Stabilizing two-dimensional quantum scars by deformation and synchronization
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Relaxation to a thermal state is the inevitable fate of nonequilibrium interacting quantum systems without special conservation laws. While thermalization in one-dimensional systems can often be suppressed by integrability mechanisms, in two spatial dimensions thermalization is expected to be far more effective due to the increased phase space. In this work we propose a general framework for escaping or delaying the emergence of the thermal state in two-dimensional arrays of Rydberg atoms via the mechanism of quantum scars, i.e., initial states that fail to thermalize. The suppression of thermalization is achieved in two complementary ways: by adding local perturbations or by adjusting the driving Rabi frequency according to the local connectivity of the lattice. We demonstrate that these mechanisms allow us to realize robust quantum scars in various two-dimensional lattices, including decorated lattices with nonconstant connectivity. In particular, we show that a small decrease of the Rabi frequency at the corners of the lattice is crucial for mitigating the strong boundary effects in two-dimensional systems. Our results identify synchronization as an important tool for future experiments on two-dimensional quantum scars.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevResearch.2.022065

I. INTRODUCTION

Recent experimental breakthroughs allow us to probe nonequilibrium quantum dynamics of various isolated quantum systems [1–3]. Yet, for generic interacting systems that do not have any special conservation laws, such dynamics lead to a thermal state. This process of thermalization is explained by the typicality of highly excited eigenstates in interacting quantum systems. Formally, the eigenstate thermalization hypothesis (ETH) [4,5] conjectures that all eigenstates of a Hamiltonian in a sufficiently narrow energy shell display the same expectation values of physical observables as the microcanonical ensemble. ETH has been numerically and experimentally verified in a variety of different quantum systems [6,7].

To observe long-time coherent dynamics in quantum systems one must avoid thermalization or at least delay its onset. Integrable systems which satisfy the Yang-Baxter equation [8,9], and the disordered systems which undergo a many-body localization (MBL) transition [10,11], provide explicit examples of ETH violation. However, integrability is known to exist only for one-dimensional (1D) systems; the existence of MBL in higher dimensions is also debated [12,13]. Intuitively, thermalization is more ubiquitous in higher dimensions due to larger phase space available for relaxation processes. This motivates the exploration of alternative ETH-violating mechanisms.

Recent experiments on Rydberg atom arrays [14] suggested the possibility of weak ETH breaking via a different mechanism now known as “quantum many-body scars” [15,16]. Quantum many-body scarring manifests itself as the presence of a small set of atypical ETH-breaking eigenstates. Experimentally, scars lead to strong dependence of relaxation on initial conditions: initial configurations that have a large overlap with atypical eigenstates feature slow growth of entanglement and long-time coherent dynamics, whereas other initial states relax much faster. Theoretically, scars have been explained via the existence of an (un)stable trajectory within the variational semiclassical approach [16,17] or, alternatively, via a hidden su(2) algebra representation in the subspace of atypical eigenstates [18,19]. In addition, some exact scarred eigenstates of the Rydberg atom chain have been constructed [20], and their stability under perturbations was investigated [21,22]. Finally, scars were also reported in a variety of other models [23–35], while scarring may be related to nonergodic behavior observed in models with confinement [36–39], dynamical symmetries [40,41], fractons [42–44], and “Krylov restricted thermalization” [45].

In this work we present a detailed study of scars on two-dimensional (2D) lattices of Rydberg atoms in the regime of the nearest-neighbor blockade that has been realized in many recent experiments [3,14,46,47]. We concentrate on experimental knobs that could be used to enhance many-body scars in 2D quantum systems, which are significantly more susceptible to thermalization as well as finite-size effects due to their larger boundary-to-bulk ratio. First, we show that weak perturbations of the Rydberg atom Hamiltonian on square lattices can significantly stabilize scars by improving...
an approximate su(2) algebra representation in the subspace of scarred eigenstates. This leads to stronger fidelity revivals and enhanced coherence in the dynamics. Furthermore, we consider scars on more complicated lattices and in the presence of open boundaries. For lattices featuring nonuniform connectivity, coherent many-body oscillations can be stabilized by adjusting the driving Rabi frequency according to local connectivity. We refer to this stabilization mechanism as “enforced synchronization,” and we demonstrate that this can be used to suppress the dephasing due to the boundary by matching the oscillation frequency at the boundary and in the bulk.

