Effect of the dressing process on the surface roughness in cylindrical grinding of Ti6Al4V alloy using stationary diamond dressing tools
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1 Introduction

Titanium alloy Ti6Al4V is widely used in many industries, including medicine, aerospace and racing industries [1-4]. The dressing process significantly affects the geometry of the grinding wheel surface, and as a result, influences on the surface quality of ground parts [5-12]. Often, when grinding titanium alloys, the grinding wheel wears out quickly in comparison with grinding other materials, therefore, when grinding Ti6Al4V, grinding wheels have to be dressed frequently. Many types of stationary diamond dressing tools are applied in industry and it is not evident from existing studies [13-16] which type of dressing tool and with which dressing feed values is better to select from the points of view of dressing process productivity and ground parts roughness parameters for efficiency of the circular grinding of Ti6Al4V alloy. The choice of the right type of stationary dressing tool and suitable dressing feed value can improve quality of the ground surfaces, reduce dressing time and generally reduce the cost of the Ti6Al4V grinding process. Thus, the article determines the effect of four commonly used types of stationary diamond dressing tools on the machined surfaces roughness parameters of the Ti6Al4V alloy at different values of dressing feed at two different grinding feed rates of the circular grinding process.

2 Experimental procedures

For determination ground surface roughness parameters when using different stationary diamond dressing tools with different dressing feed values at two grinding feed rates, the experiments were conducted by dressing and grinding on the grinding machine for circular grinding BERNARDO URS 500 N (Fig. 1).
Four commonly used stationary diamond dressing tools were selected, which differ from each other by number, size and location of diamond cutting elements (Tab. 1). For each dresser the approximate contact length with the grinding wheel in the direction of dressing feed was measured using confocal microscope KEYENCE VK-X1100.

**Tab. 1 Used stationary diamond dressing tools**

| Dresser type          | Approximate width of contact |
|-----------------------|------------------------------|
| Single-point dresser  | 0.55 mm                      |
| Multi-point Plate dresser | 0.75 mm                  |
| Multi-point Disk dresser | 3.25 mm                   |
| Powder dresser        | 4 mm                         |

Grinding of Ti6Al4V alloy (361 HV) was performed with the TYROLIT vitrified bonded microcrystal alumina grinding wheel T1 400x50x203 454A 801 J10 V3 at the average cutting speed of $v_c = 35.45$ m/s, with two values of the grinding machine table feed: $v_f = 0.25$ m/min and $v_f = 1.0$ m/min. For each experiment 5 double passes with the depth of cut $a_p = 10$ μm were conducted and then 10 passes were made without further depth of cut increasing. The workpiece rotation speed was kept constant at the value of 15 m/min. The grinded length of the Ti6Al4V workpieces was 20 mm.

Processes of grinding and dressing were conducted using cutting fluid BLASER BLASOCUT BC 25 MD based on mineral oil. For all dressing and grinding operations, 3% mixture of cutting fluid concentrate and water was applied. The cutting fluid concentration was measured by the Optech Brix RLC / ATC refractometer, which is capable of measuring concentration at the range of 0-18% and with 0.1% accuracy.

Experiments were carried out applying four values of the dressing feed, namely at dressing feed rates of $f_d = 0.035, 0.105, 0.315, 0.945$ mm/rev. For every experiment 5 dressing passes with the dressing depth $a_d = 0.020$ mm were conducted to avoid leaving the processed material in pores of the grinding wheel.

Measurements of the roughness parameters were conducted using the profilometer MITUTOYO Surfleist SV-2000 N2. For every experiment roughness measurement was repeated 10 times around the circumference of the workpieces in the direction of the workpiece axis, and based on this, the average roughness parameters values were
As mentioned above, for each of the four dressers, four different dressing feed rates were used, resulting in 16 different grinding wheel surface topographies. The actual cylindrical grinding process was additionally implemented with two different grinding feed rates for each case. In total, there were 32 different grinding variants. To ensure the reliability of the research results, each grinding variant was repeated 5 times.

