Biosynthesis of Silver Nanoparticles Using Orange Peel Extract for Application in Catalytic Degradation of Methylene Blue Dye
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Interest in the biosynthesis of silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) has been steadily increasing primarily due to their numerous applications in various fields, low-cost, use of non-toxic environmentally-friendly materials and easy implementation. This study focused on the biosynthesis of AgNPs using orange peel extract (OPE), optimization of process conditions, and application in catalytic degradation of methylene blue (MB) dye used in the textile industry. A central composite design in response surface methodology resulted in optimum conditions of 0.0075 g dry peel/mL for OPE concentration, pH of 11 and 1.5 mM silver nitrate concentration. The optimum conditions for the response variables corresponded to the peak absorbance of 0.79 and SPR wavelength of 403.8 nm in UV-vis spectra, and minimum particle size of 12.9 nm. In addition, peak absorbance and SPR wavelength appeared to be related to the size of the AgNPs. A full-factorial design for the catalytic degradation of MB dye by the biosynthesized AgNPs for 1 h indicated the maximum influence of AgNPs compared to the concentrations of MB dye and NaBH₄ in decreasing order. The MB dye was reduced rapidly with NaBH₄ in the presence of AgNPs due to their catalytic action. The findings of the study show the potential of OPE for the biosynthesis of AgNPs with excellent catalytic activity for the treatment of MB dye in industrial effluent.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Dyes are a major class of synthetic organic compounds released by many industries including paper, plastic, leather, food, and cosmetics. (Husain, 2010; Zollinger, 1987). Methylene blue (MB) is widely used in manufacturing of paints and printing inks, paper and plastics (Nasuha et al., 2010). Dyes lead to the formation of harmful by-products in industrial wastewater that ultimately cause significant damage to the aquatic environment (Sabouri et al., 2020). Conventional water treatment methods are not very effective for the degradation of dyes due to their stable and complex structure. Therefore, new techniques are needed to remove such contaminants from the wastewater or convert them into harmless products.

Nanotechnology has attracted much interest due to the wide range of potential applications such as catalysis, imaging, biological product development, drug delivery, antimicrobial activity, and pollution prevention. (Khodadadi et al., 2017; Ndolomingo et al., 2020; Jamkhande et al., 2019). Among a large number of materials used in nanotechnology, silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) have gained prominence due to their excellent properties and good catalytic activity (Bhattarai et al., 2018; Rostami-Vartooni et al., 2016) and can be synthesized by physical, chemical, and biological methods (Xu et al., 2020; Shanmuganathan et al., 2019). Biological methods are considered superior to the physical and chemical methods due to their simplicity, low cost and eco-friendliness (Patil et al., 2012; Menon et al., 2019).

The biosynthesis of AgNPs using plant extracts both as reducing and stabilizing agents offers distinct advantages of nontoxicity, simplicity, and cost-effectiveness (Ahmad et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2020). The effects of parameters such as plant extract concentration, silver nitrate (AgNO₃) concentration,
temperature, and time on the biosynthesized AgNPs have been studied using the response surface methodology (RSM) (Heydari and Zaryabi, 2018; Biswas and Mulaba-Bafubiandi, 2016; Chinnasamy et al., 2017; Nikaeen et al., 2020).

The application of AgNPs in catalytic dye degradation has been reported in the presence of NaBH₄ (Bonnia et al., 2016; Indana et al., 2016; Saha et al., 2017; Suvith and Philip, 2014) and when used alone (Vanaja et al., 2014; Bhakya et al., 2015; Jyoti and Singh, 2016; Vidhu and Philip, 2014). The size of AgNPs appears to affect their catalytic activity in dye degradation (Suvith and Philip, 2014; Jana et al., 2000).

Orange is a popular citrus fruit product with a global production of about 48.8 million tons in 2015-2016 (Bátori et al., 2017). In Thailand, tangerine is the most important type of citrus fruit contributing about 76% of total production (Sethpakdee, 1997). Orange peels are rich in alcoholic compounds, flavonoids and proteins (Ozturk et al., 2018; Gupta et al., 2014) and thus orange peel extract (OPE) can reduce Ag⁺ and stabilize AgNPs (Kaviya et al., 2011; Kahrilas et al., 2014; Saratale et al., 2018).

