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Abstract

The products of the two and three bipolar harmonics $Y_{LM}^{\ell_1 \ell_2}(r_{31}, r_{32})$ are represented as the finite sums of powers of the three relative coordinates $r_{32}, r_{31}$ and $r_{21}$. The complete (angular+radial) integrals of the products of the two and three bipolar harmonics in the basis of exponential radial functions are expressed as finite sums of the auxiliary three-particle integrals $\Gamma_{n,k,l}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. The formulas derived in this study can be used to accelerate highly accurate computations of the rotationally excited (bound) states in arbitrary three-body systems. In particular, we have constructed compact (400-term) variational wave functions for the triplet and singlet $2P(L = 1)$–states in light two-electron atoms and ions. Highly accurate calculations (20 - 21 stable decimal digits in the total energy) of the triplet and singlet $2P(L = 1)$–states in the two-electron Li$^+$, Be$^{2+}$, B$^{3+}$ and C$^{4+}$ ions are performed for the first time.
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The bipolar harmonics $Y_{LM}^{L_1 L_2}(r_{31}, r_{32})$ are extensively used in various methods developed for highly accurate solutions of different three-body problems originated in atomic, molecular and nuclear physics. The functions, Eq. (1), are often used to represent the ‘angular dependence’ of the two-electron wave functions. A very high efficiency of the bipolar harmonics as ‘angular’ functions follows from their explicit form which reflects a number of transparent physical ideas. There are a number of successful generalizations of bipolar harmonics to the four- and five-body systems, where the three- and four-polar harmonics arise. In this study, however, we restrict our analysis to the three-body systems only. In general, the three-body bipolar harmonics are written in the form

$$Y_{LM}^{L_1 L_2}(x, y) = x_{31}^{L_1} y_{32}^{L_2} \sum_{m_1 m_2} C_{LM}^{L_1 m_1} \ell_2 m_2 Y_{L_1 m_1}(n_x) Y_{L_2 m_2}(n_y)$$

where $C_{LM}^{L_1 m_1}$ are the Clebsh-Gordan coefficients, while $x$ and $y$ are the two vectors uniformly related with the three-body system. The vectors $n_x = \frac{x}{x}$ and $n_y = \frac{y}{y}$ are the unit-norm vectors which are used as arguments in the spherical harmonics. Let us designate three particles in our three-particle system by the numbers 1, 2 and 3. The ‘natural’ choice of the two fundamental vectors $x$ and $y$ in Eq. (1) for an arbitrary three-body system is $x = r_3 - r_1 = r_{31}$ and $y = r_3 - r_2 = r_{32}$. In this case each bipolar harmonic, Eq. (1), takes the form $Y_{LM}^{L_1 L_2}(r_{31}, r_{32})$ and contains the vectors $r_{31}$ and $r_{32}$. Here and everywhere below in this study we assume that these two vectors are truly independent, i.e. $r_{31} \neq \lambda r_{32}$, where $\lambda$ is a numerical constant. Finally, the explicit form of the bipolar harmonics takes the form

$$Y_{LM}^{L_1 L_2}(r_{31}, r_{32}) = r_{31}^{L_1} r_{32}^{L_2} \sum_{m_1 m_2} C_{LM}^{L_1 m_1} \ell_2 m_2 Y_{L_1 m_1}(n_{31}) Y_{L_2 m_2}(n_{32}) = r_{31}^{L_1} r_{32}^{L_2} Y_{LM}^{L_1 L_2}(n_{31}, n_{32})$$

Variational wave functions which include bipolar harmonics are used to approximate the actual wave functions of the bound states with the non-zero angular momentum $L$. In many papers the bipolar harmonics are called and considered as the ‘angular parts’ of basis functions. It is assumed that the additional ‘radial’ part of the total wave function depends upon the three radial coordinates $r_{32}, r_{31}$ and $r_{21}$ only, i.e., it does not contain any of the angular variables. It is clear that the bipolar harmonics with the same $L$ and $M$ values (or indexes) form the $(2L + 1)$–dimensional representation of the rotation group $SO(3)$. The explicit form of the matrixes which describe transformations of the bipolar harmonics during rotations can be found with the use of Eq. (2) and formulas from [2] and [3]. It can be shown that each matrix element of these matrix is the product of the two Clebsh-Gordan coefficients.
and two Wigner’s $D$–functions. The explicit expression for these matrix elements can be reduced to another ‘short’ form, but below we will not need these formulas.

In this communication we develop the new method to operate with the bipolar harmonics. Our main interest below is related with the products of the two and three bipolar harmonics and angular integrals of such products. First, note that bipolar harmonics with the same $LM$ indexes form the closed algebra, i.e. the product of two bipolar harmonics $Y_{\ell a M a}^{\ell_1 \ell_2} (r_{31}, r_{32})$ and $Y_{L_b M_b}^{\ell_3 \ell_4} (r_{31}, r_{32})$ is always represented as the finite sum of bipolar harmonics with the different values of $L_c$ and $M_c$. This can be written in the following form

$$Y_{\ell a M a}^{\ell_1 \ell_2} (r_{31}, r_{32}) Y_{L_b M_b}^{\ell_3 \ell_4} (r_{31}, r_{32}) = \sum_{\ell_a \ell_b} f_{LM}(\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \ell_4, \ell_5, \ell_6; L_a, M_a, L_b, M_b, L_c, M_c) Y_{L_c M_c}^{\ell_5 \ell_6} (r_{31}, r_{32})$$

(3)

where $f_{LM}(\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \ell_4; \ell_a, \ell_b)$ are the numerical coefficients which can be determined, e.g., by multiplying the both sides of Eq.(3) by the different bipolar harmonics and performing integration of the both parts of arising equation over all angular variables.

