Lean manufacturing is based on the Toyota Production System developed by Toyota which focuses on eliminating waste, reducing inventory, improving throughput, and encouraging employees to bring attention to problems and suggest improvements to fix them [1]. Lean manufacturing has increasingly been applied by leading manufacturing companies throughout the world. Today Higher Productivity achievement is the very important factor for the production field [2]. A core concept of lean manufacturing is pulling production in
which the flow on the factory floor is driven by demand from downstream pulling production upstream. Some of the changes required by lean manufacturing can be disruptive if not implemented correctly and some aspects of it are not appropriate for all companies [3]. A lean manufacturing facility is capable of producing the product in only the sum of its value added work content time. On the other hand, applications of lean manufacturing in the continuous process sector have been far fewer [4]. It has sometimes been argued that in part, this is because such industries are inherently more efficient and have a relatively less urgent need for major improvement activities. Managers have also been hesitant to adopt lean manufacturing tools and techniques to the continuous sector because of other characteristics that are typical in this sector. These include large, inflexible machines, long setup times, and the general difficulty in producing in small batches. While some lean manufacturing tools might indeed be difficult to adapt to the continuous sector, this does not mean that the approach is completely inapplicable; for example, [5-11] examine aspects of continuous production that are amenable to lean techniques and present a classification scheme to guide lean implementation in this sector. Features of a typical lean manufacturing model include one unit at a time production; non-value added time eliminated production in the work content time only, and relocation of required resources to the point of usage. In the present day of manufacturing, the assembly line can be formed easily for any industry whether it is a small-scale or a large-scale industry. When the takt times are calculated for every part manufactured in the industry through different part movements, then the problem of locating machines on the shop floor occurs when it is a job type production unit; this problem is the main reason for reconfiguration of machines and layout design for every demand [12]. To eliminate these problems, a proper method is required to achieve a rhythm in manufacturing lean assembly line by identifying value adding, non-value adding, and necessary non-value adding activities through an optimum feasible takt time [13]. This study had the specific objectives to identify, quantify and to reduce the non-value added (NVD) activities and time towards the exalted Process Cycle Efficiency (PCE) and therefore to reduce the lead time.

INDUSTRIAL IMPLEMENTATIONS OF LEAN TOOLS

With the flow of time and iridescent aptitude of the consumer, today’s manufacturing industries are undergoing more and more competition in local and global marketing system to come up with the desired product or service within a limited period of time. Towards reducing the lead time, improving the PCE and ultimately to gain the benefit there is compelling evidence of successful lean tools implementation in the manufacturing world. Lean tools have been successfully applied in many manufacturing organizations such as General Electric, Boeing, DuPont, Kodak, Honeywell, Texas Instruments, etc [14]. Lean tools are also successfully applied in hospitals, pharmaceutical, housing and paper industry, and brought with alluring economic benefit by reducing the long lead time and manufacturing waste. It was estimated that over the world by adopting lean tools in pharmaceutical industry a cost could be saved up to $90 billion per year [15]. In 1999, it was evaluated that lean tools significantly brought the benefits of $20 billion to Motorola Inc. since 1986 that inspired many companies in various industrial sectors to adopt lean tools. In the USA, it was reported that a food manufacturing industry has gained the benefit of $2 million per year by adopting lean tools [16]. This riveting economic benefit was the ultimate outcome of reduced NVD activities, lead time and improved PCE. It was shown a frozen fish industry would able to improve its PCE from 5.02% to 17.46% by adopting lean tools [17]. Like these promising studies, the authors were provoked to conduct this study with the lean tools in a court shoe production line of a footwear manufacturing company in Bangladesh prior to reducing the lead time and to improve the PCE. The demand of processed footwear is rapidly increasing in the busy world and consequently, it seems there is a rapid expansion of footwear industry in Bangladesh similarly to other countries [18].
OBSERVED PRODUCTION LINE

In this study, the required materials towards the finished court shoe were not the concerning matter rather than causes that were responsible for production waste and downtime. The delay in production due to different causes and downtime were quantified in terms of NVD time. The basic stages of court shoe production line are shown in the following Figure 1.

Value Stream Mapping (VSM)

A value stream is a collection of all actions (value added as well as non-value-added) that are required to bring a product (or a group of products that use the same resources) through the main flows, starting with raw material and ending with the customer. These actions consider the flow of both information and materials within the overall supply chain. The ultimate goal of VSM is to identify all types of waste in the value stream and to take steps to try and eliminate these [19]. While researchers have developed a number of tools to optimize individual operations within a supply chain, most of these tools fall short in linking and visualizing the nature of the material and information flow throughout the company’s entire supply chain. Taking the value stream viewpoint means working on the big picture and not individual processes. VSM creates a common basis for the production process, thus facilitating more thoughtful decisions to improve the value stream [20].

