CONSTRUCTING PERMUTATION RATIONAL FUNCTIONS FROM ISOGENIES
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Abstract. A permutation rational function \( f \in \mathbb{F}_q(x) \) is a rational function that induces a bijection on \( \mathbb{F}_q \), that is, for all \( y \in \mathbb{F}_q \) there exists exactly one \( x \in \mathbb{F}_q \) such that \( f(x) = y \). Permutation rational functions are intimately related to exceptional rational functions, and more generally exceptional covers of the projective line, of which they form the first important example.

In this paper, we show how to efficiently generate many permutation rational functions over large finite fields using isogenies of elliptic curves, and discuss some cryptographic applications. Our algorithm is based on Fried’s modular interpretation of certain dihedral exceptional covers of the projective line (Cont. Math., 1994).

Key words. Permutation rational functions, Exceptional covers, Isogenies, Elliptic curves, Cryptography.

AMS subject classifications. 11T71, 14K02

1. Introduction. A map \( X \to Y \) of (smooth, projective) algebraic curves over a finite field \( \mathbb{F}_q \) is called an exceptional cover when the induced map on \( \mathbb{F}_q \)-points \( X(\mathbb{F}_q^t) \to Y(\mathbb{F}_q^t) \) is a bijection for infinitely many values of \( t \) (necessarily including \( t = 1 \)). The construction of exceptional covers is an important problem in arithmetic algebraic geometry [8], which also has applications to cryptography.

One can in particular mention the construction of hash functions with values in algebraic curves and their Jacobians: while there already is abundant literature on the subject, the construction techniques proposed so far have been somewhat ad hoc and unsystematic [16], and only partial results have been obtained for curves of genus \( \geq 2 \) [12, 5, 4]. A more programmatic approach has been suggested in [17] based on the observation that, given an exceptional cover \( X \to \mathbb{P}^1 \) of the projective line over \( \mathbb{F}_q \), one can obtain encodings of elements of \( \mathbb{F}_q \) to all curves \( Y \) with a non-constant map \( h \colon X \to Y \) simply by composing the bijection \( \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q) \to X(\mathbb{F}_q) \) with \( h \). The construction of hash functions is thus reduced to obtaining explicit exceptional covers of the projective line.

The simplest such exceptional covers are those of genus zero, namely rational functions \( f \in \mathbb{F}_q(x) \) inducing a permutation of \( \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q^t) \) for infinitely many \( t \). They are not directly applicable to hashing (since any curve \( Y \) with a non-constant map \( \mathbb{P}^1 \to Y \) is rational), but they are comparably well-understood [7, 9] and an interesting first step towards the general case. Fried [8, §4] also suggested that these exceptional covers should play an important role in public-key cryptography.

Indeed, exceptional covers are essentially the same objects as permutation rational functions, i.e. rational functions over \( \mathbb{F}_q \) inducing a bijection of \( \mathbb{F}_q \) to itself: clearly, an exceptional cover \( f \colon \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1 \) satisfying \( f(\infty) = \infty \) (which can always be satisfied up to a linear fractional transformation) is a permutation rational function, and one can show that if the degree of \( f \) is small compared to \( q \), the converse also holds [10, 18]. In particular, we can see these rational functions as generalizations of the RSA polynomials \( x^e \) with \( e \) coprime to \( q - 1 \).

Our contributions. Based on Fried’s modular interpretation of a large class of exceptional covers \( \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1 \) called exceptional involution covers [7, Cor. 3.5], we describe an
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algorithm to generate permutation rational functions of any constant prime degree $\ell \geq 5$ (which are, in fact, exceptional covers) over large finite fields and show that it is efficient and practical. We also expand upon the RSA analogy alluded to in [8] and discuss how our algorithm might indeed be used to obtain new factoring-related trapdoor permutations that behave better than the RSA trapdoor permutation against certain classes of attacks.

