0(F)^+ \setminus H^0(A)^+ \cong C.
\]
Fix cross sections \(c \mapsto g_c\) and \(c \mapsto h_c\) of \(G(A)^+ \to C\) and \(H^0(A) \to C\) respectively. Since \(G(A) = Z(A) \cdot G(F) \cdot (G_1 \times G_1)(A) \cdot \{(g_c, g_c) \mid c \in C\}\), we have
\[
Z(F, f_1, f_2) = 2 \int_C \int_{G_1(F) \setminus G_1(A)} \int_{G_1(F) \setminus G_1(A)} E(\iota((g_1, g_2), F, f_1)(g_1 g_c) f_2(g_2 g_c) \, d g_1 \, d g_2 \, d c,
\]
where \(dg_1, dg_2\) are the Tamagawa measures on \(G_1(A)\) and \(dc\) is the Haar measure on \(C\) such that \(\text{vol}(C) = 1\). For each \(c \in C\), put \(\varphi_c = \omega_\psi^C(\iota(g_c, g_c), h_c) \varphi\). Since \(E(g_1(g_c, g_c), F, f) = E(g, F, \varphi_c)\), we have
\[
Z(F, f_1, f_2) = 2 \int_C \int_{G_1(F) \setminus G_1(A)} \int_{G_1(F) \setminus G_1(A)} \Theta(\omega_\psi^C(\iota(g_1, g_2), h_1^0)(z_0 \varphi)) \times f_1(g_1 g_c) f_2(g_2 g_c) \, d h_1^0 \, d g_1 \, d g_2 \, d c,
\]
by the Siegel–Weil formula [14]. On the other hand, we have
\[
\Theta(\omega_\psi^C(\iota(g_1, g_2), h_1^0) \varphi_c) = \Theta(\omega_\psi(g_1 g_c, h_1^0 h_c) \varphi_1) \cdot \Theta(\omega_\psi(g_2 g_c, h_1^0 h_c) \varphi_2).
\]
Hence we have
\[
\int_{G_1(F) \setminus G_1(A)} \int_{G_1(F) \setminus G_1(A)} \Theta(\omega_\psi^C(\iota(g_1, g_2), h_1^0) \varphi_1) \times f_1(g_1 g_c) f_2(g_2 g_c) \, d g_1 \, d g_2 \, d c = \left(\theta_{\varphi_1}(f_1)(h_1^0 h_c) \cdot \theta_{\varphi_2}(f_2)(h_1^0 h_c)\right) \ast z_0.
\]
By Lemma 4.2, the function \(h_1^0 \mapsto \theta_{\varphi_1}(f_1)(h_1^0 h_c) \cdot \theta_{\varphi_2}(f_2)(h_1^0 h_c)\) is integrable over \(H_1^0(F) \setminus H_1^0(A)\), so that
\[
\int_{H_1^0(F) \setminus H_1^0(A)} \left(\theta_{\varphi_1}(f_1)(h_1^0 h_c) \cdot \theta_{\varphi_2}(f_2)(h_1^0 h_c)\right) \ast z_0 \, d h_1^0 = \int_{H_1^0(F) \setminus H_1^0(A)} \theta_{\varphi_1}(f_1)(h_1^0 h_c) \cdot \theta_{\varphi_2}(f_2)(h_1^0 h_c) \, d h_1^0
\]
and hence
\[
Z(F, f_1, f_2) = \int_C \int_{H_1^0(F) \setminus H_1^0(A)} \theta_{\varphi_1}(f_1)(h_1^0 h_c) \cdot \theta_{\varphi_2}(f_2)(h_1^0 h_c) \, d h_1^0 \, d c.
\]
Since \( H^0(\mathbb{A}) = Z_{H^0}(\mathbb{A}) \cdot H^0(F) \cdot H^0(\mathbb{A}) \cdot \{h_c | c \in C\} \), this integral is equal to
\[
\frac{1}{2} \int_{Z_{H^0}(\mathbb{A}) \cdot H^0(F) \cdot H^0(\mathbb{A})} \theta_{\varphi_1}(f_1(h^0)) \cdot \theta_{\varphi_2}(f_2(h^0)) dh^0.
\]

Now the assertion follows from this and Lemma 4.3.

Now Lemma 4.3 follows from Proposition 4.9 and Lemma 4.7.

5. Schwartz functions

Let \( F \) be a number field. Let \( \mathfrak{o} \) be the integer ring of \( F \) and \( \mathfrak{d} \) the different of \( F \) over \( \mathbb{Q} \). Let \( D \) be the discriminant of \( F \). For each finite place \( v \) of \( F \), let \( \mathfrak{o}_v \) be the integer ring of \( F_v \), \( \mathfrak{p}_v = \mathfrak{o}_v \mathfrak{p}_v \) the maximal ideal of \( \mathfrak{o}_v \), \( \mathfrak{w}_v \) a uniformizer of \( \mathfrak{o}_v \), and \( \mathfrak{q}_v \) the cardinality of the residue field \( \mathfrak{o}_v/\mathfrak{p}_v \). Let \( d_v \) be the non-negative integer such that \( \mathfrak{d} \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}} \mathfrak{o}_v = \mathfrak{w}_v^{d_v} \mathfrak{o}_v \). Then we have \( |D| = \prod_{v \in \Sigma_{\text{fin}}} q_v^{d_v} \).

Let \( \psi_0 = \otimes_v \psi_{0,v} \) be the non-trivial character of \( \mathbb{A}_F/\mathbb{Q} \) given by
\[
\begin{align*}
\psi_{0,v}(x) &= e^{2\pi \sqrt{-1}x} & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}, \\
\psi_{0,p}(x) &= e^{-2\pi \sqrt{-1}x} & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{Q}_p.
\end{align*}
\]

Let \( \psi = \otimes_v \psi_v \) be the non-trivial character of \( \mathbb{A}/F \) defined by \( \psi = \psi_0 \circ \text{tr}_{F/\mathbb{Q}} \). We call \( \psi \) the standard additive character of \( \mathbb{A}/F \). If \( v \) is a real place of \( F \), then \( \psi_v(x) = e^{2\pi \sqrt{-1}x} \) for \( x \in F_v \). If \( v \) is a complex place of \( F \), then \( \psi_v(x) = e^{2\pi \sqrt{-1}(x + \bar{x})} \) for \( x \in F_v \), where \( \bar{x} \) is the complex conjugate of \( x \). If \( v \) is a finite place of \( F \), then \( \psi_v \) is trivial on \( \mathfrak{w}_v^{-d_v} \mathfrak{o}_v \) but non-trivial on \( \mathfrak{w}_v^{-d_v-1} \mathfrak{o}_v \). For each place \( v \) of \( F \), we define a Fourier transform
\[
S(F_v) \longrightarrow S(F_v)
\]
\[
\phi \longmapsto \hat{\phi}
\]
by
\[
\hat{\phi}(x) = \int_{F_v} \phi(y) \psi_v(xy) dy,
\]
where \( dy \) is the self-dual Haar measure on \( F_v \) with respect to \( \psi_v \).

Let \( V = B_1 \otimes_B B_2 \) be the 2-dimensional right skew-hermitian \( B \)-space given in 3.2.2 and \( W = B \) the 1-dimensional left hermitian \( B \)-space given in 3.3.1. Recall that
\[
\begin{align*}
E &= F + Fi, & B &= E + Ej, & B_1 &= E + Ej_1, & B_2 &= E + Ej_2, \\
u &= i^2, & J &= j^2, & J_1 &= j_1^2, & J_2 &= j_2^2,
\end{align*}
\]
where \( J = J_1 J_2 \). Let \( V = V \otimes_B W \) be the 8-dimensional symplectic \( F \)-space. We identify \( V \) with \( \text{Res}_{B/F}(V) \) via the map \( v \mapsto v \otimes 1 \). In 3.2.2 we chose a complete polarization \( \mathbb{V} = \mathbb{X} \oplus \mathbb{Y} \) over \( F \). Let \( \mathbf{e}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_4 \) and \( \mathbf{e}_1^*, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_4^* \) be the bases of \( \mathbb{X} \) and \( \mathbb{Y} \), respectively, given by 2.3, 2.14.

5.1. Complete polarizations. In Appendix C we also choose a complete polarization \( \mathbb{V}_v = \mathbb{X}'_v \oplus \mathbb{Y}'_v \) over \( F_v \) for each place \( v \) of \( F \). Note that in picking the polarization, we use the assumption that for any place \( v \) of \( F \), at least one of \( u, J, J_1, J_2 \) is a square in \( F_v \). In this subsection, we recall the choice of this polarization. Later, we will pick a Schwartz function on \( \mathbb{X}'_v \) and then transfer it to a Schwartz function on \( \mathbb{X}_v \) by a partial Fourier transform. From now on, we fix a place \( v \) of \( F \) and suppress the subscript \( v \) from the notation.
5.1.1. The case $u \in \left(F^\times\right)^2$. Choose $t \in F^\times$ such that $u = t^2$. We define an isomorphism $i : B \to M_2(F)$ of quaternion $F$-algebras by

\begin{equation}
(5.1)\quad i(1) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad i(i) = \begin{pmatrix} t & -t \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad i(j) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ J & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad i(k) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{equation}

Put

$$e = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}i, \quad e' = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}i, \quad e'' = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}i,$$

so that

$$i(e) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad i(e') = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad i(e'') = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $W^\dagger := eW$ be the 2-dimensional $F$-space associated to $W$ equipped with a non-degenerate symplectic form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle^\dagger$ defined by

\begin{equation}
(5.2)\quad \langle x, y \rangle^\dagger = \langle x, y \rangle^\dagger \cdot e'
\end{equation}

for $x, y \in W^\dagger$. Then the restriction to $W^\dagger$ induces a natural isomorphism $\text{GU}(W) \cong \text{GSp}(W^\dagger)$. We have

$$\langle e, e \rangle^\dagger = \langle e', e' \rangle^\dagger = 0, \quad \langle e, e \rangle^\dagger = 1,$$

and

$$\begin{bmatrix} e \cdot \alpha \\ e' \cdot \alpha \end{bmatrix} = i(\alpha) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} e \\ e' \end{bmatrix}$$

for $\alpha \in B$. We take a complete polarization $W^\dagger = X \oplus Y$ given by

$$X = Fe, \quad Y = Fe'.$$

Similarly, let $V^\dagger := Ve$ be the 4-dimensional $F$-space associated to $V$ equipped with a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle^\dagger$ defined by

\begin{equation}
(5.3)\quad \frac{1}{2} \cdot \langle x, y \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle^\dagger \cdot e''
\end{equation}

for $x, y \in V^\dagger$. Then the restriction to $V^\dagger$ induces a natural isomorphism $\text{GU}(V) \cong \text{GO}(V^\dagger)$. We take a complete polarization $V = X' \oplus Y'$ given by

$$X' = V^\dagger \otimes X, \quad Y' = V^\dagger \otimes Y.$$

We identify $X'$ with $V^\dagger$ via the map $v \mapsto v \otimes e$. Put

\begin{align*}
\mathbf{v}_1 &= 2e_1e = e_1 + te_3^*, & \mathbf{v}_1^* &= -\frac{1}{t}e_1e^* = -\frac{1}{2t}e_3 + \frac{1}{2}e_1^*, \\
\mathbf{v}_2 &= 2e_2e = e_2 - tj_1e_2^*, & \mathbf{v}_2^* &= \frac{1}{tj_1}e_2e^* = \frac{1}{2tj_1}e_2 + \frac{1}{2}e_2^*, \\
\mathbf{v}_3 &= -\frac{1}{tj_1}e_2e'' = -\frac{1}{2t}e_3 + \frac{1}{2j_1}e_1^*, & \mathbf{v}_3^* &= -2e_2e' = -j_1e_3 - tje_4^*, \\
\mathbf{v}_4 &= -\frac{1}{t}e_1e'' = -\frac{1}{2t}e_4 - \frac{1}{2}e_4^*, & \mathbf{v}_4^* &= 2e_1e' = e_4 - tje_1^*.
\end{align*}

Then $\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_4$ and $\mathbf{v}_1', \ldots, \mathbf{v}_4'$ are bases of $X'$ and $Y'$, respectively, such that $\langle \mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j' \rangle = \delta_{ij}$.

We may identify the quadratic space $V^\dagger$ with the space $M_2(F)$ equipped with a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form

\begin{equation}
(5.5)\quad \text{tr}(xy^*) = x_1y_4 - x_2y_3 - x_3y_2 + x_4y_1
\end{equation}
for $x = \left( \frac{x_1}{x_3}, \frac{x_2}{x_3} \right)$, $y = \left( \frac{y_1}{y_3}, \frac{y_2}{y_3} \right)$. Indeed, the basis $\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_4$ of $V^\dagger$ gives rise to an isomorphism $V^\dagger \cong M_2(F)$ of quadratic spaces by

$$
\mathbf{v}_1 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{v}_2 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{v}_3 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{v}_4 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
$$

Under this identification, we have

$$
\alpha_1 \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v} \cdot i_1(\alpha_1)^\ast, \quad \alpha_2 \mathbf{v} = i_2(\alpha_2) \cdot \mathbf{v}
$$

for $\alpha_i \in B_i$ and $\mathbf{v} \in V^\dagger \cong M_2(F)$, where $i_1 : B_1 \to M_2(F)$ and $i_2 : B_2 \to M_2(F)$ are isomorphisms of quaternion $F$-algebras given by

$$
i_1(a + bi + cj + dij) = \begin{pmatrix} a - bt & -(c - dt) \\ -J(c + dt) & a + bt \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.6)
i_2(a + bi + cj + dij) = \begin{pmatrix} a + bt & -\frac{1}{2} Jt(c + dt) \\ -2tJ(c - dt) & a - bt \end{pmatrix}.
$$

5.1.2. The case $J \in (F^\times)^2$. Choose $t \in F^\times$ such that $J = t^2$. We define an isomorphism $i : B \to M_2(F)$ of quaternion $F$-algebras by

$$
i(1) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad i(i) = \begin{pmatrix} u & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad i(j) = \begin{pmatrix} t & -t \\ t & -t \end{pmatrix}, \quad i(jj) = \begin{pmatrix} tu & -t \\ -t & tu \end{pmatrix}.
$$

Put

$$
e = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2t}j, \quad e' = \frac{1}{2} i - \frac{1}{2t}ij, \quad e'' = \frac{1}{2u} i + \frac{1}{2tu}ij, \quad e^* = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2t}j,
$$

so that

$$
i(e) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad i(e') = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad i(e'') = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad i(e^*) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
$$

Let $W^\dagger := eW$ be the 2-dimensional $F$-space associated to $W$ equipped with a non-degenerate symplectic form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle^\dagger$ defined by $[\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}]$. We have

$$
\langle e, e \rangle^\dagger = \langle e', e' \rangle^\dagger = 0, \quad \langle e, e \rangle^\dagger = 1,
$$

and

$$
\begin{bmatrix} e \cdot \alpha \\ e' \cdot \alpha \end{bmatrix} = i(\alpha) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} e \\ e' \end{bmatrix}
$$

for $\alpha \in B$. We take a complete polarization $W^\dagger = X \oplus Y$ given by

$$
x = Fe, \quad y = Fe'.
$$

Similarly, let $V^\dagger := Ve$ be the 4-dimensional $F$-space associated to $V$ equipped with a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle^\dagger$ defined by $[\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}]$. We take a complete polarization $V = X' \oplus Y'$ given by

$$
x' = V^\dagger \otimes X, \quad y' = V^\dagger \otimes Y.
$$

We identify $X'$ with $V^\dagger$ via the map $v \mapsto \mathbf{v} \otimes e$. Put

$$
\mathbf{v}_1 = e_1 e = \frac{1}{2} e_1 + \frac{1}{2t} e_4, \quad \mathbf{v}_2 = e_1 e' = \frac{1}{2} e_1 - \frac{1}{2t} e_4,
$$

$$
\mathbf{v}_3 = e_2 e = \frac{1}{2} e_2 + \frac{J_1}{2} e_3, \quad \mathbf{v}_4 = e_2 e' = \frac{1}{2} e_2 - \frac{J_1}{2} e_3,
$$

$$
\mathbf{v}_5 = e_3 e = \frac{1}{2} e_3 + \frac{1}{2t} e_2, \quad \mathbf{v}_6 = e_3 e' = \frac{1}{2} e_3 - \frac{1}{2t} e_2.
$$

Then $\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_6$ and $\mathbf{v}_1^\dagger, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_6^\dagger$ are bases of $X'$ and $Y'$, respectively, such that $\langle \mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j^\dagger \rangle^\dagger = \delta_{ij}$. 
We need to use two coordinate systems given as follows:

5.1.2.1. The case (i). We fix \( s \in F^\times \) and define bases \( \mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_4 \) and \( \mathbf{v}_1^*, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_4^* \) of \( \mathcal{X}' \) and \( \mathcal{Y}' \), respectively, such that \( \{ \mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j^* \} = \delta_{ij} \) by

\[
(5.8) \quad \mathbf{v}_1 = \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_1, \quad \mathbf{v}_2 = \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_2, \quad \mathbf{v}_3 = \frac{1}{s} \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_3, \quad \mathbf{v}_4 = \frac{1}{s} \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_4, \\
\mathbf{v}_1^* = \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_1^*, \quad \mathbf{v}_2^* = \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_2^*, \quad \mathbf{v}_3^* = s \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_3^*, \quad \mathbf{v}_4^* = s \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_4^*.
\]

We may identify the quadratic space \( V^\dagger \) with the space \( B_1 \) equipped with a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form

\[
-\frac{1}{4} \text{tr}_{B_1/F}(xy^*).
\]

Indeed, since

\[
\langle \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_1, \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_1^* \rangle = \frac{u}{2}, \quad \langle \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_2, \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_2^* \rangle = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \langle \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_3, \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_3^* \rangle = -\frac{uJ_1}{2}, \quad \langle \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_4, \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_4^* \rangle = \frac{J_1}{2},
\]

and \( \langle \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_i, \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_j^* \rangle = 0 \) if \( i \neq j \), the basis \( \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_1, \ldots, \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_4 \) of \( V^\dagger \) gives rise to an isomorphism \( V^\dagger \cong B_1 \) of quadratic spaces by

\[
\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_1 \mapsto \mathbf{i}, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_2 \mapsto 1, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_3 \mapsto j\mathbf{i}, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_4 \mapsto \mathbf{j}.
\]

Under this identification, we have

\[
\alpha \mathbf{v} = \alpha_1 \mathbf{v}, \quad \beta \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{i}_2(\alpha_2) \quad \text{for } \alpha, \beta \in E.
\]

5.1.2.2. The case (ii). Assume that \( J_1 \in (F^\times)^2 \). We choose \( t_1 \in F^\times \) such that \( J_1 = t_1 J_2 \) and define bases \( \mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_4 \) and \( \mathbf{v}_1^*, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_4^* \) of \( \mathcal{X}' \) and \( \mathcal{Y}' \), respectively, such that \( \{ \mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j^* \} = \delta_{ij} \) by

\[
(5.10) \quad v_1 = \tilde{v}_1 + \frac{1}{t_1} \tilde{v}_3 = \frac{1}{2} e_1 + \frac{1}{2t_1} e_2 + \frac{t_1}{2t} e_3 + \frac{1}{2t} e_4, \\
v_2 = \tilde{v}_2 + \frac{1}{t_1} \tilde{v}_4 = \frac{1}{2} e_1 + \frac{1}{2t_1} e_2 + \frac{t}{2t_1} e_3 - \frac{t}{2} e_4, \\
v_3 = \tilde{v}_2 - \frac{1}{t_1} \tilde{v}_4 = \frac{1}{2} e_1 + \frac{1}{2} e_2 - \frac{t}{2t_1} e_3 + \frac{1}{2} e_4, \\
v_4 = \frac{1}{t_1 u} \tilde{v}_1 - \frac{1}{t_1 u} \tilde{v}_3 = \frac{1}{2u} e_1 - \frac{1}{2} e_2 - \frac{t}{2t_1 u} e_3 + \frac{1}{2t} e_4.
\]

We may identify the quadratic space \( V^\dagger \) with the space \( M_2(F) \) equipped with the non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form \([5.3]\). Indeed, the basis \( \mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_4 \) of \( V^\dagger \) gives rise to an isomorphism \( V^\dagger \cong
Under this identification, we have
\[ \alpha_1 v = i_1(\alpha_1) \cdot v, \quad \alpha_2 v = v \cdot i_2(\alpha_2)^* \]
for \( \alpha_i \in B_i \) and \( v \in V^i \cong M_2(F) \), where \( i_1 : B_1 \to M_2(F) \) and \( i_2 : B_2 \to M_2(F) \) are isomorphisms of quaternion \( F \)-algebras given by
\[
\begin{align*}
&i_1(a + bi + cj_1 + djj_1) = \left( \begin{array}{cc} a + ct_1 & b - dt_1 \\ u(b + dt_1) & a - ct_1 \end{array} \right), \\
&i_2(a + bi + cj_2 + djj_2) = \left( \begin{array}{cc} a - c\frac{t_1}{t} & -u(b + d\frac{t_1}{t}) \\ -(b - d\frac{t_1}{t}) & a + c\frac{t_1}{t} \end{array} \right).
\end{align*}
\]

5.1.3. The case \( J_1 \in (F^\times)^2 \) or \( J_2 \in (F^\times)^2 \). We only consider the case \( J_1 \in (F^\times)^2 \); we switch the roles of \( B_1 \) and \( B_2 \) in the other case. Choose \( t \in F^\times \) such that \( J_1 = t^2 \). We define isomorphisms \( i_1 : B_1 \to M_2(F) \) and \( i_2 : B_2 \to B \) of quaternion \( F \)-algebras by
\[
\begin{align*}
&i_1(1) = \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 2 \\ \frac{u}{2} & 1 \end{array} \right), \quad i_1(i) = \left( \begin{array}{cc} u & 2 \\ \frac{u}{2} & 1 \end{array} \right), \quad i_1(j_1) = \left( \begin{array}{cc} t & -t \\ t & -t \end{array} \right), \quad i_1(jj_1) = \left( \begin{array}{cc} tu & -2t \\ tu & -2t \end{array} \right),
\end{align*}
\]
and
\[
\begin{align*}
&i_2(\alpha + \beta j_2) = \alpha + \frac{\beta}{t}j
\end{align*}
\]
for \( \alpha, \beta \in E \). Put
\[
\begin{align*}
&v := \frac{1}{2}e_1 + \frac{1}{2t}e_2, \quad v^* := e_1^* + te_2^* = \frac{1}{u}e_1 - \frac{1}{tu}e_2.
\end{align*}
\]
Then \( v, v^* \) is a basis of \( V \) over \( B \) such that
\[
\langle v, v \rangle = \langle v^*, v^* \rangle = 0, \quad \langle v, v^* \rangle = 1.
\]
Moreover, we have
\[
[\alpha_i \cdot v, \alpha_i \cdot v^*] = [v, v^*] \cdot i_i(\alpha_i)
\]
for \( \alpha_i \in B_i \). Here we identify \( i_2(\alpha_2) \) with the scalar matrix \( i_2(\alpha_2) \cdot 1_2 \) in \( M_2(B) \). Let \( V' := V \), regarded as a left \( B \)-space via \( \alpha \cdot x' := (x \cdot \alpha^*)^t \), where for an element \( x \in V \), we write \( x' \) for the corresponding element in \( V' \). We have a natural skew-hermitian form \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle' \) on \( V' \) defined by \( \langle x', y' \rangle' = \langle x, y \rangle \). Let \( GL(V') \) act on \( V' \) on the right. We may identify \( GU(V) \) with \( GU(V') \) via the isomorphism
\[
GL(V) \to GL(V'),
\]
\[
g \mapsto [x' \mapsto (g^{-1} \cdot x')]
\]
Under this identification, we have
\[
[ v' \cdot \alpha_i, (v^*)' \cdot \alpha_i ] = ((i_i(\alpha_i)^{-1})' \cdot [ v', (v^*)' ]
\]
for \( \alpha_i \in B_i \). We take a complete polarization \( V' = X' \oplus Y' \) given by
\[
X' = B \cdot v', \quad Y' = B \cdot (v^*)'.
\]
Similarly, let $W' := W$, regarded as a right $B$-space via $x' \cdot \alpha := (\alpha^* \cdot x)'$. We have a natural hermitian form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle'$ on $W'$ defined by $\langle x', y' \rangle' = (x, y)$. Let $GL(W')$ act on $W'$ on the left. We may identify $GU(W)$ with $GU(W')$ via the isomorphism

$$GL(W) \to GL(W').$$

$$g \mapsto [x' \mapsto (x \cdot g^{-1})']$$

We now consider an $F$-space $V' := W' \otimes_B V'$ equipped with a non-degenerate symplectic form

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle' := \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}_{B/F}(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \otimes \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle^*) .$$

Let $GL(V')$ act on $V'$ on the right. We identify $V$ with $V'$ via the map $x = x \otimes y \mapsto x' = y \cdot x'$. Then by Lemma C.10 we may identify $GSp(V)$ with $GSp(V')$ via the isomorphism

$$GL(V) \to GL(V'),$$

$$g \mapsto [x' \mapsto (x \cdot g')]$$

which induces a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
GU(V) \times GU(W) & \longrightarrow & GSp(V) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
GU(W') \times GU(V') & \longrightarrow & GSp(V')
\end{array}$$

We take a complete polarization

$$V' = (W' \otimes_B X') \oplus (W' \otimes_B Y').$$

Under the identification $V = V'$, this gives a complete polarization $V = X' \oplus Y'$, where

$$X' = (v \cdot B) \otimes_B W, \quad Y' = (v^* \cdot B) \otimes_B W.$$

We identify $X'$ with $W$ via the map $w \mapsto v \otimes w$. We fix $s \in F^\times$ and put

$$v_1 = v = \frac{1}{2} e_1 + \frac{1}{2t} e_2, \quad v_1^* = v' = e_1^* + t e_2^*,$$

$$v_2 = \frac{1}{u} v i = \frac{1}{2} e_1^* - \frac{t}{2} e_2^*, \quad v_2^* = -v^* i = -e_1 + \frac{1}{t} e_2,$$

$$v_3 = \frac{1}{s} v i j = \frac{1}{2s} e_4 + \frac{t}{2s} e_3, \quad v_3^* = -\frac{1}{s} v^* j = se_4^* + \frac{s}{t} e_3^*,$$

$$v_4 = \frac{1}{s} v j = \frac{1}{2s} e_1^* + \frac{t}{2s} e_2^*, \quad v_4^* = \frac{1}{s} v^* j = se_1 + \frac{s}{t} e_2.$$

Then $v_1, \ldots, v_4$ and $v_1^*, \ldots, v_4^*$ are bases of $X'$ and $Y'$, respectively, such that $\langle v_i, v_j^* \rangle = \delta_{ij}$.

5.2. **Weil representations.** Recall that we have the Weil representation $\omega_\psi$ of $G(U(V)^0 \times U(W))$ on $S(\mathcal{X})$ obtained from the map $s : GU(V)^0 \times GU(W) \to \mathbb{C}^1$ such that $z_V = ds$ given in Appendix C. This Weil representation is unitary with respect to the hermitian inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $S(\mathcal{X})$ given by

$$\langle \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \rangle = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \varphi_1(x) \overline{\varphi_2(x)} dx ,$$

where $dx = dx_1 \cdots dx_4$ for $x = x_1 e_1 + \cdots + x_4 e_4$ with the self-dual Haar measure $dx_i$ on $F$ with respect to $\psi$. The map $s$ is defined in terms of another map $s' : GU(V)^0 \times GU(W) \to \mathbb{C}^1$ such that $z_V = ds'$ given in Appendix C based on [59]. Thus we obtain the Weil representation $\omega_\psi$ of $G(U(V)^0 \times U(W))$ on $S(\mathcal{X'})$ from $s'$, as in [59] [5], [20] [5]. This Weil representation is unitary with respect to the hermitian inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $S(\mathcal{X'})$ given in terms of certain Haar measure on $\mathcal{X'}$. In this subsection, we define this Haar measure on $\mathcal{X'}$ and give explicit formulas for the Weil representation on $S(\mathcal{X'})$. 
5.2.1. The case $u \in (F^\times)^2$. Recall that we identified $X'$ with $V^\dagger$. We take the self-dual Haar measure on $V^\dagger$ with respect to the pairing $(x,y) \mapsto \psi((x,y)^\dagger)$. More explicitly, this measure is given by

$$\frac{dx = dx_1 \cdots dx_4}{4\pi^2}$$

for $x = x_1 v_1 + \cdots + x_4 v_4 \in X'$, where $v_1, \ldots, v_4$ is the basis of $X'$ given by (5.4) and $dx_i$ is the self-dual Haar measure on $F$ with respect to $\psi$.

We identify $\text{GU}(W) \cong B^\times$ with $\text{GL}_2(F)$ via the isomorphism $i$ given by (5.1). Then $U(W) \cong \text{SL}_2(F)$ acts on $S(X')$ by

$$\omega_x \left( a \begin{pmatrix} a & 1 \\ 1 & -a \end{pmatrix} \right) \varphi(x) = |a|^2 \varphi(ax), \quad a \in F^\times,$$

$$\omega_x \left( 1 \begin{pmatrix} b & 1 \\ 1 & -b \end{pmatrix} \right) \varphi(x) = \psi \left( \frac{1}{2} b(x,x)^\dagger \right) \varphi(x), \quad b \in F,$$

$$\omega_x \left( 1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \varphi(x) = \int_{X'} \varphi(y) \psi(-\langle x,y \rangle^\dagger) dy.$$

This action extends to an action of $\text{G}(U(V)^0 \times U(W))$ by

$$\omega_x (g,h) = \omega_x (g,d(\nu)^{-1}) \circ L(h) = L(h) \circ \omega_x (d(\nu)^{-1} \cdot g)$$

for $g \in \text{GU}(W) \cong \text{GL}_2(F)$ and $h \in U(V)^0 \cong \text{GO}(V^\dagger)^0$ such that $\nu(g) = \nu(h) = \nu$, where $d(\nu) = \nu (1_x)$ and

$$L(h) \varphi(x) = |\nu|^{-1} \varphi(h^{-1} x).$$

5.2.2. The case $J \in (F^\times)^2$. Recall that we identified $X'$ with $V^\dagger$. We take the self-dual Haar measure on $V^\dagger$ with respect to the pairing $(x,y) \mapsto \psi((x,y)^\dagger)$. More explicitly, according the coordinate system, this measure is given as follows:

(i) $$dx = \left| \frac{uJ_1}{4\pi^2} \right| \ dx_1 \cdots dx_4$$

for $x = x_1 v_1 + \cdots + x_4 v_4 \in X'$, where $v_1, \ldots, v_4$ is the basis of $X'$ given by (5.8) and $dx_i$ is the self-dual Haar measure on $F$ with respect to $\psi$.

(ii) $$dx = dx_1 \cdots dx_4$$

for $x = x_1 v_1 + \cdots + x_4 v_4 \in X'$, where $v_1, \ldots, v_4$ is the basis of $X'$ given by (5.10) and $dx_i$ is the self-dual Haar measure on $F$ with respect to $\psi$.

We identify $\text{GU}(W) \cong B^\times$ with $\text{GL}_2(F)$ via the isomorphism $i$ given by (5.7). Then $U(W) \cong \text{SL}_2(F)$ acts on $S(X')$ by

$$\omega_x \left( a \begin{pmatrix} a & 1 \\ 1 & -a \end{pmatrix} \right) \varphi(x) = |a|^2 \varphi(ax), \quad a \in F^\times,$$

$$\omega_x \left( 1 \begin{pmatrix} b & 1 \\ 1 & -b \end{pmatrix} \right) \varphi(x) = \psi \left( \frac{1}{2} b(x,x)^\dagger \right) \varphi(x), \quad b \in F,$$

$$\omega_x \left( 1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \varphi(x) = \gamma B_1 \int_{X'} \varphi(y) \psi(-\langle x,y \rangle^\dagger) dy,$$

where

$$\gamma B_1 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } B_1 \text{ is split}, \\ -1 & \text{if } B_1 \text{ is ramified}. \end{cases}$$
This action extends to an action of $\text{G}(\text{U}(V)^0 \times \text{U}(W))$ by
\[
\omega_\psi(g, h) = \omega_\psi(g \cdot d(\nu)^{-1}) \circ L(h) = L(h) \circ \omega_\psi(d(\nu)^{-1} \cdot g)
\]
for $g \in \text{GU}(W) \cong \text{GL}_2(F)$ and $h \in \text{GU}(V)^0 \cong \text{GO}(V^1)^0$ such that $\nu(g) = \nu(h) =: \nu$, where $d(\nu) = (1_{\nu})$ and
\[
L(h)\varphi(x) = |\nu|^{-1} \varphi(h^{-1}x).
\]

5.2.3. The case $J_1 \in (F^\times)^2$ or $J_2 \in (F^\times)^2$. We only consider the case $J_1 \in (F^\times)^2$; we switch the roles of $B_1$ and $B_2$ in the other case. Recall that we identified $X'$ with $W$. We take the self-dual Haar measure on $W$ with respect to the pairing $(x, y) \mapsto \psi(\frac{1}{2} \text{tr}_B/F(x, y))$. More explicitly, this measure is given by
\[
dx = \left| \frac{J}{s^2 u} \right| dx_1 \cdots dx_4
\]
for $x = x_1v_1 + \cdots + x_4v_4 \in X'$, where $v_1, \ldots, v_4$ is the basis of $X'$ given by (5.14) and $dx_i$ is the self-dual Haar measure on $F$ with respect to $\psi$.

We identify $\text{GU}(V)^0 \cong (B_1^\times \times B_2^\times)/F^\times$ with the group
\[
\left\{ g \in \text{GL}_2(B) \mid \left| g \right|_1 = 1 \right\}
\]
via the map $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \mapsto \iota_1(\alpha_1)\iota_2(\alpha_2)$, where $\iota_1$ and $\iota_2$ are the isomorphisms given by (5.12), (5.13). Then $\text{U}(V)^0$ acts on $\text{S}(X')$ via the identification $\text{U}(V)^0 \cong \text{U}(V')^0$ followed by the Weil representation of $\text{U}(V')^0$ on $\text{S}(W' \otimes_B X')$ given in [39] §5. Hence $\text{U}(V)^0$ acts on $\text{S}(X')$ by
\[
\omega_\psi \left( \frac{a}{(a_1)^*}, \frac{1}{b} \right) \varphi(x) = |\nu(a)|^{-1} \varphi(a^{-1}x), \quad a \in B^\times,
\]
\[
\omega_\psi \left( \frac{1}{b}, 1 \right) \varphi(x) = \psi \left( -\frac{1}{2} b(x, x) \right) \varphi(x), \quad b \in F,
\]
\[
\omega_\psi \left( 1, -1 \right) \varphi(x) = \gamma_B \int_{X'} \varphi(y) \psi \left( -\frac{1}{2} \text{tr}_B/F(x, y) \right) dy,
\]
where
\[
\gamma_B = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } B \text{ is split,} \\ -1 & \text{if } B \text{ is ramified.} \end{cases}
\]
This action extends to an action of $\text{G}(\text{U}(V)^0 \times \text{U}(W))$ by
\[
\omega_\psi(g, h) = \omega_\psi(h \cdot d(\nu)^{-1}) \circ R(g) = R(g) \circ \omega_\psi(d(\nu)^{-1} \cdot h)
\]
for $g \in \text{GU}(W)$ and $h \in \text{GU}(V)^0$ such that $\nu(g) = \nu(h) =: \nu$, where $d(\nu) = (1_{\nu})$ and
\[
R(g)\varphi(x) = |\nu|\varphi(xg).
\]

5.3. Partial Fourier transforms. Recall that the partial Fourier transform $\varphi \in \text{S}(X)$ of $\varphi' \in \text{S}(X')$ is given by
\[
\varphi(x) = \int_{\Y'/\Y \otimes \Y'} \varphi'(x') \psi \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( \langle x', y' \rangle - \langle x, y \rangle \right) \right) d\mu_{\Y'/\Y \otimes \Y'}(y),
\]
where for $x \in \X$ and $y \in \Y$, we write $x + y = x' + y'$ with $x' = x'(x, y) \in \X'$ and $y' = y'(x, y) \in \Y'$, and we take the Haar measure $\mu_{\Y'/\Y \otimes \Y'}$ on $\Y'/\Y \otimes \Y'$ so that the map
\[
\text{S}(X') \longrightarrow \text{S}(X)
\]
\[
\varphi' \longmapsto \varphi
\]
respects the hermitian inner products (given in terms of the Haar measures on $X$ and $X'$ given in §5.2). By construction, this partial Fourier transform is a unitary equivalence between the Weil representations of $G(U(V)^0 \times U(W))$ on $\mathcal{S}(X)$ and $\mathcal{S}(X')$. In this subsection, we explicate the Haar measure $\mu_{y/Y\gamma Y'}$ and the partial Fourier transform $\mathcal{S}(X') \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(X)$.

We write

$$x = x_1 e_1 + \cdots + x_4 e_4 \in X, \quad y = y_1 e_1^* + \cdots + y_4 e_4^* \in Y,$$

$$x' = x_1' v_1 + \cdots + x_4' v_4 \in X', \quad y' = y_1' v_1^* + \cdots + y_4' v_4^* \in Y',$$

where $v_1, \ldots, v_4$ and $v_1^*, \ldots, v_4^*$ are the bases of $X'$ and $Y'$, respectively, given in (5.1). Let $dx_i, dy_j, dx_i', dy_j'$ be the self-dual Haar measures on $F$ with respect to $\psi$.

5.3.1. The case $u \in (F^\times)^2$. Recall that $v_i, v_i^*$ are given by (5.4). Note that $Y \cap Y' = \{0\}$. We define a Haar measure $\mu_{y/Y\gamma Y'}$ on $Y$ by

$$d\mu_{y/Y\gamma Y'}(y) = |4u|^{-\frac{1}{2}} dy_1 \cdots dy_4$$

for $y = y_1 e_1^* + \cdots + y_4 e_4^*$. We will see below that the partial Fourier transform with respect to this Haar measure is an isometry.

If $x + y = x' + y'$, then we have

$$x_1' = \frac{1}{2t}(y_1 + tx_1), \quad y_1' = y_1 - tx_1,$$

$$x_2' = -\frac{1}{2tJ_1}(y_2 - tJ_1 x_2), \quad y_2' = y_2 + tJ_1 x_2,$$

$$x_3' = J_1(y_3 - tJ_2 x_3), \quad y_3' = -\frac{1}{2tJ}(y_3 + tJ_2 x_3),$$

$$x_4' = -(y_4 + tJ_4), \quad y_4' = -\frac{1}{2tJ}(y_4 - tJ_4).$$

Namely, putting

$$a_1 = t, \quad a_2 = -tJ_1, \quad a_3 = -tJ_2, \quad a_4 = tJ, \quad b_1 = b_2 = 1, \quad b_3 = b_4 = -2tJ,$$

we have

$$x_i' = \frac{b_i}{2a_i}(y_i + a_i x_i), \quad y_i' = \frac{1}{b_i}(y_i - a_i x_i),$$

so that

$$x_i'y_i' - x_i y_i = x_i \left( \frac{2a_i}{b_i} x_i' - \frac{2a_i}{b_i} x_i \right) - x_i \left( \frac{2a_i}{b_i} x_i' - a_i x_i \right) = \frac{2a_i}{b_i} (x_i')^2 - \frac{4a_i}{b_i} x_i x_i' + a_i x_i^2.$$}

Hence, if $\varphi'(x') = \prod_{i=1}^4 \varphi_i'(x_i')$ with $\varphi_i' \in \mathcal{S}(F)$, then we have

$$\varphi(x) = |4u|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^4 \varphi_i(x_i),$$

where

$$\varphi_i(x_i) = \int_F \varphi_i'(x_i') \psi \left( \frac{1}{2}(x_i'y_i' - x_i y_i) \right) dy_i$$

$$= \frac{2a_i}{b_i} \left| \psi \left( \frac{a_i}{2} x_i^2 \right) \right| \int_F \varphi_i'(x_i') \psi \left( \frac{a_i}{b_i} (x_i')^2 - \frac{2a_i}{b_i} x_i x_i' \right) dx_i'.$$

Since

$$\prod_{i=1}^4 \frac{2a_i}{b_i} = 4u,$$
the partial Fourier transform with respect to \( \mu_{\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{Y}'} \) is an isometry.

5.3.2. The case \( J \in (F^\times)^2 \).

5.3.2.1. The case (i). Recall that \( \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}' \) are given by (5.9). Note that \( \mathcal{Y} \cap \mathcal{Y}' = F\mathbf{v}_1^* + F\mathbf{v}_3^* \). Let \( \mu_\mathcal{Y} \) and \( \mu_{\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{Y}'} \) be the Haar measures on \( \mathcal{Y} \) and \( \mathcal{Y} \cap \mathcal{Y}' \), respectively, defined by

\[
d\mu_\mathcal{Y}(y) = dy_1 \cdots dy_4, \quad d\mu_{\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{Y}'}(y') = dy_1' dy_3'
\]

for \( y = y_1 \mathbf{e}_1^* + \cdots + y_4 \mathbf{e}_4^* \) and \( y' = y_1' \mathbf{v}_1^* + y_3' \mathbf{v}_3^* \). We define a Haar measure \( \mu_{\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{Y}'} \) on \( \mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{Y} \cap \mathcal{Y}' \) by

\[
\mu_{\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{Y}'} = \left| \frac{uJ_1}{4\pi^2 J} \right|^\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mu_\mathcal{Y}}{\mu_{\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{Y}'}}.
\]

We will see below that the partial Fourier transform with respect to this Haar measure is an isometry.

If \( x + y = x' + y' \), then we have

\[
\begin{align*}
x'_1 &= x_1 + tx_4, & y'_1 &= \frac{1}{2} \left( y_1 + \frac{1}{t} y_4 \right), \\
x'_2 &= y_1 - \frac{1}{t} y_4, & y'_2 &= \frac{1}{2} (x_1 - tx_4), \\
x'_3 &= s \left( x_2 + \frac{t}{J_1} x_3 \right), & y'_3 &= \frac{1}{2s} (y_2 + \frac{J_1}{t} y_3), \\
x'_4 &= -\frac{s}{J_1} \left( y_2 - \frac{J_1}{t} y_3 \right), & y'_4 &= \frac{J_1}{2s} \left( x_2 - \frac{t}{J_1} x_3 \right),
\end{align*}
\]

so that

\[
\begin{align*}
x'_1 y'_1 - x'_2 y'_2 &= x_1 y_1 + x_4 y_4, & x'_3 y'_3 - x'_4 y'_4 &= x_2 y_2 + x_3 y_3.
\end{align*}
\]

Also, we have

\[
dx'_1 dx'_3 dy'_2 dy'_4 = |J| dx_1 \cdots dx_4, \quad dx'_2 dx'_4 dy'_1 dy'_3 = |J|^{-1} dy_1 \cdots dy_4.
\]

Hence, if \( \varphi'(x') = \prod_{i=1}^4 \varphi'_i(x_i') \) with \( \varphi'_i \in \mathcal{S}(F) \), then we have

\[
\varphi(x) = \left| \frac{uJ_1}{4\pi^2} \right|^\frac{1}{2} \varphi'_1(x_1') \varphi'_3(x_3') \int_F \varphi'_2(x_2') \varphi'_4(x_4') \psi(x_2 y_2' + x_4 y_4') dx_2' dx_4'.
\]

In particular, the partial Fourier transform with respect to \( \mu_{\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{Y}'} \) is an isometry.

5.3.2.2. The case (ii). Recall that \( \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}' \) are given by (5.10). Note that \( \mathcal{Y} \cap \mathcal{Y}' = F\mathbf{v}_1^* + F\mathbf{v}_3^* \). Let \( \mu_\mathcal{Y} \) and \( \mu_{\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{Y}'} \) be the Haar measures on \( \mathcal{Y} \) and \( \mathcal{Y} \cap \mathcal{Y}' \), respectively, defined by

\[
d\mu_\mathcal{Y}(y) = dy_1 \cdots dy_4, \quad d\mu_{\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{Y}'}(y') = dy_1' dy_3'
\]

for \( y = y_1 \mathbf{e}_1^* + \cdots + y_4 \mathbf{e}_4^* \) and \( y' = y_1' \mathbf{v}_1^* + y_3' \mathbf{v}_3^* \). We define a Haar measure \( \mu_{\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{Y}'} \) on \( \mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{Y} \cap \mathcal{Y}' \) by

\[
\mu_{\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{Y}'} = |uJ|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\mu_\mathcal{Y}}{\mu_{\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{Y}'}}.
\]

We will see below that the partial Fourier transform with respect to this Haar measure is an isometry.
If \( x + y = x' + y' \), then we have

\[
\begin{align*}
x_1' &= \frac{1}{2} \left( x_1 + t_1 x_2 + \frac{t}{t_1} x_3 + t x_4 \right), \\
x_2' &= \frac{1}{2} \left( y_1 - \frac{1}{t} y_2 + \frac{t_1}{t} y_3 - \frac{1}{t_1} y_4 \right), \\
x_3' &= \frac{1}{2} \left( y_1 + \frac{1}{t} y_2 - \frac{t_1}{t} y_3 - \frac{1}{t_1} y_4 \right), \\
x_4' &= \frac{u}{2} \left( x_1 - t_1 x_2 - \frac{t}{t_1} x_3 + t x_4 \right),
end{align*}
\]

so that

\[
x_1'y_1' - x_2'y_2' - x_3'y_3' + x_4'y_4' = x_1y_1 + x_2y_2 + x_3y_3 + x_4y_4.
\]

Also, we have

\[
dx_1'dx_2'dy_1'dy_2' = |uJ| dx_1 \cdots dx_4, \quad dx_2'dx_3'dy_1'dy_4' = |uJ|^{-1} dy_1 \cdots dy_4.
\]

Hence, if \( \varphi'(x') = \prod_{i=1}^{4} \varphi_i'(x'_i) \) with \( \varphi_i' \in \mathcal{S}(F) \), then we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\varphi(x) &= |uJ|^{\frac{1}{2}} \varphi_1'(x'_1) \varphi_2'(x'_2) \int \int_{F} \varphi_3'(x'_3) \varphi_4'(x'_4) \psi(x'_2y'_2 + x'_3y'_3) dx'_2 dx'_3 \\
&= |uJ|^{\frac{1}{2}} \varphi_1'(x'_1) \varphi_2'(y'_2) \varphi_3'(y'_3) \varphi_4'(x'_4).
\end{align*}
\]

In particular, the partial Fourier transform with respect to \( \mu_{Y/Y'Y'} \) is an isometry.

5.3.3. The case \( J_1 \in (F^\times)^2 \) or \( J_2 \in (F^\times)^2 \). We only consider the case \( J_1 \in (F^\times)^2 \); we switch the roles of \( B_1 \) and \( B_2 \) in the other case. Recall that \( v_i, v'_i \) are given by (5.14). Note that \( Y \cap Y' = Fv_1^* + Fv_3^* \). Let \( \mu_Y \) and \( \mu_{Y/Y'} \) be the Haar measures on \( Y \) and \( Y \cap Y' \), respectively, defined by

\[
d\mu_Y(y) = dy_1 \cdots dy_4, \quad d\mu_{Y/Y'}(y') = dy'_1 dy'_3
\]

for \( y = ye_1^* + \cdots + ye_4^* \) and \( y' = y'e_1^* + y'e_3^* \). We define a Haar measure \( \mu_{Y/Y'Y'} \) on \( Y/Y \cap Y' \) by

\[
\mu_{Y/Y'Y'} = \left| s^2 u \right|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\mu_Y}{\mu_{Y/Y'}}.
\]

We will see below that the partial Fourier transform with respect to this Haar measure is an isometry.

If \( x + y = x' + y' \), then we have

\[
\begin{align*}
x_1' &= x_1 + t x_2, \\
x_2' &= y_1 - \frac{1}{t} y_2, \\
x_3' &= s \left( x_4 + \frac{1}{t} x_3 \right), \\
x_4' &= -\frac{s}{t} (y_4 - t y_3),
end{align*}
\]

so that

\[
x_1'y_1' - x_2'y_2' = x_1y_1 + x_2y_2, \quad x_3'y_3' - x_4'y_4' = x_3y_3 + x_4y_4.
\]

Also, we have

\[
dx_1'dx_3'dy_2'dy_4' = |J| dx_1 \cdots dx_4, \quad dx_2'dx_4' dy_3' = |J|^{-1} dy_1 \cdots dy_4.
\]
Hence, if $\varphi'(x') = \prod_{i=1}^{4} \varphi'_i(x'_i)$ with $\varphi'_i \in S(F)$, then we have

$$\varphi(x) = \left| \frac{J_2}{s^2 u} \right|^\frac{1}{2} \varphi_1'(x'_1) \varphi_2'(x'_2) \int_F \varphi'_3(x'_3) \varphi_4'(x'_4) \psi(x'_2 y'_2 + x'_4 y'_4) \, dx'_2 \, dx'_4$$

$$= \left| \frac{J_2}{s^2 u} \right|^\frac{1}{2} \varphi_1'(x'_1) \varphi_2'(y'_2) \varphi_3'(x'_3) \varphi_4'(y'_4).$$

In particular, the partial Fourier transform with respect to $\mu_{Y/Y\cap Y'}$ is an isometry.

5.4. Automorphic representations. Suppose that $F$ is a totally real number field. Let $\pi_B \cong \otimes_v \pi_{B,v}$ be an irreducible unitary cuspidal automorphic representation of $B^\times(\mathbb{A})$ satisfying the following conditions:

- For $v \in \Sigma_{B,\text{fin}}$, (ur) $\pi_{B,v} = \text{Ind}(\chi_v \otimes \mu_v)$ is a principal series representation, where $\chi_v$ and $\mu_v$ are unitary unramified; or
- (rps) $\pi_{B,v} = \text{Ind}(\chi_v \otimes \mu_v)$ is a principal series representation, where $\chi_v$ is unitary unramified and $\mu_v$ is unitary ramified; or
- (st) $\pi_{B,v} = \text{St} \otimes \chi_v$ is a twist of the Steinberg representation, where $\chi_v$ is unitary unramified.

- For $v \in \Sigma_{B,\text{fin}}$, (1d) $\pi_{B,v} = \chi_v \circ \nu_v$ is a 1-dimensional representation, where $\chi_v$ is unitary unramified.

- For $v \in \Sigma_{\infty} \setminus \Sigma_{B,\infty}$, (ds) $\pi_{B,v} = \text{DS}_{k_v}$ is the irreducible unitary (limit of) discrete series representation of weight $k_v$.

- For $v \in \Sigma_{B,\infty}$, (fd) $\pi_{B,v} = \text{Sym}^{k_v}$ is the irreducible unitary $(k_v + 1)$-dimensional representation.

We assume that $\pi_{B,v}$ is unramified for all finite places $v$ of $F$ such that $F_v$ is ramified or of residual characteristic 2. By Proposition 7.1 we may assume that the following conditions (which are relevant to the choice of the polarization $V_v = \mathcal{X}'_v \oplus \mathcal{Y}'_v$) are satisfied:

- If $v \notin \Sigma_B$, then $J \in (F_v^\times)^2$ except in the case (ur).
- If $v \in \Sigma_B$, then either $J_1 \in (F_v^\times)^2$ or $J_2 \in (F_v^\times)^2$.

In fact, Proposition 7.1 enables us to impose more precise ramification conditions as described in the following table:
\[ \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
& \pi & B & B_1, B_2 & E & u & F & J \\
\hline
ur & ur.p.s. & split & spl, spl & split & sq of unit & ur & integer \\
& & split & sq of unit & ram & sq of unit & & integers \\
& & inert & nonsq unit & ur & unit \cdot sq of int & & sqs of units \\
& & ramified & uniformizer & ur & sq of unit & & sqs of units \\
\hline
rps & r.p.s. & split & spl, spl & split & sq of unit & ur & sqs of units \\
\hline
st & St & split & spl, spl & split & sq of unit & ur & sqs of units \\
& & ram, ram & inert & nonsq unit & ur & sqs of units \\
\hline
1d & St & ramified & spl, ram & inert & nonsq unit & ur & sqs of units \\
& & ram, spl & inert & nonsq unit & ur & uniform \\
\hline
ds & d.s. & split & spl, spl & C & negative & R & positive \\
& & ram, ram & C & negative & R & positive \\
\hline
fd & d.s. & ramified & spl, ram & C & negative & R & negative \\
& & ram, spl & C & negative & R & negative \\
\hline
\end{array} \]

\[ \diamond \text{ All places above 2 fall into the case (ur) with } E \text{ being split.} \]
\[ \diamond \text{ In the case (ur) with } E \text{ being inert, we need to consider separately the case } J \in (F^\times)^2 \text{ and the case } J_1 \in (F^\times)^2 \text{ or } J_2 \in (F^\times)^2. \]

Here \( \pi \cong \otimes_v \pi_v \) is the Jacquet–Langlands transfer of \( \pi_B \) to \( \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}). \) These conditions will be very useful in the computation of the partial Fourier transform. From now on, we fix a place \( v \) of \( F \) and suppress the subscript \( v \) from the notation.

5.5. Schwartz functions on \( X'. \) In this subsection, we pick a Schwartz function \( \varphi' \in S(X') \) such that \( \langle \varphi', \varphi' \rangle = 1 \), together with maximal compact subgroups \( K, K_1, K_2 \) of \( B^\times, B_1^\times, B_2^\times \), respectively. Also, we study equivariance properties of \( \varphi' \) under the action of \( K \) and \( K_1 \times K_2 \), regarded as subgroups of \( \text{GU}(W) \cong B^\times \) and \( \text{GU}(V)^0 \cong (B_1^\times \times B_2^\times)/F^\times \), respectively.

We need to introduce some notation. For any set \( A \), let \( I_A \) denote the characteristic function of \( A \). If \( F \) is non-archimedean, then for any positive integer \( n \), we define a subalgebra \( R_n \) of \( M_2(F) \) by

\[ R_n = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(o) \mid c \in \varpi^n o \right\}. \]

Note that \( R_1 \) is an Iwahori subalgebra of \( M_2(F) \). If \( F = \mathbb{R} \), then we choose an isomorphism \( E \cong \mathbb{C} \) such that

\[ \frac{i}{\sqrt{-1}} > 0, \]

i.e., \( i = |u| \frac{i}{2} \sqrt{-1} \). Put

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial z^a} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \]

for \( z = x + yi \). For any integer \( k \), we define a character \( \chi_k \) of \( \mathbb{C}^\times \) by

\[ \chi_k(a) = \left( \frac{a}{\sqrt{\alpha^a \rho}} \right)^k. \]

\[ \ast \text{The ratio } J_1/J_2 \text{ is a square of a unit.} \]
Put
\[ H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \]

5.5.1. The case (ur).
5.5.1.1. The case when $E$ is split and $F$ is unramified. In this case, we have:

- $F$ is non-archimedean,
- $\psi$ is of order zero,
- $u = t^2$ for some $t \in \mathfrak{o}^\times$,
- $J, J_1, J_2 \in \mathfrak{o}$.

We define maximal orders $\mathfrak{o}_B, \mathfrak{o}_{B_1}, \mathfrak{o}_{B_2}$ in $B, B_1, B_2$, respectively, by

\[ \mathfrak{o}_B = i^{-1}(M_2(\mathfrak{o})), \quad \mathfrak{o}_{B_1} = i_1^{-1}(M_2(\mathfrak{o})), \quad \mathfrak{o}_{B_2} = i_2^{-1}(M_2(\mathfrak{o})), \]

where $i, i_1, i_2$ are the isomorphisms given by (5.1), (5.6). Put

\[ \mathcal{K} = \mathfrak{o}_B^\times, \quad \mathcal{K}_1 = \mathfrak{o}_{B_1}^\times, \quad \mathcal{K}_2 = \mathfrak{o}_{B_2}^\times. \]

We take the complete polarization $V = \mathfrak{X}' \oplus \mathfrak{Y}'$ and identify $\mathfrak{X}'$ with $V^\perp \cong M_2(F)$ as in 5.5.1. We define $\varphi' \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{X}')$ by $\varphi' = I_{M_2(\mathfrak{o})}$, i.e.,

\[ \varphi'(x) = I_\mathfrak{o}(x_1)I_\mathfrak{a}(x_2)I_\mathfrak{a}(x_3)I_\mathfrak{a}(x_4) \]

for $x = x_1 v_1 + \cdots + x_4 v_4$, where $v_1, \ldots, v_4$ is the basis of $\mathfrak{X}'$ given by (5.4). Then we have

\[ \omega_\psi(k, (k_1, k_2))\varphi' = \varphi' \]

for $k \in \mathcal{K}, k_1 \in \mathcal{K}_1, k_2 \in \mathcal{K}_2$ such that $\nu(k) = \nu(k_1)\nu(k_2)$.

5.5.1.2. The case when $E$ is split and $F$ is ramified. In this case, we have:

- $F$ is non-archimedean,
- $u = t^2$ for some $t \in \mathfrak{o}^\times$,
- $J, J_1, J_2 \in (\mathfrak{o}^\times)^2$.

