Eliminating viral hepatitis C in Belgium: the micro-elimination approach
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Abstract

Background: Hepatitis C virus is one of the leading causes of chronic liver disease and liver-related deaths worldwide. The estimated prevalence of chronic hepatitis C viral infection among the general Belgian population was 0.57% (n = 64,000) in 2015. Although Belgium has had a ‘Hepatitis C Plan’ since 2014, elimination efforts are unclear. This study employs the best available data and modelling estimates to define the burden of hepatitis C viral infection among key subgroups in Belgium, identify information gaps and propose potential approaches to screening, linkage to care and treatment, and cure.

Methods: We examined the peer-reviewed and grey literature since 2012 for data on the prevalence of hepatitis C viral infection in Belgium in key subgroups identified by national experts and in the literature. Ultimately, this research is primarily based on data provided by the key stakeholders themselves due to a lack of reliable data in the literature. Based on this, we modelled the treatment rates required to reach elimination of hepatitis C in several subgroups.

Results: Eleven potential subgroups were identified. There were no data available for two subgroups: generational cohorts and men who have sex with men. In six subgroups, fewer than 3000 people were reported or estimated to have hepatitis C infection. Migrants and people who inject drugs were the most affected subgroups, and children were the least affected subgroup. Only two subgroups are on target to achieve elimination by 2030: patients living with haemophilia and transplant recipients.

Conclusions: Removing Belgian treatment reimbursement restrictions in January 2019 was a big step towards eliminating HCV. In addition, increasing surveillance, including with a national registry, treatment prescription by other health-care providers and availability of treatment in local pharmacies are central to improving the current situation and getting on track to reach the 2030 WHO hepatitis C elimination targets in Belgium.
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Background

Infection with the hepatitis C virus (HCV) remains one of the leading causes of chronic liver disease and liver-related deaths worldwide [1, 2], prompting the World Health Organization (WHO) in 2016 to define targets for eliminating it by 2030 [3, 4]. The estimated prevalence of chronic HCV infection among the general Belgian population was 0.57% in 2015 [5]. However, estimates are based mostly on modelling data, as recent population-based studies are scarce and subject to selection bias [6]. Since 2014, Belgium has had a ‘Hepatitis C Plan’ [7]. The plan was developed in collaboration with healthcare professionals (e.g. gastroenterologists specialized in hepatology, representatives from professional associations of gastroenterologists, doctors associated with AIDS referral centres, and representatives from addiction treatment centres) and with patient associations. The main goals of the plan were: (1) to reduce transmission; (2) to increase the number of HCV-positive patients aware of their diagnosis; and (3) to enhance the patient care pathway and quality of life [7], and were listed up in 23 different action points. Many action points, including a screening policy and the collection of scientific data, have...
not yet been executed and it is unclear how the action points will be evaluated [8, 9]. Therefore, it has been difficult to create an overview of HCV elimination efforts in Belgium.

A practical, comprehensive approach to national HCV elimination can benefit from population segmentation in order to tailor concentrated elimination efforts to specific population subgroups—a concept known as ‘micro-elimination’ [10, 11]. These population subgroups include children (under the age of 15 years), HCV/HIV-coinfected persons, birth cohorts, haemodialysis (HD) patients, those living with haemophilia, men who have sex with men (MSM), migrants, people with advanced liver disease, people who inject drugs (PWID), prisoners, and transplant recipients (Table 1) [10, 22]. However, accurate, up-to-date prevalence data to inform strategic micro-elimination planning are lacking in Belgium.

With direct-acting antiviral (DAA) therapy and its ≥95% cure rate, HCV elimination is achievable [23, 24]. Belgium based its 2015 guidelines for prescribing DAA therapy on the fibrosis stage, restricting it to patients with severe fibrosis or cirrhosis (F3–F4). Since January 2017 DAA therapy was reimbursable not only for patients starting treatment from the F2 fibrosis stage, but for all patients with risk factors or comorbidities such as hepatitis B virus (HBV)- or HIV-coinfection, haemophilia, and severe extrahepatic manifestations, as well as patients on dialysis or those on a transplant waiting list [9, 23–26]. Since the latest update in January 2019 HCV treatment is reimbursed for every patient regardless of the degree of fibrosis [27]. Currently, DAAs can be prescribed and initiated only by a gastroenterologist and are available only in a hospital pharmacy [26].

