The Traditional Uses, Phytochemistry, Pharmacology, Toxicology, and Clinical Uses of *Metagentiana Rhodantha* (Franch.) T.N.Ho and S.W.Liu, an Ethnomedicine in Southwest China
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**Background:** *Metagentiana rhodantha* (Franch.) T.N.Ho and S.W.Liu (MR) belongs to Gentianales, and it is often called Hong-hua-long-dan in Chinese. Traditionally, it has been used to cure acute icteric hepatitis, sore throat, dysentery, acute gastritis, carbuncle, and furuncle based on traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) concepts.

**Aim of Study:** This review manages to provide a critical and comprehensive analysis on the traditional uses, phytochemistry, pharmacology, toxicology, and clinical uses of MR and to evaluate the therapeutic potential of this plant.

**Methods:** Relevant data mainly literatures on MR were selected from available database. All the papers reviewed provided evidence that the source herbs were reliably identified.

**Results:** The heat-clearing and removing the phlegm, and purging fire and removing toxicity of MR contribute to its dispelling jaundice, and clearing lung heat and cough. The compounds isolated from this plant include iridoids and secoiridoids, phenolic acids, ketones, triterpenoids, flavonoids, benzophenone glycosides, and others. Mangiferin (MAF) is a characteristic substance from this plant. The pharmacological studies show that some extracts and compounds from MR exhibit anti-inflammatory, antinociceptive, antibacterial, hepatoprotective, cardioprotective, and other effects which are associated with the traditional uses of this plant. The toxicological studies suggest that MAF is less toxic in mice and dogs. Nowadays, Chinese patent drugs such as Feilike Jiaonang and Kangfuling Jiaonang containing MR have been used to cure cough, asthma, chronic bronchitis, dysmenorrhea, and appendagitis.

**Conclusion:** Although the current studies provide related research information of MR, it is still necessary to systemically evaluate the chemistry, pharmacology, toxicity, and safety of the extracts or compounds from this plant before clinical trials in the future. In addition,
INTRODUCTION

There are about 20 genera and 419 species of Gentianaceae in China, of which 2 genera and 251 species are endemic. The vast majority of genera and species are distributed in mountainous areas of southwest China, among which Gentiana, Swertia and Lomatogonium are the most common.

MR is a perennial herb and its common names include long-dan-cao, xiao-qing-yu-dan, xing-xiu-hua, jiu-ri-hua, and jia-jia-shan (The Plant List, 2012). Its basic origin contains MR and Gentianopsis paludosa (Hook.f.) Ma. Among the Gentiana species, roots and rhizomes of G. scabra Bunge and G. rigescens Franch. ex Hemsl., original materials of “Long-dan” are used as hepatoprotective agents in southwest and northeast China.

Conventionally, its whole plant or root is used. MR is summarized as bitter in flavor and cold in nature according to its TCM characteristics. Also, it is attributed to lung and liver meridians (Editorial board of Chinese materia medica and State administration of traditional Chinese medicine, 2005). Its functions include clearing heat and removing dampness, and purging fire and removing toxic substance, which facilitates treating damp-heat jaundice, lung-heat cough, and dysuria.

Nowadays, it has revealed that the compounds or extracts from MR exhibit anti-inflammatory (Somani et al., 2016; Qu et al., 2017), antioxidant (Saha et al., 2016; Mahalanobish et al., 2019), analgesic (Dar et al., 2005; Garrido-Suárez et al., 2014), antitumor (Takeda et al., 2016; Deng et al., 2018; Tang et al., 2019; Xiao et al., 2020), hepatoprotective (Das et al., 2012; Jain et al., 2013), and other effects. However, the pharmacological research mainly focuses on the characteristic compounds especially MAF but not the extracts. Furthermore, the active components in the extracts of MR have not been clearly clarified yet as well as the mechanisms of action. In addition, an appropriate effective dose, the frequency of dosing, or the duration of treatment should be taken into consideration in biological evaluations (Beharry and Heinrich, 2018).

The present review manages to provide a critical and comprehensive analysis on the traditional uses, phytochemistry, pharmacology, toxicology, and clinical uses of MR. Furthermore, the relationship between the traditional uses and the clinical uses of MR is also focused. Based on this, we expect to provide some evidences for the therapeutic value of this plant in clinic in the future.

BOTANICAL DESCRIPTION

In China, MR is mainly distributed in Yunnan, Sichuan, Guizhou, Gansu, Shaanxi, Henan, Hubei, and Guangxi provinces. It often grows in areas between 570 ~ 1750 m above the sea level, mostly except for lung infection-related diseases, analgesia, anti-tumor, and hypertriglyceremia may be new and prior therapeutic scopes of this ethnomedicine in the future.
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FIGURE 1 | Image of Metagentiana rhodantha (Franch.) T.N.Ho and S.W.Liu.
subglobose, winged, and approximately 1 mm in diameter. Flower and fruit periods are from October to February of the following year (Flora of China, http://www.efloras.org/florataxon.aspx?flora_id = 2 and taxon_id = 200018063). Until now, no report has been reported on chemical components from fruits of MR.

Currently, MR is cultivated sporadically by the residents in southwest China. MAF is a characteristic substance of MR (Luo et al., 2014; Kang et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2020). However, the contents of MAF in MR from different production area or different parts of this plant are varied (Luo et al., 2014). Thus, it is necessary to establish a quality standard for this medicinal component for the clinical use of this plant.

**TRADITIONAL USES**

MR is respectively called “Qing-ye-dan” (Bai nation), “Bo-long” (Dong nation), "Wo-du-zi-ian-mo” (Lisu nation), and “Ming-bu-ju-jiao” (Miao nation) (Qiu and Du, 2005).

Traditionally, MR is widely used by the minor nations including Bai, Dong, Lisu, and Miao nations in southwest China. In concepts of these minor nations, the whole plant or root is used to treat acute icteric hepatitis, sore throat, dysentery (whole plant in decoction in Yunnan) (Bai nation) (whole plant in decoction in Guangxi) furuncle (Dong nation) (whole plant in decoction in Guizhou) lung heat cough, jaundice, stomachache, hematochezia, infantile convulsion, scald (Lisu nation) (whole plant in decoction in Guizhou) carbuncle and acute gastritis (Miao nation) (Table 1).

MR has been used to treat acute and chronic hepatitis and cholecystitis, acute conjunctivitis, sore throat, hypertension, poor appetite (whole plant in decoction in Yunnan) (The minister of health of logistics department of Kunming military command, 1970), *Streptococcus pneumoniae*-induced pneumonia (aqueous extract from whole plant) (Wang et al., 2018), pain (MAF) (Dar et al., 2005; Garrido-Suárez et al., 2014), tumors (MAF) (Takeda et al., 2016; Deng et al., 2018; Mu et al., 2018), liver injury (MAF) (Das et al., 2012; Jain et al., 2013).

**PHYTOCHEMISTRY**

Currently, phytochemistry studies on MR have isolated and synthesized 114 compounds including 20 iridoids and secoiridoids (1 ~ 20), 21 phenolic acids (21 ~ 41), 33 ketones (42 ~ 74), 14 triterpenes (75 ~ 88), 9 flavonoids (89 ~ 97), 3 benzophenone glycosides (98 ~ 100), 2 lignans (101, 102), 2 pyrones (103, 104), 3 sterols (105 ~ 107), 7 miscellaneous compounds (108 ~ 114). These compounds were mainly isolated from the whole plant or the root of this plant (Table 2, Figure 2).

**Iridoids and Secoiridoids**

Iridoids are a class of chemical substances that belong to monoterpenes. Usually, they form iridoid glycosides with glucose in plants. They are roughly classified into iridoid glycosides, 4’-demethyl iridoid glycosides, and secoiridoid glycosides. Secoiridoids are characteristic substances of MR, while their contents are very low. Studies have indicated that secoiridoid glycosides possess wide biological activities such as anti-inflammatory and immunoregulatory (Saravanan et al., 2014a; Saravanan et al., 2014b; Wang et al., 2019a), analgesic (Liu et al., 2016; Garrido-Suárez et al., 2020), anti-tumor...
| No | Name | Parts of plant | Source   | Reference(s)                      |
|----|------|----------------|----------|-----------------------------------|
| —  |      |                |          |                                   |
| 1  | Rhodenthoside A | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Ma et al. (1996) |
| 2  | Rhodenthoside B | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Ma et al. (1996) |
| 3  | Rhodenthoside C | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Ma et al. (1996) |
| 4  | Sweroside      | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Ma et al. (1996); Pan et al. (2015) |
| 5  | Swertiamarin   | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Ma et al. (1996); Pan et al. (2015) |
| 6  | Gentiopicroside | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Pan et al. (2015) |
| 7  | *(2,3-dihydroxybenzoyl)-sweroside | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Yao et al. (2013) |
| 8  | Kingside      | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Xu et al. (2008) |
| 9  | 8-Epikiside    | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Xu et al. (2008) |
| 10 | *(2-O-(3*'-hydroxybenzoyl))-epikiside | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Xu et al. (2008) |
| 11 | *(2-O-(3*'-hydroxybenzoyl))-kingside | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Xu et al. (2008) |
| 12 | 6'-O-p-coumaroyl-8-epikiside | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Xu et al. (2008) |
| 13 | Loganic acid 11-β-glucopyranosyl ester | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Xu et al. (2008) |
| 14 | 6'-O-j-β-glucopyranosyl secologanoside | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Xu et al. (2008); Luo et al. (2018) |
| 15 | 6'-O-j-β-glucopyranosyl secologanol | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Xu et al. (2008) |
| 16 | Loganic acid  | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Xu et al. (2008) |
| 17 | 6'-O-j-α-glucopyranosyl loganic acid | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Xu et al. (2008) |
| 18 | Secologanoside | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Xu et al. (2008) |
| 19 | Secoxyloganin | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Xu et al. (2008); Luo et al., 2018 |
| 20 | Alpigenoside  | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Xu et al. (2008) |
| —  |              |                |          |                                   |
| 21 | Gallic acid ethyl ester | Whole plant | Ethanol extract | Chen et al. (2013) |
| 22 | Salicylic acid  | Whole plant | Ethanol extract | Chen et al. (2013) |
| 23 | Syringic acid  | Whole plant | Ethanol extract | Chen et al. (2013) |
| 24 | Vanillic acid  | Whole plant | Ethanol extract | Chen et al. (2013) |
| 25 | 3-Hydroxy-2-methoxy benzoic acid | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Xu et al. (2011) |
| 26 | 2-Hydroxy-3-O-j-D-glucosyoxy benzoic acid methyl ester | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Xu et al. (2011) |
| 27 | Syringic acid-4-O-α-l-rhamnoside | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Xu et al. (2011) |
| 28 | 1,2-Dihydroxy-4-methoxybenzene 1-O-α-L-Rhamnopyranosyl-(1→6)-β-c-glucopyranoside | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Xu et al. (2011) |
| 29 | 1,2-Dihydroxy-4,6-dimethoxybenzene 1-O-α-L-Rhamnopyranosyl-(1→6)-β-c-glucopyranoside | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Xu et al. (2011) |
| 30 | Methyl 2-O-j-β-glucopyranosyl-2,4,6-trihydroxybenzoate | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Xu et al. (2011) |
| 31 | Rhodanthenone A | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Xu et al. (2011) |
| 32 | Rhodanthenone B | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Xu et al. (2011) |
| 33 | Rhodanthenone C | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Xu et al. (2011) |

(Continued on following page)
TABLE 2 | (Continued) The isolated and synthesized compounds from MR.

