Bibliometric analysis of orthopedic theses in Turkey
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ABSTRACT

Objectives: This study aims to investigate the topics distribution trend and evaluate the characteristics of orthopedics and traumatology residency theses during a 20-year period using a bibliometric analysis.

Materials and methods: Between January 2000 and December 2020, orthopedics and traumatology residency theses of all centers providing postgraduate education in Turkey were reviewed from the online application of the National Thesis Center of Higher Education Council. Using the advanced search screen, a total of 1,907 theses were reached. Massachusetts University Orthopaedics and Traumatology Fellowship Programs and Turkish Society of Orthopaedics and Traumatology sub-study groups.

Results: During the study period, the three most studied topics were orthopedic trauma (n=536; 28.1%), adult reconstruction and arthroplasty (n=301; 15.8%) and spine surgery (n=203; 10.6%). The least studied subject was bone and soft tissue tumors with 3.8% (n=73). The topic with the highest rate of publication in all years was again orthopedic trauma. There was a very strong positive (r=0.876) correlation between the total number of thesis publications and the years (p<0.001; R²=0.767). Based on institutions types, the number of theses published in the training and research hospitals increased as of 2016. More theses were published than expected on orthopedic trauma, adult reconstruction and arthroplasty, sports injuries and arthroscopy, shoulder and elbow surgery, foot and ankle surgery in the training and research hospitals (p=0.003).

Conclusion: The orthopedic research trends were differentiated over the years in our country. The classification of the orthopedic thesis topics shows in which orthopedics subfield research subjects are concentrated in our country and in which fields, research is needed.
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Bibliometric of orthopaedic theses

in theses prepared during residency training. The thesis is based on a research project and is published as open source in many countries for scientific, economic, and ethical reasons. Bibliometrics, a term first described by Pritchard in 1969, is an analysis technique, and its use has increased since 1970 and has taken its place in the literature as a quantitative method that enables statistical analysis of all types of academic documents. Since bibliometric research demonstrates the general trend of the research topics and reveals the literature development in that field, it provides the latest information about current developments and effectively shapes future studies. One of the ways to identify current research trends is to review the topics of the thesis in the relevant field.

The number of orthopedics and traumatology residents and accordingly the number of theses has been increasing. Although previous analyses about a similar topic were made by Koca et al., the distribution according to orthopedics subgroups was not examined and the aforementioned authors had access problems to the thesis database. In this study, we aimed to conduct a bibliometric analysis of the theses published within the past 20 years in the field of orthopedics and traumatology according to their topics and features. We hypothesized that there was a change in the thesis topics over the years and based on the institution (university or training and research hospital) where the study was conducted.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This cross-sectional study was conducted between January 2000 and December 2020, with specialization theses in the Department of Orthopedics and Traumatology. The study protocol was approved by the Dr. Abdurrahman Yurtaslan Ankara Oncology Training and Research Hospital Ethics Committee (Date: 2020, No: 12/929). Orthopedics and traumatology residency theses of all centers providing postgraduate education in Turkey were reviewed from the online application of the National Thesis Center of Higher Education Council (available at: https://tez.yok.gov.tr/UlusalTezMerkezi). The search strategy was constituted by entering the keywords “Orthopedics and traumatology” in the department line, “Medical residency” in the thesis type line, and “2000-2020” in the date range line of the advanced search screen that could be accessed online. As a result of the search, a total of 1,907 theses were reached, and title, subject, name of the author, name of the advisor, properties of the institution (name and system of service), and the number of pages were recorded. In theses with double advisors, the faculty member with a higher title was taken into consideration.

