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ABSTRACT: The photoredox activity of well-known Ru$^{II}$ complexes stems from metal-to-ligand charge transfer (MLCT) excited states, in which a ligand-based electron can initiate chemical reductions and a metal-centered hole can trigger oxidations. Cr$^{III}$ polypyridines show similar photoredox properties, although they have fundamentally different electronic structures. Their photoactive excited state is of spin-flip nature, differing from the electronic ground state merely by a change of one electron spin, but with otherwise identical d-orbital occupancy. We find that the driving-force dependence for photoinduced electron transfer from 10 different donors to a spin-flip excited state of a Cr$^{III}$ complex is very similar to that for a Ru$^{II}$ polypyridine, and thereby validate the concept of estimating the redox potential of d$^{3}$ spin-flip excited states in analogous manner as for the MLCT states of d$^{6}$ compounds. Building on this insight, we use our Cr$^{III}$ complex for photocatalytic reactions not previously explored with this compound class, including the aerobic bromination of methoxyaryl, oxygenation of 1,1,2,2-tetraphenylethylene, aerobic hydroxylation of arylboronic acids, and the vinylation of N-phenyl pyrrolidine. This work contributes to understanding the fundamental photochemical properties of first-row transition-metal complexes in comparison to well-explored precious-metal-based photocatalysts.

INTRODUCTION

Transition-metal complexes with the 4d$^{6}$ and 5d$^{6}$ valence electron configurations are widely employed in photophysics and photochemistry. Prominent representatives are Ru$^{II}$ polypyridine and cyclometalated Ir$^{III}$ complexes, with applications in light-emitting devices, dye-sensitized solar cells, artificial photosynthesis, photocalysis, and photodynamic therapy. The versatility of these d$^{6}$ metal complexes is largely due to their electronic structure with metal-centered highest occupied molecular orbitals (HOMOs) and ligand-based lowest unoccupied molecular orbitals (LUMOs), leading to an energetically lowest excited state of MLCT type (Figure 1). In this photoactive state, the ligand-based excited electron can easily be transferred to suitable acceptors to accomplish photochemical reductions, or the metal-centered electron vacancy can induce photo-oxidations.

The metal-to-ligand charge transfer (MLCT) character of the lowest excited state with an electron delocalized over one or several ligands and a hole centered on the metal is therefore often considered as the key to these photoredox properties. Similar reactivity is observable for Cu$^{II}$ (3d$^{10}$) complexes with photoactive MLCT excited states.

Cr$^{III}$ polypyridine complexes look structurally similar to well-known Ru$^{II}$ analogues at first glance but have fundamentally different electronic structures due to their 3d$^{3}$ valence electron configuration. In strong ligand fields, the two lowest (usually thermally equilibrated) E and T states are so-called spin-flip excited states, which are metal-centered and do not involve the ligands. The E state differs from the electronic ground state merely by the change of one electron spin, but otherwise the d-orbital occupancy is identical (Figure 1). Evidently, there is no ligand-based electron and no metal-centered hole as in the case of MLCT-excited d$^{6}$ metal complexes (Figure 1).

The reduction potential of any electronically excited state (E($\Delta$A/$\Delta$A$^*$)), regardless of its specific nature, is usually estimated by adding the energy difference between the ground state and the energetically lowest excited state (E$^{0}$, in units of eV, divided by the elementary charge e) to the ground-state reduction potential (E$^{0}$(A/A$^*$)).

\[ E^{\Delta}(\Delta A/\Delta A^*) = E^{0}(A/A^*) + E^{0}/e \]  

Equation 1 can be rationalized within the orbital picture of low-spin d$^{3}$ metal complexes (Figure 1) because the MLCT excitation creates an electron vacancy in a metal-centered t$_{2g}$-orbital, energetically roughly by the value of E$^{0}$ below the ligand-based π* orbital, in which electrochemical reduction in
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\caption{Energy-level diagrams and pertinent electron configurations of octahedrally coordinated low-spin d\(^6\) and d\(^3\) metal complexes (including metal-based \(t_2\) and e orbitals along with ligand-centered \(\pi^*\) orbitals) in their respective electronic ground states (\(\mathbf{^1A_1}\), \(\mathbf{^1A_J}\)) and lowest electronically excited states (\(\mathbf{^3}\)MLCT, \(\mathbf{^5}\)E). For low-spin d\(^6\) metal complexes, the \(\mathbf{^3}\)MLCT–\(\mathbf{^1}\)A\(_1\) energy difference roughly equals the difference between the \(\pi^*\) and the \(t_2\) orbitals. For d\(^3\) metal complexes, the \(\mathbf{^5}\)E–\(\mathbf{^3}\)A\(_1\) energy difference reflects the change in electron exchange interactions. The structures of [Ru(bpz)]\(^{2+}\) and [Cr(dqp)]\(^{3+}\) are shown at the bottom.}
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the electronic ground state occurs. Consequently, excited-state
reduction occurs more easily by the value \(E^{00}/e\) than ground-
state reduction. The same logic can however not be applied to
the \(\mathbf{^3}\)E state of Cr\(^{3+}\) because in this case no electron vacancy in
a metal-centered \(t_2\)-orbital is newly created, merely one of the
electron spins is flipped. In this case, \(E^{00}\) reflects essentially a
change in electron exchange interactions.

