Simultaneously targeting DNA damage repair pathway and mTORC1/2 results in small cell lung cancer growth arrest via ER stress-induced apoptosis
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Abstract

Purpose: Small cell lung cancer (SCLC) is highly lethal with no effective therapy. Wee1 kinase inhibitor AZD1775 (MK-1775) and mTOR kinase inhibitor MLN0128 (TAK228) are in clinical trials for relapsed SCLC and recurrent lung cancer, respectively. However, there is no preclinical data combining these two drugs in human cancers.

Methods: In this study, we set to investigate the combinatorial anti-tumor effects of AZD1775 and MLN0128 on two human SCLC cell lines H69 and H82 in vitro and in vivo.

Results: We have found that AZD1775 or MLN0128 treatment results in remarkably suppressed cell proliferation and increased cell death in vitro, what's more, the salient finding here is the potent anti-tumor effect observed in combinatorial treatment in H82 xenograft tumor. Importantly, we have first observed marked induction of ER stress and CHOP-dependent SCLC cell apoptosis in MLN0128 and AZD1775-primed cells.

Conclusion: Our study has first provided preclinical evidence that combination of AZD1775 and MLN0128 could be a novel effective therapy for advanced SCLC patients.
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1. Introduction

Small cell lung cancer (SCLC) is the most lethal form of lung cancer[1]. SCLC patients carry a poor prognosis with a dismal 5-year survival rate of 5%[2]. Genomic studies have revealed that SCLC is highly heterogeneous with near-ubiquitous inactivation of TP53 and RB1; inactivating mutation in the NOTCH family; pathologic amplification of MYC family genes and aberrant activation of PI3K/mTOR pathway[3-5]. Despite these notable discoveries, there is no effective therapy at present for local and metastatic diseases and targeted therapies for SCLC have lagged behind.

Modulation of cell cycle checkpoint machinery is often proposed as a therapeutic strategy to potentiate anticancer therapy[6]. Wee1 is a protein kinase that regulates G2 checkpoint and prevents premature entry into mitosis in response to DNA damage. Recently, Wee1 kinase has received considerable attention as a potential target in cancer therapy[7, 8]. AZD1775 is a potent ATP-competitive inhibitor of the Wee1 kinase, and it abrogates cell cycle checkpoint, and allows premature entry into cell division with unrepaired DNA lesions. Independent of its ability to be a chemotherapy and radiation sensitizer, AZD1775 has demonstrated anti-tumor activities alone or in combination with other drugs in preclinical studies of several human cancers[9-24].
Mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) is one of the main growth regulatory pathways in cells[25]. A recent study examining PI3K/Akt/mTOR pathway across more than 11,000 human cancers representing 32 major types in the TCGA database has shown that a substantial fraction of cancers demonstrating high mTOR pathway activity[26]. In SCLC, 36% of tumors harbor genetic alterations in the PI3K/Akt/mTOR pathway, indicating that this pathway is indeed an attractive therapeutic target[27]. Moreover, PI3K/mTOR pathway inhibitors are identified as potential treatments when screening over 1,000 compounds in SCLC cell lines[28].

There is a growing appreciation for combinatorial therapies to treat human cancers, targeting key pathways and reducing potential drug resistance[29]. A phase II study of AZD1775 monotherapy is underway on relapsed SCLC patients (NCT02688907) and SCLC patients with MYC gene amplification or CDKN2A mutation combined with TP53 mutation (NCT02593019). Recently, an allosteric mTOR inhibitor ridaforolimus is identified as a novel synergistic combination with AZD1775 using an unbiased oncology compound screen in a panel of 39 cancer cell lines[30]. Sen et al have shown that AZD1775 is effective against several SCLC cell lines, whereas SCLC cell lines with high mTOR activity are sensitive to the allosteric mTOR inhibitor RAD001[31]. However, RAD001 has limited clinical efficacy in a phase II clinical trial in previously treated SCLC patients[32]. Importantly, mTOR kinase inhibitor MLN0128 blocks both mTOR complexes and has led to therapeutic benefits in many preclinical models of human cancers[25]. Thus, we hypothesize that defective DNA repair induced by AZD1775 will potentiate the cytotoxic effects of mTOR inhibition and accelerate tumor regression in SCLC.

