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Abstract

Introduction: Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) is projected to rise to the second leading cause of U.S. cancer-related deaths by 2020. Novel therapeutic targets are desperately needed. MicroRNAs (miRs) are small noncoding RNAs that function by suppressing gene expression and are dysregulated in cancer. miR-21 is overexpressed in PDAC tumor cells (TC) and is associated with decreased survival, chemoresistance and invasion. Dysregulation of miR regulatory networks in PDAC tumor-associated fibroblasts (TAFs) have not been previously described. In this study, we show that miR-21 expression in TAFs promotes TC invasion.

Methods: In-situ hybridization for miR-21 was performed on the 153 PDAC patient UCLA tissue microarray and 23 patient-matched lymph node metastases. Stromal and TC histoscores were correlated with clinicopathologic parameters by univariate and multivariate Cox regression. miR-21 positive cells were further characterized by immunofluorescence for mesenchymal/epithelial markers. For in vitro studies, TAFs were isolated from freshly resected human PDAC tumors by the outgrowth method. miR-21 was overexpressed/inhibited in fibroblasts and then co-cultured with GFP-MiaPaCa TCs to assess TC invasion in modified Boyden chambers.

Results: miR-21 was upregulated in TAFs of 78% of tumors, and high miR-21 significantly correlated with decreased overall survival (P = 0.04). Stromal miR-21 expression was also significantly associated with lymph node invasion (P = 0.004), suggesting that it is driving TC spread. Co-immunofluorescence revealed that miR-21 colocalized with peritumoral fibroblasts expressing α-smooth muscle actin. Moreover, expression of miR-21 in primary TAFs correlated with miR-21 in TAFs from patient-matched LN metastases; evidence that PDAC tumor cells induce TAFs to express miR-21. miR-21 expression in TAFs and TCs promotes invasion of TCs and is inhibited with anti-miR-21.

Conclusions: miR-21 expression in PDAC TAFs is associated with decreased overall survival and promotes TC invasion. Anti-miR-21 may represent a novel therapeutic strategy for dual targeting of both tumor and stroma in PDAC.
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Introduction

Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) is currently the fourth leading cause of cancer-related deaths in the United States [1]. If the current trends continue, it is predicted to rise to second behind lung cancer by 2020 [2]. This rising mortality can be prevented with earlier diagnosis or improved treatment strategies. Rapid autopsy evaluation of patients who died of PDAC revealed that over 70% had macrometastases, most commonly to the liver and then lung [3]. The large tumor-associated stromal volume and its components in PDAC are thought to be a major contributor of the propensity of this tumor to spread to distant organs [4]. A better understanding of how the stroma contributes to metastasis development in PDAC may lead to new treatment strategies that improve the prognosis of this fatal disease.

MicroRNAs (miRs) are small noncoding RNAs that are approximately 20 nucleotides in length [5]. Through complementary base-pairing, they bind the 3’UTR of their target mRNAs and silence their translation via the RISC complex. miRs can regulate the expression of many target genes and are associated
with developmental processes and cancer [5]. Profiling of poorly
differentiated solid tumors from multiple organs revealed that
miRs are more cancer-specific than mRNAs [6]. Our previous
study revealed that miRs in PDAC tumor cells (TC) are
extensively involved in regulating expression of genes associated
with survival [7].

miR-21 is expressed in many solid tumors including hepatocellu-
lar carcinoma [8], colon [9] and pancreatic cancers[10–14]. In
PDAC TCs miR-21 expression (i) increases early during tumor-
genesis in low grade premalignant pancreatic intraepithelial
neoplasias (PanIN) [15]; (ii) mediates TC invasion, proliferation,
and chemoresistance in cell culture [12]; and (iii) is associated with
shorter overall survival in patients [11,13]. However, miR-21’s
pro-tumorigenic impact is not limited to the TC compartment, as
expression of miR-21 in the stroma of colorectal cancers predicts
shorter disease-free survival [16,17].

