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Abstract

All available photometric and spectroscopic observations were collected and used as the basis of a detailed analysis of the close binary IM Mon. The orbital period of the binary was refined to \( P = 1.9024249 \) days (0.0000014). The Roche equipotentials, fractional luminosities (in \( B, V \), and \( H \)-bands) and fractional radii for the component stars in addition to the mass ratio, \( q \), inclination, \( i \), of the orbit, and the effective temperature, \( T_{\text{eff}} \), of the secondary cooler less massive component were obtained by the analysis of light curves. IM Mon is classified to be a detached binary system in contrast to the contact configuration estimations in the literature. The absolute parameters of IM Mon were derived by the simultaneous solutions of light and radial-velocity curves as \( M_1 = 5.50 (0.24) M_\odot \), \( M_2 = 2.36 (0.03) M_\odot \), \( R_1 = 3.15 (0.04) R_\odot \), and \( R_2 = 17500 (350) \) K and \( 14500 (550) \) K implying spectral types of B4 and B6.5 ZAMS stars for the primary and secondary components, respectively. The modelling of the high-resolution spectrum revealed the rotational velocities of the component stars as \( V_{\text{rot,1}} = 147 (15) \) km s\(^{-1}\) and \( V_{\text{rot,2}} = 90 (25) \) km s\(^{-1}\). The photometric distance of 353 (59) pc was found to be more precise and reliable than the Hipparcos distance of 341 (85) pc. An evolutionary age of 11.5 (1.5) Myr was obtained for IM Mon. Kinematical and dynamical analyses support the membership of the young thin-disk population system IM Mon to the Ori OB1a association dynamically. Finally, we derived the distance, age, and metallicity information of Ori OB1a sub-group using information of the IM Mon parameters.
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1. Introduction

Young stellar associations (\( \lesssim 50 \) Myr) and open clusters have a crucial importance in advancing our understanding of star formation and the first stages of stellar evolution. Since galactic acceleration does not have a chance to affect the kinematical properties of these young stellar groups, the stellar content of an association is preserved. Consequently one can obtain kinematical, dynamical, and chemical properties of these young stellar groups by studying their secure members. Today, nearby associations within the solar neighbourhood have been very well identified. The stellar content of each association has been precisely determined up to a magnitude limit of \( V \sim 10.5 \) mag using astrometric data of the Hipparcos satellite (i.e., de Zeeuw et al. 1999; Hoogerwerf 2000; Mel’nik & Dambis 2009). In addition, the new reduced Hipparcos catalogue (van Leeuwen 2007) gave an opportunity to investigate the astrometric data of the stellar content of a large number of open clusters, associations, and moving groups more accurately. The first application of the new reduced Hipparcos astrometric data has been applied to stellar groups within the solar neighbourhood by Mel’nik and Dambis (2009). This and forthcoming studies of young stellar groups will improve our understanding of the history of star formation, the initial mass function as well as the chemical and dynamical evolution of the Milky Way.

Recent statistical studies, such as Brown (2001), Bouy et al. (2006), and Kouwenhoven et al. (2007), show a high ratio of multiplicity in stellar formation regions (SFRs), and claim that it is not a coincidence, but a characteristic of star formation. A detailed study of multiple systems (especially those with eclipsing components) in SFRs will reveal the fundamental stellar parameters more directly and with higher precision compared to those obtained from single stars, and thus impose more stringent tests on stellar evolution. Critical tests of stellar evolution require masses and radii with a precision of better than 3% (i.e., Andersen 1991; Torres et al. 2010). However, methods developed for single stars are not capable of delivering masses with a precision better than 5%, and the radii remain uncertain by a factor of 1.5. Consequently, studying single stars does not enable one to obtain accurate dimensions and, therefore, to test the most recent evolutionary models. Recent studies on \( \eta \) Mus by Bakiş et al. (2007) in lower Centaurus–Crux association, on V578 Mon by Pavlovski and
Hensberge (2000, 2005) in NGC 2244, and on AB Dor by Luhman and Potter (2006) in the AB Dor association, demonstrate the precision with which the age, chemical composition, and kinematical properties can be determined by studying such high-mass systems.

In the present study, we analyzed the high resolution spectra and $BVHp$ photometric data of IM Mon, which is located in the region of the Orion OB1a association. IM Mon is a bright ($V \sim 6.5$ mag), early-type ([B − V] = −0.14 mag), and short orbital period ($P \sim 1.2$ days) eclipsing binary system. Its spectroscopic and photometric variations were discovered by Pearce (1932) and Gum (1951), respectively. The eccentricity of the spectroscopic orbit obtained by Pearce (1932) was commented to be spurious by Cester et al. (1978) in their study on the determination of photometric elements of 14 detached systems. Cester et al. (1978) studied early photometric observations of IM Mon, which were collected by Gum (1951) in integral light and by Sanyal, Mahar, and Sanwal (1965) in $B$ and $V$ filters. However, due to a large scatter in all photometric observations, which is attributed to the intrinsic variability of one of the components by Sanyal, Mahar, and Sanwal (1965), none of the authors was able to find a unique and precise solution for the system. A recent spectroscopic study of Bakış et al. (2010) revealed the spectroscopic orbital elements of IM Mon, and showed that its orbit is circular.

In order to reveal more precise absolute dimensions of IM Mon and to test its membership to Orion OB1a, we included it into our list of eclipsing binaries in the region of OB associations. Using all literature-based data, the orbital period of IM Mon is revised in subsection 4.2. High-resolution spectral lines of IM Mon are modelled and atmosphere parameters are derived in section 3. The close binary stellar parameters of the system are determined by the analysis of light and radial velocity ($RV$) curves in section 4. In section 5 the absolute parameters of the components are derived together with the age and distance of the system. This information enabled us to establish the absolute dimensions of the close binary and properties of the Ori OB1a association through the kinematical and dynamical properties of IM Mon. Finally, we summarize our study and present our conclusions in section 6.

