The role of dendritic cells in tumor microenvironments and their uses as therapeutic targets
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Dendritic cells (DC), which consist of several different subsets, specialize in antigen presentation and are critical for mediating the innate and adaptive immune responses. DC subsets can be classified into conventional, plasmacytoid, and monocye-derived DC in the tumor microenvironment, and each subset plays a different role. Because of the role of intratumoral DCs in initiating antitumor immune responses with tumor-derived antigen presentation to T cells, DCs have been targeted in the treatment of cancer. By regulating the functionality of DCs, several DC-based immunotherapies have been developed, including administration of tumor-derived antigens and DC vaccines. In addition, DCs participate in the mechanisms of classical cancer therapies, such as radiation therapy and chemotherapy. Thus, regulating DCs is important in improving current cancer therapies. Here, we will discuss the role of each DC subset in antitumor immune responses, and the current status of DC-related cancer therapies. [BMB Reports 2021; 54(1): 31-43]

INTRODUCTION

Cancers are a mass of abnormal cells proliferating out of control, and their progression often leads to death. Cancers can evade the immune system via an ‘immunoediting’ process that suppresses immunity and promotes tumor progression, causing the immune system to be exhausted (1). To reinvigorate exhausted immune cells, cancer immunotherapies have been developed that can block immune checkpoints, enable adoptive cell transfer, and be administered by means of vaccination. Within the cancer-immunity cycle, T cells are activated to ultimately kill cancer cells, and most strategies for cancer immunotherapy have been focused on improving the functionality of T cells (2). Antigen-presenting cells (APCs) mediate T-cell activation in the cancer-immunity cycle and can capture, process, and present antigens from tumor cells to T cells. APCs consist of macrophages, dendritic cells (DCs), and B cells, which are characterized by the constitutive expression of major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class II and co-stimulatory molecules (3). Among these cells, DCs are the most capable APCs and are central to the initiation of antigen-specific immunity and tolerance. DC functions depend on environmental signals, such as cytokines, pathogen-associated molecular patterns, and damage-associated molecular patterns, which are sensed by extracellular and intracellular receptors, including pattern-recognition receptors (PRRs) (3). Therefore, controlling DC activity has considerable potential in improving antitumor immunity (4). Many therapies that manipulate the activation, migration, and function of DCs have undergone clinical trials (5). Furthermore, recent studies have reported the importance of DCs in cancer therapies, such as radiation therapy, chemotherapy, adoptive cell therapy, and immune-checkpoint blockade. In this article, we will discuss the role of DC subsets in tumor microenvironments and the potential use of these cells as therapeutic targets in patients with cancer.

DCS IN CANCER IMMUNOLOGY

DCs are typically divided into several subsets, including conventional DCs (cDCs), plasmacytoid DCs (pDCs), and monocyte-derived DCs (moDC), which are highly conserved between mouse and humans (summarized in Table 1). Each subset plays a different role in cancer immunology. Below we describe the function of each DC subset in cancer immunity (Fig. 1).

CONVENTIONAL DCs (cDCs)

cDCs are subdivided into two subtypes, known as type 1 cDC (cDC1) and type 2 cDC (cDC2). These cDC subsets are distinguished by their expression of different transcription factors. cDC1 is primarily defined by the transcription factors BATF3 and IRF8. Type 1 cDCs are also defined by cell-surface expression of CD8α and CD103, with CD8α+ cDC1s considered to
Table 1. DC subsets in mouse and human

| DC subset | Transcription factors | Main surface markers |
|-----------|----------------------|----------------------|
| pDC       | IRF7                 | CD45R, CD45RA, CD317 |
| cDC1      | BATF3, IRF8, BCL-6, ID2, ZBTB46 | CD123, CD303, CD304, CD45RA |
| cDC2      | IRF4, ID2, NOTCH2, ZBTB46 | CD141, DEC205, Clec9A, XCR1 |
| moDC      | MAFB, KLF4, ZBTB46   | CD11c, CD11b, CD1a (migratory) |

pDC, plasmacytoid DC; cDC1, conventional DC 1; cDC2, conventional DC 2; moDC, monocyte-derived DC; BATF3, basic leucine zipper transcription factor ATF-like 3; BCL-6, B cell lymphoma 6 protein; CCR, CC-chemokine receptor; ID2, inhibitor of DNA binding 2; IRF, interferon-regulatory factor; KLF4, Kruppel-like factor 4; Ly6C, lymphocyte antigen 6 complex; MAFB, MAF BZIP transcription factor B; NOTCH2, neurogenic locus notch homolog protein 2; XCR1, chemokine XC receptor 1; ZBTB46, zinc-finger and BTB domain-containing 46.