Let $d$ be the non-negative integer such that $\psi$ is trivial on $\varpi^{-d}\mathfrak{o}$ but non-trivial on $\varpi^{-d-1}\mathfrak{o}$. We define maximal orders $\mathfrak{o}_B, \mathfrak{o}_{B_1}, \mathfrak{o}_{B_2}$ in $B, B_1, B_2$, respectively, by

\[ \mathfrak{o}_B = i^{-1}\left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \varpi^d \end{pmatrix} M_2(\mathfrak{o}) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \varpi^{-d} \end{pmatrix} \right), \quad \mathfrak{o}_{B_1} = i_1^{-1}(M_2(\mathfrak{o})), \quad \mathfrak{o}_{B_2} = i_2^{-1}(M_2(\mathfrak{o})), \]

where $i, i_1, i_2$ are the isomorphisms given by (5.1), (5.6). Put

\[ \mathcal{K} = \mathfrak{o}_B^\times, \quad \mathcal{K}_1 = \mathfrak{o}_{B_1}^\times, \quad \mathcal{K}_2 = \mathfrak{o}_{B_2}^\times. \]

We take the complete polarization $V = \mathfrak{X}' \oplus \mathfrak{Y}'$ and identify $\mathfrak{X}'$ with $V^\perp \cong M_2(F)$ as in 5.5.1. We define $\varphi' \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{X}')$ by $\varphi' = q^d \cdot I_{M_2(\mathfrak{o})}$, i.e.,

\[ \varphi'(x) = q^d \cdot I_\mathfrak{o}(x_1)I_\mathfrak{a}(x_2)I_\mathfrak{a}(x_3)I_\mathfrak{a}(x_4) \]

for $x = x_1 v_1 + \cdots + x_4 v_4$, where $v_1, \ldots, v_4$ is the basis of $\mathfrak{X}'$ given by (5.4). Then we have

\[ \omega_\psi(k, (k_1, k_2))\varphi' = \varphi' \]

for $k \in \mathcal{K}, k_1 \in \mathcal{K}_1, k_2 \in \mathcal{K}_2$ such that $\nu(k) = \nu(k_1)\nu(k_2)$.
5.5.1.3. The case when $E$ is inert and $J \in (F^\times)^2$. In this case, we have:

- $F$ is non-archimedean,
- $\psi$ is of order zero,
- $2 \in \mathfrak{o}^\times$,
- $u \in \mathfrak{o}^\times \prec (\mathfrak{o}^\times)^2$,
- $J = t^2$ for some $t \in \mathfrak{o}$,
- $J_1 \in s^2 \mathfrak{o}^\times$ for some $s \in \mathfrak{o}$,
- $J_2 \in \mathfrak{o}$.

We define maximal orders $\mathfrak{o}_B, \mathfrak{o}_{B_1}, \mathfrak{o}_{B_2}$ in $B, B_1, B_2$, respectively, by

$$\mathfrak{o}_B = i_1^{-1}(M_2(\mathfrak{o})), \quad \mathfrak{o}_{B_1} = \mathfrak{o} + \mathfrak{o} i + s \hat{j} + \mathfrak{o} \hat{i}, \quad \mathfrak{o}_{B_2} = i_2^{-1}(M_2(\mathfrak{o})), \quad \mathfrak{o}_{B_3} = i_2^{-1}(M_2(\mathfrak{o})).$$

where $i_1, i_2$ are the isomorphisms given by (5.7), (5.8). Put

$$\mathcal{K} = \mathfrak{o}_B^\times, \quad \mathcal{K}_1 = \mathfrak{o}_{B_1}^\times, \quad \mathcal{K}_2 = \mathfrak{o}_{B_2}^\times.$$

We take the complete polarization $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}' \oplus \mathcal{V}'$ as in (5.5.2) and identify $X'$ with $V^\perp \cong B_1$ as in (5.5.1.2).

We define $\psi' \in \mathcal{S}(X')$ by $\psi' = \mathbb{I}_{\mathfrak{o}_{B_1}}$, i.e.,

$$\psi'(x) = \mathbb{I}_\mathfrak{o}(x_1) \mathbb{I}_\mathfrak{o}(x_2) \mathbb{I}_\mathfrak{o}(x_3) \mathbb{I}_\mathfrak{o}(x_4)$$

for $x = x_1 v_1 + \cdots + x_4 v_4$, where $v_1, \ldots, v_4$ is the basis of $X'$ given by (5.8). Then we have

$$\omega_{\psi}(k, (k_1, k_2))\psi' = \psi'$$

for $k \in \mathcal{K}, k_1 \in \mathcal{K}_1, k_2 \in \mathcal{K}_2$ such that $\phi(k) = \phi(k_1)\phi(k_2)$.

5.5.1.4. The case when $E$ is inert, and $J_1 \in (F^\times)^2$ or $J_2 \in (F^\times)^2$. We only consider the case $J_1 \in (F^\times)^2$; we switch the roles of $B_1$ and $B_2$ in the other case. In this case, we have:

- $F$ is non-archimedean,
- $\psi$ is of order zero,
- $2 \in \mathfrak{o}^\times$,
- $u \in \mathfrak{o}^\times \prec (\mathfrak{o}^\times)^2$,
- $J_1 = t^2$ for some $t \in \mathfrak{o}$,
- $J_2 \in s^2 \mathfrak{o}^\times$ for some $s \in \mathfrak{o}$,
- $J_2 \in \mathfrak{o}$.

We define maximal orders $\mathfrak{o}_B, \mathfrak{o}_{B_1}, \mathfrak{o}_{B_2}$ in $B, B_1, B_2$, respectively, by

$$\mathfrak{o}_B = \mathfrak{o} + \mathfrak{o} i + s \hat{j} + \mathfrak{o} \hat{i}, \quad \mathfrak{o}_{B_1} = i_1^{-1}(M_2(\mathfrak{o})), \quad \mathfrak{o}_{B_2} = i_2^{-1}(\mathfrak{o})$$

where $i_1, i_2$ are the isomorphisms given by (5.12), (5.13). Put

$$\mathcal{K} = \mathfrak{o}_B^\times, \quad \mathcal{K}_1 = \mathfrak{o}_{B_1}^\times, \quad \mathcal{K}_2 = \mathfrak{o}_{B_2}^\times.$$

We take the complete polarization $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}' \oplus \mathcal{V}'$ and identify $X'$ with $W = B$ as in (5.5.1). We define $\psi' \in \mathcal{S}(X')$ by $\psi' = \mathbb{I}_{\mathfrak{o}_B}$, i.e.,

$$\psi'(x) = \mathbb{I}_\mathfrak{o}(x_1) \mathbb{I}_\mathfrak{o}(x_2) \mathbb{I}_\mathfrak{o}(x_3) \mathbb{I}_\mathfrak{o}(x_4)$$

for $x = x_1 v_1 + \cdots + x_4 v_4$, where $v_1, \ldots, v_4$ is the basis of $X'$ given by (5.14). Then we have

$$\omega_{\psi}(k, (k_1, k_2))\psi' = \psi'$$

for $k \in \mathcal{K}, k_1 \in \mathcal{K}_1, k_2 \in \mathcal{K}_2$ such that $\phi(k) = \phi(k_1)\phi(k_2)$.
5.5.1.5. The case when $E$ is ramified. In this case, we have:

- $F$ is non-archimedean,
- $\psi$ is of order zero,
- $2 \in \mathfrak{o}^\times$,
- $u \in \varpi \mathfrak{o}^\times$,
- $J = t^2$ for some $t \in \mathfrak{o}^\times$,
- $J_1 = t_1^2$ for some $t_1 \in \mathfrak{o}^\times$,
- $J_2 \in (\mathfrak{o}^\times)^2$.

We define maximal orders $\mathfrak{o}_B, \mathfrak{o}_{B_1}, \mathfrak{o}_{B_2}$ in $B, B_1, B_2$, respectively, by

$$\mathfrak{o}_B = i^{-1}(M_2(\mathfrak{o})), \quad \mathfrak{o}_{B_1} = i_1^{-1}(M_2(\mathfrak{o})), \quad \mathfrak{o}_{B_2} = i_2^{-1}(M_2(\mathfrak{o})).$$

where $i, i_1, i_2$ are the isomorphisms given by (5.7), (5.11). Put

$$\mathcal{K} = \mathfrak{o}_B^\times, \quad \mathcal{K}_1 = \mathfrak{o}_{B_1}^\times, \quad \mathcal{K}_2 = \mathfrak{o}_{B_2}^\times.$$

We take the complete polarization $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{X}' \oplus \mathcal{Y}'$ as in [5.1.2] and identify $\mathcal{X}'$ with $V^\dagger \cong M_2(F)$ as in [5.1.2]. We define $\varphi' \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{X}')$ by $\varphi' = \mathfrak{l}_M(\mathfrak{o})_i$, i.e.,

$$\varphi'(x) = \mathfrak{l}_\varpi(x_1)\mathfrak{l}_\varpi(x_2)\mathfrak{l}_\varpi(x_3)\mathfrak{l}_\varpi(x_4)$$

for $x = x_1v_1 + \cdots + x_4v_4$, where $v_1, \ldots, v_4$ is the basis of $\mathcal{X}'$ given by (5.10). Then we have

$$\omega_{\varphi}(k, (k_1, k_2))\varphi' = \varphi'$$

for $k \in \mathcal{K}$, $k_1 \in \mathcal{K}_1$, $k_2 \in \mathcal{K}_2$ such that $\nu(k) = \nu(k_1)\nu(k_2)$.

5.5.2. The case (rps). In this case, we have:

- $F$ is non-archimedean,
- $\psi$ is of order zero,
- $2 \in \mathfrak{o}^\times$,
- $u = t^2$ for some $t \in \mathfrak{o}^\times$,
- $J, J_1, J_2 \in (\mathfrak{o}^\times)^2$.

We define maximal orders $\mathfrak{o}_B, \mathfrak{o}_{B_1}, \mathfrak{o}_{B_2}$ in $B, B_1, B_2$ and subalgebras $\mathfrak{o}_{B,n}, \mathfrak{o}_{B_1,n}, \mathfrak{o}_{B_2,n}$ of $B, B_1, B_2$, respectively, by

$$\mathfrak{o}_B = i^{-1}(M_2(\mathfrak{o})), \quad \mathfrak{o}_{B_1} = i_1^{-1}(M_2(\mathfrak{o})), \quad \mathfrak{o}_{B_2} = i_2^{-1}(M_2(\mathfrak{o})),
\mathfrak{o}_{B,n} = i^{-1}(R_n), \quad \mathfrak{o}_{B_1,n} = i_1^{-1}(R_n), \quad \mathfrak{o}_{B_2,n} = i_2^{-1}(R_n),$$

where $i, i_1, i_2$ are the isomorphisms given by (5.1), (5.6). We define orientations

$$\mathfrak{o}_B : \mathfrak{o}_{B,n} \to \mathfrak{o}/\varpi^n\mathfrak{o}, \quad \mathfrak{o}_{B_1} : \mathfrak{o}_{B_1,n} \to \mathfrak{o}/\varpi^n\mathfrak{o}, \quad \mathfrak{o}_{B_2} : \mathfrak{o}_{B_2,n} \to \mathfrak{o}/\varpi^n\mathfrak{o}$$

by

$$\mathfrak{o}_B(i^{-1}(a \delta c \beta)) = d \bmod \varpi^n\mathfrak{o}, \quad \mathfrak{o}_{B_1}(i^{-1}(a \delta c \beta)) = d \bmod \varpi^n\mathfrak{o}, \quad \mathfrak{o}_{B_2}(i_2^{-1}(a \delta c \beta)) = a \bmod \varpi^n\mathfrak{o}.$$

Put

$$\mathcal{K} = \mathfrak{o}_B^\times, \quad \mathcal{K}_1 = \mathfrak{o}_{B_1}^\times, \quad \mathcal{K}_2 = \mathfrak{o}_{B_2}^\times,
\mathcal{K}_n = \mathfrak{o}_{B,n}^\times, \quad \mathcal{K}_{1,n} = \mathfrak{o}_{B_1,n}^\times, \quad \mathcal{K}_{2,n} = \mathfrak{o}_{B_2,n}^\times.$$

We take the complete polarization $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{X}' \oplus \mathcal{Y}'$ and identify $\mathcal{X}'$ with $V^\dagger \cong M_2(F)$ as in [5.1.3]. For a unitary ramified character $\mu$ of $F^\times$ of conductor $q^n$, i.e., trivial on $1 + \varpi^n\mathfrak{o}$ but non-trivial on $1 + \varpi^{n-1}\mathfrak{o}$ (resp. $\varpi^\times$) if $n > 1$ (resp. if $n = 1$), we define $\varphi' = \varphi'_\mu \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{X}')$ by

$$\varphi'(x) = q^{\frac{n+1}{2}(q-1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \cdot \mathfrak{l}_\varpi(x_1)\mathfrak{l}_\varpi(x_2)\mathfrak{l}_\varpi(x_3)\mathfrak{l}_\varpi(x_4)\mu(x_4)$$
for \( x = x_1v_1 + \cdots + x_4v_4 \), where \( v_1, \ldots, v_4 \) is the basis of \( \mathcal{X}' \) given by (5.4). Then we have
\[
\omega_\psi(k, (k_1, k_2))\varphi' = \mu(k)^{-1}\mu(k_1)\mu(k_2)^{-1}\mu(\nu(k_2))\varphi'
\]
for \( k \in \mathcal{K}_n, k_1 \in \mathcal{K}_{1,n}, k_2 \in \mathcal{K}_{2,n} \) such that \( \nu(k) = \nu(k_1)\nu(k_2) \), where \( \mu \) is the character of \( R_n^x \) (and those of \( \mathcal{K}_n, \mathcal{K}_{1,n}, \mathcal{K}_{2,n} \) via \( i_1, i_2 \)) defined by
\[
\mu(k) := \mu(d)
\]
for \( k = (\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}) \).

5.5.3. The case \((st)\).

5.5.3.1. The case when \( B_1 \) and \( B_2 \) are split. In this case, we have:

- \( F \) is non-archimedean,
- \( \psi \) is of order zero,
- \( 2 \in \mathfrak{o}^\times \),
- \( u = t^2 \) for some \( t \in \mathfrak{o}^\times \),
- \( J, J_1, J_2 \in (\mathfrak{o}^\times)^2 \).

We define maximal orders \( \mathfrak{o}_B, \mathfrak{o}_{B_1}, \mathfrak{o}_{B_2} \) in \( B, B_1, B_2 \) and Iwahori subalgebras \( \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2 \) of \( B, B_1, B_2 \), respectively, by
\[
\mathfrak{o}_B = i^{-1}(M_2(\mathfrak{o})), \quad \mathfrak{o}_{B_1} = i_1^{-1}(M_2(\mathfrak{o})), \quad \mathfrak{o}_{B_2} = i_2^{-1}(M_2(\mathfrak{o})),
\]
\[
\mathcal{I} = i^{-1}(R_1), \quad \mathcal{I}_1 = i_1^{-1}(R_1), \quad \mathcal{I}_2 = i_2^{-1}(R_1),
\]
where \( i, i_1, i_2 \) are the isomorphisms given by (5.1), (5.6). Put
\[
\mathcal{K} = \mathfrak{o}_B^\times, \quad \mathcal{K}_1 = \mathfrak{o}_{B_1}^\times, \quad \mathcal{K}_2 = \mathfrak{o}_{B_2}^\times,
\]
\[
\mathcal{I} = \mathfrak{I}^\times, \quad \mathcal{I}_1 = \mathfrak{I}_1^\times, \quad \mathcal{I}_2 = \mathfrak{I}_2^\times.
\]

We take the complete polarization \( \mathcal{V} = \mathcal{X}' \oplus \mathcal{Y}' \) and identify \( \mathcal{X}' \) with \( \mathcal{V}^\perp \cong M_2(F) \) as in §5.1.1. We define \( \varphi' \in S(\mathcal{X}') \) by
\[
\varphi'(x) = q^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \mathcal{I}_0(x_1)\mathcal{I}(x_2)\mathcal{I}_p(x_3)\mathcal{I}_q(x_4)
\]
for \( x = x_1v_1 + \cdots + x_4v_4 \), where \( v_1, \ldots, v_4 \) is the basis of \( \mathcal{X}' \) given by (5.4). Then we have
\[
\omega_\psi(k, (k_1, k_2))\varphi' = \varphi'
\]
for \( k \in \mathcal{I}, k_1 \in \mathcal{I}_1, k_2 \in \mathcal{I}_2 \) such that \( \nu(k) = \nu(k_1)\nu(k_2) \).

5.5.3.2. The case when \( B_1 \) and \( B_2 \) are ramified. In this case, we have:

- \( F \) is non-archimedean,
- \( \psi \) is of order zero,
- \( 2 \in \mathfrak{o}^\times \),
- \( u \in \mathfrak{o}^\times \setminus (\mathfrak{o}^\times)^2 \),
- \( J = t^2 \) for some \( t \in \varpi\mathfrak{o}^\times \),
- \( J_1, J_2 \in \varpi\mathfrak{o}^\times \).

We define a maximal order \( \mathfrak{o}_B \) in \( B \) and an Iwahori subalgebra \( \mathcal{I} \) of \( B \) by
\[
\mathfrak{o}_B = i^{-1}(M_2(\mathfrak{o})), \quad \mathcal{I} = i^{-1}(R_1),
\]
where \( i \) is the isomorphism given by (5.7). Let \( \mathfrak{o}_{B_1} \) and \( \mathfrak{o}_{B_2} \) be the unique maximal orders in \( B_1 \) and \( B_2 \), respectively. Then we have
\[
\mathfrak{o}_{B_1} = \mathfrak{o} + \mathfrak{o}i + \mathfrak{o}j_1 + \mathfrak{o}ij_1, \quad \mathfrak{o}_{B_2} = i_2^{-1}(\mathfrak{o}_{B_1}),
\]
where $i_2$ is the isomorphism given by (5.10). Put
\[ K = o_B^\times, \quad I = J^\times, \quad K_1 = o_{B_1}^\times, \quad K_2 = o_{B_2}^\times. \]

Put $s = 1$. We take the complete polarization $V = X' \oplus Y'$ as in [5.1.2] and identify $X'$ with $V^\dagger \cong B_1$ as in [5.1.2.1]. We define $\varphi' \in S(X')$ by $\varphi' = q^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot I_{o_{B_1}}$, i.e.,
\[ \varphi'(x) = q^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot I_o(x_1)I_o(x_2)I_o(x_3)I_o(x_4) \]
for $x = x_1v_1 + \cdots + x_4v_4$, where $v_1, \ldots, v_4$ is the basis of $X'$ given by (5.8). Then we have
\[ \omega_\psi(k, (k_1, k_2))\varphi' = \varphi' \]
for $k \in I, k_1 \in K_1, k_2 \in K_2$ such that $\nu(k) = \nu(k_1)\nu(k_2)$.

5.5.4. The case (1d). We only consider the case $J_1 \in (F^\times)^2$; we switch the roles of $B_1$ and $B_2$ in the other case. In this case, we have:

- $F$ is non-archimedean,
- $\psi$ is of order zero,
- $I \in o^\times$,
- $u \in o^\times \subset (o^\times)^2$,
- $J_1 = t^2$ for some $t \in o^\times$,
- $J, J_2 \in o^\times$.

We define a maximal order $o_{B_1}$ in $B_1$ and an Iwahori subalgebra $I_1$ of $B_1$ by
\[ o_{B_1} = i_1^{-1}(M_2(o)), \quad I_1 = i_1^{-1}\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array}\right) R_1 \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array}\right)\right), \]
where $i_1$ is the isomorphism given by (5.12). Let $o_B$ and $o_{B_2}$ be the unique maximal orders in $B$ and $B_2$, respectively. Then we have
\[ o_B = o + oI + oJ + oIJ, \quad o_{B_2} = i_2^{-1}(o_B), \]
where $i_2$ is the isomorphism given by (5.13). Put
\[ K = o_B^\times, \quad K_1 = o_{B_1}^\times, \quad I = J^\times, \quad K_2 = o_{B_2}^\times. \]

Put $s = 1$. We take the complete polarization $V = X' \oplus Y'$ and identify $X'$ with $W = B$ as in [5.1.3]. We define $\varphi' \in S(X')$ by $\varphi' = q^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot I_{o_{B_1}}$, i.e.,
\[ \varphi'(x) = q^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot I_o(x_1)I_o(x_2)I_o(x_3)I_o(x_4) \]
for $x = x_1v_1 + \cdots + x_4v_4$, where $v_1, \ldots, v_4$ is the basis of $X'$ given by (5.14). Then we have
\[ \omega_\psi(k, (k_1, k_2))\varphi' = \varphi' \]
for $k \in K, k_1 \in I_1, k_2 \in K_2$ such that $\nu(k) = \nu(k_1)\nu(k_2)$.

5.5.5. The case (ds).
5.5.5.1. The case when $B_1$ and $B_2$ are split. In this case, we have:
- $F = \mathbb{R}$,
- $\psi(x) = e^{2\pi \sqrt{-1} x}$,
- $u < 0$,
- $J = t^2$ for some $t \in F^\times$,
- $J_1 = s^2$ for some $s \in F^\times$,
- $J_2 > 0$.

Put $v = |u|^\frac{4}{3}$. We take the complete polarization $V = \mathbb{X}' \oplus \mathbb{Y}'$ as in (5.1.2) and identify $\mathbb{X}'$ with $V^\dagger \cong B_1$ as in (5.1.2.1). For a non-negative integer $k$, we define $\varphi' = \varphi_k' \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{X}')$ by
\[
\varphi'(x) = c_k^{-\frac{4}{3}} \cdot (x_2 - x_1)^k \cdot e^{-\frac{2}{3}(x_2^2 + x_1^2)}
\]
for $x = x_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + x_4 \mathbf{v}_4$, where $\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_4$ is the basis of $\mathbb{X}'$ given by (5.8) and
\[
c_k = \frac{k!|u|^{|\frac{1}{2}k+1}}{4\pi^k}.\]

**Lemma 5.1.** We have $\langle \varphi', \varphi' \rangle = 1$ and
\[
\omega_\psi(\alpha, (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)) \varphi' = \chi_k(\alpha)^{-1} \chi_k(\alpha_1) \chi_k(\alpha_2) \varphi'
\]
for $\alpha, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in E^\times$ such that $\nu(\alpha) = \nu(\alpha_1) \nu(\alpha_2)$.

**Proof.** Recall that the Haar measure on $\mathbb{X}'$ is given by $dx = \frac{|u|}{4} \cdot dx_1 \cdots dx_4$. We have
\[
\frac{|u|}{4} \int_{F_4} (x_2^2 - ux_1^2)^k e^{-\frac{2}{3}(x_2^2 - ux_1^2)} dx_1 \cdots dx_4
= \frac{|u|}{4\pi^k} \cdot (\frac{2}{3})^k \int_{F_4} (x_2^2 + x_1^2)^k e^{-(x_2^2 + x_1^2)} dx_1 \cdots dx_4
= \frac{|u|^{|\frac{4}{3}k+1}|}{4\pi^k} \cdot (2\pi)^2 \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty r_1^{2k} e^{-(r_1^2 + r_2^2)} r_1 dr_1 r_2 dr_2
= \frac{|u|^{|\frac{4}{3}k+1}|}{4\pi^k} \cdot \Gamma(k + 1).
\]
and hence $\langle \varphi', \varphi' \rangle = 1$. If we write $z_1 = x_2 + x_1 i$ and $z_2 = x_4 + x_3 i$, then
\[
\varphi'(x) = c_k^{-\frac{4}{3}} \cdot (z_1^2)^k \cdot e^{-\frac{2}{3}(|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2)}\]
and it is easy to see that
\[
\omega_\psi(\nu_\psi, (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)) \varphi' = \chi_k(\alpha_1) \chi_k(\alpha_2) \varphi'
\]
for $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in E^\times$ and $\nu = \nu(\alpha_1) \nu(\alpha_2)$. On the other hand, we have
\[
\omega_\psi(H) \varphi'(x) = \left( 2 + x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \cdots + x_4 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_4} \right) \varphi'(x),
\]
\[
\omega_\psi(X) \varphi'(x) = \frac{\pi \sqrt{-1}}{2} (ux_1^2 - x_2^2 - ux_3^2 + x_4^2) \varphi'(x),
\]
\[
\omega_\psi(Y) \varphi'(x) = -\frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{-1}} \left( \frac{1}{u} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_3^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_4^2} \right) \varphi'(x),
\]
where we identity $\text{GU}(W) \cong B^\times$ with $\text{GL}_2(F)$ via the isomorphism $i$ given by (5.7). Thus, noting that
\[
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_1 \partial z_1^*} = \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} - \frac{1}{u} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} \right), \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_2 \partial z_2^*} = \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_3^2} - \frac{1}{u} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} \right),
\]
we see that
\[
\omega_\varphi(v^{-1}x - v\mathbf{y})\varphi' = -\sqrt{-1}k\varphi'.
\]
This implies that
\[
\omega_\varphi(\alpha, (1, 1))\varphi' = \chi_k(\alpha)^{-1}\varphi'
\]
for $\alpha \in E^1$ since
\[
i(\alpha) = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ bu & a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & v \\ v & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & v^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = \exp((v^{-1}x - v\mathbf{y})\theta)
\]
if we write $\alpha = a + bi = e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}$. This completes the proof. \hfill \square

5.5.5.2. The case when $B_1$ and $B_2$ are ramified. In this case, we have:

- $F = \mathbb{R}$,
- $\psi(x) = e^{2\pi \sqrt{-1}x}$,
- $u < 0$,
- $J = t^2$ for some $t \in F^\times$,
- $J_1 = -s^2$ for some $s \in F^\times$,
- $J_2 < 0$.

Put $v = |u|^\frac{1}{2}$. We take the complete polarization $V = \mathcal{X}' \oplus \mathcal{Y}'$ as in (5.1.2) and identify $\mathcal{X}'$ with $V^\dagger \cong B_1$ as in (5.1.2.1). For a non-negative integer $k$, we define $\varphi' = \varphi'_{k} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{X}')$ by
\[
\varphi'(x) = c_k^{-1} \cdot (x_2 - x_1i)^k \cdot e^{-\frac{\pi}{4}(x_2^2 - ux_1^2 + x_3^2 - ux_4^2)}
\]
for $x = x_1v_1 + \cdots + x_4v_4$, where $v_1, \ldots, v_4$ is the basis of $\mathcal{X}'$ given by (5.8) and
\[
c_k = \frac{k!|u|^\frac{1}{2} + 1}{4\pi^k}.
\]

**Lemma 5.2.** We have $\langle \varphi', \varphi' \rangle = 1$ and
\[
\omega_\varphi(\alpha, (\alpha_1, \alpha_2))\varphi' = \chi_{k+s}(\alpha)^{-1}\chi_k(\alpha_1)\chi_k(\alpha_2)\varphi'
\]
for $\alpha, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in E^\times$ such that $\nu(\alpha) = \nu(\alpha_1)\nu(\alpha_2)$.

**Proof.** The proof is the same as that of Lemma 5.1 and we omit the details. Note that, in this case, we have
\[
\omega_\varphi(\mathbf{H})\varphi'(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 + x_1 & \partial & \cdots & \partial & \partial & \cdots & \partial & \partial \\ x_1 & & & & & & & & \end{pmatrix} \varphi'(x),
\]
\[
\omega_\varphi(\mathbf{X})\varphi'(x) = \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{-1}}(x_2^2 - x_1^2 + ux_3^2 + x_4^2)\varphi'(x),
\]
\[
\omega_\varphi(\mathbf{Y})\varphi'(x) = -\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \left( \frac{1}{u} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} + \frac{1}{u} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_3^2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_4^2} \right) \varphi'(x).
\]
\hfill \square
5.5.6. The case (fd). We only consider the case $J_1 \in (F^\times)^2$; we switch the roles of $B_1$ and $B_2$ in the other case. In this case, we have:

- $F = \mathbb{R}$,
- $\psi(x) = e^{2\pi \sqrt{-1}x}$,
- $u < 0$,
- $J_1 = t^2$ for some $t \in F^\times$,
- $J = -s^2$ for some $s \in F^\times$,
- $J_2 < 0$.

Put $v = |u|^{\frac{1}{2}}$. We take the complete polarization $V = X' \oplus Y'$ and identify $X'$ with $W = B$ as in §5.1.3.

For a non-negative integer $k$, we define $\varphi' = \varphi_k' \in S(X')$ by

$$\varphi'(x) = c_k \cdot \left( 1 - x^2 \right)^k e^{-\frac{|v|}{2} (x_1^2 + 2x_2^2 + x_3^2 + 2x_4^2)}$$

for $x = x_1 v_1 + \ldots + x_4 v_4$, where $v_1, \ldots, v_4$ is the basis of $X'$ given by (5.14) and

$$c_k = \frac{k!}{\pi^k |u|^{\frac{k}{2} + 1}}.$$

**Lemma 5.3.** We have $\langle \varphi', \varphi' \rangle = 1$ and

$$\omega_{\psi}(\alpha, (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)) \varphi' = \chi_k(\alpha)^{-1} \chi_{k+2}(\alpha_1) \chi_k(\alpha_2) \varphi'$$

for $\alpha, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in E^\times$ such that $\nu(\alpha) = \nu(\alpha_1) \nu(\alpha_2)$.

**Proof.** Recall that the Haar measure on $X'$ is given by $dx = \frac{1}{|u|} dx_1 \cdots dx_4$. We have

$$\frac{1}{|u|} \int_{F^4} \left( x_1^2 - \frac{1}{u} x_2^2 \right)^k e^{-\frac{|v|}{2} (x_1^2 + 2x_2^2 + x_3^2 + 2x_4^2)} dx_1 \cdots dx_4$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi^2 |u|} \int_{F^4} (x_1^2 + x_2^2)^k e^{-\frac{|v|}{2} (x_1^2 + 2x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2)} dx_1 \cdots dx_4$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi^k |u|^{\frac{k}{2} + 1}} \cdot (2\pi)^2 \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty r_1^{k+1} e^{-(r_1^2 + r_2^2)} r_1 \ dr_1 \ dr_2$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi^k |u|^{\frac{k}{2} + 1}} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty r_1^{k} e^{-(r_1 + r_2)} \ dr_1 \ dr_2$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi^k |u|^{\frac{k}{2} + 1}} \Gamma(k + 1)$$

and hence $\langle \varphi', \varphi' \rangle = 1$. If we write $z_1 = x_1 + x_2 \frac{1}{u}$ and $z_2 = x_3 + x_4 \frac{1}{u}$, then

$$\varphi'(x) = c_k \cdot \left( z_1^2 \right)^k e^{-\frac{|v|}{2} (z_1^2 + z_2^2)}$$

and it is easy to see that

$$\omega_{\psi}(\alpha, (\nu^+, \alpha_2)) \varphi' = \chi_k(\alpha)^{-1} \chi_k(\alpha_2) \varphi'$$
for \( \alpha, \alpha_2 \in E^\times \) and \( \nu = \nu(\alpha)\nu(\alpha_2)^{-1} \). On the other hand, we have
\[
\omega_\psi(H)\phi'(x) = -\left(2 + x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \cdots + x_4 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_4}\right)\phi'(x),
\]
\[
\omega_\psi(X)\phi'(x) = \frac{1}{4\pi^{\frac{1}{2}} - 1} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_3^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_4^2}\right)\phi'(x),
\]
\[
\omega_\psi(Y)\phi'(x) = -\pi\sqrt{-1} \left(x_1^2 - \frac{1}{u} x_2^2 + x_3^2 - \frac{1}{u} x_4^2\right)\phi'(x),
\]
where we identity \( GU(V)^0 \cong (B_1^\times \times B_2^\times) / F^\times \) with a subgroup of \( GL_2(B) \) via the isomorphisms \( i_1, i_2 \) given by (5.12), (5.13). Thus, noting that
\[
\mathcal{H}(\mu, \gamma) \in S(G) \text{ satisfies the same equivariance properties as } \phi \text{ and hence we write }
\]
\[
\omega_\psi(2v^{-1}x - 2^{-1}v\gamma)\phi' = \sqrt{-1}(k + 2)\phi'.
\]
This implies that
\[
\omega_\psi(1, (\alpha, 1))\phi' = \chi_{k+2}(\alpha)\phi'
\]
for \( \alpha \in E^1 \) since
\[
i_1(\alpha) = \left(\frac{a}{b}, \frac{2b}{a}\right) = \left(1, 2^{-1}v\right) \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2v^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = \exp((2v^{-1}x - 2^{-1}v\gamma)\theta)
\]
if we write \( \alpha = a + bi = e^{x - 79} \). This completes the proof. \( \square \)

5.6. Schwartz functions on X. Let \( \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(X) \) be the partial Fourier transform of the Schwartz function \( \varphi' \in \mathcal{S}(X') \) given in (5.5). (We also write \( \varphi_\mu \) and \( \varphi_k \) for the partial Fourier transforms of \( \varphi'_\mu \) and \( \varphi'_k \), respectively, to indicate the dependence on a unitary ramified character \( \mu \) in the case (rps) and on a non-negative integer \( k \) in the cases (ds), (fd).) Then we have
\[
(\varphi, \varphi) = \langle \varphi', \varphi' \rangle = 1.
\]
Also, since the partial Fourier transform is a \( G(U(V)^0 \times U(W)) \)-equivariant map, \( \varphi \) satisfies the same equivariance properties as \( \varphi' \). In this subsection, we compute \( \varphi \) explicitly.

We need to introduce more notation. Put \( \kappa_1 = 1 \) and \( \kappa_2 = -J_1 \). We define a quadratic \( F \)-algebra \( K \) by
\[
K = F + Fj.
\]
We write
\[
x = e_1 \cdot z_1 + e_2 \cdot z_2 = x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2 + x_3 e_3 + x_4 e_4 \in X, \quad z_4 = \alpha_t + \beta_t j \in K,
\]
so that
\[
\alpha_1 = x_1, \quad \beta_1 = x_4, \quad \alpha_2 = x_2, \quad \beta_2 = \frac{1}{J_1} x_3.
\]
Recall that the Weil index \( \gamma_F(\psi) \) is an 8th root of unity such that
\[
\int_F \phi(x) \psi(x^2) \, dx = \gamma_F(\psi) |2|^{-\frac{1}{4}} \int_F \tilde{\phi}(x) \psi \left(-\frac{x^2}{4}\right) \, dx
\]
for all \( \phi \in \mathcal{S}(F) \), where \( \tilde{\phi} \) is the Fourier transform of \( \phi \) with respect to \( \psi \) and \( dx \) is the self-dual Haar measure on \( F \) with respect to \( \psi \). For any non-negative integer \( n \), let \( H_n(x) \) denote the Hermite polynomial defined by
\[
H_n(x) = (-1)^n e^{x^2} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} \left( e^{-x^2} \right).
\]
5.6.1. The case (ur).

5.6.1.1. The case when $E$ is split and $F$ is unramified. We use the notation of §5.5.1. By the partial Fourier transform given in §5.3.1 we have $\varphi(x) = |2|^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^{2} \varphi_{i}(x_{i})$, where

$$\varphi_{i}(x_{i}) = \frac{2a_{i}}{b_{i}} \psi \left( \frac{a_{i}}{2} x_{i}^{2} \right) \int_{o} \psi \left( \frac{a_{i}}{b_{i}} (x'_{i})^{2} - \frac{2a_{i}}{b_{i}} x_{i} x'_{i} \right) dx'_{i}.$$  

**Lemma 5.4.** Assume that $\psi$ is of order zero. Put

$$I(a, b) = \int_{o} \psi(ax^{2} + bx) dx$$

for $a, b \in F$.

(i) We have

$$I(a, b) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{L}_{a}(b) & \text{if } a \in o, \\ \psi(-\frac{a^{2}}{4a}) \gamma_F(a\psi)|2a|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{L}_{a}(\frac{b}{2a}) & \text{if } 4a \notin p, \end{cases}$$

where $a\psi$ is the non-trivial character of $F$ given by $(a\psi)(x) = \psi(ax)$.

(ii) If $F = \mathbb{Q}_{2}$ and $2a \in o^{\times}$, then we have

$$I(a, b) = \mathbb{L}_{a} \left( \frac{b}{2a} \right).$$

**Proof.** If $a \in o$, then we have $I(a, b) = \mathbb{L}_{a}(b) = \mathbb{L}_{o}(b)$. If $2a \notin p$, then we change the variable $x \mapsto x + \frac{y}{2a}$ with $y \in o$ to get

$$I(a, b) = \int_{o} \psi \left( a \left( x + \frac{y}{2a} \right)^{2} + b \left( x + \frac{y}{2a} \right) \right) dx$$

$$= \psi \left( \frac{y^{2}}{4a} + \frac{by}{2a} \right) \int_{o} \psi(ax^{2} + xy + bx) dx$$

$$= \psi \left( \frac{y^{2}}{4a} + \frac{by}{2a} \right) I(a, b).$$

Assume that $4a \notin p$. Then we have $I(a, b) = \psi \left( \frac{by}{2a} \right) I(a, b)$ for all $y \in o$, so that $I(a, b) = 0$ unless $\frac{b}{2a} \in o$. If $\frac{b}{2a} \in o$, then we have

$$I(a, b) = \int_{o} \psi \left( a \left( x + \frac{b}{2a} \right)^{2} - \frac{b^{2}}{4a} \right) dx = \psi \left( \frac{b^{2}}{4a} \right) \int_{o} \psi(ax^{2}) dx.$$  

On the other hand, by definition, we have

$$\int_{F} \phi(x) \psi(ax^{2}) dx = \gamma_F(a\psi)|2a|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{F} \phi(x) \psi \left( -\frac{x^{2}}{4a} \right) dx$$

for all $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(F)$. Hence we have

$$\int_{o} \psi(ax^{2}) dx = \gamma_F(a\psi)|2a|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{o} \psi \left( -\frac{x^{2}}{4a} \right) dx = \gamma_F(a\psi)|2a|^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$  

This proves (i).

Assume that $F = \mathbb{Q}_{2}$ and $2a \in o^{\times}$. As above, we have $I(a, b) = \psi \left( \frac{by}{2a} \right) I(a, b)$ for all $y \in 2o$, so that $I(a, b) = 0$ unless $\frac{b}{2a} \in o$. Also, we have

$$I(a, b) = \psi \left( \frac{b^{2}}{4a} \right) \int_{o} \psi(ax^{2}) dx.$$
if \( \frac{b}{a} \in 2\mathfrak{o} \). Changing the variable \( x \mapsto x + 1 \), we have

\[
\int_0^b \psi(ax^2) \, dx = \int_0^{b+1} \psi((a+2)x + a) \, dx = \psi(a) \int_0^{b+1} \psi(ax^2) \, dx.
\]

Since \( F = \mathbb{Q}_2 \), \( \psi \) is of order zero, and \( \psi(a)^2 = \psi(2a) = 1 \), we must have \( \psi(a) = -1 \). Hence we have

\[
\int_0^b \psi(ax^2) \, dx = 0,
\]

so that \( I(a, b) = 0 \) if \( \frac{b}{a} \in 2\mathfrak{o} \). Assume that \( \frac{b}{a} \in \mathfrak{o}^\times \). Since \( F = \mathbb{Q}_2 \), we may write \( a = y + \frac{1}{2} \) and \( \frac{b}{2a} = z + \frac{1}{2} \) for some \( y, z \in \mathfrak{o} \). Then we have

\[
I(a, b) = \int_0^b \psi \left( x^2 \left( y + \frac{1}{2} \right) + 2x \left( y + \frac{1}{2} \right) \left( z + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) \, dx = \int_0^b \psi \left( \frac{1}{2} x^2 + \frac{1}{2} x \right) \, dx = 1
\]

since \( \frac{1}{2}x(x+1) \in \mathfrak{o} \) for all \( x \in \mathfrak{o} \). This proves (ii).

By Lemma 5.4, we have

\[
I \left( \frac{a_i}{b_i^2}, \frac{-2a_i}{b_i} x_i \right) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{I}_\mathfrak{o} \left( \frac{2a_i}{b_i} x_i \right) & \text{if } \frac{a_i}{b_i} \in \mathfrak{o}, \\ \mathbb{I}_\mathfrak{o} \left( \psi(-a_i x_i^2) \gamma_F(a_i \psi) \right) & \text{if } \frac{a_i}{b_i} \notin \mathfrak{p}, \end{cases}
\]

so that

\[
\varphi_1(x_1) = |2| \cdot \psi \left( \frac{t}{2} x_1^2 \right) \cdot \mathbb{I}_\mathfrak{o}(2x_1),
\]
\[
\varphi_2(x_2) = |2J_1| \cdot \psi \left( -\frac{tJ_1}{2} x_2^2 \right) \cdot \mathbb{I}_\mathfrak{o}(2J_1 x_2),
\]
\[
\varphi_3(x_3) = \gamma_F(-tJ_2 \psi) \cdot |2J_2| \cdot \psi \left( \frac{tJ_2}{2} x_3^2 \right) \cdot \mathbb{I}_\mathfrak{o}(2J_2 x_3),
\]
\[
\varphi_4(x_4) = \gamma_F(tJ_4 \psi) \cdot |2J_4| \cdot \psi \left( -\frac{tJ_4}{2} x_4^2 \right) \cdot \mathbb{I}_\mathfrak{o}(2J_4 x_4).
\]

We have

\[
\gamma_F(-tJ_2 \psi) \cdot \gamma_F(tJ_4 \psi) = \gamma_F(-2tJ_2, \frac{1}{2} \psi) \cdot \gamma_F(2tJ_2, \frac{1}{2} \psi) \cdot \gamma_F(\frac{1}{2} \psi)^2 = \gamma_F(-J_1, \frac{1}{2} \psi) \cdot \gamma_F(-1, \frac{1}{2} \psi)^{-1} = \gamma_F(J_1, \frac{1}{2} \psi) \cdot (2tJ_2, J_1)_F.
\]

Hence we have

\[
\varphi(x) = \gamma_F(J_1, \frac{1}{2} \psi) \cdot (2tJ_2, J_1)_F \cdot |2J_2|^\frac{1}{2} |J_1|^{\frac{1}{2}} |J_2|
\]
\[
\times \psi \left( \frac{t}{2} (x_1^2 - J_1 x_2^2 + J_2 x_3^2 - J_4 x_4^2) \right) \cdot \mathbb{I}_\mathfrak{o}(2x_1) \mathbb{I}_\mathfrak{o}(2J_1 x_2) \mathbb{I}_\mathfrak{o}(2J_2 x_3) \mathbb{I}_\mathfrak{o}(2J_4 x_4)
\]
\[
= \gamma_F(J_1, \frac{1}{2} \psi) \cdot (2tJ_2, J_1)_F \cdot |2J_2|^\frac{1}{2} |J_1|^{\frac{1}{2}} |J_2| \cdot \mathcal{\varphi}_\kappa(z_1) \mathcal{\varphi}_\kappa(z_2),
\]

where

\[
\mathcal{\varphi}_\kappa(z) = \psi \left( \frac{\kappa t}{2} N_{K/F}(z) \right) \cdot \mathbb{I}_\mathfrak{o}^{p+q} \left( 2\kappa z \right)
\]

for \( z \in K \).
5.6.1.2. The case when $E$ is split and $F$ is ramified. We use the notation of 5.5.1.2. Note that the inverse different $d^{-1}$ is equal to $\omega^{-d}$. By the partial Fourier transform given in 5.3.1 we have
\[ \varphi(x) = q^{d}|2^{-1}\prod_{i=1}^{s} \varphi_i(x_i), \]
where
\[ \varphi_i(x_i) = \left| \frac{2a_i}{b_i} \right| \psi\left( \frac{a_i}{2} x_i^2 \right) \int_{a} \psi\left( \frac{a_i}{b_i} (x_i')^2 - \frac{2a_i}{b_i} x_i x_i' \right) dx_i'. \]
In particular, we have
\[ \varphi_i(x_i) \in \mathbb{Z}[q^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \mu_p] \]
for all $x_i \in F$, where $p$ is the residual characteristic of $F$ and $\mu_p$ is the group of $p$-power roots of unity. If further $2 \in \mathfrak{o}^\times$, then we have
\[ \varphi_i(x_i) = \psi\left( \frac{a_i}{2} x_i^2 \right) \cdot \mathbb{I}_p \left( -\frac{2a_i}{b_i} x_i \right) = q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \psi\left( \frac{a_i}{2} x_i^2 \right) \cdot \mathbb{I}_{b^{-1}}(x_i) \]
and hence
\[ \varphi(x) = q^{-d} \cdot \psi\left( \frac{t}{2} (x_1^2 - J_1 x_2^2 - J_2 x_3^2 + J x_4^2) \right) \cdot \mathbb{I}_{b^{-1}}(x_1) \mathbb{I}_{b^{-1}}(x_2) \mathbb{I}_{b^{-1}}(x_3) \mathbb{I}_{b^{-1}}(x_4) \]
\[ = q^{-d} \cdot \tilde{\varphi}_{n_1}(z_1) \tilde{\varphi}_{n_2}(z_2), \]
where
\[ \tilde{\varphi}_\kappa(z) = \psi\left( \frac{\kappa t}{4} \text{tr}_{K/F}(z^2) \right) \cdot \mathbb{I}_{b^{-1}+b^{-1}j}(z) \]
for $z \in K$.

5.6.1.3. The case when $E$ is inert and $J \in (F^\times)^2$. We use the notation of 5.5.1.2. By the partial Fourier transform given in 5.3.2.1 we have
\[ \varphi(x) = |J|^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \mathbb{I}_p(x_1 + tx_4) \mathbb{I}_o \left( -\frac{1}{2} (x_1 - tx_4) \right) \mathbb{I}_o \left( s \left( x_2 + \frac{t}{J_1} x_3 \right) \right) \mathbb{I}_o \left( \frac{J_1}{2s} \left( x_2 - \frac{t}{J_1} x_3 \right) \right) \]
\[ = |J|^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \mathbb{I}_p(x_1) \mathbb{I}_o(sx_2) \mathbb{I}_o \left( \frac{st}{J_1} x_3 \right) \mathbb{I}_o(tx_4) \]
\[ = |J|^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \mathbb{I}_{o+o^j}(z_1) \mathbb{I}_{o+o^j}(sz_2). \]

5.6.1.4. The case when $E$ is inert, and $J_1 \in (F^\times)^2$ or $J_2 \in (F^\times)^2$. We use the notation of 5.5.1.2. By the partial Fourier transform given in 5.3.3 we have
\[ \varphi(x) = |J|^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \mathbb{I}_o(x_1 + tx_2) \mathbb{I}_o \left( -\frac{1}{2} (x_1 - tx_2) \right) \mathbb{I}_o \left( s \left( x_4 + \frac{1}{t} x_3 \right) \right) \mathbb{I}_o \left( \frac{J}{2s} \left( x_4 - \frac{1}{t} x_3 \right) \right) \]
\[ = |J|^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \mathbb{I}_o(x_1) \mathbb{I}_o(tx_2) \mathbb{I}_o \left( \frac{s}{t} x_3 \right) \mathbb{I}_o(sx_4) \]
\[ = |J|^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \mathbb{I}_{o+o^j}(z_1) \mathbb{I}_{o+o^j}(sz_2). \]
5.6.1.5. The case when $E$ is ramified. We use the notation of \textsection 5.5.1.3. By the partial Fourier transform given in \textsection 5.3.2.2, we have
\begin{align*}
\phi(x) &= q^{-\frac{7}{2}} \cdot \mathbb{I}_o \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( x_1 + t_1 x_2 + \frac{t}{t_1} x_3 + tx_4 \right) \right) \cdot \mathbb{I}_o \left( -\frac{1}{2} \left( x_1 - t_1 x_2 + \frac{t}{t_1} x_3 - tx_4 \right) \right) \\
&\quad \times \mathbb{I}_o \left( -\frac{1}{2} \left( x_1 + t_1 x_2 - \frac{t}{t_1} x_3 - tx_4 \right) \right) \mathbb{I}_o \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( x_1 - t_1 x_2 - \frac{t}{t_1} x_3 + tx_4 \right) \right) \\
&= q^{-\frac{7}{2}} \cdot \mathbb{I}_o(x_1 - tx_4) \mathbb{I}_o \left( x_2 - \frac{t}{J_1} x_3 \right) \mathbb{I}_o \left( x_1 + t_1 x_2 + \frac{t}{t_1} x_3 + tx_4 \right) \mathbb{I}_{\psi^{-1}} \left( x_1 - t_1 x_2 - \frac{t}{t_1} x_3 + tx_4 \right) \\
&= q^{-\frac{7}{2}} \cdot \mathbb{I}_o(\alpha_1 - t \beta_1) \mathbb{I}_o(\alpha_2 - t \beta_2) \mathbb{I}_o(\alpha_1 + t \beta_1 + t_1 \alpha_2 + t t_1 \beta_2) \mathbb{I}_{\psi^{-1}}(\alpha_1 + t \beta_1 - t_1 \alpha_2 - t t_1 \beta_2).
\end{align*}

5.6.2. The case (rps). We use the notation of \textsection 5.5.2. By the partial Fourier transform given in \textsection 5.3.1, we have $\phi(x) = q^{-\frac{n+1}{2}}(q - 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{4} \phi_i(x_i)$, where
\begin{align*}
\phi_i(x_i) &= \psi \left( \frac{a_i}{2} x_i^2 \right) \cdot \mathbb{I}_o \left( -\frac{2a_i}{b_i} x_i \right) = \mathbb{I}_o(x_i)
\end{align*}
for $i = 1, 2$.

\begin{align*}
\phi_3(x_3) &= \psi \left( \frac{a_3}{2} x_3^2 \right) \cdot \mathbb{I}_{\psi^{-1}} \left( -\frac{2a_3}{b_3} x_3 \right) = \mathbb{I}_{\psi^{-1}}(x_3),
\end{align*}
and
\begin{align*}
\phi_4(x_4) &= \psi \left( \frac{a_4}{2} x_4^2 \right) \cdot \mathbb{I}_{\psi^{-1}} \left( -\frac{2a_4}{b_4} x_4 \right) = \mathbb{I}_{\psi^{-1}}(x_4).
\end{align*}

Since $\mu$ is of conductor $q^n$, we have
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{I}_{\psi^{-1}} \mu &= q^{-n} \cdot g(\mu, \psi) \cdot \mathbb{I}_{\psi^{-1}} \mu^{-1},
\end{align*}
where
\begin{align*}
g(\mu, \psi) &= \int \mathbb{I}_{\psi^{-1}} \mu(y) \psi(y) \, dy.
\end{align*}

Note that $|g(\mu, \psi)| = q^{\frac{n}{2}}$. Hence we have
\begin{align*}
\phi(x) &= q^{-\frac{3n+1}{2}}(q - 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot g(\mu, \psi) \\
&\quad \times \psi \left( \frac{t}{2} \left( -J_2 x_3^2 + J x_4^2 \right) \right) \cdot \mathbb{I}_o(x_1) \mathbb{I}_o(x_2) \mathbb{I}_{\psi^{-1}}(x_3) \mathbb{I}_{\psi^{-1}}(x_4)^{-1} \\
&= q^{-\frac{3n+1}{2}}(q - 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot g(\mu, \psi) \\
&\quad \times \psi \left( \frac{\kappa_1 t J}{2} x_3^2 \right) \cdot \mathbb{I}_o(\alpha_1) \mathbb{I}_{\psi^{-1}}(\beta_1) \cdot \mathbb{I}_o(\alpha_2) \mathbb{I}_{\psi^{-1}}(\beta_2).
\end{align*}

5.6.3. The case (st).

5.6.3.1. The case when $B_1$ and $B_2$ are split. We use the notation of \textsection 5.5.3.1. By the partial Fourier transform given in \textsection 5.3.1, we have $\phi(x) = q^{\frac{2}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{4} \phi_i(x_i)$, where
\begin{align*}
\phi_i(x_i) &= \psi \left( \frac{a_i}{2} x_i^2 \right) \cdot \mathbb{I}_o \left( -\frac{2a_i}{b_i} x_i \right) = \mathbb{I}_o(x_i)
\end{align*}
for $i = 1, 2, 4$ and
\begin{align*}
\phi_3(x_3) &= \psi \left( \frac{a_3}{2} x_3^2 \right) \cdot \mathbb{I}_o \left( -\frac{2a_3}{b_3} x_3 \right) = q^{-1} \cdot \psi \left( \frac{tJ_2}{2} x_3^2 \right) \cdot \mathbb{I}_{\psi^{-1}}(x_3).
Hence we have
\[
\varphi(x) = q^{-\frac{t}{2}} \cdot \psi\left(\frac{tJ_2}{2}x_3^2\right) \cdot \mathbb{I}_o(x_1)\mathbb{I}_o(x_2)\mathbb{I}_{w^{-1}}o(x_3)\mathbb{I}_o(x_4) \\
= q^{-\frac{t}{2}} \cdot \mathbb{I}_o(\alpha_1)\mathbb{I}_o(\beta_1) \cdot \psi\left(\frac{\kappa_2J_2}{2}x_3^2\right) \cdot \mathbb{I}_o(\alpha_2)\mathbb{I}_{w^{-1}}o(\beta_2).
\]

5.6.3.2. The case when $B_1$ and $B_2$ are ramified. We use the notation of §5.5.3.2 By the partial Fourier transform given in §5.3.2.1 we have
\[
\varphi(x) = q^{-1} \cdot \mathbb{I}_o(x_1 + tx_4)\mathbb{I}_o\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x_1 - tx_4)\right)\mathbb{I}_o\left(s\left(x_2 + \frac{t}{J_1}x_3\right)\right)\mathbb{I}_o\left(\frac{J_1}{2s}\left(x_2 - \frac{t}{J_1}x_3\right)\right) \\
= q^{-1} \cdot \mathbb{I}_o(x_1)\mathbb{I}_{w^{-1}}o(x_4)\mathbb{I}_o\left(x_2 + \frac{t}{J_1}x_3\right)\mathbb{I}_{w^{-1}}o\left(x_2 - \frac{t}{J_1}x_3\right) \\
= q^{-1} \cdot \mathbb{I}_o(\alpha_1)\mathbb{I}_{w^{-1}}o(\beta_1)\mathbb{I}_o(\alpha_2 + t\beta_2)\mathbb{I}_{w^{-1}}o(\beta_2).
\]

5.6.4. The case (1d). We use the notation of §5.5.4. By the partial Fourier transform given in §5.3.3 we have
\[
\varphi(x) = q^{-\frac{T}{2}} \cdot \mathbb{I}_o(x_1 + tx_2)\mathbb{I}_o\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x_1 - tx_2)\right)\mathbb{I}_o\left(s\left(x_4 + \frac{1}{t}x_3\right)\right)\mathbb{I}_o\left(\frac{J_2}{2s}\left(x_4 - \frac{1}{t}x_3\right)\right) \\
= q^{-\frac{T}{2}} \cdot \mathbb{I}_o(x_1)\mathbb{I}_o(x_2)\mathbb{I}_o\left(x_4 + \frac{1}{t}x_3\right)\mathbb{I}_{w^{-1}}o\left(x_4 - \frac{1}{t}x_3\right) \\
= q^{-\frac{T}{2}} \cdot \mathbb{I}_o(\alpha_1)\mathbb{I}_o(\alpha_2)\mathbb{I}_o(\beta_1 + t\beta_2)\mathbb{I}_{w^{-1}}o(\beta_1 - t\beta_2).
\]

5.6.5. The case (ds).

5.6.5.1. The case when $B_1$ and $B_2$ are split. We use the notation of §5.5.5.1. By the partial Fourier transform given in §5.3.2.1, we have
\[
\varphi(x) = e_{\frac{T}{4}} \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x_2 - v\sqrt{-1})^{\frac{T}{2}} e^{-\frac{T}{4}(v^2 + \frac{1}{v^2})} e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}x_2v'2} dx_2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{T}{4}(v^2 + \frac{1}{v^2})} e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}x_2v'2} dx_2.
\]

Lemma 5.5. Let $k$ be a non-negative integer and $\nu$ a positive real number. Put
\[
I(x, y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x + \sqrt{\nu}w)^{\nu} e^{-\frac{T}{4}(\nu^2 + \frac{1}{\nu^2})} e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}xy} dw
\]
for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. Then we have
\[
I(x, y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{\nu^{-1}} \pi k!}} \cdot H_k\left(\frac{\sqrt{2\pi\nu}}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2}x - y\right)\right) \cdot e^{-\pi\nu(\frac{1}{2}x^2 + 2y^2)}.
\]

Proof. We have
\[
I(x, y) = e^{-\frac{T}{4}x^2} \sqrt{\frac{2\nu}{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(x + \sqrt{\frac{\nu}{2}}\sqrt{-1}w\right)^{\nu} e^{-\nu^2w^2} e^{2\pi\nu\sqrt{-1}wy} dw \\
e^{-\frac{T}{4}x^2} \sqrt{\frac{2^{k+1}}{\pi k! \nu^{k-1}}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\nu}{2}x + \sqrt{-1}w\right)^{\nu} e^{-\left(w - \sqrt{2\pi\nu}\sqrt{-1}y\right)^2 - 2\nu w^2} dw \\
e^{-\frac{T}{4}x^2 - 2\nu y^2} \sqrt{\frac{2^{k+1}}{\pi k! \nu^{k-1}}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\nu}{2}x + \sqrt{-1}w - \sqrt{2\pi\nu}y\right)^{\nu} e^{-w^2} dw.
\]
Hence the assertion follows from the integral representation of the Hermite polynomial:

\[ H_k(x) = \frac{2^k}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x + \sqrt{-1}w)^k e^{-w^2} dw. \]

By Lemma 5.5, we have

\[ \varphi(x) = c_k\left|\frac{uJ}{4}\right|^\frac{1}{2} \frac{(-v\sqrt{-1})^k}{\sqrt{2\pi^2 v^k} e^{-1}} \cdot H_k\left(\frac{2\pi v}{2 x_1' - y_2} \right) \cdot e^{-\pi v(\frac{1}{2} x_1'^2 + 2y_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} x_2'^2 + 2y_2'^2)} \]

\[ = \frac{|uJ|^k}{2^k - 1 \sqrt{k!}} \cdot H_k\left(\frac{2\pi v x_1}{2 x_1' - y_2} \right) \cdot e^{-\pi v(x_1^2 + y_2^2 + J x_2^2 + J_1 x_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} x_2'^2)} \]

\[ = \frac{|uJ|^k}{2^k - 1 \sqrt{k!}} \cdot H_k\left(\frac{2\pi v x_1}{2 x_1' - y_2} \right) \cdot e^{-\pi v(\alpha_1^2 + J_1 x_2^2)} \cdot e^{-\pi v J_1 (\alpha_2^2 + J_2 x_2^2)}. \]

5.6.5.2. The case when \( B_1 \) and \( B_2 \) are ramified. We use the notation of [5.5.5.2]. By the partial Fourier transform given in [5.5.2.1], we have

\[ \varphi(x) = c_k\left|\frac{uJ}{4}\right|^\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x_1'^2 - v\sqrt{-1}x_1')^k e^{-\frac{v}{2}(v x_1'^2 + \frac{1}{2} x_2'^2)} e^{2\pi \sqrt{-1}x_2'y_2} \cdot 2 e^{2\pi \sqrt{-1}x_4'y_4} \ dx_2' \]

\[ = \frac{|uJ|^k}{2^k - 1 \sqrt{k!}} \cdot H_k\left(\frac{2\pi v x_1}{2 x_1' - y_2} \right) \cdot e^{-\pi v(\alpha_1^2 + J_1 x_2^2)} \cdot 2 e^{2\pi \sqrt{-1}x_4'y_4} \ dx_2'. \]

By Lemma 5.5, we have

5.6.6. The case (fd). We use the notation of [5.5.4]. By the partial Fourier transform given in [5.5.3], we have

\[ \varphi(x) = c_k\left|\frac{J}{u}\right|^\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x_1'^2 + \frac{1}{2} x_2'^2)^k e^{-\frac{1}{2}(v x_1'^2 + \frac{1}{2} x_2'^2)} e^{2\pi \sqrt{-1}x_2'y_2} \cdot 2 e^{2\pi \sqrt{-1}x_4'y_4} \ dx_2'. \]

By Lemma 5.5, we have

6. Explicit form of the Rallis inner product formula

In this section, we shall explicate the Rallis inner product formula (Proposition 5.3).
6.1. Measures. In [3] for any connected reductive algebraic group $G$ over a number field $F$, we have always taken the Tamagawa measure on $G(\mathbb{A})$, which is a product of Haar measures on $G_v$ defined in terms of a non-zero invariant differential form of top degree on $G$ over $F$. However, with respect to this Haar measure, the volume of a hyperspecial maximal compact subgroup of $G_v$ is not necessarily 1 for almost all $v$. For our applications, it is more convenient to take the “standard” measure on $G(\mathbb{A})$, which is a product of Haar measures on $G_v$ such that the volume of a maximal compact subgroup of $G_v$ is 1 for all $v$. In this subsection, we give a precise definition of the standard measures on $\mathbb{A}^\times \backslash B^\times(\mathbb{A})$ and $B^1(\mathbb{A})$, where $B$ is a quaternion algebra over $F$, and compare them with the Tamagawa measures.

