Functional Connectivity among spikes in low dimensional space during working memory task in rat
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Abstract

Working memory (WM) is critically important in cognitive tasks. The functional connectivity has been a powerful tool for understanding the mechanism underlying the information processing during WM tasks. The aim of this study is to investigate how to effectively characterize the dynamic variations of the functional connectivity in low dimensional space among the principal components (PCs) which were extracted from the instantaneous firing rate series. Spikes were obtained from medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) of rats with implanted microelectrode array and then transformed into continuous series via instantaneous firing rate method. Granger causality method is proposed to study the functional connectivity. Then three scalar metrics were applied to identify the changes of the reduced dimensionality functional network during working memory tasks: functional connectivity (GC), global efficiency (E) and casual density (CD). As a comparison, GC, E and CD were also calculated to describe the functional connectivity in the original space. The results showed that these network characteristics dynamically changed during the correct WM tasks. The measure values increased to maximum, and then decreased both in the original and in the reduced dimensionality. Besides, the feature values of the reduced dimensionality were significantly higher during the WM tasks than they were in the original space. These findings suggested that functional connectivity among the spikes varied dynamically during the WM tasks and could be described effectively in the low dimensional space.

Introduction

Working memory (WM) is critically important in cognitive tasks. The functional connectivity has been a powerful tool for exploring the mechanism underlying the information processing during WM tasks. The aim of this study is to investigate how to effectively characterize the dynamic variations of the functional connectivity in low dimensional space among the principal components (PCs) which were extracted from the instantaneous firing rate series. Spikes were obtained from medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) of rats with implanted microelectrode array and then transformed into continuous series via instantaneous firing rate method. Granger causality method is proposed to study the functional connectivity. Then three scalar metrics were applied to identify the changes of the reduced dimensionality functional network during working memory tasks: functional connectivity (GC), global efficiency (E) and casual density (CD). As a comparison, GC, E and CD were also calculated to describe the functional connectivity in the original space. The results showed that these network characteristics dynamically changed during the correct WM tasks. The measure values increased to maximum, and then decreased both in the original and in the reduced dimensionality. Besides, the feature values of the reduced dimensionality were significantly higher during the WM tasks than they were in the original space. These findings suggested that functional connectivity among the spikes varied dynamically during the WM tasks and could be described effectively in the low dimensional space.
whether these variations of the network can be effectively described in low dimensional space.

**Materials and Methods**

**Experiment and Data acquisition**

This study was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Tianjin Medical University under the NIH Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals.

Male Sprague-Dawley rats weighing 300–350 g were given a two-day food limitation for 2 h per day to maintain body weight of no less than 85% of normal weight. Then, the rats were adapted to the Y-maze (Fig. 1A) for another two days. After habituation, rats were given training sessions on a Y-maze working memory task per day until the correct rate of the performance was over 85%. The Y maze working memory task (Fig. 1A) was simply described here: Each trial of the task included a free choice run and a delayed alternation run. In the free choice, the rat could get a small piece of food reward when it arrived either end B or end C. After consuming the reward, the rat returned to the start A and waited for 5 seconds to make a ‘choice run’. During this phase, the rat could only get the reward at the end of the arm which it had not entered previously. After a trial, the animal went back to A to start the next trial.

After reaching the performance criterion, the rats were anesthetized with chloral hydrate (350 mg/kg) under aseptic conditions. Meanwhile, 2 × 8 nickel-cadmium microelectrode array (impedance less than 1 MΩ) were implanted into the rats mPFC according to the rat brain stereotaxic coordinates [AP: 2.5–4.5 mm, ML: 0.2–1.0 mm, DV: 2.5–3.5 mm].

After recovery, multi-channel neural signals were recorded in rat mPFC through a Cerebus Acquisition System (Cyberkinetics, USA) during the WM tasks on the Y-maze. Then, spikes (high pass filter: 250–7500 Hz, sampled at 30 kHz) exceeding the voltage threshold were stored with the time stamps per channel. After that, spike-sorting was performed to classify different neuronal firings by an offline sorter (Fig. 1B, Plexon). The occurrence of behavioral events was marked by an infrared sensor in the Y-maze and was defined as the ‘tripping point’ [25].

