Abstract — The looseness that occurs on the road along Tawaeli-Toboli is a very important issue to be examined because the road is the most important axis road in Central Sulawesi - Indonesia. The goal to be achieved is to know the value of the quality of the rocks and their relation to the size of the slope angle on the road, especially at km 16 to km 26 from Palu City, using the Rock Mass Rating (RMR) method. From the analysis of RMR obtained one slope whose description of rocks is poor, namely on slope 3 with a value of RMR of 28 and a medium description with a value of 51 is on slope 1, for the other six slopes the description of the rock is still a good rock state with values ranging from 63-73. It is concluded that the lowest RMR value requires special attention to be on slope 3. Thus, the friction angle in small rocks, the value of RMR is also small, and the type of soil indicated is bad.

Index Terms — Rock Mass Rating (RMR) Tawaeli-Toboli, Rock, Slopes.

I. INTRODUCTION

Central Sulawesi is the largest province on the island of Sulawesi with a land area of 68,033 km² covering the eastern peninsula and parts of the northern peninsula as well as the Togian islands of Tomini Bay and Banggai Islands in Tolo Bay, with an area of the sea is 189,480 km², whose territory can be covered by land, sea and air transportation but the favorite is road transportation. But land transportation there are many roads damaged by landslides, especially Tawaeli-Toboli Road Section at km 16 to km 26 from Palu City. The road is very important to be studied because it is the most important axis road in Central Sulawesi that connects Palu with several districts such as Parigi Moutong Regency, Poso Regency, Tojo Unauna Regency, Banggai Regency, North Morowali Regency, and Morowali Regency, and also the road connecting North Sulawesi and South Sulawesi.

Aspects of Engineering Geology is one of the important factors in the assessment of the feasibility of infrastructure development sites, geological data of engineering becomes support in infrastructure development because it provides information characteristic of soil layers or rocks that are useful for planning and structuring the region.

In this study, the slopes that will be analyzed are those on the Tawaeli-Toboli road at km 16 to km 26 from Palu City, which has a steep slope angle with rock material, the method to be used to analyze is the Rock Mass Rating (RMR) method.

A. Location of Research

Geographically, the research area in Nupobomba District Tanantovea District Donggala Central Sulawesi Province located at coordinates 119 ° 57 ' 40 " BT, 0 ° 42 ' 30 " LS, up to 120 ° 00 ' 30 " BT, 0 ° 44 ' 30 " LS. Topographically, the research area is at an altitude ranging from 500 to 1,022 meters above sea level and has a landscape of mountainous complexes with steep slopes, with a length of 10 km of observation trajectory, as in Fig. 1, 2, and research location Fig. 3.
II. LIBRARY REVIEW

A. The slope of the Soil

According to Syam [3] that the slope is the surface of the earth that forms a certain angle of inclination with a horizontal plane, the slope can be formed naturally as well as man-made. Naturally formed slopes for example hill sides and river cliffs, while man-made slopes include: excavations and heaps, embankments, and open-pit mining walls.

The problem of slope stability in a job involving activities and hoarding is an important issue, as it is a matter of the safety of people, equipment, and buildings around the slope, in the mining work, unsafe slopes will interfere with the smooth running of work [4].

Naturally, soil and rocks are generally in equilibrium means that the state of voltage distribution in the soil or rocks is in a stable state. Soil movement is caused by nature and human activities, if the soil or rocks are subject to an activity such as, excavation, decrease, hoarding, transportation, erosion, or other activities that make the disruption of equilibrium, the soil or rocks will try to achieve new equilibrium by reducing the load, especially in the form of avalanches [5]-[7].

To analyze the avalanche it is necessary to first know the voltage system that works on rocks or soil as well as the physical-mechanical properties of the soil and rocks. The rock voltage in its natural mass is horizontal stress, vertical voltage, and water pore pressure. While the mechanical properties that affect the stability of the slope are the angle of deep shear, cohesion, and weight of the contents.

B. Classification of Rock Mass by Method Rock Mass Rating (RMR)

Classification of the rock mass is used as a tool in analyzing the stability of slopes that connect between science in the field of rock mass with the need for stabilization in various field conditions required, rock mass is divided into several observation points based on similar properties and characteristics, although the mass of rocks is naturally discontinuity, at each observation point that has been divided will have similarities, such as the same type of rocks or the distance of space between the fields of discontinuity is relatively the same.

