Studies on effect of different mulches and fertigation on growth and yield of turmeric (Curcuma longa L.)
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Abstract
A field experiment was carried out in the Horticulture Department, Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola (M.S.) during the two years (2017-18 and 2018-19) for studying the effect of different mulches and fertigation on growth and yield of turmeric (Curcuma longa L.). The treatment consists of combinations of three mulches (without mulch, soybean straw mulch, and silver polythene mulch) with five fertigation schedule (control -100 % RDF through soil application, 15, 8, 5 and 4 days interval in 10, 20, 30 and 40 splits through fertigation @80% RDF) and was arranged in Strip Plot Design with three replicates. The results of the experiment revealed that in respect of growth parameters viz., plant height (at 180 days after planting), number of tillers plant⁻¹ and leaf area (at 180 days after planting) were recorded maximum in soybean straw mulch and 30 splits with 5 days interval through fertigation @80% recommended dose of fertilizer (RDF). In respect of yield parameters, maximum number of mother rhizome and fingers plant⁻¹, length of mother rhizome and primary fingers, yield plant⁻¹, yield (q/ha) and dry matter plant⁻¹ were recorded in soybean straw mulch and 30 splits with 5 days interval through fertigation @80% RDF.
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Introduction
Turmeric (Curcuma longa L.) is a perennial herbaceous plant belonging to the Zingiberaceae family. It is most important commercial spice crops. Origin of turmeric is tropical South- East Asia. Turmeric is called the “spice of life” as well as the “golden spice”. Turmeric was consumed in multidisciplinary, such as spice, food, cosmetics, and medicine. It is a dye, with varied usages in cosmetic and drug industries. It is used as a medicinal for external application and taken internally as a stimulant. “Kum-kurn” is also a by-product of turmeric. Turmeric is used in cosmetic, pharmaceutical, confectionary and food industries. Besides spice, turmeric has a wide range of medicinal values such as stimulant, blood purifier, tonic as a carminative, remedy for skin diseases, itches, pains and anthelmintic (Srimal 1997) [26]. Turmeric is widely cultivated in India, Sri Lanka, Bangladesh, China, Thailand, Cambodia, Taiwan, Malaysia, Peru, Indonesia and Pakistan. India is the topmost country in the world for turmeric production and consumption also exports and accounts for 80 % of the world’s turmeric production. Turmeric is cultivated on 238 thousand hectares with a production of 1133 thousand metric tonnes in Andhra Pradesh, Tamil Nadu, Odisha, Kerala, Maharashtra, West Bengal and north-eastern states of India (Anonymous 2017) [1]. The application of mulch reduces evaporation losses, regulates the soil temperature, suppresses weeds and protects the germinating rhizomes from drying out, especially during the early growth phase of hot and dry months (May and June). Philip et al. (1981) [18] and Kumar et al. (2008) [14] observed that mulching improved rhizome sprouting and considerably reduced weed growth by conserving soil moisture. Application of straw mulch had a favorable effect on growth and yield parameters compared to without mulch, which could be explained by rapid emergence, early establishment of the crop and greater interception of light (Singh and Randhawa, 1988) [23]. Mulch also improves water retention in the soil and microflora and fauna in the soil, and reduces wind velocity at the soil surface in arid regions (Kay, 1978; Jalota and Prihar, 1998) [13, 10].
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Turmeric is a nutrient loving plant and removes a large number of nutrients from the soil. Sufficient amount of nutrients must be applied to meet its nutritional need and achieve higher yields (Dubey and Singh, 2004) [4]. A drip irrigation system is a very efficient method of providing water to plant (Banker et al., 1993) [5]. Fertilization through drip irrigation facilitates the precise application of fertilizers. It delivers nutrients to the roots where it can be effectively and lead to increased nutrient absorption and utilization efficiency, (Elfving, 1982) [6]. Fertilization allows the application of a nutrient directly at the site of a high concentration of active roots and according to the needs of the crop. Scheduling fertilizer applications as needed offers the potential to reduce nutrient loss associated with conventional application. Methods that depend on soil as a nutrient reservoir thus increasing the efficiency of nutrient use. Fertilizer savings through fertigation can be to the tune of 25 - 50 % (Haynes, 1985) [7]

