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Abstract

In this paper study the quantum deformation of the superflag Fl(2|0, 2|1, 4|1), and its big cell, describing the complex conformal and Minkowski superspaces respectively. In particular, we realize their projective embedding via a generalization to the super world of the Segre map and we use it to construct a quantum deformation of the super line bundle realizing this embedding. This strategy allows us to obtain a description of the quantum coordinate superring of the superflag that is then naturally equipped with a coaction of the quantum complex conformal supergroup SLq(4|1).
1 Introduction

The construction of the flag and Grassmannian supermanifolds from an algebraic geometric point of view appeared for the first time in Ref. [1]. In a series of more recent works [2, 3, 4, 5], the superflag $F := \text{Fl}(2|0, 2|1; 4|1)$ is studied at the classical and quantum level as an homogeneous superspace for the complex special linear supergroup $\text{SL}(4|1)$, the complex superconformal group of spacetime in dimension four. In the complex case we do not need to worry about the signature, but we warn the reader that the real form of the conformal group corresponding to a conformal structure of signature $(+, −, −, −)$ is $\text{SU}(2, 2|1)$ and that the real form of the homogeneous space is not a real flag supermanifold. In both cases, the big cell of the real or complex supermanifold can be interpreted as the Minkowski superspace, once one realizes that the subgroup of the conformal group that leaves invariant the big cell is the Poincaré supergroup times dilations. In Refs. [3, 4, 5], a quantization of the whole scheme is shown that starts by substituting the group $\text{SL}(4|1)$ by its quantum counterpart, the quantum supergroup $\text{SL}_q(4|1)$ [6], and continues by constructing the corresponding quantum homogeneous superspaces. The superspace $\mathbb{C}^{4\dagger}$ that appears in $F$ is the super twistor space, similar to the twistor space of Penrose [7] and shall not be confused with the super spacetime.

The quantization of Grassmannians and flag manifolds (non super case) has been studied before in several approaches. The procedure to quantize $F$ used in this paper and in Refs. [3, 4, 5] is inspired by the approach of Refs. [8, 9, 10] for the non super case.

There are other approaches to the quantization of Grassmannians and flags in the literature. We mention very briefly some of them, although our list is probably not complete. In Ref. [11] one finds an interesting relation between twistors and geometric quantization. In Refs. [12, 13] the conformal group is deformed in the $R$-matrix approach. Since flags and Grassmannians are coadjoint orbits of the group $\text{SL}(n)$, one has the Kirillov-Kostant-Soriau symplectic form on them and they can be quantized in terms of star products and Moyal brackets [14, 15, 16, 17]. In Refs. [18, 19, 20] the property of being coadjoint orbits is also exploited using the so-called Shapovalov pairing of Verma modules. Finally we can mention the possibility of quantizing these spaces as fuzzy spaces [21, 22].

\footnote{Notice that SU(2, 2) is the spin group of the conformal group SO(4, 2).}
The beauty of our approach is that the actions of the (classic and quantum) conformal and Poincaré supergroups on the superspaces are built into the very definition of the superspaces. Moreover it naturally leads to a differential star product \[23, 24\].

It is then a very natural approach, giving the importance that symmetries have in physics. Quantum geometry is in fact a fascinating subject that attracted a lot of attention in the recent past, but that seems not to have exhausted its full potential: it generalizes the concepts of geometry \[25, 26\] in a way resemblant to what quantum mechanics does for classic mechanics and it is plausible that it may be used to describe the physical phenomena at very short distance scales, where quantum effects affecting spacetime should be noticeable. Although mainly inspired by fundamental principles, it has also appeared repeatedly in string theory and supergravity \[27, 28, 29, 30\].

A warning, however: here we deal only with flat spaces, so this is to be considered a toy model which does not contain gravity. But it is, in any case, a non-trivial, first step towards that direction. We also want to remark that conformal geometry have been recently applied to the study of the AdS/CFT correspondence \[31\], thus we believe that our approach could also be relevant in order to consider a deformed version of such correspondence.

Even when the full quantization of \(F\) in this scheme is proven to exist, it is extremely difficult to give a presentation of the non-commutative ring associated to it in terms of generators and relations. It is possible, although not straightforward \[3, 4, 5\], to give such presentation for what is called in physics the \textit{chiral} and \textit{antichiral} superspaces. At the classical level, these spaces do not have a real form compatible with the action of the conformal supergroup \(\text{SU}(2,2|1)\). They are, though, used in physics (for example in supersymmetric Yang-Mills theories \[32\]).

Geometrically, the chiral conformal space is the super Grassmannian \(\text{Gr}_2 := \text{Gr}(2|1;4|1)\) and the antichiral is \(\text{Gr}_1 := \text{Gr}(2|0;4|1)\). One Grassmannian is dual to the other in a sense that will be explained in detail in Section 3.2.

All the results are in principle valid for a spacetime of dimension 4, but some aspects could be generalized to higher dimensions. For example, in Ref. \[33\] a symplectic realization of the chiral conformal superspace is proposed, which can be extended to the 6 and 10 dimensional cases by using matrix groups over quaternions and octonions. Also, a generalization to split signatures \((n,n)\) has been considered recently in Refs. \[34, 35\].
The key ingredient of our treatment is to consider the projective embedding of $F$ into a suitable projective superspace. This is achieved by viewing $F$ as embedded inside the product of two super Grassmanians, each of them having a super Plücker embedding in a projective space. We give and explicit presentation of the coordinate ring with respect to such embedding: this will be achieved by using two sets of Plücker coordinates, constrained by a set of relations called incidence relations which are, in some sense, orthogonality conditions. The big cell of $F$ will turn out to be the complex Minkowski superspace as constructed in Ref. [2]. Moreover, we use the projective localization technique to give a local picture of the conformal superspace: the incidence relations become locally the twistor relations used in physics.

The coordinate rings of $Gr_1$, $Gr_2$ and $F$ can be seen as subrings of coordinate ring of $SL(4|1)$. We exploit this feature to tackle the problem of their quantization. We consider the quantum supergroup $SL_q(4|1)$ and then we try to identify subalgebras of it that can be used to define the quantum super Grassmannians and the quantum superflag. While for the Grassmannians this technique is successful (although laborious), for the superflag is much more involved: finding the commutation relations between the coordinates of $Gr_1$ and $Gr_2$ seems an unsurmountable calculation. On top of it, one should find also the quantum version of the incidence relations.

We then try a different strategy. It is well know that the product of two projective spaces can be further embedded into a bigger projective space through the Segre embedding. This can be generalized to the super setting, and then one can use the relation between projective embeddings and very ample line bundles, which also holds in the super case.

Let $G$ be an algebraic Lie supergroup and $P$ a parabolic subgroup and consider the homogeneous space $G/P$ (in our case, $G = SL(4|1)$ and $P$ is either of the parabolic subgroups associated to $Gr_1$, $Gr_2$ and $F$). If $\mathcal{O}(G)$ and $\mathcal{O}(P)$ are the coordinate superrings of $G$ and $P$. Then such embeddings can be given in terms of an element of $\mathcal{O}(G)$ lifted from a character of $P$[36]. We call this the classical section of the embedding. By the method of parabolic induction one can see that equivariant sections of $\mathcal{O}(G)$ with respect to the $n^{th}$ power of such character give the degree $n$ subspace of the graded coordinate ring $\mathcal{O}(G/P)$ associated to the projective embedding of $G/P$.

Luckily, it is possible to translate this approach to the quantum realm by means of a quantum section[36, 37, 38]. We then achieve a characterization of the coordinate superring of $F$ associated to the super Segre embedding.
We have tried to give at least an idea or sketch of the different notions that appear in the paper. The reader that is versed in algebraic geometry may skip those parts, but a mathematical physicist may find this a useful guide, even when proofs of standard results could not be provided explicitly. We give a list of references for all the details.

The organization of the paper is as follows:

In Section 2 we give a self contained introduction to supergeometry. We use it to set up the notation and clarify the language used in the paper. In particular, we describe the functor of points approach to supergeometry, explaining some fundamental examples such as the projective superspace and algebraic supergroups.

In Section 3 we study the embedding of F in $\text{Gr}_1 \times \text{Gr}_2$, and then the super Plücker embeddings of $\text{Gr}_1$ and $\text{Gr}_2$ into projective superspaces. We give the superring characterizing such embedding for the flag, including the incidence relations. Then we review the Segre map and we propose its supersymmetric generalization.

In Section 4 we give a detailed description of the parabolic (super) geometries that enter into play. Then we describe the very ample super line bundles associated to the Plücker embeddings of $\text{Gr}_1$ and $\text{Gr}_2$, the bundles of antichiral and chiral superconformal densities, respectively. These are the building blocks of the construction of the very ample super line bundle for the superflag, whose description will be postponed until Section 6 for technical reasons.

In Section 5 we recall briefly the definition of the quantum group $SL_q(4|1)$ and we propose a natural deformation of $\text{Gr}_1$ and $\text{Gr}_2$ as subalgebras of $SL_q(4|1)$.

In Section 6 we construct the very ample line bundle realizing the Segre embedding of F and we use the notion of quantum section to propose a characterization of the quantum coordinate superring for the super flag.

Finally, in the Appendix A we write down the incidence relations explicitly and in Appendix B we prove that the super Segre map is an embedding using the so-called even rules principle.

**Notation.** The reader may find useful to resort to Refs. [39, 40, 41] for all the results in supergeometry and to Ref. [5] for a more specific treatment of conformal and Minkowski superspaces.
2 Algebraic supervarieties

In this section we intend to give a very brief account of some notions of
supergeometry that are an extension to superalgebras of well known notions
of algebraic geometry. We will assume that concepts as the spectrum of a
ring, sheaf, ringed space, Zariski topology, etc are known and we will try to
sketch how one proceeds to the generalization. We will not write any proof of
the statements made, that can be found in the above mentioned references.
We set the ground field to be $k = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$.

Definition 2.1. A commutative superalgebra $A = A_0 \oplus A_1$ is said to be
an affine superalgebra if its even part, $A_0$, is finitely generated as an algebra,
its odd part, $A_1$, is finitely generated as an $A_0$-module and the reduced
algebra, $A_{0,r} = A_0/J_{A_0}$, where $J_{A_0}$ is the ideal of odd nilpotents, is itself
affine (contains no nilpotents).

In ordinary geometry, for any commutative, affine algebra $F$ one finds an
affine algebraic variety as the spectrum of $F$. The topological space $|X| = \text{Spec}(F)$ is the set of prime ideals of $F$ endowed with the Zariski topology. The structure sheaf is constructed by localizing $F$ at each each $p \in \text{Spec}(F)$. The algebra

$$F_p := \left\{ \frac{f}{g} \mid f \in F, g \in F - p \right\}$$

is the stalk of the sheaf at $p$. The structure sheaf is denoted as $\mathcal{O}_X$ and the pair $X = (|X|, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is an affine algebraic variety. We recover the affine algebra $F$ as the set of global sections:

$$\mathcal{O}(X) := \mathcal{O}_X(X) = F.$$

$\mathcal{O}(X)$ is the coordinate ring or coordinate algebra of the affine variety $X$.

There is then an equivalence between the categories of affine algebras and
affine algebraic varieties: it is given by the contravariant functor

$$(\text{var}_{\text{aff}}) \quad (\text{alg}_{\text{aff}})$$

$$X \quad \mathcal{O}(X)$$

$$\text{Spec}(F) \quad F.$$
For the morphisms, one can prove that

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{var,aff}}(X,Y) = \text{Hom}_{\text{alg,aff}}(O(Y),O(X)).$$

A generic algebraic variety is constructed by gluing together affine algebraic varieties. But in order to extend the above correspondence to general commutative algebras one has to consider a wider category, the category of affine schemes.

**Definition 2.2.** A **ringed space** is a pair $M = (|M|,F)$ consisting of a topological space $|M|$ and a sheaf of commutative rings $F$ on $|M|$. If the stalk $F_x$ at each point $x \in |M|$ is a local ring (it has a unique maximal ideal) then we say that it is a locally ringed space.

A morphism of ringed spaces $\phi : M = (|M|,F) \to N = (|N|,G)$ consists of a continuous map $|\phi| : |M| \to |N|$ and a sheaf morphism $\phi^* : G \to |\phi|^*F$, where $|\phi|^*F$ is the sheaf on $|N|$ defined as $|\phi|^*F(U) = F(\phi^{-1}(U))$ for all open sets $U \subset |N|$. If the spaces are locally ringed then $\phi^*$, on the stalks, must send the maximal ideals to the maximal ideals.

The pair $X = (\text{Spec}(F),O_X)$ constructed above is a locally ringed space. We will denote it as $X = \text{Spec}(F) = (\text{Spec}(F),O_X)$, to distinguish it from the topological space $|X| = \text{Spec}(F)$.

**Definition 2.3.** An **affine scheme** is a locally ringed space which is isomorphic to $\text{Spec}(F)$ for some algebra $F$, not necessarily affine.

A morphism of affine schemes is a morphism of locally ringed spaces.

One can also prove that the categories of commutative algebras (alg) and affine schemes (schemes_{aff}) are contravariantly equivalent. Given this equivalence, we will denote indistinctly as $O_F$ or $O_X$ the structure sheaf of $X = \text{Spec}(F)$.

**Definition 2.4.** A **scheme** is a locally ringed space which is locally isomorphic to an affine scheme.

For an affine superalgebra $A$, the reduced algebra $A_{0,r}$ is an affine algebra, so we have an affine variety associated to it. Notice that, as topological
spaces, Spec($A_{0,r}$) = Spec($A_0$), since they differ only by nilpotents. On the other hand, $A$ is an $A_0$-module and one can define a sheaf of $O_{A_0}$-modules over Spec($A_0$) such that the set of its global sections is $A_1$ and the stalk at each prime $p \in$ Spec($A_0$) is the localization of $A_1$ at $p$. We have then a sheaf of superalgebras over Spec($A_0$). This is the basis for the definition of algebraic supervariety.

**Definition 2.5.** A superspace is a pair $S = (|S|, O_S)$ where $|S|$ is a topological space and $O_S$ is a sheaf of superalgebras whose stalk at a point is a local superalgebra (it has a unique, two-sided, maximal ideal).

A morphism of superspaces $f : S = (|S|, O_S) \rightarrow T = (|T|, O_T)$ is a pair $f = (|f|, f^*)$ where $|f| : |S| \rightarrow |T|$ is a continuous map and $f^* : O_T \rightarrow |f|^* O_S$ a morphism of sheaves (as in Definition 2.2).

**Definition 2.6.** An affine algebraic supervariety is a superspace $S = (|S|, O_S)$ constructed from an affine superalgebra $A = A_0 + A_1$ by the procedure specified above. We will denote it as $S = \text{Spec}(A)$.

$|S| = \text{Spec}(A_0)$ is an ordinary affine algebraic variety, the reduced variety.

The affine superalgebra $A = O_S(S)$ is the coordinate superalgebra or coordinate superring of $S$.

Morphisms of affine supervarieties are morphisms of superspaces.

**Example 2.7.** The affine superspace $k^{p|q}$ is the affine supervariety whose coordinate superalgebra is the affine superalgebra

$$A = k[x_1, \ldots, x_p] \otimes \Lambda(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_q),$$

where $\Lambda(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_q)$ is the exterior algebra on the indeterminates $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_q$. The reduced algebra is the polynomial algebra $A_{0,r} = k[x_1, \ldots, x_p]$ and the reduced variety is simply $k^p$.

By this construction, the categories of affine superalgebras (salg$_{aff}$) and affine supervarieties (svar$_{aff}$) are equivalent, as in the ordinary setting. In fact, one has that for two affine supervarieties

$$\text{Hom}(T, S) = \text{Hom}(O(S), O(T)).$$

---

3 One shall not mistake this general definition of superspace with the more restricted notion used in physics designating certain super spacetimes.
Generic algebraic varieties are superspaces that are locally isomorphic to affine supervarieties. We will encounter algebraic varieties that are not affine in the following. As in the non super case, in order to obtain an equivalence of categories with general superalgebras we have to use affine superschemes.

**Definition 2.8.** An affine superscheme is a superspace $S = (|S|, \mathcal{O}_S)$ which is isomorphic to $\text{Spec}(A)$ for a superalgebra $A$, not necessarily affine.

A morphism of superschemes is a morphism of the corresponding superspaces.

---

We have that the categories of affine superschemes ($\text{sschemes}_{\text{aff}}$) and the category of commutative superalgebras ($\text{salg}$) are contravariantly equivalent. More generally, we have the following definition

**Definition 2.9.** A superscheme is a superspace $S = (|S|, \mathcal{O}_S)$ such that $\mathcal{O}_{S,1}$ (in $\mathcal{O}_S = \mathcal{O}_{S,0} + \mathcal{O}_{S,1}$) is a quasi coherent sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{S,0}$-modules.

One can prove that a generic superscheme is locally isomorphic to $\text{Spec}(A)$ for some superalgebra $A$.

---

We will use the functor of points approach to supervarieties, so we recall its general definition here. We introduce it in the general language of superschemes, although one could also define it on algebraic supervarieties.