The information on the biosynthesis of AgNPs using OPE for catalytic degradation of MB dye based on the design of experiments in RSM, to our knowledge, has not been reported. Therefore, the overall objective of this study was to determine the optimum conditions for the biosynthesis of AgNPs with OPE using a central composite design (CCD) in RSM for application in catalytic degradation of MB dye. Subsequently, the relative significance of the process parameters in catalytic dye degradation was investigated using a full-factorial design.

2. METODOLOGY
2.1 Orange peel extract preparation

Fresh orange peels were washed with distilled water, cut into small pieces, and oven dried at 93°C for 60 min. A blender was used to produce fine powder passing through a 20-mesh screen. A 5 g sample of dried peels was mixed with 100 mL of distilled water at 60°C using a magnetic stirrer for 10 min. The peel extract was subsequently cooled, filtered and stored at 4°C until further use. The concentration of OPE was expressed as g dry peel/mL.

2.2 Biosynthesis of AgNPs

A 10 mM stock solution of AgNO₃ (analytical grade, Sigma-Aldrich) in deionized water (DI) and OPE with 0.05 g/mL concentration were prepared in advance, and diluted to produce AgNPs as needed. The pH of OPE was adjusted using 1 mM KOH solution prior to the addition of AgNO₃ solution and DI water according to the experimental design to make up the 20 mL volume. All experiments were carried out at room temperature under bright day light conditions.

2.3 AgNPs Characterization

The AgNPs in suspension were characterized by a UV-vis spectrophotometer (GENESYS 10s) in the 350-550 nm range for peak absorbance and characteristic surface plasmon resonance (SPR) wavelength. The baseline spectra were obtained for the OPE at specific concentrations used in the tests. A dilution factor of 50 was used to determine UV-vis spectra of all test samples. The size distribution of AgNPs was determined by dynamic light scattering (DLS) using Malvern Zetasizer 7 based on 10 repeated measurements.

2.4 Catalytic dye degradation

Stock solutions of 10 mM MB dye and 1 M NaBH₄ were prepared and diluted as needed. The chemicals used were of analytical grade. The dye degradation was investigated for 1 h in a 20 mL mixture prepared by adding MB dye, NaBH₄, AgNPs solutions and DI water based on the reduction in absorbance at a 663 nm wavelength in the UV-vis spectra using the following equation (Raj et al., 2020).

\[
\text{Dye degradation (\%)} = \left(\frac{A_0 - A_t}{A_0}\right) \times 100\% \quad (1)
\]

Where; \(A_0\) is absorbance of the MB dye solution at the start of experiment and \(A_t\) is the absorbance after reaction time t, respectively.

Additional experiments were performed to identify the individual and combined effects of 1 mM NaBH₄ and 1 mL AgNPs solutions on the reduction of 0.5 mM MB dye for 180 min by adjusting the sample volume to 20 mL with DI water.

2.5 Experimental design

In the biosynthesis of AgNPs, the independent variables included OPE concentration, AgNO₃ concentration, and pH, while AgNPs size, peak absorbance, and SPR wavelength represented response variables. A central composite design (CCD) with eight factorial, six axial and six center point
experimental runs was used. The coded and actual values of independent variables and their levels are shown in Table 1.

In dye degradation, the effect of initial dye concentration, NaBH₄ concentration, and the volume of AgNPs solution was investigated using a full-factorial design with eight factorial and two center point experiments. Table 2 shows the coded and actual values of each variables.