In the antitumor immune responses, cDC1s are critical in tumor rejection and responses to immunotherapies, such as immune-checkpoint blockade and adoptive T-cell therapy (6-8). CD8⁺ T cells are important effector cells involved in eliminating tumor cells, and cDC1s play an important role by cross-presenting tumor-associated antigens to CD8⁺ T cells (Fig. 1A). BATF3 deficiency in mice results in CD8⁺ DCs that cannot cross-present to CD8⁺ T cells, leading to lack of tumor rejection (6). In humans, activation of CD14¹ DCs with Toll-like receptor 3 (TLR3) agonist leads to cross-presentation of antigens from necrotic cells to CD8⁺ T cells (9). In addition to cDC1s, CD4⁺ T helper cells are important in inducing the cytotoxic function of CD8⁺ T cells, and CD4⁺ T-cell priming is typically considered to be in charge of cDC2s. Research from the Krummel group suggests that cDC2s do not participate in the activation of CD8⁺ T cells in antitumor immune responses (10, 11). They found two DC populations, CD11b⁺ and CD103⁺ subsets, among tumor-infiltrating myeloid immune cells, and found that CD11b⁺ DCs rarely stimulate naïve and activated CD8⁺ T cells (10). Furthermore, CD11b⁺ DCs in tumor-draining LNs cannot induce the proliferation of naïve CD8⁺ T cells (11). However, cDC2s are involved in antitumor immune responses by inducing the proliferation of antitumor CD4⁺ T cells (12). A recent study showed that both CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells are required for tumor rejection and selective deletion of MHC class II in cDC1s, as well as inhibition of early CD4⁺ T-cell priming, suggesting that cDC1s and cDC2s are required for CD4⁺ T-cell priming (13).

cDC1s can capture and deliver tumor-associated antigens to lymphocytes in draining LNs (dLNs), leading to the activation of tumor antigen-specific CD8⁺ T cells (Fig. 1A). Migratory CD103⁺ DCs can carry tumor antigen and accumulate in tumor-draining LNs. CC chemokine receptor-7 (CCR7) deficiency abrogates the accumulation of CD103⁺ DCs in LNs, thereby inhibiting CD8⁺ T-cell priming (11, 14). In addition, the expression
level of CCR7 in human tumors has been correlated with signatures of CD141+ DCs, tumor-infiltrating T cells, and improved clinical prognosis (11). CC chemokine ligand-21 (CCL21) expressed by tumor cells induces trafficking of CCR7+ DCs into the tumor microenvironment (15, 16). Injection of engineered melanoma cells that express CCL21 significantly inhibited tumor growth more than normal melanoma cells did and increased CD11c+ DCs and T cells in the tumor microenvironment (15). In contrast, another study showed that CCL21 expression in melanoma cells was associated with an immunotolerogenic microenvironment characterized by decreased interferon-γ (IFN-γ), increased tumor growth factor beta-1 (TGF-β1), and recruitment of regulatory T cells (16).

In addition to antigen presentation, cDCs have other ways to induce antitumor immune responses, in particular, the priming and activation of CD8+ T cells, via co-stimulatory molecules and soluble factors (Fig. 1A). Several co-stimulatory molecules are expressed on cDCs, such as CD80, CD86, OX40 ligand (OX40L), and CD70. CD80 and CD86 increase T-cell activation and suppression by interacting with CD28 or cytotoxic T lymphocyte antigen 4 (CTLA4), respectively (17). Moreover, interactions with other co-stimulatory molecules expressed on DCs support T-cell-mediated immune responses. Related studies have focused primarily on cancer immunotherapy. DCs transfected with mRNA encoding OX40L induce Th1 responses and CD8+ T-cell priming, leading to an increase in antitumor immunity (18). In addition, expression of CD70, a ligand for CD27, on DCs is essential for CD8+ T-cell priming and antitumor immunity in mice, and administration of an agonist anti-CD27 monoclonal antibody (mAb) synergizes with programmed cell death protein-1 (PD-1) blockade (19).

Soluble factors, such as cytokines and chemokines secreted by cDCs, are essential for the activation and trafficking of T cells in the tumor microenvironments (Fig. 1A). One important cytokine involved in inducing antitumor immunity is interleukin-12 (IL-12). cDC1s are considered to be the main producers of IL-12 in cancer immunology (10, 20, 21). BATF3-dependent CD103+ DCs largely produce IL-12 for the generation of T-cell-mediated immune responses (20). Among tumor-infiltrating myeloid cell populations, CD103+ DC subsets predominantly express IL-12 (10). IL-12 expression on DCs is suppressed by signaling of IL-10 produced by macrophages, which results in reduced tumor rejection and response to chemotherapy (21). cDCs are also involved in trafficking of T cells to the tumor microenvironment via chemokine production (8, 22, 23). Tumor-infiltrating T cells expressing CXCR motif chemokine receptor-3 (CXCR3) and its ligands CXCL9 and CXCL10 are mainly expressed by CD103+ DC subsets. Selective depletion of BATF3 in CD11c+ cells cannot recruit effector T cells into the tumor microenvironment (8). Transferred central memory CD8+ T cells specific to tumor-derived antigens can generate resident memory T cells following tumor challenge, and BATF3-dependent DC subsets are essential for the reactivation of circulating memory antitumor responses (23). Additionally, whereas as CXCR3 deficiency did not critically affect CD8+ T-cell recruitment, CXCL3 and CXCL9 production by CD103+ DCs is required for the response to PD-1 blockade (22). In summary, the cDC1 subset is critical in mediating CD8+ T-cell activation and antitumor immune responses via cross-presentation, co-stimulation, and soluble factors, whereas the cDC2 subset is involved in antitumor immunity via CD4+ T-cell activation.