In this paper, we attempt to employ the best available data to define the burden of HCV infection among key subgroups in Belgium, identify information gaps among these subgroups, and propose potential approaches for screening, prevention, linkage to care, treatment and, ultimately, cure. To assess the feasibility of reaching the WHO elimination goals, we further present mathematical modelling results.

**Methods**

A constrained optimization modelling approach was applied for developing the model in Excel. This modeling approach is commonly applied in healthcare for purposes such as capacity management, clinical decision making, and optimal allocation of resources [28]. The model was designed to address two objectives. The first objective of this model is to demonstrate the number of HCV patient needed to treat per year in order to reach the WHO targets for HCV elimination given constraints such as the number of known HCV patients, the country specific annual incidence rate of HCV and the number of years until the year 2030 is reached.

The first objective function is:

\[
X \times \frac{Y(1+Y)^t}{(1+Y)^t-1} = N
\]

\(N\) = number of patients needed to treat per year to reach the WHO HCV elimination goal by 2030.

\(X\): number of HCV patient in a given year.

\(Y\): country specific HCV incidence rate.

\(t\): number of years.

**Table 1** Target population for micro-elimination of HCV in Belgium

| SUBGROUP | Estimated number of Hepatitis c infections |
|----------|-------------------------------------------|
| Children (under age 15) | Newly diagnosed (and reported) HCV cases in 2015: 19 cases aged under 15 years of age [12] |
| Coinfected with HIV | 353 (calculated, 2.4% of HIV-positive population) [13, 14] |
| Generational cohorts with high prevalence (born 1945–1965) | No data |
| Patients on HD | 297 (4% of patients on HD in Belgium) [15] |
| Haemophilia patients | No national data; 11 patients remaining at the University Hospital Leuven |
| MSM | No national data: 19 out of 1055 (1.8%) in 2017 at ITM Antwerp |
| Migrants from high-prevalence countries | 18,607 estimated (range 9729-32,764) [16] |
| Patients with advanced liver disease | 21,875 [17, 18] |
| PWID | 2970 calculated used benchmark multiplier methodology [19] |
| Prisoners | 1777 calculated (15.1% HCV-infected of 11,769 prisoners) [20, 21] |
| Transplant recipients | 146 (12.6%) out of 1156 liver transplants between 2008 and 2012 [6]; 11 at one site [6] |

*Abbreviations: HCV hepatitis C virus; HD haemodialysis; MSM men who have sex with men; ITM Institute of Tropical Medicine; PWID people who inject drugs*
The second objective of the model is to demonstrate the year in which HCV elimination will be reached with the current treatment rate or alternative treatment rates. The second objective function is:

\[ \sum_{t=0}^{T} \frac{C_i}{(1 + y)^t} = 0 \]

\( T \) = the year HCV elimination will be reached.
\( i \) = number of years.
\( C \): number of HCV patient (= number of eligible patients – number of patients treated).
\( y \): country specific HCV incidence rate.

The estimates quantifying the subpopulations used in the model to project were:

- the number of patients who would receive treatment and the number of patients who would be left untreated by the year 2030 based on the current (3%) versus alternative (needed to meet the WHO targets) rate (8%) of treatment per year.
- year in which HCV elimination will be reached in that group with the current treatment rates or alternative treatment rate;

The input parameters used were as follows:

- estimated number of HCV patients eligible for treatment
- incidence rate of HCV infection
- rate of reinfection
- annual treatment rate

We searched the peer-reviewed (PubMed/Medline) and grey literature (Google Scholar) between January 2012 and August 2018 for data on HCV prevalence in Belgium in key subgroups. The limits are set so that the emphasis is on HCV elimination in the DAA era. Since 2012, DAA treatment has been available in Belgium, initially in a study context. Keywords included the subgroups affected by HCV identified by Lazarus et al. (Table 1), variations in HCV genotypes and differences between the subgroups in Belgium [10, 11, 22]: hepatitis c virus (HCV), prevalence, health policy, Belgium, children, people who inject drugs (PWID), migrants, HIV, men who have sex with men (MSM), prisoners, liver transplant, haemodialysis, haemophilia, generational cohort and advanced liver disease. Little to no data have been published on the prevalence of HCV in the key subgroups listed in Table 1. Therefore, this research is primarily based on data provided by the key stakeholders themselves listed as co-authors in this article, and on no a literature review. Ten national experts were contacted to provide (unpublished) data mainly on the prevalence of HCV in the aforementioned subgroups. All experts graduated as medical doctors in different specialisations with a common interest in HCV. We reported data for notified cases and estimates, as well as fibrosis stage, where available. These data were supplemented with original data from the 2017 Hep-CORE questionnaire [29].

