Abstract: In the current work, an effort is made to study the influence of injection timing (IT) and injection duration (ID) of manifold injected fuels (MIF) in the reactivity controlled compression ignition (RCCI) engine. Compressed natural gas (CNG) and compressed biogas (CBG) are used as the MIF along with diesel and blends of Thevetia Peruviana methyl ester (TPME) are used as the direct injected fuels (DIF). The ITs of the MIF that were studied includes 45° ATDC, 50° ATDC, and 55° ATDC. Also, present study includes impact of various IDs of the MIF such as 3, 6, and 9 ms on RCCI mode of combustion. The complete experimental work is conducted at 75% of rated power. The results show that among the different ITs studied, the D+CNG mixture exhibits higher brake thermal efficiency (BTE), about 29.32% is observed at 50° ATDC IT, which is about 1.77, 3.58, 5.56, 7.51, and 8.54% higher than D+CBG, B20+CNG, B20+CBG, B100+CNG, and B100+CBG fuel combinations. The highest BTE, about 30.25%, is found for the D+CNG fuel combination at 6 ms ID, which is about 1.69, 3.48, 5.32%, 7.24, and 9.16% higher as compared with the D+CBG, B20+CNG, B20+CBG, B100+CNG, and B100+CBG fuel combinations. At all ITs and IDs, higher emissions of nitric oxide (NOx) along with lower emissions of smoke, carbon monoxide (CO), and hydrocarbon (HC) are found for D+CNG mixture as related to other fuel mixtures. At all ITs and IDs, D+CNG gives higher In-cylinder pressure (ICP) and heat release rate (HRR) as compared with other fuel combinations.
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Peruviana oil has been carried out successfully. The measured properties of TPME are closely related to diesel [15]. Among the different engine parameters studied, 230 bar IP, 26° BTDC IT, and a 5-hole nozzle yield better performance in terms of performance and emission characteristics [16]. TPME B20 fuel blend results in lower BTE and NOx emissions in comparison with Karanja B20 and Jatropha B20 fuels [17]. TPME B20 and TPME B100 fuels result in lower BTE, NOx emissions, ICP, and HRR values along with higher HC, CO, and smoke emissions in comparison with diesel [18–21].

Extremely premixed CI engine techniques have been recommended as developing engine technologies from various researchers to reduce heterogeneous combustion [22–24]. Most of the techniques are lumped into the low temperature combustion (LTC) category [25–27], where the LTC technique inhibits the formation of NOx emissions and a longer ignition delay period provides ample time for improved mixing leading to the minor formation of soot emissions. The LTC technique contains RCCI, homogeneous charge compression ignition (HCCI), and partially premixed compression ignition (PPCI) concepts [28]. In order to avoid the drawbacks of HCCI and PPCI techniques, the RCCI combustion technique has been presented [29,30]. Optimal RCCI-series hybrid vehicle structure was compared to conventional diesel combustion and dual fuel mode of combustion approaches, approving its potential as a future vehicle design for higher efficiency and lower emissions [31]. RCCI combustion provides more improvement in fuel economy in the case of more aggressive driving cycles in comparison with less aggressive driving cycles [32]. The utilization of syngas resulted in more soot, CO, and HC engine-out emissions in comparison with simulated syngas. More amount of NOx emissions were decreased when the engine is operating with syngas. The engine could undergo reduced combustion and misfire at lower loads due to the existence of nitrogen in the mixture [33].

Biogas can be easily considered one of the cheapest renewable fuels used in internal combustion engines due to many resources, and the method of biogas preparation results in various components and percentages of methane production. To govern the combustion process in internal combustion engines is very difficult because of these alterations [34]. RCCI strategy operated with 75% of its rated power gives greater BTE and NOx emissions along with fewer CO, HC, and smoke emissions [35]. Use of the tested fuels and injection of biogas at the intake valve has the capability of reducing the CO and HC engine-out emissions by about 20.33% and 10%, respectively, as related to the conventional premixed mode [36]. The engine operated under dual fuel stably worked when diesel was switched by 40% biogas engine results in the increase of HC and CO in the exhaust gases [37].

