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Abstract

Background: Disrespectful and abusive treatment of women by health care providers during the process of childbirth at health facility is an international problem. There is a lack of data on disrespect and abuse of women during the process of childbirth at health facilities in Sub-Saharan Africa. The purpose of this study was to determine the prevalence of disrespect and abuse of women during the process of childbirth at health facilities in sub-Saharan Africa.

Methods: The PRISMA guideline protocol was followed to write the systematic review and meta-analysis. Published studies were searched from Medline, PubMed, CINAHL, EMBASE, Maternal and infant care, science direct, and PsycINFO. Articles were accessed by three reviewers (ZY, BT and AA) using the following key terms, “attitude of health personnel” AND “delivery obstetrics/nursing” OR “maternity care” AND “disrespect” OR “abuse” OR “professional misconduct” AND “parturition” AND “prevalence” AND “professional-patient relations” AND “Sub-Saharan Africa”. Additional articles were retrieved by cross referencing of reference. The heterogeneity of studies were weighed using Cochran’s Q test and I² test statistics. Publication bias was assessed by Egger’s test.

Results: Thirty three studies met the inclusion and included in this systematic review and meta-analysis of disrespect and abuse of women during the process of childbirth at health facilities. The pooled prevalence of disrespect and abuse women during the process of childbirth at health facilities in Sub-Saharan Africa was 44.09% (95% CI: 29.94–58.24). Particularly physical abuse was 15.77% (95% CI: 13.38–18.15), non-confidential care was 16.87% (95% CI: 14.49–19.24), abandonment was 16.86% (95% CI: 13.88–19.84) and detention was 4.81% (95% CI: 3.96–5.67).

Conclusion: In this study disrespect and abuse of women during the process of childbirth at health facilities are high compared with other studies, particularly non-confidential care and abandonment his high compared with other studies. This study points out that the ministry of health, health care providers, maternal health experts shall due attention to women’s right during the process of childbirth at health facilities.

Keywords: Meta-analysis, Childbirth, Disrespect, Abuse, Sub-Saharan Africa

© The Author(s). 2020 Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.
Background
Disrespectful and abusive behaviors on woman during the process of childbirth at health facilities is a public health concern, which violates woman dignity, integrity, and respectful care in maternity units [1]. The mistreatment of a woman during the process of childbirth at health facilities has become an international agenda by maternal and child health advocates [2]. It is a violation of the fundamental human rights of women, newborns, and families [3]. Disrespect and abuse of women during the process of childbirth at health facilities is a violation of women’s rights, health, self-determination, privacy, bodily integrity, family life, freedom from discrimination, and spiritual freedom [4].

Every woman has the right to get quality of health care which is respectful, dignified, free of violence, free of discrimination, the right to know the procedure and any activities related to health care [5], nevertheless disrespect, abuse and abandonment of women during the process of childbirth at health facilities constitute seriously violation of women rights, which acknowledged across in the world [6, 7].

Various form of disrespect and abuse of women during the process of childbirth at health facilities have been stated in the literature such as; non-consented care, non-confidential care, non-dignified care, physical abuse, discrimination based on specific attributes, abandonment or denial care and detention in the health facilities due to inability to pay medical expense [8, 9].

Importantly, disrespect and abuse during childbirth is any act of in the following lists physical abuse (use of force and physical restraint), sexual abuse, verbal abuse (harsh language, threats and blaming), stigma and discrimination (discrimination based on sociodemographic characteristics, and discrimination based on medical conditions), failure to meet professional standards of care (lack of informed consent and confidentiality, physical examinations and procedures, neglect and abandonment), poor rapport between women and providers (ineffective communication, lack of supportive care, loss of autonomy), and health systems conditions and constraints (lack of resources, lack of policies, facility culture) [10].

In addition to the health care providers might be made physical violence like punching, slapping, pushing, beating, poking, forced examination (abdominal and vaginal examination without consent), excessive and inappropriate medical interventions, episiotomy and stitching without anesthesia during childbirth [9]. Furthermore, a study conducted in India showed that 9.1% of experienced disrespect and abuse by self reporting, whereas observers reported 22.4% of women being mistreated [11]. Similarly, a study conducted in India showed that 71.3% experienced disrespect and abuse [12].

