Sensitivity and specificity of CA242 in gastro-intestinal cancer. A comparison with CEA, CA50 and CA 19-9
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Summary
A serological assay for the quantitative determination of the novel tumour-associated epitope CA242 was developed and used for determination of sensitivity and specificity of CA242 in gastrointestinal cancer. The CA242 assay showed a better tumour specificity than CA50 (and CA 19-9). This was most noticeable in benign hepatobiliary disease. The sensitivity at 90% specificity cut-off level was approximately three times higher for CA242 compared to CA50 in colo-rectal cancer. In pancreatic cancer the sensitivity of CA242 and CA50 was similar. CA242 was expressed independently of CEA, and the combination of CEA and CA242 gave in colo-rectal cancer considerably higher sensitivity than the use of only one of the markers. This was most pronounced in Dukes A and Dukes B patients. CA242 is a novel tumour marker of potential clinical use, particularly in colo-rectal cancer.

Many of the novel monoclonal-antibody defined serological tumour markers, e.g. CA 19-9, CA50, CA125, CA 15-3, MCA, MAM-6, DUPAN-2, TAG-72 belong to the mucinous types of glyco-proteins (Magnani et al., 1983; Lindholm et al., 1983; Bast et al., 1981; Hilkens et al., 1983; Kufe et al., 1983; Stähli et al., 1985; Hilkens et al., 1986; Lan et al., 1987; Johnson et al., 1986). The mucinous glycoproteins are highly glycosylated high molecular weight substances and may contain many different carbohydrate epitopes with possible tumour specificity. The CA 19-9, CA50 and CA125 assays utilise the same antibody for catching and detecting the antigen (Del Villano et al., 1983; Cooper et al., 1988; Klug et al., 1984). Careful characterisation of other epitopes on the antigens carrying these epitopes may thus lead to the development of assays with better clinical performance.

The use of tumour marker analyses as a diagnostic aid in the management of cancer patients is an accepted clinical routine in different forms of cancer (International Union Against Cancer 1986). One disadvantage of existing tumour markers is a relatively low tumour specificity with elevated levels (compared to healthy subjects) commonly found in benign diseases, which limit the use for primary diagnosis of cancer. In order to determine the clinical utility of different tumour markers the Working Group on Tumour Marker Criteria (WGTCM) has concluded that if tumour markers should be used for diagnostic purposes, the reference population used for establishment of cut-off levels should consist of age-matched controls and appropriate benign diseases of the same organ(s) and/or comparable tissues (Bonfier, 1990).

Previous papers have demonstrated that different tumour-associated carbohydrate epitopes were co-expressed with CA50 on a mucinous tumour associated antigen named CanAg (Johansson et al., 1991; Johansson et al., 1991b). One of these novel carbohydrate epitopes, CA242, has, in a preliminary serological evaluation, increased the tumour specificity of assays for detection of the CanAg antigen (Johansson et al., 1991b). In this paper, the development of a Delfia™ assay for the determination of CA242 is described. The clinical utility of the CA242 tumour marker assay further evaluated by determination of tumour sensitivity and specificity in colo-rectal and pancreatic cancer in relation to benign gastro-intestinal disease. The sensitivity and specificity of the CA242 assay is also compared with the established markers CA50, CA 19-9 and CEA.

Materials and methods
The C242 MAb and C50 MAb were obtained by immunisation of Balb/c mice with the human adenocarcinoma cell line COLO 205 (ATCC) and fusion of splenocytes with Sp 2/0 myeloma cell line (Lindholm et al., 1983). The monoclonal antibodies were purified from in vitro cultivations of the hybridomas by Protein-A affinity chromatography according to recommendations of the manufacturer (Pharmacia LKB Biotechnology, Uppsala, Sweden).

Microtiter plates were obtained from EF-Lab, Helsinki, Finland. Isothiocyanato-benzyl-diethylenetriamine-tetraacetic acid Eu chelate and other components used in DELFIA™ assays were obtained from Pharmacia Wallac Oy, Turku, Finland. CEA DELFIA™ and CA50 DELFIA™ test kits were obtained from Pharmacia Diagnostics AB, Uppsala Sweden, and CA 19-9 test kits were purchased from Centorcor, Malvern, US. Bovine serum albumin, RIA grade, was purchased from Sigma Chemicals, St Louis, MO, US. All other chemicals were of analytical quality and used without further purification.

