Genetic Aspects for Meat Quality of Purebred and Crossbred Bull-Calves
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Abstract | The aim of the current study is to analyze the meat efficiency of Simmental bull-calves and their first-generation crosses with the red steppe and black-motley cows. For the experiment, we used semi–carcasses of purebred Simmental bull-calves (group I), half-breed crosses with red steppe cattle (½ Simmental x ½ red steppe; group II), and crosses of Simmentals and black-motley cattle (½ Simmental x ½ black-motley; group III). The animals were kept in the same conditions in the feedyard; after fattening, three bull-calves from each experimental group were slaughtered. To assess the meat productivity of the animals, a morphometric analysis of the semi–carcasses of the experimental animals was made, along with a comparative qualitative analysis of the meat products. The results of the research showed that the carcasses of the Simmental bull-calves and their half-breed crosses with black-motley cattle were of a higher quality.
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INTRODUCTION

The main task of the agro-industrial complex in Russia is to provide high-quality and biologically high-grade food products for the population, especially under the sanctions that are being constantly introduced (Kosilov et al., 2010; Nikonova et al., 2014; Kosilov and Mironenko, 2010; Kosilov et al., 2006; Kubatbekov, 2006; Kubatbekov et al., 2014). First, it is necessary to increase the meat production, particularly beef production that share significantly in the meat balance (Kubatbekov et al., 2017; Kharlamov et al., 2015; Kosilov and Mironenko, 2005; Zadnepryansky et al., 2012; Kosilov et al., 2012; Bozymov et al., 2016; Kayumov et al., 2019; Mironova et al., 2018; Fatkullin et al., 2018; Sedykh et al., 2018; Tyulebaev et al., 2019). For this purpose, it is necessary to use all the available resources in the livestock breeding industry. Therefore, it is advisable to develop and implement a set of measures in the zootechnical practice that might contribute to complete the implementation of the genetic potential in the meat productivity of domestic cattle breeds. Due to the small population of cattle of specialized meat breeds in the country, the main source of beef meat is the extrareplacement for young stock of dairy and combined breeds where cow were replaced from the main herd for various reasons. While maintaining the reproductive ability, the breeding stock may become a basis for obtaining crossbred young stock.

The prospects of using crosses in beef production are determined by the fact that they have good productive qualities due to the combination of the positive
The quality of meat products, their nutritional and biological value are largely determined by the development of the muscle tissues of the carcass, the specific weight of which in well-fed animals exceeds 65%. Likewise, the development of the muscle tissues and, to some extent, their quality are characterized by their size and the cross-section area (the “muscle eye”) of the rib eye.

Our results for the muscles morphometric examination show the effect of the bull-calves’ genotype on their development (Table 1). The purebred young Simmentals in group I and the crosses (½ Simmental x ½ red steppe) in group II were inferior to them in terms of the depth of the muscle by 4.9% and 10.3%, and in terms of the width of the muscle by 9.8% and 21.8%, respectively. While, the leading position in terms of the studied parameters was taken by the crossbred (½ Simmental x ½ black-motley) bull-calves from group III. The differences between the groups in terms of the size of the rib eye determined the unequal area of the “muscle eye” with the predominance of the crossbred bull-calves in group III with superiority in terms of the analyzed parameter over the purebred Simmental bull-calves in group I was 8.4 cm² (12.6%, P < 0.01), and over the crossbred young animals (½ Simmental x ½ red steppe) in group II 18.6 cm² (P < 0.001).

The minimum morphometric parameters for the rib eye were observed in the crossbred bull-calves (½ Simmental x ½ red steppe) in group II that were inferior to the purebred Simmental bull-calves in terms of the muscle depth by 5.2%, in terms of the width by 10.9%, and in terms of the “muscle eye” area by 10.2 cm² (18.1%, P < 0.001).

The quality of carcasses is largely characterized by the meatiness index of the natural anatomical parts of the carcasses, which shows the yield of boneless meat (the edible part of the carcass) per kg of bones. The obtained data and their analysis showed the influence of the anatomical and topographic location of individual carcass cuts on the value of the analyzed parameter (Table 2).

The cervical natural anatomical cut of the semi-carcass had the maximum characteristic meatiness index as its value in this cut was greater than the scapulohumeral cut by 4.70 – 6.21 kg (111.1% – 167.6%, P < 0.001), greater than the back-and-ribs cut by 5.42 – 6.70 kg (159.4% – 215.4%, P < 0.001), greater than the lumbar cut by 0.95 – 2.93 kg (12.1% – 42.6%, P > 0.05 and greater than the leg-and-hip cut by 3.60 – 4.80 kg (69.0% – 95.8%, P < 0.05 – 0.01).