Let $F$ be a number field and $\psi$ the standard additive character of $\mathbb{A}/F$. Let $D = D_F$ be the discriminant of $F$. We have $|D| = \prod_{v \in \Sigma_{fin}} q_v^{d_v}$, where $d_v$ is the non-negative integer such that $\psi_v$ is trivial on $\mathbb{O}_F^{-d_v}\mathbb{O}_v$, but non-trivial on $\mathbb{O}_F^{-d_v-1}\mathbb{O}_v$. For each place $v$ of $F$, let $\xi_v(s)$ be the local zeta function of $F_v$ defined by

$$\xi_v(s) = \begin{cases} (1 - q_v^{-s})^{-1} & \text{if } v \text{ is finite,} \\ \pi^{-\frac{s}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) & \text{if } v \text{ is real,} \\ 2(2\pi)^{-s} \Gamma(s) & \text{if } v \text{ is complex.} \end{cases}$$

Note that

$$\xi_v(1) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } v \text{ is real,} \\ \pi^{-1} & \text{if } v \text{ is complex.} \end{cases}$$

Let $\xi_F(s) = \prod_{v \in \Sigma_{fin}} \xi_v(s)$ be the Dedekind zeta function of $F$. Put

$$\rho_F := \text{Res}_{s=1} \xi_F(s) = \frac{2^{r_1}(2\pi)^{r_2} h R}{|D|^{\frac{1}{2} w}},$$

where $r_1$ is the number of the real places of $F$, $r_2$ is the number of the complex places of $F$, $h = h_F$ is the class number of $F$, $R = R_F$ is the regulator of $F$, and $w = w_F$ is the number of roots of unity in $F$. For any connected reductive algebraic group $G$ over $F$, let $\tau(G)$ denote the Tamagawa number of $G$.

From now on, we assume that $F$ is totally real.

6.1.1. Measures on $\mathbb{A}^\times$. For each place $v$ of $F$, we define a Haar measure $d^x x_v^{\text{Tam}}$ on $F_v^\times$ by

$$d^x x_v^{\text{Tam}} := \xi_v(1) \frac{dx_v}{|x_v|},$$

where $dx_v$ is the self-dual Haar measure on $F_v$ with respect to $\psi_v$. Note that:

- $\text{vol}(\mathbb{O}_v, dx_v) = q_v^{-\frac{d_v}{2}}$ if $v$ is finite,
- $dx_v$ is the Lebesgue measure if $v$ is real.

Then the Tamagawa measure on $\mathbb{A}^\times$ is given by

$$d^x x^{\text{Tam}} := \rho_F^{-1} \prod_v d^x x_v^{\text{Tam}}.$$

We have $\tau(\mathbb{G}_m) = 1$.

On the other hand, we define the standard measure on $\mathbb{A}^\times$ as a product measure $d^x x := \prod_v d^x x_v$, where

- $d^x x_v$ is the Haar measure on $F_v^\times$ such that $\text{vol}(\mathbb{O}_v, d^x x_v) = 1$ if $v$ is finite,
- $d^x x_v = \frac{dx_v}{|x_v|}$ if $v$ is real.
We have
\[ d^x x^{\text{Tam}} = |D|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rho_F^{-1} \cdot d^x x. \]

6.1.2. Measures on $B^\times (\mathbb{A})$. For each place $v$ of $F$, we define a Haar measure $d^x \alpha_v^{\text{Tam}}$ on $B_v^\times$ by
\[ d^x \alpha_v^{\text{Tam}} := \zeta_v(1) \cdot \frac{d\alpha_v}{|\nu(\alpha_v)|^2}, \]
where $d\alpha_v$ is the self-dual Haar measure on $B_v$.

Then the Tamagawa measure on $B^\times (\mathbb{A})$ is given by
\[ d^x \alpha^{\text{Tam}} := \rho_F^{-1} \cdot \prod_v d^x \alpha_v^{\text{Tam}}. \]

Also, the Tamagawa measure on $(B^\times / G_m)(\mathbb{A}) = B^\times (\mathbb{A}) / \mathbb{A}^\times$ is given by the quotient measure $d^x \alpha^{\text{Tam}} / d^x x^{\text{Tam}}$.

We have $\tau(B^\times / G_m) = 2$.

On the other hand, we define the standard measure on $B^\times (\mathbb{A})$ as a product measure $d^x \alpha := \prod_v d^x \alpha_v$, where $d^x \alpha_v$ is given as follows:

- For $v \in \Sigma_{\text{fin}} \setminus \Sigma_{B,\text{fin}}$, fix an isomorphism $i_v : B_v \to M_2(F_v)$ of quaternion $F_v$-algebras and let $d^x \alpha_v$ be the Haar measure on $B_v^\times$ such that $\text{vol}(i_v^{-1}(\text{GL}_2(o_v)), d^x \alpha_v) = 1$. Since $i_v$ is unique up to inner automorphisms, $d^x \alpha_v$ is independent of the choice of $i_v$.
- For $v \in \Sigma_{B,\text{fin}}$, let $d^x \alpha_v$ be the Haar measure on $B_v^\times$ such that $\text{vol}(o_v, d^x \alpha_v) = 1$, where $o_v$ is the unique maximal order in $B_v$.
- For $v \in \Sigma_{\text{infty}} \setminus \Sigma_{B,\text{infty}}$, fix an isomorphism $i_v : B_v \to M_2(F_v)$ of quaternion $F_v$-algebras and define a Haar measure $d^x \alpha_v$ on $B_v^\times$ by
\[ d^x \alpha_v = \frac{dx_v dy_v dz_v}{|y_v|^2} d\kappa_v \]
for $\alpha_v = i_v^{-1} \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_v \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \left( \begin{pmatrix} y_v & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) z_v \kappa_v$ with $x_v \in \mathbb{R}$, $y_v \in \mathbb{R}^\times$, $z_v \in \mathbb{R}_+^\times$, $\kappa_v \in SO(2)$, where $dx_v$, $dy_v$, $dz_v$ are the Lebesgue measures and $d\kappa_v$ is the Haar measure on $SO(2)$ such that $\text{vol}(SO(2), d\kappa_v) = 1$. Since $i_v$ is unique up to inner automorphisms, $d^x \alpha_v$ is independent of the choice of $i_v$.
- For $v \in \Sigma_{B,\text{infty}}$, let $d^x \alpha_v$ be the Haar measure on $B_v^\times$ such that $\text{vol}(B_v^\times / F_v^\times, d^x \alpha_v / d^x x_v) = 1$.

Also, we define the standard measure on $B^\times (\mathbb{A}) / \mathbb{A}^\times$ as the quotient measure $d^x \alpha / d^x x$.

Lemma 6.1. We have
\[ d^x \alpha^{\text{Tam}} = (2\pi)^{\left| \Sigma_{\text{infty}} \setminus \Sigma_{B,\text{infty}} \right|} \cdot (4\pi^2)^{\left| \Sigma_{B,\text{infty}} \right|} \cdot \prod_{v \in \Sigma_{B,\text{fin}}} (q_v - 1)^{-1} \cdot |D|^{-2} \cdot \rho_F^{-1} \cdot \zeta_F(2)^{-1} \cdot d^x \alpha. \]

Proof. For each place $v$ of $F$, let $C_v$ be the constant such that $d^x \alpha_v^{\text{Tam}} = C_v \cdot d^x \alpha_v$. If $v \in \Sigma_{\text{fin}} \setminus \Sigma_{B,\text{fin}}$, we identify $B_v$ with $M_2(F_v)$. Then we have $\text{vol}(M_2(o_v), d\alpha_v) = q_v^{-2d_v}$ and hence
\[ C_v = \text{vol}(\text{GL}_2(o_v), d^x \alpha_v^{\text{Tam}}) = \zeta_v(1) \cdot |\text{GL}_2(F_q)| \cdot \text{vol}(1 + M_2(p_v), d\alpha_v) = q_v^{-2d_v} \cdot \zeta_v(2)^{-1}. \]

If $v \in \Sigma_{B,\text{fin}}$, then we have $\text{vol}(o_F, d\alpha_v) = q_v^{-2d_v-1}$ and hence
\[ C_v = \text{vol}(o_F^\times, d^x \alpha_v^{\text{Tam}}) = \zeta_v(1) \cdot \frac{|F_q^\times|}{q_v} \cdot \text{vol}(1 + p_{B_v}, d\alpha_v) = q_v^{-2d_v} \cdot (q_v-1)^{-1} \cdot \zeta_v(2)^{-1}. \]
If \( v \in \Sigma_{\infty} \setminus \Sigma_{B,\infty} \), we identify \( B_v \) with \( M_2(\mathbb{R}) \). Then \( d^x \alpha_v^{\text{Tam}} \) arises from the gauge form on \( \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \) determined (up to sign) by the lattice \( M_2(\mathbb{Z}) \) in \( \text{Lie} \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R}) = M_2(\mathbb{R}) \). Also, the measures \( \frac{dx_v}{|y_v|} \), \( dx_v \), \( ds_v \) in the definition of \( d^x \alpha_v \) arise from the (left invariant) gauge forms determined by the lattices

\[
\mathbb{Z} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mathbb{Z} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbb{Z} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad 2\pi \mathbb{Z} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]

respectively. Hence we have

\[ C_v = 2\pi. \]

If \( v \in \Sigma_{B,\infty} \), we identify \( B_v \) with

\[ \mathbb{H} := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ -\beta & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} : \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C} \right\}. \]

Then \( d^x \alpha_v^{\text{Tam}} \) arises from the gauge form on \( \mathbb{H}^\times \) determined by the lattice spanned by

\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & -\sqrt{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{-1} \\ \sqrt{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}
\]

in \( \text{Lie} \mathbb{H}^\times = \mathbb{H} \). Let \( d^x \alpha_v \) be the Haar measure on \( \mathbb{H}^\times / \mathbb{R}^\times \) which arises from the gauge form determined by the lattice spanned by

\[
\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & -\sqrt{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{-1} \\ \sqrt{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]

so that we have \( d^x \alpha_v^{\text{Tam}} / dx_v = 2 \cdot d^x \alpha_v \). Note that this lattice is an integral lattice in \( \text{Lie} \mathbb{H}^\times / \mathbb{R}^\times \) constructed in [50]. It follows from [50] that \( \text{vol}(\mathbb{H}^\times / \mathbb{R}^\times, d^x \alpha_v) = 2\pi^2 \) and hence

\[ C_v = \text{vol}(\mathbb{H}^\times / \mathbb{R}^\times, d^x \alpha_v^{\text{Tam}} / dx_v) = 4\pi^2. \]

This completes the proof. \( \square \)

**Example 6.2** (Eichler’s mass formula [14, 72, 79]). Suppose that \( B \) is totally definite. Put \( B_\infty = B \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R} \) and fix a maximal compact subgroup \( \mathcal{K} \) of \( B^\times(\mathbb{A}_{\text{fin}}) \). We can write

\[ B^\times(\mathbb{A}) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathbb{A} \backslash B^\times(\mathbb{F}) B_\infty^\times \alpha_i \mathcal{K}, \]

where \( \{ \alpha_i \in B^\times(\mathbb{A}_{\text{fin}}) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n \} \) is a (finite) set of representatives for \( \mathbb{A} \backslash B^\times(\mathbb{F}) B_\infty^\times \alpha_i \mathcal{K} \). Put

\[ \text{mass} := \text{vol} \left( \mathbb{A} \backslash B^\times(\mathbb{F}) \backslash B^\times(\mathbb{A}), \frac{d^x \alpha}{dx} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{|\Gamma_i|}, \]

where \( \Gamma_i = F^\times \backslash B^\times(\mathbb{F}) \cap \mathbb{A} \backslash \mathbb{A}_{\text{fin}} \alpha_i \mathcal{K} \alpha_i^{-1} \). Then it follows from (6.1) and Lemma 6.1 that

\[ \text{mass} = \tau(B^\times \mathbb{G}_m) \cdot (4\pi^2)^{-d} \cdot \prod_{v \in \Sigma_{B,\text{fin}}} (q_v - 1) \cdot |D|^{\frac{d}{2}} \cdot \zeta_F(2) \]

\[ = (-1)^d \cdot 2^{d+1} \cdot \prod_{v \in \Sigma_{B,\text{fin}}} (q_v - 1) \cdot \zeta_F(-1), \]

where \( d = [F : \mathbb{Q}] \).

Finally, we compare the standard measure on \( \mathbb{A} \backslash B^\times(\mathbb{A}) \) with the measure on the Shimura variety. Let \( (G, X) = (\text{Res}_F/\mathbb{Q}(B^\times), X_B) \) be the Shimura datum given in [112]. If \( v \in \Sigma_{\infty} \setminus \Sigma_{B,\infty} \), we identify \( B_v \) with \( M_2(\mathbb{R}) \). As explained in [112], this gives rise to an identification

\[ X = \prod_{v \in \Sigma_{\infty} \setminus \Sigma_{B,\infty}} \mathfrak{h}_v^\pm. \]
Fix an open compact subgroup $K$ of $B^\times(\A_{\text{fin}})$ such that
\[
\hat{\mathcal{O}}^\times \subset K,
\]
where $\hat{\mathcal{O}}^\times := \prod_{v \in \Sigma_{\text{fin}}} \mathcal{O}_v^\times \subset \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\text{fin}}^\times$. Let $\text{Sh}_K(G, X)$ be the associated Shimura variety:
\[
\text{Sh}_K(G, X) = B^\times(F) \backslash X \times B^\times(\mathcal{O}_{\text{fin}})/K.
\]
Since $\mathfrak{h}^\times = \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})/\mathbb{R}_+^\times \cdot \text{SO}(2)$, we have a natural surjective map
\[
p : B^\times(\mathbb{A}) \longrightarrow \text{Sh}_K(G, X).
\]
Recall that in Definition 1.11, we have taken the measure on $\text{Sh}_K(G, X)$ given as follows:

- On $X$, we take the product over $v \in \Sigma_{\infty} \setminus \Sigma_{B, \infty}$ of the $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$-invariant measure
  \[
  \frac{dx_v dy_v}{|y_v|^2}
  \]
  for $x_v + \sqrt{-1}y_v \in \mathfrak{h}^\times$, where $dx_v, dy_v$ are the Lebesgue measures. This measure is independent of the choice of identification [6.2].
- On $B^\times(\mathcal{O}_{\text{fin}})/K$, we take the counting measure.
- If $B$ is not totally definite, then $\mathfrak{o}^\times \setminus B^\times(\mathcal{O}_{\text{fin}})$ acts on $X \times B^\times(\mathcal{O}_{\text{fin}})/K$ properly discontinuously, and we take a natural measure $d\mu_x$ on $\text{Sh}_K(G, X)$ induced by the product of the above measures.
- If $B$ is totally definite, then $\text{Sh}_K(G, X)$ is a finite set, and for any $x \in \text{Sh}_K(G, X)$, its stabilizer $\Gamma_x$ in $\mathfrak{o}^\times \setminus B^\times(\mathcal{O}_{\text{fin}})$ is a finite group. We take a measure $d\mu_x$ on $\text{Sh}_K(G, X)$ given by
  \[
  \int_{\text{Sh}_K(G, X)} \phi(x) d\mu_x = \sum_{x \in \text{Sh}_K(G, X)} |\Gamma_x|^{-1} \phi(x).
  \]

Lemma 6.3. Let $\phi$ be an integrable function on $\text{Sh}_K(G, X)$ such that $\phi(x \cdot z) = \phi(x)$ for all $x \in \text{Sh}_K(G, X)$ and $z \in \mathfrak{h}^\times$. Then we have
\[
(6.3) \quad \int_{\text{Sh}_K(G, X)} \phi(x) d\mu_x = 2^{2|\Sigma_{\infty} \setminus \Sigma_{B, \infty}|} : K_0 : K \cdot h_F \cdot \int_{\mathfrak{h}^\times \setminus B^\times(\mathcal{O}_{\text{fin}})/B^\times(\mathbb{A})} p^* \phi(\alpha) d^\times \alpha,
\]
where $K_0$ is any maximal compact subgroup of $B^\times(\mathcal{O}_{\text{fin}})$ containing $K$.

Proof. Put $F_{\infty} = F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$ and $B_{\infty} = B \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$. We can write
\[
B^\times(\mathbb{A}) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n B^\times(F) B^\times_{\infty} \alpha_i K,
\]
where $\{\alpha_i \in B^\times(\mathcal{O}_{\text{fin}}) | 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ is a (finite) set of representatives for $B^\times(F) B^\times_{\infty} \setminus B^\times(\mathcal{O}_{\text{fin}})/K$. Then we have
\[
\text{Sh}_K(G, X) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \Gamma_i \setminus X,
\]
where $\Gamma_i = \mathfrak{o}^\times \setminus (B^\times(F) \cap \alpha_i K \alpha_i^{-1})$. For each $i$, we have a natural commutative diagram
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
B^\times_{\infty} \alpha_i K & \xrightarrow{p_i} & X \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
B^\times(F) B^\times_{\infty} \alpha_i K & \xrightarrow{p} & \Gamma_i \setminus X
\end{array}
\]
First we assume that $B$ is not totally definite. Since both sides of \ref{6.3} are proportional, we may assume that for each $i$, the restriction of $\phi$ to $\Gamma_i \setminus X$ is of the form
\[
\phi(x) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_i} \varphi_i(\gamma x)
\]
for some continuous compactly supported function $\varphi_i$ on $X$. Then, noting that $\Gamma_i$ acts on $X$ faithfully, we have
\[
\int_{\Gamma_i \setminus X} \phi(x) \, d\mu_x = \int_X \varphi_i(x) \, d\mu_x,
\]
where the measure $d\mu_x$ on $X$ is as defined above. By the definition of the standard measure, we have
\[
\int_X \varphi_i(x) \, d\mu_x = 2^{\Sigma_{\infty} \setminus \Sigma_B, \infty} \cdot \text{vol}(K)^{-1} \cdot \int_{B_\infty^* \alpha_i, K/F_\infty^* \hat{\delta}^*} p^*_i \varphi_i(\alpha) \, d^\times \alpha.
\]
(Here the factor 2 arises from $[\mathbb{R}^\times : \hat{\mathbb{R}}_+^\times]$.) Since
\[
p^* \phi(\alpha) = \phi(p(\alpha)) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_i} \varphi_i(\gamma p_i(\alpha)) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_i} \varphi_i(p_i(\gamma \alpha)) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_i} p^*_i \varphi_i(\gamma \alpha)
\]
for $\alpha \in B_\infty^* \alpha_i, K$, we have
\[
\int_{B_\infty^* \alpha_i, K/F_\infty^* \hat{\delta}^*} p^*_i \varphi_i(\alpha) \, d^\times \alpha = \int_{\Gamma_i \setminus B_\infty^* \alpha_i, K/F_\infty^* \hat{\delta}^*} p^* \phi(\alpha) \, d^\times \alpha.
\]
Thus, noting that
\[
\int_{\Gamma_i \setminus B_\infty^* \alpha_i, K/F_\infty^* \hat{\delta}^*} \phi(x) \, d\mu_x
\]
we have
\[
\int_{\Gamma_i \setminus X} \phi(x) \, d\mu_x = 2^{\Sigma_{\infty} \setminus \Sigma_B, \infty} \cdot \text{vol}(K)^{-1} \cdot \int_{B_\infty^* (F) \setminus B_\infty^* (F) B_\infty^* \alpha_i, K/F_\infty^* \hat{\delta}^*} p^* \phi(\alpha) \, d^\times \alpha.
\]
Summing over $i$, we obtain
\[
\int_{\text{Sh}_K(G, X)} \phi(x) \, d\mu_x = 2^{\Sigma_{\infty} \setminus \Sigma_B, \infty} \cdot \text{vol}(K)^{-1} \cdot \int_{B_\infty^* (F) \setminus B_\infty^* (\hat{\alpha})/F_\infty^* \hat{\delta}^*} p^* \phi(\alpha) \, d^\times \alpha
\]
\[
= 2^{\Sigma_{\infty} \setminus \Sigma_B, \infty} \cdot \text{vol}(K)^{-1} \cdot \text{vol}(F_\infty^* \setminus F_\infty^* \hat{\delta}^*) \cdot \int_{h^* B_\infty^* (F) \setminus B_\infty^* (\hat{\alpha})} p^* \phi(\alpha) \, d^\times \alpha.
\]
On the other hand, we have $\text{vol}(K) = [K_0 : K]^{-1}$ for any maximal compact subgroup $K_0$ of $B_\infty^* (\hat{\mathbb{A}}_{\text{fin}})$ containing $K$, and $\text{vol}(F_\infty^* \setminus F_\infty^* \hat{\delta}^*) = h_F$ since the standard measure on $\hat{\mathbb{A}}_F/\text{cusp}$ is the counting measure. This proves \ref{6.3}.

Next we assume that $B$ is totally definite. Since
\[
\text{vol}(B_\infty^* (F) \setminus B_\infty^* (F) B_\infty^* \alpha_i, K/F_\infty^* \hat{\delta}^*) = |\Gamma_i|^{-1} \cdot \text{vol}(K),
\]
we have
\[
\int_{B_\infty^* (F) \setminus B_\infty^* (\hat{\alpha})/F_\infty^* \hat{\delta}^*} p^* \phi(\alpha) \, d^\times \alpha = \text{vol}(K) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n |\Gamma_i|^{-1} p^* \phi(\alpha_i)
\]
\[
= \text{vol}(K) \cdot \int_{\text{Sh}_K(G, X)} \phi(x) \, d\mu_x.
\]
The rest of the proof is the same as before. \qed
6.1.3. Measures on $B^1(\mathbb{A})$. We recall the exact sequence
\[ 1 \rightarrow B^1 \rightarrow B^\times \xrightarrow{\nu} \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow 1 \]
of algebraic groups over $F$. For each place $v$ of $F$, this induces an exact sequence
\[ 1 \rightarrow B^1_v \rightarrow B^\times_v \xrightarrow{\nu} F^\times_v. \]
We define a Haar measure $dg_v^\text{Tam}$ on $B^1_v$ by requiring that
\[ \int_{B_v^\times} \phi(x_v) \, d\nu_v = \int_{B^1_v} \hat{\phi}(x_v) \, dx_v^{\text{Tam}} \]
for all $\phi \in L^1(B^\times_v)$, where
\[ \hat{\phi}(\nu_v) := \int_{B^1_v} \phi(g_v x_v) \, dg_v^\text{Tam}. \]
Note that $\nu(B_v^\times) = F_v^\times$ unless $v \in \Sigma_{B,\infty}$, in which case we have $\nu(B_v^\times) = \mathbb{R}_+^\times$. Then the Tamagawa measure on $B^1(\mathbb{A})$ is given by
\[ dg^{\text{Tam}} := \prod_v dg_v^{\text{Tam}}. \]
We have $\tau(B^1) = 1$.

On the other hand, we define the standard measure on $B^1(\mathbb{A})$ as a product measure $dg := \prod_v dg_v$, where $dg_v$ is given as follows:

- For $v \in \Sigma_{\text{fin}} \setminus \Sigma_{B,\text{fin}}$, fix an isomorphism $i_v : B_v \rightarrow M_2(F_v)$ of quaternion $F_v$-algebras, which is unique up to inner automorphisms by elements of $\text{GL}_2(F_v)$, and let $dg_v$ be the Haar measure on $B_v^1$ such that $\text{vol}(i_v^{-1}(\text{SL}_2(\mathcal{O}_v)), dg_v) = 1$. Noting that there are exactly 2 conjugacy classes of maximal compact subgroups of $\text{SL}_2(F_v)$, i.e., those of $\text{SL}_2(\mathcal{O}_v)$ and $\left( \begin{smallmatrix} \pi & 1 \\ \pi & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) \text{SL}_2(\mathcal{O}_v) \left( \begin{smallmatrix} \pi & 1 \\ -1 & \pi \end{smallmatrix} \right)$, we have
\[ \text{vol}(i_v^{-1}(h_v \text{SL}_2(\mathcal{O}_v) h_v^{-1}), dg_v) = \text{vol}(i_v^{-1}(\text{SL}_2(\mathcal{O}_v)), dg_v) \]
for $h_v \in \text{GL}_2(F_v)$. Hence $dg_v$ is independent of the choice of $i_v$.
- For $v \in \Sigma_{B,\text{fin}}$, let $dg_v$ be the Haar measure on $B_v^1$ such that $\text{vol}(B_v^1, dg_v) = 1$.
- For $v \in \Sigma_\infty \setminus \Sigma_{B,\infty}$, fix an isomorphism $i_v : B_v \rightarrow M_2(F_v)$ of quaternion $F_v$-algebras, which is unique up to inner automorphisms by elements of $\text{GL}_2(F_v)$, and define a Haar measure $dg_v$ on $B_v^1$ by
\[ dg_v = \frac{dx_v \, dy_v \, dk_v}{y_v^3} \]
for $g_v = i_v^{-1} \left( \begin{smallmatrix} 1 & x_v \\ y_v & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) \left( \begin{smallmatrix} \sqrt{\nu_v} \\ \sqrt{\nu_v^{-1}} \end{smallmatrix} \right) \kappa_v$ with $x_v \in \mathbb{R}$, $y_v \in \mathbb{R}_+^\times$, $\kappa_v \in \text{SO}(2)$, where $dx_v$, $dy_v$, $dk_v$ are the Lebesgue measures and $dk_v$ is the Haar measure on $\text{SO}(2)$ such that $\text{vol}(\text{SO}(2), dk_v) = 1$. This measure $dg_v$ does not change if we replace $i_v$ by $\text{Ad}(h_v) \circ i_v$ for $h_v \in \text{SL}_2(F_v)$. If we replace $i_v$ by $\text{Ad} \left( \begin{smallmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) \circ i_v$, then $dg_v$ becomes
\[ \frac{dx_v \, dy_v \, dk_v}{y_v^3} \]
for $g_v = i_v^{-1} \left( \begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) \left( \begin{smallmatrix} \sqrt{\nu_v} \\ \sqrt{\nu_v^{-1}} \end{smallmatrix} \right) \kappa_v$, which is in fact equal to the original $dg_v$. Hence $dg_v$ is independent of the choice of $i_v$.
- For $v \in \Sigma_{B,\infty}$, let $dg_v$ be the Haar measure on $B_v^1$ such that $\text{vol}(B_v^1, dg_v) = 1$.

Lemma 6.4. We have
\[ dg^{\text{Tam}} = \pi^{\left| \Sigma_\infty \setminus \Sigma_{B,\infty} \right|} \cdot \left( 4\pi^2 \right)^{\left| \Sigma_{B,\infty} \right|} \prod_{v \in \Sigma_{B,\text{fin}}} (q_v - 1)^{-1} \cdot |D|^{-\frac{\delta}{2}} \cdot \zeta_F(2)^{-1} \cdot dg. \]
Proof. For each place \( v \) of \( F \), let \( C_v \) be the constant such that \( dg_v^{\text{Tam}} = C_v \cdot dg_v \). If \( v \in \Sigma_{\text{fin}} \setminus \Sigma_{B,\text{fin}} \), we identify \( B_v \) with \( M_2(F_v) \). As in the proof of Lemma 6.1, we have

\[
C_v = \text{vol}(SL_2(o_v), dg_v^{\text{Tam}}) = \frac{\text{vol}(GL_2(o_v), \alpha_v^{\text{Tam}})}{\text{vol}(o_v, \alpha_v^{\text{Tam}})} = q_v^{-2\delta_v} \cdot \zeta_v(2)^{-1}.
\]

If \( v \in \Sigma_{B,\text{fin}} \), then as in the proof of Lemma 6.1 we have

\[
C_v = \text{vol}(B_v^1, dg_v^{\text{Tam}}) = \frac{\text{vol}(\alpha_v^\times, \alpha_v^{\text{Tam}})}{\text{vol}(o_v, \alpha_v^{\text{Tam}})} = q_v^{2\delta_v} \cdot (q_v - 1)^{-1} \cdot \zeta_v(2)^{-1}.
\]

If \( v \in \Sigma_{\infty} \setminus \Sigma_{B,\infty} \), we identify \( B_v \) with \( M_2(\mathbb{R}) \). For \( \alpha_v \in GL_2(\mathbb{R})^+ \), we write \( \alpha_v = z_v \cdot g_v \) with \( z_v \in \mathbb{R}_+^\times \) and \( g_v \in SL_2(\mathbb{R}) \). Then we have

\[
d^\times \alpha_v^{\text{Tam}} = 2 \cdot d^\times z_v \cdot dg_v^{\text{Tam}}, \quad d^\times \alpha_v = d^\times z_v \cdot dg_v
\]

on \( GL_2(\mathbb{R})^+ \). Since \( d^\times \alpha_v^{\text{Tam}} = 2\pi \cdot d^\times \alpha_v \) as in the proof of Lemma 6.1, we have

\[
C_v = \frac{1}{2} \cdot 2\pi = \pi.
\]

If \( v \in \Sigma_{B,\infty} \), then we have \( d^\times \alpha_v^{\text{Tam}} = 2 \cdot d^\times z_v \cdot dg_v^{\text{Tam}} \) for \( \alpha_v = z_v \cdot g_v \) with \( z_v \in \mathbb{R}_+^\times \) and \( g_v \in B_v^1 \). Hence we have

\[
C_v = \text{vol}(B_v^1, dg_v^{\text{Tam}})
= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \text{vol}(B_v^\times / \mathbb{R}_+^\times, d^\times \alpha_v^{\text{Tam}} / d^\times z_v)
= \text{vol}(B_v^\times / \mathbb{R}_+^\times, d^\times \alpha_v^{\text{Tam}} / d^\times z_v)
= 4\pi^2
\]

as in the proof of Lemma 6.1. This completes the proof. \( \square \)

Example 6.5 (Siegel’s formula [70]). Suppose that \( B = M_2(F) \). Put

\[
\text{vol} := \text{vol} \left( SL_2(o) \setminus \mathfrak{h}^d, \prod_{v \in \Sigma_{\infty}} \frac{dx_v \cdot dg_v}{y_v^2} \right),
\]

where \( d = [F : \mathbb{Q}] \). Since

\[
SL_2(o) \setminus \mathfrak{h}^d \cong SL_2(F) \setminus SL_2(A)/K,
\]

where \( K = \prod_{v \in \Sigma_{\infty}} SO(2) \times \prod_{v \in \Sigma_{\text{fin}}} SL_2(o_v) \), we have

\[
\text{vol}(SL_2(F) \setminus SL_2(A), dg) = \text{vol} \cdot \prod_{v \in \Sigma_{\infty}} d\kappa_v \cdot \prod_{v \in \Sigma_{\text{fin}}} dg_v = \text{vol} \cdot \frac{1}{2}.
\]

On the other hand, it follows from Lemma 6.1 that

\[
\text{vol}(SL_2(F) \setminus SL_2(A), dg) = \tau(B^1) \cdot \pi^{-d} \cdot |D|^\frac{d}{2} \cdot \zeta_F(2) = (-2\pi)^d \cdot \zeta_F(-1).
\]

Hence we have

\[
\text{vol} = (-1)^d \cdot 2^{d+1} \cdot \pi^d \cdot \zeta_F(-1).
\]
6.2. New vectors. In this subsection, we define a 1-dimensional subspace of new vectors in the space of an irreducible representation of $B^\times$. For the moment, we fix a place $v$ of $F$ and suppress the subscript $v$ from the notation. We only consider representations $\pi$ of $B^\times$ listed below:

- If $F$ is non-archimedean and $B$ is split, then
  
  (ur) $\pi = \text{Ind}(\chi \otimes \mu)$ is a principal series representation, where $\chi$ and $\mu$ are unitary unramified; or
  
  (rps) $\pi = \text{Ind}(\chi \otimes \mu)$ is a principal series representation, where $\chi$ is unitary unramified and $\mu$ is unitary ramified of conductor $q^n$; or
  
  (st) $\pi = \text{St} \otimes \chi$ is a twist of the Steinberg representation, where $\chi$ is unitary unramified.

- If $F$ is non-archimedean and $B$ is ramified, then
  
  (1d) $\pi = \chi \circ \nu$ is a 1-dimensional representation, where $\chi$ is unitary unramified.

- If $F = \mathbb{R}$ and $B$ is split, then
  
  (ds) $\pi = \text{DS}_k$ is the irreducible unitary (limit of) discrete series representation of weight $k$.

- If $F = \mathbb{R}$ and $B$ is ramified, then
  
  (fd) $\pi = \text{Sym}^k$ is the irreducible unitary $(k + 1)$-dimensional representation.

If $F$ is non-archimedean, we define a compact subgroup $K_n$ of $\text{GL}_2(F)$ by

$$K_n = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(o) \left| c \in \mathcal{O}^n \right. \right\}.$$

Note that $I := K_1$ is an Iwahori subgroup of $\text{GL}_2(F)$. If $F = \mathbb{R}$, we define a character $\chi_k$ of $\mathbb{C}^\times$ by

$$\chi_k(\alpha) = \left( \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\alpha \bar{\alpha}}} \right)^k.$$

6.2.1. The case (ur). Fix an isomorphism $i : B \rightarrow M_2(F)$. This determines a maximal compact subgroup $K = i^{-1}(\text{GL}_2(o))$ of $B^\times$. We say that $f \in \pi$ is a new vector with respect to $K$ if

$$\pi(k)f = f$$

for all $k \in K$.

6.2.2. The case (rps). Fix an isomorphism $i : B \rightarrow M_2(F)$. This determines a compact subgroup $K_n = i^{-1}(K_n)$ of $B^\times$. We define a character $\mu$ of $K_n$ by $\mu(k) = \mu(d)$ for $k = i^{-1}\left( \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \right)$. We say that $f \in \pi$ is a new vector with respect to $(K_n, \mu)$ if

$$\pi(k)f = \mu(k)f$$

for all $k \in K_n$.

6.2.3. The case (st). Fix an isomorphism $i : B \rightarrow M_2(F)$. This determines an Iwahori subgroup $I = i^{-1}(I)$ of $B^\times$. We say that $f \in \pi$ is a new vector with respect to $I$ if

$$\pi(k)f = f$$

for all $k \in I$.

6.2.4. The case (1d). Let $K = o^\times_B$ be the unique maximal compact subgroup of $B^\times$. Then we have

$$\pi(k)f = f$$

for all $k \in K$ and $f \in \pi$. For uniformity, we call any $f \in \pi$ a new vector with respect to $K$. 

6.2.5. The cases (ds), (fd). Fix an embedding \( h : \mathbb{C}^\times \hookrightarrow B^\times \). We say that \( f \in \pi \) is a new vector with respect to \( h \) if
\[
\pi(h(z))f = \chi_k(z)f
\]
for all \( z \in \mathbb{C}^\times \).

6.3. An explicit Rallis inner product formula. Suppose that \( F \) is global. Let \( \pi \cong \otimes_v \pi_v \) be an irreducible unitary cuspidal automorphic representation of \( GL_2(\mathbb{A}) \) such that for \( v \in \Sigma_{\text{fin}} \),

- \( \pi_v = \text{Ind}(\chi_v \otimes \mu_v) \), where \( \chi_v \) and \( \mu_v \) are unitary unramified; or
- \( \pi_v = \text{Ind}(\chi_v \otimes \mu_v) \), where \( \chi_v \) is unitary unramified and \( \mu_v \) is unitary ramified of conductor \( q_v^{n_v} \); or
- \( \pi_v = \text{St} \otimes \chi_v \), where \( \chi_v \) is unitary unramified,

and for \( v \in \Sigma_{\infty} \),

- \( \pi_v = \text{DS}_{k_v} \), where \( k_v \geq 1 \).

We assume that \( \pi_v \) is unramified for all finite places \( v \) of \( F \) such that \( F_v \) is ramified or of residual characteristic 2. Put \( \Sigma_\pi = \{ v \mid \pi_v \text{ is a discrete series} \} \), \( \Sigma_{\pi, \text{fin}} = \Sigma_\pi \cap \Sigma_{\text{fin}} \), and
\[
\Sigma_{\pi, \text{fin}}' := \{ v \in \Sigma_{\text{fin}} \mid \pi_v \text{ is a ramified principal series} \}.
\]

We consider a non-zero vector \( f = \otimes_v f_v \in \pi \) such that:

- for \( v \in \Sigma_{\text{fin}} \setminus (\Sigma_{\pi, \text{fin}} \cup \Sigma_{\pi, \text{fin}}') \), \( f_v \) is a new vector with respect to \( GL_2(\mathbb{A}_v) \);
- for \( v \in \Sigma_{\pi, \text{fin}} \), \( f_v \) is a new vector with respect to the Iwahori subgroup \( I \) of \( GL_2(F_v) \) given in §6.2;
- for \( v \in \Sigma_{\pi, \text{fin}}' \), \( f_v \) is a new vector with respect to \( (K_{n_v}, \mu_v) \), where \( K_{n_v} \) is the compact subgroup of \( GL_2(F_v) \) given in §6.2 and \( \mu_v \) is the character of \( K_{n_v} \) defined by \( \mu_v(a b c d) = \mu_v(d) \);
- for \( v \in \Sigma_{\infty} \), \( f_v \) is a new vector with respect to the embedding \( h_v : \mathbb{C}^\times \hookrightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{R}) \) defined by
\[
h_v(a + b\sqrt{-1}) = (a b \ b a).
\]

We normalize such a vector \( f \), which is unique up to scalars, so that
\[
W_f \left( \begin{pmatrix} \delta^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) = e^{-2\pi d},
\]
where \( W_f \) is the Whittaker function of \( f \) defined by
\[
W_f(g) = \int_{F \backslash \mathbb{A}} f \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) g \psi(x) \, dx
\]
with the Tamagawa measure \( dx \) on \( \mathbb{A}, \delta = (\infty_v^{d_v}) \in \mathbb{A}^\times_{\text{fin}} \), and \( d = |F : \mathbb{Q}| \) (see also Lemmas 6.8, 6.10, 6.12 and 6.4 below). Let \( \langle f, f \rangle \) be the Petersson norm of \( f \) defined by
\[
\langle f, f \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{A}^\times \backslash GL_2(F) \backslash GL_2(\mathbb{A})} |f(g)|^2 \, dg,
\]
where \( dg \) is the standard measure on \( \mathbb{A}^\times \backslash GL_2(\mathbb{A}) \). In §6.4 below, we will prove:

**Proposition 6.6.** We have
\[
\langle f, f \rangle = 2 \cdot \prod_{v \in \Sigma_{\infty}} \frac{(k_v - 1)!}{2^{2k_v} \cdot \pi^{k_v} + 1} \cdot \prod_{v \in \Sigma_{\pi, \text{fin}}' \cup \Sigma_{\pi, \text{fin}}} \frac{q_v}{q_v + 1} \cdot |D| \cdot L(1, \pi, ad),
\]
where \( L(s, \pi, ad) = \prod_{v \in \Sigma_{\text{fin}}} L(s; \pi_v, ad) \) is the adjoint L-function of \( \pi \).
Let $B, B_1, B_2$ be quaternion algebras over $F$ such that $B = B_1 \cdot B_2$ in the Brauer group. We assume that $\Sigma_B \neq \emptyset$ and $\Sigma_B \cup \Sigma_{B_1} \cup \Sigma_{B_2} \subset \Sigma_\pi$, i.e., $B$ is division and the Jacquet–Langlands transfers $\pi_B, \pi_{B_1}, \pi_{B_2}$ of $\pi$ to $B^\times(A), B_1^\times(A), B_2^\times(A)$ exist. Now, we choose a totally imaginary quadratic extension $E$ of $F$ such that $E$ embeds into $B, B_1, B_2$, and write $E = F + F_1, B = E + E_1, B_1 = E + E_{j_1}, B_2 = E + E_{j_2}$. We also impose the ramification conditions on $u, J, j_1, j_2$ in §5.3. We consider non-zero vectors $f_B = \otimes_v f_{B,v} \in \pi_B$, $f_{B_1} = \otimes_v f_{B_1,v} \in \pi_{B_1}$, $f_{B_2} = \otimes_v f_{B_2,v} \in \pi_{B_2}$ such that:

- for $v \in \Sigma_{\pi,\text{fin}} \setminus (\Sigma_{\pi,\text{fin}} \cup \Sigma'_{\pi,\text{fin}})$, $f_{B,v}, f_{B_1,v}, f_{B_2,v}$ are new vectors with respect to $K, K_1, K_2$, respectively, given in §5.5.4.
- for $v \in \Sigma_{\pi,\text{fin}} \setminus (\Sigma_{B,\text{fin}} \cup \Sigma_{B_1,\text{fin}} \cup \Sigma_{B_2,\text{fin}})$, $f_{B,v}, f_{B_1,v}, f_{B_2,v}$ are new vectors with respect to $I, I_1, I_2$, respectively, given in §5.5.3.4.
- for $v \in \Sigma_{B_1,\text{fin}} \cap \Sigma_{B_2,\text{fin}}$, $f_{B,v}, f_{B_1,v}, f_{B_2,v}$ are new vectors with respect to $I, K_1, K_2$, respectively, given in §5.5.2.
- for $v \in \Sigma'_{\pi,\text{fin}} \setminus (\Sigma_{B,\text{fin}} \cup \Sigma_{B_1,\text{fin}} \cup \Sigma_{B_2,\text{fin}})$, $f_{B,v}, f_{B_1,v}, f_{B_2,v}$ are new vectors with respect to $(K_{u,v}, \mu_v), (K_{1,u,v}, \mu_v), (K_{2,u,v}, \mu_v^{-1}, \mu_v \circ \nu)$, respectively, given in §5.5.2.
- for $v \in \Sigma_{\infty}, f_{B,v}, f_{B_1,v}, f_{B_2,v}$ are new vectors with respect to the embeddings $\mathbb{C}^\times \cong E_v^\times \hookrightarrow B_v^\times$, $\mathbb{C}^\times \cong E_v^\times \hookrightarrow B_{1,v}^\times$, $\mathbb{C}^\times \cong E_v^\times \hookrightarrow B_{2,v}^\times$, respectively, given in §5.5.6 5.5.6.

We fix such vectors $f_B, f_{B_1}, f_{B_2}$, which are unique up to scalars. We emphasize that the 1-dimensional subspaces of $\pi_B, \pi_{B_1}, \pi_{B_2}$ spanned by $f_B, f_{B_1}, f_{B_2}$, respectively, depend on the choice of $E, i, j, j_1, j_2$. Let $(f_B, f_B)$ be the Petersson norm of $f_B$ defined by

$$
\langle f_B, f_B \rangle = \int_{A^\times \times B^\times(A) \setminus \mathbb{A}^\times \times B^\times(A)} |f_B(g)|^2 \, dg,
$$

where $dg$ is the standard measure on $\mathbb{A}^\times \setminus B^\times(A)$. We define $\langle f_{B_1}, f_{B_1} \rangle$ and $\langle f_{B_2}, f_{B_2} \rangle$ similarly.

Let $\varphi = \otimes_v \varphi_v \in S(\mathcal{A}(A))$ be the Schwartz function given in §5.6 where

- $\varphi_v = \varphi_{\mu_v}$ for $v \in \Sigma'_{\pi,\text{fin}}$;
- $\varphi_v = \varphi_{\nu_v}$ for $v \in \Sigma_{\infty} \setminus (\Sigma_B, \Sigma_{B,\text{fin}} \cup \Sigma_{B_1,\text{fin}} \cup \Sigma_{B_2,\text{fin}})$;
- $\varphi_v = \varphi_{\kappa_{u,v}-2}$ for $v \in \Sigma_{B_1,\text{fin}} \cap \Sigma_{B_2,\text{fin}}$;
- $\varphi_v = \varphi_{\kappa_{u,v}-2}$ for $v \in \Sigma_{B_1,\text{fin}} \cap \Sigma_{B_2,\text{fin}}$; we switch the roles of $B_1$ and $B_2$ for $v \in \Sigma_{B,\text{fin}} \cap \Sigma_{B_1,\text{fin}}$.

In §4.2 we have defined the theta lift $\theta_{\varphi}(f_B)$, but for our purposes, we slightly modify its definition: on the right-hand side of §4.1, we take the standard measure on $B^1(\mathbb{A})$ rather than the Tamagawa measure on $B^1(\mathbb{A})$. We regard $\theta_{\varphi}(f_B)$ as an automorphic form on $B_1^\times(A) \times B_2^\times(A)$. Then it follows from the equivariance properties of $\varphi$ that there exists a constant $\alpha(B_1, B_2) \in \mathbb{C}$ (once we fix $f_B, f_{B_1}, f_{B_2}$) such that

$$
\theta_{\varphi}(f_B) = \alpha(B_1, B_2) \cdot (f_B_1 \times f_B_2).
$$

Now we state an explicit Rallis inner product formula.

**Theorem 6.7.** We have

$$
|\alpha(B_1, B_2)|^2 \cdot \langle f_{B_1}, f_{B_1} \rangle \cdot \langle f_{B_2}, f_{B_2} \rangle = C \cdot \langle f, f \rangle \cdot \langle f_B, f_B \rangle,
$$

where $C$ is a constant depending on the choice of $E$.\]
where \( C = |D|^2 \cdot \prod_v C_v \) with

\[
C_v = \begin{cases}
1 & \text{if } v \in \Sigma_{\text{fin}} \setminus (\Sigma_{\pi, \text{fin}} \cup \Sigma'_{\pi, \text{fin}}), \\
\frac{q_v}{(q_v + 1)^2} & \text{if } v \in \Sigma_{\pi, \text{fin}} \setminus (\Sigma_{B, \text{fin}} \cup \Sigma_{B', \text{fin}} \cup \Sigma_{B_2, \text{fin}}), \\
q_v & \text{if } v \in \Sigma_{B_1, \text{fin}} \cap \Sigma_{B_2, \text{fin}}, \\
q_v & \text{if } v \in \Sigma_{B, \text{fin}}, \\
\frac{q_v^2 - 3(q_v - 1)(q_v + 1)^2}{2^{2k_v + 2} \pi^{k_v}} & \text{if } v \in \Sigma'_{\pi, \text{fin}}, \\
\frac{k_v!}{2^{2k_v - 2} \pi^{k_v - 2}} & \text{if } v \in \Sigma_{\infty} \setminus (\Sigma_{B, \infty} \cup \Sigma_{B_1, \infty} \cup \Sigma_{B_2, \infty}), \\
(k_v - 1)^2 \cdot (k_v - 2)! & \text{if } v \in \Sigma_{B_1, \infty} \cap \Sigma_{B_2, \infty}, \\
(k_v - 1)^2 \cdot (k_v - 2)! & \text{if } v \in \Sigma_{B, \infty}.
\end{cases}
\]

Proof. By Proposition [4.9] we have

\[
C_{B_1} \cdot C_{B_2} \cdot (C_B^1)^2 \cdot |\alpha(B_1, B_2)|^2 \cdot \langle f_{B_1}, f_{B_1} \rangle \cdot \langle f_{B_2}, f_{B_2} \rangle = 2 \cdot C_B \cdot C_B^1 \cdot \frac{L^S(1, \pi, \text{ad})}{\zeta_B(2)^2} \cdot \langle f_B, f_B \rangle \cdot \prod_{v \in S} Z_v
\]

for a sufficiently large finite set \( S \) of places of \( F \), where

- \( C_B \) is the constant such that \( dg_{\text{Tam}} = C_B \cdot dg \), where \( dg_{\text{Tam}} \) is the Tamagawa measure on \( \mathbb{A}^\times \setminus B^\times(\mathbb{A}) \) and \( dg \) is the standard measure on \( \mathbb{A}^\times \setminus B^\times(\mathbb{A}) \); we define \( C_{B_1} \) and \( C_{B_2} \) similarly;
- \( C_B^1 \) is the constant such that \( dg_{\text{Tam}}^1 = C_B^1 \cdot dg_{\text{ad}} \), where \( dg_{\text{Tam}}^1 \) is the Tamagawa measure on \( B^1(\mathbb{A}) \) and \( dg_{\text{ad}} \) is the standard measure on \( B^1(\mathbb{A}) \);
- \( Z_v \) is the integral defined by

\[
Z_v = \int_{B_v^1} \langle \omega_v(g_{1,v}, \varphi_v, \varphi_v) \langle \pi_{B,v}(g_{1,v}) f_{B,v}, f_{B,v} \rangle \rangle dq_{1,v}
\]

(cf. (4.2)), where

- the hermitian inner product \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \) on \( S(\mathbb{A}_v) \) is normalized as in (4.2);
- the invariant hermitian inner product \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \) on \( \pi_{B,v} \) is normalized so that \( \langle f_{B,v}, f_{B,v} \rangle = 1 \);
- \( dq_{1,v} \) is the standard measure on \( B_v^1 \).

Hence, by (6.1) and Lemmas [6.1, 6.3] we have

\[
|\alpha(B_1, B_2)|^2 \cdot \langle f_{B_1}, f_{B_1} \rangle \cdot \langle f_{B_2}, f_{B_2} \rangle = C' \cdot L(1, \pi, \text{ad}) \cdot \langle f_B, f_B \rangle \cdot \prod_{v \in S_{\text{fin}}} \frac{\zeta_v(2)^2}{L(1, \pi_v, \text{ad})} \cdot \prod_{v \in S} Z_v,
\]

where \( S_{\text{fin}} = S \cap \Sigma_{\text{fin}} \) and

\[
C' = \frac{2 \cdot C_B}{C_{B_1} \cdot C_{B_2} \cdot C_B^1 \cdot \zeta_B(2)^2} = 2 \cdot 2^{\Sigma_{\infty} \setminus \Sigma_{B, \infty}} \cdot (2\pi)^{-|\Sigma_{\infty} \setminus \Sigma_{B_1, \infty}| - |\Sigma_{\infty} \setminus \Sigma_{B_2, \infty}| \cdot (4\pi^2)^{-|\Sigma_{B_1, \infty}| - |\Sigma_{B_2, \infty}|}
\times \prod_{v \in \Sigma_{B_1, \infty}} (q_v - 1) \cdot \prod_{v \in \Sigma_{B_2, \infty}} (q_v - 1) \cdot |D|^3
\]

\[
= 2 \cdot |D|^3 \prod_v C_v
\]
with

\[
C'_v = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } v \in \Sigma_{\text{fin}} \setminus (\Sigma_{B,\text{fin}} \cup \Sigma_{B_1,\text{fin}} \cup \Sigma_{B_2,\text{fin}}), \\
(q_v - 1)^2 & \text{if } v \in \Sigma_{B_1,\text{fin}} \cap \Sigma_{B_2,\text{fin}}, \\
q_v - 1 & \text{if } v \in \Sigma_{B,\text{fin}}, \\
(2\pi^2)^{-1} & \text{if } v \in \Sigma_{\infty} \setminus (\Sigma_{B,\infty} \cup \Sigma_{B_1,\infty} \cup \Sigma_{B_2,\infty}), \\
(8\pi^4)^{-1} & \text{if } v \in \Sigma_{B_1,\infty} \cap \Sigma_{B_2,\infty}, \\
(8\pi^3)^{-1} & \text{if } v \in \Sigma_{B,\infty}.
\end{cases}
\]

Moreover, by Proposition 6.6 we have

\[
|\alpha(B_1, B_2)|^2 \cdot \langle f_{B_1}, f_{B_1} \rangle \cdot \langle f_{B_2}, f_{B_2} \rangle = C'' \cdot \langle f, f \rangle \cdot \langle f_{B_1}, f_{B_1} \rangle \cdot \prod_{v \in S_{\text{fin}}} \frac{\zeta_v(2)^2}{L(1, \pi_v, \text{ad})} \cdot \prod_{v \in S} Z_v,
\]

where \(C'' = |D|^2 \cdot \prod_v C''_v\) with

\[
C''_v = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } v \in \Sigma_{\text{fin}} \setminus (\Sigma_{\pi,\text{fin}} \cup \Sigma'_{\pi,\text{fin}}), \\
q_v + 1 & \text{if } v \in \Sigma_{\pi,\text{fin}} \setminus (\Sigma_{B,\text{fin}} \cup \Sigma_{B_1,\text{fin}} \cup \Sigma_{B_2,\text{fin}}), \\
(q_v - 1)^2(q_v + 1) & \text{if } v \in \Sigma_{B_1,\text{fin}} \cap \Sigma_{B_2,\text{fin}}, \\
q_v(q_v + 1) & \text{if } v \in \Sigma_{B,\text{fin}}, \\
q_v & \text{if } v \in \Sigma'_{\pi,\text{fin}}, \\
\frac{q_v}{2k_v\pi k_v - 1} & \text{if } v \in \Sigma_{\infty} \setminus (\Sigma_{B,\infty} \cup \Sigma_{B_1,\infty} \cup \Sigma_{B_2,\infty}), \\
\frac{2k_v - 2\pi k_v - 3}{(k_v - 1)!} & \text{if } v \in \Sigma_{B_1,\infty} \cap \Sigma_{B_2,\infty}, \\
\frac{2k_v - 2\pi k_v - 2}{(k_v - 1)!} & \text{if } v \in \Sigma_{B,\infty}.
\end{cases}
\]

Now Theorem 6.7 follows from this and Lemmas 6.21, 6.22, 6.23, 6.24, 6.25, 6.26 in [6.6] below, where we compute the integral \(Z_v\) explicitly. \(\square\)

6.4. Computation of \(\langle f, f \rangle\). Proposition 6.3 follows from a standard computation of the Rankin–Selberg integral, but we give the details of the proof for the convenience of the reader. We retain the notation of [6.3] Put

\[
n(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad t(y) = \begin{pmatrix} y \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

By Proposition 3.1, we have

\[
C \cdot \langle f, f \rangle = \frac{2}{\rho_F} \cdot \frac{\text{Res}_{s=1} L^S(s, \pi \times \pi^\vee)}{\zeta_F(2)} \cdot |D|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \prod_{v \in S} ||W_v||^2
\]

for a sufficiently large finite set \(S\) of places of \(F\), where

- \(C\) is the constant such that \(dg_{\text{Tam}} = C \cdot dg\), where \(dg_{\text{Tam}}\) is the Tamagawa measure on \(A^\times \setminus GL_2(A)\) and \(dg\) is the standard measure on \(A^\times \setminus GL_2(A)\);
- the Whittaker function \(W_f\) of \(f\) is decomposed as a product \(W_f = \prod_v W_v\), where \(W_v\) is the Whittaker function of \(\pi_v\) with respect to \(\psi_v\) normalized so that
  - \(W_v(t(\varpi_v^{-d_v})) = 1\) for \(v \in \Sigma_{\text{fin}} \setminus (\Sigma_{\pi,\text{fin}} \cup \Sigma'_{\pi,\text{fin}})\);
  - \(W_v(1) = 1\) for \(v \in \Sigma_{\pi,\text{fin}}\);
· $W_v(1) = 1$ for $v \in \Sigma'_{\pi, \text{fin}}$;
· $W_v(1) = e^{-2\pi}$ for $v \in \Sigma_{\infty}$;
· $\|W_v\|^2$ is the integral defined by

$$\|W_v\|^2 = \int_{F_v^\times} |W_v(t(y_v))|^2 d^\times y_v,$$

where $d^\times y_v$ is the standard measure on $F_v^\times$.

We remark that:
· the volume of $F^\times \setminus \mathbb{A}^1$ given in [80, Proposition 3.1] is equal to $\rho_F$,
· $\prod_v d^\times y_v^{\text{Tam}} = |D|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \prod_v d^\times y_v$.

Hence, by (6.1) and Lemma 6.1, we have

$$\langle f, f \rangle = 2 \cdot (2\pi)^{-[F:Q]} \cdot |D| \cdot L(1, \pi, \text{ad}) \cdot \prod_{v \in S_{\text{fin}}} \frac{\zeta_v(2)}{\zeta_v(1) \cdot L(1, \pi_v, \text{ad})} \cdot \prod_{v \in S} \|W_v\|^2,$$

where $S_{\text{fin}} = S \cap \Sigma_{\text{fin}}$. Now Proposition 6.6 follows from this and Lemmas 6.9, 6.11, 6.13, 6.14 below, where we compute the integral $\|W_v\|^2$ explicitly.

For the rest of this subsection, we fix a place $v$ of $F$ and suppress the subscript $v$ from the notation.

### 6.4.1. The case $v \in \Sigma_{\text{fin}} \setminus (\Sigma_{\pi, \text{fin}} \cup \Sigma'_{\pi, \text{fin}})$.

Recall that $\pi = \text{Ind}(\chi \otimes \mu)$, where $\chi$ and $\mu$ are unitary unramified. Put $\alpha = \chi(\varpi)$ and $\beta = \mu(\varpi)$. We have

$$L(s, \pi, \text{ad}) = \frac{1}{(1 - q^{-s})(1 - \alpha\beta^{-1}q^{-s})(1 - \alpha^{-1}\beta q^{-s})}.$$

**Lemma 6.8.** We have

$$W(t(\varpi^{i-d})) = \begin{cases} q^{-\frac{i}{2}} \cdot \frac{\alpha^{i+1} - \beta^{i+1}}{\alpha - \beta} & \text{if } i \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } i < 0. \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** Recall that $d$ is the non-negative integer such that $\psi$ is trivial on $\varpi^{-d}\mathfrak{o}$ but non-trivial on $\varpi^{-d-1}\mathfrak{o}$. We define a non-trivial character $\psi^0$ of $F$ of order zero by $\psi^0(x) = \psi(\varpi^{-d}x)$. Let $W^0$ be the Whittaker function of $\pi$ with respect to $\psi^0$ such that

· $W^0(gk) = W^0(g)$ for all $g \in \text{GL}_2(F)$ and $k \in \text{GL}_2(\mathfrak{o})$,
· $W^0(1) = 1$.

