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Abstract

A comparison of modeled and observed Aerosol Optical Depth (AOD) is presented. 3D Eulerian multiphase chemistry-transport model TCAM is employed for simulating AOD at mesoscale. MODIS satellite sensor and AERONET photometer AOD are used for comparing spatial patterns and temporal timeseries.

TCAM simulations for year 2004 over a domain containing Po-Valley and nearly whole Northern Italy are employed.

For the computation of AOD, a configuration of external mixing of the chemical species is considered. Furthermore, a parametrization of the effect of moisture affecting both aerosol size and composition is used.

An analysis of the contributions of the granulometric classes to the extinction coefficient reveals the dominant role of the inorganic compounds of submicron size. For the analysis of spatial patterns, summer and winter case study are considered. TCAM AOD reproduces spatial patterns similar to those retrieved from space, but AOD values are generally smaller by an order of magnitude. However, accounting also for moisture, TCAM AOD significantly increases to values of the same magnitude of the observed ones. The temporal performance of model AOD is tested in correspondence of AERONET site ”Venise” and some temporal structures are reproduced.

The results suggest encouraging perspectives in view of satellite AOD assimilation also at the mesoscale and not only at the global and regional
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scale as already tested in the literature.
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### 1. Introduction

Chemistry-Transport Models (CTMs) often are the sole valuable tool for investigating the impact of pollutant emissions and air quality strategies at the mesoscale. This is due to the nonlinear nature of the process of pollutant chemical transformation, diffusion and advection \[\text{Schaap et al. (2004)}\]. CTMs provide both gas and aerosol phase state variables, which either exactly represent the same chemical quantity measured at the monitoring sites (such as $O_3$ or $NO_x$) or can be aggregated for computing monitored quantities (such as PM10). While CTM performance in reproducing observed gas phase quantities is fair, PM10 simulation skill is generally poor \[\text{Vautard et al. (2007)}\]. Among the reasons for CTM weak performance, it is possible to list: uncertainties in the emission inventories \[\text{Henze et al. (2009)}\], not detailed representation of aerosol phase chemistry, in particular the formation of secondary aerosol \[\text{Stanier et al. (2008)}\], as well as difficulties in comparing point-like experimental information from monitoring sites to spatially averaged values from models \[\text{Vautard et al. (2007)}\]. As long as these issues remain unsolved, CTM aerosol simulation and prediction power relies on data assimilation, which makes use of auxiliary observations (e.g.: air quality stations, remote sensing data) in order to reduce the uncertainties in input data, such as initial conditions or boundary conditions \[\text{Tombette et al. (2009)}\].

Spatially and temporally homogeneous observations on the mesoscale can be provided by means of optical instruments for remote sensing of aerosols. Aerosol Optical Depth (AOD), which is the column integrated extinction coefficient, represents the optical effect of the total aerosol load in the atmosphere. There are both ground based stations as well as airborne measurements of AOD.

An AOD output has been computed from various CTMs, both at the global \[\text{Morcrette et al. (2009)}; \text{Benedetti et al. (2009)}\], regional \[\text{Koelemeijer et al. (2006)}; \text{Adhikary et al. (2007)}; \text{Choi et al. (2009)}\], and mesoscale \[\text{Hodzic et al. (2004)}; \text{de Meij et al. (2007)}; \text{Chapman et al. (2009)}\]. This brief survey is deliberately restricted to models run at the mesoscale.
CHIMERE model was run over the Paris greater metropolitan area with a horizontal spatial resolution of 6 km and 20 vertical layers up to the pressure level of 500 hPa \cite{Hodzic2004}. This model employs a sectional approach with 6 bins between 10 nm and 40 µm. The model delivers both a dry and a wet particle radius, i.e. a radius accounting for the hygroscopic growth. The wet radius is obtained from a parametrization which depends on relative humidity (RH) only. Vertical profiles of the aerosol backscattering coefficient were computed and compared to Lidar measurements. The average complex refractive index and the Single Scattering Albedo were compared to Sun photometer measurements. It was found that the aerosol load is typically underestimated by the model and that a prevalence of submicron sized particles is simulated.

