The relationship between leadership style and health worker motivation, job satisfaction and teamwork in Uganda

Background: Leadership is key to strengthening performance of Health Systems. Leadership styles are important organizational antecedents, especially in influencing employee’s motivation, job satisfaction, and teamwork. There is limited research exploring this relationship among health workers in resource-limited settings such as Uganda. The aim of this study was to examine the relationship between transformational, transactional, and laissez-faire leadership styles and motivation, job satisfaction, and teamwork of health workers in Uganda.

Method: We conducted a cross-sectional study in 3 geographic regions of Uganda in November 2015, using self-administered questionnaires with 564 health workers from 228 health facilities. Data were collected on health workers’ perception of leadership styles displayed by their facility leaders, their level of motivation, job satisfaction, and team work. Using Pearson correlation, relationships among variables were identified and associations of the components of leadership styles with motivation, job satisfaction, and teamwork were found using multivariable logistic regression.

Results: Health workers in Uganda preferred leaders who were transformational (62%) compared with being transactional (42%) or laissez-faire (14%). Transformational leadership was positively correlated with motivation (r=0.32), job satisfaction (r=0.38), and team work (r=0.48), while transactional leadership was positively correlated with job satisfaction (r=0.21) and teamwork (r=0.18). Motivation was positively associated with leaders who displayed idealized influence-behavior (odds ratio [OR]=3.7; 95% CI, 1.33–10.48) and intellectual stimulation (OR=2.4; 95% CI, 1.13–5.15) but negatively associated with management by exception (OR=0.4; 95% CI, 0.19–0.82). Job satisfaction was positively associated with intellectual stimulation (OR=5.7; 95% CI, 1.83–17.79). Teamwork was positively associated with idealized influence-behavior (OR=1.07–8.57), idealized influence-attributed (OR=3.9; 95% CI, 1.24–12.36), and contingent reward (OR=5.6; 95% CI, 1.87–17.01).

Conclusion: Transformational styles had a positive impact on stimulating motivation, assuring job satisfaction, and consolidating teamwork among health workers compared with those who demonstrated transactional skills or laissez-faire styles.

Recommendation: Supporting transformational leadership skills development in health facility leaders could encourage health worker motivation, strengthen job satisfaction, and maintain cohesion among health workers for better service delivery.
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Background

Human resources for health are cornerstone in the delivery of health care but remain a crippling barrier in low-resource settings where human resources are in short supply...
or poorly managed. In Sub-Saharan Africa, this shortage has hindered sustainable health systems strengthening efforts and scale-up of interventions in the fight against human immunodeficiency virus/acquired immunodeficiency syndrome. In order to achieve the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), countries are called upon to focus on creating “real health care leaders” not just managers, to drive the agenda of SDGs. As a result, a cross-cutting pillar within health system strengthening approaches includes improving leadership to create a health workforce that is responsive, fair, and efficient given the available resources and circumstances.

Since certain leadership styles have been associated with improved working environments and increased retention of excellent professionals, this study focuses on the 3 major leadership styles, which are transformational, transactional, and laissez-faire. Transformational leadership is characterized by leaders who exude a sense of admiration and respect amongst their followers (idealized influence attributed [Iia]) and emphasize on a collective sense of mission (idealized influence behavior [IIb]), motivate, and inspire those around them (inspirational motivation [IM]), stimulate the follower’s efforts (intellectual stimulation [IS]) and pay special attention to each individual (individualized consideration [IC]), while transactional leadership is characterized by a leader who only provides assistance in exchange for their efforts (contingent reward [Cr]) and focuses attention on irregularities (management by exception active [MEa]), and finally, the laissez-faire leadership is characterized by a leader who fights fires (management by exception passive [MEp]) and avoids involvement (laissez faire [Lfi]). Since the early 1990s, transformational leadership style has been preferred over transactional leadership style because health care environment demands leaders who inspire others with the vision of what can be accomplished instead of promoting compliance through simply rewards and punishment.

Low motivation and poor teamwork are still some of the greatest challenges in retention of health workers, especially in resource-limited settings. Health workers working in resource-limited settings commonly cite inadequate and outdated medical resources, limited career development opportunities, lack of promotion opportunities, and overwhelming work overload as a source of frustration and demotivation. This lack of motivation manifests in widespread absenteeism and a high attrition rate among health workers.

