Sugammadex: clinical development and practical use
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Sugammadex is belonging to a new class of drugs: the selective relaxant binding agents. Sugammadex can reverse residual paralysis by encapsulating free circulating non depolarizing muscle relaxants. The mains advantages of sugammadex when compared with conventional anticholinesterase agents are a much faster recovery time and the unique ability, for the first time, to reverse rapidly and efficiently deep levels of neuromuscular blockade. However it only works for reversal of rocuronium or vecuronium-induced neuromuscular blockade. When administered 3 min after rocuronium the use of a large dose (16 mg/kg) can even reverse rocuronium significantly faster than the spontaneous recovery after succinylcholine. (Korean J Anesthesiol 2013; 65: 495-500)
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Introduction

Residual effects of neuromuscular block are now well identified. They can induce unpleasant effects such as muscle weakness but they can also have significant clinical consequences and can cause critical respiratory events (CRE) [1,2]. Even 2 hours after a single bolus dose of any intermediate duration of action non depolarizing muscle relaxant (NDMR), one third of the patients have a train of four (TOF) less than 0.9 [3]. Until recently, the action of NDMR could only be reversed by anticholinesterase drugs which temporarily inactivate acetylcholinesterase and increase the amounts of acetylcholine at the postsynaptic membrane. However their use has several pitfalls due to their mescarinic effects, their relatively slow onset or the inability to reverse deep levels of neuromuscular block [4]. The release of Sugammadex since 2009 in Europe after its approval in July 2008 provides a new approach in the management of neuromuscular blockade during surgery and the prevention of residual paralysis at the end of the case.

Pharmacological Properties of Sugammadex

Cyclodextrins comprise a family of cyclic oligosaccharides, which have been already used in anesthesia to formulate different agents used in anesthesia including propofol, etomidate, bupivacaine, sufentanil or intranasal midazolam. Sugammadex is a modified γ-cyclodextrin specifically designed to encapsulate rocuronium and chemically similar aminosteroidal muscle relaxants such as vecuronium [5]. The underlying mechanism of action is new and differs completely from that of acetylcholine esterase inhibitors. When sugammadex is introduced in blood, the free molecules of rocuronium in plasma which are in equi
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When given at reappearance of T2, recovery of a 0.9 TOF ratio after anesthesia maintained with halogenated agents developed to antagonize rocuronium-induced block; it is also known to displace rocuronium or vecuronium from sugammadex. Many studies have confirmed the efficiency of anticholinesterase agents. Baillard et al. [18] have clearly demonstrated that the rate of residual paralysis in the recovery room had very significantly decreased over 10 years by routine use on monitoring of neuromuscular block in association with administration of neostigmine when residual paralysis was detected. The rate of residual blockade as defined as a TOF ratio < 0.9 decreased from 62 to 3%, confirming the benefit of reversal in routine anesthetic practice. In the same time, Arbous et al. [19] by studying the morbidity and mortality rate in more than 850,000 patients in Holland was able to demonstrate that the use of reversal agents at the end of surgery to prevent residual paralysis, when long acting NMBA were used. For over 40 years anticholinesterases have been widely used to reverse neuromuscular block at the end of the case, the most commonly employed being neostigmine.

Initial studies in adult patients have confirmed that sugammadex, administered at reappearance of T2 of the TOF reversed 0.6 mg/kg rocuronium-induced neuromuscular block in a dose-dependent manner. At doses of sugammadex at or above 2.0 mg/kg recovery occurred within 3 min without any sign of recruration [8-10]. When compared with neostigmine for reversal of neuromuscular block at reappearance of 4 TOF responses it has been shown that a 0.90 TOF ratio was obtained in approximately 2 min with sugammadex compared to a time of 17 min using neostigmine [11]. Moreover, 2–4 mg/kg sugammadex when given at reappearance of T2 in prolonged rocuronium-induced block (> 2 h) effectively reversed rocuronium [12]. It was also shown that there are no differences in time taken to reach a 0.9 TOF ratio after anesthesia maintained with halogenated agents when compared with propofol [13]. Although sugammadex was developed to antagonize rocuronium-induced block; it is also effective in reversing 0.1 mg/kg vecuronium-induced block [14]. When given at reappearance of T2, recovery of a 0.9 TOF ratio was obtained in 2.3 min and 1.5 min following 2.0 and 4.0 mg/kg respectively [15].