CNG is the highly promising low reactive fuel in internal combustion engines due to its high octane number and plenty of available resources. The CNG ignition with the help of high reactive fuels is considered the most efficient method to satisfy low NOx and soot emissions [38]. Usage of natural gas (NG) in diesel engines can be expected to grow more in the upcoming years due to the strict guidelines on liquid fuel operated engines and the development of newer techniques in fueling systems along with combustion mechanisms [39]. CNG share increasing from 0 to 90% increased the NOx emissions of dual fuel engines. Fuel with 30% and 45% CNG energy shares contributed to the decrease of HC emissions compared to diesel fuel, which increased beyond those values. Increasing the share of CNG gas with diesel fuel reduced CO₂ emissions [40].

The wide literature survey exposed that research for the usage of CNG and CBG as the MIF along with diesel, TPME B20, and TPME B100 as the DIF in RCCI mode of combustion by varying ITs and IDs of the MIF has not been carried out. Hence, the main objective of the current investigation is to study the performance, emissions, and combustion characteristics of an RCCI engine powered with CNG and CBG as the MIF along with diesel, TPME B20, and TPME B100 as the DIF in RCCI mode of combustion by varying ITs and IDs of the MIF.
2. Materials and Methods

Fuel Used

In the present study, different categories of fuels were used. These fuels are distinguished as MIF and DIF. The MIF consists of CNG and CBG. The diesel, TPME B20, and TPME B100 fuels are used as DIF. The source of biogas includes agricultural manure and energy crops. The different physical properties of experimental fuels are presented in Tables 1–3, respectively.

Table 1. Properties of liquid fuels.

| Fuels/Properties       | Diesel       | TPME B20    | TPME B100   |
|------------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|
| Specific gravity       | 0.829        | 0.839       | 0.892       |
| Kinematic viscosity (mm²/s) | 3.52        | 3.96        | 5.748       |
| Flash point (°C)       | 53           | 77          | 178         |
| Calorific value (CV) (MJ/kg) | 42.19       | 41.45       | 39.46       |

Table 2. Properties of gaseous fuels [41].

| Properties                | Natural Gas | Biogas |
|---------------------------|-------------|--------|
| Cetane number             | -           | -      |
| Octane number             | >120        | 130    |
| Lower heating value (MJ/kg) | 50.0        | 19.1   |
| Auto-ignition temperature (°C) | 650        | 600–650 |
| Stoichiometric air–fuel ratio | 17.2        | 6.17   |
| Carbon content (%)        | 75          | -      |
| Flammability limits (vol.% in air) | 5–15       | 7.5–14 |

Table 3. Engine specifications.

| Engine Parameters       | Specifications       |
|-------------------------|----------------------|
| Engine                  | TV1 Kirloskar        |
| Cylinders               | 1                    |
| Software                | Engine soft          |
| Strokes                 | 4                    |
| Compression ratio       | 17.5:1               |
| Cylinder bore (mm)      | 87.5                 |
| Stroke (mm)             | 110                  |
| Combustion chamber      | Toroidal             |
| Dynamometer             | Eddy current         |
| Engine rated power (kW) | 5.2                  |
| Direct injection pressure (bar) | 900     |
| Manifold injection pressure (bar) | 5       |

3. Experimental Setup

The complete experimental tests were carried out on a Kirloskar TV1 CRDI engine. The test engine was operated at 1500 rpm. An initial test was conducted with an injection of diesel to get baseline data. The existing engine was revised to RCCI mode by altering essential arrangements. During suction stroke, MIF such as CNG and CBG were injected at
5 bar IP into the inlet manifold so that these fuels were well mixed with suction air and well distributed in the cylinder. At compression stroke DIF as diesel, TPME B20, and TPME B100 were injected directly in the cylinder at 900 bar IP. Hence, there was the development of fuel reactivity inside the cylinder. The MIF is injected in the cylinder at different ITs such as 45° ATDC, 50° ATDC, and 55° ATDC. The constant ID of 3 ms of MIF is maintained to carry out the study of optimization of IT. Then, MIF are injected at different IDs such as 3, 6, and 9 ms. The optimized IT of 50° ATDC of MIF is maintained to carry out the study of optimization of ID. The complete experiments are carried out at constant IT of 10° BTDC of DIF, 40% gaseous fuels energy share (GFES), and 75% of rated power. An optimum value of GFES of 40% is arrived at by considering overall performance parameters from exhaustive experimental results. Hence, 40% GFES is kept constant for the current study. At 75% load condition, the effect of ID (varied from 3 to 9 ms) on RCCI performance is determined, keeping the energy ratio constant for the fuel combinations considered. To study the impact of ID on RCCI combustion, it is varied from 3 to 9 ms, in steps of 3 ms. As the ID increase from 3 to 9 ms, the gas flow rates also increase. Further, to achieve a constant GFES of 40% at the three IDs considered, the quantity of direct injected pilot fuels was changed accordingly for the engine operation at 75% load. The pilot fuel quantity is set using closed loop fuel mass set point (FMSP) with PID controlled fuel mass limit control factor.

