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Abstract

**Background and methods:** We studied the HIV status of patients on renal replacement therapy (RRT) and their spouses via a questionnaire. We selected 24 HIV positive patients who were either on maintenance hemodialysis (n=14) or renal allograft recipients (n=10). Patient's spouses (20) were also included.

**Results:** Out of the 24 patients 21 were male (85.5%) and 3 females (14.5%). Only 20 patients had living spouses. Regarding probable source of infection: 20 (83.3%) patients pointed towards multiple sexual contacts, while 1 each (4.2%) stated blood transfusion and multiple needle pricks as the cause, while 2 were unsure of any cause (8.4%). Out of 20 spouses, 18 were sexually active of which 8 (44.4%) were found to be HIV Positive and all answered that they contracting the infection through sexual contact with their spouses. Among the 18 sexually active couples, 12 were using condoms, while 6 who were not using condoms were found to be HIV positive. Of 8 HIV positive spouses, 2 were infected despite of using condoms. 15 patients out of 24 were chronic alcoholics (62.5%). Out of 44 subjects (24 patients and 20 spouses) 40 opined that social worker counselling was the most effective way of preventing spread (90.9%) followed by increasing awareness through media (n=36, 81.8%) while 30 subjects (68.2%) stated that an addition to school curriculum would be helpful in preventing its spread whereas 28 (63.6%) thought that counselling by the family physician could help in preventing the spread of the infection.

**Conclusion:** This study concludes that people with multiple sexual contacts, intravenous drug abusers and spouses of infected patients are at high risk of contracting HIV infection. Barrier contraception, though extremely effective, is not a full proof method of protection from the spread of HIV infection. Social workers have tremendous impact in increasing awareness of HIV and restricting its spread along with media, educational curriculum and physician counselling.
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Introduction

Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) infection can cause chronic kidney disease (CKD) and can contribute significantly to the burden of patients requiring renal replacement therapy (RRT). The profile of patients with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection has changed significantly since the mid-1990s, following the introduction of combined anti-retroviral therapy (cART). HIV-associated nephropathy (HIVAN) was previously the third most common cause of end-stage renal disease (ESRD) in African-American patients in the USA after hypertension and diabetes [1]. Since the advent of antiretroviral therapy (ART), HIVAN is now in 7th place as the cause of end-stage renal disease (ESRD) in African-American patients in the USA. In addition to HIVAN, the main causes of end stage renal disease include diabetic nephropathy, nephrosclerosis and nephrototoxicity [2]. However, the overall incidence of chronic kidney disease requiring renal replacement therapy (CKD-5) in these patients has not decreased. Nearly 4-7% of HIV positive patients develop ESRD and it has become one of the most important causes of morbidity and mortality in HIV positive patients [3].

Introduction of combined antiretroviral therapy (cART) has increased the life expectancy of HIV positive patients who are on renal replacement therapy in the form of either maintenance hemodialysis or renal transplantation. Prolonged life expectancy in these patients also increases the chances of spread of HIV infection to their spouses [4,5]. We have carried this study in HIV positive patients who were on renal replacement therapy and their spouses, to evaluate HIV status and its spread in these patients and their spouses. We have also studied the level of awareness about the disease and methods of prevention among the subjects.

**Materials and Method**

This study included 24 HIV positive patients (tested through ELISA: Abbott Architect with CMI technology) who were on renal replacement therapy. Out of 24 patients, 20 patients had spouses. Majority of them were male (21, 85.5%) of black ethnicity (85.5%). Out of 24 patients, 14 were on maintenance hemodialysis (58.3%) while 10 were renal allograft recipients (41.6%). All the patients were on cART. Most patients (16 out of 24) were on a combination of 2 NRTI (Nucleoside Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitor) and 1 NNRTI (Non-Nucleoside Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitor). HIV status of spouses was determined using ELISA method. Out of 20 spouses, 18 were female (90%) and most of them were of black ethnicity (95%). Hypertension (37.5%) was the most common native kidney diagnosis followed by HIVAN (HIV associated Nephropathy), CIN (Chronic Interstitial Nephritis), DN (Diabetic Nephropathy) and the least common was CGN (Chronic Glomerulonephritis) (8.33%). We interrogated these subjects via a multiple-choice questionnaire to know the causes of
spread and awareness among subjects. Opinions of these subjects were also taken regarding the ways of prevention of HIV spread. Prior approval was obtained from the ethical committee of the hospital for this study (Table 1).

**Results**

24 HIV positive CKD V patients were included in this study. All patients were either on dialysis support in the form of hemodialysis or had received renal transplantation. Of the 24 patients, 20 had living spouses. Among the 20 spouses, 8 (40%) were found to be HIV positive.

