Dynamics of Microbeams under Multi-Frequency Excitations

Alwathiqbellah Ibrahim 1, Nizar Jaber 2, Akhil Chandran 3, Maloth Thirupathi 2 and Mohammad Younis 1,2,*

1 Mechanical Engineering Department, The State University of New York at Binghamton, 4400 Vestal Parkway E., Binghamton, NY 13902, USA; aibrahimi@binghamton.edu
2 Physical Sciences and Engineering (PSE), King Abdullah University of Science and Technology, 23955-6900 Thuwal, Saudi Arabia; nizar.jaber@kaust.edu.sa (N.J.); thirupathi.maloth@kaust.edu.sa (M.T.)
3 Department of Aeronautical Engineering, Annasaheb Dange College of Engineering and Technology, Sangli, Maharashtra 416301, India; aac_aero@adcet.in
* Correspondence: myounis@binghamton.edu; Tel.: +1-607-777-4983

Academic Editors: Stefano Mariani and Nam-Trung Nguyen
Received: 23 November 2016; Accepted: 16 January 2017; Published: 24 January 2017

Abstract: This paper presents an investigation of the dynamics of microbeams under multiple harmonic electrostatic excitation frequencies. First, the response of a cantilever microbeam to two alternating current (AC) source excitation is examined. We show by simulations the response of the microbeam at primary resonance (near the fundamental natural frequency) and at secondary resonances (near half, superharmonic, and twice, subharmonic, the fundamental natural frequency). A multimode Galerkin method combined with the Euler-Bernoulli beam equation, accounting for the nonlinear electrostatic force, has been used to develop a reduced order model. The response of the cantilever microbeam to three AC source excitation is also investigated and shown as a promising technique to enhance the bandwidth of resonators. Finally, an experimental study of a clamped-clamped microbeam is conducted, demonstrating the multi-frequency excitation resonances using two, three, and four AC sources.
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1. Introduction

The last decade has witnessed a growing research interest in down-conversion and the filtering of frequencies from radio frequency to intermediate frequency signals using microelectromechanical systems (MEMS) mixers-filters. Recent advancements in the field of micromachining technologies that yield high-Q, high frequency resonators and their applications as filters have shown the ability to realize the entire radio frequency RF-front end of a wireless transceiver in a single silicon chip. This has led to considerable interest in tuning and generating MEMS resonators of multiple resonance frequencies.

Several works have studied high Q and high resonance frequency resonators as filters and mixers [1–7]. Micro disk resonators have been shown to achieve Q > 2300 (at 193 MHz) [1], as well as Q > 2650 (at 1.156 GHz) [2]. Polysilicon ring resonators have been shown to reach frequencies up to 1.52 GHz with a Q > 2650 [3,4]. Mechanically coupled clamped-clamped microbeams have been introduced to enable MEMS mixer-filters, which convert a 200 MHz signal to 37 MHz [5]. Down-conversion and the filtering of frequencies up to 3.2 GHz has been demonstrated using a resonant mixer filter with potential for a single-chip receiver. Mixing through MEMS resonators have been demonstrated in [6,7].

MEMS mixer-filters exploit the nonlinearity of the electrostatic force with the drive voltage in the electromechanical resonators. Mixing and filtering functions are achieved simultaneously as
the RF signals pass through the resonators. Parametric amplification has been used to improve the
down conversion performance of a multimodal mixer-filter. Maximum amplification was reached
for different modes due to the different values of the spring constant for each mode [8]. Resonators
with capacitive mixer transducers were used to perform mixing and filtering of electrical input signals.
Down conversion for RF signals from 40–200 MHz and 27 MHz were demonstrated in [5]. The method
of multiple scales was used to calculate the response of a three degrees of freedom system under
multi-frequency excitation [9]. An integrated complementary metal–oxide–semiconductor (CMOS)
mixer was designed based on clamped-clamped beams and two different approaches were used to
implement both up and down conversion mixers [10]. A coupled mode to analyze the acousto-optic
diffraction with multiple waves at different carrier frequencies was developed in [11].

A methodology was introduced in [12] to provide analytical expressions for the mode shapes and
natural frequencies of a coupled microbeam resonator filter. The response of a single-degree-of-freedom
model under different types of nonlinearity was considered with the method of multiple scales for
subharmonic resonance [13]. Softening and hardening behaviors were shown.

