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ABSTRACT

We present the discovery of an extremely bright and extended lensed source from the second Red Sequence Cluster Survey (RCS2). RCSGA 032727-132609 is spectroscopically confirmed as a giant arc and counterimage of a background galaxy at $z = 1.701$, strongly lensed by the foreground galaxy cluster RCS2 032727-132623 at $z = 0.564$. The giant arc extends over $\sim 38''$ and has an integrated $r$-band magnitude of 19.1, making it $\sim 20$ times larger and $\sim 3.5$ times brighter than the prototypical lensed galaxy MS1512-cB58. This is the brightest distant lensed galaxy in the universe known to date. We have collected photometry in nine bands, ranging from $u$ to $K_s$, which densely sample the rest-frame UV and optical light, including the age-sensitive 4000 Å break. A lens model is constructed for the system and results in a robust total magnification of 2.04 $\pm$ 0.16 for the counterimage; we estimate an average magnification of $17.2 \pm 1.4$ for the giant arc based on the relative physical scales of the arc and counterimage on the sky. Fits of single-component spectral energy distribution models to the photometry result in a moderately young age, $\tau = 80 \pm 40$ Myr, small amounts of dust, $E(B - V) \leq 0.11$, and an exponentially declining star formation history with $e$-folding time $\tau = 10 - 50$ Myr. After correcting for the lensing magnification, we find a stellar mass of $M_* \sim 10^{10} M_\odot$ and a current star formation rate (SFR) $\leq 77 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$. Allowing for episodic star formation, an underlying old burst could contain up to twice the mass inferred from single-component modeling. RCSGA 032727-132609 is typical of the known population of star-forming galaxies near this redshift in terms of its age and stellar mass. Its large magnification and spatial extent provide a unique opportunity to study the physical properties of an individual high-redshift star-forming galaxy in great detail, opening up a new window to the process of galaxy evolution between $z = 1.7$ and our local universe.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Significant progress has been made in recent years toward the study of the formation of galaxies and their evolution into the population of objects we observe around us today. The redshift range $1.0 \lesssim z \lesssim 3.0$ represents a crucial period in this process since the peak of star formation in the universe is known to occur near $z \sim 2$ (Blain et al. 1999; Chapman et al. 2003; Reddy & Steidel 2009). Through the development of pre-selection color criteria (Adelberger et al. 2004; Steidel et al. 2004; Daddi et al. 2004a) and advances in the near-IR and UV spectroscopic capabilities of 8–10 m class telescopes, growing samples of optically or near-IR selected galaxies are now spectroscopically confirmed in this redshift range and studied extensively (see, e.g., Shapley et al. 2005; Erb et al. 2006; Kriek et al. 2008). For a complete understanding of the process of galaxy formation, it is crucial to complement these statistical results based on larger samples with detailed study of the stellar populations and dynamics of individual objects. The majority of galaxies in the current samples are too faint for this purpose. The few galaxies that are bright enough to be studied individually represent outliers drawn from the extreme bright tail of the luminosity function, and are therefore not necessarily representative of the bulk of the population. The ability to study the properties of faint, high-redshift galaxies is one of the main science drivers for the construction of 30 m class telescopes. Until such instruments become available, we can make a head start using gravitational lensing to increase the power of the current generation of telescopes.

The lensing magnification induced by foreground galaxy clusters and individual galaxies has been successfully used to identify galaxies out to $z \sim 10$, opening up new windows into the very distant universe (Richard et al. 2008; Bouwens et al. 2009). At more moderate redshifts, it brings individual galaxies from the samples at $1.0 \lesssim z \lesssim 3.0$ to a flux level amenable for extensive follow-up observations at various wavelengths. The first and most notable example in this class is MS1512-cB58, a Lyman break galaxy (LBG) at $z = 2.73$ (Yee et al. 1996;
Ellingson et al. 1996), found to have a lensing magnification of ~30 (Williams & Lewis 1996; Seitz et al. 1998). It has been studied extensively since its discovery and provides a wealth of information on the stellar population and dynamics of a young star-forming galaxy at this redshift (Pettini et al. 2000, 2002; Siana et al. 2008). Other examples of particularly bright lensed galaxies at similar redshifts include the “Cosmic Eye” at z = 3.07 (Smail et al. 2007; Coppin et al. 2007), the “8 o’clock arc” at z = 2.73 (Allam et al. 2007; Finkelstein et al. 2009), and the sample of strongly lensed z ~ 3 LBGs from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) Giant Arcs Survey (Hennawi et al. 2008; Koester et al. 2010). These highly magnified sources currently represent the best places to study the individual properties of high-redshift star-forming galaxies in great detail.

