Hypersensitivity reactions to non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs: results of an Austrian cohort study
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Summary
Background Hypersensitivity to non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) is the second most common cause of drug hypersensitivity. Despite the importance of NSAIDs in routine analgesia only few studies have systematically addressed the question of tolerability in hypersensitive patients.
Methods The authors retrospectively analysed 398 patients that were treated at the Department of Dermatology, Kepler University Hospital Linz, Austria, in the period 2012–2016 with a clinical history of NSAID hypersensitivity. Skin tests (skin prick and intracutaneous tests) to common NSAIDs were performed, followed by single-blinded, placebo-controlled drug challenge with either the culprit drug or an alternative NSAID.
Results A total of 361 patients were subjected to skin testing. Of these, 25 patients (6.3%) showed a positive reaction to the culprit drug. According to the severity of the reaction in the medical history, 87 patients were exposed orally to the culprit drug (oral provocation test, OPT) after negative skin test and 255 patients received OPT with alternative NSAIDs according to established protocols. OPT with the culprit drug resulted in hypersensitivity reactions in 12 patients (13.79%). In terms of alternative NSAID testing, the three most commonly tested drugs were lornoxicam (192 OPTs), acetaminophen (156 OPTs) and celecoxib (133 OPTs) with tolerability rates in respectively 88.54% (hypersensitivity reactions, 11.46%), 92.31% (hypersensitivity reactions, 7.69%) and 91.73% (hypersensitivity reactions, 8.27%) of cases.
Conclusion OPT with alternative NSAIDs are useful in patients with NSAID hypersensitivity as tolerability varies between the individual substances.
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Abbreviations
ASA Acetylsalicylic acid
ICT Intracutaneous test
NSAID Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug
OPT Oral provocation test
SPT Skin prick test
ST Skin test

Introduction
Hypersensitivity reactions to non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAID) comprise a broad spectrum of clinical and organ manifestations and have been considered the second most common cause of drug hypersensitivity [1]. Based on published epidemiological data, aspirin hypersensitivity alone may affect 0.5%–1.9% of the general population, whereas the estimated incidence of NSAID hypersensitivity in the same group ranges from 0.6 to 2.5% [2–4]. Depending on the data source, the incidence of NSAID hypersensitivity in individuals with bronchial asthma may reach 5–10% and increase further in...
the presence of concomitant asthma, rhinosinusitis and nasal polyps or underlying chronic urticaria [5, 6]. The power of COX-1 inhibitors is directly related to the cross-reactivity between NSAIDs in patients with NSAID-exacerbated respiratory disease (NERD). COX-2 inhibitors are mostly well tolerated by these patients [7].

NSAID hypersensitivity reactions vary in terms of symptoms, time of onset, underlying chronic diseases and the involved pathophysiologic mechanisms or biochemical pathways [8–10]. The commonly used classification system of NSAID intolerance comprises one respiratory type (NERD), two skin types (NSAID-induced urticaria/angioedema [NIUA/NSAID] exacerbated cutaneous disease [NECD]) and two allergic types (single NSAID-induced urticaria/angioedema or anaphylaxis [SNIUA] and single NSAID-induced delayed reaction [SNIDR]) [11–13].

As most reactions relate to non-IgE mediated mechanisms, despite the clinical importance and performed research, there are no reliable skin or laboratory tests as yet for the accurate diagnosis of NSAID hypersensitivity. In this context, provocation tests remain the ‘gold standard’ to identify the suspected causative agent and subsequently confirm or exclude the diagnosis of NSAID hypersensitivity. Furthermore, provocation tests allow the identification of alternative compounds in patients with previous NSAID reactions [14–17].

The present study analysed data from 398 patients with NSAID hypersensitivity in their medical history with the aim to: (i) identify the causative agents for the reported reactions to NSAIDs and (ii) gain information on the tolerability of the alternative, skin test-negative NSAIDs administered in an oral provocation challenge test.

