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Abstract. For an arithmetical scheme $X$, K. Kato introduced a certain complex of Gersten-Bloch-Ogus type whose component in degree $a$ involves Galois cohomology groups of the residue fields of all the points of $X$ of dimension $a$. He stated a conjecture on its homology generalizing the fundamental exact sequences for Brauer groups of global fields. We prove the conjecture over a finite field assuming resolution of singularities. Thanks to a recently established result on resolution of singularities for embedded surfaces, it implies the unconditional vanishing of the homology up to degree 4 for $X$ projective smooth over a finite field. We give an application to finiteness questions for some motivic cohomology groups over finite fields.
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Introduction

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a category of schemes of finite type over a fixed base scheme $B$. Let $\mathcal{C}_s$ be the category with the same objects as $\mathcal{C}$, but where morphisms are just the proper morphisms in $\mathcal{C}$. Let $\text{Mod}$ is the category of modules. A homology theory $H = \{H_a\}_{a \in \mathbb{Z}}$ on $\mathcal{C}$ is a sequence of covariant functors:

$$H_a(\cdot) : \mathcal{C}_s \to \text{Mod}$$

satisfying the following conditions: If $i : Y \hookrightarrow X$ is a closed immersion in $\mathcal{C}$, with open complement $j : V \hookrightarrow X$, there is a long exact sequence (called localization sequence)

$$\cdots \to \partial H_a(Y) \stackrel{i_*}{\to} H_a(X) \stackrel{j^*}{\to} H_a(V) \to \partial H_{a-1}(Y) \to \cdots$$

which is functorial with respect to proper morphisms and open immersions in an obvious sense.

Given such $H$, Bloch and Ogus [BO] constructed a spectral sequence of homological type for every $X \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$, called the niveau spectral sequence

$$E^1_{a,b}(X) = \bigoplus_{x \in X_a} H_{a+b}(x) \Rightarrow H_{a+b}(X) \quad \text{with} \quad H_d(x) = \lim_{V \subseteq \{x\}} H_d(V).$$

where $X_a$ denotes the set of the points $x \in X$ of dimension $a$ (see §1 for our definition of dimension) and the limit is over all open non-empty subschemes $V \subseteq \{x\}$. 
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In this paper we are interested in such $H$ that satisfy the condition:

\[(0.2)\quad E_{a,b}^1(X) = 0 \quad \text{for all } b < 0 \text{ and all } X \in Ob(C).\]

We then write $KC_H(X)$ for the complex:

\[E_{a,0}^1(X) : E_{a,0}^1(X)@ < d^1 @ \cdots \]

and $KH_a(X)$ for its homology group in degree $a$, called the Kato homology of $X$ for the given homology theory $H$.

The most typical example is the homology theory $H = H^{et}(\cdot,\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})[-1]$ on the category $C$ of separated schemes over a finite field $F$, defined by

\[(0.3)\quad H^{et}_a(X,\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})[-1] := H^{1-a}(X_{et},Rf^!\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}), \quad \text{for } f : X \to \text{Spec}(F) \text{ in } C\]

(where $Rf^!$ is the right adjoint of $Rf_!$ defined in [SGA 4], XVIII, 3.1.4.). In this case $KC_H(X)$ is the following complex introduced by Bloch-Ogus [BO] and Kato [K]:

\[(0.4)\quad \cdots \bigoplus_{x \in X_\alpha} H^{a+1}(\kappa(x),\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}(a)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X_{\alpha-1}} H^a(\kappa(x),\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}(a-1)) \rightarrow \cdots \]

\[\cdots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X_1} H^2(\kappa(x),\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}(1)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X_0} H^1(\kappa(x),\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}).\]

Here we use the following notations. For a field $L$ and an integer $n > 0$, define the following Galois cohomology groups: If $n$ is invertible in $L$, let $H^i(L,\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}(j)) = H^i(L,\mu_n^\otimes j)$ where $\mu_n$ is the Galois module of $n$-th roots of unity. If $n = mp^r$ and $(p,m) = 1$ with $p = ch(L) > 0$, let

\[(0.5)\quad H^i(L,\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}(j)) = H^i(L,\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}(j)) \oplus H^{i-j}(L,W_r\Omega^j_{L,\log}),\]

where $W_r\Omega^j_{L,\log}$ is the logarithmic part of the de Rham-Witt sheaf $W_r\Omega^j_{L}$ [Il, I 5.7]. In the complex (0.4) the term in degree $a$ is the direct sum of the Galois cohomology of the residue fields $\kappa(x)$ of $x \in X_\alpha$.

In [K] a complex of the same shape is defined for any scheme $X$ of finite type over $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$ and it is shown in [JSS] that this complex also arises from a certain homology theory (on the category of schemes of finite type over $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$) via the associated spectral sequence (1.2).

The Kato homology associated to $H = H^{et}(\cdot,\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})[-1]$ is denoted by $KH^et_a(X,\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$, which is by definition the homology group in degree $a$ of the complex (0.4). A remarkable conjecture proposed by Kato is the following:

**Conjecture 0.1.** Let $X$ be either proper smooth over $B = \text{Spec}(F)$ where $F$ is a finite field (geometric case), or regular proper flat over $B = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_k)$ where $k$ is a number field (arithmetic case). Assume either $n$ is odd or $k$ is totally imaginary. Then

\[KH^et_a(X,\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} & a = 0 \\ 0 & a \neq 0 \end{cases}\]

In case $\text{dim}(X) = 1$, i.e., if $X$ is a proper smooth curve over a finite field with function field $k$ or $X = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_k)$ as above, the conjecture 0.1 rephrases the classical fundamental fact in number theory that there is an exact sequence:

\[0 \to \text{Br}(k)[n] \to \bigoplus_{x \in X_0} H^1(\kappa(x),\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \to 0.\]

Here $\text{Br}(k)[n]$ is the $n$-torsion subgroup of the Brauer group of $k$ and $X_0$ is the set of the closed points of $X$ or the finite places of $k$. Kato proved the conjecture in case $\text{dim}(X) = 2$. The following result has been shown by Colliot-Thélène [CT] and Suwa [Sw] (geometric case) and Jannsen-Saito [JS1] (arithmetic case):
Theorem 0.2. Let $\ell$ be a rational prime. Let the assumption be as in [0.1] and assume $X$ is projective over $B$. In the arithmetic case we further assume $X$ has good or semistable reduction at each prime of $\mathcal{O}_k$ and that $\ell$ is odd or $k$ is totally imaginary. Then

$$KH_a^{d_1}(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell) \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell & a = 0 \\ 0 & 0 < a \leq 3 \end{cases}$$

where

$$KH_a^{d_1}(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell) = \lim_{n \to \infty} KH_a^{d_1}(X, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n\mathbb{Z}).$$

In this paper we propose a method to approach the geometric case of conjecture [0.1] in general. The main result of this paper is the following:

Theorem 0.3. Let $X$ be projective smooth of dimension $d$ over a finite field $F$. Let $t \geq 1$ be an integer. Then we have

$$KH_a^{d_1}(X, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} & a = 0 \\ 0 & 0 < a \leq t \end{cases}$$

if either $t \leq 4$ or condition (RS)$_d$, or (RES)$_{t-2}$ (see below) holds. Moreover the same conclusion holds if $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ is replaced by $\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n\mathbb{Z}$ for a prime $\ell$, provided (BK)$_{t,\ell}$ holds (see below).

Now we explain the conditions used in the above theorem. The first two concern resolution of singularities:

(RS)$_d$: For any $X$ integral and proper of dimension $\leq d$ over $F$, there exists a proper birational morphism $\pi : X' \to X$ such that $X'$ is smooth over $F$. For any $U$ smooth of dimension $\leq d$ over $F$, there is an open immersion $U \hookrightarrow X$ such that $X$ is projective smooth over $F$ with $X - U$, a simple normal crossing divisor on $X$.

(RES)$_t$: For any smooth projective variety $X$ over $F$, any simple normal crossing divisor $Y$ on $X$ with $U = X - Y$, and any integral closed subscheme $W \subset X$ of dimension $\leq t$ such that $W \cap U$ is regular, there exists a smooth projective variety $X'$ over $F$ and a birational proper map $\pi : X' \to X$ such that $\pi : \pi^{-1}(U) \simeq U$, and $Y' = X' - \pi^{-1}(U)$ is a simple normal crossing divisor on $X'$, and the proper transform of $W$ in $X'$ is regular and intersects transversally with $Y'$.

We note that a proof of (RES)$_2$ is given in [CJS] based on ideas of Hironaka. This enables us to obtain the unconditional vanishing of the Kato homology with $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$-coefficient in degrees $a \leq 4$ in Theorem 0.3.

Let $\ell$ be a prime and $L$ be a field. Recall that there is a symbol map ([Mi] and [BK], §2):

$$h_{L,\ell}^t : K^M_t(L) \to H^t(L, \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}(t))$$

where $K^M_t(L)$ denotes the Milnor $K$-group of $L$. It is conjectured that $h_{L,\ell}^t$ is surjective. The conjecture is called the Bloch-Kato conjecture in case $\ell \neq \text{ch}(L)$. We introduce the following condition:

(BK)$_{t,\ell}$: For any finitely generated field $L$ over $F$, $h_{L,\ell}^t$ is surjective.

The surjectivity of $h_{L,\ell}^t$ is known if $t = 1$ (the Kummer theory) or $t = 2$ (Merkurjev-Suslin [MS]) or $\ell = \text{ch}(L)$ (Bloch-Gabber-Kato [BK]) or $t = 2$ (Voevodsky [V1]). It has been announced by Rost and Voevodsky ([SJ] and [V2]) that it holds in general.

In fact Theorem 0.3 will be deduced from the following more general result:

Theorem 0.4. Let $H$ be a homology theory on the category $\mathcal{C}$ of separated schemes over $B = \text{Spec}(k)$ for a field $k$, which satisfies (0.2). Assume the following conditions:
If \( f : X \to B = \text{Spec}(k) \) is smooth projective of dimension \( \leq 1 \) with \( X \) connected (but not necessarily geometrically irreducible over \( k \)), then
\[
\rho : H^0(X) \to H_0(B)
\]
is an isomorphism if \( \dim(X) = 0 \) and injective if \( \dim(X) = 1 \).

If \( X \) is smooth projective of dimension \( > 1 \) over \( B \), \( Y \subset X \) is an irreducible smooth ample divisor, and \( U = X - Y \), then
\[
H_a(U) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad a \leq d = \dim(X).
\]

If \( X \) is a smooth projective curve over \( B \) and \( U \subset X \) is a dense affine open subset, then
\[
H_a(U) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad a \leq 0,
\]
and the boundary map \( H_1(U)@ \to \partial \to H_0(Y) \) is injective, where \( Y = X - U \) with reduced subscheme structure.

Let \( X \) be projective smooth of dimension \( d \) over \( B \). Let \( t \geq 1 \) be an integer with \( t \leq d \). Assume either \( t \leq 4 \) or \((\text{RS})_d\) or \((\text{RES})_{\leq 2}\). Then we have
\[
KH_a(X) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad 0 < a \leq t.
\]

Theorem 0.3 follows from 0.4 by verifying that the homology theory \( H = H^{\text{et}}(-, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})[-1] \) (see (0.3)) satisfies the conditions of 0.4. This is done by using the affine Lefschetz theorem and the Weil conjecture proved by Deligne [D]. We will also give an example of a homology theory other than \( H^{\text{et}}(-, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})[-1] \), which satisfies the conditions of 0.4 (see Lemma 3.4).

In what follows we explain an application of Theorem 0.3 to finiteness result for motivic cohomology of smooth schemes over a finite field.

Let \( X \) be a connected smooth scheme over a finite field \( F \) and let
\[
H^q_M(X, \mathbb{Z}(r)) = CH^r(X, 2r - q) = H^{2r-q}(z^r(X, \bullet))
\]
be the motivic cohomology of \( X \) defined as Bloch’s higher Chow group, where \( z^r(X, \bullet) \) is Bloch’s cycle complex [B1]. We will review the definition in §6. A ‘folklore conjecture’, generalizing the analogous conjecture of Bass on \( K \)-groups, is that \( H^q_M(X, \mathbb{Z}(r)) \) should be finitely generated.

Except for the case of \( \dim(X) = 1 \) where this is known for all \( q \) and \( r \) (Quillen), the only other general case where the finite generation is is known is \( H^d_\mathbb{Z}(X, \mathbb{Z}(d)) = CH^d(X) = CH_0(X) \) where \( d = \dim(X) \), which is a consequence of higher dimensional class field theory ([B3], [KS1] and [CTSS]).

One way to approach the problem is to look at an étale cycle map constructed by Geisser and Levine [GL2]:
\[
(0.6) \quad \rho_X^{q,r} : CH^r(X, q; \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \to H^{2r-q}_{\text{et}}(X, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}(r)),
\]
Here
\[
CH^r(X, q; \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) = H_q(z^r(X, \bullet) \otimes^L \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}),
\]
is the higher Chow group with finite coefficients, which fits into a short exact sequence:
\[
0 \to CH^r(X, q)/n \to CH^r(X, q; \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \to CH^r(X, q - 1)[n] \to 0,
\]
and \( \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}(r) \) is the complex of étale sheaves on \( X \):
\[
\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}(r) = \mu_{m}^{\otimes r} \otimes W_r \Omega^r_{X,\log}[-r],
\]
if \( n = mp^r \) and \( (p, m) = 1 \) with \( p = \text{ch}(F) \) (cf. (0.5) and (2.8)). Using finiteness results on étale cohomology, the injectivity of \( \rho_X^{q,r} \) would imply a result which relates to the folklore conjecture like the weak Mordell-Weil theorem relates to the strong one.
In case \( r > d := \dim(X) \) it is easily shown that \( \rho_X^{r,q} \) is an isomorphism assuming the Bloch-Kato conjecture (see [6.2]). An interesting phenomenon emerges for \( \rho_X^{r,q} \) with \( r = d \). The Bloch-Kato conjecture implies that there is a long exact sequence (see [6.2]):

\[
\cdots \to KH_{q+2}(X, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \to CH^d(X, q; \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) @> \rho_X^{d,2d-q} >> H_{\et}^{2d-q}(X, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}(d)) \\\	o KH_{q+1}(X, \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}) \to \cdots
\]

Hence Theorem 0.5 implies the following:

**Theorem 0.5.** Let \( X \) be smooth projective of pure dimension \( d \) over a finite field \( F \). Let \( t, n \geq 1 \) be integers. Assume \( (BK)_{t+2,\ell} \) for all primes \( l \mid n \). Assume further either \( t \leq 2 \) or \( (RS)_d \) or \( (RES)_t \). Then

\[
\rho_X^{d,q} : CH^d(X, q, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \cong H_{\et}^{2d-q}(X, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}(d)) \quad \text{for all } q \leq t.
\]

In particular \( CH^d(X, q, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \) is finite under the assumption.

Using results of [Kah], [Ge1] and [J2] generalizing a seminal result of Soulé [So], we deduce from [6.5] the following:

**Corollary 0.6.** Let the assumption be as in [0.3]. Assume further that \( X \) is finite-dimensional in the sense of Kimura [K3] and O’Sullivan [OSu] (which holds if \( X \) is a product of abelian varieties and curves). Then there is an isomorphism of finite groups

\[
CH^d(X, q) \cong \bigoplus_{\text{all prime } l} H_{\et}^{2d-q}(X, \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}(d)) \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq q \leq t.
\]

Finally we explain briefly the strategy to prove Theorem 0.4. The first key observation is that the conclusion of [0.4] implies the following fact: For \( X \), projective smooth over \( B = \text{Spec}(k) \), and for a simple normal crossing divisor \( Y \) on \( X \), the Kato homology \( KH_a(U) \) of \( U = X - Y \) has a combinatoric description as the homology of the complex

\[
(\Lambda)^{\pi_0(Y(a))} \to (\Lambda)^{\pi_0(Y(a-1))} \to \cdots \to (\Lambda)^{\pi_0(Y(1))} \to (\Lambda)^{\pi_0(X)},
\]

where \( \Lambda = H_0(B) \) and \( \pi_0(Y(a)) \) is the set of the connected components of the sum of all \( a \)-fold intersections of the irreducible components \( Y_1, \ldots, Y_N \) of \( Y \). Conversely the vanishing of the Kato homology of \( X \) is deduced from such a combinatoric description of \( KH_a(U) \) for a suitable choice of \( U = X - Y \).

On the other hand, the conditions (H1) through (H3) of [0.4] imply that if one of the divisors \( Y_1, \ldots, Y_N \) on \( X \) is very ample, \( H_a(U) \) for \( a \leq d \) has the same combinatoric description. Recalling that the spectral sequence (1.2)

\[
E_{a,b}^1(U) = \bigoplus_{x \in U_a} H_{a+b}(x) \Rightarrow H_{a+b}(U)
\]

satisfies \( E_{a,b}^1(U) = 0 \) for \( b < 0 \) and \( E_{a,b}^2(U) = KH_a(U) \) for \( b = 0 \), the desired combinatoric description of \( KH_a(U) \) is then deduced from the following vanishing:

\[
(Z^\infty/B_b^b)_{a,b}(U) := Z_{a,b}(U)/B_{a,b}(U) = 0 \quad \text{for } b \geq 1.
\]

Here

\[
E_{a,b}^1(U) = Z_{a,b}(U) \supseteq Z_{a,b}^\infty(U) \supseteq B_{a,b}^\infty(U) \supseteq B_{a,b}^r(U) \supseteq B_{a,b}^0(U) = 0,
\]

is the standard notation for the spectral sequence so that

\[
E_{a,b}^{r+1}(U) = Z_{a,b}^r(U)/B_{a,b}^r(U), \quad Z_{a,b}^\infty(U) = \bigcap_{r \geq 0} Z_{a,b}^r(U), \quad B_{a,b}^\infty(U) = \bigcup_{r \geq 0} B_{a,b}^r(U).
\]

In order to show the vanishing, we pick up any element

\[
\alpha \in (Z^\infty/B_b^b)_{a,b}(U)
\]
and then take a hypersurface section of high degree \( Z \subset X \) containing the support \( \text{Supp}(\alpha) \) of \( \alpha \) so that \( \alpha \) is killed under the restriction

\[
(Z^\infty / B^b)_{a,b}(U) \to (Z^\infty / B^b)_{a,b}(U \setminus Z).
\]

The point is that the assumption \((\text{RES})_q\) allows us to make a very careful choice of \( Z \), after desingularizing \( \text{Supp}(\alpha) \), so as to ensure by the induction on \( \dim(U) \) the injectivity of the restriction map, which implies \( \alpha = 0 \). The last step of the argument hinges on a general lemma proved in §1 concerning the exactness of the following sequence:

\[
(Z^\infty / B^b)_{a,b}(Z \cap U) \to (Z^\infty / B^b)_{a,b}(U) \to (Z^\infty / B^b)_{a,b}(U \setminus Z).
\]

Finally we note that taking \( H = H^a(-,\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})[-1] \), the vanishing (0.8) may be viewed as an analog of the weak Lefschetz theorem for cycle modules in the sense of Rost [R]. This will be explained explicitly for terms in lower degrees in Corollary 5.7 in §5.

We note that the Kato conjecture for varieties over finite fields is studied also by a different method in a paper [J1] by the first author. The method introduced in this paper was found by the second author independently. It has been applied in [SS] to study cycle class map for 1-cycles on arithmetic schemes over the ring of integers in a local field to provide new finiteness results.

It is not difficult to extend the method of this paper to study the Kato conjecture and motivic cohomology of arithmetic schemes over the ring of integers in a local field, at least restricted to the prime-to-\( p \) part, where \( p \) is the residue characteristic of the local field. In order to deal with the \( p \)-part and the case of arithmetic schemes over the ring of integers in a number field, one need develop a new input from \( p \)-adic Hodge theory. This is a work in progress [JS3].

The authors thank Prof. T. Geisser for helpful comments. The second author is grateful to the first author for several opportunities to stay in the department of Mathematics at University of Regensburg where he enjoyed warm hospitality.

