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Plasmon-driven dehalogenation of brominated purines has been recently explored as a model system to understand fundamental aspects of plasmon-assisted chemical reactions. Here, it is shown that divalent Ca\(^{2+}\) ions strongly bridge the adsorption of bromoadenine (Br-Ade) to Ag surfaces. Such ion-mediated binding increases the molecule’s adsorption energy leading to an overlap of the metal energy states and the molecular states, enabling the chemical interface damping (CID) of the plasmon modes of the Ag nanostructures (i.e., direct electron transfer from the metal to Br-Ade). Consequently, the conversion of Br-Ade to adenine almost doubles following the addition of Ca\(^{2+}\). These experimental results, supported by theoretical calculations of the local density of states of the Ag/Br-Ade complex, indicate a change of the charge transfer pathway driving the dehalogenation reaction, from Landau damping (in the lack of Ca\(^{2+}\) ions) to CID (after the addition of Ca\(^{2+}\)). The results show that the surface dynamics of chemical species (including water molecules) play an essential role in charge transfer at plasmonic interfaces and cannot be ignored. It is envisioned that these results will help in designing more efficient nanoreactors, harnessing the full potential of plasmon-assisted chemistry.

1. Introduction

Until recently, the plasmonic chemistry community focused mainly on Landau damping as the primary electron/energy transfer from plasmonic nanostructures to adsorbed molecules.[5–4] In the context of surface plasmon resonance, Landau damping represents the scattering of hot electrons (electrons with energy greater than the thermal energy) at the surface of nanostructures[5] (thus, it scales with $1/R$ for spherical nanostructures, where $R$ is the radius[6–7]). Nonetheless, despite the enormous efforts and advances to increase the efficiency of chemical reactions through Landau damping, the efficiency of such reactions remains low. The low efficiency is mainly due to the unavoidable losses in the metals (provided by bulk scattering, electron-electron scattering, and thermalization of hot electrons), which often surpass the energy gain provided by the plasmon resonance modes.[8–10]

Therefore, the plasmonic community started slowly to shift focus from Landau damping to chemical interface damping (CID) as the primary pathway for electron/energy transfer from plasmonic nanostructures to adsorbates.[11–13] Contrary to Landau damping, which is a multistep effect (first, the electrons are excited above the Fermi level, then they are scattered at the surface of the nanostructures, where transition to lower energy levels occurs), CID is a one-step process (direct electron transfer from the metal directly to the adsorbed molecule).

Experimental results, supported by theoretical calculations of the local density of states of the Ag/Br-Ade complex, indicate a change of the charge transfer pathway driving the dehalogenation reaction, from Landau damping (in the absence of Ca\(^{2+}\) ions) to CID (after the addition of Ca\(^{2+}\)). The results show that the surface dynamics of chemical species (including water molecules) play an essential role in charge transfer at plasmonic interfaces and cannot be ignored. It is envisioned that these results will help in designing more efficient nanoreactors, harnessing the full potential of plasmon-assisted chemistry.
they can be transferred to adsorbed molecular orbitals), CID represents the direct electron transfer from the Fermi level to the adsorbate energy state, conditioned by an overlap between the metal states and the adsorbate states (i.e., hybridization).[14,15] It was shown recently that CID can lead at least to the same charge transfer efficiency as Landau damping and even become the dominant charge transfer mechanism in some systems.[14]

Nonetheless, a prerequisite for both Landau- and CID-assisted charge transfer to molecules is for the molecules to be adsorbed closely to the surface of the plasmonic nanostructures or adsorbed directly on it, in the case of CID. In the surface-enhanced Raman scattering (SERS) effect for example (which requires the same condition, since the electromagnetic fields decay with r−10 from the metal surface[16]), the low adsorption affinity of many target molecules is a well-known hurdle toward their sensitive detection. Examples of this include glucose (whose direct label-free SERS spectrum was not yet achieved),[17] water molecules,[18,19] (with very modest enhancement factors despite the fact that most SERS studies are done in aqueous solvents), or organic acids,[20] among other examples. The surface effects which deal with the dynamics of chemical species at the surface of nanostructures usually receive very little attention compared to Landau damping or CID. However, as in the above examples regarding SERS detection, such surface effects and surface dynamics often dictate the interaction between molecules and nanostructures and cannot simply be ignored or assumed negligible.

