On the influence of heat treatment in suppressing the layer orientation effect in finishing of electron beam melted Ti6Al4V
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Abstract
The main issue in the finishing of the electron beam melted (EBM) parts is their directional properties that lead to different surface roughness values for different part orientations for the same machining parameters. In this study, EBM Ti6Al4V parts were subjected to heat treatment to suppress the effect of the part/layers’ orientations during the finish milling process. Three different heat treatments were employed to the as-fabricated EBM Ti6Al4V parts. The results of the heat treatments showed that the EBM Ti6Al4V parts heated at 600°C for 3 h, and then air-cooled exhibited the same fine lamellar microstructure and microhardness as that of the as-fabricated EBM parts. The as-fabricated and heat-treated EBM Ti6Al4V parts were subjected to the milling operation by considering the three possible parts/layers orientation with respect to the tool feed directions. The milling results showed that the as-fabricated parts showed up to a 27% difference in surface roughness for different part orientations. In contrast, the heat-treated parts (600°C@3h) showed uniform surface roughness for the three part orientations with a variation of 8%. Similarly, considerable differences were observed in the machined surface microhardness of the as-fabricated EBM parts (18%) as compared to the heat-treated parts (2%). The heat-treated parts showed more uniform and superior surface morphology across all the part orientations. This is because of the more uniform microstructure, less porosity, higher consolidation of the EBM layers, and elimination of the columnar grains in the case of the heat-treated parts as compared to the as-fabricated EBM parts.
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1 Introduction
Ti6Al4V titanium alloy is one of the most commonly used materials in the automotive, biomedical, petroleum, and aerospace industries because of its associated corrosion resistance, biocompatibility, and good strength-to-weight ratio [1]. Ti6Al4V is considered to be the most widely used type of titanium alloy representing over 50% of its global production, 80 percent of which is used in the medical and aerospace industries [2]. At the same time, this material is considered to be hard to machine, though [3].

Electron beam melting (EBM) is a metal powder bed fusion additive manufacturing (AM) technology that enables three dimensional (3D) near-net-shaped parts to be produced directly from computer models [4]. EBM process has the capability to produce simple to complex shape parts in Ti6Al4V. Therefore, the EBM is attracting considerable interest among surgical implant manufacturers as a near-net type technology [5]. The EBM machine reads in data from a digitally scanned 3D model, cut into individual layers, and lays out successive 60- to 100-μm thick metal powder layers that are slowly melted to create the product model via the regulated electron beam scanning process [6]. The low residual stresses and good dimensional precision differentiate EBM from other metal-based AM processes [7]. Nevertheless, some defects remain, including the high roughness of the produced surfaces and the need for supporting structures [8, 9].

In view of the surface quality, EBM parts require finishing (usually machining) to produce the final usable surface in terms of surface performance [5, 10, 11], and SLM parts require finishing also [12]. The surface integrity of the machined surface and the subsurface essentially control a component’s functional performance to a large extent [13]. Several studies [14–18] on conventional titanium alloys have been carried out to understand the impact of machining on the generated
surfaces. At the same time, very little knowledge is available for machining the parts developed that are produced by additive manufacturing technologies [19]. Previous studies reported that there are additional challenges in machining the EBM parts as compared to their wrought counterparts [5]. Nektarios et al. [20] examined the surface roughness (Ra) of Ti6Al4V alloy after machining (turning and vertical milling). Their findings showed that the average roughness measurements of 1.7 μm and 2.95 μm for end milling and turning, respectively. Liu et al. [21] investigated the effect of milling parameters on the surface roughness (Ra) of Ti6Al4V alloy. The results revealed that the surface roughness increases with the increase of average cutting thickness and is primarily governed by the radial cutting depth. The minimum achieved surface roughness was 0.761 μm. Oosthuizen et al. [22] investigated the effect of milling parameters on the surface integrity of Ti6Al4V components. They showed that the surface roughness (Ra) decreases with an increase in cutting speed and increases with feed rate. All the surface roughness values were below of 1.6 μm. Bordin et al. [5] compared the machinability of the wrought and EBM Ti6Al4V parts in the external finish turning. They evaluated the influence of the feed rate and cutting speed on surface integrity. Their findings showed that the EBM Ti6Al4V parts showed higher surface roughness (0.6 μm) compared to wrought Ti6Al4V parts (0.45 μm). The results of Bordin et al. [5] study indicate that the EBM-produced Ti6Al4V parts are harder to machine than their wrought counterpart. This is because the EBM parts show more strength as compared to the wrought parts [4]. Furthermore, because of the directional properties, the machining of the EBM part could become difficult [23]. In addition to the consolidated EBM layers within the part, varying surface characteristics of different faces can further complicate the machining. For example, with the same machining parameters, the EBM part exhibited different surface roughness for different faces of the EBM part [10, 24] and laser-powder bed fusion (L-PBF) part [25]. It was emphasized in these studies that care must be taken to select the tool feed direction with respect to the EBM layers/part orientations to achieve good surface quality during finishing the EBM parts. Anwar et al. [10] highlighted the three possible EBM part orientations to choose from during milling.