Let us briefly discuss the integration over the angular variables in an arbitrary non-relativistic system of three particles. In general, to describe the non-relativistic quantum system of spinless particles one needs 9 ($3 \times 3$) dynamical variables. Three of these nine variables describe the translations of the solid triangle of particles. The internal state of three-body system does not change during such translations. In actual cases these three (Galilean) translations can be separated by using an appropriate choice of internal coordinates. Formally, we can assume that after such a separation of translations one of the three particles, e.g. the third particle, will always be at rest. The remaining six coordinates are separated into two groups: (a) three coordinates which are rotationally invariant, i.e. they do not change during any rotation of the whole three-body systems, and (b) three coordinates which describe rotations of the whole three-body system. The scalar coordinates which do not change during any rotation and/or translation of the three-body system can be chosen as the three interparticle distances $r_{32}, r_{31}, r_{21}$.

The choice of the three truly independent ‘rotational’ coordinates in the three-body system is slightly more complicated, since such coordinates must be related with the angular coordinates of the two vectors $r_{31}$ and $r_{32}$. Let $\theta_1, \phi_1$ and $\theta_2, \phi_2$ be the spherical coordinates of these two vectors. In these coordinates for an elementary volume $dV$ we can write

$$dV = r_{31}^2 dr_{31} sin\theta_{31} \phi_{31} r_{32}^2 dr_{32} sin\theta_{32} \phi_{32}$$

(4)
These six coordinates \((r_{31}, \theta_{31}, \phi_{31}, r_{32}, \theta_{32}, \phi_{32})\) can be used to describe an arbitrary three-body system. However, as it was shown by Hylleraas in 1929 \([4]\) it is better to choose three-body coordinates in a different way. In \([4]\) three radial variables were chosen as scalar interparticle distances (or interparticle coordinates) \(r_{ij} = |\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j| = r_{ji}\), where \((ij) = (32), (31), (21)\). On the other hand, it is clear that three angular variables can be chosen as the three Euler’s angles \(\phi_{31}, \theta_{31}, \phi_{32}\). In reality, one finds a number of advantages in calculations, if such a ‘natural’ choice of angular variables is used. In particular, in all earlier papers (see, e.g., \([5] - [8]\) and references therein) three radial \(r_{32}, r_{31}, r_{21}\) and three angular variables \(\phi_{31}, \theta_{31}, \phi_{32}\) were chosen as described here. In these variables an elementary volume \(dV\) takes the form

\[
dV = r_{32} r_{31} r_{21} \, dr_{32} dr_{31} dr_{21} \sin \theta_{31} d\theta_{31} d\phi_{32} d\phi_{31}
\]  

This part of our study can be concluded with the two following comments. First, the three radial \(r_{32}, r_{31}, r_{21}\) and three angular variables \(\phi_{31}, \theta_{31}, \phi_{32}\) are semi-separated from each other. This means that the angular integral of any function of these six variables integrated over three angular variables (or over Euler’s angles) is the function of the three radial variables only. Furthermore, in many actual cases these functions of radial variables are written in a relatively simple, finite-term form. Second, the three radial variables \(r_{32}, r_{31}, r_{21}\) are not independent of each other, since e.g., \(r_{21} \leq r_{32} + r_{31}\) and \(r_{31} \geq |r_{32} - r_{21}|\). Such constraints substantially complicate analytical and numerical calculations of three-body integrals. Therefore, in actual cases it is better to use three truly independent perimetric coordinates \(u_1, u_2, u_3\) \([9]\), which are related with the relative coordinates by the following linear transformation

\[
\begin{align*}
u_1 &= \frac{1}{2}(r_{31} + r_{21} - r_{21}) , & u_2 &= \frac{1}{2}(r_{32} + r_{21} - r_{31}) , & u_3 &= \frac{1}{2}(r_{32} + r_{31} - r_{21})
\end{align*}
\]

The inverse relation takes the form

\[
\begin{align*}
r_{32} &= u_2 + u_3 , & r_{31} &= u_1 + u_3 , & r_{32} &= u_1 + u_2
\end{align*}
\]

The Jacobian of the \((r_{32}, r_{31}, r_{21}) \rightarrow (u_1, u_2, u_3)\) transformation equals 2. Three perimetric coordinates \(u_1, u_2, u_3\) are independent of each other and each of them varies between 0 and \(+\infty\).
The formula for the angular integral of the product of the two bipolar harmonics can be written in the form

\[
\int d\Omega_{LM}^{\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3 \ell_4}(r_{31}, r_{32})Y_{LM}^{\ell_3 \ell_4}(r_{31}, r_{32}) = F_{\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3 \ell_4}^{L}(r_{32}, r_{31}, r_{21})
\]  