**Material and Methods**

An experiment was carried out at the main garden of the Horticultural Department, Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola, during 2017-18 and 2018-19. The soil at the experimental site had 7.4 pH, 0.56 % organic carbon, 155.84 kg/ha available nitrogen, 23.56 kg available phosphorus, and 448.42 kg/ha available potassium. The experiment was designed in Strip Plot Design with 3 replications and 15 treatment combinations. The treatment included three levels of mulching i.e. control (without mulch), soybean straw mulch, and silver polythene mulch with five levels of fertigation schedule i.e. control (100 % RDF through soil application), 10 no. of splits with 15 days interval, 20 no. of splits with 8 days interval, 30 no. of splits with 5 days interval, and 40 no. of splits with 4 days interval through fertigation @80% RDF. The land was ploughed thoroughly and brought fine tilth. At the time of the last ploughing, FYM was applied at 30 t ha⁻¹. After levelling, raised beds were prepared with dimensions of 2.8 m length, 0.6 m width, 30 cm height, and 60 cm path between two raised beds. Mother rhizomes of turmeric var. PDKV Waigaon weighing about 50 g were selected for planting. Planting was taken during June 2017 and 2018. The treatments receiving drip irrigation, drip laterals were laid along the length of each bed at the center. Two rows of plants per raised bed were laid out with a spacing of 30 cm between rows and 30 cm between plants within a row. A raised bed was taken mulched with silver polythene of 50 µ thickness and fully matured soybean straw mulch (5 t/ha) after planting and the thickness of straw mulch was 5 cm from the above ground level. The mulch was provided in between two rows of the crop. The recommended dose of fertilizer was 200:100:100 kg/ha N, P₂O₅, and K₂O. Basal soil application of fertilizer 100 % respectively was carried out in F₁ treatments and up to F₂ to F₅ treatments (80 % RDF through fertigation); fertigation was started at 35 days after sowing up to plan of fertigation schedule by automatic fertigation unit as per treatment. Fertilization was carried out using water-soluble fertilizers (Urea and 19:19:19 complex), all agronomic practices, and plant protection measures. Harvesting was done during February 2018 and 2019. Observation of different growth and yield parameters was recorded from five plants randomly sampled from each treatment.

**Results and Discussion**

The results obtained from the present investigation are presented below based on the pooled mean of two years of experimentation (2017-18 and 2018-19).

**Effect of mulching**

**Growth parameters**

The growth parameters included plant height at 180 days after planting (DAP), the number of leaves plant⁻¹ (at 120 DAP), leaf area (at 180 DAP), and the number of tillers plant⁻¹ at harvest and presented in table 1.

The data showed significant differences between treatments for plant height. Significantly maximum plant height (93.66 cm) was recorded in M₂ i.e. soybean straw mulch which was significantly superior over the rest of all the treatments. This was followed by treatment M₃ i.e. silver polythene mulch. However, significantly minimum plant height was registered in M₁ i.e. control (without mulch).

The application of mulch had a beneficial effect on plant height by altering the soil environment by maintaining a favorable temperature, increasing soil moisture, increasing nutrient availability and improving weed control. The mulch application, which produced larger plants, was also discussed by Mohanty et al. (1991); Gill et al. (1999); Junior et al. (2005); Swain et al. (2007); Manhas (2009) and Singh et al. (2016) [17, 6, 11, 27, 16, 24] in turmeric. Rair et al. (2011) [20] have reported that relatively more height in the plot mulched with straw over plastic in turmeric.

The data revealed significant differences among the treatments in respect number of tillers plant⁻¹ and leaf area. Significantly maximum number of tillers plant⁻¹ and leaf area were recorded in M₂ i.e. soybean straw mulch (1.76 and 255.73 cm², respectively) and was found statistically at par with the treatment M₃ i.e. silver polythene mulch. However, the significantly minimum number of tillers plant⁻¹ and leaf area was recorded in M₁ i.e. control (without mulch). Mulched plots produced a higher number of tillers plant⁻¹ than plots that were not mulched. This could be because the mulch was applied to plots that produced better growth and development for the plant. Singh and Randhawa (1988) and Singh et al. (2016) [23, 24] have reported an increase in the number of tillers due to mulch. Earlier and higher spouting of rhizomes, in straw, mulched plots gave the crop dominance over weeds, and as a result, the crop used more nutrients from the soil and produced more leaf surface than non-mulched plots. The leaf area is directly related to the number of leaves and plays an important role in photosynthesis in plants. A higher number of tillers and leaves could have resulted in more leaf area plant⁻¹ under mulched areas. These results were in close agreement with the results of Gupta, and Awasthi (1997) [7] reported that the beneficial effect of mulching on the leaf area of ginger.

