CHARACTERISTICS OF SUNFLOWER WAX, CARNAUBA WAX AND BEESWAX IN PALM SUPEROLEIN BLENDED OIL
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ABSTRACT

The aim of the study was to analyse the effect of different percentages (0%-3.5%) of sunflower wax (SFW), carnauba wax (CW) and beeswax (BW) in 1:1 (v:v) palm superolein and sunflower oil blend (OSW). Selected physicochemical analyses, namely fatty acid composition, crystal structure and shear viscosity were conducted for OSW selection to be used in a product that is spreadable at low temperature (5°C-10°C) and phase separation does not occur at high temperature (40°C-45°C). Mono-unsaturated and poly-unsaturated as well as saturated fatty acids of all OSW were significantly different (p<0.05). Crystals in OSW with SFW showed needle-like structure and fibrous, while OSW with CW was observed to be spherulitic and OSW with BW was needle-like in shape at 10°C, 25°C, 40°C and 45°C. OSW with 3.5% SFW and 3.5% CW showed dense packing at 45°C; hence, it had the potential to prevent phase separation in the end product at high temperatures. The shear viscosity of all OSW at all temperatures was below 2.0 Pa.s, in which SFW showed the highest value at 5°C, although the value was low. Therefore, based on the experimental parameters, 3.5% CW can be implied as the best structuring agent, followed by 3.5% SFW.
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INTRODUCTION

Lipid is the main ingredient used in various types of food products. It imparts texture, aroma, taste and makes food more appealing (Pehlivanoğlu et al., 2018). Most food products that have high lipid content also contain solid fats. These solid fats consist of trans fatty acids and/or saturated fatty acids that might have a negative impact on health (de Souza et al., 2015; Wassell et al., 2010). Therefore, one of the reasons for the utilisation of oleogelation (a gelling agent that traps liquid vegetable oils to form three-dimensional (3D) network system) is due to scientific evidence, which showed that trans fatty acids produced from partial hydrogenation are detrimental to health. The partial hydrogenation method uses liquid vegetable oil by converting liquid oil into a solid form with the desired hardness. As trans fatty acids are harmful to health, the GRAS status (generally recognised as safe) for trans fatty acids was revoked by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA), the United States on 16 June 2015. The use of trans fatty acids in food products was fully banned in the United States starting June 2018 (FDA, 2018).

Oleogelation is also proposed to replace the use of saturated fatty acids to improve the nutritional properties of food products as it only utilises unsaturated fatty acids and gels. This oleogelation

1 Malaysian Palm Oil Board, 6 Persiaran Institut, Bandar Baru Bangi, 43000 Kajang, Selangor, Malaysia.
2 Department of Food Sciences, Faculty of Science and Technology, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, 43600 UKM, Bangi, Selangor, Malaysia.
* Corresponding author email: azuraaila@mpob.gov.my
method does not change the saturation level of fatty acids found in food products (Öğütcü and Yilmaz, 2014). The use of unsaturated fatty acids without gel is technically challenging, especially in the structuring of food products. For example, liquid vegetable oils that contain many unsaturated fatty acids give unsatisfactory performance when used for cake-making and related purposes due to their low viscosity (Kim et al., 2017).

To replace these trans and saturated fatty acids, the oleogelation method that uses gels to produce oleogel was reported to physically replace the function and texture of solid fats by trapping liquid vegetable oils in their network structure (Daniel and Rajasekharan, 2003; Hwang et al., 2012; Singh et al., 2017). The oleogel produced has solid/gel-like properties (Co and Marangoni, 2012). Nevertheless, this type of oleogel will still have a high content of unsaturated fatty acids and low saturated fatty acids. Furthermore, the oleogel does not contain water, possesses viscoelasticity and can undergo a process of thermal reversal (Gallego et al., 2013; Hughes et al., 2009).

