INTRODUCTION

Histamine is well known as the scombroid poisoning agent and it is considered as a natural antinutrition factor which is very important in food hygiene (Gonzaga et al., 2009). It is present in various levels in red wine and beer, fish, milk and cheese, sausage, salami, vegetables, and many other popular foods and beverages (Lorenzo et al., 2007). Histamine in food is mainly formed by microorganisms which are able to decarboxylate histidine. Histidine decarboxylation is catalyzed by \( l \)-histidine decarboxylase in the conditions proper for bacterial growth and subsequent decarboxylase activity (Rivas et al., 2008). Food proteolysis during processing or storage.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Histamine is well known as the scombroid poisoning agent and it is considered as a natural antinutrition factor which is very important in food hygiene (Gonzaga et al., 2009). It is present in various levels in red wine and beer, fish, milk and cheese, sausage, salami, vegetables, and many other popular foods and beverages (Lorenzo et al., 2007). Histamine in food is mainly formed by microorganisms which are able to decarboxylate histidine. Histidine decarboxylation is catalyzed by \( l \)-histidine decarboxylase in the conditions proper for bacterial growth and subsequent decarboxylase activity (Rivas et al., 2008). Food proteolysis during processing or storage.
can produce free histidine or it can be found naturally in foods. Therefore, high levels of histamine in food products are usually associated with microbial fermentation. In this sense, we can consider histamine as an index for food hygiene and quality (Maintz & Novak, 2007). In addition, the presence of high amounts of histamine in food is associated with foodborne disease and can be of health concern. Regarding fish consumption, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) suggested that histamine content higher than 200 mg/kg can cause histamine (scombroid) fish poisoning (Lehane & Olley, 2000).

Histamine is physiologically inactivated by histamine oxidase. The oxidative deamination process of histamine is related to the production of hydrogen peroxide, ammonia, and imidazole acetaldehyde (Sekiguchi et al., 2004). This oxidation activity has been characterized in Staph. xylosus, Staph. carnosus, Bacillus amyloliquefaciens, Arthrobacter crystalllopoietes, and Brevibacterium linens (Martuscelli et al., 2000; Sekiguchi et al., 2004; Zaman et al., 2010). Recently, the main strategy of using bacteria with histamine degradation activity has appeared as an approach for reduction of food histamine content (Mah & Hwang, 2009; Naila et al., 2010). Nevertheless, few reports of staphylococcal strains possessing biogenic amine-degrading activity have been described. For instance, Staph. carnosus FS19 was found to possess histamine oxidase capable of degrading histamine up to 29.1% from its initial concentration within 24 h in laboratory experiments (Zaman et al., 2010, 2014). Staph. xylosus S81, isolated from artisanal fermented sausages, and Staph. xylosus isolated from the anchovy, also exhibited histamine degradation activity, degraded the histamine content by about 100% and 38% within 48 h, respectively (Lee et al., 2013; Martuscelli et al., 2000).

This research was conducted to isolate and characterize staphylococcal strains possessing histamine-degrading activity from milk of goats and sheep. Histamine-forming and/or -degrading activity of the isolates was quantified and molecular characteristics of the isolates described to classify them regarding food safety.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Isolation of staphylococcal strains

Milk samples (10 animals/farm) were collected from 50 distinct farms settled in four areas of Fars, Iran. All the farms practiced manual milking. Discarding first streams of milk, 25 ml from both cleaned and disinfected teats was collected in a sterile tube and samples were immediately transferred on ice. Following, samples (10 μl of each) were subcultured on Baird–Parker agar and passed 48 h of incubating at 37°C. Morphological characteristics, gram staining, and catalase and oxidase tests were applied for colony screening. Regarding mentioned properties, colonies representing genus Staphylococcus were picked and incubated in trypticase soy broth (TSB) containing 40% sterile glycerol and stored at −20°C for further tests (Pilipčin cová et al., 2010).

2.2 | Identification of staphylococcal isolates by PCR

The primers used for the identification of staphylococcal strains, Tstag765 and TstaG422, were designed as previously reported (Morot-Bizot et al., 2004) employing a uniplex polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assay. PCR was performed under the following conditions: 3 min at 94°C, then 40 cycles of 1 s at 95°C, 30 s at 55°C, 30 s at 72°C, and a final hold of 3 min at 72°C with a gradient automated thermocycler (Biener XP Cycler). The PCR mixture was analyzed by 1.4% agarose gel electrophoresis in Tris-acetate-EDTA (TAE) (1x).

