Characterization of Shear-Sensitive Genes in the Normal Rat Aorta Identifies Hand2 as a Major Flow-Responsive Transcription Factor
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Abstract

Objective: Shear forces play a key role in the maintenance of vessel wall integrity. Current understanding regarding shear-dependent gene expression is mainly based on in vitro or in vivo observations with experimentally deranged shear, hence reflecting acute molecular events in relation to flow. Our objective was to combine computational fluid dynamic (CFD) simulations with global microarray analysis to study flow-dependent vessel wall biology in the aortic wall under physiological conditions.

Methods and Results: Male Wistar rats were used. Animal-specific wall shear stress (WSS) magnitude and vector direction were estimated using CFD based on aortic geometry and flow information acquired by magnetic resonance imaging. Two distinct flow pattern regions were identified in the normal rat aortic arch; the distal part of the lesser curvature being exposed to low WSS and a non-uniform vector direction, and a region along the greater curvature being subjected to markedly higher levels of WSS and a uniform vector direction. Microarray analysis identified numerous novel mechanosensitive genes, including Trpc4 and Fgf12, and confirmed well-known ones, e.g. Klf2 and Nrf2. Gene ontology analysis revealed an over-representation of genes involved in transcriptional regulation. The most differentially expressed gene, Hand2, is a transcription factor previously shown to be involved in extracellular matrix remodeling. HAND2 protein was endothelial specific and showed higher expression in the regions exposed to low WSS with disturbed flow.

Conclusions: Microarray analysis validated the CFD-defined WSS regions in the rat aortic arch, and identified numerous novel shear-sensitive genes. Defining the functional importance of these genes in relation to atherosusceptibility may provide important insight into the understanding of vascular pathology.

Introduction

Biomechanical forces, generated by pulsatile blood flow, play a key role in the maintenance of vessel wall integrity as well as in the pathogenesis of vascular disease. Uniform flow generates high magnitudes of wall shear stress (WSS) and induces a distinct anti-proliferative and anti-inflammatory endothelial phenotype, along with induction of atheroprotective genes, such as eNOS and Klf2 [1]. Disturbed flow associated low magnitudes of WSS, on the other hand, renders the endothelium to become dysfunctional by increasing the expression of pro-inflammatory mediators, such as NF-κB, TNF and VCAM1, as well as genes related to pro-oxidation and pro-proliferation [2].

The impact of different patterns of WSS on vascular biology has been extensively studied in vitro or in vivo with experimental deranged shear [3,4,5,6,7]. Although in vitro studies have provided us with considerable useful information regarding shear-dependent mechanisms, experimental cell culture systems are highly simplified and may not fully represent genes/pathways involved in vivo. Indeed, in a study by Ni and colleagues, only about 50% of the mechanosensitive genes found in vivo could be replicated in vitro [8], demonstrating the critical need of in vivo models when studying shear-dependent vascular biology. In vivo models with experimentally deranged shear are limited to evaluation of acute molecular events associated with flow disturbances, and does not allow for the capture of compensatory mechanisms operating over
longer exposure times, which is more relevant for vascular disease progression. A few studies addressing the “chronic” effect of shear stress by the use of image based computational fluid dynamics (CFD) to identify anatomically separated regions being exposed to different flow patterns have been performed [9,10], but these studies have mainly focused on endothelial biology. Although endothelial cells (ECs) are the main sensors of fluid flow, they are in a complex crosswalk with the underlying tissue [11,12,13], in which upcoming pathological changes become mostly apparent, inducing phenotypic changes of vascular smooth muscle cells, enabling migration and extracellular matrix (ECM) remodeling.

In the present study, we combined CFD simulations of WSS with global gene expression analysis with the aim to investigate local shear-dependent vessel wall biology in the aortic arch of rat under physiological conditions. Aortic geometry and ascending aortic flow information were acquired using magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), followed by CFD estimation of WSS magnitude and WSS vector direction. Microarray analysis was carried out using RNA obtained from portions of the entire aortic wall (including intima, media end adventitia) exposed to high and low WSS, respectively. Gene ontology analysis was further performed demonstrating an over-representation of genes involved in transcriptional regulation. In particular, the basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) transcription factor Hand2 was markedly up-regulated in endothelial cells (ECs) are the main sensors of fluid flow, they are in a complex crosswalk with the underlying tissue [11,12,13], in which upcoming pathological changes become mostly apparent, inducing phenotypic changes of vascular smooth muscle cells, enabling migration and extracellular matrix (ECM) remodeling.