In this paper, we present the discovery of a very bright and extended galaxy, RCSGA 032727-132609, spectroscopically confirmed at z = 1.701 and highly magnified by a foreground cluster at z = 0.564 from the second Red Sequence Cluster Survey (RCS2; D. Gilbank et al. 2010, in preparation). The RCS surveys were designed for the purpose of cluster finding via the identification of the linear color–magnitude relation present for early-type galaxies in clusters, known as the red-sequence technique (Gladders & Yee 2000). The RCS2 survey has imaged ~700 deg2 of sky in g, r, and z with the MegaCam camera at the Canada–France–Hawaii Telescope (CFHT) on Mauna Kea in 4, 8, and 6 minute exposures, respectively. Data acquisition for the survey finished in 2008 and preliminary cluster catalogs over the entire area have been created and visually inspected for strong lensing signatures; the details of this search will be published elsewhere. The brightest and most obvious strong lensing system found in this search is RCSGA (RCS Giant Arc) 032727-132609. The system consists of a counterimage and a giant arc extending over ~38” at an Einstein radius of ~17”, estimated from the distance between the brightest knot in the arc and the brightest cluster galaxy. The arc has an apparent magnitude of r = 19.1, making it ~3.5 times brighter than cB58 and the brightest distant lensed galaxy in the universe known to date. Its large spatial extent provides unique opportunities to look inside a high-redshift galaxy and spatially resolve its substructure.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents the multiplicity of photometric and spectroscopic data we have assembled on this system: broadband observations in nine bands ranging from u to Ks, a medium-resolution optical spectrum of the lensed galaxy to obtain the source redshift and redshift measurements of 49 cluster members to estimate the virial mass of the foreground cluster. Section 3 describes an innovative method used to obtain accurate photometry of the source. A lens model is constructed for the cluster in Section 4. We fit spectral energy distribution (SED) models to the broadband photometry of the source to explore its star formation history and stellar population parameters; the SED modeling procedure and results are discussed in Section 5. RCSGA 032727-132609 is compared to the known galaxy population at z ~ 2 in Section 6. Throughout this paper, we will assume ΩM = 0.3, ΩΛ = 0.7, and H0 = 70 km s~1 Mpc~1. All magnitudes are quoted in the AB system.

2. OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

2.1. Imaging

We have obtained imaging of RCSGA 032727-132609 in nine bands ranging from u to Ks on five different telescopes.

| Filter | Total Int. (s) | Seeing (arcsec) | Date(s) Observed | Telescope, Instrument |
|--------|---------------|----------------|------------------|-----------------------|
| u      | 3600          | 0.85           | 2008 Oct 29      | SOAR, SOI             |
| B      | 738           | 0.84           | 2006 Aug 17      | VLT, FORS2            |
| g      | 240           | 0.74           | 2005 Nov 04      | CFHT, MegaCam         |
| r      | 480           | 0.64           | 2005 Nov 04      | CFHT, MegaCam         |
| I      | 480           | 0.66           | 2006 Aug 17      | VLT, FORS2            |
| z      | 1080          | 0.73           | 2009 Oct 21      | Magellan Baade, IMACS f/2 |
| J      | 2160          | 0.57           | 2009 Oct 19      | Magellan Baade, PANIC |
| H      | 7200          | 0.96           | 2009 Feb 06      | Apache Point 3.5 m, NICER |
| Ks     | 2160          | 0.51           | 2009 Oct 19      | Magellan Baade, PANIC |

Details of these observations are given below and summarized in Table 1.

The u-band observation is part of a larger program to survey ~100 strong lensing galaxy clusters in order to identify lensed u-band dropouts and constrain the fraction of strongly lensed galaxies that lie at z ≥3 (M. B. Bayliss et al. 2010, in preparation). We observed RCSGA 032727-132609 in the SDSS u band at the 4.1 m Southern Astrophysical Research (SOAR) telescope in Chile with the SOAR Optical Imager (SOI) on 2008, October 29 in six exposures of 600 s each. The data were reduced and stacked in IRAF9 using procedures from the MSCRED package.

Pre-imaging with the FORS2 instrument at the Very Large Telescope (VLT) in Chile for the purpose of mask design for the spectroscopy described below, consisted of dithered integrations in the I and B bands totaling 480 s and 738 s, respectively. The imaging in both filters was acquired on 2006 August 17.

The g- and r-band data come from the RCS2 data set, as detailed above. The data were acquired in queue mode in semester 2005B using the prime focus MegaCam imager on CFHT. It is important to keep in mind that the g-filter used for MegaCam on CFHT is similar to, but subtly different (both in central wavelength and width) from its namesake in the SDSS (see D. Gilbank et al. 2010, in preparation for further details). The processed images are used as delivered by the CFHT queue pipeline, although astrometric and photometric re-calibration is performed as part of the RCS2 catalog processing. Unlike other data used in our analysis, these images are single exposures with no dithering; cosmic rays and chip defects—neither of which are significant—have been identified by visual inspection and removed by interpolation.

During a run in 2009 October, the IMACS instrument and the PANIC near-IR camera on the Magellan I Baade Telescope were used for broadband imaging of RCSGA 032727-132609 in the z, J, and Ks bands. A total of 1080 s of dithered z-band images were acquired in nine individual integrations with the IMACS f/2 spectrograph in imaging mode. The data were processed and stacked using standard IRAF tasks. Dithered observations in both J and Ks were acquired with the PANIC near-IR imager, totaling 2160 s in both bands. The PANIC data were reduced using a custom pipeline built in IRAF with standard techniques of dark subtraction, flat-fielding, and sky subtraction with iterated object masking.