Clinical material
A survey of the clinical material is given in Table I. CA242 and CA50 were analysed in totally 1,580 patients. CEA and CA 19-9 were analysed according to the manufacturers instructions in part of the material (see results).

The serum samples were obtained by venipuncture and stored at –20°C before analysis. The samples from cancer patients were obtained by histological verification. The clinical diagnosis was performed according to Dukes (Dukes & Bussey, 1985). The Dukes D relates to patients with metastatic or/and locally advanced disease with overgrowth to adjacent organs. The diagnosis of the other patients was obtained by clinical examinations.
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Design of a Delfia assay for determination of CA242

The assay was developed as a forward sandwich assay, using the C50 MAb as solid-phase catching antibody and Eu-labelled C242 MAb as detecting antibody. Microtiter wells of 8 x 12 wells strip plates were coated with ~1 µg of C50 MAb in 200 µl buffer essentially as described previously (Lövgren et al., 1984). The coated plates were stored sealed at +4°C and were stable for more than 6 months. The C242 MAb was labelled with the Eu-chelate of isothiocyanatobenzyl-diethylenetriamine-tetraacetic acid to a specific activity of 3 - 5 Eu/molecule as described (Hemmilä et al., 1984). Tissue culture supernatant of COLO 205 cells cultivated to confluency in Iscoves media containing 5% foetal calf sera was used as antigen source for standardization of the assay. The spent medium was diluted in TBS-6% BSA to the arbitrary concentrations of 0, 5, 15, 50, 150 and 300 U ml⁻¹. A reference preparation of tissue culture supernatant of COLO 205 defined as having the concentration 300 U ml⁻¹ was used for calibration of the standards.

The assay procedure was as follows: 20 µl of standards or samples were pipetted in duplicates into the C50 MAb coated microtiter wells and 200 µl of Delfia Assay Buffer was added; after 2 h incubation at room temperature with constant shaking the wells were washed three times with Delfia Wash Solution; 200 µl of europium labelled C242 MAb, diluted in Assay Buffer to 0.5 µg ml⁻¹, was added and the incubation continued for another 1 h; the wells were washed six times and the fluorescence intensity was determined in an Arcus Fluorimeter after incubation with 200 µl Enhancement Solution™.

Analytical performance of the CA242 Delfia assay

The reproducibility of the assay was determined by analyses of five samples in replicates of six during 5 days and analytical precision by determination of CV% of duplicates during routine analyses of clinical samples. Recovery of CA242 antigen was determined by analyses of normal samples before and after addition of known amounts of CA242 antigen, and the linearity of the assay by analyses of dilutions of elevated samples using Assay Buffer as sample diluent.

Determination of statistical significances, and sensitivity and specificity

Analyses of statistical significances were performed with Wilcoxon non-parametric rank test. The statistical comparisons between CA50 and CA242 were performed on paired samples, while the other statistical comparisons were performed on unpaired samples.

The sensitivity of the different assays at different discriminator levels was calculated as the fraction of tests positive among the diseased population (TP/TP + FN), and specificity as the fraction of tests negative among the reference population (TN/TN + FP) (Sunderman, 1975). The sensitivity and specificity of the different tumour marker assays were compared by 'Receiver Operated Characteristic' (ROC) analyses (Sunderman, 1975; Metz, 1978).

The sensitivity and specificity of CA242 and CA50 in CRC Dukes A-D was compared by ROC analyses using patients with ulcerative colitis, adenomas, obstructive biliary disease, other surgical ward patients of similar age as the cancer patients and patients with miscellaneous G-I disease as reference population (the number of patients is given in Table I). The sensitivity and specificity of CA242 and CA50 in pancreatic cancer were determined using patients with pancreatitis, liver cirrhosis, obstructive biliary disease, miscellaneous G-I disease and other surgical ward patients as reference group.

