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ABSTRACT
Photovoltaic (PV) power has emerged as the most attractive resource in form of a clean and green energy. However, one major challenge associated with PV interfacing is its intermittent output characteristic which varies dramatically with the operating conditions. Thus designing an effective maximum power point tracking (MPPT) algorithm is a key aspect for optimizing PV system performance. Numerous MPPT algorithms have been proposed earlier having their own specific advantages. However, these are found to have two major limitations which have to be essentially addressed. Firstly, they become ineffective in the dynamic conditions where there is rapid change in environmental parameters like insolation and temperature. Secondly, they fail to discriminate between global and local peaks under partial shading conditions. Therefore, to achieve a reliable and efficient system operation, this paper presents an enhanced model-based (MB) algorithm that overcomes both these deficiencies. Based on new governing equations and precise estimation technique, it predetermines the MPP analytically. First simulated results are obtained where it is tested for dynamic variations of all the three parameters. Then the experimental validation is carried out on a 2 KW installed panel where real time data is recorded through CR1000 data logger and environmental parameters are sensed with elements like pyranometer and humidity sensor. A large number of experimental results are obtained for tracked MPP in the dynamic conditions, which are then summarized in tabular forms. These are finally plotted and compared with simulated results to illustrate the effectiveness of proposed MB algorithm.
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1. INTRODUCTION
Over the last few decades there has been a tremendous trend of exploiting the renewable sources of energy on account of various factors like climatic concern, depletion of conventional energy reservoirs, global warming. Among all the renewable sources, photovoltaic (PV) promises to be the most attractive solution as a result of latest advancements in semiconductor materials and power electronic converters. Despite of several advantages that PV system offers [1], one major challenge for the large scale interfacing of PV power is its non-linear output characteristics which vary with the ambient operating conditions [2]. The situation gets further worsened for dynamic conditions where there is rapid variation in environmental conditions [3]. Thus, it demands for comprehensive assessment of characteristics in terms of dynamic
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behaviour and to design advance tracking scheme so that PV panel is essentially made to operate at maximum power point (MPP) in order to optimize the system performance.

There have been a large number of MPPT algorithms applied by the researchers [4]-[8]. These techniques differ from one another from various functional aspects and have their individual pros and cons. Rigorous review of MPPT algorithms reveals that these can be broadly divided into (a) conventional and (b) soft computing techniques. Among the conventional MPPT algorithms, the most preferred methods are perturb and observe (P&O) [9] and incremental conductance (IC) [10]. Thakran, Singh, Garg and Mahajan [11] implemented P&O algorithm where MPPT analysis has been carried out with two different approaches depending on whether perturbations are caused in voltage or duty cycle. It gives an effective tracking approach having biggest advantage in terms of ease of implementation. But the major limitation associated with P&O is that increasing the perturbation rate results into higher oscillations around MPP and hence selecting the step-size remains a dilemma. Kim and Cha [12] proposed a variable step-size approach to overcome this limitation where oscillations at steady state have been reduced significantly and higher system efficiency has been achieved. However, this is capable of tracking MPP only under uniform conditions as it shows sluggish and poor convergence when there is partial shading. Similarly, IC algorithm for MPPT has been effectively implemented by Dhaouadi et al. [13], where system transients and converging response have been analyzed with greater oscillating frequencies. The proposed technique is simple and efficient but the drawback is that it cannot be applied in dynamically changing conditions and also its use is limited to low power application. According to Chauhan et al. [14] overcomes this limitation to some extent where a modified IC algorithm has been proposed by optimizing the controller gain through Grey Wolf technique. However, results are satisfactory only for insolation variation and that too over a small scale only, as dynamic change of other parameters introduces a truncated error. Also, inclusion of optimization algorithm has increased the controller complexity significantly.