Then we have $W(g) = W^0(t(\varpi^d))g$, so that the assertion follows from the Casselman–Shalika formula [8].

**Lemma 6.9.** We have

$$\|W\|^2 = \frac{\zeta(1) \cdot L(1, \pi, \text{ad})}{\zeta(2)}.$$
Proof. By Lemma 6.8, we have
\[ \| W \|_2 = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} |W(t(x^{-d}))|^2 \]
\[ = \frac{1}{(\alpha - \beta)(\alpha^{-1} - \beta^{-1})} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} q^{-i}(\alpha^{i+1} - \beta^{i+1})(\alpha^{-i-1} - \beta^{-i-1}) \]
\[ = \frac{1}{(\alpha - \beta)(\alpha^{-1} - \beta^{-1})} \cdot \left( \frac{1}{1 - q^{-1}} - \frac{\alpha\beta^{-1}}{1 - \alpha\beta^{-1}q^{-1}} - \frac{\alpha^{-1}\beta}{1 - \alpha^{-1}\beta q^{-1}} + \frac{1}{1 - q^{-1}} \right) \]
\[ = \frac{1 + q^{-1}}{(1 - q^{-1})(1 - \alpha\beta^{-1}q^{-1})(1 - \alpha^{-1}\beta q^{-1})}. \]

6.4.2. The case \( v \in \Sigma_{\pi, \text{fin}} \). Recall that \( \pi = St \otimes \chi \), where \( \chi \) is unitary unramified. Put \( \alpha = \chi(\varpi) \). We have
\[ L(s, \pi, \text{ad}) = \zeta(s + 1). \]

Lemma 6.10. We have
\[ W(t(x^i)) = \begin{cases} q^{-i} \cdot \alpha^i & \text{if } i \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } i < 0. \end{cases} \]

Proof. We may assume that \( \chi = 1 \). We recall the exact sequence
\[ 0 \rightarrow St \rightarrow \text{Ind}(| \cdot |^{\frac{1}{2}} \otimes | \cdot |^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \rightarrow M \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 0, \]
where \( M : \text{Ind}(| \cdot |^{\frac{1}{2}} \otimes | \cdot |^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \rightarrow \text{Ind}(| \cdot |^{-\frac{1}{2}} \otimes | \cdot |^{\frac{1}{2}}) \) is the intertwining operator defined by
\[ M(f)(g) = \int_{F} f(wn(x)g) \, dx \]
with the Haar measure \( dx \) on \( F \) such that \( \text{vol}(\mathfrak{o}, dx) = 1 \). In particular, we have
\[ St = \{ f \in \text{Ind}(| \cdot |^{\frac{1}{2}} \otimes | \cdot |^{-\frac{1}{2}}) | M(f)(1) = 0 \}. \]

Also, we have
\[ \dim_{\mathbb{C}} St' = 1, \quad \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Ind}(| \cdot |^{\frac{1}{2}} \otimes | \cdot |^{-\frac{1}{2}})' = 2. \]

Let \( f_1, f_w \) be the basis of \( \text{Ind}(| \cdot |^{\frac{1}{2}} \otimes | \cdot |^{-\frac{1}{2}})' \) determined by
\[ f_1|_{GL_2(\mathfrak{o})} = I, \quad f_w|_{GL_2(\mathfrak{o})} = I_w I. \]

Then \( f_1 - q^{-1} f_w \) is a basis of \( St' \). Indeed, noting that
\[ wn(x) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 1 & x \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x^{-1} & -1 \\ -1 & x \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x^{-1} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \]
we have
\[ M(f_1)(1) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{A} \setminus G} |x|^{-2} \, dx = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} q^{-j}(1 - q^{-1}) = q^{-1} \]
and
\[ M(f_w)(1) = \int_{\mathfrak{o}} dx = 1. \]

We consider the Jacquet integral
\[ W_k(g) := \int_{F} f_k(wn(x)g) \psi(x) \, dx \]
for \( k = 1, w \), where we recall that \( \psi \) is assumed to be of order zero. We have
\[
W_k(t(y)) = |y|^{-1} \int_{F} f_k \left( w n(x y^{-1}) \right) \overline{\psi(x)} \, dx = \int_{F} f_k \left( w n(x) \right) \overline{\psi(xy)} \, dx.
\]
If \( k = 1 \), then we have
\[
W_1(t(y)) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathcal{w}^{-j} \mathfrak{o}^\times} |x|^{-2} \overline{\psi(xy)} \, dx = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} q^{-j} \cdot \mathbb{I}_{\mathfrak{o}^\times} (\mathcal{w}^{-j} y).
\]
Since
\[
\mathbb{I}_{\mathfrak{o}^\times}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - q^{-1} & \text{if } x \in \mathfrak{o}, \\ -q^{-1} & \text{if } x \in \mathcal{w}^{-1} \mathfrak{o}^\times, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}
\]
we have
\[
W_1(t(\mathcal{w}^i)) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sum_{j=1}^{i} q^{-j} (1 - q^{-1}) + q^{-(i+1)} \cdot (-q^{-1}) = q^{-1} - q^{-i-1} - q^{-i-2} & \text{if } i > 0, \\ q^{-1} \cdot (-q^{-1}) = -q^{-2} & \text{if } i = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } i < 0. \end{array} \right.
\]
If \( k = w \), then we have
\[
W_w(t(y)) = \int_{\mathfrak{o}} \overline{\psi(xy)} \, dx = \mathbb{I}_{\mathfrak{o}}(y).
\]
Hence, if we put \( W = W_1 - q^{-1} W_w \), then we have
\[
W(t(\mathcal{w}^i)) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} -q^{-i-1} (1 + q^{-1}) & \text{if } i \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } i < 0. \end{array} \right.
\]
Thus \( W = W(1)^{-1} \cdot W \) and the assertion follows. \( \square \)

**Lemma 6.11.** We have
\[
\|W\|^2 = L(1, \pi, \mathrm{ad}).
\]

**Proof.** By Lemma 6.10 we have
\[
\|W\|^2 = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} |W(t(\mathcal{w}^i))|^2 = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} q^{-2i} = \frac{1}{1 - q^{-2}}.
\]

**6.4.3. The case \( v \in \Sigma'_{\pi, \text{fin}} \).** Recall that \( \pi = \text{Ind}(\chi \otimes \mu) \), where \( \chi \) is unitary unramified and \( \mu \) is unitary ramified of conductor \( q^n \). Put \( \alpha = \chi(\mathcal{w}) \). We have \( L(s, \pi, \text{ad}) = \zeta(s) \).

**Lemma 6.12.** We have
\[
W(t(\mathcal{w}^i)) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} q^{-\frac{i}{2}} \cdot \alpha^i & \text{if } i \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } i < 0. \end{array} \right.
\]

**Proof.** Let \( f \in \text{Ind}(\chi \otimes \mu) \) be the new vector with respect to \((K_n, \mu)\) determined by
\[
f|_{\text{GL}_2(\mathfrak{o})} = \mathbb{I}_{K_n} \mu.
\]
We consider the Jacquet integral
\[
\mathcal{W}(g) := \int_{F} f(w n(x) g) \overline{\psi(x)} \, dx,
\]
where we recall that $\psi$ is assumed to be of order zero. We have

$$W(t(y)) = \mu(y)|y|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_I f(w_n(xy^{-1})) \psi(xy) dx = \mu(y)|y|^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_I f(w_n(x)) \psi(xy) dx.$$ 

Noting that

$$w_n(x) = \left(1 - 1 \right) \left(x^{-1} - 1 \right) \left(1 - 1 \right),$$

we have

$$\int_I f(w_n(x)) \psi(xy) dx = \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi(x) \mu(x)|x|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \psi(xy) dx = \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \alpha^j \mu(\varpi)^{-j} \cdot \mu(\varpi^{-j} y).$$

Since $\pi = \psi^{-1} \cdot g(\mu, \psi) \cdot \mathbb{R} \cdot \mu^{-1}$, where

$$g(\mu, \psi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mu(x) \psi(x) dx,$$

we have

$$W(t(\varpi^i)) = \mu(\varpi)^i q^{-\frac{i}{2}} \cdot \alpha^{i+n} \mu(\varpi)^{-(i+n)} \cdot q^n \cdot g(\mu, \psi) \cdot \mu(\varpi)^n$$

if $i \geq 0$, and $W(t(\varpi^i)) = 0$ if $i < 0$. Thus $W = W(1)^{-1} \cdot W$ and the assertion follows.

**Lemma 6.13.** We have

$$\|W\| = L(1, \pi, \text{ad}).$$

**Proof.** By Lemma 6.12 we have

$$\|W\|^2 = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} |W(t(\varpi^i))|^2 = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} q^{-i} = \frac{1}{1 - q^{-1}}.$$

6.4.4. The case $v \in \Sigma_{\infty}$. Recall that $\pi = \text{DS}_k$ and $\psi(x) = e^{2\pi \sqrt{-1} x}$. It is known that

$$W(t(y)) = \begin{cases} y^k e^{-2\pi y} & \text{if } y > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } y < 0. \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 6.14.** We have

$$\|W\|^2 = \frac{(k-1)!}{(4\pi)^k}.$$ 

**Proof.** By 6.4, we have

$$\|W\|^2 = \int_0^\infty |W(t(y))|^2 \frac{dy}{y} = \int_0^\infty y^{k-1} e^{-4\pi y} dy = \frac{\Gamma(k)}{(4\pi)^k}.$$
6.5. Matrix coefficients of the Weil representation. Suppose that $F$ is local. In this subsection, we compute the function

$$
\Phi(g) := \langle \omega_\psi(g) \varphi, \varphi \rangle
$$

on $U(W) \cong B^1$ explicitly, where $\varphi \in S(\mathcal{X})$ is the Schwartz function given in §5.6. Since $\varphi$ is the partial Fourier transform of the Schwartz function $\varphi' \in S(\mathcal{X}')$ given in §5.5, we have

$$
\Phi(g) = \langle \omega_\psi(g) \varphi', \varphi' \rangle.
$$

Put

$$
m(a) = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad n(b) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}
$$

for $a \in F^\times$, $b \in F$.

6.5.1. The case (ur). We identify $B^\times$ with $\text{GL}_2(F)$ via:

- the isomorphism $i$ given by (5.1) if $E$ is split and $F$ is unramified;
- the isomorphism $\text{Ad} \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -d \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \circ i$, where $i$ is the isomorphism given by (5.1) and $\varpi^{-d} \mathfrak{o}$ is the inverse different, if $E$ is split and $F$ is ramified;
- the isomorphism $i$ given by (5.7) if $E$ is inert and $J \in (F^\times)^2$;
- any fixed isomorphism $i : B \to M_2(F)$ such that $i(\mathfrak{o}_B) = M_2(\mathfrak{o})$, where $\mathfrak{o}_B$ is the maximal order in $B$ given in §5.5.1.4 if $E$ is inert, and $J_1 \in (F^\times)^2$ or $J_2 \in (F^\times)^2$;
- the isomorphism $i$ given by (5.7) if $E$ is ramified.

Under this identification, we have $\mathcal{K} = \text{GL}_2(\mathfrak{o})$, where $\mathcal{K}$ is the maximal compact subgroup of $B^\times$ given in §5.5.1.

Lemma 6.15. We have $\Phi(m(a)) = |a|^2$ for $a \in \mathfrak{o} \setminus \{0\}$.

Proof. Put

$$
\phi(a) := \int_F \mathbb{I}_\psi(ax) \mathbb{I}_\psi(x) \, dx = q^{-\frac{d}{2}} \times \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a \in \mathfrak{o}, \\ |a|^{-1} & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}
$$

where $dx$ is the self-dual Haar measure on $F$ with respect to $\psi$. Note that $d = 0$ unless $E$ is split and $F$ is ramified.

Assume that $E$ is split and $F$ is unramified. We use the notation of §5.5.1. Then the Weil representation $\omega_\psi$ on $S(\mathcal{X}')$ is given in §5.2.1. We have

$$
\Phi(m(a)) = |a|^2 \cdot \int_{\mathcal{X}'} \varphi'(ax) \varphi''(x) \, dx = |a|^2 \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{4} \int_F \mathbb{I}_\psi(ax_i) \mathbb{I}_\psi(x_i) \, dx_i = |a|^2 \cdot \phi(a)^4.
$$

This yields the desired identity.

Assume that $E$ is split and $F$ is ramified. We use the notation of §5.5.1.2. Then the Weil representation $\omega_\psi$ on $S(\mathcal{X}')$ is given in §5.2.1 where $B^\times$ is identified with $\text{GL}_2(F)$ via $i$ rather than $\text{Ad} \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -d \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \circ i$. We have

$$
\Phi(m(a)) = |a|^2 \cdot \int_{\mathcal{X}'} \varphi'(ax) \varphi''(x) \, dx = q^{2d} \cdot |a|^2 \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{4} \int_F \mathbb{I}_\psi(ax_i) \mathbb{I}_\psi(x_i) \, dx_i = q^{2d} \cdot |a|^2 \cdot \phi(a)^4.
$$

This yields the desired identity.
Assume that $E$ is inert and $J \in (F^\times)^2$. We use the notation of \[\text{(5.5.1.3)}\] Then the Weil representation $\omega_\psi$ on $S(X')$ is given in \[\text{(5.2.2)}\] We have

$$\Phi(m(a)) = |a|^2 \cdot \int_{X'} \varphi'(ax)\overline{\varphi'(x)} \, dx = |a|^2 \cdot \prod_{i=1}^4 \int_F \mathbb{I}_\sigma(ax_i)\mathbb{I}_\sigma(x_i) \, dx_i = |a|^2 \cdot \varphi(a)^4.$$  

This yields the desired identity.

Assume that $E$ is inert and $J_1 \in (F^\times)^2$; the case when $E$ is inert and $J_2 \in (F^\times)^2$ is similar. We use the notation of \[\text{(5.5.1.4)}\] Then the Weil representation $\omega_\psi$ on $S(X')$ is given in \[\text{(5.2.3)}\] We have

$$\Phi(m(a)) = \int_{X'} \varphi'(xam(a))\overline{\varphi'(x)} \, dx = \int_{M_2(F)} \varphi'(xam(a))\overline{\varphi'(x)} \, dx = \varphi(a)^2 \cdot \varphi(a^{-1})^2,$$

where we identify $X' \cong W$ with $M_2(F)$ via the fixed isomorphism $i$ and normalize the Haar measure on $M_2(F)$ so that $\operatorname{vol}(M_2(\mathfrak{o})) = 1$. This yields the desired identity.

Assume that $E$ is ramified. We use the notation of \[\text{(5.5.1.5)}\] Then the Weil representation $\omega_\psi$ on $S(X')$ is given in \[\text{(5.2.4)}\] We have

$$\Phi(m(a)) = |a|^2 \cdot \int_{X'} \varphi'(ax)\overline{\varphi'(x)} \, dx = |a|^2 \cdot \prod_{i=1}^4 \int_F \mathbb{I}_\sigma(ax_i)\mathbb{I}_\sigma(x_i) \, dx_i = |a|^2 \cdot \varphi(a)^4.$$  

This yields the desired identity. 

\[\square\]

6.5.2. The case (RPS). We identify $B^\times$ with $GL_2(F)$ via the isomorphism $i$ given by \[\text{(5.1)}\]. Under this identification, we have $\mathcal{K} = GL_2(\mathfrak{o})$, where $\mathcal{K}$ is the maximal compact subgroup of $B^\times$ given in \[\text{(5.5.2)}\]. We write $\Phi = \Phi_\mu$ to indicate the dependence of $\varphi = \varphi_\mu$ on a unitary ramified character $\mu$ of conductor $q^n$.

\textbf{Lemma 6.16.} We have

$$\Phi_\mu(n(b)m(a)) = \begin{cases} \mu(a) & \text{if } a \in \mathfrak{o}^\times \text{ and } b \in \mathfrak{o}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

\textbf{Proof.} We use the notation of \[\text{(5.5.2)}\] Then the Weil representation $\omega_\psi$ on $S(X')$ is given in \[\text{(5.2.4)}\] We have

$$\Phi_\mu(n(b)m(a)) = |a|^2 \cdot \int_{X'} \varphi'(ax)\overline{\varphi'(x)} \varphi^\prime \left( \frac{1}{2} b(x, x) \right) \, dx = q^{n+1}(q-1)^{-1} \cdot \mu(a) \cdot |a|^2 \times \int_{F_4} \mathbb{I}_\sigma(ax_1)\mathbb{I}_\sigma(ax_2)\mathbb{I}_\sigma(ax_3)\mathbb{I}_\sigma(ax_4)\psi(b(x_1x_4 - x_2x_3)) \, dx_1 \, dx_2 \, dx_3 \, dx_4.$$  

Since $\mathbb{I}_\sigma(ax_4)\mathbb{I}_\sigma(ax_4) = \mathbb{I}_\sigma(a)\mathbb{I}_\sigma(ax_4)$, the above integral is zero unless $a \in \mathfrak{o}^\times$, in which case it is equal to

$$\int_{F_4} \mathbb{I}_\sigma(ax_1)\mathbb{I}_\sigma(ax_2)\mathbb{I}_\sigma(ax_3)\mathbb{I}_\sigma(ax_4)\psi(b(x_1x_4 - x_2x_3)) \, dx_1 \, dx_2 \, dx_3 \, dx_4 = \int_{F} \mathbb{I}_\sigma(bx_3)\mathbb{I}_\sigma(bx_4) \, dx_3 \cdot \int_{F} \mathbb{I}_\sigma(bx_4) \, dx_4 = \begin{cases} q^{-n}(1 - q^{-1}) & \text{if } b \in \mathfrak{o}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$  

This yields the lemma. \[\square\]
6.5.3. **The case (st).** We identify $B^\times$ with $\GL_2(F)$ via:

- the isomorphism $i$ given by (5.1) if $B_1$ and $B_2$ are split;
- the isomorphism $i$ given by (5.7) if $B_1$ and $B_2$ are ramified.

Under this identification, we have $\mathcal{K} = \GL_2(\mathfrak{o})$, where $\mathcal{K}$ is the maximal compact subgroup of $B^\times$ given in (5.5.3). Put

$$w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$  

**Lemma 6.17.** We have

$$\Phi(m(\varpi^i)) = \begin{cases} q^{-2i} & \text{if } i \geq 0, \\
q^{2i} & \text{if } i < 0, \end{cases}$$

$$\Phi(m(\varpi^i)w) = \begin{cases} \gamma_{B_1} \cdot q^{-2i-1} & \text{if } i \geq 0, \\
\gamma_{B_1} \cdot q^{2i+1} & \text{if } i < 0, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\gamma_{B_1} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } B_1 \text{ is split}, \\
-1 & \text{if } B_1 \text{ is ramified}. \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** For convenience, we write $\mathfrak{p}^i = \varpi^i \mathfrak{o}$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Put

$$\phi(j, k) := \int_{\mathfrak{p}^j} \mathbb{I}_p^i(x) \mathbb{I}_{p^k}(x) \, dx = \begin{cases} q^{-j} & \text{if } j \geq k, \\
q^{-k} & \text{if } j < k \end{cases}$$

for $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $dx$ is the self-dual Haar measure on $F$ with respect to $\psi$.

Assume that $B_1$ and $B_2$ are split. We use the notation of (5.5.3.1) Then the Weil representation $\omega_\psi$ on $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{X}')$ is given in (5.2.1). We have

$$\varphi'(x) = q^{\frac{i}{2}} \cdot \mathbb{I}_p(x_1) \mathbb{I}_p(x_2) \mathbb{I}_p(x_3) \mathbb{I}_p(x_4),$$

$$\omega_\psi(w) \varphi'(x) = q^{-\frac{i}{2}} \cdot \mathbb{I}_p(x_1) \mathbb{I}_p(x_2) \mathbb{I}_p(x_3) \mathbb{I}_p(x_4),$$

so that

$$\Phi(m(\varpi^i)) = q^{-2i} \cdot \int_{\mathcal{X}'} \varphi'(\varpi^i x) \overline{\varphi'(x)} \, dx = q^{-2i+1} \cdot \phi(-i, 0)^3 \cdot \phi(-i + 1, 1),$$

$$\Phi(m(\varpi^i)w) = q^{-2i} \cdot \int_{\mathcal{X}'} \omega_\psi(w) \varphi'(\varpi^i x) \overline{\varphi'(x)} \, dx = q^{-2i} \cdot \phi(-i, 0)^2 \cdot \phi(-i - 1, 0) \cdot \phi(-i, 1).$$

This yields the desired identity.

Assume that $B_1$ and $B_2$ are ramified. We use the notation of (5.5.3.2). Then the Weil representation $\omega_\psi$ on $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{X}')$ is given in (5.7.2). We have

$$\varphi'(x) = q^{\frac{i}{2}} \cdot \mathbb{I}_o(x_1) \mathbb{I}_o(x_2) \mathbb{I}_o(x_3) \mathbb{I}_o(x_4),$$

$$\omega_\psi(w) \varphi'(x) = q^{-\frac{i}{2}} \cdot \mathbb{I}_o(x_1) \mathbb{I}_o(x_2) \mathbb{I}_o(x_3) \mathbb{I}_o(x_4),$$

so that

$$\Phi(m(\varpi^i)) = q^{-2i} \cdot \int_{\mathcal{X}'} \varphi'(\varpi^i x) \overline{\varphi'(x)} \, dx = q^{-2i} \cdot \phi(-i, 0)^4,$$

$$\Phi(m(\varpi^i)w) = q^{-2i} \cdot \int_{\mathcal{X}'} \omega_\psi(w) \varphi'(\varpi^i x) \overline{\varphi'(x)} \, dx = q^{-2i-1} \cdot \phi(-i, 0)^2 \cdot \phi(-i - 1, 0)^2.$$  

This yields the desired identity.  

$\square$
6.5.4. The case (1d). Let $\mathcal{K} = \mathfrak{o}_B^\times$ be the unique maximal compact subgroup of $B^\times$. We have $B^1 \subset \mathcal{K}$. 

**Lemma 6.18.** We have $\Phi(g) = 1$ for $g \in B^1$.

*Proof.** We use the notation of **6.5.4.** We have $\omega_\psi(g) \varphi' = \varphi'$ and hence $\Phi(g) = \langle \varphi', \varphi' \rangle = 1$ for all $g \in B^1$. □

6.5.5. The case (ds). We identify $B^\times$ with $\text{GL}_2(F)$ via the isomorphism $i$ given by (5.7). We write $\Phi = \Phi_k$ to indicate the dependence of $\varphi = \varphi_k$ on a non-negative integer $k$.

**Lemma 6.19.** We have

$$\Phi_k(m(a)) = \left( \frac{a + a^{-1}}{2} \right)^{-k-2}$$

for $a > 0$.

*Proof.** Assume that $B_1$ and $B_2$ are split. We use the notation of **6.5.6.** Then the Weil representation $\omega_\psi$ on $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{K}')$ is given in **6.2.2**. We have

$$\Phi_k(m(a)) = a^2 \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \varphi'(ax) \varphi'(x) \, dx$$

$$= \left|\frac{u}{4}\right| \cdot c_k^{-1} \cdot a^{k+2} \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} (x_2^2 - ux_1^2)^k \cdot e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}(a^2+1)(x_2^2-ux_1^2+x_1^2-ux_2^2)} \, dx_1 \cdots dx_4$$

$$= \left|\frac{u}{4}\right| \cdot c_k^{-1} \cdot a^{k+2} \cdot v^{-2} \cdot \left( \frac{\pi}{2v} (a^2+1) \right)^{-k-2} \cdot \phi(k) \cdot \phi(0),$$

where

$$\phi(k) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x^2 + y^2)^k e^{-(x^2+y^2)} \, dx \, dy$$

with the Lebesgue measures $dx$, $dy$ on $\mathbb{R}$. Since

$$\phi(k) = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\infty r^{2k} e^{-r^2} r \, dr \, d\theta = \pi \cdot \int_0^\infty r^k e^{-r^2} \, dr = \pi \cdot k!,$$

we have

$$\Phi_k(m(a)) = \left|\frac{u}{4}\right| \cdot \frac{4\pi^k}{k! |u|^{k+1}} \cdot a^{k+2} \cdot v^{-2} \cdot \left( \frac{\pi}{2v} (a^2+1) \right)^{-k-2} \cdot \pi^2 \cdot k! = \left( \frac{a + a^{-1}}{2} \right)^{-k-2}.$$

Assume that $B_1$ and $B_2$ are ramified. We use the notation of **6.5.6.** Then the Weil representation $\omega_\psi$ on $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{K}')$ is given in **6.2.2** and the computation is the same as in the case when $B_1$ and $B_2$ are split. □

6.5.6. The case (fd). We identify $\mathbb{C}^\times$ with a subgroup of $B^\times$ via the isomorphism $E \cong \mathbb{C}$ such that $i/\sqrt{-1} > 0$ and the embedding $E \hookrightarrow B$. Let $\phi_k$ be the matrix coefficient of $\text{Sym}^{k}$ such that

- $\phi_k(\alpha \beta) = \chi_k(\alpha) \chi_k(\beta) \phi_k(g)$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ and $g \in B^\times$,
- $\phi_k(1) = 1$.

We write $\Phi = \Phi_k$ to indicate the dependence of $\varphi = \varphi_k$ on a non-negative integer $k$.

**Lemma 6.20.** We have $\Phi_k(g) = \phi_k(g)$ for $g \in B^1$. 

Proof. We use the notation of §5.5.6. Then the Weil representation \( \omega_\psi \) on \( S(\mathcal{X}') \) is given in §5.2.3. If we write \( x = z_1 + z_2 \frac{1}{s} \in \mathcal{X}' \cong W = B \) with \( z_1, z_2 \in E \), then
\[
\varphi'(x) = e_k^s \cdot (z_1^s \cdot \cdot e^{-\frac{s}{2}}(z_1 z_2 + z_2 z_1^s)).
\]

Let \( S_k \) be the subspace of \( S(\mathcal{X}') \) generated by \( \omega_\psi(g)^\varphi' \) for all \( g \in B^1 \). Since
\[
\omega_\psi(g)^\varphi'(z_1, z_2) = \varphi'(z_1 z_2 - z_2 z_1, z_1 + z_2 z_1^s)
\]
for \( g = a_1 + o_2 \frac{1}{s} \in B^1 \) with \( a_1, o_2 \in E \), \( S_k \) is generated by
\[
(z_1)^i \cdot (z_2)^k \cdot e^{-\frac{i}{2}}(z_1 z_2 + z_2 z_1^s)
\]
for all \( 0 \leq i \leq k \). Moreover, the representation of \( B^1 \) on \( S_k \) is isomorphic to the unique irreducible \( (k + 1) \)-dimensional representation \( \text{Sym}^k|_{B^1} \), so that \( \Phi_k \) is a matrix coefficient of \( \text{Sym}^k|_{B^1} \). On the other hand, by Lemma 5.3, we have \( \Phi_k(\alpha \beta) = \chi_k(\alpha)^{-1} \chi_k(\beta)^{-1} \Phi_k(g) \) for \( \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}^1 \) and \( \Phi_k(1) = \langle \varphi', \varphi' \rangle = 1 \). Hence we must have \( \Phi_k = \tilde{\Phi}_k|_{B^1} \). \( \square \)

6.6. Computation of \( Z_v \). To finish the proof of Theorem 6.7, it remains to compute the integral \( Z_v \). We fix a place \( v \) of \( F \) and suppress the subscript \( v \) from the notation. Recall that
\[
Z = \int_{B^1} \Phi(g) \Psi(g) \, dg,
\]
where
- \( \Phi \) is the function on \( B^1 \) given in §6.5,
- \( \Psi \) is the function on \( B^1 \) defined by
\[
\Psi(g) = \langle \pi_B(g) f_B, f_B \rangle,
\]
where \( f_B \in \pi_B \) is the new vector as in §6.3 and \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \) is the invariant hermitian inner product on \( \pi_B \) normalized so that \( \langle f_B, f_B \rangle = 1 \); 
- \( dg \) is the standard measure on \( B^1 \).

6.6.1. The case \( (ur) \). In this case, \( \pi_B = \text{Ind}(\chi \otimes \mu) \), where \( \chi \) and \( \mu \) are unitary unramified. We have
\[
L(s, \pi, \text{ad}) = \frac{1}{(1 - q^{-s})(1 - \gamma q^{-s})(1 - \gamma^{-1} q^{-s})},
\]
where \( \gamma = \chi(\varpi) \cdot \mu(\varpi)^{-1} \).

Lemma 6.21. We have
\[
Z = \frac{L(1, \pi, \text{ad})}{\zeta(2)^2}.
\]

Proof. We retain the notation of §6.5.1 6.5.1. Put \( \mathcal{K}' = \text{SL}_2(\mathfrak{o}) \). Then we have
\[
Z = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \Phi(m(\varpi^i)) \Psi(m(\varpi^i)) \text{vol}(\mathcal{K}' m(\varpi^i) \mathcal{K}').
\]
By Macdonald’s formula [49], [7], we have
\[
\Psi(m(\varpi^i)) = \frac{q^{-i}}{1 + q^{-1}} \cdot \left( \gamma^i \cdot \frac{1 - \gamma^{-1} q^{-1}}{1 - \gamma} + \gamma^{-i} \cdot \frac{1 - \gamma q^{-1}}{1 - \gamma} \right).
\]
Also, we see that
\[
\text{vol}(\mathcal{K}' m(\varpi^i) \mathcal{K}') = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = 0, \\ q^{2i}(1 + q^{-1}) & \text{if } i \geq 1. \end{cases}
\]
Combining these with Lemma 6.15, we obtain
\[
Z = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} q^{-i} \left( \gamma^i \cdot \frac{1 - \gamma^{-1}q^{-1}}{1 - \gamma^{-1}} + \gamma^{-i} \cdot \frac{1 - \gamma q^{-1}}{1 - \gamma} \right) \\
= 1 + \frac{\gamma q^{-1}}{1 - \gamma q^{-1}} \cdot \frac{1 - \gamma^{-1}q^{-1}}{1 - \gamma^{-1}} + \frac{\gamma^{-1}q^{-1}}{1 - \gamma^{-1}} \cdot \frac{1 - \gamma q^{-1}}{1 - \gamma} \\
= \frac{(1 + q^{-1})(1 - q^{-2})}{(1 - \gamma q^{-1})(1 - \gamma^{-1}q^{-1})}. \\
\]
\[\square\]

6.6.2. The case (rps). In this case, \( \pi_B = \text{Ind}(\chi \otimes \mu) \) and \( \Phi = \Phi_\mu \), where \( \chi \) is unitary unramified and \( \mu \) is unitary ramified of conductor \( q \). We have \( L(s, \pi, \text{ad}) = \zeta(s) \).

**Lemma 6.22.** We have
\[
Z = \frac{1}{q^{n-4}(q-1)(q+1)^2} \cdot \frac{L(1, \pi, \text{ad})}{\zeta(2)^2}.
\]

**Proof.** Following [43 Chapter VIII], we shall compute \( Z \) explicitly. We retain the notation of §6.5.2. Let \( \mathcal{K}' = \text{SL}_2(\mathfrak{o}) \) and \( \mathcal{K}'_n = \mathcal{K}_n \cap \text{SL}_2(\mathfrak{o}) \). We take the invariant hermitian inner product \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \) on \( \pi_B \) defined by
\[
\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle = \int_{\mathcal{K}} f_1(k) \overline{f_2(k)} \, dk,
\]
where \( dk \) is the Haar measure on \( \mathcal{K} \) such that \( \text{vol}(\mathcal{K}) = 1 \). Then \( f_B \) is determined by
\[
f_B|_{\mathcal{K}} = \text{vol}(\mathcal{K}_n)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{K}_n} \mu.
\]
We can define a new vector \( \tilde{f}_B \in \pi_B \) with respect to \( (\mathcal{K}_n, \mu) \) by
\[
\tilde{f}_B(h) = \int_{B^1} \Phi(g) f_B(hg) \, dg
\]
for \( h \in B^\times \). Since \( \tilde{f}_B = \frac{f_B(1)}{f_B(1)} \cdot f_B \) and \( \langle f_B, f_B \rangle = 1 \), we have
\[
Z = \langle f_B, f_B \rangle = \text{vol}(\mathcal{K}_n)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \tilde{f}_B(1).
\]
We have
\[
\tilde{f}_B(1) = \int_{B^1} \Phi(g) f_B(g) \, dg \\
= \int_{\mathcal{K}} \int_{F^\times} \int_{F} \Phi(n(b)m(a)k) \cdot f_B(n(b)m(a)k) \cdot |a|^{-2} \, db \, da \, dk \\
= \text{vol}(\mathcal{K}_n)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \int_{\mathcal{K}_n} \int_{F^\times} \int_{F} \Phi(n(b)m(a)) \mu(k)^{-1} \cdot |\chi(a)| \mu(a)^{-1} \cdot |a|^{-2} \, db \, da \, dk \\
= \text{vol}(\mathcal{K}_n)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \text{vol}(\mathcal{K}'_n) \cdot \int_{F^\times} \int_{F} \Phi(n(b)m(a)) \cdot |\chi(a)| \mu(a)^{-1} \cdot |a|^{-1} \, db \, da,
\]
where
- \( db \) is the Haar measure on \( F \) such that \( \text{vol}(\mathfrak{o}) = 1 \);
- \( da \) is the Haar measure on \( F^\times \) such that \( \text{vol}(\mathfrak{o}^\times) = 1 \);
- \( dk \) is the Haar measure on \( \mathcal{K}' \) such that \( \text{vol}(\mathcal{K}') = 1 \).
By Lemma 6.10 we have
\[ \int_{F} \int_{F} \Phi(n(b)m(a)) \cdot \chi(a) \mu(a)^{-1} |a|^{-1} db da = \int_{a} \chi'(a) |a|^{-1} db da = 1. \]
Hence we have
\[ Z = \text{vol}(K_n^\ell) = \frac{1}{q^{n-1}(q+1)}. \]

6.6.3. The case (st). In this case, \( \pi_B = \text{St} \otimes \chi \), where \( \chi \) is unitary unramified. We have \( L(s, \pi, \ad) = \zeta(s+1) \).

Lemma 6.23. (i) If \( B_1 \) and \( B_2 \) are split, then we have
\[ Z = \frac{q^2}{(q+1)^3} \cdot \frac{L(1, \pi, \ad)}{\zeta(2)}. \]
(ii) If \( B_1 \) and \( B_2 \) are ramified, then we have
\[ Z = \frac{q^2}{(q-1)^2(q+1)} \cdot \frac{L(1, \pi, \ad)}{\zeta(2)}. \]

Proof. We retain the notation of \( \S \S 5.5.3, 6.5.3 \). Put \( \mathcal{I}' = \mathcal{I} \cap \SL_2(\mathfrak{o}) \). Let \( \tilde{W} = N(T_0)/T_0 \) be the extended affine Weyl group of \( \GL_2(F) \), where \( T_0 = \{ (a, d) \mid a, d \in \mathfrak{o}^\times \} \) and \( N(T_0) \) is the normalizer of \( T_0 \) in \( \GL_2(F) \). Then we have
\[ \GL_2(F) = \bigsqcup_{\tilde{w} \in \tilde{W}} \tilde{w} \mathcal{I}. \]

We can write \( \tilde{W} = \Omega \ltimes W_a \) with \( \Omega = \langle \omega \rangle \) and \( W_a = \langle w_1, w_2 \rangle \), where
\[ \omega = \begin{pmatrix} \omega & 1 \\ \omega^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad w_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad w_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \omega^{-1} \\ \omega & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \]
Noting that \( w_1^2 = w_2^2 = 1 \) and \( w_1 w_2 = m(\omega) \), we have
\[ \SL_2(F) = \bigsqcup_{j=0}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{I}' \mathcal{m}(\omega^i) w^j \mathcal{I}' \]
and hence
\[ Z = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(m(\omega^i) w^j) \Psi(m(\omega^i) w^j) \text{vol}(\mathcal{I}' m(\omega^i) w^j \mathcal{I}'). \]
Let \( \ell \) be the length function on \( \tilde{W} \), so that \( \ell(\omega) = 0 \) and \( \ell(w_1) = \ell(w_2) = 1 \). By [18, §7], we have
\[ \Psi(\omega^k \tilde{w}) = (-\chi(\omega))^k \cdot (-q)^{-\ell(\tilde{w})} \]
for \( k \in \mathbb{Z} \) and \( \tilde{w} \in W_a \). Also, we see that \( |\mathcal{I} \tilde{w} \mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}| = q^{\ell(\tilde{w})} \) for \( \tilde{w} \in \tilde{W} \). Hence we have
\[ \Psi(m(\omega^i) w^j) \text{vol}(\mathcal{I}' m(\omega^i) w^j \mathcal{I}') = (-1)^{\ell(m(\omega^i) w^j)} \cdot \text{vol}(\mathcal{I}') = \frac{1}{q+1} \times \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = 0, \\ -1 & \text{if } j = 1, \end{cases} \]
so that
\[ Z = \frac{1}{q+1} \cdot \left( \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(m(\omega^i)) - \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(m(\omega^i) w) \right). \]
Combining this with Lemma 6.17, we obtain
\[
Z = \frac{1}{q+1} \cdot \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} q^{-2i} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} q^{-2i} - \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} q^{-2i-1} - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} q^{-2i+1} \right)
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{q+1} \cdot \frac{q^2 + q^{-1} - q^{-1}}{1 - q^{-2}}
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{q-1}
\]
if \(B_1\) and \(B_2\) are split, and
\[
Z = \frac{1}{q+1} \cdot \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} q^{-2i} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} q^{-2i} + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} q^{-2i-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} q^{-2i+1} \right)
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{q+1} \cdot \frac{q^2 + q^{-1} + q^{-1}}{1 - q^{-2}}
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{q-1}
\]
if \(B_1\) and \(B_2\) are ramified.

6.6.4. The case (1d). In this case, \(\pi_B = \chi \circ \nu\), where \(\chi\) is unitary unramified. We have \(L(s, \pi, \text{ad}) = \zeta(s+1)\).

**Lemma 6.24.** We have
\[
Z = \frac{q^2}{(q-1)(q+1)} \cdot \frac{L(1, \pi, \text{ad})}{\zeta(2)^2}.
\]

**Proof.** We retain the notation of §§6.6.4, 6.5.4. Then by Lemma 6.18, we have
\[
Z = \int_{B_1} dq = 1.
\]

6.6.5. The case (ds). In this case, \(\pi_B = DS_k\) and \(\Phi = \Phi_l\), where
\[
l = \begin{cases} 
    k & \text{if } B_1 \text{ and } B_2 \text{ are split,} \\
    k - 2 & \text{if } B_1 \text{ and } B_2 \text{ are ramified.}
\end{cases}
\]

**Lemma 6.25.** (i) If \(B_1\) and \(B_2\) are split, then we have
\[
Z = \frac{4\pi}{k}
\]
(ii) If \(B_1\) and \(B_2\) are ramified, then we have
\[
Z = \frac{4\pi}{k-1}.
\]

**Proof.** We retain the notation of §§6.6.5, 6.5.4. In particular, we identify \(C^x\) with a subgroup of \(B^x\). Then we have
\[
Z = 4\pi \cdot \int_{C^1} \int_0^\infty \int_{C^1} \Phi(\kappa_1 m(e^t)\kappa_2) \Psi(\kappa_1 m(e^t)\kappa_2) \sinh(2t) \, d\kappa_1 \, dt \, d\kappa_2
\]
\[
= 4\pi \cdot \int_0^\infty \Phi(m(e^t)) \Psi(m(e^t)) \sinh(2t) \, dt,
\]
where

- $dt$ is the Lebesgue measure;
- $d\kappa_1$ and $d\kappa_2$ are the Haar measures on $C^1$ such that $\text{vol}(C^1) = 1$.

It is known that

$$\Psi(m(e^t)) = \cosh(t)^{-k}.$$

Combining these with Lemma 6.19, we obtain

$$Z = 4\pi \cdot \int_0^\infty \cosh(t)^{-k-l-2} \sinh(2t) \, dt$$

$$= 8\pi \cdot \int_0^\infty \cosh(t)^{-k-l-1} \sinh(t) \, dt$$

$$= 8\pi \cdot \int_1^\infty t^{-k-l-1} \, dt$$

$$= \frac{8\pi}{k+l}.$$

□

6.6.6. The case (fd). In this case, $\pi_B = \text{Sym}^{k-2}$ and $\Phi = \Phi_{k-2}$.

Lemma 6.26. We have

$$Z = \frac{1}{k-1}.$$

Proof. We retain the notation of §§5.5.6, 6.5.6. Then by Lemma 6.20 and the Schur orthogonality relations, we have

$$Z = \int_{B^1} |\phi_{k-2}(g)|^2 \, dg = \frac{1}{k-1}.$$

□

7. The main conjecture on the arithmetic of theta lifts

7.1. On the choices of $u$, $J_1$ and $J_2$. We suppose now that we are given a totally real number field $F$ and two quaternion algebras $B_1$ and $B_2$ over $F$. Let us define for convenience:

$$\varnothing_{B_1 \setminus B_2} = \prod_{q|\varnothing_{B_1}, q\nmid \varnothing_{B_2}} q,$$

$$\varnothing_{B_2 \setminus B_1} = \prod_{q|\varnothing_{B_2}, q\nmid \varnothing_{B_1}} q,$$

$$\varnothing_{B_1 \cup B_2} = \prod_{q|\varnothing_{B_1}, q\nmid \varnothing_{B_2}} q,$$

$$\varnothing_{B_1 \cap B_2} = \prod_{q|(\varnothing_{B_1}, \varnothing_{B_2})} q$$

and

$$\Sigma_{B_1 \setminus B_2} = \Sigma_{B_1} \setminus \Sigma_{B_2},$$

$$\Sigma_{B_2 \setminus B_1} = \Sigma_{B_2} \setminus \Sigma_{B_1},$$

$$\Sigma_{B_1 \cup B_2} = \Sigma_{B_1} \cup \Sigma_{B_2},$$

$$\Sigma_{B_1 \cap B_2} = \Sigma_{B_1} \cap \Sigma_{B_2}.$$

For the constructions so far (especially the constructions of splittings), the only condition needed is:

(7.1) At every place $v$ of $F$, at least one of $u$, $J_1$, $J_2$, $J$ is a square.

However, to formulate the main conjecture we will need to make a more careful choice. In this section, we show that we can make such a choice that satisfies a number of useful auxiliary conditions.
Proposition 7.1. Suppose that \( \ell \) is a rational prime that is coprime to \( \mathfrak{d}_{B_1 \cup B_2} \) and \( \{f_1, \ldots, f_n\} \) is a collection of primes of \( \mathcal{O}_F \) (possibly empty) that are coprime to \( \ell \mathfrak{d}_{B_1 \cup B_2} \). Then we can find elements \( u, J_1, J_2 \in F \) such that the following hold:

(i) \( u, J_1, J_2 \) lie in \( \mathcal{O}_F \).
(ii) At every place \( v \) of \( F \), at least one of \( u, J_1, J_2, J \) is a square.
(iii) \( u \ll 0 \), so that \( E := F + F1, \; \mathfrak{i}^2 = u \) is a CM field.
(iv) \( u \) is a unit at any prime \( \mathfrak{q} \) that is unramified in \( E \).
(v) If \( \mathfrak{q} \) is a prime of \( F \) dividing \( 2 \), then \( E_{\mathfrak{q}} \) is the unique unramified quadratic extension of \( F_{\mathfrak{q}} \) if \( \mathfrak{q} | \mathfrak{d}_{B_1 \cup B_2} \) and \( E_{\mathfrak{q}}/F_{\mathfrak{q}} \) is split otherwise.
(vi) \( B_1 \simeq E + E_{\mathfrak{j}_1}, \; \text{with} \; \mathfrak{j}_1^2 = J_1 \) and \( \mathfrak{j}_1 \mathfrak{i} = -\mathfrak{j}_1 \mathfrak{i} \).
(vii) \( B_2 \simeq E + E_{\mathfrak{j}_2}, \; \text{with} \; \mathfrak{j}_2^2 = J_2 \) and \( \mathfrak{j}_2 \mathfrak{i} = -\mathfrak{j}_2 \).

Note that the condition (viii) above implies that both \( E \) and \( K \) are split at the primes \( \{1 | \ell\} \cup \{f_1, \ldots, f_n\} \).

Prop. 7.1 will suffice for the current paper. The following enhancement of it will be useful in [31].

Proposition 7.2. Let \( \ell \) and \( f_1, \ldots, f_n \) be as in the previous proposition. Suppose that the prime \( \ell \) satisfies the following conditions:

- \( \ell \) is unramified in \( F \).
- \( \ell > 5 \) and for any \( \mathfrak{q} \mid \mathfrak{d}_{B_1 \setminus B_2} \cdot \mathfrak{d}_{B_2 \setminus B_1} \), we have
  \[ \mathfrak{N} \mathfrak{q} \not\equiv 0, \pm 1 \pmod{\ell}. \]

Then we can choose \( u, J_1, J_2 \) such that in addition to (i) through (viii) above, we have:

(ix) If \( E \) or \( K \) is ramified at a prime \( \mathfrak{p} \), then \( \mathfrak{N} \mathfrak{p} \not\equiv 0, \pm 1 \pmod{\ell} \).

The following Lemmas 7.3 and 7.4 will be useful in the proofs of Prop. 7.1 and Prop. 7.2 respectively.

Lemma 7.3. Let \( F \) be a number field, \( \Xi_f \) a finite subset of \( \Sigma_{\text{fin}} \) and \( \Xi_\infty \subseteq \Sigma_\infty \) a set of real infinite places. Let \( I \) be an ideal in \( \mathcal{O}_F \) prime to the primes in \( \Xi_f \). Then there exists a prime ideal \( \mathfrak{q} \subset \mathcal{O}_F \) such that \( I \cdot \mathfrak{q} = (\alpha) \) is principal with \( \alpha \) satisfying:

(a) \( \alpha \) is a square of a unit at the primes in \( \Xi_f \).
(b) \( \sigma_v(\alpha) < 0 \) for \( v \) in \( \Xi_\infty \) and \( \sigma_v(\alpha) > 0 \) for any real place \( v \) of \( F \) not in \( \Xi_\infty \).

Further, \( \mathfrak{q} \) can be picked to avoid any finite set of primes.

Lemma 7.4. Let \( F \) be a number field and \( \ell > 5 \) a rational prime unramified in \( F \). Suppose that \( \Xi_f \) is a finite subset of \( \Sigma_{\text{fin}} \) all whose elements are prime to \( \ell \) and \( \Xi_\infty \subseteq \Sigma_\infty \) is a set of real infinite places.
Let $I$ be an ideal in $\mathcal{O}_F$ prime to $\ell$ and the primes in $\Xi_f$. Then there exists a prime ideal $q \subset \mathcal{O}_F$ such that $I \cdot q = (\alpha)$ is principal with $\alpha$ satisfying:

(a) $\alpha$ is a square of a unit at the primes in $\Xi_f$ and at all primes $l$ above $\ell$.
(b) $\sigma_v(\alpha) < 0$ for $v$ in $\Xi_\infty$ and $\sigma_v(\alpha) > 0$ for $v$ any real place of $F$ not in $\Xi_\infty$.
(c) $Nq \equiv 0, \pm 1 \pmod{\ell}$.

Further, $q$ can be picked to avoid any finite set of primes.

We first prove Lemma 7.3 and then explain the modifications needed to prove Lemma 7.4.

Proof (of Lemma 7.3). Let $m$ be the product of all the real places of $F$ and the primes in $\Xi_f$, each raised to a sufficiently large power so that the local units congruent to 1 (mod $m$) are squares. For $\alpha \in F^\times$, let $\ell(\alpha)$ denote the principal fractional ideal generated by $\alpha$. Also let $F_{m,1}$ denote the set of elements in $F^\times$ that are congruent to 1 (mod $m$). If $U_F$ denotes the units in $F$ and $U_{F,m}$ the units congruent to 1 (mod $m$), then there is an exact sequence:

$$1 \to \frac{U_F}{U_{F,m}} \to \frac{F^\times}{F_{m,1}} \to \frac{\ell(\frac{F^\times}{m})}{\ell(\frac{F_{m,1}}{m})} \to 1.$$ 

Let $H$ be the Hilbert class field of $F$ and $H_m$ the ray class field of $F$ of conductor $m$. Then $F \subset H \subset H_m$ and there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\text{Gal}(H_m/H) \simeq \frac{\ell(F^\times)}{\ell(F_{m,1})}.$$ 

Pick an element $\beta \in F^\times$ such that $\beta \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ and such that $\beta$ is negative at the real places in $\Xi_\infty$ and positive at the real places not in $\Xi_\infty$. Let $\sigma_{(\beta)} \in \text{Gal}(H_m/H)$ be the element corresponding to $[\ell(\beta)]$ via the isomorphism above. Let $\sigma_I$ denote the image of $I$ in $\text{Gal}(H_m/F)$ under the Artin map. By Tchebotcharev, there exists a prime ideal $q$ in $\mathcal{O}_F$ that is prime to $m$ and such that

$$\sigma_q = \sigma_I^{-1} \cdot \sigma_{(\beta)} \text{ in } \text{Gal}(H_m/F).$$

In particular this implies that $\sigma_q = \sigma_I^{-1}$ in $\text{Gal}(H/F)$, so there exists $\alpha \in F^\times$ such that $q \cdot I = (\alpha)$. Then $\sigma(\alpha) = \sigma(\beta)$, which is the same as saying that

$$[\ell(\alpha)] = [\ell(\beta)] \in \frac{\ell(F^\times)}{\ell(F_{m,1})}.$$ 

The exact sequence above implies then that there is a unit $u \in U_F$ such that

$$[u \cdot \alpha] = [\beta] \in \frac{F^\times}{F_{m,1}}.$$ 

Replacing $\alpha$ by $u \cdot \alpha$, we see that it has the required properties.

Proof (of Lemma 7.4). We modify the proof of Lemma 7.3.

Let $\{l_1, \ldots, l_r\}$ be the primes of $F$ lying over $\ell$. Let $m$ be the product of all the real places of $F$, the primes in $\Xi_f$ (each raised to a sufficiently large power so that the local units congruent to 1 (mod $m$) are squares) and the primes $l_2, \ldots, l_r$. Fix for the moment an element $w \in (\mathcal{O}_F/l_1)^\times$. By the approximation theorem, we may pick $\beta \in F^\times$ such that

- $\beta$ is negative at the places in $\Xi_\infty$ and positive at the real places not in $\Xi_\infty$.
- $\beta \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$.
- $\beta \equiv w^2 \pmod{l_1}$.
Let $\sigma_I$ denote the image of $I$ in $\text{Gal}(H_{m_1}/F)$ under the Artin map. By Tchebotarev, there exists a prime ideal $q$ in $O_F$ that is prime to $m \cdot t_1$ and such that

$$\sigma_q = \sigma_I^{-1} \cdot \sigma(\alpha) \quad \text{in} \quad \text{Gal}(H_{m_1}/F).$$

As before then, there exists $\alpha \in F^\times$ such that $q \cdot I = (\alpha)$ and a unit $u \in U_F$ such that

$$[u \cdot \alpha] = [\beta] \quad \text{in} \quad \frac{F^\times}{F_{m_1, 1}}.$$  

Replacing $\alpha$ by $u \cdot \alpha$, we see that $\alpha$ satisfies the requirements (a), (b) of the lemma. It remains to show that $w$ can be chosen so that $q$ satisfies (c). Clearly $q$ is prime to $\ell$. But

$$Nq \cdot NI = \pm N(\alpha) = \pm N(\beta) \equiv \pm N_{F_{t_1}/F}((w^2)) \quad (\text{mod} \ \ell).$$

Since $NI$ is fixed and we only need $Nq \not\equiv \pm 1 \quad (\text{mod} \ \ell)$, it suffices to show that the subgroup

$$\left\{ N_{F_{t_1}/F}(w^2) : w \in F^\times_{t_1} \right\} \subset \frac{F^\times}{\ell}$$

contains at least 3 elements. But this subgroup is just $(F^\times/\ell)^2$ (since $t_1$ is unramified over $\ell$) and has cardinality $\frac{\ell^3 - 1}{\ell^2} > 2$ since $\ell > 5$ by assumption. $\Box$

Now we prove Prop. 7.1 and then explain the modifications needed to prove Prop. 7.2.

**Proof (of Prop. 7.1).** Let $f = \prod_i f_i$ and $\mathcal{S} = 2\omega_{B_1 \cup B_2} f$. We begin by using Lemma 7.3 above to pick:

- A prime ideal $q_{B_1 \setminus B_2}$ (prime to $\mathcal{S}$) such that $\omega_{B_1 \setminus B_2} \cdot q_{B_1 \setminus B_2} = (\alpha_{B_1 \setminus B_2})$, with $\alpha_{B_1 \setminus B_2}$ satisfying the following conditions:
  - $\alpha_{B_1 \setminus B_2}$ is a square of a unit at the primes dividing $\omega_{B_2} f$ and the primes above 2 not dividing $\omega_{B_1 \setminus B_2}$.
  - For $v \in \Sigma_{\infty}$,
    $$\sigma_v(\alpha_{B_1 \setminus B_2}) < 0,$$
    if $v \in \Sigma_{B_1 \setminus B_2}$;  
    $$\sigma_v(\alpha_{B_1 \setminus B_2}) > 0,$$
    if $v \not\in \Sigma_{B_1 \setminus B_2}$.

- A prime ideal $q_{B_2 \setminus B_1}$ (prime to $\mathcal{S}$) such that $\omega_{B_2 \setminus B_1} \cdot q_{B_2 \setminus B_1} = (\alpha_{B_2 \setminus B_1})$, with $\alpha_{B_2 \setminus B_1}$ satisfying the following conditions:
  - $\alpha_{B_2 \setminus B_1}$ is a square of a unit at the primes dividing $\omega_{B_1} f$ and the primes above 2 not dividing $\omega_{B_2 \setminus B_1}$.
  - For $v \in \Sigma_{\infty}$,
    $$\sigma_v(\alpha_{B_2 \setminus B_1}) < 0,$$
    if $v \in \Sigma_{B_2 \setminus B_1}$;  
    $$\sigma_v(\alpha_{B_2 \setminus B_1}) > 0,$$
    if $v \not\in \Sigma_{B_2 \setminus B_1}$.

- A prime ideal $q_{B_1 \cap B_2}$ (prime to $\mathcal{S}$) such that $\omega_{B_1 \cap B_2} \cdot q_{B_1 \cap B_2} = (\alpha_{B_1 \cap B_2})$, with $\alpha_{B_1 \cap B_2}$ satisfying the following conditions:
  - $\alpha_{B_1 \cap B_2}$ is a square of a unit at the primes dividing $\omega_{B_1} f \omega_{B_2} f_{B_1 \setminus B_2}$ and the primes above 2 not dividing $\omega_{B_1 \cap B_2}$.
  - For $v \in \Sigma_{\infty}$,
    $$\sigma_v(\alpha_{B_1 \cap B_2}) < 0,$$
    if $v \in \Sigma_{B_1 \setminus B_2}$;  
    $$\sigma_v(\alpha_{B_1 \cap B_2}) > 0,$$
    if $v \not\in \Sigma_{B_1 \cap B_2}$.

Let $\mathfrak{R}$ denote the ideal

$$\mathfrak{R} := q_{B_1 \setminus B_2} \cdot q_{B_2 \setminus B_1} \cdot q_{B_1 \cap B_2}.$$  

Next, we use the approximation theorem to pick $\alpha \in F^\times$ satisfying the following properties:

1. $\alpha \gg 0$.
2. $-\alpha$ is a square of a unit at the primes dividing $\omega \mathfrak{R} f$. 


(III) If $q$ is a prime dividing $\mathfrak{d}_{B_1 \cup B_2}$, then $-\alpha$ is a unit at $q$ but not a square. If further $q$ divides 2, then we also require that $\sqrt{-\alpha}$ generate the unique unramified extension of $F_q$.

(IV) If $q$ is a prime dividing 2 but not dividing $\mathfrak{d}_{B_1 \cup B_2}$, then $-\alpha$ is a square of a unit at $q$.