Several studies have been performed on the heat treatment of the EBM Ti6Al4V parts for improving their microstructure and mechanical properties. Heat treatments are usually applied to achieve specific types of microstructures and associated mechanical properties, which cannot be directly achieved by EBM process [26, 27] and by selective laser melting (SLM) process [28]. On the other hand, some authors have also reported that as-fabricated Ti6Al4V EBM parts exhibit superior properties as compared to the heat-treated parts, and can be used in several applications. For instance, Raghavan et al. [27] presented a broad range of post-processing heat treatment cycles performed on EBM Ti6Al4V parts, and developed heat treatment correlations with mechanical properties and microstructure. The optimum heat treatment was identified to obtain the best mechanical properties (hardness and tensile strength) and microstructure. Their findings showed that the EBM-produced parts exhibited columnar grains microstructure with very fine α-β spacings resulting in strong overall mechanical properties. The as-fabricated EBM samples exhibited superior strength as compared to that of the heat-treated samples due to very fine α lath morphology. The microstructure of an EBM-fabricated Ti6Al4V part has a very fine lamellar structure because of the intrinsically high solidification rate during the process [29]. The solidification factors are responsible for weak β texture development and microstructural differences [30]. Another study showed that the microstructural coarsening caused by heat treatment results in lower yield strength levels [26]. Therefore, as fabricated EBM part microstructure is preferable owing to its attractive mechanical properties.

From the literature review, it can be seen that the machining of the EBM parts is required for achieving the quality of surface needed in many applications. However, the machining of the EBM parts is a challenging task due to the directional properties resulting from the melted layers’ orientations. The purpose of this study is to propose a solution for minimizing the differences in milling performance across different EBM part orientations/faces for improving their surface quality. Heat treatment before machining is adopted as a possible solution to minimize/remove the effect of the EBM layers/part orientations during machining. This is because the previous literature indicates that the elimination of the columnar grains [27], porosity [31], and higher consolidation of EBM layers [32] can be achieved through heat treatment, which could lead to more uniform machining. However, during the heat treatment, it is tried to retain the same microstructure as that of the as-fabricated EBM parts due to its benefits mentioned in the previous studies [27, 29]. To keep a fair comparison between the machining of the as-fabricated and heat-treated EBM parts, the heat treatment process is selected such that it would yield the same hardness and microstructure as that of the as-fabricated EBM parts. However, no previous knowledge exists in the literature on such a heat treatment that would result in the same microstructure and hardness, like that of the as-fabricated EBM samples.