In another mesoscale modeling exercise, AOD was computed by TAPOM model over a portion of the Po-Valley (Italy) \cite{deMeij2007}. Horizontal spatial resolution down to 5 km and 21 vertical layers up to 6000 m a.s.l. were employed. The model considers a sectional approach with 4 fixed size bins ranging from 0 to 10 µm. The activity coefficients of atmospheric aerosols are assumed to be in equilibrium with the environment, governed by RH. Model AOD was compared to both MODIS and MISR satellite observations as well as to AERONET and CHARM Sun photometers data. The model was found to underestimate observations.

Also the WRF-chem model has been developed for computing aerosol chemistry and aerosol optics \cite{Fast2005, Chapman2009}. A domain comprising western Pennsylvania was modeled with 2 km resolution. Vertically, the domain extended 57 nodes in the vertical, from the surface to 100 hPa, with finer resolution near the surface. The model was run with 8 dimensional sections, ranging from 20 nm to 5 µm radius \cite{Chapman2009}. Thanks to a specific module for calculating the activity coefficients of various electrolytes in multicomponent aqueous atmospheric aerosols and a solid-liquid equilibrium solver, the model is able to describe aerosol water uptake. However, particle transfer among sections as a consequence of water uptake is forbidden. Model AOD was compared to radiometer observations capturing the diurnal cycle in sequence of a few days, although predicted AOD on a day after precipitation events is clearly too high.

In the present paper, an optical module is presented which works on top of the aerosol simulations from TCAM (Transport and Chemical Aerosol Model). TCAM is a multiphase three-dimensional Eulerian model. A peculiarity of the model is that aerosol particles are described by 10 granulometric
classes dynamically set by the model, allowing a detailed description of the chemical reactions affecting particle size [Carnevale et al. (2006)]. The domain of investigation is Po-Valley, in Italy. Northern Italy is a densely inhabited and industrialized area, where high anthropogenic emissions and frequent stagnating meteorological conditions regularly cause high PM10 levels. For the computation of AOD, an external mixing approach of the aerosol species has been chosen. Hygroscopicity is accounted for in a parametric way. For the sake of making an homogeneous comparison with satellite AOD products, a cloud mask is applied to the model outputs. At the AERONET site “Venise”, both model and satellite AOD are compared to the reference value from the photometers.

The paper contains a detailed description of the optical module for the computation of AOD from TCAM aerosol fields Sect. (2) and a case study, including comparisons to observations, which is presented in Sect. (3). A combined Discussion and Conclusions section is also provided Sect. (4).

2. TCAM

2.1. Aerosol Module

TCAM is a part of the Gas Aerosol Modeling Evaluation System (GAMES) [Volta and Finzi (2006)] which also includes the meteorological pre-processor PROMETEO and the emission processor POEM-PM [Carnevale et al. (2006)].

Within TCAM the chemical and physical dynamics of aerosol particles of different sizes is modeled. The aerosol module considers 10 size bins (usually ranging between 10nm and 30µm), whose bounds can vary during the simulation, according to the gas-to-particle and growth phenomena, and according to the concentration of each compound. This detailed description of the granulometric classes within TCAM is an important difference with respect to other CTMs.

The aerosol module implemented in TCAM is coupled with the CO-COH97 gas phase chemical mechanism. TCAM describes the most relevant aerosol processes: the condensation, the evaporation, the nucleation of H₂SO₄ and the aqueous oxidation of SO₂.

Water is assumed to be always in equilibrium between the gas and the aerosol phases, i.e., water activity of an aqueous aerosol is equal to the ambient RH. Thus, water is not a transported quantity: it just mirrors the meteorological input. This assumption is also made by other model developers ([de Meij et al. 2007; Wexler et al. 1994]).
More technical details about the model and its performances may be found in Carnevale et al. (2008).

