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During X chromosome inactivation, many chromatin changes occur on the future inactive X chromosome, including acquisition of a variety of repressive covalent histone modifications, heterochromatin protein associations, and DNA methylation of promoters. Here, we summarize trans-acting factors and cis elements that have been shown to be involved in the human inactive X chromosome organization and compaction.

1. Introduction

X chromosome inactivation (XCI) is the form of dosage compensation used by female cells to balance X-linked gene expression levels between the sexes in mammals [1]. As a result, the inactive X (Xi) is compacted, taking on a rounder and slightly tighter configuration compared to the more flat and extended structure of the active X (Xa) [2]. This compacted structure of the Xi is thought to limit the access of transcription machinery. The Xi is a classic example of developmentally induced heterochromatin, or facultative heterochromatin, which can be readily detected by DNA dyes in human cells [3] as a densely stained mass usually found at the periphery of the nucleus known as the Barr body [4]. Heterochromatin is typically considered transcriptionally silent [5], and heterochromatic regions of the genome are thought of as “condensed” and therefore less accessible to transcriptional machinery.

The initiation of XCI is dependent on a region of the X chromosome known as the X inactivation center (XIC). Inside the XIC, the X-inactive specific transcript (XIST) gene encodes for the long noncoding RNA XIST, which is expressed from the future Xi, coating it in cis [6]. Yin Yang 1 (YY1) is an important transcription activator for XIST [7], also serving to tether XIST RNA to its own locus [8].

XIST induces many epigenetic changes on the Xi, including depletion of euchromatic histone modifications such as histone acetylation [9] and histone H3 dimethylation at lysines 4 (H3K4me2) [10]. Other epigenetic changes are gained including the acquisition of the histone variant macroH2A [11], and the deposition of repressive histone modifications including trimethylation of histone H3 at lysine 9 (H3K9me3) [10] and 27 (H3K27me3) [12]. It is known that H3K27me3 at the Xi is mediated via enhancer of zeste 2 (EZH2) [12], a part of the polycomb repressive complex 2 (PRC2) [13], but it is not known which histone lysine methyltransferase (HMTase) is responsible for Xi H3K9me3 marks. Furthermore, there is DNA CpG island methylation [14] and recruitment of heterochromatin proteins such as heterochromatin protein 1 (HP1) [15], structural maintenance of chromosomes flexible hinge domain-containing protein 1 (SMCHD1) [16] and ligand-dependent nuclear receptor-interacting factor 1 (LRIF1), also known as HP1-binding protein (HBiX1) [17].

In addition to chromatin changes, there is also a delay of Xi DNA replication during the S-phase, such that it
replicates asynchronously relative to the Xa [18], with the DNA underlying the bands of H3K27me3 replicating during the midlate S-phase and the bands of H3K9me3 replicating after H3K27me3 replication is complete [19]. Collectively, these changes are likely responsible for shutting down most gene expressions originating from the Xi [20].

After the Xi is established, XCI enters the maintenance stage. It is difficult to reverse the effect of gene repression once this stage has been attained, evidenced by the high degree of effort required to reactivate genes on a large scale in somatic cells [21]. Several mechanisms are in place to work synergistically to ensure the repressive state of the Xi. These include continued XIST RNA expression, DNA methylation, histone hypoacetylation [22], and the acquisition of macroH2A [23]. DNA methylation is a robust way to keep genes in a repressive state, and the reactivation of genes has been linked with DNA hypomethylation in promoter regions [24]. Additionally, the attainment of DNA methylation may be linked to histone modifications such as H3K9me3, as suggested by a recent study that elucidates the Mbd1-Atf7ip-Setdb1 pathway in the maintenance of XCI [25]. Atf7ip acts synergistically with methyl-DNA binding protein Mbd1 and H3K9 methyltransferase Setdb1, which links DNA methylation with H3K9me3, and this pathway is essential in maintaining the silent state of Xi in somatic cells.

2. Proteins Involved in XCI

2.1. MacroH2A. MacroH2A is a variant of H2A that has an extensive C terminal tail that makes up two-thirds of the protein [26]. MacroH2A is enriched on the Xi and by immunofluorescence can be seen as an intensely staining structure, called a macrochromatin body, which also coincides with the Barr body in human cells [11]. On a human retinal pigment epithelial cell (RPE1) metaphase Xi chromosome, macroH2A deposition overlaps with H3K27me3 marks, forming an alternating banding pattern with H3K9me3 territories. The accumulation of macroH2A on the Xi occurs in the late stages of Xi establishment.