Let $S$ and $T$ be superschemes. A $T$-point of $S$ is a morphism of superschemes $T \to S$. We denote by $h_S(T) = \text{Hom}(T, S)$ the set of all $T$-points of $S$.

**Definition 2.10.** The functor of points of a superscheme $S$ is the contravariant functor $h_S : (\text{sschemes}) \to (\text{sets})$ defined on objects as

$$T \to h_S(T) := \text{Hom}_{(\text{sschemes})}(T, S),$$

and on morphisms $\phi : T \to T'$ as

$$h_S(\phi)f = f \circ \phi, \quad f \in \text{Hom}_{(\text{sschemes})}(T', S).$$
One can prove that morphisms of supervarieties or superschemes are natural transformations between their functors of points.

The following important result will be used repeatedly in applications.

**Theorem 2.11.** The functor of points of a superscheme is determined by its restriction to the category of affine superschemes.

So, once we have the functor defined in general, for many purposes it will be enough to check how it works in the subcategory

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{(sschemes}_{\text{aff}}) & \xrightarrow{h_S} & (\text{sets}) \\
T & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(T, S).
\end{array}
\]  

(1)

A contravariant functor \( h \) from a certain category \( \text{cat} \) to \( (\text{sets}) \) is said to be *representable* if \( h(X) = \text{Hom}(X, Y) \) for all \( X \in (\text{cat}) \) and some \( Y \in (\text{cat}) \). The object \( Y \) is said to *represent* the functor \( h \). It is customary to denote the representable functor as \( h_Y \). So the functor of points of a superscheme is a contravariant, representable functor. For a covariant functor we just have to substitute \( h(X) = \text{Hom}(Y, X) \).

Notice then that the functor defined in (1) will not be representable in the category of affine superschemes if \( S \) is not affine. Nevertheless, given the equivalence of categories among \( \text{(sschemes}_{\text{aff}}) \) and \( \text{(salg)} \), we can give alternatively the restricted functor (1) as

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{(salg)} & \xrightarrow{h_S} & (\text{sets}) \\
A & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(\text{Spec}(A), S).
\end{array}
\]

If the superscheme is affine, then \( \text{Hom}(\text{Spec}(A), S) = \text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}(S), A) \).

In the literature, sometimes these functors are also called ‘representable’, but we have to be aware that, strictly speaking, they are not: the functor of points of a generic superscheme is always representable in the category of superschemes. If the superscheme is affine, then for all purposes it is enough to define the functor of points on affine superschemes. The extension to generic superschemes could be done by a *gluing procedure*, using the fact mentioned in Definition 2.9.

**Example 2.12.** The functor of points of affine superspace. We now go back to Example 2.7. Let \( k^{p|q} \) be the scheme of the affine superspace. We want to...
describe its functor of points on affine superschemes. Due to the equivalence of categories, we have that a morphism
\[ \phi : T \to k^{p|q}, \quad T \in (\text{sschemes}_{\text{aff}}) \]
can be determined by a morphism
\[ A = k[x_1, \ldots, x_p] \otimes \Lambda(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_q) \xrightarrow{\phi'} B = \mathcal{O}(T) \]
\[ (x_1, \ldots, x_p; \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_q) \quad \longrightarrow \quad (a_1, \ldots, a_p; \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_q). \]
The morphism \( \phi' \) is determined by the image of the generators, that is, by \( p \) even elements \( a_i \in B_0 \) and \( q \) odd elements \( \alpha_j \in B_1 \).

Notice that taking \( B = k \), the \( k \)-points of \( A \) are just the geometric points of the affine space \( k^p \), the reduced variety. To detect the presence of odd variables one needs more than the geometric points, one needs the full functor of points.

By an abuse of notation, we will use the same name for the functor given on the affine superschemes as for the functor given on the superalgebras. Moreover, when there is no possibility of confusion, we will denote these functors with the same letter than the superscheme or the supervariety itself.

The following example of affine supervariety will be of special interest for us.

**Example 2.13.** The functor of points of the algebraic supergroup \( \text{SL}(4|1) \).

An algebraic supergroup is an algebraic supervariety whose functor of points is group valued.

Let \( A \) be a superalgebra. A supermatrix of dimension \( m|n \) with entries in \( A \) is a matrix of the form
\[ M_{m|m}(A) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} p_{m \times m} & q_{m \times n} \\ r_{n \times m} & s_{n \times n} \end{pmatrix} \right\} \]
where \( p, q, r \) and \( s \) are blocks of the dimension indicated above, with the entries of \( p \) and \( s \) are valued in \( A_0 \) and the entries of \( q \) and \( r \) are valued in \( A_1 \). Let \( A \) be a superalgebra. We define the functor \( \text{SL}(4|1) : (\text{salg}) \to (\text{sets}) \) as
\[ \text{SL}(4|1)(A) := \left\{ g = \begin{pmatrix} p_{4 \times 4} & q_{4 \times 1} \\ r_{1 \times 4} & s_{1 \times 1} \end{pmatrix} \in M_{4|1}(A); \ p, s \text{ invertible, } \text{Ber} g = 1 \right\} . \]
The Berezinian is given by (we suppress the dimensions of the blocks)

\[
\text{Ber}
\begin{pmatrix}
  p & q \\
  r & s
\end{pmatrix}
= \det s^{-1} \cdot \det(p - qs^{-1}r).
\]

The Berezinian is the generalization of the determinant to the super case. Notice that it is only defined if \( s \) and \( p \) are invertible, which in turn implies that the supermatrix is invertible.

On morphisms \( f : A \to B \), the functor \( \text{SL}(4|1) \) behaves as follows. Let us denote as \( g = (g_{ij}) \in \text{SL}(4|1)(A) \), the supermatrix formed with the entries \( g_{ij} \). Then \( \text{SL}(4|1)(f)(g) = (f(g_{ij})) \in \text{SL}(4|1)(B) \). This can be defined for the full \( M_{4|1} \) (which is also a functor) and then one can check that it preserves the invertibility condition.

This functor is group valued and representable. It corresponds to an algebraic supervariety with (affine) coordinate superalgebra

\[
k[x_{ij}, \xi_{kl}][(\det p)^{-1}, (\det s)^{-1}]/(\text{Ber} g - 1),
\]

where \( x_{ij} \) are the even entries of \( p \) and \( s \), and \( \xi_{kl} \) are the odd entries of \( q \) and \( r \).

Examples of schemes and superschemes that are not affine are the projective space and superspace and projective algebraic varieties and supervarieties. We are interested in some examples of projective supervarieties so, as a first example, we will describe the projective superspace.

**Example 2.14.** *Functor of points of the projective superspace.* Let \( T = (|T|, \mathcal{O}_T) \) be an affine superscheme. We define the following functor

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{(sschemes)} & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{P}^{m|n}} & \text{(sets)} \\
T & \longmapsto & \mathbf{P}^{m|n}(T),
\end{array}
\]

where

\[
\mathbf{P}^{m|n}(T) := \{ \text{locally free subsheaves } \mathcal{F}_T \subset \mathcal{k}^{m+1|n} \otimes \mathcal{O}_T \text{ of rank } 1|0 \}.
\]

When the functor is restricted to \( \text{(sschemes}_{\text{aff}} \) one can give it equivalently on \( \text{(salg)} \):
\[ \text{(salg)} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{P}^{m|n}} \text{(sets)} \]
\[ A \xrightarrow{} \mathbf{P}^{m|n}(A), \]

where

\[ \mathbf{P}^{m|n}(A) := \{ \text{finitely generated projective submodules } M \subset A^{m+1|n} \text{ of rank } 1|0 \} \]

and \( A^{m+1|n} := A \otimes k^{m+1|n} \). On morphisms \( f : A \to B \) we have that \( \mathbf{P}^{m|n}(f) \) is given through the extension of scalars

\[ \mathbf{P}^{m|n}(A) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{P}^{m|n}(f)} \mathbf{P}^{m|n}(B) \]
\[ M_A \xrightarrow{} B \otimes_A M_A. \]

This definition is closer to the geometric interpretation. One needs to prove that the functor is representable in the (sschemes) category, that is, we have to find a superscheme \( \mathbf{P}^{m|n} \) (by an abuse of notation, we will denote the functor and the superscheme by the same symbol), such that

\[ \mathbf{P}^{m|n}(T) = \text{Hom}(T, \mathbf{P}^{m|n}). \]

The superscheme \( \mathbf{P}^{m|n} \) is in fact an algebraic variety, but not an affine superscheme. It is constructed as a sheaf over the ordinary projective space \( \mathbf{P}^m = \mathbb{C}^{m+1}/\sim \), where, as usual

\[ (x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_m) \sim (x'_0, x'_1, \ldots, x'_m) \quad \text{iff} \quad x'_i = \lambda x_i, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^\times. \]

An open cover of \( \mathbf{P}^m \) is given by

\[ U_i = \{ [x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_m] \mid x_i \neq 0 \} \quad i = 1, \ldots, m, \]

and local coordinates are given by

\[ (u_0^i, \ldots, \hat{u}_i^i, \ldots, u_m^i), \quad u_k^i := x_k/x^i. \]

On each open set \( U_i \) we consider the sheaf that for any \( V \), open subset of \( U_i \),

\[ \mathcal{O}_{U_i}(V) = \mathcal{O}_{0, U^i}(V) \otimes \wedge(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n), \]

where \( \mathcal{O}_{0, U^i}(V) \) is simply the sheaf of algebraic functions on \( U_i \) and then so \( \mathcal{O}_{U_i} \cong \mathbb{C}^{m|n} \).
These sheafs can be glued conveniently, the procedure being similar to the one used for standard projective space. In this way we obtain the supervariety \( \mathbb{P}^{m|n} \) representing the functor \( \mathbb{P} \). For a detailed proof of this fact, see Refs. 41, 3, 5.

\( \square \)

A projective supervariety is a supervariety that can be embedded into a projective superspace. There is a standard construction, parallel to that of \( \text{Spec}(F) \), that contains the information of the projective supervariety and the embedding. One starts with a \( \mathbb{Z} \)-graded superring (with grading compatible with the \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-grading) and considers homogeneous ideals. We describe briefly the construction, starting with the projective space itself.

**Example 2.15. Projective superspace and projective supervarieties.** The standard construction of the projective superspace as a superscheme follows the same lines than for the non super case (see for example Chapters 2 and 10 in Ref. [41]). We first consider the \( \mathbb{Z} \)-graded superalgebra \( A = k[x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_m; \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n] \), with the polynomial grading, which is compatible with the \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-grading. The polynomial grading is denoted with superindices, \( A = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} A^n \), while the \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-grading is denoted with subindices \( A = A_0 + A_1 \).

The topological space \( \text{Proj}(A_0) \) is the set of \( \mathbb{Z} \)-homogeneous prime ideals in \( A_0 \) which do not contain the ideal \( (x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_m; \xi_i \xi_j) \). This set is given the Zariski topology. It can be covered by open sets \( U_i, i = 0, \ldots, n \), formed by the homogeneous primes not containing \( x_i \), which amounts to say, the homogeneous primes in

\[
k[x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_m; \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n][x_i^{-1}] ,
\]

or simply the prime ideals in the ring at degree zero

\[
(k[x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_m; \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n][x_i^{-1}])^0 .
\]

Setting \( u_j = x_j/x_i \), this ring is isomorphic to

\[
k[u_0, \ldots, u_i, \ldots, u_m; \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n] ,
\]

which defines the sheaves \( \mathcal{O}_{U_i} \) of Example 2.14. They glue to a scheme that we denote as \( \text{Proj}(A) := (\text{Proj}(A), \mathcal{O}_A) = \mathbb{P}^{m|n} \).

The stalk of the scheme at each prime \( p \) is obtained by localizing \( A \) as an \( A_0 \)-module, essentially with the technique described in Ref. [42] page 116.
A projective algebraic supervariety can be constructed in a similar way starting from a graded superring \( S = \oplus_{d=0}^{\infty} S_d \) obtained by quotienting \( A \) by some homogeneous, \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-graded ideal. As before, the set \( \text{Proj}(S) \) is the set of all homogeneous prime ideals not containing \( S_0^+ = \oplus_{d=1}^{\infty} S_d \). This set is given the Zariski topology and a sheaf \( \mathcal{O}_S \) obtained localizing \( S \). We then obtain a scheme denoted as \( \text{Proj}(S) := (\text{Proj}(S), \mathcal{O}_S) \), locally isomorphic to an affine scheme.

\[ \square \]

**Remark 2.16.** Duals and inner homomorphisms for modules. Let \( A \) be a commutative superalgebra (associative, with unit). For a commutative superalgebra, a left \( A \)-module \( M \) is also a right \( M \)-module by setting

\[ m \cdot a := (-1)^{p(m)p(a)} a \cdot m, \quad a \in A, m \in M, \]

where \( p(a) \) and \( p(m) \) are the parities of \( a \) and \( m \), so we can call them just ‘modules’. Let \( \mathcal{M} \) be the category of \( A \)-modules. It is a tensor category with unit \( 1 = A \). Morphisms in \( \mathcal{M} \) are linear maps of \( A \) modules \( f : M \to M' \) that preserve parity.

If we consider the free modules \( M = A^{p|q} \) and \( M = A^{r|s} \), a morphism \( f \) can be represented as a supermatrix

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
T_{rxp} & T_{rxq} \\
T_{sxp} & T_{sxq}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

where the diagonal blocks have entries in \( A_0 \) and the off-diagonal blocks have entries in \( A_1 \).

We can consider linear maps \( N \to M \), not necessarily parity preserving. For free modules, this will correspond to consider supermatrices as in (4), but with arbitrary entries in \( A \). We will denote them as \( \text{hom}(N, M) \) as opposed to \( \text{Hom}(N, M) \) for the parity-preserving morphisms. It is clear that \( \text{hom}(N, M) \) is an \( A \)-module itself. One says that \( \text{hom}(\cdot, \cdot) \) is an **internal Hom functor** \( \mathcal{M}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M} \).

The **dual** of an \( A \)-module \( M \) is the \( A \)-module \( M^* = \text{hom}(M, A) \). Let us consider the free module \( M = A^{p|q} \) and let \( \{e_1, \ldots, e_p, \ E_1, \ldots, \ E_q\} \) denote the canonical basis.\(^4\) As for vector spaces one can define the dual basis \( \{e_1^*, \ldots, e_p^*, \ E_1^*, \ldots, \ E_q^*\} \) with

\[
e_i^*(e_j) = \delta_{ij}, \quad e_i^*(E_\alpha) = 0, \quad E_\alpha^*(e_i) = 0, \quad E_\alpha^*(E_\beta) = \delta_{\alpha\beta},
\]

\(^4\)Latin letters will generically denote even quantities, Greek letters odd ones.
with \( i, j = 1, \ldots, p \) and \( \alpha, \beta = 1, \ldots, q \). Any linear map \( M \to A \) is determined by its values on a basis so it will be an \( A \)-linear combination of the dual basis. The relations (5) imply that \( e_i^* \) is a parity preserving (even) map while \( \mathcal{E}_\alpha^* \) changes by one the parity (odd map), so \( M^* \cong A^{p/q} \), although the isomorphism is not natural.

We will be interested in finitely generated projective modules and their duals. One has that given two such \( A \)-modules \( N \) and \( M \)

\[(N \oplus M)^* = N^* \oplus M^* . \]

If \( M \) is a projective module, the above equation implies that \( M^* \) is also a projective module. One also has the natural isomorphism

\[ M^{**} \cong M . \]

\[ \square \]

3 The classical superflag \( \text{Fl}(2|0, 2|1; 4|1) \) and its projective embeddings

The super flag manifold \( \text{Fl}(2|0, 2|1; 4|1) \) of \( 2|0 \)-subspaces of \( 2|1 \)-subspaces of the complex superspace \( \mathbb{C}^{4|1} \) is the model of the complex, flat conformal, \( N = 1 \) superspace. Indeed, this supervariety has a real form that is an homogeneous space for the the conformal supergroup \( \text{SU}(2, 2|1) \) and contains the super Minkowski space as its big cell, together with the appropriate action of the super Poincaré subgroup on it. These are all physical requirements. In Section 4.1 we make a brief summary of the properties of the conformal and Minkowski superspaces seen as homogeneous superspaces of the conformal and Poincaré supergroups, respectively.

We will realize the superflag \( \text{Fl}(2|0, 2|1; 4|1) \) as contained in the product of \( \text{Gr}(2|0; 4|1) \) (the super Grassmannian of \( 2|0 \)-subspaces in \( \mathbb{C}^{4|1} \)) times its dual, \( \text{Gr}(2|1; 4|1) \) (the super Grassmannian of \( 2|1 \)-subspaces in \( \mathbb{C}^{4|1} \)).