The method of RMR introduced by Bieniawski [8] has been recognized and often used in geological engineering activities. This RMR method includes 5 parameters as Table I, i.e. [8]:

a. The Pressure power of Rocks

The pressure of rocks in the RMR Method stated by Uniaxial Compressive Strength (UCS) is the strength of intact rocks obtained from the results of a strong pressure test using hammer test and the test results of the weight of the contents of the rock in units of Grm/cm³, and to get the MPa value determined from Schmidt rebound hammer.

b. Rock quality designation (RQD)

Must first be determined frequency discontinuities, which is a comparison between the number of discontinuities in one of scan-line by the length of scan-line, and used graphics the relationship between RQD with frequency [9], and determination value of UCS by Schmidt hardness, as with Fig. 4.

c. Spacing of discontinuities

Distance between the spacing of discontinuities defined as the perpendicular distance between two consecutive discontinuities along the measurement line, how to calculate the value of the distance between the spacing of discontinuities uses the average value of field data. The calculated value of RMR, parameter spacing of discontinuities weighted based on its stocky space value of discontinuities spacing.

| TABLE I: CLASSIFICATION OF RMR AND VALUE OF WEIGHTING, MODIFICATION BY [8] |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Uniaxial Compressive Strength (UCS)**                      |
| **Index Point Load**                                         |
| **Uniaxial Compressive**                                    |
| **>250 MPa**                                                 |
| **100-250**                                                  |
| **50-100 MPa**                                               |
| **25-50 MPa**                                                |
| **5-25 MPa**                                                 |
| **0-1 MPa**                                                  |
| **Not using**                                                |
| **Rating**                                                   |
| **15**                                                       |
| **12**                                                       |
| **10**                                                       |
| **Rock Quality Designation (RQD)**                           |
| **Rating**                                                   |
| **90-100 %**                                                 |
| **75-90 %**                                                  |
| **50-75 %**                                                  |
| **25-50 %**                                                  |
| **<25 %**                                                    |
| **3**                                                        |
| **Spacing of discontinuities**                               |
| **> 2m**                                                     |
| **0.6-2 m**                                                  |
| **0.2-0.6 m**                                                |
| **0.06-0.2 m**                                               |
| **<0.06 m**                                                  |
| **Weighted**                                                 |
| **20**                                                       |
| **15**                                                       |
| **10**                                                       |
| **8**                                                        |
| **0**                                                        |
| **Condition of Discontinuities**                             |
| **Very rude fresh walls continuous solid**                   |
| **Open rough <1 mm slightly weathered walls**                |
| **A little rough open <1 mm very weathered walls**           |
| **Filled Thickness**                                         |
| **<5 mm open 1-5 mm continuously**                          |
| **Loaded material software >5 mm Continuous**               |
| **Groundwater Condition**                                   |
| **Water per 10 m**                                          |
| **Tunnel length**                                            |
| **<10**                                                      |
| **25-10**                                                    |
| **25-125**                                                   |
| **>125**                                                     |
| **Water pressure**                                           |
| **General Conditions**                                       |
| **Dry**                                                      |
| **Moist**                                                    |
| **Water**                                                    |
| **Wet**                                                      |
| **Flowing**                                                  |
| **Rating**                                                   |
| **15**                                                       |
| **10**                                                       |
| **7**                                                        |
| **4**                                                        |
| **0**                                                        |
Data retrieval, conducted directly, based on observations of data in the field, and see the physical characteristics and appearance of lithology. Primary data consists of data Uniaxial Compressive Strength (UCS), the value of RQD, spacing discontinuities, condition of discontinuities, the general condition of groundwater, secondary data of location maps, geological maps, and geological conditions.

Data processing and analysis were conducted by calculating and analyzing using the mass classification of RMR rocks to find out the quality of rock mass and slope conditions. Preparation before data processing, Analysis of parameters – RMR parameters and RMR classification.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Result of Slope Stability Analysis

The results of test strong rock pressure obtained by Uniaxial Compressive Strength (UCS), Rock Quality Design (RQD), the spacing of discontinuities, condition of discontinuities, groundwater condition which is the data Rock mass rating (RMR) carried out on the road Tawaeli–Toboli km 16 up to km 26 from Palu City, by collecting data at 8 observation points stations conducted by JICA [10] together with researchers, as in Table II and Table III.

