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Abstract

Background: Globally, poor adherence to self-care practices in diabetic patients persist as major challenges with respect to public health concern. Maintaining optimum blood glucose level in diabetic patients requires proper self-care management focusing on diet, medication, regular exercise, and foot care. The aim of the study was to investigate self-care practices and predictors of self-care management activities among type 2 diabetes mellitus patients in Tanahun district of Nepal.

Methods: Descriptive cross-sectional study was carried out among 139 patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus attending outpatient department of two private polyclinics of Bhimad Municipality at Tanahun district, Nepal. The self-care practice was measured by the Summary of Diabetes Self-Care Activities (SDSCA). Predictors of self-care behaviors were identified by using binary logistic regression.

Results: Among the 139 patients, less than half (46%) had desirable self-care practice for diabetes management. Of the total, 89.9% had adequate exercise and 74.8% had foot care management practices. The multivariate analysis showed that poor self-care practice was likely to occur among illiterate patients (AOR=2.950; 95% CI=(1.149-7.573); p<0.05) and patients with co-morbidities (AOR=2.801; 95% CI=1.121-7.002; p<0.05).

Conclusion: Self-care practice of diabetic participants was considerably particularly in practice of recommended blood glucose testing. These findings recommend healthcare organizations and professionals to plan on behavioral change communication and education programs targeting diabetic patients in order to improve their self-care practice.

Introduction

Diabetes Mellitus, a ‘silent disease’ with minimal symptoms at the beginning has rapid progression until target organ damage and with its serious consequences, it has become an important public health concern. In order to achieve and maintain optimum blood glucose level, diabetic patients need good self-care management [1]. Worldwide, number of people diagnosed with diabetes mellitus has increased by about four times from 108 million in 1980 to 422 million in 2014. In the year 2014, diabetes caused 4.9 million deaths and has been most important cause of kidney diseases, eye complications, cerebrovascular accident, cardiac failure, and amputation [2].

In the recent years, prevalence of diabetes has increased more rapidly in developing countries than in developed countries. The 2016 diabetic profile reported that 9.1% Nepalese are diagnosed with diabetes; among which 10.5% are men and 7.9% comprise women [3]. A high percentage of the world’s diabetes cases belong to Type 2 Diabetes (T2D) with modifiable risk factors such as unhealthy diet, being obese or overweight, sedentary lifestyle, and smoking. These factors can be modified through behavioral and environmental changes [4]. Adequate self-care practices in terms of diet, medication, and exercise help in maintaining normal level of blood glucose in patients with diabetes. Moreover, regular foot-care practice helps to protect against diabetic foot ulcers and amputation [5].

The diabetes self-care includes the home-based activities such as eating healthy diet, proper exercise, adherence to medication and preventive care against foot ulcer and other complications [6]. Diabetic patients’ own care practice helps to enhance their quality of life and prevents the complications involving heart, blood vessels, eyes, kidneys, and nervous system [6,7].
However, growing literature suggest that patients with diabetes do not perform required care activities by themselves. A study done among Philippine-American adults with diabetes mellitus concluded that less number of younger adults would perform optimum self-care behavior concerning to diet, medication and monitoring of blood glucose and suggested increased risk of diabetes related comorbidities and complications in younger adults whereas older adults and females were more likely to follow the medication regimens and evenly space carbohydrate intake as recommended by the health care practitioners. Furthermore, males and adults with higher education were involved in exercising frequently [8].

Many studies regarding diabetes self-care and adherence to medication are focused in developing countries. A study done in Ethiopia reported that about 60% patients had poor glycemic control as only 15.1% had adequate practice in blood glucose monitoring. Predictors of poor self-care behaviors were males, patients living in rural area, those with diabetes duration less than six years, those with no accessibility for self-testing of blood sugar and having poor knowledge about diabetes [9]. Similar study done in another state of Ethiopia revealed that 45.5% patients scored below the mean in SDSCA tool. Having knowledge on diabetes, secondary school education and having diabetes for longer duration were the significant predictors of adequate self-care management practice among diabetic adults [10].

