Retrospective Evaluation of Patients Treated for Ectopic Pregnancy: Experience of a Tertiary Center
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Introduction

Ectopic pregnancy is implantation of the fertilized ovum outside the endometrial cavity. According to various publications1–4 its incidence in all pregnancies varies between 1/150 and 1/1,000.1 In recent years, there has been an increase in the incidence of ectopic pregnancies due to a rise in sexually-transmitted diseases, and accordingly, in pelvic infections.2 In spite of this increase in its incidence in the last decade, a decrease was achieved in the mortality rates associated with ectopic pregnancy due to the ability to routinely test for β-hCG and the increasingly widespread use of transvaginal ultrasonography.3 The ability to establish a diagnosis before rupture enables patients to benefit from medical and conservative surgical treatments.4

The present study aims to investigate the general characteristics, treatment and outcomes of cases diagnosed with ectopic pregnancy.

Abstract

Objective In recent years, there has been an increase in the incidence of ectopic pregnancies; therefore, it is important for tertiary centers to report their approaches and outcomes to expand and improve treatment modalities. The aim of the present study was to evaluate the general characteristics, treatment and outcomes of cases diagnosed with ectopic pregnancy.

Methods In total, 432 patients treated for ectopic pregnancy between February 2016 and June 2019 were retrospectively evaluated.

Results Overall, 370 patients had tubal pregnancy, 32 had cesarean scar pregnancy, 18 had pregnancy of unknown location, 6 had cervical pregnancy, and 6 had interstitial pregnancy. The most important risk factors were advanced age (> 35 years; prevalence: 31.2%) and smoking (prevalence: 27.1%). Thirty patients who did not have any symptoms of rupture and whose human chorionic gonadotropin (β-hCG) levels were ≤ 200 mIU/ml were followed-up with expectant management, while 316 patients whose β-hCG levels were between 1,500 mIU/ml and 5,000 mIU/ml did not have an intrauterine gestational sac on the transvaginal or abdominal ultrasound, did not demonstrate findings of rupture, and were treated with a systemic multi-dose methotrexate treatment protocol. In total, 24 patients who did not respond to the medical treatment, 20 patients whose β-hCG levels were > 5,000 mIU/ml, 16 patients who had shown symptoms of rupture at the initial presentation, and 6 patients diagnosed with interstitial pregnancy underwent surgery. Patients with cervical and scar pregnancies underwent ultrasound-guided curettage, and no additional treatment was needed.

Conclusion The fertility status of the patients, the clinical and laboratory findings, and the levels of β-hCG are the factors that must be considered in planning the appropriate treatment.
characteristics, the treatment approaches and the outcomes of cases diagnosed with ectopic pregnancy at our clinic over a three-year period.

**Methods**

**Study Design**

In total, 432 patients diagnosed with ectopic pregnancy and treated in the Gynecology and Obstetrics Service at Gaziantep University Faculty of Medicine between February 2016 and June 2019 were retrospectively evaluated. The study was approved by the Gaziantep University Ethical Committee under number: 05/2011-16. Age, parity, previous deliveries and spontaneous/interventional abortions, methods of contraception, smoking status, history of previous ectopic pregnancy, tubal sterilization and pelvic surgery, \( \beta \)-hCG levels, ultrasound results, and treatment methods were retrospectively studied.

**Data Collection**

Maternal and obstetrical data were collected from the medical record software of the Obstetrics & Gynecology Clinic of the Faculty of Medicine of Gaziantep University.

**Statistical Analysis**

Descriptive data were presented as means ± standard deviations, medians, and percentages. The mean data were compared using the non-parametric Mann-Whitney U test. Values of \( p < 0.05 \) were considered statistically significant. The data were evaluated using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS, IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, US) software, version 22.0.

**Results**

Out of 432 patients, 370 had tubal pregnancy, 32 had caesarean scar pregnancy, 18 had pregnancy of unknown location, 6 had cervical pregnancy, and 6 had interstitial pregnancy. The mean age of the sample was 32.1 ± 6.3 years (range: 19 to 46 years). Of these patients, 15.3% had a history of 1 or multiple abortions, 13.9% had a history of previous ectopic pregnancy, 13.1% had a history of pelvic inflammatory disease, and 12.5% had a history of previous tubal surgery. In total, 27.1% of the patients smoked, and 31.2% were older than 35 years of age. Regarding the contraception method, 55.5% of the patients did not use any, 16.7% had intrauterine devices, 11.1% used the withdrawal method, 2.8% had tubal ligation, 4.2% used the male condom, 5.5% used oral contraceptives, and 2.8% used the calendar method. A total of 1.4% of the sample were breastfeeding. The results of the endometrial curettage indicated Arias-Stella reaction in 71%, and decidual reaction in 92% of the cases.