The dynamics behavior of a capacitive resonator under multi-frequency excitation was studied
in [14]. Good agreement between the results of a single degree of freedom model and the experimental
results was achieved. The ability to control the shift of the combinational frequency for any frequency
range was also shown in [14]. The dynamics of clamped-clamped microbeams under two harmonic
excitations were investigated analytically and experimentally in [15,16]. Higher amplitude and
bandwidth were achieved near the main and higher order modes of vibrations. The dynamics of
a torsional micromirror actuator was explored theoretically and experimentally under two-source
excitations [17]. The results showed enhancement for the amplitude and bandwidth of the resonator
near the primary resonance.

Research on the dynamics of microbeams has been investigated extensively in the literature
over the past decade, for example in [18–20]. Higher pull-in voltage is achieved due to the intrinsic
size dependence of the materials, while the natural frequency of the micro-plate is a function of its
thickness [19,20]. Dynamics behaviors were investigated for different microbeams with coupling
between in-plane, out-of-plane, longitudinal, rotational, transverse, and parametric vibrations [21–23].
The dynamics of an initially curved microbeam were investigated under electrostatic actuation [24].
These works have been conducted under single harmonic excitations.

It is noted that the dynamic behavior of cantilever microbeams under multi-source excitation has
not been investigated before. Additionally, the use of multi-source excitation combined with secondary
resonances has not been studied. Using more than two source of excitation has not been shown before.

In this paper, we explore the dynamics of a cantilever beam under multi-frequency excitation
near primary and secondary resonances (subharmonic and superharmonic). We investigate the
multi frequency excitation benefit in the down-conversion of a RF signal at a very high frequency of
960 MHz to a low frequency around 50 MHz. We also show experimental results for the response of
clamped-clamped microbeams for multi-frequency excitations for cases of three and four AC sources.

2. Response to Two Harmonic Sources

2.1. Problem Formulation

We consider a polysilicon microbeam, shown in Figure 1, which is clamped at one end and free at
its other end. The beam is actuated by an electrode on the substrate at a gap width $d$ from the lower
electrode. The beam is modeled as an Euler-Bernoulli beam with length $L$, width $b$, and thickness $h$.
The force function in the problem is modified by adding another harmonic AC load with a different
excitation frequency. For a cantilever beam actuated with $V_{DC}$ and two $V_{AC}$ loads, the governing
equation of motion is given by [25]
where \( \rho \) is the material density, \( I \) is the moment of inertia of the cross section, \( E \) is the Young’s modulus, \( \dot{c} \) is a viscous damping coefficient, \( V_{DC} \) is the polarization voltage, \( V_{AC1} \) and \( V_{AC2} \) are the amplitudes of excitation of the first and second AC sources, respectively, \( \dot{\Omega}_1 \) and \( \dot{\Omega}_2 \) are their respective frequencies, respectively, and \( \varepsilon \) is the dielectric constant of the gap medium. In the equation, \( \dot{W}(\hat{x}, \hat{t}) \) is the beam displacement at location \( \hat{x} \) and time \( \hat{t} \), while the subscript letter indicates the spatial derivative and the dot indicates the time derivative. The associated boundary conditions are given by

\[
\begin{align*}
\ddot{W}(0, \hat{t}) &= 0 \\
\dot{W}_x(0, \hat{t}) &= 0 \\
\ddot{W}_{xx}(\hat{l}, \hat{t}) &= 0 \\
\ddot{W}_{xxx}(\hat{l}, \hat{t}) &= 0
\end{align*}
\] (2) (3) (4) (5)

For convenience, we introduce the following non-dimensional variables:

\[
W = \frac{\dot{W}}{d}, \quad x = \frac{\hat{x}}{l}, \quad t = \frac{\hat{t}}{T}, \quad \Omega = \dot{\Omega}T, \quad T = \sqrt{\frac{pbl^4}{EI}}
\] (6)

Substituting Equation (6) into Equations (1)–(6) we end up with the following non-dimensional governing equation and boundary conditions:

\[
\ddot{W}(x, t) + \dot{W}_{xxxx}(x, t) + c\dot{W}(x, t) = \frac{\alpha_2[V_{DC} + V_{AC1}\cos(\dot{\Omega}_1\hat{t}) + V_{AC2}\cos(\dot{\Omega}_2\hat{t})]^2}{(1 - \dot{W}(x, t))^2}
\] (7)

