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In this work, a non-thermal plasma dielectric barrier discharge (DBD) was used to remove methanol from ambient air. The effects of carrier gases (N₂, dry and humidified air), power (2–10 W), inlet concentration (260–350 ppm), and residence time (1.2–3.3 s) were investigated to evaluate the performance of the plasma DBD reactor in terms of removal efficiency, product selectivity and reduction of unwanted by-products at ambient temperature and atmospheric pressure. It was found that the conversion of methanol increased with power and residence time regardless of the carrier gas used. However, the removal efficiency decreased with the increasing concentration of CH₃OH. Almost complete removal of methanol (96.7%) was achieved at 10 W and a residence time of 3.3 s in dry air. The removal efficiency of methanol followed a sequence of dry air > humidified air > N₂ carrier gas. This was due to the action of the O radical in dry air, which dominates the decomposition process of the plasma system. The introduction of water vapour into the DBD system decreased the removal efficiency but had a number of significant advantages: increased CO₂ selectivity and yield of H₂, it significantly reduced the formation of O₃, CO and higher hydrocarbons. These influences are probably due to the presence of potent OH radicals, and the conversion pathways for the various effects are proposed. It is important to note that no solid residue was formed in the DBD reactor in any carrier gas. Overall, this research indicates that methanol can be almost completely removed with the correct operating parameters (96.7% removal; 10 W; 3.3 s) and shows that humidification of the gas stream is beneficial.

1. Introduction

Volatile organic compounds (VOCs) emitted by the chemical processing industries, agricultural operations, and indoor sources have sparked widespread concern in recent years, owing to their harmful influence on human health and the environment. VOCs are precursors for the formation of ground-level ozone, organic aerosols, and photochemical smog. Some VOCs are toxic and carcinogenic, while others can cause unpleasant odours. Methanol is one of the most common alcohols and is a key product in the chemical industry. It is mainly used to produce other chemicals such as acetic acid, formaldehyde, and polymers. It is classified as highly volatile alcohol and has been widely used as a solvent in the chemical processing industries. Methanol is one of the most frequent odorous VOCs. Methanol production has almost doubled in the past decade. The production rate could rise to about 500 Mt per annum by 2050, which can lead to an increase in methanol emission. Long-term exposure to methanol can cause nausea, headaches, blurred vision and neurological damage. As a result, reducing methanol emissions is a significant concern and a critical research area worldwide.

Non-thermal plasmas (NTPs) reactors are a promising method for removing VOC emissions from gas exhausts at relatively low temperatures and atmospheric pressure. NTP technology has received much attention in recent years as a promising method for removing low and high concentrations of VOC emissions from industrial exhausts. The term non-thermal plasma, or “cold plasma”, refers to an ionised gas consisting of bulk gas molecules and atoms, electrons, ions and excited species. NTPs are not in thermal equilibrium, and the temperature differs significantly between the electrons and other species such as atoms, molecules and ions. The gas temperature can be at room temperature, whereas the energy of the electrons is significantly high, with an average electron temperature of 10 000 to 100 000 K (1 to 10 eV). An NTP is typically generated by applying an electric field to a neutral gas, and if the breakdown field strength is exceeded, the plasma forms a gas discharge. Reactive species in NTP systems are
generated through the impact of highly energetic electrons with gas molecules.  

Dielectric barrier discharge (DBD) technology is one of the most studied NTP techniques for removing odorous VOCs among different NTP reactors.  