II. MODEL

We begin by considering Rydberg atoms arranged in a square lattice in the regime of the nearest-neighbor blockade. The Hamiltonian generates Rabi oscillations of a given atom under the constraint that all four neighboring atoms are in the ground state,

$$H = \sum_{r} \sigma_{r}^{x} \prod_{|r'|,r} P_{r'} = \sum_{r} \tilde{\sigma}_{r}^{x}, \quad (1)$$

where the indices $r = (i, j)$ denote the lattice site, $i, j = 1, \ldots, L$, and the product goes over all nearest neighbors of site $r$. The operator $\sigma_{r}^{x} = |\uparrow\rangle \langle \downarrow| + |\downarrow\rangle \langle \uparrow|$ describes Rabi oscillations between excited (↑) and ground states (↓) of a given atom. The product of projectors onto the ground state, $P = |\downarrow\rangle \langle |\downarrow|$, ensures the absence of excitations on nearest-neighbor sites. In Fig. 1(a) we show the lattice and the corresponding Hamiltonian density operator $\tilde{\sigma}_{r}^{x}$. We focus on the sector of the Hilbert space with no adjacent excitations, which is the largest sector of the system. The dimension of this sector scales as $\text{Dim}(H) \propto c_{1}^{2L}$ where $c_{1} \approx 1.503\ldots$ is the hard square entropy constant [48].

III. STABILIZATION OF SCARS VIA DEFORMATION

Figure 1(a) shows a partition of the square lattice $M$ into two sublattices, $M = A \cup B$. Two states with the maximum number of excitations (compatible with the constraint of no adjacent excitations), $|M_{A}\rangle (|M_{B}\rangle)$, correspond to all the atoms in sublattice $A (B)$ being in the excited state. In Ref. [17], it was shown that the fidelity, $F(t) = |\langle M_{A}| e^{-iHt} |M_{A}\rangle|^{2}$, which quantifies a probability of returning to the many-body state $|M_{A}\rangle$ at time $t$ features persistent revivals with period $T$. These revivals were attributed to the existence of a periodic trajectory in the variational manifold of tree tensor states.

Figure 1(b) shows the revivals for a 6 × 6 lattice square lattice with periodic boundary conditions (PBCs). The persisting oscillations of fidelity have a period of $T \approx 5$, where at half period the system is approximately close to the second maximally excited state $|M_{B}\rangle$. This dynamics is similar to the 1D case where the system oscillates between the two Neél states [14]. The revivals are decaying, and it is interesting to find small deformations that would enhance them.

To improve the revival quality, we propose the following deformation of the Hamiltonian, see Fig. 1(a):

$$V = \sum_{r} V_{r}, \quad V_{r} = \tilde{\sigma}_{r}^{x} (a P_{r}^{l} + 2a P_{r}^{d} + b P_{r}^{3}), \quad (2)$$

where $a$ and $b$ are parameters to be optimized and the projectors are defined as

$$P_{r}^{l} = P_{r+1, j+2} + \cdots, \quad (3a)$$

$$P_{r}^{d} = P_{r+1, j+1} + \cdots, \quad (3b)$$

$$P_{r}^{3} = P_{r-1, j+1} P_{r+1, j+2} P_{r+1, j+1} + \cdots. \quad (3c)$$

Ellipses in Eqs. (3) denote the three remaining terms obtained by 90° rotations around the lattice site at position $r = (i, j)$ that make the perturbation invariant under the full space group symmetry. Our heuristics on the choice of perturbations are based on the “forward-scattering approximation” (FSA) [15,18,49]. Intuitively, the three terms in the deformation (2) correspond to configurations encountered in the process of flipping the four excited Rydberg atoms that are nearest neighbors on the $A$ sublattice into their ground state [50].

