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ABSTRACT

The Crab nebula is a supernova remnant exhibiting a highly polarized synchrotron radiation at radio and millimetre wavelengths. It is the brightest source in the microwave sky with an extension of 7 by 5 arcmin, and is commonly used as a standard candle for any experiment which aims to measure the polarization of the sky. Though its spectral energy distribution has been well characterized in total intensity, polarization data are still lacking at millimetre wavelengths. We report in this paper high resolution observations (18′′ FWHM) of the Crab nebula in total intensity and linear polarization at 150 GHz with the NIKA camera. NIKA, operated at the IRAM 30 m telescope from 2012 to 2015, is a camera made of Lumped Element Kinetic Inductance Detectors (LEKIDs) observing the sky at 150 and 260 GHz. From these observations we are able to reconstruct the spatial distribution of the polarization degree and angle of the Crab nebula, which is found to be compatible with previous observations at lower and higher frequencies. Averaging across the source and using other existing data sets we find that the Crab nebula polarization angle is consistent with being constant over a wide range of frequencies with a value of −87.7° ± 0.3 in Galactic coordinates. We also present the first estimation of the Crab nebula spectral energy distribution polarized flux in a wide frequency range: 30–353 GHz. Assuming a single power law emission model we find that the polarization spectral index $\beta_{pol} = -0.347 ± 0.026$ is compatible with the intensity spectral index $\beta = -0.323 ± 0.001$.
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1. Introduction

The Crab nebula (or Tau A) is a plerion-type supernova remnant emitting a highly polarized signal (Weiler & Panagia 1978; Michel et al. 1991). Referring to Hester (2008), from inside out the Crab consists of a pulsar, the synchrotron nebula, a bright expanding shell of thermal gas, and a larger very faint freely expanding supernova remnant. Near the centre of the
nebula a shock is observed; it is formed by the jet’s thermalized wind, which is confined by the thermal ejecta from the explosion (Weisskopf et al. 2000; Wiesemeyer et al. 2011). The synchrotron emission from the nebula is observed in the radio frequency domain and is powered by the pulsar located at equatorial coordinates (J2000) RA = 5°34′31.9383014s and Dec = 22°0′52.17577′′ (Lobanov et al. 2011) through its jet. The polarization of the Crab nebula radio emission, discovered in 1957 independently by Mayer et al. (1957) and Kuz’min & Udal’tsov (1959), has confirmed that the synchrotron emission is the underlying mechanism.

Today the Crab nebula is perhaps the most observed object in the sky beyond our own solar system (Hester 2008) and often used as a calibrator by new instruments. It is also quite isolated with low background diffuse emission. In particular, it is the most intense polarized astrophysical object in the microwave sky at angular scales of a few arcminutes and for this reason it is chosen not only for high resolution cameras, but also for the calibration of cosmic microwave background (CMB) polarization experiments, which have beamwidths comparable to the extension of the source. Upcoming CMB experiments aiming at measuring the primordial B−modes require an accurate determination of the foreground emissions to the CMB signal and a high control of systematic effects. The Crab nebula has already been used for polarization cross-check analysis in the frequency range from 30 to 353 GHz (Weiland et al. 2011; Planck Collaboration XXVI 2016). High angular resolution observations from the XPOL experiment (Thum et al. 2008) at the IRAM 30 m telescope have revealed the spatial distribution of the Crab nebula in total intensity and polarization at 90 GHz with an absolute accuracy of 0.5° in the polarization angle (Aumont et al. 2010). This observation has also shown that the polarization spatial distribution varies from the source peak to the edges of the source, and illustrates the need of an accurate study at high resolution in a large frequency range to be able to use this source as a calibrator for future polarization experiments.

Previous studies (Macías-Pérez et al. 2010) of the total spectral energy distribution (SED) have shown a spectrum well described by a single synchrotron component at radio and millimetre wavelengths, and predict negligible variations in polarization fraction and angle in the frequency range of interest for CMB studies.