Ectopic pregnancies were diagnosed according to the diagnostic criteria adopted by our clinic. In total, 18 patients who had an adnexial mass on ultrasound and who did not have any symptoms of rupture, and whose human chorionic gonadotropin (\( \beta \)-hCG) level was \( \leq 200 \) mIU/ml were followed-up with expectant management; the \( \beta \)-hCG levels continued to demonstrate a gradual decrease during the follow-up. A total of 316 patients with tubal ectopic pregnancy whose \( \beta \)-hCG levels were between 1,500 and 5,000 mIU/ml, who did not have an intrauterine gestational sac on the transvaginal or abdominal ultrasound, and did not demonstrate findings of rupture were treated with a systemic multi-dose methotrexate treatment protocol. The endometrial curettage was performed as a tool.

**Fig. 1** Flowchart of the treatments.
for diagnosis in 67 suspected cases, and the diagnosis was confirmed. The size of the ectopic mass and the fetal cardiac activity did not change the treatment approach for tubal ectopic pregnancies, since it is a relative contraindication. According to this protocol, 1 mg/kg of methotrexate was administered on days 1, 3, 5, and 7, and 0.1 mg/kg of leucovorin was administered on days 2, 4, 6, and 8 intramuscularly, until success was achieved (15% minimum decrease in β-hCG levels based on the tests performed on methotrexate days). The patients were treated surgically if their β-hCG levels on the 9th day did not decrease, or if they showed symptoms of rupture during the methotrexate treatment. The success rate of the multi-dose methotrexate treatment was determined as 92.4%. In total, 24 patients underwent surgery after or during the methotrexate treatment. The β-hCG levels of 18 of these patients did not decrease after the medical treatment, and 6 patients showed symptoms of rupture. These 24 patients who did not respond to the medical treatment (all cases of tubal ectopic pregnancies), 20 patients whose β-hCG levels were ≥ 5,000 mIU/ml, 16 patients who had shown symptoms of rupture at the initial presentation, and 6 patients diagnosed with interstitial pregnancy underwent surgery (36 laparoscopic and 30 laparotomic surgeries). Out of the 66 patients who underwent surgery, 48 underwent salpingectomy. A total of 12 patients had tubal abortion, and their abdomens were closed after a lavage of the abdominal cavity, without any additional intervention; 6 interstitial pregnancies underwent wedge resection. Ultrasound-guided curettage was performed in 6 cervical and 32 caesarean scar pregnancies. Following the curettage, these 38 patients showed a progressive fall in their β-hCG levels, and required no additional treatment. In total, 12 patients who had tubal abortion, and their abdomens were closed after a lavage of the abdominal cavity, without any additional intervention; 6 interstitial pregnancies underwent wedge resection. Ultrasound-guided curettage was performed in 6 cervical and 32 caesarean scar pregnancies. Following the curettage, these 38 patients showed a progressive fall in their β-hCG levels, and required no additional treatment. In total, 18 patients with pregnancy of unknown location on ultrasound were followed-up with expectant management; 12 of these patients did not need any intervention, and 6 were treated with systemic methotrexate because of an irregular increase in the β-hCG levels. Finally, 68.9% of the patients were submitted to a systematic multi-dose methotrexate treatment, 9.7% underwent surgery, 8.8% were submitted to ultrasound-guided curettage, 6.9% underwent expectant management, and 5.5% were submitted to surgery after the methotrexate treatment. A flowchart of the treatments is shown in - Fig. 1.

The distribution of the cases with regard to the gestational age based on the date of the last menstruation was as follows: weeks 5 to 7 in 52.4% (226); and weeks 8 to 10 in 47.6% (206). At the initial presentation, 16 cases (3.7%) had shown symptoms of rupture. Stable vital signs were observed in 416 cases (91.7%). The delivery and the rates of spontaneous and surgical abortions are summarized in - Table 1; the number of previous ectopic pregnancies, cesarean sections and pelvic surgeries are summarized in - Table 2; and the levels of β-hCG among the treatment groups are described in - Table 3.

