Characterization of a dual BET/HDAC inhibitor for treatment of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma
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Abstract
Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) is resistant to virtually all chemo- and targeted therapeutic approaches. Epigenetic regulators represent a novel class of drug targets. Among them, BET and HDAC proteins are central regulators of chromatin structure and transcription, and preclinical evidence suggests effectiveness of combined BET and HDAC inhibition in PDAC. Here, we describe that TW9, a newly generated adduct of the BET inhibitor (+)-JQ1 and class I HDAC inhibitor CI994, is a potent dual inhibitor simultaneously targeting BET and HDAC proteins. TW9 has a similar affinity to BRD4 bromodomains as (+)-JQ1 and shares a conserved binding mode, but is significantly more active in inhibiting HDAC1 compared to the parental HDAC inhibitor CI994. TW9 was more potent in inhibiting tumor cell proliferation compared to (+)-JQ1, CI994 alone or combined treatment of both inhibitors. Sequential administration of gemcitabine and TW9 showed additional synergistic antitumor effects. Microarray analysis revealed that dysregulation of a FOSL1-directed transcriptional program contributed to the antitumor effects of TW9.

Abbreviations: BET, bromodomain and extra-terminal; DNMT, DNA methyltransferase; HDAC, histone deacetylase; NMC, NUT midline carcinoma; PDAC, pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma; SE, super-enhancer.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Tumorigenesis is often considered a consequence of the accumulation of driver mutations. However, the extensive intratumor and intertumor heterogeneity in established tumors involves additional regulatory layers controlling transcriptomic activity. The epigenome, a key determinant of the transcriptional output, controls cell identity and disease status. In contrast to the irreversibility of genomic mutations, aberrant epigenomic changes can potentially be reversed by small molecules targeting chromatin modulators. Epigenome-targeting drugs that are approved or in clinical trials include DNA methyltransferase inhibitors (DNMTi), histone methyltransferase inhibitors, histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitors (HDACi) and bromodomain and extra-terminal (BET) inhibitors (BETi) among others. Despite the clinical efficacy of epigenetic drugs in hematological malignancies, chromatin-acting therapeutic strategies such as HDAC inhibition in solid tumors have so far been challenging.

Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) is the most common and lethal form of pancreatic cancer. It is now the fourth leading cause of cancer death in men and women. Due to late diagnosis and metastasis, most patients with PDAC undergo conventional chemotherapy and obtain improved albeit limited benefits from intensified and toxic chemotherapy regimens, inevitably leading to secondary resistance.

In 2015, we reported a promising epigenetic-based therapeutic strategy for PDAC that combined BET and HDAC inhibitors. We have now generated a dual inhibitor simultaneously targeting BET and HDAC inhibitors. We have compared to combination therapies of two or more drugs, multitarget drugs may achieve the same goal but utilize a single compound. The potential advantages of dual targeting are a linked pharmacokinetic profile, reduced risk of drug-drug interactions and simplified dosing scheduling. Here, we present the design and synthesis of dual BET/HDAC inhibitors, resulting in the identification of the potent dual inhibitor TW9. Importantly, we examined its biological effects in PDAC tumor cell lines, showing its potency as a novel chromatin-targeting approach for future clinical development for treatment of PDAC.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Synthetic procedures

Synthetic routes for inhibitors 1 (TW9), 2 (TW12) and 3 (TW22) are described in detail in the Supporting Information.
purified by nickel-affinity and gel-filtration chromatography as described. After the final gel filtration step on a Superdex-75 column in 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM TCEP and 5% glycerol, the protein was concentrated to 10 mg/mL, flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80 °C. For setting up co-crystallization trials, aliquots of the purified BRD4(1) domain were mixed with a 50 mM stock solution of TW9, TW12 or TW22 in DMSO to give a final compound concentration 1.5 mM. Crystals were grown at 4 °C via the sitting drop vapor diffusion technique using a mosquito crystallization robot (TPP Labtech, Royston UK). For TW9 and TW12, crystals for data collection were grown by mixing 130 nL of protein/ligand solution with 70 nL of reservoir solution containing 24% PEG 3350, 0.1 M sodium formate, 15% ethylene glycol and 0.1 M bis-Tris-propane pH 7.3. BRD4(1) crystals in complex with TW22 were grown by mixing 100 nL of the protein/ligand solution with the same volume of reservoir solution containing 24% PEG 3350, 0.2 M sodium formate, 15% ethylene glycol and 0.1 M bis-Tris-propane pH 7.9. Crystals were cryoprotected with mother liquor supplemented with 20% ethylene glycol and flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen. X-ray data sets were collected at 100 K at the ESRF Grenoble, France (beamline ID30B). The diffraction data were integrated with the program XDS and scaled with AIMLESS, which is implemented in the CCP4 package. The structures were solved by difference Fourier analysis in PHENIX using PDB entry 3MXF as a starting model with initial rigid-body refinement. The structural models were then refined using iterative cycles of manual model building with COOT and refinement in PHENIX. Dictionary files for the TW9, TW12 and TW22 ligands were generated using the Grade Web Server (http://grade.globalphasing.org). There was excellent electron density for the (+)-JQ1 moiety in all three structures. For TW9 and TW12, there was however not sufficient electron density to unambiguously model the solvent-exposed HDAC1 moiety. The disordered parts of the ligands were therefore deleted in the final model. In contrast, the HDAC1 moiety of TW22 was well resolved due to interaction with a symmetry-related molecule. Data collection and refinement statistics are listed in Table S1. Structural figures were prepared using PyMOL (www.pymol.org).