2. Permutation rational functions from isogenies. Consider two elliptic curves $E: y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$ and $E': y^2 = x^3 + a'x + b'$ over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ of characteristic $\neq 2,3$ and an isogeny $\varphi: E \rightarrow E'$ defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Since $\varphi$ commutes to the involution of multiplication by $-1$, the $x$-coordinate (resp. $y$-coordinate) of $\varphi(x, y)$ is an even (resp. odd) function of $y$. And since $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$, this means that there exist unique rational functions $u_\varphi, v_\varphi \in \mathbb{F}_q(x)$ such that $\varphi$ has the form:

$$\varphi(x, y) = \left(u_\varphi(x), y \cdot v_\varphi(x)\right).$$

This paper is based on the following observation.

**Theorem 1.** Let $\varphi: E \rightarrow E'$ as above be an isogeny defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of prime degree $\ell$.

The following conditions are equivalent.

(i) $u_\varphi$ has no $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational pole;

(ii) the kernel $K$ of $\varphi$ satisfies $K(\mathbb{F}_q) = \{0\}$;

(iii) $u_\varphi$ is a permutation rational function.

**Proof.** (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Let $P = (x, y)$ be a non-identity element of $K(\mathbb{F}_q)$. We know that $x$ is a pole of $u_\varphi$ (as $P \in K$) and will now show that $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$. First note that $P$ is a generator of $K$ since that group has prime order $\ell$. As a result, in view of the fact that $\varphi$ commutes with the Frobenius, $P$ must be an eigenvector of the Frobenius $F$ of $E$ for some eigenvalue $\lambda$ (when we view $F$ as a linear endomorphism of the $\mathbb{F}_q$-vector space $E[\ell](\mathbb{F}_q)$). Moreover, since $P$ is in $E(\mathbb{F}_q^{\ell})$, we have $F^2(P) = P$, hence $\lambda = \pm 1$. Therefore, $F(P) = (x, \pm y)$ and in particular $x^q = x$, that is, $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Thus, $x$ is an $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational pole of $u_\varphi$.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). The rational fraction $u_\varphi$ is a permutation rational function if and only if it has no rational pole and is injective. Assuming $K(\mathbb{F}_q) = \{0\}$, $u_\varphi$ cannot have a rational pole: indeed, if $x$ were such a pole, a point $P$ on $E$ with that $x$-coordinate would be defined over $\mathbb{F}_q^2$ and satisfy $\varphi(P) = 0$. Suppose now that there exist $x, x' \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that $u_\varphi(x) = u_\varphi(x')$. Take two points $P, P' \in E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ having these values as their respective $x$-coordinates. Since $u_\varphi(x) = u_\varphi(x')$, we have $\varphi(P) = \pm \varphi(P')$, and so $P \pm P' \in K(\mathbb{F}_q) = \{0\}$. This implies that $P = \pm P'$ and thus $x = x'$. Therefore $u_\varphi$ is injective.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) is clear.

We note that the condition in the theorem can only be satisfied for $\ell \geq 5$. Indeed, since the kernel of $\varphi$ consists of $\ell$ points including the point at infinity, the denominator of $u_\varphi$ is of degree $\ell - 1$. In particular, for $\ell = 2$, it is linear and thus does have a rational root. Moreover, for $\ell$ odd, the non-zero kernel points come in pairs $\pm P$ of distinct points with the same $x$-coordinate, so the denominator of $u_\varphi$ is actually the square of a polynomial of degree $(\ell - 1)/2$. This again implies that $u_\varphi$ has a rational pole for $\ell = 3$. On the other hand, we will be able to construct examples of the situation in the theorem for any $\ell \geq 5$.

Note also that under the conditions of the theorem, $u_\varphi$ is in fact an exceptional cover $\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. This follows from the fact that $K(\mathbb{F}_q^{\ell})$ remains trivial for any $t$ coprime to the degree of the finite extension of $\mathbb{F}_q$ over which the points of $K$ are defined.

