Physiological Ripples Associated With Sleep Spindles Can Be Identified in Patients With Refractory Epilepsy Beyond Mesio-Temporal Structures
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Introduction: High frequency oscillations (HFO) are promising biomarkers of epileptic tissue. While group analysis suggested a correlation between surgical removal of HFO generating tissue and seizure free outcome, HFO could not predict seizure outcome on an individual patient level. One possible explanation is the lack of differentiation between physiological and epileptic HFO. In the mesio-temporal lobe, a proportion of physiological ripples can be identified by their association with scalp sleep spindles. Spike associated ripples in contrast can be considered epileptic. This study investigated whether categorizing ripples by the co-occurrence with sleep spindles or spikes improves outcome prediction after surgery. Additionally, it aimed to investigate whether spindle-ripple association is limited to the mesio-temporal lobe structures or visible across the whole brain.

Methods: We retrospectively analyzed EEG of 31 patients with chronic intracranial EEG. Sleep spindles in scalp EEG and ripples and epileptic spikes in iEEG were automatically detected. Three ripple subtypes were obtained: SpindleR, Non-SpindleR, and SpikeR. Rate ratios between removed and non-removed brain areas were calculated. We compared the distinct ripple subtypes and their rates in different brain regions, inside and outside seizure onset areas and between patients with good and poor seizure outcome.

Results: SpindleR were found across all brain regions. SpikeR had significantly higher rates in the SOZ than in Non-SOZ channels. A significant positive correlation between removal of ripple-events and good outcome was found for the mixed ripple group ($r_s = 0.43, p = 0.017$) and for ripples not associated with spindles ($r_s = 0.40, p = 0.044$). Also, a significantly high proportion of spikes associated with ripples were removed in seizure free patients ($p = 0.036$).

Discussion: SpindleR are found in mesio-temporal and neocortical structures, indicating that ripple-spindle-coupling might have functional importance beyond
INTRODUCTION

Around 30% of patients continue to suffer from epileptic seizures after optimized medical treatment (1). Their best chance to achieve seizure freedom is epilepsy surgery offering success rates of up to 80% (2). Epilepsy surgery aims to resect all epileptic tissue including the seizure onset zone (SOZ), which is defined as the area of the cortex that generates seizures at a given point in time (3). In patients in whom non-invasive diagnostics cannot securely identify epileptic regions, intracranial video-EEG (iEEG) monitoring is considered the gold standard to localize the primary epileptic focus (4).

High frequency oscillations (HFO, ripples: 80–250 Hz, fast ripples: 250–500 Hz) are promising EEG markers of epileptic tissue (5–9). HFO rates were repeatedly shown to be higher in the SOZ (5, 6, 10, 11) and the resection of HFO-generating areas correlated with a good postsurgical outcome in several studies (9, 12, 13). These findings were confirmed by a meta-analysis of Höller et al. reviewing 11 HFO studies (14). Furthermore, HFO were considered superior to spikes for delineating the SOZ by some studies (6, 15). Nevertheless, the question whether epileptic spikes or HFO are more reliable biomarkers of epileptic tissue is still controversial. For instance, Roehri et al. found no benefits in using HFO instead of spikes for delineating the SOZ, especially on a single patient level. Furthermore, the analysis of HFO co-occurring with spikes could improve the delineation of epileptogenic areas (16–18).

Several retrospective analyses have shown that removing HFO-generating areas correlates well with favorable postsurgical outcome in group analyses (19). In the clinical context outcome prediction is only relevant if it can be performed prospectively and on a single-patient basis. Results show that HFO can correctly predict outcome in some but not all patients (12, 13, 20).

Several pitfalls have been identified when using HFO to delineate epileptic areas. One of the most commonly named challenges is the co-existence of physiological and epileptic HFO. As Engel and co-workers pointed out early on, a simple frequency analysis does not allow us to safely separate physiological HFO. Identification of physiological HFO in the human brain is complicated for two reasons. First of all, for ethical reasons all patients investigated with iEEG are suffering from epilepsy and might have widespread brain abnormalities. Identifying clearly healthy brain regions and certain physiological HFO is challenging but can be accomplished as has been recently demonstrated by Frauscher et al. (19). Their atlas of physiological HFO activity suggests that physiological HFOs are visible over most brain regions in agreement with other recent studies that could show physiological HFO activity originating not only from mesio-temporal regions but also from central and occipital regions (21, 22). Identifying HFO in clearly healthy brain tissue however does not help to overcome the second challenge, which is to separate physiological and epileptic HFO in regions of the SOZ and those with clear epileptic activity. In these regions either advanced analysis of HFO frequency and amplitude characteristics (23–25) or coupling analysis to co-occurring EEG phenomena has been successfully used (26, 27).

