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ABSTRACT

Time series prediction is a vital problem in many applications in nature science, agriculture, engineering and economics. The objective of the study is to examine the flexibility of artificial neural network model (ANN) in time series forecasting by comparing with classical time series ARIMA model. The data consist of area and production of Pearl millet (bajra) crop area (‘000 ha) and production (‘000 MT) from 1955-56 to 2014-15 were collected from “Agricultural Statistics at a Glance 2014-15, Karnataka, India were used in the study to demonstrate the effectiveness of the model. The experiment shows that ANN model outperform the ARIMA Models based on root mean (RMSE), MAPE and MSE.
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Introduction

Pearl millet or Bajra (Pennisetum typhoides) are widely grown in Africa, Asia, China, and the Russian Federation and can be used as either grain or forage. Pearl millet is a highly drought-tolerant cereal crop and an important food grain. It is generally grown as a rainfed crop on marginal land with few inputs and little management. It is grown as a food crop in tropical Africa and India, with most of the production concentrated in Sahelian West Africa and north western India. These regions are characterized by high temperature, short growing season, frequent drought and sandy infertile soils. India is also considered to be the secondary center of origin for pearl millet, with many distinct cultivars growing throughout the country. In arid regions of India, pearl millet is a major source of food. These grasses produce small seeded grains and are often cultivated as cereals (Carl E pray and Latha, 2009). It is grown mostly in Rajasthan, Uttar Pradesh, Gujarat and Haryana, Madhya Pradesh Maharashtra, and Karnataka are the major Bajra producing states. (Directorate of Economics & Statistics, DAC&FW, 2014-15). In Karnataka It’s cultivated an area of 0.234million hectares (M ha) with production of 0.248 million tons (M t) and an average productivity1117 kg/ha. It’s mainly cultivated in north eastern part of Karnataka namely
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Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA) Model
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The ARIMA model building consists of three stages, viz. identification, estimation and diagnostic checking. Parameters of this model are experimentally selected at the identification stage. Identification of d is necessary to make a non-stationary time series stationary. A statistical test can be employed to check the existence of stationarity, known as the test of the unit-root hypothesis. Popularly Augmented Dickey Fuller (ADF) test is utilized to test the stationarity. At the estimation stage, the parameters are estimated by employing iterative least square or maximum likelihood techniques. The efficacy of the selected model is then tested by diagnostic checking stage by employing Ljung-Box test. If the model is found to be insufficient, the three stages are repeated until satisfactory ARIMA model is selected for the time series under consideration.

**Artificial neural network (ANN)**

Artificial neural networks (ANNs) are nonlinear model that are able to capture various nonlinear structures present in the data set. ANN model specification does not require prior assumption of the data generating process, instead it is largely depend on characteristics of the data. The Artificial Neural Network (ANN) is a data driven, self-adaptive, nonlinear nonparametric statistical method. ANN functions similar to the human brains. They are the powerful tool for modelling, especially when the underlying data relationship is not known. Fundamental processing element of ANNs is a neuron. At the hidden layers, each neuron computes a weighted sum of its p input signals, \(X_i\) for \(i = 0,1,2,3,...,n\) and then applies a nonlinear activation function to produce an output signal, \(X_i\). The model of a neuron is shown in Fig. 1. A neuron j is described mathematically by the following pair of equations

\[
X_j = \sum y_i W_{ij} \quad (1)
\]

Where \(y_i\) the activity is level of the jth unit in the previous layer and \(W_{ij}\) is the weight of the connection between the ith and the jth unit.

Next, the unit calculates the activity \(y_j\) using some function of the total weighted input. Generally, we use the logistic sigmoid function (Bilgili et al., 2007) and expressed as

\[
y_i = [1 + e^{-X_i}]^{-1}
\]

The type of ANN used in this study is a feed-forward multilayer perceptron (MLP) with back propagation (BP) learning algorithm, as commonly used in various complex environmental problems such as agriculture applications of MLP (Haykin, 1999). MLP with back propagation (BP) is a popular form of training multilayer neural networks learning algorithm, and it is widely used in solving various classification and prediction problems. Back propagation convergence is slow, but it has the advantages of accuracy and adaptability (Kisi, 2005).