In Nepal where there was higher prevalence of communicable disease in previous decades, at present there is epidemiological transition to increased prevalence of Non-Communicable Diseases (NCD) along with growing prevalence of type 2 diabetes mellitus [11]. Knowledge on diabetes, adequate self-care behavior and adherence to diabetic medications could be beneficial for prevention, early detection of diabetes cases, decreased complications which would improve the quality of life of individuals affected by diabetes [5]. Various studies in Nepal suggest that diabetic patients have poor knowledge and practice regarding self-care activities. A study in Biratnagar revealed inadequate practice of self-care among 50% diabetic patients attending the tertiary care hospital. The most frequently implemented self-care activity was foot care whereas testing of blood sugar was least performed [12]. Furthermore, diabetes self-care was significantly associated with age of the diabetic patient, their education level, occupation of the patients and age at diagnosis of diabetes.

Another study done among 192 diabetic patients to identify their self-care management practice highlighted that 31.77% adults had poor knowledge whereas 57.29% had average knowledge and only 10.93% had good knowledge of diabetes mellitus. Patients most frequently practiced care of their limbs, exercise, as well as regular health checkup. However, greater than two third of patients had uncontrolled blood sugar [6]. This study also revealed that though the patients had known that performing regular self-care could decrease the diabetes related complications, they are irregular in performing the self-care practices [6]. Another study done in a tertiary level hospital in Kathmandu revealed that poor self-care adherence was associated with poor blood sugar control among diabetic patients. Thus, this study recommended for promoting integrated knowledge with health education on diabetes management to the communities in order to improve glycemic control [13].

Poor self-care management worsens the clinical parameter with poor glycemic control leading to undesirable patient outcome and only permits suboptimal effect of the treatment. However, to the researchers’ knowledge, there are no studies in Nepal measured by SDSCA tool to identify the self-care practice among diabetic patients in regards to different self-care domains. Therefore, researcher felt the immense need for assessment of self-care management practices with significant advantage to diabetic patient’s adherence in disease treatment as well as reducing the adverse effects associated with disease spectrum. This study was conducted with the objective to assess the self-care management practices of patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and to identify predictors of self-care management activities among patients with diabetes mellitus.

Theoretical perspective on self-care management practice

Diabetic patients have to carry out their daily self-management activities according to the instruction of their health care provider in order to prevent diabetes-related morbidity and mortality. In diabetes care, self-management by patients is the key basis which is believed to enhance patients’ efficacy in diabetes management by increasing disease awareness and improving prevention [14]. However, patients’ self-care management practice depends upon the health belief of the patient towards their disease. Health belief model helps to understand how a diseased person prioritizes to follow the specific health action when he perceives himself at risk and feels that this behavior is beneficial to prevent the complication and promote early recovery [15]. In case of diabetic patients, having knowledge about the diabetic self-care practice and health action such as management of diet, exercise, compliance to the medicines, foot care, regular blood glucose testing and glycemic control as well as behavioral changes like smoking and alcohol cessation are the particular health actions which would prevent the diabetic related complications and promote their normal wellbeing. Moreover, self-care compliance and improvement and minimizing the barriers for self-care is possible by focusing on diabetic care education and support programs related to promotion of self-management activities to the targeted population [13]. Based on the health belief model, this study assesses the knowledge based self-care management practice of the diabetic patients in terms of maintaining adequate diet, physical activity, medication adherence, blood sugar monitoring, diabetic foot care and smoking status.

