The LipiDiDiet trial: what does it add to the current evidence for Fortasyn Connect in early Alzheimer’s disease?
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Abstract: Nutritional factors can influence the risk of developing Alzheimer’s disease (AD) and its rate of progression, and there is, therefore, increasing interest in nutrition as a modifiable risk factor for the disease. Synaptic loss is an important feature of early AD, and the formation of new synapses is dependent on key nutritional elements that are known to be deficient in patients with AD. The daily medical food, Souvenaid, contains Fortasyn Connect, a multinutrient combination developed to specifically address these deficiencies, comprising docosahexaenoic acid, eicosapentaenoic acid, uridine monophosphate, choline, phospholipids, selenium, folic acid, and vitamins B12, B6, C, and E. Although yielding heterogeneous findings, clinical studies of Fortasyn Connect provide preliminary evidence of clinically relevant benefits on cognitive outcomes in prodromal and early AD. The LipiDiDiet trial investigated the effects of Fortasyn Connect on cognition and related measures in prodromal AD, and is the first randomized, controlled, double-blind, multicenter trial study of a non-pharmacological intervention in this setting. The primary efficacy endpoint was change over 24 months in a composite score of cognitive performance using a neuropsychological test battery. Fortasyn Connect had no significant effect on this endpoint, but demonstrated a significant benefit on secondary endpoints, including domains of cognition affected by AD (attention, memory, executive function) and hippocampal atrophy, suggesting a potential benefit on disease progression. Other studies have demonstrated benefits for Fortasyn Connect on nutritional markers and levels of plasma homocysteine. Taken together, current evidence indicates that Fortasyn Connect may show benefit on domains of cognition affected by AD and nutritional measures that influence risk factors for its progression; that it has greater potential for benefit earlier rather than later in the disease; and that it is safe and well tolerated, alone or in combination with AD medications. Further research into its potential role in AD management is therefore warranted.
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In the absence of a disease-modifying therapy for people at risk of, or with, Alzheimer’s disease (AD), there is increased interest in modifying risk factors for AD and evaluating the potential of non-pharmacological approaches to management, including cognitive stimulation therapy and nutrition. Accumulating evidence from epidemiological studies indicates that nutritional factors can influence both the risk of developing AD and the rate of disease progression. Deficiencies of key nutritional elements that are critical for synapse formation are of specific interest. This article reviews one of the major trials in this area – LipiDiDiet – and looks at some of the key
findings relating to early signals of AD, including cognition and brain volume changes. The data are presented within the context of findings from other studies, and their implications for health care professionals, with respect to the advice and support they might offer people at risk of AD, with mild cognitive impairment, or in the very early stages of disease, are discussed.

In addition to the hallmark pathologies of AD – amyloid plaques and tau tangles – one of the important features that occur early in the disease is a pronounced synaptic loss, which is itself linked to memory loss. The formation of new synapses depends on the availability of certain nutrients that are required to synthesize phospholipids that make up neuronal membranes (see Figure 1). These include the omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid, docosahexaenoic acid (DHA), uridine, choline, folate, vitamin B12, vitamin B6, vitamin E, vitamin C, and selenium.

Despite eating a normal diet, people with AD have been shown to have deficiencies in elements that are critical to the Kennedy Cycle, including:

- Lower brain levels of DHA
- Reduced plasma levels of folate, and vitamins B12, C, and E
- Reduced uridine monophosphate synthesis

There is also decreased uptake of choline in the aging brain.

**LipiDiDiet trial**

The LipiDiDiet trial is the first randomized, controlled, double-blind, multicenter study of a non-pharmacological intervention in prodromal AD. It was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and International Conference on Harmonization Good Clinical Practice guidelines, and is registered with the Dutch Trial Register (number NTR1705). The study protocol and consent forms were approved by the local ethical committees of all participating sites, and all participants provided written informed consent prior to participation. To address the rate-limiting supply of compounds for brain phospholipid synthesis, the active treatment group in LipiDiDiet received a daily medical food, Souvenaid (Nutricia Advanced Medical Nutrition), the active component of which is Fortasyn Connect. Fortasyn Connect is a multinutrient combination containing DHA; eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA); uridine monophosphate; choline; vitamins B12, B6, C, E, and folic acid; phospholipids; and selenium. Results from animal studies showed that this multinutrient combination improved neuronal membrane composition; increased the formation of synapses, cholinergic neurotransmission, and cerebral blood flow and perfusion; preserved neuronal integrity; restored hippocampal neurogenesis; reduced β-amyloid pathology; and improved cognition.