The data revealed non-significant among the treatments for the number of leaves plant⁻¹.

**Yield parameters**

The observation recorded on yield parameters are given in table 2 (a) and 2 (b). Significant differences were recorded among the treatments for number mother rhizome plant⁻¹, yield plant⁻¹, yield (q/ha), and dry matter plant⁻¹ at harvest. Significantly maximum number mother rhizome plant⁻¹, yield plant⁻¹, yield (q/ha), and dry matter plant⁻¹ was recorded in M₂ i.e. soybean straw mulch (1.55, 456.28 g, 337.98 q/ha, and 32.61 g, respectively) which was significantly superior over rest of all the treatments. This was followed by treatment M₃ i.e. silver polythene mulch. However, significantly minimum number mother rhizome plant⁻¹, yield plant⁻¹, yield (q/ha), and dry matter plant⁻¹ was recorded in M₁ i.e. control (without mulch).
Mulched plots produced a higher number of mother rhizome as compared to without mulch. This might be due to the mulched plots produced better growth and development of the plant and it helps to increase the number of mother rhizome. The application of straw mulch increased the yield of turmeric significantly as compared to no mulch. Soybean straw and silver polythene mulches have significantly increased the rhizome yield (q/ha) (27.37 % and 12.80 % over control, respectively pooled). The higher yield with organic mulch was due to reduced vapor loss, erosion, modified temperature, retention of moisture, the addition of nutrients to the soil, reduced weed emergence, and nutrient loss. The results of the present investigation are in agreement with the finding of Mohanty et al. (1991) and Gill et al. (1999) [17, 6] in turmeric, Chandra and Sheo (2001) in ginger, Rair et al. (2011) [3, 20], and Kaur and Brar (2016) [12] in turmeric. Total dry matter production of turmeric at harvest was significantly higher in plots mulched with soybean straw and silver polythene mulch than non-mulched plots. Higher plant height, number of tillers, and leaf area production as reported earlier caused higher dry matter production in mulched plants. Similar results were obtained by Indulekha and Thomas (2018) [9] in turmeric.

The data revealed significant differences among the treatments in respect number of fingers plant⁻¹, length of mother rhizome, and primary fingers at harvest. Significantly maximum number of fingers plant⁻¹, length of mother rhizome and primary fingers was recorded in M₂ i.e. soybean straw mulch (12.48, 9.29 cm, and 9.37 cm respectively) and was found statistically at par with the treatment M₃ i.e. silver polythene mulch. However, the significantly minimum number of fingers plant⁻¹, length of mother rhizome, and primary fingers were registered in M₁ i.e. control (without mulch).

The application of soybean straw mulch conserves moisture and lowers soil temperature as compared to without mulch of turmeric and this might have resulted in early and greater emergence of the crop and better establishment of the plants. The application of mulch delayed the emergence of weeds and would have also had a smothering effect on the number of fingers plant⁻¹ of turmeric. Present findings are supported by that of Singh (1992) and Gill et al. (1999) [25, 6] in turmeric. The application straw mulch conserves moisture and modifying temperature regime in the crop environment as compared to without mulch of turmeric and this might have resulted in increased production of more assimilates and their transportation to sink (rhizome) it helps to increased the length of mother rhizome and primary fingers. Similar results were reported by Roy and Wamanan (1988) and Kushwah et al. (2013) [21, 19] in ginger.

The data revealed non-significant among the treatments in respect of girth of mother rhizome and primary fingers at harvest.

