Comparison of the Marginal Utility and Disease Burden of Hearing Loss and Other Chronic Diseases
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Background: Compared with other chronic diseases, hearing loss is generally overlooked from the perspective of disease burden. However, hearing loss is emerging as an important issue in the current society. The objective of this study was to investigate disease burdens and marginal utilities associated with hearing loss and other chronic diseases.

Methods: This study analyzed the cross-sectional data of 32,986 participants aged 19 years and older who completed the Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys between 2009 and 2013. Additionally, this study used the pure tone audiometric test, European Quality of Life-Five Dimensions Questionnaire, and chronic disease status. The data were analyzed using a multiple linear regression method.

Results: The data of 23,297 people who underwent a pure tone audiogram and completed the European Quality of Life-Five Dimensions Questionnaire were used in this analysis. The marginal utility of hearing loss ranked fifth among nine chronic diseases. The estimated loss of quality-adjusted life years associated with hearing loss was -93.69 years per 100,000 people, which is similar to other chronic diseases.

Conclusion: This study assessed the marginal utilities and public burdens of hearing loss and eight chronic diseases in a South Korean population. Although the values may vary depending on country and race, this study may provide an indispensable foundation for more detailed studies on hearing loss.
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INTRODUCTION

As human life expectancy continues to increase worldwide, chronic diseases have become a public health burden in many developed countries. Public health policy-making depends on the use of comparative measures of the burdens of chronic diseases; therefore, societies must make difficult decisions regarding the public allocation of medical resources. The health-adjusted life year (HALY) is a measure of population health that enables the quantification of morbidity and mortality.1,2 HALYs are useful for estimating the disease burden and comparing different diseases, populations, and economic analyses.

Average hearing thresholds are calculated at four frequencies—0.5, 1, 2, and 4 kHz in decibel hearing levels (dBHL)—and hearing loss is currently defined as an average hearing threshold of >40 dBHL.3,4 Hearing loss is a major health condition, affecting more than 278 million people worldwide at a moderate or severe level. The prevalence of hearing loss is increasing consequent to the aging population and the use of personal listening devices.5 Hearing loss negatively affects social functioning, general quality of life, and interpersonal communication.6,7 However, it is often neglected in comparison to other chronic diseases.6,8

In cases of hearing loss, the quality of life is a more appropriate outcome than mortality and disability.10 The quality-adjusted life year (QALY), a type of HALY, is a quantitative measurement of the quantity and quality of life. QALYs are representative values of an individual’s health outcomes. Health is related to both the length and quality of life. Therefore, QALYs were developed to combine these attributes into a single, numeric, preference-based measure. Utility, an index of happiness or pleasure, is often used in economics and ranges from perfect health (1.0) to death (0). Marginal utility is the degree to which a one-unit change in a variable affects utility. In this study, we used the European Quality of Life–Five Dimensions Questionnaire (EQ-5D) to estimate the marginal utilities.

Several previous studies estimated the marginal utilities and burdens of various diseases, including hearing loss. However, all these studies assessed hearing loss using survey data, rather than a comprehensive measurement of hearing loss (e.g., pure tone audiogram). Furthermore, the studies did not derive data values from a representative population.11-14 Accordingly, these studies lacked the essential elements needed to estimate the disease burden of hearing loss using QALY. Given the lack of existing comprehensive investigations, this study aimed to estimate the marginal utilities and QALY of common chronic diseases, including hearing loss. In this study, we used data from a representative sample of the South Korean population.

METHODS

1. Study Population and Data Collection

This study was based on a 5-year (2009–2013) dataset from the Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES), which included an audiometric examination. The KNHANES is a cross-sectional and nationally representative survey that has been conducted since 1998 by the Korea Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the Korean Ministry of Health and Welfare.14,15 The participants were selected using proportional allocation-systemic sampling with multistage stratification. The survey team included an otolaryngologist, and nurse examiners who conducted health assessments using mobile devices, performed physical examinations, and interviewed subjects. The KNHANES comprises a nutritional survey, health examination survey, and health interview. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants before the survey.