2 Experimentation

Figure 1a shows the EBM setup from ARCAM AB, Mölndal, Sweden that was used to produce parts with the dimensions of 30×30×10 mm, as shown in Fig. 1b. The used Ti6Al4V powder has a mean particle size of 71 μm. Table 1 shows the chemical composition of the powder from ARCAM AB,
The surface roughness (Sa) of the as-fabricated EBM part on the top face and side faces is 6 μm and 21 μm, respectively. Although the EBM parts are produced according to optimized parameters in previous works [33, 34], as shown in Table 2, on both sides and top surfaces, the average surface roughness values are still too low for many applications. For example, the requirement of surface roughness in aerospace parts ranges from 0.2 to 0.25 μm [35], and for femoral medical implants is Ra < 0.2 μm [36]. Therefore, a secondary operation for the EBM parts is necessary in order to provide a good surface finish. The secondary operation in this study is carried out via the milling process. Figure 1 c shows the three-axis CNC vertical milling machine, Mori DMG (DMC 635 V Ecoline), Germany, capable of the feed rate of 24 m/min, spindle speed of 8000 rpm, and a positioning resolution of 1 μm. This machine was used for investigating and comparing the machining performance of the as-fabricated and heat-treated EBM Ti6Al4V parts with respect to three possible part/layers’ orientations, as shown in Fig. 1d.

Table 3 summarizes the cutting parameters for milling tests, which are used to determine the effect on the milling quality of EBM component orientation and heat treatment. Table 3 listed process parameters which belong to the range of previous studies for machining Ti6Al4V as provided by [14, 21, 22].
Figure 2 shows the detail of the three possible part/layers’ orientations that can be encountered during the milling process to enhance the surface finish of the produced EBM part. In the first case, the tool was fed across the EBM layers (Face A in Fig. 1d), in the second case, the tool was fed parallel to the EBM layers (Face B in Fig. 1d), and in the third case, the tool was fed within the plane of EBM layers (Face C in Fig. 1d). A solid carbide end mill cutter was used in the milling experiments.

Two types of EBM Ti6Al4V parts were used in the milling experiments: (i) as-fabricated EBM parts and (ii) the heat-treated EBM parts. The purpose of the heat treatment was to check its effectiveness in suppressing the effect of the layers’ orientations during machining or in other words to suppress the directional properties of the EBM part. It should be noted that already several studies have been reported on the heat treatment of the as-fabricated EBM parts to acquire the desired microstructures [27, 37]. However, the heat treatment was therefore used as a tool in this study to counteract the negative effects of the orientations of the EBM layers during machining. Furthermore, to ensure a fair distinction between the machining of the as-fabricated and heat-treated parts, the heat treatment was chosen in such a way that it would produce approximately the same microstructure and hardness as that of the as-fabricated EBM parts. Nevertheless, no prior knowledge exists in the literature on such a heat treatment that would result in the same microstructure and hardness as-fabricated EBM parts. Therefore, several heat treatment recipes were employed, as listed in Table 4, to obtain the heat-treated EBM parts with the same microstructure and hardness. This was done to exclusively study the effect of the heat treatment on the machining behavior, instead of the microstructural or hardness changes. As it is well known that, the microstructure and hardness significantly affect the machining of the material [40]. Whereas, the purpose of this work is to study the effect of heat treatment in terms of extra consolidation time given to the samples to diminish the layers’ orientation effect.

For microstructure observation of the as-fabricated and heat-treated EBM parts, the parts were ground with grade P220-P2500 silicon carbide papers, fine polished with alumina suspension, and etched using Kroll’s reagent. Microstructural images were taken using a Metkon IMM 901 metallurgical microscope.

