THE FIRST SYSTEMATIC SURVEY FOR Lyα EMITTERS AT z = 7.3 WITH RED-SENSITIVE SUBARU/SUPRIME-CAM *

Takatoshi Shibuya 1,2, Nobunari Kashikawa 1,2, Kazuaki Ota 3, Masanori Iye 1,2,4, Masami Ouchi 5,6, Hisonori Furusawa 2, Kazuhiro Shimasaku 7,8, and Takashi Hattori 9

1 Department of Astronomical Science, The Graduate University for Advanced Studies, Mitaka, Tokyo 181-8588, Japan; takatoshi.shibuya_at nao.ac.jp
2 Optical and Infrared Astronomy Division, National Astronomical Observatory, Mitaka, Tokyo 181-8588, Japan
3 Department of Astronomy, Kyoto University, Kitashirakawa-Oiwake-cho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan
4 Department of Astronomy, The University of Tokyo, Mitaka, Tokyo 181-8588, Japan
5 Institute for Cosmic Ray Research, University of Tokyo, Kashiwa 277-8582, Japan
6 Institute for the Physics and Mathematics of the Universe (IPMU), TODIAS, University of Tokyo, 5-1-5 Kashiwanoha, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8583, Japan
7 Department of Astronomy, Graduate School of Science, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan
8 Research Center for the Early Universe, Graduate School of Science, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan
9 Subaru Telescope, 650 North A'ohoku Place, Hilo, HI 96720, USA

Received 2011 December 15; accepted 2012 April 8; published 2012 June 4

ABSTRACT

We have performed deep imaging surveys for Lyα emitters (LAEs) at redshift \( z \approx 7.3 \) in two blank fields, the Subaru Deep Field (SDF) and the Subaru/XMM-Newton Deep survey Field (SXDF), using the Subaru/Suprime-Cam equipped with new red-sensitive CCDs and a new narrowband filter, NB1006 (\( \lambda_c = 10052 \text{ Å} \), FWHM \( \Delta \lambda = 214 \text{ Å} \)). We identified four objects as LAE candidates that exhibit luminosity excess in NB1006. By carrying out deep follow-up spectroscopy for three of them using Subaru/FOCAS and Keck/DEIMOS, a definitively asymmetric emission line is detected for one of them, SXDF-NB1006-2. Assuming this line is Lyα, this object is an LAE at \( z = 7.215 \) with a luminosity of \( 1.2^{+1.5}_{-0.6} \times 10^{43} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \) and a weighted skewness \( S_{\Delta} = 4.90 \pm 0.86 \). Another object, SDF-NB1006-2, shows variable photometry and is thus probably a quasar (QSO) or an active galactic nucleus. It shows an asymmetric emission line at 10076 Å which may be due to either Lyα at \( z = 7.288 \) or [O II] at \( z = 1.703 \). The third object, SDF-NB1006-1, is likely a galaxy with temporal luminosity enhancement associated with a supernova explosion, as the brightness of this object varies between the observed epochs. Its spectrum does not show any emission lines. The inferred decrease in the number density of LAEs toward higher redshift is \( n_{\text{Ly} \alpha}^z = 0.05^{+0.11}_{-0.06} \) from \( z = 5.7 \) to 7.3 down to \( L^{1\text{yr}} = 1.0 \times 10^{43} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \). The present result is consistent with the interpretation in previous studies that the neutral hydrogen fraction is rapidly increasing from \( z = 5.7 \) to 7.3.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Cosmic reionization is one of the most important events in the early history of the universe. It is the drastic phase transition of the intergalactic hydrogen medium (IGM) from neutral to ionized; therefore, it is closely related to the formation and evolution of the first stars and galaxies. How and when this cosmic reionization process took place remains an issue of great interest in the studies of the early history of the universe, and many observational studies have been made to probe early galaxies during the last decade (Iye 2011). The latest measurements of the polarization of the cosmic microwave background by the Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe constrained the optical depth of electron scattering during reionization, suggesting that the probable redshift of reionization, if it took place instantaneously, was \( z = 11.0 \pm 1.4 \) (Dunkley et al. 2009). Measurements of Gunn–Peterson (GP) troughs (Gunn & Peterson 1965) on spectra of high-z quasars sampled by the Sloan Digital Sky Survey imply that reionization had been completed by \( z \approx 6 \), when the fraction of IGM neutral hydrogen is estimated to be very small, \( x_{\text{HI}} = 6.2 \times 0.01 - 0.04 \) (Fan et al. 2006), where \( x_{\text{HI}} \) is defined as \( x_{\text{HI}} = n_{\text{HI}}/n_{\text{H}} \) with \( n_{\text{HI}} \) and \( n_{\text{H}} \) being the number density of neutral hydrogen and all hydrogen in the universe, respectively.

Another promising technique to probe the reionization process is to use Lyα emitters (LAEs). Narrowband (NB; bandwidths of \( \sim 100-200 \text{ Å} \)) imaging can efficiently isolate LAEs, which prominently emit Lyα from their interstellar gas ionized by massive stars. Comparing Lyα LFs of LAEs before and after cosmic reionization provides an approach to determine the epoch of end of reionization (Haiman & Spaans 1999; Malhotra & Rhoads 2004) because Lyα photons are sensitive to neutral IGM abundances. In the reionization era, Lyα photons would be scattered at higher redshifts due to the damping wing from the surrounding neutral IGM. Therefore, the observed abundance of LAEs at this epoch should vary with the neutral fraction of IGM hydrogen. Using LAEs to probe reionization is sensitive to neutral IGM fractions \( x_{\text{HI}} > 10^{-3} \). This is an advantage over the GP test, which is not sensitive at \( x_{\text{HI}} > 10^{-3} \). LAE imaging surveys are a good observational method to probe the epoch of the cosmic reionization, although it is difficult to distinguish the effects of reionization from those of galaxy evolution.

