Encapsulation of Adipose Stromal Vascular Fraction Cells in Alginate Hydrogel Spheroids Using a Direct-Write Three-Dimensional Printing System
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Abstract

The study of tissue function in vitro has been aided by the development of three-dimensional culture systems that more accurately duplicate the complex cell components of tissues and organs. Bioprinting of cells provides a rapid tissue fabrication technique that can be used to evaluate normal and pathologic conditions in vitro as well as to construct complex three-dimensional tissue structures for implantation in regenerative medicine therapies. Studies were performed using a direct write three-dimensional bioprinting system to fabricate adipose-derived stromal vascular fraction cell spheroids. Human fat–derived stromal vascular fraction cells were mixed in 1.5% (w/v) alginate solutions, and fabrication conditions were varied to produce an array of spheroids. The spheroids were placed in spinner culture, and spheroid integrity and encapsulated cell viability were assessed for 16 days. Results establish the ability to tightly control adipose SVF spheroids in the range of 800–1500 μm. Fabrication conditions were used to control spheroid size, and the results illustrate the ability to construct spheroids of precise size and shape. The adipose SVF cell population remains viable and the spheroid integrity was maintained for 16 days in suspension culture. The direct-write printing of adipose stromal vascular fraction cell containing spheroids provides a rapid fabrication technology to support in vitro microphysiologic system studies.
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Introduction

The use of three-dimensional (3D) environments for cell culture provides a more physiological relevant system for in vitro modeling of cell behavior. Tissues are composed of multiple cell types, and cells are organized in specific spatial arrangements providing orientation of cells into geometries specific to organ functions. The study of cell function in vitro, originally utilizing cells grown on tissue culture surfaces (e.g., glass and plastic), has now transitioned to 3D cultures of cells often embedded in collagen gels. Cooperatively, investigators have evaluated the ability of two-dimensional and 3D cell cultures to undergo the formation of spheroids. Epithelial, neuronal, and endothelial organoid cultures have been established in this way.1–5 In this procedure embryonic stem cells are cultured as hanging drops and allowed to form embryoid bodies.6,7 Spheroid culture strategies have progressed to include endothelium, representing cells of the vasculature, a common cellular component of all complex tissues.8–10 And recently, complex 3D tissue constructs containing parenchymal cells and vascular cells have been implanted in experimental models.11,12 These studies show that functional tissue organoids can be constructed in vitro and implanted in tissue, with evidence of vascular integration between implanted and recipient circulations and restoration of tissue function by the organoids.

The formation of 3D tissue constructs has now been evaluated using bioprinting technologies.13–16 Bioprinting, the biological equivalent of computer-assisted design (CAD) and subsequent computer-assisted manufacturing (CAM) technologies includes several different fabrication systems including direct-write bioprinting and ink-jet bioprinting.13,17,18 These systems provide CAD-CAM-based methods for the controlled deposition of biological materials toward the fabrication of complex biological structures.

The present study has evaluated the use of a direct-write bioprinting instrument to form cellular spheroids constructed by using adipose-derived stromal vascular fraction (SVF) cells. The adipose SVF cell population represents a complex mixture of regenerative cells capable of forming tube-like
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cellular structures in 3D culture. Interestingly, this cell population also contains a population of cells that can differentiate into smooth and cardiac muscle cells, adipocytes, and chondrocyte precursors.14,19–21 Thus, the adipose SVF cell population represents a multipotent mixed cell population amenable for the study of complex cell and tissue functions. As described here, bioprinting conditions have been established that support the construction of adipose SVF–derived spheroids of precisely controlled dimensions. The spheroids maintain their shape when cultured in suspension using a spinner culture flask. Bioprinting of adipose SVF cell–containing spheroids provides a means toward rapid fabrication of complex spheroid constructs for use in 3D in vitro studies and a controlled dose cell delivery system for regenerative medicine therapies.