## Results

### Children (under age 15)

There are no peer-reviewed sources available on the current prevalence and incidence of HCV infection in children in Belgium. The 2016 annual report from the Belgian Public Health Research Institute pooled data of a sentinel laboratory network in Belgium and reported that fewer than 15 children were genotyped for HCV in 2015 (Table 2) [5]. Furthermore, based on pooled data from all of the health insurance distributors in Belgium, even fewer children received HCV serology testing in 2016 [30]. Alternatively, an attempt was made to calculate the rate of mother-to-child transmission. Assuming an incidence of 0.57% of HCV in adult patients in Belgium and a rate of 100,000 births each year, 500 women infected with HCV give birth with a 5.0% risk of

| Age    | Patients (total, n) | Treated (n) | SVR reached (n) |
|--------|--------------------|-------------|-----------------|
| < 15 years | 15                 | 9           | 9               |
| > 15–18 years | 10                | –           | –               |
| TOTAL          | 25                 | 9           | 9               |

Abbreviations: SVR sustained virological response
perinatal transmission [31]. This leads to an estimated 25 infected infants per year.

**HIV-positive patients**
The incidence of HCV in an HIV cohort in Antwerp was estimated to be between 0.24 (2001) and 1.36 (2011) new cases per 100 person-years, with a peak of 2.93 new cases per 100 person-years in 2009 [32, 33]. The prevalence of HCV/HIV coinfection among this cohort of close to 1400 patients was 10% in 2017. In 2014, Belgium counted 14,719 people living with HIV (PLHIV) of whom 3% would be HCV/HIV co-infected [13].

**Men who have sex with men**
Screening for HCV is not yet recommended in the HIV-negative MSM population and no data are available on the prevalence of HCV in this subgroup in Belgium. Routine data from a screening centre for sexually transmitted infections (STIs) in Antwerp, focusing on people belonging to difficult-to-reach, high-risk groups (including MSM, migrants and sex workers) show that in 2017, 19 out of 1055 people tested (1.8%) were HCV-positive.

**Generational cohorts with high prevalence**
In 2016, 1106 patients were screened for HCV antibodies (Ab) at the emergency department (ED) of a tertiary hospital in Ghent [34]. The overall prevalence of HCV Ab was 1.9%. There was no significant difference in the prevalence of HCV in the different birth cohorts, and HCV positivity was solely linked to a history of injecting drug use. A second study was performed at the ED of Ziekenhuis Oost-Limburg (ZOL) Genk in 2017 [35]. In total, 2366 patients aged 18–70 years were screened for HCV Ab and HCV ribonucleic acid (RNA). The prevalence was 1.31% for HCV Ab and 0.52% for HCV RNA. Two thirds of the patients were born between 1955 and 1974. HCV Ab positivity was linked to being a PWID, imprisonment, and birth in an high-endemic country with an HCV Ab prevalence > 2.9%.

**Haemophilia patients**
The University Hospital Leuven has one of the largest groups of haemophilia patients in Belgium and is on track to reach the WHO elimination goals, with 11 patients still left untreated of the initial 84 HCV RNA-positive persons eligible for DAA treatment (Frederik Nevens, Kathelijne Peerlinck, September 2018, oral communication, unreferenced).

**Haemodialysis patients**
In the early 1990s, a high prevalence of HCV infection among patients in HD units and nosocomial infections was documented [36, 37]. Since then, strict hygienic measures have been taken to reduce the risk of HCV transmission through HD [38]. The HCV Ab prevalence decreased from 13.5% (1991) to 6.8% (2000) in the Belgian dialysis cohort (n = 1710) in 15 hospitals (p < 0.001) [36]. More recently in 2014, an HCV prevalence of 4.1% in Belgium was described in the multinational, multicentre Dialysis Outcomes and Practice Patterns Study (DOPPS) cohort [15]. Treatment is not always provided to HD patients due to multi-morbidity, which carries a higher risk of drug–drug interactions [39–41].

**Migrants from high-prevalence countries**
As previously described, one Belgian study at the ED of ZOL, Genk confirmed that HCV Ab prevalence was independently associated with coming from a country with high HCV endemicity [35]. According to a report of the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control in 2016, 11.4% of the Belgian adult population was born in a country with high HCV Ab endemicity (HCV Ab prevalence > 1%) [42].

