Comparison of Bipolar Diathermy Tonsillectomy Versus Cold Steel Dissection Tonsillectomy
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ABSTRACT

Objective: To compare mean Primary Blood loss and mean time taken to complete the procedure between conventional cold steel tonsillectomy versus bipolar diathermy tonsillectomy. Study Design: Randomized Control Trial. Settings: Department of ENT Unit-I, Allied hospital, Faisalabad. Duration: 6-month duration from: May 2019 to October 2019. Methodology: After taking approval from hospital ethical committee, patients coming through the OPD who fulfilled the selection criteria were enrolled and informed consent was obtained. All the basic demographic information of each patient (Name, age, sex, address and contact) was also noted. Using computer generated random number tables patients were randomized in two groups. In Group-A patient underwent conventional cold steel tonsillectomy and in Group-B underwent diathermy tonsillectomy. All procedures were done by single surgical team to avoid the bias. From the start of the procedure till completion of tonsillectomy, the primary blood loss and time to complete the procedure was calculated. Results: Mean age was 14.83±5.84 years in Group-A and 15.63±5.59 years in Group-B. 62.86%(n=22) in Group-A and 57.14%(n=20) in Group-B were male whereas 37.14%(n=13) in Group-A and 42.86%(n=15) in Group-B were females. Comparison between conventional cold steel tonsillectomy versus bipolar diathermy tonsillectomy shows that 24.57±1.42ml in Group-A and 11.17±1.67ml blood loss in Group-B, p value was 0.001. Mean operative time (minutes) was recorded as 24.94±2.26 minutes in Group-A and 13.09±1.66 minutes in Group-B, p value was 0.0001. Conclusion: We concluded that mean Primary Blood loss and mean time taken to complete the procedure were significantly lower in bipolar diathermy tonsillectomy when compared to conventional cold steel tonsillectomy.
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INTRODUCTION

Tonsillectomy forms main bulk of surgical procedures performed by ENT surgeons throughout world.1 Tonsil is common site of infection in childhood which leads to performing tonsillectomy in most of the children.2 Various techniques are used for tonsillectomy by different surgeons. Different techniques were adopted and improved to make procedure safe and reduce operative time, intraoperative blood loss, postoperative morbidity and complications. Tonsillectomy is probably one of the first procedures performed in otolaryngology. There has been a continuous evolution in surgical technique and devices over the years.3 A lot of tonsillectomy techniques have been adopted for the optimal method of tonsillectomy. The cold steel dissection method was introduced in 19th century. Later on hot techniques were used for tonsillectomy. Different hot techniques used in modern times are CO2, Nd: YAG and KTP laser, excision diathermy or plasma coblation, radiofrequency ablation, heat welding and harmonic scalpel.3 Remington-Hobbs described the use of diathermy to remove the tonsils in 1968. The veteran technique of cold dissection tonsillectomy was the gold standard for tonsillectomy for almost a century.4 For last 30 years hot electro surgical techniques have been used for tonsillectomy, most commonly with diathermy.5 In diathermy tonsillectomy tissues are cut and coagulated but they also cause unintentional injury to surrounding structure and post-operative pain. Goal of procedure should be short surgical time, minimum blood loss, with early recovery. Ideally tonsillectomy procedure should have short surgical time, minimum blood loss, with quick recovery and without associated complications. Over the last few decades, electrocautery tonsillectomy has been used more widely.5 Prompt surgical technique and reduction of post-operative morbidity are the parameters that leads to assessment of best tonsillectomy technique.7 There is still a debate about the optimal method of tonsillectomy with less morbidity. Both Cold Dissection technique and bipolar diathermy tonsillectomy technique are used widely in the United States and even in Pakistan, these techniques are frequently described in the literature also. After the advent of non-explosive mixtures, the use of Bipolar diathermy became common with General inhalation anesthesia.8 Diathermy tonsillectomy allows for minimal blood loss, little operative time, and allows the simultaneous control of bleeding and dissection. However bipolar electrocautery does not cause surrounding tissue injury compared to monopolar cautery and is said to be superior in terms of perioperative bleeding and time of surgical procedure.8
The average blood loss per operative procedure was 24.6 ± 6.12 ml in the cold dissection group, whereas it was 10.3±2.85 ml in the group of bipolar electrocautery with a P value of 0.001. The average operating time of the cold dissection method was 23.50±7.10 minutes on average compared to the dissection method of bipolar diathermy was 13.50±3.0 minutes which is clearly showing that the Bipolar diathermy tonsillectomy is better in term of perioperative blood loss and short procedure time during tonsillectomy than cold dissection mare method.9 The rationale of this study is to compare the techniques of cold steel dissection tonsillectomy and Bipolar electrocautery in our population, so this study will help us to generate evidence for local population and best technique will be preferred with confidence to achieve good perioperative bleeding control and short procedure time.

