Residues Decomposition in Crop Rotations under No-till System
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HIGHLIGHTS

- Poaceae present high C/N ratio, decomposing gradually, protecting soil for more time.
- Fabaceae present low C/N ratio, biological N fixation, supplying N for crops follow.
- Poaceae and Fabaceae consortia bring diversification, N supply and soil protection.

Abstract: The effectiveness soil cover in no-till is relating to quantity and quality of the phytomass produced by crops in rotation and, its persistence over the soil depends on residues decomposition. The objective of this study was to evaluate the phytomass production, decomposition rate and the half-life of crops in rotation at the Subtropical region, Brazil. The study was carried out at the Agronomic Institute of the Paraná (IAPAR), in Ponta Grossa, Parana State, Brazil. The experimental design was randomized blocks, with six treatments and four replicates. Winter cash crops and cover crops, single and in consortium, were evaluated in the year 2014 (wheat, black oats + hairy vetch + rye, black oats + ryegrass and black oats + blue lupine), in 2015 (canola, black oats, and black oats + hairy vetch + forage turnip) and in 2016 (barley, triticale, and triticale + black oats + rye). The phytomass was evaluating by collect three subsamples of 0.25 m² per plot. For decomposition rate and the half-life of the crop residues, litter bags (LBs) methodology was used. A mathematical model (Q=Q₀exp⁻kt) was used to represent the crop residues decomposition and the half-life of crop residues were obtained by the equation t₁/₂ = (ln2)/k. Poaceae consortia, single Poaceae and canola presented higher phytomass production when compared to Poaceae-Fabaceae consortia. The half-life for Poaceae-Fabaceae consortia was shorter than single Poaceae.
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INTRODUCTION

One of the premises of the no-till system (NTS) is the maintenance of soil cover, which is related to the quantity and quality of biomass produced by crops. Crops rotation, including cover crops, is an important strategy for effective soil cover. The decomposition of crop residues depends on biotic and abiotic factors, plants composition and climate conditions and determines the residence time of the residues on the soil.

Crop rotation is the arrangement of different plant species, following a pre-established sequence, in the same area over the time, presenting the chosen species, economic purposes and or improvement of the agricultural system [1]. It stands out mainly by the improvement in the soil chemical, physical and biological attributes; protection against erosion; increases infiltration rate and water retention in the soil; improves weed, diseases and pests control; promotes nutrient cycling and biological nitrogen fixation [2,3].

It is also important to know the decomposition process and nutrients release from such plant residues and, should be chosen plants that have compatible cycles [4,5], to not compromising the development of cash crops.

The inclusion of cover crops in crops rotation enables greater efficiency in soil cover formation in NTS. Cover crops can be sown single or in consortium, and the consortium can be between species of the same or different genera [6]. The advantages of using consortia are the synergistic effects, such as soil attributes improvement and crop residues with intermediary C/N ratio, promoting a positive balance and accumulation of C over the years. In the case of Fabaceae insertion in the consortia, the advantages can be evidenced by increase of nitrogen (N) in the soil, due to its ability of biological fixation of atmospheric nitrogen through specialized bacteria. Superior results in the production of green corn after winter cover crops were observed when compared to the spontaneously vegetated plots, showing an increase of 4000 kg ha\(^{-1}\) in ears [7]. This is due to cover crops have the ability to promote nutrient cycling and N fixation in the soil.

Decomposition is a process of transformation of the crop residues through the action of heterotrophic soil microorganisms, which process less stable compounds until they reach high degree of stability or humification. The speed which the crop residues decomposes determines the time of their residence on the soil and the release of nutrients present of their tissues [8]. Decomposition may range among materials, especially due to the chemical composition of the materials (C/N ratio, cellulose, lignin and hemicellulose content), the quality and quantity of decomposing macro and microorganisms, and the edaphoclimatic conditions, mainly the temperature and rainfall [9,10].

