INTRODUCTION

Medically inappropriate, ineffective, non-economical use of medicines is commonly observed in healthcare system around the world and the prevalence is high in developing countries [1]. Inappropriate prescription not only increases the cost of medical treatment but also increases the morbidity and mortality. Drug utilisation research was defined by WHO as the marketing, distribution, prescription and use of drugs in a society with special emphasis on the resulting medical, social and economic consequences [2]. Periodic evaluation of drug utilisation studies needs to be done to enable prescription of suitable medications and thus to improve the therapeutic benefits with reduction of adverse effects. Studies on the prescribing pattern are powerful exploratory tools to ascertain the role of drugs in society. However, the processes need to be monitored timely to suggest and implement necessary alterations or modifications in current practices [3], but there is a paucity of such studies at national and international level [4]. The people in the third world spends 30-40% of their total health budget on drugs many of which are prescribed irrationally. These countries double their expenditure on drugs every 4 y while Gross National Product (GNP) doubles in every 16 y [5]. Also, the world health organisation reports that more than half of all medicines are prescribed, dispensed or sold inappropriately, and half of the patients fail to take them correctly [6].

Antibiotics have effectively prolonged the life expectancy and are currently the most commonly prescribed drugs in hospitals worldwide [7]. However, studies indicated that antibiotics are among the major group of drugs which cause ADRs [8]. Bangladesh has made substantial progress in drug manufacturing since the promulgation of ‘Drug Control Ordinance 1982’ but irrational use, inappropriate prescribing and unjustified self-medication of antibiotics have increased the risk of adverse drug reactions (ADRs), the emergence of drug resistance and a leading cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide. The study was designed to analyse prescription patterns and antibiotic use among outpatients in a tertiary care teaching hospital in Bangladesh.

METHODS

This prospective survey was conducted among the out-patients in a district hospital. The prescribed drugs were classified according to Anatomical and Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) classification system. Patient characteristics and drug data were recorded. The prescription pattern was analysed using general drug use indicators according to World Health Organisation (WHO).

RESULTS

A total of 405 prescriptions were analyzed of which 54% of child and 46% of adult prescriptions. The age and body weight of the patients were not mentioned in 30% of child and 62% of adult prescriptions and none of the prescriptions included sex of the patients. Physician’s handwriting was not clear and legible in 31% prescriptions. A total 1362 drugs were used in this study with an average 3.36 drugs per prescription. However, none of the drugs was prescribed in generic name. Children were highly exposed to antibiotics (66%) than to adults (44%) of which cephalosporin’s (30%) and macrolides (14%) were commonly used. Interestingly, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) were also highly accounted in children (53%) than to adults (36%).

CONCLUSION

Our results suggested that the prescription information was incomplete and physicians did not follow the standard guideline for drug prescription resulting in polypharmacy and indiscriminate use of antimicrobials irrespective to the age of patients.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design and data collection

This prospective cross-sectional study was conducted to the outpatients visiting physicians in hospitals in Bogra district situated in the north-west of Bangladesh. Prescriptions were randomly collected from patients over a period of three months from January to March’ 2014. The physician was categorised into three groups.
group-1, a physician having FCPS/Ph. D/MD and other postgraduate degrees, group-2 physician having PGT/EMOC and others diploma, group-3, a physician having MBBS degree only. The average number of patients visiting each physician per day was about 25-30. For this purpose, a self-designed standard questionnaire was developed by the principal investigator. The questionnaire contained demographic variables and drug data of the patients. All the information was recorded from the prescriptions and interviewing the patients according to the standard data collection chart.

Statistical analysis

The prescriptions were considered perfect when all information from the patient regarding the disease and medication were completely recorded. The name of patient and prescribing doctors were kept confidential throughout the study. The prescribed drugs were classified according to WHO-ATC classification system. Patient characteristics and drug data were calculated and analyzed using Microsoft Excel sheet and statistical software SPSS version15. The results were expressed as a number, mean and percentages (%). The drug utilisation patterns were evaluated according to the WHO core indicators [18] and are given below:

1. Average number of medicines prescribed per patient
2. % of drugs prescribed by generic name
3. % of encounters with an antibiotic prescribed
4. % of encounters with anti-inflammatory drugs prescribed
5. % of encounters with drugs for acid-related disorder
6. Diagnosis for the disease

Besides these, age and sex distribution, the weight of patient, physician handwriting, most commonly prescribed drugs and types of antibiotics used were also taken into consideration.

