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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Leflunomide is a disease modifying antirheumatic drug (DMARD) that is commonly used for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis (RA). It is considered as a category X drug because it is contraindicated during pregnancy (studies in pregnant women have demonstrated a risk to the fetus, and/or human or animal studies have shown fetal abnormalities; risks of the drug outweigh the potential benefits). In women attempting to conceive, it is necessary to ensure that leflunomide levels are undetectable in plasma to prevent the risk of fetal malformations. An 11-day treatment of cholestyramine has been shown to lower leflunomide active metabolite levels to near undetectable levels.

Case Report: In this case report, we discuss an RA patient taking leflunomide, who had an unintended pregnancy requiring two treatment courses of cholestyramine for complete washout of leflunomide. A C-section was performed at 39 weeks and 3 days due to concerns about the fetus' health and was complicated by postpartum hemorrhage. The infant received Apgar scores of 9 and 9 at 1 and 5 minutes. The infant was also found with no birth anomalies and was deemed healthy at a check-up of nine months of age.

Conclusion: Although a single 11-day treatment is typically sufficient to safely lower the concentration of leflunomide, it is important to remeasure the leflunomide active metabolite levels after the treatment course of cholestyramine to ensure that levels have reached zero. When leflunomide levels remain significantly elevated, a second treatment course of cholestyramine is required, otherwise the fetus could potentially be exposed to its teratogenic properties.
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INTRODUCTION

Leflunomide is a disease-modifying antirheumatic drug (DMARD) used in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis (RA). It acts through reversible inhibition of dihydroorotate dehydrogenase (DHODH), an enzyme involved in the pyrimidine synthesis pathway. This leads to decreased synthesis of the pyrimidine precursors that are required for T cell replication in response to growth factor and cytokine stimulation (Figure 1) [1].
**CASE REPORT**

A 40-year-old female with seropositive rheumatoid arthritis (RA) presented to clinic with concern of fatigue and a missed period. She had a pregnancy test done in office which came back positive. At the time, she was taking Leflunomide 20 mg by mouth (PO) daily and subcutaneous (sub-Q) Enbrel 50 mg weekly for her RA. Follow-up ultrasound dated her pregnancy at six weeks and four days. This was an unintended pregnancy. She had a pregnancy test done in the office which came back positive. At the time, she was taking Leflunomide 20 mg by mouth (PO) daily and subcutaneous (sub-Q) Enbrel 50 mg weekly for her RA.

The patient tolerated Cimzia well during the pregnancy, the patient tolerated Cimzia well with minimal RA disease activity. However, she stopped taking Cimzia after 30 weeks gestation due to an insurance change. Since she had no symptoms concerning for an RA flare-up throughout the pregnancy, the patient was not started on another medication. Instead, she was closely followed up with a plan to start oral prednisone if she started experiencing symptoms of a flare-up. The patient opted for an elective induction of labor at 39 weeks. She delivered via C-section at 39 weeks and three days due to non-reassuring fetal heart tones and arrest of descent after a planned induction of labor. The patient also had a bilateral tubal ligation during the procedure. C-section was complicated by a postpartum hemorrhage of 1135 mL, which did not require uterotonic or intervention. A male infant was born at 3415 g with Apgar scores of 9, 9, and 9 at 1 and 5 minutes respectively, and had no birth anomalies noted upon physical exam. A check-up was performed at nine months of age with all regular milestones achieved for the infant’s age.

**DISCUSSION**

Leflunomide, a commonly used DMARD for RA, has been classified as pregnancy category X by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, and the manufacturer recommends that for women of childbearing age “treatment with Leflunomide must not be started until pregnancy is excluded and it has been confirmed that reliable contraception is being used” [5, 6]. Although current studies suggest that fetal exposure to leflunomide might not have a significant effect on pregnancy outcomes in humans, there is still not enough conclusive evidence [2, 3].

Leflunomide has been found to be embryotoxic and teratogenic in animals at similar therapeutic doses to those in humans [2]. In mice studies, offspring with leflunomide exposure in utero exhibited malformations such as neural tube defects, cleft palate, tail deformities, and cardiac defects [3]. Furthermore, because leflunomide has a long half-life of 14 to 15 days and undergoes enterohepatic circulation, its active metabolite, teriflunomide, can be detectable in plasma for up to two years after drug discontinuation [2]. Thus, due to the teratogenicity and long half-life of its active metabolite, leflunomide should be discontinued, and a drug washout procedure should be performed in women intending to conceive [4]. Oral cholestyramine has been shown to interfere with the enterohepatic recycling of leflunomide, leading to plasma leflunomide levels of less than 0.02 mg/L (0.02 ug/mL) in 11 days in women who plan to conceive or have an unplanned pregnancy [5].

In this case report, we discuss the importance of remeasuring plasma leflunomide levels after initiating cholestyramine therapy to ensure complete elimination of the active metabolite, because cholestyramine treatment may have to be repeated a second time in the setting of incomplete washout.

Table 1: Leflunomide levels prior to starting treatment with cholestyramine for drug washout.

| Leflunomide level (ng/mL) | Cholestyramine dose |
|---------------------------|---------------------|
| 34.941                    | 8 g PO TID for 11 days |
| 546                       | 8g PO TID for 10 days |

Follow-up and Outcomes

During the pregnancy, the patient tolerated Cimzia well with minimal RA disease activity. However, she stopped taking Cimzia after 30 weeks gestation due to an insurance change. Since she had no symptoms concerning for an RA flare-up throughout the pregnancy, the patient was not started on another medication. Instead, she was closely followed up with a plan to start oral prednisone if she started experiencing symptoms of a flare-up. The patient opted for an elective induction of labor at 39 weeks. She delivered via C-section at 39 weeks and three days due to non-reassuring fetal heart tones and arrest of descent after a planned induction of labor. The patient also had a bilateral tubal ligation during the procedure. C-section was complicated by a postpartum hemorrhage of 1135 mL, which did not require uterotonic or intervention. A male infant was born at 3415 g with Apgar scores of nine and nine at 1 and 5 minutes respectively, and had no birth anomalies noted upon physical exam. A check-up was performed at nine months of age with all regular milestones achieved for the infant’s age.
6, 7]. It’s important to note that in these studies a majority of the subjects undergo the cholestyramine washout procedure following discontinuation of leflunomide, with one study reporting that out of 64 women, 62 of them had at least one course of cholestyramine and 12 had more than one, up to six [6]. This means that actual fetal exposure to leflunomide is typically kept at the suggested 0.02 μg/mL or lower, and the effects of leflunomide on development above than concentration are not nearly as documented.

Regardless, these studies do not prove to be adequate enough to warrant any less caution, and women receiving treatment with leflunomide are still advised to follow the current standards: to use contraceptives, to avoid pregnancy, and to discontinue the use of leflunomide and undergo the drug elimination procedure if a pregnancy does occur [7, 8].

**CONCLUSION**

Although many leflunomide washouts require only one 11-day treatment of cholestyramine, the washout must be repeated if leflunomide levels remain elevated after the first treatment course. Leflunomide levels had not been repeated in this patient, her fetus may have been at increased risk for birth defects, such as cleft palate, axial skeleton anomalies, and defects of the heart and great vessels. Thus, it is important to remeasure leflunomide levels after cholestyramine washout to ensure that levels reach zero in the blood. Given the current working information we have about the teratogenicity of leflunomide, it’s vital to err on the side of caution.
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