It is known that the C/N ratio of crop residues is one of the main factors, if not the main, in defining the time required for the decomposition of residues. Due to the low C/N ratio of Fabaceae crops (below 20), the decomposition of these materials is faster, leaving the soil unprotected early in the development of the successor crop, but nutrients are quickly released, becoming available to the successor crop, mainly nitrogen [11]. On the other hand, Poaceae with high C/N ratio (above 25) remain on the soil surface for a longer period, protecting it, but have no immediate nutrients release, which happens along the time. Non-Poaceae species such as vetch and forage turnip have lower C/N ratio and, consequently, higher decomposition rate [12].

An alternative to obtain crop residues with intermediate C/N ratio (between 20 and 25) is the use of Poaceae-Fabaceae consortium. Poaceae with Fabaceae results in materials with intermediate C/N ratio, benefiting the soil with vegetal cover for a longer period, associated with nutrient supply according to the demand of the successive crops [13]. In the evaluation of the potential of cover crops in soil protection, was reported that the consortium between oats + vetch + turnip showed an intermediate decomposition compared to single crops, remaining 1,045 kg ha\(^{-1}\) of residues on the soil surface 120 days after cover crops management [14]. The authors found that the consortium between oats + vetches and oats + vetches + turnip had remaining dry mass of 41% in relation to the initial one, with results similar to oats (43%), however, the consortium is different of single oats by adding N to the soil, which is a beneficial feature for chemical quality of the soil.

Studies about decomposition and nitrogen (N) release from crop residues, cultivated single or in consortium, show that Fabaceae, especially in single crop, have an accelerated decomposition after management, even in NTS, causing mismatch between N release and N demand by crops in succession. The high decomposition rate of Fabaceae, resulting from the low C/N ratio of its residues, also contributes to reducing its efficiency in maintaining moisture and protecting soil against erosion [15].

The objective of this study was to evaluate the phytomass production, the decomposition rate and the half-life of winter species, single or in consortium in crop rotation systems under no-till, in order to support farmers for decision making when establishing appropriate crop rotations in the Southern Center region of Paraná, Brazil.
MATERIAL AND METHODS

The experiment was carried out at the Experimental Station of the Paraná Agronomic Institute (IAPAR), in Ponta Grossa, Paraná state, Brazil, geographically located at 25º07'32"S latitude and 50º03'37"W longitude, with an approximate altitude of 922 m at sea level.

The soil at the experiment site is classified as typical dystrophic Oxisol (Rhodic Hapludox), with 14% loam, 28% clay, 58% sandy texture, moderate A horizon [16], with slope of 7%.

The climate of the region, according to Köppen’s classification is Cfb, humid subtropical mesothermic, with fresh summers and the frosts are frequent in winter [17], with an average annual temperature of 18ºC and average annual precipitation of 1550 mm [18], without the presence of a defined dry season. Figure 1 shows the average monthly weather data of temperature and precipitation during the period of the present study.

![Temperature and Rainfall Graph](image)

**Figure 1.** Annual distribution of rainfall and average temperature for the evaluation period of the present study, in 2014/15 (a), 2015/16 (b) and 2016/2017 (c) seasons.

Source: IAPAR, Ponta Grossa Meteorological Station.
The experiment was implemented in April 2014 in an area that had been managed under no-till system for four years, where in winter black oat was sown for grazing animals and in summer, alternately, was cultivated corn and soybeans.

The experimental design was a randomized blocks design with six treatments and four replications. The plots dimension was 10 x 30 meters.