**Conversion of spikes into continuous series**

As spikes are series of discrete action potentials of neurons and consist of point processes, they cast a challenge on multivariate autoregressive (MVAR) model of GCCA. In that case, spikes should be firstly converted into continuous time waveforms. Considering that both rate and temporal information might be important for assessing the interaction among neurons, we here used a method which was applicable for dealing with short, sparse spikes trains to generate a continuous time series more suitable for MVAR modeling [26]. The basic idea of this method was to convert the spikes into continuous temporal series of instantaneous firing rate (IFR). Briefly, the procedure included three steps:

Firstly, the IFRs were obtained by calculating the inverse of the interspike intervals.

\[
 f(t) = \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} r(t) dt \quad t_{i-1} \leq t < t_{i+1}
\]

Finally, a continuous-time rate series was obtained by smoothing out the functions in time by spline interpolation methods (Fig. 1C, upper).

**Granger causality**

The measure of Granger causality are based on the notion that a variable \( x_1 \) can be said to cause another variable \( x_2 \) if the information in the past of \( x_1 \) can help forecast the future of \( x_2 \) with better accuracy [27,28].

In this study, the number of the variables was larger than two. In that case, conditional G-causality was applied to measure the causal influences between the pair of signals in the multivariate data set \( X \).

\[
 X = [x_1(t), x_2(t), \cdots, x_N(t)]^T
\]

To illustrate conditional G-causality, suppose that the temporal signals \( X \) can be represented by a multivariate autoregressive (MVAR) model.

\[
 \sum_{k=0}^{p} A(k)X(t-k) = E(t)
\]

In which \( E(t) \) is a vector of multivariate zero-mean uncorrelated white noise process. \( A_1, A_2, \cdots, A_p \) are \( N \) matrices of model coefficients while \( p \) is the model order chosen with the Bayesian information criteria (BIC) for MVAR process. Then, the conditional Granger causality was calculated.

In the time domain, the Granger causality from \( x_2(t) \) to \( x_1(t) \) conditional on the other signals is defined as:

\[
 F_{x_2 \rightarrow x_1}(x_2,x_3,\cdots,x_N) = \ln \frac{\sum (x_1,x_3,\cdots,x_N)}{\sum (x_1,x_2,\cdots,x_N)}
\]

where \( \sum (x_1,x_3,\cdots,x_N) \) is the variance of the noise in the regression of the variables data set without the \( x_2 \) and \( \sum (x_1,x_2,\cdots,x_N) \) is the variance in the regression of all variables of \( X \), both variances being associated with \( x_1 \) variable. Then, the Bonferroni correction was applied to assess the statistical significance of the G-causality interaction (\( p = p_{\text{nom}}/n(n-1) \), \( p_{\text{nom}} = 0.01 \)) [15]. Interactions which do not reach statistical significance are set to zero. In addition, the path lengths were determined by taking the inverse of the strength of the granger causality connection. Then, graph theoretical measures were applied to estimate the connectivity as it provided an effective and informative way to explore the network properties [29].

**Feature calculations**

Focusing on the effectiveness of the network information transmission, the global efficiency (\( E \)) and the causal density (\( CD \)) were selected to explore the variance of network properties during the WM tasks in this study.

The global efficiency is defined as the inverse of the harmonic mean of the minimum path length between each pair of the elements [30].
where \( L_{ij} \) is the mean of the minimum path length between nodes \( i \) and \( j \) (neurons or principle components). \( E = 0 \) indicates that there is no path between neurons or principle components (PCs). High value of \( E \) reveals strong effect of the parallel information transfer among nodes [31].

The causal density of the data set \( X \) is a global measure of causal interactivity. It is defined as the mean of all pairwise causalities between the elements, conditioned on the remainder of the system.

\[
E = \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i \neq j} L_{ij} \quad \text{(6)}
\]

\[
CD(X) = \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i \neq j} F_{X_i \rightarrow X_j | X_{[i]} } \quad \text{(7)}
\]

where \( F_{X_i \rightarrow X_j | X_{[i]} } \) is the value of the Granger causality strength from node \( i \) to node \( j \) and \( X_{[i]} | \) denotes the subsystem of \( X \) without \( X_i \) and \( X_j \). When all the statistically significant interactions are set to 1, the value of \( CD \) will be bounded into the range of \([0, 1]\). High value of the \( CD \) indicates more causal links observed in the network and is obtained only when the connectivity among the elements is globally coordinated.
Connectivity among principal components