2.2. PRC1 and PRC2. Polycomb complexes PRC1 and PRC2 carry out histone modifications that are integral to the process of XCI. PRC2 complex catalyzes H3K27me3 [27] and PRC1 complex catalyzes ubiquitination of histone H2A at lysine 119 (H2AK119u1) [13]. PRC2 complex’ recruitment to XIST RNA on the Xi is mediated through the SWI/SNF family helicase/ATPase alpha-thalassemia/mental retardation X-linked (ATRX) [28]. It has been shown that there are around 150 strong binding sites for PRC2 along the Xi, which are mostly within bivalent domains, serving as seeding sites for propagation of PRC2 binding [29]. Bivalent domains are regions of the chromosome exhibiting both H3K27me3-repressive and H3K4me3-active histone marks and are thought to be the signature of developmentally poised genes. PRC2 recruitment to nearby loci mostly within nonbivalent domains is then observed around these seeding sites, laying down H3K27me3 marks in a concentration gradient [29].

There are two pathways by which the PRC1 complex can be recruited to the Xi, either dependent on PRC2 or independent of the presence of PRC2 [13, 30–32]. Early evidence has shown that the PRC2 complex is directly recruited to XIST RNA and lays down H3K27me3 [27], which could then be recognized by PRC1 (referred to as canonical PRC1) to lay down H2AK119u1 [13]. More recently, another class of PRC1 complexes called noncanonical PRC1 has been found, whose recruitment to the Xi is independent of the H3K27me3 mark [30]. Examples of noncanonical PRC1 complexes include RING1-YY1-binding protein (RYBP-PRC1) [31] and polycomb group RING finger 3/5-PRC1 (PCGF3/5-PRC1) which can recruit other noncanonical PRC1 complexes and PRC2 complex to establish H3K27me3 modification chromosome-wide on the Xi [32].

The recruitment of polycomb complexes occurs in the early stages of Xi establishment. Polycomb complexes’ enrichment on the Xi is readily detectable when Xist is induced and the enrichment is lost when Xist expression is inhibited [33, 34].

2.3. HP1. HP1 is another protein thought to be important for the establishment and maintenance of the Xi heterochromatin. In humans, there are three isoforms of HP1: HP1-alpha, HP1-beta, and HP1-gamma, all of which contain 3 domains: a chromodomain that can recognize and be recruited to the H3K9me3 on the Xi, a hinge domain, and a chromo shadow domain that is important for dimerization and enrichment of HP1 proteins [35–37]. It has been shown that all three isoforms of HP1 can be detected at the human interphase Xi [15]. HP1 can recognize the H3K9me3 modifications and help to maintain the heterochromatin structure and gene silencing on the human Xi and it is generally considered a maintenance factor for the Xi.

2.4. hnRNP U/SAF-A and SHARP/SPEN. Although the exact mechanism of how Xist mediates the chromosome-wide inactivation and gene silencing is still largely unknown, several factors have been identified to interact with Xist RNA and are essential for Xist-mediated gene silencing. These factors include hnRNP U/SAF-A [38], SHARP/SPEN [39–42], and hnRNP K [39].

