Lymph node ratio as a prognostic factor in patients with pathological N2 non-small cell lung cancer
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Abstract

Background: The aim of this study was to investigate whether the lymph node ratio (LNR) was associated with the prognosis of patients, who underwent surgery for pathological N2 non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC).

Methods: A total of 182 patients were diagnosed with pathological N2 disease and underwent complete resection surgeries with systematic lymphadenectomies. We counted the number of positives and removed lymph nodes to calculate a ratio between them (LNR). We also investigated the association between skip mediastinal lymph node metastasis and survival.

Results: Univariate analysis of survival in patients with N2 NSCLC showed that the T factor, clinical N factor, and LNR were significant prognostic factors. Multivariate analyses showed that the clinical N stage and LNR were significant independent prognostic factors for patients with pathological N2 NSCLC. Patients with a clinical lymph node status of 0 (cN0) and LNR ≤0.22 showed a significantly higher survival rate than patients with a cN1-2 and LNR ≥0.22 and 5-year survival rates were 47.1 and 10.3%, respectively (p < 0.0001).

Conclusions: LNR is an important prognostic factor for poor outcome following surgery in patients with N2 disease. The combination of the LNR and cN status provides a valuable prognostic tool.
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scan. Since 2004, we introduced fluoro-deoxyglucose-positron emission tomography (FDG-PET), which was used as a reference and performed on 48 patients. Mediastinal lymph nodes with a short axis of >1 cm (especially >1.5 cm for Station 7) on CT and/or positive uptake on FDG-PET were regarded as metastatic lymph nodes. Lymph node biopsy through mediastinoscopy was not performed routinely and was performed selectively in patients with clinical N2. Twenty-eight of 182 patients were performed mediastinoscopy and 17 patients were diagnosed as having clinical N2. For patients with clinical single-level N2 disease, we elected to perform initial operation. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) was routinely employed for brain metastasis assessment. Patients who died within 1 month after surgery or received chemoradiotherapy before surgery were excluded from the study. Follow-up information was obtained from all patients through outpatient visits or telephone interviews either with the patients, their relatives, or primary physicians. The outcomes included the type of recurrence and survival time. Patient demographics and tumor characteristics are detailed in Table 1.

### Lymph node ratio and lymph node dissection
Pathologists counted the number of lymph nodes by observing the membrane integrity, which meant that several parts of the lymph node tissue were counted as one lymph node. We counted the number of positive and removed lymph nodes to calculate the LNR and investigated the association between skip mediastinal lymph node metastasis, which is defined as the mediastinal lymph node metastasis without hilar lymph node metastasis and survival. Five-year survival of high and low LNR groups was calculated. Maximum difference of 5-year survival could be available when we set LNR of 0.22 as a cut-off.

### Pathological examination
After localization and size measurement, the specimens were serially sectioned (3–4 mm) using a cryostat embedded and stained with standard hematoxylin and eosin. The tumor node metastasis (TNM) stage was assigned according to the American Joint Committee on Cancer staging system, seventh edition. All patients demonstrated macroscopically negative surgical margins.

### Follow-up
Follow-up examinations included chest X-rays and blood tests at 3-month intervals and an additional thoracic CT scans at 6-month intervals. The median follow-up duration was 42 months (range, 12–127 months).