### Table 2

Default EBM parameters from ARCAM for producing Ti6Al4V parts [33, 34]

| EBM parameters | Beam current | Acceleration voltage | Focus offset | Line offset | Scan speed | Powder layer thickness | Preheat temperature |
|----------------|--------------|----------------------|--------------|-------------|------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| Values         | 15 mA        | 60 kV                | 3 mA         | 0.1 mm      | 4530 mm/s  | 0.05 mm               | 750°C              |

### Table 3

Process parameters used for milling the EBM parts

| Parameters               | Notation | Values  | Units  |
|--------------------------|----------|---------|--------|
| Cutting speed            | $V$      | 50, 80  | m/min  |
| Feed rate                | $f$      | 30      | mm/min |
| Axial depth of cut       | $d_A$    | 0.4, 0.6| mm     |
| Radial depth of cut      | $d_R$    | 4.8     | mm     |
| Part/layers orientation  |          | Face A, Face B, Face C | -       |

Fig. 2 Possible EBM part/layers’ orientation for milling
Heat treatment of the as-fabricated EBM parts was carried out in a furnace (Nabertherm), Germany, which can heat parts up to 3000°C, as shown in Fig. 3a. To evaluate the milling performance, four responses were measured including surface roughness, microstructure surface morphology, and micro-hardness. The illustration of the milling setup is shown in Fig. 3b. Figure 3c shows the optical microscope used to obtain the images of the porosity. After milling for each of the three orientations (Face A, Face B, and Face C), scanning of an area of 2.2 mm × 1.7 mm obtained an average surface roughness (Sa) on machined surfaces. For every orientation, the average surface roughness of five areas along the cutting direction was taken. A 3D (Contour GT-K) optical profilometer from Bruker, Germany, was used to scan the surface roughness (Sa) of the machined as-fabricated and heat-treated EBM parts, as shown in Fig. 3d. Jeol JCM 6000 Plus scanning electron microscope (SEM) from Japan was used to examine the surface morphology of the machined as-fabricated and heat-treated EBM parts, as shown in Fig. 3e. Microhardness indentation measurements were taken to see the effect of the heat treatments and to compare the hardness of the heat-treated EBM samples with the as-fabricated EBM parts. A Struers A/S, Ballerup, Austria, Durascan 10 Vickers hardness (HV) device was used to test microhardness, with a load of 100 gf (0.1 N) applied for a dwell time of 15 s [24, 41], as shown in Fig 3f. Micro-hardness readings were also recorded on Faces A, B, and C (see Fig. 1c) for both the as-fabricated and heat-treated EBM parts after the milling experiments. The average of five micro-hardness readings was taken from each face.

**Table 4** Different heat treatment conditions used for the EBM parts

| Heat treatment | Temperature (°C) | Time (h) | Cooling environment |
|----------------|-----------------|----------|---------------------|
| Heat treatment-1 [37, 38] | 950 | 2 | In air |
| Heat treatment-2 [39] | 850 | 2 | In air |
| Heat treatment-3 [4, 39] | 600 | 3 | In air |

Fig. 3  a Furnace setup. b Milling process setup. c Optical microscope setup. d 3D optical profilometer setup. e SEM setup to study the surface morphology. f Micro-hardness measurement setup
3 Results and discussion

3.1 Microstructure and micro-hardness

Microstructure and micro-hardness of the heat-treated parts are presented in Figs. 4 and 5, respectively. EBM parts would be ideal to use in the as-fabricated form without any heat treatment. This is because the microstructure of the EBM fabricated part has a fine lamellar structure [7, 29], which imparts attractive mechanical properties to the produced parts [42]. Therefore, several heat treatments were performed to find the one that can keep microstructure and micro-hardness as that of the as-fabricated EBM parts. The purpose of the heat treatment is to suppress the effect of EBM layers’ orientations with respect to the milling tool feed direction without affecting the mechanical properties of the EBM parts. The increase in temperature can lead to an increase in the width of the α phase which gives more brittleness and reduction in fatigue life, as reported by Raghavan et al. [27].