The nuclear matrix binding protein hnRNP U/SAF-A is essential for the anchoring of Xist on the Xi [38], hnRNP (heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoproteins) are a family of RNA-binding proteins that have important functions in gene transcription regulation. hnRNP U/SAF-A is widely distributed in the nucleus but is concentrated on the Xi [43, 44]. hnRNP U/SAF-A consists of three domains: the DNA-binding SAF domain, SPRY domain, and RNA-binding RGG domain. The SAF and RGG domains are important for the recruitment and localization of Xist [38]. Loss of hnRNP U/SAF-A results in delocalization of Xist RNA from the X chromosome, and hnRNP U/SAF-A is essential for establishing the Xi during ES cell differentiation [38]. It is also shown that hnRNP U/SAF-A is required for Xist-mediated gene silencing [42].
RNA-binding protein SHARP/SPEN is important for Xist-mediated gene silencing [39–42], which has recently been verified in preimplantation embryos [45]. SPEN is recruited to enhancers and promotors of active genes on the X chromosome once Xist is upregulated and quickly dissociates once the gene silencing is established [45]. Xist recruits HDAC3 through interaction with SHARP/SPEN, and HDAC3 removes acetylation modification from histones [39, 40, 42]. SHARP/SPEN expels Pol II [45, 46] and recruits HDAC3 through interaction with SHARP/SPEN, SMCHD1 was first described in an autosomal region [47]. SMCHD1 belongs to the structural maintenance of chromosome (SMC) domain family of proteins, which also include cohesin and condensin [48], but is different in that its SMC hinge domain is not in the middle of the protein but at the C-terminus. On the Xi, SMCHD1 has been shown to be important for the maintenance phase of silencing [16], heterochromatin compaction [17], and the methylation of CpG islands [49]. SMCHD1 is crucial for both random XCI in the embryo and imprinted XCI in the placenta [16]. The loss of SMCHD1 does not interfere with the accumulation of Xist or H3K27me3 modification on the Xi, which suggests that SMCHD1 is not involved in the initiation phase of XCI, but the maintenance phase. This is also implied by the fact that, in interphase nuclei, SMCHD1 is enriched on the Xi [16]. In the absence of SMCHD1, decompaction of the Xi territory is observed [17]. It is suggested that SMCHD1 is enriched on the H3K27me3 territory, whereas LRIF1/HBiX1 is enriched on the H3K9me3 territory through the interaction with HP1, and the interaction between SMCHD1 and LRIF1/HBiX1 brings together the H3K27me3 territory and H3K9me3 territory to form a compacted structure [17]. Notably, PRC2 and H3K27me3 are dispensable for this compaction process, whereas XIST is required for the correct localization of SMCHD1 and LRIF1/HBiX1 to the Xi [49, 50].

HBiX1/LRIF1 was first identified as a novel nuclear matrix transcription repressor known as ligand-dependent nuclear receptor-interacting factor 1 (LRIF1, RIF1, or Clorf103) [51]. It is an HP1-interacting protein that is enriched on the Xi in interphase nuclei. It has been shown to be essential for the compaction of the Xi chromatin [17, 52]. HBiX1/LRIF1 interacts with SMCHD1 through its coiled-coil domain with the hinge domain on SMCHD1 [17, 52].

2.5 SMCHD1 and LRIF1. SMCHD1 was first described in an N-ethyl-N-nitrosourea (ENU) mutagenesis screen to identify genes involved in epigenetic regulation and gene silencing [47]. SMCHD1 belongs to the structural maintenance of chromosome (SMC) domain family of proteins, which also include cohesin and condensin [48], but is different in that its SMC hinge domain is not in the middle of the protein but at the C-terminus. On the Xi, SMCHD1 has been shown to be important for the maintenance phase of silencing [16], heterochromatin compaction [17], and the methylation of CpG islands [49]. SMCHD1 is crucial for both random XCI in the embryo and imprinted XCI in the placenta [16]. The loss of SMCHD1 does not interfere with the accumulation of Xist or H3K27me3 modification on the Xi, which suggests that SMCHD1 is not involved in the initiation phase of XCI, but the maintenance phase. This is also implied by the fact that, in interphase nuclei, SMCHD1 is enriched on the Xi [16]. In the absence of SMCHD1, decompaction of the Xi territory is observed [17]. It is suggested that SMCHD1 is enriched on the H3K27me3 territory, whereas LRIF1/HBiX1 is enriched on the H3K9me3 territory through the interaction with HP1, and the interaction between SMCHD1 and LRIF1/HBiX1 brings together the H3K27me3 territory and H3K9me3 territory to form a compacted structure [17]. Notably, PRC2 and H3K27me3 are dispensable for this compaction process, whereas XIST is required for the correct localization of SMCHD1 and LRIF1/HBiX1 to the Xi [49, 50].

HBiX1/LRIF1 was first identified as a novel nuclear matrix transcription repressor known as ligand-dependent nuclear receptor-interacting factor 1 (LRIF1, RIF1, or Clorf103) [51]. It is an HP1-interacting protein that is enriched on the Xi in interphase nuclei. It has been shown to be essential for the compaction of the Xi chromatin [17, 52]. HBiX1/LRIF1 interacts with SMCHD1 through its coiled-coil domain with the hinge domain on SMCHD1 [17, 52].

3. IncRNAs Involved in X Chromosome Inactivation

The XIC is the minimal region on the X chromosome that is both necessary and sufficient to initiate XCI [59, 60]. The XIC contains some protein-coding genes and some non-coding genes. Essential to the XIC is the region encoding for the XIST IncRNA and TSIX IncRNA, which is the antisense transcript of XIST that can mediate the repression of XIST [61].