The treatments consisted of one crops succession and five crop rotations, under no-till system, in a three-year cycle as shown in Table 1. The arrangement of the crops in each treatment was: 1) Succession (Crops succession) - consisted of wheat (Triticum aestivum) in the winters and soybean (Glycine max) in the summers, continuously, and represents the most common production system in the region; 2) Rotation I (Farmer crops rotation) - characterized by the inclusion of maize (Zea mays) each two years of soybean, and before of maize, black oats (Avena strigosa) is sown for soil cover, being this rotation used by farmers that already realize the importance of including other crops to seek more equilibrium in the production system, but it is still incipient as a diversified crops rotation; 3) Rotation II (Cash crops rotation) - consisted of cash crops as wheat and soybean, canola (Brassica napus) and maize, barley (Hordeum vulgare) and soybean, grown in the winter and summer, respectively, and was proposed to promote soil benefits through crops diversity without compromising the income return in the winter and summer; 4) Rotation III (Phytomass crops rotation) - consisted of consortium among black oats + rye (Secale cereale) + hairy vetch (Vicia villosa) in the winter, beans (Phaseolus vulgaris) in the summer and Sorghum (Sorghum bicolor) in summer/autumn, after black oats + hairy vetch + forage turnip (Raphanus sativus) in the winter, maize in the summer, and last year, triticale (Triticosecale rimpayi) + black oats + rye in the winter and soybean in the summer, and was proposed to intensify biomass production to increase organic matter and improving soil attributes (chemical, physical and biological), besides of nitrogen input provided by Fabaceae and longer soil protection by the high yield of Poaceae phytomass; 5) Rotation IV (cash and phytomass crops rotation) - consisted of a consortium between blue lupine (Lupinus angustifolius) + black oats for soil cover and nitrogen fixation, aiming to favor maize in the summer, after white oats (Avena sativa) in the winter followed by beans in the summer and forage turnip in summer/autumn, and last year, triticale in the winter and soybean in the summer; 6) Rotation V (consortium of Poaceae rotation) - consisted of a black oat + rye grass (Lolium multiflorum) in the winters, soybean or maize, alternately, in the summers.

In order to evaluate the decomposition rate and half-life of winter crop residues, some species and consortia of species were selected from this experiment: 1) in 2014 were evaluated, wheat and, the consortia of black oats + hairy vetch + rye, black oats + ryegrass, and black oats + blue lupine; 2) in 2015 the crops evaluated were canola, black oats, and the consortium of black oats + hairy vetch + turnip; 3) in 2016 were barley, triticale and the triticale + black oat + rye consortium.

**Table 1.** Treatments composed by one crops succession and five crop rotations, under no-till system, in a three-year cycle, IAPAR, Ponta Grossa, Paraná State, Brazil

| Treatments* | Season 2014/15 | Season 2015/16 | Season 2016/17 |
|-------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
|             | Winter        | Summer        | Winter        | Summer        | Winter        | Summer        |
| Succession  | Wheat         | Soybean       | Wheat         | Soybean       | Wheat         | Soybean       |
| Rotation I  | Wheat         | Soybean       | Black oats    | Maize         | Wheat         | Soybean       |
| Rotation II | Wheat         | Soybean       | Canola        | Maize         | Barley        | Soybean       |
| Rotation III| Black oats + Rye + Vetch | Bean/Sorghum | Black oats + Vetch + Turnip | Maize | Black oats + Triticale + Rye | Soybean |
| Rotation IV | Blue lupine + Black oats | Maize     | White oats    | Bean/Turnip   | Triticale     | Soybean       |
| Rotation V  | Black oats + Ryegrass | Soybean | Black oats + Ryegrass | Maize | Black oats + Ryegrass | Soybean       |

Succession = crops succession, Rotation I = farmer crops rotation, Rotation II = cash crops rotation, Rotation III = phytomass crops rotation, Rotation IV = cash and phytomass crops rotation and Rotation V = winter Poaceae consortium.
Crop management (planting season, sowing density, fertilization, and the pests, diseases and weed control) was performed according to the technical recommendations for each crop. The cover crops were no fertilized and none phytosanitary treatment or weed control were done. The winter cover crops were sown between April and May, and the winter crash crops between May and June.

For the determination of the dry phytomass produced by the species, three random sub-samples were collected with the aid of a 0.5 x 0.5 m (0.25 m²) wooden template, in the stage of full flowering for cover crops and cash crops, between September and October. The materials were dried in a forced air circulation oven at temperature of 60 °C until constant weight, then weighed to obtain the dry phytomass, and reserved for preparation of the Litter bags (LBs).