DBD plasma is of practical interest due to its simple design, moderate cost, flexibility, operation under ambient conditions, and rapid attainment of a steady-state allows for fast start-up and shutdown. Previous researchers have studied the use of DBD plasma reactors to decompose odorous VOCs such as methanol. Sato et al. investigated methanol decomposition in a DBD reactor at a 16–20 kV voltage using an air carrier gas. Although a high removal efficiency was achieved, they did not report the product’s composition. It’s unknown what the hydrogen concentration and yield were in their studies. Wang et al. reported the direct conversion of methanol into value-added chemicals and fuels in a DBD reactor using N_{2}. They reported a removal efficiency of 74% at 50 W and a constant concentration of CH_{3}OH in N_{2} flow rate of 250 ml min^{-1}. They demonstrated that increasing the power increased the methanol removal efficiency and product selectivity. Wang et al. studied methanol oxidation in a DBD reactor using an air carrier gas. They reported that for NTP alone system, the removal efficiency of methanol increased from 14.1% to 43.9% when power increased from 0.3 to 0.9 W. Their findings showed the formation of a high O_{3} concentration (about 773 ppm), which is an undesirable by-product that can limit the practical application of NTP-DBD reactors. Futamura et al. observed a low methanol conversion (between 8–26%) at 1 mol% inlet concentration and gas flow rate of 100 ml min^{-1} using N_{2} in two different configurations of the DBD reactor. In another study, Tanabe et al. used a DBD reactor to decompose methanol to hydrogen using argon carrier gas at a low voltage of 2–6 kV. They obtained a maximum removal efficiency of 80%, and the yield of H_{2} increased with increasing plasma power in the absence of humidity. The yield of H_{2} of more than 100% was obtained in the presence of H_{2}O. The primary decomposition product was hydrogen. In the absence or presence of water in the system, CO or CO_{2} was the other main product. Norsic et al. investigated methanol oxidation using dry and humidified air carrier gases. They reported a removal efficiency of 60% in dry air plasma, which decreased to 43% when water vapour with a relative humidity of 35% was introduced to the DBD system. Their findings showed that high humidity had an inhibitive influence on methanol decomposition and hindered the formation of secondary products. The water vapour influences the features of the plasma discharge and the chemistry of the plasma in the gas stream, which has a substantial impact on the removal efficiency. Excess humidity restricted the current at constant applied voltage due to the alteration of the dielectric’s surface resistance and lowered the transferred charges between the electrodes, limiting the plasma volume. Rico et al. reported the formation of coke during the conversion of CH_{3}OH into CO and H_{2} using a DBD reactor. Solid residue formation can cause fouling problems to the DBD reactors over a long operation time.  

However, there have been a variety of drawbacks associated with NTP-alone systems, including low selectivity and low removal efficiency, high energy consumption, and formation of unwanted by-products, e.g., high ozone concentration, and solid deposition. In addition, rapid deactivation of catalysts by poisoning and sintering, and high ozone emission in plasma catalytic systems limit their practical applications in VOC abatement. Catalyst lifetime is severely limited, which suggests that optimising non-catalytic DBD methanol treatment may be advantageous due to the reduced maintenance and ongoing consumable costs associated with replacing catalysts. Therefore, there is a strong need to improve the performance of an NTP-alone system by investigating the effect of various operating parameters on the removal efficiency of methanol, product selectivity and the elimination of unwanted by-products. Furthermore, understanding the methanol decomposition pathways in the plasma-alone system using different carrier gases is critical for the practical application/scale-up of the NTP-DBD technology.  

Methanol is selected as model VOC in this study since it is odorous volatile alcohol that has been mainly used industrially as a solvent, alternative source of fuel and pesticide. Therefore, ingestion or inhalation of methanol can cause neurological damage, blurred vision, headache, and dizziness. As a result, in this work, an NTP-DBD reactor is developed to remove methanol from ambient air. It is studied in N_{2}, dry and humidified air environments at ambient temperature and atmospheric pressure. These carrier gases were chosen because they can aid in the development of pathways for methanol decomposition using NTPs. The effect of various operating parameters such as carrier gases, plasma power, inlet concentration and residence time were evaluated based on removal efficiency, product selectivity and elimination/reduction of unwanted by-products. In addition to the optimisation of the operating parameters, for example, solid residue formation is a big challenge in the application of plasma-assisted VOC decomposition. The air-cleaning technology developed in this study provided a solution to the major drawback associated with non-catalytic plasma-assisted VOC conversion by eliminating the solid residue formation in the DBD reactor, increasing the removal efficiency and product selectivity and reduced O_{3} concentrations.

Furthermore, the technology also converted methanol to higher hydrocarbons such as C_{2}–C_{4}. In addition, pathways for the decomposition of methanol in the NTP-alone system using different atmospheric gases has been explored. Overall, the study reports a technology for the reduction of methanol in gaseous effluents based on a low cost, low energy non-thermal plasma technology, so pertains to indoor and outdoor air quality control.  

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Experimental set-up

Fig. 1 shows a schematic of the experimental set-up. The experiment was carried out at ambient temperature and atmospheric pressure. The non-thermal plasma was generated using a cylindrical dielectric barrier discharge (DBD) reactor consisting of two coaxial quartz tubes. The plasma DBD reactor
consists of two 316 stainless steel grade electrodes: one outside a cylindrical glass quartz tube (length 330 mm, inner diameter 15 mm, and outer diameter 18 mm) and the other inside the tube. The other electrode was inserted into the domed inner tube (outer diameter of 12 mm). Both the outer and inner electrodes were made of the same material. The discharge gap was 1.5 mm, and the inner tube was secured with quartz wool to keep the discharge gap uniform. Plasma was generated between the annular spaces of the cylindrical quartz tubes.