The test engine is shown in Figure 1. Specifications of the experimental engine are provided in Table 4.
Brake thermal efficiency (BTE) expressed in percentage is calculated by the following equation:

$$\text{BTE} = \frac{\text{BP}}{(m_f \times CV)_{\text{LRF}} + (m_f \times CV)_{\text{HRF}}}$$

where,

- $\text{BP} = \text{Brake power, kW}$
- $(m_f \times CV)_{\text{LRF}} = \text{Energy supplied by low reactive fuels, kW}$
- $(m_f \times CV)_{\text{HRF}} = \text{Energy supplied by high reactive fuels, kW}$

Further, gaseous fuels energy share (GFES) for RCCI mode of combustion is defined as the ratio of energy supplied by the low reactive fuels to the summation of energy supplied from low reactive fuels and high reactive fuels. It is expressed in terms of percentage and is determined by the following equation:

$$\text{GFES} = \frac{(m_f \times CV)_{\text{LRF}}}{(m_f \times CV)_{\text{LRF}} + (m_f \times CV)_{\text{HRF}}}$$

HC and CO emissions are measured with the help of an exhaust gas analyzer. The detailed information about the exhaust gas analyzer is given in Table 4.

Smoke emissions are measured by a Hartridge smoke meter. The detailed information about the smoke meter is given in Table 5.

### Table 4. Specifications of exhaust gas analyzer.

| Type               | Delta 1600S                  |
|--------------------|------------------------------|
| Object of measurement | Carbon monoxide (CO) and hydrocarbons (HC) |
| Warm up time       | 10 min (self-controlled) at 20 °C |
| Accuracy           | ±2% relative                |
| Speed of response time | Within 15 s for 90% response |
| Sampling           | Directly sampled from tail pipe |
| Power source       | 100–240 V AC/50 Hz          |
| Weight             | 800 g                       |
| Size               | 100 mm × 210 mm × 50 mm      |

### Table 5. Specifications of smoke meter.

| Type               | Hartridge Smoke Meter                  |
|--------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Object of measurement | Smoke                                |
| Measuring range opacity | 0–100%                               |
| Accuracy           | ±2% relative                           |
| Resolution         | 0.1%                                   |
| Smoke length       | 0.43 m                                 |
| Ambient temperature range | −5 °C to +45 °C                        |
| Warm up time       | 10 min (self-controlled) at 20 °C      |
| Speed of response time | Within 15 s for 90% response          |
| Sampling           | Directly sampled from tail pipe        |
| Power supply       | 100–240 V AC/50 Hz                     |
|                     | 10–16 V DC @15 amps                   |
| Size               | 100 mm × 210 mm × 50 mm                |
For the combustion parameters analysis, 100 cycles of pressure-crank angle history were recorded. In order to minimize the errors of measurements, ten readings are recorded under steady-state engine operating conditions and averaged out results are only presented for the results and analysis.

HRR of the fuel causes a variation of cylinder gas pressure and temperature within the engine combustion chamber. It strongly affects fuel economy, brake power, and engine-out emissions. It provides an insight into the fuel combustion process. Calculation of HRR is very important, especially for engine research. A computer program was developed to obtain the HRR. The HRR was calculated by using a first law analysis of the average cylinder gas pressure versus crank angle variation obtained by using Equation (1) [42].

\[
Q_{\text{app}} = \left( \frac{\gamma}{\gamma - 1} \right) p \, dv + \left( \frac{1}{\gamma - 1} \right) v \, dp + Q_{\text{wall}}
\]

where,
- \(Q_{\text{app}}\) — Apparent heat release rate (J)
- \(\gamma\) — Ratio of specific heats \(\left( \frac{C_p}{C_p - R} \right)\)
- \(R\) — Gas constant (J/kmol-k)
- \(C_p\) — Specific heat at constant pressure (J/kmol-k)
- \(V\) — Instantaneous volume of the cylinder (m³)
- \(P\) — Cylinder pressure (bar)
- \(Q_{\text{wall}}\) — Heat transfer to the wall (J)