Recent studies identified an interplay between natural killer (NK) cells and cDC1s (Fig. 1A). In a murine melanoma model, IL-12 produced by CD103+ DCs activated NK cells to secrete IFN-γ, which is critical for suppressing tumor-cell metastasis independent of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells (24). Conversely, NK cells can recruit cDC1s into the tumor microenvironment via the chemokines CCL3 and XCL1, and this NK-DC axis is associated with cancer-patient survival (25). In human melanoma, cDC1 abundance is associated with intratumoral tissue expression of FLT3LG, a cytokine produced mainly by NK cells in the tumor microenvironment (26). Cross-talk between cDC1s and NK cells, as well as interplay between cDCs and other immune cells, in the tumor microenvironment is complex and important for understanding the overall regulation of antitumor immune responses.

Although cDC1s reduce tumor growth, this subset can still be found in tumors that resist immune-checkpoint blockade. A recent study using single-cell RNA sequencing identified a mature DC subset that expresses immuno-regulatory genes, including cd274, pdcd1lg2, cd200, and maturation genes, including ccr7 and il12b (27). Both cDC1 and cDC2 cells are programmed to differentiate into this regulatory subset upon uptake of tumor antigens. In addition, the expression of programmed cell death ligand 1 protein (PD-L1) in this subset is induced by receptor tyrosine kinase AXL, and IL-12 is negatively regulated by IL-4 signaling (27). Thus, cDCs play an immunoregulatory role in the tumor microenvironment (Fig. 1A).

In addition, tumors can regulate DCs to suppress antitumor activities. Tumor cells produce several molecules, such as IL-6, gangliosides, prostanoids, and lactic acid, that can regulate DC differentiation (28, 29). DCs can sense tumor-derived mitochondrial DNA via signal regulatory protein alpha (SIRPα); however, CD47 expressed by tumor cells can inhibit SIRPα resulting in decreased expression of type I IFNs (30). Liver X receptor alpha (LXRα) derived from tumor cells can restrict the migration of DCs via CCR7 into the tumor microenvironment (31). While DCs have antitumor functions, it is possible for tumor cells to restrict DCs’ abilities to protect themselves from attack.

**PLASMACYTOID DCs (pDCs)**

Whereas cDCs and pDCs are derived from common DC progenitors, these subsets possess considerably different characteristics and functions (5). As mentioned above, antigen presentation is the main role of cDCs. Although pDCs express MHCII and co-stimulatory molecules, their main function is to pro-
produce type I IFNs and pro-inflammatory cytokines in response to TLR signaling that is activated by viral RNA and DNA during infection (32). Whereas type I IFNs are known for their important role in antiviral immune responses, they are also involved in antitumor immune responses by regulating type I IFN receptor-expressing immune cells, including DCs (Fig. 1C) (33). In human metastatic melanoma, the transcriptional profile of type I IFNs correlates with T-cell markers, and IFNAR1 (IFN-α/β receptor 1)-deficient mice cannot induce T-cell priming in the tumor microenvironment (34). Furthermore, IFNAR1-deficient DCs cannot reject highly immunogenic tumor cells because of defects in their cross-presenting function to CD8+ DCs (35).