Let
\[ m := 2^n \ell \cdot \mathfrak{d}_{B_1 \cup B_2} \cdot \mathfrak{M} \cdot \prod_{v \in \Sigma_\infty} v, \]
with the power $2^n$ being chosen large enough so that locally at any prime above 2, the units congruent to 1 modulo $2^n$ are squares. By Tchebotarev, there exists a prime ideal $\mathfrak{q} \subset \mathcal{O}_F$ (prime to $m$) such that
\[ \sigma(\alpha) \cdot \sigma_{\mathfrak{q}} = 1 \quad \text{in} \quad \text{Gal}(H_m/F). \]

This implies that
\[ (\alpha) \cdot \mathfrak{q} = (\beta), \]
for some $\beta \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$. Now, take
\[ u := -\alpha^{-1} \beta, \quad J_1 := \alpha_{B_1 \setminus B_2} \cdot \alpha_{B_1 \cap B_2}, \quad J_2 := \alpha_{B_2 \setminus B_1} \cdot \alpha_{B_1 \cap B_2}. \]

Since
\[ (u) = \mathfrak{q}, \quad (J_1) = \mathfrak{d}_{B_1} \cdot \mathfrak{q}_{B_2 \setminus B_1} \cdot \mathfrak{q}_{B_1 \cap B_2}, \quad (J_2) = \mathfrak{d}_{B_2} \cdot \mathfrak{q}_{B_1 \setminus B_2} \cdot \mathfrak{q}_{B_1 \cap B_2}, \]
we see that $u$, $J_1$, $J_2$ lie in $\mathcal{O}_F$, which shows that (II) is satisfied. Let $E/F$ be the quadratic extension $E = F + Fi$ with $i^2 = u$. Since $\alpha \gg 0$ and $\beta \gg 0$, we have $u \ll 0$, which shows (III), whence $E$ is a CM quadratic extension of $F$. The conditions (III) and (IV) above imply that if $q$ is a prime above 2, then $E_q$ is the unique unramified quadratic extension of $F_q$ if $q$ divides $\mathfrak{d}_{B_1 \cup B_2}$ and otherwise is split, which shows (V). Since $(u) = \mathfrak{q}$, it follows that $E$ is ramified exactly at the prime $\mathfrak{q}$, and in particular satisfies (VI). Now we check that
\[ B_1 \simeq E + Ej_1, \quad j_1^2 = J_1, \quad ij_1 = -j_1i. \]

To show this, it suffices to check that the Hilbert symbol $(u, J_1)_v$ equals $-1$ exactly for those $v$ at which $B_1$ is ramified. At the archimedean places this is clear since $u \ll 0$ and $J_1$ is negative exactly at the places at which $B_1$ is ramified. As for the finite places, we only need to check this for $v$ dividing $2uJ_1$, since outside of these primes $B_1$ is split and $(u, J_1)_v = 1$ since both $u$ and $J_1$ are units at such places. At the primes dividing $\mathfrak{q}_{B_1 \setminus B_2} \cdot \mathfrak{q}_{B_1 \cap B_2}$, the algebra $B_1$ is split and $u$ is a square of a unit, so this is clear. For $q \mid \mathfrak{d}_{B_1}$, the algebra $B_1$ is ramified, $J_1$ is a uniformizer and by (III) above, we have $(u, J_1)_q = (-\alpha, J_1)_q = -1$. Next we consider the primes $q$ above 2. If $q \mid \mathfrak{d}_{B_1}$, this is done already. If $q \nmid \mathfrak{d}_{B_1 \setminus B_2}$, then $J_1$ is a square at $q$, so $(u, J_1)_q = 1$ as required. This leaves the primes $q$ above 2 which do not divide $\mathfrak{d}_{B_1 \cup B_2}$. At such primes, $u$ is a square of a unit, so $(u, J_1)_q = 1$. The only prime left is $\mathfrak{q}$ at which the required equality follows from the product formula! The isomorphism $B_2 \simeq E + Ej_2$ follows similarly, and then the isomorphism $B \simeq E + Ej$ follows from the equality $B = B_1 \cdot B_2$ in the Brauer group. This completes the proof of (VI). The conditions (VII) and (VIII) are easily verified, which leaves (VII).

Finally, we check that (VII) is satisfied, namely that at every place $v$ of $F$, at least one of $u$, $J_1$, $J_2$ or $J$ is a square. At the archimedean places, this is obvious. At the primes dividing $\mathfrak{d}_{B_1 \cup B_2}$, this follows from (VII). Let $q$ be a finite prime not dividing $\mathfrak{d}_{B_1 \cup B_2}$. If such a $q$ divides 2, then all of $u$, $J_1$, $J_2$, $J$ are squares at $q$. So let $q$ be prime to $2\mathfrak{d}_{B_1 \cup B_2}$. If $E$ is split at $q$, then $u$ is a square at $q$. If $E$ is inert at $q$, then $J_1$, $J_2$ lie in $N_{E_q/F_q}(E_q^\times)$ since $B_1$ and $B_2$ are split at $q$. If $J_1$ and $J_2$ are both not squares at such $q$, it must be the case that $J = J_1 J_2$ is a square. Finally, we deal with $q = \mathfrak{q}$, the only ramified prime in $E$. At this prime, $J_1$ and $J_2$ are both units. Again, if both of them are non-squares, their product must be a square. This completes the proof.

We will now prove Prop (VII).
Proof (of Prop. \([\text{VII}]\)). We will show that we can pick \(u, J_1, J_2\) such that \([\text{IX}]\) is satisfied in addition to \([\text{II}; \text{VIII}]\). The proof is almost the same as that of Prop. \([\text{VI}]\) with some minor modifications which we now describe. First, we pick as before the prime ideals \(q B_1 \setminus B_2, q B_2 \setminus B_1, q B_1 \cap B_2\) and the elements \(\alpha B_1 \setminus B_2, \alpha B_2 \setminus B_1, \alpha B_1 \cap B_2\). Using Lemma \([\text{VIII}]\) we can ensure that for \(q = q B_1 \setminus B_2\) and \(q B_2 \setminus B_1\), we have
\[
q \not\equiv 0, \pm 1 \pmod{\ell}.
\]
Fix a prime \(l_1\) of \(F\) above \(\ell\). Let \(R\) be as before and then using the approximation theorem, pick \(\alpha \in F^\times\) satisfying the properties \([\text{I}]\) through \([\text{V}]\) of the proof of Prop. \([\text{VI}]\) and the following additional conditions:
\[
\text{(V)} \quad -\alpha \equiv w^2 \pmod{l_1}, \quad \text{where } w \in F_{l_1}^\times \text{ is an element such that } N_{F_{l_1}/F_l}(w^2) \neq \pm 1.
\]
\[
\text{(VI)} \quad -\alpha \equiv 1 \pmod{l} \text{ for all primes } l \neq l_1 \text{ dividing } \ell.
\]
Note that this uses the assumption that \(\ell\) is unramified in \(F\) and \(\ell > 5\). Next, as before, we pick \(m, \beta\) and \(Q\) and set:
\[
u := -\alpha^{-1} \beta, \quad J_1 := \alpha B_1 \setminus B_2 \cdot \alpha B_1 \cap B_2, \quad J_2 := \alpha B_2 \setminus B_1 \cdot \alpha B_1 \cap B_2.
\]
It is easy to see (using arguments similar to those of Prop. \([\text{VI}]\)) that \([\text{II}; \text{VIII}]\) hold. We verify \([\text{IX}]\) now.

The field \(E\) is only ramified at \(Q\). Also,
\[
NQ = \pm N(\beta) \cdot N(\alpha^{-1}) = \pm N(\alpha^{-1}) \equiv \pm N_{F_{l_1}/F_l}(w^2) \neq 0, \pm 1 \pmod{\ell},
\]
which proves what we need for the field \(E\). Now let us consider the field \(K\). Since \(J = J_1 J_2\), we have
\[
(J) = \mathfrak{d}_{B_1} \cdot q B_1 \setminus B_2 \cdot q B_1 \cap B_2 \cdot q B_2 \setminus B_1 \cdot q B_2 \cap B_1
\]
\[
= \mathfrak{d}_{B_1 \setminus B_2} \cdot q B_1 \setminus B_2 \cdot q B_1 \cap B_2 \cdot q B_2 \setminus B_1 \cdot q B_2 \cap B_1.
\]
We claim that \(K\) is ramified exactly at the primes dividing
\[
\mathfrak{d}_K := \mathfrak{d}_{B_1 \setminus B_2} \cdot \mathfrak{d}_{B_2 \setminus B_1} \cdot q B_1 \setminus B_2 \cdot q B_2 \setminus B_1.
\]
This will follow if we show that \(K/F\) is unramified at the primes \(q\) over 2 that do not divide \(\mathfrak{d}_{B_1 \setminus B_2} \cdot \mathfrak{d}_{B_2 \setminus B_1}\). We claim that \(J\) is a square (and hence \(K\) is split) at such primes \(q\). Indeed, if a prime \(q\) above 2 does not divide \(\mathfrak{d}_{B_1 \setminus B_2}\), then \(\alpha B_1 \setminus B_2\), \(\alpha B_2 \setminus B_1\), and \(\alpha B_1 \cap B_2\) are all squares of units at \(q\), hence \(J_1, J_2\) and \(J\) are squares at \(q\). On the other hand, if a prime \(q\) above 2 divides \(\mathfrak{d}_{B_1 \cap B_2}\), then \(\alpha B_1 \setminus B_2\), \(\alpha B_2 \setminus B_1\), and \(\alpha B_1 \cap B_2\) are squares of units at \(q\) and thus
\[
J = \alpha B_1 \setminus B_2 \cdot \alpha B_2 \setminus B_1 \cdot \alpha B_1 \cap B_2
\]
is a square at \(q\).

Thus it suffices to consider the primes \(q\) dividing \(\mathfrak{d}_K\). For \(q\) dividing either \(\mathfrak{d}_{B_1 \setminus B_2}\) or \(\mathfrak{d}_{B_2 \setminus B_1}\), it follows from the assumptions in the statement of the proposition that \(Nq \not\equiv 0, \pm 1 \pmod{\ell}\). For \(q\) equal to either \(q B_1 \setminus B_2\) or \(q B_2 \setminus B_1\), the same follows from the choice of these prime ideals.

\[\square\]

7.2. The main conjecture. Finally, in this section we come to the main conjecture. Our starting data will be the totally real field \(F\), the automorphic representation \(\Pi\) (from the introduction) of conductor \(\mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{N}_s \cdot \mathfrak{N}_{ps}\) and the two quaternion algebras \(B_1\) and \(B_2\). We assume that \(\Pi\) admits a Jacquet–Langlands transfer to both \(B_1\) and \(B_2\). We also assume the following condition holds:

- \(\mathfrak{N}\) is prime to \(2\mathfrak{D}_{F/Q}\), where \(\mathfrak{D}_{F/Q}\) denotes the different of \(F/Q\).

The conjecture will in addition depend on several auxiliary choices which we now make completely explicit in the following series of steps.

(i) Let \(\ell\) be a rational prime such that \((\ell, N(\Pi)) = 1\).
(ii) Pick \( u, J_1 \) and \( J_2 \) satisfying all the conditions of Prop. \ref{prop1} taking \( \{ f_1, \ldots, f_n \} \) to be the set of primes of \( F \) dividing \( 2 \mathcal{D} \).

(iii) Set \( E = F + F \mathfrak{f} \) where \( \mathfrak{f}^2 = u \). An explicit model for \( B_i, i = 1, 2, \) is \( B_i = E + E \mathfrak{f} \), where \( \mathfrak{f}_j^2 = j_i \) and \( \mathfrak{f}_j \mathfrak{f}_k = j_j \mathfrak{f}_k \mathfrak{f}_m \) for \( \mathfrak{f} \in E \). Likewise an explicit model for \( B = B_1 \cdot B_2 \) is \( B = E + E \mathfrak{f} \).

(iv) Let \( B' \) denote any one of the quaternion algebras \( B, B_1 \) or \( B_2 \). Let \( \mathfrak{d}_{B'} \) denote the discriminant of \( B' \) and define \( \mathfrak{R}_{B'} \) by

\[
\mathfrak{R} = \mathfrak{d}_{B'} \cdot \mathfrak{R}_{B'}.
\]

Thus \( \mathfrak{d}_{B'} \) divides \( \mathfrak{R}_{B} \) and \( \mathfrak{d}_{B} \) divides \( \mathfrak{R}_{B'} \).

(v) Given the choices of \( u, J_1 \) and \( J_2 \), in Sec. \ref{sec3} we have picked local maximal orders and oriented Eichler orders of level \( \mathfrak{R}_{B'} \). (Here the orientation is only picked at places dividing \( \mathfrak{d}_{B} \).) Let \( \mathcal{O}_{B'} \) (resp. \( \mathcal{O}_{B'}(\mathfrak{R}_{B'}) \)) denote the unique maximal order (resp. Eichler order of level \( \mathfrak{R}_{B'} \)) corresponding to these choices. Also denote by \( \mathcal{O}_{B'} \) the corresponding orientation on \( \mathcal{O}_{B'}(\mathfrak{R}_{B'}) \).

This defines open compact subgroups \( \mathcal{K}_{B'} = \prod_v \mathcal{K}_{V_v} \) and \( \mathcal{K}_{B'} = \prod_v \mathcal{K}_{V_v} \) of \( B' \times (k_f) \) with

\[
\mathcal{K}_{v} = \ker \left[ \mathcal{O}_{B',v} : (\mathcal{O}_{B'}(\mathfrak{R}_{B'})) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}_{F,v}) \right] \to (\mathcal{O}_{F,v}/\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \mathcal{O}_{F,v}) \]

and

\[
\mathcal{K}_{v} = (\mathcal{O}_{B'}(\mathfrak{R}_{B'})) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}_{F,v}).
\]

For future use, we record that with our choices of \( u, J_1 \) and \( J_2 \) and local orders, we have

\[
\mathcal{O}_{E} \otimes \mathcal{Z}_f(t) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{B'} \otimes \mathcal{Z}_f(t).
\]

(vi) As in \ref{1.4} we pick a large enough number field \( L \) and isomorphisms \( \phi_B, \phi_{B_1} \) and \( \phi_{B_2} \) satisfying \ref{1.11}. We recall that \( \phi_{B'} \) gives an isomorphism:

\[
\mathcal{O}_{B'} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{L,(t)} \simeq \prod_{\sigma} M_2(\mathcal{O}_{L,(t)})
\]

for \( B' = B, B_1 \) and \( B_2 \). As explained in \ref{1.4} this choice defines automorphic vector bundles on \( \text{Sh}_{\mathcal{K}}(G_B, X_B) \), \( \text{Sh}_{\mathcal{K}^{111}}(G_{B_1}, X_{B_1}) \) and \( \text{Sh}_{\mathcal{K}^{111}}(G_{B_2}, X_{B_2}) \) as well as sections \( s_B, s_{B_1} \) and \( s_{B_2} \) of these bundles that are \( \ell \)-normalized. For \( B' = B, B_1 \) or \( B_2 \) let us denote the corresponding bundle by \( V_{L,(t)} \) to indicate that is a bundle on \( X_{B'} \).

(vii) So far, we have not had to pick a base point of \( X_{B'} \) but we will now need to do so. Fix isomorphisms \( E \otimes_{F,\mathfrak{p}} \mathbb{R} \simeq \mathbb{C} \) for all infinite places \( \mathfrak{p} \) of \( F \) as in \ref{5.5}. For \( B' = B, B_1, B_2 \) we define \( h_{B'} \) as follows: take the composite maps

\[
\mathbb{C} \to \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma_\infty} \mathbb{C} \simeq E \otimes \mathbb{R} \to B' \otimes \mathbb{R}
\]

where the first map sends

\[
z \mapsto (z_\sigma)_\sigma
\]

with \( z_\sigma = z \) if \( \sigma \) is split in \( B' \) and \( z_\sigma = 1 \) is \( \sigma \) is ramified in \( B' \).

(viii) For \( B' = B, B_1 \) or \( B_2 \), let \( F_{B'} = \text{Lift}_{h_{B'}}(s_{B'}) \).

(ix) For each \( \sigma \), we pick a vector \( v_{B',\sigma}^{111} \in V_{\sigma, k_{B',\sigma}} \) satisfying \ref{1.12} and that is integrally normalized with respect to the \( \ell \)-integral structure given by

\[
\text{Sym}^\mathfrak{d}_{B',\sigma} \mathcal{O}_{L,(t)} ^{2} \otimes \det(\mathcal{O}_{L,(t)} ^{2})^{-1}.
\]

(x) Let \( v_{B',\sigma}^{111} = \otimes_{\sigma} v_{B',\sigma}^{111} \). Now we can define \( \phi_{B'} = (F_{B'}(g), \nu_{B'}(g)) \). Let \( f_{B'} \) denote the corresponding element of \( \pi_{B'} \):

\[
f_{B'}(g) = \phi_{B'}(g) \cdot \nu_{B'}(g)^{-1/2}.
\]

Then \( f_{B'} \) is a new-vector as defined in \ref{6.3} but is now moreover integrally normalized at \( \ell \).
From Defn. 1.11, Prop. 1.13 and Prop. 1.14, we find that

\[ \langle s_{B'}, s_{B'} \rangle_{K_{B'}} = 2^{d_{B'}} h_F[K_{B'}_0 : \tilde{K}_{B'}^B] \cdot \langle F_{B'}, F_{B'} \rangle_{h_{B'}} \]

\[ = 2^{d_{B'}} h_F[K_{B'}_0 : \tilde{K}_{B'}^B] \cdot \frac{\text{rank} \gamma_{B',r}^B}{\langle \gamma_{B',r}^B, \gamma_{B',r}^B \rangle_{h_{B'}}} \cdot \langle f_{B'}, f_{B'} \rangle, \]

where \( d_{B'} \) is the number of infinite places of \( F \) where \( B' \) is split, and \( K_{B'}_0^B \) is the maximal open compact subgroup of \( B'^{\infty}(k_f) \) defined by \( K_{B'}^B_0 = \prod_v K_{B',v}^B \), with \( K_{B',v}^B = (\mathcal{O}_{B'} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{F,v})^\times \).

In order to state the main conjecture, we will need to renormalize the measure and the Schwartz function in the definition of the theta lift. First we renormalize the Schwartz function. Let \( \varphi_v \) be the Schwartz function on \( S(\mathbb{X}_v) \) defined in §5.6. We set \( \varphi = \otimes_v \varphi_v \) where

\[ \varphi_v = \sqrt{C_v^B} \cdot \varphi_v \]

with

\[ C_v^B = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \Pi_v \text{ is unramified principal series}, \\ \frac{q_v - 1}{q_v^{2n_v + 1}} & \text{if } \Pi_v \text{ is ramified principal series with conductor } q_v^{n_v}, \\ q_v^{-2} & \text{if } \Pi_v \text{ is special}, \\ \frac{k_v}{2(2k_v + 1)} & \text{if } v \in \Sigma_{\infty} \setminus (\Sigma_{B,\infty} \cup \Sigma_{B_1,\infty} \cup \Sigma_{B_2,\infty}), \\ \frac{2k_v - 2 - 4n_v - 2}{2(2k_v - 4n_v - 2)} & \text{if } v \in \Sigma_{B_1,\infty} \cap \Sigma_{B_2,\infty}, \\ \frac{2k_v - 2 - n_v - 2}{2(2k_v - 2 - n_v - 2)} & \text{if } v \in \Sigma_{B_1,\infty} \cap \Sigma_{B_2,\infty}. \end{cases} \]

As for the measure used in the theta lift (4.1), we renormalize the measure on \( B^{(1)}(\mathbb{A}) \) to

\[ [K_{B'}^B : \tilde{K}_{B'}^B] \cdot \text{rank} \gamma_{B',r}^B \cdot \text{standard measure on } B^{(1)}(\mathbb{A}). \]

With this choice of measure, let us define \( \alpha(B_1, B_2) \) by

\[ (7.3) \quad \theta_{\varphi}(f_B) = \alpha(B_1, B_2) \cdot (f_{B_1} \times f_{B_2}). \]

**Theorem 7.5.** Suppose \( B \neq M_2(F) \). Then

\[ (7.4) \quad |\alpha(B_1, B_2)|^2 \cdot \langle s_{B_1}, s_{B_1} \rangle_{K_{B_1}} \cdot \langle s_{B_2}, s_{B_2} \rangle_{K_{B_2}} = \Lambda(1, \Pi, \text{ad}) \cdot \langle s_B, s_B \rangle_{K_B} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{C}^\times / R^\times_{(l)}. \]

**Proof.** Recall that \( \Lambda(s, \Pi, \text{ad}) = \prod_{v \in \Sigma_{\infty}} L(s, \Pi_v, \text{ad}) \cdot L(s, \Pi, \text{ad}) \) with

\[ L(s, \Pi_v, \text{ad}) = \Gamma_B(s + 1) \Gamma_C(s + k_v - 1), \]

where \( \Gamma_B(s) = \pi^{-\frac{s}{2}} \Gamma(s) \) and \( \Gamma_C(s) = 2(2\pi)^{-s} \Gamma(s) \). Since

\[ L(1, \Pi_v, \text{ad}) = \frac{(k_v - 1)!}{2^{2k_v - 1 + n_v + 1}}, \]

for \( v \in \Sigma_{\infty} \), it follows from Proposition 6.6 that

\[ \langle f, f \rangle = 2 |D_F| \cdot \prod_v C_v^\Lambda \cdot \Lambda(1, \Pi, \text{ad}), \]

where \( C_v^\Lambda = \) the number of infinite places of \( F \) where \( \Pi_v \) is unramified principal series.
where the constant $C_v^\lambda$ is defined by the table below. We also define a constant $C_v^{B'}$ for $B' = B_1, B_2, B$ by the table, so that the following hold:

$$\langle s_{B'}, s_{B'} \rangle_{\bar{K}_{B'}} = 2^{d_B} h_{B'} \cdot \prod_v C_v^{B'} \cdot \frac{\langle f_{B'}, f_{B'} \rangle}{\langle v_{\bar{K}_{B'}}, v_{\bar{K}_{B'}} \rangle h_{B'}}.$$ 

$$|\alpha(B_1, B_2)|^2 = \prod_v (C_v^{B})^2 C_v^{\lambda} \cdot |\alpha(B_1, B_2)|^2.$$

| $\Pi_v$ | $B_1, v$ | $B_2, v$ | $B_v$ | $C_v^{B_1}$ | $C_v^{B_2}$ | $C_v^B$ | $C_v^{\lambda}$ | $C_v^\varphi$ | $C_v$ |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ur | spl | spl | spl | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| rps | spl | spl | spl | $q_v^{n_v-1}(q_v + 1)$ | $q_v^{n_v-1}(q_v + 1)$ | $q_v^{n_v-1}(q_v + 1)$ | $q_v^{n_v-1}(q_v + 1)$ | $\frac{q_v}{q_v+1}$ | $\frac{q_v}{q_v+1}$ |
| st | spl | spl | spl | $q_v+1$ | $q_v+1$ | $q_v+1$ | $q_v+1$ | $q_v^2$ | $q_v^2$ |
| st | ram | ram | spl | $q_v+1$ | $q_v+1$ | $q_v+1$ | $q_v^2$ | $q_v$ | $q_v$ |
| st | spl | ram | spl | $q_v+1$ | $q_v+1$ | $q_v+1$ | $q_v^2$ | $q_v$ | $q_v$ |
| ds | spl | spl | spl | 1 | 1 | 1 | $2^{\frac{k_v}{q_v}+1} \cdot 2^{\frac{k_v}{q_v}+1}$ | $2^{\frac{k_v}{q_v}+1} \cdot 2^{\frac{k_v}{q_v}+1}$ |
| ds | ram | ram | spl | $k_v - 1$ | $k_v - 1$ | $2^{k_v - 1} \cdot 2^{k_v - 1}$ | $2^{k_v - 1} \cdot 2^{k_v - 1}$ |
| ds | ram | spl | ram | $k_v - 1$ | $k_v - 1$ | $2^{k_v - 1} \cdot 2^{k_v - 1}$ | $2^{k_v - 1} \cdot 2^{k_v - 1}$ |

By Theorem 6.7 we have

$$|\alpha(B_1, B_2)|^2 \cdot \langle f_{B_1}, f_{B_1} \rangle \cdot \langle f_{B_2}, f_{B_2} \rangle = |D_F|^2 \cdot \prod_v C_v \cdot \langle f_{B_1}, f_{B_1} \rangle \cdot \langle f_{B_2}, f_{B_2} \rangle$$

with the constant $C_v$ above, and hence

$$\frac{|\alpha(B_1, B_2)|^2}{\prod_v (C_v^{B_1})^2 C_v^{\lambda}} \cdot \frac{\langle v_{\bar{K}_{B_1}}, v_{\bar{K}_{B_1}} \rangle h_{B_1} \cdot \langle s_{B_1}, s_{B_1} \rangle_{\bar{K}_{B_1}}}{2^{d_B} h_{B'} \cdot \prod_v C_v^{B'}} = \frac{|\alpha(B_1, B_2)|^2}{\prod_v (C_v^{B_2})^2 C_v^{\lambda}} \cdot \frac{\langle v_{\bar{K}_{B_2}}, v_{\bar{K}_{B_2}} \rangle h_{B_2} \cdot \langle s_{B_2}, s_{B_2} \rangle_{\bar{K}_{B_2}}}{2^{d_B} h_{B'} \cdot \prod_v C_v^{B'}}$$

$$= |D_F|^2 \cdot \prod_v C_v \cdot \frac{\langle v_{\bar{K}_{B_1}}, v_{\bar{K}_{B_1}} \rangle h_{B_1} \cdot \langle s_{B_1}, s_{B_1} \rangle_{\bar{K}_{B_1}}}{2^{d_B} h_{B'} \cdot \prod_v C_v^{B'}} \cdot 2|D_F| \cdot \prod_v C_v^{\lambda} \cdot \Lambda(1, \Pi, ad).$$

Now the theorem follows from this and the fact that

$$C_v^{B_1} C_v^{B_2} C_v^{B_1} C_v^{\lambda} C_v^\varphi C_v = 1$$

for all $v$. 

We now motivate the main conjecture of this paper. Let us set

$$\Lambda(\Pi) := \Lambda(1, \Pi, ad).$$

Thus from (7.4), we see that for $B_1 \neq B_2$, we have

$$|\alpha(B_1, B_2)|^2 \cdot q_{B_1}(\Pi, \ell) \cdot q_{B_2}(\Pi, \ell) = \Lambda(\Pi) \cdot q_{B}(\Pi, \ell) \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{C}^\times/R(\ell)^\times,$$

and consequently,

$$|\alpha(B_1, B_2)|^2 \cdot q_{B_1}(\Pi) \cdot q_{B_2}(\Pi) = \Lambda(\Pi) \cdot q_{B}(\Pi) \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{C}^\times/R(\ell)^\times.$$
If we combine this with Conjecture A(ii) of the introduction, we are lead to the following conjectural expression for $|\alpha(B_1, B_2)|^2$:

$$|\alpha(B_1, B_2)|^2 \leq \frac{\Lambda(\Pi)}{\prod_{v \in \Sigma_B} c_v(\Pi)} \cdot \frac{\Lambda(\Pi)}{\prod_{v \in \Sigma_B} c_v(\Pi)} \prod_{v \in \Sigma_{B_1} \cap \Sigma_{B_2}} c_v(\Pi)^2 \text{ in } \mathbb{C}^\times / R_{(\ell)}^\times.$$  

Combining this last expression with Conjecture A(i) suggests Conjecture D of the introduction on the arithmetic nature of the constants $\alpha(B_1, B_2)$. We restate it below for the convenience of the reader.

Conjecture 7.6. Suppose that $B_1 \neq B_2$ and $\Sigma_{B_1} \cap \Sigma_{B_2} \cap \Sigma_\infty = \emptyset$, that is $B_1$ and $B_2$ have no infinite places of ramification in common. Then

(i) $\alpha(B_1, B_2)$ lies in $\mathbb{C}^\times$.

(ii) Moreover, $\alpha(B_1, B_2)$ belongs to $R_{(\ell)}$.

(iii) If in addition $B_1$ and $B_2$ have no finite places of ramification in common, then $\alpha(B_1, B_2)$ lies in $R_{(\ell)}^\times$.

Note that this conjecture makes absolutely no reference to the constants $c_v(\Pi)$. However, we shall show now that the truth of this conjecture (for all $\ell$ prime to $N(\Pi)$) implies the truth of Conj. A.

Theorem 7.7. Suppose that Conj. 7.6 is true for all $\ell$ prime to $N(\Pi)$. Then Conj. A is true.

Remark 7.8. The proof below will show that the validity of Conj. 7.6 for a single $\ell$ implies a version of Conj. A with $R^\times$ replaced by $R_{(\ell)}^\times$.

Proof. Recall from Remark 3 of the introduction that

$$q_{M_2(F)} = \Lambda(\Pi) \text{ in } \mathbb{C}^\times / R^\times.$$  

Note that if $|\Sigma_\Pi| = 0$ or 1, then $\Pi$ does not transfer to any non-split quaternion algebra, so the conjecture follows from (7.7).

If $|\Sigma_\Pi| = 2$, say $\Sigma_\Pi = \{v, w\}$, then there is a unique non-split quaternion algebra $B$ with $\Sigma_B \subseteq \Sigma_\Pi$, given by $\Sigma_B = \Sigma_\Pi$. In this case, we need to pick two elements $c_v(\Pi)$ and $c_w(\Pi)$ in $\mathbb{C}^\times / R^\times$ such that the relation

$$q_B(\Pi) = \frac{\Lambda(\Pi)}{c_v(\Pi) \cdot c_w(\Pi)}$$  

is satisfied (in addition to (7.7)), and there are obviously many ways to do this. Since at most one of the places in $\Sigma_\Pi$ (say $v$) is a finite place, we can also make this choice so that $c_v(\Pi)$ lies in $R$. Note that in this case, the invariants $c_v(\Pi)$ and $c_w(\Pi)$ are not uniquely determined by the single relation above, so in order to get canonical invariants one would need to rigidify the choices by imposing other constraints on them. We do not pursue this here.

Thus we may assume that $|\Sigma_\Pi| \geq 3$. We need to first define the constants $c_v(\Pi)$ in this case. First, for any subset $\Sigma \subseteq \Sigma_\Pi$ of even cardinality let us define $c_\Sigma(\Pi) \in \mathbb{C}^\times / R^\times$ by

$$c_\Sigma(\Pi) := \frac{\Lambda(\Pi)}{q_{B_\Sigma}(\Pi)},$$  

where $B_\Sigma$ denotes the unique quaternion algebra ramified exactly at $\Sigma$. Note that from (7.7), we have

$$c_\infty(\Pi) = 1 \text{ in } \mathbb{C}^\times / R^\times.$$  

(7.8)

Now let $v$ be any element in $\Sigma_\Pi$. We will define $c_v(\Pi)$ as follows. Pick any two other elements $u, w \in \Sigma_\Pi$ and define $c_v(\Pi)$ to be the unique element in $\mathbb{C}^\times/R^\times$ such that

$$c_v(\Pi)^2 = \frac{c_{(v,u)}(\Pi) \cdot c_{(v,w)}(\Pi)}{c_{(u,w)}(\Pi)}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.9)

We will show that the truth of Conj. 7.6 for a single $\ell$ implies that $c_v(\Pi)$ is well defined in $\mathbb{C}^\times/R^\times$, that it lies in $R(\ell)$ if $v$ is a finite place and that the relation

$$q_B(\Pi) = \frac{\Lambda(\Pi)}{\prod_{v \in \Sigma_B} c_v(\Pi)} \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{C}^\times/R^\times$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.10)

is satisfied. It follows from this that the truth of Conj. 7.6 for all $\ell$ prime to $N(\Pi)$ implies that the $c_v(\Pi)$ is well defined in $\mathbb{C}^\times/R^\times$, that it lies in $R$ if $v$ is a finite place and that the relation

$$q_B(\Pi) = \frac{\Lambda(\Pi)}{\prod_{v \in \Sigma_B} c_v(\Pi)} \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{C}^\times/R^\times$$

is satisfied, which would complete the proof of the theorem.

Thus let $\ell$ be any prime not dividing $N(\Pi)$ and let us assume the truth of Conj. 7.6 for this fixed $\ell$. If $\Sigma_1$ and $\Sigma_2$ are two distinct subsets of $\Sigma_\Pi$ of even cardinality and if $B_1$ and $B_2$ are the corresponding quaternion algebras, the relation (7.9) gives

$$|\alpha(B_1, B_2)|^2 \cdot c_{\Sigma_1}(\Pi) \cdot c_{\Sigma_2}(\Pi) \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{C}^\times/R^\times(\ell).$$

If moreover $\Sigma_1$ and $\Sigma_2$ are disjoint, then Conj. 7.6 implies that $\alpha(B_1, B_2)$ lies in $R^\times(\ell)$. Thus we get the key multiplicative relation:

$$c_{\Sigma_1}(\Pi) \cdot c_{\Sigma_2}(\Pi) = c_{\Sigma}(\Pi) \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{C}^\times/R^\times(\ell), \quad \text{if} \quad \Sigma_1 \cap \Sigma_2 = \emptyset,$$

including the case $\Sigma_1 = \Sigma_2 = \emptyset$ on account of (7.8). We can use this to check that $c_v(\Pi)$ defined via (7.9) is independent of the choice of $u$ and $w$, viewed as an element in $\mathbb{C}^\times/R^\times(\ell)$. Since the definition is symmetric in $u$ and $w$, it suffices to show that it remains invariant under changing $u$ to some other $u'$ distinct from $u$ and $w$. However, this follows from the equality

$$c_{(v,u)}(\Pi) \cdot c_{(w,u')}(\Pi) = c_{(v,u,u',w)}(\Pi) = c_{(v,w)}(\Pi) \cdot c_{(u,w)}(\Pi) \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{C}^\times/R^\times(\ell),$$

which is implied by (7.11).

Next we check that if $v$ is a finite place, then $c_v(\Pi)$ lies in $R(\ell)$. If $B_1$, $B_2$ and $B$ are the quaternion algebras with $\Sigma_{B_1} = \{v, u\}$, $\Sigma_{B_2} = \{v, w\}$ and $\Sigma_B = \{u, w\}$, then $B = B_1 \cdot B_2$ and

$$c_v(\Pi)^2 = \frac{\Lambda(\Pi) \cdot \Lambda(\Pi)}{q_{B_1}(\Pi) \cdot q_{B_2}(\Pi)} = \frac{\Lambda(\Pi) \cdot q_{B_1}(\Pi) \cdot q_{B_2}(\Pi)}{q_{B}(\Pi)} = |\alpha(B_1, B_2)|^2 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{C}^\times/R^\times(\ell).$$

Since $B_1$ and $B_2$ have no infinite places of ramification in common, it follows from (i) and (ii) of Conj. 7.6 that $c_v(\Pi)$ lies in $R(\ell)$.

Finally, let us check that $c_v(\Pi) \cdot c_v(\Pi) = c_{(u,v)}(\Pi)$ in $\mathbb{C}^\times/R^\times(\ell)$ if $u, v$ are distinct elements in $\Sigma_B$. Indeed, picking any $w$ distinct from $u$ and $v$, we have

$$c_{(v,u)}(\Pi)^2 \cdot c_{(v,w)}(\Pi)^2 = \frac{c_{(v,u)}(\Pi) \cdot c_{(v,w)}(\Pi)}{c_{(u,w)}(\Pi)} \cdot \frac{c_{(v,u)}(\Pi) \cdot c_{(v,w)}(\Pi)}{c_{(u,w)}(\Pi)} = c_{(u,v)}(\Pi)^2 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{C}^\times/R^\times(\ell).$$
as claimed. From this, (7.8) and (7.11) it follows immediately that for any subset $\Sigma \subseteq \Sigma_{\Pi}$ of even cardinality, we have

$$c_{\Sigma}(\Pi) = \prod_{v \in \Sigma} c_v(\Pi) \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{C}^\times / R_{(e)}^\times,$$

from which (7.10) follows immediately. \qed
APPENDIX A. POLARIZED HODGE STRUCTURES, ABELIAN VARIETIES AND COMPLEX CONJUGATION

In this section we discuss polarizations and the action of complex conjugation on Hodge structures attached to abelian varieties. This material is completely standard, so the purpose of this section is simply to carefully fix our conventions and motivate some of our constructions in Chapter II.

If $A$ is a complex abelian variety, there is a natural Hodge structure on $A = H^1(A, \mathbb{Z})$. If $V = A \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, we have

$$V_\mathbb{C} = H^1(A, \mathbb{C}) = V^{-1,0} \oplus V^{0,-1}$$

where $V^{-1,0} = \text{Lie}(A)$ and $V^{0,-1} = F^0(V) = V^{-1,0}_\mathbb{R}$ is identified with $H^1(A, \mathcal{O}_A)^\vee$. In fact, the exact sequence

$$0 \to V^{0,-1} \to V_\mathbb{C} \to V^{-1,0} \to 0$$

is dual to

$$0 \to H^0(A, \Omega^1_A) \to H^1(A, \mathbb{C}) \to H^1(A, \mathcal{O}_A) \to 0$$

which describes the Hodge filtration on $H^1(A, \mathbb{C})$. As a complex torus, $A$ is recovered as

$$A = V^{0,-1} \setminus V_\mathbb{C}/\Lambda \cong V^{-1,0}/\Lambda.$$ 

Let $h : S \to \text{GL}(V_\mathbb{R})$ be the homomorphism of the Deligne torus into $\text{GL}(V_\mathbb{R})$ corresponding to the Hodge structure on $H^1(A, \mathbb{Z})$. Let $C = h(i)$. Recall that according to our conventions, the operator $C \otimes 1$ on $V_\mathbb{R} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ acts on $V^{-1,0}$ as $i$ and on $V^{0,-1}$ as $-i$. We write $F$ for $F^0(V) = V^{0,-1}$ so that $\bar{F} = V^{-1,0} = \text{Lie}(A)$. Then the composite maps

$$(A.1) \quad \Lambda \otimes \mathbb{R} \to \Lambda \otimes \mathbb{C} \to F, \quad \Lambda \otimes \mathbb{R} \to \Lambda \otimes \mathbb{C} \to \bar{F}$$

are $\mathbb{R}$-linear isomorphisms.

Let $\Psi$ be a skew-symmetric form

$$\Psi : \Lambda \times \Lambda \to \mathbb{Z}(1)$$

whose $\mathbb{R}$-linear extension $\Psi_\mathbb{R} : V_\mathbb{R} \times V_\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}(1)$ satisfies

$$\Psi_\mathbb{R}(Cv, Cw) = \Psi_\mathbb{R}(v, w).$$

Define

$$B : \Lambda \times \Lambda \to \mathbb{Z}, \quad B(v, w) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \Psi(v, w).$$

**Remark A.1.** Note that the discussion up to this point was in fact independent of a choice of $i$. However, in the definition of $B$ above and in the sequel, we need to fix such a choice. For any element $x + yi \in \mathbb{C}$ let us also set

$$\text{Im}(x + yi) = yi, \quad \text{im}(x + yi) = y.$$

Let $B_\mathbb{R}$ and $B_\mathbb{C}$ denote the $\mathbb{R}$-linear and $\mathbb{C}$-linear extensions of $B$ to $V_\mathbb{R}$ and $V_\mathbb{C}$ respectively. Let $B_\mathbb{C}$ denote the hermitian form on $V_\mathbb{C}$ given by

$$B_\mathbb{C}(v, w) := B_\mathbb{C}(v, \bar{w}).$$

Finally, we let $B_F$ and $B_{\bar{F}}$ denote the bilinear forms on $F$ and $\bar{F}$ obtained from $B_\mathbb{R}$ via the isomorphisms $(A.1)$ above.

**Proposition A.2.** The forms $B_\mathbb{C}$ and $B_\mathbb{C}$ have the following properties:

(i) The subspaces $F$ and $\bar{F}$ of $V_\mathbb{C}$ are isotropic for $B_\mathbb{C}$.

(ii) The form $B_\mathbb{C}$ pairs $F \times \bar{F}$ to zero.

(iii) $2 \cdot \text{im}(B_\mathbb{C})|_F = B_F$ and $2 \cdot \text{im}(B_\mathbb{C})|_{\bar{F}} = -B_{\bar{F}}.$
Proof. For \( v, w \in F \), we have

\[
B_C(v, w) = B_C(h(i)v, h(i)w) = B_C(-iv, -iw) = -B_C(v, w),
\]

so \( F \) is isotropic for \( B_C \). The argument for \( \bar{F} \) is similar. Part (ii) follows immediately from part (i). For part (iii), suppose \( v, w \in F \). Then

\[
2 \text{Im}(B_C)(v, w) = B_C(v, w) - \overline{B_C(v, w)} = B_C(v, C\bar{w}) - B_C(\bar{v}, Cw)
= B_C(v, iw) - B_C(\bar{v}, -iw) = i (B_C(v, \bar{w}) + B_C(\bar{v}, w)).
\]

On the other hand, under the isomorphism \( V_\mathbb{R} \cong F \), the element \( v \in F \) corresponds to \( v + \bar{v} \in V_\mathbb{R} \). Thus

\[
B_F(v, w) = B_\mathbb{R}(v + \bar{v}, w + \bar{w}) = B_C(v + \bar{v}, w + \bar{w}) = B_C(v, \bar{w}) + B_C(\bar{v}, w)
\]

from part (i). This shows that \( 2 \cdot \text{Im}(B_C)|_F = B_F \). The proof for \( \bar{F} \) is similar.

\[\square\]

Proposition A.3. The following are equivalent:

(i) The bilinear form \((v, w) \mapsto B_\mathbb{R}(v, Cw)\) on \( V_\mathbb{R} \) is positive definite.

(ii) The hermitian form \( B_C \) on \( V_C \) is positive definite and induces by restriction positive definite hermitian forms on both \( F \) and \( \bar{F} \).

Proof. Let \( v, w \in V_C \). Suppose \( v = v_1 + v_2 \) and \( w = w_1 + w_2 \) with \( v_1, w_1 \in F \) and \( v_2, w_2 \in \bar{F} \). Then

\[
B_C(v, w) = B_C(v_1 + v_2, w_1 + w_2) = B_C(v_1, w_1) + B_C(v_2, w_2)
= B_C(v_1, C\bar{w}_1) + B_C(v_2, C\bar{w}_2)
\]

so in particular,

\[
B_C(v, v) = B_C(v_1, C\bar{v}_1) + B_C(v_2, C\bar{v}_2).
\]

On the other hand,

\[
B_\mathbb{R}(v_1 + \bar{v}_1, C(v_1 + \bar{v}_1)) = B_C(v_1, C\bar{v}_1) + B_C(\bar{v}_1, Cv_1)
= B_C(v_1, C\bar{v}_1) - B_C(C^2\bar{v}_1, Cv_1)
= B_C(v_1, C\bar{v}_1) - B_C(C\bar{v}_1, v_1)
= 2B_C(v_1, C\bar{v}_1).
\]

Likewise,

\[
B_\mathbb{R}(v_2 + \bar{v}_2, C(v_2 + \bar{v}_2)) = 2B_C(v_2, C\bar{v}_2).
\]

The implication (i) \(\iff\) (ii) is clear from this.

\[\square\]

Definition A.4. We will say that \( \Psi \) or \( B \) is a polarization if either of the equivalent conditions of the proposition above are satisfied.

Remark A.5. In the classical theory of complex abelian varieties, one considers hermitian forms \( H \) on \( F \) or \( \bar{F} \) whose imaginary part \( \text{im} \ H \) equals a given skew-symmetric form. A polarization corresponds to the choice of a skew-symmetric form such that \( H \) is either positive or negative definite. This can lead to some confusion: note for example that the skew-symmetric form \( B_F \) is the imaginary part of the positive definite form \( 2 \cdot B_C|_F \), while the skew-symmetric form \( B_{\bar{F}} \) is the imaginary part of the negative definite form \( -2 \cdot B_C|_{\bar{F}} \). We will always use the form \( B_C \) which is positive definite on both \( F \) and \( \bar{F} \).
Appendix B. Metaplectic covers of symplectic similitude groups

B.1. Setup. Let \( F \) be a local field of characteristic zero. Fix a nontrivial additive character \( \psi \) of \( F \).

Let \( \mathbb{V} \) be a \( 2n \)-dimensional symplectic space over \( F \). Let \( \text{GSp}(\mathbb{V}) \) and \( \text{Sp}(\mathbb{V}) := \ker \nu \) be the similitude group and the symplectic group of \( \mathbb{V} \) respectively, where \( \nu : \text{GSp}(\mathbb{V}) \to F^\times \) is the similitude character.

Fix a complete polarization \( \mathbb{V} = \mathbb{X} \oplus \mathbb{Y} \). Choose a basis \( \mathbf{e}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_n, \mathbf{e}_1^*, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_n^* \) of \( \mathbb{V} \) such that
\[
\mathbb{X} = Fe_1 + \cdots + Fe_n, \quad \mathbb{Y} = Fe_1^* + \cdots + Fe_n^*, \quad \langle \mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j^* \rangle = \delta_{ij}.
\]
Using this basis, we may write
\[
\text{GSp}(\mathbb{V}) = \left\{ g \in \text{GL}_{2n}(F) \mid g \begin{pmatrix} 1_n & \ast \end{pmatrix} = \nu(g) \begin{pmatrix} 1_n & \ast \end{pmatrix} \right\}.
\]
For \( \nu \in F^\times \), we define \( d(\nu) = d_2(\nu) \in \text{GSp}(\mathbb{V}) \) by
\[
d(\nu) := \begin{pmatrix} 1_n & \nu \cdot 1_n \end{pmatrix}.
\]
Let \( P = P_\mathbb{Y} \) be the maximal parabolic subgroup of \( \text{Sp}(\mathbb{V}) \) stabilizing \( \mathbb{Y} \):
\[
P = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a \cdot \nu^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \mid a \in \text{GL}_n(F) \right\}.
\]
We have a Bruhat decomposition
\[
\text{Sp}(\mathbb{V}) = \prod_{j=0}^{n} P \tau_j P,
\]
where
\[
\tau_j := \begin{pmatrix} 1_{n-j} & \ast & -1_j \\ \ast & 1_{n-j} & \ast \\ 1_j & \ast & 1_{n-j} \end{pmatrix}.
\]
For \( h \in \text{Sp}(\mathbb{V}) \), put \( j(h) := j \) if \( h \in P \tau_j P \). We define a map
\[
x : \text{Sp}(\mathbb{V}) \to F^\times/(F^\times)^2
\]
by
\[
x(p_1 \tau_j p_2) := \det(a_1 a_2) \mod (F^\times)^2,
\]
where
\[
p_i = \begin{pmatrix} a_i \cdot \nu^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \in P.
\]
In particular, we have \( x(p_1 h p_2) = x(p_1)x(h)x(p_2) \) for \( p_1, p_2 \in P \) and \( h \in \text{Sp}(\mathbb{V}) \).

Let \( z_\mathbb{V} = z^\text{Sp}_\mathbb{V} \) be the 2-cocycle given by
\[
z_\mathbb{V}(h_1, h_2) := \gamma_F \left( \frac{1}{2} \psi \circ q(\mathbb{Y}, \mathbb{Y}h_2^{-1}, \mathbb{Y}h_1) \right)
\]
for \( h_1, h_2 \in \text{Sp}(\mathbb{V}) \).

Lemma B.1. We have
\[
\begin{align*}
&z_\mathbb{V}(h, h^{-1}) = 1 \text{ for } h \in \text{Sp}(\mathbb{V}), \\
z_\mathbb{V}(p_1 h_1 p, p^{-1} h_2 p_2) = z_\mathbb{V}(h_1, h_2) \text{ for } p, p_i \in P \text{ and } h_i \in \text{Sp}(\mathbb{V}), \\
z_\mathbb{V}(\tau_i, \tau_j) = 1, \\
z_\mathbb{V}(\tau_n, n(\beta) \tau_n) = \gamma_F \left( \frac{1}{2} \psi \circ q_\beta \right) \text{ for } n(\beta) = \begin{pmatrix} 1_n & \beta \\ \ast & 1_n \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } \beta \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}) \text{ if } q_\beta \text{ is non-degenerate}, \\
\text{where } q_\beta \text{ is a symmetric bilinear form on } \mathbb{X} \text{ defined by } q_\beta(x, y) = \{x, y\beta\}.
\end{align*}
\]
Proof. See [61] Theorem 4.1, Corollary 4.2. □

Suppose that \( V = V_1 \oplus V_2 \), where each \( V_i \) is a non-degenerate symplectic subspace over \( F \). If \( V_i = X_i \oplus Y_i \) is a complete polarization and

\[
X = X_1 \oplus X_2, \quad Y = Y_1 \oplus Y_2,
\]

then we have

\[
z_{V_2}(h_1, h'_1) \cdot z_{V_2}(h_2, h'_2) = z_{V}(h_1 h_2, h'_1 h'_2)
\]

for \( h, h' \in \text{Sp}(V_i) \) (see Theorem 4.1 of [61]).

B.2. Action of outer automorphisms on the 2-cocycle. For \( \nu \in F^{\times} \), let \( \alpha_{\nu} = \alpha_{\nu, \cdot} \) be the outer automorphism of \( \text{Sp}(V) \) given by

\[
\alpha_{\nu}(h) = d(\nu) \cdot h \cdot d(\nu)^{-1}
\]

for \( h \in \text{Sp}(V) \). This induces an action of \( F^{\times} \) on \( \text{Sp}(V) \) and thus we have an isomorphism

\[
\text{Sp}(V) \times F^{\times} \longrightarrow \text{GSp}(V).
\]

\[
(h, \nu) \longmapsto (h, \nu)_V := h \cdot d(\nu)
\]

Note that

\[
(h, \nu)_V \cdot (h', \nu')_V = (h \cdot \alpha_{\nu}(h'), \nu \cdot \nu')_V.
\]

There exists a unique automorphism \( \tilde{\alpha}_{\nu} \) of \( \text{Mp}(V) \) such that \( \tilde{\alpha}_{\nu}|_{C^1} = \text{id}_{C^1} \) and the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Mp}(V) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}_{\nu}} & \text{Mp}(V) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{Sp}(V) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{\nu}} & \text{Sp}(V)
\end{array}
\]

commutes. This implies that there exists a unique function

\[
v_\nu : \text{Sp}(V) \times F^{\times} \longrightarrow C^1
\]

such that

\[
\tilde{\alpha}_{\nu}(h, z) = (\alpha_{\nu}(h), z \cdot v_\nu(h, \nu))
\]

for \( (h, z) \in \text{Mp}(V)_V \). Since \( \tilde{\alpha}_{\nu} \) is an automorphism, we have

\[
z_\nu(\alpha_{\nu}(h), \alpha_{\nu}(h')) = z_\nu(h, h') \cdot v_\nu(hh', \nu) \cdot v_\nu(h, \nu)^{-1} \cdot v_\nu(h', \nu)^{-1}
\]

for \( h, h' \in \text{Sp}(V) \) and \( \nu \in F^{\times} \).

Lemma B.2. We have

\[
v_\nu(h, \nu) = (x(h), \nu)_F \cdot \gamma_F(\nu, \frac{1}{2} \psi)^{-j(h)}
\]

for \( h \in \text{Sp}(V) \) and \( \nu \in F^{\times} \).

Proof. See [2] Proposition 1.2.A. For convenience, we recall the proof of [2] Proposition 1.2.A. Warning: our convention differs from that in [2].

Note that \( z_\nu(p, h) = z_\nu(h, p) = 1 \) for \( p \in P \) and \( h \in \text{Sp}(V) \). This implies that

\[
v_\nu(php', \nu) = v_\nu(p, \nu) \cdot v_\nu(h, \nu) \cdot v_\nu(p', \nu)
\]

for \( p, p' \in P \) and \( h \in \text{Sp}(V) \). Moreover, there exist a character \( \xi_\nu \) of \( F^{\times} \) and an element \( \gamma_\nu \in C^1 \) such that

\[
v_\nu(p, \nu) = \xi_\nu(x(p)), \quad v_\nu(\tau_j, \nu) = \gamma_\nu^j.
\]
To determine $\xi_\nu$ and $\gamma_\nu$, we may assume that $\dim V = 2$ as explained in the proof of [2] Proposition 1.2.A. Put
\[
n(x) := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ x & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]
If $x \neq 0$, then we have
\[
n(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x & 1 \\ 0 & x^{-1} \end{pmatrix},
\]
so that
\[
v_Y(n(x), \nu) = \xi_\nu(x) \cdot \gamma_\nu.
\]
Let $x, y \in F$ such that $x \neq 0, y \neq 0, x + y \neq 0$. Since $\alpha_\nu(n(x)) = n(\nu x)$, we have
\[
\frac{z_Y(n(\nu x), n(\nu y))}{z_Y(n(x), n(y))} = \frac{v_Y(n(x + y), \nu)}{v_Y(n(x), \nu) \cdot v_Y(n(y), \nu)} = \xi_\nu \left( \frac{x + y}{xy} \right) \cdot \gamma_\nu^{-1}.
\]
By [61, Corollary 4.3], we have
\[
z_Y(n(x), n(y)) = \gamma_F \left( \frac{1}{2} xy(x + y) \cdot \psi \right)
\]
and hence
\[
\gamma_F(\nu, \frac{1}{2} \psi) \cdot \left( \frac{x + y}{xy}, \nu \right)_F = \xi_\nu \left( \frac{x + y}{xy} \right) \cdot \gamma_\nu^{-1}.
\]
Taking $x = y = 2$, we have
\[
\gamma_\nu = \gamma_F(\nu, \frac{1}{2} \psi)^{-1}
\]
and hence
\[
\xi_\nu(a) = (a, \nu)_F
\]
for all $a \in F^\times$.

**B.3. Metaplectic groups.** For each $\nu \in F^\times$, we have an automorphism $\tilde{\alpha}_\nu$ of $\text{Mp}(V)$. This induces an action of $F^\times$ on $\text{Mp}(V)$ and thus we have a topological group
\[
\text{Mp}(V) \rtimes F^\times.
\]
We define a bijection
\[
\text{Mp}(V)_Y \times F^\times \rightarrow \text{GMp}(V)_Y := \text{GSp}(V) \times \mathbb{C}^1
\]
((h, z), \nu) \mapsto ((h, \nu)_Y, z)
as sets. Via this bijection, we regard $\text{GMp}(\mathcal{V})_Y$ as a group. Note that the diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Mp}(\mathcal{V})_Y \times F^\times & \longrightarrow & \text{GMp}(\mathcal{V})_Y \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{Sp}(\mathcal{V}) \times F^\times & \longrightarrow & \text{GSp}(\mathcal{V})
\end{array}
$$

commutes. Let $z^{\text{GSp}}_Y$ be the 2-cocycle associated to $\text{GMp}(\mathcal{V})_Y$. By definition, one can see that

$$z^{\text{GSp}}_Y(g, g') = z^\text{Sp}_Y(h, \alpha_\nu(h')) \cdot v_Y(h', \nu)$$

for $g = (h, \nu)_Y$, $g' = (h', \nu')_Y \in \text{GSp}(\mathcal{V})$. In particular, the restriction of $z^{\text{GSp}}_Y$ to $\text{Sp}(\mathcal{V}) \times \text{Sp}(\mathcal{V})$ is equal to $z^{\text{Sp}}_Y$. Thus we omit the superscripts $\text{GSp}$ and $\text{Sp}$ from the notation.

We shall see that the isomorphism class of $\text{GMp}(\mathcal{V})_Y$ does not depend on the choice of the complete polarization. If there is no confusion, we write $\text{GMp}(\mathcal{V}) = \text{GMp}(\mathcal{V})_Y$.

**B.4. Change of polarizations.** Let $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{X} + \mathcal{Y}$ be another complete polarization. Fix an element $h_0 \in \text{Sp}(\mathcal{V})$ such that $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}h_0$ and $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{Y}h_0$. Let $\alpha_0$ be the inner automorphism of $\text{GSp}(\mathcal{V})$ given by

$$\alpha_0(g) = h_0 \cdot g \cdot h_0^{-1}$$

for $g \in \text{GSp}(\mathcal{V})$. Note that $\alpha_0|\text{Sp}(\mathcal{V})$ is an inner automorphism of $\text{Sp}(\mathcal{V})$. We have

$$d_Y(\nu) = h_0^{-1} \cdot d_Y(\nu) \cdot h_0, \quad \alpha_{Y, \nu} = \alpha_0^{-1} \circ \alpha_{Y, \nu} \circ \alpha_0.$$

By [39] Lemma 4.2, we have

$$z_Y(h, h') = z_Y(\alpha_0(h), \alpha_0(h'))$$

for $h, h' \in \text{Sp}(\mathcal{V})$, and an isomorphism

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{Mp}(\mathcal{V})_Y \longrightarrow \text{Mp}(\mathcal{V})_{\mathcal{Y}}, \\
(h, z) \mapsto (h, z \cdot \mu(h))
\end{array}$$

where

$$\mu(h) = z_Y(h_0, h^{-1}h_0) \cdot z_Y(h, h_0^{-1})$$

for $h \in \text{Sp}(\mathcal{V})$.

**Lemma B.3.** We have

$$v_{\mathcal{Y}}(h, \nu) = v_Y(\alpha_0(h), \nu)$$

for $h \in \text{Sp}(\mathcal{V})$ and $\nu \in F^\times$.

**Proof.** We have

$$z_{\mathcal{Y}}(\alpha_{Y, \nu}(h), \alpha_{Y, \nu}(h')) = z_{\mathcal{Y}}((\alpha_0^{-1} \circ \alpha_{Y, \nu} \circ \alpha_0)(h), (\alpha_0^{-1} \circ \alpha_{Y, \nu} \circ \alpha_0)(h'))$$

$$= z_Y((\alpha_{Y, \nu} \circ \alpha_0)(h), (\alpha_{Y, \nu} \circ \alpha_0)(h'))$$

$$= z_Y(\alpha_0(h), \alpha_0(h')) \cdot v_Y(\alpha_0(h) \cdot \alpha_0(h'), \nu) \cdot v_Y(\alpha_0(h), \nu)^{-1} \cdot v_Y(\alpha_0(h'), \nu)^{-1}$$

$$= z_Y(h, h') \cdot v_Y(\alpha_0(hh'), \nu) \cdot v_Y(\alpha_0(h), \nu)^{-1} \cdot v_Y(\alpha_0(h'), \nu)^{-1}.$$

Thus the assertion follows from the characterization of $v_{\mathcal{Y}}$.

