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Synthesis and Characterization

General Remarks to Synthesis. OGBAs and OGDs were synthesized by means of previously published procedures.\textsuperscript{1-3} Synthesis protocols that led to the obtainment of OGBAs 1 – 5 have been published before.\textsuperscript{2, 3} The general synthesis route that was used to obtain OGDs has also been published before.\textsuperscript{1} In particular, the detergent batches 6 – 10 are new and related synthesis protocols have not been reported before.

Chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Germany), Acros Organics (Germany), Alfa Aesar (Germany), Fluka (Germany), Fisher Scientific (Germany), Merck (Germany), TCI (Germany). Chemicals were used as supplied. Ethyl acetate (EtOAc) and \textit{n}-hexane were distilled before they were used. Other solvents, such as methanol (MeOH), dimethylformamide (DMF), dichloromethane (DCM), and acetonitrile (ACN), were used as supplied. Dry solvents were purchased in bottles sealed with a septum or tapped from a solvent purification system (MS-SPS-800) that was purchased from M. Braun (Germany). Deionized water ($\text{H}_2\text{O}$) used for synthesis was provided by a deionization system installed in the Freie Universität's Institute of Chemistry and Biochemistry. Argon was purchased from Linde (Germany). For working under dry and oxygen-free reaction conditions, chemicals and solvents were handled under argon atmosphere. To support dry conditions the glassware was evacuated, heated up to 300 °C with a heat gun, and filled with argon. Dendritic compounds, such as mesylated and acetal-protected [G2] dendron ([pG2]-OMes), and 4,4’-dihydroxyazobenzene were synthesized in analogy to previously published procedures.\textsuperscript{1, 3-5}

Monitoring of reactions and purification procedures was achieved by normal phase (NP) thin-layer chromatography (TLC) analysis. NP TLC plates (DC-Fertigfolien ALUGRAM® Xtra SIL G/UV254) based on silica ($\text{SiO}_2$) were purchased from Macherey-Nagel (Germany). Silica gel (60 M) for preparative normal phase column chromatography was purchased from Macherey-Nagel. For NP TLC analysis and manual NP column purification mixtures of organic solvents (v:v) were prepared. If necessary, MeOH was added in percent per volume to the prepared mixtures (v:v + v%). TLC plates were either analyzed under UV irradiation (254 nm) using a lamp from CAMAG (Germany) or by staining the TLC plates with cerium reagent ($940 \text{ mL } \text{H}_2\text{O}, 60 \text{ mL } \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4, 25 \text{ g molybdic acid, } 10 \text{ g cerium(IV) sulfate}$). For the staining process, the TLC plates were fully submerged into the cerium reagent, excess of staining reagent was wiped off with cellulose, and the plate was heated up to 300 °C with a heat gun until staining was completed.

For high-pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC) purifications a setup from Knauer was used, which consisted of a Smartline Manager 5000 (+ interface-module), two Smartline Pumps 1000, a 6-port-3-channel-injection valve, a sample loop (10 mL), UV Detektor 2500 und a high pressure gradient mixer. Spectra were recorded with a x-y-plotter (Knauer). A reversed-phase (RP) Luna™ C18(2) (10 \text{ μm}, 250 x 21.20 mm, Phenomenex®) column was used as stationary phase, which was further equipped with a Security Guard™ PREP Cartridge Holder Kit (21.20 mm, ID, Phenomenex®). The setup was constructed by Dr. Carlo Fasting. Components of the mobile phase, such as $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and ACN, were supplemented with 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid and degassed prior usage. The flow rate was adjusted to 20 mL/min and the detection wavelength was 360 nm.

Mass spectra were acquired on an Agilent 6210 ESI-TOF (ESI-ToF) from Agilent Technologies (Santa Clara, CA, USA). The solvent flow rate was adjusted to 4 μL/min and the spray voltage was set to 4 kV. Drying gas flow rate was set to 15 psi (1 bar). All other parameters were adjusted for a maximum abundance of the relative [M+H]$^+$. The instrument was operated by the Core Facility BioSupraMol of the Freie Universität Berlin. $^1$H NMR, $^{13}$C NMR and DEPT135 spectra were acquired using the following NMR instruments: Bruker DPX400 ($^1$H NMR: 400 MHz, $^{13}$C NMR: 100 MHz), Jeol ECX400 ($^1$H NMR: 400 MHz, $^{13}$C NMR: 100 MHz), Jeol ECP 500 ($^1$H NMR: 500 MHz, $^{13}$C NMR: 125 MHz), Bruker AVANCEIII500 ($^1$H NMR: 500 MHz, $^{13}$C NMR: 125 MHz) or Bruker AVANCEIII700 ($^1$H NMR: 700 MHz, $^{13}$C NMR: 175 MHz). All instruments were operated by the Core Facility BioSupraMol of the Freie Universität Berlin. NMR data were processed with MestReNova (v6.0.2-5475).
General Procedure for the Synthesis of C$_n$-OMes (n = 8 – 12)

The desired alcohol (5 g) was dissolved in toluene (150 mL). Triethylamine was added (2 eq.) and the reaction flask was cooled with a mixture of water and ice (w:w, 1:1). Methanesulfonyl chloride (1.2 eq.) was added and the mixture was allowed to warm up to room temperature over a time period of 16 hours. Massive precipitation of triethylamine hydrochloride sometimes interrupted the stirring process. In this case, more toluene was added and the precipitate was suspended manually using a spatula. After 16 hours, the precipitate was filtered off with a filter paper and solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Column chromatography (SiO$_2$, DCM) gave the desired product as colorless oil (n = 8, 91%; n = 9, 97%; n = 10, 98%; n = 11, 99%; n = 12, 69%).