The incorporation of oleogel into various products has made oleogelation an important structuring method that was widely researched and applied over the past two decades (Co and Marangoni, 2012; Zetzl and Marangoni, 2014). For example, the cosmetics and pharmaceutical industries have used this oleogelation method for a long time to produce a desirable stable product by using liquid organic solvents such as benzene and hexane (Balasubramaniam et al., 2014; Kumar and Katare, 2005; Vintiloiu and Leroux, 2008). The term organogelation is usually used for organic solvents, while the term oleogelation is used when this organic solvent is replaced with liquid vegetable oil. The mechanism of oleogelation depends on various factors, amongst which are the composition of a gel material and its interaction with the lipid phase. The most commonly used method for liquid vegetable oil structuring is the addition of a gel material (one or more ingredients) directly into the liquid vegetable oil. One of the gel ingredients is food-grade wax. Wax is commonly used in small quantities to produce oleogel (Doan et al., 2018; Hughes et al., 2009; Patel et al., 2013; Sánchez et al., 2011; Stortz et al., 2012). Waxes are categorised as non-lipid monomeric liners. In addition to waxes, other gel materials that can be used are polymeric coatings (ethyl cellulose), and lipid monomeric coatings (fatty acids, phospholipids, monoglycerides and ceramides) and non-lipid monomeric coatings (sorbitol and γ-oryzanol phytosterols other than wax) (Rogers et al., 2014).

This article will discuss the fatty acid composition (FAC), crystal structure and shear viscosity of blended OSW, containing 50% of palm superolein (POOo) and 50% of sunflower oil (SFO) with various percentages of sunflower wax (SFW), carnauba wax (CW) and beeswax (BW) to obtain oleogel or oil system with wax (OSW) that can be spreadable at low temperature (5°C-10°C), but the oleogel will not experience phase separation at high temperature (40°C-45°C). This characteristic has not yet been researched or published. The rationale for the selection of 50% of POOo and 50% of SFO base oil was discussed in the paper by Norazura et al. (2021). In this article, the term OSW will be used to replace oleogel.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Material

POOo (IV 62) was bought from Mewah Oils Sdn. Bhd., while sunflower oil (SFO) (Naturel brand) was from a local supermarket in Selangor. SFW was bought from Hufaqa Sepakat Enterprise, Selangor, Malaysia. Meanwhile CW and BW were from Anizz Legacy Resources, Selangor, Malaysia.

#### Method

**Preparation of OSW.** As shown in Table 1, three types of waxes, namely SFW, CW and BW at different percentages (0.0%-3.5%) were blended with 1:1 (v:v) palm superolein and sunflower oil. Then, OSW was heated to 90°C and stirred to dilute the waxes.

| Oil Type of wax     | Percentage of wax |
|---------------------|-------------------|
| Sunflower wax (SFW) | 0.0%              |
|                     | 0.5%              |
|                     | 1.0%              |
|                     | 1.5%              |
|                     | 2.0%              |
|                     | 2.5%              |
|                     | 3.0%              |
|                     | 3.5%              |
| Carnauba wax (CB)   | 0.0%              |
|                     | 0.5%              |
|                     | 1.0%              |
|                     | 1.5%              |
|                     | 2.0%              |
|                     | 2.5%              |
|                     | 3.0%              |
|                     | 3.5%              |
| Superolein and sunflower wax (1:1) | 0.0%           |
|                     | 0.5%              |
|                     | 1.0%              |
|                     | 1.5%              |
|                     | 2.0%              |
|                     | 2.5%              |
|                     | 3.0%              |
|                     | 3.5%              |
| Beeswax (BW)        | 0.0%              |
|                     | 0.5%              |
|                     | 1.0%              |
|                     | 1.5%              |
|                     | 2.0%              |
|                     | 2.5%              |
|                     | 3.0%              |
|                     | 3.5%              |
**Fatty acid composition (FAC).** FAC of oil system with wax was analysed according to MPOB Test Method (MPOB, 2005) based on fatty acid methyl esters (FAME) by using gas chromatography. Then, samples of 0.1 g were weighed and dissolved in hexane (1.8 mL). The solution of sample was mixed by using a vortex mixer. Sodium methoxide solution (100 µL) was added to the samples, followed by water. Mixing was performed in between each process. The sample solution was left for 1 hr to separate. The clear supernatant was transferred into another vial with added sodium sulphate anhydrous. It was kept for 15 min before a complete clear supernatant of 1.5 mL was injected into GC (Agilent Technologies, Model 7890B) that has a flame ionisation detector (FID). The column was set at 185°C while the injector and detector temperatures were set at 230°C. Helium gas (carrier) with a flow rate of 1 mL/min was used. Identification of peaks was done by comparing retention times with FAME standards and quantified by using the peak area normalisation method.

