Direct observation and simultaneous use of linear and quadratic electro-optical effects
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Abstract
We report on the direct observation and simultaneous use of the linear and quadratic electro-optical effect and propose a method by which higher-order susceptibilities of electro-optical materials can be determined. The evaluation is based on the separation of the second- and third-order susceptibilities and the experimental technique uses a slot waveguide ring resonator fabricated in integrated photonic circuit technology, which is embedded by a guest-host polymer system consisting of the azobenzene dye Disperse Red 1 in a poly(methyl methacrylate) matrix as an active electro-optical material. The contribution of both effects on the electro-optical response under the influence of static and time-varying electrical fields is investigated. We show that the quadratic electro-optical effect has a significant influence on the overall electro-optical response even with acentric molecular orientated molecules. Our findings have important implications for developing electro-optical devices based on polymer-filled slot waveguides and give rise to advanced photonic circuits.
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1. Introduction

Silicon-organic hybrid (SOH) photonics have received massive research interest because they combine the advantages of well-established silicon-on-insulator (SOI) technology with that of highly efficient electro-optical (EO) polymers [1]. In recent years, slot waveguides have pushed the hybrid integration of EO polymers in mature SOI technology, since they allow a large overlap of the optical and electrical field inside the polymer-cladding. Such EO polymers typically rely on either the linear EO effect [2] or on the quadratic EO effect [3].

SOH-based modulators have shown a lower signal chirp and therefore better signal linearity compared to modulators employing the plasma dispersion effect [4]. Moreover, SOH modulators are extremely energy-efficient in terms of energy per-bit consumption [5] and can overcome limitations of current modulators based on the plasma dispersion effect with regards to speed, noise and power consumption [6]. This relies on the high values of the electric fields attainable with small electrode separation, able to induce a more effective refractive index change with respect to rejection/removal of charges. In fact, the electric field induces a delocalization of electrons along the conjugated polymer chain and, thus, no carrier transport is necessary, as is required in case of the plasma dispersion effect. The ability to use organic materials in a silicon-on-insulator (SOI)-technology has created significant interest in various fields of science, including but not limited to high-speed modulators [7], tunable optical filters [8], high-precision metrology [9] and frequency combs [10].

However, the hybrid integration of nonlinear optical materials in a SOI technology platform is still a focus of current research. Both effects, the linear and the quadratic EO effect, are the main subjects in this progress and need to
be carefully analyzed. The research to date has tended to focus on the linear EO effect rather than quadratic EO effect [11, 12]. However, both effects are present simultaneously in anisotropic materials and current research has demonstrated that the quadratic EO effect in slot waveguide structures becomes significantly strong [3, 13, 14]. Therefore, current studies may tend to overestimate the linear EO effect because they neglect the quadratic EO contribution. Both EO effects receive great interest for programmable and reconfigurable photonic circuits since they provide different EO responses and, hence, different device concepts can be envisioned. Therefore, the precise analysis of both EO contributions is crucial for the device design.

During the last few decades, several works were published addressing the simultaneous determination of the linear and quadratic EO effect in bulk material, e.g. using the modified Teng–Man technique [15]. These efforts provided a better knowledge of both effects and supported the development of new organic EO materials. In practice, however, it is difficult to obtain reliable information from this type of measurement, as the quadratic EO response is close to the detection limit. Moreover, since high-voltages are necessary to induce an adequate electric field to obtain the quadratic EO effect, voids and air gaps can easily lead to a breakdown and therefore the samples are usually baked at glass transition temperature under mechanical pressure [16], which makes the sample preparation relatively extensive.

This paper is devoted to describe an experimental on-chip measurement technique to determine both effects simultaneously, while sophisticated sample preparation and high poling voltages are avoided. An experimental approach is presented by which higher-order susceptibilities of EO materials can be determined. The evaluation is based on the separation of the second- and third-order susceptibilities and the experimental technique uses a SOH slot waveguide phase shifter implemented in a silicon ring resonator. The scope of the present paper is to set the experimental conditions under which the present technique constitutes a good investigation tool. In addition, the application of both effects for intensity modulation is presented and the conditions to achieve them separately are described.