Materials and Methods

Animals and Ethics Statement
Male Wistar rats (Taconic, Lille Skensved, Denmark) weighing 400–450 g were used. The experimental protocol was approved by the Regional Ethics Committee for Animal Experiments at Linköping University, Sweden, (Permit Number: 49-07) and strictly followed recommended guidelines for care and treatment of experimental animals. Animals were maintained at Animal Facility, Faculty of Health Sciences, Linköping University under constant environmental temperature (21°C) and 12 h:12 h light/dark cycle, and had free access to standard rodent chow and water.

Experimental Procedure
WSS magnitude and WSS vector direction was determined in totally nine rats. The rats were anesthetized by intraperitoneal injection of a mixture of Rompun (10 mg/kg) and Ketalar (100 mg/kg). A femoral vein was then cannulated for intravenous administration of fluids. Additional doses of anesthetics were given subcutaneously or intravenously at regular intervals. Animals were then transported to the Centre for Medical Imaging and Visualization for determination of aortic geometry and three-dimensional blood flow. Rats were placed prone in the MRI scanner and a heat pack was used to maintain body temperature. Needle electrodes were inserted subcutaneously on all four limbs for registration of ECG, and an air filled cuff was placed under the left subclavian artery (LSA)). Non-structured tetra-based meshes were created using Ansys ICEM 10.0 (Ansys, Inc., Canonsburg, Pennsylvania, USA), with prism layers near the wall to ensure sufficient resolution for velocity gradients. This was done in order to estimate WSS with high accuracy. To ensure mesh independent results, mesh independent tests were performed.

The Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) simulations were performed using the commercial software Ansys FLUENT 12.0.1 (Fluent Inc., Lebanon, New Hampshire, USA). The flow was assumed to be laminar (MRI flow measurement gave a peak systole Reynolds number of approximately 350) and the wall was considered rigid with a no-slip boundary condition. Inflow for all aortas was set to a uniform velocity profile with a temporal distribution of the cardiac cycle based on the MRI measured flow.
of one representative rat. Roughly, the magnitude of WSS is proportional to the flow velocity, meaning that absolute magnitude of WSS would potentially differ if animal-specific flow information were to be used. However, as we are interested in the distribution of WSS, rather than the absolute magnitude, this will not affect the results. The choice of a uniform velocity profile was made based on previous findings in the human aorta, showing only a very small difference in WSS at peak systole between uniform and spatially measured velocity profile [15]. For the four outlets, temporally fixed outflow boundary conditions were assigned, the fractions being 16% (IA), 7% (LCCA), 3% (LSA) and 74% (descending aorta), based on MRI flow measurements of the common carotid of rats. The blood was modeled as an incompressible Newtonian fluid with constant density and dynamic viscosity of 1050 kg/m³ and 0.0102 kg/ms, respectively. In order to eliminate temporal initialization effects, simulations were carried out for three cardiac cycles, using the third cardiac cycle for WSS evaluation. WSS magnitude and WSS vector direction was obtained for each time point during the cardiac cycle; late diastole, early systole, peak systole and late systole, and evaluated extracted from four time points during the cardiac cycle; late diastole, early systole, peak systole and late systole, and evaluated respectively.

Isolation of Total RNA
With guidance from the CFD simulations, regions exposed to high and low WSS, respectively, were cut out and directly put in pre-chilled Lysis Matrix D tubes (MP Biomedicals, Illkirch, France) containing Trizol (Invitrogen, Paisley, Scotland, UK). In order to yield significant amounts of RNA, tissue pieces from the high and low WSS region, respectively, from five animals were pooled to obtain a paired sample (in total, 70 animals were used to obtain 14 pairs (i.e. 28 pools) of high and low WSS). Samples were homogenized with FastPrep. Total RNA was isolated using RNasy Mini kit (Qiagen, Maryland, USA), including DNase treatment for elimination of potential DNA contamination. RNA integrity was analyzed by the use of an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies Inc., PaSo Alto, CA, USA) and quantified using NanoDrop (NanoDrop products, Wilmington, DE, USA).

