Efficient and reproducible generation of tumour-infiltrating lymphocytes for renal cell carcinoma

V Baldan¹, R Griffiths¹,², R E Hawkins¹ and D E Gilham*,¹

¹Clinical and Experimental Immunotherapy Group, Institute of Cancer Sciences, University of Manchester, Manchester Academic Healthcare Science Centre, Paterson Building, Withington, Manchester M20 4BX, UK and ²The Clatterbridge Cancer Centre, Clatterbridge Road, Wirral CH63 4JY, UK

Background: Tumour-infiltrating lymphocyte (TIL) therapy is showing great promise in the treatment of patients with advanced malignant melanoma. However, the translation of TIL therapy to non-melanoma tumours such as renal cell carcinoma has been less successful with a major constraint being the inability to reproducibly generate TILs from primary and metastatic tumour tissue.

Methods: Primary and metastatic renal cell carcinoma biopsies were subjected to differential tumour disaggregation methods and procedures that stimulate the specific expansion of TILs tested to determine which reliably generated TIL maintained antitumour specificity.

Results: Enzymatic or combined enzymatic/mechanical disaggregation resulted in equivalent numbers of TILs being liberated from renal cell carcinoma biopsies. Following mitogenic activation of the isolated TILs with anti-CD3/anti-CD28-coated paramagnetic beads, successful TIL expansion was achieved in 90% of initiated cultures. The frequency of T-cell recognition of autologous tumours was enhanced when tumours were disaggregated using the GentleMACS enzymatic/mechanical system.

Conclusion: TILs can be consistently produced from renal cell carcinoma biopsies maintaining autologous tumour recognition after expansion in vitro. While the method of disaggregation has little impact on the success of TIL growth, methods that preserve the cell surface architecture facilitate TIL recognition of an autologous tumour, which is important in terms of characterising the functionality of the expanded TIL population.

Reports of durable and complete tumour regressions in malignant melanoma patients treated with tumour-infiltrating lymphocyte (TIL) therapy (Dudley et al, 2008; Besser et al, 2010; Rosenberg et al, 2011; Besser et al, 2013) have stimulated a strong interest in the development of this approach for other cancer indications. Both malignant melanoma and renal cell carcinoma (RCC) are sensitive to immunotherapies including cytokines such as interferon-α and interleukin-2, implying that RCC may also be suitable for cellular immune therapies. However, while tumour-specific melanoma TIL can be readily expanded from source tumour tissue by culture in IL-2 alone (Dudley et al, 2003), a consistently similar level of successful in vitro tumour-specific TIL expansion in RCC has not been observed (Yannelli et al, 1996; Bouet-Toussaint et al, 2000; Malone et al, 2001; Markel et al, 2009).

Clinical trials involving the adoptive transfer of RCC TILs have shown limited clinical efficacy. Most encouraging was a single-centre study involving 36 patients who received TIL and low-dose IL-2 who achieved an overall response rate (complete + partial response) of 34.6% (Figlin et al, 1997). Even more encouragingly, a further 23 patients who received CD8⁺-selected TIL with low-dose IL-2 achieved a 43.5% overall response rate (Figlin et al, 1997). However, a phase-III multi-centre study based upon these data investigating CD8⁺ TIL + low-dose IL-2 was terminated early after 80 patients were recruited due to a lack of efficacy as
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compared with placebo + low-dose IL-2 (Figlin et al, 1999). The key issues arising from this trial were the high TIL production failure rate (41%), which adversely impacted on the intention-to-treat response rate, and the excessive variability in TIL phenotype, which together highlight the need to develop robust cellular bioprocesses that ensure consistent cell production between multiple sites. Further small-scale clinical trials testing RCC TILs given with IL-2 support have also shown limited clinical responses (Goedegebuure et al, 1995; Thompson et al, 2003; Dillman et al, 2004), which together dampened enthusiasm for TIL therapy in RCC.

Technological advances that have supported the worldwide development of melanoma TIL therapy based upon the initial work reported at the Surgery Branch, NIH (Dudley et al, 2008; Tran et al, 2008; Dudley et al, 2010; Rosenberg et al, 2011) suggest it is timely to revisit the generation of RCC TIL for therapy. Indeed, the successful generation of TIL from 60% of metastatic RCC tumours using current melanoma-based culture technology has been recently reported (Markel et al, 2009). In this study, variable tumour-specific activity was reported questioning whether tumour-specific TIL can be generated from RCC tumour biopsy, or whether other tumour-based factors inhibit RCC TIL effector function, which will be likely to impact on the potential efficacy of the therapy. In this report, we have investigated the impact of tissue disaggregation and mitogenic activation on TIL expansion and maintenance of antitumour activity to develop a protocol that reproducibly generates large numbers of tumour-specific TILs from primary RCC biopsies suitable for clinical application.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Patients and samples. All tissue and blood samples were collected with informed patient consent from three hospitals in the South Manchester region (Christie Hospital, University Hospital of South Manchester and Salford Royal Hospital) under local Ethics committee approval (LREC 09/H1003/75) or through the Manchester Cancer Research Centre Biobank. Blood was collected directly into a 50-ml polypropylene tube containing 100 IU of heparin or into vacutainers (BD Biosciences, Oxford, UK). Tumour samples were collected into serum-free RPMI-1640 media and all samples transported directly to the laboratory for processing.

