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Background. Half-smooth tongue sole, Cynoglossus semilaevis Günther, 1873, a marine teleost, is an important aquaculture species of great economic value. In recent years, its farm production increase coincided, however, with the number of reported cases of bacterial diseases. Further understanding of its immune response to bacterial pathogens can provide more information on pathogenesis and how to prevent disease using immune-related strategy. Peptidoglycan (PGN) recognition proteins (PGRPs) play important roles in the innate immunity against bacterial infection. In the presently reported study, a long type PGRP in half-smooth tongue sole (csPGRP-L) was cloned, and its sequence features, PGN binding ability, and mRNA expressions in different tissues after bacterial infection were also analyzed.

Materials and methods. The full length of csPGRP-L cDNA was obtained by RT-PCR and RACE-PCR method, and its sequence features were analyzed by multiple sequence alignment and phylogenetic tree. Meanwhile, its 3-D structure and PGN binding ability were analyzed by comparative modelling and molecular docking methods. Furthermore, the expressions of csPGRP-L in different tissues of healthy fish and fish infected with Streptococcus dysgalactiae were examined using quantitative real-time PCR method.

Results. The full length of csPGRP-L cDNA was 1509 bp (GenBank accession No. HQ909441), with a 1446 bp of open reading frame (ORF) encoding 481 amino acids (aa), which possessed several conserved PGRP family features, e.g., a typical PGRP domain at its C-terminal, 3-D structure. Molecular docking showed that the csPGRP-L also possessed the PGN-binding ability. csPGRP-L was constitutive expressed in all the selected tissues from healthy fish and following S. dysgalactiae infection its expression was up-regulated in a tissue-specific expression pattern.

Conclusion. The gene we cloned was exactly the homologue of vertebrates’ long type PGRP in half-smooth tongue sole which was confirmed by several analyses and the up-regulation of csPGRP-L after bacterial infection suggest that csPGRP-L plays important role in antibacterial and anti-infective action.
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INTRODUCTION
Peptidoglycan (PGN), belonging to the pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs), is the major component of bacterial cell wall. It is a polymer of β(1→4)-linked N-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc) and N-acetylmuramic acid (MurNAc) linked to a short peptide of D- or L- amino acids. The peptide at position 3 of PGN is different between Gram-negative bacteria and Gram-positive bacteria,
with diaminopimelic acid (DAP) in Gram-negative bacteria and lysine in Gram-positive bacteria, respectively, which divide the PGN into two types: DAP-PGN and Lys-PGN (Dziarski and Gupta 2006, Li et al. 2007).

PGN-recognition proteins (PGRPs) were first identified as pattern-recognition receptors (PRRs) in insect which could specifically bind with PGN to initiate different antimicrobial pathways (Janeway and Medzhitov 2002). *Drosophila* PGRP-SA could bind with Lys-type PGN which activates the toll-like receptors (TLRs) pathway (Michel et al. 2001), PGRP-SD binds with DAP-type PGN and activates the TLRs pathway (Leone et al. 2008), while PGRP-LC and PGRP-LE bind with DAP-type PGN to activate the Immune Deficiency (Imd) pathway (Takehana et al. 2002, 2004, Lim et al. 2006).

In mammals only four types of PGRPs were identified, termed as PGLYRP1 for short type of PGRP, PGLYRP2 for long type PGRP and PGLYRP3 and 4 for intermediate type of PGRP to distinguish with insect PGRPs. Mammalian PGRPs also presented their selective binding specificity to PGN, e.g. human PGLYRP1 could bind with two types of PGN (Cho et al. 2005), while PGLYRP3 only bind with Lys-type PGN (Guan et al. 2004). Except for PRRs function, the mammalian PGRPs also possess immune-pathway regulation, amidase and bactericidal activities.

