**New Diterpenoids from *Mesona procumbens* with Antiproliferative Activities Modulate Cell Cycle Arrest and Apoptosis in Human Leukemia Cancer Cells**
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**Abstract:** *Mesona procumbens* is a popular material used in foods and herbal medicines in Asia for clearing heat and resolving toxins. However, phytochemical research on this plant is very rare. In this study, eleven new diterpenoids, mesonols A–K (ent-kauranes, three ent-atisanes, and one sarcopetalane), were isolated from its methanolic extract. Structural elucidation of compounds 1–11 was performed by spectroscopic methods, especially 2D NMR, HRESIMS, and X-ray crystallographic analysis. All isolates were assessed for their antiproliferative activity, and compounds 1–4 showed potential antiproliferative activities against A549, Hep-3B, PC-3, HT29, and U937 cancer cells, with IC50 values ranging from 1.97 to 19.86 µM. The most active compounds, 1 and 2, were selected for further investigation of their effects on cell cycle progression, apoptosis, and ROS generation in U937 human leukemia cancer cells. Interestingly, it was found that compounds 1 and 2 induced antiproliferative effects in U937 cells through different mechanisms. Compound 1 caused cell cycle arrest at the G2/M phase and subsequent cell death in a dose- and time-dependent manner. However, 2-mediated antiproliferation of U937 cells triggered ROS-mediated mitochondrial-dependent apoptosis. These results provide insight into the molecular mechanism involved in the antiproliferative activities of compounds 1 and 2 in U937 cells. Altogether, the study showed that new diterpenoid compounds 1 and 2 from *M. procumbens* are potent and promising anticancer agents.
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1. Introduction

Natural products (NPs) and NP-like compounds have played an important role in drug discovery. More than 70% of the anticancer drugs that have been approved worldwide over the past seven decades are NPs or are inspired by NP structures [1,2]. NPs have evolved to target multiple proteins and often possess diverse biological activities, leading to a combination of therapeutic effects and toxicity. NP scaffolds can be regarded as “bioactive” or “privileged” scaffolds in chemical space because they have been naturally selected to specifically interact with diverse biological targets [3]. Due to the toxicity of the
therapeutics that are currently used to treat various types of tumors, several NPs and their structural derivatives have been evaluated for their potential as anticancer agents [4,5]. A wide range of NPs, e.g., terpenoids [6], flavonoids [7], alkaloids [8], polyphenols [9], and other secondary metabolites, have shown promising anticancer properties.

Apoptosis is a mechanism of cell death that involves cell shrinkage and membrane blebbing (morphological changes) and is triggered by various death stimuli, including stress, heat, and UV radiation [10,11]. The two major apoptosis pathways are the extrinsic pathway, which involves the binding of ligands to cell surface “death receptors”, and the intrinsic pathway, which involves the mitochondria [12]. Apoptosis also involves the activation of caspases, a family of cysteine proteases and members of the Bcl-2 family [13]. The caspase family can be divided into two categories: initiator and effector caspases. The activation of initiator caspases, such as caspase-2, -8, -9, and -10, occurs through the cleavage of an aspartate residue that triggers the execution of the actions of effector caspases, such as caspase-3, -6, and -7. Traditional Chinese herbs are considered to have great potential for cancer treatment and are attracting increasing attention. Hsian-tsao (Mesona procumbens Hemsl.), a traditional Chinese herb, is used for the treatment of heat shock, hypertension, diabetes, liver disease, and muscle pain. In addition, Hsian-tsao tea and its herbal jelly are popular during the summer, and heated herbal jelly is admired by many Taiwanese individuals, especially in the winter, due to its aroma and taste. Previous research reported that M. procumbens extracts possess several bioactivities, such as anti-inflammatory [14], antihypertensive [15], DNA damage protection [16], antimutagenic [17], liver fibrosis prevention [18], and renal protective [19] activities. However, the bioactivity and components of M. procumbens in relation to the treatment of cancer remain unknown. This study describes the isolation and structural elucidation of eleven new diterpenoids, comprising seven ent-kauranes (mesonols A-G, 1–7), three ent-atisanes (mesonols H-J, 8–10), and one sarcopetalane (mesonol K, 11) (Figure 1). Their absolute stereochemistry was established by NOESY experiments, biosynthesis, and X-ray crystallographic analysis. Previous pharmacological studies have shown that ent-kaurane diterpenes from other plants have potential anticancer activity that is mediated mainly through the regulation of cell cycle arrest and apoptosis [20]. Herein, the cytotoxicity of all of the isolated diterpenoids against five human cancer cell lines, A549, Hep-3B, PC-3, HT29, and U937, is reported. Compounds 1 and 2 were further investigated for their cytotoxicity against the U937 cell line. Supplementary materials can be found, in the online version, including $^1$H, $^{13}$C, 2D NMR, and HRESIMS spectra for compounds 1–11.
Figure 1. Structures of the isolated diterpenes 1–11 from the methanolic extract of *M. procumbens*.

2. Results

2.1. General

The methanolic extract of *M. procumbens* was suspended in H₂O and then successively partitioned with *n*-hexane and CH₂Cl₂ to give two organic layers and an aqueous layer. The CH₂Cl₂ extract was chromatographed on a C₁₈ gel flash column and then on a silica gel flash column. The subfractions were further subjected to preparative HPLC using a reversed-phase column to yield eleven new diterpenoids (1–11) (Figure 1). All isolated compounds were screened for their antiproliferative activities against five human cancer cell lines, and their molecular mechanism was investigated in U937 human leukemia cancer cells.

2.2. Structure Elucidation of the Isolated Diterpenoids

Compound 1 was isolated as colorless needles (MeOH), whose molecular formula was determined to be C₂₀H₃₂O₄ [M + Na]⁺ (calcd. for C₂₀H₃₂O₄Na, 359.2193) by HRESIMS, requiring five degrees of unsaturation in the molecule. The IR spectrum exhibited absorption bands diagnostic of hydroxy (3468 cm⁻¹) and ketone (1716 cm⁻¹) functionalities. With the aid of the HSQC spectrum, the¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra (Tables 1 and 2) of 1 displayed 20 carbon signals corresponding to three tertiary methyl groups (δ_H 0.83; δ_C 20.4, δ_H 0.87; δ_C 32.6, and δ_H 1.32; δ_C 15.2), eight methylenes (including one oxygenated group, δ_H 3.40 and 3.55 (each 1H, d, J = 11.4 Hz); δ_C 64.0), four methines (including one oxygenated, δ_H 4.12 (m); δ_C 65.6), and five quaternary carbons (including one oxygenated (δ_C 81.2) and one carbonyl (δ_C 223.0)). Analysis of the ¹H-¹H COSY and HMBC spectra (Figure 2A) corroborated the planar structure of 1. The COSY spectrum showed cross-peaks for H-1/H-2/H-3, H-5/H-6/H-7, and H-11/H-12/H-13/H-14, establishing the presence of three fragments. Furthermore, the HMBC correlations from geminal methyl protons CH₃-18 and CH₃-19 to C-3, C-4, and C-5; from CH₃-20 to C-1, C-5, C-9, and C-10; from H₂-7 to C-8; from H₂-14 to C-8, C-12, C-15, and C-16; and from the oxygenated methene H₂-17 to C-13, C-15, and C-16, constructing the kaurane-type diterpene skeletal structure of 1 [15,16]. Additionally, the ketone group at C-15 and three hydroxy groups at C-12, C-16, and C-17 were deduced from their chemical shifts and mass data. Thus, the fully planar structure of 1 was established as a 12,16,17-trihydroxy-kaur-15-one. The NOESY spectrum of 1 exhibited
(Figure 2B) correlations between H-5 and H-9 and between CH$_{3}$-20 and one proton of H-14 ($\delta_H$ 2.73 (brd, $J = 13.2$)), revealing that H-5 and H-9 were $\beta$-oriented, while CH$_{3}$-20 was $\alpha$-oriented. Thus, the stereochemical features of ring junction protons implied that 1 was an ent-kaurane diterpene [21]. Furthermore, the protons of H$_2$-17 ($\delta_H$ 3.40, 3.55 (d, $J = 11.4$)), positioned in an equatorial orientation, showed NOE correlations with the other proton H-14 ($\delta_H$ 1.34), revealing that the hydroxymethyl group was $\alpha$-oriented. The absolute configuration of compound 1 was further determined by CuK$\alpha$ X-ray crystallographic analysis (Figure 2C). Finally, the structure of compound 1 was unambiguously elucidated as 12$\alpha$,16$\beta$,17-trihydroxy-ent-kaur-15-one and named mesonol A.

