Corticotropic Releasing Factor Binding Protein as a Novel Target to Restore Brain Homeostasis: Lessons Learned From Alcohol Use Disorder Research
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Stress is well-known to contribute to the development of many psychiatric illnesses including alcohol and substance use disorder (AUD and SUD). The deleterious effects of stress have also been implicated in the acceleration of biological age, and age-related neurodegenerative disease. The physio-pathology of stress is regulated by the corticotropin-releasing factor (CRF) system, the upstream component of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis. Extensive literature has shown that dysregulation of the CRF neuroendocrine system contributes to escalation of alcohol consumption and, similarly, chronic alcohol consumption contributes to disruption of the stress system. The CRF system also represents the central switchboard for regulating homeostasis, and more recent studies have found that stress and aberrations in the CRF pathway are implicated in accelerated aging and age-related neurodegenerative disease. Corticotropin releasing factor binding protein (CRFBP) is a secreted glycoprotein distributed in peripheral tissues and in specific brain regions. It neutralizes the effects of CRF by sequestering free CRF, but may also possess excitatory function by interacting with CRF receptors. CRFBP's dual role in influencing CRF bioavailability and CRF receptor signaling has been shown to have a major part in the HPA axis response. Therefore, CRFBP may represent a valuable target to treat stress-related illness, including: development of novel medications to treat AUD and restore homeostasis in the aging brain. This narrative review focuses on molecular mechanisms related to the role of CRFBP in the progression of addictive and psychiatric disorders, biological aging, and age-related neurodegenerative disease. We provide an overview of recent studies investigating modulation of this pathway as a potential therapeutic target for AUD and age-related neurodegenerative disease.
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INTRODUCTION

The deleterious effect of stress, both as an acute insult or chronic exposure, has been linked to many pathophysiological changes in the human body. The brain is the central hub for the stress response, which controls both physiological and behavioral mechanisms that regulate health outcomes. Therefore, stress is considered a major contributor to the initiation and development of various psychiatric disorders, including alcohol and substance use (AUD and SUD) (Koob, 2008; Sinha, 2008), anxiety (Pêgo et al., 2010; Nolte et al., 2011), and depression (Hammen, 2005). Further, stress has been implicated in the acceleration of biological aging (Epel et al., 2004; Wolf and Morrison, 2017; Yegorov et al., 2020) and in the progression of neurodegenerative diseases (Hemmerle et al., 2012; Djamshidian and Lees, 2014). Chronic psychological stress has been found to mechanistically contribute to acceleration of aging through cellular senescence (Yegorov et al., 2020) and stimulation of the pro-inflammatory response (Rea et al., 2018; Yegorov et al., 2020), which in turn may result in massive death of neurons (Bachis et al., 2008; de Pablos et al., 2014). Therefore, stress-induced acceleration of brain aging can initiate pathological processes that can lead to early onset and progression of dementia (Peavy et al., 2012), Parkinson’s (Hemmerle et al., 2012), and Alzheimer’s (Swaab et al., 2002; Swerdlow, 2011; Mosher and Wyss-Coray, 2014) diseases. Despite the clear evidence of the role of stress on the development of many brain diseases and in accelerating the process of biological aging, currently there are no medications that target the stress system. Furthermore, there are no therapeutic interventions designed to re-establish the homeostatic imbalance produced by acute or chronic stress insults.

In order to adapt to stress, the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis initiates a cascade of neuroendocrine processes characterized by release of corticotropin releasing factor (CRF) from the paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus (PVN), which binds to two G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) CRF₁ and CRF₂ (Bale and Vale, 2004). After almost two decades of intense research in developing pharmacophores that target the stress system at the central nervous system (CNS) level, clinical studies utilizing CRF₁ antagonists failed to translate to the clinical setting, and lacked efficacy in trials for individuals with AUD (Binneman et al., 2008), major depression and anxiety disorders (Spierling and Zorrilla, 2017).

Determining the biochemical perturbations that disturb brain homeostasis at the cellular, tissue and organ level is critical to develop therapies for disorders that are mediated by the stress response. Recently, translational efforts in the AUD field have contributed to evaluating the role of CRF binding protein (CRFBP) as a potential target to modulate the stress system (Haass-Koffler, 2018; Figure 1). In preclinical settings, research has utilized CRHBP deficient (−/−) mice to examine phenotypic consequences of stress and the impact on stress-related behaviors (Ketchesin et al., 2017). In humans, genetic variations in CRFBP have been shown to predict antidepressant outcomes in randomized controlled trials (RCTs) (O’Connell et al., 2018). Interestingly, sequestration of CRF by CRFBP has been proposed as a target for Alzheimer’s disease, due to its potential to restore normal stress functioning and improve symptoms of brain aging (Vandael and Gounko, 2019).