2.3 | Histamine-degrading activity of isolates

Cells of overnight culture of each isolate were harvested by centrifugation (14,000g for 5 min), washed once with phosphate buffer (0.1 M; pH 7.0), and inoculated in 5 ml of sodium phosphate buffer supplemented with histamine (1 mM). The suspension was then incubated at 37°C for 24 h. Histamine-supplemented medium without bacterial culture was used as negative control, while Staph. xylosus PTCC 1444 (Iranian Research Organization for Research and Technology [IROST], Iran) functioned as positive control (Mah & Hwang, 2009; Martuscelli et al., 2000). After incubation, the culture was centrifuged at 14,000g for 5 min (Eppendorf) at 4°C and the supernatant was filtered with 0.45-μm filter paper (Lee et al., 2013). One milliliter of the culture broth was taken and frozen at −80°C for quantitation of histamine using capillary zone electrophoresis (CZE) method, as described by Numanoğlu et al. (2008).

2.4 | Histamine formation activity of isolates

A similar process was performed for histamine formation activity of isolates. Cells of overnight culture of each isolate (adjusted to 2 × 10⁸ CFU/ml) were washed once with phosphate buffer (0.1 M; pH 7.0), pelleted by centrifugation (14,000g for 5 min), and inoculated in 5 ml of sodium phosphate buffer supplemented with histidine (0.5 mM) for 24 h incubation at 37°C. Five ml of histidine-supplemented phosphate buffer (0.5 mM) with no bacterial culture and one incubated with Staph. epidermidis TYH1, histamine-forming strain isolated from fish-miso in Japan (Yokoi et al., 2011), were used as negative and positive controls, respectively. After incubation, the supernatant was removed by centrifugation (at 14,000g for 5 min) at 4°C and filtered through a 0.45-μm filter (Lee et al., 2013). The supernatants were preserved at −80°C for CZE analysis.

2.5 | Assay for histamine content (CZE analysis of histamine)

The histamine content was determined by the CZE analysis described by Numanoğlu et al. (2008) with some modification. A CZE
apparatus (Prince Autosampler, Model 1-Lift, 450 Series) was applied for histamine analysis. This system was supplied with a thermometer and a UV-visible (UV-vis) detector (set at 25°C and 210 nm, respectively). CZE data were then analyzed by Data Acquisition and Analysis Software, DAX. The separation was accomplished with phosphate buffer (50 mM, pH 2.5) and the injection of samples (hydrodynamically at 50 mbar for 3 s) was performed under constant voltage conditions of 20 KV and normal polarity. The capillary utilized had a proper length of 52 cm and 75 μm of internal diameter (Prince Autosampler). Peak area was used for the determination of histamine in samples.

2.6 Molecular characterization of histamine-degrading staphylococcal isolates

Molecular characteristics of the isolates obtained in the present study were performed by identification of genus and detecting coagulase (coa), thermostable nuclease (nuc), and staphylococcal enterotoxin (SE) (sea, seb, sec, sed, and see) genes.

2.6.1 DNA extraction

Genomic DNA was isolated from staphylococcal strains with histamine-degrading activity, using a DNeasy Blood and Tissue kit (Qiagen GmbH) with modification, based on manufacturer’s instructions.

TABLE 1 Nucleotide sequences and predicted size of polymerase chain reaction (PCR) products for the staphylococcal-specific oligonucleotide primers