Due to their very low number density, wide field deep survey observations are essential to construct a statistical sample of high-redshift LAEs. Wide field coverage also allows us to overcome the uncertainties of cosmic variance, as well as potentially large cosmic variance. The Subaru

* Based on data obtained with the Subaru Telescope and the W. M. Keck Observatory. The Subaru Telescope is operated by the National Astronomical Observatory of Japan. The W. M. Keck Observatory is operated as a scientific partnership among the California Institute of Technology, the University of California, and the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.
Prime Focus Camera (Miyazaki et al. 2002; Suprime-Cam) has 10 MIT/LL 2048 pixel × 4096 pixel CCDs, located at the prime focus of Subaru Telescope, covering a 34′ × 27′ field of view (FoV) with a pixel scale of 0′′.202. The CCDs of Suprime-Cam were replaced to new fully depleted CCDs made by Hamamatsu Photonics in 2008 (Kamata et al. 2008; see the next section). Because the practical ranges of redshifts observable for LAEs are limited by OH airglow lines, some dedicated NB filters, corresponding to z = 3.1, 4.8, 5.7, and 6.6, were manufactured (e.g., Kashikawa et al. 2006, 2011; Ouchi et al. 2008, 2010; Shimasaku et al. 2006; Taniguchi et al. 2005). Surveys performed using these NB filters revealed that the Lyα LF exhibits almost no evolution from z = 3.1 to 5.7, whereas the Lyα LF at z = 6.6 shows an apparent deficit, at least at its bright end, compared to that observed at z = 5.7, while the rest-UV LFs at z = 6.6 and 5.7 are comparable. This result strongly supports the suggestion that the decline in the LAE LF at z > 6 was caused by the attenuation of the IGM rather than by the galaxy evolution. The derived L* at z = 6.6 is 30%–60% lower than that at z = 5.7, indicating the luminosity attenuation toward higher redshift. On the other hand, Hu et al. (2010) reported that L* is unchanged from z = 5.7 to 6.5 based on their spectroscopic sample. Then, when and how the end of cosmic reionization was completed is still under debate. Similarly, the discovery of an LAE at z ~ 7.0, IOK-1 at z = 6.96 was made using the NB973 filter (λc = 9755 Å, Δλ = 200 Å) imaging (Iye et al. 2006; Ota et al. 2008), revealing that the Lyα luminosity density gradually decreases from z = 5.7 even to 7.0. In fact, the number density of z = 7 LAEs is only 18%–36% of the density at z = 6.6. These results indicate a substantial transition in the IGM-ionizing state during these early epochs. We might be observing the end of the cosmic reionization process.

To trace the neutral fraction as a function of redshift, it is necessary to survey for LAEs at higher-z. LAEs beyond z = 7 have been surveyed by NIR instruments covering wide FoV and equipped with NB filters including the 4 m Mayall Telescope/NewFIRM (Hibon et al. 2010; Tiliwi et al. 2010; Krug et al. 2012). They reported that the Lyα LF has not evolved beyond z = 6. These photometric LAE candidates have not yet been spectroscopically confirmed and the possible presence of remaining contaminants cannot be ruled out. Therefore, photometric constraining of LFs at z > 7 is not yet robust, and spectroscopic confirmation of the Lyα line is required.

Another effective method is to measure the fraction of Lyα-emitting galaxies among Lyman break galaxies (LBGs) in order to understand the reionization process. Sizable LBG samples at z ~ 7 found by the Hubble Space Telescope (HST)/Wide Field Camera 3 have been confirmed spectroscopically with the Keck/DEIMOS and the Very Large Telescope/FORS2 (Fontana et al. 2010; Ono et al. 2012; Pentericci et al. 2011; Schenker et al. 2012; Vanzella et al. 2011). Their results suggest that the Lyα fraction at z ~ 7 could decline from z ≤ 6 (Stark et al. 2010, 2011) and it might be caused by the increased neutral fraction at z ~ 7. Hence, the current suggested redshift range of the end of the cosmic reionization from ~6 to ~11 indicates that the details of the cosmic reionization process remain obscure.

In this paper, we present the results of photometric and spectroscopic surveys for z = 7.3 LAEs and use this sample to constrain the history of cosmic reionization. The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. First, we describe the imaging survey for z = 7.3 LAEs in two blank fields in Section 2. We also explain the selection criteria of z = 7.3 LAE candidates based on NB and broadband (BB) photometry in Section 3. Next, we present deep spectroscopic observations of our photometrically selected LAE candidates in Section 4. We compare the estimated Lyα luminosity and star formation rate (SFR) of z = 7.3 LAEs with those of z = 6.6 and 5.7 LAEs and discuss constraints on cosmic reionization in Section 5. In the last section, we summarize our results.

Throughout the present paper, we adopt concordance cosmology with (Ωm, ΩΛ, h) = (0.3, 0.7, 0.7) (Spergel et al. 2007) and AB magnitudes with 2′ diameter apertures for the NB1006 surveys unless otherwise specified.

2. IMAGING OBSERVATION

The sensitivity at 1 μm of the previous Suprime-Cam was only about 20%. The CCDs of Suprime-Cam were upgraded to new red-sensitive ones in 2008 (Kamata et al. 2008). As shown in Figure 1, these new CCDs have a quantum efficiency of 40% at 1 μm, twice that of the previous CCDs and enable an effective survey for LAEs beyond z = 7. Actually, the survey using the new CCDs for LAEs at z = 7 has obtained a deeper limiting magnitude (Ota et al. 2010a) than that attained by the previous CCDs with same integration time.

We built a new NB filter, NB1006, to carry out an LAE survey at z = 7.3 with the new red-sensitive Suprime-Cam. The transmission band of NB1006 filter is centered at λc = 10052 Å and has an FWHM Δλ = 214 Å to cover 9943–10142 Å, a modest, but not perfectly clean, OH band gap. LAEs in the redshift range z = 7.261 ± 0.082 can be detected with this filter (see Figure 1).

We observed two sky regions, the Subaru Deep Field (SDF) and the Subaru/XMM-Newton Deep survey Field (SXDF) using the new red-sensitive Suprime-Cam and the new filter, NB1006. The SDF is centered at 13h24m21s, +27d29′23″ (J2000) (Kashikawa et al. 2004). The field has the deepest imaging data from those having a single pointing of Suprime-Cam. Deep BB B, V, R, i′, z′, and narrowband NB816 (λc = 8160 Å, ΔλFWHM = 120 Å) and NB921 (λc = 9196 Å,
integrations, respectively. The observational log is summarized in 2009 February–April and 2009 October in 22 the photometric zero point. SDF and SXDF were imaged during the observation to calibrate filters used in the observation of SDF and SXDF are shown 0 common seeing size of 0.26.54, respectively. All of the images were convolved to a function (PSF) of 0.26.40 for SXDF-South. For SXDF, we matched the point-spread B 26.40 at the SXDF-Center and 0.18 as of the SXDF-Center and South. The limiting magnitudes ∼(Furusawa et al. 2008; Ouchi et al. 2008, 2010), and covers 3d e g2 region of sky by five pointings of Suprime-Cam (SXDF-Center, North, South, East, West). We chose a field to cover the southern half of SXDF-Center and the northern half of SXDF-South, where Spitzer SpUDS, SEDS, and HST CANDELS (Grogin et al. 2011; Koekemoer et al. 2011) data are also available (see Figure 2 in Ota et al. 2010a). The limiting magnitudes in the 2′ aperture at 3σ are B = 28.09, V = 27.78, R = 27.57, i′ = 27.62, z′ = 26.57, NB816 = 26.55, NB921 = 26.40 at the SXDF-Center and B = 28.33, V = 27.75, R = 27.67, i′ = 27.47, z′ = 26.39, NB816 = 26.65, NB921 = 26.40 for SXDF-South. For SXDF, we matched the point-spread function (PSF) of NB1006 images to the maximum PSF size of 0.82 as of the SXDF-Center and South. The limiting magnitudes of available near-infrared bands (J, H, and K) for SDF and SXDF are much shallower than those for optical bands and we did not use data from J, H, K images for the photometric selection of candidates. The transmission curves of the optical filters used in the observation of SDF and SXDF are shown in Figure 1. Spectroscopic standard stars GD153 and GD71 for SDF and SXDF were imaged during the observation to calibrate the photometric zero point.

