A note on the least totient of a residue class
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Abstract

Let $q$ be a large prime number, $a$ be any integer, $\varepsilon$ be a fixed small positive quantity. Friedlander and Shparlinski [4] have shown that there exists a positive integer $n \ll q^{5/2+\varepsilon}$ such that $\phi(n)$ falls into the residue class $a \pmod{q}$. Here, $\phi(n)$ denotes Euler’s function. In the present paper we improve this bound to $n \ll q^{2+\varepsilon}$.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11L40

1 Introduction

Let $q$ denote a large prime number, $a$ be any integer. Let $N(q,a)$ denote the smallest positive integer $n$ for which $\phi(n) \equiv a \pmod{q}$. The number $N(q,a)$ exists. Indeed, if $a+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$ then one can take $n = q$. Otherwise, one can take $n$ to be a prime from the arithmetical progression $a+1 \pmod{q}$.

The problem of upper bound estimates for $N(q,a)$ has been a subject of study of the work of Friedlander and Shparlinski [4]. In the present paper we obtain a new upper bound for $N(q,a)$.

Throughout, we use the notation $A \lesssim B$ or $B \gtrsim A$ to indicate that $A \ll Bq^{\varepsilon}$ for any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$, where the implied constant may depend on $\varepsilon$.

Theorem 1. For any prime $q$ and integer $a$, we have $N(q,a) \lesssim q^2$.

Theorem 1 improves the bound $N(q,a) \lesssim q^{5/2}$ of [4].
In the opposite direction, the recent result of Friedlander and Luca \cite{3} implies that there exists a sequence of arithmetical progressions \(a_k \mod m_k\) with \(m_k \to \infty\) as \(k \to \infty\) such that \(N(m_k, a_k)\) exists and
\[
\frac{\log N(m_k, a_k)}{\log m_k} \to \infty \quad \text{as} \quad k \to \infty.
\]

Following \cite{4}, one can look for a solution of the congruence
\[
\phi(n) \equiv a \pmod{q} \quad (1)
\]
among numbers of the form \(n = p_1p_2p_3\) with primes \(p_1, p_2, p_3\). Here one can take \(p_1, p_2, p_3\) to be primes that run certain disjoint intervals \(I_1, I_2, I_3 \subset [2, q)\). This converts (1) to the congruence
\[
(p_1 - 1)(p_2 - 1)(p_3 - 1) \equiv a \pmod{q}, \quad (p_1, p_2, p_3) \in I_1 \times I_2 \times I_3.
\]

If we define \(I_1\) to be the set of primes of the interval \([1, q^{1/2+0.1\varepsilon}]\), then, using Karatsuba’s estimate for character sums with shifted primes \(p_1 - 1\) and his method of solving multiplicative ternary problems, one can derive that for \(\gcd(a, q) = 1\) the number of solutions of this congruence is asymptotically equal to
\[
\frac{|I_1||I_2||I_3|}{q - 1} + \frac{\theta}{q - 1}|I_1|q^{-\delta}q \sqrt{|I_2||I_3|}, \quad |\theta| < 1.
\]

From this one obtains the upper bound \(N(q, a) \lesssim q^{5/2}\). In the present paper, we aggregate to this consideration one consequence of Huxley’s refinement of the Halász-Montgomery method for large values of Dirichlet polynomials. This allows to get the improved upper bound for \(N(q, a)\).

Our present application of the theory of large value estimates can be compared with Lemma 4 of Friedlander and Iwaniec \cite{2}.

2 Character sums and large value estimates

Let \(\chi\) be a nonprincipal character modulo a prime \(q, \ k\) be any integer with \(\gcd(k, q) = 1, \ p\) be a prime variable, \(\varepsilon\) be a small positive quantity. When \(q^{1/2+\varepsilon} < L < q\), from Karatsuba’s estimate it follows that
\[
\sum_{L/2 < p \leq L} \chi(p + k) \ll L^{1-\delta}, \quad \delta = \delta(\varepsilon) > 0.
\]