(6)

The explicit form of the radial \( F_{\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3 \ell_4}^{L} \) function can be found with the use of Eq.(2, 5, 7). The result is

\[
F_{\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3 \ell_4}^{L}(r_{32}, r_{31}, r_{21}) = \frac{1}{2}(-1)^{L}r_{31}^{\ell_1+\ell_3}r_{32}^{\ell_2+\ell_4}\sqrt{[\ell_1][\ell_2][\ell_3][\ell_4]}\sum_{\lambda}(1)^{\lambda}[\lambda] \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \ell_1 & \ell_3 & \lambda \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \times
\]

\[
\left( \begin{array}{ccc} \ell_2 & \ell_4 & \lambda \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \ell_3 & \ell_4 & L \\ \ell_2 & \ell_1 & \lambda \end{array} \right\} P_{\lambda}(x)
\]

(7)

where \([a] = 2a + 1\) and the notation \(P_{\lambda}(x)\) stand for the Legendre polynomial of the order \(\lambda\), where \(\lambda\) is an integer positive number. Also, in this formula (and in some formulas below) we use the standard notations for the \(3j\)– and \(6j\)–symbols [10]. The sum over \(\lambda\) in Eq.(7) is always finite, since the product of two \(3j\)–symbols is not zero only for those \(\lambda\) which are bounded between the following values: \( \max\{|\ell_1 - \ell_3|, |\ell_2 - \ell_4|\} \leq \lambda \leq \min\{\ell_1 + \ell_3, \ell_2 + \ell_4\}\). Moreover, the product of these two \(3j\)–symbols equal zero unless the two sums of the corresponding momenta \((\ell_1 + \ell_3 + \lambda\) and \(\ell_2 + \ell_4 + \lambda\) are even numbers.

The variable \(x\), in Eq.(7), is the following dimensionless ratio

\[
x = \frac{r_{31}^2 + r_{32}^2 - r_{21}^2}{2r_{31}r_{32}}
\]

(8)

This expression can be transformed with the use of the formula (8.911) from [11] for the Legendre polynomial \(P_{\lambda}(x)\)

\[
P_{\lambda}(x) = \frac{1}{2^\lambda} \sum_{k=0}^{\lambda} \frac{(-1)^k(2\lambda - 2k)!}{k!(\lambda - k)!(\lambda - 2k)!} x^{\lambda - 2k} = \frac{1}{2^\lambda} \sum_{k=0}^{\lambda} a_{\lambda,k} x^{\lambda - 2k}
\]

(9)

where \(\Lambda = \left[ \frac{\lambda}{2} \right]\) is the integer part of \(\frac{\lambda}{2}\) and coefficients \(a_{\lambda,k}\) are

\[
a_{\lambda,k} = \frac{(-1)^k(2\lambda - 2k)!}{k!(\lambda - k)!(\lambda - 2k)!}
\]

Now, by using the formula, Eq.(8), one finds the following expression for the \(x^{\lambda - 2k}\) factor from Eq.(9)

\[
x^{\lambda - 2k} = r_{31}^{2k - \lambda} r_{32}^{2k - \lambda} \sum_{n=0}^{\lambda - 2k} C_{\lambda - 2k}^m (r_{32}^2 - r_{21}^2)^n r_{32}^{2\lambda - 4k - 2n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\lambda - 2k} C_{\lambda - 2k}^m \sum_{m=0}^{n} (-1)^m C_n^{m} r_{32}^{\lambda - 2k - 2n} r_{31}^{\lambda - 2k - \lambda + 2n - 2m} r_{21}^{2m}
\]

(10)
where \( k \leq \Lambda \) (see Eq. (9)) and notation \( C^n_a \) stands for the binomial coefficients (the number of combinations from \( b \) by \( a \), where \( a \) and \( b \) are positive integer numbers).

The formula, Eq. (10), allows one to re-write the expression, Eq. (9) in the form

\[
P_\lambda(x) = \frac{1}{2^\lambda} \sum_{k=0}^\Lambda a_{\lambda,k} \sum_{n=0}^{\lambda-2k} C^n_{\lambda-2k} \sum_{m=0}^{n} (-1)^m C^m_n \Gamma_{32}^{\lambda-2k-2n} r_{31}^{2k-\lambda+2n-2m} r_{21}^{2m} \tag{11}
\]

Now, by using the formulas, Eqs. (10) and (11), we can derive the following finite-sum expression for the exponential integral of the Legendre polynomial \( P_\lambda(x) \)

\[
I_{\ell_2+\ell_4;\ell_1+\ell_3}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; P_\lambda) = \int \int \int P_\lambda(x) \exp(-\alpha r_{32} - \beta r_{31} - \gamma r_{21}) r_{32}^{\ell_2+\ell_4+1} r_{31}^{\ell_1+\ell_3+1} r_{21} dr_{32} dr_{31} dr_{21}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2^\lambda} \sum_{k=0}^\Lambda a_{\lambda,k} \sum_{n=0}^{\lambda-2k} C^n_{\lambda-2k} \sum_{m=0}^{n} (-1)^m C^m_n \Gamma_{\ell_2+\ell_4+\lambda-2k-2n+1,\ell_1+\ell_3+2k-\lambda+2n-2m+1,2m+1}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \tag{12}
\]

where \( \Gamma_{k,l,n}(a, b, c) \) are the basic three-body integrals defined in [12]. The definition of the basic three-body integral is written in the form

\[
\Gamma_{k,l,n}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \int \int \int r_{32}^k r_{31}^l r_{21}^n \exp(-\alpha r_{32} - \beta r_{31} - \gamma r_{21}) dr_{32} dr_{31} dr_{21} \tag{13}
\]

where all indexes \( k, l, n \) are assumed to be non-negative integer numbers. The analytical formula used for numerical computations of such integrals is obtained from Eq. (13) by performing integration in perimetric coordinates [8].