2.4 Isothermal titration calorimetry

ITC measurements were performed using a Nano ITC microcalorimeter (TA Instruments, New Castle, Pennsylvania). All experiments were carried out at 15 °C in ITC buffer (10 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM TCEP and 5% glycerol). The microsyringe was loaded with the protein solution (50-150 μM protein) and titrated into an 8 to 15 μM compound solution in ITC buffer while stirring at 350 rpm. The titrations were started with an initial injection of 4 μL followed by 24 identical injections of 8 μL, at a rate of 0.5 μL/sec and a spacing of 200 seconds between injections. The heat of dilution was determined by independent titrations (protein into buffer) and was subtracted from the experimental data. Data were processed using the Nano-Analyze software (Version 3.5.0) supplied with the instrument. A single binding site model was employed.

2.5 XTT cell viability assay

XTT cell viability assays were performed as described following the XTT kit manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, HEK293T cells were seeded into flat-bottomed 96-well plates 24 hours before the addition of test compound at a density of 2.5 × 10^5 cells/mL (25 000 cells/ well). Then, 100 μL of test compound was added at a concentration range from 10 μM to 170 pM as final concentrations and incubated for 24 hours. For XTT measurement, 50 μL of a solution containing XTT (Sigma) and phenazine monosulfate (Sigma) were added to give a final concentration of 300 μg/mL and 10 μM, respectively, and the reaction was incubated for 30 minutes before measuring absorbance at 475 nm (specific signal) and 660 nm (non-specific signal). The signal was background-corrected using the following formula: specific absorbance = A475(μmol) − A475(μmol) − A660(μmol).

2.6 NanoBRET target engagement intracellular assay

NanoBRET target engagement assays were performed following published protocols. Briefly, plasmids for full-length HDAC1 and BRD4 as well as the isolated bromodomains of BRD4 containing either a C-terminal (HDAC1) or an N-terminal (BRD4) placement of NanoLuc were obtained from the manufacturer (Promega, Madison, Wisconsin). To lower intracellular expression levels of the reporter fusion, the NanoLuc/kinase fusion constructs were diluted into transfection carrier DNA (pGEM3ZF-, Promega) at a mass ratio of 1:10 prior to forming FuGENE HD complexes according to the manufacturer’s instructions. DNA:FuGENE complexes were formed at a ratio of 1:3 (μg DNA/μL FuGENE). One part of transfection complex solution was then mixed with 20 parts (v/v) of HEK293T cells suspended at a density of 2 × 10^5/mL in DMEM (Gibco) + 10% FBS (GE Healthcare, Chicago, Illinois), seeded into T75 flasks and allowed to express for 20 hours. For target engagement, the corresponding HDAC1- or BRD4-transfected cells were added and reseeded at a density of 2 × 10^5/mL after trypsinization and resuspension in Opti-MEM without phenol red (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, California). Serially diluted test compound and NanoBRET HDAC (Promega cat. N2140) or BRD (Promega cat. N2130) tracers were pipetted (Echo 555 Acoustic Dispenser) into white 384-well plates (Greiner 781 207). The system was allowed to equilibrate for 2 hours at 37 °C/5% CO₂ prior to BRET measurements. To measure BRET, NanoBRET NanoGlo substrate + extracellular NanoLuc inhibitor (Promega) were added according to the manufacturer’s protocol, and filtered luminescence was measured on a PHERAstar FSX plate reader (BMG Labtech) equipped with a luminescence filter module (450 nm [donor] and 610 nm [acceptor]).

2.7 Fluorogenic HDAC assay

The fluorogenic HDAC assay was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions (BPS Bioscience, Cat. # 50033). Briefly,
fluorogenic HDAC substrate, test inhibitor and HDAC2 protein were mixed sequentially and incubated at 37°C for 30 minutes. Then, HDAC Assay Developer was added and incubated at room temperature for 15 minutes. The fluorescence values were measured using a Spark Multimode Microplate Reader (Tecan). The wavelengths for excitation and emission were 360 nm (bandwidth 35 nm) and 465 nm (bandwidth 35 nm), respectively.