Objective of the study was to compare mean Primary Blood loss and mean time taken to complete the procedure between conventional cold steel tonsillectomy versus bipolar diathermy tonsillectomy.

METHODOLOGY
Study Design: Randomized control trial.
Settings: Department of ENT-I, Allied hospital, Faisalabad Pakistan.
Duration: Six months from May 2019 to October 2019.
Sample Technique: Non-probability consecutive sampling technique was used.
Sample Size: Sample size was calculated using WHO sample size calculator for two mean. Anticipated population mean = 23.5 Test value of population mean = 13.5 Pooled standard deviation = 5.45 Power of Study 90%, Level of Significance 5 % Sample size = 70 (35 in each group).
Inclusion Criteria: Patient with age 05 -30 years of both male and female gender planned for elective tonsillectomy were included.
Exclusion Criteria: Presence of peritonsillar abscess, acute tonsillitis, asymmetric tonsillar hypertrophy, bleeding disorders (INR >1.5), pregnant female and lactation and underlying bleeding and clotting disorders are excluded.
Data Collection Procedure: Patient with any contraindication e.g. IHD, Diabetes and heart disease
After taking approval from hospital ethical committee, patients coming to the OPD who fulfilled the selection criteria were enrolled and informed consent was obtained. All the basic demographic information of each patient (Name, age, sex, address and contact) was also recorded. Computer generated random number tables were used to divide patients in two groups. In Group-A patient underwent conventional cold steel tonsillectomy and in Group-B underwent bipolar diathermy tonsillectomy. All procedures were done by single surgical team to avoid the bias. From the start of the procedure till completion of tonsillectomy, the primary blood loss and time taken to complete the procedure was calculated and noted.
All the data was recorded and analysis was done using SPSS version 20. Mean and standard deviation was calculated for age. Quantitative variable (Blood loss and operative time) was presented in the form of mean ± sd. Qualitative data like gender was presented in the form of frequency and percentage. Operative time, amount of blood loss was analyzed by independent sample t test to see the statistical significance in two groups, p value of less than and equal to 0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS
A total of 70 (n=35 in each group) fulfilling the inclusion/exclusion criteria were enrolled to compare mean Primary Blood loss and mean time taken to complete the procedure between conventional cold steel tonsillectomy versus bipolar diathermy tonsillectomy.

Age distribution of the patients was done, it shows that 51.43%(n=18) in Group A and 42.86% (n=15) in Group B were between 5-15 years of age whereas 48.57% (n=17) in Group A and 57.14% (n=20) in Group B were between 16-30 years of age, mean±sd was calculated as 14.83±5.84 years in Group-A and 15.63±5.59 years in Group-B (Table 1).

| Age (in years) | Group-A (n=35) | Group-B (n=35) |
|---------------|----------------|----------------|
|               | No. of patients | %              | No. of patients | %            |
| 05-15         | 18             | 51.43          | 15             | 42.86        |
| 16-30         | 17             | 48.57          | 20             | 57.14        |
| Total         | 35             | 100            | 35             | 100          |
| Mean±SD       | 14.83±5.84     | 15.63±5.59     |

Gender distribution of the patients shows that 62.86%(n=22) in Group-A and 57.14% (n=20) in Group-B were male whereas 37.14%(n=13) in Group-A and 42.86%(n=15) in Group-B were females (Table 2).

| Gender | Group-A (n=35) | Group-B (n=35) |
|--------|----------------|----------------|
|        | No. of patients | %              | No. of patients | %            |
| Male   | 22             | 62.86          | 20             | 57.14        |
| Female | 13             | 37.14          | 15             | 42.86        |
| Total  | 35             | 100            | 35             | 100          |

Comparison between conventional cold steel tonsillectomy versus bipolar diathermy tonsillectomy shows 24.57±1.42ml blood loss in Group-A and 11.17±1.67ml in Group-B, p value was 0.001. Mean operative time (minutes) was recorded as 24.94±2.26 minutes in Group-A and 13.09±1.66minutes in Group-B, p value was 0.0001(Table 3).
Table 3: Comparison of mean primary blood loss and mean time taken to complete the procedure between conventional cold steel tonsillectomy versus bipolar diathermy tonsillectomy (n=70)

| Variables           | Group-A (n=35) | Group-B (n=35) | P value |
|---------------------|----------------|----------------|---------|
|                     | Mean  SD       | Mean  SD       |         |
| Blood loss (ml)     | 24.57  1.42    | 11.17  1.67    | 0.001   |
| Operative time (mins)| 24.94  2.26    | 13.09  1.66    | 0.0001  |

