Promising Pathway of Thermostable Mannitol Dehydrogenase (MtDH) from *Caldicellulosiruptor hydrothermalis* 108 for D-Mannitol Synthesis
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**Abstract:** In this study, we conducted the characterization and purification of the thermostable mannitol dehydrogenase (MtDH) from *Caldicellulosiruptor hydrothermalis* 108. Furthermore, a coupling-enzyme system was designed using (MtDH) from *Caldicellulosiruptor hydrothermalis* 108 and formate dehydrogenase (FDH) from *Ogataea parapolymorpha*. The biotransformation system was constructed using *Escherichia coli* whole cells. The purified enzyme native and subunit molecular masses were 76.7 and 38 kDa, respectively, demonstrating that the enzyme was a dimer. The purified couple system results were as follows; the optimum pH for the reduction and the oxidation was 7.0 and 8.0, the optimum temperature was 60 °C, the enzyme activity was inhibited by EDTA and restored by zinc. Additionally, no activity was detected with NADPH and NADP+. The purified enzyme showed high catalytic efficiency $K_{cat}$ 385 s$^{-1}$, $K_m$ 31.8 mM, and $k_{cat}/K_m$ 12.1 mM$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ for D-fructose reduction. Moreover, the purified enzyme retained 80%, 75%, 60%, and 10% of its initial activity after 4 h at 55, 60, 65, and 75 °C, respectively. D-mannitol yield was achieved via HPLC. *Escherichia coli* are the efficient biotransformation mediator to produce D-mannitol (byproducts free) at high temperature and staple pH, resulting in a significant D-mannitol conversation (41 mg/mL) from 5% D-fructose.
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1. Introduction

D-mannitol polyol is a safe choice when added to foods and beverages. Many health problems are related to the daily consumption of added sugars, so the wise choice is to replace them with healthy alternatives. A possible option is D-mannitol, which is likely to be produced and other polyols at industrial levels by genetically modified microorganisms that offer exciting new future potentials, leading to outstanding results with enormous possibilities for the food and pharmaceutical industries [1]. In general, replacing added sugars with polyols is not an easy task from an economic perspective. Recently, research has focused on improving polyols production using low-cost biotechnology protocols. The main challenge is to improve the overall production of D-mannitol without sorbitol combination through genetic engineering tools [2]. D-mannitol mainly exists in various organisms such as bacteria, fungi, algae, and higher plants. D-mannitol considers as an antioxidant, reducing agent, and osmoregulation substance [3]. D-mannitol’s chemical production is regarded as an inadequate procedure because of the combination of sorbitol as co-products, which is required a high-cost process for separation [4]. D-mannitol
production from D-fructose was concerned with the high bioconversion ratio, which reached ~100% [5].

Several bacterial strains could produce D-mannitol from fructose, glucose, glycerol, n-alkanes, and fructose-6-phosphate as substrates [6]. Pseudomonas fluorescens [7], and Rhodobacter sphaeroides [8], also, most of Lactobacillus produce mesophilic MtDH with recommended optimum temperature between 25–37 °C [1]. Mannitol dehydrogenase characterization was reported from different plants and microbial strains [7,9]. Abundant MtDH has been investigated for its innovative potential [10]. Most MtDHs were produced from mesophilic sources; thus, no hyperthermostable enzymes were applied in the industrial application [11]. For industrial potential, mesophilic MtDH was characterized from candida magnolia [2]. In contrast, hyperthermophilic MtDH was characterized from Thermotoga maritima, which was active at high temperature (90–100 °C) [11], and from Thermotoga neapolitana with optimal temperature (90 °C) [12]. The engineering of novel hyperthermozymes has an excellent aptitude for the food, chemical, and pharmaceutical industries. Hyperthermozymes were utilized to synthesize the chemical compounds difficult to synthesize via traditional methods [13]. C. hydrothermalis genome was sequenced and released from NCBI (GenBank accession number: ADQ07825.1). Furthermore, the genome was cloned into the Ndel and Xhol sites of the pET-22b (+) expression vector (Novagen, Darmstadt, Germany) with an in-frame fusion 6× histidine-tag sequence at the C-terminus. The reconstructed plasmid was transformed into E. coli BL21 (DE3) was utilized to express the gene. Whole-cell biotransformation was acquired by (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). D-mannitol, D-fructose, sodium formate (HCOONa), and other chemicals used in this study were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Shanghai, China).