1. Fundamental Lemma

Throughout this paper we fix a regular connected Noetherian base scheme \( B \) and work with a category \( \mathcal{C} \) of separated schemes of finite type over \( B \) such that for any object \( X \) in \( \mathcal{C} \), every closed immersion \( i : Y \hookrightarrow X \) and every open immersion \( j : V \hookrightarrow X \) is (a morphism) in \( \mathcal{C} \). For \( X \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}) \) we define \( \dim(X) \) to be the Krull dimension of any compactification \( \overline{X} \) of \( X \) over \( B \) (i.e., \( \overline{X} \) is proper over \( B \) and there is an open immersion \( X \hookrightarrow \overline{X} \) of \( b \)-schemes). This does not depend on the choice of compactification. For an integer \( a \geq 0 \) let \( X_a \) denotes the set of such \( x \in X \) that \( \dim(\overline{\{x\}}) = a \). Then one can check:

\[
X_a \cap Y = Y_a \quad \text{for } Y \text{ locally closed in } X.
\]

Let \( \text{Mod} \) be the category of modules.

**Definition 1.1.** (a) Let \( \mathcal{C}_* \) be the category with the same objects as \( \mathcal{C} \), but where morphisms are just the proper maps in \( \mathcal{C} \). A homology theory \( H = \{H_a\}_{a \in \mathbb{Z}} \) on \( \mathcal{C} \) is a sequence of covariant functors:

\[
H_a(-) : \mathcal{C}_* \to \text{Mod}
\]

satisfying the following conditions:

(i) For each open immersion \( j : V \hookrightarrow X \) in \( \mathcal{C} \), there is a map \( j^* : H_a(X) \to H_a(V) \), associated to \( j \) in a functorial way.
(ii) If \( i : Y \hookrightarrow X \) is a closed immersion in \( X \), with open complement \( j : V \hookrightarrow X \), there is a long exact sequence (called localization sequence)

\[
\cdots \xrightarrow{\partial} H_a(Y) \xrightarrow{i^*} H_a(X) \xrightarrow{j^*} H_a(V) \xrightarrow{\partial} H_{a-1}(Y) \xrightarrow{\partial} \cdots.
\]

(The maps \( \partial \) are called the connecting morphisms.) This sequence is functorial with respect to proper maps or open immersions, in an obvious way.

(b) A morphism between homology theories \( H \) and \( H' \) is a morphism \( \phi : H \to H' \) of functors on \( \mathcal{C}_* \), which is compatible with the long exact sequences from (ii).

We give basic examples.

**Example 1.2.** Let \( \mathcal{K} \) be a bounded complex of étale sheaves of torsion modules on \( B \). Then one gets a homology theory \( H = H^{\text{ét}}(-, \mathcal{K}) \) on \( \mathcal{C} \) by defining

\[
H_a^{\text{ét}}(X, \mathcal{K}) := H^{-a}(X_{\text{ét}}, Rf^!\mathcal{K}), \quad \text{for } f : X \to B \text{ in } \mathcal{C}
\]
called the étale homology of \( X \) over \( B \) with values in \( \mathcal{K} \). Here \( Rf^! \) is the right adjoint of \( Rf_* \) defined in [SGA 4], XVIII, 3.1.4.

**Example 1.3.** Let \( \mathcal{K} \) be as in [1,2]. One defines a homology theory \( H^D(-, \mathcal{K}) \) on \( \mathcal{C} \) by:

\[
H_a^D(X, \mathcal{K}) := \text{Hom}(H^a(B, Rf_*f^*\mathcal{K}), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}), \quad \text{for } f : X \to B \text{ in } \mathcal{C}.
\]

We fix a homology theory \( H \) on \( \mathcal{C} \). For every \( X \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}) \), we have the spectral sequence of homological type, called the niveau spectral sequence:

\[
E^1_{p,q}(X) = \bigoplus_{x \in X_p} H_{p+q}(x) \Rightarrow H_{p+q}(X) \quad \text{with } H_a(x) = \lim_{V \subseteq \{x\}} H_a(V).
\]

Here the limit is over all open non-empty subschemes \( V \subseteq \{x\} \). This spectral sequence is covariant with respect to proper morphisms in \( \mathcal{C} \) and contravariant with respect to open immersions.

We briefly recall the construction of this spectral sequence given by Bloch-Ogus [BO]. For \( T \in \mathcal{C} \) let \( \mathcal{Z}_p(T) \) be the set of closed subsets \( Z \subseteq T \) of dimension \( \leq p \), ordered by inclusion, and let \( \mathcal{Z}_p/\mathcal{Z}_{p-1}(T) \) be the set of pairs \( (Z, Z') \in \mathcal{Z}_p \times \mathcal{Z}_{p-1} \) with \( Z' \subseteq Z \), again ordered by inclusion. For every \( (Z, Z') \in \mathcal{Z}_p/\mathcal{Z}_{p-1}(X) \), one has the exact localization sequence

\[
\cdots \to H_a(Z') \to H_a(Z) \to H_a(Z \setminus Z') \xrightarrow{\delta} H_{a-1}(Z') \to \cdots.
\]

Taking its limit over \( \mathcal{Z}_p/\mathcal{Z}_{p-1}(X) \), we get the exact sequence

\[
\cdots \to H_a(\mathcal{Z}_{p-1}(X)) \to H_a(\mathcal{Z}_p(X)) \to H_a(\mathcal{Z}_p/\mathcal{Z}_{p-1}(X)) \xrightarrow{\delta} H_{a-1}(\mathcal{Z}_{p-1}(X)) \cdots.
\]

The collection of these sequences, together with the fact that one has \( H_*(\mathcal{Z}_p(X)) = 0 \) for \( p < 0 \) and \( H_0(\mathcal{Z}_p(X)) = H_0(X) \) for \( p \geq \dim X \), gives the spectral sequence in a standard way, e.g., by exact couples. Here

\[
E^1_{p,q}(X) = H_{p+q}(\mathcal{Z}_p/\mathcal{Z}_{p-1}(X)) = \bigoplus_{x \in X_p} H_{p+q}(x).
\]

The \( E^1 \)-differentials are the compositions

\[
H_{p+q}(\mathcal{Z}_p/\mathcal{Z}_{p-1}(X)) \xrightarrow{\delta} H_{p+q-1}(\mathcal{Z}_{p-1}(X)) \to H_{p+q-1}(\mathcal{Z}_{p-1}/\mathcal{Z}_{p-2}(X)).
\]

The \( E^r \)-differentials are denoted by:

\[
d^r_{p,q}(X) : E^r_{p,q}(X) \to E^r_{p-r,q+r-1}(X).
\]

We will use the standard notation:

\[
E^1_{p,q}(X) = Z^0_{p,q}(X) \supset Z^1_{p,q}(X) \supset Z^2_{p,q}(X) \supset B^\infty_{p,q}(X) \supset B^r_{p,q}(X) \supset B^0_{p,q}(X) = 0,
\]
where
\[ E_{p,q}^{r+1}(X) = Z_{p,q}^r(X)/B_{p,q}^r(X), \quad Z_{p,q}^\infty(X) = \bigcap_{r \geq 0} Z_{p,q}^r(X), \quad B_{p,q}^\infty(X) = \bigcup_{r \geq 0} B_{p,q}^r(X). \]

We also denote
\[ (Z^r/B^s)_{p,q}(X) = Z_{p,q}^r(X)/B_{p,q}^s(X). \]

In what follows we fix \( X \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}) \), a closed subscheme \( i : Y \hookrightarrow X \) with \( j : V = X \setminus Y \hookrightarrow X \), the open complement. The property (1.1) allows us to have the following maps of the spectral sequences (cf. [JS1], Prop.2.9)
\[ (1.6) \quad i_* : E_{p,q}^1(Y) \to E_{p,q}^1(X), \quad j^* : E_{p,q}^1(X) \to E_{p,q}^1(V), \quad \partial : E_{p,q}^2(V)^{-} \to E_{p-1,q}^2(Y), \]
where the superscript (\(-\)) means that all differentials in the original spectral sequence are multiplied by \(-1\). We have the short exact sequence
\[ (1.7) \quad 0 \to E_{p,q}^1(Y) \xrightarrow{i_*} E_{p,q}^1(X) \xrightarrow{j^*} E_{p,q}^1(V) \to 0 \]
and the long exact sequence
\[ \ldots \to E_{p,q}^2(Y) \xrightarrow{i_*} E_{p,q}^2(X) \xrightarrow{j^*} E_{p,q}^2(V) \xrightarrow{\partial} E_{p-1,q}^2(Y) \to \ldots. \]

For \( r \geq 3 \) the sequence
\[ E_{p,q}^r(Y) \xrightarrow{i_*} E_{p,q}^r(X) \xrightarrow{j^*} E_{p,q}^r(V) \]
is not anymore exact in general. The following result will play a crucial role in the proof of the main results of this paper.

**Theorem 1.4.** Fix integers \( p, q \geq 0 \). Assume that there is an integer \( e \geq 1 - q \) such that
\[ (Z^\infty/B^{q+e})_{p-a,q+a}(V) = 0 \quad \text{for all } a \geq 1, \]
\[ (Z^\infty/B^{q+e})_{p-a,q+a}(Y) = 0 \quad \text{for all } a \text{ with } -(q + e - 1) \leq a \leq -1. \]
Then the following sequence is exact:
\[ (Z^\infty/B^{q+e})_{p,q}(Y) \xrightarrow{i_*} (Z^\infty/B^{q+e})_{p,q}(X) \xrightarrow{j^*} (Z^\infty/B^{q+e})_{p,q}(V). \]

We need some preliminaries for the proof of the main theorem. Recall that we have the exact sequence
\[ (1.8) \quad H_{p+q}(Z_{p-1}(X)) \to H_{p+q}(Z_p(X)) \xrightarrow{\pi_{p,q}} E_{p,q}^1(X) \xrightarrow{\delta_{p,q}} H_{p+q-1}(Z_{p-1}(X)) \]
For each integer \( r \geq 0 \) we put
\[ (1.9) \quad K_{p,q}^r(X) = \text{Ker}(H_{p+q}(Z_p(X)) \to H_{p+q}(Z_{p+r}(X))). \]
Then we have
\[ (1.10) \quad B_{p,q}^r(X) = \pi_{p,q}(K_{p,q}^r(X)), \]
\[ (1.11) \quad Z_{p,q}^\infty(X) = \text{Image}(\pi_{p,q}) = \text{Ker}(\delta_{p,q}), \]
\[ (1.12) \quad Z_{p,q}^r(X) = \delta_{p,q}^{-1}(H_{p+q-1}(Z_{p-1-r}(X)))/K_{p-1-r,q+r}^r(X), \]
and \( d_{p,q}^{r+1}(X) : E_{p,q}^{r+1}(X) \to E_{p-1-r,q+r}^{r+1}(X) \) is induced by
\[ Z_{p,q}^r(X) \xrightarrow{\delta_{p,q}} \frac{H_{p+q-1}(Z_{p-1-r}(X))}{K_{p-1-r,q+r}^r(X)} \xrightarrow{\pi_{p-1-r,q+r}} (Z^0/B^r)_{p-1-r,q+r}(X). \]

We now introduce an object that plays a key role in the proof of (1.3)
Definition 1.5. We set
\[ \Phi_{r}^{p,q} = \mathrm{Ker}(H_{p+q}(\mathbb{Z}_{p+r}(X))) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} \frac{H_{p+q}(\mathbb{Z}_{p+r}(V))}{\mathrm{Image}(H_{p+q}(\mathbb{Z}_{p}(V)))}. \]

Note
\[ \mathrm{Image}(H_{p+q}(\mathbb{Z}_{p+r}(Y))@ > i_* > > H_{p+q}(\mathbb{Z}_{p+r}(X))) \subset \Phi_{r}^{p,q}. \]
\[ \mathrm{Image}(H_{p+q}(\mathbb{Z}_{p}(X))@ > > > H_{p+q}(\mathbb{Z}_{p+r}(X))) \subset \Phi_{r}^{p,q}. \]

By definition there is a natural map
\[ (1.13) \quad g_{r}^{p,q} : \Phi_{r}^{p,q} \xrightarrow{\pi^*} \frac{H_{p+q}(\mathbb{Z}_{p}(V))}{K_{p,q}^{r}(V)} \xrightarrow{\pi^*} (\mathbb{Z}^\infty/B^r)_{p,q}(V). \]

Noting \( \mathrm{Ker}(\pi_{p,q} : H_{p+q}(\mathbb{Z}_{p}(V)) \to E_{p,q}^{1}(\mathbb{Z}_{p}(V)) = \mathrm{Image}(H_{p+q}(\mathbb{Z}_{p-1}(V))) \), we have
\[ (1.14) \quad \mathrm{Ker}(g_{p,q}^{r}) = \Phi_{r}^{p-1,q+1}. \]

There is a natural map
\[ (1.15) \quad \psi_{p,q}^{r} : Z_{p+r+1,q-r}^{r}(V) \to \Phi_{r}^{p,q}/i_* K_{p+r,q-r}^{1}(Y), \]
where \( i_* : H_{p+q}(\mathbb{Z}_{p+r}(Y)) \to H_{p+q}(\mathbb{Z}_{p+r}(X)) \). Indeed consider the composite map
\[ Z_{p+r+1,q-r}^{r}(V) \hookrightarrow E_{p+r+1,q-r}^{1}(V) \xrightarrow{\cong} E_{p+r+1,q-r}^{1}(X) \xrightarrow{\delta_{p+r+1,q-r}} H_{p+q}(\mathbb{Z}_{p+r}(X)) \xrightarrow{i_* K_{p+r,q-r}^{1}(Y)} \]
where we note \( \mathrm{Image}(E_{p+r+1,q-r}^{1}(Y) \to H_{p+q}(\mathbb{Z}_{p+r}(Y))) = K_{p+r,q-r}^{1}(Y) \). By (1.12) its image lies in \( \Phi_{r}^{p,q}/i_* K_{p+r,q-r}^{1}(Y) \) and the following sequence is exact:
\[ (1.16) \quad Z_{p+r+1,q-r}^{r}(V) \xrightarrow{\psi_{p,q}^{r}} \Phi_{r}^{p,q}/i_* K_{p+r,q-r}^{1}(Y) \xrightarrow{\tau} \Phi_{r+1}^{p,q}, \]
where \( \tau \) is induced by the natural map \( H_{p+q}(\mathbb{Z}_{p+r}(X)) \to H_{p+q}(\mathbb{Z}_{p+r+1}(X)) \). The following diagram is commutative and all sequences are exact:
\[ (1.17) \quad \begin{array}{ccc}
Z_{p+r+1,q-r}^{r}(V) & \xrightarrow{\psi_{p+1,q+1}} & Z_{p+r+1,q-r}^{r}(V) \\
\downarrow \phi_{p+1,q+1} & & \downarrow \phi_{p,q} \\
\Phi_{p+r+1}^{p-1,q+1} & \xrightarrow{\psi_{p,q}^{r}} & \Phi_{p+r}^{p,q} \\
\downarrow \tau & & \downarrow \tau \\
\Phi_{p+r+2}^{p-1,q+1} & \xrightarrow{\phi_{p,q}^{r}} & \Phi_{p+r+1}^{p,q} \\
\downarrow \phi_{p,q} & & \downarrow \phi_{p,q}^{r} \\
& & (Z^\infty/B^r)_{p,q}(V)
\end{array} \]

Lemma 1.6. Assume \( r \geq 1 \).

(1) There exists a unique map
\[ f_{r}^{p,q} : \Phi_{r}^{p,q} \to Z_{p+r,q-r}^{r}(Y) \]
whose composition with \( Z_{p+r,q-r}^{r}(Y) \hookrightarrow E_{p+r,q-r}^{1}(Y)@ > i_* > > E_{p+r,q-r}^{1}(X) \) is
\[ \Phi_{r}^{p,q} \hookrightarrow H_{p+q}(\mathbb{Z}_{p+r}(X)) \xrightarrow{\pi_{p+r,q-r}} E_{p+r,q-r}^{1}(X). \]

(2) The composite map
\[ H_{p+q}(\mathbb{Z}_{p+r}(Y))@ > i_* > > \Phi_{r}^{p,q}@ > f_{r}^{p,q} > > Z_{p+r,q-r}^{r}(Y) \hookrightarrow E_{p+r,q-r}^{1}(Y) \]
is the natural map \( H_{p+q}(\mathbb{Z}_{p+r}(Y)) \to H_{p+q}(\mathbb{Z}_{p+r}/\mathbb{Z}_{p+r-1}(Y)). \)
(3) The following diagram is commutative up to $\pm 1$.

$$
(Z_\infty/B^r)_{p,q}(V) \xrightarrow{\partial} (Z_\infty/B^r)_{p-1,q}(Y)
$$

$$
\xrightarrow{\varphi_{r,q}^p} \Phi_{p,q}^r \xrightarrow{f_{p,q}^r} Z_{p+r,q-r}^r(Y)
$$

(4) \text{(1.16) (with } r \text{ replaced by } r-1 \text{) extends to the following exact sequence:}

$$
Z_{p+r,q-r+1}^{r-1}(V) \xrightarrow{\varphi_{r,q}^p} \Phi_{p,q}^{r-1} \xrightarrow{\tau} \Phi_{p,q} f_{p,q}^r Z_{p+r,q-r}^r(Y).
$$

The proof of \text{(1.6)} will be given later in this section.

**Theorem 1.7.** Let the assumption be as in \text{(1.4)}. Let $x \in H_{p+q}(Z_p(X))$ and assume $j^*(\pi_{p,q}(x)) \in B_{p,q}^{q+e}(V)$. Then we have $x \in K_{p,q}^{q+e}(X) + \Phi_{p+1}^{-1,p+q+1}$.

We first deduce \text{(1.4)} from \text{(1.7)} By \text{(1.10)} it suffices to show that the conclusion of \text{(1.7)} implies

$$
x \in K_{p,q}^{q+e}(X) + \text{Image}(H_{p+q}(Z_{p-1}(X))) + \text{Image}(H_{p+q}(Z_p(Y))) \xrightarrow{i_*} H_{p+q}(Z_p(X)).
$$

This follows from the commutative diagram

$$
H_{p+q}(Z_p(Y)) \xrightarrow{\pi_{p,q}} (Z_\infty/B^{q+e})_{p,q}(Y)
$$

$$
\xrightarrow{i_*} \Phi_{p+1}^{-1,p+q+1} \xrightarrow{f_{p+1,q}^{-1,p+q+1}} (Z^{p+1}/B^{q+e})_{p,q}(Y)
$$

together with the fact that $\pi_{p,q}$ is surjective by \text{(1.11)} and that $\text{Ker}(f_{p+1,q}^{-1,p+q+1})$ lies in the image of $\Phi_{p+1}^{-1,p+q+1} \subset H_{p+q}(Z_{p-1}(X))$ due to \text{(1.6)(4)} and \text{(1.6)(4)(2)} and \text{(1.10)}. $\square$

Let $e$ be the integer in \text{(1.4)} and set

$$
\Phi_{r,q}^p = \Phi_{r,q}^p/\Phi_{p+1}^{-1,p+q+1}/K_{p+1,q-r,e}(Y).
$$

For any $z \in H_{p+q}(Z_p(X))$ and any integer $0 \leq t \leq q + e - 1$, let

$$
z^{(t)} \in \Phi_{r,q}^p \subset H_{p+q}(Z_{p+t}(X))/\Phi_{p+1}^{-1,p+q+1}/K_{p+1,q-t,e}(Y)
$$

be the image of $z$ under the map induced by $H_{p+q}(Z_p(X)) \to H_{p+q}(Z_{p+t}(X))$ (note $q-t+e \geq 1$).

By induction \text{(1.7)} is deduced from the following claims.

**Claim 1.8.** Let $x \in H_{p+q}(Z_p(X))$ and assume $j^*(\pi_{p,q}(x)) \in B_{p,q}^{q+e}(V)$. Assume:

\begin{align*}
(\ast 1) & \quad (Z_\infty/B^{q+t+e})_{p-t,q+t}(V) = 0 \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq t \leq p. \\
(\ast 2) & \quad (Z_\infty/B^{q-t+e})_{p+t,q-t}(Y) = 0 \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq t \leq q + e - 1.
\end{align*}

Then there exists $u \in K_{p,q}^{q+e}(X)$ such that $(x - u)^{(q+e-1)} \in \Phi_{p+1}^{-1,p+q+1} \subset \Phi_{p+1}^{q+e-1}$. 

