Influence of weekly physical exercises on indicators of biological age of student’s youth
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Abstract

Background and Study Aim

The physical activity level of students is closely associated with ecological, hygienic, and socioeconomic facts. This is especially true during the COVID-19 pandemic, which has dramatically reduced the student opportunity to engage in regular physical activity. The purpose of the work is to study the influence of the weekly physical exercises on the indicators of biological age of students of higher education institutions of Ukraine.

Material and Methods

The study involved 409 students (182 boys and 227 girls), who were divided into experimental (87 boys and 117 girls) and control (95 boys and 110 girls) groups. The biological age (BA) of students was determined by means of Voitenko’s method using biomarkers of their physical status. Indices of the cardiovascular system (pulse, systolic, and diastolic blood pressure); respiratory system (vital capacity, the Hench and Stange test); central nervous system (static balancing); PHSA (personal health self-assessment) were studied. In addition, a questionnaire-based survey of students on their health self-assessment including 27 questions was carried out. Statistica 13.5 statistical software package was used to process the experimental material. Methods of variation statistics, correlation, and regression analysis were used. The coefficients of the Student t-test and Fisher’s F-test were calculated.

Results

In the process of pedagogical experiment, the positive impact of the author’s physical education program on BA of students of the experimental group (EG) was revealed: in boys, the positive dynamics of BA changes was detected already after four additional hours of performing exercises per week, whereas in girls – after six or more hours. The most pronounced changes in physical state, which determined BA decrease during academic year were noted in students with a weekly motor regime exceeding 6 hours. In boys of EG, the biological age decreased at the end of the experiment by 11,1 years (p < 0,001), whereas in girls – by 5,3 years (p < 0,001). No positive changes of BA were observed at the end of the experiment in students of the control group (CG), whose weekly regime of motor activity constituted 2 hours. In boys of EG, the decrease of BA is manifested after four additional hours of performing physical exercises per week, whereas in girls – after six or more hours. The most pronounced changes in BA indices during the academic year were noted in students of the experimental group with weekly motor regime exceeding 6 hours.

Conclusions

The developed mathematical models are recommended to be used for estimating, modelling, and predicting the biological age of students according to informative indices of physical state.
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Introduction

The system of physical education in higher education institutions should be a reliable basis for a high level of physical and mental working capacity, intellectual development of students in the learning process. It should encourage students to exercise regularly, to form the physical development necessity and ensure a high level of health and professional longevity. During the COVID-19 pandemic, there was an urgent need to orient the physical education system to solving the problems of the sedentary lifestyle of students.

The priority task of the system of physical education in higher education institutions is the formation of a healthy, physically and spiritually growth personality, the development of effective means to compensate for the adverse effects of hypodynamic and hypokinesia on the body of school and student youth [1–4]. Solving such problems in conditions of limited movement of people and confined spaces requires the search for effective approaches. To this should be added the transition of universities to distance learning forms, which are not typical for the physical education system. The emergence of these problems coincided with the need for changes in the higher education system.

The reformation of higher education in Ukraine is accompanied by the emergence of innovative higher
education institutions, the characteristic feature of which is the increase in the volume and intensification of education [2, 5].

Education intensification is also peculiar for universities of other countries. The studies show that:

- The combination of new challenges in higher education with the development of mobile technologies (one of the pillars of digital transformation) leads to intensification in study opportunities and requirements for the development of new teaching methods [6].
- Particular attention should be paid to business cooperation in creating a single educational space within the frames of relation intensification in the educational process [7].

Other authors [8] investigated the issue of the information load on Russian students increase in connection with the academic load in a situation of information overload. The authors noted that modern conditions require more and more adaptation to stressful situations that students are exposed to in the situation of educational activity.

It is known that an increase in study load volume results in decreased motor activity of students. This is supported by numerous studies. Griban et al [9] found that the level of physical fitness of Ukrainian boys and girls of the 1-4 courses is unsatisfactory in general. Guthold et al [10] described the current prevalence and trends of insufficient physical activity of school children aged 11-17 according to countries, regions, and worldwide. The authors noted that the majority of adolescents fail to meet existing standards of physical activity. Thomas et al [11] examined the frequency, intensity, time, type of physical activity, and the obstacles to engaging in it among Canadian students during their first year of study at university. The authors noted that programs to promote different aspects of physical activity should be focused on: non-competitive sports and fun activities; activities that students can engage in during their leisure time.

