Cytosolic CTP Production Limits the Establishment of Photosynthesis in Arabidopsis
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CTP synthases (CTPS) comprise a protein family of the five members CTPS1–CTPS5 in Arabidopsis, all located in the cytosol. Specifically, downregulation of CTPS2 by amiRNA technology results in plants with defects in chlorophyll accumulation and photosynthetic performance early in development. CTP and its deoxy form dCTP are present at low levels in developing seedlings. Thus, under conditions of fast proliferation, the synthesis of CTP (dCTP) can become a limiting factor for RNA and DNA synthesis. The higher sensitivity of ami-CTPS2 lines toward the DNA-Gyrase inhibitor ciprofloxacin, together with reduced plastid DNA copy number and 16S and 23S chloroplast ribosomal RNA support this view. High expression and proposed beneficial biochemical features render CTPS2 the most important isoform for early seedling development. In addition, CTPS2 was identified as an essential enzyme in embryo development before, as knock-out mutants were embryo lethal. In line with this, ami-CTPS2 lines also exhibited reduced seed numbers per plant.
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INTRODUCTION

Nucleotides are essential components of all living organisms. As building blocks of DNA and RNA, they are involved in the storage and transmission of genetic information. In addition, nucleotides function as energy transmitters for many other metabolic pathways. Further, they act as cofactors for the synthesis of sugars and polysaccharides, glycoproteins, and phospholipids (Moffatt and Ashihara, 2002; Zrenner et al., 2006).

The maintenance of the nucleotide pool is achieved by the interaction of de novo synthesis, salvage, and degradation. Plant pyrimidine de novo biosynthesis consists of six enzymatic steps distributed over the plastid, cytosol, and mitochondrion, ending in the cytosol with the formation of uridine-monophosphate (UMP; Zrenner et al., 2006; Witz et al., 2012). UMP can be further phosphorylated to form uridine- di- (UDP) and triphosphates (UTP). Thereby, it has been shown that aspartate transcarbamoylase (ATC) is one of the major regulated enzymes for de novo synthesis of UMP in plants. The enzyme is localized in the chloroplast and catalyzes the condensation of carbamoyl aspartate from aspartate and carbamoyl phosphate (Zrenner et al., 2006; Bellin et al., 2021).

Another limiting step of the synthesis of nucleotides involves the amination of UTP to form cytidine triphosphate (CTP) further used for the synthesis of RNA and as deoxynucleotide
for DNA. This enzymatic step is catalyzed by the CTP synthase (CTPS) gene family, comprising of five members in Arabidopsis, all of these proteins localize in the cytosol (Moffatt and Ashihara, 2002; Zrenner et al., 2006; Witz et al., 2012; Daumann et al., 2018). CTPS belongs to a family of enzymes that are highly conserved throughout all kingdoms of life (Zhou et al., 2020). The activity of the enzyme is regulated in various ways. Besides post-translational modifications (phosphorylation), the enzymatic activity is regulated by allosteric binding of GTP and feedback inhibition by its product CTP (Levitzki and Koshland, 1972; Chang and Carman, 2008). Another special type of regulation is the formation of filaments, which was studied in several organisms, such as Escherichia coli, Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Drosophila melanogaster, and Homo sapiens (Liu, 2010; Barry et al., 2014; Noree et al., 2014; Lynch et al., 2017). Arabidopsis CTPS3 to 5 can form filaments as shown by transient expression of corresponding YFP fusion proteins, whereas CTPS1 and 2 always appeared soluble (Daumann et al., 2018; Alamdari et al., 2021).

All five isoforms show high similarity in amino acid sequence but exhibit different tissue-specific expression patterns in Arabidopsis (Zimmermann et al., 2004). Among the five isoforms, only the single knock-out ctps2 showed an obvious phenotype and was unable to produce viable progeny (Daumann et al., 2018). Further studies demonstrated that CTPS2 is crucial for proper embryo and seed development, as well as early stages of plant growth (Hickl et al., 2021). The aim of this work was to investigate whether CTPS2 has additional roles in vegetative development in Arabidopsis.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plant Growth**

For DNA isolation, tissue collection, and phenotypic inspection, WT and transgenic Arabidopsis thaliana (L.) Heynh. plants (ecotype Columbia) were used throughout. Plants were grown on standardized ED73 soil (Einheitserde und Humuswerke Patzer) or on agar plates either under short day (SD) conditions with 10 h light and 14 h darkness. For growth, we used light intensity of 120 μmol quanta m⁻²s⁻¹, 22°C, and 60% humidity. Illumination was done with LED light (Valoya NS1, Valoya, Finland).