RESULTS

Our present study indicated that a total of 405 patient’s prescriptions were reviewed of which 219 (54%) were from child and 186 (46%) from adults. A prescription from a group-1 physician was 162 (40%), the group-2 physician was 141 (35%), and the group-3 physician was 102 (25%). Among the patients male and female were 207 (51%) and 198 (49%) respectively. Child patient’s age ranges from 1 month-12 y whereas adult age ranges from 12-65 y (table 1).

Table 1: Demographic characteristics of patients

| Variables           | Characteristics | Frequency | Percentage (%) |
|---------------------|-----------------|-----------|----------------|
| Type of patient     | Children        | 219       | 54             |
|                     | Adult           | 186       | 46             |
| Type of physician   | Group 1         | 162       | 40             |
|                     | Group 2         | 141       | 35             |
|                     | Group 3         | 102       | 25             |
| Gender              | Male            | 207       | 51             |
|                     | Female          | 198       | 49             |
| Age                 | Children        | 1-12 y    |                |
|                     | Adult           | 12-65 y   |                |

According to the study, physician’s handwriting was not clear and legible in 126 (31%) prescriptions. None of the drugs was prescribed by generic name rather by proprietary (brand) name and sex of the patients was not included in any of the prescriptions. It was also found that the age and body weight of the patients was not mentioned in 30% and 62% of prescriptions respectively. Although in 60% prescriptions chief complaints were mentioned, 39% prescriptions were assigned for the diagnostic test and only 52% patient’s disease conditions were confirmed.

Table 2: Child and adult patients exposed to different number of drug(s) prescribed by general practitioner

| Drugs/ Patient | Child patients (N=219) | Adult patients (N=186) | Total (N=405) |
|----------------|------------------------|------------------------|---------------|
|                | No. (%) of prescriptions | No. (%) of prescriptions | No. (%) of prescription |
| 0              | 3 (1.36)                | 0                      | 3 (0.74)      |
| 1              | 12 (5.47)               | 3 (1.6)                | 15 (3.7)      |
| 2              | 48 (22)                 | 33 (17.7)              | 81 (20)       |
| 3              | 84 (38.35)              | 51 (27.4)              | 135 (33.33)   |
| 4              | 54 (25)                 | 66 (35.5)              | 120 (29.63)   |
| 5              | 15 (7)                  | 9 (4.8)                | 24 (5.93)     |
| 6              | 0                      | 15 (8.1)               | 15 (3.7)      |
| 7              | 3 (1.36)                | 6 (3.2)                | 9 (2.22)      |
| 8              | 0                      | 0                      | 0             |
| 9              | 0                      | 3 (1.6)                | 3 (0.74)      |
| Total          | 219                    | 186                    | 405           |
| Range          | 1-7                    | 1-9                    |               |
| Average        | 3.07                   | 3.71                   | 3.36          |

Our results demonstrated that a total of 1362 drugs were prescribed in 171 (42%) prescriptions of which 24 prescriptions contain 5 drugs, 15 prescriptions contain 6 drugs, and 9 prescriptions contain 7 drugs. The highest number of drugs 9 was prescribed in 3 adult
More than 93% of the child patients were exposed to multiple drugs among which 3 and 4 drugs were prescribed in about 38% and 25% of prescriptions. In the case of adult patients, more than 98% of the patients were exposed to multiple drugs of which 3 and 4 drugs were prescribed in about 27% and 35% prescriptions respectively (table 2).

Table 3 shows the type of drugs used in children and adult prescriptions. The most commonly prescribed drugs were antibiotics 219 (54%) followed by NSAIDS 183 (45%), anti-

