**Drug resistance-conferring mutations in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* from Madang, Papua New Guinea**
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**Abstract**

**Background:** Monitoring drug resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* is essential to curb the spread of tuberculosis (TB). Unfortunately, drug susceptibility testing is currently not available in Papua New Guinea (PNG) and that impairs TB control in this country. We report for the first time *M. tuberculosis* mutations associated with resistance to first and second-line anti-TB drugs in Madang, PNG. A molecular cluster analysis was performed to identify *M. tuberculosis* transmission in that region.

**Results:** Phenotypic drug susceptibility tests showed 15.7% resistance to at least one drug and 5.2% multidrug resistant (MDR) TB. Rifampicin resistant strains had the *rpoB* mutations D516F, D516Y or S531L; Isoniazid resistant strains had the mutations *katG* S315T or *inhA* promoter C15T; Streptomycin resistant strains had the mutations *rpsL* K43R, K88Q, K88R), *rrs* A514C or *gidB* V77G. The molecular cluster analysis indicated evidence for transmission of resistant strain.

**Conclusions:** We observed a substantial rate of MDR-TB in the Madang area of PNG associated with mutations in specific genes. A close monitoring of drug resistance is therefore urgently required, particularly in the presence of drug-resistant *M. tuberculosis* transmission. In the absence of phenotypic drug susceptibility testing in PNG, molecular assays for drug resistance monitoring would be of advantage.
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**Background**

*Mycobacterium tuberculosis* drug resistance is a global concern. In Papua New Guinea (PNG), the estimated tuberculosis (TB) incidence rate is 303/100000 population, with 5% multidrug resistant TB (MDR-TB) among new cases [1]. Culture-based drug susceptibility testing (DST) requires infrastructures often too sophisticated for resource-constrained settings. Detecting resistance-associated mutations is a faster alternative, as shown by Genotype MTBDR*plus* (Hain Life science) [2] or Xpert MTB/RIF (Cepheid) [3]. To monitor drug resistance molecularly, the distribution of drug resistance-conferring mutations in a given setting needs to be known, and such data is currently missing for PNG. We report mutations associated with drug resistance among TB isolates in the Madang area of PNG and provide evidence for transmission of drug-resistant *M. tuberculosis*.

**Results and discussion**

The patient characteristics and detailed *M. tuberculosis* genotypes were reported elsewhere [4]. In brief, 60 patients were recruited in the frame of a pilot study in 2005-2007 and 201 in the frame of a treatment cohort study in 2009-2010. History of previous TB treatment was reported in 16.9% (31/201) of the 2009-2010 patients, for whom data was collected. Molecular analyses were performed on the DNA from 173 successfully grown isolates and phenotypic DST was obtained for 172 isolates. From the six previously described *M.*
tuberculosis lineages [5], we observed 133/173 (76.9%) Euro-American (Lineage 4), 39/173 (22.5%) East-Asian (Lineage 2, includes Beijing genotype), and 1/173 (0.6%) Indo-Oceanic (Lineage 1).

Overall, 27/172 (15.7%) isolates were resistant to ≥1 drug: 15/172 (8.7%) monoresistant, 3/172 (1.8%) polyresistant and 9/172 (5.2%) MDR. A total of 10/172 (5.8%) strains were Rifampicin (RIF) resistant, 21/172 (12.2%) Isoniazid (INH) resistant (13 low-level [0.1 mg/L], 8 high-level [0.4 mg/L]), 9/172 (5.2%) Streptomycin (STR) resistant, and 4/172 (2.3%) Ethionamide (ETH) resistant.

Among resistant isolates, the genes harboring drug resistance associated mutations were sequenced. The observed mutations in *katG*, *inhA* promoter, *ahpC* promoter, *rpoB*, *embB*, *pncA*, *rpsL*, *rrs*, *gidB*, and *gyrA* are listed in Figure 1.