**Patients with advanced liver disease**
Experts from the Belgian Association for Study of the Liver (BASL) together with the Polaris Institute estimated that, in 2014, there were 64,340 (0.57%) HCV-infected patients, including 13,961 (21.7%) in F3 and 7914 (12.3%) in F4 stages in Belgium [43]. An estimation of the number of treated patients can be made using the ‘Farmanet’ data from the National Institute for Health and Disability Insurance. These provided the defined daily dose (DDD) of all antiviral therapies distributed by pharmacies in Belgium for 2015 and 2016 (Table 3) [44]. Assuming that all Belgian patients with hepatitis are treated with antivirals accessed in Belgium, we can estimate a range based on short and long treatment duration of patients treated with reimbursed DAA therapy in 2015 and 2016 by dividing the DDD through the number of days per treatment. Since treatment duration can extend from 84 days to as many as 168 days, which is likely in patients with cirrhosis [45]. Of 21,875 estimated patients with advanced liver disease, only 2323 were treated with DAA therapy in 2015–2016.

**People who inject drugs**
In Belgium, the population size of PWID was estimated using a benchmark multiplier methodology in 2013 [19]. In 2015, there were an estimated 9080 PWID, 47% of whom were engaged in harm reduction efforts, including needle–syringe programs (NSP) and/or opioid substitution therapy (OST) [46]. Overall, 2970 PWID were HCV-infected, with an estimated 160 new HCV infections in 2015.

Currently, there are different screening projects running in Brussels, Antwerp, Liège, and in the province of
The results of these will need to be combined to provide data on the uptake of screening and treatment, and to study the incidence of HCV after introduction of DAA therapy. In a large, multicentre retrospective analysis in 15 hospitals across Belgium, 35 patients on OST and 18 active PWID were treated between 2013 and 2015 with DAA monotherapy [20]. This means <1% of HCV-infected PWID were being treated annually.

Prisoners
There were 11,769 prisoners in 34 Belgian prisons in 2014 [47]. The HCV Ab positivity rate among prisoners in Belgium is estimated to be around 10–15%, according to a retrospective study in one prison in Liège (Mémoire Virginie Minguet 2010–2011; unpublished). In this study, 3710 consecutive prison entrants between 2006 and 2008 were screened for HCV Ab. Of them, 542 (14.6%) tested positive (Table 4). It is difficult to extrapolate these results to other Belgian prisons, since the population can vary from one prison to another.

Transplant recipients
Transplanted patients receive regular follow-up care in a tertiary hospital. At the University Hospital Leuven, 40 patients received a liver transplant due to HCV-related hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) or end-stage liver disease since the beginning of recording in 1989 (Table 5). Of them, only 15 still have HCV infection; seven have multiple comorbidities, seven are living abroad and one is lost to follow up.

Mathematical model
According to the mathematical model that was employed in this study, none of the subgroups added to the model would currently achieve HCV elimination by 2030. With the current treatment rate of 3%, the first subgroups, namely migrants, HD patients and prisoners, would reach HCV elimination by 2056 at the earliest (Table 6). If Belgium is to meet the WHO targets, the average percentage of patients to be treated per year out of the total pool of patients who require treatment needs to increase to 8% (Table 7).

Discussion
The targets set out by WHO for the elimination of viral hepatitis by 2030 are highly ambitious and whether it is possible for each Member State to reach these remains unclear. In Belgium, this study found that more effective efforts are required. BASL recommends targeted screening for the aforementioned key populations [17, 48]. Nevertheless, this is not yet being done in Belgium, notwithstanding

### Table 3 Provisions of defined daily doses of DAA therapy and estimated number of treated patients

|          | 2015 DDD (n) | Total (n) | 2016 DDD (n) | Total (n) | Total DDD (n) | Total (n) |
|----------|-------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|
| SOF      | 107,075     | 637–1275  | 37,075      | 221–441   | 144,150      | 858–1716  |
| SOF/LDV  | 1036        | 6–12      | 21,172      | 126–252   | 22,208       | 132–264   |
| OBV/PTV/r| 8988        | 54–107    | 19,844      | 118–236   | 28,832       | 172–343   |
| Total    | 117,099     | 697–1394  | 78,091      | 465–929   | 195,190      | 1162–2323 |

Abbreviations: DDD defined daily dose; SOF sofosbuvir; LDV ledipasvir; OBV/PTV/r ombitasvir, paritaprevir and ritonavir