Cell lines. The renal cell line 2220R was kindly donated by Dr J Yang (Surgery Branch NIH, Bethesda, MD, USA) as previously described (Griffiths et al, 2005); the gastric carcinoma cell line MKN45K was previously described (Gilham et al, 2002); the mel624 melanoma cell line was a kind gift from Professor Alan Melcher (The University of Leeds, Leeds, UK); neuroblastoma cell line LA1SS was a kind gift from Dr Guy Makin (University of Manchester, Manchester, UK) and SK-N-SH (ATCC HTB11) was purchased from ATCC (Manassas, VA, USA).

Isolation and expansion of RCC TILs. Tumour biopsies were subjected to either an overnight digest or to a commercial mechanical/ enzymatic dissociation system (GentleMACS, Miltenyi Biotec, Bergish Gladbach, Germany). The overnight digest was based upon methodology previously established for the generation of melanoma TILs (Dudley et al, 2003, 2008). In brief, tumour biopsies were cut into small fragments ~2–3 mm in length and put in an enzyme digest mix consisting of 3000 U ml\(^{-1}\) DNase, 10 mg ml\(^{-1}\) collagenase and 10 mg ml\(^{-1}\) hyaluronidase (all from Sigma-Aldrich, Gillingham, UK) and incubated overnight at room temperature under continuous rotation. GentleMACS dissociation was performed according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Briefly, the tumour was cut into small fragments about 2–3 mm in length and put in a C-tube (Miltenyi Biotech) with RPMI 1640 (Lonza, Slough, UK) and solutions 1, 2 and 3 (all from Miltenyi Biotec) according to the manufacturer’s recommendation; the digest mix containing the tumour was then subjected to three 36-second mechanical disaggregation steps (programs h_tumor_01.01, 02.01 and 03.01) in the GentleMACS dissociator interspersed by two 30-min incubations at 37 °C performed after the first and the second disaggregation steps, respectively.

After digestion, TILs from the overnight digest and the GentleMACS dissociation were passed through 100-μm strainers and then cultured in complete media (RPMI 1640 (Lonza) supplemented with 10% foetal calf serum (FCS), 1% glutamine, 100 IU ml\(^{-1}\) penicillin, 100 μg ml\(^{-1}\) streptomycin (all from Life Technologies, Paisley, UK), 25 mM HEPEs and 50 μM β-mercaptoethanol (both from Sigma-Aldrich) in 24-well plates at a concentration of 0.5 × 10\(^6\) ml\(^{-1}\) with 6000 or 3000 IU ml\(^{-1}\) recombinant IL-2 (Novartis, Camberley, UK). Every second day, half of the media was removed and replaced with fresh media supplemented with fresh IL-2. After day 7, cultures were expanded by the addition of media supplemented with IL-2 to maintain a cell density of 10\(^6\) cells ml\(^{-1}\).

Mitogenic stimulation of TIL post disaggregation. Isolated RCC TILs were mitogenically stimulated in wells of a 24-well plate previously coated with 30 ng ml\(^{-1}\) anti-hCD3ε (Orthoclone OKT3, Janssen-Cilag, High Wycombe, UK) and 30 ng ml\(^{-1}\) anti-hCD28 (R&D systems, Abingdon, UK) at a cell concentration of 10\(^6\) cells ml\(^{-1}\). Alternately, RCC TILs were mitogenically activated using two different paramagnetic bead systems. MACSi bead particles (Miltenyi Biotec) consisting of anti-human CD3, anti-human CD2, anti-human CD3 and anti-human CD28 mabs bound to paramagnetic beads were used at a bead-T-cell ratio of 1:1. Dynabeads Human T Activator CD3/CD28 (Life Technologies) were also used at a bead:T-cell ratio of 1:1. Dynabeads were removed 7 days after culture initiation.

