Correlation of Melanin Content with Collagen Density in Keloid Patients
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Abstract

BACKGROUND: Keloid is a form of wound healing that results from fibrous tissue activity. It can develop beyond the boundaries of the original wound, extends into the dermis layer, and disrupting the appearance. Previously, no studies have revealed a correlation between melanin pigment and keloid.

AIM: This research aimed to describe the correlation between melanin concentration and collagen deposition in keloid tissue.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: A prospective study conducted through the application of a cross-sectional analytic survey method. The color of the skin was measured using a chromameter, and a histopathologic examination was performed on the skin surrounding the keloid, as well as the keloid tissue data. Data were analyzed using a t-test, correlation, and linear regression statistics.

RESULTS: The results showed a significant difference between melanin concentration and collagen deposition in the skin surrounding the keloid tissue. No significant difference was observed between melanin concentration in the surrounding skin of keloid and those in the keloid tissue, as well as collagen deposition. Meanwhile, the melanin concentration in the surrounding skin of keloid and keloid tissue had a significant relationship with fibrocytes number.

CONCLUSION: There is a significant correlation between melanin concentrations and collagen density in the keloid tissue.

Introduction

Keloid is a form of wound healing that results from fibrous tissue activity. It can develop beyond the boundaries of the original wound and extends into the dermis layer. It is characterized by a wide, slightly soft, and shiny surface lump and disrupting the appearance. The exact mechanism for its occurrence has not been fully resolved, although several theories have explained its causes [1], [2], [3].

From January to December 2016, the Polyclinic of Plastic Surgery Clinic and Integrated Central Surgical Building of Dr. Soetomo Hospital received 459 (9.8%) patients with new and old hypertrophic keloids. From these data, 96 (20.9%) were there because of the keloid. In general, their age was 10–45 years, with an average of 25 years. Although the cause of keloid is still unknown, ethnic differences have been recognized to be one of the factors that influence its development [4]. Individuals with dark-skinned ethnic backgrounds such as the Negroid and Mongoloid races, including Blacks, Hispanic, and Asian individuals, can develop it faster compared with white-skinned people such as Caucasians. The ratio of keloids between dark- and light-skinned people is between 5 and 18.7. Meanwhile, no case has been reported in albinos [3], [5], [6].

Melanin is a natural pigment in human and animal skin. It has different types of colors, and it is an indicator determining human skin color [2], [7]. The measurements of melanin or skin color concentration can be done using a spectrophotometer or colorimeter, also known as a chromameter, and the type to be used must be in accordance with the Commission International d’Eclairage (CIE) laboratory standards. The color system used by CIE is based on the position of a*b* and L* C*ab [8], [9], [10]. Melanin can also be observed using the hematoxylin-eosin (HE) staining technique [11].
The accumulation of unbalanced collagen in keloids occurs because of interference in its synthesis and degradation. Collagen is a triple helix protein located in the extracellular matrix. It acts as tissue repair, and its highest amount could be found in collagen type I (80%) [12]. However, it is important to know its content in the body by measuring collagen density [13], which affects keloid formation.

No studies have revealed a correlation between melanin pigment and keloid. Goyal's theory proposed that melanocytes interact with fibroblasts in the dermal layer and cause proliferation, secretion, and collagen deposition of fibroblasts [14]. Other studies also stated that high melanin content can disrupt the degradation of collagen [15]. Therefore, this study aims to determine the relationship between melanin and collagen density in keloid patients.