Addressing these challenges requires a strong leadership either acquired while on job or through some form of training. An example of such programs was a Leadership for Health World Health Organization Programme and the Caring Together Leadership Programme in Baylor College of Medicine Children’s Foundation-Uganda. These programs focus on transforming leadership styles among health professionals who will then mentor frontline health workers to improve organizational dynamics, build teams, and foster effective communication.

Studies show that leadership styles exhibited by the health facility person in charge (in-charge) could determine the performance of his/her subordinate health workers, for example, charismatic leadership fosters good working relationships among health workers and builds resilient teams that can handle most of these challenges. On the other hand, overly controlling managers are likely to discourage individual initiative and creative thinking, while weak facility managers may fail to attend to staff requests or just avoid the challenges they face. Therefore, poor leadership styles result in the health workers becoming further dissatisfied, demotivated, and ultimately disengaged teams. However, the relationship between leadership style of the facility in-charges and the motivation, job satisfaction, and teamwork among his/her subordinates has not been investigated in Uganda’s health care context. As part of an ongoing longitudinal study to evaluate the impact of a leadership training program targeting frontline health workers on quality of health service delivery, this study was set up as a baseline with an objective of finding out if there is any relationship between leadership style of the facility in-charges and the level of motivation, job satisfaction, and teamwork among health workers working in rural parts of Uganda. Findings from the cross-sectional study should help us to understand and appreciate the theory of change the leadership program is striving to make.

The theoretical framework guiding this study was the Transformational Leadership Theory first developed by Burns in 1978 and later expanded by Bass and Avolio to provide a useful model for effective leadership of health workers in modern health care settings.

**Methods**

**Research design**

This was a cross-sectional study. The data were collected from health workers using self-administered questionnaires that consisted of 4 sections: leadership style, job satisfaction, motivation, and teamwork. Since the unit of analysis was the facility level, we only collected demographic data about the health facility, which included the type of facility, district of origin, and region.
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Study settings
The study was conducted in 3 geographical regions of Uganda, namely; Rwenzori region that includes 7 districts of Kabarole, Kamwenge, Kyenjojo, Kasese, Bundibugyo and Ntoroko and Kyegegwa; Eastern region that includes 8 districts of Soroti, Amuria, Katakwi, Kaberamaido, Serere, Bukedea, Kumi, and Ngora; and West-Nile region that includes 8 districts of Arua, Adjumani, Nebbi, Koboko, Yumbe, Maracha, Moyo, and Zombo. This study was conducted among health workers working in the outpatient and maternity departments at 4 health facility levels of the Government health system, that is, health center (HC) IIs, HCIIIs, HCIVs, and general hospitals. We decided to pick health workers from the outpatient and maternity departments because these are the only departments found at each health facility level. As complexity of health service delivery increases exponentially as we move up the structure, services and their corresponding cadres found in one facility may not be found in another. For comparability purpose, we need to have a fairly similar profession of health workers.

Majority of the health workers who were approached were from HCIII. This was because sampling of health facilities was proportional to the distribution of health facilities by type in that district. Administratively, there were more HCIIIs in the districts compared with other health facility levels; therefore, by default, we had more health workers from HCIIIs.

Sampling strategy and data collection
Since this study was a baseline of a larger ongoing longitudinal evaluation study, sample size was determined using sample size calculation for clustered-randomization trials. This study had 90 health facilities in Rwenzori region (intervention), 90 health facilities in Eastern region (intervention), and 90 health facilities in the West-Nile region (control). In total, the sample size for this study was 270 health facilities.

Participating health facilities were selected from an inventory of all Baylor-Uganda supported/government-aided health facilities in the districts. A random sample of 90 health facilities proportional to the number and type of health facility were picked from each region. Using convenience sampling, we picked three health workers who were on duty that day ensuring that two of the three health workers were picked from the maternity and out-patient department.

Data collection was carried out between November and December 2015 by the lead author and 12 research assistants for each region. Of the 270 health facilities, only 247 facilities were reached due to the logistical challenges and long distances. A total of 623 questionnaires were returned and 59 questionnaires were dropped due to missing data. Data from 233 out of 270 facilities were available for analysis; thus the overall facility response rate was 83.3%. There was a fair distribution of facilities among the regions, that is, 37%, 34%, and 28% from Eastern, Rwenzori, and West-Nile region, respectively. A total of 151 (64.89%) of all facilities were HCIIIs, 55 (23.1%) were HCIIIs, 17 (7.30%) were HCIVs, and 10 (4.3%) were hospitals (Table 1).