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{W}(0, t) &= 0 \\
\dot{W}_x(0, t) &= 0 \\
\dot{W}_{xx}(1, t) &= 0 \\
\dot{W}_{xxx}(1, t) &= 0
\end{align*}
\] (8) (9) (10) (11)

where:

\[
c = \frac{12\dot{c}l^4}{ETbh^3}, \quad \alpha_2 = \frac{6\dot{c}l^4}{Ebh^4d^3}
\] (12)
Next, we expand the numerator of the electrostatic force as below

\[ F = (V_{DC} + V_{AC1}\cos(\Omega_1 t) + V_{AC2}\cos(\Omega_2 t))^2 \]

\[ = V_{DC}^2 + V_{AC1}^2\cos^2(\Omega_1 t) + V_{AC2}^2\cos^2(\Omega_2 t) + 2V_{DC}V_{AC1}\cos(\Omega_1 t) + 2V_{DC}V_{AC2}\cos(\Omega_2 t) \]

\[ + V_{AC1}V_{AC2}[\cos((\Omega_1 + \Omega_2) t) + \cos((\Omega_1 - \Omega_2) t)] \]

The last term in Equation (13) contains the combinational terms: \( \Omega_1 + \Omega_2 \) and \( \Omega_1 - \Omega_2 \), which can lead to resonances similar to that of the main resonance when \( \Omega_1 + \Omega_2 = \Omega_n \) or \( \Omega_1 - \Omega_2 = \Omega_n \), where \( \Omega_n \) is the resonance (natural) frequency. Such phenomena can be very useful in the fields of mixing and filtering, as explained in the introduction section.

Next, the Galerkin method [25] is applied to extract a Reduced Order Model (ROM). Thus, the beam deflection is expressed as

\[ W(x, t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i(t) \phi_i(x) \]

(14)

where \( u_i(t) \) is the modal coordinate and \( \phi_i(x) \) is the mode shape of the beam. The excitation source in the dynamic analysis is taken to be a combination of AC and DC loads. By substituting Equation (14) into Equation (7) and applying the procedure for the Galerkin method [25], we end up with the following Reduced Order Model equation

\[ \int_{0}^{1} \phi_j \left( 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i \phi_i \right)^2 \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i \phi_i \right) dx + c_{non} \int_{0}^{1} \phi_j \left( 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i \phi_i \right)^2 \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i \phi_i \right) dx = \alpha_2 \left[ V_{DC} + V_{AC1}\cos(\Omega_1 t) + V_{AC2}\cos(\Omega_2 t) \right]^2 \int_{0}^{1} \phi_j dx \]

(15)

A system of ordinary differential equations in the modal coordinate \( u_i(t) \) can be extracted after executing the spatial integrals in Equation (15). To obtain good convergence in the dynamic response, we use at least three modes when simulating the dynamic response, which is done by integrating the ordinary differential equations with Long Time Integration (LTI). To explore the static and dynamics of the capacitive resonator, several case studies will be taken into consideration.

The static response problem can be achieved by setting all time derivatives and AC loads in Equations (7)–(11) to be equal to zero. This leads to the following:

\[ W_{ssxxx}(x) = \frac{\alpha_2 V_{DC}^2}{(1 - W_s(x))^2} \]

\[ W_s(0) = 0 \]

\[ W_s(0) = 0 \]

\[ W_{sx}(1) = 0 \]

\[ W_{sx}(1) = 0 \]

(16)–(20)

where, \( W_s(x) \) represents the static response. Equations (16)–(20) are solved numerically, using the Galerkin procedure combined with a Newton Raphson method to obtain the static response.

2.2. Case I: Primary Resonance

The beam under study in this case will be assumed to have the geometric and physical parameters shown in Table 1. The beam is excited with two excitation sources: one is the RF signal, while the other is the Local Oscillator frequency (LO). Static and dynamics analysis in addition to the variation of the natural frequency of the beam will be investigated.
This value is reduced as the applied DC load increases until reaching zero at pull-in around 22.5 V. Varying the DC load. As seen from the figure, the natural frequency of the beam is near 52.85 MHz.