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Chemicals, Reagents, Plasmids, and Bacteria

The purification column and electrophoresis reagents were purchased from Bio-Rad (Hercules, CA, USA). Isopropyl-β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) and all chemicals were achieved from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). The reconstructed plasmids (pETDuet-1-mdh-fdh) were obtained from Sagon Biological Engineering Technology and Services (Shanghai, China). E. coli DH5α was used as the host strain for gene cloning. E. coli BL21 Star (DE3) was utilized to express the gene. Whole-cell biotransformation was acquired by (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). D-mannitol, D-fructose, sodium formate (HCOONa), and other chemicals used in this study were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Shanghai, China).

2.2. Gene Cloning and Expression

The whole genome of C. hydrothermalis was sequenced and released from NCBI (GenBank accession number: ADQ07825.1). Furthermore, the genome was cloned into the Ndel and Xhol sites of the pET-22b (+) expression vector (Novagen, Darmstadt, Germany) with an in-frame fusion 6× histidine-tag sequence at the C-terminus. The reconstructed plasmid was transformed into E. coli BL21 (DE3). The recombinant bacteria were transferred in Luria-Bertani medium complemented by 100 μg mL−1 ampicillin and was shaken at 200 rpm at 37 °C. The culture was induced by 1 mM IPTG, when the density recorded at 600 nm, the culture was over-expressed at 30 °C for 6 h.

The mdh gene from the C. hydrothermalis genome was ligated to the fdh gene from O. parapolymorphophato to create the recombinant plasmid (pETDuet-1-mdh-fdh). The recombinant
plasmid was then transformed into *E. coli* BL21 Star (DE3). Sterilized Luria-Bertani medium (LB) was used. Cells were cultivated at 37 °C by shaking at 200 rpm for 12 h until the optical density reached 0.6 (OD600) and then developed at 28 °C. After adding 1.0 mM (IPTG), the cells were shaken for a further 6 h to induce heterologous proteins’ over-expression.

2.3. Purification of CahlyMtDH

All purification steps were accomplished at 4 °C by using nickel-affinity chromatography as stated by the manufacturer’s protocol (pET His Tag System; Novagen). Cells were re-suspended in 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5) and disrupted by sonication by a Vibra-Cell™ 72,405 sonicator. The cells were centrifuged at 10,000 × g for 20 min, and the supernatant was laden onto the HisTrap HP column (GE Healthcare, Uppsala, Sweden) for fast partiality affinity purification. The washing buffer (pH 7.0) was used for washing the protein and the column from undesired proteins. The enzyme was eluted with elution buffer (pH 7.0) and then dialyzed into 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.0).

2.4. Whole-Cell Biotransformation

The engineered *E. coli* strains were harvested after centrifugation (10,000 × rpm at 4 °C for 15 min) and washed twice with 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.0). The washed cells were suspended in 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.0) containing various fructose and sodium formate concentrations. The conversion experiment was performed at 40 °C by rotating at 200 rpm.

2.5. SDS-PAGE and Molecular Mass Determination

Gels for SDS-PAGE (5% stacking gel and 12% separating gel) were stained by immersing Coomassie Brilliant Blue 250 and then de-stained with 10% (v/v) acetic acid and methanol. The native molecular mass was determined by liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry (LC/MS). The LC/MS system (Waters Acquity UPLC and Waters MALDI Synapt Q-TOF MS) was operated in negative ion detection mode; the ultra-pure synthetic air was used as de-solvation gas (flow rate 500 L h⁻¹). The mobile phase consisted of (A) 999 mL L⁻¹ acetonitrile and (B) 1 mL L⁻¹ formic acid; the run time was 30 min at a flow rate of 0.3 mL min⁻¹ injected volume was 1 µL.