Material and methods

Patients

The authors included 398 patients with a clinical history of NSAID hypersensitivity from 2012 to 2016, retrospectively. The patients were registered in the database of the Department of Dermatology, Kepler University Hospital Linz, Austria. All patients with a clinical history of NSAID hypersensitivity (e.g. urticaria, flush, gastrointestinal symptoms, anaphylactic reactions, angioedema, bronchial asthma, nasal polyps) were included in the study. Serum tryptase and total immunoglobulin E (IgE) were assessed in all patients. The recorded data included demography, culprit drugs in the medical history, the clinical manifestations of the hypersensitivity reactions, additional allergies and trigger factors (e.g. bronchial asthma, chronic urticaria/angioedema).

Drugs

The drugs administered in oral provocation testing (OPT) were relevant to the Austrian market. This reflects the correspondence to the market and prescription practices in Austria and may not be marketed in other European countries. The same refers to the frequencies of prescriptions due to the drug (country-specific) regulations. Others, such as propyphenazone, are no longer available on the market, but might still be of relevance to patients that have been previously exposed and sensitized to the drug. The selection of the specific NSAIDs for alternative OPT was based on the absolute/relative COX2 inhibition (e.g. celecoxib, lornoxicam) of the drug and the lower upper provocation dose with a weaker NSAID (e.g. mefenamic acid, acetaminophen).

Skin test (ST)= skin prick test (SPT) and intracutaneous test (ICT)

Before oral provocation, all patients underwent a skin test (ST) according to standard protocols [18]. This was part of the routine workflow. Briefly, the skin prick test (SPT) and intracutaneous test (ICT) with a standard panel of NSAIDs, including the culprit drug, were performed on the forearm. The standard panel included: acetaminophen also known as paracetamol (SPT 10 mg/ml; ICT 10 mg/ml 1:10, 1:100, 1:1000), mefenamic acid (SPT 100 mg/ml), metamizole (SPT 40 mg/ml, 400 mg/ml; ICT 0.4 mg/ml, 4 mg/ml, 40 mg/ml) [19], propyphenazone (SPT 0.16%, 20%), acetylsalicylic acid (ASA) (SPT 10 mg/ml), diclofenac (SPT 25 mg, ICT 0.1 mg/ml, 1 mg/ml), ibuprofen (SPT 100 mg/ml), meloxicam (SPT 15 mg/ml), lornoxicam (SPT 8 mg/ml), indomethacin (SPT 50 mg/ml) and celecoxib (SPT 100 mg/ml) dissolved in sodium chloride. An ST with sodium chloride 0.9% and histamine (10 mg/ml) served negative and positive controls. SPT was considered positive if a weal and flare reaction appeared after 20 min, in which the wheal was 3 mm larger than that of the negative control. ICT was considered positive if after 20 min the induced weal reaction was more than 3 mm larger than the saline control and larger than the original vesicle. OPT was only performed after negative skin testing with the NSAID standard panel.

Oral provocation test

OPT was performed as a single-blinded, placebo-controlled drug challenge. It was performed under continuous monitoring and all patients provided signed informed consent beforehand. Before starting, a dermatologic and general medical examination was performed. Patients with medical pre-conditions (e.g. uncontrolled bronchial asthma, severe heart disease), pregnancy, use of β-blockers and a medical history of severe cutaneous drug eruption were excluded from
Table 1 Characteristics of the study cohort (number of patients, skin tests and provocation challenges)

| Patients (n) | Total | ST | OPT |
|-------------|-------|----|-----|
| Female      | 255   | 235| 223 |
| Male        | 143   | 126| 119 |