| No | Name | Parts of plant | Source | Reference(s) |
|----|------|----------------|--------|--------------|
| 34 | Rhodanthenone D | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Xu et al. (2011) |
| 35 | 2-β-D-glucopyranosyl-3-hydroxybenzoic acid | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Xu et al. (2011) |
| 36 | Glucosyringic acid | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Xu et al. (2011) |
| 37 | Alangonoside O | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Xu et al. (2011) |
| 38 | 1-O-β-c-glucopyranosyl-4-epiamplexine | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Xu et al. (2011) |
| 39 | Vanilloloside | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Pan et al. (2016) |
| 40 | Ferulic acid | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Pan et al. (2016) |
| 41 | 2-β-D-glucopyranosyl-1-hydroxy-3,6,7-trimethoxy-9H-xanthen-9-one | — | Based on MAF | Dar et al. (2005); Faizi et al. (2006) |
| 42 | Bellidifolin | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Pan et al. (2016) |
| 43 | Mangiferin (MAF) | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Xu et al. (2011); Yao et al. (2015) |
| 44 | 2-β-o-tetraacetoxyglucopyranosyl-1,3,6,7-tetraacetoxy-9H-xanthen-9-one | — | Based on MAF | Dar et al. (2005); Faizi et al. (2006) |
| 45 | 2-β-o-glucopyranosyl-1-hydroxy-3,6,7-trimethoxy-9H-xanthen-9-one | — | Based on MAF | Dar et al. (2005); Faizi et al. (2006) |
| 46 | 2-β-o-tetraacetoxyglucopyranosyl-1-hydroxy-3,6,7-trimethoxy-9H-xanthen-9-one | — | Based on MAF | Dar et al. (2005); Faizi et al. (2006) |
| 47 | 2-β-o-glucopyranosyl-7-cinnamoyloxy-1,3,6-trihydroxy-9H-xanthen-9-one | — | Based on MAF | Dar et al. (2005); Faizi et al. (2006) |
| 48 | 2-β-o-tetraacetoxyglucopyranosyl-1-acetoxy-3,6,7-trihydroxy-9H-xanthen-9-one | — | Based on MAF | Dar et al. (2005); Faizi et al. (2006) |
| 49 | 2-β-o-tetraacetoxyglucopyranosyl-1,6,7-tetraacetoxy-3-hydroxy-9H-xanthen-9-one | — | Based on MAF | Dar et al. (2005); Faizi et al. (2006) |
| 50 | 2-β-o-tetraacetoxyglucopyranosyl-1,3,6,7-trihydroxy-9H-xanthen-9-one | — | Based on MAF | Dar et al. (2005); Faizi et al. (2006) |
| 51 | 2-β-o-tetracinnamoyloxyglucopyranosyl-7-cinnamoyloxy-1,3,6-trihydroxy-9H-xanthen-9-one | — | Based on MAF | Dar et al. (2005); Faizi et al. (2006) |
| 52 | 4-β-o-glucopyranosyl-1,3,6,7-tetrahydroxy-9H-xanthen-9-one | — | Based on MAF | Dar et al. (2005); Faizi et al. (2006) |
| 53 | 5-(N-phenylamino methyleno) mangiferin | — | Based on MAF | Kant et al. (2011) |
| 54 | 5-(N-p-chlorophenylamino methyleno) mangiferin | — | Based on MAF | Kant et al. (2011) |
| 55 | 5-(N-4-methyl phenylamino methyleno) mangiferin | — | Based on MAF | Kant et al. (2011) |
| 56 | 5-(N-α-naphthylamino methyleno) mangiferin | — | Based on MAF | Kant et al. (2011) |
| 57 | 7,2′,3′,4′,5′-penta-acetyl-mangiferin | — | Based on MAF | Li et al. (2013) |
| 58 | 3,6,7,2′,3′,4′,5′-hepta-propionyl-mangiferin | — | Based on MAF | Li et al. (2013) |
| 59 | 3,6,7,2′,3′,4′-hexa-butyryl-mangiferin | — | Based on MAF | Li et al. (2013) |
| 60 | Lancerin | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Xu et al. (2011) |
| 61 | Neomangiferin | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Xu et al. (2011) |
| 62 | 1,3,7,8-Tetrahydroxylxanthone | Whole plant | Ethanol extract | Chen et al. (2013) |
| 63 | Norswertinaolin | Whole plant | Ethanol extract | Chen et al. (2013) |
| 64 | 1,3,6,7-Tetrahydroxyxanthone | Whole plant | Ethanol extract | Chen et al. (2013) |
| 65 | 1,3,6,7-Tetrahydroxyxanthone | Whole plant | Ethanol extract | Chen et al. (2013) |
| 66 | 1,3,7-Trihydroxy-4,8-dimethoxyxanthone | Whole plant | Ethanol extract | Chen et al. (2013) |
| 67 | Triptexanthoside A | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Yao et al. (2013); Yao et al. (2015) |
| 68 | Norswertianin | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Yao et al. (2013); Yao et al. (2015) |
| 69 | Triptexanthoside A | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Yao et al. (2015) |
TABLE 2 | (Continued) The isolated and synthesized compounds from MR.

| No | Name | Parts of plant | Source | Reference(s) |
|----|------|----------------|--------|--------------|
| 75 | Oleanolic acid | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Yao et al. (2013) |
| 76 | Erythrodiol 3-O-palmitate | Whole plant | Ethanol extract | Chen et al. (2013) |
| 77 | α-amyrin | Whole plant | Ethanol extract | Chen et al. (2013) |
| 78 | β-amyrin | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Yao et al. (2013) |
| 79 | Ursolic aldehyde | Whole plant | Ethanol extract | Chen et al. (2013) |
| 80 | Uvaol 3-O-acetoxy | Whole plant | Ethanol extract | Chen et al. (2013) |
| 81 | Ursolic acid | Whole plant | Ethanol extract | Chen et al. (2013) |
| 82 | 2α-hydroxursolic acid | Whole plant | Ethanol extract | Chen et al. (2013) |
| 83 | Ephegdaragenin | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Yao et al. (2013) |
| 84 | Gentriqueosides A | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Yao et al. (2013) |
| 85 | Anarogentin | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Pan et al. (2016) |
| 86 | Macrophyloside B | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Pan et al. (2016) |
| 87 | Rindoside | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Pan et al. (2016) |
| 88 | Erythrodiol 3-palmitate | Whole plant | Ethanol extract | Chen et al. (2013) |
| — | Flavonoids | Whole plant | Ethanol extract | Chen et al. (2013); Yao et al. (2015) |
| 89 | Quercetin | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Yao et al. (2013); Yao et al. (2015) |
| 90 | Isoorientin | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Yao et al. (2013); Yao et al. (2015) |
| 91 | 3,5,7,2′,3′,4′-hexahydroxyflavone | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Yao et al. (2013) |
| 92 | Luteolin | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Yao et al. (2013); Yao et al. (2015) |
| 93 | Narangerin | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Yao et al. (2013); Yao et al. (2015) |
| 94 | Lutonarin | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Yao et al. (2013); Yao et al. (2015) |
| 5 | Isovitein | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Yao et al. (2015) |
| 96 | Apigenin-6-C-β-D-glycoside | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Yao et al. (2013) |
| 97 | Apigenin-7-O-β-c-glucopyryosyl-(1–3)-β-c-glucopyrosyl-(1–3)-β-D-glycoside | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Xu et al. (2011); Yao et al. (2013) |
| — | Benzophenone glycosides | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Xu et al. (2011) |
| 98 | Rodanthenone A | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Xu et al. (2011) |
| 99 | Rodanthenone B | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Xu et al. (2011) |
| 100 | Rodanthenone C | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Xu et al. (2011) |
| — | Lignans | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Xu et al. (2011) |
| 101 | (-)-Synergaresinol O-β-c-glucopyranoside | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Xu et al. (2011) |
| 102 | Foliachinenoside C | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Xu et al. (2011) |
| — | Pyrones | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Yao et al. (2013); Yao et al. (2015) |
| 103 | 6-Methyl-4-(4-hydroxy-3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-α-pyrene | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Yao et al. (2013); Yao et al. (2015) |
| 104 | 6-Methyl-4-(4-hydroxy-3-dimethoxyphenyl)-α-pyrene | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Yao et al. (2013); Yao et al. (2015) |
| — | Sterols | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Yao et al. (2013) |
| 105 | β-sitosterol | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Yao et al. (2013) |
| 106 | Stigmasterol | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Yao et al. (2013) |
| 107 | β-daucosterol | Whole plant | Methanol extract | Yao et al. (2013) |
| — | Miscellaneous | Whole plant | Methanol extract | (Continued on following page) |
TABLE 2 | (Continued) The isolated and synthesized compounds from MR.

| No  | Name                                | Parts of plant | Source                | Reference(s)   |
|-----|-------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|----------------|
| 108 | 2-Methoxy-1,4-benzenediol           | Whole plant    | Methanol extract      | Yao et al. (2013) |
| 109 | 2,4-Dimethyl-2,4-diene pentanedioic acid | Whole plant    | Methanol extract      | Yao et al. (2013) |
| 110 | Benzoic acid                        | Whole plant    | Methanol extract      | Yao et al. (2013) |
| 111 | Scrocaffeside B                     | Whole plant    | Methanol extract      | Yao et al. (2013) |
| 112 | n-hentriacontane                    | Whole plant    | Ethanol extract       | Liu et al. (1985) |
| 113 | n-dotriacontanoic acid ethyl ester  | Whole plant    | Ethanol extract       | Liu et al. (1985) |
| 114 | n-dotriacontanoic acid              | Whole plant    | Ethanol extract       | Liu et al. (1985) |

(Tang et al., 2019; Xiao et al., 2020) hepatoprotective (Shao et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2017), hypoglycemic (Vaidya et al., 2013a; Dhanavathy, 2015; Vaidya et al., 2012; Vaidya et al., 2009), neuroprotective (Wang et al., 2019b; Wu et al., 2019) effects.

Currently, about 20 iridoids secoiridoids (1 ~ 20) have been isolated from MR (Ma et al., 1996; Xu et al., 2008; Yao, 2013; Pan et al., 2015). Among them, six new iridoidal glucosides (10 ~ 15) were isolated from this plant for the first time (Xu et al., 2008). Their structures were elucidated by spectroscopic analysis in combination with chemical methods. Their chemical structures and names are displayed in Figure 2 and Table 2.

Phenolic Acids

Phenolic acids are a class of organic acids including p-hydroxybenzoic acid, dihydroxybenzoic acid and trihydroxybenzoic acid. It has been reported that phenolic acids have anti-inflammatory, antioxidant (Wang et al., 2020), anti-tumor (Assumpção et al., 2020), anti-bacterial (deOliveira et al., 2021), hepatoprotective (Hassan and Tariq, 2020), cardiovascular protective (Shi et al., 2019) properties. Phenolic acids 21 phenolic acids (21 ~ 41) have been separated from the whole plant of MR and their structures are validated by using detailed spectroscopic analyses and chemical methods (Xu et al., 2011; Chen et al., 2013). Their chemical names and structures are listed in Table 2 and Figure 2.

Ketones

Ketones are compounds in which carbonyl groups are connected to two hydrocarbon groups. According to difference in the hydrocarbon group, ketones are classified into aliphatic ketones, alicyclic ketones, aromatic ketones, saturated ketones, and unsaturated ketones. Until now, 33 ketones (42 ~ 74) have been isolated or synthesized from the whole plant of MR or based on MAF (Xu et al., 2011; Chen et al., 2013) (Table 2; Figure 2).

MAF (43) is a characteristic ketone substance isolated from MR (Xu et al., 2011; Chen et al., 2013) (Table 2; Figure 2). MAF (43) is a characteristic ketone substance isolated from MR (Wu et al., 2010; Luo et al., 2014; Kang et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2020). Luo et.al. (2014) established HPLC fingerprint chromatograms of MR by detecting various batches of MR samples in Guizhou. It revealed 40 common peaks with similarities of over 0.97 in the fingerprint chromatograms among 12 batches of the MR samples by selecting MAF as a reference substance.

Also, it has identified 17 common peaks with a similarity of 0.589 ~ 0.993 among 11 batches of MR samples by selecting MAF as a reference substance (Liu et al., 2020). Further, the MAF contents were determined in 12 batches of MR samples from different production areas including Yunnan, Guizhou, and Sichuan by using TLC in combination with HPLC. The result showed that the contents of MAF in the samples were from 0.7 to 4.4% (average 2.8%) (Wu et al., 2010). Further, UV-Vis and UPLC fingerprint combined with multivariate analysis were used to study variations in chemical compounds from roots, steams, leaves, and flowers of MR (Shen et al., 2016). The UV-Vis spectra of the roots, stems, leaves and flowers showed certain fingerprint characteristics; The similarity analysis of UPLC fingerprint showed that the chemical components in the leaves were similar to those in the flowers as well as the similarity between the roots and the stems. The similarity coefficients of UPLC fingerprints for the roots from different parts varies widely. Contents of loganic acid and MAF were relative higher in the leaves and flowers [(1.46 ± 0.42) and (51.59 ± 15.45) mg/g], and sweroside content was higher in the roots [(4.41 ± 3.24) mg/g]. Generally, the chemical components were higher in the leaves. Further, loganic acid, MAF, and sweroside contributed significantly to the differences in various parts of this plant. Cluster analysis demonstrated that the chemical compositions in MR from different production areas showed some certain geographic characteristics. In summary, these studies above provided stable and efficient methods to evaluate the quality of MR more systemically.

In addition, 18 MAF derivatives (44 ~ 61) including acyl, methyl, esterified compounds were synthesized and their chemical structures were identified by MS, (1H, (13)C NMR, 2D NMR and other chemical analytic methods (Dar et al., 2005; Faizi et al., 2006; Kant et al., 2011; Li et al., 2013). The isolated and synthesized compounds from MR.

