Abstract—Massive multiple input and multiple output (MIMO) has attracted significant interests in both academia and industry. It has been considered as one of most promising technologies for 5G wireless systems. The large-scale antenna array for base stations naturally becomes the key to deploy the Massive MIMO technologies. In this communication, we present a dual-polarized antenna array with 144 ports for Massive MIMO operating at 3.7 GHz. The proposed array consists of 18 low profile subarrays. Each subarray consists of four single units. Each single antenna unit consists of one vertically polarized port and one horizontally polarized port connected to power splitters, which serve as a feeding network. A stacked patch design is used to construct the single unit with the feeding network, which gives higher gain and lower mutual coupling within the size of a conventional dual-port patch antenna. Simulation results of the proposed single antenna unit, sub-array, and Massive MIMO array are verified by measurement.

Index Terms—Antenna array, dual-polarization, feeding network, Massive multiple input and multiple output (MIMO), MIMO, mutual coupling, subarray.

I. INTRODUCTION

Multiple input and multiple output (MIMO) has been a very important technique for wireless communications, such as 3G, 4G, WiFi, etc. Enormous research has been carried out for MIMO terminal antennas addressing challenges of limited space in handheld devices [1]–[4]. Driven by the development of 5G wireless systems, Massive MIMO recently has attracted significant interests in both academia and industry as it has shown over ten times the spectral efficiency increase than a conventional MIMO under realistic propagation environment conditions and simpler signal processing algorithms [5], [6].

With over 100 antenna ports to be implemented at the base station, Massive MIMO has brought significant challenges. An active multibeam antenna system for 5G Massive MIMO wireless system was designed and implemented in [7], which contained 64 RF channels and 64 elements array being divided between eight PCBs. The dimension of each individual printed circuit board (PCB) was 320 mm × 215 mm (6λ × 4λ, where λ is the wavelength in free space). The antenna array resonated at 5.8 GHz and the impedance bandwidth was 200 MHz. The simulated and measured gain of the antenna array reached 13 and 11 dBi, respectively. A system design by integrating an EM lens with the large antenna array was proposed in [8], which had the capability of focusing the power of any incident plane wave passing through the EM lens to a small focal area of the antenna array, depending on the angle of arrival of the wave. In [9], a practical 2-D active patch antenna array configuration for full dimension MIMO systems was proposed. A patch antenna subarray with 1 × 4 elements had a gain of 11.7 dBi. The MIMO array comprised
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With Rogers RT5880 as an example, the dielectric constant of metal layers andLayers 2, 4, and 6 are dielectric substrate layers. seven stacked layers, as shown in Fig. 1. Layers 1, 3, 5, and 7 are a volume of 648 mm × 648 mm (about 0.17λ). On Layer 3, four metallic coupling strips with length of $l_d = 16.6$ mm are placed under the middle of the space between adjacent patches, and the two open ends of each strip are overlapped by the two adjacent patches. On Layer 7, a feeding network comprised of two T-junction power splitters transfers power from Ports 1 and 2 to the four coupling strips via four bow-tie apertures on Layer 5. The structure layouts on Layers 1, 3, and 5 are symmetric with respect to the x-axis and y-axis. The size of the antenna unit is 81 mm × 86 mm (about $\lambda \times \lambda$).

The measured mutual coupling of two equal antennas in [10]–[14] showed a low-profile antenna structure. The patch fed by orthogonal slots in [11]–[13] demonstrated a dual-polarization with high isolation. The capacitive coupling feeding was further explored in [14]. However, those antenna structure can be expressed by the same equivalent circuit given in Fig. 3. The horizontal or vertical polarization modes of four patches are created independently by Ports 1 or 2.

The symmetric layouts on Layers 1, 3, and 5 are beneficial to the two bow-tie apertures on the ground, and then coupled to the metallic coupling strips above the apertures. With the symmetric structure, the maximum magnitude of the coupled current and zero magnitude of $E$-field occur at the middle of each coupling strip, while $E$-fields around both open ends of each coupling strip have identical amplitudes but opposite phases. Each set of the splitter end, aperture and coupling strip acts as a transformer balun that drives the two radiating patches by capacitive coupling at the two ends of coupling strip overlapped by the patches. Therefore, Port 1 excites stable and in-phase currents along the $x$-axis, as shown in Fig. 2. The horizontal or vertical polarization $TM_{01}$ modes of four patches are created independently by Ports 1 or 2.