\[
\Gamma_{k,l,n}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = 2 \sum_{k_1=0}^k \sum_{\ell_1=0}^l \sum_{n_1=0}^n C^k_{k_1} C^l_{\ell_1} C^n_{n_1} \frac{(l-l_1+k_1)!}{(\alpha+\beta)^{l-l_1+k_1+1}} \frac{(k-k_1+n_1)!}{(\alpha+\gamma)^{k-k_1+n_1+1}} \frac{(n-n_1+l_1)!}{(\beta+\gamma)^{n-n_1+l_1+1}} \tag{14}
\]

where \( C^n_M \) are the binomial coefficients. The formula, Eq. (14), can also be written in a few other equivalent forms. The function \( \frac{n!}{x^n} \) in Eq. (14) is the \( A_n(X) \) function introduced by Larson [13]. For the first time, I have produced the formula, Eq. (14), in the middle of 1980’s (see, e.g., [8] and references therein). The formula, Eq. (14), has been used in calculations of various three-body integrals, e.g., integrals containing one or two Bessel functions [12].

With the use of the formulas derived above one can obtain the closed (i.e. finite term) analytical formula for the following exponential integral

\[
F^L_{\ell_1,\ell_2,\ell_4}(a, b, c) = \int \int \int F^L_{\ell_1,\ell_2,\ell_4,\ell_3}(r_{32}, r_{31}, r_{21}) \exp(-\alpha r_{32} - \beta r_{31} - \gamma r_{21}) r_{32} r_{31} r_{21} dr_{32} dr_{31} dr_{21}
\]

\[
dr_{31} dr_{21} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(-1)^L} \sqrt{[\ell_1][\ell_2][\ell_3][\ell_4]} \sum_{\lambda} (-1)^{\lambda/2} \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \ell_1 & \ell_2 & \ell_3 \\ 0 & 0 & L \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \ell_3 & \ell_4 & \lambda \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \ell_2 & \ell_4 & \lambda \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \right. \times
\]

\[
\sum_{k=0}^\Lambda a_{\lambda,k} \sum_{n=0}^{\lambda-2k} C^n_{\lambda-2k} \sum_{m=0}^{n} (-1)^m C^m_n \Gamma_{\ell_2+\ell_4+\lambda-2k-2n+1,\ell_1+\ell_3+2k-\lambda+2n-2m+1,2m+1}(a, b, c) \tag{15}
\]
The derivation of this formula was the main goal of our study. This formula is of great interest for numerical calculations of matrix elements which are needed to determine the total energies of bound states in three-body systems with \( L \geq 1 \) and calculate various expectation values. Recently, we have developed a number of fast numerical approaches to calculate the auxiliary three-particle integrals \( \Gamma_{n,k,l}(a, b, c) \). This allows one to accelerate substantially all numerical calculations of matrix elements (see below).

Note that the matrix elements of the potential energy are written in the form of Eq.(14) only in those cases when all interparticle interaction potentials are the scalar functions of interparticle distances \( r_{32}, r_{31} \) and \( r_{21} \). Such cases include Coulomb three-body systems and three-body systems in which the potential energy is written in the form \( V_a(r_{32}) + V_b(r_{31}) + V_c(r_{21}) \). In more complex cases the interaction potential between each pair of particles can also be a function of angular coordinates. Analytical formulas for the matrix elements in such cases must include angular integrals from the products of three bipolar harmonics. Such integrals are discussed below.

Now, let us present the results of numerical calculations with the use of formulas derived above. These results are shown in Tables I and II. In Table I we demonstrate the results of numerical calculations of the \( I_{\ell_2+\ell_4;\ell_1+\ell_3}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; P_\lambda) \) and \( F^L_{\ell_1;\ell_2;\ell_3;\ell_4}(a, b, c) \) integrals, Eq.(15), determined for different numerical values of its arguments \( (a, b, c) \) and parameters \( (L, (\ell_1, \ell_2), (\ell_3, \ell_4)) \). The method of numerical computations of these (exponential) integrals is based on the formula, Eq.(15). However, for actual calculations this formula has been modified to avoid numerical instabilities which arise during summation of large numbers of positive and negative terms. The first formula, Eq.(12), was re-written in the form

\[
I_{\ell_2+\ell_4;\ell_1+\ell_3}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; P_\lambda) = \frac{1}{2^\lambda} \sum_{k=0}^{\Lambda} |a_{\lambda,k}| \sum_{n=0}^{\lambda-2k} C^m_{\lambda-2k} \sum_{m=0}^{n} (-1)^{k+m} C^n_m \Gamma_{\ell_2+\ell_4+\lambda-2k-2+n,\ell_1+\ell_3+2+\lambda-2+m+1,2+m+1}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)
\]