| Gene | Primer | Oligonucleotide sequences (5′–3′) | PCR product (bp) | PCR | References |
|------|--------|----------------------------------|------------------|-----|------------|
| Tstag | Tstag422 | GGCCGTGTAGAAGTGCTGAATTCA | 370 | Uniplex | Morot-Bizot et al. (2004) |
|       | Tstag765 | TIACCATCCTGACGTGCTGAA |                  |     |            |
| sea  | SEA-f | CTTTGTGACCTTTTAAACGC | 127 | Multiplex | Barati et al. (2006) |
|      | SEA-r | TCTGAAACCCCTCCAACAAAC |                  |     |            |
| seb  | SEB-f | CGTATGCTGCTTACATGTGCG | 477 | Multiplex | Barati et al. (2006) |
|      | SEB-r | GCAGGTACCTCTATAATGTCGC |                  |     |            |
| sec  | SEC-f | CTCAAGAAGCTGACATAAAAGCTAGG | 271 | Multiplex | Barati et al. (2006) |
|      | SEC-r | TCAAAATCGGATAACATTATGCC |                  |     |            |
| sed  | SED-f | CATTTCTCCTCTTCTTAAACGC | 319 | Multiplex | Barati et al. (2006) |
|      | SED-r | TTAATGCTATATCTTTATAGGTTTACATC |                  |     |            |
| see  | SEE-f | CAGTACCTATACATAGTCACGAA | 178 | Multiplex | Barati et al. (2006) |
|      | SEE-r | TAACCTTCGCTGCCACCTTC |                  |     |            |
| rpo  | RpoB-1418f | CAATTTCATGGAAGACAA | 899 | Uniplex | Mellmann et al. (2006) |
|      | RpoB-3554r | CCGTCCGAGCTGCTGAAAC |                  |     |            |
| nuc  | Nuc-f | GGAGTTAGGTAGTTGCTAGGTT | 270–300 | Uniplex | Brakstad et al. (1992) |
|      | Nuc-r | AGCAGCTCGTCCGACTAACACG |                  |     |            |
| coa  | coa-f | CGACAGCAAGATTCACAACAG | Variable | Uniplex | Raimundo et al. (1999) |
|      | coa-r | AAAGAAAACCTTCACTCATCA |                  |     |            |

2.6.2 Primers

Table 1 exhibits the nucleotide sequences of all PCR primers applied in this study and their respective amplified products. Primers were synthesized by CinnaGen Co.

2.6.3 Uniplex PCR

All the uniplex PCRs employed in the present study were set up in a final volume of 25 μl, containing 50–100 ng genomic DNA. 0.1 μM of the respective primer and 12.5 μl of Taq DNA polymerase 2.0× Master Mix RED (1.5 mM MgCl2; Ampliqon). The amplification was carried out in a gradient automated thermalcycler with a hot bonnet (Bioer XP Cycler) and analyzed by 1.4% agarose gel electrophoresis in TAE (1x).

2.6.4 Identification of Staphylococcus genus

The identities of histamine-degrading isolates were further confirmed by amplifying and sequencing a single 899 bp band of rpoB gene, encoding the beta subunit of RNA polymerase, using primers described by Mellmann et al. (2006). Amplifications were carried out as follows: initial denaturation 94°C for 5 min followed by 35 cycles of denaturation (94°C for 45 s), annealing (52°C for 1 min), elongation (72°C for 90 s), and then a final elongation at 72°C for 10 min (Mellmann et al., 2006). The amplified genes were finally extracted...
from gels using QIAquick PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen), as described by the manufacturer. The pure products were subjected to sequencing (Macrogen). Identification of histamine-degrading bacteria was approved by sequence analyzing, using the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) (National Center for Biotechnology Information [NCBI]).

2.6.5  |  Detection of the coagulase gene

All Staphylococcus isolates were subjected to PCR cycles for coagulase gene (Table 1) (Raimundo et al., 1999), consisting of preheating at 94°C for 45 s, denaturation at 94°C for 20 s, annealing at 57°C for 15 s, and extension at 70°C for 15 s for 30 times. The amplification was carried out with a final extension step at 72°C for 2 min, and the isolates were stored at 4°C until the products were collected. The PCR products were detected by electrophoresis in a 1.4% agarose gel in TAE (1×), as previously described (Ahmadi et al., 2010).

2.6.6  |  Detection of deoxyribonuclease gene

The PCR cycles for the nuc gene consisted of thermal cycles of 94°C for 1 min, 55°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 90 s and were repeated 37 times. The amplifications were performed with a final extension step at 72°C for 2 min, and the isolates were stored at 4°C until the products were collected. The PCR products were detected by electrophoresis in a 1.4% agarose gel in TAE (1×) (Brakstad et al., 1992).