One approach for identifying physiological HFO that has been previously explored by our group is the analysis of spindle–ripple coupling (25). At this point, spindle-ripple association has been shown for physiological ripples in mesio-temporal structures (27–29). Clemens and co-workers stated that thalamo-cortical sleep spindles—functionally linked to periods of reduced sensory input—enable a secure timeframe for information transfer from the HC to the neocortex (30, 31). Ripples nested into single troughs of spindles are believed to enable a temporally synchronized memory-transfer from the HC to neocortical areas for long-term storage (30, 32, 33). The formation of spindle–ripple events is thought to be supported by neocortical slow oscillations (<1 Hz) which organize the occurrence of both thalamocortical spindles and hippocampal ripples (as illustrated in Figure 1). By analyzing the oscillatory features of a mixed group of ripple-range HFO, our group found that HFO associated with sleep spindles have different amplitude features than those with spikes and in the SOZ. Their lower amplitude could be used to separate mesio-temporal ripples from other ripples (25, 34).

It remains unclear, if spindle associated ripples also occur outside mesio-temporal structures and might support other cognitive functions. In the current paper we therefore aim to investigate ripple-spindle association across the brain including temporal neocortical, frontal, parietal, and occipital areas. We hypothesize that sleep spindle-ripple-links might support information transfer across brain regions for different functional purposes. Moreover, we investigate whether systematic separation of ripples associated with spindles and spikes can improve surgical seizure outcome prediction in our patient population.

METHODS

Patient Selection

One hundred and eight patients received chronic intracranial EEG (iEEG) at Freiburg Epilepsy Center between January 2012 and December 2017. The decision for implantation and the exact placement of the invasive electrodes was solely based on the clinical needs and results of a multidisciplinary surgical case.
FIGURE 1 | Schematic illustration of thalamic sleep-spindles and hippocampal ripples nesting in the depolarizing up-states of neocortical slow oscillations.

conference. All EEG recordings were evaluated independently of this study by experienced neurophysiologists, who also determined the extent of the SOZ (3). HFO were not clinically used for delineating the epileptogenic area. The study was validated by the Ethics Committee of the Freiburg University Medical Center.

For this study, inclusion criteria were: at least one electrode in the mesio-temporal structures, simultaneous scalp EEG for sleep spindle detection and an EEG sampling rate of 2 kHz. For outcome prediction we also only included patients which underwent surgery after iEEG recording.

Recording Methods
Intracranial depth electrodes with five to 18 contacts and a diameter of 0.8 mm made of Platinum/Iridium (Dixi Medical, Besancon, France) were implanted. Intracranial EEG was recorded with a digital video system called “Profusion EEG Software” (Compumedics Limited, Abbotsford Victoria, Australia) and sampled with a 2 kHz rate using a digital low-pass filtering with a cutoff frequency of 800 Hz. Ten- to twenty-system scalp EEG combined with electrooculogram and electromyogram was installed the second day after iEEG implantation. The different sleep stages were determined independently from this study by experienced EEG technologists according to the American association of sleep medicine (AASM) guidelines (35).

EEG Segment Selection
As spikes and HFO occur more frequently in slow-wave-sleep (36) and sleep spindles are found predominantly in slow wave sleep stage N2 (37), we chose N2-EEG periods for all analyses. For each patient 30 min of EEG with at least 60 min distance to epileptic seizures were selected.

The EEG data was transformed into a binary format and high-pass-filtered using the “ASA” (ANT Neuro, Enschede, Netherlands) software via 2nd Butterworth filter with a cut-off-frequency of 0.5 Hz. All files were then converted into “edf”-format for automatic detection.

