Microstructure of the interface zone after explosive welding and further annealing of A1050/Ni201 clads using various joining conditions
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ABSTRACT

Explosive welding is a joining method suitable for materials difficult or impossible to connect using traditional welding techniques. In this work, the microstructure details occurring in the neighborhood of the aluminum (A1050) and nickel (Ni201) alloys’ interfaces in explosive welds were described. It was shown that using appropriate welding conditions, although the mutual localization of the colliding plates was different, the microstructure of the bonded zone did not change. Moreover, further annealing of the samples at 500 ºC revealed the microstructure transformation occurring as a result of the diffusion phenomena. This in turn provides the details essential in proper designing of the multilayers of metallic-intermetallic Al/Ni composites.

Introduction

A combination of alloys such as aluminum (A1050) and nickel (Ni201) has a large practical application in many branches of industry. However, our attention has been directed toward the application, where they are acting as the interlayers or they are forming metallic-intermetallic layered composite materials. Explosive welding (EXW) is increasingly popular as it allows joining materials difficult or impossible to connect using traditional techniques, e.g., with large difference of melting points such as Ti/Al, Al/Cu, Ti/steel, Cu/steel or Al/steel [1–7]. During EXW, as a result of collision of the flyer plate (fp) with the base plate (bp), the stable bond is obtained. This collision excludes the presence of impurities or oxides located on the surfaces of joined materials; however, it also causes strong plastic deformation of joined sheets close to their interface; and this phenomenon involves not only plates and sheets but also round bars and tubes [8–10]. Many works describe the influence of the different parameters on the EXW joining technique; for example, the effect of the stand-off distance between the colliding plates was investigated by Prażmowski et al. [11] and Acarer et al. [12]. Acarer et al. [12] studied the changes at the interfaces formed between steel plates with various hardinesses in relation to explosive rate, stand-off
distance and anvil, which were applied. They showed that the microhardness increased close to the welding interface as a result of excess of plastic deformation in the explosive area and their findings were consistent with the previous works [13–16]. The interface areas consisted of the fine-grained structure in contrast to areas far from them. Moreover, the authors indicated that the increase in the stand-off and explosive loading distance resulted in the wave structure at the interface [12]. The effect of the various detonation rates on the interface was indicated also by Kahraman et al., who investigated titanium/stainless steel clads. They confirmed that when the low explosive loads were used, the interface was flat, and on the other hand, with the application of higher explosive loads, the wavy interface was observed [7].

To our best knowledge, only a few papers have discussed the Al/Ni explosive welds in detail [17–19]. Gerland et al. [17] indicated the possibility of joining of the thin Ni films with Al alloy by EXW technique. They investigated the interaction of a flyer plate in the form of the Ni thin film of 50 and 100 μm thickness with AU4G (Al alloy) base plate. In their paper, a brief description was given, regarding the explosion velocity influence on the interface microstructure (character of interface, amount of intermetallics or damages such as cracks) and roughness of the film surface. Two other works [18, 19] dealt with Al/Ni multilayered composites manufactured with 4200 m s\(^{-1}\). These studies focused on the microstructure of interfaces and their changes resulting from their annealing at 620 °C. In particular, Ogneva et al. [18] indicated the existence of two types of interfaces: Ni/Al and Al/Ni, which, in regard to their mutual location, differed in shape and amount of the melted region thickness. The Ni/Al interfaces were flat, while Al/Ni were characterized by periodic variation of the mixing zones. These differences had further implication, when the material was annealed, evidencing various intermetallics’ growth velocities at these two types of interfaces.