XIST was first discovered to be important for the Xi in mice and humans in 1991 [62–64]. XIST IncRNA is 17 kb in length; within XIST are several tandem repeat regions that are conserved. The most highly conserved A repeats were found to be essential for gene silencing during XCI [65]. The upregulation of XIST expression is the first step of inactivation along the future Xi. The localization of XIST to the future Xi is dependent on the transcription factor YY1 and starts with a nucleation center within exon 1 of the XIST locus [8]. It has been shown that the spreading of XIST follows a stepwise mechanism. It targets gene-rich regions before spreading to intervening gene-poor regions [66]. XIST then recruits polycomb proteins PRC1 and PRC2 to set up repressive histone marks for the maintenance of the inactive chromatin structure [27].

While the expression of XIST is unique to the Xi, the IncRNA TSIX dictates which X chromosome will be the Xa. TSIX is the antisense transcript of XIST and has an antagonistic role in XIST expression. TSIX controls XIST expression by modifying the chromatin state and DNA methylation of the XIST promoter [67, 68]. Before the choice of which X chromosome is going to be the future Xa or Xi, chromosome pairing between the two X chromosomes takes place. The pairing might be important for the redistribution of transcription activators to only allow the transient expression of TSIX on the future Xa [69–72].

A few other IncRNAs have been discovered to be important activators for XIST expression: RepA [27], Jpx [73, 74], and Ftx [75].
4. cis Elements Involved in Xi Organization

At interphase in human RPE1 cells, the Xi forms a bipartite structure, with all H3K9me3 bands aggregating together towards the nuclear periphery and the H3K27me3 bands more towards the interior of the nucleus [19]. How and why the Xi arranges itself into these two compartments is not clear. Possible factors that might contribute to this arrangement include the various chromatin proteins that bind to H3K27me3 and H3K9me3 marks, respectively, and self-aggregate. An alternative or additional factor that may contribute to this arrangement is potential DNA folding elements. Several large tandem repeat DNA sequences (TRs) have been identified that are unique to the X chromosome, including the macrosatellite DXZ4, and the TRs X56 and X130. These TRs adopt a Xi-specific euchromatic configuration that is bound by the epigenetic organizer protein CCCTC-binding factor (CTCF) [76]. DXZ4, X56, and X130 have been shown to interact with each other over millions of bases, exclusively from the Xi alleles, potentially acting as epigenetically regulated DNA folding elements [77, 78] forming massive chromosome loops restricted to the Xi [79]. Each TR is located at the intersection between the H3K9me3 and H3K27me3 bands and could contribute to the compartmentalization of the bipartite structure [19].

5. Impact of X Chromosome Inactivation on Disease

With the exception of the pseudoautosomal regions located at the tips of Xp and Xq, most genes on the X chromosome have been lost on the Y chromosome. As such, males are hemizygous for most X-linked genes. As a consequence, inheritance of an X-linked recessive mutant allele in males will act as dominant and disease onset will be unavoidable. Females are afforded some protection from X-linked recessive disorders due to XCI.

Because XCI occurs at a multicell stage, and the choice of which X to silence is random and that decision is made independently in each cell, females are a mosaic, where some cells express the mutant allele, and others express the wild-type allele. If the mutant allele impacts cell growth or survival, these cells will be outgrown giving the appearance of skewing toward the wild-type active X. Skewing of XCI occurs naturally, which can affect disease severity. Fabry disease is one example where there is a mutation in the X-linked lysosomal alpha-galactosidase. The peak of the normal distribution represents equal numbers of cells with either the paternal or maternal X chromosome chosen as the Xi. At either tail end of the normal distribution is the phenomenon called skewed XCI, where at one tail end, it might be asymptomatic, but for the other end of the distribution, there is a severe representation of disease. The direction and degree of skewed XCI influence the phenotype and progression of Fabry disease in female patients [80].

XCI serves as a mechanism for balancing the difference in expression between different sexes in mammalian cells, but there is a certain level of escape from gene repression in both normal and disease cells. A recent systematic survey integrating transcriptomes from 449 individuals across 29 tissues has shown that, besides 683 X-linked genes that are consistently inactivated, there is heterogeneity in expression patterns among different individuals and different tissues [81]. X-linked gene reactivation has also been observed in aged tissue [82–84], autoimmune diseases [85], and cancer [86–88]. There is evidence that, in ovarian cancer cells, there is a unique profile of X-linked gene expression and escape, suggesting that XCI may play a role in the development of ovarian cancer [89].