### Table 1 Delivery, spontaneous and interventional abortion rates of the patients

|                      | Number of patients | %    |
|----------------------|--------------------|------|
| **Parity**           |                    |      |
| Nullipara            | 66                 | 15.3 |
| Primipara            | 168                | 38.9 |
| Multipara            | 198                | 45.8 |
| **Spontaneous abortion** |                |    |
| None                 | 366                | 84.7 |
| 1                    | 36                 | 8.3  |
| 2                    | 18                 | 4.2  |
| 3                    | 12                 | 2.8  |
| **Surgical abortion** |                   |    |
| None                 | 378                | 87.5 |
| 1                    | 30                 | 6.9  |
| 2                    | 24                 | 5.5  |

### Table 2 Number of previous ectopic pregnancies, cesarean sections, and tubal surgeries

|                      | Number of operations | Number of patients | %    |
|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|------|
| Ectopic pregnancy    | 0                    | 372                | 86.1 |
| 1                    | 48                   | 11.1               |
| 2                    | 12                   | 2.8                |
| Cesarean section     | 0                    | 192                | 44.4 |
| 1                    | 72                   | 16.7               |
| 2                    | 84                   | 19.4               |
| 3                    | 54                   | 12.5               |
| 4                    | 30                   | 6.9                |
| Tubal surgery        | 0                    | 378                | 87.5 |
| 1                    | 42                   | 9.7                |
| 2                    | 12                   | 2.8                |

### Table 3 Levels of human chorionic gonadotropin (β-hCG) among the treatment groups

|                      | Number of patients | β-hCG (mIU/ml) | p-value |
|----------------------|--------------------|----------------|---------|
| Methotrexate         | 298                | 2457 ± 1642    | 0.123   |
| Surgery              | 42                 | 5189 ± 4555    | 0.041*  |
| Ultrasound-guided curettage | 38           | 1996 ± 2107    | 0.813   |
| Expectant management | 30                 | 126 ± 78       | 0.021*  |
| Methotrexate + surgery| 24             | 2989 ± 2623    | 0.442   |

Note: *Significant at 0.05 level; ^significantly lower than the others; ^significantly higher than the others.

**Discussion**

Although the mortality rate in cases of ectopic pregnancy has decreased due to the advances in diagnosis and treatment, its incidence has increased in parallel to the increase in the prevalence of pelvic inflammatory diseases, increasing maternal age, and the increasing use of infertility treatments. The aim of the treatment for ectopic pregnancy has shifted from ensuring survival to preserving fertility, and the conservative surgical techniques were developed to maintain...
fertility. The treatment options for ectopic pregnancies include expectant management, methotrexate treatment, ultrasound-guided curettage and surgery. The treatment modality should be selected based on the overall condition of the patient, the laboratory findings, and the fertility status. In the present study, we evaluated the approaches we adopted in the treatment of ectopic pregnancy, and discussed our treatment spectrum along with the literature.

In the present study, ectopic pregnancies were most common in the no-contraception group (55.5% | n = 240), while intrauterine devices were used by 36 (16.7%) patients. A history of tubal surgery was present in 18 patients (8%), and a history of previous ectopic pregnancy, in 30 patients (13.9%). The most important risk factors were advanced age (> 35) and smoking (respectively; 135 (31.2%), 117 (27.1%). The generally known risk factors for ectopic pregnancy were encountered at moderate rates among the patients included in the present study.

Studies about expectant management have reported success rates varying between 54% and 92.3%; however, expectant management has the highest success rates in patient groups with low β-hCG levels (≤ 200 mIU/ml). In total, 30 patients followed-up with expectant management had significantly lower β-hCG levels compared with the other groups, and our success rate was of 100%. Thus, selecting appropriate patients in the determination of the treatment modality can significantly increase the success rate.

Methotrexate is a folic-acid antagonist, and it inhibits the production of tetrahydrofolate, which is required for the synthesis of DNA, RNA, and ATP. The treatment with methotrexate can be administered locally or systemically. Previous studies determined lower success rates with a single-dose protocol than with a multi-dose protocol, particularly when the β-hCG levels are high. The multi-dose methotrexate treatment appears to be as effective as laparoscopic salpingostomy in the treatment of ectopic pregnancies (the comparison of multi-dose methotrexate with surgery: 82% to 71%; relative risk (RR): 1.15%; 95% confidence interval (95% CI): 0.93–1.43 respectively). A systematic review of randomized studies revealed that single-dose methotrexate (50 mg/m², or 1 mg/kg) had a lower success rate than the multi-dose treatment (the comparison of single-dose multi-dose methotrexate in four studies: 71% to 88%; RR: 0.82%; 95%CI: 0.72–0.94 respectively). Meanwhile, administering an additional dose when a single-dose fails was found to have an effectiveness comparable to that of salpingostomy and the multi-dose treatment (RR: 1.01; 95%CI: 0.92–1.12). We have been administering the multi-dose treatment regimen for years, with high success rates; and although the systemic treatment was reported to have side effects such as pneumonia, stomatitis, and alopecia, our patients did not show any side effects, which can be explained by the controlled use of the medication at appropriate doses and on appropriate patients.