The role of pDCs has not been well established in cancer immunology. In lung cancer, the expression of pDC genes in tumor tissues is associated with a positive prognosis (36). Whereas pDCs are associated with good prognosis in lung cancer, most studies have reported a negative prognosis in several tumor types and a tolerogenic role for pDCs in antitumor immunity (Fig. 1C) (37-43). The infiltration of pDCs in ovarian cancer is associated with early relapse and a poor survival rate (40). pDCs accounted for the largest percentage in inducible co-stimulatory ligand (ICOS)-L-positive immune cells in human ovarian-cancer tissues, and they stimulated ICOS+ FOXP3+ regulatory T cells to suppress antitumor immune responses (38). Besides ovarian cancer, the presence of pDCs in the tumor microenvironment of breast cancer is associated with a negative prognosis (42). ICOS-L+ pDCs promote breast-cancer growth via the accumulation of regulatory CD4+ T cells following ICOS stimulation (39). Furthermore, pDCs from the melanoma environment induce naïve CD4+ T cells to express Th2 immunity-related cytokines (e.g., IL-5) and anti-inflammatory cytokines (e.g., IL-10), which are regulated by OX40-L and ICOS-L expression on pDCs, respectively (37). Similarly, in human liver tumors, pDCs are enriched at the tumor site, and their presence has been correlated with increased frequency of regulatory CD4+ T cells, which produce IL-10 via ICOS-ICOS-L signaling (41). In addition to CD4+ T cells, pDCs in the ovarian carcinoma microenvironment induce regulatory CD8+ T cells to produce IL-10, suppressing the effector functions of tumor antigen-specific T cells (43).

The tumor microenvironment regulates the immunotolerogenic functions of pDCs via several mechanisms (Fig. 1C). TGF-β1 and tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α) secreted by breast tumor cells suppress the production of type I IFN by pDCs and the expression of IFN-α by pDCs (44). pDCs with an activated profile accumulate in murine lung tumor and melanoma models, and TGF-β1 present in tumor-cell supernatant can inhibit IFN-α production by pDCs after stimulation with the TLR9 agonist CpG (45). In addition, IL-10 produced by head and neck cancer cells suppresses IFN-α production, and this suppression is reversible with the addition of an IL-10 receptor antagonistic antibody (46). Whereas pDCs activated by CpG can inhibit growth and induce apoptosis in melanoma cells by secreting IFN-α, pDCs are converted into tumor-promoting cells by direct interaction with E-cadherin on myeloma cells, resulting in TLR9 ubiquitination and degradation, as well as inhibition of IFN-α production by pDCs (47). In summary, in most tumor types, pDCs play a tolerogenic role by regulating tumor cells, which leads to the accumulation of regulatory T cells in the tumor microenvironment.

**MONOCYTE-DERIVED DCs (moDCs)**

During inflammatory responses, Ly6C+ (mouse) or CD14+ (human) monocytes migrate into the inflammatory site and differentiate into DCs called monocyte-derived DCs (moDCs) (Fig. 1B) (4, 5). Monocytes in the blood directly migrate into dLNs and differentiate into CD11c+CD11b+Gr-1+ moDCs after initiation of the inflammatory response to produce IL-12 and stimulate Th1 responses (48). In the tumor microenvironment, a portion of CD103+ DCs, which are known for cross-presentation, express Ly6C derived from monocytes. This differentiation is dependent on p53 activation and leads to BATF3 upregulation and acquisition of their moDC phenotype. This mechanism is supported by reports demonstrating that selective deletion of p53 in myeloid cells results in selective loss of Ly6C+CD103+ moDCs and decreased response to immunotherapy (49). In addition, moDCs in the melanoma microenvironment can increase the proliferation of CD8+ T cells and suppress CD4+ T cells that specifically express CD4+ T cells as effectively as cDC subsets (50). Monocytes are recruited into the tumor microenvironment by CCL2 derived from tumor cells, which play a chemotactic role (51).

Whereas moDCs may possess an antitumor phenotype, they can differentiate into immunosuppressive cells (Fig. 1B). Stromal factors, including CCL2, IL-6, and TGF-β1, produced by tumor-associated stromal myofibroblasts induce monocytes to express CD209 and PD-L1. Upon lipopolysaccharide (LPS) stimulation, these moDCs produce considerably more IL-10 and less IL-12 than monocytes can following TLR agonist stimulation. This subset can induce CD8+ T cells to produce IL-10 and suppress T-cell responses (52). In addition, although moDCs can skillfully take up tumor antigens, they are defective in providing sufficient stimulation to activate T cells because of nitric-oxide-mediated immunosuppression (53). CD11c+CD14+ DCs isolated from peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) of melanoma patients exhibit features like those of monocytes; however, they can produce more IL-10 than monocytes can following TLR agonist stimulation. This subset can induce T-cell responses, but these responses are decreased by their PD-L1 expression (54). Thus, moDCs are induced with the occurrence of tumors, and whether they ultimately possess an antitumor or protumor phenotype is determined by the tumor microenvironment.

**DCs AND CANCER THERAPIES**

Thus far, various therapies, including radiation therapy, chemotherapy, adoptive T-cell, and immune-checkpoint blockade, are
used to treat cancer patients. DCs are critical in cancer therapy and play a role in determining therapeutic efficacy. Here, we discuss how DCs are involved in the mechanism of various cancer therapies (Fig. 2).

