RNA-interference in rice against Rice tungro bacilliform virus results in its decreased accumulation in inoculated rice plants
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Abstract Rice tungro is a viral disease seriously affecting rice production in South and Southeast Asia. Tungro is caused by the simultaneous infection in rice of Rice tungro bacilliform virus (RTBV), a double-stranded DNA virus and Rice tungro spherical virus (RTSV), a single-stranded RNA virus. To apply the concept of RNA-interference (RNAi) for the control of RTBV infection, transgenic rice plants expressing DNA encoding ORF IV of RTBV, both in sense as well as in anti-sense orientation, resulting in the formation of double-stranded (ds) RNA, were raised. RNA blot analysis of two representative lines indicated specific degradation of the transgene transcripts and the accumulation of small molecular weight RNA, a hallmark for RNA-interference. In the two transgenic lines expressing ds-RNA, different resistance responses were observed against RTBV. In one of the above lines (RTBV-O-Ds1), there was an initial rapid buildup of RTBV levels following inoculation, comparable to that of untransformed controls, followed by a sharp reduction, resulting in approximately 50-fold lower viral titers, whereas the untransformed controls maintained high levels of the virus till 40 days post-inoculation (dpi). In RTBV-O-Ds2, RTBV DNA levels gradually rose from an initial low to almost 60% levels of the control by 40 dpi. Line RTBV-O-Ds1 showed symptoms of tungro similar to the untransformed control lines, whereas line RTBV-O-Ds2 showed extremely mild symptoms.

Keywords Transgenic rice · Rice tungro bacilliform virus · RNAi · Small RNA · Green leafhopper · Virus resistance

Introduction

Rice tungro disease, the most important viral disease of rice, is widespread in South and Southeast Asia and is believed to be responsible for annual losses nearing 10^9 US dollars worldwide (Herdt 1991). More recent estimates reveal that the disease causes on average about 2% losses in rice production in India, although at the regional level, losses can be more significant (Muralidharan et al. 2003). Rice tungro is caused by the joint infection of two unrelated viruses Rice tungro bacilliform virus (RTBV), a double-stranded DNA-containing virus, belonging to the genus Tungrovirus and Rice tungro spherical virus (RTSV), a single-stranded RNA virus belonging to the genus Waikavirus (Jones et al. 1991). RTBV and RTSV, also known as the “Tungro virus complex”, are transmitted exclusively by the...
Green leafhopper, GLH, *Nephotettix virescens* (Hibino and Cabauatan 1987). The most conspicuous symptoms of tungro are the stunting of plants and yellow-orange discolouration of leaves, both of which are believed to be caused by RTBV, as observed in symptomatic plants subjected to *Agrobacterium*-mediated inoculation of the virus (Dasgupta et al. 1991).

Because of its role in limiting rice production, incorporation of tungro resistance has been an important breeding objective in rice improvement programs in Asia. Several sources of genetic resistance have been reported in rice against RTSV, but against RTBV, there are only a few (Azzam and Chancellor 2002). Additionally, none of the host resistance sources have been genetically well-characterized. Thus, in order to ensure durability of the otherwise fragile resistance under field conditions (Manwan et al. 1985; Dahal et al. 1990), transgenic strategies for tungro resistance, targeting RTBV are promising.

Two strategies, namely protein-mediated and RNA-mediated have been the underlying principles behind most successful transgenic viral resistance. Both strategies have emanated from the concept of “pathogen-derived resistance” or PDR (Sanford and Johnston 1985), wherein pathogen-encoded proteins or RNA are used to interfere with crucial steps in the infection cycle. More recently, RNA-mediated resistance has been shown to be robust and widely applicable against several classes of viral pathogens in plants (Mansoor et al. 2006).

Homology-dependent selective degradation of RNA, RNA-interference (RNAi) or Post-transcriptional gene silencing (PTGS) is involved in several biological phenomena, including adaptive defence against viruses in plants (Ratcliffe et al. 1999; Vance and Vaucheret 2001; Yu and Kumar 2003; Herr 2005). The first demonstration of RNAi-mediated virus resistance was shown by Waterhouse et al. (1998), against *Potato virus Y* (PVY) in transgenic tobacco plants. Resistance against PVY in transgenic tobacco plants expressing the PVY protease gene simultaneously in sense and anti-sense orientation was much higher than in lines expressing the same gene individually in either orientation. Subsequently, the same principle has been exploited successfully in different host systems to obtain resistance against several other viruses (Pooggin et al. 2003; Vanitharani et al. 2003; Tenllado et al. 2003; Di Nicola-Negri et al. 2005; Lennefors et al. 2006; Abhary et al. 2006).