2.2. Optical module

The optical module takes as an input the aerosol mass concentrations resulting from TCAM simulations and delivers the associated AOD. The approach is based on the computation of the extinction coefficient $\alpha$, from which AOD is obtained after integration over the optical path,

$$ AOD = \int_{h_1}^{h_{11}} \alpha(z) \, dz $$

(1)

Aerosol particles are considered as externally mixed. Thereafter, $\alpha$ results from linear superposition of the contributions $\alpha^{(s)}$ of different aerosol species. Furthermore, each of the $n_K$ model size classes has to be added up for obtaining $\alpha^{(s)}$. This leads to the double summation:

$$ \alpha = \sum_{s=1}^{n_S} \sum_{k=1}^{n_K} \alpha_k^{(s)} $$

(2)

Individual addends in the extinction coefficient are given by the number concentration times the extinction cross section Bohren and Huffman (1983),

$$ \alpha_k^{(s)} = N_k^{(s)} \sigma_{\text{ext}}^{(s)}(r_k) $$

(3)

The extinction cross section $\sigma_{\text{ext}}^{(s)}$ is the geometrical cross section of the particles (assumed to be spherical) multiplied by the extinction efficiency. The model concentrations are considered as related to homogeneous spheres of radius $r_k$. Thus, Mie’s extinction efficiency $Q_{\text{ext}}$ comes into play:

$$ \sigma_{\text{ext}}^{(s)}(r_k) = \pi r_k^2 Q_{\text{ext}}(r_k; \lambda = 550\text{nm}; m^{(s)}) $$

(4)

Here the dependence on the complex refractive index $m^{(s)} = n^{(s)} - i\kappa^{(s)}$ of specie $s$ is highlighted and the in-air light wavelength $\lambda$ is kept fixed. The extinction efficiency plays a crucial role in the calculation of AOD since, for $Re(m) < 2$, its value varies from exactly zero to about 6.
2.3. Effect of moisture

Some of the atmospheric aerosol species are hydrophilic: above a certain RH they are no more in the solid state but rather form an aqueous solution. Depending on chemical composition, there is either a continuous or an abrupt (deliquescence) transition to the aqueous solution [Seinfeld and Pandis (1998)]. As a result of water uptake, both particle density and refractive index are driven towards liquid water density and refractive index respectively. This affects particle optical properties twofold. On the one hand, the aerosol size distribution is shifted towards larger radii as a result of hygroscopic growth. On the other hand, the extinction efficiency curve as a function of radius $Q_{\text{ext}}(r)$ is modified. The position of its maximum is shifted towards a larger value because wet refractive index is generally lower than dry one. Which of either effects prevails, extinction enhancing or quenching, depends on the actual size of peak shift and hygroscopic growth.

TCAM dry particle radii $r_{k0}$ are rescaled by a RH-dependent factor,

$$r_k = r_{k0} \cdot \beta^{(s)}(RH)$$

Such wet radius $r_k$ is then employed in Eq. (4) for the computation of the extinction cross section. At this place it is worth noting that the aerosol number concentrations $N_k^{(s)}$ are obtained from dry radius $r_{k0}$:

$$N_k^{(s)} = M_k^{(s)} \left( \frac{\rho^{(s)} 4}{3 \pi r_{k0}^3} \right)^{-1}$$

with the mass densities $\rho^{(s)}$ as in Tab. 1. $N_k^{(s)}$ are defined on a 3-dimensional grid given by the cartesian product of a 2-dimensional horizontal grid with $n_H=11$ vertical layers of TCAM.

$\beta^{(s)}(RH)$ is taken to be a fit of Tang’s calculations [Tang (1996)], which are based on the single particle levitation technique measurements. In doing so, any hysteresis in the growth-evaporation cycle of hydrophilic particles is neglected. A single growth curved has been used also by other authors [Hodzic et al. (2004); Koelemeijer et al. (2006)].