**RADIATION THERAPY AND CHEMOTHERAPY**

Radiation therapy involves treating patients with external beams, such as X-rays, whereas chemotherapy involves administering pharmaceutical agents such as oxaliplatin, doxorubicin, or anthracycline. Both types of therapies aim to damage DNA or inhibit cell division, resulting in tumor-cell death. Although these therapies directly eliminate tumor cells, they can also induce antitumor immune responses in DCs via immunogenic cell death (Fig. 2A).

As tumor cells are eliminated, several molecules, such as tumor-derived DNA, ATP, and high mobility group box 1 (HMGB1), are released in the tumor microenvironment to activate DCs, resulting in an antitumor immune response. Tumor-derived DNA can bind to cyclic GMP-AMP Synthase (cGAS) and activate signaling by stimulating cytosolic stimulator of interferon genes (STING) in DCs, leading to the induction of type I IFNs, which are key mediators in the efficacy of radiation therapy (55). ATP is another activator of DCs in the mechanism to induce T-cell priming. When oxaliplatin attacks tumor cells, ATP is released from dying tumor cells and acts on purinergic P2X7 receptors of DCs to activate the NOD-like receptor family, pyrin domain containing-3 protein (NLRP3) inflammasome, leading to IL-1β secretion (56). In addition, HMGB1 is selectively released by dying tumor cells after chemotherapy or radiation therapy and activates TLR4 signaling in DCs, which regulates the processing and presentation of tumor-cell antigens by DCs (57). Furthermore, dying tumor cells express annexin-1, which interacts with formyl peptide receptor 1 (FPR1) expressed by DCs, leading to T-cell activation (58).

However, these therapies do not always induce antitumor immune responses via immunogenic cell deaths. A recent study showed the opposite results in acute human leukemia cells, namely, that DCs cultured with doxorubicin-treated tumor cells upregulated indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase 1 (IDO1), thereby inducing regulatory T cells because of elevated levels of extracellular ATP (59). During high-dose radiation therapy, DNA exonuclease Trex1 is induced and degrades cytosolic DNA, resulting in attenuated cGAS-STING signaling (60). In addition,
radiation therapy stimulates cGAS-STING signaling, which activates the canonical and non-canonical NF-κB pathways in DCs. The non-canonical pathway can suppress the canonical one, which is critical for inducing type I IFNs (61).

ADOPTIVE T-CELL THERAPY

Adoptive T-cell therapy involves harvesting T cells from patients' tumor tissues or peripheral blood, expanding them, and then reinfusing the cells into the patient in order to attack tumor cells. As mentioned above, DCs are critical for T-cell priming in antitumor immunity. Likewise, DCs are required for effective adoptive T-cell therapy (Fig. 2B). For adoptive CD8⁺ T-cell therapy, it is important for TNF and inducible nitric-oxide-synthase (iNOS)-producing DCs (Tip-DCs) to produce nitric oxide to induce tumor killing, and Tip-DCs require the CD40-CD40L interactions with infused CD8⁺ T cells (62). In addition to Tip-DCs, CD40 and CD70 signaling, but not CD80/CD86, in the cDC1 subset are critical for expanding adoptively transferred T cells (63). Furthermore, lack of CD103⁺ DCs limits the efficacy of adoptive T-cell therapy, because CXCL9 and CXCL10 produced by CD103⁺ DCs in the tumor microenvironment are essential for infused T cells, as well as endogenous effector T cells, to traffic to the tumor sites and increase antitumor immunity (8).

IMMUNE-CHECKPOINT BLOCKADE

Immune-checkpoint blockade (ICB) is a strategy aimed at inhibiting interactions with immune checkpoints that can suppress antitumor immune responses. For example, PD-L1 on tumor cells can suppress the antitumor functions of T cells by PD-1/PD-L1 interaction. PD-1/PD-L1 blockade can reinvigorate T-cell functions leading to tumor rejection, and this treatment is currently in clinical use for cancer patients. However, ICB treatment is limited by the responsiveness rate, because its effectiveness depends on the type of cancer and the state of immune cells in the cancer patient (64). Therefore, it is important to understand the immunological situation of the tumor microenvironment in order to increase the response rate to ICB.

The infiltration of DC subsets into the tumor microenvironment is associated with the response to anti-PD-1 or anti-PD-L1 immunotherapy. In human melanoma patients, the proportion of cDC1 subsets in intratumoral tissues is significantly higher in PD-1 blockade-responsive patients than in non-responsive patients (26). Studies investigating the RNA-seq data of tumor tissues from renal-cell carcinoma (RCC) and non-small-cell lung-carcinoma patients found that the gene signature of DCs is associated with an improved survival rate following anti-PD-L1 immunotherapy (atezolizumab) (65). These associations suggest that DCs are key players in response to ICB therapy (Fig. 2C). Indeed, BATF3-deficient mice with melanoma injection cannot respond to anti-PD-L1 immunotherapy. Administering a combination of poly I:C and FMS-like tyrosine kinase 3 ligand (FLT3LG), which stimulates DC differentiation and expansion, produces a synergistic effect with anti-PD-L1 immunotherapy, leading to better antitumor responses (14). Likewise, BATF3 deficiency also inactivates the responses to combined anti-PD-1 and anti-CD137 immunotherapy and the synergistic effect of poly I:C and FLT3LG (7). In addition to cDC1s, a recent study showed that moDCs, especially iNOS-producing Tip-DCs, are important in the response to PD-1 blockade (66).

