Entrapment of curcumin into monoolein-based liquid crystalline nanoparticle dispersion for enhancement of stability and anticancer activity
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Abstract: Despite the promising anticancer potential of curcumin, its therapeutic application has been limited, owing to its poor solubility, bioavailability, and chemical fragility. Therefore, various formulation approaches have been attempted to address these problems. In this study, we entrapped curcumin into monoolein (MO)-based liquid crystalline nanoparticles (LCNs) and evaluated the physicochemical properties and anticancer activity of the LCN dispersion. The results revealed that particles in the curcumin-loaded LCN dispersion were discrete and monodispersed, and that the entrapment efficiency was almost 100%. The stability of curcumin in the dispersion was surprisingly enhanced (about 75% of the curcumin survived after 45 days of storage at 40°C), and the in vitro release of curcumin was sustained (10% or less over 15 days). Fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) analysis using a human colon cancer cell line (HCT116) exhibited 99.1% fluorescence gating for 5 μM curcumin-loaded LCN dispersion compared to 1.36% for the same concentration of the drug in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), indicating markedly enhanced cellular uptake. Consistent with the enhanced cellular uptake of curcumin-loaded LCNs, anticancer activity and cell cycle studies demonstrated apoptosis induction when the cells were treated with the LCN dispersion; however, there was neither noticeable cell death nor significant changes in the cell cycle for the same concentration of the drug in DMSO. In conclusion, entrapping curcumin into MO-based LCNs may provide, in the future, a strategy for overcoming the hurdles associated with both the stability and cellular uptake issues of the drug in the treatment of various cancers.
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Introduction
Cancer remains one of the most devastating diseases to modern society, with an annual incidence of more than 10 million cases.1 Current anticancer treatments consist of surgical interventions, irradiation, and chemotherapy, with the last two treatment modalities causing significant adverse effects, owing to toxicity toward normal cells and tissues. Therefore, developing a chemotherapeutic agent that selectively kills malignant cells while leaving normal cells unharmed would help reduce adverse effects.

Curcumin, a well-known dietary pigment from the turmeric plant (Figure 1), has been found to inhibit the in vivo and in vitro growth of several malignant cell types, showing no harm to normal cells.2–5 Specifically, curcumin-induced apoptosis in human breast tumor cell lines (MCF-7 and MDAMB), and in a human hepatocellular carcinoma cell line (HepG2), in a dose-dependent and time-dependent manner.5 Curcumin has also been shown to possess apoptotic activity against human colon cancer cells,7 stomach and skin tumors,8 and prostate cancer cells.9
However, despite the promising anticancer potential of curcumin, its therapeutic application has been limited because of its poor solubility, bioavailability, and chemical fragility. In order to address these drawbacks, formulation approaches using solid dispersion, liposomes, cyclo-dextrin complexes, and nanoparticles have been reported. Although some progress has been achieved, these approaches have issues of poor drug loading, drug leakage, burst release patterns, and poor physicochemical stability that are unaddressed.

In this context, liquid crystalline nanoparticles (LCNs) have attracted significant attention due to their potential improvements in physicochemical stability, hydrophobic drug loading, sustained release patterns, and a reduction in drug leakage. Earlier, we reported that hydrophobic drugs were efficiently entrapped into monoolein (MO)-based LCNs and that the release of the drugs was sustained up to several weeks without a burst effect. Furthermore, we were able to control the release of the drug by incorporating hydrophilic and hydrophobic additives into the LCN.

In this study, we prepared curcumin-loaded LCNs (LCN-R [liquid crystalline nanoparticles prepared with Cremophor RH40 as a solubilizer]) with the aid of drug solubilizers and investigated the effect of the LCN on cellular uptake, anticancer activity, and cell cycle distribution on a human colon cancer cell line (HCT116). Characterization of the LCN, drug release, and the physicochemical stability of the LCN were also performed.