Methods

Adipose-derived SVF cells

Adipose SVF was derived from human fat obtained under Institutional Review Board exemption, through nonultrasonic suction-assisted liposuction of abdominal regions. The adipose SVF cell population was obtained according to established methods.22–24 Briefly, adipose tissue was rinsed with 0.1% bovine serum album (BSA) in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), finely minced, and vigorously shaken in 2 mg/mL type I collagenase (Worthington Biochemical Company, Freehold, NJ) for 40 min at 37°C for optimal digestion. The SVF cells were pelleted via centrifugation, and buoyant adipocytes discarded. The pellet was then washed once more with 0.1% BSA-PBS and plated onto gelatin-coated tissue culture flasks. Adherent cells were expanded to confluence and frozen. For bioprinting, cells were thawed, plated onto gelatin-coated tissue culture flasks. Adherent cells were expanded to confluence and frozen. For bioprinting, cells were thawed, plated onto gelatin-coated tissue culture flasks, and grown to confluence in a 5% CO2, 37°C incubator. Culture medium consisted of Medium 199, 20% FBS, 5 mM HEPES buffer, 2 mM L-glutamine, endothelial growth supplement containing heparin, amphotericin B (295 ng/mL), penicillin (45 U/mL), and streptomycin (45 μg/mL). The spinner flask (125-mL MagnaFlex Microcarrier Spinner Flask, Wheaton Industries, Millville, NJ) was used with a magnetic stirrer platform (MCS 104-L Biological Stirrer, Techne Inc., Burlington, NJ) to provide convective mixing of the culture medium. Rotational speed of the magnetic impeller was set to 5 rpm for 2 days and then increased to 10 rpm for the remaining time frame. Dynamic culture was carried out in a 5% CO2, 37°C incubator for a total of 16 days. Spheroids were removed from the spinner flask for analysis at specific time points using a 25-mL serological pipet.

Viability assessment

Viability of encapsulated cells was assessed using a live/dead staining system (Live/Dead Viability/Toxicity Kit, Life Technologies Inc., Carlsbad, CA). Spheroids were washed in Dulbecco’s PBS (D-PBS), incubated in 10 μM calcein AM (live stain) and in 10 μM ethidium homodimer-1 (dead stain), and visualized by epifluorescent microscopy. Qualitative evaluation of fluorescent micrographs was performed to obtain an estimate of cell viability.

FIG. 1. The BioAssembly Tool bioprinter used for the creation of adipose stromal vascular fraction (SVF) cell spheroids. The bioprinter is composed of a pen delivery gantry with Z-axis control (a) housed in an environmental chamber (b). Inset: A higher magnification of the bioprinter pen system. A stage with X and Y axis control is mounted below the pens (c). The bioprinter is controlled by an integrated computer system (d).
Histology

In preparation for hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining, spheroids cultured in a spinner flask for 9 days were fixed in 1× HistoChoice (Amresco, Solon, OH) diluted in D-PBS. The fixed spheroids were embedded in a gel block (HistoGel, Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA) to secure the spheroids for processing and sectioning. The resulting gel construct was treated with 10% neutral-buffered formalin, infiltrated with paraffin, and embedded in paraffin. This second fixation step was used to cross-link the HistoGel and immobilize the spheroids in the gel. A microtome was used to obtain 6-μm sections, which were then stained with H&E and viewed by brightfield microscopy.

Scanning electron microscopy

Spheroids, fixed in HistoChoice/D-PBS, were prepared for scanning electron microscopy (SEM) through dehydration in a series of graded ethanol and drying using hexamethyldisilazane (HMDS). Dehydrated spheroids were exposed to two consecutive 30-min immersions in HMDS, after which the HMDS was allowed to evaporate, resulting in dried specimens. The dried spheroids were sputter coated with gold and visualized by SEM (JSM-820 Scanning Electron Microscope, Jeol, Tokyo, Japan).