For growth experiments on sterile agar plates, seeds were surface sterilized before placing the seeds on half-strength MS, supplemented with 0.1% (w/v) sucrose. Prior to germination, seeds were incubated for 24 h in the dark at 4°C for imbibition (Weigel and Glazebrook, 2002). If not stated otherwise, plant material was harvested in the middle of the light period and frozen in liquid nitrogen for further use.

**Construction of CTPS2 Knock-Down Plants**

To generate CTPS2 (At3g12670) knock-down mutants, an established protocol for gene silencing by artificial microRNA (amiRNA) was used (Schwab et al., 2006). For the design of amiRNA targeting CTPS2, an online tool was used. Therefore, different primers with Gateway™ compatible sequences attP1 and attP2 were designed (Supplementary Table 2). All cloned fragments were subcloned via BP-clonase reaction into the Gateway™ entry vector pDONR/Zeo and later via LR-clonase reaction into the destination vector pK2GW7, which contains a 35S-CaMV promoter.

All constructs which were used for Arabidopsis transformation by floral dip (Narusaka et al., 2010) were previously transformed into A. tumefaciens strain GV3101 (Furini et al., 1994). Knockdown lines were selected by screening for different independent lines exhibiting 37–18% CTPS2 transcript levels. Three of these lines (ami-ctps2-1, ami-ctps2-2, and ami-ctps2-3) were selected for further analysis.

**Single knock-out lines for CTPS1,3,4, and 5** (ctps1-1, SALK_031868; ctps3-1, SALK_118507; ctps4-1, SALK_020074C; and ctps5-1, SAIL_645_D02) from the Salk and SAIL collection were described previously (Daumann et al., 2018). To analyze CPT2-promotor expression, CTPS2::GUS lines were used which were created and described recently (Hickl et al., 2021).

**Chlorophyll Analysis**

Chlorophyll was extracted from ground leaf tissue with 80% ethanol. After boiling at 95°C for 10 min and subsequent sedimentation of insoluble contents (10 min 20,000 × g), chlorophyll was measured by the absorbance of the supernatant at 652 nm. The calculation was performed as described by Arnon (1949). For each biological replicate, 50–100 7-day-old seedlings or whole rosettes from five 28-day-old plants were pooled.

**RNA Gel-Blot Hybridization and Gene Expression Analyses**

RNA gel-blot analyses, total RNA isolation, electrophoresis, blotting, and hybridization with radioactive labeled probes were carried out as described recently (Manavski et al., 2015). Oligonucleotides and primers for PCR-probes are listed in Supplementary Table 2. RNA was extracted from leaf material of soil-grown plants, which was homogenized in liquid nitrogen prior to extraction of RNA with the Nucleospin RNA Plant Kit (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s advice. RNA purity and concentration were quantified using a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Fischer Scientific). Total RNA was transcribed into cDNA using the qScript cDNA Synthesis Kit (Quantabio, United States). QPCR was performed using the Quantabio SYBR green quantification kit (Quantabio) on PFX96 system (BioRad, Hercules, CA, USA) using specific primers (Supplementary Table 2). Actin (At3g18780) was used as reference gene for transcript normalization.

**Measuring Organelle Genome Copy Number**

Total genomic DNA was extracted from seven and 21-day-old plants. In brief, samples were grinded in liquid nitrogen
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before adding 500 μl shorty buffer (0.2 M TRIS/HCl pH 9.0; 0.4 M LiCl; 25 mM EDTA pH 8; and 1% SDS). After mixing, tubes were centrifuged for 10 min at 20,000 g to remove cellular debris. The supernatant (350 μl) was transferred into a new tube containing 350 μl isopropanol. After centrifugation (10 min at 20,000 g), the supernatant was removed, and the pellet was washed with 70% ethanol. The dried pellet was resolved in 25 μl H2O and the isolated gDNA was stored until use at 4°C.

In order to quantify the organellar DNA amount, real-time qPCR was performed, using actin as a reference probe. Each qPCR reaction was performed using 10 ng of genomic DNA. For nuclear and plastidic genome, three pairs of primers were used targeting three different genes (nuclear, petC, Lhcb1.4 and Lhcb2.3 and plastid, rubiscoL, psaA, and psbA). Organellar DNA copy numbers for the plastid were calculated as average levels of plastid/nuclear probes.