It consists of three layers: an input layer, a hidden layer and an output layer. A set of neurons or nodes are arranged in each layer. The number of neurons in the input and output layers is defined depending on the number of input and output variables of the system under investigation, respectively. However, the number of neurons in the hidden layer(s) is usually determined via a trial-and-error procedure. As seen from the figure, the neurons of each layer are connected to the neurons of the next layer by weights. The typical performance function used for training feed-forward neural networks is the mean sum of squares (MSE) of the network errors:
\[ MSE = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N}(Y_i - \hat{Y}_i)^2}{N} \]

Where, \( Y_i \) is the Actual value, \( \hat{Y}_i \) is the predicted value and \( N \) is the number of observation.

**Results and Discussion**

As discussed earlier, the data set from 1955-56 to 2010-11 are used for model building and 2011-12 to 2014-15 were used for model validation. Performance of ARIMA and ANN model in both training and testing data set is given in tables 6 and 7, respectively.

**Fitting of ARIMA to bajra production of Karnataka**

The summary statistics of bajra production time series presented in table 1 explains that the series is highly heterogeneous as CV is high. ACF and PACF plots obtained in figure 2, shows that bajra production time series under consideration is non-stationary in nature, which is further verified by results of Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) unit root test and Kwiatkowski-Phillips-Schmidt-Shin unit root test (KPSS test) is given in table 2, which indicates the series is stationary at first difference which is confirmed by figure 3. Based on the maximum log-likelihood and lowest values of Akaike Information Criteria (AIC) and Bayesian Information Criteria (BIC) the candidate model (Table 3) i.e. ARIMA (0 1 1) was found adequate. After model identification, parameter estimation of the model was done using maximum likelihood estimation method. Parameter specification of ARIMA (0 1 1) model is given in table 4 finally, ARIMA (0, 1, 1) was found adequate for considered time series and parameter estimates of the same are given in Table 3. Auto correlation check for residuals obtained from ARIMA model of pearl millet (bajra) Production time series indicates the residuals found to be non-auto correlated as probability of chi-square is 0.8795. Further, the model performance in training set and testing data set is given in Table 6 and 7. Further observed versus fitted plot for bajra production time series in depicted in figure 4.

**ANN model for modeling and forecasting bajra Production of Karnataka**

A multi-Layer Feed Forward Artificial Neural Network model was fitted to the data in ‘forecast’ package in R software. The Levenberg-Marquardt (LM) back propagation algorithm was used for ANN model building and based on repetitive iteration. Sigmoidal and linear activation functions were used as in hidden and output layers respectively. Ninety percent of the observations of data set are used as training data set for model building and rest of the observations were used as testing data set for model validation. Different numbers of neural network models with different model specifications are tried before arriving at the final skeleton of the model (Table 5).

**Table 1** Summary statistics of bajra production time series

| Statistic          | Bajra production | Statistic          | Bajra production |
|--------------------|------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Observation        | 60               | Minimum            | 80               |
| Mean               | 210.86           | Maximum            | 391.0            |
| Median             | 205.5            | Skewness           | 0.239            |
| Mode               | 107.0\(^a\)      | Kurtosis           | -0.937           |
| Standard Deviation | 77.52            | Coefficient of Variation (%) | 36.76 |
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Table 2 Stationary test of bajra production time series

| series      | ADF test statistic | KPSS test statistic |
|-------------|--------------------|---------------------|
|             | test Statistics    | Probability values  | test Statistics | Prob values |
| Actual series | -2.69              | 0.29                | 0.925          | <0.01       |
| First difference | -6.646             | <0.01              | 0.022          | >0.1        |

Table 3 Log likelihood, AIC and BIC values of different ARIMA models for bajra production time series

| Models         | Log-likelihood | AIC    | BIC    |
|----------------|----------------|--------|--------|
| ARIMA(0,1,0)   | -326.76        | 655.53 | 657.53 |
| ARIMA(0,1,1)   | -312.18        | 628.37 | 632.38 |
| ARIMA(0,1,2)   | -311.93        | 629.87 | 635.88 |
| ARIMA(1,1,0)   | -318.72        | 641.43 | 645.44 |
| ARIMA(1,1,1)   | -311.98        | 629.97 | 635.98 |
| ARIMA(1,1,2)   | -310.88        | 629.88 | 637.78 |
| ARIMA(2,1,0)   | -315.69        | 637.37 | 643.39 |
| ARIMA(2,1,1)   | -311.84        | 631.67 | 639.70 |
| ARIMA(2,1,2)   | -310.44        | 630.88 | 640.92 |