IFN\(\gamma\) secretion assay. The co-culture was established with a 1:1 ratio of expanded TILs and autologous tumour cells (10\(^5\) TILs and 10\(^5\) autologous tumour cells per well) derived from the initial tumour digest that was cryopreserved in 10% DMSO (Sigma-Aldrich) and 90% FCS (Life Technologies). Where class I or class II blocking experiments were performed, anti-HLA-ABC (W6/32, Biolegend, London, UK) or the anti-HLA DR DP DQ (Tu39, BD Biosciences) were added to the tumour cells at 50 μg ml\(^{-1}\), incubated for 45 min at 4 °C and the excess washed off before setting up the co-culture. The limited quantities of tumour tissue prevented the use of suitable isotype controls. To control for this, antibody clones W6/32 and Tu39 were sourced from companies for which extensive testing had been previously performed, showing that the isotype control for these antibodies had no significant effect upon the T-cell cultures (McLoughlin et al, 2008; Takahara et al, 2006; Takeuchi et al, 2010; Daniálova et al, 2015). Where the tumour cell lines 2220R, MKN45K, Mel624, LA1SS and SK-N-SH were utilised as targets, they were trypsined, washed and resuspended in T-cell media before being added to 10\(^6\) expanded TILs at a 1:1 ratio. The co-culture was incubated in a humidified incubator for 24 h at 37 °C before the supernatant was harvested and analysed for interferon gamma (IFN\(\gamma\)) production in an ELISA assay. The ELISA assays were carried out using the ELI-pair kit (GenProbe, San Diego, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Flow cytometry. Analyses of TILs from RCC samples were performed on cells either directly following isolation or expanded for 15 days in high-dose IL-2 and complete media. The following anti-human antibodies were used: PE anti-CD4, clone RPA-T4, FITC anti-CD8, clone HIT8a, PE anti-CD27, clone M-T271, PE anti-CD28, clone CD28.2, PE anti-CD45RA, clone H1100 (all...
from BD Biosciences), PE-Cy7 anti-CD62L, clone DREG-56 (eBioscience, Hatfield, UK) and APC anti-CCR7, clone REA108 (Miltenyi Biotec) for the phenotype panel; PE anti-CD107a, clone H4A3 (BD Biosciences) was used in the CD107a assay; PerCP Cy5.5 anti-CD4, clone SK3 and V500 anti-CD8, clone RPA-T8 (both from BD Biosciences), PE-Cy7 anti-HLA A,B,C, clone W6/32 and PE anti-EP-CAM, clone 9C4 (both from Biolegend), FITC anti-HLA DR DP DQ, clone CR3/43 (Dako, Ely, UK), PE-AF647 anti-CD3, clone MEM-57 and APC anti-CD45, clone MEM-28 (both from Immunotools, Friesoythe, Germany), LIVE/DEAD fixable near-IR dead cell stain, 633 nm (Life Technologies), FcR block (Miltenyi Biotec) for the staining directly after isolation. Cells were stained in PBS containing 1% FCS for 30 min at 4 °C in the dark. Acquisition was performed on a FACSCalibur flow cytometer (BD Biosciences) for the phenotype panel and the CD107a assay; LSR II flow cytometer (BD Biosciences) was used to acquire cells stained directly after TIL isolation. FlowJo v. 7.6.2 software (Tree Star Inc., Ashland, OR, USA) was used to analyse the data.

Rapid expansion protocol (REP). TIL cultures were incubated with feeder cells consisting of irradiated (50 Gy) allogeneic PBMCs from three different healthy donors at a 200:1 feeder cell:TILs ratio. Anti-CD3 antibody (OKT3, OrthoBiotech, High Wycombe, UK) was added at a concentration of 30 ng ml\(^{-1}\) for further 14 days with IL-2 (6000 IU ml\(^{-1}\)) as employed to routinely expand TILs from melanoma (Yannelli et al, 1996; Dudley et al, 2003), TIL were successfully cultured and expanded in only 2 out of 17 samples (11.7%; Table 1). The majority of the patient biopsies (15 out of 17) were from primary tumour sites and these results reflected the experiences reported by others when attempting to expand TIL from primary RCC tumour biopsies (Yannelli et al, 1996). In order to assess whether mitogenic activation of T cells within the tumour digest could expand TIL, parallel cultures were initiated where a disaggregated tumour was cultured on plates pre-coated with anti-CD3e and CD-28 monoclonal antibodies or cultured with paramagnetic beads loaded with anti-CD3e/CD28 antibodies (Miltenyi MACSi bead particles). Both culture conditions resulted in an increased frequency of TIL expansion; although the paramagnetic bead approach was superior in terms of frequency (5 out of 13 (38.4%) vs 5 out of 9 (55.6%)), the variation in final fold expansion was much greater (25.6 ± 9.1-fold expansion for antibody vs 101 ± 141 for MACSi beads; Table 1).