Quantitative Real-time Polymerase Chain Reaction (QRT-PCR)
A total of 200 ng RNA from each sample was reversed transcribed with random primers and Superscript II (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Amplification of CDNA was performed in 20 µl reactions using 1×TaqMan Universal PCR Mastermix (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) on a StepOnePlus™ Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). Each sample was analyzed in duplicates and standard curve methodology was used for quantification of specific gene targets. The following assay on Demand kits (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) were used: AVPR1A: Rn005363910; EMB: Rn00536813; FGF12: Rn00590748; HAND2: Rn00573515; MOBKL1A: Rn01414217; KRYR3: Rn01486997; SLAIN2: Rn1765810; TNF: Rn00562055; TRPC4: Rn00564835; VCAM1: Rn00563627. TATA-binding protein (TBP, Rn01453646) served as an RNA loading control. Reactions without template were included as negative controls. The thermal protocol were as follows: 50°C for 2 min, 95°C for 10 min, followed by 50 repeats of 95°C for 15 sec, 60°C for 1 min. Expression was measured in 14 pairs of low and high WSS.

Gene Arrays and Analysis of RNA Microarray Data
RNA samples were hybridized and scanned at the Karolinska Institute microarray core facility. Affymetrix Rat Gene 1.1 ST Array Plate system and protocols were used. Transcriptional profiling was performed on all 28 sample pools (14 pairs). Raw data from cel-files was pre-processed using the RMA-algorithm [16], in which the distribution of gene expression levels on individual arrays are normalized to the overall mRNA level distribution. Gene annotation was downloaded from the Affymetrix web page (version RaGene-1.1-st-v1.na31.ra4). Probe sets without annotation and probe sets with mean expression level below 4 were omitted from analysis, resulting in the analysis of 13 968 genes. Principal component analysis (PCA) was performed on the gene expression of all genes, using the made4 package as implemented in R 2.13.0. Molecular function was assigned using databases of Gene Ontology by the use of DAVID algorithm http://david.abcc.ncifcrf.gov/. Multiple testing correction was inherently considered in the DAVID algorithm through the use of Benjamini-Hochberg multiple testing correction. The microarray data is available at Gene Expression Omnibus, accession number GSE40170.

Immunostaining
Immunostaining for HAND2, TRPC4, FGF12, Von Willibrand Factor (vWF) and PECAM1 was performed on deparaffinised tissue sections treated with DIVA solution (Biocare Medical, Concord, CA, USA) using goat-anti-mouse HAnd2 polyclonal antibody (sc-9409, Santa Cruz, UK), goat-anti-human TRPC4 polyclonal antibody (sc-15063, Santa Cruz, UK), goat-anti-human FGF12 polyclonal antibody (sc-16809, Santa Cruz, UK), rabbit-anti-human vWF polyclonal antibody (A0082, DakoCytomation, Glostrup, Denmark) or goat-anti-human PECAM1 polyclonal antibody (sc-1506, Santa Cruz, UK). PBS was included as control. Endogenous peroxidase activity was quenched with 3% hydrogen peroxide for 5 min and nonspecific binding sites were blocked with 20% goat serum. Biotinylated anti-goat or anti-rabbit IgG (Vector, Peterborough, UK) were used as secondary antibodies. Sections were then incubated with Avidin-biotin peroxidase complex (Vectastain ABC kit, Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA) for 30 min in room temperature, followed by visualization using 3,3’-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride (Dako, Glostrup, Denmark). All sections were counterstained with Mayer’s hematoxylin (Histolab Products, Göteborg, Sweden), and blindly evaluated by three independent observers.

Statistical Analysis
Differential expression of all 13 968 genes were investigated using a paired Student’s T-test assuming unequal variance, followed by Bonferroni correction for multiple testing. To determine if our findings were dependant of the amount of SMCs present in each sample, a linear regression analysis was performed including the expression levels of the SMC specific markers SM22 and Cnn1 as covariates, and with WSS as response variable. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 15.0 for Windows software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) or R 2.13.0.