9 IRAF (Image Reduction and Analysis Facility) is distributed by the National Optical Astronomy Observatory, which is operated by Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation.
Finally, an $H$-band image was constructed from 7200 s of dithered observations taken on 2009 February 6 and November 28, with the 3.5 m telescope at Apache Point Observatory (APO) in New Mexico. Additional short exposure $J$- and $K_s$-band imaging was collected for calibration purposes using the same method. We used a pipeline of standard IRAF tasks to dark-subtract and stack the dithered images.

Figure 1 shows a composite color image of RCSGA 032727-132609 constructed from all nine bands, combining $uBg$, $rIz$, and $JHK_s$ individual composites. Postage stamp cutouts of all nine photometry bands are shown in Figure 2. The west end of the arc is complicated by two cluster members that fall on top of it (see Section 3 and Figure 5); the $u$-band data obviously show this region to be part of the giant arc.

### 2.2. VLT Spectroscopy

The VLT in Chile was used to measure the redshift of the lensed background galaxy. A total integration time of 2 hr was obtained with FORS2 with a multi-slit mask in service mode on the nights of 2006 December 27, and 2007 January 13 and 27. The setup used the GRIS150I disperser and GG435 filter, providing a wavelength coverage from 4450 to 10500 Å with a resolution of 3.45 Å pixel$^{-1}$. The system was binned to 2 pixels both spatially and spectrally. Spectra were taken of several locations in the main arc, a likely counterimage, and a few possible cluster galaxies selected on the basis of $B-I$ color as measured from the mask design pre-imaging. Reductions were carried out with the ESO package esorex and wavelength calibration was done by comparison to standard He+Ne+Ar lamp observations. Individual images were skyline subtracted and then combined into a single image to eliminate cosmic rays.

Sky subtraction is complicated by the complexity of the object placement on the slits, as this precluded along-slit dithering. There are thus some significant fringing residuals at redder wavelengths. Nevertheless, the lensed source redshift is obvious due to strong absorption and emission lines; these spectra also confirm the identity of the counterimage. The stacked one-dimensional spectra for the arc and counterimage are shown in Figure 3. The source redshift is $1.7009 \pm 0.0008$, established from the Fe$\text{ii}$ and Mg$\text{ii}$ lines in absorption and the [O$\text{ii}$]$\lambda 3727$ and the C$\text{iii}$]$\lambda 1909$ lines in emission.

One prominent feature in the spectrum is the C$\text{iii}$]$\lambda 1909$ nebular emission line, a collisionally excited, semi-forbidden transition often present in local starbursts. It is found to be stronger in starbursts of lower metallicity (Heckman et al. 1998), most likely due to a decrease in the nebular electron temperature of higher metallicity gas, which causes more of the nebular cooling from collisionally excited lines to occur in the infrared rather than the UV. At higher redshifts, this line is
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10 http://www.eso.org/sci/data-processing/software/pipelines/fors
usually too weak to be identified in individual spectra, but it has been detected in composite spectra of star-forming galaxies at \( z \sim 2 \) (Erb et al. 2006; Halliday et al. 2008) and in a composite LBG spectrum at \( z \sim 3 \) (Shapley et al. 2003). The inverse relation between the strength of \( \text{C} \text{III}] \) nebular emission and the metallicity of the galaxy remains valid at these higher redshifts. It is interesting to note that the \( \text{C} \text{III}] \) line was not detected in the UV spectrum of cB58 (Pettini et al. 2000, 2002). This emission line can also be used in combination with either \( \text{Ly} \alpha \) or \( \text{C} \text{IV} \) line intensities as an indicator of active galactic nucleus contribution (Shapley et al. 2003).

### 2.3. Cluster Velocity Dispersion

The cluster RCS2 032727-132623 was observed spectroscopically with the GISMO instrument (M. D. Gladders et al. 2011, in preparation) on the Magellan I Baade Telescope on 2009 January 25, using the 1501 mm\(^{-1}\) grating and the WB5650-9200 spectroscopic filter. A single mask covering a roughly circular area on the sky with a radius \( \sim 1.75 \) arcmin, which corresponds to a physical radius of \( \sim 0.9 \) Mpc at the redshift of the cluster, was observed in three integrations of 2400 s each (7200 s total). Data were reduced using the COSMOS pipeline.\(^{11}\) These data yielded a total of 66 reliable redshifts, of which 46 are cluster members. Three additional cluster members have measured redshifts from the VLT spectroscopy discussed above. Figure 4 shows a histogram of all measured redshifts in this field. No other significant structures are detected in redshift space to the limits of these data; given the tight centering of these data on the cluster core this is not surprising, though it does confirm that no other cluster-scale halos at \( z < 1 \) contribute to the strong lensing along the line of sight. The cluster has a measured redshift of 0.5637 ± 0.0007. The best-fitting velocity dispersion is 988 ± 122 km s\(^{-1}\) in the rest frame, corresponding to a \( M_{200} \sim 1.1 \times 10^{15} M_{\odot} \) cluster (Yee & Ellingson 2003), in accord with the large arc radius observed. There is no evidence for substructure in the cluster in velocity space.