Triterpenoids

Triterpenoids are substances composing several isoprene groups connecting end to end after removing hydroxyl groups. Most of them are terpenoids possessing 30 carbon atoms, and a small number of them contain 27 carbon atoms.
Also, triterpenoids are characteristic substances of MR, and their contents in this plant are few as well as secoiridoids. It has been documented that terpenoids have anti-inflammatory (Sun et al., 2018), antioxidant (Qiu et al., 2016), anti-tumor (Ren and Kingdom, 2019), and anti-bacterial (Yu et al., 2019) effects.
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TABLE 3 | Pharmacological effects of extracts and compounds from MR.

| Effects                | Active components/ compounds | Source | Reference(s) |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|--------------|
| Anti-inflammatory effects | MAF                        | —      | Qu et al. (2017); Somani et al. (2016); Wang et al. (2017a); Mendiola et al. (2020) |
|                        | SWM                        | —      | Saravanan et al. (2014a); Saravanan et al. (2014b); Wang et al. (2019a) |
| Analgesic effects       | Gent                       | —      | Dar et al., 2005; Garrido-Suárez et al. (2014); Garrido-Suárez et al. (2020); Jaishree et al. (2009) |
|                        | SWM                        | —      | Liu et al. (2016) |
| Antipyretic effects     | MAF derivatives (53 – 58)   | Synthesized base on MAF | Kant et al. (2011) |
|                        | MAF                        | —      | Deng et al. (2018); Takeda et al., 2016; Xiao et al. (2020); Tang et al. (2019) |
| Antibacterial effects   | SWM                        | —      | Wang et al. (2018) |
| Hepatoprotective effects | Aqueous extract of MR      | Whole plant | Das et al. (2012); Bhattacharyya et al. (2014); Wu et al. (2017) |
|                        | Ethanol extracts of MR     | Whole plant | Wang et al. (2018) |
| Cardioprotective effects | MAF                        | —      | Jiang et al. (2020); Liu et al. (2019) |
|                        | SWM                        | —      | Li et al. (2013) |
| Hypoglycemic effects   | MAF derivatives (59 – 61)   | —      | Vaidya et al. (2013b); Jaishree and Narasimha (2020) |
|                        | SWM                        | —      | Rajendran et al. (2013) |
| Immunomodulatory effects | MAF                        | —      | — |

Fourteen triterpenes (75 ~ 88) have been separated from the whole plant of MR and then elucidated by detailed spectroscopic analysis combined with chemical methods (Chen et al., 2013; Yao, 2013). Their chemical structures and names are shown in Figure 2 and Table 2.

Flavonoids

Originally, flavonoids are a class of compounds derived from 2-phenylchromone. Now, they are thought to be a series of compounds formed by connecting two benzene rings through three carbon atoms, that is, the general term of a class of compounds with C6-C3-C6 structures. Flavonoids are characteristic substances of MR. Studies have suggested that flavonoids have anti-inflammatory (Zaragozá et al., 2020), analgesic (Tian et al., 2019), anti-tumor (Stefanowicz-Hajduk et al., 2020), anti-atherosclerotic (Kim and Shim, 2019; Wei et al., 2020), and hypoglycemic (Luo et al., 2019) properties.

Currently, 9 flavonoids (89 ~ 97) (Xu et al., 2011; Chen et al., 2013; Yao, 2013; Yao et al., 2015) have been isolated from the whole plant of MR. Besides quercetin (89), isoquercetin (90) and other flavonoids and flavonoid glycosides were separated from this plant (Chen et al., 2013). The chemical structures were elucidated by detailed spectroscopic analysis in combination with chemical methods (Chen et al., 2013; Yao et al., 2015). Their chemical structures and names are shown in Figure 2 and Table 2.

Benzophenone Glycosides

Three benzophenone glycosides (98 ~ 100) including two new rare a-pyrones (-2H-pyran-2-one) derivatives, rhodanthpyrones A and B (98, 99) were isolated from the whole plants of MR and then their structures were elucidated by using IR, HR-ESI-MS, and 1D- and 2D-NMR analyses (Yao et al., 2015) (Figure 2; Table 2).

Miscellaneous

Two lignans (101, 102) (Xu et al., 2011), 2 pyrone (103, 104) (Yao et al., 2015), 3 sterols (105 ~ 107) (Yao, 2013), and 7 miscellaneous compounds (108 ~ 114) (Yao, 2013) were isolated from the whole plant of MR and their chemical structures and names are displayed in Table 2 and Figure 2.

PHARMACOLOGICAL EFFECTS

Pharmacological effects of the compounds and extracts from MR have been investigated. The pharmacological effects are displayed in Table 3.

Anti-Inflammatory Effects

Anti-inflammatory effects contribute to heat-clearing and removing the phlegm, and purging fire and removing toxicity of MR. Anti-inflammatory effects are closely related to the suppressions of inflammatory cytokines and mediators such as TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6, NO, PGE2 and others. Studies have demonstrated that compounds from MR exhibit anti-inflammatory properties.

A recent study has revealed that MAF inhibits mastitis induced by LPS (Qu et al., 2017). Briefly, 60 lactating female BALB/c mice were divided into six groups, including a control group, a LPS group, three MAF + LPS groups (5, 10, 20 mg/kg, 1 h prior to LPS treatment) and a MAF + LPS (20 mg/kg, 1 h post LPS treatment) group. After anesthesia, the teat duct was injected with 50 μL of LPS (0.2 μg/μL) followed by an intraperitoneal injection of MAF. 24 h after LPS injection, the animals were sacrificed and the mammary glands were collected for use. The results showed that...
MAF significantly attenuated LPS-induced histopathological injuries in mammary glands. Meanwhile, MAF markedly reduced increased MPO as well as the inflammatory cytokines NF-ά, IL-1β, and IL-6. Further, MAF remarkably inhibit LPS-induced activation of NLRP3 inflammasome and NF-κB. These findings suggested that MAF alleviated LPS-induced mastitis by inhibiting NF-κB and NLRP3 signaling pathways. In this work, some issues are conspicuous 1) for only one dose of MAF (20 mg/kg) were used, it was far from efficient to evaluate the dose-efficacy relationship of this reagent; 2) the positive control was absent in this study; 3) NLRP3 inflammasome or NF-κB, which one is the main action pathway in MAF treating LPS-induced mastitis in mice? Actually, change in pharmacodynamics of MAF in this mastitis model needs to be evaluated in the presence of specific antagonist of NLRP3 inflammasome or NF-κB.

Additionally, MAF showed a good therapeutic effect on DSS-induced colitis in mice (Somani et al., 2016). 36 female BALB/c mice were allocated to three groups including a DSS-induced colitis group and two MAF-treated groups (30 and 60 mg/kg) (12 animals/group). The colitis model was established by successive administration of 5% DSS for 11 days. The animals in the treated groups were given MAF from day 5 to day 14 and once a day. On day 14, all the animals were sacrificed and colon specimens were collected for histopathological analysis and biochemical measurements. The results demonstrated that MAF (60 mg/kg) significantly attenuated reduced body weight and decreased the DA1 score. MAF (60 mg/kg) markedly elevated decreased levels of CAT, GSH, and SOD, reduced MDA content and MPO activity. Further, MAF (60 mg/kg) remarkably reduced the colonic pro-inflammatory mediators TNF-ά, IL-1β levels, and MMP-9 activity. Importantly, it significantly improved DDS-induced histopathological injuries of the colon. Molecular docking assay revealed that TNF-ά and MMP-9 might be potential binding sites of MAF by using the GLIDE software. The limitations in the present study included 1) positive control drug was absent in this study, and sulfasalazine might be a better choice; 2) although the molecular docking assay suggested potential bindings of MAF and TNF-ά and MMP-9, it is needed validation experiments such as affinity measurement by using a biosensor in vitro.

Recently, it has been documented that MAF displays a neuroprotective effect on early brain injury after SAH (Wang et al., 2017a). A SAH model was established by using endovascular perforation. A published grading scale was used to assess the severity of the SAH model in rats. SD rats were randomly allocated to five groups, including a sham group (n = 24), a SAH group (n = 36), a SAH + vehicle group (n = 36), and two SAH + MAF groups (20 and 100 mg/kg) (n = 36). The results showed that MAF (100 mg/kg) significantly reduced the mortality rate of the SAH rats, and restored neurological functions and decreased brain edema. MAF also alleviated oxidative stress injury in the SAH model. Furthermore, it decreased apoptosis of cortical cells by regulating mitochondria apoptosis-related proteins. In addition, MAF inhibited activation of NLRP3 inflammasome and NF-κB as well as inflammatory cytokines including TNF-ά and IL-1β. Moreover, MAF remarkably upregulated the expressions of Nrf2 and HO-1. Similar to the studies of Qu et al. (2017) and Somani et al. (2016), the positive control drug was absent in the present study and acivicin might be a better choice (Mendiola et al., 2020). ML385, a specific blocker for Nrf2 is encouraged to observe the pharmacodynamic change in MAF to further validate the relationship between Nrf2/HO-1 pathway and MAF.

It has been reported that swertiamarin (SWM) exhibit anti-inflammatory effects in vitro and in vivo (Saravanan, et al., 2014a; Saravanan et al., 2014b). In vitro, the anti-inflammatory effects of SMT were evaluated in IL-1β stimulated fibroblast-like synoviocytes (FLS) derived from AA rats by determining mRNA and protein expression levels of inflammatory and osteoclastogenesis mediators (Saravanan et al., 2014a). The results showed that SWM (10 ~ 50 μg/ml) significantly inhibited cell proliferation and NO production in a dose-dependent manner. It downregulated caspase 3, TNF-ά, IL-6, PGE2, COX-2, iNOS, MMPs, and RANKL at both mRNA and protein levels. Further, the SWM treatment significantly downregulated the levels of p38 MAPKα in a concentration-dependent manner.

In vivo, chronic arthritis was induced by an injection of 0.1 ml of Freund’s complete adjuvant containing 1 mg of heat killed mycobacterium tuberculosis into right hind paw of a SD female rat (150 ~ 175 g) (Saravanan et al., 2014b). The animals were randomly divided into six groups (n = 6), including a normal group, a model group, a model plus vehicle group, an indomethacin group (2 mg/kg), and three SWM groups (2, 5, 10 mg/kg). 14 days post the adjuvant injections, the rats in the groups received various administrations for 14 days successively. The results suggested that SWM (2, 5, and 10 mg/kg) significantly inhibited the adjuvant paw edema in a dose-dependent manner. SWM markedly reduced the releases of proinflammatory cytokines including IL-1, TNF, IL-6 and proangiogenic enzymes such as MMPs, iNOS, PGE2, PPARc, and COX-2. In addition, it significantly increased the protein levels of anti-inflammatory cytokines like IL-10, IL-4. Furthermore, it also remarkably downregulated the proteins levels of NF-κB p65, p-IκB, p-JAK2 and p-STAT3 in both experimental animals and LPS-stimulated cells. More importantly, SWM attenuated bone destruction in the chronic arthritis rat model. These findings demonstrated that SWM might be a good anti-rheumatic agent. Actually, some aspects are encouraged to improve in this study. Lewis rat not SD rat is suitable to prepare this arthritis model. For the success ratio of this animal model isn’t 100%, it is necessary to confirm the successful establishment of this model before the groupings and administrations. Moreover, it is not appropriate to use indomethacin as the positive control in this study for it is not a specific blocker for the NF-κB/IκB or JAK2/STAT3 pathway.

Currently, the anti-inflammatory effects of gentiopicroside (Gent) have been investigated in vitro and in vivo (Wang et al., 2019b). In vitro, primary BMMs or PEMs were stimulated with LPS and interferon (IFN)-γ and then treated with Gent. In vivo, the anti-sepsis effect of Gent was evaluated in a mouse model challenged with LPS (40 mg/kg) injection. The findings suggested that Gent (1 mg/ml) downregulated
inflammatory cytokines released from LPS/IFN-γ-induced BMMs and attenuated phosphorylations of IKKα/β and p65. In vivo, Gent (50 mg/kg) significantly elevated survival rate of the sepsis mice as compared with the control. Further, it downregulated the serum IL-1β and IL-6 protein levels, IL-1β, IL-6, and TNF-α mRNA expressions and M1 macrophage infiltration in the lung. In the cytotoxicity experiment, it is incomplete to test only a single concentration of this reagent. Also, the positive control drug was absent in the tests in vitro and in vivo.

Antinociceptive Effects

Anti-inflammatory effects of MAF are closely associated with its anti-nociceptive effects. The analgesic effects are at least in part due to the inhibition of the NF-κB pathway activation (Piao et al., 2020). Once this pathway is activated, some mediators and enzymes such as iNOS and COX-2 are generated and involved in inflammation, pain, and oxidative stress (Rocha et al., 2020; Singh, et al., 2020).