With the evolution in intelligence technique, there have been a number of MPPT algorithms developed in recent years on the basis of soft computing. Among these evolutionary techniques, the likes of fuzzy logic control (FLC) [15], artificial neural network (ANN) [16], genetic algorithm (GA) [17], particle swarm optimization (PSO) [18] are found to be explored immensely in this new era of MPPT. According to Nemours and Chowdhury [19], a FLC based MPPT scheme has been implemented which shows good tracking capability for load variations as well. The main advantage of FLC based techniques is the speed of tracking as they can reduce the settling time. However, the major limitation of FLC is that once after defining the rules they cannot be modified and hence large amount of training is required for effective tracking under dynamic insolation and other conditions. Hence FLC is hardly used alone for tracking; rather its combination with other is preferred as hybrid MPPT algorithm [20]. But then of course system complexity becomes a major issue. Abadi et al. [21] proposed a Neuro-Fuzzy based adaptive MPPT algorithm which has improved the conversion efficiency and can be effective for all conditions. But the problem associated with ANN technique is that its computational time and memory requirement is large which significantly increases the complexity. Similarly, GA based algorithms which are mainly employed for stochastic searches, also have the same drawback of large memory and training requirement because of high initial population. PSO is another evolutionary technique which is gaining much of the attention in recent years [22]. It is particularly well suited for tracking of global maxima under partial shading cases where panels are non-uniformly illuminated. However, the affair of parameter initialization is a difficult task in PSO based algorithm which gives rise to the randomness in initial duty cycle. Another drawback of PSO technique is that with the increase in population size, computational difficulty also increases. Here it is important to note that partial shading has several ill effects on PV system performance as it leads to considerable amount of power loss and may even cause damage to panels [23], [24]. Therefore, to design a simple and effective algorithm for minimizing the consequences of partial shading continues to be another challenging aspect in the field of MPPT, even though there have been many proposed work.

Thus in view of detailed analysis of MPPT algorithms as discussed above, it is observed that there exists a number of techniques which perform satisfactorily in uniform conditions with steady variations in environmental parameters. However, there are two major and common drawbacks associated with these methods which need to be addressed for efficient and reliable PV system performance. The first is that they fail to respond effectively when there is dynamic change in the environmental conditions. It means that their operating point drifts away from MPP when the conditions are varied rapidly. Secondly, they show incapability to guarantee the successful tracking of global MPP in case of the partial shading or non-uniform solar irradiance. Motivated by the above deficiencies of existing MPPT techniques, this research work proposes a simplified and enhanced model-based (MB) MPPT algorithm. Here, the distinct feature of proposed MB algorithm is that it works effectively not only in dynamic conditions where insulation or temperature varies rapidly and continuously, rather it has additional capability of tracking the MPP accurately in partial shading conditions also. Based on the new governing equations and precised estimation
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Imposition of these set of equations leads to fine tuning of panel and bounds the system to operate at its MPP under all sort of dynamic conditions.

\[
V_{mp} = V_{oc} + V_T \ln\left(1 - \frac{I_{mp}}{I_{sc}}\right) - R_S I_{mp}
\]

(3)

\[
\frac{d(VI)}{dl}|l=I_{mp} = V_{mp} + \frac{V_T I_{mp}}{I_{mp}^2 I_{sc}} - R_S I_{mp} = 0
\]

(4)

3. HARDWARE PLATFORM FOR MB MPPT ANALYSIS

This section presents the description of hardware setup along with all its components that provided a platform for experimental analysis of MB algorithm. PV panels of 2 KW rating were explored for this work which consists of ten polycrystalline modules, five monocrystalline and five thin film modules each of 100 W rating. A picture of installed panel is shown by Figure 2 whereas the panel specifications have been summarized in Table 1 as discussed earlier, crux of MB algorithm is the optimum estimation of PV model parameters as a function of their dependency on environmental conditions. Thereby, variations in factors like solar insolation, humidity and wind speed have been tried to be measured with utmost accuracy. Pyranometer has been used here to precisely measure the solar insolation. It is a sensor that measures flux density or global radiation and bears almost a linear relation with the frequency band of total solar radiation. It can also measure the diffuse radiation for which pyranometer is shaded with a disk placed for preventing the direct incidence of beam. Similarly, a humidity sensor has been also used to give the measure of water vapour in the atmosphere. It is related with the temperature in the sense that vapour content of hot air is greater than that of cold air. A picture of installed sensors has been shown in Figure 3.

| S.No. | Parameters (per module) | Value |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | P_{mpp} | 100 W |
| 2 | V_{mpp} | 17.7 V |
| 3 | I_{mpp} | 5.7 A |
| 4 | V_{oc} | 21.6 V |
| 5 | I_{sc} | 6.3 A |
| 6 | Tolerance | 2% |

Table 1. Specifications of practical 2 KW panels installed

Figure 2. PV panels installed on roof-top

Figure 3. Installed measuring devices: (a) pyranometer, (b) humidity sensor
Further, it has to be noted here that any kind of monitoring scheme utilizing sensor monitoring requires a data collection system. For this purpose a portable CR1000 data logger was installed which keeps recording all the measured values of parameters as well as corresponding variations in panel characteristics. This data logger was synchronised with a display board setup inside the lab and at the same time it was also connected to a computer. Hence the instantaneous values of current, voltage and power were available in the display board whereas a continuous record of the same was stored in the system. Figure 4 shows a picture of data logger installed on roof-top and the corresponding display panel is shown in Figure 5.