2. Health-Related Quality of Life Survey

The health-related quality of life (HRQoL) was evaluated using the EQ-5D, which is commonly favored for its brevity and international comparability.16 All participants were asked to complete the Korean version of the EQ-5D. This test records three levels of self-reported problems (no problems, moderate problems, and extreme problems) in five dimensions (mobility, self-care, usual activities, pain/discomfort, and anxiety/depression).17-19 The combinations of all possible dimensions yield 243(3^5) different health states. The EQ-5D score was calculated using the time trade-off method.20 These scores range between -0.257 (worst health state) and 1.00 (perfect health state).20 We used the EQ-5D score to analyze our QALY model.

3. Audiometric Measurement and Definition of Hearing Loss

A trained examiner used an automatic audiometer (SA-203; Entomed Diagnostic AB, Lena Nodin, Sweden) to measure the pure tone air-conduction threshold in a double-walled, sound-proof booth. Automated testing was performed according to a modified Hughson–Westlake procedure. Each participant reacted by pushing a button when they heard a sound, and the results were automatically recorded.21 The threshold average was calculated at four frequencies—0.5, 1, 2, and 4 kHz—in dBHLs. Hearing loss was defined as a threshold average >40 dBHL in the better ear, as stated by the World Health Organization.22 The examination quality was verified by the Epidemiologic Survey Committee of the Korean Society of Otorhinolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery.

4. Sociodemographic Factors and Chronic Diseases

Based on a previous study, this analysis included the following sociodemographic factors: age, smoking status (current smoker, ex-smoker, or never-smoker), household income (>50% or <50%), education level (>high school or <middle school), occupation (white collar, blue collar, or unemployed), and living situation (living alone or not).23 We regarded nine chronic diseases that might affect the HRQoL. These were hypertension, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, stroke, myocardial infarction or ischemic heart disease, osteoarthritis or rheumatoid arthritis, asthma, obesity (body mass index >30 kg/m²), and hearing loss. All variables were included in a multiple linear regression analysis.
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5. Statistical Analysis

Our study included participants who underwent a pure tone audiogram examination and completed the EQ-5D questionnaire. We conducted a multiple linear regression analysis to estimate the coefficients of hearing loss and chronic diseases based on the EQ-5D scores. These regression coefficients were considered as the marginal utilities. We used the following equation to calculate the QALY loss per 100,000 people using the estimated marginal utilities for each of the chronic diseases and hearing loss:

\[
\text{100,000 people annual QALY loss} = \text{marginal utility} \times 100,000 \text{ people} \times 1 \text{ year} \times \text{prevalence}
\]

We obtained data on the prevalence of hearing loss and chronic diseases among all participants in the 2009–2013 KNHANES. Additionally, we applied the same analysis to people aged 55 years and older to estimate differences in the disease burden in an elderly population. For all data samples, survey sample weights were used to generate nationally representative results. Statistical analyses were conducted using STATA ver. 14.0 (Stata Corp., College Station, TX, USA).

RESULTS

A total of 23,297 participants were included in the marginal utility analysis (Table 1). The participants had a mean age (standard error) of 46.31 (0.20) years and mean EQ-5D index of 0.947 (0.001). A flowchart of the analysis is provided in Figure 1.

Table 1. Baseline demographic characteristics of participants according to the presence of hearing loss

| Characteristic          | Normal group | Hearing loss group | Total   | P-value† |
|-------------------------|--------------|--------------------|---------|----------|
| Age (y)                 |              |                    |         | <0.01    |
| 19–29                   | 2,431        | 2                  | 2,433   |          |
| 30–39                   | 3,712        | 8                  | 3,720   |          |
| 40–49                   | 4,586        | 26                 | 4,612   |          |
| 50–59                   | 4,608        | 95                 | 4,703   |          |
| 60–69                   | 3,853        | 359                | 4,212   |          |
| 70–79                   | 2,420        | 644                | 3,064   |          |
| ≥80                     | 280          | 273                | 553     |          |
| Sex                     |              |                    |         | <0.01    |
| Men                     | 9,207        | 756                | 9,963   |          |
| Women                   | 12,683       | 651                | 13,334  |          |
| Education               |              |                    | <0.01   |          |
| ≤Elementary school      | 5,188        | 956                | 6,144   |          |
| ≤Middle school          | 2,481        | 190                | 2,671   |          |
| ≤High school            | 7,664        | 192                | 7,856   |          |
| ≥Graduate school        | 6,544        | 66                 | 6,610   |          |
| Occupation              |              |                    | <0.01   |          |
| White collar            | 7,383        | 77                 | 7,460   |          |
| Blue collar             | 5,721        | 421                | 6,142   |          |
| Unemployed              | 8,717        | 906                | 9,623   |          |
| Household income        |              |                    | <0.01   |          |
| Lowest quantile         | 3,850        | 735                | 4,585   |          |
| Second quantile         | 5,533        | 325                | 5,858   |          |
| Third quantile          | 6,045        | 190                | 6,235   |          |
| Highest quantile        | 6,248        | 147                | 6,395   |          |
| Smoking status          |              |                    | <0.01   |          |
| Non-smoker              | 13,620       | 704                | 14,322  |          |
| Ex-smoker               | 3,322        | 371                | 3,693   |          |
| Current smoker          | 4,948        | 334                | 5,282   |          |
| Marital status          |              |                    | <0.01   |          |
| Married                 | 19,029       | 1,393              | 20,422  |          |
| Single                  | 2,850        | 14                 | 2,864   |          |