**Claim 1.9.** Fix an integer $0 \leq r \leq q + e - 2$. Let $x \in H_{p+q}(Z_p(X))$ and assume $x^{(r+1)} \in \Phi_{p+r+2}^{-1,p+q+1} \subset \Phi_{p+r+1}^{q+e-1}$. Assume

\begin{align*}
(\ast 3) & \quad (Z_\infty/B^{q-t+e})_{p+t,q-t}(Y) = 0 \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq t \leq r. \\
& \quad \text{Then there exists } u \in K_{p,q}^{q+e}(X) \text{ such that } (x - u)^{(r)} \in \Phi_{p+r+1}^{-1,p+q+1} \subset \Phi_{p+r+1}^{q+e}.
\end{align*}

For the proof of the claims, we need the following lemmas.

**Lemma 1.10.** If $(Z_\infty/B^{q-t+e})_{p+t,q-t}(Y) = 0$, then $\Phi_{p+t}^{-1,p+q+1} \to \Phi_{p+t+1}^{-1,p+q+1}$ is surjective.
Proof This follows from the exact sequence
\[ \tilde{\Phi}_{p+1}^{-1,p+q+1} \to \tilde{\Phi}_{p+1}^{-1,p+q+1} f_{p+1}^{-1,p+q+1} (Z^{p+q+1}/B^{q+1})_{p+q+1} \]
which is deduced from (1.6)(4) and (1.10), together with the facts that
\[ f_{p+1}^{-1,p+q+1}(i_* K_{p+1}^{q+1}(Y)) = B^{q+1}_p \]
by (1.6)2 and (1.10) and that \( Z^{p+q+1}_p(Y) = Z^\infty_{p+q+1} \).

Lemma 1.11. Consider the maps
\[ \tilde{\psi}_p q : Z_{p+r+1,q-r}(V) \to \tilde{\Phi}_p q, \quad \iota : H_{p+q}(Z_p(X)) \to \tilde{\Phi}_p q, \]
where the first map is induced by \( \tilde{\psi}_p q \) (1.15) and the second by the natural map \( H_{p+q}(Z_p(X)) \to H_{p+q}(Z_{p+r}(X)) \). Assuming \( r \leq q + e - 1 \), we have
\[ \text{Image}(\tilde{\psi}_p q) \bigcap \text{Image}(\iota) \subset (K_{p+1}^{q+1}(X)). \]

Proof We have the commutative diagram
\[ \begin{array}{ccc}
H_{p+q}(Z_p(X)) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & H_{p+q}(Z_{p+q+e}(X)) \\
\downarrow \iota & & \downarrow \beta \\
Z_{p+r+1,q-r}^e(V) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\psi}_p q} & \tilde{\Phi}_p q \\
\phantom{\downarrow} & & \phantom{\downarrow} \\
\phantom{\downarrow} & & \phantom{\downarrow} \\
\tilde{\Phi}_p q & \xrightarrow{\psi}_p q & H_{p+q}(Z_{p+r}(X)) \bigcap \text{Image}(\tilde{\psi}_p q) \\
\end{array} \]
where \( \beta \) exists since \( K_{p+r,q-r}^e(Y) = \text{Ker}(H_{p+q}(Z_{p+r}(Y)) \to H_{p+q}(Z_{p+q+e}(X))) \). By the assumption we have \( p + r + 1 \leq p + q + e \) so that (1.16) implies \( \text{Image}(\tilde{\psi}_p q) \subset \text{Ker}(\beta) \). Therefore (1.10) follows by noting that \( \text{Ker}(\alpha) = K_{p+1}^{q+1}(X) \).

Now we show (1.8). We use the following commutative diagram with exact horizontal sequences, which is deduced from (1.17):
\[ \begin{array}{cccc}
Z^{q+e+s}_{p+q+e,-e+1}(V) & \xhookrightarrow{\psi}_q & Z^{q+e+s-1}_{p+q+e,-e+1}(V) & \xrightarrow{d_{q+e+s}} (B^{q+e+s}/B^{q+e+s-1})_{p-s,q+s}(V) \\
\downarrow {\psi}_q & & \downarrow {\psi}_q & \\
\tilde{\Phi}_p q & \xhookrightarrow{\psi}_q & \tilde{\Phi}_p q & \\
\end{array} \]
Take \( y_1 \in Z^{q+e-1}_{p+q+e,-e+1}(V) \) such that \( j^*(\pi_{p,q}(x)) = d_{p+q+e,-e+1}(V)(y_1) \). By the above diagram with \( s = 0 \) we have
\[ \epsilon_1 := x^{q+e-1} - {\psi}_q y_1 \in \tilde{\Phi}_p q \subset \tilde{\Phi}_p q. \]
Let \( s \geq 1 \) be an integer and assume that there exists \( z_s \in Z^{q+e-1}_{p+q+e,-e+1}(V) \) such that
\[ \epsilon_s := x^{q+e-1} - {\psi}_q y_s \in \tilde{\Phi}_p q \subset \tilde{\Phi}_p q. \]
By the assumption (1.1) we can find \( y_s \in Z^{q+e+s-1}_{p+q+e,-e+1}(V) \) such that \( d_p y_s = \epsilon_s \).
By the above diagram we get
\[ \epsilon_{s+1} := \epsilon_s - {\psi}_q y_s \in \tilde{\Phi}_p q \subset \tilde{\Phi}_p q. \]
By induction this shows that there exists \( z \in Z^{q+e-1}_{p+q+e,-e+1}(V) \) such that
\[ \epsilon := x^{q+e-1} - {\psi}_q y \in \tilde{\Phi}_p q \subset \tilde{\Phi}_p q. \]
By the assumption (2) (1.10) implies \( \tilde{\Phi}_p q \to \tilde{\Phi}_p q \) is surjective. Since \( \tilde{\Phi}_p q \subset H_{p+q}(Z_p(X)) \) we get \( \epsilon \in \tilde{\Phi}_p q \) so that \( \psi_{p,q+e-1}(z) \in \text{Image}(\tilde{\psi}_p q) \). By (1.1) \( \psi_{p,q+e-1}(z) \in \text{Image}(K_{p+1}^{q+1}(X)) \), which completes the proof of (1.8).
Next we show \[ \text{Lemma } 1.9. \] We use the following commutative diagram deduced from (1.17):

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
Z_{p+r+1,q-r}^s(V) & \hookrightarrow & Z_{p+r+1,q-r}^r(V) & \xrightarrow{d r_{p+r+1,q-r}} & (B^{r+s+1}/B^{r+s})_{p-s,q+s}(V) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
\Phi_{p-r+s+1}^r & \hookrightarrow & \Phi_{p-r+s}^r & \xrightarrow{g_{p-r+s}} & (Z^r/B^{r+s})_{p-s,q+s}(V) & \downarrow & \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \\
\Phi_{p-r+s+2}^r & \hookrightarrow & \Phi_{p-r+s+1}^r & \xrightarrow{g_{p-r+s}} & (Z^r/B^{r+s+1})_{p-s,q+s}(V) & & \\
\end{array}
\]

where the vertical and horizontal sequences are exact. By the diagram with \( s = 0 \) the assumption \( x^{(r+1)} \in \Phi_{p+r+2}^{-1,p+q+1} \) implies that there exists \( y_1 \in Z_{p+r+1,q-r}^r(V) \) such that \( g_{r}^{p,q}(x^{(r)}) = d_{p+r+1,q-r}^r(V)(y_1) \) and hence

\[
\epsilon_1 := x^{(r)} - \psi_{r}^{p,q}(y_1) \in \Phi_{r+1}^{-1,q+1} \subset \Phi_{r}^{p,q}.
\]

Let \( p \geq s \geq 1 \) be an integer and assume that there exists \( z_s \in Z_{p+r+1,q-r}^r(V) \) such that

\[
\epsilon_s := x^{(r)} - \psi_{r}^{p,q}(z_s) \in \Phi_{r+1}^{-s,q+s} \subset \Phi_{r}^{p,q}.
\]

By (1.10) the assumption \( x^{(r+1)} \in \Phi_{p+r+2}^{-1,p+q+1} \) implies the image of \( \epsilon_s \), in \( \Phi_{r+1}^{-s,q+s} \) lies in \( \Phi_{r+r+2}^{-1,p+q+1} \). Hence the same argument as before shows that there exists \( y_s \in Z_{p+r+1,q-r}^r(V) \) such that

\[
\epsilon_{s+1} := \epsilon_s - \psi_{r}^{p,s,q+s}(y_s) \in \Phi_{r+1}^{-s-1,q+s+1} \subset \Phi_{r}^{p,q}.
\]

By induction this shows that there exists \( z \in Z_{p+r+1,q-r}^r(V) \) such that

\[
\epsilon := x^{(r)} - \psi_{r}^{p,q}(z) \in \Phi_{r+1}^{-1,p+q+1}.
\]

By the assumption (3) (1.10) implies \( \Phi_{p+1}^{-1,p+q+1} \rightarrow \Phi_{p+r+1}^{-1,p+q+1} \) is surjective. Now (1.9) follows from (1.11) by the same argument as before.

**Proof of (1.9)**

First we show (1). The uniqueness of \( f_{r}^{p,q} \) is a direct consequence of the injectivity of \( E_{p,q}^1(Y) \rightarrow E_{p,q}^1(X) \). To show its existence, we consider the following commutative diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
\Phi_{r}^{p,q} & \longrightarrow & H_{p+q}^1(Z_{p+r}(X)) & \xrightarrow{j^*} & H_{p+q}(Z_{p+r}(V)) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & E_{p+r,q-r}^1(Y) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & E_{p+r,q-r}^1(X) & \xrightarrow{j^*} & E_{p+r,q-r}^1(V) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\Phi_{r}^{p,q} & \longrightarrow & H_{p+q}^1(Z_{p+r}(V)) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H_{p+q}(Z_{p+1}(Y)) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
\Phi_{p+1,q-r}^r & \longrightarrow & H_{p+q-1}(Z_{p+r-1}(Y)) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H_{p+q-1}(Z_{p+1}(Y)) \\
\end{array}
\]

We have \( j^* \circ \pi_{p+r,q-r}(X)(\Phi_{r}^{p,q}) = 0 \) since \( \text{Ker}(\pi_{p+r,q-r}(V)) \) contains \( \text{Image}(H_{p+q}(Z_{p+r}(V))) \) for \( r \geq 1 \) (cf. (1.8)). Hence we get the induced map \( f_{r}^{p,q} : \Phi_{r}^{p,q} \rightarrow E_{p+r,q-r}^1(Y) \). It remains to show that its image lies in \( Z_{p+r,q-r}^r(Y) \). We consider the following diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
\Phi_{r}^{p,q} & \longrightarrow & H_{p+q}^1(Z_{p+r}(V)) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & H_{p+q}(Z_{p+1}(Y)) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
E_{p+r,q-r}^1(Y) & \delta_{p+r,q-r} & H_{p+q-1}(Z_{p+r-1}(Y)) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & H_{p+q-1}(Z_{p+1}(Y)) \\
\end{array}
\]

where \( \delta \) is the map inducing \( \delta \) in (1.6). Noting \( Z_{p+1,q-r}^r(Y) = \delta_{p+r,q-r}^{-1}(\text{Image}(H_{p+q}(Z_{p+1}(Y)))) \) (cf. (1.12)), it remains to show that the squares are commutative. For this we need to recall the definition of \( \delta \). For a closed subset \( T \subset V \) let \( \bar{T} \) be the closure. For \( T \in Z_s(V) \) one then has \( \bar{T} \cap Y \in Z_{s-1}(Y) \), and we have the localization sequences

\[
\cdots \rightarrow H_a(\bar{T} \cap Y) \rightarrow H_a(T) \rightarrow H_a(T) \rightarrow H_{a-1}(\bar{T} \cap Y) \rightarrow \cdots
\]
Taking the limits over $T \in \mathcal{Z}_r$, one gets
\[ \partial : H_\alpha(\mathcal{Z}_r) \to H_{\alpha-1}(\mathcal{Z}_{r-1}). \]

From the definition, the commutativity of the right square of (1.18) is obvious. For the left square, it suffices to check that the following diagram is commutative:
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
H_{s+t}(\mathcal{Z}_s(X)) & \xrightarrow{\pi_{s,t}} & E^1_{s,t}(X) \\
\downarrow j^* & & \downarrow \delta_{s,t} \\
H_{s+t}(\mathcal{Z}_s(V)) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H_{s+t-1}(\mathcal{Z}_{s-1}(Y)) \\
\end{array}
\]

where $p_{s,t}$ is the projection arising from the decomposition
\[ E^1_{s,t}(X) = \bigoplus_{x \in X_s} H_{s+t}(x) = E^1_{s,t}(Y) \oplus E^1_{s,t}(V), \]

which comes from the fact $X_s = Y_s \cup V_s$ since $X_s \cap V = V_s$ (cf. 1.1). Represent an element of $H_{s+t}(\mathcal{Z}_s(X))$ by an element of $H_{s+t}(W \cup Z)$, where $W \in \mathcal{Z}_s(V)$ with its closure $W$ in $X$ and $Z \in \mathcal{Z}_s(Y)$. We may enlarge $Z$ to assume $Z \supset W \cap Y$, and hence $W \cap Y = W \cap Z$. We write $S = W \cup Z$ and $T = W \cap Z$. We have the localization sequence for the pair $(S,T)$:
\[ H_{s+t}(S) \to H_{s+t}(S-T) \xrightarrow{\partial_{(S,T)}} H_{s+t-1}(T). \]

Noting $S-T = (W-T) \coprod (Z-T)$, we have the decomposition
\[ H_{s+t}(S-T) = H_{s+t}(W-T) \oplus H_{s+t}(Z-T) \]

and then $\partial_{(S,T)}$ is identified with $\partial_{(W,T)} \cdot p_W + \partial_{(Z,T)} \cdot p_Z$, where
\[ p_W : H_{s+t}(S-T) \to H_{s+t}(W-T) \quad \text{and} \quad p_Z : H_{s+t}(S-T) \to H_{s+t}(Z-T) \]

are the projections and
\[ \partial_{(W,T)} : H_{s+t}(W-T) \to H_{s+t-1}(T) \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_{(Z,T)} : H_{s+t}(Z-T) \to H_{s+t-1}(T) \]

are the boundary maps for the pairs $(W,T)$ and $(Z,T)$ respectively. Thus we get
\[ \partial_{(W,T)} \cdot p_W \cdot \nu + \partial_{(Z,T)} \cdot p_Z \cdot \nu = 0, \]

where $\nu : H_{s+t}(S) \to H_{s+t}(S-T)$. Note $W-T = S \cap V$ and $p_W \cdot \nu$ is identified with $j^*$ for the open immersion $j : S \cap V \hookrightarrow S$. Hence we get
\[ \partial_{(W,T)} \cdot j^* + \partial_{(Z,T)} \cdot p_Z \cdot \nu = 0. \]

Now the desired commutativity follows from the following diagram where all squares are commutative up to sign:
\[
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
H_{s+t}(\mathcal{Z}_s/\mathcal{Z}_{s-1}(X)) & \xrightarrow{\pi_{s,t}} & H_{s+t}(\mathcal{Z}_s(X)) & \xrightarrow{j^*} & H_{s+t}(\mathcal{Z}_s(V)) \\
\downarrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
E^1_{s,t}(X) & \leftarrow & H_{s+t}(S) & \xrightarrow{j^*} & H_{s+t}(W-T) & \rightarrow & H_{s+t}(\mathcal{Z}_s(V)) \\
\downarrow p_{s,t} & & \downarrow p_Z \cdot \nu & & \downarrow \partial_{(W,T)} & & \downarrow \partial \\
E^1_{s,t}(Y) & \leftarrow & H_{s+t}(Z-T) & \xrightarrow{\partial_{(Z,T)}} & H_{s+t-1}(T) & \rightarrow & H_{s+t-1}(\mathcal{Z}_{s-1}(Y)) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
H_{s+t}(\mathcal{Z}_s/\mathcal{Z}_{s-1}(Y)) & \xrightarrow{\delta_{s,t}} & E^1_{s,t}(Y) & \xrightarrow{\delta_{s,t}} & H_{s+t-1}(\mathcal{Z}_{s-1}(Y)) \\
\end{array}
\]

This completes the proof of (1.6(1)).
where all vertical and horizontal sequences are exact. Thus, to show the surjectivity of $f_{p,q}$, it suffices to prove the surjectivity of $K_{p+r,q-r}(X)@ > j^* >> E^1_{p+r,q-r}(X)$. We have

\[
\text{Ker}(f_{p,q}) = \text{Ker}(H_{p+q}(Z_{p+r}(X))@ > \pi_{p,q} >> E^1_{p+r,q-r}(X)) \\
= \text{Ker}(H_{p+q}(Z_{p+r-1}(X))@ > j^* >> H_{p+q}(Z_{p+r}(V)))
\]

Consider the commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
K^1_{p+r-1,q-r+1}(X) & \xrightarrow{j^*} & K^1_{p+r-1,q-r+1}(V) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{p,q}^{-1}} & H_{p+q}(Z_{p+r-1}(X)) @ > j^* >> H_{p+q}(Z_{p+r}(V)) \\
\downarrow\tau & & \downarrow \\
0 & \xrightarrow{\text{Ker}(f_{p,q})} & H_{p+q}(Z_{p+r-1}(X)) @ > j^* >> H_{p+q}(Z_{p+r}(V))
\end{array}
\]

where all vertical and horizontal sequences are exact. Thus, to show the surjectivity of $\tau$ in the diagram, it suffices to prove the surjectivity of $K^1_{p+r-1,q-r+1}(X)@ > j^* >> K^1_{p+r-1,q-r+1}(V)$. By noting

\[
K^1_{p+r-1,q-r+1}(X) = \text{Image}(E^1_{p+r,q-r+1}(X)@ > \delta_{p+r,q-r+1} >> H_{p+q}(Z_{p+r-1}(X))), \\
K^1_{p+r-1,q-r+1}(V) = \text{Image}(E^1_{p+r,q-r+1}(V)@ > \delta_{p+r,q-r+1} >> H_{p+q}(Z_{p+r-1}(V))),
\]

it follows from the surjectivity of $E^1_{p+r,q-r+1}(X)@ > j^* >> E^1_{p+r,q-r+1}(V)$. This completes the proof of $1.6$. \(\square\)

2. Kato complex of a homology theory

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be as in the previous section. We assume $B = \text{Spec}(k)$ for a field $k$. Let $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{C}$ be the subcategory of smooth projective schemes over $k$.

**Definition 2.1.** (GS)

1. Let $\mathcal{ZS}$ (resp. $\text{CorS}$) be the category with the same objects as $\mathcal{S}$, but with

\[
\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{ZS}}(X,Y) = \bigoplus_{i \in I, j \in J} \mathbb{Z}\text{Hom}_S(X_j,Y_i)
\]

(resp. $\text{Hom}_{\text{CorS}}(X,Y) = \bigoplus_{i \in I, j \in J} \text{CH}^{\text{dim}(Y_i)}(X_j \times Y_i)$)

for $X, Y \in OB(\mathcal{S})$, where $X_j$ ($j \in J$) and $Y_i$ ($i \in I$) are the connected components of $X$ and $Y$ respectively and $\mathbb{Z}\text{Hom}_S(X_j,Y_i)$ denotes the free abelian group on $\text{Hom}_S(X_j,Y_i)$. It is easy to check that $\mathcal{ZS}$ and $\text{CorS}$ are additive categories and the coproduct $X \oplus Y$ of $X, Y \in Ob(\mathcal{S})$ is given by $X \coprod Y$. There are natural functors

\[
\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{ZS} \rightarrow \text{CorS},
\]

where the second functor is additive and it maps $f \in \text{Hom}_S(X,Y)$ to the class of its graph.
(2) For a simplicial object in $\mathcal{S}$:

$$
\begin{array}{c}
\delta_0 \\
\downarrow \quad \quad \downarrow \\
\delta_0 \\
\downarrow \quad \quad \downarrow \\
\delta_1 \\
\downarrow \quad X_0
\end{array}
$$

we define the complex in $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{S}$:

$$
\mathbb{Z}X_\bullet : \cdots \to X_n \xrightarrow{\partial_n} X_{n-1} \to \cdots \quad (\partial_n = \sum_{j=0}^{n} (-1)^j \delta_j).
$$

(3) Let $\Lambda$ be a module. To a chain complex in $\text{Cor}\mathcal{S}$:

$$
X_\bullet : X_n \xrightarrow{c_n} X_{n-1} \xrightarrow{c_{n-1}} \cdots \to X_1 \xrightarrow{c_1} X_0,
$$

we associate a complex of modules called the graph complex of $X_\bullet$:

$$
\text{Graph}(X_\bullet, \Lambda) : \Lambda^{\pi_0(X_n)} \xrightarrow{\cdot c_n} \Lambda^{\pi_0(X_{n-1})} \xrightarrow{\cdot c_{n-1}} \cdots \to \Lambda^{\pi_0(X_1)} \xrightarrow{\cdot c_1} \Lambda^{\pi_0(X_0)}.
$$

Here, for $X, Y \in \mathcal{S}$ connected and for $c \in \text{CH}_d(Y)(X \times Y)$, $c_\ast : \Lambda \to \Lambda$ is the multiplication by $\sum_{i=1}^{N} n_i[k(c_i) : k(X)]$ where $c = \sum_{i=1}^{N} n_i c_i$ with $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $c_i \subset X \times Y$, closed integral subschemes. For a chain complex $X_\bullet$ in $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{S}$, we let $\text{Graph}(X_\bullet, \Lambda)$ denote the graph complex associated to the image of $X_\bullet$ in $\text{Cor}\mathcal{S}$ (cf. (2.1)).