During deep level of block (less than 2 responses at the TOF), neither rocuronium nor vecuronium can be reversed satisfactorily within a short period of time using neostigmine. Profound neuromuscular block (Post-tetanic count: 1 or 2) can be rapidly and safely reversed with sugammadex in humans. With 4 or 8 mg/kg, a 0.9 TOF ratio could be obtained in 3.3 min (range 2.2–4.7 min) and 1.5 min (1.0–2.1 min) respectively [10]. There are situations in which deep block must be reversed very rapidly, for example when tracheal intubation has failed. When given, 3 min after 1.2 mg/kg rocuronium, 16 mg/kg sugammadex can completely reverse the block in less than 3 min [16]. In this setting, recovery with sugammadex is significantly faster than spontaneous recovery from succinylcholine.

Sugammadex Versus Neostigmine Is It Worth It?

In 1954, Beecher and Todd [17] suggested that the use of NMBA could significantly increase the mortality rate during general anesthesia. These findings were due to the non routine use of controlled ventilation in these patients but also to residual paralysis and likely CRE after surgery. Therefore, in the early 60 s Cecil Gray suggested the routine administration of 5 mg neostigmine at the end of surgery to prevent residual paralysis, when long acting NMBA were used. For over 40 years anticholinesterases have been widely used to reverse neuromuscular block at the end of the case, the most commonly employed being neostigmine.

Sugammadex selectively reverses steroidal neuromuscular blockade (NMBA), particularly rocuronium but also vecuronium. Its selectivity for steroidal NMBA over atracurium or succinylcholine is due to the size of its inner cavity and its structural complementarity with the right hydrophobic steroidal skeleton. It does not have any affinity for more than 40 drugs that may be used during anesthesia (hypnotics, analgesics, antibiotics, cardiovascular drugs). Affinity for cortisone, hydrocortisone, aldosterone has been extensively studied because sugammadex binds strongly to steroidal NMBA, affinity is 120 fold lower than for rocuronium. Affinity for atropine, verapamil, ketamine is 400 to 700 fold lower than for rocuronium. Among many molecules studied, toremifene and flucloxacillin are the only molecules known to displace rocuronium or vecuronium from sugammadex.

When given at reappearance of T2, recovery of a 0.9 TOF ratio was obtained in approximately 2 min with sugammadex compared to a time of 17 min using neostigmine [11]. Moreover, 2–4 mg/kg sugammadex when given at reappearance of T2 in prolonged rocuronium-induced block (> 2 h) effectively reversed rocuronium [12]. It was also shown that there are no differences in time taken to reach a 0.9 TOF ratio after anesthesia maintained with halogenated agents when compared with propofol [13]. Although sugammadex was developed to antagonize rocuronium-induced block; it is also effective in reversing 0.1 mg/kg vecuronium-induced block [14]. When given at reappearance of T2, recovery of a 0.9 TOF ratio was obtained in 2.3 min and 1.5 min following 2.0 and 4.0 mg/kg respectively [15].

During deep level of block (less than 2 responses at the TOF), neither rocuronium nor vecuronium can be reversed satisfactorily within a short period of time using neostigmine. Profound neuromuscular block (Post-tetanic count: 1 or 2) can be rapidly and safely reversed with sugammadex in humans. With 4 or 8 mg/kg, a 0.9 TOF ratio could be obtained in 3.3 min (range 2.2–4.7 min) and 1.5 min (1.0–2.1 min) respectively [10]. There are situations in which deep block must be reversed very rapidly, for example when tracheal intubation has failed. When given, 3 min after 1.2 mg/kg rocuronium, 16 mg/kg sugammadex can completely reverse the block in less than 3 min [16]. In this setting, recovery with sugammadex is significantly faster than spontaneous recovery from succinylcholine.
and hypotension. The concomitant use of atropine induces its own side effects such as tachycardia, dry mouth and blurred vision. Therefore neostigmine may be contraindicated in patients with cardiovascular disease or severe asthma. A less evident limitation of neostigmine is its relatively slow onset of action. Increasing doses to shorten its onset is not a valuable option because neostigmine exhibits a ceiling effect for doses greater than 70 μg/kg because of the limited amount of acetylcholine at the neuromuscular junction. Moreover, recovery of shallow levels of neuromuscular block (at least 2 responses at the TOF at the adductor pollicis) following neostigmine is not as fast as usually thought. Kopman had demonstrated that when neostigmine was given during shallow rocuronium or cisatracurium-induced neuromuscular block at 2 responses at the TOF, the TOF ratio attained 0.76 and 0.72 respectively 10 min after administration [20]. These findings were confirmed by Blobner et al. [21] who have demonstrated that the median time to reach a 0.9 TOF ratio following neostigmine administered after reappearance of 2 twitches was 18.5 min. One major issue was the large interindividual variability; even 60 min after neostigmine administration less than 90% of the patients had reached a 0.9 TOF ratio. These findings have clinical consequences because it is now fully admitted that TOF ratios less than 0.9 are associated with adverse respiratory events, including reduced upper airway volumes, airway obstruction, hypoxemia events, and postoperative pulmonary complications [1]. The upper airway muscles are particularly sensitive to the effects of NDMR [22]. For example, upper esophageal tone is decreased and the incidence of aspiration is increased for TOF ratios less than 0.9 [23]. After giving neostigmine, there is a risk for the anesthetist to feel overconfident and to extubate the patient whereas a 0.9 TOF ratio has not been yet reached.