The SDF and SXDF were observed using the NB1006 filter in 2009 February–April and 2009 October in 22.3 and 14.8 hr integrations, respectively. The observational log is summarized in Table 1. We removed some images with poor quality from the final analysis and the actual integration times used in the present paper are 17.2 and 14.3 hr for the SDF and SXDF, respectively. After masking out those regions that have low signal-to-noise (S/N) ratios, the final effective areas of the SDF and SXDF images used were 855 and 863 arcmin², corresponding to the survey volumes of (2.96, 2.98) × 10⁵ Mpc³ for the SDF and SXDF, respectively.

### Table 1

| Field       | n ⁴ | The Number of Images | t exp ⁵ | Date of Observations | Seeing (arcsec) |
|-------------|-----|----------------------|---------|----------------------|-----------------|
| SDF 500–1800 | 19  |                      | 22094.5 | 2009 Feb 25          | 0.8±1.1         |
| SDF 1200–1800 | 15  |                      | 22800   | 2009 Feb 26          | 0.8±0.9         |
| SDF 730–1200 | 7   |                      | 7931.2  | 2009 Mar 24         | 0.8±1.2         |
| SDF 1200    | 2   |                      | 2400    | 2009 Apr 1           | 1.4             |
| SDF 900–1200 | 22  |                      | 25200.8 | 2009 Apr 2           | 0.8±1.2         |
| SXDF 1200   | 23  |                      | 27600   | 2009 Oct 15         | 0.4             |
| SXDF 1200   | 21  |                      | 25200   | 2009 Oct 16         | 0.4             |

Notes.

a The integration time of one image.
b The total integration time of the SDF is 80,426.5 s and the total integration time of the SXDF is 52,800 s.

Source detection and photometry were carried out using SExtractor version 2.8.6 (Bertin & Arnouts 1996). We searched for objects having an area larger than five contiguous pixels with a flux greater than 2σ excess over the sky surface brightness. Objects were chosen from the NB1006 image and their photometry was performed on the images in other wave bands at the same positions. The double-imaging mode of SExtractor was used for this task. The 2′ diameter aperture magnitudes of detected objects were measured using the MAG_APER parameter and the total magnitudes were measured using MAG_AUTO. When the LAE candidates were selected, the aperture magnitude was used as the magnitude of object. Astrometric calibration was performed for images of NB1006 and the astrometric errors were ~0.2.

Bright stellar halos, saturated CCD blooming, and pixels of abnormally high or low flux count spikes were masked out in the SDF and SXDF images in all wave bands. The low S/N regions at the edge of the image were also masked out. The final object catalog constructed from the NB1006 images contains 31,168 objects for the SDF and 27,565 objects for the SXDF down to NB1006 < 24.83(5σ) for SDF and NB1006 < 24.57(5σ) for SXDF.

#### 3.2. The Detection Completeness

Photometric detection completeness in photometry is defined as the ratio of the number of objects detected by the photometry to that of the objects actually present in the universe. In order to evaluate the detection completeness of our detections down to the limiting magnitude of our NB1006 images, the STarlist and mkobjects tasks in IRAF were used. We created a sample of 20,000 artificial stellar objects having the same PSF as on the NB1006 images with a random spatial distribution over blank regions of the images and with magnitudes ranging from 21 to 27 mag. The SExtractor was run for source detection on these mockup image data in exactly the same way as we did in our actual photometry. We used the ASSOciation mode of the SExtractor to avoid sky noise not located on the artificial stellar objects. We repeated this procedure five times and averaged the obtained detection completeness. The results are shown in Figure 2. It is likely that the detection completeness exceeds 90% at NB1006 < 23.7, and more than 50% even at the 5σ limiting magnitudes of NB1006 = 24.83, 24.57, for SDF and SXDF, respectively. This detection completeness factors were

Δλ FWHM = 132 Å filter images were taken (Kashikawa et al. 2004) and are made available for public access. The limiting magnitudes in a 2′ aperture at 3σ are B = 28.45, V = 27.74, R = 27.80, i′ = 27.43, z′ = 26.62, NB816 = 26.63, NB921 = 26.54, respectively. All of the images were convolved to a common seeing size of 0.98. These BB and NB images can be downloaded from the official Web site of the SDF project.¹⁰

¹⁰ http://soaps.nao.ac.jp/
¹¹ http://irsa.ipac.caltech.edu/data/SPITZER/docs/spitzermission/
¹² http://www.cfa.harvard.edu/SEDS/
used to correct the number and luminosity densities of $z = 7.3$ LAEs discussed in Section 5.

3.3. Selection of $z = 7.3$ LAE Candidates

We select LAE candidates at $z = 7.3$ as those objects showing significant flux in $NB1006$ but not detected in any of the bluer bands. The ($z' - NB1006$, $NB1006$) color magnitude distribution of all the detected objects in the SDF and SXDF is shown in Figures 3 and 4, respectively. The average $z' - NB1006$ color excess in the magnitude range $22 < NB1006 < 24$ was about +0.2. The dashed curves in these figures show $3\sigma$ boundaries of $z' - NB1006$ color distribution defined by the equation

$$
\pm 3\sigma_{BB-NB} = -2.5 \log_{10}(1 + (f_{NB}^2 + f_{BB}^2)^{0.5})/f_{NB}.
$$

As the diagram indicates, if $z' - NB1006 \geq 2.6$ is used as the color selection criterion, then $z = 7.3$ LAEs can be identified. It should be noted that the $NB1006$ bandpass is located at the red edge of the CCD sensitive wavelengths; therefore, the UV continuum redder than Ly$\alpha$ emission is hardly detected even in the $z'$ band. Hence, we applied the following selection criteria to the detected objects in the same way as Ota et al. (2008).