Our recent SERS studies[21–23] provide evidence that specific ion effects control the selective adsorption of molecules onto colloidal silver nanoparticles (AgNPs). As a rule of thumb, the addition of positively (Ca2+, Mg2+), or negatively (Cl−, Br−) charged ions[18,19,24,25] leads to the selective adsorption of negatively and positively charged molecules, respectively on the surface of AgNPs. Most often, the Derjaguin-Landau-Verwey-Overbeek (DVLO) theory is used to model the interactions of ions with colloidal nanoparticles (NPs) and surfaces in general. However, the predictions of the DVLO model are often refuted by experiments which show that certain ions interact specifically with colloidal nanoparticles and surfaces, leading thus to specific ion effects.[26–28]

In this study, we aim to explore such specific ion effects in the plasmon-assisted dehalogenation reaction of 8-bromoadenine (Br-Ade) to adenine (Ade) on Ag surfaces. As far as we know, the way in which specific ion effects impact plasmon-assisted reaction rates has never been studied before. The dehalogenation of Br-Ade to Ade has been studied extensively by the Bald group[29–34] and, importantly, a thermal-driven reaction has been excluded through power-dependent measurements as well as in studies using nanosecond laser pulses, suggesting that the main electron transfer pathway from AgNPs to Br-Ade is a plasmon-assisted one. This is also confirmed by a recent study using in situ electrochemical SERS.[35]

Here we show that divalent Ca2+ and Mg2+ ions increase the dehalogenation rate of Br-Ade by specifically bridging its adsorption on silver nanowires (AgNW) and thus increasing the adsorption affinity of Br-Ade to the Ag surface. Contrary, monovalent Na+ does not affect the reaction rate. These experimental results show conclusively how specific ion effects and the dynamics of chemical moieties at the NPs surface impact plasmon-assisted chemical reactions at the nanoscale. Moreover, based on these results, we can indirectly suggest which plasmon-assisted charge transfer mechanism dominates, since Landau damping and CID should be affected differently by the surface chemistry and surface effects.

Figure 1 shows schematically the synthesis process, together with a representative optical image of the obtained substrate. Figure S1 in the Supporting Information, shows that the SERS intensity of Ade adsorbed on the AgNW is uniform across the surface of the AgNW, thus offering a reproducible substrate for testing the Br-Ade dehalogenation.

Next, we monitored the SERS intensity of Br-Ade with and without Ca2+ (in the form of Ca(NO3)2 salt) by using the AgNW substrate. We show in Figure 2A,B that the adsorption affinity of Br-Ade for the Ag surface increases significantly in the presence of Ca2+, leading consequently to an increase of the

2. Results and Discussions

We start first by characterizing the nanosubstrate used throughout this study. Silver nanowires (AgNW) were synthesized from concentrated citrate capped AgNPs colloidal solution (obtained through the Lee-Meisel method[16]) through convective self-assembly[17] (CSA). To obtain the AgNWs separated by a well-defined distance, we used the stop-and-go method[38] (more details in the Experimental Section).

Figure 1 shows schematically the synthesis process, together with a representative optical image of the obtained substrate.

Figure 2A shows schematically the synthesis process, together with a representative optical image of the obtained substrate. Figure S1 in the Supporting Information, shows that the SERS intensity of Ade adsorbed on the AgNW is uniform across the surface of the AgNW, thus offering a reproducible substrate for testing the Br-Ade dehalogenation.

Next, we monitored the SERS intensity of Br-Ade with and without Ca2+ (in the form of Ca(NO3)2 salt) by using the AgNW substrate. We show in Figure 2A,B that the adsorption affinity of Br-Ade for the Ag surface increases significantly in the presence of Ca2+, leading consequently to an increase of the
SERS intensity of Br-Ade. For monitoring the time-dependent SERS intensity of Br-Ade, the AgNW substrate immersed in a Br-Ade solution with and without Ca\(^{2+}\), respectively, was placed under the Raman microscope and SERS spectra were acquired, periodically, from the same spot on the AgNW. Since the acquisition time of each SERS spectrum was 1 s (laser power 9 mW) and the time gap between consecutive acquisitions was on the order of minutes, no dehalogenation of Br-Ade occurred. The time-dependent SERS intensity was fitted with a Langmuir curve (the dotted lines), yielding the adsorption constants of Br-Ade with Ca\(^{2+}\) \((k = 0.2)\) and without Ca\(^{2+}\) \((k = 0.067)\). Figure S2 in the Supporting Information, shows the increase of the SERS intensity of Br-Ade after the addition of Ca\(^{2+}\) on two other substrates, confirming the increased adsorption affinity of Br-Ade after the addition of Ca\(^{2+}\). These results are in line with previous SERS studies on the selective adsorption of positively and negatively charged molecules on AgNPs, due to adsorbed ions. The plane defined by the specifically adsorbed ions defines the inner Helmholtz plane, while the hydrated ions adsorbed on the surface define the outer Helmholtz plane. Together they define the first layer of the electrical double layer. The second layer is called the diffuse layer and is located further away from the surface. The presence of cationic species, such as Ca\(^{2+}\), has been shown to decrease the magnitude of the negatively charged surface’s zeta potential (which quantifies the variations in the electric double layer’s charge) by increasing the positive charge density located in the first electrical double layer.