2.6.7  |  Detection of classical enterotoxin genes by multiplex PCR

The presence of classical enterotoxin genes, sea, seb, sec, sed, and see (Table 1; Barati et al., 2006) among the Staphylococcus isolates obtained, was investigated employing a multiplex PCR assay as previously described by Omoe et al. (2005). The reaction mixture (50 μl) containing 0.1 μM of each primer, 50-100 ng genomic DNA, and 25 μl of Taq DNA polymerase 2.0x Master Mix RED was used (1.5 mM MgCl2; Ampliqon). Staph. aureus reference strains, Staph. aureus DSM 19,040 (SEC, SEE) and Staph. aureus DSM 19,041 (SEA, SEB, SED), were used as enterotoxin producers (Rahmdel et al., 2018). The products of PCR were detected by electrophoresis in a 1.4% agarose gel in TAE (1×).

2.7  |  Statistical analysis

All the experiments were carried out in triplicate and the results were expressed as mean values and standard deviations. Data analyses were performed using SPSS software Version 16.0 for Windows. The mean comparison was performed using the Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT) at p < .05 significant difference following analysis of variance (ANOVA).

3  |  RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Based on biochemical and morphological characteristics, we differentiated colonies that represented staphylococcal isolates. With the help of Baird–Parker selective medium, 500 staphylococcal colonies were differentiated. Typical jet black colonies were observed in all the samples screened on Baird–Parker agar plates, and a subset of them revealed colonies surrounded by a clear opaque zone or halo. All 500 isolates showed typical Gram-positive staining, morphological characteristics of cocci in clusters, and were also positive for catalase and negative for oxidase activity. The PCR amplification of the Tstog gene resulted in a single 370 bp product in 243 of the screened isolates.

For histamine-degrading ability, we inoculated resting cells of these 243 different confirmed staphylococcal isolates in 5 ml of sodium phosphate buffer containing 1 mM histamine for 24 h, and Table 2 lists the number of 29 histamine-degrading strains, determined by the CZE method. The histamine levels obtained in the samples were within the standard value (0.05–1.78 mM). The calibration graph was linear in a range of 0.05–1.78 mM with a regression equation, y = 0.000004x (r² = .999). As shown in Table 2, isolate No. 605 exhibited a significantly greater ability to degrade histamine, to 58.33% within 24 h, and was subsequently identified as Staph. epidermidis. The other isolates tested had a range of noticeable effects in degrading histamine. Our positive control, Staph. xylosus, degraded 17.70% of initiate histamine content, whereas the negative control exhibited no histamine degradation.

In this study, nine of 29 histamine-degrading isolates (31.0%) from milk of goats and sheep belonged to Staph. chromogenes, eight (27.6%) to Staph. aureus, four (13.8%) to Staph. haemolyticus, four (13.8%) to Staph. epidermidis, one (3.4%) to Staph. pseudintermedius, one (3.4%) to Staph. agnetis, one (3.4%) to Staph. hyicus, and one (3.4%) to Staph. rostri. The most potent histamine-degrading isolate detected was Staph. epidermidis (isolate No. 605). The observation that histamine-degrading ability varied considerably across Staphylococcus of different species is consistent as per reports from several authors regarding diversity in this key phenotype. Monoamine oxidase and histamine degradation activities were observed by most of the Staph. xylosus strains tested in the study conducted by Martuscelli et al. (2000). The most histamine-degrading activity of Staph. xylosus strains was observed after incubation of 48 h. Similarly, Staph. carnosus FS19 derived from fish sauce reduced histamine content up to 29.1% from its initial concentration within 24 h (Zaman et al., 2010, 2011). In addition, some strains of Staphylococcus were reported for their histamine-degrading enzymes (Mah & Hwang, 2009). Staph. xylosus No. 0538 exhibited the maximum ability for histamine degradation, 38.0% of the histamine content (0.5 mM in phosphate buffer) after an incubation time of 24 h. Zaman et al. (2014) demonstrated that Staph. carnosus...
TABLE 2 Identification of histamine-degrading Staphylococci isolated from sheep and goats milk by capillary zone electrophoresis (CZE) and histamine degradation by the isolates in sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) supplemented with 1 mM histamine after incubation at 37°C for 24 h