Figure 2. 2D-NMR correlations and X-ray ORTEP drawings of the isolates. (A) $^1$H-$^1$H COSY (→) and key HMBC (→) correlations of 1–3 and 6–11. (B) Main NOESY correlations of 1–3, 5–8 and 10–11. (C) X-ray ORTEP drawings of 1–3 and 7.
Table 1. $^1$H NMR Spectroscopic Data ($\delta_H$ in ppm, mult, $J$ in Hz) of 1–11 in Methanol-d$_4$.

| No | 1 $^a$ | 2 $^b$ | 3 $^b$ | 4 $^b$ | 5 $^b$ | 6 $^b$ | 7 $^a$ | 8 $^a$ | 9 $^b$ | 10 $^b$ | 11 $^a$ |
|----|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 1  | 0.71 td (3.6, 13.2) | 0.74 td (3.5, 13.0) | 0.82 m | 0.82 m | 0.82 m | 0.88 m | 0.83 m | 0.82 m | 0.88 m | 0.90 m | 0.98 td (4.2, 13.2) |
|    | 1.75 m | 1.83 m | 1.83 m | 1.81 m | 1.76 brd (12.5) | 1.76 m | 1.65 m | 1.61 m | 1.56 m | 1.62 m | 1.62 m |
| 2  | 1.37 m | 1.42 m | 1.40 m | 1.40 m | 1.40 m | 1.45 m | 1.42 m | 1.37 m | 1.38 m | 1.38 m | 1.46 m |
|    | 1.60 m | 1.70 m | 1.68 m | 1.69 m | 1.66 m | 1.65 m | 1.64 m | 1.64 m | 1.64 m | 1.64 m | 1.64 m |
| 3  | 1.16 m | 1.18 m | 1.17 td (4.5, 14.0) | 1.18 td (4.5, 14.0) | 1.17 td (4.5, 12.1) | 1.21 td (4.5, 12.1) | 1.15 m | 1.19 m | 1.19 m | 1.22 td (5.6, 13.2) | 1.32 |
|    | 1.38 m | 1.41 m | 1.39 m | 1.40 m | 1.40 m | 1.42 m | 1.39 m | 1.38 m | 1.40 m | 1.41 m | 1.42 m |
| 5  | 0.88 m | 0.90 m | 0.83 m | 0.82 m | 0.83 dd (2.0, 12.5) | 0.95 dd (2.0, 11.5) | 0.85 dd (2.4, 12.0) | 0.72 dd (1.8, 11.4) | 0.82 dd (2.0, 12.0) | 0.89 m | 1.06 dd (2.4, 10.5) |
| 6  | 1.37 m | 1.40 m | 1.39 m | 1.41 m | 1.40 m | 1.42 m | 1.36 m | 1.35 m | 1.40 m | 1.41 m | 1.50 m |
|    | 1.66 m | 1.65 m | 1.57 m | 1.58 m | 1.57 m | 1.63 m | 1.64 m | 1.58 m | 1.55 m | 1.56 m | 1.69 m |
| 7  | 1.34 m | 1.37 m | 1.40 m | 1.43 m | 1.44 m | 1.55 td (6.6, 12.3) | 1.11 m | 1.11 m | 1.16 m | 1.37 m | 1.37 m |
|    | 1.79 td (6.0, 14.4) | 1.83 td (6.0, 14.5) | 1.71 m | 1.70 m | 1.67 m | 2.27 m | 1.77 m | 1.12 m | 13.5) | 13.5 |
| 9  | 1.19 m | 1.17 d (9.5) | 1.54 brd (10.0) | 1.54 brd (9.5) | 1.51 m | 1.94 d (11.0) | 1.88 brd (10.2) | 1.35 m | 1.51 m | 1.57 m | 1.74 dd (3.6, 13.2) |
|    | 4.12 m | 4.05 m | 4.05 m | 4.07 m | 3.96 m | - | - | - | 2.27 brq (3.0) | 2.30 brs | 2.36 m |
| 11 | 1.79 td (6.0, 14.4) | 1.83 td (6.0, 14.5) | 1.71 m | 1.70 m | 1.67 m | 2.27 m | 1.77 m | 1.12 m | 13.5) | 13.5 |
|    | 2.48 brt (3.6) | 2.67 m | 2.04 brt (4.5) | 2.11 brt (4.0) | 2.10 brs | 2.77 brs | 2.60 brd (4.8) | 4.31 brd (9.0) | 3.89 ddd (1.5, 13.5, 10.0) | 3.60 m | 5.91 brs |
| 14 | 1.34 m | 1.24 dd (4.0, 12.5) | 0.71 dd (4.5, 12.5) | 0.77 dd (4.5, 13.0) | 1.27 dd (4.5, 12.0) | 4.24 brs | 1.34 m | 1.31 m | 1.98 dd (3.5, 15.0) | 3.99 m | 2.16 brd (17.4) |
|    | 2.73 brd (13.2) | 2.86 brd (12.5) | 2.32 brd (12.5) | 2.32 brd (13.0) | 2.32 d (12.0) | 2.28 brd (13.2) | 2.14 brd (3.0, 15.0) | 2.16 brd (17.4) | 2.66 brd (17.4) | 2.66 brd (17.4) |
| 15 | - | - | 3.10 brs | 3.18 brs | 3.63 brs | 3.65 brs | 3.31 brs | - | 3.47 brt (2.0) | 3.98 m | 4.77 d (1.8) |
| 16 | - | - | 2.63 m | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 17 | 3.40 d (11.4) | 3.60 dd (8.0, 11.0) | 3.29 d (11.0) | 3.48 d (11.0) | 3.67 d (11.0) | 3.55 d (11.0) | 3.33 d (11.4) | 3.55 d (12.0) | 5.08 t (1.5) | 5.06 t (2.5) | 4.14 d (14.4) |
| 18 | 0.83 s | 0.87 s | 0.86 s | 0.86 s | 0.86 s | 0.85 s | 0.81 s | 0.85 s | 0.87 s | 0.87 s | 0.87 s |
| 19 | 0.87 s | 0.91 s | 0.89 s | 0.87 s | 0.88 s | 0.92 s | 0.88 s | 0.96 s | 0.90 s | 0.91 s | 0.89 s |
| 20 | 1.29 s | 1.31 s | 1.25 s | 1.25 s | 1.27 s | 1.24 d (14.3) | 1.24 s | 1.21 s | 1.13 s | 1.05 s | 0.87 s |

$^a$ Recorded at 600 MHz. $^b$ Recorded at 500 MHz.
Table 2. $^{13}$C NMR Spectroscopic Data ($\delta_C$ in ppm, mult) of 1–11 in Methanol-$d_4$.