The decomposition of crop residues was evaluated by the litter bag (LB) method [19]. The LBs were made of 1 mm mesh nylon, measuring 20 x 20 cm. In each plot were allocated, below the straw and in contact with the soil, LBs containing the corresponding crop residues, with previously known mass, after the sowing of summer cash crops, between October and November, depending on the crop sown.

Litter Bags were collected in seven times: 10 (T1), 25 (T2), 45 (T3), 70 (T4), 100 (T5), 130 (T6) and 160 (T7) days after placement in each plot, collecting one LB per plot at each time. After collected, the LBs were carefully cleaned to remove any soil excess that could be adhered to, and were placed in identified packages and brought to dry in a forced air circulation oven at 60°C for a period corresponding to 72 hours, then weighed to obtain the remaining dry phytomass in each time of decomposition.

The half-life of crop residues, which represents the time required for 50% of residual phytomass, after crop management or harvesting, to be decomposed, was calculated through the Equation 1 [20]:

\[ T_{1/2} = \frac{(\ln 2)}{k} \]  

Where: \( T_{1/2} \) = half-life time; \((\ln 2)\) = neperian logarithm of 2, which is equivalent to 0.693; \( k \) = decomposition constant that determines the rate of daily mass loss by plant residues.

The decomposition constant \( k \) was obtained through the simple exponential equation \( Q = Q_0 \exp^{(-kt)} \) generated by the decomposition curve [21].

Data of the produced, decomposed and remaining phytomass of the winter species were submitted to analysis of variance (ANOVA), applying the F test to verify the differences between treatments, using the AgroEstat statistical program [22]. In significant cases, means were compared by Tukey test at 5% probability of error \((p <0.05)\). Species decomposition is represented by the exponential regression equations generated by the decomposition curves.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The average biomass produced, decomposed and remaining, as well as the daily decomposition rate and half-life of the species and consortia are shown in Table 2. There was significant difference among the cover crops evaluated in the three years.

In winter 2014, Poaceae differed from other treatments because of their high phytomass production, with wheat producing an average of 10477 kg ha\(^{-1}\) and oat + ryegrass consortium an average of 9651 kg ha\(^{-1}\), without difference between them (Table 2). On the other hand, in the consortia in which the Fabaceae were inserted there was lower production of phytomass, also not differing from each other. In similar study, evaluating the production of different cover crops, was reported the highest biomass yields for single cultivated Poaceae compared to those intercropped with other species, reaching an average phytomass production of 10231 kg ha\(^{-1}\) for the sorghum, yield close to that obtained for wheat in the present study [23]. The better performance of Poaceae as cover crops in NTS is mainly related to their fast initial development when compared to Fabaceae [24].

Against to what was observed for Poaceae in winter 2014, black oats in 2015 did not have the highest phytomass production among the evaluated coverings. This year, canola (Brassicacea) obtained the highest average of dry phytomass, with 10611 kg ha\(^{-1}\) (Table 2). The canola has a more developed root system, characteristic that favors this crop during drought periods, besides to have a fast initial development and reaching a great height [25], which favors its high production of phytomass. Single black oats and the black oats + hairy vetch + turnip consortium did not differ, with mean dry mass values of 4329 and 4383 kg ha\(^{-1}\), respectively (Table 2). Similar amount of dry phytomass for black oats was observed in a similar study with an average of 4879 kg ha\(^{-1}\) [26].

In 2016, triticale presented the highest dry mass production, with 11384 kg ha\(^{-1}\), followed by the Poaceae consortium (triticale + black oats + rye), with 10813 kg ha\(^{-1}\) of dry mass (Table 2). In another study, triticale
obtained 9328 kg ha\(^{-1}\) of dry mass produced [27]. Barley presented the lowest production of phytomass, differing from the other Poaceae evaluated.