To ease the notation, through this paper we will denote (as in the Introduction)

\[ F := \text{Fl}(2|0, 2|1; 4|1), \quad \text{Gr}_1 := \text{Gr}(2|0; 4|1), \quad \text{Gr}_2 := \text{Gr}(2|1; 4|1) . \]

\[ ^5 \text{We refer to flat conformal geometry when the Minkowski space is contained in the variety that we consider.} \]
In the super context, not all Grassmannian and flag superschemes can be embedded into a projective space: topological obstructions arise in some cases. An example of a non-projective super Grassmannian is $\text{Gr}(1|1,2|2)$ (see Chapter 4 in Ref. [1] and Chapter 10 in Ref. [41]). But in the special case that we investigate here, it is possible to obtain a projective embedding by generalizing to the super case the so-called Plücker embedding of the Grassmannian $\text{Gr}(2,4)$ in $\mathbb{P}(\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{C}^4) \cong \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^6) \cong \mathbb{P}^5$.

Our presentation here goes along the lines explored in Refs. [1, 2, 3, 5]. We will give first the super Plücker embedding of $\text{Gr}_1$ and $\text{Gr}_2$ in the projective space $\mathbb{P}^{6|4}$. Since $F \subset \text{Gr}_1 \times \text{Gr}_2$, then it also admits a projective embedding in $\mathbb{P}^{6|4} \times \mathbb{P}^{6|4}$. Finally, we will describe the Segre embedding of $\mathbb{P}^{6|4} \times \mathbb{P}^{6|4}$ in the super projective space $\mathbb{P}^{64|56}$, which in turn gives the projective embedding of $\text{Gr}_1 \times \text{Gr}_2$ and $F$ as subsets of $\mathbb{P}^{64|56}$.

We start first with the Plücker embedding of the super Grassmannians.

### 3.1 Plücker embedding of the super Grassmannian

**Gr(2|0;4|1) and its dual Gr(2|1;4|1)**

Let $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, \epsilon_5\}$ be the canonical basis of $\mathbb{C}^{4|1}$ with $e_i$ even and $\epsilon_5$ odd. As customary, Latin letters are used for even objects, while Greek letters are used for odd ones. Let $A$ be a commutative superalgebra. We define the functors $\text{Gr}_1, \text{Gr}_2 : \text{salg} \to \text{sets}$ as

$$\text{Gr}_1(A) := \{ \text{finitely generated, projective submodules } M \subset A^{4|1} \text{ of rank } 2|0 \},$$

$$\text{Gr}_2(A) := \{ \text{finitely generated, projective submodules } M \subset A^{4|1} \text{ of rank } 2|1 \}.$$

On morphisms of superalgebras $f : A \to B$ we have that $\text{Gr}_1(f)$ is given by the extension of scalars

$$\text{Gr}_1(A) \xrightarrow{\text{Gr}_1(f)} \text{Gr}_1(B),\quad M_A \mapsto B \otimes_A M_A.$$

These definitions are analogous to the definition of projective superspace (2.14) and the geometrical meaning is clear. As in that case, one can prove representability (in the sense of Theorem 2.11 and the comments following it) of these functors in terms of superschemes over the reduced variety, the
Grassmanian of 2-planes in $\mathbb{C}^4$, i.e. $\text{Gr}(2, 4)$. Moreover, the supergroup $\text{SL}(4|1)$ has a left action over $\text{Gr}_1$ and $\text{Gr}_2$, so they become homogeneous spaces $$\text{Gr}_1 = \text{SL}(4|1)/P_1, \quad \text{Gr}_2 = \text{SL}(4|1)/P_2,$$
where $P_1$ and $P_2$ are certain parabolic subgroups of $\text{SL}(4|1)$. In the functor of points notation, they are explicitly

$$P_1(A) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} & g_{13} & g_{14} & \gamma_{15} \\ g_{21} & g_{22} & g_{23} & g_{24} & \gamma_{25} \\ 0 & 0 & g_{33} & g_{34} & \gamma_{35} \\ 0 & 0 & g_{43} & g_{44} & \gamma_{45} \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma_{53} & \gamma_{54} & \gamma_{55} \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \quad g_{ij} \in A_0, \quad \gamma_{kl} \in A_1. \quad (6)$$

For the proof of these facts we refer the reader to Refs. [41, 5]. The treatment of homogeneous spaces of supergroups, also with the functor of points approach, is done carefully in Ref. [41].

In Ref. [3] the embedding of $\text{Gr}_1$ in the projective space $\mathbb{P}(E)$, where $E = \wedge^2 \mathbb{C}^4 \approx \mathbb{C}^7$, is described by giving explicit coordinates in the functor of points approach. In the notation of Example 2.14, $\mathbb{P}(E) \cong \mathbb{P}^6$. We will briefly outline it here.

In the functor of points language, any morphism (in particular, an embedding) is a natural transformation among the functors. We then need to give a natural transformation among the functors $\text{Gr}_1, \mathbb{P}(E) : (\text{salg}) \to (\text{sets})$. For each object $A$ in (salg) we define the morphism

$$\text{Gr}_1(A) \xrightarrow{p_A} \mathbb{P}(E)(A)$$

$$M \xrightarrow{\wedge^2} \wedge^2 M,$$

where

$$\wedge^2 M = M \otimes M/(u \otimes v + (-1)^{|u||v|} v \otimes u)$$

and $|u|$ is the parity of $u$. Notice that if $M$ is a projective submodule of $A^{4|1}$ of rank $2|0$, then $\wedge^2 M$ is a projective submodule of $\wedge^2 A^{4|1} \cong A^{7|4}$ of rank
so it is indeed an element of $P(E)(A)$. This morphism is functorial in $A$, that is, given a superalgebra morphism $f : A \to B$, the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Gr}_1(A) & \xrightarrow{p_A} & P(E)(A) \\
\text{Gr}_1(f) & \downarrow & \downarrow P(f) \\
\text{Gr}_1(B) & \xrightarrow{p_B} & P(E)(B)
\end{array}
\]

commutes. It is an easy exercise to prove that this is true.

The functors of points of $\text{Gr}_1$ and $P(E)$ are local or sheaf functors (see for example Chapter VI of Ref. [43] in the non super case, Appendix B.2 of Ref. [41] in the super case). In our case, this is guaranteed by the fact that they are functors of points of superspaces. A natural transformation between local functors is determined by its behaviour on local superalgebras. This result is proven in Proposition B.2.13 of Ref. [41], and it is a generalization of a similar result in the non super case (see, for example, Ref. [43]). So, once we have defined the natural transformation $p_A$ for an arbitrary superalgebra, we can restrict ourselves to work on local superalgebras.

Let $A$ be a local superalgebra and let $W_1(A) \in \text{Gr}_1(A)$ be the linear span over $A$ of two linearly independent, even vectors. In the canonical basis of $\mathbb{C}^{4|1}$ (as above) we have

\[
W_1(A) = \text{span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} \\ a_{21} \\ a_{31} \\ a_{41} \\ \alpha_{51} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a_{12} \\ a_{22} \\ a_{32} \\ a_{42} \\ \alpha_{52} \end{pmatrix} \right\} = \text{span} \{ r + \rho \mathcal{E}_5, s + \sigma \mathcal{E}_5 \} \subset A^{4|1},
\]

with

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{r} &= a_{11}e_1 + a_{21}e_2 + a_{31}e_3 + a_{41}e_4, & \text{r} &= \alpha_{51}, \\
\text{s} &= a_{12}e_1 + a_{22}e_2 + a_{32}e_3 + a_{42}e_4, & \text{r} &= \alpha_{52}, & a_{ij} \in A_0, & \alpha_{5k} \in A_1.
\end{align*}
\]

We consider now the wedge product of the two vectors. A basis in $E(A) = \wedge^2 \mathbb{C}^{4|1}(A)$ is given by

\[
e_1 \wedge e_2, \ e_1 \wedge e_3, \ e_1 \wedge e_4, \ e_2 \wedge e_3, \ e_2 \wedge e_4, \ e_3 \wedge e_4, \ \mathcal{E}_5 \wedge \mathcal{E}_5 \ (\text{even}),
\]

\[
e_1 \wedge \mathcal{E}_5, \ e_2 \wedge \mathcal{E}_5, \ e_3 \wedge \mathcal{E}_5, \ e_4 \wedge \mathcal{E}_5, \ (\text{odd}),
\]
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so \( E(A) \cong A^{7|4} \) and we can write
\[
(r + \rho \mathcal{E}_5) \land (s + \sigma \mathcal{E}_5) = r \land s + (\sigma r - \rho s) \land \mathcal{E}_5 + \rho \sigma \mathcal{E}_5 \land \mathcal{E}_5 = q + \lambda \land \mathcal{E}_5 + d_{55} \mathcal{E}_5 \land \mathcal{E}_5,
\]
with
\[q := d_{12} e_1 \land e_2 + d_{13} e_1 \land e_3 + d_{14} e_1 \land e_4 + d_{23} e_2 \land e_3 + d_{24} e_2 \land e_4 + d_{34} e_3 \land e_4, \]
\[\lambda := \delta_{15} e_1 + \delta_{25} e_2 + \delta_{35} e_3 + \delta_{45} e_4, \]
\[d_{55} := \rho \sigma = \alpha_{51} \alpha_{52}, \]

and
\[d_{ij} := \det \begin{pmatrix} a_{i1} & a_{i2} \\ a_{j1} & a_{j2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \delta_{i5} := \det \begin{pmatrix} a_{i1} & a_{i2} \\ \alpha_{51} & \alpha_{52} \end{pmatrix}. \]

Notice that although \( \delta_{i5} \) is defined as a usual \( 2 \times 2 \) determinant, it is indeed an odd element in \( A \).

It is not difficult to prove that the coordinates \( d_{ij}, d_{55}, \delta_{i5} \), determine uniquely a subspace \( W_1(A) \in \text{Gr}_1(A) \) (see also Section 4.8 in Ref. [5]; there the notation \( \text{Gr}^{ch} \) is used instead of \( \text{Gr}_1 \)). Moreover, if we change the basis we used to describe \( W_1(A) \), that is, if we act on the right with \( \text{GL}(2|0) = \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \) on \( W_1(A) \), these coordinates vary by a common constant factor.

The natural transformation becomes
\[
\text{Gr}_1(A) \xrightarrow{p_A} \mathbf{P}(E)(A)
\]
\[W_1(A) = \text{span} \{a_1, a_2\} \xrightarrow{} [a_1 \land a_2],
\]
where \( \mathbf{P}(E)(A) \cong \mathbf{P}^{6|4} \). In coordinates, the map is
\[
\text{span} \{r + \rho \mathcal{E}_5, s + \sigma \mathcal{E}_5\} \rightarrow \{d_{12}, d_{13}, d_{14}, d_{23}, d_{24}, d_{34}, d_{55}; \delta_{15}, \delta_{25}, \delta_{35}, \delta_{45}\}.
\]

The projective embedding of \( \text{Gr}_1 \subset \mathbf{P}(E) \) defined above is called the super Plücker embedding.

We are going to characterize the image of the super Plücker embedding in terms of homogeneous polynomials. Then, we will have proven that \( \text{Gr}_1 \) is a projective supervariety. We ask then when a generic, even vector \( w \) in \( E(A) \)
\[
w = d + \delta \land \mathcal{E}_5 + d_{55} \mathcal{E}_5 \land \mathcal{E}_5,
\]
with
\[ d := d_{12}e_1 \wedge e_2 + d_{13}e_1 \wedge e_3 + d_{14}e_1 \wedge e_4 + d_{23}e_2 \wedge e_3 + d_{24}e_2 \wedge e_4 + d_{34}e_3 \wedge e_4, \]
\[ \delta := \delta_{15}e_1 + \delta_{25}e_2 + \delta_{35}e_3 + \delta_{45}e_4. \]

is decomposable, that is, it can be written as a wedge product
\[ w = (r + \rho E_5) \wedge (s + \sigma E_5). \] (9)

One can prove [3] that this happens if and only if the following conditions are satisfied
\[ d \wedge d = 0, \quad d \wedge \delta = 0, \quad \delta \wedge \delta = 2d_{55}d. \]

These equations are known as the super Plücker relations for \( \text{Gr}_1 \). They give all the relations among the coordinates \( d_{ij}, \delta_i^5 \) and provide a presentation of the coordinate ring of \( \text{Gr}_1 \) associated to this embedding. More explicitly, the super Plücker relations are
\[ d_{12}d_{34} - d_{13}d_{24} + d_{14}d_{23} = 0, \quad \text{(classical Plücker relation)} \]
\[ d_{ij} \delta_i^k - d_{ik} \delta_j^k + d_{jk} \delta_i^k = 0, \quad 1 \leq i < j < k \leq 4, \]
\[ \delta_i^5 \delta_j^5 = d_{55}d_{ij}, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq 4. \] (10)

Let us denote as \( I_{\text{Gr}_1} \) the homogeneous ideal generated by the quadratic relations (10). Then, the Grassmannian coordinate ring \( \mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}_1] \) resulting from this embedding is given by
\[ \mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}_1] = \mathbb{C}[d_{ij}, d_{55}; \delta_i^5]/I_{\text{Gr}_1}. \] (11)

The projective variety so defined is called the super Klein quadric, and it is isomorphic to \( \text{Gr}_1 \) (see Refs. [40, 3]).

We now turn to the problem of finding a projective embedding for \( \text{Gr}_2 \). Let \( W_2(A) \in \text{Gr}_2(A) \) with
\[ W_2(A) = \text{span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} \\ a_{21} \\ a_{31} \\ a_{41} \\ \alpha_{51} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a_{12} \\ a_{22} \\ a_{32} \\ a_{42} \\ \alpha_{52} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a_{15} \\ \alpha_{15} \\ \alpha_{25} \\ \alpha_{35} \\ \alpha_{45} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{55} \\ a_{55} \end{pmatrix} \right\} \subset A_4^{11}. \] (12)
As always, Latin letters are even elements in $A$ and Greek letters are odd elements in $A$. One could try to mimic the procedure used for $\text{Gr}_1$: there will appear $3 \times 3$ determinants. But the change of basis is now given by the right action of the supergroup $\text{GL}(2\mid 1)(A)$, and the ordinary determinants are not invariant under the action of the supergroup. The correct invariant objects in this case would be the Berezinians. This complicates considerably all the calculations.

Nevertheless we can bypass this problem by using a natural duality between $\text{Gr}_1$ and $\text{Gr}_2$ that we are going to describe.

Let us denote $T(A) = A^{4\mid 1}$, and consider the dual module $T(A)^* = \text{hom}_A(T(A), A)$, the set of (even and odd) $A$-linear maps or forms $A^{4\mid 1} \to A$ (see Remark 2.16). We have that $T(A) \cong T(A)^* \cong A^{4\mid 1}$, although the isomorphism is not natural. We will denote

\[ \text{Gr}_1^*(A) := \{ \text{projective submodules } M \subset T(A)^* \text{ of rank } 2\mid 0 \} . \]

Clearly $\text{Gr}_1^* \cong \text{Gr}_1$.

Let $W_2(A) \subset \text{Gr}_2(A)$, where $A$ is now an arbitrary superalgebra. We define the annihilator\footnote{We use here the word ‘annihilator’ in the same sense than it is used for vector spaces.} of $W_2(A)$ as

\[ W_2(A)^0 = \{ u^* \in T(A)^* \mid u^*(v) = 0 \ \forall \ v \in W_2(A) \} . \]

$W_2(A)^0$ is a submodule of $T(A)^*$ but in general, it is not a projective submodule.

In spite of that, let us first see how the construction works for local superalgebras; then $W_2(A)$ is a free module so it has a basis. Let

\[ \{ e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, E_5 \} \]

denote the canonical basis of $T(A)$ and let

\[ \{ e_1^*, e_2^*, e_3^*, e_4^*, E_5^* \} \]

denote the dual basis of $T(A)^*$ \footnote{We use here the word ‘annihilator’ in the same sense than it is used for vector spaces.}. Suppose that $W_2(A) = \text{span} \{ e_1, e_2, E_5 \}$; then its annihilator is $W_2(A)^0 = \text{span} \{ e_3^*, e_4^* \}$. For a general submodule $W_2(A)$, we can always choose a basis so that $W_2(A)$ and $W_2(A)^0$ have this form. The change of basis accounts for the left action of the supergroup $\text{SL}(4\mid 1)$, so for a general $W_2(A)$ we can write

\[ W_2(A) = \langle g \cdot e_1, g \cdot e_2, g \cdot E_5 \rangle \subset T(A), \]

\[ W_2^0(A) = \langle (g')^{-1} \cdot e_3^*, (g')^{-1} \cdot e_4^* \rangle \subset T(A)^*, \quad g \in \text{SL}(4\mid 1)(A). \]
We have then established a natural transformation between the functors \( \text{Gr}_2 \) and \( \text{Gr}_1^* \) restricted to local algebras

\[
\text{Gr}_2(A) \xrightarrow{q_A} \text{Gr}_1^*(A)
\]

\[
W_2(A) \subset T(A) \longrightarrow W_2(A)^0 \subset T(A)^* .
\]

It has an inverse since there is a natural identification

\[
T(A)^{**} \cong T(A) ,
\]

so the transformation is an isomorphism of functors.

**Remark 3.1.** The fact that the entries of the matrix \((g^t)^{-1}\) are expressed in terms of the entries of \(g\) has crucial consequences when considering quantum deformations of these objects; in fact, this will enable us to realize both \(\text{Gr}_1\) and \(\text{Gr}_2\) within the same quantum matrix bialgebra.