To date, PGRPs have been identified from several lower vertebrates. In fish, PGRP had been cloned in zebrafish, *Danio rerio* (Hamilton, 1822) (see Li et al. 2007); rockfish, *Sebastes schlegeli* Hiligendorf, 1880 (see Kim et al. 2010); grass carp, *Ctenopharyngodon idella* (Valenciennes, 1844) (see Li et al. 2013); and rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss* (Walbaum, 1792) (see Jang et al. 2013). The research on fish PGRPs indicated that fish PGRPs might possess similar functions as mammalian PGRPs, e.g., PGN-lysis, the direct bactericidal action and the regulation of immune genes (Li et al. 2007, Chang and Nie 2008). However, the available information about fish PGRPs was less extensive, especially for representatives of Pleuronectiformes.

Half-smooth tongue sole, *Cynoglossus semilaevis* Günther, 1873, a marine pleuronectiform teleost, is an important aquaculture species in China and other Asian countries due to its delicious taste and economical value (Song et al. 2012). However, with transforming of its farming method from extensive to intensive type in recent years, the occurrences of the diseases, especially of bacterial diseases, increased greatly. *Streptococcus dysgalactiae* was obtained from the State Key Laboratory of Freshwater Ecology and Biotechnology, Institute of Hydrobiology, the Chinese Academy of Sciences, and cultured according to the method reported previously (Yang and Li 2009). The bacteria were suspended in sterile PBS at the concentration of 1.0 × 10^6 CFU·mL^–1 as the challenge trial. 10 fish were injected intraperitoneally with 0.5 mL of 1.0 × 10^6 CFU·mL^–1 bacteria and other 10 fish were injected with same amount of PBS as control. Tissues including heart, gill, liver, spleen, stomach, muscle, kidney, and gut were collected for RNA extraction.

Three fish were anesthetized with 50 mg·L^–1 MS-222 (Shanghai Buxi Chemical Co., Ltd, China) and then dissected. The tissues including heart, gill, liver, spleen, stomach, muscle, kidney, and gut were collected for RNA extraction.

To investigate the effect of bacterial stimulation on the expression of csPGRP-L, 20 fish were randomly held in two 40-L tanks at 22°C with 10 fish in each tank. Samples of *Streptococcus dysgalactiae* were obtained from the State Key Laboratory of Freshwater Ecology and Biotechnology, Institute of Hydrobiology, the Chinese Academy of Sciences, and cultured according to the method reported previously (Yang and Li 2009). The bacteria were suspended in sterile PBS at the concentration of 1.0 × 10^6 CFU·mL^–1 as the challenge trial. 10 fish were injected intraperitoneally with 0.5 mL of 1.0 × 10^6 CFU·mL^–1 bacteria and other 10 fish were injected with same amount of PBS as control. Tissues including heart, gill, liver, spleen, stomach, muscle, kidney, and gut from both groups were sampled at 12 h and 24 h at post injection for RNA extraction, respectively. The time for sampling was referred to previous reports in zebrafish, *Danio rerio*, and frog, *Xenopus tropicalis* (see Chang et al. 2007, Qi et al. 2011, respectively).

All of the experiments described comply with the country’s regulations for the use of laboratory animals and have been approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Yancheng Institute of technology, China.

**Total RNA extraction and first-strand cDNA synthesis.** Total RNAs of sampled tissues were extracted using TRIzol Reagent (Invitrogen, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The quantity and integrity of RNA was determined by measuring the absorbance at 260 and 280 nm and electrophoresis. After extraction, total RNAs were reverse-transcribed into cDNA using the SuperScript™ Reverse Transcript kit (Invitrogen, CA, USA). The cDNA were stored at −20 °C.

**Cloning of csPGRP-L cDNA.** To obtain the partial sequence of csPGRP-L, the PGRP-Ls of human (GenBank accession No. AAL05629) and zebrafish (GenBank accession No. ABF50497) were used to search the deposited EST sequences of half-smooth tongue sole at National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) website by Blastp program. An EST sequence (GenBank...
accession No. GH231532) was predicted to be PGRP homolog. To confirm the correct of the sequence, a PCR was performed with 10 min at 94°C, 35 cycles of 1 min at 94°C, 30 s at 60°C, 45 s at 72°C, and 10 min at 72°C using a pair of specific primers (csPGRP-f1/csPGRP-r1) designed according to the obtained sequence. The PCR products were separated by agarose gel electrophoresis, extracted from gel using TaKaRa Agarose Gel Purification Kit (TaKaRa, Japan) and ligated into pMD18-T (TaKaRa, Japan) and sequenced. The result of DNA sequencing confirmed that the EST sequence was exactly correct.