C$_8$-OMes (n = 8): $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 400 MHz) $\delta$ 4.21 (t, 2H), 3.00 (s, 3H), 1.74 (m, 2H), 1.43 - 1.23 (m, 10H), 0.88 (t, 3H). $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 100 MHz) $\delta$ 70.3, 37.4, 31.8, 29.2, 29.1, 25.5, 22.7, 14.1. Exact mass calculated for C$_9$H$_{20}$O$_3$S$_1$Na$_1^+$ ([M+Na]$^+$): 231.1025; mass found: 231.1031.

C$_9$-OMes (n = 9): $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 400 MHz) $\delta$ 4.21 (t, 2H), 2.99 (s, 3H), 1.74 (m, 2H), 1.40 - 1.20 (m, 12H), 0.87 (t, 3H). $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 100 MHz) $\delta$ 70.3, 37.4, 31.9, 29.4, 29.2, 29.1, 25.5, 22.7, 14.2. Exact mass calculated for C$_{10}$H$_{22}$O$_3$S$_1$Na$_1^+$ ([M+Na]$^+$): 245.1182; mass found: 245.1213.

C$_{10}$-OMes (n = 10): $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 400 MHz) $\delta$ 4.22 (t, 2H), 3.00 (s, 3H), 1.74 (m, 2H), 1.43 - 1.22 (m, 14H), 0.88 (t, 3H). $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 100 MHz) $\delta$ 70.4, 37.5, 32.0, 29.6, 29.4, 29.3, 29.2, 25.6, 22.8, 14.2. Exact mass calculated for C$_{11}$H$_{24}$O$_3$S$_1$Na$_1^+$ ([M+Na]$^+$): 259.1338; mass found: 259.1385.

C$_{11}$-OMes (n = 11): $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 400 MHz) $\delta$ 4.22 (t, 2H), 3.00 (s, 3H), 1.74 (m, 2H), 1.43 - 1.22 (m, 16H), 0.87 (t, 3H). $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 100 MHz) $\delta$ 70.3, 37.4, 32.0, 29.6, 29.5, 29.4, 29.2, 29.1, 25.5, 22.8, 14.2. Exact mass calculated for C$_{12}$H$_{26}$O$_3$S$_1$Na$_1^+$ ([M+Na]$^+$): 273.1495; mass found: 273.1505.

C$_{12}$-OMes (n = 12): $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 400 MHz) $\delta$ 4.21 (t, 2H), 2.99 (s, 3H), 1.74 (m, 2H), 1.42 - 1.22 (m, 18H), 0.87 (t, 3H). $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 100 MHz) $\delta$ 70.3, 37.5, 32.0, 29.7, 29.6, 29.5, 29.3, 29.2, 25.6, 22.8, 14.2. Exact mass calculated for C$_{13}$H$_{28}$O$_3$S$_1$Na$_1^+$ ([M+Na]$^+$): 287.1651; found: 287.1659.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of HOazoC$_n$ (n = 8 – 12)

4,4´-Dihydroxyazobenzene$^3$ (500 mg, 2.33 mmol) was dissolved in dry DMF (25 mL). Potassium carbonate (2 eq.) was added and the suspension was heated up to 100 °C. A solution of the desired C$_n$-OMes (0.95 eq., 50 mM in DMF) was added drop wise over a time period of one hour. The mixture was stirred at 100 °C for 18 hours and then allowed to cool down to room temperature. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the remaining solid material was suspended in a mixture of H$_2$O (100 mL) and concentrated hydrochloric acid (1 mL, 37%). The aqueous layer was extracted with DCM. Organic layers were combined and dried over magnesium sulfate, and magnesium sulfate was filtered off using a filter paper. The raw product was immobilized on silica gel by adding SiO$_2$ (25 – 50 g) to the filtrate and removing the solvent under reduced pressure. Subsequent column chromatography (SiO$_2$, DCM) gave the desired product (n = 8, 33%; n = 9, 55%; n = 10, 25%; n = 11, 33%; n = 12, 27%).
HOazoC₈ (n = 8): ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 7.90 - 7.79 (m, 4H), 7.02 - 6.88 (m, 4H), 6.05 - 5.55 (m, 1H), 4.02 (t, 2H), 1.81 (m, 2H), 1.53 - 1.24 (m, 10H), 0.90 (t, 3H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 161.5, 158.0, 147.2, 146.8, 124.7, 124.5, 116.0, 114.9, 68.5, 32.0, 29.5, 29.4, 29.3, 26.2, 22.8, 14.3. Exact mass calculated for C₁₃H₁₂N₂O₂⁺ ([M+H⁺]⁺): 327.2067; found: 327.2073.

HOazoC₉ (n = 9): ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 7.88 - 7.82 (m, 4H), 7.00 - 6.92 (m, 4H), 5.88 - 5.30 (m, 1H), 4.03 (t, 2H), 1.81 (m, 2H), 1.55 - 1.18 (m, 12H), 0.89 (t, 3H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 161.4, 158.0, 147.1, 146.7, 124.7, 124.5, 115.9, 114.8, 68.5, 32.0, 29.6, 29.5, 29.4, 29.3, 26.1, 22.8, 14.2. Exact mass calculated for C₂₀H₂₇N₂O₂⁺ ([M+H⁺]⁺): 341.2224; found: 341.2265.