In LipiDiDiet, a total of 311 patients with prodromal AD, defined according to International Working Group-1
were recruited from 11 sites in Finland, Germany, the Netherlands, and Sweden, and randomized to a 24-month treatment period with an optional 12-month double-blind extension. Patients taking putative non-prescription/prescription cognitive enhancers (eg, ginkgo) and statins could be included if the dosage had been stable for at least 3 months prior to randomization, and doses were to be kept stable during the study, if possible. Patients taking omega-3 preparations, or folic acid, vitamins B6, B12, C, and/or E at >200% the recommended daily intake, were excluded. The primary outcome variable was the change over 24 months in the neuropsychological test battery (NTB) composite z-score, based on Consortium to Establish a Registry for AD (CERAD) 10-word list learning immediate recall, CERAD 10-word delayed recall, CERAD 10-word recognition, category fluency, and the Letter Digit Substitution Test. Secondary outcomes included:

- NTB total (16-item), and memory and executive function domains
- Clinical Dementia Rating-Sum of Boxes (CDR-SB)
- Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) measurement of hippocampal, ventricular, and whole brain volumes
- Serum concentrations of high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol, and plasma fatty acids (DHA and EPA)
- Cerebrospinal fluid concentration of DHA
- Safety parameters, comprising adverse events, concomitant medications, consumption of nutritional supplements, study product compliance, vital signs, and clinical safety laboratory tests.

Statistical considerations
The modified intention-to-treat (mITT) population included all randomized patients but excluded data after the start of rescue medication. The per-protocol (PP) population was defined as all participants from the mITT population excluding those with major protocol deviations. The most common reason for exclusion from the PP analysis was substantial irregular study product intake. Adherence was confirmed by significant biochemical changes in plasma DHA and EPA. All randomized participants who had taken at least one dose of study medication were included in the safety analyses.

The two treatment groups had comparable demographic characteristics except for Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE), which was found to be a significant predictor of outcome parameters and a potential prognostic factor. The MMSE was therefore included as a covariate in all statistical models, except MMSE subgroup analyses.

Key findings
Of the 311 patients who were randomized, 245 (79%) completed the 24-month trial. During the trial period, 59 (37%) participants in the control group and 62 (41%) in the active group were diagnosed with dementia ($p=0.642$). A summary of the results for the primary and secondary outcome assessments is presented in Table 1.

There was no statistically significant difference between groups for either the NTB primary endpoint or the secondary NTB composite. However, several secondary outcomes showed significantly less worsening in the active group compared with the control group:

- Less CDR-SB deterioration (45%, $p=0.005$).
- As baseline MMSE was an effect modifier for CDR-SB, an exploratory analysis was undertaken of CDR-SB performance across the range of baseline MMSE scores ($\geq 24$ to $\geq 29$). The results suggested that the treatment effect was more pronounced with higher baseline MMSE scores.
- Less reduction in hippocampal volume (26%, $p=0.005$)
- Less increase in ventricular volume (16%, $p=0.046$).

There were no statistically significant differences between groups for changes in whole brain volume.

Differences between the active and control groups in changes from baseline for cognition-related scores and MRI were more pronounced in the predefined subgroup analyses for the PP population than for the mITT population:

- PP: Significant difference from placebo in favor of Fortasyn Connect on NTB composite 10-item z score, NTB memory domain, CDR-SB, MRI hippocampal volume, and ventricular volume
- mITT: Significant difference from placebo in favor of Fortasyn Connect on CDR-SB and hippocampal volume.

Although HDL cholesterol values increased significantly in the active group, compared with the control group, the absolute changes were small (<5%) and not considered...
Table 1 Overview of primary and main secondary endpoints at 24 months in the LipiDiDiet trial. Adapted from Soininen H, Solomon A, Visser PJ, et al. LipiDiDiet clinical study group. 24-month intervention with a specific multinutrient in people with prodromal Alzheimer’s disease (LipiDiDiet): a randomised, double blind, controlled trial. *Lancet Neurol.* 2017;16 (12):965–975. Creative commons license and disclaimer from: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode

| Endpoint                                      | Control (n=158)* | Active (n=153)* | p-Value mixed modelb | p-Value sensitivity modelc |
|-----------------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| NTB Primary endpoint                          |                  |                 |                      |                           |
| MITT                                          | Mean (SD)        | n               | Mean (SD)            | n                         | 0.166                     | 0.214                     |
| Per-protocol                                  | −0.108 (0.528)   | 141             | −0.028 (0.453)       | 134                       |                           |                           |
|                                              | −0.122 (0.570)   | 123             | 0.045 (0.414)        | 116                       | 0.080                     | 0.043                     |
| NTB Memory domain                             |                  |                 |                      |                           |
| MITT                                          | Mean (SD)        | n               | Mean (SD)            | n                         | 0.101                     | 0.112                     |
| Per-protocol                                  | −0.130 (0.619)   | 140             | 0.003 (0.569)        | 134                       |                           |                           |
|                                              | −0.151 (0.663)   | 122             | 0.083 (0.532)        | 116                       | 0.057                     | 0.026                     |
| CDR-SBd                                       |                  |                 |                      |                           |
| MITT                                          | Mean (SD)        | n               | Mean (SD)            | n                         | 0.005                     | 0.004                     |
| Per-protocol                                  | 1.12 (1.72)      | 119             | 0.56 (1.32)          | 111                       |                           |                           |
|                                              | 1.07 (1.82)      | 98              | 0.40 (1.13)          | 94                        | 0.002                     | 0.002                     |
| MRI total hippocampal volume (cm³)            |                  |                 |                      |                           |
| MITT                                          | Mean (SD)        | n               | Mean (SD)            | n                         | 0.005                     | 0.005                     |
| Per-protocol                                  | −0.43 (0.33)     | 104             | −0.30 (0.27)         | 96                        |                           |                           |
|                                              | −0.42 (0.32)     | 90              | −0.28 (0.28)         | 86                        | 0.010                     | 0.008                     |
| MRI whole brain volume (cm³)                  |                  |                 |                      |                           |
| MITT                                          | Mean (SD)        | n               | Mean (SD)            | n                         | 0.265                     | 0.284                     |
| Per-protocol                                  | −24.24 (20.93)   | 90              | −20.27 (17.79)       | 83                        |                           |                           |
|                                              | −23.88 (19.90)   | 77              | −17.89 (16.88)       | 73                        | 0.160                     | 0.137                     |
| MRI ventricular volume (cm³)                  |                  |                 |                      |                           |
| MITT                                          | Mean (SD)        | n               | Mean (SD)            | n                         | 0.046                     | 0.042                     |
| Per-protocol                                  | 7.80 (5.53)      | 106             | 5.96 (4.66)          | 94                        |                           |                           |
|                                              | 7.40 (4.79)      | 92              | 5.39 (4.50)          | 83                        | 0.046                     | 0.042                     |

Notes: n= number of participants with at least one post-baseline value in the mixed model. p-values are for effect of intervention over 24 months. Data for active and control groups are presented as observed mean change from baseline at Month 24 (SD). Mixed model: linear mixed model for longitudinal data, with change from baseline as outcome, baseline score, and baseline MMSE as covariates, and real measurement time as a continuous variable. Sensitivity analysis: mixed model for repeated measures, with change from baseline as outcome, baseline score, and baseline MMSE as covariates, and planned visit time as a categorical variable. Higher scores indicate worse performance; for all other endpoints, higher scores indicate better performance.

Abbreviations: CDR-SB, clinical dementia rating-sum of boxes; MITT, modified Intention to Treat; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; NTB, neuropsychological test battery; SD, standard deviation.
clinically relevant. There were no differences between groups for changes in LDL cholesterol.

The incidences of adverse events and serious adverse events were not significantly different between the overall treatment groups, or the subgroups of participants who dropped out (66 [21%] overall; 33 [21%] in the control group and 33 [22%] in the active group). None of the serious adverse events were regarded as related to the study product. The most common reasons for discontinuation from the study were withdrawal of informed consent (10 [6%] in the control group; 8 [5%] in the active group) and adverse events (6 [4%] in the control group; 9 [6%] in the active group). In the active group, adverse events contributing to discontinuation that were considered related to study product comprised eczema (2), upper abdominal pain (1), regurgitation (1), and lactose intolerance (1); none of these adverse events was serious.