The thesis topics were determined according to the Massachusetts University Orthopaedics and Traumatology Fellowship Programs and Turkish Society of Orthopaedics and Traumatology sub-study groups. The topics were divided into 10 topics as adult reconstruction and arthroplasty, foot and ankle surgery, hand and upper extremity surgery, orthopedic trauma, pediatric orthopedics, spine surgery, sports injuries, and arthroscopy, bone and soft tissue tumors, shoulder elbow surgery, and general orthopedics. The reviewing process of the theses from the national online database and the subgrouping of the scanned 1,907 theses were made by the committee formed by two orthopedic surgeons and a physiatrist. The members of the committee made decisions in an interdependent manner, and there were no differences of opinion in the decision-making process for the subgroups (Table I).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS for Windows version 25.0 software (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Descriptive data were presented in mean ± standard deviation (SD), median (25th-75th percentiles) or number and frequency. One-sample chi-square test was conducted to investigate the compatibility of the actual distribution of the categorical data with the expected distribution. The Pearson chi-square test was used to compare categorical data, while the Mann-Whitney U test was used to compare continuous variables. Bonferroni correction was carried out in multiple group comparisons. The Pearson correlation analysis was performed to evaluate the relationships of continuous variables. Correlation coefficients (r) was rated as very weak: 0.0-0.19, weak: 0.20-0.39, moderate: 0.40-0.59, strong: 0.60-0.79, and very strong: 0.80-1.00. A p value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

During the study period, 81.3% (n=1,551) of 1,907 residency theses in the field of orthopedics and traumatology were conducted in the university hospitals, while 18.7% (n=356) were in the training and research hospitals. The academic titles of thesis advisors were professors (n=948; 49.7%), associate professors (n=637; 33.4%), assistant professors (n=252; 13.2), and consultant orthopedic surgeons.
In 21 (1.1%) of theses, the academic title of the advisor was unable to be determined.

Considering the distribution of the subgroups examined in the theses, the three most studied ones were orthopedic trauma (n=536; 28.1%), adult reconstruction and arthroplasty (n=301; 15.8%), and spine surgery (n=203; 10.6%). The least studied topic was bone and soft tissue tumors with 3.8% (n=73) (Figure 1). Based on the distribution percentages of the theses published according to the years, the topic with the highest rate of publication in all years was again orthopedic trauma. No thesis was completed in 2001 and 2002 under the topic of bone and soft tissue tumors and in 2007 under the topic of hand surgery (Figure 2).

The time distribution graphic of the theses published during the study period is shown in Figure 3. According to the number of the thesis

| TABLE I | The definitions of orthopedics and traumatology subgroups |
|---------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| Subgroup                                      | Definition                                                                 |
| Adult reconstruction and arthroplasty         | Hip and knee arthroplasty, peri-prosthesis complications, non-arthroplasty surgeries for knee and hip osteoarthritis |
| Foot and ankle surgery                        | Foot deformities, hallux disorders, ankle arthroscopy, talus osteochondral defects |
| Hand and upper extremity surgery              | Hand and wrist injuries, brachial plexus palsy, tendon repairs and transfers, skin grafting and flap surgery, upper extremity amputations |
| Orthopedic trauma                             | Post-traumatic lower and upper extremity fractures and dislocations |
| Pediatric orthopedics                         | Pes equinovarus, developmental hip dysplasia, Leg Calve Perthes disease, child deformities with cerebral palsy sequelae |
| Spine surgery                                 | Spine deformities and fractures, scoliosis, and disc pathologies |
| In sports injuries and arthroscopy            | Meniscus and knee ligament injuries, tendinopathy, Cartilage damage |
| Bone and soft tissue tumors                   | Bone and soft tissue tumors and lesions |
| Shoulder and elbow surgery                    | Shoulder and elbow arthroscopy and arthroplasty, Rotator Cuff pathologies |
| General orthopedics                           | Metabolic bone diseases, infectious diseases related to the musculoskeletal system, and topics that not fit into any group |

**FIGURE 1.** Subgroup distribution graphic of orthopedics and traumatology residency theses conducted between 2000-2020.
by years, the most productive year was 2018 (n=148; 7.8%), and the least was 2002 (n=51; 2.7%). A very strong positive (r=0.876) correlation was found between the total number of thesis publications and the years (p<0.001; R²=0.767). Based on the institution types, the number of theses published in the training and research hospitals increased as of 2016.
According to the subgroup distribution of the theses, university hospitals were not statistically significant (p=0.725) between observed and expected values, while training and research hospitals were found to be statistically significant (p=0.003). More theses were published than expected on orthopedic trauma, adult reconstruction and arthroplasty, sports injuries and arthroscopy, shoulder and elbow surgery, foot and ankle surgery in the training and research hospitals (Table II).