As a second step for accounting for moisture, wet complex refractive index $m = n - i\kappa$ is computed from a weighted average of dry aerosol index $m_0$ and
liquid water index $m_w$, weights being the volume fractions in the mixture,

$$m(RH) = \frac{m_0 P_0 + m_w P_w}{P_0 + P_w}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)$$

$$P_0 = 1$$

$$P_w = [\beta(s)(RH)]^3 - 1$$

(The weights $P_0, w$ are expressed in units of dry particle volume). Volume weighting is chosen, being the simplest of three weighting procedures which are nearly equivalent when applied to the computation of refractive index Lesins et al. (2002).

A fair fit of the growth factor displayed in Tang (1996) for a group of inorganic compounds is the following function:

$$\beta(s)(RH) = 1 + \gamma(s) \left[ \frac{1}{2} RH + \frac{5}{2}(RH)^{12} \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)$$

Eq. (8) is used for $RH \leq 100\%$. In case of supersaturation, capping of hygroscopic effects is applied, i.e. $\beta(s)(RH > 100\%) = 1 + 3\gamma(s)$. The sole adjustable parameter in Eq. (8) is $\gamma(s)$, whose values are listed in Tab. I.

The hygroscopic properties of organic particles emitted during laboratory biomass burning experiments were studied in Petters et al. (2009). The resulting growth factor strongly depends on the investigated species. However, TCAM organic aerosols include alkanes, aromatic compounds, olefins, and cresol. None of them is hydrophilic, since they are not able to bind water or (case of cresol) since they are not well soluble. Thus, $\gamma(s) = 0$ for such species.

2.4. Cloud screening

MODIS retrieval are not allowed over cloudy or foggy pixels by the AOD algorithm Levy et al. (2007). Conversely, model AOD is available even in case of total cloud coverage. Thus, for making satellite and model AOD more directly comparable, a cloud mask is applied to model AOD too. The diagnostic variable Cloud Water Content (CWC) is employed, which is obtained from MM5 outputs. The screening protocol consists in requiring null CWC over the whole vertical profile. The protocol ensures that all cloud contaminated pixels are screened out from the model.
| compound | $\rho$ [g/cm$^3$] | n   | $\kappa$ | Ref.                      | $\gamma$ |
|---------|-----------------|-----|----------|---------------------------|---------|
| EC      | 1.80            | 1.95| 0.790    | Bond and Bergstrom (2006) | 0       |
| OC      | 1.50            | 1.53| 0.006    | Tsigaridis et al. (2008)  | 0       |
| sulfates| 1.60            | 1.53| 0.000    | de Meij et al. (2007)     | 1       |
| nitrates| 1.60            | 1.53| 0.000    | de Meij et al. (2007)     | .75     |
| ammonium| 1.60            | 1.53| 0.000    | de Meij et al. (2007)     | .75     |
| dust    | 2.65            | 1.50| 0.033    | Reddy et al. (2005)       | 0       |

Table 1: Mass density $\rho$, real $n$ and imaginary $\kappa$ part of dry refractive index (at wavelength $\lambda=550$ nm), hygroscopicity parameter $\gamma$ (see Eq. (8)), for each of the aerosol compounds considered in this work.

3. The case study

The method outlined in Sect. (2.2) has been applied to TCAM aerosol simulations from January 1 to December 31, 2004. The study area covers nearly whole Northern Italy, which is divided into 41x64 cells (10x10 km$^2$ resolution) In the following this area is also termed "QUITSAT domain".

Simulations have been performed getting initial and boundary conditions by a nesting procedure from the results of CHIMERE simulations over Europe Schmidt et al. (2001). The emission fields were estimated by POEM-PM pre-processor starting from the regional emission inventory collected by the ISPRA (Istituto Superiore per la Protezione e la Ricerca Ambientale). The point sources and area emissions have been chemically and temporally adjusted to TCAM requirements and have been derived by CTN-ACE Italian modeling intercomparison project. Meteorological fields have been created with MM5 model and adapted to TCAM through PROMETEO pre-processor.