Germination Assays and Root Growth Tests
Seed germination was analyzed with 38–42 seeds per genotype on a Petri dish. Each experiment was repeated three times. Seeds were grown on agar plates starting at the onset of light. After indicated time points, seeds were inspected for radicle protrusion.

For root growth, seeds were treated as indicated above and grown vertically on square (120 x 120 mm) petri plates. A total of 35 seeds per genotype were inspected in parallel and the experiment was repeated twice. The root length of 7-day-old seedlings was measured after scanning of agar plates with help of ImageJ software.

Supplementation and Genotoxic Treatments
To investigate whether cytidine or deoxycytidine influences plant growth, seeds were grown on 1/2 MS medium containing either 1 mM cytidine or 1 mM deoxycytidine. After 7 days, root growth was measured with the help of ImageJ.

To first analyze the sensitivity of the CTPS knock-down lines compared to the WT to the genotoxic reagent CIP (CIP; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, United States), seeds were placed on 1/2 MS medium containing 0.50 and 0.25 μM CIP, respectively. To analyze the influence of CIP on the expression of CTPS2 in WT genotypes, CTPS2::GUS promoter seeds were grown in presence of the same CIP concentrations indicated above and examined after 7 days using GUS staining.

GUS Staining for Promotor Activity
Tissue from transgenic plants was collected in glass vials, filled with ice-cold 90% acetone, and incubated for 20 min at room temperature. Subsequently, the samples were stained according to standard protocols (Weigel and Glazebrook, 2002).

Pulse-Amplitude-Modulation (PAM) Fluorometry Measurements
A MINI-IMAGING-PAM fluorometer (Walz Instruments, Effeltrich, Germany) was used for in vivo chlorophyll fluorescence measurements (Schreiber et al., 2007). Seven- and 21-day-old plants were dark adapted for 10 min before the measurement. After two saturating light pulses in the dark, actinic light stepwise increased (PAR = 1, 21, 41, 76, 134, 205, 249, 298, 371, 456, 581, and 726) every 20 s without additional dark phases. Results were calculated and visualized with imaging win software (v2.41a; Walz Instruments, Effeltrich, Germany).

Evans-Blue Staining
Seedlings were incubated with freshly prepared staining solution [0.25 g Evans Blue (Sigma) in 100 ml 0.1 M CaCl2 (pH 5.6)] for 10 min under vacuum in the dark. After washing three times with water or CaCl2, images of the stained seedlings were taken with a Leica MZ10 F microscope equipped with a Leica DFC420 C camera.

Silique Analysis
Siliques of soil-grown plants were harvested 8–10 days after fertilization (DAF). To decolorize the pods, they were incubated in 100% ethanol at 90°C for 10 min. Images were taken with a Leica MZ10 F microscope equipped with a Leica DFC420 C camera.

Accession Numbers
CTP Synthase 1 (atCTPS1; At1g30820); CTP Synthase 2 (atCTPS2; At3g12670); CTP Synthase 3 (atCTPS3; At4g02120); CTP Synthase 4 (atCTPS4; At4g20320); CTP Synthase 5 (atCTPS5; At2g34890); Actin2 (Act2; At3g18780); petC (atPetC; At4g03280); Lhcb1.4 (atLHcb1.4; At2g34430); Lhcb2.3 (atLHcb2.3; At3g27690); psaA (atPsaA; AtCG00350); psbA (atPsbA; AtCG00020).

RESULTS
Previous studies have shown that CTPS2 is required to complete embryo development. Even though Arabidopsis possesses five different isoforms, only CTPS2 T-DNA insertion lines were unable to produce homozygous offspring (Daumann et al., 2018). The single knock-out mutant lines ctps1, 3, 4, and 5 did not show apparent phenotypical alterations after one (top; Figure 1A) and 3 weeks of growth (bottom; Figure 1A). After 4 weeks of growth, the fresh weight and rosette diameter were comparable to the wild type (WT; Supplementary Figures 1A–C).

Segregation analyses showed that heterozygous +/ctps2 lines exhibited a seed abortion rate of about 25% which strongly indicates that CTPS2 loss of function is embryo lethal. These observations are supported by additional studies of the CTPS2 promoter, which showed a specific expression pattern during embryo development (Hickl et al., 2021).