Other clinical studies of Fortasyn Connect

In addition to the LipiDiDiet trial, data are available from five other clinical studies of Fortasyn Connect (Table 2). Four involved patients with AD (Souvenir I,18 Souvenir II,19 the open-label continuation study of Souvenir II,20 and S-Connect21) and a fifth was a proof of concept study in patients with the behavioral variant of frontotemporal dementia (bvFTD).22

The Souvenir I and II studies were conducted in drug-naive patients with mild AD, while the S-Connect study recruited patients with mild-to-moderate AD (MMSE 14–24) taking stable doses of approved symptomatic AD treatments (cholinesterase inhibitors and/or memantine). In the 24-week, double-blind S-Connect study, where Fortasyn Connect or control product was added to current medication, Fortasyn Connect did not result in additional benefit on cognitive (Alzheimer’s Disease Assessment Scale-cognitive subscale [ADAS-cog]), functional or global outcomes. When discussing these results, the authors commented that a nutritional intervention targeting synaptogenesis would be more likely to show benefit in earlier disease.

Although two of the studies (Souvenir I and LipiDiDiet) failed to show significance on the primary outcome (see discussion below for factors that may have contributed to this), the overall impression from the three trials in prodromal and early AD is that Fortasyn Connect shows benefits on domains of cognition that are affected by AD (attention, memory, and executive function) and on nutritional measures that influence risk factors for its progression, and that it may have greater potential for benefit earlier rather than later in the disease. Current evidence also indicates that Fortasyn Connect is a safe and well-tolerated product with good patient acceptability.

Assessment of the whole brain, hippocampal, and ventricular volumes was only assessed in LipiDiDiet. The benefits on hippocampal volume (26% less reduction) and ventricular volume (16% less increase) in the active group, compared with the control group, need to be confirmed in future studies but may suggest an interaction of active treatment with the disease process. In a secondary analysis of the Souvenir II study (in which drug-naive patients with mild AD were randomized to receive Fortasyn Connect or an iso-caloric control product once daily for 24 weeks), electroencephalography data were used to construct brain networks, and graph theory was then employed to quantify complex brain structure.23 Results showed that there was significantly greater preservation of the networks in the Fortasyn Connect group compared with the control group, indicating a potential benefit on synaptic integrity and function.23

The improvements seen in nutritional measures, which are important for the functioning of the Kennedy Cycle (ie, formation of neuronal membranes and synapses), suggest that deficiencies of key nutrients seen in people at risk of, or with, mild dementia can be corrected.

The results of the proof-of-concept study in bvFTD suggest a benefit not only on cognition but also on behavioral symptoms associated with dementia.

Considerations in the interpretation of clinical trial results

Several methodological issues may have influenced the ability of the Fortasyn Connect studies to demonstrate a difference between active intervention and control on the primary and secondary outcome variables, including choice of outcomes, compliance, patient population, performance of the control group, and study duration.

Decline in cognition in AD is not linear, with more mildly affected patients showing a slower rate of decline. The ADAS-Cog has been the standard measure of cognition in AD clinical trials. However, in recent trials in early stages of the disease, ADAS-Cog has shown limited sensitivity because it does not include assessment of the cognitive domains most affected in these populations,
### Table 2 Overview of clinical trial design and results for Fortasyn Connect

| Study   | Design                                                                 | Key efficacy outcomes                                                                 | Results                                                                                                                                 |
|---------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Souvenir | 12-week, double-blind, randomized, multicenter trial of Fortasyn Connect or control product in drug-naïve patients with mild AD (MMSE 20–26), followed by optional 12-week exploratory extension | **Co-primary outcomes:** Change from baseline to Week 12 in delayed verbal recall task of the WMS-r; 13-item modified ADAS-Cog  
**Secondary Outcomes:** ADCS-ADL, CIBIC-plus, MMSE, NPI, QoL-AD  
Nutritional measures: DHA percentage of fatty acids in erythrocyte membrane, plasma homocysteine levels | **Primary outcome:** ADAS-cog: no change  
WMS-r delayed verbal recall: mean change was comparable between active and control groups  
**Secondary outcomes:**  
No difference between active and control group on ADCS-ADL, CIBIC-plus, NPI, QoL-AD  
WMS-r delayed verbal recall: 40% of patients in the active group showed an improvement compared with 24% in the control group  
Nutritional measures: a significant uptake of DHA and EPA into erythrocyte membranes was observed  
Plasma homocysteine level in the active group was 23% lower than at baseline, and 19% lower than in the control group (p≤0.001)  
**Pre-specified mild AD analysis (MMSE 24–26):**  
WMS-r delayed verbal recall and immediate verbal recall in the active group showed a significant improvement compared with control  
**Post hoc analysis treatment responders:**  
After 12 weeks, the percentage of patients defined as responders was significantly greater in the active group vs control group (18.2% vs 7.2%; p=0.031, Fisher exact test) |