The above theorem enables us to efficiently construct permutation rational functions of given prime degree $\ell \geq 5$ over prescribed finite fields $\mathbb{F}_q$ of cryptographic size. To do so, we proceed as follows.
3. Computing isogeny kernels. As before, let $E$ be an elliptic curve defined over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$. Denote by $P$ a point of prime order $\ell$ and by $K$ the subgroup it generates. The isogeny $E \to E/K$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1 if and only if $K$ is rational and $K(\mathbb{F}_q) = \{0\}$. The second condition is easy to test: since $\ell$ is prime, all nontrivial points of $K$ generate all others; it thus suffices to verify that $P$ is not defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$. To efficiently test whether $K$ is rational we use the following criterion.

**Lemma 2.** Let $P$ be a point of prime order $\ell$ on $E$. Denote by $d$ the degree of the field extension $\mathbb{F}_q(x_P)/\mathbb{F}_q$. Let $\tau$ be an integer of order exactly $d$ in $\mathbb{F}_\ell^\times/(\pm 1)$. The subgroup $K$ generated by $P$ is rational if and only if $x_{[\tau]P}$ is a Galois conjugate of $x_P$.

**Proof.** The subgroup $K$ is stable under the involution of multiplication by $-1$ and is thus completely determined by the set $H$ of $x$-coordinates of its nontrivial points, which forms a principal homogeneous space for $\mathbb{F}_\ell^\times/(\pm 1)$ where $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_\ell^\times$ acts by $x_P \mapsto x_{[\lambda]P}$.

The Frobenius automorphism $\pi$ of $\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_q$ stabilizes $H$ and thus acts as an element $\lambda_\pi$. Now let $e$ denote the degree of the smallest extension of $\mathbb{F}_q$ over which $H$ is defined. Note that $\mathbb{F}_q$ is also the field of definition of $K$ since this subgroup has odd order. Then $\lambda_\pi$ is of order exactly $d/e$. Therefore, the group $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_q)$ embeds in $\mathbb{F}_\ell^\times/(\pm 1)$ as $\mu_{d/e}$ and its action partitions $H$ into orbits of length $d/e$. The stabilizer of $P$'s orbit is then $\mu_{d/e}$. In particular, $e = 1$ if and only if $x_{[\tau]P}$ lies in the same orbit as $x_P$.

To make the above criterion explicit, recall that multiplication-by-$k$ is an algebraic map on $E$

$$P = (x, y) \mapsto [k]P = \left( \frac{\phi_k(x)}{\psi_k(x)^2}, \frac{\omega_k(x, y)}{\psi_k(x)^2} \right)$$

where the polynomials $\phi_k, \psi_k, \omega_k$ are efficient computable. It follows that $x$-coordinates of $\ell$-torsion points are roots of the so-called $\ell$-division polynomial $\psi_\ell(x)$. If $f(x)$ is a degree-$d$ irreducible factor of $\psi_\ell(x)$, we can test whether its roots are the $x$-coordinates of points $P$ such that $f(x_{[\tau]P}) = 0$ by checking whether

$$f\left( \frac{\phi_\tau(x)}{\psi_\tau(x)^2} \right) \equiv 0 \mod f(x).$$

In that case, the $x$-coordinates of other points of $K$ are obtained through the map $x_P \mapsto x_{[\rho]P}$ for $\rho \in \mathbb{F}_\ell^\times/(\pm 1)/\mu_d$. We can compute them as the roots of

$$\gcd(\psi_\ell(x), g_\rho(x)) \quad \text{where} \quad g_\rho(x) = \text{res}_y\left( f(y), \phi_\rho(x) - \psi_\rho(x)^2 y \right).$$

Indeed, for each $P \in K$ there are $\rho^2$ points $Q$ such that $[\rho]Q = P$, only one of which lies in $K$; the others have order $\ell\rho$ and are eliminated by taking the gcd with $\psi_\ell(x)$.

Note that the above is unnecessary for $d = \frac{\ell^2}{4}$. In that case, the condition of the lemma always holds and degree-$d$ irreducible factors of $\psi_\ell(x)$ are directly kernel polynomials of rational degree-$\ell$ isogenies.