Based on the confirmed sequence, 3′ and 5′ rapid amplification of cDNA ends (RACE) were conducted using gene-specific primers and adaptor primers (UPM) to obtain the full length of csPGRP-L cDNA. For 3′ RACE, the first-round PCR was performed using csPGRP-3out and UPM as primers as well as being subjected to an initial denaturation step of 94°C for 5 min, then 9 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 64°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 90 s, followed by 29 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 62°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 90 s, with a final extension step of 72°C for 10 min. Products of the first-round PCR were then diluted (1 : 100) and re-amplified by a second-round PCR with csPGRP-3in/UPM as primers. For 5′ RACE, the first-round primers were csPGRP-5out/UPM, and the second-round primers were csPGRP-5in/UPM. The PCR products were analyzed as RT-PCR. All primers used in this analysis were listed in Table 1.

Sequence analysis. Homologous sequences were identified using the BLAST program at the NCBI website*. The deduced amino acid sequence was predicted using software at the ExPASy molecular biology server**. Signal peptides were identified using the SignalP program***. The protein family domains or motifs were predicted by Pfam software in the ExPASy server**. Multiple sequence alignments were conducted with CLUSTAL W1.8 and amino acid identities were determined by MegAlign from the DNASTar software suite (Clewley and Arnold 1997). Phylogenetic trees were generated using the neighbour-joining (N-J) method with 1000 bootstraps by MEGA 5.1.2 (Kumar et al. 2008).

Comparative modelling and molecular docking. For 3-D construction, the mature csPGRP-L was first used to search by BLASTP software against PDB, I-TASSER server**** (Zhang 2008) and Genesilico Metaserver***** (Kurowski and Bujnicki 2003) to find the suitable template for comparative modelling. All of these searching results suggested that the Drosophila PGRP-LB (PDB code: 1OHT) (Kim et al. 2003) was the best template for csPGRP-L, with the highest level of sequence identity and query coverage and less E-value, which also confirmed by FUGUE (Find Homologs of Uncharacterized Gene Products Using Environment-specific substitution tables) program****** (Shi et al. 2001).

After the suitable template was confirmed, 3-D model of csPGRP-L was generated using the SWISS-Model server******* based on homology modelling method (Arnold et al. 2006). The obtained model was visualized using DeepView software (Johansson et al. 2012). The model quality was assessed using the PROCHECK software (Laskowski et al. 1993).

The PGN binding ability of csPGRP-L was analyzed by molecular docking method. The manually docked csPGRP-L with PGN model, Drosophila PGRP-LE with tracheal cytotoxin (TCT) (a soluble type of DAP-PGN) was predicted using the SWISS-Model server******* based on homology modelling method (Arnold et al. 2006). The obtained model was visualized using DeepView software (Johansson et al. 2012). The model quality was assessed using the PROCHECK software (Laskowski et al. 1993).

The PGN binding ability of csPGRP-L was analyzed by molecular docking method. The manually docked csPGRP-L with PGN model, Drosophila PGRP-LE with tracheal cytotoxin (TCT) (a soluble type of DAP-PGN) was predicted using the SWISS-Model server******* based on homology modelling method (Arnold et al. 2006). The obtained model was visualized using DeepView software (Johansson et al. 2012). The model quality was assessed using the PROCHECK software (Laskowski et al. 1993).