### Table 4 Baseline characteristics of HCV Ab-positive prisoners in Liège

| Variable                        | n (%)          | M ± SD        | p-value |
|---------------------------------|----------------|---------------|---------|
| Age (years)                     | Total (M ± SD) | 542           | 35.7 ± 7.37 | 0.29     |
|                                 | Male (M ± SD)  | 460 (84.6%)   | 35.9 ± 7.46 | 0.29     |
|                                 | Female (M ± SD)| 84 (15.4%)    | 35.0 ± 6.85 | 0.29     |
| Ethnicity                       | Western Caucasian | 425 (78.1%)   | –         | –        |
|                                 | North African  | 86 (15.8%)    | –         | –        |
|                                 | Eastern Caucasian | 31 (5.7%)    | –         | –        |
|                                 | Southern African | 2 (0.4%)    | –         | –        |
| Coinfection HIV                 | No             | 515 (94.7%)   | –         | –        |
|                                 | Yes            | 29 (5.3%)     | –         | –        |
| Drug abuse                      | No             | 61 (11.5%)    | –         | –        |
|                                 | Yes            | 471 (88.5%)   | –         | –        |
| Reinfection after previous cure in prison (n = 15) | No | 10 (66.6%) | – | – |
|                                 | Yes            | 5 (33.3%)     | –         | –        |
the serious health and economic burden associated with chronic HCV infection [49]. Despite the efforts that were made to prepare the Belgian Hepatitis C plan, HCV elimination among these groups has not been documented to date, and there is no surveillance available to monitor the elimination of HCV infection [7, 8]. Additionally, DAA treatment has been available since 2015 and, although it is only reimbursed for every patient regardless of the degree of fibrosis since January 2019 [27]. The HCV prevalence in the general Belgian population is low, although based on the limited data available, it seems slightly higher in several subgroups. Therefore, it may be more feasible to approach these smaller populations with a micro-elimination approach. It is less daunting, less complex and less costly than full-scale country-level initiatives [10]. Further, if this micro-elimination strategy initially focuses on patients already in the health system, prevention, screening and treatment interventions can often be provided more easily. Stakeholders who are not traditionally involved with viral hepatitis could be more interested in engaging due to this efficient way of increasing diagnosis and treatment in selected populations.

Addressing micro-elimination in subpopulations

**Children (under the age of 15)**

Worldwide, 5 million children under the age of 15 are chronically infected with HCV [50]. A higher infection prevalence (1.8–5%) is reported in low- and middle-income countries and a lower prevalence is reported (0.05–0.36%) in high-income countries [50, 51]. The primary source of infection among children is mother-to-child transmission [52]. Testing for HCV RNA in children born to mothers with chronic HCV infection is reimbursed in Belgium. Infected infants often experience spontaneous clearance, RNA tests are expensive and there is no safe HCV treatment yet available for children under the age of 3 years. Therefore, experts recommend that children be tested at the age of 18 months or older [53]. There are no recommendations in Belgium yet on the use of DAA therapy in children, nor is there information on the follow up of children with chronic HCV infection.

**Patients who are already in the health-care system**

**Patients with advanced liver disease** In 2017, 46% of all European countries still had a reimbursement restriction requiring a fibrosis stage of ≥F2 [23, 24]. Recently, a consensus statement redefined these fibrosis stages using different diagnostic tools [18]. Treating patients with more severe liver disease (F2–F4) is effective in reducing all-cause and liver-related morbidity and mortality; however,

### Table 5

|                      | Transplant UZ Leuven (n) |
|----------------------|--------------------------|
| Total liver transplants | 1360                     |
| Liver transplantation due to HCV: | 169                     |
| HCC                  | 40                       |
| Decompensated cirrhosis | 129                     |
| Patients still alive  | 66                       |
| Relapse of HCV after transplant | 65                     |
| Treated for HCV relapse | 55                      |
| IFN                  | 21                       |
| DAA                  | 34                       |
| Cured of HCV relapse  | 50                       |
| IFN                  | 16                       |
| DAA                  | 34                       |
| Patients with HCV no longer in follow-up | 15                     |
| Living abroad        | 7                        |
| No treatment (comorbidity) | 7                       |
| Loss to follow-up    | 1                        |