Some Cr\(^{3+}\) complexes have long been known as strong
photo-oxidants,\(^{21-25}\) whereas more recently developed Cr\(^{3+}\)
compounds are yet underexplored in this capacity, presumably
because the main focus until now has been on their
photoluminescence behavior.\(^{26-31}\) So far, mostly photo-
cycloadditions and direct reactions with oxygen have been
investigated with Cr\(^{3+}\) photocatalysts,\(^{42-48}\) representing a
much more restricted reactivity scope than that of Ru\(^{3+}\) and
Ir\(^{3+}\) compounds.\(^{13}\)

In the first part of this study, we directly compare the photo-
oxidation behavior of the recently developed [Cr(dqp)]\(^{3+}\)
complex (Figure 1, dqp = 2,6-bis(8'-quinolinyl)pyridine)\(^{28}\) with the well-known [Ru(bpz)]\(^{3+}\) complex (bpz = 2,2'-
bipyrazine). We find that the driving-force dependence for
photo-oxidation of 10 different electron donors is very similar
for both [Cr(dqp)]\(^{3+}\) and [Ru(bpz)]\(^{3+}\). Our analysis confirms
that the redox potential of the lowest spin-flip excited state in
Cr\(^{3+}\) complexes can be determined in the same manner as for
\(\mathbf{^3}\)MLCT states in Ru\(^{3+}\) compounds, despite the very
fundamental differences explained earlier (Figure 1). In the
second part of this study, we have been able to increase the
scope of photoredox catalytic applications of Cr\(^{3+}\) spin-flip
excited states substantially beyond the current state-of-the-art.

\section{RESULTS AND DISCUSSION}

\textbf{Photoinduced Electron Transfer Reactivity.} The UV–
vis absorption spectrum of [Cr(dqp)]\(^{3+}\) in dry acetonitri-
le (Figure 2a, black trace) features the typical absorptions at
335 and 377 nm and a shoulder tailing to 450 nm. Upon
excitation at 410 nm, two narrow emission bands at 724 and
747 nm are observable (Figure 2a, red trace), attributable to
luminescence from the energetically lowest \(\mathbf{^3}\)E state (747 nm)
and the thermally populated \(\mathbf{^2}\)T\(_1\) state (724 nm).\(^{28}\) Excitation
spectra monitoring these two emission wavelengths match the
ground state UV–vis absorption spectrum of [Cr(dqp)]\(^{3+}\) well
(Figure S6). With increasing temperature, the emission
intensity at 724 nm increases relative to the emission intensity
at 747 nm (Figure S10). At 77 K, only the emission at 747 nm
remains observable (Figure 2a, red dotted trace). The \(\mathbf{^3}\)E state
is typically energetically below the \(\mathbf{^2}\)T\(_1\) state for most Cr\(^{3+}\)
complexes with pseudo-octahedral geometry,\(^{28,30,49}\) though there seem to be exceptions.\(^9\)

In cyclic voltammetry, the first reduction of [Cr(dqp)]\(^{3+}\) is
observed at –0.4 V vs SCE (Figure S1), in line with the
literature.\(^{28}\) Consequently, a potential of –0.5 V vs SCE was
applied for reductive UV–vis (Figure 2b, green trace) and NIR
spectro-electrochemistry (Figure S3). The resulting difference
spectra (for which the UV–vis and NIR absorption spectra of
the [Cr(dqp)]\(^{3+}\) complex prior to applying the potential
served as baseline) are assigned to the Cr\(^{3+}\) complex with one
dqp ligand reduced to its radical anion form. In particular, the
presence of an inter-valence charge-transfer band in the NIR
region is in line with reports on Cr\(^{3+}\) complexes with other
redox noninnocent polyglypyridyl ligands.\(^{51,52}\)

We started our studies of photoinduced electron transfer
with a solution containing 35 \(\mu\)M [Cr(dqp)]\(^{3+}\) and 100 \(\mu\)M
tris(p-ansilyl)amine (TAA) in dry argon-saturated acetonitrile
at 20 °C. [Cr(dqp)]\(^{3+}\) was excited at 425 nm with \(\sim\)10 ns
laser pulses and transient UV–vis absorption spectra were
recorded after different delay times. Immediately after the
laser pulses, the observable transient UV–vis absorption spectrum
(Figure 2c, red trace) is identical to the transient UV–vis
absorption spectrum of a neat solution of [Cr(dqp)]\(^{3+}\) in dry
argon-saturated acetonitrile recorded under identical
conditions, but without any TAA additive present (Figure S7).
This transient UV–vis absorption spectrum features a band
maximum at 440 nm along with a prominent bleach at 380 nm,
and these two signals are assigned to the \(\mathbf{^3}\)E,\(\mathbf{^2}\)T\(_1\)-excited
[Cr(dqp)]\(^{3+}\) complex because they show identical decay
behavior (\(\tau_0 = 2.1\) ms) as the photoluminescence signal at 747
nm (Figure S8). For the solution containing both [Cr-
(dqp)]\(^{3+}\) and TAA, a fundamentally different transient UV–
vis absorption spectrum becomes recordable after a delay of 10
\(\mu\)s (Figure 2c, blue trace). The initially present spectral
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argon-saturated acetonitrile at 20 C. The inset in Figure 2c shows the decay of the transient UV–vis absorption signal of \( \text{[Cr(dqp) \_3]}^{3+} \) at 440 nm and the growth of TAA* absorption at 717 nm (single-wavelength kinetics), both of which follow the same kinetics. Collectively, the data in Figure 2 clearly demonstrate that electron transfer occurs from TAA directly to photoexcited \( \text{[Cr(dqp) \_3]}^{3+} \), i.e., reductive excited-state quenching is taking place. For this specific experiment, a comparatively low concentration of electron donor (100 \( \mu \) M) was employed to facilitate the recording of spectra over the entire visible range and to decelerate the electron transfer event to a convenient timescale.