ST skin test, OPT oral provocation test, SD standard deviation

OPT. The order and number of the tested drugs was defined individually for each patient; the culprit drug was never administered on the first day. Placebo (either lactose or corn starch) was administered first, followed by individual NSAIDs, which were taken by the patient in increasing order with 60-min intervals. Both the placebo and the individual NSAIDs were concealed in gelatine capsules and only one NSAID was tested each day. If a patient developed objective signs of an allergic reaction (e.g. flush, generalized pruritus, dyspnea, cough), the OPT was stopped immediately and the hypersensitivity reaction was regarded as positive. In the case of subjective symptoms (e.g. headache, localized pruritus, dizziness), the OPT was suspended for 2 h and continued after recovery. If subjective symptoms did not improve, the OPT was cancelled. The patients remained under surveillance for 24 h after the last challenge. Allergic reactions were graded according to Müller [20]. The administered cumulative dose of the individual NSAIDs were as follows: acetaminophen—850 mg, ASA—810 mg, diclofenac—187.5 mg, ibuprofen/dexibuprofen—550 mg, celecoxib—300 mg, lornoxicam—14 mg, mefenamic acid—875 mg, metamizole—425 mg, indomethacin—50 mg, meloxicam—15 mg.

Results

A total of 398 patients with a medical history of NSAID hypersensitivity were included in this study. Patient characteristics, test procedures and a flow chart are described in Table 1 and Fig. 1.

Fig. 2 Hypersensitivity reactions to culprit drugs according to the patient’s medical history. The frequency of hypersensitivity reactions to non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs in the medical history of 398 patients was assessed between 2012 and 2016. The x-axis shows the name of the culprit drugs, while the y-axis shows the frequency of hypersensitivity reactions.

Patients with a positive medical history to NSAIDs (n=398)

Excluded (n=37): Lost to follow-up

Patients receiving skin tests (n=361)

Excluded (n=19): Lost to follow-up

Patients undergoing oral provocations tests (n=342)

Fig. 1 Flow chart of the study. A total of 398 patients with a medical history of reactions to non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) were included in this study. Of those, 361 patients were subjected to skin testing and 342 patients underwent oral provocation tests.

Patients most commonly reported reactions to diclofenac (153 patients, 38.4%), ASA (121 patients, 30.4%), acetaminophen (106 patients, 26.6%), ibuprofen/dexibuprofen (96 patients, 24.1%), mefenamic acid (80 patients, 20.1%) and metamizole (68 patients, 17.1%) (Fig. 2). As part of the allergy work-up, the authors first performed ST (SPT and IC), including acetaminophen, mefenamic acid, metamizole (propyphenazone), ASA, diclofenac or ibuprofen in 361 patients (90.7%; 37 patients did not show up for skin testing, see Fig. 1).

Interestingly, 25 patients (6.3%) displayed a positive ST to the culprit drug, whereas 41 patients showed positive reactions in ST without any medical history (Tables 2 and 3). Next, 87 patients were subjected to single-blinded, placebo-controlled OPTs with the culprit drug after negative ST. In the case of severe reactions in the medical history (>grade I), no OPT was performed with the culprit drug and patients were subjected to testing with alternative NSAIDs (n=255). In the patient group that received OPT with the culprit drug, 12 out of 87 patients tested positive, corre-
**Table 2** Numbers of negative and positive skin test results for the culprit drugs and alternative non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs)

| Skin test   | Total     | Alternative NSAIDs | Culprit drug |
|-------------|-----------|--------------------|--------------|
| Tested total | 361 (90.7%) | –                  | –            |
| Tested positive | –        | 41 (10.3%)        | 25 (6.3%)    |

**Table 3** Total numbers of positive reactions in skin tests and to the culprit drugs specifically

| Skin test               | Positive in total | Positive to culprit drug |
|-------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| Diclofenac              | 19                | 8                        |
| Ibuprofen               | 1                 | 1                        |
| Propyphenazone          | 20                | 3                        |
| Acetylsalicylic acid    | 3                 | 2                        |
| Metamizol               | 19                | 8                        |
| Acetaminophen           | 4                 | 3                        |
| Total                   | 66                | 25                       |