Even though the presence of DCs is important for responsiveness to ICB, the functionality of DCs may improve the efficacy of this therapy. Anti-CD40 agonist antibody can promote DC differentiation and proliferation in the tumor microenvironment, resulting in systemic T-cell activation that produces a synergistic effect with ICB (66-68). In addition, engineered type I IFN targeting to DCs improves the functionality of DCs in antitumor immune responses and the efficacy of PD-L1 blockade (69). IL-12 produced by DCs is also required to reinvigorate exhausted CD8⁺ T-cells for successful PD-1 blockade, and these DCs also have to sense CD8⁺ T-cell-producing IFN-γ (68). Additionally, cGAS- or STING-deficient mice cannot respond to PD-L1 blockade and generate tumor-infiltrating CD8⁺ T cells. Also, administration of the STING ligand cyclic guanosine monophosphate-adenosine monophosphate (cGAMP) may increase the efficacy of anti-PD-L1 immunotherapy (70). Furthermore, cross-presentation of DCs, which is dependent on Sec22b, is required for the responsiveness to PD-1 blockade (71). Therefore, considering the regulation of DCs is important in increasing responsiveness to ICB.

DC-BASED IMMUNOTHERAPIES

DCs are important mediators between the innate and adaptive immune responses against tumors. Thus, one effective treatment strategy is to target DCs, focusing on their functional improvement, expansion, and tumor-derived antigen specificities (Fig. 3).

ACTIVATION OF DCs

One method to increase the functionality of DCs is to administer cytokines, such as FLT3LG and granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF), which promote the differentiation and activation of DCs (Fig. 3A). FLT3LG administration has been reported to suppress tumor growth in a murine tumor model, and in vitro stimulation with FLT3LG promotes the upregulation of co-stimulatory molecules and MHCI/II expression on bone-marrow-derived DCs (BM-DCs). Moreover, in vivo FLT3LG injection considerably augments CD103⁺ DC progenitors in the bone marrow and promotes their accumulation and expansion in the tumor microenvironment (14). GM-CSF is another important factor involved in the differentiation, activation, and migration of DCs, and numerous studies focused on GM-CSF-based immunotherapy have been published. One representative strategy using GM-CSF is GVAX, a cancer-cell
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Fig. 3. The scheme of DC-based cancer immunotherapies. (A) Direct activation of DCs by the administration of several molecules, such as FLT3-LG, GM-CSF, and poly l.C variants, is one strategy of DC-based immunotherapies. (B) Together with DC activation, the administration of tumor-derived antigens induces DCs to promote tumor-specific immune responses. Tumor-derived antigens can be delivered as whole-tumor lysates or specific antigens including neo-antigens, which are predicted by next generation sequencing and bioinformatic tools. (C) For DC vaccine, immature DCs, which are conventional DCs or moDCs, are isolated from the peripheral blood of cancer patients, and these cells are matured and activated with tumor antigens as tumor lysates or specific antigens. In order to improve the functionality, DCs are engineered by using genetic tools, such as the CRISPR-Cas9 system and viral vectors. Then, activated DCs loaded with tumor antigens are reinfused into patients, leading to the induction of an antigen-specific immune response. Since immunosuppressive TME might deteriorate the efficacy of a DC vaccine, ICB therapies are combined with DC vaccine in order to reverse TME.

vaccine platform engineered to produce GM-CSF (72). Although results in clinical trials for prostate cancer were unsatisfactory, other clinical trials combining GVAX with other therapies are still in progress (73). Another platform, talimogene laherparepvec (T-VEC), which uses an attenuated herpes simplex virus to express GM-CSF, has been approved by the United States Food and Drug Administration (US FDA) for treating advanced stage III and IV melanoma (74). Administration of T-VEC is associated with elevated levels of CD8+ T cells and a reduction in immunosuppressive cells, such as regulatory T cells and myeloid-derived suppressor cells, in the tumor microenvironment (75).