The PGN binding ability of csPGRP-L was analyzed by molecular docking method. The manually docked csPGRP-L with PGN model, Drosophila PGRP-LE with tracheal cytotoxin (TCT) (a soluble type of DAP-PGN) was predicted using the SWISS-Model server******* based on homology modelling method (Arnold et al. 2006). The obtained model was visualized using DeepView software (Johansson et al. 2012). The model quality was assessed using the PROCHECK software (Laskowski et al. 1993).

| Primer | Sequence (from 5′ to 3′) | Amplification |
|--------|--------------------------|---------------|
| csPGRP-F1 | TGGCATCCATCTGAGAAACA | Amplification of cDNA |
| csPGRP-R1 | CTGGGCAAGGAGCACACAC | Amplification of cDNA |
| UPM-long | CTAATACGACTCACTATAGGGCAGTGGTGTA | 3′RACE |
| UPM-short | CTAATACGACTCACTATAGGGCAGTGGTGTA | 3′RACE |
| csPGRP-3out | TGACCTGTCGCCGCTGGCTCTGCTC | 3′RACE |
| csPGRP-3in | AGTAAACAGACAAACGGTGAGGAACAGGTT | 3′RACE |
| csPGRP-5out | CCTGCTTCTCCACCGTGTGCTTACT | 3′RACE |
| csPGRP-5in | GAGCAGAGGCGACCAGGCAGAGGT | 3′RACE |
| csPGRP-qF1 | TGAAGACGCTGGCATTGGTG | 5′RACE |
| csPGRP-qR1 | TGCCGACGCTGCTTGATG | 5′RACE |
| csactin-qF1 | CAGGCACTACAGTCAGCAGCTC | Real-time quantitative PCR |
| csactin-qR1 | TCCTTGATGTCAAGCAAGT | Real-time quantitative PCR |

* http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov.
** http://www.expasy.org.
*** http://www.dtu.dk/services/SignalP.
**** http://zhanglab.ccmb.med.umich.edu/I-TASSER.
***** https://genesilico.pl/meta2.
****** http://tardis.nibio.go.jp/fugue.
******* http://swissmodel.expasy.org.

Table 1

Primers used in the study
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(Lim et al. 2006) was subjected to 200 cycles of energy minimization by Discovery Studio/Minimization program.

**Real-time quantitative PCR.** Real-time quantitative PCR (qPCR) was done to analyze the basal and bacterial stimulated expression level of csPGRP-L using CFX96 Touch™ Real-time PCR detection system (Bio-Rad). RNA extracted from the selected tissues was digested with RNase-free DNase I (TaKaRa, Japan) and transcribed into cDNA using a PrimeScript™ RT reagent kit (Invitrogen, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instruction. The concentration of obtained cDNA was measured using BioPhotometer plus (Eppendorf, Germany), and then they were diluted into the same concentration using TE buffer for qPCR analysis. The gene specific primers were designed according to the csPGRP-L and β-actin (control gene) cDNA sequence (Table 1). The 20 µL reaction mixture of qPCR contained 10 µL SYBR® Premix Ex Taq™ (TaKaRa, Japan), 0.5 µL of each gene specific primer, 1.0 µL cDNA template and 8 µL double-distilled H2O. The PCR was performed using a 3-step method, an initial denaturation step at 95°C for 3 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 5 s, 58°C for 30 s, and finally 95°C for 10 s and then the melting curve were analyzed from 65°C to 95°C to confirm the specificity of amplification. All samples were performed in triplicate. Basal expression level for target gene in each normal tissue was measured relative to the expression of β-actin, respectively. Fold changes of csPGRP-L in different tissues after bacterial stimulation were analyzed using 2−ΔΔCT method (Livak and Schmittgen 2001). All the qPCRs data were expressed as the mean ± SD and analyzed by Microcal Origin 6.0 software. Comparison between challenged and control group was performed using Student’s t-test method, with P-value < 0.05 set to be statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