2. Observational Data

We collected as many original individual measurements of IM Mon as possible from the literature. In Table 1, all available photometric and spectroscopic observations of IM Mon are listed. All of the data given in table 1 are used for ephemeris determinations for $O - C$ analysis, whereas only relatively more precise photometric data are used for light curve ($LC$) modelling. As a starting orbital period, we adopted $P = 1^d 1902424$, which was published by Kreiner (2004) and later used by Bakış et al. (2010) for their radial-velocity analysis.

2.1. Photometric Data

- Gum (1951) — Data were obtained with the nine-inch refractor of the Commonwealth Observatory, Canberra equipped with a photoelectric photometer with the 1P21 photomultiplier tube with an effective wavelength of 440.0 nm (without filter) in one season, 1949–1950 (normal points related to JD 2433402); HD 45321, HD 44756 were used as comparison stars.

- Sanyal and Sinhval (1964) — $B$ and $V$ observations of the $UBV$ system (Johnson & Morgan 1951) were made during 29 nights of three seasons in 1960–1964 (normal points related to JD 2438384) at Uttar Pradesh State Observatory, Naini Tal using 10 inch Cooke refractor and a 15-inch reflector equipped with an unrefrigerated 1P21 photomultiplier. BD −2 1601 (= HD 45139) and BD −3 1414 (= HD 44720) were used as comparison and check stars, respectively.

- Shobbrook (2004) — The 24-inch (61-cm) telescope of the Australian National University at Siding Spring Observatory with a photometer — a cooled GaAs photomultiplier and the Motorised Filter Box with Strömgren $y$ and $b$ filters, was used in JD 2450458–2452026. The observations were reduced to the Johnson $V$ scale using $uvby$ secondary standard stars of Cousins (1987) in the E Regions (sic). HR 2325 (= HD 45321) and HR 2344 (= HD 45546) were used as comparison stars.

- Hipparcos (ESA 1997) — Observations were made by Hipparcos satellite equipment (reflector 29-cm, $f = 1.4$-m) in the interval JD 2447960–2449058. More details are on a webpage.¹

- ASAS (Pojmański 1997) — Observations were obtained from two ASAS observing stations; one is in Las Campanas Observatory, Chile (since 1997) and the other is on Haleakalā, Maui (since 2006) between JD 2452731–2455167. Both were equipped with two wide-field instruments (72-mm, $f = 0.2$-m), observing simultaneously in the $V$ and $I$ bands. However, only $V$ measurements are available for IM Mon. More details and data archive are on a webpage.²

- Pi of the Sky (Malek et al. 2010) — The Pi of the Sky robotic telescope has been designed for monitoring of a significant fraction of the sky with good time resolution and range. The final detector consists of two sets of 16 cameras, one camera covering a field of view of $20^\circ \times 20^\circ$. The final system is currently under construction. Required hardware and software tests have been performed with a prototype located in Las Campanas Observatory in Chile since 2004 June. The set of IM Mon measurements covers the time interval of JD 2453954–2454946. More details and data archive are on a webpage.³

2.2. Spectroscopy

- Pearce (1932) — 19 spectrograms were obtained at Dominion Astrophysical Observatory using a 72-inch telescope in the interval JD 2424942–2425682, mostly with a short-focus camera having a dispersion $49$ Å mm$^{-1}$ at $H\alpha$. From these spectrograms, a total of 31 RVs (18 for primary and 13 for secondary component) were derived in section 3. The close binary stellar parameters are derived together with the age and distance of the system. This information enabled us to establish the absolute dimensions of the close binary and properties of the Ori OB1a association through the kinematical and dynamical properties of IM Mon. Finally, we summarize our study and present our conclusions in section 6.

¹ [http://cadcwww.dao.nrc.ca/astrocat/hipparcos/]
² [http://www.astrouw.edu.pl/asas/]
³ [http://grb.fuw.edu.pl/].
3. Modelling Spectral Lines

We have modelled the spectral orders extracted from a high-resolution échelle spectrum of IM Mon with theoretical atmosphere models of Kurucz (1993a, 1993b) to obtain the atmosphere parameters, such as the metallicity \([\text{Fe}/\text{H}]\), effective temperature \((T_{\text{eff}})\), surface gravity \((\log g)\), projected rotational velocity \((V_{\text{rot}} \sin i)\), and microturbulence velocity \((\zeta)\) of the components. These atmosphere parameters, especially the metallicity, which can be obtained primarily from the observed spectrum, are very useful during the construction of the isochrones of IM Mon. The atmosphere models we used were originally provided for a wide range of temperature, surface gravity, and metallicity by Kurucz (1993a). For specific values of these parameters, one should use routines to obtain the desired atmosphere parameters. We used ATLAS9 and SYNTHE routines (Kurucz 1993a, 1993b) with new opacity distribution functions (ODF) provided by Castelli and Cacciari (2001). In order to find the best-fitting atmosphere parameters, the Grid-Search Method (Bevington & Robinson 2003) was used. The Grid-Search Method is based on minimization of the following \(\chi^2\) by changing the fitting parameters \((x_i)\) with their increments \(\Delta x_i\),

\[
\chi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \frac{y_i - y_i([\text{Fe}/\text{H}], T_{\text{eff}}, \log g, V_{\text{rot}} \sin(i), \zeta)}{\sigma_i} \right)^2,
\]

where \(y_i\) denotes the observed quantities, \(y_i(x_i)\) are the calculated models as a function of the atmosphere parameters and \(\sigma_i\) is the standard deviation of the observed spectrum with \(N\) data points. Since the wavelength range of the spectral orders that we study are relatively small (<100 Å), we assume that the standard deviation along the spectral order remains the same \(\sigma_i^2 = \sigma^2\), and is related to the \(S/N\) ratio of the spectrum with \(\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{(S/N)^2}\). The uncertainties of the model parameters were estimated from the standard deviation of the parameters, which were obtained for each spectral order, from the mean.