First, the frequency response curve of the resonator is shown under a single frequency excitation, Equation (16) is integrated numerically in time using three mode shapes for different DC and AC loads. The variations of both the static deflection of the microbeam tip and the natural frequency with the DC voltage load are shown in Figure 2a, b, respectively. In Figure 2a, stable and unstable branches are shown for the static response for different DC loads until pull-in at a maximum static deflection of 35 nm is reached at a DC load of 22.5 V. Figure 2b shows the variation of the first natural frequency by varying the DC load. As seen from the figure, the natural frequency of the beam is near 52.85 MHz. This value is reduced as the applied DC load increases until reaching zero at pull-in around 22.5 V.

To investigate the dynamic response of the cantilever resonator, the reduced-order model of Equation (16) is integrated numerically in time using three mode shapes for different DC and AC loads. First, the frequency response curve of the resonator is shown under a single frequency excitation, $V_{AC2} = 0$, Figure 3.

| Parameter             | Value    |
|-----------------------|----------|
| Young’s Modulus ($E$) | 160 Gpa  |
| Density ($\rho$)      | 2332 Kg/m$^3$ |
| Beam length ($L$)     | 2 $\mu$m |
| Beam width ($b$)      | 200 nm   |
| Beam thickness ($h$)  | 158 nm   |
| Gap ($d$)              | 75 nm    |

Table 1. Geometric and physical parameters Case I.

![Figure 2](image1.png)  
**Figure 2.** (a) Variation of the static deflection of the microbeam tip with the DC voltage; (b) Variation of the natural frequency of the microbeam with the DC voltage.

![Figure 3](image2.png)  
**Figure 3.** Frequency response curve near beam resonance, $V_{DC} = 5$ V, $V_{AC1} = 2$ V, $V_{AC2} = 0$, $c = 0.1$. 

In the following dynamic analysis, the swept frequency is taken to be $\Omega_1$, while $\Omega_2$ is kept as the fixed one. Next, the cantilever beam is subjected to a DC load and two source AC loads. Figure 4 demonstrates down conversion where the combination resonances of the beam appear at much higher values compared to the primary resonance of Figure 3. Note that the fixed frequency is chosen such that when it is subtracted from the excitation frequency (near 960 MHz) it results in the main resonance frequency (near 52 MHz, as in Figure 3). The beam in this case oscillates a frequency response at 52 MHz, thereby achieving the down conversion from the incoming input frequency of 960 MHz.

2.3. Case II: Secondary Resonances

In this section, we investigate the multi-frequency excitations near secondary resonance (superharmonic and subharmonic) for a cantilever beam actuated with electrostatic force [26]. The following geometric and physical parameters shown in Table 2 are used for the case study.

| Parameter | Value |
|-----------|-------|
| $E$       | 82.7 Gpa |
| $\rho$    | 1400 Kg/m$^3$ |
| $c_{\text{loss}}$ | 0.00289 |
| $L$       | 500 $\mu$m |
| $b$       | 50 $\mu$m |
| $h$       | 3 $\mu$m |
| $d$       | 3 $\mu$m |

Based on the parameters provided in Table 2, the natural frequency of the beam is found to be around $\Omega_{\text{nr}} = 15$ kHz, while the static pull-in voltage is found to be 5.42 V. Figure 5 shows the frequency response curve near superharmonic resonance of order two (near half the natural frequency) for two loading cases, a single AC excitation as shown in Figure 5a and a two AC excitation source as shown in Figure 5b. The normalized displacement is plotted versus frequency. Figure 5a shows the superharmonic resonance at $\Omega_{\text{nr}}/2$ triggered by electrostatic nonlinearity due to a single AC source [19,20]. The maximum normalized amplitude achieved was found to be 0.52 for $V_{\text{DC}} = 1$ V and $V_{\text{AC1}} = 0.35$ V. On the other hand, in Figure 5b the system was excited with two AC loads, $V_{\text{AC1}} = 0.35$ V and $V_{\text{AC2}} = 0.1$ V, and with a fixed frequency of $\Omega_2 = \Omega_{\text{nr}}/2 = 7.5$ kHz. In addition to triggering of the superharmonic resonance by the quadratic
electrostatic nonlinearity, the superharmonic resonance is triggered by another subtractive combination frequency at $\Omega_a - \Omega_2 = 7.5$ kHz. This means that the superharmonic resonance is now triggered by two sources; one from the electrostatic nonlinearity while the other is from frequency mixing. This lead to a higher normalized amplitude near 0.6 as shown in Figure 5b due to the new AC load compared to 0.52 in Figure 5a. The mixed response also shows two peaks corresponding to the two different sources of resonances. A further increase in the $V_{AC2}$ voltage from 0.15 V in Figure 6a to in Figure 6b leads to a further increase in the normalized amplitude from 0.68 to 0.84.