Detection and Division of Ripple Subtypes
Automatic detection of ripples and spikes was performed on iEEG, while frontal and parietal sleep spindles were detected on the simultaneous scalp EEG. For both analysis previously published detectors were used (38, 39). These detectors are based on the multivariate classification of iEEG epochs using kernelized support-vector-machines. The features used for the multivariate classification described the amplitude, waveform and frequency characteristics of the iEEG epochs and were also based on the raw, filtered and wavelet-transformed signals. The description of the feature calculation and selection is described in the corresponding publications, as well as the procedures followed for the training, validation and testing of the detectors. We used a custom MATLAB 2018b script to determine ripples coinciding with spikes and sleep-spindles.

The first 5 min of each EEG segment were then visually examined to exclude any EEG artifacts i.e., background noise. Ripples were categorized into four subtypes: all ripples, ripples coincident with scalp sleep spindles (SpindleR), ripples not coincident with scalp spindles (Non-SpindleR) and ripples coincident with epileptic spikes in the same iEEG channels (SpikeR). Ripples coincident with both spindles and spikes were excluded as we were not able to categorize them as either epileptic or physiological.

Clinical Data
Clinical information on lesion, epilepsy type, EEG, imaging results and postsurgical outcome were collected from the electronic patient record system. All patients had at least 12 months of postsurgical seizure follow-up.
All patients received MRI while the electrodes were in place as well as 3 months after epilepsy surgery. MRI with electrodes in place were used to locate channels and assign them to one brain region. Both MRI were co-registered using SPM software to visualize which contacts were located in the surgical cavity. This analysis allowed us to clearly decide whether a contact was located within or outside the surgical area. In <5% of the channels a clear allocation was not possible and these were excluded from analysis. Examples would be contacts directly located at the border of a resection or in brain areas that can be considered as functionally disconnected after the resection. All EEG-contacts were divided into surgically removed channels (RemCh) or channels remaining after surgical intervention (Non-RemCh).

**Statistical Analyses**

Figure 2 summarizes the methodological approach of this study.

**Descriptive Statistics**

In our descriptive analysis we examined the rates of the ripple subtypes in mesio-temporal (amygdale, hippocampal, parahippocampal) and neocortical (frontal, parietal, temporal occipital) regions. The rate per minute of the different ripple subtypes for each channel (all Ripples, SpindleR, SpikeR and Non-SpindleR) was calculated. Additionally, the rates in SOZ vs. Non-SOZ channels of each subtype were calculated.

**Correlation With Surgical Outcome**

First, we performed a Wilcoxon rank sum test to compare rates of different event types in different brain regions and patient outcomes as listed below:

- mesio-temporal vs. neocortical channels
- SOZ vs. Non-SOZ-channels
- patients with a good post-surgical (Engel I) vs. a bad post-surgical outcome (II–IV).

Significance level was set at $\alpha = 0.05$.

To evaluate whether the proportion of removed events correlated with the surgical seizure outcome several ratios were calculated between removed and non-removed areas:

1. Ratios between rates of each ripple subtype ($ev$) in surgically removed channels (RemCh) vs. non-removed channels (Non-RemCh) were calculated for each ripple-subtype (all Ripples, SpindleR, SpikeR, Non-SpindleR), separately.

$$\text{Ratio Rate (ev)} = \frac{\sum_{\text{RemCh}} \text{Rate (ev)} - \sum_{\text{NonRemCh}} \text{Rate (ev)}}{\sum_{[\text{RemCh}, \text{NonRemCh}]} \text{Rate (ev)}}$$

Following the methods of Jacobs et al. (12) a value close to $+1$ states that the majority of ripples has been removed, and therefore the patient should have a good postsurgical outcome. A value close to $-1$ states that the majority of ripples remained unchanged, so the postsurgical outcome should be poor. A value around zero indicates that the amount of removed ripples equates approximately the amount of non-removed ripples.

2. Patient-specific thresholds (high-rate ratios) according to the upper-fence-method of Akiyama et al. (40) were calculated to focus on areas with high HFO activity. The upper-fence-method enabled us to identify channels with high rates of HFO. Ratios for these high-rate channels were calculated in the same way as the ratios for all channels.

