Randomness induced spin-liquid-like phase in the spin-1/2 $J_1 - J_2$ triangular Heisenberg model
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We study the effects of bond randomness in the spin-1/2 $J_1 - J_2$ triangular Heisenberg model using exact diagonalization and density matrix renormalization group. With increasing bond randomness, we identify a randomness induced spin-liquid-like phase without any magnetic order, dimer order, spin glass order, or valence-bond glass order. The finite-size scaling of gaps suggests the gapless nature of both spin triplet and singlet excitations, which is further supported by the broad continuum of dynamical spin structure factor. By studying the bipartite entanglement spectrum of the system on cylinder geometry, we identify the features of the low-lying entanglement spectrum in the spin-liquid-like phase, which may distinguish this randomness induced spin-liquid-like phase and the intrinsic spin liquid phase in the clean $J_1 - J_2$ triangular Heisenberg model. We further discuss the nature of this spin-liquid-like phase and the indication of our results for understanding spin-liquid-like materials with triangular-lattice structure.

I. INTRODUCTION

Frustrated quantum magnets realize a surprisingly rich place to explore the interplay between classical orders and quantum fluctuations, which may lead to novel quantum phases and unconventional quantum phase transitions$^1$. One of the exotic quantum states is quantum spin liquid (QSL)$^{2-5}$, which breaks no spin rotational or lattice translational symmetry even at zero temperature and exhibits fractionalized quasiparticles$^{6,7}$ with the emergent long-range entanglement$^8$. Nowadays, QSL is actively sought in quantum antiferromagnets with frustrated and/or competing interactions$^{3,4}$, which may enhance quantum fluctuations and suppress the ordering of magnetic moments. In experiment, many spin-1/2 antiferromagnetic materials on the frustrated lattices do not show any magnetic order down to very low temperature; spin-liquid-like behaviors have also been observed in the neutron scattering, NMR, and thermal conductance measurements (see Refs. 3, 4, 5 and references therein). Theoretical studies have indeed identified QSL states in particular parameter regime for some microscopic models (see review articles Refs. 3, 4, 5). However, it remains unclear if these theoretical observed quantum states explain the widely reported spin-liquid-like behaviors in materials.

In reality, materials inevitably have defects and/or random disorder. For example, in the triangular organic salt materials such as $\kappa$-(ET)$_2$Cu$_2$(CN)$_3$ and EtMe$_3$Sb[Pd(dmit)$_2$]$^{9-13}$, the randomness of the spin degrees of freedom has been suggested as a consequence of the random freezing of the electric-polarization degrees of freedom at low temperature$^{14}$. In the kagome material herbertsmithite, the random substitution of magnetic Cu$^{2+}$ for nonmagnetic Zn$^{2+}$ on the adjacent triangular layer would lead to the random modification of the exchange couplings connecting the Cu$^{2+}$ on the kagome layer$^{15}$. The randomness may enhance quantum fluctuations and thus suppresses magnetic order. Very recently, it has been proposed that the disorder even can generate long-range entanglement and thus transform a classical non-Kramers spin ice into a QSL$^{16}$. The interplay among frustration, quantum fluctuations, and randomness remains a largely open question in the study of frustrated quantum magnetism, leaving the origin of the spin-liquid-like behaviors in materials an intriguing question.

The pioneer corner-stone of our understanding on randomness in quantum system is the random singlet phase in the one-dimensional (1d) Heisenberg spin model, which represents the infinite-randomness fixed-point (IRFP) in the strong-disorder renormalization group (SDRG) and is universal for a broad class of spin chains$^{17-20}$. The schematic picture of the random singlet state consists of pairs of spins which are coupled together into singlets, where the long-range singlet bonds are much weaker than the short ones and the singlet bonds cannot cross$^{17,21}$. Later, extended 1d chains and ladder systems with randomness have also been studied$^{22-27}$, in which other random phases such as the quantum Griffiths phase$^{28}$ and the spin glass phase$^{29}$ have been discovered.

In two dimensions (2d), Imry and Ma gave an argument for weak randomness, which suggests that the ordered state is unstable against an arbitrarily small random field that is directly coupled to the order parameter$^{30}$. In the strong-randomness case, the IRFP has been found in quantum Ising model$^{31,32}$, disordered contact process$^{33}$, or dissipative systems$^{34}$. For the general 2d Heisenberg models, frustration is an intriguing ingredient that may lead to novel quantum states. For example, while the Néel antiferromagnetic order persists up to the maximal randomness in the bipartite square and honeycomb Heisenberg models without frustration$^{35,36}$, the numerical SDRG calculation shows a large spin for-
mation in the frustrated Heisenberg models, suggesting a spin glass fixed point. The potential effects of randomness in spin-liquid-like materials have stimulated the exact diagonalization (ED) study on the frustrated triangular, kagome, and honeycomb Heisenberg models, in which the disordered phases displaying no magnetic or spin glass order have been found in strong bond-randomness regime. The dynamical correlation and thermodynamic properties of the random phases could be consistent with the gapless spin liquid scenario suggested from experimental observations.