A grid of atmosphere models has been constructed for the following ranges of \([\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = [-0.04, 0.20]\) (0.04) dex, \(T_{\text{eff}1} = [16000, 19000]\) (200) K, \(T_{\text{eff}2} = [13000, 15000]\) (200) K, \(\log g_{12} = [4.0, 4.3]\) (0.1) cgs, \(\zeta_{12} = [2, 4]\) (1) km s\(^{-1}\).

---

Table 1. List of sources of measurements used (after removing outliers).*

| No. | Source          | Filter | Number of points | \(\sigma\)  | Note       |
|-----|----------------|--------|------------------|-------------|------------|
| Photometry |                |        |                  |             |            |
| 1   | Gum (1951)     | \(C(B)\) | 33               | 3.7         | normal points |
| 2   | Sanyal et al. (1965) | \(B\) | 42               | 3.4         | normal points |
| 3   |                | \(V\)  | 41               | 4.4         | normal points |
| 4   | Shobbrook (2004) | \(V\)  | 40               | 7           |            |
| Spectroscopy |                |        |                  |             |            |
| 5   | Hipparcos      | \(H_P\) | 118              | 6           |            |
| 6   |                | \(V_T\) | 159              | 38          |            |
| 7   |                | \(B_T\) | 159              | 41          |            |
| 8   | ASAS           | \(V\)  | 435              | 26          |            |
| 9   | Pi             | \(C(R)\) | 490             | 32          |            |

* \(C(B)\) and \(C(R)\) stand for clear filters with \(B\) and \(R\) effective bands, respectively.
V_{rot1} \sin i = [110, 150] \text{ (5) km s}^{-1}, \text{ and } V_{rot2} \sin i = [60, 100] \text{ (5) km s}^{-1} \text{ with the increments given in brackets. In order to shrink the parameter space in equation (1), the metallicity and microturbulence velocity intervals were adopted from the spectroscopic study of four B-type Ori OB1a members (Cunha & Lambert 1994) and statistical study of B-type stars (Nieva & Przybilla 2010; Kodaira & Scholz 1970) respectively. The effective temperature intervals were determined using the colour information of the system (see subsection 4.1). Surface gravity and projected rotational velocity intervals were adopted from the components’ spectral types, which were estimated from their temperatures and mass functions obtained from the spectroscopic orbit by Bakış et al. (2010).}

The normalized flux of the light curve of IM Mon at out of eclipses is changing with phase due to the elongated shapes of the component stars and due to a reflection effect, which causes a variation in the contribution factor of the components in the total light, and therefore in the composite spectrum. In the eclipse phases, any light dilution of the eclipsed component should also be taken into account as an additional effect on the light contributions. Therefore, the most suitable orbital phases to study the spectral lines of the components in a binary system with circular orbit are the quadrature phases, where components’ spectral lines are the strongest and well-separated, unless there is a total eclipse in the system. In the case of a total eclipse, the most suitable phase to study the eclipsing component is the middle of the eclipse phase, where the totally eclipsed component has no light contribution to the total light. In our case, before fitting the models to the observed spectrum at a chosen orbital phase ($\phi = 0.75$), the synthetic spectrum of the components is re-scaled by considering their light contribution in each photometric band listed in table 4. For spectroscopic regions different from the effective wavelengths of the photometric bands of the observations ($BVHp$), an interpolation of the light contributions for a specific wavelength of the spectral line being analyzed is applied. The Doppler shifts of the components due to the orbital motion are also calculated using the orbital parameters given in table 4 of Bakış et al. (2010); this shift is applied to the synthetic spectrum of the component stars before forming the composite spectrum.

The continuum normalization procedure is also an important factor, since an incorrect normalization may alter the line depths, leading to an additional uncertainty in the modelling parameters. Toward the early-type stars, the continuum is more visible due to a decreasing number and strength of neutral metallic lines. However, the fast rotation of early-type stars also has a negative effect on the visibility of the continuum. Therefore, those studying early-type stellar spectra are luckier than those studying late-type stars in the sense of determining the continuum regions of the spectrum. The general procedure of continuum normalization of IM Mon spectra is already given by Bakış et al. (2010). To estimate the uncertainty raising from continuum normalization, each spectral order is normalized five times and the resulting line depths of the spectral lines are investigated. The standard deviation of measured line depths is on the order of 0.1%, which is within the uncertainty box of an observed spectrum with an average
The modelling of the observed spectrum yielded the following atmosphere model, with their uncertainties in brackets for the components of IM Mon: $T_{\text{eff1}} = 17500 (350) \, \text{K}, T_{\text{eff2}} = 14500 (450) \, \text{K}, \log g_1 = 4.20 (0.10) \, \text{cgs}, \log g_2 = 4.20 (0.10) \, \text{cgs}, \zeta_{12} = 2.2 \, \text{km s}^{-1}, V_{\text{rot1}} \sin i = 130 (10) \, \text{km s}^{-1}, V_{\text{rot2}} \sin i = 80 (20) \, \text{km s}^{-1}, \text{and } [\text{Fe/H}] = 0.20 (0.15) \, \text{dex}.

For a comparison with the best-fitting atmosphere model, we computed two more synthetic spectra: one with the solar metallicity and one with the atmosphere parameters varied by 1-$\sigma$; both are shown in figure 1. The atmosphere parameters varied by 1-$\sigma$ are $T_{\text{eff1}} = 17150 \, \text{K}, T_{\text{eff2}} = 14050 \, \text{K}, \log g_1 = 4.10 \, \text{cgs}, \log g_2 = 4.10 \, \text{cgs}, \zeta_{12} = 0 \, \text{km s}^{-1}, V_{\text{rot1}} \sin i = 120 \, \text{km s}^{-1}, V_{\text{rot2}} \sin i = 60 \, \text{km s}^{-1}, \text{and } [\text{Fe/H}] = 0.35 \, \text{dex}.

