Efficacy and safety of external tissue expansion technique in the treatment of soft tissue defects: a systematic review and meta-analysis of outcomes and complication rates
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Abstract

Background: Currently, various external tissue expansion devices are becoming widely used. Considering the scarcity of relevant application standards, this systematic review was performed to explore the effectiveness and safety of external tissue expansion techniques for the reconstruction of soft tissue defects.

Method: A systematic review and meta-analysis on the efficacy and safety of external tissue expansion technique was conducted. A comprehensive search was performed in the following electronic databases: PubMed/Medline, Embase, Cochrane Library (Wiley Online Library), and Web of Science. Studies reporting patients with soft tissue defects under the treatment of external tissue expansion technique were included.

Results: A total of 66 studies with 22 different types of external tissue expansion devices met the inclusion criteria. We performed a descriptive analysis of different kinds of devices. A single-arm meta-analysis was performed to evaluate the efficacy and safety of the external tissue expansion technique for different aetiologies. The pooled mean wound healing time among patients with defects after fasciotomy was 10.548 days [95% confidence interval (CI) = 5.796–15.299]. The pooled median wound healing times of patients with defects after excisional surgery, trauma, chronic ulcers and abdominal defects were 11.218 days (95% CI = 6.183–16.253), 11.561 days (95% CI = 7.062–16.060), 15.956 days (95% CI = 11.916–19.996) and 12.853 days (95% CI = 9.444–16.227), respectively. The pooled wound healing rates of patients with defects after fasciotomy, excisional surgery, trauma, chronic ulcers and abdominal defects were 93.8% (95% CI = 87.1–98.2%), 97.2% (95% CI = 92.2–99.7%), 97.0% (95% CI = 91.2–99.8%), 99.5% (95% CI = 97.6–100%), and 96.8% (95% CI = 79.2–100%), respectively. We performed a subgroup analysis in patients with diabetic ulcers and open abdominal wounds. The pooled median wound healing time of patients with diabetic ulcers was 11.730 days (95% CI = 10.334–13.125). The pooled median wound healing time of patients with open abdominal wounds was 12.853 days (95% CI = 10.334–13.125).
abdomen defects was 48.810 days (95% CI = 35.557–62.063) and the pooled successful healing rate was 68.8% (95% CI = 45.9–88.1%). A total of 1686 patients were included, 265 (15.7%) of whom experienced complications. The most common complication was dehiscence (n = 53, 3.14%).

**Conclusions:** Our systematic review is the first to demonstrate the efficacy and safety of external tissue expansion in the management of soft tissue defects. However, we must interpret the meta-analysis results with caution considering the limitations of this review. Large-scale randomized controlled trials and long-term follow-up studies are still needed to confirm the effectiveness and evaluate the quality of healing.
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**Highlights**

- This systematic review is the first to comprehensively show the efficacy and safety of external tissue expansion techniques in the management of soft tissue defects.
- A single-arm meta-analysis was performed for different aetiologies of soft tissue defects and the results showed that the pooled wound healing rates of external tissue expansion technique were all over 93% (except in the management of open abdominal defects).
- Among the 55 studies reporting complications, a total of 1686 patients were included, 265 (15.7%) of whom experienced complications. The most common complication was dehiscence (n=53, 3.14%).

**Background**

Soft tissue defects following trauma, burns, complications of chronic diseases or surgeries that cannot be primarily closed often cause substantial morbidity and mortality [1]. According to the reconstructive ladder, traditional reconstructive techniques, such as the use of various types of skin grafts, with increasing complexity, are positioned higher up the ladder, which calls for a longer learning period [2]. Moreover, the traditional methods are limited due to their associated blood loss, low cost-effectiveness, functional and aesthetic complications, and the creation of additional wounds (donor sites) [3]. Therefore, surgeons have long been searching for a practical, simple and effective method to achieve wound closure while maintaining aesthetics. The external tissue expansion technique may be a new choice for the reconstruction of skin soft tissue defects with the benefits of simplicity and minimal invasiveness [4].

In 1956, Neuman first incorporated the concept of ‘tissue expansion’ into practice when he reconstructed auricular defects after 2 months of subcutaneous expansion [5]. Gibson *et al.* described the viscoelastic properties of skin in 1967 [6]. He defined immediate tissue expansion as mechanical creep. With mechanical creep, the collagen fibres are straightened in the direction of the stretching force and displace fluid bound to the extracellular matrix [6]. Continuous mechanical creep will result in biological creep, which describes a physiological process where new tissues are created [6]. Gibson described the phenomenon where the force required to keep skin stretched gradually decreases with stress relaxation. Stress relaxation is a result of creep and occurs when the skin is stretched for a constant distance over time [7]. The exact mechanism by which stretch induces proliferation of new tissue has been further revealed in recent years. At the histological level, researchers found a significant increase in epidermal thickness with dermal thinning during tissue expansion [8,9]. However, several months later, dermal thickness will return to baseline due to the synthesis of fibroblasts after stretching [10]. Histological staining suggests expanded tissue with increased blood vessel density, growth factors and cell proliferation [11,12]. At the cellular level, after tissue expansion, transmembrane mechanosensory signal-activated ion channels, integrins, growth factor receptors and G-protein-coupled receptors translate extracellular signals into intracellular signals in pathways involving calcium, nitric oxide, mitogen-associated protein kinases, Rho GTPases and phosphoinositol-3 kinase [13]. All these signaling pathways converge to activate transcription factors that ultimately upregulate fibroblast mitosis and the synthesis of extracellular matrix proteins. In 2020, Aragona *et al.* first described the mechanisms of stretching-mediated skin expansion at single-cell resolution. Researchers revealed that mechanical strain is communicated by a subpopulation of stem cells that proliferate and promote mechanical resistance and generate extra skin by stretching the skin of mice [14,15].