**Lemma B.4.** We have

$$z_Y(g, g') = z_Y(\alpha_0(g), \alpha_0(g'))$$

for $g, g' \in \text{GSp}(\mathcal{V})$. 

\[\square\]
Proof. Let \( g = (h, \nu)_V, g' = (h', \nu')_V \in \text{GSp}(V) \). Then we have

\[
z_V(g, g') = z_V(h, \alpha_{V, \nu}(h')) \cdot v_V(h', \nu)
= z_V(\alpha_0(h), (\alpha_0 \circ \alpha_{V, \nu})(h')) \cdot v_V(\alpha_0(h'), \nu)
= z_V(\alpha_0(h), (\alpha_{V, \nu} \circ \alpha_0)(h')) \cdot v_V(\alpha_0(h'), \nu)
= z_V((\alpha_0(h), \nu)_V, (\alpha_0(h'), \nu')_V).
\]

Since

\[
\alpha_0(g) = h_0 \cdot h \cdot d_{V'}(\nu) \cdot h_0^{-1} = h_0 \cdot h_0^{-1} \cdot d_{V'}(\nu) = (\alpha_0(h), \nu)_V,
\]

the assertion follows. \( \square \)

Put \( \mu(g) = z_V(g, h_0^{-1}) \cdot z_V(h_0, h^{-1}) = z_V(h_0^{-1} gh_0, h_0^{-1}) \cdot z_V(h_0^{-1}, g)^{-1} \)
for \( g \in \text{GSp}(V) \). Note that \( \mu \) depends on the choice of \( h_0 \). By a direct calculation, one can see that

\[
z_V(g, g') = z_V(g, g') \cdot \mu(gg') \cdot \mu(g)^{-1} \cdot \mu(g')^{-1}
\]
for \( g, g' \in \text{GSp}(V) \). Thus we obtain an isomorphism

\[
\text{GMp}(V)_Y \rightarrow \text{GMp}(V)_Y'.
\]

\[
(g, z) \mapsto (g, z \cdot \mu(g))
\]

Appendix C. Splittings: the case \( \dim_B V = 2 \) and \( \dim_B W = 1 \)

C.1. Setup. Let \( F \) be a number field. Recall that

\[
E = F + Fi, \quad B = E + E_j, \quad B_1 = E + E_{j_1}, \quad B_2 = E + E_{j_2},
\]

\[
u := i^2, \quad J := j^2, \quad J_1 := j_1^2, \quad J_2 := j_2^2,
\]

where

\[
J = J_1 J_2.
\]

Recall that

\[
V = B_1 \otimes_B B_2 \quad \text{and} \quad W = B
\]

are a right skew-hermitian \( B \)-space and a left hermitian \( B \)-space respectively, and

\[
\mathcal{V} = V \otimes_B W
\]

is an \( F \)-space with a symplectic form

\[
\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}_{B/F}(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \otimes \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle^*).
\]

Recall that \( \mathcal{V} = \mathcal{X} + \mathcal{Y} \) is a complete polarization, where

\[
\mathcal{X} = Fe_1 + Fe_2 + Fe_3 + Fe_4, \quad \mathcal{Y} = Fe_1^* + Fe_2^* + Fe_3^* + Fe_4^*.
\]

The actions of \( B, B_1, B_2 \) on \( \mathcal{V} \) are given as follows:
• B-Action

\[
\begin{align*}
e_1i &= u e_1^* \\
e_2i &= -u J_1 e_2^* \\
e_3i &= -u J_2 e_3^* \\
e_4i &= u J e_4^* \\
e_i^*i &= e_1 \\
e_i^*j &= J_1 e_3 \\
e_i^*j &= J_2 e_3^* \\
e_i^*j &= -J e_4^* \\
e_i^*j &= u J e_3^* \\
e_i^*j &= -e_3 \\
e_i^*j &= -e_2 \\
e_i^*j &= e_1
\end{align*}
\]

• B1-action

\[
\begin{align*}
ie_e &= u e_1^* \\
ie_e^* &= e_1 \\
ie_e^* &= J_1 e_2 \\
ie_e^* &= -J_1 e_1^* \\
ie_e^* &= u J_1 e_1^* \\
ie_e^* &= -e_2 \\
ie_e^* &= e_1
\end{align*}
\]

• B2-action

\[
\begin{align*}
ie_e &= u e_1^* \\
ie_e^* &= e_1 \\
ie_e^* &= J_1 e_2 \\
ie_e^* &= -J_1 e_1^* \\
ie_e^* &= u J_1 e_1^* \\
ie_e^* &= -e_2 \\
ie_e^* &= e_1
\end{align*}
\]

Let \( \alpha_i \in B_i^{\times} \) and \( \alpha \in B^{\times} \). We write

\[
\alpha_1 = a_1 + b_1 i + c_1 j_1 + d_1 j_1, \quad \alpha_2 = a_2 + b_2 i + c_2 j_2 + d_2 j_2, \quad \alpha = a + b i + c j + d j.
\]
where \( a_1, a, b, c, d, \alpha \in F \). Then we have
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\alpha_1 e_1 \\
\alpha_1 e_2 \\
\alpha_1 e_3 \\
\alpha_1 e_4 \\
\alpha_1 e'_1 \\
\alpha_1 e'_2 \\
\alpha_1 e'_3 \\
\alpha_1 e'_4
\end{bmatrix} = g_1 \\
\begin{bmatrix}
e_1 \\
e_2 \\
e_3 \\
e_4 \\
e'_1 \\
e'_2 \\
e'_3 \\
e'_4
\end{bmatrix},
\]
\[
g_1 = \begin{pmatrix}
a_1 & c_1 & b_1 u & d_1 u J_1 \\
c_1 J_1 & a_1 & c_1 & b_1 u J_1 \\
-\frac{b_1}{J_1} & d_1 & -c_1 & a_1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix},
\]
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
e_1 \\
e_2 \\
e_3 \\
e_4 \\
e'_1 \\
e'_2 \\
e'_3 \\
e'_4
\end{bmatrix} = g_2 \\
\begin{bmatrix}
a_2 & c_2 & b_2 u & d_2 u J_2 \\
c_2 J_2 & a_2 & c_2 & b_2 u J_2 \\
-\frac{b_2}{J_2} & d_2 & -c_2 & a_2 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix},
\]
\[
g = \begin{pmatrix}
a & c & b u & -du J \\
-c J_1 & a & -bu J_1 & du J \\
-b J_1 & d & a & -bu J_2 \\
-c J_2 & a & -c J_2 & a
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
For \( a \in \text{GL}_4(F) \) and \( b \in \text{Sym}_4(F) \), put
\[
m(a) := \begin{pmatrix} a \\ a^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad n(b) := \begin{pmatrix} 1_4 \\ b \\ 1_4 \end{pmatrix}.
\]
Fix a place \( v \) of \( F \). In \$\S\S\C2-C3\$ we shall suppress the subscript \( v \) from the notation. Thus \( F = F_v \) will be a local field of characteristic zero.

### C.2. The case \( u \in (F_v^\times)^2 \) or \( J \in (F_v^\times)^2 \)

First we explicate Morita theory. Fix an isomorphism
\[
i : B \rightarrow M_2(F)
\]
of \( F \)-algebras such that
\[
i(\alpha^*) = i(\alpha)^*
\]
for \( \alpha \in B \). Put
\[
e := i^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad e' := i^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad e'' := i^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\]
Then we have
\[
e^* = i^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}
\]
Thus we obtain
\[ B = Fe + Fe' + Fe'' + Fe^*, \quad eB = Fe + Fe', \quad Be = Fe + Fe'', \quad eBe = Fe \]
and
\[ \left[ \begin{array}{c} e \cdot \alpha \\ e' \cdot \alpha \end{array} \right] = i(\alpha) \cdot \left[ \begin{array}{c} e \\ e' \end{array} \right] \]
for \( \alpha \in B \).

Now we consider an \( F \)-space \( W^\dagger := eW \). Since \( eBe^* = Fe' \) and \( (e')^* = -e' \), we have
\[ \langle x, y \rangle \in Fe', \quad \langle y, x \rangle = -\langle x, y \rangle \]
for \( x, y \in W^\dagger \). Hence we can define a symplectic form
\[ \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle^\dagger : W^\dagger \times W^\dagger \to F \]
by
\[ \langle x, y \rangle^* = \langle x, y \rangle^\dagger \cdot e' \]
for \( x, y \in W^\dagger \). Moreover, we see that \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle^\dagger \) is non-degenerate.

We have \( W^\dagger = Fe + Fe' \) and
\[ \langle e, e' \rangle^\dagger = \langle e', e'^* \rangle^\dagger = 0, \quad \langle e, e' \rangle^\dagger = 1. \]
Thus we may identify \( W^\dagger \) with the space of row vectors \( F^2 \) so that
\[ \langle x, y \rangle^\dagger = x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1 \]
for \( x = (x_1, x_2), \ y = (y_1, y_2) \in W^\dagger \).

**Lemma C.1.** The restriction to \( W^\dagger \) induces an isomorphism
\[ \text{GU}(W) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{GSp}(W^\dagger). \]

**Proof.** One can see that the restriction to \( W^\dagger \) induces a homomorphism \( \text{GU}(W) \to \text{GSp}(W^\dagger) \). Since
\[ B \cdot W^\dagger = B \cdot eW = BeB \cdot W = B \cdot W = W, \]
this homomorphism is injective. Let \( h \in \text{GSp}(W^\dagger) \). Since \( W = W^\dagger \oplus e''W \), we can define a map \( \tilde{h} : W \to W \) by
\[ \tilde{h}(x) = \begin{cases} h(x) & \text{if } x \in W^\dagger, \\ e''h(e'x) & \text{if } x \in e''W. \end{cases} \]
Then one can check that \( \tilde{h} \in \text{GU}(W) \). This yields the lemma. \( \square \)

Thus we may identify \( \text{GU}(W) \) with \( \text{GSp}(W^\dagger) \). Similarly, we consider an \( F \)-space \( V^\dagger := Ve \) with a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form
\[ \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle^\dagger : V^\dagger \times V^\dagger \to F \]
defined by
\[ \frac{1}{2} \cdot \langle x, y \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle^\dagger \cdot e'' \]
for \(x, y \in V^\dagger\). As in Lemma C.1, the restriction to \(V^\dagger\) induces an isomorphism

\[
\text{GU}(V) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{GO}(V^\dagger).
\]

Thus we may identify \(\text{GU}(V)\) with \(\text{GO}(V^\dagger)\).

One can see that the natural map

\[
V^\dagger \otimes_F W^\dagger \to V \otimes_B W
\]

is an isomorphism. Thus we may identify \(V\) with \(V^\dagger \otimes_F W^\dagger\).

**Lemma C.2.** We have

\[
\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle^\dagger \otimes \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle^\dagger.
\]

**Proof.** Let \(a = \langle x, x' \rangle^\dagger\) and \(b = \langle y, y' \rangle^\dagger\) for \(x, x' \in V^\dagger\) and \(y, y' \in W^\dagger\). Then we have

\[
\langle x \otimes y, x' \otimes y' \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \tr_{B/F}(\langle x, x' \rangle \cdot \langle y, y' \rangle^*)
\]

\[
= \tr_{B/F}(ae'' \cdot be')
\]

\[
= ab \cdot \tr_{B/F}(e^*)
\]

\[
= ab.
\]

Thus we obtain a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{GU}(V) \times \text{GU}(W) & \longrightarrow & \text{GSp}(V) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{GO}(V^\dagger) \times \text{GSp}(W^\dagger) & \longrightarrow & \text{GSp}(V)
\end{array}
\]

Let \(W^\dagger = X + Y\) be a complete polarization given by

\[
X = Fe, \quad Y = Fe'.
\]

Put

\[
X' = V^\dagger \otimes_F X, \quad Y' = V^\dagger \otimes_F Y.
\]

Then we have a complete polarization \(V = X' + Y'\). Put

\[
s'(h) := \gamma^j(h)
\]

for \(h \in \text{GSp}(W^\dagger)\), where

\[
\gamma = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } B_1 \text{ and } B_2 \text{ are split}, \\
-1 & \text{if } B_1 \text{ and } B_2 \text{ are ramified},
\end{cases}
\]

and

\[
j(h) = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } i(h) = (\circ \circ), \\
1 & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]

**Lemma C.3.** We have

\[
z_V(h, h') = s'(hh') \cdot s'(h)^{-1} \cdot s'(h')^{-1}
\]

for \(h, h' \in \text{GSp}(W^\dagger)\).
Proof. The lemma follows from [39, Theorem 3.1, case 1+] and [61, Proposition 2.1]. We shall give a proof for the sake of completeness.

Recall that \( \dim F V^\dag = 4 \) and \( \det V^\dag = 1 \). By [39, Theorem 3.1, case 1+], we have
\[
\tag{C.1} z_{\nu'}(h, h') = s'(hh') \cdot s'(h)^{-1} \cdot s'(h')^{-1}
\]
for \( h, h' \in \text{Sp}(W^\dag) \).

Let \( g, g' \in \text{GSp}(W^\dag) \). For \( \nu \in F^\times \), put
\[
d(\nu) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \nu \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GSp}(W^\dag).
\]
We write
\[
g = h \cdot d(\nu), \quad g' = h' \cdot d(\nu')
\]
with \( h, h' \in \text{Sp}(W^\dag) \) and \( \nu, \nu' \in F^\times \). Then we have
\[
z_{\nu'}(g, g') = z_{\nu'}(h, h'') \cdot v_{\nu'}(h', \nu),
\]
where
\[
h'' = d(\nu) \cdot h' \cdot d(\nu)^{-1}.
\]
By [B.1], we have
\[
z_{\nu'}(h, h'') = s'(hh'') \cdot s'(h)^{-1} \cdot s'(h'')^{-1}.
\]
We have \( s'(h) = s'(g) \), and since \( j(h'') = j(h') \), we have \( s'(h'') = s'(h') = s'(g') \). Moreover, since \( gg' = hh'' \cdot d(\nu') \), we have \( s'(hh'') = s'(gg') \). Thus we obtain
\[
z_{\nu'}(h, h'') = s'(gg') \cdot s'(g)^{-1} \cdot s'(g')^{-1}.
\]
By Lemma [B.2] we have
\[
v_{\nu'}(h', \nu) = (x_{\nu'}(h'), \nu)_F \cdot \gamma_F(\nu, \frac{1}{2}, \nu, \nu')^{-j_{\nu'}(h')}.
\]
where \( x_{\nu'} \) and \( j_{\nu'} \) are as in [B.1] with respect to the complete polarization \( V = \mathbb{X}' + \mathbb{Y}' \). Since \( \dim F V^\dag = 4 \) and \( \det V^\dag = 1 \), one can see that \( x_{\nu'}(h') \equiv 1 \mod (F^\times)^2 \) and \( j_{\nu'}(h') = 4 \cdot j(h') \). Hence we have
\[
v_{\nu'}(h', \nu) = 1.
\]
This completes the proof. \( \square \)

**Lemma C.4.** We have
\[
z_{\nu'}(g, g') = 1
\]
for \( g, g' \in \text{GO}(V^\dag)^0 \).

**Proof.** For \( g, g' \in \text{GO}(V^\dag)^0 \), we have
\[
z_{\nu'}(g, g') = z_{\nu'}(h, h'') \cdot v_{\nu'}(h', \nu),
\]
where
\[
h = g \cdot d_{\nu'}(\nu)^{-1}, \quad h' = g' \cdot d_{\nu'}(\nu')^{-1}, \quad h'' = d_{\nu'}(\nu) \cdot h' \cdot d_{\nu'}(\nu)^{-1},
\]
\[
\nu = \nu(g), \quad \nu' = \nu(g').
\]
We have \( h, h' \in P_{\nu'} \) and \( z_{\nu'}(h, h'') = 1 \). Since \( g' \in \text{GO}(V^\dag)^0 \), we have
\[
x_{\nu'}(h') \equiv \det g' \equiv 1 \mod (F^\times)^2,
\]
so that \( v_{\nu'}(h', \nu) = 1 \) by Lemma [B.2] This completes the proof. \( \square \)
Lemma C.5. We have
\[ z_{\nu'}(g, h) = z_{\nu'}(h, g) = 1 \]
for \( g \in \text{GO}(V^\dagger)^0 \) and \( h \in \text{GSp}(W^\dagger) \).

Proof. See [2, Proposition 2.2.A]. We shall give a proof for the sake of completeness.

For \( g \in \text{GO}(V^\dagger)^0 \) and \( h \in \text{GSp}(W^\dagger) \), we have
\[ z_{\nu'}(g, h) = z_{\nu'}(g', h'') \cdot v_{\nu'}(h', \nu), \quad z_{\nu'}(h, g) = z_{\nu'}(h', g'') \cdot v_{\nu'}(g', \nu'), \]
where
\[ g' = g \cdot d_{\nu'}(\nu)^{-1}, \quad h' = h \cdot d(\nu)^{-1}, \quad \nu = \nu(g), \quad \nu' = \nu(h). \]

Since \( g', g'' \in P_{\nu'} \), we have \( z_{\nu'}(g', h'') = z_{\nu'}(h', g'') = 1 \). As in the proof of Lemma C.3, we have \( v_{\nu'}(h', \nu) = 1 \). As in the proof of Lemma C.4, we have \( v_{\nu'}(g', \nu') = 1 \). This completes the proof.

We define a map \( s' : \text{GO}(V^\dagger)^0 \times \text{GSp}(W^\dagger) \to \mathbb{C}^1 \) by
\[ s'(g) = \gamma_{j(h)} \]
for \( g = (h, g) \in \text{GO}(V^\dagger)^0 \times \text{GSp}(W^\dagger) \). By Lemmas C.3, C.4, C.5, we see that
\[ z_{\nu'}(g, g') = s'(gg') \cdot s'(g)^{-1} \cdot s'(g')^{-1} \]
for \( g, g' \in \text{GO}(V^\dagger)^0 \times \text{GSp}(W^\dagger) \).

Recall that we have two complete polarizations \( V = X + Y = X' + Y' \), where
\[ X = Fe_1 + Fe_2 + Fe_3 + Fe_4, \quad Y = Fe_1^* + Fe_2^* + Fe_3^* + Fe_4^*, \]
\[ X' = Fe_1e + Fe_1e'' + Fe_2e + Fe_2e'', \quad Y' = Fe_1e' + Fe_1e^* + Fe_2e' + Fe_2e^*. \]

Fix \( h_0 \in \text{Sp}(V) \) such that \( X' = Xh_0 \) and \( Y' = Yh_0 \), and put
\[ s(g) := s'(g) \cdot \mu(g), \]
where
\[ \mu(g) := z_{\nu'}(h_0gh_0^{-1}, h_0) \cdot z_{\nu'}(h_0, g)^{-1} \]
for \( g \in \text{GU}(V^\dagger)^0 \times \text{GU}(W) \). Then we have
\[ z_{\nu'}(g, g') = s(gg') \cdot s(g)^{-1} \cdot s(g')^{-1} \]
for \( g, g' \in \text{GU}(V^\dagger)^0 \times \text{GU}(W) \).

C.2.1. The case \( u \in (F^\times)^2 \). Choose \( t \in F^\times \) such that \( u = t^2 \). We take an isomorphism \( i : B \to M_2(F) \) determined by
\[ i(1) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad i(i) = \begin{pmatrix} t & -t \\ -t & t \end{pmatrix}, \quad i(j) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad i(jj) = \begin{pmatrix} -t & t \\ t & -t \end{pmatrix}. \]

Then we have
\[ e = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2i}, \quad e' = \frac{1}{2}j + \frac{1}{2}ij, \quad e'' = \frac{1}{2}j - \frac{1}{2}ij, \quad e^* = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2i}. \]
Put

\[ h_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2t} & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2t} & \frac{1}{2t} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 1 & -\frac{1}{2t} & -t & -\frac{1}{2} \\ 1 & 1 & tJ_1 & tJ_2 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Sp}(V). \]

Then we have

\[ \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2}e_1e \\ \frac{1}{2}e_2e \\ \frac{1}{2}e_2e'' \\ -\frac{1}{2}e_1e'' \\ 2e_1e \\ 2e_2e \\ \frac{1}{2}e_2e' \\ 2e_1e' \end{bmatrix} = h_0 \]

and hence \( \mathcal{X}' = Xh_0 \) and \( \mathcal{Y}' = Yh_0 \).

**Lemma C.6.** Let \( g_i := \alpha_i^{-1} \in \text{GU}(V)^0 \) with \( \alpha_i = a_i + b_i i + c_i J_i + d_i J_i \in B_i^\times \). Then we have

\[ \mu(g_0) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } b_i = d_i = 0, \\ \gamma_F(J_2, \frac{1}{2} \psi) \cdot ((a_i b_i + c_i d_i) \nu_i J_i, J_j)_F & \text{if } (b_i, d_i) \neq (0, 0) \text{ and } b_i^2 - d_i^2 J_i = 0, \\ (-b_i^2 - d_i^2 J_i) \nu_i J_i, J_j)_F & \text{if } (b_i, d_i) \neq (0, 0) \text{ and } b_i^2 - d_i^2 J_i \neq 0, \end{cases} \]

where \( \nu_i = \nu(\alpha_i) \) and \( \{i, j\} = \{1, 2\} \).

**Proof.** We only consider the case \( i = 1 \); the other case is similar. Put \( d := d_1(\nu_1) \in \text{GSp}(V) \). We have

\[ z_\mathcal{Y}(h_0 g_1 h_0^{-1}, h_0) = z_\mathcal{Y}(h_0 g_1 h_0^{-1} \cdot d^{-1}, d \cdot h_0 \cdot d^{-1}) \cdot v_\mathcal{Y}(h_0, \nu_1). \]

Since \( \mathcal{Y}'g_1 = \mathcal{Y}' \), we have \( h_0 g_1 h_0^{-1} \cdot d^{-1} \in P_\mathcal{Y} \) and hence

\[ z_\mathcal{Y}(h_0 g_1 h_0^{-1} \cdot d^{-1}, d \cdot h_0 \cdot d^{-1}) = 1. \]

We have \( h_0 = n(b_1) \cdot \tau_1 \cdot n(b_2) \), where

\[ b_1 = \frac{1}{2tJ} \begin{pmatrix} -J & 2J \\ J_1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad b_2 = t \begin{pmatrix} -1 & J \\ J_2 & -J \end{pmatrix}, \]

so that \( z_\mathcal{Y}(h_0) \equiv 1 \mod (F^\times)^2 \) and \( j_\mathcal{Y}(h_0) = 4 \). Hence we have \( v_\mathcal{Y}(h_0, \nu_1) = 1 \). Thus we obtain

\[ z_\mathcal{Y}(h_0 g_1 h_0^{-1}, h_0) = 1. \]

Now we compute \( z_\mathcal{Y}(h_0, g_1) \). We have

\[ z_\mathcal{Y}(h_0, g_1) = z_\mathcal{Y}(h_0, g_1 \cdot d^{-1}). \]

First assume that \( b_1 = d_1 = 0 \). Then we have \( g_1 \cdot d^{-1} \in P_\mathcal{Y} \) and hence

\[ z_\mathcal{Y}(h_0, g_1 \cdot d^{-1}) = 1. \]
Next assume that \((b_1, d_1) \neq (0, 0)\) and \(b_1^2 - d_1^2 J_1 = 0\). Then we have \(b_1 \neq 0\), \(d_1 \neq 0\), and \(\nu_1 = a_1^2 - c_1^2 J_1 \neq 0\). Since
\[
(a_1 d_1 - b_1 c_1) \cdot (a_1 b_1 + c_1 d_1 J_1) = a_1^2 b_1 d_1 + a_1 c_1 d_1^2 J_1 - a_1 b_1^2 c_1 - b_1 c_1^2 J_1 d_1 J_1
= a_1^2 b_1 d_1 - b_1 c_1^2 d_1 J_1
= \nu_1 b_1 d_1
\neq 0,
\]
we have \(a_1 d_1 - b_1 c_1 \neq 0\) and \(a_1 b_1 + c_1 d_1 J_1 \neq 0\). We have \(g_1 \cdot d^{-1} \in m(a_1) \cdot n(b_3) \cdot \tau_2 \cdot P_\gamma\), where
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  b_1 \\
  d_1 d_1 J_1 \\
  b_1 \\
  d_1 J_1
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
  1 \\
  1 \\
  0 \\
  -1
\end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix}
  0 \\
  J_1 \\
  -J
\end{pmatrix}
\]
Hence we have
\[
\begin{align*}
z_\gamma(h_0, g_1 \cdot d^{-1}) &= z_\gamma(\tau_4 \cdot n(b_2), m(a_1) \cdot n(b_3) \cdot \tau_2) \\
&= z_\gamma(\tau_4 \cdot m(a_1), m(a_1)^{-1} \cdot n(b_2) \cdot m(a_1) \cdot n(b_3) \cdot \tau_2) \\
&= z_\gamma(\tau_4, n(b_4 + b_3) \cdot \tau_2),
\end{align*}
\]
where
\[
b_4 = a_1^{-1} \cdot b_2 \cdot a_1^{-1} = \frac{t}{b_1^2} \cdot \begin{pmatrix}
  -1 & J_1 \\
  1 & -d_1 J
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
Since \(\tau_2^{-1} \cdot n(b_4) \cdot \tau_2 \in P_\gamma\), we have
\[
z_\gamma(h_0, g_1 \cdot d^{-1}) = z_\gamma(\tau_4, n(b_3) \cdot \tau_2) = \gamma_F(\frac{1}{2} \psi \circ q_1),
\]
where \(q_1\) is a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form associated to
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  a_1 d_1 - b_1 c_1 \\
  b_1 d_1
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
  J_1 \\
  -J
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
Since \(\det q_1 = -J_2 \mod (F^\times)^2\) and \(h_F(q_1) = (\frac{a_1 d_1 - b_1 c_1}{b_1 d_1}, J_1, J_2)_F\), we have
\[
\begin{align*}
\gamma_F(\frac{1}{2} \psi \circ q_1) &= \gamma_F(\frac{1}{2} \psi)^2 \cdot \gamma_F(-J_2, \frac{1}{2} \psi) \cdot (\frac{a_1 d_1 - b_1 c_1}{b_1 d_1}, J_1, J_2)_F \\
&= \gamma_F(J_2, \frac{1}{2} \psi)^{-1} \cdot (\frac{a_1 d_1 - b_1 c_1}{b_1 d_1}, J_1, J_2)_F \\
&= \gamma_F(J_2, \frac{1}{2} \psi)^{-1} \cdot (\frac{\nu_1}{a_1 b_1 + c_1 d_1 J_1}, J_1, J_2)_F \\
&= \gamma_F(J_2, \frac{1}{2} \psi)^{-1} \cdot ((a_1 b_1 + c_1 d_1 J_1) \nu_1 J_1, J_2)_F.
\end{align*}
\]
Finally assume that \((b_1, d_1) \neq (0, 0)\) and \(b_1^2 - d_1^2 J_1 \neq 0\). We have \(g_1 \cdot d^{-1} \in n(b_5) \cdot \tau_4 \cdot P_\gamma\), where
\[
b_5 = \frac{1}{b_1^2 - d_1^2 J_1} \cdot \begin{pmatrix}
  a_1 b_1 + c_1 d_1 J_1 \\
  (a_1 d_1 + b_1 c_1) J_1 \\
  a_1 b_1 + c_1 d_1 J_1 \\
  (a_1 d_1 + b_1 c_1) J_1
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
  a_1 d_1 - b_1 c_1 J_2 \\
  -(a_1 b_1 + c_1 d_1 J_1) J_2 \\
  -a_1 d_1 - b_1 c_1 J \\
  -(a_1 b_1 + c_1 d_1 J_1) J
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
Hence we have
\[
z_\gamma(h_0, g_1 \cdot d^{-1}) = z_\gamma(\tau_4 \cdot n(b_2), n(b_5) \cdot \tau_4) = z_\gamma(\tau_4, n(b_2) \cdot n(b_5) \cdot \tau_4) = \gamma_F(\frac{1}{2} \psi \circ q_2),
\]
where \( q_2 \) is a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form associated to \( b_2 + b_5 \). We have

\[
    b_2 + b_5 = \begin{pmatrix}
        b' \\
        -J_2 \cdot b'
    \end{pmatrix},
\]

where

\[
b' = t \cdot \begin{pmatrix}
    -1 \\
    J_1
\end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{b_1^2 - d_1^2 J_1} \begin{pmatrix}
    a_1 b_1 + c_1 d_1 J_1 \\
    (a_1 d_1 + b_1 c_1) J_1
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Since

\[
det b' = \frac{\nu_1 J_1}{b_1^2 - d_1^2 J_1} \neq 0,
\]

we have \( det q_2 \equiv 1 \mod (F^\times)^2 \) and

\[
h_F(q_2) = (det b', J_2)_F \cdot (-1, -J_2)_F = (-\frac{\nu_1 J_1}{b_1^2 - d_1^2 J_1}, J_2)_F \cdot (-1, -1)_F.
\]

Hence we have

\[
\gamma_F(\frac{1}{2} \nu \circ q_2) = \gamma_F(\frac{1}{2} \nu)_F \cdot (-\frac{\nu_1 J_1}{b_1^2 - d_1^2 J_1}, J_2)_F \cdot (-1, -1)_F = (-b_1^2 - d_1^2 J_1)\nu_1 J_1, J_2)_F.
\]

This completes the proof.

\[\square\]

**Lemma C.7.** Let \( g := \alpha \in GU(W) \) with \( \alpha = a + bi + cj + di \in B^\times \). Then we have

\[
\mu(g) = \begin{cases}
    (\nu, J_1)_F & \text{if } b = d = 0, \\
    \gamma_F(J_1, \frac{1}{2} \nu)_F \cdot (ab - cdJ, J_1)_F & \text{if } (b, d) \neq (0, 0) \text{ and } b^2 - d^2 J = 0, \\
    (-b^2 - d^2 J, J_1)_F & \text{if } (b, d) \neq (0, 0) \text{ and } b^2 - d^2 J \neq 0,
\end{cases}
\]

where \( \nu = \nu(\alpha) \).

**Proof.** Put \( d := d_F(\nu) \in GSp(V) \). We have

\[
z_V(h_0 g h_0^{-1}, h_0) = z_V(h_0 g h_0^{-1} \cdot d^{-1}, d \cdot h_0 \cdot d^{-1}) \cdot v_V(h_0, \nu).
\]

As in the proof of Lemma \[C.6\] we have \( v_V(h_0, \nu) = 1 \). We have

\[
h_0 g h_0^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix}
    a + bt & a + bt \\
    a + bt & -c + dt \\
    2(c - dt)tJ & a - bt \\
    -2(c - dt)tJ & a - bt
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

If \( c - dt = 0 \), then we have \( h_0 g h_0^{-1} \cdot d^{-1} \in P_Y \) and hence \( z_V(h_0 g h_0^{-1} \cdot d^{-1}, d \cdot h_0 \cdot d^{-1}) = 1 \). If \( c - dt \neq 0 \), then we have \( h_0 g h_0^{-1} \cdot d^{-1} \in P_Y \cdot \tau_4 \cdot n(b_6) \), where

\[
b_6 = \frac{a - bt}{2 \nu J(c - dt)} \begin{pmatrix}
    -1 \\
    1
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

We have \( d \cdot h_0 \cdot d^{-1} \in n(\nu^{-1} \cdot b_1) \cdot \tau_4 \cdot P_Y \), where \( b_1 \) is as in the proof of Lemma \[C.6\] Hence we have

\[
z_V(h_0 g h_0^{-1} \cdot d^{-1}, d \cdot h_0 \cdot d^{-1}) = z_V(\tau_4 \cdot n(b_6), n(\nu^{-1} \cdot b_1) \cdot \tau_4) = z_V(\tau_4, n(b_7) \cdot \tau_4),
\]
where \( b_7 = \nu^{-1} \cdot b_1 + b_6 \). Put \( r = \frac{a-bt}{c-dt} \). We have
\[
b_7 = \frac{1}{2\nu t J} \begin{pmatrix}
-J & J_2 & r \\
J_2 & r & J_1 \\
r & J_1 & -1
\end{pmatrix} = a_2 \cdot b_8 \cdot ^t a_2,
\]
where
\[
a_2 = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & \frac{1}{J} & 1 \\
\frac{1}{J} & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1
\end{pmatrix}, \quad b_8 = \frac{1}{2\nu t J} \begin{pmatrix}
-J & \frac{r^2}{J} - 1 \\
\frac{r^2}{J} - 1 & J_2 - \frac{r^2}{J_1}
\end{pmatrix},
\]
and hence
\[
z_Y(\tau_4, n(b_7) \cdot \tau_4) = z_Y(\tau_4, m(a_2) \cdot n(b_8) \cdot m(a_2^{-1}) \cdot \tau_4) = z_Y(\tau_4, n(b_8) \cdot \tau_4).
\]
If \( J - r^2 = 0 \), then we have \( z_Y(\tau_4, n(b_8) \cdot \tau_4) = \gamma_F(\frac{1}{2} \psi \circ q_3) \), where \( q_3 \) is a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form associated to
\[
\frac{1}{2\nu t J} \begin{pmatrix}
-J & J_1 \\
J_1 & -1
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
We have \( \det q_3 \equiv -J_2 \mod (F^\times)^2 \) and
\[
h_F(q_3) = (-\frac{1}{2\nu t}, \frac{1}{2\nu t J_2})_F = (-2\nu t, J_2)_F.
\]
Hence we have
\[
\gamma_F(\frac{1}{2} \psi \circ q_3) = \gamma_F(\frac{1}{2} \psi)^2 \cdot \gamma_F(-J, \frac{1}{2} \psi) \cdot (-2\nu t, J_2)_F = \gamma_F(J_2, \frac{1}{2} \psi) \cdot (2\nu t, J_2)_F.
\]
Note that \( \gamma_F(J_1, \frac{1}{2} \psi) \) and \( (2\nu t, J_1)_F = (2\nu t, J_2)_F \) for \( J = r^2 \in (F^\times)^2 \). If \( J - r^2 \neq 0 \), then we have \( z_Y(\tau_4, n(b_8) \cdot \tau_4) = \gamma_F(\frac{1}{2} \psi \circ q_4) \), where \( q_4 \) is a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form associated to \( b_8 \). We have \( \det q_4 \equiv 1 \mod (F^\times)^2 \) and
\[
\gamma_F(\frac{1}{2} \psi \circ q_4) = \gamma_F(\frac{1}{2} \psi)^2 \cdot (J_2, \frac{r^2}{J_2} - J)_F \cdot (J_2, -1)_F \cdot \gamma_F(J_2, \frac{1}{2} \psi) \cdot (2\nu t, J_2)_F.
\]
Note that \( (J_1, r^2 - J)_F = (J_2, r^2 - J)_F \) since \( (J, r^2 - J)_F = 1 \). Hence we have
\[
\gamma_F(\frac{1}{2} \psi \circ q_4) = \gamma_F(\frac{1}{2} \psi)^2 \cdot (J_2, r^2 - J)_F \cdot (J_2, r^2 - J)_F = (J_2, r^2 - J)_F.
\]
Thus we obtain
\[
z_Y(h_0 g h_0^{-1}, h_0) = \begin{cases}
1 & \text{if } c - dt = 0, \\
\gamma_F(J_1, \frac{1}{2} \psi) \cdot (2\nu t, J_1)_F & \text{if } c - dt \neq 0, (a - bt)^2 - (c - dt)^2 J = 0, \\
((a - bt)^2 - (c - dt)^2 J, J_1)_F & \text{if } c - dt \neq 0, (a - bt)^2 - (c - dt)^2 J \neq 0.
\end{cases}
\]
Now we compute \( z_Y(h_0, g) \). We have
\[
z_Y(h_0, g) = z_Y(h_0, g \cdot d^{-1}).
\]
First assume that \( b = d = 0 \). Then we have \( g \cdot d^{-1} \in P_{\gamma} \) and hence
\[
z_{\gamma}(h_0, g \cdot d^{-1}) = 1.
\]
Next assume that \((b, d) \neq (0, 0)\) and \( b^2 - d^2 J = 0 \). Then we have \( b \neq 0 \) and \( d \neq 0 \). We have \( g \cdot d^{-1} \in m(a_3) \cdot n(b_9) \cdot \tau_2 \cdot P_{\gamma} \), where
\[
a_3 = \begin{pmatrix} b & b \\ -dJ & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad b_9 = \frac{ad + bc}{bd} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -J_2 & J \end{pmatrix}.
\]
Hence we have
\[
z_{\gamma}(h_0, g \cdot d^{-1}) = z_{\gamma}(\tau_4 \cdot n(b_2), m(a_3) \cdot n(b_9) \cdot \tau_2) = z_{\gamma}(\tau_4 \cdot m(a_3) \cdot m(a_3)^{-1} \cdot n(b_2) \cdot m(a_3) \cdot n(b_9) \cdot \tau_2) = z_{\gamma}(\tau_4, n(b_{10} + b_9) \cdot \tau_2),
\]
where \( b_2 \) is as in the proof of Lemma [C.6] and
\[
b_{10} = a_3^{-1} \cdot b_2, \quad t \cdot a_3^{-1} = \frac{t}{b^2} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & J_1 & dJ \\ dJ & 2b^2 J_2 & -2b^2 J \\ -dJ & 0 & -J \end{pmatrix}.
\]
We write \( b_9 + b_{10} = b_{11} + b_{12} \), where
\[
b_{11} = \frac{t}{b^2} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & J_1 & dJ \\ dJ & 2b^2 J_2 & -2b^2 J \\ -dJ & 0 & -J \end{pmatrix}, \quad b_{12} = r' \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ J_2 & -J \end{pmatrix}, \quad r' = 2t - \frac{ad + bc}{bd}.
\]
Since \( \tau_2^{-1} \cdot n(b_{11}) \cdot \tau_2 \in P_{\gamma} \), we have
\[
z_{\gamma}(h_0, g \cdot d^{-1}) = z_{\gamma}(\tau_4, n(b_{12}) \cdot \tau_2).
\]
If \( r' = 0 \), then we have \( z_{\gamma}(\tau_4, n(b_{12}) \cdot \tau_2) = z_{\gamma}(\tau_4, \tau_2) = 1 \). If \( r' \neq 0 \), then we have \( z_{\gamma}(\tau_4, n(b_{12}) \cdot \tau_2) = \gamma_F(\frac{1}{2} \psi \circ q_5) \), where \( q_5 \) is a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form associated to
\[
r' \cdot \begin{pmatrix} J_2 \\ -J \end{pmatrix}.
\]
Since \( \det q_5 \equiv -J_1 \mod (F^\times)^2 \) and \( h_F(q_5) = (r' : J_2, J_1)_F \), we have
\[
\gamma_F(\frac{1}{2} \psi \circ q_5) = \gamma_F(\frac{1}{2} \psi)^2 \cdot \gamma_F(-J_1, \frac{1}{2} \psi) \cdot (r' : J_2, J_1)_F = \gamma_F(J_1, \frac{1}{2} \psi)^{-1} \cdot (r' : J_2, J_1)_F.
\]
Finally assume that \((b, d) \neq (0, 0)\) and \( b^2 - d^2 J \neq 0 \). We have \( g \cdot d^{-1} \in n(b_{13}) \cdot \tau_4 \cdot P_{\gamma} \), where
\[
b_{13} = \frac{1}{b^2 - d^2 J} \begin{pmatrix} ab - cd J & -(ab - cd J) J_1 & -(ad - bc) J \\ (ad - bc) J & (ad - bc) J & -(ab - cd J) J_2 \\ -(ad - bc) J & (ad - bc) J & (ab - cd J) J \end{pmatrix}.
\]
Hence we have
\[
z_{\gamma}(h_0, g \cdot d^{-1}) = z_{\gamma}(\tau_4 \cdot n(b_2), n(b_{13}) \cdot \tau_4) = z_{\gamma}(\tau_4, n(b_2 + b_{13}) \cdot \tau_4).
\]
Put
\[
l = ab - cd J - (b^2 - d^2 J)t, \quad l' = (ad - bc) J, \quad r'' = \frac{t^2 J - l''^2}{(b^2 - d^2 J)^2} = \frac{(a - bt)^2 - (c - dt)^2 J J}{b^2 - d^2 J}.
\]
We have
\[ b_2 + b_{13} = \frac{1}{b^2 - d^2 J} \begin{pmatrix} l & -lJ_1 & l' \\ -l' & l & -lJ_2 \\ -lJ_1 & -lJ_2 & lJ \end{pmatrix}, \]
and if \( l \neq 0 \), then we have \( b_2 + b_{13} = a_4 \cdot b_{14} \cdot \gamma_4 \), where
\[ a_4 = \frac{1}{F} \begin{pmatrix} l & -\frac{J}{F} & l' \\ -l' & 1 & -\frac{J}{F} \\ -\frac{J}{F} & -\frac{J}{F} & F \end{pmatrix}, \quad b_{14} = \frac{1}{b^2 - d^2 J} \begin{pmatrix} l \cdot (l^2 J - l'^2)l & -(l^2 J - l'^2)lJ_1 \\ -lJ_1 & -(l^2 J - l'^2)l, lJ_1 \end{pmatrix}. \]

If \( l = l' = 0 \), then we have \( z_F(\tau_4, n(b_2 + b_{13}) \cdot \tau_4) = z_F(\tau_4, \tau_4) = 1 \). If \( (l, l') \neq (0, 0) \) and \( r'' = 0 \), then we have \( l \neq 0 \) and \( l' \neq 0 \), so that \( z_F(\tau_4, n(b_2 + b_{13}) \cdot \tau_4) = \gamma_F(\frac{1}{2} \psi \circ q_7) \), where \( q_7 \) is a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form associated to
\[ \frac{l}{b^2 - d^2 J} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -J_1 \end{pmatrix}. \]

We have \( \det q_7 \equiv -J_1 \pmod{(F^\times)^2} \) and
\[ h_F(q_7) = \gamma_F(\frac{1}{2} \psi \circ q_7) = (ab - cd J) F = (t, J_1) \cdot \gamma_F(\frac{1}{2} \psi \circ q_7). \]

Hence we have
\[ \gamma_F(\frac{1}{2} \psi \circ q_7) = \gamma_F(\frac{1}{2} \psi \circ q_7) \cdot (ab - cd J) F = (t, J_1) \cdot \gamma_F(\frac{1}{2} \psi \circ q_7). \]

Note that \( \gamma_F(\frac{1}{2} \psi \circ q_7) = \gamma_F(\frac{1}{2} \psi \circ q_7) \cdot (ab - cd J) F = (t, J_1) \cdot \gamma_F(\frac{1}{2} \psi \circ q_7) \) since \( r'' = 0 \) and hence \( J \in (F^\times)^2 \). If \( r'' \neq 0 \), then we have \( z_F(\tau_4, n(b_2 + b_{13}) \cdot \tau_4) = \gamma_F(\frac{1}{2} \psi \circ q_7) \), where \( q_7 \) is a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form associated to \( b_2 + b_{13} \). We have \( \det q_7 \equiv 1 \pmod{(F^\times)^2} \). Also, we have
\[ h_F(q_7) = (ab - cd J) F = (t, J_1) \cdot \gamma_F(\frac{1}{2} \psi \circ q_7). \]

if \( l \neq 0 \), and
\[ h_F(q_7) = (ab - cd J) F = (t, J_1) \cdot \gamma_F(\frac{1}{2} \psi \circ q_7). \]

if \( l = 0 \). Hence we have
\[ \gamma_F(\frac{1}{2} \psi \circ q_7) = \gamma_F(\frac{1}{2} \psi \circ q_7) \cdot (ab - cd J) F = (t, J_1) \cdot \gamma_F(\frac{1}{2} \psi \circ q_7). \]
Note that \((J_1, -r'') = (J_2, -r'')\) since \((J, -r'') = (J, l^2 - l^2 J) = 1\). Thus we obtain

\[
\gamma_F(J_1, \frac{1}{2} \psi)^{-1} \cdot ((2t - \frac{ad + bc}{bd}) \cdot J_2, J_1) \cdot F
\]

if \(b = d = 0\),

\[
\gamma_F(J_1, \frac{1}{2} \psi)^{-1} \cdot (\frac{ab - cd}{bd} \cdot J_2, J_1) \cdot F
\]

if \((b, d) \neq (0, 0)\), \(b^2 - d^2 J = 0\), \(ad + bc - 2bd t = 0\),

\[
-\frac{(a - bt)^2 - (c - dt)^2 J}{b^2 \cdot d^2 J} \cdot (\frac{1}{2} \psi, J_1) \cdot J_1 \cdot F
\]

if \((b, d) \neq (0, 0)\), \(b^2 - d^2 J \neq 0\),

\[
(a - bt)^2 - (c - dt)^2 J = a^2 - c^2 J = \nu \neq 0.
\]

Hence we have

\[
\mu(g) = 1 \cdot 1 = (\nu, J_1) \cdot F.
\]

if \(c = 0\), and

\[
\mu(g) = ((a - bt)^2 - (c - dt)^2 J, J_1) \cdot F \cdot 1 = (\nu, J_1) \cdot F
\]

if \(c \neq 0\). Now assume that \((b, d) \neq (0, 0)\) and \(b^2 - d^2 J = 0\). Then we have \(b \neq 0, d \neq 0, \nu = a^2 - c^2 J \neq 0\), and \(J \in (F^\times)^2\). Since \((a - bt)^2 - (c - dt)^2 J = a^2 - c^2 J = 2t(ab - cd J)\) and

\[
((a - bt)^2 - (c - dt)^2 J) \cdot bd = (ad + bc - 2bd t) \cdot (ab - cd J)
\]

\[
= (a^2 - c^2 J - 2ab t + 2cd t J) \cdot bd - (a^2 bd + ab^2 c - 2ab^2 d t - acd^2 J - bc^2 d J + 2bcd^2 t J)
\]

\[
= 0,
\]

we have

\[
(a - bt)^2 - (c - dt)^2 J = 0 \iff ad + bc - 2bd t = 0.
\]

If \(c - dt = 0\), then we have \(\nu = a^2 - b^2 u = (a + bt)(a - bt) \neq 0\), so that \((a - bt)^2 - (c - dt)^2 J \neq 0\). Hence we have

\[
\mu(g) = 1 \cdot \gamma_F(J_1, \frac{1}{2} \psi) \cdot ((2t - \frac{ad + bc}{bd}) \cdot J_2, J_1) \cdot F.
\]

\[
= \gamma_F(J_1, \frac{1}{2} \psi) \cdot (\frac{2c}{d} \cdot \frac{ad + bc}{bd} \cdot J_2, J_1) \cdot F.
\]

\[
= \gamma_F(J_1, \frac{1}{2} \psi) \cdot (\frac{ad - bc}{bd} \cdot J_1) \cdot F.
\]

\[
= \gamma_F(J_1, \frac{1}{2} \psi) \cdot (\frac{abcd - b^2 c}{d} \cdot J_1) \cdot F.
\]

\[
= \gamma_F(J_1, \frac{1}{2} \psi) \cdot (ab - cd J, J_1) \cdot F.
\]
If $c - dt \neq 0$ and $(a - bt)^2 - (c - dt)^2 J = 0$, then we have
\[ \nu - 2t(ab - cd)J = (a - bt)^2 - (c - dt)^2 J = 0 \]
and hence
\[ \mu(g) = \gamma_F(J_1, \frac{1}{2} \psi) \cdot (2\nu t, J_1)_F \cdot 1 = \gamma_F(J_1, \frac{1}{2} \psi) \cdot (ab - cd)J, J_1)_F. \]

If $c - dt \neq 0$ and $(a - bt)^2 - (c - dt)^2 J \neq 0$, then we have
\[ (a - bt)^2 - (c - dt)^2 J = (\frac{ad + bd}{bd} - 2t) \cdot (ab - cd)J \]
and hence
\[ \mu(g) = \gamma_F(J_1, \frac{1}{2} \psi) \cdot \gamma_F(J_1, \frac{1}{2} \psi) \cdot (\frac{2t - \frac{ad + bc}{bd}}{b^2 - d^2 J}) \cdot (J_2, J_1)_F \]
\[ = \gamma_F(J_1, \frac{1}{2} \psi) \cdot (-ab - cd)J, J_1)_F \]
\[ = \gamma_F(J_1, \frac{1}{2} \psi) \cdot (ab - cd)J, J_1)_F. \]

Finally assume that $(b, d) \neq (0, 0)$ and $b^2 - d^2 J \neq 0$. Recall that
\[ (ab - cdJ - (b^2 - d^2)J)t^2 - (ab - cd)J = ((a - bt)^2 - (c - dt)^2 J) \cdot (b^2 - d^2 J). \]
If $c - dt = 0$, then we have \( \nu = a^2 - b^2 u = (a + bt)(a - bt) \neq 0 \) and
\[ (a - bt)^2 - (c - dt)^2 J = (a - bt)^2 \neq 0. \]
Hence we have
\[ \mu(g) = 1 \cdot (-\frac{(a - bt)^2 - (c - dt)^2 J, J_1)_F}{b^2 - d^2 J} \cdot (J_1)_F = (-\frac{(a - bt)^2 J}{b^2 - d^2 J}, J_1)_F = -(b^2 - d^2 J), J_1)_F. \]

If $c - dt \neq 0$ and $(a - bt)^2 - (c - dt)^2 J = 0$, then we have
\[ \nu + 2(b^2 - d^2)J)u = a^2 + b^2 u - c^2 J - d^2 uJ = 2(ab - cd)Jt, \]
so that
\[ ab - cdJ - (b^2 - d^2)J \neq 0. \]
Hence we have
\[ \mu(g) = \gamma_F(J_1, \frac{1}{2} \psi) \cdot (2\nu t, J_1)_F \cdot \gamma_F(J_1, \frac{1}{2} \psi) \cdot (\frac{ab - cdJ}{b^2 - d^2 J} - t, J_1)_F \]
\[ = (-1, J_1)_F \cdot (\frac{2(ab - cdJ)t}{b^2 - d^2 J} \cdot \nu - 2\nu u, J_1)_F \]
\[ = (-1, J_1)_F \cdot (\frac{\nu + 2(b^2 - d^2)Ju}{b^2 - d^2 J} \cdot \nu - 2\nu u, J_1)_F \]
\[ = (-1, J_1)_F \cdot (\frac{\nu}{b^2 - d^2 J}, J_1)_F \]
\[ = (-b^2 - d^2 J, J_1)_F \]
\[ = -(b^2 - d^2 J), J_1)_F. \]
If \( c - dt \neq 0 \) and \( (a - bt)^2 - (c - dt)^2 J \neq 0 \), then we have
\[
\mu(g) = (a - bt)^2 - (c - dt)^2 J, J_1), (a - bt)^2 - (c - dt)^2 J, J_1) \cdot b - d^2 J
\]
\[
= \frac{J}{b^2 - d^2 J}, J_1) F
\]
\[
= (-b^2 - d^2 J, J_1) F.
\]
This completes the proof. \( \square \)

C.2.2. The case \( J \in (F^\times)^2 \). Choose \( t \in F^\times \) such that \( J = t^2 \). We take an isomorphism \( i : B \to M_2(F) \) determined by
\[
i(1) = 1, \quad i(1) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad i(1) = \begin{pmatrix} t \\ -t \end{pmatrix}, \quad i(1) = \begin{pmatrix} t \\ -t \end{pmatrix}.
\]
Then we have
\[
e = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2t} i, \quad e' = \frac{1}{2} i - \frac{1}{2t} i, \quad e'' = \frac{1}{2} i + \frac{1}{2t} i, \quad e^* = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2t} i.
\]
Put
\[
h_0 = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{t}{2j^2} \\
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{-t}{2j^2} \\
1 & 1 \\
1 & -\frac{1}{j^2}
\end{pmatrix} \in \text{Sp}(\mathbb{V}).
\]
Then we have
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
e_1 c \\
e_2 c \\
-e_1 e'' \\
\frac{1}{2} e_2 e''
\end{pmatrix} = h_0
\begin{pmatrix}
e_1 \\
e_2 \\
e_3 \\
e_4
\end{pmatrix},
\]
and hence \( \mathcal{X}' = \mathcal{X} h_0 \) and \( \mathcal{Y}' = \mathcal{Y} h_0 \).

**Lemma C.8.** Let \( g_i := \alpha_i^{-1} \in \text{GU}(V)^0 \) with \( \alpha_i = a_i + b_i i + c_i j_i + d_i j_1 \in B_i^\times \). Then we have
\[
\mu(g_i) = \begin{cases}
1 & \text{if } b_i = d_i = 0,
\gamma_F(J_i, J_i, \psi) \cdot ((a_i, b_i + c_i d_i, J_i), J_i) & \text{if } (b_i, d_i) \neq (0, 0) \text{ and } b_i^2 - d_i^2 J_i = 0,
(-b_i^2 - d_i^2 J_i) \gamma_f(J_i, J_i, J_1) & \text{if } (b_i, d_i) \neq (0, 0) \text{ and } b_i^2 - d_i^2 J_i \neq 0,
\end{cases}
\]
where \( \nu_i = \nu(\alpha_i) \) and \( \{i, j\} = \{1, 2\} \).

Proof. We only consider the case \( i = 1 \); the other case is similar. Note that \( J_1 \equiv J_2 \mod (F^\times)^2 \) since \( J \in (F^\times)^2 \). Put \( d := d_F(\nu_1) \in \text{GSp}(\mathbb{V}) \). We have
\[
z_{\gamma}(h_0 g_i h_0^{-1}, h_0) = z_{\gamma}(h_0 g_i h_0^{-1}, d^{-1}, d \cdot h_0 \cdot d^{-1}) \cdot e_{\gamma}(h_0, \nu_1).
\]
Since \( \mathcal{Y}' g_i = \mathcal{Y}' \), we have \( h_0 g_i h_0^{-1} \cdot d^{-1} \in \mathcal{P}_z \) and hence
\[
z_{\gamma}(h_0 g_i h_0^{-1}, d^{-1}, d \cdot h_0 \cdot d^{-1}) = 1.
\]
We have $h_0 = m(a_5) \cdot n(b_{15}) \cdot \tau_2 \cdot m(a_6)$, where

$$a_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -t & -t \end{pmatrix}, \quad b_{15} = \frac{1}{2t} \begin{pmatrix} J_1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad a_6 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -t & -t \end{pmatrix}$$

so that $x_Y(h_0) \equiv -J_1 \mod (F^\times)^2$ and $j_Y(h_0) = 2$. Hence we have

$$v_Y(h_0, \nu_1) = (-J_1, \nu_1)_F \cdot \gamma_F(\nu_1, \frac{1}{2} \psi)^{-2} = (J_1, \nu_1)_F.$$

Thus we obtain

$$z_Y(h_0, g_1 h_0^{-1}, h_0) = (J_1, \nu_1)_F = (J_2, \nu_1)_F.$$ 

Moreover, if $b_1 = d_1 = 0$, then we have

$$(J_1, \nu_1)_F = (J_1, a_1^2 - c_7^2 J_1)_F = 1.$$ 

Now we compute $z_Y(h_0, g_1)$. We have

$$z_Y(h_0, g_1) = z_Y(h_0, g_1 \cdot d^{-1}).$$ 

First assume that $b_1 = d_1 = 0$. Then we have $g_1 \cdot d^{-1} \in P_Y$ and hence

$$z_Y(h_0, g_1 \cdot d^{-1}) = 1.$$ 

Next assume that $(b_1, d_1) \neq (0, 0)$ and $b_1^2 - d_1^2 J_1 = 0$. Then we have $b_1 \neq 0$ and $d_1 \neq 0$. As in the proof of Lemma [C.6] we have $a_1 b_1 + c_7 d_1 J_1 \neq 0$. We have $g_1 \cdot d^{-1} \in m(a_1) \cdot n(b_3) \cdot \tau_2 \cdot P_Y$, where $a_1$ and $b_3$ are as in the proof of Lemma [C.6]. Hence we have

$$z_Y(h_0, g_1 \cdot d^{-1}) = z_Y(\tau_2 \cdot m(a_6), m(a_1) \cdot n(b_3) \cdot \tau_2) = z_Y(\tau_2, m(a_6) \cdot m(a_1) \cdot n(b_3) \cdot \tau_2).$$ 

We have

$$m(a_6) \cdot m(a_1) \cdot n(b_3) \cdot \tau_2 \in m(a_7) \cdot n(b_{16}) \cdot \tau' \cdot P_Y,$$

where

$$a_7 = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ d_1 J_1 & 1 \\ b_1 \\ d_1 J_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad b_{16} = \frac{(a_1 d_1 - b_1 c_1) J_1}{b_1} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{d_1} \\ \frac{t}{b_1} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\tau' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

Hence we have

$$z_Y(\tau_2, m(a_6) \cdot m(a_1) \cdot n(b_3) \cdot \tau_2) = z_Y(\tau_2, m(a_7) \cdot n(b_{16}) \cdot \tau') = z_Y(\tau_2, m(a_7) \cdot n(b_{16}), \tau').$$ 

Since $\tau_2 \cdot m(a_7) \cdot n(b_{16}) \cdot \tau_2^{-1} \in P_Y$, we have

$$z_Y(\tau_2, m(a_7) \cdot n(b_{16}), \tau') = z_Y(\tau_2, \tau') = 1.$$ 

On the other hand, since $J \in (F^\times)^2$ and $J_1 \in (F^\times)^2$, we have $\gamma_F(J_2, \frac{1}{2} \psi) = 1$ and

$$(a_1 b_1 + c_7 d_1 J_1, J_2)_F = 1.$$
Finally assume that \((b_1, d_1) \neq (0, 0)\) and \(b_1^2 - d_1^2 J_1 \neq 0\). We have \(g_1 \cdot d^{-1} \in n(b_5) \cdot \tau_4 \cdot P_\gamma\), where \(b_5\) is as in the proof of Lemma \(C.6\). Hence we have

\[
\begin{aligned}
z_\gamma(h_0, g_1 \cdot d^{-1}) &= z_\gamma(\tau_2 \cdot m(a_6), n(b_5) \cdot \tau_4) \\
&= z_\gamma(\tau_2 \cdot m(a_6), n(b_5) \cdot m(a_6)^{-1} \cdot \tau_4) \\
&= z_\gamma(\tau_2 \cdot m(a_6) \cdot n(b_5) \cdot m(a_6)^{-1}, \tau_4).
\end{aligned}
\]

Since \(\tau_2 \cdot m(a_6) \cdot n(b_5) \cdot m(a_6)^{-1} \cdot \tau_2^{-1} \in P_\gamma\), we have

\[
z_\gamma(h_0, g_1 \cdot d^{-1}) = z_\gamma(\tau_2, \tau_4) = 1.
\]

On the other hand, we have

\[
-(b_1^2 - d_1^2 J_1) J_2 = (d_1^2 J_1^2 - b_1^2 J_1, J_1)_F = 1.
\]

This completes the proof. \(
\square
\)

**Lemma C.9.** Let \(g := \alpha \in GU(W)\) with \(\alpha = a + bi + cj + di \in B^\infty\). Then we have

\[
\mu(g) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
(\nu, J_1)_F & \text{if } b = d = 0, \\
(\gamma_F(J_1, \frac{1}{2} \psi) \cdot (ab - cd J_1, J_1)_F & \text{if } (b, d) \neq (0, 0) \text{ and } b^2 - d^2 J = 0, \\
(-b^2 - d^2 J_1 J_1)_F & \text{if } (b, d) \neq (0, 0) \text{ and } b^2 - d^2 J \neq 0,
\end{array} \right.
\]

\[
\times \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
1 & \text{if } b + dt = 0, \\
(u, J_1)_F & \text{if } b + dt \neq 0,
\end{array} \right.
\]

where \(\nu = \nu(\alpha)\).