In respect to previous works about EXW of Al/Ni system, the current paper demonstrates how the interface develops with respect to the various localizations of the colliding plates (aluminum alloy acts either as a flyer plate or base plate). The authors of previous reports [18, 19] concluded that the influence of the arrangement of the colliding plates is not significant; however, the discrepancies regarding the localization of the plates could be noticed as can be seen in figure in the work [18]. A similar observation was made by Fronczek et al. [1, 20], where Ti Gr.2 and Al 1050 were explosively welded. In their earlier work (published in 2016), only bimetal consisting of Ti/Al system was studied and wavy interface occurred between the colliding plates [1]. However, later in 2017 the same authors considered three-layered EXW clads prepared from the same materials and with the same detonation velocity (1900–1950 m s\(^{-1}\)) in the following configuration: A1050/TiGr.2/A1050. In this case, two types of interface were observed: wavy between A1050/TiGr.2 and flat one between TiGr.2/A1050. The authors explained the formation of flat interface as a result of damping of the impact force by TiGr.2, thereby reducing impact power with the lower A1050 plate [20]. An approach associated with multilayered EXW systems is very interesting and important from an industrial point of view, but did not get unambiguous and basic answer on the influence of arrangement of the plates regarding Al/Ni system.

As the strong differences occurring due to the mutual location of the Al and Ni alloy plates can be a significant problem during further production formation of the final product, the goal of this study was to obtain welds, where this factor was excluded. Therefore, this study is dedicated to the exposition of any differences occurring in the neighborhood of the interfaces of the A1050/Ni201 welds after explosive welding with respect to the location of the Al and Ni alloys. The experiment involved the simple arrangement of two alloys’ sheets, where either Al or Ni alloy were used as a flyer plate joined with a base plate under the same detonation velocity was performed. Proposed approach allowed to expose any microstructural differences between Al/Ni and Ni/Al welds and their consequences regarding thermal treatment of both types of joints.

**Materials and methods**

The studied clads were manufactured from A1050 aluminum alloy (further referred to as Al) and 201 nickel alloy (further referred to as Ni) sheets of 150 × 300 mm dimensions and thickness of 1 mm for both of them in parallel system. As it was mentioned before, two types of components’ arrangements were prepared: (1) Ni used as a flyer plate and Al as a base plate (noted as Ni/Al) and (2) Al used as a flyer plate with Ni as a base plate (noted as Al/Ni). The
scheme of the mutual localization of the colliding plates is shown in Fig. 1a, b. The same detonation velocity of 2400 m s$^{-1}$ was applied in both these cases. Two factors constituted the variables: the mutual localization of applied plates and the stand-off distance between A1050 and Ni201. In the case of Al/Ni, the initial stand-off distance was doubled (2 h) in comparison with the Ni/Al case (1 h). Samples in the size of $15 \times 7 \times 2\, mm$ were cut from the middle part of the explosively welded plates. The cross sections of the samples after EXW were prepared by standard metallographic procedure: grinding on abrasive (SiC) papers and polishing, first with 3 $\mu m$ diamond paste, and then with 0.04 $\mu m$ colloidal silica suspension.

Microstructure and chemical composition were examined by means of the scanning electron microscope (SEM) FEI Quanta FEG equipped with EDAX Apollo Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectrometer (EDS). Additionally, the electron backscattered diffraction (EBSD, EDAX Hikari) technique was applied to reveal the differences of grains’ size and shape of the flyer and base plate as well as the interface zone after annealing process. The observations in the nanoscale were conducted using transmission electron microscopy (TEM, Tecnai G2, FEI). The thin foil to the TEM analysis was prepared by the focus ion beam (FIB, Quanta 2D, FEI) technique.

**Results and discussion**

SEM-EBSD maps (Fig. 2) collected from the initial materials revealed the equiaxed grains of the nickel (Fig. 2a) with the average size of 21.8 $\mu m$ and elongated grains of aluminum (Fig. 2b). Both materials indicated the lack of the crystallographic texture. Some grains of the nickel alloy possessed twin orientation, while the grains of the aluminum were characterized by a typical rolled structure. The same materials were used, to prepare both kinds of clads; it means that the initial state of the colliding plats was identical in any case, as it is shown in Fig. 2.