Materials and methods

Materials

MO was received as a gift from Danisco Ltd. (Tokyo, Japan). Poloxamer 407 (P 407) and Cremophor® RH 40 (RH 40) were purchased from BASF SE (Ludwigshafen, Germany). Curcumin and polyethylene glycol 400 (PEG 400) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Co. (St Louis, MA, USA). The human colon cancer cell line (HCT116) was purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA, USA). Penicillin, streptomycin, and Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI)-1640 medium were purchased from Gibco® (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). A Spectra/Por® dialysis membrane was purchased from Spectrum Laboratories Inc. (Rancho Dominguez, CA, USA). All other chemicals were of reagent grade and were used without further purification.

Solubility of curcumin

The solubility of curcumin in various vehicles was determined by the shake–flask method. An excess amount of curcumin was added into a vial containing 1 mL of the vehicle and was vortexed for 5 minutes. The mixture was shaken in a water bath, while maintaining the temperature at 37°C for 24 hours, and then centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 5 minutes, followed by filtration through a syringe filter to remove the undissolved curcumin particles. The filtrate was diluted with methanol and the amount of solubilized curcumin was measured using high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), as described in the following text.

Preparation of curcumin-loaded LCN dispersion

LCN dispersion was prepared as described previously, with slight modifications. Briefly, 30 mg of curcumin was solubilized into PEG 400, RH 40, or a combination of the two vehicles. The curcumin solution was slowly added to a small vial containing melted MO (1.2 g), while maintaining the temperature at 45°C (solubilizer concentration: 50% with respect to MO). The mixture of MO, curcumin, and the vehicle was added to 30 mL of 5% dextrose solution containing P 407, and then vortexed for 30 seconds. Finally, it was subjected to sonication for 30 minutes using a bath-type sonicator (Cole-Parmer Ultrasonic 8893; Cole-Parmer, Vernon Hills, IL, USA) at 42 KHz to form the LCN dispersion. The LCN dispersion was stored at room temperature for further studies. The compositions of the LCN dispersions are summarized in Table 1.

HPLC

Curcumin was assayed using an HPLC system equipped with an LC-20AD VP pump (Shimadzu, Japan) and SPD-20A ultraviolet–visible spectroscopy detector at 425 nm. The mobile phase consisted of a mixture of acetonitrile and 2% acetic acid (65:35% volume/volume), and the flow rate was set at 0.8 mL/minute. A C18 Inertsil ODS column (GL Sciences Inc., Tokyo, Japan; 150 mm × 4.6 mm, 5 μm) was used, and the injection volume was 20 μL. HPLC assay validation was performed five times a day for 5 consecutive days at a curcumin concentration range of 0.05–50 μg/mL. Rhodamine 6G was used as an internal standard.
| Formulation code | MO (g) | PEG 400 (g) | RH 40 (g) | P 407 (g) | Curcumin (g) | Water (mL) |
|------------------|--------|-------------|-----------|-----------|--------------|------------|
| LCN-P            | 1.2    | 0.6         |           | 0.12      | 0.03         | 30.0       |
| LCN-R            | 1.2    | 0.3         | 0.6       | 0.12      | 0.03         | 30.0       |
| LCN-PR           | 1.2    | 0.3         | 0.3       | 0.12      | 0.03         | 30.0       |

Notes: Three different LCN dispersions were prepared with variations of solubilizer vehicles (ie, PEG 400, RH 40, or a combination of the two). Each value represents the mean ± SD (n=3).

Abbreviations: MO, monolaurin; PEG, polyethylene glycol; RH, Cremophor RH 40; P 407, Poloxamer 407; LCN, liquid crystalline nanoparticles prepared with polyethylene glycol 400 as a solubilizer; LCN-R, liquid crystalline nanoparticles prepared with Cremophor RH 40 as a solubilizer; LCN-PR, liquid crystalline nanoparticles prepared with polyethylene glycol 400 and Cremophor RH 40 as solubilizers; SD, standard deviation; n, number.