**Proof.** Put \(d := d_\gamma(\nu) \in GSp(V)\). We have

\[
z_\gamma(h_0 gh_0^{-1}, h_0) = z_\gamma(h_0 gh_0^{-1} \cdot d^{-1}, d \cdot h_0 \cdot d^{-1}) \cdot v_\gamma(h_0, \nu).
\]

As in the proof of Lemma \(C.8\) we have \(v_\gamma(h_0, \nu) = (\nu, J_1)_F\). We have

\[
h_0 gh_0^{-1} = \left( \begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{(b - dt)u}{2} & \frac{-(b - dt)u J_1}{2} & -\frac{b - dt}{2 J_1} \\
a + ct & a + ct & a - ct \\
-\frac{2(b + dt)}{J_1} & -2(b + dt)u & 2(b + dt)u J_1
\end{array} \right).
\]

If \(b + dt = 0\), then we have \(h_0 gh_0^{-1} \cdot d^{-1} \in P_\gamma\) and hence \(z_\gamma(h_0 gh_0^{-1} \cdot d^{-1}, d \cdot h_0 \cdot d^{-1}) = 1\). If \(b + dt \neq 0\), then we have \(h_0 gh_0^{-1} \cdot d^{-1} \in P_\gamma \cdot \tau_4 \cdot n(b_{17})\), where

\[
b_{17} = \frac{a - ct}{2\nu(b + dt)} \left( \begin{array}{c}
1 \\
-J_1 \\
-\frac{1}{u}
\end{array} \right).
\]
We have \( d \cdot h_0 \cdot d^{-1} \in m(a_5) \cdot n(\nu^{-1} \cdot b_{15}) \cdot \tau_2 \cdot P_Y \), where \( a_5 \) and \( b_{15} \) are as in the proof of Lemma 14.8. Hence we have

\[
\zeta_Y(h_0 g h_0^{-1} \cdot d^{-1}, d \cdot h_0 \cdot d^{-1}) = \zeta_Y(\tau_4 \cdot n(b_{17}), m(a_5) \cdot n(\nu^{-1} \cdot b_{15}) \cdot \tau_2)
\]

\[
= \zeta_Y(\tau_4 \cdot m(a_5), m(a_5)^{-1} \cdot n(b_{17}) \cdot m(a_5) \cdot n(\nu^{-1} \cdot b_{15}) \cdot \tau_2)
\]

\[
= \zeta_Y(\tau_4, n(b_{18}) \cdot \tau_2),
\]

where \( b_{18} = \nu^{-1} \cdot b_{15} + a_5^{-1} \cdot b_{17} \cdot \cdot a_5^{-1} \). Put \( r = \frac{2-cr}{a+dr} \). We have

\[
b_{18} = \frac{1}{2rt} \cdot \begin{pmatrix}
rt & -rtJ_1 & J_1 & 1 \\
1 & J_1 & \frac{J_1}{ru} & -\frac{1}{ru}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

We write \( b_{18} = b_{19} + b_{20} \), where

\[
b_{19} = \frac{1}{2rt} \begin{pmatrix}
rt & -rtJ_1 & J_1 \\
1 & J_1
\end{pmatrix}, \quad b_{20} = \frac{r}{2ruJ} \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 \\
1 & -1
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Since \( \tau_2^{-1} \cdot n(b_{19}) \cdot \tau_2 \in P_Y \), we have

\[
\zeta_Y(\tau_4, n(b_{18}) \cdot \tau_2) = \zeta_Y(\tau_4, n(b_{20}) \cdot \tau_2).
\]

If \( r = 0 \), then we have \( \zeta_Y(\tau_4, n(b_{20}) \cdot \tau_2) = \zeta_Y(\tau_4, \tau_2) = 1 \). If \( r \neq 0 \), then we have \( \zeta_Y(\tau_4, n(b_{20}) \cdot \tau_2) = \gamma_F(\frac{1}{2} \psi \circ q_{8}) \), where \( q_{8} \) is a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form associated to

\[
\frac{r}{2ruJ} \begin{pmatrix}
J_1 \\
-1
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

We have \( \det q_{8} = -J_1 \text{ mod } (F^{\times})^2 \) and

\[
h_F(q_{8}) = \frac{rJ_1}{2ruJ} - \frac{r}{2ruJ} = (J_1, -2ru) \cdot F = (J_1, -2ru) \cdot F.
\]

Hence we have

\[
\gamma_F(\frac{1}{2} \psi \circ q_{8}) = \gamma_F(\frac{1}{2} \psi)^2 \cdot \gamma_F(-J_1, \frac{1}{2} \psi) \cdot (J_1, -2ru) \cdot F = \gamma_F(\frac{1}{2} \psi) \cdot (J_1, 2ru) \cdot F.
\]

Thus we obtain

\[
\zeta_Y(h_0 g h_0^{-1}, h_0) = \begin{cases}
(\nu, J_1)_F & \text{if } b + dt = 0, \\
(\nu, J_1)_F & \text{if } b + dt \neq 0, a - ct = 0,
\gamma_F(J_1, \frac{1}{2} \psi) \cdot (2u \cdot \frac{a - ct}{b + dt}, J_1)_F & \text{if } b + dt \neq 0, a - ct \neq 0.
\end{cases}
\]

Now we compute \( \zeta_Y(h_0, g) \). We have

\[
\zeta_Y(h_0, g) = \zeta_Y(h_0, g \cdot d^{-1}).
\]

First assume that \( b = d = 0 \). Then we have \( g \cdot d^{-1} \in P_Y \) and hence

\[
\zeta_Y(h_0, g \cdot d^{-1}) = 1.
\]
Next assume that \((b, d) \neq (0, 0)\) and \(b^2 - d^2 J = 0\). Then we have \(b \neq 0, d \neq 0,\) and \(\nu = a^2 - c^2 J \neq 0\).

Since
\[
(ad + bc) \cdot (ab - cdJ) = a^2bd - acd^2J + ab^2c - bc^2dJ
\]
\[
= a^2bd - bc^2dJ
\]
\[
= \nu bd
\]
\[
\neq 0,
\]
we have \(ad + bc \neq 0\) and \(ab - cdJ \neq 0\). We have \(g \cdot d^{-1} \in m(a_3) \cdot n(b_9) \cdot \tau_2 \cdot P_Y\), where \(a_3\) and \(b_9\) are as in the proof of Lemma [C.7]. Hence we have

\[
\gamma_Y(h_0, g \cdot d^{-1}) = \gamma_Y(\tau_2 \cdot m(a_9), m(a_3) \cdot n(b_9) \cdot \tau_2) = \gamma_Y(\tau_2 \cdot m(a_8), n(b_9) \cdot \tau_2),
\]

where \(a_8\) is as in the proof of Lemma [C.8] and

\[
a_8 = a_6 \cdot a_3 = \begin{pmatrix}
b \\
b \\
-(b + dt)t \\
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
b \\
-\frac{1}{\nu(ab - cdJ)} \\
0 \\
1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

If \(b + dt = 0\), then we have \(\tau_2 \cdot m(a_8) \cdot \tau_2^{-1} \in P_Y\) and hence

\[
\gamma_Y(\tau_2 \cdot m(a_8), n(b_9) \cdot \tau_2) = \gamma_Y(\tau_2, n(b_9) \cdot \tau_2) = \gamma_F(\frac{1}{2} \psi \circ q_9),
\]

where \(q_9\) is a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form associated to

\[
\frac{ad + bc}{bd} \cdot \begin{pmatrix}
-J_2 \\
J
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

We have \(\det q_9 \equiv -J_1 \mod (F^\times)^2\) and

\[
h_F(q_9) = (-\frac{ad + bc}{bd}, J_2, \frac{ad + bc}{bd}, J)_F = (J_2, \frac{ad + bc}{bd})_F = (J_2, \frac{\nu}{ab - cdJ})_F.
\]

Hence we have

\[
\gamma_F(\frac{1}{2} \psi \circ q_9) = \gamma_F(\frac{1}{2} \psi)_2 \cdot \gamma_F(-J_1, \frac{1}{2} \psi) \cdot (J_2, \frac{\nu}{ab - cdJ})_F = \gamma_F(J_1, \frac{1}{2} \psi)_2^{-1} \cdot (J_1, (ab - cdJ)\nu)_F.
\]

If \(b + dt \neq 0\), then we have

\[
m(a_9) \cdot n(b_9) \cdot \tau_2 \in m(a_9) \cdot n(b_21) \cdot \tau'' \cdot P_Y,
\]

where

\[
a_9 = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & \frac{1}{bJ_1} \\
0 & \frac{1}{bJ_1}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
1 \\
-1
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{(ad + bc)b}{(b + dt)^2} \\
1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

and

\[
\tau'' = \begin{pmatrix}
1_2 & -1_2 \\
1_2 & 1_2
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Since \(\tau_2 \cdot m(a_9) \cdot n(b_{21}) \cdot \tau_{21}^{-1} \in P_Y\), we have

\[
\gamma_Y(\tau_2 \cdot m(a_9), n(b_9) \cdot \tau_2) = \gamma_Y(\tau_2, m(a_9) \cdot n(b_{21}) \cdot \tau''') = \gamma_Y(\tau_2, \tau''') = 1.
\]
Finally assume that \((b, d) \neq (0, 0)\) and \(b^2 - d^2 J \neq 0\). We have 
\[ g \cdot d^{-1} \in n(b_{13}) \cdot \tau_4 \cdot P_\gamma, \]
where \(b_{13}\) is as in the proof of Lemma C.7. Hence we have
\[ z_\gamma(h_0, g \cdot d^{-1}) = z_\gamma(\tau_2 \cdot m(a_0), n(b_{13}) \cdot \tau_4) = z_\gamma(\tau_2, n(b_{22}) \cdot \tau_4), \]
where
\[
b_{22} = a_6 \cdot b_{13} \cdot t a_6.
\]

We write \(b_{22} = b_{23} + b_{24}\), where
\[
b_{23} = \frac{1}{b_2 - d^2 J} \begin{pmatrix} ab - cd J & - (ab - cd) J_1 & - (a - ct)(b + dt) \cr (ab - cd) J_1 & (a - ct)(b + dt) t & - 2(a - ct)(b + dt) J_2 \cr -(a - ct)(b + dt) t & (a - ct)(b + dt) t & 2(a - ct)(b + dt) J \end{pmatrix},
\]
\[
b_{24} = \frac{2(a - ct)}{b - dt} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -(a - ct)(b + dt) t \\ 0 & - J_2 & J \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Since \(\tau_2 \cdot b_{23} \cdot \tau_2^{-1} \in P_\gamma\), we have
\[ z_\gamma(\tau_2, n(b_{22}) \cdot \tau_4) = z_\gamma(\tau_2, n(b_{24}) \cdot \tau_4).\]
If \(a - ct = 0\), then we have
\[ z_\gamma(\tau_2, n(b_{24}) \cdot \tau_4) = z_\gamma(\tau_2, \tau_4) = 1.\]
If \(a - ct \neq 0\), then we have
\[ z_\gamma(\tau_2, n(b_{24}) \cdot \tau_4) = \gamma_F(\frac{1}{2} \psi \circ q_{10}), \]
where \(q_{10}\) is a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form associated to
\[
\frac{2(a - ct)}{b - dt} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & - J_2 \\ - J_2 & J \end{pmatrix}.
\]

We have \(\det q_{10} \equiv -J_1 \mod (F^*)^2\) and
\[ h_F(q_{10}) = (-2(a - ct) \cdot J_2, 2(a - ct) \cdot J_2, J)_F = (J_2, 2(a - ct) \cdot J)_F.\]

Hence we have
\[ \gamma_F(\frac{1}{2} \psi \circ q_{10}) = \gamma_F(\frac{1}{2} \psi)^2 \cdot \gamma_F(-J_1, \frac{1}{2} \psi) \cdot \gamma_F(J_2, \frac{2(a - ct)}{b - dt})_F = \gamma_F(J_1, \frac{1}{2} \psi)^{-1} \cdot (J_1, \frac{2(a - ct)}{b - dt})_F.\]

Thus we obtain
\[ z_\gamma(h_0, g) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } b = d = 0, \\
\gamma_F(J_1, \frac{1}{2} \psi)^{-1} \cdot (J_1, (ab - cd) J + (a - ct) \cdot (b + dt))_F & \text{if } (b, d) \neq (0, 0), b^2 - d^2 J = 0, b + dt = 0, \\
1 & \text{if } (b, d) \neq (0, 0), b^2 - d^2 J = 0, b + dt \neq 0, \\
1 & \text{if } (b, d) \neq (0, 0), b^2 - d^2 J \neq 0, a - ct = 0, \\
\gamma_F(J_1, \frac{1}{2} \psi)^{-1} \cdot (J_1, \frac{2(a - ct)}{b - dt})_F & \text{if } (b, d) \neq (0, 0), b^2 - d^2 J \neq 0, a - ct \neq 0.
\end{cases}\]

Now we compute \(\mu(g) = z_\gamma(h_0 g h_0^{-1}, h_0) \cdot z_\gamma(h_0, g)^{-1}\). Recall that \(J = t^2\) and \(\nu = a^2 - b^2 u - c^2 J + d^2 u J \neq 0\). First assume that \(b = d = 0\). Then we have
\[ \mu(g) = (\nu, J_1)_F \cdot 1 = (\nu, J_1)_F.\]

Next assume that \((b, d) \neq (0, 0)\) and \(b^2 - d^2 J = 0\). Then we have
\[ \nu = a^2 - c^2 J = (a + ct)(a - ct) \neq 0.\]

Since \(b^2 - d^2 J = (b + dt)(b - dt)\), we have
\[ b + dt = 0 \Leftrightarrow b - dt \neq 0.\]
If \( b + dt = 0 \), then we have
\[
\mu(g) = (\nu, J_1)_F \cdot \gamma_F(J_1, \frac{1}{2}\psi) \cdot (J_1, (ab - cdJ)\nu)_F = \gamma_F(J_1, \frac{1}{2}\psi) \cdot (J_1, ab - cdJ)_F.
\]
If \( b + dt \neq 0 \), then we have
\[
(a - ct)(b + dt) = 2(a - ct)dt = 2(adt - cdJ) = 2(ab - cdJ).
\]
Hence we have
\[
\mu(g) = \gamma_F(J_1, \frac{1}{2}\psi) \cdot (2u \cdot \frac{a - ct}{b + dt}, J_1)_F \cdot 1
= \gamma_F(J_1, \frac{1}{2}\psi) \cdot (2(a - ct)(b + dt), J_1)_F \cdot (u, J_1)_F
= \gamma_F(J_1, \frac{1}{2}\psi) \cdot (ab - cdJ, J_1)_F \cdot (u, J_1)_F.
\]
Finally assume that \((b, d) \neq (0, 0)\) and \(b^2 - d^2 J \neq 0\). Then we have \(b + dt \neq 0\). If \(a - ct = 0\), then we have \(\nu = -b^2 u + d^2 u J\) and hence
\[
\mu(g) = (\nu, J_1)_F \cdot 1 = (-b^2 + d^2 J, J_1)_F \cdot (u, J_1)_F = (-(b^2 - d^2 J), J_1)_F \cdot (u, J_1)_F.
\]
If \(a - ct \neq 0\), then we have
\[
\mu(g) = \gamma_F(J_1, \frac{1}{2}\psi) \cdot (2u \cdot \frac{a - ct}{b + dt}, J_1)_F \cdot \gamma_F(J_1, \frac{1}{2}\psi) \cdot (J_1, \frac{2(a - ct)}{b + dt})_F
= \gamma_F(J_1, \frac{1}{2}\psi)^2 \cdot (u(b + dt)(b - dt), J_1)_F
= (-1, J_1)_F \cdot (b^2 - d^2 J, J_1)_F \cdot (u, J_1)_F
= (-(b^2 - d^2 J), J_1)_F \cdot (u, J_1)_F.
\]
This completes the proof. \(\square\)

C.3. The case \(J_i \in (F^\times)^2\). We only consider the case \(i = 1\); the other case is similar. Choose \(t \in F^\times\) such that \(J_1 = t^2\). We take an isomorphism
\[
i_1 : B_1 \rightarrow M_2(F)
\]
of \(F\)-algebras determined by
\[
i_1(1) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad i_1(i) = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ 2t \end{pmatrix}, \quad i_1(j_1) = \begin{pmatrix} t \\ -t \end{pmatrix}, \quad i_1(jj_1) = \begin{pmatrix} tuT \\ -2t \end{pmatrix}.
\]
Note that
\[
i_1(\alpha_1^*j) = i_1(\alpha_1)^*
\]
for \(\alpha_1 \in B_1\). Let
\[
v := \frac{1}{2}e_1 + \frac{1}{2t}e_2, \quad v^* := e_1^* + te_2^* = \frac{1}{u}e_1i - \frac{1}{tu}e_2i.
\]
Then we have
\[
V = vB + v^*B
\]
and
\[
\langle v, v \rangle = \langle v^*, v^* \rangle = 0, \quad \langle v, v^* \rangle = 1.
\]
Moreover, we see that
\[
[\alpha_1 \cdot v \quad \alpha_1 \cdot v^*] = [v \quad v^*] \cdot i_1(\alpha_1)
\]
for \(\alpha_1 \in B_1\), and
\[
[\alpha_2 \cdot v \quad \alpha_2 \cdot v^*] = [v \quad v^*] \cdot (\alpha + \frac{\beta}{t}j)
\]
for \(\alpha_2 = \alpha + \beta j_2 \in B_2\) with \(\alpha, \beta \in E\).
We regard $V' := V$ as a left $B$-space by putting

$$\alpha \cdot x' := (x \cdot \alpha^*)'$$

for $\alpha \in B$ and $x' \in V'$. Here we let $x'$ denote the element in $V'$ corresponding to $x \in V$. We let $\text{GL}(V')$ act on $V'$ on the right. We define a skew-hermitian form

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle' : V' \times V' \rightarrow B$$

by

$$\langle x', y' \rangle' := \langle x, y \rangle.$$

Note that

$$\langle \alpha x', \beta y' \rangle' = \alpha \langle x', y' \rangle' \beta^*$$

for $\alpha, \beta \in B$. For $x' \in V'$ and $g \in \text{GL}(V)$, put

$$x' \cdot g := (g^{-1} \cdot x)' .$$

Then we have an isomorphism

$$\text{GL}(V) \rightarrow \text{GL}(V'),
\begin{align*}
g & \mapsto [x' \mapsto x' \cdot g]
\end{align*}$$

so that we may identify $\text{GU}(V)$ with $\text{GU}(V')$ via this isomorphism. Let $V' = X' + Y'$ be a complete polarization given by

$$X' = B \cdot v', \quad Y' = B \cdot (v^*)'.$$

Note that

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c} v' \cdot \alpha \\ (v^*)' \cdot \alpha \end{array} \right] = i_1(\alpha)^{-1} \cdot \left[ \begin{array}{c} v' \\ (v^*)' \end{array} \right]$$

for $\alpha \in B_1$. We may identify $V'$ with the space of row vectors $B^2$ so that

$$\langle x', y' \rangle' = x_1 y_2^* - x_2 y_1^*$$

for $x' = (x_1, x_2), y' = (y_1, y_2) \in V'$. Then we may write

$$\text{GU}(V') = \left\{ g \in \text{GL}_2(B) \mid g \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}^t g^* = \nu(g) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right\} .$$

Similarly, we have a right $B$-space $W' := W$ with a hermitian form

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle' : W' \times W' \rightarrow B .$$

We let $\text{GL}(W')$ act on $W'$ on the left. Now we consider an $F$-space

$$\mathbb{V}' := W' \otimes_B V'$$

with a symplectic form

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle' := \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}_{B/F}((\cdot, \cdot)' \otimes (\cdot, \cdot)'^\ast) .$$

We let $\text{GL}(\mathbb{V}')$ act on $\mathbb{V}'$ on the right. For $x = x \otimes y \in \mathbb{V}$ and $g \in \text{GL}(\mathbb{V})$, put

$$x' := y' \otimes x' \in \mathbb{V}'$$

and

$$x' \cdot g := (x \cdot g)' .$$
Lemma C.10. We have an isomorphism
\[ \text{GSp}(\mathbb{V}) \rightarrow \text{GSp}(\mathbb{V}'). \]
\[ g \mapsto [x' \mapsto x' \cdot g] \]
Moreover, this isomorphism induces a commutative diagram
\[ \begin{array}{ccc}
\text{GU}(V) \times \text{GU}(W) & \rightarrow & \text{GSp}(\mathbb{V}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{GU}(W') \times \text{GU}(V') & \rightarrow & \text{GSp}(\mathbb{V}')
\end{array} \]
Proof. For \( x_1, x_2 \in V \) and \( y_1, y_2 \in W \), we have
\[ \langle y'_1 \otimes x'_1, y'_2 \otimes x'_2 \rangle' = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}_{B/F}(\langle y'_1, y'_2 \rangle' \cdot \langle x'_1, x'_2 \rangle'^*) \]
\[ = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}_{B/F}(\langle y_1, y_2 \rangle \cdot \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle^*) \]
\[ = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}_{B/F}(\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle \cdot \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle^*) \]
\[ = \langle x_1 \otimes y_1, x_2 \otimes y_2 \rangle. \]
Also, for \( g = (g, h) \in \text{GL}(V) \times \text{GL}(W) \) and \( x = x \otimes y \in \mathbb{V} \), we have
\[ x' \cdot g = ((x \otimes y) \cdot (g, h))' = (g^{-1} x \otimes y h)' = (y h)' \otimes (g^{-1} x)' = h^{-1} y' \otimes x' g. \]
This completes the proof. \( \square \)
Thus we may identify \( \text{GSp}(\mathbb{V}) \) with \( \text{GSp}(\mathbb{V}') \) and \( \text{GU}(V) \times \text{GU}(W) \) with \( \text{GU}(W') \times \text{GU}(V') \) respectively.
Let \( \mathbb{V}' = X' + Y' \) be a complete polarization given by
\[ X' = W' \otimes_B X', \quad Y' = W' \otimes_B Y'. \]
Put
\[ s'(g) := \gamma j(g) \]
for \( g \in \text{GU}(V')^0 \), where
\[ \gamma = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } B \text{ and } B_2 \text{ are split}, \\
-1 & \text{if } B \text{ and } B_2 \text{ are ramified}, \end{cases} \]
and
\[ j(g) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } g = \left( \begin{smallmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{smallmatrix} \right), \\
1 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases} \]
Lemma C.11. We have
\[ z_{\mathbb{V}'}(g, g') = s'(gg') \cdot s'(g)^{-1} \cdot s'(g')^{-1} \]
for \( g, g' \in \text{GU}(V)^0 \).
Proof. The proof is similar to that of Lemma C.3. If \( B \) is ramified, then we have
\[ (C.2) \quad z_{\mathbb{V}'}(g, g') = s'(gg') \cdot s'(g)^{-1} \cdot s'(g')^{-1} \]
for \( g, g' \in \text{U}(V)^0 \) by [39, Theorem 3.1, case 2+.]. If \( B \) is split, then we see that (C.2) also holds by using Morita theory as in [C.2 and 39, Theorem 3.1, case 1−].
Let \( g, g' \in \text{GU}(V)^0 \). For \( \nu \in F^\times \), put
\[
d(\nu) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \nu \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GU}(V)^0.
\]
We write
\[
g = h \cdot d(\nu), \quad g' = h' \cdot d(\nu')
\]
with \( h, h' \in U(V)^0 \) and \( \nu, \nu' \in F^\times \). Then we have
\[
zh'(g, g') = z_{\Psi}(h, h'') \cdot v_{\Psi}(h', \nu),
\]
where
\[
h'' = d(\nu) \cdot h' \cdot d(\nu)^{-1}.
\]
By (C.2), we have
\[
z_{\Psi}(h, h'') = s'(hh'') \cdot s'(h) \cdot s'(h'').
\]
We have \( s'(h) = s'(g) \), and since \( j(h'') = j(h') \), we have \( s'(h'') = s'(h') = s'(g') \). Moreover, since \( gg'' = hh'' \cdot d(\nu \nu') \), we have \( s'(hh'') = s'(gg') \). Thus we obtain
\[
z_{\Psi}(h, h'') = s'(gg') \cdot s'(g) \cdot s'(g')^{-1}.
\]
By Lemma B.2, we have
\[
v_{\Psi}(h', \nu) = (x_{\Psi}(h'), \nu_2 \cdot \gamma_{\Psi}(\nu_1, \frac{1}{2} \nu'))^{-j_{\Psi}(h')},
\]
where \( x_{\Psi} \) and \( j_{\Psi} \) are as in (B.1) with respect to the complete polarization \( \Psi' = \Xi' + \Psi' \). Since the determinant over \( F \) of the automorphism \( x \mapsto x \cdot \alpha \) of \( B \) is \( \nu(\alpha)^2 \) for \( \alpha \in B^\times \), we have \( x_{\Psi}(h') \equiv 1 \bmod (F^\times)^2 \). Noting that either \( c = 0 \) or \( c \in B^\times \) for \( h' = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ c \end{pmatrix} \), one can see that \( j_{\Psi}(h') = 4 \cdot j(h') \). Hence we have
\[
v_{\Psi}(h', \nu) = 1.
\]
This completes the proof.

\[
\text{Lemma C.12. We have}
\]
\[
z_{\Psi}(h, h') = 1
\]
for \( h, h' \in \text{GU}(W) \).

\[
\text{Proof. The proof is similar to that of Lemma C.4.}
\]

For \( g, g' \in \text{GU}(W) \), we have
\[
z_{\Psi}(g, g') = z_{\Psi}(h, h'') \cdot v_{\Psi}(h', \nu),
\]
where
\[
h = g \cdot d_{\Psi}(\nu)^{-1}, \quad h' = g' \cdot d_{\Psi}(\nu')^{-1}, \quad h'' = d_{\Psi}(\nu) \cdot h' \cdot d_{\Psi}(\nu)^{-1},
\]
\[
\nu = \nu(g), \quad \nu' = \nu(g').
\]
We have \( h, h' \in P_{\Psi} \) and \( z_{\Psi}(h, h'') = 1 \). Since the determinant over \( F \) of the automorphism \( x \mapsto \alpha \cdot x \) of \( B \) is \( \nu(\alpha)^2 \) for \( \alpha \in B^\times \), we have \( x_{\Psi}(h') \equiv 1 \bmod (F^\times)^2 \), so that \( v_{\Psi}(h', \nu) = 1 \) by Lemma B.2. This completes the proof.

\[
\text{Lemma C.13. We have}
\]
\[
z_{\Psi}(g, h) = z_{\Psi}(h, g) = 1
\]
for \( g \in \text{GU}(V)^0 \) and \( h \in \text{GU}(W) \).
Proof. The proof is similar to that of Lemma C.5.

For \( g \in \GU(V)^0 \) and \( h \in \GU(W) \), we have

\[
\varphi'(g,h) = \varphi'(g',h'') \cdot \varphi'(h',\nu), \quad \varphi'(h,g) = \varphi'(h',g'') \cdot \varphi'(g',\nu'),
\]

where

\[
g' = g \cdot d(\nu)^{-1}, \quad g'' = d(\nu') \cdot g' \cdot d(\nu')^{-1}, \quad \nu = \nu(g),
\]

\[
h' = h \cdot d\varphi(\nu)^{-1}, \quad h'' = \varphi(\nu) \cdot h' \cdot d\varphi(\nu)^{-1}, \quad \nu' = \nu(h).
\]

Since \( h', h'' \in P_{\varphi'} \), we have \( \varphi'(g',h'') = \varphi'(h',g'') = 1 \). As in the proof of Lemma C.12 we have \( \varphi'(h',\nu) = 1 \). As in the proof of Lemma C.11 we have \( \varphi'(g',\nu') = 1 \). This completes the proof. \( \square \)

We define a map \( s' : \GU(V)^0 \times \GU(W) \to \mathbb{C}^1 \) by

\[
s'(g) = \gamma^{i(g)}
\]

for \( g = (g,h) \in \GU(V)^0 \times \GU(W) \). By Lemmas C.11 C.12 C.13 we see that

\[
\varphi'(g,g') = s'(gg') \cdot s'(g)^{-1} \cdot s'(g')^{-1}
\]

for \( g, g' \in \GU(V)^0 \times \GU(W) \).

Recall that we may identify \( V \) with \( \mathbb{V}' \), and we have two complete polarizations \( V = X + \mathbb{Y} = X' + \mathbb{Y}' \), where

\[
X = Fe_1 + Fe_2 + Fe_3 + Fe_4, \quad \mathbb{Y} = Fe_1^* + Fe_2^* + Fe_3^* + Fe_4^*,
\]

\[
X' = v \cdot B, \quad \mathbb{Y}' = v^* \cdot B.
\]

Put

\[
h_0 = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{\pi} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{\pi} \\
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{\pi} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{\pi} \\
-\frac{1}{2} & \frac{t}{\pi} & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{t}{\pi} \\
1 & t & 1 & t
\end{pmatrix} \in \text{Sp}(\mathbb{V}).
\]

Then we have

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
v \\
\frac{1}{t}v^*j \\
-\frac{1}{t}v^*i \\
-\frac{1}{t}v^*j \\
\frac{1}{t}v^*i
\end{bmatrix} = h_0
\]

and hence \( X' = Xh_0 \) and \( \mathbb{Y}' = \mathbb{Y}h_0 \). Put

\[
s(g) := s'(g) \cdot \mu(g),
\]

where

\[
\mu(g) := \varphi(h_0 gh^{-1}, h_0) \cdot \varphi(h_0, g)^{-1}
\]

for \( g \in \GU(V)^0 \times \GU(W) \). Then we have

\[
\varphi'(g,g') = s(gg') \cdot s(g)^{-1} \cdot s(g')^{-1}
\]

for \( g, g' \in \GU(V)^0 \times \GU(W) \).
Lemma C.14. Let $g_1 := \alpha_1^{-1} \in \text{GU}(V)^0$ with $\alpha_1 = a_1 + b_1 i + c_1 j_1 + d_1 k_1 \in B_1^\circ$. Then we have

$$
\mu(g_1) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } b_1 = d_1 = 0, \\
\gamma_F(J_2, \frac{1}{2} \psi) \cdot ((a_1 b_1 + c_1 d_1) \nu_1 J_1, J_2)_F & \text{if } (b_1, d_1) \neq (0, 0) \text{ and } b_1^2 - d_1^2 \neq 0, \\
(-b_1^2 - d_1^2) \nu_1 J_1, J_2)_F & \text{if } (b_1, d_1) \neq (0, 0) \text{ and } b_1^2 - d_1^2 = 0, \\
\end{cases}
$$

where $\nu_1 = \nu(\alpha_1)$.

Proof. Put $d := d_Y(\nu_1) \in \text{GSp}(V)$. We have

$$
z_Y(h_0 g_1 h_0^{-1}, h_0) = z_Y(h_0 g_1 h_0^{-1} \cdot d^{-1}, d \cdot h_0 \cdot d^{-1}) \cdot v_Y(h_0, \nu_1).
$$

We have $h_0 = \tau_2 \cdot m(a_{10})$, where

$$
a_{10} = \begin{pmatrix} 
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\
\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\
1 & 1 \\
\end{pmatrix},
$$

so that $x_Y(h_0) \equiv -1 \mod (F^\times)^2$ and $j_Y(h_0) = 2$. Hence we have

$$
v_Y(h_0, \nu_1) = (-1, \nu_1)_F \cdot \gamma_F(\nu_1, \frac{1}{2} \psi)^{-2} = 1.
$$

We have

$$
h_0 g_1 h_0^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 
a_1 + c_1 t & \frac{b_1 + d_1 t}{2} \\
a_1 + c_1 t & \frac{b_1 - d_1 t}{2} \\
2(b_1 - d_1 t) & \frac{b_1 - d_1 t}{2} \\
-2(b_1 - d_1 t) u & 2(b_1 - d_1 t) u J_2 \\
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

If $b_1 - d_1 t = 0$, then we have $h_0 g_1 h_0^{-1} \cdot d^{-1} \in P_\gamma(F)$ and hence $z_Y(h_0 g_1 h_0^{-1} \cdot d^{-1}, d \cdot h_0 \cdot d^{-1}) = 1$. If $b_1 - d_1 t \neq 0$, then we have $h_0 g_1 h_0^{-1} \cdot d^{-1} \in P_\gamma \cdot \tau_4 \cdot n(b_{25})$, where

$$
b_{25} = \frac{a_1 - c_1 t}{2 \nu_1 (b_1 - d_1 t)} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 
1 & \tau_2 \\
\tau_2 & 1 \\
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

Since $d \cdot h_0 \cdot d^{-1} \in \tau_2 \cdot P_\gamma$, we have

$$
z_Y(h_0 g_1 h_0^{-1} \cdot d^{-1}, d \cdot h_0 \cdot d^{-1}) = z_Y(\tau_4 \cdot n(b_{25}), \tau_2).
$$

If $a_1 - c_1 t = 0$, then we have $z_Y(\tau_4 \cdot n(b_{25}), \tau_2) = z_Y(\tau_4, \tau_2) = 1$. If $a_1 - c_1 t \neq 0$, then we have $z_Y(\tau_4 \cdot n(b_{25}), \tau_2) = \gamma_F(\frac{1}{2} \psi \circ q_{11})$, where $q_{11}$ is a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form associated to

$$
b_{25} = \frac{a_1 - c_1 t}{2 \nu_1 (b_1 - d_1 t)} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 
1 & \frac{q_{11}}{\tau_2} \\
\frac{q_{11}}{\tau_2} & 1 \\
\end{pmatrix}.
We have $\det q_{11} \equiv -J_2 \bmod (F^\times)^2$ and

$$h_F(q_{11}) = \left(-\frac{a_1 - c_1 t}{2\nu_1 u(b_1 - d_1 t)}, \frac{a_1 - c_1 t}{2\nu_1 uJ_2(b_1 - d_1 t)}\right)_F = \left(-\frac{a_1 - c_1 t}{2\nu_1 u(b_1 - d_1 t)}, J_2\right)_F.$$  

Hence we have

$$\gamma_F\left(\frac{1}{2} \psi \circ q_{11}\right) = \gamma_F\left(\frac{1}{2} \psi\right)^2 \cdot \gamma_F(-J_2, \frac{1}{2} \psi) \cdot \left(-\frac{a_1 - c_1 t}{2\nu_1 u(b_1 - d_1 t)}, J_2\right)_F$$

$$= \gamma_F(J_2, \frac{1}{2} \psi) \cdot \left(-\frac{a_1 - c_1 t}{2\nu_1 u(b_1 - d_1 t)}, J_2\right)_F.$$

Thus we obtain

$$z_\gamma(h_0, g_1, h_0^{-1}, h_0) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } b_1 - d_1 t = 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } b_1 - d_1 t \neq 0, a_1 - c_1 t = 0, \\ \gamma_F(J_2, \frac{1}{2} \psi) \cdot \left(-\frac{a_1 - c_1 t}{2\nu_1 u(b_1 - d_1 t)}, J_2\right)_F & \text{if } b_1 - d_1 t \neq 0, a_1 - c_1 t \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Now we compute $z_\gamma(h_0, g_1)$. We have

$$z_\gamma(h_0, g_1) = z_\gamma(h_0, g_1 \cdot d^{-1}).$$

First assume that $b_1 = d_1 = 0$. Then we have $g_1 \cdot d^{-1} \in P_\gamma$ and hence

$$z_\gamma(h_0, g_1 \cdot d^{-1}) = 1.$$

Next assume that $(b_1, d_1) \neq (0, 0)$ and $b_1^2 - d_1^2J_1 = 0$. Then we have $b_1 \neq 0$ and $d_1 \neq 0$. As in the proof of Lemma C.6 we have

$$(a_1d_1 - b_1c_1) \cdot (a_1b_1 + c_1d_1J_1) = \nu_1 b_1 d_1 \neq 0.$$

We have $g_1 \cdot d^{-1} \in m(a_1) \cdot n(b_3) \cdot \tau_2 \cdot P_\gamma$, where $a_1$ and $b_3$ are as in the proof of Lemma C.6. Hence we have

$$z_\gamma(h_0, g_1 \cdot d^{-1}) = z_\gamma(\tau_2 \cdot m(a_{10}), m(a_1) \cdot n(b_3) \cdot \tau_2) = z_\gamma(\tau_2 \cdot m(a_{11}), n(b_3) \cdot \tau_2),$$

where

$$a_{11} = a_{10} \cdot a_1 = \left(\begin{array}{cc} h_1 + d_1 t & \frac{1}{2} \\ b_1 - d_1 t & -\frac{1}{2} \end{array}\right).$$

If $b_1 - d_1 t = 0$, then we have $\tau_2 \cdot m(a_{11}) \cdot \tau_2^{-1} \in P_\gamma$ and hence

$$z_\gamma(\tau_2 \cdot m(a_{11}), n(b_3) \cdot \tau_2) = z_\gamma(\tau_2, n(b_3) \cdot \tau_2) = \gamma_F\left(\frac{1}{2} \psi \circ q_{12}\right),$$

where $q_{12}$ is a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form associated to

$$\left(\begin{array}{ll} a_1d_1 - b_1c_1 \\ b_1 d_1 \end{array}\right), \left(\begin{array}{l} J_1 \\ -J \end{array}\right).$$

We have $\det q_{12} \equiv -J_2 \bmod (F^\times)^2$ and

$$h_F(q_{12}) = \left(\frac{a_1d_1 - b_1c_1}{b_1 d_1}, J_1, -\frac{a_1d_1 - b_1c_1}{b_1 d_1} \cdot J\right)_F = \left(\frac{a_1d_1 - b_1c_1}{b_1 d_1}, J\right)_F = \left(\frac{\nu_1}{a_1b_1 + c_1d_1J_1}, J\right)_F.$$

Hence we have

$$\gamma_F\left(\frac{1}{2} \psi \circ q_{12}\right) = \gamma_F\left(\frac{1}{2} \psi\right)^2 \cdot \gamma_F(-J_2, \frac{1}{2} \psi) \cdot \left(\frac{\nu_1}{a_1b_1 + c_1d_1J_1}, J\right)_F$$

$$= \gamma_F(J_2, \frac{1}{2} \psi)^{-1} \cdot (a_1b_1 + c_1d_1J_1\nu_1, J)_F.$$
If \( b_1 - d_1 t \neq 0 \), then we have
\[
\mathbf{m}(a_{11}) \cdot \mathbf{n}(b_1) \cdot \tau_2 \in \mathbf{n}(b_{26}) \cdot \tau'' \cdot P_\psi,
\]
where
\[
b_{26} = \frac{b_1}{2(b_1 - d_1 t)} \begin{pmatrix}
1 & -J_2 \\
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]
and \( \tau'' \) is as in the proof of Lemma C.9. Since \( \tau_2 \cdot \mathbf{n}(b_{26}) \cdot \tau^{-1} \in P_\psi \), we have
\[
z_\psi(\tau_2 \cdot \mathbf{m}(a_{11}) \cdot \mathbf{n}(b_1)) = z_\psi(\tau_2, \mathbf{n}(b_{26}) \cdot \tau''') = z_\psi(\tau_2, \tau'') = 1.
\]
Finally assume that \((b_1, d_1) \neq (0, 0)\) and \(b_1^2 - d_1^2 J_1 \neq 0\). We have \(\mathbf{g}_1 \cdot d^{-1} \in \mathbf{n}(b_5) \cdot \tau_4 \cdot P_\psi \), where \(b_5\) is as in the proof of Lemma C.6. Hence we have
\[
z_\psi(\mathbf{h}_0, \mathbf{g} \cdot d^{-1}) = z_\psi(\tau_2 \cdot \mathbf{m}(a_{10}) \cdot \mathbf{n}(b_5), \tau_4) = z_\psi(\tau_2, \mathbf{n}(b_{27}) \cdot \tau_4),
\]
where
\[
b_{27} = a_{10} \cdot b_5 \cdot \mathbf{a}_{10}
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{b_1^2 - d_1^2 J_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_{10}}
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{b_1^2 - d_1^2 J_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_{10}} \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{a_1 + c_1 t}{2} \\
\frac{a_1 + c_1 t}{2} \\
-2(a_1 - c_1 t)(b_1 - d_1 t)
\end{pmatrix}
\]
\[
= \begin{pmatrix}
1 & -J_2 \\
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]
\[
b_{28} = \frac{a_1 + c_1 t}{2(b_1 - d_1 t)} \begin{pmatrix}
1 & -J_2 \\
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{pmatrix},
\]
\[
b_{29} = \frac{2(a_1 - c_1 t)}{b_1 + d_1 t} \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
Since \(\tau_2 \cdot \mathbf{b}_{28} \cdot \tau_2^{-1} \in P_\psi\), we have \(z_\psi(\tau_2, \mathbf{n}(b_{28}) \cdot \tau_4) = z_\psi(\tau_2, \mathbf{n}(b_{29}) \cdot \tau_4)\). If \(a_1 - c_1 t = 0\), then we have \(z_\psi(\tau_2, \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{b}_{29}) \cdot \tau_4) = z_\psi(\tau_2, \tau_4) = 1\). If \(a_1 - c_1 t \neq 0\), then we have \(z_\psi(\tau_2, \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{b}_{29}) \cdot \tau_4) = \gamma_F(\frac{1}{2} \psi \circ q_{13})\), where \(q_{13}\) is a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form associated to
\[
\frac{2(a_1 - c_1 t)}{b_1 + d_1 t} \cdot \begin{pmatrix}
1 & -J_2 \\
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
We have \(\det q_{13} = -J_2 \mod (F^\times)^2\) and
\[
h_F(q_{13}) = \gamma_F(\frac{1}{2} \psi) \cdot \gamma_F(-J_2, \frac{1}{2} \psi) \cdot \gamma_F(\frac{2(a_1 - c_1 t)}{b_1 + d_1 t}, \frac{2(a_1 - c_1 t)}{b_1 + d_1 t}, J_2)_F = \gamma_F(\frac{2(a_1 - c_1 t)}{b_1 + d_1 t}, J_2)_F.
\]
Hence we have
\[
\gamma_F(\frac{1}{2} \psi \circ q_{13}) = \gamma_F(\frac{1}{2} \psi)^2 \cdot \gamma_F(-J_2, \frac{1}{2} \psi) \cdot \gamma_F(\frac{2(a_1 - c_1 t)}{b_1 + d_1 t}, \frac{2(a_1 - c_1 t)}{b_1 + d_1 t}, J_2)_F = \gamma_F(\frac{2(a_1 - c_1 t)}{b_1 + d_1 t}, J_2)_F.
\]
Thus we obtain
\[
z_\psi(\mathbf{h}_0, \mathbf{g}_1) = \begin{cases}
1 & \text{if } b_1 = d_1 = 0, \\
\gamma_F(J_2, \frac{1}{2} \psi)^{-1} \cdot ((a_1 b_1 + c_1 d_1 J_1) \nu_1, J_2)_F & \text{if } (b_1, d_1) \neq (0, 0), b_1^2 - d_1^2 J_1 = 0, b_1 - d_1 t = 0, \\
1 & \text{if } (b_1, d_1) \neq (0, 0), b_1^2 - d_1^2 J_1 = 0, b_1 - d_1 t \neq 0, \\
1 & \text{if } (b_1, d_1) \neq (0, 0), b_1^2 - d_1^2 J_1 \neq 0, a_1 - c_1 t = 0, \\
\gamma_F(J_2, \frac{1}{2} \psi)^{-1} \cdot \frac{2(a_1 - c_1 t)}{b_1 + d_1 t}, J_2)_F & \text{if } (b_1, d_1) \neq (0, 0), b_1^2 - d_1^2 J_1 \neq 0, a_1 - c_1 t \neq 0.
\end{cases}
\]
Now we compute $\mu(g_1) = z_\nu(h_0g_1h_0^{-1}, h_0) \cdot z_\psi(h_0, g_1)^{-1}$. Recall that $J_1 = t^2$ and $\nu_1 = a_1^2 - b_1^2 u - c_1^2 J_1 + d_1^2 u J_1 \neq 0$. First assume that $b_1 = d_1 = 0$. Then we have

$$\mu(g_1) = 1 \cdot 1 = 1.$$ 

Next assume that $(b_1, d_1) \neq (0, 0)$ and $b_1^2 - d_1^2 J_1 = 0$. Then we have $\nu_1 = a_1^2 - c_1^2 J_1 = (a_1 + c_1 t)(a_1 - c_1 t) \neq 0$. Since $b_1^2 - d_1^2 J_1 = (b_1 + d_1 t)(b_1 - d_1 t)$, we have

$$b_1 - d_1 t = 0 \Leftrightarrow b_1 + d_1 t \neq 0.$$ 

If $b_1 - d_1 t = 0$, then we have

$$\mu(g_1) = 1 \cdot \gamma_F(J_2, \frac{1}{2} \psi) \cdot ((a_1 b_1 + c_1 d_1 J_1) \nu_1, J_2)_F = \gamma_F(J_2, \frac{1}{2} \psi) \cdot ((a_1 b_1 + c_1 d_1 J_1) \nu_1, J_1, J_2)_F.$$ 

If $b_1 - d_1 t \neq 0$, then we have

$$(a_1 - c_1 t)(b_1 - d_1 t) = -2(a_1 - c_1 t)d_1 t = 2(-a_1 d_1 t + c_1 d_1 J_1) = 2(a_1 b_1 + c_1 d_1 J_1).$$

Hence we have

$$\mu(g_1) = \frac{a_1 - c_1 t}{2 \nu_1 u(b_1 - d_1 t)} \cdot \gamma_F(J_2, \frac{1}{2} \psi) \cdot 1$$

Finally assume that $(b_1, d_1) \neq (0, 0)$ and $b_1^2 - d_1^2 J_1 \neq 0$. Then we have $b_1 - d_1 t \neq 0$. If $a_1 - c_1 t = 0$, then we have

$$\mu(g_1) = 1 \cdot 1 = 1.$$ 

On the other hand, since $\nu_1 = -b_1^2 u + d_1^2 u J_1$, we have

$$(-b_1^2 - d_1^2 J_1) \nu_1, J_1, J_2)_F \cdot (u, J)_F = \gamma_F(J_1, \frac{1}{2} \psi) \cdot 1.$$ 

If $a_1 - c_1 t \neq 0$, then we have

$$\mu(g_1) = \gamma_F(J_2, \frac{1}{2} \psi) \cdot 1$$

This completes the proof.

\[ \square \]

**Lemma C.15.** Let $g_2 := \alpha_2^{-1} \in \text{GU}(V)^0$ with $\alpha_2 = a_2 + b_2 i + c_2 j_2 + d_2 k_2 \in B_2^\times$. Then we have

$$\mu(g_2) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } b_2 = d_2 = 0, \\ \gamma_F(J_1, \frac{1}{2} \psi) \cdot (a_2 b_2 + c_2 d_2 J_2) \nu_2, J_1)_F & \text{if } (b_2, d_2) \neq (0, 0) \text{ and } b_2^2 - d_2^2 J_2 = 0, \\ (-b_2^2 - d_2^2 J_1) \nu_2, J_1)_F & \text{if } (b_2, d_2) \neq (0, 0) \text{ and } b_2^2 - d_2^2 J_2 \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

where $\nu_2 = \nu(\alpha_2)$. 

Proof. Put $d := d_\nu(\nu_2) \in \text{GSp}(\mathcal{V})$. We have
\[
z_\mathcal{V}(h_0 g_2 h_0^{-1}, h_0) = z_\mathcal{V}(h_0 g_2 h_0^{-1}, d \cdot h_0 \cdot d^{-1}) \cdot v_\mathcal{V}(h_0, \nu_2).
\]
Since $\mathcal{V} g_2 = \mathcal{V}'$, we have $h_0 g_2 h_0^{-1} \cdot d^{-1} \in P_\mathcal{V}$ and hence
\[
z_\mathcal{V}(h_0 g_2 h_0^{-1}, d \cdot h_0 \cdot d^{-1}) = 1.
\]
As in the proof of Lemma C.14 we have $v_\mathcal{V}(h_0, \nu_2) = 1$. Thus we obtain
\[
z_\mathcal{V}(h_0 g_2 h_0^{-1}, h_0) = 1.
\]

Now we compute $z_\mathcal{V}(h_0, g_2)$. We have
\[
z_\mathcal{V}(h_0, g_2) = z_\mathcal{V}(h_0, g_2 \cdot d^{-1}).
\]
First assume that $b_2 = d_2 = 0$. Then we have $g_2 \cdot d^{-1} \in P_\mathcal{V}$ and hence
\[
z_\mathcal{V}(h_0, g_2 \cdot d^{-1}) = 1.
\]
Next assume that $(b_2, d_2) \neq (0, 0)$ and $b_2^2 - d_2^2 J_2 = 0$. Then we have $b_2 \neq 0$ and $d_2 \neq 0$. As in the proof of Lemma C.6 we have $a_2 b_2 + c_2 d_2 J_2 \neq 0$. We have $g_2 \cdot d^{-1} \in m(a_{12}) \cdot n(b_{30}) \cdot \tau_2 \cdot P_\mathcal{V}$, where
\[
a_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} d_2 & 0 \\ b_2 & 1 \\ b_2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad b_{30} = \frac{a_2 d_2 b_2 - b_2 c_2}{b_2 d_2}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & J_2 & -J \end{pmatrix}.
\]
Hence we have
\[
z_\mathcal{V}(h_0, g_2 \cdot d^{-1}) = z_\mathcal{V}(\tau_2 \cdot m(a_{10}) \cdot m(a_{12}) \cdot n(b_{30}) \cdot \tau_2) = z_\mathcal{V}(\tau_2 \cdot m(a_{10}) \cdot m(a_{12}) \cdot n(b_{30}) \cdot \tau_2),
\]
where $a_{10}$ is as in the proof of Lemma C.14. We have
\[
m(a_{10}) \cdot m(a_{12}) \cdot n(b_{30}) \cdot \tau_2 \in m(a_{13}) \cdot n(b_{31}) \cdot \tau' \cdot P_\mathcal{V},
\]
where
\[
a_{13} = \begin{pmatrix} d_2 & 0 \\ b_2 & 1 \\ b_2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad b_{31} = \frac{(a_2 d_2 b_2 - b_2 c_2) J_2}{b_2 d_2}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & J_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]
and $\tau'$ is as in the proof of Lemma C.8. Hence we have
\[
z_\mathcal{V}(\tau_2, m(a_{10}) \cdot m(a_{12}) \cdot n(b_{30}) \cdot \tau_2) = z_\mathcal{V}(\tau_2, m(a_{13}) \cdot n(b_{31}) \cdot \tau') = z_\mathcal{V}(\tau_2, m(a_{13}) \cdot n(b_{31}), \tau')
\]
Since $\tau_2 \cdot m(a_{13}) \cdot n(b_{31}) \cdot \tau^{-1} \in P_\mathcal{V}$, we have
\[
z_\mathcal{V}(\tau_2, m(a_{13}) \cdot n(b_{31}), \tau') = z_\mathcal{V}(\tau_2, \tau') = 1.
\]
On the other hand, since $J_1 \in (P_\mathcal{V})^2$, we have $\gamma_F(J_1, \frac{1}{2} \psi) = 1$ and
\[
((a_2 b_2 + c_2 d_2 J_2) \nu_2 J_2, J_1) = 1.
\]
Finally assume that $(b_2, d_2) \neq (0, 0)$ and $b_2^2 - d_2^2 J_2 \neq 0$. We have $g_2 \cdot d^{-1} \in n(b_{32}) \cdot \tau_4 \cdot P_\mathcal{V}$, where
\[
b_{32} = \frac{1}{b_2^2 - d_2^2 J_2} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a_2 b_2 + c_2 d_2 J_2 & (a_2 d_2 + b_2 c_2) J_2 & -(a_2 d_2 + b_2 c_2) J_2 \\ (a_2 d_2 + b_2 c_2) J_2 & (a_2 b_2 + c_2 d_2 J_2) & -(a_2 b_2 + c_2 d_2 J_2) \\ -(a_2 d_2 + b_2 c_2) J_2 & -(a_2 b_2 + c_2 d_2 J_2) & -(a_2 b_2 + c_2 d_2 J_2) \end{pmatrix}.
\]
Hence we have
\[ z_Y(h_0, g_2 \cdot d^{-1}) = z_Y(\tau_2 \cdot m(a_{10}) \cdot n(b_{32}) \cdot \tau_4) \]
\[ = z_Y(\tau_2 \cdot m(a_{10}) \cdot n(b_{32}) \cdot m(a_{10})^{-1} \cdot \tau_4) \]
\[ = z_Y(\tau_2 \cdot m(a_{10}) \cdot n(b_{32}) \cdot m(a_{10})^{-1} \cdot \tau_4). \]

Since \( \tau_2 \cdot m(a_{10}) \cdot n(b_{32}) \cdot m(a_{10})^{-1} \cdot \tau_2^{-1} \in P_Y \), we have
\[ z_Y(h_0, g_2 \cdot d^{-1}) = z_Y(\tau_2, \tau_4) = 1. \]

On the other hand, since \( J_1 \in (F^\times)^2 \), we have
\[ (-b_2^2 - d_2^2 J_2) \nu(J_2, J_1)_F = 1. \]

This completes the proof.

Lemma C.16. Let \( g := \alpha \in GU(W) \) with \( \alpha = a + bi + cj + di \in B^\times \). Then, for any \( i \in \{1, 2\} \), we have
\[ \mu(g) = \begin{cases} (\nu, J_i)_F & \text{if } b = d = 0, \\
\gamma_F(J_i, \frac{1}{2} \psi) \cdot (ab - cd J_i)_F & \text{if } (b, d) \neq (0, 0) \text{ and } b^2 - d^2 J = 0, \\
(-b_2^2 - d_2^2 J) J_i)_F & \text{if } (b, d) \neq (0, 0) \text{ and } b^2 - d^2 J \neq 0, \end{cases} \]

where \( \nu = \nu(\alpha) \).

Proof. Put \( d := d_Y(\nu) \in GSp(V) \). We have
\[ z_Y(h_0 g h_0^{-1}, h_0) = z_Y(h_0 g h_0^{-1} \cdot d^{-1}, d \cdot h_0 \cdot d^{-1}) \cdot v_Y(h_0, \nu). \]

Since \( \nu' g = \nu' \), we have \( h_0 g h_0^{-1} \cdot d^{-1} \in P_Y \) and hence
\[ z_Y(h_0 g h_0^{-1} \cdot d^{-1}, d \cdot h_0 \cdot d^{-1}) = 1. \]

As in the proof of Lemma C.14, we have \( v_Y(h_0, \nu) = 1 \). Thus we obtain
\[ z_Y(h_0 g h_0^{-1}, h_0) = 1. \]

Now we compute \( z_Y(h_0, g) \). We have
\[ z_Y(h_0, g) = z_Y(h_0, g \cdot d^{-1}). \]

First assume that \( b = d = 0 \). Then we have \( g \cdot d^{-1} \in P_Y \) and hence
\[ z_Y(h_0, g \cdot d^{-1}) = 1. \]

On the other hand, since \( J_1 \in (F^\times)^2 \), we have \( (\nu, J)_F = 1 \) and
\[ (\nu, J)_F = (\nu, J)_F = (a^2 - c^2 J, J)_F = 1. \]

Next assume that \( (b, d) \neq (0, 0) \) and \( b^2 - d^2 J = 0 \). Then we have \( b \neq 0 \) and \( d \neq 0 \). As in the proof of Lemma C.14, we have \( ab - cd J \neq 0 \). We have \( g \cdot d^{-1} \in m(a_3) \cdot n(b_9) \cdot \tau_2 \cdot P_Y \), where \( a_3 \) and \( b_9 \) are as in the proof of Lemma C.14. Hence we have
\[ z_Y(h_0, g \cdot d^{-1}) = z_Y(\tau_2 \cdot m(a_{10}), m(a_3) \cdot n(b_9) \cdot \tau_2) = z_Y(\tau_2, m(a_{10}) \cdot m(a_3) \cdot n(b_9)) \cdot \tau_2, \]

where \( a_{10} \) is as in the proof of Lemma C.14. We have
\[ m(a_{10}) \cdot m(a_3) \cdot n(b_9) \cdot \tau_2 \in m(a_{14}) \cdot n(b_{33}) \cdot \tau'. P_Y, \]

where
\[ a_{14} = \begin{pmatrix} bt & 1 \\
-dJ & bt \\
dJ & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad b_{33} = -\frac{(ad + bc)J_2}{bd} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \]
and \( \tau' \) is as in the proof of Lemma C.8. Hence we have

\[
\nu_\tau(\tau_2, m(a_{10}) \cdot m(a_3) \cdot n(b_9) \cdot \tau_2) = \nu_\tau(\tau_2, m(a_{14}) \cdot n(b_{33}) \cdot \tau') = \nu_\tau(\tau_2, m(a_{14}) \cdot n(b_{33}), \tau').
\]

Since \( \tau_2 \cdot m(a_{14}) \cdot n(b_{33}) \cdot \tau_2^{-1} \in P_{\nu_\tau} \), we have

\[
\nu_\tau(\tau_2, m(a_{14}) \cdot n(b_{33}), \tau') = \nu_\tau(\tau_2, \tau') = 1.
\]

On the other hand, since \( J_1 \in (F^\times)^2 \) and \( J \in (F^\times)^2 \), we have \( \gamma_F(J_1, \frac{1}{2} \psi) = \gamma_F(J_2, \frac{1}{2} \psi) = 1 \) and

\[
(ab - cdJ, J_1)_F = (ab - cdJ, J_2)_F = 1.
\]

Finally assume that \((b, d) \neq (0, 0)\) and \( b^2 - d^2J \neq 0 \). We have \( g \cdot d^{-1} \in n(b_{13}) \cdot \tau_4 \cdot P_{\nu_\tau} \), where \( b_{13} \) is as in the proof of Lemma C.7. Hence we have

\[
\nu_\tau(h_0, g \cdot d^{-1}) = \nu_\tau(\tau_2, m(a_{10}), n(b_{13}), \tau_4)
\]

\[
= \nu_\tau(\tau_2, m(a_{10}), n(b_{13}) \cdot m(a_{10})^{-1} \cdot \tau_4)
\]

\[
= \nu_\tau(\tau_2, m(a_{10}) \cdot n(b_{13}) \cdot m(a_{10})^{-1}, \tau_4).
\]

Since \( \tau_2 \cdot m(a_{10}) \cdot n(b_{13}) \cdot m(a_{10})^{-1} \cdot \tau_2^{-1} \in P_{\nu_\tau} \), we have

\[
\nu_\tau(h_0, g \cdot d^{-1}) = \nu_\tau(\tau_2, \tau_4) = 1.
\]

On the other hand, since \( J_1 \in (F^\times)^2 \), we have \(- (b^2 - d^2J)J, J_1)_F = 1 \) and

\[
(- (b^2 - d^2J)J, J)_F = (- (b^2 - d^2J)J, J)_F = (b^2 - d^2J, J)_F = 1.
\]

This completes the proof. \( \square \)

C.4. The product formula. Suppose that \( F \) is a number field. First we fix quaternion algebras \( B_1 \) and \( B_2 \) over \( F \). Next we fix a quadratic extension \( E \) of \( F \) such that \( E \) embeds into \( B_1 \) and \( B_2 \). Let \( B \) be the quaternion algebra over \( F \) which is the product of \( B_1 \) and \( B_2 \) in the Brauer group. Then \( E \) also embeds into \( B \).