**Table 6** Mathematical modelling results based on current Belgian situation

| POPULATION Subgroup | RESULTS BASED ON CURRENT SITUATION |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------|
|                     | Number of patients to treat at ≥80% of the estimated patient pool in 2030 | Number of patients treated by 2030 | Number of patients left untreated by 2030 | % of patients not treated | Average number of patients treated per year between 2018 and 2030 | The year elimination reached |
| PLHIVa              | 282                                | 92                                | 190                             | 67%                  | 7                    | 2057                     |
| HD patientsa        | 238                                | 78                                | 160                             | 67%                  | 6                    | 2056                     |
| Migrants b          | 14,969                             | 4882                              | 10,087                          | 67%                  | 375                  | 2056                     |
| Patients with advanced liver diseaseb | 17,500                             | 5722                              | 11,778                          | 67%                  | 440                  | 2057                     |
| PWIDb               | 3656                               | 996                               | 2660                            | 73%                  | 77                   | 2314                     |
| Prisonersb          | 1422                               | 465                               | 957                             | 67%                  | 36                   | 2056                     |

**Abbreviations:** HCV hepatitis C virus; HCC hepatocellular carcinoma; IFN interferon; DAA direct-acting antiviral (drug)
it will have less impact on transmission, and treating only these patients will be insufficient to reach the WHO targets [54]. Further, we still need to define when a patient will not benefit from DAA therapy and requires a transplant. Following the EASL guidelines of 2018, a transplantation before HCV treatment is recommended if the patient has decompensated cirrhosis and a MELD score ≥ 18 [65]. Collection of data is necessary to document this success and there is not yet a centralised database of transplanted patients in Belgium. Currently, Belgium is on track to eliminating HCV in this subgroup by 2030.

**Haemophilia patients** The prevalence of HCV infection in haemophilia patients varies by country, reaching as high as 100% in some reports [60]. Up to 20–30% of these patients develop end-stage liver disease or HCC, which has become the most prevalent cancer in this subgroup [61]. Since January 2017, patients without any ongoing high-risk behaviour for acquiring HCV could be treated with a minimal risk of reinfection in Belgium. In Ireland, more than 90% of all haemophilia patients with HCV infection were cured with a DAA regimen. As a result, HCV infection was eradicated in this Irish subgroup in 2016 [62]. At the University Hospital Leuven, elimination has almost been reached, with just 11 patients untreated. However, we should have a monitoring tool to generate these data nationwide.

**Haemodialysis patients** Overall, the HCV Ab prevalence has declined in HD patients, according to the DOPPS cohort [15]. Nevertheless, between 2012 and 2014, only 1.7% of DOPPS patients who were HCV Ab-positive were treated at any point during study follow up, and 35% of facilities used isolation stations for HCV-infected patients, a significant, yet debated, logistical burden [39]. Importantly, HCV Ab-positive patients had higher adjusted rates of mortality (HR = 1.14, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.06–1.23) and time to first hospitalization (HR = 1.10, 95% CI: 1.06–1.15) [40]. Since the introduction of strict hygienic measures, there are only around 297 HCV-infected HD patients remaining in Belgium [15]. Elimination of HCV is feasible in this subgroup as they are under strict follow up, regularly screened for HCV, and have unrestricted access to therapy with DAAs. Furthermore, the availability of a new, well-tolerated pangenotypic treatment regimen will make DAA treatment possible for all HD patients [62]. The elimination of HCV would have the additional advantage of preventing further nosocomial transmission within HD units [63].

**Transplant recipients** There has been a significant decrease in the prevalence of patients receiving a transplant due to evolving antiviral therapy [64]. The new DAA regimens allow treatment of patients with cirrhosis, with a MELD score of up to 18 [65]. Collection of data is necessary to document this success and there is not yet a centralised database of transplanted patients in Belgium.

**HIV-positive patients** A systematic review estimated that there were approximately 2,278,400 HIV/HCV-coinfected persons globally, accounting for an overall coinfection prevalence of 6.2% among PLHIV in 2016 [14]. Since 2017, all HIV/HCV-coinfected patients can be treated with DAA therapy in Belgium. This creates an opportunity to eliminate HCV in this well-defined and well-linked-to-care cohort of patients. However, the effect of DAA therapy on the incidence of HCV among PLHIV has not been thoroughly studied. This remains a concern, as reinfection is not uncommon [66]. We can only speculate that reinfection would be increased in the subgroup compared to the general population in Belgium as we lack data on this subject. Systematic testing has proven to be effective in achieving high rates of HCV diagnoses [67]. All PLHIV in Belgium who engage in sexual risk behaviour and visit an outpatient clinic in an AIDS reference centre should routinely be screened for HCV infection at least once a year [68].