The length of the external mesh was 60 mm, leading to a discharge volume of 3.82 cm$^3$. A variac AC transformer was used to control the power supplied to the DBD reactor from the power source. The discharge zone is where the two electrodes overlap; so the residence time was calculated using the discharge volume. A P6015A high voltage probe was used to measure the voltage signal/waveform applied to the DBD plasma reactor, and a PEM CWT003X/B current probe was used to measure the plasma current signal/waveform. The current and voltage signals were recorded by a Tektronix TPS 2014 four-channel optical storage oscilloscope. The power was determined by integrating the current signal $I(t)$ and voltage ($U(t)$) recorded by the oscilloscope, as shown in eqn (1). The power dissipated to the DBD reactor was varied from 2 to 10 W at a frequency of about 20 kHz in this study.

$$P = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T U(t)I(t)\,dt$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Pure nitrogen (≥99.9%) and air (zero grade) were purchased from BOC industrial gases, U.K. The overall gas composition and total flow rates of the carrier gases (70–160 ml min$^{-1}$) were regulated by computer-controlled Bronkhorst F201 mass flow controllers (MFC). To investigate the effect of humidity, water vapour-containing air with a relative humidity of 24% (at 20°C and atmospheric pressure) was introduced into the DBD reactor by passing dry airflow through a water bubbler kept in a water bath (20°C). Furthermore, to saturate with the desired amount of methanol, the carrier gas was passed through a bubbler containing anhydrous methanol (≥99.9% – Sigma-Aldrich). The methanol bubbler was placed in an ice bath to reduce the influence of diurnal fluctuations in ambient temperature on the rate of evaporation of methanol. The mixture of methanol vapour and the carrier gas then passed through the DBD reactor.

The composition of the product gases and methanol concentration was measured using a Varian 450 gas chromatograph equipped with a thermal conductivity detector (TCD) for the measurement of CH$_4$, H$_2$, CO and CO$_2$, and a flame ionisation detector (FID) for measuring the inlet and outlet concentrations of methanol, and hydrocarbons.

2.2 Definitions

The removal efficiency of methanol ($\eta_{\text{methanol}}$) is defined as:

$$\eta_{\text{methanol}}(\%) = \frac{[\text{CH}_3\text{OH}]_{\text{in}} - [\text{CH}_3\text{OH}]_{\text{out}}}{[\text{CH}_3\text{OH}]_{\text{in}}} \times 100\%$$

where $[\text{CH}_3\text{OH}]_{\text{in}}$ and $[\text{CH}_3\text{OH}]_{\text{out}}$ are the inlet and outlet methanol concentrations (ppm).

The following formulae were used to determine the selectivity of different gas products:

$$C_n\text{H}_m \text{ selectivity}(\%) = \frac{\sum \text{moles of } C_n\text{H}_m \text{ produced} \times m}{\text{moles of } \text{CH}_3\text{OH} \text{ converted} \times 100\%}$$

$$\text{H}_2 \text{ yield}(\%) = \frac{\text{moles of } \text{H}_2 \text{ produced}}{2 \times \text{moles of } [\text{CH}_3\text{OH}]_{\text{in}} + \text{moles of } \text{H}_2\text{O}_{\text{in}}} \times 100\%$$

$$\text{CO}_2 \text{ selectivity}(\%) = \frac{\text{moles of } \text{CO}_2 \text{ produced}}{\text{moles of } [\text{CH}_3\text{OH}] \text{ converted} \times 100\%}$$

$$\text{CO selectivity}(\%) = \frac{\text{moles of } \text{CO} \text{ produced}}{\text{moles of } [\text{CH}_3\text{OH}] \text{ converted} \times 100\%}$$
The specific input energy (SIE) is defined as:

\[
\text{Specific input energy (SIE) (kJ L}^{-1}) = \frac{P(W)}{Q(L \text{ min}^{-1}) \times 60}
\]

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Effect of carrier gases and power

The effect of power on the removal efficiency of methanol in N₂, dry, and humidified air is shown in Fig. 2. The input power significantly affects the performance of the reaction regardless of the carrier gas used. In N₂ carrier gas, methanol decomposition increases from 27.6% to 71.3% when the input power is increased from 2 W to 10 W (SIE = 1.7–8.6 kJ L\(^{-1}\)). This is generally expected because as the input power increases, the number of energetic electrons increases, increasing the number of excited species, ions, and free radicals due to the collision between these energetic electrons and gas molecules. Therefore, the reaction probability between the reactive species and CH₃OH molecules in the discharge zone increased.

The maximum methanol removal efficiency of 96.7% was achieved in dry air, followed by humidified air (77.7%) and N₂ (71.3%) at 10 W and 3.3 s. This was due to the action of the O radical in dry air, which dominates the plasma system's decomposition process. The average electron temperature of dry air is 4.14 eV, which is higher than the 3.85 eV mean electron energy of pure nitrogen. It is well known that the decomposition of dilute volatile organic compounds in dry air plasmas is initiated by the direct electron impact dissociation of N₂ and O₂ to form chemically reactive species such as N, N₂(A⁻∑\(^u\)⁺), O, and O(¹D) for the conversion of VOCs and intermediates into H₂O, CO, CO₂, and other by-products. Therefore, the generation of higher discharges in dry air plasma can lead to the formation of excited species such as O-radicals, excited N₂ and metastable N₂(A²∑\(^u\)⁺). This resulted in a more significant increase in the conversion of methanol.