2.8 | CellTiter Glo cell viability assay

The assay was performed according to the manufacturer's instructions (Promega, G7571). Briefly, inhibitors were preprinted in 96-well plates (Corning, Corning, New York). Then, 100 μL of cell suspension was added to the wells and cultured for indicated time periods. Then, 100 μL of diluted CellTiter-Glo Reagent (1:4 with PBS) was added to the wells. Plates were shaken for 2 minutes and incubated for another 10 minutes at room temperature in the dark. Luminescent signals were read by the Tecan Spark Multimode Microplate Reader. The values of luminescent signals were normalized to the DMSO control wells.

2.9 | Immunoblot analysis

Cell lysates were prepared in RIPA buffer (9806S, Cell Signaling Technology [CST]) containing protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche). Cell lysates were separated on SDS-polyacrylamide gels, transferred to nitrocellulose membranes with Trans-Blot Turbo Transfer System (Bio-Rad, Hercules, California) and incubated with antibodies dissolved in TBS containing 5% BSA and Tween 20 (0.1%). The following primary antibodies were used: rabbit anti-MYC (9402, CST), rabbit anti-α-actin (ab8227, Abcam, Eugene, Oregon), rabbit anti-cleaved Caspase-3 (Asp175; 5A1E; 9664, CST), rabbit anti-Caspase-3 (9662, CST), rabbit anti-acetyl-histone H3 (Lys9/Lys14; 9677, CST), mouse anti-p21 (F5) (6246, Santa Cruz), mouse anti-involucrin (i9018, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, Missouri), rabbit anti-phospho-CHK1 (Ser345) (2341, CST). Primary antibodies were recognized by a peroxidase-coupled secondary antibody (Jackson, Bar Harbor, Maine) and signals were detected by chemiluminescence (ThermoFisher, Waltham, Massachusetts).

2.10 | RNA extraction and quantitative RT-PCR analysis

Total RNA was isolated using Maxwell RSC simplyRNA Cells Kit (Promega) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. cDNA was synthesized using PrimeScript Reverse Transcriptase (TaKaRa, Kusatsu, Japan). cDNA was amplified using LightCycler 480 SYBR Green I Master (Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, Indiana) and the amplicon was detected by SYBR Green I using LightCycler 480 instrument (Roche). PCR conditions were 5 minutes at 95°C, followed by 45 cycles of 95°C for 10 seconds, 59°C for 10 seconds and 72°C for 20 seconds. The relative gene expression levels were normalized to GUSB or GAPDH and calculated using the 2−ΔΔCt method. The primer sequences are given in Table S2.

2.11 | Crystal violet staining for colony formation assay

Attached cells were rinsed once with PBS and fixed with ice-cold methanol for 10 minutes. Fixed cells were then stained by crystal violet solution (containing 0.1% crystal violet and 25% methanol) for 30 minutes. Cells were rinsed twice with H2O and dried overnight.

2.12 | Cell proliferation assay

MIA PaCa-2 cells (1200 cells/well) were seeded in 12-well plates (Corning). On the days of measurement, whole-well images were captured and cell confluence was analyzed by NYONE Image Cytometer (Synentec, Elmshorn, Germany).

2.13 | Cell-cycle analysis

The attached and floating cells were harvested and fixed in 70% ethanol at 4°C overnight. On the day of measurement, cells were washed once with PBS and incubated in PBS containing 0.5 mg/mL RNase A at 37°C for 30 minutes. Then, 30 μg/mL propidium iodide was added immediately before the measurement. The cellular DNA contents were analyzed by the Guava EasyCyto System.

2.14 | Global gene expression profiles

Microarray using HumanHT-12 v4 Expression BeadChip (Illumina, Inc., San Diego, California) was performed by the Genomics & Proteomics Core Facility at the German Cancer Research Center in Heidelberg following the manufacturer's instructions. Raw data were normalized based on the quantile method. Hierarchical clustering of differentially expressed genes was performed using Partek Genomics Suite 7.0.