Present Value Steam Mapping (VSM) of Court Shoe Production Line

To draw the present VSM relevant data in the following Figure 2 as VD and NVD time, Cycle time (C/T), Change Over time, Uptime (U/T),

| Times | Sewing | Lasting | Finishing |
|-------|--------|---------|----------|
|       | D/T (Sec) | C/T (Sec) | U/T (%) | D/T (Sec) | C/T (Sec) | U/T (%) | D/T (Sec) | C/T (Sec) | U/T (%) |
| 1st   | 474     | 2760    | 83      | 862      | 2400     | 64      | 384      | 1620     | 76      |
| 2nd   | 487     | 2760    | 82      | 869      | 2400     | 64      | 385      | 1620     | 76      |
| 3rd   | 474     | 2760    | 83      | 849      | 2400     | 65      | 388      | 1620     | 76      |

Note: Sewing m/c speed: 350 RPM; Lasting m/c speed: 400 RPM; finishing m/c speed: 15 cases per min. Batch production-4000 pairs.
Table 2: Present lead time of Court Shoe Production Line

| Production stages       | VD time (Sec) | NVD time (Sec) |
|-------------------------|---------------|----------------|
| Receiving inventory     | 00            | 28800          |
| Cutting                 | 600           | 1200           |
| Fitting & Assembly      | 1200          | 240            |
| Sewing                  | 2297          | 703            |
| Pre-lasting             | 00            | 672            |
| Post-lasting            | 1650          | 1372           |
| Finishing               | 1231          | 702            |
| Shipping inventory      | 00            | 43200          |
| **Total**               | **6978 (8.32%)** | **76889 (91.68%)** |

Figure 2. Present Value Steam Mapping (VSM) of Court Shoe Production Line
It helps to draw the required production steps with mentioning required labor, C/T, U/T, C/O and batch size. By observing the present scenario regarding production line, the following questions can be answered: how much labor is needed at different production units? How much is VD & NVD time present? Where & what kind of improvement should be done? It helps to calculate the PCE, lead time and takt time.

**Present PCE of Court Shoe Production Line**

PCE is measured as the percentage of the ration of VD time and lead time, where lead time is the summation of VD and NVD time. In the observed production line, the VD and NVD time were found as 6978 sec and 76889 sec, so the lead time was 83867 sec and consequently, the PCE was 8.32% which could be considered below the internationally comparative level 25% [17]. Throughout this study, it was strived to improve the present PCE with the proper adoption of lean tools such as VSM, Pareto analysis, Pareto chart, 5S, and JIT.

**Present Takt Time of Court Shoe Production Line**

Takt time is considered as the time that is required to produce a single unit of daily capable quantity of commodities [21]. The studied production line runs for two shifts per day, each shift was for 33600 sec excluding lunch time and planned down time; therefore, the available time for the run of the studied production line was 67200 sec with the daily customer demand of 9333 cases where each case contains 250 pairs court shoe and every shoe size is UK 9. Takt time is considered as the heartbeat of any process line. By this way, the present takt time of studied production line was 7.20 sec i.e. it took 7.20 sec to produce a single case of court shoe production. By this study, it was tried to reduce the present takt time with the proper implementation of lean tools.

**Pareto Analysis**

It is a statistical technique in decision making that is used for selection of a limited number of tasks that produce significant overall effect. It uses the Pareto principle – the idea that by doing 20% of work, 80% of the advantage of doing the entire job can be generated. Or in terms of quality improvement, a large majority of problems (80%) are produced by a few key causes (20%) [22]. The Pareto Principle is also known as the “80/20 Rule” which is the idea that 20% of causes generate 80% of results [23-25]. In this study, by using this tool it was tried to find out the 20% of causes that is generating 80% NVD activities. This tool focuses on the most damaging causes on a project. In this essence, David stated that the application of the Pareto chart consisting of causes for downtime or NVD activities along the X axis while the Y axis represents the cumulative percentage of downtime. Most of the NVD activities were documented on sewing, pre-lasting, post-lasting and finishing steps where these were frequently observed due to different causes. The highest frequency of NVD activities that derived the down time was found for toe lasting problems while skipped stitches were the lowest frequency.

**Table 3: Causes of delay times for Pareto analysis of Court Shoe Production Line**

| Causes of delay times      | Down Time (D/T) | Percentage of D/T | Cumulative of percentage |
|---------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| Toe lasting problems      | 526             | 30.4750869        | 30.4750869               |
| Needle thread breakage    | 303             | 17.5550406        | 48.03012746              |
| Inefficient ironing       | 171             | 9.90730012        | 57.93742758              |
| Seat lasting problems     | 103             | 5.96755504        | 63.90498262              |
| Inappropriate scouring    | 97              | 5.61993048        | 69.52491309              |
| Inappropriate chilling     | 91              | 5.27230591        | 74.797219                |
Different causes of NVD activities or down time at different production stages were documented in Table 3 with their frequency in terms of percentage and cumulative of percentage. Pareto chart is constructed in Figure 3 where the responsible causes for NVD activities were arranged in downward movements in terms of their frequency. 5S and JIT would be effective initiatives to remove these most frequent causes.