1. $NB1006 > 5\sigma_{NB973}$, $NB921, NB816, i', R, V, B < 3\sigma, z' - NB1006 \geq 2.6$

2. $NB1006 > 5\sigma_{NB973}$, $NB921, z', NB816, i', R, V, B < 3\sigma$

where all magnitudes were measured as $2''$ diameter aperture magnitudes, $MAG_{APER}$.

Color criterion, $z' - NB1006 > 2.6$, was determined by the model spectrum of a galaxy at $z = 7.3$. We created a spectral energy distribution (SED) of a starburst galaxy using a stellar population synthesis model, GALAXEV (Bruzual & Charlot 2003), with a metallicity of $Z = Z_{\odot} = 0.02$, an age of $t = 1$ Gyr, a Salpeter initial mass function with lower and upper mass cutoffs of $m_L = 0.1 M\odot$ and $m_U = 100 M\odot$, and an exponentially decaying star formation history for $\tau = 1$ Gyr. These parameters were selected to be the same as those used in Taniguchi et al. (2005) and Ota et al. (2008) for consistency. The absorption by IGM was applied to the generated spectrum using the model of Madau (1995). The flux of an Ly$\alpha$ emission line with $EW_0 = 0$ Å was added to the SED at $(1 + 7.26)1216$ Å. In other words, we create an SED of LBG with no Ly$\alpha$ emission line. Hence, the presently adopted color criterion, $z' - NB1006 > 2.6$, corresponds to the rest-frame equivalent width of Ly$\alpha$ of $EW_0 > 0$. Moreover, the photometric error may cause interlopers at lower-$z$ to happen to satisfy our color selection criteria. We carried out the following test in the same way as Bouwens et al. (2011b) and Wilkins et al. (2011). First, we choose brighter sources in magnitude range of $22.5 > NB1006 > 23.5$ from our two fields and then dim these bright sources to match to the same magnitudes as of our LAE candidates by scaling the optical BB and NB fluxes. We then distribute these objects over the real images. The number of these artificial objects is the same as the number of observed objects in the magnitude range from $NB1006 < 23.5$ down to each $NB1006$ limiting magnitude. We applied the same exact detection and selection criteria as the candidate selection. As a result, we found that no objects happen to come into our criteria, suggesting that our selection criteria can robustly avoid any nearby red and line-emitting interlopers.

---

**Figure 2.** Detection completeness of our SDF and SXDF $NB1006$ images per 0.5 mag bin.

**Figure 3.** $z' - NB1006$ color as a function of $NB1006$ magnitude of the objects detected in the SDF (shown by dots). The vertical line is the limiting magnitude of the SDF, 24.83 mag. The diagonal dashed line is the $3\sigma$ limit of the $z'$ magnitudes in $2''$ aperture. The points on this diagonal dashed line are the colors which are converted to the $3\sigma$ limits of $z'$ magnitudes in $2''$ aperture. The curve shows the $3\sigma$ error track of $z' - NB1006$ color. The squares are the $z = 7.3$ LAE candidates SDF-NB1006-1, SDF-NB1006-2.

**Figure 4.** Same as Figure 3, but the filled squares and dots are the candidates and objects detected in the SXDF, respectively. The objects in two fields in SXDF, SXDF-C and SXDF-S which have different $z'$ limiting magnitudes are plotted in this same diagram. The fainter magnitudes of objects than $z'$ limiting magnitude of each fields are converted to the shallower $3\sigma$ limits (SXDF-S).
As a consequence of selection, we found no candidates meeting only criterion (1) and two objects satisfying both criteria (1) and (2) in each field. The images and photometric properties of these LAE candidates are listed in Figure 5 and Table 2. We detected no flux even in the stacked optical and NIR images of all the candidates, as shown in the bottom of Figure 5.

4. SPECTROSCOPY

We carried out optical deep spectroscopy for three of our four photometric LAE candidates. We used the Faint Object Camera and Spectrograph (FOCAS) in Multi-Object Spectroscopy (MOS) mode mounted on the Subaru telescope on 2010 March 18, 19, and 21 to follow the two candidates in the SDF, SDF-NB1006-1 and SDF-NB1006-2. The observations were made with a 555 mm\(^{-1}\) grating and an OS8 order-cut filter, giving spectral coverage of 7500–10450 Å with a dispersion of 0.74 Å pixel\(^{-1}\). The 0.8 wide slit used gave a spectroscopic resolution of 5.7 Å (\(R \sim 1500\)) which is sufficient to distinguish \([\text{O}\,\text{II}]\) doublet lines from possible contaminant galaxies at \(z = 1.7\). However, it is likely that \([\text{O}\,\text{I}]\) doublet lines are not resolved in this resolution if the emission lines are too faint or are affected by OH sky lines. The observing nights were photometric, with good seeing of \(\sim 0.6′–1′.0′\). Because no emission line feature was visible for the brighter candidate, SDF-NB1006-1, in the entire transmission range of the \(NB1006\) filter in 5 hr integration, this object was abandoned. We switched onto the second candidate, SDF-NB1006-2, and secured spectra for a total integration time of 11.5 hr.

In addition to the observations made in March, we observed SDF-NB1006-2 for a half-night on 2010 May 5. The seeing 0.8–1.0 was somewhat poor but the weather condition was photometric. The setup of the instrument was exactly the same as that in March. The integration time in May was 4.5 hr, and the total integration time for the SDF-NB1006-2 spectroscopy is 16 hr.

Each of the 30 minute exposures was taken by dithering the telescope pointing along the slit by \(\pm 1′.0′\) under good seeing conditions and \(\pm 1′.5′\) under moderate seeing conditions to enable more accurate sky subtraction. The standard stars Feige 34 and H\(\alpha\) 44 were taken at the beginning and end of each observed night except on May 5 when only Feige 34 was observed (Massey & Gronwall 1990). Feige 34 and H\(\alpha\) 44 were used for flux calibration of the May and March data, respectively. The object and standard star data were reduced in a standard manner with IRAF. Also, we evaluated the slit loss for the \(\text{L} \alpha\) flux measurements calculated from the spectra. The targets were assumed to be almost perfectly centered in the slit and point sources. The slit loss was calculated by putting a Gaussian profile with FWHM derived from the average seeing size in the FOCAS spectroscopic observation on the 0.8 wide slit. The slit loss of the FOCAS spectroscopy was evaluated to be \(\sim 23\%\).
The seeing was corrected for the spectroscopically measured Lyα for the object. The stacked one- and two-dimensional spectra of SDF-NB1006-2 are shown in Figure 6. A prominent emission line is seen at 10075 Å corresponding to z = 7.288 if this line is Lyα. The weighted skewness of the line is 4.59 ± 1.25. This value indicates that this emission line is asymmetric because it is greater than the threshold (Sω = 3) used in the LAE studies at z = 5.7 and 6.6 (Kashikawa et al. 2006). The S/N ratio of the line is ∼8.3. The dashed line represents OH sky line.