In this review, we will examine the role of CRFBP as a target to restore imbalances in brain homeostasis with the intent to treat brain illnesses. We will first review the role of CRFBP in the HPA axis stress response, briefly summarizing literature characterizing its actions within the central nervous system (CNS). Then, we will examine the research efforts in the AUD field, as a potential target for inhibiting stress-induced alcohol consumption. Finally, we will review the role of CRFBP as a potential target for treating other SUD, anxiety, depression, and some neurodegenerative disorders.

MECHANISTIC ROLE OF CORTICOTROPIN RELEASING FACTOR BINDING PROTEIN IN THE STRESS RESPONSE

After a stress stimulus, the initial secretion of CRF from the PVN stimulates the anterior pituitary gland to release adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH) in the systemic circulation (Raff, 1993). The release of ACTH then triggers the adrenal cortex to release glucocorticoid (GC) hormones, which exert inhibitory feedback on the HPA axis to regulate its function (Keller-Wood and Dallman, 1984; Tasker and Herman, 2011). Thus, the HPA axis, from central factor activation, to mobilization of hormones into the peripheral pathways, provides multiple targets that have been the focus of many research studies over the last couple of decades (Pomara et al., 2003; Du and Pang, 2015; DeMorrow, 2018; Canet et al., 2019). Preclinical studies have strongly supported CRF as a key mediator of neuroendocrine and behavioral responses to stress (Gray, 1993; Heinrichs et al., 1995; Bale and Vale, 2004), particularly in AUD and SUD (Koob and Kreek, 2007; Koob and Le Moal, 2008; Mukhara et al., 2018). While research has primarily focused on the actions of CRF and GCs, CRFBP has been suggested as a promising novel target for the stress component of AUD and stress-related illnesses. Since its discovery (Linton et al., 1988) and isolation from the human plasma (Behan et al., 1989), CRFBP has been proposed as a valuable stress scavenger due to its ability to prevent the excessive stress response through limiting and regulating CRF bioavailability in the HPA axis (Potter et al., 1991, 1992; Sutton et al., 1995).

Corticotropin releasing factor binding protein is a 37 kDA soluble, secreted glycoprotein that is highly conserved from invertebrates to humans (Haass-Koffler and Bartlett, 2012; Ketchesin et al., 2017). In humans and non-human primates, CRFBP is dispersed in discrete brain regions as well as peripherally in the liver and placenta (Potter et al., 1991, 1992; Pettaglia et al., 1993; Kemp et al., 1998; Slater et al., 2016) where it regulates the function and bioavailability of CRF. In other mammals including rodents, CRFBP is not expressed in peripheral tissue, which has limited research on CRFBP’s full mechanistic role in the stress system in preclinical studies.
CRFBP is composed of 322 amino acid residues, spanning from the N-terminus at methionine 1 (MET1) to the C-terminus at leucine 322 (LEU322). Spontaneous, proteolytic cleavage occurs between amino acid residues serine 234 (SER234) and alanine 235 (ALA235), resulting in formation of the 27 kD N-terminal and 10 kD C-terminal fragments. The high affinity, CRF selective binding sites located at arginine 56 (ARG56) and aspartic acid 62 (ASP62) are retained by the 27 kD fragment. The 27 kD fragment, which acts as a scavenger of excess CRF, is believed to be responsible for the inhibitory role of CRFBP. Conversely, studies indicate the 10 kD fragment may possess excitatory functions through its selective interaction with CRFR2. The full 322 amino acid CRFBP (37 kD), N-terminal fragment (27 kD) (reduced amino acid sequence 62-212), and C-terminal fragment (10 kD) (reduced amino acid sequence 45–85) figures were computed using SWISS-MODEL (Guex et al., 2009; Bienert et al., 2017; Waterhouse et al., 2018).

(Corticotropin releasing factor binding protein is composed of 322 amino acid residues and approximately 70% undergoes proteolytic cleavage in the brain (Behan et al., 1995a; Figure 1). Spontaneous cleavage between amino acid residues serine 234 and alanine 235 yields an N-terminal fragment CRFBP (27 kD) and a C-terminal fragment CRFBP (10 kD), through a mechanism that has yet to be elucidated (Woods et al., 1999). The 27 kD fragment retains the CRF selective and high affinity binding site at arginine 56 and aspartic acid 62 (IC50 = 0.5 nM) (Woods et al., 1999). The biological role of the 10 kD fragment remains unknown.

In vitro studies indicate that CRFBP (27 kD) is responsible for the inactivation of “free” CRF, while CRFBP (10 kD) may have an excitatory role interacting selectively with CRFR2 (Haass-Koffler et al., 2016). Interestingly, during stress induction, it has been hypothesized that CRFBP (27 kD) is unable to sequester the free CRF and the CRF in excess with CRFBP (10 kD) allosterically potentiates CRFR2 signaling in a three-way interaction (Haass-Koffler et al., 2016). To support this hypothesis, examination of the interaction between CRFBP and CRF in cultured mesencephalic neurons demonstrated that CRFBP interacts with intracellular CRF2 to increase presence of the receptor on the cell surface (Haass-Koffler et al., 2012), acting as a GPCR escort protein (Slater et al., 2016).