(Continued)
Table 2 (Continued).

| Study          | Design                                                                 | Key efficacy outcomes                                                                 | Results                                                                                                |
|----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Souvenir II** | 24-week, double-blind, randomized, controlled, parallel-group, multi-country trial to assess the efficacy and tolerability of Fortasyn Connect in drug-naïve patients with mild AD (MMSE score ≥20) | **Primary outcomes:**<br>NTB memory function domain score (z-score), including Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test immediate recall, delayed recall and recognition performance, and WMS-r verbal paired associates immediate and delayed recall  <br>**Secondary outcomes:**<br>NTB executive function domain score (z-score), based on the WMS-r Digit Span, Trail-Making Test parts A and B (Delis Kaplan Executive Function System™ condition 2 and condition 4, respectively), Category Fluency, and the Controlled Oral Word Association Test <br>NTB included the orientation task of the ADAS-cog and the Letter Digit Substitution Test  <br>NTB composite score (based on all 12 NTB components), individual item scores from the NTB DAD <br>Nutritional blood parameters (plasma vitamin E, erythrocyte DHA and EPA, and homocysteine)  <br>EEG to assess eyes-closed resting-state oscillatory brain activity and functional brain connectivity | **Primary outcome:**<br>NTB memory domain: memory domain z-score showed significant differences between the active and control groups  <br>**Secondary outcomes:**<br>NTB total composite trend (p=0.053) No significant intervention effect on the NTB executive function domain  <br>NTB individual items: significant intervention effects on Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test recognition performance score, Trail-Making Test part B, and Controlled Oral Word Association Test score  <br>EEG: Functional connectivity analysis (phase lag index) for the delta band revealed a significant difference in trajectory over 24 weeks between groups in favor of the active group  <br>Nutritional blood parameters: Significant biochemical changes: increased erythrocyte DHA and EPA (Mann–Whitney U test, p<0.001), plasma vitamin E, and decreased plasma homocysteine |
| **Souvenir OLE** | 24-week, open-label continuation of Souvenir II | NTB memory domain composite score (Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test immediate recall, delayed recall and recognition performance and WMS-r verbal paired associates immediate and delayed recall)  <br>DAD  <br>Nutritional measures: plasma vitamin E, erythrocyte DHA and EPA, and plasma homocysteine | **At 48 weeks:**<br>**NTB memory domain score:**<br>Active-active group: continued improvement (48-week vs 24-week scores; significant 48 weeks compared to baseline)  <br>Control-active group: statistically significant increase after switching to the active product  <br>DAD: no effect  <br>Nutritional measures: clinically relevant benefit on plasma vitamin E (increase) and homocysteine (decrease) in both active-active and control-active groups |
| Study            | Design                                                                 | Key efficacy outcomes                                                                 | Results                                                                                                                                 |
|------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **S-Connect\(^1\)** | 24-month randomized, controlled, double-blind, parallel-group, multicenter trial of Fortasyn Connect or control product in patients with mild-to-moderate AD taking dementia medications | **Primary outcome:**<br>Mean change from baseline in 11-item ADAS-cog total score<br>**Secondary outcomes:**<br>Cognitive test battery (Digit Span from the Wechsler Memory Scale 3rd Edition, Concept Shifting Test, Letter Digit Substitution Test, Category Fluency Test)<br>ADCS-ADL<br>CDR-SB | No differences between study groups were observed over 24 weeks in performance on the ADAS-cog, cognitive test battery, ADCS-ADL, or CDR-SB |
| **LipiDiDiet\(^9\)** | 24-month randomized, controlled, double-blind, parallel-group, multicenter trial of Fortasyn Connect or control product in patients with prodromal AD | **Primary outcome:**<br>Change from baseline in: NTB total composite score (10 items)<br>**Secondary outcomes:**<br>NTB total composite score (16 items)<br>NTB memory and executive function domains<br>CDR-SB<br>MRI: total hippocampal, whole-brain, ventricular volumes | NTB composite scores (10 or 16-item): No statistically significant differences | **CDR-SB, MRI hippocampal, and ventricular volume:** Significant differences from placebo in favor of Fortasyn Connect<br>**Predefined Subgroup analyses:**<br>mITT: CDR-SB and hippocampal volume significant difference from placebo in favor of Fortasyn Connect<br>Per protocol: NTB 10-item, Memory domain, CDR-SB, MRI hippocampal volume and ventricular volume significant difference from placebo in favor of Fortasyn Connect |
| **bvFTD\(^22\)** | Proof of concept, double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomized, cross-over study (12 weeks each period) to explore the effects of Fortasyn Connect on symptomatological treatment of bvFTD | NPI total score<br>Frontal Assessment Battery total score<br>Reading the Mind in the Eyes Test total score<br>Clinical Global Impression Severity scale | Compared with placebo, Fortasyn Connect showed significant improvements in: NPI total, CGI-S, and RMET (Social Cognition Skills) |