Characterization of curcumin-loaded LCN dispersion

Particle size and the polydispersity index (PDI) were determined using a high-performance dynamic light scattering device with Malvern Zetasizer Nano ZS (Malvern Instruments, Malvern, UK) at a fixed angle of 90°. The LCN dispersion was sonicated for 30 seconds and then diluted with distilled water (50 μL → 1.5 mL). The surface charge was measured using an electrophoretic light scattering method at 25°C. All measurements were performed in triplicate.

The entrapment efficiency (EE) of the LCN dispersion was determined by an ultracentrifugation method. The LCN dispersion (1 mL) was centrifuged at 2,500×g for 15 minutes using Amicon® Ultra-4 (molecular weight cut-off: 10,000 g/mol; EMD Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA), and the filtrate containing free curcumin was analyzed using the HPLC system described earlier. Preliminary experiments were performed for the optimization of ultracentrifugation, which proved that 2,500×g for 15 minutes was sufficient for the separation of the unentrapped drug from the entrapped drug. The total amount of curcumin in the LCN dispersion was measured using HPLC after destruction of the LCN dispersion by dilution with methanol (×20). EE was calculated by the following equation:

\[
EE(\%) = 100 \times \frac{(D_t - D_f)}{D_t},
\]

where \( D_t \) and \( D_f \) are the amount of total and free (unentrapped) drug in the LCN dispersion, respectively.

The morphology of the LCN was examined by a transmission electron microscope (TEM) (Hitachi 7600; Hitachi Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). A drop of diluted LCN dispersion was placed on a carbon-coated grid and negatively stained with a 1% phosphotungstic acid solution followed by air drying. TEM images were taken at an accelerating voltage of 100 kV.

The LCN dispersion was put into a vial and stored at two different temperatures (25°C and 40°C). The vials were tightly sealed and covered with aluminum foil to avoid photodegradation of the curcumin. On days 7, 15, 30, and 45, an aliquot of the sample was taken out, and the particle size and surface charge were determined as measures of physical stability of the LCN dispersion. The amount of curcumin remaining in the LCN dispersion was also determined as a measure of chemical stability of the drug.

The in vitro release of LCN was carried out using the Spectra/Por® dialysis membrane bag. The LCN dispersion (1 mL) was placed into the dialysis bag (molecular weight cut-off: 3,500 g/mole) and immersed into 10 mL of release medium (0.01 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.4) in a falcon tube, with shaking at 50 strokes/minute while maintaining the temperature at 37°C using a water bath. At predetermined intervals, the whole medium was withdrawn and replaced with fresh medium to prevent the degradation of curcumin released during the experimental period. The amount of curcumin released was measured by HPLC, as mentioned earlier. At the end of the experiment, the LCN dispersion was withdrawn from the dialysis bag, and the quantity of the remaining curcumin was measured to check the mass balance.

Cellular uptake of curcumin-loaded LCN dispersion

The cellular uptake of the LCN-R dispersion was studied using fluorescence microscopy and fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) analysis with a human colon cancer cell line (HCT116). For fluorescence microscopy, HCT116 cells were seeded in a six-well plate at a density of 2×10⁴ cells per well and incubated for 24 hours to allow attachment. The medium was removed and the cells were washed with Dulbecco’s phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and treated with RPMI-1640 medium containing LCN-R, with curcumin concentrations of 1 μM and 5 μM for 4 hours at 37°C. After treatment for 4 hours, the cells were rinsed three times with PBS to completely wash out the LCN particles remaining on the surface of the cells. Fresh PBS was added to the plate, and the cells were visualized by fluorescence microscopy (Axio Imager.Z1; Carl Zeiss Meditec AG, Jena, Germany). Curcumin dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) was also...
tested as a control. For the FACS analysis, the sample preparation was identical to the fluorescence microscopy study, except for the subsequent trypsinization step for FACS. After trypsinization, the detached cells were collected into a FACS tube with the culture medium, and fluorescence intensity was analyzed at an excitation wavelength of 488 nm using a band pass filter (530/30) in FACScalibur™ flow cytometer (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA).