Table 3: Type of drugs used in children and adult prescriptions

| Drugs         | Child patients (N=219) | Adult patients (N=186) | Total (N=405) |
|---------------|------------------------|------------------------|---------------|
|               | No. (%) of prescriptions | No. (%) of prescriptions | No. (%) of prescription |
| Antibiotics   | 144 (66)               | 75 (40)                | 219 (54)      |
| NSAIDs        | 117 (53)               | 66 (36)                | 183 (45)      |
| Antihistamine | 72 (33)                | 30 (16)                | 102 (26)      |
| Antiasthmatic/Bronechodilator | 105 (48) | 15 (8) | 120 (30) |
| Vitamin/Minerals | 63 (29)       | 51 (27)                | 114 (28)      |
| Steroids      | 45 (21)                | 9 (5)                  | 54 (13)       |
| Anti-emetic   | 18 (8)                 | 30 (16)                | 48 (12)       |
| Ant ulcerative| 18 (8)                 | 87 (47)                | 105 (26)      |
| Antihelmintic | 15 (7)                 | 0                      | 15 (4)        |
| Antipsychotic | 0                     | 0                      | 0             |
| Sedative      | 0                     | 0                      | 0             |

As shown in table 4 in children and adult the antibiotics prescribed were 66% and 40% respectively. The highest antibiotics prescribed to child patients was cephalosporins 66 (30%) followed by macrolides 30 (14%), quinolones 15 (7%), sulphonamides 18 (8%) and penicillins 15 (7%) whereas adults received quinolones mostly 27 (15%) followed by penicillin 18 (10%), cephalosporin 15 (8%) and macrolides 12 (7%). It was to be noted that the use of single antibiotics was predominant (52.59%) with only a few combinations (1.48%).

Table 4: Patients exposed to different types of antibiotic prescribed

| Group of physician | Antibiotics prescribed in children | Antibiotics prescribed in adult |
|--------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
|                    | P* C* M* Q* S* Total             | P* C* M* Q* S* Total |
| Group 1            | 3 33 9 6 60                    | 6 3 0 6 0 15         |
| Group 2            | 3 18 3 16 12 54                | 6 3 12 18 3 42       |
| Group 3            | 15 66 3 0 30                  | 15 12 27 18 3 75     |
| Total              | 15 66 3 0 30                  | 15 12 27 18 3 75     |

where P=penicillin, C=cephalosporin, M=macrolide, Q=quinolone, S=sulphonamide, T=tetracycline

DISCUSSION

A prescription by a physician may be taken as a reflection of his attitude towards the disease condition and the role of drugs in its treatment [19]. The number of drugs per prescription is an important parameter in prescription auditing. According to WHO, the average number of drugs per prescription should be 2.0 [20]. In our survey, the average number of drugs prescribed was 3.36, and it was higher than that reported in studies conducted in government set up across India, 3.03 in Delhi [21] and 2.0 in North Goa [22]. However, a recent study reported higher rate 4.89 drugs per prescription in a government hospital in Bangladesh [23] and our data represented although not ideal but better than that reported earlier.

Irrespective to the age of patient more than 70% prescriptions contained 3 or more drugs indicating the prescribing behaviour of the physicians. This may be due to the fact that treatment based on symptoms rather than the diagnostic tests. It is preferable to keep the mean number of drugs per prescription as low as possible, since poly-pharmacy can lead to increased risk of ADRs, development of bacterial resistance and increased the cost of therapy [24, 25]. Our data also revealed that none of the drugs was prescribed by generic name rather in the brand name which may be due to the vigorous promotional strategies by pharmaceutical companies that are undermining some of the goals of essential drug list concept. On the contrary, generic prescriptions may reduce overall expenditure on drugs, especially on newer antibiotics. So, we suggested that the practice of brand-name prescribing should be discouraged in Bangladesh as the use of generics is a cheaper alternative (table 5).

Table 5: Assessment of WHO core drug use indicators

| Characteristics                        | Value |
|----------------------------------------|-------|
| Total number of prescriptions           | 405   |
| Average number of drugs per prescription| 3.36  |
| % of drugs prescribed by generic name  | 0     |
| % of diagnosis for the disease          | 52%   |
| % of prescriptions containing antimicrobial agents | 219 (54%) |
| % of prescriptions with anti-inflammatory drugs | 183 (45%) |
| % of prescriptions with drugs for acid-related disorder | 105 (26%) |