(16)

where \( \Lambda = \left[ \frac{\lambda}{2} \right] \), while the coefficients \( |a_{\lambda,k}| \) are

\[
|a_{\lambda,k}| = \frac{(2\lambda - 2k)!}{k!(\lambda - k)!(\lambda - 2k)!}
\]

The sums of the positive and negative terms in Eq.(16) must be calculated separately. At the second step we have used the following formula

\[
F^L_{\ell_1;\ell_2;\ell_3;\ell_4}(a, b, c) = \frac{1}{2} (-1)^L \sqrt{[\ell_1][\ell_2][\ell_3][\ell_4]} \sum_{\lambda} (-1)^\lambda |\lambda| \begin{pmatrix} \ell_1 & \ell_3 & \ell_4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \times
\]
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\[
\begin{aligned}
\left\{ \ell_3, \ell_4, L \right. \\
\left. \ell_2, \ell_1, \lambda \right\} \cdot I_{\ell_2+\ell_4;\ell_1+\ell_3}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; P_\lambda)
\end{aligned}
\] (17)

These two formulas are used for very fast and accurate calculations of the exponential integrals \( \mathcal{F}_{\ell_1,\ell_2,\ell_3}(a, b, c) \) which can be found in each matrix element of the Hamiltonian and overlap matrices for the bound states with \( L \geq 1 \). By performing extensive numerical computations we have found that the method based on the modified formulas, Eqs. (16) - (17), is fast, numerically reliable and can be applied in computations of different rotationally excited states, including highly excited states with \( L \geq 15 - 20 \).

In Table II we determine the total energies of the bound \( P(L = 1) \)–states of a number of three-body systems. These systems include the two-electron \( \infty \)He, \( ^4 \)He and \( ^3 \)He atoms and two-electron He-like ions: \( \text{Li}^+, \text{Be}^{2+}, \text{B}^{3+} \) and \( \text{C}^{4+} \). For the bound \( P(L = 1) \)–states we can perform highly accurate numerical calculations by using our old approach [8] and new method described in this study. Therefore, we can compare the final accuracy of both methods and computational times needed to compute the same values. The nuclear masses of the \( ^3 \)He and \( ^4 \)He nuclei are 5495.8852 \( m_e \) and 7294.2996 \( m_e \), respectively [14]. The masses of the nuclei in all two-electron ions and \( \infty \)He atom are assumed to be infinite. For each of these systems we determine the total energies \( E \) (in atomic units) of the singlet \( 2^1P \)–states and triplet \( 2^3P \)–states (see Table II). Our trial wave functions contain \( N = 400 \) exponential basis functions. The explicit form of such wave functions is

\[
\psi(r_{32}, r_{31}, r_{21}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ 1 + (-1)^{\epsilon} \hat{P}_{12} \right] \cdot Y^\ell_0^\ell_1^\ell_2^\ell_3(r_{31}, r_{32}) \sum_{i=1}^{N} C_i \exp\left( -\alpha_i r_{32} - \beta_i r_{31} - \gamma_i r_{32} \right) (18)
\]

where \( C_i \) are the linear variational coefficients, \( \epsilon = 1 \) in the case of the triplet states and \( \epsilon = 2 \) (or 0) in the case of the singlet states. The operator \( \hat{P}_{12} \) is the permutation of the two identical particles (electrons 1 and 2) and \( N \) is the total number of terms in the trial function. Analogous wave functions with \( N = 700 \) basis functions will later be used as a short-term cluster functions in our highly accurate computations of the bound \( 2^1P \)– and \( 2^3P \)–states in these atomic systems. All such calculations are usually performed with the use of our two-stage optimization strategy [15].

Preliminary results of highly accurate computations of the bound \( 2^1P \)– and \( 2^3P \)–states in the \( \text{Li}^+, \text{Be}^{2+}, \text{B}^{3+} \) and \( \text{C}^{4+} \) ions are shown in Table III. In these calculations we have used the short-term cluster wave functions with \( N = 400 \) terms from Table II. The total number of basis functions used in our highly accurate computations was varied between
2500 and 2850 exponential functions. More accurate calculations of these states are possible at this moment, but they require larger computational resources (all propositions about a possibility to use such resources can be submitted to the author directly). The total energies and other bound state properties of the bound $2^1P$ and $2^3P$ states in the Li$^+$, Be$^{2+}$, B$^{3+}$ and C$^{4+}$ ions have never been determined to high accuracy (these bound states in the Li$^+$, Be$^{2+}$, B$^{3+}$ and C$^{4+}$ ions play important roles in some applications). The results from Table III are preliminary, but they will be used to accelerate the following highly accurate computations of the bound $P(L = 1)$ states in these ions and other three-body systems. Highly accurate results (total energies) for the singlet and triplet $P(L = 1)$ states in the He atom(s) can be found in [16].