To explore the driving-force dependence of photoinduced electron transfer with \( \text{[Cr(dqp) \_3]}^{3+} \), a series of electron donors with oxidation potentials (\( E^0(D^+/D) \)) in the range of 0.55–1.54 V vs SCE (Table S1) were chosen. Using time-resolved luminescence and UV–vis transient absorption spectroscopy, the reductive quenching of \( 2E^0/T_1 \)-excited \( \text{[Cr(dqp) \_3]}^{3+} \) was studied in Stern–Volmer-type experiments. Full experimental details are reported in the Supporting Information, the individual Stern–Volmer plots are given in Figures S11–S20, and the obtained rate constants for bimolecular excited-state quenching (\( k_q \)) are summarized in Table S1. The blue circles in Figure 3 illustrate how \( k_q \) depends on \( \Delta G_{ET} \), the reaction free energy for electron transfer from the individual donors to \( 2E^0/T_1 \)-excited \( \text{[Cr(dqp) \_3]}^{3+} \). Equation 2 was used to estimate \( \Delta G_{ET} \), where \( E^0(D^+/D) \) is the donor oxidation potential and
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**Figure 3.** Rate constants for bimolecular electron transfer (\( k_q \)) from selected electron donors (inset at the bottom left) to photoexcited \( \text{[Cr(dqp) \_3]}^{3+} \) (blue circles) and \( \text{[Ru(bpz) \_3]}^{2+} \) (red squares) as a function of reaction free energy (\( \Delta G_{ET} \)), as estimated by eqs 1 and 2 (using reduction potentials and \( E^0 \) values as indicated in Figures S4 and S5). Least-square fits using eq 3 are shown as solid blue and red lines. Best fits were obtained with \( k_q \) values of (1.7 ± 0.2) \( \times 10^{10} \) and (1.8 ± 0.2) \( \times 10^{10} \) M\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\), and \( \Delta G_{ET} \) values of 0.14 ± 0.01 and 0.16 ± 0.01 eV for \( \text{[Cr(dqp) \_3]}^{3+} \) and \( \text{[Ru(bpz) \_3]}^{2+} \) in acetonitrile, respectively.
Figure 4. (a) Qualitative energy-level diagrams containing the pertinent electronically excited states of [Cr(dqp)]$^{3+}$ (left) and [Ru(bpz)$_3$]$^{2+}$ (right), along with relevant photophysical processes (ISC = intersystem crossing, IC = internal conversion) and selected microstates (gray). (b) Qualitative single-configurational coordinate diagrams for [Cr(dqp)]$^{3+}$ (left) and [Ru(bpz)$_3$]$^{2+}$ (right).

$E^0(\mathrm{A/A}^{\bullet\bullet})$ represents the excited-state acceptor reduction potential as defined by eq 1; work terms are neglected in eq 2.

$$ \Delta G_{\mathrm{ET}} = E^0(\mathrm{D}^{\bullet\bullet}/\mathrm{D}) - E^0(\mathrm{A}/\mathrm{A}^{\bullet\bullet}) $$ (2)

The trend observable for the blue circles in Figure 3 fits qualitatively with the observations by Rehm and Weller in their studies of bimolecular quenching of excited organic acceptors with a similar set of electron donors. To compare the photoinduced electron transfer behavior of [Cr(dqp)]$^{3+}$ to a d$^6$ metal complex, we identified [Ru(bpz)$_3$]$^{2+}$ as a suitable reference compound in combination with the same electron donor set. The respective luminescence quenching data and Stern–Volmer plots, from which the bimolecular excited-state quenching rate constants $k_q$ were obtained are displayed in Figures S21–S30, and the respective $k_q$ values are summarized in Table S1. Using eq 2 and the relevant donor oxidation (Table S1) and acceptor reduction potentials (Figure S5), $\Delta G_{\mathrm{ET}}$ values between +0.09 and −0.9 eV are calculated, and the red squares in Figure 3 illustrate the dependence of $k_q$ on $\Delta G_{\mathrm{ET}}$ for MLCT-excited [Ru(bpz)$_3$]$^{2+}$. For $2^E/2^T_1$-excited [Cr(dqp)]$^{3+}$, the $\Delta G_{\mathrm{ET}}$ range is slightly different and ranges from +0.28 to −0.71 eV, due to the 0.19 V difference in excited-state reduction potentials estimated for [Cr(dqp)$_2$]$^{3+}$ and [Ru(bpz)$_3$]$^{2+}$ on the basis of eq 1. Evidently, the two data sets in Figure 3 are very similar despite the fundamental differences between MLCT states and $2^E/2^T_1$ spin-flip state outlined above.

Quantitatively, the dependence of $k_q$ on $\Delta G_{\mathrm{ET}}$ can be described with the empirical Rehm–Weller equation

$$ k_q = k_d/[1 + 0.25 \times [\exp(\Delta G_{\mathrm{ET}}^{\dagger}/k_BT)$$

$$+ \exp(\Delta G_{\mathrm{ET}}^{\dagger}/k_BT)])] $$ (3)

$$ \Delta G_{\mathrm{ET}}^{\dagger} = [(\Delta G_{\mathrm{ET}}/2)^2 + \Delta G_{\mathrm{ET}}^{\dagger}(0)^2]^{1/2} + (\Delta G_{\mathrm{ET}}/2) $$ (4)

where $k_d$ is the rate constant for diffusional encounter, $\Delta G_{\mathrm{ET}}^{\dagger}$ is the free energy of activation, $\Delta G_{\mathrm{ET}}^{\dagger}(0)$ is the activation free energy at $\Delta G_{\mathrm{ET}} = 0$, $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant, and $T$ is the temperature. Least-square fits for both data sets to eq 3 are shown as solid blue and red lines in Figure 3. For [Cr(dqp)$_2$]$^{3+}$, best fits yielded $k_d = (1.7 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{10}$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ and $\Delta G_{\mathrm{ET}}^{\dagger}(0) = 0.14 \pm 0.01$ eV, whereas for [Ru(bpz)$_3$]$^{2+}$, $k_d = (1.8 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{10}$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ and $\Delta G_{\mathrm{ET}}^{\dagger}(0) = 0.16 \pm 0.01$ eV were obtained. These values are similar to those previously reported in a comparative study of [Cr(bpy)$_3$]$^{3+}$ and [Ru(bpy)$_3$]$^{2+}$ (bpy = 2,2’-bipyridine) with similar electron donors. Furthermore, the parameters obtained for [Cr(dqp)$_2$]$^{3+}$ and [Ru(bpz)$_3$]$^{2+}$ are close to each other, reflecting the finding that the dependence of $k_q$ on $\Delta G_{\mathrm{ET}}$ is very similar in these two cases. Since the $\Delta G_{\mathrm{ET}}$ values in Figure 3 rely on the assumption that eq 1 is applicable to both metal complexes, the similarity of the two experimental data sets strongly suggests that this fundamental assumption is indeed valid also for the photoactive spin-flip excited state of Cr$^{3+}$.