**Table 4** Patients’ medical history to the culprit drugs, the number of patients that received oral provocation tests (OPTs) with the culprit drug and the number of positive and negative reactions in OPTs with the culprit drugs

| Tested drugs during OPT | Medical history | Total | Negative | Positive | % Positive |
|------------------------|-----------------|-------|----------|----------|------------|
| Lornoxicam             | 21              | 10    | 4        | 6        | 60.0       |
| Ibuprofen              | 96              | 5     | 3        | 2        | 40.0       |
| Naproxen               | 12              | 3     | 2        | 1        | 33.3       |
| Acetylsalicylic acid   | 121             | 10    | 9        | 1        | 10.0       |
| Acetaminophen          | 106             | 49    | 47       | 2        | 4.08       |
| Diclofenac             | 153             | 7     | 7        | 0        | 0.0        |
| Mefenamic acid         | 80              | 1     | 1        | 0        | 0.0        |
| Metamizol              | 68              | 2     | 2        | 0        | 0.0        |
| Propyphenazone         | 8               | 0     | 0        | 0        | 0.0        |
| Meloxicam              | 1               | 0     | 0        | 0        | 0.0        |
| Indometacin            | 1               | 0     | 0        | 0        | 0.0        |
| Celecoxib              | 1               | 0     | 0        | 0        | 0.0        |
| Total                  | 668             | 87    | 75       | 12       | 13.79      |

**Table 5** Total numbers of oral provocation tests (OPTs) with alternative non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and the number of positive and negative reactions during OPTs

| Tested drugs during OPT | Total | Negative | Positive | % Positive |
|------------------------|-------|----------|----------|------------|
| Diclofenac             | 16    | 13       | 3        | 18.75      |
| Metamizole             | 15    | 13       | 2        | 13.33      |
| Lornoxicam             | 192   | 170      | 22       | 11.46      |
| Acetylsalicylic acid   | 31    | 28       | 3        | 9.68       |
| Placebo                | 69    | 63       | 6        | 8.70       |
| Celecoxib              | 133   | 122      | 11       | 8.27       |
| Acetaminophen          | 156   | 144      | 12       | 7.69       |
| Naproxen               | 26    | 25       | 1        | 3.85       |
| Ibuprofen              | 82    | 80       | 2        | 2.44       |
| Indometacin            | 1     | 1        | 0        | 0.0        |
| Mefenamic acid         | 8     | 8        | 0        | 0.0        |
| Meloxicam              | 18    | 18       | 0        | 0.0        |
| Total                  | 739   | 677      | 62       | 8.39       |

Sponding to a reaction rate of 13.79% (Table 4). The highest reaction rate to the culprit drug was observed for lornoxicam, which was administered in 10 patients leading to a positive reaction in six cases (60.0%), followed by ibuprofen (40.0%) and naproxen (33.3%) (Table 4). Interestingly, diclofenac was responsible for most reactions in patients’ medical history, but no reaction occurred during OPT with this culprit drug (Table 4).

In terms of OPTs with alternative NSAIDs, the tested NSAIDs were chosen individually, depending on the severity of the previous reaction and the specific NSAID (absolute/relative) COX2 inhibition (e.g. celecoxib, lornoxicam), the lower upper provocation dose with a weaker NSAID (e.g. mefenamic acid, acetaminophen). In this setting, diclofenac caused the most reactions, followed by metamizole (13.33%) and lornoxicam (11.46%) as shown in Table 5. The three most commonly tested drugs were lornoxicam (192 OPTs), acetaminophen (156 OPTs) and celecoxib (133 OPTs) with tolerability rates of 88.54% (hypersensitivity reactions 11.46%), 92.31% (hypersensitivity reactions 7.69%) and 91.73% (hypersensitivity reactions 8.27%), respectively. Interestingly, patients had no reactions to meloxicam, mefenamic acid and in-
domethacin in OPTs, demonstrating the favorable tolerability of these drugs in patients with NSAID hypersensitivity (Table 5). In addition, six out of 342 patients reacted to placebo (generalized pruritus, dyspnea, cough, flush in the face), which indicates the importance of placebo-controlled OPTs when testing for NSAID hypersensitivity.