Materials and methods

Study design, settings, and participants

A descriptive cross-sectional study was carried out for six months period between April and October 2019 in two private polyclinics of Bhimad Municipality, Tanahun Nepal.
Data collection, the request letter for data collection was sent from Tribhuvan University Institutional Review Board. Before internal consistency, calculating Cronbach’s alpha value 0.776 which showed high and who met the sample criteria. Reliability was tested by health checkup in Shuvalaxmi polyclinic in Tanahun district was conducted on 13 diabetic patients who came for regular clarity and accuracy of the tool, pretesting of the instrument done. Firstly, English to Nepali translation and secondly Nepali with subject experts. Moreover, for the use of SDSCA tool in developed by Toobert, Hampson and Glassgow [17] to measure Diabetes Self-Care Activities (SDSCA). This standard tool was Part II included standard questionnaire- Summary of collected demographic and anthropometric measurements. Part II included standard questionnaire- the Summary of Diabetes Self–Care Activities (SDSCA). This standard tool was developed by Toobert, Hampson and Glassgow [17] to measure the self–care activities used by diabetic patients. It consists of seven domains- dietary pattern, exercise, compliance to medication, foot care, testing of blood glucose and status of smoking among diabetic patients. Literatures suggest SDSCA as a reliable and valid tool [18,19]. In order to maintain the content validity, the tool was developed according to objectives of the study through broad literature review and consultation with subject experts. Moreover, for the use of SDSCA tool in Nepalese context, back–to–back translation of the tool was done. Firstly, English to Nepali translation and secondly Nepali to English translation was done by two different bilingual translators. This strategy also helped to maintain content validity of the instrument. In order to identify consistency, clarity and accuracy of the tool, pretesting of the instrument was conducted on 13 diabetic patients who came for regular health checkup in Shuvalaxmi polyclinic in Tanahun district and who met the sample criteria. Reliability was tested by calculating Cronbach’s alpha value 0.776 which showed high internal consistency.

A non– probability consecutive sampling technique was used for the study. All the patients 20 years and above who were diagnosed with diabetes mellitus for at least one year were interviewed. Sample size was 139 according sample size estimation formula (n)= Zpq/ê²(where z= statistic corresponding to level of confidence, p= disease prevalence, q= 1- p and ê= allowable error at 95% confidence interval). According to literature searched, type 2 diabetes prevalence in Nepal is 9.1% [16]. Thus, taking p =9.1%=0.091, q=0.909, ê=5%=0.05 at 95% confidence interval ; calculated sample size was 1.96zx0.960.091x0.909/0.0025=127. Adding 10% non–response rate, final sample size was (127+ 12) =139.

Data collection instrument and method

Interviewer administered questionnaire was used for the data collection which consisted of two parts. Part I included socio- demographic information and anthropometric measurements. Part II included standard questionnaire- the Summary of Diabetes Self–Care Activities (SDSCA). This standard tool was developed by Toobert, Hampson and Glassgow [17] to measure the self–care activities used by diabetic patients. It consists of seven domains- dietary pattern, exercise, compliance to medication, foot care, testing of blood glucose and status of smoking among diabetic patients. Literatures suggest SDSCA as a reliable and valid tool [18,19]. In order to maintain the content validity, the tool was developed according to objectives of the study through broad literature review and consultation with subject experts. Moreover, for the use of SDSCA tool in Nepalese context, back–to–back translation of the tool was done. Firstly, English to Nepali translation and secondly Nepali to English translation was done by two different bilingual translators. This strategy also helped to maintain content validity of the instrument. In order to identify consistency, clarity and accuracy of the tool, pretesting of the instrument was conducted on 13 diabetic patients who came for regular health checkup in Shuvalaxmi polyclinic in Tanahun district and who met the sample criteria. Reliability was tested by calculating Cronbach’s alpha value 0.776 which showed high internal consistency.

Study was carried out after the approval of research proposal from Tribhuvan University Institutional Review Board. Before data collection, the request letter for data collection was sent to the polyclinics for permission to conduct the research. Concerned authorities were briefed about the objectives, process, and importance of the study. Informed written consent with all the information was obtained from the patients prior to the data collection. After their OPD checkup, patients were called in a separate room and were interviewed. The patients were benefited by informal health teaching about the self–care management. In average, time taken for the interview was about 20–25 minutes. The obtained data was used for the research purpose only.

Data management and statistical analysis

The data was entered into the Epi–data software and was analyzed using SPSS version 16. Diabetic patients’ regularity of self–care activity in last seven days for all four domains diet, exercise, food–care and blood glucose testing was measured. For each domain mean number of days of self–care was calculated. The overall mean score for care activities in different domains was determined by summation of the mean score of all domains and dividing it by the sum of number of questions on each scale of these domains. As the overall mean score was 3.5, final classification was done as having desirable or adequate self–care practice if the score is ≥ 3.5 whereas the score of <3.5 was categorized as poor or inadequate self–care practice [9].