Previously, a study showed that MAF and its derivatives displayed significant anti-nociceptive and antioxidant properties (Dar et al., 2005). MAF exhibited strong antioxidant activity (EC_{50} = 5.80 ± 0.96 μg/ml) by using DPPH assay, while acetyl (44) (EC_{50} = 14.20 ± 1.00 μg/ml) and cinnamoyl (47) (EC_{50} = 13.50 ± 1.78 μg/ml) derivatives possessed reduced activities as compared with MAF. Both the methyl (45) and its acetyl derivative (46) were inactive. Spectral studies showed that compared with H-5 and H-8, deuterium/hydrogen exchange at C-4 of the MAF derivatives cinnamoyl and the methyl led to reduced peak intensity of H-4 in CF3CO2D (Faizi et al., 2006). It resulted in less antioxidant activity of these compounds (44 ~ 47), which was consistent with the study of Dar et al. (2005). Based on these findings, it suggests that free hydroxyl groups and catechol moiety are essential for antioxidant activity. In addition, the acetic acid induced writhing test and hot plate test were used to evaluate the analgesic activity of MAF in vivo. MAF (42.2 mg/kg) significantly inhibited acetic acid-induced writhing in mice and its ED_{50} was slight lower than that of aspirin (12.53 ± 3.0 mg/kg vs. 18.20 ± 2.0 mg/kg). However, in the presence of naloxone, the inhibition of writhings was reduced to about 19% in MAF-treated group, suggesting that an opioid way was involved in MAF attenuating acetic acid induced pain. Unexpectedly, MAF prolonged retention time (RT) on the hot plate compared to the control at 90 min (14.0 ± 4.89 s vs. 5.50 ± 1.99 s). Moreover, the RT in the MAF group was also remarkably reduced and not significantly different from the control group in the presence of naloxone, which suggested a significant involvement of the opioid way.

The anti-hypernociceptive effect of MAF was also confirmed in a persistent and neuropathic pain model in rats (Garrido-Suárez et al., 2014). Acute spontaneous nociceptive behavior was evaluated by using a formalin test. Before the test, the animals were respectively treated with naloxone (0.1 ~ 1 mg/kg, i. p.), yohimbine (0.1 ~ 1 mg/kg, i. p.), methysergide (1 ~ 5 mg/kg, i. p.), L-NMMA (3~30 mg/kg or vehicle, i. p.) or an intrathecal injection of yohimbine (3 ~ 10 μg/20 μl). 15 min post the treatments, the animals received a dose of MAF (100 mg/kg, i. p.) or vehicle 20 min before the formalin injection. Morphine (5 mg/kg), clonidine (0.1 mg/kg) and DOI (1 mg/kg) were respectively selected as positive controls. After that, a CCI model was established in rat by ligating the common sciatic nerve on the left side under an anesthetized condition. The animals were then divided into three groups including a sham group, a CCI group, a CCI + MAF group (50 mg/kg). The animals were administered for 7 days (4 days prior to surgery and 3 days post CCI). Then a modification of the pin prick method was used to evaluate mechanical hypernociception of the hind paw. Behavioral responses to the pin prick were rated in accordance with the response of the paw. At the end of the experiment, the animals were sacrificed and the sciatic nerves were collected and stored in 10% formalin for H&E staining. After that, pathological changes in the nerve sections were observed under a light microscope. In addition, the MTT method was used to assess the protective effect of MAF on glutamate-mediated injury in PC12 cells.

The results showed that MAF (50, and 100 mg/kg) had no significant effect on phase I nociceptive activity as compared with vehicle controls, while the cumulative mean of licking/biting times (s/5 min) exclusivity during phase II in the MAF (50, 100 mg/kg) groups was lower than that in the vehicle control (VC) group (MAF50: 75 ± 25 vs. MAF100: 232 ± 19 vs. VC: 556 ± 32, p < 0.001). The pretreatment of yohimbine (1 mg/kg) significantly reversed the anti-hypernociceptive effects of clonidine (0.1 mg/kg) and MAF (100 mg/kg) during phase II of the formalin test. Naloxone (1 mg/kg) partially reversed the effect of MAF as compared with morphine (5 mg/kg) in the phase II. The pretreatment of methysergide (5 mg/kg) remarkably reversed the effect of DOI (1 mg/kg) compared to the controls, but did not influence the effect of MAF during the phase II. Compared with the VC, high-dose L-NMMA (30 mg/kg) significantly reduced the cumulative mean of licking/biting during phase II (L-NMMA: 210 ± 32 vs. MAF100: 139 ± 41 vs. VC: 526 ± 50, p < 0.001). The L-NMMA pretreatment (30 mg/kg) markedly enhanced the effect of MAF. The pretreatment of nitric oxide precursor L-arginine reversed reduced licking/biting response of MAF in this phase. In addition, low doses of ketamine (0.3, and 1 mg/kg) had no influences on licking/biting behavior in the tonic phase. However, its administration at 1 mg/kg significantly enhanced the effect of MAF (100 mg/kg). Further, the pretreatment of intrathecal yohimbine (10 µg/20 µl) significantly reversed the effect of MAF in the phase II. L-NMMA (20 μg/20 μl) didn’t change the effect of MAF. It indicated that the NOS inhibitor significantly enhanced the anti-hypernociceptive effect of MAF. Meanwhile, the L-NMMA pretreatment minimized the cumulative mean of licking/biting behavior. The pathological analysis demonstrated that MAF significantly attenuated CCI-induced Wallerian degeneration-related changes in the nerves. The MTT assay revealed that L-glutamate inhibited the PC12 cells by approximately 48% under a concentration of 50 mM of MAF. The MAF pretreatment (100 and 250 μg/ml) significantly elevated the cellular viability from 61 to 71%. In summary, it suggested that MAF exhibited its anti-hypernociceptive activity in a tonic pain model. The antinociceptive activity of this compound was mainly mediated by spinal α2 adrenergic.
receptors at least in part in cooperation with the opioid system. This study systematically investigated anti-hypernociceptive effect of MAF and its possible mechanisms of action. The experiment was designed completely but not complicatedly, which was efficient to illuminate the efficacy and mechanism of MAF. Some minor issues need improvements including applications of gene knock-out animals in vivo.

Recently, Garrido-Suárez et al. (2020) proposed therapeutic potential of MAF as a multi-targeted compound in mixed OA pain based on three main aspects including the preclinical evidence of this xanthone, applications of formulas containing MAF in some preliminary clinical studies on musculoskeletal or neuropathic pain, and speculations regarding its possible mechanism of action in accordance with research advances in OA pain.

Also, the anti-nociceptive effects of SWM were evaluated by the hot plate, tail immersion, and acetic acid-induced writhing (Jaishoree et al., 2009). These three different sets of mice were randomly divided into four groups, including a control group, a paracetamol group (100 mg/kg), and two SWM groups (100, and 200 mg/kg) (n = 6). All the animals were received treatments 30 min prior to these tests. The results showed that SWM (100, and 200 mg/kg) significantly increased the latency period after 30 and 45 min as compared with the control. The SWM treatment markedly elevated the tail withdrawal reflex at doses of 100 and 200 mg/kg. In addition, the SWM pretreatment (100, and 200 mg/kg) remarkably reduced the number of writhing with protection rates of 51.43 and 56.08%, respectively. It suggested that SWM exhibited strong anti-nociceptive effects on both peripheral and central pains. However, paracetamol was inappropriately used as the positive control in the hot plate and tail immersion tests, and opioids were rational choices.

Currently, anti-nociceptive effects of Gent were evaluated in a chronic constriction injury-induced neuropathic pain model in mice (Liu et al., 2016). The CCI model was induced in accordance with the method described previously. 60 male mice weighing 18–22 g were divided into six groups including sham + NS; CCI + NS; CCI + Gent (25, 50, 100 mg/kg); and CCI + pregabalin (10 mg/kg) (n = 10). 7 days after the surgery, the animals in the groups were treated for 7 days successively and once a day. Recorded and evaluated behavioral parameters and SFI from day 0, 7, 8, 10, 12, and 14 after the surgery. After the last behavioral test, the mice in the groups received electrophysiological examinations. In addition, the rotarod test and spontaneous locomotor activity tests were performed in another 40 mice. The animals were divided into four groups as well as the parameter/observation and SFI experiments but without sham + NS and CCI + pregabalin. 15 min post the treatments, the motor coordination and spontaneous locomotor activity tests were carried out from day 14 after the CCI surgery. 7 day after the surgery, significant mechanical allodynia was observed in the CCI mice. Gent (25–100 mg/kg) markedly elevated decreased PWT in a dose-dependent manner as compared with the CCI + NS group. Compared with the model group, the Gent treatments (25–100 mg/kg) significantly reduced rising on the counts of PWT caused by cold allodynia in the CCI mice 10, 12, and 14 days after the surgery. In addition, Gent (50, and 100 mg/kg) reversed of the reduced PWL as compared with the model group. Furthermore, Gent (50, and 100 mg/kg) restored sciatic nerve function and sensory nerve action potential amplitudes. However, Gent (50, and 100 mg/kg) had no significant influence on motor coordination and spontaneous locomotor (exploratory) activity.

**Antipyretic Effects**

Antipyretic effects are based on heat-clearing and removing toxicity of MR. The antipyretic effects are associated with amelioration of inflammatory actions of cytokines.

A study has revealed that MAF and its novel analogues displays significant antipyretic effects in a yeast-induced pyrexia mouse model (Kant et al., 2011). Briefly, 80 Swiss albino mice were randomly divided into 16 groups (n = 5) including a control group, an ASA group (150 mg/kg), MAF (100, and 200 mg/kg) groups, and its six analogues groups (100, and 200 mg/kg for each analogue) groups. Aqueous suspension of yeast was subcutaneously injected into the nape of the neck of the mice (20 ml/kg). Subsequently, the animals received various treatments. The rectal temperature of each mice was recorded 0, 1, 2, and 3 h post the administrations. The results indicated that ASA, MAF, and its analogues compounds (55), (57), and (58) exhibited significant reductions in rectal temperature within the whole observation period (3 h), whereas compounds (53), (54), and (56) showed no antipyretic effects at 100 mg/kg at 1 h after the administrations. Although antipyretic effects of MAF and its six analogues have been displayed in the present study, the SARs of these compounds still remain unknown. Furthermore, mechanisms for the antipyretic effects of MAF and its analogues are needed for further investigations.

**Anti-tumor Effects**

A study has reported inhibition effects of MAF on migration and invasion of breast cancer cells (Deng et al., 2018). The MTT method was used to assay viability of MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 cells. It demonstrated that the IC_{50} value for MAF was 10 μM for the MDA-MB-231 cells. Western blot analysis showed that MAF significantly downregulated protein levels of Rac1/Cdc42, phospho-Rac1/Cdc42, WAVE2, Arp2, and Arp3 in the MDA-MB-231 cells as compared with the controls. The immunofluorescent staining assay suggested that MAF (10 μM) markedly reduced positive WAVE2 staining in the MDA-MB-231 cells compared with the controls. In addition, MAF (10 μM) significantly inhibited cell migration and invasion of the breast cancer cell by approximately 62 and 65%, respectively. The present study indicated that MAF inhibited the migration and invasion of the MDA-MB-231 cells, which might be associated with the suppression of the Rac1/WAVE2 signaling. This is a preliminary study to evaluate the efficacy and mechanism of MAF in inhibiting migration and invasion of breast cancer cells in vitro. Actually, the specific agonist or antagonist of Rac1/WAVE2 pathway is needed to investigate the pharmacodynamics changes to clarify the mechanism of this xanthone. In addition, the concentration of MAF used in these test was equal to its IC_{50} value (both 10 μM), which resulted in cytotoxicity.
Recently, anti-metastatic melanoma effect of MAF in vivo has been documented (Takeda et al., 2016). Briefly, in spontaneous pulmonary metastasis studies, 50 μl of B16BL6 cells (1 × 10^6 cells) were injected into footpad of each 6-week-old male C57BL6 mouse. Then the animals were randomly allocated to four groups including a control group (0.1% DMSO) and three MAF groups (50, 100, 200 mg/kg). In addition, the mice in the same control were only treated with MAF throughout experimental period. 21 days after the injections, the footpad tumors were surgically removed. After that, the mice were respectively administrated with 0.1% DMSO in the tumor control group and MAF (50, 100, and 200 mg/kg) in the MAF groups once a day. The animals were successively received the treatments for another 21 days and then sacrificed. The metastasis nodules in the lungs were observed and counted. For subcutaneous tumor growth studies, the experimental design was similar to the pulmonary metastasis studies except that the tumor growth was measured every day from day 8 to 17. The footpad tumor was surgically excised at 17 days and stored on dry ice for western blot, qRT-PCR, and collagenase activity assays. For survival studies, 0.2 ml of B16BL6 cells (1 × 10^6 cells) were injected into each mouse via tail veins. Next, the total of 60 mice were separated into four groups (n = 15), including a tumor control group receiving 0.1% DMSO and three other groups administered with MAF (50, 100, and 200 mg/kg) once a day from the inoculation. The deaths of the animals were recorded and the survival curves were drawn. The results showed that MAF reduced the number of lung metastatic nodules as compared with the tumor control. Meanwhile, MAF (50, 100, and 200 mg/kg) remarkably reduced the volume of footpad tumor in a dose-dependent manner. Furthermore, MAF downregulated expressions of NF-κB and phosphorylated NIK, IKK, and IkB, and upregulated expression of IkB, whereas it had no significant effect on phosphorylations of ERK1/2, Akt, mTOR, and p38. The results above suggested that MAF suppressed metastatic melanoma in vivo by selectively inhibiting the NF-κB pathway via suppressing the NIK activation. There are some issues including absence in the MAF dose used in this control, positive control drug, and specific antagonist of NF-κB.