Results

The direct-write bioprinter used in the fabrication of the adipose SVF spheroids is illustrated in Figure 1. This instrument is referred to as the BioAssembly Tool and is composed of a computer for creation of specific scripts to drive the delivery pens and control delivery pen conditions, a movable stage, and an environmental chamber. The inset photograph in Figure 1 (bottom right) illustrates two delivery pens mounted on a motorized assembly that provides precision movement of the pens in the Z axis. All pen and stage movements are controlled by the integrated computer system, which provides synchronized motion and dispensing for controlled delivery of cell-gel solutions.

The basic strategy for fabrication of the adipose SVF spheroids is illustrated in Figure 2. Human adipose-derived SFV...
cells are suspended in 1.5% alginate, and the cell–alginate suspension is placed in a 3-mL delivery pen. Under computer control the delivery pen tip is advanced above a solution of 75 mM CaCl\(_2\), and a cell–alginate droplet forms at the tip using air pressure. The pen tip is subsequently lowered into the CaCl\(_2\) solution. The cycle time for droplet formation, pen lowering, spheroid formation, and pen repositioning is approximately 8 sec. This cycle time can be reduced to less than 1 sec by reducing the dwell time at different steps.

A sequence of droplet formation to spheroid formation is illustrated in photomicrographs in Figure 3. The initial droplet formation results in a hanging drop that remains affixed to the pen tip (18 gauge). The pen is then lowered toward the surface of the CaCl\(_2\) solution. As seen in Figure 3C,D, as the pen advances along the Z axis (toward the CaCl\(_2\) solution), the droplet compresses and flattens against the surface. As illustrated in Figure 3E, the surface tension between the droplet and CaCl\(_2\) solution is then overcome, resulting in a spheroid in the CaCl\(_2\) solution.

The ability to control the size of the formed spheroids is illustrated in Figure 4. Two pens were used in this study (18-gauge [18g] and 23 gauge [23g]) and the pressure used to extrude the alginate solution through the pen tip. The data are plotted as the mean ± SD; NS, not significant. *Significant difference (p < 0.05) between test groups based on ANOVA statistical analysis between groups.

FIG. 4. The size of the spheroids formed is dependent upon both the size of the pen (18-gauge [18g] and 23 gauge [23g]) and the pressure used to extrude the alginate solution through the pen tip. The data are plotted as the mean ± SD; NS, not significant. *Significant difference (p < 0.05) between test groups based on ANOVA statistical analysis between groups.

The adipose SVF alginate spheroids were placed in spinner suspension culture and maintained at 37°C in a 5% CO\(_2\) environment. During a 16-day incubation period, the individual spheroids remained separate with no evidence of spheroid clumping. Immediately after printing (day 0) and also following 1, 2, 3, 9, and 16 days in suspension culture, spheroids samples were evaluated by phase contrast microscopy for spheroid integrity, encapsulated cell morphology, and cell distribution. As illustrated in Figure 5 the spheroid size and cell distribution was maintained during the 16 days of spinner culture. Figure 6 illustrates the distribution of cells within the spheroids after 9 days of spinner culture using phase contrast (Fig. 6A) and epifluorescence (Fig. 6B, bisbenzimide nuclear staining), and the viability of cells by evaluating live cells (Fig. 6C) and dead cells (Fig. 6D). The cell viability evaluated using this live/dead cytochemical analysis was estimated to be > 90%.

Figure 7 illustrates the surface morphology of an adipose SVF spheroid fixed immediately following printing and evaluated by SEM. The spheroids were dried using a dehydrating solution (HMDS) that resulted in significant artifactual shrinkage of the spheres. The uniformity of the spheroid was apparent.

In order to evaluate cellular morphology within the spheroids, samples were processed for paraffin sectioning and sections stained with H&E. Representative sections (Fig. 8) illustrate the cell morphology of encapsulated cells immediately following printing (Fig. 8A) and after 9 days in spinner culture (Fig. 8B). Since the adipose SVF cell population is composed of a significant number of endothelium and other vascular cells, the presence of tube-like structures seen in cross section in this figure was not unexpected.

