Case Report

A rare case of fungal meningitis with atypical presentation in immunocompetent patient

Rajnish Kumar¹*, Priyanka²

¹Department of Internal Medicine, ²Department of Ophthalmology, Suryadeep Hospital, Gurugram, Haryana, India

Received: 01 May 2018
Accepted: 28 May 2018

*Correspondence:
Dr. Rajnish Kumar,
E-mail: drsahukumar@gmail.com

Copyright: © the author(s), publisher and licensee Medip Academy. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ABSTRACT

Fungal meningitis is uncommon in general population especially in immunocompetent individuals. Meningitis, when caused by the fungal mycoses Cryptococcus neoformans, is normally seen in immunocompromised hosts. However, it is also seen in immunocompetent patients. In patients with an intact immune system, CM usually presents with the typical signs and symptoms of meningitis: fever, stiff neck, dizziness and headache. We report a rare case of fungal meningitis in an immunocompetent patient on antiseizure drugs for last 12 years misdiagnosed as phynetoin toxicity.
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INTRODUCTION

Cryptococcal meningitis (CM) is caused by an infection from the encapsulated yeast, Cryptococcus neoformans (C. neoformans). In immunocompromised patients e.g. HIV/AIDS, it is generally found as opportunistic infection, with incidences ranging from 10% in the United States to as high as 30% in Africa.¹

As an encapsulate yeast, C. neoformans can be constantly found in soil, pigeon feces, milk and human beings’ oral cavity as well.² It usually invades the immunocompromised hosts through respiratory tract and damaged skins exposure to pigeon feces, leading to the varied infection: pulmonary cryptococcosis, skin nodules, cryptococcal meningitis, Cryptococcus bacteremia, etc.²

However, immunocompetent hosts are rarely reported to get cryptococcal infection. It is easy to make a misdiagnosis as viral or bacterial meningitis, when immunocompetent patient manifests with headache, fever and other altered mental status.³

CASE REPORT

The 41-year-old female resident of rural part of Haryana, Northern India, presented to the emergency department (ED) with an 8-week history of dizziness, headache, nausea, and fatigue. She was a known case of seizure disorder for last 12 years and was on phenytoin 300mg od for same period she was on regular follow-up for same with a qualified neurologist every six monthly. Seven weeks prior to admission, had visited her primary care physician for these symptoms, she was worked up for routine investigation and common endemic infections, reports were found to be normal, hence she was managed symptomatically.

Later she improved clinically but didn’t recover completely. Patient changed more than three physicians over last 7 weeks but none of them reached to a conclusion for her present illness, meanwhile patient continued on symptomatic medication. Two days ago she developed seizure followed by aggravation of previous symptoms.
Upon admission to the ED, a CT scan of her head and general blood investigations including serum electrolytes was found to be normal. Hence, she was admitted and planned for further evaluation. While lab investigation her serum level of phenytoin was found to be more than normal therapeutic levels. Hence a primary diagnosis of phenytoin toxicity was made and her phenytoin was stopped and she was shifted on valproate (400mg b.d) and levetiracetam(500mg b.d) IV therapy. Over next two days patient slightly improved but her headache persisted and there was no significant improved clinically. Later her MRI brain and brain stem done, which also came normal. Clinically there was no evidence of meningitis. Finally her lumbar puncture was planned in view of persistent headache for CSF analysis. Again her primary CSF analysis found to be normal.

| Table 1: CSF fluids examination findings before and after treatment. |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **CSF parameters** | **Before starting antifungal therapy** | **After two weeks of antifungal therapy** |
| Glucose | 65mg/dl | 61mg/dl |
| Protein | 0.08gm/dl | 0.05gm/dl |
| TLC | 4 cells | 4 cells |
| DLC | N0%, L100 % | N0%, L100% |
| Gram stain | No micro organism seen. | No micro organism seen. |
| Acid fast stain | AFB not seen | AFB NOT SEEN |
| India ink | Encapsulated budding yeast cells seen | No budding /encapsulated yeast cells |
| Cryptococcal antigen titer | CSF-1:3200 | CSF-1:720 |
| CSF VS serum | SERUM-1:4800 | Serum-1:1200 |
| Fungal culture | Sabourds agar culture positive for Cryptococcus neoformans | Negative |

Meanwhile she started developing high grade fever with chills with nausea and vomiting. Her blood samples were repeated again for routine investigation, malaria, typhoid and other endemic infections in northern India. No significant result obtained, hence lumbarpuncture was replanned for reanalysis of cerebrospinal fluid to rule out atypical infections. This time we got india-ink test positive for cryptococcosis infection, which was missed last time. Later csf antigen titer and fungal culture was also found positive for cryptococcus, hence a conclusive diagnosis foi cryptococcal meningitis was made. She was immediately put on inj Amphotericine b injection after test dose she was started on 0.5mg/kg on day 1, increased upto 1mg/kg on day three there after continued same dose for next 2 weeks. Second drug planned to be started flucytocin (5-fc) but because of financial constraint, patient was put on high dose oral fluconazol 400mg b.d. Patient responded significantly to medical therapy. Almost all symptoms improved completely with in 72 hours of amphotericin and fluconazol therapy.