Discussion

The present study showed that: (i) diclofenac is the most common drug with hypersensitivity reactions in the medical history of the current patient cohort and (ii) acetaminophen is a frequently used NSAID in the authors’ geographical region with a favourable tolerability profile in OPT.

Based on medical history, diclofenac was the most common culprit drug with 153 (38.4%) reactions. This is in contrast to other studies in which most of the reactions were caused by ASA [21, 22], ASA and ibuprofen [23], metamizole [11, 24] or arylpropionic acid derivatives [11]. ASA was found to be the second most common culprit drug according to medical history (n=121, 30.4%) in the present patient cohort. In line with other reports, the rate of positive reactions to the culprit drug during OPT was 13.79%, which is similar to observations by Schubert et al. [25] (13.8% positive reactions) and Viola et al. [26] (22.2% positive reactions). As ASA is the only irreversible blocker of COX-1, the low number of ASA provocation tests may be the reason for the low rate of positive provocation reaction tests. In contrast, studies in Turkish [24], Norwegian [27] and Latin American [28] cohorts found higher rates of positive reactions of respectively 25%, 32% and 52.3%.

In the authors’ patient cohort, 18.75% (n=3) had a positive reaction to diclofenac in OPT with alternative drugs. Picaud et al. [29] reported nine cases of anaphylaxis to diclofenac out of 33 patients in a French cohort for the period 2002–2012. This lower rate could be attributed to local differences in NSAID use. Furthermore, Picaud et al. [29] showed that an IgE-dependent mechanism might be the underlying cause of reactions to diclofenac and that the measurement of specific IgE to diclofenac might be beneficial to diagnose diclofenac hypersensitivity. In the present cohort, all 24 patients that reacted to diclofenac during OPT had previous negative ST. These data are in line with Pérez-Alzate et al. [11], Gala et al. [30] and del Pozo et al. [31], showing a negative ST before oral challenge with diclofenac. The low rate of verified hypersensitivity reactions to diclofenac in the present study, which often avoided testing with the culprit drug, might be the result of OPT with alternative NSAIDs. This strategy helps to identify NSAIDs with a favorable tolerability profile and reduces the risk of severe hypersensitivity reactions during OPT.

A total of approximately 2% of the tested patients displayed objective symptoms to placebo in the present study. Interestingly, there are only scant data on placebo testing in NSAID hypersensitivity [11, 21, 23]. To identify unspecific reactions and accurately assess the rate of positive reactions to the tested drugs, it is necessary to also include those results in the overall assessment.

Unspecific reactions such as headache, localized pruritus and dizziness during OPT were the common reactions observed in the current study (53.8%). These unspecific reactions have not been mentioned in other publications [11, 21, 23]. The authors’ observations highlight the importance of placebo-controlled drug challenge designs to distinguish unspecific reactions/symptoms from hypersensitivity.

A limitation of the study is its retrospective design. In addition, delayed reactions and possible genetic factors for NSAID hypersensitivity [11, 24] or the correlation with chronic diseases (e.g. atopic dermatitis) were not included in the data analysis [24]. In this context, previous trials have shown that atopic dermatitis does not seem to influence hypersensitivity reactions to NSAIDs [1, 16, 32].

Taken together, oral provocation tests are the gold standard to identify safe and tolerable drugs for patients with a clinical history of hypersensitivity to NSAIDs. Skin testing is highly recommended in the management of NSAID intolerance and should be performed on a routine basis before OPT. The pharmacological agents used in OPT with NSAIDs should be individually chosen based on clinical history and ST, but also depending on their common use in different geographic regions.
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