Recently, a new triangular spin-liquid-like material YbMgGaO$_4$ has been reported. The possible mixing of Mg$^{2+}$ and Ga$^{3+}$ ions in the material has stimulated further study on the randomness effects. More recently, another triangular-lattice compound YbZnGaO$_4$, which is a sister compound of YbMgGaO$_4$, shows some spin-glass-like behaviors which may due to the disorder and frustration effects. Since further-neighbor interaction in the material has been identified, the nearest-neighbor model with disorder may not capture the novel physics of such systems. Inspired by the experimental indications, in this paper, considering the presence of further-neighbor couplings in materials, we study the bond randomness in the $J_1-J_2$ triangular Heisenberg model, which would be more relevant to the randomness effects in the related materials. In reality, spin-orbit coupling is strong in YbMgGaO$_4$ and YbZnGaO$_4$, which effectively induces anisotropic magnetic interactions. Nonetheless, theoretical studies have found that the microscopic model with only nearest-neighbor anisotropic interactions is always magnetically ordered. Competing interactions and disorder seem to be the dominant ingredients for the spin-liquid-like behaviors. Thus here we study a simpler Heisenberg model with competing $J_2$ interaction and bond randomness, thus that we can use SU(2) symmetry to deal with larger systems. By using the ED and density matrix renormalization group (DMRG) calculation, we identify a randomness induced spin-liquid-like (SLL) phase that does not show any magnetic order, dimer order, spin glass order, or valence bond glass (VBG) order. The dynamical spin structure factor shows a broad continuum extending to the zero frequency, supporting the gapless excitations obtained from the finite-size gap scaling. We also find the features of entanglement spectrum in the SLL phase, which may distinguish the SLL phase and the intrinsic spin liquid phase in the $J_1-J_2$ triangular Heisenberg model. The nature of this SLL phase appears to be consistent with the recently proposed 2d random singlet phase. Finally, we discuss the relevance to the rare-earth triangular-lattice materials YbMgGaO$_4$ and YbZnGaO$_4$.

**II. MODEL HAMILTONIAN AND METHODS**

The Hamiltonian of the spin-$1/2$ $J_1-J_2$ Heisenberg model on the triangular lattice with bond randomness reads

$$
\hat{H} = \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} J_1(1+\alpha_{ij})\hat{S}_i\hat{S}_j + \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} J_2(1+\Delta\beta_{ij})\hat{S}_i\hat{S}_j,
$$

where $\alpha_{ij}$ and $\beta_{ij}$ are bond random variables which are uniformly distributed in the interval $[-1,1]$, and $\Delta$ is the parameter to control the random interval $J_1(1-\Delta), J_2(1+\Delta)$ of exchange interactions on each bond, $i=1,2$ for the nearest neighbor and the next-nearest neighbor. We use $\Delta \in [0,1]$ to ensure the antiferromagnetic coupling. Here, we set $J_1 = 1$ as the energy constant.

We use ED and SU(2) DMRG to study this model. The finite-size clusters we used are shown in Appendix A. To measure the possible orders in the system, we define the high symmetry points in the first Brillouin zone (BZ), including the $\Gamma$ point with $\mathbf{q} = (0,0)$, the $K$ point with $\mathbf{q} = (2\pi/3,2\pi/\sqrt{3})$, and the $M$ point with $\mathbf{q} = (\pi,\pi/\sqrt{3})$. While the $120^\circ$ Néel order exhibits the spin structure factor peak at the $K$ point, the stripe order has the peak at the $M$ point. In the randomness case, we use 2000 (for smaller system sizes) to 20 (for the largest system size with the number of lattice sites $N = 48$) in ED or DMRG torus calculation, and 15 independent samples for YC6-24 and YC8-24 cylinders in DMRG calculation. We keep 2000 SU(2) states for torus.
and 1200 SU(2) states for cylinder geometry in these calculations. The truncation error is less than $5 \times 10^{-5}$. In the following, we use "$\langle \cdot \rangle" and "[\cdot]" to represent quantum mechanical expectation value and stochastic averaging, respectively.

### III. NUMERICAL RESULTS

#### A. Melting the magnetic orders

In the absence of randomness, the spin-1/2 $J_1 - J_2$ triangular Heisenberg model exhibits an intermediate spin-liquid phase for $0.07 \lesssim J_2 \lesssim 0.15$ according to the previous study$^{52-57}$, which is sandwiched between the 120$^0$ Néel phase and the stripe phase. First of all, we identify the intermediate nonmagnetic phase from the vanishing magnetic orders that are extrapolated to the thermodynamic limit using the torus data up to 36 sites (see Appendix B). Our estimations qualitatively agree with the previous results although the ED data slightly overestimate the intermediate regime because of the finite-size effects. Next, we focus on the system with bond randomness.