In the elderly patients, the time needed to a 0.9 TOF ratio does not differ very much (2.9 min) when compared with younger patients. As for any NMBA, this very mild slower onset of action in the elderly could be due to circulatory factors such as altered muscle perfusion or decreased cardiac output [24]. There are few data in pediatric patients. Plaud et al. [25] have demonstrated that sugammadex could be used safely at a dose of 2 mg/kg and that recovery times were similar in children and adolescents when compared with adults. Although there was a small number of infants studied, recovery time to obtain a 0.9 TOF ratio, after sugammadex, was rapid ranging from 0.6 to 3.7 min.

There is another major limitation of neostigmine; it is efficient only after beginning of spontaneous recovery. Therefore, there has always be an unsatisfied need for a reversal agent that can rapidly reverse neuromuscular block regardless of its depth. Jones et al. [26] compared the efficacy of sugammadex versus neostigmine for reversal of deep level of rocuronium-induced paralysis. Sugammadex or neostigmine was given at reappearance of 1 to 2 responses at the PTC when no responses at the TOF at the adductor pollicis could be detected. A 0.9 TOF ratio was attained in 2.9 min with sugammadex versus 50.4 min in patients receiving neostigmine — glycopyrrolate. The most important finding was the reproducibility and the small range when sugammadex is given, 97% of patients receiving sugammadex had a TOF ratio above 0.9 within 5 min of administration, whereas a large number of patients receiving neostigmine did not recover until 30–60 min and 23% did not recover to a 0.9 TOF ratio until more than 60 min (Fig. 1). These results were confirmed by other studies, which have also confirmed the ineffectiveness of neostigmine for the reversal in this setting. Sabo et al. [27] found that after reversal at 1 or more PTC, a 0.9 TOF ratio was reached at or before extubation in 96% of patients receiving sugammadex versus 39.5% of patients who received neostigmine.

This ability to reverse very rapidly and reliably intense neuromuscular block provides the opportunity to maintain it until the complete end of the procedure. There are clinical situations where the surgeon needs complete relaxation of the patient until the end of the case (major abdominal or thoracic surgery, laparoscopic surgery) and where the anesthetist is reluctant to provide full paralysis because it will delay significantly recovery and turn over of the patients in the operating room. Now it is possible to maintain paralysis of the diaphragm and the abdominal wall muscles which are resistant to the effects of NMB [28-30] when compared with peripheral muscles such as the adductor pollicis until the very-end of the procedure. However in this kind of situation, the anesthetist should remember that the requested dose of sugammadex will be 4 and not 2 mg/kg sugammadex. Therefore, the need for monitoring remains important since it is the only objective manner to follow evolution of deep neuromuscular block and decide of the dose of sugammadex.

---

![Fig. 1. Time to recovery of a 0.9 TOF ratio from deep rocuronium-induced neuromuscular blockade after administration of sugammadex or neostigmine (adapted from Ref 26). TOF: train of four.](image-url)
that need to be administered (2 or 4 mg/kg) at the end of the case.

To summarize, the main advantages of sugammadex, when compared with neostigmine or edrophonium, are a significantly faster and more predictable recovery and the possibility for the first time to reverse efficiently and very rapidly deep levels of neuromuscular block. Moreover, the use of inhalation anesthetics which usually prolong recovery times have no impact on the efficacy of sugammadex.