The microstructure of the as-fabricated EBM part is shown in Fig. 4a. The microstructure for EBM Ti6Al4V has alpha (α) and beta (β) phases, as highlighted in Fig. 4a. The mechanical properties of α+β titanium alloys are mainly governed by the α phase, as its strength and hardness is higher than the β phase [43]. Refined lamellar microstructure with α and β phases was observed for the as-fabricated EBM parts (see Fig. 4a). Similar microstructures of the as-fabricated EBM parts were also found in the other studies [4, 44]. The microstructures of the heat-treated EBM parts are shown in Fig. 4. The alpha (α) can be distinguished as brighter phase and the beta (β) as darker phase. The most common treatment reported in the literature for EBM Ti6Al4V is heating at...
950°C for 2 h and then air cooled (heat treatment 1 in Table 3) [37, 38], which was selected in the first place. However, it did not produce the fine lamellar structure like the as-fabricated EBM part. The microstructure of 950°C appears to have coarser $\alpha$ laths compared to the microstructure of the as-fabricated part. In addition, the width of $\alpha$ grains is much bigger than in the microstructure of the as-fabricated part due to extra heat given to the part during heat treatment. The heat treatment-2 involved heating the samples at 850°C for 2 h and then air cooled to keep/obtain the fine lamellar microstructure of the EBM parts. Still, the fine lamellar structure could not be maintained, as shown in Fig. 4c. The microstructure still has higher width of $\alpha$ phase than in the microstructure of as-fabricated part because of the increase in temperature given to the part during heat treatment. Furthermore, the proportion of the beta phase is significantly higher than in the as-fabricated EBM parts. The heat treatment-3 involved heating the part at 600°C for 3 h followed by air cooling. Similar fine lamellar $\alpha$ and $\beta$ microstructure was obtained after the heat treatment-3 as that of the as-fabricated EBM part, as can be seen by comparing Fig. 4a and d.

Moreover, the micro-hardness readings have the trend with the microstructures for all the heat-treated EBM parts compared to the as-fabricated part. This is because the increase in temperature which can lead to an increase in the width of the $\alpha$ phase and adds more brittleness, as shown in Fig. 5. Similar micro-hardness of the as-fabricated part and heat-treated EBM parts was achieved by Wang et al. [39]. For the proceeding results, only the heat-treated samples with 600°C are used because they give the same microstructure and micro-hardness of the as-fabricated part and the other heat-treated samples were not used.

### 3.2 Surface roughness

Figure 6 shows the surface roughness (Sa) comparison for each of the three-part orientations for the as-fabricated and heat-treated EBM parts. For the as-fabricated part, (see Fig. 6a), there is a significant difference between the highest value of average surface roughness of Face C orientation and the Face A and Face B orientations. The highest Face C roughness value (0.15 μm) and the lowest Face A value (0.11 μm) are almost 27% significantly different. In addition, the difference is almost 13% between Face C and Face B. Similar roughness values were achieved after milling of wrought and additively manufactured Ti6Al4V parts by Zhang and Li [45], Ginta et al. [46], and Al-Rubaie et al. [47]. This difference is because the tool interacts with one single EBM layer (50 μm thick) while machining along the Face C orientation and exercises compressive forces at the EBM layer interface which causes
bonded layers to tear, which leads to a high roughness. However, if the tool is fed in the Face A direction, the tool interacts/cuts the group of layers with radial depth while exercising force on the layer bonds/interfaces, thus preventing tearing of the adjacent layers. When the Face B orientation is applied, while the tool is fed throughout the layer group, the layer interfaces still undergo the tensile forces and tearing of the bonds may occur. This contributes to moderate roughness in the case of Face B direction.