To this end, we employ AZD1775 and mTOR inhibitor MLN0128 in our experimental models, including the human SCLC cell lines NCI-H69, NCI-H82, and their respective xenograft mouse models. In vitro treatment of H69 and H82 cells with AZD1775 and/or MLN0128 result in suppressed tumor cell proliferation and increased cell death. Treatment with AZD1775 not only causes increased premature mitotic entries but also augmented DNA damage in both cells. ADZ1775 potentiates inhibitory effects of MLN0128 on its downstream pathways. The salient finding in our study is the potent anti-tumor effect observed in combinatorial treatment in H82 xenograft tumor. Interestingly, MLN0128 alone is as effective as the combination treatment in suppressing H69 xenograft tumor growth. Importantly, we have first observed marked induction of ER stress, activation of unfolded protein response (UPR) and the C/EBP homologous protein (CHOP) in MLN0128 and AZD1775-primed cells, leading to CHOP-dependent up-regulation of pro-apoptotic proteins and SCLC cell apoptosis. Taken together, anti-tumor effects of these drugs involves diminished PI3K/mTOR pathway; disrupted cell cycle regulation; activation of ER-stress pathways and its downstream signaling leading to increased apoptosis in SCLC cells. Our data have provided evidence of combination treatment of AZD1775 and MLN0128 in the management of SCLC.

2. Materials and Methods

Compounds and cell lines

MLN0128 and AZD1775 were purchased from Selleck Chemicals. Human SCLC cell lines NCI-H69 (H69), NCI-H82 (H82) and human embryonic kidney (293T/17) cells were purchased from ATCC. H69 and H82 were maintained in RPMI-1640 (ATCC) and 293T/17 cells were cultured in DMEM (Life Technologies). All media was supplemented with 10% FBS (Atlanta Biologicals), penicillin-streptomycin and L-glutamine (Sigma-Aldrich).

Reagents and in vitro analyses

Other kits, supplies, and reagents for in vitro analyses included: Cell Counting Kit-8 (Sigma-Aldrich); MethoCult™ methylcellulose medium (Stem Cell Technologies); Annexin V-FITC, BrdU flow cytometry kits, propidium iodide (BD Biosciences); CHOP shRNA (Sigma-Aldrich); TransIT-LT1™ (Mirus Bio); Lenti-X™ Concentrator (Clontech Laboratories). All reagents used per manufacturer’s protocol.

Please see supplementary materials and methods for detailed information regarding in vitro analyses.

Xenograft studies

Six-week-old female NOD/SCID/IL2rgnull (NSG) mice (Jackson Laboratory) were used to generate SCLC xenograft models. The animal protocol was approved by the IACUC at the University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences. Tumor cells were inoculated in NSG mice as previously described[33, 34]. MLN0128 was formulated in 5% N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP) / 15% polyvinyl pyrrolidone
(PVP) in water, administered via oral gavage at 1mg/kg/day. AZD1775 was formulated in 0.5% methylcellulose, administered via oral gavage at 40 mg/kg Q2D[22, 23]. Tumor volume was calculated as L/2 x W^2, where length (L) is the longer dimension. Antitumor efficacy was determined as a function of tumor growth inhibition (TGI), calculated by the equation [1-(T-T0/C-T0)] x 100, where T and C represent mean size of tumors in treatment (T) group and control (C) groups, respectively, and T0 refers to tumor size at randomization[35].

**Statistical analysis**

Data analysis were performed either in the ANOVA framework or using two-tailed Student’s t-test, as appropriate, with GraphPad Prism software. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1 AZD1775 and MLN0128 inhibit SCLC cell growth in vitro

We first investigated the anti-proliferative effect of AZD1775 and MLN0128 by testing 15 serial concentrations of both inhibitors. Cell viability was measured at 72 hours by CCK-8 assay, an assay for the assessment of cell viability and proliferation[34]. As expected, both inhibitors exhibited strong growth inhibitions in both cell lines in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 1A). The half-maximal growth inhibitory concentration (GI_{50}) for MLN0128 was 6.7nM in H69 cells and 14nM in H82 cells, whereas GI_{50} for AZD1775 was 834nM in H69 cells and 155nM in H82 cells (Figure 1A). GI_{50} was referred for the treatment concentrations in the following experiments. Furthermore, we examined the effects of AZD1775 and MLN0128 combination treatment on SCLC cells viability using CCK-8 as readout, which has more profound inhibitory effect on SCLC cell survival(see Supplemental Figure 1). To complement the results of short-term treatment, we investigated colony growth as a functional readout of long term in vitro effects. As described previously, H69 and H82 cells were plated and grown in methylcellulose media with respective inhibitors, and colonies were counted on day 14. Compared to DMSO-treated plates, single-agent treated plates caused >50% reduction in colony count (Figure 1B and 1C). With combinatorial treatment, the reduction reached 70% and 87% in H69 and H82 cells, respectively (Figure 1C). However, in H69 cells, there was no significant difference in colony growth reduction between MLN0128 alone and MLN0128 in combination with AZD1775. Taken together, these results confirmed that MLN0128 and AZD1775 inhibit H69 and H82 cell growth in both short- and long-term cell culture.