Thus, to gain deeper insights into the role of CTPS2 in plant development, three independent knock-down mutant lines were generated. Using the artificial micro-RNA method, the transcript levels of CTPS2 were reduced to 36.8, 26,
and 18.8% in the mutant lines ami-ctps2-1, ami-ctps2-2, and ami-ctps2-3 compared to the control line (Figure 1B). In the course of this work, these knock-down lines, with different degrees of growth restrictions, were studied in more detail.

A Reduced Transcript Level of CTPS2 Impairs Plant Growth and Development

Initial phenotypic studies of the mutant lines revealed that all three knock-down lines exhibited greatly reduced growth (Figures 1C,D; Supplementary Figures 1D,E).
A comparison of the mutant lines ami-ctps2-1, ami-ctps2-2, and ami-ctps2-3 with the WT showed a reduction in fresh weight of 58, 74.5, and 83%, respectively (Figure 1E). This strong reduction was accompanied by a decreased rosette diameter (Supplementary Figures 1D,E). Closer inspection revealed that in the early stages of plant development CTPS2 knock-down lines ami-ctps2-2 and ami-ctps2-3 showed a pale green phenotype (Figure 1C) due to reduced amounts of chlorophyll (Figure 1F), whereas after 4 weeks of growth, no significant alterations in chlorophyll contents were observed (Figures 1D,F).

To examine whether reduction of CTPS2 expression affects transcript levels of the other CTPS isoforms, corresponding transcripts were quantified by quantitative real-time PCR. The expression of CTPS1 was increased in the ami-ctps2-2 and ami-ctps2-3 mutants but unaltered in ami-ctps2-1. This can be interpreted as an attempt to compensate CTPS2 loss when transcript levels drop below 30%.

No changes in transcript levels were detected for CTPS3, whereas CTPS4 was reduced in all three CTPS knock-down lines. The transcript content of CTPS5 was below the detection limit and could therefore not be examined (Supplementary Figure 1F). This observation can be explained by pollen specific expression of CTPS5 (Zimmermann et al., 2004).

Seed Germination and Root Development Are Altered in CTPS2 Knock-Down Lines

In previous studies, it has been shown that CTPS2 is essential for embryo development (Daumann et al., 2018; Hickl et al., 2021). In order to investigate whether the phenotypes observed in Figure 1 are a result of delayed germination, the germination rate was examined over a time course of 60 h after imbibition. The knock-down lines ami-ctps2-2 and ami-ctps2-3 showed delayed germination, whereas ami-ctps2-1 developed almost like WT. After 36 h, 100% of both WT and ami-ctps2-1 seeds were germinated, whereas approximately 20% of ami-ctps2-2 and ami-ctps2-3 seeds had not yet germinated (Figure 2A).

In addition to the energy-consuming pyrimidine de novo synthesis and independent of CTPS activity, plants can recycle nucleosides via the salvage pathway. Therefore, we tested if either cytidine or deoxycytidine can restore normal germination and growth in the CTPS2 mutant lines. Here, neither cytidine (Figure 2B) nor deoxycytidine (Figure 2C) could abolish a delay in the germination of ami-ctps2-2 and ami-ctps2-3.

After 1 week of growth, all CTPS2 mutants showed a significant reduction in root length compared to the control plants. Under standard conditions, ami-ctps2-2 and ami-ctps2-3 grew very slowly. While the addition of cytidine showed no effect on root growth, supplementation of deoxycytidine resulted in enhanced root growth in all three mutant lines (Figures 2D,E).

CTPS2 Is Required for Plastid Genome Integrity and Ribosomal RNA Synthesis

The pale green phenotype of the 7-day-old seedlings (Figure 1C) cannot be fully explained by delayed germination and thus, could be a hint toward disturbed chloroplast biogenesis, since chloroplasts depend on the import of nucleotides to maintain their genome (Witte and Herde, 2020).

Given that pyrimidine de novo synthesis ends in the cytosol, it has not yet been fully investigated how the chloroplast is supplied with nucleotides. One experimental approach to examine the role of CTPS2 in the maintenance of the plastid genome was a ciprofloxacin (CIP) treatment. CIP is a DNA-Gyrase inhibitor that induces the formation of double-strand breaks in organellar DNA (Evans-Roberts et al., 2016).