The subunit molecular weight of MtDH and FDH was detected after denaturation of the multi-protein mixture using sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) (5% stacking gel and 12% separating gel). The gels were stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue 250 and then de-stained in an aqueous mixture of 100 mL L⁻¹ acetic acid and 100 mL L⁻¹ methanol.

2.6. Enzyme Assay

The enzyme activity was assayed using UV/visible spectrophotometer (Varian Instruments, Walnut Creek, CA, USA) by measuring NADH degradation at 340 nm at the optimal condition at pH 7.0 and 60 °C. The reaction volume of 1 mL contained 50 µL enzyme, 0.025 mM NADH, 50 mM D-fructose adjusted with 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.0). One enzyme activity unit was defined as the quantity required to convert 1 µmol NADH to NAD⁺.

2.7. The Effects of Temperature and pH

The optimum activity of CahlyMtDH was measured at a temperature ranging from 30 to 80 °C. The thermal stability was measured by adjusting the enzyme at 55, 60, 65, and 70 °C within specific time intervals at pH 7.0. For optimum pH determination, the reaction solutions were prepared using different pH ranges from 5.0 to 9.0. The buffers were included sodium citrate buffer (50 mM, pH 4–5.5), sodium phosphate buffer (50 mM, pH 6.0–7.0), Tris-HCl buffer (50 mM, pH 7.5–8.5), and glycine buffer (50mM pH 5.8–9).
2.8. Temperature, pH, and Biomass Optimization for Biotransformation System

Temperature, pH, and biomass were investigated as parameters affecting D-mannitol conversion in the reactions. Different buffer systems with pH values from 5 to 9 were used to detect the optimal pH system.

The buffers were sodium citrate (50 mM), sodium phosphate (50 mM), Tris-HCl (50 mM), and glycine (50 mM). The reaction’s optimum temperature was assayed at a temperature range of 40–70 °C at pH 7.0. The biomass of E. coli cells used in the reaction was determined with cell concentrations corresponding to OD$_{600}$ = 20, 40, 60, and 80.

2.9. Effect of Metal Ions

The enzyme reaction was incubated with the divalent metal ions Zn$^{2+}$, Ca$^{2+}$, Mg$^{2+}$, Cu$^{2+}$, and Mn$^{2+}$ at the final concentration of 1 mM at pH 7.0 60 °C. The measured activity was related to the activity of the enzyme without metal ions.

2.10. Determination of Kinetic Parameters

The enzyme was assayed with different fructose concentrations (10–150 L/h$^{-1}$) and NADH (0.125–2.5 L/h$^{-1}$) at the optimum conditions, pH 7.0 and 60 °C. Kinetic parameters included Michaelis-Menten constant $K_m$, turnover number $K_{cat}$ and catalytic efficiency $K_{cat}/K_m$ values for substrates were obtained using the Lineweaver–Burk equation and quantification of enzyme concentration.

2.11. Substrate Specificity

The enzyme specificity was measured with various substrates included arabinose, sorbose, ribulose, arabitol, xylitol, inositol, sorbitol, rhamnose, mannose, and xylose at a final concentration of 50 mM. The substrate’s activities were compared with the activity of the enzyme with D-fructose under identical optimum conditions.

2.12. Optimization of D-Mannitol Yield from D-Fructose

The biotransformation mixture was shaken horizontally at 125 rpm in a water bath shaker. The reaction was stopped, and protein was denatured by boiling for 5 min, and samples were then filtered through 0.22 µm membrane filters.

Various concentrations of D-fructose were used for the reaction. The biotransformation reaction mixtures were analyzed using a high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) system coupled with an infrared (IR) detector (Shodex RI-101) and SUGAR-PAK column (6.5 × 300 mm, column temperature 85 °C). De-ionized water was prepared as the mobile phase at a flow rate of 0.4 mL/min at room temperature. Samples were obtained at different times to determine the D-mannitol yield.