Anticancer activity of curcumin-loaded LCN dispersion

The anticancer activity of curcumin-loaded LCN was assessed using the 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay on the human colon cancer cell line (HCT116). The cells were seeded in a 96-well plate at a density of 4×10^4 cells per well in RPMI-1640 medium with 10% fetal bovine serum, and they were incubated for 24 hours to allow attachment. Subsequently, the medium was replaced with fresh medium containing LCN dispersion with curcumin concentrations of 1 μM, 5 μM, 10 μM, and 20 μM by serial dilution. After 4 hours, the medium containing the nanoparticles was removed and 100 μL of the MTT solution (0.5 mg/mL) was added to each well; the cells were then placed in a humidified incubator (37°C, 5% CO2) for 4 hours. Then, 100 μL of DMSO was added and the cells were left in a dark area at room temperature. The plate was shaken for 5 minutes on a plate shaker, and the absorbance was measured at 570 nm using a microplate reader. Curcumin dissolved in DMSO was also tested. Cell growth inhibition was calculated by the following equation:

\[ \text{Cell growth inhibition (\%) } = 100 \times \left( \frac{A_0 - A_i}{A_0} \right) \]

where A0 is the absorbance of the cells treated with the LCN-R dispersion and Ai is the absorbance of the cells treated only with the medium. All experiments were repeated six times.

Effect of the curcumin-loaded LCN dispersion on cell cycle distribution

To investigate the effect of curcumin on the cell cycle distribution, the HCT116 cell line and the FACScalibur flow cytometer were used. The cells were seeded in a six-well plate (2×10^4 cells per well) and incubated for 24 hours. The medium was replaced and the cells were incubated with the LCN-R dispersion at 37°C. After 4 hours, the medium was removed, and the cells were washed twice with fresh PBS and collected by trypsinization. The cells were then suspended in 50 μL of an ice-cold PBS buffer solution. After fixation in ice-cold methanol for 1 hour at 4°C, the supernatant was discarded after centrifugation. The cells were washed twice and finally incubated in PBS containing ribonuclease for 30 minutes at 37°C. Cells were then chilled on ice for 10 minutes and stained with propidium iodide (50 μg/mL) for 30 minutes. The cell cycle distribution was studied using 10,000 cells per analysis using a FACScalibur flow cytometer. Data were analyzed using CellQuest™ software (BD Biosciences).

Statistical analysis

All of the data obtained were analyzed by Student’s t-test except for the results for the cell cycle distribution study. The data were presented as the mean ± standard deviation. A value of P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Since curcumin is not soluble in MO, we performed solubility tests to choose the vehicles to solubilize curcumin. The solubility test results showed that curcumin had the highest solubility in PEG 400 (146.26±7.8 mg/mL) followed by RH 40 (41.47±0.74 mg/mL). Therefore, we used the two vehicles to solubilize curcumin and prepare the LCN dispersions of the drug. Figure 2 shows the solubility results of curcumin in the different vehicles examined.

Three different LCN dispersions were prepared by a sonication method using PEG 400 (LCN-P), RH 40 (LCN-R), and a combination of the two vehicles (LCN-PR) as solubilizers of the drug. The mean particle sizes were 271.90±3.77 nm, 233.93±1.66 nm, and 251.43±1.08 nm for LCN-P, LCN-R,
and LCN-PR, respectively (Table 2). The PDI was less than 0.3, as measured by dynamic light scattering, indicating the homogeneous particle size distribution in all formulations. There was a significant difference in particle size among the LCN dispersions prepared with the three different compositions. The EE of curcumin into the LCN was very high, and this was independent of the composition used in this study. For all three LCN formulations prepared with different solubilizers, the EE was as high as 99% or above, as shown in Table 2.