Based on the mechanisms mentioned above, various external tissue expansion devices have emerged. Unlike internal expansion, external tissue expansion devices are located outside the body and can achieve tissue expansion in two modes: immediate tissue expansion and continuous tissue expansion (Figure 1). During immediate expansion, the forces should be loaded for 4 min, followed by 1 or 2 min of relaxation. Approximately 4–5 cycles of stretching (cycle loading) are subsequently loaded to achieve immediate intraoperative tissue expansion. Hirshowitz *et al.* introduced cyclic loading and demonstrated that it was faster and more effective than continuous stretching for tissue expansion [16]. In 1986, Cohn first described the use of vessel loops in the closure of limb fasciotomy wounds [17]. Several years later, Hirshowitz...
et al. published the clinical results of 28 patients with a skin-stretching device (SSD) named SureClosure for the first time [18]. Because of its easy application, military doctors used a homemade skin stretching device, which consists of skin clips and rubber bands of assorted sizes, to achieve delayed primary closure of wounds in the Persian Gulf War [19]. More simple and convenient SSDs have emerged and have been commercialized in recent years. However, few large-scale prospective randomized controlled trials (RCTs) can convincingly evaluate the efficiency and safety of external tissue expansion in the treatment of various types of soft tissue defects. Thus, the objective of this paper is to perform a systematic review and meta-analysis of outcomes and complication rates to evaluate the efficacy and safety of external tissue expansion techniques.

Methods

The methodology and reporting of this systematic review followed the guidelines for Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) [20] and was based on the protocol that we set, which defined the search strategy, study selection, data extraction and analysis methods. The protocol was registered with the international prospective register of systematic reviews (CRD42022340736).

Search strategy

A comprehensive search was performed in the following electronic databases: PubMed, Embase, Cochrane Library (Wiley Online Library) and Web of Science. Free text words were combined with Boolean operators (‘OR’ and ‘NOT’) to improve the sensitivity of our search. All relevant studies in English and Chinese were reviewed, with no publication date or publication status restrictions incorporated into the searches. The reference lists of all included studies were also reviewed for other potentially relevant studies and authors’ personal collections (grey literature). Duplicate citations were removed in Endnote X9 (Clarivate Analytics, Philadelphia, Pa.). The electronic retrieval strategy is shown in electronic retrieval strategy.

Study selection

Studies were selected by two reviewers independently, with any discrepancies discussed among the search group. We applied the following inclusion criteria: (1) published or unpublished retrospective and prospective series with or without full texts; (2) studies including patients with soft tissue defects; and (3) studies with external tissue expansion as a main therapy for the closure of soft tissue defects without restricting the type of devices. The exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) repeated studies; (2) non-original studies (reviews, editorials, letters, protocols); (3) case reports or case series with fewer than three patients; (4) studies without sufficient information on primary outcomes; and (5) animal experiments and cell experiments.

Data extraction

Three independent reviewers evaluated the titles and abstracts and resolved conflicts through discussion and consensus. Full texts were screened to extract all of the data from each eligible
study. For all the clinical studies, the following data were extracted: (1) first author; (2) year; (3) research location; (4) study type; (5) the number of cases and defects included; (6) male/female ratio; (7) patient ages; (8) types of external tissue expansion devices; (9) defect etiologies; (10) defect locations; (11) mode of expansion (immediate or consistent expansion); (12) wound healing time; (13) the number of successful closure wounds; (14) follow-up period; and (15) complications.

**Methodological quality**

Although case reports and case series have uncontrolled study designs known to have an increased risk of bias, notably inferences from such reports can be used for decision-making [21]. Since few RCTs were available, we incorporated case reports/series in this systematic review. To better evaluate the methodological quality of case reports/series, the ‘Tool for evaluating the methodological quality of case reports and case series’ was used [21]. We removed two items related to cases of adverse drug events from the scale. We considered the quality of a record good (high methodological quality) when all 6 criteria were fulfilled, moderate when 4 or 5 were fulfilled, and poor (low methodological quality) when ≤3 were fulfilled. We applied the Cochrane risk-of-bias tool to assess the methodological quality of the included RCTs [22]. For nonrandomized studies, we used the ‘Methodological index for nonrandomized studies’ (MINORS) tool [23]. No disagreements occurred between the reviewers. All the tools were used in previous publications.

**Outcome definitions**

Here, successful wound closure was defined as primary closure or delayed primary closure of a defect without the need for a second intervention. The mean outcomes extracted from each clinical study were wound healing time, the total number of patients and the number of patients who achieved successful wound closure. The wound healing time was defined as the time to successful wound closure after device application. Kaplan–Meier survival analysis was used to compute the median healing time by SPSS Statistics 26.0 (IBM, Armonk). Continuous data with a normal distribution are presented as the means and SD. For original data not conforming to a normal distribution, double arcsine transformation was performed to stabilize the variance of the original ratio. Data with a skewed distribution are presented as the medians and ranges. Heterogeneity was assessed by Cochrane’s Q chi-square test and the \( I^2 \) value. The results of the analyses are demonstrated with forest plots. \( P < 0.1 \) indicated a statistically significant difference. The overall effects of all studies were computed with a random-effects model. \( I^2 \) values of <40%, 60%, 90% and 100% were considered indicative of minimal, moderate, substantial and considerable heterogeneity, respectively. We investigated potential sources of heterogeneity by subgroup.