Decreased motor activity volume of young people and students was noted by researchers from Vietnam [12], Poland [13], Britain [14], and other countries. According to the authors, the physical inactivity of young people is one of the major determinants of their physical development lag from the current norms [9, 14] and physical health deterioration [1, 12, 13]. Dao Chanh Thuc [12] pointed out that the growth of the aging rate of Vietnamese student youth is due to decreased motor activity and reproductive function. The impact of motor activity regime on the biological age of females aged 17 - 18 was revealed. Cumming et al. [14] investigated the biological maturity, body size, and motor activity of British youth. While noting the association between them, the authors failed to fully disclose the specifics of physical exercise impact on BA.

As a rule, extensive introduction of the latest pedagogical technologies in accordance with the requirements of the Bologna Declaration is carried out without prior physiological and hygienic research. The processes of adaptation of student youth, within the implementation of innovative pedagogical technologies of learning, are insufficiently studied. A significant increase in mental workload leads to increased hypodynamic and hypokinesia, catastrophic limitation of muscular effort, and, together with excessive fascination of young people with computer games, contributes to various diseases, deterioration of physical condition and reduced physical and mental performance [15-17].

In the context of the COVID-19 pandemic, the scientific and pedagogical staff of the departments of physical education and sports clubs of universities cannot provide the necessary level of physical fitness and health of students. To the low level of students’ functional capabilities, there is also a limitation of their physical activity.

Therefore, attempts to achieve a higher level of physical activity of students lead to the fact that the educational process of physical education comes into conflict with theoretical disciplines.

The shortcomings of physical education at secondary schools cannot be compensated without harming the health of students and without making changes to the general educational process in higher education. Only by laying the foundation of physical development and physical fitness of infants and ensuring the continuity of physical education at each stage of ontogenesis the necessary health of young people can be achieved [1, 15, 18, 19]. As a result, there is a critical situation regarding the physical and mental health of student youth.

Our and other studies of functional indicators of body systems of the first-year students indicate that a significant proportion of students have low health and unsatisfactory levels of physical fitness, [3, 19, 20]. The studies have shown that the deficit of physical activity for maintaining satisfactory health and physical fitness of students ranges from 50% to 70% of the required. A number of authors believe that a significant role is played by socio-economic factors, environmental problems, lack of priority motivation of students for a healthy lifestyle as a major factor in the formation, strengthening, preservation and restoration of health and transmission to future generations [21, 22].

The physical activity level of students is closely associated with ecological, hygienic, and socioeconomic facts. This is especially true during the COVID-19 pandemic, which has dramatically reduced the student opportunity to engage in regular physical activity.

Huckins et al [23] examined student behavior and mental health in response to the COVID-19 pandemic compared to previous periods of time. They found that compared to previous semesters students during the winter 2020 semester were more sedentary, anxious and depressed. The wide variety of behavior models included more frequent phone use, decreased physical activity, and less number of visited places.

Zhang et al [24] assessed the negative impact of the COVID-19 outbreak upon the mental health of Chinese students and examined possible strategies for mitigating
the consequences. They noted that that possible strategy for mitigating consequences and thus, improving mental health should include an adequate level of daily physical activity and good sleep.

Gallo et al. [25] studied the level of physical activity (Active Australia Survey) among third-year biomedical students. The results were compared to those of students of the same course, who studied two years before. The authors found that the pandemic affected the physical activity level of both sexes, with 30% fewer students achieving “sufficient” activity levels (minimum 150 minutes over five sessions) compared to the previous two years.

Romero-Blanco et al. [26] assessed the physical activity and sedentary lifestyle of students before and during isolation from the coronavirus. The findings demonstrated the reduction of physical activity and sitting time globally and across groups.

It is safe to conclude that the situation with the COVID-19 contributes to further decrease of student motor activity level.