**Crystal structure.** The crystal microstructure of OSW was determined by using a polarised light microscope (PLM) as described by Chai et al. (2018) with slight modification. A Leica DMLP polarised light microscope (Wetzlar, Germany) equipped with a Linkam THMS 600 temperature controller stage and a JVC 3-CCD colour video camera was used. The sample was first heated to 90°C for at least 60 min to erase crystal memory. A small droplet (about 15 µL) of the melted sample was placed on a glass slide and covered with a glass slip. The sample was measured at 10, 25, 40 and 45°C. The temperature was thermostatically controlled by a Linkam TP 94 multiramp temperature programmer and LNP automatic cooling system (Linkam, Tadworth, Surrey, United Kingdom). Liquid nitrogen was used as the coolant. The Photomicrograph of the crystal was taken at 10× magnification.

**Rheology (shear viscosity).** Shear viscosity of OSW was measured by using modular advanced rheometer (Haake, Mars). OSW (17 g) were placed in a cylindrical cup (diameter of 27.206 mm) with rotor (diameter of 25.082 mm). Sample was tested at temperature of 5, 10, 25, 40 and 45°C. Prior to this, the OSW was stored at 5, 10, 25, 40 and 45°C for 24 hr. The shear viscosity was measured at 100, 200, 300, 400 and 500/s.

**Statistical analysis.** Results were analysed with Minitab Version 16.0 (Pennsylvania, United States) by using one-way ANOVA. Significance differences (p<0.05) amongst the samples were analysed by using Tukey’s post-hoc test. All tests were carried out in triplicate.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The Effect of Waxes on Fatty Acid Composition (FAC) of Oil System with Wax (OSW)

FAC of POOo and SFO as well as oil system containing 50.00% POOo and 50.00% SFO with 0.00%-3.50% SFW, CW and BW, were shown in Table 2. POOo contained 58.46% unsaturated fatty acids (USFA, combination of monounsaturated and polyunsaturated fatty acids) and 41.54% of saturated fatty acids (SAFA). Meanwhile SFO had 91.24% of USFA and 8.76% of SAFA. POOo was high in oleic acid (45.09%) and palmitic acid (36.01%), while SFO was high in linoleic acid (55.96%) and oleic acid (34.68%). FAC of POOo at the range of 45.26%-46.26% of oleic acid and 34.9%-36.13% of palmitic acid were reported by Abdel-Razek et al. (2017), Ng et al. (2014) and Romano et al. (2012).

An oil system containing 50.00% POOo and 50.00% SFO without wax had 73.75% of USFA and 26.25% of SAFA. The oil system without wax was also high in oleic, linoleic and palmitic acids. The oil demonstrated increment in USFA and decrement in SAFA as compared to 100% POOo. The OSW with SFW, CW and BW at all percentages had a similar FAC trend, in which they were high in oleic, linoleic and palmitic acids. Within OSW with SFW, oleic acid of 2.50% SFW and 3.50% SFW were significantly different (p<0.05) from other experimental percentages (0.50%, 1.00%, 1.50%, 2.00% and 3.00%) while for OSW with CW and BW, 3.50% of wax was significantly different (p<0.05) from other experimental percentages (0.50%, 1.00%, 1.50%, 2.00%, 2.50% and 3.00%) within their own system. For linoleic acid, OSW with 3.00% SFW had significantly different values (p<0.05) as compared to other experimental percentages (0.50%, 1.00%, 1.50%, 2.00%, 2.50% and 3.50%) while for OSW with CW and BW, 3.50% of wax was significantly different (p<0.05) from other experimental percentages (0.50%, 1.00%, 1.50%, 2.00%, 2.50% and 3.00%) within their own system. For palmitic acid, OSW with 2.00% and 3.00% SFW, OSW with 3.00% and 3.50% CW and OSW with 3.5% BW had significantly different values (p<0.05) within their own systems. Although FAC values of the waxes were significantly different (p<0.05), their range was small, and thus, their saturation level was comparable due to not much difference observed for the overall composition range of their respective FAC. OSW with SFW at all percentage levels had oleic acid in the range of 37.44%-41.51%, CW in the range of 35.53%-37.28% and BW in the range of 36.46%-39.65%. Linoleic acid of OSW with SFW, CW and BW of all experimental percentages (0.5%-3.5%) was in the range of 32.84%-36.30%, 35.00%-39.91% and 34.69%-36.79%, respectively. This was in line with the findings by Jang et al. (2017).
(2015) which showed quite a similar FAC value for all fatty acids when 3% and 6% of candelilla wax were added to canola oil as compared to the FAC value of 100% canola oil. Notwithstanding this, the fatty acid values of OSW were quite close to the value of the oil system without wax.