### Table 2. Dry phytomass produced, decomposed and remaining after 160 days, daily decay rate and half-life of winter species in 2014, 2015 and 2016

| Crop residues | Phytomass Produced Kg ha\(^{-1}\) | Decomposed Kg ha\(^{-1}\) dia\(^{-1}\) | Remaining % dia\(^{-1}\) | Decomposition rate % dia\(^{-1}\) | Half-life Days |
|---------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|
| **Winter 2014** |                                 |                                  |                      |                                 |               |
| Wheat         | 10477 a                         | 6705 a                           | 3772 a               | 42                              | 0.40±0.04     | 116           |
| Black oats + Ryegrass | 9651 a                         | 5694 a                           | 3957 a               | 36                              | 0.37±0.05     | 139           |
| B. oats + Blue lupine | 7133 b                         | 5136 ab                          | 1997 b               | 32                              | 0.45±0.07     | 69            |
| B. Oats + Rye + Vetch | 5850 b                         | 4621 a                           | 1228 b               | 29                              | 0.49±0.05     | 87            |
| CV (%)        | 11.46                           | 30.12                            | 26.50                |                                 |               |
| **Winter 2015** |                                 |                                  |                      |                                 |               |
| Canola        | 10611 a                         | 6368 a                           | 4243 a               | 40                              | 0.38±0.03     | 139           |
| Black oats    | 4329 b                          | 2720 b                           | 1609 b               | 17                              | 0.39±0.01     | 139           |
| B. oats+ Vetch+ Turnip | 4383 b                        | 2774 b                           | 1609 b               | 17                              | 0.40±0.02     | 139           |
| CV (%)        | 16.27                           | 13.45                            | 28.61                |                                 |               |
| **Winter 2016** |                                 |                                  |                      |                                 |               |
| Barley        | 7470 b                          | 6297 b                           | 1173 b               | 39                              | 0.53±0.01     | 58            |
| Triticale     | 11384 a                         | 8926 a                           | 2458 a               | 56                              | 0.49±0.01     | 87            |
| Triticale+ B. Oats+ Rye | 10813 ab                       | 7907 ab                          | 2906 a               | 49                              | 0.46±0.02     | 87            |
| CV (%)        | 15.64                           | 20.72                            | 21.58                |                                 |               |

Means followed by the same letter do not differ from each other by the Tukey test at 5% probability of error. CV= coefficient of variation. * Standard deviation of the mean (±).

In 2014, the consortia including Fabaceae, black oats + blue lupine and black oats + rye + hairy vetch presented daily decay rate of 0.45 and 0.49% day\(^{-1}\), respectively (Table 2), being higher than those observed for Poaceae, single wheat (0.40%) and the consortium between black oats and ryegrass (0.37%). Fabaceae and Poaceae consortia presented shorter half-life time (T\(_{1/2}\)), being 87 days for black oats + hairy vetch + rye and 69 days for blue lupine + black oats, when compared to 116 and 139 days for wheat and black oats + ryegrass, respectively (Table 2). This result is due to insertion of a Fabacea in the consortium, producing crop residues with intermediate C/N ratio, leading to a faster decomposition when compared to the exclusive cultivation of Poaceae. In the present study, the Fabaceae and Poaceae consortia resulting in intermediate half-life time due to the equilibrium promoted by the consortium of species with low and high C/N ratio, which leads to slower and gradual decomposition in relation to Fabaceae in exclusive cultivation.

In evaluations of single crops of Fabaceae and Poaceae, the treatments with Poaceae differed from the other species, presenting half-life of 130 days on average and Fabaceae less than 100 days [28]. The authors stands out the importance of the climate conditions for decomposition, once limited conditions of wet and temperature influences the action of the soil microbiota, reducing the speed of chemical reactions that occur for the crop residues decomposition.