**Results**

**Search results**

We retrieved 4023 references in total, 3992 of which were identified by electronic search and 31 by manual search. Then, 598 duplicates were excluded. After preliminary screening of titles and abstracts, 3425 records were excluded. All the authors assessed the eligibility of 180 full-text papers. A total of 66 studies eventually met the inclusion criteria, including 7 RCTs, 8 non-RCTs and 51 case series. A flow diagram of study selection is shown in Figure 2.

**Study quality**

The risk of bias graphs of the included RCTs, non-RCTs and case series are shown in supplementary Figs. 1, 2 and 3, respectively (see online supplementary material). Due to the difficulty of blinding in surgical trials, all included RCTs had a high risk of performance bias (supplementary Figure 1). The quality of the non-RCTs included was generally high, but some articles did not provide sufficient information to assess overall quality (supplementary Figure 2). In terms of case series, 7 records (13.7%) were considered to be of high methodological quality with 6 items of the scale fulfilled, 30 records (58.8%) were considered to be of moderate quality, and 14 records (27.5%) were considered to be of low quality with <3 items fulfilled (supplementary Figure 3, supplementary Table 2, see online supplementary material).

**Characteristics of the included studies and external tissue expansion devices**

For a better understanding of the clinical application analysis, a total of 22 kinds of external tissue expansion devices were described in the included studies in detail (Table 1). We classified external tissue expansion devices by attachment method as invasive SSDs and non-invasive SSDs (NSSDs). As mentioned previously, the devices can also be divided into continuous external tissue expanders (CETEs) and instant external tissue expanders according to the expansion mode. The features of the devices and related information are listed...
Figure 2. Flow diagram of the systematic literature search

4023 records retrieved through database searching:
--3992 records through electronic searching
--31 records through manual searching

598 records excluded by duplication

3425 records preliminary screened

2305 records excluded by title
940 records excluded by abstract

180 full-text papers accessed for eligibility

114 full-text papers excluded:
--54 excluded for fewer than three patients
--48 did not provide sufficient information of primary outcome
--1 was a comment on another article
--3 did not use the external tissue expansion technique as the main treatment technique
--8 excluded for focusing mainly on preoperation expansion

66 studies included in final analysis

in Table 1. The characteristics of all the included clinical trials are listed in supplementary Table 1, see online supplementary material.

Invasive SSDs are more common in medical practice. In all, 57 studies reported 21 different kinds of SSDs most of which were commercial devices that were widely applied in the management of various soft tissue defects. The suture tension adjustment reel device (S.T.A.R., Miami STAR; George Tiemann, Plainview NY, patent pending) was first reported in 1992 but has rarely been reported in the last 10 years. A similar situation was noted for the SureClosure skin-stretching system (Life Medical Science, Princeton, NJ, USA), Ty-raps (Thomas & Betts, Memphis, TN, USA), The Silver Bullet Wound Closure Device (SBWCD, Boehringer
Table 1. Characteristics of external tissue expansion devices

| Device          | Year/country | Undermined (Y/N) | Method | Expansion mode (immediate/continuous) | SSD/ NSSD | Commonly used nowadays (Y/N) | Advantages                                                                 | Disadvantages                                                                 |
|-----------------|--------------|------------------|--------|---------------------------------------|----------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| S.T.A.R.        | 1992 America | Y&N              | I&C    | SSD                                   | N        | An alternative to conventional sutured closures. | Required an estimation of the application force. Needs repeated manual tightening. |
| Vessel loop     | 1997 Australia | N                | C      | SSD                                   | Y        | Provides a continuous pull on the skin edges resulting in gradual apposition of wound edges. | Limited expansion for subcutaneous tissue. Requires an estimation of the application force. |
| Sure Closure®   | 1995 America | Y&N              | I&C    | SSD                                   | N        | Has a tension indicator safety mechanism which is used to avoid over-stretching. Simplicity, Undermining is not necessary. | Needs repeated tightening.                                                                 |
| Ty-raps         | 2010 The Netherland | N                | C      | SSD                                   | N        | Cost-effectiveness.                | Relatively complex to apply. Needs repeated tightening. |                                                                                           |
| SBWCD           | 2008 America | N                | C      | SSD                                   | N        | Reduces the reconstruction time.    | Needs repeated tightening every day. Located in the middle of defects which may increase the risk of infection and metal allergy. |                                                                                           |
| External Tissue Extender | 1996 Norway | N                | C      | SSD                                   | Y        | Maintains the exact tension <3.5 N. | The interjacent skin should be excised before closing. |                                                                                           |
| Proxiderm®      | 1997 America | N                | I&C    | SSD                                   | N        | Maintains a constant tension <460 g. | Generally applied for periods of <2 days at a time. |                                                                                           |