During the stability study, the mean particle size of the LCN dispersion was slightly increased in all formulations, but the size evolution was not significant (Figure 3). Accordingly, the PDI also increased slightly. The surface charge of the LCN dispersion did not significantly change over the 45 days of the stability study period, even at 40°C (Figure 4). The amount of curcumin remaining after a 15-day storage period at 25°C was >90% in LCN-P, while it was <90% in the other two formulations (LCN-R and LCN-PR). Even at an elevated temperature of 40°C for 45 days, it was 75.26%±2.64%.

Table 2 Characterization of curcumin-loaded LCN dispersion

| Formulation code | Particle size (nm) | Surface charge (zeta potential, mV) | EE (%) | Color |
|------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|--------|-------|
| LCN-P            | 271.90±3.77±*      | -22.58±1.38                          | 99.97±0.01 | Yellow |
| LCN-R            | 233.93±1.66b       | -19.86±0.24                          | 99.96±0.01 | Yellow |
| LCN-PR           | 251.43±1.08        | -22.33±0.87                          | 99.98±0.02 | Yellow |

Notes: Each value represents the mean ± SD (n=3). *P<0.001 compared to LCN-R; P<0.05 compared to LCN-PR; P<0.001 compared to LCN-PR.

Abbreviations: LCN, liquid crystalline nanoparticles; EE, entrapment efficiency; LCN-P, liquid crystalline nanoparticles prepared with polyethylene glycol 400 as a solubilizer; LCN-R, liquid crystalline nanoparticles prepared with Cremophor RH 40 as a solubilizer; LCN-PR, liquid crystalline nanoparticles prepared with polyethylene glycol 400 and Cremophor RH 40 as solubilizers; SD, standard deviation; n, number.

Figure 3 Particle size evolution of curcumin-loaded LCN dispersions at 25°C and 40°C.
Notes: Three different LCN dispersions were stored at 25°C and 40°C, and the particle size evolution was determined for 45 days as a measure of physical stability. Each value represents the mean ± standard deviation (number=3). Arrows indicate Y axis value corresponding to particle size/PDI.

Abbreviations: LCN, liquid crystalline nanoparticles; LCN-P, liquid crystalline nanoparticles prepared with polyethylene glycol 400 as a solubilizer; PDI, polydispersity index; LCN-R, liquid crystalline nanoparticles prepared with Cremophor RH 40 as a solubilizer; LCN-PR, liquid crystalline nanoparticles prepared with polyethylene glycol 400 and Cremophor RH 40 as solubilizers.
73.57%±8.00%, and 77.03%±9.58% for LCN-P, LCN-R, and LCN-PR, respectively (Figure 5), while 100% of curcumin was degraded when the drug was dissolved in methanol and stored at the same temperature (data not shown).

The TEM images revealed discrete and monodispersed nanoparticles without aggregation (Figure 6). The morphology of the particles exhibited a multifaceted geometry, mostly being hexagonal, and the particle size was <300 nm.
Curcumin-loaded liquid crystalline nanoparticle dispersion

which is consistent with the dynamic light scattering characterization.

Figure 7 illustrates the in vitro release profile of curcumin from the LCN dispersions prepared with different solubilizers. LCN prepared with PEG 400 (LCN-P) exhibited the slowest release of curcumin, showing that only 2.04% was released and 77.44% remained in the LCN at the end of the release study (20.52% degraded). Although LCN-R showed the fastest release, only 10.32% of curcumin was released and 80.08% remained in the LCN (9.6% degraded). The release of curcumin from the LCN-PR was intermediate (4.25%) between the other two dispersions.

To investigate the cellular uptake of LCN-R, fluorescence microscopy and FACS analysis were used with the curcumin molecule as a fluorescence marker (yellowish green). Figure 8A shows that the uptake of LCN-R by the HCT116 cells was dose-dependent, whereas the drug dissolved in DMSO was not. This was further evidenced by FACS analysis, showing 81.45% gating in curcumin 1 μM as LCN-R, which is 80.09% greater than the drug dissolved in DMSO at the same concentration (1.36%; Figure 8B). When the drug was treated as LCN-R (5 μM), the fluorescence gating was 99.1%.