Recently, it has revealed that SWM suppresses proliferation, migration, and invasion of HCCs (Xiao et al., 2020). The cell viability was assayed by the CCK8 method. Cell proliferation was monitored by the EdU and the CCK8 method. In addition, the cell viability was also tested by the CCK8 method. In vivo, intratumoral injections of 10 μg of SWM markedly decreased the volumes and weights of the tumors in the nude mice. Microarray analysis showed that FRAT1 was downregulated in both SWM-treated HepG2 and Huh7. Transfection with FRAT1 lentiviral vector (FRAT1-OE) not only promoted cell proliferation, migration, and invasion, but also attenuated antitumor effect of SWM. The SWM treatment significantly upregulated levels of p-β-catenin and GSK-3β, and it downregulated FRAT1, β-catenin, and Cyclin D1. Furthermore, inhibition effect of the SWM treatment on the Wnt/β-catenin pathway was reduced after the transfection of FRAT1-OE. The results above suggest that SWM exerts its anti-tumor effects mainly via inactivating the FRAT1/Wnt/β-catenin signaling axis. In the present study, it is far from enough to reflect the dose-efﬁcacy relationship for only a single dose of SWM was used in the in vivo experiment. Furthermore, the positive control drug was absent in this part experiment.

Subsequently, Tang et al. (2019) reported the differentially expressed genes in HCCs after treated with SWM by bioinformatics analysis. The results demonstrated that SWM signiﬁcantly attenuated the viability and invasion and elevated the apoptosis of HepG2 cells. In vivo, the growths of the xenograft in the nude mice were signiﬁcantly inhibited after the SWM treatment. The microarray analysis indicated that PI3k-Akt was the most signiﬁcantly regulated pathway in the HepG2 cells after treated with SWM. Chemical genomics-based virtual screening and K Nearest Neighbor with K = 3 (3NN) respectively predicted 47 and 21 targets of SWM. Among them, two targets were predicted to be prominent targets and then validated as JUN and STAT3. Although the microarray analysis predicted the differential expressed genes exposed to SWM, the outcomes need conﬁrmation by qRT-PCR and western blot techniques.

**Antibacterial Effects**

Wang et al. (2018) evaluated the therapeutic effects of MR decoction (MRD) on pneumonia caused by *Streptococcus pneumoniae* in mice. 30 thirty clean KM mice were randomly divided into three groups, a control group, a model group, and a MRD-treated group (n = 10). The *Streptococcus pneumoniae* induced pneumonia mouse model was established by an intranasal instillation of 100 μl of bacterial suspension (3 × 10^7 CFU/ml). The mice in the MRD-treated group were administrated with MRD decoction (4 g/kg) every 8 h. When eye closure and tachypnea occurred, the animals were sacrificed and blood samples were collected. ELISA was used to determine serum CRP, PCT, IL-6, and IL-8 levels. The pathological changes in the lungs were observed under an optical microscope. The results indicated that MRD signiﬁcantly lowered serum CRP, PCT, IL-6, and IL-8 levels as compared with the control. Importantly, MRD markedly improved damaged histopathology of the lungs, including reduced inﬁltrative cell inﬁltration. However, as well as the study of Li et al. (2019), only single dose of this preparation was used and the positive control drug was absent. For the successive rate of this modelling is not 100%, it is necessary to provide the standard for the successful establishment of this model before groupings and administrations. Further, the active components in MRD need to be clarifi by HPLC or other chemical analyses. Recently, the anti-bacterial activity of MR extracts were determined in *Escherichia coli*, *Bacillus subtilis*, and *Staphylococcus aureus* by
using the Disc diffusion test (K-B method) (Fang et al., 2018). The results showed that the order of antibacterial ability of the extracts from MR was tissue culture plantlet root > whole grass > ground part; the order of the inhibitory effects of the whole grass and the tissue culture plantlet root was Bacillus subtilis > Escherichia coli > Staphylococcus aureus; the order of the inhibitory effect of ground part was Escherichia coli > Bacillus subtilis > Staphylococcus aureus. Bacteriostatic ring diameters of the whole plantlet root, whole grass, and ground part were respectively (11.33 ± 0.44), (9.42 ± 0.45), (8.34 ± 0.42) mm against Escherichia coli, (11.67 ± 0.38), (9.05 ± 0.72), and (6.00 ± 0.00) mm against Bacillus subtilis, and (6.97 ± 0.53), (8.36 ± 0.44), and (9.33 ± 0.44) mm against Staphylococcus aureus when the concentrations of extracts reached 0.125 g/ml. These findings suggested that the culture plantlet root could be developed into natural bacteriostatic agents. Actually, the detailed MIC values for these extracts against the three bacteria were not provided directly. Further, the concentration of 0.125 g/ml used in this study was high for these extracts to exert their antibacterial effects.

Additionally, SWM and sweroside (4) exhibit anti-bacterial activities (Kumarasamy et al., 2003). Both of these two compounds inhibited the growth of Escherichia coli, Bacillus cereus, Citrobacter freundii, and Bacillus subtilis. Further, SWM significantly inhibited the growth of Serratia marcescens and Proteus mirabilis, as well as sweroside against Staphylococcus epidermidis. The brine shrimp lethality bioassay revealed that SWM and sweroside showed general toxicities with LC₅₀ values of 8.0 and 34.0 μg/ml, respectively.

### Hepatoprotective Effects

Dar et al. (2005) evaluated the hepatoprotective activity of MAF by using a 20% CCl₄ (1.5 ml/kg) induced liver injury model in rat. The results demonstrated that the serum ALT and AST levels in the CCl₄ group were significantly higher than those in the control group. The MAF treatments (0.1, 1, 10 mg/kg) markedly reduced increased serum ALT and AST levels, which further supporting the free radical scavenging property in the in vivo animal model.

In addition, it has been documented that MAF exhibits hepatoprotective activity in a D-galactosamine (D-GAL) induced acute liver injury model in rat (Das et al., 2012). It demonstrated that the activities of serum ALP and ALT and the levels of triglycerides, total cholesterol, lipid-peroxidation were elevated, while the levels of serum total proteins, albumin and cellular GSH were reduced after the D-GAL challenge. In vitro, the D-GAL treatment (5 mM) resulted in apoptosis and necrosis and increased ROS and NO production in hepatocytes. Also, D-GAL markedly enhanced the nuclear translocation of NF-κB and increased iNOS protein expression. Similarly, it also elevated TNF-α, IFN-γ, IL-1β, IL-6, IL-12, IL-18 and decreased IL-10 mRNA levels as well as Nrf2 protein expression. However, MAF significantly reversed the D-GAL-induced adverse effects all above. In the present study, it was difficult to reflect the dose-efficacy relationship of MAF for a single dose of this reagent was used. In addition, the positive control drug and the specific antagonists of Nrf2/NF-κB pathways were absent.

The major disadvantage of MAF is reduced biological activity for its poor absorption, low bioavailability and rapid elimination of after administration. Bhattacharyya et al. (2014) prepared a phospholipid complex of MAF to overcome these limitations and then evaluated its hepatoprotective activity and bioavailability in a CCl₄-induced liver injury rat model. The results showed that compared with pure MAF, the complex has a significantly enhanced hepatoprotective effect at the same dose level (30 and 60 mg/kg). In addition, the complex reduced increased levels of serum hepatic marker enzymes like GOT and GPT, ALP, Tbil, and total protein and liver antioxidant enzymes such as GSH, GPx, GST, GRD, SOD, CAT, and TBARS in CCl₄-treated rats' plasma. Also, the complex remarkably increased the bioavailability of MAF in rat serum as compared with that of pure MAF at the same dose. Further, the elimination t₁/₂ was prolonged from 1.71 ± 0.12 h to 3.52 ± 0.27 h. This study provided a good reference to utilize MAF to protect damaged hepatic function in clinic.

Also, SWM exhibits a hepatoprotective effect on CCl₄-induced hepatic damage (Wu et al., 2017). The results demonstrated that SWM significantly attenuated increased levels of serum ALT, AST, and ALP and histopathological injuries in the livers. It also reduced MDA content and elevated activities of SOD, GPx, and GSH. Meanwhile, SWM markedly downregulated increased levels of inflammatory cytokines/chemokines such as iNOS and IL-1β. In addition, the protein expressions of CYPs, efflux transporters and PDZK1 in the SWM groups were higher than those in the CCl₄ group. Furthermore, SWM significantly increased the protein expressions of Nrf2, HO-1, and NQO1 as compared with the CCl₄ group. Similar to the study of Das et al. (2012), the positive control drug and the specific antagonists of Nrf2/HO-1 pathway were absent.

### Cardioprotective Effects

Currently, it has been reported that MAF have cardioprotective and anti-apoptotic effects in heart failure induced rats (Jiang et al., 2020). MAF significantly improved damaged cardiac functions. The pathology assessment showed MAF reduced inflammatory infiltrations, broken myocardial fibers, and apoptosis in cardiomyocytes. Further, it downregulated Caspase-3 and Bax and upregulated Bcl-2. Actually, it may be more reasonable to allocate the animals after validating the successful establishment of this ISO-induced heart failure model by ECG.

In a coronary artery occlusion-induced myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury (I/R) model in rats, MAF exerted a cardioprotective effect in vitro and in vivo (Liu et al., 2019). In vitro, MAF improved H9c2 cell activity induced by hypoxia/reoxygenation- (H/R-). In addition, in the H/R-induced H9c2 cells, MAF remarkably reduced oxidative stress and protein expressions of inflammatory pathway. In vivo, MAF (50 mg/kg) markedly reduced myocardial injury by TTC staining analysis. Further, it attenuated myocardial oxidative stress and proinflammatory cytokines in the IR rats. In this study, the specific antagonists of MAPK/Nrf2/HO-1/NF-κB pathway was absent and only a single dose of MAF was used.

### Hypoglycemic Effects

A STZ-induced hyperglycemic mouse model was used to evaluate the hypoglycemic activity of three esterified-
derivatives of MAF. Biopsy inspection was used to check the islet cells (Li et al., 2013). PAM (59) (0.25, 0.5 mmol/kg), HBM (61) (0.125, 0.25, 0.5 mmol/kg) remarkably reduced increased blood glucose levels in the hyperglycemia mice. MAF (0.5, 1 mmol/kg), PAM (59) (0.125 mmol/kg) and HPM (60) (0.125 mmol/kg) exhibited marginal hypoglycemic activity. The histological analysis demonstrated that PAM-, HPM-, and HBM-treated islet cells recovered from damage caused by the STZ, which was more significant than MAF-treated. These three esterified-derivatives of MAF had more potential hypoglycemic activity than MAF. The SAR analysis suggested that the larger esterification moieties (alternatively the higher lipid-solubility) the more potent hypoglycemic activity according to an order as follows: no ester, acetyl, propionyl, and butyryl. Thus, it is possible to transform MAF to strong anti-diabetes drug by esterification.

A study reported that gentianine, an active metabolite conferred SWM possessed anti-diabetic activity in 3T3-L1 cells, which was associated with upregulated PPAR-γ gene expression (Vaidya et al., 2013b). Dipocytes differentiation was assayed in 3T3-L1 cell culture. RT-PCR was used to determine the mRNA expressions of PPAR-γ and GLUT-4. The results showed that SWM had no significant effect on adipogenesis as well as the mRNAs of PPAR-γ and GLUT-4. However, gentianine significantly increased the mRNA expressions of adiponectin and adipogenesis. This study preliminarily investigated the anti-diabetic effect of the metabolite of SWM, gentianine. The mechanism of action of this active metabolite is encouraged in the further study.