Hourly aerosol concentrations from TCAM QUITSAT v.3 simulations have been employed as an input to the AOD computation. TCAM delivers the concentrations of 21 species, which have been aggregated into $n_S = 6$ chemical compounds. One of these is unbound or elemental carbon, "EC". Primary and secondary organic carbon species have been lumped into the "OC" class. Then, some inorganic electrolytes have been neglected for the sake of lighten AOD computation, since they exhibit very low concentrations in TCAM QUITSAT simulations: They include sea-salt ionic components Na$^+$ and Cl$^-$ (cp. de Meij et al. (2007)), aqueous phase SO$_2$(aq), H$_2$O$_2$(aq), O$_3$(aq), and protons H$^+$. Liquid water has not been considered as an aerosol.
species for its own. However, the role of water is accounted for through the 
parametrization of hygroscopic growth according to Eq. (8). Finally, one is 
left with the 6 aerosol compounds, as listed in Tab. 1.

3.1. Size classes

Each of the 6 chemical compounds is organized into \( n_K = 10 \) dimensional 
dimensional classes, whose size is different for each simulation pixel and for 
each time step, due to the specific fixed-moving algorithm for TCAM size 
distribution. In Fig. 1 domain mean size distributions averaged over time 
spans of one month are displayed. In these plots, the 6 chemical compounds 
are further lumped into an inorganic group (ammonium, nitrates, sulfates) 
and the remaining compounds (EC, OC, dust). Summer and winter typical 
situations are displayed. The 4 smallest dimensional classes (radii < 140 nm) 
are always the most populated in terms of number concentration \( N_k \). The size 
distribution of the inorganic group nearly follows a power-law distribution, 
while the distribution of the remaining compounds is peaked at a radius 
below 100 nm. This holds both in June and December, 2004. It is also 
seen that the smallest 3 classes are affected by the most significant changes 
between June and December, while the larger classes are rather insensitive to 
the choice of the month. The general trend is that larger radii are obtained 
in summer, independently of the chemical group. This behavior should be 
related to photo-induced aging of primary pollutants.

Mie’s extinction cross section \( \sigma_{ext}(r, \lambda = 550 \text{nm}, m) \) with \( m = 1.53 - 
i0 (1.95 - i0.79) \) for the inorganic(rest) group is also displayed in Fig. 1. It 
is obtained from a code by C. Mätzler (Universität Bern), publicly available 
on the web (www.iap.unibe.ch/publications/download/201/en/). The 
asympletic behaviors of Mie’s efficiency are exploited for lighten numerical 
computations. A look-up table of \( Q_{ext}(r, n, \kappa) \) for logarithmically spaced radii 
\( r \) and imaginary parts \( \kappa \) and for linearly spaced real parts of refractive index 
\( n \) is linearly interpolated at each model cell, at each size bin, at each time 
step. A look-up table approach to \( Q_{ext} \) is employed in the optical module of 
the WRF-chem model too [Fast et al. (2005)].

If the cross section \( \sigma_{ext}(r_k) \) gets multiplied by the aerosol number 
concentration \( N_k \), the class-resolved extinction coefficient \( \alpha_k \) is obtained. Since 
\( \sigma_{ext}(r_k) \) is (nearly) logarithmically increasing and \( N_k \) is logarithmically 
decreasing for particle class-radius \( r_k > 80 \text{nm} \), the product of both has got a 
maximum value at intermediate values. Thus, the most significant contributions 
to the total extinction coefficient result from particles whose radius is
Figure 1: TCAM number concentrations $N_K$ (crosses); extinction cross section $\sigma_{\text{ext}}(r_k)$ and its geometrical limit (lines); extinction coefficient components $\alpha_k$ (circles) for both dry (magenta) and wet (blue) case. Wet case is obtained considering RH=80%. Left panels: inorganic compounds (ammonium, nitrates, sulfates); Right panels: remaining compounds (EC, OC, dust). The remaining compounds are not hygroscopic, thus dry and wet curves overlay. For this group, the EC extinction cross section is displayed. Values in top (bottom) panels refer to the 3-dimensional domain average for the month June (December) 2004 considering times between 9:00 and 14:00 UTC.
below 0.5µm. Larger values of the extinction are obtained for the inorganic group, which is a consequence of larger population of this kind of aerosols according to TCAM.