The slur was corrected for the spectroscopically measured Lyα for the object. The stacked one- and two-dimensional spectra of SDF-NB1006-2 are shown in Figure 6. A prominent emission line is seen at 10075 Å corresponding to z = 7.288 if this line is Lyα. The weighted skewness of the line is 4.59 ± 1.25. This value indicates that this emission line is asymmetric because it is greater than the threshold (Sω = 3) used in the LAE studies at z = 5.7 and 6.6 (Kashikawa et al. 2006). The S/N ratio of the line is ∼8.3. The dashed line represents OH sky line.

We measured the weighted skewness of the detected emission lines, which can be used as a criterion to identify high-z Lyα emission lines (Kashikawa et al. 2006). The weighted skewness was calculated in the wavelength range where the flux is higher than 10% of the peak value, ranging from 10068 Å to 10088 Å, and from 9980 Å to 9998 Å, for SDF-NB1006-2 and SXDF-NB1006-2, respectively. They were Sω = 4.59 ± 1.25 for SDF-NB1006-2 and 4.90 ± 0.86 for SXDF-NB1006-2. These measured skewness values satisfy the usually adopted criterion for the presence of the asymmetry of high-z Lyα emission, Sω > 3 for typical LAEs at z > 5.7. Note however that the red side of emission line in the SDF-NB1006-2 spectrum has an overlap with a weak OH sky line, and the derived skewness value might be overestimated.

We did not have the chance to observe the remaining fourth candidate in the SXDF, SXDF-NB1006-1 during our allocated time. The spectroscopic properties of the line-emitting objects are summarized in Table 4.

5. DISCUSSION

5.1. Check for the Variability of the Candidates

Since the NB1006 imaging observations we used for detecting our z = 7.3 LAE candidates were made a few years after the imaging observations for other bands, some of the objects which are only visible in NB1006 could be variable objects, such as galaxies with supernovae or enhanced active galactic nuclei (AGNs), which happened to increase their luminosities during our NB1006 imaging observations. Since our SDF imaging was made in three epochs, we created three stacked NB1006 SDF images, one for 2009 February, one for 2009 March, and one for 2009 April to check for any variability. The SXDF
the epochs and photometry was performed on the images in the
The results of independent brightness measurements at different
postage-stamp images of the individual epochs in Figure 8.

```
SDF-NB1006-2  7.288±8
SDF-NB1006-1  7.215
```

Notes.

a The observed line flux corresponds to the total amount of the flux within the line profile. A correction was made for slit loss (see Section 4).
b The SFRs were derived from $L_{\text{1216}}$, $SFR_{\text{1216}} = 9.1 \times 10^{-3} L_{\text{1216}} M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1}$ (Kennicutt 1998).
c The absolute magnitude of the UV continuum was derived from the UV fluxes $f_{\text{UV}} = f_{\text{1216}} - f_{\text{288}}$ using Equation (1) of Kashiwada et al. (2011).
d The SFRs were derived from $M_{\odot}/SFR_{\text{UV}} = 1.4 \times 10^{-22} L_{\odot}/\text{UV} M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1}$ (Kennicutt 1998).
e The FWHMs (in observed frame) were derived from the profile fitted by the Gaussian function, since the actual spectra are noisy.
f The rest-frame equivalent widths of Ly$\alpha$ emission were derived from the combination of $f_{\text{288}}$ and $M_{\text{UV}}$.
g The NB1006 magnitude of the SDF-NB1006-2 fluctuated approximately ±0.8 mag, and it might be a QSO/AGN at $z = 1.703$ or $z = 7.288$. If SDF-NB1006-2 is an [O II] emitter, the $L_{\text{OII}}$ and $SFR_{\text{OII}}$ are $1.77 \times 10^{31}$ erg s$^{-1}$ and $2.48 \times 10^{-1}$ (M$_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$), respectively.
h This high UV SFR of SDF-NB1006-2 may originate from the central QSO/AGN engine.
i The weighted skewness of the SXDF-NB1006-2 was derived from the smoothed emission line.

```
Table 5
The Variability of the $z = 7.3$ LAE Candidates
```

| SDF          | Feb (mag)         | Mar (Mar–Feb) (mag) | Apr (Apr–Feb) (mag) |
|--------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| SDF-NB1006-1 | 23.67 ± 0.027     | 24.31 ± 0.097 (0.64 ± 0.12, 5.3σ$_{\text{phot}}$) | 25.49 ± 0.23 (1.82 ± 0.26, 7.0σ$_{\text{phot}}$) |
| SDF-NB1006-2 | 24.53 ± 0.051     | 23.75 ± 0.059 (−0.87 ± 0.11, 7.9σ$_{\text{phot}}$) | 25.35 ± 0.20 (0.82 ± 0.25, 3.3σ$_{\text{phot}}$) |
| SXDF         | Oct 15 (mag)      | Oct 16 (Oct 16–Oct 15) (mag) | |
| SXDF-NB1006-1| 24.45 ± 0.055     | 24.65 ± 0.12 (0.20 ± 0.18, 1.1σ$_{\text{phot}}$) | |
| SXDF-NB1006-2| 24.44 ± 0.054     | 24.86 ± 0.14 (0.42 ± 0.19, 2.2σ$_{\text{phot}}$) | |

Notes. All the magnitudes are calculated by 2'' aperture photometry. The aperture magnitudes (2'') at each epoch, the difference from the magnitude at the first observed epoch, and the variability significance compared with the photometric error $σ_{\text{phot}}$ are listed. The PSF sizes are matched to 0.98 in the SDF and 0.82 in the SXDF.

```
Table 4
Spectroscopic Properties of Line-emitting Objects
```

| Name         | Redshift | $f_{\text{1216}}$ (10$^{-17}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$) | $L_{\text{1216}}$ (10$^{38}$ erg s$^{-1}$) | $SFR_{\text{1216}}$ (M$_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$) | $M_{\text{UV}}$ (mag) | $SFR_{\text{UV}}$ (M$_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$) | EW$_{\text{f}}$ (Å) |
|--------------|----------|---------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| SDF-NB1006-2 | 7.288±8  | 0.91 ± 0.13                                        | 0.57 ± 0.15                              | 5.2 ± 1.1                                | −23.79 ± 0.04          | 1646 ± 54±8                            | 13.4              |
| SXDF-NB1006-2| 7.215    | 1.2±0.9                                           | 1.2±0.5                                  | 11.5±5                                   | −22.4±0.4              | 437±343                                | 11.5              |
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Observations were taken on two successive nights on October 15 and October 16. Although the time interval is short for SXDF, we also examined possible photometric variability.

