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Abstract

Background: Balanced diet and optimal physical activity are necessary to maintain health and prevent diseases. Physical and mental growth of children is affected adversely by nutritional imbalance and lack of physical activity. The main factors which are responsible for nutritional imbalance are inadequate food intake and poor health status due to poverty, lack of access to food and family size. Physical inactivity is a major risk factor for cardiovascular disease, cancer, diabetes, and chronic respiratory disease. Children and adolescents are at higher risk to nutritional imbalance.

Methods: In this descriptive cross sectional study, a structured questionnaire was filled by 332 students of different age groups (11-16 years) in different public and private schools of Islamabad and Rawalpindi for 3 months. Sampling technique was simple random sampling.

Results: The data obtained was analyzed using SPSS software. Out of 332 respondents 111 (33.3%) belonged to low socioeconomic class, 111 (33.3%) of middle class and 110 (33.2%) of high class. The age of respondents was found similar in the three SES categories (p-value = 0.10) While calculating the BMI in three socio-economic classes (SES), we found that incidence of underweight (BMI <18.5) was higher in respondents from low SES while that of preobesity (BMI 25-29) was higher in high SES. No significant difference was found in normal and obese respondents among different SES. The incidence of adequate physical activity was slightly higher in respondents of low SES.

Conclusion: the incidence of nutritional imbalance and physical activity is significantly different in population of different socioeconomic status
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Introduction

The recent shifts in environment regarding lifestyle and nutrition have had immense impact on the health status of young children. On one hand, there have been few opportunities for physical activity while on the other, an abundance of high calorie foods (1). Diet is an essential component influencing children’s growth and development. A diet deficient in essential nutrients can result in impaired immune system, increased susceptibility to diseases, and slowed physical and mental development (2). No single food will provide us with the right amount of nutrients. By combining foods from all the different food groups, we can meet our body’s daily needs. Nutritional imbalance is a disorder caused by either a deficiency or an excess of certain nutrients such as carbohydrates, proteins, fats, vitamins or minerals; it may also result from malabsorption of these nutrients in the body (3).

Physical activity is defined as any body movement generated by skeletal muscles, which involves energy expenditure more than resting e.g. walking, running, cycling, gardening or participating in sports. Although exercise is a form of physical activity that is carefully designed and organized, it usually pertains to movement that enhances health status of an individual (4). A healthy diet and optimum physical activity can help protect against overweight and obesity as well as some of the non-communicable diseases (NCDs) including heart disease, stroke, osteoporosis and cancer, which have increased in prevalence over the years (5). Many forms of physical activity enable young people to connect with their peers and develop important social
skills (6). It is natural that food habits developed during childhood generally persist into adult life (7). Total family income along with family size plays a crucial role in the development of food preferences and food intake of children, which may have an impact on their weights in the long-term (8). It can often have profound effects on a person’s health. An adequate food intake is one of the major human requirements but there is no one denying the fact that millions of people are beset with danger because of nutritional imbalance (9).

The food quality, selection of food and one’s preference for a particular food, whether healthy or not, connects socioeconomic status to dietary practice among individuals (10). Socioeconomic status (SES) is determined via combination of factors including income of individual, level of education, and occupation, which tells how individuals or families fit into society using economic and social measures that have been shown to impact individuals’ health and well-being (11).

According to National Nutrition Survey (NNS) 2011, 31.5% children were undernourished, 15.1% were wasted and 43.7% were stunted. Just three countries account for half of malnourished children globally and Pakistan is one of them (12). The most recent estimates by the United Nations Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) states that 37.5 million people in Pakistan are not receiving proper nourishment. Only 3% of children receive a diet that meets the minimum standards of dietary diversity (13).

Peter Jaret in 7 Signs of Inadequate Nutrition mentions that nutritional imbalance and reduced physical activity together have symptoms such as weight gain or loss, unexplained fatigue, mood swings, irritability, impaired cognitive development, muscle and joint pain, difficulty in night vision, metabolic disorders, weakened immune system and cardiovascular health issues (14).