3. We calculated if the removal of all SOZ channels (#ChannSOZRem) would result in a better postsurgical outcome than their non-removal (#ChannSOZNonRem).

$$\text{RatioSOZ} = \frac{\#\text{ChannSOZRem} - \#\text{ChannSOZNonRem}}{\#\text{ChannSOZRem} + \#\text{ChannSOZNonRem}}$$

This ratio increases as the proportion of removed to non-removed channels increases. A value close to $+1$ indicates, that the majority of ripples lay within the SOZ, so after resection patients with a high SOZ-Ratio should have a good postsurgical outcome, if the SOZ and the HFO-generating tissue overlapped. A value close to $-1$ states that the majority of ripples lay outside the SOZ, these patients should have a poor postsurgical outcome.

Spearman correlations were performed for all described ratios and the post-surgical outcome (Engel I-IV). The significance level of all analyses was set at $\alpha = 0.05$.

**RESULTS**

Thirty one patients met the study inclusion criteria (see Table 1 for clinical information). All patients showed ripples, spikes and sleep spindles in the automatic detections.

In total 2,291 iEEG channels were analyzed, 187 of these were located in mesio-temporal structures, 2,104 in the neocortex. Overall, 767,763 ripples were detected. Of these 82,717 (10.77%) were SpindleR (Spindle-coincident-ripple), 143,416 (18.68%) SpikeR (Spike Ripples), 572,953 (74.63%) Non-SpindleR (ripples outside spindles) and 511,743 (66.65%) Non-$\alpha$R (ripples not coincident with spikes or spindles). Ripples coincident with spikes and spindles (29,887; 3.89%) were excluded from the analysis as it was unclear whether to classify them as physiological or epileptic.

In total, 457,995 (59.65%) ripples were found in the temporal neocortex (TNC), followed by the frontal neocortex (FNC: 128688 ripples; 16.76%), the occipital neocortex (ONC: 50,914 ripples; 6.63%), the hippocampus (HC: 46,397 ripples; 6.04%) and the parahippocampal structures (PHC: 13,863 ripples; 1.81%).

**Ripple Distribution Across Brain Regions**

Table 2 shows all rates of the ripple subtypes in different brain regions (see Table 2 in for detailed information). Figure 3 additionally illustrates the distribution of SpindleR and SpikeR. Figure 4 shows the percentage of SpindleR, SpikeR, and Non-CoincidentRipples of the sum of ripples in the specific brain regions, respectively.

Notably none of the ripple subtypes was exclusive to one brain region. Ripples associated with spindles were visible over all brain regions and not exclusively observed in the mesio-temporal structures.
Mesio-temporal channels showed significantly higher rates in all four ripple subtypes than neocortical channels according to the Wilcoxon rank-sum tests (p = 0.015 in SpindleR, p < 0.001 in all Ripples, Non-SpindleR and SpikeR) (see Figure 5). All four ripple subtypes showed higher rates in the SOZ channels than in non-SOZ channels (p = 0.039 in SpindleR, p < 0.001 in all Ripples, Non-SpindleR, and SpikeR) (see Figure 6).

The average SOZ ratio over the entire cohort was 0.60 ± 0.43. Patients, in which SOZ channels were removed, showed a significantly better outcome than patients with remaining SOZ channels (Wilcoxon rank sum test: p = 0.024) (see Figure 7).

The Spearman correlation concerning the SOZ channel ratio showed a significant correlation between removal of SOZ channels and good outcome: r_s = 0.350, p = 0.030.

**Correlation Between Surgical Outcome and Removal of HFO Subtypes**

The following average rate ratios were obtained for the different ripple subtypes over the entire cohort: All ripples: −0.29 ± 0.33; Non-SpindleR: −0.29 ± 0.33; SpikeR: −0.07 ± 0.46.

Considering all channels, significantly higher ratios for spike-ripple removal were seen in patients with seizure free vs. poor outcome (p = 0.04). No significant differences were seen for the other ripple subtypes (see Figure 8).

Considering only channels with high rates of HFO as determined by the upper fence method, a significantly higher proportion of ripples were removed in seizure free patients compared to those with poor outcome. This significant difference was comparable for all ripples (p = 0.02), Non-SpindleR (p = 0.03) and SpikeR (p = 0.04) (see Figure 9).