**Definition 2.2.** Fix an integer $e \geq 0$.

1. Let $H$ be a homology theory on $\mathcal{C}_\ast$ and let

$$
E_{1, b}^1(X) = \bigoplus_{x \in X_a} H_{a+b}(x) \Rightarrow H_{a+b}(X).
$$

be the niveau spectral sequence associated to $H$. Then $H$ is *leveled above* $e$ if

$$
(2.2) \quad E_{1, b}^1(X) = 0 \quad \text{for all} \ b < -e \ \text{and all} \ X \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}).
$$

We write $\Lambda_H = H_{-e}(B)$ and call it the coefficient module of $H$.

2. Let $H$ be as in (1). For $X \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ with $d = \dim(X)$, define the Kato complex of $X$ by

$$
\text{Kato}_H(X) : E_{d, -e}^1(X) \to E_{d-1, -e}^1(X) \to \cdots \to E_{1, -e}^1(X) \to E_{0, -e}^1(X),
$$

where $E_{a, -e}^1(X)$ is placed in degree $a$ and the differentials are the $d^1$-differentials.

3. We denote by $\text{KH}_a(X)$ the homology group of $\text{Kato}_H(X)$ in degree $a$ called the Kato homology of $X$. By (2.2), we have the edge homomorphism

$$
(2.3) \quad \epsilon_a : H_{a-e}(X) \to \text{KH}_a(X) = E_{a, -e}^2(X).
$$

**Remark 2.3.** If $H$ is leveled above $e$, then the homology theory $\tilde{H} = H[-e]$ given by $\tilde{H}_a(X) = H_{a-e}(X)$ for $X \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ is leveled above 0. Thus we may consider only a homology theory leveled above 0 without loss of generality.

In what follows we fix a homology theory $H$ as in (2.2)

A proper morphism $f : X \to Y$ and an open immersion $j : V \to X$ induce maps of complexes

$$
f_\ast : \text{Kato}_H(X) \to \text{Kato}_H(Y), \quad j^\ast : \text{Kato}_H(X) \to \text{Kato}_H(V),
$$

respectively. For a closed immersion $i : Z \hookrightarrow X$ and its complement $j : V \hookrightarrow X$, we have the following exact sequence of complexes due to (1.7):

$$
(2.4) \quad 0 \to \text{Kato}_H(Z) \xrightarrow{i^\ast} \text{Kato}_H(X) \xrightarrow{j^\ast} \text{Kato}_H(V) \to 0.
$$

By definition we have

$$
\text{Kato}_H(B) = \Lambda_H[0] \quad (B = \text{Spec}(k))
$$
where $\Lambda_H[0]$ is the complex with components $\Lambda_H$ in degree 0, and 0 in the other degrees. Thus, if $f : X \to B$ is proper, we get a map of complexes

$$f_* : KC_H(X) \to \Lambda_H[0].$$

For a chain complex in $\mathbb{Z}S$:

$$X_\bullet : X_n \xrightarrow{f_n} X_{n-1} \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} \cdots \to X_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} X_0$$

we denote by $KC_H(X_\bullet)$ the total complex of the double complex

$$KC_H(X_n) \xrightarrow{f_n} KC_H(X_{n-1}) \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} \cdots \to KC_H(X_1) \xrightarrow{f_1} KC_H(X_0).$$

The maps (2.5) for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ induces a natural map of complexes called the graph homomorphism:

$$\gamma_{X_\bullet} : KC_H(X_\bullet) \to \text{Graph}(X_\bullet, \Lambda_H)$$

**Example 2.4.** Assume $B = \text{Spec}(K)$ where $K$ is a finite field with the absolute Galois group $G_K = \text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$. Fix a torsion $G_K$-module $\Lambda$, which is viewed as a sheaf on $B_{\acute{e}t}$. Taking $K = \Lambda$ in the example 1.2, one gets a homology theory $H = H^{\acute{e}t}(\cdot, \Lambda)$ on $\mathcal{C}$:

$$H^a_{\acute{e}t}(X, \Lambda) := H^{-a}(X_{\acute{e}t}, \mathbf{R}f_1\Lambda) \text{ for } f : X \to B \text{ in } \mathcal{C}.$$  

For $X$ smooth of pure dimension $d$ over $k$, we have (cf. [BO] and [JS1], Th.2.14)

$$H^a_{\acute{e}t}(X, \Lambda) = H^{2d-a}_{\acute{e}t}(X, \Lambda(d)),$$

where, for an integer $r > 0$, $\Lambda(r)$ is defined as follows. If $\Lambda$ is annihilated by an integer $n > 1$, define $\Lambda(r) = \Lambda \otimes \mathbb{Z}/nr\mathbb{Z}(r)$ where $\mathbb{Z}/nr\mathbb{Z}(r)$ is a bounded complex of sheaves on $X_{\acute{e}t}$, defined as follows: Writing $n = mp^t$ with $p = \text{ch}(K)$ and $(p, m) = 1$,

$$\mathbb{Z}/nr\mathbb{Z}(r) = \mu_{mp^t} \otimes W_tE_{X,\log}[-r],$$

where $\mu_m$ is the étale sheaf of $m$-th roots of unity, and $W_tE_{X,\log}$ is the logarithmic part of the de Rham-Witt sheaf $W_t\Omega^r_{X,\log}$ [II], I 5.7. This definition does not depend on the choice on $n$. In the general case we define $\Lambda(r) = \varinjlim \Lambda_n(r)$ where $\Lambda_n = \text{Ker}(\Lambda \xrightarrow{n} \Lambda)$. Here the inductive limit is taken for the transition morphisms

$$\Lambda_n \otimes \mathbb{Z}/nr\mathbb{Z}(r) = \varinjlim \Lambda_n \otimes \mathbb{Z}/nr\mathbb{Z}(r) \xrightarrow{i \otimes \text{id}} \Lambda_n' \otimes \mathbb{Z}/nr\mathbb{Z}(r)$$

for $n | n'$. By (2.7) we get for $X$ general

$$E^1_{a,b}(X) = \bigoplus_{x \in X_a} H^{a-b}(x, \Lambda(a)).$$

This is a homology theory leveled above 1: The condition (2.2) follows from the fact that $cd(\kappa(x)) = a + 1$ for $a \in X_a$ since $cd(K) = 1$. The coefficient module $\Lambda_H$ of $H = H^{\acute{e}t}(\cdot, \Lambda)$ is isomorphic to $\Lambda$ since

$$H^1(K, \Lambda) = \text{Hom}_{\text{cont}}(G_K, \Lambda) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda ; \chi \mapsto \chi(Frob_K),$$

where $Frob_K \in G_K$ is the Frobenius substitution. The arising complex $KC_H(X)$ is written as:

$$\cdots \bigoplus_{x \in X_a} H^{a+1}_{\acute{e}t}(x, \Lambda(a)) \to \bigoplus_{x \in X_{a-1}} H^a_{\acute{e}t}(x, \Lambda(a-1)) \to \cdots$$

$$\cdots \to \bigoplus_{x \in X_1} H^2_{\acute{e}t}(x, \Lambda(1)) \to \bigoplus_{x \in X_0} H^1_{\acute{e}t}(x, \Lambda).$$

Here the term $\bigoplus$ is placed in degree $i$. In case $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ it is identified up to sign with the complex considered by Kato in [K] thanks to [JSS].
Example 2.5. Assume $B = \text{Spec}(K)$ where $K$ is any field. Let $G_K$ and $\Lambda$ be as in 2.4 and assume $\Lambda$ is finite. We consider the homology theory $H^D(-, \Lambda)$ in the example 2.3

$$H^D_a(X, \Lambda) := \text{Hom}(H^c_a(X, \Lambda^\vee), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$$

where $\Lambda^\vee = \text{Hom}(\Lambda, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$. This homology theory is leveled above 0: The condition (2.2) follows from the fact that $H^q_a(X, \Lambda^\vee) = 0$ for $q < \dim(X)$ if $X$ is affine scheme over $K$ due to the affine Lefschetz theorem. The coefficient module $H^D_a$ of $H = H^D(-, \Lambda)$ is equal to $\Lambda$. If $K$ is finite, $H^D(-, \Lambda)$ shifted by degree 1 coincides with $H^{et}(-, \Lambda)$ in 2.4 due to the Poincaré duality for étale cohomology and the Tate duality for Galois cohomology of finite field (cf. the proof of 2.8 below).

Example 2.6. We will consider the following variants of the homology theories in 2.4 and 2.5. Fix a prime $l$ and assume given a free $\mathbb{Z}_l$-module $T$ of finite rank on which $G_K$ acts continuously.

For each integer $n > 1$ put

$$\Lambda_n = T \otimes \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda_\infty = T \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l = \lim_{\longrightarrow} \Lambda_n.$$  

We then consider the homology theories

$$H^{et}(-, \Lambda_\infty) \quad \text{and} \quad H^D(-, \Lambda_\infty).$$

For later use, we always assume that we are in either of the following cases:

(a) $\ell \neq p := \text{ch}(K),$

(b) $K$ is finite and $T = \mathbb{Z}_p$ on which $G_K$ acts trivially.

For the example 2.5, there does not seem to be an evident way to compute the associated Kato complex in general while we have the following description in case $K$ is finitely generated over a prime field. Let $E^1_{a,b}(X)$ be the $E^1$-term associated to the niveau spectral sequence for the homology theory in 2.5.

**Proposition 2.7.** Let the notation be as in 2.5 and $E^1_{a,b}(X)$ be $E^1$-term of the associated spectral sequence.

1. Assume $K$ is a finite field. Then we have

$$E^1_{a,0}(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} \bigoplus_{a \in X_a} H^{a+1}(x, \Lambda(a)) \quad \text{for} \quad X \in \mathcal{C}.$$  

2. Assume $K$ is a global field, namely a number field or a function field in one variable over a finite field. Let $P_K$ be the set of the places of $K$ and $K_v$ for $v \in P_K$, the henselization of $K$ at $v$. Consider the homology theory in 2.5. For a scheme $Z$ over $K$ write $Z_v = Z \times_{\text{Spec}(K)} \text{Spec}(K_v)$. Then we have

$$E^1_{a,0}(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} \bigoplus_{x \in X_a} C_x \quad \text{for} \quad X \in \mathcal{C},$$

where $C(x)$ ($x \in X_a$) is the cokernel of the diagonal map

$$H^{a+2}(x, \Lambda(a + 1)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{v \in P_K} H^{a+2}(x, \Lambda(a + 1)).$$

3. Assume $K$ is the function field of $S$, which is a connected regular proper flat scheme of relative dimension one over $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$. We assume for simplicity either that $\Lambda$ is annihilated by an odd integer $n$ or that there is no $\mathbb{R}$-valued point in $S$. For $s \in S$ let $A_s$ be the henselization of $\mathcal{O}_{S,s}$ and $K_s$ be its field of fractions. For $m \in S_0$ $A_m$ is a henselian regular ring of Krull dimension two and we let $P_m$ be the set of prime ideals of height one in $A_m$. Let $A_p$ for $p \in P_m$ be the henselization of $A_m$ at $p$ and $K_p$ be its field of fractions.
For a scheme \( Z \) over \( K \) and for \( s \in S \) (resp. \( p \in P_m \)) write \( Z_s = Z \times_{\text{Spec}(K)} \text{Spec}(K_s) \) (resp. \( Z_p = Z \times_{\text{Spec}(K)} \text{Spec}(K_p) \)). Then we have

\[
E_{a,0}^1(X) \cong \bigoplus_{x \in X_a} C_x \quad \text{for} \ X \in C,
\]

where \( C_x \ (x \in X_a) \) is the cokernel of the diagonal map

\[
\bigoplus_{m \in S_0} H^{a+3}(x_m, \Lambda(a+2)) \oplus \bigoplus_{\lambda \in S_1} H^{a+3}(x_\lambda, \Lambda(a+2)) \to \bigoplus_{m \in S_0} \bigoplus_{p \in P_m} H^{a+3}(x_p, \Lambda(a+2)).
\]

Note that it is not evident that the image of the above diagonal maps lies in the direct sum. It is easy but tedious to extend the above result to the case where \( K \) is a general finitely generated field over a prime field but we do not pursue it in this paper (see for example [KS2]).

Recall that \( \Lambda \) is a finite \( G_K \)-module annihilated by an integer \( n > 1 \). We denote \( M^\vee = \text{Hom}(M, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \) for a \( \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \)-module \( M \). By definition, (2.7) follows from the following:

**Proposition 2.8.** Let \( X \) be a connected smooth affine scheme of dimension \( d \) over \( K \).

- (1) If \( K \) is finite, there is a canonical isomorphism
  \[
  H_\varepsilon^d(X, \Lambda^\vee)^\vee \cong H^{d+1}(X, \Lambda(d)).
  \]
- (2) If \( K \) is a global field, there is a canonical isomorphism
  \[
  H_\varepsilon^d(X, \Lambda^\vee)^\vee \cong \text{Coker}(H^{d+2}(X, \Lambda(d+1))) \to \bigoplus_{v \in \mathcal{P}_K} H^{d+2}(X_v, \Lambda(d+1))).
  \]
- (3) Let \( K \) be as in (2.7(3)). There is a canonical isomorphism \( H_\varepsilon^d(X, \Lambda^\vee)^\vee \cong C_X \), where \( C_X \) is the cokernel of the diagonal map
  \[
  \bigoplus_{m \in S_0} H^{d+3}(x_m, \Lambda(d+2)) \oplus \bigoplus_{\lambda \in S_1} H^{d+3}(x_\lambda, \Lambda(d+2)) \to \bigoplus_{m \in S_0} \bigoplus_{p \in P_m} H^{d+3}(x_p, \Lambda(d+2)).
  \]

**Proof.** (1) follows from the Poincaré duality for étale cohomology and the Tate duality for Galois cohomology of finite fields. As for (2.8(2) and (3), we only give the proof of the latter. The proof of the former is similar and easier. By SGA4\text{1/2}, Th. finitude, one can take a dense affine open subscheme \( j : U \hookrightarrow S \) with \( n \) invertible on \( S \), and a smooth affine morphism \( f : \mathcal{X} \to U \) such that \( \mathcal{X} \times_U \eta \cong X \) and \( Rf_*\Lambda \) is constructible and commutes with any base change of \( U \). Write \( \Sigma_U = S - U \). For any dense open subscheme \( V \subseteq U \), write \( i_V : \Sigma_V = S - V \hookrightarrow S \). Let \( L = Rf_\eta \Lambda \) which is an object of \( D^b_c(U, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \), the derived category of bounded complexes of \( \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \)-modules whose cohomology sheaves are constructible. Let \( \eta = \text{Spec}(K) \) be the generic point of \( S \). Noting \( H^i(\eta_L) \cong H_\varepsilon^i(X, \Lambda^\vee) \), the localization sequence for étale cohomology provides a long exact sequence

\[
\cdots \to \lim_{\prod} H^i_{\Sigma_V}(S, j_1 L) \to H^i(S, j_1 L) \to H^i_\varepsilon(X, \Lambda^\vee) \to \lim_{\prod} H^{i+1}_{\Sigma_V}(S, j_1 L) \to \cdots
\]

Set \( D_U(L) = R\text{Hom}_{D^b_c(U)}(L, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}(2)) \in D^b_c(U, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \). By the Poincaré duality for the smooth morphism \( f \), we have \( Rf_\eta^i \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}(2) = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}(d+2) \) and

\[
D_U(L) \cong Rf_* R\text{Hom}_{D^b_c(\mathcal{X})}(\Lambda^\vee, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}(d+2)) \cong Rf_* \Lambda(d+2)[2d] \in D^b_c(U, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}).
\]

By the duality theorem for constructible sheaves on \( S \) ([JSS]), we have canonical isomorphisms

\[
H^i(S, j_1 L)^\vee \cong H^{5-i}(U, D_U(L)) \cong H^{2d+5-i}(\mathcal{X}, \Lambda(d+2)),
\]

\[
H^{i}_{\Sigma_V}(S, j_1 L)^\vee \cong H^{5-i}(\Sigma_V, i_* Rf_* D_U(L)).
\]
Recalling that $\mathcal{X}$ is an affine scheme of finite type over $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[1/n])$ with $\dim(\mathcal{X}) = d + 2$, $H^t(\mathcal{X}, \Lambda(d + 2)) = 0$ for $t > d + 4$ by the affine Lefschetz theorem for arithmetic schemes due to Gabber (cf. [28], §5). Therefore, we get the exact sequence

$$H^{d+4}(\mathcal{X}, \Lambda(d + 2)) \to \lim_{\rightarrow \nu} H^{4-d}(\Sigma_V, i^*_V Rj_* D_U(L)) \to H^d_c(X, \Lambda^\vee \nu) \to 0.$$ 

**Claim 2.9.** Writing $\Sigma = \Sigma_U = S - U$, we have a canonical isomorphism

$$H^{4-d}(\Sigma_V, i^*_V Rj_* D_U(L)) \simeq C_{\mathcal{X}/U}.$$ 

Here $C_{\mathcal{X}/U}$ is the cokernel of the diagonal map

$$\bigoplus_{m \in \Sigma_0} H^{d+3}(\mathcal{X}_m, \Lambda(d + 2)) \oplus \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Sigma_1} H^{d+3}(X_\lambda, \Lambda(d + 2)) \to \bigoplus_{m \in \Sigma_0} P_{m, \Sigma} H^{d+3}(X_p, \Lambda(d + 2)),$$

where $\mathcal{X}_m = \mathcal{X} \times S \text{Spec}(A_m)$ and $P_{m, \Sigma}$ is the subset of $P_m$ of those $p$ lying over $\Sigma$. In particular $H^{4-d}(\Sigma_V, i^*_V Rj_* D_U(L))$ is independent of $V$.

By the claim we get the exact sequence

$$H^{d+4}(\mathcal{X}, \Lambda(d + 2)) \to C_{\mathcal{X}/U} \to H^d_c(X, \Lambda^\vee \nu) \to 0.$$

Noting $cd(K) = 3$, we have $H^{d+4}(X, \Lambda(d + 2)) = 0$ by the affine Lefschetz theorem. Thus (2.8) follows by shrinking $U$ to $\eta$.