TIL isolation from RCC biopsies: overnight enzymatic disaggregation compared with short-term mechanical/enzymatic disaggregation. On the basis of these initial observations, a

### Table 1. Patients characteristics and preliminary TIL expansion data obtained from 17 renal cell carcinoma patients between January 2006 and September 2007

| Patient | Sex | Age at the time of surgery (years) | Tumour | IL-2 alone | Immobilised sCD3/sCD28 | Paramagnetic beads |
|---------|-----|----------------------------------|--------|------------|------------------------|-------------------|
| R1      | M   | 70                               | Primary| No growth  | ND                     | ND                |
| R2      | F   | 53                               | Primary| 2          | ND                     | ND                |
| R3      | F   | 67                               | Primary| No growth  | 30                     | ND                |
| R4      | F   | 73                               | Primary| No growth  | 10                     | ND                |
| R5      | M   | 47                               | Primary| No growth  | 32                     | ND                |
| R6      | M   | 74                               | Primary| No growth  | 25                     | ND                |
| R7      | M   | 50                               | Primary| No growth  | No growth              | ND                |
| R8      | M   | 49                               | Primary| No growth  | No growth              | 40                |
| R9      | M   | 75                               | Primary| No growth  | No growth              | 3                 |
| R10     | M   | 64                               | Primary| 32         | Infected               | 106               |
| R11     | F   | 46                               | Primary| No growth  | ND                     | 344               |
| R12     | F   | 67                               | Primary| No growth  | No growth              | No growth         |
| R13     | M   | 64                               | Primary| No growth  | No growth              | No growth         |
| R14     | M   | 47                               | Renal bed| No growth| No growth              | ND                |
| R15     | F   | 54                               | Primary| No growth  | 31                     | 12                |
| R16     | M   | 48                               | Lung Met| No growth  | No growth              | No growth         |
| R17     | M   | 61                               | Primary| No growth  | No growth              | No growth         |
|         |     |                                  |        | 2/17        | 5/13                   | 5/9               |

Abbreviations: F = female, M = male; ND = normal donor. ‘Renal bed’ indicates that the samples analysed were recurrences at the site of the previous radical nephrectomies.
further study was performed involving 19 patient samples collected between January 2012 and July 2013 (V1–V9 and V11–V20; patient characteristics detailed in Table 2). Eleven patients were male aged between 46 and 79 years (median age 63.5 years) and eight patients were female aged between 50 and 73 years (median age 68.5 years). The majority of the samples consisted of conventional clear cell RCC (15 out of 19); the remaining four were reported as being papillary RCC (two samples) and chromophobe RCC (two samples). Among the 19 samples, 17 consisted of primary RCC with no previous treatment before the surgery. Sample V8 was a metastatic peritoneal nodule that developed after nephrectomy for primary RCC and enrolment on the phase-III SORCE trial (NCT00492258) where sorafenib or placebo was given after surgery. Sample V20 was from a metastatic site on the adrenal gland that developed after previous radical nephrectomy and was treated with sunitinib 2 months before surgery.

In order to determine whether the disaggregation method impacted on TIL isolation, samples V1–V7 and V9 were subjected to overnight enzyme disaggregation as used in samples R1–R17. At this point, the GentleMACS dissociator, which combines enzymatic disaggregation with mechanical disruption in a three-h process, became available and this was used to obtain single-cell suspensions from samples V11–V20. There was no statistical difference in the overall number of TILs liberated between the GentleMACS-dissociated samples (1.8 ± 1.5 × 10⁶ total cells; median 1.0 × 10⁶; range 0.3–4.0 × 10⁶; Figure 1A) and overnight digestion (1.4 ± 1.5 × 10⁶ total cells; median 1.0 × 10⁶; range 0.5–5 × 10⁶; Figure 1A). One additional sample (V8) proved to be much larger than previous samples and liberated 35 × 10⁶ TIL after enzymatic disaggregation (Supplementary Figure 1A). Overall, there appeared to be no significant difference in the number of TILs liberated by either disaggregation method.

RCC TIL cultured with antibody-coated paramagnetic beads display an effector-like phenotype. Equivalent numbers of cells isolated from each sample were cultured using anti-CD3/anti-CD28-coated Dynabeads as the source of antibody-coated paramagnetic bead T-cell activation, since this bead system was also available in a clinical format and thus the results of this work could be rapidly translated to the clinical cell-production environment. Cultures were maintained for a total of 15 days supplemented with IL-2 (3000 IU ml⁻¹ until day 7 after isolation and reduced to 1,000 IU ml⁻¹ after) and with the Dynabeads removed on day 7 of