### 3. PHOTOMETRY

We have developed a self-consistent, complex IDL code to obtain accurate magnitudes for extended sources in a series of broadband images with differing point-spread functions (PSFs). All images are first transformed to the orientation and scale of the VLT \( I \)-band image. An empirical, normalized PSF is created for each image based on a well-defined, isolated and non-saturated reference star. We match the PSF of each frame to the \( H \)-band image (which presents the worst seeing over our sample of nine bands) by convolving it with an appropriate Gaussian. This sequence of PSF-matching ensures that we measure the light in the same physical region of the source in all bands. This
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\(^{11}\) \url{http://obs.carnegiescience.edu/Code/cosmos}
is a crucial requirement for meaningful modeling of the SED at a later stage. The PSFs of these data are sufficiently similar that convolution with a simple Gaussian provides adequate matching between images. Object apertures are created by tracing a curve along the extended source and convolving it with the $H$-band PSF. A series of apertures of increasing radial extent are defined as isophotes of this convolution. The apertures are very much non-circular, especially for the arc, and described by equivalent radii based on circular apertures that extend to the same isophotes. After a detailed sequence of sky subtraction and outlier masking, final magnitudes are measured at an equivalent radius of twice the FWHM of the $H$-band image, 7.6 pixels or 1.92, and aperture-corrected to an equivalent radius of 6′′ based on the curve of growth of the PSF reference star. Lensed background sources are often found close to the center of the foreground galaxy cluster. In these generally crowded environments, problems arise when cluster members lie too close to the background galaxy and fall inside the measurement apertures. We use the GALFIT package (Peng et al. 2002) to fit a Sérsic profile to these galaxies in the reference $I$-band image. This model is convolved and scaled as needed, and subtracted from each PSF-matched image. Figure 5 shows the $I$-band image before and after this model subtraction, and overlaid with the arc apertures used for the final measurement. In the case of RCSGA 032727-132609, we are presented with the unfortunate and complex situation where two cluster members fall on top of the giant arc. They are circled in red in the top panel of Figure 5. Evidently, their flux contribution has to be subtracted from the arc magnitude, but in this case the appropriate scaling cannot be estimated easily. We create a color image for each frame by subtracting a scaled version of the $r$ band to make the arc vanish. The GALFIT models of the overlapping cluster members are then used to subtract any remaining positive flux at their positions and the original frame is restored by adding the scaled $r$-band back in. This process is unnecessary for the $u$, $B$, and $g$ bands, where the contribution of the cluster members is negligible, and becomes more important for the longer wavelengths. We confirm the subtraction by comparing the brightness of the affected part of the arc to the brightness of other parts (an advantage of large spatial coverage). Through magnitude measurements of visually obvious over- and undersubtracted frames, we estimate the uncertainty which arises from this subtraction: 0.01 mag for $r$, $I$, and $z$; 0.02 mag for $J$; 0.03 mag for $H$; and 0.04 mag for $K_s$. This is incorporated into the final photometric uncertainties.

The calibration of the images is handled carefully to ensure an accurate magnitude measurement of our object of interest. We calibrate the $g$, $r$, and $z$ bands against the RCS2 catalog, which itself has been calibrated to match the SDSS stellar locus (D. Gilbank et al., in preparation). There is a 0.05 mag absolute calibration uncertainty associated with the RCS2 photometry. The $B$ and $I$ bands are calibrated from the $grc$-photometry using transformations by Jordi et al. (2006) and Chonis & Gaskell (2008). The $u$-band calibration follows from the $gr$-photometry via a general relation derived from SDSS data. The near-IR $J$, $H$, and $K_s$ bands are calibrated against the Two Micron All Sky Survey (2MASS). The small field of view of the Magellan $J$ and $K_s$ images does not include enough 2MASS stars for a robust calibration, so we use the larger APO images to pick up enough 2MASS objects and link the APO zero point to the Magellan zero point based on a number of fainter point sources. Each star used for zero-point calibration undergoes the same procedure explained above for its flux measurement. This eliminates any differences between our measurement method and the surveys we are calibrating against and results in the most accurate zero-point determination. We add the zero-point uncertainty and the Poisson error from the flux measurement in quadrature to construct final photometric uncertainties.

Final magnitudes are corrected for galactic extinction (Schlegel et al. 1998) and presented in Table 2 for the main arc and counterimage separately. The photometry of the smaller and more regularly shaped counterimage does not require the careful masking of cluster galaxies inside the source aperture and its measurement resembles much more a typical photometry

![Figure 3](image_url) Figure 3. Normalized stacked VLT spectra of the arc (top) and the counterimage (bottom) at rest-frame wavelengths. Important spectral lines are identified.
in the counterimage. With the resolution of the current imaging data, we cannot uniquely identify the emission knots that form this substructure as multiply imaged counterparts of the same emission region in the source. In constructing a lens model, we are therefore forced to make assumptions on the identity of these features. High-resolution data may resolve some of the discrepancy and allow for a more detailed model in the future.