(Continued)
| Device          | Year/country | Undermined | Method                                                                 | Expansion mode (immediate/ continuous) | SSD/ NSSD | Commonly used nowadays (Y/N) | Advantages                                                                 | Disadvantages                                                                 |
|-----------------|--------------|------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Wisebands®      | 2004 Israel  | N          | The surgical needle and its band are inserted through the wound edges down to the severed soft tissue. The tension feedback control device holds the band and controls the tension under 1 kg/cm². | I & C                                   | SSD       | N                           | Whenever the tension exceeds the standard, the feedback control mechanism will relax and remain at the last position. Simplicity, Stretch skin and subcutaneous tissue at the same time. | Increase the risk of infection and pain.                                   |
| DermaClose®     | 2012 America | Y          | One controller and skin anchors should be placed per 10 cm wound length and secured with staples after wound edges undermined. The tension controller is then turned clockwise. | C                                      | SSD       | Y                           | Simplicity and easy to apply. Maintains the constant tension <11.7 N. With advantages in treating longstanding open defects. | Undermining is necessary. Less cost-effectiveness.                         |
| TopClosure®     | 2012 Israel  | N          | Two flexible polymer attachment plates (AP) are attached to skin and a long, flexible approximation strap (AS) runs through the APS. Bands are embedded through the skin and secured with skin anchors on either side of the defect. | I & C                                   | SSD/N SSD | Y                           | Non-invasive and invasive manner are both available.                     | Limited capacity for subcutaneous tissue. Needs repeated tightening.      |
| ABRA            | 2003 Canada  | Y          | Consists of special hooked needles and a tension indicator. The tension indicator has three markers which represent the stretching tension of 5, 15 and 30 N, respectively. | C                                      | SSD       | Y                           | Moves dynamically applying a constant and cyclic expansion. Bands are marked to estimate the force.         | Relatively complex to apply. Undermining is necessary. Needs repeated tightening. Damage to wound edges cannot be ignored. |
| EASApprox®      | 2020 China   | N          | The No. 1 MERSILK nonabsorbable sutures (ETHICON, Johnson & Johnson, USA) were folded into double strands. The skin margin was interruptedly sutured with an edge distance of 1–2 cm and a needle distance of 1–1.5 cm. The suture was passed around the tissues to be fixed and tightened with the nice knot. | I & C                                   | SSD       | N                           | Simplicity. Cost-effectiveness. With advantages in treating small- or medium-sized defects. | Limited expansion capacity.                                                |
| Zip®            | 2002 Norway  | N          | The Medical tapes (Henan Huibo Medical Co., China) are applied across the wound to apply continuous tension. The average horizontal tension provided by the medical tape needs to be ≥ 1 N per 1 cm of width. | C                                      | NSSD      | Y                           | An effective alternative to intracutaneous suture and skin staple.       | Limited expansion capacity.                                                |
| Medical tape    | 2018 China   | N          | The No. 1 MERSILK nonabsorbable sutures (ETHICON, Johnson & Johnson, USA) were folded into double strands. The skin margin was interruptedly sutured with an edge distance of 1–2 cm and a needle distance of 1–1.5 cm. The suture was passed around the tissues to be fixed and tightened with the nice knot. | I & C                                   | SSD       | N                           | Non-invasive and cost-effectiveness. Simplicity.                          | Limited expansion capacity.                                                |
| Nice knots      | 2020 China   | N          | The No. 1 MERSILK nonabsorbable sutures (ETHICON, Johnson & Johnson, USA) were folded into double strands. The skin margin was interruptedly sutured with an edge distance of 1–2 cm and a needle distance of 1–1.5 cm. The suture was passed around the tissues to be fixed and tightened with the nice knot. | I & C                                   | SSD       | N                           | Simplicity. Cost-effectiveness. With advantages in treating small- or medium-sized defects. | Limited expansion capacity.                                                |
| Device                  | Year/country | Undermined (Y/N) | Method                                                                 | Expansion mode (immediate/continuous) | SSD/ NSSD | Commonly used nowadays (Y/N) | Advantages                           | Disadvantages                                      |
|------------------------|--------------|------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| Rubber bands/elastic bands | 2017 China   | Y&N              | RBs (DeRoyal Industries Inc, Powell, TN, USA) applied to the skin edges of the wound apex using staples placed perpendicularly to the wound. The bands are progressively advanced and secured with staples at 3–4 mm intervals by twisting back-and-forth to create a cross-cross pattern. | C | SSD | N | Simplicity, Cost-effectiveness. | Limited expansion for subcutaneous tissue. |
| Transfusers+ Nylon ligature stripes | 2018 China | N                | Transfusers are attached to the skin edges. Nylon ligature strips are inserted into the tube through skin and subcutaneous tissue from the opposite site. | C | SSD | N | Simplicity, Cost-effectiveness. | Damage to wound edges cannot be ignored. |
| Loop suture            | 2004 Korea   | Y                | A 0–1 nylon suture is threaded through the deep I & C dermis across the defect and both ends of the suture are tied to form a loop, which is secured on two holes at one end of the plastic strip. The plastic strip is pulled through the hollow plastic cylinder gradually to approximate the wound margin. | SSD | N | | Cost-effectiveness. | Adequate undermining is needed. Requires an estimation of the application force. |
| KWs + Wires            | 2018 China   | N                | KWs are inserted intradermally 1 cm from the skin edge, parallel to the side of the wound. A wire is attached to KWs and tightened to approximate the wound edges. | C | SSD | N | Cost-effectiveness. | Damage to wound edges. Requires an estimation of the application force. |
| KWs + BHS®             | 2020 China   | N                | KWs are inserted into the skin and subcutaneous tissue ~1 cm away from wound margins. Two hook-holder modules are placed on the KWs bridges (or the skin and subcutaneous tissue directly) by using hooks. | C | SSD | N | With advantages in treating relatively large defects. | Relatively complex to apply. Damage to wound edges. Patients need to approximate the wound edges one to two times per day by themselves. Requires an estimation of the application force. |
| KWs + KeKe®            | 2021 China   | N                | KWs are inserted parallel to the side of the wound. A modified skin-stretching device (two hooks locked by a stabilizing wrench) is placed on the KWs. | C | SSD | N | With advantages in treating relatively large defects. | Relatively complex to apply. Damage to wound edges. Required an estimation of the application force. |
| KWs + External fixators | 1998 England | N                | A Kirschner wire is inserted, 1.8 mm in diameter, intradermally 1 cm from the skin edge, parallel to the side of the wound. A wire is attached to hook wires which are gripped by the slotted threaded rods incorporated into the Ilizarov circular frame. | SSD | N | | With advantages in treating skin defects combined with fractures. | Relatively complex to apply. Damage to wound edges. Patients need to apply traction to the wires by advancing hexagonal nuts with spanners. Requires an estimation of the application force. |