This finding implies that relative to the electronic ground state, ligand-based reduction in the metal-centered $2^E/2^T_1$-excited state of [Cr(dqp)]$^{3+}$ is facilitated to the same extent as in the MLCT-excited $d^6$ metal complexes. In the simplified orbital picture of Figure 1, this cannot be readily rationalized because it fails to capture differences in electron–electron interaction between the individual microstates. The key point is that in the $1^A_1$ ground state of Cr$^{3+}$, three electron spins are aligned and thus experience exchange stabilization, whereas in the $2^E$ excited state of Cr$^{3+}$ that exchange stabilization is lowered due to the spin-flip. The energy difference between the $2^E$ and $4^A_2$ states ($E^0$ in Figure 4a) then essentially corresponds to that change in exchange stabilization, rather than a difference in orbital energies, such as the case for the MLCT excited state of Ru$^{II}$. Equation 1 can be seen as the adaptation of a thermodynamic cycle, in which every change to free energy of a state directly influences its thermodynamic properties including its redox potentials. Consequently, the nature of the excited state is in principle irrelevant to the applicability of eq 1, except if major structural changes have to be considered that influence the entropy of the system. In the MLCT excited states of $d^6$ metal complexes with a formally oxidized metal center and a formally reduced ligand, such structural changes are present, as visualized by a horizontal shift along the nuclear coordinate axis of the potential well diagram in Figure 4b. In a spin-flip excited state, on the other hand, this is not the case, and consequently, the
estimation of the excited state redox potential by eq 1 should be even more valid than for MLCT excited states.

Cage Escape Yield and Photostability. To determine the cage escape yield of the photoproducts between $^2E/^2T_{1}$ excited [Cr(dqp)$_2$]$_{3}^{3+}$ and TAA, relative actinometry in combination with laser flash photolysis was performed. TAA was used as an electron donor because its radical cation has a characteristic absorption at 717 nm with a molar extinction coefficient ($\varepsilon_{717}$) of 32 800 M$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$.$^{56}$ In comparison, the one-electron reduced chromium complex features negligible extinction at that wavelength (Figure 2); hence, the TAA$^{•+}$ photoprodut can be detected relatively cleanly at 717 nm.

The concentration of the cage escape yield of the photoproducts between 13%, as emphasized by the concentration of the MLCT-excited [Ru(bpy)$_2$]$_{3}^{2+}$ in water at 717 nm was monitored (red trace in the main part of Figure 5). Based on the known change in molar extinction coefficient at that wavelength ($\Delta \varepsilon_{717} = -10 100$ M$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$), and based on the fact that intersystem crossing from the initially excited $^1$MLCT to the $^3$MLCT state for [Ru(bpy)$_2$]$_{3}^{2+}$ in water is quantitative, the concentration of $^3$MLCT-excited [Ru(bpy)$_2$]$_{3}^{2+}$ complexes can be determined from the experimentally observable change in optical density at $\lambda = 0$ of the respective bleach recovery. In the representation chosen for Figure 5a, the respective concentration has been set to a normalized value of 1 (red trace). Since the [Cr(dqp)$_2$]$_{3}^{3+}$/TAA solution has the same optical density at the excitation wavelength of 417 nm, [Cr(dqp)$_2$]$_{3}^{3+}$ is expected to absorb an equal amount of photons as the [Ru(bpy)$_2$]$_{3}^{2+}$ solution. Based on the experimentally detectable change in optical density at 717 nm for the [Cr(dqp)$_2$]$_{3}^{3+}$/TAA solution (blue trace in the main part of Figure 5a) and the above-mentioned molar extinction coefficient ($\varepsilon_{717} = 32 800$ M$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$), the concentration of TAA$^{•+}$ can be determined. Relative to the $^3$MLCT concentration after [Ru(bpy)$_2$]$_{3}^{2+}$ excitation, the TAA$^{•+}$ concentration amounts to 13%, as emphasized by the horizontal black dotted line in Figure 5a. This photoproduct quantum yield of 13% is higher than the cage escape yields of 1–7% determined recently for an F$_{2}^{•}$ complex and various electron donors in polar solvents.$^{59}$ This finding illustrates that the formation of electron transfer photoproducts from a spin-flip excited state can be similarly efficient as from the charge-transfer excited state of another first-row transition-metal complex.

For photocatalytic applications, high quantum yields for photoproduction formation are desirable because low yields imply that more excitation events are necessary until full substrate conversion is reached, whereby the risk of photodegradation increases. To probe the photostability of [Cr(dqp)$_2$]$_{3}^{3+}$, an argon-saturated acetonitrile solution with an optical density of 0.32 at 405 nm was irradiated by a 520 mW continuous-wave laser at that wavelength, and the emission intensity at 747 nm was monitored as a function of irradiation time (yellow trace in Figure 5b). After 170 min of continuous high-power illumination, roughly 75% of the initially present [Cr(dqp)$_2$]$_{3}^{3+}$ have degraded, presumably because the very long $^2E/^2T_{1}$-excited state lifetime of 2.1 ms (Figure S8) gives ample opportunity for decomposition. A photodegradation quantum yield $\Phi_{degr}$, defined as the number of decomposed photosensitizer molecules divided by the number of absorbed photons (see Supporting Information for details), of 0.0019%
was determined. This value compares favorably to the photodegradation quantum yield determined for \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2^{2+}]\) (\(\Phi_{\text{deg}} = 0.028\%\)) and \([\text{Ir(ppy)}_3^2\text{]+}}\) (\(\Phi_{\text{deg}} = 0.0018\%\)). Remarkably, in an air-saturated solution, essentially no photodegradation is detectable after 170 minutes of irradiation with the same experimental setup, even though under these conditions \(\text{O}_2\) is likely formed, thereby shortening the \(\text{E}^2/\text{E}^1\) lifetime to 31 \(\mu\)s (Figure S9). The importance of oxygen to enhance the stability of \(\text{Cr}^{II}\) catalysts has been noted already in previous studies of catalytic Diels–Alder cycloaddition reactions,\(^{43}\) and a similar improvement in photostability in the presence of a quencher has been observed recently for an \(\text{Ir}^{III}\) photocatalyst.\(^{61}\) Though the experiments in Figure S5 involved very low \([\text{Cr(dqp)}_2]^{3+}\) concentrations in closed cuvettes, their outcome suggests that aerobic conditions can be used for photoredox reactions requiring higher concentrations and an extended amount of irradiation time.