Table 1. Coded and actual values of independent variables for the biosynthesis of AgNPs.

| Variables               | Coded levels |
|-------------------------|--------------|
|                         | -1.682       |
|                         | -1.000       |
|                         | 0.005        |
|                         | 0.0075       |
|                         | 0.0092       |
| OPE conc. (X₁) (g/mL)   | 0.0008       |
|                         | 0.0025       |
|                         | 0.0075       |
|                         | 0.0092       |
|                         | 0.0092       |
|                         | 0.0092       |
|                         | 0.0092       |
| pH (X₂)                 | 5.64         |
|                         | 7.00         |
|                         | 9.00         |
|                         | 11.00        |
|                         | 12.40        |
| AgNO₃ conc. (X₃) (mM)   | 0.16         |
|                         | 0.50         |
|                         | 1.00         |
|                         | 1.50         |
|                         | 1.84         |

Table 2. Coded and actual values for dye degradation.

| Variables               | Coded levels |
|-------------------------|--------------|
|                         | -1.000       |
|                         | 0.000031985  |
|                         | 0.0001759    |
|                         | 0.00031985   |
| Dye Conc. (X₁) (g/mL)   | 1.00         |
|                         | 5.50         |
|                         | 10.00        |
| NaBH₄ (X₂) (mM)         | 1.00         |
|                         | 5.50         |
|                         | 10.00        |
| AgNPs conc. (X₃) (mM)   | 0.10         |
|                         | 0.50         |
|                         | 0.90         |

All experiments were replicated twice and the mean value of the response was used in statistical analysis for developing the models.

2.6 Statistical analysis

A second-order polynomial model (Equation 2) was fitted to experimental data using regression analysis in MS Excel.

\[
Y = \beta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \beta_i X_i + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \beta_{ii} X_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{j=i+1}^{3} \beta_{ij} X_i X_j \tag{2}
\]

Where; \(Y\) is the response variable; \(\beta_0\) is the constant, \(\beta_i, \beta_{ii}, \) and \(\beta_{ij}\) are coefficients; \(X_i, X_j\) are the independent variables. Subsequently, the optimum conditions for the biosynthesis of AgNPs were determined from the developed models using Excel Solver.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Biosynthesis of AgNPs

Figures 1 and 2 present typical results from the biosynthesis of AgNPs using a 1.5 mM AgNO₃ solution, OPE concentration of 0.0075 g/mL, and pH of 11. The change of the solution color from yellowish to light brown within 15 to 30 min indicated the formation of nanoparticles (Figure 1). Similar findings have been reported in many studies (Jyoti and Singh, 2016; Saha et al., 2017). The change in color occurred due to the bioreduction of aqueous Ag⁺ ions into AgNPs accompanied by SPR (Vanaja et al., 2014; Bonnia et al., 2016). In Figure 2, a plot of absorbance vs. wavelength shows the characteristic SPR wavelength peak for AgNPs at around 410 nm resulting in an intense change of color. Various experimental runs in CCD resulted in similar UV-vis spectra and exhibited a wide variation in peak absorbance and SPR wavelength. It has been reported that the SPR wavelength peak and width may be influenced by the changes in the size and shape of the nanoparticles (Evanoff and Chumanov, 2005; Wiley et al., 2006). In general, the size distributions of AgNPs based on the DLS analysis exhibited a unimodal peak (Figure 3) with average particle size in the range of 1-50 nm.

Figure 1. Change in color of OPE after formation of colloidal AgNPs: (a) before; (b) after.
Figure 2. UV-visible absorbance spectrum of the biosynthesized AgNPs.

Figure 3. A typical plot of AgNPs size distribution from DLS (Malvern Zetasizer v7.02).

3.2 Models for biosynthesis of AgNPs based on CCD

Equations 3 to 5 present the regression models in coded units for the three response variables based on the experimental runs (Table 3). The coefficients of determination \( R^2 \) for the developed models ranged from 0.735 to 0.923. The coefficients of the predictor terms in the developed models indicated their respective contributions for the estimation of response variables.

3.3 Model evaluation and optimization

Equations 3-5 indicated that among the indicator variables, pH had the most important effect on the biosynthesis of AgNPs. The contributions of interactions and quadratic terms were relatively small in all models. The influence of each independent variable on the normalized absorbance spectra was evaluated by considering its minimum and maximum values while keeping the other two independent variables at their mean values. An additional test in which all independent variables were set at their mean values was also included for comparison. Thus, sample nos. 9, 10, and 15 in Table 3 were selected for the OPE concentration. Figure 4 shows that a significant shift of SPR wavelength resulted towards red with a decrease in OPE concentration indicating the formation of larger AgNPs. In comparison, the change in normalized peak absorbance was relatively small.

