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Abstract—power station is an important basic power generation organization, and its operation status is related to the continuous power generation capacity. At present, a large number of physical network equipment and intelligent equipment are used in pumped storage power station, which makes its data mass growth and its operation state become a difficult problem. Accurate operation monitoring results can provide decision support that power generation planners and government, but also reasonably dispatch corresponding resources. In the past, decision tree algorithm was used in operation condition monitoring, which has the problem of data distortion and affects the accuracy of monitoring results. Based on the above reasons, this paper combines the wavelet function and decision tree algorithm, proposes an improved decision tree algorithm to eliminate redundant data in order, and uses wavelet function to cluster distorted data, so as to improve the accuracy and computational efficiency of the algorithm. Matlab simulation results show that: decision tree algorithm can eliminate 90% of redundant data, reduce the impact of feature data extraction on decision tree. At the same time, the improved accuracy is 98%, the calculation time is less than 25s is better than that, the decision tree algorithm. Therefore, the improved algorithm can optimize the condition monitoring of pumped storage power station.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Pumped storage power generation belongs to the category of primary industry activities, which is not only the guarantee of China’s economic transformation in 2020, but also the basis of the upgrading of the primary industry [1]. The integration and Internet of things not only expands the scope, but realizes its own structure optimization. Continuous monitoring and analysis can help enterprise managers and government departments to make decisions and improve the safety production capacity and comprehensive competitiveness of pumped storage industry [2].

In the past, decision tree algorithm is the main method to monitor the state. the algorithm can monitor and analyze the complex unstable time data in the operation state, it still can not avoid the shortage of data redundancy in matlab algorithm, and can not meet the requirements of massive data calculation in the condition monitoring of power station. Some scholars believe that [3], the state monitoring of pumped storage power station presents the trend of big data, and this trend is increasingly obvious. The accuracy and effectiveness of traditional algorithms such as neural network, vector regression, chaotic time and decision tree in operation state monitoring are decreasing day by day. It is suggested to integrate the above algorithms with redundant data elimination function. Some scholars believe that [4], although the decision tree method reduces the length of the coefficient sequence by 1/2, it does not have time-shift invariance and can not avoid data distortion. Therefore, it proposes to use steady-state and discrete functions to modify, so as to achieve the purpose of reconstructing the operation state monitoring results.

Based on the above reasons, this paper combines the discrete wavelet function and decision tree algorithm, and improves the accuracy of the results by eliminating the redundant data, revising the data and reconstructing the overall data, so as to better monitor the operation status.

II. MATHEMATICAL DESCRIPTION OF THE DEVELOPMENT MATURITY OF POWER STATION CONDITION MONITORING IN THE INTERNET OF THINGS

The key of operation state analysis is to quantify the relevant indicators, and describe the practice link, production content and development direction of mathematically, can pave the way for later monitoring results judgment and analysis.

A. Judgment Process of Condition Monitoring Results

The operation state judgment includes three aspects: the power generation form \( x_i \), the influence of Internet of things on the power generation \( x_j \), and the power generation...
sustainability \( x_k \). The power generation forms include the infrastructure \( x_{12} \), the proportion of different power generation forms \( x_{12} \), the degree of cooperation among wind power, energy storage power station and solar energy \( x_{13} \). The promotion degree of Internet of things generation \( x_{14} \), the integration degree of Internet of things and power generation \( x_{15} \), the promotion level of Internet of things to power generation \( x_{16} \), the cooperation degree among different power generation equipment is \( x_{17} \), the cooperation between different power generation departments is \( x_{18} \).

The above analysis shows that there are many aspects involved in the operation state, and the collected data is massive (cloud data, a large number of applications of intelligent devices), complex (there are a large number of unstructured data), which greatly reduces the "micro" and "overview" effect of the calculation results, resulting in the "distortion" of the monitoring. Since mass and complexity are the inevitable trend of the development [5] is the focus on the problem of "data distortion".