The survey, conducted by us, has showed that 30.4% of 1st and 2nd year students rate their health as good, 58.6% - satisfactory, 10.6% - poor and only 0.4% of the total number of respondents consider their health very bad [27]. At the same time, there is a significant difference between the self-assessment of students’ health and the results of annual medical examinations. Similar data were obtained in the study of the physical health self-assessment by university students of Gomel (Belarus) [28]. It was revealed that 58.5% of the respondents assess their physical health as satisfactory, and 67.6% consider their physical activity as moderate. Hossain et al. [29] examined the association between physical health self-assessment and depression and anxiety in university students of Dhaka, Bangladesh. It turned out that 13.9% of respondents had poor self-assessment of health, and 49.9% - had dissatisfaction with self-assessment of body image.

One of the factors that characterize the state of human health is indicators of biological age (BA) [20, 30-32]. Several foreign authors studied the relationship between the level of BA, health status, and aging, age-related morbidity and risk of death [33-37]. For instance, Jinho et al. [33], Jee et al. [38] developed biomarkers and models for predicting BA, aging, survival, and risk of death of Koreans. The clinical usefulness of developed models for the practical diagnosis of aging was verified. Zhong et al. [35] determined a set of physiological markers of the rate of aging, life expectancy, and health of Chinese people in the age range 50 plus years. It was proposed to differentiate biologically old from young people of the same chronological age according to them. Brazilian researchers [39] demonstrated that with an increase in the biological and chronological age of children and adolescents aged 9-15, their physical activity decreases. Boys were revealed to be more physically active than girls, however, when biological maturation is controlled, sex differences disappear. Belsky et. al. [37] developed the methods for evaluating the biological aging of young people without age-related diseases. They showed that rapidly aging Americans were less physically fit, experienced the decline of cognitive functions and aging of the brain, reported worse health status, and looked older. The measurement of biological aging in young people is proposed to be used to identify the causes of aging and to evaluate methods of rejuvenation. German scientists [40] used markers of oxidative stress, protein glycation, inflammation, cellular senescence, and hormonal regulation to determine biological age and compare it with chronological age, as well as to identify persons at high risk of developing age-related degenerative diseases or disability.

It is known that regular physical exercises may influence biological age. Pavanello et al. [41] assumed that intensive relaxing practices may impact various molecular mechanisms of aging. Canadian researchers [36] examined the relationship of BA physiological markers with chronological age, physical development, cognitive functions, physical work capacity, and morbidity in persons aged 45-85. Sex differences were insignificant in this case. Rahman et al. [42] developed an approach to biological age prediction using a person’s physical activity recorded by a wearable device. They claim that the suggested method leads to efficiency improvement. Ajman et al. [43] argue that data on biological development levels are of great significance for young athletes. Goto et al. [44] studied the impact of biological maturity on the results of elite and junior football matches. The authors note that the coaches should be aware of the process of identifying and developing talent as far as the maturity may influence the results of running at high speed. Lesinski et al. [45] studied the control values of anthropometric and physical fitness percentiles for adults, age, and sex of young elite athletes. They recommend using percentiles in practice as approximate criteria to identify and develop talents.

The results of the analysis of national and foreign sources showed that BA studies were aimed primarily at identifying informative markers of BA correlating with rates of aging, diseases, life expectancy, and the risk of death. Most of the studies were conducted on middle-aged and elderly people. In the works dealing with the study of young people BA, the causes and mechanisms of biological aging have not been sufficiently disclosed. The information about motor activity influence on BA and the rate of aging, morbidity, and life expectancy can hardly be considered sufficient.

Incomplete coverage of the issue under consideration, different approaches, and methods of its study, the inconsistency of findings, necessitate a deeper examination of BA, in particular, its relationships with health status, aging rates, gender, age, motor activity, socio-economic and ecological living conditions.

This prompted us to conduct scientific and pedagogical research to determine the interrelation between biological age and the amount of weekly physical activity of students. We assume that the results of this study may be especially important in a new and unexpectedly emerging
sanitary and epidemiological situation – the COVID-19 pandemic. This assumption is based on the fact that the mathematical models developed by us can be used to assess, model and predict the biological age of students by informative indicators of their physical condition.

The purpose of the work is to study the influence of the weekly physical exercises on the indicators of biological age of students of higher education institutions of Ukraine.

Materials and Methods

Participants.

