A myriad of roles of miR-25 in health and disease
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Abstract

Small non-coding RNAs including microRNAs (miRNAs) have been recently recognized as important regulators of gene expression. MicroRNAs play myriads of roles in physiological processes as well as in the pathogenesis of a number of diseases by translational repression or mRNA destabilization of numerous target genes. The miR-106b-25 cluster is highly conserved in vertebrates and consists of three members including miR-106b, miR-93 and miR-25. MiR-106b and miR-93 share the same seed sequences; however, miR-25 has only a similar seed sequence resulting in different predicted target mRNAs. In this review, we specifically focus on the role of miR-25 in healthy and diseased conditions. Many of miR-25 target mRNAs are involved in biological processes such as cell proliferation, differentiation, and migration, apoptosis, oxidative stress, inflammation, calcium handling, etc. Therefore, it is no surprise that miR-25 has been reported as a key regulator of common cancerous and non-cancerous diseases. MiR-25 plays an important role in the pathogenesis of acute myocardial infarction, left ventricular hypertrophy, heart failure, diabetes mellitus, diabetic nephropathy, tubulointerstitial nephropathy, asthma bronchiale, cerebral ischemia/reperfusion injury, neurodegenerative diseases, schizophrenia, multiple sclerosis, etc. MiR-25 is also a well-described oncogenic miRNA playing a crucial role in the development of many tumor types including brain tumors, lung, breast, ovarian, prostate, thyroid, oesophageal, gastric, colorectal, hepatocellular cancers, etc. In this review, our aim is to discuss the translational therapeutic role of miR-25 in common diseased conditions based on relevant basic research and clinical studies.

Introduction

MicroRNAs and their biogenesis

Although almost 85% of the human genome is known to be transcribed [1, 2], only 2% of the genome codes for proteins. The vast majority of the genome yields non-coding RNAs including long and small non-coding RNAs [3, 4]. MicroRNAs (miRNAs, miRs) are a dominating class of small noncoding RNAs in most somatic tissues [3, 4]. They are approximately 21-25 nucleotide in length and their major function is to mediate post-transcriptional gene silencing [3, 4].

A detailed description of the miRNA biogenesis is beyond the scope of this review. Therefore, here we just briefly mention some important aspects and otherwise refer to excellent reviews for more details (Figure 1) [4–6]. MicroRNA genes are processed either from introns of protein-coding genes or dedicated miRNA gene loci [7, 8]. An individual primary transcript can either produce a single miRNA or generate a miRNA cluster containing two or more miRNAs [7]. According to our current knowledge, microRNA genes are transcribed by RNA polymerase II as primary miRNAs (pri-miRNAs) (Figure 1). A typical pri-miRNA consists of a stem of 33-35 bp, a terminal loop and single-stranded RNA segments.
at both the 5’ and 3’ sites [5]. Pri-miRNAs are cleaved by the Microprocessor complex containing the RNase III enzyme Drosha and its essential cofactor DGC8 and form precursor microRNAs (pre-miRNAs) in the nucleus (Figure 1) [5]. Drosha has tandem RNase III domains which dimerize to form one processing center [5]. The first RNase III domain cuts the 3’ strand of the stem of pri-miRNA and the second RNase III domain cuts the 5’ strand. The produced pre-microRNAs are double-stranded and approximately 70 nucleotide in length, and have a terminal loop [4–6] (Figure 1). These pre-miRNAs are subsequently transported to the cytoplasm by exportin-5 where their terminal loops are cleaved by another RNase III enzyme (DICER) to form a small RNA (miR-miR) duplex [4–6] (Figure 1). DICER cleavage sites are located at a 21-25 nucleotides distance from the 3’ end and 22 nucleotides away from the 5’ end. This small RNA duplex is loaded onto an Argonaute (AGO) protein to form an effector complex called RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC) [4–6] (Figure 1). Then the two strands of the small RNA duplex are unwound, and generally, only one strand will be the single-stranded mature microRNA (miR), and the other strand will be degraded (miR’ or passenger strand) [4–6] (Figure 1). The guide strand is determined during the AGO loading process and generally becomes later the mature miR [5]. The strand at 5’ side is typically selected as the guide strand (miR-5p) [5]. Strand selection is not completely strict. Therefore, the not-favored and less abundant passenger strand at the 3’ side could also be selected and act as mature miRNA (miR-3p). Alternative strand selection (5p to 3p arm switching) could be tissue-specific [5]. Mature miRNAs can either inhibit the translation of target mRNAs or promote their destabilization and degradation through imperfect sequence-specific binding to the 3’ untranslated region (3’- UTR) of target mRNAs [5, 6] (Figure 1). Individual miRNAs may simultaneously target multiple mRNAs. Moreover, the expression of individual mRNAs can be regulated by multiple miRNAs. Therefore, miRNAs may act as fine tuners or as on/off switches of gene expression [9, 10] (Figure 1).

Classification rules of miRNAs have not yet been unified. It is generally considered that miRNAs with identical seed sequences at nucleotides 2-8 of the mature miRNA belong to the same miRNA (“seed”) family [5]. The occurrence of miRNAs belonging to distinct

Figure 1: Maturation of miR-25. UTR: untranslated region, ORF: open reading frame.
“seed” families within the same cluster is also commonly observed [5]. The seed sequence corresponds to the 3’ UTR of the target miRNAs and determines the possible mRNA targets of the miRNA [5, 7].

Since the original discovery of the first miRNA, lin-4 in 1993 [11] and the second miRNA, let-7 in Caenorhabditis elegans [11–14], the exponentially increasing number of studies indicate that the functions of miRNAs are not limited to the regulation of developmental events. MicroRNAs also regulate many other aspects of biological processes in animals and plants including oxidative stress, cell death, cell proliferation, etc. in many tissue types [15–18]. Therefore, dysregulation of miRNAs in pathological conditions may alter gene networks. Consequently, miRNA replacement or antisense inhibition therapy offers a new approach to treat diseases by modulating gene pathways rather than single molecular targets [19].

MicroRNA-25

The miR-106b/25 cluster is highly conserved in vertebrates [20]. The three members of the cluster, miR-106b, miR-93, and miR-25 are located in a 515 bp region on chromosome 7q22 in intron 13 of the host gene minichromosome maintenance protein 7 (MCM7). These three miRNAs are co-transcribed with their host gene [6, 20, 21]. The host gene MCM7 is a component of the highly conserved MCM2-7 complex (MCM complex) [6, 20, 21]. The MCM complex is a member of DNA helicases which are essential in the initiation of DNA replication in eukaryotic cells [8]. MiR-106b and miR-93 share the same seed sequences; however, miR-25 has only a similar seed sequence resulting in different predicted target mRNAs [20]. In this review, we focus on the role of miR-25 in healthy and diseased conditions. The mature miR-25 (miR-25-3p) consists of 22 nucleotides (CAUU GCAC UUGU ww) and www.miRbase.org) and has 1163 predicted target mRNA transcripts with conserved sites (TargetScanHuman version 7.1). Mature miR-25 belongs to the evolutionarily broadly conserved miR-25-3p/32-5p/92-3p/363-3p/367-3p seed family and has the same predicted mRNA targets as the other miRNA members of this seed family (TargetScanHuman version 7.1). The mature miR-25* (miR-25-5p) consists of 22 nucleotides (AGGC GGAG ACAC GGGC AAUU GC) (www.miRbase.org) and has 1868 predicted mRNA transcripts; however, these predicted targets are primarily false positives (TargetScanHuman version 7.1).

The role of miR-25 in health

It is very difficult to find literature about the function of miR-25 in healthy conditions in the PubMed database. Surprisingly, there is only a few relevant research paper in the PubMed database using the following keywords: miR-25, health; miR-25, physiological conditions; miR-25, normal conditions; miR-25, development [22–24]. Therefore, we can only deduce the possible roles of miR-25 in healthy conditions from research papers using miR-25 overexpressing or loss of function cells or animals. Under physiological conditions, mature miR-25 seems to play a crucial role in the regulation of developmental events [22, 23]. According to the AMIGO 2 gene ontology database, miR-25 is a negative regulator of cardiac muscle growth and cardiac cell development (GO:0055022). Therefore, it is no surprise that miR-25 also play an important role in the development of cardiac hypertrophy and heart failure under pathophysiological conditions (Figure 2). Under normal conditions, many of miR-25 target mRNAs are involved in biological processes such as response to DNA damage, cell cycle regulation, cell proliferation, migration, and differentiation. In addition, many of miR-25 target molecules can be found among extracellular matrix components and membrane receptors. Under pathophysiological conditions, miR-25 is also a well-described oncogenic miRNA. It plays a crucial role in the development and spread of many tumor types including brain tumors, lung, breast, ovarian, prostate, thyroid, esophageal, gastric, colorectal, hepatocellular cancers, etc. Other groups of miR-25 target molecules are important regulators of apoptosis, autophagy, oxidative stress, inflammation, calcium handling, etc. These mechanisms could be key factors in the pathogenesis of acute myocardial infarction, heart failure, diabetes mellitus, diabetic nephropathy, tubulointerstitial nephropathy, asthma bronchiale, cerebral ischemia/reperfusion injury, neurodegenerative diseases, schizophrenia, multiple sclerosis, etc. In this review, we aim to provide an in-depth discussion of the translational therapeutic role of miR-25 in diseased conditions based on relevant basic research articles and clinical studies.

The role of miR-25 in diseased conditions

Non-cancerous diseases

Cardiovascular diseases

Acute coronary syndrome

The acute coronary syndrome (ACS) is the leading cause of morbidity and mortality in the industrialized countries. ACS represents a spectrum of coronary artery diseases including unstable angina (UA), non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (NSTEMI) and ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) [25]. Acute myocardial infarction in patients is related to rupture-prone or vulnerable atherosclerotic plaques [26]. A cohort study recruiting 13 patients with non-cardiac chest pain and 13 patients with UA and angiographically-proven coronary artery disease reported that members of the miR-106b/25 cluster were significantly elevated in
plasma samples of UA patients [26] (Table 1). Another cohort study recruiting 13 NSTEMI and 13 STEMI patients showed that the expression of miR-25-3p was significantly increased in the blood of STEMI patients as compared to NSTEMI patients (Table 1). According to the aforementioned clinical studies, circulating miR-25 seems to be a potential biomarker in ACS. However, both studies have many limitations including the small sample size, non-diverse genetic, social, and treatment characteristics of ACS patients. Moreover, investigation of the time dependency of miR-25 upregulation in ACS patients would be more informative. In contrast to these clinical observations, the expression of miR-25 failed to show any change in response to 30-min ischemia and 120-min reperfusion in isolated perfused hearts of male Wistar rats in our previous preclinical study [27]. Nevertheless, the event of 30-min coronary occlusion followed by 120-min reperfusion was considered an early phase of cell injury due to necrotic and/or apoptotic processes [27]. Moreover, since only a single time point was used upon ex vivo reperfusion for heart sample harvesting, the time course of miRNA expression changes remained unknown in heart tissue and blood [27].