**Cloning and sequence analysis of csPGRP-L.** The full cDNA length of csPGRP-L was obtained using RT-PCR and RACE-PCR. The csPGRP-L cDNA was totally 1509 bp in length (GenBank accession No. HQ909441), with a 25 bp of 5’-untranslated region (UTR), 119 bp of 3’-UTR, and 1446 bp of open reading frame (ORF) encoding 481 amino acids (aa). There was a polyadenylation signal (aaataa) at 80 bp upstream of the polyA tail. A 22-aa signal peptide was predicted for csPGRP-L, giving mature csPGRP-L of 459 aa with a calculated molecular weight of 51.3 kDa and isoelectric point (pI) of 6.25. The C-terminal region of csPGRP-L contained a typical PGRP domain with 138 aa in length predicted by Pfam software (Fig. 1).

Multiple sequence alignment of csPGRP-L with other known PGRP-L molecules from human, mouse, frog, and zebrafish showed that the C-terminals of PGRP-L, containing PGRP domain, were highly conserved. Some conservative amino acid residues necessary for the biological functions of PGRP-L were also found in csPGRP-L, e.g., H344, Y381, H456, and C465 for amidase activity, and H370, W376, and T463 for Zn2+ binding (Fig. 2).

**Tissue distribution of csPGRP-L transcript.** The expression of csPGRP-L in the tissues from healthy fish were detected using qRT-PCR by normalizing to the expression level of β-actin. csPGRP-L was constitutively expressed in all the selected tissues, highly in gill, moderately in heart, intestine, gut, kidney, and spleen and lowly in liver (Fig. 4a).

**Transcript change of csPGRP-L after bacterial stimulation.** The Streptococcus dysgalactiae infection could significantly increase the expression level of csPGRP-L in several selected tissues. Here, we found that the expression levels of csPGRP-L, in the tissues including heart, intestine, gill, kidney, muscle, and stomach, were significantly up-regulated at 12 h post injection (P < 0.05) compared with control group. The fold changes in the heart and gills remained highly induced (P < 0.05) at 36 h post injection, e.g., 5-fold increasing in the gills (Fig. 4b).

**3-D Structure and PGN binding ability of csPGRP-L.** We first generated a theoretical 3-D model of csPGRP-L, with Drosophila melanogaster PGRP-LB (PDB:1OHT) as template according to the sequence identity (37.5%), by using the SWISS-MODEL package. csPGRP-L contained a mixed alpha helices and beta sheets structure (β1-β2-α1-β3-β4-β5-α2-β6-α3), similar to the structure of template and human PGLYRP2 (Fig. 5a). Superimposition between the csPGRP-L and the structure of the template showed high similarities (Fig. 5b). The quality assessment of the model by using PROCHECK program confirmed the reliability of predicted model.

Then, the PGN docking with csPGRP-L was simulated by molecular superimposition using Drosophila PGRP-LB in complex with TCT (Lim et al. 2006) to discriminate the PGN-binding ability of csPGRP-L. It was clear that there was a cleft that was formed by helix α1 and four loops (β3-α1, α1-β4, β5-β6, β6-α2). The TCT (DAP-PGN) (in yellow colour) was held in an extended conformation in this cleft. The docking results showed that the Arg373 of csPGRP-L superimposed fully on the Arg254 ISCU of PGRP-LE in complex with TCT (Lim et al. 2006). Hydrogen bonds are formed between TCT and Thr324, Arg352, Gly355, and R312 for Zn2+ binding (Fig. 6a). The C-terminal region of csPGRP-L contained a typical PGRP domain with 138 aa in length predicted by Pfam software (Fig. 1).