In the magnetic order phases, bond randomness is not directly coupled with the order parameter and it has been found that only a finite bond randomness may kill the magnetic order$^{14,35,36}$. In order to quantitatively characterize how the ordering strength decreases with bond randomness, we introduce two magnetic order parameters: (I) square sublattice magnetization for the 120$^0$ Néel antiferromagnetic (NAF) phase$^{14,39}$

$$m_N^2 = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} \left[ \frac{1}{(N/6)(N/6+1)} \left( \sum_{i \in \alpha} \hat{S}_i \right)^2 \right],$$

where $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$ represent the three sublattices of the 120$^0$ order (which is labeled by the three different colors in Appendix A). For the classical 120$^0$ Néel state, the spins in the same sublattice order ferromagnetically and the spins in the different sublattices are in the same plane with 120$^0$ angle structure. So actually we have normalized $m_N^2$ to 1 in the classical case by using the expectation value $(N/6)(N/6+1)$ of the total spin operator in sublattice. In quantum case, the definition of Eq. (2) describes the residual order after considering quantum fluctuations. (II) square sublattice magnetization for the stripe antiferromagnetic phase$^{39}$

$$m_{\text{str}}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\beta=1}^{2} \left[ \frac{1}{(N/4)(N/4+1)} \left( \sum_{i \in \beta} \hat{S}_i \right)^2 \right],$$

where $\beta = 1, 2$ represent the two sublattices of the stripe order. $m_{\text{str}}^2$ has also been normalized to 1 in the classical stripe phase. According to the spin-wave theory$^{40}$, the magnetic orders follow the size scaling behavior

$$m_N^2 / m_{\text{str}}^2 = m_N^2 / m_{\text{str}}^2(\infty) + \frac{c_1}{\sqrt{N}} + \frac{c_2}{N} + \cdots.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

We use the leading behavior of this scaling function $1/\sqrt{N}$ to estimate the magnetic order strength in the thermodynamic limit through finite-size scaling.

In Fig. 2, we show the linear extrapolation of the magnetic orders using torus geometry up to 36 sites. To consider the two competing magnetic orders simultaneously, we choose the cluster geometries that are compatible with both the 120$^0$ order and the stripe order. For this reason, we only choose the 12-, 18-, 24-, and 30-site clusters for the size scaling of $m_N^2$ as shown in Fig. 2(d-f). Both orders are suppressed by increasing randomness. Up to some critical values, the bond randomness kills the magnetic orders. The system undergoes a quantum phase transition to a randomness-induced nonmagnetic phase. Then we can estimate the phase boundaries between the magnetic order phases and the nonmagnetic phase in the $J_2 - \Delta$ phase diagram of Fig. 1.

#### B. Randomness induced spin-liquid-like phase

In this subsection, we will focus on characterizing the SLL phase. We first show that there is no long-range chiral or dimer order. For detecting the possible orders, we define the structure factor for the scalar chiral correlation as

$$\chi(q) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{ij} e^{-iqr_{ij}} \langle \hat{\chi}_i \hat{\chi}_j \rangle,$$

and the structure factor for the dimer correlation as

$$D(q) = \frac{1}{3N} \sum_{ijpq} e^{-iqr_{ij}-q_{pj}} \langle \hat{B}_{ip} \hat{B}_{jq} \rangle,$$

where $i+p$ means the nearest-neighbor site of $i$-site along $a_1, a_2, -a_1 + a_2$ direction for $p = 1, 2, 3$ respectively. $a_1$ and $a_2$ are the primitive vectors on the triangular lattice. $r_{ip,jq}$ means the displacement between centers of two bonds, see Appendix C. In Fig. 3, we show the finite-size scaling of the peak value of the chiral and dimer structure factors. Apparently, as the bond randomness increases, these two structure factors become weaker, which do not show any ordering tendency both in the clean limit and the large randomness limit.

In magnetic systems, randomness may induce glass orders at low temperature such as the spin glass$^{29}$ and valence bond glass$^{61,62}$, which have short-range order but do not show long-range order. For example, the spin glass state has the vanished total magnetization...
FIG. 2. (Color online) Linear extrapolation of the square magnetization of (a-c) the 120° Néel order and (d-f) the stripe order versus $1/\sqrt{N}$ ($N$ is the total site number). The insets show the extrapolated order parameters as a functions of bond randomness strength $\Delta$. The vanishing orders with bond randomness can be used to estimate the phase boundaries between the magnetic order phases and the nonmagnetic phase. In the stripe phase, the $m_{\text{str}}^2$ of the 36-site torus shows some deviation from other system sizes due to the finite-size geometry effects (see Appendix A).