INH resistant isolates harbored mutations in *katG* (codon S315T) or *inhA* promoter (nucleotide C15T). All isolates with *katG* S315T were resistant to 0.4 mg/L INH except one, which was sensitive to this concentration of INH. On the other hand, all isolates with *inhA* promoter mutation were sensitive at this drug concentration (but resistant at 0.1 mg/L), thus confirming the association between *inhA* promoter mutations and low-level INH resistance [6]. Among all 6/9 MDR-TB isolates with either *katG* or *inhA* promoter mutations, all had

| Mutation                  | Numbers   |
|--------------------------|-----------|
| RIF monoresistant        | 1 RIF     |
| INH monoresistant        | 2 INH     |
| INH monoresistant        | 3 INH     |
| INH monoresistant        | 4 INH     |
| INH monoresistant        | 5 INH     |
| INH monoresistant        | 6 INH     |
| INH monoresistant        | 7 INH     |
| INH monoresistant        | 8 INH     |
| INH monoresistant        | 9 INH     |
| STR monoresistant        | 11 STR    |
| STR monoresistant        | 12 STR    |
| STR monoresistant        | 13 STR    |
| STR monoresistant        | 14 STR    |
| PZA monoresistant        | 15 PZA    |
| INH + STR polyresistant  | 16 INH +  |
| INH + STR polyresistant  | 17 INH +  |
| INH + STR polyresistant  | 18 INH +  |
| RIF + INH MDR            | 19 RIF +  |
| RIF + INH MDR            | 20 RIF +  |
| RIF + INH MDR            | 21 RIF +  |
| RIF + INH MDR            | 22 RIF +  |
| RIF + INH MDR            | 23 RIF +  |
| RIF + INH MDR            | 24 RIF +  |
| RIF + INH MDR            | 25 RIF +  |
| RIF + INH MDR            | 26 RIF +  |
| RIF + INH + ETH MDR      | 27 RIF +  |

**Figure 1** List of all mutations observed in each of the 27 strains resistant to at least one drug. The polymorphisms are indicated at codon positions, except for *rrs* gene. **RIF**: Rifampin; **INH**: Isoniazid; **STR**: Streptomycin; **PZA**: Pyrazinamide; **ETH**: Ethionamide; **PAS**: p-aminosalicylic acid; **MDR**: Multidrug resistant.
the katG S315T mutation, except one with an inhA promoter mutation. This only MDR-TB case with an inhA promoter mutation belonged to the four MDR-TB cases, which were additionally ETH resistant. Mutations in inhA promoter have been shown to cause INH and ETH cross-resistance and were thereby associated with higher risks of extensively drug resistant TB [7].

Eight INH resistant strains (38.1%) had no katG or inhA promoter mutation. Only 850 bp of katG were sequenced and mutations may therefore have been missed. However, katG mutations outside this region are rarer [6,8,9]. Alternatively, these strains might harbor a promoter mutation. Only 850 bp of inhA promoter have been shown to cause INH and ETH resistance-conferring mutations observed here, i.e. katG S315T and inhA promoter C15T, are known to be associated with a low fitness cost [11], they might not require compensation.

All RIF resistant isolates harbored mutations in rpoB at codons D516F, D516Y or S531L except one, which did not have any mutation in the 600pb rpoB fragment sequenced. DST was repeated for this case, confirming the MDR phenotype. Furthermore, common rpoB, katG and inhA promoter mutations were excluded by GenoType MTBDRplus. Nevertheless, it has been estimated that mutations in the RIF resistance determining region (81-bp region in rpoB) account only for 95% of RIF resistance [6] and therefore other mechanisms cannot be excluded. Mutation S531L has been linked to high-level RIF resistance [12], whereas D516Y was associated with low-level resistance [13-15]. Mutation D516F has only been reported in Kazakhstan [16] and may also cause low-level resistance. Low-level RIF resistance has been little considered, but could influence treatment, especially knowing that phenotypic DST outcomes may differ from the actual efficacy of the anti-TB drugs in patients [17].

STR resistant isolates harbored mutations in rpsL (codons K43R, K88Q, K88R) and rrs (nucleotide A514C), as previously reported [18,19]. One isolate was mutated at codon V77G in gidB, a mutation which was not reported before. One STR resistant isolate did not present any mutation in any of these genes. M. tuberculosis

Mutations in gidB have been associated with low-level STR resistance [20,21], but were also reported in sensitive strains [22]. In this study, gidB mutations A10P, L16R, E92D, and A205A were observed among strains resistant to other drugs than STR. We further explored gidB mutations in whole genomes of 21 pan-susceptible strains representative of the six defined M. tuberculosis lineages [23]. Mutation gidB V77G, which we observed in one STR resistant isolate from PNG, could not be found in any of the 21 pan-susceptible strains. This mutation could therefore indeed be involved in drug resistance or could be a transitory polymorphism in the population. The mutation A10P observed in one STR sensitive isolate was not found in any of the 21 pan-susceptible genomes. Mutations L16R was observed in genome sequences from Lineage 4 strains (Euro-American lineage) and E92D in Lineage 2 strains (East-Asian lineage). This supports the recent observation that gidB L16R occurred in LAM strains (i.e. Lineage 4), whereas gidB E92D occurred in Beijing strains [24]. A205A appeared mutated in all strains not belonging to Lineage 4, therefore indicating that this mutation, identified by comparison to H37Rv, is a Lineage 4 mutation. Observations from the 21 pan-susceptible genomes suggest that most gidB mutations rather reflect M. tuberculosis lineage evolution than drug resistance.