Fix a finite set \( \Sigma \) of places of \( F \) containing

\[
\Sigma_\infty \cup \Sigma_2 \cup \Sigma_F \cup \Sigma_B \cup \Sigma_{B_1} \cup \Sigma_{B_2}.
\]

Here \( \Sigma_\infty \) is the set of archimedean places of \( F \), \( \Sigma_2 \) is the set of places of \( F \) lying above \( 2 \), and \( \Sigma_\bullet \) is the set of places \( v \) of \( F \) such that \( \bullet \), is ramified over \( F_v \) for \( \bullet \), \( E, B, B_1, B_2 \).

We write \( B_i = E + E j_i \). Put \( J_i = J_i^2 \) and \( J = J_1 J_2 \). We may write \( B = E + E j \) such that \( j^2 = J \).

Then, for each place \( v \) of \( F \), we have

- \( J \in N_{E_v/F_v}(E_v^\times) \) if \( v \notin \Sigma_B \),
- \( J_1 \in N_{E_v/F_v}(E_v^\times) \) if \( v \notin \Sigma_{B_1} \),
- \( J_2 \in N_{E_v/F_v}(E_v^\times) \) if \( v \notin \Sigma_{B_2} \).

By using the weak approximation theorem and replacing \( j_i \) by \( \alpha_i j_i \) with some \( \alpha_i \in \mathbb{E}_v \) if necessary, we may assume that

\[
J \in (F_v^\times)^2 \quad \text{or} \quad J_1 \in (F_v^\times)^2 \quad \text{or} \quad J_2 \in (F_v^\times)^2
\]

for all \( v \in \Sigma \).

Lemma C.17. We have

\[
u_v (F_v^\times)^2 \quad \text{or} \quad J \in (F_v^\times)^2 \quad \text{or} \quad J_1 \in (F_v^\times)^2 \quad \text{or} \quad J_2 \in (F_v^\times)^2
\]

for all \( v \notin \Sigma \).
Proof. Let \( v \notin \Sigma \). We may assume that \( v \) is inert in \( E \). Assume that \( J_i \notin (F_v^\times)^2 \) for \( i = 1, 2 \). Since \( J_i \in N_{E_v/F_v}(E_v^\times) \), we have \( J_i \in \varepsilon \cdot (F_v^\times)^2 \) for \( i = 1, 2 \), where \( \varepsilon \in \sigma^\times_{F_v} \) but \( \varepsilon \notin (F_v^\times)^2 \). Hence we have \( J = J_1J_2 \in (F_v^\times)^2 \).

This yields the lemma. \( \square \)

Thus, for each place \( v \) of \( F \), we can define a map

\[ s_v : \text{GU}(V_v)^0 \times \text{GU}(W_v) \to \mathbb{C}^1 \]

by \( s_v := s'_v \cdot \mu_v \), where \( s'_v \) and \( \mu_v \) are as in §C.2, C.3 Here, for \( \bullet = u, J, J_1, J_2 \) with \( \bullet \in (F_v^\times)^2 \), we have chosen \( t \in F_v^\times \) such that \( \bullet = t^2 \). Recall that

\[ z_{V_v}(g, g') = s_v(gg') \cdot s_v(g)^{-1} \cdot s_v(g')^{-1} \]

for \( g, g' \in \text{GU}(V_v)^0 \times \text{GU}(W_v) \).

**Proposition C.18.** (i) Let \( g_i := \alpha_i^{-1} \in \text{GU}(V_v)^0 \) with \( \alpha_i = a_i + b_i1 + c_iJ_i + d_iJ_i \in B_{i,v}^\times \). Then we have

\[ s_v(g_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } b_i = d_i = 0, \\ \gamma_{F_v}(J_i, \frac{1}{2} \psi_v) \cdot ((a_i, b_i + c_iJ_i, a_i, b_i)_{F_v}) & \text{if } (b_i, d_i) \neq (0, 0) \text{ and } b_i^2 - d_i^2 J_i = 0, \\ (-b_i^2 - d_i^2 J_i, a_i + b_iJ_i, a_i, b_i)_{F_v} & \text{if } (b_i, d_i) \neq (0, 0) \text{ and } b_i^2 - d_i^2 J_i \neq 0, \end{cases} \]

where \( \nu_i = \nu(\alpha_i) \) and \( \{i, j\} = \{1, 2\} \).

(ii) Let \( g := \alpha \in \text{GU}(W_v) \) with \( \alpha = a + bJ + cJ + dJ \in B_v^\times \). Then we have

\[ s_v(g) = \begin{cases} (\nu, J_1)_{F_v} & \text{if } b = d = 0, \\ \gamma_{F_v}(J_1, \frac{1}{2} \psi_v) \cdot ((aJ_1, bJ_1, a, b, a, b)_{F_v}) & \text{if } (b, d) \neq (0, 0) \text{ and } b^2 - d^2 J = 0, \\ (-b^2 - d^2 J, J_1)_{F_v} & \text{if } (b, d) \neq (0, 0) \text{ and } b^2 - d^2 J \neq 0, \end{cases} \]

where \( \nu = \nu(\alpha) \).

**Proof.** If \( u \in (F_v^\times)^2 \), then \( B_{i,v} \) is split and the assertion follows from Lemmas C.6 and C.7

Assume that \( J \in (F_v^\times)^2 \). Let \( i : B_v \to \text{M}_2(F_v) \) be the isomorphism as in §C.2 Since

\[ i(\alpha) = \begin{pmatrix} a + ct & b - dt \\ u(b + dt) & a - ct \end{pmatrix}, \]

we have

\[ j(g) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } b + dt = 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } b + dt \neq 0. \end{cases} \]

Since

\[ (u, J_1)_{F_v} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } B_{1,v} \text{ is split}, \\ -1 & \text{if } B_{1,v} \text{ is ramified}, \end{cases} \]

the assertion follows from Lemmas C.8 and C.9

Assume that \( J_i \in (F_v^\times)^2 \). We only consider the case \( i = 1 \); the other case is similar. Let \( i_1 : B_{1,v} \to \text{M}_2(F_v) \) be the isomorphism as in §C.3 Since

\[ i_1(\alpha_1) = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 + c_1t & \frac{3}{2}(b_1 + d_1t) \\ 2(b_1 - d_1t) & a_1 - c_1t \end{pmatrix}, \]

we have

\[ j(g_i) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } b_1 - d_1t = 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } b_1 - d_1t \neq 0. \end{cases} \]
Also, we have \( j(g_2) = 0 \). Since
\[
(u, J)_{F_v} = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } B_v \text{ is split}, \\
-1 & \text{if } B_v \text{ is ramified}, 
\end{cases}
\]
the assertion follows from Lemmas \[\text{C.14, C.15, and C.16}\].

Recall that, for almost all \( v \), we have a maximal compact subgroup \( K_v \) of \( \text{Sp}(\mathcal{V}_v) \) and a map \( s_{\mathcal{V}_v}: K_v \to \mathbb{C}^1 \) such that
\[
\text{z}_v(k, k') = s_{\mathcal{V}_v}(kk') \cdot s_{\mathcal{V}_v}(k)^{-1} \cdot s_{\mathcal{V}_v}(k')^{-1}
\]
for \( k, k' \in K_v \). Put \( K_v := G(U(V_v) \times U(W_v))^0 \cap K_v \).
Then \( K_v \) is a maximal compact subgroup of \( G(U(V_v) \times U(W_v))^0 \) for almost all \( v \).

**Lemma C.19.** We have \( s_v|_{K_v} = s_{\mathcal{V}_v}|_{K_v} \) for almost all \( v \).

**Proof.** Recall that \( s_v(g) = s'_v(g) \cdot \mu_v(g) \) for \( g \in G(U(V_v))^0 \times G(U(W_v)) \), where
\[
s'_v: G(U(V_v))^0 \times G(U(W_v)) \to \mathbb{C}^1
\]
is the map as in \[\S\S C.2, C.3\] and
\[
\mu_v(g) = \text{z}_v(h_0gh_0^{-1}, h_0) \cdot \text{z}_v(h_0, g)^{-1}
\]
for \( g \in G\text{Sp}(\mathcal{V}_v) \) with some \( h_0 \in \text{Sp}(\mathcal{V}_v) \) such that \( X'_v = X_v h_0 \) and \( Y'_v = Y_v h_0 \). By the uniqueness of the splitting, we have
\[
s_v = s'_{\mathcal{V}_v} \cdot \mu_v|_{K_v}
\]
for almost all \( v \). On the other hand, by definition, one can see that
\[
s'_v|_{K_v} = s'_{\mathcal{V}_v}|_{K_v}
\]
for almost all \( v \). This yields the lemma. \[\square\]

**Proposition C.20.** Let \( \gamma \in G(U(V))^0(F) \times G(U(W))(F) \). Then we have \( s_v(\gamma) = 1 \) for almost all \( v \) and
\[
\prod_v s_v(\gamma) = 1.
\]

**Proof.** Let \( \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in G(U(V))^0(F) \times G(U(W))(F) \). Suppose that \( s_v(\gamma_i) = 1 \) for almost all \( v \) and \( \prod_v s_v(\gamma_i) = 1 \) for \( i = 1, 2 \). Since \( s_v(\gamma_1 \gamma_2) = s_v(\gamma_1) \cdot s_v(\gamma_2) \cdot \text{z}_v(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \), the product formulas for the quadratic Hilbert symbol and the Weil index imply that \( s_v(\gamma_1 \gamma_2) = 1 \) for almost all \( v \) and \( \prod_v s_v(\gamma_1 \gamma_2) = 1 \). Hence the assertion follows from Proposition \[\text{C.18}\]. \[\square\]

**Appendix D.** **Splittings for the doubling method: quaternionic unitary groups**

**D.1. Setup.** Let \( F \) be a number field and \( B \) a quaternion algebra over \( F \). Recall that

- \( V \) is a 2-dimensional right skew-hermitian \( B \)-space with \( \det V = 1 \),
- \( W \) is a 1-dimensional left hermitian \( B \)-space,
- \( \mathcal{V} := V \otimes_B W \) is an 8-dimensional symplectic \( F \)-space,
- \( \mathcal{V} = X \oplus Y \) is a complete polarization over \( F \).
We consider a 2-dimensional left $B$-space $W^\square := W \oplus W$ equipped with a hermitian form
\[
\langle (x, x'), (y, y') \rangle := \langle x, y \rangle - \langle x', y' \rangle
\]
for $x, x', y, y' \in W$. Put $W_+ := W \oplus \{0\}$ and $W_- := \{0\} \oplus W$. We regard $\text{GU}(W_-)$ as a subgroup of $\text{GL}(W)$ and identify it with $\text{GU}(W)$ via the identity map. Note that the identity map $\text{GU}(W_-) \to \text{GU}(W)$ is an anti-isometry. We have a natural map
\[
\iota : \text{GU}(W) \times \text{U}(W) \to \text{GU}(W^\square)
\]
and seesaw dual pairs
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{GU}(W^\square) & \to & \text{GU}(W) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{GU}(W) \times \text{U}(W) & \to & \text{GU}(W) \\
\end{array}
\]
Put
\[
W^\Delta := \{(x, x) \in W^\square \mid x \in W\}, \quad W^\nabla := \{(x, -x) \in W^\square \mid x \in W\}.
\]
Then $W^\square = W^\nabla \oplus W^\Delta$ is a complete polarization over $B$. Choosing a basis $w, w^*$ of $W^\square$ such that
\[
W^\nabla = Bw, \quad W^\Delta = Bw^*, \quad \langle w, w^* \rangle = 1,
\]
we may write
\[
\text{GU}(W^\square) = \left\{ g \in \text{GL}_2(B) \mid g \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} g^* = \nu(g) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.
\]
For $\nu \in F^\times$, put
\[
d(\nu) = d_{W^\Delta}(\nu) := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \nu \\ \nu & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GU}(W^\square).
\]
Similarly, we consider a 16-dimensional $F$-space $V^\square := V \otimes_B W^\square = V \oplus V$ equipped with a symplectic form
\[
\langle (x, x'), (y, y') \rangle := \langle x, y \rangle - \langle x', y' \rangle
\]
for $x, x', y, y' \in V$. Put $V_+ := V \oplus \{0\}$ and $V_- := \{0\} \oplus V$. We regard $\text{Sp}(V_\pm)$ as a subgroup of $\text{GL}(V)$ and identify it with $\text{Sp}(V)$ via the identity map. Note that the identity map $\text{Sp}(V_-) \to \text{Sp}(V)$ is an anti-isometry. We have a natural map
\[
\iota : \text{Sp}(V) \times \text{Sp}(V) \to \text{Sp}(V^\square).
\]
Put
\[
V^\Delta := V \otimes_B W^\Delta = \{(x, x) \in V^\square \mid x \in V\}, \quad X^\square := X \oplus X,
\]
\[
V^\nabla := V \otimes_B W^\nabla = \{(x, -x) \in V^\square \mid x \in V\}, \quad Y^\square := Y \oplus Y.
\]
Then $V^\square = V^\nabla \oplus V^\Delta = X^\square \oplus Y^\square$ are complete polarizations over $F$.

For the rest of this section, we fix a place $v$ of $F$ and suppress the subscript $v$ from the notation. Thus $F = F_v$ will be a local field of characteristic zero. We may lift the natural map $\iota : \text{Sp}(V) \times \text{Sp}(V) \to \text{Sp}(V^\square)$ to a unique homomorphism
\[
\tilde{\iota} : \text{Mp}(V) \times \text{Mp}(V) \to \text{Mp}(V^\square)
\]
such that $\tilde{\iota}(z_1, z_2) = z_1 z_2^{-1}$ for $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}^1$. 
D.2. Splitting \( z_{\nu, \Delta} \). First assume that \( B \) is split. Fix an isomorphism \( i : B \to M_2(F) \). Put \( e = i^{-1}(1,0,0) \) and \( e' = i^{-1}(0,1,0) \). Then \( W^\square := eW^\square \) is a 4-dimensional symplectic \( F \)-space and the restriction \( GU(W^\square) \to GSp(W^\square) \) is an isomorphism. Using a basis \( ew, e'w, e'w^*, -ew^* \) of \( W^\square \), we write

\[
GSp(W^\square) = \left\{ h \in GL_4(F) \mid \begin{pmatrix} h & 1 \\ -1 & \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h \end{pmatrix} = \nu(h) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.
\]

Then the restriction \( GU(W^\square) \to GSp(W^\square) \) is given by

\[
\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \tau^{-1} & \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} i(a) & i(b) \\ i(c) & i(d) \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \tau & \end{pmatrix},
\]

where \( \tau = \tau_1 = (1, -1) \). Note that \( x^* = \tau \cdot x \cdot \tau^{-1} \) for \( x \in M_2(F) \). Also, \( W^\dagger := eW \) is a 2-dimensional symplectic \( F \)-space and \( V^\dagger := Ve \) is a 4-dimensional quadratic \( F \)-space. We define a map

\[
\hat{s} : G(U(V) \times U(W^\square)) \to \mathbb{C}^1
\]

by

\[
\hat{s}(g) = \gamma \hat{j}(h)
\]

for \( g = (g, h) \in G(U(V) \times U(W^\square)) \), where

\[
\gamma = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } V^\dagger \text{ is isotropic}, \\ -1 & \text{if } V^\dagger \text{ is anisotropic}, \end{cases}
\]

and

\[
\hat{j}(h) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } c = 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } c \neq 0 \text{ and } \text{det } c = 0, \\ 2 & \text{if } \text{det } c \neq 0, \end{cases}
\]

\( h = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in GSp(W^\square) \).

Since \( \dim_F V^\dagger = 4 \) and \( \text{det } V^\dagger = 1 \), we have

\[
z_{\nu, \Delta}(h, h') = \hat{s}(hh') \cdot \hat{s}(h)^{-1} \cdot \hat{s}(h')^{-1}
\]

for \( h, h' \in U(W^\square) \) by \cite{39} Theorem 3.1, case 1+.

Next assume that \( B \) is ramified. We define a map

\[
\hat{s} : G(U(V) \times U(W^\square)) \to \mathbb{C}^1
\]

by

\[
\hat{s}(g) = 1
\]

for \( g \in G(U(V) \times U(W^\square)) \). Since \( \dim_B V = 2 \) and \( \text{det } V = 1 \), we have

\[
z_{\nu, \Delta}(h, h') = \hat{s}(hh') \cdot \hat{s}(h)^{-1} \cdot \hat{s}(h')^{-1}
\]

for \( h, h' \in U(W^\square) \) by \cite{39} Theorem 3.1, case 2-.

**Lemma D.1.** We have

\[
z_{\nu, \Delta}(g, g') = \hat{s}(gg') \cdot \hat{s}(g)^{-1} \cdot \hat{s}(g')^{-1}
\]

for \( g, g' \in G(U(V) \times U(W^\square)) \).

**Proof.** Let \( g_i = (g_i, h_i) \in G(U(V) \times U(W^\square)) \) and put \( h'_i = h_i \cdot d(\nu(h_i))^{-1} \in U(W^\square) \). Then we have

\[
h_1 h_2 = h'_1 h'_2 \cdot d(\nu(h_1 h_2)), \quad h_2' = d(\nu(h_1)) \cdot h'_2 \cdot d(\nu(h_1))^{-1}.
\]

Since

\[
\forall \Delta \cdot g = \forall \Delta, \quad \forall \Delta \cdot d(\nu) = \forall \Delta
\]

for \( g \in GU(V) \) and \( \nu \in F^\times \), we have

\[
\forall \Delta \cdot g_1^{-1} = \forall \Delta \cdot h_1^{-1} = \forall \Delta \cdot h_1'^{-1}, \quad \forall \Delta \cdot g_2^{-1} = \forall \Delta \cdot h_2^{-1} h_1^{-1} = \forall \Delta \cdot h_2'^{-1} h_1'^{-1}.
\]
Hence we have

\[ q(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V} \cdot g_2^{-1}, \mathcal{V} \cdot g_1) = q(\mathcal{V}, g_1^{-1} \cdot \mathcal{V} \cdot g_2^{-1} g_1, \mathcal{V}) \]

\[ = q(\mathcal{V}, h_1^{-1}, \mathcal{V} \cdot h_2'^{-1} h_1^{-1}, \mathcal{V}) \]

\[ = q(\mathcal{V}, \omega^1 \cdot h_2'^{-1}, \omega^1 \cdot h_1'), \]

so that

\[ z_{\mathcal{V}}(g_1, g_2) = z_{\mathcal{V}}(h_1', h_2') = s(h_1' h_2')^{-1} \cdot s(h_1')^{-1}. \]

By definition, we have \( s(h_1') = \hat{s}(g_1), s(h_2') = \hat{s}(g_2), \) and

\[ s(h_1' h_2') = s(h_1 h_2 \cdot d(\nu(h_1 h_2)))^{-1} = \hat{s}(g_1 g_2). \]

This completes the proof. \( \square \)

D.3. **Splitting** \( z_{\mathcal{V}} \). Let \( \mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}' \oplus \mathcal{V}' \) be the complete polarization given in [15, 16]. Put

\[ X'^{\square} := X' \oplus X', \quad Y'^{\square} := Y' \oplus Y'. \]

Then \( X'^{\square} = X'^{\square} \oplus Y'^{\square} \) is a complete polarization. Noting that the symplectic form on \( \mathcal{V}^{\square} = \mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{V} \) is given by [17], we have

\[ z_{\mathcal{V}^{\square}, \varphi}(\iota(g_1, g_2), \iota(g_1', g_2')) = z_{\mathcal{V}, \varphi}(g_1, g_1') \cdot z_{\mathcal{V}, \varphi}(g_2, g_2') = z_{\mathcal{V}' \oplus \mathcal{V}', \varphi}(g_1, g_1') \cdot z_{\mathcal{V}' \oplus \mathcal{V}', \varphi}(g_2, g_2')^{-1} \]

for \( g_i, g_i' \in \text{Sp}(\mathcal{V}) \), where we write \( z_{\mathcal{V}} = z_{\mathcal{V}, \varphi} \) to indicate the dependence of the 2-cocycle on \( \varphi \). The Weil representation \( \omega_{\psi}^{\square} \) of \( \text{Mp}(\mathcal{V}^{\square}) \) can be realized on the Schwartz space

\[ S(X'^{\square}) = S(X') \otimes S(X'). \]

As representations of \( \text{Mp}(\mathcal{V})' \times \text{Mp}(\mathcal{V})' \), we have

\[ \omega_{\psi}^{\square} \circ \tilde{i} = \omega_{\psi} \otimes (\omega_{\psi} \circ \tilde{j}_{\mathcal{V}}), \]

where \( \tilde{j}_{\mathcal{V}} \) is the automorphism of \( \text{Mp}(\mathcal{V})' = \text{Sp}(\mathcal{V})' \times \mathbb{C}^1 \) defined by

\[ \tilde{j}_{\mathcal{V}}(g, z) = (j_{\mathcal{V}}(g), z^{-1}), \quad j_{\mathcal{V}}(g) = d_{\mathcal{V}}(-1) \cdot g \cdot d_{\mathcal{V}}(-1). \]

Fix \( h_0' \in \text{Sp}(\mathcal{V}^{\square}) \) such that \( X'^{\square} = \mathcal{V} \cdot h_0' \) and \( Y'^{\square} = \mathcal{V} \cdot h_0' \). Put

\[ \mu'(g) = z_{\mathcal{V}, \varphi}(g, h_0'^{-1}) \cdot z_{\mathcal{V} \cdot \varphi}(h_0'^{-1}, h_0' g h_0'^{-1})^{-1} \]

for \( g \in \text{Sp}(\mathcal{V}^{\square}) \). Then we have

\[ z_{\mathcal{V}^{\square}, \varphi}(g, g') = z_{\mathcal{V}, \varphi}(g, g') \cdot \mu'(g g') \cdot \mu'(g)^{-1} \cdot \mu'(g')^{-1} \]

for \( g, g' \in \text{Sp}(\mathcal{V}^{\square}) \). Put

\[ G := \{ (g, h_1, h_2) \in \text{GU}(V)^0 \times \text{GU}(W) \times \text{GU}(W) | \nu(g) = \nu(h_1) = \nu(h_2) \}. \]

We have natural maps

\[ G \hookrightarrow G(U(V) \times U(W)), \quad G \hookrightarrow G(U(V)^0 \times U(W)) \times G(U(V)^0 \times U(W)). \]

**Lemma D.2.** We have

\[ \hat{s} \cdot \mu' = s' \otimes (s' \circ j_{\mathcal{V}}) \]

on \( G \), where \( s' : \text{GU}(V)^0 \times \text{GU}(W) \to \mathbb{C}^1 \) is the map defined in [15, 16].

The proof of this lemma will be given in the next two sections.
D.3.1. The case $u \in (F^*)^2$ or $J \in (F^*)^2$. Recall that $\mathcal{X} = V^\dagger \otimes_F X$ and $\mathcal{Y} = V^\dagger \otimes_F Y$, where $X = Fe$ and $Y = Fe'$, and $W^\dagger = X + Y$ is a complete polarization over $F$. We have

$$\mathcal{X}^\square = V^\dagger \otimes_F X^\square, \quad \mathcal{Y}^\square = V^\dagger \otimes_F Y^\square,$$

where $X^\square = X \oplus X$ and $Y^\square = Y \oplus Y$. We have $d_{\mathcal{Y}}(-1) = \text{id} \otimes d_{\mathcal{Y}}(-1)$ and $j_{\mathcal{Y}} = \text{id} \otimes j_Y$, where

$$d_Y(\nu) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \nu \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GSp}(W^\dagger)$$

and $j_Y(h) = d_Y(-1) \cdot h \cdot d_Y(-1)$ for $h \in \text{GSp}(W^\dagger)$. In particular, we have

$$j_{\mathcal{Y}'}(G(UV^0 \times U(W))) = G(UV^0 \times U(W)).$$

Let $i : \text{GSp}(W^\dagger) \times \text{Sp}(W^\square) \to \text{GSp}(W^\square)$ be the natural map. We may take

$$w = \frac{1}{2}(1, -1), \quad w^* = (1, 1).$$

Since

$$\begin{pmatrix} (e, 0) \\ (0, e) \\ (e', 0) \\ (0, -e') \end{pmatrix} = h_0 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} e w \\ e' w \\ e' w^* \\ -e w^* \end{pmatrix}, \quad h_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1}{2} \\ -1 & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Sp}(W^\square),$$

we have

$$i(h_1, h_2) = h_0^{-1} \cdot i'(h_1, j_Y(h_2)) \cdot h_0.$$

Here, using a basis $e, e'$ of $W^\dagger$, we identify $\text{GSp}(W^\dagger)$ with $\text{GL}_2(F)$ and put

$$i'(h_1, h_2) = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ c_1 & d_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad h_1 = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $X^\square = eW^\nabla \cdot h_0$ and $Y^\square = eW^\triangle \cdot h_0$, we may take $h_0' = \text{id} \otimes h_0$.

**Proof of Lemma D.2.** Let $g = (g_1, h_1, h_2) \in \mathcal{G}$ and $\nu = \nu(g)$. Put $g_i = (g, h_i) \in G(UV^0 \times U(W))$. By definition, we have

$$s'(g_1) \cdot s'(j_Y(g_2)) = \gamma^j(h_1) \cdot \gamma^j(h_2),$$

where $j$ is as in D.2.

Put $h = i(h_1, h_2) \in \text{GU}(W^\square)$. We identify $g$ with $(g, h) \in G(UV^0 \times U(W^\square))$. Since $j(h) = j(d(\nu)^{-1} \cdot h)$, we have

$$h g = s(d(\nu)^{-1} \cdot h).$$

Hence, by Lemma D.1, we have

$$z_{\nu\Delta}(g, h_0^{-1}) = z_{\nu\Delta}(d(\nu)^{-1} \cdot h, h_0^{-1})$$

$$= s(d(\nu)^{-1} \cdot h h_0^{-1}) \cdot s(d(\nu)^{-1} \cdot h)^{-1} \cdot s(h_0^{-1})^{-1},$$

$$z_{\nu\Delta}(h_0^{-1}, h_0' g h_0^{-1}) = z_{\nu\Delta}(h_0^{-1}, h_0 h h_0^{-1} \cdot d(\nu)^{-1})$$

$$= s(h h_0^{-1} \cdot d(\nu)^{-1}) \cdot s(h_0^{-1})^{-1} \cdot s(h_0 h h_0^{-1} \cdot d(\nu)^{-1})^{-1}.$$

Since $j(d(\nu)^{-1} \cdot h h_0^{-1}) = j(h h_0^{-1} \cdot d(\nu)^{-1})$, we have

$$s(d(\nu)^{-1} \cdot h h_0^{-1}) = s(h h_0^{-1} \cdot d(\nu)^{-1}).$$
Since \( h_0h h_0^{-1} = \ell(h_1, jY(h_2)) \), we have \( j(h_0h h_0^{-1} \cdot d(\nu)^{-1}) = j(h_1) + j(jY(h_2)) \) and
\[
\ell(h_0h h_0^{-1} \cdot d(\nu)^{-1}) = \gamma^{j(h_1)+j(jY(h_2))}.
\]

Thus we obtain
\[
\ell(g) \cdot \mu'(g) = \ell(d(\nu)^{-1} \cdot h) \cdot z_{V\triangle}(g, h_0^{-1}) \cdot z_{V\triangle}(h_0^{-1}, h_0'g h_0'^{-1})^{-1}
\]
\[
= \ell(h_0h h_0^{-1} \cdot d(\nu)^{-1})
\]
\[
= \gamma^{j(h_1)+j(jY(h_2))}.
\]

This completes the proof. \( \square \)

D.3.2. The case \( J_i \in (F^\times)^2 \). We only consider the case \( i = 1 \); the other case is similar. As in \( \text{[C3]} \) we regard \( V \) and \( W \) as left and right \( B \)-spaces, respectively. Recall that \( X' = W \otimes_B X \) and \( Y' = W \otimes_B Y \), where \( X = Bv \) and \( Y = Bv^\ast \), and \( V = X + Y \) is a complete polarization over \( B \). As in \( \text{[D1]} \) we define a 4-dimensional left skew-hermitian \( B \)-space \( V\square = V \oplus V \) and a complete polarization \( V\square = V\upsilon \oplus V\triangle \) over \( B \). Using a basis
\[
v_1 := \frac{1}{2}(v, -v), \quad v_2 := \frac{1}{2}(v^\ast, -v^\ast), \quad v_1^\ast := (v^\ast, v^\ast), \quad v_2^\ast := (-v, -v)
\]
of \( V\square \), we write
\[
GU(V\square) = \left\{ g \in GL_4(B) \mid g \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, g \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \in GU(V) \right\}.
\]

We may identify \( V\square \) with \( W \otimes_B V\square \). Under this identification, we have
\[
V\upsilon = W \otimes_B V\upsilon,
\]
\[
V\triangle = W \otimes_B V\triangle,
\]
where \( X\square = X \oplus X \) and \( Y\square = Y \oplus Y \). We have \( d_Y(-1) = \text{id} \otimes d_Y(-1) \) and \( j_Y = \text{id} \otimes j_Y \), where
\[
d_Y(\nu) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \nu \end{pmatrix} \in GU(V)^0
\]
and \( j_Y(g) = d_Y(-1) \cdot g \cdot d_Y(-1) \) for \( g \in GU(V) \). In particular, we have
\[
j_Y(G(U(V)^0 \times U(W))) = G(U(V)^0 \times U(W)).
\]

Let \( \iota : G(U(V) \times U(V)) \rightarrow GU(V\square) \) be the natural map. Since
\[
\begin{pmatrix} (v, 0) \\ (0, v) \\ (v^\ast, 0) \\ (0, -v^\ast) \end{pmatrix} = g_0 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_1^\ast \\ v_2^\ast \end{pmatrix}, \quad g_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1}{2} \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in U(V\square),
\]
we have
\[
\iota(g_1, g_2) = g_0^{-1} \cdot \iota(g_1, jY(g_2)) \cdot g_0.
\]

Here, regarding \( V \) as a left \( B \)-space and using a basis \( v, v^\ast \) of \( V \), we identify \( GU(V) \) with a subgroup of \( GL_2(B) \) and put
\[
\iota^\flat(g_1, g_2) = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ c_1 & d_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad g_0 = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ c_1 & d_1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]
Since \( X\square = V\upsilon \cdot g_0 \) and \( Y\square = V\triangle \cdot g_0 \), we may take \( h_0 = \text{id} \otimes g_0 \).
When $B$ is split, we define a map
\[ s' : U(V^\square) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^1 \]
by
\[ s'(g) = 1 \]
for $g \in U(V^\square)$. Then we have
\[ z_{\nu}\ (g, g') = s'(gg') \cdot s'(g)^{-1} \cdot s'(g')^{-1} \]
for $g, g' \in U(W^\square)$ by [39] Theorem 3.1, case 1. When $B$ is ramified, we define a map
\[ s' : U(V^\square) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^1 \]
by
\[ s'(g) = (-1)^{j'(g)} \]
for $g \in U(V^\square)$, where
\[ j'(g) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } c = 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } c \neq 0 \text{ and } \nu(c) = 0, \\ 2 & \text{if } \nu(c) \neq 0, \end{cases} \]
and $\nu : M_2(B) \rightarrow F$ is the reduced norm. Since $\dim_B W = 1$, we have
\[ z_{\nu}\ (g, g') = s'(gg') \cdot s'(g)^{-1} \cdot s'(g')^{-1} \]
for $g, g' \in U(W^\square)$ by [39] Theorem 3.1, case 2.

**Proof of Lemma 12.2** Let $g = (g, h_1, h_2) \in G$ and $\nu = \nu(g)$. Put $g_i = (g, h_i) \in G(U(V)^0 \times U(W))$. By definition, we have $j(i_{V}(g)) = j(g)$, where $j$ is as in [C.3]. Hence we have
\[ s'(g_1) \cdot s'(j_{V'}(g_2)) = \gamma^j(g) \cdot \gamma^j(i_{V}(g)) = 1. \]
Here
\[ \gamma = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } B \text{ is split}, \\ -1 & \text{if } B \text{ is ramified.} \end{cases} \]
Also, by definition, we have
\[ s'(g) = 1. \]

Now we compute $z_{\nu}\ (g, h_0^{n-1})$. We identify $g$ with $(g, \iota(h_1, h_2)) \in G(U(V)^0 \times U(W^\square))$, where $\iota : G(U(W)^0 \times U(W)) \rightarrow GU(W^\square)$ is the natural map. Put $g' = (g, \iota(1, h_2)) \in G(U(V)^0 \times U(W^\square))$ and $h = h_1^{-1} h_2 \in U(W)$. Then we have $g = g' \cdot \iota(h^{-1}, 1)$. Via the identification $V^\square = V \otimes_B W^\square = W \otimes_B V^\square$, we identify $g'$ with $(h_2, \iota(g, g)) \in G(U(W) \times U(V^\square))$. Since $V^\square \cdot g' = V^\square$, we have
\[ z_{\nu}\ (g, h_0^{n-1}) = z_{\nu}(\iota(h^{-1}, 1), g_0^{-1}). \]

Put
\[ \tau := \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \in U(V^\square). \]
Then we have
\[ g_0 \tau = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 \\ \frac{1}{2} & -1 \end{pmatrix} \]
\[ \frac{1}{2} & -1 \end{pmatrix} \]
Moreover, we have 
\[ g \circ (\iota(h^{-1}, 1), g^{-1}) = g \circ (\iota(h^{-1}, 1), \tau). \]
Under the identification \( V \sqcup V = W \otimes B V \sqcup \), we have
\[
\begin{align*}
x \otimes (y, \pm y) \cdot \iota(h^{-1}, 1) &= (x \otimes y, \pm x \otimes y) \cdot \iota(h^{-1}, 1) \\
&= (hx \otimes y, \pm x \otimes y) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}((h \pm 1)x \otimes y, (h \pm 1)x \otimes y) + \frac{1}{2}((h \mp 1)x \otimes y, -(h \mp 1)x \otimes y) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}(h \pm 1)x \otimes (y, y) + \frac{1}{2}(h \mp 1)x \otimes (y, -y)
\end{align*}
\]
for \( x \in W \) and \( y \in V \). Thus we obtain
\[
\begin{align*}
x \otimes v_1 \cdot \iota(h^{-1}, 1) &= \frac{1}{2}(h + 1)x \otimes v_1 - \frac{1}{4}(h - 1)x \otimes v_1^*, \\
x \otimes v_2 \cdot \iota(h^{-1}, 1) &= \frac{1}{2}(h + 1)x \otimes v_2 + \frac{1}{4}(h - 1)x \otimes v_2^*, \\
x \otimes v_1^* \cdot \iota(h^{-1}, 1) &= \frac{1}{2}(h + 1)x \otimes v_1^* + (h - 1)x \otimes v_2, \\
x \otimes v_2^* \cdot \iota(h^{-1}, 1) &= \frac{1}{2}(h + 1)x \otimes v_2^* - (h - 1)x \otimes v_1.
\end{align*}
\]

First assume that \( B \) is split. Fix an isomorphism \( i : B \to M_2(F) \). Put \( e = \iota^{-1}(1, 0), e' = \iota^{-1}(0, 1), \) and \( e'' = i^{-1}(0, 0) \). Then \( W^\dagger := We \) is a 2-dimensional symplectic \( F \)-space and the restriction \( \text{GU}(W) \to \text{GSp}(W^\dagger) \) is an isomorphism. Also, \( V^\dagger := eV \) is an 8-dimensional quadratic \( F \)-space.
We identify \( V \sqcup \) with \( W^\dagger \otimes_F V^\dagger \). Put \( f := 2e'' \) and
\[
\begin{align*}
x_1 := e_v, & \quad x_2 := e'v_1, & \quad x_3 := e_v, & \quad x_4 := e'v_2, \\
y_1 := e'v_1^*, & \quad y_2 := -e_v^*, & \quad y_3 := e'v_2^*, & \quad y_4 := -e_v^*.
\end{align*}
\]

Using a basis
\[
e \otimes x_1, f \otimes x_1, \ldots, e \otimes x_4, f \otimes x_4, f \otimes y_1, -e \otimes y_1, \ldots, f \otimes y_4, -e \otimes y_4
\]
of \( V \sqcup \), we identify \( \text{Sp}(V \sqcup) \) with \( \text{Sp}_{16}(F) \). We define \( h \in \text{GL}_2(F) \) by
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
h e \\
h f
\end{bmatrix} = h \cdot \begin{bmatrix}
e \\
f
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

Then we have \( h \cdot a \cdot \iota h = a \), where
\[
a = \begin{bmatrix}1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1\end{bmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(F).
\]
Moreover, we have \( \iota(h^{-1}, 1) = d^{-1} \cdot h' \cdot d \) and
\[
\begin{bmatrix}1_2 \\ 1_2 \\ 0_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{vmatrix}1_2 & 0_2 & -1_2 \\ 0_2 & 1_2 & 0_2 \\ -1_2 & 0_2 & 1_2 \end{vmatrix} \cdot d = \tau_4 . \begin{vmatrix}1_2 & -a \\ a & 1_2 \\ 1_2 & -a \end{vmatrix}.
\]
Noting that
\[ \det \mathbf{h} = 1, \]
we have \( \mathbf{h}' = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix} \), \( \mathbf{h} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix} \), and
\[ \mathbf{m}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a} & \mathbf{a} \\ \mathbf{a} & \mathbf{a} \end{pmatrix}. \]

If \( h = 1 \), then we have \( z_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbf{h}^{-1}, 1, \tau) = z_{\mathcal{V}}(1, \tau) = 1 \). Assume that \( h \neq 1 \) and \( \det \mathbf{h} = 0 \). Since \( \det \mathbf{h} = 1 \), we have \( \text{tr} \mathbf{h} = 0 \). Hence we may take \( \mathbf{a}_1 \in \text{SL}_2(F) \) such that \( \mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{a}_1^{-1} = \mathbf{x} \), where
\[ \mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \]
with some \( x \neq 0 \). Put
\[ \mathbf{h}'' = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} + 1 \mathbf{2} & \mathbf{x} + 1 \mathbf{2} & \mathbf{x} + 1 \mathbf{2} & \mathbf{x} + 1 \mathbf{2} \\ \mathbf{x} + 1 \mathbf{2} & \mathbf{x} + 1 \mathbf{2} & \mathbf{x} + 1 \mathbf{2} & \mathbf{x} + 1 \mathbf{2} \\ \mathbf{x} + 1 \mathbf{2} & \mathbf{x} + 1 \mathbf{2} & \mathbf{x} + 1 \mathbf{2} & \mathbf{x} + 1 \mathbf{2} \\ \mathbf{x} + 1 \mathbf{2} & \mathbf{x} + 1 \mathbf{2} & \mathbf{x} + 1 \mathbf{2} & \mathbf{x} + 1 \mathbf{2} \end{pmatrix}. \]

We have
\[ z_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbf{h}^{-1}, 1, \tau) = z_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbf{h}^{-1}, 1, \tau_4) \]
\[ = z_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbf{m}_1 \cdot \mathbf{h}^{-1}, 1, \tau_4 \cdot \tau_4^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{m}_1^{-1} \cdot \tau_4) \]
\[ = z_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbf{m}_1 \cdot \mathbf{h}^{-1}, 1, \mathbf{m}_1^{-1}, \tau_4) \]
\[ = z_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbf{d}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{h}'' \cdot \mathbf{d}, \tau_4). \]
Put
\[ e = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad e^* = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad a_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -x^{-1} \\ -x \end{pmatrix}, \]
and
\[ m_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 2a_2 \\ -2a_2 \\ 2a_2 \\ -2a_2 \\ -\frac{1}{2}a_2^{-1} \\ -\frac{1}{2}a_2^{-1} \end{pmatrix}. \]
Then we have
\[ a_2 \cdot x \cdot a = -e, \quad a_2^{-1} \cdot x = e^*, \]
and hence
\[ m_2 \cdot d^{-1} \cdot h'' \cdot d = h''', \]
where
\[ h''' = \begin{pmatrix} 2x' & -e \\ -2x' & -e \\ e^* & e^* \\ e^* & -\frac{1}{2}x'' \\ e^* & -\frac{1}{2}x'' \end{pmatrix}. \]
\[ x' = -ea^{-1} + a_2, \quad \text{and} \quad x'' = e^* a + t^2 a_2^{-1}. \]
We have
\[ z_{\gamma} (d^{-1} \cdot h''' \cdot d, \tau_4) = z_{\gamma} (m_2 \cdot d^{-1} \cdot h'' \cdot d, \tau_4) = z_{\gamma} (h''', \tau_4). \]
Put
\[ b = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ x^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \]
and
\[ n = \begin{pmatrix} 1_2 \\ 1_2 \\ 1_2 \\ 1_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1_2 \\ 1_2 \\ 1_2 \\ 1_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2}b \\ -\frac{1}{2}b \\ -\frac{1}{2}b \\ -\frac{1}{2}b \end{pmatrix}. \]
We have
\[ h'''' \cdot n = \begin{pmatrix} 2x' & x'b - e \\ -2x' & x'b - e \\ e^* & -\frac{1}{2}(e^* b + x'') \\ e^* & -\frac{1}{2}(e^* b + x'') \end{pmatrix}. \]
Since
\[ e^* b + x'' = \begin{pmatrix} -x \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \]
we have $V^\triangle \cdot h'' \cdot n \cdot \tau^{-1} = V^\triangle$, where

$$
\tau = \begin{pmatrix}
e & e & -e^* & -e^* & -e^* & -e^* \\
e & e & e & e & -e^* & -e^* \\
e^* & e & e & e & e & e \\
e^* & e & e & e & e & e \\
e^* & e & e & e & e & e \\
e^* & e & e & e & e & e
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

Hence we have

$$z_{\psi \triangle} (h'', \tau_4) = z_{\psi \triangle} (h'' \cdot \tau_4^{-1} \cdot n \cdot \tau_4) = z_{\psi \triangle} (h'' \cdot n, \tau_4) = z_{\psi \triangle} (\tau, \tau_4) = 1.$$

Assume that $h \neq 1$ and $\det \tilde{h} \neq 0$. We have

$$
i(h^{-1}, 1)
= \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{2} a' \tilde{h}^{-1} & -\frac{1}{2} a' \tilde{h}^{-1} & \tilde{h} & \tilde{h} & \tilde{h} & \tilde{h} \\
a' \tilde{h}^{-1} & \tilde{h} & \tilde{h} & \tilde{h} & \tilde{h} & \tilde{h} \\
2a^{-1} \tilde{h} & 2a^{-1} \tilde{h} & -2a^{-1} \tilde{h} & 2a^{-1} \tilde{h} & -2a^{-1} \tilde{h} & 2a^{-1} \tilde{h}
\end{pmatrix} \cdot \tau_8 \cdot n,
$$

where

$$
\tau_8 = \begin{pmatrix} 1_8 & -1_8 \end{pmatrix},
\quad n = \begin{pmatrix}
1_2 & 1_2 & 1_2 & 1_2 & 1_2 & 1_2 \\
1_2 & 1_2 & \frac{1}{2} \tilde{h}^{-1} \tilde{h}a & \frac{1}{2} \tilde{h}^{-1} \tilde{h}a & \frac{1}{2} \tilde{h}^{-1} \tilde{h}a & \frac{1}{2} \tilde{h}^{-1} \tilde{h}a
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

Hence we have

$$z_{\psi \triangle} (i(h^{-1}, 1), \tau) = z_{\psi \triangle} (\tau_8 \cdot n, \tau_4) = z_{\psi \triangle} (\tau_8, n \cdot \tau_4).$$

Since $V^\triangle \cdot \tau_4^{-1} n \tau_4 = V^\triangle$, we have

$$z_{\psi \triangle} (\tau_8, n \cdot \tau_4) = z_{\psi \triangle} (\tau_8, \tau_4) = 1.$$

Thus we obtain

$$z_{\psi \triangle} (g, h_0^{-1}) = 1.$$

Next assume that $B$ is ramified. Choose a basis $e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4$ of $W$ over $F$. We may assume that $\frac{1}{2} \text{tr}_{B/F} (\langle e_i, e_j \rangle) = a_i \cdot \delta_{ij}$ with some $a_i \in F^\times$. Put $e_i' := e_i \cdot a_i^{-1}$. Using a basis

$$e_1 \otimes v_1, \ldots, e_4 \otimes v_1, e_1 \otimes v_2, \ldots, e_4 \otimes v_2, e_1' \otimes v_1', \ldots, e_4' \otimes v_1', e_1' \otimes v_2', \ldots, e_4' \otimes v_2',$$
of \( \mathbb{V} \), we identify \( \text{Sp}(\mathbb{V}) \) with \( \text{Sp}_{10}(F) \). We define \( h \in \text{GL}_4(F) \) by

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
he_1 \\
he_2 \\
he_3 \\
he_4
\end{bmatrix} = h \cdot \begin{bmatrix}e_1 \\ e_2 \\ e_3 \\ e_4 \end{bmatrix}
\]

Then we have \( h \cdot a \cdot h = a \), where

\[
a := \begin{pmatrix}a_1 & a_2 \\ a_3 & a_4 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_4(F).
\]

Moreover, we have \( \iota(h^{-1}, 1) = d^{-1} \cdot h' \cdot d \) and

\[
\tau = d^{-1} \cdot \tau_4 \cdot d = \tau_4 \cdot \begin{pmatrix}1 & a^{-1} & 1_4 & a \\
1_4 & a & 1 & a_4 \end{pmatrix},
\]

where

\[
d = \begin{pmatrix}1 & 1_4 & 1_4 & a \\
1_4 & a & 1 & a_4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad h' = \begin{pmatrix}\dot{h} & \frac{1}{2} \ddot{h} & \dddot{h} & 2\hat{h} \\
\hat{h} & \frac{1}{2} \dddot{h} & \dddot{h} & \hat{h} \end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
\dot{h} = \frac{1}{2}(h + 1_4), \quad \dddot{h} = \frac{1}{2}(h - 1_4), \quad \text{and}
\]

\[
\tau_4 = \begin{pmatrix}1 & 1_4 & -1_4 & 1_4 \\
1_4 & 1 & -1_4 & 1_4 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

If \( h = 1 \), then we have \( z_{\mathbb{V}}(\iota(h^{-1}, 1), \tau) = z_{\mathbb{V}}(1, \tau) = 1 \). Assume that \( h \neq 1 \). Since \( B \) is ramified, \( h - 1 \) is given by the automorphism \( x \mapsto \alpha \cdot x \) of \( B \) with some \( \alpha \in B^\times \). In particular, we have \( h \in \text{GL}_4(F) \). We have

\[
\iota(h^{-1}, 1) = \begin{pmatrix}-\frac{1}{2}a'\dddot{h}^{-1} & \frac{1}{2}a'\dddot{h}^{-1} & \dot{h} & \dddot{h} \\
2a'\dddot{h}^{-1} & 2a'\dddot{h}^{-1} & 2a'\dddot{h}^{-1} & 2a'\dddot{h}^{-1} \\
-2a'\dddot{h}^{-1} & -2a'\dddot{h}^{-1} & -2a'\dddot{h}^{-1} & -2a'\dddot{h}^{-1} \\
2a'\dddot{h}^{-1} & 2a'\dddot{h}^{-1} & 2a'\dddot{h}^{-1} & 2a'\dddot{h}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \tau_8 \cdot n,
\]

where

\[
\tau_8 = \begin{pmatrix}1_8 & -1_8 \\
1_8 & 1_8 \end{pmatrix}, \quad n = \begin{pmatrix}1_4 & \frac{1}{2}\dddot{h}^{-1}ha & -\frac{1}{2}\dddot{h}^{-1}ha \\
1_4 & \frac{1}{2}\dddot{h}^{-1}ha & 1_4 \\
1_4 & 1_4 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Hence we have

\[
z_{\mathbb{V}}(\iota(h^{-1}, 1), \tau) = z_{\mathbb{V}}(\tau_8 \cdot n, \tau_4) = z_{\mathbb{V}}(\tau_8, \tau_4).
\]

Since \( \mathbb{V}^\Delta \cdot \tau_4^{-1}n\tau_4 = \mathbb{V}^\Delta \), we have

\[
z_{\mathbb{V}}(\tau_8, \tau_4) = z_{\mathbb{V}}(\tau_8, \tau_4) = 1.
\]

Thus we obtain

\[
z_{\mathbb{V}}(g, h_0'^{-1}) = 1.
\]
Now we compute \( z_{\psi\Delta}(h_0^{-1}, h_0') \). Put \( g'' = (dy(\nu), \iota(h_1, h_2)) \in G(U(V)^0 \times U(W)) \) and \( g' = g \cdot dy(\nu)^{-1} \in U(V)^0 \). Then we have \( g = g' \cdot g'' \). Via the identification \( V^Q = V \otimes_B W^Q = W \otimes_B V^Q \), we identify \( g' \) with \( \iota(g', g') \in U(V) \). Since \( V^\Delta : h_0' = Y^\Delta = Y \otimes_B W \), we have \( V^\Delta : h_0' g' h_0^{-1} = V^\Delta \) and hence
\[
\begin{align*}
z_{\psi\Delta}(h_0^{-1}, h_0' g h_0^{-1}) &= z_{\psi\Delta}(g_0^{-1}, g_0 \cdot \iota(g', g') \cdot g_0^{-1}) \\
&= \hat{s}'(\iota(g', g') \cdot g_0^{-1}) \cdot \hat{s}'(g_0^{-1})^{-1} \cdot \hat{s}'(g_0 \cdot \iota(g', g') \cdot g_0^{-1})^{-1}.
\end{align*}
\]
Hence, if \( B \) is split, then we have
\[
z_{\psi\Delta}(h_0^{-1}, h_0' g h_0^{-1}) = 1.
\]
Assume that \( B \) is ramified. We write \( g' = (a_{\cdot \cdot}) \). Since
\[
g_0^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad g_0 \cdot \iota(g', g') \cdot g_0^{-1} = \iota(g', \Delta_W(g') \cdot g_0^{-1}) = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ a & d \end{pmatrix},
\]
and
\[
\iota(g', g') \cdot g_0^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{a}{2} & -\frac{a}{2} & \frac{b}{2} & \frac{b}{2} \\ \frac{a}{2} & -\frac{a}{2} & \frac{b}{2} & \frac{b}{2} \\ c & c & d & -d \\ -a & -a & -b & b \end{pmatrix},
\]
we have
\[
\hat{s}'(g_0^{-1}) = -1, \quad \hat{s}'(g_0 \cdot \iota(g', g') \cdot g_0^{-1}) = 1, \quad \hat{s}'(\iota(g', g') \cdot g_0^{-1}) = -1.
\]
Hence we have
\[
z_{\psi\Delta}(h_0^{-1}, h_0' g h_0^{-1}) = 1.
\]
Thus we obtain
\[
\mu'(g) = z_{\psi\Delta}(g, h_0^{-1}) \cdot z_{\psi\Delta}(h_0^{-1}, h_0' g h_0^{-1}) = 1.
\]
This completes the proof. \( \square \)

D.4. Splitting \( \psi^{\Delta} \). Let \( V = X \oplus Y \) be the complete polarization given in \( \psi^{\Delta} \) Put
\[
X^\Delta := X \oplus X, \quad Y^\Delta := Y \oplus Y.
\]
Then \( V^\Delta = X^\Delta \oplus Y^\Delta \) is a complete polarization. As in \( \psi^{\Delta} \) we have
\[
z_{\psi\Delta}(\iota(g_1, g_2), \iota(g_1', g_2')) = z_{\psi}(g_1, g_1') \cdot z_{\psi}(g_2, g_2')^{-1}
\]
for \( g_i, g_i' \in \text{Sp}(V) \). The Weil representation \( \omega_{\psi^{\Delta}} \) of \( \text{Mp}(V^\Delta) \) can be realized on the Schwartz space
\[
S(X^\Delta) = S(X) \otimes S(X).
\]
As representations of \( \text{Mp}(V)V \times \text{Mp}(V)V \), we have
\[
\omega_{\psi^{\Delta}} \circ \tilde{\iota} = \omega_{\psi} \otimes (\omega_{\psi} \circ \tilde{\iota}_V),
\]
where \( \tilde{\iota}_V \) is the automorphism of \( \text{Mp}(V)V = \text{Sp}(V) \times C1 \) defined by
\[
\tilde{\iota}_V(g, z) = (\iota_V(g), z^{-1}), \quad \iota_V(g) = dy(-1) \cdot g \cdot dy(-1).
\]
Put \( J := (j_1, j_2) \). Here we view \( (j_1, j_2) \in GU(V)^0 \) and \( j \in GU(W) \).

**Lemma D.3.** We have
\[
\iota_V(g) = J \cdot g \cdot J^{-1}
\]
for \( g \in GU(V)^0 \times GU(W) \). In particular, we have
\[
\iota_V(G(U(V)^0 \times U(W))) = G(U(V)^0 \times U(W)).
\]
Proof. Let \( g = ((\alpha_1^{-1}, \alpha_2^{-1}), \alpha) \in \text{GU}(V)^0 \times \text{GU}(W) \) with \( \alpha_i = a_i + b_i i + c_i j_i + d_i i j_i \in B_z^\times \) and \( \alpha = a + b i + c j + d i j \in B_z^\times \). By Lemma \[C.3\] we see that \( j V(g) = ((\beta_1^{-1}, \beta_2^{-1}), \beta) \), where
\[
\beta_i = a_i - b_i i + c_i j_i, \quad \beta = a - b i + c j - d i j.
\]
On the other hand, since \( j_i i = -j_i \) and \( j i = -j \), we have \( j_i \cdot \alpha_i \cdot j_i^{-1} = \beta_i \) and \( j \cdot \alpha \cdot j^{-1} = \beta \). This yields the lemma. \( \square \)

As in \[C.2 \] fix \( h_0 \in \text{Sp}(V) \) such that \( X' = Xh_0 \) and \( Y' = Yh_0 \), and define a map \( s : \text{GU}(V)^0 \times \text{GU}(W) \to \mathbb{C}^1 \) by \( s := s' \cdot \mu \), where
\[
\mu(g) = z_Y(h_0 g h_0^{-1}, h_0) \cdot z_Y(h_0, g)^{-1}.
\]
Put \( \hat{h}_0 := h_0' \cdot \iota(h_0, h_0)^{-1} \in \text{Sp}(V^\square) \). Then we have
\[
\nabla' \cdot \hat{h}_0 = \nabla \cdot \hat{h}_0 = \mathbb{C}^1, \quad \nabla' \cdot \hat{h}_0 = \nabla \cdot \hat{h}_0 = \mathbb{C}^1.
\]
Put
\[
\hat{\mu}(g) = z_{Y'}(g, \hat{h}_0^{-1}) \cdot z_{Y'}(\hat{h}_0^{-1}, h_0 g h_0^{-1})^{-1}
\]
for \( g \in \text{Sp}(V^\square) \). Then we have
\[
z_{Y'}(g, g') = z_{Y'}(g, g') \cdot \hat{\mu}(gg') \cdot \hat{\mu}(g)^{-1} \cdot \hat{\mu}(g')^{-1}
\]
for \( g, g' \in \text{Sp}(V^\square) \).

Lemma D.4. We have
\[
\hat{s} \cdot \hat{\mu} = s \otimes (s' \circ Y)
\]
on \( G \).

Proof. For \( g = (g_1, h_2) \in G \), we identify \( g \) with \( \iota(g_1, g_2) \in \text{Sp}(V^\square) \), where \( g_1 = (g, h_1) \in \text{GU}(V)^0 \times \text{GU}(W) \). Then, by a direct calculation, one can see that
\[
\hat{\mu}(g) \cdot \mu'(g)^{-1} = z_{Y'}(\iota(h_0, h_0) \cdot g \cdot \iota(h_0, h_0)^{-1}, g) = z_{Y'}(\iota(h_0, h_0), g)^{-1} \cdot z_{Y'}(h_0 g_1 h_0^{-1}, h_0) \cdot z_{Y'}(h_0 g_2 h_0^{-1}, h_0)^{-1} \cdot z_{Y'}(h_0, g_1)^{-1} \cdot z_{Y'}(h_0, g_2)
\]
\[
= \mu(g_1) \cdot \mu(g_2)^{-1}.
\]
Hence, by Lemma \[D.2\] we have
\[
\hat{s} \cdot \hat{\mu} = \hat{s} \cdot \mu' \cdot (\mu \otimes \mu') = (s' \cdot \mu) \otimes ((s' \circ Y') \cdot \mu')
\]
on \( G \). Since \( s' \circ Y' = s' = s'^{-1} \), we have
\[
\hat{s} \cdot \hat{\mu} = s \otimes s'^{-1}
\]
on \( G \). By Proposition \[C.13\] and Lemma \[D.3\] we have \( s(\iota Y(g)) = s(g)^{-1} \) for \( g = (g_1, g_2) \in \text{GU}(V)^0 \times \text{GU}(W) \) with \( \alpha_i \in B_z^\times \) and \( g = \alpha \in \text{GU}(W) \) with \( \alpha \in B_z^\times \). Also, we have \( z_{Y'}(g_1, g_2) = z_{Y'}(g_1, g_2)^{-1} \) for \( g_1, g_2 \in \text{GSp}(V) \). Since \( s(g_1 g_2) = s(g_1) \cdot s(g_2) \cdot z_{Y'}(g_1, g_2) \) for \( g_1, g_2 \in \text{GU}(V)^0 \times \text{GU}(W) \), we have
\[
s \circ \iota Y = s^{-1}
\]
on \( \text{GU}(V)^0 \times \text{GU}(W) \). This completes the proof. \( \square \)
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