2.15 | Kaplan-Meier survival analysis

The analysis was performed using the human protein atlas data set,14 which contains a pancreatic cancer patient cohort (n = 176). The FPKMs (number Fragments Per Kilobase of exon per Million reads) were used for quantification of expression of FOSL1 gene. The cohort was stratified into two expression groups with the FPKM cut-off that yields the lowest P score. The correlation between the expression levels of FOSL1 and patient survival was examined by Kaplan-Meier survival estimator.
FIGURE 1 Legend on next page.
Rational design of BET/HDAC dual inhibitors and initial characterization. A, Chemical structure of (+)-JQ1-HDACi-adducts and their parental molecules. B, Crystal structure of dual inhibitors in complex with BRD4(1). The structure of the BRD4(1)-TW9 complex is shown as a gray cartoon representation, with the TW9 ligand shown as a green stick model. Conserved structural water molecules in the binding pocket are shown as small red spheres. The binding modes of TW12, TW22 and the parental molecule (+)-JQ1 are superimposed, showing that the binding mode of the (+)-JQ1 moiety is essentially the same in all four structures. The solvent-exposed HDAC inhibitory moiety of TW9 and TW12 were largely disordered, and the corresponding atoms were deleted from the final model, whereas the HDACi moiety of TW22 was stabilized via interaction with a symmetry-related molecule in the crystal. C, Isothermal titration calorimetry experiment of TW9 binding to BRD4(1). The upper panel shows the raw binding heats for each injection, and in the lower panel, normalized binding isotherms are shown. D, Cellular BRD4(1)-targeting activity of dual inhibitors determined by isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) and cellular BRD4 activity of dual inhibitors measured by isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) and nanoBRET assay. Note: Summary of the dissociation constants $K_d$ and cellular BRD4 activity of dual inhibitors determined by isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) and nanoBRET assays, respectively.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Generation of selective BET/HDAC dual inhibitors and initial in vitro characterization

For the development of dual HDAC/BET inhibitors, we synthesized three adducts that were based on the well-established BET inhibitor (+)-JQ1 (Figure 1A). HDAC-inhibitory activity was introduced through substitution of the tertbutyl ester by a class I selective inhibitor moiety (4-acetamido-N-[2-aminophenyl]benzamide) as present in tacedinaline (CI994), yielding the dual inhibitor TW9, as well as inhibitors for panHDAC targeting using hydroxamic acids (vorinostat and panobinostat), yielding the adducts TW12 and TW22. The synthetic routes for these inhibitors and associated analytical data are compiled in the supplemental information. The binding mode of the designed inhibitors was confirmed in crystal structures with the first bromodomain of BRD4, BRD4(1), at a resolution ranging from 1.05 to 1.25 Å (Table S1). As expected, the binding mode of the (+)-JQ1 moiety of all three adducts was essentially the same as that of the parental compound (+)-JQ1 (Figure 1B), and all key interactions with the bromodomain binding pocket were conserved, including the hydrogen bond of the triazole moiety with the highly conserved asparagine (Asn140). All HDAC moieties were solvent-accessible, suggesting that they are free to interact with HDACs even in the BET-bound state. In the case of the TW9 and TW12 complexes, the HDAC inhibitory moieties were disordered. In the structure of the TW22 complex, however, the HDAC inhibitor, which was derived from panobinostat, was visible due to stabilization by crystal contacts (Figures 1B and S1).

For initial functional evaluation, we determined the dissociation constants, $K_d$, of binding to the first and second bromodomain of BRD4, BRD4(1) and BRD4(2), by isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC). All three adducts (TW9, TW12 and TW22) bound to the first bromodomain, BRD4(1), with low nM affinity, resulting in $K_d$ values of...
FIGURE 2  Legend on next page.
69, 52 and 21 nM, respectively, which was in the range of the $K_d$
value determined for the parental molecule (+)-JQ1 (51 nM; Table 1).
A representative ITC experiment for TW9 is shown in Figure 1C. $K_d$
values for BRD4(1) were all slightly higher, ranging from 230 nM for
TW9 to 54 nM for TW22, which again was similar to the $K_d$
of (+)-JQ1. In summary, the ITC measurements demonstrated excellent
BET binding activity of our dual inhibitors, indicating that introduction
of the HDAC-inhibitor moiety did not significantly alter affinities for
the two bromodomains present in BRD4.

Next, we tested the cellular BRD4 activity of the synthesized
adducts using nanoBRET assays (Table 1 and Figure 1D). All
nanoBRET experiments were carried out in HEK293T cells under non-
-toxic conditions (Figure S2). TW9 showed the best BRD4-targeting
activity, with an $I_C_{50}$ value of around 700 nM for BRD4(1) (Figure 1D).
The affinity of TW12 and TW22 for BRD4(1) in cells was notably
weaker, with $I_C_{50}$ values of 5.5 and 12 μM, respectively. A similar
trend was observed for the cellular affinity of our dual inhibitors to
BRD4(2). Notably, TW9 had a 10 times higher affinity for BRD4(2)
than for BRD4(1), with an $I_C_{50}$ value of 74 vs 720 nM, and bound
BRD4(2) more than 20 times more strongly than did TW12 and TW22
(Table 1). Comparison of the ITC and nanoBRET data suggests that
the drastically reduced potency of TW12 and TW22 in cells compared
to (+)-JQ1 was most likely due to reduced cellular uptake rather than
differences in intrinsic binding affinity. We also measured cellular $K_d$
for the full-length BRD4 protein, FL-BRD4. In all cases, the measured
apparent binding constants were as expected between the values
obtained for the two isolated bromodomains (Table 1).