Measures
Leadership styles
To measure leadership styles we adapted the Multifactor Leadership Questionnaire23 to which the health workers responded. The questionnaire measure dimensions of all the leadership styles; transformational, transactional and laissez faire. Participants were asked to indicate their perceptions about the leadership style of the person in charge of their respective health facilities by using a 4-point Likert scale ranging from “not at all (0)” to “frequently, if not always (3).” Cronbach’s alpha (α) for Multifactor Leadership (41

Table 1. Health facility demographics

| Facility demographic | Frequency (n=233) | Percentage (%) |
|----------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| Region               |                   |                |
| Eastern              | 88                | 37.77          |
| Rwenzori             | 81                | 34.76          |
| West-Nile            | 64                | 27.47          |
| District             |                   |                |
| Adjumani             | 15                | 6.44           |
| Amuria               | 14                | 6.01           |
| Arua                 | 21                | 9.01           |
| Bukedea              | 8                 | 3.43           |
| Bundibugyo           | 6                 | 2.58           |
| Kabarole             | 25                | 10.73          |
| Kaberamaido          | 9                 | 3.86           |
| Kamwenge             | 12                | 5.15           |
| Kasese               | 23                | 9.87           |
| Katakwi              | 16                | 6.87           |
| Koboko               | 7                 | 3              |
| Kumi                 | 9                 | 3.86           |
| Kyenjojo             | 11                | 4.72           |
| Maracha              | 6                 | 2.51           |
| Ngora                | 8                 | 3.43           |
| Ntoroko              | 3                 | 1.29           |
| Soroti               | 12                | 5.15           |
| Serere               | 13                | 5.58           |
| Yumbe                | 9                 | 3.86           |
| Zombo                | 6                 | 2.58           |
| Health facility level|                   |                |
| HCII                 | 55                | 23.61          |
| HCIII                | 151               | 64.81          |
| HCIV                 | 17                | 7.3            |
| HOSP                 | 10                | 4.29           |
items) was $\alpha=0.93$, which demonstrates very good reliability (an $\alpha$ of $>0.70$). This tool can be obtained from Mindgarden.\textsuperscript{23}

**Motivation**

Motivation was measured using a motivation questionnaire.\textsuperscript{24} This instrument was composed of 23 items and 5 dimensions, that is, motivation due to supervision, pay, work done, demotivation due to burnout, and organization’s administration. This tool required respondents to indicate social and psychological characteristics of their jobs using a 4-point Likert scale ranging from strongly disagree (1) to strongly agree (4). Cronbach’s $\alpha$ for staff motivation was $\alpha=0.76$, which demonstrates good reliability (an $\alpha$ of $>0.70$). This tool has been attached in Figure S1.

**Job satisfaction**

In this study, health worker job satisfaction was captured using a job satisfaction questionnaire that was adapted from 2 job satisfaction questionnaires.\textsuperscript{25,26} This instrument was composed of 23 items that sought to capture the social and psychological characteristics of their job satisfaction using a 4-point Likert scale ranging from strongly disagree (1) to strongly agree (4). The Cronbach’s $\alpha$ for item job satisfaction questionnaire was $\alpha=0.84$, which demonstrates a very good reliability (an $\alpha$ of $>0.70$). This tool has been attached in Figure S2.

**Teamwork**

Teamwork was measured as level of team climate, that is, shared perceptions of organizational policies, practices, and procedures. The original version Team Climate Inventory was a 61-item, 4-scale tool\textsuperscript{27} but for this study, we adapted a short form 12-item tool\textsuperscript{28} to measure teamwork among health workers. This instrument was composed of 14 items and 4 dimensions, that is, vision, participation safety, information sharing, and support for innovation. Health workers who participated were asked to indicate social and psychological characteristics of their jobs using a 4-point Likert scale ranging from Not at all (1) to Frequently or Always (4). The Cronbach’s $\alpha$ for 14-item teamwork questionnaire was $\alpha=0.89$, which demonstrates a very good reliability (an $\alpha$ of $>0.70$). This tool has been attached in Figure S3.

The tools were all first pretested among health facilities in Central region, Wakiso district, during which we focused on the comprehensibility and relevance of the questions for all health workers and to localize some demographic and work-related questions to the Ugandan context. We later conducted a pilot test prior to data collection with the research assistants to ensure consistency of the interview techniques.