The effect of pH on the biosynthesis of AgNPs (samples nos. 11, 12, and 15 in Table 3) is shown in Figure 5. A low pH of about 5.6 resulted in larger particle size and a higher pH of about 12.4 produced smaller AgNPs as indicated by the shift in SPR wavelength peaks (Figure 5). The pH has been reported to influence the size and shape of the AgNPs during biosynthesis due to the changes in binding and electrostatic repulsion ability of biomolecules present in the solution (Andreescu et al., 2007; Hasan et al., 2018) where high pH leads to the smaller nanoparticle sizes (Vanaja et al., 2014).

Likewise, the effect of AgNO\(_3\) concentration was evaluated using sample nos. 13, 14, and 15 in Table 3 as shown in Figure 6. An increase in the AgNO\(_3\) concentration resulted in a decrease of SPR wavelength from 438 to 430 nm and an increase in absorbance from 0.187 to 0.572 (Table 3), indicating the formation of smaller nanoparticles with a corresponding increase in their concentration.

The trends presented in Figures 4-6 and the corresponding experimental values in Table 3 indicate that increasing values of three process variables resulted in an increase in peak absorbance and a decrease in both SPR wavelength and nanoparticles size. Table 4 presents the optimum conditions for the biosynthesis of AgNPs as determined by the models (equations 3-5) resulting in maximum absorbance, minimum SPR wavelength and minimum particle size. The optimum conditions, based on overall considerations, included an OPE concentration of about 0.0075 g/mL, pH of 11 and AgNO\(_3\) concentration of 1.5 mM for the biosynthesis of AgNPs to ensure maximum concentration of AgNPs based on the smallest particle size.

\[
Y_1 = 0.432 + 0.067 X_1 + 0.1178 X_2 + 0.088 X_3 – 0.0264 X_1X_2 + 0.0246 X_1X_3 + 0.0197X_2X_3 – 0.00057 X_1^2 + 0.0461 X_2^2 – 0.0064 X_3^2 \quad (R^2=0.923)
\]

\[
Y_2 = 424.822 – 5.737 X_1 – 8.849X_2 + 0.625X_3 + 0.25X_1X_2 + 0.75 X_1X_3 + 0.75X_2X_3 – 2.996 X_1^2 – 2.819X_2^2 + 1.2448 X_3^2 \quad (R^2=0.735)
\]
\[ Y_3 = 32.0328 - 5.449X_1 - 14.59X_2 + 3.762X_3 - (5) \]
\[ 0.444X_1X_2 - 5.637X_1X_3 + 4.282X_1^2 - 0.531X_2^2 - 2.249X_3^2 \ (R^2=0.859) \]

Where; \( Y_1 = \text{peak absorbance}, \ Y_2 = \text{SPR wavelength (nm)}, \ Y_3 = \text{AgNPs size (nm)}, \ X_1 = \text{OPE conc. (g/mL)}, \ X_2 = \text{pH}, \text{ and } X_3 = \text{AgNO}_3 \text{ conc. (mM)}. \]