Observations of the Crab nebula polarization were performed with the NIKA camera (Monfardini et al. 2010, 2014; Catalano et al. 2014) at the IRAM 30 m telescope during the observational campaign of February 2015. A first overview of the NIKA/30 m (hereafter NIKA) Crab polarization observations, focusing on instrumental characterization of the polarization system, was given in Ritacco et al. (2016). In this paper we go a step further in the analysis by combining NIKA observations with published values at other frequencies, and spanning different angular resolutions, to trace the SED in total intensity and polarization of the Crab nebula. To date, for polarization we have used observations from the WMAP satellite at 23, 33, 41, 61, and 94 GHz (Weiland et al. 2011), XPOL/30 m (hereafter XPOL), at 90 GHz (Aumont et al. 2010), POLKA/APEX (hereafter POLKA) at 345 GHz (Wiesemeyer et al. 2014), and from the Planck satellite at 30, 44, 70 (Planck Collaboration XXVI 2016), 100, 143, 217, 353 GHz to be published (Planck Collaboration III 2018).

The paper is organized as follows: in Sect. 2 the intensity and polarization maps obtained with the NIKA camera are presented together with the polarization degree and angle spatial distributions; Sect. 3 presents the reconstruction of the polarization properties of the Crab nebula in well-defined regions; Sect. 4 presents the Crab nebula SED in total intensity and polarization; and in Sect. 5 we present our conclusions.

2. NIKA observations of the Crab nebula

2.1. NIKA camera and polarization set-up

NIKA is a dual-band camera observing the sky in total intensity and polarization at 150 and 260 GHz with 18 arcsec and 12 arcsec full width at half maximum (FWHM) resolution, respectively. It has a field of view (FoV) of 1.8° at both wavelengths. It was operated at the IRAM 30 m telescope between 2012 and 2015. A detailed description of the NIKA camera can be found in Monfardini et al. (2010, 2011) and Catalano et al. (2014).

In addition to total power observations, NIKA was also a test bench for the polarization system of the final instrument NIKA2 (Calvo et al. 2016; Catalano et al. 2016; Adam et al. 2018), which was installed at the telescope in October 2015. The polarization set-up of NIKA consists of a continuously rotating metal mesh half-wave plate (HWP) followed by an analyser, both at room temperature and placed in front of the entrance window of the cryostat. The NIKA Lumped Elements Kinetic Inductance Detectors (LEKIDs) are not intrinsically sensitive to polarization. The HWP rotates at 2.98 Hz allowing a modulation of the polarization signal at 4 × 2.98 Hz, while the typical telescope scanning speed is equal to 26.23 arcsec s⁻¹. These conditions provide a quasi-simultaneous measure of Stokes parameters I, Q, and U per beam and place the polarized power in the frequency domain far from the low frequency electronic noise and the atmospheric fluctuations. Ritacco et al. (2017) gives more details on the NIKA polarization capabilities and describes the performance of the instrument at the telescope. In particular the sensitivity of the NIKA camera in polarization mode was estimated to be 50 mJy.s⁻¹/2 at 150 GHz.

NIKA has provided the first polarization observations performed with kinetic inductance detectors (KIDs), confirming that KIDs are also a suitable detector technology for the development of the next generation of polarization sensitive experiments.

2.2. NIKA observations

Observations of the polarized emission from the Crab nebula with the NIKA camera were performed at the IRAM 30 m telescope in February 2015. The average opacity at 150 GHz was τ = 0.2. Figure 1 shows the Stokes I, Q, and U maps obtained by a co-addition of 14 maps of 8 × 6 arcmin for a total observation time of ~2.4 h. The rms calculated on jack-knife noise maps is 36 mJy beam⁻¹ on Stokes I maps and 31 mJy beam⁻¹ on Stokes Q and U maps. The maps were performed in equatorial coordinates in four different scan directions: 0°, 90°, 120°, 150°. This allowed us to have the best mapping with different position angles.