**Side Effects**

Because sugammadex does not act as neostigmine or edrophonium, by inhibition of acetylcholinesterase and indirect action on receptors, but by encapsulation in the plasma, it is not expected to have such side effects than anticholinesterase agents. Most of the related side effects observed in phase II and III studies were unspecific including hypotension, movement coughing, dry mouth or nausea. Prolongation of the corrected QT interval have been described but with the same rate than in the placebo group. This can be observed with several anesthetic agents; therefore its signification was highly questionable. Dahl et al. [31] have confirmed the lack of cardiovascular effects of both 2 and 4 mg/kg sugammadex in patients with cardiovascular disease undergoing non cardiac surgery.

The imprint of sugammadex has been recently supplemented with the notification of eventual longer clotting time in the first minutes following its administration without any documented clinical consequences. In an observational study, Raft et al. [32] investigated before, 1 hour after sugammadex administration and on the next day: clinical bleeding, hemoglobin concentration, hematocrit, activated partial thromboplastin time (aPTT) and prothrombin time (PT) in 142 patients scheduled for major abdominal cancer surgery and at risk of surgical bleeding. They did not find any significant differences between the control group (no sugammadex) and the groups receiving either 2 or 4 mg/kg sugammadex in terms of clinical bleeding. Its administration was not associated with a longer clotting time neither decreased hemoglobin concentration.

Any NMBA can cause anaphylactic reaction because quaternary ammonium ions are suggested to be the allergenic determinants in NMBA. In most of the case cross reactivity can be observed even between steroidal and benzylisoquinoline NMBA [33]. The use of sugammadex to capture rocuronium and to be an adjunct in the management of rocuronium-induced anaphylactic complication has been suggested. There are a few clinical cases where sustained hemodynamic improvement (increase in blood pressure and normalization of heart rate) was observed during rocuronium anaphylactic event, after administration of sugammadex. Baldo [34] has suggested that sugammadex could eventually interact with mast cells. However there is not yet enough scientific evidence to recommend sugammadex as the treatment of choice during anaphylactic reaction due to steroidal NMBA.

**Will Sugammadex Change Our Practice of Anesthesia?**

NMBA are used routinely for many surgical procedures because they provide adequate abdominal muscular relaxation. Some authors have suggested that NMBA do not need to be used routinely for example during retroperitoneal or retropubic surgery. A prospective controlled study has clearly demonstrated that NMBA (vecuronium) decrease very significantly the rate of unacceptable conditions in patients undergoing retropubic surgery even if halogenated agents were used for maintenance of anesthesia. Moreover the surgeons found that abdominal muscle relaxation as estimated by clinical judgement increase with increasing doses of vécuronium [35]. The diaphragm and the abdominal wall muscles are the most resistant muscles of the body to NMBA. In some case the surgeon could complain about the intensity of the block because the diaphragm has already started its recovery or the patient is coughing whereas the peripheral muscles such as the adductor pollicis were still fully paralyzed with no response at the TOF at the adductor pollicis [29,36]. Up to know anesthesiologists have been rather reluctant to use these deep levels of neuromuscular block up to the very end of the surgical procedure because until now it was impossible to reverse deep neuromuscular block. This kind of situation should not be a problem anymore because it will be possible to fully paralyze the diaphragm and the abdominal wall muscles with greater doses of steroidal NMBA and to maintain this deep block until the closure or the removal of the last device during laparoscopic surgery.

Now that anesthesiologists have available many drugs with a short offset (desflurane, sevolurane, propofol, remifentanil) it will also be possible to have a very precise control of neuromuscular block when steroidal NMBA are used to maintain relaxation and to obtain, for the first time, a rapid and reliable recovery from deep neuromuscular block.

**Conclusion**

Sugammadex is a very exciting drug because, for the first time, we have a molecule that can reverse easily and rapidly any level of vecuronium or rocuronium-induced neuromuscular block when given at the appropriate dose. Moreover, the reversal time is greatly reproducible from a patient to an other. The use of sugammadex could make anesthesia much easier and safer. It would become possible to reverse the block exactly when...
needed and no residual paralysis should be observed anymore in the recovery room. Based on the studies, it is recommended to use 2.0 mg/kg for reversing a shallow rocuronium block. Deep rocuronium-induced block can be easily and rapidly reversed with 4 mg/kg sugammadex. This dose is not associated with any recurrence of block. A dose of 16 mg/kg can efficiently and rapidly reverses rocuronium 3 min after its administration (Fig. 2). It is now obvious that introduction of sugammadex into clinical practice has increased our therapeutic options. Should we withdraw neostigmine from our clinical use? Certainly not, because it is the only reversal agent acting against residual paralysis induced by benzylisoquinoline NDMR. Moreover, it use can still be discussed for the low levels of residual paralysis such as a TOF ratio above 0.4 [37].
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