**Proof of the claim.** Let $\mathcal{F} = i^*_V Rj_* D_U(L) \in D_c(\Sigma_V, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$. By the localization theory we have the long exact sequence

$$\bigoplus_{\lambda \in (\Sigma_V)_1} H^{t-1}(\text{Spec}(A_\lambda), Rj_* D_U(L)) \to \bigoplus_{m \in (\Sigma_V)_0} H^t_m(\Sigma_V, \mathcal{F}) \to H^t(\Sigma_V, \mathcal{F}) \to \bigoplus_{\lambda \in (\Sigma_V)_1} H^t(\text{Spec}(A_\lambda), Rj_* D_U(L)).$$

Writing $Y_\lambda = \mathcal{X} \times_U \lambda$ for $\lambda \in U$, we have

$$H^t(\text{Spec}(A_\lambda), Rj_* D_U(L)) \simeq \begin{cases} H^t(\text{Spec}(K_\lambda), D_U(L)) & (\lambda \in \Sigma), \\ H^t(\text{Spec}(A_\lambda), D_U(L)) & (\lambda \in U), \\ \end{cases}$$

$$\simeq \begin{cases} H^{2d+t}(X_\lambda, \Lambda(d + 2)) & (\lambda \in \Sigma), \\ H^{2d+t}(Y_\lambda, \Lambda(d + 2)) & (\lambda \in U), \end{cases}$$

where we have used the base change property of $Rf_* \Lambda(d + 2)$. Noting that $cd(K_\lambda) = 3$ and $cd(\eta(\lambda)) = 2$, the affine Lefschetz theorem implies $H^t(\text{Spec}(A_\lambda), Rj_* D_U(L)) = 0$ for $t \geq 4 - d$ and a canonical isomorphism

$$H^{3-d}(\text{Spec}(A_\lambda), Rj_* D_U(L)) \simeq \begin{cases} H^{d+3}(X_\lambda, \Lambda(d + 2)) & (\lambda \in \Sigma), \\ 0 & (\lambda \in U). \end{cases}$$

Hence the claim is reduced to establishing a canonical isomorphism, for $m \in (\Sigma_V)_0$:

$$(2.9) \quad H^{4-d}(\Sigma_V, \mathcal{F}) \simeq \text{Coker}(H^{d+3}(\mathcal{X}_m, \Lambda(d + 2)) \to \bigoplus_{p \in P_{m, \Sigma}} H^{d+3}(X_p, \Lambda(d + 2))).$$

For this we use the localization sequence

$$H^{t-1}(\text{Spec}(A_m), Rj_* D_U(L)) \to \bigoplus_{p \in P_{m, \Sigma}} H^t(\text{Spec}(A_p), Rj_* D_U(L)) \to H^t_m(\Sigma_V, \mathcal{F}) \to H^t(\text{Spec}(A_m), Rj_* D_U(L)).$$
By the same argument as before we get
\[ H^{3-d}(\text{Spec}(A_p), R_j s D_U(L)) \simeq \begin{cases} H^{d+3}(X_p, \Lambda(d+2)) & (p \in P_{m,\Sigma}), \\ 0 & (p \notin P_{m,\Sigma}). \end{cases} \]

In case \( m \in \Sigma \), we have
\[ H^i(\text{Spec}(A_m), R_j s D_U(L)) = H^i(\text{Spec}(A_m) \times_S U, Rf_* \Lambda(d+2)[2d]) = H^{2d+i}(\text{Spec}(A_m), \Lambda(d+2)). \]

In case \( m \in U \), writing \( Y_m = X \times_U m \), we have
\[ H^i(\text{Spec}(A_m), R_j s D_U(L)) = H^i(\text{Spec}(A_m), Rf_* \Lambda(d+2)[2d]) = H^{2d+i}(Y_m, \Lambda(d+2)) \]
by the base change property of \( Rf_* \Lambda(d+2) \) and it vanishes for \( t \geq 2-d \) by the affine Lefschetz theorem. This shows the desired isomorphism (2.9) and completes the proof of the claim.

3. Statements of the Main Theorems

Let the notations and assumption be as in the previous section and fix a homology theory \( H \) leveled above \( e \) with coefficient module \( \Lambda_H \). Recall that \( S \) is the category of smooth projective schemes over \( B = \text{Spec}(k) \) where \( k \) is a field.

Definition 3.1.

1. A log-pair is a couple \( \Phi = (X, Y) \) where \( X \in \text{Ob}(S) \) is connected and \( Y \subset X \) is a simple normal crossing divisor. We call \( U = X - Y \) the complement of \( \Phi \) and denote sometime \( \Phi = (X, Y; U) \). A log-pair \( \Phi = (X, Y) \) is ample if one of the irreducible components of \( Y \) is an ample divisor on \( X \).

2. Let \( \Phi = (X, Y; U) \) and \( \Phi' = (X', Y'; U') \) be two log-pairs. A map of log pairs \( \pi : \Phi' \rightarrow \Phi \) is a proper morphism \( \pi : X' \rightarrow X \) such that \( \pi(Y') \subset Y \). It is admissible if \( \pi \) induces an isomorphism \( U' = \pi^{-1}(U) \cong U \).

3. Let \( \Phi = (X, Y) \) be a log pair and let \( Y_1, \ldots, Y_N \) be the irreducible components of \( Y \). For an integer \( a \geq 1 \) write
\[ Y^{(a)} = \coprod_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_a \leq N} Y_{i_1, \ldots, i_a} \quad (Y_{i_1, \ldots, i_a} = Y_{i_1} \cap \cdots \cap Y_{i_a}). \]

For \( 1 \leq \nu \leq a \) let
\[ \delta_\nu : Y^{(a)} \rightarrow Y^{(a-1)} \]
be induced by the inclusions \( Y_{i_1, \ldots, i_a} \hookrightarrow Y_{i_1, \ldots, i_{\nu}, \ldots, i_a} \) and we define a chain complex in \( \mathbb{Z}S \):
\[ \Phi_* = (X, Y)_* : Y^{(d)} \xrightarrow{\partial} Y^{(d-1)} \xrightarrow{\partial} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial} Y^{(1)} \xrightarrow{\iota} X, \quad (d = \text{dim}(X)) \]
where
\[ \partial = \sum_{i=1}^{a} (-1)^{\nu} \delta_\nu : Y^{(a)} \rightarrow Y^{(a-1)} \]
and \( \iota \) is induced by the inclusion \( Y \hookrightarrow X \). We denote by \( \text{Cor}(\Phi_*) \) the associated complex in \( \text{Cor}S \). A map of log pairs \( \pi : \Phi' \rightarrow \Phi \) induces a map \( \pi_* : \Phi'_* \rightarrow \Phi_* \) of complexes in \( \mathbb{Z}S \).

For a log-pair \( \Phi = (X, Y; U) \) it is easy to check that the natural map of complexes
\[ KC_H(\Phi_*) \xrightarrow{\cong} KC_H(U) \]

(3.1)
is a quasi-isomorphism. Combined with the map of complexes $KC_H(\Phi_\star) \to Graph(X_\star, \Lambda_H)$ (cf. (2.6)) we get natural maps

\[ \gamma^a_{\Phi_\star} : KH_a(U) \to Graph_a(\Phi_\star, \Lambda_H), \]

where the right hand side is the homology in degree $a$ of $Graph(\Phi_\star, \Lambda_H)$. Let

\[ \gamma^a_{\Phi} : H_{a-e}(U) \to Graph_a(\Phi_\star, \Lambda_H) \]

be the composite of the above map with the edge homomorphism (2.3).

**Definition 3.2.** A log pair $\Phi = (X, Y; U)$ is $H$-clean in degree $q$ for an integer $q$ if $q \leq \dim(X)$ and $\gamma^q_{\Phi}$ is injective for $a = q$ and surjective for $a = q + 1$.

We now consider the following condition (called the Lefschetz condition) for our homology theory $H$:

\[ (L) \quad : \text{Every ample log pair is } H\text{-clean in degree } q \text{ for all } q \leq \dim(X). \]

**Lemma 3.3.** A homology theory $H$ leveled above $e$ satisfies the Lefschetz condition, if the following conditions hold:

\[ (H1) \quad \text{For } f : X \to B = \text{Spec}(k), \text{ smooth projective of dimension } \leq 1 \text{ with } X \text{ connected (but not necessarily geometrically irreducible over } B) \text{, } f_* : H_{-e}(X) \to H_{-e}(B) = \Lambda_H \text{ is an isomorphism if } \dim(X) = 0 \text{ and injective if } \dim(X) = 1. \]

\[ (H2) \quad \text{For } X, \text{ projective smooth of dimension } > 1 \text{ over } B, \text{ and } Y \subset X, \text{ an irreducible smooth ample divisor, and } U = X - Y, \text{ one has } \]

\[ H_{a-e}(U) = 0 \quad \text{for } a \leq d = \dim(X). \]

\[ (H3) \quad \text{For a projective smooth curve } X \text{ over } B \text{ and for a dense affine open subset } U \subset X, \]

\[ H_{a-e}(U) = 0 \quad \text{for } a \leq 0 \]

and $H_{1-e}(U)^@ > \partial >> H_{-e}(Y)$ is injective, where $Y = X - U$ with the reduced subscheme structure.

**Lemma 3.4.** Consider the homology theories $H^\ell(-, \Lambda_\infty)$ and $H^D(-, \Lambda_\infty)$ in (2.7). In the case (a) of (2.6) assume the following:

\[ (i) \quad K \text{ is finitely generated over a prime field and } T \text{ is mixed of weights } \leq 0 \quad (\text{ID}), \]

\[ (ii) \quad T^{G_K} = T^{G_L}, \text{ for any finite separable extension } L/K \text{ with } G_L = \text{Gal}(\overline{K}/L) \subset G_K. \]

Then they satisfy the conditions in 3.3 and hence the Lefschetz condition.

The proofs of 3.3 and 3.4 will be given in the last part of this section.

We restate (RES)$_q$ in the introduction. Let $q \geq 0$ be an integer.

\[ (\text{RES})_q : \text{For any log pair } (X, Y; U) \text{ and for any irreducible closed subscheme } W \subset X \text{ of dimension } \leq q \text{ such that } W \cap U \text{ is regular, there exists an admissible map of log-pairs } \pi : (X', Y') \to (X, Y) \text{ such that the proper transform of } W \text{ in } X' \text{ is regular and intersects transversally with } Y'. \]

(RES)$_q$ holds if $\text{ch}(F) = 0$ by Hironaka’s theorem. It is shown in general for $q = 2$ in (CJS).

**Theorem 3.5.** Let $H$ be a homology theory leveled above $e$ which satisfies (L). Let $q \geq 1$ be an integer and assume (RES)$_{q-2}$. Then, for any log-pair $\Phi$, the map induced by (3.2):

\[ \gamma^q_{\Phi_\star} : KH_a(U) \to Graph_a(\Phi_\star, \Lambda_H). \]

is an isomorphism for all $a \leq q$. In particular, if $X \in \text{Ob}(S)$

\[ KH_a(X) = 0 \quad \text{for } 0 < a \leq q. \]
The proof of (3.5) will be completed in the next section.

We also consider a variant of the main theorem (3.5) where we replace \((\text{RES})_q\) by a condition \((\text{RS})_d\) introduced below. Let \(d \geq 1\) be an integer and let \(\mathcal{C}_d \subset \mathcal{C}\) be the full subcategory of the schemes of dimension \(\leq d\).

\((\text{RS})_d:\) For any \(X \in \text{Ob} (\mathcal{C}_d)\) integral and proper over \(k\), there exists a proper birational morphism \(\pi : X' \to X\) such that \(X'\) is smooth over \(k\). For any \(U \in \text{Ob} (\mathcal{C}_d)\) smooth over \(k\), there is an open immersion \(U \hookrightarrow X\) such that \(X\) is projective smooth over \(k\) with \(X - U\), a simple normal crossing divisor on \(X\).

For an additive category \(\mathcal{A}\) we denote by \(\text{Hot}(\mathcal{A})\) the category of complexes in \(\mathcal{A}\) up to homotopy. It is triangulated by defining the triangles to be the diagram isomorphic in \(\text{Hot}(\mathcal{A})\)

\[
A_\bullet \xrightarrow{f} B_\bullet \to \text{Cone}(f) \to A_\bullet[1],
\]

where \(f\) is any morphism of complexes in \(\mathcal{A}\).

Now assume \((\text{RS})_d\). Fix \(X \in \text{Ob} (\mathcal{C}_d)\) and take a compactification \(j : X \to \overline{X}\), namely \(j\) is an open immersion and \(\overline{X} \in \mathcal{C}\) which is proper over \(k\). Let \(i : Y = \overline{X} - X \to \overline{X}\) be the closed immersion for the complement. By [GS] 1.4, one can find a diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
Y & \xrightarrow{i} & \overline{X} \\
\pi_Y & \downarrow & \downarrow \pi_X \\
Y & \xrightarrow{i} & \overline{X}
\end{array}
\]

where \(Y\) and \(\overline{X}\) are simplicial objects in \(\mathcal{S}\) and \(\pi_X\) and \(\pi_Y\) are hyperenvelopes. To this diagram one associates

\[
\overline{W}_\bullet(X) := [Y, i \to \overline{X}] := \text{Cone}(\mathbb{Z}Y, i \to \mathbb{Z}\overline{X}) \in \text{Hot}(\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{S}).
\]

The weight complex of \(X\):

\[
W_\bullet(X) = \text{Cor}([Y, i \to \overline{X}]) \in \text{Hot}(\text{Cor}\mathcal{S})
\]

is defined as the image of \(\overline{W}_\bullet(X)\) under \(\text{Cor} : \mathbb{Z}\mathcal{S} \to \text{Cor}\mathcal{S}\). By the definition of hyperenvelopes we have a natural quasi-isomorphism of complexes of abelian groups

\[
(3.5) \quad K\mathcal{C}_H([Y, i \to \overline{X}]) \xrightarrow{\cong} K\mathcal{C}_H(X).
\]

By [GS], 1.4, we have the following facts:

**Theorem 3.6.** Assume \((\text{RS})_d\) and that all schemes are in \(\mathcal{C}_d\).

1. Up to canonical isomorphism, \(W_\bullet(X)\) depends only on \(X\) and not on a choice of the diagram (3.4).

2. A proper morphism \(f : X \to Y\) and an open immersion \(j : V \to X\) induce canonical maps in \(\text{Hot}(\text{Cor}\mathcal{S})\)

\[
f_* : W_\bullet(X) \to W_\bullet(Y), \quad j^* : W_\bullet(X) \to W_\bullet(V).
\]

For a closed immersion \(i : Z \hookrightarrow X\) and its complement \(j : V \hookrightarrow X\), there is a natural distinguished triangle in \(\text{Hot}(\text{Cor}\mathcal{S})\)

\[
W_\bullet(Z) \xrightarrow{i} W_\bullet(X) \xrightarrow{j} W_\bullet(V) \to W_\bullet(Z)[1].
\]

By extending the results in [GS], the following is shown in [JI] 5.13, 5.15 and 5.16.

**Theorem 3.7.** Assume \((\text{RS})_d\) and that all schemes are in \(\mathcal{C}_d\).

1. There is a canonical homology theory \(X \mapsto \text{Graph}_a(X, \Lambda_H)\) on \(\mathcal{C}_d\) such that

\[
(3.6) \quad \text{Graph}_a(X, \Lambda_H) = \text{Graph}_a(W_\bullet(X), \Lambda_H) \quad (a \in \mathbb{Z})
\]

and the localization sequences are induced by the exact triangles in (3.6) (2).
(2) For any log-pair $\Phi = (X, Y; U)$ one has $\text{Graph}_a(U, \Lambda_H) = \text{Graph}_a(\Phi, \Lambda_H)_\bullet$.

(3) There is a canonical morphism of homology theories on $\mathcal{C}_d$

$$\gamma^\circ : K\Lambda(\ast) \to \text{Graph}_a(\ast, \Lambda_H)$$

such that for any log-pair $\Phi = (X, Y; U)$ the map

$$\gamma^\circ : K\Lambda(a) \to \text{Graph}_a(a, \Lambda_H) \quad \text{(3.7)}$$

coincides with the map defined in (3.2).

By definition, in the situation of (3.4) one has

$$\text{Graph}_a(X, \Lambda_H) = \text{Graph}_a([Y \xrightarrow{i} X], \Lambda_H),$$

and the maps $\gamma^\circ_X$ are induced by the natural map of complexes

$$KC_H([Y \xrightarrow{i} X]) \to \text{Graph}([Y \xrightarrow{i} X], \Lambda_H) \quad \text{(3.8)}$$

together with the quasi-isomorphism (3.5). For a closed subscheme $i : Z \hookrightarrow X$ and its open complement $j : V \hookrightarrow X$ we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{c}
K\Lambda_{a+1}(V) & \longrightarrow & K\Lambda_a(Z) & \longrightarrow & K\Lambda_a(X) & \longrightarrow & K\Lambda_a(V) & \longrightarrow \\
\Big\downarrow \gamma^a_{a+1} & & \Big\downarrow \gamma^a_Z & & \Big\downarrow \gamma^a_X & & \Big\downarrow \gamma^a_V & & \\
\text{Graph}_{a+1}(V, \Lambda_H) & \longrightarrow & \text{Graph}_a(Z, \Lambda_H) & \longrightarrow & \text{Graph}_a(X, \Lambda_H) & \longrightarrow & \text{Graph}_a(V, \Lambda_H) & \longrightarrow
\end{array} \quad \text{(3.9)}$$

Since the cone is not a well-defined functor in the homotopy category, this diagram does not directly follow from Theorem 3.6 but by following the construction in [GS] more closely.

**Theorem 3.8.** Let $H$ be a homology theory leveled above $e$ which satisfies (L) and admits correspondences after restriction to $S_d$ (see Theorem 3.7 (3)). Assume (RS)$_d$. For any $X \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}_d)$ we have

$$\gamma^\circ_X : K\Lambda_a(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Graph}_a(X, \Lambda_H) \quad \text{for all } a.$$

In particular, if $X \in \text{Ob}(S)$ of dimension $\leq d$,

$$K\Lambda_a(X) = 0 \quad \text{for all } a \geq 1.$$

The proof of (3.8) will be completed in the next section. We will now prove Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4. Here and later we will use the following result.

**Lemma 3.9.** Let $(X, Y; U)$ be a log-pair. Let $i : Z \hookrightarrow X$ be a smooth prime divisor such that $(X, Z \cup Y)$ is a log-pair. Note that it implies that $(Z, Y \cap Z)$ is a log-pair and $i$ induces a map of log-pairs $(Z, Z \cap Y) \to (X, Y)$. Then there is a natural isomorphism of complexes in $\mathbb{Z}S$:

$$\text{Cone}((Z, Z \cap Y)_{\bullet} \xrightarrow{i} (X, Y)_{\bullet}) \xrightarrow{\cong} (X, Z \cup Y)_{\bullet}.$$

**Proof** There are direct sum decompositions in $\mathbb{Z}S$

$$(Y \cup Z)^{(i)} = Y^{(i)} \oplus Y^{(i-1)} \cap Z,$$

where the right-hand side is the $i$-th component of the cone, and it is easily checked that the differentials coincide.

**Proof of Lemma 3.3.**

By shift of degree we may assume $H$ is leveled above 0. Let $\Phi = (X, Y; U)$ be an ample log pair with $d = \dim(X)$. Let $Y_1, \ldots, Y_N$ be the irreducible components of $Y$ and assume $Y_1$ is an ample divisor on $X$. We want to show

$$\gamma^{a}_{\Phi} : H_a(U) \cong \text{Graph}_a(\Phi, \Lambda_H) \quad \text{for } a \leq d = \dim(X).$$
First assume \(d = 1\) so that \(X\) is a projective smooth curve over \(B = \text{Spec}(k)\) and \(Y\) is smooth of dimension 0. Then it is easy to see

\[
\text{Graph}_a(\Phi_\bullet, \Lambda_H) = 0 \quad \text{for } a \neq 1, \quad \text{Graph}_1(\Phi_\bullet, \Lambda_H) \simeq \ker(\Lambda_{\pi_0}(Y) \to \Lambda_{\pi_0}(X)).
\]

Considering the exact sequence \(H_1(U) \to H_0(Y) \to H_0(X)\), \((3.10)\) in this case follows from (H1) and (H3). Next assume that \(d > 1\) and \(N = 1\). In this case it is obvious from the definition that \(\text{Graph}_a(\Phi_\bullet, \Lambda_H) = 0\) for all \(a\). Hence \((3.10)\) follows from (H2). Finally we prove \((3.10)\) in general by induction on \(N\). We may assume \(d > 1\) and \(N > 1\). Write \(Z = Y_1 \cup \cdots \cup Y_{N-1}\) and consider the log pairs \(\Psi = (X, Z; V)\) and \(\Psi' = (Y_N, Y_N \cap Z; W)\). There is a natural map of log pairs \(\Psi \to \Psi'\) induced by \(Y_N \hookrightarrow X\) and by Lemma 3.3 we have \(\Phi_\bullet \simeq \text{Cone}(\Psi' \to \Psi_\bullet)\), which induces the lower exact sequence in the following commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
H_a(W) & \longrightarrow & H_a(V) & \longrightarrow & H_a(U) & \longrightarrow & H_{a-1}(W) & \longrightarrow & H_{a-1}(V) \\
\gamma \gamma \gamma \downarrow & & \gamma \gamma \gamma \downarrow & & \gamma \gamma \gamma \downarrow & & \gamma \gamma \gamma \downarrow & & \gamma \gamma \gamma \downarrow \\
\text{Graph}_a(\Psi_\bullet) & \longrightarrow & \text{Graph}_a(\Phi_\bullet) & \longrightarrow & \text{Graph}_a(\Phi_\bullet) & \longrightarrow & \text{Graph}_{a-1}(\Psi_\bullet) & \longrightarrow & \text{Graph}_{a-1}(\Psi_\bullet)
\end{array}
\]

For \(a \leq d = \dim(X)\), the isomorphisms in the diagram follow from the induction hypothesis. The leftmost map \(\gamma \gamma \gamma \gamma\) is an isomorphism for \(a \leq d-1\) by the induction hypothesis and surjective for \(a = d\) since \(\text{Graph}_d(\Psi_\bullet) = 0\) by reason of dimension. A diagram chase proves \((3.10)\) and the proof of 3.3 is complete.