Recently, a study has reported that a combination of SWM and quercetin (CSQ) ameliorates hyperglycemia in a STZ-induced type two diabetes mellitus rat model (Jaishree and Narsimha, 2020). CSQ (50, 100 mg/kg) significantly reduced levels of LDL, triglycerides, total cholesterol and increased HDL level as compared with the control. Also, the CSQ treatment markedly upregulated decreased levels of serum GSH, SOD, catalase and GPx and downregulated increased lipid peroxide level. Further, CSQ significantly improved pathological injuries of pancreas by increasing pancreatic islets of Langerhans and vacuolization. However, the mass ratio of SWM and quercetin was not shown in the present study. Moreover, the positive control drug was also absent in the design of the experiment.

**Effects on Gastrointestinal Functions**

It has been reported that Gent significantly attenuated gastrointestinal motility disorder (GMD) induced by stress (Ruan et al., 2015). Briefly, the SD rats were randomly divided into six groups including a control group, a model group, a mosapride group (2.5 mg/kg, positive control), three Gent groups (40, 80, and 160 mg/kg) groups (five male and five female rats in each group). The stress-induced GMD rat model was established in accordance with the reported reference (Hu et al., 2011). The results showed that Gent significantly increased gastric emptying and intestinal propelling. Meanwhile, it remarkably increased plasma GAS and reduced SST level. Further, the MTLR expressions were higher in gastric antrum, duodenum, jejunum and ileum than the model control after the Gent treatments. In addition, it lowered the VIPR2 expression in duodenum. This study illuminated the effects of Gent on gastrointestinal functions and provided a potential value of this compound in treating stress-induced GMD.

**Skin Protective Effects**

Recently, Yang has evaluated anti-skin lesions property of *Gentiana scabra* Bunge roots in a contact dermatitis mouse model (Yang et al., 2019). The model was induced using DNB as described previously (Yang et al., 2017). After the establishment of this model, ethanol extract of *G. scabra* roots and rhizomes (EEGS) (6, 18, 60 µg/day in EAOO) and dexamethasone (DEX) (15 µg/day in EAOO) were respectively applied for 6 days from day 9–14. All the animals were sacrificed on day 15. The revealed that EEGS alleviated contact dermatitis-induced skin lesions in mice as compared with the model control. Further, EEGS prevented hyperkeratosis, epidermal hyperplasia and immune cell infiltration, which was associated with inhibitions of related cytokines including TNF-α, IFN-γ, IL-6, and MCP-1 in the inflamed tissues.

**Immunomodulatory Effects**

Rajendran et al. (2013) found that MAF exhibited immunomodulatory effects in a benzo(a)pyrene-induced lung carcinogenesis animal model. 30 male Swiss albino mice weighing 23–26 g were randomly separated into six groups (n = 5): a control group (received corn oil as a vehicle), a model group (administered with 50 mg/kg B(a)P from the 2nd to 6th week and twice a week), a MAF pre-treated group (100 mg/kg, treated from the 1st week to the 18th week, twice a week), a MAF post-treated group (100 mg/kg, treated from the 12th week to the 18th week, twice a week, a single MAF-treated group (100 mg/kg, treated for 18 weeks). At the end of the experiment, the blood samples were collected to count immunocompetent cells, determine immune function, and assay the NBT reduction. Levels of IgG, IgA, IgM, and soluble immune complex were detected in the coagulated blood samples. The findings demonstrated that MAF upregulated decreased phagocyte index, avidity index, and SIC levels, and NBT reduction induced by B(a)P. Further, MAF significantly elevated the levels of IgG and IgM and reduced the IgA level as compared with the B(a)P-treatment. Additionally, it remarkably reversed increased lipid peroxidation and decreased catalase and SOD activities in the lymphocytes, polymorphonuclear cells, and macrophages from B(a)P-treated mice. It suggested that MAF exerted the immunomodulatory effects via attenuating intermediate-induced oxidative stress in immune cells including lymphocytes, neutrophils, and macrophages.

**TOXICOLOGY**

Until now, no studies have been documented on the toxicology of the extracts of MR. Actually, the toxicology of its characteristic compound MAF has been reported (Fan et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2017b).
Fan et al. (2015) evaluated the acute toxicity of MAF in ICR mice (half male and half female) by using Horn’s method. 32 mice were divided into four groups (n = 8), including a control group and three MAF groups (4.64, 10, 21.5 g/kg). The animals were administrated once (0.2 ml/10 g) and then observed for consecutive 7 days. In addition, the genetic toxicity of MAF was assessed by using Ames test, mouse bone marrow micronucleus frequency test, and mouse sperm deformity test. The results showed that no death and no significant toxicity of MAF was observed in the mice. The LD50 value of MAF were larger than 21.5 g/kg, suggesting non-toxicity of this compound. There were no significant differences in PCE micronucleus frequency, ratio of PCE to NEC, and sperm abnormal rate among the negative control group and the MAF-treated groups. The Ames test demonstrated that MAF had no mutagenic effects on Salmonella typhimurium strains TA97, TA98, TA100, and TA102.

Also, the acute toxicity of MAF was examined in KM mice and Beagle dogs (Wang et al., 2017b). The mice and dogs were respectively divided into control groups and MAF-treated groups. The treated animals were administrated with the MTD of MAF (respectively 54 g/kg for mice and 9 g/kg for dogs) and then observed for consecutive 14 days. The results revealed that no death was found in the mice and dogs. No pathological changes were found in the organs after dissection. Grey feces were found once in dogs with an occurrence rate of 25%. In addition, no abnormality was found in biochemical indices. This study had some similarity to the study of Fan, while it seemed that MTD not LD50 was more appropriate to evaluate the acute toxicity of MAF.

In summary, these studies preliminarily evaluate the acute and reproductive toxicity of MAF. It is encouraged to assess its general, nervous, cardiovascular, respiratory toxicity more comprehensively in various experimental animals for referring clinical practice in the future.

### CLINICAL USES

Nowadays, MR has been made into some preparations including Feilike Jiaonang, Kangfuling Jiaonang, Ernong Wan, Kudan Wan, and Longdanxiegan Wan in combination with other TCMs to treat cough, chronic bronchitis, asthma (Li and He, 2014; Chen et al., 2018), dysmenorrhea, appendicitis (Zheng et al., 2019), deafness, tinnitus, icteric hepatitis, acute and chronic hepatitis, hematuria due to gonorrheal infection, etc (Table 4), which is a prolongation of the traditional uses of this plant. Until now, no single extract or compound from MR has been applied in clinic to cure diseases in China. Therefore, it is necessary to study and develop potentially therapeutic extracts or compounds from MR in accordance with the previous studies.

| Name of TCM patent formula | Components | Modern uses | Usage |
|----------------------------|------------|-------------|-------|
| Feilike jiaonang | Scutellaria baicalensis georgi 173 g, Kitagawia praenuptoria (dunn) pimenov 167 g, Stereoma japonica (blume) miq. 160 g, MR 150 g, Fimbrania simplex (L) W. Wright 130 g, Soloromdrion diffusum (wildd) R.J.Wang 120 g, Aster aegeritoides turcz 96g. [For 1000 capsules (0.3 g/capsule)] | Curing cough, phlegm, asthma and chronic bronchitis | Take orally 3 times a day (0.9–1.2 g once) |
| Kangfuling jiaonang | Persicaria perfoliata (L) H. Gross 800 g, Sophora flavescens ait 300 g, Phellodendron amurense rupe. 150 g, Spaltholobus suberecutus dunn 300 g, Leonurus japonicus houtt. 300 g, MR 200 g, Silvax glabra rooto. 150 g, Angelica sinensis (olv.) diels 180 g | Curing low menstrual flow, dysmenorrhea, appendagitis | Take orally 3 times a day (1.2 g once) |
| Ernong wan | MR 500 g, Scutellaria baicalensis georgi 500 g, Rehrmannia glutinosa (gaertn.) DC. 500 g, Alysma plantago-aquatica subsp. Orientale (sam.) sam. 500 g, Akebia quinata (thunb. Ex houtt.) decne. 500 g, Gardenia jasmindoaxes J. Ellis 500 g, Angelica sinensis (olv.) diels 500 g, Acorus calamus var. angustatus besser 500 g, Glycyrrhiza uralensis fisch. Ex DC. 500 g, Saigae Tatarcace comu 25 g | Curing dizziness, headache, deafness, tinnitus | Take orally 2 times a day (7 g once) |
| Kudan wan | Sophora flavescens ait 933, MR 666 g, Phellodendron amurense rupe. 266 g, Rheum palmatum L. 133 g, Curcuma aromatica Salisb.133 g, artemisia capillaris thunb. 2000 g, poroine bile paste 133 g, Hysoaspillus officinalis L. 133 g | Curing icteric hepatitis, acute and chronic hepatitis | Take orally 2–3 times a day (5–10 g once) |
| Longdanxiegan wan | MR 120 g, Bupleurum chinense DC. 120 g, Scutellaria baicalensis georgi 60 g, Fried Gardenia jasmindoaxes J.Ellis 60 g, Alysma plantago-aquatica subsp. Orientale (sam.) sam. 120 g, Akebia quinata (thunb. Ex houtt.) decne. 60 g, salted Plantago asiatica L. 60 g, alcohol Angelica sinensis (olv.) diels 60 g, Rehmannia glutinosa (gaertn.) DC. 120 g, Glycyrrhiza uralensis fisch. Ex DC. 60 g | Curing dampness and heat in liver and gallbladder, dizziness, red eyes, tinnitus and deafness, ear swelling and pain, red-colored urine | Take orally 2 times a day (6–12 g once) |

The full taxonomic names of the species have been validated using https://mpns.science.kew.org/mpns-portal/.
CONCLUSION

In the present study, the traditional uses, phytochemistry, pharmacology, toxicology and clinical uses of MR have been focused and reviewed comprehensively.

It reveals that MR, an ethnomedicine in southwest China, exhibits biological activity such as anti-inflammatory, antinociceptive, antipyretic, and other effects, which is associated with its traditional uses. The phytochemistry assays show that MAF is a characteristic substance of this plant. Few studies have reported the toxicology of the extracts from MR. Nowadays, in China some Chinese patent drugs such as Feilike and Kangfuling capsules have been marketed to treat lung-related and gynecological diseases. Although this review summarized the related status and development of various aspects of MR, some issues still need to be solved to utilize this plant better.

Although phytochemistry studies have isolated some compounds from the whole plant and roots from this plant, no study has documented the compounds separated from the fruits. Thus, the chemical studies on the fruits of this plant need strengthenment.

For MAF is a characteristic material in MR, it is usually used to detect the quality of this plant (Wu et al., 2010; Shen et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2020). These findings suggested that the content of MAF was unstable in different batches from a same production area, in different medicinal parts of this plant, from, or in different production areas (Wu et al., 2010; Shen et al., 2016), which proposed a challenge for medicinal applications of this plant in clinic. In view of this, quality standards are necessary to be established for medicinal components from MR. Further, quality of preparations or drugs from this medicinal plant will be ensured by establishing good agricultural practice (GAP) bases for this plant.

It has been mentioned that the pharmacological studies are mainly focused on the characteristic compounds such as MAF, SWM, and Gent of MR. However, the pharmacological reports on the extracts from this plant are few mainly due to the lacks in the understanding of the chemical components in the extracts. So, the chemical study on MR needs to be strengthened to better conduct the biological test of these extracts.

The experimental designs for some pharmacological studies on the extracts and characteristic compounds are incomplete for example 1) the absence of positive control drugs results in lacks in comparability; 2) absence of signal pathway blockers results in incomplete conclusions for mechanisms of action; 3) only a single dose of the tested drug used causes the lack in reflecting the dose-efficacy relationship.

Further, the SAR analyses MAF and its derivatives are encouraged in the further studies. In the antioxidant activity test, the free hydroxyl groups and catechol moiety are essential for antioxidant activity of MAF. In the antipyretic analysis, no analogues are better than MAF. Moreover, the SARs of these compounds still remain unknown. In the hypoglycemic activity test, those esterified-derivatives had more potential hypoglycemic activity than origin compound MAF. The SAR analysis suggests that the larger esterification moieties (alternatively the higher lipid-solubility) promotes the more potent hypoglycemic activity. Thus, it is necessary to further assay the SAR of MAF and its derivatives.

In addition, anti-inflammatory properties of MAF, SWM, and Gent contribute greatly to other effects of these characteristic compounds. These three compounds mainly exert their anti-inflammatory effects by inhibiting inflammation related signalling pathways such as JAK2/STAT3/NF-κB and downstream inflammatory mediators such as TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6, MMPs, iNOS, and PGE2.

Until now, except for MAF, few toxicological studies have been conducted in extracts or compounds of MR. Therefore, studies on acute toxicity, chronic toxicity, safety pharmacology, and others toxicity should be performed to comprehensively evaluate toxicities of extracts or compounds from this plant in various experimental animals. In addition, the toxicological studies on MAF are still preliminary and also need to be strengthened.