Let us consider now the adsorption of Br-Ade to the negatively charged Ag surface, shown schematically in Figure 2C,D. Due to the presence of the bromide atom, the charge distribution of Br-Ade changes compared to Ade (as shown by the molecular electrostatic potential maps in Figure S5, Supporting Information) leading to a change in the dipole moment of Br-Ade compared to Ade. For adsorption to occur, Br-Ade must overcome Coulombic repulsion via hydrophobic interactions or be facilitated by the screening of counterions. If the attraction of Br-Ade to the surface overcomes repulsive forces, then the adsorption proceeds in time. Thus, within this framework, we can interpret our SERS results (and dark field scattering (DFS) results shown in Figure 5) as follows. Ca\(^{2+}\) ions increase the positive charge density in the first electric double layer at the AgNW surface, and thus decrease the Coulombic repulsion between the negatively charged Ag surface and Br-Ade, bridging the specific adsorption of Br-Ade. In fact, divalent ions such as Ca\(^{2+}\) or Mg\(^{2+}\) have been shown to reverse the local surface's
charge (an effect called charge reversal), whereas monovalent ions such as Na\(^+\) or K\(^+\), cannot counteract the surface’s charge, although they increase the positive charge density in the first electrical double layer.\(^{[40]}\) In our case, the citrate capping layer of the AgNPs did not influence the adsorption of Br-Ade on AgNPs since there are no strong SERS bands of citrate in the spectra. This suggests that Br-Ade has a stronger affinity for the Ag surface than citrate.

At a more fundamental level, these surface ion specific effects are related to the Hofmeister series, and the local water structure. The Hofmeister series is an empirical sequence describing the efficiency of different salts in precipitating proteins and suspensions in general (such as colloids) and since its report it left physical-chemists eluded as to what dictates this series. The Hofmeister series was then extended to describe interactions of ions with metal and organic surfaces; however, the mechanism remains partially known, at best.\(^{[42–44]}\) Until now, the best guess is that ions leave the bulk structure of water intact, however, they modify the local water shell around surfaces or proteins.\(^{[42–44]}\) In our case, Collin’s law of matching water affinities applies.\(^{[45]}\) It states that the hydration shell of two cosmotropic ions may overlap, leading to ion pairing.\(^{[45]}\) However, a chaotropic ion may not penetrate the hydration shell of a cosmotropic ion. Consistent with this law, we can assume that strongly hydrated cosmotropic ions, such as Ca\(^{2+}\) or Mg\(^{2+}\), can penetrate the surface hydration layer of Ag, while weakly hydrated chaotropic ions, such as Na\(^+\) or K\(^+\), are excluded from the surface layer. Moreover, theoretical and experimental results have suggested that the cosmotropic ions are to some degree dehydrated (or even completely dehydrated), allowing close contact between the bare ion and the surface, analogous to close contact ion pairing between two cosmotropic ions in solution. Therefore, Ca\(^{2+}\) ions can bridge the adsorption of Br-Ade very close to the Ag surface.

Next, we bring experimental evidence that Ca\(^{2+}\) ions, besides leading to an increase of the SERS intensity of Br-Ade, also increase the conversion of Br-Ade to Ade by a factor of \(\approx 2\). We acquired time-series SERS measurements with the 785 and 633 nm lasers, for \(=30\) s (0.5 s integration time with 0.5 dwell time in-between acquisitions) and tracked the reaction dynamics of Br-Ade to Ade. The intensity of the SERS marker peaks at 760 cm\(^{-1}\) (for Br-Ade) and 730 cm\(^{-1}\) (for Ade) were used to quantify the conversion rate. The peak at 760 and 730 cm\(^{-1}\) were assigned by us to the ring breathing mode of Br-Ade and Ade, respectively, through density functional theory (DFT) calculations (see Tables S1–S3 and Figure S7, Supporting Information, for an in-depth analysis of the Raman modes of Ade and Br-Ade).