Thereby, all three knock-down lines showed reduced growth after the addition of CIP (Figures 3A–C). A closer examination of the leaf tissue showed that the addition of 0.25 μM CIP already led to the formation of chlorosis and to a strongly reduced growth of the CTPS2 knock-down mutants, whereas the WT remained unchanged. Addition of 0.5 μM CIP resulted in the formation of initial chlorosis in the WT. In contrast, at the same concentration of CIP, the mutants already showed complete chlorosis (Figure 3A).

To determine the effect of CIP supplementation on root growth, the root length of 7-day-old plants was measured. Already under control conditions, the CTPS2 mutants showed a strongly reduced root growth compared to the WT (Figures 3B,C). The addition of 0.25 μM CIP led to a reduction of 26.4% in the WT, whereas in the ami-ctps2-1 mutant, the root length is reduced by 52.3%. The same concentration of CIP led to a strong delay in plant development and nearly abolishes the root growths in the ami-ctps2-2 and ami-ctps2-3 mutants. At a concentration of 0.5 μM CIP, the WT (79%) showed a similar reduction in root length as the ami-ctps2-1 (76.9%) mutant (Figures 3B,C).

In addition, CIP treatment influenced the expression of CTPS2, as revealed by promoter studies using histochemical staining of CTPS2::GUS lines (Hickl et al., 2021). Two independent lines were inspected. All lines were germinated and grown on ½ MS media either without (control) or with the supplementation of 0.5 μM CIP. Typical examples of GUS staining on 7-day-old plants are shown (Supplementary Figure 2).

Under control conditions, there was no distinct staining in either the vascular or mesophyll tissue (Supplementary Figure 2). After addition of 0.5 μM CIP, there was only a slight staining of the leaf veins. Under control conditions, only root sections and the root tips were slightly colored (Supplementary Figure 2). The addition of 0.5 μM CIP not only increased the intensity of the coloration but also colored larger parts of the root including tip and hair zone (Supplementary Figure 2).

The observed higher sensitivity of ami-CTPS2 lines toward CIP points to differences in chloroplast DNA amount. To test this, genomic DNA was isolated from leaves of 28-day-old plants and three plastid-encoded genes (psaA, rbcL, and psbA) were quantified and compared to the amount of genomic material from three nuclear-encoded genes (Lhcb1.4, Lhcb2.3, and petC). Compared to WT, the relative plastid/nuclear genome copy number was significantly reduced by 56.3, 75, and 86% in ami-ctps2-1, ami-ctps2-2, and ami-ctps2-3, respectively.
In a next step, 16S ribosomal RNAs were quantified by RNA gel-blot analysis. A reduction to 84, 82, and 75% in the three ami-ctps2 lines were observed, correlating with transcript levels of plastid-encoded photosynthesis genes (Figures 4B,C). Changes in 23S ribosomal RNA were less pronounced (Figure 4B).
To investigate the consequences of a reduced genome copy number on transcript levels of the corresponding genes, the RNA was also extracted. While the nuclear-encoded transcripts petC and partially also Lhcb1.4 were increased, no significant changes in the plastid-encoded transcripts could be detected (Figure 4C). There was a tendency to reduced transcript levels in line ctps2-2 for all three plastid-encoded genes.

Impaired Photosynthetic Efficiency in CTPS2 Knock-Down Mutants

The pale green phenotype of the 7-day-old CTPS2 knock-down mutants (Figure 4C) suggests that photosynthesis is strongly affected, especially at an early stage of plant development. To investigate whether this influences the photosynthetic performance, these plants were first examined for photosynthetic parameters by using chlorophyll fluorescence.
imaging by pulse-amplitude-modulated (PAM) measurements in a standard light curve setting (Schreiber et al., 2007). The analysis of the maximum quantum yield (F<sub>V</sub>/F<sub>M</sub>), the effective quantum yield (Yield (II)), and the deduced electron transport rate (ETR(II)) were strongly inhibited in all three knock-down mutants (Figures 5A–C). The <i>ami-ctps2-1</i> mutants exhibited only a slight reduction (15.6%) of F<sub>V</sub>/F<sub>M</sub>, whereas the other CTPS2 knock-down lines <i>ami-ctps2-2</i> and <i>ami-ctps2-3</i> showed a much stronger decrease of about 52 and 58.75%, respectively (Figure 5A). Similar tendencies were observed for effective yield (II) and the ETR (II; Figures 5B, C).