2.13. 3D Structure and Sequence Alignment

Sequence alignment was released from GenBank and aligned with similar enzymes from other hyperthermophilic organisms. T. neapolitana (GenBank: ACM22561.1) and T. maritima (GenBank: NP_228110.1). MtDH 3D structure of C. hydrothermalis was achieved using Swiss-Model.

2.14. Analytical Procedure

All experiments were performed in triplicate for each test. The data are presented as mean ± standard deviation. The experimental data were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance using OriginLab software (OriginLab Northampton MA 01060, USA). Comparison of group means was accomplished unless noted; otherwise, $p < 0.05$ was considered to indicate a significant difference.

Bioconversion of D-fructose to D-mannitol was measured via HPLC. To deactivate enzymes, samples were heated at 95 °C for 5 min, and then centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 10 min at 4 °C. Moreover, the supernatants collected after the centrifugation and filtered through 0.45 µm filters and analyzed by HPLC coupled with an IR detector (Shodex RI-101).
and SUGAR-PAK column (6.5 × 300 mm) at a column temperature of 85 °C. Water was used as the mobile phase at a flow rate of 0.4 mL/min.

3. Results

3.1. Cloning, Expression, and Purification of Recombinant CahlyMtDH

*C. hydrothermalis* 108 genomes were released into GenBank with accession number (GenBank accession number: ADQ07825.1). The target gene was synthesized and sub-cloned into pET-22b(+) vector. The gene revealed an open reading frame of 338 bp encoding a protein of 325 amino acids. *C. hydrothermalis* 108 genes were sub-cloned into vector pET-22b(+) and re-named to be (pET-Cahly-MtDH), formerly, was expressed into the *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) host. The over-expression was successful after induced by adding IPTG. The purification procedure was achieved by using nickel affinity column chromatography. The enzyme specific activity was 25 U mg⁻¹. Plasmids and strains used in this study were listed in (Table 1).

Table 1. Plasmids and strains used in this study.

| Strain and Plasmid          | Function                          | Source                          |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| *E. coli* BL21 Star (DE3)   | For gene expression               | Invitrogen                      |
| pETDuet-1-Cahy-MDHP-FDH     | MtDH and FDH regeneration         | Novagen (Darmstadt, Germany)    |
| pET-Op-FDH                  | FDH gene carrier                   | This study                      |
| pET-Cahly-MtDH              | MtDH gene carrier                  | This study                      |
| Escherichia coli DH5α       | For gene cloning                   | Invitrogen                      |

3.2. Molecular Weight

To the author’s knowledge, no multiple forms of MtDH have been reported from *C. hydrothermalis* earlier. SDS-PAGE analysis recorded an influential band with a molecular weight of 38 kDa (Figure 1). The native molecular weight was 76.0 kDa (data not shown). *E. coli* extracts from the biotransformation mixture have confirmed distinct protein bands corresponding to MtDH and FDH (data not shown).

Figure 1. SDS-PAGE of CahlyMtDH. (A) Lane M, protein markers; and lane P, the band of purified CahlyMtDH. (B) lane P is the purified enzyme compared with the recombinant *E. coli* transformant harboring pET-Cahy-MtDH lane E.

3.3. Effect of Temperature on CahlyMtDH

As appeared in (Figure 2), the recombinant purified enzyme (A) and the multi-enzyme system (B) showed maximum activity at 60 °C. The relative activity was increased as the temperature increased.
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Furthermore, the purified enzyme thermostability was shown in (Figure 3). The thermostability was studied by measuring the enzyme activity at various temperature degrees 55, 60, 65, and 70 °C within different time intervals.