Pakistan ranked sixth most populated country of the world (15). The level of socioeconomic development is still low and one fourth of the total population lives below poverty line (16).

However not a single interventional study has been done so far and also literature is not supported regarding the practices of students and parents who are responsible for maintenance of balanced diet and optimum physical activity. Hence, this study has focused on healthy dietary practices and physical activity of school going children.

**Methodology**

It is a descriptive and quantitative research in which a cross sectional survey held among different schools of Islamabad and Rawalpindi. A structured questionnaire with a demographic sheet including age, education, weight and height was constructed to assess relationship between nutrition and physical activity, and their combined effect on body health and lifestyle of students of different socioeconomic status. The questionnaire was inspected for data quality and completeness of information. Sample size has been calculated using WHO sample size calculator according to following statistical assumptions; Confidence level= 95%, Alpha error= 5%, Anticipated population proportion= 31.5%. Therefore, study sample size was 332 cases. Simple random sampling technique was used. Data was collected from students of different private and public sector schools of Islamabad and Rawalpindi in duration of 3 months. Data was analyzed using SPSS software version 20.0. Descriptive statistics were applied to measure frequency and percentages in the proportions of SES categories. Chi square test was used to compare the proportions of different categorical study parameters with SES categories. The continuous parameters were compared using ANOVA test. A significance level of <0.05 was set. The results were presented in tables, graphs and charts.

**Results**

In this study, 332 respondents from seven schools of Islamabad/ Rawalpindi belonging to different SES and gender were included. The distribution of gender according to SES was significantly different (p-value = <0.001). (Table 1).

**Table 1: Socio-demographic characteristics of respondents according to SES groups**

| Variables         | Low (n=111) | Middle (n=111) | High (n=110) | p value |
|-------------------|-------------|----------------|--------------|---------|
| Age (years)       |             |                |              |         |
| 11-12             | 32 (28.8%)  | 43 (38.7%)     | 44 (40.0%)   | 0.10    |
| 13-14             | 50 (45.0%)  | 43 (38.7%)     | 32 (29.1%)   |         |
| 15-16             | 29 (26.3%)  | 25 (22.5%)     | 34 (30.9%)   |         |
| Gender            |             |                |              | <.001   |
| Male              | 6 (5.4%)    | 16 (14.4%)     | 47 (42.7%)   |         |
| Female            | 105 (94.6%) | 95 (85.6%)     | 63 (57.3%)   |         |

Regarding nutritional pattern, different attitudes were observed in different SES. The incidence of taking vitamins and supplements was found to be more in respondents belonging to high SES than those from low SES. The results were found to be statistically significant in three SES (p=0.022). The incidence of taking fourth meal in a day was lower in low SES than other socioeconomic groups (p=0.018). But the results were opposite regarding the habit of taking breakfast (p=0.114). Results were same in all socioeconomic groups as far as intake of water was concerned (p=0.136) but these were statistically significant (p=0.025) when intake of juices was considered. The incidence of eating food during school hours was more from home in respondents from high SES while more of respondents from low SES were eating from school cafeteria. The results were found to be statistically significant (p=0.063). The frequency of taking sweets and deserts is more in respondents from low SES than those from high SES but the difference is not statistically significant (p=0.765). Similarly the respondents from low SES take vegetables less frequently than those of middle and high SES. These results were found to be statistically significant (p=0.016). On the contrary, the
frequency of fast food intake was significantly higher in respondents from high SES than those from low SES. The results were found to be statistically significant (p=<0.001), but the results were almost same for taking French fries and chips. The results were quite similar in case of intake of fish by different socioeconomic groups (p=0.011). the incidence of taking milk and its products (yogurt, cheese) was also found to be higher in respondents from high SES and results were found to be statistically significant (p=<0.001) (Table 2)