PCA is a statistical analysis technique that can be used for feature extraction by seeking the linear combinations of the original variables to derive new variables which capture the maximal variance. Given a matrix with \( n \) variables and \( m \) observations for each variable, the goal of PCA is to reduce the dimensionality of the inputs by projecting them onto the reduced \( r \) directions. These \( r \) new variables are mutually uncorrelated and orthogonal. They, together, account for as much of the energy contained in the original \( n \) variables as possible [32]. Investigations have demonstrated that using PCA may improve upon application of existing Granger causality method in the study of brain effective connectivity [33]. In this study, we selected \( r \) new variables (principal components) accounted for over 90% energy of the original variables. As an example shown in Fig. 1D, the first 10 principal components (PCs) accounted for over 90% energy of the total variables. In that case, thirteen was chosen as the number of the PCs. These low dimension principal components from the continuous time series of spikes were further analyzed to investigate whether they could facilitate the subsequent Granger causality analysis (Fig. 1E, right).

Results

In order to investigate dynamic variations of the functional connectivity during the WM tasks in rat mPFC, the average values of granger causality matrix obtained from the continuous spikes (GC) were calculated (80 trials of 6 rats). The network was identified by effective connections among the continuous spikes. Meanwhile, we analyzed the global efficiency (E) and the causal density (CD) in order to feature the changing tendencies of the parallel information transfer and the global coordination of the network based on Granger causality matrix.

Furthermore, PCA was applied to the continuous spikes to extract the principle components (PCs). As a comparison, the average values of granger causality matrix obtained from the PCs (GCPC) were also analyzed. In addition, global efficiency and causal density of the network identified by functional connections among the PCs, denoted by EP and CP, were subsequently calculated and compared to explore the effectiveness of describing the functional connectivity by using PCA.

Dynamic variations of functional connectivity during the WM tasks

The changing tendencies of the functional connectivity were analyzed during the correctly performed WM tasks (4 s pre and 2 s post the tripping point). Accordingly, the period of the WM task was divided into six 1 s length bins which were defined as A0, A1, A2, A3, A4 and A5 from the beginning to the end. A0 was defined as the working memory beginning state (WMBS). The changing tendencies of the functional connectivity were investigated by using PCA.

As mentioned in section 2, the causal density and the global efficiency were selected to feature the functional connectivity network. E, CD of the network constructed from the original continuous spike series and EP, CP of the network constructed from the PCs were calculated during the correct WM tasks to describe the variations of the functional connectivity.

Investigations of the effectiveness by applying PCA showed that the values of GCPC presented similar increasing tendencies during the WM tasks and decreased after reaching the largest values (Fig. 2C). Of note, the occurring time of the GCPC highest levels were the same as the GC. Difference between the GCPC levels of the WMBS and the WMS was statistically significant (Fig. 2D, 80 trials of six rats, reduced dimensionality: \( P < 0.01 \)). Most importantly, further comparisons between the GCPC of different state for each rat presented statistical differences for all 6 rats (Table 1, paired sample t-test, \( * P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01 \)).

Thus, the values of the GC and the GCPC were both significantly increased during the WM tasks. Besides, the variations of the functional connectivity could be better described in the reduced dimensionality.

Dynamic variations of the features during WM

As mentioned in section 2, the causal density and the global efficiency were selected to feature the functional connectivity network. E, CD of the network constructed from the original continuous spike series and EP, CP of the network constructed from the PCs were calculated during the correct WM tasks to describe the variations of the functional connectivity.

The changing tendencies of E, CD, EP, and CP were shown in Fig. 3. They all increased during the WM tasks and decreased after reaching the maximum values (Fig. 3A and B). Of note, the maximum values appeared at the same time with GC and GCPC. In other words, they presented similar changing tendencies during the WM tasks.

To investigate whether there were statistical differences between the features values of the WMBS and WMS, we further compared the average values of the features values of these two states for each rats respectively. As shown in Table 2 and Table 3, compared with the values of the WMBS, the feature values were higher at the WMS. Besides, statistical differences were found between the features of WMBS and WMS in the reduced dimensionality for all the rats (EP, CP, and CDPC) occurred at A2 (Rat 2, Rat 3 and Rat 6) and A3 (Rat 1, Rat 4 and Rat 5). Of note, the highest feature levels appeared at the same time with GC and GCPC. In other words, they presented similar changing tendencies during the WM tasks.