Left ventricular hypertrophy and heart failure

Left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH) defined as increase in cardiomyocyte size secondary to i) increased mechanical load (e.g. hypertension, valvular disease, etc.), ii) decreased mechanical performance (e.g. ischemic heart disease, myocarditis, contractile protein mutations, etc.), iii) increased neurohumoral activity, and iv) hereditary cardiomyopathies with seemingly normal performance and load [28–30]. During the development of LVH, a fetal cardiac gene program is activated by a defined set of transcription factors as an initially adaptive response to stress [29, 30]. The abnormal expression of fetal proteins includes contractile elements (e.g., alpha-MHC, beta-MHC), ECM matrix components (e.g., collagens), calcium handling (e.g., SERCA2a) and mitochondrial proteins (e.g., oxidized elements of the electron transport chain), etc. These changes lead to a maladaptive response to stress resulting in the development of fibrosis, heart failure (HF) and fatal arrhythmias [29, 30]. From a clinical point of view, heart failure could be classified as heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF, i.e., left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF)>50%), heart failure with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF, LVEF <40%) and most recently heart failure with mid-range ejection fraction (HFmrEF, LVEF 40–49%) [31].

An experimental study proved that transforming growth factor-β1 (TGF-β1) signaling leads to cardiac hypertrophy and fibrosis through a Mothers Against Decapentaplegic Homolog 3 (SMAD3)-dependent manner 20 days after transverse aortic constriction (TAC)

Figure 2: Repression and overexpression of miR-25 in non-cancerous diseases. CNS: central nervous system, DM: diabetes mellitus, DNP: diabetic nephropathy, LVH: left ventricular hypertrophy, HF: heart failure, I/R: ischemia/reperfusion, PAH: pulmonary arterial hypertension, SCA/MJD: Spinocerebellar ataxia type 3/Machado-Joseph Disease, TIN: tubulointerstitial nephropathy. Gene symbols in bubbles are targets of miR-25 in multiple organs/diseases. Genes in red bubbles are targets of miR-25 in multiple diseases.
in SMAD3−/− and littermate control mice (32) (Table 1). This study also demonstrated that miR-25 transfection into isolated cardiac fibroblasts decreased collagen-1a2 expression (32) (Table 1). In another study, H9c2 cells subjected to hypoxia/reoxygenation showed decreased expression of miR-25 and increased level of its direct target high-mobility group box 1 (HMG1B1). HMG1B1 is known as a regulator of epithelial to mesenchymal transition and as an inflammatory as well as a pro-fibrotic factor (33) (Table 1). H9c2 cells showed increased levels of apoptosis (caspase-3) and fibrosis markers (collagen 1, collagen III, fibronectin, TIMP1, and MM2) after hypoxia/reoxygenation (33) (Table 1). The overexpression of miR-25 resulted in inhibition of apoptosis and fibrosis through down-regulation of HMBG1 after hypoxia/reoxygenation in H9c2 cells (33) (Figure 2). These anti-apoptotic and anti-fibrotic effects of miR-25 could be further enhanced by a TGF-β1/SMAD3 inhibitor (SB431542) (33). Therefore, miR-25 and HMG1B1 seem to be early regulators of the TGF-β1/SMAD3 signaling pathway which is a key factor in the development of cardiac fibrosis and heart failure.

Dirkx et al. and Wahlquist et al. investigated the role of miR-25 in calcium handling and cardiac remodeling in TAC-induced LVH and HF models and also in human failing heart samples. However, their results are rather controversial (30, 34) (Table 1). Dirkx et al. found in a mouse model 20 days after TAC surgery that down-regulation of miR-25 and overactivation of the calcineurin/Nfat signaling resulted in overactivation of the Hand2 transcription factor and its target genes leading to cardiac fibrosis and heart failure (30) (Table 1) (Figure 2). In contrast, Wahlquist et al. reported that cardiac overexpression of miR-25 could lead to LVH and HF 5.5 months after TAC surgery in mice. Moreover, inhibition of miR-25 could ameliorate contractile dysfunction by improving sarcoplasmic reticulum calcium ATPase 2 (SERCA2a) activity and Ca2+ handling (34) (Table 1) (Figure 2). In response to these controversial results, Bush et al. wrote a commentary on the potential causes including differences in experiment durations and follow-up times after TAC surgery, as well as different antagonymiR-25 chemistries and doses (35).

Interestingly, it was found in LVH and HF induced by uninephrectomy and high salt (10% w/w NaCl) diet for 20 weeks that cardiac miR-25 level was significantly up-regulated and its direct target SERCA2 level was significantly decreased (36) (Table 1) (Figure 2). In contrast, circulating miR-25 concentration was significantly decreased in LVH and HF rats as compared to controls (36) (Table 1).

These five articles showed that miR-25 expression is regulated in a time-dependent manner in cardiac hypertrophy, fibrosis, and heart failure. MiR-25 mimics could play a beneficial role in early phases of cardiac remodeling and heart failure. However, overexpression of miR-25 could be detrimental due to maladaptive effects in chronic heart failure. Since miRNA levels in cardiac tissue and circulation are not always concordant, caution must be exercised during the utilization of miRs as diagnostic or prognostic biomarkers.

Arrhythmias

It is also well-known that increased sarcoplasmic reticulum (SR) Ca2+-leak via ryanodine receptor type-2 (RyR2) contributes to the pathogenesis of atrial fibrillation (AF). Interestingly, the expression of miR-25 was decreased in atria of patients with paroxysmal atrial fibrillation (PAF) compared with patients with sinus rhythm (37) (Table 1). Moreover, this study showed that miR-106b-25−/− mice expressed increased atrial RyR2 protein levels as well as SR Ca2+-leak, and were more prone to atrial ectopy than wild-type littermates (37) (Table 1) (Figure 2).

Hypercholesterolemia

Hypercholesterolemia is a well-known risk factor for cardiovascular diseases, and it leads to increased oxidative/nitrative stress in the myocardium (38). Experimental data are very limited on the regulatory role of miRNAs in hypercholesterolemia-induced cardiac pathologies. We have previously shown that diet-induced hypercholesterolemia in male Wistar rats (2% cholesterol and 0.25% sodium cholate-enriched diet for 12 weeks) leads to the down-regulation of miR-25 in the myocardium (39) (Table 1). Subsequently, the superoxide-generating NADPH oxidase 4 (NOX4) which is a direct target of miR-25, was up-regulated in the myocardium. In the same study, cardiac oxidative/nitrative stress was also increased leading to diastolic dysfunction in hypercholesterolemic rats (39) (Table 1) (Figure 2). Moreover, knock-down of miR-25 could significantly increase the oxidative stress and NOX4 protein levels in neonatal rat cardiomyocytes 24 hours after transfection of a miR-25 inhibitor proving the direct link between miR-25 and NOX4 expression (39) (Table 1) (Figure 2). However, in another study it was reported that oxidative stress induced by 2 or 3 hours of H2O2-incubation (500 μM) could significantly up-regulate miR-25 expression in H9c2 embryonic rat ventricular myocytes (40). Furthermore, the overexpression of miR-25 markedly reduced the oxidative stress-induced apoptosis in H9c2 cells by down-regulating mitochondrial calcium uniporter (MCU) which has been shown to control the Ca2+ flux through the inner mitochondrial membrane (40) (Figure 2).

Intimal hyperplasia

Thrombospondin-1 is known as a key factor in vascular smooth muscle cell (VSMC) migration after vascular injury. It has been reported that the expression of miR-25-5p beyond other miRNAs was significantly decreased in human VSMCs in response to a 6-hour thrombospondin-1 treatment (41) (Table 1). This study
| Disease | Species and tissue or cell type | Alteration of miR-25 expression | Method for miR-25 detection | Target gene | Biological function | Method for target validation | Sample size in clinical studies | Ref. |
|---------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|
| 1 ACS - NSTEMI vs. STEMI | Human BP (American) | up-regulation | Qiagen miRNeasy kit | N/A | N/A | N/A | 9 STEMI vs. 4 NSTEMI | [25] |
| 2 ACS - UA | Human BP (Chinese) | up-regulation (miR-106b-25 cluster) | Taqman low density miRNA array | N/A | N/A | N/A | 13 UA vs. 13 CONT | [26] |
| 3 H/R | H9c2 cells (24 h I/1 h R) | down-regulation | qRT-PCR | HMGB1 | inflammation | DLRA, miR-25 TF | N/A | [33] |
| 4 LVH and HF | heart of TAC mice (4,8,10 wk) and human HF | down-regulation | Affymetrix GeneChip Mouse Gene St 1.0 Array, Northern blot, qRT-PCR | Hand2 | hypertrophy and fibrosis | LRA, MHC-Hand2 TG mice, miR-25 TF, antagomiR-25 | N/A | [30] |
| 5 LVH and HF | heart of SMAD3/-/- TAC mice (10-20 days), cardiac FB | up-regulation | miRNA array, qRT-PCR | Col1a2 | fibrosis | miR-25 TF | N/A | [32] |
| 6 LVH and HF | heart of TAC mice (22 wk), and human HF, HEK293 cells and RCm | up-regulation | miRNA array, qRT-PCR | SERCA2a | calcium handling | LRA, miR-25 OE mice, SERCA2a KO mice, antagomiR-25 assay | N/A | [34] |
| 7 LVH and HF | uninephrectomized and salt-fed rat myocardium (20 wk) and plasma | up-regulation (myocardium)down-regulation (plasma) | qRT-PCR | SERCA2a | calcium handling | Western blot | N/A | [36] |
| 8 Hypercholesterolemia | rat myocardium (12 wk) and RCm | down-regulation | Agilent’s microRNA complete labeling and hyb system, qRT-PCR | NOX4 | oxidative stress | LRA | N/A | [39] |
| 9 Paroxysmal atrial fibrillation | human RA (German), heart of miR-25/106b/-/- mice, H9c2 cells | down-regulation | qRT-PCR | RyR2 | calcium handling | LRA | 8 pAF vs. 10 CONT | [37] |
| 10 Atherosclerosis and vascular remodelling | human aortic VSMCs | down-regulation of miR-25-5p | Affymetrix GeneChip microRNA Array and qRT-PCR | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | [41] |
| 11 Intracranial aneurysm | Human BP (Chinese) | up-regulation | Agilent’s microRNA complete labeling and hyb system, qRT-PCR | N/A | N/A | N/A | 40 IA vs. 20 CONT | [42] |
| 12 Pulmonary arterial hypertension | human PASMCs, rat | up-regulation | Affymetrix GeneChip microRNA 4.0 Array, Northern blot, qRT-PCR | MCU | apoptosis | LRA, MCU OE, antagomiR-25 in rats | 6 PAH vs. 3 CONT | [43] |

(Continued)
may suggest that miR-25-5p and other altered microRNAs might contribute to the development of atherosclerosis and intimal hyperplasia.