**Notes:** Treatment responders defined by combining scores on the modified ADAS-cog scale (cognition), ADCS-ADL scale (function), and CIBIC-plus (behavior). A patient was classified as a responder when they fulfilled at least two of the following three criteria relative to baseline scores: Modified ADAS-cog R4 point decline (clinical improvement) ADCS-ADL total score ≥4 point increase, CIBIC-plus “improvement.”

**Abbreviations:** AD, Alzheimer’s disease; ADAS-Cog, Alzheimer’s Disease Assessment Scale-cognitive subscale; ADCS-ADL, Alzheimer’s Disease Cooperative Study-Activities of Daily Living; bvFTD, behavioral variant of frontotemporal dementia; CDR-SB, Clinical Dementia Rating-Sum of Boxes; CIBIC-plus, Clinician Interview-Based Impression of Change plus caregiver input; DAD, Disability Assessment for Dementia scale; DHA, docosahexaenoic acid; EEG, electroencephalography; EPA, eicosapentaenoic acid; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; NPI, Neuropsychiatric Inventory; NTB, Neuropsychological Test Battery; QoL-AD, Quality of Life in Alzheimer’s Disease; WMS-r, Wechsler Memory Scale-revised.
such as attention and executive function. A similar problem appears to be emerging when all domains of the NTB are evaluated together as a composite, rather than by evaluating the domains most likely to be affected. This is illustrated by results of the Fortasyn Connect trials, which were more likely to demonstrate a significant benefit on cognitive outcomes assessing attention, memory, and executive function.

There are similar considerations when choosing functional outcomes in more mildly affected populations. The Disability Assessment for Dementia functional scale, used in the Souvenir II study and its open-label extension, showed a large percentage of patients (26%) with maximum score (ie, no disability) at baseline. The CDR-SB may be a more appropriate scale to use in people with early AD, as it demonstrates negligible floor and ceiling effects and uses real-life activities.

The inability to demonstrate significance on a primary endpoint can be affected by decline in the control group. The LipiDiDiet trial was performed soon after the first criteria for prodromal AD had been published. Experience since then has shown that changes in cognitive performance with currently used tests are not very pronounced in early AD. In LipiDiDiet, the estimates of decline and the trial’s power calculation were based on a previous 12-month trial in AD dementia. As the decline on the NTB primary endpoint in the control group was only one-quarter of that predicted over 24 months, the study lacked sufficient power to demonstrate significance.

Another way of exploring the clinical relevance of results is to consider effect sizes. For the two studies in patients with mild AD (Souvenir I and II), the effect sizes were 0.20 (95% confidence intervals: 0.10, 0.34) for one of the co-primary outcomes (delayed verbal recall task of the Wechsler Memory Scale revised) and 0.21 (95% confidence intervals: −0.06, 0.49) for the primary outcome (NTB memory z-score), respectively. This compares favorably with the effect sizes previously reported for cholinesterase inhibitors: 0.15, 0.23, and 0.28 for low, medium, and high doses, respectively, based on the primary endpoint of ADAS-Cog.

Data have also shown that B-vitamin supplementation markedly slows cognitive decline and grey matter atrophy in areas of the brain related to AD. MRI studies have demonstrated that the hippocampus is the brain region of highest and earliest atrophy in AD, and that rate of hippocampal atrophy is a reliable measure of AD progression. Synaptic loss is a hallmark feature of early AD, and the constituents of Fortasyn Connect have been specifically designed to enhance synapse formation. The findings from Souvenir II on brain activity-based networks, taken together with the MRI findings from LipiDiDiet, suggest a potential benefit for Fortasyn Connect on underlying pathology processes associated with early AD. The benefit of Fortasyn Connect on nutritional measures, the implications of these for the Kennedy Cycle (neuronal membrane and synapse formation), and the link to effects on hippocampal and ventricular volumes, suggest that further evaluation of the effects of Fortasyn Connect on disease progression is warranted.