**Questionnaire**

**Probable mode of spread**

Out of the 24 HIV positive patients, 20 (83.3%) stated they had multiple sexual contacts and thus contracted HIV, while one patient each stated that they had contracted the virus through needle prick and Blood Transfusion (4.2% each), while 2 were unsure about the mode of spread (8.4%).

**Chronic alcoholism**

Of these 24 patients on RRT, 15 patients (62.5%) answered that they were chronic alcoholics. Although none of these patients exhibited clinical signs of Chronic liver disease (Figure 1).

**Sexually active**

Out of the 20 spouses, 18 stated that they were sexually active. Of these, 8 spouses were found to be HIV positive and 10 HIV negative.

**Use of contraception**

Among the 18 sexually active couples, 12 used male barrier contraception consistently, out of which 2 were found to be HIV positive (16.6%).

Whereas all 6 spouses who were sexually active without practicing barrier contraception in the form of condoms were HIV positive (100%) (Figure 2).

**Ways/methods of prevention**

Out of 44 subjects (24 patients and 20 spouses), 40 opined that social worker visits with counselling were effective in preventing spread of the disease (90.9%). Of the 44 subjects, 36 stated that newspaper, television and social media were good medium for the same (81.8%).

Whereas 30 favored teaching about HIV as being effective at schools.
While the remaining 6 spouses who did not use barrier contraception were HIV positive suggesting sexual mode of spread is of particular importance leaving spouses of HIV patients at high risk for transmission of HIV infection. Male condoms are an extremely effective means of HIV, STD and pregnancy prevention. The effectiveness of condom is limited by user failure rather than product failure. Users may fail to either put on a condom before genital contact or fail to completely unroll the condom. In addition, some people fail to use a condom with every act of sexual intercourse. Some don’t use condoms because condoms reduce sexual sensation. Other feel that condoms are a barrier to intimacy [6].

Above may be the reasons of our observations that 2 spouses were infected in despite barrier contraceptive use. It means that barrier contraceptives in the form of male condoms, though extremely effective, are not full proof method of protection from the spread of HIV infection.

HIV prevention programmes are interventions that aim to halt the transmission of HIV. Initially, HIV prevention programs focused primarily on preventing the sexual transmission of HIV through behavior change. For a number of years, the ABC approach - “Abstinence, Be faithful, Use a Condom” - was used in response to the growing epidemic in sub-Saharan Africa. However, by the mid-2000s, it became evident that effective HIV prevention needs to consider underlying socio-cultural, economic, political, legal and other contextual factors. As the complex nature of the global HIV epidemic has become clear, forms of combination prevention have largely replaced ABC-type approaches [6]. In this study, most of the subjects opined that social workers along with social media may be key in the prevention of HIV spread.

**Discussion**

This study was aimed at evaluation of HIV status and its spread in HIV patients on RRT and their spouses. In our study 85.5% were male and 14.5% female. Even the national (Indian) and worldwide data suggests a disparity in the prevalence of HIV positivity between males and females. This may be multifactorial and therefore requires further investigation. One factor may be that overall; males receive more attention towards their health in comparison to females in our society.

Multiple patients answered that they caught the infection due to multiple sexual contacts. Globally known main modes of transmission of HIV infection are through sexual contact, needle prick injury, blood transfusion. HIV is spread through certain body fluids from an infected individual. These fluids include blood, semen, pre-seminal fluids, rectal fluids, vaginal fluids, and breast milk. As per Modes of Transmission model by UNAIDS (Joint United nations program on HIV/AIDS), most common mode of transmission is through sexual contact (31.87-33.26%), followed by Intravenous drug use (0.77%), Needle prick injury (0.09%) and Blood transfusion (0.09%) [6]. Present study also concludes that the most common mode of spread was through sexual contact.

Among the 20 patients with spouses, 8 spouses were found to be HIV positive. As per this study, there is increased HIV positivity in spouses of HIV patients. Of the 18 couples who were sexually active, 12 used male barrier contraception consistently and only 2 spouses of these patients were HIV positive. While the remaining 6 spouses who did not use barrier contraception were HIV positive suggesting sexual mode of spread is of particular importance leaving spouses of HIV patients at high risk for transmission of HIV infection.

**Figure 2:** Showing modes of contraception and HIV positivity among spouses.

**Figure 3:** Showing methods of prevention of spread of HIV infection.

(68.2%). Family physician counselling would be effective for increasing awareness and preventing the spread of the disease As per to 28 subjects (63.6%) (Figure 3).
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