FIG. 3. (Color online) Linear extrapolation of (a) chiral and (b) dimer orders versus system size $1/\sqrt{N}$ in the nonmagnetic regime with or without bond randomness. Both orders go to zero in the thermodynamic limit. X point is the momentum point where the dimer structure factor shows the maximum value, see Appendix C.

\[ M = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \langle \hat{S}_i \rangle = 0 \] but the nonzero spin glass order $\bar{q} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \langle \hat{S}_i \rangle^2 \neq 0$. For detecting the possible glass order, we define the structure factor for the square spin correlation

\[ G_S(q) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{ij} e^{-iqr_{ij}} \langle \hat{S}_i \hat{S}_j \rangle^2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (7)

which can be used to detect the spin glass order. In our calculation, we find the peak of $G_S(q)$ at the $\Gamma$ point with $q = (0,0)$, which is the spin-glass susceptibility and can be used as spin glass order parameter$^{63,64}$. If the peak value increases with system size $N$ equal to or faster than a linear behavior, the order could be finite in the thermodynamic limit. In our calculation, we find that $G_S(\Gamma)/N$ appropriately scales to zero with both $1/\sqrt{N}$ and $1/N$, as we can see in Fig. 4(a), indicating the vanished spin glass order. In the 2d Ising spin glass phase, the spin glass order scales with $(\bar{q}^2(L)) - (\bar{q}^2(\infty)) \propto L^{-1/265-67}$, which is quite different from this triangular model, where the order seems more natural to scale with $1/N$. Although the spin glass order grows slightly with increased randomness on finite-size system, the order parameter actually drops faster with increasing system size. Clearly, for both

FIG. 4. (Color online) Finite-size scaling of the spin glass and valence bond glass structure factor peak. The insets show the linear extrapolation of glass orders as a function of $1/N$. The dashed lines are guides to the eye using the fitting results in the insets.
$J_2 = 0.1, \Delta = 1.0$ and $J_2 = 0.3, \Delta = 1.0$ cases, the linearly extrapolated values are zero within numerical error. The absence of the spin glass order in the SLL phase has also been found in other frustrated Heisenberg models with bond randomness\cite{14,16,38}.

Similar to the spin glass order, we could define the structure factor for the VBG correlation as

$$G_D(\mathbf{q}) = \frac{1}{3N} \sum_{ij} \sum_{pq} e^{-iqrij} \langle [\hat{B}_{ij} \hat{B}_{jq}]^2 \rangle,$$

where $\hat{B}_{ij}$ has been defined in Eq. (6). The VBG structure factor also shows the peak at the $\Gamma$ point. Interestingly, the VBG peak at the $\Gamma$ point seems to decrease with growing randomness as shown in Fig. 4(b), which indicates the absent VBG order in the SLL phase.

For further characterization of the SLL phase, we study the energy spectrum and the excitation gaps. In Fig. 5(a), we show a random averaged energy spectrum on the 24-site torus. The eigenvalues appear to be continuously distributed in the energy landscape. In both the ED torus and the DMRG cylinder calculations, the random averaged ground state is the nondegenerate spin singlet state (the ground state has probability to be in the $S = 1$ sector in some random distributions) and the averaged first excited state is the triplet state. In Fig. 5(b), we show that in the SLL phase both the singlet gap $\Delta_S = E_1(S = 0) - E_0(S = 0)$ and the triplet gap $\Delta_T = E_0(S = 1) - E_0(S = 0)$ drop fast and seem to go to vanishing, suggesting the gapless excitations.

Next, we study the dynamical spin correlation using ED simulation. We define the dynamical spin structure factor as

$$S_{zz}^{zz}(\mathbf{q}, \omega) = \frac{1}{\pi} \lim_{\eta \to 0} \text{Im} \left[ \frac{\langle 0 | \hat{S}^z_{\mathbf{q}} | \psi_0 \rangle \hat{S}^z_{\mathbf{q}} | \psi_0 \rangle}{\omega + E_0 - H + i\eta} \right],$$

where $\hat{S}^z_{\mathbf{q}} = (1/N) \sum_i e^{i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}_i} \hat{S}_i^z$ is the Fourier transform of the $z$-component of spin operator, $|\psi_0\rangle$ is the eigenstate of the Hamiltonian with energy $E_0$, and $|\psi_0\rangle$ is the ground state with energy $E_0$. The dynamical spin structure factor describes the correlations in both space and time, which can be studied by inelastic neutron scattering (INS) or X-ray Raman scattering. In the Lanczos iteration method\cite{68,69}, the dynamical structure factor can be computed by continued fraction expansion\cite{70} using Lanczos coefficients and rewritten as

$$S_{zz}^{zz}(\mathbf{q}, \omega) = \frac{1}{\pi} \lim_{\eta \to 0} \text{Im} \left[ \frac{\langle 0 | \hat{S}^z_{\mathbf{q}} | \psi_0 \rangle \hat{S}^z_{\mathbf{q}} | \psi_0 \rangle}{\omega + E_0 - H + i\eta} \right],$$

where $\omega = E_0 + i\eta$, $a_i$ and $b_{i+1}$ are the diagonal and sub-diagonal elements of tridiagonal Hamiltonian matrix obtained by Lanczos method with initial vector $\hat{S}^z_{\mathbf{q}} | \psi_0 \rangle$. The Lorentz broaden factor we use is $\eta = 0.02$.