NSSD non-invasive skin stretching device, SSD skin stretching device

*Publication year and country of the first study which was included in this review
Expansion mode: I, immediate tissue expansion; C, continuous tissue expansion
Commonly used nowadays: Y, yes, i.e. there were ≥ 3 studies in the last 10 years. N, no, i.e. there were <3 studies in the last 10 years
time of split-thickness skin graft (STSG) in the management of upper-extremity fasciotomy was 15.6 days [28]. The external tissue expansion technique reduces the wound closure time of fascioto- mies and may be an alternative to skin grafts and skin flaps.

A total of 15 studies were included in the meta-analysis of the successful wound closure rate [27,28,33,34,40,47,50–53,55–59]. The pooled wound closure rate among patients undergoing fasciotomy was 93.8% (87.1–98.2%, I²=54%, p<0.01) (Figure 3b).

Post-excisional soft tissue defects Resection of enlarging masses, keloid scars, giant naevi or burn scabs and large free-flap donor sites will result in soft tissue defects that cannot be closed primarily and thus require skin grafts. A total of 28 studies reported the utility of the external tissue expansion technique among 270 patients with post-excisional soft tissue defects. The S.T.A.R. device [24], SureClosure® [26,54,61–66], ETEs [67], Proxiderm® [68,69], Wisebands® [31], DermaClose® [32,57,70], TopClosure® [33,58,60,71], BHS® (bidirectional regulation hook skin closure system) [42] and some homemade devices [2,38–40,72–75] were applied. In all, 5 studies reported intraoperative primary closure of 41 defects with the SureClosure® [26,61–63] and Proxiderm® [68] devices. All the defects were closed after cyclic stretching during surgery. Our meta-analysis mainly focused on delayed primary closure.

Only 3 retrospective studies with 28 patients met the inclusion criteria for the meta-analysis [2,32,38]. Kaplan–Meier survival analysis was used to analyse the median healing time. The pooled median wound healing time was 11.218 days (95% CI = 6.183–16.253, I²=86.0%, p<0.01) (Figure 4a). The heterogeneity was substantial.

A total of 15 studies were included in the meta-analysis of the successful wound closure rate [24,26,32,33,38,57,58,61–63,65,67–70]. The pooled wound closure rate among patients with post-excisional defects was 97.2% (95% CI = 92.2–99.7%, I²=36%, p=0.08) (Figure 4b).

Trauma High-energy injuries often result in severe soft tissue defects usually combined with bone injuries [76]. A total of 22 retrospective studies included 215 patients with soft tissue defects after trauma. The wounds were mainly located in the extremities and trunks. The devices used for reconstruction of the posttraumatic defects included the vessel loop [25], SureClosure® [26,54], ETEs [53], Proxiderm® [30,69], Wisebands® [31], DermaClose® [57,77], TopClosure® [33,58,76], EASApprox® [60], KeKe® [43,75] and some homemade devices, such as nice knots [2], rubber bands [48] and KWs ([41,46],[72–74]).

Seven retrospective studies met the inclusion criteria for the meta-analysis of the median healing time of posttraumatic defects [2,25,30,37,69,72,76]. Kaplan–Meier survival analysis was used to analyse the median healing time. The pooled median wound closure time was 11.561 days (95%
Figure 3. Forest plot of single-arm meta-analysis showing pooled mean wound healing time (a) and wound healing rate (b) in the patients undergoing fasciotomies. MRAW (Mean RAW) identifies a summary measure which is used for pooling of studies in R studio. DL represents the random effects model (D+L). IV represents the fixed effects model (I-V). Weights are from random effects analysis here. ES effect size, CI confidence interval, SD standard deviation.

CI = 7.062-16.060), with a substantial level of interstudy heterogeneity ($I^2 = 88\%, p < 0.01$). (Figure 3a).

Sixteen retrospective studies met the inclusion criteria for the meta-analysis of successful wound closure rate [2,5,26,30,31,33,39,46,54,55,57,69,72,74-76]. The pooled wound closure rate among patients with posttraumatic defects was 97.0% (95% CI = 91.2-99.8%, $I^2 = 31\%, p = 0.12$). (Figure 3b).

Chronic ulcers Some soft tissue defects can occur secondary to infection or chronic diseases, such as diabetes, vascular diseases or collagen diseases. The pathologies in the enrolled studies included diabetes, vascular diseases, collagen diseases, pressure sores and chronic nonhealing postoperative defects. Twenty studies with 325 patients with chronic ulcers were enrolled in the final analysis. The application devices included SureClosure® [26,78], Proxiderm® [30,69,79], Wiseband® [31], DermaClose® [57], TopClosure® [33,58], ABRA® [80], EASApprox® [35], NSSDs [37,81], loop suture [40] and homemade devices [38,39,47,48,72].