Electrophysiological studies showed that CRF potentiation of NMDAR (N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor)-mediated synaptic transmission in dopamine neurons of the ventral tegmental area (VTA) involves CRF2 and requires CRFBP for potentiation (Ungless et al., 2003). In the VTA, NMDAR activity is believed to mediate stress-induced activation of the dopamine system (Overton and Clark, 1997), which is associated with alterations in behaviors underlying SUDs (Shaham et al., 2000). As such, this provides a plausible mechanism by which modulation of CRFBP can mediate stress-induced changes contributing...
to SUDs. Further, double in situ hybridization of CRFBP in the VTA showed that CRFBP mRNA is expressed within a subset of dopaminergic neurons, but not in the neighboring substantia nigra pars compacta (SNC) (Wang and Morales, 2008). In summary, findings of in vitro studies indicate that complex mechanistic interactions between CRFBP, CRF, and CRF receptors play an important role in CRFBP’s ability to regulate neurotransmission. The ex vivo studies showed that this effect may be relevant in brain regions such as the VTA that have been implicated with AUD and SUD, and possibly also in neurodegenerative disorders (Ungless et al., 2003; Haass-Koffler, 2018). Of note, in mouse models of AD, degeneration of VTA dopaminergic neurons at pre-plaque stages contributes to memory deficits and dysfunction of reward processing (Nobili et al., 2017).

The development of the CRHBP deficient (−/−) mice allowed researchers to evaluate the impact of CRFBP on different bio-behavioral effects (Karolyi et al., 1999; Ketchesin et al., 2017). Early studies showed that CRHBP deficiency (−/−) in mice contributed to increased stress and anxiety-like behaviors compared to wildtype littermates (Karolyi et al., 1999). Interestingly, the same study found that CRHBP deficient (−/−) mice did not alter HPA axis functioning, as there were no significant differences in basal levels of corticosterone or ACTH compared to wildtype littermates (Karolyi et al., 1999). An additional transgenic mouse model which overexpressed CRFBP in the anterior pituitary gland, showed an increased motor activity, and a trend in decreased anxiety compared to wildtype littermates (Burrows et al., 1998). Similar to CRHBP deficient (−/−) mice, transgenic mice overexpressing pituitary CRFBP did not show any differences in basal levels of corticosterone or ACTH compared to wildtype littermates (Burrows et al., 1998; Lovejoy et al., 1998). However, significant elevation of both CRF and vasopressin in the PVN of the transgenic CRFBP overexpressing mice compared to littermates indicates that compensatory mechanisms occur in response to CRFBP overexpression in order to maintain homeostasis (Burrows et al., 1998). Further, when the HPA axis of transgenic mice overexpressing CRFBP was stimulated through injections of lipopolysaccharide, ACTH significantly decreased, indicating that higher levels of circulating CRFBP are needed to alter HPA axis function (Lovejoy et al., 1998).

Recently, it was reported sex-dependent mechanisms underlying the expression of CRFBP in rodents. A recent study in C57BL/6J mice found that hypothalamic CRFBP was upregulated in 12-month-old male mice compared to females, and conversely downregulated in female mice at 18 months (Locci et al., 2021). Similar sex-dependent changes were observed in CRFBP expression in the amygdala at different time points, in addition to sex-specific differences in acute restraint stress-induced alterations in CRFBP expression (Locci et al., 2021). This finding aligns with previous studies, which found that female C57BL/6J mice had greater CRFBP expression in the pituitary compared to male mice (Speert et al., 2002; Stinnett et al., 2015). Similarly, female adult Long Evans rats exhibit greater CRFBP expression in the medial septum compared to male rats, a brain region important for cognitive functioning (Wiersielis et al., 2019).