The examination of the explosively welded clads has been performed to reveal the microstructure of the Ni/Al and Al/Ni cross sections in the neighborhood of the bonded zone (Fig. 3). For convenience in comparison with the images, one of them (Fig. 3b) was rotated around the horizontal axis. Therefore, the Ni201 is located on the top in both images. The first difference to be mentioned is related to the thickness changes of Ni201 after explosion being 1150 $\mu m$ (Ni/Al) or 1108 $\mu m$ (Al/Ni), while A1050 is about 937 $\mu m$ (Ni/Al) and 895 $\mu m$ (Al/Ni). (The initial thickness of both plates was 1 mm.) The final thickness of plates may change, as a result of strong plastic deformation of alloys during EXW. The differences in the thickness of plates between Ni/Al and Al/Ni can be also associated with the stand-off distance that is not equal for these two cases, as it is twice larger for Al/Ni clad. Generally, higher stand-off distance between plates yields longer acceleration path of the flyer plate upon detonation, which leads to higher impact velocity and energy of the process [11]. Applied detonation velocity of 2400 m s$^{-1}$ resulted in the formation of the wavy interface for both types of explosive welds, which is different in comparison with the observations described in work [20] by Bataev et al., where the detonation velocity was much higher (4200 m s$^{-1}$). The average distances between waves are similar for Ni/Al and Al/Ni samples, being 329 $\mu m$ and 318 $\mu m$, respectively. The most striking difference is related to the amount of melted regions and shape of the waves, which will be discussed later. During the EXW, high velocity impact generates high stress and high energy in the neighborhood of the collision point and causes local melting and plastic deformation of welded alloys [21, 22]. Crosland et al. [13] indicated that the kinetic energy from explosion is transformed to heat energy, which leads to the local melting at the collision point and crystallization of the intermetallic phases in this region [13]. The EBSD maps (Fig. 3c, d) were
collected under low magnification in order to follow the general microstructure changes of the joined materials far and close to their interface. Ni201 plate is composed of equiaxed grains throughout the overall cross section in both cases; nevertheless, the mean size of the grain is different for each of them reaching 9 μm for Ni/Al and 6.8 μm for Al/Ni.

Although the time of heat transfer is very short and increase in alloys’ overall temperature is slight [23], the observed grain size changes between both variants of clads could be associated with different thermal conductivities of alloys: A1050 (∼ 200 W(m K)^{-1}) and Ni201 (∼ 90.7 W(m K)^{-1}). When A1050 acts as a flyer plate, the cooling rate is
higher and the resulting grain size is smaller. Additionally, in the case of Al/Ni weld, the initial standoff distance is higher, and this is directly related to the energy/stress near the collision point. Therefore, in close vicinity of the interface zone, the refinement of Ni grains is stronger and more pronounced in comparison with Ni/Al interface. On the other hand, the bands of the aluminum alloy grains formed a typical rolled microstructure.

The melted regions formed in Ni/Al welds are elongated, slender, thinly finished (Figs. 3a, 4d) in opposition with the ones in Al/Ni welds, being more massive, sharply finished with irregular shapes (Figs. 3b, 4c). Within the melted areas of both types of welds, the nickel islands were enclosed inside (Fig. 4a, c) together with the dendritic microstructure formed due to the crystallization from a liquid state (Fig. 4f, h). For Al/Ni welds, the whirls of heterogeneous chemical composition (Fig. 4h) were also noticed, while for Ni/Al welds, the dark contrast structure, presented in Fig. 4d, e, was characteristic. Single cracks, going across whole thickness or just through parts of the melted regions (Fig. 4e), were also present. Ogneva et al. [19] observed a continuous mixing zone of two types: wavy Al/Ni and nearly flat Ni/Al, depending on the localization of the interface inside the multilayered Al/Ni composite. This observation is inconsistent with the presented work, where arrangement of the colliding plates always led to the wavy nature of the area of joining; however, these waves were significantly broader, when the aluminum alloy acted as a flyer plate. Nevertheless, the same microstructure components inside the waves such as fine dendrites or vortex were observed as in [18, 19]. Chemical composition measurements confirmed that the melted zones were not homogeneous and consisted of a mixture of Al and Ni with various concentrations of these elements; however, the analytical resolution of EDS in SEM is insufficient for fine-grained regions as well as the swirled ones and for revealing the type of possible intermetallic phases existing in the melted regions.