**Validity of questionnaires**

Convergent validity was assessed by performing item-scale correlations corrected for overlaps using Spearman’s correlation coefficient (rho). It was expected that item scores would correlate higher with own hypothesized sub-category scales than other sub-category scales. For example, for using the Multifactor Leadership Questionnaire, sub-categories II, IS, IC, IM would correlate higher with transformational leadership style while Cr, MEa would correlate higher with transactional leadership style and MEp, Lf would correlate higher with laissez-faire leadership style. The similar method was applied on motivation and team-climate questionnaires based on their sub-categories. Correlation values of 0.40 or above were considered satisfactory ($r\geq0.81$–1.0 as excellent, 0.61–0.80 very good, 0.41–0.60 good, 0.21–0.40 fair, and 0–0.20 poor).\textsuperscript{29}

**Statistical analysis**

All forms that were returned were first checked for completeness by principal investigator and then entered in Microsoft Access 2010 by data entrants. Dataset was transferred to Stata software version 13.0 (STATA Corp., College Station, TX, USA) for analysis. All variables with 4-point Likert scales were collapsed into dichotomous variables to simplify analysis of the highly skewed responses on the 4-point Likert scale, and for better visualization of the results.\textsuperscript{31} Response 1-“Not at all” and 2-“Once in a while” were combined to form category “LESS,” while 3-“Fairly often” and 4- “Frequent” formed “MORE.”\textsuperscript{30}

Some statements in the motivation questionnaire were negatively worded. We reversed responses to negative items so that higher scores indicate disagreement to these statements. We conducted 2 separate factor analyses to identify and confirm latent variables reported by the different questionnaires used. Exploratory factor analysis was done to identify latent variables reported by job satisfaction questionnaire and confirmatory factor analysis was done to confirm the latent factors reported. Five latent factors were identified from the job satisfaction questionnaire, while 11 latent factors of Multifactor Leadership Questionnaire, 4 of Team Climate Inventory, as well as 5 of the motivation questionnaire were confirmed.

Descriptive statistics including frequency and percentage were computed for all variables along with inferential statistics methods to include simple correlation to evaluate...
the correlations between leadership styles and job-related attributes (motivation, job satisfaction, and teamwork) and multiple logistic regression to assess the relationships between components of each leadership style as independent variables and job-related attributes as dependent ones.

**Ethical considerations**

This study was reviewed by the ethical review boards of Makerere University School of Public Health and Uganda National Council of Science and Technology and was granted institutional review board (IRB) approval, under research protocol No. 328 and National approval under registration Number SS 3952. According to the IRB protocol, an informed consent form was attached to the front page of the study data collection tools to explain and inform study participants about the study’s aims and methods and to assure them that privacy and confidentiality of the data would be maintained. Following an explanation of the study, health workers who agreed to participate in the study completed the survey questionnaires anonymously and returned them to the research assistant at the end of the day.

**Results**

**Description of samples facilities and health worker job related perceived attributes**

Regarding the internal reliability of the 4 variables, Cronbach’s $\alpha$ scores for each construct exceeded the level of 70. According to Table 2, transformational leadership behavior was displayed more often in 77% (177/228) of health facilities, while transactional and laissez-faire leadership behaviors were displayed more often in 42% (97/228) and 14% (32/228) of health facilities, respectively (Table 1). Health workers response was that 67% (153/228) were more motivated to work, 90.8% were satisfied with their jobs, and 86.8% work as teams. According to Table 2, there was moderate positive correlation between transformational leadership with teamwork ($r=0.48$), and job satisfaction ($r=0.38$) and slight correlation with motivation ($r=0.32$). Transactional leadership had a small positive correlation with job satisfaction ($r=0.21$) and a very slight positive correlation with teamwork ($r=0.18$).

**Correlation between leadership styles and motivation, job satisfaction, and teamwork**

Correlations as shown in Table 3 show that transformational leadership had a statistically significant positive relationship with motivation ($r=0.32$), job satisfaction ($r=0.38$), and teamwork ($r=0.48$), while transactional leadership had a statistically significant positive relationship with job satisfaction ($r=0.21$) and teamwork ($r=0.18$). Though laissez-faire leadership was negatively correlated with motivation, job satisfaction, and team work, this was not statistically significant.