### Table 1: Effect of different mulches and fertigation scheduling on growth parameters of turmeric

| Treatments | Plant height at 180 DAP | Number of leaves plant⁻¹ at 120 DAP | Leaf area at 180 DAP | Number of tillers plant⁻¹ |
|------------|------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|
|            | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | Pooled | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | Pooled | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | Pooled |

### Table 2 (a): Effect of different mulches and fertigation scheduling on yield parameters of turmeric

| Treatments | Number of mother rhizome plant⁻¹ | Number of fingers plant⁻¹ | Length of mother rhizome (cm) | Length of primary fingers (cm) | Girth of mother rhizome (cm) |
|------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
|            | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | Pooled | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | Pooled | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | Pooled | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | Pooled | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | Pooled |

### Table 2 (b): Effect of different mulches and fertigation scheduling on yield parameters of turmeric
Effect of fertigation scheduling

Growth parameters

The growth parameters included plant height (at 180 DAP), number of leaves plant
\(^{-1}\) (at 120 DAP), leaf area (at 180 DAP), and number of tillers plant
\(^{-1}\) at harvest. The observation recorded on growth parameters is given in table 1. The data revealed significant differences among the treatments for plant height, leaf area, and the number of tillers plant
\(^{-1}\). Significantly maximum plant height, leaf area, and the number of tillers plant
\(^{-1}\) was recorded in F\(_{1}\) i.e. 30 splits with 5 days interval through fertigation @ 80% RDF. However, significantly minimum plant height, leaf area, and the number of tillers plant
\(^{-1}\) was registered in F\(_{1}\) i.e. control (100% RDF through soil application).

Frequent application at 5 day intervals increases nutrient availability leads to increases in N, P and K absorption during the growth period, which increases protein and protoplasm synthesis for a higher rate of mitosis, increases height of the plant and the number of tillers plant
\(^{-1}\). Leaf area was significantly influenced by fertigation schedules. Higher leaf area in treatment 5 days interval might be due to the increased photosynthetic capacity of plants in this treatment as evidenced by higher leaf area, due to the continuous availability to nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium through the drip system. Similar results have been reported by Prabhakara et al. (2010)\(^{[19]}\) in green chilli.

The data revealed significant differences among the treatments in respect number of leaves plant
\(^{-1}\). A significantly maximum number of leaves plant
\(^{-1}\) was recorded in F\(_{1}\) i.e. 30 splits with 5 days interval through fertigation @ 80% RDF (17.96) which was significantly superior over the rest of all the treatments. This was followed by treatment F\(_{1}\) i.e. 40 splits with 4 days interval through fertigation @ 80%. However, the significantly minimum number of leaves plant
\(^{-1}\) was registered in F\(_{1}\) i.e. control (100% RDF through soil application). This may be due to the frequent and increasing use of fertilizers directly in the vicinity of the root zone, which increases the availability and absorption of nutrients, which leads to an increase in cell size and elongation of the cell leading to healthy and vigorous plant growth as well as an increase in the number of leaves plant
\(^{-1}\).

Yield parameters

The experimental finding indicated that different fertigation scheduling was significantly influenced the yield parameters [Table 2 (a) and (b)]. Significantly maximum number mother rhizome and fingers plant
\(^{-1}\) (1.58 and 12.43), length of...
mother rhizome and primary fingers (9.25 cm and 9.63 cm), yield plant\(^{-1}\) (454.17 g), yield/ha (336.42 g), and dry matter plant\(^{-1}\) (33.63 g) was recorded in F\(_4\) i.e. 30 splits with 5 days interval through fertigation @ 80% RDF and was at par with the treatment F\(_5\) i.e. 40 splits with 4 days interval through fertigation @ 80% RDF. However, significantly minimum number mother rhizome and fingers plant\(^{-1}\), length of mother rhizome and primary fingers, yield plant\(^{-1}\), yield (q/ha), and dry matter plant\(^{-1}\) was registered in F\(_1\) i.e. control (100% RDF through soil application).

Among the fertigation schedules, F\(_2\) i.e. 30 splits with 5 days interval through fertigation @ 80% RDF exhibited significantly maximum yield and yield attributes of turmeric. The extent of increase in yield (q/ha) was 30.66 percent over the treatment control (100% RDF through soil application). This could be due to the continuous division of nutrients during the growing period of the crops which improved the growth attributes accompanied by more physiological activities and absorbed PAR reflected in a higher photosynthetic rate and in the translocation of nutrients towards the reproductive part increasing the yield and yield attributes. Similar results were found by Singh et al. (2013) [22].