B. Description of Condition Monitoring Data Flow

Stable wavelet function can extract redundant discrete data for comprehensive analysis, and keep the order of data coefficients to reduce the "data distortion" rate [6]. At the same time, the stable wavelet function uses the discrete extraction method to ensure the time shift invariance of the data and complete the single-phase feature extraction of the data.

(1) Assuming that the result of operation monitoring is \( A \) and \( A I = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n\} \), the relationship between \( A \) and the input data is as follows.

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \text{TS}(\cdot) \rightleftharpoons f(\cdot) = \text{invariant} \quad \prod_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{j} x_k = g(\cdot) \sum_{j=1}^{i} x_j = \sum_{k=1}^{i} x_k
\]

(1)

Among them, \( i, j, k \) are natural numbers, \( TS(\cdot) \) is decision tree function, \( f(\cdot) \) is stable wavelet function, \( k-means(\cdot) \) is prior data clustering function, \( g(\cdot) \) is forward function among different input indexes, and \( -g(\cdot) \) is reverse function.

(2) Suppose that the arbitrary result \( a_0 \) in the operation state and the input \( z \) in the decision tree algorithm (the generation form \( x_0 \), the influence of Internet of things on the generation \( x_0 \), and the generation duration \( x_0 \)), \( p \) is the data proportion (structured data \( >70\% \), semi-structured data \( >70\% \), unstructured data \( >70\% \)), \( q \) is the data distortion processing method (reconstruction=1, coefficient order = 2, discrete elimination=3, feature extraction =4, clustering=4), then \( a_0 \) is described as \( E \log A^{p, q, d} \). \( O, P, Q \) are natural numbers.

Among them, in order to reduce the influence of large change of value on \( c_i \), \( log(\cdot) \) function is used to deal with it, and the average value of the function is taken, and it is noted that the function is single-phase ordered calculation.

(3) The power generation form \( x_i \), the influence of Internet of things on power generation \( x_i \), and the power generation sustainability \( x_i \) are fused by \( g(\cdot) \), and the data are analyzed by standardization. The calculation formula is as follows.

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Among them, \( E, \varphi, \iota \) and are the power generation form \( x_i \), the influence of Internet of things on power generation \( x_i \), and the weight coefficient of power generation sustainability \( x_i \).

\[
\Gamma / \Theta = \sum (x_i + x_j + x_k) \text{error adjustment coefficient of the above data}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

reconstruction judgment matrix in decision tree algorithm.

(4) The data in operation are mainly published data, and relevant websites and yearbooks. The calculation formula of steady-state wavelet function as follows:

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{T} A_{i+1} = \sum_{i=j}^{T} A_i \times f(\sum_{i=j}^{T} \hat{A}_{i+1}) \text{the wavelet function,} \sum_{j=1}^{T} \sum_{j=1}^{T} A_{i} \text{is the running state ordered data link at t time,} \sum_{i=1}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{T} \hat{P}_{X_k} \text{is the included data at t time,} \sum_{i=1}^{T} A_{i+1} \text{is the running state data link at T + 1 time}
\]

(5) In order to eliminate redundant data, feature data is proposed to reduce the impact of the attributes of structured, semi-structured and unstructured data on the results of running state [7], and \( K-means \) clustering is needed in the early stage. The purpose of \( K-means \) clustering is to select the clustering center \( S \) on the data entry point of the improved decision tree, and analyze different data through iterative calculation. The specific formula is as follows.

\[
\bar{S} = \lim_{x \to \infty} A_i / \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n} A_i + S_i \right)^2 + c
\]

Among them, \( \bar{S} \) is the coefficient sequence after data
reconstruction, $A_t$ is the result of running state, $s_i^T$ is the clustering point $i$ and the clustering center at time $T$, $\xi$ is the allowable error, the error precision is set by the specific enterprise of pumped storage power station.