Patients kft monitoring was done every third day while amphotericin therapy, patient accepted i/v amphotericin and oral fluconazole therapy well. On 14th day of treatment patient’s repete lumbar puncture was done and csf analysis shows no evidence of cryptococcal infection. After completion of 2 weeks of above treatment patient was discharged on oral fluconazole 400mg o.d for next three month. Newer anti seizure drugs were continued as such.

**DISCUSSION**

A patient with CM usually is immunocompromised. Until the increase of HIV/AIDS cases, more than 85% of patients diagnosed with CM had some associated deficiency of cell-mediated immunity, and this number is likely higher today.6 Other immunocompromised conditions associated with a typical presentation include a history of alcoholism, cancer, or transplantation; sarcoidosis; Hodgkin’s lymphoma; collagen vascular disease; splenectomy; chronic organ failure; or systemic corticosteroid treatment. M’s history did not include any of these risk factors. However, M and her partner had been in a monogamous relationship for 23 years and both had previously tested negative for HIV. While it was appropriate to repeat M’s HIV test, the search for the cause needed to focus elsewhere.

To date, three serotype varieties of C. neoformans have been identified: C. neoformans (n), C. neoformans grubii (gr), and C. neoformans gattii (g). Serotyping is important because of the fungal contact mechanism and virulence of individual strains. The neoformans (n) variety is found predominantly in contaminated soil and in bird droppings, and is responsible for the majority of CM cases in immunosuppressed patients.5,6 Because M lived in rural part of northern India, there is a high possibility that she had caught this infection via her respiratory tract from either moist soil, avium (bird feces) or decayed wood.
CM patients with intact immune systems usually present with the typical signs and symptoms of meningitis: fever, stiff neck, and headache. In patients with AIDS, CM symptoms may be subtle and include fever and lethargy. Signs of a lesion rarely are seen even when cryptococcal elements are present in cerebral spinal fluid. However, her immune system was intact, and her first symptom, headache, was subtle and nonspecific. But 7 weeks later, her symptoms progressed to include nausea, fatigue, lethargy, and altered mentation. These signs and symptoms are nonspecific for meningitis. At initial presentation, M's symptoms seemed more related to the space-occupying lesions than to meningitis. Found that patients with C. neoformans (g) infection had more nonspecific symptoms at onset and a longer duration of symptoms before presentation.

CONCLUSION

CM is a debilitating and potentially deadly disease that affects patients with both intact and impaired immune systems. M's case illustrates how subtle the initial signs and symptoms of this infection may be, a proper work up is mandatory till final diagnosis is made. C.M can present in immunocompetent patient with atypical presentation. Cost of antifungal drugs like flucytocin is a major concern for its frequent use in developing world. According to us, high dose fluconazol is a good alternative for flucytocin therapy for non affording patients of developing world. But further trials are needed before declaring it as guidelines. Also patients with CM need continuous monitoring for vital organ functions, including kidney function test.

Funding: No funding sources
Conflict of interest: None declared
Ethical approval: Not required

REFERENCES

1. Thompson HI. Not your typical patient: cryptococcal meningitis in an immunocompetent patient. J neuroscience nursing: J American Association of Neuroscience Nurses. 2005 Jun;37(3):144.
2. O’Meara TR, Alsphaug JA. The Cryptococcus neoformans capsule: a sword and a shield. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2012;25:387-408.
3. Louro R, Ferreira R, Pinheiro C, Parada H, Faria D, Monteiro E. Fungal meningitis in an immunocompetent patient. Clin Drug Invest. 2013;33 Suppl 1: S47-50.
4. Ones GA, Nathwani D. Cryptococcal meningitis. British J Hospital Med.1995;54:439-45.
5. Mitchell DH, Sorrell TC, Allworth AM, Heath CH, McGregor AM, Papanaoum K, et al. Cryptococcal disease of the CNS in immunocompetent hosts: Influence of cryptococcal variety on clinical manifestations and outcome. Clinical Infectious Diseases. 1995;20:611-6.
6. Speed B, Dunt D. Clinical and host differences between infections with the two varieties of Cryptococcus neoformans. Clinical Infectious Diseases. 1995;21:28-34.
7. Turner G, Scaravilli F. Parasitic and fungal disease. In: Graham DI, Lantos DL, editors. Greenfield's neuropathology. 7th ed. Arnold; London: 2002:107-50.
8. Vandemark MV, Lovasik DA, Neatherlin JS, Onert T. Infectious and autoimmune processes. In: Bader MK, Littlejohns LR, editors. AANN's core curriculum for neuroscience nursing. 4th ed. Saunders; St. Louis, MO:2004:619-680.
9. Perfect JR, Dismukes WE, Dromer F, Goldman DL, Graybill JR, Hamill RJ, et al. Clinical practice guidelines for the management of cryptococcal disease: 2010 update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. Clinical infectious diseases. 2010 Feb 1;50(3):291-322.

Cite this article as: Kumar R, Priyanka. A rare case of fungal meningitis with atypical presentation in immunocompetent patient. Int J Res Med Sci 2018;6:2544-6.