In Fig. 6(a1)-(d1), we show the dynamical structure factor $S_{zz}^{zz}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ at different $J_2$ along the high-symmetry path $\Gamma \to M \to K \to \Gamma$ in the large randomness case with $\Delta = 1.0$. For small $J_2$, we can see a broad maxima at the $K$ point with a low frequency, showing the short-range spin correlation dominated by the 120° Néel type. With increasing $J_2$, the spectrum weight gradually transfers to the $M$ point, which indicates the dominant stripe-like short-range correlation for $J_2 \geq 0.2$. This behavior can be seen more clear from the static spin structure factor $S(\mathbf{q}) = (1/N) \sum_{ij} e^{i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}_i} \langle [\hat{S}_i \hat{S}_j] \rangle$ shown in Fig. 6(a2)-(d2), where the broad peak at the $K$ point transfers its weight to the $M$ point as $J_2$ increases. Even with strong bond randomness, frustration seems to still affect short-range spin correlation. In the dynamical structure factor, we also find that the broad finite spectrum extends to zero frequency, supporting the gapless excitations suggested in Fig. 5(b).

For further insight into the $K$ point and $M$ point at the edge of the BZ, we show the dramatic changing of the dynamic spectrum as a function of randomness strength $\Delta$ in Fig. 7(a)-(b), starting from the $J_1 - J_2$ spin liquid regime. In small randomness, we see a sharp peak at the $K$ point with the frequency $\omega \sim 0.5$, which seems to signature coherently propagating magnon excitation. Note that this sharp peak might be owing to possible strong finite-size effects\cite{55,57,71} in the intermediate $J_1 - J_2$ spin liquid phase. Meanwhile, the spectrum at the $M$ point exhibits several weaker peaks. As the randomness increases, the peak at the $K$ point transfers its weight to lower and higher frequencies, keeping a broad maxima near $\omega \sim 0.5$. On the other hand, the peak at the $M$ point also becomes broad but shifts to the lower frequency. When the randomness is sufficiently large, a broad continuum spectrum with exponentially decaying high-frequency tail not only appears at the $K$ and $M$ points.
points but also stretches to other wave vectors near the edge of the Wagner-Seitz Brillouin zone, which is quite different from the magnon-like excitations.

In order to consider the finite-size effects, we show the local or momentum integrated dynamical spin-spin correlation with different system sizes in Fig. 7 (c), which is defined as

\[
S_{ii}^{zz}(\omega) = \int dq S_{ii}^{zz}(q, \omega) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \lim_{\eta \to 0} \text{Im} \left[ \langle \psi_0 | \hat{S}_i^z \frac{1}{\omega + E_0 - H + i\eta} \hat{S}_i^z | \psi_0 \rangle \right],
\]

where \( i \) is the real-space lattice site. Although randomness breaks translation symmetry, it can be approximately restored if the number of random samples is large enough and thus we can take \( i \) as any lattice site. We have also calculated the local dynamical dimer correlation in Fig. 7 (d), which is defined as

\[
D_{ii}(\omega) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \lim_{\eta \to 0} \text{Im} \left[ \langle \psi_0 | \hat{B}_i^z \frac{1}{\omega + E_0 - H + i\eta} \hat{B}_i^z | \psi_0 \rangle \right],
\]

where \( \hat{B}_i \) is defined in Eq. (6). The two local dynamical correlations share the similar behaviors including the broad spectrum and the finite density in the zero frequency. Mostly significantly, the finite-size effects in the SLL phase is not manifest even though we use small clusters due to the limit of system size.

In the recent INS measurements on the triangular spin-liquid material YbMgGaO\(_4\), the broad continuum spin excitations have been reported. While the high-energy spin excitations between 0.25 and 1.5 meV have been conjectured to be related with either a gapless spinon Fermi surface or the nearest-neighbor resonating valence bond correlations, the low-energy excitations down to 0.02 meV seem to include crucial information on the origin of the spin-liquid-like behaviors in the material, which is currently debated between an intrinsic spin liquid and a disorder-induced mimicry of a spin liquid. By considering the scenario of the disorder-induced spin-liquid-like phase, we compare our numerical results in the SLL phase with the INS data of YbMgGaO\(_4\). The SLL phase shows some similar behaviors of dynamical spin correlations with the experiment of YbMgGaO\(_4\), including the broadly spread spectral weights in the Brillouin zone and the suppressed spectral intensities near the \( \Gamma \) point. In the INS intensity data, the maxima at the \( K \) point above 0.5 meV shifts to the \( M \) point below 0.1 meV. The broad low-energy excitation maxima at the \( M \) point could be
consistent with our SLL phase with a small $J_2$ coupling as shown in Fig. 6(d1).