![Figure 4. CR 1000 data logger](image1)

![Figure 5. Display board setup](image2)

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Having estimated all the parameters precisely with their dependency established on the working conditions, this section now presents the detailed performance analysis of MB algorithm. Here the effectiveness of proposed algorithm is evaluated for rapid variations of all sorts of environmental conditions including partial shading over their full practical range. First the MB algorithm is tested in MATLAB/Simulink platform where simulated results of tracked MPP for dynamic insolation, temperature and shading are presented. Then the real time performance is analysed where experimental results have been discussed. Finally comparisons of both the results have been carried out to validate the effectiveness of proposed MB algorithm.

4.1. Simulation results

Simulink model has been strictly designed on the basis of mathematical equations characterizing the MB algorithm as discussed earlier. First the photocurrent (Iph) is evaluated as a function of solar radiation, then after proper computation of reverse saturation current (Io) and thermal voltage (Vt) diode current is obtained as shown by building blocks in Figure 6. Here it can be seen that diode current has exponential dependency on thermal voltage. Thereafter the summation of diode current and shunt current is subtracted from photocurrent to result into the panel output current as illustrated by the blocks in Figure 7. Thus once the tuned values for current and values are estimated for MPP operation, these are exported to workspace for processing maximum power output as shown in Figure 8.

Now before going for dynamic variations in operating conditions, first of all the characteristic for PV power is analyzed at standard test condition (STC). This sets a benchmark for the rest of evaluation and also ratifies higher tracking efficiency of proposed algorithm. Figure 9 shows the PV curve obtained at STC where it can be observed that MPP is successfully tracked around a voltage of 190 V with an achieved maximum power of 1920 W. Thus MB algorithm is not only effective for dynamic conditions but it is also capable of yielding a higher tracking efficiency.

Now the response in PV characteristic is tracked for the dynamic working conditions, by rapidly varying different parameters one by one. First the insolation is varied dynamically over the full scale from 100 W/m² to 1000 W/m² keeping the temperature constant at 25°C. Therefore, PV characteristics also keep on changing dynamically and corresponding to each characteristic global MPP is tracked effectively. Thus a large number of characteristics are obtained where MPP is tracked separately for dynamic variations of insolation from 100 to 200 to 300 and so on. As it is not possible here to show all the characteristics and MPP tracked for corresponding insolation, hence as a sample value one such characteristic obtained at insolation of 600 W/m² is shown in Figure 10. It can be observed here that MPP now occurs around a voltage of 185 V with tracked maximum power of 1090 W, which is obviously much less than that obtained at STC due to the reduced insolation.

Next, we keep the insolation constant at 1000 W/m² and vary the temperature over the scale from 12°C to 45°C. Again MPP is successfully tracked with this rapid variation in temperature where global maxima also varies dynamically. One such characteristic obtained at a temperature of 15 °C, is shown in Figure 11.
Finally, effectiveness of MB algorithm for tracking MPP under the dynamic variations in partial shading is analyzed by calibrating insolation with percentage shading. As shown in Figure 12, MPP is tracked successfully for dynamic increase in shading percentage in steps of 10%. Interestingly, here there is not much variation in the panel voltage till we go beyond 70% of shading but the current variation is found to be much significant. Even the current becomes negative if shading goes to the limit of 100% because of reverse saturation impact.

Figure 6. Blocks for simulating: (a) photocurrent, (b) diode current

Figure 7. Modeling of panel output current

Figure 8. Simulated blocks for estimating power output
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4.2. Experimental analysis

All the simulated results for tracked MPP under dynamic conditions were verified experimentally to validate the effectiveness of MB algorithm. As discussed earlier, variations in the environmental conditions were practically monitored with the help of installed sensors and correspondingly the estimated data i.e. variations in current, voltage and power at tracked MPP were recorded through data logger CR 1000. Further, these readings were also monitored in the display panel shown in Figure 5 and stored in computer as well. As done in the case of simulated results, here also we start with first tracking the MPP at STC and the corresponding readings are given in Table 2. Then the experimental results for tracked MPP for dynamic variations in environmental conditions are summarized one by one in Tabular form where apart from the maximum power output, the values of current and voltage readings at MPP have also been specified. The impact of dynamic variation of the radiation intensity on MPPT capability has been investigated for the range of insolation in between 100 W/m² to 1000 W/m² and the corresponding readings are summarized in Table 3.