| No | 1 $^a$ | 2 $^b$ | 3 $^b$ | 4 $^b$ | 5 $^b$ | 6 $^b$ | 7 $^a$ | 8 $^a$ | 9 $^b$ | 10 $^b$ | 11 $^a$ |
|----|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 1  | 39.2, CH$_2$ | 39.0, CH$_2$ | 40.1, CH$_2$ | 40.1, CH$_2$ | 40.0, CH$_2$ | 39.7, CH$_2$ | 39.5, CH$_2$ | 39.4, CH$_2$ | 39.6, CH$_2$ | 39.6, CH$_2$ | 37.9, CH$_2$ |
| 2  | 18.0, CH$_2$ | 17.8, CH$_2$ | 18.2, CH$_2$ | 18.1, CH$_2$ | 18.1, CH$_2$ | 18.0, CH$_2$ | 17.9, CH$_2$ | 17.7, CH$_2$ | 17.9, CH$_2$ | 17.9, CH$_2$ | 17.9, CH$_2$ |
| 3  | 41.7, CH$_2$ | 41.7, CH$_2$ | 41.9, CH$_2$ | 41.9, CH$_2$ | 41.9, CH$_2$ | 41.5, CH$_2$ | 41.6, CH$_2$ | 41.7, CH$_2$ | 41.9, CH$_2$ | 41.8, CH$_2$ | 41.6, CH$_2$ |
| 4  | 32.7, qC | 32.7, qC | 32.8, qC | 32.8, qC | 32.7, qC | 32.8, qC | 32.7, qC | 32.6, qC | 32.5, qC | 32.5, qC | 32.7, qC |
| 5  | 55.2, CH | 55.4, CH | 55.1, CH | 55.0, CH | 55.2, CH | 55.4, CH | 55.1, CH | 55.2, CH | 55.2, CH | 55.4, CH | 55.8, CH |
| 6  | 17.8, CH$_2$ | 18.3, CH$_2$ | 19.7, CH$_2$ | 19.7, CH$_2$ | 19.9, CH$_2$ | 18.9, CH$_2$ | 19.4, CH$_2$ | 17.6, CH$_2$ | 18.2, CH$_2$ | 17.7, CH$_2$ | 18.9, CH$_2$ |
| 7  | 33.1, CH$_2$ | 33.5, CH$_2$ | 39.8, CH$_2$ | 39.7, CH$_2$ | 39.8, CH$_2$ | 77.1, CH | 37.8, CH$_2$ | 29.8, CH$_2$ | 39.6, CH$_2$ | 37.4, CH$_2$ | 39.2, CH$_2$ |
| 8  | 51.5, qC | 52.6, qC | 45.2, qC | 45.7, qC | 46.9, qC | 51.9, qC | 46.3, qC | 45.4, qC | 38.9, qC | 44.9, qC | 43.1, qC |
| 9  | 55.4, CH | 54.1, CH | 47.5, CH | 47.4, CH | 47.6, CH | 45.1, CH | 47.1, CH | 47.7, CH | 42.7, CH | 43.0, CH | 47.9, CH |
| 10 | 38.3, qC | 38.1, qC | 37.6, qC | 37.5, qC | 37.5, qC | 38.8, qC | 38.7, qC | 38.8, qC | 37.4, qC | 37.4, qC | 36.6, qC |
| 11 | 26.4, CH$_2$ | 26.2, CH$_2$ | 26.4, CH$_2$ | 26.2, CH$_2$ | 26.4, CH$_2$ | 26.4, CH$_2$ | 37.9, CH$_2$ | 14.5, CH$_2$ | 20.9, CH$_2$ | 21.4, CH | 26.8, CH$_2$ |
| 12 | 65.6, CH | 64.8, CH | 66.3, CH | 66.1, CH | 65.7, CH | 212.5, qC | 214.3, qC | 41.0, CH$_2$ | 44.3, CH | 44.8, CH | 173.9, qC |
| 13 | 43.9, CH | 39.5, CH | 46.6, CH | 48.1, CH | 51.1, CH | 65.6, CH | 57.4, CH | 63.2, CH | 67.4, CH | 77.2, CH | 131.8, CH |
| 14 | 27.0, CH$_2$ | 30.9, CH$_2$ | 28.8, CH$_2$ | 28.7, CH$_2$ | 28.7, CH$_2$ | 30.5, CH$_2$ | 33.4, CH$_2$ | 34.1, CH$_2$ | 37.8, CH$_2$ | 73.2, CH$_2$ | 37.0, CH$_2$ |
| 15 | 223.0, qC | 222.7, qC | 80.3, CH | 81.3, CH | 89.2, CH | 79.0, CH | 216.0, qC | 74.8, CH | 67.9, CH | 95.3, CH | 142.2, qC |
| 16 | 81.2, qC | 55.2, CH | 75.8, qC | 75.2, qC | 81.1, qC | 77.5, qC | 76.9, qC | 75.2, qC | 155.1, qC | 153.1, qC | 142.2, qC |
| 17 | 64.0, CH$_2$ | 58.1, CH$_2$ | 69.1, CH$_2$ | 53.9, CH$_2$ | 63.2, CH$_2$ | 69.4, CH$_2$ | 68.1, CH$_2$ | 64.0, CH$_2$ | 110.0, CH$_2$ | 109.0, CH$_2$ | 58.2, CH$_2$ |
| 18 | 20.4, CH$_3$ | 20.4, CH$_3$ | 20.7, CH$_3$ | 20.6, CH$_3$ | 20.6, CH$_3$ | 20.5, CH$_3$ | 20.5, CH$_3$ | 20.9, CH$_3$ | 20.8, CH$_3$ | 20.5, CH$_3$ | 20.5, CH$_3$ |
| 19 | 32.6, CH$_3$ | 32.6, CH$_3$ | 32.7, CH$_3$ | 32.7, CH$_3$ | 32.7, CH$_3$ | 32.5, CH$_3$ | 32.4, CH$_3$ | 32.4, CH$_3$ | 32.6, CH$_3$ | 32.5, CH$_3$ | 32.4, CH$_3$ |
| 20 | 15.2, CH$_3$ | 15.2, CH$_3$ | 15.4, CH$_3$ | 15.4, CH$_3$ | 15.5, CH$_3$ | 15.5, CH$_3$ | 15.4, CH$_3$ | 15.4, CH$_3$ | 15.0, CH$_3$ | 15.0, CH$_3$ | 13.7, CH$_3$ |

$^a$ Recorded at 150 MHz. $^b$ Recorded at 125 MHz.
Compound 2 had a molecular formula of C_{20}H_{32}O_{3}, as determined by a positive HRES-IMS experiment. Comparison of the \textsuperscript{1}H- and \textsuperscript{13}C-NMR spectra of 2 with those of 1 showed that the oxygenated quaternary carbon C-16 (δC 81.2) in 1 was replaced by a methine carbon (δH 2.63; δC 55.2) in 2. This result was confirmed by H$_2$-17 (δH 3.60, 3.92) and H-13 (δH 2.67), which both showed a COSY correlation with H-16, and a 2-(hydroxymethyl)cyclopentan-1-one unit was constructed by H-14, H-16, and H$_2$-17, showing HMBC correlations with C-15 (δC 222.7) (Figure 2A). The stereochemistry of kaurane-type diterpene 2 was identical to that in 1 because of the same NOE correlations (H-5/H-9, CH$_3$-19/CH$_2$-20/H$_2$-14 (δH 2.86)) (Figure 2B). Additionally, the NOESY correlation between H-16 and H$_2$-14 (δH 1.24) revealed that H-16 was α-oriented, while a correlation between H$_3$-14 and H$_2$-17 was absent. Moreover, the β-orientation of H-12 was deduced from the NOE correlations between H-12 and H$_2$-17. The configuration was further supported by the single-crystal X-ray crystallographic data of 2 (Figure 2C). Therefore, the structure of 2 was clearly established as 12α-hydroxy-16β-hydroxymethyl-ent-kaur-15-one.

A 15,16-dihydroxy-ent-kaurane diterpene skeleton was elucidated for each of the diterpenes 3–7 from the NMR spectra, and all showed the presence of three methines (C-5, C-9, and C-13), three quaternary carbons (C-4, C-8, and C-10), an oxygenated methine (C-15), and an oxygenated quaternary carbon (C-16). According to \textsuperscript{1}H-\textsuperscript{1}H COSY and HMBC correlations (Figure 2A), compound 3 was elucidated as 12,15,16,17-tetrahydroxy-ent-kaurane. Thus, the planar structure of 3 is a reductive product of 1. The carbonyl carbon (C-15) in 1 is replaced with a hydroxyl group in 3 (δC 80.3). OH-15 was assigned the β-orientation due to the upfield shift of C-9 (δC 47.5), which was caused by the γ-gauche steric compression effect between OH-15 and H-9. Moreover, H-15 (δH 3.10) showed NOE correlations (Figure 2B) with H$_2$-17, and one proton of H$_2$-14 (δH 0.72) revealed that those protons were on the same side of the cyclopentane ring. From the above findings, the stereochemistry of OH-16 showed an axial-like β-orientation, while the stereochemistry of the 16-hydroxymethyl group showed an equatorial-like α-orientation. Furthermore, the configuration was confirmed by single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis, and a perspective drawing of 3 is provided in Figure 2C. Finally, the structure of 3 was assigned as 12α,15β,16β,17-tetrahydroxy-ent-kaurane and named mesonol C.

Comparison of the \textsuperscript{13}C NMR and \textsuperscript{1}H NMR data (Tables 1 and 2) of compound 4 with those of 3 revealed that the only difference was the substitution of a hydroxyl group at C-17 in compound 3 with a chlorine in 4. This finding was confirmed by the chemical shift of C-17 occurring upfield to δC 53.9 in 4. In addition, the HRESIMS spectrum of 4 showed a pair of sodiated quasimolecular ion peaks at m/z 379.2016 [M + Na]$^+$ and 381.1985 [M + Na + 2]$^+$ with a ratio of 3:1, suggesting that compound 4 possesses a chlorine atom. The relative configurations of the chiral centers in 4 were found to be the same as those in 3, as determined from a NOESY experiment. Thus, 4 was elucidated as 12α,15β,16β-trihydroxy-17-chloro-ent-kaurane and named mesonol D.

Compound 5 exhibited the same molecular formula (C$_{20}$H$_{34}$O$_{4}$) and similar NMR data to 3. These two compounds were determined to be stereoisomers because, after detailed analysis of their 2D NMR spectra, their planar structures were identical. The NOESY experiment (Figure 2B) determined the relative configurations of compound 5, in which correlations were observed from CH$_3$-18 to H-5, from H-5 to H-9, from CH$_3$-20 to H$_2$-14, from H$_2$-7 to H-15, and from H-15 to H$_3$-14. These results indicated that compound 5 also possessed an ent-kaurane skeleton. Moreover, other NOE correlations from H$_2$-17 to H-12 and from H$_2$-17 to H$_3$-11 were observed in 5, whereas H$_2$-17 showed NOE correlations with H-15 and H$_3$-14 in 5. Thus, compound 5 was characterized as 12α,15β,16α,17-tetrahydroxy-ent-kaurane and named mesonol E.