Optimization of coefficients $a$ and $b$ for the 6 × 6 size lattice with PBCs results in $a \approx 0.040$, $b \approx 0.056$. The optimization of $a$, $b$ is performed by maximizing the fidelity at the first revival, $F(T)$, using the Nelder-Mead method; see Ref. [50]. The resulting fidelity time series are shown in...
Fig. 1(b) where one observes a significant improvement of the revival quality from $F \approx 0.72$ for the unperturbed model to $F \approx 0.997$ for the optimal perturbation.

**IV. STRUCTURE OF EIGENSTATES**

The effect of optimal deformation is strongly pronounced not only in the dynamics, but also in eigenstate properties, such as entanglement entropy. Figure 1(c) compares the entanglement of each eigenstate for the clean and perturbed models. The entanglement is calculated as $S = -\text{Tr} \{ \rho_{L} \log \rho_{L} \}$, where $\rho_{L} = \text{Tr}_{R} \{ \psi \} \langle \psi \}$ is the reduced density matrix for the bipartition of the lattice into two cylindrical subsystems $R, L$ of size $(L/2) \times L$, where $L$ is the linear dimension if the lattice. In both cases, the entropy for the majority of the eigenstates depends only on energy density, consistent with ETH. The unperturbed system features no significant entanglement outliers, in contrast to 1D models where a similar plot clearly revealed the special scarred eigenstates [15,49]. At the same time, the special eigenstates still can be detected by their overlap with the $|M_{A}\rangle$ and $|M_{B}\rangle$ product states [50]. By contrast, the optimally perturbed Hamiltonian displays a special band of eigenstates with much lower entropy than any other eigenstate at similar energy density, as seen in the bottom panel of Fig. 1(c). Likewise, the deformation enhances the overlap of special eigenstates with $|M_{A}\rangle$ and $|M_{B}\rangle$ product states.

The existence of a deformation that improves the special band of eigenstates suggests that potentially one may deform the 2D Hamiltonian (1) to the point where the manifold of scarred eigenstates forms an exact su(2) representation. However, while Ref. [18] provided strong numerical evidence of scarred eigenstates forming an exact su(2) representation. We will tune $\epsilon_{AB}$ below to correct for the connectivity mismatch between different sublattices. For the rest we denote $\omega_{A} \equiv \omega$ and use PBC. The maximally blocked states, $|M_{A}\rangle$ ($|M_{B}\rangle$) are given by exciting every site from sublattice $A$ ($B$), while keeping the atoms in the other sublattice in their ground state. Now these states have an inequivalent number of excited Rydberg atoms, with the "maximally excited" state in the system being $|M_{A}\rangle$.

To have a quantitative understanding of dynamics, we approximate the decorated lattice by a tree with the same pattern of local connectivities using the method discussed in Ref. [17]. We project quantum dynamics on the tree onto a manifold of tensor tree states (TTSs), parametrized by two real angles $|\psi(\theta_{A}, \theta_{B})\rangle$ using the time-dependent variational principle (TDVP) [17, 50, 51]. The resulting equations of motion in the TTS manifold read

$$\dot{\theta}_{A} = -\omega \cos^{x} - 1 \theta_{B} - \omega \cos^{y} \theta_{A} \sin \theta_{A} \tan \theta_{A},$$ (5a)

$$\dot{\theta}_{B} = -\cos^{x} - 1 \theta_{A} - \omega \cos^{x} \theta_{B} \sin \theta_{B} \tan \theta_{A},$$ (5b)

where $c_{A} = 2$, $c_{B} = 3$ are the connectivities of sublattices $A, B$. For the case when $c_{A} = c_{B}$ and $\omega = 1$, Refs. [16, 17] demonstrated the existence of a periodic trajectory that connects states $|M_{A}\rangle$ and $|M_{B}\rangle$ on the variational manifold.