To obtain the Stokes I, Q, and U Crab nebula maps in equatorial coordinates, we used a dedicated polarization data reduction pipeline (Ritacco et al. 2017), which is an extension of the total intensity NIKA pipeline (Catalano et al. 2014; Adam et al. 2014). The main steps of the polarization pipeline are summarized below:

1. Subtraction of the HWP induced parasitic signal, which is modulated at harmonics of the HWP rotation frequency and represents the most detrimental noise contributing to the polarized signal;
2. Reconstruction of the Stokes I, Q, and U time ordered information (TOI) from the raw modulated data. This is
achieved using a demodulation procedure consisting in a
lock-in around the fourth harmonic of the HWP rotation
frequency where the polarization signal is located;
3. Subtraction of the atmospheric emission in the demodulated
TOIs using decorrelation algorithms. In polarization, the
HWP modulation significantly reduces the atmospheric
contamination and there is no need to further decorrelate
the $Q$ and $U$ TOIs from residual atmosphere. By contrast, in
intensity the atmospheric emission fully dominates the sig-
 nal; to recover the large angular scales, we used the 260 GHz
band as an atmosphere dominated band, as in Adam et al.
(2014). This decorrelation impacts the reconstructed Stokes
maps via a transfer function. We have estimated this function
with simulated observations of diffuse emission that were
passed through the data reduction pipeline, with the exact
same scanning, sample flagging, and data processing as real
data. We found that the power spectrum of the output map
matches that of the input map to better than 1% (5%) on
scales smaller (larger) than $\sim 1'$, see Fig. 2. Its moderate
effect on large angular scales is further reduced with the sub-
traction of a zero level for the photometry (see below), so the
impact of the data processing is thus negligible compared
to uncertainties on absolute calibration on small scales.
In the following, we therefore neglect the impact of this
transfer function.
4. Correction of the intensity-to-polarization leakage effect,
which was identified in observations of unpolarized sources
like the planet Uranus. For point sources the effect was
about 3% peak to peak, while for extended sources like
the Crab nebula it has been found to be of the order of
0.5% peak to peak. Ritacco et al. (2017) describe the
algorithm of leakage correction developed specifically for
NIKA polarization observations. Applying this algorithm
to Uranus observations the instrumental polarization is
reduced to 0.6% of the total intensity $I$;
5. Projection of the demodulated and decorrelated Stokes $I$, $Q$, and $U$ TOIs
into Stokes $I$, $Q$, and $U$ equatorial coordinates maps.

2.3. Crab polarization properties
In this section we discuss the polarization properties of the
source in terms of polarization degree $p$ and angle $\psi$, which are
defined through the Stokes parameters $I$, $Q$, and $U$ as follows:

$$p = \frac{\sqrt{Q^2 + U^2}}{I}$$

and

$$\psi = \frac{1}{2} \arctan \frac{U}{Q}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

The polarization angle follows the IAU convention, which counts
east from north in the equatorial coordinate system.

These definitions are not linear in $I$, $Q$, and $U$, and therefore
the observational uncertainties have to be carefully consid-
ered, i.e. $p$ and $\psi$ are noise biased. Simmons et al. (1980),
Simmons & Stewart (1985) and Montier et al. (2015) proposed
analytical solutions to correct for this bias. For intermediate and
high signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) the polarization degree and its
uncertainty read

$$p = \frac{\sqrt{Q^2 + U^2 - \sigma_Q^2 - \sigma_U^2}}{I},$$

$$\sigma_p = \frac{Q^2 \sigma_Q^2 + U^2 \sigma_U^2 + p^4 I^2 \sigma_I^2}{p I^2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)
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Furthermore, the polarization angle in a high S/N regime can be approximated by Eq. (1) with the uncertainty

$$\sigma_\psi = \sqrt{Q^2 \sigma_Q^2 + U^2 \sigma_U^2} \over 2(pI)^2. \quad (3)$$

The spatial distribution map of the polarization degree $p$ of the Crab nebula without noise bias correction is presented in the top left panel of Fig. 3. We note that we have set to 0 the pixels for which the total intensity is lower than 0.1 Jy beam$^{-1}$ to avoid the divergence of $p$. The same has been done for the polarization angle map (bottom left of Fig. 3.)