2. Theory

Linear and quadratic EO effects are simultaneously present in materials with anisotropic molecular order. In isotropic materials, the linear EO effect vanishes and only the quadratic EO effect is observable. A poling procedure is required to obtain an anisotropic molecular order from an isotropic material. There are different poling procedures such as optical poling [17], corona poling [18] and contact poling [19]. However, since the slot waveguide requires an optical transverse electric (TE) field mode to avoid optical losses [20], the nonlinear optical molecules need to be aligned parallel to it in order to achieve a large linear EO effect [21]. Therefore, it is reasonable to employ contact poling, in which the slot waveguide forms the electrodes allowing for a strong electric field parallel to the electric field component of the optical TE-mode [14]. A comprehensive analysis of the poling procedure and implications of the narrow gap of the slot waveguide on the poling efficiency can be found in [11]. However, the EO material is in between the electrodes and exhibits an intrinsic polarization. As a consequence, the molecules are orientated along the electric field breaking the centrosymmetry of the isotropic EO material. To obtain a measurable effect, the EO material is heated up near to its glass transition temperature. However, this state is not stable due to thermal effects and, therefore, the material is cooled down to ambient temperature while the electric field is still applied. This yields a temporal anisotropic molecular order.

The EO effect is usually considered in terms of the change of the optical indicatrix [22]

$$\Delta \left( \frac{1}{n^2} \right)_{ij} = r_{ijk} E_k + R_{ijkl} E_k E_l \Delta \omega$$

(1)

where $r_{ijk}$ and $R_{ijkl}$ are the Pockels coefficient and Kerr coefficient, which describe the linear and quadratic EO effect, respectively. For small changes and anisotropic materials, the refractive index change $\Delta n_i$ along the main direction of the optical indicatrix can be approximated by [23]

$$\Delta n_i \cong -\frac{1}{2} r_{ijk} E_k + R_{ijkl} E_k E_l$$

(2)

which can also be expressed by using the nonlinear optical susceptibility tensor

$$\Delta n_i \cong \frac{1}{n_i} \left( \chi^{(2)}_{ijk} (-\omega; \omega, 0) E_k + \frac{3}{2} \chi^{(3)}_{ijkl} (-\omega; \omega, 0) E_k E_l \right)$$

(3)

Comparing the coefficients in equations (2) and (3) yields the relations

- Figure 1. Calculated refractive index change induced by the linear and quadratic EO effect as a function of the slot width. The quadratic EO effect is inversely proportional to the slot width square. Parameters: $U_{DC} = 1 \text{ V}$, $n_{eop} = 1.71$, $\chi^{(2)} = 6.5 \cdot 10^{-11} \text{ m}^2 \text{ V}^{-2}$, $\chi^{(3)} = 3 \cdot 10^{-19} \text{ m}^2 \text{ V}^{-2}$.
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\[ r_{ijk} = -2\chi^{(2)}(-\omega; \omega, 0) n_i n_j \] (4)

\[ R_{ijkl} = -3\chi^{(3)}(-\omega; \omega, 0, 0) n_i^2 n_j^2 \] (5)

For an EO material in a vertical slot waveguide, the dominant tensor components are represented by \( \chi^{(2)}(-\omega; \omega, 0) \) and \( \chi^{(3)}(-\omega; \omega, 0, 0) \). In this case, the optical and electrical field are orientated along the 3rd axis. Therefore, this case will be considered throughout this work and the notation \( \chi^{(2)} \) and \( \chi^{(3)} \) will be used, for the sake of simplicity. Additionally, we assume an approximately isotropic refractive index of the EO polymer at the absence of an electric field, which will be referred to as \( n_{\text{eop}} \).