After confirming the BRD4-targeting activity, we performed fur-
ther NanoBRET assays to determine the cellular activity of our com-
ounds against HDACs, the second target protein class of our dual
inhibitors (Table 2 and Figure 1E). TW9 was a potent inhibitor of
HDAC1 with an $I_C_{50}$ value in cells of about 300 nM. As such, it was
more potent than the parental HDAC inhibitor CI994, which had an
$I_C_{50}$ of around 1 μM under the same conditions. TW12 was less
potent than TW9 in targeting HDAC1, and TW22 displayed an even
lower potency in cells with an $I_C_{50}$ > 20 μM, corresponding to a
potency more than three orders of magnitude lower compared to its
parental compound panobinostat (Table 2). We also performed a cell-
free fluorogenic HDAC assay, which showed that TW9 and CI994
inhibited HDAC2 activity to a similar degree, with $I_C_{50}$ values of 2.5
and 1.7 μM, respectively (Figure S3). Based on the above results, we
chose TW9 as the most potent BET/HDAC dual inhibitor for further
characterization in cancer cells.

3.2 | TW9 preserves both BETi and HDACi activities in cancer cells

Both MYC and HEXIM1 are often used as readout biomarkers of BET
inhibitor activity in cancer cells, while acetylated histone H3 is used as
a direct readout for HDAC inhibitor activity. Expression analysis by
RT-PCR showed prompt (6 hours) down-regulation of MYC and
upregulation of HEXIM1 by TW9 to similar levels as (+)-JQ1
(Figure S4A). Immunoblot analysis showed that MYC and histone H3
acetylated at lysines 9 and 14 were regulated in a dose- and time-
dependent manner by TW9 (Figure 2A,B), comparable to (+)-JQ1 and
CI994, respectively. Consistent with the nanoBRET assays for HDAC-
targeting activity of TW9 (Table 2 and Figure 1E), histone H3 acetyla-
tion at lysine 9 and 14 was enhanced by TW9 at a much higher level,
comparable to the parental HDAC inhibitor CI994 (Figures 2B and
S4B). Intriguingly, when we treated cells with a higher dose (4 μM) of
TW9, we observed a more sustained suppression of MYC and induc-
tion of p57, a target previously identified as being regulated by com-
bined BET/HDAC inhibition, indicating more sustained BETi activity
of TW9 (Figure 2C,D). In contrast, we did not observe the sustained
BETi activity with 1 μM TW9 (Figure S2C,D). In addition, we per-
formed washout experiments (1 day on and 2 days off) and still
observed enhanced histone H3 acetylation at lysine 9 and 14 in both
1 and 4 μM TW9-treated samples on day three (Figures 2E andS2E).

We next implemented immunoblot analysis of MYC and histone
H3 acetylated at lysines 9 and 14 in cells treated with TW12 and
TW22. Both ligands down-regulated MYC to the same degree as
(+)-JQ1, indicating preserved (+)-JQ1 activity (Figure S4F). However,
TW12 and TW22 failed to accumulate acetylated histone H3, indicat-
ing decreased activity of the HDAC-inhibitor moiety (Figure S4F).

3.3 | TW9 induces squamous differentiation in NUT midline carcinomas

We next assessed the biological activity of TW9. NUT midline carci-
noma (NMC) is a poorly differentiated squamous cell carcinoma
defined by chromosomal rearrangement of the NUT gene, most often
fused with BRD4. A previous study showed that BRD4-NUT inhibition
by (+)-JQ1 was able to induce squamous differentiation.5 Thus, we
tested if TW9 could also induce differentiation in the NMC cell line
HCC2429.26 Like (+)-JQ1, TW9 provoked a differentiation phenotype
in HCC2429, featuring cell spreading, flattening, and striking spindle