### Intracranial aneurysm

Interestingly, a clinical cohort study enrolling 40 patients with intracranial aneurysm (IA), 20 healthy volunteers and an independent validation cohort including 93 IA patients reported that increased plasma miR-25 level might be a potential biomarker for intracranial aneurysm [42] (Table 1).

### Pulmonary arterial hypertension

Pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) is an obstructive, arterial vasculopathy characterized by excessive pulmonary artery smooth muscle cell (PASMC) proliferation and migration [43]. It leads to vascular stiffening, vasoconstriction, mitochondrial and metabolic dysfunction and finally right ventricular failure [43]. A study proved that overexpression of miR-25 in human PASMC samples resulted in decreased expressions of mitochondrial calcium uniporter (MCU) and cAMP response element binding protein (CREB1). These gene expression alterations resulted in mitochondrial and metabolic dysfunction and a cancer-like phenotype with apoptosis resistance [43] (Table 1) (Figure 2). The downregulation of MCU by miR-25 has also been found in cardiomyocytes by Pan et al. [40] (Table 1) (Figure 2).

### Diabetes mellitus

Diabetes mellitus is a heterogeneous chronic metabolic disorder characterized by hyperglycemia as a common feature resulting from impaired insulin secretion, insulin resistance, or both [44]. Type-2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) accounts for more than 85% of all diabetes cases, and its incidence is continuously rising worldwide [44]. It has been reported that high-fat diet and streptozotocin-induced T2DM lead to increased pancreatic miR-25 expression and decreased mRNA expression of its direct target insulin stabilizing poly (adenosine diphosphate (ADP)-ribose) polymerase 1 (PTBP1) which resulted in decreased insulin translation and secretion [45] (Table 1) (Figure 2). Moreover, a clinical study enrolling two T1DM cohorts (n=275 for European T1DM children and 129 for Danish T1DM children) and one control group (n=151) reported that serum miR-25 level was significantly increased in T1DM children and was inversely correlated with residual beta cell function [46] (Table 1).
Chronic kidney disease

Chronic kidney disease is often associated with tubulointerstitial fibrosis leading to progressive functional deterioration [20]. It has been demonstrated that all members of the miR-106b-25 cluster including miR-106b, miR-93 and miR-25 were significantly down-regulated after 48 h TGF-β1 treatment (5 ng/ml) in human kidney proximal tubular epithelial cell line (HK-2) [20] (Table 1). Bioinformatics analysis identified the TGF-β type-II receptor as a potential target of the miR-106b-25 cluster. Indeed, miR-106b transfection resulted in decreased expression of TGF-β type II receptor in HK-2 cells [20] (Table 1). Hence, the repression of miR-25 and miR-106b may be a key factor in the development of TGF-β1-mediated fibrosis both in the kidney and the heart [32] (Table 1).

Diabetes mellitus is one of the leading causes of end-stage renal disease [47]. NADPH oxidase-derived superoxide seems to play a key role in hyperglycemia-induced oxidative stress in diabetic nephropathy [48]. MiR-25 expression was significantly reduced and NOX4 mRNA and protein levels were increased in the kidney of streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats and high glucose-treated mesangial cells [45] (Table 1). Furthermore, mesangial cells transfected with antagomiR-25 showed significantly increased NOX4 mRNA and protein levels [48] (Table 1) (Figure 2). These results are in line with our findings in the hearts of hypercholesterolemic rats with increased cardiac tissue oxidative stress and diastolic dysfunction in the presence of decreased miR-25 expression [39] (Table 1) (Figure 2). Therefore, the miR-25-NOX4-oxidative stress axis seems to play a common role in kidney and heart disease.

IgA nephropathy is the most common primary glomerulonephritis leading to end-stage renal disease [49]. A clinical cohort study recruiting 3 control and 9 IgA nephropathy patients showed that miR-25 was significantly increased in the urinary sediment derived mainly from urinary erythrocytes of IgA nephropathy patients [49].

Non-cancerous nervous system diseases

Cerebral ischemia/reperfusion injury

Cerebral ischemia is a condition when blood and oxygen supply to the brain tissues is insufficient [50, 51]. Rapid reperfusion is still the gold standard therapy for cerebral ischemia and other types of ischemic organ damages including e.g., ischemic stroke, myocardial infarction and organ transplantsations [50]. However, reperfusion causes further tissue injuries due to increased oxidative/nitrative stress leading to mitochondrial dysfunction, lipid peroxidation, protein oxidation, DNA damage and finally cell death [38]. MiR-25 was repressed in a model of 48-h oxygen-glucose deprivation and 48-h reoxygenation in human neuroblastoma SH-SY5Y and IMR-32 cells [50] (Table 2). Furthermore, overexpression of miR-25 protected cells against apoptosis induced by oxygen-glucose deprivation/reoxygenation possibly through the Fas/FasL pathway [50] (Table 2) (Figure 2). This protective effect of miR-25 against apoptosis in human neuroblstoma SH-SY5Y and IMR-32 cells are in concordance with the findings of Pan et al. reported in H9c2 embryonic rat ventricular myocytes [40, 50] (Table 1-2) (Figure 2). Interestingly, 10-Hz repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation was reported to improve proliferation of adult neural stem cells 7 days after focal cerebral ischemia in the subventricular zone in rats [52] (Table 2). Moreover, the beneficial effect of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation was associated with the overexpression of miR-25 and repression of its direct target p57 (CDKN1C). It is a member of the cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK) inhibitors blocking the cell cycle in G1/S phase [49] (Table 2) (Figure 2). Interestingly, a study related to CNS development in zebrafish embryos has also shown that scratch2 transcription factor could block the cell cycle re-entry by maintaining high levels of CDKN1C (p57) via the repression of miR-25 in postmitotic primary neurons [53] (Table 2) (Figure 2).

Spinocerebellar ataxia type 3

Spinocerebellar ataxia type 3/Machado Joseph disease (SCA3/MJD) is the most common type of inherited spinocerebellar ataxia forms [48], and its symptoms include cerebellar ataxia, spasticity, parkinsonism, dystonia, eye movement disorders, sensory loss, muscle weakness, fasciculation, etc. [54]. SCA/MJD is also known as a polyglutamine (polyQ) disease caused by glutamine-encoding CAG nucleotide expansions within endogenous human genes resulting in an abnormal polyQ tract in the polyQ-expanded mutant ataxin-3 protein [48]. This abnormal ataxin-3 protein aggregates in the nucleus and adjacent areas of the affected neurons exacerbating cell death [51]. Overexpression of miR-25 was found to suppress apoptosis in SCA/MJD model cells possibly by the posttranscriptional reduction of polyQ-expanded ataxin-3 protein levels [51] (Table 2) (Figure 2). Indeed, the same research group reported in a clinical cohort study that serum level of miR-25 was significantly lower in SCA3/MJD patients (n=35) as compared to healthy controls (n=25) [51] (Table 2). Moreover, serum miR-25 level was significantly decreased in SCA3/MJD patients with a course of disease more than 6 years as compared to those patients with shorter disease course [51].

Multiple sclerosis

Multiple sclerosis (MS) is an inflammatory and subsequently degenerative disease of the central nervous system (CNS). It is defined by focal demyelinated lesions in the white matter of the brain and spinal cord [55]. A clinical study enrolling 12 MS relapsing–remitting patients in stable condition and 14 healthy controls revealed that members of the miR-106b-25 cluster were down-regulated...
in CD4<sup>+</sup>CD25<sup>high</sup>CD<sup>122dim</sup>−T regulatory cells of MS patients [56] (Table 2).

**Schizophrenia**

Schizophrenia is a chronic severe neuropsychiatric disorder with strong genomic and environmental risk factors. The expression of miR-25, a transcriptional regulator of SERCA2 was downregulated in a mouse model of schizophrenia [57] (Table 2) (Figure 2). The 22q11 deletion syndrome (22q11DS) is one of the strongest known genetic risks for schizophrenia [54]. A mouse model of 22q11DS had an age-dependent increase in hippocampal long-term potentiation (LTP), a form of synaptic plasticity needed in learning and memory [57, 58]. In this mouse model of schizophrenia, the expression of SERCA2 is increased resulting in elevated loading of the endoplasmic reticulum with Ca<sup>2+</sup> and enhanced neurotransmitter release as well as increased LTP [57, 58]. A study has found that haploinsufficiency of DGCR8; a miRNA biogenesis gene in the 22q11DS disease-critical region led to synaptic SERCA2 overexpression and increased LTP [57]. Moreover, SERCA2 was elevated in human brain samples with schizophrenia [57] (Table 2) (Figure 2).