**Proof of Lemma 3.4.**

In case (b) of 2.6 we only have to consider \(H^d(-, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)\) by 2.8(1). Then (H1) is obvious and the other conditions are shown by the same argument as the proof of JS1. Theorem 3.5. The details are left to the readers. Assume we are in the case (a) of 2.6. By 2.8(1) it suffices to consider only \(H^D(-, \Lambda_{\infty})\). (H1) follows easily from the second assumption in 3.4. In order to show (H2), let \(f : X \to B = \text{Spec}(K)\) be geometrically irreducible smooth projective of dimension \(d > 1\) and let \(Z \subset X\) be a smooth ample divisor with \(U = X - Z\). Let \(\bar{K}\) be a separable closure of \(K\) and \(G_K = \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)\). For a scheme \(W\) over \(K\), write \(W_{\bar{K}} = W \times_K \bar{K}\). Since \(U\) is affine by the assumption, the affine Lefschetz theorem implies \(H^i_c(U_{\bar{K}}, \Lambda^\vee_n) = 0\) for \(i < d\). By the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence:

\[
E_2^{a,b} = H^a(G_K, H^b(U_{\bar{K}}, \Lambda^\vee_n)) \to H^{a+b}(U, \Lambda^\vee_n),
\]

it implies \(H^a(U, \Lambda_n) = 0\) for \(a \leq d-1\) and \(H^d(U, \Lambda_n) \simeq H^d_c(U_{\bar{K}}, \Lambda^\vee_n)^{G_K}\). By the Poincaré duality

\[
H^d(U, \Lambda_n) \simeq \text{Hom}(H^d_c(U_{\bar{K}}, \Lambda^\vee_n)^{G_K}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \simeq H^d(U_{\bar{K}}, \Lambda_n(d))_{G_K},
\]

where \(M_{G_K}\) is the module of coinvariants of \(G_K\) for a \(G_K\)-module \(M\). Thus we have to show the vanishing of the last group. By the localization theory we have the exact sequence

\[
(3.11) \quad H^d(X_{\bar{K}}, \Lambda_{\infty}(d)) \to H^d(U_{\bar{K}}, \Lambda_{\infty}(d)) \to H^{d-1}(Z_{\bar{K}}, \Lambda_{\infty}(d-1)).
\]

By the affine Lefschetz theorem \(H^d(U_{\bar{K}}, \Lambda_{\infty}(d)) = H^d(U_{\bar{K}}, T(d)) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p\) is divisible. By Deligne’s fundamental result [D] the first assumption in 3.4 implies that \(H^d(X_{\bar{K}}, T(d))\) is of weights \(\leq -d\) and \(H^{d-1}(Z_{\bar{K}}, T(d-1))\) of weights \(\leq -(d-1)\). Hence \(H^d(U_{\bar{K}}, \Lambda_{\infty}(d))_{G_K} = 0\) noting \(d > 1\). This proves (H2).

Finally we show (H3). Let \(X, U, Y\) be as in (H3). By the localization theory we have the exact sequence

\[
(3.12) \quad 0 \to H^1(X_{\bar{K}}, \Lambda_{\infty}(1)) \to H^1(U_{\bar{K}}, \Lambda_{\infty}(1)) \xrightarrow{\partial} H^0(Y_{\bar{K}}, \Lambda_{\infty}) \to \Lambda_{\infty} \to 0.
\]
where we have used the trace isomorphism \( H^2(X, \Lambda_\infty(1)) \simeq \Lambda_\infty \). We have the commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
H^1_D(U, \Lambda_\infty) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H^0_D(Y, \Lambda_\infty) \\
\alpha \downarrow \simeq & & \beta \downarrow \simeq \\
H^1(U, \Lambda_\infty(1))_{G_K} & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \Lambda_\infty^\natural(Y)
\end{array}
\]

where \( \alpha \) is an isomorphism shown by the same argument as before and \( \beta \) is the sum of the isomorphisms \( H^0_D(y, \Lambda_\infty) \simeq H^0_D(B, \Lambda_\infty) \simeq \Lambda_\infty \) for all points \( y \in Y \). The map \( \gamma \) is the composite of \( \partial \) in \( (3.12) \) and the natural isomorphism

\[
H^0(Y, \Lambda_\infty)_{G_K} \simeq \Lambda_\infty,
\]

which follows from the identification

\[
H^0(Y, \Lambda_\infty) = \bigoplus_{x \in Y} \text{Ind}_{K(x)/K} \Lambda_\infty,
\]

where \( K(x) \) is the residue field of \( x \in Y \) and \( \text{Ind}_{K(x)/K} \Lambda_\infty \) denotes the \( G_K \)-module induced from the \( G_{K(x)} \)-module \( \Lambda_\infty \) (\( G_{K(x)} = \text{Gal}(K/K(x)) \subset G_K \)). Thus it remains to show that \( \partial \) in \( (3.12) \) induces an injection after taking coinvariants for \( G_K \). Since \( H^1(X, \Lambda_\infty(1)) \) is divisible and \( H^1(X, T(1)) \) is of weights \( \leq -1 \) by \([D]\), we have \( H^1(X, \Lambda_\infty(1))_{G_K} = 0 \). Hence \( (3.12) \) induces an isomorphism \( H^1(U, \Lambda_\infty(1))_{G_K} \simeq \text{Image}(\partial)_{G_K} \) and the exact sequence

\[
0 \to \text{Image}(\partial) \to H^0(Y, \Lambda_\infty) \to \Lambda_\infty \to 0.
\]

We thus need to show that the last exact sequence remains exact after taking the coinvariants for \( G_K \). In view of \((3.13)\), there exists a finite Galois extension \( L/K \) such that the above sequence splits as a sequence of \( G_L \)-modules. Hence

\[
0 \to \text{Image}(\partial)_{G_L} \to H^0(Y, \Lambda_\infty)_{G_L} \to (\Lambda_\infty)_{G_L} \to 0
\]

is exact and it remains so after taking the coinvariant of \( G_{L/K} := G_K/G_L \) due to the divisibility of \( \Lambda_\infty \). This proves the desired assertion and the proof of \((3.4)\) is complete.

4. **Proof of the Main Theorems**

Let the assumption be as in the previous section. In this section we prove the main theorems \((3.5)\) and \((3.8)\). We start with \((3.8)\). Its proof is much simpler and conveys the basic idea more clearly.

**Definition 4.1.** (Compare \((3.2)\)) \( X \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}_d) \) is \( H \)-clean in degree \( q \) if \( q \leq \dim(X) \) and the composite of \((3.7)\) and \((2.3)\):

\[
\gamma^a \in_X : H_{a-e}(X) \to \text{Graph}_a(X, \Lambda_H)
\]

is injective for \( a = q \) and surjective for \( a = q + 1 \).

By the definition of \( \gamma^a \in_X \) it suffices to show \((3.5)\) in case \( X \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{S}) \). Then, by the commutative diagram \((3.9)\), it suffices to show \((3.5)\) for \( X-Y \) where \( Y \subset X \) is a smooth hypersurface section. Since \( X-Y \) is \( H \)-clean in degree \( a \) for all \( a \leq \dim(X) \) if \( H \) satisfies \((L)\), the assertion follows from the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.2.** Let \( H \) be a homology theory leveled above \( e \) which satisfies \((L)\) and admits correspondences after restriction to the category of smooth projective varieties in \( \mathcal{C}_d \) (see Theorem \((3.7) (3)\)), and let

\[
E^1_{a,b}(X) = \bigoplus_{x \in X} H_{a+b}(x) \Rightarrow H_{a+b}(X)
\]
be the niveau spectral sequence associated to $H$. Assume $(\text{RS})_d$. If $X \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}_d)$ is $H$-clean in degree $q - 1$ for an integer $q \geq 0$, we have

$$(Z^\infty / B^{b+c})_{a,b}(X) = 0 \text{ if } a + b = q - 1 - e \text{ and } b \geq 1 - e.$$ 

In fact, Theorem 3.8 for $X$ is deduced as follows: By the factorization

$$
\gamma_X^a : H_{a-e}(X) \xrightarrow{\epsilon_a} E^2_{a-e}(X) = KH_a(X) \xrightarrow{\gamma_X^a} \text{Graph}_\Lambda(X, \Lambda_H),
$$

the $H$-cleanness of $X$ in all degrees $a \leq \dim(X)$ and the fact that $H$ is leveled above $e$ imply that $E^2_{a,b}(X) = 0$ for $b \geq 1 - e$. Moreover Theorem 4.2 implies that the differentials

$$
d^r_{a-e} : E^r_{a-e}(X) \rightarrow (Z^\infty / B^r)_{a-r,e+r-1}(X) \subseteq E^r_{a-r,e+r-1}(X)
$$

are zero for all $r \geq 3$. Thus $\epsilon_a$ above is an isomorphism, and so is $\gamma_X^a$, as claimed in 3.8.

**Proof of Theorem 4.2:** By shift of degree we may assume $e = 0$. Fix an integer $q \geq 0$. In what follows we write for an integer $l \geq 1$

$$
\Theta_l(X) = (Z^\infty / B^l)_{q-l,t-l}(X) \text{ for } X \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}).
$$

We prove $\Theta_l(X) = 0$ for all $l \geq 1$ by induction on (dim$(X)$) and by (descending) induction on $l$. In case that $l$ sufficiently large the assertion is obvious. The assumption that $X$ is $H$-clean in degree $q - 1$ implies dim$(X) \geq q - 1$ and, by using (1.1) as before, that the edge homomorphism (2.2):

$$
e_{q-1} : H_{q-1}(X) \rightarrow KH_{q-1}(X) = E^2_{q-1,0}(X)
$$

is injective and hence that $E^2_{q,b}(X) = 0$ if $a + b = q - 1$ and $b \geq 1$. In case dim$(X) = q - 1$ it implies the desired assertion by noting that $E^1_{a,b}(X) = 0$ if $b < 0$ (cf. 2.2(1)) and that $E^1_{q,0}(X) = 0$ by reasons of dimension. Assume dim$(X) \geq q$ and fix $t \geq 1$. By induction it suffices to show $\Theta_t(X) = 0$ under the following assumption.

(*) : For $X' \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$, $H$-clean in degree $q - 1$, $\Theta_t(X') = 0$ if dim$(X') < \dim(X)$ or $l \geq t + 1$.

Choose $\alpha \in \Theta_t(X)$. By definition there exists a closed subscheme $W \subset X$ with dim$(W) = q - t - 1 \leq q - 2 < \dim(X)$ such that the restriction of $\alpha$ to $\Theta_t(U - W)$ vanishes. Thus it suffices to show the following:

**Claim 4.3.** Let $X$ be as above. Let $W \subset X$ be any closed subscheme with dim$(W) < \dim(X)$. Then there exists a closed subscheme $W \subset Z \subset X$ with dim$(Z) < \dim(X)$ satisfying the following:

1. $V := X - Z$ is $H$-clean in degree $\leq q$.
2. The induce map $j^* : \Theta_t(X) \rightarrow \Theta_t(V)$ is injective, where $j : V \rightarrow X$ is the open immersion.

**Proof** First we show that 1.3(1) implies (2). Consider the commutative diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{cccccc}
H_{i+1}(V) & \longrightarrow & H_i(Z) & \longrightarrow & H_i(X) & \longrightarrow & H_i(V) & \longrightarrow \\
\downarrow \gamma_{i+1}^V & & \downarrow \gamma_i^Z & & \downarrow \gamma_i^X & & \downarrow \gamma_i^V \\
\text{Graph}_{i+1}(V, \Lambda_H) & \longrightarrow & \text{Graph}_i(Z, \Lambda_H) & \longrightarrow & \text{Graph}_i(X, \Lambda_H) & \longrightarrow & \text{Graph}_i(V, \Lambda_H) \\
\end{array}
$$

By the assumption on $X$, $\gamma_i^Z$ is injective for $i = q - 1$ and surjective for $i = q$. By 1.3(1) $\gamma_i^V$ is injective for $i \leq q$ and surjective for $i = q + 1$. The diagram chase now shows that $\gamma_i^Z$ is injective for $i = q - 1$ and surjective for $i = q$ so that $Z$ is $H$-clean in degree $q - 1$. By the induction hypothesis (*) we have $\Theta_t(V) = 0$ if $t \geq t + 1$ and $\Theta_t(Z) = 0$ for $\forall l \geq 1$. By the fundamental lemma 1.4 this implies 1.3(2).

Now we prove (1). We may clearly assume that $X$ is reduced. By (RS)$_d$ there is a dense open subscheme $U \subset X - W$ such that there is a compactification $U \rightarrow \overline{X}$ such that $\overline{X} \in \mathcal{S}$ and that $Y := \overline{X} - U$ is a simple normal crossing divisor on $\overline{X}$. By Bertini’s theorem (here we use [P] if
the base field is finite), we can find a smooth hypersurface section $Z' \subset X$ such that $(X, Y \cup Z')$ is an ample log-pair. Put $V = U \setminus Z' = X - (Y \cup Z')$ and $Z = X - V$. By the construction it is obvious that $\text{dim}(Z) < \text{dim}(X)$ and $W \subset Z$. Since $(X, Y \cup Z'; V)$ is an ample log-pair, the assumption $(L)$ implies that $V$ is $H$-clean in degree $\leq q$ by noting $\text{dim}(V) = \text{dim}(X) \geq q$. This completes the proof. □

Next we prove 3.5. The basic idea is the same as in the proof of 3.8 but the application is more technical. By the same argument as before, the proof is reduced to showing the following:

**Theorem 4.4.** Let $H$ be a homology theory leveled above $e$ which satisfies $(L)$. Let $q \geq 1$ be an integer and assume $(\text{RES})_{q-2}$. If a log-pair $\Phi = (X, Y; U)$ is $H$-clean in degree $q - 1$ (Definition 3.2), we have

$$(Z^\infty/B^{t+e})_{a,b}(U) = 0 \quad \text{if } a + b = q - 1 - e \text{ and } b \geq 1 - e.$$ 

**Proof** By shift of degree we may assume $e = 0$. Let the notations be as 4.3. As before we prove $\Theta_t(U) = 0$ for all $l \geq 1$ by induction on $\text{dim}(U)$ and by (descending) induction on $l$. For $l$ sufficiently large or for the case $\text{dim}(U) \leq q - 1$ the assertion can be shown in the same way as before. Assume $\text{dim}(U) \geq q$ and fix $t \geq 1$. By induction it suffices to show $\Theta_t(U) = 0$ under the following assumption.

(**) : For a log-pair $\Phi' = (X', Y'; U')$, $H$-clean in degree $q - 1$, $\Theta_t(U') = 0$ if $\text{dim}(U') < \text{dim}(U)$ or $l \geq t + 1$.

Choose $\alpha \in \Theta_t(U)$. By definition there exists a closed subscheme $W \subset U$ with $\text{dim}(W) = q - t - 1 \leq q - 2 < \text{dim}(X)$ such that the restriction of $\alpha$ to $\Theta_t(U - W)$ vanishes. We note that there is a stratification $W \supset W_1 \supset \cdots \supset W_{t+1}$ with $W_i$ closed in $U$ such that $W_j - W_{j+1}$ is irreducible regular. Hence it suffices to show the following.

**Claim 4.5.** Let $\Phi = (X, Y; U)$ be as above. Assume $\text{dim}(U) \geq q$. Let $W \subset X$ be an irreducible closed subscheme of dimension $\leq q - 2$ such that $W_U := W \cap U$ is regular. Assume $(\text{RES})_{q-2}$. Then there exists a log pair $\Psi = (X', Y'; V)$ satisfying the following:

1. $\Psi$ is $H$-clean in degrees $\leq q$.
2. There is an open immersion $j : V \hookrightarrow U$ such that $W_U \subset U - V$.
3. The induced map $j^* : \Theta_t(U) \rightarrow \Theta_t(V)$ is injective.

We need some preliminaries for the proof of the claim.

**Lemma 4.6.** Let $\Phi = (X, Y)$ and $\Phi' = (X', Y')$ be log-pairs. Let $\pi : \Phi' \rightarrow \Phi$ be an admissible map of log-pairs. Then the induced map $\pi_* : \text{Cor}^\circ(\Phi') \rightarrow \text{Cor}^\circ(\Phi)$ is an isomorphism in $\text{Hot}(\text{Cor}^\circ\text{S})$.

**Lemma 4.7.** Let $(X, Y; U)$ be a log-pair. Let $i : W \hookrightarrow X$ be a closed irreducible smooth subscheme and assume that $(W, W \cap Y)$ is a log-pair. Let $\pi_X : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be the blowup of $X$ along $W$, and let $\tilde{Y}, E \subset \tilde{X}$ be the proper transform of $Y$ and the exceptional divisor, respectively. Let

$$i_E : E \rightarrow \tilde{X}, \quad \pi_E : E \rightarrow W, \quad i_W : W \rightarrow X$$

be the natural morphisms. Then $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$ and $(E, E \cap \tilde{Y})$ are log-pairs, and there is a natural isomorphism in $\text{Hot}(\text{ZS})$:

$$\text{Cone}((E, E \cap \tilde{Y})_\bullet, (i_E, -\pi_E)_* (\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})_\bullet \oplus (W, W \cap Y)_\bullet) \xrightarrow{\cong} (X, Y)_\bullet.$$ 

Both Lemmas follow from [GS], theorem 1. In fact, with the notation of loc. cit. we have a complex of complexes

$$R_{q,*,V}(X, Y) : \ldots \rightarrow R_{q,*,V}(X \times Y^{(2)}) \rightarrow R_{q,*,V}(X \times Y^{(1)}) \rightarrow R_{q,*,V}(X \times X)$$

for every log pair $(X, Y)$, every $q \geq 0$ and every smooth projective variety $V$. For Lemma 4.6 it suffices to show that the canonical morphism $R_{q,*,V}(X', Y') \rightarrow R_{q,*,V}(X, Y)$ induces a quasi-isomorphism of the associated total complexes for all $q$ and $V$. Then [GS] Theorem 1 (see its
E. But by (4.4) the upper cone is quasi-isomorphic to the cone of Sections ŝ
so by the obvious exact sequence
\[ \begin{align*}
&0 \to R_{q,*}(V \times X^{(2)}) \to R_{q,*}(V \times X^{(1)}) \to R_{q,*}(V \times X) \to R_{q,*}(V \times U) \to 0, \\
&\text{i.e., a morphism } \text{tot}R_{q,*}V(X,Y) \to R_{q,*}(V \times U) \text{ of complexes which is a quasi-isomorphism.}
\end{align*} \]

Since \( X' - Y' = X - Y \) in Lemma 4.6 the claim follows.