The polarization degree $p$ reaches a value of 20.3 ± 0.7% at the peak of the total intensity, which is consistent with what is observed in the top right panel of Fig. 3 where the variation of $p$ as a function of the Stokes $I$ is shown. Here the $p$ values have been noise bias corrected and satisfy the condition $p_{\text{pol}} = \sqrt{Q^2 + U^2} > 5 \sigma_{\text{pol}}$. The distribution of the polarization degree appears highly dispersed around a mean value of 20%. These points are mostly located around the peak of Stokes $I$. The spatial variation of $p$ highlights the interest of high resolution polarization observations of the Crab nebula.

The bottom left panel of Fig. 3 shows the spatial distribution of polarization angle $\psi$. As discussed in Ritacco et al. (2017) a 1.8° uncertainty must be considered in the polarization angle coming from the determination of the HWP zero position, corresponding to its optical axis in the NIKA cabin reference frame. An uncertainty of 0.5° must be considered due to the leakage effect subtraction, which has been estimated from the comparison of the maps before and after leakage correction. We observe a relatively constant polarization angle $140^\circ < \psi_{\text{eq}} < 150^\circ$ represented here in equatorial coordinates, except for the north-east region where the averaged angle is around $250^\circ$, and some inner regions with lower polarization angle. These values are confirmed by the bottom right panel, which shows the polarization angle distribution as a function of total intensity satisfying the condition $p_{\text{pol}} > 5 \sigma_{\text{pol}}$.

The sudden change in polarization angle on the northern region was already observed by the XPOL experiment at 90 GHz (Aumont et al. 2010). This together with the variation in the polarization fraction discussed above confirms the need of high angular resolution observations at low and high frequencies for a good understanding of the Crab polarized emission properties. High resolution observations give the possibility to estimate the polarization properties at different scales and to make a comparison with low resolution experiments, for example CMB experiments.

We present in Fig. 4 the 150 GHz Crab polarization intensity map $I_{\text{pol}}$ uncorrected for noise bias. We observe a peak at
the mean of the signal measured on an external annular ring = Dec $0.8 \, \text{Jy beam}^{-1}$ at 150 GHz. The map shows high polarized emission reaching a value of NIKA polarized intensity map of the Crab nebula at Fig. 4. The difference between two sets of jack-knife noise maps. The third for statistical uncertainties computed from fluctuations of the $\pm 222.7$ has been subtracted from the map. The total signal estimated is map with equatorial coordinates (J2000) RA $= 05^h34^m32.3804$s and Dec $= 22^\circ04'.0982''$. The polarization angle is given here in equatorial coordinates. A systematic angle uncertainty of 2.3$'$ is considered. A total calibration error of 10% has been accounted for in the flux estimates.

2.4. Comparison to other high resolution experiments

Following previous studies, we compare here the NIKA results at the pulsar position and at Stokes $I$ map peak with high angular resolution experiments such as POLKA, XPOL, and SCUPOL/JCMT (hereafter SCUPOL). These experiments observed at wavelengths of $870 \, \mu m$, 3 mm, and 850 $\mu m$, respectively. In order to compute polarization estimates in the same region around these positions, we use POLKA (Wiesemeyer et al. 2014), XPOL (Aumont et al. 2010), SCUPOL (Matthews et al. 2009), and NIKA (this paper) maps degraded at an XPOL angular resolution of 27$''$. The region is defined as an XPOL pixel size of 13.7$''$.

The results obtained are shown in Table 1. The polarization degree $p$ values are consistent for all the instruments at both positions, while for the angle $\psi_{eq}$ we observe a fair agreement. For POLKA and SCUPOL the values found are consistent with those in Wiesemeyer et al. (2014). For XPOL the values found at the pulsar position differ from those in Aumont et al. (2010). We note that it is hard to estimate the polarization at the pulsar position precisely because it is located where the polarization changes drastically, while the peak of the total intensity is very well defined.