We involved students of higher education institutions of Ukraine to conduct a study. The total number of students, who participated in the study, was 409 people (n=182 – boys; n=227 - girls), which were divided into experimental group (EG) (n=87 – boys; n=117 - girls) and control group (CG) (n=95 – boys; n=110 - girls). The experimental group students were divided into 3 subgroups: EG₁, EG₂, and EG₃.

Study protocol was approved by Ethic Committee State University of Telecommunications (Kyiv, Ukraine). The research was fulfilled in compliance with WMA Declaration of Helsinki – Ethical Principles for Medical Research Involving Human Subjects [46].

Research Design.

The control groups practiced once a week according to the generally accepted physical education program approved by the Ministry of Education and Science of Ukraine [47].

The experimental groups were engaged in physical exercises according to the experimental program. Experimental program provided: two hours of physical education classes per week; two to six additional independent physical exercises. Experimental methodology for students of EG₁ envisaged two hours of academic studies during the week and two hours of independent exercises. For students of EG₂, the program included two hours of academic studies during the week and four hours of independent exercises. Students of EG₃ were offered a program of two hours of academic studies during the week and six or more hours of independent exercises.

The research was conducted during the school year (the study was conducted before the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic).

To determine the biological age, we chose the Voitenko’s [48] method. In accordance with Voitenko’s method, indices of physical state were registered to determine the biological age. In addition, a questionnaire-based survey of students on their health self-assessment including 27 questions was carried out. Indices of the cardiovascular system (pulse, systolic and diastolic blood pressure), respiratory system (vital capacity, the Hench and Stange test), central nervous system (static balancing); PHSA (personal health self-assessment) were studied.

Statistical analysis. STATISTICA 13.5 statistical software package was used to process the experimental material. Methods of variation statistics, correlation, and regression analysis were used [49]. The coefficients of Student t-test and Fisher’s F-test were calculated to determine the validity of obtained results.

Results

The analysis of research materials of boys and girls from the control and experimental groups is represented below. Analysis of the obtained results in the control group showed no positive effect from physical education classes in the amount of 2 hours per week during the school year. In some cases, there was a negative impact of physical education classes on the well-being of student youth over the next few days (muscle pain, poor sleep, loss of appetite, sometimes headaches, etc.). Indicators of biological age of young men in the control group were higher than the corresponding indicators on average over the university, although statistically unlikely (49.3 ± 0.77 years vs. 49.0 ± 0.88 years; p> 0.05) (Fig. 1).

There was similar situation in the control group of the girls (39.6 ± 0.79 vs. 39.5 ± 0.66; p > 0.05) (Fig. 2).

Experimental group 1. Representatives of both sexes of EG₁ had similarities in the results, but there was also some difference. Thus, the negative effects of compulsory physical education and 2 hours of self-study were more pronounced among boys than girls.

Indicators of biological age of boys in this subgroup significantly exceeded the university average (52.5 ± 0.46 vs. 49.0 ± 0.88; p < 0.001), which indicated a high level of statistical probability (Fig. 1). The indicators of biological age of girls from the experimental group 1, although increased, but not as among boys (40.4 ± 1.09 vs. 39.5 ± 0.66; p > 0.05) (Fig. 2). It should be noted that such amount of weekly motor regime did not sufficiently meet the requirements of the body; independent exercise was conducted at a low methodological level and was not effective. There is a necessity for qualified methodological assistance from the scientific and pedagogical staff of the Department of Physical Education. Therefore, one of the shortcomings of this system is the lack of full pedagogical control by scientific and pedagogical staff of the Department of Physical Education.

Experimental group 2. A positive effect from a certain system of health and training classes was observed among the boys from the EG₂, as evidenced by changes in biological age, namely - 46.4 ± 0.45 vs. 49.0 ± 0.88 (p < 0.001).

The results of this subgroup confirm the position that in order to obtain the desired effect from exercise in a higher education institution, it is necessary to focus on the 6-hour motor regime of young students. This is the minimum number of hours of exercise that will allow young freshmen to effectively influence the functional activities of the body [under the condition of pedagogical control by research and teaching staff of the Department of Physical Education] and, consequently, more successfully master the curriculum.