The Spearman correlations between the removal of the different ripple events and a good post-surgical outcome did not show significant correlations when all channels were analyzed: All ripples (r_s = Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient = 0.22, p = 0.24), Non-SpindleR (r_s = 0.16, p = 0.39), Spike-ripples (r_s = 0.33, p = 0.07), ripples not coincident with other events (r_s = 0.17, p = 0.36), and SpindleR (r_s = 0.21, p = 0.25).

When only considering high rate channels, the Spearman correlations showed significant correlations between removal of ripple events in high rate ripple channels and seizure free outcome. This was strongest for all ripples (r_s = 0.43, p = 0.02) and Non-SpindleR (r_s = 0.40, p = 0.03), but borderline significant for SpikeR (r_s = 0.35, p = 0.05).

**DISCUSSION**

The present study demonstrates that ripples are associated with sleep spindles not only in the mesio-temporal regions but across the brain. Overall, this ripple subtype is rather infrequent and probably only represents a small subpopulation of physiological ripples. As previously described, we could show a correlation between the removal of ripple generating tissue and seizure free outcome. Without restricting the data to areas with frequent ripples, this analysis was only significant for ripples associated with spikes as has been suggested by Roehrich et al. (16). Thresholding the data for areas with high ripple rates was highly effective in our population in increasing the correlation between outcome and ripple removal, as has been described previously (40). This correlation was independent of whether we looked at the mixed event group or subpopulations of ripples. Therefore, the separation of spindle associated ripples did not lead to the hypothesized improvement of outcome correlation.
### TABLE 1 | Summary of clinical and demographic data.

| Pat. Nr. | Age at OP | Gender | Type of Seizure | MRI | Type of surgery |
|----------|-----------|--------|-----------------|-----|-----------------|
| 1        | 49        | M      | FAS             | no lesion | R sAHC          |
| 2        | 23        | F      | FAS, FIAS, FBTCs| R HS | R T-pol resection, AHC |
| 3        | 34        | F      | FAS, FIAS, FBTCs| NF1, ganglioglioma WHO' I | L F pall lecctionomy |
| 4        | 44        | F      | FAS, FIAS, FBTCs| Bil T P MEC | L T-pol resection |
| 5        | 46        | M      | FIAS, FBTCs     | FCC | R Ant T-pol resection, AHC |
| 6        | 39        | M      | FAS, FIAS, FBTCs| R FCD tmp, L T NC lesion | R F lecctionomy |
| 7        | 60        | F      | FAS, FIAS, FBTCs| Bil T P MEC, L T P lesion | L T-pol resection, sphen Enceph resection |
| 8        | 49        | M      | FAS, FIAS, FBTCs| R O bas FCD | R O T resection |
| 9        | 51        | F      | FAS, FIAS, FBTCs| R HS, T A FCD | R Ant T-pol resection, AHC |
| 10       | 40        | F      | FAS, FIAS, FBTCs| R HS | R T-pol resection, AHC |
| 11       | 18        | F      | FAS, FIAS       | L T FCD, HS | L T-pol part resection, AHC |
| 12       | 25        | M      | FAS, FIAS, FBTCs| L T M lesion, possible FCD | L lecctionomy, HC |
| 13       | 12        | M      | FAS, FIAS       | R T possible FCD | R T-pol resection, AHC |
| 14       | 56        | F      | FAS, FIAS       | R A GC lesion, possible FCD | R Ant lecctionomy GC |
| 15       | 12        | M      | FAS, FIAS       | tuber sclerosis, NON | R pall T-pol resection, AHC |
| 16       | 34        | F      | FAS, FIAS, FBTCs| R sphen MEC | R T-pol resection, AHC |
| 17       | 17        | M      | FAS, FIAS, FBTCs| R T Pol AC, F B lesions | R F resection, T-pol |
| 18       | 24        | F      | FAS, FIAS, FBTCs| no lesion | L sAHC |
| 19       | 52        | F      | FAS, FIAS, FBTCs| possible postembolic lesions infratent | L T-pol part resection, AHC |
| 20       | 29        | M      | FAS, FIAS, FBTCs| Bil T-pol sphen MEC, L possible FCD | L T-pol resection |
| 21       | 31        | F      | FAS, FIAS       | Bil HS | R T-pol resection, AHC |
| 22       | 55        | M      | FAS, FIAS, FBTCs| L T-pol possible FCD | L T-pol part resection |
| 23       | 27        | M      | FAS, FBTCs      | R possible TAE | R GTS resection |
| 24       | 55        | M      | FAS, FBTCs      | L T P MEC, that infract 2008 | L T-pol part resection |
| 25       | 22        | M      | FAS              | R HS | R sAHC |
| 26       | 28        | F      | FAS, FIAS       | L FCC, Bil white matter lesions | L T-pol resection, AHC |
| 27       | 33        | F      | FAS, FIAS, FBTCs| no lesion | R T-pol part resection |
| 28       | 53        | M      | FAS, FIAS, FBTCs| R T-pol possible FCD | R T-pol part resection, AHC |
| 29       | 38        | M      | FAS              | Bil HS | L pall sAHC |
| 30       | 22        | F      | FAS, FIAS, FBTCs| PCA WHO' I | R T resection, AHC |
| 31       | 13        | M      | FAS              | no lesion | R T-pol resection, AHC |