One key statement of the present work is that the quadratic EO component \( \chi^{(3)} \) of linear conjugated polymers in slot waveguides is not negligible. As a consequence, it has to be taken into account for the calculation of the linear EO effect \( \chi^{(2)} \) since the quadratic EO effect is obtained in any molecular order. The proportion

\[ \Psi(\%) = \frac{\Delta n_Q}{\Delta n_L + \Delta n_Q} \times 100 \] (6)

gives an estimation of the contribution of the quadratic EO effect on the overall refractive index change. Here, the overall refractive index change is given by the sum of the linear EO effect \( \Delta n_L = \chi^{(2)} E / n_{\text{eop}} \) and quadratic EO effect \( \Delta n_Q = 3\chi^{(3)} E^2 / (2n_{\text{eop}}) \) in the denominator. As an example, we have determined \( \Psi \) using values from [24] and plotted the results in figure 1. It is apparent from this figure that the quadratic EO effect is negligible in bulk material but may contribute 10% to the overall refractive index change in slot waveguides. Therefore, a technique to separate the quadratic EO effect from the linear EO effect directly from the measured on-chip performance is beneficial. In the following, a method to infer \( \chi^{(2)} \) and \( \chi^{(3)} \) from a static EO response is provided.

3. Device fabrication and sample preparation

To validate our theoretical assumption, we employ a silicon ring resonator covered with an EO polymer. Here, a partially slotted ring resonator (PSRR) is used consisting of a slot waveguide phase shifter introduced in the straight part of a racetrack ring. A schematic of the PSRR is shown in figure 2(a). The slot waveguide is connected through doped silicon striploads and tungsten vias to ground-signal-ground (GSG) metal electrodes, as shown in figure 2(b). Vertical silicon slot waveguides have the advantage of a strong overlap of optical and electrical field [25]. Details on the ring resonator geometry and fabrication details can be found in our previous work [8, 26–28]. In this work, we employ a PSRR having a slot waveguide length of \( L_{\text{slot}} = 12 \, \mu\text{m} \) and a slot width of \( s = 150 \, \text{nm} \).

The PSRR is fabricated on a 200 nm SOI wafer in a photonic integrated circuit (PIC) technology at IHP [29]. Here, we have chosen a slot width of 150 nm to be compatible with the PIC technology. After a cleaning procedure with acetone and...
2-propanol, the EO polymer is directly spun onto each chip at 80 rps. We employed the azobenzene dye Disperse Red 1 (DR1) from Sigma Aldrich doped at 10 wt% in poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) [30]. The chemical structures are shown in figure 2(c). This guest-host polymer system is solved in 1.1.2.2-tetrachloethane and filtered through a PTFE-membrane filter with 0.2 µm pores. To remove the solvent after deposition on the chip, we have dried the samples at 70 °C in an oven.

In addition, we have prepared bulk polymer films in order to obtain material properties of PMMA/DR1. Spectroscopic ellipsometry (Sentech SE 850) was carried out to measure the refractive index, which was supported by spectroscopic photometry data (PerkinElmer Lambda 1050). The dispersion is plotted in figure 2(d). Here, the DR1/PMMA thin film was modelled with the Bruggemann effective medium approximation. A Sellmeier model was employed for the non-absorbing PMMA matrix and the Tauc-Lorentz oscillator model for DR1. From figure 2(d), it can be inferred that within the studied wavelength range (optical C-band), the EO effects are off-resonant since it is far away from the first resonance peak.

4. Experiments

4.1. Measurement of higher-order susceptibilities using a slot waveguide ring resonator

The refractive index change $\Delta n$ of the polymer correlates directly to a phase shift in the slot waveguide given by $\Delta \phi = \Delta n \cdot k_0 \cdot \Gamma_{slot}$ [3], where $L_{slot}$ is the slot waveguide length, $k_0 = 2\pi/\lambda$ is the wavenumber and $\Gamma_{slot}$ is the field confinement factor in the slot region. The latter is taken into account to avoid an overestimation of the phase shift $\Delta \phi$ [31]. In principle, it can be defined as the ratio of the time averaged energy flow through the slot region to the time averaged energy flow through the total domain [32]. It can be calculated by [33]

$$\Gamma_{slot} = \frac{\int_{slot} \int \Re\{[E \times H^*] \cdot e_z \} \, dx \, dy}{\int_{total} \int \Re\{[E \times H^*] \cdot e_z \} \, dx \, dy}.$$  \hfill (7)