Pregnancy and childbirth are momentous events, which lives in women and families in every community in the world. Whereas, woman’s positive or negative experience during childbirth stays with her throughout her lifetime [13].

Despite the last two decades remarkable achievements have made on maternal and child health in the world, still there is a large number of maternal and neonatal mortality across the globe. Mistreatment and obstetric violence is a powerful deterrent of women to seek care in health facilities for their subsequent deliveries [14, 15]. Stakeholders and concerned bodies to achieve Sustainable Development Goal year 2030, respectful maternity care takes a pivotal for women’s utilization of maternity care services. One of the key strategies to lessen maternal and neonatal mortality is increasing institutional delivery, and woman friendly care.

There is a lack of studies on the disrespect and abuse of women during the process of childbirth at health facilities in Sub-Saharan Africa. This study gave a piece of information on the status of disrespect and abuse of women during the process of childbirth at health facilities for obstetric care providers, policy planners, the ministry of health and relevant stakeholders for possible mitigation of disrespect and abuse of women during the process of childbirth at health facilities. Therefore, the aim of this study was to quantify the prevalence of disrespect and abuse of women during the process of childbirth at health facilities in sub-Saharan Africa.

Method
Search strategies
This systematic review and meta-analysis were done based on published studies. The search strategy included the following data bases: PubMed/Medline, CINAHL, EMBASE, Maternal and infant care, science direct, and PsyclINFO were systematically searched. The search was carried out from January 06 to June 04, 2020. Articles were retrieved by three reviewers (ZY, BT and AA) using MeSH terms, “attitude of health personnel” AND “delivery obstetrics/nursing” OR “maternity care” AND “disrespect” OR “abuse” OR “professional misconduct” AND “parturition” AND “prevalence” AND “professional-patient relations” AND “Sub-Saharan Africa”. Additional articles were retrieved by using cross referencing of references, titles and abstracts.

Eligibility criteria
Studies reported all age women who experienced disrespect and abuse during the process of childbirth at health facilities in sub-Saharan Africa were eligible for this review. Quantitative primary studies were conducted with cross sectional, and cohort study design in sub-Saharan Africa, irrespective of whether the study was implemented.
in the health facility and or in the community were included. Limit of the language is the English and 2000–2020 published were included.

Exclusion criteria
Studies which are, qualitative studies, review studies, conference abstract, articles incomplete information, with methodological problems or with full text not available were excluded.

Data screening and extraction
Three authors (ZY, BT, and AA) independently extracted all necessary data using a standardized data extraction format. Three reviewers (ZY, BT, and AA) independently extracted the data from eligible articles using the Joana Briggs’s Institute (JBI) critical appraisal checklist for simple prevalence which contain nine checklist items [16]. Discrepancies during scoring were resolved through discussion and consensus by reviewers. Three reviewers independently evaluated and cross checked the score, and the articles weighted > 4.5 points were considered as high quality score (Table S1).

Data analysis
Data analysis was implemented using Stata statistical software, version 15 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA). The pooled prevalence of disrespect and abuse of women during the process of childbirth at health facilities with 95%CI was calculated using the random effects model, due to the possibility of heterogeneity among studies. The heterogeneity test was assessed by using the $I^2$ statistics and Q statistics test. The publication bias was assessed using the Egger’s regression test objectively and funnel plot subjectively. Any asymmetry of a funnel plot and statistical significance of Egger’s regression test ($P$-value < 0.05) was suggestive of publication bias [17, 18].

Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines was strictly followed during the systematic review and meta-analysis [19]. Four hundred twenty nine articles were accessed, from this 42 articles excluded due to duplication. Three hundred thirty three were excluded based on title and abstract. The rest of 66 articles were reviewed full articles. Thirty three articles were excluded after full article reviewing due to qualitative study (unreported prevalence). Finally, 33 studies were included in this systematic review and meta-analysis (Fig. 1). The heterogeneity test showed that $I^2 = 99.9\%$, $p$-value is 0.000 and publication bias (Egger’s test $p$-value is 0.34).