Putting the above together we obtain Algorithm 1.

**Theorem 3.** Algorithm 1 has a probabilistic running time of $\widetilde{O}(\ell^{4+\epsilon} \log(q)^2)$.

**Proof.** Step 1 computes the division polynomial $\psi_\ell(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ which is of degree $\frac{\ell^2-1}{2}$. Using the formulas of [19, page 200] and an asymptotically fast method for polynomial multiplication, this takes quasi linear time in the output: $O(\ell^2 \log(q))$.

The loop from Step 4 runs a maximum of $O(\ell^\epsilon)$ iterations, for any $\epsilon > 0$, thanks to the bound on the number of divisors from [1, Theorem 13.12].
Algorithm 1: Compute kernel polynomials satisfying Theorem 1.

Input: an elliptic curve $E/F_q$ with $q = p^n$, $p \neq 2, 3$, and a prime $\ell \notin \{2, 3, p\}$.
Output: the list $\mathcal{L}$ of all kernel polynomials of $\ell$-isogenies satisfying the conditions of Theorem 1.

1: compute the $\ell$-division polynomial $\psi_\ell(x)$ of $E/F_q$.
2: let $\omega$ denote a generator of $\mathbb{F}_\ell^\times$.
3: initialize $\mathcal{L}$ to the empty list.
4: for each positive divisor $d$ of $\frac{\ell-1}{2}$ other than 1 do
5:     compute the set $\mathcal{F}$ of degree-$d$ irreducible factors of $\psi_\ell(x)$.
6:     let $\tau$ be the smallest positive integer such that $\tau \equiv \pm \omega^m \mod \ell$.
7:         using a remainder tree, compute $a_f = \phi_\tau(x)/\psi_\tau(x)^2 \mod f(x)$ for each $f \in \mathcal{F}$.
8:     while $\mathcal{F}$ is not empty do
9:         remove the first element from $\mathcal{F}$ and call it $f$.
10:            if $f(a_f) \neq 0 \mod f(x)$ then
11:                continue to the next iteration.
12:            end if
13:     let $k \leftarrow f$.
14:     for $m = 1$ to $\frac{\ell-1}{2} - 1$ do
15:         let $\rho$ be the smallest positive integer such that $\rho \equiv \pm \omega^m \mod \ell$.
16:             let $g(x) \leftarrow \text{res}_g(f(y), \phi_\rho(x) - \psi_\rho(x)^2y)$.
17:             let $g(x) \leftarrow \text{monic}(\text{gcd}(\psi_\rho(x), g(x)))$.
18:             let $k \leftarrow g \cdot k$.
19:         remove $g(x)$ from $\mathcal{F}$.
20:     end for
21:     add $k(x)$ to the list $\mathcal{L}$.
22: end while
23: end for
24: return $\mathcal{L}$.

In order to find the irreducible factors of degree $d < \frac{\ell-1}{2}$ of $\psi_\ell(x)$ we use ideas from the Cantor–Zassenhaus algorithm: first we evaluate

$$r(x) = \frac{\gcd(\psi_\ell(x), x^{\ell^d} - x)}{\gcd(\psi_\ell(x), x^\ell - x)}$$

which is the product of all such factors; then we isolate those factors by iteratively splitting $r(x)$ as

$$r(x) = g(x) \cdot \frac{r(x)}{g(x)} \text{ where } g(x) = \gcd\left(r(x), h(x)^{\frac{\ell^d-1}{\ell^d-1}}\right)$$

with $h(x)$ drawn uniformly at random from $\mathbb{F}_q[x]/(r(x))$. Evaluating such expressions boils down to computing $O(q^4)$-powers in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]/(r(x))$ which, since $r(x)$ has degree $O(\ell^2)$, gives an asymptotic complexity of $\tilde{O}(\ell^4 \log(q)^2)$ for Step 5.

Step 7 takes at most $\ell^{2+\epsilon}$ elementary operations in $\mathbb{F}_q$ using [14].