**IMPROVEMENT STRATEGIES**

In order to remove the 80% NVD activities, some improvement strategies are required such as increasing skilled manpower, continuous improvement, and involvement of JIT philosophy within the production management system.

**Increase Skill Manpower**

It was observed that most of the assistant operators were not skilled enough to handle the machine breakdown immediately. But for this reason, the maintenance time was as high as not acceptable. So, it is suggested to hire some skilled manpower as operator and assistant operator especially for sewing, lasting and finishing machine. The effective training program should be arranged prior to make capable of operator and assistant operator towards reducing the maintenance time.

**Continuous Improvement**

Continuous improvement is one of the most effective and basic tools of lean production which is also known as Kaizen. It is a long-term approach to work systematically to seek and to achieve small, incremental changes in processes in order to improve the efficiency and quality. Kaizen can be applied to any kind of work, but it is well known for being used in lean manufacturing. Kaizen is a Japanese term that reveals the meaning as “good change”. Basically Kaizen is considered as a systematic approach for continuous improvement. 5S is one of the most popular tools for effective continuous improvement. Towards the NVD activities reduction, 5S is the first modular step. 5S is
not only a means to increase the profitability of a firm but also allow companies to reveal potential strengths and capabilities that were hidden before [26]. It consists of Japanese words - Seiri (Sort), Seiton (Straighten), Seiso (Sweep and Clean), Seiketsu (Systemize), and Shitsuke (Standardize). The basic and underlying concept of 5S is looking for waste and then trying to eliminate it. This concept implies instantly going where there is a problem, checking out the problem, taking a temporary measure on the spot, finding out the main causes beyond the problem, if necessary using the five WHY? Questions; and finally standardizing to prevent reoccurrence [27]. In a production process line, a good change or the improvement can be achieved in many ways such as reduction of inventory, reduction of production time, reduction of defective parts etc. In this essence, a strategic plan is developed prior to implementing the 5S in the observed Court Shoe production line in order to remove the recognized NVD activities. Towards the implementation of 5S, a proper plan or vision is required which could be formulated by the management of footwear sector. The vision plan should be incorporated with the theme of reducing lead time, NVD activities or manufacturing waste and improving PCE. The implemented 5S plan consists of team work, training work, multi skill scope and learning environment. To implement a new plan, a team work will be an effective wheel to bring the plan into practice. An effective training program should be arranged frequently prior to need for the sake of 5S incorporation within the existing production management system. There should be a constant attempt to find out the problem at each and every stage of the Court Shoe production line. A persistent endeavor should be kept on creating a way to eliminate the arising problems and if this way is effective, it must be documented, and if not, attempts should continue towards an effective way beyond the elimination of problems for NVD activities.

**Just in Time (JIT)**

JIT is a Japanese management philosophy which has been applied in many Japanese manufacturing organizations since the early 1970s. It was first developed by Taiichi Ohno in the Toyota manufacturing plants as a means of meeting consumer demands within the minimum delays. The lean production firstly focuses on what is most commonly thought of as ‘just in time’ management which is considered as one of the basic principles of lean manufacturing. It is the idea of producing exactly what the customer wants, in the quantities they want, where they want it, when the customer wants it without being delayed or held upon inventory [28]. Inman and Bulfin [29] implied that JIT implementation provokes to reduce hurdles like long change over time; unleveled production schedules; highly variable production processes; large container sizes; severe bottlenecks and long lead time.
A system where a customer initiates demand and the demand is then transmitted back from the final assembly all the way to raw material, thus “pulling” all requirements just when they are required [30, 31]. Actually, there are no unified steps towards the JIT implementation; because, the production phenomenon of different factories varies from each other. Moreover, the ability of the different techniques in different factories depends deeply on a specific manufacturing environment. However, benefits from these programs have often been limited because of unreliability or inflexibility [32]. Indeed, it is needed a reliable and flexible environment towards the JIT implementation. In the observed court shoe production line unwanted and unnecessary NVD waiting times were observed as very common between each two stages, especially in raw material inventory and finished goods inventory which could be reduced by JIT implementation. In order to implement JIT, some suggestions were proposed such as:

- Prepare the plant and its personnel for flexibility towards involving themselves within the JIT principles;
- Regarding personnel should be careful to the shortest lead time and high quality by concentrating maintenance and quality;
- Strive to produce with no waste by focusing on inventory control.