The molecular formula of 6 was inferred by HRESIMS to be C$_{20}$H$_{32}$O$_{5}$ (m/z 375.2144 [M + Na]$^+$). The \textsuperscript{1}H-\textsuperscript{13}C COSY spectrum (Figure 2A) of 6 displayed two fragments of H-1 (δH 1.94)/H-2 (δH 1.64)/H-3 (δH 1.41) and H-5 (δH 0.95)/H-6 (δH 1.64)/H-7 (δH 2.28). The COSY fragments together with the HMBC correlations (Figure 2A) of H$_2$-20 (δH 0.84)/C-1 (δC 39.7), C-5 (δC 55.4), C-9 (δC 45.4), C-10 (δC 38.8), H$_3$-18 (δH 0.85)/C-3 (δC 41.5), C-4...
(δC 32.8), C-5, C-19 (δC 32.5), and H-9 (δH 1.94)/C-8 (δC 51.9) established rings A and B in 6. The protons of H-9, H-11 (δH 2.23 and 2.71), H-14 (δH 4.24), and H2-17 (δH 3.55 and 3.60) showed HMBC correlations with C-12 (δC 212.5), and the two COSY fragments of H-9/H-11 and H-13/H-14 supported the connectivity of ring C in 6. Additionally, four hydroxyl groups were attached at C-14, C-15, C-16, and C-17, as demonstrated by the HMBC correlations from H-14 to C-15, H-15 to C-16 and C-17, and H2-17 to C-13 and C-16. Furthermore, the chemical shift of C-7 in 6 occurred upfield to 30.5 ppm compared with that in the other ent-kaurane isolates because of the γ-gauche steric compression effects of the 14-OH group. Thus, the fully planar structure of 6 was constructed from the above COSY and HMBC correlations. In the NOESY spectrum (Figure 2B), the cross peaks of CH3-18/H-5/H-9 (β-orientation) and H3-19/H3-20 (α-orientation) allowed the ent-kaurane skeleton in 6. The key NOESY correlation between H-14 and H3-20 revealed that 14-OH was β-oriented. Additionally, H-15 showed NOESY correlations with quasi-equatorial protons H2-17 rather than H-9, implying that 15-OH and 16-OH were both β-oriented. Accordingly, 6 was determined to be 14β,15β,16β,17-tetrahydroxy-ent-kaur-12-one and named mesonol F.

Compound 7 exhibited the same molecular formula (C20H32O4) and similar NMR data (Tables 1 and 2) to 1. These two compounds were determined to be isomers, differing from the other two isomers only in the oxygenation functional groups substituted at C-12 and C-15. The 1H-1H COSY and HMBC correlations (Figure 2A) revealed that the substituents at C-12 and C-15 were carbonyl and hydroxyl groups in 7, respectively, instead of hydroxyl and carbonyl groups in 1. Moreover, the NOE correlations (Figure 2B) of H-5/H-9, CH3-19/CH3-20/Hα-14 (δH 2.28), and H-15/Hβ-14 (δH 1.33)/H2-17/H-13 revealed that 15-OH and 16-OH were both β-oriented. The stereochemistry of 7 was further supported by the results of single-crystal X-ray crystallographic analysis (Figure 2C). Compound 7 was therefore characterized as 15β,16β,17-trihydroxy-ent-kaur-12-one and named mesonol G.

Compound 8 was isolated as a white powder and was assigned the formula C20H32O4 by HRESIMS. The NMR spectroscopic data (Tables 1 and 2) of 8, together with the molecular formula, indicated the presence of a tetracyclic diterpene with one CH2OH (δH 3.55, d, J = 12.0 Hz, 3.62, d, J = 12.0 Hz, δC 64.0), two hydroxyls, and one ketone (δC 216.0) functional group. From the 1H-1H COSY and HMBC correlations (Figure 2A), the structure of 8 was elucidated to have similar A, B, and C rings and most likely a structure similar to that of 1–7. In detailed analysis of the HMBC spectrum, a correction from H2-17 and H-9 to C-12 was observed, as different from H2-17 to C-13 as 1–7, suggesting a migrated C-12/C-16 bond rather than a C-13/C-16 bond in 8. Therefore, compound 8 was proposed to possess a rearranged 16(13→12)-abeo-ent-kaurane skeleton (ent-atisane skeleton) [22]. Additionally, the position of three hydroxyl groups was assigned at C-13, C-16, and C-17, as deduced from their chemical shifts and HMBC correction. The relative configuration of rings A and B in 8 was deduced from similar NMR chemical shifts with ent-atisane-16α-ol [23] and ent-(3β,13S)-3,13-dihydroxyatis-16-en-14-one [24], along with NOESY correlations (Figure 2B) found in 8. Consideration of the biosynthetic pathway (Figure 3) of 8 from related diterpenes isolated from the plant suggests an α-orientation of CH3-20 and a β-orientation of H-5. The NOESY correlation between CH3-20 and a proton of H-14 (δH 2.14) revealed that the C-13 and C-14 moieties had a downward orientation of bicyclo[2.2.2]octane, while the C-15/C-16/C-17 moiety had an upward orientation. Moreover, NOESY cross peaks with H-13 (δH 4.31) with H-14 (δH 1.80) and H2-17 (δH 3.55, 3.62) revealed that these protons were on the same side of the 2,4-dihydroxy-2-(hydroxymethyl)cyclohexan-1-one ring. Thus, the structure of 8 was completely elucidated as 13α,16α,17-trihydroxy-ent-atis-15-one and named mesonol H.
Figure 3. The biogenic pathway of the isolates from *M. procumbens*.

Compounds 9 (mesonol I) and 10 (mesonol J) were isolated as white amorphous powders. A molecular ion peak at *m/z* 303.2324 [M - H]⁻ was found in the negative HRESIMS of 9, corresponding to a molecular formula of C₂₀H₃₂O₂. In the positive HRESIMS of 10, *m/z* 319.2275 [M - H]⁻ indicated a molecular formula of C₂₀H₃₂O₃. Comparison of the NMR data of 8 with those of 9 and 10 (Tables 1 and 2) suggests that both structures of 9 and 10 have an *ent*-atisene skeleton. The major changes of these compounds indicated that the signals for a ketone and an oxygenated methylene in 8 were replaced by two vinyl protons with quaternary carbons in 9 and 10. In addition to the ¹H-¹H COSY and HMBC correlations (Figure 2A) from H₂-17 to C-12 and C-15, from H-15 to C-16 and C-8, from H-9 to C-14, and from H-11 to C-8 and C-13, both 9 and 10 likely processed the *ent*-atisene skeleton. In addition, an *exo*-methylene group at C-16 and C-17; two hydroxyl groups at C-13 and C-15; and three hydroxyl groups at C-13, C-14, and C-15 were found in 9 and 10, respectively. Accordingly, 9 and 10 were determined to be 13α,15β-trihydroxy-*ent*-atis-16(17)-ene and 13α,14β,15β-trihydroxy-*ent*-atis-16-ene, respectively.

Compound 11 was isolated as a white amorphous powder with a specific rotation of -14.3 (c 0.4, MeOH). The HRESIMS data showed a sodiated quasimolecular ion peak at [M + Na]⁺ *m/z* 341.2098 (calcd. for C₂₀H₃₀O₃Na, 341.2087), which indicated a molecular formula of C₂₀H₃₀O₃ with six degrees of unsaturation. The ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectra of 11 (Tables 1 and 2) exhibited the presence of three tertiary methyls (δH 0.87 (s, 6H), CH₃-19 and CH₃-20; δC 0.89, CH₃-18), a trisubstituted double band (δH 0.87 (s), H-13; δC 131.8, C-13; δC 142.2, C-16) an oxygenated methylene (δH 4.14 (d, J = 14.4 Hz), 4.18 (d, J = 14.4 Hz), H₂-17; δC 58.2), and a carbonyl (δC 173.9, C-12). In addition to the above findings, the remaining three degrees of unsaturation suggested that compound 11 contained four rings, including a lactone ring. The fully planar structure of 11 was further determined on the basis of ¹H-¹H COSY and HMBC correlations (Figure 2A). The frame skeleton of 11 was very similar to sarcopetololide isolated from *Croton sarcopetalus* [25]. However, the HMBC correlations of H-15 (δH 4.77 (d, J = 1.8 Hz); δC 95.3) with the carbonyl (C-12) indicated that the γ-lactone was cyclized at C-15. The NOESY spectrum of 11 (Figure 2B) showed...
correlations between H-5/CH$_3$-18 and H-9 and H-9/H-15, suggesting that H-5, H-9, H-15, and CH$_3$-18 are β-oriented. According to the plausible biogenetic pathway (Figure 3), compound 11 might be derived from ent-kaurane diterpene mesonol G (7) by Baeyer–Villiger oxidation, hydrolysis, dehydration, and esterification. Finally, compound 11 was ambiguously identified as sarcopetal-13(16)-en-17-ol-12,15-olide and named mesonol K.