Therefore, based on (i) the association of decreased survival and
miR-21 expression in PDAC TCs and (ii) colon cancer stroma,
and (iii) the pro-tumorigenic function of miR-21 in PDAC TCs,
we hypothesized that miR-21 expression in the PDAC stroma
enhances TC invasion and metastasis. Using human tumor samples
and primary cell cultures, we find that PDAC TCs induce
peri-tumoral fibroblasts to express miR-21, which promotes
TC invasion. Inhibition of miR-21 in PDAC TCs and tumor-
associated fibroblasts (TAFs) is additive in reducing TC invasion.
These findings provide evidence that miR-21 may be a good dual
TC and stromal cell anti-metastatic target for therapy and a novel
strategy to improve the prognosis of this fatal disease.

Methods

Ethics Statement

This study was approved by the UCLA Institutional Review
Board and the UCLA Office of Animal Research Oversight.
Written consent was obtained from all patients.

In Situ Hybridization for microRNA-21 and TMA Scoring

The UCLA tissue microarray (TMA) includes tumor cores for
153-patients (Table S1), all with well-annotated clinical histories,
and has been previously described [10]. TMA slides or FFPE
samples of primary PDACs were incubated at 60°C for 1 hour,
deparaffinized in xylene, and rehydrated with graded alcohol
washes. Slides were then washed 3 times with diethyl pyrocarb-
one (DPC), slides were placed in blocking solution for 1 hour
at RT followed by overnight incubation at 4°C in alkaline phosphatase
conjugated anti-DIG Fab fragment solution. Antibody signal
was amplified with NBT and BCIP substrate (Roche, Mannheim,
Germany) and then tissue was counterstained with DAPI and visualized. For in situ
immunofluorescence staining, slides were first mounted,
counterstained with DAPI and visualized. For in situ
immunofluorescence staining, cells were first grown to 80% confluency on
co-cultures, fixed and permeabilized with 5% paraformaldehyde and 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS. Blocking and antibody incubation
were then carried out as above with the inclusion of additional
primary antibodies, GFAP 1:1000 (DAKO, Glostrup, Denmark)
and PanCK 1:250 (Sigma). To create an overlay of immunoflu-
orescence staining with miR-21 in situ hybridization (ISH), the ISH
image was converted to a digital negative and then serial sections
were combined using Photoshop CS6 (Adobe Systems Inc., San
Jose, CA).

Cell Culture

The outgrowth method for isolation of primary cultured cells
from resected PDACs has been previously described [19]. In brief,
small tissue blocks (2-mm³) from freshly resected human PDAC
tumors were minced and cultured on a 10 cm² uncoated tissue
culture plate in DMEM/F12+Glutamax (Gibco, Life Technologies), supplemented with 20% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Gemini
Bio-Products, West Sacramento, CA)+1x Penicillin-Streptomycin
(Gibco). Primary cell lines are indicated as TAFs for tumor-
associated fibroblasts isolated from a PDAC tumor sample or HPF
for non-cancer-associated human pancreatic fibroblasts isolated
from the pancreatic parenchyma remote from the tumor. Normal
primary lung fibroblasts (LF) as a non-tumor/non-pancreas-
associated fibroblast control have been previously characterized
[20] and were maintained in DMEM (Gibco)+10% FBS+1x Pen-
Strep. All primary cells characterized for miR-21 expression and
used in co-culture experiments were maintained at low passage
(p2-3).

Immortalized, non-transformed human pancreatic ductal epi-
thelial cells (HPDE) were grown in keratinocyte serum-free media
supplemented with epidermal growth factor and bovine pituitary
extract (Gibco) [21]. Human pancreatic cancer cell lines BxPc-3 and MiaPaCa were obtained from the American Type Culture
Collection (Rockville, MD) and maintained in DMEM+10% FBS+1x Pen-Strep.