Therefore, we identify a gapless SLL phase in the presence of strong bond randomness. In this SLL phase, we have not observed any conventional order or glass-type order. For further understanding on this phase, we calculate the sample distribution of spin correlation $\langle \hat{S}_x \hat{S}_y \rangle$ as shown in Fig. 8 (a). Interestingly, at larger distance side $r \geq 6$, the width of correlation distribution saturates to some finite value, which indicates the emergent long-range correlations between two spins with near equal probability of both positive and negative signs for different randomness configurations. To look into detail of nearest-neighbor correlation, we show the histogram of its distribution in Fig. 8 (b). Compared with 1D random singlet phase in bond randomness Heisenberg chain (see Appendix D), this distribution in the SSL phase shows a low probability near $-\frac{3}{2} J$. Different value of the next-nearest-neighbor $J_2$ would not change this behavior. The geometry frustration and the high coordination number $z = 6$ in the triangular lattice may play an important role here.

C. $J_1 - J_2$ spin liquid and the SLL phase

In this section, we study the difference between the $J_1 - J_2$ spin liquid and the SLL phase. In the absence of randomness, the nature of the $J_1 - J_2$ spin liquid is still debated between a gapless Dirac spin liquid and a gapped spin liquid\cite{52-57,71}. We calculate the triplet gap on the torus clusters up to 48 sites (see Appendix B), nonetheless the small-size data may not draw a conclusive evidence to show whether the gap is finite or not. If the gap is finite, we may expect a quantum phase transition from the gapped QSL to the gapless SLL phase, as suggested in Fig. 9. However, if the ED calculation suffers from strong finite-size effects and the spin liquid turns out to be gapless\cite{52,56}, our present size scaling may not correctly show the phase transition.

FIG. 7. (Color online) (a) and (b) are the dynamical structure factor at the $K$ and the $M$ points for $J_2 = 0.1$ on the 24-site torus system with different bond randomness strength. (c) and (d) are the momentum integrated dynamical spin and dimer correlations for $J_2 = 0.1, \Delta = 1.0$ in the SLL phase on different system sizes.

FIG. 8. (Color online) (a) Spin-spin correlations along the $x$ direction on the YC6-24 cylinder. The reference site $m$ is taken in the middle of the cylinder. $r$ is the distance of the two sites along the $x$ direction. We show the results of 720 independent random samples in the figure. The dashed lines show the lower and upper bound of spin-spin correlation. (b) The histogram of nearest-neighbor spin-spin correlation obtained from 720 independent random samples. We take 0.1 as the bar unit of the $x$ axis. The $y$ axis denotes the count number that the random sample gives the spin correlation value in the range of the given unit bar. Here, the next-nearest-neighbor interaction and bond randomness strength are chosen as $J_2 = 0.125, \Delta = 1.0$.

FIG. 9. (Color online) Linear size scaling of the spin triplet gap with inverse system size $1/N$ at (a) $J_2 = 0.1$ and $J_2 = 0.15$. We see the blow down behavior with growing randomness on finite-size system.

Since the QSL and the SLL state may have different entanglement structure, we calculate the entanglement spectrum on the cylinder geometry with two different open edges in the $x$ direction. We denote the even bound-
the odd boundary shown in Figs. 11(a2,b2,c2), one level with $S = 1/2$ and two levels with $S = 1/2, 3/2$ are found in the absence of randomness, the entanglement spectrum has a double degeneracy for all the eigenvalues. With increasing randomness, the two lowest eigenvalues split.}

For large randomness, we can see one level with $S = 1/2$ and two levels with $S = 1/2, 3/2$, which are separated from the higher spectrum. This feature for the SLL phase appears at $\Delta \sim 0.5$. We have also checked the entanglement spectrum of the YC6-24 cylinder and got the similar result as the YC8-24. In the kagome Heisenberg model, a possible phase transition induced by randomness between the clean kagome spin liquid and the SLL phase has been suggested at $\Delta \sim 0.4$, where the randomness sampling starts to have probability for the triplet ground state. In the ED calculation of the triangular model with $J_2 = 0.1$, we find probability for triplet ground state at $J_2 \gtrsim 0.6$, which is close to 0.5. The consistency between these different pictures suggests that the entanglement spectrum may be used as a characterization to distinguish the spin liquid and the SLL phase.

**IV. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION**

By using the exact diagonalization (ED) and density matrix renormalization group (DMRG) techniques, we have studied the spin-$1/2 J_1 - J_2$ triangular Heisenberg model with bond randomness in both $J_1$ and $J_2$ couplings. In the absence of the randomness, the model has two magnetic order phases and a spin liquid phase between them. This spin liquid phase may even extend to the anisotropic model that could be relevant to materials. By turning on the bond randomness, we find a randomness-induced spin-liquid-like (SLL) phase above a finite randomness strength $\Delta$ for a given $J_2$, as shown in the phase diagram Fig. 1. This SLL phase does not show any spin, dimer, spin glass, or valence bond glass order in our finite-size scaling. The spin triplet and singlet gaps also seem to be vanishing after the finite-size scaling. These static properties suggest a gapless spin-liquid-like phase induced by bond randomness, which is supported by the dynamical spin structure factor $S^{zz}(q, \omega)$. In the SLL phase, $S^{zz}(q, \omega)$ shows a broad continuum in both momentum and frequency space. With growing $J_2$, the broad maxima at the $K$ point transfers its weight to the $M$ point, showing that frustration affects short-range spin correlations even in presence of strong randomness. We compare the dynamical spin correlations of the SLL phase with the inelastic neutron scattering (INS) data of...
the spin-liquid-like triangular material YbMgGaO$_4$. The dynamical spectrum of the SLL phase with a small $J_2$ coupling could be consistent with the INS data of the low-energy excitations of YbMgGaO$_4$, which shows the dominant broad maxima at the $M$ point.$^{43,72}$