HOazoC₁₀ (n = 10): ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 7.89 - 7.80 (m, 4H), 7.01 - 6.90 (m, 4H), 5.60 - 5.40 (m, 1H), 4.03 (t, 2H), 1.81 (m, 2H), 1.52 - 1.23 (m, 14H), 0.89 (t, 3H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 161.5, 158.0, 147.2, 146.8, 124.8, 124.5, 116.0, 114.9, 68.5, 32.0, 29.7, 29.5, 29.4, 29.2, 22.8, 14.3. Exact mass calculated for C₂₂H₃₁N₂O₂⁺ ([M+H⁺]⁺): 355.2380; found: 355.2400.

HOazoC₁₁ (n = 11): ¹H NMR (Aceton-d₆, 400 MHz) δ 7.95 - 7.80 (m, 4H), 7.05 - 6.90 (m, 4H), 5.65 - 5.25 (m, 1H), 4.03 (t, 2H), 1.81 (m, 2H), 1.53 - 1.22 (m, 16H), 0.88 (t, 3H). ¹³C NMR (Aceton-d₆, 100 MHz) δ 162.1, 160.9, 147.6, 147.1, 125.3, 124.9, 116.6, 115.6, 68.9, 32.6, 30.3, 30.1, 29.9, 26.7, 23.3, 14.4. Exact mass calculated for C₂₃H₃₃N₂O₂⁺ ([M+H⁺]⁺): 369.2537; found: 369.2546.

HOazoC₁₂ (n = 12): ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 7.93 - 7.81 (m, 4H), 7.02 - 6.93 (m, 4H), 5.75 - 5.30 (m, 1H), 4.03 (t, 2H), 1.81 (m, 2H), 1.52 - 1.22 (m, 18H), 0.88 (t, 3H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 161.5, 158.1, 147.2, 146.7, 124.8, 124.6, 116.0, 114.9, 68.5, 32.1, 29.8, 29.7, 29.5, 29.4, 26.2, 22.8, 14.3. Exact mass calculated for C₂₄H₃₅N₂O₂⁺ ([M+H⁺]⁺): 383.2635; found: 383.2635.

**General Procedure for the Synthesis of [pG2]azoCₙ (n = 8 – 12)**

The desired HOazoCₙ derivative (200 – 300 mg) was dissolved in dry DMF (5 mL) and potassium carbonate (1 eq.) was added. The mixture was heated up to 100 °C and a solution of a [pG2]-OMes¹, 4, 5 regioisomer mixture (1.1 eq., in 5 mL dry DMF) was added. The mixture was stirred at 100 °C for 18 hours and then allowed to cool down to room temperature. Solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The remaining material was suspended in H₂O (20 mL) and extracted with DCM. The organic layers were dried over magnesium sulphate. Subsequent column chromatography (SiO₂, DCM/EtOAc + MeOH, 8:2 + 1% → 20%) gave the desired product as orange-coloured oil (n = 8, 22%; n = 9, 49%; n = 10, 10%; n = 11, 23%; n = 12, 43%).
**General Procedure for the Synthesis of [G2]azoCₙ (n = 8 – 12)**

The desired [pG2]azoCₙ derivative was dissolved in a mixture of DMC (1.5 mL) and MeOH (1.5 mL) and HCl was added (20 µL, 37%). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 18 hours and solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The raw product was dissolved in a mixture of ACN (2.5 mL) and H₂O (2.5 mL) and passed through a syringe filter (0.22 µM, RC). Subsequent RP HPLC purification (ACN/H₂O, 7:3 → 1:0) gave the desired product as orange-coloured wax (n = 8, 89 %; n = 9, 80 %; n = 10, 72 %; n = 11, 72 %; n = 12, 74 %).
**[G2]azoC₉ (n = 9):** ¹H NMR (MeOD-d₄, 700 MHz) δ 7.83 - 7.80 (m, 4H), 7.14 - 7.11 (m, 2H), 7.01 - 6.99 (m, 2H), 4.70 - 4.65 (m, 1H), 4.01 (t, 2H), 3.94 - 3.41 (m, 34H), 1.79 - 1.74 (m, 2H), 1.48 - 1.43 (m, 2H), 1.37 - 1.23 (m, 10H), 0.87 (t, 3H). ¹³C NMR (MeOD-d₄, 175 MHz) δ 162.9, 161.8, 148.5, 148.1, 125.4, 125.3, 117.4, 115.8, 80.1, 74.0, 73.9, 72.3, 72.2, 70.6, 69.4, 64.5, 33.0, 30.7, 30.5, 30.4, 30.3, 23.7, 14.4. m/z calcd for C₄₂H₇₀N₂O₁₆Na⁺ ([M+Na⁺]): 881.4618; found: 881.4675.