| Patient | Sex | Age at the time of surgery (years) | RCC histology | Stage | Previous treatments |
|---------|-----|----------------------------------|---------------|-------|---------------------|
| V1      | M   | 46                               | Primary, conventional clear cell | pT3a Nx Mx | None |
| V2      | M   | 69                               | Primary, conventional clear cell | pT1a N0 Mx | None |
| V3      | M   | 76                               | Primary, conventional clear cell | T3b N2     | None |
| V4      | M   | 55                               | Primary, conventional clear cell | pT3 N0 Mx | None |
| V5      | F   | 57                               | Primary, conventional clear cell | pT1a N0 Mx | None |
| V6      | M   | 55                               | Primary, conventional clear cell | pT1a N0 Mx | None |
| V7      | F   | 50                               | Primary, conventional clear cell | T1b        | —2 Cycles of IL-2 —Left nephrectomy |
| V8      | F   | 68                               | Metastatic, peritoneal nodule (conventional clear cell) | —Left nephrectomy —SORCE trial (sorafenib vs placebo) |
| V9      | F   | 69                               | Primary, papillary | pT1a N0 Mx | None |
| V11     | M   | 73                               | Primary, papillary | pT1a N0 Mx | None |
| V12     | M   | 61                               | Primary, conventional clear cell | pT3a N0 Mx | None |
| V13     | M   | 79                               | Primary, conventional clear cell | pT3b N0 Mx | None |
| V14     | F   | 71                               | Primary, chromophobe | pT2b N0 Mx | None |
| V15     | F   | 73                               | Primary, conventional clear cell | pT1a N0 Mx | None |
| V16     | F   | 51                               | Primary, conventional clear cell | T1a N0 Mx | None |
| V17     | M   | 67                               | Primary, chromophobe | T2b N1 Mx | None |
| V18     | M   | 52                               | Primary, conventional clear cell | T3a N0 Mx | None |
| V19     | F   | 73                               | Primary, conventional clear cell | T3b N0 R0 | None |
| V20     | M   | 64                               | Metastatic, right adrenal gland (conventional clear cell) | —Left nephrectomy —Sunitinib |
| V29     | M   | 48                               | Metastatic, right 4th rib (conventional clear cell) | —Left nephrectomy —2 Cycles of IL-2 |
| V30     | M   | 65                               | Primary, conventional clear cell | T2a N0 Mx | None |
| V31     | M   | 45                               | Metastatic, brain (conventional clear cell) | —Left nephrectomy —Pazopanib —Axitinib |
| V37     | F   | 65                               | Metastatic, lung (conventional clear cell) | —Left nephrectomy —2 Cycles of IL-2 |
| V39     | M   | 48                               | Primary, chromophobe | T1b N0 Mx | None |
| V40     | M   | 73                               | Primary, papillary | T3a N0 Mx | None |

Abbreviations: F = female; IL-2 = interleukin-2; M = male; RCC = renal cell carcinoma.
culture. To be deemed positive, a culture had to produce a minimum of $1 \times 10^7$ cells within a 15-day culture period. Approximately 90% of the TIL cultures reached this threshold (8 out of 9 for overnight digest and 9 out of 10 for GentleMACS disaggregation; Figure 1B and C) with no statistically significant difference in the average fold expansion of TILs produced from the overnight disaggregation method (30.4 ± 7.4; range 68–2; median 24.5) as compared with the GentleMACS (19.1 ± 4.4; range 45–2.5; median 15.6; Figure 1D).

In terms of cellular phenotype, there was a small but significant reduction in the frequency of CD4+ T cells in the GentleMACS-disaggregated cultures (32.9 ± 5.6%) when compared with overnight disaggregated cultures (51.4 ± 5.0%), although the complimentary difference in CD8+ subset frequency did not reach significance (Figure 1E). There was also no significant difference in the relative frequency of CD27, CD45RA or CD62L expression between cultures, although there was a broad range in relative frequency for the expression of CD45RA and CD62L in particular (Figure 1F). There was found to be a significant reduction of the relative frequency of CCR7+ T cells within GentleMACS-disaggregated cultures (3.7 ± 0.5%) compared with overnight disaggregated cultures (19.7 ± 9.0%), although the overall frequency of CCR7+ cells remained relatively small within all cultures (Figure 1F). These observations together suggested that the expanded TIL cultures displayed a differentiated effector-like phenotype. Indeed, through the analysis of the combination of CD27 and CCR7 expression, irrespective of the disaggregation method, a preponderance of effector-like cells (CD27+CCR7+) was found within cultures with a reduced frequency of effector memory-like cells (CD27+CCR7−) and negligible numbers of naive/central memory cells (CD27−CCR7+) within both CD8+ and CD8−TIL populations (Supplementary Figure 1B and C).