**CORTICOTROPIN RELEASING FACTOR BINDING PROTEIN AND ALCOHOL USE DISORDER**

Alcohol use disorder is characterized by habitual, excessive consumption of alcohol, leading to negative physical, emotional, and social consequences. Alcohol is unique from other drugs as it binds many different molecular targets and alters various neurotransmitter systems in the body, including endogenous opioids, γ-Aminobutyric acid (GABA), serotonin, glutamate, and dopamine (Lovinger, 1997; Trantham-Davidson and Chandler, 2015; Abrahao et al., 2017). The alcohol research field has increased its focus on the stress system, which has been identified as a major contributor to the development, progression, and reinforcement of behaviors underlying AUD (Brady and Sonne, 1999; Sarnyai et al., 2001). Importantly, researchers have found that both stress and alcohol activate the mesolimbic reward pathway, and regulate neurotransmitter activity in limbic brain regions, further supporting interest in mechanistic targets within the stress system (Haass-Koffler and Bartlett, 2012; Mukhara et al., 2018). Early studies focused on directly targeting CRF to mediate stress-induced alcohol-related behaviors (Rodriguez and Coveñas, 2017; Schreiber and Gilpin, 2018). However, more recently, researchers have examined the regulatory role of CRFBP in the CRF stress pathway as an indirect target to alter CRF levels and HPA axis activity (Haass-Koffler et al., 2016; Haass-Koffler, 2018). This interest has been further supported by findings of CRFBP expression in discrete subpopulations of dopaminergic and GABAergic neurons in the VTA (Wang and Morales, 2008), a brain pathway which is known to be important in regulating reward, reinforcement, and cognition in AUD (You et al., 2018).

Intra-VTA microinjections of the CRFBP antagonist, CRF6−33, in C57BL/6J mice dose-dependently reduced ethanol consumption, suggesting that CRFBP antagonism in the VTA may contribute to increased alcohol consumption (Albrechet-Souza et al., 2015). Those data corroborate the previous electrophysiology studies that tested CRFBP’s role in the VTA (Ungless et al., 2003), demonstrating that CRF requires CRFBP to potentiate NMDA receptors via CRF2 (Ungless et al., 2003). A recent study that evaluated the colocalization of CRFBP and CRF2R in cultured rat mesencephalic neurons supports this early data and demonstrated that CRFBP facilitates the presence of CRF2 on the cell surface (Slater et al., 2016).

The central nucleus of the amygdala (CeA) is an important limbic brain region relevant to AUD, as it has been identified as a hub for negative emotional circuitry and alcohol reinstatement (Roberto et al., 2012; Simms et al., 2012). However, studies investigating the role of CRFBP in the CeA have cast inconsistent results. Early findings in rats examining expression of CRFBP mRNA expression found that CRFBP is localized in key limbic regions, including the CeA (Potter et al., 1992). Pharmacological approaches, using microinjections of the CRFBP antagonist, CRF6−33, into the CeA of C57BL/6J mice were found to
have no effect on ethanol intake (Albrechet-Souza et al., 2015). Downregulating CRFBP in the CeA using lentivirus vectors and sequences encoding small hairpin RNAs (shRNAs) targeting the CRHBP gene, decreased ethanol consumption in rats (Haass-Koffler et al., 2016). The downregulation of CRHBP in the CeA, however, was unable to block the subsequent challenge by yohimbine-induced ethanol self-administration (Haass-Koffler et al., 2016). This effect was reflected in a functional MRI experiment which showed that in the CeA there was a lower hemodynamic activation in CRHBP shRNA rats at baseline compared to controls (Scr shRNA) and after yohimbine administration there was an increase in hemodynamic activation in the Scr shRNA rats only. Those results were also corroborated by the fact that in other brain regions known to be activated after yohimbine administration (e.g., caudate putamen), there was hemodynamic activation in both CRHBP and Scr shRNA rats (Haass-Koffler et al., 2016). Altogether, these findings suggest that downregulation of CRFBP in the CeA alone may not be sufficient to mediate stress-induced alcohol consumption, particularly during noradrenergic activation (Haass-Koffler et al., 2016, 2018).

In preclinical alcohol behavioral studies, the impact of binge drinking on CRFBP expression across relevant brain regions was examined in C57BL/6J mice using repeated drinking-in-the-dark (DID) cycles. In situ hybridization findings indicated that repetitive binge drinking significantly decreases CRFBP mRNA expression in the prelimbic (PL) and infralimbic medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) after three cycles of DID, and in the PL mPFC after six cycles (Ketchesin et al., 2016). Notably, there were no observed changes in CRF or CRF1 mRNA in the mPFC, VTA, bed nucleus of the stria terminalis, or amygdala (Ketchesin et al., 2016). The DID paradigm was also paired to the CRFBP transgenic mouse model, showing that alcohol consumption was significantly higher in CRHBP deficient (-/-) mice compared to their wildtype littermates. This effect was statistically significant after repeated DID sessions with a strong distinction after the sixth DID exposure (Haass-Koffler et al., 2016). Interestingly, studies examining acute restraint stress observed increased CRFBP mRNA expression in the rodent pituitary (McClennen et al., 1998; Stinnett et al., 2015) and amygdalar regions (Lombardo et al., 2001; Herringa et al., 2004; Roseboom et al., 2007); however, chronic restraint was associated with decreases in amygdalar CRFBP mRNA expression (Pisarska et al., 2000). These findings highlight different mechanisms through which acute and chronic stress regulate central expression of CRFBP.