FIG. 5. Phase contrast micrographs of adipose SVF spheroids immediately after formation (day 0) and following culture in a spinner flask. Individual culture time points are identified. Bar = 500 µm.
Discussion

Almost all of the cells in the body function in a 3D environment. As progress is made toward recapitulation of physiological systems in tissue culture environments, the importance of establishing cells in a 3D system has become apparent. The growth of mammalian cells in 3D culture systems has included many variations, including incorporation of cells in gels composed of extracellular matrix (e.g., collagen), fibrin gels, hydrogels including alginites, and the self-assembly of cells into spheroids (e.g., embryoid bodies). The current study was undertaken to evaluate the use of 3D bioprinting technology to create alginate spheroids that contained adipose-derived SVF cells. These adipose SVF containing spheroids were evaluated in suspension culture to establish the viability of encapsulated cells in prolonged culture.

Direct-write bioprinting of cells in biocompatible gel systems has previously been used to construct 3D tissue mimics. Direct-write, pen-based delivery bioprinters are functionally different than ink-jet–based bioprinters in that the material printed can be significantly thicker or more viscous, which provides opportunity for more complex structures based on the delivery pen’s size and the pressure characteristics of the solution extrusion. In the current study, a 3D bioprinter, with precise pen delivery characteristics and novel nano-dispensing pumps, was used to form adipose SVF spheroids with defined dimensions.

The formation of cell-containing spheroids by placing drops of cell-containing solutions of alginate onto CaCl₂ has previously been reported by Akeda and colleagues. These authors manually expressed tumor cells suspended in alginate solutions through a 21-gauge needle and beads were formed as the alginate cell suspension penetrated a CaCl₂ solution. Although not directly evaluated by Akeda and colleagues, the formation of the alginate beads by dropping solutions onto CaCl₂ appears to result in spheroids of varying size and shape. The current method, using a bioprinter to form spheroids results in the formation of nearly perfect spheres, with minimal variability in size between spheroids. Advantages of bioprinting spheroids are the ability to precisely control the volume of each alginate droplet, control the rate of alginate penetration in the CaCl₂ gelling solution, and accelerate the process of cycling between sequences of drop formation and CaCl₂ penetration. The bioprinted spheroids are of uniform dimensions. As illustrated in the printing pen pressure versus spheroid size relationship, very small changes in the pressure head that drives alginate through the delivery pens will result in significant changes in sphere shape. The precise control of pressure-driven drop formation results in spheroids of uniform size. These results provide evidence that bioprinting can control the quantity of cells in each spheroid and thus, control cell delivery dose wherein spheroids could be delivered directly to tissues.

**FIG. 6.** Comparison of spheroid morphology by phase contrast microscopy (A), cell density and distribution using the nuclear stain bisbenzimide and visualized by epifluorescence (B) and evaluation of live (C) and dead (D) cells. Spheroids were cultured for 9 days in spinner culture. Bar = 500 μm.

**FIG. 7.** Scanning electron micrograph of adipose SVF spheroid cultured for 9 days in spinner culture. Bar = 100 μm.
The ability to culture spheroids for extended periods of time, up to 16 days in this report, has been achieved. The spheroids can be cultured in standard cell culture dishes (data not shown) as well as in suspension cultures. The maintenance of viable cells in these suspension cultures suggests this system would be amenable to other suspension culture systems including roller bottles and microgravity simulating rotation bioreactors.34 In the current study the adipose SVF cell population remains homogenously dispersed within the encapsulating gel. Also of interest, the diffusion of nutrients and waste products appears to be adequate because the viable cells are observed throughout the spheroid, with no evidence of a central core of dead cells.

Bioprinting of cell-containing spheroids provides a novel process to create 3D cultures of mammalian cells. The spheroids are amenable to many forms of suspension culture, and the use of these spheroids as a means to precisely control cell dose and cell potency offers intriguing opportunities for regenerative medicine.
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