To prove our theorem, we will combine this estimate with Huxley’s refinement of the Halász-Montgomery method for large value estimates. A sufficient for our purposes form of it is as follows.
Let \( a_n \) be numbers with \( |a_n| \lesssim 1 \), let \( 0 < V \leq N \) and let \( R \) be the number of characters \( \chi \pmod{q} \) for which
\[
\left| \sum_{n=N+1}^{2N} a_n \chi(n) \right| \geq V.
\]

Then Huxley’s refinement implies that
\[
R \lesssim \frac{N^2}{V^2} + \frac{qN^4}{V^6},
\]
see Montgomery \[12\], Huxley \[7\], Huxley and Jutila \[8\], Jutila \[10\]. This estimate is nontrivial when \( V > N^{3/4} \) and \( N < q \). In the case \( N \geq q \) one has \( RV^2 \lesssim N^2 \); in the case \( V \leq N^{3/4} \) and \( N < q \) one has \( RV^6 \leq N^3 RV^2 \lesssim qN^4 \).

The above sum can be replaced with its \( \ell \)-th moment, where \( \ell \) is a fixed positive integer, and then we have the bound
\[
R \lesssim \frac{N^{2\ell}}{V^{2\ell}} + \frac{qN^{4\ell}}{V^{6\ell}}.
\]

More generally, the results on large values of Dirichlet polynomials deal with upper bounds for the number of pairs \( (\sigma_r + it_r, \chi_r) \), with \( \sigma_r \geq 0 \) and certain conditions on \( t_r \) and characters \( \chi_r \) (not necessarily distinct), for which
\[
\left| \sum_{n=N+1}^{2N} a_n \chi_r(n)n^{-\sigma_r-it_r} \right| \geq V.
\]

Such a general consideration is important in applications to zero density problems for \( \zeta(s) \) and \( L(s, \chi) \). For further key references, see Bourgain \[1\], Harman \[5\], Heath-Brown \[6\], Ivic \[9\].

\section{3 Proof of Theorem \[1\]}

We can assume that \( a \) is relatively prime to \( q \), since otherwise the statement is trivial in view of \( \phi(q^2) \equiv 0 \pmod{q} \).

Let \( 0 < \varepsilon < 0.1 \) be fixed. Let \( k = [1/\varepsilon] \). Put \( N = q^{1/(4k-1)} \), \( N_1 = q^{1/2+0.1\varepsilon} \).

Let \( I_1 \) denote the set of primes \( p_1 \in (N_1/2, N_1] \). For \( j = 2, 3, \ldots, 6k + 1 \) let \( I_j \) denote the set of primes of the interval \((2^{-j}N, 2^{-j+1}N] \). Then, for sufficiently large \( q \),
\[
\frac{N_1}{\log N_1} \ll |I_1| \ll \frac{N_1}{\log N_1}, \quad \frac{N}{\log q} \ll |I_j| \ll \frac{N}{\log q}, \quad 2 \leq j \leq 6k + 1.
\]
Consider the congruence
\[(p_1 - 1)(p_2 - 1) \cdots (p_{6k + 1} - 1) \equiv a \pmod{q}, \quad p_j \in I_j, \quad 1 \leq j \leq 6k + 1. \quad (2)\]

Note that the left hand side is equal to \(\phi(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{6k + 1})\) and
\[p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{6k + 1} \ll q^{6k/(4k - 1) + 1/2 + 0.1\varepsilon} \ll q^{2 + 0.5\varepsilon}.\]

Hence, since \(N(q, a)\) exists, it suffices to prove that congruence (2) has a solution for any sufficiently large prime \(q\).

Assume the contrary. We express the number of solutions of congruence (2) (which is equal to zero by the assumption) via character sums. Separating the contribution of the principal character, we deduce
\[|I_1||I_2| \cdots |I_{6k + 1}| \leq \sum_{\chi \neq \chi_0} \left| \sum_{p_1 \in I_1} \chi(p_1 - 1) \right| \left| \sum_{p_2 \in I_2} \chi(p_2 - 1) \right| \cdots \left| \sum_{p_{6k + 1} \in I_{6k + 1}} \chi(p_{6k + 1} - 1) \right|.\]