As for Lemma 4.7, one has a commutative diagram of complexes in \( \mathbb{Z}S \):
\[ \begin{align*}
(E, E \cap \tilde{Y})_{\bullet} & \xrightarrow{i_{E,*}} (\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})_{\bullet} \\
\downarrow \pi_{E,*} & \downarrow \pi_{X,*} \\
(W, W \cap Y)_{\bullet} & \xrightarrow{i_{W,*}} (X, Y)_{\bullet},
\end{align*} \]

and we have to show that the associated total complex has a contracting homotopy. By [GS] Thm. 1 it suffices to show that for each \( q \geq 0 \) and each \( V \in S \) the induced commutative diagram
\[ \begin{align*}
R_{q,*}V(E, E \cap \tilde{Y}) & \xrightarrow{i_{E,*}} R_{q,*}V(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) \\
\downarrow \pi_{E,*} & \downarrow \pi_{X,*} \\
R_{q,*}V(W, W \cap Y) & \xrightarrow{i_{W,*}} R_{q,*}V(X, Y)
\end{align*} \]

has the property that the cone of the upper line is quasi-isomorphic to the cone of the lower line. But by [14.4] the upper cone is quasi-isomorphic to the cone of
\[ R_{q,*}(V \times (E - (E \cap \tilde{Y}))) \to R_{q,*}(V \times (\tilde{X} - \tilde{Y})), \]

so by the obvious exact sequence
\[ 0 \to R_{q,*}(V \times (E - E \cap \tilde{Y})) \to R_{q,*}(V \times (\tilde{X} - \tilde{Y})) \to R_{q,*}(V \times (\tilde{X} - (E \cup \tilde{Y}))) \to 0 \]

the upper cone is quasi-isomorphic to \( R_{q,*}(V \times (\tilde{X} - (E \cup \tilde{Y}))) \). Similarly, the lower cone is quasi-isomorphic to \( R_{q,*}(V \times (\tilde{X} - (W \cup Y))) \), and one checks that one has a commutative diagram
\[ \begin{align*}
\text{Cone}(i_{E,*}) & \longrightarrow R_{q,*}(V \times (\tilde{X} - (E \cup \tilde{Y}))) \\
\downarrow & \\
\text{Cone}(i_{W,*}) & \longrightarrow R_{q,*}(V \times (\tilde{X} - (W \cup Y))),
\end{align*} \]

in which the vertical morphisms are induced by morphisms \( \pi_{E,*} \) and \( \pi_{X,*} \) and the functoriality of the Cone on the left, and the projection \( \pi' : \tilde{X} - (E \cup \tilde{Y}) \longrightarrow X - (W \cup Y) \) induced by \( \pi_X \) on the right. Now the claim follows, because \( \pi' \) is an isomorphism.

Now we start the proof of [14.5]. By [RES]_{q-2} and [14.6] we may assume that \( W \) is regular of dimension \( \leq q - 2 \) intersecting transversally with \( Y \). Consider the following diagrams:
\[ \begin{align*}
&\begin{array}{c}
E \leftarrow \tilde{X} \leftarrow \tilde{Y} \\
\downarrow \square & \downarrow \pi & \downarrow \square & \downarrow \square & \downarrow \square
\end{array} \\
&W \leftarrow X \leftarrow Y & X \leftarrow U & \leftarrow W_U
\end{align*} \]

where \( \tilde{X} \) is the blowup of \( X \) along \( W \), \( E \) is the exceptional divisor, \( \tilde{Y} \) is the proper transform of \( Y \) in \( X \), \( \tilde{U} = \tilde{X} - \tilde{Y}, W_U = W \cap U, E_U = E \cap \tilde{U} \). Note that \( \tilde{Y} \cup E \) is a simple normal crossing divisor on \( \tilde{X} \). By Bertini’s theorem (as extended to finite fields by Poonen [P]) we can find hypersurface sections \( H_1, \ldots, H_N \subset \tilde{X} \) with \( N = \dim(X) - q + 1 \) (recall that we have assumed \( \dim(X) \geq q \)) such that \( \tilde{Y} \cup E \cup H_1 \cup \cdots \cup H_N \) is a simple normal crossing divisor on \( \tilde{X} \). Then the morphism \( E_\nu = E \cap H_1 \cap \cdots \cap H_\nu \to W \) is surjective for \( \nu = 0, \ldots, N \). In fact, it follows by induction that the fibers are of dimension \( \geq \dim(X) - \nu - 1 - \dim(W) = N - \nu + q - 2 - \dim(W) \geq N - \nu \geq 0 \):

This holds for \( E = E_0 \), and if shown for \( E_\nu \) with \( \nu < N \) it follows for \( E_{\nu+1} \), because the fibers
of $E_\nu$ are proper of dimension $>0$ and contain the fibers of $E_{\nu+1} = E_\nu \cap H_{\nu+1}$ as non-empty divisors, because $E_\nu - (E_\nu \cap H_{\nu+1})$ is affine, and so are its fibers. We get the diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
\tilde{U} := & \tilde{U}_0 & \leftarrow & \tilde{U}_1 & \leftarrow & \tilde{U}_2 & \leftarrow & \cdots & \leftarrow & \tilde{U}_N \\
\downarrow & & & & & & & & & \\
\tilde{X} := & \tilde{Z}_0 & \leftarrow & \tilde{Z}_1 & \leftarrow & \tilde{Z}_2 & \leftarrow & \cdots & \leftarrow & \tilde{Z}_N \\
\downarrow & & & & & & & & & \\
X := & Z_0 & \leftarrow & Z_1 & \leftarrow & Z_2 & \leftarrow & \cdots & \leftarrow & Z_N \\
\uparrow & & & & & & & & & \\
U := & U_0 & \leftarrow & U_1 & \leftarrow & U_2 & \leftarrow & \cdots & \leftarrow & U_N 
\end{array}
\]

where $\tilde{Z}_\nu = H_1 \cap \cdots \cap H_\nu$, $Z_\nu$ is its image in $X$, $\tilde{U}_\nu = \tilde{Z}_\nu \cap \tilde{U}$, $U_\nu = Z_\nu \cap U$ for $1 \leq \nu \leq N$. Let $\tilde{Y}_\nu = \tilde{Z}_\nu \cap \tilde{Y}$, $E_\nu = \tilde{Z}_\nu \cap E$ $(0 \leq \nu \leq N)$, $V_\nu = \tilde{Z}_\nu - (\tilde{Z}_{\nu+1} \cup \tilde{Y}_\nu \cup E_\nu)$ $(0 \leq \nu \leq N - 1)$.

We note that $V_\nu = U_\nu - U_{\nu+1}$ for $0 \leq \nu \leq N$ and that $\dim(Z_\nu) = q + N - \nu - 1$ and that $Z_\nu$ is regular off $W$ but may be singular along it. We have the following log pairs:

$$\Psi_\nu = (\tilde{Z}_\nu, \tilde{Z}_{\nu+1} \cup \tilde{Y}_\nu \cup E_\nu; V_\nu), (\tilde{Z}_\nu, \tilde{Y}_\nu; U_\nu), (E_\nu, E_\nu \cap \tilde{Y}; E_\nu \cap U), (W, W \cap Y; W \cap U).$$

We claim that $\Psi_0 = (\tilde{X}, \tilde{Z}_1 \cup \tilde{Y} \cup E)$ satisfies the desired properties of [4.5]. Indeed, (4.5) follows from the assumption (L) since $H_1 = \tilde{Z}_1$ is an ample divisor on $\tilde{X} = \tilde{Z}_0$. [4.5] follows from the fact that $W \subset C_\nu$. It remains to show [4.5](3). We set

$$\Phi_\nu = \text{Cone}((E_\nu, E_\nu \cap \tilde{Y}_\nu; \tilde{Z}_\nu, \tilde{Y}_\nu, \pi_{E_\nu} \circ (\tilde{Z}_\nu, \tilde{Y}_\nu; \tilde{Z}_\nu, \tilde{Y}_\nu) \oplus (W, W \cap Y)),
$$

where $i_{E_\nu} : E_\nu \to \tilde{Z}_\nu$ and $\pi_{E_\nu} : E_\nu \to W$ are the natural morphisms. There is a natural morphism

$$KC_H(\Phi_\nu, \Lambda_H) \xrightarrow{\cong} KC_H(U_\nu, \Lambda_H)
$$

which is a quasi-isomorphism for $0 \leq n \leq N$. In fact, we have a commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
KC_H((E_\nu, E_\nu \cap \tilde{Y}_\nu; \tilde{Z}_\nu, \tilde{Y}_\nu, \Lambda_H)) & \xrightarrow{i_{E_\nu}} & KC_H((\tilde{Z}_\nu, \tilde{Y}_\nu; \tilde{Z}_\nu, \tilde{Y}_\nu, \Lambda_H)) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_{E_\nu} \\
KC_H((W, W \cap Y; \tilde{Z}_\nu, \tilde{Y}_\nu, \Lambda_H)) & \xrightarrow{i_{W, \nu}} & KC_H((Z_\nu, Z_\nu \cap Y, \Lambda_H),
\end{array}
$$

and, by (4.1), the upper row is quasi-isomorphic to

$$KC_H(E_\nu - (E_\nu \cap \tilde{Y}_\nu), \Lambda_H) \to KC_H(E_\nu - (E_\nu \cap \tilde{Y}_\nu), \Lambda_H),
$$

while the lower row is quasi-isomorphic to

$$KC_H(W - (W \cap Y), \Lambda_H) \to KC_H(U_\nu, \Lambda_H).$$

Now the claim follows, because by (2.3) the associated total complexes are quasi-isomorphic to $KC_H(\tilde{Z}_\nu - (E_\nu \cup \tilde{Y}_\nu), \Lambda_H)$ and $KC_H(Z_\nu - (W \cup Y_\nu), \Lambda_H)$, respectively, and $\pi$ induces an isomorphism $\tilde{Z}_\nu - (E_\nu \cup \tilde{Y}_\nu) \cong Z_\nu - (W \cup Y_\nu)$.

By (4.7) we have the natural isomorphism

$$\Phi_0 \xrightarrow{\cong} (X, Y) \text{ in } \text{Hot}(\mathbb{Z}S).
$$

Moreover we claim that there are natural isomorphisms

$$\text{Cone}(\Phi_{\nu+1}, \Lambda_H) \xrightarrow{i_{\nu+1} \circ \text{id}_V} \Phi_\nu \xrightarrow{\cong} \Psi_\nu
$$

in the category $C(\mathbb{Z}S)$ of complexes in $\mathbb{Z}S$ where $\nu : \tilde{Z}_{\nu+1} \to \tilde{Z}_\nu$ is the natural morphism. Indeed, for a morphism of complexes $f : A \to B$ call the natural sequence of complexes $A \xrightarrow{f} B \to$
$Cone(f)$ a cone sequence. Then we have the following commutative diagram in $C(\mathcal{ZS})$:

$$(E_{\nu+1}, E_{\nu+1} \cap \bar{Y}) \longrightarrow (\bar{Z}_{\nu+1}, \bar{Y}_{\nu+1}) \oplus (W, W \cap Y) \longrightarrow \Phi_{\nu+1}.$$ 

In which the morphisms $c$ given by $(a, b, c)$ due to and $(2.4)$ and the fact that $\Phi_{\nu} = (E_{\nu} \cap \bar{Y}) \oplus (\bar{Z}_{\nu} \cup \bar{Z}_{\nu+1})$.

where the two left vertical sequences and the bottom horizontal sequence are cone sequences by Lemma 4.8 and by noting that $(E_{\nu} \cap \bar{Y}) \cap E_{\nu+1} = E_{\nu} \cap (\bar{Y}_{\nu} \cup \bar{Z}_{\nu+1})$. Now (4.9) follows from the following elementary lemma.

**Lemma 4.8.** Consider a diagram of cone sequences (in any additive category $A$)

$$A \xymatrix{ 
\ar[r]^f & B \ar[r] & Cone(f) 
\ar[d]^a \ar[d]_b \ar[d]^c 
\ar[r]^{f'} & B' \ar[r] & Cone(f') 
\ar[d] \ar[d] 
\ar[r]^d & Cone(b) 
}
$$

in which the morphisms $c$ and $d$ come from the functoriality of the cone. Then there is a canonical isomorphism $Cone(c) \cong Cone(d)$ in the category of complexes in $A$.

To degree $n$ it is $(B')^n \oplus (A')^{n+1} \oplus B^{n+1} \oplus A^{n+2} \rightarrow (B')^n \oplus B^{n+1} \oplus (A')^{n+1} \oplus A^{n+2}$

given by $"(b', a', b, a') \mapsto (b', b, a', -a")$.

By (4.9), we get the following commutative diagram with exact rows (the coefficients $\Lambda_{H}$ are omitted):

$$
\cdots \xymatrix{ 
K_{H_{i+1}}(V_{\nu}) \ar[r] \ar[d] & K_{H_{i}}(U_{\nu+1}) \ar[r] \ar[d] & K_{H_{i}}(U_{\nu}) \ar[r] \ar[d] & K_{H_{i}}(V_{\nu}) \ar[r] \ar[d] & \cdots 
\ar[r] \ar[d] & K_{H_{i+1}}(\Psi_{\nu}) \ar[r] \ar[d] & K_{H_{i}}(\Phi_{\nu+1}) \ar[r] \ar[d] & K_{H_{i}}(\Phi_{\nu}) \ar[r] \ar[d] & K_{H_{i}}(\Psi_{\nu}) \ar[r] \ar[d] & \cdots 
\ar[r] \ar[d] 
Graph_{i+1}(\Psi_{\nu}) \ar[r] \ar[d] & Graph_{i}(\Phi_{\nu+1}) \ar[r] \ar[d] & Graph_{i}(\Phi_{\nu}) \ar[r] \ar[d] & Graph_{i}(\Phi_{\nu}) \ar[r] \ar[d] & \cdots 
}
$$

Here the upper vertical maps come from the quasi-isomorphism (3.1) and (4.7), and the upper long exact sequence comes from the exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \rightarrow KC_{H}(U_{\nu+1}) \rightarrow KC_{H}(U_{\nu}) \rightarrow KC_{H}(V_{\nu}) \rightarrow 0$$
due to and (2.3) and the fact that $V_{\nu} = U_{\nu} - U_{\nu+1}$.

By composing with the edge homomorphisms (2.3) we get the commutative diagram with exact rows

$H_{i+1}(U_{\nu}) \longrightarrow H_{i+1}(V_{\nu}) \longrightarrow H_{i}(U_{\nu+1}) \longrightarrow H_{i}(U_{\nu}) \longrightarrow H_{i}(V_{\nu}) \longrightarrow \gamma_{\Phi_{\nu}}$.

In view of (1.8) the assumption that $(X, Y)$ is $H$-clean in degree $q - 1$ implies that $\gamma_{\Phi_{\nu}}$ is injective for $i = q - 1$ and surjective for $i = q$. Since $\Psi_{\nu}$ is ample and $dim(V_{\nu}) \geq q \leq N - 1$, $\gamma_{\Phi_{\nu}}$ is an isomorphism for $i \leq q$ and for $i = q + 1$ by the assumption (L). The diagram chase now shows that for all $q$ with $0 \leq \nu \leq N$, $\gamma_{\Phi_{\nu}}$ is injective for $i = q - 1$ and surjective for $i = q$. Then the following facts hold:

$(*)$ $\Theta_{i}(V_{\nu}) = 0$ for all $l \geq 1$ and for all $1 \leq \nu \leq N - 1$. 


5. Results with finite coefficients

The main results in §3 show, under the assumption of resolution of singularities, the vanishing of the Kato homology of a projective smooth variety for a certain homology theory with infinite coefficient module $\Lambda_\infty$ (see 2.6). In this section we improve it to the case of finite coefficient modules $\Lambda_n$.

Fix a rational prime $\ell$. Assume given an inductive system of homology theories:

$$H = \{H(\cdot, \Lambda_n), \iota_{m,n}\}_{n \geq 1},$$

where $H(\cdot, \Lambda_n)$ are homology theories leveled above $e$ on $\mathcal{C}$, a category of schemes over the base $B = \text{Spec}(k)$. It gives rise to a homology theory

$$H(\cdot, \Lambda_\infty) : X \rightarrow H_a(X, \Lambda_\infty) := \lim_{n \geq 1} H(X, \Lambda_n) \text{ for } X \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$$

with $\iota_n : H(\cdot, \Lambda_n) \rightarrow H(\cdot, \Lambda_\infty)$, a functor of homology theories. We assume that it induces an exact sequence for each $n \geq 1$:

$$0 \rightarrow H_0(B, \Lambda_n) \rightarrow H_0(B, \Lambda_\infty) \rightarrow \ell^n \rightarrow H_0(B, \Lambda_\infty) \rightarrow 0.$$  

Further assume given, for each integer $n \geq 1$, a map of homology theories of degree $-1$

$$\partial_n : H(\cdot, \Lambda_\infty) \rightarrow H(\cdot, \Lambda_n)$$

such that for any $X \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ and for any integers $m > n$, we have the following commutative diagram of exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{c}
0 \rightarrow H_{q+1}(X, \Lambda_\infty)/\ell^m \rightarrow H_q(X, \Lambda_n) \rightarrow \ell^n \rightarrow H_q(X, \Lambda_\infty)[\ell^n] \rightarrow 0 \\
0 \rightarrow H_{q+1}(X, \Lambda_\infty)/\ell^m \rightarrow H_q(X, \Lambda_n) \rightarrow \ell^n \rightarrow H_q(X, \Lambda_\infty)[\ell^n] \rightarrow 0.
\end{array}$$

We let $KH_a(X, \Lambda_n)$ and $KH_a(X, \Lambda_\infty)$ denote the Kato homology associated to $H(\cdot, \Lambda_n)$ and $H(\cdot, \Lambda_\infty)$ respectively. By definition $KH_a(X, \Lambda_\infty) = \lim_{n \geq 1} KH_a(X, \Lambda_n)$.

**Remark 5.1.** The homology theories $\{H^{\ell^q}(\cdot, \Lambda_n)\}_{n \geq 1}$ and $\{H^{D}(\cdot, \Lambda_n)\}_{n \geq 1}$ in 2.6 satisfy the above assumption.

We now consider the following condition for $H(\cdot, \Lambda_\infty)$:

$$(D)_{q, \ell} : \text{For any } X \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}) \text{ which is connected regular of dimension } q \text{ with } \eta \in X_q, \text{ the generic point, } H_{q-e+1}(\eta, \Lambda_\infty) \text{ is divisible by } \ell.$$  

**Remark 5.2.**

1. For the homology theory in 2.4 the condition $(D)_{q, \ell}$ is implied by the Bloch-Kato conjecture. We will explain this later in this section.

2. In view of (5.3) $(D)_{q, \ell}$ is equivalent to the injectivity of $H_{q-e}(\eta, \Lambda_n) \rightarrow H_{q-e}(\eta, \Lambda_\infty)$, which implies the injectivity of $KH_q(X, \Lambda_n) \rightarrow KH_q(X, \Lambda_\infty)$ for $X$ connected regular of dimension $q$ since by definition $KH_q(X, \Lambda_n)$ is a subgroup of $H_{q-e}(\eta, \Lambda_n)$. 


Let
\[ E^1_{a,b}(X, \Lambda_n) = \bigoplus_{x \in X_a} H_{a+b}(x, \Lambda_n) \Rightarrow H_{a+b}(X, \Lambda_n) \]
be the niveau spectral sequence associated to \( H(\cdot, \Lambda_n) \).

**Theorem 5.3.** Let \( q, d \geq 1 \) be integers. Assume that \( H = H(\cdot, \Lambda_\infty) \) satisfies (L) and (D)_{q, \ell}. Assume either (RES)_{q-2} or (RS)_d.

1. Let \( \Phi = (X, Y; U) \) be a log-pair with \( \dim(X) \leq d \) and assume that it is \( H \)-clean in degree \( q-1 \) and \( q \). Then we have for any integer \( n \geq 0 \)
\[ (Z^{\infty}/B^{b+e})_{a,b}(U, \Lambda_n) = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad a + b = q - 1 - e \quad \text{and} \quad b \geq 1 - e. \]

2. For any \( X \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{S}) \) of dimension \( \leq d \), \( KH_q(X, \Lambda_n) = 0 \) for any integer \( n \geq 0 \).