Figure 3 shows the dynamics of Br-Ade to Ade reaction during irradiation with 785 and 633 nm, through the difference
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Figure 3. The dehalogenation of Br-Ade to Ade monitored through the time evolution of the SERS intensity of 760 and 730 cm\(^{-1}\) peaks, respectively. The \(\Delta\) SERS (i.e., \(\text{Intensity}_{t>0} - \text{Intensity}_{t=0}\)) for the 730 and 760 cm\(^{-1}\) peaks without Ca\(^{2+}\) (gray and black lines, respectively) and with Ca\(^{2+}\) added (deep red and light red, respectively) for A) 785 nm and B) 633 nm irradiation. In both cases, the 760 cm\(^{-1}\) SERS peak intensity decreases while the 730 cm\(^{-1}\) SERS peak intensity increases, corresponding to the conversion of Br-Ade to Ade. Moreover, in both cases, the conversion is significantly greater (almost twice) following the addition of Ca\(^{2+}\). The bars represent the standard deviation from five measurements on different AgNW. C,D) Representative SERS spectra (785 nm laser) of the first and last spectrum in the time series measurements, showing a significantly higher conversion rate of Br-Ade to Ade following the addition of Ca\(^{2+}\) (D). Also, note the higher SERS intensity of the 760 cm\(^{-1}\) peak at \(t=0\) in (D) compared to (C).
in the SERS intensity of the 730 and 760 cm\(^{-1}\) peaks, with and without the addition of Ca\(^{2+}\). In addition, Figure S6 in the Supporting Information shows the time-series SERS measurements from two other substrates with 785 nm irradiation, all showing the same outcome: Ca\(^{2+}\) increases the conversion of Br-Ade to Ade. Note that, since our analysis was based on the difference in the SERS intensity relative to the starting point (noted \(\Delta\)SERS intensity in Figure 3), the results are independent of the initial SERS intensity of the 760 or 730 cm\(^{-1}\) peaks.

Moreover, we determined the conversion rate of Br-Ade to Ade in the presence of different Ca\(^{2+}\) concentrations (i.e., 0.5 \(\times\) 10\(^{-3}\) and 0.05 \(\times\) 10\(^{-3}\) m), and found a direct correlation between the Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration and the conversion rate (Figure S8, Supporting Information).

Similar results were obtained for bromoguanine, with 785 nm excitation laser (Figure S9, Supporting Information), which was expected given the similar chemical structure of Br-Ade and bromoguanine.

Mg\(^{2+}\) addition led to the same results as Ca\(^{2+}\), increasing the dehalogenation rate of Br-Ade to Ade (Figure S10, Supporting Information), whereas Na\(^{+}\) did not lead to any significant changes (Figure S11, Supporting Information). This further supports the mechanism of divalent cations bridging the adsorption of Br-Ade to the Ag surface.

In order to quantify the dehalogenation rate of Br-Ade, we plotted the 730/760 cm\(^{-1}\) SERS intensity, which corresponds to the rate of Br-Ade conversion to Ade for 785 and 633 nm irradiation, at two different laser powers (Figure 4). First, it is evident that at 633 nm excitation the conversion rate is higher than at 785 nm, even though the power density is lower. This is due to the higher absorption of the AgNW at 633 nm, compared to 785 nm. Second, the addition of Ca\(^{2+}\) increases the Br-Ade to Ade conversion in all cases.

By fitting the experimental curves in Figure 4 with a fractal reaction kinetic model,\(^{[46]}\) we determined the reaction rate, \(k\), for 633 and 785 nm. For 633 nm (0.17 \(\times\) 10\(^5\) W cm\(^{-2}\)) we obtained a reaction rate of 0.023, which increased to 0.07 after the addition of Ca\(^{2+}\). Likewise, for 785 nm (3.18 \(\times\) 10\(^5\) W cm\(^{-2}\)) we obtained a reaction rate of 0.082, which increased to 0.11 after the addition of Ca\(^{2+}\).