II. PROPOSED SINGLE ANTENNA UNIT

A. Structure and Operating Principle

The proposed single antenna unit utilizes a planar structure with seven stacked layers, as shown in Fig. 1. Layers 1, 3, 5, and 7 are metal layers and Layers 2, 4, and 6 are dielectric substrate layers. With Rogers RT5880 as an example, the dielectric constant is $\epsilon_r = 2.2$ and loss tangent is $\delta = 0.0009$. The single antenna unit operating at 3.7 GHz with height of $h_1 = (1/2)h_2 = h_3 = 0.762$ mm is optimized in CST Microwave Studio. On Layer 1, four square radiating patches with a side length of $l_1 = 23.7$ mm are arranged in a $2 \times 2$ matrix form. The space between the edges of adjacent patches is $s_e = 13.7$ mm (about 0.17λ). On Layer 3, four metallic coupling strips with length $l_d = 16.6$ mm are placed under the middle of the space between adjacent patches, and the two open ends of each strip are overlapped by the two adjacent patches. On Layer 7, a feeding network comprised of two T-junction power splitters transfers power from Ports 1 and 2 to the four coupling strips via four bow-tie apertures on Layer 5. The structure layouts on Layers 1, 3, and 5 are symmetric with respect to the x-axis and y-axis. The size of the antenna unit is 81 mm × 86 mm (about $\lambda \times \lambda$).

The symmetric layouts on Layers 1, 3, and 5 are beneficial to increase the linearity of each polarization. This is because when one polarization mode is excited, the amplitude of $E$-field at the midpoint of the nonradiating edge of each patch, just above the coupling strip end for the other polarization mode, is almost zero due to the symmetric layouts. Furthermore, the patches and feeding network are separated by the ground plane. The last segments of the horizontal and vertical power splitters are orthogonal, which leads to the low mutual coupling level between Ports 1 and 2. Each polarization mode of the proposed antenna unit are operating independently, and therefore, it can be expressed by the same equivalent circuit given in Fig. 3. The four same $L_aC_aR_a$ shunt circuits represent the four same radiating patches operating around the resonant frequency. Components $L_{c1}$, $L_{c2}$, $M$ (magnetic coupling between $L_{c1}$ and $L_{c2}$), $C_e$, and $C_c$ stand for the transformer balun and the capacitive coupling between coupling strip and patches.

$L_{c2}$ in the equivalent circuit represents the corresponding coupling strip, and the middle of $L_{c2}$ is a virtual ground. Hence, the $L_aC_aR_a$ shunt circuit is loaded with the series circuit comprised of $C_e$ and half of $L_{c2}$. Because the series resonant frequency of $L_{c2}C_c/2$ is...
far higher than the shunt resonant frequency of $L_aC_a$, the $L_c2C_c/2$ series circuit presents capacitive character around the shunt resonant frequency of $L_aC_a$. As a result, resonant frequency of the antenna unit can be slightly decreased and controlled by adjusting $C_c$ and $L_c2$, which is corresponding to controlling the length of the coupling strip, $l_d$.

The other advantage of the symmetric layout of the proposed antenna unit is the ability to eliminate the mutual coupling between the horizontal and vertical polarization modes. As shown in Fig. 2, even if there are mutual coupling signals on the two ends of each coupling strip exciting the vertical polarization mode when the horizontal polarization mode is being excited, the signals are same amplitude and in-phase because of the symmetric layout; thus, each of the vertical coupling strip is excited by the horizontal mutual coupling signals in common mode. It can be seen in Fig. 3 that the common mode mutual coupling signals, labeling as $+$, cannot generate current in $L_c2$, so that the mutual coupling of the horizontal mode is eliminated in the vertical coupling strip. In the same way, when the vertical polarization mode is being excited, each of the horizontal coupling strips is excited on its both ends by the vertical mutual coupling signals in common mode, and the mutual coupling of the vertical mode is eliminated in the horizontal coupling strip. This is a positive feature to enhance the isolation for the dual-polarization.