Here, $E$ and $H$ are the electric and magnetic field vectors, respectively, and $e_z$ is the unit vector in the $z$ direction. The slot region and the total domain are denoted as $slot$ and $total$, respectively. The field confinement factor for the given waveguide geometry is $\Gamma_{slot} \approx 0.2$ according to our simulation study [31, 34]. A more comprehensive description of the aforementioned measurement technique can be found in [3, 14].

Applying a DC voltage $U$ to the slot waveguide leads to an electric field inside the slot by $E = U L_{slot}$. This assumption is valid since the electric field is approximately homogeneous inside the slot. Taking the relation $\Delta \phi/2\pi = \Delta \lambda/\lambda$ into account, the applied voltage leads to a resonance wavelength shift given by

$$\Delta \lambda = \frac{1}{n_{exp}} \left( \chi^{(2)} E + \frac{3}{2} \chi^{(3)} E^2 \right) \cdot L_{slot} \cdot \Gamma_{slot}. \hfill (8)$$

We obtained the wavelength shift $\Delta \lambda$ from the transmission spectra at different DC voltages. The experimental set-up is schematically shown in figure 3. Here, a tunable external cavity laser (Yenista TUNICS T1005-HP) is used in order to measure the wavelength dependent behavior of the ring resonator. The polarization of the laser light was adjusted in such a way that highest transmission is achieved by using a paddle style fiber polarization rotator (Thorlabs FPC031). The light is transmitted through a polarization maintaining single mode fiber and then coupled into the silicon waveguides through a fiber grating coupler. The transmitted light is then coupled by a second grating coupler to a polarization maintaining single mode fiber and then to a photodiode (Thorlabs DET08CFC/M). All measurements were carried out using a temperature-controlled sample holder which was stabilized to 35 °C in order to avoid changes in the transmission due to temperature fluctuation. For active measurements, the GSG electrodes are connected to an electric power source (Keysight Sourcemeter 2400) through tungsten DC probes (Picoprobes A 40A-GSG-150-P). Depending on the experiment, the optical signal is measured with a digital sampling oscilloscope or a digital multimeter.

We have performed two experiments. The first is carried out without the poling procedure to determine the quadratic EO effect. In the second experiment, we poled the EO polymer to obtain a non-centrosymmetric molecular orientation of the nonlinear optical dye, which leads to an increase of the linear EO effect. The poling procedure is shown in figure 4. First, the sample is heated up from ambient temperature $T_a$ (figure 4(a)) to the glass transition temperature $T_g = 110 \degree$C for 30 min (figure 4(b)). This is followed by applying a poling voltage of 7 V to align the dye molecules (figure 4(c)), and then the temperature was rapidly reduced to ambient temperature $T_a$, while the poling voltage was kept on (figure 4(d)). One major issue of organic EO materials is that they suffer from long-term stability due to relaxation processes (figures 4(e) and (f)) [35]. However, with the present technique, we are able to perform the measurement directly after the poling procedure using the same set-up.

In both experiments, we measured the resonance wavelength shift as function of the applied voltage. The results are plotted in figure 5(a). The experimental data in figure 5(a) is then fitted using a polynomial regression model given by $\Delta \lambda = C_1 U + C_2 U^2$. Please note, the coefficient of determination is $R^2 = 0.997$ and the residual sum of squares is $< 8.8716 \cdot 10^5$ for both graphs, reflecting the high accuracy of the least square fit. A static electric field $E = U_{DC} L_{slot}$ was taken into account and equation (8) was compared with our regression model to yield the second- and third-order susceptibility coefficients, which are given by