Results
Geometry Data and Blood Flow in the Rat Aorta
Aortic geometry and blood flow velocities were successfully acquired in nine male Wistar rats using a 1.5 T whole body MRI scanner and an eight channel wrist coil. A 3D geometry of one representative rat aorta, with maximum intensity projections in the sagittal, axial and coronal directions is shown in Fig. 1A. Fig. 1B shows a phase-contrast and magnitude image at peak systole. Time-resolved ascending aortic volume flow rate is presented in Fig. 1C. These data constituted the basis for the CFD modeling.
WSS Measurements

WSS magnitude and WSS direction vector were gained from the CFD simulation. The simulation was restricted to the ascending aorta, the aortic arch and a segment of the upper part of the descending aorta. In late diastole, no obvious difference in WSS magnitude was observed along the aortic arch, however, at all three systolic time points, a marked difference in WSS magnitude was seen, being consistently higher in a region along the greater curvature, just after the LSA, and lower in a region in the distal part of the lesser curvature. The difference was most prominent in peak and late systole. The WSS vector direction was at all cardiac time points uniform in high WSS region, whereas in the low WSS region, the WSS vector direction was uniform in late diastole, early systole and peak systole but divergent from the main flow direction in late systole. A 3D distribution of systolic WSS magnitude and WSS vector direction of one representative rat aorta is shown in Fig. 2. Dashed lines mark the high WSS region with a uniform flow pattern (i.e. a uniform WSS vector direction) (red area), and the low WSS region with a disturbed flow pattern (i.e. a non-uniform WSS vector direction) (dark blue area). Figure 2B and C show low and high WSS regions, respectively, visualized from another angle. Of note, WSS magnitude and WSS vector direction were analyzed and evaluated in nine Wistar rats, all showing similar general WSS pattern. The WSS magnitude ranged between 25–0 Pa in the low WSS region with disturbed flow, and between 150–60 Pa in the high WSS region with uniform flow pattern when looking at all nine animals in peak systole. Further, expanding and reducing the geometrical model of the aorta altered the absolute magnitude of WSS, becoming lower in large geometrical models and higher in small geometrical models, but had no impact on either the site of location of the two flow regions or the WSS vector direction. Hence, possible errors introduced by the MRI resolution and/or the segmentation approach will not affect the accuracy of the CFD-identified flow regions.

Confirmation of Flow Regions

In order to confirm accurate identification and isolation of the two flow pattern regions the mRNA expression of TNF and VCAM1, two pro-inflammatory genes known to be up-regulated by low shear stress and disturbed flow [9,17], were analyzed using QRT-PCR. Indeed, the expression of TNF and VCAM1 were higher in the low WSS region than in the high WSS region (P = 0.002 for VCAM1; P = 0.0001 for TNF) (Fig. 3).

Discovery of Mechanosensitive Genes in the Rat Aortic Arch

To further explore the hypothesis that different flow regimes and WSS magnitudes induce distinct patterns of gene expression, mRNA from portions of the entire aortic wall exposed to high and low WSS, respectively, were subjected to global microarray analysis using the Affymetrix Rat Gene 1.1 ST Array. PCA was then applied to the Affymetrix gene array mRNA data, including 28 samples (14 paired samples of high and low WSS) and 13 968 genes. As shown in the plot (Fig. 4), we found that low (closed circles) and high (open circles) WSS samples clearly separated, indicating a strong differential gene expression between the two flow regimes. In total, 781 genes were significantly altered (P<3.6E−6; using Bonferroni correction for multiple testing), of which 387 genes (50%) were up-regulated in the low WSS region. The correlation between fold-change and log P were 0.71, thereby motivating our focus on log P values. A detailed list of all significantly altered genes is shown in Table S1. Further, the microarray analysis confirmed some of the well-known flow-
sensitive genes previously reported (e.g. \textit{klf2} and \textit{Nfe2l2} (\textit{Nrf2})), and identified numerous novel mechanosensitive genes. Correction of the expression values to SMC specific markers (SM22 and calponin), in order to evaluate the potential effect of SMCs outnumbering other cell types, did not change the observed differential expression between high and low WSS samples (data not shown). In addition, we could not detect expression, or see any significant difference in expression, between high and low WSS samples of markers of infiltrating leucocytes (CD3, CD4, CD11b, CD28, CD43, CD16 and CD56) in our microarray material. Hierarchical clustering analysis of the top 50 up- and down-regulated genes demonstrated a high reproducibility of data (Figure S1).

**Functional Annotation of Mechanosensitive Genes**

In order to understand the possible functional importance of the identified mechanosensitive genes, and recognize key processes involved in flow-sensitive gene expression, we performed a gene ontology analysis using all the 781 significantly altered genes as input genes. The result showed that flow under physiological conditions in the rat aortic arch predominantly regulated genes involved in transcriptional regulation ($P = 9.53\times 10^{-8}$; using Benjamini Hochberg correction for multiple testing). Specifically, \textit{Hand2} was the most significantly altered transcription factor.