**Interaction effect**

Data presented in table 3. Interaction between different mulches and fertigation scheduling was found non-significant in respect to all growth parameters except the number of leaves plant\(^{-1}\) and all yield parameters non-significant except yield plant\(^{-1}\) and yield (q/ha). In respect of growth parameters, the maximum number of leaves plant\(^{-1}\) (21.15) was recorded in treatment combination M\(_1\)F\(_4\) i.e. soybean straw mulch + 30 splits with 5 days interval through fertigation @ 80% RDF which was significantly superior to the rest of the all the treatment combinations. This was followed by treatment combinations M\(_2\)F\(_3\), M\(_1\)F\(_4\), and M\(_2\)F\(_3\). However, significantly minimum numbers of leaves plant\(^{-1}\) (12.04) were observed by the treatment combination M\(_1\)F\(_1\) i.e. control (without mulch) + control (100% RDF through soil application). In respect of yield parameters, maximum yield plant\(^{-1}\) (523.32 g) and yield (387.64 q/ha) were recorded in treatment combination M\(_2\)F\(_1\) i.e. soybean straw mulch + 30 splits with 5 days interval through fertigation @ 80% RDF recorded and was at par with the treatment combination M\(_2\)F\(_5\). However, the significantly minimum yield plant\(^{-1}\) (328.70 g) and yield/ha (243.48 q) were recorded by the treatment combination M\(_1\)F\(_1\) i.e. control (without mulch) + control (100% RDF through soil application).

**Table 3: Interaction effect of different mulches and fertigation scheduling on growth and yield parameters of turmeric**

| Treatment combinations | Yield plant\(^{-1}\) | Yield (q/ha) |
|------------------------|---------------------|-------------|
|                        | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | Pooled | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | Pooled |
| Different mulches (M) X Fertigation Scheduling |
| M\(_1\)F\(_1\)     | 11.13   | 12.95   | 12.04  | 314.24  | 343.16  | 328.70 |
| M\(_2\)F\(_2\)     | 12.98   | 13.63   | 13.31  | 327.30  | 367.13  | 347.22 |
| M\(_3\)F\(_3\)     | 13.59   | 14.60   | 14.09  | 338.59  | 380.95  | 359.77 |
| M\(_4\)F\(_4\)     | 13.91   | 17.05   | 15.48  | 362.32  | 412.11  | 387.22 |
| M\(_5\)F\(_5\)     | 13.69   | 16.93   | 15.31  | 351.42  | 385.36  | 368.39 |
| M\(_6\)F\(_6\)     | 14.14   | 14.33   | 14.24  | 343.16  | 394.52  | 368.84 |
| M\(_7\)F\(_7\)     | 14.73   | 16.87   | 15.80  | 400.47  | 447.57  | 424.02 |
| M\(_8\)F\(_8\)     | 14.87   | 18.26   | 16.56  | 438.57  | 487.93  | 463.25 |
| M\(_9\)F\(_9\)     | 19.67   | 22.63   | 21.15  | 479.54  | 567.09  | 523.32 |
| M\(_10\)F\(_10\)   | 16.31   | 18.92   | 17.62  | 455.57  | 548.37  | 501.97 |
| M\(_11\)F\(_11\)   | 12.45   | 13.27   | 12.86  | 324.34  | 365.97  | 345.16 |
| M\(_12\)F\(_12\)   | 12.80   | 14.10   | 13.45  | 339.85  | 424.50  | 382.17 |
| M\(_13\)F\(_13\)   | 14.34   | 17.82   | 16.08  | 353.82  | 450.87  | 402.34 |
| M\(_14\)F\(_14\)   | 15.92   | 18.60   | 17.26  | 437.09  | 466.85  | 451.97 |
| M\(_15\)F\(_15\)   | 14.57   | 18.41   | 16.49  | 420.33  | 457.59  | 438.96 |
| F\(_{test}\)       | 13.08   | 15.77   | 11.87  |         |         |         |
| S\(_{test}\)       | 13.08   | 15.77   | 11.87  |         |         |         |
| CD at 5%           | 1.70    | 1.93    | 1.35   | 39.21   | 47.28   | 35.58  |

M\(_1\)- Control (without mulch), M\(_2\)-Soybean straw mulch, M\(_3\)-Silver polythene mulch, F\(_{test}\)-Control (100% RDF through soil application), F\(_{\sim 15}\) days interval, F\(_{\sim 8-15}\) days interval, F\(_{\sim 5-8}\) days interval, F\(_{\sim 4-5}\) days interval [e.g. M\(_i\)F\(_j\) - M\(_i\)- Control (without mulch) + F\(_j\)-Control (100% RDF through soil application)]
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