SEM–EDS measurements (Fig. 5) showed that during the explosion strong mixing of elements occurs (Fig. 5b, c, e, f); therefore, inside them various phases were observed: Al 3Ni and AlNi (for Ni/Al weld) and Al 3Ni 2 (for Al/Ni). In both cases the eutectic mixture of (Al) + Al 3Ni was identified. Moreover, as it was mentioned above closed islands of either aluminum or nickel inside the mixing zones were observed (Fig. 5a, d). The chemical compositions of the detected phases are collected in Table 1; numbers 1–4 correspond with the yellow points in the BSE-SEM images (Fig. 5a, d).

The BSE-SEM observations of the Ni/Al clad interface revealed characteristic microstructure consisting of dark contrast and oval precipitates surrounded by the brighter matrix (Fig. 4e). Interesting is that this kind of microstructure was not detected in other works describing Al/Ni explosive welding clads [17–19]. However, these works were associated with different types of Ni/Al EXW systems.

---

**Figure 4** Microstructure of the melted regions located at the Ni/Al (a, b, d, e, f) and Al/Ni (c, g, h) interfaces.
(multilayer in contrast to our bimetal) and therefore used detonation velocity, was significantly different [18, 19]. This region was selected for further examination by means of TEM technique. The thin foil was prepared from the location marked with a green frame in Fig. 4e. The bright-field image (Fig. 6a) revealed a complex structure consisting of the grains of different sizes. Clusters of very fine grains were surrounded by oval precipitations of larger size. Electron diffractions pointed out the AlNi phase within the clusters (Fig. 6b), while the oval precipitates occurred to be aluminum (Fig. 6c). This phase recognition was also confirmed by EDS measurements located in points marked in Fig. 6e, f. Each point corresponds with the data collected in Table 2. Concentration of aluminum inside the clusters of small precipitates (Fig. 6f) is in the range of 43.2–52.8 at.%, and inside of oval precipitates is equal to 99.9 at.% (Fig. 6e). In Fig. 6g, maps of the elements’ distributions are presented. As it is shown, besides aluminum and nickel, the presence of iron was detected (yellow frame). A trace amount of elements such as Fe, Si or Mn comes from the initial alloys (A1050 and Ni201), while the copper presence is associated with the applied thin foil holder. What is interesting, the EDS measurements allowed to observe a phase mixture constituting a matrix, which according to the phase equilibrium diagram falls within the (Al) + Al3Ni eutectics. In contrast to present work, Bataev et al. [19] used also TEM technique to observe the interfaces formed in multilayered Ni/Al composites. In their study, the collected electron diffraction patterns pointed out the presence of metastable Al9Ni2 phase irregularly distributed within the mixing zone. Nevertheless, it has to be emphasized that Bataev group used almost twice higher detonation velocity. Both Bataev et al. [19] and the current work show the presence of the AlNi phase inside of the mixing zone.