Result
In this systematic and meta-analysis, a total of 21,330 population has participated, 3686 population has participated in community based studies, 16,224 population was laboring mothers and 1420 population was health
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| Author                        | Year of Pub | Country     | Study population | Sample size | Case Over all Pre. (%) | Physical abuse (%) | Non-confidential (%) | Detention (%) | Abandonment (%) | Quality |
|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------|------------------|-------------|------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------|----------------|---------|
| Asefa and Bekele [20]         | 2015        | Ethiopia    | Laboring mothers | 173         | 136                    | 78.6               | 32.9                | 21.4         | 0.6            | 39.3    | 5       |
| Anteneh et al. [21]           | 2018        | Ethiopia    | Providers        | 54          | 14                     | 25.9               | 25.9                | 34.5         | 18             | 13.2    | 5       |
| Sheferaw et al. [22]          | 2017        | Ethiopia    | Laboring mothers | 240         | 87                     | 36.0               | 9.0                 | 17.0         | NR             | 19.0    | 6       |
| Washihun B et al. [23]        | 2018        | Ethiopia    | Community        | 410         | 275                    | 67.1               | 57.6                | 11.0         | NR             | 7.1     | 7       |
| Washihun and Zeleke [24]      | 2018        | Ethiopia    | Laboring mothers | 284         | 121                    | 42.6               | 34.5                | 31.7         | NR             | 32.4    | 6       |
| Kathleen P et al. [25]        | 2018        | Ethiopia    | Laboring mothers | 204         | 43                     | 21.1               | 0.5                 | 13.7         | 0              | 2.5     | 6       |
| Gebremichael et al. [26]      | 2018        | Ethiopia    | Community        | 1125        | 248                    | 22.0               | 0.8                 | 0.8          | 3.8            | 6.0     | 8       |
| Ukke et al. [27]              | 2019        | Ethiopia    | Laboring mothers | 281         | 278                    | 98.9               | 29.5                | 17.1         | NR             | 4.3     | 5       |
| Mihret [28]                   | 2019        | Ethiopia    | Laboring mothers | 409         | 307                    | 75.1               | 46.9                | 32.3         | NR             | 12.7    | 6       |
| Bobo et al. [29]              | 2019        | Ethiopia    | Laboring mothers | 612         | 458                    | 74.8               | 37.1                | 40.4         | 2.9            | 25.2    | 7       |
| Siraj et al. [30]             | 2019        | Ethiopia    | Laboring mothers | 290         | 266                    | 91.7               | 87.9                | 50           | 25.9          | 53.8    | 6       |
| Bekele [31]                   | 2020        | Ethiopia    | Community        | 316         | 247                    | 78.2               | 21.5                | 33.9         | 0.3            | 13.3    | 7       |
| Abuya T et al. [32]           | 2015        | Kenya       | Laboring mothers | 641         | 129                    | 20.1               | 4.2                 | 8.5          | 8.1            | 14.3    | 7       |
| Atai et al. [33]              | 2018        | Kenya       | Laboring mothers | 164         | 53                     | 32                 | 1                  | 28           | NR             | 22      | 5       |
| Sando et al. et al. [34] H    | 2014        | Tanzania    | Laboring mothers | 147         | 18                     | 12.2               | 2.7                 | 0.7          | 0.7            | 6.8     | 5       |
| Sando et al. et al. [34] N    | 2014        | Tanzania    | Laboring mothers | 1807        | 271                    | 15.0               | 4.7                 | 1.8          | 0.1            | 7.9     | 8       |
| Kruk et al. [35] L            | 2014        | Tanzania    | Laboring mothers | 1779        | 343                    | 19.28              | 2.9                 | 4.39         | 0.17           | 8.53    | 9       |
| Kruk et al. [35] C            | 2014        | Tanzania    | Community        | 593         | 167                    | 28.16              | 5.08                | 6.16         | 0.34           | 15.54   | 8       |
| Kujawski S et al. [36]        | 2015        | Tanzania    | Laboring mothers | 1388        | 247                    | 17.79              | NR                 | NR           | NR             | NR      | 9       |
| Sando et al. [37] L           | 2016        | Tanzania    | Laboring mothers | 1914        | 278                    | 14.5               | 5.0                 | 2.0          | 0.2            | 8.0     | 5       |
| Sando et al. [37] C           | 2016        | Tanzania    | community        | 64          | 50                     | 78.0               | 52.0                | 54.0         | 2.0            | 52.0    | 8       |
| Kujawski SA et al. [38]       | 2017        | Tanzania    | Laboring mothers | 644         | 84                     | 13.1               | 2.5                 | 1.74         | 2.37           | 6.09    | 9       |
| Freedman et al. [39] P        | 2018        | Tanzania    | Providers        | 232         | 162                    | 69.8               | 13.79               | 10.78        | 5.17           | 18.1    | 6       |
| Freedman et al. [39] L        | 2018        | Tanzania    | Laboring mothers | 232         | 23                     | 9.91               | 0.86                | 1.29         | 1.29           | 3.45    | 6       |
| Larson et al. [40]            | 2018        | Tanzania    | Laboring mothers | 2002        | 286                    | 14.3               | NR                 | NR           | NR             | NR      | 5       |
| Bishanga, et al. [41]         | 2019        | Tanzania    | Community        | 732         | 535                    | 73.1               | 4.6                 | 32.9         | 30.9           | 16.7    | 7       |
care providers. The sample size of study population varied from 54 to 2109 (Table 1).