Nine studies met the inclusion criteria for the meta-analysis of the median healing time of chronic defects [30,37,38,40,57,69,72,80,81]. The nine studies consisted of seven retrospective studies and two cohort studies. Kaplan–Meier survival analysis was used to calculate the median healing time. The pooled median wound closure time was 15.956 days (95% CI = 11.916–19.996), with a high level of interstudy heterogeneity ($I^2 = 95\%, p < 0.01$) (Figure 3a).

We performed a subgroup meta-analysis of patients with diabetic foot ulcers. Two cohort studies and one retrospective study were included [30,38,72]. The pooled median wound closure time was 11.73 days (95% CI = 10.334-13.125), with lower interstudy heterogeneity ($I^2 =0\% , p = 0.54$) (Figure 3c). We determined that defect aetiologies contributed to the wide variability in outcomes.

Fourteen studies met the inclusion criteria for the meta-analysis of the successful wound closure rate [26,30,33,35,38-40,47,48,57,58,72,79,80]. The pooled successful wound closure rate was 99.5% (95% CI = 97.6–100%, $I^2 = 11\%, p = 0.34$) (Figure 3b).

Abdominal defects Considering the particularity of abdominal defects, we analysed the effectiveness of external tissue expansion in the management of abdominal defects separately. The defects were divided into abdominal wall defects and open abdominal wounds. Abdominal wall defects...
are often caused by infection or dehiscence of post-operative incisions without involving the full-thickness abdominal wall. Five retrospective studies reported the application of TopClosure® [82], KeKe® [75], Proxiderm® [30] and homemade devices, such as rubber bands [38] and nice knots [2], in 24 patients. Four retrospective studies met the inclusion criteria for the meta-analysis of the mean healing time of abdominal wall defects [30,38,75,82]. The pooled median wound closure time was 12.853 days (95% CI = 9.444-16.227, I^2 = 72%, p = 0.01) (Figure 7a). All five retrospective studies met the inclusion criteria for the meta-analysis of the mean healing time of abdominal wall defects. The pooled successful healing rate was 96.8% (95% CI = 79.2–100%, I^2 = 33%, p = 0.20) (Figure 7b).

Open abdominal wounds often occur secondary to damage control laparotomy, which increases the number of surviving patients [83]. The ABRA® Dynamic Wound Closure System (Canica Design Inc, Almonte, Canada) is an adhesive elastic device indicated for controlling, reducing or closing retracted soft tissue wounds. Two retrospective studies with 30 patients treated with ABRA® devices met the inclusion criteria for the meta-analysis of the median healing time of open abdominal wounds [83,84]. Kaplan–Meier survival analysis was used to calculate the median healing time. The pooled median wound closure time was 48.81 days (95% CI = 35.577–62.063, I^2 = 73%, p = 0.05) (Figure 8a). The pooled successful healing rate was 68.8% (95% CI = 45.9-88.1%, I^2=22%, p=0.26); (Figure 8b). Compared with the healing rate shown above, the successful healing rate of open abdominal wounds was relatively low. The external tissue expansion technique was substituted for sutures, staples, skin grafts or skin flaps in the above studies. However, we applied the dynamic wound closure device as closure therapy to achieve delayed closure of the open abdomen. If closure of the open abdomen cannot be achieved, some complications, such as sequela-like large abdominal wall defects, enterocutaneous fistulas and ventral hernias, are common [85]. Considering the complexity of open abdominal defects, the reason for the lower healing rate can be easily understood.

Other indications NSSDs have been demonstrated to be an effective and safe alternative to intracutaneous sutures and skin staples [36,86,87]. The Zip® Surgical Skin Closure device (ZipLine Medical, Inc., Campbell, CA, USA) is commonly used for the final layer in skin closure [88]. The
zipper closure device was demonstrated to be a safe choice with a lower infection rate, better cosmetic results and a shorter wound closure time compared with intracutaneous suture [36,86,87]. Carli et al. demonstrated that the zipper closure device could prevent the need for home care and result in fewer complications than staples [89]. Menkowitz et al. demonstrated that the zipper closure device achieved better patient satisfaction and cosmetic outcomes than staples [90]. Eight studies conducted descriptive analyses, including five high-quality RCTs. Zip surgical skin closure is widely used as the final step in coronary artery bypass grafting, orthopaedic surgery, total knee arthroplasty and cardiac implantable electronic device pocket closure [36,86,87,89–93]. An inexpensive and nontraumatic elastic adhesive tape (DynaClose®; Canica Design Inc. Almonte, Ontario, Canada) is another kind of dynamic wound closure technique similar to the ABRA® series. DynaClose was also reported as an alternative to punch biopsy site closure [94]. Doumit et al. [92] reported a case where DynaClose was used as an alternative to sutures to close a biopsy site. The outcome showed that DynaClose may be a cost-effective and simple alternative to sutures. Some studies reported the application of NSSDs for preoperative expansion [49,95,96]. The results were encouraging and they demonstrated that the technique was simple and cost-effective and could also simultaneously improve cosmetic outcomes. Since we focused on the management of soft tissue defects, we will not extend the description of preoperative tissue expansion.