Annealing of both types of welds for a relatively long time of 168 h at 500 °C was performed in order to observe the transformation of the interface zone microstructure (Fig. 7). The heat treatment resulted in a significant expansion of the reaction zone and formation of two thick layers of different contrasts. They were identified as Al3Ni (24.0 ± 0.5 at.% of Ni, 

| Analysis | Ni/Al Phase | Ni Content at.% | Al Content at.% | Al/Ni Phase | Ni Content at.% | Al Content at.% |
|----------|-------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|
| 1. Al    | 0.3 ± 0.3   | 99.7 ± 2.0     |               | Ni          | 99.4 ± 2.0     | 0.6 ± 0.3      |
| 2. (Al) + Al3Ni | 10.5 ± 0.2 | 89.5 ± 1.8     |               | (Al) + Al3Ni | 14.7 ± 0.6     | 85.3 ± 1.7     |
| 3. Al3Ni | 25.1 ± 0.5  | 74.9 ± 1.5     |               | Al2Ni3      | 32.5 ± 0.7     | 67.5 ± 1.2     |
| 4. AlNi  | 52.7 ± 1.1  | 47.3 ± 1.1     |               | Al2Ni3      | 34.0 ± 0.7     | 66.0 ± 1.2     |

Numbers 1–4 are marked in Fig. 5a, d

Figure 5 SEM-BSE microstructures of the melted regions with marked points of chemical analysis (a, d) and corresponding EDS maps of element distribution for Ni/Al (b, c) and Al/Ni (e, f) interface zones.
Figure 6 TEM results of the Ni/Al interface extracted from the area marked with green frame in Fig. 4c. Bright-field image representing the overall view of microstructure (a) together with selected electron diffraction patterns obtained from clusters of AlNi precipitates (b) and oval Al (c) with the corresponding bright-field images. Confirmation of results collected by diffraction pattern by EDS measurements in TEM (d–g). Points 1–5 in the images (e, f) correspond with data collected in Table 2.

Table 2 The chemical compositions obtained with EDS in TEM corresponding with numbers 1–5 in Fig. 6e, f

| Point | Analysis | 1 (Fig. 6e) | 2 (Fig. 6f) |
|-------|----------|-------------|-------------|
|       | Phase    | Ni          | Al          | Ni     | Al     |
|       |          | Content at.%| Phase       | Content at.%|
| 1.    | (Al) + Al₃Ni | 6.0         | 94.0        | AlNi   | 54.2   | 45.8   |
| 2.    | (Al) + Al₃Ni | 9.2         | 90.8        | AlNi   | 47.2   | 52.8   |
| 3.    | Al       | 0.1         | 99.9        | AlNi   | 56.8   | 43.2   |
| 4.    | Al       | 0.2         | 99.8        | (Al) + Al₃Ni | 89.3   | 10.7   |
| 5.    | Al       | 0.1         | 99.9        | (Al) + Al₃Ni | 85.0   | 15.0   |
76.0 ± 1.5 at.% of Al) and Al₃Ni₃ (61.4 ± 1.2 at.% of Ni, 38.6 ± 0.8 at.% of Al). The thickness of both phases was similar, when comparing both types of welds, reaching the values of Al₃Ni—14 µm and Al₃Ni₂—108 µm in Ni/Al weld; Al₃Ni—22 µm and Al₃Ni₂—105 µm in Al/Ni weld. As it can be seen in Fig. 7, the interface between Ni₂01 and Al₃Ni₂ phase is approximately planar with only local perturbations in both weld types. However, a completely different appearance of phase boundary can be noticed between Al₃Ni₂ and Al₃Ni phases. The interface is irregular and porous, and the layer of Al₃Ni is thinner but nearly continuous along the entire length of the interface. Short discontinuities of up to 20 µm for Ni/Al and up to 100 µm for Al/Ni are rare. Inside the Al₃Ni phase, the cracks were observed, and areas, where the Al₃Ni phase is absent, are porous. Observations under higher magnification revealed the presence of the third phase located between Al₃Ni and A1050. It was additionally enriched in iron, coming from the applied aluminum alloy. The composition of the third phase was found to be 4.0 ± 0.4 at.% of Fe, 13.4 ± 0.5 at.% of Ni, 82.6 ± 1.7 at.% of Al. This phase possessed irregular morphology, and it was also located within A1050 in the proximity of the interface region taking the form of numerous small islands with different shapes (Fig. 7a, b). The presence of these islands is independent of the presence of Al₃Ni phase. The above results are consistent with previous works about Al/Ni explosive welding [18, 19]. Independently on the applied annealing temperature of 500 °C or 620 °C used in current work and by Batev group [18, 19], respectively, the Al₃Ni and Al₃Ni₂ phases were formed. The authors of earlier works presented results for the relatively short time of annealing in the range of 5 min to 5 h in comparison with the present paper, where the annealing time was 168 h. It is possible that longer annealing time is necessary to form previously mentioned third phase, enriched in iron (consisting of 4.0 ± 0.4 at.% of Fe, 13.4 ± 0.5 at.% of Ni, 82.6 ± 1.7 at.% of Al), which was not found by Bataev et al. after short time of heat treatment. Other explanations for the presence of the third phase may be associated with different annealing temperatures, which were used by the authors. All researchers used Al alloy plates with the addition of small amount of iron being about of