$$\chi^{(2)} = \frac{n_{exp} C_1}{L_{slot} \Gamma_{slot}}, \hfill (9)$$
From the data in figure 5, we inferred a second-order susceptibility of $\chi^{(2)} = 2.376 \cdot 10^{-13} \text{ m V}^{-1}$ and $\chi^{(3)} = 2.925 \cdot 10^{-19} \text{ m}^2 \text{V}^{-2}$ without poling procedure. After the molecular alignment of the EO dyes through a poling procedure, we observed an increased second-order susceptibility of $\chi^{(2)} = 6.169 \cdot 10^{-12} \text{ m V}^{-1}$, while the third-order susceptibility keeps approximately the same $\chi^{(3)} = 2.846 \cdot 10^{-19} \text{ m}^2 \text{V}^{-2}$, as shown in figure 5(b).

Table 1 summarizes all EO values. Due to the non-optimized poling procedure, the poling efficiency is about 35% compared to the EO coefficient reported in bulk EO polymer films [35]. Polar chromophores like DR1 tend to interfacial interactions with the electrodes and thus do not contribute to poling induced EO activity [11]. Surface/material interfacial effects are magnified in nanoscale slot waveguides, which is also
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Table 1 summarizes all EO values. Due to the non-optimized poling procedure, the poling efficiency is about 35% compared to the EO coefficient reported in bulk EO polymer films [35]. Polar chromophores like DR1 tend to interfacial interactions with the electrodes and thus do not contribute to poling induced EO activity [11]. Surface/material interfacial effects are magnified in nanoscale slot waveguides, which is also
suggested by recent simulation studies [36, 37]. In addition, the time window to conduct the experiment is of importance, since a decay of the linear EO effect is possible due to relaxation processes [35]. In our experiment, we have performed the experiments to observe the linear EO effect right after the poling procedure by simply switching between a high-voltage necessary for the poling procedure to a low-voltage for the conducted experiments with a static as well as time varying electric field.

However, our findings clearly demonstrate the strong impact of the quadratic EO effect on the overall EO response. In particular, according to equation (1) we have evaluated a contribution of the quadratic EO effect to the overall refractive index change of about \( \Psi = 32\% \) after the poling procedure was applied. This finding suggests that the quadratic EO effect is not negligible in narrow slot widths.

4.2. Intensity modulation using the linear and quadratic EO effect

In this section, we show the influence of both EO effects on intensity modulation. Inserting the electric field \( E = E_{DC} + E_m \sin(\omega t) \) into equation (2) yields an expression for the time-varying refractive index change

\[
\Delta n_\omega(\chi^{(2)}, \chi^{(3)}) = \Delta n_0(\chi^{(2)}, \chi^{(3)}) + \Delta n_\omega(\chi^{(2)}, \chi^{(3)}) + \Delta n_0(\chi^{(2)}, \chi^{(3)})
\]

comprising a static refractive index change \( \Delta n_0(\chi^{(2)}, \chi^{(3)}) \), a time-varying refractive index change \( \Delta n_\omega(\chi^{(2)}, \chi^{(3)}) \) due to the quadratic EO effect and a time-varying refractive index change \( \Delta n_\omega(\chi^{(2)}, \chi^{(3)}) \) due to the linear and quadratic EO effect, where

\[
\Delta n_0(\chi^{(2)}, \chi^{(3)}) = \frac{\chi^{(2)}}{n_{eop}} E_{DC} + \frac{3}{2} \frac{\chi^{(3)}}{n_{eop}} E_{DC},
\]

\[
\Delta n_\omega(\chi^{(3)}) = \frac{3}{2} \frac{\chi^{(3)}}{n_{eop}} E_m^2 \sin^2(\omega t),
\]

\[
\Delta n_\omega(\chi^{(2)}, \chi^{(3)}) = \left( \frac{\chi^{(2)}}{n_{eop}} + \frac{3 \chi^{(3)}}{n_{eop}} E_{DC} \right) E_m \sin(\omega t).
\]