Objects were chosen from the stacked NB1006 image in all epochs and photometry was performed on the images in the individual epochs at exactly the same positions as the objects in the NB1006 filter using the double-imaging mode of SExtractor. The results of independent brightness measurements at different epochs of observation are summarized in Table 5 and shown as postage-stamp images of the individual epochs in Figure 8.

As shown in the table, the magnitudes of candidates SDF-NB1006-1 and -2 vary by more than ~0.5 mag over two months, while the average magnitude inconsistency of other objects in the SDF NB1006 images which have the similar magnitudes as the LAE candidates is less than 0.1 ± 0.6 mag. In particular, SDF-NB1006-1, the brightest among our $z = 7.3$ LAE candidates, appears to show a significant luminosity decline of 1.8 mag as shown in Figure 8. The brightness change corresponds to $7σ_{\text{phot}}$, where $σ_{\text{phot}}$ is the 1σ photometric error of the object. This amplitude of variability is roughly consistent with the $J$-band light curve of a Type Ia supernova, depending on the phase of its explosion (Burns et al. 2011). We conclude that SDF-NB1006-1 is a variable object that brightened suddenly in February and then gradually faded. It may be a galaxy with a supernova, which is consistent with the fact that no obvious emission line was confirmed in the FOCAS spectroscopy.

The possible magnitude variation of SDF-NB1006-2, which shows an emission line with an asymmetric profile, is a bit more enigmatic. The emission line indicates that it could be an LAE at $z = 7.288$ considering its high weighted skewness ($S_w = 4.59 ± 1.25$). On the other hand, the NB1006 magnitude of this object changed by ±0.8–0.9 mag (3.3–7.9σ$_{\text{phot}}$) over about one month, it could also be an AGN or a QSO. This suggestion might be supported by the high UV magnitude of SDF-NB1006-2 derived by subtracting the Ly$\alpha$ flux from the total flux in the NB1006 magnitude. Considering that the line width is narrower than that of typical QSOs, it is unlikely to be a QSO. The Ly$\alpha$ flux emitted from the broad line region (BLR) in AGNs/QSOs varies slightly, while that of [O II] irradiated from the narrow line region does not change (Kaspi et al. 2007). Besides, the change of UV continuum flux radiated from the BLR is investigated by the structure function of the photometric variability of AGNs/QSOs (de Vries et al. 2005), and is ~−0.55 mag for a year. The structure function is the ensemble average of QSO variability as function of time and has been used as a tool to characterize the QSO variability. Since the UV continuum flux of the SDF-NB1006-1 is only ~70% of the NB1006 flux, its large variability might not be due to AGNs/QSOs, though the variation of the investigated structure function in the literature is relatively large. Hence, this object might be an AGN/QSO at $z = 7.288$ if this line is Ly$\alpha$, or at $z = 1.703$ if that is [O II], rather than an LAE, nevertheless the large amplitude of the variability cannot be explained adequately.

By contrast, the magnitude of the candidates in the SXDF, SXDF-NB1006-1 and -2, did not show any significant variation that would lead us to suspect their AGN nature. The brightness changes of SXDF-NB1006-1 and -2 correspond to 0.2 mag (1.1σ$_{\text{phot}}$) and 0.42 mag (2.2σ$_{\text{phot}}$), respectively. The reality of
the SXDF-NB1006-2 at $z = 7.215$ is also supported by this result of checking variability.

Moreover, we investigate the possibility that the detected lines could be He$\beta$, H$\beta$, or [O iii] in terms of metallicities estimated from diagnostic line ratios. The same case test for $z \sim 6.9$–7.2 LBGs was also discussed in Ono et al. (2012). Any other emission line features were not observed in the spectra; therefore, the metallicity is only given as an upper limit by using the flux limit. If the detected lines were H$\beta$, [O iii]$\lambda$5007 line would fall at 10378 $\AA$ and 10288 $\AA$ for SDF-NB1006-2 and SXDF-NB1006-2. The upper limits of [O iii]$\lambda$5007/H$\beta$ line ratios are calculated to be $<0.1$–0.4, corresponding to the metallicities of $12 + \log(O/H) \gtrsim 9.0$–9.5 based on Figure 17 of Nagao et al. (2006). In the case of such high metallicities, they should be very massive and bright taking account of the mass–metallicity relation. However, they are not detected in our deep BB images. If the detected lines were [O iii]$\lambda$5007, the H$\beta$ line would be detected at 9782 $\AA$ and 9697 $\AA$ for SDF-NB1006-2 and SXDF-NB1006-2, and the lower limits of [O iii]$\lambda$5007/H$\beta$ are given as $\gtrsim 11$ and $\gtrsim 2$, respectively. The detected line of the SDF-NB1006-2 is unlikely to be [O iii], because no outlier with [O iii]$\lambda$5007/H$\beta > 10$ in Nagao et al. (2006). The deep FOCAS spectroscopy for SDF-NB1006-2 completely rules out the possibility of other lines. On the other hand, the lower limits for SXDF-NB1006-2 correspond to subsolar oxygen abundances, which may be typical of low-mass galaxies. Hence, the non-detection of H$\beta$ cannot strongly rule out the possibility of the detected line in the SXDF-NB1006-2 spectrum being [O iii]$\lambda$5007. The possibility of He$\beta$ can be ruled out because either [O iii] or H$\beta$ is expected to be simultaneously detected at the observed wavelength for both targets. The possibility that the detected line is the unresolved [O iii] doublet can be ruled out for SXDF-NB1006-2 due to the spectral resolution of DEIMOS. On the other hand, for SDF-NB1006-2, we cannot deny the possibility of [O iii].

After all, we could completely rule out the possibility of the detected emission lines being other nebular emission lines, i.e., He$\alpha$, H$\alpha$, and [O iii]. One possibility that the detected line of SXDF-NB1006-2 is H$\beta$ could not be completely ruled out based on the diagnostic line ratios. However, we should notice that the asymmetric line profile strongly implies the line to be Ly$\alpha$ emission. The SDF-NB1006-1 and -2, which have significant variability amplitude more than 3$\sigma_{\text{phot}}$, are concluded as variable objects. We conclude that the SXDF-NB1006-2 is a plausible LAE at $z = 7.215$, but the SDF-NB1006-2 is likely to be an AGN/QSO either at $z = 7.288$ or at $z = 1.703$. Our results indicate that checking the time variability is crucial to strictly verify the LAE photometric candidates if they have been detected in only a single band. The checking of the variability is also attempted in Bouwens et al. (2011a). This check implies a possibility that two of the LAE candidates in the SDF could be transient objects such as an AGN/QSO or supernova, even though an asymmetric line was detected. However, we should note that the time span in SXDF was only one day delay which was too short to completely trace the typical variability.