3.4. Effect of pH Profile on Purified CahlyMtDH and Biotransformation System

CahlyMtDH was active in neutral media. The purified enzyme displayed optimum pH 7.0 and 8.0 for D-fructose reduction and D-mannitol oxidation (Figure 4A). For the biotransformation system, the optimum pH was at 7.0 (Figure 4B).
3.5. Effects of Metal Ions on Purified CahlyMtDH Activity

As shown in (Figure 5), the effect of metal ions on the enzyme activity after the treatment with various metal ions using the final concentration of 1 mmol L\(^{-1}\) at 60 °C and pH 7.0. The enzyme activity was reduced with Cu\(^{2+}\) and Mg\(^{2+}\). Enzyme activity was inhibited by 20 mM (EDTA). The enzyme lost approximately 94% of its activity after storage for a month at 4 °C. The enzyme restored 53% of its catalytic activity after adding Zn\(^{2+}\) but not with Ca\(^{2+}\), Mg\(^{2+}\), Cu\(^{2+}\), and Mn\(^{2+}\).

![Effect of different metal ions on the recombinant CahlyMtDH activity. Values are mean of three replicates ± standard deviation.](https://example.com/image)

3.6. Kinetic Parameters Determination

Double reciprocal plots of reaction rate versus substrate concentration were drawn. The concentration of D-fructose varied from 20 to 100 mM. \(K_m\) was 31.8 mM for the recombinant CahlyMtDH. \(K_{cat}\) was 385 s\(^{-1}\); and the catalytic efficiency \(K_{cat}/K_m\) was 12.1 mM\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\). Furthermore, NADH was tested at different concentrations between 0.01–0.3 mM. \(K_m\), \(K_{cat}\), and \(k_{cat}/K_m\) of NADH were 1.6 mM\(^{-1}\), 10.4 s\(^{-1}\) and 5 mM\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\). The kinetic parameter is not our scope in this study, as it needs further and in-depth investigation. The comparative properties of some microbial MtDHs were summarized in (Table 2).
Table 2. Comparison of biochemical properties of CahlyMtDH with some microbial MtDHs NR=Not reported.

| MtDH Source                          | Molecular Mass (kDa) | Coenzyme        | Native Form       | $K_m$ (mM) | Catalytic Efficiency | Opt pH Reduction | Opt pH Oxidation | Opt Temp (°C) | References |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------|----------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------|------------|
| CahlyMtDH                            | 38                   | 76.6            | NADH              | 6.0        | 6.2                  | 7.0              | 8.0              | 60           | This study  |
| Candida magnoliae                    | 35                   | 142             | NADH/NADPH        | 28.0       | 29.4                 | 7.5              | 10               | 37           | [1]        |
| Rhodobacter sphaeroides              | 51.4                 | NR              | NADH              | NR         | NR                   | 6.5              | 9.0              | NR           | [10]       |
| Thermotoga neapolitana               | 36                   | 135             | NADH              | 20.0       | 9.0                  | 6.5              | 8.0              | NR           | [12]       |
| Agaricus bisporus                    | 29                   | 116             | NADPH             | 190        | 13                   | 6.5–7.5          | 8.8–9.0          | NR           | [2]        |
| Thermotoga maritima                  | 34                   | NR              | NADH/NADPH        | 50.97      | 1.15                 | 5.5–6.0          | NR               | 95           | [11]       |
| Lactobacillus intermedius            | 43, 34.5             | 170             | NADPH             | 25         | 19.8                 | 5.5              | 4.7              | 35           | [18]       |

3.7. Substrate Specificity

CahlyMtDH activity was examined with different substrates, including arabinose, sorbose, ribulose, arabitol, xylitol, inositol, sorbitol, rhamnose, mannose, and xylose. There is no activity documented with the stated substrates. The enzyme bioactivity was tested toward D-fructose reduction and D-mannitol oxidation, and the enzyme had significant affinity and specificity toward both substrates.

3.8. Sequence Similarity and 3D Structure CahlyMtDH

The multiple sequence alignments were described the significant identity and similarity of CahlyMtDH, hyperthermophilic MtDH from T. neapolitana (GenBank: ACM22561.1) and T. maritima (GenBank: NP_228110.1) (data are not shown). Figure 6 represented the 3D structure of the enzyme with the atom zinc binding to the active site’s conserved amino acids.