We next explored the pattern of differential expression for the top significantly altered genes, according to a cut off value of $P \leq 1.0\times 10^{-9}$, by performing a detailed literature search. Table 1

![Figure 2. Distribution of WSS magnitude and vector direction in the rat aortic arch under physiological conditions.](image)

(A) Systolic WSS magnitude and WSS vector direction in the aortic arch (dorsal view) of one representative rat. WSS is presented as colour-coded (Pa), vector direction is presented as arrows. (B) and (C) show low (dark blue) and high (red) WSS regions, respectively, visualized from another angle. Light blue arrows indicate direction of blood flow. Dashed lines in A–C indicate regions isolated for microarray analysis. WSS: wall shear stress.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0052227.g002

![Figure 3. Increased expression of VACM and TNF in regions exposed to low WSS.](image)

Expression of \textit{VCAM1} (A) and \textit{TNF} (B) in high and low systolic WSS regions in the rat aortic arch. Gene expression was analysed by real-time PCR and normalized to \textit{TBP} mRNA expression prior analysis (paired T-test) ($n = 14$ pairs). WSS: wall shear stress.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0052227.g003
including references in Table S2) shows gene symbol and level of significance for the top significantly altered genes, classified according to function. The general expression pattern was largely reminiscent of what has been reported previously, i.e. a marked up-regulation of pro-inflammatory, pro-proliferative and pro-oxidant genes, and down-regulation of anti-inflammatory, anti-proliferative and anti-oxidant related genes in low WSS regions. This further supports the accuracy of our CFD model and isolation strategy. In addition, several genes related to Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signaling were significantly altered between the two flow regions.

Validation of Mechanosensitive Genes

To confirm the microarray results, QRT-PCR was performed on eight selected genes, 4 up-regulated and 4 down-regulated genes. The array data was validated for 6 of the 8 selected genes (75%); \( P = <0.0001 \) for AVPR1A, EMB, FGF12, HAND2, RTR3 and TRPC4, respectively; \( P = 0.678 \) for MOBK1A; \( P = 0.560 \) for SLAIN2 (Figure S2).

To further explore the validity of the flow-sensitive genes discovered in aortic regions exposed to high and low WSS, respectively, we examined protein expression of three of the genes, HAND2, FGF12 and TRPC4, using immunostaining. Paraffin embedded tissue was sectioned so that the two flow regions were located on the same section, therefore having identical staining condition. The mRNA up/down regulation of the three selected genes was confirmed for the protein expression (Fig. 5, \( n = 6 \) for each). HAND2 was specifically expressed in the endothelium, with a markedly stronger staining of HAND2 protein in low WSS regions than in the corresponding high WSS region (Fig. 5E–F). FGF12 protein expression was decreased in the endothelial layer of regions exposed to low WSS (Fig. 5G–H), as opposed to TRPC4 protein, which was higher in the low WSS regions (both in ECs and VSMCs) (Fig. 5I–J). Panel A and B show staining for PECAM1, and panel C and D show staining for vWF in the corresponding flow regions.