**Table 3.** Experimental and predicted values of response variables in biosynthesis of AgNPs.

| Run No | Coded variables | Absorbance | SPR wavelength (nm) | AgNPs size based on number (nm) |
|--------|----------------|------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|
|        | \( X_1 \)     | \( X_2 \)  | \( X_3 \) Expt. | Pred. | Expt. | Pred. | Expt. | Pred. |
| 1      | -1             | -1         | -1                  | 0.32  | 0.24  | 430   | 436   | 50.8  | 45.45 |
|        | -2.5           | -7         | -0.16               |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| 2      | 1              | -1         | -1                  | 0.34  | 0.33  | 414   | 422   | 46.19 | 46.71 |
|        | -7.5           | -11        | -1.5                |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| 3      | -1             | 1          | -1                  | 0.49  | 0.44  | 408   | 416   | 14.04 | 13.71 |
|        | -2.5           | -7         | -0.16               |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| 4      | 1              | 1          | -1                  | 0.57  | 0.52  | 402   | 404   | 25.32 | 13.2  |
|        | -7.5           | -11        | -1.5                |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| 5      | -1             | 1          | 1                   | 0.33  | 0.33  | 428   | 434   | 48.43 | 60.81 |
|        | -2.5           | -7         | -0.16               |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| 6      | 1              | -1         | 1                   | 0.53  | 0.52  | 424   | 424   | 38.93 | 39.52 |
|        | -7.5           | -11        | -1.5                |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| 7      | -1             | 1          | 1                   | 0.66  | 0.61  | 418   | 418   | 36.21 | 35.95 |
|        | -2.5           | -7         | -0.16               |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| 8      | 1              | 1          | 1                   | 0.77  | 0.79  | 406   | 408   | 7.28  | 12.89 |
|        | -7.5           | -11        | -1.5                |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| 9      | -1.68          | 0          | 0                   | 0.24  | 0.32  | 434   | 426   | 57.01 | 53.31 |
|        | -0.8           | -9         | -1                  |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| 10     | 1.68           | 0          | 0                   | 0.55  | 0.55  | 410   | 407   | 31.64 | 34.98 |
|        | -9.2           | -9         | -1                  |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| 11     | 0              | -1.68      | 0                   | 0.34  | 0.37  | 440   | 432   | 59.78 | 55.07 |
|        | -5             | -5.64      | -1                  |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| 12     | 0              | 1.68       | 0                   | 0.72  | 0.76  | 405   | 402   | 1.64  | 5.98  |
|        | -5             | -12.4      | -1                  |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| 13     | 0              | 0          | -1.68               | 0.19  | 0.27  | 438   | 427   | 48.95 | 19.33 |
|        | -5             | -9         | -0.16               |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| 14     | 0              | 0          | 1.68                | 0.57  | 0.57  | 430   | 429   | 42.76 | 31.99 |
|        | -5             | -9         | -1.84               |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| 15     | 0              | 0          | 0                   | 0.48  | 0.43  | 422   | 425   | 28.75 | 32.03 |
|        | -5             | -9         | -1                  |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| 16     | 0              | 0          | 0                   | 0.46  | 0.43  | 415   | 425   | 33.26 | 32.03 |
|        | -5             | -9         | -1                  |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| 17     | 0              | 0          | 0                   | 0.39  | 0.43  | 431   | 425   | 32.41 | 32.03 |
|        | -5             | -9         | -1                  |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| 18     | 0              | 0          | 0                   | 0.43  | 0.43  | 425   | 425   | 29.44 | 32.03 |
|        | -5             | -9         | -1                  |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| 19     | 0              | 0          | 0                   | 0.42  | 0.43  | 425   | 425   | 35.95 | 32.03 |
|        | -5             | -9         | -1                  |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| 20     | 0              | 0          | 0                   | 0.44  | 0.43  | 429   | 425   | 32.3  | 32.03 |

*\( X_1 (\text{mg/mL}) = \text{OPE conc.}; \ X_2 = \text{pH}; \ X_3 = \text{AgNO}_3 \text{ conc. Actual values are shown in parentheses.} \)
to form particle sizes in 5-46 nm range (Basavegowda and Lee, 2013; Kahrilas et al., 2014; De Barros Santos et al., 2015; Dutta et al., 2020; Saratale et al., 2018). The results obtained in this study (Table 4) appear to agree with the past studies and correspond to the smallest size of AgNPs and maximum concentration in solution.

3.4 Surface plots for particle size and peak absorbance for biosynthesis of AgNPs

The plot in Figure 7(a) shows peak absorbance as a function of OPE concentration and pH, with AgNO₃ concentration held constant at the mean value for AgNPs biosynthesis. An increase in both OPE concentration and pH resulted in an increase in the peak absorbance of AgNPs solution in the respective ranges of the experimental variables. Similar trends for the variation in peak absorbance were also observed in Figure 7(b) and Figure 7(c) for the effect of AgNO₃ concentration and pH, and AgNO₃ and OPE concentrations, respectively.