Figure 9 depicts the cell growth inhibition of curcumin as a measure of anticancer activity at different concentrations of the drug dissolved in DMSO and as LCN-R. Clearly, the LCN-R dispersion exhibited growth inhibition of HCT116 cells in a dose-proportional manner, while the drug dissolved in DMSO did not. Cell growth inhibition of LCN-P, LCN-R, and LCN-PR at 20 μM was 71.74%, 70.37%, and 64.17%, respectively, and there was no significant difference among the formulations.

Figure 10 shows the effect of curcumin treatment on the cell cycle distribution of HCT116 cells at different concentrations of the drug dissolved in DMSO and as LCN-R. Since there was no significant difference identified among

Figure 6 TEM images of curcumin-loaded LCN dispersions (bar =500 nm).

Notes: (A) LCN-P; (B) LCN-R; (C) LCN-PR. Morphology of the three different LCN dispersions was examined by TEM and it revealed discrete, monodispersed, multifaceted (mostly hexagonal) nanoparticles.

Abbreviations: TEM, transmission electron microscope; LCN, liquid crystalline nanoparticles; LCN-P, liquid crystalline nanoparticles prepared with polyethylene glycol 400 as a solubilizer; LCN-R, liquid crystalline nanoparticles prepared with Cremophor RH 40 as a solubilizer; LCN-PR, liquid crystalline nanoparticles prepared with polyethylene glycol 400 and Cremophor RH 40 as solubilizers.

Figure 7 Cumulative in vitro release profile of curcumin from LCN dispersions in phosphate-buffered saline (pH 7.4) at 37°C.

Notes: Inset: percentage of curcumin remaining within the LCN at the end of the release study. The in vitro release of the three curcumin-loaded LCN dispersions was carried out using a dialysis bag (molecular weight cut-off: 3,500 g/mole), and it revealed a sustained release pattern, especially for LCN-P. Each value represents the mean ± standard deviation (n=3).

Abbreviations: LCN, liquid crystalline nanoparticles; LCN-P, liquid crystalline nanoparticles prepared with polyethylene glycol 400 as a solubilizer; LCN-R, liquid crystalline nanoparticles prepared with Cremophor RH 40 as a solubilizer; LCN-PR, liquid crystalline nanoparticles prepared with polyethylene glycol 400 and Cremophor RH 40 as solubilizers; n, number.
Curcumin dissolved in DMSO (1 µm)

Curcumin in LCN-R (1 µm)

Curcumin in LCN-R (5 µm)

Figure 8. Cellular uptake of a curcumin-loaded LCN dispersion (LCN-R) using a human colon cancer cell line (HCT116).

Notes: (A) Fluorescence microscopy image; (B) FACS analysis. The cellular uptake of the curcumin-loaded LCN dispersion was studied using fluorescence microscopy and FACS analysis with a human colon cancer cell line. The uptake of curcumin-loaded LCN by the HCT116 cells occurred in a dose-dependent manner.

Abbreviations: DMSO, dimethyl sulfoxide; FL1-H, fluorescence channel 1 height; LCN-R, liquid crystalline nanoparticles prepared with Cremophor RH 40 as a solubilizer; LCN, liquid crystalline nanoparticles; FACS, fluorescence-activated cell sorting.

Figure 9. Cell growth inhibition of a human colon cancer cell line (HCT116) by curcumin dissolved in DMSO and as LCN dispersions.

Notes: Anticancer activity of curcumin-loaded LCN was investigated with a human colon cancer cell line. All the three LCN dispersions exhibited dose-dependent activity, and the cell growth inhibition was significantly higher than the drug dissolved in DMSO. Each value represents the mean ± standard deviation (n=6). *P<0.001.