Sensitivity and specificity of CA242 (and CA50) in comparison with CA 19-9 was compared by ROC analyses of results from 81 patients with CRC and 132 patients with benign gastro-intestinal disease. CAE was analysed in 290 patients with CRC (57 Dukes A, 93 Dukes B, 77 Dukes C and 63 Dukes D), 54 cases with pancreatic cancer and in 174 of the patients with benign gastro-intestinal disease.

Results

Analytical performance

The CA242 Delfia assay gave a linear dose-response up to 300 U ml⁻¹, with a CV% of less than 7% over the whole standard curve range (Figure 1). The inter assay precision showed that ~90% of duplicates had a CV% < 7.5% and ~95% of duplicates had CV% < 10% (Table II). The analytical sensitivity of the assay, defined as the concentra-

---

**Table I** Survey of the clinical material used for determination of CA242 concentration

| Diagnosis               | n | Diagnosis               | n |
|-------------------------|---|-------------------------|---|
| **Healthy subjects**    |   | **Malignant disease**   |   |
| Blood donors*           | 200 | Colo-rectal ca         | 98 |
| Smokers*                | 100 | Dukes A                 | 97 |
| **Benign disease**      |   | Dukes B                 | 149|
| Ulcerative colitis      | 144 | Dukes C                 | 133|
| Adenoma                 | 14  | Dukes D                 | 122|
| Liver cirrhosis         | 62  |                         |   |
| Pancreatitis            | 44  |                         |   |
| Obstr. biliary dis      | 31  | Pancreatic ca           | 56 |
| Other G.I. disease t     | 28  | Gastric ca              | 43 |
| Surgical ward pat.      | 44  | Cholangiocellular ca    | 28 |
| Other benign dis. t      | 131 | Other ca                | 185|

*Unselected blood donors; *Blood donors smoking > 20 cig/day; Including diverticulosis, unspecific G-I pain, benign pancreatic cysts, ulcer, diverticuloid; Including pneumonia, rheumatoid arthritis, prostatic hypertrophy; Including oesophagus cancer, lung ca, prostatic ca, urinary bladder ca, renal ca.

---

**Table II** Inter assay precision of CA242 Delfia assay based upon determination of CV% of duplicates

| CV%   | n  | %  |
|-------|----|----|
| 0 - 2.5 | 134 | 59.3 |
| 2.6 - 5.0 | 54  | 23.9 |
| 5.0 - 7.5 | 13  | 5.8  |
| 7.5 - 10 | 14  | 6.2  |
| 10 - 15  | 6   | 2.7  |
| > 15      | 5   | 2.2  |

Total 226 100
tion corresponding to the signal of the 0-standard + 3 s.d. was <0.5 U ml\(^{-1}\).

The reproducibility study showed a total CV% of less than 7% in all but one sample (Table III). Serial dilution of five elevated samples showed agreement between observed and expected value indicating the same linearity of patient samples and standards (data not shown). The recovery of antigen added to normal serum samples varied from 92.4–109.5% with a mean recovery of 99.6% of the added amount of antigen (data not shown).

Clinical performance

Distribution of CA242 in healthy subjects, benign and malignant disease  The distribution of CA242 in populations of healthy subjects, benign and malignant disease is summarised in Table IV and shown in Figure 2. The mean value of CA242 in unselected blood donors and blood donors smoking >20 cig/day was \(7.1 \pm 5.7 \text{ U ml}^{-1}\) and \(6.1 \pm 4.1 \text{ U ml}^{-1}\), respectively, with levels ranging from 1–28 U ml\(^{-1}\). There was no significant difference between smoking and unselected blood donors. Ninety-five per cent of the healthy subjects had CA242 levels below 19 U ml\(^{-1}\).

Slightly elevated CA242 levels were found in subjects with benign disease. However, the differences between the groups with benign disease and healthy subjects were not statistically significant.

In CRC the levels of CA242 correlated with the Dukes stage with highest levels in patients with Dukes C and D. There were no significant differences in CA242 concentration between the group of patients with CRC Dukes A and the patients with benign disease, while in the levels in Dukes B, C and D were significantly higher (Table IV, Figure 2). Highly elevated levels were also found in patients with other gastrointestinal cancers, particularly pancreatic cancer, but also in subjects with gastric cancer and cholangiocellular carcinomas (Table IV and Figure 2). Moderately elevated levels of CA242 were also found in subjects with other cancer diagnoses, Tables IV.