5.2. The Ly$\alpha$ Luminosity Function of the $z = 7.3$ LAEs

In Figure 9, we compare the luminosities of our spectroscopically confirmed LAE at $z = 7.215$ with the previous LF results obtained at $z \leq 7.0$. The SDF-NB1006-2 is also included as a preliminary measurement. The number densities of both objects are corrected for the detection completeness derived in Section 3.2. The error of the number density of the $z = 7.3$ LAEs includes the possible cosmic variance and the Poissonian error for small number statistics. The values in Tables 1 and 2 of Gehrels (1986) are used as the upper and lower limits of Poissonian errors. The relative cosmic (root) variance $\sigma_r$ is calculated by $\sigma_r = b_0\sigma_{DM}$, where $b_0$ and $\sigma_{DM}$ are the bias parameter and the (root) variance of dark halo, respectively (Somerville et al. 2004). The $\sigma_{DM}$ at $z = 7.3$ with our survey volume of $5.94 \times 10^6$ Mpc$^3$ is calculated to be 0.033 by the model of Sheth & Tormen (1999). The bias parameter is adopted to be $b_{\text{max}} = 9$ derived from the LAE sample at $z = 6.6$ detected in the full SXDF, which is $\sim 5$ times wider than the SDF (Ouchi et al. 2010). The resultant cosmic variance $\sigma_r$ is $\sim 30\%$. The error of Ly$\alpha$ flux of the SXDF-NB1006-2 includes the error in the flux calibration from using the dwarf stars (see Section 4) in addition to the spectral sky noise. The cumulative Ly$\alpha$ LFs derived...
Figure 9. Cumulative Lyα LFs at z ≤ 7 and the number densities of z = 7.3 LAE, the SXDF-NB1006-2 (filled square) and the SDF-NB1006-2 (open square). The number densities are corrected using the detection completeness derived in Section 3.2. The error of the number densities includes cosmic variance and Poissonian error. The error of the Lyα luminosity includes the spectral sky noise of both objects in the z = 7.3 survey, and the error in the flux calibration of the DEIMOS data in addition to the sky noise of SXDF-NB1006-2 (see Section 4). The filled circle is the LAE at z = 6.96 identified spectroscopically (Ota et al. 2008). The dashed blue and red curves represent the Lyα LF fitted by Schechter function of LAEs at z = 5.7 and 6.6 in the SDF, respectively (Kashikawa et al. 2011). The solid blue and red curves are those at z = 5.7 and 6.6 in the full SXDF, which is ~5 wider than the SDF (Ouchi et al. 2010). The dark green triangles, bold and dashed curves are photometric z = 7 LAE samples in Ota et al. (2010a), fitted Schechter functions in the D33 and D41 field of the CFHTLS in Hibon et al. (2012) derived by using the Suprime-Cam equipped with NB817. The orange filled squares, filled circles, and curve are photometric z = 7.1 LAE samples derived by using the Mayall 4 m telescope/NEWFIRM camera (Krug et al. 2012; Tilvi et al. 2010; Hibon et al. 2010). The slope parameter α of all the fitted Schechter functions is fixed to be −1.5. If SXDF-NB1006-1 is a real LAE at z = 7.3 with brighter L_{Lyα} than one of the SXDF-NB1006-2, the cumulative number densities of SXDF-NB1006-2 and SDF-NB1006-1 become the small filled square and the open square without L_{Lyα} error slightly shifted in the Lyα luminosity direction for clarification.

from the photometric LAE candidates at z = 7 and 7.7 (Hu et al. 2010; Hibon et al. 2010, 2012; Krug et al. 2012; Tilvi et al. 2010) are also plotted in Figure 9. Their photometric Lyα LFs at z = 7 and 7.7 in Hibon et al. (2010) and Ota et al. (2010a) are based on Lyα luminosity of each LAE candidate directly converted from Lyα flux by assuming f_{Lyα} = f_{NV}. However, Ota et al. (2010b) estimated that ~77% and 23% of NB973 total flux of a z = 6.96 LAE comes from Lyα and UV continuum fluxes, respectively, using imaging and spectroscopy data. Hence, in Figure 9 we shifted the photometric z = 7 and 7.7 Lyα LFs faintward with 0.77 × L_{Lyα} for fair comparison with other spectroscopic Lyα LFs. As shown in Figure 9, the luminosity of SXDF-NB1006-2 is fainter than those of the LFs at z = 5.7 and 6.6, though their errors are large. Furthermore, the number density of the SXDF-NB1006-2 is slightly smaller than that of the LAE at z = 6.96, IOK-1, which was selected a single pointing of NB973 imaging (only SDF) with the Suprime-Cam, while their Lyα luminosities are similar. What needs to be emphasized is that secure LAE samples can be constructed by spectroscopy, line-asymmetry investigation, and checking the magnitude variability, and compared appropriately with LFs at z ≤ 7.0. While the previous photometric LFs at z = 7 and 7.7 do not change significantly from z < 7, the number density of the LAE at z = 7.3 shows deficit.

We also compare the number and SFR densities (SFRDs) at z = 7.3 with those of other LAE surveys at z ≤ 7 down to L_{Lyα} = 1.0 × 10^{41} erg s^{-1} in Figure 10 in the same way as Ota et al. (2008) to investigate the redshift evolution of these densities. The densities of LAEs at z = 5.7 and 6.6 in Kashikawa et al. (2006) are updated to those of the ~80% spectroscopically identified samples (Kashikawa et al. 2011), and the one of LAEs at z = 6.6 selected from the ~5 times wider survey area (Ouchi et al. 2010) than SDF. It is notable that the Lyα LF of Ouchi et al. (2010) is mainly from the photometric LAE sample. The density errors are included in as shown in Figure 9. As shown in this figure, compared with number at z = 5.7 and 6.6, the LAE number density at z = 7.3 decreases by factors of n_LF(z=7.3)/n_LF(z=6.6) = 0.50±0.11 from z = 5.7 to 7.3 and n_LF(z=6.6)/n_LF(z=6.6) = 0.16±0.08 from z = 6.6 to 7.3, although the statistical uncertainty at z ≥ 7.3 is large. The number and SFRD might decrease, n_LF(z=7.3)/n_LF(z=7.0) = 0.72±0.27 from z = 7.0 to 7.3. Figure 10 indicates that the number and SFRDs monotonically decrease clearly from z = 5.7 to 7.3, while they do not change from z = 3 to 6.