The lens model is computed using the publicly available software LENSTOOL (Jullo et al. 2007), in an iterative manner. We start by representing the cluster halo by a single mass in the form of a pseudo-isothermal ellipsoidal mass distribution (PIEMD; Limousin et al. 2005). We use as constraints the redshift of the source, the coordinates of the counterimage, and a series of coordinates tracing the giant arc. The best-fit model is found through Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) minimization in the source plane. The resulting first-order model is not a satisfactory fit to the data, but it indicates that the giant arc is composed of three images of the source. As a second step, we break the giant arc into three different parts, using the observed symmetry of the substructure and guided by the location of the critical curves of the initial model. In our final model, we add the lensing contributions of the 30 brightest cluster-member galaxies, each represented by a PIEMD with parameters that follow the observed properties of the galaxies through scaling relations (see Limousin et al. 2007 for further description of the scaling relations). Cluster members are selected via their location relative to the cluster red sequence in a color–magnitude diagram. We let all the parameters of the cluster halo vary in the MCMC minimization, but introduce priors on the velocity dispersion following the observed value (see Section 2.3). The parameters of the best-fit model are enumerated in Table 3, and the corresponding critical curves are shown in Figure 6.

In order to scale the measured brightness back to the source plane and estimate the luminosity of the source, we need to know the average magnification of the source due to lensing. We estimate the magnification uncertainty through a Monte Carlo simulation, in which we compute many lens models, in each one drawing a set of model parameters from steps in the MCMC that are within $[\chi^2_{\text{min}} - 2, \chi^2_{\text{min}} + 2]$ of the best-fit model. The average magnification of the counterimage and its uncertainty as predicted by these models is 2.04 ± 0.16. The magnification of the giant arc is highly sensitive to its location relative to the critical curve in the image plane, and consequently very sensitive to the details of the model. Areas of the arc that lie closer to the critical curves are more highly magnified. The resolution of the current imaging data is insufficient to obtain separate magnifications for the three merged images that make up the giant arc or for any of the visible substructure. We therefore estimate an average magnification for the whole arc from the more robust magnification estimate of the counterimage and the relative sizes of the arc and counterimage on the sky. We count the number of pixels above a brightness threshold in the $I$-band data for both; for a range of surface brightness thresholds $\mu$ between 24.32 and 25.07 mag arcsec$^{-2}$, we find a relative size

### Table 2

|     | $u$  | $B$  | $g$  | $r$  | $I$  | $z$  | $J$  | $H$  | $K_s$  |
|-----|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|--------|
| A   | 19.17 ± 0.100 | 19.14 ± 0.070 | 19.15 ± 0.055 | 19.07 ± 0.065 | 19.02 ± 0.080 | 18.90 ± 0.065 | 18.33 ± 0.090 | 18.36 ± 0.075 | 18.43 ± 0.080 |
| C   | 21.39 ± 0.100 | 21.26 ± 0.075 | 21.36 ± 0.060 | 21.29 ± 0.060 | 21.39 ± 0.080 | 21.22 ± 0.070 | 20.72 ± 0.080 | 20.83 ± 0.140 | 20.92 ± 0.120 |

Figure 5. Top: cutout of PSF-matched $I$ band; circles show galaxies that need to be subtracted—the red circles correspond to the two cluster members on top of the arc. Middle: final subtracted $I$-band image. Bottom: final $I$-band image with arc apertures.
ratio of $8.45 \pm 0.15$. After multiplying this by the magnification of the counterimage, we estimate the average magnification of the giant arc to be $17.2 \pm 1.4$.

5. STELLAR ENERGY DISTRIBUTIONS

5.1. Modeling Procedure

The SED of a galaxy is governed by its star formation and metal enrichment history in conjunction with its current stage of stellar evolution and dust attenuation and as such contains information on the galaxy’s stellar mass, age, star formation history, metallicity, and dust content. It is unfortunately not an easy task to extract these parameters with a high degree of certainty from an observed SED, a problem which gets increasingly worse toward higher redshifts. Recent studies have shown that the choice of stellar evolution prescription is the largest systematic uncertainty in the interpretation of a galaxy’s SED, overshadowing the random errors which arise from the photometric uncertainties. It is not a goal of this paper to conduct a complete comparison (see, e.g., Maraston 2005; Conroy & Gunn 2010; Muzzin et al. 2009; Wuyts et al. 2009), but it is important to understand the main aspects. One of the major challenges remains the treatment of thermally pulsating asymptotic giant branch (TP-AGB) stars, which contribute significantly to the rest-frame near-IR emission for galaxies in the age range $0.2 \text{ Gyr} \leq t \leq 2 \text{ Gyr}$ (Maraston 2005). Since the near-IR traces a galaxy’s old stellar population, this is a key issue in the study of stellar masses. The updated version of the stellar evolution models by Charlot & Bruzual (hereafter CB07, kindly made available by the authors) includes a revised treatment of TP-AGB stars and brings them into closer agreement with the other widely used class of models by Maraston (2005). We use the models based on a Chabrier initial mass function (IMF; Chabrier 2003). It is well established that a Salpeter IMF (Salpeter 1955) overpredicts the number of stars less massive than $1 M_{\odot}$, increasing the inferred stellar masses and star formation rates (SFRs) by a factor of $\sim 1.5–2$ (see, e.g., Papovich et al. 2001). We investigate the influence of a Salpeter IMF for RCSGA 032727-132609 in Section 6.3 to allow comparison with other studies. The dust extinction is governed by the Calzetti extinction law (Calzetti et al. 2000), which has been derived for local starbursts. The validity of this extinction law at high redshift has yet to be tested adequately. It has been suggested that the Calzetti law overpredicts dust extinction for young LBGs (ages $\lesssim 100$ Myr) when compared to other diagnostics (Reddy et al. 2006b, 2010; Siana et al. 2008, 2009). Muzzin et al. (2009) find a 10%–20% systematic effect on stellar population parameters from the choice of dust extinction law, small compared to the systematic errors connected to the choice of stellar evolution model. Solar metallicity models are used as a default choice, most suitable for comparison with the literature. Lower metallicities are investigated in Section 5.3.