6. Concluding Remarks

X chromosome inactivation is the classic model system to study epigenetic questions. What we learn under the X chromosome inactivation context could also be useful to unravel unsolved problems in autosomes and developmental contexts as well. Research and more mechanistic insight for X chromosome inactivation could assist in developing strategies and therapy for X-linked diseases.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Ph. D starting fund from Xi’an Medical University (Program nos. 2020DOC14 and 2020DOC17) and by the Natural Science Basic Research Plan in Shaanxi Province of China (Program nos. 2021JQ-776 and 2021JQ-774).

References

[1] M. F. Lyon, “Gene action in the X-chromosome of the mouse (mus musculus L.),” Nature, vol. 190, no. 4773, pp. 372-373, 1961.
[2] K. Teller, D. Illner, S. Thamm et al., “A top-down analysis of Xa- and Xi-territories reveals differences of higher order structure at ≥ 20 Mb genomic length scales,” Nucleus, vol. 2, no. 5, pp. 465–477, 2011.
[3] H. Emil, “Das heterochromatin der Moose,” Jahrbücher für Wissenschaftliche Botanik, vol. 69, pp. 762–818, 1928.
[4] M. L. Barr and E. G. Bertram, “A morphological distinction between neurones of the male and female, and the behaviour of the nucleolar satellite during accelerated nucleoprotein synthesis,” Nature, vol. 163, no. 4148, pp. 676-677, 1949.
[5] S. W. Brown, “Heterochromatin,” Science, vol. 151, no. 3709, pp. 417–425, 1966.
[6] N. Brockdorff, A. Ashworth, G. F. Kay et al., “The product of the mouse XIST gene is a 15 kb inactive X-specific transcript containing no conserved ORF and located in the nucleus,” Cell, vol. 71, no. 3, pp. 515–526, 1992.
[7] M. Makhlof, J.-F. Quinette, A. Oldfield et al., “A prominent and conserved role for YY1 in XIST transcriptional activation,” Nature Communications, vol. 5, 2014.
[8] Y. Jeon and J. T. Lee, “YY1 tethers XIST RNA to the inactive X nucleation center,” Cell, vol. 146, no. 1, pp. 119–133, 2011.
[9] P. Jeppesen and B. M. Turner, “The inactive X chromosome in female mammals is distinguished by a lack of histone H4
acetylation, a cytogenetic marker for gene expression,” Cell, vol. 74, no. 2, pp. 281–289, 1993.

[10] B. A. Boggs, P. Cheung, E. Heard, D. L. Spector, A. C. Chiauault, and C. D. Allis, “Differentially methylated forms of histone H3 show unique association patterns with inactive human X chromosomes,” Nature Genetics, vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 73–76, 2002.

[11] C. Costanzi and J. R. Pehrson, “Histone macroH2A1 is concentrated in the inactive X chromosome of female mammals,” Nature, vol. 628, pp. 1997–1999, 1998.

[12] C. Plath, “Role of histone H3 lysine 27 methylation in X inactivation,” Science, vol. 300, no. 5616, pp. 131–135, 2003.

[13] R. Cao, L. Wang, H. Wang et al., “Role of histone H3 lysine 27 methylation in polycomb-group silencing,” Science, vol. 298, no. 5595, pp. 1039–1043, 2002.

[14] G. P. Pfeifer, R. L. Tanguay, S. D. Steigerwald, and A. D. Riggs, “In vivo footprint and methylation analysis by PCR-aided genomic sequencing: comparison of active and inactive X chromosomal DNA at the CpG island and promoter of human PGK-1,” Genes & Development, vol. 4, no. 8, pp. 1277–1287, 1990.

[15] B. P. Chadwick and H. F. Willard, “Chromatin of the barr body: histone and non-histone proteins associated with or excluded from the inactive X chromosome,” Human Molecular Genetics, vol. 12, no. 17, pp. 2167–2178, 2003.

[16] M. E. Blewitt, A.-V. Gendrel, Z. Pang et al., “SmcHD1, containing a structural-maintenance-of-chromosomes hinge domain, has a critical role in X inactivation,” Nature Genetics, vol. 40, no. 5, pp. 663–669, 2008.