**B. Simulated and Measured Results**

Without any via inside the stacked structure, the proposed antenna unit is easy to be fabricated by using multilayer planar structures. A prototype of the proposed single antenna unit is fabricated in a multilayer stacked patch, as shown in Fig. 4.

The simulated S-parameters are compared with measured ones in Fig. 5. The measured $-10$ dB return loss of the antenna unit is from 3.65 to 3.81 GHz with impedance bandwidths of 160 MHz, which matches well with the simulations. It can be seen that the simulated and measured $S_{21}$ between two exciting ports are less than $-33$ and $-31$ dB, respectively, which verifies the mutual coupling level between the two polarization exciting ports is very low. This low mutual coupling benefits from the symmetric and multilayer structure of the antenna unit, where the two excited linear polarization modes are orthogonal. Additionally, the mutual coupling between the two power splitters with matching terminators is also given in Fig. 5, and is less than $-45$ dB, which supports the low mutual coupling between the two ports.

Fig. 6 gives the measured and simulated radiation patterns at 3.7 GHz. The radiation patterns of horizontal and vertical linear polarization are very similar. For the horizontal linear polarization, the measured half power beamwidths are about $52^\circ$ and $55^\circ$ in $E$-plane and $H$-plane, respectively. For the vertical linear polarization, the measured ones are about $54^\circ$ and $53^\circ$ in $E$-plane and $H$-plane, respectively. For both the polarization, the front–back ratios are greater than 15 dB, and the gain of cross-polarization are 25 dB lower than the copolarization at the boresight. The realized gains at boresight with respect to frequency in the copolarized and cross-polarized planes are given in Fig. 7. The measured gains of both linear polarization are about 10.5 dBi at 3.7 GHz. From 3.65 to 3.84 GHz, copolarized gains are higher than 10 dBi, and are 23 dB higher than cross-polarized ones. It verifies that the antenna unit has good linearity in both polarization.

**III. PROPOSED SUBARRAY AND MASSIVE MIMO ANTENNA ARRAY**

**A. Subarray With $1 \times 4$ Antenna Units**

In order to flexibly build a large-scale array for the Massive MIMO, a subarray with $1 \times 4$ antenna units is designed by arranging the proposed antenna units along $x$-axis directly, as shown in Fig. 8, occupying a size of $324 \text{ mm} \times 86 \text{ mm}$ ($4\lambda \times \lambda$). There are four ports in the subarray for each linear polarization mode. The space between two adjacent patches is only $2s_{xy} = 20 \text{ mm}$ (e.g., $0.25\lambda$).

The simulated and measured S-parameters of a subarray are shown in Fig. 9. Compared with the simulated return loss in Fig. 5, it is found that the center frequencies of the subarray are slightly lower than those of the single antenna unit, because the equivalent area of substrate for each unit in the sub-array becomes slightly larger. As shown in Fig. 9(a), the $-10$ dB bandwidth of 160 MHz is achieved for all ports, which is from 3.65 to 3.81 GHz. The representative measured mutual coupling levels between ports are given
in Fig. 9(b). It can be seen that the maximum mutual coupling levels occur between any adjacent ports and are less than $-32$ dB within the bandwidth. The mutual coupling levels between nonadjacent ports are less than $-40$ dB.

As shown in Fig. 10, the subarray features a directional radiation pattern with maximum realized gain of 16.7 dBi along the normal of the subarray face when the four ports for the same linear polarization are excited in-phase. For horizontal linear polarization, the $zx$-plane is the $E$-plane and $yz$-plane is the $H$-plane, while for vertical
linear polarization, $yz$-plane is the $E$-plane and $zx$-plane is the $H$-plane. Because the antenna units are arrange along $x$-axis, the half power beamwidths for both polarization modes on the $zx$-plane are about 13°, much narrower than the single antenna unit. While the half power beamwidths of both polarization modes on $yz$-plane are about 53°, which is same as the single antenna unit.

B. Mutual Coupling Analysis

The subarrays can be arranged flexibly in different manners to build a larger array, for example, in matrix to build a planar array. In order to efficiently analyze the mutual coupling between different antenna units, a simple planar array with $2 \times 2$ units are modeled and studied, as shown in Fig. 11(a). The planar array with ports labeled from P1 to P8 is simulated with two kinds of boundary conditions, e.g., open boundary and periodic boundary. The mutual coupling levels obtained in the two conditions can be treated as the approximated ones between adjacent antenna units arranged along the edge and in the inner area of a large array.