The potential for improving synaptic function is also relevant in bvFTD, where synaptic loss is considered one of the key elements in the neurodegenerative process leading to cognitive and behavioral symptoms. The results of the proof-of-concept study in bvFTD also deserve further investigation and indicate the potential value of Fortasyn Connect in other dementia subtypes.

In addition to age, cardiovascular disease, stroke, and elevated homocysteine are recognized risk factors for dementia and AD. Levels of homocysteine are increased by inadequate availability of vitamins B2, B6, B12, and folate, which are known to be deficient in people with AD despite a normal diet. Authors of the Framingham Study also concluded that homocysteine was a strong risk factor for dementia and AD, since there was almost double the rate of dementia in people with the highest quartile of plasma homocysteine. The potential for lowering homocysteine levels should, therefore, be regarded as an indicator of benefit in people at risk, or with, mild AD.

One would anticipate that an intervention such as a special food for medical purposes would take time to have an effect, would require good compliance and patient acceptability, and would benefit people earlier in their disease course. This is suggested by the findings of LipiDiDiet and other trials, which indicate that significance was more likely to be observed in the PP populations and in the longer duration studies. It will also, therefore, be particularly interesting to see if the benefits of Fortasyn Connect become more apparent in the long-term trial data still to be released.

Implications for clinical practice and future research

Although the role of nutrition in established dementia is unclear, there is accumulating evidence to support the value of healthy lifestyle and diet in helping to reduce the risk for
dementia. Recent studies, such as the Finnish Geriatric Intervention Study to Prevent Cognitive Impairment and Disability (FINGER) and the Multidomain Alzheimer Preventive Trial (MAPT), have evaluated combinations of interventions that affect risk factors for AD progression. For example, in FINGER, participants were randomized to receive a 2-year multi-domain intervention (nutritional guidance; exercise; cognitive training and social activity; and management of metabolic and vascular risk factors) or regular health advice (control). Recent results from the study demonstrated that adherence to a healthy diet at baseline predicted improvement in global cognition, regardless of which intervention participants received (p=0.003), and that dietary improvement was associated with beneficial changes in executive function, particularly in the multi-domain intervention group (p=0.008; p=0.051 for the groups combined). Additional analysis demonstrated that the observed beneficial intervention effects on the primary cognitive outcome were unaffected by the presence of cardiovascular comorbidity (history of stroke, myocardial infarction, or diabetes); indeed, none of the participants’ characteristics were found to influence the intervention effects on executive functioning, processing speed, or memory, with the exception of diastolic blood pressure, which appeared to modify the intervention effects on processing speed, the effects being significantly more pronounced in those with lower vs higher diastolic blood pressure. The potential impact of comorbidities and/or the presence of modifiable cardiovascular and cerebrovascular risk factors on the observed findings in LipiDiDiet have not been reported. Similarly, patients’ medications and baseline supplemented nutrients were not reported in LipiDiDiet and may also potentially have had a confounding influence on the trial’s outcomes (although the use of omega-3 preparations, and the intake of folic acid and vitamins B6, B12, C, and/or E at >200% the recommended daily intake, were exclusion criteria). The influence of such factors requires further research, in order to clarify whether there may be certain subgroups of patients most likely to benefit from targeted intervention.

In current clinical practice, more attention is being paid to nutrition and lifestyle in people with long-term conditions, such as cardiovascular disease and diabetes, which are risk factors for dementia, but little attention is paid to nutrition in other populations, especially the elderly. Therefore, there needs to be increased awareness, education, and training about the importance of nutrition for health and social care professionals, people with dementia and their families and carers. Moreover, nutritional assessment and dietetic support should be commissioned as part of the dementia pathway.

It is now recognized that there is a long asymptomatic phase of AD before the onset of symptoms that can be tracked by monitoring various biomarkers, such as amyloid plaques, tau tangles, and inflammation. Future studies should target populations not only with risk factors but also with biomarkers of disease.

Fortasyn Connect contains a unique combination of nutrients at levels difficult to achieve from diet alone. The data demonstrate the safety and potential benefit of Fortasyn Connect in the dietary management of early AD and suggest further study is warranted into its effects when used alone and in combination with other preventative strategies.
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