Complications Among the included studies, 8 studies reported no complications, 11 studies did not discuss complications and 47 studies reported complications following the external tissue expansion technique (supplementary Table 1). Among the 55 studies reporting complications (complications or no complications), a total of 1686 patients were included, 265 of whom (15.7%) experienced complications. The most commonly reported complication was dehiscence (n = 53) and the complication rate was 3.14% (Table 2). Other important complications included hypertrophic scars (n = 51, 3.02%), infection (n = 37, 2.19%), intense pain (n = 26, 1.54%)
and skin necrosis \((n = 21, 1.25\%)\). Several complications were reported, including skin hypersensitivity, bullae or blisters, hernia, device exfoliation, protruding sutures, skin numbness, skin cutting, maceration, patient noncompliance, enterocutaneous fistulization, allergy, ischaemia, haematoma, skin discoloration, epidermolysis and erythema. Notably, some complications are disease specific. For example, hernia is a complication that specifically occurs in open abdominal wounds and the complication rate among patients with open abdominal wounds was 26.7%. Skin cutting occurred specifically in patients treated with the devices compromised of surgical sutures.

**Discussion**

We conducted a systematic review to describe the clinical application of the external tissue expansion technique. The results are in line with those of previous studies showing that the external tissue expansion technique is an effective and safe method for the management of soft tissue defects. Our systematic review is the first to demonstrate the efficacy and safety of external tissue expansion in the management of soft tissue defects.

Most of the included trials were retrospective studies. A total of 23 external tissue expansion devices were included in the review. Various soft tissue defects caused by fasciotomy,
mass resection, scar resection with large flap donor sites, trauma, chronic ulcers, abdominal defects and surgery achieved primary closure with the external tissue expansion technique.

Although both our qualitative systematic review and qualitative meta-analysis showed the efficacy and safety of external tissue expansion devices, wide variability was noted in the results. Many related factors resulted in heterogeneity. The first factor likely contributing to this wide variability in outcomes is the diversity among different devices. All the devices are based on the same principle, but their compositions and operation differ. Some devices may be more effective than others. Moreover, the external tissue expansion technique corresponds to a rapidly evolving field, and different organizations and surgeons may perform the technique with different variations, which may contribute to this wide variability in outcomes. Additionally, the viscoelastic property of skin varies in different body locations. For example, in patients undergoing fasciotomy, the wound healing time differed between the upper extremities and lower extremities. However, defects in the same locations or with the same inducing factor are difficult for us to group. Furthermore, concomitant diseases

---

**Figure 7.** Forest plot of single-arm meta-analysis showing pooled median wound healing time (a) and wound healing rate (b) in the patients with abdominal wall defects. DL represents the random effects model (D+L). IV represents the fixed effects model (I-V). Weights are from random effects analysis here. CI confidence interval, SD standard deviation

**Figure 8.** Forest plot of single-arm meta-analysis showing pooled median wound healing time (a) and wound healing rate (b) in the patients with open abdominal wounds. DL represents the random effects model (D+L). IV represents the fixed effects model (I-V). Weights are from random effects analysis here. CI confidence interval, SD standard deviation
Table 2. Overall complications associated with external tissue expansion technique

| Complications           | No. of studies | No. of participants with complications | Complication rate |
|-------------------------|----------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Dehiscence              | 18             | 53                                     | 0.0314            |
| Scar                    | 11             | 51                                     | 0.0302            |
| Infection               | 13             | 37                                     | 0.0219            |
| Pain                    | 6              | 26                                     | 0.0154            |
| Necrosis                | 6              | 21                                     | 0.0125            |
| Hypersensitive          | 2              | 18                                     | 0.0107            |
| Bullae or blister       | 6              | 13                                     | 0.0077            |
| Hernia                  | 2              | 8                                      | 0.0047            |
| Device exfoliation      | 3              | 7                                      | 0.0042            |
| Sutures protrude        | 1              | 6                                      | 0.0036            |
| Numbness                | 2              | 5                                      | 0.0030            |
| Skin cutting            | 2              | 4                                      | 0.0024            |
| Maceration              | 1              | 3                                      | 0.0018            |
| Noncompliance           | 3              | 3                                      | 0.0018            |
| Enterocutaneous fistula | 1              | 2                                      | 0.0012            |
| Allergy                 | 2              | 2                                      | 0.0012            |
| Ischaemia               | 1              | 2                                      | 0.0012            |
| Haematoma               | 1              | 1                                      | 0.0006            |
| Skin discolouration     | 1              | 1                                      | 0.0006            |
| Epidermolysis           | 1              | 1                                      | 0.0006            |
| Erythema                | 1              | 1                                      | 0.0006            |

and aetiologies also differed. For example, most of the articles did not analyse patients with diabetes separately, which is recognized as an important factor in wound healing. The starting time of expansion was another important factor. Kakagia reported that fasciotomies performed more than 8 h after injury were related to longer wound closure times than fasciotomies performed earlier [52]. Moreover, heterogeneity associated solely with methodological diversity would indicate that the studies suffer from different degrees of bias. As most of the studies meeting the inclusion criteria were case series, the level of evidence was lower, and most of the studies tended to report positive results, which may explain the extremely high healing rate. Because the intervention is a kind of operation, the reason for the lack of blinding can be easily understood.