Meta-analysis
The prevalence of disrespect and abuse of women during the process of childbirth at health facilities in Sub-Saharan African was 44.09% (95% CI: 29.94–58.24). The I² statistic for disrespect and abuse of women during the process of childbirth at health facility was 99.94% (Fig. 2). Subgroup analysis was done, based on study population, study setting and types of disrespect and abuse on women during the process of childbirth at health facility. Subgroup analysis showed that at community based study prevalence of disrespect and abuse of woman during the process of childbirth at health facilities in Sub-Saharan African was 63.48% (95% CI: 35.22–91.74), whereas the subgroup analysis on facilities based study was 36.89% (95% CI: 21.04–52.75) and based on study population health care providers; prevalence of disrespect and abuse of women during the process of childbirth at health facilities in Sub-Saharan African was 50.01% (95% CI: 27.07–72.95) (Figure S1). On other hand subgroup analysis was done based on types of disrespect and abuse on women during the process of childbirth at health facility: physical violence of women during the process of childbirth at health facilities in Sub-Saharan African from 29 studies was 15.77% (95% CI: 13.38–18.15) (Figure S2), non-confidential care of women during the process of childbirth at health facilities in Sub-Saharan African from 28 studies was 16.87% (95% CI: 14.49–19.24) (Figure S3), abandonment of women during the process of childbirth at health facilities in Sub-Saharan African from 30 studies was 16.86% (95% CI: 13.88–19.84) (Figure S4), and detention of women during the process of childbirth at health facilities in Sub-Saharan African from 22 studies was 4.81% (95% CI: 3.96–5.67) (Figure S5).

Discussion
Disrespect and abuse of women during the process of childbirth at health facility is violation of the core rights of women, newborns and families. Disrespect and abuse of woman during the process of childbirth at a health facility is a burning issue of an international community. In low and middle income countries due to attention to reducing maternal and child morbidity and mortality by implementing different strategies like increasing institutional delivery and woman friendly care. Meanwhile, disrespect and abuse of woman during the process of childbirth at a health facility is a hurdle to utilize institutional delivery.

Different researches are done on disrespect and abuse of woman during the process of childbirth at health facility across the globe, the findings showed that it is dispersed and inconsistent in the world. The definition of disrespect and abuse of woman during the process of childbirth at health facilities are different terminologies and feelings by health care providers in the world. Bowers and Hill’s described seven categories of disrespect and abuse of women during the process of childbirth at health facilities are; physical abuse, non-confidential care, non-consented care, non-dignified care, abandonment, discrimination and detention in the facilities [7, 15, 48].