Table 4 Parameter estimation of ARIMA (0 1 1) by maximum likelihood estimation method for bajra production time series

| Parameter | Estimate | Standard Error | t Value | Approx. Pr > |t| | Lag |
|-----------|----------|----------------|---------|---------------|-------|-----|
| MU        | 2.21     | 1.898          | 1.17    | 0.2431        | 0     |
| MA1,1     | 0.81     | 0.082          | 9.89    | <.0001        | 1     |

Table 5 Forecasting performance of ANN model for bajra production time series

| Model        | Parameters | RMSE Training | RMSE Testing |
|--------------|------------|---------------|--------------|
| 2:2S:1L      | 9          | 59.45         | 55.00        |
| 2:4S:1L      | 17         | 57.25         | 39.38        |
| 2:6S:1L      | 25         | 66.93         | 55.04        |
| 2:8S:1L      | 33         | 79.65         | 62.56        |
| 2:10S:1L     | 41         | 66.54         | 85.93        |
| 3:2S:1L      | 11         | 59.07         | 52.82        |
| 3:4S:1L      | 21         | 64.93         | 51.10        |
| 3:6S:1L      | 31         | 73.29         | 44.79        |
| 3:8S:1L      | 41         | 86.25         | 46.01        |
| 3:10S:1L     | 51         | 71.91         | 56.42        |
Table 6 Model performance of ARIMA and ANN model for bajra production time series in training data set

| Criteria | ARIMA     | ANN      |
|----------|-----------|----------|
| MSE      | 5168.10   | 3278.67  |
| RMSE     | 71.88     | 57.25    |
| MAPE     | 31.73     | 21       |

Table 7 Forecasting performance of ARIMA model for bajra production time series in testing data set

| Year | Actual | Forecast     | ARIMA   | ANN   |
|------|--------|--------------|---------|-------|
|      |        |              |         |       |
| 2011 | 261    | 243.392      | 265.78  |
| 2012 | 285    | 245.608      | 222.82  |
| 2013 | 331    | 247.824      | 285.13  |
| 2014 | 250    | 250.04       | 235.50  |

| Criteria | MSE        | RMSE  | MAPE   |
|----------|------------|-------|--------|
| MSE      | 2195.005   | 46.85 | 11.42  |
| RMSE     | 1550.87    | 39.38 | 10.82  |

Fig 1. Neural network structure
Fig. 2 ACF and PACF plots for bajra production of original time series

Fig. 3 ACF and PACF plots for first differenced bajra production (1) time series
Forecasting performance of models under consideration

For comparison purpose, the training and the testing performance of ANN model were compared with ARIMA model. The ARIMA and ANN forecast are closely to actual values. It shows that both the approaches work well for the pearl millet (bajra) production of Karnataka data set used. The table 6 and 7 shows the comparison of training and testing precision among the two approaches based on RMSE, MAPE and MSE statistical measures. Empirical results on pearl millet (bajra) production data set using two different models clearly reveal the efficiency of the ANN model. It shows ANN models are outperformed when compared to ARIMA model. The reason could be the nonlinear machine learning techniques can capture the heterogeneous trend in the data set and performed well as compare to ARIMA model.

On the basis of the results obtained in this work one can conclude that ARIMA models are not always adequate for the time series
that contains non-linear structures. In this context, a nonlinear artificial intelligence technique like neural networks can be an effective way to improve forecasting performance. Based on the results obtained in this work one can infer that application of artificial intelligence techniques like time delay neural networks in modeling and forecasting of time series can increase the forecasting accuracy, in particular, the artificial neural network model performed better for forecasting pearl millet (bajra) production of India as compared to other models. This approach can be further extended by using some other machine learning techniques for varying autoregressive and moving average orders in other agricultural crops.
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