**Neurotoxicity by dioxins**

Acetylcholinesterase (AChE) plays a central role in cholinergic neurotransmission in central and peripheral nervous systems by hydrolysisation of the neurotransmitter, acetylcholine [56]. Dioxins were shown to decrease ACHe expression directly in neuroblastoma cells and immune cells by transcriptional regulation via aryl hydrocarbon receptor and by post-translational regulation via microRNAs including miR-25 [59]. Proposed mechanisms of dioxin toxicity are reviewed in detail by Xie et al. [59].

**Asthma bronchiale**

A study using human tracheal smooth muscle cells revealed that miR-25 was significantly down-regulated after exposing cells to pro-inflammatory cytokines including IL-1β, TNF-α, and IFN-γ [60]. In this study, the repression of miR-25 resulted in the overexpression of its direct target Krüppel-like factor 4 (KLF4). KLF4 is known as an inhibitor of smooth muscle-specific gene expression and mediator of inflammation [60] (Table 2) (Figure 2).

**Cancerous diseases**

**Cancerous nervous system diseases**

**Glial tumors**

Astrocytoma originating from astrocytic glial cells is the most common type of primary tumor type in the central nervous system [61]. Anaplastic astrocytoma (AA) is a high-grade malignant glioma (grade III according to the WHO classification) developing from low-grade diffuse astrocytoma (DA; grade II) and progressing into glioblastoma of grade IV [61]. Glioblastoma multiforme is the most malignant and aggressive form of gliomas showing a median survival time of 15 months after standard therapy [62]. Few studies have investigated the role of miR-25 in the development of gliomas [62–66] (Table 3). Most of them indicate that miR-25 is overexpressed, and behave as an oncomiR during the development of gliomas [62, 63, 65, 66] (Table 3). These results suggest that miR-25 has mRNA targets directly or indirectly regulating pathways related to cell cycle or cell death. Zhang et al. reported that miR-25 was overexpressed in more than 90% of human glioma tissues (grade II-IV) and 60% of human glioma cell lines [62]. Furthermore, miR-25 has been shown to increase glioma cell proliferation by directly targeting the CDK inhibitor type 1C (CDKN1C or p57) resulting in an increase of S/M phase cells and a decrease of G0/G1 phase cells [62] (Table 3) (Figure 3). The miR-25 and CDKN1C (p57) axis seem to play a central role in the regulation of cell cycle re-entry not only in glioblastoma and cancerous cell proliferation but also in healthy conditions and after ischemia/reperfusion injury as mentioned in the previous section [52, 53, 62]. In accordance with the findings of Zhang et al., another study has found that miR-25 was overexpressed in human astrocytoma samples and glioblastoma cell lines leading to tumor growth and invasion by directly targeting neurofilament light polypeptide (NEFL) which was an inhibitor of the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) cell proliferation pathway [65] (Table 3) (Figure 3). Interestingly, a network analysis study investigating transcription factors, miRNAs and their target genes in human anaplastic astrocytoma reported that miR-25 might target tumor suppressor p53 and it could be regulated by another tumor suppressor, the phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN) [61] (Table 3) (Figure 3). In contrast, only one study has reported that overexpression of miR-25 could suppress glioblastoma growth in vivo and in vitro by a p53 tumor suppressor-dependent mechanism [64] (Table 3) (Figure 3). In this study, miR-25 was identified as a miRNA repressed indirectly by p53 through the transcriptional regulators of the P53 gene, E2F1 (also called retinoblastoma binding protein-3) and MYC [64] (Table 3) (Figure 3). In addition, overexpression of miR-25 resulted in the downregulation of its direct targets MDM2 and TSC1. Both targets are negative regulators of the p53 tumor suppressor and the mTOR cell proliferation pathway, respectively [64]. In that article, the authors speculated on that overexpression of miR-25 could stabilize p53 tumor suppressor expression through activation of mTOR pathway by targeting TSC1. However, this finding is controversial to the results of Peng et al. [64, 65].
Retinoblastoma

Retinoblastoma (RB) is a typical malignant tumor appearing in children [67]. A clinical pilot study with a very limited sample size enrolling 3 healthy and 3 RB retina samples has reported that miR-25 was significantly overexpressed in RB [67] (Table 3) (Figure 3). After miRNA-target analysis using experimentally validated databases and pathway enrichment analysis, the apoptosis regulator BCL2L1 seemed to be a potentially important target of miR-25 in RB [67] (Table 3) (Figure 3).

Lung cancer

Non-small cell lung cancer

Lung cancer is the leading cause of tumor-related mortality worldwide [68]. Non-small cell lung cancer...
NSCLC accounts for 80-85% of all lung cancer cases, and its 5-year survival rate is about 15% [68]. Savita et al. reported that the overexpression of the miR-106b-25 cluster could directly suppress the ubiquitin ligase β-TRCP2 gene expression leading to decreased Snail degradation in H1299 non-small cell lung cancer cells [69] (Table 4) (Figure 3). Snail has been reported to positively regulate cell adhesion and migration as well as invasion [70, 71]. Xiang et al. demonstrated that the oncogenic effect of miR-25 is partially due to direct targeting and repressing F-box and WD repeat domain-containing 7 (FBXW7 also known as FBW7) in human NSCLC tissue samples and cell lines [68] (Table 4) (Figure 3). FBXW7 is a putative tumor suppressor in human tumorigenesis due to its ability to recognize and bind target proteins for ubiquitination and degradation [68]. Other studies suggested that the overexpression of miR-25 could reduce apoptosis by different mechanisms in human NSCLC cells and cell lines [72, 73] (Table 4). Wu et al. reported that miR-25 directly targeted the modulator of the apoptosis 1 (MOAP1) gene which was a Bax-associated protein containing BH3-like motif and mediating caspase-dependent apoptosis [72] (Table 4) (Figure 3). Chen et al. found that overexpression of miR-25 directly repressed the regulator of G protein signaling 3 (RGS3) gene which potentially could play a role in apoptosis as

Table 3: The role of miR-25 in cancerous nervous system diseases

| Disease | Species and tissue or cell type | Alteration of miR-25 expression | Method for miR-25 detection | Target gene | Biological function | Method for target validation | Sample size in clinical studies | Ref. |
|---------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|---------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|------|
| 1 GBM   | human brain tumor tissue (American) | up-regulation | Agilent human miRNA microarray version 1, qRT-PCR | N/A | N/A | N/A | 24 CNS tumors vs. 8 CONT | [66] |
| 2 GBM   | human GBM sample (Chinese), GMB cell lines | up-regulation | qRT-PCR | NEFL | apoptosis | LRA, WB | 44 GBM vs. 20 CONT | [65] |
| 3 GBM   | human GBM sample (Chinese), human GBM cell lines | up-regulation | qRT-PCR | p57 | cell cycle arrest | LRA, miR-25 TF | 35 GBM vs. 5 CONT | [62] |
| 4 GBM   | human GBM sample (dataset) | up-regulation | dataset analysis | p53 | apoptosis, cell cycle arrest | network analysis | N/A | [61] |
| 5 GBM   | human GBM cell lines, mice (35 days) | up-regulation | Nanostring assay, qRT-PCR | MDM2, TSC1 | apoptosis | LRA, miR-25 TF, WB | N/A | [64] |
| 6 RB    | human RB sample (Chinese) | up-regulation | Agilent human miRNA microarray 2k | Bcl2L1 | apoptosis | exp. validated databases | 3 RB vs. 3 CONT | [67] |

Abbreviations: CONT: control, DLRA: dual luciferase reporter assay, GBM: glioblastoma multiforme, IF: immunofluorescence, IH: immunohistochemistry, LRA: luciferase reporter assay, KO: knock out, OE: overexpression, RB: retinoblastoma, TF: transfection, TG: transgene, WB: Western blot. The abbreviations in bold are considered as gold standard methods for miRNA target validation.
a cancer suppressor [73] (Table 4) (Figure 3). He et al. reported that radiotherapy-resistant NSCLC human tissues overexpressed miR-25 as compared to radiosensitive or non-cancerous tissues [74] (Table 4). In addition, miR-25 overexpression correlated negatively with its direct BTG anti-proliferation factor 2 (BTG2) expression (Table 4) (Figure 3). BTG2 has been shown to inhibit cell proliferation and invasion by repressing cyclin D1, matrix metalloproteinase-1 and metalloproteinase-2 in human lung cancer cells [74]. Interestingly, down-regulation of miR-25 by antagoniR-25 treatment was shown to inhibit NSCLC cell proliferation and induce G1 cell cycle arrest possibly through indirect down-regulation of the cell division cycle 42 (CDC42) gene [75] (Table 4) (Figure 4).

REV3Lp is the catalytic subunit of DNA polymerase zeta playing a crucial role in genome stability in mammalian cells. A study investigating the polymorphism of REV3Lp in lung cancer susceptibility in Chinese Han population (n=500 lung cancer patients and 517 cancer-free control) revealed that (3’UTR) 460 T>C single
| Disease | Species and tissue or cell type | Alteration of miR-25 expression | Method for miR-25 detection | Target gene | Biological function | Method for target validation | Sample size in clinical studies | Ref. |
|---------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----|
| 1 | NSCLC H1299 cells | up-regulation of miR-106b and miR-93 | qRT-PCR | beta-TRCP2 ubiquitination | LRA | N/A | [69] |
| 2 | NSCLC human NSCLC tissue (Chinese), human NSCLC cell lines | up-regulation | qRT-PCR | FBXW7 | cell cycle arrest | LRA, miR-25 TF, WB | 16 NSCLC vs. 16 CONT [68] |
| 3 | NSCLC human BP (Chinese), human NSCLC cell lines | up-regulation | qRT-PCR | MOAP1 | apoptosis | LRA, miR-25 TF, MOAP1 OE or KO, anatgomiR-25 in vivo | 81 NSCLC vs. 41 CONT [72] |
| 4 | NSCLC human NSCLC tissue (Chinese), human NSCLC cell line | up-regulation | qRT-PCR | RGS3 | apoptosis | DLRA, WB | 35 NSCLC vs. ADJNTT [73] |
| 5 | NSCLC human NSCLC tissue (Chinese), human NSCLC cell line | up-regulation | qRT-PCR | BTG2 | proliferation inhibitor | LRA, antagonomiR-25 and miR-25 TF in vitro, WB | 60 NSCLC vs. 32 CONT [74] |
| 6 | NSCLC human NSCLC cell line, female nude mice (35 days) | down-regulation | qRT-PCR | CDC42 | proliferation | LRA, antagonomiR-25 TF in vivo, CDC42 OE, WB | 11 NSCLC vs. ADJNTT [75] |
| 7 | NSCLC human BS (American, Chinese) | up-regulation | Taqman low density array, qRT-PCR | N/A | N/A | N/A | 221 NSCLC vs. 161 CONT (56 benign nodules) [77] |
| 8 | NSCLC human NSCLC tissue, human BP (Chinese) | up-regulation | qRT-PCR | N/A | N/A | N/A | 100 female NSCLC (non-smoking) [63] |
| 9 | SCLC human SCLC tissue (Chinese), human SCLC cell lines | up-regulation | qRT-PCR | CDK2 | proliferation | LRA, antagonomiR-25 in vitro, WB | 9 SCLC vs. ADJNTT [80] |