The derived metallicity $[\text{Fe/H}] = 0.20 (0.15) \, \text{dex}$ is just at the edge of the grid range, but the value is well-determined because the test calculation for a comparison with 1-$\sigma$ variation (see figure 1) shows a large difference between the observed and theoretical spectra.

4. Close Binary Stellar Parameters

4.1. Binary Model and Input Parameters

Accurate estimation of the primary star temperature is one of the most critical tasks before the light curve modelling of close binary stars. An incorrect estimation affects the determination of the secondary star temperature, which leads to incorrect evolutionary scenarios. In this study two methods are used for temperature estimation: a) by modelling spectral lines and b) by the $Q$-method of Johnson and Morgan (1953) using the $UBV$ colours of IM Mon. The modelling of spectral lines is already discussed in section 3, and the primary star temperature is found to be $T_{\text{eff1}} = 17500 \, \text{K}$. The $Q$-method of Johnson and Morgan (1953) is based on a linear correlation between the reddened and unreddened colour of stars according to the following relation:

$$Q = \frac{(U - B)}{(B - V)} - \frac{E(U-B)}{E(B-V)}(B-V).$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $(U - B)$ and $(B - V)$ are the reddened colour indices and $E(U-B)$ and $E(B-V)$ are colour excesses in these indices respectively. Johnson and Morgan (1953) determined the mean value of $E(U-B)/(B-V)$ to be $0.72 \pm 0.03$ from the unreddened and reddened stars tabulated in their study. Since the linear correlation between the reddened and unreddened colours is valid for early-type stars, the use of $Q$-method is limited for the spectral type range B1–B9, which corresponds to range in the $Q$ parameter as $-0.80 < Q < -0.05$. Once the $Q$-parameter is obtained, the unreddened colour index, $(B-V)_0$, can be derived from $(B-V) = -0.009 + 0.337 \, Q$. Using the unreddened colour index of $(B-V)_0$, one can derive the colour excess of $E(B-V)$ from $E(B-V) = (B-V) - (B-V)_0$. The unreddened colour excess, $E(U-B)$, can also be derived from the relation $E(U-B)/(B-V) = 0.72 \pm 0.03$.

Then, the unreddened colour index of $(U - B)_0$ is found from $E(U-B) = (U-B)_0 - (U-B)$.

---

**Table 3. Spectral lines visible in the observed spectrum of IM Mon.**

| Spectral order | Spectral line | Wavelength (nm) |
|---------------|--------------|-----------------|
| 85            | He I         | 667.8           |
| 87            | He$\alpha$   | 656.3           |
| 89            | Ne I         | 640.2           |
| 90            | Si II        | 637.1           |
| 97            | He I         | 587.5           |
| 101           | S II         | 564.7           |
| 104           | Si II        | 546.7           |
| 113           | He I         | 504.8           |
| 116           | Si II        | 504.1           |
| 121           | He I         | 492.2           |
| 127           | Mg II        | 448.1           |
| 130           | He I         | 447.2           |
| 133           | Si II        | 438.8           |
| 137           | He I         | 426.8           |
| 141           | He I         | 414.4           |

$S/N$ ratio of 100. Therefore, the uncertainties in our model parameters are mostly due to the bias of the spectrum rather than the continuum normalization procedure.

All spectral lines that are clearly visible in the observed spectrum and are given in table 3, were analyzed. Atmospheric parameters obtained from metallic lines are consistent for both components while for the Balmer and helium lines of the primary component a discrepancy between model and observations is noticed. This is because of the fact that starting from late B type stars ($T_{\text{eff}} > 15000 \, \text{K}$) there is a discrepancy between the non-LTE and LTE models for the neutral helium and Balmer lines, which progressively becomes more important as the effective temperature of the star increases (O’Mara & Simpson 1972). Nevertheless, the discrepancy between LTE and non-LTE for metallic lines is negligible (Nieva & Przybilla 2007). The inconsistency of atmospheric parameters from the metallic lines and the helium lines is due to a departure from LTE for the primary component, which is negligible or undetectable for the secondary component because of its lower temperature. Since our atmosphere calculations are all LTE-based, we conclude that the modelling of Balmer and helium lines of the primary component can not be performed with our adopted LTE atmosphere models. However, the existence of the metallic lines of the primary in the spectrum is still adequate for the determination of its atmosphere parameters. Therefore, we used only the metallic lines given in table 3 for finding the best-fitting atmosphere parameters. The best-fitting model spectrum is shown in figure 1.
Fig. 1. Observed composite spectrum of IM Mon at orbital phase $\phi = 0.75$ and the model spectrum Doppler shifted and re-scaled for the light contributions of the component stars. The synthetic spectrum calculated for the solar metal abundance and for the atmosphere parameters varied by 1-σ are also shown for a comparison. In each panel the dashed-dotted lines are the observed spectrum, whereas the solid, dashed, and dotted lines (only in top right panel) stand for the best-fitting, solar metal abundance, and 1-σ varied synthetic spectrum (only for Si II 634.7 nm line), respectively. The normalized $\chi^2$ variation of primary star temperature in our grid search is shown at the bottom.
The combined colours of IM Mon were collected from Deutschman, Davis, and Schild (1976) as $(U - B) = -0.650 (0.013)$ and $(B - V) = -0.150 (0.019)$. The combined colour of IM Mon yielded the $Q$-parameter, $Q = -0.542 \pm 0.03$, colour excess, $E(B - V) = 0.042 \pm 0.033$, and visual absorption, $A_V = 0.129$. Therefore, the unreddened colours of the system are $(B - V)_0 = -0.192 \pm 0.014\,\text{mag}$ and $(U - B)_0 = -0.680 \pm 0.039\,\text{mag}$. These unreddened colours correspond to a temperature of $17000 \pm 200\,\text{K}$ according to the calibration tables of Cramer (1984). However, it should be noted that these colours and the corresponding temperature are obtained from the combined light of the components, resulting in a slightly redder colour and lower temperature than the intrinsic colour of the primary component, although the light curves (LCs) and the spectral lines show that the light of the primary star dominates. Since the spectral line modelling yields intrinsic temperatures of the component stars, we adopted a temperature of $T_{\text{eff}} = 17500 \,\text{K} \pm 350\,\text{K}$ for the primary star.

