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ABSTRACT

Objective: The objective of this study was to describe the antibiotic prescribing pattern and co-morbidities associated with lower respiratory tract infections by means of cross-observational study.

Methods: A cross-sectional, open labelled and observational study was undertaken in a multi-speciality hospital for a period of 9 mo (November 2013-July 2014). Lower respiratory tract infected patients who satisfied the selection criteria were included in the study. They were followed clinically and biochemically in the study.

Results: During the study period, most predominant antibiotics used were cephalosporin's which constitute about 63% (with 3rd generation being the highest). Hypertension was found to be the most frequent co-morbidity (43%). Route of administration for most of the patients was parenteral followed by tablets and a very few on capsules. A few were changed from parenteral to oral when they met with the clinical criteria's for switching over therapy.

Conclusion: This study describes the antibiotic prescribing pattern and co-morbidities associated with lower respiratory tract infected patients.
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INTRODUCTION

Respiratory tract infections are the most common condition of patients hospital visit. A thorough study of antibiotic prescribing can help in suggesting modifications necessary for prescribing habits among physicians. National health science recommends certain guidelines for antibiotic prescription in patients with respiratory tract infections. These strategies include either no or delayed antibiotics prescribing and immediate antibiotics prescribing depending upon the clinical conditions of patients [1]. Appropriate information about organisms isolated and their sensitivity pattern is essential for choosing suitable antibiotics among multiple antibiotics available [2]. Proper prescribing pattern and adherence to standard guidelines can help antibiotic use rational and cost effective. Irrational prescription of antibiotics also leads to bacterial resistance [4]. This cross-observational study was done to evaluate the antibiotic prescribing pattern and co-morbidities associated with lower respiratory tract infections.

Pneumonia is considered to be the most common LRTI in hospitalised patients. The mortality rate associated with pneumonia is also high despite the use of antibiotics [3]. In 2000, Pneumonia and Influenza were the seventh leading cause of death in the U.S WHO estimates 3-5 million worldwide cases of influenza annually [3, 4].

The three main diagnostic criteria’s for LRTI [5]:
- Firstly to evaluate the symptoms of patients to assess whether it is an infectious or noninfectious disorder.
- Secondly to consider which part of the respiratory tract is affected.
- Thirdly to find out the nature of the microorganism involved.

The World Health Organization (WHO) recommends the lesser use of injections can reduce the cost and pain for patients. Hence, a higher rate of parenteral prescriptions and lowest rate of the early switch over to oral antibiotic therapy is a matter of concern. In this context, the present study was designed to assess the antibiotic prescribing pattern followed by physicians in cases of patients diagnosed with LRTI. The various diagnostic tests conducted to evaluate the type of LRTI and their reliability in choosing appropriate antibiotics was also assessed. Co-morbidities associated with LRTI which caused a major health threat in the treatment of patients were also studied.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A cross-sectional, observational, open labelled study was undertaken in a multi-speciality hospital for a period of 9 mo (November 2013-July 2014). A prospective study was done from February 2014 to July 2014 in the inpatient departments of general medicine, pulmonology and respiratory medicine. 93 patients diagnosed with lower respiratory tract associated diseases were included to study the antibiotic prescribing patterns and comorbidities (73 males, 20 females, with mean±SD age 58.5±12.173). They were followed clinically and biochemically before and after the initiation of antibiotic therapy for evidence of patient prognosis. Demographic details such as age, gender, history of smoking and alcohol consumption, co-morbidities, symptoms, laboratory investigations, sputum analysis, sensitivity patterns of organisms isolated from respiratory tract infections, procalcitonin level, arterial blood gases, pleural fluid analysis, chest radiographs were monitored and documented. Antibiotic therapy including the route of administration, dose, dosage, generic name, pharmacological class, and switch over therapy and also the outcomes of therapy was also monitored.