Further investigations of the effectiveness by applying PCA showed that the values of the features were significant higher in the reduced dimensionality (EP, CP, and CDPC) at the WMS than they were in the original dimensionality (E and CD, Fig. 3C and D, 80 trials for 6 rats, paired sample t-test, \( ** P < 0.01 \)). However, the differences between the features of the original and the reduced
dimensionality were not statically significant at the WMBS (Fig. 3C and D, 80 trials for 6 rats, paired sample t-test, P > 0.05). In addition, no significant differences were found between the features of the original and the reduced dimensionality at the WMBS except for rat 4 (Table 2 and Table 3, paired sample t-test, ΔP < 0.05).

Thus, the average values of the features were both increased during the WM tasks. Besides, the variations of the functional network features were better described in the reduced dimensionality.

Discussion

The results indicated increasing tendencies of the strength of the functional connectivity during the WM tasks. Besides, the functional connectivity (GCPC and GC), effect of the parallel information transfer (EPC and E) and global coordination (CDPC and CD) had similar variation trends during the correct trials. The maximum values of these measures occurred at the same time (WMS). Therefore, stronger functional connectivity, higher information transfer efficiency and increased global coordination.

Table 1. Comparisons of the granger causality of the original and the reduced dimensionality at WMBS and WMS.

| Rat | N | Original dimensionality | Reduced dimensionality |
|-----|---|-------------------------|------------------------|
|     | WMBS | WMS | WMBS | WMS |
| Rat 1 | 26 | 0.0156±0.0030 | 0.0233±0.0026** | 0.0225±0.0062 | 0.0308±0.0046** |
| Rat 2 | 20 | 0.0048±0.0011 | 0.0083±0.0012* | 0.0112±0.0022** | 0.0310±0.0053*** |
| Rat 3 | 28 | 0.0093±0.0019 | 0.0183±0.0029* | 0.0196±0.0046** | 0.0410±0.0067*** |
| Rat 4 | 36 | 0.0054±0.0012 | 0.0113±0.0038 | 0.0105±0.0018** | 0.0271±0.0027*** |
| Rat 5 | 28 | 0.0115±0.0059 | 0.0235±0.0162 | 0.0134±0.0038 | 0.0507±0.0155*** |
| Rat 6 | 22 | 0.0125±0.0017 | 0.0189±0.0024* | 0.0203±0.0051 | 0.0329±0.0062** |

*The values are the mean ± SEM of the average granger causality values of each rats (paired sample t-test).
**Total number of the compared groups.
•*P < 0.05 compared to the granger causality values at the WMBS or at the WMS.
•**P < 0.01 compared to the granger causality values at the WMBS or at the WMS.
•***P < 0.001 compared to the granger causality values at the WMBS or at the WMS.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0091481.t001
causal density in both the original and the reduced dimensionality are significantly higher at the WMS than at the WMBS. The CD values in the original dimensionality (solid lines) and the reduced dimensionality (dashed lines) during WM tasks, 6 rats respectively (mean±SEM). The red triangle indicates the tripping time of the infrared sensor in the Y-maze. (C) Comparisons of global efficiency (mean±SEM). The values of the global efficiency in both the original and the reduced dimensionality are significantly higher at the WMS than at the WMBS. The E values in the original dimensionality at the WMS are significantly lower than the EPC values in the reduced dimensionality (80 trials for 6 rat, paired sample t-test, ** P<0.01). No significant difference is found at the WMBS (80 trials for 6 rat, paired sample t-test, P>0.05). (D) Comparisons of causal density (mean±SEM). The values of the causal density in both the original and the reduced dimensionality are significantly higher at the WMS than at the WMBS. The CD values in the original dimensionality at the WMS are significantly lower than the CD values in the reduced dimensionality (80 trials for 6 rat, paired sample t-test, ** P<0.01). No significant difference is found at the WMBS (80 trials for 6 rat, paired sample t-test, P>0.05).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0091481.g003

Dynamic variations of functional connectivity during the incorrect WM tasks

To investigate the variations of the functional network during the incorrectly performed working memory tasks, we calculated the values of the features during the incorrect trials (20 trials for all 6 rats).