Table 2. Experimental PV characteristic at STC

| Voltage (V) | Current (A) | Power (W) |
|------------|------------|-----------|
| 50         | 11.181     | 584.3     |
| 100        | 11.013     | 1124.7    |
| 120        | 10.831     | 1298.3    |
| 140        | 10.373     | 1558.9    |
| 160        | 9.825      | 1780.1    |
| 180        | 8.987      | 1882.5    |
| 190        | 8.743      | 1924.6    |
| 200        | 8.375      | 1895      |
| 210        | 8.013      | 1680.8    |
| 220        | 6.435      | 1245.6    |
| 225        | 3.015      | 795.4     |
| 230        | 0.331      | 170       |
Table 3. MPP tracked for dynamic insolation

| Insolation (W/m²) | $I_m$(A) | $V_m$(V) | MPP (W)  |
|------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| 100              | 1.401    | 159.2    | 107.62   |
| 200              | 2.729    | 163.4    | 267.32   |
| 300              | 3.413    | 171.3    | 459.13   |
| 400              | 4.345    | 179.5    | 675.39   |
| 500              | 5.136    | 181.7    | 838.12   |
| 600              | 6.711    | 185.1    | 1088.31  |
| 700              | 7.326    | 187.5    | 1314.32  |
| 800              | 9.432    | 189.4    | 1514.81  |
| 900              | 10.759   | 190.7    | 1714.37  |
| 1000             | 11.103   | 191.5    | 1908.68  |

Similarly, the MB algorithm is tested for most typical operating condition where partial shading conditions are rapidly varied. It is found that the MB algorithm can effectively track MPP for rapid variations of shading also for which the tracked values are summarized below in Table 4. Here, current also varies significantly and even becomes negative for fully shaded panels because of reverse saturation.

Table 4. MPP tracked for dynamic partial shading

| Shading (%) | MPP (W) | $V_m$(V) | $I_m$(A) |
|-------------|---------|----------|----------|
| 0           | 1923.96 | 229.89   | 11.18    |
| 10          | 1731.61 | 229.35   | 10.03    |
| 20          | 1517.12 | 225.16   | 9.15     |
| 30          | 1304.23 | 221.87   | 7.97     |
| 40          | 1093.78 | 213.02   | 6.83     |
| 50          | 885.75  | 216.29   | 5.69     |
| 60          | 680.47  | 211.34   | 4.55     |
| 70          | 479.57  | 204.13   | 3.41     |
| 80          | 285.45  | 189.5    | 2.27     |
| 90          | 97.72   | 123.29   | 1.13     |
| 100         | 0.29    | 0        | -0.17    |

The experimentally measured readings for tracked MPP are then finally compared one by one with those predicted by the simulated model of proposed technique by plotting them on same graphs. Clearly, the results show a very close resemblance between measured and predicted behaviors of proposed MPPT technique and thus validates its effectiveness under dynamic conditions. First the comparison of power output characteristics obtained at STC is shown in Figure 13. It can be seen that MB algorithm is not only capable of tracking MPP in dynamic conditions but at the same time it also gives out a high tracking efficiency. Then the comparative result of MPP tracked for dynamic variations in the insolation has been plotted in Figure 14 and finally results are compared and plotted for the most typical one i.e partial shading case.
5. CONCLUSION

With the growing penetration of PV power in distribution system, several challenges are also being faced. One of the major tasks is to ensure that PV panels operate at the optimum point irrespective of environmental variations and hence it requires effective and efficient tracking algorithm. This work has presented an enhanced model based MPPT algorithm which is found to be very effective under dynamic conditions. Here, accurate modeling of PV panel combined with precise estimation of parameters have given a distinct feature to proposed MB algorithm and thus provided a comprehensive solution to overcome the limitations of earlier techniques. A detailed performance analysis has been carried out to signify the effectiveness of MB algorithm. First simulated results have been obtained which are then investigated experimentally by tracking the real time values. Finally both the results are compared and found to be matching closely. Thus it has been verified that MB algorithm works effectively not only in dynamic conditions where insolation or temperature varies rapidly and continuously, but it has additional capability of tracking the MPP accurately in partial shading conditions also.
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