Photocatalytic Applications. According to the driving-force dependence in Figure 3, an estimate of 1.26 V vs SCE based on eq 1 is adequate for \(\text{E}^2/\text{E}^1\)-excited \([\text{Cr(dqp)}_2]^{3+}\) (Figure S4). This opens the possibility for photocatalytic applications that have classically been performed with \(\text{Ru}^{II}\) or \(\text{Ir}^{III}\) complexes or organic photo-oxidants.\(^{15,60}\) So far, mostly photo-cycloaddition reactions have been investigated with \(\text{Cr}^{III}\) complexes based on polypyridine ligands, and important insights concerning the role of oxygen as a redox shuttle in the catalytic cycle of \(\text{Cr}^{III}\) have been gained.\(^{42–45,48}\) A more recently developed \(\text{Cr}^{III}\) complex with a much more negative and metal-centered reduction was used to generate \(\text{O}_2\), which subsequently triggered C–H activation of aliphatic amines followed by trapping with nitriles.\(^{47}\) However, \(\text{O}_2\) generation can be accomplished with many different photosensitizers,\(^{54–66}\) and there seems to be no obvious benefit from using \(\text{Cr}^{III}\) complexes for that purpose. However, compared to most \(\text{Ru}^{II}\) polypyridine and many cyclometalated \(\text{Ir}^{III}\) complexes,\(^{67}\) \([\text{Cr(dqp)}_2]^{3+}\) and its \(\text{Cr}^{III}\) congeners should be relatively strong photo-oxidants,\(^{46}\) and therefore we explored several new examples of photocatalytic applications that specifically exploit the strong oxidative power of \(\text{E}^2/\text{E}^1\)-excited \([\text{Cr(dqp)}_2]^{3+}\).

Acridinium cations have evolved into increasingly popular photo-oxidants over the past decade,\(^{58,60}\) and the prototypical 9-mesityl-10-methylacridinium ion (Acr\(^{-}\)-Mes) with an excited-state reduction potential of 1.88 V vs SCE\(^{73}\) has been used for diverse photocatalytic applications including a photocatalytic oxidative bromination method.\(^{62}\) Although \(\text{E}^2/\text{E}^1\)-excited \([\text{Cr(dqp)}_2]^{3+}\) is a weaker oxidant than Acr\(^{-}\)-Mes, we anticipated that this \(\text{Cr}^{III}\) complex could catalyze the bromination of methoxynarenes because the Stern–Volmer experiments summarized in Figure 3 indicate that this compound class is readily photo-oxidized. Using 1,2,4-trimethoxybenzene (1,2,4-TMB) as substrate, the singly brominated product is obtained with 100% conversion and 91% yield after 120 min of \(\text{Cr}^{III}\) irradiation at 415 nm (Figures 6a and S34). The selective bromination at the unsubstituted 5-position was followed as a function of irradiation time using \(\text{H}^+\) NMR spectroscopy (Figure S35). In a control experiment, in which 5 mol % of neat ligand instead of \([\text{Cr(dqp)}_2]\) (PPh\(_3\)) was added, no reactivity was observed, and the employed substrates do not absorb at the output of the 415 nm light-emitting diode (LED) (Figures S33 and S41). When using tetra-n-butylammonium bromide instead of HBr, no reaction occurs, indicating that acidic conditions are crucial for this reaction to proceed. To assess whether a radical chain mechanism could be operative, an irradiation pause of 30 min was made after 30 min of irradiation (leading to 31% conversion). The \(\text{H}^+\) NMR spectra before and after the pause were identical and showed
no further reaction progress, and additional photo-irradiation was needed to drive the reaction to completion (Figure S36).

Photocatalytic bromination of 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene (1,3,5-TMB) led to a maximum yield for the monobrominated product of 78% after 30 min (Figure 6a), along with minor formation (8%) of the dibrominated product and a 96% conversion of the starting material. After 210 min of irradiation, full conversion of the starting material and of the singly brominated product was achieved, to yield 91% of doubly brominated product (Figure S37). The reaction progress as a function of irradiation time was followed by ¹H NMR spectroscopy and revealed almost textbook-quality A → B → C reaction kinetics (Figure S39).

Bromination of 1-methoxynaphthalene (1-MN) was performed on a larger scale with 17.5 mM substrate in 20 mL of acetonitrile, and the product 1-bromo-4-methoxynaphthalene was isolated with 91% yield (Figures 6a and S40). In principle, the reductive excited-state quenching of the photocatalyst by 1-MN, 1,3,5-TMB and the mono-brominated form of the latter (the redox potentials of 1,3,5-TMB and its mono-brominated form are similar, Figure S38 and Table S1) are endergonic by up to 0.3 eV (Figure 3), yet the respective photoreactions readily proceed with excellent yields. This is likely due to the very long lifetime of $\textit{E}^{2}$/T₁-excited [Cr(dqp)$_2$]$^{3+}$ even in aerated acetonitrile ($\tau_{\text{air}}$ = 31 μs, Figure S59), giving ample opportunity for collisional encounters with substrate molecules and thereby increasing the probability of productive electron transfer. We term this behavior “high kinetic reactivity”, which compensates for the lower thermodynamic reactivity compared to some acridinium ions.

A plausible mechanism for the reactions from Figure 6a, based on a previously reported mechanism for acridinium photocatalysis, is shown in Figure 6b. After irradiation of [Cr(dqp)$_2$]$^{3+}$ with visible light, the substrate reductively quenches the $\textit{E}^{2}$/T₁ excited states and forms a methoxyaryl radical cation, that is subsequently trapped by bromide. Oxygen recovers the photocatalyst and is itself protonated to give the hydroperoxy radical, which then acts as an oxidant for the brominated radical intermediate of the substrate. In this reaction, 1 equiv of product is formed together with hydrogen peroxide, and the latter can act as an oxidant in combination with HBr to form a second equivalent of product.