The mutual coupling levels of this planar array are given in Fig. 11(b). Almost every mutual coupling level under periodic boundary conditions is higher than its corresponding one under open boundary conditions. It is reasonable to assume these two curves as the upper and lower bounds for the real mutual coupling level between the same ports.

Based on the exciting polarization and antenna unit arrangement, the mutual coupling between different ports is categorized into four classes.

1) Class-A mutual coupling—the highest two levels are $S_{13}$ and $S_{26}$. As shown in Fig. 11(a), the highest mutual coupling occurs between the ports exciting the same polarization in the two adjacent antenna units along the excited polarization direction. The mutual coupling level is ranging from $-35$ to $-25$ dB.

2) Class-B mutual coupling—the second high mutual coupling levels include $S_{15}$ and $S_{24}$ and occurs between the ports exciting the same polarization and belonging to the antenna units arranged perpendicularly to the excited polarization direction, ranging from $-40$ to $-30$ dB.

3) Class-C mutual coupling—this kind of mutual coupling level occurs between the ports exciting the same polarization and belonging to the antenna units arranged diagonally, including $S_{17}$ and $S_{28}$, ranging from $-50$ to $-35$ dB.

4) Class-D mutual coupling—the lowest mutual coupling levels include $S_{14}$, $S_{16}$, and $S_{18}$ and occur between the ports exciting different polarization and belonging to different antenna units. The mutual coupling level is always less than $-40$ dB.

C. Proposed Massive MIMO Antenna Array

For the Massive MIMO BS system, an array configuration providing 18 independent beams is fabricated, as shown in Fig. 12(a). The array configuration is based on the Turning Torso building architecture, consisting of three stacked stages of orthohexagonal wall with a progressive twisting angle of 20° between adjacent stages. Each stage is composed of six subarrays. Therefore, 18 subarrays are distributed around the whole circumference, the radius of which is about $4\lambda$. The configuration reduces the radial size of the array by increasing the longitudinal vertical size of the stack. The whole array has a volume of $648 \text{ mm} \times 648 \text{ mm} \times 258 \text{ mm}$ (about $8\lambda \times 8\lambda \times 3\lambda$), which consists of 288 patches and 144 ports.

The three stacked stages are labeled A, B, and C, in which there are totally 24 antenna units labeled with the sequential number from 1 to 24 in order to facilitate the following mutual coupling analysis. Extensive measurement is carried out to study the mutual coupling between antenna units belonging to the different subarrays. For mutual coupling between antenna units at different subarrays, it
is found that the relatively high mutual coupling levels occur between the adjacent antenna units, which can be divided into five types of unit pairs. The vertically adjacent pairs of unit B1 and C24, B2 and C1, B3 and C2, and B4 and C3, and horizontally adjacent pair of unit C1 and C24 can stand for the five types. The measured mutual coupling of the five types is given in Fig. 12(b) as $S^H_n$ (or $V_n$), in which the superscript $n$ sequentially indicates the five types of unit pairs, and $H$ (or $V$) indicates the mutual coupling between the horizontal (or vertical) polarization ports of the unit pairs. The maximum mutual coupling occurs between vertical polarization ports of unit B2 and C1, and is less than $-35$ dB over the operating bandwidth, which is less than the mutual coupling between units in the same subarray and Class-A mutual coupling analyzed in Section III. This is because the adjacent subarrays are not in the same plane.

IV. CONCLUSION

In this communication, a compact dual-polarized antenna with four radiating square patches has been designed with low mutual coupling. The mutual coupling between different ports were analyzed including ports exciting different polarization modes in the same antenna unit, and ports in different antenna units in the same and different subarrays. An array configuration containing 18 subarrays was constructed for practical considerations. With the closely placed adjacent patches and compact array configuration, the proposed Massive MIMO array only occupied a volume of about $8\lambda \times 8\lambda \times 3\lambda$ and offered a maximum mutual coupling lower than $-35$ dB between any two ports in the array. The proposed array could be a strong contender for the small cell base station deployment in the urban area with high-density buildings for 5G wireless systems.
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