Some concerns remain regarding the safety of the technique in the treatment of soft tissue defects. Although many individual studies have reported complications, no systematic review has reported a pooled analysis of the complication rate. Our systematic review is the first to collect all published complication rates and perform a pooled analysis to show the safety profile of the external tissue expansion technique. Among 1686 patients, almost one-sixth experienced some kind of complication. We grouped bullae, blisters, skin cutting and ischaemia as injuries to wound edges, which occurred in 1.13% of cases. Most of these complications were relatively minor and could be resolved by dressing changes. Long-term complications, such as scar formation and paraesthesia of regenerating skin, are the greatest concerns for doctors and patients. The rate of scar formation was 3.02%, which was second only to the rate of dehiscence. However, most scars were mild with no need for correction. We cannot ignore the fact that stretching plays an important role in scar formation. Moreover, some devices can permanently destroy wound margins, which results in scar formation in the wound margins. Paraesthesia of new regenerating skin included hypersensitivity and numbness, which accounted for 1.37% of complications. The damage to the wound edges caused by some devices, such as the devices combined with KWs, may be the most likely cause.

This review has several limitations. The first limitation is that we included a relatively low level of evidence in our review. Although we admit that this introduces a limitation to our analysis, because of the novelty of the field and the lack of high-quality trials, we believe that the benefit of including all the studies outweighs the limitation introduced due to the lower level of evidence. As described in supplementary Table 1, some included studies had the same senior author and organizations; thus, the same patient may have been referenced more than once. Therefore, we must interpret the meta-analysis results with caution considering that the actual number of patients may be overestimated. However, we believe that the meta-analysis provides higher-level evidence that is meaningful for surgeries and patients to base their clinical decisions on.

Here, we compared the external tissue expansion technique with other reconstructive methods to identify the indications and contraindications for external soft tissue defects. Finally, we will discuss the differences between
various devices and provide recommendations for clinical application.

All the clinical studies mentioned above provide evidence to support the use of the external tissue expansion technique in the management of various soft tissue defects. According to the traditional reconstruction ladder, options for the closure of complex wounds include dressings, primary closure, delayed closure, skin grafts and internal tissue expansion, which involves a staged procedure using skin grafts [97]. The application of external tissue expansion devices may be an alternative to tension sutures, skin grafts or even reoperations. Unlike skin grafts, external tissue expansion facilitates delayed primary closure or primary closure with ideal colour and skin texture matching by expanding the healthy skin of the wound margin and without the creation of donor sites. As a result, the method spares the need for a second operation with general anaesthesia. External tissue expansion has been reported to decrease the wound closure time, hospital stay time and total wound care cost [95,98]. Notably, external tissue expansion may reduce the time to rehabilitation initiation following surgery [28], which may be a considerable advantage for battlefield applications compared with skin grafts and artificial dermis [76]. However, the effect of external tissue expansion has not exactly been confirmed by high-grade RCTs. Skin grafts are still the ultimate surgical technique for the closure of complex soft tissue defects, especially for failed closure under external tissue expansion. Complications of the external tissue expansion technique include infection, skin necrosis, skin dehiscence, skin lesions, hernia formation (abdominal defects), enterocutaneous fistulization (abdominal defects), haematoma, pain and scar widening. However, there are significant inter-device and inter-patient differences in complications. All baseline characteristics, including defect area, defect type, defect location and underlying diseases, will influence the effect of the external tissue technique. Compared with that of internal expansion devices, the incidence of postoperative infection with external tissue expansion devices is lower. Infections would be identified and controlled easily since the device is located outside the body. Contraindications to the external tissue expansion technique include ischaemia of wound margins, active inflammation, excessively fragile tissue, localized radiation or chemotherapy and insufficient soft tissue coverage [26,30]. Patients with compromised immune systems or conditions affecting tissue quality should be treated with caution [4]. However, all the contraindications are relative, not absolute.

Selecting an external tissue expansion device warrants certain considerations due to the differences between them. The first consideration is the basic characteristics of wounds. For example, considering the defect location, devices with lower profiles may have fewer limitations, such as DermaClose®, TopClosure®, Ty-raps, nice knots and noninvasive devices. Additionally, devices with larger sizes, such as BHS® and some homemade devices, will not be a good choice to close defects in the backside or head and neck [44]. Devices with lower profiles would result in less noncompliance [1]. The defect area is one of the determining factors for the final choice of device. To treat some deep defects, we need a device that can expand skin and subcutaneous tissue at the same time, such as Wisebands® or the ABRA® abdominal system. Larger devices may be a better choice for large defects with high tension. Moreover, aetiologies and underlying diseases should be considered. For instance, in patients with diabetes, vascular injury or wounds caused by blasts, devices requiring undermining will increase the risk of inflammation and necrosis of wound edges, such as the DermaClose® and S.T.A.R. devices. Other factors, such as costs, technical difficulty, self-tightening capacities and the surgeon’s proficiency, also need to be considered.

Notably, the external tissue expansion technique has been applied in the battlefield. Topaz et al. described the utility of TopClosure® in the treatment of combat-related soft tissue injuries [76]. Singh et al. reported the use of the ABRA® dynamic wound closure system during Operation Iraqi Freedom in the treatment of compartment syndrome [59]. Santiago et al. suggested that appropriate application of DermaClose could help decrease the need for skin grafts for war-related injuries [77]. Both retrospective studies demonstrated that external tissue expansion is a novel method for early and simplified closure of combat-related wounds to promote early mobilization and rehabilitation of soldiers, which is important for the combat effectiveness of troops [76].

Conclusions
External tissue expansion techniques are becoming more widely used for the management of various soft tissue defects. In the studies mentioned above, the external tissue expansion technique was demonstrated to be an effective and safe method for the reconstruction of soft tissue defects. However, large-scale RCTs and long-term follow-up studies are still required to confirm the effectiveness and evaluate the quality of healing. Relevant consensus recommendations are also needed to standardize clinical practices.
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