Figure 6. 3D structure model of CahlyMtDH. The zinc-binding sites in Cys89, Cys90, Cys95, and Cys103.

3.9. Optimization of D-Fructose and D-Mannitol Production

HPLC results in confirmation of D-mannitol’s productivity from D-fructose via CahlyMtDH was accomplished via HPLC (data not shown). D-mannitol yield was 41 mg/mL from 5% D-fructose, was achieved from the reaction after 1 h at pH 7.0 and at 60 °C.
4. Discussion

The successful in vivo system of whole-cell biotransformation was developed via gene \textit{mdh} encoding mannitol dehydrogenase from \textit{C. hydrothermalis} \textit{fdh} encoding \textit{O. parapolymorpha} N10 formate dehydrogenase into \textit{E. coli}. One of the advantages of the whole-cell biotransformation reaction is that it mitigates extracellular enzyme reaction systems’ problems, including the complicated steps during enzyme purification and product extraction. D-mannitol biotransformation parameters and D-mannitol yield of resting cells system of different recombinant \textit{E. coli}, \textit{L. mesenteroides}, and \textit{C. glutamicum} was represented in (Table 3).

The functions of the \textit{O. parapolymorpha fdh} gene encoding FDH in this reaction were to support the continuous supply and regeneration of NADH for this system. In contrast, to decrease the manufacturing charge of coenzyme, sodium formate was added to the reaction mixture. Previously, different studies that included gene expression systems for the intra and extracellular production of recombinant enzymes have been recognized, the step-wise development of a whole-cell biotransformation system for D-mannitol production using \textit{Bacillus megaterium} [19].

Furthermore, \textit{L. intermedius} MtDH had a heterotetrameric form [18]. The subunit molecular mass of MtDH was lower than some mesophilic MtDH, such as \textit{P. fluorescens} 54.49 kDa and \textit{K. sphaeroides} 54 kDa [2,20]. Furthermore, \textit{C. hydrothermalis} MtDH subunit molecular weight was comparable to \textit{T. maritima} MtDH 34 kDa and \textit{T. neapolitana} 36 kDa [11,12]. MtDH produced a clear band around 38 kDa corresponding to the predicted molecular mass for the purified enzyme. Furthermore, FDH had a subunit molecular weight of 40 kDa [2]. In comparison, tetramer MtDHs were reported from \textit{T. neapolitana} [12], \textit{L. mesenteroides}, and \textit{L. pseudomesenteroides} [4].

The enzyme thermodynamic still reached 90% at 70 °C before decreasing yet again. The enzyme retained 80%, 75%, 60%, and 10% of its initial activity after incubation at 55, 60, 65, and 70 °C, respectively. In comparison, MtDHs from \textit{L. intermedius} recorded high activity at 37 °C, \textit{T. maritima} MtDH showed increased activity at 95 °C and retained 63% of its initial activity at 120 °C [11]. Generally, hyperthermophilic enzymes have promising properties for industrial applications. Moreover, hyperthermophilic enzymes catalyze the reactions under high temperatures. Additionally, increasing the possibility of decreasing the hazard of contamination and improving the bioavailability of both enzyme and substrate [2]. Furthermore, the optimum temperature for D-mannitol production via whole-cell biotransformation was 60 °C, typically the same for the purified enzyme (Figure 1).

The purified enzyme displayed optimum activity at pH 7.0 and 8.0 for D-fructose reduction and D-mannitol oxidation. In comparison, the optimum pH of \textit{T. maritima} MtDH and \textit{T. neapolitana} for both reduction and oxidation directions were reported at pH 6–8.5.) [11,12]. D-mannitol produced from \textit{Lactobacillus} strains was recorded high yield when the pH adjusted below 8.0 [4]. As confirmed, the results indicated that the optimum pH for the biotransformation system reaction was recorded at pH 7.0 (Data not shown).

