INTRODUCTION

Globally, colorectal cancer accounts for approximately 10% of all new cancers [1]. Colorectal cancer invas ing into the adjacent organs/structures is detected in 5% to 20% of all surgical interventions performed for the management of colorectal cancer [2]. These adhesions may be either due to frank tumor infiltration or due to peritumoral inflammation. However, the nature of these adhesions cannot be ascertained intraoperatively. Therefore, the standard management entails en bloc resection of the diseased organ along with adjacent organ infiltration. Neoadjuvant treatment with chemotherapy, radiation, or a combination of both can significantly lead to downsizing of the disease thereby facilitating resection of the tumor with safe radial and circumferential margins.

We share our experience regarding the feasibility of en bloc multivisceral resection for advanced colorectal cancer, the immediate
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Purpose: Locally advanced colorectal cancer may require an en bloc resection of surrounding organs or structures to achieve complete tumor removal. This decision must weigh the risk of complications of multivisceral resection against the potential survival benefit. The purpose of this study is to review a single-center experience of feasibility of en bloc multivisceral resections for locally advanced colorectal carcinoma and to examine the effect of surgical experience on immediate outcome and rate of R0 resections.

Methods: This is a study of 27 patients who underwent multivisceral resection for locally advanced colorectal carcinoma which was performed at our institute from January 2016 to December 2019. Among the 27 patients aged between 21 and 76 years (mean age, 48.67 ± 7.3 years), 13 were males and 14 were females. Overall 18 patients had primary colon carcinoma and 9 had primary rectal carcinoma. All rectal cancer patients received neoadjuvant chemoradiation. All patients underwent surgery with curative intent. All patients underwent open surgery of which 66.7% underwent colectomy, 14.8% underwent anterior resection, 11.1% underwent Miles procedure, and 7.4% underwent pelvic exenteration.

Results: The mean operative time was 268.14 ± 72.2 minutes and the median amount of blood units transfused was 2.07 units. The mean hospital stay was 13.67 ± 3.4 days. Histologically, 44.4% of patients had well-differentiated adenocarcinoma and 55.6% had moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma. The final histopathological examination revealed malignant infiltration of the adjacent organs in 19/27 patients (70.4%). Pathological complete response was seen in 2 patients. R0 resection rate achieved was 96.3%. Lymph node metastasis was seen in 66.7% of patients with colon cancer and 11.1% with rectal cancer with overall mean number of harvested lymph nodes being 12.44 ± 3.01. Postoperative complications were identified in 7 patients (25.9%), while mortality was seen in 2 (7.4%).

Conclusion: Multivisceral resection for advanced colorectal cancer invading into the adjacent organ may be performed with acceptable morbidity and mortality.
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surgical outcomes in regards to morbidity and mortality, and the proportion of R0 resections.

METHODS

This retrospective study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Dr. B. Borooah Cancer Institute (BBCI-TMC/Misc-119/ MEC/282/2019). Written informed consent was obtained for publication of this study and accompanying images. Twenty-seven patients underwent multivisceral resection for locally advanced colorectal carcinoma at our institute from January 2016 to December 2019. Among the 27 patients aged between 21 and 76 years (mean age, 48.67 ± 7.3 years), 13 were males and 14 were females.

Preoperatively the patients were counseled accordingly and informed whether the adhesion was due to persistent disease or radiation-induced fibrosis. In 6 cases, it was difficult to ascertain on imaging and even intraoperatively whether the adhesion was due to persistent disease or radiation-induced fibrosis. Preoperatively the patients were counseled accordingly and informed consent was obtained to go ahead with multivisceral resection. Since all the patients in our study were in stage III, they

RESULTS

The mean operative time was 268.14 ± 72.2 minutes and the median amount of blood units transfused was 2.07 units. The mean hospital stay was 13.67 ± 3.4 days. Histologically, 44.4% of patients had well-differentiated adenocarcinoma and 55.6% had moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma. The final histopathological examination revealed malignant infiltration of the adjacent organs in 19 of 27 patients (70.4%). R0 resection rate achieved was 96.3%. Lymph node metastasis was seen in 66.7% of patients with colon cancer and 11.1% with rectal cancer with overall mean number of harvested lymph nodes being 12.44 ± 3.01. Postoperative complications were identified in 7 patients (25.9%), while mortality was seen in 2 patients (7.4%). The demographic details, location of tumor, pretreatment CEA levels, American Society of Anesthesiologists physical status classification, complications, postoperative hospital stay, and postoperative histopathology information is shown in Table 1.

We obtained pathologic complete response in 2 patients of rectal cancer after chemoradiation. The first was a case of mid-rectal tumor with urinary bladder infiltration. The patient received capecitabine based long-course chemoradiation. MRI after chemoradiation and before surgery showed dense adhesion of the disease site with urinary bladder and the uterus. The patients underwent pelvic exenteration, i.e., removal of urinary bladder and uterus with bilateral adnexa in addition to proctectomy. The second was also a mid-rectal tumor in a postmenopausal lady with infiltration into the uterus. The patient received capecitabine based long-course chemoradiation. MRI after chemoradiation and before surgery showed persistent adhesion between the disease site and the uterus. The patient underwent hysterectomy and bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy in addition to proctectomy. In both the cases, it was difficult to ascertain on imaging and even intraoperatively whether the adhesion was due to persistent disease or radiation-induced fibrosis.