In Sect. (2.3) it has been mentioned that, in general, moisture may lead to both extinction enhancing or quenching. In Fig. 1 it is seen that in the actual case moisture results in enhanced extinction coefficient for every dimensional class. This is due to the fact that most of the aerosol population is submicron sized.

3.2. Vertical structure

The temporal evolution of the vertical resolved extinction coefficient $\alpha(z)$ is displayed in Fig. 2 for the mean day in June 2004. Data refer to the domain cells which are next to Ispra and Venise AERONET sites respectively. Largest $\alpha(z)$ values are obtained at heights $z < 500$ m. For the highest layers, the extinction is about $1/10$ of the maximum value assumed in each vertical profile. This means that the vertical modeling within TCAM is able to capture most of the optical extinction responsible for AOD (June usually is the month during which the insulation and thus the boundary layer height reach their maximum). In any case, $\alpha(z = h_{11})$ values for TCAM QUITSAT simulations are quite low and are comparable to the extinction resulting from molecular scattering in a clean atmosphere ($\sim 1.3 \times 10^{-5}$ m$^{-1}$, Seinfeld and Pandis (1998)).
Figure 3: AOD maps for June 10, 2004 over the QUITSAT domain. Top-left panel: MODIS product “Corrected Optical Depth Land And Ocean” from both Terra and Aqua overpasses; Top-right panel: TCAM AOD averaged over the times corresponding to the satellite overpasses; Bottom-right: TCAM AOD accounting for moisture; Bottom-left: TCAM AOD accounting for moisture and for mask. Notice the vertical scale in MODIS AOD panels.

3.3. Comparisons of spatial patterns

According to Eq. (1), TCAM AOD is obtained from integration of the vertical profiles of the extinction coefficient. Additionally, AOD can be obtained from satellite observations too. MODIS product ”Corrected Optical Depth Land” is considered in the following, which is the quality reference for quantitative studies [Levy et al. (2007)]. This product is available at the wavelength of 550 nm. Data from both Terra and Aqua satellite are considered. Daily mean AOD maps are obtained upon averaging over all scenes having finite spatial overlap with the QUITSAT domain.

In the first panel of Fig. 3 such a map is shown for the day June 10, 2004.
This is a cloud-free day for most of the domain pixels, with exception of the alpine region and the western part of Tusco-Emilian Apennine. A strong aerosol signal arises from the eastern part of the domain, with AOD values close to 1 over Veneto and Friuli. Also, AOD ≈ 0.4 is observed southern of the western Alps, which is nearly double as the value in the rest of western Po-Valley. Corresponding model AOD can be obtained averaging over all model maps whose simulation time corresponds within 30 minutes to the time of satellite overpasses. The results of this procedure are shown in the top-right panel of Fig. 3. In contrast to satellite map, model map is defined for each domain cell. Its main feature is a hot spot extending from southern part of Veneto to eastern part of Emilia-Romagna. Then, an AOD arc southern of western Alps is present. AOD values are a factor of 2-5 lower than in the satellite map. However, the above described qualitative features of the map are present both in model and observations.

The model output considered so far does not account for moisture. Its effect is computed as in Sect. (2.3) and can be appreciated in TCAM map in the bottom-right panel of Fig. 3. It is clearly seen that moisture leads in this case to AOD increase, which is now nearly double with respect to the dry map. The importance of moisture for haze over Po-Valley has been already highlighted by de Meij et al. (2007). Furthermore, quite high AOD values on western Alps and Apennines cells are found. The origin of these high values should be related to Cloud Optical Depth. Indeed, in the bottom-left panel of Fig. 3, where cloud masking procedure as in Sect. (2.4) is applied, many of these pixels are absent.