According to WHO, in developing countries, 15-25% of prescriptions with antibiotics are expected where infectious diseases are prevalent [26]. Previous studies reported that the use of antibiotic was 78% in Bangladesh whereas it varied from 40-80% in India [23, 27]. In this study, the percentage of prescriptions with antibiotics was 54% which is less but do not comply WHO standard. In addition, it was found that the rural medical practitioners in Bangladesh prescribed 60% of antibiotics based on symptoms alone [28]. Our data showed that physicians are invariably prescribed antibiotic although 48% prescriptions had no advice for culture test which was not in accordance with the current guidelines [29]. In this study, antibiotics were the major drug class prescribed in children of which cephalosporin accounted 20% of total antibiotic use. It was high as compared to the study conducted in Nepal and Turkey but lower than that of India [30-32]. In Bangladesh, all the antibiotics were
prescribed on the basis of patient’s complaints and also the antibiotics were used in inappropriate doses and durations particularly among the children under-five [33, 34]. High proportions of prescriptions of antibiotic by the physicians might be appeared to be lack of confidence or misuse of microbiological laboratory services and absence of policies on antimicrobial use and above all poor consulting period. The physician also prescribed NSAID, anti-ulcerative, vitamins and minerals widely seems to be unrelated to clinical conditions and symptoms.

Despite great effort our study has some limitations including the data collected over a period of only three months, the sample size was small and seasonal variation in illness was not taken into consideration as because they might have affected disease patterns and antibiotic use. Finally, the findings obtained cannot be generalised to the whole people of Bangladesh rather a representative one. This data can provide the justification and direction for future studies examining trends in disease occurrence, prescription patterns and therapeutic strategies for selected populations.

CONCLUSION

Our results demonstrated that the prescription information was incomplete. The prescribing practices and drug use patterns were found to be irrational. In reality, there is no legislation exists in the country for assessing the competence of prescribing by medical practitioners. This study urges the physician to be more professional and careful during prescribing the drugs and effective strategies should be taken by the government of Bangladesh to support the judicious use of drugs. However, this study may be considered as an effort to improve the quality of service of health care system in Bangladesh.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interests