Here we report on the use of RNAi to confer resistance against RTBV in rice. We show that transgenic rice plants expressing a RTBV gene in both sense as well as anti-sense orientation, showed only mild tungro symptoms following challenge inoculation with the virus, whereas plants expressing the same gene in sense orientation were found to be as symptomatic as untransformed control plants.

**Materials and methods**

**Plasmid constructs**

A DNA fragment between nucleotide residues 5700 and 7026 encoding the RTBV open reading frame IV (ORFIV) of an Indian isolate RTBV-AP was obtained by BglII digestion of the plasmid pRTBV204 (Nath et al. 2002). This fragment was cloned in between Cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoter and nopaline synthase transcription termination signals separately in sense and anti-sense orientations. The entire cassette of the above promoter-ORFIV-terminator fragment was inserted at *Sma*I site of the binary plasmid pCAM-BIA1380 (Roberts et al. 1998) to obtain plasmid pRTBV-Os (Fig. 1a). ORFIV in pRTBV-Os is untranslatable since it lacks the initiation codon. pRTBV-O-Ds (Fig. 1a) was derived from pRTBV-Os by cloning an additional copy of the promoter-ORFIV-terminator cassette, having the gene in anti-sense orientation in the end-filled BglII site of pRTBV-Os.

**Generation of transgenic rice plants**

The binary vectors (pRTBV-Os and pRTBV-O-Ds) were transferred into a virulent *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain EHA105 (Holsters et al. 1978). A culture obtained from a recombinant *Agrobacterium* colony was used to transform the rice variety Pusa Basmati-1 by the method of Wang et al. (1997).

**RNA analysis**

In order to ascertain transcript levels of the inserted transgene, northern analysis was performed using total RNA isolated by the method of Chomczynski and Sacchi (1987) from 20-day old transgenic plants. Fractions enriched with small RNA were obtained...
and transferred on to the membrane using the method of Hamilton and Baulcombe (1999). The ORFIV fragment was radiolabelled by employing Random Primer Labeling Kit (Roche) and $\alpha^{-32P}$dATP (specific activity 3000 Ci/mmol, BRIT, India) as per manufacturer’s specifications. Hybridization and washes were carried out in 7% SDS, 50% deionized formamide at 42°C and twice in 2× SSC, 0.2% SDS at 42°C for 30 min, respectively.

Viral resistance assay

RTBV and RTSV were maintained in rice plants under glasshouse conditions by GLH-mediated serial transfer, initiated using naturally-infected plants. GLH reared on healthy rice plants were enclosed with such plants for virus acquisition in a mylar cage for 24 h. A group of five 15–20 day-old T₁ transgenic plants representing a single transformation event were inoculated together for 24 h with 3 viruliferous GLH per plant, obtained as described above. As a control group, five non-transgenic plants were also inoculated in a similar manner. Both groups were maintained at 30°C with 14/10 h photoperiod with supplementary lighting under greenhouse conditions to allow the build up of virus levels and development of symptoms. Approximately 1–2 cm samples were collected separately from the second leaf of each of the transgenic and non-transgenic plants at 10-day intervals for a period of 40 days. Samples collected from transgenic plants and those from non-transgenic plants were pooled separately for each day of sampling as a transgenic pool and a non-transgenic pool. The extent of viral DNA accumulation in the above two pools were then assessed by slot-blot hybridization of DNA isolated from the pooled samples. Similar hybridization and autoradiography conditions were maintained to achieve uniformity and reproducibility of results. The hybridization signals were then converted to numerical values by densitometric scanning, which were used as a measure of the viral DNA titer in each sample. The linear relationship of the hybridization signal and the numerical values obtained by densitometric scanning was checked by using known quantities of cloned viral DNA. The resistance assay was performed twice for all lines tested.

Results

Integrated transgenes present at low copy numbers in transgenic rice plants

A total of approximately 40 putative transgenic rice plants were obtained using pRTBV-Os and pRTBV-O-Ds. On Southern analysis of about 10 plants
derived from pRTBV-O-Ds (Fig. 1a, lower panel), two classes of insertion patterns, showing different electrophoretic mobilities were obtained following KpnI digestion of total DNA, probed with ORFIV (two representative patterns shown in Fig. 1b). Since KpnI has no recognition site between the two T-DNA borders, the number of fragments hybridizing with the probe indicates the number of insertion sites of the transgene. Since tandem insertion of multiple copies of the transgene is rare, it was assumed that the above indicates that one single-copy and another double-copy transgenic event has been obtained, which were named RTBV-O-Ds1 (Fig. 1b, lane 1) and RTBV-O-Ds2 (Fig. 1b, lane 2) and represented integration of the same transgene at two different sites in the genome. Untransformed plant (Fig. 1b, lane c) showed no hybridization, indicating that the hybridization was specific to the transgene. A similar analysis of plants derived using pRTBV-Os (Fig. 1a, upper panel) showed a pattern suggestive of single copy integration event (data not shown).