Materials and Methods

Sample preparation

This research was a prospective study using a cross-sectional analytical survey as well as a histopathological approach. It was conducted at the Polyclinic of Plastic Surgery and Integrated Central Surgical Building of the Dr. Soetomo hospital in Surabaya from January to June 2016. The total number of the patients with keloid was obtained using the formula of Pudjirahardjo et al. [16] was shown in Equation 1.

\[
n = \frac{NZ^2 \rho(1-\rho)}{Nz^2 + \rho^2(1-\rho)}
\]  

Where \( n \) is the size of the sample, \( n \) is the size of the population, and \( z \) is the normal standard value of magnitude, which depends on \( \alpha \); if \( \alpha = 0.05 \), then \( z = 1.96 \). \( p \) is the probability of an event. The total number of keloid patients in Asia is 17.5%; so, \( p = 0.175 \); while \( d \) is the amount of the tolerated deviation value (0.1). The total samples derived from the formula above are 35 patients. The initial research procedure included recording patients' demographics (age, sex, address, occupation, the location of keloid, etiology, previous history of keloid therapy, and duration of keloids).

Measurement of the skin color

The skin color around the keloid and the predilection area was measured (chest, deltoid, back, legs, and abdomen) using a chromameter to obtain the \( L^* \) value as the expression of melanin content. The inner upper arm was examined as a control.
dominant benchmark score. The sequence combination was obtained by summing the two average scores; thus, the combination score is obtained as follows:

The color score of the melanin pigment (Figure 1).

| Score combination | Melanin content (Average number) |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|
|                    | Interval 0–10 | 0–10 | 5 |
|                    | 11–21         | 11–21 | 16 |
|                    | 22–32         | 22–32 | 27 |
|                    | 33–43         | 33–43 | 38 |
|                    | 44–54         | 44–54 | 49 |
|                    | 55–65         | 55–65 | 60 |
|                    | 66–76         | 66–76 | 71 |
|                    | 77–87         | 77–87 | 82 |
|                    | 88–98         | 88–98 | 93 |
|                    | 99–109        | 99–109 | 104 |
|                    | 110–120       | 110–120 | 115 |
|                    | 121–131       | 121–131 | 126 |
|                    | 132–142       | 132–142 | 137 |
|                    | 143–153       | 143–153 | 148 |
|                    | 154–164       | 154–164 | 159 |
|                    | 165–175       | 165–175 | 170 |

Measurement of collagen density

Collagen density was measured based on the number of fibrocytes in the dermis layer of the skin. The fibrocytes were calculated using a binocular microscope equipped with CCTV cameras with a 1 cm² counting room, which contains 0.5 mm² 400 small square planes. All tissues underwent the HE-staining procedure with 400× magnification.

Ethical standards and informed consent

All procedures followed the ethical standards of the committee on human experimentation (institutional and national) and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2000. Informed consent was obtained from all patients for being included in the study.

Statistical analysis

All data were analyzed using Microsoft Excel and SPSS Software. Normal distribution was assessed using Kolmogorov–Smirnov analysis. Independent tests were carried out on variable L* objective skin color values, melanin content scores, melanin density, and collagen density in the surrounding skin and keloid tissue based on the results of the histopathological examination. The t-test was used for independent samples, while a correlation test was used to determine the relationship between melanin scores and the number of fibrocytes. The effect of independent variables was analyzed by a regression test to know if there is a significant relationship between them.

Results

The results showed that most of the keloid samples were obtained from females (17) than males (9) from a total of 26 patients. Based on sex, it was found that burns are the most common cause of keloids in men (30.8%), whereas piercing was a more common cause for women (30.8%) (Figure 3a). Based on the
location, most of the keloid excision tissues were found in the head and neck, especially in women (Figure 3b), whereas it was found in the leg in most of the men.

The L* result showed lower values in the area around the keloid (Figure 4). It was also discovered that its average value in women in each location was higher than in men (Figure 5). Meanwhile, its value around keloid in the ultraviolet (UV) light exposure was lower (39.95) than those located in areas not exposed (40.48). The results of the analysis of different tests showed that there is a significant difference between the L* value and the melanin content from histopathological observations, as well as between the content of melanin and collagen density in the surrounding skin and keloid tissue (Table 2).