**Leadership components associated with motivation, job satisfaction, and teamwork**

Leadership components that were positively associated with health worker motivation were II behavior and intellectual stimulation, that is, the odds of high motivation increase almost 4-fold with each unit increase in facilities whose leaders have more of idealized influence behavior (OR=3.7; 95% CI, 1.33–10.48) and 2-fold in those with more of IS behavior (OR=2.4; 95% CI, 1.13–5.15) but decreased almost 3-fold in those with more of IS behavior (OR=2.4; 95% CI, 0.22–0.85). Job satisfaction increased almost 6-fold with each unit increase among facilities whose leaders had more of IS behavior (OR=5.7; 95% CI, 1.83–17.79). The leadership components that were positively

| Major variables | Frequency (%) n=228 |
|-----------------|---------------------|
| **Region**      |                     |
| Eastern         | 88 (37.8)           |
| Rwenzi         | 81 (34.8)           |
| West-Nile       | 64 (27.5)           |
| **Health facility level** |             |
| HC II           | 55 (23.6)           |
| HC III          | 151 (64.8)          |
| HC IV           | 17 (7.3)            |
| Hospital        | 10 (2.9)            |
| **Transformational leadership** |             |
| Low score       | 51 (22.4)           |
| Higher score    | 171 (77.6)          |
| **Transactional leadership** |             |
| Low score       | 131 (57.5)          |
| Higher score    | 97 (42.5)           |
| **Laissez-faire leadership** |             |
| Low score       | 196 (86.0)          |
| Higher score    | 32 (14.0)           |
| **Motivation**  |                     |
| Low score       | 75 (32.9)           |
| Higher score    | 153 (67.1)          |
| **Job satisfaction** |                 |
| Low score       | 21 (9.2)            |
| Higher score    | 207 (90.8)          |
| **Teamwork**    |                     |
| Low score       | 30 (13.2)           |
| Higher score    | 197 (86.8)          |

Abbreviation: HC, Heath Center.
associated with teamwork among health workers were II behavior and Cr, that is, OR=3.9; 95% CI, 1.24–12.36 and 5.6; 95% CI, 1.87–17.01, respectively, as shown in Table 4.

**Discussion**

Much as extensive research has been made on the relationship between leadership styles and job-related behaviors in health care,11–33 there is limited research on exploring this relationship among health workers in resource-limited settings like Uganda. Results from this study indicate that health workers in Uganda preferred leaders who were transformational compared with being transactional or laissez-faire. Staff motivation, job satisfaction, and teamwork were positively correlated with transformational leadership whereas only staff job satisfaction and teamwork were positively correlated with transactional leadership. Motivated staff was positively associated with leaders who exhibited II (behavior and attributed) but negatively associated with those who exhibited management by exception. Job satisfaction was positively associated with only IS. Teamwork was positively associated with II (behavior and attributed) and Cr.

The study was able to conclude that the more transformational the health facility manager was, the more motivated, satisfied, and team-spirited the health worker subordinates will be compared with those whose health facility managers were transactional or laissez-faire. This finding was consistent with a study in Jimma University Hospital, which found that facilities whose leaders adopt transformational leadership have the ability to motivate their staff compared with those whose leaders adopted transactional leadership. Specifically, facility leaders who were seen to exhibit management by exception behavior were less likely to motivate their subordinates. This finding was similar to a study, which found that from the employee’s perspective, transformational leadership is positively, significantly correlated with employee autonomous motivation34 and management by exception was significantly negatively correlated with motivation.34 Therefore, the more subordinates perceived their leaders to be transformational, the more likely they were motivated and when subordinates perceived their leaders to use passive management-by-exception, subordinates tended to lose their enthusiasm.

In Uganda today, motivating workers who are poorly paid and work in difficult circumstances is still challenging. This forces leaders to maintain the “status quo,” that is, back away from problems,35 which will definitely demotivate staff. In 2007, a retention study done in Uganda to assess satisfaction and intent to stay among current health workers found that lack of supplies or equipment was viewed as being beyond anyone’s means of control but when coupled with poor management, which is usually the root cause, health workers said it was especially frustrating to them.36

Health workers whose supervisors’ behaviour was more transformational specifically IS, were more likely to be satisfied with their jobs. These findings were consistent with a study in Ethiopia,8 which found that, of all transformational leadership dimensions, the beta coefficients of IS had the strongest correlation with both intrinsic and extrinsic job satisfaction. This could be because leaders who display IS assist followers to develop new ideas, and motivate them to