At first bivariable analysis as appropriate for categorical variables (chi–square test) was done to identify the significant association between different variables along with the odds ratio. Furthermore, the significant independent variables (p<0.05) were again analyzed by using multivariable analysis (binary logistic regression) to identify the independent predictors of inadequate practices of self–care among the patients with diabetes. For the analysis, 5% level of significance was considered with p– value<0.05 and confidence interval at 95%. Hosmer and Lemeshow test for the final mode showed fitness of model.

Results

Socio demographic and clinical characteristics of the patients

Of the total patients (n=139) having mean age of 50.9 years (ranging from 39 to 82 years), 66.2% were males. Furthermore, 60.4% belonged to the disadvantaged ethnic group (Janajati/ Dalit). Most (96.4%) were married while 64.0% were literate. Moreover, 69.8% were employed and 59.7% were rural residents. Comorbidities were present in 45.3% patients among which 65.1% had hypertension. Duration of diabetes was less than five years among 65.6% of the participants. Majority (81.3%) were under medication. More than half (51.8%) had normal BMI. Majority (79.1%) did not smoke on past seven days (Table 1).

Self-care management practice of the patients

Regarding the self–care practice, less than half (46%) had desirable self–care practice for diabetes management. Amongst the various domains of self–care, 67.6% have adequate dietary
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The chi square test showed the statistically significant association of self-care practice with sex (p<0.05), ethnicity (p<0.01), educational status (p<0.01), place of residence (p<0.01), monthly income (p<0.01) and presence of comorbidities (p<0.01) (Table 3). The binary logistic regression analysis showed that poor self-care practice was likely to occur among illiterate patients (AOR=2.950; 95% CI= (1.149-7.573); p<0.05) and patients with co-morbidities (AOR=2.801; 95% CI=1.121-7.002; p<0.05) (Table 3).

Discussion

Patients with diabetes are often recommended to follow healthful living with regular self-care practices in diet, exercise, care of foot and regular blood glucose monitoring. This study revealed that among 139 diabetic patients, 54% have poor practice of self-care for the management of their diabetic status. Findings correspond with the study done in Biratnagar, Nepal where 49.6% participants had poor self-care practice. Study done in Harrari, Ethiopia also revealed that 60.8% patients follow poor self-care practice [20]. However, study finding is higher than in the three different studies conducted in Ethiopia where, 45.5% [10], 45.7% [21] and 39.3% [9] patients had poor practice of diabetes self-care. This might be because of the small sample size and different setting in our study which is a rural area with no easier access to health-related activities. In rural areas, different barriers are recognized which limit the diabetic self-care activities in developing countries such as no access to better health care service, expensive medication cost, poor relationship between patient and health-care provider, higher degree of symptoms and health care providers distributed unevenly in urban and rural areas [14].

In this study, multivariate analysis showed that illiterate patients were three times more likely to perform poor self-care activities than literate patients. This finding is consistent with the study done in Ethiopia where uneducated diabetic patients were 3.6 times more likely to perform undesirable care activities than educated patients [21]. The finding also corresponds with other studies done in Harrari [20] and North-West Ethiopia [22]. The possible reason for this might be that educated persons have increased interest on their health promotion for which they become aware and follow the desirable self-care