2.3. Biological Studies

Studies have shown that many isolated diterpenoid compounds exert antitumor activity against a range of cancer cell types [20]. To evaluate the effects of the isolated diterpene compounds on the growth of human cancer cells, the antiproliferative activities of compounds 1–11 were tested against six different cell lines, including five cancer cell lines, A549, Hep-3B, PC-3, HT29, and U937, and one normal mouse macrophage cell line, RAW 264.7. The IC$_{50}$ values are summarized in Table 3. Among the tested compounds, mesonols A–D (1–4) showed potent antiproliferative activities against these cancer cell lines, especially against U937 cells. Of note, mesonols A (1) and B (2) exhibited remarkable antiproliferative activities against U937 cells with IC$_{50}$ values of 2.66 and 1.97 µM, respectively, which were more active than the standard drug CPT-11 with an IC$_{50}$ value of 4.95 µM. Moreover, mesonols A–D (1–4) were less toxic against normal mouse RAW 264.7 macrophages, implicating the selectivity of mesonols toward cancer cells. Together, these results indicated that the new diterpenoid compounds mesonols A (1) and B (2) from M. procumbens have potency as anticancer agents.

Table 3. Cytotoxic Activities of Compounds 1–11.

| Compounds | A549   | Hep-3B | PC-3   | HT29   | U937   | RAW 264.7 |
|-----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-----------|
| 1         | 17.36 ± 0.28 | 12.08 ± 0.55 | 12.47 ± 0.24 | 9.10 ± 0.38 | 2.66 ± 0.14 | >50        |
| 2         | 7.39 ± 0.42  | 7.06 ± 0.38  | 4.19 ± 0.31  | 2.78 ± 0.03 | 1.97 ± 0.09 | >50        |
| 3         | 17.12 ± 0.36 | 17.08 ± 0.73 | 16.49 ± 0.55 | 14.18 ± 0.31 | 6.73 ± 0.36 | >50        |
| 4         | 19.39 ± 0.38 | 19.86 ± 0.73 | 15.96 ± 0.21 | 12.64 ± 0.55 | 8.25 ± 0.24 | >50        |
| 5         | >20     | >20     | >20     | >20     | >20     | >50        |
| 6         | >20     | >20     | >20     | >20     | >20     | >50        |
| 7         | >20     | >20     | >20     | >20     | >20     | >50        |
| 8         | (-) $^a$ | (-) $^a$ | (-) $^a$ | (-) $^a$ | (-) $^a$ | (-) $^a$ |
| 9         | >20     | >20     | >20     | >20     | >20     | >50        |
| 10        | >20     | >20     | >20     | >20     | >20     | >50        |
| 11        | >20     | >20     | >20     | >20     | >20     | >50        |
| CPT-11    | 15.26 ± 0.42 | 23.21 ± 0.38 | 31.03 ± 0.28 | 15.11 ± 0.48 | 4.95 ± 0.43 | (-) $^a$ |

$^a$ The 50% inhibitory concentrations after 72 h of drug treatment are represented as the means ± SD of 3 independent experiments. The IC$_{50}$ value was measured using Cell Counting Kit-8. $^a$ The IC$_{50}$ value was not determined.

To further investigate the antiproliferative effects of mesonol A or B on U937 cancer cells, the cell cycle profiles in cells treated with various doses of mesonol A (1) or B (2) (0.625, 1.25, 2.5, 5, and 10 µM) for 24 h using flow cytometric analysis were first analyzed. Surprisingly, mesonol A (1) (Figure 4A) and B (2) (Figure 4B) showed differential effects on the cell cycle distribution in U937 cells. Mesonol A (1) dose-dependently increased the percentage of the sub-G$_1$ population (corresponding to apoptotic cells) and reached a plateau at 5 µM. In addition, 10 µM mesonol A (1) not only induced the accumulation of cells at sub-G$_1$ phase but also resulted in a significant increase in the cell population at G2/M phase, implicating that G2/M arrest may be associated with mesonol A (1)-mediated antiproliferation. On the other hand, mesonol B (2) significantly increased the sub-G$_1$ population to 53.86% at 10 µM, compared to 6.33% at 5 µM and 1.58% for the control group. Furthermore, a time course cell cycle analysis to explore the underlying mechanism was also performed. U937 cells were treated with 5 µM mesonol A (1) or 10 µM mesonol B (2) for 3, 6, 9, 12, or 24 h. Mesonol A (1) led to a time-dependent accumulation of cells arrested in the G2/M phase with a concomitant gradual increase cell population of sub-G$_1$ phase after 3 h to
12 h treatment, followed by decreased populations of G2/M and increased population of sub-G1 phase, indicating the correlation between mesonol A (1)-induced G2/M arrest and induction of apoptosis (Figure 4C). On the other hand, mesonol B (2) did not cause an obvious accumulation of cells in any phase but significantly increased apoptotic cells in the sub-G1 population (Figure 4D). These results suggested that mesonols A (1) and B (2) may exert their antiproliferative activities against U937 cells through cell cycle arrest at G2/M and induction of apoptosis, respectively.

**Figure 4.** Effects of mesonols A (1) and B (2) on cell cycle progression and apoptosis in U937 cells. U937 cells were treated with various concentrations of (A) mesonol A or (B) mesonol B for 24 h and then harvested for cell cycle and sub-G1 analysis by flow cytometry, as described in Materials and Methods. For time course analysis, U937 cells were treated with (C) 5 µM mesonol A or (D) 10 µM mesonol B for 3–24 h, and cells were then subjected to cell cycle analysis at the indicated times. Values with different letters indicate significant differences, as determined by Dunnett’s method. ***p < 0.001 relative to the control group.

To further confirm the effects of mesonols A (1) and B (2) on cell cycle arrest and apoptosis in U937 cells, the effects of mesonols A (1) and B (2) on critical cell cycle regulators and apoptosis-related proteins, respectively, were next examined. U937 cells were treated with 5 µM mesonol A (1) for 12 h, and the G2/M-associated cell cycle regulators p21, cyclin B1, CDK1, and cdc25c [26] were analyzed by Western blot. As shown in Figure 5A, mesonol A (1) caused a significant increase in p21 protein, which has been implicated in the G2/M checkpoint [27], and decreases in the G2/M progression proteins cdc25c, cyclin B1, and CDK1, confirming that mesonol A induces G2/M arrest in U937 cells. On the other hand, for mesonol B (2), the effects of mesonol B (2) on the status of apoptosis-associated proteins, including Bax, Bcl-2, Bcl-xL, cytochrome c, Apaf-1, caspase-9, caspase-3, and...
poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP), in U937 cells were examined (Figure 5B). Mesonol B (2) induced the activation of caspase-9 and -3, as indicated by decreased pro-caspase-9 and -3 protein levels. PARP, a downstream target of caspase-3, was also significantly cleaved and activated in mesonol B (2)-treated U937 cells. Furthermore, mesonol B (2) induced increases in proapoptosis-related proteins, including Bax, cytochrome c and apaf-1, and decreases in antiapoptotic proteins, including Bcl-2 and Bcl-xL. These results suggest that mesonol B (2) induced intrinsic mitochondrion-dependent apoptosis in U937 cells. Altogether, these results indicated that mesonol A (1) exerts its antiproliferative activities against U937 cells through cell cycle arrest at G2/M, whereas mesonol B (2) exerts its antiproliferative activities through induction of intrinsic apoptosis.

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) play a critical role in mitochondrion-mediated apoptosis. Growing evidence suggests that chemotherapy or radiotherapy could induce apoptosis in cancer cells by increasing intracellular oxidative stress [24,25]. Therefore, whether ROS are involved or not in mesonol B (2)-induced apoptosis and in mesonol A (1)-induced G2/M arrest was further investigated. To this end, whether or not the ROS scavenger N-acetylcysteine (NAC), which is also known to block ROS-mediated apoptosis [28], could inhibit mesonol B (2)-induced apoptosis in U937 cells was examined. As shown in Figure 5C, pretreatment with NAC significantly suppressed mesonol B (2)-induced sub-G1 accumulation compared to mesonol B (2) alone. Western blot analysis also showed that NAC blocked mesonol B (2)-induced apoptosis. Mesonol B (2)-induced activation of cytochrome c, Apaf-1, caspase-9, caspase-3, and PARP was significantly inhibited by NAC (Figure 5B), suggesting that mesonol B (2) induced apoptosis in a ROS-dependent manner. In contrast, NAC showed little to no effect on the attenuation of mesonol A (1)-induced G2/M arrest (Figure 5D). Consistently, NAC could not suppress mesonol A (1)-induced activation of p21 or inhibition of cdc25c, cyclin B1, and CDK1 proteins (Figure 5A), indicating that ROS may not be involved in mesonol A (1)-induced G2/M phase arrest in U937 cells.