Sensitivity and specificity of CA242, and comparison with CA50, CA19-9 and CEA  The levels of CA242 were significantly lower than the levels of CA50 in patients with liver cirrhosis \((P<0.001)\), pancreatitis \((P<0.05)\), obstructive biliary disease \((P<0.001)\) and other surgical ward patients \((P<0.001)\), while the CA242 levels were significantly higher in Dukes B \((P<0.1)\) and in Dukes C and Dukes D \((P<0.001)\) compared to CA50 (Table V). The ROC analyses of CA242 in CRC in relation to benign gastro-intestinal disease gave at the 90% tumour specificity level a sensitivity of 14%, 30%, 46% and 61% in CRC Dukes A, Dukes B, Dukes C and Dukes D, respectively (Figure 3). The corresponding sensitivity for CA50 was 4%, 7%, 15% and 44% (Figure 3). The discriminator level resulting in 90% tumour specificity was 20 U ml\(^{-1}\) for CA242 and 45 U ml\(^{-1}\) for CA50. In pancreatic cancer the ROC analyses showed a sensitivity of 77% for CA242 and 83% for CA50 at the 90%
Table V Comparison between CA242 and CA50 in benign and malignant gastro-intestinal disease

| Diagnosis           | CA242 | CA50 |
|---------------------|-------|------|
|                     | Mean ± s.d. | Mean ± s.d. |
| **Benign disease**  |       |      |
| Ulc. colitis        | 144   | 10.4 ± 13.2 | 11.8 ± 30.0 |
| Liver cirrhosis     | 47    | 9.0 ± 8.5a  | 36.0 ± 40.0 |
| Obstr. gall dis.    | 31    | 8.6 ± 7.3a  | 25.3 ± 22.9 |
| Pancreatitis        | 44    | 12.5 ± 15.96 | 19.1 ± 19.2 |
| Surg. ward pat.     | 44    | 7.9 ± 5.5a  | 15.9 ± 19.1 |
| **Malignant disease** |     |      |
| Colo-rectal ca      |       |      |
| Dukes A             | 98    | 10.3 ± 10.1 | 9.9 ± 10.3  |
| Dukes B             | 149   | 23.0 ± 53.8a| 15.8 ± 13.8 |
| Dukes C             | 133   | 90.7 ± 327.6a| 41.0 ± 122.9|
| Dukes D             | 97    | 375.3 ± 1034.9a| 264.8 ± 931.9|
| Pancreatic ca       | 56    | 966.5 ± 2731.5| 1029.3 ± 2469.7|
| Cholangio cell ca   | 28    | 3718.1 ± 12,589.1| 1609.2 ± 6269.8|
| Gastric ca          | 21    | 350.4 ± 774.2 | 213.6 ± 360.6|

*Significantly lower than CA50, P < 0.001; aSignificantly higher than CA50, P < 0.1; bSignificantly higher than CA50, P < 0.001.

Figure 3 ROC curves for CA242 and CA50 in CRC Dukes A–D. The determination of specificity was based upon analyses of 261 subjects with benign disease (144 ulcerative colitis, 31 obstructive biliary disease, 14 adenomas, 44 surgical ward patients and 25 subjects with other benign G-I disease). The sensitivity was determined from analyses of 98 subjects with Dukes A, 149 Dukes B, 133 Dukes C and 97 Dukes D. The dotted line shows the 90% tumour specificity.

Figure 4 ROC curves for CA242 and CA50 in pancreatic cancer. The specificity determination was based upon analyses of 137 patients with benign G-I and pancreatobiliary disease (liver cirrhosis, pancreatitis, obstructive biliary disease, unspecified GI pain) and 44 surgical ward patients of similar age. The sensitivity was determined in 56 patients with pancreatic cancer. ▲ CA242; ♦ CA50.