This systemic review and meta-analysis aimed to assess disrespect and abuse of woman during the process of childbirth...
of child birth at health facility in Sub-Saharan Africa. Thirty three met the inclusion criteria and included in this systemic review and meta-analysis. The result of this systematic review meta-analysis revealed that the highest (98.0%) prevalence of disrespect and abuse of woman during the process of child birth at health facility was observed a study was done in Nigeria [44] and in Ethiopia 98.9 [27], and the lowest (1.91%) was observed from a study was done in Malawi [33]. This substantial difference between the studies could lack of standardized definitions, instruments and study methods in a study designed disrespect and abuse during the childbirth processing at health facilities introduced the potential error in reporting estimated prevalence, affected generalizability and comparability [49]. Furthermore, this difference might be the women preferred health care providers could be preferred male in some place [50] and others could be preferred female health care providers. Besides, disrespect and abuse as normalized and internalized by both health care providers and women considered as a normal event, the way of data collection like self administration, interview and observation [39], sampling technique, sociocultural difference and way of defining of disrespect and abuse care within the studies. In addition, the ways of health care provider-client approach during childbirth. Some of the health care providers might be made procedures without consent for the wellbeing of women and fetus during childbirth, while due to the communication barrier the women can see as abuse.
Pooled prevalence of disrespect and abuse of woman during the process of childbirth at health facilities was 44.09% in Sub-Saharan Africa. This finding is inconsistent with study done in India 28% [51], in Brazil 18.3% [52]. The possible explanation might be sociocultural difference, socioeconomic difference, health care provider’s knowledge, attitude and skill difference, the health facilities difference and health system difference, study time, data collection time, sampling technique and the way of defining of disrespect and abuse of woman during the process of childbirth at health facilities within the studies are quite different. This finding is consistent to the study done in Mexico 37.7% [53].

This finding is incomparable with study done in India 71.3% [12], in Pakistan 97.4% [54], in Pakistan 99.7% [55], and in Peru 97.4% [56]. The possible explanation might be the way of defining of disrespect and abuse, the study population, and the health facility set up difference.

In this meta-analysis non-confidential care (16.87%) and abandonment (16.86%) were highest prevalence of woman during the process of childbirth at health facilities, whereas detention was the lowest prevalence of woman during the process of childbirth at health facilities 4.81%. The possible explanation might be high prevalence of non-confidential care and abandonment of woman during the process of childbirth at health facilities is low number of obstetric care providers and work overload, while low detention is most of sub-Saharan countries have free obstetrics care service.

Implication of this study is synthesis of pooled prevalence of disrespect and abuse woman during the process of birth at health facilities in Sub-Saharan Africa. Minister of health, relevant stakeholders, international civil society and health care providers to achieve sustainable development goal three to ensure healthy lives and promote wellbeing for all ages and the women have the right to get maximum standard of care during childbirth. The potential limitation of this study were included do not follow the same methodology, various scales, tools, and methods of data collection were carried out. This has an effect on the prevalence of disrespect and abuse during childbirth. The data should be reported with caution, because of the high heterogeneity. Also there is recall bias and limit of language is English. Though, this study synthesis an essential evidence that will help for developing women centered interventions, standardized tool to assess respectful maternity care.

Conclusion
In this study disrespect and abuse of women during the process of childbirth at health facilities is high compared to other studies, particularly non confidential care and abandonment is high compared to other studies. This study point out that ministry of health, health care providers, maternal health experts shall due attention to women’s right during the process of childbirth at health facilities. The health care providers also should give women centered care and respect the culture of women during maternity care services.

Therefore, Sub-Saharan Africa countries and their policy planners use this information for evidence-based strategy to lessen disrespect and abuse of woman during the process of childbirth at health facilities. This meta-analysis is an input for international community, stakeholders and policy makers to show where we are and to give woman friendly service.
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