Mostly, thermostable enzymes are optimally active at neutral pH [12,14]. The effect of pH on the biotransformation media was assessed within a biological range suitable for \textit{E. coli} growth. The reaction reached high productivity at pH 7.0, and no inhibition effects were observed in this system. In our multi-enzyme coupling pathway, the optimum reaction conditions were similar to the purified CahlyMtDH. In comparison, the system reaction was more stable than that achieved by using \textit{E. coli} pET24 (fdh/mdh), which expressed FDH from \textit{Mycobacterium vaccae} mannitol dehydrogenase from \textit{L. pseudomesenteroides} to produce 362 mM D-mannitol relying on formic acid titration to adjust the pH [21]. In contrast, intra and extracellular pH of the biotransformation pathway of the gene \textit{mdh} encoding D-mannitol dehydrogenase (MDH) from \textit{L. pseudomesenteroides} ATCC12291 in \textit{B. megaterium} was adjusted automatically to 6.5 and remained constant during the conversion reaction [19].
Whole cells reactions were prepared at several biomasses (OD$_{600}$ = 20, 40, 60, and 80). The results showed that the rate of D-mannitol production did not increase linearly (data not shown). Our system needs further research to achieve a high yield of D-mannitol by increasing the intracellular concentration of D-fructose. The production rate dropped when the biomass reached OD$_{600}$ = 40. Economically, we used the cell biomass of OD$_{600}$ = 40 as the prime biomass for this reaction.

In contrast, 58% of the activity of MtDH from *L. sanfranciscensis* was improved with 10 mM EDTA [20]. EDTA inactivated *L. intermedius*, and the activity was restored after the addition of Zn$^{2+}$, Mn$^{2+}$, and Co$^{2+}$, but not with Mg$^{2+}$ and Ca$^{2+}$ [18]. The main features of MtDHs are that they have the N-terminal cofactor binding motif GXGXXG, and they are zinc-dependent [1]. The atomic absorption test showed that the enzyme 10 U/mg contains structural Zn$^{2+}$ 2.027 mg/mL.

Enzyme activity was examined with different substrates: arabinose, sorbose, ribulose, arabitol, xylitol, inositol, sorbitol, rhamnose, mannose, and xylose. Zero activity was recorded with the substrates, as mentioned above. In comparison, MtDH from *P. fluorescens* had a spacious specificity for ribulose, xylulose, arabinitol, and sorbitol besides D-mannitol and D-fructose [22]. The instability, low substrate affinity, the broad substrate specificity of most MtDHs limited their practical applications [1].

| Table 3. D-mannitol biotransformation parameters and D-mannitol yield of resting cells system of different recombinant *E. coli*, *L. mesenteroides*, and *C. glutamicum.* |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| **Factor** | **E. coli BL21 Star (This Study)** | **E. coli BL21 (DE3) [23]** | **C. glutamicum ATCC 13,032 [24]** |
| Temperature (°C) | 60 | 30 | 30 |
| Cofactor | Formate/formate dehydrogenase | Formate/formate dehydrogenase | Formate/formate dehydrogenase |
| Byproducts | None | None | None |
| D-mannitol yield | 41 mg/mL | 178 g$^{-1}$ | 1.55 g/L |

Theoretically, CahlyTnMtDH has structural zinc atoms per subunit connecting to Cys89, Cys90, Cys95, and Cys103, based on that we suggested the target enzyme as a zinc-dependent enzyme.

**5. Conclusions**

There is limited research on the rule of hyper-thermophilic mannitol dehydrogenase and its contribution to mannitol synthesis. The purified CahlyMtDH is an exceptional promoter for D-mannitol synthesis from D-fructose, and it is suitable for D-mannitol production under high temperatures. The enzyme was thermodynamically stable with unique properties that suggested an industrial enzyme and a promised biocatalyst to fill the gap in food and medical applications. Furthermore, the successful synthesis of D-mannitol via whole-cell biotransformation from fructose without extracellular biocatalysts using fructose as the primary substrate.
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