[17] R.-S. Nozawa, K. Nagao, K.-T. Igami et al., “Human inactive X chromosome is compacted through a PRC2-independent SMCHD1-HBX1 pathway,” Nature Structural & Molecular Biology, vol. 20, no. 5, pp. 566–573, 2013.

[18] C. W. Gilbert, S. Muldal, L. G. Lajtha, and J. Rowley, “Time-sequience of human chromosome duplication,” Nature, vol. 195, no. 4844, pp. 869–873, 1962.

[19] B. P. Chadwick and H. F. Willard, “Multiple spatially distinct types of facultative heterochromatin on the human inactive X chromosome,” Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, vol. 101, no. 50, pp. 17450–17455, 2004.

[20] L. Carrel and H. F. Willard, “X-inactivation profile reveals extensive variability in X-linked gene expression in females,” Nature, vol. 434, no. 7031, pp. 400–404, 2005.

[21] T. Mohandas, R. Sparke, and L. Shapiro, “Reactivation of an inactive human X chromosome: evidence for X inactivation by DNA methylation,” Science, vol. 211, no. 4480, pp. 393–396, 1981.

[22] G. Csankovszki, A. Nagy, and R. Jaenisch, “Synergism of XIST RNA, DNA methylation, and histone hypoacetylation in maintaining X chromosome inactivation,” Journal of Cell Biology, vol. 153, no. 4, pp. 773–784, 2001.

[23] I. Hernandez-Munoz, A. H. Lund, P. van der Stoop et al., “Stable X chromosome inactivation involves the PRC1 polycomb complex and requires histone MACROH2A1 and the CULLIN3/SPOP ubiquitin E3 ligase,” Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, vol. 102, no. 21, pp. 7635–7640, 2005.

[24] A. M. Cotton, E. M. Price, M. J. Jones, B. P. Balaton, M. S. Kobor, and C. J. Brown, “Landscape of DNA methylation on the X chromosome reflects CpG density, functional chromatin state and X-chromosome inactivation,” Human Molecular Genetics, vol. 24, no. 6, pp. 1528–1539, 2015.

[25] A. Minkovsky, A. Sahakyan, E. Rankin-Gee, G. Bonora, S. Patel, and K. Plath, “The Mbd1-Atf7ip-Setdb1 pathway contributes to the maintenance of X chromosome inactivation,” Epigenetics & Chromatin, vol. 7, no. 1, p. 12, 2014.

[26] J. R. Pehrson and V. Fried, “MacrolH2A2, a core histone containing a large nonhistone region,” Science, vol. 257, no. 5073, pp. 1398–1400, 1992.

[27] J. Zhao, B. K. Sun, J. A. Erwin, J.-J. Song, and J. T. Lee, “Polycomb proteins targeted by a short repeat RNA to the mouse X chromosome,” Science, vol. 322, no. 5902, pp. 750–756, 2008.

[28] K. Sarma, C. Cifuentes-Rojas, A. Ergun et al., “ATRX directs binding of PRC2 to XIST RNA and polycomb targets,” Cell, vol. 159, no. 4, pp. 869–883, 2014.

[29] S. F. Pinter, R. I. Sadreyev, E. Yildirim et al., “Spreading of X chromosome inactivation via a hierarchy of defined Polycomb stations,” Genome Research, vol. 22, no. 10, pp. 1864–1876, 2012.

[30] S. Schoeftner, A. K. Sengupta, S. Kubicek et al., “Recruitment of PRC1 function at the initiation of X inactivation independent of PRC2 and silencing,” The EMBO Journal, vol. 25, no. 13, pp. 3110–3122, 2006.

[31] L. Tavares, E. Dimitrova, D. Oxley et al., “RYBP-PRC1 complexes mediate H2A ubiquitylation at polycomb target sites independently of PRC2 and H3K27me3,” Cell, vol. 148, no. 4, pp. 664–678, 2012.

[32] M. Almeida, G. Pintacuda, O. Masui et al., “PCGF3/5-PRC1 initiates polycomb recruitment in X chromosome inactivation,” Science, vol. 356, no. 6342, pp. 1081–1084, 2017.

[33] W. Mak, “Reactivation of the paternal X chromosome in early mouse embryos,” Science, vol. 303, no. 5658, pp. 666–669, 2004.

[34] A. Kohlmaier, F. Savarase, M. Lachner, J. Martens, T. Jenuwein, and A. Wutz, “A chromosomal memory triggered by XIST regulates histone methylation in X inactivation,” PLoS Biology, vol. 2, no. 7, p. E171, 2004.