Transgene-specific transcripts accumulated to low levels in RTBV-O-Ds plants but to much higher levels in RTBV-Os plants

On northern analysis, total RNA isolated from T1 progenies of both RTBV-O-Ds1 and RTBV-O-Ds2 revealed very low or undetectable levels of transcripts representing ORFIV. As shown in the upper panel of Fig. 2a, except the plant represented in lane 3, none of the plants accumulated detectable transcripts homologous to the probe (ORFIV). In contrast, transcripts of the selection marker hygromycin phosphotransferase (hpt) accumulated to high levels in all the lines tested (middle panel). The untransformed control plant did not accumulate transcripts homologous to the probe used (Fig. 2a, lane c). The loading control shown in the lower panel indicates equal loading of RNA in all lanes. On using the same probe, a similar analysis of 12 plants derived from RTBV-Os revealed low levels of transcript accumulation in three plants (Fig. 2b, lanes 1, 4 and 5) and high levels in the rest of the nine plants (lanes 2, 3 and 6–12), the control non-transgenic sample showing no hybridization (lane c).

Low-molecular-weight RNAs accumulated in RTBV-O-Ds1 and RTBV-O-Ds2 lines

When the accumulation of low molecular weight RNAs, indicative of specific RNA degradation in the transgenic plants was investigated, 22–23 bp species, specific to ORFIV were seen to accumulate in all the three RTBV-O-Ds2 lines (Fig. 2c, lanes 1–3) and one out of two RTBV-O-Ds1 lines tested (Fig. 2c, lane 5).

RTBV accumulation pattern differed in inoculated transgenic lines and controls

Following GLH-mediated inoculation, RTBV accumulated rapidly in non-transgenic control plants
within 10 days post-inoculation (dpi) and remained high throughout the course of the experiment. Average RTBV titers of each pooled sample, expressed as a percent fraction of the corresponding value in the non-transgenic control pool are represented in Fig. 3. In RTBV-O-Ds1, RTBV accumulation was similar to that of the control sample at 10 dpi, but dropped to half the above levels by 20 dpi and became barely detectable by 30 dpi. In RTBV-O-Ds2 lines, RTBV accumulation was more gradual, increasing steadily to 60% of the control levels by the end of the experiment. In contrast, RTBV-Os lines exhibited a pattern of RTBV accumulation similar to that of the controls (data not shown).

RTBV-O-Ds transgenic plants showed moderate to mild tungro symptoms

Following GLH-mediated inoculation, within 20 dpi, control untransformed plants developed typical tungro symptoms, namely stunting and yellowing of leaves. Using the same inoculation conditions, progenies of lines RTBV-Os and RTBV-O-Ds1 showed symptoms similar to the controls, whereas RTBV-O-Ds2 plants showed mild stunting. At 5 months post-inoculation, almost half of the RTBV-O-Ds2 plants grew normally and flowered (Fig. 4, T) as compared to non-transgenic control plants which were severely stunted and did not flower (Fig. 4, C).

Discussion

There are several reports on the use of RNAi to obtain virus resistance in plants (Vanitharani et al. 2003; Tenllado et al. 2003; Abhary et al. 2006; Lennefors et al. 2006; Ramesh et al. 2007). In one of the first such reports, a 65–68% reduction in the transient accumulation of the DNA of *African cassava mosaic virus* in tobacco protoplasts was reported (Vanitharani et al. 2003), when co-inoculated with siRNAs directed against the transcripts of replication-associated protein (Rep) of the virus. Similarly, Tenllado et al. (2003) showed a strong reduction in the titers of *Pepper mild mottle virus* and *Plum pox virus in Nicotiana benthamiana*, when the respective virus was co-inoculated or the plants sprayed with bacterially-expressed virus-specific siRNAs. Abhary et al. (2006) reported resistance against several geminiviruses associated with Tomato yellow leaf curl disease in tomato and tobacco, following agroinfiltration of the plants with RNAi-initiating constructs against conserved regions of the viral
genomes. In a recent report (Chilakamurthi et al. 2007), it is shown that ribozyme-mediated degradation of Rep transcripts of the geminivirus Mungbean yellow mosaic India virus resulted in 65% decrease in geminiviral replication in a yeast model system. Similarly natural (Lennefors et al. 2006; Tougou et al. 2006; Bonfim et al. 2007; Ramesh et al. 2007) and experimental (Di Nicola-Negri et al. 2005) plant hosts, and in some cases, both (Batuman et al. 2006) have been transformed with hairpin RNA-expressing constructs derived from various viral genes, designed to initiate RNAi and which showed varying degrees of viral resistance.