#### 3.2 Augmented SCLC cell death and suppressed cell proliferation upon treatment

We further examined the effects of inhibitors on SCLC cell death and proliferation. As described above, H69 and H82 cells were treated with inhibitors at indicated concentrations for 48 hours, cell death was monitored by Annexin-V and PI staining. Dose-dependent induction of apoptosis was evident in both SCLC cells treated with MLN0128 or AZD1775, albeit in varying degrees (Figure 2A, 2B and supplementary Figure 3A). While H82 cells responded to lower concentrations of AZD1775 (250nM), H69 cells were sensitive at higher concentrations (1000nM). Then, we evaluated the combinatorial effect on SCLC cell death and cell cycle progression. In both H69 and H89 cells, a more potent inhibitory effect was observed with additional AZD1755 in cells treated with MLN0128 and 50nM, respectively (Figure 2A, 2B and supplementary Figure 3A). By BrdU and 7-AAD staining, there were significant decreases of SCLC cells in S-phase (cell proliferation) and increases of cells in subG1 populations (cell death) (Figure 2C, 2D, and supplementary Figure 3B). The effects of single inhibitor treatments on SCLC cell cycle were shown as supplemental Figure 2. Taken together, our data indicate that MLN0128 and AZD1775 treatment increased apoptosis and decreased cell proliferation in SCLC cells.

#### 3.3 mTOR and Wee-1 inhibition suppresses PI3K/mTOR pathway and induces DNA damage in SCLC cells

We next investigated the effects of MLN0128 and AZD1775 on their respective downstream targets. Cell lysates were collected followed by western blot with indicated antibodies. Consistent with published studies[34], MLN0128 suppresses phosphorylation of mTOR and its downstream molecules 4E-BP1 and S6K in both H69 and H82 cells (Figure 3A). Interestingly, addition of AZD1775 significantly suppressed p-mTOR and p-S6K activities in H69 cells, whereas additional AZD1775 attenuated p-Akt activities in both H82 cells (Figure 3A).

To test the effects of AZD1775 and MLN0128 on cell cycle progression and DNA damage, we examined p-Cdc2. Wee1 kinase phosphorylates Cdc2 to initiate G2 checkpoint in response to DNA damage and Y15 phosphorylation of Cdc2 is a marker of Wee-1 kinase activity. As expected, diminished p-Cdc2 was observed upon treatment with AZD1775 in both cell lines (Figure 3B). Moreover, additional MLN0128 treatment profoundly attenuated Wee-1 and Cdc2 phosphorylation, and significantly increased the level of p-γH2AX, indicative of...
persistent unrepaired DNA damage in both cells (Figure 3B). A maximal stress response with increased expression of p-Histone-3 (ser10), a marker of mitosis, was observed in combinatorial treatment, suggesting increased premature mitotic entries (Fig. 3B).

Progression from G2 to mitosis is controlled by the Cdc2/cyclin B complex and decreased protein level of cyclin B is indicative of effective engagement of Wee-1 inhibition as shown in Figure 3B.