Abbreviations: ADJNTT: adjacent non-tumorous tissue, BP: blood plasma, CONT: control, DLRA: dual luciferase reporter assay, IF: immunofluorescence, IH: immunohistochemistry, LRA: luciferase reporter assay, KO: knock out, NSCLC: non-small cell lung carcinoma, OE: overexpression, SCLC: small cell lung carcinoma, TF: transfection, TG: transgene, WB: Western blot. The abbreviations in bold are considered as gold standard methods for miRNA target validation.
nucleotide polymorphism (rs465646) showed a strong association with lung cancer development [76]. The T allele demonstrated a stronger binding affinity for miR-25 and miR-32 which could down-regulate the endogenous tumor suppressor REV3L [76]. Furthermore, an ethnically diverse multicenter case-control study recruiting 221 NSCLC patients, 161 controls and 56 patients with benign nodules from China and America reported that serum levels of miR-25 and other four miRNAs (miR-483-5p, miR-193a-3p, miR-214 and miR-7) were significantly elevated irrespective of ethnicity groups [77] (Table 4). A clinical study enrolling 100 Chinese female non-smoking lung adenocarcinoma patients found that increased plasma miR-25 levels positively correlated with the mortality rate, advanced disease stage, regional and distant metastasis at diagnosis as well as epithelial growth factor receptor (EGFR) mutation status [63] (Table 4). Another clinical study investigating circulating miRNA levels in response to chemotherapy or operation in Russian lung cancer patients (n=23, T1-3N0-3M0) showed that the plasma concentration of miR-25 did not change 15 days after surgery or within 30 days after completing two courses of paclitaxel-carboplatin chemotherapy as compared to that before the respective intervention [78]. In another clinical study enrolling 148 Chinese patients with histologically proven advanced or metastatic lung adenocarcinoma (stage IIIIB or IV) and showing a complete or partial response to first-line therapy with the antifolate agent pemetrexed plus platinum of 4-6 cycles, were divided into an observation versus pemetrex maintenance group [79]. In the pemetrex maintenance group, significantly elevated serum miR-25 levels were negatively correlated with progression-free survival time [79]. In contrast, serum miR-25 levels failed to correlate with progression-free survival time in the observation group [79].

Small cell lung cancer

Small cell lung cancer (SCLC) accounts for approximately 15% of all new lung cancer cases and has unique clinical and histological characteristics [80]. Zhao et al., similarly to that in NSCLC samples, reported the overexpression of miR-25 in human SCLC tissue samples and cell lines [80]. Interestingly, down-regulation of miR-25 by antagomiR-25 treatment was shown to inhibit SCLC

---

**Figure 4: Repression of miR-25 in cancerous diseases.** ATC: anaplastic thyroid cancer, CRC: colorectal cancer, NSCLC: non-small cell lung carcinoma, PC: prostate cancer. Gene symbols in bubbles are targets of miR-25 in multiple organs/diseases. Gene in red bubble is a target of miR-25 in multiple diseases.
cell proliferation and induced the cell cycle arrest in the G1 phase possibly through the down-regulation of the cell cycle-related proteins cyclin E2 and CDK2. Cyclin E2 was shown to be a direct target of miR-25 in this study [80] (Table 4) (Figure 3).

**Breast cancer**

Breast cancer ranks the first among female cancers and is the second leading cause of death in women worldwide [81, 82]. Breast cancer is a heterogeneous disease entity, and numerous classification systems have been developed on the basis of histopathological and molecular genetic features or the appearance in imaging studies such as mammography or MRI [83]. From the point of view of therapy, both the stage/extent of the tumor and mentioned special features contribute to therapeutic decision. Breast cancer has always been managed more or less on an individual basis; the recent advances in precision medicine made a great impact on the management of breast cancer. Plenty of studies have examined the correlation between miRNA and mRNA expression in breast cancer and the role of miRNA expression profile in prognosis, but no clear conclusion has yet been reached [84]. The vast majority of studies investigating the role of miR-25 in the development of breast cancer have found that miR-25 was overexpressed in human breast cancer tissues and was elevated in the serum of patients [82, 84–90] (Table 5) (Figure 3). Hu et al. demonstrated in a two-stage case-control analysis that serum levels of miR-25, miR-16, miR-222 and miR-324-3p were significantly upregulated as compared to those other miRNAs (miR-191 and miR-484) used as endogenous control in Chinese breast cancer patients [85] (Table 5). Wu reported that miR-25 expression was significantly higher in breast cancer patients based on data of 683 breast cancer tissues when compared to that in 87 normal breast tissues according to The Cancer Genome Atlas [83]. Interestingly, another clinical study enrolling 240 Norwegian early breast cancer patients showed strong and significant associations between the overexpression of miR-25, miR-18a/b, miR-106b, and miR-505 with high proliferation, estrogen receptor negativity and cytokeratin 5/6 positivity of cancer [86] (Table 5). Moreover, using dataset analysis Farazzi et al. reported that miRNA families might control subtype-specific pathways in breast cancer and miR-25 showed high miRNA regulatory activity in triple-negative and basal-like subtypes of breast cancer [84]. Another study using 21 surgical breast cancer specimens revealed that increased expression of miR-25 was associated with high Ki-67 (a marker of cell proliferation) expression and HER2, ER and PR positivity [88] (Table 5). Interestingly, a study enrolling 76 breast cancer patients reported that overexpression of miR-25*, miR-142-3p, miR-505*, miR-1248, miR-181a-2*, and miR-340* could discriminate between tumor samples from BRCA1/2 mutation carriers and non-carriers [90]. A BRCA1/2A preclinical mechanistic study reported that down-regulation of miR-25 by isoliquiritigenin resulted in increased autphagic cell death by overexpression of ULK1 and induced chemosensitization in MCF7/ADR breast cancer cells [91] (Table 5) (Figure 3). Another study comprehensively analyzed tumor tissue miRNA expression and patient survival collecting data from the Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) which contains miRNA sequencing and overall survival datasets of 759 breast cancer patients [83, 89]. Surprisingly, this study found that increased expression of miR-25 predicted improved breast cancer survival [89].

**Ovarian cancer**

Ovarian cancer is the most common cause of gynecological malignancy-related mortality among women [92]. Epithelial ovarian cancer (EOC) accounts for approximately 90 % of ovarian tumors, including serous adenocarcinoma, endometrial adenocarcinoma and clear cell carcinoma [93]. Most cases are not diagnosed at an early stage [89]. Therefore, the 5-year survival rate is still poor despite advances in the diagnosis and chemotherapy [93, 94]. Studies investigating the expression of miR-25 in epithelial ovarian cancer cell lines, tumors tissues and serum from patients provided controversial findings. A preclinical study demonstrated that miR-25 was overexpressed in human ovarian cancer cells and cell lines (SKOV3, OVCAR3, OVCAR5, and A2780) and ovarian surface epithelial cells (OSE) [92] (Table 5) (Figure 3). In this study, repression of miR-25 in ovarian cancer cells enhanced apoptosis by directly targeting Bim (also known as BCL2L11) [95]. Bim is also known as a direct activator of Bax and neutralizer of Bcl2-like molecules [95] (Table 5) (Figure 3). Another preclinical study showed that expression of miR-25 was increased in human ovarian cancer cells (OVCAR3, SKOV3, ES-2) [90] (Table 5) (Figure 3). In this study, inhibition of miR-25 could suppress proliferation, migration, and invasion of ovarian cancer cells by directly targeting large tumor suppressor 2 (LATS2) [93] (Table 5) (Figure 3). A clinical cohort study enrolling 86 ovarian cancer patients reported that the increased expression of miR-25 in EOC tissue was associated with advanced clinical stage, lymph node metastasis and shorter survival time indicating that miR-25 might be involved in carcinogenesis and metastasis of EOC [96] (Table 5). Moreover, another clinical cohort study recruiting 180 treated EOC patients and 66 healthy women from Germany showed that serum levels of miR-25 was down-regulated after a median follow-up time of 21 months [97] (Table 5). Interestingly, Langhe et al.
### Table 5: The role of miR-25 in breast, ovarian and prostate cancer