Surprisingly, when $c_{A} \neq c_{B}$ as in the present case, the trajectory emanating from the $|M_{A}\rangle$ state does not reach the $|M_{B}\rangle$ state but instead falls into the singular point. Thus, an unstable periodic orbit does not exist for generic values of $\omega$. In order for it to exist, it should pass through both $|M_{A}\rangle$ and $|M_{B}\rangle$ states. Figure 2(b) illustrates that this happens for a special value of the frequency, $\omega_{c} \approx 0.841$. Note that, in

write the Hamiltonian as

$$H = \omega_{A} \sum_{r \in A} \sigma^{x}_{r} + \omega_{B} \sum_{r \in B} \sigma^{x}_{r},$$ (4)

where the Hamiltonian density operator is the same as in Eq. (1), and we introduced two different Rabi frequencies (we set $\omega_{AB} = 1$ for simplicity). We will tune $\omega_{AB}$ below to correct for the connectivity mismatch between different sublattices. For the rest we denote $\omega_{A} \equiv \omega$, and use PBC. The maximally blocked states, $|M_{A}\rangle$ ($|M_{B}\rangle$) are given by exciting every site from sublattice $A$ ($B$), while keeping the atoms in the other sublattice in their ground state. Now these states have an inequivalent number of excited Rydberg atoms, with the "maximally excited" state in the system being $|M_{A}\rangle$.
this figure, we regularized the equations of motion by replacing tan\(\theta_A\) \(\rightarrow\) tan\(\theta_A/(1 - \epsilon \tan^2 \theta_A)\) and tan\(\theta_B\) \(\rightarrow\) tan\(\theta_B/(1 - \epsilon \tan^2 \theta_B)\), where the value of \(\epsilon\) is small but finite. Such a regularization prevents trajectories from completely “falling” into singular points, yet we see that only at \(\omega_c\) the trajectory passes through both \(|M_A\rangle\) and \(|M_B\rangle\) states, with the value of \(\omega_c\) being independent of regularization.

Finally, we investigate the behavior of quantum fidelity at the first revival as a function of \(\omega\). Figure 2(c) shows that the fidelity has best revivals at the value of \(\omega \approx 0.8\), which is close to but does not coincide with the prediction from TDVP dynamics, \(\omega_c\). The difference between the two values and also the smooth dependence of fidelity revival quality on \(\omega\) may be attributed to quantum fluctuations present in the model.

The improvement of oscillations predicted by variational dynamics and confirmed in the simulation of exact quantum dynamics may be intuitively explained as enforced synchronization. Indeed, in the decorated honeycomb lattice the atoms on sublattice \(A\) experience weaker blockade due to the presence of a smaller number of nearest neighbors. Thus, the optimal fidelity revivals are achieved when the Rabi frequency \(\omega\) on this sublattice is decreased compared with sublattice \(B\). We believe that such intuition will also hold for more decorated lattices with different local connectivities \(c_A\) and \(c_B\); see Ref. [50] for predictions for \(\omega\) from FSA. On the one hand, this can open the door to the realization of scars on lattices with more exotic geometries; on the other hand, this intuition can be applied to remove the unwanted boundary effects, as we show next.

VI. BOUNDARY SYNCHRONIZATION

In experiments with Rydberg blockade, atoms are manipulated individually with optical tweezers [3, 14, 46, 47], which enables the realization of arbitrary lattice geometries. At the same time, implementing PBCs that were used above is challenging if not unfeasible. Thus it is imperative to understand and address boundary effects. For instance, the boundary for the square lattice as large as \(6 \times 6\) atoms still has more atoms compared with the “bulk” of the lattice—see Fig. 3(a). A different number of local neighbors at the boundary and in the bulk of the system leads to faster dephasing that quickly degrades fidelity revivals as well as oscillations of local observables.