The serum β-hCG levels are closely related to the success rates obtained with the medical treatment. A previous study revealed that the initial β-hCG level was the most useful prognostic data to predict the success of the methotrexate treatment. In another study, methotrexate was found to have a success rate of 94% when the initial β-hCG level was lower than 10,000 mIU/ml, and a success rate of 75% when it was higher than 10,000 mIU/ml. More recent publications have shown that a cut-off level between 2,000 mIU/ml and 10,000 mIU/ml is more appropriate for high success rates. Similarly, in the present study, we determined higher success rates with an initial β-hCG level below 5,000 mIU/ml.

In the management of cervical and cesarean scar pregnancies, aspiration curettage can be combined with cervical tamponade using a Foley catheter for hemostasis. Besides these procedures, local prostaglandin can be used following the curettage. In case of uncontrollable bleeding, the ligation of the cervical branches of the uterine artery and the ligation of the bilateral hypogastric arteries can be considered. As a last resort, hysterectomy can be performed. In the present study, 6 patients with cervical pregnancies and 32 patients with cesarean scar pregnancies were treated with ultrasound-guided curettage, without any additional interventions. The β-hCG levels of the patients gradually decreased to zero after the curettage. Based on this result, one can state that a successful ultrasound-guided curettage procedure is quite effective as a standalone treatment in patients diagnosed with scar and cervical pregnancies.

Endometrial sampling in the diagnosis of ectopic pregnancy is still controversial. The presence of decidual and typical Arias-Stella reactions in endometrial curettage material without fetal tissue and placental components is an important finding for ectopic pregnancy. Although Arias-Stella reactions were reported to be present at a rate of 40% to 70% in ectopic pregnancies, the presence of a decidual reaction without an Arias-Stella reaction was also reported to corroborate the diagnosis. In line with the literature, the Arias-Stella reaction was determined at a rate of 71% in the cases who underwent endometrial curettage in the present study, and the decidual reaction was determined in 92% of the patients. We must remember that all phases of the endometrium can be found in an ectopic pregnancy, and none are pathognomonic. Nevertheless, examining an endometrial sample can be helpful in patients with unclear differential diagnosis.

The gold standard in the surgical treatment of ectopic pregnancy is laparoscopic surgery, because it is associated with shorter operations and hospitalization, lower blood loss and lower need for analgesics, and a lower total cost. Intra-abdominal adhesion is also less frequent after laparoscopy compared with laparatomy. Meanwhile, laparoscopy may not be the primary choice for patients who are hemodynamically unstable and patients with severe intra-abdominal bleeding. Surgical procedures have a greater impact on future fertility compared with the medical treatment, and the probability of natural conception significantly decreases, particularly after a salpingostomy. On the other hand, the treatment with salpingostomy is typically not preferred by clinicians because of persistent trophoblastic activity and the risk of tubal bleeding. Among the ectopic pregnancies treated at our clinic, those with hemodynamic instability, β-hCG levels higher than 5,000 mIU/ml, and those not
responding to the methotrexate treatment were treated with surgical methods. Of such patients, 60% underwent laparoscopy, and 40% underwent laparotomy. Of the 30 patients who underwent surgery, 24 underwent salpingectomy, while 6 had tubal abortions, and the abdominal cavity was irrigated and lavaged without any additional treatment.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, the early and correct diagnosis of ectopic pregnancy is important to prevent mortality and morbidity. It must be taken into consideration that conventional risk factors are not always present, and patients in low-risk groups should be examined thoroughly. In the treatment of ectopic pregnancy, methotrexate therapy, surgical intervention, and expectant approaches have high success rates without complications when administered to the correct patients. Determining the most appropriate treatment approach is of vital importance. The fertility of the patients, the clinical and laboratory findings, and the serum β-hCG levels are factors that must be considered in planning an appropriate treatment.
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