| Disease | Species and tissue or cell type | Alteration of miR-25 expression | Method for miR-25 detection | Target gene | Biological function | Method for target validation | Sample size in clinical studies | Ref. |
|---------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|------|
| 1       | BC human BS (Chinese)           | up-regulation                   | Solexa sequencing, Taqman low density array, qRT-PCR | N/A         | N/A                 | N/A                           | 24 BC vs. 48 CONT             | [85] |
| 2       | BC human BC tissue, human BS (Chinese) | up-regulation | Solid sequencing, qRT-PCR | N/A         | N/A                 | N/A                           | 88 BC vs. 60 CONT             | [82] |
| 3       | BC human BC tissue (dataset)    | up-regulation                   | qRT-PCR and dataset analysis | N/A         | N/A                 | N/A                           | 683 BC vs. 87 CONT             | [91] |
| 4       | BC human BC tissue (Norwegian)  | up-regulation                   | qRT-PCR                     | N/A         | N/A                 | N/A                           | 240 BC (T1,2N0M0)             | [86] |
| 5       | BC human BC tissue (Japanese)   | up-regulation                   | Qiagen Human Cancer microRNA PCR Array system | N/A         | N/A                 | N/A                           | 21 BC                         | [88] |
| 6       | BC human BC cell line, NOD/SCID mice | up-regulation | Affymetrix miRNA 3.0 array, qRT-PCR | ULK1         | autophagy            | LRA, WB                       | N/A                           | [81] |
| 7       | OVC human OVC cell lines, ovarian surface epithelial cells | up-regulation | qRT-PCR | BIM         | apoptosis            | LRA, TF of miR mimics and antagoniR-25 in vitro, WB | N/A                           | [95] |
| 8       | OVC human OVC cells, normal ovarian epithelial cell line | up-regulation | qRT-PCR | LATS2       | apoptosis, growth inhibition | LRA, miR-25 mimics and antagoniR-25 TF, WB | N/A                           | [93] |
| 9       | OVC human OVC tissue (Chinese)  | up-regulation                   | qRT-PCR                     | N/A         | N/A                 | N/A                           | 86 OVC vs. ADJNTT             | [96] |
| 10      | OVC human BS (German, 21 months) human OVC cell lines | down-regulation | qRT-PCR | N/A         | N/A                 | N/A                           | 180 OVC vs. 66 CONT           | [97] |
| 11      | OVC human BS (Irish)            | down-regulation                 | qRT-PCR                     | N/A         | N/A                 | N/A                           | 25 OVC vs. 25 CONT            | [92] |
| 12      | OVC human BP (American, 15 months) | down-regulation | qRT-PCR | N/A         | N/A                 | N/A                           | 14 OVC                        | [98] |
| 13      | PC human PC tissue (American), MCM7 TG mice, nude mice (7 wk), human PAC cell lines | up-regulation of the miR-106b-25 cluster and MCM7 | qRT-PCR | PTEN       | metastasis and invasion inhibitor | DLRA, TF in vitro, WB | 177 PC vs. ADJNTT | [8]  |

(Continued)
demonstrated that four circulating microRNAs including miR-25-3p let-7i-5p, miR-122, and miR-152-5p were significantly down-regulated in serous ovarian carcinoma patients as compared to benign serous cystadenoma patients in a small sample size clinical study (n=25) [92] (Table 5). In other tissues, miR-25 is known to target WNT signaling, and AKT/mTOR pathways which have previously been found to play a role in ovarian carcinogenesis and chemoresistance as well [92] (Table 5). Moreover, Benson et al. reported that plasma miR-25 expression was significantly decreased in chemotherapy-resistant ovarian cancer patients, as compared to responders after 7 cycles of decitabine-carboplatin chemotherapy [98] (Table 5).

Prostate cancer

Prostate cancer (PC) is the second most common cancer among men worldwide [99]. Both early detection and therapy have significantly improved recently, however, about 25% of patients develop metastases. Prostate carcinoma may develop to a clinically aggressive form, which is resistant to androgen deprivation therapy, develops metastases and expresses neuroendocrine markers [100, 101]. A study has proven that the concomitant overexpression of the miR-106b-25 cluster and its host gene minichromosome maintenance protein 7 (MCM7) cooperates in initiating prostate cancer by directly targeting the tumor suppressor phosphatase and tensin homologue (PTEN) gene both in prostate cancer cell lines and human prostate cancer specimens [8] (Table 5) (Figure 3). PTEN has been shown to suppress the PI3K/Akt pathway which activates a number of target proteins to promote nutrient uptake, protein synthesis, cell survival, cell proliferation, cell motility and angiogenesis [8]. Furthermore, a study by Liang et al. demonstrated that hypoxia-induced neuronal and neuroendocrine differentiation of neuronal crest cells and PC cells by
Thyroid cancer

Thyroid cancer represents the most common malignant tumor originating from endocrine organ including 1) well-differentiated papillary thyroid carcinoma (PTC, 80%), 2) follicular thyroid carcinoma including 1) well-differentiated papillary thyroid cancer cells by repression of DNA licensing genes including MCM7 as well as the miR-106b-25 cluster, and overexpression of tumor suppressor gene PTEN [102] (Table 5). Interestingly, another mechanistic study by Li et al. showed that mutation of the p53 (TP53) tumor suppressor gene in prostate neuroendocrine cells could lead to overexpression of miR-25 similarly to the findings of Suh et al. in glioblastoma cells [64, 103] (Figure 3). This study by Li et al. demonstrated that the overexpression of miR-25 resulted in the repression of the E3 ubiquitin ligase FBXW7 leading to increased expression of its substrate Aurora kinase A (AURKA) which is a positive cell cycle regulator [103] (Table 5) (Figure 3). In contrast, Leite et al. found in advanced prostate cancer specimens (n=63) that the expression levels of several miRNAs including miR-25 significantly decreased during the progression of prostate cancer related to the transition from high grade prostate intraepithelial neoplasia to invasive adenocarcinoma, and the transition from localized to metastatic adenocarcinomas [104] (Table 5) (Figure 4). Moreover, Zoni et al. found that miR-25 was a negative regulator of the development of an invasive and metastatic phenotype in human prostate cancer cells by directly targeting the pro-invasive α,β- and α,-integrins [100, 105] (Table 5). Indeed, a prospective clinical study enrolling 147 Caucasian age-matched patients with increased PSA levels and receiving needle biopsy to diagnose PC reported that decreased expression of miR-25-3p and other miRNAs correlated with increased malignancy [106] (Table 5). Interestingly, two clinical studies could not find any change in miR-25 expression in urine [107] or urine sediment samples [99] of prostate cancer patients.

Thyroid cancer

Thyroid cancer represents the most common thyroid cancer patients. Esophageal cancer

Esophageal cancer represents the eighth most common cancer and the sixth most common cause of tumorous death worldwide [114]. Esophageal cancer is developed from epithelial cells including two subtypes: 1) esophageal adenocarcinoma (EAC) and 2) esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) [114]. Mir-25 was unequivocally reported to be increased in both subtypes of esophageal cancer tissues and serum/plasma samples of patients.