For studying randomness effects in the disordered $J_1 - J_2$ spin liquid, we examine the bipartite entanglement spectrum on cylinder geometry. We find the low-lying spectrum features in the SLL phase, which seems independent of $J_2$ and may characterize the random phase. This feature of entanglement spectrum appears at $\Delta \simeq 0.5$, which may suggest a phase transition from the spin liquid to the SLL phase and deserves more further studies. Before further discussion, we would like to remark that although most of our calculations are based on the ED method, we have pushed the system size as large as we can. Since the limit of system size, one should not interpret all the results as the final answer; however, we believe that our main results are convincing, including the gapless nonmagnetic behavior of the SLL phase, the absent glass-type orders, and the characteristic features of dynamical spin structure factor. In the absence of $J_2$ coupling, the bond randomness has been studied in previous ED calculation, which also proposed a spin-liquid-like phase with growing randomness.$^{14}$ Based on our phase diagram Fig. 1, it seems that the disordered phase extends to a large region with finite $J_2$. No other disorder phase such as spin glass has been found.

Furthermore, we would like to discuss the nature of the SLL phase. In 2d systems, randomness may induce different quantum phases, with some examples such as a spin glass,$^{29}$ VBG,$^{61,62}$ and quantum Griffiths phase.$^{28,74}$ These phases have been found in the diluted and random graph-like systems, which are quite different from our model with bond coupling randomness and a perfect lattice geometry. For the SLL phase in this $J_1 - J_2$ triangular model, our results suggest that spin glass and VBG phases are unlikely. The numerical SDRG analysis for frustrated Heisenberg models suggested a spin glass fixed point,$^{37}$ which however seems not consistent with our result and the recent numerical studies on other frustrated models.$^{14,36,38,39}$ In a recent theoretical paper by I. Kimchi et al., the authors have studied the effects of bond randomness on 2d valence bond solid and spin liquid states.$^{48}$ They found that the bond randomness inevitably leads to the nucleation of topological defects with spin-1/2 when destructing the valence bond order, which would yield gapless spin excitations and the short-ranged VBG order would be unstable. The SLL phase found in our numerical calculation, which shows gapless spin excitations and vanished VBG order, appears to be in agreement with the proposed state in Ref. 48. The next check of this SLL phase could be the thermodynamic properties such as specific heat and susceptibility, which we leave for future study.

Finally, we would like to make some remarks about the application of our results to experiments. For YbMgGaO$_4$, bond randomness may not be weak,$^{44}$ and second-neighbor interaction may play an important role for the observations of experiments.$^{13}$ Theoretical calculations found that the spin anisotropic interactions may not drive a spin-liquid-like behavior but support magnetic ordering.$^{45,46}$ By considering a minimum model to study the effects of competing interaction and disorder, we find that the dynamical structure factor of the spin-liquid-like phase with a small $J_2$ agrees with the INS data of YbMgGaO$_4$. The gapless excitations and the absence of the spin glass order are also consistent with experimental observations. All these results indicate a consistent description of the spin-liquid-like phase on the ground state of YbMgGaO$_4$ from our minimum model. In this $J_1 - J_2$ model, we do not find a spin glass order in the presence of bond randomness. For understanding the spin-glass-like freezing in materials such as YbZnGaO$_4$,$^{50}$ other spin anisotropic couplings may play important roles, which deserves further study.

Note added. Recently, we became aware of an interesting work$^{75}$, which studied a spin-1/2 $J$-Q model on the square lattice with bond randomness using quantum Monte Carlo. The authors also found a disorder-induced spin-liquid-like phase, which was suggested as a random singlet phase.
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Appendix A: Finite-size clusters

In this paper, we use both ED and DMRG to do the tori calculations. These tori are made of two dimension clusters (which are shown in Fig. 12) under periodic boundary conditions. In order to get unbiased extrapolations, the geometries of tori are important. Since 120$^º$ Neel order and stripe order are the two competing magnetic phases, they need to be considered on an equal footing. Therefore, almost all of geometries (except for the 48-site one) we chose are commensurate to the 120$^º$ antiferromagnetic order, i.e. they have two K momentum points in the Brillouin zone (BZ). And all the clusters with even sites are also commensurate to the collinear or stripe order. We also note that the 36-site and 48-site
clusters have both three $M$ points in the BZ, while other clusters with even sites only have one $M$ point in the BZ. As a consequence of that, the square sublattice magnetization for stripe phase on 36-site torus is overestimate than other system sizes (like 18, 24, 30), as can be seen in Fig. 2 of main text. One should also note that the 24-site cluster we use here is different from those in Ref. 39.