**Occurrence of SpindleR in Different Brain Regions**

The first goal of this study was to examine whether spindle associated ripples could be found outside of mesio-temporal structures, as SpindleR have thus far only been reported from mesio-temporal sites (25, 30, 31, 41). Our results suggest that SpindleR can be found across all brain regions. In a second step the anatomic distribution of SpindleR, Non-SpindleR, and SpikeR was assessed.

While it is well-known that the correlation between sleep spindles and ripples in MTL structures is part of the process that allows information transfer from mesio-temporal to neocortical structures (30–32), it remains unclear whether there is a functional spindle-ripple-coupling in neocortical areas. Possibly, neocortical SpindleR fulfill a similar task of information-transfer over wide distances in the brain. However, there is evidence that slow waves, sleep spindles and ripples are functionally connected (42–44). Ellenrieder et al. found a connection of slow waves with mesio-temporal ripples but also with neocortical ripples outside the SOZ (45). Another study showed that neocortical physiological HFO tend to occur with 0.5–1 Hz slow waves, whereas epileptic HFO tend to occur with another type of slow-waves with frequencies between 3 and 4 Hz (22). According to these results it is likely that physiological neocortical ripples may also occur during sleep spindles.

Overall, the proportion of ripples associated with sleep spindles is low. This is the case for contacts inside and outside the SOZ, as well as for contacts with and without epileptic spikes. It is therefore very likely that SpindleR only represent a subtype of physiological ripples expressed in the brain. At this point no study has investigated a correlation between function and SpindleR. It remains therefore an open task to
TABLE 2 | Average ripple rate/minute + SD (standard deviation) for All Ripple, SpikeR, SpindleR, and Non-SpindleR.

| Ø Ripple Rate/min | All Ripple (R) | SpikeR | SpindleR | R outside Spindles |
|-------------------|----------------|--------|----------|-------------------|
| A                 | 4.14 ± 2.67    | 1.96 ± 3.44 | 1.20 ± 1.00 | 9.26 ± 7.49       |
| HC                | 4.27 ± 2.76    | 2.07 ± 3.53 | 1.19 ± 1.00 | 9.53 ± 7.71       |
| PHC               | 4.11 ± 2.73    | 1.97 ± 3.42 | 1.12 ± 0.85 | 9.25 ± 7.48       |
| TNC               | 4.28 ± 2.78    | 2.09 ± 3.59 | 1.20 ± 1.00 | 9.54 ± 7.75       |
| FNC               | 4.15 ± 2.69    | 1.96 ± 3.43 | 1.19 ± 1.00 | 9.31 ± 7.51       |
| PNC               | 3.83 ± 2.60    | 1.83 ± 3.24 | 1.09 ± 0.82 | 8.57 ± 7.13       |
| ONC               | 4.32 ± 2.81    | 2.13 ± 3.63 | 1.21 ± 1.01 | 9.63 ± 7.83       |