3. Total intensity and polarization fluxes

3.1. Total intensity flux

We computed the total flux across the Crab nebula, which has an extent of about 5$' \times 7'$ (see Fig. 1). We used standard aperture photometry techniques to calculate the flux as shown in the top panel of Fig. 5. We used as centre position the centre of the map with equatorial coordinates (J2000) RA $= 5^h34^m31.95$s and Dec $= 22^\circ05'.521''$. A zero level in the map, calculated as the mean of the signal measured on an external annular ring region (see bottom panel of Fig. 5) of radius 4.5$' < R < 5'$, has been subtracted from the map. The total signal estimated is 222.7 $\pm 1.0 \pm 1.3 \pm 22.4$ Jy. The first uncertainty term accounts for statistical uncertainties computed from fluctuations of the signal at large radii. The second uncertainty accounts for the difference between two sets of jack-knife noise maps. The third one accounts for the absolute calibration error of 10%. A colour correction factor of 1.05 has been accounted for. This factor was estimated using the spectral index $\beta$ measured by Macías-Pérez et al. (2010) and considering the NIKA bandpass at 2 mm, as shown in Catalano et al. (2014). The final flux is thus the integrated value in the bandpass. We use Uranus for absolute point source flux calibration. The flux of the planet is estimated from a frequency dependent model of the planet brightness temperature as described in Moreno (2010). This model is integrated over the NIKA bandpasses for each channel, and it is assumed to be accurate at the 5% level. The final absolute calibration factor is obtained by fitting the amplitude of a Gaussian function of fixed angular size on the reconstructed maps of Uranus, which represents the main beam. For the polarization observational campaign of February 2015 this uncertainty is estimated to be 5% for the NIKA 2.05 mm channel (150 GHz; Ritacco et al. 2017). Nevertheless, as described in Adam et al. (2014) and Catalano et al. (2014), by integrating the Uranus flux up to 100 arcsec, we observe that the total solid angle covered by the beam is larger than the Gaussian best fit of the main beam by a factor of 28%. As a consequence we account for this factor in the estimation of the fluxes. Moreover, Adam et al. (2014) estimated the uncertainty on the solid angle of the main beam to be 4%. Finally, the overall calibration error is estimated to be about 10% by also considering the uncertainties on the side lobes.

3.2. Polarization degree and angle estimates

In order to compare our results with low angular resolution CMB experiments, we present in Table 2 the integrated Stokes $I$, $Q$, and $U$, and the associated polarization intensity $I_{pol}$, and polarization degree $p$ and angle $\psi$. A colour correction factor of 1.05 has been accounted for. All the listed values are estimated using aperture photometry inside apertures of 5', 7', and 9' from the centre of the map. We limit the analysis to the maximum of the map size avoiding the edges and considering that all the flux is concentrated in 7.6' of aperture (see Fig. 5). The uncertainties account for the calibration error of 10% discussed above. The polarization efficiency factor estimated across the NIKA

| Pulsar | POLKA | XPOL | SCUPOL | NIKA |
|--------|-------|------|--------|------|
| $p$ [%] | 18.2 $\pm$ 4.8 | 17.5 $\pm$ 1.2 | 14.8 $\pm$ 2.8 | 17.9 $\pm$ 2.2 |
| $\psi_{eq}$ ['] | 147.1 $\pm$ 7.5 | 150.2 $\pm$ 2.0 | 143.5 $\pm$ 4.4 | 138.8 $\pm$ 1.5 $\pm$ 2.3 |

| Intensity Peak | XPOL | SCUPOL | NIKA |
|----------------|------|--------|------|
| $p$ [%] | 19.4 $\pm$ 4.4 | 21.0 $\pm$ 1.2 | 16.4 $\pm$ 4.8 |
| $\psi_{eq}$ ['] | 148.1 $\pm$ 6.5 | 149.0 $\pm$ 1.6 | 151.8 $\pm$ 8.4 |
| $\psi_{eq}$ ['] | 20.3 $\pm$ 0.7 | 140.0 $\pm$ 1.0 $\pm$ 2.3 |

Notes. The values have been estimated using the maps and degrading them to the XPOL angular resolution of 27$''$. For NIKA the position of the pulsar, represented on the maps by a black cross, refers to Lobanov et al. (2011). The position of the peak of the total intensity measured on the NIKA maps has equatorial coordinates (J2000) RA $= 5^h34^m32.3804$s and Dec $= 22^\circ04'.0982''$. The polarization angle is given here in equatorial coordinates. A systematic angle uncertainty of 2.3$'$ is considered. A total calibration error of 10% has been accounted for in the flux estimates.