The left hand side is \(\gtrsim N^{6k} N_1\). Hence, for some \(2 \leq j \leq 6k + 1\) and \(I = I_j\), we have
\[N^{6k} N_1 \lesssim \sum_{\chi \neq \chi_0} \left| \sum_{p \in I} \chi(p - 1) \right|^{6k} \left| \sum_{p_1 \in I_1} \chi(p_1 - 1) \right|.\]

Decomposing into level sets, for some positive numbers \(V\) and \(V_1\) we get that
\[N^{6k} N_1 \lesssim RV^{6k} V_1, \quad (3)\]

where \(R\) is the number of non-principal characters \(\chi \pmod{q}\) for which
\[V \leq \left| \sum_{p \in I} \chi(p - 1) \right| \leq 2V, \quad V_1 \leq \left| \sum_{p_1 \in I_1} \chi(p_1 - 1) \right| \leq 2V_1.\]

By Karatsuba’s estimate,
\[V_1 \ll N_1^{1-\delta}, \quad \delta = \delta(\varepsilon) > 0.\]

From the large values estimate,
\[R \lesssim \frac{N^{6k}}{V^{6k}} + \frac{qN^{12k}}{V^{18k}}. \quad (4)\]

Incorporating these estimates in (3), we get that
\[N^{6k} N_1 \lesssim \left( N^{6k} + \frac{qN^{12k}}{V^{12k}} \right) N_1^{1-\delta}.\]
Comparing the orders of the implied expressions, we obtain

\[ N^{6k} \lesssim \frac{qN^{12k}}{V^{12k}}N^{-\delta}. \]

Therefore, from (4) we get that

\[ RV^{18k} \lesssim \left( N^{6k} + \frac{qN^{12k}}{V^{12k}} \right)V^{12k} \lesssim qN^{12k}. \] (5)

Since \( N^{4k-1} = q \), the congruence

\[(x_1 - 1) \ldots (x_{4k-1} - 1) \equiv (y_1 - 1) \ldots (y_{4k-1} - 1) \pmod{q}, \ x_j, y_j \in I,\]

implies the equality

\[(x_1 - 1) \ldots (x_{4k-1} - 1) = (y_1 - 1) \ldots (y_{4k-1} - 1), \ x_j, y_j \in I.\]

This equality has \( \lesssim N^{4k-1} \) solutions. Hence,

\[ RV^{8k-2} \leq \sum_{\chi} \left| \sum_{p \in I} \chi(p - 1) \right|^{8k-2} \lesssim qN^{4k-1}. \] (6)

Let us estimate \( RV^4 \). Recall that \( N_I^2 > q \) and observe that the number of solutions of the congruence

\[(x_1 - 1)(x_2 - 1) \equiv (x_3 - 1)(x_4 - 1) \pmod{q}, \ x_j \in I_1,\]

is not greater than twice the number of solutions of the equality

\[(x_1 - 1)(x_2 - 1) = (x_3 - 1)(x_4 - 1) + tq, \quad x_j \in I_1, \quad 0 \leq t \leq N_I^2/q.\]

The right hand side of this equality does not vanish, so for each triple \( x_3, x_4, t \) we have \( \lesssim 1 \) choices for \( x_1, x_2 \). Thus, the above congruence has \( \lesssim N_I^4/q \) solutions. Hence,

\[ RV^4_1 \leq \sum_{\chi} \left| \sum_{p_1 \in I_1} \chi(p_1 - 1) \right|^4 \lesssim N_I^4. \] (7)

Rewrite (3) in the form

\[ N^{24k}N_I^4 \lesssim (RV^{18k})^{3/(5k+1)}(RV^{8k-2})^{15k/(5k+1)}RV^4_1. \]

Taking into account the estimates (5)–(7), we get that

\[ N \lesssim q^{(5k+1)/(20k^2+k)}. \]

This contradicts to \( N = q^{1/(4k-1)}. \)
4 Remark

The reader may note, that in our treating of congruence (2) the only essential property of the sets $I_j$ ($j \geq 2$) that we use is their density in the corresponding intervals, and the set $I_1$ is used to apply the nontrivial character sum estimate of Karatsuba. Thus, our argument can be applied to deal with a class of other congruences.
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