KRAS Sequencing

DNA was first extracted from FFPE samples with the QIAamp®
DNA FFPE tissue kit (QIAGEN, Dusseldorf, Germany) or
primary TAF cell lines via 0.2% SDS lysis buffer containing
proteinase K, followed by 4°C isopropanol precipitation. PCR
reactions were then performed (forward primer sequence 5’-
GGCGCTCTGAAAATGACTGA-3’, reverse primer sequence
5’-GTCCGCACCCAGTAATATGG-3’) to amplify the KRAS
exon 1 locus (as codon 12 is mutated in >80% of PDACs [22])
and then submitted to Laragen, Inc. Culver City, CA for
sequencing on an ABI 3730XL Sequencer (Applied Biosystems,
Life Technologies).
qRT-PCR for microRNA-21

Utilizing the QIAGEN® system, microRNA was extracted from cells (miRNeasy Mini Kit), reverse transcribed (miScript® II Reverse Transcription Kit) and then qRT-PCR was performed (miScript® SYBR Green PCR Kit) with miScript® Primers for miR-21 and RNU6B as a housekeeping control.

Overexpression/knockdown of Microrna-21

miScript® miR mimics and inhibitors were utilized for overexpression and knockdown experiments (QIAGEN). Cells were transfected with HiPerfect® Transfection reagent in the presence of miR-21 mimic or inhibitor. AllStars Negative Control siRNA or miScript® Inhibitor Negative Control were used as appropriate. The efficiency of miR-21 overexpression/knockdown experiments versus negative controls 48 hours after transfection is displayed in Figure S3.

Co-culture Assays

HPFs were seeded at a density of 1 × 10^5 in 6-well plates, and HPDE or MiaPaCa TCs were seeded at the same density in 6-well inserts with a 0.4 μM porous PET membrane (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA). After 24 hours, media was switched to serum-free DMEM/F12 and the inserts were placed in the wells. After 72 hours of co-culture, HPFs were collected for miR-21 qRT-PCR.

Invasion Chamber Assays

Cells were first transfected with miR-21 mimic or inhibitor in serum-containing medium. After 24 hours, cells were washed with PBS and media was replaced. At 48 hours after transfection, cells were trypsinized, counted, and seeded with GFP-labeled MiaPaCa TC, which we have previously characterized [23], at a 1:1 ratio and a density of 4 × 10^4 cells per well in DMEM/F12 plus Glutamax®4% FBS, 24-well Matrigel®-coated invasion chambers with 8.0 μM pores (BD Biosciences) were first rehydrated then cells were added to the insert while media supplemented with 20% FBS was added to the bottom of the well to establish a serum gradient. After 24 hours of co-culture, GFP-positive TCs that had invaded through the membrane were counted in 5 evenly spaced non-overlapping visual fields at 10 × magnification for each well. Each condition was performed in triplicate, and the entire experimental protocol was repeated ×2. Data shown is from one representative experiment.

In Vivo Tumorigenesis Assays

Primary TAFs (3.5 × 10^5) and BxPC-3 cells (3.5 × 10^5) were injected orthotopically into NOD/SCID IL2Rγ null mice alone or in combination (n = 12, 4 mice in each group) as a 1:1 Matrigel® media suspension. 6 weeks following implantation, mice were sacrificed to assess for the presence of tumor, tumor size and weight.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS 20.0.0.1 (IBM, New York, NY). Patient survival was R-censored at 100 months and Kaplan-Meier analysis was informed by the log-rank test. Student t-test was used for comparison of means. X-square identified significant associations between miR-21 histoscopes and clinicopathologic factors. Univariate Cox proportional hazard models were used to calculate hazard ratios for clinicopathologic factors with 95% CIs. A multivariate Cox regression analysis (MVA) was performed in a stepwise fashion with backward selection of statistically significant univariate parameters using P < 0.10 as the initial entry criterion. Statistical significance was defined as P < 0.05. Error bars ± SD.

Results

MicroRNA-21 Expression in the PDAC Stroma is Associated with Metastasis and Poor Prognosis