Endogenous ROS levels were also measured by DCF-DA, an oxidation-sensitive fluorescent dye, to investigate whether ROS play differential roles in mesonol A (1)- and B (2)-mediated effects. Mesonol A (1) did not induce an increase in intracellular ROS levels compared to those in the control group (Figure 5E). In contrast, the intracellular ROS levels in mesonol B (2)-treated cells were significantly higher than those in control cells and could be blocked by pretreatment with NAC (Figure 5F). Together, these results suggest that mesonol B (2)-induced ROS-mediated mitochondrial-dependent apoptosis.
Figure 5. Differential effects of mesonols A (1) and B (2) on cell cycle arrest and apoptosis and the involvement of ROS.

(A) Western blot analysis of cyclin A2, cyclin B1, CDK1, cdc25c, and p21 in U937 cells treated with 5 µM mesonol A for 12 h with or without pretreatment with 10 mM NAC for 1 h. Relative protein levels were quantified by normalization to the β-actin levels. (B) Western blot analysis of Bax, Bcl-2, Bcl-xL, cytochrome c, Apaf-1, procaspase-9, procaspase-3, and PARP in U937 cells treated with 10 µM mesonol B for 6 h with or without pretreatment with 10 mM NAC for 1 h. Relative protein levels were quantified by normalization to the β-actin levels. U937 cells were pretreated with or without 10 mM NAC for 1 h, followed by (C) 5 µM mesonol A for 12 h or (D) 10 µM mesonol B for 6 h, and the cell cycle distribution was analyzed by flow cytometry after PI staining. (E, F) U937 cells were treated with 5 µM mesonol A or 10 µM mesonol B for 30 min with or without pretreatment with 10 mM NAC for 1 h. H$_2$O$_2$ (100 µM) was used as a positive control. Intracellular ROS levels were measured by DCFH-DA, and DCF fluorescence was examined with a fluorescence plate reader as described in the Materials and methods. The values presented are the means ± SD (n = 3). Values with different letters indicating significant differences, as determined by Dunnett’s method. *** p < 0.001 relative to the control group.
3. Discussion

In the present study, eleven new diterpenoid compounds were isolated from the CH$_2$Cl$_2$ layer of a methanolic extract of *M. procumbens*, including seven *ent*-kauranes, mesonols A-G (1–7), three *ent*-atisanes, mesonols H–J (8–10), and a sarcopetalane, mesonol K (11). Diterpenoid compounds have been reported to exert potent antitumor activity against a range of cancer cell types [20]. For example, the natural compound *ent*-16β,17α-dihydroxykaurane has been shown to exhibit cytotoxicity and apoptotic effects in the human breast cancer cell line MCF-7 [29]. Here, four diterpenoid compounds, mesonols A-B (1–4), were also found, and they showed significant antiproliferative activity against human cancer cell lines, including A549, Hep-3B, PC-3, HT29, and U937. Moreover, the most active mesonols A (1) and B (2) displayed remarkable inhibitory activity against U937 cell lines with IC$_{50}$ values of 2.66 and 1.97 µM, respectively, and were even better than the standard drug CPT-11 with an IC$_{50}$ value of 4.95 µM. Moreover, both mesonols A (1) and B (2) were less toxic against normal mouse RAW 264.7 macrophages, implicating that these compounds may act selectively against cancer cells and normal cells. These results indicated that mesonols A (1) and B (2) have high potential as anticancer agents, especially against U937 cells. Furthermore, the differential inhibitory effects of mesonols A (1) and B (2) on cell cycle arrest and/or apoptosis in U937 cancer cells were also demonstrated. An earlier study reported that glaucocalyxin A, an *ent*-kauranoid diterpenoid isolated from *Rabdosia japonica* var., induced apoptosis in HL-60 cells, as characterized by cell morphology, DNA fragmentation, activations of caspase-3 and -9, and an increased expression ratio of Bax/Bcl-2. The mitochondrial membrane potential loss and cytochrome c release were observed during the induction, and pretreatment of antioxidant NAC could block glaucocalyxin A-induced ROS generation and apoptosis [30]. Another *ent*-kaurene diterpenoid xerophilusin B has been reported to induce apoptosis and G2/M phase cell cycle arrest in KYSE-150 and KYSE-450 cells. Treatment with xerophilusin B increased the cytochrome c release from mitochondrial to cytosol and activation of caspase-9 and -3, while it downregulated caspase-7 and PARP levels. Moreover, the ratio of Bcl-2/BAX decreased after xerophilusin B treatment [31]. Similarly, our results showed that mesonol B (2) induced the ROS-dependent intrinsic apoptosis pathway and NAC could also block the mesonol B (2)-induced activation of apoptosis in U937 cells; mesonol A (1) caused cell cycle arrest at the G2/M phase and subsequent cell death.

The *ent*-kaurane diterpene 4α-hydroxy-17,19-dinor-*ent*-kaurane-16-one displayed poor anti-hepatoma potencies with IC$_{50}$ values exceeding 100 µM [32]. Moreover *ent*-kaurene diterpenoids hebeirubescensin K (16-OH group) was 2–3 times less potent than oridonin (15-ketone) in HCT-116 cancer cells [33]. In this research, the preliminary structure-activity relationship (SAR) study revealed that the 15-ketone and/or 12-OH groups in *ent*-kaurane diterpenes are essential for the antiproliferative effects in the U937 cell lines because 3 and 4 (C-15, C12-hydroxyl groups) were 2–3 times less potent than 1 and 2 (C15-ketone, C12-hydroxyl groups), but 6 and 7 (C15-hydroxy groups, C12-ketone) possessed slightly weaker activity than 1 and 2.

Thus, our bioactive natural product studies on *M. procumbens* implying mesonols A (1) and B (2) may provide drug candidates for the treatment of cancer.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. General

Optical rotations were determined using a JASCO P-2000 polarimeter. Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded on a Mattson Genesis II spectrometer (Thermo). High-resolution electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (HRESIMS) data were acquired on a Thermo Scientific Q Exactive Focus Orbitrap liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) instrument equipped with an Ultimate 3000 UHPLC system. Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra were recorded on Bruker Avance 400 MHz, Varian Unity Inova 500 MHz, and Varian VNMRS 600 MHz spectrometers. Silica gel 60 (70–230 and 230–400 mesh, Merck) and Sephadex LH-20 (GE) were used for column chromatography.
Precoated silica gel plates (Merck 60 F-254) were used for thin-layer chromatography (TLC), and the spots were detected by spraying with an anisaldehyde-sulfuric acid solution (5% H$_2$SO$_4$) followed by heating at 110 °C. HPLC was performed on a system with a Shimadzu LC-8A pump and a UV SPD-20A detector equipped with a 250 × 20 mm i.d. preparative Cosmosil 5C$_{18}$ AR-II column (Nacalai Tesque). Single-crystal X-ray diffraction measurements were acquired with a Bruker D8 VENTURE single-crystal XRD diffractometer equipped with dual radiation sources.

4.2. Plant Material
Whole plants of *M. procumbens* Hemsl. (8.0 kg dry weight) were purchased from Starsci Biotech Co. Ltd., Taoyuan, and identified by Dr. Syh-Yuan Hwang of the Endemic Species Research Institute, Council of Agriculture, Taiwan. A voucher specimen (no. NRICM20190901) was deposited in the National Research Institute of Chinese Medicine, Ministry of Health and Welfare, Taipei, Taiwan.