Fig. 3 below shows the selectivity to (a) CO₂, (b) CO, (c) C₂–C₄, (d) CH₄, and (e) H₂ yield as a function of carrier gas and input power.

Carbon dioxide, carbon monoxide, methanol, ethane, n-butane and butene, and hydrogen were produced in all carrier gases. Previous investigations reported only the production of CO, CO₂ and H₂ as the major products formed in dry or humid air carrier plasma.

As presented in Fig. 3(a)–(e), increasing the input power increases product selectivity, indicating that the high input power appears to directly enhance the product selectivity due to the high number of energetic electrons. The relationship between electron energy distribution function (EEDF) and electron energy indicates that the higher the mean electron energy is, the more electrons with higher energy will be generated. Electron impact dissociation has been reported to play a significant role in decomposing VOCs to produce CH₃ radicals, which recombine to produce higher hydrocarbons. These findings indicate that the presence of O in dry air favoured the formation of CO and suppressed hydrocarbon formation.

Fig. 3(c) and (d) also show that higher input power resulted in higher selectivity to C₂–C₄ and CH₄ in all the carrier gases. For instance, C₂–C₄ selectivity increased from 5.1% to 16.6% in N₂, and CH₄ selectivity increased from 9.7% to 17.9% in dry air. The selectivity to C₂–C₄ (C₂H₆, C₂H₅, and C₂H₄) is higher in N₂ plasma than in dry and humidified air, especially at higher input power. This is because the presence of the O radical in dry air opens up routes to CO formation instead. The maximum selectivity to C₂–C₄ was observed in N₂ plasmas. This was due to enrichment in CH₃ radicals, compared to other carrier gases tested here, which can be converted to CH₄, C₂H₆, C₂H₅, C₂H₄, and C₂H₁₀ (see Section 3.4). In N₂ plasma, the probability of collision between CH₃OH and various excited N₂ species (e.g. metastable state nitrogen N₂(A²∑\(^u\)⁺)) are higher, leading to more CH₃ radicals at higher residence time. The CH₄ can be dimerised to form C₂H₆, and CH₄ can be generated through the hydrogenation reaction of CH₃, as shown in R(4) and R(5). However, the selectivity to C₂–C₄ is lower in dry and humidified air when compared with N₂ plasma. This is because the presence of O and OH radicals in dry and humidified air oxidises the intermediate species to CO and CO₂. At the same time, the excited N₂, metastable N₂, can be quenched/consumed by oxygen species to form ground state N₂ and NO₂ (NO + NO₂). It is significant to note that NO₂ was not detected in the present study. Furthermore, as the input power increases, methanol decomposition leads to a higher yield of H₂ through recombination reaction of H-radicals or dissociation of CH₃OH.

In humid air, this route is joined by other routes based on the OH radical formed from the dissociation of H₂O, which promotes CO₂ formation. In addition, O and OH radicals can also oxidise hydrocarbon species and CO leading to higher CO₂ selectivity.

The presence of water vapor reduces the methanol removal efficiency. This is probably due to the opening up of the reverse...
reaction OH + CH₄ to CH₃OH. Also, greater humidity reduces the transfer of charges between the electrodes, leading to a decrease in effective plasma volume,²⁸ which led to a greater reduction of the plasma electric field,²¹ which would also decrease the removal efficiency.

However, water addition increased the selectivity to CO₂ and CH₄ and the yield of H₂, rather than CO and longer hydrocarbons. The increase in CO₂ selectivity is due to the more rapid oxidation of CO to CO₂ by the OH radical, than by the O¹ (dry air) or N₂ (in nitrogen only). Clearly, introducing water vapour to the NTP-alone system could (i) reduce CO generation, (ii) reduce O₃ concentration, (iii) increase the yield of H₂, (iv) increase CO₂ selectivity. Detailed mechanisms of the methanol decomposition pathways are presented in Section 3.4.