Table 4. Optimum conditions for the biosynthesis of AgNPs.

| Response variable       | Coded and actual values | Optimum value |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| Absorbance              | 1 (0.0075) 1 1         | 0.79          |
| SPR wavelength (nm)     | (11) -0.854 0.427      | 403.8         |
| Mean particle size (nm) | 1 (0.0075) 1 1         | 12.89         |

Figure 8 presents the effects of OPE concentration, pH and AgNO₃ concentration on the average size of AgNPs. The smallest particle size was produced at higher pH in combination with lower concentrations of OPE or AgNO₃ as shown in Figure 8 (a) and Figure 8 (b), respectively. However, lower concentrations of AgNO₃ and higher concentrations of OPE resulted in smaller nanoparticles size as shown in Figure 8 (c). The surface plots in Figures 7 and 8 clearly indicate that the process variables followed identical trends resulting in maximum absorbance and minimum nanoparticle size, respectively.

3.5 Relationship between peak absorbance, SPR wavelength and AgNPs size

Figures 9 and 10 present the mean size of biosynthesized AgNPs as a function of peak absorbance and SPR wavelength, respectively. As
observed in Figures 9 and 10, a decrease in peak absorbance in association with an increase in the particle size or an increase in the SPR wavelength has been similarly reported in the literature (Gupta et al., 2002; Fleger and Rosenbluh, 2009). Thus a red-shift in SPR wavelength in UV-vis spectra indicates an increase in the size of AgNPs. Many empirical relationships for estimating the size of gold and AgNPs in colloidal suspension have been proposed for fast and easy characterization (Haiss et al., 2007; Ashkarran and Bayat, 2013; Dalal et al., 2019).

Figure 7. Surface plots showing absorbance as a function of OPE concentration and pH (a), AgNO₃ concentration and pH (b), and OPE concentration and AgNO₃ concentration (c).
Figure 8. Surface plots showing AgNPs size as a function of OPE concentration and pH (a), AgNO₃ concentration and pH (b), and OPE concentration and AgNO₃ concentration (c).
3.6 Effect of AgNPs on MB dye reduction

There are many studies on the reduction of MB dye by NaBH₄ in the presence of AgNPs. However, the information on the relative significance of the individual concentrations of MB dye, NaBH₄ and AgNPs in catalytic dye reduction is not available.

Table 5 presents the results from a full-factorial experimental design for MB dye degradation by NaBH₄ and AgNPs. The following relationship was developed in coded units using Equation 2 without the inclusion of quadratic terms.

\[
\text{Dye degradation (\%)} = 93.70 + 2.357 X_1 - 2.822 X_2 - 0.146 X_3 + 1.874 X_1 X_2 + 0.920 X_1 X_3 - 0.352 X_2 X_3
\]

\(R^2 = 0.89\)

The coefficients in the fitted model (Equation 6) indicate the independent contributions of the main factors and their interactions in MB dye degradation and can be ranked from high to low as follows.

\[X_2 > X_1 > X_1 X_2 > X_1 X_3 > X_2 X_3 > X_3\]

These results showed that AgNPs (\(X_2\)) contributed the most to catalytic MB dye degradation, followed by dye concentration (\(X_1\)) and their interactions (\(X_1 X_2\) and \(X_1 X_3\)). In contrast, NaBH₄ (\(X_3\)) contributed the least with minor effects of its interaction with AgNPs (\(X_2\)) and dye concentration (\(X_1\)).

Figure 11 shows the pictorial view of MB dye degradation in 20 mL vials with an initial concentration of 0.5 mM in the presence of 1 mM NaBH₄ and 1 mL AgNPs solution. The MB dye changed from its natural state dark color to light blue in the first 5 min and turned light yellowish after about 50 min. However, the MB dye degradation without NaBH₄ under similar conditions showed only a slight visible change in the color of the MB dye even after a 180 min reaction time without AgNPs (Figure 12).