Fig. 4. NIKA polarized intensity map of the Crab nebula at 150 GHz. The telescope beam FWHM is shown in the lower left. The black cross marks the pulsar position.
Table 2. NIKa Crab nebula total flux I, Q, and U, estimated by using aperture photometry methods.

| Frequency [GHz] | I [Jy]   | Q [Jy]   | U [Jy]   | Ipol [Jy] | p [%]   | \(\psi_{\text{pol}}\) (\(\psi_{\text{gal}}\) [°]) |
|-----------------|---------|---------|---------|----------|---------|----------------------------------|
| 5′              | 191.4 ± 26.8 | 2.97 ± 0.3 | -16.0 ± 1.5 | 16.3 ± 1.7 | 8.5 ± 0.4 | 140.3 (−82.6) ± 0.1 ± 0.5 ± 1.8° |
| 7′              | 226.5 ± 25.0 | 3.5 ± 0.4 | -14.9 ± 1.2 | 15.3 ± 1.8 | 6.7 ± 0.1 | 141.7 (−84.1) ± 0.2 ± 0.5 ± 1.8° |
| 9′              | 222.7 ± 24.6 | 3.5 ± 0.4 | -14.3 ± 1.2 | 14.8 ± 1.6 | 6.6 ± 0.3 | 142.0 (−84.3) ± 0.7 ± 0.5 ± 1.8° |

Notes. A colour correction factor of 1.05 has been taken into account. Polarized intensity flux \(I_{\text{pol}}\), polarization degree \(p\), and angles \(\psi_{\text{pol}}\) (equatorial coordinates) and \(\psi_{\text{gal}}\) (Galactic coordinates in brackets), are also presented. The values have been calculated within 5′, 7′, 9′ by aperture photometry. A total calibration error of 10% has been accounted for. The statistical uncertainty also accounts for Monte Carlo simulations of the noise in \(Q\) and \(U\) and the differences between two sets of seven jack-knife maps. An systematic angle uncertainty of 1.8° must be considered in the polarization angle error budget. We also consider a 0.5° of uncertainty due to the intensity to polarization leakage correction.

Table 3. Same quantities as in Table 2 derived with the new analysis of HFI Planck results by using aperture photometry of the polarization maps that will be published in Planck Collaboration III (2018).

| Frequency [GHz] | I [Jy]   | Q [Jy]   | U [Jy]   | Ipol [Jy] | p [%]   | \(\psi_{\text{pol}}\) (\(\psi_{\text{gal}}\) [°]) |
|-----------------|---------|---------|---------|----------|---------|----------------------------------|
| 100             | 229.23 ± 1.15 | -15.92 ± 0.07 | 1.38 ± 0.09 | 15.99 ± 0.15 | 6.97 ± 0.03 | -87.52 ± 0.16 |
| 143             | 193.21 ± 2.67 | -12.43 ± 0.14 | 1.48 ± 0.09 | 12.52 ± 0.29 | 6.48 ± 0.08 | -86.61 ± 0.21 |
| 217             | 172.73 ± 1.60 | -12.20 ± 0.08 | 0.88 ± 0.11 | 12.23 ± 0.17 | 7.08 ± 0.05 | -87.93 ± 0.25 |
| 353             | 144.84 ± 1.75 | -10.01 ± 0.29 | 1.15 ± 0.18 | 10.16 ± 0.60 | 7.01 ± 0.20 | -86.76 ± 0.52 |

Notes. The colour correction has been accounted for according to Planck Collaboration IX (2014).
Fig. 6. Top panel: polarization degree as a function of frequency as measured by Planck (black dots), WMAP (blue triangles), XPOL (yellow diamond), POLKA (green cross), and NIKA (red crosses). The NIKA and POLKA values have been estimated by aperture photometry considering the source extension up to 9′. Planck and WMAP values are shown at their native resolution. XPOL, NIKA, and POLKA values have been integrated over the source. The solid line represents the weighted-averaged degree for all experiments but POLKA. Dashed lines represent 1σ uncertainties. Bottom panel: polarization angles in Galactic coordinates for the same five experiments. The solid line represents the weighted-averaged polarization angle computed using all the values.