DISCUSSION

Tonsillectomy forms the main bulk of surgery in otolaryngology practice. Cold dissection and bipolar diathermy are the two mostly used techniques. After the advent of non-explosive mixtures, the use of Bipolar diathermy became common with General inhalation anesthesia. This study was planned to compare the techniques of cold steel dissection tonsillectomy and Bipolar electrocautery in our population, so this study may help us to generate evidence for local population and in future the best technique will be preferred with confidence to achieve good perioperative bleeding control and short procedure time. In this study, out of 70 cases (35 in each group), 51.43%(n=18) in Group-A and 42.86%(n=15) in Group-B were between 5-15 years of age whereas 48.57%(n=17) in Group-A and 57.14%(n=20) in Group-B were between 16-30 years of age, mean±sd was calculated as 14.83±5.84 years in Group-A and 15.63±5.59 years in Group-B, 62.86%(n=22) in Group-A and 57.14%(n=20) in Group-B were male whereas 37.14%(n=13) in Group-A and 42.86%(n=15) in Group-B were females, comparison between conventional cold steel tonsillectomy versus bipolar diathermy tonsillectomy shows 24.57±1.42ml blood loss in Group-A and 11.17±1.67ml blood loss in Group-B, p value was 0.001. Mean operative time (minutes) was recorded as 24.94±2.26 minutes in Group-A and 13.09±1.66 minutes in Group-B, p value was 0.0001. We compared our results with a previous study, where the average blood loss per operation was 24.6 ± 6.12 ml in the cold dissection group, whereas it was 10.3±2.85 ml in the group of bipolar electrocautery with a P value of 0.001. The average operating time of the cold dissection method was 23.50±7.10 minutes compared to bipolar diathermy was 13.50±3.0 minutes which is clearly showing that the Bipolar diathermy tonsillectomy is better in term of perioperative blood loss and short procedure time during tonsillectomy than cold dissection method. Shah SA⁹ and others analyzed Bipolar diathermy tonsillectomy for its safety and postoperative morbidity and showed that time taken by the procedure ranged from 10 to 20 minutes. Intraoperative blood loss ranged between 2 to 5 ml. Postoperative pain averaged around 3-5 on a 1-10-point scale in 75% of patients. 80% of patients were back to normal diet by day 3 after operation. Nine (3.6%) patients had a secondary hemorrhage that was managed conservatively and did not need surgical intervention. They concluded that Bipolar diathermy tonsillectomy is an effective and safe technique, especially in children. Adequate experience with the technique is mandatory to achieve the desired goals. Another study¹⁰ compared the cold and hot dissection methods of tonsillectomy and revealed that out of thirty eight of 43 patients aged between 10 years and 31 years with 21 (55.3%) males and 17 (44.7%) females, M:F ratio of 1:2:1. Removal of tonsils by cold dissection took an average of 11.14 (SD= 9.89) minutes and by diathermy, 9.10 (SD=7.86) minutes (p=0.001). Thirty-two (84.2%) patients stated that the diathermy site was more painful than the cold dissection side. No postoperative hemorrhage. More eschar was noticed on the diathermy sides with less than 25% healing compared with the cold blunt dissection sides on the 7th postoperative day. They concluded that from their experience, diathermy tonsillectomy has the advantage of shorter operative time. Another study¹¹ evaluated the use of Bipolar diathermy for tonsillectomy and revealed that the average blood loss was less than 4 ml in 100 patients. Most were able to drink and eat by 4 and 10 hours respectively. No primary hemorrhage was recorded; three patients had a secondary hemorrhage. The technique allows accurate coagulation of blood vessel and is an easy procedure to learn. The results of our study in accordance with the above studies show that “Bipolar diathermy tonsillectomy is better method of tonsillectomy with short operative time, minimum primary blood loss when compared with cold steel dissection tonsillectomy”.

CONCLUSION

We concluded that mean Primary Blood loss and mean time taken to complete the procedure were significantly lower in bipolar diathermy tonsillectomy when compared to conventional cold steel tonsillectomy.

LIMITATIONS

As this study was on small number of patients in one institution so further large-scale studies are required to ascertain accurate results.

SUGGESTIONS / RECOMMENDATIONS

As we concluded in this study Bipolar Diathermy Tonsillectomy technique is safer and more advantageous so we recommend this technique as a safe method for Tonsillectomy.
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