Definition of white collar: worker who performs semi-professional, office, or sales-coordination tasks. Definition of blue collar: worker who performs non-agricultural manual labor.

*Hearing loss was defined as a moderate to severe grade on the World Health Organization hearing loss classification. †Analyzed using chi-square tests.

Table 2. Marginal utilities of hearing loss and other chronic diseases

| Chronic diseases                  | Marginal utilities | 95% confidence interval | P-value* |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|----------|
| Hearing loss                      | -0.0224            | -0.0363 to -0.0086      | <0.01    |
| Hypertension                      | -0.0025            | -0.0093 to 0.0043       | 0.472    |
| Diabetes                          | -0.0083            | -0.0149 to -0.0017      | 0.013    |
| Dyslipidemia                      | -0.0138            | -0.0215 to -0.0060      | <0.01    |
| Arthritis (osteoarthritis, rheumatoid arthritis) | -0.0692  | -0.0780 to -0.0603      | <0.01    |
| Stroke                            | -0.0759            | -0.0879 to -0.0639      | <0.01    |
| Ischemic heart disease            | -0.0355            | -0.0549 to -0.0161      | 0.021    |
| Obesity                           | -0.0119            | -0.0219 to -0.0018      | 0.021    |
| Asthma                            | -0.0394            | -0.0553 to -0.0235      | <0.01    |

The adjusted factors were age, sex, smoking status, household income, education, occupation, marital status, hypertension, diabetes, dyslipidemia, osteoarthritis or rheumatoid arthritis, stroke, ischemic heart disease, obesity, and asthma. Hearing loss was defined as a moderate to severe grade on the World Health Organization hearing loss classification. Hypertension was defined as a systolic blood pressure >140 mm Hg or diastolic blood pressure >90 mm Hg or diagnosis by a physician. Diabetes was defined as a glycated hemoglobin level >6.5% or medication for diabetes or diagnosis by a physician. Dyslipidemia was defined as a total cholesterol level >240 mg/dL or diagnosis by a physician. Obesity was defined as a body mass index of ≥30 kg/m². Other chronic diseases were defined as diagnosed or recognized by a physician. Marginal utilities were weighted to the Korean standard population >19 years of age.

*Analyzed using the multiple linear regression method.

41,271 KNHANES participants from 2009 to 2013 (all ages)

32,986 Participants from 2009 to 2013 (aged ≥19 y)

23,297 Participants from 2009 to 2013 with pure tone audiogram test and EQ-5D results
The marginal utility for hearing loss, -0.0224 (95% confidence interval, -0.0363 to -0.0086), was the fifth highest value on the list of chronic diseases. Detailed marginal utilities are provided in Table 2 and Figure 2. The prevalence of hearing loss in the South Korean population was 4.18%. The measured loss of QALY for hearing loss was -93.69 per year per 100,000 people; again, this was the fifth highest value on the list of chronic diseases. Slight differences relative to other major chronic diseases (e.g., diabetes, dyslipidemia, asthma, and stroke) were observed. Hearing loss accounted for 5.23% of the total estimated QALY loss in the South Korean population. This proportion of QALY loss was similar in the elderly population (5.10% among people aged ≥55 years). The prevalence of chronic diseases and yearly QALY losses are provided in Table 3 and Figures 3 and 4. The results of participants aged ≥55 years are provided in Tables 4 and 5.