The situation among the girls of this subgroup is similar to that in the first subgroup. The results almost coincide (Fig. 2). Minor changes in biological age (40.5 ± 0.91 vs. 39.5 ± 0.66; p > 0.05), suggest that for girls it is
necessary to review this system of exercise. It proved to be ineffective. In addition, it is necessary to take into account the psychological and emotional state of the girls. Usually they want to get a positive result quickly, with the least effort, ignoring the methodological recommendations of scientific and pedagogical staff of the Department of Physical Education. It is not uncommon for the girls to put in the first place the opinion of a friend, acquaintance, and not qualified methodological advice during physical exercises. In addition, the research and teaching staff of the Department of Physical Education (especially men) must take into account the vulnerability and psychology of the girl and feel the limit beyond which, if you cross, you can lose confidence and authority among students.

Experimental group 3. The best result was obtained in this subgroup – EG, (Fig. 1, 2). Thus, the indicators of biological age in both boys and girls at the end of the experiment are much lower than average – 37,9 ± 1,10 vs. 49,0 ± 0,88; p < 0,001; in girls – 34,2 ± 0,90 against 39,5 ± 0,66; p < 0,001.

Below are presented the mathematical models, correlation (r), and determination (d) coefficients reflecting biological age (BA) dependence on anthropometric and functional indices in male and female students in the process of the experiment (tabl. 1).

In girls of the CG, the degree of BA dependence on the model parameters is somewhat higher than in those of the EG, both before and after the experiment (tabl. 1).
Table 1. Mathematical dependence models of the biological age of males and females of EG and CG on anthropometric and functional indices before and after the experiment

| Groups, conditions | Regression models | r     | d     |
|-------------------|------------------|-------|-------|
| **Females**       |                  |       |       |
| Before experiment  | EG y = 28.29+0.792x_1+0.304x_2-0.215x_3-0.120x_4-0.005x_5 ± 2.4  | 0.886 | 0.740 |
|                    | F=17.5 p < 0.00000  |       |       |
|                    | CG y = 8.23+0.387x_1+0.806x_2-0.158x_3-0.11x_4-0.003x_5 ± 1.1  | 0.888 | 0.972 |
|                    | F=204.9 p < 0.00000  |       |       |
| After experiment   | EG Y=(15.18+0.174x_1) ±2.6, F=23.9 p < 0.00000  | 0.679 | 0.442 |
|                    | CG y= 14.4+(0.351x_1+0.806x_2-0.12x_3-0.04 x_4) ±0.7  | 0.994 | 0.986 |
|                    | F=397.8 p < 0.00000  |       |       |
| **Males**         |                  |       |       |
| Before experiment  | EG y = 45.71+(0.167x_1+0.653x_2+0.077x_3-0.13x_4-0.004x_5-0.11x_6) ±0.57 | 0.996 | 0.988 |
|                    | F=563.8 p < 0.00000  |       |       |
|                    | CG y = 41.82+(0.183x_1+0.817x_2-0.12x_3-0.15x_4-0.004x_5-0.11x_6) ±1.5  | 0.968 | 0.925 |
|                    | F=81.0 p < 0.00000  |       |       |
| After experiment   | EG y = (52.5-0.107x_7) ±2.6, F=3.9 p < 0.00001  | 0.598 | 0.343 |
|                    | CG y = 42.1+(0.18x_1+0.749x_2-0.13x_3-0.006x_4) ±2.9  | 0.882 | 0.779 |
|                    | F=81.0 p < 0.00000  |       |       |

NOTE: y – BA, years; x_1 – arterial systolic pressure, mm Hg.; x_2 – health self-assessment; x_3 – body mass, kg; x_4 – height, cm; x_5 – left hand strength, kg; x_6 – static balancing, s; x_7 – vital capacity, ml; x_8 – arterial diastolic pressure, mm Hg; x_9 – Gerch test, s; x_10 – Stange test, s; r – correlation coefficient; d – determination coefficient.

At the same time, as illustrated above, a more pronounced (statistically significant) decrease in the BA level was observed in the girls of the third EG group by the end of the experiment. This reflects the effectiveness of the developed physical education program for this group and the adequacy of the presented regression models.