Daily decomposition in 2015 was 0.38% day\(^{-1}\) for canola, 0.39% for black oats and 0.40% day\(^{-1}\) for black oats + hairy vetch+ turnip, presenting a total mass loss from 60, 63 e 62% of the initial mass at 160 days from onset of decay (Table 2). However, it is important to stands out the fast decomposition over the early ten days, where the loss of dry mass was 25, 29 e 20% from the total dry mass produced, that is corresponding to 42, 45 and 33% from the total dry mass lost at 160 days. The higher decomposition rate in the season 2015/16 may have been due to the influence of the higher concentration of rainfall in this period (2161 mm) compared to previous (1757 mm) and last (1521mm) season evaluated (Figure 1). From these,
1152, 1303 e 888 mm occurred during the decomposition period, from October to April, for 2014/15, 2015/16 e 2016/17 season, respectively, and there was a rainfall concentration in the beginning of the decomposition process, 487 mm at September-November/2015. The decomposition rate of canola in 2015 was 39.8 kg ha\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\), leaving after 160 days, 4243 kg ha\(^{-1}\) (40%) of the initial dry biomass (Table 2), which was higher than other treatments in this season. Black oats and the consortium of black oats + hairy vetch + turnip showed similar behavior with average daily decomposition of 17 kg ha\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) (Table 2), remaining after 160 days only 1609 kg ha\(^{-1}\) of dry phytomass for both.

The half-life of winter crop residues in 2015 was 139 days for canola, black oats + hairy vetch + turnip and black oats (Table 2). Poaceae have a higher C/N ratio than Fabaceae and Brassicaceae, which reduces their daily decomposition rate [29]. This favors its cultivation when the objective is to keep the soil covered for longer periods of time. Corroborating, in other studies was obtained half-life of 141 days for black oats [30], 133 and 128 days for black oats residues decomposing during corn and soybean development, respectively [31]. In the present study, black oats decomposition was also evaluated during the crop development.

Daily decomposition rate in 2016 was 0.53, 0.49 and 0.46% day\(^{-1}\) for barley, triticale and Poaceae consortium, respectively (Table 2). For barley, there was a higher decomposition intensity when compared to single triticale and Poaceae consortium, since from the total of 7470 kg ha\(^{-1}\) produced phytomass, 6297 kg ha\(^{-1}\) were decomposed, leaving only 1173 kg ha\(^{-1}\) on the soil surface 160 days after the barley harvest. Even being all Poaceae species in 2016, there were differences in the decomposition dynamics. In a study comparing Poaceae, was found differences, reporting 131, 103 and 52 days for half-life time of millet, sorghum and brachiaria residues, respectively [31]. Regarding to the daily mass loss, a distinct behavior was observed for triticale and the consortium triticale + black oats + rye, presenting average daily decomposition of 56 and 49 kg ha\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\), respectively. This shows that the insertion of other Poaceae in the consortium reduced the daily decomposition speed, which is also related to the amount of dry mass produced by these covers, since the production of phytomass of the triticale in single cultivation was higher.

The half-life of the winter crop residues in 2016 presented the following sequence in days: triticale + oats + rye (87) > triticale (87) > barley (58) (Table 2). The half-life of crop residues is an important factor for choosing the appropriate species to be inserted in crop rotation systems under No-till.

The decomposition curves obtained for Poaceae, single wheat and the black oat + ryegrass consortium, from October/14 to April/15, show slow decomposition rate expressed by a slight curve due to the high C/N ratio, characteristic of Poaceae (Figure 2). In addition, these species have a high capacity of phytomass production, providing protection to the soil with its crop residues for a longer period. Besides of the higher production of phytomass, Poaceae presents more lignin in its composition [15], which makes decomposition difficult, reducing its speed.

The decomposition curves of the treatments composed by the consortia between Fabaceae and Poaceae (Figures 2) were slightly more pronounced, especially after 30 days of decomposition onset. The accelerated decomposition may be due to the presence of a larger amount of N, resulting from the biological fixation of N by the Fabaceae [32], which increases the soil microbiota activity [33]. Nitrogen is a necessary element for the metabolism of decomposer microorganisms, promoting greater activity of soil biota, and, consequently, accelerating decomposition when compared to Poaceae exclusive residues. The importance of Poaceae and Fabaceae consortium or its alternated cultivation in crop rotation systems can be highlights as a good practice, since the overlapping of crop residues with high and low C/N ratio leads to a more equilibrated decomposition dynamics, providing good soil cover and, at the same time, nutrient cycling in line with nutrient demand by the crops following.
The decomposition of cover crop residues, from October/15 to April/16, presented an initial phase with a significant decline in losses followed by a slower phase. At 45 days after the decomposition onset, canola losses 38% of the initial dry phytomass, and at 160 days the loss was 60% (Figure 3). Black oats at 45 days had lost of 42% of the initial phytomass, while at 160 days the loss represented 63%. Evaluations of the decomposition process in different soil coverings in Cerrado’s region, Brazil (tropical region), showed loss of 43% of the initial black oats phytomass at 42 days of decomposition [31].