USAFA of OSW ranged from 74.88%-76.02% for SFW, 74.75%-75.94% for CW and 75.39%-76.10% for BW. SAFA of OSW with SFW, CW and BW were in the range of 23.93%-26.33%, 24.02%-26.39% and 23.41%-27.41%, respectively. OSW of all waxes was statistically significant in terms of MONO, POLY and SAFA. However, no trend was observed with different percentages of wax as the values of USAFA and SAFA did not vary much, and thus, would not affect the saturation level.

The Effect of Waxes on Crystal Structure of Oil System with Wax (OSW)

Crystal structure of OSW with SFW, CW and BW at 0.5%-3.5% for 10, 25, 40 and 45°C are shown in Figures 1, 2 and 3. Crystal structure at 5°C could not be determined in the reaction of OSW with SFW, CW and BW for 0.5%-3.5% due to water condensation. Theoretically, there will be no crystal for the oil system without wax at 10°C and above as both of the oil used were liquid oil. Moreover, more than 70.0% of their FAC was USAFA. Crystal in OSW with SFW showed needle-like structure and fibrous similar to the findings by Patel et al. (2014). The fibrous morphology of the system reflected the high content of wax esters in SFW, which was a major component that contributed to the good gelation (Blake et al., 2014). The network of SFW crystals experienced significant changes when the percentage of SFW was increased from 0.5% to 3.5% for all experimental temperatures. At 10°C, OSW with SFW showed a denser structure when the wax percentage was increased from 0.5% to 3.5%, and this could affect the end product which required good spreadability at that temperature. At 25°C, a similar result as at 10°C was observed when the wax percentage increased. However, the packing was less dense than at 10°C. This trend was similar to the results by Sandoval et al. (2020), which had 2.0%, 3.5% and 5.0% of SFW at room temperature. At 40°C and 45°C, crystals in the OSW with SFW were still dense except for 0.5% and 1.0% SFW as well as 0.5%, 1.0% and 1.5% SFW, respectively. There was an increase in birefringence at a high concentration of SFW and crystals were observed to be aggregated. The high percentage of SFW in the emulsion may produce nucleation of crystal and domination of crystal growth, which resulted in finer crystals (Sandoval et al., 2020). Due to the morphology and arrangement of the SFW network, an increase in hardness might be found in OSW which had a higher percentage of SFW, especially at 10°C. In addition, 3.5% SFW showed dense packing. It was interesting to observe that 2.5%, 3.0% and 3.5% SFW were still in dense packing at 45°C; hence, could better retain the base oil from oiling out. Notwithstanding this, OSW with 2.0%-3.5% SFW was observed to be dense in packing and could affect the end product which required good spreadability at that temperature.

The crystal structure of OSW with CW was observed to be spherulitic. A similar finding was reported by Öğütcü and Yılmaz (2015) with 7.0% and 10.0% addition of CW to hazelnut oil. This was also supported by Dassanayake et al. (2009) for CW in olive oil. The crystal structure of OSW with CW at 10°C was almost evenly spread for all wax percentages. Finer crystals were observed at a low percentage of CW. At 3.5%, CW agglomeration of crystals was observed. At 25°C, OSW with 3.5% CW was observed to have denser packing compared to other wax percentages. At 3.0% CW, the crystal structures were coarse. OSW with 0.5% and 1.0% CW was liquefied with very minor crystals present at 25°C. At 40°C and 45°C, the crystal structure became denser when it reached 3.0% CW, which was similar to the trend of OSW with CW at 25°C. However, the crystals became coarser and lumpy at 3.5% CW at 40°C and 45°C. Crystal in OSW of 2.5% CW at 45°C was more homogenised than 3.0% CW at similar temperatures. OSW with 3.0% and 3.5% CW were observed to be less dense as compared to SFW at similar percentages at 45°C, and thus, oiling out might occur more (in volume) compared to 3.5% SFW. However, wax structure, especially for OSW with 3.5% CW, was observed to trap base oil, and thus, might hinder the base oil from excessive oiling out. OSW with 3.5% CW also showed dense packing but lesser than OSW with 3.5% SFW at 10°C; hence, it was anticipated to be more spreadable as compared to OSW with 3.5% SFW but with a coarser texture.