Inspired by the results from decorated lattices, we propose a correction to the local Rabi frequency which depends on the local connectivity. The corrected Hamiltonian for the square lattice reads

\[
\tilde{H} = H - g_c \sum_{r \in C} \hat{\sigma}_r^x - g_E \sum_{r \in E} \hat{\sigma}_r^x,
\]

where \(H\) is the Hamiltonian from Eq. (1) and the subtracted terms include the sum over all atoms at corners (\(C\)) which have only two nearest neighbors and those at the edges of the lattice (\(E\)), which have three neighbors; see Fig. 3(a).

To optimize the perturbations \((g_c, g_E)\), we maximize the fidelity on a \(4 \times 4\) lattice where the full Hilbert space has dimensions \(\text{Dim}(\mathcal{H}) = 1234\). In this case we find an insignificant correction to the edge sites, \(g_E \approx 10^{-3}\), while the corner terms acquire a much stronger correction, \(g_C \approx 0.12\). Guided by this result, we completely disregard the edge correction, by setting \(g_E = 0\), and focus only on the correction to the four corners of the lattice, \(g_C\). The optimization of fidelity for the \(6 \times 6\) lattice yields the optimal value \(g_C \approx 0.105\) which corresponds to an approximately 10% decrease in the Rabi frequency for corners of the lattice.

We explore the effects of the perturbation on the dynamics of the experimentally observable quantity—mean domain-wall density, \(G = (1/L^2) \sum_{r \in C} \sigma^r \sum_{r \in E} \sigma^r\). Figure 3(b) compares the dynamics of the domain-wall density in the quench from \(|M_A\rangle\) state for the original and boundary-synchronized Hamiltonians with open boundary conditions. While at early times the effects of the boundaries are weak (the Lieb-Robinson bound [52] suggests that boundary effects “propagate” to the bulk with a constant velocity), after four revivals the dephasing from the boundaries begins to degrade the oscillations. For the uncorrected model the domain-wall density is almost equilibrated at \(t \gtrsim 15\). In contrast, the oscillations in the synchronized Hamiltonian persist for much longer times.

VII. DISCUSSION

We demonstrated the stabilization of quantum scars in 2D lattices by two complementary types of deformations of the Hamiltonian. First, we constructed a weak longer-range deformation that improves the quality of the fidelity revivals by further decoupling the scared subspace away from the thermal bulk of the spectrum, similar to “perfect” scars in a 1D Rydberg blockade [18]. Second, inspired by the time-dependent variational-principle (TDVP) description within the TTS manifold [17], we proposed synchronization as a mechanism for improving scars on lattices of nonconstant connectivity and in the presence of boundaries. The local tuning of the Rabi frequency is feasible and can be used to experimentally mitigate the boundary effects. We expect that such a synchronization will open the door to the experimental application of scars in two dimensions akin to the \(\pi\)-pulse experiment in 1D [53].

An immediate question raised by our results is the interplay between the synchronization mechanism explained via TDVP and the deformation of the Hamiltonian that is explained in terms of \(\text{su}(2)\) representations. Understanding
the relation between these two mechanisms beyond the phenomenological arguments provided in Ref. [50] could provide a more complete picture and classification of possible scars. In addition, the existence of synchronization that improves scars bears a distant analogy to the collective oscillations in the BCS model [54] and collective modes in Maxwell-Bloch equation [55]. Making this analogy more quantitative could prove fruitful for generalizations of scars.

More broadly, while we demonstrated the existence of scars for several bipartite lattices; the existence of oscillations in nonbipartite lattices, such as triangular or kagome, remains an open question. For instance, triangular lattice features a natural partition into three sublattices and it would be interesting to explore the possibility for analogs of Z3 scars in Rydberg chains [17,27,49]. In addition, understanding the connection between existence of scars and the ground-state phase diagram [56] and extending these results to models with longer-range blockade remains an interesting question.

Note added. Recently, Refs. [57] proposed that XXZ spin-1/2 models may acquire nonthermal eigenstates on a kagome lattice via a mechanism that utilizes geometric frustration. It remains to be understood if a similar mechanism could be useful for constrained models on nonbipartite lattices.

The data that support the figures within this paper and other findings of this study are available in Ref. [60].
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