We use an updated version of the code Hyperz (Bolzonella et al. 2000), kindly made available to us by M. Bolzonella, which performs SED fitting at a fixed spectroscopic redshift. The code matches the observed broadband SED to a set of template spectra through a maximum-likelihood procedure, quantifying the goodness of fit via $\chi^2$-statistics. It is important to note that the code does not interpolate on the template grids; the input template set must be densely populated. We use the solar metallicity templates from CB07 for a range of exponentially declining star formation histories of the form $\text{SFR}(t) \sim \exp(-t/\tau)$, with e-folding times $\tau = 0.01, 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 1, 2 \text{ Gyr}$, as well as continuous star formation (CSF) models. For the main analysis, we do not consider more complex star formation histories such as multiple component models with several short-duration bursts, since the data do not place strong constraints on even the single-component models.
Stellar Population Parameters

|                | Arc     | Counterimage |
|----------------|---------|--------------|
| $Z_\odot$      | 0.4 $Z_\odot$ | $Z_\odot$ | 0.4 $Z_\odot$ |
| Age (Myr)      | $91 \pm 65$ | $91 \pm 27$ | $143 \pm 90$ | $72 \pm 35$ |
| $E(B-V)$       | $\leq 0.035$ | $\leq 0.110$ | $\leq 0.025$ | $\leq 0.045$ |
| $\tau$ (Myr)   | 10–50   | 10–50 | 10–50 | 10–50 |
| $\log(M/M_\odot)$ | $10.07 \pm 0.08$ | $10.05 \pm 0.07$ | $10.01 \pm 0.10$ | $9.95 \pm 0.07$ |
| SFR ($M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$) | $\leq 35$ | $\leq 77$ | $\leq 38$ | $\leq 41$ |

Figure 7. Best-fit stellar energy distributions for the arc and counterimage. Photometry in $u$, $B$, $g$, $r$, $i$, $z$, $J$, $H$, and $K_s$ bands is overplotted as data points with 1σ error bars.

We only briefly investigate an extreme two-component model in the next section to determine an upper limit on the stellar mass from a maximally old underlying burst. Ages are allowed to vary between 0.1 Myr and the age of the universe at $z = 1.701$ and extinction is constrained to be $E(B-V) \leq 0.75$.

The procedure outputs the best-fit SED and the corresponding stellar population parameters. Independent estimates are obtained for the arc and the counterimage and can be used as an internal consistency check of the photometry as well as the SED modeling procedure. The age $\tau$, reddening factor $E(B-V)$, and star formation history $\tau$ depend solely on the galaxy colors, and should be similar for both images of the same background galaxy. The stellar mass and current SFR have an additional dependence on the lensing magnification and are corrected for the magnification factors obtained in Section 4. The uncertainties related to the lens model need to be taken into account when comparisons are made for these two parameters.

5.2. Results

Figure 7 shows the best-fit SEDs for the arc and counterimage found from the set of models described above. The fits are good, with a reduced $\chi^2$ of 0.87 and 0.89 for the arc and counterimage, respectively. It is important to supplement the best-fit stellar population parameters with parameter confidence intervals allowed by the photometric uncertainties. We create 1000 fake realizations of the observed SED by perturbing each broadband magnitude measurement in a manner consistent with its error bars. This set of fake SEDs is fit in exactly the same SED modeling procedure. The age $\tau$, reddening factor $E(B-V)$, and star formation history $\tau$ depend solely on the galaxy colors, and should be similar for both images of the same background galaxy. The stellar mass and current SFR have an additional dependence on the lensing magnification and are corrected for the magnification factors obtained in Section 4. The uncertainties related to the lens model need to be taken into account when comparisons are made for these two parameters.

The correspondence between the stellar population parameters independently inferred from the arc and counterimage is encouraging.

A correct interpretation of SED modeling results has to take into account the internal degeneracies that arise when many stellar population parameters (age, dust, star formation history, current SFR) are fitted simultaneously. The amount of dust extinction and the age of the stellar population influence the rest-frame UV spectral slope in a similar manner: the SED will overall be redder for an older stellar population or more dust extinction. This degeneracy can be reduced if near-IR photometry is included in the SED to cover the age-sensitive 4000Å break, but not completely eliminated. Another trade-off exists between the current SFR and the preferred star formation history. A short-duration burst, with $e$-folding time $\tau = 10–50$ Myr, will require less current star formation than a CSF model to create the same total integrated number of stars, which is what is ultimately constrained by the normalization of the observed SED. The ratio of young stars will of course influence the rest-frame UV spectral slope, but this can be corrected by adjusting the amount of dust extinction and the age of the stellar population.