In vitro functionality of expanded RCC TILs: conservation of HLA expression by GentleMACS disaggregation preserves TIL antitumour response. Functional response against an autologous tumour remains the key criteria to assess the tumour specificity of expanded TIL with IFNγ release after co-culture of TIL with a thawed, disaggregated tumour routinely used for this assessment (Dudley et al., 2003). Of the overnight digested cultures, only 25% (2 out of 8) co-cultures demonstrated IFNγ secretion above that of TIL alone (Figure 2A). By contrast, 56% (5 out of 9) of the GentleMACS-digested cultures produced significant levels of IFNγ during co-culture assays (Figure 2B). To confirm that this observation was not simply due to the tumour biopsies themselves, two biopsy samples (V29 and V31) that were large enough for parallel processing were cut into two identically sized pieces and processed by overnight digest or the GentleMACS dissociator in parallel and aliquots of tumour cells in the initial cell suspension were frozen for reactivity assays. IFNγ was only
Isolating TILs is technically demanding and difficult to standardise, given the variance in quantity and quality of the starting tissue and the relative lymphocyte infiltration into the tumour. Indeed, the only phase-III multi-centre clinical trial of RCC TIL therapy performed to date was terminated early due to the absence of clinical response in part due to the high TIL production failure rate and variability in the TIL product (Figlin et al, 1999).

TIL production for melanoma has been successfully adopted in different sites worldwide with largely equivalent frequencies of clinical responses reported between different centres, highlighting the success of technology transfer between establishments (Besser et al, 2010; Dudley et al, 2010) while efforts to standardise melanoma TIL production in compliance with good manufacturing process (GMP) are proceeding as a part of large multi-centre trials that cell surface HLA molecules are lost during overnight enzymatic disaggregation. This strongly implies that cell surface HLA molecules are lost during overnight enzymatic disaggregation but preserved by GentleMACS disaggregation (Supplementary Figure 3). This strongly implies that cell surface HLA molecules are lost during overnight enzymatic disaggregation but preserved by GentleMACS disaggregation (Supplementary Figure 3).

Maintenance of RCC TIL functionality after rapid expansion.

Current TIL-adoptive transfer studies employ a REP to generate the large numbers of TILs required for clinical application (Dudley et al, 2003; Besser et al, 2010). Consequently, maintenance of tumour-targeting functionality after rapid expansion would be an essential criterion for RCC TILs to be relevant for therapeutic application. Six TIL cultures (two processed with overnight digest and four with GentleMACS dissociation) were subjected to a small-scale REP for 14 days after the initial culture, resulting in a 79.3 ± 33-fold expansion (Figure 4A). Of these expanded TIL’s, an autologous tumour was available for four (V6, V12, V14 and V15). Previously, V12, V14 and V15 TILs produced IFNγ response to challenge with an autologous tumour (Figure 2B) and this was maintained in the REP-expanded cultures (Figure 4B). REP-expanded V6 TIL showed a limited increase in IFNγ response against an autologous tumour that failed to reach statistical significance (Figure 4B), which paralleled with the responses observed with the original expanded V6 TIL culture (Figure 2A). In order to determine whether the REP enhanced allogeneic response, expanded TILs failed to produce IFNγ after co-culture with a variety of tumour cell lines including the renal carcinoma cell line 2202R (Figure 4C), suggesting that increased allogeneic cytokine responses were not induced by REP. These observations strongly suggest that rapid expansion did not adversely impact on the specificity of the autologous tumour response of the individual TIL cultures.

DISCUSSION

Isolating TILs is technically demanding and difficult to standardise, given the variance in quantity and quality of the starting tissue and the relative lymphocyte infiltration into the tumour. Indeed, the only phase-III multi-centre clinical trial of RCC TIL therapy performed to date was terminated early due to the absence of clinical response in part due to the high TIL production failure rate and variability in the TIL product (Figlin et al, 1999).

TIL production for melanoma has been successfully adopted in different sites worldwide with largely equivalent frequencies of clinical responses reported between different centres, highlighting the success of technology transfer between establishments (Besser et al, 2010; Dudley et al, 2010) while efforts to standardise melanoma TIL production in compliance with good manufacturing process (GMP) are proceeding as a part of large multi-centre trial applications (e.g., NKI-AVL, Amsterdam (John Haanen), Herlev Hospital, Copenhagen, Denmark (Inge-Marie Svane), Christie Hospital, Manchester, UK (REH)).

However, efforts to culture TILs from RCC primary tumour biopsies using IL-2 alone to drive in vitro proliferation, as is the case in melanoma after tumour disaggregation, largely failed. RCC

readily observed in both samples when expanded autologous TILs were co-cultured with a GentleMACS-disaggregated tumour (Figure 2C). Since there were no obvious differences in the isolation, expansion and phenotypic of the TIL populations expanded after the different disaggregation methods; to explain this differential expansion and phenotype of the TIL populations expanded after