Preoperatively the patients were counseled accordingly and informed consent was obtained to go ahead with multivisceral resection. Since all the patients in our study were in stage III, they
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Coloproctology
Table 1. Demographic and clinical details of the participants

| Patient No. | Age (yr) | Sex | Location of tumor | CEA level (ng/mL) | ASA PS classification | Complication | Postoperative stay | CD grade | P stage | Node | Tumor grade |
|-------------|---------|-----|------------------|-------------------|----------------------|--------------|-------------------|----------|----------|------|-------------|
| 1           | 60      | M   | Ascending colon   | 39                | II                   | None         | 9 Days            | I        | T4bN0    | 0 of 28 | Moderately differentiated |
| 2           | 21      | F   | Rectosigmoid junction | 4.8              | I                    | None         | 9 Days            | I        | T4bN0    | 0 of 6  | Well differentiated |
| 3           | 60      | M   | Transverse colon  | 2.4               | II                   | None         | 14 Days           | I        | T4bN0    | 0 of 12 | Moderately differentiated |
| 4           | 47      | M   | Ascending colon   | 1.4               | I                    | None         | 7 Days            | I        | T4bN1b   | 2 of 23 | Well differentiated |
| 5           | 53      | M   | Transverse colon  | 5.4               | I                    | None         | 8 Days            | I        | T4bN2a   | 5 of 11 | Well differentiated |
| 6           | 42      | M   | Entire colon with colonic polyps | > 400          | I                    | Burst abdomen | 19 Days           | IIib     | T4bN1c   | 3 of 21 | Well differentiated |
| 7           | 53      | M   | Sigmoid colon     | 14.1              | II                   | None         | 9 Days            | I        | T4bN1b   | 2 of 16 | Well differentiated |
| 8           | 55      | F   | Descending colon  | 0.8               | I                    | None         | 13 Days           | I        | T4bN1a   | 1 of 13 | Well differentiated |
| 9           | 31      | F   | Anorectum         | 3.1               | I                    | Bowel obstruction | 2 Months         | IIIb     | T4bN1b   | 2 of 15 | Moderately differentiated |
| 10          | 54      | F   | Sigmoid colon     | 4.8               | I                    | None         | 12 Days           | I        | T4bN0    | 0 of 11 | Well differentiated |
| 11          | 27      | F   | Mid rectum        | 4.6               | I                    | Wound dehiscence | 20 Days          | Illa     | T0N0     | 0 of 4  | Well differentiated (pCR) |
| 12          | 38      | M   | Splenic flexure   | 3.1               | I                    | None         | 10 Days           | I        | T4bN1b   | 2 of 14 | Well differentiated |
| 13          | 63      | M   | Transverse colon  | 30.3              | II                   | Expired       |                  | V        | T4bN2b   | 13 of 24 | Moderately differentiated |
| 14          | 49      | F   | Mid rectum        | 2.4               | I                    | None         | 9 Days            | I        | T2N0     | 0 of 4  | Well differentiated |
| 15          | 47      | F   | Mid rectum        | 218               | I                    | Hematuria, urinary retention, and prolonged FC | 16 Days (discharged on catheter) | II       | T3N0     | 0 of 3  | Moderately differentiated |
| 16          | 35      | F   | Mid rectum        | 18.1              | I                    | None         | 10 Days           | I        | T4aN0    | 0 of 7  | Well differentiated |
| 17          | 76      | F   | Mid rectum        | 12.7              | II                   | Ileus         | 12 Days           | II       | T0N0     | 0 of 3  | Moderately differentiated |
| 18          | 48      | M   | Descending colon  | 48.4              | I                    | Ileus         | 11 Days           | II       | T4bN1a   | 1 of 11 | Moderately differentiated |
| 19          | 51      | M   | Sigmoid colon     | 59.8              | I                    | Expired       |                  | V        | T4aN0    | 0 of 14 | Moderately differentiated |
| 20          | 56      | F   | Sigmoid colon     | 7.7               | II                   | Superficial wound infection | 13 Days     | I        | T4aN2a   | 4 of 17 | Moderately differentiated |
| 21          | 55      | M   | Caecum            | 10                | II                   | None         | 8 Days            | I        | T4bN1b   | 2 of 16 | Moderately differentiated |
| 22          | 66      | M   | Transverse colon  | 34.2              | II                   | None         | 10 Days           | I        | T4bN0    | 0 of 15 | Well differentiated |
| 23          | 64      | F   | Mid rectum        | 2.6               | II                   | None         | 11 Days           | I        | T3N0     | 0 of 11 | Moderately differentiated |
| 24          | 35      | F   | Hepatic flexure   | 105               | I                    | None         | 10 Days           | I        | T4bN1b   | 2 of 15 | Moderately differentiated |
| 25          | 50      | M   | Sigmoid colon     | 147               | I                    | None         | 9 Days            | I        | T4bN1a   | 1 of 14 | Moderately differentiated |
| 26          | 36      | F   | Lower rectum      | 0.3               | I                    | None         | 10 Days           | I        | T4bN0    | 0 of 2  | Moderately differentiated |
| 27          | 42      | M   | Sigmoid colon     | > 400             | I                    | None         | 10 Days           | I        | T4bN0    | 0 of 6  | Well differentiated |