Overall, these findings represent promising preliminary evidence to support further preclinical investigation of CRFBP as a target for alcohol-related behaviors. Specifically, future studies should focus on examining robust models of behavioral and pharmacologically-induced stress, to further elucidate the mechanistic role of CRFBP in AUD, and its ability to mediate dysregulation of the stress response within preclinical models of AUD.

As CRFBP is still a novel therapeutic target undergoing investigation, clinically relevant research examining CRFBP in AUD remains limited to human genetic studies. Translation of in vitro findings to human genetics research has led to preliminary evaluation of several single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) of the CRHB gene. Studies that evaluated SNPs that reside in the CRHB (10 kD) gene have shown to be associated with stress-induced craving in non-treatment-seeking individuals who drink heavily (Ray, 2011; Table 1). A study examining individuals with AUD found associations between three SNPs (rs10062367, rs7718461, and rs10055255) and alcohol and anxiety-related phenotypes (Haass-Koffler et al., 2016; Table 1). One of the SNPs (rs10062367) was associated with increased risk of these phenotypes in African and European individuals, while the other two SNPs (rs7718461 and rs10055255) were found to be linked to decreased anxiety-related phenotypes in Europeans (Haass-Koffler et al., 2016; Table 1).

Furthermore, polymorphism in the CRFBP10 kD has been observed in individuals with diagnosis of AUD (Enoch et al., 2008) and suicide risk (Roy et al., 2012). In individuals with AUD, two SNPs (rs10474485 and rs1715747) in the CRHB gene were significantly associated with increased depressive symptoms scores (Kertes et al., 2011; Table 1). A subsequent study found that CRHBP genotype (rs10055255) moderated the relationship between stress-induced negative affect, and the negative consequences of drinking in young adults who drink heavily (Tarter and Ray, 2012; Table 1). These studies further support the role of CRFBP not only in AUD, but other mental health outcomes.

Although findings linking genetic polymorphisms to stress and AUD are promising for the development of diagnostic markers, prognostic tools and therapeutic development, additional translational research is needed to identify clinically translatable approaches for targeting CRFBP in humans.

**POTENTIAL ROLE OF CORTICOTROPIN RELEASED FACTOR BINDING PROTEIN IN OTHER STRESS-RELATED PSYCHIATRIC DISORDERS**

As stress has been well established to play a role in the pathogenesis of various neuropsychiatric disorders, many studies over the years have focused on targeting the CRF system to mediate dysregulation of the HPA axis (Binder and Nemeroff, 2010; Haass-Koffler and Bartlett, 2012). However, despite promising preclinical findings, clinical trials targeting CRF and CRF1 have shown limited or negligent success in treating a range of psychiatric conditions, including SUD (Lijffijt et al., 2014), anxiety and depressive, and neurodegenerative disorders (Sanders and Nemeroff, 2016; Spierling and Zorrilla, 2017). Thus, targeting of CRFBP may similarly hold promise for the treatment of the stress-related components of these disorders.

The role of CRFBP in seeking of other drugs has also undergone limited investigation within rodent models. For example, the role of VTA CRFBP in stress-induced reinstatement of cocaine seeking was examined in Long Evans rats (Wang et al., 2007). The study found that perfusing CRF-like agonists (CRF, UCN I, and mouse UCN II) which avidly bind CRFBP, increased glutamate and dopamine levels within the VTA, and
The link between the CRF system and anxiety and depressive disorders has been well supported in preclinical studies (Arborelius et al., 1999). As CRFBP is found in all CNS CRF-related pathways and the pituitary, research investigating the role of CRFBP specifically in anxiety disorders to support development of pharmacotherapies is of great interest. Early studies in patients with major depressive disorder (MDD) identified several SNPs associated with vulnerability for major depression (Claes et al., 2003; Van Den Eede et al., 2007). In an isolated population of Swedish individuals with recurrent MDD and matched controls, two SNPs (rs1875999 and rs7728378) in the CRHBP gene were found to be significantly associated with occurrence of MDD in the patients with the variant compared to control individuals (Claes et al., 2003; Table 1). In a follow up study, an extended sample of Swedish patients and an independent sample of Belgian patients with MDD (and their respective matched controls) were examined to replicate and confirm the original findings (Van Den Eede et al., 2007). Unfortunately, the findings could not be replicated in the independent Belgian population, and the results of the extended Swedish population differed from the original study in that only an overall trend was observed. However, analysis of sex differences identified significant associations of three CRHBP SNPs (rs7728378, rs1875999, and rs1052967) with occurrence of MDD in Swedish males (Van Den Eede et al., 2007; Table 1). These observed sex differences in humans are in line with preclinical findings, which indicated sexual dimorphisms exist in the expression of CRHBP in the pituitary of mice (Speer et al., 2002).