PDAC is associated with a dense stroma that contributes to tumorigenesis [24]. We hypothesized that miR-21 expression in the PDAC stroma correlated with clinical progression of disease. The UCLA PDAC TMA contains samples from 153 resected early-stage PDACs and was stained for miR-21 utilizing ISH. Representative images for histoscoring of TC and stroma is shown in Figure 1A. 78.4% of patients had a median histoscore for peritumoral stroma of ≥ 1 (Figure S1). Patients were dichotomized into miR-21 high (n = 73) or low (n = 72) based on the median histoscore = 1.5. On Kaplan-Meier survival analysis, high miR-21 stromal expression correlated with shorter overall survival (P = 0.04, Figure 1B); while miR-21 TC expression did not (Figure S1). As a means to explain the underlying mechanism of worse survival, miR-21 stromal expression was correlated with various histopathologic factors previously shown to be associated with prognosis – on both this TMA and an independent PDAC patient cohort [25] (Table 1). Interestingly, miR-21 in PDAC stroma did not correlate with tumor grade. It was strongly correlated with lymph node (LN) positivity (P = 0.004); 67% of miR-21 high patients had positive LNs while only 42% with low miR-21 had LN involvement. On Cox proportional hazards multivariate analysis, even after controlling for clinicopathologic variables associated with survival, stromal miR-21 expression on the TMA remained significant (HR = 1.56, P = 0.023) (Table 2). Taken together, these results reveal that miR-21 expression in the PDAC stroma is prognostically significant because it is correlated with TC invasion and metastasis.

MicroRNA-21 in the PDAC Stroma is Expressed in Activated Myofibroblasts

The PDAC stroma is comprised of a diverse cell population [24], including fibroblasts, activated myofibroblasts, stellate cells [26], inflammatory cells, and endothelial cells. Activated myofibroblasts and stellate cells are associated with PDAC TC invasion and chemotherapy resistance [4,27]. Based on our previous results that stromal miR-21 expression is associated with prognosis, we next sought to determine the specific cell type expressing miR-21. An ISH-immunofluorescence digital overlay for miR-21 (white), the activated myofibroblast marker α-SMA, and the stellate cell marker nestin (green) (Figure 2A) reveals that miR-21 is expressed in a subset of α-SMA and nestin positive cells. A larger magnification view (Figure 2B) of miR-21 (white), α-SMA (red), and the fibroblast marker vimentin (green) confirms these findings. These results reveal that miR-21 is expressed in a subpopulation of activated myofibroblasts and stellate cells, as opposed to simply representing a surrogate marker of these cell types.

PDAC Tumor Cells Induce Tumor-associated Fibroblasts to Express MicroRNA-21

PDAC TCs recruit supportive cells to their environment during tumor initiation and progression [27]. While the source of TAFs is unclear, it has been previously shown that TAFs do not possess genomic mutations but are activated through interactions with TCs [28]. We next sought to determine how miR-21 is expressed in TAFs and hypothesized that TCs induce them to upregulate the
oncomir. To begin to answer this question, we identified and assembled 23 patient-matched cores of LN metastasis from TMA primary tumors. Figure 3A reveals that miR-21 expression in primary and LN TAFs is strongest in the region immediately surrounding the malignant ducts. The expression decreases along a radial gradient away from TCs. Strikingly, miR-21 expression in primary tumor TAFs showed a near significant correlation with miR-21 expression in TAFs from patient-matched LN metastases (P = 0.06, Figure 3B). Moreover, primary human PDAC TAFs isolated via the outgrowth method [19] express 8 fold higher miR-21 than noncancerous human fibroblasts isolated from a region of the pancreas remote from the cancer (Figure 3C). As more direct mechanistic evidence, miR-21 expression in normal HPFs was 5 fold higher when co-cultured with MiaPaCa TCs than when co-cultured with normal HPDE cells (Figure 3D).

Validation that the primary TAFs are not derived from TCs included sequencing of KRAS and in situ immunofluorescence staining for specific epithelial and mesenchymal markers. These primary cell types are indeed KRAS wild-type, pan-cytokeratin negative, and express α-SMA, Vimentin, and GFAP (Figure S2–S3). To ensure that this primary culture did not include a contaminating population of tumor cells that had undergone epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT), we performed a tumorigenesis assay in an immunocompromised xenograft. At a count of 3.5 ± 10⁵ cells, primary TAFs did not form tumors when injected in NOD/SCID IL2Rγ null mice (Figure S4). These results suggest that TCs could induce TAFs in both the primary tumor and LNs to express miR-21.