![Figure 5](image1)

**Figure 5.** Frequency response curves near superharmonic resonances for $V_{DC} = 1$ V, $V_{AC1} = 0.35$ V (a) Single source excitation at $V_{AC2} = 0$; (b) two-source excitation at $V_{AC2} = 0.1$ V, $\Omega_2 = 7.5$ kHz.

![Figure 6](image2)

**Figure 6.** Frequency response curves near superharmonic resonances and two source excitation at $V_{DC} = 1$ V, $V_{AC1} = 0.35$ V (a) $V_{AC2} = 0.15$ V, $\Omega_2 = 7.5$ kHz; (b) $V_{AC2} = 0.25$ V, $\Omega_2 = 7.5$ KHz.

Next, we investigate the effect of multi-frequency excitation around sub-harmonic resonance. Figure 7a shows that the normalized tip displacement is plotted versus frequency under a single AC load. The subharmonic resonance is triggered here at $2\Omega_a \approx 30$ kHz due to the electrostatic nonlinearity with a maximum normalized amplitude of 0.5. In Figure 7b, the system was excited with two AC loads, $V_{AC1} = 0.15$ V and $V_{AC2} = 0.01$ V, and with a fixed frequency of $\Omega_2 = \Omega_a = 15$ kHz. In addition to the resonance of Figure 7a, a combination resonance frequency of $\Omega_a + \Omega_2 = 30$ kHz is triggered, which leads to a higher normalized amplitude of 0.6. Further increase in the value of $V_{AC2}$ leads to higher amplitudes of 0.61 and 0.62 as shown in Figure 8a,b, respectively.
Excitation near the beam natural frequency, which is equal to 70.37 MHz.

In turn increases the sensitivity of these resonators when used as sensors.

The geometrical properties of the resonator are shown in Table 3.

Therefore, one can note from the above results the interesting effect of multi-frequency excitation in getting higher amplitude oscillation and activating the super and sub-harmonic resonances, which in turn increases the sensitivity of these resonators when used as sensors.

3. Case III: Response to Three Harmonic Sources

Next, we investigate the response of a cantilever beam resonator under three-source excitation. The geometrical properties of the resonator are shown in Table 3.

| Parameter            | Value          |
|----------------------|----------------|
| Young’s Modulus (E)  | 160 Gpa        |
| Density (ρ)          | 2332 Kg/m³     |
| Beam length (L)      | 1.85 μm        |
| Beam width (b)       | 200 nm         |
| Beam thickness (h)   | 180 nm         |
| gab (d)              | 75 nm          |

Figure 9 shows the frequency response curve for the beam when excited by a single source excitation near the beam natural frequency, which is equal to 70.37 MHz.
17 nm is achieved.

With a final value of 980 MHz, which is considered in the off-resonance range. The load is taken from the primary resonance and the second fixed frequency ($\Omega_2$, 8 MHz $\Omega_3$, and 0.1 non-dimensional damping. The maximum amplitude of 11.5 nm in the figure is due to the primary resonance, while the peaks very close to the primary resonance at 66 MHz and 74 MHz are due to the combination resonances ($\Omega_1$ + $\Omega_2$). The peaks, which are shown farther from the primary resonance, at 62 MHz and 78 MHz, are the combination resonance created from the primary resonance and the second fixed frequency ($\Omega_1$ + $\Omega_3$).