**[G2]azoC₁₀ (n = 10):** ¹H NMR (MeOD-d₄, 700 MHz) δ 7.87 - 7.84 (m, 4H), 7.18 - 7.15 (m, 2H), 7.06 - 7.03 (m, 2H), 4.74 - 4.70 (m, 1H), 4.07 (t, 2H), 3.99 - 3.46 (m, 34H), 1.84 - 1.80 (m, 2H), 1.54 - 1.49 (m, 2H), 1.43 - 1.28 (m, 12H), 0.91 (t, 3H). ¹³C NMR (MeOD-d₄, 175 MHz) δ 161.5, 160.4, 147.2, 146.8, 123.9, 116.0, 114.4, 78.8, 77.6, 77.1, 72.6, 71.0, 70.8, 69.2, 68.0, 63.1, 31.7, 29.3, 29.1, 29.0, 25.7, 22.3, 13.0. Exact mass calculated for C₄₃H₇₂N₂O₁₆Na⁺ ([M+Na⁺]): 895.4774; found: 895.4808.

**[G2]azoC₁₁ (n = 11):** ¹H NMR (MeOD-d₄, 700 MHz) δ 7.86 - 7.82 (m, 4H), 7.18 - 7.14 (m, 2H), 7.05 - 7.02 (m, 2H), 4.77 - 4.71 (m, 1H), 4.05 (t, 2H), 3.99 - 3.45 (m, 34H), 1.82 - 1.76 (m, 2H), 1.52 - 1.46 (m, 2H), 1.41 - 1.24 (m, 14H), 0.90 (t, 3H). ¹³C NMR (MeOD-d₄, 175 MHz) δ 162.9, 161.6, 148.5, 148.1, 125.4, 125.3, 117.3, 115.8, 79.8, 78.3, 74.1, 72.4, 71.9, 70.4, 69.4, 64.5, 33.0, 30.7, 30.5, 30.4, 27.1, 23.7, 14.4. Exact mass calculated for C₄₄H₇₄N₂O₁₆Na⁺ ([M+Na⁺]): 909.4931; found: 909.4878.

**[G2]azoC₁₂ (n = 12):** ¹H NMR (MeOD-d₄, 700 MHz) δ 7.86 - 7.82 (m, 4H), 7.16 - 7.13 (m, 2H), 7.03 - 7.01 (m, 2H), 4.73 - 4.67 (m, 1H), 4.03 (t, 2H), 3.95 - 3.45 (m, 34H), 1.81 - 1.76 (m, 2H), 1.50 - 1.45 (m, 2H), 1.39 - 1.24 (m, 16H), 0.89 (t, 3H). ¹³C NMR (MeOD-d₄, 175 MHz) δ 162.8, 161.8, 148.5, 148.1, 125.4, 125.3, 117.4, 115.8, 80.1, 74.0, 73.9, 72.3, 72.1, 70.5, 69.4, 64.4, 33.0, 30.7, 30.5, 30.4, 27.1, 23.7, 14.4. Exact mass calculated for C₄₅H₇₆N₂O₁₆Na⁺ ([M+Na⁺]): 923.5087; found: 923.5143.
Quantification of Isomers in Solution

The cis/trans equilibrium of 3, 6, 8, and 10 was monitored in solution after full equilibration under irradiation at 366 nm either by UV/VIS spectroscopy and/or RP HPLC analysis. The applied light source is a UV/VIS lamp from CAMAG and was described previously in more detail.2 The time required to achieve full saturation of cis under irradiation at 366 nm was determined as shown exemplarily in Figure S1. Isomer ratios are summarized in Table S1 – 2.

UV/VIS Spectroscopy. For UV/VIS measurements, a LAMBDA 950 UV/Vis/NIR spectrophotometer from PerkinElmer and standard disposable PMMA UV/VIS cuvettes with a path length of 1 cm from Plastibrand were used. The maximal reduction in intensity of the absorption maximum of trans \( \Delta A_{356} \) was obtained after an exposure time of 120 s. Data were analysed with Origin V9.1. The amount of cis was calculated from the irradiation time-dependent \((t)\) decrease in intensity \(\Delta I\) of the absorption maximum of trans \(A_{\text{max}} = 356 \text{ nm}\) using the following equation:

\[
cis(\%) = \frac{\Delta I_{A356}}{I_{A356}(t = 0 \text{ s}) - I_{A356}(t = 120 \text{ s})} \times 100\%
\]

HPLC Analysis. RP HPLC analysis was performed with a system from VWR Hitachi, which was equipped with an organizer, pump 5160, auto sampler 5260, column oven 5310, and a diode array detector 5430. The applied method was: water/acetonitrile 1 → 99% v/v, run duration: 14 min, flow rate: 1 mL/min. Briefly, cis and trans were separated on an RP C18 column (Purospher® STAR, RP-18 endcapped, 2μm) prior to their quantification with a UV/VIS detector. The detection wavelength was adjusted to the isosbestic point at which both isomers exhibit similar extinction coefficients (315 nm).3 Data analysis was executed with ChromeGate Client Viewer (v.3.3.2) from Knauer.