As shown in Fig. 4A, the connections between the neurons varied during the incorrect working memory tasks. However, comparisons among different period feature values showed significant differences neither in the original dimensionality (one way ANOVA, GC; F(5,114) = 1.46, P = 0.21; E; F(5,114) = 0.16, P = 0.98; CD; F(5,114) = 0.14, P = 0.98) nor the reduced dimensionality (one way ANOVA, GCPC; F(5,114) = 1.54, P = 0.18; EPC; F(5,114) = 1.61, P = 0.16; CDPC; F(5,114) = 1.43, P = 0.22).

Of note, Granger causality inferences are only valid if an MVAR model adequately captured the correlation structure in the data. The model could be considered as captured the data adequately if the sum-square-error was more than 0.3, the consistency value was above 80% and the value of Durbin-Watson statistic test was larger than 1.0, simultaneously [15]. In this study, these prerequisites had been satisfied.

In addition, the maximum values of the functional connectivity (GC), the effect of the parallel information transfer (E) and the global coordination (CD) appeared at A3 (Rat 1, Rat 4 and Rat 5) and A2 (Rat 2, Rat 3 and Rat 6), which corresponds to 2 s or 1 s before the tripping time. Because the tripping time of the infrared

occurred at the WMS. In addition, significantly differences were found between the measure values of the WMS and the WMBS for all the rats in the reduced dimensionality. However, in the original space, statistical differences were only found in 5 rats, though the levels of the measures were higher at the WMBS for all the 6 rats. These results, taking together, suggested that combining the application of the PCA and the GCCA might provide an effective way to investigate the functional connectivity mechanism during the WM tasks in low dimensional space.

** Table 2. Comparisons of the global efficiency of original and reduced dimensionality at WMBS and WMS**

| Nb | Original dimensionality | Reduced dimensionality |
|----|-------------------------|------------------------|
|    | WMBS                    | WMS                    |
|    | Reduced dimensionality  | WMBS  | WMS |
| Rat 1 26 | 0.47±0.04 | 0.55±0.04 | 0.36±0.07 | 0.63±0.04**/△ |
| Rat 2 20 | 0.21±0.04 | 0.33±0.03* | 0.22±0.06 | 0.50±0.05**/△ |
| Rat 3 28 | 0.33±0.04 | 0.46±0.04** | 0.26±0.06 | 0.55±0.04**/△ |
| Rat 4 36 | 0.20±0.03 | 0.43±0.03** | 0.26±0.04△ | 0.51±0.04**/△ |
| Rat 5 38 | 0.30±0.07 | 0.55±0.07** | 0.35±0.08 | 0.66±0.09**/△ |
| Rat 6 22 | 0.29±0.03 | 0.43±0.04* | 0.24±0.07 | 0.54±0.07*  |

*The values are the mean ± SEM of the global efficiency values of each rats (paired sample t test).

Total number of the compared groups.
* p<0.05 compared to the global efficiency values at the WMBS in the original dimensionality or the reduced dimensionality.
** p<0.01 compared to the global efficiency values at the WMBS in the original dimensionality or the reduced dimensionality.
△ p<0.05 compared to the global efficiency values of the original dimensionality at the WMBS or at the WMS.
△△ p<0.01 compared to the global efficiency values of the original dimensionality at the WMBS or at the WMS.

** Table 3. Comparisons of the causal density of original and reduced dimensionality at WMBS and WMS**

| Nb  | Original dimensionality | Reduced dimensionality |
|-----|-------------------------|------------------------|
|     | WMBS                    | WMS                    |
|     | Reduced dimensionality  | WMBS  | WMS |
| Rat 1 26 | 0.24±0.02 | 0.35±0.02** | 0.22±0.05 | 0.41±0.03**/△ |
| Rat 2 20 | 0.14±0.02 | 0.19±0.03 | 0.18±0.04 | 0.34±0.04**/△ |
| Rat 3 28 | 0.19±0.02 | 0.27±0.02** | 0.17±0.04 | 0.40±0.04**/△ |
| Rat 4 36 | 0.16±0.02 | 0.25±0.02** | 0.20±0.02△ | 0.35±0.03**/△ |
| Rat 5 28 | 0.24±0.05 | 0.43±0.07* | 0.27±0.06 | 0.55±0.08**/△ |
| Rat 6 22 | 0.18±0.01 | 0.23±0.02* | 0.23±0.04 | 0.36±0.05**/△ |

*The values are the mean ± SEM of the causal density values of each rats (paired sample t test).

