Magnetic-mediated Mitoxantrone in Cancer Treatment: In Vivo Dosage and Application in a Critical Case
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Introduction
Cancer plays an increasing role in present-day health care systems, mainly due to longer life expectancies. However, cancer treatment remains a difficult challenge despite growing therapeutic progress. One of the difficulties in successfully tackling tumors is related to the serious side effects of anti-tumor drugs on non-malignant body tissue. A progressive method to overcome this bias may increasingly be provided by using magnetized nanoparticle complexes, either excluding or including hyperthermia [1-3].

Previously, we reported on a promising mitoxantrone therapy by magnetic targeting in a murine tumor model and the successful application in a female patient [4]. Here we present an extension of this approach by investigating dosage effects in vivo and its application in a male patient. In this extreme, multi-metastasized case a palliative treatment the approach had to be taken.

Materials, Methods and Results
Animal studies
Wag/Rij rats were contaminated by implantation of a rhabdomyosarcoma by injection. Cytostatic mitoxantrone bonded to iron oxides [Fe3O4] was administered intravenously into a vein or artery. The laboratory data referring to the used nanodrug are presented in Table 1. A magnetic field strength of 0.6 tesla was applied externally but close to the infected body area. The activity measured was 0.31 tesla at 3 mm depth, and 0.03 tesla at 10 mm depth respectively.

This procedure was repeated at different rates according to the respective experimental group, resulting in varying dosage amounts (Table 2). Tumor volumes were determined at treatment start (day 1) and seven days later (day 8).

Results: Tumor growth was highly significantly reduced even with the lowest mitoxantrone dosage application (Group VIII, p=0.0087; Table 3). However, absolute tumor volume reduction (compared to day 1) was achieved only in Groups IX and X respectively (Tables 3-5). Interestingly, no significant difference in tumor reduction could be found between these two groups, i.e. the groups with the middle and highest drug dose.

The fact that the low dosage group (VIII) responded positively to magnetically mediated mitoxantrone becomes more apparent when comparing the (more reliable) median value with the control group median. In this case, absolute tumor size was proven to be smaller for Group VIII at borderline significance (p=0.0519).

Individuals clearly differed to some extent in tumor volumes, although not significantly, in all groups. This in no way affected the clear-cut inter-group differences in tumor development.

Human study
In a 65-year-old man a highly malignant pleomorphic sarcoma on the right upper arm detected in February 2009 developed a polymetastatic syndrome (local ulcerous relapse; skeleton and lung metastases), but no liver metastases. Further diagnosis was adiposity and leg edema connected with stasis dermatitis (170 cm, 97 kg). After three conventional therapy approaches (150 mg doxorubicin, 4,000 mg oxazaphosphorine i.v., 45 Gy radiotherapy), a large pre- and retrosternal metastasis was treated in October 2009 by magnetic drug-targeting. The total drug dosage was 100 mg mitoxantrone (i.e. starting with 2 times 20 mg on 2 consecutive days, after a two-week pause 3 times 20 mg within 4 days). Method of close magnetic administration: see Animal study.

Results: MRI showed a large solid, non-movable, T2-dominated pre-sternal metastasis situated subcutaneously and covering an area of 115 x 85 x 65 [mm]=635,375 mm³ before treatment (Figure 1). Five days after the final application day (i.e. after an 18-day treatment period), the metastasis had shrunk dramatically to 84 x 57 x 41 [mm]=196,308

---

Table 1: Detailed dates of the nanodrug used [micromod].

| Product-No. | 05-02-252S |
|-------------|------------|
| Product-Name | nanomag-GLD |
| Product description | magnetite dextran composite particles, cross-linked, COOH modified |
| Surface | Mitoxantron (10 µg/mg) |
| Size | 250 nm |
| Solid content | 10 mg/ml |
| Iron content | 3.0 x 10^10 |
| Quantity | 10 ml |
| Polydispersity index | <0.2 |
| Shape | cluster-type |
| Density | 2.5 g/ccm |
| Magnetization | 43 emu/g particles (H=1000 Oe) |
| Saturation magnetization | 67 emu/g particles (H=10,000 Oe) |
| Stable in | aqueous buffers pH=4 |
| Not stable in | organic solvents, acidic solutions pH<4 |
| Product form | suspension in 0.9% saline |
| Particles per ml | 3.0 x 10^11 |
| Particles per mg | 3.0 x 10^10 |
| Additional remarks | Storage at 4°C for 3 months, do not freeze |
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mm³ in volume. That was a reduction of nearly 70%. The treatment was well tolerated despite the patient’s serious overall health condition. The clinical course of iron oxide and ferritin is shown in Table 6.