The Chinese patent drugs containing MR marketed in local or overseas are few. Thus, it is necessary to develop other TCM preparations containing MR guided by the chemical analyses and pharmacological tests.

Except lung-related and gynecological diseases, pain caused by inflammation or nervous pathology, tumor, and diabetes mellitus may enter the therapeutic scopes of this plant based on the reviewing the pharmacological research progress on MR.

Finally, the pharmacokinetics of the extracts or compounds from MR are encouraged in random, double-blinded, multicenter clinical trials for important references for clinical applications of this plant.

Taken together, the present study provides a critical and comprehensive analysis on traditional uses, phytochemistry, pharmacology, toxicology, and clinical applications of MR. Also, some limitations in the research and development of this plant are raised and solved in this review. Based on this, we hope to highlight the potential value of MR and provide some new research directions and cues for this medicinal plant in southwest China.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

BC drew most of chemical structures and classified these compounds; ST, RC, NX, and JZ analyzed the references; MT, HJ, XL, ZJ, and XH, collected and screened the references; YG co-designed this study; QY designed this study and wrote the manuscript.

FUNDING

This work was supported by grants from Technology Cooperation Project of Guizhou Science & Technology Bureau and the First Affiliated Hospital of Guizhou University of TCM (2019-9-2-4), and Startup Foundation for Doctors of the First Affiliated Hospital of Guizhou University of TCM (GYZYFFY-BS-2018 (09)).
REFERENCES

Assumpção, J. H. M., Takeda, A. A. S., Sforcin, J. M., and Rainho, C. A. (2020). Effects of propolis and phenolic acids on triple-negative breast cancer cell lines: potential involvement of epigenetic mechanisms. Molecules 25, 1289. doi:10.3390/molecules25061289

Beharry, S., and Heinrich, M. (2018). Is the hype around the reproductive health claims of maca (Lepidium meyenii Walp.) justified? J. Ethnopharmacol. 211, 126–170. doi:10.1016/j.epjp.2017.08.003

Bhattacharyya, S., Ahmmed, S. M., Saha, B. P., and Mukherjee, P. K. (2014). Soya phospholipid complex of mangiferin enhances its hepatoprotective by improving its bioavailability and pharmacokinetics. J. Sci. Food Agric. 94, 1338–1338. doi:10.1002/jsfa.6422

Chang et al. Metagentiana, an ethnomedicine in China Pharmacopoeia of the people

Dar, A., Faizi, S., Naqvi, S., Roome, T., Zikr-ur-Rehman, S., Ali, M., et al. (2005). Gentiana rhodantha 08.032

Dhar, A., Fluticasone in treatment of bronchial asthma in Indian people. Molecules 94, 34, 2398. doi:10.1007/s10616-017-0140-1

Das, J., Ghosh, J., Roy, A., and Sil, P. C. (2012). Mangiferin exerts hepatoprotective effects of propolis and phenolic acids on triple-negative breast cancer cell lines: potential involvement of human HDL. Nutrients. 11, 1110. doi:10.3390/nu11051110

Jaishree, V., Badami, S., Rupesh Kumar, M., Kumar, T., and Tamizhmani, T. (2009). Antiinflammatory activity of swertiaamid isolated from Eucosma rhodantha. Phytomedicine. 16, 227–232. doi:10.1016/j.phymed.2008.09.010

Jaishree, V., and Narisimha, S. (2020). Swertiaamid and quercetin combination ameliorates hyperglycemia, hyperlipidemia and oxidative stress in streptozotocin-induced type 2 diabetes mellitus in wistar rats. Biomed. Pharmacother. 130, 110561. doi:10.1016/j.biopha.2020.110561

Jiang, T., Han, F., Gao, G., and Liu, M. (2020). Mangiferin exert cardioprotective and anti-apoptotic effects in heart failure induced rats. Life Sci. 249, 117476. doi:10.1016/j.lfs.2020.117476

Kang, X. L., Song, L. K., Shi, X. T., Gu, J., and Tan, R. (2016). Content determination of mangiferin in Gentiana rhodantha and its processed products. Chin. Med. J. Res. Pract. 30, 39–41. doi:10.17376/chm2016.44.0391

Kant Singh, S., SinghKumar, S. K., Prasad, S. K., Kumar, R., Bithu, B. S., Sadish Kumar, S., et al. (2011). Synthesis and evaluation of novel analogues of mangiferin as potent antipyretic. Asian J. Trop. Med. Sci. 4, 866–869. doi:10.1007/s11945-011-0120-5

Kim, J. Y., and Shim, S. H. (2019). Epimedium koreanum extract and its flavonoids reduced atherosclerotic risk via suppressing modification of human LDL. Nutrients. 11, 2081. doi:10.3390/nu11102110

Kumarasamy, Y., Nahar, L., Cox, P. J., Jasparns, M., and Sarkar, S. D. (2003). Bioactivity of secoiridoid glycosides from Gentiana erythraea. Phytomedicine. 10, 344–347. doi:10.1016/S1875-6455(13)60199-5

Li, A., and He, D. K. (2014). Budesonide combined with feilike treatment in clinical toxicity and genetic toxicity of mangiferin. J. Toxicol. 347. doi:10.1078/094471103322004857

Liu, Y. W., Liu, P., Wang, R. Z., and Mei, G. H. (1985). Study on active components of Epimedium koreanum and its hypoglycemic activity. Phytomedicine – 34, 2398. doi:10.1016/j.jchromb.2019.01.026

Luo, Z., Guo, Z., Xiao, T., Liu, H., Su, G., and Zhao, Y. (2019). Enrichment of total flavonoids and UV-A light radiation. J. Photochem. Photobiol. B: Biol. 214, 112081. doi:10.1016/j.jphotobiol.2020.112081

Deng, Q., Tian, Y. X., and Liang, J. (2018). Mangiferin inhibits cell migration and invasion through Rac1/WAVE signalling in breast cancer. Cytotechnology. 70, 553–560. doi:10.1007/s10561-017-9410-1

Dhanavathy, G. (2015). Immunohistochemistry, histopathology, and biomarker studies of swertiaamid, a secoiridoid glycoside, prevents and protects streptozotocin-induced β-cell damage in wistar rat pancreas. J. Endocrinol. Invest. 38, 669–684. doi:10.1007/s40618-015-0243-5

Fai, S., Zikr-Ur-Rehman, S., Ali, M., and Naz, A. (2006). Temperature and solvent dependent NMR studies on mangiferin and complete NMR spectral assignments of its acyl and methyl derivatives. Magn. Reson. Chem. 44, 838–844. doi:10.1002/mrc.1854

Fan, X. Y., Hou, S. Y., Zhang, L. J., Liu, Y., and Zhao, Y. (2015). Study on the acute toxicity and genetic toxicity of mangiferin. J. Toxicol. 29, 317–319. doi:10.1642/jtc.10022-317.2015.04.019

Fang, Y. M., Zhang, J. M., Sun, A. Q., and Lu, H. (2018). Study on bacteriostasis in vitro of Gentiana rhodantha. Northern. Hortic. 7, 128–132. doi:10.11937/ hyy.20173105

Flora of China Editorial Committee. The Chinese Academy of Sciences (1997). Flora of china. Beijing, China: Beijing Science Press, 148.

Garrido-Suárez, B. B., Garrido, G., Castro-Labrado, M., Merino, N., Valdés, O., Rodeiro, I., et al. (2014). Anti-hypnociceptive effect of mangiferin in persistent and neuropathic pain models in rats. Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav. 124, 311–319. doi:10.1016/j.pbb.2014.06.019

Garrido-Suárez, B. B., Garrido, G., Piñeros, O., and Delgado-Hernández, R. (2020). Mangiferin: Possible uses in the prevention and treatment of mixed osteoarthritis pain. Phytother. Res. 34, 505–525. doi:10.1002/ptr.6546

Guangxi Health Administration (1959). Guangxi zhongcayun. Nanling, China: Guangxi People’s Express, 24.

Hassan, B., and Tariq I. A. (2020). Phenolic compounds and hepatoprotective potential of Ananasica hierochuntha ethanolic and aqueous extracts against CCl4-induced hepatotoxicity in rats. J. Tradit. Chin. Med. 40, 947–955. doi:10.18952/cnki.jtcnm.2020.06006

Hu, X. J., Huang S. P., and Deng, S. G. (2011). Effect of decoction of invigorating spleen and regulating qi on gastrointestinal motility, motilin and gastrin in rats with functional dyspepsia. Chin. J. Exp. Tradit. Med. 17, 214–217. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1005-9933.2011.08.062

Jain, P. K., Kharya, M., and Gajbhiye, A. (2013). Pharmacological evaluation of mangiferin herbosomes for antioxidant and hepatoprotection potential against ethanol induced hepatic damage. Drug Develop. Ind. Pharm. 39, 1840–1850. doi:10.3109/03639045.2012.738685

Jaishree, V., and Narisimha, S. (2020). Swertiaamid and quercetin combination ameliorates hyperglycemia, hyperlipidemia and oxidative stress in streptozotocin-induced type 2 diabetes mellitus in wistar rats. Biomed. Pharmacother. 130, 110561. doi:10.1016/j.biopha.2020.110561

Jiang, L., Shang, Y. F., and Gao, G. (2020). Mangiferin exert cardioprotective and anti-apoptotic effects in heart failure induced rats. Life Sci. 249, 117476. doi:10.1016/j.lfs.2020.117476
Mu, F., Liu, T., Zheng, H., Xie, X., Lei, T., He, X., et al. (2018). Mangiferin induces radiosensitization in glioblastoma cells by inhibiting nonhomologous end joining. Oncol. Rep. 40, 3663–3673. doi:10.3892/or.2018.6756

Pan, Y., Shen, T., Zhang, J., Zhao, Y. L., Wang, Y. Z., and Li, W. Y. (2015). Determination of six index constituents and comparative analysis of four ethnomedicines from genus Gentiana using a UPLC-UV-MS method. Biomed. Chromatogr. 29, 87–96. doi:10.1002/bmc.3243

Pan, Y., Zhang, J., Zhao, Y.-L., Wang, Y.-Z., and Jin, H. (2016). Chemotaxonomic studies of nine Gentianaceae species from western China based on liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry and fourier transform infrared spectroscopy. Phytochem. Anal. 27, 158–167. doi:10.1002/pha.201611261

Piao, C. H., Fan, Y. J., Nguyen, T. V., Song, C. H., and Chai, O. H. (2020). Ovalbumin-induced allergic rhinitis via Nrf2/Keap1/NF-κB signaling pathways. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 21, 3415. doi:10.3390/ijms2103415

Qiu, D. W., and Du, J. (2005). Chemotaxonomic studies of nine Gentianaceae species from genus Gentiana using a UPLC-UV-MS method. Zhongcaoyao (1970). Yunnan Tianjin, China: Tianjin People's Express, 228.

Shen, T., Zhang, J., Zhao, Y. L., Zuo, Z. T., and Wang, Y. Z. (2016). UV-Vis and HPLC fingerprint analysis on various medicinal parts of Metagentiana, an ethnomedicine in China. Zhongzhecaoyao. 014-0717-5

Shi, M., Huang, F., Deng, C., Wang, Y., and Kai, G. (2019). Bioactivities, biochemistry and biotechnological production of phenolic pools in Salvia miltiorrhiza. Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr. 59, 953–964. doi:10.1080/10408398.2018.1747170

Singh, G., Kaur, J., Kaur, M., Singh, P., and Bhatti, R. (2020). Anti-nociceptive and anti-inflammatory effect of imperatorin: evidences for involvement of COX-2, iNOS, NFκB and inflammatory cytokines. Int. J. Neurosci. 130, 176–185. doi:10.1080/00207454.2019.1667789

Soman, S., Zambad, S., and Modi, K. (2016). Mangiferin attenuates DSS colitis in mice: molecular docking and in vivo approach. Chemico-Biological Interactions. 253, 18–26. doi:10.1016/j.cbi.2016.04.033

Stefanowicz-Hajduk, J., Azxtemborska, M., Krauze-Baranowska, M., Godlewksa, S., Gucwa, M., Moniuszko-Szajwaj, B., et al. (2020). Identification of flavonoids and biflavonides and cytotoxic effects of Kalanchoe daigremontiana extracts on human cancer cell lines. Planta. Med. 86, 239–246. doi:10.1055/a-1099-9786

Sun, Y., Gao, L. T., Tang, M. Y., Feng, B. M., Pei, Y. H., and Yasukawa, K. (2018). Triterpenoids from Euphorbia maculata and their anti-inflammatory effects. Molecules 23, 2112. doi:10.3390/molecules23092112

Takeda, T., Tsukuki, M., Sakamoto, K., Ichimura, E., Enomoto, A., Suzuki, Y., et al. (2016). Mangiferin, a novel nuclear factor kappa B-inducing kinase inhibitor, suppresses metastasis and tumor growth in a mouse metastatic melanoma model. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 306, 105–112. doi:10.1016/j.taap.2016.07.005

Tang, H. K., Ye, Y., Ren, Z., Lei, X., Xiao, S., Bao, T., et al. (2019). Bioinformatics analysis of differentially expressed genes in hepatocellular carcinoma cells exposed to swertiamarin. J. Cancer. 10, 652–663. doi:10.7150/jcm.33666

The minister of health of logistics department of Kunming military command (1970). Zhonggaoyao. Yunnan Tianjin, China: Tianjin People's Express, 228.