In contrast, there is no significant difference in the surface roughness for the three-part orientations for the heat-treated EBM part, as shown in Fig. 6b. This is because the layer orientations were eliminated in all directions, and the layers are more fused and consolidated due to the extra heat given to the part during heat treatment. Another factor that contributes to more homogeneous roughness across all part orientations is that the porosity in the heat-treated EBM part is significantly less than the as-fabricated EBM parts. A comparison of the porosity of the as-fabricated and heat-treated EBM parts is shown in Fig. 7, where the porosity can be seen as bright regions and the black background indicates the solid part. For each image, the porosity was evaluated using ImageJ software as the ratio of the total pore area to the total cross-sectional area [48]. Figure 7 shows that the porosity reduced significantly from 0.25 to 0.04% after heat treatment. It has been previously reported that porosity within the EBM part is unevenly distributed across different orientations [4], which results in non-uniform machining across different faces. However, after the heat treatment, the layers are fused further, and the porosity is almost diminished, leading to uniform machining across different faces. Another reason for the non-uniform machining in the case of the as-fabricated EBM parts is the presence of the columnar grains in the microstructure on the side face along the EBM build direction. This can be observed by comparing the microstructure of the side faces of the as-fabricated and heat-treated EBM parts, as shown in Fig. 8. In Fig. 8a, it can be seen that long columnar grains of approximately 94-µm height are present along the EBM build direction. The columnar grains cause a directionality effect in the microstructure which later affects the machining.

![Fig. 8](image-url) Optical microscope images of the microstructures for a side face of the as-fabricated EBM part and b a side face of the heat-treated EBM part at 600°C.

![Fig. 9](image-url) Schematic view of columnar grains and tool feed direction. a Side face of the as-fabricated EBM part. b A side face of the heat-treated EBM part at 600°C.
However, Fig. 8b shows that after heat treatment, the columnar grains are dissolved into fine lamellar uniform microstructure which leads to uniform machining. Columnar grains are formed in the as-fabricated EBM parts due to the high-temperature gradient along the build direction [39]. At the same time for the heat-treated EBM part, the columnar grains disappeared due to the uniform heat provided from all directions for a long time, as shown in Fig. 8b. For the as-fabricated EBM part, when the tool moves across Face A, the highest resistance is produced. This is because the tool moves along the length of the columnar grains, as

![3D scanned surfaces and the extracted 2D roughness profiles at V = 80 m/min, f = 30 mm/min, d₄ₐ = 0.4 mm, and d₉ = 4.8 mm for the three part orientations. a–c As-fabricated EBM part. d–f Heat-treated part](image_url)
shown in Figs. 8a and 9a. The columnar grains microstructure of the EBM part has a higher tensile strength than the lamellar \( (\alpha+\beta) \) structure [35, 36]. This means when the tool moves in this direction (Face A), it experiences high resistance due to the cutting of the high strength columnar grains which results in a change in the machining behavior, as shown in Fig. 9a. However, when the tool moves parallel to the layers (Face B), it crosses the width of the columnar grains, which is significantly less than the length of these grains. Afterward, the tool passes through the lamellar region between the columnar grains, and so on, as shown in Fig. 9a. Therefore, the tool experiences an intermediate resistance as compared to moving across the build direction (Face A), i.e., while moving along the length of the columnar grains. Regarding the heat-treated part, since all the faces comprise of lamellar microstructure with no/negligible columnar grain, the milling tool faces a consistent and lesser resistance due to the absence of the columnar grains, as shown in Figs. 8b and 9b.

Figure 10 shows typical 3D scanning surfaces and 2D roughness profiles extracted along the orientation Faces A, B, and C. It can be observed that, in comparison with Face B and Face C, the 2D roughness profile for the as-fabricated part in Face A orientation is smoother. At the same time, for the machined heat-treated part, there is no significant difference between the roughness profiles for the three faces, as shown in Fig. 10d–f.