The formation of solid residues during the decomposition process is a source of concern because they can foul the DBD reactor over time and are undesirable by-products. Solid residue formation must be reduced or eliminated for DBD plasma techniques to be more effective and efficient.²²,²³ In this work, no solid residue was formed in the DBD reactor in all the tested carrier gases. This could be due to the influence operating conditions, reactor configuration or nature of the model VOC (i.e. CH₃OH), which produced more OH¹ radicals through the dissociation of methanol during the decomposition process. In the NTP decomposition of VOCs, reactive species such as OH, O and H radicals can be generated due to the impact of energetic electrons on the VOC molecules and the carrier gases. The electrons have mean energy in the range of 1–10 eV.²⁷ Therefore, in methanol decomposition, OH radical can easily be generated from the electron impact dissociation of CH₃OH. It has been reported that OH and O radicals are potent oxidants produced in the non-thermal plasma technique.³⁴ The OH, H and O radicals generated in the plasma reactor can convert methanol and its intermediates to CO, CO₂, H₂ and H₂O, resulting in the elimination of solid residue in the DBD reactor. Another reason for the elimination of solid residue is the shorter discharge gap for the elimination of solid residue in the DBD reactor. Another reason for the elimination of solid residue is the shorter discharge gap, which would also decrease the removal efficiency.

Fig. 4 shows the effect of CH₃OH concentration on the removal efficiency in N₂, dry, and humidified air. The inlet concentration of CH₃OH was varied over the range of 260–350 ppm with a total gas flow rate of 70 ml min⁻¹ (residence time of 3.3 s) and input power of 6 W at ambient temperature and atmospheric pressure. Fig. 4 shows that the removal efficiency of methanol
increased with increasing the inlet concentration of CH$_3$OH regardless of the carrier gas used.

For instance, the removal efficiency of methanol in N$_2$, dry and humidified air plasmas are 55.4%, 86.0% and 62.6% at an inlet concentration of 260 ppm, respectively. However, these values decreased to 28.6%, 55.4% and 36.5% when the inlet concentration increased to 350 ppm. This is because the number of methanol molecules flowing into the DBD reactor increases while discharge length, input power, and residence time remain fixed. As a result, the undecomposed VOC molecules have a greater probability of leaving the DBD reactor discharge area unreacted.

Fig. 4 shows the selectivity to (a) CO$_2$, (b) CO, (c) C$_2$–C$_4$, (d) CH$_4$ and (e) H$_2$ yield as a function of carrier gases and CH$_3$OH inlet concentration.

The selectivity to CO decreased with increasing CH$_3$OH inlet concentration. For example, increasing CH$_3$OH inlet concentration from 260 ppm to 350 ppm resulted in a considerable decrease in CO$_2$ selectivity from 25.3% and 10.1% in dry air plasma. The selectivity to CO increased as the CH$_3$OH inlet concentration increased from 260 ppm to 320 ppm in all the carrier gases and then decreased when methanol concentration increased to 350 ppm. In humidified air plasma, an increase in CH$_4$ selectivity from 15.8 to 17.9% was observed when methanol concentration increased from 260 to 320 ppm, as shown in Fig. 5(c). It was observed that selectivity to C$_2$–C$_4$ decreases as the CH$_3$OH inlet concentration increases, as more CH$_3$ radicals are produced, which react with H-radicals to form CH$_4$ (see mechanisms in Section 3.4).

The H$_2$ yield decreases as the CH$_3$OH inlet concentration increases from 260 to 350 ppm. This is because, at higher concentrations, the number of energetic electrons, excited species, and gas-phase radicals is reduced per methanol molecule, resulting in a greater reduction in the yield of H$_2$ in the...
decomposition process. Furthermore, more VOC molecules were subjected to the discharge zone at higher concentrations, while the concentration of energetic electrons, excited species, and gas-phase radicals remained constant.\textsuperscript{14,17,36,37}

### 3.3 Effect of residence time

Fig. 6 shows the effect of residence time on the removal efficiency of methanol in N\textsubscript{2}, dry, and humidified air carrier gases. As presented in Fig. 6, the removal efficiency of methanol significantly increased from 29.6%, 32.4%, 50.8% at 1.4 s to 55.4%, 62.6%, and 86.1% at 3.3 s in N\textsubscript{2}, humidified and dry air carrier gases, respectively. Clearly, the removal efficiency of methanol significantly increases with increasing residence time in all carrier gases.

The VOC molecules have more time to interact with the reactive plasma-generated species at higher residence times, increasing removal efficiency.\textsuperscript{17,38} The maximum removal efficiency of methanol achieved was 86.1% at 3.3 s in dry air plasma.

Fig. 7 shows selectivity to (a) CO\textsubscript{2}, (b) CO, (c) C\textsubscript{2}–C\textsubscript{4}, (d) CH\textsubscript{4} and (e) H\textsubscript{2} yield as a function of carrier gases and residence time.