DISCUSSION

This study presents the estimated marginal utilities and prevalence-based QALY losses associated with hearing loss and eight other important chronic diseases among 23,297 participants in a representative Korean population. Using the KNHANES database, we analyzed the burdens of diseases that might have both substantial effects on HRQoL and prevalence rates in the population. The estimated marginal utilities are therefore an outcome measure that can be used to evaluate cost-effectiveness or make decisions regarding social assets.

In the present study, hearing loss had the fifth highest marginal utility among nine chronic diseases. This result indicates that hearing loss more strongly affects an individual’s HRQoL than some other major chronic diseases. In addition, our use of nationally representative data allowed us to compare the public health burden of hearing loss with those of eight other chronic diseases in a nationally representative South Korean cohort.

The prevalence of hearing loss, 4.18%, received an intermediate
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**Figure 2.** Marginal utilities for hearing loss and other chronic diseases. OA, osteoarthritis; RA, rheumatoid arthritis.
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**Table 3.** Prevalence and estimated quality loss per 1 year associated with hearing loss and other chronic diseases

| Chronic diseases                          | Prevalence % (standard error) | Quality-adjusted life-year loss per year (%) |
|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| Hearing loss                              | 4.18 (0.20)                   | -93.69 (5.23)                               |
| Hypertension                              | 18.84 (0.49)                  | -47.16 (2.63)                               |
| Diabetes                                  | 16.37 (0.54)                  | -136.54 (7.62)                              |
| Dyslipidemia                              | 15.11 (0.38)                  | -208.05 (11.61)                             |
| Arthritis (osteoarthritis, rheumatoid arthritis) | 13.12 (0.37)              | -907.08 (50.64)                             |
| Stroke                                    | 1.74 (0.11)                   | -100.51 (5.61)                              |
| Ischemic heart disease                    | 2.56 (0.15)                   | -90.80 (5.07)                               |
| Obesity                                   | 4.98 (0.27)                   | -59.15 (3.30)                               |
| Asthma                                    | 3.76 (0.21)                   | -148.40 (8.28)                              |

Prevalence estimates were weighted to the Korean standard population >19 years of age. Hearing loss was defined as moderate-to-severe grade on the World Health Organization hearing loss classification. Hypertension was defined as systolic blood pressure of >140 mm Hg or diastolic blood pressure of >90 mm Hg or diagnosis by a physician. Diabetes was defined as hemoglobin A1c level of >6.5% or medication for diabetes or diagnosis by a physician. Dyslipidemia was defined as total cholesterol level of >240 mg/dL or diagnosis by a physician. Obesity was defined as a body mass index of ≥30 kg/m². Other chronic diseases were defined as diagnosed or recognized by a physician.
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**Figure 3.** Prevalence rates of hearing loss and other chronic diseases. OA, osteoarthritis; RA, rheumatoid arthritis.
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**Figure 4.** QALY losses associated with hearing loss and other chronic diseases. OA, osteoarthritis; RA, rheumatoid arthritis; QALY, quality-adjusted life-year.
had a higher marginal utility than arthritis, stroke, ischemic heart disease, diabetes, hypertension, and asthma. In a study from the United Kingdom that used the ICD-9 to analyze 79,522 participants, the results demonstrated that hearing loss had a similar marginal utility to dyslipidemia, ischemic heart disease, and asthma but lower than that associated with arthritis, hypertension, stroke, and diabetes. However, this study also had several limitations. First, the EQ-5D index score has a ceiling effect. As many as 50%–65% of people in the general population report a maximum value on the EQ-5D. These findings imply that the EQ-5D may not be sensitive for mild health states. Additionally, we excluded participants without hearing loss or EQ-5D data. Therefore, the results of this study are not applicable to hearing loss diagnosed via pure tone audiography.

This study may provide a basis for future studies of hearing loss-related cost effectiveness. Unlike previous studies, the present study was based on a government-facilitated national survey and examination of a large number of representative participants. The resulting dataset has a low rate of missing values and a highly accurate definition of hearing loss based on pure tone audiogram data. This feature is a major strength of the present study. Another strength of this study was the use of the South Korean version of the preference-based method, which differs across countries and cultures, to derive the EQ-5D index.