![Fig. S1. Determining the irradiation time required to achieve maximal saturation of cis OGBAs under irradiation at 366 nm. OGBA 1 was dissolved exemplarily in a mixture of water and methanol (v:v, 1:1, c ~ 50 µM, V ~ 3 mL) and transferred into standard disposable PMMA UV/VIS cuvettes with a path length of 1 cm (Plastibrand). The cuvette was irradiated at 366 nm for five seconds and small aliquots (50 µL) were subjected to HPLC analysis. (A) The peaks obtained at shorter retention time were assigned as cis and the peaks at longer retention time were assigned as trans. The procedure was repeated until the trans to cis conversion was found to be completed. (B) Peak area values were extracted from the chromatograms, normalized to the initial intensity of trans \((exposure time = 0 \text{ s})\), and plotted against the exposure time. Data were fitted to exponential functions, which show that full saturation of cis is obtained after 120 s under irradiation at 366 nm. Relative depletion in UV/VIS intensity at the absorption maximum of trans \(A_{\text{max}} = 356 \text{ nm}\) show a similar result. Previous studies proved that similar isomerization kinetics can be assumed for OGBAs 2 – 5.]

| Experiment | OGBA 3 cis/trans | OGD 6 cis/trans | OGD 8 cis/trans | OGD 10 cis/trans |
|------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| UV/VIS     | 88/12           | 78/22          | 86/14          | 84/16           |
| HPLC       | 91/09           | 90/10          | 96/4           |                 |
| Synapt [M+2H]2+ | 35/65      | 82/17          | 82/18          | 84/16           |
| DT [M+2H]2+  | 89/11          | 97/3           | 90/10          |                 |
| Synapt [M+2Na]2+ | 80/20       |                 | 96/4           |                 |
| DT [M+2Na]2+  | 78/22          | 83/17          |                 |                 |

Table S1. Comparison of cis/trans ratios obtained from OGBA 3 upon irradiation at 366 nm by solution techniques and IM-MS. Ratios obtained by UV/VIS in solution were exclusively reproduced by DT IM-MS analysis of [M+2H]2+ ions. Similar cis/trans ratios are highlighted in bold.

Table S2. Comparison of cis/trans ratios obtained from OGDs 6, 8, and 10 upon irradiation at 366 nm by UV/VIS and IM-MS. Ratios obtained by UV/VIS in solution were exclusively reproduced by DT IM-MS analysis of [M+Na]2+ ions. Similar cis/trans ratios are highlighted in bold.
Ion Mobility-Mass Spectrometry

IM-MS experiments were executed either on a commercially available travelling-wave quadrupole/ion mobility time-of-flight mass spectrometer, Synapt G2-S HDMS (Waters Corporation)⁶ or a linear drift tube (DT) instrument (homebuilt, Fig S5).⁷ Both instruments were equipped with a nano electrospray ionization (nESI) source and mass-calibrated using a solution of caesium iodide (c = 100 mg/mL).

Preparation of nESI capillaries. The nESI capillaries were produced from borosilicate capillaries (diameter: 1.0 mm) using a needle puller (Flaming/Brown MicropipetteP-1000, Sutter Instrument Company, Novato, USA). The capillaries were coated with a Pt/Pd (80/20) mixture using a sputter coater (Sputter Coater HR 208, Cressington, Dortmund, Germany). The procedure was adopted from Göth et al.⁸

Synapt. Instrument parameters were: capillary voltage (0.7 kV), sample cone (60 V), source offset (20 V), cone gas (0 L/h), trap collision energy (4 V), trap DC bias (50 V), IM gas (helium), IM gas flow (90 mL/min), IM drift voltage (50 V), ion transfer stage pressure (2.41·10⁻² mbar), trap pressure (2.22·10⁻² mbar).

DT Instrument. Instrumental parameters on the DT instrument were: capillary voltage (0.8 kV), V_{end} (160 V), IM gas (helium), pressure inside the drift tubes (4.86 mbar), temperature (23.5 °C), length of drift tube (80.55 cm). A more detailed description of the instrument has been reported previously.⁷

Quantification of Isomers by IM-MS. Peak area values were extracted from ATDs of doubly charged cis and trans or singly charged cis and trans,⁶,⁸,¹⁰. The ratio between both area values was taken as isomer ratio. Data are summarized in Table S1 – S2.

CCS calculation. Drift times were converted into CCS values using the Mason Schamp equation as described previously.⁷,⁹ Briefly, ions were generated by a nanoelectrospray ionisation (nESI) source (positive ion mode) and transferred into an ion funnel from which the ions are pulsed into the drift tube region of the instrument (Fig. S5). Guided by a weak electric field the ions traversed the drift tubes, which were filled with helium gas. The time required for the ions to pass the drift tube region depends on their mobility, which in turn is defined by the molecules' overall shape and charge. Subsequently, the ions were guided by a second ion funnel into high vacuum where the ions were selected according to their mass-to-charge ratio (m/z) using a quadrupole mass analyser. The arrival time distributions (ATDs) of the ions are recorded by measuring the time that ions of a specific m/z need to traverse the drift region. The time which corresponds to the intensity maximum of the ATD was taken as the drift time (t_{D}). The t_{D} values were determined for different drift voltages (V_{D}) and plotted against 1/V_{D}. The data were fitted to a linear function. The mobility of the ions was calculated from the slope of the linear fit. Finally, the collision cross section (CCS) was determined by using the Mason-Schamp equation.

Theoretical CCS calculation. Conformational search and optimization of individual conformers of OGBA 1 was achieved using the MM2 force field as implemented in ChemBio3D v14.0 (PerkinElmer). Theoretical CCS values were calculated using the projection approximation algorithm.¹⁰
Fig. S2. Comparing instrument setups of (A) a commercially available Synapt and (B) a homebuilt drift tube (DT) instrument. The pressure gap between quadrupole (3a) and IM cell (5a) in the Synapt is larger than the pressure gap between source (2b) and IM cell (3b) in the DT instrument. In case of the DT instrument, a lower acceleration voltage is required to transmit ions into the IM cell, which causes less thermal heating and “softer” transmission conditions for the ions.