**Proof** By shift of degree we may assume \( e = 0 \). First we prove (1). Recall that the cleanness of \( \Phi \) in degree \( q \) implies \( \dim(U) = q \). Once (1) is shown in case \( \dim(U) = q \), then the case \( \dim(U) \geq q + 1 \) is shown by the same argument as in the proof of (4.4) and (4.2). We thus treat the case \( \dim(U) = q \). It suffices to show that
\[ \gamma e_{q, \Lambda_n}^{q-1} : H_{q-1}(U, \Lambda_n) \to \text{Graph}_{q-1}(\Phi_\bullet, \Lambda_n) \]
is injective and that the edge homomorphism
\[ e_U^q : H_q(U, \Lambda_n) \to KH_q(U, \Lambda_n) \]
is surjective. To show the first assertion, we consider the commutative diagram
\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & H_q(U, \Lambda_\infty)/\ell^n & \overset{\partial_n}{\longrightarrow} & H_{q-1}(U, \Lambda_n) & \longrightarrow & H_{q-1}(U, \Lambda_\infty)[\ell^n] & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow \gamma e^q_\Phi & & \downarrow \gamma e_{q-1}^{q-1} & & \downarrow \gamma e_{q-1}^{q-1} & & \downarrow \gamma e_{q-1}^{q-1} & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Graph}_q(\Phi_\bullet, \Lambda_\infty)/\ell^n & \longrightarrow & \text{Graph}_{q-1}(\Phi_\bullet, \Lambda_n) & \longrightarrow & \text{Graph}_{q-1}(\Phi_\bullet, \Lambda_\infty)[\ell^n] & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}
\]
Here \( \text{Graph}_\bullet(\cdot, \Lambda_n) \) and \( \text{Graph}_\bullet(\cdot, \Lambda_\infty) \) are the graph homologies associated to \( H(\cdot, \Lambda_n) \) and \( H(\cdot, \Lambda_\infty) \) (cf. (2.6)), respectively, and the lower exact sequence comes from (5.1). The commutativity of the left square follows from the assumption that \( \partial_n \) (cf. (5.2)) is a map of homology theories. The left vertical arrow is an isomorphism and the right vertical one is injective by the assumption that \( \Phi \) is \( H \)-clean in degree \( q-1 \) and \( q \). The desired assertion follows from this. In order to show the second assertion, we consider the commutative diagram
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
H_q(U, \Lambda_n) & \overset{\alpha}{\longrightarrow} & H_q(U, \Lambda_\infty)[\ell^n] \\
\downarrow e_U^q & \simeq & \downarrow e_U^q \\
KH_q(U, \Lambda_n) & \overset{\beta}{\longrightarrow} & KH_q(U, \Lambda_\infty)[\ell^n]
\end{array}
\]
The right vertical arrow is an isomorphism due to (4.5) and (5.3) in view of the assumption that \( \Phi \) is clean in degree \( q \). The map \( \alpha \) is surjective by (5.3). Noting \( \dim(U) = q \), (D)_{q, \ell} implies that \( \beta \) is injective. This shows the desired surjectivity.

We now deduce (5.3) (2) from (1). We may assume that \( X \) is connected of dimension \( \geq q \). Assume \( \dim(X) = q \). (D)_{q, \ell} implies \( KH_q(X, \Lambda_n) \hookrightarrow KH_q(X, \Lambda_\infty) \) and thus the assertion follows from (5.3) and (5.3). Assume \( \dim(X) > q \) and proceed by induction on \( \dim(X) \). Let \( Y \subset X \) be a smooth hyperplane section and consider the log-pair \( \Phi = (X, Y; U) \) with \( U = X \setminus Y \). By induction \( KH_q(Y, \Lambda_n) = 0 \) and the exact sequence (2.4) implies \( KH_q(Y, \Lambda_n) \hookrightarrow KH_q(U, \Lambda_n) \). Thus it suffices to show \( KH_q(U, \Lambda_n) = 0 \). Since \( \Phi \) is clean in degree \( q-1 \) and \( q \) by (L), (5.3) (1) implies the edge homomorphism \( H_q(U, \Lambda_n) \to KH_q(U, \Lambda_n) \) is surjective so that it suffices to
show $H_q(U, \Lambda_n) = 0$. Consider the commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & \rightarrow & H_{q+1}(U, \Lambda_\infty)/\ell^n & \overset{\partial}{\rightarrow} & H_q(U, \Lambda_n) & \rightarrow & H_q(U, \Lambda_\infty)[\ell^n] & \rightarrow & 0 \\
\simeq & \downarrow & \gamma_{q+1} & & \downarrow & \gamma_q & \simeq & \gamma_q \\
0 & \rightarrow & \text{Graph}_{q+1}(\Phi, \Lambda_\infty)/\ell^n & \rightarrow & \text{Graph}_q(\Phi, \Lambda_n) & \rightarrow & \text{Graph}_q(\Phi, \Lambda_\infty)[\ell^n] & \rightarrow & 0
\end{array}
$$

The left and right vertical arrows are isomorphisms by (L) and the assumption $\dim(U) = \dim(X) > q$. By definition $\text{Graph}_q(\Phi, \Lambda_n) = 0$ for all $a \geq 1$. This shows the desired assertion and completes the proof of 5.3. □

In the rest of this section we consider the homology theory in Example 2.4. We take the base $B = \text{Spec}(F)$ for a finite field $F$. For an integer $n \geq 0$ define

$$H^\text{et}_q(X, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) := H^{-a}(X, R^if^!\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \quad \text{for} \quad f : X \rightarrow B \text{ in } C.$$

This homology theory is leveled above $e = 1$ and the Kato complex $KC_H(X)$ for $X \in \text{Ob}(C)$ is the complex (0.4) in Introduction. We have

$$(5.4) \quad H^\text{et}_q(X, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) = H^{2q-a}(X, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}(q)) \quad \text{for} \quad X \in \text{Ob}(C) \text{ smooth over } B \text{ of dimension } q.$$

Now apply Theorem 5.3 to the inductive system $\{H^\text{et}(\cdot, \Lambda_n)\}_{n \geq 1}$ with $\Lambda_n = \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n\mathbb{Z}$. By (5.4), if $X$ is regular and connected with $\eta \in X_q$, the generic point, we have

$$H^\text{et}_q(-1)(\eta, \Lambda_\infty) = H^\text{et}_q(\eta, \Lambda_\infty) = H^q(\eta, \mathbb{Q}(\mathbb{Z}(q))) := \lim_{\longrightarrow \atop n} H^q(\eta, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n\mathbb{Z}(q)).$$

One easily sees that the surjectivity of the symbol map for a field $L$:

$$h^q_{L,\ell} : K^M_q(L) \rightarrow H^q(L, \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}(q))$$

implies $H^q(L, \mathbb{Q}(\mathbb{Z}(q)))$ is $l$-divisible. Hence condition (BK)$_{q,\ell}$ in the introduction implies (D)$_{q,\ell}$ in this case. Therefore 5.3 implies the following:

**Theorem 5.4.** Let $X$ be projective smooth of dimension $d$ over a finite field $F$. Let $t \geq 1$ be an integer. Assume either $t \leq 4$ or (RS)$_d$, or (RES)$_{t-2}$. Assume further (BK)$_{t,\ell}$. Then we have for any integer $n \geq 0$

$$KH^\text{et}_a(X, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n\mathbb{Z}) \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n\mathbb{Z} & a = 0 \\ 0 & 0 < a \leq t \end{cases}$$

**Corollary 5.5.** Let $X$ be a separated scheme of finite type of dimension $d$ over a finite field $F$. Let $q, n \geq 1$ be integers. Assume (RS)$_d$ and let

$$\gamma_X^a : KH^\text{et}_a(X, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Graph}_a(X, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$$

be the map (3.7) defined for the étale homology theory $H^\text{et}(-, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$. Assume (BK)$_{t,\ell}$ for all primes $l|n$. Then $\gamma_X^a$ is an isomorphism for $\forall a \leq t$.

**Proof** By the assumed resolution of singularities and the commutative diagrams (3.9), 5.5 is reduced to the case where $X$ is smooth projective, which follows from 5.4. □

Recall that 5.3 shows not only the vanishing of Kato homology for $X$ smooth projective but also that of $(\mathbb{Z}^\infty / B^{b+e})_{n,b}(U)$ for an ample log-pair $\Phi = (X, Y; U)$. In order to see the consequences of this more clearly, we look at $E^1$-terms in lower degrees associated to the homology
theory $H^\bullet(-, \Lambda)$ with $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$:

| $E^1_{\bullet,1}(U, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ | $E^1_{\bullet,0}(U, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ | $E^1_{\bullet,-1}(U, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| $\deg 0$ | $\deg 1$ | $\deg 2$ |
| $H^1_{\text{et}}(x, \Lambda(1))$ | $H^1_{\text{et}}(x, \Lambda(2))$ |
| $H^1_{\text{et}}(x, \Lambda(1))$ | $H^1_{\text{et}}(x, \Lambda(2))$ |
| $H^1_{\text{et}}(x, \Lambda(1))$ | $H^1_{\text{et}}(x, \Lambda(2))$ |

Recall

$$H_a(E^1_{\bullet,-1}(U, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})) = E^2_{\bullet,-1}(U, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) = K H^a_{\text{et}}(U, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$$

We are now interested in

$$H_a(E^1_{\bullet,1}(U, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})) = E^2_{\bullet,1}(U, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \quad \text{and} \quad H_a(E^1_{\bullet,0}(U, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})) = E^2_{\bullet,0}(U, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}).$$

Under the assumption of the Bloch-Kato conjecture, $E^1_{\bullet,1}(U, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ and $E^1_{\bullet,0}(U, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ are identified with the following complexes:

$$C^1(U, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) : 0 \leftarrow \bigoplus_{x \in U_1} \text{CH}^1(x, 2; \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \leftarrow \bigoplus_{x \in U_2} \text{CH}^2(x, 3; \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \leftarrow \bigoplus_{x \in U_3} \text{CH}^3(x, 4; \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \leftarrow \cdots,$n

$$C^0(U, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) : \bigoplus_{x \in U_0} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \leftarrow \bigoplus_{x \in U_1} \text{CH}^1(x, 1; \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \leftarrow \bigoplus_{x \in U_2} \text{CH}^2(x, 2; \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \leftarrow \bigoplus_{x \in U_3} \text{CH}^3(x, 3; \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \leftarrow \cdots,$n

where the terms $\bigoplus_{x \in U_a}$ are in degree $a$ and $\text{CH}^a(x, b; \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ is Bloch’s higher Chow group with finite coefficient. More precisely, we have the following (see Theorem 6.1 in §6):

**Lemma 5.6.** There are natural maps of complexes

$$C^i(U, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow E^1_{\bullet,i}(U, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \quad \text{for} \ i = 0, 1.$n

The maps are isomorphism for the terms in degrees $\leq t$ if $(\text{BK})_{t, \ell}$ holds for all primes $l | n$.

We note also that $C^0(U, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to the following complex due to Nesterenko-Suslin [NS] and Totaro [TG]

$$\bigoplus_{x \in U_0} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \leftarrow \bigoplus_{x \in U_1} K^1_x(n) \leftarrow \bigoplus_{x \in U_2} K^2_x(n) \leftarrow \bigoplus_{x \in U_3} K^3_x(n) \leftarrow \cdots,$n

Now the following result is an immediate consequence of §5.3:

**Corollary 5.7.** Let $X$ be a projective smooth of dimension $d$ over a finite field and let $Y \subset X$ be a simple normal crossing divisor on $X$ such that one of its irreducible components is an ample divisor. Put $U = X - Y$. Let $n > 1$ be an integer. Let $d = \dim(U)$.

1. $H_0(C^0(U, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})) = CH^d(U)/n = 0$ for $d \geq 2$.
2. $H_1(C^0(U, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})) = CH^d(U, 1; \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for $d \geq 3$, assuming $(\text{BK})_{3, \ell}$ for all primes $l | n$.
3. $H_2(C^0(U, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})) = 0$ for $d \geq 4$, assuming $(\text{BK})_{4, \ell}$ for all primes $l | n$.
6. Étale cycle map for motivic cohomology over finite fields

In this section we give an application of the results in the previous section to étale cycle map for motivic cohomology over finite fields. First we recall briefly some fundamental facts on motivic cohomology.

Fix a base field $F$. Let $X$ be a quasi-projective scheme over $F$. For an integer $i \geq 0$, let

$$
\Delta^q = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[t_0, \ldots, t_q]/(\sum_{\nu=0}^q t_\nu - 1)
$$

be the algebraic $q$-simplex. We have Bloch’s cycle complex ([BH])

$$z_s(X, \bullet) : \cdots \rightarrow z_s(X, 2) \otimes \partial >> z_s(X, 1) \otimes \partial >> z_s(X, 0).$$

Here $z_s(X, q)$ is the free abelian group on closed integral subschemes of dimension $s + q$ on $\Delta_X := X \times \Delta^q$ which intersect all faces properly where a face of $\Delta^q$ is a subscheme defined by an equation $t_{i_1} = \cdots = t_{i_\nu} = 0$ for some $0 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_\nu \leq q$. The boundary maps of $z_s(X, \bullet)$ are given by taking the alternating sum of the pullbacks of a cycle to the faces. The complex $z_s(X, \bullet)$ is contravariant for flat morphisms (with appropriate shift of degree) and covariant for proper morphisms. The higher Chow groups of $X$ (resp. with finite coefficient for an integer $n \geq 1$) are defined by

$$\text{CH}_s(X, q) = H_q(z_s(X, \bullet)) \quad \text{(resp.} \quad \text{CH}_s(X, q; \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) = H_q(z_s(X, \bullet) \otimes^L \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}))\).$$

We have an exact sequence

$$(6.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{CH}_s(X, q)/n \rightarrow \text{CH}_s(X, q; \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{CH}_s(X, q - 1)[n] \rightarrow 0.$$ 

Assume now that $X$ is equi-dimensional and write

$$\text{CH}^r(X, q) = H_q(z^r(X, \bullet)), \quad z^r(X, \bullet) = z_{\dim(X) - r}(X, \bullet).$$

Assuming further that $X$ is smooth over $F$, the motivic cohomology of $X$ is defined as:

$$H_M^s(X, \mathbb{Z}(r)) = \text{CH}^r(X, 2r - s) = H_{2r-s}(z^r(X, \bullet)).$$

The finite-coefficient versions are also defined similarly. Note

$$H_M^s(X, \mathbb{Z}(r)) = \text{CH}^r(X, 2r - s) = 0 \quad \text{for} \ s > 2r.$$

It is known ([Ge2], Lem.3.1) that the presheaves

$$z^r(-, \bullet) : U \rightarrow z^r(U, \bullet)$$

are sheaves for the étale topology on $X$. We define the complex $\mathbb{Z}(r)_X$ of sheaves on the site $X_{\text{Zar}}$ as the cohomological complex with $z^r(-, 2r - i)$ placed in degree $i$. It is shown in [BH] and [Ge2], Thm.3.2 that $H_M^s(X, \mathbb{Z}(r))$ agrees with $H_Z^s(X, \mathbb{Z}(r)_X)$, the hypercohomology group of $\mathbb{Z}(r)_X$. We now recall the following result on the Beilinson-Lichtenbaum conjecture due to Suslin-Voevodsky [SV] and Geisser-Levine [GL2], Thm.1.5 and [GL1], thm.8.5. For an integer $n > 0$, let $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}(r)$ be the object of $D^b(X_{\text{ét}})$ defined in (2.8).

**Theorem 6.1.** Let $X$ be a smooth scheme over $F$. Let $\epsilon : X_{\text{ét}} \rightarrow X_{\text{Zar}}$ be the continuous map of sites.
(1) There is an étale cycle map
\[ \text{cl}^r_\text{ét} : \epsilon^*Z(r)_X \otimes^L \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}(r), \]
which is an isomorphism in \( D^- (X_{\text{ét}}) \), the derived category of bounded-above complexes of étale sheaves on \( X \).

(2) The map \( \text{cl}^r_\text{ét} \) induces a map
\[ \phi^r_X : \mathbb{Z}(r)_X \otimes^L \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \to \tau_{\leq r}R\epsilon_*\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}(r), \]
which is an isomorphism if \((\text{BK})_{q+1,\ell}\) holds for all primes \( l | n \). In particular it induces
\[ H^s_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}(r)) = \text{CH}^r(X, 2r - s; \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \cong H^s_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}(r)) \quad \text{for all} \quad s \leq r. \]

For \( X \) smooth over \( F \), we get by (6.1) the canonical map from motivic cohomology to étale cohomology:
\[ \phi^r_X : H^s_{\text{M}}(X, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}(r)) \to H^s_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}(r)). \]
We rewrite \( \phi^r_X \) by using higher Chow group as:
\[ (6.2) \quad \rho^r_X : \text{CH}^r(X, q; \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \to H^{2r-q}_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}(r)). \]

**Lemma 6.2.** Let \( F \) be a finite field and \( X \) be smooth of pure dimension \( d \) over \( F \). Let \( q \geq 0 \) be an integer and assume \((\text{BK})_{q+1,\ell}\) for all primes \( l | n \). If \( r > d \), \( \rho^r_X \) is an isomorphism for all \( t \leq q \).

For \( r = d \) there is a long exact sequence
\[ KH^d_{q+2}(X, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \to \text{CH}^d(X, q; \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \to \rho^d_X H^{2d-q}_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}(d)) \]
\[ \to KH^d_{q+1}(X, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \to \text{CH}^d(X, q - 1; \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \to \rho^d_X H^{2d-q+1}_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}(d)) \to \cdots \]

**Proof** Write \( c = r - d \). By the localization theorem for higher Chow groups \((L2)\) and \((1)\), we have the niveau spectral sequence
\[ \text{CH}^1 E^1_{a,b} = \bigoplus_{x \in X_a} \text{CH}^a+c(x, a + b; \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \Rightarrow \text{CH}^r(X, a + b; \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}). \]
By the purity for étale cohomology, we have the niveau spectral sequence
\[ \epsilon^t E^1_{a,b} = \bigoplus_{x \in X_a} H^{a+b+2c}_{\text{ét}}(x, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}(a + c)) \Rightarrow H^{2r-a-b}_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}(r)). \]
The cyclic map \( \rho^r_X \) preserves the induced filtrations and induces maps on \( E^\infty_{a,b} \) compatible with the cycle maps for \( x \in X_a \):
\[ \rho_x^{a+c,a+b} : \text{CH}^{a+c}(x, a + b; \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \to H^{a+b+2c}_{\text{ét}}(x, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}(a + c)). \]

By \((L4)\) \((\text{BK})_{q+1,\ell}\) for all primes \( l | n \) imply that \( \rho_x^{a+c,a+b} \) is an isomorphism if \( b \geq c \) and \( a + b \leq q + 1 \).

We note that \( \text{CH}^1 E^1_{a,b} = 0 \) for \( b < c \) and \( \epsilon^1 E^1_{a,b} = 0 \) for \( b < 2c - 1 \) since for \( x \in X_a \), \( cd(\kappa(x)) = a + 1 \) and \( Wt^u_{x,\log} = 0 \) for \( u > a \). In case \( c \geq 1 \) it implies that \( \rho_x^{a+b} \) induces \( \text{CH} E^\infty_{a,b} \cong \epsilon^t E^\infty_{a,b} \) for all \( a + b \leq q \). In case \( c = 0 \) it implies that we have an exact sequence:
\[ \epsilon^2 E^2_{q+2,-1} \to \text{CH}^d(X, q; \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) @ \to \rho^d_X H^{2d-q}_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}(d)) \]
\[ \to \epsilon^2 E^2_{q+1,-1} \to \text{CH}^d(X, q - 1; \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) @ \to \rho^d_X H^{2d-q+1}_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}(d)) \to \cdots \]
This completes the proof of the lemma since \( E^2_{a,-1} = KH^d_{a}(X, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \) by definition.

Note that Theorem \((L5)\) follows immediately from Theorem \((L4)\) and Lemma \((L2)\).

**Theorem 6.3.** Let \( F \) be a finite field of characteristic \( p \). Let \( X \) be a quasi-projective equidimensional scheme of pure dimension \( d \) over \( F \).

(1) Assume \( r > d \) and \((\text{BK})_{q+1,\ell}\) for all primes \( l | n \). Then \( \text{CH}^r(X, t; \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \) is finite for all \( t \leq q \).
(2) Assume $(RS)_d$ and $(BK)_{q+2,t}$ for all primes $l/n$. Then $CH^d(X, t; \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ is finite for all $t \leq q$.

Proof In fact we show the finiteness of $CH^d_s(X, q; \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ for $s < 0$ in (1) and that for $s = 0$ in (2) without assuming that $X$ is equi-dimensional. In case $X$ is smooth over $F$, 6.3 follows from 6.2 and 5.5 in view of finiteness of étale cohomology $H^s_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}(r))$ (For the prime-to-p part it follows from SGA4$\frac{1}{2}$, Th. finitude. For the $p$-part we need assume $r \geq d$ and it follows from a result of Moser [Mo]). We now proceed by the induction on dim($X$). Assume 6.3 is proved in dimension $< d$. Take a closed subscheme $Z \subset X$ such that $U := X - Z$ is smooth over $F$ and dense in $X$. We have the localization exact sequence $([B2]$ and $[L])$

$$CH^d_s(Z, t; \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow CH^d_s(X, t; \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow CH^d(U, t; \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}).$$

This completes the proof by induction. $\square$
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