### Table 1. Correlation of miR-21 stromal expression level with clinicopathologic covariates.

|                   | Low miR-21 | High miR-21 | P value |
|-------------------|------------|-------------|---------|
| Age (<65)         | 35 (45.5%) | 29 (41.4%)  | 0.63    |
| ≥65               | 42 (54.5%) | 41 (58.6%)  |         |
| Sex Male          | 40 (51.9%) | 36 (51.4%)  | 0.95    |
| Female            | 37 (48.1%) | 34 (48.6%)  |         |
| AJCC Stage* I     | 27 (37.0%) | 11 (15.7%)  |         |
| II                | 45 (61.6%) | 59 (84.3%)  | 0.008   |
| IV                | 1 (1.4%)   | 0 (0%)      |         |
| Lymph Node Negative | 42 (57.5%) | 23 (33.3%)  | 0.004   |
| Positive          | 31 (42.5%) | 46 (66.7%)  |         |
| pTumor size* T1   | 13 (17.8%) | 9 (12.9%)   |         |
| T2                | 34 (46.6%) | 26 (37.1%)  | 0.09    |
| T3                | 26 (35.6%) | 35 (50.0%)  |         |
| Grade Low-mod     | 44 (60.3%) | 39 (55.7%)  | 0.58    |
| High              | 29 (39.7%) | 31 (44.3%)  |         |

*Pearson χ-square of miR-21 expression for stage I vs. II and b. T1+T2 vs. T3.

### Table 2. Cox proportional hazard models for prognostic factors.

|                    | Univariate analysis | Multivariate analysis |
|--------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
|                    | HR (95% CI)         | P value               | HR (95% CI)         | P value               |
| Age (<65/<65)      | 1.2 (0.8–1.7)       | 0.30                  |                      |                      |
| Sex (female/male)  | 1.5 (1.0–2.1)       | 0.04                  | 1.5 (1.1–2.2)       | 0.03                  |
| AJCC Stage (II–IV/I) | 1.6 (1.0–2.4)       | 0.04                  | –                     |                      |
| Lymph node (pos/neg)| 1.8 (1.2–2.5)      | 0.003                 | 1.6 (1.1–2.4)       | 0.01                  |
| LVI (pos/neg)      | 1.8 (1.0–3.3)       | 0.07                  |                      |                      |
| pT (pT3/pT1+pT2)   | 1.0 (0.7–1.4)       | 0.93                  |                      |                      |
| Tumor size (>3 cm/≤3 cm)| 1.3 (0.9–1.9)  | 0.15                  |                      |                      |
| Grade (high/low)   | 1.7 (1.2–2.4)       | 0.007                 | 1.5 (1.0–2.2)       | 0.04                  |
| Margin (R1/R0)*    | 1.5 (0.9–2.5)       | 0.15                  |                      |                      |
| miR-21 stroma (high/low)| 1.5 (1.0–2.1) | 0.04                  | 1.6 (1.1–2.3)       | 0.02                  |
| miR-21 tumor (high/low)| 1.1 (0.7–1.6) | 0.70                  |                      |                      |

*Margins are classified as R1, macroscopically negative or R0, microscopically negative.
microRNA-21 Expression in TAFs and Tumor Cells Drives Tumor Cell Invasion

Based on the correlative data between miR-21 expression in TAFs and LN involvement on the UCLA TMA, we hypothesized that miR-21 expression in PDAC TAFs increases invasiveness of PDAC TCs. MiaPaCa TCs were selected for the modified Boyden chamber experiments as they have been previously shown to express high miR-21 levels [12]. Overexpression experiments were carried out utilizing miR-21 mimics in early-passage normal LFs with low baseline miR-21 expression (Figure 3C). When TCs were co-cultured with miR-21 overexpressing LFs, TC invasion was significantly increased compared to TCs+negative control-treated LFs (P = 0.05, Figure 4A). In fact, co-culture of TCs+low miR-21 LFs did not increase invasion as compared to TCs alone (Figure 4A). To determine the effect of miR-21 inhibition on TC invasion, we then tested TCs co-cultured with primary human early-passage PDAC TAFs that express high levels of miR-21 (Figure 3C). Co-culture of TAFs with TCs significantly increased the number of cells invaded as compared to TCs alone (P = 0.01, Figure 4B). This increase was significantly abrogated by inhibition of miR-21 in TAFs or TCs (P = 0.04 and P<0.001 respectively). Strikingly, inhibition of miR-21 in both TCs and TAFs was additive and resulted in the greatest inhibition of TC invasion. These results suggest that miR-21 may be a promising dual TC and TAF target in human PDAC to decrease invasion and metastasis of TCs.