Next, we explored the photocatalytic oxygenation of 1,1,2,2-tetraphenylethene (TPE) with oxygen followed by C–C bond cleavage to two benzene units. Irradiation with a 415 nm LED (7.0 W output) with 400 nm long pass filter, 15 mM substrate, 2.0 equiv of 1,4-dichlorobenzene as internal ¹H NMR standard, 20 equiv of trifluoroacetic acid (TFA), and 5 mol % of catalyst in 0.6 mL of CD$_3$CN/CDCl$_3$ (1/1, v/v) in closed NMR tubes under air at up to 35 °C (temperature fluctuations caused by the LED radiation). Yields are referenced to the internal standard. (b) Plausible mechanism based on a previous report with 9-mesityl-10-methylacridinium ion (Acr-Mes) as a photocatalyst.
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Figure 7. (a) Photocatalytic oxygenation of 1,1,2,2-tetraphenylethene (TPE) with oxygen followed by C–C bond cleavage to two benzene units. Irradiation with a 415 nm LED (7.0 W output) with 400 nm long pass filter, 15 mM substrate, 2.0 equiv of 1,4-dichlorobenzene as internal ¹H NMR standard, 20 equiv of trifluoroacetic acid (TFA), and 5 mol % of catalyst in 0.6 mL of CD$_3$CN/CDCl$_3$ (1/1, v/v) in closed NMR tubes under air at up to 35 °C (temperature fluctuations caused by the LED radiation). Yields are referenced to the internal standard. (b) Plausible mechanism based on a previous report with 9-mesityl-10-methylacridinium ion (Acr-Mes) as a photocatalyst.

and consequently, the reaction from Figure 7a was repeated under air in the presence of 20 equiv of trifluoroacetic acid (TFA). Under these conditions, full conversion of the starting material was already reached after 4 h of irradiation with a product yield of 61%. After two additional hours of irradiation, the product yield further increased to 64%, and after a total of 24 h of irradiation, a yield of 75% was reached, with no detectable NMR resonances attributable to the above-mentioned peroxide intermediate left.

Based on these observations, the previously reported mechanistic proposal for the oxygenation of TPE by an acridinium photocatalyst is adapted as illustrated in Figure 7b. In the first catalytic cycle, TPE reductively quenches $\textit{E}^{2}$/T₁-excited [Cr(dqp)$_2$]$^{3+}$ and forms the radical cation of
TPE. Oxygen recovers the photocatalyst under protonation to the hydroperoxyl radical, which subsequently reacts with TPE• to form the above-mentioned peroxide intermediate (TPE-O₂, marked in purple in Figure 7b). In the second catalytic cycle, TPE-O₂ reductively quenches \(^1\)E/\(^1\)T, excited \([\text{Cr(dqp)}]^{3+}\) and forms TPE-O₂•, and the latter decomposes into one benzophenone molecule and one benzophenone radical cation. Oxygen likely mediates both the oxidation of \([\text{Cr(dqp)}]^{3+}\) back to \([\text{Cr(dqp)}]^{3+}\), as well as the reduction of the benzophenone radical cation to a second equivalent of product. This mechanistic proposal seems in line with the observation of improved product yields under acidic conditions. Although cycle 1 seemed fully functional in the absence of TFA, as is evident from the observable formation of \(^1\)O₂ formation, which causes side product formation.

The reductive \(^2\)E/\(^1\)T• excited-state quenching of \([\text{Cr(dqp)}]^{3+}\) by TPE is endergonic by 0.32 eV (\(E^\ddagger = 1.58 \text{ V vs SCE}\)) and therefore evincedly profits from the long excited state lifetime of \([\text{Cr(dqp)}]^{3+}\) under standard atmospheric oxygen concentrations (\(\tau_{\text{air}} = 31 \mu\text{s}\), Figure S9). The lower oxygen concentration under atmospheric conditions compared to neat O₂ atmosphere can be compensated by the addition of a strong Bronsted acid, similar to that found for Bronsted acid-promoted Cu²⁺ oxidation mediated by oxygen in a [Cu(dap)]⁺ (dap = 2,9-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)-1,10-phenanthroline)-catalyzed C=H bond functionalization. In principle, a doublet-triplet energy transfer reaction pathway is also conceivable, but TPE has a triplet energy above 2.2 eV, which makes this reaction endergonic by at least 0.5 eV. Against this background, photoinduced electron transfer seems more plausible than doublet-triplet energy transfer.

Photocatalytic aerobic hydroxylation of arylboronic acids and their pinacol esters to phenols has been explored previously with [Ru(bpy)₃]²⁺ as a photocatalyst, using aliphatic amines as sacrificial electron donors in dimethylformamide (DMF) under an oxygen atmosphere. Later, a metal-free alternative with methylene blue in a mixture of acetonitrile and water was developed. The same photo reaction was furthermore accomplished in neat water with water-soluble N-substituted 3-(10H)-acridone. The same reactivity turns out to be reproducible with [Cr(dap)]²⁺(PF₆)₂ for different substrates and N,N-diosopropylethylamine (DIPEA) as a sacrificial electron donor in a 4/1 (v/v) mixture of acetonitrile and water and was developed. The same photo reaction was furthermore accomplished in neat water with water-soluble N-substituted 3-(10H)-acridone.