When keeping in mind these internal degeneracies and the intrinsic uncertainties related to the choice of stellar evolution model, the stellar mass is the most robust parameter that can be determined from SED modeling. However, there are other effects to be taken into account. First of all, the stellar mass reported from SED modeling corresponds to the mass turned into stars by the age of the galaxy based on its star formation history. This is an overprediction since during the galaxy’s lifetime stars die and leave remnants whose mass is smaller than the initial one (Renzini & Ciotti 1993). For the relatively young age of RCSGA 032727–132609, this mass returned to the interstellar medium (ISM) will be a very small percentage.

The biggest mass bias is caused by the use of single-component star formation history models. These attribute the emission at all wavelengths from rest-frame UV through near-IR to a single, smoothly declining or continuous episode of star formation, which is not a very realistic picture of the episodic star formation histories that arise in a hierarchical model of galaxy formation. When a young episode of star formation is superimposed on an older burst that peaked sometime in the past, the stellar mass contribution of this older stellar population will likely not be captured by single-component modeling of the overall SED. In order to set a rough upper limit on the range of stellar masses allowed by the SED of RCSGA 032727–132609, we follow Shapley et al. (2005) and Daddi et al. (2004b) in combining a very young model, which dominates the emission at rest-frame UV wavelengths, with a maximally old underlying burst, which dominates the near-IR emission and whose age is only limited by the age of the universe at $z = 1.701$. Specifically, we scale a young ($\tau = 10$ Myr) CSF model to the observed $r$-band magnitude, subtract this model from the observed SED, and scale the maximally old ($\tau = 3$ Gyr, $\tau = 100$ Myr) model to match the residual near-IR magnitudes. The stellar mass is the sum of the two components, largely dominated by the older stellar population, and is found to be about twice as large as our best-fit single-component mass (after correcting for the mass returned to the ISM by supernovae). Similarly, the age...
inferred from SED modeling represents the duration of the current episode of star formation and could be larger for an underlying older stellar population.

5.3. Constraints on Metallicity

Since a more metal-rich stellar population will produce a redder SED, metallicity adds a degree of freedom to the degeneracy between dust extinction, age, and star formation that arises from the rest-frame UV spectral slope. We have used solar metallicity models for easy comparison to the literature and to remove one parameter in this degeneracy. It is of interest that arises from the rest-frame UV spectral slope. We have used degeneracy between dust extinction, age, and star formation a redder SED, metallicity adds a degree of freedom to the

6. CONTEXT

6.1. Luminosity

An often quoted measure of the brightness of a galaxy is its comparison to $L_*$, the luminosity of the characteristic break in the Schechter luminosity function (Schechter 1976). There have been a number of recent studies of the luminosity function at $z \sim 1.7$. Arnouts et al. (2005) provide an estimate of the UV luminosity function at 1500 Å for a sample of galaxies at $1.75 < z < 2.25$ with a characteristic absolute magnitude $M_* = -20.33 \pm 0.50$. This is consistent with a recent study by Oesch et al. (2010) which reports $M_* = -20.34 \pm 0.29$ for galaxies at $1.5 < z < 2.0$. We compare this to the absolute magnitude at rest-frame 1500 Å of the counterimage of RCSGA 032727-132609, derived from the best-fit SED via $M_{1500} = m_{1500} - 5 \log(D_L/10 \text{ pc}) + 2.5 \log(1 + z)$. We use the counterimage as the best representative of the source because of its more robust magnification estimate. Taking into account the lensing magnification of 2.04 ± 0.16, we find $M_{1500} = -22.37 \pm 0.09$. Relative to the characteristic $M_* = -20.34 \pm 0.29$ postulated by Oesch et al. (2010), we find RCSGA 032727-132609 at a luminosity of 6.5 ± 1.8 $L_*$.

6.2. Stellar Mass

Similar to the comparison to $L_*$ made above, we can compare the stellar mass of RCSGA 032727-132609 to $M_{\text{star}}$ the mass of the characteristic break in the Schechter mass function (Schechter 1976). Marchesini et al. (2009) present a thorough analysis of the evolution of the stellar mass function from $z \sim 4$ to the present. They devote much attention to the systematic uncertainties induced by the assumptions made in the SED modeling of the stellar masses. For the best-fit Schechter function derived with SED assumptions consistent with ours (CB07; Kroupa IMF consistent with Chabrier, Calzetti extinction, $Z_\odot$) for the redshift range 1.3 < $z$ < 2.0, they find $\log(M_{\text{star}}/M_\odot) = 10.80 \pm 0.05$. When comparing this to the stellar mass of $\log(M/M_\odot) = 10.01 \pm 0.10$ obtained from the counterimage, we find RCSGA 032727-132609 at a stellar mass of 0.16 ± 0.04 $M_{\text{star}}$.