We still have to tackle the problem of extending the natural transformation \(q_A\) to generic superalgebras. Let \(W_2(A) \in \text{Gr}_2\), with \(A\) generic, and let us consider it as an \(A_0\)-module. Being finitely generated and projective, it is *locally free* (see for example Ref. [44], page 137 for the ordinary setting). This means that there exists \(f_i \in A_0, \ i = 1, \ldots, n\) such that the ideal \((f_1, \ldots, f_n) = A_0\) and such that \(W_2(A)[f_i^{-1}]\) is free as an \(A[f_i^{-1}]\)-module (see Theorem B.3.4 in Ref. [41]).

The geometric meaning of this fact is the following: since the set of prime ideals in \(A\) is in bijective correspondence with the set of prime ideals in \(A_0\) (see Chapter 10 in Ref. [41]), the topological space \(\text{Spec}(A) = \text{Spec}(A_0)\) is covered by the open sets

\[
\text{Spec}(A_0)_{f_i} = \text{Spec}(A_0[f_i^{-1}]) ,
\]

and the localization of \(W_2(A)\) on each \(f_i\), \(W_2(A)[f_i^{-1}]\) is a free \(A[f_i^{-1}]\)-module. The annihilator \(W_2(A)[f_i^{-1}]^0\) can be constructed as in (16). We have then a collection of free \(A[f_i^{-1}]\)-modules \(W_2(A)[f_i^{-1}]^0\) of rank \(2|0\) which obviously agree on \(A[f_j^{-1}][f_i^{-1}]\), and such that the gluing property (17) is satisfied. They then glue to a projective \(A\)-module that we will denote as \(W(A)_{\parallel} \in \text{Gr}_1^*(A)\). The construction is manifestly functorial. For more details on this construction, see Section 3.16 in Ref. [45] and also Sections 10.2.3 and 10.3.2 in Ref. [41].
We have then established a natural transformation (that we denote also as $q_A$)

$$\text{Gr}_2(A) \xrightarrow{q_A} \text{Gr}_1(A)$$

$$W_2(A) \subset T(A) \longrightarrow W_2(A)^\perp \subset T(A)^*,$$

which is an isomorphism of functors on arbitrary superalgebras.

We want now to obtain the super Plücker relations as in (10). We consider $W_2(A)^\perp$ as a submodule of $T(A)^*$. In terms of the dual basis (14), we will denote a vector in $T(A)^*$ simply as

$r^* = a_1^*e_1^* + a_2^*e_2^* + a_3^*e_3^* + a_4^*e_4^*$,

and a vector in $E(A) = \wedge^2 \mathbb{C}^{4\dagger}(A)$ as

$$w^* = d^* + \delta^* \wedge \mathcal{E}_{5}^* + d_{55}^* e_5^* \wedge \mathcal{E}_{5}^*,$$

with

$$d^* := d_{12}^* e_1^* \wedge e_2^* + d_{13}^* e_1^* \wedge e_3^* + d_{14}^* e_1^* \wedge e_4^* + d_{23}^* e_2^* \wedge e_3^* + d_{24}^* e_2^* \wedge e_4^* + d_{34}^* e_3^* \wedge e_4^*,$$

$$\delta^* := \delta_{15}^* e_1^* + \delta_{25}^* e_2^* + \delta_{35}^* e_3^* + \delta_{45}^* e_4^*.$$

the two-form $w^*$ is decomposable

$$w^* = (r^* + \rho^* \mathcal{E}_{5}^*) \wedge (s^* + \sigma^* \mathcal{E}_{5}^*)$$

if the super Plücker relations

$$d_{12}^* d_{34}^* - d_{13}^* d_{24}^* + d_{14}^* d_{23}^* = 0,$$

$$d_{ij}^* \delta_{k5}^* - d_{ik}^* \delta_{j5}^* + d_{jk}^* \delta_{i5}^* = 0,$$

$$1 \leq i < j < k \leq 4,$$

$$\delta_{i5}^* \delta_{j5}^* = d_{55}^* d_{ij}^*,$$

$$1 \leq i < j \leq 4$$

are satisfied.

We have proven the following theorem:

**Theorem 3.2.** The product of super Grassmannians $\text{Gr}_1$ and $\text{Gr}_2$ is embedded into the product of super projective spaces:

$$\text{Gr}_1 \times \text{Gr}_2 \subset \mathbf{P}(\wedge^2 T) \times \mathbf{P}(\wedge^2 T^*)$$
With respect to such projective embedding, the coordinate ring of $\text{Gr}_1 \times \text{Gr}_2$ is given by:
\[
\mathbb{C}[d_{ij}, d^*_{kl}, d_{55}^*, \delta_m^5, \delta^*_n5] / (I_{\text{Gr}_1} + I_{\text{Gr}_2}),
\]
where $I_{\text{Gr}_1}$ is the ideal of the super Plücker relations (10), while $I_{\text{Gr}_2}$ is the ideal of the super Plücker relations (20).

\[\square\]

It is possible to see the coordinate rings of $\text{Gr}_1$ and $\text{Gr}_2$ as subrings of the coordinate ring of $\text{SL}(4|1)$. This is a consequence of (15) and (16), and it is a crucial point in the quantization that we will propose in Section 7. Let $A$ be a local superalgebra and $g \in \text{SL}(4|1)(A)$

\[
g = \begin{pmatrix}
g_{11} & g_{12} & g_{13} & g_{14} & \gamma_{15} \\
g_{21} & g_{22} & g_{23} & g_{24} & \gamma_{25} \\
g_{31} & g_{32} & g_{33} & g_{34} & \gamma_{35} \\
g_{41} & g_{42} & g_{43} & g_{44} & \gamma_{45} \\
\gamma_{51} & \gamma_{52} & \gamma_{53} & \gamma_{54} & g_{55}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Let now

\[
e_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad e_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}
\]

be two vectors of the standard basis. Then the action of $g$ on $e_1$ and $e_2$ selects the first two columns of $g$

\[
ge_1 = \begin{pmatrix} g_{11} \\ g_{21} \\ g_{31} \\ g_{41} \\ \gamma_{51} \end{pmatrix}, \quad g e_2 = \begin{pmatrix} g_{12} \\ g_{22} \\ g_{32} \\ g_{42} \\ \gamma_{52} \end{pmatrix},
\]

and these are the two independent vectors generating the subspace $W_1(A)$. The coordinate ring of $\text{SL}(4|1)$ is

\[
\mathbb{C}[\text{SL}(4|1)] = \mathbb{C}[g_{ij}, \gamma_{i5}, \gamma_{5i}]/(\text{Ber } g - 1),
\]
where we are now interpreting \( g_{ij}, \gamma_5 \) and \( \gamma_i \) as (even and odd) indeterminates and not as elements of the superring \( A \). One can show that \( \mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}_1] \) is the subring of \( \mathbb{C}[\text{SL}(4|1)] \) generated by the \( 2 \times 2 \) determinants

\[
d_{ij}^{12} := g_{i1}g_{j2} - g_{i2}g_{j1}, \quad \delta_{i5}^{12} := g_{i1}\gamma_{52} - g_{i2}\gamma_{51}, \quad d_{55}^{12} = \gamma_5\gamma_{52},
\]

with \( i, j = 1, \ldots, 4 \). We will suppress the superindex indicating columns 1 and 2, which coincides with the notation above. Nevertheless, we have to remember that when seeing \( \mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}_1] \) as a subalgebra of \( \mathbb{C}[\text{SL}(4|1)] \), these expressions refer to the determinants of the first two columns of the generators \( g_{ij} \) of \( \mathbb{C}[\text{SL}(4|1)] \).

Because of the duality between \( \text{Gr}_1^\ast \) and \( \text{Gr}_2 \) discussed above there are the corresponding expressions for \( \text{Gr}_2 \), but now one has to consider the element of the group \( \langle g^\dagger \rangle^{-1} \) (see (16)). We are going to give these expressions explicitly, but we need first some notation.

**Notation 3.3.** Let us denote columns with upper indices and rows with lower indices. Let \( I = (i_1, \ldots, i_p) \) and \( J = (j_1, \ldots, j_q) \) be multiindices. Then \( d_J^I \) stands for usual determinant obtained by taking the columns \( J \) and the rows \( I \), while \( \delta_J^I \) stands for usual determinant with an odd column (in our case, the only possibility is the 5th one). \( B \) denotes the total Berezinian of \( g \), while \( b_J^I \) stands for Berezinian obtained by taking columns \( J \) and rows \( I \). We also write \( b_{125}^{1\ldots i\ldots j\ldots 5} \) for the Berezinian obtained by taking the columns 1, 2, 5 and the rows obtained by removing \( i \) and \( j \) from 1, 2, 3, 4, 5.

We have then the following proposition:

**Proposition 3.4.** Let

\[
g = \begin{pmatrix} p_{4\times 4} & q_{4\times 1} \\ r_{1\times 4} & s_{1\times 1} \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}(4|1)(A), \quad \text{or simply} \quad g = \begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ r & s \end{pmatrix}
\]

as in Example 2.13. The rest of the notation is as above. Then

\[
d_{ij}^* := d_{ij}^{14}(\langle g^\dagger \rangle^{-1}) = (-1)^{p(s)} b_{1\ldots i\ldots j\ldots 5}^{125},
\]

\[
\delta_{i5}^* := d_{i5}^{14}(\langle g^\dagger \rangle^{-1}) = (-1)^{i} \frac{B}{\det(p)^2} \left[ b_{1\ldots i\ldots 4\ldots 5}^{1235} \delta_{1234}^{1235} - b_{1\ldots i\ldots 4\ldots 5}^{1235} \delta_{1234}^{1245} \right],
\]

\[
d_{55}^* := ((\langle g^\dagger \rangle^{-1})_{51}(\langle g^\dagger \rangle^{-1})_{52} = -\frac{B^2}{\det(p)^4} \delta_{1234}^{1245} \delta_{1234}^{1345},
\]
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where $s$ is the permutation

$$(1 \, 2 \, 3 \, 4 \, 5) \rightarrow (i \, j \, u \, v \, w) \quad \text{with} \quad \{u, v, w\} = \{1, \ldots, \hat{i}, \ldots, \hat{j}, \ldots, 5\}.$$ 

**Proof.** This can be checked by a long computation. We sketch the proof for the particular case $d^*_12$. The other cases go along similar lines.

Let us define $p := p - qs^{-1}r$ and $s := s - rp^{-1}q$, we then have, explicitly

$$(g^{-1})^t = \begin{pmatrix} (p^{-1})^t & -(s^{-1}rp)^t \\ -(p^{-1}qs)^t & s^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

The key identity is given by

$$d^{34}_{12}((p^t)^{-1}) = \frac{1}{\det p} d^{12}_{34}(p).$$

For $g \in \text{SL}(4|1)(A)$ we have $s = \det p$ and $s = \det p$, thus

$$d^{34}_{12}((p^t)^{-1}) = \frac{1}{s} d^{12}_{34} (p - qs^{-1}r) = b^{125}_{345}$$

as we wanted to show. 

\[\Box\]

### 3.2 The Plücker embedding of the super flag

$\text{Fl}(2|0, 2|1; 4|1)$

In the same way than for the projective superspace and the super Grassmannians, we define the functor of points of the flag supervariety for a superalgebra $A$ as

$$F(A) = \{ \text{finitely generated, projective submodules } M \subset N \subset A^{4|1} \}.$$ 

where $M$ is of rank $2|0$ and $N$ is of rank $2|1$.

This functor, when expressed in terms of superschemes, is also representable \[5\]. The reduced scheme is again the Grassmannian $\text{Gr}(2, 4)$. One can see immediately that there is a left action action of the supergroup $\text{SL}(4|1)$. As an homogeneous space, the functor is given as

$$F = \text{SL}(4|1)/P_u, \quad P_u = P_1 \cap P_2,$$
where \( P_1 \) and \( P_2 \) are the parabolic subgroups defined in (6). We have

\[
P_u(A) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} & g_{13} & g_{14} & \gamma_{15} \\ g_{21} & g_{22} & g_{23} & g_{24} & \gamma_{25} \\ 0 & 0 & g_{33} & g_{34} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & g_{43} & g_{44} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma_{53} & \gamma_{54} & g_{55} \end{pmatrix} \right\}. \tag{21}
\]

As for the super Grassmannians, if \( A \) is local, one can express the functor of points of the flag supervariety in terms of the action of \( \text{SL}(4|1) \) on the canonical basis, namely

\[
F(A) = \{ (\text{span} \{ g \cdot e_1, g \cdot e_2 \}, \text{span} \{ g \cdot e_1, g \cdot e_2 \cdot g \cdot \mathcal{E}_5 \}) , g \in \text{SL}(4|1)(A) \}
\]

(see Sections 4.11 and 4.12 in Ref. [5]).

We want to determine the conditions on an element of \( \text{Gr}_1(A) \times \text{Gr}_2(A) \) to actually belong to the superflag, that is, \( (W_1(A), W_2(A)) \in \text{Gr}_1(A) \times \text{Gr}_2(A) \) be such that \( W_1(A) \subset W_2(A) \) (we remind the reader that \( A \) is still a local algebra). This will determine extra relations among the generators of the coordinate ring of \( \text{Gr}_1 \times \text{Gr}_2 \) (see Theorem 3.2) needed to describe the superflag with its projective embedding.

We denote

\[
W_1(A) = \text{span} \{ a, b \} = \text{span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_3 \\ a_4 \\ a_5 \end{pmatrix} , \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ b_3 \\ b_4 \\ b_5 \end{pmatrix} \right\}
\]

\[
W_2^0(A) = \text{span} \{ e^*, d^* \} = \text{span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} r_1^* \\ r_2^* \\ r_3^* \\ r_4^* \\ \rho_5^* \end{pmatrix} , \begin{pmatrix} s_1^* \\ s_2^* \\ s_3^* \\ s_4^* \\ \sigma_5^* \end{pmatrix} \right\}.
\]

The necessary and sufficient conditions for \( W_1(A) \subset W_2(A) \) are

\[
r^*(a) = 0, \quad r^*(b) = 0, \quad s^*(a) = 0, \quad s^*(b) = 0. \tag{22}
\]
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There is a way of expressing (22) in terms of \( d = a \land b \) and \( d^* = r^* \land s^* \). First, we unify the notation by defining \( e_5 := \mathcal{E}_5 \) and \( e_5^* := \mathcal{E}_5^* \). Then we define the contraction

\[
T(A)^* \otimes T(A)^* \otimes (T(A) \otimes T(A)) \xrightarrow{\Phi} T(A)^* \otimes T(A)
\]

\[
(p_{ij}^* e_i^* \otimes e_j^*) \otimes (q_{ij} e_i \otimes e_j) \rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^{5} p_{ij}^* q_{jk} e_i^* \otimes e_k.
\]

Assuming that \( d^* \) and \( d \) are decomposable, (22) is equivalent to

\[
\Phi(d^* \otimes d) = 0.
\] (23)

In components,

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{5} d_{ij}^* d_{jk} = 0, \quad \forall i, k = 1, \ldots, 5.
\] (24)

where \( d_{i5} := \delta_{i5} \) and \( d_{55}^* := \delta_{55}^* \). Notice that this expression is antisymmetric in \((i, j)\) and \((j, k)\), but the sum over \( j \) is unrestricted. This gives a total of 25 independent conditions that we write explicitly in Appendix A. They are the incidence relations. Conditions (10), (20) and (24) define completely the flag supervariety \( F \). We then can state the analog of Theorem 3.2 for the superflag.

**Theorem 3.5.** There is an embedding of the superflag

\[
F \subset \text{Gr}_1 \times \text{Gr}_2 \subset \mathbf{P}(E) \times \mathbf{P}(E^*),
\]

with \( E = \Lambda^2(T) \cong \mathbb{C}^{7|4} \), \( E^* = \Lambda^2(T^*) \cong \mathbb{C}^{7|4} \). With respect to such embedding, the bigraded coordinate ring of \( F \) is given by

\[
\mathbb{C}[F] := \mathbb{C}[d_{ij}, \delta_{i5}, d_{55}, d_{ij}^*, \delta_{i5}^*, d_{55}^*] / (I_{\text{Gr}_1} + I_{\text{Gr}_2} + I_{\text{inc}})
\]

where \( I_{\text{inc}} \) is the ideal generated by the 25 incidence relations in Appendix A.

\[\square\]

**Remark 3.6.** As for \( \mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}_1] \) and \( \mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}_2] \), also the coordinate ring of the superflag \( \mathbb{C}[F] \) relative to this embedding is the subring in \( \mathbb{C}[\text{SL}(4|1)] \) generated by the determinants \( d_{ij}, \delta_{i5}, d_{55}, d_{ij}^*, \delta_{i5}^* \) and \( d_{55}^* \) inside \( \mathbb{C}[\text{SL}(4|1)] \). While dealing at the same time with coordinates \( d_{ij} \) and \( d_{ij}^* \) is already cumbersome at the classical level, at the quantum level the problem becomes intractable. This
is why we will resort to a different argument, based on super line bundles, to define the ring of the quantum superflag in Sections 6 and 7. Nevertheless, the whole construction is based on the same property for $\mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}_1]$ and $\mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}_2]$.