Table 2: dietary pattern of respondents according to SES

| Variables                                      | Low SES (n=111) | Middle SES (n=111) | High SES (n=110) | p value |
|------------------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|------------------|---------|
| Taking vitamins & supplements                  | 26 (23.4%)      | 22 (19.8%)         | 39 (35.5%)      | 0.022   |
| Total meals per day                            |                 |                    |                  |         |
| 1-2 meals                                      | 27 (24.3%)      | 35 (31.5%)         | 28 (25.5%)      |         |
| 3 meals                                        | 77 (69.4%)      | 55 (49.5%)         | 65 (59.1%)      | 0.018   |
| Often a 4<sup>th</sup>                         | 7 (6.3%)        | 21 (18.9%)         | 17 (15.5%)      |         |
| Take breakfast                                 |                 |                    |                  |         |
| Daily                                          | 67 (60.4%)      | 64 (57.7%)         | 78 (70.9%)      | 0.114   |
| Sometimes                                      | 26 (23.4%)      | 29 (26.1%)         | 16 (14.5%)      |         |
| Rarely                                         | 12 (10.8%)      | 13 (11.7%)         | 6 (5.5%)        |         |
| Not at all                                     | 6 (5.4%)        | 5 (4.5%)           | 10 (9.1%)       |         |
| Glasses of water                               |                 |                    |                  |         |
| 1-2 per day                                    | 18 (16.2%)      | 9 (8.1%)           | 13 (11.8%)      | 0.136   |
| 3-4 per day                                    | 41 (36.9%)      | 45 (40.5%)         | 36 (32.7%)      |         |
| 5-6 per day                                    | 29 (26.1%)      | 26 (23.4%)         | 29 (26.4%)      |         |
| 7-8 per day                                    | 8 (7.2%)        | 22 (19.8%)         | 18 (16.4%)      |         |
| >8 per day                                     | 15 (13.5%)      | 9 (8.1%)           | 14 (12.7%)      |         |
| Fruit juices                                   |                 |                    |                  |         |
| Once a day                                     | 32 (28.8%)      | 33 (29.7%)         | 35 (31.8%)      | 0.025   |
| Once a week                                    | 51 (45.9%)      | 38 (34.2%)         | 27 (24.5%)      |         |
| Twice a day                                    | 8 (7.2%)        | 9 (8.1%)           | 9 (8.2%)        |         |
| Twice a week                                   | 18 (16.2%)      | 22 (19.8%)         | 25 (22.7%)      |         |
| Food during school hours                       |                 |                    |                  | 0.063   |
| Lunch box                                      |                 |                    |                  |         |
| School cafeteria                               | 35 (31.5%)      | 40 (36.0%)         | 57 (51.8%)      |         |
| Both                                           | 59 (53.2%)      | 55 (49.5%)         | 44 (40.0%)      |         |
|                                                | 17 (15.3%)      | 16 (14.5%)         | 9 (8.2%)        |         |
|                          |            |            |            |            |            |
|--------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| **Sweets & desserts**    |            |            |            |            |            |
| Daily                    | 34 (30.6%) | 37 (33.3%) | 30 (27.3%) |            | 0.765      |