B. Analysis of Rock

Analysis of test results as in Table IV, as to give: At station 1 has a value of RMR (Rock Mass Rating) that is worth 51 with the mass class of rocks i.e., class II or rating good rock with mass cohesion of rocks range 300-400 kPa as well as the friction angle of the rock mass with range 35-45°.

Station 2 has a value of RMR that is worth 63 with the mass class of rocks i.e., class II or rating good rock with mass cohesion of rocks range 300-400 kPa as well as the friction angle of the rock mass with range 35-45°.

Station 3 has a value of RMR that is worth 28 with the mass class of rocks i.e., class IV or rating poor rock with mass cohesion of rocks range 100-200 kPa as well as the friction angle of the rock mass with range 15-25°.

III. METHOD OF RESEARCH

This study was carried out by the stage of citing literature associated with the RMR method, and field data.

| Method          | Parameters            | Observation Station/Slope |
|-----------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
|                 |                       | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |                |
|                 |                       | Value | Rating | Value | Rating | Value | Rating |
| UC Strength (MPa) |                       | 48 | 4 | 45 | 4 | 18 | 2 | 41 | 4 |
| RQD (rock quality designation) |                       | 74% | 13 | 96% | 20 | 30% | 8 | 95% | 20 |
| Spacing of discontinuities |                       | 600 mm | 10 | 350 mm | 10 | 189 mm | 8 | 140 mm | 8 |
| a. Length of discontinuities | (2,6 m) / 1-3 m | 4 | < 1 m | 6 | (3,4 m) / 3-10 m | 2 | < 1 m | 6 |
| b. Openings | > 5 mm | 0 | > 5 mm | 0 | > 5 mm | 0 | None | 6 |
| c. Roughness |       | Very rude | 6 | A little rough | 3 | Rough | 5 | Rough | 5 |
| RMR Condition of discontinuities | d. Charging | Hard > 5 mm | 2 | Soft > 5 mm | 0 | Hard > 5 mm | 2 | None | 6 |
| RMR Condition of discontinuities | e. Weathering | A little weathered | 5 | A little weathered | 5 | Very weathered | 1 | Weathered | 3 |
| Number of ratings |                       | 17 | 14 | 10 | 26 |
| Groundwater condition |                       | Wet | 7 | Dry | 15 | Flowing | 0 | Moist | 10 |
| Total RMR |                       | 51 | 63 | 28 | 68 |
Table 3: Data UCR, RQD, Spacing of Discontinuities, Condition of Discontinuities, and Groundwater Condition

| Method                        | Parameters                        | Observation Station/Slope |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|
| UC Strength (MPa)             |                                   |                           |
| RQD (rock quality designation)|                                   |                           |
| Spacing of discontinuities    |                                   |                           |
| RMR                           | Condition of discontinuities      |                           |
| Number of ratings             | Moist                             | 68                        |
| Groundwater condition         | Dry                               | 68                        |
| Total RMR                     | Moist                             | 73                        |
| Rock Mass Class               | Good                              | 68                        |
| Rock Mass Cohesion (kPa)       | Good                              | 68                        |
| Friction Angle of Rock Mass   | Good                              | 73                        |

Table 4: The Result of PRP and SMR Method

| Method                      | ST 01 | ST 02 | ST 03 | ST 04 | ST 05 | ST 06 | ST 07 | ST 08 |
|-----------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| RMR                         | 51    | 63    | 28    | 68    | 68    | 68    | 73    | 64    |
| Rock Mass Class             | III   | II    | IV    | II    | II    | II    | II    | II    |
| Rock Mass Cohesion (kPa)     | 300   | 400   | 100-200| 300  | 400   | 300  | 400   | 300  |
| Friction Angle of Rock Mass | 25°-35°| 35°-45°| 15°-25°| 35°-45°| 35°-45°| 35°-45°| 35°-45°| 35°-45°|
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