Figure 5 ROC curves for CA242, CA50 and CA 19-9 in CRC. The specificity was calculated from analyses of 132 subjects with benign G-I disease, and the sensitivity from analyses of 81 subjects with colo-rectal cancer. ■ CA242, ▲ CA50, ♦ CA 19-9.

tumour specificity level (Figure 4). A discriminator level of 22 U ml⁻¹ and 65 U ml⁻¹ for CA242 and CA50 was necessary to obtain 90% tumour specificity.

The results of the sensitivity and specificity analyses of CA 19-9, CA242 and CA50 are shown in Figure 5. Ninety per cent tumour specificity was obtained using a cut-off level of 50 U ml⁻¹, 20 U ml⁻¹ and 35 U ml⁻¹ for CA 19-9, CA242 and CA50, respectively, and the corresponding sensitivity was 23%, 35% and 24%, respectively (Figure 5). The combination of CA242 and CA 19-9 (or CA50) did not increase the sensitivity compared to the use of CA242 alone.

The ROC analyses of CEA and CA242 in 290 cases with CRC, 54 subjects with pancreatic cancer and 174 subjects with benign disease are shown in Figure 6. The sensitivity in CRC for CEA at 90% tumour specificity was 19%, 40%, 51% and 71% in Dukes A, Dukes B, Dukes C and Dukes D, respectively, and for CA242 the corresponding sensitivity was 18%, 29%, 49% and 62%. In pancreatic cancer the sensitivity of CEA was 41% and 80% for CA242. The combination of CEA and CA242 increased the sensitivity to 28%, 54%, 62% and 79% in Dukes A, Dukes B, Dukes C and Dukes D, while in 9%, 15%, 38% and 52% of the subjects both CEA and CA242 was elevated above the 90% tumour specificity discriminator level (Table VI). The cut-off levels needed to obtain 90% tumour specificity were 7 µg l⁻¹ for CEA and 22 U ml⁻¹ for CA242. The correlation between the CEA and CA242 levels in CRC was low with correlation coefficients ranging from 0.2–0.6 (Figure 7).
The CA242 tumour marker is a sialylated carbohydrate antigen, which has been shown to be co-expressed with CA50 on a mucinous type of antigen called CanAg (Johansson et al., 1991; Baeckström et al., 1991). The exact chemical structure of the CA242 epitope is at present not known, but CA242 is clearly different from CA50 as the CA242 MAb does not react with sialylated Lewis* nor with sialylated-lacto-N-tetraose (Johansson et al., 1991). An additional evidence that CA242 is chemically different from sialylated Lewis* antibodies is that the CA242 MAB cannot inhibit the binding of anti-sialylated Lewis* antibodies (Johansson et al., 1991). The CA242 epitope has not been detected in glycosipid extracts (Johansson et al., 1991), but CA242 active oligosaccharides can be released from CanAg antigen by alkaline borohydride reduction indicating that the oligosaccharides are bound with a &-glycosidic linkage to the protein core of the CanAg antigen (O. Nilsson, unpublished observation).

The C50 MAB was used for catching of the CanAg antigen in both the CA242 and CA50 assays, while the captured antigen was determined using monoclonal antibodies with different specificities in the two assays. Thus the design of the two assays indicate that the same antigen was determined and that the only difference was that different epitopes on the CanAg antigen was determined.

The two assays have been calibrated against the same reference preparation of antigen with an arbitrarily defined concentration of 500 U ml⁻¹. This means that the Unit values were equivalent in the two assays, and that differences in Unit levels measured with the CA50 and CA242 assays may be statistically analysed as paired samples.

Although the same antigen was determined in the CA242 and CA50 assays there were large differences between the levels of the markers in benign gastro-intestinal disease and in CRC. Benign hepato-biliary diseases are known to give elevated levels of CA50 and CA 19-9 (Haglund et al., 1987; Harmenberg et al., 1988; Touitou & Bogdan, 1988), which was also confirmed in this study. In the CA242 assay slightly elevated levels were found in the patients with hepato-biliary disease, but the levels were significantly lower (P<0.001) than in the CA50 assay. The number of false positive subjects among patients with benign hepato-biliary diseases were not higher than in other groups of benign disease using the CA242 assay, indicating that the specificity of CA242 was similar in hepato-biliary disease as in other benign diseases. This was in contrast to the CA50 assay, where 39% of patients with liver cirrhosis and 19% of patients with obstructive biliary disease showed levels above the 90% tumour specificity cut-off (45 U ml⁻¹), compared to 1.4% of patients with ulcerative colitis.