**DISCUSSION**

In the presently reported study, a full cDNA length of a long type PGRP was cloned from half-smooth tongue sole, *Cynoglossus semilaevis*, a marine teleost, using
RT-PCR and RACE-PCR method. The obtained sequence was exactly the homologue of the vertebrates’ long type PGRP which was confirmed by several analyses:

- The csPGRP-L was 481 aa in length, possessing similar aa length with the reported PGRP-L in zebrafish (433 aa) (Changetal.2007) and frog (497 aa) (Qietal.2011) (Fig. 1);
- csPGRP-L possessed a typical PGRP domain at its C-terminal region (from 330 aa to 468 aa) (Fig. 1). The PGRP domain, about 200 aa, was well conserved in PGRP during evolution. But the number of PGRP domain in different type of PGRP was different, e.g., vertebrates’ short and long type PGRP only have 1 PGRP domain, whist mammalian PGLYRP3 and PGLYRP4 have two PGRP domains;
- Phylogenetic tree showed that csPGRP-L was well clustered with the reported PGRP-L from the fish belongs to Pleuronectiformes (Fig. 3);
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Fig. 1. Nucleotide and deduced amino acid sequence of PGRP-L from half-smooth tongue sole, *Cynoglossus semilaevis*; The signal peptide is underlined; Initial codon (ATG) and stop codon (TGA) is bold and underlined, and putative poly-adenylation signal (aataaa) is underlined with a grey line; The PGRP domain at the C-terminal region of csPGRP-L is in a frame.
• csPGRP-L possessed the conserved 3-D structure, similar to the reported long type PGRP, e.g., human PGLYRP2 (Fig. 5);
• csPGRP-L possessed potential PGN binding ability. The PGN binding ability was an ancient feature of PGRPs and important for its functional exertion (Dziarski 2004). Our molecular docking analysis showed that csPGRP-L contained a conserved PGN binding cleft formed by helix α1 and four loops (β3-α1, α1-β4, β5-β6, β6-α2), similar to Drosophila PGRP-LE (Fig. 6). Arg373 of csPGRP-L was fully superimposed with Arg254 of Drosophila PGRP-LE. This residue was also conserved in PGRP molecules, e.g., PGRP-LCx (Mellroth et al. 2005), PGRP-LB (Kim et al. 2003), and human PGRP-S (Kumar et al. 2005), that are known to interact with PGN.

Interestingly, we found that csPGRP-L only possessed signal peptide but no transmembrane domain, which suggest it might be a secreted protein. This feature was different with the reported PGRP-Ls. The mammalian PGLYRP2 possessed both signal peptide and trans-membrane domain, whilst PGRP-L in the lower vertebrates, e.g., frog and zebrafish, only had trans-membrane domain (Chang et al. 2007, Qi et al. 2011). Thus, the long type of PGRP might play its roles at different cellular sites.

Further analysis of the phylogenetic tree gave light on the evolutionary story of vertebrates’ PGRPs. There were at least four gene duplications occurred during PGRPs’ evolution. The first round of gene duplication produced the ancestral proto-PGRP nearly happened in the stem lineage leading to all jawed vertebrates. The second round occurred just after the first round produced proto-PGRP-S and then the proto-PGRP-S diverged into pro-PGRP-L and pro-PGRP-I in the third round gene duplication. The fish PGRP-L were produced by the forth gene duplication from proto-PGRP-L (Montaño et al. 2011).

Fig. 2. Alignment of amino acid sequences of PGRP-L from half-smooth tongue sole, Cynoglossus semilaevis (csPGRP-L), human, Homo sapiens (hsPGRP-L), mouse, Mus musculus (mmPGRP-L), frog, Xenopus tropicalis (xtPGRP-L), and zebrafish, Danio rerio (drPGRP-L); The amino acids needed for amidase activity was marked by solid black cycle and for Zn²⁺ binding by solid triangle above the sequences, respectively
csPGRP-L was constituted expressed in all the detected tissues and the same case was also observed in other lower vertebrates' PGRP-L. But the transcript levels of PGRP-L were selectively expressed in different tissues. Rainbow trout PGRP-L were most abundant in the intestine, and at a low level present, in increasing order, in the gill, kidney, head kidney, liver, eye, skin, and spleen (Jang et al. 2013). Frog PGRP-L was highly expressed in liver, lung and intestine, and moderately in heart and stomach and lowly in spleen and kidney (Qi et al. 2011). Whist, zebrafish PGRP-L was detected in head kidney, skin, gills, eye, trunk kidney, liver and spleen, with strong

Fig. 3. Phylogenetic tree analysis of relation of PGRP from half-smooth tongue sole, Cynoglossus semilaevis, with that in other vertebrates; Phylogenetic tree was constructed based on the deduced amino acid sequences of PGRP using N-J method in MEGA 5.1. The GenBank accession numbers of the sequences used for this analysis was shown behind each name
detection in intestine (Li et al. 2007). The constitutive expression pattern of PGRP-L indicated that it possessed a wide secreted basis and might exert function in a wide range of tissues in teleost.