Opposite results were found when comparing the NO levels. The <i>ami-ctps2-1</i> line showed no significant changes compared to the WT, whereas in <i>ami-ctps2-2</i> and <i>ami-ctps2-3</i> lines, the NO levels were strongly increased (Supplementary Figure 2B). Col-0 and <i>ami-ctps2-1</i> plants reached NO values of 0.375 and 0.350, respectively, while NO was increased up to 0.490 and 0.528 in the other two CTPS knock-down lines at PAR of 134 μmol m<sup>−2</sup> s<sup>−1</sup>, respectively.

To investigate whether increasing NPQ and NO levels lead to cell damage, 7-day-old plants were examined for membrane damage and cell death by using Evans-Blue staining. Compared with the WT control, the <i>ami-ctps2-1</i> line did not show increased staining. In contrast, the staining of <i>ami-ctps2-2</i> and <i>ami-ctps2-3</i> was more pronounced (Figure 5D).
FIGURE 5 | Photosynthetic performance determined by chlorophyll fluorescence measurements. (A,E) Graphical representation of maximum photosynthesis quantum yield, (B,F) effective quantum yield, and (C,G) electron transport rate (ETR) of 7-day-old plants (A–C) and 21-day-old plants (E–G). (D) Evans-blue staining of 7-day-old seedlings. All plants were grown under a 10 h light and 14 h dark regime. Plotted are the means of n=5 biological replicates +/- SE. Scale bar in A = 1 cm.
Leaf chlorosis was not observed in 21-day-old plants. This finding went along with only slightly reduced parameters Fv/Fm, Yield (II), and ETR (II). Larger differences were only found when the photosystem became saturated at higher light intensities (Figures 5E-G). The analysis of the NPQ value, as well as the NO value, did not show any significant differences (Supplemental Figures 3C,D). Examinations of knock-out lines ctps1, 3, 4, and 5 after 7 days (Supplementary Figure 3E) and 21 days (Supplementary Figure 3F), respectively, indicated no changes in photosynthetic performance. This suggests that the observed defects in photosynthesis are specific for CTPS2.

Vegetative Growth and Seed Development Are Impaired

In addition to vegetative growth, CTPS2 mutants also exhibited a severely delayed reproductive phase. Although the maximum growth height of the CTPS2 mutants did not differ from the WT, especially, the observation of the seed pods showed clear differences. While after 7 weeks most of the seeds of the WT had already matured, most of the siliques of ami-ctps2 plants were still maturing (Figures 6A,B). When comparing the developed siliques of the WT and ami-ctps2 plants, we found that the mutant siliques contained empty positions with missing seeds and were significantly shorter (Figures 6C,D).

As a result of these observations, significantly lower amounts of seeds per plant were obtained. While the WT produced 0.71 g of seeds per plant, the ami-ctps2-1, ami-ctps2-2, and ami-ctps2-3 mutants produced only 0.25, 0.46, and 0.38 g, respectively (Figure 6E).

DISCUSSION

CTPS2 knock-out mutants (ctp-2) show an embryo lethal phenotype (Hickl et al., 2021). CTPS2 expression, however, is not restricted to embryonic tissues but is also found in leaves, roots, and flowers of Arabidopsis plants (Hickl et al., 2021). Therefore, corresponding amiRNA lines (ami-ctps2) were generated and the effect of CTPS2 downregulation throughout the Arabidopsis life cycle was studied. Three independent ami-CTPS2 lines were studied, with residual CTPS2 transcript levels ranging between 37 and 19% of WT (Figure 1). Already early in development, growth restrictions, pale leaf coloration, and impaired photosynthetic efficiency were observed in ami-CTPS2 lines, and the severity of these parameters increased with reduced CTPS2 expression (Figures 1, 6). In contrast, such effects were not observed for knock-out lines of the other CTPS isoforms (Figure 1; Daumann et al., 2018).

We hypothesize: downregulation of CTPS2 (but not of any other isoform) leads to reduced chloroplast (deoxy-) nucleotide levels, further affecting chlorophyll accumulation and photosynthetic performance. This view is supported by results from a splicing mutant of CTPS2 obtained in a suppressor screen, showing reduced chloroplast DNA amounts and impaired gene expression (Alamdari et al., 2021). When the eubacterial DNA-gyrase inhibitor ciprofloxacin, which blocks plastid DNA replication, was applied in our study, shoot and root growth of ami-CTPS2 lines were more severely affected compared to controls (Figure 4), providing further support for this hypothesis.