Figure 10 shows the frequency response for a load of 5 V $V_{DC}$, 0.7 V $V_{AC1}$, 5 V $V_{AC2}$, 5 V $V_{AC3}$, 4 MHz $\Omega_2$, 8 MHz $\Omega_3$, and 0.1 non-dimensional damping. The maximum amplitude of 11.5 nm in the figure is due to the primary resonance, while the peaks very close to the primary resonance at 66 MHz and 74 MHz are due to the combination resonances ($\Omega_1$ + $\Omega_2$). The peaks, which are shown farther from the primary resonance, at 62 MHz and 78 MHz, are the combination resonance created from the primary resonance and the second fixed frequency ($\Omega_1$ + $\Omega_3$).

Now the multi-frequency response is demonstrated at a range away from the primary resonance range. In Figure 11, the frequency is swept starting from an initial value of 930 MHz and ending with a final value of 980 MHz, which is considered in the off-resonance range. The load is taken to be 3 V, while the fixed frequencies are at 885 MHz and 890 MHz, respectively. According to the values of the fixed frequencies, two additive combination peaks, $\Omega_2 + \Omega_n = 958.29$ MHz and $\Omega_3 + \Omega_n = 960.37$ MHz, are created as shown in Figure 11. The bandwidth of the individual peaks is around 1 MHz. By controlling the fixed frequencies, we can control the bandwidth of these peaks and a wider bandwidth can be achieved.

To amplify the response in the previous figure, we use different loads in Figure 12. The $V_{DC}$ bias is set at 5 V and the $V_{AC}$ voltage for the sweep is at 1.5 V, while the first and second fixed $V_{AC}$ voltages are at 6 V and 8.5 V, respectively. Here we can see the individual peaks created by the additive combination resonances at $\Omega_2 + \Omega_n = 958.09$ MHz and $\Omega_3 + \Omega_n = 960.37$ MHz. A higher response of 17 nm is achieved.
Figure 11. Multi-frequency excitation off-resonance: $V_{DC} = 3$ V, $V_{AC1} = 1$ V, $V_{AC2} = 6$ V, $V_{AC3} = 6$ V, $\Omega_2 = 885$ MHz, $\Omega_3 = 890$ MHz, $c = 0.1$.

Figure 12. Multi-frequency excitation off-resonance: $V_{DC1} = 5$ V, $V_{DC2} = 0$ V, $V_{AC1} = 1.5$ V, $V_{AC2} = 6$ V, $V_{AC3} = 8.5$ V, $\Omega_2 = 887.72$ MHz, $\Omega_3 = 887.92$ MHz, $c = 0.1$, amplified response due to higher loads.

Figure 13 shows another result for more amplified resonance under 5 V $V_{DC}$ and 8 V $V_{AC3}$, while the remaining loads remain similar to the loads in the previous figure. In this figure, we choose $\Omega_2$ and $\Omega_3$ values to be very close to each other, 887.72 MHz and 887.92 MHz, respectively, to produce two additive combining peaks of $\Omega_2 + \Omega_3 = 958.09$ MHz and $\Omega_3 + \Omega_4 = 958.29$ MHz. These two peaks merge as one amplified peak. We can notice a significant increase of 1.6 MHz in the bandwidth in comparison with 1 MHz for the individual peaks from Figure 11.

Figure 13. Multi-frequency excitation off-resonance: $V_{DC} = 5$ V, $V_{AC1} = 1$ V, $V_{AC2} = 6$ V, $V_{AC3} = 8$ V, $\Omega_2 = 887.72$ MHz, $\Omega_3 = 887.92$ MHz, $c = 0.1$, the two peaks merge as one combined peak.
4. Experimental Case Study

In this section, we demonstrate experimentally the multi-frequency excitation based on a clamped-clamped microbeam of 600 µm micro length and with two-thirds electrode actuation at a pressure = 3.6 mTorr (0.4 Pa). The experimental results are validated with simulation results after we extracted the parameters in Table 4 using the parameter extraction procedure and the model reported in [16]. Figure 14a shows a top view picture of the fabricated beam. The microbeam is made of 6 µm polyimide coated with 500 nm nickel from the top and a chrome/gold/chrome layer from the bottom with thicknesses of 50/250/50 nm, which forms the upper electrode of the resonator. The lower electrode spans two thirds of the beam length and is fabricated by sputtering 50 nm chrome and 250 nm gold. The two electrodes are separated by a 2 µm air gap. The fabrication details are presented in [15,16]. The experimental setup used to test the beam is presented in Figure 14b. The setup is composed of a high frequency laser-Doppler vibrometer, a micro system analyzer (MSA-500) to measure the vibration amplitude, a data acquisition card connected with an amplifier to provide the actuation signals of a wide range of frequencies and amplitudes, and a vacuum chamber equipped with ports to pass the actuation signal and measure the pressure. Additionally, the chamber is connected to a vacuum pump. The frequency response curves are generated by taking the steady state maximum amplitude of the motion.