**Figure 7** Interface zone after annealing at 500 °C for 168 h in Ni/Al (a) and Al/Ni (b) explosively welded clads together with the corresponding EBSD maps of the interface zone of Ni/Al (c) and Al/Ni (d).
Further examination using TEM is essential to determine the crystallography of the phase enriched in iron. The EBSD maps collected for the annealed samples (Fig. 7c, d) show that, as a result of annealing, the grain size of the nickel is similar in both kinds of clads and it reaches 6 μm for Ni/Al and 4 μm for Al/Ni. In the case of aluminum, determination of grain size from the presented EBSD maps is difficult because grains are relatively large compared to the presented areas. To obtain reliable results, it is necessary to collect EBSD maps from larger area for better statistics. The difference in nickel grains size could be associated with the fact that the calculations were conducted on the relatively small area and some grains (edge grains) cannot be taken into account. Zones corresponding to the Al₃Ni₂ and Al₅Ni phases are weakly indexed.

Conclusions

This study reports the microstructure analysis of the explosively welded clads with particular attention to the interface region. The mutual arrangement of the colliding clads was alternated in order to follow the consequences of this parameter change. The microstructure of Ni/Al and Al/Ni welds in the after EXW state was similar, and only slight differences of the waves’ appearance were observed; however, within the mixing zones of both types of samples, the same morphologies were noted such as metallic islands, dendritic microstructure or small particles enriched in Ni within aluminum-rich matrix. Based on the SEM–EDS measurements, phases such as Al₃Ni, Al₅Ni₂ and AlNi were identified, together with the (Al) + Al₃Ni eutectics. Beside them, the islands of aluminum and nickel were also observed. The electron diffraction and EDS measurements in TEM allowed to confirm the presence of clusters of AlNi phase, surrounded by the eutectic mixture (Al) + Al₃Ni and oval precipitates of pure aluminum. EBSD maps demonstrated different sizes of nickel grains after the collision with aluminum obtained using the same explosive velocity but various mutual localizations of the clads and their stand-off distance. Explosively welded clads were annealed at 500 °C for 168 h, and as a result of such heat treatment in both types of welds, the following phases were observed: Al₃Ni, Al₅Ni₂ and Al₈⁴Ni₁₂Fe₄ with a similar thickness. As it can be predicted from the equilibrium phase diagram, the first growing phase should be Al₃Ni and Al₅Ni₂ would be the next one. Therefore, it can be presumed that the growth of the Al₅Ni₂ phase was at the expense of Al₃Ni. The Al₃Ni was much thinner even with local discontinuities, where instead of the phase large pores were observed. The applied explosive welding parameters allow obtaining the welds with similar microstructure, wavy interface with the same phase composition, but different melted regions’ morphology. The latter ones, however, did not influence the intermetallics’ growth velocity and morphology when different mutual arrangements of the flyer and base plates were applied.
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