### 3.3 Micro-hardness

Figure 11 shows the micro-hardness, performed on the machined surface for the three-part orientations for the as-fabricated and heat-treated EBM parts. Figure 11a shows an example of the micro indents taken on the machined surface. It can be observed that for the as-fabricated EBM part, there is a significant difference in the micro-hardness between the three-part orientations, as shown in Fig. 11b. This indicates that the EBM component orientations influence the imparted hardness of the machined surface during machining. At the same time, for the EBM part after heat treatment, there is no significant difference in micro-hardness between the three-part orientations, as shown in Fig. 11c. This is because the heat treatment eliminates the effect of EBM part orientation with respect to milling tool feed direction by further fusing/consolidating the EBM layers, diminishing the porosity, and removing the columnar grains. The difference in micro-hardness occurs because of the plastic deformation that happened on the machined surface. Since the plastic deformation is dependent on the uniformity of the underlying microstructure, therefore, due to differences in the microstructure across different faces in the case of the as-fabricated EBM part [24], the variation could be seen in the machined surface hardness (Fig. 11b). In comparison, due to the uniformity of the microstructure in the case of the heat-treated EBM parts, very less micro-hardness variations are produced in the machined surface, as shown in Fig. 11c.

![Figure 11](image-url)
3.4 Surface morphology

Figure 12 shows the SEM images of the surface morphology of the EBM part after the milling process for the as-fabricated and heat-treated EBM parts. For the as-fabricated EBM part, it is clear that significant differences exist for the three orientations between the surface morphology (Faces A, B, and C). As can be seen from Face A, while the tool is being fed through the EBM layer at Face A, the tool feed marks are minor, as shown in Fig. 12a. This is because, for Face A, there are the interfaces between the successive layers, but the interfaces are perpendicular to the direction of the tool feed. A number of layers and layer interfaces covered by the tool were a solid basis for milling. The forces and stress of the tool have thus become more even, and the surface texture after milling was smooth. At the same time, the tool feed marks are prominent at face B as the tool moves in parallel with the EBM layers, and there are adhered chips on the machined surface, as shown in Fig. 12b. The layers are parallel to the direction of the tool feed in this case. The contact with the tool along the longitudinal direction was achieved by EBM with several layers. One layer’s thickness is in the micron range in the direction of build. A layer had heterogeneous stresses (primarily stresses on compressive and shear) as a result of the mechanical forces of the tool until the material eventually broke and was removed as chips. Since two consecutive layers have an interface and the ultimate interface strength may be smaller than the one in a single layer, the mechanical stresses induced by the tool were not consistently distributed. For face C, the feed tool marks are large when the tool is fed into the EBM layer plane. In addition, micro-chips and micro-pits welded on the surface are generated, as shown in Fig. 12c.

In Face C, the EBM layers are in-plane to the tool feed direction (TFD). The thickness of the layer for molten powder was set to 50 μm through the electron beam, and the depth of cut was applied in the milling process to 0.4 mm (400 μm). A single milling tool pass, therefore, eliminates several layers. The powder should be melted uniformly since the melting...
depth of Ti6Al4V powder in one layer is around 50 μm. Therefore, the surface integrity of the solidified layers on the machined part’s side only depends on a single layer on Face C. The combination of multiple layers on this face does not have any effect. The effects of EBM’s workpiece orientation when the direction of the feed was in Face C as shown in Fig. 12c. In this case, thick marks of feed are visible in comparison to Face A and Face B, giving a large surface roughness (after milling). Furthermore, compared with Face A and Face B, the micro-pit is more significant. The Face B and Face C orientations are therefore not sufficient for milling in order to enhance surface finish.

For the heat-treated EBM parts, there are no significant differences between the three orientations (Face A, Face B, and Face C) and the tool feed marks are minor, as shown in Fig. 12d, e, and f. This is because the layers become closer to each other, i.e., more consolidated, and consequently, the machining becomes more uniform. Moreover, there is a clear indication that the porosity has a more dominant effect on the surface integrity of the machined samples [49]. The decrease in porosity of the heat-treated EBM part (see Fig. 7b) leads to a machined surface with minor feed marks and without micro-chips welded on the surface. The presence of more deposited chips on the machined surfaces in the case of the as-fabricated parts is attributed to the presence of porosity (see Fig. 7a) as reported by [49]. The surface integrity defects are summarized in Table 5 for the as-fabricated and heat-treated EBM parts.