The reactions were conducted under an oxygen atmosphere because reactions under air resulted in a maximum of 50% conversion after 20 h of irradiation. At high oxygen concentrations, energy transfer to \(^1\)O₂ could potentially become a viable excited-state quenching pathway that competes with the reductive quenching by DIPEA. The latter is oxidized at a potential of +0.72 V vs SCE and therefore the reductive quenching pathway is exergonic by 0.54 eV. Based on the Rehm–Weller relationship from Figure 3, a \(k_q\) value close to the diffusion limit can be expected for the reaction of DIPEA with \(^2\)E/\(^2\)T•-excited \([\text{Cr(dap)}]^{3+}\). On the other hand, given the shortening of the \(^2\)E/\(^2\)T• lifetime between deaerated acetonitrile (\(\tau_0 = 2.1 \mu\text{s}\), Figure S8) and air-saturated acetonitrile (\(\tau_{\text{air}} = 31 \mu\text{s}\), Figure S9), a rate constant of \(\sim 1.3 \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}\) can be estimated for energy transfer from \([\text{Cr(dap)}]^{3+}\) to \(\text{O}_2\) (see Figure S9 for details). Given a DIPEA concentration of 250 mM and an estimated oxygen concentration of 8.1 mM (based on an oxygen-saturated solution at ambient pressure), \(^2\)E/\(^2\)T• excited-state quenching is by far the dominant reaction pathway, and consequently, the mechanism proposed earlier for other
photocatalysts (Figure 8b) seems also plausible for [Cr-(dpq)2]3+. The photocatalytic reactions accomplished with [Cr-(dpq)2]3+ discussed above all represent overall photo-oxidation reactions, in which oxygen acts as an electron acceptor. The vinylation reaction in Figure 9 is an overall redox-neutral reaction, which however relies on an initial photoredox step. This reaction was originally described with a well-known IrIII-based photo-oxidant, which is reductively quenched by an N-aryl pyrrolidine substrate, resulting in an α-amino alkyl radical. The latter reacts with phenyl trans-styryl sulfone to a β-sulfonyl radical, which eliminates a sulfonyl radical to form the final vinylated product (marked in red). The sulfonyl radical is sufficiently oxidizing (+0.5 V vs SCE) to recycle the photocatalyst (Figure 9b).

The vinylation reaction in Figure 9 is known to proceed with good yields in apolar solvents such as toluene and 1,2-dichloroethane (DCE), but the hexafluorophosphate salt of [Cr(dpq)2]3+ is only soluble in more polar solvents. Consequently, the reaction was initially conducted in dry nitrogen-saturated acetonitrile, using [Cr(dpq)2]3+ (1 mol %) as photocatalyst with 2.5 equiv of N-phenyl pyrrolidine (NPP), 200 μmol phenyl trans-styryl sulfone as substrate, and 3.0 equiv of cesium acetate as a base. After 20 h of irradiation at 415 nm, the product yield was only 36%. This behavior is similar to the previously reported reaction with an IrIII catalyst in polar N,N'-dimethylacetamide, which gave 28% product yield.82 When instead performing the photoreaction with [Cr(dpq)2]3+ in a nitrogen-saturated dry 3/1 (v/v) toluene/acetonitrile mixture, the isolated yield improved to 48% after 20 h of irradiation. The NPP substrate absorbs weakly in the spectral range, in which the used 415 nm LED emits (Figure S52), yet the absorption by the CrIII photocatalyst is clearly dominant, and no product formation was observable in the absence of [Cr(dpq)2]3+ under otherwise identical conditions.

**CONCLUSIONS**

With tridentate polypyridyl ligands ensuring nearly perfect octahedral and rigid coordination geometries, CrIII complexes are currently undergoing a renaissance, especially with regard to their photoluminescence properties.20,26–41,83 By contrast, their photoredox behavior has received comparatively little attention, and studies so far seem to be mostly limited to photocatalytic cycloadditions and singlet oxygen formation.75,42–48,84 In this work, the application scope of CrIII complexes in photoredox catalysis has been extended to three different types of aerobic photo-oxidation reactions and to an overall redox-neutral vinylation reaction (Figures 6–9). The similarity of the driving-force dependence for photoinduced electron transfer from 10 different donors to the E2/T1-excited [Cr(dpq)2]3+ and MLCT-excited [Ru(bpz)2]2+ (Figure 3) demonstrates that the excited state oxidation potential of [Cr(dpq)2]3+ approaches that of [Ru(bpz)2]2+. Furthermore, and perhaps more importantly, it verifies that the oxidation power of d6 spin-flip excited states can indeed be estimated in an analogous manner as in the case of d6 MLCT excited states (using eq 1), despite the fact the lowest spin-flip excitation does not change the d-orbital occupancy (Figure 1), in line with early studies.23 This contrasts with FeIII polypyridine complexes that exhibit photoreactivity from distorted metal-centered (MC) states, and where the estimation of the pertinent excited-state redox potential is more cumbersome because E0 is tricky to obtain.35 The results in Figure 3 imply that relative to the electronic ground state, ligand-based reduction in the metal-centered E2/T1-excited state of [Cr(dpq)2]3+ is facilitated to the same extent as in the case of MLCT excited d8 metal complexes, despite the fact that in the chromium(III) complex no electron is promoted from a metal- to a ligand-based orbital and merely an electron spin is flipped instead. However, the spin-flip implies that the exchange stabilization is lowered, which is perhaps not readily evident from the simplistic orbital picture in Figure 1. Photoexcitation to the E2 state creates an α-spin hole (easier to reduce) and a β-spin electron, which is easier to remove due to lack of exchange stabilization. In principle, the terms “α-spin hole” and “β-spin electron” are synonyms, and these terms are merely used here in an attempt of illustrating some analogy between the CrIII spin-flip excited state and the RuIII MLCT excited state (Figure 1). In one case it is primarily a change in electron–electron interaction (CrIII), whereas in the other (RuIII), it is largely a difference in orbital energies that governs the redox properties in the excited state relative to the ground state. Some complications of this simple picture emerge from the fact that the primary reduction of [Cr(dpq)2]3+ is ligand-based (not metal-centered), yet the photoredox behavior of this complex can be rationalized within this framework by formally viewing its overall one-electron reduction as an initial metal-centered process, followed by intramolecular electron movement.
transfer to the ligand. Ultimately, eq 1 is best considered as the outcome of a thermodynamic cycle, in which any change in the state energy of the system caused by photon absorption directly influences the thermodynamic properties, including the redox potentials. In this treatment, the nature of the electronically excited state becomes irrelevant for the estimation of redox potentials. On a more general level, this discussion illustrates the important difference between simplistic orbital pictures (Figure 1), which do not necessarily provide a good visualization of electron–electron interactions, and electronic state pictures (Figure 4). Recent work on an isoelectronic V\(^{2+}\) complex presented a new computational procedure that enables the visualization of electron–electron interactions.\(^{66}\)