Based on the subgroups in which the theses were done according to the academic degree of the advisor, orthopedic trauma was the most studied subgroup for all academic degrees, while the least studied subgroups were shoulder and elbow surgery for professors (n=43; 4.5%), and bone and soft tissue tumors for associate professors (n=14; 2.2%) and others (n=4; 1.3%) (Table III).

According to the observed and expected value agreement of the thesis subgroups according to the publication year interval, a statistically significant difference was found in the observed and expected frequencies between 2000 and 2010 (p=0.015), while no statistically significant difference was found

| Subgroups                        | University Hospital | Training and Research Hospital |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|
|                                  | Observed | Expected | Residual | Observed | Expected | Residual |
| Trauma                           | 415      | 435.8    | -20.8    | 121      | 100      | 21       |
| Adult reconstruction and arthroplasty | 237      | 245.1    | -8.1     | 64       | 56.2     | 7.8      |
| Spine surgery                    | 176      | 164.4    | 11.6     | 27       | 37.7     | -10.7    |
| Sports injuries and arthroscopy  | 152      | 153.5    | -1.5     | 36       | 35.2     | 0.8      |
| Hand surgery                     | 118      | 111.7    | 6.3      | 20       | 25.6     | -5.6     |
| General orthopedics              | 119      | 108.6    | 10.4     | 14       | 24.9     | -10.9    |
| Pediatric orthopedics            | 106      | 99.3     | 6.7      | 16       | 22.8     | -6.8     |
| Shoulder and elbow surgery       | 79       | 88.4     | -9.4     | 29       | 20.3     | 8.7      |
| Foot and ankle surgery           | 82       | 85.3     | -3.3     | 23       | 19.6     | 3.4      |
| Bone and soft tissue tumors      | 67       | 58.9     | 8.1      | 6        | 13.5     | -7.5     |
| Total                            | 1,551    |          |          | 356      |          |          |
| p value                          | 0.725*   |          |          | 0.003*   |          |          |
* One-sample chi-square test.

| Subgroups                        | Professor | Associate professors | Others | Total |
|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------|--------|-------|
|                                  | n         | %                     | n      | %     | n     | %     | n     | %     |
| Trauma                           | 236       | 24.9                  | 187    | 29.4  | 105   | 34.9  | 528   | 28    |
| Adult reconstruction and arthroplasty | 179      | 18.9                  | 97     | 15.2  | 24    | 8     | 300   | 15.9  |
| Spine surgery                    | 107       | 11.3                  | 73     | 11.5  | 21    | 7     | 201   | 10.7  |
| Sports injuries and arthroscopy  | 93        | 9.8                   | 65     | 10.2  | 27    | 9     | 185   | 9.8   |
| Hand surgery                     | 60        | 6.3                   | 46     | 7.2   | 31    | 10.3  | 137   | 7.3   |
| General orthopedics              | 71        | 7.5                   | 28     | 4.4   | 34    | 11.3  | 133   | 7.1   |
| Pediatric orthopedics            | 57        | 6.4                   | 45     | 7.1   | 19    | 6.3   | 121   | 6.4   |
| Shoulder and elbow surgery       | 43        | 4.5                   | 43     | 6.8   | 21    | 7     | 107   | 5.7   |
| Foot and ankle surgery           | 47        | 5.2                   | 39     | 6.1   | 15    | 5     | 101   | 5.4   |
| Bone and soft tissue tumors      | 55        | 5.6                   | 14     | 2.2   | 4     | 1.3   | 73    | 3.9   |
| Total                            | 948       | 100                   | 637    | 100   | 301   | 100   | 1,886 | 100   |
between 2010 and 2020 (p=0.160). Spine surgery was the most expected value between 2000 and 2010, and shoulder and elbow surgery between 2011 and 2020 (Table IV).