4.2. Determination of the Photometric Elements

From Table 1, where all available photometric data of IM Mon are listed, five photometric data sets ($B$ band data of Gum (1951), $B$ and $V$ band data of Sanyal, Mahra, and Sanwal (1965), $V$ band data of Shobbrook (2004), and $H_p$ band data of Hipparcos) are selected for simultaneous analysis of LCs together with the RVs of the components using the 2003 version of the Wilson–Devinney LC analysis code (Wilson & Devinney 1971; Wilson 1994). Selection was made according to their relative precision among others.

Using the best data specified above, we obtained an estimate of the period, $P$, and initial epoch of primary minimum, $M_0$, together with the model LCs in various colours and model RV curve. We then found that there are systematic seasonal differences between the observed and the model LCs. The seasonal LC variations were already mentioned by Sanyal and Sinhal (1964), who observed the system extensively during 1962–1964. They found that the scatter seen in the light curve of IM Mon is not random, but systematic, due to the intrinsic variability of the cooler component. The authors argued that the period of these variations is nearly, but not exactly, equal to half of the orbital period. Such a type of variability should then manifest itself in long-term changes in the shape of LC in individual observational sets.

The presence of LC changes is clearly apparent in figure 2, depicting the difference between observed LCs and the mean LC. The double-wave character of these differential LCs supports the findings of Sanyal and Sinhal (1964); however, their hypothesis concerning the intrinsic variability of the cooler component is not unique. Observed seasonal LC changes resemble those found in the non-eclipsing interacting binary HD 143418 containing a subsynchronously rotating primary passing through its synchronization stage. The seasonal variability of the orbitally modulated light curves is related to an expected incidence of circumstellar matter originating in the tidally spinning-up primary component (Božić et al. 2007; Zverko et al. 2009). This makes a more detailed study of seasonal changes of IM Mon appealing.

Aiming to restrain the influence of seasonal variations, we corrected all observed data by approximating the data by a double wave harmonic function. The scatter of residuals then diminished considerably. Subsequently, the new model phase curves were used as templates that were applied to all of the observational data summarized in the table 1 with the aim to compute improved ephemeris.

The procedure is iterative, assuming that $y_i$ is $i$-th measurement obtained in time $t_i$, $F [\{\phi(t_i)\}]$ is the model prediction for the $i$-th measurement and $w_i$ is its weight (inversely proportional to the square of its assumed uncertainty). $\phi(t_i)$ is then the so-called phase function (the fractional part of it being the common phase $\varphi$, the integer part is the epoch $E$). The linear phase function is determined by the simple relation $\phi (M_0, P, t) = (t - M_0)/P$, where $M_0$ is the time of the initial epoch of the primary minimum, and $P$ is the orbital period. We found parameters $P$ and $M_0$ so that the following relations are valid:

$$\Delta y_i = y_i - F [\phi(t_i)].$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Delta y_i \frac{\partial F}{\partial \phi} w_i = 0,$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Delta y_i \frac{\partial F}{\partial \varphi} \phi w_i = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

After several iterations we obtained the following equation for heliocentric data of the primary minima:

$$\text{HJD}_1 = M_0 + P \, E$$

$$= 2442331^{d}2515^{m} (9) + 1^{d}19024249^{s}(14) \, E,$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $E$ is the epoch number.
The orbital period, \( P = 1^{1}\)9024249(14), given in equation (4) agrees with the period \( P = 1^{1}\)902424 found by Kreiner (2004). Nevertheless, the present value of the orbital period is more reliable and precise because it is based on much larger observational material and on their more careful treatment.

Supposing a non-zero, but constant, time derivative of period \( \dot{P} \) we found a moderate increase of the orbital period of \( P = (9 \pm 5) \times 10^{-11} \), which may not be real.

The orbital period \( P \) and the initial epoch of the primary minimum \( (M_0) \) were kept fixed during the simultaneous solutions. Since the time derivative of the orbital period \( (\dot{P}) \) is statistically not significant, its value is taken to be zero as the fixed parameter. The temperature of the primary was fixed at \( T_{\text{eff}} = 17500 \) K and the temperature of the secondary \( (T_{\text{eff2}}) \) was left to converge. Gravity darkening exponents \( g_1 = g_2 = 1 \) and bolometric albedos \( A_1 = A_2 = 1 \) were set for radiative envelopes (von Zeipel 1924a, 1924b, 1924c). The logarithmic limb-darkening law was used and limb-darkening coefficients were taken from van Hamme (1993). The surface potentials \( (\Omega_1, \Omega_2) \), light factors of the components \( (L_1, L_2) \), orbital inclination \( (i) \), the mass ratio \( (q) \), separation \( (a) \) of the components, and the systemic velocity \( (V_j) \) were the adjusted parameters during modelling of the LCs. The orbital eccentricity \( (e) \) and longitude of periastron \( (\omega) \) were fixed for a circular orbit (Bakıș et al. 2010).

In addition to the LC input parameters given above, the solution mode, which describes the type of binary (i.e., detached binary, semi-detached binary, contact binary), is required by the Wilson–Devinney code as program input. The most recent photometric analysis of IM Mon by Cester et al. (1978) was not successful to classify the type of the binary due to large scatter in the photometric data they used. Nevertheless, Pourbaix et al. (2004) emphasized the possibility of contact status of the system in “SB9: The ninth catalogue of spectroscopic binary orbits”. We, therefore, initially tested all possible solution modes (Mode 2 for detached binaries, Mode 3 for overcontact binaries with components having different surface brightnesses, Mode 4 and Mode 5 for semi-detached binaries, and Mode 6 for double contact binaries) with the Wilson–Devinney code. None of the solutions with contact and semi-contact configurations converged to give a good fit, and in each trial the fitting parameters converged to a detached configuration. Hence, in the following steps of the analysis, the detached binary configuration has been adopted.