Finally, it is interesting to compare monthly mean AOD maps from MODIS and TCAM. In Fig. 4 the months June and December 2004 are considered. Within this figure, TCAM maps account for both moisture and cloud mask. In June, while satellite AOD is a factor about 2 larger than model AOD, several spatial patterns are recognized in both maps: the eastern Po-Valley maximum, the Alps and Apennines minima, the local maximum over the Gulf of Genoa, the cloud coverage over western and central Alps. In December, AOD values are lower than in June and the departures between satellite and model are smaller. However, the number of missing values in satellite pictures is much larger than in model computation. This could be due to two reasons: i) snow coverage over Alps; ii) fog in the Po Valley. While model AOD is delivered in both cases, both meteorological situations lead to failure of the MODIS retrieval algorithm.
Figure 4: AOD mean maps for June (upper row) and December (lower row), 2004 over the QUITSAT domain. Left panels: MODIS product “Corrected Optical Depth Land And Ocean” from both Terra and Aqua overpasses; Right panels: TCAM AOD averaged over the times corresponding to the satellite overpasses accounting for moisture and cloud mask. Notice variable color scales.
Comparisons of spatial maps of AOD from satellite and AOD from the model can be useful for checking the ability of the model to reproduce spatial patterns. However, satellite AOD itself is affected by significant uncertainty: Minimum relative uncertainty on AOD is as large as 15% [Levy et al. (2007)]. Thus, satellite information alone can not be used as a benchmark of model optical performance. The global network of sun-sky photometers AERONET is often used as a standard reference for AOD measurements [Dubovik et al. (2000)]. There are 4 AERONET sites within Po-Valley, namely: Ispra, Modena, ISDGM-CNR, and Venise. Version 2, level 2.0 AOD values at 870nm and 440nm from these sites have been used for estimating AERONET AOD at 550 nm. Angström power law has been assumed to hold in this spectral range, as it is done in [Hodzic et al. (2004)].

Comparisons of spatial maps of AOD from satellite and AOD from the model can be useful for checking the ability of the model to reproduce spatial patterns. However, satellite AOD itself is affected by significant uncertainty: Minimum relative uncertainty on AOD is as large as 15% [Levy et al. (2007)]. Thus, satellite information alone can not be used as a benchmark of model optical performance. The global network of sun-sky photometers AERONET is often used as a standard reference for AOD measurements [Dubovik et al. (2000)]. There are 4 AERONET sites within Po-Valley, namely: Ispra, Modena, ISDGM-CNR, and Venise. Version 2, level 2.0 AOD values at 870nm and 440nm from these sites have been used for estimating AERONET AOD at 550 nm. Angström power law has been assumed to hold in this spectral range, as it is done in [Hodzic et al. (2004)].

Comparisons of AOD daily mean values at one of the Po-Valley AERONET sites are presented in Fig. 5. Here, daily mean values correspond to an average over the times (within 60 min.) of both Terra and Aqua satellite overpasses. The Venise site has been selected for its representativeness: it is located at the east end of Po-Valley over an offshore oceanographic tower. Instead, both Ispra and Modena sites are within a few tenth km from mountains. Finally, ISDGM-CNR photometer is located within the city of Venice and is possibly affected by local pollution sources.

In Fig. 5 both whole-2004 monthly-averaged timeseries and zooms on the month of June 2004 are shown. Satellite and AERONET information is identical in the three rows of the Figure. First of all, one notices that there is a fair agreement between MODIS and AERONET AOD, with a maximum
Figure 5: AOD timeseries at the QUITSAT cell next to AERONET site "Venise". Left panels refer to whole year 2004 while right panels are zooms on the month June 2004. Red lines for AERONET AOD, blue crosses for MODIS AOD, and green circles for TCAM AOD. First row: dry; Second row: wet; Third row: wet with cloud mask.
monthly value of about 0.4 in October. For such a high AOD, MODIS expected accuracy is better than 30% \cite{Levy2007}.