Figure 4. The conversion rate of Br-Ade to Ade at A) 633 nm and B) 785 nm excitation, for two laser power densities, quantified through the 730/760 cm\(^{-1}\) SERS intensity.

However, one must be careful in quantifying the dehalogenation rate through the ratio 730/760 cm\(^{-1}\) SERS intensity, since multiple effects can lead to a change in the SERS intensity. Particularly, in our case, for the 785 nm excitation, the decrease of 760 cm\(^{-1}\) peak intensity (i.e., Br-Ade dehalogenation) is symmetric with the increase of the 730 cm\(^{-1}\) peak intensity (Figure 3A). This suggests that indeed Br-Ade molecules were converted to Ade with a one-to-one ratio. However, for 633 nm excitation (Figure 3B, without Ca\(^{2+}\)), the decrease of the 760 cm\(^{-1}\) peak intensity was always greater than the increase in the 730 cm\(^{-1}\) peak intensity. This result hints at a desorption induced by electronic transitions mechanism in addition to the Br-Ade conversion to Ade. Thus, at 633 nm, charge transfer from the Ag surface to Br-Ade will take place, and the kinetic energy of the electrons imparted to Br-Ade molecules is high enough to cause desorption of Br-Ade molecules instead of conversion to Ade, resulting in a skewed time-evolution of the 760 and 730 cm\(^{-1}\) SERS intensity. We also note here that by irradiating the AgNW with 633 nm and higher power density (0.88 \(\times\) 10\(^5\) W cm\(^{-2}\)), the effects of Ca\(^{2+}\) on the dehalogenation rate of Br-Ade are smaller compared to those when using 785 nm excitation (Figure 4A). We suspect that this is a result of two effects: i) thermal effects (which are not affected by surface dynamics are Ca\(^{2+}\) ions) could contribute to the dehalogenation of Br-Ade to Ade and ii) Landau damping could become the dominant charge transfer pathway at 633 nm wavelength, whereas at 785 nm in the presence of Ca\(^{2+}\) ions CID drives the reaction (see below).

The increased adsorption affinity of Br-Ade to Ag surface following the addition of Ca\(^{2+}\) is also observed in the DFS measurements, shown in Figure 5. As mentioned in the Introduction, CID represents the direct electron transfer from the surface plasmon resonance to overlapping molecular orbitals. As a result of this new dephasing pathway, the plasmon resonance will decay faster, broadening the plasmon resonance lineshape in the frequency space. Additionally, a redshift and decrease in intensity of the plasmon resonance can be observed however, this depends also on the local refractive index. Therefore, the broadening of the plasmon resonance is usually considered a more reliable parameter for quantifying the CID.\(^{[44]}\)
In Figure 5, we probed the plasmon resonance of ten single AgNPs (~100 nm in diameter) in water and in a solution of Br-Ade and Br-Ade with Ca\(^{2+}\), respectively. For the sample containing only Br-Ade, no significant differences were observed in the resonant wavelength or full-width-at-half-maximum (FWHM) of the surface plasmon resonance of AgNPs (Figure 5B). For the sample containing both Br-Ade and Ca\(^{2+}\) ions, a significant damping (broadening and redshift) of the surface plasmon resonance was observed (Figure 5C) compared to the single AgNPs in water (see also Figure S12, Supporting Information). This suggests, as in the case of the SERS results presented in Figures 2 and 3, that Br-Ade alone is nonspecifically adsorbed at the surface of the AgNPs and, after the addition of Ca\(^{2+}\) ions, becomes specifically adsorbed, the adsorption being bridged by the Ca\(^{2+}\) ions.

Although the geometry of the AgNW substrates used for the SERS measurements is different from that of the single AgNP used in the DFS experiments, the surface chemistry is the same in both cases. The AgNW were synthesized from citrate capped AgNPs, the same as the AgNPs used in the DFS measurements (additional details in the Experimental Section). Thus, even though the surface plasmon resonance is obviously different on the two nanostructures, the surface dynamics should be very similar.