**Table 3** Correlations between leadership styles and worker-related behaviors among health workers in Uganda

| Work-related behavior | Transformational | Transactional | Laissez-faire |
|-----------------------|------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Motivation            | 0.32*            | 0.05          | −0.09         |
| Teamwork              | 0.48*            | 0.18*         | −0.03         |
| Job satisfaction       | 0.38*            | 0.21*         | −0.05         |

Notes: *Denotes statistically significant values whose P-value ≤0.05

**Table 4** Logistic regression of components of each leadership style with motivation, job satisfaction, and teamwork

| Leadership styles                      | Motivation Unadjusted (OR, 95% CI) | Motivation Adjusted (OR, 95% CI) | Job satisfaction Unadjusted (OR, 95% CI) | Job satisfaction Adjusted (OR, 95% CI) | Teamwork Unadjusted (OR, 95% CI) | Teamwork Adjusted (OR, 95% CI) |
|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Idealized influence attributed*        | 7.1 (2.82–17.75)                  | 3.7 (1.33–10.48)                 | 12.2 (4.45–33.87)                       | 5.7 (1.83–17.79)                      | 9.8 (4.14–23.01)                 | 3.0 (1.07–8.57)              |
| Idealized influence behavior*          | 4.0 (2.06–7.62)                   | 2.4 (1.13–5.15)                  | 16.6 (6.73–40.93)                       | 5.6 (1.87–17.01)                      | 18.7 (7.18–48.72)                | 3.9 (1.24–12.36)             |
| Intellectual stimulation*              |                                   |                                 |                                         |                                       |                                 |                               |
| Contingent reward*                     | 0.4 (0.22–0.85)                   | 0.4 (0.19–0.82)                  |                                         |                                       |                                 |                               |

Notes: The model was controlled for health facility level (HCII, HCIII, HCIV and hospital) and region (Eastern, Rwenzori, and West-Nile). *Two groups: 0—less often vs. 1—more often; Group 1 is reported.
take alternative routes to problem solving. Non-association with other components could be related to the fact that in countries, for example, Uganda, with limited health workforce, many health care providers take on significant health leadership roles, despite minimal formal training in leadership skills and therefore will be unable to guarantee job satisfaction due to limited skills to provide performance-based appraisals and feedback to subordinates.

Team work among health workers was more likely in facilities where the leader was transformational and transactional as well. This finding is consistent with a study in the Netherlands that realized that much as the teams flourished under a leader who was more charismatic and approachable compared with one who was mostly displaying transactional leadership traits, a mixed leadership is regarded as the most effective. For leadership to be most effective, one should be able and willing to adapt according to the situation at hand. Working environment in most of the health facilities in Uganda is considered to be dynamic and constantly changing, therefore the leadership style should be mixed and the leader should have the ability to adapt to a style fitting to the occurring situation.

The study also found that Cr was the strongest predictor for team work among health workers. This study was consistent with a report of a rewards project in Uganda which, according to its project manager, had registered improved performance, targets achievement, and an enhanced balance between functions and roles. This could be because health workers may have been “awakened” by performance bonuses, and improved working environments and greater cohesion among staff.

### Strengths and limitation of this study

The greatest strength of this study was the comprehensive overview of the job related behaviors affecting health workers in Uganda. We used a large sample size (564 health workers, which was collapsed to 228 health facilities) and a high response rate (83.3%), which indicates that effect of response bias was likely minimal.

Findings should be interpreted with caution in view of the following limitation: convenience sampling of health workers who reported that day was used. This creates some form of selection bias because respondents who agreed to participate may be friendly to in-charge who selected them or if the in-charge was not involved, are more inclined to respond positively due to social desirability bias. Second, in bigger facilities (Health Center IVs and hospitals) with many health workers, three health workers may not be representative of all the health workers in the health facility. Third, considering the complexity of the socially determined constructs (job satisfaction, motivation, and teamwork), the use of a single item to measure it might be disputable. There may be other moderating factors, such as professional backgrounds, family demands, work condition and climate, patient load, mandatory overtime, shifts, and unit type, income, and age. Fourth, because we used a cross-sectional design, we only took measures once and relied on self-administered questionnaires that may lead to bias, so no causal relationship can be inferred. Finally, validity of these questionnaires was not exhaustively checked in this paper as we could only manage to check for convergent validity.