FIGURE 2 TW9 preserves both BETi and HDACi activities, and induces squamous differentiation in NMC. A, Dose-dependent manner of
MYC and H3K9/14 ac protein levels by immunoblot. PaTu 8988t cells were treated with the indicated doses of inhibitors for 48 hours. B, Time-
dependent manner of MYC and H3K9/14 ac protein levels by immunoblot. PaTu 8988t cells were treated with 1 μM indicated inhibitors and
harvested at the indicated time points. C and D, Time-course analysis of MYC protein levels by immunoblot (C) and p57 mRNA levels by
quantitative RT-PCR (D). PaTu 8988t cells were treated with 4 μM indicated inhibitors and harvested at the indicated time points. Mean ± SEM
from three independent experiments. E, Immunoblot analysis of drug washout experiment in PaTu 8988 t cells treated with 4 μM indicated
inhibitors (24 hours on and 48 hours off). F, Morphological changes of NMC cell line HCC2429 treated with 500 nM indicated inhibitors for
48 hours. G, Immunoblot analysis of involucrin, a differentiation marker, in HCC2429 cells treated with 500 nM indicated inhibitors for 72 hours.
H, Quantitative RT-PCR analysis of canonical squamous tissue genes (KRT10, KRT14 and TGM1) in HCC2429 cells treated with 500 nM indicated
inhibitors for 72 hours. Mean ± SEM from three independent experiments, **P ≤ .01, *P ≤ .05; n.s., not significant
**FIGURE 3**  TW9 is a more potent antiproliferation molecule than its parental molecules or combinations thereof. A, CellTiter Glo cell viability assay using four different PDAC cell lines treated with different doses of the indicated inhibitors for 5 days. Mean ± SEM from three independent experiments, ***P ≤ .001, **P ≤ .01, *P ≤ .05; n.s., not significant. B, Colony formation assay of MIA PaCa-2 cells treated with 50 nM indicated inhibitors for 5 days. C, Immunoblot analysis of cleaved caspase-3 in MIA PaCa-2 cells treated with 50 nM indicated inhibitors for 3 days. D, Cell confluence measurement of MIA PaCa-2 cells after treatment of 1 μM indicated inhibitors for 24 hours by NYONE image cytometry (left). At end time point, cells were stained for colony formation assay (right) [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
FIGURE 4  Legend on next page.
morphology (Figure 2F). Furthermore, TW9 induced gene expression of Involucrin, a differentiation marker, to a similar level as (+)-JQ1 (Figure 2G). Expression analysis of three canonical squamous tissue genes by RT-PCR showed marked (>700-fold) induction of KRT14 and modest induction of KRT10 (6-fold) and TGM1 (2-fold) by TW9 (Figure 2H). Notably, TW9 was significantly more efficient than (+)-JQ1 in inducing the expression of each of these genes.

3.4 TW9 is more potent in suppressing growth of pancreatic cancer cells than its parental molecules

We next performed cell viability assays in a panel of PDAC cell lines (MIA PaCa-2, DAN-G and HPAC) and observed that TW9 decreased cell survival in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 3A). Furthermore, TW9 showed a more potent effect on cell survival when compared to (+)-JQ1, CI994 alone or the combination of (+)-JQ1 and CI994. Colony formation assay also showed that TW9 was more potent in suppressing cell growth (Figures 3B and S5A,B). Immunoblot analysis clearly showed that TW9 treatment led to increased expression of cleaved caspase 3, consistent with apoptotic cell death (Figure 3C).

In current clinical trials, single-agent BET inhibition is challenged by limited effectiveness, toxicity issues and still largely unknown optimal scheduling strategies. To evaluate alternative scheduling strategies early on, we evaluated the long-term effects on cell survival of TW9 when administered only once for a time period of 24 hours. Compared to single-agent or combination treatment with (+)-JQ1 and CI994, TW9 had more sustained effects on suppressing cell growth, and cells started to grow much later (Day 10: Figure 3D, left panel). Colony formation assay at the end time point also showed much fewer colonies formed by TW9-treated cells (Figure 3D, right panel). Next, we applied a schedule for TW9 (1 day on and 6 days off for 3 cycles), which further prolonged the suppressive effects (Figure S5C). Thus, dosing of TW9 may be reduced with ongoing tumor-suppressive activity.

3.5 Sequential administration of gemcitabine and TW9 shows synergistic effects

Gemcitabine is a standard-of-care chemotherapeutic agent in the treatment of PDAC. Previous combination of gemcitabine with the HDAC inhibitor CI994 resulted in increased toxicity and no beneficial effect of this combination in a phase II clinical trial. Thus, we evaluated the efficacy of combining TW9 and gemcitabine using different scheduling routes. Gemcitabine and TW9 were administered either at the same time or sequentially. Serial dilutions of each compound were combined, and the effect on the viability of HPAC cells was measured by cell viability assay. A synergistic effect between gemcitabine and TW9 was observed and most prominent when gemcitabine was given 24 hours before TW9 (G1T2 schedule, Figures 4A,B and S6A). Cleaved caspase 3 staining induced by G1T2 schedule supports highly increased apoptosis (Figure 4C). After the same schedule, we also performed synergistic test for JQ1/CI994 when combined with gemcitabine (Figure S6B). The ZIP score (8.96) of JQ1/CI994 is lower than that of TW9 (10.20), proving benefits of TW9 as a dual inhibitor. Strikingly, simultaneous or sequential administration of TW9 first followed by gemcitabine showed no combinatorial benefits or even detrimental effects in colony formation (Figures 4B and S7A), indicating that TW9 may reduce sensitivity to gemcitabine in these schedules. Consistent with previous reports, we found that (+)-JQ1 as well as TW9 induced accumulation of the cell-cycle regulator p21 (Figure S7B), which led to G1 arrest (Figure S7C). We assumed that the attenuated S-phase entry by (+)-JQ1 or TW9 inhibited gemcitabine incorporation into replicating DNA. To support this hypothesis, we found that simultaneous administration of gemcitabine and (+)-JQ1 or TW9 retained more cells in G1 phase compared to gemcitabine treatment alone, which induced early S-phase arrest (Figure S7D).