Esophageal adenocarcinoma

Esophageal adenocarcinoma (EAC) is an aggressive type of esophageal cancer with an overall 5-year survival rate of <20% [115]. Barrett’s esophagus (BE) is a precursor abnormality of EAC [115]. In case of BE, the squamous epithelium of the esophagus is replaced by a...
metaplastic, columnar-lined epithelium originating from the gastroesophageal junction [115]. The progression of BE to adenocarcinoma follows a series of histologic evolution with an increasing progression rate [115]. These histologic events include 1) non-dysplastic Barrett’s metaplasia, 2) low-grade dysplasia (LGD), 3) high-grade dysplasia (HGD), and 4) adenocarcinoma [115]. Kan et al. demonstrated that increased level of miR-25 targeted and inhibited the translation of the pro-apoptotic Bim (also known as BCL2L11) in human EAC (OE-33) as well as metaplastic BE-derived cell lines (HEEpiC, QhTRT, ChTRT, GihTRT) and esophageal tissues (22 normal epithelia, 24 BE and 22 EAC) (Table 7) (Figure 3). Zhang et al. had also reported increased miR-25 levels and decreased Bim expression in epithelial ovarian cancer cells similarly to the finding of the aforementioned study by Kan et al. in EAC [95, 116] (Figure 3). Moreover, the study by Kan et al. also reported that other elements of the miR-106b-25 cluster and its host gene, MCM7 were also overexpressed in EAC. These results are similar to the findings of Poliseno et al. in prostate cancer [8, 116] (Figure 3). Indeed, a clinical study investigating 35 normal epithelial, 34 BE, and 36 EAC tissues of American participants revealed that miR-25 and other miRNAs were overexpressed in BE and EAC samples with the most significant alteration in the BE metaplastic stage [115] (Table 7). Another clinical study using 119 tissue samples (24 normal/uninvolved mucosa samples from the distal esophagus of BE patients, 60 BE and 35 EAC) of Czech patients has also found that miR-25 and other miRNAs showed overexpression progressively in the sequence of normal mucosa, BE and EAC [117] (Table 7). Moreover, a clinical study enrolling Australian participants (19 healthy controls, 10 BE, and 18 locally advanced EAC patients) showed that a serum miRNA panel including miR-25 and other miRNAs (RNU6-1/miR-16-5p, miR-25-3p/miR-320a, let-7e-5p/miR-15b-5p, miR-30a-5p/miR-324-5p, miR-17-5p/miR-194-5p) had enhanced specificity and sensitivity over single miRNA ratios to distinguish EAC from controls and BE [118] (Table 7). In addition,
| Disease    | Species and tissue or cell type | Alteration of miR-25 expression | Method for miR-25 detection | Target gene | Biological function | Method for target validation | Sample size in clinical studies | Ref.  |
|------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|------|
| 1 EAC/BE   | human EAC and BE cell lines, human EAC tissues (American) | up-regulation of the miR-106b-25 cluster and MCM7 | Taqman MicroRNA assay (human), qRT-PCR | BIM | apoptosis | LRA, WB | 22 CONT epithelia, 24 BE, 22 EAC | [116] |
| 2 EAC/BE   | human EAC and BE tissue (American) | up-regulation | TaqMan Human Micro-RNA Card Set v3.0 for 754 miRNAs | N/A | N/A | N/A | 35 CONT epithelia, 34 BE, 36 EAC | [115] |
| 3 EAC/BE   | human EAC and BE tissue (Czech) | up-regulation | Affymetrix GeneChip miRNA 3.0 arrays | N/A | N/A | N/A | 24 ADJNTT, 60 BE, 35 EAC | [117] |
| 4 EAC/BE   | human BS (Australian) | up-regulation | TaqMan OpenArray Human microRNA panel for 758 miRNAs | N/A | N/A | N/A | 19 CONT, 10 BE, 18 EAC | [118] |
| 5 EAC/BE   | human BS (American) | up-regulation | Solexa deep sequencing for small RNAs, qRT-PCR | N/A | N/A | N/A | 10 EAC vs. 11 CONT | [119] |
| 6 ESCC     | human ESCC cell lines, human ESCC tissue (Chinese) | up-regulation | qRT-PCR | CDH1 | metastasis and invasion inhibitor | LRA, WB | N/A | [114] |
| 7 ESCC     | human ESCC cell lines, human ESCC tissue (Chinese) | up-regulation | qRT-PCR | DSC2 | metastasis and invasion inhibitor | LRA, WB | 124 ESCC | [121] |
| 8 ESCC     | human ESCC tissue (Northern Chinese) | up-regulation | qRT-PCR | N/A | N/A | N/A | 5 ESCC | [122] |
| 9 ESCC     | human ESCC tissue, BS (Chinese) | up-regulation | qRT-PCR | N/A | N/A | N/A | 20 ESCC vs. 20 CONT tissue, 194 ESCC vs. 94 CONT serum | [72] |
| 10 ESCC    | human BS (Chinese) | up-regulation | Taqman low density array, qRT-PCR | N/A | N/A | N/A | 111 ESCC | [123] |
| 11 ESCC    | human BP (Japanese) | up-regulation | 3D-Gene miRNA microarray, qRT-PCR | N/A | N/A | N/A | 105 ESCC vs. 50 CONT | [120] |
| 12 GC      | human GC cell lines | up-regulation of the miR-106b-25 cluster and MCM7 | miRNA microarray chips (V2) for 250 human miRNAs, qRT-PCR, Northern blot | BIM, p21 | apoptosis cell cycle arrest | LRA, WB | N/A | [125] |
| Disease | Species and tissue or cell type | Alteration of miR-25 expression | Method for miR-25 detection | Target gene | Biological function | Method for target validation | Sample size in clinical studies | Ref. |
|---------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|------|
| 13 GC   | human GC cell lines           | up-regulation of the 106b-25 cluster and MCM7 | qRT-PCR               | Col1a2, p53 | metastasis and invasion inhibitor, apoptosis, cell cycle arrest | antagomiR-25 TF, IF          | N/A                         | [127]|
|         | human GC tissue and human BP (Chinese), human GC cell lines, nude mice |                                   |                          |            |                     |                             |                             |      |
| 14 GC   |                                   | up-regulation                     | qRT-PCR               | TOB1       | growth inhibitor    | LRA, antagomiR-25 TF, WB    | 103 GC vs. 80 CONT         | [126]|
|         | human GC tissue (Chinese, 80 months), human GC cell lines, nude mice |                                   |                          |            |                     |                             |                             |      |
| 15 GC   |                                   | up-regulation                     | qRT-PCR               | FBXW7      | cell cycle arrest   | LRA, antagomiR-25 TF in vitro and in vivo, WB | 40 GC vs. ADJNTT            | [129]|
|         | human GC tissue (Chinese, 80 months), human GC cell lines |                                   |                          |            |                     |                             |                             |      |
| 16 GC   |                                   | up-regulation                     | qRT-PCR               | LATS2      | apoptosis growth inhibition | DLRA, antagomiR-25 and miR-25 TF | 14 GC vs. 14 CONT          | [131]|
|         | human GC tissue (Chinese), human GC cell lines |                                   |                          |            |                     |                             |                             |      |
| 17 GC   |                                   | up-regulation                     | qRT-PCR               | RECK       | metastasis and invasion inhibitor | LRA, antagomiR-25 and miR-25 TF, WB | 27 GCs                     | [132]|
|         | human GC tissue (Chinese), human GC cell lines |                                   |                          |            |                     |                             |                             |      |
| 18 GC   |                                   | up-regulation of the miR-106b-25 cluster | qRT-PCR               | N/A        | N/A                 | N/A                         | 40 GC vs. ADJNTT            | [130]|
|         | human GC tissue and human BP (Chinese) |                                   |                          |            |                     |                             |                             |      |
| 19 GC   |                                   | up-regulation                     | Taqman low density array, qRT-PCR | N/A        | N/A                 | N/A                         | 160 CONT, 124 GNCA, 36 GCA | [135]|
|         | human BP (Chinese)               |                                   |                          |            |                     |                             |                             |      |
| 20 GC   |                                   | up-regulation                     | Exiqon miRCURY ready to use PCR human panel-I+II-VM for 168 miRNAs | N/A        | N/A                 | N/A                         | 133 GC vs. 109 CONT         | [134]|
|         | human BP (Chinese)               |                                   |                          |            |                     |                             |                             |      |
| 21 GC   |                                   | up-regulation of the miR-106b-25 cluster | Applied Biosystems Big Dye Terminators Cycle Sequencing Kit | N/A        | N/A                 | N/A                         | 365 GC vs. 1284 CONT        | [124]|
|         | human GC tissue (EPIC-EURGAST study) |                                   |                          |            |                     |                             |                             |      |
| 22 GC   |                                   | up-regulation                     | qRT-PCR               | N/A        | N/A                 | N/A                         | 91 GC vs. 26 CONT           | [143]|
|         | human GC tissue (Korean)         |                                   |                          |            |                     |                             |                             |      |
| 23 CRC  | human CC cell lines             | up-regulation                     | ArrayExpress microRNA microarray chip, qRT-PCR | MCU        | apoptosis           | LRA, IF                      | N/A                        | [138]|
|         |                                   |                                   |                          |            |                     |                             |                             |      |
| 24 CRC  |                                   | up-regulation of the miR-106b-25 cluster | qRT-PCR               | N/A        | N/A                 | N/A                         | 66 CRC vs. 86 CONT          | [139]|
|         | human BS (Chinese)               |                                   |                          |            |                     |                             |                             |      |
| 25 CRC  |                                   | up-regulation of the miR-106b-25 cluster | Agilent miRNA microarray, Early Access Version, qRT-PCR | N/A        | N/A                 | N/A                         | 13 CRC vs. 4 CONT           | [141]|
|         | human CRC tissue (Japanese)     |                                   |                          |            |                     |                             |                             |      |

(Continued)
Oncophage squamous cell carcinoma

Esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) is the predominant histological subtype of esophageal carcinomas in Asian countries accounting for approximately 90% of all esophageal tumors [120]. The prognosis is very poor due to early invasion and metastasis via the well-developed network of submucosal lymphatic vessels [115]. Xu et al. reported that the up-regulation of miR-25 in ESCC samples of Chinese patients significantly correlated with the presence of lymph node metastases and advanced TNM stage [114]. In this study, miR-25 directly targeted E-cadherin (CDH1) and inhibited its metastasis suppressor function and promoted cell migration and invasion in human ESCC cell lines (KYSE-150 and KYSE-410) [114] (Table 7). Fang et al. demonstrated that the down-regulation of miR-25 in ESCC samples of Chinese patients significantly correlated with the presence of lymph node metastases and advanced TNM stage [114]. However, overexpression of miR-25 showed a positive correlation with overall survival in this study [123] (Table 7). Moreover, a third clinical study enrolling 105 Japanese ESCC patients and 50 healthy controls also reported that miR-25 level was significantly higher in plasma and tissues samples of ESCC patients [119] (Table 7). Plasma miR-25 levels were significantly reduced in postoperative samples as compared to those preoperatively, while increased again if ESCC recurred [119] (Table 7).

Gastric cancer

Gastric cancer (GC) ranks the second among cancer-related mortality causes [124]. Over 95% of gastric tumors are adenocarcinomas [125]. Proximal and distal gastric adenocarcinomas are the two main clinical manifestations of GC [124]. Histologically, there are two major types: 1) intestinal type presenting clearly defined glandular structures (54% of cases) and 2) diffuse type adenocarcinoma consisting of individually infiltrating neoplastic cells (32% of cases) [124, 126]. In addition, there is an indeterminate type as an uncommon variant (15% of cases) [124, 126]. Interestingly, the highest incidence of GC is frequently found in Eastern Asia especially in China, Eastern Europe and South America, and the lowest in North America and most parts of Africa [126]. A number of studies found unequivocally that miR-25 is overexpressed in human GC cell lines, tissue and serum/plasma samples as well. A mechanistic study by Petrozza et al. found that E2F1 (also called retinoblastoma binding protein 3) induced the overexpression of the miR-106b/25 cluster via its host gene MCM7 [125] (Table 7). Moreover, miR-25 was associated with lymph node metastasis [123] (Table 7).
106b and miR-93 could control E2F1 by a negative feedback loop that might be important in preventing E2F1 self-activation and, possibly, apoptosis [125] (Table 7) (Figure 3). In addition, overexpression of the miR-106b/25 cluster resulted in a decreased response of gastric cancer cells to TGFβ interfering with the synthesis of cell cycle inhibitor p21 (also called CDKN1A) and the pro-apoptotic Bim (also called BCL2L11)[125] (Table 7) (Figure 3). Interestingly, another study using human gastric cell lines also reported that the amplification of MCM7 and its intron miR-25 might be the major molecular switches in the development of gastric cancer [127]. This study reported that MCM7 and miR-25 were able to suppress the adjacent gene, collagen type I alpha 2 chain (Col1a2) as well as the tumor suppressor p53 and activate the proto-oncogene tyrosine-protein kinase c-Src gene in human GC cell lines [127]. Li et al. showed overexpression of miR-25 in plasma and tissue samples of GC patients which promoted gastric cancer migration, invasion and proliferation by directly targeting the tumor suppressor TOB1 (also known as transducer of ERBB2, 1 or transducer of Her1/2) and correlated with poor survival [126] (Table 7) (Figure 3). In contrast, a single nucleotide polymorphism rs41274221 in the mature miR-25 gene was associated with reduced tumor growth and metastasis in GC patients [128]. In this study, the overexpression of miR-25 with AA genotype resulted in the reduced ability of binding on the direct target TOB1 mRNA as compared with GG or GA phenotypes [128] (Table 7) (Figure 3). The reduced binding ability of mir-25 resulted in protective effects in gastric cancer cell lines in this study [128] (Table 7) (Figure 3). Others demonstrated that overexpression of miR-25 promoted cell proliferation, invasion and migration by directly down-regulating the tumor suppressor E3 ubiquitin ligase FBXW7 and up-regulating its substrates including G1/S-specific cyclin E1 (CCNE1) and v-myc avian myelocytomatosis viral oncogene homolog (MYC) in human GC samples and cell lines [129, 130] (Table 7) (Figure 3). It was also reported that miR-25 and miR-107 could simultaneously reduce the expression of large tumor suppressor 2 (LATS2) gene promoting cell growths and invasion in human gastric cancer cell lines [131] (Table 7) (Figure 3). Zhao et al. published that miR-25 may directly target the tumor suppressor reversion-inducing-cysteine-rich protein with kazal motifs (RECK) inducing cell growth and motility in human GC tissues and cell lines [132] (Table 7) (Figure 3). RECK has been reported to suppress matrix metalloproteinases including MMP-2, MMP-9, and MMP-14 which are also involved in angiogenesis and cancer progression related breakdown of the extracellular matrix [132]. Clinical studies have also found that miR-25 is elevated in tissue and/or plasma/serum samples of GC patients (stage I-III) from China [133–136], Korea [137] and Europe [124] (EPIC-EURGAST study).