**DISCUSSION**

Bibliometric analysis is a useful tool for obtaining information about the current state of research in specific areas and allows researchers to identify and undertake new research areas.[9,10] Residency theses are the mirror of the studies carried out in the field of health, which should have a high scientific research quality. Examining the bibliometric features of medical residency theses in the field of orthopedics and traumatology in our country is an important way to determine scientific field trends. The subject of thesis is significant in terms of the originality, quality, and acceptability of the thesis. The theses of residency in medicine are easily accessible in our country with the support of the National Thesis Center. Although it is easier to access and analyze published articles than theses, only 16% of 1,394 theses conducted between 1974 and 2012 could be published in the journals indexed in the PubMed database, according to a study conducted in Turkey.[7] Therefore, evaluating the bibliometric features of theses made in the field of orthopedics and traumatology after 2000 would be more guiding for researchers to determine the current research topic trends.

According to the distribution of theses on type of institutions, we observed that the majority of the thesis were carried out in university hospitals until 2016. The increase in the number of orthopedics and traumatology quota in residency exams of Turkey as of 2010 showed its effect on the number of theses published in 2016. It is expected that nearly 267 theses would be published every year between 2021 and 2026 due to the increased number of orthopedics and traumatology residents.

Based on the distribution of the thesis over the years, we found that the theses on the orthopedic trauma subgroups had the highest rate during the study period. Studies on adult reconstruction and arthroplasty increased in the past five years (2016-2020) as a thesis topic. In the international orthopedic literature, arthroplasty is one of the trend topics as of 2017. The increase in the number of thesis publications in the field of arthroplasty as a thesis topic shows that the international trend also influences the selection of the topic.[11] Review of the literature reveals that similar research data on bibliometric analyses of theses in the field of orthopedics or the subject distributions of published articles are scarce and, therefore, it is very difficult to compare the findings of our study with the others. In the literature, there are many studies available in which the metrics of published articles were

| TABLE IV |
|----------|
| Distribution of observed and expected values of thesis subgroups according to publication interval |

| Subgroups                     | 2000-2010 Observed | Expected | Residual | 2011-2020 Observed | Expected | Residual |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|----------|----------|-------------------|----------|----------|
| Trauma                        | 201               | 215.2    | -14.2    | 335               | 320.6    | 14.4     |
| Adult reconstruction and arthroplasty | 120           | 121      | -1       | 181               | 180.3    | 0.7      |
| Spine surgery                 | 98                | 81.2     | 16.8     | 105               | 120.9    | -15.9    |
| Sports injuries and arthroscopy | 70             | 75.8     | -5.8     | 118               | 113      | 5        |
| Hand surgery                  | 56                | 55.2     | 0.8      | 82                | 82.2     | -0.2     |
| General orthopedics           | 69                | 53.6     | 15.4     | 64                | 79.9     | -15.9    |
| Pediatric orthopedics         | 48                | 49       | -1       | 74                | 73       | 1        |
| Shoulder and elbow surgery    | 23                | 43.7     | -20.7    | 85                | 65       | 20       |
| Foot and ankle surgery        | 48                | 42.1     | 5.9      | 57                | 62.8     | -5.8     |
| Bone and soft tissue tumors   | 33                | 29.1     | 3.9      | 40                | 43.4     | -3.4     |
| Total                         | 766               |          |          | 1,141             |          |          |
| p value                       | 0.015*            |          |          | 0.160*            |          |          |

* One-sample chi-square test.
examined; however, subject distributions were not mentioned in these studies either.¹²-¹⁴

When the theses on the subject of bone and soft tissue tumors were examined, it was the least preferred thesis topic among all the subjects in our study. The rarity of bone and soft tissue tumors than other orthopedic conditions could be the reason for the scarcity. In addition, when the distribution by institutions was examined, only six (8.2%) of the 73 theses were carried out in the training and research hospitals. The subject of orthopedics that requires the most multidisciplinary approach in the diagnosis and treatment process is undoubtedly orthopedic oncology. Referring patients with bone tumors to a specialist center that offers an early, multidisciplinary diagnosis-treatment approach and manages a large number of cases per year is a critical step for both the research assistant and the advisor. Since our study reveals the development of the literature in our country and shows the research areas, we believe that the theses to be conducted in the future would create a roadmap and contribute to the selection of topics.
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