**Fig. 2** The effect of dressing feed for different dressers on the parameter Ra at 0.25 (m/min) grinding feed

**Fig. 3** The effect of dressing feed for different dressers on the parameter Rz at 0.25 (m/min) grinding feed
Fig. 4 The effect of dressing feed for different dressers on the parameter $R_{mr}(50)$ at 0.25 (m/ min) grinding feed

Fig. 5 The effect of dressing feed for different dressers on the parameter $R_a$ at 1.0 (m/ min) grinding feed

Fig. 6 The effect of dressing feed for different dressers on the parameter $R_z$ at 1.0 (m/ min) grinding feed
Fig. 7 The effect of dressing feed for different dressers on the parameter $R_{mr}(50)$ at 1.0 (m/min) grinding feed
3 Discussion of results

After processing the experimental data, it was found that at 0.035 mm/rev dressing feed with 0.25 m/min grinding feed the lowest roughness parameter $R_a$ is produced applying single-point diamond dresser. The application of the multi-point disk dresser enlarges the $R_a$ parameter by 26%, the application of the multi-point plate dresser enlarges the $R_a$ parameter by 34%, and the application of the powder diamond dresser enlarges the $R_a$ parameter by 70%. For 0.945 mm/rev dressing feed with 0.25 m/min grinding feed the application of the disk dresser produces the lowest value of the roughness parameter $R_a$. The application of the powder dresser enlarges the $R_a$ parameter by 5%, while the application of the multi-point plate dresser enlarges the $R_a$ parameter by 63%. Application of the single-point diamond dresser shows the worst results, namely the increase of the roughness parameter $R_a$ by 2.1 times. Also, the increase of the dressing feed from 0.035 mm/rev to 0.945 mm/rev with 0.25 m/min grinding feed can cause 17% of the roughness parameter $R_a$ increase for the powder dresser, 51% increase for the multi-point disk dresser, 2.3 times increase for the multi-point plate dresser, and 3.97 times increase for the single-point dresser (Fig. 2). These results can be explained by the fact, that powder and disk dressers have a wider contact with the grinding wheel in the direction of the dressing feed in comparison with single-point and plate diamond dressing tools (Tab. 1). And as a consequence, powder and disk dressers have higher values of the overlap coefficient, which can be calculated as the ratio of the contact width between dresser and grinding wheel in the direction of the dressing feed to the dressing feed value.

The studies have shown that at 0.035 mm/rev dressing feed with 1.0 m/min grinding feed the lowest parameter $R_a$ is produced applying single-point diamond dresser. The application of the multi-point plate dresser enlarges the $R_a$ parameter by 16%, the application of the multi-point disk dresser enlarges the $R_a$ parameter by 30%, and the application of the powder diamond dresser enlarges the $R_a$ parameter by 36%. For 0.945 mm/rev dressing feed with 1.0 m/min grinding feed the application of powder and disk dressers produces approximately the same low values of the parameter $R_a$. The application of the single-point diamond dresser enlarges the $R_a$ parameter by the 75%, whereas the application of the multi-point plate dresser increases the roughness parameter $R_a$ by 84%. Also, the increase of the dressing feed from 0.035 mm/rev to 0.945 mm/rev with 1.0 m/min grinding feed can cause 37% of the roughness parameter $R_a$ increase for the powder dresser, 47% increase for the multi-point disk dresser, 2.95 times increase for the multi-point plate dresser, and 3.26 times increase for the single-point dresser (Fig. 5).

It has been established that at 0.035 mm/rev dressing feed with 0.25 m/min grinding feed the lowest $R_z$ parameter is produced applying single-point diamond dresser. The application of the multi-point plate dresser enlarges the $R_z$ parameter by 8%, the application of the multi-point disk dresser enlarges the $R_z$ parameter by 13%, and the application of the powder diamond dresser enlarges the $R_z$ parameter by 25%. For 0.945 mm/rev dressing feed with 0.25 m/min grinding feed the application of the disk dresser produces the lowest value of the roughness parameter $R_z$. The application of the powder dresser enlarges the $R_z$ parameter by 16%, whereas the application of the multi-point plate dresser enlarges the roughness parameter $R_z$ by 46%. Applying of the single-point diamond dresser shows the worst results, namely the increase of the $R_z$ roughness parameter by 71%. Also, the increase of the dressing feed from 0.035 mm/rev to 0.945 mm/rev with 0.25 m/min grinding feed can cause 12% of the roughness parameter $R_z$ increase for the multi-point disk dresser, 17% increase for the powder dresser, 71% increase for the multi-point plate dresser, and 2.16 times increase for the single-point dresser (Fig. 3).