Several bacterial could induce the expression of PGRP-L in a tissue-specific pattern. The zebrafish PGRP-L was significantly up-regulated in spleen and liver, significantly down-regulated in kidney and intestine after *Flavobacterium columnare* infection (Chang et al. 2007). The PGRP-L of red drum, *Sciaenops ocellatus* (Linnaeus, 1766), was up-regulated in kidney, spleen, and liver after *Streptococcus* infection (Li et al. 2012). Here, our results also found that csPGRP-L was up-regulated in several tissues after *Streptococcus* infection but the expression pattern was different with previous reported. csPGRP-L was significantly up-regulated in the tissues including heart, intestine, gill, kidney, muscle and stomach at 12 h post injection ($P < 0.05$) and remained highly induced in gill and heart at 36 h post injection ($P < 0.05$). The highly induced expression of csPGRP-L in gill indicated that csPGRP-L might contribute to the defence against pathogens in those tissues that contact directly with pathogens in the surrounding water. Previous study found that zebrafish PGRP-Ls could be detected in the lumen of blood vessels using immunohistochemistry methods, indicating fish PGRP-L might play some role in the non-immune tissues (Li et al. 2007). Here, we found that the transcript level of csPGRP-L in heart indicate that there was secrete basis for the csPGRP-L in the non-immune tissues. After *Streptococcus* infection the highly induced expression of csPGRP-L also confirmed this and suggested that during bacterial infection the host immune system need protect the important tissues to sustain its living. The expression of csPGRP-L in other tissues including intestine, kidney, muscle, and stomach was back to normal expression level suggest that csPGRP-L might play different roles in some tissues at different stage of bacterial infection.
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**Fig. 4.** Gene expression of PGRP-L in the tissues from healthy half-smooth tongue sole, *Cynoglossus semilaevis*, (A) and the fish infected by *Streptococcus dysgalactiae* for 12 and 36 h (B) by qRT-PCR; β-actin was used for internal control for producing relative fold value compared with that in liver for basal expression analysis and $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_T}$ method was used for bacterial stimulated analysis. Data were expressed as mean ± SE; *$P < 0.05$*
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**Fig. 5.** (A) 3-D structure of PGRP-L from half-smooth tongue sole, *Cynoglossus semilaevis*; The α helices are red, loops blue, and β sheets—yellow; (B) Superimposition of csPGRP-L model with template 1OHT; The α helices, β sheets and loops of csPGRP-L are red, yellow, and green, and those of 1OHT are cyan, carmine, and brown, respectively
Cloning, expression, and analysis of csPGRP-L from *Cynoglossus semilaevis*.

Fig. 6. Determining the PGN binding ability and intermolecular contacts in the PGRP-L from halibut smooth tongue sole, *Cynoglossus semilaevis*, with TCT complex; (A) Superimposition of csPGRP-L (magenta), PGRP-SD (green), PGRP-LB (yellow), and PGRP-LE (blue) in complex with TCT (grey rod); The side chain of Arg373 in csPGRP-L fully superimposed on that of Arg90, Arg92, and Arg254 in PGRP-SD, PGRP-LB, and PGRP-LE, respectively; (B) Intermolecular contacts between csPGRP-L and TCT at the PGN-binding site; TCT was shown as yellow rod, csPGRP-L in grey, and the contacting residues in cyan; Hydrogen bonds were shown as dashed lines; Residues of active site conserved in csPGRP-L were highlighted in the inner frame.
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