More recently, researchers have expanded investigation of the CRHBP gene to assess the role that genetic variants play in response to antidepressant medications. In individuals with non-psychotic MDD, without comorbid substance or psychiatric conditions, 16 candidate HPA axis SNPs were investigated as predictors of treatment outcomes for three

| CRHBP SNP | Allele | N | Ancestry | Diagnosis | Outcome | Risk | References |
|-----------|--------|---|----------|-----------|---------|------|------------|
| rs10062387 | A      | 476 | Full     | AUD       | Alcohol consumption | ↑ | Haass-Koffler et al., 2016 |
|           |        | 206 | African   |           |          |      |            |
|           |        | 86  | European  |           |          |      |            |
| rs7718461  | A      | 220 | European  |           | Anxiety symptoms | ↑ |            |
| rs1053989  | C      | 223 |          | Neuroticism| ↓      |      |            |
| rs10474485 | –      | 554 | European  | AUD       | Depressive symptoms | ↑ | Kertes et al., 2011 |
| rs1715747  | G      | 41  | Full     | AUD       | Stress-induced negative affect and negative consequences of drinking | ↑ | Tartter and Ray, 2012 |
| rs1005255  | T      | 41  | Full     | AUD       | Stress-induced negative affect and negative consequences of drinking | ↑ |            |
| rs1500     | C      | 351 | NS*      | OUD and CUD| Cocaine and benzodiazepine use | ↑ | Peles et al., 2019 |
| rs3792738  | A      | 336 | Asian     | HUD       | Stress symptoms | ↓ | Su et al., 2018 |
| rs1875999  | T      | 177 | European  | MDD and matched controls | MDD symptoms | ↑ | Claes et al., 2003 |
| rs7728378  |        |     |          |           |          |      |            |
| rs29365143 | G      | 636 | Full     | MDD       | Depression symptoms | ↓ | O’Connell et al., 2018 |
| rs10473984 | T      | 1953| Full     | MDD with anxious depressive symptoms | Treatment response | ↓ | Binder et al., 2010 |

CUD, cocaine use disorder; HUD, heroin use disorder; MDD, major depressive disorder; NS*, not specified; OUD, opioid use disorder.

In individuals with concurrent opioid and cocaine use disorder who underwent methadone maintenance therapy for at least a year, the CRHBP SNP (rs1500 C allele) was linked with cocaine and benzodiazepine consumption (Peles et al., 2019). The rs1500 C allele was a predictor of cocaine and benzodiazepine consumption (Peles et al., 2019; Table 1).

Similarly, associations between the stress pathway and heroin use have been identified through analysis of polymorphisms in CRHBP (Su et al., 2018). A study examining nine SNPs in stress-related genes in abstinent individuals with heroin use disorder and healthy controls, found no genotypic differences between these groups, however, multivariate regression analysis identified one CRHBP variant (rs3792738), as a predictor risk of heroin relapse in patients with chronic stress (Su et al., 2018; Table 1).

Identification of variations in the CRHBP gene and stress pathway have been associated with development of several SUDs and relapse susceptibility (Levran et al., 2014, 2018), which holds promise for the development of personalized therapeutic treatments. However, those results are limited to human genetic study targeting individual SNPs. Extensive genome-wide association studies (GWAS) approaches within different SUDs are necessary to further elucidate the mechanistic role of CRFBP in this population.

The link between the CRF system and anxiety and depressive disorders has been well supported in preclinical studies (Arborelius et al., 1999). As CRFBP is found in all CNS CRF-related pathways and the pituitary, research investigating the role of CRFBP specifically in anxiety disorders to support development of pharmacotherapies is of great interest. Early studies in patients with major depressive disorder (MDD) identified several SNPs associated with vulnerability for major depression (Claes et al., 2003; Van Den Eede et al., 2007). In an isolated population of Swedish individuals with recurrent MDD and matched controls, two SNPs (rs1875999 and rs7728378) in the CRHBP gene were found to be significantly associated with occurrence of MDD in the patients with the variant compared to control individuals (Claes et al., 2003; Table 1). In a follow up study, an extended sample of Swedish patients and an independent sample of Belgian patients with MDD (and their respective matched controls) were examined to replicate and confirm the original findings (Van Den Eede et al., 2007). Unfortunately, the findings could not be replicated in the independent Belgian population, and the results of the extended Swedish population differed from the original study in that only an overall trend was observed. However, analysis of sex differences identified significant associations of three CRHBP SNPs (rs7728378, rs1875999, and rs1052967) with occurrence of MDD in Swedish males (Van Den Eede et al., 2007; Table 1). These observed sex differences in humans are in line with preclinical findings, which indicated sexual dimorphisms exist in the expression of CRHBP in the pituitary of mice (Speer et al., 2002).