| Once a week              | 40 (36.0%) | 41 (36.9%) | 44 (40.0%) |            |            |
| Twice a day              | 15 (13.5%) | 9 (8.1%)   | 11 (10.0%) |            |            |
| Twice a week             | 19 (17.1%) | 18 (16.2%) | 19 (17.3%) |            |            |
| **Eat vegetables**       |            |            |            |            |            |
| Everyday                 | 14 (12.6%) | 22 (19.8%) | 34 (30.9%) |            | 0.016      |
| 2-3 times/week           | 67 (60.4%) | 53 (47.7%) | 48 (43.6%) |            |            |
| Once a week              | 18 (16.2%) | 23 (20.7%) | 22 (20.0%) |            |            |
| **Eat fast food**        |            |            |            |            |            |
| Everyday                 | 1 (0.9%)   | 1 (0.9%)   | 13 (11.8%) |            | <0.001     |
| 2-3 times/week           | 14 (12.6%) | 14 (12.6%) | 19 (17.3%) |            |            |
| Once a week              | 37 (33.3%) | 47 (42.3%) | 38 (34.5%) |            |            |
| Rarely                   | 59 (53.2%) | 49 (44.1%) | 40 (36.4%) |            |            |
| **Eat fish**             |            |            |            |            |            |
| Everyday                 | 1 (0.9%)   | 3 (2.7%)   | 11 (10.0%) |            | 0.011      |
| 2-3 times/week           | 16 (14.4%) | 10 (9.0%)  | 6 (5.5%)   |            |            |
| Once a week              | 32 (28.8%) | 29 (26.1%) | 31 (28.2%) |            |            |
| Rarely                   | 62 (55.9%) | 69 (62.2%) | 62 (56.4%) |            |            |
| **Drink milk**           |            |            |            |            |            |
| Everyday                 | 49 (44.1%) | 56 (50.5%) | 85 (77.3%) |            | <0.001     |
| 2-3 times/week           | 31 (27.9%) | 21 (18.9%) | 12 (10.9%) |            |            |
| Once a week              | 14 (12.6%) | 13 (11.7%) | 5 (4.5%)   |            |            |
| Rarely                   | 17 (15.3%) | 21 (18.9%) | 8 (7.3%)   |            |            |
| **Eat yogurt**           |            |            |            |            |            |
| Everyday                 | 28 (25.2%) | 44 (39.6%) | 47 (42.7%) |            | 0.011      |
| 2-3 times/week           | 41 (36.9%) | 37 (33.3%) | 40 (36.4%) |            |            |
| Once a week              | 31 (27.9%) | 15 (13.5%) | 13 (11.8%) |            |            |
| Rarely                   | 11 (9.9%)  | 15 (13.5%) | 10 (9.1%)  |            |            |
| **Eat cheese**           |            |            |            |            |            |
| Everyday                 | 10 (9.0%)  | 11 (9.9%)  | 23 (20.9%) |            | <0.001     |
| 2-3 times/week           | 15 (13.5%) | 15 (13.5%) | 26 (23.6%) |            |            |
| Once a week              | 18 (16.2%) | 26 (23.4%) | 29 (26.4%) |            |            |
| Rarely                   | 68 (61.3%) | 59 (53.2%) | 32 (29.1%) |            |            |
| **French fries**         |            |            |            |            |            |
| Everyday                 | 24 (21.6%) | 36 (32.4%) | 31 (28.2%) |            | 0.559      |
| 2-3 times/week           | 49 (44.1%) | 45 (40.5%) | 41 (37.3%) |            |            |
| Once a week              | 27 (24.3%) | 20 (18.0%) | 24 (21.8%) |            |            |
| Rarely                   | 11 (9.9%)  | 10 (9.0%)  | 14 (12.7%) |            |            |
The results also showed that most of respondents from low SES were underweight with low BMI while incidence of obesity was more in respondents from higher SES. The results were found to be statistically significant \((p=0.025)\). (Chart 1)
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**Discussion**