The comparative analysis has shown that at 0.035 mm/rev dressing feed with 1.0 m/min grinding feed the lowest parameter $R_z$ is produced applying single-point diamond dresser. The application of multi-point plate and disk dressing tools enlarges the $R_z$ parameter by 9%, and the application of the powder dresser enlarges the $R_z$ parameter by 13%. For 0.945 mm/rev dressing feed with 1.0 m/min grinding feed the application of powder and disk dressers produces approximately the same low values of the $R_z$ parameter. The application of the single-point diamond dresser enlarges the $R_z$ parameter by 40%, while the application of the multi-point plate dresser enlarges the $R_z$ roughness parameter by 49%. Also, the increase of the dressing feed from 0.035 mm/rev to 0.945 mm/rev with 1.0 m/min grinding feed can cause 24% of the roughness parameter $R_z$ increase for the powder dresser, 28% increase for the multi-point disk dresser, 90% increase for the multi-point plate dresser, and 96% increase for the single-point diamond dresser (Fig. 6).

The value of the $R_{mr(50)}$ parameter characterizes the percentage of the material component of the roughness profile at the 50% profile level depth. It is considered, that for reliability, a high value of this parameter is better, because surfaces with a low $R_{mr(50)}$ parameter value are more susceptible to wear. The experiments have shown that at 0.035 mm/rev dressing feed with 0.25 m/min grinding feed the same high roughness parameter $R_{mr(50)}$ is provided applying multi-point plate, disk and powder diamond dressing tools. The application of the single-point diamond dresser decreases the roughness parameter $R_{mr(50)}$ by 18%. For 0.945 mm/rev dressing feed with 0.25 m/min grinding feed the use of the powder dresser produces the highest value of the roughness parameter $R_{mr(50)}$. The application of plate and disk dressing tools decreases the parameter $R_{mr(50)}$ by 14%, whereas the application of the
single-point diamond dresser decreases the roughness parameter $R_{mr(50)}$ by 32%. Also, the increase of the dressing feed from 0.035 mm/rev to 0.945 mm/rev with 0.25 m/min grinding feed can cause 2% of the roughness parameter $R_{mr(50)}$ decrease for the powder dresser, 16% decrease for multi-point plate and disk dressers, and 18% decrease for the single-point diamond dresser (Fig. 4).

The studies have shown that at 0.035 mm/rev dressing feed with 1.0 m/min grinding feed all tested types of stationary diamond dressing tools provide the same high roughness parameter $R_{mr(50)}$ values. For 0.945 mm/rev dressing feed with 1.0 m/min grinding feed the use of the powder dresser produces the highest value of the roughness parameter $R_{mr(50)}$. The application of the disk dresser decreases the parameter $R_{mr(50)}$ by 11%, while the use of the multi-point plate dresser decreases the roughness parameter $R_{mr(50)}$ by 27%, and the use of the single-point diamond dresser decreases the roughness parameter $R_{mr(50)}$ by 32%. Also, the increase of the dressing feed from 0.035 mm/rev to 0.945 mm/rev with 1.0 m/min grinding feed can cause 7% of the roughness parameter $R_{mr(50)}$ decrease for the powder dresser, 17% decrease for the multi-point disk dresser, 31% decrease for the multi-point plate dresser, and 36% decrease for the single-point diamond dresser (Fig. 7).

4 Conclusion

The studies have shown that with the increase of the dressing process feed, the influence of selecting the type of diamond dressing tool on the roughness parameters $R_a$, $R_z$, and $R_{mr(50)}$ of the grinded surfaces increases.

It has been established that at high dressing feed rates, surfaces with lower $R_a$ and $R_z$ roughness parameters and with higher $R_{mr(50)}$ parameter can be obtained by using multi-point powder and disk stationary diamond dressing tools, possibly, because these two types of dressers have wider contact with the grinding wheel during the dressing process, and as a consequence, they have a higher overlap coefficient in comparison with multi-point plate dresser and single-point diamond dresser.

The comparative analysis has shown that the roughness parameters of obtained grinded parts surfaces can differ up to 2.1 times depending on selected diamond dresser and up to 4 times depending on selected dressing feed value in the studied range.

The experiments have shown that the tendency of the dependences of the roughness parameters $R_a$, $R_z$ and $R_{mr(50)}$ on the dressing feed for diamond dressing tools does not change substantially at different values of grinding process feed in circular grinding of Ti6Al4V alloy.
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