| RUN | X₁ (mg/mL) | X₂ | X₃ | A₀ | A₀=60 min | Expt. (%) | Pred. (%) |
|-----|------------|----|----|----|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 1   | -1         | -1 | -1 | 0.22 | 0.01 | 95.45 | 96.78 |
|     | (0.0319)   | (1) | (0.1) | | | | |
| 2   | 1          | -1 | -1 | 3.048 | 0.12 | 96.06 | 95.91 |
|     | (0.319)    | (1) | (0.1) | | | | |
| 3   | -1         | 1  | -1 | 0.288 | 0.034 | 88.19 | 88.04 |
|     | (0.03190  | (1) | (0.1) | | | | |
| 4   | 1          | 1  | -1 | 2.115 | 0.141 | 93.33 | 94.66 |
|     | (0.319)    | (1) | (0.1) | | | | |

*\(X₁, (mg/mL) = \text{MB dye conc.}; X₂ = \text{AgNPs}; X₃ = \text{NaBH₄ conc.} \)
Table 5. Catalytic reduction of MB in presence of NaBH$_4$ and AgNPs (cont.).

| RUN | Code | $X_1$ | $X_2$ | $X_3$ | $A_0$ | $A_{60}$ min | Expt. (%) | Pred. (%) |
|-----|------|------|------|------|------|-------------|-----------|-----------|
| 5   | -1   | (0.0319) | -1 | 1 | 0.264 | 0.012 | 95.45 | 95.3 |
| 6   | 1    | (0.319) | -1 | 1 | 2.793 | 0.09 | 96.78 | 98.11 |
| 7   | -1   | (0.0319) | 1 | 1 | 0.224 | 0.036 | 83.93 | 85.26 |
| 8   | 1    | (0.319) | (10) | 1 | 2.987 | 0.128 | 95.71 | 95.56 |
| 9   | 0    | (0.1759) | 0 | 0 | 1.651 | 0.067 | 95.94 | 93.7 |
| 10  | 0    | (0.1759) | 0 | 0 | 1.721 | 0.066 | 96.17 | 93.7 |

* $X_1$(mg/mL) = MB dye conc.; $X_2$ = AgNPs; $X_3$ = NaBH$_4$ conc.

Figure 11. Change in color during MB dye degradation in presence of NaBH$_4$.

Figure 12. Change in color during MB dye degradation in absence of NaBH$_4$.

The pictorial trends for dye degradation in Figures 11 and 12 are presented quantitatively in Figure 13. Though there was a sharp reduction in dye concentration initially when using the AgNPs alone, the addition of NaBH$_4$ further expedited the dye reduction process.

A rapid degradation of MB dye within 2-10 min has been reported with the addition of AgNPs in a mixture of MB dye and NaBH$_4$ (Indana et al., 2016; Saha et al., 2017). On the other hand, longer times were needed for MB dye degradation in the absence of NaBH$_4$ (Santhanalakshmi and Venkatesan, 2011; Vanaja et al., 2014). Several studies have indicated that catalytic degradation of MB dye takes place at the surface of AgNPs (Vidhu and Philip, 2014; Jyoti and Singh, 2016; Saha et al., 2017). AgNPs act as an efficient catalyst through the electron transfer between NaBH$_4$ acting as a donor and MB dye as acceptor. Thus, the reduction of MB dye by NaBH$_4$ increases in the presence of AgNPs. In addition, the smaller size of AgNPs may promote the catalytic activity due to the availability of large surface area (Suvith and Philip, 2014; Bonnia et al., 2016).
4. CONCLUSION

The biosynthesis of AgNPs could be carried out using OPE without requiring toxic chemicals. Models based on the CCD in RSM identified the effects of OPE concentration, pH and AgNO₃ concentration on the peak absorbance and SPR wavelength in UV-vis spectra, and particle size as response variables. The pH had the maximum influence on the formation of AgNPs compared to the OPE and AgNO₃ concentration. The optimum conditions (OPE concentration of 0.0075 g/mL, pH of 11 and AgNO₃ concentration of 1.5 mM) resulted in the mean particle size, SPR wavelength and absorbance of about 12.9 nm, 403.8 nm and 0.79, respectively. AgNPs had a major influence on the MB dye degradation compared to the initial dye concentration and NaBH₄. This was confirmed by the quick discoloration of MB dye by AgNPs alone and in combination with NaBH₄. Results showed that AgNPs biosynthesized by OPE offer an inexpensive and eco-friendly treatment method for catalytic reduction of MB dye in industrial effluent.
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