On the other hand, the lumbar natural anatomical part of the semi-carcass also had a high meatiness index. In the scapulohumeral cut, the analyzed parameter was lower than the lumbar cut by 2.76 – 4.17 kg (67.0% – 112.7%, P < 0.05 – 0.01), lower than the back-and-ribs cut by 3.48 – 4.76 kg (102.3% – 153.0%, P < 0.05), and lower than the leg and hip cut by 1.66 – 2.86 kg (31.8% – 53.5%, P > 0.05).
Table 1: Rib eye measurements in the bull-calves in the experimental groups at the age of 18 months.

| Parameter                  | Group | Parameter | C_v | X ± Sx | C_v | X ± Sx | C_v | X ± Sx | C_v |
|----------------------------|-------|-----------|-----|--------|-----|--------|-----|--------|-----|
| Depth, cm                  | I     | 6.1 ± 0.12| 2.10| 5.8 ± 0.14 | 2.23| 6.4 ± 0.16 | 2.43|
| Width, cm                  | II    | 11.2 ± 0.18 | 2.34| 10.1 ± 0.20 | 2.52| 12.3 ± 0.22 | 2.73|
| Cross-section area, cm²    | III   | 66.4 ± 3.12 | 2.88| 56.2 ± 3.21 | 2.90| 74.8 ± 3.44 | 3.12|
| Depth/Width*100%           |       | 54.46 ± 2.90 | 2.14| 57.42 ± 3.01 | 2.43| 52.03 ± 2.94 | 2.30|

Table 2: The meatiness index of individual natural anatomical parts of semi-carcasses of the bull-calves in the experimental groups at the age of 18 months, kg.

| Group | Natural anatomical part | Parameter | C_v | X ± Sx | C_v | X ± Sx | C_v | X ± Sx | C_v | X ± Sx | C_v | X ± Sx | C_v |
|-------|-------------------------|-----------|-----|--------|-----|--------|-----|--------|-----|--------|-----|--------|-----|
|       | cervical part           |           |     |        |     |        |     |        |     |        |     |        |     |
| I     | 9.02 ± 0.88             | 1.92      |     | 3.92 ± 0.34 | 1.88| 3.20 ± 0.19 | 1.31| 7.10 ± 0.28 | 1.43| 5.11 ± 0.22 | 1.40|
| II    | 8.82 ± 0.96             | 1.98      |     | 3.70 ± 0.42 | 1.98| 3.11 ± 0.23 | 1.56| 6.88 ± 0.44 | 1.81| 5.01 ± 0.28 | 1.48|
| III   | 9.81 ± 0.90             | 1.96      |     | 4.13 ± 0.50 | 1.94| 3.40 ± 0.32 | 1.72| 7.87 ± 0.40 | 1.88| 5.22 ± 0.26 | 1.43|

Table 3: The grade composition of the boneless meat for semi-carcasses of the bull-calves in the experimental groups at the age of 18 months (following the sausage classification).

| Parameter                  | Group | Parameter | C_v | X ± Sx | C_v | X ± Sx | C_v | X ± Sx | C_v | X ± Sx | C_v | X ± Sx | C_v |
|----------------------------|-------|-----------|-----|--------|-----|--------|-----|--------|-----|--------|-----|--------|-----|
| kg Boneless meat, total %  | I     | 111.4 ± 2.01 | 2.33| 101.6 ± 2.12 | 2.47| 119.5 ± 2.23 | 2.54|
| kg Including top grade, % | II    | 78.5 ± 0.43 | 1.14| 77.1 ± 0.52 | 1.36| 79.8 ± 0.55 | 1.46|
| kg Grade I %               | III   | 21.4 ± 0.89 | 2.40| 19.1 ± 0.92 | 2.58| 23.8 ± 0.98 | 2.70|
| kg Grade II %              |       | 19.2 ± 0.89 | 4.10| 18.8 ± 0.96 | 5.12| 19.9 ± 1.10 | 6.44|
|                            |       | 53.7 ± 1.96 | 5.12| 47.9 ± 2.01 | 5.64| 59.5 ± 2.21 | 5.88|
|                            |       | 48.2 ± 1.90 | 4.88| 47.1 ± 1.99 | 5.61| 49.8 ± 2.10 | 6.20|
|                            |       | 36.3 ± 1.88 | 4.32| 34.6 ± 1.97 | 4.80| 36.2 ± 1.99 | 4.96|
|                            |       | 32.6 ± 1.64 | 3.92| 34.1 ± 1.78 | 4.02| 30.3 ± 1.88 | 4.24|