Two earlier reports of transgenic resistance against RTSV, the viral component responsible for transmission of the tungro virus complex by GLH have been published (Sivamani et al. 1999; Huet et al. 1999). In this report, we have examined viral resistance in rice against an Indian strain of RTBV, RTBV-AP using double-stranded RNA-generating construct and compared it with that in plants containing the corresponding single-stranded RNA. RTBV produces a single full-length transcript to translate three out of four ORFs, the fourth (ORFIV) is translated by a spliced transcript consisting of ORFIV coding sequences directly joined to the 5’ untranslated region (Futterer et al. 1994). In addition, the full-length transcript also acts as the template for the reverse transcription-mediated replication of the viral DNA, a common step in all pararetroviruses (Hull 2002). Hence, by virtue of the dual functions performed by their transcripts, pararetroviruses appear to be especially suitable for RNAi-mediated inhibition of replication. Since no other report on the use of RNAi targeting pararetroviruses exists in the literature, decision on the suitability of a particular viral gene for successful initiation of RNAi was based on the importance of targeting all viral transcripts. Keeping in view the splicing mentioned above, the decision of utilizing ORFIV for the above purpose was to target all transcripts of RTBV, both spliced as well as unspliced. This would not have been possible with any other RTBV DNA fragment.

Compared to most reports of RNAi-mediated viral resistance in plants which generate hairpin-loop RNA, our strategy used double-stranded RNA without a hairpin-loop as template. The absence of transcript accumulation of the transgene in the Ds lines tested (Fig. 2a) coupled with the presence of corresponding low molecular weight RNA (22–23 bp) in both RTBV-O-Ds1 and RTBV-O-Ds2 lines (Fig. 2c) indicated that RNAi is active, illustrating the effectiveness of this strategy. On challenging the two Ds lines with viruliferous GLH, differing patterns of resistance response, as assessed by the accumulation of the viral DNA was seen, despite both lines carrying copies of the same transgene. It is possible that the two lines transcribed the transgene to different levels because of differing levels of promoter activity at two independent locations in the genome as a result of altered methylation levels as reported earlier (Meyer 1998), resulting in differing levels of RNAi.

Of the two lines tested, RTBV-O-Ds1 plants showed tungro symptoms similar to non-transgenic controls whereas RTBV-O-Ds2 plants showed symptoms of very mild stunting. Therefore, symptoms, believed to be caused by RTBV alone, may express due to differing temporal buildup of RTBV titers in the two lines. It is noteworthy that in RTBV-O-Ds1, RTBV titers were close to the high value in non-transgenic controls at 10 dpi itself, but rapidly declined and became almost undetectable by 30 dpi, whereas the titer increased gradually in RTBV-O-Ds2 (Fig. 3), remaining quite low in the period between 10–20 dpi. It is possible that the latter period (which is about 25–35 days post-germination) is crucial for proper growth in rice plants and high viral titers during that period have a profound and permanent effect on normal plant growth as was also observed by Santa Cruz et al. (2003). This might explain why RTBV-O-Ds2 plants showed mild symptoms, presumably because the viral titers are relatively low during the above period and gradually accumulate to a high level later on. It is possible that symptoms result from differential modulation of development-specific host micro-RNA levels in the above two lines triggered by the infecting RTBV through RNAi, as has been reported for Cauliflower mosaic virus, a pararetrovirus and in Arabidopsis thaliana (Moissard and Voinnet 2006).

In conclusion, RTBV-O-Ds2 line, by virtue of developing mild tungro symptoms on challenge with viruliferous GLH, represents an important step in the development of tungro resistance in rice suitable for Indian conditions. Analysis of two complete and one partial length RTBV genomic sequences from widely separated sites in India has already shown their highly
conserved nature (Nath et al. 2002; Verma and Dasgupta 2007), indicating that such lines can be used as important breeding material for transferring the transgene to various genetic backgrounds of rice more suited for cultivation in various tungro-threatened rice growing regions of India.
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