At the beginning of the decomposition process, the mass loss was slightly more pronounced due to the rapid biodegradation of more labile compounds such as polysaccharides and proteins by the decomposer microorganisms [33]. After this time, according to the authors, the decomposition follows more slowly on recalcitrant compounds, such as phenolic compounds, celluloses and hemicelluloses. As a result, there is a relative increase in lignin content in relation to proteins in the crop residues along the time, which became the decomposition process more difficult and slow, reducing the phytomass loss. Therefore, certain materials, especially those with a higher C/N ratio, can remain for long periods on the soil surface, protecting it.

**Figure 2.** Decomposition curve of crop residues of winter species, single and in consortia: wheat, black oats + hairy vetch + rye, blue lupine + black oats, black oats + ryegrass, evaluated from Oct/2014 to Apr/2015, IAPAR, Ponta Grossa, State of Parana, Brazil.

**Figure 3.** Decomposition curve of crop residues of winter species, single and in consortia: canola, black oats, black oats + hairy vetch + forage turnip, evaluated from Oct/2015 to Apr/2016, IAPAR, Ponta Grossa, Parana state, Brazil.
The rapid initial decomposition of crop residues also may be related to weather conditions during the decomposition, since from Oct/15 to Dec/16, the rainfall was 649 mm (Figure 1b). Daily rainfall is the environmental factor that most affects the decomposition process [34], tending to accelerate the decomposition with greater precipitation.

In the season 2016/17, barley showed a slower decomposition than triticale in the first 30 days, but after this time there was a greater loss of mass, reaching a decomposition of 84% of its residues after 160 days, leaving only 16% of the initial phytomass on the soil surface (Figure 4). For triticale the loss was 46% of the phytomass at 45 days, and at 160 days the loss was 78%. Both treatments presented a greater loss of mass initially, which decreased gradually along the time, due to the relative increase of the most recalcitrant compounds. The consortium of Poaceae presented behavior similar to triticale.

For subtropical region of the Brazil is recommended from 6 to 7 t ha\(^{-1}\)\,year\(^{-1}\) of phytomass input for soil carbon accumulation and maintenance of the no-till system sustainability [35]. With exception of black oats and the consortium of black oats + vetch + turnip in 2015/16, all others winter crops and the consortia evaluating produced an amount of phytomass enough, compatible with the annual amount of crop residues recommended for the region, presenting slow and gradual decomposition and enabling its use in crop rotations under no-till system, in order to promote effective soil cover and nitrogen fixation (consortia with Fabaceae), besides of promote soil carbon accumulation along the time. However, when added the summer crop residues to winter crop residues, all arrangements can produce enough annual amount of crop residues, generally more than recommended for the region, becoming the crop rotation systems sustainable.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Single Poaceae, Poaceae consortia and canola presented higher phytomass production, with decomposition rate ranging from 0.37 to 0.53% dia\(^{-1}\) when compared to Poaceae-Fabaceae consortia, with decomposition rate ranging from 0.40 to 0.49% dia\(^{-1}\).

The half-life time for Poaceae-Fabaceae consortia was shorter than for single Poaceae, however, the use of Fabaceae-Poaceae consortium in the crops rotation can be a good strategy to produce phytomass and, in the same time, to diversity the production system.
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**Figure 4.** Decomposition curve of crop residues of winter, single species and consortium: barley, triticale, triticale + black oats + rye, evaluated from Oct/2016 to Apr/2017, IAPAR, Ponta Grossa, Paraná State, Brazil.
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