Abbreviations: LCN, liquid crystalline nanoparticles; LCN-P, liquid crystalline nanoparticles prepared with polyethylene glycol 400 as a solubilizer; LCN-R, liquid crystalline nanoparticles prepared with Cremophor RH 40 as a solubilizer; LCN-PR, liquid crystalline nanoparticles prepared with polyethylene glycol 400 and Cremophor RH 40 as solubilizers; DMSO, dimethyl sulfoxide; n, number.

Discussion

Curcumin is a potential therapeutic agent for cancer treatment. Despite its promising pharmacological efficacy and safety, the clinical use of the drug has been limited, owing...
**Figure 10** The effect of curcumin on the cell cycle distribution of a human colon cancer cell line (HCT116).

**Notes:** The effect of curcumin-loaded LCN dispersion was investigated with a human colon cancer cell line using FACS analysis. Curcumin induced apoptosis of the cells in a dose-dependent manner when the cells were treated with the drug as an LCN dispersion. The percentage of the sub-G0 population reached 53.09% at 10 μm of curcumin entrapped in the LCN.

**Abbreviations:** DMSO, dimethyl sulfoxide; LCN-R, liquid crystalline nanoparticle dispersion prepared with Cremophor RH 40 as a solubilizer; LCN, liquid crystalline nanoparticles; FACS, fluorescence-activated cell sorting.

to its poor solubility, extremely low bioavailability, short half-life, and chemical fragility. To overcome these limitations, pharmaceutical formulations using nanoparticle-based drug delivery systems have been widely studied; however, development of an effective drug delivery system of curcumin for use as a chemotherapeutic agent has remained a challenge.

We have successfully prepared a water-dispersible formulation of curcumin using an MO-based LCN technology by modifying the process—that is, dissolving the drug into a solubilizer at the beginning of the preparation procedure, followed by the classic method of preparation of LCN. PEG 400 and RH 40 were chosen as solubilizers because they revealed the best results among all the candidate solubilizers examined. It
appears that oxygen atoms in the ethylene oxide moiety in PEG 400 and RH 40 formed hydrogen binding with protons in the three hydroxyl groups of the curcumin molecule.

As far as bioavailability is concerned, the consensus is that the gastrointestinal absorption of curcumin is extremely low. Sharma et al\textsuperscript{15} reported that neither curcumin nor its metabolites (curcumin glucuronide, curcumin sulfate, hexahydrocurcumin, or hexahydrocurcuminol) were found in the plasma or urine after up to 29 days of oral daily dose administration with 36–180 mg of curcumin in patients with colorectal cancer. Studies have reported earlier that this is because of its low bioavailability and short half-life, which is associated with poor absorption.\textsuperscript{24,25} The rapid metabolism of curcumin at intestinal and liver microsomes, coupled with poor absorption, appears to be an insurmountable obstacle for the formulation of an oral route of administration. Therefore, we designed our formulation to fit to parenteral administration using injectable solubilizer molecules and 5% dextrose water as a diluent of the MO-based LCN dispersion. MO, PEG 400, P 407, and RH 40 are generally recognized as safe for pharmaceutical applications.

It is widely known that curcumin undergoes rapid hydrolytic degradation, so <10% of the drug can survive within 1 hour in an aqueous solution with a pH >7.0. In neutral and alkaline conditions, curcumin degrades to feruloyl methane and ferulic acid, which are fragments of the parent molecule.\textsuperscript{30,31} Notably, in our study, curcumin entrapped into our LCN formulation was stable, showing that about 75% remained, even at the end of the stability study (at 45 days) at 40°C. This result is in good agreement with other researchers’ findings, as reported by Esposito et al.\textsuperscript{18} The reason for this remarkable stability enhancement may be due to curcumin molecules being tightly entrapped inside the bicontinuous channel of the crystalline phase of the LCN, which renders protection against an environmental attack. It is also conceivable that water molecules entrapped in the channel of the crystalline phase cannot be mobilized for hydrolytic degradation of the drug. The weak acidic pH (5.4) of our LCN dispersion might have also contributed to stability enhancement.