Discussion

Pancreatic cancer has the highest stromal volume of all solid tumors [24], and strategies to reduce the pro-tumorigenic stroma may improve delivery of chemotherapy drugs to TCs and increase treatment efficacy in this fatal disease [29,30]. We investigated the hypothesis that miR-21 expression in the PDAC stroma increases invasion and metastasis of TCs. We found that miR-21 in activated peritumoral myofibroblasts is associated with LN metastasis and shorter survival. Our results also suggest that the TCs induce the fibroblasts to express miR-21. Importantly, inhibition of miR-21 in PDAC TAFs decreases TC invasion.

In multiple independent studies, high miR-21 expression in human PDAC TCs is correlated with shorter survival [11,13,14]. As an explanation of this prognostic significance, in vitro modulation of miR-21 in PDAC TCs increases proliferation, invasion, and gemcitabine chemoresistance [12,13]. However, miR-21 expression in the non-TC compartment plays a role in the histopathologic progression of cancer and non-cancerous conditions. In situ hybridization of 130 colon and 67 rectal cancer specimens revealed that miR-21 expression in the stroma predicts shorter disease-free survival of stage II patients [16,31]. In the lung, miR-21 expression is increased in myofibroblasts of patients with pulmonary fibrosis as compared to healthy lung tissue [32]. Its increase is driven by TGF-β released from the epithelial cells, and it potentiates the development of worsening scar tissue. In the heart, miR-21 expression increases in cardiac fibroblasts of the failing heart [33]. In an in vivo pressure-overload-induced disease model, inhibition of miR-21 using an antagomiR decreased interstitial fibrosis and cardiac hypertrophy. In the kidney, miR-21 expression increases fibrosis due to ureteral obstruction in a murine model [34]. Inhibition of miR-21 in vivo significantly attenuated fibrosis development. The architecture of each of these three non-cancerous conditions resembles that of the PDAC stromal environment. Furthermore, in regards to drug delivery, miR-21 in the stromal environment has been shown to decrease angiogenesis by inhibiting RhoB in endothelial cells [35]. These mechanisms are likely present in the majority of patients with PDAC as we show miR-21 expression in TAFs of 78.4% of early-stage PDACs.

In our previous analysis, we identified a prognostic gene signature of 171 genes in PDAC TCs [7]. However, genes with prognostic significance are not just limited to the TC compartment but have also been identified in the stroma of other malignancies. In breast cancer, 53 laser capture microdissected human samples were used to identify gene expression changes that clustered to angiogenesis, immune and hypoxic responses. These stromal changes stratified survival outcome for multiple subtypes of breast cancer and were independent of standard clinicopathologic factors [36]. Combining the stromal gene expression changes with standard prognostic factors enhanced the survival prediction accuracy in independent datasets. Likewise, in non-small cell lung
cancer, using 15 patient-matched cancer-associated and normal fibroblasts, 46 differentially expressed genes were identified [37]. A subset of 11 of these genes formed a prognostic signature and were associated with survival in independent patient cohorts. While a similar analysis has not been done for PDAC, these studies provide proof of principle that stromal gene expression changes are important for biologic progression of disease. We identify that stromal miR-21 expression in PDAC is associated with disease progression as manifested by LN metastasis, poor prognosis and TC invasion.