REFERENCES

1. Melrose D. Double’ deprivation public and private drug distribution from the perspective of the third world’s poor. World Dev 1983;11:181-6.
2. WHO. Introduction to Drug Utilization Research; 2003. p. 1–48.
3. Dinesh KG, Padmasani L, Vasanth J, Veera RB, Sudhakar P, Uma MR. The official journal of association of pharmaceutical teachers of India. Indian J Pharm Pract 2011;4:85-9.
4. Bapna JS, Shewade DG, Pradhan SC. Training medical professionals on the concepts of essential drugs and rational drug use. Br J Clin Pharmacol 1994;37:399-400.
5. Mohanty BK, Achariini M, Hacamat AA, Patil SS, Murty KSN, Jena SK. The prescription pattern in the department of medicine of a tertiary care hospital in Rajamundry, India. J Clin Diag Res 2010;4:2047-51.
6. WHO. World Health Organization medicine strategy; 2008-2013.
7. Faryna A, Wergowske GL, Goldenberg K. Impact of therapeutic guidelines on antibiotic use by residents in primary care clinics. J General Internal Med 1987;2:102-7.
8. Padmaja U, Adhikari P, Pereira P. A prospective analysis of adverse drug reactions in a South Indian hospital. Online J Health Allied Sci 2009;8:12.
9. Bangladesh (National Encyclopedia of Bangladesh). Rational Use of Drugs Multimedia. 1st Edition. Asiatic Society of Bangladesh, Dhaka; 2004.
10. Hossain MM, Glass RI, Khan MR. Antibiotic use in a rural community in Bangladesh. Int J Epidemiol 1982;11:402-5.
11. Gustafsson LL, Wide K. Marketing of obsolete antibiotics in central America. Lancet 1981;1:31-3.
12. Ratanawijitasin S. Drug users in Thailand: identifying problems and developing strategies for rational use of drugs. INRUD News 1999;6:21.
13. Kunim CM, Lipston HL, Tupasi T, Sacks T, Scheckler WE, Jivani A, et al. Social, behavioural and political factors affecting antimicrobial use worldwide. Rev Infect Dis 1987;9:270-85.
14. Fedorak RAA, Flamml R, Osato M, Stamler D. Antibacterial susceptibility of H. pylori in Canada to three key antibiotics: metronidazole, clarithromycin, and amoxicillin. Gastroenterology 1997;112:115.
15. Nahar S, Mukhopadhyay AK, Khan R, Ahmad MM, Datta S, Chattopadhyay S, et al. Antimicrobial susceptibility of Helicobacter pylori strains isolated in Bangladesh. J Clin Microbiol 2004;42:4506-8.
16. Tognoni G, Laporte JR. From clinical trials to drug utilisation studies. In: Drug utilisation studies Methods and uses. Dukes MNG. ed. Copenhagen, WHO regional office for Europe; 1993. p. 23-41.
17. Ali HM. Problems in assessing the rationality of drug utilisation in less developed countries. Acta Med Scand 1986;721 Suppl 1:27-30.
18. Biswas NR, Jindal S, Siddiqui MM, Maini R. Patterns of prescription and drug use in ophthalmology in a tertiary hospital in Delhi. Br J Clin Pharmacol 2001;51:267-9.
19. Laporte JR. Towards a healthy use of pharmaceuticals. Deployment Dialogue 1995;2:40-55.
20. Gross F. Drug utilisation therapy and practice: The present situation in the Federal Republic of Germany. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 1981;19:387-94.
21. Biswas NR, Jindal S, Siddiqui MM, Maini R. Patterns of prescription and drug use in ophthalmology in a tertiary hospital in Delhi. Br J Clin Pharmacol 2001;51:267-9.
22. Hedé SS, Din RS, Ashokkumar NV, Dhumé VC. The pattern of prescribed and OTC drugs in North Goa. Indian J Pharmacol 1987;19:145-8.
23. Sulanta F, Rahman A, Paul TR, Sanwar MH, Islam MA, Rashid M. Prescribing pattern and prescription errors: a study at a tertiary care hospital of Bangladesh. Ban Pharm J 2015;18:20-40.
24. Atanasaiov, Tihzívánov D. Investigations on antibiotics in a hospital for a one year period. Int J Clin Pharm Ther 1995;33:32-3.
25. Till B, Williams L, Oliver SP, Pollans PL. A survey of inpatient antibiotic use in a teaching hospital. S Afr Med J 1991;87:7-10.
26. Lamichhane DC, Giri BR, Pathak OK, Panta OB, Shankar PR. Morbidity profile and prescribing patterns among outpatients in a teaching hospital in Western Nepal. Minkel J Med 2006:6;9:26-33.
27. Indira KS, Chandy SJ, Suresh L. Antimicrobial prescription patterns for common acute infections in some rural and urban health facilities of India. Indian J Med Res 2008;128:165-71.
28. Hart CA, Kariuki S. Antimicrobial resistance in developing countries. Br Med J 1998;317:647-50.
29. Snelman L, Adams W, Anderson G, Godfrey A, Gravely A, Johnson K, et al. Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement. Diagnosis and Treatment of Respiratory Illness in Children and Adults; 2013. p. 1-86.
30. Shankar BP, Partha P, Shenny NK, Easow JM, Brahmadathan KN. Prescribing patterns of antibiotics and sensitivity patterns of common microorganisms in the Internal Medicine ward of a teaching hospital in Western Nepal: a prospective study. Ann Clin Microbiol Antimicrob 2003;16:7-1.
31. Remesh A, Salim S, Gavathy AM, Nair U, Retnayally KG. Antibiotics are prescribing in the in-patient departments of a tertiary care hospital. Pharm Pract 2013;4:71-6.
32. Karabay O, Ozdemir D, Guçu E, Yıldırım M, Ince N, Kucukbayrak A, et al. Attitudes and behaviours of family physicians regarding the use of antibiotics. J Microbiol Infect Dis 2011;1:5-7.
33. Mamun KZ, Tabassum S, Shears P, Hart CA. A survey of antimicrobial prescribing and dispensing practices in rural Bangladesh. Mymensingh Med J 2006;15:81-4.
34. Bequi AH, Black RE, El Arifeen S, Yunus M, Zaman K, Begum N, et al. The prescription and antibiotic use among outpatients in a tertiary care teaching hospital of Bangladesh. Int J Pharm Sci 2016;8(11):60-63.

How to cite this article

• Sunnon Kumar Datta, Tripti Rani Paul, Monalisa Monwar, Ambia Khutun, M Rafiqul Islam, M Ashraf Ali, Ranjan Kumar Barman, Byutzl Mokaddees Rahnam, Mir Imam Ibne Wahed. Patterns of prescription and antibiotic use among outpatients in a tertiary care teaching hospital of Bangladesh. Int J Pharm Sci 2018;6(11):60-63.