[35] M. Lachner, D. O’Carroll, S. Rea, K. Mechtler, and T. Jenuwein, “Methylation of histone H3 lysine 9 creates a binding site for HP1 proteins,” Nature, vol. 410, no. 6824, pp. 116–120, 2001.

[36] G. Lomberk, D. Bensi, M. E. Fernandez-Zapico, and R. Urrutia, “Evidence for the existence of an HP1-mediated subcode within the histone code,” Nature Cell Biology, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 407–415, 2006.

[37] G. Nishibuchi, S. Machida, A. Osakabe et al., “N-terminal phosphorylation of HP1α increases its nucleosome-binding specificity,” Nucleic Acids Research, vol. 42, no. 20, pp. 12498–12511, 2014.

[38] Y. Hasegawa, N. Brockdorff, S. Kawano, K. Tsutui, K. Tsutui, and S. Nakagawa, “The matrix protein hnRNP U is required for chromosomal localization of XIST RNA,” Developmental Cell, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 469–476, 2010.

[39] C. Chu, Q. C. Zhang, S. T. da Rocha et al., “Systematic discovery of XIST RNA binding proteins,” Cell, vol. 161, no. 2, pp. 404–416, 2015.

[40] B. Moindrot, A. Cerase, H. Coker et al., “A pooled shRNA screen identifies Rbm15, spen, and wtap as factors required for XIST RNA-mediated silencing,” Cell Reports, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 562–572, 2015.

[41] A. Monfort, G. DiMinin, A. Postlmayr et al., “Identification of spen as a crucial factor for XIST function through forward genetic screening in haploid embryonic stem cells,” Cell Reports, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 554–561, 2015.

[42] C. A. McHugh, C.-K. Chen, A. Chow et al., “The XIST IncRNA interacts directly with SHARP to silence transcription
through HDAC3," Nature, vol. 521, no. 7551, pp. 232–236, 2015.

[43] R. Helbig and F. O. Fackelmayer, "Scaffold attachment factor A (SAF-A) is concentrated in inactive X-chromosome territories through its RGG domain," Chromosoma, vol. 112, no. 4, pp. 173–182, 2003.

[44] D. Pullirsh, R. Hartel, H. Kishimoto, M. Leeb, G. Steiner, and A. Wutz, "The trithorax group protein Ash2L and Saf-A are recruited to the inactive X chromosome at the onset of stable X inactivation," Development, vol. 137, no. 6, pp. 935–943, 2010.

[45] F. Dossin, I. Pinheiro, J. J. Žylicz et al., "SPEN integrates transcriptional and epigenetic control of X-inactivation," Nature, vol. 578, no. 7795, pp. 455–460, 2020.

[46] A.-V. Gendrel, A. Apedaile, H. Coker et al., "Smchd1-dependent and -independent pathways determine developmental dynamics of CpG island methylation on the inactive X chromosome," Developmental Cell, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 265–279, 2012.

[47] A.-V. Gendrel, Y. A. Tang, M. Suzuki et al., "Epigenetic functions of Smchd1 repress gene clusters on the inactive X chromosome and on autosomes," Molecular and Cellular Biology, vol. 33, no. 16, pp. 3150–3165, 2013.

[48] H. J. Li, Z. K. Haque, A. Chen, and M. Mendelsohn, "RIF-1, a novel nuclear receptor corepressor that associates with the nuclear matrix," Journal of Cellular Biochemistry, vol. 102, no. 4, pp. 1021–1035, 2007.

[49] N. J. Brideau, H. Coker, A.-V. Gendrel et al., "Independent mechanisms target Smchd1 to trimethylated histone H3 lysine 9-modified chromatin and the inactive X chromosome," Molecular and Cellular Biology, vol. 35, no. 23, pp. 4053–4068, 2015.

[50] D. C. Schultz, "SETDB1: a novel KAP-1-associated histone H3 lysine 9-specific methyltransferase that contributes to HP1-mediated silencing of euchromatic genes by KRAB zinc-finger proteins," Genes & Development, vol. 16, no. 8, pp. 919–932, 2002.

[51] L. Yang, L. Xia, D. Y. Wu et al., "Molecular cloning of ESET, a novel histone h3-specific methyltransferase that interacts with erg transcription factor," Oncogene, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 148–152, 2002.