CID is associated with the direct electron transfer from the Fermi level of AgNPs to acceptor molecular orbitals, when there is an overlap between the metal and molecular energy states (i.e., chemisorption). An increased damping of the surface plasmon resonance, observed in the case of Br-Ade with Ca\(^{2+}\), suggests a significant CID caused by Br-Ade, due to the increased adsorption affinity provided by Ca\(^{2+}\). Thus, we believe that through Ca\(^{2+}\) bridging the adsorption of Br-Ade on Ag surface, Br-Ade shifts from physisorption to chemisorption, facilitating the direct electron transfer from the surface plasmon resonance modes of Ag nanostructures to Br-Ade acceptor orbitals, characteristic of CID. Therefore, the increase in the dehalogenation rate of Br-Ade following the addition of Ca\(^{2+}\) is assigned by us to CID (i.e., direct electron transfer transitions), whereas in the lack of Ca\(^{2+}\) ions, the dehalogenation reaction is likely driven by Landau damping, as indicated in previous studies\(^{[29]}\) (i.e., hot electrons). By the same token, thermal effects can be disregarded since the addition of Ca\(^{2+}\) ions would not influence in any way the temperature of the AgNW upon laser irradiation.

This interpretation is also supported by the shift of the local electronic density of states (LDOS) of Ag with Br-Ade and Ca\(^{2+}\) toward the Fermi level energy compared to only Ag with Br-Ade calculated theoretically (Figure 5D). Figure 5D shows the LDOS for Ag (111) with adsorbed Br-Ade and Br-Ade-Ca\(^{2+}\), respectively.
with respect to the Fermi level energy of Ag. We note first the strong contributions from the electronic d-states of Ag at energies below $≈−0.11$ Ha (corresponding to $≈−3$ eV).

Most importantly, above the Fermi level energy the acceptor electronic states of the Ag/Br-Ade complex are observed at $≈0.09$ Ha ($≈2.5$ eV) which are shifted to $≈0.05$ Ha ($≈1.36$ eV) above the Fermi level energy (as marked by the blue arrow in Figure 5D). Interestingly, this is very close to the laser energy of the 785 nm laser ($≈1.5$ eV). Therefore, these results could also explain why, at 633 nm excitation, Ca$^{2+}$ ions do not have such a great influence as for 785 nm (see Figure 3).

To further highlight that the Br-Ade dehalogenation to Ade is driven by a metal-molecule electron transfer process, we tracked the conversion rate of Br-Ade to Ade in the presence of a hole scavenger, methanol (Figure S13, Supporting Information). The increase in the conversion rate in the presence of methanol supports our hypothesis that the Br-Ade conversion to Ade is driven by an electron transfer process.

For the dehalogenation of Br-Ade to Ade by metal-molecule charge transfer to take place, a counter reaction is needed to scavenge the holes left behind in the metal. It was previously proposed that the Br$^{-}$ ions that are cleaved off Br-Ade molecules adsorb to the Ag surface, forming AgBr complexes,[48,49] and act as hole scavengers, facilitating the electron transfer rather than the recombination of the hot electrons with the corresponding holes in the metal states.[50] To validate this counter reaction, and explore the role of Ca$^{2+}$ ions, we tracked the time-dependent intensity of the Ag-Br SERS band at $≈150$ cm$^{-1}$.[49] If, after cleavage, the Br$^{-}$ ions are adsorbed on the Ag surface, then we should see an increase in the intensity of the Ag-Br SERS band, correlated with the Br-Ade dehalogenation. Therefore, we acquired time-series SERS measurement of Br-Ade on AgNW at 785 nm, this time in the 50–1200 cm$^{-1}$ wavenumber range.

Figure 6 shows that on the AgNW with Br-Ade, the Ag-Br SERS band does not change much during the time-series measurement (Figure 6A,C), only decreasing slightly. On the other hand, following the addition of Ca$^{2+}$ ions, the Ag-Br SERS band increases in intensity significantly (Figure 6B,D).

In Figure S14 in the Supporting Information, we show that the Ag-Br SERS peak on AgNW increases after the addition of Ca$^{2+}$ ions, which is consistent with our earlier SERS studies regarding the adsorption of negatively charged chemical moieties on AgNPs.[20–22] This is attributed to the same cation bridging effect discussed above, by which the repulsive Coulombic forces between the Br$^{-}$ ions and the negatively charged Ag surface are screened by Ca$^{2+}$.

A hurdle for testing this mechanism more conclusively is that in the same wavenumber region, there is another peak, which could possibly stem from plasmonic electronic Raman scattering in the Ag metal.[51] Thus, the peak at low wavenumbers in Figure 6 is probably a convolution of the Ag-Br SERS signal and the electronic Raman scattering from the metal substrate itself. This also explains why the peak at 150 cm$^{-1}$ has such a high intensity. Nonetheless, the plasmonic electronic...
scattering peak should be constant in time,\textsuperscript{[51]} thus its observed variation in the presence of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} is most likely due to the increase of the Ag-Br SERS peak.