**Figure 1. MLN0128 and AZD1775 inhibit SCLC cell proliferation in vitro.** A. Decreased SCLC cell proliferation upon AZD1775 or MLN0128 treatment. H69 and H82 cells were incubated with serial concentrations of MLN0128 or AZD1775 for 72 hours and cell viability was assessed by CCK-8 assay. Average viability of DMSO-treated samples was taken as 100%. Data points represent the mean ± SEM of triplicate experiments. B. Decreased colony formation in SCLC cells treated with AZD1775 or MLN0128 alone or in combination. H69 and H82 cells were plated in methylcellulose medium with DMSO, MLN0128 (50nM), or AZD1775 (1000nM for H69, 250nM for H82), or combination of both inhibitors and cultured for 14 days at 37°C; colonies were counted on Day 14. Left panels (C) show representative images at 40x magnification from different microscopic fields. Right bar graphs (D) indicate the number of colonies at each plate. Data are presented as the mean ± SEM of triplicate experiments. **p < 0.001 compared with DMSO-treated cells. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 single treatment with combination treatment.
To further confirm our findings, we measured the cleavage products of caspase-3 and PARP as markers of apoptosis. Increased induction of cl-caspase-3 and cl-PARP was detected in H69 and H82 cells upon treatment (Figure 3B). Consistently, the combination therapy caused higher levels of cleaved products of caspase-3 and PARP, suggesting an additive effect in causing SCLC cell apoptosis (Figure 3B). Taken together, these data underscore that combinatorial therapies targeting Wee1 and mTOR significantly enhance DNA damage, increase premature mitotic entry and augment apoptosis in H69 and H82 cells.

3.4 CHOP activation and up-regulation of pro-apoptotic proteins upon treatment

Cellular adaption to ER stress is achieved by the activation of the UPR[36, 37]. Under steady-state conditions, glucose-related protein 78 (GRP78, also known as Bip) is rendered inactive by binding to the luminal domains of IRE1, ATF6, and PERK. During ER stress, GRP78/Bip is disassociated and recruited to misfolded proteins, resulting in UPR activation. CHOP is a major pro-apoptotic transcription factor triggered by UPR activation, and CHOP-dependent induction of pro-apoptotic proteins Bim and/or Puma are required for ER stress mediated apoptosis in a diverse range of cell types[38]. We have shown previously that MLN0128 induces Merkel cell carcinoma cell death via up-regulation of Bim. Therefore, it prompted us to examine whether treatment with MLN0128 and/or AZD1775 would induce ER stress and its related changes. Increased GRP78/Bip expression was detected upon single agent treatment and reached to a higher level in combination treatment, indicating an induction of ER stress in SCLC cells (Figure 3C). Increased CHOP expression was also evident upon treatment (Figure 3C). It has been shown that PI3K and mTOR inhibition activates PERK and translation initiation...
factor eIF2α in mammalian cells[39]. Consistently, we observed increased p-PERK and p-eIF2α upon treatment, suggesting UPR activation (Figure 3C). Similar to our previous findings, increased expressions of Bim and Puma were detected upon treatment in SCLC cells (Figure 3D). Interestingly, an increased expression of BimL was detected in H69 cells as compared to increased level of BimEL in H82 cells. Additionally, down-regulated anti-apoptotic proteins Bcl2 and Mcl-1 were also detected (Figure 3D). No changes of expressions in Bak, Bax and Bid protein were detected (Figure 3D). Taken together, both mTOR and Wee-1 inhibition induces ER stress, activates UPR and CHOP, and up-regulates pro-apoptotic proteins Bim and Puma.

3.5 CHOP-mediated SCLC cell apoptosis upon treatment with MLN0128 and AZD1775

Taking advantage of our well-established lentivirus mediated shRNA knockdown assay, we silenced CHOP expression using two shRNA followed by incubation with both inhibitors for 48 hours. Transfection efficiency was more than 80% in both cell lines (Figure 4A). Next, by Annexin-V study, we have found that AZD1775+MLN0128 treatment increased 68.4% cell apoptosis in LUC group of H69 cells, while AZD1775+MLN0128 augmented 1.2% cell apoptosis in CHOP shRNA#1 group, the increased rate is 8.9% in CHOP shRNA#2 group. In H82 cells, the increased percentage of apoptosis in LUC group is...
155.8%, while the number decreased to 16.7% and 9.6% in CHOP shRNA#1 or CHOP shRNA#2 groups. The above results indicate that CHOP plays a key role in AZD1775+MLN0128-induced cell apoptosis. To our knowledge, this is the first report on ER stress-induced CHOP activation and its role in SCLC cell death upon mTOR and Wee-1 inhibition.