Blood samples taken on the fourth treatment day clearly show the specific iron oxide accumulation under the magnet area (Table 7: Sample 2), also demonstrating definitively the carrier function of iron oxide for mitoxantrone. This was 14 times higher than the blood iron level directly before the start of application.

**Conclusion**

Firstly, the efficiency of the magnetic field as a therapeutic application method is confirmed in vivo in both the animal model and the human case study.

Furthermore, the animal study emphasizes the tendency of magnetically mediated chemotherapy to be effective on tumor development with increasing mitoxantrone levels. Even the lowest dosage applied in the tests reduced tumor growth at borderline significance. On the other hand, the highest drug level administered (Group X, i.e. 8 × 0.5 mg/kg BW) did not significantly raise therapy efficacy.

Regarding the case study no other therapy attempt than a palliative approach is presently reasonable despite general progress in several

---

**Table 2:** Study groups in dose-effect tests.

| Group | Operative measure | Total duration | Subjects (n) |
|-------|-------------------|---------------|--------------|
| VII   | 4 × 0.5 mg/kg NaCl (control group) | >2 h | 6 |
| VIII  | 4 × 0.5 mg/kg BW MagnaDrug Mitoxantrone in a magnetic field | >2 h | 6 |
| IX    | 6 × 0.5 mg/kg BW MagnaDrug Mitoxantrone in a magnetic field | >3 h | 6 |
| X     | 8 × 0.5 mg/kg BW MagnaDrug Mitoxantrone in a magnetic field | >4 h | 6 |

*duration of magnetic field exposure  
**slow intravenous injection>5 min

**Table 3:** Tumor volume development (in mm³) in the dosage-efficacy tests.

| Group | Day 1 mean ± SD | Day 8 mean ± SD | Difference (of means) |
|-------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| VII   | 9605.15 ± 3789.03 | 20816.27 ± 5006.76 | 11211.12 |
| VIII  | 4 × 0.5 mg/kg BW MagnaDrug Mitoxantrone in a magnetic field | 13900.22 ± 4131.67 | 2510.99 |
| IX    | 9320.15 ± 1665.68 | 7173.54 ± 1762.24 | -2146.61 |
| X     | 6 × 0.5 mg/kg BW MagnaDrug Mitoxantrone in a magnetic field | 10643.59 ± 2085.07 | -3127.81 |

*p values<0.05 in bold  
**2 subjects deceased in group VIII, another one in Group X

**Table 4:** Dose-effect study. Intra-group comparisons for tumor volume differences between Day 1 and Day 8.

| Group | Group VII | Group VIII | Group IX | Group X |
|-------|-----------|------------|----------|---------|
| Difference (mm³) | 11836.32 | 3179.50 | -2306.57 | -3752.39 |
| p value | 0.0313 | 0.1875 | 0.0313 | 0.2500 |

**Table 5:** Dose-effect study. Inter-group comparisons of tumor volume medians on Day 8.

| Group | Group VII | Group VIII | Group IX |
|-------|-----------|------------|----------|
| Group X | 0.0095 | 0.0159 | 0.3524 |

*p values<0.05 in bold,<0.06 in italics

**Table 6:** Case study. Iron oxide and ferritin on days -2, 0, 1, 2, 3 and 4 after 2 weeks.
oncological sections. Taking this into account the described remarkable local metastasis reduction by means of magnetic drug targeting indicates an encouraging non-conventional medical alternative to limit metastasis growth. Moreover, although involving a critical stage, noteworthy side effects such as hair loss, stomatitis, gastroenteritis or other clinical symptoms did not occur. This strongly suggests the usefulness of magnet-assisted therapy approaches, even in severe and palliative disease stages.
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**Table 7:** Case study. Blood sampling on Day 4.

| Sample designation | Sample 1 | Sample 2 |
|--------------------|----------|----------|
| Matrix             | blood    | tumor tissue under the magnet |
| Parameter          | Unit     | content  | Content |
| Microwave pressure breakdown HNO₃/H₂O₂ | X | X |
| Iron, tot. [Fe]    | µg/dl    | 136      | 315      |

**Table 7:** Case study. Blood sampling on Day 4.