4.3. Extraction and Isolation
Air-dried *M. procumbens* (8.0 kg) was extracted three times with 100% methanol (80 L each time) at 50 °C, and the combined extracts were concentrated under reduced pressure. The methanol extract (approximately 796.5 g) was suspended in H$_2$O, and the suspension was successively partitioned with n-hexane and CH$_2$Cl$_2$ and then concentrated under reduced pressure to obtain the hexane and CH$_2$Cl$_2$ extracts. The CH$_2$Cl$_2$ extract (approximately 47.6 g) was separated into 6 fractions (fractions I–VI) on a C$_{18}$ gel flash column (60–230 mesh, 15 × 25 cm) and eluted with a solvent system of H$_2$O/MeOH (0 to 100%). Fraction IV was further subjected to silica gel flash column chromatography (60–230 mesh, 15 × 20 cm) and eluted with a solvent system of CH$_2$Cl$_2$/acetone (5 to 100%) to afford eight subfractions (IVA–IVH). Fraction IVF was fractionated by preparative HPLC eluted with 60% acetonitrile (ACN) in H$_2$O (flow rate: 10.0 mL/min) to give seven fractions (IVF1–IVF7). Fraction IVF2 was separated by HPLC and eluted with 35% ACN (flow rate: 10.0 mL/min) to give 6 (2.3 mg, retention time (Rt): 32.8 min, 0.00002875%). Fraction IVF3 was separated by HPLC eluted with 40% ACN (flow rate: 10.0 mL/min) to give 9 (3.7 mg, Rt: 29.7 min, 0.00004625%). Fraction IVF4 was separated by HPLC eluted with 40% ACN (flow rate: 10.0 mL/min) to give 1 (28.1 mg, Rt: 36.3 min, 0.00035125%). Fraction IVE was separated by HPLC eluted with 55% ACN (flow rate: 10.0 mL/min) to afford nine fractions (IVE1–IVE9). Fraction IVE3 was purified by preparative HPLC eluted with 45% ACN (flow rate: 10.0 mL/min) to give 8 (0.6 mg, Rt: 21.4 min, 0.0000075%). Fraction IVE4 was purified by preparative HPLC eluted with 45% ACN (flow rate: 10.0 mL/min) to give 3 (23.1 mg, Rt: 29.5 min, 0.00028875%) and 5 (15.6 mg, Rt: 32.7 min, 0.000195%). Compound 7 (5.0 mg, Rt: 39.9 min, 0.0000625%) was purified from fraction IVE5 by HPLC eluted with 45% ACN (flow rate: 10.0 mL/min). Fraction IVD was separated by HPLC eluted with 65% ACN (flow rate: 10.0 mL/min) to afford seven fractions (IVD1–IVD7). Fraction IVD5 was further purified by HPLC with repeated elution with 50% ACN (flow rate: 10.0 mL/min) to give compound 11 (2.9 mg, Rt: 42.9 min, 0.00003625%). Compounds 4 (4.1 mg, Rt: 51.1 min, 0.00005125%) and 10 (1.1 mg, Rt: 50.3 min, 0.00001375%) were purified from fraction IVD6 by HPLC eluted with 50% ACN (flow rate: 10.0 mL/min). By elution with 70% ACN (flow rate: 10.0 mL/min), fraction IVC was separated by HPLC to afford eight fractions (IVC1–IVC8). Fraction IVC7 was further purified by HPLC eluted with 55% ACN (flow rate: 10.0 mL/min) to give 2 (9.0 mg, Rt: 23.4 min, 0.0001125%).

4.4. Spectral Measurements
Mesonol A (1): White amorphous powder; [α]$_{D}^{25}$ +8.1 (c 0.4, MeOH); IR (KBr) $\nu_{\text{max}}$ 3468, 2935, 2870, 1716, 1460, 1435, 1373, 1321, 1165, 1068 cm$^{-1}$; $^{1}$H- and $^{13}$C-NMR spectroscopic data (methanol-$d_4$) are shown in Tables 1 and 2, respectively; HRESIMS $m/z$ 359.2193 [M + Na]$^+$ (calcd. for C$_{20}$H$_{32}$O$_4$Na, 359.2193).
Mesonol B (2): White amorphous powder; \([\alpha]_{D}^{25} = -71.5 \,^\circ\, (c 0.4, \text{MeOH}); \) IR (KBr) \(v_{\text{max}}\) 3478, 2935, 2870, 1730, 1716, 1460 cm\(^{-1}\); \(^1\)H- and \(^{13}\)C-NMR spectroscopic data (methenol-\(d_4\)) are shown in Tables 1 and 2, respectively; HRESIMS \(m/z\) 343.2252 \([\text{M + Na}]^+\) (calcd. for \(\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{32}\text{O}_5\text{Na}\), 343.2244).

Mesonol C (3): White amorphous powder; \([\alpha]_{D}^{25} = -44.3 \,^\circ\, (c 0.4, \text{MeOH}); \) IR (KBr) \(v_{\text{max}}\) 3437, 2922, 2868, 1461, 1440, 1381, 1294, 1217, 1098 cm\(^{-1}\); \(^1\)H- and \(^{13}\)C-NMR spectroscopic data (methanol-\(d_4\)) are shown in Tables 1 and 2, respectively; HRESIMS \(m/z\) 361.2356 \([\text{M + Na}]^+\) (calcd. for \(\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{34}\text{O}_4\text{Na}\), 361.2349).

Mesonol D (4): White amorphous powder; \([\alpha]_{D}^{25} = -42.7 \,^\circ\, (c 0.4, \text{MeOH}); \) IR (KBr) \(v_{\text{max}}\) 3362, 2925, 2870, 1460, 1440, 1371, 1294, 1098 cm\(^{-1}\); \(^1\)H- and \(^{13}\)C-NMR spectroscopic data (methanol-\(d_4\)) are shown in Tables 1 and 2, respectively; HRESIMS \(m/z\) 379.2016 \([\text{M + Na}]^+\) (calcd. for \(\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{35}\text{O}_5\text{Cl}^{35}\), 379.2016), \(m/z\) 381.1985 \([\text{M + Na + 2}]^+\) (calcd. for \(\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{35}\text{O}_5\text{Cl}^{37}\), 381.1986).

Mesonol E (5): White amorphous powder; \([\alpha]_{D}^{25} = -14.1 \,^\circ\, (c 0.4, \text{MeOH}); \) IR (KBr) \(v_{\text{max}}\) 3355, 2930, 2868, 1457, 1438, 1368, 1291, 1101 cm\(^{-1}\); \(^1\)H- and \(^{13}\)C-NMR spectroscopic data (methanol-\(d_4\)) are shown in Tables 1 and 2, respectively; HRESIMS \(m/z\) 337.2392 \([\text{M – H}]^-\) (calcd. for \(\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{34}\text{O}_4\), 337.2373).

Mesonol F (6): White amorphous powder; \([\alpha]_{D}^{25} = +4.2 \,^\circ\, (c 0.4, \text{MeOH}); \) IR (KBr) \(v_{\text{max}}\) 3332, 2925, 2868, 1689 cm\(^{-1}\); \(^1\)H- and \(^{13}\)C-NMR spectroscopic data (methanol-\(d_4\)) are shown in Tables 1 and 2, respectively; HRESIMS \(m/z\) 375.2144 \([\text{M + Na}]^+\) (calcd. for \(\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{35}\text{O}_4\text{Na}\), 375.2142).

Mesonol G (7): White amorphous powder; \([\alpha]_{D}^{25} = +41.8 \,^\circ\, (c 0.4, \text{MeOH}); \) IR (KBr) \(v_{\text{max}}\) 3336, 2929, 2867, 1693, 1457, 1386, 1363, 1091, 1056 cm\(^{-1}\); \(^1\)H- and \(^{13}\)C-NMR spectroscopic data (methanol-\(d_4\)) are shown in Tables 1 and 2, respectively; HRESIMS \(m/z\) 359.2196 \([\text{M + Na}]^+\) (calcd. for \(\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{32}\text{O}_4\text{Na}\), 359.2193).

Mesonol H (8): White amorphous powder; \([\alpha]_{D}^{25} = +34.7 \,^\circ\, (c 0.4, \text{MeOH}); \) IR (KBr) \(v_{\text{max}}\) 3447, 2929, 2872, 1718, 1457, 1073 cm\(^{-1}\); \(^1\)H- and \(^{13}\)C-NMR spectroscopic data (methanol-\(d_4\)) are shown in Tables 1 and 2, respectively; HRESIMS \(m/z\) 359.2197 \([\text{M + Na}]^+\) (calcd. for \(\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{32}\text{O}_4\text{Na}\), 359.2193).

Mesonol I (9): White amorphous powder; \([\alpha]_{D}^{25} = -14.9 \,^\circ\, (c 0.4, \text{MeOH}); \) IR (KBr) \(v_{\text{max}}\) 3372, 2922, 2868, 2361, 2339 cm\(^{-1}\); \(^1\)H- and \(^{13}\)C-NMR spectroscopic data (methanol-\(d_4\)) are shown in Tables 1 and 2, respectively; HRESIMS \(m/z\) 303.2324 \([\text{M – H}]^-\) (calcd. for \(\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{34}\text{O}_2\), 303.2319).

Mesonol J (10): White amorphous powder; \([\alpha]_{D}^{25} = -17.3 \,^\circ\, (c 0.2, \text{MeOH}); \) IR (KBr) \(v_{\text{max}}\) 3378, 2924, 2870, 1653, 1443, 1391, 1363, 1309, 1205, 1059, 1019 cm\(^{-1}\); \(^1\)H- and \(^{13}\)C-NMR spectroscopic data (methanol-\(d_4\)) are shown in Tables 1 and 2, respectively; HRESIMS \(m/z\) 319.2275 \([\text{M – H}]^-\) (calcd. for \(\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{31}\text{O}_3\), 319.2268).