Thirugnanasambantham, K., Chellappandian, M., et al. (2014b). Neuroprotective activity of imperatorin: evidences for involvement of COX-2, inflammatory activities of iridoid and secoiridoid glycosid. J. Pharm. Pharm. Sci. 17, 630–50. doi:10.18433/j3fs4f.2014.02.005

Vaidya, H. B., Ahmed, A. A., Goyal, R. K., and Cheema, S. K. (2013a). Anti-diabetic activity of iridoid and secoiridoid glycosid. J. Pharm. Pharm. Sci. 17, 731. doi:10.18433/j3fs4f.2014.02.005

Vaidya, H. B., Ahmed, A. A., Goyal, R. K., and Cheema, S. K. (2013b). Anti-diabetic activity of swertiamarin. J. Biomed. Sci. 20, 2020.110773

Wang, Z., Guo, S., Wang, J., Shen, Y., Zhang, J., and Wu, Q. (2017a). Nrf2/HO-1 suppression of metastasis and tumor growth in a mouse metastatic melanoma model. Biofactors 42, 515–522. doi:10.1002/bifa.1349

Wang, F. Q., Tao, Y. X., Li, X. H., He, X. L., Yang, J., Liu, Y. Q., et al. (2018). Chlorogenic acid prevents acute myocardial infarction in rats by reducing in poloxamer-407-induced hyperlipidaemic rats. Eur. J. Pharm. Sci. 1080/00207454.2019.1667789

Wei, J., Zhang, Y., Li, D., Xie, T., Li, Y., Li, J., et al. (2020). Integrating network pharmacology and component analysis study on anti-atherosclerotic mechanisms of total flavonoids of Engelhardia roxburghiana leaves in mice. Chem. Biodivers. 17, e1900629. doi:10.1002/cbdv.201900629
Wu, J., Yang, F., Li, X., Wu, T., Liu, L., and Song, H. (2019). Swertiamarin protects neuronal cells from oxygen glucose deprivation/reoxygenation via TLR4/ PARP1/NF-κB pathway. Pharmazie. 74, 481–484. doi:10.1691/ph.2019.9369

Wu, L., Guan, H., Yu, L., Chou, G., and Wang, Z. (2010). [Quality standard of Gentiana rhodantha]. Zhongguo Zhong Yao Za Zhi. 35, 2099–2102. doi:10.4268/cjcm20101613

Wu, T., Li, J., Li, Y., and Song, H. (2017). Antioxidant and hepatoprotective effect of swertiamarin on carbon tetrachloride-induced hepatotoxicity via the Nrf2/HO-1 pathway. Cell. Physiol. Biochem. 41, 2242–2254. doi:10.1159/000475639

Xiao, S., Tang, H., Bai, Y., Zou, R., Ren, Z., Wu, X., et al. (2020). Swertiamarin suppresses proliferation, migration, and invasion of hepatocellular carcinoma cells via negative regulation of FRAT1. Eur. J. Histochem. 64, 3169. doi:10.4081/ejh.2020.3169

Xu, M., Wang, D., Zhang, Y.-J., and Yang, C.-R. (2008). Iridoidal glucosides from Gentiana rhodantha. J. Asian Nat. Prod. Res. 10, 491–498. doi:10.1080/10286020801966815

Xu, M., Zhang, M., Wang, D., Yang, C.-R., and Zhang, Y.-J. (2011). Phenolic compounds from the whole plants of Gentiana rhodantha (Gentianaceae). Chem. Biodiversity 8, 1891–1900. doi:10.1002/cbdv.201000220

Yang, B., Lee, H. B., Kim, S., Park, Y. C., Kim, K., and Kim, H. (2017). Decoction of Dictamus Dysacarpus Turcz. root bark ameliorates skin lesions and inhibits inflammatory reactions in mice with contact dermatitis. Pharmacogn. Mag. 13, 483–487. doi:10.4103/0973-1296.211034

Yang, B., Kim, S., Kim, J.-H., Lim, C., Kim, H., and Cho, S. (2019). Gentiana scabra bunge roots alleviates skin lesions of contact dermatitis in mice. J. Ethnopharmacol. 233, 141–147. doi:10.1016/j.jep.2018.12.046

Yao, H.-Q., Wu, L.-H., Chou, G.-X., Liu, Q., and Wang, Z.-T. (2015). Two Rarea-Pyrone (=2H-Pyran-2-one) derivatives from Gentiana rhodantha Franchet. Hca 98, 657–662. doi:10.1002/hlca.201400275

Yao, H. Q. (2013). Study on chemical constituents of Metagentiana rhodantha (Franch.) T.N.Ho & S.W.Liu [D]. Shanghai, China: Shanghai University of Materia Medica.

Yu, J. H., Yu, Z. P., Wang, Y. Y., Bao, J., Zhu, K. K., Yuan, T., et al. (2019). Triterpenoids and triterpenoid saponins from Dipsacus asper and their cytotoxic and antibacterial activities. Phytochemistry 162, 241–249. doi:10.1016/j.phytochem.2019.03.028

Zaragozá, C., Villaescusa, L., Monserrat, J., Zaragozá, F., and Álvarez-Mon, M. (2020). Potential therapeutic anti-inflammatory and immunomodulatory effects of dihydroflavones, flavones, and flavonols. Molecules 25, 1017. doi:10.3390/molecules25041017

Zhang, X., Wang, Y., Shen, W., Ma, S., Chen, W., and Qi, R. (2017). Rosa rugosa flavonoids alleviate myocardial ischemia reperfusion injury in mice by suppressing JNK and p38 MAPK. Microcirculation 24, e12385. doi:10.1111/micc.12385

Zheng, Y. Q., Wang, Q., and Shi, J. P. (2019). Clinical study of kangfuling capsules combined with routine western medicine for chronic pelvic pain. J. New Chin. Med. 51, 142–145. doi:10.13457/j.cnki.jncm.2019.01.037

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 Chang, Tang, Chen, Xiao, Zhu, Tian, Jiang, Li, Jian, Han, Gao and Yao. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.
| Acronym | Description |
|---------|-------------|
| AA | adjuvant induced arthritis |
| ALP | alkaline phosphatase |
| ALT | alanine aminotransferase |
| Akt | protein kinase B |
| ARP | actin related protein |
| ASA | acetyl salicylic acid |
| ASC-1 | asc type amino acid transporter 1 |
| AST | aspartate aminotransferase |
| B16BL6 | mouse melanoma cell line |
| Bcl-2 | B-cell lymphoma-2 |
| BME | basement membrane extract |
| BMMs | bone marrow-derived macrophages |
| CAT | Catalase |
| CCl4 | Carbon tetrachloride |
| CCI | chronic constriction injury |
| CCK8 | cell counting kit-8 |
| CDC42 | cell division cycle 42 |
| CGBVS | chemical genomics-based virtual screening |
| CMC | carboxy methyl cellulose |
| COX-2 | cyclooxygenase-2 |
| CRP | C-reactive protein |
| CSQ | combination of SWM and quercetinD-GAL: d-galactosamine |
| DAI | Disease activity index |
| DOI | (±)-2.5-dimethoxy-4-iodoamphetamine |
| DPPH | 1,1-Diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl radical 2, 2-Diphenyl-1-(2,4,6-trinitrophenyl) hydrazyl |
| DMSO | dimethylsulfoxide |
| DNA | deoxyribonucleic acid |
| DSS | dextran sulfate sodium |
| ECG | electrocardiogram |
| ED50 | 50% efficient dose |
| ELISA | enzyme linked immunosorbent assay |
| ERK | extracellular regulated protein kinase |
| ESR | erythrocyte sedimentation rate |
| FRAT1 | frequently rearranged in advanced T-cell lymphomas 1 |
| GAP | good agricultural practice |
| Gent | gentiopicroside |
| GLUT-4 | glucose transporter 4 |
| GOT | glutamic oxaloacetic transaminase |
| GPx | glutathione peroxidase |
| GPT | glutamate pyruvate transaminase |
| GRD | glutathione reductase |
| GSH | glutathione |
| GSK | glycogen synthase kinase |
| GST | glutathione S-transferase |
| HCC | hepatocellular carcinoma cell |
| H&E | hematoxylin-eosin staining |
| HepG2 | human hepatocellular carcinoma cells |
| HO-1 | heme oxygenase 1 |
| HPLC | high performance liquid chromatography |
| HSQC | hydrogenated soy phosphatidylcholine |
| Huh7 | human hepatocellular cancer cell line |
| ICS0 | 50% inhibiting concentration |
| ICR | institute of cancer research |
| Ig | immune globulin |
| IKK | inhibitor of nuclear factor kappa B kinase |
| IFN | interferon |
| IL | interleukin |
| iNOS | inducible nitric oxide |
| ISO | isoprotenerol |
| JAK | Janus kinase |
| KM | Kunming |
| LD50 | 50% lethal dose |
| LPS | lipopolysaccharide |
| L-NMMA | NG-monomethyl-l-arginine |
| MAF | mangiferin |
| MAPK | mitogen-activated protein kinase |
| MCF-7 | human breast cancer cell line |
| MDA | malondialdehyde |
| MDA-MB-231 | human breast cancer cell line |
| MIC | minimum inhibitory concentration |
| MMP | matrix metalloprotease |
| MPO | Myeloperoxidase |
| MR | Metagentiana rhodantha (Franch.) T.N.Ho and S.W.Liu |
| MRD | Metagentiana rhodantha (Franch.) T.N.Ho and S.W.Liu decoction |
| MS | mass spectrometer |
| MTD | maximum tolerance dose |
| mTOR | mammalian target of rapamycin |
| MTT | 3-(4,5-dimethyl-2-thiazolyl)-2,5-diphenyl-2-H-tetrazolium bromide |
| NBT | nitroblue tetrazolium |
| NCE | normochromatic erythrocyte |
| NIK | NF-kB inducing kinase |
| NF-kB | nuclear factor kB |
| NLRP3 | nucleotide binding oligomerization domain like receptor 3 |
| NMR | nuclear magnetic resonance |
| Abbreviation | Full Form |
|--------------|-----------|
| NO           | nitric oxide |
| NQO1         | NAD(P)H quinone oxidoreductase 1 |
| Nrf2         | nuclear factor E2-related factor 2 |
| NS           | normal saline |
| PC12         | rat pheochromocytoma cell line |
| PCE          | polychromatic erythrocytes |
| 3T3-L1       | primary mouse embryo fibroblasts |
| PCT          | procalcitonin |
| PCR          | quantitative real time polymerase chain reaction |
| PEMs         | peritoneal elucidated macrophages |
| PGE2         | prostaglandin E2 |
| PI3K         | phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase |
| PPAR         | peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor |
| PWT          | paw withdrawal threshold |
| PWL          | paw withdrawal latency |
| RANKL        | receptor activator for nuclear factor-κ B ligand |
| Rac1         | ras-related C3 botulinum toxin substrate 1 |
| RB           | red blood cell |
| ROS          | reactive oxygen species |
| RT           | retention time |
| SAH          | subarachnoid hemorrhage |
| SAR          | structure-activity relationship |
| SD           | spargue dawrley |
| SFI          | sciatic functional index |
| SGOT         | serum glutamyl oxalacetate transaminase |
| SGPT         | serum glutamyl pyruvate transaminase |
| SIC          | soluble immune complex |
| SKOV3        | human ovarian carcinoma cell line |
| SOD          | superoxide dismutase |
| STAT3        | signal transducer and activator of transcription |
| STZ          | streptozotocin |
| SWM          | swertiamarin |
| t1/2         | half-life |
| TBARS        | thiobarbituric acid reactive substances |
| TBil         | total bilirubin |
| TCM          | traditional Chinese medicine |
| TLC          | thin layer chromatography |
| TTC          | triphenyltetrazolium chloride |
| TNF          | tumor necrosis factor |
| TUNEL        | TdT-mediated dUTP nick-end labeling |
| VC           | vehicle control |
| WBC          | white blood cell |