Interestingly, the variations in the Ag-Br SERS intensity (Figure 6 C,D) could stem from the decomposition of the Ag-Br surface complexes under laser irradiation and the formation of Br\textsubscript{2} within a few seconds.

The results of Figures 5 and 6 show that both the stronger adsorption of Br-Ade to the Ag surface and the adsorption of Br\textsuperscript{-} ions to the Ag surface cooperate to increase the plasmon-assisted dehalogenation rate of Br-Ade to Ade under light irradiation.

Concluding, we show for the first time the impact of specific ion effects, particularly Ca\textsuperscript{2+} and Mg\textsuperscript{2+}, in the plasmon-assisted dehalogenation of Br-Ade to Ade. More specifically, we show that divalent Ca\textsuperscript{2+} and Mg\textsuperscript{2+} ions can bridge the adsorption of negatively charged Br-Ade to the negatively charged AgNW surface, and thus facilitate the direct charge transfer from the plasmon resonance modes of Ag nanostructure to the acceptor orbitals of Br-Ade, resulting in the cleavage of the Adenine-Br bond. Based on the DFS measurements, we suggest that CID is the primary electron transfer mechanism driving the dehalogenation of Br-Ade, in the presence of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} ions, due to the stronger adsorption of Br-Ade to the Ag surface, which leads to an overlap of the metal states and molecular energy orbitals, as shown by the calculated density of states (DOS). Contrarily, in the lack of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} ions, Landau damping is probably the main electron transfer mechanism. These results show how complex plasmonic interfaces can be, and that the surface dynamics of molecules and even of water molecules cannot be ignored. By considering connected physical-chemistry aspects of the surface-molecule interaction, such as Hofmeister effects, a more comprehensive understanding of plasmonic chemistry can be achieved, and more efficient strategies can be designed for harnessing the full potential of plasmon-assisted chemical reactions at the nanoscale.

3. Experimental Section

Synthesis of AgNPs and AgNW: AgNPs capped with citrate anions (cit-AgNPs), synthesized by Lee and Meisel method\textsuperscript{[46]} were used throughout this study. Briefly, the AgNPs were synthesized as follows: in 98 mL ultrapure water, 17 mg AgNO\textsubscript{3} were dissolved. The solution was boiled under constant magnetic stirring. At boiling, 2 mL of a trisodium citrate solution (1%) were added dropwise and the solution was left to boil for another hour.

First, 2 mL of the AgNPs colloidal solution was concentrated by centrifugation at 7300 × g, for 15 min. The supernatant was discarded by pipetting, and the AgNPs were resuspended in 20 µL ultrapure water. For all films, regular microscopy coverglasses were used as substrate, which was placed in a UV-Ozone cleaner for 15 min prior to the deposition of the AgNW. The UV-Ozone irradiation has a dual role: first, it cleans the coverglass from all organic impurities, and second, it turns the glass surface hydrophilic and decreases the surface tension of the colloidal AgNPs drop, so that it spreads more easily in between the two glass edges. The CSA coater comprised of a motorized translational stage (Thorlabs Inc.) that uses a linear actuator from Thorlabs. A cover glass that acted as a blade was fixed in the near vicinity of the substrate at the desired angle, while the concentrated AgNPs solution was placed on the substrate, underneath, and nearly the edge of the blade. More details about this technique can also be found in ref. [37]. For the deposition of the AgNW, the motorized table was programmed to move in specific sequences. First, the motorized table moves for 250 µm, at a speed of 0.05 mm s\textsuperscript{-1}; then, it stops for 5 s, during which the colloidal AgNPs deposit on the coverglass, forming the nanowire. This sequence was then repeated multiple times, to create an optimal number of AgNW. In our case, a separation between AgNW of 250 µm was chosen; however, this can be lowered or increased, depending on the application. The resulting AgNW usually had a width of ≈ 10 µm (Figure S15, Supporting Information).