This study is based on trends of malnourishment and physical inactivity in childhood and adolescence in different socioeconomic status. Several serious diseases have their roots in adolescence. Lack of awareness regarding balanced diet and optimal physical activity, increased trend of a particular kind of food, socioeconomic inequality and increasing incidence of metabolic and chronic diseases are the major reasons that make this issue complex and widespread with serious end results at individual and country level. This study correlates nutritional imbalance and physical activity of students with their socioeconomic status. Identification of diseases prevalent in particular SES is helpful in identifying the population at risk for that particular disease and to take specific measures of prevention, early diagnosis and prompt treatment. This also aids to ensure equal distribution of resources among the population and also to create awareness related to healthy dietary practice, optimum physical activity and healthy lifestyle.

We used income and family size as main indicator of SES. Distribution of age in different SES is nearly similar. Intake of different types of foods are different in respondents from different socioeconomic groups depending on availability and level of awareness.

BMI shows a classic trend. Maximum undernourished is found in low SES, more normal category is found in both

---

**Table 3: Physical Activity and Life Style of respondents according to SES groups**

| Variables                          | Low SES | Middle SES | High SES | p value |
|-----------------------------------|---------|------------|----------|---------|
| Modes of transport for short distance |         |            |          |         |
| Walk                              | 64 (57.7%) | 74 (66.7%) | 48 (43.6%) | 0.006   |
| Bicycle                           | 9 (8.1%)  | 9 (8.1%)   | 21 (19.1%) |          |
| Car                               | 31 (27.9%)| 23 (20.7%) | 38 (34.5%) |          |
| Takes stairs instead of elevator |         |            |          |         |
| Always                            | 29 (26.1%) | 37 (33.3%) | 48 (43.6%) | 0.036   |
| Often                             | 23 (20.7%) | 24 (21.6%) | 25 (23.6%) |          |
| Sometimes                         | 47 (42.3%)| 33 (29.7%) | 27 (24.5%) |          |
| Never                             | 12 (10.8%)| 17 (15.3%) | 9 (8.2%)   |          |
| Limit time using computer         | 81 (73.0%)| 63 (56.8%) | 70 (63.6%) | 0.040   |
| Visit places to eat out           | 10 (9.0%) | 22 (19.8%) | 33 (30.0%) | <0.001  |
| Activities during leisure time    |         |            |          |         |
| Indoor                            | 33 (29.7%)| 25 (22.5%) | 19 (17.3%) | 0.088   |
| Sleep                             | 21 (18.9%)| 15 (13.5%) | 22 (20.0%) | 0.396   |
| Sports                            | 37 (33.3%)| 56 (50.5%) | 58 (52.7%) | 0.007   |
| Exercise                          |         |            |          |         |
| Daily                             | 44 (39.6%)| 43 (38.7%) | 39 (35.5%) | 0.285   |
| Weekly                            | 22 (19.8%)| 19 (17.1%) | 33 (30.0%) |          |
| Monthly                           | 15 (13.5%)| 15 (13.5%) | 16 (14.5%) |          |
| Not at all                         | 30 (27.0%)| 34 (30.6%) | 22 (20.0%) |          |
middle and high SES while obese are found in high SES comparatively. The trend is found consistent with the previous research studies19, 20, 21. These results also supported by Youfa in 200122. Respondents were also observed for their physical activity. Physical activity is important as to prevent many chronic diseases e.g. diabetes mellitus. Physical activity of the respondents was assessed by their lifestyle and trend for exercise. Major difference was found in lifestyle. Exercise trend was found to be nearly similar in all three SES. The same trend is found in previous studies23. Our study has some limitations. This study is on the behavior regarding nutrition and physical activity of only school going children and not every children of every age in a community. However the study is not gender biased. Details of diseases and their treatment is not been discussed. This research is based on prevalence of malnourishment and physical inactivity in children and adolescent. Further research is needed to investigate the effects of malnourishment and physical inactivity afterwards in the adult life. Beside SES, other causes of malnourishment should also be investigated.

Conclusion
The whole data summarizes that respondents of low SES tend to be more undernourished and are involved in expensive kinds of physical activities like walk, house cleaning etc. this leads to anemia related symptoms including dizziness, tired for no reason, decrease cognition and self-esteem. On the other hand, middle and high SES show almost similar features. They are more prone to be obese and have more trend of fast food because of more availability of resources. Most of respondents have optimum physical activity usually in form of sports. This deduces that availability of balanced diet to each socioeconomic class is necessary to prevent incidence of chronic diseases. For this, complete assurance of socioeconomic equality should be encouraged so that equal distribution of resources along with awareness programs promote health of the individual and produce a healthy, energetic community that will contribute to the progress and prosperity of country in long term.
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