The cage escape yield of 13% determined herein for the photoinduced electron transfer (PET) reaction between tris(p- anisyl)amine (TAA) and the spin-flip excited state of [Cr(dqp)]\(^{3+}\) compares to values between 5 and 60% for \(^3\)MLCT-excited Ru\(^{3+}\) polypyridine complexes.\(^{87–94}\) Unwanted in-cage charge recombination between geminate radical pairs follows spin selection rules,\(^{95,96}\) and hence the doublet nature of the lowest spin-flip excited state of Cr\(^{3+}\) polypyridyls could in principle lead to different behavior of spin-correlated radical pairs than in the case of \(^3\)MLCT excited states in Ru\(^{3+}\) analogues. In the above-mentioned PET between TAA and \(^2\)E/\(^2\)T\(_1\)-excited [Cr(dqp)]\(^{3+}\) complex, a singlet (S = 0) and a doublet (S = 1/2) species react with each other. The expectable primary photoproducts are a doublet species (TAA\(^{+}\), S = 1/2) and the [Cr(dqp\(^{+}\)) (dqp)]\(^{2+}\) complex with different possible total spins (S = 0, 1, 2; Figure S53). In-cage charge recombination then is expected to yield TAA in its singlet ground state (S = 0) and [Cr(dqp)]\(^{3+}\) in its quartet ground state (S = 3/2). This situation is fundamentally different from PET between TAA and Ru\(^{3+}\) polypyridines, which leads from a singlet (S = 0) and a \(^3\)MLCT state (S = 1) to two doublets as primary photoproducts (S = 1/2 for both TAA\(^{+}\) and [Ru(bpy)]\(^{3+}\); Figure S54), and where in-cage charge recombination leads to two singlets (S = 0). Thus, it seems that spin effects might deserve further attention in future photoredox studies with Cr\(^{3+}\) polypyridines because this could potentially provide further insight into spin control of photoinduced electron transfer and radical pair reactions.\(^{97–100}\)

The strong photo-oxidizing properties of Cr\(^{3+}\) polypyridines compared to classical Ru\(^{3+}\) complexes such as [Ru(bpy)]\(^{3+}\) are attributable mostly to the higher metal oxidation state (+III vs +II), which in turn makes the ligand-centered reduction easier. This effect is somewhat counteracted by the lower \(^2\)E/\(^2\)T\(_1\) energy (roughly 1.7 eV) compared to the \(^3\)MLCT energy (usually around 2.1 eV) of Ru\(^{3+}\) polypyridines. Cr\(^{3+}\) polypyridines typically need to be excited in the blue spectral range (due to lack of sizeable extinction at longer wavelengths), and then intersystem crossing from the initially excited \(^4\)T (or charge-transfer) states to the \(^2\)E/\(^2\)T\(_1\) states is associated with substantially greater energy loss than in common Ru\(^{3+}\) complexes (Figure 4).\(^{101–105}\) In this sense, the “photon economy” is worse for Cr\(^{3+}\) polypyridines, and even though this may seem less important than “atom economy” in chemical reactions,\(^{104–106}\) the dissipation of excess excitation energy is often associated with detrimental photophysical and photochemical processes.\(^{14,107}\) Interestingly, [Cr(dqp)]\(^{3+}\) exhibits a remarkable photostability in coordinating acetonitrile upon continuous high-power photo-irradiation at 405 nm (Figure 5b), far better than [Ru(bpy)]\(^{3+}\) under comparable conditions.\(^{60}\) This is likely related to the relatively undistorted nature of the \(^2\)E/\(^2\)T\(_1\) spin-flip excited states, in which structural changes such as Cr–N bond elongations are comparatively minor,\(^{108}\) but then it becomes important that reverse intersystem crossing from \(^2\)E/\(^2\)T\(_1\) to \(^4\)T is minimized.\(^{109}\) This is the case in the near-perfectly octahedrally coordinated complexes obtained with dqp and other newly developed ligands, highlighting the importance of these recently discovered molecular rubies.\(^{26–31,47,76,110–112}\) Tridentate ligands such as dqp furthermore offer the possibility for “self-healing” during photo-irradiation, whereby a temporarily de-coordinated pyridine subunit can re-coordinate over time because the two remaining pyridine subunits still hold the overall ligand attached to the metal center.\(^{113}\)

Compared to organic photo-oxidants with short-lived electronically excited states such as acridinium dyes,\(^{69—70}\) Cr\(^{3+}\) polypyridines seem to benefit from a substantially increased kinetic reactivity. Acridinium dyes typically react from singlet excited states with lifetimes on the order of a few nanoseconds, whereas [Cr(dqp)]\(^{3+}\) exhibits a \(^2\)E/\(^2\)T\(_1\) excited state lifetime on the millisecond timescale in deaerated solution and 31 \(\mu\)s in air-saturated acetonitrile at 20 °C. Remarkably, the above-mentioned photostability is maintained in aerated solution (Figure 5b), which opens the door to photoredox reactions under aerobic conditions, whereby oxygen typically acts to recover the initial catalyst state following its photo-reduction by a substrate.

New ligand designs for Cr\(^{3+}\) continue to be published and a recently disclosed complex surpassed the excited-state properties of the prototypical d\(^6\)-metal-based [Ru(bpy)]\(^{3+}\) in several respects.\(^{39}\) However, until now, the photoredox properties of Cr\(^{3+}\) might have been underappreciated, and we hope that our study contributes to the general understanding of photocatalysis based on first-row transition-metal compounds. Now, as an increasing number of complexes based on different Earth-abundant transition metals with photoactive excited states in solution are discovered,\(^{114–118}\) it seems possible that some of them will be amenable to unusual photochemical applications that are not necessarily viable with traditional precious-metal-based compounds.
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