### Table 1: Socio-demographic and Clinical Characteristics of the Patients (n=139).

| Background Characteristics | Frequency | Percentage |
|---------------------------|-----------|------------|
| **Age**                   |           |            |
| 20-39                     | 23        | 16.5       |
| 40-59                     | 87        | 62.6       |
| 60 and above              | 29        | 20.9       |
| Mean age 50.9±10.41 (32-82)|           |            |
| **Sex**                   |           |            |
| Male                      | 92        | 66.2       |
| Female                    | 47        | 33.8       |
| **Ethnicity/caste**       |           |            |
| Brahmin/Chettri           | 55        | 39.6       |
| Janajati/Dalit            | 84        | 60.4       |
| **Marital Status**        |           |            |
| Married                   | 134       | 96.4       |
| Single (never married, widow/widower) | 5 | 3.6 |
| **Educational Status**    |           |            |
| Literate                  | 89        | 64.0       |
| Illiterate                | 50        | 36.0       |
| **Employment Status**     |           |            |
| Employed                  | 97        | 69.8       |
| Unemployed                | 42        | 30.2       |
| **Place of Residence**    |           |            |
| Urban                     | 56        | 40.3       |
| Rural                     | 83        | 59.7       |
| **Monthly Income**        |           |            |
| Less than 10000           | 26        | 18.7       |
| 10000 and more            | 113       | 81.3       |
| **Comorbidities**         |           |            |
| Yes                       | 63        | 45.3       |
| No                        | 76        | 54.7       |
| If yes (n=63)             |           |            |
| Hypertension              | 41        | 65.1       |
| Others                    | 22        | 34.9       |
| **Year of diagnosis**     |           |            |
| Less than 5 years         | 91        | 65.5       |
| 5 years and more          | 48        | 34.5       |
| **Taking medications**    |           |            |
| Yes                       | 113       | 81.3       |
| No                        | 26        | 18.7       |
| If yes, (113)             |           |            |
| Oral hypoglycemic agents  | 98        | 86.7       |
| Insulin                   | 4         | 3.6        |
| Both                      | 11        | 9.7        |
| **BMI**                   |           |            |
| 18.5-25 (normal)          | 72        | 51.8       |
| 26-30 (overweight)        | 52        | 37.4       |
| More than 30 (obese)      | 15        | 10.8       |
| **Smoking status on past seven days** |           |            |
| Yes                       | 29        | 20.9       |
| No                        | 110       | 79.1       |

### Table 2: Self Care Management Practice of the Patients (n=139).

| Self Care Activities | Adequate | Inadequate |
|----------------------|----------|------------|
| No. (%)              | No. (%)  |            |
| Total Self care      | 64 (46)  | 75 (54)    |
| Diet                 | 94(67.6) | 45(32.4)   |
| Exercise             | 125 (89.9) | 14 (10.1) |
| Footcare             | 104(74.8) | 35(25.2)   |
| Blood glucose testing| 2(1.4)   | 137(98.6)  |
practice [21]. Findings also revealed that patients with co-
morbidities were 2.8 times more likely to follow inadequate 
self-care behavior than those without co-morbidities. A study 
done from West Ethiopia also suggested consistent finding [9].

Various studies suggest gender differences in reporting the 
self-management behavior in diabetic patients. Present study 
finding also revealed that females were 2.4 times more liable 
to have poor self-care practice than males. This finding was 
similar to study done in Pokhara, Nepal which revealed that 
women had low efficacy with respect to diabetes self-care 
(35%) in comparison to men (65%) [23]. The reason for this 
might be because of the gender difference in social structure 
which brings difference in lifestyle modification like exercise, 
medication compliance and other care behavior. Thus, there is 
necessity need to formulate gender specific behavior change 
communication strategies for improvement of self-care 
management practice of patients with diabetes.

The diabetic patients’ living places also revealed significant 
association with undesirable self-care behaviour. Diabetic 
patients residing in rural areas were 3.1 times more likely to 
perform poor self-care activities than those residing in urban 
areas. Similar finding was reported from the studies in West 
Ethiopia [9], North Ethiopia [24] and Bangladesh [25].

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, findings of the present study showed 
considerably poor self-care practice among adult diabetic 
patients. Particularly, diabetic patients were not consistent in 
regular monitoring of their blood glucose level as recommended. 
Predictors of inadequate self-care behaviour were illiteracy and 
having comorbidities. Moreover, diabetic females and 
those living in rural areas also had poor practice of self-care –
in diabetes control. These findings highlighted that diabetic 
patients need intervention with integrated approach through 
treatment as well as health education which will increase 
health and wellbeing of the patients. Health care organizations 
and health professionals should focus on behavioral change 
communication programs to diabetic patients which must be 
gender specific and according to locality whether the patients 
belong to urban or rural residents. Strategic approach to health 
literacy focusing on rural residents would be more beneficial. 
Since self-care regarding blood glucose testing is poor, 
provision of self-monitoring glucometer for needy patients 
seem essential.
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