The product selectivity and H\textsubscript{2} yield increased with residence time. The CO\textsubscript{2} selectivity and H\textsubscript{2} yield increased from 17.3%, 14.4% to 25%, and 43.2% as the residence time increased from 1.4 to 3.3 s in humidified air. The selectivity to CO\textsubscript{2} and yield of H\textsubscript{2} increased due to more reaction/collision time between the energetic electrons, gas-phase radicals (OH, O\textsuperscript{•} and H\textsuperscript{•}) and the methanol molecules in the plasma zone.
3.4 Mechanisms of methanol decomposition using NTP-plasma

In a dielectric barrier discharge (DBD) plasma, discharge occurs in three stages: breakdown, quasi-equilibrium, and non-equilibrium.\textsuperscript{39} Non-equilibrium plasmas cause the formation of excited species, ions and radicals. The average electron energy in the DBD system is between 1 and 10 eV.\textsuperscript{40} The excited species such as N\textsubscript{2}, N\textsubscript{2}(A), N\textsubscript{2}+(A\textsubscript{2}Σ\textsubscript{u}+)\textsuperscript{41}, O\textsubscript{2} and radicals (O, H and OH) could be generated through continuous collision with the energetic electrons produced in the DBD plasma reactor.\textsuperscript{37} The plasma-assisted decomposition of VOCs in N\textsubscript{2}, dry and humidified air carrier gases can be initiated in three pathways: electron-impact decomposition reactions e\textsuperscript{4}, collisions with excited species such as N\textsubscript{2} and O\textsubscript{2}, and reactions with gas-phase radicals, such as O, H or OH. The C–O, C–H, and O–H bond dissociation energies of CH\textsubscript{3}OH are 3.638 eV, 4.291 eV, and 4.768 eV, respectively.\textsuperscript{42} Therefore, electrons, excited species, and gas-phase radicals with energies above 3.638 eV could break the strong C–O bond in methanol, generating intermediates that are further converted to gaseous products such as CO\textsubscript{2}, CO, CH\textsubscript{4}, H\textsubscript{2}, and C\textsubscript{2}–C\textsubscript{4} hydrocarbons.

Methanol decomposes to species such as CH\textsubscript{3}+, CH\textsubscript{3}OH, and CHO\textsubscript{H} through electron-impacted reaction as shown in R(1)–R(3).\textsuperscript{41}

\[
\text{CH}_3\text{OH} + e \rightarrow \text{CH}_3^+ + \text{OH}^- + e \quad (R1)
\]

\[
\text{CH}_3\text{OH} + e \rightarrow \text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{H}^+ + e \quad (R2)
\]

\[
\text{CH}_3\text{OH} + e \rightarrow \text{CHOH} + \text{H}_2 + e \quad (R3)
\]

Once produced, CH\textsubscript{3} can easily react with H radicals to form CH\textsubscript{4} through hydrogenation reaction R(4).\textsuperscript{27} CH\textsubscript{3} can also dimerise to form longer hydrocarbons such as C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{6}, C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{5}, C\textsubscript{3}H\textsubscript{6}, and C\textsubscript{4}H\textsubscript{10} through hydrogenation and coupling reactions between the C\textsubscript{n}H\textsubscript{2n} species at low temperatures, as shown between R(4)–R(8).

\[
\text{CH}_3 + \text{H} \rightarrow \text{CH}_4 \quad (R4)
\]

\[
\text{CH}_3 + \text{CH}_3 \rightarrow \text{C}_2\text{H}_6 \quad (R5)
\]

\[
\text{C}_2\text{H}_6 + \text{H} \rightarrow \text{C}_2\text{H}_5 + \text{H}_2 \quad (R6)
\]

\[
\text{C}_2\text{H}_5 + \text{C}_2\text{H}_5 \rightarrow \text{C}_4\text{H}_{10} \quad (R7)
\]

\[
\text{C}_2\text{H}_{10} + e \rightarrow \text{C}_4\text{H}_8 + \text{H}_2 + e \quad (R8)
\]

CH\textsubscript{3}O is unstable in NTPs,\textsuperscript{28} and can be converted to CO and H\textsubscript{2} via electron impact dissociation reaction, as shown in R(9).\textsuperscript{41}

\[
\text{CHOH} + e \rightarrow \text{CO} + \text{H}_2 + e \quad (R9)
\]

It has been proposed that the direct collision of methanol molecules with excited species and gas-phase radicals could open up a new decomposition pathway, as shown in R(10)–R(14).\textsuperscript{43}

\[
\text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{N}, \text{N}_2(A) \rightarrow \text{CH}_3^+ + \text{OH}^- + \text{N}, \text{N}_2 \quad (R10)
\]

\[
\text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{N}, \text{N}_2(A) \rightarrow \text{CH}_2\text{O}^- + \text{H} + \text{N}, \text{N}_2 \quad (R11)
\]

\[
\text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{N}, \text{N}_2(A) \rightarrow \text{CH}_2\text{OH}^- + \text{H} + \text{N}, \text{N}_2 \quad (R12)
\]

\[
\text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{O}, \text{O}(1D) \rightarrow \text{CH}_2\text{OH}^- + \text{OH}^- \quad (R13)
\]

\[
\text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{O}, \text{O}(1D) \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{O}^- + \text{OH}^- \quad (R14)
\]