III. THE CONSTRUCTION OF OPERATION CONDITION MONITORING MODEL

A. Description Construction of "Data Distortion" Setting Operator in Operation Condition Monitoring

The improved decision tree algorithm takes into account the problem of poor data continuation, which increases the probability of "data distortion" in operation condition monitoring, so it is necessary to build a setting operator to preprocess the "data". Assuming that the setting operator function is $Q(x)$, the calculation formula is as follows.

$$Q(x) = \begin{cases} \text{if } x_{i,j,k} \in L_i, & \text{then max}(Q(x)) \text{ into } L_i, \text{or del } \varepsilon \\ \text{if } x_{i,j,k} \notin L_i, & \text{then max}(Q(x)) \text{ into } L_i, \text{or del } \varepsilon \\ \text{set } \{x_{i,j,k}, \lim \{\max(Q(x)) \text{ into } L_i, \text{or del } \varepsilon \} \} \neq 0, & \text{set } \{x_{i,j,k}, \lim \{\max(Q(x)) \text{ into } L_i, \text{or del } \varepsilon \} \} = 0, \text{del } \varepsilon \\ \end{cases}$$

Through the analysis of the above functions, the data of different centers can be compared $s_i^T$. Among them, $x_{i,j,k}$ is independent of different inputs, $x_{i,j,k}$ is Collaboration data between different inputs; For the comparison of the new operation state data $Q(x) < \max(Q(x))$ and $L_i$ the original sequence data set; If the direction of the included data $L_i$ is different from that of the original middle end mantissa, it is redundant data and eliminated; If it is greater than the end data, the end data will be exchanged with the newly included data [9].

B. The Influence of the Relationship

The influence of Internet of things on the power generation, and the integration operator of the power generation sustainability are built. The influence of the relationship between different input indexes $x_i, x_j$ and $x_k$ on the results should be fully considered, so the fusion operator should be constructed. Assuming that the overall fusion degree of the three is $Z=\{Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_n\}$, and the local fusion degree is $z=\{z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n\}$, then the fusion formula is as follows.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} |Z_i - z_i| = 0 \text{ or } 1$$

Among them, $g(x)$ is the fusion function, $\{Z_{i,j,k} - \max(z_{i,j,k})\}/n$ is the wavelet decomposition of the fusion degree value, $\{Z_{i,j,k} - \min(z_{i,j,k})\}/n$ is the decision matrix of the decomposed data, and $E_i (Z_i, f, z_i)$ is the final result of the fusion operator.

C. The Accuracy Operator of Operation Condition Monitoring

The accuracy of monitoring is an important judgment index of the improved algorithm [10], so to construct the accuracy operator, the specific calculation formula is as follows.

$$\log A_i = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 0 < \sum \log A_i^{a-p,q} / n < 1 \\ \log \max \{ \log A_i^{a-p,q} \} - \log \min \{ \log A_i^{a-p,q} \} \\ \end{array} \right.$$ (7)

Because of the output result of running state $\log A_i^{a-p,q}$, the precision range is between 0 ~ 100%. In the process of continuous calculation, $\lim \sum_{r=1}^{n} \max \{ \log A_i^{a-p,q} \} - \min \{ \log A_i^{a-p,q} \}$ is the difference between the maximum value and $\log A_i^{a-p,q}$, $\log A_i^{a-p,q}$ in the decision matrix of the decomposed data, and $\log A_i^{a-p,q}$, $\log A_i^{a-p,q}$ is the minimum value, which tends to 0, indicating that the accuracy is improved. The smaller the value is, the lower the distortion rate is. In the above calculation process, the data integration requirements should be met, namely $E_i (Z_i, f, z_i)$.

D. The Steps of Improving the Operation Condition Monitoring in Decision Tree Algorithm

Step 1. Firstly, the input data is preprocessed by K-means to eliminate redundant data and illegal data, and the complexity of data is reduced by clustering.