The solutions with a detached configuration in each photometric band converged very rapidly, and had the smallest residuals. The input values of the adjusted parameters and the primary star temperature were then altered to check the consistency and uniqueness of the solution. A change in the value of the primary star temperature did not affect the other parameters’ output values significantly, except for the secondary star temperature. These new input parameters converged to the parameters of the first solution, which shows the consistency of the solutions listed in table 4. The uncertainties of the final light curve modelling parameters (see table 4) directly come from the light-curve modelling program output. The adopted LC solutions for each photometric set are shown in figure 3 together with \( RV \) solutions and the star shapes at four different orbital phases. The Rossiter–McLaughlin effect seen near the eclipse phases of close binary systems is very small in the case of IM Mon due to the low inclination of its orbit (see star shapes at eclipses in figure 3). However, near the primary eclipse, there is one of the \( RVs \) of the primary component significantly outlying from the theoretical curve. This \( RV \) is clearly not measured precisely due to blending of the spectral lines at this phase, and the scatter is certainly not due to Rossiter–McLaughlin effect since it is red-shifted.

5. Results and Discussion

5.1. Astrophysical Parameters

The fundamental astrophysical parameters of IM Mon, which were derived from the simultaneous solutions of light and \( RV \) curves (see subsection 4.2), are summarized in table 5. The parameter uncertainties in table 5 were computed by means of applying the laws of error propagation based on

---

**Table 4. Results from the simultaneous solution of \( B, V, \) and \( Hp \)-band LCs of IM Mon system.**

| Parameter | Value                     |
|-----------|---------------------------|
| \( T_{\text{eff}}(K) \) | 14500 (200)               |
| \( L_1/L_1+2(B) \) | 0.700 (0.003)             |
| \( L_1/L_1+2(Hp) \) | 0.698 (0.005)             |
| \( L_1/L_1+2(V) \) | 0.693 (0.002)             |
| \( \Omega_1 \) | 3.75 (0.12)               |
| \( \Omega_2 \) | 3.72 (0.08)               |
| \( \Omega_{\text{cr}} \) | 3.07                      |
| \( r_1(\text{mean}) \) | 0.323 (0.020)             |
| \( r_2(\text{mean}) \) | 0.242 (0.030)             |
| \( \dot{\omega}(\degree) \) | 62.2 (0.9)               |
| \( q \) | 0.603 (0.011)             |
| \( a(R_\odot) \) | 9.777 (0.14)              |
| \( V_j(\text{km s}^{-1}) \) | 22.1 (2.1)                |

---

* Adjusted and fixed parameters are presented in separate panels of the table. Uncertainties of adjusted parameters are given in brackets.

† \( B_1 \) (Gum 1951), \( B_2 \) (Sanyal et al. 1965), \( V_1 \) (Sanyal et al. 1965), \( V_2 \) (Shobbrook 2004), \( Hp \) (ESA 1997).
Theoretical model fits to five different photometric band LCs (top) and RV data (middle) of IM Mon. The star shapes are plotted for different orbital phases (bottom).

The temperature $T_{\text{eff}1} = 17500 \text{ K}$, mass $M_1 = 5.50 M_\odot$, and radius $R_1 = 3.15 R_\odot$ of the primary component correspond to a spectral type of B4 V. The temperature $T_{\text{eff}2} = 14500 \text{ K}$ of the secondary star implies a B6 spectral type ZAMS star while its mass $M_2 = 3.32 M_\odot$ and radius of $R_2 = 2.36 R_\odot$ are more consistent with a spectral type of B6.5 ZAMS star (i.e., Straizys & Kuriliene 1981).

The unreddened Johnson $V$-magnitude (Deutschman et al. 1976) of IM Mon, when combined with the light contributions (see table 4) as derived from the light-curve analysis, yields the intrinsic $V$-magnitudes ($m_{V1} = 6.84 \text{ mag}$ and $m_{V2} = 7.72 \text{ mag}$) of the primary and secondary components, respectively. Using bolometric corrections $BC_1 = 1.60 (0.04)$ and $BC_2 = 1.16 (0.08) \text{ mag}$ for the primary and the secondary (i.e., Flower 1996), the bolometric and absolute visual magnitudes of the close binary components were derived (see table 5). In Flower (1996), bolometric corrections are not listed with their uncertainties. We estimated the uncertainties by using the uncertainties of the temperatures of the component stars. Considering the uncertainties coming from the bolometric corrections and the visual magnitude of the system, the distance modulus indicates a photometric distance of $353 (59) \text{ pc}$ to IM Mon, which is larger than the distance $304 (36) \text{ pc}$ derived for IM Mon by van Leeuwen (2007) from the re-analysis of raw Hipparcos data, but is not inconsistent with it in view of the large error bar of both distance determinations. In the original Hipparcos catalogue, the distance to IM Mon is given as $341 (85) \text{ pc}$.

The projected rotational velocities of the components were derived to be $V_{\text{rot}1 \sin i} = 130 (10) \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and $V_{\text{rot}2 \sin i} = 80 (10) \text{ km s}^{-1}$ in section 3. Using the orbital inclination ($i = 62.2 \degree$) of IM Mon, the observed rotational velocities of the components are found to be $V_{\text{rot}1} = 147 (15) \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and $V_{\text{rot}2} = 90 (25) \text{ km s}^{-1}$. Both components' rotational velocities seem to agree with the theoretical synchronization velocities within their error limits.