As known, gemcitabine induces acute replication stress as indicated by the induction of phospho-CHK1, and withdrawal of gemcitabine releases cells from such stress (Figure S7E). Simultaneous treatment of TW9 followed by gemcitabine largely prevented cells from replication stress induced by gemcitabine (Figure S7F). However, G1T2 scheduling enhanced the gemcitabine-induced replication stress (Figure 4D). Withdrawal of gemcitabine relieved replication stress and cells returned to normal cell-cycle distribution (Figure 4E). However, after the G1T2 schedule, cells maintained S-phase arrest after drug withdrawal (Figure 4E). In summary, G1T2 scheduling showed the most prominent synergistic effects by augmenting gemcitabine-induced replication stress and apoptosis.

3.6 TW9 blocks cell-cycle progression through super enhancer-associated transcription factor FOSL1

To explore the molecular mechanisms elicited by TW9, transcriptomic profiling was performed in the established PDAC cell line PANC-1.

FIGURE 4 Administration of TW9 with chemotherapy. A, Combination response to TW9 and gemcitabine for HPAC cells treated with three administration schedules: (1) simultaneous administration of gemcitabine and TW9 for 24 hours and incubation for another 4 days; (2) Sequential administration of TW9 and gemcitabine (each for 24 hours) and incubation for another 3 days; (3) Sequential administration of gemcitabine and TW9 (each for 24 hours) and incubation for another 3 days. CellTiter Glo cell viability assay was performed to measure cell viabilities for all the indicated dose combinations. Synergy effects were evaluated using SynergyFinder (synergyfinder.fimm.fi). The ZIP synergy score is averaged over all the dose combination cells. B, Colony formation assay for HPAC cells treated with different administration schedules indicated above. Here, 10 nM gemcitabine and 2 μM individual inhibitors were used. C and D, HPAC cells were sequentially treated with 10 nM gemcitabine and 2 μM individual inhibitors. Then, inhibitors were removed, and cells were cultured for another 1 day for immunoblot analysis of cleaved caspase-3 and phospho-CHK1. E, HPAC cells were treated as above and harvested at 24 and 48 hours after removal of inhibitors for cell-cycle analysis [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
FIGURE 5  Legend on next page.
Hierarchical clustering of the differentially expressed genes by either TW9 or (+)-JQ1 revealed distinct gene expression profiles between TW9 and (+)-JQ1-treated samples (Figure 5A). Around 70% of the differentially expressed genes by (+)-JQ1 were also deregulated by TW9 (Figure 5B), indicating that TW9 largely recapitulates the activity of (+)-JQ1. To obtain a deeper insight into the gene expression patterns altered by TW9, we performed gene ontology (GO) analysis. Interestingly, the genes downregulated by TW9 were mainly associated with metabolic processes, cellular component organization and cell-cycle-related processes (Figure 5C). Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) also revealed that the significantly enriched pathways involved in cell cycle progression were downregulated by TW9 in comparison with DMSO or (+)-JQ1 treatment (Figure 5D). Furthermore, HDAC inhibition was among the most significantly enriched pathways in TW9-treated samples (Figure 5E), indicating that TW9 also recapitulates the activity of CI994.

Further, we aimed to identify TW9-regulated master transcriptional regulators (TFs) that control cell-cycle progression. Previous studies suggested that master TFs could be driven by super-enhancers (SEs).29 We thus performed ChIP-seq using H3K27ac to identify the genomic enhancer landscape. Bioinformatic analysis of the genome-wide occupancy of H3K27ac resulted in the identification of 453 SEs in PANC-1 cells (Figure 5F). We next analyzed SE-associated gene expression of TW9- or (+)-JQ1-treated cells compared to controls. Venn diagram analysis shows that 41 TW9-downregulated genes were associated with SEs (Figure 5G). Compared to (+)-JQ1 treatment, 12 SE-associated genes were identified to be selectively targeted by TW9, including the transcription factor FOSL1. FOSL1 has previously been linked to KRAS-associated mitotic progression.30 To confirm the downregulation of FOSL1 by TW9, we performed RT-PCR and found that FOSL1 was indeed downregulated by TW9 to a larger extent, compared to (+)-JQ1, CI994 and combined treatment (Figure 5H). Moreover, GSEA analysis revealed that FOSL1 targets30 were significantly downregulated by TW9 (Figure 5I), implying that TW9 dysregulated the transcriptional program of FOSL1. Consistent with the elevated levels of FOSL1 in PDAC,30 we found SEs around the FOSL1 gene locus in PANC-1 cells but not in healthy pancreas (Figure 5J), supporting the notion that cancer cells establish super-enhancers at oncogenes during tumor pathogenesis.29 Statistical analysis of a pancreatic cancer cohort from the Human Protein Atlas data set34 revealed that FOSL1 is a prognostic marker and that high FOSL1 expression levels are unfavorable for patient survival (Figure 5K). These results suggest that the antiproliferative phenotype observed in TW9-treated cells may involve targeting of the FOSL1-regulated mitotic machinery.