Both Step 16 and 17 use $O(d\ell^2)$ operations over $\mathbb{F}_q$. They run at most once per element $f \in \mathcal{F}$, which gives an overall contribution of $\tilde{O}(\ell^4 \log(q))$ to the running time. This dominates the loop from Step 8 to 22.

4. Computing permutation rational functions. We now turn to our main algorithm. First recall that isomorphism classes of elliptic curves can be uniquely identified by...
Algorithm 2 Compute permutation rational functions.

Input: a prime power \( q = p^n \) with \( p \neq 2,3 \) and a prime \( \ell \notin \{2,3,p\} \).

Output: permutation rational functions of degree \( \ell \) over \( \mathbb{F}_q \).

1: compute the reduction to \( \mathbb{F}_q[X] \) of the \( \ell \)-modular polynomial \( \Phi_\ell(X,Y) \).

2: \textbf{loop} \\
3: \hspace{1em} \textbf{repeat} \\
4: \hspace{2em} draw an element \( j \in \mathbb{F}_q \) uniformly at random. \\
5: \hspace{2em} \textbf{until} the polynomial \( \Phi_\ell(X,j) \) has at least one root. \\
6: \hspace{1em} let \( E/\mathbb{F}_q \) denote an elliptic curve with \( j \)-invariant \( j \). \\
7: \hspace{1em} for each polynomial \( f(x) \) output by Algorithm 1 \textbf{do} \\
8: \hspace{2em} compute the isogeny \( \varphi \) with kernel polynomial \( f(x) \) using Kohel’s formula. \\
9: \hspace{2em} \textbf{return} its \( x \)-coordinate map \( u_\varphi \). \\
10: \hspace{1em} \textbf{end for} \\
11: \textbf{end loop}

their \( j \)-invariant. Under this map, pairs of \( \ell \)-isogenous elliptic curves \( (E, E') \) are completely characterized by the equality \( \Phi_\ell(j(E), j(E')) = 0 \) where \( \Phi_\ell(X,Y) \) denotes the \( \ell \)-modular polynomial. Thus, to select a rational \( \ell \)-isogeny \( E \to E' \), we simply draw \( j(E) \) uniformly at random from \( \mathbb{F}_q \) until \( \Phi_\ell(X,j(E)) \) has a root.

For all suitable kernel polynomials \( f(x) \) found by Algorithm 1, we output the corresponding isogeny map derived using Kohel’s formula [13, Section 2.4]. This gives Algorithm 2.

**Theorem 4.** Heuristically, Algorithm 2 runs in \( \tilde{O}(\ell^4 + \ell^3 \log(q)^2) \) time.

*Proof.* Step 1 uses the method of [3] to compute the modular polynomial in \( \tilde{O}(\ell^3 \log(q)) \) operations. For Step 7 we refer to Algorithm 1. Finally, the complexity of Step 8 is quasi linear in its input.

To conclude the proof, we only need to show that the average number of loop iterations is bounded. First consider the innermost loop. The probability that a random \( j \)-invariant satisfies the condition in Step 5 is exactly that of the corresponding elliptic curve \( E \) having a rational degree-\( \ell \) isogeny. Assuming the curve is ordinary, which only disregards finitely many \( j \)-invariants, the so-called volcano structure [13, 6] implies that, if the discriminant \( \Delta(E) \) is a nonzero square modulo \( \ell \), then \( E \) has \( \ell \)-maximal endomorphism ring and is connected to other such curves by a cycle of \( \ell \)-isogenies. Therefore the probability that we so obtain a rational isogeny is \( \frac{\ell}{\ell^2} \) under the heuristic assumption that \( \Delta(E) \) behaves modulo \( \ell \) as a random integer.