Using all the available data sets except the POLKA results, we have computed the weighted average degree of polarization and uncertainties on it. We find 6.95 ± 0.03%, as shown by the solid line and dashed lines in the top panel of Fig. 6. We observe that most of the results between 20 and 353 GHz are consistent with this value at the 1σ level, except for Planck at 70 GHz, XPOL, and POLKA, which show a significantly larger degree of polarization.

For XPOL, the discrepancy can probably be explained by the lower sensitivity of the single channel XPOL experiment to the lower-than-average polarization of the outer parts of the nebula. POLKA shows a very high polarization degree due to the ∼40% flux loss observed in Stokes I (see Fig. 7). This is compatible with the losses expected due to the spatial filtering of total intensity in LABOCA data reduction in this range of angular scales (Belloche et al. 2011). In the case of Planck HFI, we only find significant discrepancies for the 143 GHz data that remain unexplained to date.

The relatively constant behaviour of the polarization degree and angle over a wide frequency range suggests that the polarization emission is driven by the same physical process. We therefore expect a well-defined SED for the Crab nebula intensity and polarization emission (see next section).

4. Characterization of the Crab spectral energy distribution in intensity and polarization

4.1. Intensity

The total flux density of the Crab nebula at radio and millimetre wavelengths (from 1 to 500 GHz) is mainly expected to be due to synchrotron emission and can be well described by a single power law of the form

$$I_\nu = A(\nu/1\ \text{GHz})^\beta$$

with spectral index \(\beta = -0.296 ± 0.006\) (Baars et al. 1977; Macías-Pérez et al. 2010). Further, the emission of the Crab nebula is fading with time at a rate of \(\alpha = -0.167 ± 0.015\ %\ \text{yr}^{-1}\) (Aller & Reynolds 1985). These results suggest a low frequency emission produced by particles accelerated by the same magnetic field. Macías-Pérez et al. (2010) have also shown that there...
is no evidence for an extra synchrotron component or for thermal dust emission at these frequencies. The direction and degree of the polarization is therefore expected to be constant across the frequency range 30–300 GHz.

Figure 7 shows the total flux density of the Crab nebula as a function of frequency. The fluxes in the radio domain were taken from Dmitrenko et al. (1970) and Vinogradova et al. (1971). We also show microwave and millimetre wavelength fluxes from Archeops (Macías-Pérez et al. 2007), Planck (Planck Collaboration XXVI 2016), WMAP (Weiland et al. 2011), XPOL (Aumont et al. 2010), MAMBO/30 m (Bandiera et al. 2002), POLKA (Wiesemeyer et al. 2014), and GISM0/30 m (Arendt et al. 2011). The HFI Planck fluxes were specifically estimated for this paper using the new 2018 Planck HFI intensity and polarization maps, which will be made publicly available before the end of the year. We note that in these new maps the treatment of the polarization systematics has been significantly improved with respect to those in Planck Collaboration XXVI (2016). Furthermore, the Planck HFI Crab nebula fluxes in Planck Collaboration XXVI (2016) were computed assuming a point source, which is not adapted for an extended source. The measured NIKA total flux density at 150 GHz is shown in red. We note that in the plot both the best-fit model and the data represented are corrected for the fading of the source.