CEA, carcinoembryonic antigen; ASA, American Society of Anesthesiologists; PS, physical status; CD, Clavien-Dindo classification; P stage, postoperative histopathology stage; M, male; F, female; pCR, pathologic complete response; FC, Foley catheterization.

received capecitabine and oxaliplatin adjuvant chemotherapy (except the 2 mortalities). Adjuvant therapy was decided based on clinical staging before chemoradiation.

The median follow-up period is 17 months (range, 2 to 61 months). There were 3 recurrences in the follow-up period; 2 local and 1 systemic. Local recurrences were seen in the pelvic side-
wall in a rectal cancer patient who underwent R1 resection; anastomotic site recurrence with kidney infiltration and encasement of upper ureter. Systemic recurrence was in the form of peritoneal disease.

Summary of short-term outcomes
Perioperative mortality was seen in 2 patients (7.4%). R0 resection was performed in 26/27 patients (96.3%). Final histopathology revealed adjacent organ infiltration in 19 cases (pT4b, 70.4%). All surviving patients received adjuvant chemotherapy.

DISCUSSION
It may be difficult to differentiate intraoperatively malignant infiltration of colorectal tumor from inflammatory adhesion. Therefore, the standard management protocol mandates en bloc resection of the tumor along with the adjacent organ. In our study, malignant infiltration was histopathologically confirmed in 70.4% of patients. Nishikawa et al. [3] reported adjacent organ infiltration in 60.9% of patients, Eveno et al. [4] reported adjacent organ infiltration in 64.5%, and Gebhardt et al. [5] reported adjacent organ infiltration in 55%. Few previous studies demonstrated adhesions between tumor and other organs harbor malignant cells in 25% to 40% of cases, which are lower rates compared with our study [2, 6, 7].

Local recurrence rates are also reported to be higher when the adjacent organs were dissected from the tumor than when en bloc resection is performed [8]. R0 resection is known to be one of the most important prognostic factors in the management of locally advanced colorectal cancer [9]. The rate of R0 resection, as reported in literature varies between 40% and 90% [10].

In our study, R0 resection was performed in 96.3% of patients; 18/18 patients with colonic primary and 8/9 patients with rectal primary. Eveno et al. [4] reported there were 89.5% of R0 resections in patients with clinical T4 colorectal cancer, but also reported R1 resections were due to invasion of the resection margin of an adjacent organ in 5.2% of patients and due to invasion of the circumferential resection margin in 9.9% of patients and one R2 resection due to a large rectal cancer. In a retrospective study, Dericci et al. [11] reported there were 75.4% of R0 resections in rectal cancer patients with macroscopically direct invasion to adjacent organs or structures and 82.8% R0 resection in patients who received neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy.

Three patients in our series developed recurrence. Circumferential resection margin was positive in 1 lower rectal cancer patient who developed recurrence in 11 months with pelvic sidewall infiltration, colovaginal fistula and died 17 months after completing treatment. One patient with splenic flexure growth who underwent curative treatment developed anastomotic site recurrence after 18 months with frank infiltration into the left kidney and encasement of the left upper ureter. The patient underwent curative surgery for recurrent disease with left nephroureterectomy. One patient developed peritoneal recurrence after 14 months with a peritoneal carcinomatosis index of 6 for which secondary cytoreduction was performed. One patient was lost to follow up.

The oncologic outcomes of the multivisceral resections are reported as overall survival rates of 30% to 53% [2, 4, 11]. We could not demonstrate a distinct survival advantage due to the limited number of patients and the relatively short follow-up period. Kaplan-Meier curve of overall survival is shown in Fig. 1.

Multivisceral resection has been shown to be an independent factor for postoperative complications and perioperative mortality [12]. Studies report postoperative morbidity and mortality rates after multivisceral resection in the range from 28.0% to 43.7% [2, 3, 7, 11, 13-15] and ≥ 13% [4, 6, 11, 16], respectively. In our study postoperative complications were identified in 25.9% patients. There were 2 mortalities (7.4%) in our study. The adjacent organs resected are shown in Table 2 and Fig. 2.

There are some limitations of our study. First, it is a single-center study.
study with a limited number of patients. Second, the follow-up period is relatively short with proportionately more cases being done over the past 12 months.

In conclusion, complete removal of all gross and microscopic disease remains the key to achieve long term outcomes in locally advanced colorectal cancer. Multivisceral resection can be performed at high volume centers with acceptable morbidity and mortality rates. Most of the recurrences occur within 2 years of completing treatment. Hence, meticulous follow-up is of paramount importance during this period. Longer follow-up is needed for survival data to mature.
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