For this calculation, cylinder gas was assumed to behave as an ideal gas (air) with specific heats being dependent on temperature. The specific heat was found using Equation (2).

\[
C_p = \left( 3.6359 - \frac{1.33736 \, T}{1000} + \frac{3.29421 \, T^2}{1 \times 10^6} - \frac{-1.91142 \, T^3}{1 \times 10^9} + \frac{0.275462 \, T^4}{1 \times 10^{12}} \right) R
\]

For \(T < 1000\) °K

\[
C_p = \left( 3.04473 + \frac{1.338056 \, T}{1000} - \frac{0.488256 \, T^2}{1 \times 10^6} + \frac{0.0855475 \, T^3}{1 \times 10^9} - \frac{0.00570127 \, T^4}{1 \times 10^{12}} \right) R
\]

For \(T > 1000\) °K

Heat transferred to the wall was determined with the Hohenberg Equation (4) [43] and assuming the wall temperature to be 450 °C [42].

\[
Q_{\text{wall}} = h \times A \times (T_g - T_w)
\]

\[
h = C_1 \times V^{-0.06} \times P^{0.8} \times T^{-0.4} \times (V_p + C_2)^{0.8}
\]

where,
- \(h\) — Heat transfer coefficient (W/m²K)
- \(C_1\) and \(C_2\) — Constants, 130 and 1.4
- \(V\) — Cylinder volume (m³)
- \(P\) — Cylinder pressure (bar)
- \(T\) — Cylinder gas temperature (K)
- \(V_p\) — Piston mean speed (m/s)
- \(A\) — Instantaneous area (m²)

Positive and negative values of the HRR before 350° and after 390° CA could be due to the differences in the delay period associated with varied properties of the fuel combinations used. Further, the quantity of fuel participating in the premixed and diffusion combustion phase results in the variation of the magnitudes of the HRR values.
4. Results and Discussions

This section represents the combustion, emission, and performance characteristics of RCCI combustion operated with CNG and CBG as the MIF and diesel, TPME B20, and TPME B100 fuels as the DIF by varying ITs and IDs of MIF.

4.1. Analysis of Uncertainty

The error analysis of experimental data is calculated by using systematic calculations. The overall uncertainty is calculated using Equation (6).

\[
\frac{U_y}{y} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{1}{y} \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_i} \right)^2}
\]

where

- \( y \)—Specific factor which depends on the parameter \( x_i \)
- \( U_y \)—Level of uncertainties or variation in \( y \)

Overall uncertainty = \[ \sqrt{\text{Uncertainty} \times \text{(Engine speed}}^2 + \text{CO emission}^2 + \text{NOx emission}^2 + \text{HC emission}^2 + \text{Brake thermal efficiency}^2 + \text{Smoke emission}^2} = \pm 1.48 \]

4.2. Effect of Manifold IT on the Performance of RCCI Combustion

In this segment, the influence of manifold ITs on the combustion, emission, and performance characteristics of RCCI combustion powered with CNG and CBG as MIF and diesel, TPME B20, and TPME B100 as DIF. The MIF are injected at different ITs such as 45° ATDC, 50° ATDC, and 55° ATDC. The DIF are injected at constant IT of 10° BTDC. In this work, a constant 40% gaseous fuel energy share is utilized to conduct the experiments. The constant ID of 3 ms of manifold injected fuels is maintained to carryout the study.

Figure 2 shows BTE variation with IT. As the IT increases from 45° ATDC to 50° ATDC the BTE also increases. The growth in BTE at advanced IT is due to enhanced combustion because of adequate time for improved mixing of fuel and air, resulting in higher premixed combustion. The retarded IT results in lower BTE because of less time available for the mixing of fuel and air. Again, as the IT increases from 50° ATDC to 55° ATDC the BTE decreases. At an IT of 55° ATDC, it may be noted that even though there is adequate time available for better mixing of fuel and air however the momentum with which the fuel particles accumulate inside the combustion chamber is more. This, in turn, results in improper combustion, which further leads to more amount of unburnt HC and CO emissions along with a reduction of BTE at an IT of 55° ATDC.