To test this hypothesis, rescue experiments with cytidine and deoxyctydine were performed. Surprisingly, only the deoxy variant was effective in line with previous observations (Alamdari et al., 2021; Hickl et al., 2021). This is somewhat surprising as uptake and subsequent salvage of the nucleoside cytidine are well described in Arabidopsis (Wormit et al., 2004; Traub et al., 2007; Ohler et al., 2019). After phosphorylation and conversion to CDP, ribonucleotide reductase can then produce deoxy CDP. However, accumulation of cytidine in a cytidine deaminase mutant points to less efficient salvage. Alternatively, uptake of deoxycytidine is likely and deoxycytidine kinase can convert deoxycytidine to dCMP (Witte and Herde, 2020). Uptake of nucleotides into chloroplasts is likely but corresponding transport activities are currently unknown (Ohler et al., 2019). However, results obtained in this work and elsewhere (Alamdari et al., 2021; Hickl et al., 2021) indicate efficient salvage and incorporation of deoxycytidine into chloroplast DNA. As dCTP levels are about 200-fold lower compared to CTP (Straube et al., 2021), adding the same amount of deoxycytidine compared to cytidine would thus more drastically affect deoxy nucleoside/nucleotide pools compared to corresponding ribo-nucleoside/nucleotide pools. This could explain the observed higher rescue efficiency of deoxycytidine over cytidine.

The observed ciprofloxacin response altered plastid DNA copy number and rescue by deoxycytidine point to defects in DNA replication in ami-CTPS2 mutants in line with previous findings (Alamdari et al., 2021). In Arabidopsis, CTP and GTP amounts are lowest among rNTP’s. The same holds true for dCTP and dGTP among dNTPs (Straube et al., 2021). Therefore, increased CTP (dCTP) and GTP (dGTP) synthesis is required when the demand for new nucleic acids is high, as early in development and the establishment of photosynthesis.

But why is CTPS2 crucial for this process? In leaf tissues, CTPS2 is highest expressed among CTPS isoforms (Supplementary Table 1). CTPS4 and 5 are hardly detectable at all. CTPS3 was incapable to complement CTPS2 mutants (Alamdari et al., 2021) leaving only CTPS1 as a further candidate.

CTPS1 expression is upregulated in the two stronger ami-CTPS2 lines, possibly as an attempt to compensate for the loss of CTPS2. Why this upregulation of CTPS1 expression and CTPS3 are unable to attenuate reduced levels of CTPS2 remains so far unclear. It could originate in different affinities of the enzymes toward substrates, activators, or feedback inhibition by CTP.

Whereas in the study by Alamdari et al. (2021) reduced transcript levels of plastid-encoded photosynthetic genes were observed, this was not the case in our work. In contrast, the expression of the nuclear-encoded petC gene, which encodes the Rieske protein of the cytochrome b6/f complex was found to be increased (Figure 3). This upregulation could be seen as an attempt to compensate reduced photosynthetic capacity of ami-CTPS2 lines. The differences in plastid gene expression in this study compared to Alamdari et al. (2021) could originate from different growth conditions and ages of the seedlings used.
Besides reduced plastid DNA copy number, 16S rRNA content was reduced in all ami-CTPS2 lines. As no indication for delayed RNA maturation was obtained from RNA gel-blot analysis, reduced stability or reduced synthesis could be the reason for this observation. Imbalance not only in deoxy nucleotides but also in ribonucleotides most likely contribute to a reduced plastid rRNA synthesis. Similarly,
shortage of pyrimidine nucleotides in the pumpkin mutant leads to reduced levels of plastid rRNAs (Schmid et al., 2019).

Embryo development relies on CTPS2 activity (Hickl et al., 2021). A distinct expression pattern throughout embryo development was observed and it is likely that this contributes to the essential requirement for CTPS2. If CTPS2 is downregulated one can assume that this will also affect embryo development in a milder form, explaining the observed differences in silique development accompanied by a reduced number of seeds per plant in all three ami-CTPS2 lines (Figure 6).

The most marked effects were observed in ami-ctps2-1, although this line exhibits the highest residual CTPS2 expression.
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