3.6 Treatment of MLN0128 and AZD1775 demonstrated potent in vivo anti-tumor activity

To test the in vivo efficacy of AZD1775 and MLN0128, we have established xenograft tumor using H82 and H69 cells in immunodeficient NSG mice (Jackson Laboratory, strain# 5557). In brief, H82 cells and H69 cells were inoculated subcutaneously on the flanks of NSG mice as described previously[33]. When xenograft tumors approached 7 mm in diameter or 100 mm³ in volume, tumor bearing mice were treated with MLN0128, AZD1775 alone or in combination. Animal receiving treatment showed no obvious signs of toxicity based on body weight, food, water intake, activities, and general exam. Antitumor efficacy was determined by tumor growth inhibition (TGI) as described [35]. By the end of treatment, significant tumor repression was evident in both H69 and H82 xenograft tumors (Figure 5A), Representative pictures of xenograft tumors were shown in Supplementary Fig.4. Although AZD1775 alone was able to suppress both xenograft tumors, it is less potent than MLN0128. TGI of 54.6% and 42.1% was observed in H69 and H82 xenograft tumors treated with AZD1775, respectively (Figure 5B). Interestingly, H69 xenograft tumors treated with MLN0128 alone exhibited similar TGI as H69 xenografts receiving combination therapy (Figure 5B, 78.1% vs. 77.6%). In H82 xenograft tumors, both MLN0128 as a single agent and in combination with AZD1775 significantly suppressed tumor growth (Figure 5B, 87.3% vs. 95.9%). By western blot analysis, there was a significant reduction of p-Akt and p-mTOR activity in mice treated with MLN0128 alone and in combination (Figure 5C and 5D). Significantly increased levels of cl-caspase 3 and cl-PARP were detected in both treated xenograft tumors (Figure 5C and 5D). Increased premature mitotic entry as evident by increased p-Histone 3, a marker for mitosis was detected in both treated xenograft tumors, especially in tumors treated with combinatorial therapy (Figure 5C and 5D). Immunohistochemistry using H69 and H82 xenograft tumors have further confirmed suppressed p-mTOR activity, increased mitotic entries (p-Histone 3 positive cells), decreased cell proliferation (Ki67 positive cells) and increased cell apoptosis (cl-caspase -3 positive cells) upon single or combinational treatment (Figure 6A and 6B). These results were very well correlated with tumor regression results obtained with H69 and H82 xenograft mice.

Figure 4. ER stress-induced SCLC cell apoptosis is CHOP dependent. A. H69 and H82 cells were transduced with recombinant lenti-viruses expressing a control shRNA targeting fire fly luciferase (LUC-sh) or shRNA-CHOP #1 or #2 and incubated for 48 hours. Transfected cells were treated with AZD1775 (1000nM for H69, 250nM for H82) and MLN0128 (50nM) for 48 hours, expression of CHOP was determined by Western blot analysis; Tubulin was used as a loading control. B, C. Transfected H69 and H82 cells were treated with MLN0128 (50nM) and AZD1775 (1000nM for H69, 250nM for H82) followed by Annexin-V and PI staining and flow cytometry analysis. Data are presented as the mean ± SEM of quadruple experiments. ***p < 0.0001 compared to cells transfected with control shRNA.
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4. Discussion

SCLC is often metastatic at diagnosis and it is considered the deadliest lung cancer subtypes [40]. It accounts for approximately 15% of all lung cancers. Prognosis is extremely poor for SCLC patient due to lack of effective therapy. Genetic studies have shown that SCLC is highly heterogeneous [3]. Given this clinical scenario, the most effective therapeutic strategies for SCLC will likely be combinatorial in nature targeting multiple key pathways [29]. In this study, we have undertaken such an approach using AZD1775 and MLN0128 that are currently in advanced clinical trials. We have first demonstrated that combinatorial therapy of AZD1775 and MLN0128 is safe and is responsible for significant anti-tumor effect both in vivo and in vitro. Upon treatment, significantly suppressed downstream molecules in the PI3K/Akt/mTOR pathway and in the cell cycle progression were detected in both cell lines and in respective xenograft tumors. Mechanistically, AZD1775 and MLN0128 treatment leads to ER stress, UPR and CHOP activation and subsequent up-regulation of pro-apoptotic proteins in SCLC cells. Collectively, our study has provided preclinical evidence of effective combination therapy of MLN0128 and AZD1775 for SCLC.