A, Amygdala; HC, Hippocampus; PHC, Parahippocampus; TNC, Temporal Neocortex; FNC, Frontal Neocortex; PNC, Parietal Neocortex; ONC, Occipital Neocortex.

correlate specific functions like memory performance with the proportion of SpindleR expressed over a certain brain region. It will also have to be assessed whether SpindleR are somehow linked to other physiological ripples such as those coupled with slow waves. In the present study a very small percentage of ripples co-occurred with spikes and sleep spindles at the same time. This phenomenon is hard to explain but might be an indicator that physiological ripples occur in epileptic regions and might be visible at the same time as epileptic spikes. This is in line with the observation that SpindleR clearly occur over
SOZ areas again suggesting that regions generating physiological and epileptic activity have substantial overlap. This has been suggested by other studies (20), which could not show that high rates of epileptic spikes and HFO necessarily correlate with poor cognitive function.

**Ripple Subtypes in the SOZ**

The results of this study showed that all ripple types are most frequent in mesio-temporal regions as described before (6, 45). Moreover, our results are similar to many previous studies in regard to ripple rates being significantly higher inside than outside the SOZ (7, 13, 46–50). As expected, SpikeR and Non-SpindleR showed significantly higher rates in the SOZ. Consistent with recent findings, SpikeR were especially more frequent in SOZ channels than in channels outside the SOZ (51). A previous study from our group suggested that physiological ripples occur and can carry function in SOZ areas (52). It might explain why in this study SpindleR were seen slightly more frequently in the SOZ. This underlines the fact that function can take place in brain areas capable of generating seizures. Moreover, it demonstrates the complexity of separating physiological from epileptic HFO. A pure separation by looking at healthy vs. epileptic brain tissue might fall short of describing the actual coexistence of both event types within the same brain region.

**Correlation Between Surgical Removal of Ripple Generating Areas and the Postoperative Seizure Outcome**

It was one important goal of this study to see whether the identification of SpindleR as one group of physiological ripples would improve specificity of HFO as a biomarker for epileptic tissue and therefore improve the prediction of postsurgical seizure outcome. This hypothesis was based on several studies suggesting sleep spindle associated ripples being most probable physiological HFO models (25, 30, 31, 34, 41). The results in this study fail to show clear improvement of outcome correlation when only analyzing those HFO that are not linked to sleep spindles.
As expected, the correlation between HFO removal and surgical outcome was strongest when focusing on spike associated ripples (16, 51). Moreover, results improved when applying a thresholding technique that allows only considering areas with high rates of HFO (20, 40). The categorization of SpindleR therefore does not allow to sufficiently separate physiological and epileptic ripples in all those events that are not coupled to an epileptic spike. The most likely explanation for this observation is that various types of physiological HFO exist and that their characteristics and coupling to other physiological rhythms largely varies as does their function and location.

To actually improve the outcome correlation, it might therefore be essential to combine several techniques to classify ripples as physiological or epileptic. Previous studies suggested that the timing in which ripples are coupled to slow waves is one way to identify physiological ripples (45). Another way might be to analyze several sleep stages as only epileptic ripples are suppressed during phasic REM sleep. Liu and coworkers additionally suggest that epileptic ripples have more stereotypic characteristics than physiological ones (53). The technique presented in this study can identify ripples associated with spikes and sleep spindles in a fully automated way. If these analyses can be combined with other techniques, separation of more physiological ripples might be possible. Independent of this, further research will have to aim at providing a better understanding of influences such as brain region, structural brain abnormalities and epilepsy duration on the occurrence and shape of physiological HFO. The virtual brain atlas project initiated by Frauscher and co-workers is one step in this direction (19).

**Methodological Considerations**

There are several limitations of this study, which might have contributed to the above-discussed findings.
First, we only included patients which had electrodes implanted in the mesio-temporal structures as it was unclear at the beginning of this project whether spindle-ripple-association existed outside the mesio-temporal region. This was not limited to patients with temporal lobe SOZ but results in more patients with temporal lobe epilepsy than others. The predominance of contacts in the mesio-temporal structures might have increased the overall number of ripples detected in this study as HFO in general have highest rates in these structures. It is however unlikely that this selection influenced the correlation analysis between ripple removal and outcome, as predominance of ripples in SOZ and surgical areas was visible independent of the location of the SOZ and resection. Additionally, like many previous studies (14) this study had a retrospective design and did not aim to predict surgical outcome prospectively. This design does not provide strong information for translation into clinical use and if a future method of ripple classification should be more successful it would be mandatory to test this method prospectively.