**The Future States of Court Shoe Production Line**

**Future VSM of Court Shoe Production Line**

Finally, the future VSM is constructed as shown in Figure 4 which reported a promising reduction in NVD time and lead time with improved PCE and up time. Table 5 outlines the value stream analysis report for the future state. It is found that after the implementation of lean tools PCE will be improved at internationally competitive level. Based on intense observation, brainstorming and previous regarding studies it is predicted that 50% NVD time of raw material inventory and shoe production steps could be reduced. The 80% NVD time of Sewing, pre-lasting, pot-lasting and finishing steps could be reduced after the implementation of lean tools. At future state, the total labor cost is found 17, but it was 25 at present state i.e. after the implementation lean tools. At future state, the total labor is found 20, but it was 36 at present state i.e. after the implementation of lean tools at least 15 labors could be the relief for another work. Then up time of sewing, lasting and finishing machine is found consecutively 96%, 93%, and 95% while it was consecutively 83%, 64%, and 76% at present state. At future state, it is also found the PCE will be 19.46% while it was 8.32% at present state. Therefore, at future state, the promising outcomes bring with the reduction of labor, NVD time and lead time, and improved up time and PCE.

**Table 4: Future lead time analysis of Court Shoe Production Line**

| Production stage          | Value Added Time (Sec) | Non-Value Added Time (Sec) |
|---------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Receiving Inventory       | 00                     | 14400 (50%)                 |
| Cutting                   | 600                    | 600 (50%)                   |
| Fitting & Assembly        | 1200                   | 240 (0%)                    |
| Sewing                    | 2297                   | 140 (80%)                   |
| Pre-lasting               | 00                     | 134 (80%)                   |
| Post-lasting              | 1650                   | 274 (80%)                   |
| Finishing                 | 1231                   | 140 (80%)                   |
| Shipping Inventory        | 00                     | 12960 (70%)                 |
| **Total**                 | **6978 (19.46%)**      | **28888 (80.54%)**          |

**Expected PCE at Future State**

At future state, it is expected that after the proper implementation of lean tools NVD time from 76889 sec to 28888 sec, and thus the lead time would be reduced from 83867 sec to 35866 sec. As earlier it is mentioned that PCE is measured as the percentage of the ratio of VD time and lead time and consequently at future state, the PCE is found 19.46% which is considered as the internationally competitive level 25% [17].
Expected Takt Time of Future State

It is mentioned earlier that takt time is the heartbeat of a production process line. Takt time is the time that needs to produce a single unit of production. The lower it is, the faster the production is. Throughout this study, it was tried to reduce the takt time. At future state, after the adoption of lean tools, the takt time is found 7.002 sec while at present state production phenomenon it was found 7.20 sec.

Achievement of Lean Tools Adoption

A promising achievement is predicted with the reduced NVD time, lead time and takt time, and improved PCE. An improvement comparison between present and future state is given in the following Figure 5.

At future state, it is seen that the NVD time will be reduced by 62.43%, lead time will be reduced by 57.24%, takt time will be reduced by 2.75% and PCE will be improved by 133.89%. But all of these alluring achievements basically depend on the successful implementation of proposed lean tools.
TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENTS

Assessment of Product Market Technology System Components Sophistication Range and Innovation Scope of Studied Footwear Industry [33]

Figure 6. Technology components profile (T - technoware, H - humanware, I - infoware, O - orgaware). Ideal (Best) profile: Outer shape (blue), Current profile: Middle shape (green), Minimum profile: Inner shape (red)

Below is the revised scoring table for assessing degree of sophistication of THIOs using a 9-point overlapping scoring scale with 10 for ideal best.

Table 5: Assessment of the degree of sophistication of THIOs

| Technology Components | LOW Primitive Score: 1-2-3 | MEDIUM Traditional Score: 3-4-5 | HIGH Modern Score: 5-6-7 | TOP Emerging Score: 7-8-9 | IDEAL Best Score: 10 |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| Technoware            | Manual                      | Powered                        | Automatic                 | Programmable              | Zero Deviation        |
| Humanware             | Basic                       | Superior                       | Advanced                  | Extraordinary             | Zero Error            |
| Infoware              | General                     | Special                        | Unique                    | Frontier                  | Zero unknown          |
| Orgaware              | Adhoc                       | Orderly                        | Managed                   | Optimized                 | Zero tolerance        |

Assessment of Product Market Value Using Integrated Business and Technology Strategies of Court Shoe [33]

Figure 7. Integrated Business and Technology Strategies
CONCLUSIONS

This study provides a case analysis of the improvement of a court shoe production line by reducing the lead time and improving the PCE by using of lean tools. It focuses the renovation of operations by eliminating the NVD time and lead time and improving the PCE through VSM, Pareto Analysis, 5S, and JIT. In a nutshell, it is inferred that a set of lean tools is an effective way to identify and eliminate the manufacturing NVD activities and time.
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