As follows from the results of our highly accurate computations of the singlet and triplet $2P(L = 1)$ states in a number of two-electron ions we obtain a very close level of the final accuracy in this approach and earlier method from [8] which was specifically oriented to calculate the bound states in three-body systems with small angular momenta $L$. Computational times for both methods are also comparable to each other. This indicates a very high efficiency of our current approach for bound states with small $L$. Plus, now we have analytical formulas for bound three-body states with arbitrary $L$. Our computational interest to the bound $P(L = 1)$ states is based on the following facts. First, only for the bound $P(L = 1)$ states one can find results determined to very high numerical accuracy, which is comparable to the accuracy known for the ground and low-lying excited $S(L = 0)$ states. Second, the problem of optimization of the non-linear parameters in Eq. (18) can be solved in very fast and accurate way for all bound states with $L = 1$. Formally, for bound $P$ states there is no difference in our optimization algorithms with the case of the ground state(s) in three-body systems. For rotationally excited states with $L \geq 2$ the process of optimization of the non-linear parameters is significantly more complicated and takes substantial computational times. For instance, for the bound $3D$ states in the He atom our current method produces the following total energies: $-2.055620 \ 7328528(4)$ a.u. (singlet) and $-2.0556363094537(4)$ a.u. Note that such an accuracy for these states is not very high (it is comparable to the accuracy known for these states at the end of 1990’s). To obtain the better overall accuracy one needs to use a better optimization technique for the non-linear parameters in the trial wave functions. On the other hand, all highly accurate calculations of the bound states with $L \geq 2$ can be performed with the use of the quadruple precision only. Even
in calculations of the bound $D$-states with $N = 3500 - 4000$ ($N$ is the total number of basis functions) there is no need to use the extended arithmetical precision \cite{17}, since the corresponding overlap matrixes are not ill-conditioned. On the other hand, the total energies and other bound state properties of such states are determined (with these wave functions) to the accuracy 14 - 15 decimal digits. Briefly, we can say that the new optimization and computational strategies must be developed for the rotationally excited bound states with $L \geq 2$ to produce results which contain 20 - 25 stable decimal digits. Right now, we do not have such strategies and this is the main reason why we have restricted to the case of the bound $P$-states only.

Note that the matrix elements of the potential energy are written in the form of Eq.\eqref{14} only in those cases when all interparticle interaction potentials are the scalar functions of interparticle distances $r_{32}, r_{31}$ and $r_{21}$. Such cases include Coulomb three-body systems and three-body systems in which the potential energy is written in the form $V_a(r_{32}) + V_b(r_{31}) + V_c(r_{21})$. In more complex cases the interaction potential between each pair of particles can also be a function of angular coordinates. In the general case, such potentials can be approximated by the sums of bipolar harmonics with the different $L$ and $M$ values. Analytical formulas for the matrix elements in such cases must include angular integrals from the products of three bipolar harmonics.

Let us discuss the formulas for the products of three bipolar harmonics $Y_{L_aM_a}^{\ell_1\ell_2}(r_{31}, r_{32}), Y_{L_bM_b}^{\ell_1\ell_2}(r_{31}, r_{32})$ and $Y_{L_cM_c}^{\ell_1\ell_2}(r_{31}, r_{32})$. The general formulas for such products can be found in \cite{1}. It is clear that the angular integral from the product of three bipolar harmonics must be proportional to the Clebsh-Gordan coefficient $C_{L_aM_aL_bM_bL_cM_c}^{LcM_c}$, or to the corresponding $3jm-$symbol (see below). Second, as we have mentioned above the $(2L + 1)$ bipolar harmonics $Y_{L,M}^{\ell_1\ell_2}(r_{31}, r_{32})$ (with the same $L$, but different $M$) are the basis vectors of the $(2L + 1)$-dimensional representation of the rotation group. Therefore, as it follows from Schur’s lemma the angular integral of the product of two bipolar harmonics $Y_{L_aM_a}^{\ell_1\ell_2}$ and $Y_{L_bM_b}^{\ell_1\ell_2}$ is always zero unless the values of $L_a, M_a$ and $L_b, M_b$ are exactly the same, i.e. $L_a = L_b$ and $M_a = M_b$. This explains the explicit form of the angular integral used in Eq.\eqref{6}. For the product of three bipolar harmonics the situation is different and we cannot assume \textit{a priori} that even some of the indexes are equal. In general, the angular integral
from the product of three bipolar harmonics is written in the form

\[
\int d\Omega^{\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3}_{L_a M_a} (r_{31}, r_{32}) \int d\Omega^{\ell_4 \ell_5 \ell_6}_{L_b M_b} (r_{31}, r_{32}) \int d\Omega^{\ell_7 \ell_8 \ell_9}_{L_c M_c} (r_{31}, r_{32}) = \begin{pmatrix} L_a & L_b & L_c \\ M_a & M_b & M_c \end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
G^{L_a L_b L_c}_{\ell_2 \ell_4 \ell_6; \ell_3 \ell_5} (r_{32}, r_{31}, r_{21}) = \left( \frac{L_a L_b L_c}{M_a M_b M_c} \right) \sum_{\lambda} b_{\lambda} \Phi^{\ell_2 + \ell_4 + \ell_6; \ell_3 + \ell_5 + \ell_7} (r_{32}, r_{31}, r_{21}) P_{\lambda}(x)
\]