Passing to model outputs, in the first row, timeseries of TCAM dry AOD are considered. Their values are systematically lower than both satellite and AERONET AOD by nearly an order of magnitude. The June-zoom shows however that the model follows the same trend of AERONET in the first half of the month: in particular, a seemingly exponential build-up of AOD is observed from June 6 to June 10. This time interval corresponds to stable weather conditions after rains which have interested Po-Valley on the first days of the month.

In the second row of Fig.3, TCAM wet AOD is displayed. There is an impressive model AOD increase with respect to the dry case. In correspondence of winter months, the model delivers AOD values which are even higher than AERONET ones. Furthermore, minimum values of TCAM AOD are now much closer to observations.

In the third row, the cloud masking mechanism illustrated in Sect. \ref{sect:2.4} has been employed. As a consequence, model AOD is nearly halved for the first part of year 2004 and the number of June AOD overestimations is reduced. The October peak is very well reproduced by both AERONET, MODIS, and TCAM.

4. Conclusions

An optical module for chemistry transport model TCAM has been developed and resulting AOD has been compared to observations over the Po-Valley (Italy) during year 2004.

The optical module takes aerosol concentrations as an input and delivers AOD fields as an output. Main inorganics (nitrates, ammonium, sulfates) and organic chemical compounds are considered. Within TCAM, a detailed description of the aerosol size distribution is achieved through 10 size bins, whose position and size can vary during simulations. For the computation of AOD, aerosol particles are modeled as homogeneous externally mixed spheres and the effect of moisture is accounted for through a parametrization.

Thanks to the detailed representation of granulometric classes implemented into TCAM, it is found that the largest contribution to AOD arises from inorganic compounds in the sub-micrometric range. This is fully consistent with comparable literature: Hodzic et. al. too found that over 89% of AOD arises from the accumulation mode \cite{Hodzic2004}; Furthermore,
in the WRF-chem modeling exercise, 6 out of 8 size bins where centered on sub-micron values of the aerosol radius Chapman et al. (2009).

TCAM AOD has been compared to both MODIS AOD operational product and to AERONET AOD. The model is able to capture main spatial and temporal features of the observations. However, dry model output is up to one order of magnitude smaller than observations. Quantitative agreement is significantly improved by the parametrization scheme used for dealing with moisture.

With respect to the issue of quantitative agreement between model and observations, one should keep in mind that the final purpose of AOD computation from TCAM aerosol concentration is to able to assimilate satellite AOD into TCAM. Departures between satellite and model AOD represent the actual information which can hopefully improve model performance in terms of aerosol concentration fields. However, it is important to stress at this place that even satellite AOD data are affected by significant uncertainties. MODIS retrieval algorithm over land implies a relative uncertainty on AOD between 15% and 100%, increasing for lower values of AOD Levy et al. (2007). Thus, an assimilation procedure to come has to account for errors of both model and satellite AOD in a proper way. To the extent of the computation of the optical properties, it is important that the physical features of the chemical and transport model are accounted for faithfully. These features include both the microphysical aerosol parameters (cp. Tab.), the modeling of the extinction coefficient (cp. Eq. (3)), and the parametrization of moisture (cp. Eq. (3)). The best possible implementation of these issues leads to the ”true” model AOD and corresponding ”true” departures between satellite and model AOD. In no case, except the case of accidental agreement, such true departures can be null, since the model is known to fail in satisfactory reproduction of observed PM10 data. Assimilation of such true departures into the model will lead to consistent corrections to the model fields.

The optical module can be used for data assimilation of any satellite AOD into TCAM. In particular, the present results suggest that this operation can be meaningful already at mesoscale and not only at global and regional scale as already tested in the literature. This is in the spirit of QUTSAT project, whose aim is to bring together model outputs and observations for a better evaluation of air-quality related variables.
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