Table 2: The different test of L* value with melanin content, melanin content, collagen density around keloid, and on keloid region

| Variable | t-Test | df | Mean-diff | Sig |
|----------|--------|----|-----------|-----|
| L* value-melanin content around keloid region | -5.206 | 68 | -25.88 | 0.000 |
| Melanin content around keloid-keloid region | -10.66 | 68 | -69.14 | 0.000 |
| Collagen density around keloid-keloid region | 21.46 | 68 | 334.85 | 0.000 |

In general, the surrounding skin had higher melanin content, but the number of fibrocytes in the same location was lower. However, this is in contrast with the results obtained for fibrocytes, which showed that women had a higher number than men in all locations (Table 3). Based on UV light exposure, more keloids (74%) were observed in areas mostly exposed to UV light compared with areas that are less exposed (26%). The melanin content in keloid exposed to UV light had a higher average than those without keloid (Figure 6). The highest ratio of melanin content in the surrounding skin and keloid tissue was 1:3 (42%) (Figure 7a). Meanwhile, the highest ratio of the number of fibrocytes in the surrounding skin and keloid tissue was 1:2 (62%) (Figure 7b).

Table 3: The result of melanin content and number of fibrocytes number based on the keloid region and gender

| Gender | Melanin | Fibrocyte |
|--------|---------|-----------|
| Around keloid | Keloid | Around keloid | Keloid |
| General | 65.97 | 135.11 | 510.86 | 176 |
| Men | 76.13 | 146.53 | 506.67 | 159.33 |
| Women | 58.35 | 126.55 | 514 | 188.5 |

The correlation analysis showed a significant result between the melanin content and the number of fibrocytes in the surrounding skin and keloid tissue based on the Pearson correlation analysis. The melanin content in the surrounding skin had a smaller value (−0.79) than the melanin content in the keloid tissue (−0.89). These results had significantly different values p = 0.000. Based on the partial correlation analysis, it showed a correlation between the melanin content in the surrounding skin as analysis variable and melanin content in keloid region as control had a higher result (−0.09) than the correlation between melanin content in keloid as analysis variable and melanin content around keloid region as a control variable (−0.68).

The relationship between the melanin content around the keloid with a density of collagen in keloid showed a reverse linear pattern. The number of fibrocytes in the keloid tissue decreases as the melanin content increases. The relationship between the melanin content and collagen density in the keloid also had the same result, which indicated an inversely linear
pattern, such that the as the melanin content increases, the number of fibrocytes decreases.

Simple linear regression analysis results showed a significant effect of the variable content between melanin content around keloids and fibrocytes number in it (0.632) and they had a significant relationship (0.80). The results of the multiple linear regression analysis between the melanin content in the surrounding skin and the keloid tissue with the number of fibrocytes also showed that a negative value between melanin in keloid region and fibrocytes number (−2.14). The same negative result was also obtained in melanin and fibrocytes number in the surrounding skin (−0.17). This result indicated the melanin content in the surrounding skin and the keloid tissue decreases as the number of fibrocytes increases.

The average number of fibrocytes in the surrounding skin and the keloid tissue in women was higher than in men, which shows that the collagen density in women is lower than that of men. Furthermore, the melanin content and collagen density in women were poor. This result was supported by t-test that showed a significant difference between the melanin content in the surrounding skin and the keloid tissue, with a t-count value of −10.66 (p = 0.00). The same was also observed for collagen density with a value of 21.46 (p = 0.000).

The correlation test showed a significant relationship between the melanin content in the surrounding skin and the number of fibrocytes with a correlation coefficient of −0.795 (p = 0.00). The same was observed for these parameters in it with a correlation coefficient of −0.896 and p = 0.00. This shows that the melanin content tends to increase and the number of fibrocytes was low in the surrounding skin and the keloid tissue. The analysis was sharpened using the first level of partial correlation analysis, which showed that between melanin content and the number of fibrocytes in the keloid tissue, the value is −0.684 (p = 0.00). This was supported by a relationship pattern that showed a tendency for higher melanin content, followed by a low number of fibrocytes.