Mesonol K (11): White amorphous powder; \([\alpha]_{D}^{25} = -14.3 \,^\circ\, (c 0.4, \text{MeOH}); \) IR (KBr) \(v_{\text{max}}\) 3386, 2924, 2850, 1696, 1450, 1373, 1244, 1200, 1093, 1019 cm\(^{-1}\); \(^1\)H- and \(^{13}\)C-NMR spectroscopic data (methanol-\(d_4\)) are shown in Tables 1 and 2, respectively; HRESIMS \(m/z\) 341.2098 \([\text{M + Na}]^+\) (calcd. for \(\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{34}\text{O}_3\text{Na}\), 341.2087).

4.5. X-ray Crystallographic Data for 1–3, and 7

4.5.1. Mesonol A (1)

The colorless crystal of 1 was obtained by natural evaporation from MeOH solution. Crystal data were measured by a Bruker D8 VENTURE single-crystal X-ray diffractometer equipped with a dual microfocus X-ray source on Cu radiation (Table S1 in the Supporting Information). Monoclinic crystal system (crystal size: \(0.38 \times 0.24 \times 0.02 \text{ mm}^3\)), space group \(\text{C2}1\); unit cell dimensions, \(a = 12.9593 \,\text{(6)} \,\AA,\ b = 6.5034 \,\text{(3)} \,\AA,\ c = 21.1991 \,\text{(10)} \,\AA,\ \) volume = 1842.79 \,\text{(7)} \,\AA\(^3\), \(Z = 4,\ \) \(D_{\text{calc}} = 1.251 \,\text{Mg/m}^3\), wavelength (\(\lambda\)) = 1.54178 \,\AA, absorption coefficient = 0.680 \,\text{mm}^{-1}\), \(F(000) = 736,\ T = 200 \,\text{K}.\) A total of 13838 reflections were collected, of which 3092 independent reflections \([R_{\text{int}} = 0.0680]\) with \(I > 2\sigma(I)\) were used for the analysis. The final indices were \(R_1 = 0.0822\) and \(wR_2 = 0.2003\) with goodness of fit = 1.075. The absolute structure parameter was 0.1(4). The crystallographic data on 1
were deposited in the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC) under deposition number 2088866.

4.5.2. Mesonol B (2)

The colorless crystal of 2 was obtained by natural evaporation from MeOH solution. Crystal data were measured by a Brucker D8 VENTURE single-crystal X-ray diffractometer equipped with a dual microfocus X-ray source on Mo radiation (Table S2 in the Supporting Information). Monoclinic crystal system (crystal size: 0.70 × 0.02 × 0.01 mm$^3$), space group C2; unit cell dimensions, $a = 42.054$ (9) Å, $b = 6.9024$ (13) Å, $c = 12.7220$ (3) Å, volume = 3560.7 (12) Å$^3$, $Z = 8$, $D_{calcd} = 1.196$ Mg/m$^3$, wavelength ($\lambda$) = 0.71073 Å, absorption coefficient = 0.078 mm$^{-1}$, $F(000) = 1408$, $T = 200$ K. A total of 34474 reflections were collected, of which 6269 independent reflections [$R_{int} = 0.1615$] with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ were used for the analysis. The final indices were $R_1 = 0.0635$ and $wR_2 = 0.116$ with goodness of fit = 1.050. The absolute structure parameter was –1.6(19). The crystallographic data on 2 were deposited in the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC) under deposition number 2088867.

4.5.3. Mesonol C (3)

The colorless crystal of 3 was obtained by natural evaporation from MeOH solution. Crystal data were measured by a Brucker D8 VENTURE single-crystal X-ray diffractometer equipped with a dual microfocus X-ray source on Mo radiation (Table S3 in the Supporting Information). Monoclinic crystal system (crystal size: 0.11 × 0.10 × 0.01 mm$^3$), space group C2; unit cell dimensions, $a = 11.599(11)$ Å, $b = 7.391(7)$ Å, $c = 21.590(2)$ Å, volume = 1812(3) Å$^3$, $Z = 4$, $D_{calcd} = 1.241$ Mg/m$^3$, wavelength ($\lambda$) = 0.71073 Å, absorption coefficient = 0.084 mm$^{-1}$, $F(000) = 744$, $T = 200$ K. A total of 10913 reflections were collected, of which 3171 independent reflections [$R_{int} = 0.0599$] with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ were used for the analysis. The final indices were $R_1 = 0.0975$ and $wR_2 = 0.2424$ with goodness of fit = 1.073. The absolute structure parameter was –1(4). The crystallographic data on 3 were deposited in the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC) under deposition number 2088868.

4.5.4. Mesonol G (7)

The colorless crystal of 7 was obtained by natural evaporation from MeOH solution. Crystal data were measured by a Brucker D8 VENTURE single-crystal X-ray diffractometer equipped with a dual microfocus X-ray source on Mo radiation (Table S4 in the Supporting Information). Monoclinic crystal system (crystal size: 0.74 × 0.39 × 0.02 mm$^3$), space group P21; unit cell dimensions, $a = 7.3577(8)$ Å, $b = 11.1296(9)$ Å, $c = 22.9190(2)$ Å, volume = 1867.4(3) Å$^3$, $Z = 2$, $D_{calcd} = 1.231$ Mg/m$^3$, wavelength ($\lambda$) = 0.71073 Å, absorption coefficient = 0.085 mm$^{-1}$, $F(000) = 758$, $T = 200$ K. A total of 27861 reflections were collected, of which 6563 independent reflections [$R_{int} = 0.0968$] with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ were used for the analysis. The final indices were $R_1 = 0.0732$ and $wR_2 = 0.1893$ with goodness of fit = 1.025. The absolute structure parameter was 0.1(13). The crystallographic data on 7 were deposited in the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC) under deposition number 2088869.

4.6. Cytotoxicity Assay

A549 (human lung carcinoma), Hep-3B (human liver carcinoma), PC-3 (human prostate carcinoma), HT29 (human colon carcinoma), U937 (human monoblastic leukemia), and RAW 264.7 cells were purchased from the Food Industry Research and Development Institute (Hsinchu, Taiwan) and cultured in 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS) in DMEM or RPMI-1640. Cell viability was determined by using Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8; Dojindo, Rockville, MD, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. All experiments were performed in triplicate.
4.7. Western Blot Analysis

Cells were lysed in RIPA buffer with phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF; Beyotime Biotechnology, Jiangsu, China). The protein concentration was determined using a Bio-Rad protein assay system (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). Equivalent amounts of proteins were separated by SDS–PAGE and then transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (Bio-Rad). After blocking in TBS containing 5% nonfat milk, the membranes were incubated with primary antibodies (1:1000 dilution) at 4 °C for 12 h and then incubated with a horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (1:5000 dilution). Signals were detected on X-ray film using an ECL detection system (Pierce, Rockford, IL, USA). The relative protein levels were calculated based on β-actin as the loading control.

4.8. Cell Cycle Analysis

U937 cells were treated with mesonols A (1) or B (2), dosage range: 0.625–10 µM, for the specified time period, fixed in ice-cold 70% ethanol in PBS, suspended in Krishan’s reagent (0.05 mg/mL propidium iodide (PI), 0.1% sodium citrate, 0.02 mg/mL ribonuclease A and 0.3% NP-40), and incubated on ice for 30 min. Data acquisition for 10,000 events was performed with an FACScan system (Becton Dickinson). The distribution of cells in the different phases of the cell cycle was analyzed from the DNA histograms using CELL Quest software. All experiments were performed in triplicate.

4.9. Measurement of ROS Generation

U937 cells were seeded onto 96-well microplates at a final concentration of 1 × 10^5 cells per well and treated with mesonol A or B (5 or 10 µM) for 30 min with or without pre-treatment with N-acetylcysteine (NAC) (10 mM) for 1 h. The cells were stained with 2′,7′-dichlorofluorescin diacetate (DCFDA) Cellular Reactive Oxygen Species Detection Assay Kit (Abcam, Cambridge, UK) solution (20 µM) and then incubated at 37 °C for 30 min in the dark. Fluorescence measurements were performed with a fluorescence plate reader (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) at Ex/Em = 485/535 nm in end point mode in the presence of compounds, medium, or buffer. All experiments were performed in triplicate.

4.10. Statistical Analysis

SPSS (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA) was used to perform statistical data analysis. All data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation. Groups were compared using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey’s test of multiple comparisons; p-values ≤ 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

5. Conclusions

The results of the present study suggest that new diterpenoid mesonols A (1) and B (2) from M. procumbens have potency as anticancer agents and are more active than the standard drug CPT-11. Mesonols A and B were less toxic against normal mouse RAW 264.7 macrophages, implicating the selectivity of mesonols toward cancer cells. The anticancer mechanism of mesonols A and B was also explored to provide a theoretical basis for the development of targeted anticancer agents.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/ph14111108/s1.
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