**Colorectal cancer**

Colorectal cancer is the second most common cancer among men and women worldwide. Mir-25 has been shown to directly target an important factor in inflammatory carcinogenesis, the angiopoietin-like protein 2 and the mitochondrial calcium uptake regulating mitochondrial calcium uniporter in cells and colorectal carcinoma cell lines (Table 7) (Figure 3) [138]. Clinical studies have also found that miR-25 is overexpressed in colorectal cancer tissue and serum samples of Chinese [139, 140] and Japanese patients [141] showing correlation with TNM stage and patient prognosis [140] (Table 7). In contrast, Li et al. found that miR-25 was downregulated and its direct target Smad7 – a TGF-β type 1 receptor superfamily member - was upregulated in human colorectal cancer tissue samples of Chinese patients [142] (Table 7) (Figure 4).

**Hepatocellular carcinoma**

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the third most common cause of cancer-related mortality with the highest incidence in Asia and Africa [143]. A number of studies have unequivocally shown overexpression of miR-25 and the miR-106b/25 cluster in HCC cell lines, clinical HCC tissue, and serum samples. Mir-25 has been reported to reduce apoptosis by directly targeting the pro-apoptotic Bim (also known as BCL2L11) in human liver cell lines and HCC tumor tissues [144]. MiR-25 has also reduced the expression of TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL) via the PTEN/PI3K/Akt/Bad axis in liver cancer stem cells [145], human cholangiocarcinoma cell lines, and tissue samples [146] (Table 8) (Figure 3). Bim has also been shown to be a direct target of miR-25 in ovarian cancer, esophageal adenocarcinoma, and gastric cancer. Overexpression of miR-25 and reduction of TRAIL-induced apoptosis has also been associated with thyroid cancer [147]. Interestingly, WNT/beta-catenin pathway was reported to induce miR-25 expression leading to the repression of its direct target RhoGDI1 and overexpression of the epithelial-mesenchymal transition inducing SNAIL in HCC cell lines and human HCC tissues [148] (Table 8) (Figure 3). Another study using hepatoma and normal hepatic cell lines demonstrated that miR-25 gene and other 4 miRNA genes were in hypomethylation status and these miRNAs were also upregulated in HCC [149] (Table 8) (Figure 3). Overexpression of the miR-106b-25 cluster and repression of its direct target retinoblastoma 1 (RB1) oncogene and histone acetyltransferase (KAT2b) were also reported in regenerating liver after 2/3
### Table 8: The role of miR-25 in hepatocellular carcinoma

| Disease | Species and tissue or cell type | Alteration of miR-25 expression | Method for miR-25 detection | Target gene | Biological function | Method for target validation | Sample size in clinical studies | Ref. |
|---------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|------|
| 1 HCC   | human HCC tissues (Asian), human HCC cell cultures | up-regulation of the miR-106b-25 cluster | qRT-PCR | BIM, E2F1 | apoptosis, cell cycle arrest | LRA, antagonimiR-25 | 55 HCC vs. ADJNTT | [145] |
| 2 HCC   | liver cancer stem cells         | up-regulation                   | qRT-PCR | PTEN      | metastasis and invasion inhibitor | antagonimiR-25          | N/A                          | [146] |
| 3 HCC   | human HCC tissue (Chinese), human HCC cell lines | up-regulation                   | qRT-PCR | RhoGDI1   | metastasis and invasion inhibitor | LRA, WB, siRNA TF, IF    | 35 HCC vs. ADJNTT | [148] |
| 4 HCC   | human HCC cell lines            | up-regulation                   | MeDip chip | N/A | N/A | bioinformatics | N/A                          | [150] |
| 5 HCC   | HCC tissue (Chinese)             | up-regulation                   | qRT-PCR | N/A | N/A | N/A          | 133 HCC vs. ADJNTT | [153] |
| 6 HCC(HBV, HCV) | human BS (Chinese) | up-regulation                   | Solexa sequencing, RT-PCR | N/A | N/A | N/A          | 210 CONT, 135 HBV, 48 HCV, 120 HCC, 23 HBV pos. HCC, 20 liver cirrhosis, 20 chronic hepatitis B, 16 CONT | [154] |
| 7 HCC (HBV) | human BS (Chinese)              | up-regulation                   | qRT-PCR | N/A | N/A | N/A          | 50 HCC vs. 37 cancer free HBV pos. | [149] |
| 8 HCC (HBV) | human HBV pos. HCC tissue human BP (Chinese) | up-regulation                   | Taqman low density array, qRT-PCR | N/A | N/A | N/A          | 120 HCC vs. ADJNTT | [156] |
| 9 HCC (HBV) | human HBV pos. HCC tissue (Chinese), human HCC cell lines | up-regulation of the miR-106b-25 cluster and MCM7 | qRT-PCR | N/A | N/A | N/A          | 15 CAC vs. 4 CONT | [144] |
| 10 HCC  | human HCC cells                | up-regulation                   | qRT-PCR | N/A | N/A | N/A          | 15 CAC vs. 4 CONT | [147] |
| 11 CAC  | human CAC tissue (American), human CAC cell lines | up-regulation                   | qRT-PCR | TRAIL | apoptosis | LRA, IF | 15 CAC vs. 4 CONT | [147] |

**Abbreviations:** ADJNTT: adjacent non-tumorous tissue, BS: blood serum, CAC: cholangiocarcinoma, CONT: control, DLRA: dual luciferase reporter assay, HBV: hepatitis B virus, HCC: hepatocellular carcinoma, HCV: hepatitis C virus, IF: immunofluorescence, IH: immunohistochemistry, LRA: luciferase reporter assay, KO: knock out, OE: overexpression, TF: transfection, TG: transgene, WB: Western blot. The abbreviations in bold are considered as gold standard methods for miRNA target validation.
partial hepatectomy [150] (Table 8) (Figure 3). This observation might have clinical translational value in the renewal of HCC because partial hepatectomy is a commonly performed operation to treat hepatic tumors. Another study reported that miR-25 directly repressed cytochrome P450 2B6. This member of the cytochrome P450 family is responsible for the metabolism of nearly 25% of drugs including several anticancer agents (e.g., tamoxifen, cyclophosphamide, and ifosphamide, etc.) [151] (Table 8) (Figure 3).

A clinical study enrolling 131 Chinese HCC patients has reported that overexpression of miR-25 in human HCC tissue showed a negative correlation with overall survival [152] (Table 8). Another study recruiting 513 subjects from China showed that elevation of miR-25 in blood serum could be a biomarker of HCC [153] (Table 8). Another cohort study enrolling Chinese patients with HBV-related small HCC (23 cases), liver cirrhosis (20 cases), chronic hepatitis B (20 cases) and healthy controls (16 cases) has found that serum miR-25 could be a potential biomarker not only of HBV-related HCC but also of liver cirrhosis and chronic hepatitis B infection [149] (Table 8). Interestingly, clinical studies reported that increased levels of circulating miR-25 were positively correlated with liver diseases in children with cystic fibrosis as well [154] (Table 8). Additional studies reported that the miR-106b-25 cluster or miR-25 alone was overexpressed in HBV positive HCC tissue and serum samples [149, 155, 156] (Table 8). Moreover, transfection of human HCC cells with hepatitis B virus X protein resulted in overexpression of the miR-106b-25 cluster and its host gene MCM7 [157] (Table 8).

Figure 5: MiR-25 acts as a double-edged sword in the development of diverse diseases. ATC: anaplastic thyroid cancer, CAC: cholangiocarcinoma, CNS: central nervous system, CRC: colorectal cancer, DNP: diabetic nephropathy, EAC/BE: esophageal adenocarcinoma/Barrett esophagus, GC: gastric cancer, GBM: glioblastoma multiforme, HCC: hepatocellular carcinoma, LVH: left ventricular hypertrophy, HF: heart failure, I/R: ischemia/reperfusion, NSCLC: non-small cell lung carcinoma, OVC: ovarian cancer, PAH: pulmonary arterial hypertension, PC: prostate cancer. Genes in red bubbles are targets of miR-25 in multiple organs/diseases.
CONCLUSIONS

In the past decade, a growing body of evidence showed that miRNAs are key regulators of different human diseases. These miRNAs could be potentially new therapeutic targets in the future. MiR-25 is expressed in a wide variety of tissues and cell types targeting many mRNAs. In this review, we have shown that both overexpression and repression of miR-25 could result in the development of different diseases (Figure 5). Expressional change of miR-25 seems to act as a double-edged sword in case of three target mRNAs including p57, SERCA2, and TRAIL. Overexpression or repression of these target mRNAs causes different diseases in the same (p57) or different tissues (SERCA2 and TRAIL) (Figure 5). Moreover, there are common target mRNAs of miR-25 in different tissue and cell types regulating the same biological process. The expressional changes of these common target mRNAs could result in different diseases sharing common general pathomechanisms (e.g., NOX4 regulating oxidative stress in heart and kidney diseases, Col1a2 in myocardial fibrosis and tumor metastasis, BIM in different cancer types, etc.) (Figure 5). Therefore, long-term miR-25 based therapy seems to be possible in the diseased target cells. Nevertheless, potentially harmful effects of miR-25 based drugs (antagomIR-25 or miR-25 mimic) could originate not only from off-target side effects (unwanted gene expression changes or chemical toxicity) but also could result from on-target side effects in non-targeted and non-diseased tissues.

On the other hand, different molecular mechanisms may lead to the development of a specific disease and these molecular mechanisms could be regulated by different miRNAs. Therefore, miRNAs could be diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers of certain diseases. MiR-25 seems to be an important biomarker in cancerous diseases, however, expressional level of miR-25 alone does not seem to be sufficient to set up diagnosis or assess disease progression. Expressional change of miR-25 among a specific set of miRNAs or other biomarkers might be more reliable in the diagnosis or prognosis of a specific disease, however, validation of the usefulness of such diagnostic panels still need to be done.
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