Figure 2. Functional activity of expanded TILs against their autologous tumour cells. (A) Interferon gamma (IFNγ) secreted after 24-h co-culture of TILs with overnight and (B) GentleMACS-disaggregated autologous tumour samples. (C) IFNγ release of TILs after co-culture with an autologous tumour generated with parallel processing of the same tumour with either overnight digestion or GentleMACS disaggregation. Mean ± s.e.m. of three experimental replicates are shown. *P<0.05, ***P<0.001. Two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post-test correction.
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the large numbers of TILs required for clinical application (Dudley et al, 2003; Besser et al, 2010). Consequently, maintenance of tumour-targeting functionality after rapid expansion would be an essential criterion for RCC TILs to be relevant for therapeutic application. Six TIL cultures (two processed with overnight digest and four with GentleMACS dissociation) were subjected to a small-scale REP for 14 days after the initial culture, resulting in a 79.3 ± 33-fold expansion (Figure 4A). Of these expanded TIL’s, an autologous tumour was available for four (V6, V12, V14 and V15). Previously, V12, V14 and V15 TILs produced IFNγ response to challenge with an autologous tumour (Figure 2B) and this was maintained in the REP-expanded cultures (Figure 4B). REP-expanded V6 TIL showed a limited increase in IFNγ response against an autologous tumour that failed to reach statistical significance (Figure 4B), which paralleled with the responses observed with the original expanded V6 TIL culture (Figure 2A). In order to determine whether the REP enhanced allogeneic response, expanded TILs failed to produce IFNγ after co-culture with a variety of tumour cell lines including the renal carcinoma cell line 2202R (Figure 4C), suggesting that increased allogeneic cytokine responses were not induced by REP. These observations strongly suggest that rapid expansion did not adversely impact on the specificity of the autologous tumour response of the individual TIL cultures.

DISCUSSION

Isolating TILs is technically demanding and difficult to standardise, given the variance in quantity and quality of the starting tissue and the relative lymphocyte infiltration into the tumour. Indeed, the only phase-III multi-centre clinical trial of RCC TIL therapy performed to date was terminated early due to the absence of clinical response in part due to the high TIL production failure rate and variability in the TIL product (Figlin et al, 1999).

TIL production for melanoma has been successfully adopted in different sites worldwide with largely equivalent frequencies of clinical responses reported between different centres, highlighting the success of technology transfer between establishments (Besser et al, 2010; Dudley et al, 2010) while efforts to standardise melanoma TIL production in compliance with good manufacturing process (GMP) are proceeding as a part of large multi-centre trial applications (e.g., NKI-AVL, Amsterdam (John Haanen), Herlev Hospital, Copenhagen, Denmark (Inge-Marie Svane), Christie Hospital, Manchester, UK (REH)).

However, efforts to culture TILs from RCC primary tumour biopsies using IL-2 alone to drive in vitro proliferation, as is the case in melanoma after tumour disaggregation, largely failed. RCC
TIL outgrowth required the lymphocytes within the tumour digest to be activated with the most consistent results achieved when paramagnetic beads were added to the culture. Recent studies suggest that RCC TIL demonstrate signs of proliferative exhaustion potentially resulting from CD70 expression within RCC tumours (Wang et al., 2012), and this may explain the lack of proliferative

Figure 3. Surface expression of markers on TILs after overnight or GentleMACS disaggregation. (A) Flow cytometric analysis of CD4, CD8, EpCAM, HLA A,B,C and HLA DR,DP, DQ expression on isolated, uncultured TILs from four renal cell carcinoma biopsies after overnight (black empty line) or GentleMACS (grey-filled line) disaggregation. (B) Effect of MHC class I and class II blockade on the release of IFNγ after 24-h co-culture of TILs with an autologous tumour in two RCC biopsies. **P<0.01 Unpaired t-test.

Figure 4. Expansion and functional activity of TILs after undergoing a rapid expansion protocol. (A) Growth curves of TILs subjected to a rapid expansion protocol (REP). (B) IFNγ release of rapid-expanded TILs V6, V12, V14 and V15 after co-culture with an autologous tumour. (C) Alloreactivity of rapid-expanded TILs V6, V12, V14 and V15 as determined by IFNγ release after co-culture of TILs with renal (2220R), gastric (MKN45K), melanoma (Mel624) and neuroblastoma (LA15S and SK-N-SH) cell lines. ***P<0.001, two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post-test correction.
response of RCC TIL to cytokine alone. With mitogenic stimulation, TILs were generated from the majority of primary tumour biopsies tested irrespective of the mode of tumour disaggregation employed. Moreover, the TIL phenotype was also similar between disaggregation methods, with the majority of the expanded cells displaying an effector-memory phenotype. The key differences found between the disaggregation methods were the reduced variability of TIL number and the preservation of cell surface antigen expression when the mechanical/enzymatic GentleMACS system was used. Reduced variability in cell number is potentially important for standardisation of bioprocessing; however, antigen preservation is important to identify tumour-reactive TILs since the maintenance of MHC protein expression on tumour digests is essential for TIL functionality. Potency assays that consistently assess the activity of natural T-cells (i.e., those not endowed with antigen specificity by genetic modification) such as TILs are lacking. These results suggest that improved tumour disaggregation methods can produce target cells that are more consistently recognised by expanded TILs and this may form the basis for the development of a reliable potency assay.