Study approval

The study proposal with the investigation protocol and the required documents was submitted to the Institutional Human Ethics Committee of the study site. The proposal with the Proposal No.14/041 was approved by the committee.

Patient selection

Inclusion criteria:
- Age: >18 y.
- Gender: male and female.
- Patients with lower respiratory tract infections.
• Patients prescribed with both narrow and broad spectrum antibiotics.
• Patients whose specimens were collected for culture tests.

**Exclusion Criteria:**
- Outpatients.
- ICU patients.
- Pregnant and nursing women.
- TB patients.
- Patients with autoimmune and sexually transmitted diseases.

**Statistical analysis**
Statistical analysis was done for the entire patients enrolled in the study. Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS version 20) for Windows was used for analysis of data. Mean±SD and frequencies were used to express data. Patient's demographics were summarised using frequency and percentage tables. Baseline characteristics of entire patients were summarised using mean and standard deviation.

**RESULTS**

**Gender and age**
Among 100 patients, 73 were males, and 20 were females. More patients enrolled in the study were male (78%) n=73 than female patients (22%) n=20. The majority of patients were under the age group of 50-70 (75%) n=70 compared to other age groups. According to age, (18%) n=17 patients were under the age group of 30-49 and (7%) n=6 were under the age group of 20-29 (table 1) [6].

**Social and family history**
Among 93 patients, (39%) n=36 were having a significant family history of hypertension, thyroid disorders and type-2 diabetes mellitus. (43%) n=40 patients were alcoholic and (57%) n=53 were non-alcoholic (table 1). (55%) n=51 were smokers and (45%) n=42 were non-smokers (table 1) [6].

**Parameters for evaluation**
The parameters followed in the study includes gender distribution, the average age range of patients, social and family history, type of infection, average number of drug per prescription, average number of antibiotics per prescription, the number of comorbidities per patient and diagnostic tests used.

**RESULTS**

**Gender and age**
Among 100 patients, 73 were males, and 20 were females. More patients enrolled in the study were male (78%) n=73 than female patients (22%) n=20. The majority of patients were under the age group of 50-70 (75%) n=70 compared to other age groups. According to age, (18%) n=17 patients were under the age group of 30-49 and (7%) n=6 were under the age group of 20-29 (table 1) [6].

**Social and family history**
Among 93 patients, (39%) n=36 were having a significant family history of hypertension, thyroid disorders and type-2 diabetes mellitus. (43%) n=40 patients were alcoholic and (57%) n=53 were non-alcoholic (table 1). (55%) n=51 were smokers and (45%) n=42 were non-smokers (table 1) [6].

**Exclusion Criteria:**
- Outpatients.
- ICU patients.
- Pregnant and nursing women.
- TB patients.
- Patients with autoimmune and sexually transmitted diseases.

**Statistical analysis**
Statistical analysis was done for the entire patients enrolled in the study. Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS version 20) for Windows was used for analysis of data. Mean±SD and frequencies were used to express data. Patient's demographics were summarised using frequency and percentage tables. Baseline characteristics of entire patients were summarised using mean and standard deviation.

**Table 1: Patient demographic details (N=93)**

| Characteristics | Number | Percentage (%) |
|-----------------|--------|----------------|
| Gender          |        |                |
| Male            | 73     | 78             |
| Female          | 20     | 22             |
| Age             |        |                |
| 20-29           | 6      | 7              |
| 30-49           | 17     | 18             |
| 50-70           | 70     | 75             |
| Family history  |        |                |
| Alcoholic       | 40     | 43             |
| Non alcoholic   | 53     | 57             |
| Smoker          | 51     | 55             |
| Non smoker      | 42     | 45             |

**Table 2: Common symptoms experienced by patients**

| Symptoms                     | Percentage (%) |
|------------------------------|----------------|
| Breathlessness               | 80             |
| Cough                        | 93             |
| Productive                   | 91             |
| Fever                        | 34             |
| Chest pain                   | 21             |
| **MMRC grade of breathlessness** |        |                |
| 2                            | 17             |
| 2–3                          | 14             |
| 3                            | 35             |
| 3–4                          | 11             |
| 4                            | 3              |
| No breathlessness            | 20             |