Step 2. The processed data $E_i \log A_i^{a-p,q}$, $A_i=\{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n\}$, are fused $E_i (Z_i, f, z_i)$ and decomposed by stable wavelet

$$\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \end{array}$$

Step 3. Calculate the decomposed data $\log A_i^{a-p,q}$ $\mu \log A_i^{a-p,q}$, and repeat 1-2 steps until the
iteration times meet 100 times, or the data value is illegal, and output the monitoring results.

Step 4. By comparing the improved decision tree algorithm with the decision tree algorithm, the accuracy and computing time of the two algorithms are obtained.

IV. THE ACTUAL CASE OF IMPROVED DECISION TREE IN THE GENERATION TIME

A. The Case Introduction

In order to simplify the calculation process, electric energy is taken as the representative case. The introduction of case related parameters are as follows. The location case is plain area, mountain area, and other area[11]; The monitoring time is from 2019 to 2020; The data sources come from China Power Grid [12], National Bureau of statistics, website, statistical yearbook and domestic capital data in various regions; The data source time is from 2015 to 2018; The initial fusion value is $Z_1=0.7$, $z_1=0.02$; Input index are the power generation form $x_i$, the influence of Internet of things on the power generation $x_j$, and the power generation sustainability $x_k$; Iterations is 100 time [13] and allowable precision of clustering is $\zeta = 0.001$.

B. The "Data Distortion" of Improved Decision Tree Algorithm

The stable wavelet function, $K$-mean clustering and $g(\cdot)$ fusion function are used to process and reconstruct the "data" in the decision tree algorithm. The "1/2" method is used to reduce the redundant data, and the eigenvalues are sorted to extract the distortion of the data. The results are shown in Figure 1.

![Comparison of distortion between improved decision tree and decision tree algorithm](image1)

Fig.1 Comparison of distortion between improved decision tree and decision tree algorithm

It can be seen from Figure 1 that the abnormal variable of the improved decision tree algorithm is below 15%. Although the change trend of the improved decision tree algorithm is the same as that of the decision tree algorithm in the early stage, the overall abnormal variable is significantly lower than that of the decision tree algorithm. The above results show that the pre-processing of operation condition monitoring data, as well as the later fusion and reconstruction calculation, can make up for the "defect" of data disorder extraction in decision tree algorithm, which is consistent with the domestic results [14].

C. The Accuracy of Decision Tree Algorithm for Operation Condition Monitoring

The monitoring accuracy of input indicators (power generation form $x_i$, impact of Internet of things on power generation $x_j$, and power generation sustainability $x_k$) is analyzed and compared with the actual situation from 2019 to 2020.

![Monitoring results of improved decision tree](image2)

Fig. 2 monitoring results of improved decision tree

It can be seen from Figure 2 that the improved decision tree algorithm can better monitor the running state. Although the power generation form is 28 iterations, the impact of Internet of things on the power generation is 49 iterations, and the power generation duration is 62 iterations, the overall monitoring results are consistent with the actual situation.

D. The Time of Operation Condition Monitoring

Compare the time of old algorithm and improved algorithm, and the results are shown in Figure 3.

![The running condition monitoring time of improved decision tree algorithm](image3)

Fig. 3. The running condition monitoring time of improved decision tree algorithm

It can be seen from Figure 3 that the processing time of the improved algorithm is less than 75s, which is significantly lower than the monitoring time of the old, and the curve of the improved is smoother, which indirectly proves that the "data distortion" rate is low and the accuracy is high.
V . CONCLUSION

The Internet of things is an important national basic industry [15,16], which is related to the strategic security of national development [17], and it is required to optimize the power generation and accelerate the development of power station condition monitoring [18]. Based on this background, this paper improves the previous decision tree algorithm, and constructs the improved decision tree algorithm by combining the stable wavelet function and K-mean clustering. Matlab simulation results show that the improved decision tree algorithm can effectively reduce the data distortion (<15%), and the accuracy of operation condition monitoring is more than 98%, which is consistent with the actual test value. At the same time, the running condition monitoring time of the decision tree algorithm is less than 75s, which is significantly better than the decision tree algorithm.
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