In the following section we are going to see how the product of two projective superspaces can be embedded into one projective superspace of higher dimension.

3.3 The super Segre map

In this section we extend the Segre map to the super setting and then apply it to the case of interest for the embedding of the superflag $F$ into a unique projective space.

In the ordinary case, given two projective spaces $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$, also denoted as $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1})$, the Segre map is given by

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}) \times \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^{d+1}) \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d+1})$$

$$(U, V) \mapsto U \otimes V.$$

In terms of the homogeneous coordinates for each space, one has

$$\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{P}^d(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$$

$$([x_0, \ldots, x_n], [y_0, \ldots, y_d]) \mapsto [x_0y_0, x_0y_1, \ldots, x_iy_j, \ldots, x_ny_d]$$

with $i = 0, \ldots, n$, $j = 0, \ldots, d$ and $N = (n + 1)(d + 1) - 1$.

As usual, $[x_0, \ldots, x_n]$ stands for the equivalence class in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ given by $(x_0, \ldots, x_n) \sim \lambda(x_0, \ldots, x_n)$, for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ (see Example 2.14).

If we label as $z_{ij}$ the homogeneous coordinates of $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$, then it is not hard to prove that the image of the Segre map is an algebraic variety given by the zero locus of the $2 \times 2$ minors of the matrix (see for example Chapter 1 in Ref. [42])

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
    z_{00} & z_{02} & \cdots & z_{0d} \\
    z_{20} & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
    \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
    z_{n0} & \cdots & \cdots & z_{nd}
\end{pmatrix}
$$

Moreover, the map $\psi$ is an embedding.
In order to generalize this construction to the super setting we have to define a natural transformation among the functors \( \Psi : P^n|_r \times P^d|_s \to P^{N|M} \), where \( N = (n+1)(d+1) + rs - 1 \) and \( M = (n+1)s + (d+1)r \). For an arbitrary superalgebra \( A \), this is given by

\[
P^n|_r(A) \times P^d|_s(A) \xrightarrow{\Psi_A} P^{N|M}(A)
\]

\[(U(A), V(A)) \longmapsto U(A) \otimes_A V(A) .\]

Since the natural transformation is defined in general, we can now restrict to local algebras. The submodules are free and in homogeneous coordinates we have

\[
P^n|_r(A) \times P^d|_s(A) \xrightarrow{\Psi_A} P^{N|M}(A)
\]

\[(\{x_0, \ldots, x_n | \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r\}, \{y_0, \ldots, y_d | \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_s\}) \longmapsto [x_iy_j, \alpha_k\beta_l | x_i, \beta_l | y_j, \alpha_k] .\]

with

\[i = 0, \ldots, n, \quad j = 0, \ldots, d, \quad k = 1, \ldots, r, \quad l = 1, \ldots, s .\]

The super Segre map is an embedding and one can give the polynomial equations defining its image. To do so, we use the even rules principle \[\text{[39]},\] a technique essentially similar to the usual ‘Grassmann envelope’ well known to people working with superalgebras, that helps to keep track of insidious signs. In order not to disrupt the discourse at this point we have preferred to put that proof in the Appendix \[\text{[3]}\] where we also describe the technique.

We have shown in Section \[\text{[3]}\] how the superflag \( F \) embeds into the product of two projective superspaces through the Plücker embedding. We just need now to consider the composition of the natural transformation of Theorem \[\text{[3.5]}\] with the super Segre map \[\text{[25]}\]. Restricting to local superalgebras we have

\[
F(A) \longmapsto P(E)(A) \times P(E^*)(A)
\]

\[W_1(A) \subset W_2(A) \longmapsto ([d_{ij}, d_{55} | \delta_{5}] , [d_{ij}^*, d_{55}^* | \delta_{5}^*]) .\]

where \( W_1(A) = \text{span}\{r + rE_5, s + \sigma E_5\} \) and \( W_2(A) \) is given in terms of \( W_2(A)^0 = \text{span}\{r^* + r^*E_5^*, s^* + \sigma^*E_5^*\} \). The relation with the coordinates \( d_{ij}, \ldots d_{ij}^*, \ldots \) is then expressed in equations \[\text{[8, 9, 18, 19]}\].

\[\text{31}\]
Composing with the super Segre map we will embed $F$ into $\mathbf{P}^{M|N}$, where $M|N = 64|56$; explicitly, we get:

$$
P(E)(A) \times P(E^*)(A) \xrightarrow{\Psi} \mathbf{P}^{M|N}(A)
$$

$$
([z_{ij}, z_{55} | \zeta_{55}], [z_{ij}^*, z_{55}^* | \zeta_{55}^*]) \longrightarrow [z_{ij} z_{kl}^*, z_{55} z_{55}^*, z_{ij} z_{55}^*, z_{55} z_{kl}^*, \zeta_{55} \zeta_{55}^*] \quad (28)
$$

Let us denote $I, K = (1, 2), (1, 3), (1, 4), (2, 3), (2, 3), (3, 4)$: Then, according to the notation in Appendix B, we can organize the image of the super Segre map $\mathbb{C}^{M+1|N}$ in matrix form:

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
z_I z_{55}^* & z_{55} z_{55}^* & z_I \zeta_k^* \\
z_I z_{55}^* & z_{55} z_{55}^* & z_{55} \zeta_k^* \\
\zeta_{55} z_{55}^* & \zeta_{55} z_{55}^* & \zeta_{55} \zeta_{55}^*
\end{pmatrix} \quad (29)
$$

This image is a projective algebraic variety in the generators

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
Z_{IK} & Z_{5K} & \Lambda_{IK} \\
Z_{I5} & Z_{55} & \Lambda_{5K} \\
\Gamma_{IK} & \Gamma_{55} & \Gamma_{ik}
\end{pmatrix},
$$

satisfying the homogeneous polynomial relations (47).

## 4 Line bundles and projective embeddings.

In this section we want to introduce basic concepts in parabolic geometry and construct the very ample line bundle describing the projective embedding of the Grassmanian. Along the way, we will also discuss its interpretation as the bundle of conformal densities. We will then extend our construction to the super Grassmanians $\text{Gr}_1$ and $\text{Gr}_2$ as well as the super flag $F$.

### 4.1 Parabolic (super)geometries

Let $G$ be a semisimple Lie group, $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$ and we consider its root decomposition with respect to a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$. If $\Delta^{\pm}$ are the subsets of positive and negative roots respectively, with $\Delta = \Delta^+ + \Delta^-$, we denote as usual

$$
n_+ = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} g_\alpha, \quad n_- = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^-} g_\alpha,
$$
so we have the decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+$. The Borel subalgebra for this system of roots is $\mathfrak{b}_\pm = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_\pm$, where one can choose indifferently $\mathfrak{b}_+$ or $\mathfrak{b}_-$. All the Borel subalgebras are conjugated. A parabolic subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ is a subalgebra $\mathfrak{p}$ that contains the Borel subalgebra but it is not the full $\mathfrak{g}$.

To every parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{p}$ there is associated a $|k|$-grading of $\mathfrak{g}$

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{-k} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1 \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

with $[\mathfrak{g}_i, \mathfrak{g}_j] \subset \mathfrak{g}_{i+j}$, such that $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1 \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_k$. Also, one defines $\mathfrak{p}_+ := \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_k$ and $\mathfrak{p}_- := \mathfrak{g}_{-k} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$.

The Levi subgroup of $\mathfrak{p}$ is the group whose adjoint action preserves the grading. Its Lie algebra is $\mathfrak{g}_0$, and we will denote it as $G_0$. It is in fact the reductive component in the Levi decomposition, $\mathfrak{p} = G_0 \ltimes \mathfrak{p}_+$ where $\mathfrak{p}_+$ is the unipotent radical with $\text{Lie}(\mathfrak{p}_+) = \mathfrak{p}_+$.

The following is the relevant example.

**Example 4.1.** The complexified conformal and Poincaré groups. We consider the conformal group of the Minkowski spacetime, the group $\text{SO}(4,2)$. Its spin group (the double covering) is $\text{SU}(2,2)$, with complexification $\text{SL}_4(\mathbb{C})$. The Lie algebras $\mathfrak{so}_6(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathfrak{sl}_4(\mathbb{C})$ are isomorphic, but we will use the four dimensional notation. This means that the conformal algebra and the conformal group act on a four dimensional space called the twistor space whose relation with the four dimensional spacetime we will see in a moment. For the standard choice of roots (diagonal), the Borel subalgebra consists of the lower triangular matrices$^5$

$$\begin{pmatrix}
* & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
* & * & 0 & 0 \\
* & * & * & 0 \\
* & * & * & *
\end{pmatrix}.$$  

The complexified Poincaré subalgebra plus dilations is a parabolic subalgebra consisting of lower, $2 \times 2$-block triangular matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix}
l & 0 \\
m & r
\end{pmatrix},$$

where the diagonal blocks $l$ and $r$ form the Lorentz subalgebra plus dilations $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathbb{C} \cong \mathfrak{so}(4,\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathbb{C}$, and the block $m$ represents the translations. The Poincaré group times dilations, in this context, is the group

$^5$Or, alternatively, the upper triangular matrices.
\[ P = (\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \times \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{C}) \rtimes \text{M}_2(\mathbb{C}) , \] where \( \text{M}_2(\mathbb{C}) \) is the space of 2 \( \times \) 2-dimensional matrices. We will denote a generic element of this group as

\[ g = \begin{pmatrix} L & 0 \\ NL & R \end{pmatrix} , \quad (30) \]

(notice that \( L \) and \( R \) are invertible matrices).

The conformal space is the Grassmannian \( \text{Gr}(2, 4) \cong \text{SL}_4(\mathbb{C})/P_u \), where \( P_u \) is the upper parabolic subgroup of elements

\[ g = \begin{pmatrix} L & Q \\ 0 & R \end{pmatrix} , \]

which is conjugated to \( (30) \). The grading of the Lie algebra is

\[ \mathfrak{g}_0 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} l \\ 0 \\ r \end{pmatrix} \right\} , \quad \mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ m \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} , \quad \mathfrak{g}_1 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ q \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} . \]

The Levi subgroup \( G_0 \) is the Lorentz group times dilations.

If one represents an element in the Grassmannian \( \text{Gr}(2, 4) \) in terms of a 4 \( \times \) 2-matrix whose columns are the basis vectors of the 2-plane, the big cell is characterized in terms of matrices with the minor \( d_{12} \neq 0 \). By a change of basis one can always bring such matrix to a standard form

\[ \begin{pmatrix} a^1 & b^1 \\ a^2 & b^2 \\ a^3 & b^3 \\ a^4 & b^4 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \text{id} \\ A \end{pmatrix} , \quad (31) \]

and \( A \) is an arbitrary 2 \( \times \) 2-matrix. The action of the group on the big cell is simply

\[ A \longrightarrow RAL^{-1} + N , \quad A \in \text{M}_2(\mathbb{C}) . \quad (32) \]

A linear change of coordinates in terms of the Pauli matrices allows us to see the relation with the standard coordinates in Minkowski space:

\[ \sigma_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} , \quad \sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} , \quad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ i \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} , \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} , \]

with \( A = \sum_{\mu=0}^{4} x^\mu \sigma_\mu \) and

\[ \det A = (x^0)^2 - (x^1)^2 - (x^2)^2 - (x^3)^2 . \]
One can also check that the action of the Poincaré group times dilations in these coordinates is the expected one.

Given a flag manifold on $\mathbb{C}^n$, the subgroup of $\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ that stabilizes one point is an upper parabolic subgroup (that is, a subgroup of $\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ whose Lie algebra is an upper parabolic subalgebra), so we have that a flag manifold can be written always as a quotient $\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})/P_u$ (here $P_u$ stands for a generic upper parabolic subgroup). One can construct a generalized Plücker embedding for all the flags. Moreover, this construction generalizes for semisimple groups $G$ other than $\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$. The spaces $G/P_u$ are called generalized flag varieties. One has the following theorem:

**Theorem 4.2.** Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a complex semisimple Lie algebra, $\mathfrak{p}$ a parabolic subalgebra, $G$ a connected Lie group with $\text{Lie}(G) = \mathfrak{g}$ and $P$ a parabolic subgroup of $G$ with $\text{Lie}(P) = \mathfrak{p}$. Then, the generalized flag manifold $G/P$ is a compact Kähler manifold and a projective algebraic variety.

We do not give here the proof of this well known result. The reader can consult, for example, Ref. [46], page 306. A stronger result is in fact true: the quotient $G/P$, $P$ being a closed subgroup of $G$, is parabolic if and only if $G/P$ is projective (see Ref. [47], Chapter IV).

We turn now to the super case. As mentioned in Section 3, Theorem 4.2 does not have an extension to the super setting. Nevertheless, the three superflags of interest for us, $\text{Gr}_1$, $\text{Gr}_2$ and $F$ are projective, as shown explicitly with the super Plücker and super Segre embeddings.

As in the non super case, for each parabolic subalgebra of a superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$ there is associated a $|k|$-grading of $\mathfrak{g}$ [15]. We first analyze the gradings corresponding to the three parabolic subalgebras.

**Gradings of $\mathfrak{sl}(4|1)$.** Let us write in block form the super Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(4|1) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} l & q & \nu \\ p & r & \alpha \\ \mu & \beta & s \end{pmatrix} \mid \text{tr} l + \text{tr} r = s \right\},$$

where, as always, Latin letters denote blocks with even entries and Greek letters denote blocks with odd ones.
• For the parabolic subalgebra of the parabolic subgroup $P_1$ in $P_1$, associated to the supergrassmannian $\text{Gr}_1 = \text{SL}(4|1)/P_1$:

$$p_1 = \begin{cases} 
\begin{pmatrix} l & q & \nu \\ 0 & r & \alpha \\ 0 & \beta & s \end{pmatrix} 
\end{cases},$$

we have the $|1|$-grading

$$g_{-1} = \begin{cases} 
\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ p & 0 & 0 \\ \mu & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} 
\end{cases}, \quad g_0 = \begin{cases} 
\begin{pmatrix} l & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & r & \alpha \\ 0 & \beta & s \end{pmatrix} 
\end{cases}, \quad g_{+1} = \begin{cases} 
\begin{pmatrix} 0 & q & \nu \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} 
\end{cases},$$

with $p_1 = g_0 \oplus g_{+1}$.

• For the parabolic subalgebra of the parabolic subgroup $P_2$ in $P_2$, associated to the supergrassmannian $\text{Gr}_2 = \text{SL}(4|1)/P_2$:

$$p_2 = \begin{cases} 
\begin{pmatrix} l & q & \nu \\ 0 & r & 0 \\ \mu & \beta & s \end{pmatrix} 
\end{cases},$$

we have the $|1|$-grading

$$g_{-1} = \begin{cases} 
\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ p & 0 & \alpha \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} 
\end{cases}, \quad g_0 = \begin{cases} 
\begin{pmatrix} l & 0 & \nu \\ 0 & r & 0 \\ \mu & 0 & s \end{pmatrix} 
\end{cases}, \quad g_{+1} = \begin{cases} 
\begin{pmatrix} 0 & q & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \beta \end{pmatrix} 
\end{cases},$$

with $p_2 = g_0 \oplus g_{+1}$.

• For the superalgebra the supergroup $P_u = P_1 \cap P_2$ associated to the superflag $F$:

$$p_u = \begin{cases} 
\begin{pmatrix} l & q & \nu \\ 0 & r & 0 \\ 0 & \beta & s \end{pmatrix} 
\end{cases}$$

we have the $|2|$-grading

$$g_{-2} = \begin{cases} 
\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ p & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} 
\end{cases}, \quad g_{-1} = \begin{cases} 
\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha \\ \mu & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} 
\end{cases}, \quad g_0 = \begin{cases} 
\begin{pmatrix} l & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & s \end{pmatrix} 
\end{cases}, \quad g_{+2} = \begin{cases} 
\begin{pmatrix} 0 & q & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} 
\end{cases}, \quad g_{+1} = \begin{cases} 
\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \nu \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta & 0 \end{pmatrix} 
\end{cases},$$
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with \( p_u = g_0 \oplus g_{+1} \oplus g_{+2} \).

Notice that in the cases of \( P_1 \) and \( P_2 \) the Levi subgroup is not purely even, while for \( P_1 \cap P_2 \) it is so.

The decompositions written above for \( p_1 \), \( p_2 \) and \( p \) are semidirect products. Generically

\[
p = g_0 \oplus p_+ \quad \quad p_+ = \bigoplus_{k>0} g_k
\]

which are also called Levi decompositions, as in the non super case.

\[\square\]

**Definition 4.3.** The *complex conformal supergroup* is the complex special linear supergroup \( SL(4|1) \). The *complex Poincaré supergroup times dilations* is the subgroup of \( SL(4|1) \) given, in the functor of points notation, by

\[
R(A) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} L & 0 & 0 \\ N & R & R\chi \\ d\varphi & 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \right\} \subset SL(4|1)(A).
\]

\[\square\]

We can denote \( P_u = P_1 \cap P_2 \), so \( F = SL(4|1)/P_u \). \( F \) is an homogeneous superspace [5, 41] of \( SL(4|1) \) that we can call the *complex conformal superspace*. As in the non super case, a point in \( F \) can be given in terms of the basis vectors of the corresponding subspaces of \( C^{4|1} \) (the *twistor superspace*).