Clusters were defined for strains sharing identical spoligotype and 24 locus mycobacterial interspersed repetitive unit variable number of tandem repeats (MIRU-VNTR) patterns. Among isolates with complete patterns, 72/162 (44.4%) were clustered. Despite potential fitness costs associated with resistance-conferring mutations [25], the proportion of clustered strains was not significantly different among drug-sensitive (60/137, 43.8%) and drug-resistant (12/25, 48.0%) isolates of M. tuberculosis.

To distinguish between primary resistance and acquired resistance, clustered isolates sharing identical drug resistance-conferring mutations were considered. Five of the 12 (41.7%) drug-resistant isolates involved in molecular clusters shared their drug resistance-conferring mutations with other isolates in the same cluster, thus strongly suggesting patient-to-patient transmission.

Conclusions
This study provides so far missing data about drug resistance-conferring mutations in M. tuberculosis isolates from Madang in PNG. Monitoring drug resistance is essential to prevent the spread of resistant bacteria, especially in diseases requiring lengthy treatments such as TB. Our data suggests that not all present drug resistance associated mutations may be detected by molecular tests, which mainly focus on a subset of polymorphisms only. However, given the complex implementation of culture-based DST in resource-constrained settings, PNG may be well suited for an accelerated roll-out of molecular drug resistance testing in order to better tackle the emergence and the transmission of drug-resistant M. tuberculosis strains.
Methods
Study site and patient characteristics
In 2005–2007, a pilot study was conducted in Madang (PNG) at the Modillion Hospital, which is the main point of care in Madang province. In April 2009, a cohort study was initiated in the same hospital and two smaller health centers in close vicinity to Madang town. Patients above 14 years were included if having microscopically confirmed pulmonary TB or other clinical evidence suggesting smear-negative TB. Treatment and follow-up were planned according to the directly observed treatment, short-course (DOTS) program. Demographic and clinical data were available for all patients, except those recruited during the 2005–2007 pilot study.

Sample processing
Sputum samples were examined by light microscopy after Ziehl-Neelsen staining. Decontamination was conducted according to Petroff’s method [26]. DST was performed by proportion method [27] at the Queensland Mycobacterial Reference Laboratory in Australia using BACTEC™ MGIT™ 960 (Becton Dickinson, USA) and the following drug concentrations: RIF (1.0 μg/mL), INH (0.1 and 0.4 μg/mL), Ethambutol (5.0 μg/mL), Pyrazinamide (100 μg/mL), Streptomycin (1.0 μg/mL), Amikacin (1.0 μg/mL), Kanamycin (5.0 μg/mL), Ofloxacin (2.0 μg/mL), Capreomycin (2.5 μg/mL), ETH (5.0 μg/mL), p-Aminosalicylic acid (4.0 μg/mL), and Cycloserine (50.0 μg/mL). Isolates resistant to one drug were categorized as mono-resistant, isolates resistant to at least INH and RIF were categorized as MDR and isolates resistant to at least one drug but not MDR were considered poly-resistant. DNA was extracted from cultures using Instagate Matrix (Bio-Rad, USA) and sent to the Swiss Tropical and Public Health Institute for molecular analyses.

Strain genotyping
Spoligotyping and 24 locus MIRU-VNTR were used to define strain clusters as previously described [28, 29]. The online MIRU-VNTRplus platform was used for cluster identification (www.miru-vntrplus.org [30]). Clusters were defined for strains sharing identical spoligotype and 24 locus MIRU-VNTR patterns. Strains were assigned to one of the six previously described lineages by real-time PCR identification of specific single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) [5, 31–33].

Drug resistance mutations
The following genes (or gene regions) were sequenced to capture drug resistance conferring SNPs: rpoB, katG, inhA promoter, alpC promoter, embB, pncA, rpsL, rrs, gidB, and gyrA (see Additional file 1: Table S1 for primers and PCR conditions). Sequencing was performed by Macrogen (The Netherlands). Observed mutations were compared to the online Tuberculosis Drug Resistance Mutation Database (TBDream, www.tbdreamdb.com [8]).
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