In addition to cytokines, TLR signaling is a strong stimulator of DC activation (Fig. 3A). The cDC1 subset, an important player in antitumor immunity, mainly expresses TLR3. Thus, the TLR3 agonist poly l.C has been developed as a cancer treatment to activate cDC1s. Despite the effect of poly l.C on DC activation, it is difficult to use this molecule clinically because of the high risk of toxic reactions found in clinical trials (76). Since these trials, there have been many efforts to develop substitutes with less toxicity, such as poly l.C variants (e.g., poly-lCLC and poly l.C12U) and nanoparticle-encapsulated poly l.C. In a pilot study, poly-lCLC was well tolerated by solid-cancer patients and induced an increase in T cells in intratumoral tissues of patients with a progressive disease. In addition, this treatment upregulated the expression of genes associated with chemokine activity, as well as T-cell activation and antigen presentation in the tumor and PBMCs of individual patients (77). Another variant, poly l.C12U, has also been evaluated with human monocyte-derived DCs in vitro. This treatment increases the expression of MHCII and co-stimulatory molecules, and induces more IL-12 and less IL-10 than poly l.C treatment does (78). Finally, in preclinical trials with melanoma patients, a nanoplexed poly l.C showed dramatic tumor rejection with improved cytotoxic T-cell functions and synergistic effects with anti-PD-1 and anti-CD137 immunotherapy (79).

The cGAS-STING pathway is critical for DC activation in the antitumor immune response (Fig. 3A). While DNA sensing in DCs is regulated by SIRPα signaling, CD47 blockade enhanced cGAS-STING signaling by increasing the sensing of tumor-derived mitochondrial DNA in SIRPα+ cDC2 subsets, resulting in type I IFN production and antitumor immune responses (30).
Additionally, the administration of the STING agonist induces tumor rejection and improved antitumor immunity in a murine melanoma model (80).

**DELIVERY OF ANTIGENS**

As mentioned above, DCs are primarily involved in antigen presentation, especially in CD8+ T-cell priming in antitumor immunity. Thus, delivering tumor-derived antigens to DCs has been a key strategy used in developing cancer treatments (Fig. 3B) (81). Two main types of antigens have been used for delivery, namely, whole-tumor lysates and specific-tumor antigens (4). Whole-tumor lysates are relatively simple to use, since selecting specific-tumor-derived antigens is not required. Moreover, autologous tumor cells have more potential for success than do allogeneic tumor-cell lines, because they already contain all of the mutant antigens present in an individual patient (82). Recently, a prototype for a generic melanoma vaccine (TRIMELVax) was generated from a mixture of three human melanoma-cell lines by a heat-shock process. Upon administration into a murine melanoma model, the antigens of TRIMELVax were phagocytozed by the cDC1 subset, which induced CD8+ T-cell priming and activation, and ultimately tumor rejection (83). In addition, whole-tumor lysates can be delivered within liposomal spherical nucleic acids (SNAs) composed of adjuvants. For example, a complex consisting of murine triple-negative breast-cancer cell lysates encapsulated with SNAs consisting of CpG on the surface induced tumor rejection and in vitro activation of BM-DCs (84).

However, whole-tumor lysates also contain immunosuppressive molecules, such as vascular endothelial growth factor, soluble FAS ligand, IL-10, and TGF-β1 (82). Thus, the selection of specific antigens from tumor cells is another method used for cancer treatment. Among tumor-associated antigens, neo-antigens are effective therapeutic targets, since they may exhibit lower immune tolerance and induce natural antitumor T-cell responses (85). Indeed, tumors with high somatic mutation rates are associated with the objective response rates to PD-1 and PD-L1 blockade, whereas tumors with few mutations produced lower response rates (85, 86). In addition, the quality of neo-antigens, which is defined as the potential to promote T-cell activation, can be a prognostic indicator of survival in pancreatic-cancer patients (87). The feasibility, safety, and immunogenicity of this method was demonstrated in studies of melanoma and glioblastoma patients who were vaccinated with neoantigens extracted from cancer patients and were selected based on predictions of high-affinity binding of autologous human leukocyte antigen using machine-learning approaches (88, 89).

The maturity of DCs is an important consideration for the success of delivering tumor antigens for cancer treatment. Therefore, the antigens are administered together with adjuvants, such as GM-CSF and DC-targeting antibodies, against DEC-205 (4, 5). In some clinical trials, GM-CSF was administered with autologous tumor-cell lysates or lysate-pulsed DCs in mesothelioma and metastatic RCC patients, respectively (90, 91). NY-ESO-1, a cancer/testis antigen expressed in the testicular germ cells of normal adults, was engineered to be fused with an antibody targeting DEC-205 to induce cross-presentation of DCs and activation of NY-ESO-1-specific T cells from PBMCs of cancer patients (92). In addition, DEC-205 antibody fused with a partial peptide of MAGE, a known melanoma antigen, induces DC maturation and pro-inflammatory cytokine production by MAGE-specific CD4+ T cells (93).