5.2. Kinematical and Dynamical Analysis

The kinematical properties of IM Mon were derived by means of studying IM Mon’s space velocity, which was calculated using an algorithm given by Johnson and Soderblom (1987). Calculation of space velocities requires knowing the systemic velocity and distance of IM Mon as well as its proper motion. The systemic velocity and the distance of IM Mon were derived in the present study, and are presented in table 5. The proper-motion data were taken from the newly reduced Hipparcos catalogue of van Leeuwen (2007). The $U, V,$ and $W$ space velocity components and their errors are listed in table 5. To obtain the space velocity precisely, the first-order galactic differential rotation correction (DRC) was taken into account (Mihalas & Binney 1981), and $-5.19$ and $-0.72 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ DRCs were applied to the $U$ and $V$ space-velocity components, respectively. The $W$ velocity is not affected in this first-order approximation. As for the local standard of rest correction, Mihalas and Binney (1981) values $(9, 12, 7) \text{ km s}^{-1}$ were used, and the total space velocity of the system was obtained as $S = 22.3 (2.3) \text{ km s}^{-1}$. To determine the population type of IM Mon, the galactic orbit of the system was examined. Using the $N$-body code of Dinescu, Girardi, and van Altena (1999), the system’s apogalactic ($R_{\text{max}}$) and perigalactic ($R_{\text{min}}$) distances were obtained as $8.64$ and $8.25 \text{ kpc}$, respectively. Also, the maximum possible vertical distance of the system from the galactic plane is $|z_{\text{max}}| = |z_{\text{min}}| = 90 \text{ pc}$. The following formulae were used to derive the planar and vertical ellipticities:
Table 5. Close binary stellar parameters of IM Mon.*

| Parameter                          | Symbol | Primary        | Secondary       |
|-----------------------------------|--------|----------------|-----------------|
| Spectral type                     | Sp     | B4 V           | B6.5 V          |
| Mass ($M_\odot$)                  | $M$    | 5.50(0.24)     | 3.32(0.16)      |
| Radius ($R_\odot$)                | $R$    | 3.15(0.04)     | 2.36(0.03)      |
| Separation ($R_\odot$)            | $a$    | 9.77(0.14)     |                 |
| Orbital period (days)             | $P$    | 1.19024249(14) |                |
| Orbital inclination (°)           | $i$    | 62.2(0.9)      |                 |
| Mass ratio                        | $q$    | 0.603(0.011)   |                 |
| Eccentricity                      | $e$    | 0.0            |                 |
| Surface gravity (cgs)             | $\log g$ | 4.181(0.009) | 4.214(0.015)    |
| Integrated visual magnitude (mag) | $V$    | 6.67(0.03)     | 7.72(0.03)      |
| Individual visual magnitudes (mag)| $V$    |                |                 |
| Integrated colour index (mag)     | $B-V$ | -0.15(0.02)    |                 |
| Colour excess (mag)               | $E(B-V)$ | 0.04(0.03)     |                 |
| Visual absorption (mag)           | $A_V$ | 0.13(0.03)     |                 |
| Intrinsic colour index (mag)      | $(B-V)_0$ | -0.19(0.02)   |                 |
| Temperature (K)                   | $T_{\mathrm{eff}}$ | 17500(350) | 14500(550)       |
| Luminosity ($L_\odot$)            | $L$    | 2.92(0.03)     | 2.34(0.06)      |
| Bolometric magnitude (mag)        | $M_{\mathrm{bol}}$ | -2.55(0.08) | -1.11(0.16)    |
| Absolute visual magnitude (mag)   | $M_V$ | -0.97(0.04)    | -0.02(0.07)     |
| Bolometric correction (mag)       | $BC$  | -1.60(0.04)    | -1.16(0.08)     |
| Velocity amplitudes (km s$^{-1}$) | $K_1,2$ | 138.7(3.1)    | 228.8(3.1)      |
| Systemic velocity (km s$^{-1}$)   | $V_\gamma$ | 221.2(1.1)   |                 |
| Computed synchronization velocities (km s$^{-1}$) | $V_{\mathrm{synch}}$ | 134(2)      | 100(2)          |
| Observed rotational velocities (km s$^{-1}$) | $V_{\mathrm{rot}}$ | 147(15)     | 90(25)          |
| Distance (pc)                     | $d$    | 353(59)        |                 |
| Proper motion (mas yr$^{-1}$)     | $\mu_\alpha \cos \delta, \mu_\delta$ | 0.00(0.41) | 3.66(0.33)*     |
| Space velocities (km s$^{-1}$)    | $U, V, W$ | -21.80(1.79), | -7.17(1.22), -0.12(0.72) |

* Errors of parameters are given in parentheses.
† From Hipparcos catalogue (van Leeuwen 2007).

The planar and vertical ellipticities were calculated as $e_p = \frac{R_{\max} - R_{\min}}{R_{\max} + R_{\min}}$ and $e_v = \frac{|z_{\max}| + |z_{\min}|}{R_{\max} + R_{\min}}$.

The planar and vertical ellipticities were calculated as $e_p = 0.02$ and $e_v = 0.01$. These values show that IM Mon is orbiting around the center of the Galaxy in a circular orbit and the system belongs to the young thin-disc population.

5.3. Evolutionary Stage

We investigated the evolutionary status of IM Mon in the plane of $\log T_{\mathrm{eff}}$–$\log g$ (figure 4) using the latest theoretical isochrones of Girardi et al. (2000), which include the mass loss and moderate overshooting ($\Lambda_c = 0.5$). Assuming an [Fe/H] = 0.2 dex metal content, as obtained from the modelling of spectral lines, we prepared a set of isochrones corresponding to $Y = 0.30$ and $Z = 0.03$. The isochrones of 11 Myr and 12 Myr shown in figure 4 imply a mean age of 11.5 (1.5) Myr for the system. The location of both components of IM Mon is fully compatible with the formerly derived ages for Ori OB1a, which is summarized in table 6 together with other related parameters.