Maximum BTE 29.32% is observed for D+CNG mixture at 50° ATDC IT which is about 1.77, 3.58, 5.56, 7.51, and 8.54% higher than D+CBG, B20+CNG, B20+CBG, B100+CNG and B100+CBG fuel combinations. CNG exhibits more BTE related to CBG. Lower reactivity of CBG results in deficient combustion so that BTE for CBG is lower. RCCI combustion operated with TPME showed minimum BTE as related to pure diesel. Due to the higher viscosity and lower calorific value of TPME, it exhibits improper combustion and exhibits lower BTE at all ITs.

Figure 3 shows HC variation with IT. Among various ITs of MIF studied 50° ATDC gives fewer HC emissions. There is enough amount of accessibility of oxygen for better combustion of fuel so that it exhibits lower discharge of HC emissions. D+CNG mixture leads to less quantity of HC emissions. Proper ignition of CNG by diesel increases the BTE and decreases the emissions of HC. TPME powered RCCI combustion exhibits more number of HC emissions. The clumsy structure of TPME molecules yields a loss of fuel particles due to excessive wall impingement and hence HC emissions are lower for TPME.
Figure 2. Variation of BTE with IT.

Figure 3. Variation of HC emissions with IT.
Figure 4 presents CO variation with IT. Lower emissions of CO are observed at 50° ATDC IT as compared with 45° ATDC and 55° ATDC. This is due to, at 50° ATDC IT, there is sufficient time for available oxygen to contact with the fuel particles so that proper burning of the fuel particles is formed that yields a fewer amount of CO emissions. D+CNG fuel mixture exhibits less number CO emissions as compared with other fuel mixtures. RCCI engine powered with pure diesel results in less CO emissions as related with TPME. This is because diesel has greater calorific value and leads to stratified combustion so that emissions of CO are less.

![Manifold injection duration - 3 ms](image)

**Figure 4.** Variation of CO emissions with IT.

Figure 5 shows NOx variation with IT. Among various, ITs studied 50° ATDC gives higher NOx emissions as compared with 45° ATDC and 55° ATDC. At 50° ATDC IT BTE of the RCCI engine operated with any type of fuel combination is increased, which means higher HRR inside the cylinder, which in turn increases the NOx emissions. For the D+CNG mixture, higher emissions of NOx are observed. The formation of rich combustion as CNG fuel injected as the manifold injected fuel with diesel as the direct injected fuel. Fewer emissions of NOx are observed for TPME operation. This is due to the lean mixture formation inside the cylinder when biodiesel is injected as pilot fuel.

Figure 6 shows smoke variation with IT. For RCCI combustion mode, very low levels of smoke emissions are obtained. IT of 50° ATDC exhibits a fewer amount of smoke as related to 45° ATDC and 55° ATDC. There is a formation of a homogeneous mixture of fuel and air at 50° ATDC IT could be the reason for lower smoke emissions. D+CNG results from less quantity of smoke than other fuel mixtures. Stratified combustion takes place when CNG is injected into the cylinder as low reactive fuel along with diesel as high reactive fuel, which is injected as pilot fuel. TPME operated RCCI combustion showed more quantity of smoke than diesel. Poor combustion characteristics of TPME injected RCCI combustion exhibits a large quantity of smoke emissions.
Figure 5. Variation of NOx emissions with IT.

Figure 6. Variation of Smoke emissions with IT.

Figure 7 shows ICP variation with fuel combination at different IT. The highest ICP values are taken to draw the graphs. At all ITs higher ICP is obtained for D+CNG fuel
combination. Higher reactivity inside the combustion chamber when CNG is injected as LRF along with diesel is injected as HRF. Due to this reactivity, a high temperature of combustion gas is released, which in turn results in higher ICP. The engine fueled with biodiesel shows a lower rate of ICP rise as compared with diesel. Non-homogeneous mixture formation of biodiesel along with manifold injected fuels leads to lean combustion, and hence the rate of ICP rise decreases for biodiesel.

Figure 7. Variation of ICP with fuel combination at different ITs. (a) Variation of ICP with fuel combination for diesel as DIF. (b) Variation of ICP with fuel combination for B100 as DIF.