**DC VACCINES**

DC vaccines are developed using natural endogenous DCs or ex vivo monocyte-derived DCs isolated from autologous PBMCs of cancer patients who have been pulsed with tumor lysates or tumor-associated antigens (Fig. 3C). This therapeutic strategy delivers antigen-loaded DCs stimulated with pro-inflammatory cytokines to promote the antitumor immune response of tumor-antigen-specific CD8+ T cells. In clinical trials, the toxicity of DC vaccines was much lower than that of other cancer therapies, including chemotherapy, radiotherapy, and ICB (94, 95). Sipuleucel-T (Provenge), which was developed with a fusion protein consisting of the tumor antigen prostatic acid phosphate and GM-CSF, is the first DC-based vaccine approved for the treatment of prostate cancer (96). However, despite the reduced toxicity, DC vaccines, including Sipuleucel-T, have exhibited limited clinical benefit (97, 98). Many factors may be responsible for the reduced efficacy of DC vaccines, including immunosuppressive tumor microenvironments and immune evasion of tumor cells, both of which can disable the function of DCs. Therefore, various attempts to improve the efficacy of DC vaccines are under way, including neoantigen-targeted approaches, genetic engineering approaches, and combined treatment with ICB.

With the development of next generation sequencing and bioinformatics tools, it is possible to identify patient-specific tumor antigens, including neoantigens, with a high potential for immunogenicity (97). Although this approach has major challenges, such as long lead times and high costs, the application of neoantigens to DC vaccines may improve their therapeutic efficacy. A recent phase I clinical trial demonstrated the potential of personalized DC vaccines based on neoantigens to induce a diverse neoantigen-specific T-cell repertoire (99).

In order to increase DC functionality, several genetic approaches have been applied, including RNA interference, clustered regulatory interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR)/CRISP-associated protein 9 (Cas9), and viral transduction (100). To increase antigen presentation by DCs, extracellular vesicle-internalizing receptor, which can selectively uptake tumor-cell-derived extracellular vesicles, can be transduced into DCs via viral vectors. In addition, overexpression of CCR7 on DCs via gene transduction has been shown to augment DC migration into tumor dLNs (101, 102). Likewise, microRNA-155 overex-
pression promotes CCR7 expression and IL-12 production by DCs, leading to elevated migration and activation of DCs (103, 104). DCs transduced with cd40l, cd70, and tlr4 genes also improved the functionality of DC vaccines (105). Furthermore, the administration of DCs modified by adenoviral-vector-expressed CCL21 induced T-cell infiltration into the tumor microenvironment of a murine tumor model and clinical trial (106, 107).

Since ICB therapy can reverse the immunosuppressive microenvironment, combining it with DC vaccines may be an effective cancer treatment. Several recent studies have demonstrated the potential of this combination therapy in various tumor models. Mouse splenic cDC1s loaded with tumor lysate can activate CD8+ T cells optimally in vivo and synergize antitumor immunity with PD-1 blockade (108). In addition, PD-1 blockade increased the efficacy of DC vaccines when combined with tumor antigens and CCL21 in a mouse lung-cancer model (109). Furthermore, a combined treatment of DC vaccine and PD-L1 blockade improved overall survival and tumor rejection in a murine hepatocellular carcinoma model (110).

PERSPECTIVE

Since cancer has a high death rate, there is enormous focus on developing effective therapies to treat the disease, and understanding the immune response in the tumor microenvironment is important for this development. DCs are a major immune cell type involved in presenting tumor antigens and inducing adaptive immune responses. Among DC subsets, cDC1s are critical for inducing the antitumor immunity of CD8+ T cells via cross-presentation, as well as early priming of CD4+ T cells. cDC2s also participate in antitumor responses by primarily activating CD4+ T cells. However, cDC subsets are intrinsically programmed to be immunotolerogenic upon tumor antigen uptake. Whereas pDCs produce considerable type I IFN to promote antitumor immunity, most of them become tolerogenic by tumor-cell regulation. The functionality of mDCs also depends on factors within the tumor microenvironment. Furthermore, DCs are involved in the underlying mechanisms of several commonly used cancer therapies, such as radiation therapy, chemotherapy, and ICB. Therefore, increasing DC functionality can be an effective strategy for improving current therapies.

Although the clinical benefit of DC vaccines is currently limited due to restricted types of tumor antigens and the immunosuppressive functions of tumors, various trials using different technological advances are ongoing to improve their therapeutic efficacy. Specifically, promising antigens are being discovered with the radical development of bioinformatics tools and next generation sequencing. In addition, ICB can directly reverse the suppressed functions of DCs, as well as other immune cells interacting with DCs, such as T cells and NK cells. To this end, DCs have been leveraged as a means to deliver tumor-derived antigens to T cells and induce tumor-specific immune responses. Therefore, based on the comprehensive mechanisms of DCs in the tumor microenvironment, targeting the activity of DCs is critical for advancing current cancer therapies.
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