Assuming the single power law model in Eq. (4) and by $\chi^2$ minimization we obtain

$$A = 1010.2 \pm 3.8 \text{ Jy}; \quad \beta = -0.323 \pm 0.001.$$  

The best-fit model is shown in Fig. 7 in cyan. The NIKA data are consistent with this model at the 1$\sigma$ level. The estimated spectral index $\beta$ is slightly different from the previous results provided by Macías-Pérez et al. (2010). This is probably due to the addition of new Planck and WMAP data.

As already discussed above, XPOL total power emission is low with respect to expectations. The POLKA value is found to be lower than the Planck result at the same frequency; this is mainly explained by the spatial filtering of LABOCA data reduction, as already discussed in the previous section.

### 4.2. Polarization

Though the total power emission of the Crab nebula has been monitored over decades across a wide range of frequencies, the amount of polarization data is still poor. Recent results from the Planck LFI instrument (Planck Collaboration XXVI 2016), the new Planck HFI maps presented above (Planck Collaboration III 2018), WMAP (Weiland et al. 2011), XPOL (Aumont et al. 2010), and POLKA (Wiesemeyer et al. 2014) data, together with the NIKA results allow us to trace the SED of the polarized emission, $I_{\text{pol}}$, of the Crab nebula as shown in Fig. 8. We note that the uncertainties for the NIKA polarization intensity also include absolute calibration errors and systematics as discussed in the previous sections. Assuming a single power law synchrotron emission (see Eq. (4)) for the polarization emission of the Crab nebula and a using $\chi^2$ fitting procedure we find

$$A_{\text{pol}} = 78.98 \pm 7.82 \text{ Jy}; \quad \beta_{\text{pol}} = -0.347 \pm 0.026.$$  

We observe that the NIKA, XPOL, and POLKA results are consistent with the best-fit model at the 1$\sigma$ level. We have also estimated the spectral index of the Crab nebula polarization emission at high frequency using the map obtained by SCUPOL (Matthews et al. 2009) at 352 GHz (850 $\mu$m) and the NIKA map. Considering only the region observed by SCUPOL ($\sim 1.5'$) we obtain $\beta_{\text{NIKA/SCUPOL}} = -0.33 \pm 0.01$. This result is in good agreement with the best-fit model spectral index presented above.

The polarization spectral index is consistent with the total power index confirming that the synchrotron radiation is the fundamental mechanism that drives the polarization emission of the Crab nebula.

### 5. Conclusions

The Crab nebula is considered a celestial standard calibrator for CMB experiments in terms of polarization degree and angle. An absolute calibration is particularly important for reliable measurements of the CMB polarization B-modes, which are a window towards the physics of the early Universe.

We have reported in this paper the first high angular resolution polarization observations of the Crab nebula at 150 GHz, which were obtained with the NIKA camera. These observations have allowed us to map the spatial distribution of the Crab nebula polarization fraction and angle.

Using the NIKA data, in addition to all the available polarization data to date, we conclude that the polarization angle of the Crab nebula is consistent with being constant with frequency, from 20 to 353 GHz, at arcmin scales with a value of $-87.7^\circ \pm 0.3^\circ$ in Galactic coordinates. High resolution observations provided by NIKA at 150 GHz and POLKA at 345 GHz show a polarization angle that is lower than the average value by $\sim 3^\circ$ and $\sim 5^\circ$, respectively. Though the uncertainties on these values are high because of the systematic errors, this discrepancy highlights the need for further high angular resolution polarization observations in this frequency range. In addition, we find a strong case for a constant polarization degree of $\rho = 6.95 \pm 0.03%$.

Moreover, we have characterized the intensity and polarization SED of the Crab nebula. In both total power and polarization, we find that the data are overall consistent with...
a single power law spectrum, as expected from synchrotron emission from a single population of relativistic electrons. The Crab nebula presents a polarization spectral index $\beta_{\text{pol}} = -0.347 \pm 0.026$ that is consistent with the intensity spectral index $\beta = -0.324 \pm 0.001$. However, we find some discrepancies between the data sets which will require further millimetre measurements. Among future polarization experiments, NIKA2 (Calvo et al. 2016; Adam et al. 2018), will provide high sensitive polarization observations of the Crab nebula adding a 260 GHz map at 11'' resolution.
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