| Strain no | Strain species | Histamine residual (mM)a | Histamine degradation (%) |
|-----------|----------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| -         | No bacteriab  | 0.96 ± 0.0002c          | 0                         |
| PTCC 1444 | xylosus        | 0.79 ± 0.0001          | 17.70                     |
| 605       | epidermidis    | 0.40 ± 0.0002          | 58.33                     |
| 1         | hyicus         | 0.52 ± 0.0003          | 45.83                     |
| 35        | epidermidis    | 0.55 ± 0.0012          | 42.70                     |
| 31        | epidermidis    | 0.60 ± 0.0006          | 37.50                     |
| 55        | haemolyticus   | 0.60 ± 0.0012          | 37.50                     |
| 17        | chromogenes    | 0.61 ± 0.0018          | 36.45                     |
| 68        | aureus         | 0.62 ± 0.0002          | 35.41                     |
| 330       | chromogenes    | 0.62 ± 0.0010          | 35.41                     |
| 158       | haemolyticus   | 0.64 ± 0.0008          | 33.33                     |
| 332       | chromogenes    | 0.65 ± 0.0001          | 32.29                     |
| 95        | aureus         | 0.66 ± 0.0006          | 31.25                     |
| 53        | haemolyticus   | 0.68 ± 0.0001          | 29.16                     |
| 13        | rostri         | 0.69 ± 0.0002          | 28.12                     |
| 211       | chromogenes    | 0.69 ± 0.0012          | 28.12                     |
| 85        | epidermidis    | 0.70 ± 0.0003          | 27.08                     |
| 156       | chromogenes    | 0.72 ± 0.0008          | 25.00                     |
| 29        | aureus         | 0.73 ± 0.0006          | 23.95                     |
| 354       | pseudintermedius | 0.74 ± 0.0012      | 22.91                     |
| 91        | chromogenes    | 0.74 ± 0.0003          | 22.91                     |
| 344       | aureus         | 0.75 ± 0.0001          | 21.87                     |
| 65        | chromogenes    | 0.76 ± 0.0009          | 20.83                     |
| 282       | chromogenes    | 0.78 ± 0.0015          | 18.75                     |
| 92        | aureus         | 0.79 ± 0.0009          | 17.70                     |
| 102       | aureus         | 0.79 ± 0.0003          | 17.70                     |
| 355       | agnetis        | 0.83 ± 0.0006          | 13.54                     |
| 22        | aureus         | 0.84 ± 0.0012          | 12.50                     |
| 106       | haemolyticus   | 0.85 ± 0.0009          | 11.45                     |
| 80        | aureus         | 0.85 ± 0.0020          | 11.45                     |
| 266       | chromogenes    | 0.90 ± 0.0012          | 6.25                      |

Note: The numbers represent the mean ± standard deviation of three determinations. Values followed by different letters in the same column are significantly different (p < .05).

TABLE 3 Results of testing Staphylococci isolates for coa, nuc and classical enterotoxin genes derived from the agarose gel analysis of uniplex and multiplex polymerase chain reactions (PCRs)

| Strain no | Strain species | Molecular characterization |
|-----------|----------------|---------------------------|
| 605       | epidermidis    | CoaA, NucA, SEc           |
| 1         | hyicus         | +, −, −                   |
| 35        | epidermidis    | −, +, A                   |
| 31        | epidermidis    | +, +, A,C                 |
| 55        | haemolyticus   | −, +, A,C,E               |
| 17        | chromogenes    | −, −, −                   |
| 68        | aureus         | +, +, C                   |
| 330       | chromogenes    | −, +, C                   |
| 158       | haemolyticus   | −, +, A,B,C,D,E           |
| 332       | chromogenes    | −, +, A,C                 |
| 95        | aureus         | +, +, C                   |
| 53        | haemolyticus   | +, +, C                   |
| 13        | rostri         | −, −, A,E                 |
| 211       | chromogenes    | +, +, C                   |
| 85        | epidermidis    | +, −, −                   |
| 156       | chromogenes    | −, −, C                   |
| 29        | aureus         | +, +, C,E                 |
| 354       | pseudintermedius | +, +, A,B,C,E        |
| 91        | chromogenes    | +, +, C                   |
| 344       | aureus         | +, +, C                   |
| 65        | chromogenes    | −, +, A,C,E,D             |
| 282       | chromogenes    | −, −, C                   |
| 92        | aureus         | +, +, C,E                 |
| 102       | aureus         | +, +, C                   |
| 355       | agnetis        | −, +, B,C                 |
| 22        | aureus         | +, +, A,B                 |
| 106       | haemolyticus   | −, −, C                   |
| 80        | aureus         | +, +, A,B                 |
| 266       | chromogenes    | −, −, C                   |

Note: +, positive; −, negative.