6.3. Comparison to Representative Galaxy Samples

In addition to the statistical measures discussed above, we want to place RCSGA 032727-132609 in reference to the overall galaxy population at this redshift that exists in current studies, to determine to what extent the stellar population parameters found in this highly magnified galaxy can be generalized to the known population as a whole. The most representative sample for comparison are the UV selected star-forming galaxies at $1.4 < z \leq 2.5$ collected by Steidel and collaborators (Adelberger et al. 2004; Steidel et al. 2004; Shapley et al. 2005). They have adapted the color criteria from the successful LBG continuum break technique at $z \sim 3$ (Steidel et al. 1996, 2003) to lower redshifts, defining “BM” and “BX” color criteria for $1.4 < z < 2.0$ and $2.0 < z < 2.5$, respectively (Adelberger et al. 2004). Reddy et al. (2006a) present SED modeling for a large sample of 212 spectroscopically confirmed “BM/BX” galaxies. Because of the overall faint magnitudes, the star formation histories remain basically unconstrained by SED modeling and they focus on stellar masses as a more robust diagnostic. Specifically for the subsample of 51 “BM” galaxies at $z = 1.72 \pm 0.34$, they find an average Salpeter stellar mass of $\langle \log(M/M_\odot) \rangle = 9.86 \pm 0.57$. Their sample spans a large dynamic range in age (few Myr
to 2.5 Gyr), reddening \((E(B - V) = 0.0 - 0.5)\), and SFR
\((\text{SFR} = 0 - 914 \text{ M}_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1})\).

When fitting the SED of the counterimage of RCSGA 032727-132609 with solar metallicity Salpeter IMF models, we find a stellar mass of \(\log(M/M_\odot) = 10.26 \pm 0.10\), a factor of 1.8 higher than what was stated above based on the Chabrier IMF, in agreement with the known overprediction of the number of low-mass stars by the Salpeter IMF. This is consistent with the average stellar mass found by Reddy et al. (2006a). The best-fit age, reddening, and SFR of RCSGA 032727-132609 also fall into the large ranges found for this comparison sample.

### 7. SUMMARY

This paper reports on the discovery of an exceptionally bright and extended star-forming galaxy at \(z = 1.701\), strongly lensed by a foreground cluster discovered in the RCS2 survey. The giant arc is \(~3.5\) times brighter than cB58 and extends over \(38\)" on the sky. We measure a velocity dispersion of \(988 \pm 122 \text{ km s}^{-1}\) for the cluster and estimate a virial mass of \(M_{200} = 1.1 \times 10^{15} \text{ M}_\odot h^{-1}\), in accord with the large Einstein radius of \(\sim 17.8\)". A lens model is constructed for the cluster using the publicly available software LENSTOOL (Jullo et al. 2007) and results in a magnification factor of \(2.04 \pm 0.16\) for the counterimage and an estimate of \(17.2 \pm 1.4\) for the average magnification of the giant arc, based on the relative sizes of the arc and the counterimage on the sky. Higher resolution imaging is required to create a robust lens model for the giant arc which correctly explains the apparent substructure.

Careful measurements of consistent magnitudes for nine bands of photometry ranging from \(u\) to \(K_s\) produce a well-constrained SED. SED fitting is based on CB07 models with a Chabrier IMF and Calzetti dust extinction. The systematic uncertainties which arise from the choice of stellar evolution model, IMF, and extinction law can be large and often exceed the statistical uncertainties from photometric errors. Our best-fit model places RCSGA 032727-132609 at a metallicity of \(0.4 Z_\odot\) with a moderately young age, \(t = 80 \pm 40\) Myr and a relatively small amount of dust extinction, \(E(B - V) \leq 0.11\). Taking into account the lensing magnification, we find a stellar mass of \(M_\star \sim 10^{10} \text{ M}_\odot\), and a current star formation rate \(SFR \leq 77 \text{ M}_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}\). The agreement between the stellar population parameters independently inferred from the giant arc and counterimage, both images of the same background galaxy, is a good consistency check of the photometry, SED fitting procedure, and lens modeling. Single-component SED models report ages and stellar mass measurements for the current episode of star formation. When allowing for an episodic star formation history, twice this mass could be present in an older underlying burst without affecting the SED detectably.

A comparison to the characteristic \(L_\star\) and \(M_\star^\text{star}\) of the Schechter luminosity and mass functions at \(z \sim 1.7\) places RCSGA 032727-132609 at a luminosity of \(6.5 \pm 1.8 \text{ L}_\odot\) and a stellar mass of \(0.16 \pm 0.04 \text{ M}_\star^\text{star}\), suggesting a low-mass starbursting galaxy. The Salpeter stellar mass estimate of RCSGA 032727-132609 is consistent with the average stellar mass for a sample of “BM” galaxies at \(z = 1.72 \pm 0.34\) (Reddy et al. 2006a) and its age, reddening, and current SFR generally fall into the broad range of stellar population parameters found for this comparison sample. The large magnification and spatial extent of RCSGA 032727-132609 provide a unique opportunity to spatially resolve one representative example of the variety of stellar populations found at these redshifts and study it in great detail. This paper has presented only our first level of understanding of this unique galaxy.
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