In contrast, the number density of the rest-UV continuum of the SXDF-NB1006-2 at z = 7.3 might be comparable with the bright end of the rest-UV continuum cumulative LFs at z = 5.7 and 6.6 as shown in Figure 11. These UV continuum LFs...
were constructed from LAE samples in SDF (Kashikawa et al. 2011). The UV magnitude of SXDF-NB1006-2 was derived by subtracting the Lyα flux from the total flux in the NB1006 magnitude. This Lyα deficit might be caused by the gradual and continuous reionizing process from $z = 5.7$ to 7.3 because the density of the rest-UV continuum is insensitive to the surrounding H i clouds and might not evolve. Note, however, that the UV magnitude of SXDF-NB1006-2 has a large error caused by Lyα flux error (see Table 4), and the Lyα deficit might arise from the galaxy evolution as well as H i clouds. This tendency is consistent with the results of the previous surveys for LAEs $z < 7$ (Ota et al. 2008; Kashikawa et al. 2006, 2011; Ouchi et al. 2010) and our results might show for the first time that the trend continues up to $z \sim 7.3$. On the contrary, our result is inconsistent with the results of Hu et al. (2010), Tilvi et al. (2010), Krug et al. (2012), and Hibon et al. (2010) which reported that Lyα LFs do not evolve from $z = 5.7$ to 7.7. If the decline of Lyα luminosity is caused only by IGM attenuation, the neutral fraction $x_{\text{HI}}$ of hydrogen gas at $z = 7.3$ can be estimated to be $\sim 0.79$ using a radiative transfer simulation based on McQuinn et al. (2007) which calculate the number density of LAE at the epoch of reionization, and we assume that the IGM at $z = 5.7$ is completely ionized. Compared with $L_{\text{Lyα}}$ of SXDF-NB1006-2 and the Lyα LF at $z = 7.3$ constructed by the model of Kobayashi et al. (2010), the IGM transmission for Lyα photons $T_{\text{IGM}}$ can be estimated. Here, $T_{\text{Lyα}}$ is calculated with $T_{\text{Lyα}} = \frac{L_{\text{Lyα}}}{n_{\text{Hα}} / T_{\text{Lyα}}}$. As we use the faintest $L_{\text{Lyα}}$ in the lowest number density within their errors as the observed $L_{\text{Lyα}}$, the derived $T_{\text{IGM}}$ is given as a lower limit, 0.27. Thus, the possible $T_{\text{IGM}}$ ranges 0.27 $\leq T_{\text{IGM}} \leq 1$. If we apply the calculated $T_{\text{IGM}}$ to the Lyα flux attenuation model of Santos (2004), the neutral fraction $x_{\text{HI}}$ at $z = 7.3$ is estimated to be 0.82. Note that the derived neutral fraction is strongly model dependent. However, it must be noticed that one remaining candidate, the SXDF-NB1006-1, has yet not been observed spectroscopically. If this candidate was a real LAE with similar Lyα luminosity with the SXDF-NB1006-2 at $z = 7.3$, the number density would increase to that at $z = 7$, and not evolve between $z = 7$ and 7.3 as indicated by small points in Figures 9 and 10. On the other hand, if all the candidates were variable objects, which indicates that no LAE at $z = 7.3$ was detected in our survey volume, the derived $x_{\text{HI}}$ is only given as an upper limit.

6. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

We have carried out a systematic imaging and spectroscopic survey for LAEs at $z \sim 7.3$, for the first time, in the SDF and the SXDF, using the Suprime-Cam equipped with new red-sensitive CCDs and a newly developed NB1006 filter. The total survey area and volume are 1719 arcmin$^2$ and 5.94 $\times 10^5$ Mpc$^3$, respectively. Four $z \sim 7.3$ LAE candidates were photometrically identified from the NB1006 imaging down to the NB limiting magnitude of 24.6–24.8, and we obtained spectroscopy for three of them, SDF-NB1006-1, -2, and SXDF-NB1006-2 using Subaru/FOCAS and Keck/DEIMOS. We have detected a definitively asymmetric emission line from SXDF-NB1006-2. If this line is Lyα, it is an LAE at $z = 7.215$. SXDF-NB1006-2 has a luminosity of $L_{\text{Lyα}} = 1.2 \times 10^{43}$ erg s$^{-1}$, an SFR $11 M_{\odot}$ yr$^{-1}$ estimated from the Lyα emission line, and a weighted skewness $S_w = 4.90 \pm 0.86$.

The conclusions of this survey are summarized below.

1. Compared with the number density and SFRD of LAEs at $z \leq 7$, the number density and SFRD of LAEs monotonically decreases from $z = 5.7$ to 7.3 while they do not change from $z = 3$ to 6, and the number density of rest-UV continuum at $z = 7.3$ is comparable with the rest-UV cumulative LFs at $z = 5.7$ and 6.6. The derived decreases of the number density are $n_{\text{Lyα}}^{z=7.3} / n_{\text{Lyα}}^{z=5.7} = 0.05^{+0.11}_{-0.09}$ from $z = 5.7$ to 7.3 and $n_{\text{Lyα}}^{z=7.3} / n_{\text{Lyα}}^{z=6.6} = 0.16^{+0.38}_{-0.16}$ from $z = 6.6$ to 7.3 down to $L_{\text{Lyα}} = 1.0 \times 10^{43}$ erg s$^{-1}$. This trend is consistent with the results of the previous surveys for LAEs at $z \leq 7$, and it might be caused by IGM attenuation.

2. The magnitude of SDF-NB1006-2 in the NB1006 images varies and it might be an AGN/QSO at $z = 7.3$ or 1.7 rather than an LAE, in spite of the detected asymmetric emission line ($S_w = 4.59 \pm 1.25$). The third object, SDF-NB1006-1, is likely a galaxy with temporal luminosity enhancement associated with a QSO, an AGN, or a supernova explosion, as the brightness of this object varies between the observed epochs. Its spectrum does not show any emission lines. The magnitude of the candidates in the SXDF, SXDF-NB1006-1 and -2, did not show any significant variation, though the time span to check the variability in SXDF was not long enough to completely trace the typical variability. Hence, it is important to check whether the candidates are transient objects or not by dividing photometric images into several epochs.

In order to accurately measure the neutral fraction and reveal the relationship of LAEs and LBGs in the evolution of galaxy at $z \geq 7$ in more detail, multi-epoch surveys using next generation facilities such as the Subaru/Hyper Suprime-Cam that covers 1.5 deg FoV in diameter, equipped NB filters, are needed to establish larger LAE sample.

This paper is based on data collected with the Subaru Telescope, which is operated by the National Astronomical Observatory of Japan. The analysis pipeline used to reduce the DEIMOS data was developed at UC Berkeley with support from NSF grant AST-0071048. This work is based in part on data obtained as part of the UKIRT Infrared Deep Sky Survey.
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