In the big cell the minor \( d_{12} \neq 0 \), so we can bring both basis to standard forms

\[
\begin{pmatrix} \text{id} \\ A \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \text{id} & 0 \\ B & \beta \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{with } B = A - \beta \alpha.
\]

The last relation expresses the fact that the first space is a subspace of the second one in the big cell, and it is equivalent to the relations \([24]\) locally, once the condition \( d_{12} \neq 0 \) is imposed.

The action of the Poincaré supergroup times dilations is

\[
\begin{align*}
A & \longrightarrow R(A + \chi \alpha)L^{-1} + N, \\
\alpha & \longrightarrow d(\alpha + \varphi)L^{-1}, \\
\beta & \longrightarrow d^{-1}R(\beta + \chi).
\end{align*}
\]
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4.2 The bundle of (super)conformal densities

Embeddings of a variety into projective spaces are in one to one correspondence with a certain class of line bundles called very ample line bundles. These are bundles that have enough global sections to be used as projective coordinates of a projective embedding (see for example Ref. [49]).

Theorem 4.2 does not have an extension to the super setting. Nevertheless, embeddings into projective superspace are also determined by very ample line superbundles. The goal of the this section will be to describe explicitly a line superbundle associated to the projective embedding of the superflag F explained in detail in Section 3.

We consider first the non super case. The reader can resort to Ref. [46] for more details.

As before, let $G$ be an algebraic, semisimple Lie group and $H$ a closed subgroup with Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{h}$ respectively. Let $\pi : G \to G/H$ be the canonical projection. The sheaf of regular functions $\mathcal{R}_{G/H}$ can be constructed in terms of $\mathcal{O}_G$ and an invariance condition. Let $U \subset_{\text{open}} G/H$. Then $\pi^{-1}(U)$ is invariant under the action of $P$. One can define

$$\mathcal{R}_{G/P}(U) = \{ f \in \mathcal{O}_G(\pi^{-1}(U)) \mid f(gp) = f(g) \quad \forall g \in \pi^{-1}(U), p \in P \}.$$ (33)

If $H$ is a parabolic subgroup, $H = P$, then $G/P$ is a projective variety and the set of global regular functions $\mathcal{R}(G/P) = k$. In the following, we will describe a series of line bundles whose global sections reconstruct, degree by degree, the $\mathbb{Z}$-graded algebra $S = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} S^n$ that gives the scheme $\text{Proj}(S)$ of Example 2.15 associated to the projective variety $G/P$.

We consider now the Levi subgroup $G_0$ of $P$. Any representation of $G_0$ can be extended to the whole $P$, by virtue of the Levi decomposition, assuming that it is trivial on $P_+$. In particular, the group $G_0$ acts on $\mathfrak{p}_- := \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-k}$ with the adjoint representation. We shall use this representation to obtain a representation of $G$ by the method of parabolic induction, which we briefly describe.

Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a $P$-module and consider the associated vector bundle $G \times_P \mathcal{V}$ over $G/P$. The space of global sections of this bundle, $\Gamma(G \times_P \mathcal{V})$ is given by

$$\Gamma(G \times_P \mathcal{V}) = \{ f \otimes v \in \mathcal{O}(G) \otimes \mathcal{V} \mid f(gp) \otimes v = f(g) \otimes p^{-1}v \quad \forall g \in G, p \in P \}.$$ 

On this space there is a natural action of $G$. A class of $P$-modules can be obtained starting with a representation of $G_0$ and extending it trivially to
the full $P$. If $\mathbb{V} \cong \mathbb{C}$, then the representation is a character of $P$ and the bundle is a line bundle. In particular, one can take the determinant (or a power of it) of the adjoint action of $G_0$ on $\mathfrak{p}_-$:

$$G_0 \xrightarrow{\chi} \mathbb{C}$$

$$g \longmapsto |\det(\text{Ad}_-(g))|^{-\frac{1}{d}}.$$ 

In the above formula, $d$ is the dimension of $\mathfrak{p}_-$. We remark that the form of the exponent is purely conventional and carefully tuned for the purpose of this paper; when dealing with the Weyl structure it is natural to write it differently. We will denote the induced bundle of the character $\chi$ as $L$. Global sections of $L$ are $P$-equivariant functions:

$$\Gamma(L) = \{ f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid f(gh) = \chi^{-1}(h)f(g) \}. \quad (34)$$

We also denote as $L^n$ the bundle obtained by using the character $\chi^n$ (so $L = L^1$) and by $\Gamma(L^n)$ the set of its global sections. It is then natural to construct the graded algebra

$$L^* := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \Gamma(L^n), \quad (35)$$

which is generated as an algebra in degree one.

We now come back to the particular example where $G = \text{SL}_4(\mathbb{C})$ and $P = P_u$. In this case, an easy computation shows that $\chi(g) = (\det L)^{-1}$, and we refer to the bundle $L^n$, constructed out of this character, as the *bundle of conformal densities of weight* $n$. We will also use Notation 3.3, so $\det L = d_{12}(= d_{13}^2)$, that is, the determinant of the upper left $2 \times 2$ matrix in $\text{SL}_4(\mathbb{C})$. This will be useful mainly to connect with the notation used in the quantum case.

**Remark 4.4.** These bundles have an interesting interpretation that we are going to discuss. We start by noticing that on the conformal space $\text{Gr}(2, 4) = \text{SL}_4(\mathbb{C})/P_u$ there is no invariant Riemannian metric, but there is a more generic structure called a *conformal metric* (see for example Ref. [40], page 100 or Ref. [5], page 101). At each point of the manifold we associate a set of non-singular, quadratic, symmetric, holomorphic (or smooth, real analytic,...) forms on its tangent space which are non-zero scalar multiples.

---

*We thank Andreas Cap and Rod Gover for this observation.*
of each other. We assume that the choice is such that on a neighborhood there is an holomorphic metric whose quadratic form belongs to that set, but such choice is perhaps not possible globally. For \( \text{Gr}(2, 4) \), it is not difficult to prove that the Minkowski metric on the big cell defines an invariant conformal metric in that neighborhood and that changing from one open set to another amounts to multiply the Minkowski metric by a non zero factor. In the notation of (31), where the Minkowski space is \( M_2(\mathbb{C}) \), the quadratic form becomes

\[
q(A) = \det A = ad - bc = (x^0)^2 - (x^1)^2 - (x^2)^2 - (x^3)^2,
\]

\[
A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x^0 + x^3 & x^1 - ix^2 \\ x^1 + ix^2 & x^0 - x^3 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

A conformal metric defines a principal bundle \( Q \subset T^*M \otimes T^*M \) with fiber \( \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C} - \{0\} \) and local sections of this bundle are in one to one correspondence with a local choice of metric representing the conformal structure. Since the quadratic form in the big cell is just \( \det A \), under the action of \( G_0 \) it transforms as

\[
\det A \longrightarrow \det L^2 \det A.
\]

Associated to this principal bundle by the actions on \( \mathbb{C} \)

\[
\mathbb{C}^\times \times \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}
\]

\[
(\Omega^2, z) \longrightarrow \Omega^n z
\]

we reencounter all the bundles \( L^n \).

In the conformal case, a section of \( L \) is known as a conformal scale since it amounts to give a local choice of units of length. This is strictly related to the the notion of dilaton field that is the gauge field of the dilations. 

\[\square\]

**Proposition 4.5.** The bundle of conformal densities of weight one over \( \text{SL}(4, \mathbb{C})/P_u \), that is, the line bundle

\[
\mathcal{L} = \text{SL}(4, \mathbb{C}) \times_{P_u} \mathbb{C} = \mathcal{O}(\text{SL}_4(\mathbb{C})/P_u)_1
\]

defined by the character \( d_{12} \) of \( P_u \) is very ample.
Proof. First we note that the determinants \( \{d_{ij}(=d_{ij}^{12})\} \) are \( P_u \)-equivariant functions on \( \text{SL}(4, \mathbb{C}) \) as required in \((34)\):

\[
   gp = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} L & Q \\ 0 & R \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} AL & AQ + BR \\ CL & CQ + DR \end{pmatrix}, \quad g \in \text{SL}(4, \mathbb{C}), \; p \in P_u.
\]

Moreover, they cannot be all zero at the same point and, at each point, they span the fiber of the line bundle. They are in fact the standard Plücker coordinates of the embedding of \( G(2, 4) \) into \( \mathbb{P}^5 \) (see for example Refs. \([40, 5]\)).

We are now ready to generalize these structures to the super setting. Similarly to the classical case, we can establish a correspondence between certain super line bundles on a supervariety \( X \) and the embeddings of \( X \) into projective superspaces. Let us start with a basic definition (see for example Ref \([11]\), Section 10.5).

**Definition 4.6.** Let \( S = (|S|, \mathcal{O}_S) \) be a superscheme. A super vector bundle \( V \) of rank \( p|q \) over \( S \) is a locally free sheaf of \( \mathcal{O}_S \)-modules of rank \( p|q \). That is, for each \( x \in |S| \) there exists an open set \( U_x \subset |S| \) such that \( \mathcal{V}(U) \cong \mathcal{O}_S(U)^{p|q} := \mathcal{O}_S(U) \otimes k^{p|q} \).

The stalk at a point \( x \in |S| \), \( \mathcal{V}_x \), is the \( \mathcal{O}_{S,x} \)-module \( \mathcal{V}_x := \mathcal{O}_{S,x} \otimes k^{p|q} \).

The fiber over the point \( x \) is the super vector space \( \mathcal{V}_x/(m_x \mathcal{V}_x) \cong k^{p|q} \), with \( m_x \) being the maximal ideal of \( \mathcal{O}_{S,x} \).

A super line bundle \( \mathcal{V} \) on \( S \) is a rank \( 1|0 \) super vector bundle over \( S \).

Super line bundles can also be very ample, and they are related with projective embeddings. It is instructive to understand the construction of the super line bundle in the simplest case, the projective superspace.

**Example 4.7.** Very ample super line bundle on the projective superspace. Going back to Example \([2,13]\), we consider \( \text{Proj}(A) \) with

\[
   A = k[x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_m; \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n].
\]

One just takes the graded \( A \)-module \( A(1) \), defined by shifting the degree \( A(1)^d = A^{d+1} \) and proceeds, by standard localization techniques, to construct the sheaf of modules over the scheme \( \text{Proj}(A) \). This is known as the twisting sheaf of Serre (see for example Ref. \([12]\)). A basis of the space of global sections is then the set of homogeneous coordinates \( \{x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_m; \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n\} \).
Let $G$ be a super Lie group (see Example 2.13), in the algebraic or differential setting. Let $V$ be a super vector space. A representation of $G$ on $V$ is a morphism of super Lie groups

$$G \to \chi \to \text{GL}(V).$$

We will denote as $\chi_c$ the contragradient representation on the dual space $V^*$. As in the non super case, one can construct representations of $G$ on the space of sections of a certain super vector bundle, induced from a finite dimensional representation of a subgroup. Let $P \subset G$ be a closed subgroup and let $G_0$ and $P_0$ be the reduced groups of $G$ and $P$. We assume that $G_0$ is connected.

We first describe the quotient superspace $G/P$ in terms of the sheaf of regular functions $G_0/P_0$. Let $\pi : G \to G/P$ and $\pi_0 : G_0 \to G_0/P_0$ be the canonical projections. Let $R_G$ be a sheaf obtained similarly to (33): if $\mu : G \times G \to G$ is the group multiplication (a map of sheafs), we can consider the composition

$$\mu_{G,P} : G \times P \xrightarrow{1 \times i} G \times G \xrightarrow{\mu} G$$

and, for an open set $V \subset G \times P$, the corresponding map of superalgebras

$$\mu^*_G : O_G (\mu^{-1}(V)) \to O_{G \times P}(V).$$

The quotient superspace is the topological space $G_0/P_0$ together with the sheaf

$$R_{G/P}(U) := \{ f \in O_G (\pi_0^{-1}(U)) \mid \mu^*_G f = f \},$$

where $U$ is an open set $U \subset G_0/P_0$.

Remember that for a supergroup, the functor of points is a group valued functor. One can define a functor acting on superschemes as $T \to G(T)/P(T)$. In general, it is not representable, but there exists always the sheafification of such functor which is so. The functor is then the functor of points of the superscheme defined in (36). The proof of this fact and further details can be found in Section 9.3 of Ref. [41].

We now consider the sheaf obtained by tensoring with the super vector space $V$:

$$\mathcal{A}(U) := O_G (\pi_0^{-1}(U)) \otimes V.$$  

---

9 There are equivalent ways of seeing a representation of a super Lie group: Especially important is the construction in terms of super Harish-Chandra modules [53], but this definition will be enough for our purposes.

10 Later on, $P$ will be a parabolic subgroup.
If $\chi$ is a representation of $P$ on $V$, we select the appropriate equivariant sections:

$$A_{\text{inv}}(U) = \{ f \in \mathcal{A}(U) \mid (\mu_{G,P}^* \otimes 1)f = (1 \otimes \chi^*)f \}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (37)

We have the following proposition [53]:

**Proposition 4.8.** Let $G$ and $P$ be as above. Then the sheaf $A_{\text{inv}}$ is a super vector bundle over $G/P$ with fiber $\mathbb{V}$.

\[\square\]

It is useful to write this in terms of the functor of points. Let $A$ be a superalgebra and let $g$ be an $A$-point of $G$ and $p$ an $A$-point of $P$, so they are morphisms from $\mathcal{O}(G)$ to $A$ (see Example 2.13). We identify $V$ with the affine space $k^{p|q}$, so let $v$ be an $A$-point of $V$ (see Example 2.12). We consider the set of global sections $\mathcal{O}(G)$. Let $f$ be an element of $\mathcal{O}(G) \otimes V$. It is an invariant element if

$$(gh \otimes v)(f) = (g \otimes \chi(h)^{-1}v)f.$$ \hspace{1cm} (38)

We now consider $G = \text{SL}(4|1)$ and the parabolic subgroups associated to the super Grasmannians $\text{Gr}_1, \text{Gr}_2$ and the superflag $F$. Then, $d_{12}$ is a character of both, $P_1$ and $P_u = P_1 \cap P_2$. As we are going to see, it is only for $P_1$ that the induced super line bundle is very ample. So, in complete analogy with the classical case, we construct over $\text{Gr}_1$ the associated bundles to $\text{SL}(4|1)$ by using the character $\chi(g) = d_{12}$ of $P_1$; we name it the bundle of antichiral superconformal densities. It is an interesting object due to the following

**Proposition 4.9.** The bundle of antichiral superconformal densities of weight one over $\text{Gr}_1$, that is, the line bundle

$${\text{SL}(4|1) \times}_{P_1} \mathbb{C}$$

defined by the character $d_{12}$ of $P_1$ is very ample.

**Proof.** It is enough to observe again that $\{d_{ij}, d_{55}, \delta_{5}\}$ are $P_1$-equivariant sections on $\text{SL}(4|1)$ and they can not all vanish at the same time. In terms of the functor of points, this amounts to a simple check of the condition (38), like in Proposition 4.5.  \[\square\]
We conclude this section with the following natural remark:

**Remark 4.10.** The very ample line bundle associated to the Plücker embedding of $\text{Gr}_2$ can be constructed out of the character $d_{12}$; we call it the *bundle of chiral superconformal densities*, and it is obviously very ample too. It is a natural task then to construct the very ample line bundle associated to the Segre embedding; we postpone this discussion to the next section where we will introduce the key concepts of classical and quantum sections, and we will use the intuition arising from the bundles of chiral and antichiral superconformal densities to characterize the coordinate ring of the superflag. The full answer is in Example 6.3.

\[
\square
\]

5 The quantum Grassmannians $\text{Gr}_{1,q}$ and $\text{Gr}_{2,q}$

We start with the definition of the quantum matrix superalgebra due to Manin [6].

We will denote $\mathbb{C}_q := \mathbb{C}[q, q^{-1}]$.