Prevalence estimates were weighted to the Korean standard population >19 years of age. Hearing loss was defined as moderate-to-severe grade on the World Health Organization hearing loss classification. Hypertension was defined as systolic blood pressure of >140 mm Hg or diastolic blood pressure of >90 mm Hg or diagnosis by a physician. Diabetes was defined as HbA1c level of >6.5% or medication for diabetes or diagnosis by a physician. Dyslipidemia was defined as total cholesterol level of >240 mg/dL or diagnosis by a physician. Obesity was defined as a body mass index of ≥30 kg/m². Other chronic diseases were defined as diagnosed or recognized by a physician. Marginal utilities were weighted to the Korean standard population aged >19 years. *Analyzed using multiple linear regression method.

Table 4. Marginal utilities of hearing loss and other chronic diseases in the population aged ≥55 years

| Chronic diseases | Marginal utilities | 95% confidence interval | P-value* |
|------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|---------|
| Hearing loss     | -0.0169            | -0.0327 to -0.0012      | 0.035   |
| Hypertension     | -0.0017            | -0.0097 to 0.0064       | 0.686   |
| Diabetes         | -0.0074            | -0.0166 to 0.0019       | 0.12    |
| Dyslipidemia     | -0.0100            | -0.0198 to -0.0002      | 0.045   |
| Arthritis (osteoarthritis, rheumatoid arthritis) | -0.0746 | -0.0857 to -0.0636 | <0.01 |

Table 5. Prevalence and estimated quality loss per one year of hearing loss and other chronic diseases in the population aged ≥55 years

| Chronic diseases | Prevalence % (standard error) | Quality-adjusted life-year loss per year (%) |
|------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| Hearing loss     | 11.37 (0.49)                 | -192.50 (5.10)                              |
| Hypertension     | 42.33 (0.86)                 | -70.18 (1.86)                               |
| Diabetes         | 31.75 (0.90)                 | -233.74 (6.19)                              |
| Dyslipidemia     | 24.93 (0.70)                 | -249.41 (6.61)                              |
| Arthritis (osteoarthritis, rheumatoid arthritis) | 29.53 (0.68) | -2,203.54 (58.38) |

The adjusted factors were age, sex, smoking status, household income, education, occupation, marital status, hypertension, diabetes, dyslipidemia, osteoarthritis or rheumatoid arthritis, stroke, ischemic heart disease, obesity, and asthma. Hearing loss was defined as moderate-to-severe grade on the World Health Organization hearing loss classification. Hypertension was defined as systolic blood pressure of >140 mm Hg or diastolic blood pressure of >90 mm Hg or diagnosis by a physician. Diabetes was defined as hemoglobin A1c level of >6.5% or medication for diabetes or diagnosis by a physician. Dyslipidemia was defined as total cholesterol level of >240 mg/dL or diagnosis by a physician. Obesity was defined as a body mass index of ≥30 kg/m². Other chronic diseases were defined as diagnosed or recognized by a physician. Marginal utilities were weighted to the Korean standard population aged ≥55 years.

Historically, marginal utilities for hearing loss are rare in the literature, especially for hearing loss diagnosed via pure tone audiography. This study may provide a basis for future studies of hearing loss-related cost effectiveness. Unlike previous studies, the present study was based on a government-facilitated national survey and examination of a large number of representative participants. The resulting dataset has a low rate of missing values and a highly accurate definition of hearing loss based on pure tone audiogram data. This feature is a major strength of the present study. Another strength of this study was the use of the South Korean version of the preference-based method, which differs across countries and cultures, to derive the EQ-5D index.

However, this study also had several limitations. First, the EQ-5D index score has a ceiling effect. As many as 50%–65% of people in the general population report a maximum value on the EQ-5D. These findings imply that the EQ-5D may not be sensitive for mild health conditions. Second, the KNHANES did not include hospitalized participants. Additionally, we excluded participants without hearing loss or EQ-5D data. Therefore, the results of this study are not applicable to hospitalized patients. Third, detailed marginal utilities and QALY loss vary among countries because of differences in culture, socio-eco-
In conclusion, the present study has estimated the marginal utilities and burdens of nine chronic diseases, including hearing loss. As this study compared hearing loss with other chronic diseases, the findings provide a framework for future studies of the burden of hearing loss.
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