Fig. S3. Mass spectra of OGBA 3 obtained from a Synapt G2 S HDMS instrument (left) and a homebuilt DT instrument (right). The spectra reveal singly charged ions \([M]^+\) and doubly charged ions \([M]^2+\). Sample conditions: OGBA 3 was dissolved in a mixture of water and methanol (v:v, 1:1, c ~ 10µM).

Fig. S4. CCS data obtained from singly sodiated ions of cis and trans 6 – 10 were fitted to linear functions \(y = mx + n\). The difference in CCS between cis and trans (\(\Delta\text{CCS}\)) decreases in the direction of 6 → 10. This underlines that increasing the size of the hydrophobic backbone reduces the impact of the light-driven conformational change on the overall molecular shape.
Fig. S5. Investigating the gas-phase structure of OGBA 1 by IM-MS and molecular dynamics. (A) IM-MS analysis of singly protonated $\text{[M+H]}^+$ using the DT instrument revealed a small shift to lower drift times/CCS values after full equilibration of the analyte under irradiation at 366 nm in solution. (B) Similar theoretical CCS values are obtained from conformers in which both head groups are collapsed. As a control, the theoretical CCS value from an extended conformer of trans 1 is shown. Conformer search was done with ChemBio3D Ultra v14.0. Theoretical CCS values were calculated using the projection approximation algorithm.31
**Gas-Phase Infrared Spectroscopy**

To obtain IR spectra in the gas phase, an infrared laser of high intensity was applied to allow for the absorption of multiple photons at IR-active sites of ions. This resulted in increased internal energies and dissociation of covalent bonds within ions. This way of obtaining an IR spectrum is called: IR multiple photon dissociation (IRMPD) experiment. Here, the Fritz Haber Institute free electron laser (FEL) was coupled to the DT IM-MS instrument, which enabled spectroscopic investigation of drift-time selected species. Subsequent to the drift tubes, ions within a narrow drift-time window were selected using the quadrupoles and irradiated by a pulse of intense IR light. Subsequent fragment analysis occurred by means of TOF MS. An action spectrum was recorded by monitoring the intensity of the precursor ion signal and the corresponding fragment signals as a function of the laser wavelength.

![Instrument setup of the utilized DT instrument.](image)

![Proposed structural formulas that explain how protonation in singly charged ions of \[M+H\]^+ lowers the double bond character of the \(N=N\) bond.](image)

**Table S3.** Gas-phase basicity values (\(\Delta G\)) of functional groups in OGBA 1. Values were estimated from data published for chemically similar functional groups – see Hunter et al. and Abboud et al.

| Functional Group                                | \(\Delta G\) (kJ/mol) |
|-------------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| hydroxyl group (prim.)                          | 756 – 764\(^a\)      |
| hydroxyl group (sec.)                           | 784\(^b\)            |
| ether                                           | 794\(^c\)            |
| amide                                           | 820\(^d\)            |
| triazole                                        | 925\(^e\)            |
| trans \(N=N\) bond (dimethoxy-substituted azobenzene) | 824\(^f\)            |
| cis \(N=N\) bond (dimethoxy-substituted azobenzene) | 885\(^g\)            |

\(^a\) estimated from 1-propanol – see Hunter et al.

\(^b\) estimated from 2-butanol – see Hunter et al.

\(^c\) estimated from tetrahydrofuran – see Hunter et al.

\(^d\) estimated from \(N\)-methylformamide – see Hunter et al.

\(^e\) estimated from 1-Methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3-triazole – see Abboud et al.

\(^f\) estimated by plotting protein charge reduction (%) as a function of gas-phase basicity of the detergents’ linker between head group and tail – see Fig. S12
Membrane Protein Solubilisation

Purification and preparation of AmtB for native MS. AmtB was overexpressed as an AmtB-MBP construct in E. coli and purified as described before. The protein-containing membranes were solubilized at 4 °C in resuspension buffer (100 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris, 5 mM BME, 20% v/v glycerol, pH = 7.4) supplemented with n-octyl-ß-D-glucoside (200 mM). The supernatant was clarified by centrifugation (20000 x g, 25 min, 4°C), filtered (0.22 µm syringe filter), and loaded on a HisTrap-HP column (5 mL, GE healthcare) that was equilibrated with DDM-containing immobilized metal ion affinity chromatography (IMAC) wash buffer (200 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris, 10% v/v glycerol, 2x cac DDM, 20 mM imidazole, pH = 7.4). The column was washed with 40 mL of IMAC wash buffer (200 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris, 10% v/v glycerol, 1w% OG, 20 mM imidazole, pH = 7.4) and then washed with DDM-containing IMAC wash buffer (200 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris, 10% v/v glycerol, 2x cac DDM, 20 mM imidazole, pH = 7.4) until a steady baseline was observed. The protein was eluted with a linear gradient over two column volumes to 100% of DDM-containing IMAC elute buffer (100 mM sodium chloride, 50 mM Tris, 10% v/v glycerol, 2x cac DDM, 500 mM imidazole, pH 7.4). The fractions were collected, supplemented with His-tagged TEV (1 mg TEV per 3 – 5 mg membrane protein) and transferred into a Slide-A-Lyzer dialysis cassette (MWCO = 3.5 kDa, Thermo Fisher Scientific). The mixture was dialyzed for 12 hours at 4 °C against dialysis buffer (2 L of 150 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris, 10% v/v glycerol, 2x cac DDM, 20 mM imidazole, pH = 7.4). The mixture was passed over a HisTrap-HP column and the protein was eluted with dialysis buffer. The protein was concentrated using Amicon-Ultra centrifugal filter units (MWCO = 100 kDa) to a final concentration of above 40 µM.