Figure 8 HRR variation with CA at different ITs. Among various fuel combinations, D+CNG shows higher HRR as related to other combinations of fuels. Uniform and stratified combustion due to highly premixed CNG burns effectively when diesel injected as pilot injected fuel, so that the amount of energy generated is more inside the cylinder, resulting in higher HRR for D+CNG fuel combination. The engine fueled with CBG results in lower HRR as compared with CNG. This is due to, lower reactivity of combustible mixture when CBG is added into any pilot fuel. The biodiesel fueled RCCI engine showed lower HRR as compared with diesel. The biodiesel fueled engine shows large excessive wall impingement and hence the HRR for biodiesel is lower.

Figure 8. Variation of HRR with crank angle (CA) at different ITs. (a). Variation of HRR with CA for diesel as DIF. (b). Variation of HRR with CA for B100 as DIF.
4.3. Effect of Manifold ID

The effect of manifold ID on the combustion, emission, and performance characteristics of RCCI combustion operated by CNG and CBG as MIF and diesel, TPME B20, and TPME B100 blends as DIF is discussed in this section. The MIF is injected at different IDs such as 3, 6, and 9 ms. The DIF is injected at a constant IT of 10° BTDC. In this work, a constant 40% gaseous fuel energy share is utilized to conduct the experiments. The constant IT of 50° ATDC of MIF is maintained to carryout the study.

Figure 9 shows BTE variation with ID. Among various IDs 6 ms gives better performance in terms of BTE. Though in dual-fuel combustion, the ignition of the pilot fuel gets retarded by the premixed fuel-air mixture formation. In contrast to this, as the engine is operated in RCCI mode with different fuel combinations of low and high reactive fuels, the observed trends are different compared to dual-fuel operation. Accordingly, as the ID increases from 3 to 6 ms, there is sufficient availability of fuel and air to form a stratified mixture that gets easily ignited by the pilot fuel. Due to this BTE increased for 6 ms ID. As the ID increased from 6 to 9 ms the decrease of BTE is observed. A large quantity of fuel particles collected inside the cylinder when ID increased from 6 to 9 ms, which leads to improper combustion, and hence BTE decreased. The highest BTE, about 30.25%, is found for D+CNG fuel combination at 6 ms ID which is about 1.69, 3.48, 5.32, 7.24, and 9.16% higher as compared with D+CBG, B20+CNG, B20+CBG, B100+CNG, and B100+CBG fuel combinations. RCCI combustion with biodiesel shows lower BTE as related to pure diesel. The calorific value of the biodiesel is less, which results in lower energy generated inside the chamber and hence BTE for biodiesel fueled RCCI engine is lower.
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Figure 9. Variation of BTE with ID.

Figure 10 shows HC variation with ID. The lowest HC emissions are observed at 6 ms ID as compared with other manifold IDs. Proper reactivity gradient is generated inside the combustion chamber when ID increased from 3 to 6 ms due to that HC emissions are lower for 6 ms ID. The D+CNG results in fewer HC emissions for various combinations of fuels tested. There is a formation of a rich mixture when CNG ignites with the help of diesel. For this reason, emissions of HC are lower for the D+CNG mixture. CBG as low reactive fuel shows a higher rate of HC as compared with CNG since low reactive fuel. CBG exhibits poor ignition quality compared with CNG, and hence HC emissions are higher for CBG as low reactive fuel. The TPME fueled engine shows a higher quantity of HC emissions.
Greater viscosity and density of TPME result in improper atomization of fuel particles and hence emissions of HC are more for the TPME fueled RCCI engine.

![Graph showing HC emissions variation with ID](image)

**Figure 10.** Variation of HC emissions with ID.

Figure 11 shows CO variation with ID. Among the various manifold IDs studied, 6 ms ID provides fewer emissions of CO as related to 3 and 9 ms ID. Efficient and stratified combustion of low reactive fuel and high reactive fuel inside the chamber at 6 ms ID leads to lower CO emissions. From various fuel mixtures studied, D+CNG results in less CO emissions. CNG as premixed fuel distributed evenly in the cylinder and properly ignited by diesel due to that emission of CO are less for D+CNG fuel combination. Biodiesel exhibit higher emissions of CO as compared to diesel. The molecular arrangement of TPME is heavier hence undergoes improper combustion which results in higher CO emissions for biodiesel.