**Definition 5.1.** The quantum matrix superalgebra is given by

\[
M_q(m|n) = \mathbb{C}_q \langle a_{ij} \rangle / I_M, \quad i, j = 1, \ldots, n,
\]

where $\mathbb{C}_q \langle a_{ij} \rangle$ denotes the free algebra over $\mathbb{C}_q = \mathbb{C}[q, q^{-1}]$ generated by the homogeneous variables $a_{ij}$ and the ideal $I_M$ is generated by the relations [6]:

\[
a_{ij}a_{il} = (-1)^{\pi(a_{ij})}\pi(a_{il})q^{(-1)p(i)+1}a_{il}a_{ij}, \quad j < l
\]

\[
a_{ij}a_{kj} = (-1)^{\pi(a_{ij})}\pi(a_{kj})q^{(-1)p(j)+1}a_{kj}a_{ij}, \quad i < k
\]

\[
a_{ij}a_{kl} = (-1)^{\pi(a_{ij})}\pi(a_{kl})a_{kl}a_{ij}, \quad i < k, j > l \text{ or } i > k, j < l
\]

\[
a_{ij}a_{kl} - (-1)^{\pi(a_{ij})}\pi(a_{kl})a_{kl}a_{ij} = \eta(q^{-1} - q)a_{kl}a_{ij} \quad i < k, j < l
\]

where

\[
i, j, k, l = 1, \ldots, m + n, \quad \eta = (-1)^{p(k)p(l)+p(j)p(l)+p(k)p(j)},
\]

\[
p(i) = 0 \text{ if } 1 \leq i \leq m, \quad p(i) = 1 \text{ if } m + 1 \leq i \leq n + m \quad \text{and}
\]

\[
\pi(a_{ij}) = p(i) + p(j).
\]
It is usual to organize the generators $a_{ij}$ in matrix form as

$$M = (a_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} A_{m \times m} & \Lambda_{m \times n} \\ \Upsilon_{n \times m} & B_{n \times n} \end{pmatrix},$$

where the dimensions of the blocks are indicated. One says that a matrix like $M$ is a quantum supermatrix. $M_q(m|n)$ is a bialgebra with comultiplication and counit given by

$$\Delta(a_{ij}) = \sum_{k} a_{ik} \otimes a_{kj}, \quad \mathcal{E}(a_{ij}) = \delta_{ij}. \quad (39)$$

We observe that the comultiplication can be formally understood as matrix multiplication.

If $n = 0$ one reduces to the even case and the relations above are the ones of the standard quantum matrices $M_q(m)$. The standard quantum group $GL_q(m)$ is then obtained by inverting the determinant, that is,

$$GL_q(m) =_{\text{def}} M_q(m)\langle D^{-1} \rangle,$$

where $D^{-1}$ is an (even) indeterminate such that

$$DD^{-1} = 1 = D^{-1}D.$$

$GL_q(m)$ is a Hopf algebra with antipode given by:

$$S_e(A_{ij}) = (-q)^{i-j}\text{det}_q A(j,i) \text{det}_q A^{-1},$$

where $A(j,i)$ is the quantum matrix obtained from $A$ removing the $j$-th row and the $i$-th column and $\text{det}_q$ is the quantum determinant:

$$\text{det}_q A =_{\text{def}} \sum_{\sigma \in S_m} (-q)^{-l(\sigma)} a_{1\sigma(1)} \cdots a_{m\sigma(m)}.$$

**Definition 5.2.** The quantum general linear supergroup is defined as

$$GL_q(m|n) =_{\text{def}} M_q(m|n)\langle D_1^{-1}, D_2^{-1} \rangle,$$

where $D_1^{-1}$ and $D_2^{-1}$ are even indeterminates such that

$$D_1 D_1^{-1} = 1 = D_1^{-1} D_1, \quad D_2 D_2^{-1} = 1 = D_2^{-1} D_2.$$
and
\[ D_1 = \text{def} \ det_q A, \quad D_2 = \text{def} \ det_q B, \]
are the quantum determinants of the diagonal blocks.

\[ \text{GL}_q(m|n) \] is a Hopf algebra with the comultiplication and counit as in \( M_q(m|n) \) and the antipode \( S \) \[50\] is given (in matrix form) as follows:
\[ S(A) = S_e(A) + S_e(A) (\Lambda S_e(H) \Upsilon) S_e(A), \quad S(B) = S_e(H), \]  
\[ S(\Lambda) = -S_e(A) \Lambda S_e(H), \quad S(\Upsilon) = -S_e(H) \Upsilon S_e(A), \]  
\[ S(D_1^{-1}) = D_1, \quad S(D_2^{-1}) = D_2, \] \tag{40}

where \( H := B - \Upsilon S_e(A) \Lambda \).

One can compute the commutation relations for the entries of \( H \) and check that it is a quantum matrix (see Ref. \[50\], Section 4) thus \( S_e(H) \) is well defined.

Manin \[6\] also introduced the quantum berezinian (see also Refs. \[50, 51, 52\]), which is a central and group-like element
\[ \text{Ber}_q M = \text{def} \ det_q A \det_q (S_e(B - \Upsilon S_e(A) \Lambda)) . \]

The quantum special linear supergroup is defined as
\[ \text{SL}_q(m|n) = \text{def} \ \text{GL}_q(m|n)/\langle B_q - 1 \rangle . \]

In \( \text{SL}_q(m|n) \) (and \( \text{GL}_q(m|n) \) or \( M_q(m|n) \)) we can define an algebra-morphism, the supertranspose:
\[ \text{SL}_q(m|n) \xrightarrow{st} \text{SL}_q(m|n) \]
\[ a_{ij} \xrightarrow{st} \text{st}(a_{ij}) = (-1)^{(p(j)+1)p(i)} a_{ji} . \]

As one can readily check, the Manin relations and \( \langle B_q - 1 \rangle \) are invariant under \( st \). Then, the supertranspose is an algebra automorphism of \( \text{GL}_q(m|n) \) and \( \text{SL}_q(m|n) \). It is also immediate to check that with respect to the coalgebra structure, it is an antiautomorphism.

The following proposition, will turn out to be very important for us.

**Proposition 5.3.** Let \( S \) be the antipode in \( \text{GL}_q(m|n) \). The map \( S \circ st \) is a Hopf algebra isomorphism of the two quantum superalgebras \( \text{SL}_q(m|n) \rightarrow \text{SL}_{q^{-1}}(m|n) \) (where the latter is the same as \( \text{SL}_q(m|n) \), but with \( q \) replaced by \( q^{-1} \)).
Proof. The antipode is always an antiautomorphism of the Hopf algebra, so the composition $S \circ \text{st}$ is an algebra antiautomorphism and a coalgebra automorphism of $\text{SL}_q(m|n)$. The map

$$a_{ij} \to a_{ij}, \quad q \to q^{-1},$$

can be extended to an algebra-antiautomorphism. With respect to the coalgebra structure, it is an automorphism.

Then, $S \circ \text{st}$ can be seen as an isomorphism $\text{SL}_q(m|n) \to \text{SL}_{q^{-1}}(m|n)$.

We now go back to our special case, and we consider $\text{SL}_q(4|1)$. This is a quantum deformation of $\text{SL}(4|1)$, hence we call it the quantum conformal supergroup.

Different parabolic subgroups as $P_{1q}$, $P_{2q}$ and $P_{uq} = (P_1 \cap P_2)_q$ are defined as quotients of this superalgebra by the an ideal. Formally, this ideal is the same than in the classical case, that is, the ideal of the entries that are put to zero in (6).

The superflag $F$ can be realized both, as a suitable quotient of $\text{SL}(4|1)$ and as embedded the product of two super Grassmannians, $\text{Gr}_1 \times \text{Gr}_2$. The first point of view gives immediately the action of the conformal supergroup and the realization of $F$ as homogeneous superspace, while the second one allows to associate to $F$ the coordinate superalgebra of Theorem 3.5.

In Section 3 we have provided a detailed description of the coordinate superalgebras of $\text{Gr}_1$ and $\text{Gr}_2$. We now want to give a quantization of them. We will use them to obtain a quantum deformation of the superflag $F$.

The following definition is motivated by the fact (proven at the end of Section 3.1) that $\mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}_1]$ and $\mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}_2]$ can be seen as subalgebras of $\mathbb{C}[\text{SL}(4|1)]$.

**Definition 5.4.** Let the notation be as above. We define the quantum super Grassmannians $\text{Gr}_{1,q}$, $\text{Gr}_{2,q}$ as the following $\mathbb{Z}$-graded subalgebras defined inside $\text{SL}_q(4|1)$ (equivalently, inside $\text{GL}_q(4|1)$). The superalgebra $\text{Gr}_{1,q}$ is generated by the following quantum super minors:

$$D_{ij} = a_{i1}a_{j2} - q^{-1}a_{i2}a_{j1}, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq 4,$$

$$D_{i5} = a_{i1}a_{52} - q^{-1}a_{i2}a_{51}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 4,$$

$$D_{55} = a_{51}a_{52},$$
while \( \text{Gr}_{2,q} \) is generated by

\[
\begin{align*}
D_{ij}^* &= a^{i3} a^{j4} - qa^{i4} a^{j3}, & 1 \leq i < j \leq 4, \\
D_{i5}^* &= a^{i3} a^{54} - qa^{i4} a^{53}, & 1 \leq i \leq 4, \\
D_{55}^* &= a^{53} a^{54},
\end{align*}
\]

where we have written, as usual, \( a^{ij} = (S \circ \text{st})(a_{ij}) \).

\[\square\]

The following proposition gives the true meaning of these deformations.

**Proposition 5.5.** The generators of the subalgebra \( \text{Gr}_{1,q} \) satisfy the following relations, which provide a presentation:

- **Quantum super Plücker relations.**
  
  \[
  \begin{align*}
  D_{12} D_{34} - q^{-1} D_{13} D_{24} + q^{-2} D_{14} D_{23} &= 0, \\
  D_{ij} D_{k5} - q^{-1} D_{ik} D_{j5} + q^{-2} D_{i5} D_{jk} &= 0, & 1 \leq i < j < k \leq 4, \\
  D_{i5} D_{j5} &= q D_{ij} D_{55}, & 1 \leq i < j \leq 4.
  \end{align*}
  \]

- **Commutation relations.**
  
  - If \( i, j, k, l \) are not all distinct and \( D_{ij}, D_{kl} \) are not both odd, we have:
    \[
    D_{ij} D_{kl} = q^{-1} D_{kl} D_{ij}, \quad (i, j) < (k, l), \quad 1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq 5, \tag{41}
    \]
    where ‘\(<\)’ refers to the lexicographic ordering.
  
  - If \( i, j, k, l \) are all distinct and \( D_{ij}, D_{kl} \) are not both odd, we have:
    \[
    \begin{align*}
    D_{ij} D_{kl} &= q^{-2} D_{kl} D_{ij}, & 1 \leq i < j < k < l \leq 5, \\
    D_{ij} D_{kl} &= q^{-2} D_{kl} D_{ij} - (q^{-1} - q) D_{ik} D_{jl}, \quad 1 \leq i < k < j < l \leq 5, \\
    D_{ij} D_{kl} &= D_{kl} D_{ij}, \quad 1 \leq i < k < l < j \leq 5.
    \end{align*}
    \]
  
  - **Commutations with \( D_{55} \) or involving two odd elements:**
    \[
    \begin{align*}
    D_{ij} D_{55} &= q^{-2} D_{55} D_{ij}, \\
    D_{i5} D_{j5} &= -q^{-1} D_{j5} D_{i5} - (q^{-1} - q) D_{ij} D_{55} = -q D_{j5} D_{i5} \\
    D_{i5} D_{55} &= D_{55} D_{i5} = 0.
    \end{align*}
    \]
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The subalgebra $\text{Gr}_{2,q}$ admits the same presentation where $q$ is replaced by $q^{-1}$ and $D$ is replaced with $D^*$.

**Proof.** The claim about the presentation of $\text{Gr}_{1,q}$ is proved in Chapter 5 of Ref. [5], while the one about $\text{Gr}_{2,q}$ is an immediate consequence of Proposition 5.3.

As stated in Refs. [3, 5] one can prove that $\text{Gr}_{1,q}$ and $\text{Gr}_{2,q}$ are quantum homogeneous spaces for the quantum supergroup $\text{SL}_q(4\mid 1)$ (also for $\text{GL}_q(4\mid 1)$). This is the content of the next proposition:

**Proposition 5.6.** There is a well defined coaction of the quantum supergroups $\text{GL}_q(4\mid 1)$ and $\text{SL}_q(4\mid 1)$ on $\text{Gr}_{1,q}$ and $\text{Gr}_{2,q}$, obtained by restricting the comultiplication. On the generators, such coaction is given explicitly by the formulas

\[
\Delta(D_{ij}) = \sum D_{ij}^{kl} \otimes D_{kl}, \quad \Delta(D^*_{ij}) = \sum D_{ij}^{*kl} \otimes D^*_{kl}.
\]

**Proof.** Direct calculation, essentially the same as in Proposition 1.4 of Ref. [9].

At this point one may be tempted, in analogy with the ordinary setting (see Remark 3.6), to define the quantum superflag as the quantum subsuperalgebra of $\text{SL}_q(4\mid 1)$ generated by the elements $D_{ij}, D^*_{kl}$ defined above. Such definition would require us to compute the commutation relations of any pair $D_{ij}, D^*_{kl}$, in order to make sure that this subsuperalgebra is well defined. In other words, one has to prove that no other elements besides $D_{ij}$ and $D^*_{kl}$ appear actually in the commutation relations. Moreover, in order to give a presentation similar to Theorem 3.5 one would have to compute a generalization of the incidence relations (24). As Proposition 5.5 shows, these relations are highly non trivial to compute and for this reason we prefer to take another route.

We will define the quantum superflag via the notion of a *quantum section* [36] of the very ample super line bundle related to the projective embedding of the conformal superspace. This will allow us to give a characterization of the quantum coordinate ring.
6 The quantum section

The global sections of the super line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ are characterized by the equivariance condition (38). We can express it in pure Hopf algebraic terms. Since $\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{C}$ in our case, we identify $\mathcal{O}(G) \otimes \mathbb{V} \cong \mathcal{O}(G)$. Let $I(P)$ the ideal in $\mathcal{O}(G)$ defining $P$, so $\mathcal{O}(P) = \mathcal{O}(G)/I(P)$, and denote as $\pi : \mathcal{O}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(P)$ the canonical projection. Let $\Delta : \mathcal{O}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(G) \otimes \mathcal{O}(G)$ be the coproduct in $\mathcal{O}(G)$. Then we have $\mathcal{O}(G/P)_1 = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{O}(G) \mid (\mathbb{1} \otimes \pi)\Delta(f) = f \otimes S(\chi) \right\}$.

Let $t \in \mathcal{O}(G)$ such that $t = \pi(\chi)$. If $\mathcal{L}$ is very ample –it corresponds to a projective embedding– we have the following important result [36]:

**Proposition 6.1.** Let the notation be as above. Let the supervariety $G/P$ be embedded into some projective superspace via the line bundle $\mathcal{L}$. Let $\pi : \mathcal{O}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(P) = \mathcal{O}(G)/I(P)$ and $\Delta$ the coproduct in $\mathcal{O}(G)$ (formally the same as in (39)). Then, there exists an element $t \in \mathcal{O}(G)$, with $\pi(t) = \chi$, such that $\mathcal{O}(G/P)_1 = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{O}(G) \mid (\mathbb{1} \otimes \pi)\Delta(f) = f \otimes \pi(t) \right\}$, $\mathcal{O}(G/P)_n = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{O}(G) \mid (\mathbb{1} \otimes \pi)\Delta(f) = f \otimes \pi(t^n) \right\}$, $\mathcal{O}(G/P) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{O}(G)_n$, and $\mathcal{O}(G/P)$ is generated in degree 1, namely by $\mathcal{O}(G/P)_1$.

We call $t$ the classical section associated to the super line bundle $\mathcal{L}$. The following are the relevant examples.

**Example 6.2.** For the Grassmannians $\text{Gr}_1$ and $\text{Gr}_2$, it is a calculation to show that the first condition is satisfied for the elements $d_{12} \in \mathcal{O}($SL$(4|1))$ and $d_{12}^* \in \mathcal{O}($SL$(4|1))$. The remaining conditions rely on Proposition 4.9.

**Example 6.3.** For the superflag, having in mind the super Segre embedding, the natural guess for the classical section would be $t = d_{12}d_{12}^* \in \mathcal{O}($SL$(4|1))$. 
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This is in fact true: one can check that the coordinates of the super Segre embedding (see Section 3.3)
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  d_I d^*_K & d_{55} d^*_5 \\
  d_I d^*_{55} & d_{55} d^*_{55} \\
  \delta_{15} d^*_K & \delta_{15} d^*_{55}
\end{pmatrix},
\]

with \( d, d^*, \delta \) and \( \delta^* \) being the determinants defined at the end of Section 3.1 are \( t \)-equivariant sections. We have then achieved a description of the coordinate ring of the projective embedding of \( F \) in \( P^{64|56} \) as a (graded) subring of \( \mathcal{O}(\text{SL}(4|1)) \).

We are now ready to transfer to the quantum supergroup setting the notion of super line bundle and the equivalent and corresponding notion of super projective embedding (see Refs. [36] and [41] Ch. 10 for the ordinary setting).

We start with the definition of the quantum section. Let \( \mathcal{O}_q(G), \mathcal{O}_q(P) \) denote the quantizations of the superalgebras \( \mathcal{O}(G) \) and \( \mathcal{O}(P) \). Let \( I_q(P) \) the ideal in \( \mathcal{O}_q(G) \) such that

\[
\mathcal{O}_q(P) = \mathcal{O}_q(G)/I_q(P).
\]

We denote as \( \pi : \mathcal{O}_q(G) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_q(P) \) (no risk of confusion) the canonical projection.