The addition of water vapour to non-thermal plasma DBD reactors provided new insights into the methanol decomposition pathway. Here, the radicals H and OH could be generated through the electron impact dissociation and excitation reaction of H\textsubscript{2}O molecules as shown in R(15)–R(18).\textsuperscript{43}

\[
\text{H}_2\text{O} + e \rightarrow \text{OH}^- + \text{H}^+ + e \quad (R15)
\]

\[
\text{N}_2(A^3\Sigma_u^+) + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{N}_2 + \text{OH}^- + \text{H}^+ \quad (R16)
\]

\[
e + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow e + \text{O}(1D) + \text{O}(3P) \quad (R17)
\]

\[
\text{O}(1D) + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 2\text{OH}^- \quad (R18)
\]

The OH, O and H radicals generated can convert methanol to CO\textsubscript{2}, H\textsubscript{2} and H\textsubscript{2}O as shown in R(19)–R(22). It is important to note that the introduction of water vapour with a relative humidity of 24% at 20 °C increased CO\textsubscript{2}, CH\textsubscript{4} and H\textsubscript{2} and decreased CO and longer hydrocarbons selectivities as presented in Fig. 3(a)–(e).

\[
\text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{OH}^- \rightarrow \text{CH}_2\text{OH}^- + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (R19)
\]

\[
\text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{OH}^- \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{O}^- + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (R20)
\]

\[
\text{CH}_3\text{OH} \rightarrow 2\text{H}_2 + \text{CO} \quad (R21)
\]

\[
\text{CO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{H}_2 + \text{CO}_2 \quad (R22)
\]

The CH\textsubscript{3}OH decomposition pathway R(21) is followed by H\textsubscript{2}O gas shift reaction R(22) when water vapour is added to the DBD process, leading to increased CO\textsubscript{2} selectivity and H\textsubscript{2} yield.\textsuperscript{44} The H radical produced through the dissociation of CH\textsubscript{3}OH can recombine with the H radical generated from the dissociation of H\textsubscript{2}O to form more H\textsubscript{2}, as shown in R(23).

\[
\text{H}^+ + \text{H}^- \rightarrow \text{H}_2 \quad (R23)
\]

\[
\text{CHOH} + \text{H}^+ \rightarrow \text{HCO} + \text{H}_2 \quad (R24)
\]

\[
\text{H}^+ + \text{HCO}^- + e \rightarrow \text{H}_2 + \text{CO} \quad (R25)
\]

Another reason for the increased H\textsubscript{2} is that during methanol conversion using non-thermal plasma, H\textsubscript{2} is also produced through the reaction of CH\textsubscript{2}O with H radical R(24), and HCO\textsubscript{2} can further react with H radical to form H\textsubscript{2} and CO R(25).\textsuperscript{27} This agrees with this work’s experimental findings, indicating that
H₂ yield increased with plasma power when water vapour with 24% relative humidity introduced the DBD system and CO decreased.

The interaction of H₂O with CH₃OH is also known to be a significant pathway for the production of H₂ and CO₂.

\[
\text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 3\text{H}_2 + \text{CO}_2 \quad (\text{R26})
\]

Tanabe et al. also reported that, apart from methanol decomposition to H₂ and CO, as shown in R(21), there was another reaction pathway between H₂O and CH₃OH, leading to CO₂ and H₂ (see R(26)). In addition, water vapour increased the selectivity to CO₂.

The O and OH radicals can oxidise CO to CO₂, as shown in R(27) and R(28), and hydrogen radicals can recombine to form H₂ (R29).

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{CO} + \text{OH}^* & \rightarrow \text{CO}_2 + \text{H} \\
\text{CO} + \text{O}^* & \rightarrow \text{CO}_2 \\
\text{H}^* + \text{H}^* & \rightarrow \text{H}_2
\end{align*}
\]

Based on the analyses above, the methanol decomposition pathways are summarised in Fig. 8.