For the tori smaller or equal to 30 sites, we use exact diagonalization to do the calculations. While for 36-site and 48-site clusters, we use SU(2) DMRG by keeping as many as 8000 $U(1)$-equivalent states to do the calculations. The truncation errors are less than $5 \times 10^{-5}$ in all calculations.

FIG. 13. Linear finite-size scaling of square magnetization of (a) 120° AF order and (b) stripe AF order versus $1/\sqrt{N}$ at various next-nearest-neighbor interaction $J_2$. The insets are the extrapolated values in the thermodynamic limit.

FIG. 14. Linear extrapolation of singlet gaps with $1/\sqrt{N}$ at various $J_2$. The solid lines are least-square fitting lines. The singlet gaps all seem to be zero in the thermodynamic limit.

Appendix B: $J_1 - J_2$ Triangular Heisenberg model

We have used finite-size tori to study the non-randomness $J_1 - J_2$ Heisenberg model on triangular lattice. Using linear extrapolation of magnetic order parameters, we got the nonmagnetic region which is about $0.05(1) < J_2 < 0.16(2)$. This phase region is similar to the previous DMRG results and is larger than the VMC results.

Both the 120° AF phase and Stripe AF phase spontaneously break the spin $SU(2)$ continuous symmetry in the thermodynamic limit. According to Nambu-Goldstone theorem, the system in these magnetic phase regions has gapless excitations. In finite-size systems, a characteristic and systematic structure of the continuous symmetry breaking is the Anderson tower of states (TOS) in the energy spectrum. The TOS energy levels scales with $1/N$ to the ground state, while the low energy magnon exci-
tations scale with $1/\sqrt{N}$ (or $1/L$, $L$ is the linear system size). Based on the knowledge, we scale the singlet gap with $1/\sqrt{N}$ and triplet gap with $1/N$. $N$ is the number of lattice sites.

In the SU(2) symmetry breaking phases, the singlet and triplet gaps should go to zero in the thermodynamic limit in the magnetic regions. From our finite-size calculations, though some data has large variance, we still can see the gapless tendency in Fig. 14 (a-b) and Fig. 15 (a) and (d). Unfortunately, the system size is still not large enough to unbiasedly extrapolate the triplet gap to zero in the finite-size scaling. For the nonmagnetic phase [Fig. 14 (c-d) and Fig. 15 (b-c)], it is even harder to draw a conclusion whether it is gapless or not using the finite-size clusters and the linear extrapolation.

Appendix C: Dimer correlation

In this sector, we show some dimer-dimer correlation function in momentum space. In order to see the possible off-diagonal valence bond solid pattern, we take every bond as a new lattice site which is sitting in the middle of each bond. These new sites form a kagome lattice (1/4-depleted triangular lattice, dashed lines in Fig. 12) or with $3N$ lattice sites, $N$ is the number of sites in the original triangular lattice. Then we take the Fourier transform from real space to momentum space using Eq. (6). Here, we show the contour plot of dimer correlation in momentum space using 24-site cluster, which is shown in Fig. 16. We take the maximum $D(X)$ to do the structure factor scaling. $X$ is the momentum site where $D(q)$ takes its maximum. And it is the same or close to the middle point in between K and M points [see Fig. 16 (a)] depending on the geometry of the finite-size clusters. There is no any pattern of long-range VBS order in our numerical study (see Fig. 3 in the main text). In Fig. 16 (a), the solid hexagon is the Brillouin zone edge of original triangular lattice with $N$ sites, while the dashed hexagon is the “Brillouin zone” edge of new depleted triangular lattice with $3N$ sites.

Appendix D: Histogram of spin correlations under different bond randomness strength

In the SU(2) symmetry breaking phases, the singlet and triplet ground state mean the proportions of triplet ground state under 400 disorder configurations. And the next-nearest-neighbor exchange interaction we take is 24-site torus with 400 independent disorder configurations. The finite-size system is set to be $0.125J_1$. The percentages shown in the boxes mean the proportions of triplet ground state under 400 disorder configurations.

Here, we want to show how the distribution of nearest-neighbor spin correlation changes with the bond randomness strength. As the bond randomness strength in-
trend to be a large probability to form a singlet with the correlations nearest-neighbor spin-spin correlation obtained from 600 independent random samples. Two nearest-neighbor spins have a large probability to form a singlet with the correlations trend to be $-\frac{1}{2}J$.

FIG. 18. (a) Spin-spin correlations (with distributions) at different distances on the $L = 16$ Heisenberg chain with bond randomness $\Delta = 1.0$. The logarithmic corrections to the power-law decaying correlations have been found in recent quantum Monte Carlo simulations$^{26}$. (b) The histogram of nearest-neighbor spin-spin correlation obtained from 600 independent random samples. Two nearest-neighbor spins have a large probability to form a singlet with the correlations trend to be $-\frac{1}{2}J$.
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