The crystal structure of OSW with BW at all percentages and experimental temperatures was needle-like in shape, which was similarly reported by Moghtadaei et al. (2018) and Martin et al. (2016). At 10, 25, 40 and 45°C, less crystal was observed for OSW at 0.5%-1.5%. OSW with a higher percentage of wax yielded a denser system but was influenced by temperature. However, for 3.5% BW at 40°C, the crystal became clumpy. Notwithstanding this, this denser crystal system was similar to the finding by Martin et al. (2017) who observed a denser packing for 2.0%-8.0% BW in high oleic sunflower oil. The densest crystal was observed at 3.5% BW at 10°C. In view that the crystal structure of OSW with 3.5% BW was very loose in packing at 45°C, the OSW might oil out more and faster than 3.5% SFW and 3.5% CW.
| Sample | 12:0 | 14:0 | 16:0 | 16:1 | 18:0 | 18:1 | 18:2 | 18:3 | 20:0 | 20:1 | OTHERS | MONO | POLY | SAT. |
|--------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|--------|------|------|------|
| POO 0% | 0.44±0.02 | 1.07±0.01 | 3.01±0.02 | N/D | 3.06±0.04 | 3.55±0.10 | 0.36±0.20 | N/D | 2.96±0.07 | 40.63±0.00 | 3.04±0.04 | 4.14±0.28 | 41.54±0.10 |
| SFO 100% | 0.07±0.00 | 0.59±0.00 | 2.15±0.04 | 0.22±0.02 | 2.83±0.02 | 3.83±0.02 | 3.83±0.02 | 3.83±0.02 | 3.83±0.02 | 3.83±0.02 | 3.83±0.02 | 3.83±0.02 | 3.83±0.02 |
| SWF 1% | 0.17±0.00 | 0.59±0.00 | 2.15±0.04 | 0.22±0.02 | 2.83±0.02 | 3.83±0.02 | 3.83±0.02 | 3.83±0.02 | 3.83±0.02 | 3.83±0.02 | 3.83±0.02 | 3.83±0.02 | 3.83±0.02 |
| SWF 2% | 0.17±0.00 | 0.59±0.00 | 2.15±0.04 | 0.22±0.02 | 2.83±0.02 | 3.83±0.02 | 3.83±0.02 | 3.83±0.02 | 3.83±0.02 | 3.83±0.02 | 3.83±0.02 | 3.83±0.02 | 3.83±0.02 |
| CW 0.5% | 0.14±0.01 | 0.51±0.01 | 19.63±0.13 | N/D | 2.98±0.10 | 39.10±0.16 | 36.73±0.20 | 0.65±0.07 | 0.13±0.05 | N/D | 0.41±0.04 | 36.69±0.13 | 36.69±0.13 |
| CW 1.0% | 0.15±0.01 | 0.52±0.01 | 20.01±0.04 | N/D | 2.97±0.09 | 39.07±0.32 | 36.79±0.10 | 0.25±0.14 | 0.11±0.02 | N/D | 0.42±0.06 | 36.69±0.13 | 36.69±0.13 |
| BW 0.5% | 0.16±0.01 | 0.52±0.01 | 20.18±0.15 | N/D | 3.33±0.09 | 39.48±0.19 | 36.56±0.48 | 0.53±0.22 | 0.14±0.02 | N/D | 0.43±0.06 | 36.69±0.13 | 36.69±0.13 |
| BW 1.0% | 0.16±0.01 | 0.52±0.01 | 20.18±0.15 | N/D | 3.33±0.09 | 39.48±0.19 | 36.56±0.48 | 0.53±0.22 | 0.14±0.02 | N/D | 0.43±0.06 | 36.69±0.13 | 36.69±0.13 |
| BW 2.5% | 0.16±0.01 | 0.52±0.01 | 20.18±0.15 | N/D | 3.33±0.09 | 39.48±0.19 | 36.56±0.48 | 0.53±0.22 | 0.14±0.02 | N/D | 0.43±0.06 | 36.69±0.13 | 36.69±0.13 |
| BW 3.5% | 0.16±0.01 | 0.52±0.01 | 20.18±0.15 | N/D | 3.33±0.09 | 39.48±0.19 | 36.56±0.48 | 0.53±0.22 | 0.14±0.02 | N/D | 0.43±0.06 | 36.69±0.13 | 36.69±0.13 |
Figure 1. (a) Microscopic images of oil palm fibers treated with different concentrations of NaOH solution.
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Figure 1. (b) Comparison of the fiber structure under varying NaOH concentrations.
Figure 1. Polarised light micrographs (10x lens) of oil system with 0.5%-3.5% sunflower wax at (a) 10°C, (b) 25°C, (c) 40°C and (d) 45°C.