| Table 1. Top 32 mechanosensitive genes in the rat aortic arch, classified according to function(s)/properties. |
| --- |
| **Gene symbol** | **Fold change** | **P-value** |
| **CALCIUM SIGNALING** |  |  |
| Up-regulated* |  |  |
| Avpr1a | 2.64 | 1.43E–11 |
| Plce1 | 1.50 | 3.73E–10 |
| Up-regulated* |  |  |
| Trpc4 | 3.38 | 8.72E–11 |
| Ryr3 | 2.92 | 1.65E–10 |
| Rapgef4 | 1.91 | 2.00E–10 |
| **INFLAMMATION AND PROLIFERATION** |  |  |
| Down-regulated* |  |  |
| Mobkl1a | 1.43 | 1.60E–12 |
| Vipr2 | 2.85 | 3.80E–10 |
| Up-regulated* |  |  |
| Mat2a | 1.37 | 3.80E–10 |
| Gapdh | 1.28 | 8.97E–10 |
| Pgc1 | 1.74 | 9.65E–10 |
| **VASCULAR REMODELING, ANGIogenesis** |  |  |
| Down-regulated* |  |  |
| Slain2 | 3.15 | 1.84E–11 |
| Pde10a | 1.71 | 1.70E–10 |
| Figf | 3.63 | 2.08E–10 |
| Heyl | 1.69 | 2.69E–10 |
| Pax9 | 2.69 | 3.84E–10 |
| Tpil | 1.96 | 7.30E–10 |
| Up-regulated* |  |  |
| Hand2 | 4.06 | 8.91E–14 |
| Emb | 2.85 | 9.70E–12 |
| Capns1 | 1.28 | 7.57E–11 |
| **APOTOPSIS, ENDOPLASMATIC RETICULUM** |  |  |
| Down-regulated* |  |  |
| Fgf12 | 2.80 | 2.43E–12 |
| Rpl10a | 1.31 | 2.62E–11 |
| Grsa | 2.20 | 2.17E–10 |
| Prima1 | 1.41 | 2.24E–10 |
| Up-regulated* |  |  |
| Rbb1b | 1.89 | 2.53E–11 |
| Ubb | 1.11 | 2.06E–10 |
| Rnf4 | 1.23 | 3.63E–10 |
| **OTHER** |  |  |
| Down-regulated* |  |  |
| Atm | 1.55 | 7.28E–11 |
| Hoxb6 | 2.30 | 1.86E–10 |
| Hoxa6 | 2.0 | 8.29E–10 |
| Up-regulated* |  |  |
| Ppib | 1.32 | 4.18E–11 |
| Stard9 | 1.54 | 1.22E–10 |
| Ttc39b | 1.89 | 3.54E–10 |

*Down/up-regulated in low wall shear stress regions.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0052227.t001

Figure 4. A strong differential expression between low and high WSS regions. Principal component analysis of Affymetrix Rat Gene 1.1 ST Array probe set data containing a total of 13 968 genes expressed in aortic tissue from 28 rat samples. Closed circles indicate low systolic WSS samples; open circles indicate high systolic WSS samples. The expression data was mean centred and scaled to unit variance prior analysis. WSS: wall shear stress.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0052227.g004
Discussion

The present study investigated flow-dependent gene expression in vivo under physiological conditions. Using CFD, two regions being exposed to different flow patterns were identified in the rat aortic arch; the distal part of the lesser curvature being subjected to low levels of WSS and a disturbed flow pattern, and a region along the greater curvature, just after the left subclavian artery, being subjected to markedly higher levels of WSS and a uniform flow pattern. This is in line with what has been shown in mice [9,18]. Global microarray analysis confirmed some of the previously well-known mechanosensitive genes (e.g. klf2 and Nif2) and identified numerous novel ones, particularly involved in transcriptional regulation, that to our knowledge not have been reported previously. Hand2 was the most highly differentially expressed gene, and immunostaining revealed an endothelial specific expression of HAND2 protein, markedly higher in the regions exposed to low WSS with disturbed flow pattern.

The effect of flow on EC biology has been extensively studied in vitro and have contributed greatly to our understanding of EC response to fluid flow (reviewed by Chiu et al [2]). However, a comparison between in vivo and in vitro endothelial expression profiles demonstrated that there was only about 50% of overlap between the two [8], indicating that in vitro flow models may be highly simplified with non-physiological flow environments and absence of interaction between ECs and other cell layers in the vessel wall affecting the outcome. The important physiological crosstalk between ECs and underlying SMCs has particularly been shown in mice, where EC specific KLF2 knockdown resulted in dysfunctional and disorganized SMCs [11,12]. Importantly, a recently published report has further clarified that this effect was due to the laminar flow induced KLF2 regulation of miRNA produced in ECs, which was then transported to SMCs for modulation of SMC phenotypic change [13]. Also, data from fluid modeling studies indicated that superficial SMCs may be directly exposed to magnitudes of shear stress high enough to modulate their gene expression [19,20], regulate SMC alignment and migration [21]. These data together highlights the significant link between endothelial expression and the underlying SMC layer, and the importance of studying also concomitant events manifested in the underlying tissue in which pathological changes become most apparent.