The EE of curcumin into the LCN dispersion was almost 100%, irrespective of the composition of the formulations examined in this study, which is similar to the results of our previous experiments with other hydrophobic drugs such as finasteride and tacrolimus (Table 2).\textsuperscript{19–21,32} In our earlier studies conducted with hydrophobic drugs, the EE was also close to 100%, indicating nonspecific interactions between the drug molecule and the hydrophobic moiety of MO – notably, hydrophobic binding and a van der Waals interaction.

The in vitro release profile of curcumin from the LCN dispersion was sustained over 15 days (Figure 7). A burst effect was observed during the first day. The burst release amount was <5% of the drug entrapped in the LCN formulation prepared with PEG 400 (LCN-P, LCN-PR) as a solubilizer molecule. However, the burst release in LCN-R was >5% but <10% of the drug entrapped. This finding suggests that the ratio of the two solubilizers could be tailored depending on how much burst release is needed. The weight of the solubilizer used in this study was 50% with respect to MO, but variations in the ratio might also be necessary to meet individual requirements.

The cellular uptake study revealed that the uptake of curcumin was better when HCT116 cells were treated with LCN, as compared to when the cells were treated with curcumin dissolved in DMSO (Figure 8). This finding indicates that curcumin was not internalized by passive diffusion, but by an active cellular mechanism such as endocytosis (Figure 8). Consistent with the findings of other studies,\textsuperscript{33,34} the fluorescence intensity inside the cell was uniform and even throughout the perinuclear region of the cells. Furthermore, FACS analysis showed that the fluorescence intensity rendered by intracellular uptake was proportional to the concentration of curcumin.

We evaluated the effect of the LCN dispersion on anticancer activity and cell cycle distribution using an HCT116 cell line. Cell growth inhibition was significantly higher when the cells were treated with curcumin as LCN, as compared to when the cells were treated with curcumin dissolved in DMSO (P<0.001). This difference may be because the LCN was endocytosed across the cell membrane and diffused throughout the cytoplasm, whereas curcumin itself stays in the cell membrane because it is a liposoluble molecule that is easily incorporated into the cell membrane.\textsuperscript{35,36} In this study, the curcumin treatment time was 4 hours, which is a short time for the drug molecules to permeate into the cell membrane and exert anticancer effects. We chose 4 hours because we were interested in finding anticancer effects rendered by endocytosis of the LCN-R (not the effect rendered by permeation into the cancer cell). Once LCN is taken up, the liquid crystalline structure will be disrupted by lipases in the cytoplasm, and curcumin will be released from the LCN, thereby expressing anticancer activity – that is, the inhibition of cell proliferation and apoptosis induction.\textsuperscript{37,38} This feasibility is supported by the results demonstrated by a cell cycle study, showing a sub-G0 population (apoptosis) of 53.09% at 10 μM of curcumin entrapped in the LCN-R compared to 6.20% in the control. Based on the present study results, it appears that an MO-based
LCN dispersion may be a promising carrier of curcumin, so it can be delivered into cancer cells via intravenous administration or direct injection to a tumor mass. Further research is warranted to substantiate the therapeutic application of the MO-based LCN for the treatment of colon cancer, together with the mechanism behind apoptosis induction. One limitation of this study is that the toxicity control of LCN-R against non-cancerous cells needs to be performed to prove the specificity of LCN on the apoptosis of malignant cell lines.

Conclusion

In conclusion, curcumin was successfully entrapped into an MO-based LCN with almost 100% EE. The LCN dispersion was very stable in terms of its size and surface charge evolution, and it revealed the sustained release of curcumin. In addition, the LCN was efficiently taken up by the HCT116 cell line, and it exhibited markedly enhanced anticancer activity—that is, the inhibition of cell proliferation and apoptosis induction. This finding suggests that the entrapment of curcumin into an MO-based LCN may provide a strategy through which to overcome the hurdles associated with both stability and cellular uptake issues of the drug for the treatment of various cancers in the future.
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