4 | DISCUSSION

Current drug discovery remains widely dominated by the "one gene, one drug, one disease" paradigm. However, this paradigm has been continuously challenged in terms of redundant functions and alternative complex compensatory signaling patterns that are especially common in cancer. Thus, the biological rationale is compelling to consider multitarget approaches over single-target strategies. Conventional multitarget approaches include drug combinations, where it is difficult to achieve equitable pharmacokinetics and biodistribution. To solve this issue, our study aimed at a single compound that has multiple inhibitory activities. We designed and synthesized ligands by conjugating two distinct pharmacophores (BETi and HDACi).

In our study, the ligand TW9 contains (+)-JQ1 and CI994 moieties showing dual inhibitory activities against BRD4 and HDAC proteins. Here we show that TW9 is a more potent BET/HDAC dual inhibitor than the combination of the parent molecules (+)-JQ1 and CI994, showing promising antiproliferative activities in vitro in PDAC. Similar dual BET/HDAC inhibitors have been reported in previous studies.31-34 In a recent study,34 a dual BET/HDAC inhibitor (compound 13a) with a structure very similar to TW9 was reported. The difference is that the ortho-aminoanilide in the CI994 moiety is replaced with hydroxamic acid in compound 13a. This modification makes compound 13a a potent pan-HDAC inhibitor, whereas our TW9 is a class I selective HDAC inhibitor. Both probes (TW9 and compound 13a) are valuable and complementary tools in cellular context-dependent studies. However, as a potential limitation, TW9 represents an adduct of two inhibitory moieties, resulting in a dual inhibitor with a relatively large molecular weight. In future studies, we aim to integrate both functionalities in a single inhibitor in a manner that will reduce molecular weight. Such dual inhibitors with BET activity have already been reported for BET-kinase combinations by us and other research groups.35-38

Intriguingly, we observed that TW9 had enhanced and more sustained HDAC-inhibiting effects when assessing histone H3 acetylation at lysines 9 and 14 by western blot analysis and in the drug washout experiment, suggesting that the CI994 moiety on TW9 has a longer residence time on its targets. While this needs further
characterization, it may explain why TW9 has more prolonged growth-inhibitory effects in the long-term proliferation assay.

Chemotherapy remains the standard-of-care treatment for PDAC patients with limited long-term effectiveness. In our study, we addressed if TW9 administration could improve the efficacy of gemcitabine, a well-tolerated chemotherapeutic agent with limited single-drug activity in PDAC. Strikingly, different schedules for administration yielded highly different results. We found that the cell-cycle arrest by cotreatment with TW9 interferes with the incorporation of gemcitabine into newly synthesized DNA and suppresses gemcitabine-induced replication stress. In contrast, TW9 treatment after gemcitabine administration sustains gemcitabine-induced S-phase arrest and replication stress. This result may explain why we did not previously observe synergism between (+)-JQ1 and gemcitabine in a PDAC mouse model, in which twice daily treatment of (+)-JQ1 plus a Q3Dx4 schedule (every third day for four cycles) for gemcitabine administration was applied.4

Previous studies implied that super-enhancers play key roles in the control of cell identity and disease.29 In our study, we discovered that PANC-1 tumor cells display super-enhancers at the oncogene FOSL1. This led to the activation of a FOSL1 downstream transcriptional program that supports tumor cell proliferation. The transcriptional addiction of tumor cells to certain regulators of gene expression provides opportunities for therapeutic interventions in cancer. Along this line, we propose a model where TW9, by targeting the super enhancer-associated FOSL1 gene, may counteract the dysregulated transcriptional program and inhibit tumor cell proliferation.

As a proof of concept, our data support future efforts at developing chromatin-acting multitarget drugs harboring potential benefits for clinical application: a linked pharmacokinetic profile, reduced risk of drug-drug interactions and simplified dosing schedules. We are now designing a second generation of BET/HDAC dual inhibitors that integrate the two pharmacophores to achieve a lower molecular weight and more drug-like physicochemical properties.
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