During PDAC tumor development – from low to high grade PanINs to invasive cancer – the stromal volume expands. The predominant components of this rich microenvironment include fibroblasts as well as stellate, inflammatory and endothelial cells. The fibroblasts and stellate cells are responsible for production of the dense fibrotic matrix [19]. They are recruited to the PDAC tumor microenvironment by paracrine mediators secreted by TCs. These mediators include TGFβ, PDGF, and VEGF [27]. After recruitment, stellate cells are activated and fibroblasts transform from a quiescent to a myofibroblast-like state via growth factors or cytokines released from TCs, or the hypoxic environment of PDAC [19,38,39]. Interestingly, the genomic profile of activated and quiescent stellate cells is identical, suggesting that the gene expression differences between the two states are mediated by cues from the environment or epigenetic and post-translational modifications [28]. Once activated, they enhance PDAC progression and have been shown to contribute to chemoresistance, tumor growth, and metastasis in cell culture and in vivo. Therefore, we investigated the etiology of increased miR-21 expression in the PDAC stroma and focused on hypoxia and induction by the TCs. miR-21 did not increase in primary TAFs grown under hypoxic conditions (data not shown). Rather, we found that miR-21 expression was highest around TCs and decreased in a radial gradient away from malignant ducts. Assuming that the O2 levels are constant in these two regions, it is suggestive that, much like TAF

Figure 3. PDAC tumor cells induce tumor-associated fibroblasts (TAFs) to express microRNA-21. (A) Representative images of miR-21 in situ hybridization (ISH) on a patient-matched primary tumor and lymph node metastasis reveals high peritumoral expression of miR-21 in the stroma, decreasing in a radial gradient away from the tumor cells. (B) From miR-21 ISH on 23 patient-matched samples, miR-21 expression in the primary TAFs correlates with that of TAFs found in lymph node metastases (p = 0.06). miR-21 expression was dichotomized into high (n = 12) vs. low (n = 11) based on the median intensity level. (C) miR-21 expression in early-passage (p2) primary TAFs derived from resected human PDACs is elevated 8 times that of human pancreas fibroblasts (HPF) from noncancerous tissue. (D) Co-culture of HPFs with MiaPaCa tumor cells reveals a >5 fold increase in miR-21 in HPFs versus co-culture with normal human ductal epithelial (HPDE) cells. miR-21 expression was normalized to RNU6B. Error bars ± 5D. Data are representative of 3 independent experiments.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0071978.g003
activation, TCs induce TAFs to increase expression of miR-21. We also observed a near-significant correlation for miR-21 expression between primary tumor TAFs and TAFs found in LN metastases. While recent studies have characterized the invasive potential of fibroblasts themselves [40], providing a possible explanation for how miR-21-high expressing TAFs in the primary tumor could directly invade to the LN, we have provided evidence that miR-21 expression can be induced in non-cancer associated fibroblasts by TCs. That the highest intensity of miR-21 TAF expression is universally peritumoral and that we do not observe isolated islands of miR-21-high expressing TAFs is further evidence of an induction mechanism.

miRs, and miR-21 in particular, function by regulating a network of genes [5]. However, to our knowledge, the miR-21 “targetome” has not been identified in PDAC TAFs or TAFs of other solid cancers. As potential mechanisms of enhanced TC invasion, miR-21 has been previously shown to promote matrix remodeling and regulate TGF-β-induced epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition [41]. We tested several markers of EMT (SNAI1, ZEB1, S100A4, CDH1/2, VIM) in TCs and matrix remodeling (TIMP2, RECK, MMP2/9) in LFs with miR-21 overexpression and TAFs with miR-21 inhibition, and did not identify changes in transcript levels via qRT-PCR (data not shown). A potential explanation for these findings is that miRs inhibit translation of target genes by (i) transcript degradation if the binding affinity is high with near-perfect complementary base-pairing or (ii) cessation of translation through the RISC complex without degradation of mRNA for less specific binding [42]. Therefore, the lack of quantitative changes in these transcripts does not exclude them as miR-21 targets in PDAC TAFs. The next step is CLIP-sequencing, the most accurate method to identify miR “targetomes,” whereby mRNA and miR pairs bound to Argonaute protein are sequenced [43,44].

miRs have the potential to be effective therapeutic targets. Silencing of miRs using intravenously administered chemically engineered oligonucleotides (a.k.a. “antagomiRs”) has been successfully completed in many solid organs, in vivo models, and disease states [45,46]. For example, miR-122 expression in the liver is associated with cholesterol biosynthesis and hepatitis C virus (HCV) propagation. Systemically administered LNA antagomiRs to miR-122 in nonhuman primates successfully reduced plasma cholesterol [47], and HCV viremia and associated liver changes [48]. A phase I/II human clinical trial using anti-miR-122 for patients with hypercholesterolemia is now in progress (www.santaris.org). To our knowledge, effective distribution of LNA antagomiRs via systemic administration to the pancreas and hypovascular PDACs has not been demonstrated. This will be a challenge to accomplish before antagomiR therapy is possible for this disease.