Apart from a few studies [9,10,22], flow-induced gene expression in animal models have seldom been conducted under chronic and physiological conditions. On the contrary, most studies are performed in animal models with experimentally deranged shear, hence missing compensatory mechanisms operating over longer periods of time [3,4], or in knockout animal models subjected to an atherogenic diet. Or, they lack the characterization of the regional hemodynamic environment prior determination of global gene expression [22], making it impossible to draw conclusions regarding gene expression in relation to specific flow fields. In the present work we combined CFD simulations of WSS with global microarray analysis and immunostaining of specific regions of the complete aortic wall. Certainly, the usage of full thickness vessel samples have the disadvantage of not knowing the exact cellular origin of identified flow-sensitive genes as the vessel wall contains several different cell types. In particular, identification of new endothelial-specific mechanosensitive genes may be problematic. However, what is gained by this approach is a more comprehensive picture of flow-mediated changes within the aortic wall in its natural biological context while all cell layers interact. In order to avoid alterations in gene expression due to the MRI procedure per se, expression analysis was performed in a second set of rats. To ensure the accuracy of CFD simulations, as well as precise isolation of the specific flow pattern regions, the expression of TNF and VCAM1, two pro-inflammatory genes known to be up-regulated by disturbed flow [9,17], was analyzed as controls. This analysis showed that indeed, in the normal rat aortic arch, TNF and VCAM1 gene expression was higher in the low WSS region compared with the high WSS region (Fig. 3), strengthening the precise identification and isolation of flow regions. Principal component analysis was further applied to the obtained microarray data and demonstrated a strong differential gene expression between the two flow pattern regions. Genes involved in transcriptional regulation were particularly regulated by fluid flow, suggesting that a change in the pattern of transcription factor activity may be a key course of action in flow-mediated changes in the aortic arch under physiological conditions. The most significantly altered gene was Hand2. HAND2 belongs to the bHLH family of transcription factors, and we show here that the protein expression of HAND2 was limited to the endothelial layer. Interestingly, Hath6, a protein closely related to HAND2, has also been shown to be EC specific and shear-responsive, and proposed to be important for the maintenance of flow-adaptive endothelial phenotype in vivo [23]. Moreover, Hand2 has previously been reported as differentially expressed between disturbed and undisturbed flow in the normal pig aorta [22] and implicated in ECM remodeling by regulation of MMP activity [24], MMPs function in reorganization of the ECM but can also modulate the activity of several signaling pathways by releasing growth factors or their receptors from the ECM. This has specifically been shown for MMP2, which inhibits Fgf signaling [25]. In line with this, we showed that HAND2 protein expression was markedly higher in regions exposed to low WSS relative to the corresponding high WSS regions (Fig. 5E-F), accompanied by higher expression of MMP2 mRNA (P = 3.31E−05, not significant after Bonferroni correction) and a significantly lower expression of Fgf12 mRNA. A decreased staining of FGF12 protein was also demonstrated in the endothelial layer of regions exposed to low WSS (Fig. 5G-H). Apart from MMP2, other MMPs (MMP11, -16, -17, -25 and -28) as well as their inhibitors, the tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase (TIMP) 1–3 were also differentially expressed between high and low WSS regions.

Using the SA Biosciences’ proprietary database (http://www.sabiosciences.com/chipperp search.php?app = TFBS), several binding sites for transcription factors (e.g. E47, HAND1, CUTL1, ATF2, HNF3β, C-JUN, FOXO1, FOXO3α and FOXO3β) were identified in a 20 kb upstream and 10 kb downstream region of the Hand2 gene, many of which may play a role in the response of Hand2 to shear stress. E.g., CUTL1 [26] and ATF2 have been shown to be shear-responsive and ATF2 is down-regulated by KLF2 in ECs [27]. Further, C-JUN is induced by shear stress [28] and FOXO1 has been identified as a target of KLF4 [29]. More interestingly, using a bioinformatic approach, E47, FOXO1,
FOXO4 (another member of the FOXO family), as well as the HNF3β-family related transcription factor HNF4α was shown to be enriched in the upstream region of 300 genes differentially regulated by shear stress in human ECs [30]. Although these in vitro studies were performed in human ECs, it is reasonable to believe that these transcription factors also bind to the Hand2 promoter in rat, accounting for Hand2 regulation in response to shear stress.