To date, IFN-γ release during co-culture has been the ‘gold standard’ assay used to assess TIL reactivity (Dudley et al., 2003). In this work, IFN-γ release was performed and identified functionally reactive RCC TILs; however, a detailed assessment of the ability to act as a potency assay that is predictive of RCC TIL function can only be determined within the clinical trial setting. Importantly, the GentleMACS system is not currently available in a GMP compliant format. However, with respect to potency assay methodology, it is feasible to use a strategy in the short term where a part of the tumour, which is prepared by GMP-compliant TIL production, is taken and processed using this system in a research laboratory environment, whereas the majority of the tumour is used to generate TIL under standard production conditions. Consequently, the expanded TIL populations can be tested against the GentleMACS autologous prepared tumour in potency assay testing without the need for validation of the GentleMACS system for clinical TIL production. However, in the long term, selection of tumour-specific TILs using capture of cells expressing activation-related markers such as PD-1 (Gros et al., 2014) or CD137 (Ye et al., 2014) directly from the tumour digest may be more required, and preservation of antigen integrity in the clinical setting may be required.

Outside of the RCC TIL-adoptive cell therapy context, maintenance of cell surface antigen expression will also facilitate studies investigating expression profiles of cells liberated from tumours that would have been lost by standard tissue preparation methods including the analysis of T-cell receptor usage (Gerlinger et al., 2013; Sittig et al., 2013), differentiation status (Wang et al., 2012) and subset frequencies (Igarashi et al., 2002) and, potentially, cytokine secretion profiles of lymphocytes invading into the tumour.

Irrespective of the tumour disaggregation method, the expanded RCC TIL displayed a predominantly effector phenotype reflecting other reports detailing the differentiated status of RCC TILs (Wang et al., 2012). Mitogenic activation to expand the RCC TILs in the presence of IL-2 would also contribute to this phenotype. Various studies investigating T-cell engagment indicate that less-differentiated T cells possess enhanced in vivo engagment (Gattinoni et al., 2012). Modulating the phenotype of isolated TIL by modulating culture conditions by the addition of cytokine cocktails such as IL-7 and IL-15 (Alcantar-Orozco et al., 2013; Yang et al., 2013) could modulate the TIL phenotype. Interestingly, antibody blockade experiments identified that tumour-driven RCC IFN-γ secretion was driven by MHC I- and -II-restricted T cells, implying a functional role of CD4+ cells within the RCC TIL population. Others have reported CD4+ T-cell responses in RCC patients that recognise shared tumour antigens and also imply that MHC II expression may be upregulated on RCC tumour cells, suggesting the potential for CD4+ T cells to directly target RCC tumour cells (Maultner et al., 2005; Tassi et al., 2006). Increased frequencies of regulatory T cells have been identified in RCC patients (Griffiths et al., 2007). However, the CD4+ RCC TIL population expanded here secrete IFN-γ and rapidly proliferate after mitogenic stimulation strongly suggesting that these cells are not regulatory in nature. Unfortunately, the activation process results in upregulation of markers used to identify regulatory T cells in peripheral blood (e.g., FoxP3), making such phenotypic analysis for regulatory T cells impossible in the expanded TIL population. Consequently, when considering developing a clinical trial protocol, the benefits of selecting CD8+ TIL as compared with adoptively transferring the unselected expanded TIL population where the CD4+ compartment may provide antitumour activity needs to be further examined. Moreover, there was no observed correlation between CD68+ macrophage infiltration and TIL number/antigen-specific cytokine secretion in this study. However, larger studies should include deeper investigation of the immune environment and tumour status (such as regulatory T-cell frequency in the initial TIL isolation, and immune checkpoint expression such as PD-L1) to determine whether factors that could predict the success of TIL expansion and function can be identified.

In summary, this work demonstrates that TIL can be reproducibly generated from primary and metastatic RCC biopsies. Importantly, these expanded TIL populations maintain in vitro autologous tumour reactivity that is enhanced by the use of methods that preserve the cell surface architecture of the disaggregated tumour sample. However, the mode of disaggregation did not appear to affect initial TIL production. Thus, as the GentleMACS is currently not certified for clinical use, the likely procedure would involve disaggregating the majority of the tumour biopsies using standard methods in the cleanroom, with a smaller tumour fragment processed using the GentleMACS in the research environment for use in functionality testing of the expanded TIL product. On the basis of this work, phase I/II trial protocols are being developed to assess the clinical potency of TILs against the RCC setting, which includes validation of the TIL production process using GMP-compliant materials. Moreover, these approaches are being tested in other tumour indications to assess the general applicability of this process to generate TILs for therapy.
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