The detergent exchange into trans 6 was done over a 3 mL size exclusion chromatography (SEC) column (Superdex 200 10/300GL column, product number: 17-5175-01) using an ÄKTA setup. The column was equilibrated with ammonium acetate buffer (NH₄OAc, 200 mM, pH = 6.8) containing 2x cac of trans 6. For further information about the cac of 6, before and after irradiation at 366 nm, see Fig S9. All transparent tubes and components of the ÄKTA setup were covered with aluminum foil. A 50 µL aliquot of AmtB (c = 40 µM) was injected into the column and AmtB was eluted with a flow rate of 0.2 mL/min over 1.5 column volumes. Fractions were collected, concentrated using Amicon-Ultra centrifugal filter units (MWCO = 100 kDa), and analyzed with a Synapt G1 instrument (operated in MS mode) using the following experimental parameters: capillary voltage (1.5 kV), cone voltage (120 V), source temperature (25 °C), and trap collision energy (190 V). Sample cone, extraction cone, trap DC bias, and source pressure were optimized for maximum ion intensity of the AmtB trimer. The protein sample was analysed before and after irradiation at 366 nm using similar instrumental parameters. The mass spectra were acquired and analysed using MassLynx and plotted using Origin V9.1.

CD Spectroscopy. AmtB solubilized in DDM (concentration of the AmtB trimer = 4.6 µM) was transferred into ammonium bicarbonate buffer (NH₄CO₃, 100 mM, pH = 8) containing DDM, trans 6, or a cis/trans mixture of 6 obtained after full conversion of the isomer mixture under irradiation at 366 nm. The protein solutions were loaded into cuvettes (Quartz Suprasil, volume = 300 µL, layer thickness = 1 mm) and analyzed using a CD spectrometer (Chirascan, USA). The setup was flushed with nitrogen gas overnight and the lamp as well as the thermostat was turned on 30 min before the first measurement. The experimental parameters were as follows: final concentration of the AmtB trimer (0.14 µM), temperature (22.5 °C), wavelength range (190 – 260 nm), step size (1 nm), scan speed (0.5s/nm), bandwidth (1 nm), and repeats per sample (4). Detergent-containing NH₄CO₃ buffers were used as blanks. Data were acquired with Pro-Data Chirascan V4.5 and analyzed with Origin V9.1 (see Fig.S8). The average intensity of four scans was plotted against the wavelength (Fig. S8).

![Fig. S8](image_url) Investigating the native secondary structure of AmtB in solution. CD Spectra of AmtB in NH₄CO₃ buffer (100 mM) similar alpha-helical content in DDM, trans 6, and after irradiation at 366 nm. Spectra were recorded at concentration of 0.14 µM for the AmtB trimer. DDM, trans 6, and the cis/trans mixture of 6 after irradiation at 366 nm can preserve the native fold of AmtB in solution.
Dynamic Light Scattering. The cac of OGD 6 was determined by dynamic light scattering (DLS). A dilution series was prepared in MilliQ water with concentrations of 6 between 10^{-8} and 10^{-2} mol·L^{-1}. The samples were filtered (0.22 µm, RC) and equilibrated in the dark for at least five days at room temperature (approximately 22 °C) to ensure a maximum saturation of the trans form. The samples were analysed in cuvettes (Quartz Suprasil, width x length: 2 mm x 10 mm) using a Zetasizer Nano-ZS ZEN3600 (Malvern, UK). We found no evidence that the laser irradiation of this Zetasizer instrument (red laser, 632.8 nm) is affecting the cis/trans ratio of our amphiphiles in solution.\(^3\) The instrumental parameters were as follows: material (polystyrene latex), dispersant (water), sample viscosity parameters (use dispersant viscosity as sample viscosity), temperature (22.5 °C), equilibration time (120 s), cell type (quartz cuvettes), measurement angle (173° backscatter), measurement duration (manual), number of runs (11), run duration (10 s), number of measurements (3), delay between the measurements (0 s), data processing (general purpose, normal resolution). The derived count rate values obtained from three measurements per concentration were averaged. The unit of the derived count rate is kilo counts per second (kcps). The logarithm of the derived count rate was plotted against the logarithm of the concentration. The double logarithmic plots showed two characteristic regions: (1) a flat region with low count rates at lower concentrations of 6 and (2) a linear growth of the count rate at higher concentrations of 6. Both regions were fitted to linear functions and the intersection was taken as the cac value (Fig. S9).\(^{14}\) A monomodal average size distribution of particles was obtained above the cac, before and after irradiation at 366 nm (volume%). The diffusion coefficients related to the obtained intensity maxima (65.4 µm·s^{-2}) and the calculated hydrodynamic diameters (5.61 nm) indicate that both trans 6 and its isomer mixture after irradiation at 366 nm are forming micelles above a concentration of 250 µM (Fig. S9).
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Fig. S9. Investigating the aggregation behaviour of OGD 6 in water using DLS. (A) Concentration-dependent analysis of the derived count rate revealed similar cac values for 6, before and after irradiation at 366 nm. (B) The diffusion coefficients of the aggregates formed (65.4 µm·s^{-2}) as well as the calculated hydrodynamic diameters (5.61 nm) match with those that have been previously obtained from micelle-forming OGDs.\(^{15}\) The DLS data therefore indicate that both trans 6 as well as the isomer mixture obtained after irradiation at 366 nm are forming micelles.
Protein Mass Spectrometry