### 3.5 Ozone and NOₓ formation

Ozone is one of the main by-products formed in non-thermal plasma DBD abatement of VOCs using dry air. O₃ formation can be initiated in a DBD plasma via collisions between energetic electrons and oxygen molecules, as shown in R(30) and R(31).

\[
\begin{align*}
e + \text{O}_2 & \rightarrow \text{O}(^3\text{P}) + \text{O}(^3\text{P}) + e(6.1 \text{ eV}) \\
e + \text{O}_2 & \rightarrow \text{O}(^3\text{P}) + \text{O}(^1\text{D}) + e(8.4 \text{ eV})
\end{align*}
\]

Furthermore, O₁ can also be generated through a three-body recombinations reaction of atomic oxygen O and molecular oxygen, as shown in R(32). The third body M can be oxygen or nitrogen molecules in the dry air carrier gas.

\[
\text{O} + \text{O}_2 + \text{M} \rightarrow \text{O}_3 + \text{M} \quad (\text{R32})
\]

Here, the influence of input power on ozone formation at constant CH₃OH concentration (260 ppm) and residence time (3.3 s) in dry and humidified air carrier gases were studied. The O₃ concentrations from the outlet of the DBD reactor were measured using the standard KI solution method as described by Yulianto et al. The O₃ concentration as a function of input power using dry and humidified air carrier gases is presented in Fig. 9.

The ozone concentration increased with input power in dry air. The O₃ concentration increased from 2.4 ppm (2 W) to 10.2 ppm (10 W) at constant inlet methanol concentration (260 ppm) and residence time (3.3 s) in dry air. However, ozone concentration initially increases when the plasma power increases from 2 to 6 W and decreases when plasma power increases (8–10 W) in humid air plasma. The introduction of water vapour significantly reduced the ozone concentration at every point and kept it below 3 ppm. Water addition reduces the production of ozone due to the utilisation of O(1D) by H₂O, the primary source of ozone formation. H₂O decreased the O₃ concentration by quenching the energetic electrons. On the other hand, the O₃ concentration decreased due to an increase in direct interactions between O₃ and gas-phase radicals such as OH, H and HO₂ radicals. As a result, the O₃ destruction reactions can be summarised as follows: R(33)–R(35).

\[
\text{O}_3 + \text{H}^* \rightarrow \text{O}_2 + \text{OH}^* \quad (\text{R33})
\]
In the plasma-assisted decomposition of VOCs, the formation of NOx is a significant health and environmental concern, and decreased the efficiency of the abatement process. In dry air plasma, NOx can be formed via the electron impacted dissociation reaction of N2/O2 as shown in R(36)-R(40). The removal efficiency of methanol increased with input power and residence time regardless of the carrier gas. The removal efficiency of methanol was translated to CO2, H2, and various hydrocarbons (CH4, C2H6, C3H8, and C4H8). The N2 carrier gas exhibited the highest selectivity to C2-C4 hydrocarbons due to the absence of O and OH, which could decompose hydrocarbons. CO2 and CO production were lower in N2 than the other carrier gases, again because of the absence of O and OH radicals, which resulted in higher selectivity to hydrocarbons. The introduction of H2O (RH = 24% at 20 °C) into the carrier gas reduced the removal efficiency, but significantly improved selectivity toward CO2 and H2. There were various other benefits to the presence of H2O, including significant reductions in both O3 and CO.

The reaction mechanisms for the various decomposition pathways of methanol have been hypothesised, including electron impact decomposition reaction, direct collision with excited species, and reaction with gas-phase radicals such as O, H, or OH. The role of the OH radical can largely explain the effects of H2O inclusion. Furthermore, no solid residue was formed in the DBD reactor in all the carrier gases. Overall, the dry air plasma exhibited the highest removal efficiency, but the humidification, although it decreased the removal efficiency, greatly reduced various typically unwanted species, including CO and O3, whilst increasing the more desired (less toxic) species, such as CO2 and H2.

**Conclusions**

A dielectric barrier discharge (DBD) plasma system was used to remove methanol from gas streams at ambient temperature and pressure. The system was studied with carrier gases of dry air, humidified air and nitrogen, to determine the respective roles of N2, O2, and H2O. The plasma power (2–10 W), CH3OH inlet concentration (260–350 ppm), and residence time (1.4–3.3 s) were varied for each gas. The removal efficiency of methanol increased with input power and residence time regardless of the carrier gas used and decreased with increasing CH3OH inlet concentration. The methanol removal efficiency increased in the series: N2 < humidified air < dry air. In dry air plasma, the findings suggest that the action of O radicals dominates the methanol decomposition. Methanol was converted to CO2, H2, and various hydrocarbons (CH4, C2H6, C3H8, and C4H8). The N2 carrier gas exhibited the highest selectivity to C2-C4 hydrocarbons due to the absence of O and OH, which could decompose hydrocarbons. CO2 and CO production were lower in N2 than the other carrier gases, again because of the absence of O and OH radicals, which resulted in higher selectivity to hydrocarbons. The introduction of H2O (RH = 24% at 20 °C) into the carrier gas reduced the removal efficiency, but significantly improved selectivity toward CO2 and H2. There were various other benefits to the presence of H2O, including significant reductions in both O3 and CO.
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