Figure 1. Polarised light micrographs (10x lens) of oil system with 0.5%-3.5% sunflower wax at (a) 10°C, (b) 25°C, (c) 40°C and (d) 45°C.
Figure 2. Polarised light micrographs (10x lens) of oil system with 0.5%-3.5% carnauba wax at (a) 10°C, (b) 25°C, (c) 40°C and (d) 45°C.
Figure 3. Polarised light micrographs (10x lens) of oil system with 0.5%-3.5% beeswax at (a) 10°C, (b) 25°C, (c) 40°C and (d) 45°C.
The Effect of Waxes on Shear Viscosity of Oil System with Wax

Shear viscosity of OSW with SFW, CW and BW at 0.5%-3.5% for 10, 25, 40 and 45°C is shown in Figures 4, 5 and 6. At 5°C, shear viscosity of OSW with SFW was increased with increasing percentage of wax and all were statistically different (p<0.05). This OSW with SFW showed that non-Newtonian and shear thinning behaviour for all oil percentages as shear viscosity was decreased with increasing shear rate as wax thickened the oil system, except for 0.0% SFW. It was expected to observe that OSW with 3.0% SFW and 3.5% SFW produced the highest shear viscosity while the OSW with 0.5% SFW produced the lowest shear viscosity at 5°C at all experimental shear rates. Nevertheless, all shear viscosity of OSW with SFW was below 2.00 Pa.s. The shear viscosity was considered very low, and thus, should not affect spreadability. One of the commercial vegetable fats for confectionery spread was found to be 1.97 Pa.s for the shear rate at 100/s and about 6.00 Pa.s for the shear rate at 500/s at 30°C (Hadnadev et al., 2011). According to Mazzanti et al. (2003), a low shear rate during cooling promoted the alignment of the gelator (10°C prior to the crystallisation onset temperature) during nucleation. However, the nuclei alignment and nucleation rate might change due to the high shear rate, which resulted in alteration of crystal in terms of size, distribution and population. This will consequently alter the strength of the gel (Blake and Marangoni, 2015; Da Pieve et al., 2010; Doan et al., 2018). At 10°C and 25°C, similar non-Newtonian and shear thinning behaviour were observed for OSW with 1.0%-3.5% SFW. However, the shear viscosity values were smaller than that observed at 5°C, especially at 100/s of shear rate with values of less than 1.30 Pa.s and 0.80 Pa.s, respectively. During shearing, heat and mass transfer occurred due to molecular collision which shattered the interaction between gelator, and thus damaged the linkage of crystals and altered the crystal size (Chopin-Doroteo et al., 2011). A higher shear rate produced more heat and higher mass transfer occurred; hence, there was occurrence of shear thinning. Shear viscosity of OSW with 0.5% SFW at 10°C and 25°C were observed to have Newtonian behaviour. At 40°C and 45°C, the shear viscosity values were small, especially at the shear rates of 300/s and 400/s. At shear rate of 100/s, the shear viscosity for 40°C and 45°C were 0.48 Pa.s and 0.39 Pa.s, respectively for OSW with 3.5% SFW. OSW with 0.5% and 1.0% SFW were observed to have Newtonian behaviour while other systems showed non-Newtonian behaviour.