The outermost loop is executed as many times as we require rational isogenies before the kernel of one admits no nontrivial rational point. Recall that the modular curves \( X_0(\ell) \) and \( X_1(\ell) \) essentially parametrize pairs \( (E, K) \) where \( K \) is an order-\( \ell \) subgroup of the elliptic curve \( E \), and pairs \( (E, P) \) where \( P \) is an order-\( \ell \) point of \( E \), respectively. Similarly, one can consider the modular curve associated with the congruence subgroup

\[
\Gamma_1^\prime(\ell) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}^4 \mid \begin{bmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & * \end{bmatrix} \pmod{\ell} \right\}
\]

whose associated modular curve parametrizes pairs \( (E, \pm P) \) consisting of an elliptic curve and a point of order \( \ell \) up to sign. The natural map \( X_1(\ell) \to X(\Gamma_1^\prime(\ell)) \) is actually an isomorphism, and since \( X_1(\ell) \to X_0(\ell) \) is a cyclic Galois cover of degree \( \frac{\ell-1}{2} \), Chebotarev’s density theorem shows that the image of \( X(\Gamma_1^\prime(\ell))(\mathbb{F}_q) \to X_0(\ell)(\mathbb{F}_q) \) has density \( \frac{\ell}{2\ell-1} + O(q^{-1/2}) \). Thus, if \( (E, K) \) is uniformly distributed, the probability that all points \( P \) of \( K \)
are defined over $\mathbb{F}_q^\ell$ (which is equivalent to saying that $\{ \pm P \}$ is defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$) converges to $\frac{1}{q}$. In particular, if $E$ admits a rational subgroup $K$ of order $\ell$, the probability that one such subgroup does not have nontrivial $\mathbb{F}_q^\ell$-points is asymptotically $1 - \frac{1}{q}$. We conclude that the overall success probability of an iteration is at least $\frac{\ell - 3}{2\ell} (1 - \frac{2}{q}) = \frac{\ell - 3}{2\ell}$ up to the $O(q^{-1/2})$ error term, hence the expected number of iterations is less than about $\frac{2\ell}{\ell - 3} \leq 5$.

As an example, take $q = 127$ and $\ell = 13$. For $j = 60$ we find that $\Phi_\ell(X, j)$ has two roots in $\mathbb{F}_q$. Thus, any elliptic curve $E$ with $j(E) = 60$ is the domain of two rational degree-$\ell$ isogenies; we take $E : y^2 = x^3 + 25x + 58$, of which the $\ell$-division polynomial factors as

$$\psi_\ell(x) = f_1(x)f_2(x)f_3(x)Q(x)$$

with

$$f_1(x) = x^3 + 88x^2 + 60x + 59,$$

$$f_2(x) = x^3 + 91x^2 + 14x + 57,$$

$$f_3(x) = x^6 + 36x^5 + 17x^4 + 73x^3 + 88x^2 + 11x + 31,$$

and $Q(x)$ is the product of six irreducible polynomials of degree twelve.

Since $f_3(x)$ has degree $\frac{\ell - 1}{2}$, it is the kernel polynomial of a degree-$\ell$ isogeny with no rational kernel point. The $x$-coordinate map of that isogeny provides a first permutation rational fraction:

$$x \mapsto \left( x^{13} + 72x^{12} + 84x^{11} + 72x^{10} + 2x^9 + 15x^8 + 91x^7 ight) + 94x^6 + 4x^5 + 66x^4 + 17x^3 + 49x^2 + 48x + 53) / f_3(x)^2.$$

Now consider $f_1(x)$. We take $\omega = 2$ as a generator of $\mathbb{F}_q^\times$ and deduce $\tau = 4$. The condition $f_1 \left( \frac{\phi_{\ell}(x)}{\psi_{\ell}(x)} \right) \equiv 0 \mod f_1(x)$ holds and therefore $f_1(x)$ is a factor of the kernel polynomial of a rational degree-$\ell$ isogeny. We compute the other factor as Step 15–17 in Algorithm 1 with $m = 1$ and find $g(x) = f_2(x)$. We then compute the isogeny with kernel polynomial $f_1(x)f_2(x)$ and obtain a second permutation rational fraction as its $x$-coordinate map:

$$x \mapsto \left( x^{13} + 67x^{12} + 13x^{11} + 61x^{10} + 83x^9 + 50x^8 + 49x^7 ight) + 80x^6 + 75x^5 + 88x^4 + 7x^3 + 41x^2 + 38x + 7) / (f_1(x)f_2(x))^2.$$

Table 1 reports on running times for a simple PARI/GP [15] implementation of Algorithm 2 on a single core of an Intel Xeon E3-1275 CPU.