![Image](image1.jpg)

**Table 4.** Parameters extracted experimentally.

| Parameter                  | Value         |
|----------------------------|---------------|
| Non-dimensional axial force (N_non) | 76.3          |
| Flexural rigidity (EI)     | 2.93 \times 10^{-11} N·m² |
| Damping ratio             | 6 \times 10^{-4} |

First, the beam is tested by a DC load and a single AC source near its primary resonance, shown in Figure 15a. As the AC amplitude is increased, a hardening behavior of the beam is observed. The simulations are conducted using a multi-mode reduced order model and the Runge Kutta time integration [16]. The simulated results are in good agreement with the experimental results as shown in Figure 15b. Then, the beam is subjected to a DC load with two AC harmonic excitation loads, as shown in Figure 16, three AC harmonic loads, as shown in Figure 17, and four AC loads, as shown in Figure 18. In these figures, one frequency source is swept around the primary resonance, while the others are set at fixed values of frequencies, which are selected to be small to generate additive and subtractive resonances around the primary resonance. One can notice that for every AC source added, two near peaks around the primary resonance are generated. These experimental and simulation results confirm the attractive feature of multi-frequency excitations.

![Figure 14](image2.jpg)

**Figure 14.** (a) Top view of the fabricated microbeam; (b) experimental setup used for testing the microelectromechanical systems (MEMS) devices.

The simulated results are in good agreement with the experimental results as shown in Figure 15b.
figures, one frequency source is swept around the primary resonance, while the others are set at fixed values of frequencies, which are selected to be small to generate additive and subtractive resonances around the primary resonance. One can notice that for every AC source added, two near peaks around the primary resonance are generated. These experimental and simulation results confirm the attractive feature of multi-frequency excitations.

Figure 15. Frequency sweep results near the primary resonance of the first mode at $V_{DC} = 3\, V$ (a) different $V_{AC}$ values; (b) $V_{AC} = 2\, V$.

Figure 16. Resonances using two-source excitation near the first mode at $V_{DC} = 3\, V$, $V_{AC1} = 2\, V$, $\Omega_1 =$ Swept, $V_{AC2} = 6\, V$; (a) $\Omega_2 =$ as shown; (b) $\Omega_2 = 1\, kHz$.

Figure 17. Resonances using three-source excitation near the first mode at $V_{DC} = 3\, V$, $V_{AC1} = 2\, V$, $\Omega_1 =$ Swept, $\Omega_2 = 1\, kHz$ (a) $V_{AC2} =$ as shown, $V_{AC3} =$ as shown, $\Omega_3 = 5\, kHz$; (b) $V_{AC2} = 6\, V$, $V_{AC3} = 6\, V$, $\Omega_3 = 2\, kHz$. 

---

**Graphs and Figures:**
- **Figure 15:** Frequency sweep results near the primary resonance of the first mode at $V_{DC} = 3$ V. (a) Different $V_{AC}$ values; (b) $V_{AC} = 2$ V.
- **Figure 16:** Resonances using two-source excitation near the first mode at $V_{DC} = 3$ V, $V_{AC1} = 2$ V, $\Omega_1 =$ Swept, $V_{AC2} = 6$ V; (a) $\Omega_2 =$ as shown; (b) $\Omega_2 = 1$ kHz.
- **Figure 17:** Resonances using three-source excitation near the first mode at $V_{DC} = 3$ V, $V_{AC1} = 2$ V, $\Omega_1 =$ Swept, $\Omega_2 = 1$ kHz (a) $V_{AC2} =$ as shown, $V_{AC3} =$ as shown, $\Omega_3 = 5$ kHz; (b) $V_{AC2} = 6$ V, $V_{AC3} = 6$ V, $\Omega_3 = 2$ kHz.
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