Shear viscosity values of OSW with CW at 5°C were small although they were significantly different (p<0.05). OSW with 0.5%-1.5% CW at 5°C showed Newtonian behaviour while others showed non-Newtonian and shear thinning behaviour. Shear viscosity values of OSW with 3.5% CW at 100/s shear rate were 0.60 Pa.s and was well below the shear viscosity of OSW with 3.5% SFW. Therefore, spreadability at low temperature was possible. At 10°C, OSW with CW was comparable to 5°C. However, only OSW with 0.5% and 1.0% CW were observed to be Newtonian. At 25, 40 and 45°C, the shear viscosity values were quite alike, with small shear viscosity for all percentages with less than 0.10 Pa.s.

At 5°C, shear viscosity of OSW with all percentages of BW were low although they were significantly different (p<0.05). Newtonian behaviour was observed for shear rate of 200/s-500/s at 5°C. OSW with 3.5% BW was observed to have shear viscosity of less than 0.50 Pa.s for shear rate of 100/s; hence, will be the easiest to spread at low temperature for a spreadable product as compared to 3.5% SFW and 3.5% CW. At 10°C, 0.5% BW was observed to have Newtonian behaviour while other percentages showed non-Newtonian behaviour. Shear viscosity of OSW at 25, 40 and 45°C were comparable for all percentages, except for 0.5%-1.5% BW with values of below 0.20 Pa.s. 0.5%-1.5% BW had shear viscosity of near 0 Pa.s for all shear rates.

CONCLUSION

FAC for all OSW was significantly different (p<0.05) although the FAC values were not much different from one another, indicating no major impact on saturation level for wax addition in base oil. In view of this, selection of any percentage of OSW might not affect the saturation level of the end product. Notwithstanding this, further selection of OSW was based on crystal structure and shear viscosity. Crystal structure of 3.5% waxes at 10°C showed that OSW with SFW was denser than CW followed by BW. OSW with 3.5% SFW might have difficulty in spreadability at low temperature (10°C). At 40°C and 45°C, crystal structures of OSW with 3.5% SFW were denser as compared to OSW of 3.5% CW and BW. Therefore, OSW with 3.5% SFW might potentially hinder the phase separation. In terms of shear viscosity at 5°C, OSW with 3.5% SFW had the highest value, followed by OSW with 3.5% CW and 3.5% BW. This demonstrated that OSW with 3.5% SFW was difficult to spread, which aligned with the finding of the crystal structure at low temperatures. At 40°C and 45°C, OSW with 3.5% SFW exhibited a higher shear viscosity value as compared to OSW with 3.5% CW and 3.5% BW. To choose a suitable OSW for the end product which requires spreadability at low temperature (5°C-10°C) and to minimise oil separation at high temperature (40°C-45°C), OSW with 3.5% CW might be the optimal choice.
CHARACTERISTICS OF SUNFLOWER WAX, CARNAUBA WAX AND BEESWAX IN PALM SUPEROLEIN BLENDED OIL

(a) Graph showing shear viscosity (Pa.s) against shear rate (1/s) for SFW 0.0%, SFW 0.5%, SFW 1.0%, SFW 1.5%, SFW 2.0%, SFW 2.5%, SFW 3.0%, and SFW 3.5%.
(b) Graph showing shear viscosity (Pa.s) against shear rate (1/s) for SFW 0.0%, SFW 0.5%, SFW 1.0%, SFW 1.5%, SFW 2.0%, SFW 2.5%, SFW 3.0%, and SFW 3.5%.
(c) Graph showing shear viscosity (Pa.s) against shear rate (1/s) for SFW 0.0%, SFW 0.5%, SFW 1.0%, SFW 1.5%, SFW 2.0%, SFW 2.5%, SFW 3.0%, and SFW 3.5%.
Note: Different small letters within each column in the table are significantly different ($p<0.05$).

Figure 4. Shear viscosity at different shear rates of oil system with various percentages of sunflower wax (SFW) at (a) 5°C (b) 10°C (c) 25°C (d) 40°C and (e) 45°C.
**Figure 5.** Shear viscosity at different shear rate of oil system with various percentages of carnauba wax (CW) at (a) 5°C (b) 10°C (c) 25°C (d) 40°C and (e) 45°C.

(Note: Different small letters within each column in the table are significantly different (p<0.05).)
Note: Different small letters within each column in the table are significantly different ($p<0.05$).

Figure 6. Shear viscosity at different shear rates of the oil system with various percentages of beeswax (BW) at (a) 5°C (b) 10°C (c) 25°C (d) 40°C and (e) 45°C.
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