[52] A. Keniry, L. J. Gearing, N. Jansz et al., "Setdb1-mediated H3K9 methylation is enriched on the inactive X and plays a role in its epigenetic silencing," Epigenetics & Chromatin, vol. 9, p. 16, 2016.

[53] Z. Sun and B. P. Chadwick, "Loss of SETDB1 decompacts the inactive X chromosome in part through reactivation of an enhancer in the IL1RAPL1 gene," Epigenetics & Chromatin, vol. 11, no. 1, 2018.

[54] J. R. Friedman, W. J. Fredericks, D. E. Jensen et al., "KAP-1, a novel corepressor for the highly conserved KRAB repression domain," Genes & Development, vol. 10, no. 16, pp. 2067–2078, 1996.
structure within the X-inactivation center region,” *Human Molecular Genetics*, vol. 20, no. 4, pp. 705–718, 2011.

[76] B. P. Chadwick, “DXZ4 chromatin adopts an opposing conformation to that of the surrounding chromosome and acquires a novel inactive X-specific role involving CTCF and antisense transcripts,” *Genome Research*, vol. 18, no. 8, pp. 1259–1269, 2008.

[77] A. H. Horakova, S. C. Moseley, C. R. McLaughlin, D. C. Tremblay, and B. P. Chadwick, “The macrosatellite DXZ4 mediates CTCF-dependent long-range intrachromosomal interactions on the human inactive X chromosome,” *Human Molecular Genetics*, vol. 21, no. 20, pp. 4367–4377, 2012.

[78] E. M. Darrow, M. H. Huntley, O. Dudchenko et al., “Deletion of DXZ4 on the human inactive X chromosome alters higher-order genome architecture,” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, vol. 113, no. 31, pp. E4504–E4512, 2016.

[79] S. S. P. Rao, M. H. Huntley, N. C. Durand et al., “A 3D map of the human genome at kilobase resolution reveals principles of chromatin looping,” *Cell*, vol. 159, no. 7, pp. 1665–1680, 2014.

[80] L. Echevarria, K. Benistan, A. Toussaint et al., “X-chromosome inactivation in female patients with Fabry disease,” *Clinical Genetics*, vol. 89, no. 1, pp. 44–54, 2016.

[81] T. Tukiainen, A.-C. Villani, A. Yen et al., “Landscape of X chromosome inactivation across human tissues,” *Nature*, vol. 550, no. 7675, pp. 244–248, 2017.

[82] K. A. Wareham, M. F. Lyon, P. H. Glenister, and E. D. Williams, “Age related reactivation of an X-linked gene,” *Nature*, vol. 327, no. 6124, pp. 725–727, 1987.

[83] B. R. Migeon, J. Axelman, and A. H. Beggs, “Effect of ageing on reactivation of the human X-linked HPRT locus,” *Nature*, vol. 335, no. 6185, pp. 93–96, 1988.

[84] A. Sharp, D. Robinson, and P. Jacobs, “Age- and tissue-specific variation of X chromosome inactivation ratios in normal women,” *Human Genetics*, vol. 107, no. 4, pp. 343–349, 2000.

[85] J. Wang, C. M. Syrett, M. C. Kramer, A. Basu, M. L. Atchison, and M. C. Anguera, “Unusual maintenance of X chromosome inactivation predisposes female lymphocytes for increased expression from the inactive X,” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, vol. 113, no. 14, pp. E2029–E2038, 2016.

[86] G. J. Pageau, L. L. Hall, S. Ganesan, D. M. Livingston, and J. B. Lawrence, “The disappearing Barr body in breast and ovarian cancers,” *Nature Reviews Cancer*, vol. 7, no. 8, pp. 628–633, 2007.

[87] R. Chaligné, T. Popova, M.-A. Mendoza-Parra et al., “The inactive X chromosome is epigenetically unstable and transcriptionally labile in breast cancer,” *Genome Research*, vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 488–503, 2015.

[88] J. Kang, H. J. Lee, J. Kim et al., “Dysregulation of X chromosome inactivation in high grade ovarian serous adenocarcinoma,” *PLoS One*, vol. 10, Article ID e0118927, 2015.

[89] S. J. Winham, N. B. Larson, S. M. Armasu et al., “Molecular signatures of X chromosome inactivation and associations with clinical outcomes in epithelial ovarian cancer,” *Human Molecular Genetics*, vol. 28, no. 8, pp. 1331–1342, 2019.