Preparation of Protein-Detergent Complexes. The protein-detergent complexes (PDCs) formed by OGDs and β-lactoglobulin (BLG) were prepared using a previously published procedure. Briefly, BLG was dissolved in NH₄OAc buffer (10 mM) to a final concentration of 1 mg/mL. The protein sample (500 μL) was loaded into an Amicon-Ultra 0.5 mL centrifugal device (MWCO = 10 kDa, Merk Millipore, Germany). The samples were concentrated (14000 x g, 10 min), diluted with NH₄OAc buffer to a final volume of 500 μL, and concentrated again. This procedure was repeated five times. The final protein concentration was determined by UV/VIS spectroscopy using the extinction coefficient of BLG (17600 M⁻¹·cm⁻¹). Equimolar protein-OGD mixtures were prepared (1:1, 50 μM) by dilution in order to generate a 1:1 stoichiometry. The samples were subjected to nESI-MS analysis as described below.

MS Analysis of Protein-Detergent Complexes. Mass spectra of BLG-OGD complexes were obtained in positive ionization mode using a modified Ultima high-mass quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometer (Waters Micromass, Manchester, UK). The instrument was equipped with a Z-spray nESI source. Instrument conditions that led to the obtainment of intense PDC signals were adopted from a previously reported procedure: capillary voltage (1.2 kV), cone voltage (35 V), RF lens (50 V), collision gas pressure (Pargon ~ 5·10⁻³ mbar), collision voltage (2 V), and m/z range (200 – 5000). For tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS) experiments, the ions were selected according to their m/z and forced to dissociation by increasing the collision voltage to 60V. Quadrupole settings were: LM res (3.3 V) and HM res (15 V). Data were acquired with MassLynx V4.1 and analysed by means of Origin V9.1.

Fig. S10. Mass spectrum obtained from an equimolar mixture of BLG and trans 6 (50 µM) in ammonium acetate buffer (10 mM). Protein charge states from 6+ to 10+ are obtained. The spectrum shows the apo form of BLG, protein-detergent complexes (PDCs), and lactosylated forms of BLG.
Remarks to the Design of [G2]etherC18, [G2]amideC18, and [G2]triazoleC18. For the formation PDCs it is important that both protein and detergent are sufficiently hydrophobic. A substitution of azobenzene in OGD 6 with alternative linker groups, such as ether, amide, or triazole, would lead to a detergent with a [G2] head group and a comparatively short hydrophobic tail, e.g., C8. Such a detergent would be very hydrophilic. In order to increase the hydrophobicity of the detergent, we added ten more carbon atoms to the hydrophobic chain. We assume that the length of the hydrophobic chain is important for the formation of PDCs in solution and that it has a negligible impact on the basicity of the detergent compared to that of the alternative linker groups, such as ether, amide, and triazole.

Fig S11. Structure of OGDs in which azobenzene was substituted for alternative linker groups (ether, amide, triazole).

Estimating the Gas-Phase Basicity of OGD 6. Mass spectra obtained upon dissociation of PDCs show fractions of non-charge-reduced BGL ([P]^{8+}), charge-reduced BGL ([P]^{7+}), and singly-charged detergent ions [D]^{1+} (see Figure 5 in manuscript). The loss of neutral detergent molecules [D]^{0\pm} is not detected under the experiment conditions employed. The PDC dissociation products are summarized as follows:

\[ [PDC]^{8+} \rightarrow [P]^{8+} + [P]^{7+} + [D]^{1+} + [D]^{0\pm} \]

To estimate the gas-phase basicity of OGD 6 we assumed that the fractions of charge-reduced and non-charge-reduced BGL ions \(\frac{[P]^{7+}}{[P]^{8+}}\) is proportional to the gas-phase basicity of the detergents' linker between head and tail (\(\Delta G_{\text{linker}}\)):

\[ \text{fraction of charge-reduced BGL (\%) } = \left(\frac{[P]^{7+}}{[P]^{8+}}\right) \times 100\% \sim \Delta G_{\text{linker}} \]

The fractions of charge-reduced BGL (%) obtained from OGDs in which azobenzene was substituted for alternative linker groups, such as ether, amide, or triazole, have recently been reported elsewhere. Here, the fractions of charge-reduced BGL (%) were plotted against the gas-phase basicity of the detergents' linker groups of [G2]etherC18, [G2]amideC18, and [G2]triazoleC18. The average gas-phase basicity values of OGD 6, before and after irradiation at 366 nm, were extrapolated by assuming a linear correlation between the fraction of charge-reduced BGL (%) and gas-phase basicity of the detergents' linker groups under the experimental conditions employed (Fig.12).
Fig. 12. Estimating the average gas-phase basicity of OGD 6, before and after irradiation of the sample solution at 366 nm. The fraction of charge-reduced BLG (%) was plotted against the gas-phase basicity values of linker groups between head group and tail of [G2]etherC18, [G2]amideC18, and [G2]triazoleC18. Extrapolating the gas-phase basicity values of 6 indicates that the gas-phase basicity went up by 60 kJ/mol after irradiation at 366 nm. This is in line with the obtained increase in BLG charge reduction upon PDC dissociation (see Figure 5 in manuscript).
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