More recently, researchers have expanded investigation of the CRHBP gene to assess the role that genetic variants play in response to antidepressant medications. In individuals with non-psychotic MDD, without comorbid substance or psychiatric conditions, 16 candidate HPA axis SNPs were investigated as predictors of treatment outcomes for three
antidepressants (escitalopram, sertraline and venlafaxine-XR) (O’Connell et al., 2018). Only one of the 16 SNPs, (CRHBP rs28365143) was found to significantly predict reduction in Hamilton Depression Rating Scale scores (O’Connell et al., 2018; Table 1). Individuals with the rs28365143 homozygous G allele, reported significantly better outcomes than A allele carriers, notably only for the escitalopram and sertraline antidepressants (both selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor, SSRIs), while no association was found with venlafaxine-XR treatment (serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor, SNRIs) (O’Connell et al., 2018; Table 1). There has long been controversy within the field as to whether SSRIs or SNRIs are more effective in treating depressive disorders, as findings have been highly variable (Thase, 2008). Genetic variations in the CRHBP gene could provide additional insight into these differential responses, supporting the development of personalized medicine approaches for pharmacological treatments.

This approach can be further informed through investigation of CRHBP genetic variations among diverse populations. For example, a study of European American, African American, and Hispanic patients with non-psychotic MDD examined associations of genetic variants in 10 genes that regulate the CRF and arginine vasopressin systems with antidepressant treatment response (citalopram, SSRI) (Binder et al., 2010). Of these 10 genes, only one SNP rs10473984 within the CRHBP locus was significantly associated with treatment outcome (remission and reduction in depressive symptoms) in response to citalopram (Binder et al., 2010). In African Americans and Hispanics, rs10473984 T allele was associated with worse treatment outcomes compared to European Americans (although population stratification and admixture among ethnic groups present possible confounding variables) (Binder et al., 2010; Table 1). This observation may position ethnic background as an important factor to be considered in CRFBP’s role in antidepressant treatment response.

Another relevant consideration is the high occurrence of comorbid depressive disorder and SUD (Currie et al., 2005; Brière et al., 2014). Investigation of CRHBP genetic polymorphisms can provide additional insight on the role that CRHBP variants play in genetic risk for comorbid depression and other psychiatric conditions. For example, a study examining the history of depressive symptoms in individuals with AUD analyzed markers in 120 candidate genes relevant to SUD’s and anxiety or depression (Kertes et al., 2011). Of the 1,350 SNPs assessed, only three met or exceeded the significance threshold for association with depressive symptom scores (CRHBP rs1715747, GABRB1 rs4315750, and OPRM1 rs650245), although interestingly none of the analyzed markers were associated with AUD symptom scores (Kertes et al., 2011; Table 1).

Overall, these findings support a link between CRFBP and anxiety and depressive disorders. They also highlight the importance of investigating additional population variables, such as ethnic background and sex to inform the development of personalized medicine. Future studies should seek to further utilize preclinical models to examine the mechanistic contributions of CRFBP to the development of anxiety and depressive illnesses.

### POTENTIAL ROLE OF CORTICOTROPIN RELEASING FACTOR BINDING PROTEIN IN OTHER STRESS-RELATED NEURODEGENERATIVE DISORDERS

Chronic stress exposure is believed to accelerate biological aging through mechanistic changes leading to cellular senescence (Yegorov et al., 2020). A review of preclinical and clinical studies suggests that psychological stress increases neuroinflammation, enhancing pro-inflammatory cytokine signaling (Salim, 2016). Increased pro-inflammatory cytokine levels may contribute to oxidative stress and cellular damage through promoting production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Salim, 2016), which has been linked to accelerated aging (El Assar et al., 2017). Further, shortening of telomeres, which is considered a hallmark of aging (Jiang et al., 2007), is associated with oxidative stress (Epel et al., 2004). Thus, similar to the proposed role of CRFBP in mediating stress-induced alcohol behaviors, CRFBP may also hold potential for mediating stress-induced acceleration of aging and age-related disorders.

Corticotropin releasing factor binding protein has been suggested as a molecular mechanism linking stress and age-related neurodegenerative disorders, particularly Alzheimer’s disease (AD) (Vandael and Gounko, 2019). Early in vitro studies showed that astrocytes express and regulate the release of CRFBP (Behan et al., 1995c; Maciejewski et al., 1996; McClennen and Seasholtz, 1999). Importantly, by contiguously connecting the CNS and regulating neuronal metabolism and maintaining brain homeostasis, astrocytes also have a well-established role in the pathogenesis of neurodegenerative disorders (Li et al., 2011; Ben Haim et al., 2015; Phatnani and Maniatis, 2015). Thus, targeting of astrocytic CRFBP provides a plausible mechanism to mediate the contribution of astrocytes to neurodegenerative diseases (Vandael and Gounko, 2019).