The levels of CA242 were not only lower in benign gastro-intestinal disease compared to CA50, but in CRC, the CA242 levels were in many cases higher than the CA50 levels. The increased tumour specificity and the higher levels of CA242 in CRC compared to CA50 also drastically increased the sensitivity in CRC. This was most clearly noticed in Dukes A, Dukes B and Dukes C where the use of CA242 increased the sensitivity at the 90% specificity level approximately three times compared to CA50. In pancreatic cancer the increased tumour specificity of CA242 did not increase the sensitivity compared to CA50.

The CA242 and CA50 epitopes are co-expressed on mucin antigens, (Johansson et al., 1991; Johansson et al., 1991b), but these studies do not indicate whether the epitopes are expressed on only one core protein. Characterisation of the mucin antigen in the Colo 205 colon adenocarcinoma cell line has demonstrated that the CA50 and CA242 epitopes are co-expressed on different core proteins (Baeckström et al., 1991). It is therefore not possible to deduce whether the increased specificity and increased levels of CA242 in CRC is due to low synthesis of a particular core protein carrying both the CA50 and CA242 epitopes in benign conditions and high synthesis of the "CA50/CA242 core protein" in CRC, or if it is due to differences in glycosylation of the same protein core in benign and malignant tissues with a preferential expression of CA242 in cancerous tissues.

The increased tumour specificity of CA242 compared to CA50 found in the serological studies has also been demonstrated in several histological studies suggesting that there are differences in synthesis of the epitopes between benign and malignant tissues (Ouyang et al., 1987; Quayle et al., 1989).

The monoclonal antibodies used in the CA50 and CA 19-9 assays have almost the same epitope specificity, the only difference being that the 19-9 MAb is specific for the sialylated Lewis* bloodgroup substance, whereas the C50 MAB also recognises sialylated lacto-N-tetraose (Magnani et al., 1982; Nilsson et al., 1985). From the known epitope specificity of the antibodies used in the CA 19-9 assay these assays similar specificity and sensitivity should be expected in serological studies, and in agreement to CA50 an increased sensitivity of CA242 compared to CA 19-9 should be expected in CRC. This was also confirmed in this study.

In CRC, CEA has been the tumour marker of choice, and several studies have demonstrated the utility of detection of recurrent CRC (Minton et al., 1985; Minton & Chapman, 1989). However, the sensitivity of CEA in particularly Dukes A and Dukes B is low and there are needs to find additional
markers which alone or in combination with CEA would increase the serological sensitivity for diagnosis and detection of recurrent CRC in patients where curative treatment would be possible if the diagnosis is available at an early stage, e.g. surgery of solitary liver metastases.

The results of this study show that although CEA gave higher sensitivity in CRC than CA242, the combined use of CEA and CA242 increased the diagnostic sensitivity considerably compared to the use of CEA alone (≈50% in Dukes A, ≈35% in Dukes B, ≈20% in Dukes C). The results also clearly demonstrate that CEA and CA242 were expressed independently of each other, which also indicates that CA242 could be a valuable complement to CEA in CRC.

This study indicates that CA242 could be a superior marker compared to CA50 and CA19-9 and a valuable complement to CEA in diagnosis of CRC and prognosis prediction. Another clinical use of tumour markers is for monitoring of the effects of therapy and detection of recurrent disease. Further studies are necessary to evaluate the clinical utility of CA242 in e.g. the follow-up of CRC. A preliminary study of CEA and CA242 in follow-up of CRC showed that in 15 out of 18 patients with proven recurrent disease CA242 was elevated while CEA was elevated in 12 out of the 18 patients (E.H. Cooper, personal communication).

The excellent technical assistance of Ms Ulrika Dahlén and Mrs Eva-Lena Blom is gratefully acknowledged.
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