\[\text{Eq.} (19)\]

where the function \( G^{L_a L_b L_c}_{\ell_2 \ell_4 \ell_6; \ell_3 \ell_5} (r_{32}, r_{31}, r_{21}) \) depends upon three relative coordinates \( r_{32}, r_{31}, \) and \( r_{21} \). The explicit formula for this function is obtained from the last equality in Eq.\( (19) \). The coefficients \( b_{\lambda} \) in Eq.\( (19) \) do not depend upon the relative coordinates, but it is a function of all ten values of angular momenta \( \lambda, L_a, L_b, L_c, \ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \ell_4, \ell_5, \ell_6 \). The formula for these coefficients takes the form

\[
b_{\lambda} = \frac{1}{8\pi} (-1)^{L_b + \lambda} \sqrt{|L_a||L_b||L_c|}|\ell_1|\ell_2|\ell_3|\ell_4|\ell_5|\ell_6| \cdot [\lambda] \sum_{\lambda_1} \sum_{\lambda_2} \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \ell_1 & \ell_5 & \lambda_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \ell_2 & \ell_6 & \lambda_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \times \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \lambda & \ell_3 & \lambda_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \ell_3 & \ell_4 & \lambda_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \ell_4 & \ell_6 & L_a \\ \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 & L_b \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \ell_5 & \ell_6 & L_c \\ \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 & L_b \end{array} \right).
\]

\[\text{Eq.} (20)\]

In this form Eqs.\( (19) - (20) \) look very similar to Eq.\( (6) \). Numerical calculations of the \( b_{\lambda} \) coefficients with the use of Eq.\( (20) \) is straightforward. For instance, for \( \ell_1 = 1, \ell_2 = 3, \ell_3 = 2, \ell_4 = 2, \ell_5 = 2, \ell_6 = 2 \) and for \( L_a = 1, L_b = 2, L_c = 1 \) one finds from Eq.\( (20) \) \( b_1 = 0.15921224404155089 \cdot 10^{-1}, b_3 = -0.67600638318413508 \cdot 10^{-2} \) and \( b_5 = 0.27451117819426586 \cdot 10^{-2} \). The coefficients \( b_{\lambda} \) with other values of \( \lambda \) equal zero identically.

Finally, as it seen from the formulas, Eqs.\( (19) - (20) \) and Eq.\( (14) \), the calculation of the three-body integralas which contain the products of three bipolar harmonics is reduced to the computation of some finite sums of the basic (or auxiliary) three-body integrals \( \Gamma_{k;\ell;\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \), Eq.\( (14) \). The explicit expression for the radial integral of the \( G^{L_a L_b L_c}_{\ell_2 \ell_4 \ell_6; \ell_3 \ell_5} (r_{32}, r_{31}, r_{21}) \) function is

\[
T^{L_a L_b L_c}_{\ell_2 \ell_4 \ell_6; \ell_3 \ell_5} = \int \int G^{L_a L_b L_c}_{\ell_2 + \ell_4 + \ell_6; \ell_3 + \ell_5 + \ell_7} (r_{32}, r_{31}, r_{21}) r_{32} r_{31} r_{21} dr_{32} dr_{31} dr_{21}
\]

\[
= \sum_{\lambda} b_{\lambda} I^{\ell_2 + \ell_4 + \ell_6; \ell_3 + \ell_5 + \ell_7} (\alpha, \beta, \gamma; P_{\lambda}),
\]

\[\text{Eq.} (21)\]

where \( I^{\ell_2 + \ell_4 + \ell_6; \ell_3 + \ell_5 + \ell_7} (\alpha, \beta, \gamma; P_{\lambda}) \) is defined by Eq.\( (16) \). This is another result which is of great interest for highly accurate computations of many actual three-body systems. Our test
calculations of the $T_{L_2 \ell_2, L_2 \ell_3, L_2 \ell_4}^{L_a \ell_a, L_b \ell_b, L_c \ell_c}$ coefficient performed for $\ell_1 = 1, \ell_2 = 3, \ell_3 = 2, \ell_4 = 2, \ell_5 = 2, \ell_6 = 2, L_a = 1, L_b = 2, L_c = 1$ lead to the following result $T_{3,2,2,1,2,2}^{121} = 1.9488412125971230 \cdot 10^4$.

We have considered the products of the two and three bipolar harmonics $Y_{LM}^{\ell_1 \ell_2} (r_{31}, r_{32})$. It is shown that angular integrals of such products are represented as the finite sums of powers of the three relative coordinates $r_{32}, r_{31}$ and $r_{21}$ (or interpartile distances). The six-dimensional (angular + radial) integrals of the products of the two and three bipolar harmonics in the basis of exponential radial functions are expressed as finite sums of the auxiliary three-particle integrals $\Gamma_{n,k,l} (\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. The formulas derived in this study can be used to accelerate highly accurate computations of the rotationally excited (bound) states in arbitrary three-body systems. The methods developed in this study have been used to construct very compact (but highly accurate!) variational wave functions of the triplet and singlet $2P(L = 1)$—states in light two-electron atoms and ions. Our preliminary results of highly accurate calculations of the triplet and singlet $2P(L = 1)$—states in the two-electron Li$^+$, Be$^{2+}$, B$^3+$ and C$^4+$ ions contain 20 - 21 stable decimal digits. This makes our wave functions one of the most accurate wave functions ever known for these atomic systems.
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TABLE I: Numerical values of the $I_{\ell_2+\ell_4;\ell_1+\ell_3}(a,b,c;P_\lambda)$ and $F^L_{\ell_1\ell_2;\ell_3\ell_4}(a,b,c)$ integrals computed for different values of the $\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \ell_4, \lambda$ and $L$ parameters. $a = 1.55, b = 1.33$ and $c = 1.07$ in all cases.