**Definition 6.4.** Let \( \mathcal{L} \) be the super line bundle on \( G/P \) given by the classical section \( t \). A quantum section or quantization of \( t \) is an element \( d \in \mathcal{O}_q(G) \) such that

1. \( (1 \otimes \pi)\Delta(d) = d \otimes \pi(d) \).
2. \( t = d \mod (q-1)\mathcal{O}_q(G) \)

Since \( d \in \mathcal{O}_q(G) \) reduces to \( t \) when we specialize \( q = 1 \), and \( t \) contains all of the information to reconstruct the line bundle \( \mathcal{L} \), we may think of \( d \) as a quantum deformation of the line bundle \( \mathcal{L} \). Also, \( \mathcal{L} \) corresponds to an embedding of \( G/P \) into a projective superspace and consequently a \( \mathbb{Z} \)-graded superalgebra

\[
\mathcal{O}(G/P) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{O}(G/P)_n
\]
similar to the construction in (35). We now use the quantum section $d$ to translate it the quantum case.

**Definition 6.5.** Let $d$ be a quantum section of $\mathcal{L}$. We define

$$\mathcal{O}_q(G/P) := \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{O}_q(G/P)_n,$$

where

$$\mathcal{O}_q(G/P)_n := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{O}_q(G) \mid (\mathbb{I} \otimes \pi) \Delta(f) = f \otimes \pi(d^n) \right\}.$$

The next proposition is proven in Ref [36] and it shows the importance of quantum sections. We recall here that the superalgebra $\mathcal{O}_q(G/P)$ is seen as a subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$, as in Definition 5.4.

**Theorem 6.6.** Let $d$ be a quantum section on $G/P$. Then we have:

1. For all $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\mathcal{O}_q(G/P)_r \cdot \mathcal{O}_q(G/P)_s \subseteq \mathcal{O}_q(G/P)_{r+s}.$$

Furthermore,

$$\mathcal{O}_q(G/P) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{O}_q(G/P)_n \subset \mathcal{O}_q(G).$$

2. The grading in (1) is compatible with the quantum homogeneous space structure, that is, $\mathcal{O}_q(G/P)$ is a graded $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$-comodule algebra, via the restriction of the comultiplication $\Delta$ in $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$, where we take on $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$ the trivial grading:

$$\Delta|_{\mathcal{O}_q(G/P)} : \mathcal{O}_q(G/P) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_q(G) \otimes \mathcal{O}_q(G/P)$$

3. For every $c \in k_q$, we have $\mathcal{O}_q(G/P) \cap c \mathcal{O}_q(G) = c \mathcal{O}_q(G/P)$. In particular,

$$\mathcal{O}_q(G/P) \cap (q-1) \mathcal{O}_q(G) = (q-1) \mathcal{O}_q(G/P).$$

Hence $\mathcal{O}_q(G/P)$ is a projective homogeneous quantum supervariety for the coaction of the quantum supergroup $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$. 
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7 The quantum superflag.

We now take $G = \text{SL}(4|1)$ and $P = P_u$ the upper parabolic subgroup of $F$:

$$P_u(A) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} P & Q & \nu \\ 0 & R & 0 \\ 0 & \beta & s \end{pmatrix} \right\} \subset \text{SL}(4|1)(A),$$

We intend to give the quantum deformation of the conformal superspace through a quantum section. The superflag $F$ is seen inside the product of the super Grassmanians, while its projective embedding is realized by means of the super Segre map. We already observed in the Example 6.2 that the Plücker embeddings for $\text{Gr}_1$ and $\text{Gr}_2$ are related to the classical sections $d_{12}$ and $d_{12}^*$ respectively. For the flag, one has to consider the classical section $t = d_{12}d_{12}^*$. We want now to construct a quantum section $d$ which reduces modulo $q - 1$ to $t$.

We define as before:

$$D_{12} = a_{11}a_{22} - q^{-1}a_{12}a_{21}, \quad D_{12}^* = a^{13}a^{24} - qa^{23}a^{14}.$$  

The next statements are the main results of this section and give a quantization of the conformal superspace.

**Proposition 7.1.** The element $d = D_{12}D_{12}^* \in \text{SL}_q(4|1)$ is a quantum section, with respect to the super line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on $\text{SL}(4|1)/P_u$ given by $t = d_{12}d_{12}^*$.

**Proof.** By Prop. 1.4 in Ref. [9], we have that:

$$\Delta(D_{12}) = \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq 5} D_{12}^{kl} \otimes D_{kl}.$$  

Hence, since $\pi(D_{kl}) = 0$, unless $(k, l) = (1, 2)$, we have:

$$(1 \otimes \pi)\Delta(D_{12}) = D_{12} \otimes \pi(D_{12}).$$

By 5.3 (see also 52 Sec. 2) we also have that

$$\Delta(a^{ij}) = \sum a^{ik} \otimes a^{kj}$$

hence, repeating a calculation similar to the one in Prop. 1.4 [9] one obtains:

$$\Delta(D_{12}^*) = \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq 5} D_{12}^{*kl} \otimes D_{kl}^*.$$
Since, as above, \( \pi(D_{kl}^*) = 0 \), unless \((k, l) = (1, 2)\), we have

\[
(\mathbb{1} \otimes \pi)\Delta(D^*_{12}) = D^*_{12} \otimes \pi(D_{12}^*),
\]

Since \( \Delta \) is multiplicative, i.e. \( \Delta(D_{12}D^*_{12}) = \Delta(D_{12})\Delta(D^*_{12}) \), we have our result.

\[\square\]

**Corollary 7.2.** The \( \mathbb{Z} \)-graded subalgebra

\[C_q := \mathcal{O}_q(G/P) \subset SL_q(4|1), \quad G = SL(4|1), \quad P = P_u\]

defined by the quantum section \( d = D_{12}D_{12}^* \) is a quantum deformation of the graded subalgebra of \( SL_q(4|1) \) obtained via the classical section \( t = d_{12}d_{12}^* \).

Furthermore \( C_q \) has a natural coaction of the supergroup \( SL_q(4|1) \). Therefore it is a quantum homogeneous superspace.

**Proof.** Immediate from Props. 7.1 and 6.6. \[\square\]

We can then call \( C_q \) the quantum conformal superspace, because it is a quantum deformation of \( \mathcal{O}_q(SL(4|1)/P_u) \), the graded algebra of the conformal superspace, with respect to the Segre embedding discussed above.
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A Incidence relations

\[-d_{12}d^*_2 - d_{13}d^*_3 - d_{14}d^*_4 - \delta_{15}\delta^*_{15} = 0,\]
\[-d_{13}d^*_3 - d_{14}d^*_4 - \delta_{15}\delta^*_{25} = 0,\]
\[-d_{23}d^*_13 - d_{24}d^*_14 - \delta_{25}\delta^*_{15} = 0,\]
\[-d_{12}d^*_23 - d_{14}d^*_34 - \delta_{15}\delta^*_{35} = 0,\]
\[-d_{23}d^*_12 - d_{34}d^*_14 - \delta_{35}\delta^*_{15} = 0,\]
\[-d_{12}d^*_24 + d_{13}d^*_34 - \delta_{15}\delta^*_{45} = 0,\]
\[-d_{15}\delta^*_{25} + d_{13}\delta^*_{35} + d_{14}\delta^*_{45} + \delta_{15}d^*_55 = 0,\]
\[-d_{12}d^*_12 + d_{23}d^*_23 + d_{24}d^*_24 + \delta_{25}\delta^*_{25} = 0,\]
\[-d_{12}d^*_13 + d_{24}d^*_34 + \delta_{25}\delta^*_{35} = 0,\]
\[-d_{13}d^*_12 + d_{34}d^*_24 + \delta_{35}\delta^*_{25} = 0,\]
\[-d_{14}d^*_24 + d_{23}d^*_34 - \delta_{25}\delta^*_{45} = 0,\]
\[-d_{12}\delta^*_{15} + d_{23}\delta^*_{35} + d_{24}\delta^*_{45} + \delta_{25}d^*_55 = 0,\]
\[-d_{15}\delta^*_{12} + \delta_{35}\delta^*_{23} + \delta_{45}\delta^*_{24} - d_{55}\delta^*_{25} = 0,\]
\[-d_{13}d^*_13 + d_{23}d^*_23 + d_{34}d^*_34 + \delta_{35}\delta^*_{35} = 0,\]
\[-d_{13}d^*_14 - d_{23}d^*_24 - \delta_{35}\delta^*_{45} = 0,\]
\[-d_{14}d^*_13 - d_{24}d^*_23 - \delta_{45}\delta^*_{35} = 0,\]
\[-d_{13}\delta^*_{15} - d_{23}\delta^*_{25} + d_{34}\delta^*_{45} - \delta_{35}d^*_55 = 0,\]
\[-d_{15}\delta^*_{13} - \delta_{25}\delta^*_{23} + \delta_{45}\delta^*_{34} - d_{55}\delta^*_{35} = 0,\]
\[-d_{14}\delta^*_{15} - d_{24}\delta^*_{25} - d_{34}\delta^*_{35} + \delta_{45}d^*_55 = 0,\]
\[-d_{15}\delta^*_{14} - \delta_{25}\delta^*_{24} - \delta_{35}\delta^*_{34} + d_{55}d^*_44 = 0,\]
\[-d_{15}\delta^*_{15} - \delta_{25}\delta^*_{25} - \delta_{35}\delta^*_{35} - \delta_{45}\delta^*_{15} + d_{55}d^*_55 = 0,\]
\[-d_{24}d^*_12 - d_{34}d^*_13 - \delta_{45}\delta^*_{15} = 0,\]
\[-d_{25}d^*_12 + \delta_{35}d^*_13 + \delta_{45}d^*_14 - d_{55}\delta^*_{15} = 0,\]
\[-d_{14}d^*_12 + d_{34}d^*_23 - \delta_{45}\delta^*_{25} = 0,\]
\[-d_{14}d^*_14 + d_{24}d^*_24 + d_{34}d^*_34 - \delta_{35}\delta^*_{45} = 0.\]

B The super Segre map is an embedding

We want to prove that the super Segre map (25) is an embedding. We will proceed by using the even rules principle by Deligne and Morgan (39).
Theorem B.1. Even rules principle. Let \( \{V_i\}_{i \in I} \), \( I = 1, \ldots, n \) be a family of super vector spaces, \( V \) another super vector space and \( A = A_0 \oplus A_1 \) a commutative superalgebra. We denote \( V_{i0}(A) = (A \otimes V)_0 \) and \( V_0(A) = (A \otimes V)_0 \).

Any family of \( A_0 \)-multilinear maps
\[
V_{10}(A) \times \cdots \times V_{n0}(A) \xrightarrow{f_A} V_0(A)
\]
which is functorial in \( A \) comes from a unique morphism
\[
V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_n \xrightarrow{f} V,
\]
that is,
\[
f_A(b_1 \otimes v_1, b_2 \otimes v_2, \ldots, b_n \otimes v_n) = (-1)^p b_1 \cdots b_n f(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n),
\]
where \( p \) is the number of pairs \((i,j)\) with \( i < j \) and \( v_i, v_j \) odd.

\[\square\]

Let \( A \) be a local superalgebra. We consider the super vector spaces \( \mathbb{C}^{n+1|r} \), \( \mathbb{C}^{d+1|s} \) and its tensor product \( \mathbb{C}^{M+1|N} \) with \( M + 1 = (n+1)(d+1) + rs \), \( N = (n+1)s + (d+1)r \). We recall the notation \( A^{p|q} = A \otimes \mathbb{C}^{p|q} \) and we will also denote
\[
(x, \alpha) := (x_0, \ldots, x_n | \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1|r},
\]
\[
(y, \beta) := (y_0, \ldots, y_d | \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_s) \in \mathbb{C}^{d+1|s}.
\]

For shortness, if there is no possibility of confusion, we will denote
\[
a \otimes x := \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i \times x_i, \quad \theta \otimes \alpha := \sum_{a=1}^{r} \theta_a \otimes \alpha_a \quad a_i \in A_0, \: \theta_a \in A_1,
\]
\[
b \otimes y := \sum_{i=0}^{n} b_i \otimes y_i, \quad \xi \otimes \beta := \sum_{a=1}^{r} \xi_a \otimes \beta_a \quad b_i \in A_0, \: \xi_a \in A_1.
\]

There is a family of \( A_0 \)-bilinear maps
\[
A_0^{n+1|r} \times A_0^{d+1|s} \xrightarrow{f_A} A_0^{M+1|N}
\]
\[
(a \otimes x + \theta \otimes \alpha, b \otimes y + \xi \otimes \beta) \quad \mapsto \quad ab \otimes (x \otimes y) - \theta \xi \otimes (\alpha \otimes \beta)
\]
\[
+ b \theta \otimes (\alpha \otimes y) + a \xi \otimes (x \otimes \beta),
\]
\[56\]
which is functorial in $A$. According to the even rules principle, there is a unique morphism

$$\mathbb{C}^{n+1|r} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d+1|s} \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{C}^{M+1|N}$$

which, in this case, is just the identity, $f = \mathbb{I}$. Although trivial, this morphism will help us to keep track of the signs.

In terms of the canonical basis of $\mathbb{C}^{n+1|r}$, $\mathbb{C}^{d+1|s}$ and its tensor product, we can represent an element of $A^{M+1|N}$ as a supermatrix with entries in $A$:

$$M_A = \begin{pmatrix} Z_A & \Lambda_A \\ \Gamma_A & T_A \end{pmatrix},$$

(44)

where $Z_A$ is an $(n+1) \times (d+1)$ block, $T_A$ is an $r \times s$ block, $\Lambda_A$ is an $(n+1) \times s$ block, $\Gamma_A$ is an $r \times (d+1)$ block. The supermatrix is in $A^{M+1|N}_0$ if the blocks $Z_A$ and $T_A$ have entries in $A_0$ and the blocks $\Lambda_A$ and $\Gamma_A$ have entries in $A_1$.

Let us denote the $2 \times 2$ minor of this matrix, with rows $(k, l)$ and columns $(i, j)$, as $d^{ij}_{kl}(M)$. The result is an element of $A$ with definite parity, 0 or 1. For each choice of $(i, j), (k, l)$ we can define a family of $A_0$-bilinear maps

$$A^{M+1|N}_0 \to A^{0,1},$$

$$M_A \mapsto d^{ij}_{kl}(M_A)$$

which are also functorial in $A$. If the target is $A_0$, we have that $A_0 = (A \otimes \mathbb{C}^{1|0})_0$ and if the target is $A_1$ we have that $A_1 = (A \otimes \mathbb{C}^{0|1})_0$. Besides this remark, the argument does not change. The construction is functorial in $A$ and composing it with $f_A$ in (43) we have families of maps

$$A^{n+1|r}_0 \times A^{d+1|s}_0 \xrightarrow{d^{ij}_{kl} \circ f_A} A^{0,1}_0,$$

and applying the even rules principle they define morphisms among the super vector spaces

$$\mathbb{C}^{n+1|r} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d+1|s} \xrightarrow{d^{ij}_{kl} \circ f} \mathbb{C}^{1|0}, \mathbb{C}^{0|1}.$$

Let us now consider again two elements $a \otimes x + \theta \otimes \alpha$ and $b \otimes y + \xi \otimes \beta$. We write the image under $f_A$ in matrix form

$$\begin{pmatrix} ab \otimes (x \otimes y) & b\theta \otimes (\alpha \otimes y) \\ a\xi \otimes (x \otimes \beta) & -\theta\xi \otimes (\alpha \otimes \beta) \end{pmatrix}.$$  

(45)
It is easy now to check that this matrix is in the kernel of \( d_{klA}^{ij} \). On the other hand, since we are dealing with local algebras and ordinary \( \tilde{A}_0 \)-modules, the condition
\[
d_{klA}^{ij}(M_A) = 0. \tag{46}
\]
is, as for vector spaces, equivalent to say that the matrix \( M \) is decomposable as \( (15) \), so we have identified the image of the map \( f_A \) as the solution to the polynomial equations \( (46) \). This condition can be translated into the super vector space morphism, were we get ride of the auxiliary variables in \( A \). We dispose the generators of \( \mathbb{C}^{N+1|M} \) (as an affine superspace) in matrix form similarly to \( (14) \). We have that the image of the super Segre map is given by the homogeneous polynomials
\[
d_{kl}^{ij}(M) = 0, \quad M = \begin{pmatrix} Z & \Lambda \\ \Gamma & T \end{pmatrix}. \tag{47}
\]

Since the polynomials are homogeneous, they are indeed constraints in projective space.

**Remark B.2.** Notice that the equations \( (47) \) are determinants only for the upper left block. In all the other cases they pick up signs. The auxiliary variables in \( A \) help us to keep track of these signs.

Once the relations \( (17) \) are given, they provide us with a supergraded ring \( \mathbb{C}[Z, \Lambda, \Gamma, T]/d_{km}^{ij} \) defining a projective superscheme in \( \mathbb{P}^{N|M} \). This superscheme is identified via the morphism with \( \mathbb{P}^{n|r} \times \mathbb{P}^{d|s} \), as one can readily check on the standard covering.
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