Total number of the compared groups.
* p<0.05 compared to the causal density values at the WMBS in the original dimensionality or the reduced dimensionality.
** p<0.01 compared to the causal density values at the WMBS in the original dimensionality or the reduced dimensionality.
△ p<0.05 compared to the causal density values of the original dimensionality at the WMBS or at the WMS.
△△ p<0.01 compared to the causal density values of the original dimensionality at the WMBS or at the WMS.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0091481.t003

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0091481.t002
sensor marked the 'choice run' behavioral events during the WM tasks, the results suggested the strongest connectivity occurred at WMS happened 2 s or 1 s before the 'choice' behavioral events.

PCA is a powerful technique to extract components from all neurons based on the covariance structure. Investigations have demonstrated that the combination of the PCA and the GCCA might provide an effective way to study the brain effective connectivity [33]. Though the application of PCA will lead to a little loss of the information contained in original data, study has proved these will not affect the following connectivity analysis [34]. Besides, research suggests that the more efficient representation of the sequences generated by PCA indicates the more efficient information of relationship can be obtained in Granger causality analysis [24]. In the study, we use the PCA to extract the principle components in order to investigate whether the features of the functional connectivity during the WM tasks could be effectively described in a low dimension space. Results showed that the changing trends of GCPC, EPC and CDPC were the same as GC, E and CD (Fig. 2B, C, Fig. 3B and C). Besides, the maximum of GCPC, EPC and CDPC occurred at A3 (Rat 1, Rat 4 and Rat 5) or A2 (Rat 2, Rat 3 and Rat 6), which also just before the 'choice' behavioral events.

In order to detect the effectiveness of describing the functional connectivity by using PCA, the features obtained from the network constructed by the continuous spikes (GC, E and CD) and from the network constructed by the PCs (GCPC, EPC and CDPC) were further compared, correspondingly. The values of GCPC were significantly higher than the GC values at the WMBS (Fig. 2C). Meanwhile, no significant differences were found between the values of global efficiency (Fig. 3C) at the WMBS, as well as the causal density (Fig. 3D). Of note, additional investigations showed that statistical differences between the feature values of the original and the reduced dimensionality could only be found in some of the rats at the WMBS (Table 1, 2 and 3). By contrast, the features of

Figure 4. Dynamic variations of granger causality, global efficiency and causal density during the incorrect tasks in both the original and the reduced dimensionality (20 trials for 6 rats). The data are divided into six 1 s length bins from the beginning to the end (4 s pre and 2 s post the tripping time). The red triangle indicates the tripping time of the infrared sensor in the Y-maze. (A) Dynamic variations of the granger causality matrixes during an incorrect trial of rat 1. (B) The variations of granger causality (left), global efficiency (middle) and causal density (right) during the incorrect tasks (20 trials for 6 rats) and the correct trials (80 trials for 6 rats) in the original dimensionality. The feature values in the correct trials are significantly higher 2 s (GC, E, CD) and 1 s (E, CD) pre the tripping time than those in the incorrect trials (t test, " P<0.05, ** P<0.01). No statistical difference is found at the WMBS between the incorrect and the correct trials (t test, P>0.05). (C) The variations of granger causality (left), global efficiency (middle) and causal density (right) during the incorrect tasks (20 trials for 6 rats) and the correct trials (80 trials for 6 rats) in the reduced dimensionality. The feature values in the correct trials are significantly higher 2 s (GCPC, EPC, CDPC) and 1 s (GCPC, EPC, CDPC) pre the tripping time than those in the incorrect trials (t test, " P<0.01). In addition, the feature values were significantly higher at the WMBS in the incorrect trials (t test, * P<0.05).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0091481.g004
the PCs constructed network were significantly larger than those of the continuous spikes constructed network at the WMS (Table 1, 2 and 3).

These findings suggested that PCA was an effective way to describe the features of the functional connectivity during WM, though the connections among the PCs were not identical to the underlying physical mechanism of the connections between the neurons. The approach of combining the PCA and the GCCA could be an effective way to investigate the mechanism of brain cognitive function by analyzing the features of the functional connectivity during WM.
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