5.4. Membership to Ori OB1a

To study the membership of IM Mon to the Ori OB1a association, the galactic orbits of known associated stars were generated. Brown, de Geus, and de Zeeuw (1994) listed the stars that are secure members of Ori OB1a association. To generate more precise galactic orbits, the proper motions and trigonometric parallaxes of member stars were taken from the
Table 6. Comparison of Ori OB1a and IM Mon.*

| Age (Myr) | Distance (pc) | Chemical abundance (dex) | $V_\gamma$ (km s$^{-1}$) |
|-----------|---------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| This study | Literature    | This study               | Literature              |
| 11.5(1.5) | 12 (a)        | 353(59)                  | −0.01(0.04) (c)          |
| 11 (b)    | 400 (d)       | 336(16) (b)              | 22.1(2.1)                |
| [7–10] (f, g) | 304(36) (e) |                      | 23.0 (b)                 |

* References: (a) Blaauw (1991), (b) Brown et al. (1999), (c) D’Orazi et al. (2009), (d) Mel’nik & Dambis (2009), (e) van Leeuwen (2007), (f) Calvet et al. (2005), (g) Briceno et al. (2005).

newly reduced Hipparcos catalogue (van Leeuwen 2007). 29 members of Ori OB1a with both astrometric data and precise $RV$s (Kharchenko et al. 2007) were found.

The galactic orbits of the 29 stars were drawn using the $N$-body code of Dinescu, Girardi, and van Altena (1999). The timescale in generating the orbits was assumed to be 1 Gyr, and the calculation steps were 5 Myr. The 1 Gyr timescale was assumed so that precise orbits were created, even though it is longer than the nuclear time scale of the early-type stars. The motions of those 29 stars on the $X$–$Y$ and $X$–$Z$ planes around the galactic center are shown in figure 5. The galactic orbits of the member stars are shown with gray dots, whereas IM Mon is represented with the solid line. As can be seen in figure 5, the galactic orbits of members of Ori OB1a are in the same region with IM Mon. This supports the membership of IM Mon to the Ori OB1a association dynamically.

A comparison of the physical properties of the IM Mon system and Ori OB1a association is given in table 6. IM Mon’s age was calculated as being 11.5 (1.5) Myr using Padova isochrones, whereas Blaauw (1991) and Brown et al. (1999), who studied stars in the Ori OB1a region, gave 12 and 11 Myr for the association. These values agree with values determined for IM Mon in this study.

The distance also needs to be studied to determine the membership of IM Mon to the Ori OB1a association. In this study, the distance determined for IM Mon is 353 (59) pc. The distance evaluated using photometric methods for Ori OB1a, 336 (16) pc (Brown et al. 1999), and the distance evaluated using newly reduced Hipparcos data, 400 pc (Mel’nik & Dambis 2009), are in agreement with the distance of IM Mon.

Regarding the metallicity of OB1a sub-group, we have only the spectroscopic analysis results of Cunha and Lambert (1994) on four B-type stars belonging to the region of OB1a sub-group with their metallicity values ranging more than $1\sigma$ (0.13 dex) around the solar metallicity. Nevertheless, a recent study of D’Orazi et al. (2009), who analyzed the spectra of low-mass members, gave a more precise value for the average metallicity $[Fe/H] = -0.01 (0.04)$ dex, a solar metallicity, of the Orion Nebular Cluster (ONC). Therefore, the metallicity obtained for IM Mon in this study $[Fe/H] = +0.2 (0.15)$ dex does not agree with the previously determined metallicity values in the region. However, considering its large uncertainty, it is still close to the solar metallicity.

In the present study, we updated the systemic velocity of IM Mon to be $V_\gamma = 22.1 (2.1) \text{ km s}^{-1}$ from the value $V_\gamma = 21.2 (1.8) \text{ km s}^{-1}$ given by Bakış et al. (2010). Brown et al. (1999) and Mel’nik and Dambis (2009), who studied the RVs of stars in the Ori OB1a association gave the mean velocities of the member stars to be 23.0 and 25.4 km s$^{-1}$.

These values agree with the systemic velocity of IM Mon within its uncertainty.

6. Summary and Conclusions

OB associations are young galactic clusters where star formation is ongoing, or has just ended. The study of OB
associations yields useful information about the characteristic of star formation, such as the formation history, binary population, and initial mass function. However, this information can be obtained only if the properties of the OB association, such as the distance, age, metallicity, and kinematics, are very well established. Observing single stars in an OB association does not provide sufficient precision unless many of them are observed, which requires much observing time. In this case, eclipsing binaries, which are the royal road to the stars, can yield precise age, metallicity, and kinematics of the medium in which they are embedded, and they do not require much observing time, provided that stars with relatively short periods are selected.

In this work, using sophisticated modeling tools, we studied the close binary system IM Mon together with all of its available photometric, spectroscopic, kinematical, and dynamical data. The membership of IM Mon to Ori OB1a subgroup has been established securely by means of comparing the dynamical galactic orbits of 29 Ori OB1a members with the galactic orbit of IM Mon. The absolute dimensions we derived for IM Mon in this study lead to a reliable distance determination. The location of both components of IM Mon in the plane of log $T_{\text{eff}}$–$\log g$ is fully compatible with the formerly derived ages for this association. The metallicity $[\text{Fe/H}] = 0.20 (0.15)$ dex of IM Mon obtained in this study has a large uncertainty, which may explain the disagreement between the average metallicity $[\text{Fe/H}] = -0.01 (0.04)$ dex of the ONC and IM Mon.

Using the information derived in the present work, we conclude that Ori OB1a is located at a distance of $353 (59)$ pc, has an age of $11.5 (1.5)$ Myr and has a metallicity of $0.20 (0.15)$ dex. In summary, IM Mon is a secure member of the Ori OB1A subgroup.
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