Additionally, Table 2 gives the average density of computed kernel polynomials which are not irreducible, that is, for which $d < \frac{\ell - 1}{2}$. It shows that, for certain values of $\ell$, although the special case of $d = \frac{\ell - 1}{2}$ (that is, irreducible kernel polynomials) greatly simplifies our algorithms, it significantly restricts the range of permutation rational fractions found. Note that a density of zero is expected for $\ell = 23$ and $\ell = 59$ since in that case $\frac{\ell - 1}{2}$ is exactly twice a prime number $p$, so all isogenies of degree $d = 2, p$ have rational kernel points.

5. A family of candidate trapdoor permutations. Using the algorithm of the previous section, one can obtain a permutation rational function analogue of the RSA trapdoor permutation. Indeed, consider an RSA modulus $N = p \cdot q$. With the knowledge of the factorization of $N$, one can efficiently generate permutation rational functions $u = a/b \in \mathbb{F}_q(x)$ and $v = c/d \in \mathbb{F}_q(x)$ of the same prime degree $\ell$, and use the Chinese Remainder Theorem to deduce polynomials $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ of degree at most $\ell$ with coefficients in $(-N/2, N/2)$ such that $u = r/s \mod p$ and $v = r/s \mod q$. 6
The function \( x \mapsto r(x)/s(x) \mod N \) is then a permutation of \( \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \) which is easy to invert with the knowledge of the factorization of \( N \) (simply reduce modulo \( p \) and \( q \) and use an algorithm like Berlekamp or Cantor–Zassenhaus to invert \( u \) and \( v \)). However, it seems hard to invert it otherwise.

This construction is somewhat less efficient in terms of public key size and evaluation efficiency than the RSA trapdoor permutation, but it seems to resist certain types of attacks better: for example, there are no obvious malleability properties, which should thwart most types of blinding attacks or related message attacks \([2]\).

On the other hand, the security analysis is not entirely straightforward. Publishing \( r \) and \( s \) could reveal some information on the factorization of \( N \), since its factors belong to the (presumably sparse!) set of primes \( p_0 \) such that \( \lambda r + \mu s \) has exactly one root modulo \( p_0 \) for all integers \( \lambda, \mu \), \( \lambda \) coprime to \( N \). For example, if many values of \( (\lambda, \mu) \) provided congruence conditions on \( p_0 \), one might be able to recover \( p \) and \( q \) using the Chinese Remainder Theorem. In practice, however, the polynomial \( \lambda r + \mu s \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \) will typically have Galois group \( S_\ell \), and so one presumably cannot hope to obtain a really effective description of the set of primes at which it has a root.

### 6. Conclusion and open problems

We have seen that generating permutation rational functions, or exceptional covers of genus zero of the projective line, could be done quite practically using elliptic curve isogenies, even over finite fields of cryptographic size. The covers we obtain with our algorithms are (up to conjugation by linear fractional transformations) exactly the exceptional involution covers defined by Fried in \([7, \S3.2]\). Since the classification of genus-zero exceptional covers of the projective line has been given by Guralnick et al. \([9]\), one could ask how to effectively generate permutation rational functions from the remaining families.

Perhaps more importantly, one important open question related to this work is the construction of higher-genus exceptional covers of the projective line. At least for covers with dihedral monodromy, Fried mentions an interpretation in terms of moduli spaces of higher-genus hyperelliptic curves which may lead to a similar algorithm using isogenies of higher-dimensional abelian varieties.

Finally, an intriguing, if somewhat theoretical, question is the proper security analysis of the trapdoor permutation described in \( \S5 \).
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