Figure 12 shows NOx variation with ID. The 6 ms ID results in a higher rate of NOx emissions as related to different IDs. At 6 ms ID, higher BTE is obtained, which means there is efficient combustion that leads to greater combustion temperature so that NOx emissions are high at 6 ms ID. The D+CNG combination of fuel exhibits a higher number of NOx emissions as related to other combinations of fuels. As a pilot fuel, diesel atomizes well and becomes fine spray when it comes out of the nozzle and ignites CNG properly so that emissions of NOx are higher for the D+CNG mixture. RCCI engine exhibits lower NOx emissions when fueled with biodiesel. Biodiesel showed poor ignition characteristics, and hence NOx emissions for biodiesel fueled engines are lower.
Figure 11. Variation of CO emissions with ID.

Figure 12. Variation of NOx emissions with ID.
Figure 13 shows smoke variation with ID. The 6 ms ID gives enhanced results in case of lower emissions of smoke as related to 3 ms and 9 ms ID. At the optimum ID, sufficient oxygen availability around the fuel particles is more; hence effective combustion takes place so that smoke emissions are low for 6 ms ID. The D+CNG mixture exhibits fewer emissions of smoke as related to other combinations of fuels. CNG as low reactive fuel has better ignition quality along with diesel as high reactive fuel and hence D+CNG fuel combination leads to lower smoke emissions. The biodiesel fueled RCCI engine shows inferior results as compared with diesel. This is due to the high thermal stability of biodiesel that leads to improper combustion which results in higher smoke emissions as compared with diesel.

Figure 14 shows ICP variation with CA at different IDs. The highest ICP values are taken to draw the graphs. The D+CNG fuel combination gives a higher ICP rise as related to other combinations of fuels. Higher thermal efficiency of this fuel mixture leads to the rise of temperature inside the cylinder and hence ICP rise for D+CNG fuel combination. ICP rise for biodiesel-fueled engines is lower as related with pure diesel. The sticky nature and heavier molecular structure of biodiesel lead to a lower ICP rise as compared with diesel.
Figure 14. Variation of ICP with fuel combination at different IDs. (a) Variation of ICP with fuel combination for diesel as DIF. (b) Variation of ICP with fuel combination for B100 as DIF.

Figure 15 shows HRR variation with the crank angle at different IDs. Among various fuel combinations, D+CNG leads to a higher HRR as related to other fuel combinations. The higher pressure rise rate of the mixture leads to a higher HRR inside the combustion chamber. The HRR for the biodiesel fueled RCCI engine is lower as compared with diesel. Greater viscosity and the lower heating value of methyl ester lead to lower combustion temperature, leading to lower HRR.

Figure 15. Variation of HRR with CA at different IDs. (a) Variation of HRR with CA for diesel as DIF. (b) Variation of HRR with CA for B100 as DIF.

5. Conclusions

The effect IT and ID of manifold injected fuels in RCCI engine powered with CNG and CBG as low reactive fuels and TPME and diesel as high reactive fuels has been studied. The main findings from the experimentation are explained in the following:
At all IT studied D+CNG fuel combination results into higher BTE 29.32% at 50° ATDC IT which is about 1.77, 3.58, 5.56, 7.51, and 8.54% higher than D+CBG, B20+CNG, B20+CBG, B100+CNG and B100+CBG fuel combinations.

The highest BTE, about 30.25%, is found for D+CNG fuel combination at 6 ms ID which is about 1.69, 3.48, 5.32, 7.24, and 9.16% higher as compared with D+CBG, B20+CNG, B20+CBG, B100+CNG, and B100+CBG fuel combinations.

At all ITs and IDs tested lower emissions of smoke, CO, and HC emissions and also higher emissions of NOx are observed for the D+CNG combination of fuel as related to other combinations of fuels.

At all ITs and IDs, D+CNG gives higher ICP and HRR as related to other combinations of fuels.

The injection of CNG and CBG in the RCCI operation as manifold injected fuels along with diesel, TPME B20, and TPME B100 fuels as direct injected fuels has been performed from the experimental work. The effect of IT and ID of manifold injected fuels is studied in the current work. The 50° ATDC IT gives effective results based on efficiency and emissions as compared with other ITs. Among the various IDs, 6 ms gives optimized results based on efficiency and emissions as compared with other IDs.
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