| Defects                                | As-fabricated EBM part | Heat-treated EBM part |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
|                                        | Face A     | Face B     | Face C     | Face A     | Face B     | Face C     |
| Adhered chips                          | ○          | ●●         | ○          | ●          | ●          | ●          |
| Micro-chips welded on the surface      | ●●         | ○          | ●●         | ○          | ○          | ○          |
| Thick tool feed marks                  | ●●         | ●●●        | ●●●        | ○          | ○          | ○          |

Three different recipes were used to heat treat the as-fabricated EBM parts; 950°C/2h, 850°C/2h, and 600°C/3h, based upon their suitability to produce the lamellar α+β microstructure. However, only 600°C/3-h heat treatment succeeded to preserve both the microstructure and micro-hardness as that of the as-fabricated EBM Ti6Al4V parts.

Regarding the surface roughness, in the case of the as-fabricated EBM part, a significant difference of 27% was noted between the highest roughness value of Face C and the lowest value of Face A. Whereas, in the case of the heat-treated EBM part, a uniform surface roughness was produced for all the faces/part orientations with a difference of 8%.

The porosity in the heat-treated EBM parts (0.04%) was significantly less than the as-fabricated EBM parts (0.25%). Furthermore, there is a difference in the microstructure across different faces in the case of the as-fabricated EBM part. At the same time, the uniformity of the microstructure in the case of the heat-treated EBM parts was obtained.

Contrary to the as-fabricated EBM parts, the variation (18%) of the micro-hardness among all the faces was reduced to insignificant (2%) when the milling was performed for the heat-treated part.

The as-fabricated milled EBM part showed varying surface morphology for different faces with indications of welded micro to macro-sized chips and fluctuating minor to thick tool feed marks. In contrast, only minor tool feed marks and some micro-redeposited chips were observed after milling of the heat-treated EBM part for any faces/layers’ orientations.

4 Conclusion

This paper has presented the results of milling tests by considering the EBM part/layers’ orientation effect. Heat treatment was employed to the as-fabricated EBM parts to suppress the effect of the EBM part orientations during machining. Heat treatment was applied in such a way that it retained the superior microstructure of the as-fabricated parts. The milling performance was compared for the as-fabricated and heat-treated EBM parts in terms of the surface roughness, micro-hardness, microstructure, and surface morphology. The results revealed that the heat-treated EBM parts are more appropriate for producing uniform surface quality on all the faces. On the contrary, the as-fabricated EBM parts produced varying surface quality on different faces during milling for the same machining parameters. Some of the major findings are summarized below.

- Three different recipes were used to heat treat the as-fabricated EBM parts; 950°C/2h, 850°C/2h, and 600°C/3h, based upon their suitability to produce the lamellar α+β microstructure. However, only 600°C/3-h heat treatment succeeded to preserve both the microstructure and micro-hardness as that of the as-fabricated EBM Ti6Al4V parts.
- Regarding the surface roughness, in the case of the as-fabricated EBM part, a significant difference of 27% was noted between the highest roughness value of Face C and the lowest value of Face A. Whereas, in the case of the heat-treated EBM part, a uniform surface roughness was produced for all the faces/part orientations with a difference of 8%.
- The porosity in the heat-treated EBM parts (0.04%) was significantly less than the as-fabricated EBM parts (0.25%). Furthermore, there is a difference in the microstructure across different faces in the case of the as-fabricated EBM part. At the same time, the uniformity of the microstructure in the case of the heat-treated EBM parts was obtained.
- Contrary to the as-fabricated EBM parts, the variation (18%) of the micro-hardness among all the faces was reduced to insignificant (2%) when the milling was performed for the heat-treated part.
- The as-fabricated milled EBM part showed varying surface morphology for different faces with indications of welded micro to macro-sized chips and fluctuating minor to thick tool feed marks. In contrast, only minor tool feed marks and some micro-redeposited chips were observed after milling of the heat-treated EBM part for any faces/layers’ orientations.
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