An early study in the postmortem brain tissue of individuals with AD and healthy controls found that there was no difference in CRFBP levels measured between groups, except for a significant decrease in CRFBP observed in Brodmann area (BA) 39 (Behan et al., 1997). They did, however, find that both complexed CRF (BA 8, BA 9, BA 22, BA 39, nucleus basalis, and globus pallidus) and free CRF (A 4, BA 39, and caudate) levels were significantly decreased in AD patients compared to controls (Behan et al., 1997). This finding holds importance for targeting of CRFBP to treat AD, as modulating CRFBP could mediate the progression of AD pathology through increasing available CRF. This hypothesis was explored in another study, which again examined postmortem tissue of individuals with AD and healthy controls, and further utilized a CRFBP antagonist (CRF6–33) to dissociate CRF from CRFBP in a rodent model (Behan et al., 1995b). Immunoassay analysis of standardized brain regions from postmortem human tissue similarly showed no difference in CRFBP expression between AD and control brains, however, CRF was significantly decreased in the frontal, parietal, and temporal brain regions of AD patients compared to control (Behan et al., 1995b). In rats, administration of the CRFBP antagonist (CRF6–33) was found to significantly improve...
learning and memory (Morris water maze), without significantly altering stress (elevated plus maze) (Behan et al., 1995b). Another study using transgenic mice, overexpressing CRFBP in the pituitary, observed an increase in CRF and increased motor activity, which could provide a method to mediate HPA axis dysfunction associated with AD (Burrows et al., 1998).

Together, these findings further implicate modulation of CRFBP as a possible target to diminish age-related dysfunction in the brain, accelerated by chronic stress. However, research within preclinical rodent models of AD is necessary to better represent the impact of CRFBP on the AD pathology. Additionally, targeting CRFBP to alter neurotransmission in the dopaminergic neurons of the VTA may hold promise for mediating AD associated alterations in these neurons, which contribute to memory and reward dysfunction (Nobili et al., 2017).

Similarly, the implication of stress in the development of Parkinson’s disease (PD) supports potential targeting of CRFBP to mediate the development and progression of PD (Miller and O’Callaghan, 2008). PD is a progressive neurodegenerative disease characterized by degeneration of dopaminergic neurons in the striatum, leading to motor dysfunction (DeMaagd and Philip, 2015). Targeting CRFBP may reduce progression of PD and symptoms, through altering dopaminergic signaling and function in key brain regions. Particularly relevant are preliminary findings of CRFBP overexpression in the pituitary of mice, which resulted in increased motor activity (Burrows et al., 1998). Future research should examine potential mechanisms by which modulation of CRFBP may alter neuronal survival in neurodegenerative conditions, as well as the ability of CRFBP to mediate the consequences of chronic stress which contribute to age-related disorders.

Studies examining age- and disease-related neurodegeneration are particularly important to AUD research as well, as there is high comorbidity between AUD and dementia, yet the elderly remain largely overlooked within AUD research (Caputo et al., 2012).

CONCLUSION

Dysregulation of the CRF stress system is highly associated with psychiatric and neurodegenerative disorders. Over the years, various peptides within the CRF system have been investigated as potential targets for treatment, however, have shown limited efficacy in clinical translation. CRFBP is a novel target to mediate the contribution of stress related dysfunction to these conditions. Although a majority of the research on CRFBP within disorders has been focused on AUD, preliminary findings are also relevant to other stress-related psychiatric conditions and age-related neurodegenerative diseases. Further, there is a common occurrence of comorbidity between stress-related disorders and psychiatric conditions, which implicates CRFBP as a therapeutic target to treat the common mechanisms underlying many illnesses (Brady et al., 2000; McCauley et al., 2012; Flory and Yehuda, 2015). Given the promising preliminary findings, further preclinical and clinical research elucidating the role of CRFBP in stress- and alcohol-related behaviors underlying AUD are necessary to provide support for CRFBP as a pharmacological target to treat AUD. This review provides an overview of CRFBP in other stress-related psychiatric disorders, including SUDs, anxiety and depressive conditions, and age-related neurodegenerative disorders. However, the reviewed literature highlights several knowledge gaps pertaining to our understanding of CRFBP. First, findings in discrete brain regions indicate that CRFBP’s actions within the CNS may be both inhibitory and excitatory, requiring further examination to elucidate brain region-specific mechanisms which alter functioning. Additionally, in-depth investigation of CRFBP within preclinical models of SUDs and stress-related psychiatric and neurodegenerative disorders is required to better understand the role of CRFBP in mediating cognitive and memory deficits. Furthermore, there is a dearth of literature critically examining the sex-dependent mechanisms of CRFBP. In order to better inform personalized medicine approaches, future studies on CRFBP should include examination of sex as a biological variable, as well as consider the relevance of ethnic background and genetic polymorphisms as factors that may alter susceptibility to stress-related disorders. Advancements within the AUD research field relevant to this neuropeptide have the potential to inform further understanding and treatment for many conditions that are contributed to by chronic stress pathology.
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