**People potentially at risk due to demographic characteristics**

**Generational cohorts with high prevalence** The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) recommended one-time testing for all persons born between 1945 and 1965 in the United States of America (USA) [69]. The CDC estimated that in the USA, 800,000 persons unaware of their HCV infection would be identified

---

**Table 7 Mathematical modelling results-based simulation to reach target**

| SUBGROUP                  | Number of patients needed to treat per year between 2018 and 2030 to reach elimination target* by 2030 |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| PLHIV                     | 21                                                                                                    |
| HD patients               | 18                                                                                                    |
| Migrants                  | 1145                                                                                                  |
| Patients with advanced liver disease | 1346                                                                                                  |
| PWID                      | 281                                                                                                    |
| Prisoners                 | 109                                                                                                    |
| Total                     | 2643                                                                                                  |

*Treatment target was assumed at treating 80% of the HCV-infected population

Abbreviations: PLHIV people living with HIV; HD haemodialysis; PWID people who inject drugs
and more than 120,000 HCV-related deaths would be averted if these persons were linked to appropriate HCV care [70, 71]. Due to the lack of data it is unclear whether this would be comparable to the Belgian population. A study on birth cohort variation in liver cirrhosis between eight European countries calculated that more than 50% of all patients were born between 1955 and 1974, while approximately 70% were born between 1950 and 1979 [6, 72]. In a recent Belgian study conducted at the ED of ZOL Genk, there was no significant difference in the prevalence of HCV Ab in the different birth cohorts. HCV Ab positivity was linked solely to a history of injecting drug use [35]. Targeting males born between 1955 and 1974 in Belgium could be a possible screening approach, though only if we were not able to focus on screening high-risk groups. In general, there remains a lack of prospective, representative studies powered to analyse the distribution of HCV by birth cohort, while correcting for confounders such as risk behaviour.

**Migrants from high-prevalence countries** In 2016, Belgium received 18,710 asylum requests [16]. Of these, 2766 people from Syria requested asylum and 724 people from Russia, both countries with chronic HCV infection rates of > 2.9%. Approximately 100 of those migrants would be chronically infected with HCV. The average HCV Ab prevalence for migrants in Belgium was estimated at 1.8%, meaning 18,607 (9729–32,764) migrants would be HCV Ab-positive. It is difficult to state whether universal screening of migrants for HCV would be cost effective [73]. Models have shown that universal screening would not be cost effective, upon which experts have recommended that migrant populations be screened only if the HCV prevalence is > 2.9% in the country of origin [74]. Currently, there are no real-life data available on the prevalence of HCV infection in, or linkage to care or treatment of HCV-infected, migrants in Belgium.

**People with high-risk behaviour**

**People who inject drugs** In most high-income countries, PWID are at the heart of the ongoing HCV epidemic [75]. To avert future liver disease and new infections, prevention of HCV transmission among PWID is crucial [76]. The Belgian Hepatitis C Plan, BASL and European Association for Study of the Liver (EASL) guidelines recommend screening PWID for HCV infection, yet there is no reimbursement for repeated HCV RNA testing [7, 25, 26, 45]. The European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction has stated that combined OST and high-coverage NSP can reduce HCV incidence by up to 80% [77–81]. The HCV incidence remains high in many settings, particularly in the first several years after a person starts injecting drugs [82–85]. Diagnosis rates have increased through awareness campaigns, screening programmes providing free and anonymous testing to PWID, and training of general practitioners to identify risk factors. Furthermore, moving testing out of hospitals and into addiction clinics, harm reduction programmes and other services targeting PWID, as well as referring them directly to specialists from these settings, have proven to be the best paths to reaching this group effectively [46, 80, 83]. A similar project on outreach and treatment under one roof is currently conducted in Antwerp and Limburg. In a case management study in Limburg, results revealed that some target groups were not reached, such as young opiate injectors who had not yet contacted centres such as CAD Limburg or Free Clinic Antwerp, and injectors using injectable drugs other than heroin [86]. At present, a targeted screening strategy is being conducted only with the help of external funding through projects. Additionally, if DAAs could be prescribed by general practitioners and were available in local pharmacies, the threshold for linkage to care would be lower. Improving harm reduction and scaling up treatment uptake to ≥10% annually is an absolute necessity to reach the targets of WHO [3, 21].