### Conclusion

Findings from this study show that even in resource-constrained settings, transformational leadership style is exhibited much more than transactional or laissez-faire leadership styles. The study further found that leaders who demonstrated transformational skills had a positive impact on stimulating motivation, assuring job satisfaction, and consolidating teamwork among health workers compared with those who demonstrated transactional skills. Leaders who demonstrated laissez-faire characteristics had a negative effect on consolidating teamwork among health workers. Therefore, strengthening transformational skills will not only improve providers’ job satisfaction and staff retention, but will also ensure efficiency in health care delivery in Uganda.

### Implication of the study

This study builds on existing leadership literature by providing further evidence for the relationship between leadership styles on follower’s behavior. Therefore, the findings of this study contribute to the existing literature on the effect of transformation leadership and transactional leadership on health worker’s motivation, job satisfaction, and teamwork in Uganda. Further studies could evaluate other mediating factors that bring about this effect, assess the benefits, challenges, and financial implications of developing innovative leadership styles in resource-limited health settings, especially in regions facing a high shortage of health workers. Results from this study can be used to better inform policy makers who want to address issues of staff retention. These results indicate that any health worker who can emulate these leadership skills, can enhance fellow staff motivation, job satisfaction, and encourage teamwork.
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## Supplementary material

**Instructions**

1. This questionnaire is to be filled by health workers found at the health facility during the time of visit.
2. All health workers are eligible to participate but should be persons who are in contact with patients at the health facility. Examples are nurses, clinicians, laboratory staff, etc.

### Background information

| Variable code | Question (variable) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
|---------------|---------------------|---|---|---|---|
| HF_ID         | Health facility code |   |   |   |   |
| HF_NAM        | Health facility Name |   |   |   |   |
| HF_LEV        | Health facility level |   |   |   |   |
| HF_REG        | Region              |   |   |   |   |
| HF_DIS        | District             |   |   |   |   |
| HF_NOI        | Code of interviewer | D | D | M | M | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| HF_HW_01      | Date of the visit   |   |   |   |   |

**Instruction:** We would like to assess your level of motivation to work in this health facility.

Basing on your current situation, Use the options below to describe/rate the level of agreement with each statement by placing a tick or circle in the boxes on the right.

1. => not at all
2. => once in a while
3. => fairly often
4. => frequently if not always

### Variable code Question (variable)

| Variable code | Question (variable)                                                                 |
|---------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| MTV_1         | General Motivation                                                                  |
| MTV_11        | These days I feel motivated to work as hard as I can.                               |
| MTV_12        | I only do this job so that I get paid at the end of the month                        |
| MTV_13        | I do this job as it provides long term security for me.                              |
| MTV_2         | Burnout                                                                             |
| MTV_21        | I feel emotionally drained at the end of every day.                                 |
| MTV_22        | Sometimes when I get up in the morning, I dread having to face another day at work. |
| MTV_3         | Job satisfaction                                                                    |
| MTV_31        | Overall, I am very satisfied with my job                                            |
| MTV_32        | I am satisfied with my colleagues in my work                                        |
| MTV_33        | I am satisfied with my supervisor                                                   |
| MTV_4         | Intrinsic job satisfaction                                                          |
| MTV_41        | I am satisfied with the opportunity to use my abilities in this job                  |
| MTV_42        | I am satisfied that I accomplish something worthwhile in this job                    |
| MTV_43        | I think that my work in this health facility is valuable these days                  |
| MTV_5         | Organizational commitment                                                           |
| MTV_51        | I am proud to be working for this health facility                                   |
| MTV_52        | I find that my values and this health facility are very similar                      |
| MTV_53        | I am glad that I work for this facility than other facilities in the country         |
| MTV_54        | I feel very committed to this health facility                                       |
| MTV_55        | This health facility really inspires me to do my very best on the job                |
Leadership is key to strengthening performance of Health Systems

**Variable code** | **Question (variable)**
---|---
MTV_6 | **Conscientiousness**
MTV_61 | I am always relied on by my colleagues at work
MTV_62 | I always complete my tasks efficiently and correctly
MTV_63 | I am a hard worker
MTV_64 | I do things that need doing without being asked or told
MTV_7 | **Timelines and attendance**
MTV_71 | I am punctual about coming to work
MTV_72 | I am always present at work
MTV_73 | It is not a problem if I sometimes come late for work

Figure S1 Motivation questionnaire for health workers.