Since the static electric field \( E_{DC} \) is fixed, equation (12) is a constant and has no influence on the modulated optical signal. In fact, this term induces an offset of the resonance peak and has to be taken into account to find the operation point, which typically lies in the linear regime of the resonance peak. Equation (13) is solely influenced by the quadratic EO effect and gives a sine-squared signal. Figure 6(a) shows a schematic

---

**Table 1.** EO response due to different conditions.

| Condition                  | \( \chi^{(2)} \) (m V\(^{-1}\)) | \( r \) (pm V\(^{-1}\)) | \( \chi^{(3)} \) (m\(^2\) V\(^{-2}\)) | \( R \) (m\(^2\) V\(^{-2}\)) |
|----------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Before poling: isotropic   | 2.376 \( \cdot 10^{-13} \)      | 0.094                    | 2.925 \( \cdot 10^{-19} \)     | 1.738 \( \cdot 10^{-7} \)   |
| After poling: acentric order | 6.169 \( \cdot 10^{-12} \)     | 2.444                    | 2.846 \( \cdot 10^{-19} \)     | 1.691 \( \cdot 10^{-7} \)   |

---

**Figure 5.** Resonance wavelength shift before and after applying a poling procedure.
overview of all possible scenarios and experimentally obtained 
EO responses (oscilloscope traces) for each case. In contrast, 
equation (14) shows that the sine response is affected by the 
linear and the quadratic EO effect. Note that the term 
$3\chi^{(3)}_{EDC}$ in equation (14) is also known as the electric field-induced 
second-order nonlinear optical effect [38]. To distinguish 
between the $\Delta n_\omega(\chi^{(2)})$ and $\Delta n_\omega(\chi^{(3)})$ contribution we 
define two cases. In the first case, the EO response is charac-
terized by a sine-squared signal if $E_m \gg E_{DC}$, as shown in 
figure 6(a). In the second case, the EO response shows a sine 
signal if $E_m \ll E_{DC}$ (figure 6(b)). In this way, it is possible 
to observe a linear response by applying an offset voltage $E_{DC} = U_{DC}/s$ in an isotropic material (centric order).

However, an acentric molecular order can be obtained by 
applying a poling procedure. In this case, the observed EO 
response is characterized by a sine signal as well (figure 6(c)), 
since the second-order effect dominates the third-order one. 
Applying an offset voltage gives approximately the same 
signal, as shown in figure 6(d).

An implication of our findings is that both linear and quad-
ratric EO effect should be taken into account when extracting 
material properties from experimentally observed phase shifts. In the present work, we have determined the higher-
order susceptibility tensor components $
\chi^{(2)}_{333}(\omega,\omega,0,0)$ and 
$\chi^{(3)}_{3333}(\omega,\omega,0,0)$. In the case where one wishes to use the 
material for devices based on second harmonic generation 
and on the optical Kerr effect, it is necessary to correct our 
results by the dispersion in order to retrieve the evaluation of 
the $\chi^{(2)}_{333}(-2\omega,\omega,0)$ and $\chi^{(3)}_{3333}(-\omega,\omega,0,0)$ tensor comp-
ents [15].

5. Conclusions

We have presented a method by which higher-order non-
linear optical susceptibilities of EO polymers can be deter-
mained. A silicon slot waveguide ring resonator fabricated in 
a photonic integrated circuit technology was used to observe 
the EO response and we applied our model to the nonlinear 
optical dye DR1 doped in PMMA. We have analysed the EO 
response before and after applying a poling procedure. It is 
revealed that the quadratic EO effect has a significant impact 
on the overall EO response even with an acentric molecular 
order due to the strong electric field inside the slot waveguide. 
As a consequence, our findings implicate that both the linear 
and quadratic EO effect should be taken into account when 
extracting material properties from experimentally observed 
phase shifts in nano-scale slot waveguides. With the presented
method, it is possible to distinguish between the linear and the quadratic EO response, which is an important step for the design and validation of future reconfigurable and field-programmable photonic devices based on slot waveguides and for the evaluation of EO material properties.
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