| $\ell_1$ | $\ell_2$ | $\ell_3$ | $\ell_4$ | $I_{\ell_1\ell_2;\ell_3\ell_4}(a,b,c;P_\lambda)$ | $L$ | $F^L_{\ell_1\ell_2;\ell_3\ell_4}(a,b,c)$ |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------------------------------|-----|---------------------------------|
| 1      | 0      | 1      | 0      | 0.20963264469930568E+00          | 1   | 0.13008789710134043E+00         |
| 1      | 1      | 1      | 1      | 0.11032101479039074E+01          | 2   | 0.72370337608449528E+00         |
| 1      | 1      | 2      | 0      | 0.11491009319449536E+01          | 2   | 0.69783486804905589E+00         |
| 1      | 2      | 2      | 1      | 0.33053241063178740E+00          | 3   | 0.85514739108659169E+01         |
| 1      | 2      | 1      | 2      | 0.34640478424614411E+02          | 3   | 0.69505739570548458E+01         |
| 3      | 0      | 1      | 2      | 0.18295480775566101E+02          | 3   | 0.14561365717576028E+02         |
| 3      | 0      | 0      | 3      | 0.58890134034481354E+02          | 3   | 0.29445067017240677E+02         |

TABLE II: The total energies $E$ of the bound $^1P(L=1)$– and $^3P(L=1)$–states of some two-electron atoms and ions (in atomic units). The total number of basis functions used to construct these short-term wave functions is 400.

| $E(^1P(L=1)$–state) | $E(^3P(L=1)$–state) |
|---------------------|---------------------|
| ∞He                 | -2.12384 308649 749  |
|                     | -2.13316 419077 725  |
| 4He                 | -2.12354 565412 918  |
|                     | -2.13288 064210 349  |
| 3He                 | -2.12344 834501 190  |
|                     | -2.13278 787470 796  |
| ∞Li$^+$             | -4.99335 107777 845  |
|                     | -5.02771 568139 695  |
| ∞Be$^{2+}$          | -9.11077 162291 325  |
|                     | -9.17497 314304 428  |
| ∞B$^{3+}$           | -14.4772 832652 859  |
|                     | -14.5731 376921 778  |
| ∞C$^{4+}$           | -21.0933 323133 828  |
|                     | -21.2217 106964 635  |
TABLE III: Highly accurate total energies $E$ of the bound $^1P(L = 1)$– and $^3P(L = 1)$–states of some two-electron ions (in atomic units). The total number of basis function is designated by $N$.

| ion  | $N$  | $E(^1P(L = 1)$–state) | $E(^3P(L = 1)$–state) |
|------|------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Li$^+$ | 2500 | $-4.99335 \times 10^{-77}$ 80017 36235 | $-5.02771 \times 10^{-77}$ 97367 76212 |
| Li$^+$ | 2700 | $-4.99335 \times 10^{-77}$ 80017 36242 | $-5.02771 \times 10^{-77}$ 97367 76214 |
| Li$^+$ | 2850 | $-4.99335 \times 10^{-77}$ 80017 36245 | $-5.02771 \times 10^{-77}$ 97367 76215 |
| Be$^{2+}$ | 2500 | $-9.11077 \times 16622$ 91644 40815 | $-9.17497 \times 31430$ 70973 00020 |
| Be$^{2+}$ | 2700 | $-9.11077 \times 16622$ 91644 40817 | $-9.17497 \times 31430$ 70973 00025 |
| Be$^{2+}$ | 2850 | $-9.11077 \times 16622$ 91644 40818 | $-9.17497 \times 31430$ 70973 00029 |
| B$^{3+}$ | 2500 | $-14.47728 \times 32653$ 07799 28311 | $-14.57313 \times 76922$ 13480 04811 |
| B$^{3+}$ | 2700 | $-14.47728 \times 32653$ 07799 28988 | $-14.57313 \times 76922$ 13480 04813 |
| B$^{3+}$ | 2850 | $-14.47728 \times 32653$ 07799 29427 | $-14.57313 \times 76922$ 13480 04814 |
| C$^{4+}$ | 2500 | $-21.09333 \times 23133$ 88409 05472 | $-21.22171 \times 06964$ 88051 07758 |
| C$^{4+}$ | 2700 | $-21.09333 \times 23133$ 88409 05491 | $-21.22171 \times 06964$ 88051 07765 |
| C$^{4+}$ | 2850 | $-21.09333 \times 23133$ 88409 05501 | $-21.22171 \times 06964$ 88051 07771 |