FS19 was able to degrade 15.1% and 13.8% of histamine content. Staph. xylosus S81 and S142, isolated from artisanal fermented sausages, and Staph. xylosus, isolated from the anchovy, showed histamine degradation activity (Lee et al., 2013; Martuscelli et al., 2000). Recently, Sun et al. (2020) investigated the effect of different starter cultures on biogenic amines’ content in a kind of fermented sausage. They observed that Staph. pentosas exhibited oxidase activity and was able to reduce histamine content. Although Staph. chromogenes, Staph. aureus, Staph. haemolyticus, Staph. epidermidis, Staph. pseudintermedius, Staph. agnetis, and Staph. hyicus were not previously reported as histamine-degraders, they accounted for the histamine-degrading isolates in this study. This is the first report to show that other staphylococcal strains other than Staph. xylosus and Staph. carnosus are able to degrade histamine.

Histamine formation activities of 29 isolates, which exhibited histamine-degrading ability, were tested by inoculating resting cells
in 5 ml of sodium phosphate buffer containing 0.5 mM histidine. Isolate No. 53 was the only one with histamine-forming activity, forming histamine to about 0.052 ± 0.0002 mM after incubation of 24 h at 37°C, and was identified as Staph. haemolyticus, also possessing histidine decarboxylase activity. This isolate also degraded 29.16% of initiate histamine content in our assay. All amine oxidase-positive strains showed simultaneous amino acid decarboxylase activity (Voigt & Eitenmiller, 1978). Out of other isolates from our collection tested, 29 isolates, which exhibited histamine-degrading ability, did not show histamine-forming activity. The positive control, Staph. epidermidis TYH1, and negative control exhibited 0.41 ± 0.0003 and 0.0 mM histamine content, respectively.

According to the histamine-forming ability of Staphylococcus strains isolated from various foods, Simonova et al. (2006) reported that Staph. carnosus SO2/F/2/5, isolated from Slovak traditional meat products, was the only one strain which exhibited production of the biogenic amine. Several authors (Bover-Cid et al., 2001; Casaburi et al., 2005; Karovicova & Kohajdova, 2005) reported similar results of biogenic amine production by Staphylococcus strains.

Different methods have been used to analyze the histamine content of food (Bartkiene et al., 2020; Lange & Wittmann, 2002; Lapa-Guimaraes & Pickova, 2004; Tang et al., 2020). The application of CZE for the determination of biogenic amines (including histamine) has been described in a variety of food products in many studies (Er et al., 2014; Kvasnicka & Voldrich, 2006; Sun et al., 2003; Vitali et al., 2013).

The results of molecular identification of histamine-degrading staphylococcal isolates are shown in Table 3. Out of 29 staphylococcal isolates, the coa gene was obtained in 15 strains (51.7%), including 8 Staph. aureus, 2 Staph. chromogenes, 2 Staph. epidermidis, 1 Staph. pseudintermedius, 1 Staph. hyicus, and 1 Staph. haemolyticus. Other isolates were PCR-negative for the coa gene (Figure 1a; Table 3). In our study, the coa gene appeared as one of the two different-sized amplicons, viz., 603 and 720 bp. All isolates were observed to produce only one type of amplicon, either 603 or 720 bp.

Amplification with nuc primers revealed that out of 29 histamine-degrading isolates, 21 were positive (72.4%) for the amplification of a 270–300 bp specific band (Figure 1b), corresponding to the nuc gene, including 8 strains identified as Staph. aureus, 5 Staph. chromogenes, 3 Staph. haemolyticus, 2 Staph. epidermidis, 1 Staph. pseudintermedius, 1 Staph. hyicus, and 1 Staph. agnetis. Eight isolates were nuc PCR-negative strains.