In order to further explore the pattern of differential expression, a detailed literature search was performed for the top shear-sensitive genes. The general expression pattern of these were largely reminiscent of what has previously been reported for endothelial and medial cells exposed to different types of flow, i.e. up-regulation of pro-inflammatory, pro-proliferative and pro-oxidant genes, and down-regulation of anti-inflammatory, anti-proliferative and anti-oxidant related genes in regions exposed to low WSS [1,2]. A differential expression of two of the major shear-induced transcription factors, klf2 and Nf2 (also designated Nf222) was observed (P = 0.002067 for Klf2; P = 9.59E-06 for Nf2), although not fulfilling the stringent Bonferroni correction for multiple testing. klf2, which is induced by atheroprotective flow [31], has been shown to enhance the nuclear localization of Nf2, and together they explain ~70% of the shear-sensitive gene expression variation in ECs [32,33]. Further, a differential expression of genes related to endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress was observed between high and low WSS regions. shear stress has previously been shown to differentially regulate genes associated with ER stress in vivo, with the induction of unfolded protein response being more prominent in atherosusceptible regions [34]. Interestingly, Prima1, one of the genes significantly altered, has recently been robustly associated with carotid intima-media thickness in patients with atherosclerosis [35]. Moreover, the expression of Figf (VEGF) was down-regulated in regions exposed to low WSS, which may seem counterintuitive as Figf has also been shown to be down-regulated in some type of cancers [37], where a pro-angiogenic state would be expected. This discrepancy may be explained by the fact that in vivo, several different members of the VEGF family are expressed in the cells, and that the complex process of angiogenesis is normally the outcome of a balance between all these members. In addition, Hand2 has been implicated in the regulation of angiogenesis by modulating VEGF signaling [38].

A number of genes related to Ca2+ signaling were significantly altered. Influx of calcium is an early response to increased shear stress, leading to elevated levels of cytosolic calcium [39,40] with subsequent nitric oxide production. Different types of flow patterns are however expected to have different effect on the Ca2+ gradient [41]. TRPC4, the expression of which was up-regulated in the low WSS region both at mRNA and protein level (Fig. 5I–J), converts a variety of cellular signals into Ca2+ influx via activation of phospholipase C [46], and AVP induced Ca2+ oscillation is mediated through the interplay between Ca2+ release via RyRs and Ca2+ influx [47]. Taken together, in vascular areas exposed to low WSS the increased expression of TRPC4 in the endothelium and SMCs, as well as other genes related to calcium signaling may enhance vascular remodeling and paracelluar signaling, resulting in the disruption of vessel integrity and vascular dysfunction in these areas.

In the present study, a 1.5 T whole body MRI scanner was used to assess aortic geometry and time-resolved aortic blood flow information. Often, dedicated high field MRI systems are used for this purpose potentially providing better signal to noise ratio. However, in contrast-enhanced angiography, a short acquisition time at the time of contrast agent arrival is the most crucial factor. By exploiting the parallel imaging capabilities of the used receiver coil the acquisition time could be minimized. Time-resolved MRI assessment of blood velocity in rats is challenging due to limited spatial resolution and high-frequency physiological parameters. High blood velocities through small anatomies can result in displacement artifacts and signal loss in the MRI data. In the present study, signal loss was minimized by experimentally adjusting the imaging location. Displacement artifacts were limited by minimizing the echo time (TE). The obtained TE (3.5 ms) is in line with rodent studies on dedicated high field MRI systems [48]. Furthermore, using phase contrast MRI for the measurement of flow velocities, instead of e.g. ultrasound based technology, provides a high spatial resolution of velocity gradients, which in turn enables more accurate CFD simulations.

In summary, the present study investigated flow dependent gene expression in the rat aortic arch under physiological conditions. Using a CFD approach, two regions being exposed to different flow patterns were identified. Microarray analysis validated the CFD-defined flow regions and revealed a strong differential expression between high and low WSS regions, particularly associated with transcriptional regulation. Several novel mechanosensitive genes were identified, three of which were validated for protein expression. Further studies addressing the importance of specific genes in relation to atherosusceptibility are required, and may provide new insight into the understanding of flow-mediated vascular pathology.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Analysis of hierarchical clustering of the top 50 up- and down-regulated mechanosensitive genes shown as heat map. WSS: wall shear stress.

Figure S2 Expression of HAND2, FGF12, EMB, AVPR1A, RYR3, TRPC4, MOBK1A and SLAIN2 in high and low WSS regions in the rat aortic arch. Gene expression was analysed by real-time PCR and normalized to TBP mRNA expression. 

|    | P < 0.0001; ns: not significant. WSS: wall shear stress. |
|----|------------------------------------------------------|

Table S1 Genes differentially expressed between high and low wall shear stress regions.

Table S2 Top 32 mechanosensitive genes in the rat aorta, classified according to function/properties (same as Table I in manuscript, but with function(s)/properties and references).
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