The linear regression test found a significant relationship between the melanin content in the surrounding skin and the number of fibrocytes with a determination coefficient of 0.63 (p = 0.00). The same was also observed for those with a coefficient determination of 0.80 (p = 0.00). The multiple linear regression analysis showed that the effect of melanin on keloid tissue had a greater role compared with those in the surrounding skin.
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**Discussion**

Based on this study, the highest age range distribution in keloid patients was 10–30 years. It is important to point out that the age of subjects affects the frequency of keloid occurrence. This result was proportional with studies from Smith and McGrouther, who reported that keloids are often experienced by individuals within the age range of 10–30 years [17]. The same was found by Udo-Affah, who found the highest frequency in people who are 22–29 years old [18]. However, an increase in elastic skin conditions and high hormonal activity can also affect this condition (5). Based on UV exposure, it was discovered that with active and productive such that most of their activities are conducted outside, thus the risk of getting UV exposure was high, and consequently increasing keloid [19], [20].

Most keloid patients were women (65.4%) and a lower percentage (34.6%) of them were men. According to Udo-Affah, the number of women experiencing keloids is higher than in men [18]. Meanwhile, Shaheen et al. (2016) revealed that the incidence is the same in both sexes. Piercing marks were found to be the highest cause in women (30.8%) [21]. Younger women prefer to add 1 or 2 holes in the ears, putting them at higher risk for keloids. Meanwhile, burns were discovered to be the highest cause in men (30.8%). This is because they are breadwinners and actively work in environments that have a higher risk of trauma. Furthermore, the longest period for the disease was found to be 1–3 years, and some others were discovered to have it more than 3 years after the injury. It usually appears from months to years after trauma [5], [22]. Based on location, it is mostly found in the head and around the neck. Women had the highest number in this case because of their piercings. Previous studies also explained that the ear and neck areas are places where keloids mostly develop [23], [24].

Observation of keloid samples showed that it occurs mostly in areas that are exposed to UV light, as shown by 69%, while the unexposed areas (covered by clothing) were 31%. A high number of melanocytes are produced, which increases melanin synthesis due to exposure. However, melanin can block the destruction of collagen due to UV light [25], [26].

It was found that the predilection area (chest, deltoid, back, legs, and abdomen) had a higher L* value than around the keloid, but this value is smaller compared with what was got for the inner upper arm region. This indicates that the highest melanin content was found around the keloid region, with the lowest in the inner upper arm region.

The average L* value of women in each location was higher than in men. Previous studies have shown that women tend to have brighter skin than men do. The color brightness level will increase concomitantly with the enhancement of the L* value [27], [28], [29]. In addition, the value of skin exposed to UV light had a higher level of melanin than the unexposed area. Meanwhile, the enhancement of melanin occurred in the surrounding skin and the keloid tissue exposed to UV light. This result indicates that the amount of melanin increased because of the exposure [30].

The melanin content in histological preparations showed that the maximum combination
score in the surrounding skin has similarity with the minimum combined score in it. The result revealed that the content in it is higher than the one around it. The average melanin content in women is lower than that of men because their skin is generally brighter [31]. Meanwhile, collagen density showed that the highest average combined score was found in 10–40 years old.

Collagen density was assessed by calculating the number of fibrocytes such that a small number indicates higher density and vice versa [32]. The results showed that the number of fibrocytes in the surrounding skin was 3 times higher than that in the keloid tissue. This shows that the collagen in the keloid tissue was solid. A previous study reported an increase in the thymic stromal lymphopoietin, which is a type of cytokine that increases collagen in keloid tissue [33].

Conclusion

This study displayed significant differences between the melanin content and the collagen density in keloid patients based on the results of L* objective values and histological observations. The melanin content increased as well as the collagen density decreased in keloid patient’s tissue. Further research needs to be conducted to find the factors that cause collagen accumulation, the mechanisms associated with high melanin content so that it can be used to prevent and treat abnormal scars caused by high melanin pigment.
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