Comparative assessment of the meatiness index of the leg and hip natural anatomical part, the scapulohumeral and the back-and-ribs part showed less significant difference for its value in favor of the leg and hip part cut. It noticed that the scapulohumeral part was inferior to it in terms of the analyzed parameter by 0.89 – 1.52 kg (21.6 – 41.1, P > 0.05), and the back-and-ribs part by 1.61–2.11 kg (47.3 % – 67.8 %, P > 0.05). The differences in the meatiness index of the scapulohumeral and the back-and-ribs natural anatomical parts were non statistically significant. At the same time, the scapulohumeral cut tended to be superior over the back-and-ribs cut in terms of the analyzed parameter.

The obtained data and their analysis showed the influence of the bull-calves genotype on the meatiness index of the natural individual anatomical parts of the semi-carcasses. Within that, the leading place in terms of the meatiness index belonged to the crossbred (½ Simmental x ½ black-motley) bull-calves in group III. The Simmental bull-calves in group I and the crossbred bull-calves (½ Simmental x ½ red steppe) in group II were inferior to them in terms of the meatiness index of the most valuable cuts for the lumbar and the leg-and-hip ones by 0.77 kg (10.8 %), 0.99 kg (14.4 %) and 0.11 kg (2.2 %), 0.21 kg (4.2 %), respectively. A similar observation was observed during analyzing the differences between the groups in terms of the meatiness index of other natural anatomical parts of the semi-carcasses. Our results revealed that the crossbred bull-calves...
The data analysis shows that the minimum level of the meatiness index of all the natural anatomical parts of the semi-carcasses was observed in the crossbred bull-calves (½ Simmental x ½ red steppe) in group II. They were inferior to their purebred Simmental peers in terms of the analyzed parameter in the leg-and-hip cut by 0.10 kg (2.0 %), in the lumbar cut by 0.22 kg (3.2 %), in the back-and-ribs cut by 0.09 kg (2.9 %), in the humeroscapular cut by 0.22 kg (5.9 %), and in the cervical cut by 0.20 kg (2.3 %).

It was found that the lowest values that characterize the meatiness of all the natural anatomical parts of the carcasses were inferior to their purebred Simmental peers in terms of the analyzed parameter in the leg-and-hip cut by 0.10 kg (2.0 %), in the lumbar cut by 0.22 kg (3.2 %), in the back-and-ribs cut by 0.09 kg (2.9 %), in the humeroscapular cut by 0.22 kg (5.9 %), and in the cervical cut by 0.20 kg (2.3 %).

The materials obtained during trimming and sorting of the edible part of the carcasses showed the influence of the young cattle’ genotype on the yield of individual meat grades (Table 3). The best grade composition was observed in the meat products obtained during slaughtering of the crossbred bull-calves (½ Simmental x ½ black-motley) in group III as they exceeded the purebred Simmental bull-calves in group I and the young crossbred cattle (½ Simmental x ½ red steppe) in group II in terms of the absolute weight of first-grade meat by 2.4 kg (11.2 %, P < 0.05) and 4.7 kg (24.6 %, P < 0.01), and in terms of the relative weight by 0.7 % and 1.1 %, respectively. Similar differences between the groups were observed in terms of the yield of first-grade meat. as noticed that the purebred Simmental bull-calves in group I and the crossbred (½ Simmental x ½ red steppe) in group II were inferior to their crossbred peers (½ Simmental x ½ black-motley) in group III in terms of the absolute weight of first-grade meat by 5.8 kg (10.8 %, P < 0.01 and 11.6 kg (24.2 %, P < 0.001), and in terms of the relative weight by 1.6 % and 2.7 %, respectively.

The meat products obtained by slaughtering of purebred and crossbred bull-calves have high quality which evidenced by the morphometric parameters of the rib eye, the ratio of its tissues, and the grade composition of the edible part. Within that, the carcasses of Simmental bull-calves and half-breed crosses with black-motley cattle have higher quality.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The meat products obtained by slaughtering of purebred and crossbred bull-calves have high quality which evidenced by the morphometric parameters of the rib eye, the ratio of its tissues, and the grade composition of the edible part. Within that, the carcasses of Simmental bull-calves and half-breed crosses with black-motley cattle have higher quality.
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