DFS Measurements: Since our DFS setup works in the 400–1000 nm range, larger and more multidisperse spherical AgNPs were synthesized with a diameter of around 100 nm, for which their surface plasmon resonance (SPR) can be measured at around 470 nm. The AgNPs were synthesized accordingly to a previously reported method.\textsuperscript{[52]} Briefly, 30 nm AgNPs were synthesized by mixing 50 mL of water–glycerol mixture (40 vol% glycerol), to which 9 mg of AgNO\textsubscript{3} were added and the solution was heated to 95 °C, under vigorous stirring (1000 rpm). When the 95 °C was reached, 1 mL sodium citrate solution (3%) was added, and the solution was heated at 95 °C for 1 h. The obtained 30 nm AgNPs were used as seeds for growing 100 nm AgNPs. In 138 mL H\textsubscript{2}O, 23 mL of glycerol (0.58 g polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) were added. The 2.6 mL of the AgNPs seeds (30 nm) were added, followed shortly (20–30 s) by the addition of 1.15 mL of dimeine silver complex (20 mg AgNO\textsubscript{3} in 1 mL H\textsubscript{2}O and 220 µL ammonium hydroxide 30%) and 92 mL ascorbic acid (36.8 mg). The mixture was mixed at room temperature for 1 h.

The AgNPs were centrifuged at 7300 × g, for 15 min, resuspended in ultrapure water and diluted (15 µL of colloidal solution in 1 mL ultrapure water). Then, ~300 µL of the diluted colloidal solution was pipetted into a microfluidic cell (μ-Slide I Lauer, uncoated microfluidic cell with a channel height of 0.8 mm, purchased from Ibidi, Germany). The microfluidic cell was heated at 50–60 °C until the colloidal solution dried inside the microfluidic channel (~4–5 h), and the AgNPs were deposited on the bottom of the channel. For the DFS measurements, a home-built microfluidic pump was used to add, sequentially, ultrapure water and Br-Ade or Br-Ade with Ca(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}, respectively, through the microfluidic cell.\textsuperscript{[48]} After each solution was pumped through the microfluidic cell, the dark field spectra of the same AgNPs were measured. The DFS measurements were performed on a Cytoviva hyperspectral imaging system, using a 40X Olympus microscope objective. After the measurements, the spectra were normalized to the lamp spectrum. The resulting DFS spectra were then fitted in Python, using the curve_fit function (Scipy package) with a Lorentzian curve, and the position of the maximum and FWHM were extracted for each spectrum.

SERS Measurements: All SERS measurements were performed on an InVia Raman spectrometer (Renishaw), coupled to a Leica upright microscope. For the SERS measurements on the AgNW, the solid substrate was immersed in 2 mL Br-Ade solution (1 mL of Br-Ade solution of concentration 1 × 10\textsuperscript{-3} M and 1 mL ultrapure water), or Br-Ade + Ca(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2} (1 mL of Br-Ade solution of concentration 1 × 10\textsuperscript{-3} M, 900 µL ultrapure water and 100 µL Ca(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2} of 10 × 10\textsuperscript{-3} M concentration) and left for 2 h at room temperature so the Br-Ade can adsorb to the Ag surface. Then, the substrate was removed from the Br-Ade solution, rinsed with ultrapure water, left to dry at room temperature, and placed under the microscope. An exception was the experiments shown in Figure 2, in which the SERS measurements were performed with the substrate still immersed in the Br-Ade solution. The time-series SERS measurements were acquired using a 100x long working distance microscope objective (Olympus, N.A. 0.8). The time-series measurements consisted of 30 acquisitions, with an integration time of 0.5 and 0.5 s between the acquisitions. The 785 nm laser line was provided by a solid-state diode laser, while the 633 nm laser wavelength by a He-Ne laser. The laser power was measured after the microscope objective. The resulting spectra were then automatically processed in Matlab with a home-built script. First, the spectra were smoothed and the background was subtracted. Afterward, the intensity at 760 and 730 cm\textsuperscript{-1} was extracted from each spectrum.

DOS Calculation: To explore the specific effect of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} on the dehalogenation of Br-Ade to Ade on Ag(111) surface, a 8 × 4 Ag(111)
periodic vacuum slab including four atomic layers was built and a 20 Å vacuum slab was added to avoid the influence of the periodic boundary conditions. Each model contains 128 atoms. The DFT calculations were performed by Vienna Ab Initio Simulation Package (VASP) with the projector augment wave method. The exchange and correlation potentials are present in the generalized gradient approximation with the Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof. A 1 × 2 × 1 gamma grid of K-points was used for the Brillouin zone integration. The cutoff energy, the convergence criteria for energy and force were set as 450 eV, 10−4 eV per atom and 0.02 eV Å−1, respectively.
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