Figure 4. microRNA-21 expression in fibroblasts enhances tumor cell invasion and can be inhibited with an anti-miR. (A) Transfection of miR-21-low normal primary lung fibroblasts (LF) with miR-21 mimic enhances invasion of GFP-labeled MiaPaCa tumor cells (TCs) when co-cultured in modified Boyden chambers. *P<0.05. There was no difference in invasion in co-culture of LFs transfected with negative control versus TCs alone. Error bars ± SD. (B) Co-culture of TAFs with MiaPaCa TCs enhances invasion when compared to TCs alone. Transfection of miR-21-high TAFs with a miR-21 inhibitor decreases invasion of TCs. *P<0.05. Dual miR-21 inhibitor treatment of TCs and TAFs is the most effective at inhibiting TC invasion. Error bars ± SD. Data are representative of three independent experiments. (C–D) Representative photomicrographs of GFP-labeled TCs that had invaded through the membrane for each treatment condition.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0071978.g004
Conclusions

Our results reveal that miR-21 expression in PDAC activated myofibroblasts is associated with poor prognosis, LN metastases, and TC invasion. miR-21 inhibition in TCs and TAFs significantly decreases TC invasion in cell culture. These results, taken in conjunction with previous miR-21 findings on PDAC TC chemoresistance, suggest that miR-21 is a promising dual TC and TAF target in PDAC.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 microRNA-21 staining in tumor cells was not correlated with survival. (A) High miR-21 staining in the tumor cells did not correlate with worse survival. (B–C) Distribution of histoscores for tumor-associated fibroblasts (TAF) and tumor cells reveals that ≈80% of early stage PDAC tumors express miR-21 in the stroma. (TIF)

Figure S2 Tumor-associated fibroblasts (TAFs) are KRAS wild type. Primary TAFs isolated via the outgrowth method were sequenced for KRAS mutation at codon 12 and 13. All primary TAFs were identified as KRAS wild type. Patient-matched FFPE tumor samples were also sequenced and all returned positive for KRAS mutation at codon 12 (data not shown). As a positive control, the pancreatic cancer cell line L3.6pl harbors the G12D mutation. This provides strong evidence that these primary TAFs are not tumor-cell derived. (TIF)

Figure S3 Primary human tumor-associated fibroblasts (TAFs) show an activated myofibroblast phenotype. In situ immunofluorescence staining of primary TAFs derived from PDAC human tumor samples and human pancreatic ductal epithelial (HPDE) cells as a control for α-smooth muscle actin (α-SMA), Vimentin, glial fibrillary acid protein (GFAP), and pan-cytokeratin (PanCK). These representative stains for TAF cell lines reveal them to be spindle-shaped, positive for vimentin and weakly positive for GFAP, consistent with a fibroblast phenotype that has become activated in culture (positive α-SMA). All are negative for the epithelial marker PanCK. (TIF)

Figure S4 Primary human tumor-associated fibroblasts (TAFs) enhance tumor growth but do not form de novo tumors. Primary pancreatic TAFs were orthotopically injected in NOD/SCID IL2Rγ null mice (3.5×10⁵ cells) with or without BxPC-3 tumor cells (1:1 ratio). Necropsy at 6 weeks revealed that coinjection of TAFs with tumor cells enhances tumor growth but does not produce a tumor when injected alone. Representative photographs of pancreas (white outline) and tumor (yellow dotted line). (TIF)

Figure S5 In vitro microRNA-21 overexpression/knockdown. Transfection with miR-21 mimic produces overexpression in normal lung fibroblasts (low baseline miR-21 expression) and anti-sense miR-21 leads to knockdown in primary tumor-associated fibroblast cell lines (TAF) as assessed by qRT-PCR. (TIF)

Table S1 TMA baseline patient characteristics. Abbreviations: TMA, tissue microarray; LVI, lymphovascular invasion. (DOCX)
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