Our study shows that distinct ripple subtypes can be separated by analyzing co-occurrence with spikes and spindles. The analysis was focused on analyzing subtypes of events in each channel, separately analyzing interactions between neighboring or distant channels. At this point it remains unclear whether these subtypes also show distinct network characteristics. While HFO were considered very focal events in the past, most recent research suggests that they might show propagation similarly to the well-described propagation of epileptic spikes. Recent studies have differentiated ripple-subtypes according to their role as “onset-ripples” and “spread-ripples,” suggesting that removing ripples that initiated the propagation (onset-ripples) were associated with a good outcome, whereas removing areas where ripple spread were not (54, 55). Network characteristics and propagation phenomenon of HFO have also been discussed as a result of studies using intraoperative EEG prior and after surgery to analyze HFO. These studies suggest that HFO have network interactions. More specifically HFO visible in the postsurgical EEG might be different in locations from those in the pre-surgical EEG and more relevant for the surgical outcome prediction (50). At this point it is unclear whether HFO networks and propagation is limited to specific anatomical structures and whether network characteristic of HFO are distinct for epileptic and physiological events. Using spindle-ripple analysis could shed future light into this question.

In the present study we focussed on the analysis of scalp sleep spindles using an automated detector that has been modified to application in patients with epilepsy (38, 39). This is important as automated detection tools developed in healthy subjects might not work reliably to detect spindles in scalp EEG that are recorded simultaneous to intracranial EEG in patients with chronic epilepsy. It is well-known that epilepsy influences sleep phenomena and can alter sleep spindle characteristics (56). Epilepsy might reduce the occurrence of sleep spindles. This has been described to be most prominent in times of frequent seizures (57), generalized epilepsies (56), and in those patients with large cortical malformations (58). It can therefore not be excluded that the analyzed patients had reduced sleep spindle occurrence compared to healthy subjects. Most patients however had very focal or no structural abnormalities and we selected EEG periods with the longest time interval away from seizures that could be selected. The fact that we could find sleep spindles and SpindleR in all our patients therefore suggests that SpindleR analysis is possible in patients with chronic refractory focal epilepsy.

**CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK**

The observation that SpindleR occur in brain regions beyond the mesio-temporal areas will be relevant when it comes to understanding functional importance of ripple oscillations as well as using HFO as biomarkers in patients with epilepsy. Extending the questions of this study, spindle-ripple analysis might be useful to identify functionally active brain regions during the pre-surgical diagnostics. A possible correlation of mesio-temporal HFO and memory performance has been examined before (52). An approach for future studies might therefore be the assessment of various cognitive functions and the occurrence of SpindleR.

It has been shown that HFO analysis is not limited to intracranial EEG and that HFO can also be seen in scalp recordings. The identified scalp HFO have a clear intracranial correlate as could be shown in simultaneous scalp-intracranial (59, 60) and EEG-MEG recordings (18). Data suggests that both physiological and epileptic HFO can be seen in scalp EEG (61). Papadelis et al. could show that HFO localization was comparable between invasive and non-invasive methods (62). While scalp HFO clearly co-occur with spikes it is unclear whether there is also a possible temporal coupling with sleep spindles. In the present study no scalp HFO analysis was performed as this is difficult to achieve with automated methods. Moreover, our recordings were too long for visual scalp HFO analysis. Future studies however could focus on scalp HFO spindle correlation and on better understanding which intracranial HFO are visible on the scalp.

Overall, SpindleR are most likely one type of physiological ripple activity generated by the brain. As has been hypothesized, spindle-ripple coupling most likely serves information transfer between brain lobes. Evidence in this study suggests that the value of SpindleR alone to identify physiological ripples on pre-surgical diagnostics is limited. A combination of different methodological approaches including the identification of SpindleR to differentiate between epileptic and physiological HFO is therefore more promising.
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