**Instruction:** We would like to assess the level of teamwork in this facility

Basing on your current situation, please rate the level of agreement with each statement by placing a tick or circle in the boxes according to the instruction below;

1 => not at all
2 => once in a while
3 => fairly often
4 => frequently if not always

| Statements | Not at all | Once in a while | Fairly often | Frequently if not always |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| TWK_1 | To what extent do you think your team’s objectives are clearly understood by other members of the team? | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☑ |
| TWK_2 | To what extent do you think your team’s objectives have actually been achieved? | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☑ |
| TWK_3 | How worthwhile do you think these objectives are suited to the organization? | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☑ |
| TWK_4 | At this facility/department, we have a "We are in it together" attitude | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☑ |
| TWK_5 | Staff keep each other informed about work-related issues in the team. | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☑ |
| TWK_6 | Staff feel understood and accepted by each other. | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☑ |
| TWK_7 | There are real attempts to share information throughout the team | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☑ |
| TWK_8 | Are team members prepared to question the basis of what the team is doing? | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☑ |
| TWK_9 | As a team, do you critically appraise your potential weaknesses in order to achieve the best possible outcomes? | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☑ |
| TWK_10 | Do members of the team build on each other’s ideas in order to achieve the best possible outcome? | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☑ |
| TWK_11 | People in this team are always searching for fresh, new ways of looking at problems. | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☑ |
| TWK_12 | In this team we take the time needed to develop new ideas. | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☑ |
| TWK_13 | Staff in the team cooperate in order to help develop and apply new ideas. | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☑ |

Figure S2 Teamwork questionnaire for health workers.

**We would like to assess the level of Job Satisfaction you have in your job at this health facility**

Basing on your current situation, please rate the level of agreement with each statement by placing a tick or circle in the boxes according to the instruction below;

1 => not at all
2 => once in a while
3 => fairly often
4 => frequently if not always

| Statements | Not at all | Once in a while | Fairly often | Frequently if not always |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| JOS_1 | | ☐ | ☐ | ☑ |
| JOS_2 | | ☐ | ☐ | ☑ |
| JOS_3 | | ☐ | ☐ | ☑ |
| JOS_4 | | ☐ | ☐ | ☑ |
| JOS_5 | | ☐ | ☐ | ☑ |
| JOS_6 | | ☐ | ☐ | ☑ |
| JOS_7 | | ☐ | ☐ | ☑ |
| JOS_8 | | ☐ | ☐ | ☑ |
| JOS_9 | | ☐ | ☐ | ☑ |
| JOS_10 | | ☐ | ☐ | ☑ |
| JOS_11 | | ☐ | ☐ | ☑ |
| JOS_12 | | ☐ | ☐ | ☑ |
| JOS_13 | | ☐ | ☐ | ☑ |
| Statement                                                                 | Score 1 | Score 2 | Score 3 | Score 4 |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Considering everything, I am satisfied with my job                       |         |         |         |         |
| When I come to work, I know what is expected of me                       |         |         |         |         |
| I have good friends at work                                              |         |         |         |         |
| I am actively involved in helping to make this a great health care facility |         |         |         |         |
| The job is a good match for my skills and experience                     |         |         |         |         |
| I consider myself a part of this community                               |         |         |         |         |
| I would encourage my friends and family to seek care here                |         |         |         |         |
| My supervisor is available when I need support                           |         |         |         |         |
| I feel respected at work because my opinion seems to matter              |         |         |         |         |
| I have been given the training needed to succeed in my position          |         |         |         |         |
| I am fairly evaluated on my work                                         |         |         |         |         |
| My immediate supervisor cares about me as a person                       |         |         |         |         |
| The managers in this health facility are committed and competent         |         |         |         |         |
| I have the flexibility to balance the demands of my workplace and my personal life |         |         |         |         |
| The organization takes specific measures to protect me against infection |         |         |         |         |
| I receive recognition for doing good work                                |         |         |         |         |
| In the past six months, someone has talked to me to encourage my development |         |         |         |         |
| Overall, the morale level at my department or section is good            |         |         |         |         |
| This is a fun place to work; The work I am doing is stimulating          |         |         |         |         |
| I have been abused (physically, emotionally, verbally) by a supervisor    |         |         |         |         |
| I have been abused (physically, emotionally, verbally) by patients/their friends/family members |         |         |         |         |
| I have been abused (physically, emotionally, verbally) while traveling to/from work |         |         |         |         |
| I have been abused (physically, emotionally, verbally) by my Colleagues.  |         |         |         |         |

Figure S3 Job satisfaction questionnaire.