Before the experiment, in males of the CG and the EG close correlation and determination coefficients were observed, reflecting a high level of predicting their BA according to the parameters of the developed models. After the experiment, the prognosis of BA in males of the CG according to the developed models decreased by 14% and constituted 77.9% (d = 0.779, p < 0.0000), whereas in those of the EG, the prognosis of BA according to the studied parameters decreased from 92.5% to 34.3% (p < 0.00001). A decrease in BA dependence in males of the third EG on the studied morphofunctional parameters occurs when their BA decreases by the end of the experiment. A high reliability degree of the developed equations suggests that they can be used to model and predict BA of students depending on their level of physical development and the functional state achieved in the process of physical education.

The obtained results indicate a high degree of statistical probability of health-training classes of the third experimental subgroup. It should be noted that according to the experimental data and the questionnaire, the representatives of this subgroup significantly improved the performance of the cardiovascular, respiratory systems and musculoskeletal system. In particular, the girls’ blood pressure was normalized from 116/66 to 114/78 mm Hg; heart rate decreased from 87.6 to 82.3 beats/min, and body weight from 59.9 to 57.3 kg; static balancing indicators improved from 17.3 s to 24.5 s, and vital capacity of the lungs from 2263.5 to 2574.1 ml. The boys’ blood pressure improved from 113/65 to 118/74 mm Hg; heart rate from 86.3 to 80.7 beats/min; static balancing from 22.1 s to 27.2 s; vital capacity of the lungs from 3267.8 to 3976.4 ml; body weight from 67.8 to 70.1 kg. Students improved their well-being, increased oxygen-regenerative processes in the body, normalized the activity of the gastrointestinal tract and excretory organs, and improved coordination between muscle activity and autonomic-trophic functions, and so on.

**Discussion**

Modern approaches in higher education for the conservation and promotion of health student youth are not sufficiently reflected in the educational process [12, 20, 27, 32]. This is largely due to the fragmented understanding of the problem’s teachers save student health [50-53].

The results of the conducted research acknowledge the data of other scientists in Ukraine and foreign countries on the positive impact of exercise on the physical condition of man [9, 11, 13, 39]. At the same time, they complement these results by clarifying the sequence of health and training sessions; specify their content and algorithm in sections on individual sports [27, 53-55].

As a result of the pedagogical experiment, it has been found that a positive result of physical exercises is detected only starting with additional or specially organized [health and training classes in sports sections] four hours of independent classes per week. It has been
proved that the greatest effect occurs with six or more independent hours of exercise per week, which together with two hours of compulsory physical education is eight hours per week. This is the minimum that students must follow to maintain good physical condition and health.

Our data partially agree with the findings of Dao Chanh Thuc [12], who examined the motor activity influence on BA of Vietnamese female students aged 17-18. It was found that one physical education class and six or more independent classes per week (equivalent to 17-20 hours of training) contributed to a significant BA decrease at the end of the experiment. In our studies, a decrease in the biological age of boys and girls was observed after four and six more hours of regular additional exercises, respectively. The most pronounced changes in physical state indices, which determined biological age decrease during the academic year were noted in students, whose weekly motor regime exceeded 6 hours.

Our current and earlier researches provide several reasons to explain these results. The first and main reason is the insufficient number of hours of exercise for girls. Due to the fact that at secondary schools girls receive from 10 to 20% of the required motor activity [1, 50, 51], in higher education institutions, research and teaching staff begin to work with physically unprepared girls.

Therefore, the number of hours for recovery, development and improvement of physical condition, motivation for self-improvement, providing them with the basics of physical education, requires much more than provided by the educational unit of the university.

The second reason is the low efficiency of health and training classes in physical education [32, 54-56].

The third reason is the non-observance of methodical recommendations by the scientific-methodical worker concerning the organization and system of conducting independent classes taking into account the chronotype of the personality.

The fourth reason is the lack of strict adherence to the daily routine (sleeping time no later than 22:00, rise at 7:00), diet, control of their emotional state, and so on.

The fifth reason is low methodological literacy in the matters related to the system of independent health-training classes.

The sixth reason is non-compliance with the schedule of independent health-training classes.

Perhaps there are other reasons [11, 16, 55-57]. But one way or another, they do not contribute to the desired effect of conducting classes on this system.

**Conclusions**

The author’s system of health related and training classes in physical education can be considered a standard in determining the weekly motor regime of higher education institution students.

Elaborated mathematical models are recommended to be applied for estimating, modeling, and predicting the biological age of students according to informative indices of physical state.
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