Inhibition of Wee-1 kinase activities overrides G2 cell-cycle arrest, causing an accumulation of cells with extensive DNA damage and leading to cell death. Further studies have shown that AZD1775 acts not only as a chemotherapy and radiation sensitizer by allowing increased mitotic entries, but also directly causes DNA damage alone or in combination with other anti-tumor drugs. Consistent with published studies, we have detected increased premature mitotic entry, DNA damage and apoptosis upon treatment with AZD1775 as a single agent in both
SCLC cell lines and xenograft tumors. Recently, Sakre et al have demonstrated that SCLC cells with **RICTOR** copy number gain are sensitive to mTOR kinase inhibition[41]. In that study, H82 cells are used as control cells with normal **RICTOR** copy number gain and it is less sensitive to mTOR kinase inhibitor, including MLN0128, or AZD1775, or combination-treated xenograft tumors. Significant reduction of p-mTOR activity was observed in MLN0128-treated xenograft tumors. Although AZD1775 and mTOR inhibitor combination treatment has been reported in non-small lung cancer treatment[42]. There is no data to show the efficacy of combination of AZD1775 and MLN0128 in small cell lung cancer. An unbiased oncology screen suggests that AZD1775 and an allosteric mTOR inhibitor ridaforolimus is a novel synergistic combination in a panel of 39 human cancer cell lines[30]. However, a phase II study of RAD001, an allosteric mTOR inhibitor, has shown limited single-agent anti-tumor activity in previously treated SCLC[32]. RAD001 (also named Everolimus) and ridaforolimus, has limited clinical efficacy due to its inability to inhibit mTORC2[25]. This current study builds upon these findings and employs mTOR kinase inhibitor MLN0128 that blocks both mTOR complexes. We here demonstrate for the first time that the combinatorial dose regimen of AZD1775 and MLN0128 is tolerated well in mice. Addition of AZD1775 potentiates MLN0128 in suppressing Akt activities in H82 cells and a potent anti-tumor effect is observed upon combinatorial treatment in repressing H82 xenograft tumor growth. MLN0128 abolishes p-Akt activities in Ser473 in H69 cells and xenograft tumors, and MLN0128 alone is almost as effective as the combination treatment, suggesting Akt activities might be a marker for a subset of SCLC patients who are potentially good responders to MLN0128.

**Figure 6. Diminished PI3K/mTOR pathway and increased premature mitotic entry and apoptosis in SCLC xenograft tumors.** A. Immunohistochemical analysis of p-mTOR, Ki67, cleaved caspase-3, and p-histone 3 was performed in H69 and H82 xenograft tumors treated with vehicle, MLN0128, or AZD1775, or combination of both. Scale bars, 50 μm (inset). Significant reduction of Ki67 positive cells (proliferation), increased cl-caspase-3 positive cells (cell death), increased p-Histone 3 (cellular stress) were observed in MLN0128, or AZD1775, or combination-treated xenograft tumors. Significant reduction of p-mTOR activity was observed in MLN0128-treated xenograft tumors. B. Quantitative cell image analysis was carried out on tissue samples viewed at 400x magnification. Percentage of positivity (brown nuclear/cytoplasmic staining) cells were scored. Vehicle-treated xenograft tumors were compared with MLN0128-, or AZD1775-, or combination-treated tumors. **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001 compared with vehicle-treated xenograft tumors.
tumor growth in the SCLC mouse models. Further combinational treatment is safe and it attenuates arrest SCLC cell growth. Our results show that combination therapy using AZD1775 and MLN0128 of ER stress and CHOP activation in SCLC cells, AZD1775. For the first time, we describe an active role in patients who potentially respond to MLN0128 and/or in SCLC.

AZD1775. Induced cell apoptosis. Our data provide the first evidence of ER stress and CHOP-mediated apoptosis in SCLC. In conclusion, we have proposed a rational combination therapy using AZD1775 and MLN0128 targeting Wee1 kinase and mTORC complexes to arrest SCLC cell growth. Our results show that combinatorial treatment is safe and it attenuates tumor growth in the SCLC mouse models. Further studies are warranted to identify biomarkers for patients who potentially respond to MLN0128 and/or AZD1775. For the first time, we describe an active role of ER stress and CHOP activation in SCLC cells, which has opened new avenues for future studies in this area. These data have immediate clinical implications given the recent advancement in the clinical trials with AZD1775 and MLN0128.
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Supplementary materials and methods, figures. http://www.ijbs.com/v14p1221s1.pdf
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