Fig. 1: Diagnostic tests (N=93)

According to co-morbid conditions, (43%) n=25 patients were having hypertension, (35%) n=21 with diabetes mellitus (DM), (6%) n=4 patients had thyroid disorders, (5%) n=3 with gastric disorders, (18%) n=11 of patients had cardiovascular diseases (CVD) and (25%) n=15 with other diseases (table 3). Among 93 patients, (60%) n=56 were on monotherapy, (32%) n=30 on dual therapy and (8%) n=7 on triple therapy. Among 93 patients, (55%) n=51 were placed on empirical therapy and (45%) n=42 on specific therapy. Out of 93 medication charts, (64%) n=60 contained generic name and (36%) n=33 contained brand name (table 4) [6].
Among 93 patients, higher rate of prescription were of ceftriaxone (49%) n=46, levofloxacin (26%) n=24, cefoperazone (12%) n=13, azithromycin (15%) n=14, piperacillin (16%) n=15, meropenem (10%) n=9, imipenem (2%) n=2, metronidazole (3%) n=3, ofloxacin (2%) n=2, amikacin (3%) n=3, ciprofloxacin (3%) n=3, vancomycin (1%) n=1, rifampin (1%) n=1, streptomycin (1%) n=1, clarithromycin (1%) n=1, cephalosporins (1%) n=1, doxycycline (1%) n=1 (Table 7) [6, 9, 13].

DISCUSSION
The present study was intended to describe the antibiotic prescription pattern and co-morbidities in respiratory tract infected inpatients. Demographic characteristics indicate most of the patients were males with mean age 58.51±12.173. Most common symptoms experienced by patients were a cough and breathlessness which is same as reported by Warren J. McIsaac in his study [7]. For people who have experienced breathlessness, most of them showed MMRC grade 3. Out of 93 patients, 40% n=37 of patients were alcoholics and 51% n=47 were smokers. About 63% n=59 of the patients had co-morbidities among which; the most predominant one was hypertension. Disease conditions were treated with antibiotics included LRTIs, pneumonia, exacerbation of COPD and asthma, bronchiectasis, etc. 86% of them had multiple diagnoses. Most common diagnostic tests conducted were procalcitonin level, sputum analysis, arterial blood gases and chest x-rays. Common drugs prescribed other than antibiotics included bronchodilators (89%) n=83 and steroids (43%) n=40. The mean duration of hospital stay for patients was 5±1.4 d. Mean number of drugs per prescription was 7.76±1.31.

In this study, most of the patients (93%) n=93 admitted were treated with an antibiotic which was identified in many other studies. The most predominant antibiotics used were cephalosporins (63%) n=59, fluoroquinolones (31%) n=29, macrolides (16%) n=15, carbapenems (12%) n=11, penicillins (16%) n=15, aminoglycosides (4%) n=4 and tetracycline (1%) n=1 (Table 6) [7, 9].
Limitations
This study was performed on a limited number of patients affected with respiratory tract infections for a limited period of time. Also, sensitivity pattern of only certain pathogens affecting respiratory tract was evaluated. To arrive at an evidence-based conclusion, multicentre studies with a large population are required, taking into consideration all the factors responsible for increasing rate of antibiotic prescription and emerging resistance of microorganisms.

CONCLUSION
The study concluded that all the patients who visited with respiratory tract disease were prescribed with antibiotics and the most predominant comorbidity was hypertension. Cephalosporin’s constituted the major proportion with the third generation being the highest and then fluoroquinolones. Route of administration was mostly parental. WHO recommends the lesser use of injections can reduce cost and pain for patients. Hence the higher rate of parenteral prescriptions and lowest rate of the early switch over to oral antibiotic therapy is a matter of concern.
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