**Prisoners** In prisons, the estimated HCV Ab prevalence is 15.4% in Western Europe, and 20.7% in Eastern Europe [87]. However, these estimates are based on few studies, and could even be an underestimation as was shown in Ukraine [88]. Awareness campaigns and screenings have shown an improvement in the diagnosis rate within prisons. Interventions in France, Italy and Scotland have been successful when prisoners could be treated directly in the prisons [67]. A national plan could be easily implemented by the Ministry of Health in conjunction with the Ministry of Justice. This plan should not only include screening of prisoners but also training of health-care providers in prisons and defining the methodology to access treatment. All prisoners in Belgium have the right to get treated for an HCV infection. However, there is no universal screening procedure yet. For further testing (FibroScan®), prisoners need to be transferred to one of the two prisons with a hospitalisation unit (Liège and Bruges). Low treatment uptake in prison could be explained by difficulties in transfer to the referral centres but also by different reasons (Mémoire Virginie Minguet 2010–2011; unpublished) such as a short length of incarceration and reluctance to take treatment. As all health-care costs are provided by the Federal Department of Justice, large-scale screening and treatment is not a priority. Due to the lack of data, it is not clear how much overlap there is between prisoners and PWID or also the migrant population. This is important to know given that where there is overlap and we can treat all infected prisoners then we can also...
reduce the number of infected individuals in the overlapping risk groups.

**Men who have sex with men** Studies from large cities suggest that HIV-negative MSM are also at risk for HCV infection, especially those on pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) [89–92]. PrEP is effective in reducing the incidence of HIV among people who practise high-risk sexual behaviour, though it does not protect them against other STIs such as HCV. A generalised screening through dried blood spots in Barcelona did not detect any incident HCV in this subgroup. In contrast, high-risk behaviour and the incidence of other STIs suggested that periodic screening could be necessary in MSM [93]. Screening the specific subgroup with high-risk behaviour on PrEP is needed and is currently part of the reimbursement criteria for PrEP medication.

**Mathematical model**

According to the mathematical model that was used in this study, none of the subgroups added to the model would currently achieve HCV elimination by 2030. The focus of the model is ‘treatment’ as since January 2019 all infected patients in Belgium can be treated regardless of fibrosis stage. Nevertheless, we must keep in mind that HCV elimination goals also relate to the diagnosis of the undiagnosed. Several studies on this subject are currently ongoing in Belgium. Therefore, this is not discussed in this article.

**Limitations**

This study is based on grey literature provided by national experts and a scientific literature review. Given the lack of available data, it is not a comprehensive picture of the current situation in Belgium. The population groups mentioned in the study are not uniform. For example, the age of haemophilia patients can greatly influence HCV rates. It is not clear how much overlap there is between the different subgroups described in this study. In the event of an overlap, the treatment of one group may contribute to a reduction in infection in another subgroup.

The model developed for this study serves its purpose: to broadly determine if Belgium is on track to eliminating HCV in specific affected populations. This differs from dynamic transmission models by not taking into account the effects of treatment outcomes with anti-HCV medications or HCV death rates, among other factors. The model assumes an incidence of 2 per 100 person-years and reinfection rate of 2.3% in the PWID population, while due to lack of data, it assumes no additional HCV infections in the other subgroups [94, 95]. Nevertheless, it provides a clear picture of the current situation, which urgently needs to be improved.

**Conclusion**

In Belgium, reliable data are scarce on the subgroups investigated in this study. Many are already in specialist care and, as such, present unique and important opportunities to move toward HCV elimination, given that they are not restricted from treatment. Currently, only those living with haemophilia and transplant recipients are on track for reaching HCV elimination by 2030. In contrast, none of the subgroups added to the model would achieve HCV elimination by 2030 at the current treatment rate. To eliminate HCV, more people must be tested and linked to care, and the average percentage of patients to be treated per year out of the total pool of patients to be treated needs to be fixed at a minimum of 8% of the current patient pool. Removing HCV treatment reimbursement restrictions in January 2019 was a big step forward. In addition, creating a centralised HCV database and constructing a national continuum-of-care figure for each group would be important contributions to improving the current situation. Another challenge is access to DAA therapy. At present, it can be prescribed and initiated only by a gastroenterologist and is available only in a hospital pharmacy. If in the future DAA therapy could be prescribed by other health-care professionals and available in local pharmacies as well, treatment access would improve and patients would be able to receive their medication more conveniently. Ultimately, elimination will be challenging without a vaccine, and therefore adequate prevention measures, including harm reduction for PWID, must be accessible through the country.
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