One of the important factors determining the pathogenicity of Staphylococcus is their ability to produce enterotoxins (SEs). SEs are commonly produced by coagulase-positive Staphylococcus sp. but reports of detection of SE genes among coagulase-negative Staphylococcus are also available. Currently, 23 different SEs are known but the 5 classical SEs especially SE type A (SEA) and SE type D (SED) are responsible for causing more than 95% of staphylococcal food poisoning cases (Podkowik et al., 2013). In the present study, multiplex PCR-based screening of the 29 Staphylococcus strains for classical enterotoxin genes revealed the presence of all SEs among at least a subset of isolates (Figure 1c). The SE type C (SEC) enterotoxin was the most commonly detected enterotoxin gene among the isolates followed by SEA, SE type E (SEE), SE type B (SEB), and SED genes. Twenty-one isolates of staphylococcal strains revealed the presence of SEC (72.4%), 11 isolates were positive for SEA (37.9%), SEE (27.6%), SEB (17.2%), and SED (6.9%) were positive in 8, 5, and 2 isolates, respectively, while 3 isolates did not reveal the presence of any of these five classical enterotoxin genes (10.3%). No significant relation was observed between staphylococcal isolates containing enterotoxin gene with histamine-forming and/or -degrading activity of the isolates. Isolate No. 605, Staph. epidermidis, which exhibited a remarkable histamine-degrading activity did not harbor any of the five classical enterotoxin genes. The only one histamine-forming isolate,

![Image](a) Electrophoretic agarose gel images of coa gene polymerase chain reaction (PCR) products of histamine-degrading staphylococcal strains. Lane 1, 8:100 bp ladder, lane 5, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12: positive strains, lane 13: negative control. (b) Electrophoretic agarose gel images of nuc gene PCR products of histamine-degrading staphylococcal strains. Lane 1:100 bp ladder, lane 2, 5: positive strains, lane 6: negative control. (c) Electrophoretic agarose gel images of multiplex PCR amplification of classical staphylococcal enterotoxin (SE) genes in histamine-degrading staphylococcal strains. Lane 1, 5:100 bp ladder, lane 3: positive control, lane 6, 7: staphylococcal enterotoxin type C (SEC)-specific amplicon.
No. 53, identified as Staph. haemolyticus, was positive for SEC. Out of the 26 SE positive isolates, 12 were coagulase-negative Staphylococcus (46.1%) while the remaining 14 were coagulase-positive (53.8%). Recently, Podkowik et al. (2013) reviewed the enterotoxigenic potential of coagulase-negative staphylococci and its role in staphylococcal food poisoning. Production of enterotoxin by coagulase-negative Staphylococcus was also reported by other investigators (Rall et al., 2010). Collery et al. (2008) showed that of the classical enterotoxin genes, SEA, SEB, and SEC were detected commonly among Staph. aureus with SEB the most common, which was likewise observed in our study.

4 | CONCLUSIONS

Among the 29 histamine-degrading bacteria isolated from goats and sheep milk, isolate No. 53, identified as Staph. haemolyticus, was the only one with histamine-forming activity. This is the first report to suggest that seven staphylococcal strains, including Staph. chromogenes, Staph. aureus, Staph. haemolyticus, Staph. epidermidis, Staph. pseudintermedius, Staph. agnetis, and Staph. hyicus were able to degrade histamine to a remarkable content. As much as 51.7% of staphylococcal strains with histamine degradation ability were coagulase-positive, 72.4% nuc-PCR-positive, and 89.6% of these histamine-degrading strains were able to produce 1–5 of classical enterotoxins. Concerning the safety aspect of strains by detection of coa, nuc and enterotoxin genes, we have two strains of Staph. epidermidis and Staph. chromogenes, which did not reveal the presence of coa, nuc, and any of the classical enterotoxin genes, but exhibited a noticeable histamine degradation activity, 58.33% and 36.45% of initiate histamine content within 24 h, respectively. This study suggests that a variety of Staphylococcus sp., isolated from milk of goats and sheep, have histamine-degrading ability, a point of particular interest, both from the point of food safety and quality.

Hence, the finding of this research indicated that, within the staphylococcal strains isolated from milk of goats and sheep, 29 possessed the potential to degrade histamine from 6.25% to 58.33%. However, bacterial histamine oxidase activity in a simple medium of phosphate buffer will be different from their behavior in complex substances, as well as in different incubation times. We observed the histamine degradation amount, two times more than that reported by Zaman et al. (2010), after an incubation time of 24 h. Therefore, the effects of the complexity of culture media and different incubation times on the bacterial histamine degradation should be explored in further researches.
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