### Table 1 Characteristics of patients with pathological N2 disease

| Variables                                      | Number of patients | %   |
|------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----|
| Age (years), range 36–89                        |                    |     |
| Mean 64.6                                       |                    |     |
| Gender                                         |                    |     |
| Male                                           | 127                | 69.8|
| Female                                         | 55                 | 30.2|
| Histology                                      |                    |     |
| Adenocarcinoma 120                             | 65.9               |     |
| Squamous cell carcinoma 50                     | 27.5               |     |
| Others                                         | 12                 | 6.6 |
| Clinical T and N status                        |                    |     |
| T1 N0                                           | 49                 | 26.9|
| T2 N0                                           | 51                 | 28.0|
| T3 N0                                           | 3                  | 1.7 |
| T4 N0                                           | 2                  | 1.1 |
| T1 N1                                           | 5                  | 2.8 |
| T2 N1                                           | 11                 | 6.0 |
| T3 N1                                           | 3                  | 1.6 |
| T1 N2                                           | 21                 | 11.5|
| T2 N2                                           | 25                 | 13.8|
| T3 N2                                           | 12                 | 6.6 |
| Clinical node (cN) factor                      |                    |     |
| cN0                                             | 105                | 57.7|
| cN1                                             | 19                 | 10.4|
| cN2                                             | 58                 | 31.9|
| Pathological tumor (pT) factor                 |                    |     |
| pT1                                             | 59                 | 32.4|
| pT2                                             | 92                 | 50.6|
| pT3                                             | 31                 | 17.0|
| Side                                            |                    |     |
| Right                                           | 101                | 55.5|
| Left                                            | 81                 | 44.5|
| Number of metastatic stations                  |                    |     |
| Single                                          | 56                 | 30.8|
| Multiple                                        | 126                | 69.2|
| Skip N2                                         |                    |     |
| Skip                                            | 69                 | 37.9|
| Non-skip                                        | 113                | 62.1|
| Operative procedure                             |                    |     |
| Pneumonectomy 15                                | 8.3                |     |
| Bilobectomy 19                                  | 10.4               |     |
| Lobectomy 148                                  | 81.3               |     |
| Adjuvant chemotherapy                           |                    |     |
| Yes                                             | 140                | 76.9|
| No                                              | 42                 | 23.1|
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Statistical analysis
All data regarding continuous variables are expressed as mean ± SD. Significant differences were assessed using the t test for continuous variables and the $\chi^2$ test for categorical variables. Outcome measures included type of recurrence and survival time. Analyses were performed using the SAS software package (SAS Institute, Inc, Cary, NC). A $p$ value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results
Patient characteristics are summarized in Table 1. The patients included 127 males and 55 females. Pathological types included 120 adenocarcinomas, 50 squamous cell carcinomas, and 12 other types of NSCLC. Clinical N lymph node (cN) stages were diagnosed as N0 in 105 patients, N1 in 19, and N2 in 58. There were 59 patients diagnosed as T1, 92 as T2, and 31 as T3. Skip mediastinal lymph node metastasis (N1 negative) was demonstrated in 69 patients (37.9%), and mediastinal lymph nodes metastasis with N1 disease (N1 positive) was found in 113. A pneumonectomy was performed in 15 (8.3%) patients, bilobectomy in 19 (10.4%), and lobectomy in 148 (81.3%). The median number of removed nodes was 21, and the median number of positive nodes was 3. The median LNR was 0.24. A univariate analysis of survival in patients with N2 NSCLC showed that the T factor (T1 or 2 vs. T3, $p < 0.0001$), cN factor (N0 vs. N1 o r2, $p = 0.0094$), and LNR ($\leq 0.22$ vs. >0.22, $p = 0.0056$) were significant prognostic factors (Table 2). A multivariate analysis showed that the cN stage ($p = 0.0143$) and LNR ($p = 0.0071$) were significant independent prognostic factors for patients with pathological N2 NSCLC (Table 3). The 5-year survival rate after surgery according to the cN stage (N0 and N1–2) was 39.5 and 21.2%, respectively (Fig. 1a). The 5-year survival rate of patients with an LNR of $\leq 0.22$ was 40.2%; however, that of patients with pathological N2 having an LNR of $\geq 0.22$ showed a statistically significant poorer survival rate (Fig. 1b). Figure 2 shows the comparison of survival curves among the subgroup on the bias of cN stage and LNR. Patients who had cN0 and an LNR of $\leq 0.22$ showed a significantly higher survival rate than patients with cN1-2 and LNR of $\geq 0.22$ and the 5-year survival rates were 47.1 and 10.3%, respectively ($p < 0.0001$).

Discussion
This retrospective study clarified the prognostic importance of the LNR in patients with pathological N2 NSCLC, who underwent complete dissection of the mediastinal lymph nodes. Our results indicated that LNR was an important prognostic factor for poor outcome after surgery in patients with N2 disease.

| Table 2 | Survival of patients with N2 non-small cell lung cancer by a univariate analysis (log-rank test) |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Variables | 5-year survival (%) | $p$ value |
| Gender | | |
| Male | 29.6 | 0.083 |
| Female | 37.7 | |
| Side | | |
| Right | 32.5 | 0.6669 |
| Left | 27.3 | |
| T factor | | |
| T1-2 | 34.8 | <0.0001 |
| T3 | 8.9 | |
| Histology | | |
| Adenocarcinoma | 34.3 | 0.0856 |
| Others | 23.0 | |
| cN | | |
| N0 | 39.5 | 0.0094 |
| N1-2 | 21.2 | |
| Skip metastasis | | |
| Skip | 34.8 | 0.0848 |
| Non-skip | 24.5 | |
| Number of metastatic stations | | |
| Single | 35.8 | 0.2739 |
| Multiple | 27.7 | |
| Lymph node ratio | | |
| $\leq 0.22$ | 40.2 | 0.0056 |
| $>0.22$ | 21.9 | |

The 5-year survival rate for patients with p-stage III was 33.4% in the current series, which was consistent with the Japanese Lung Cancer Registry Study results. Several factors such as cN factor, N2 level, tumor size, tumor location, and skip N2 are important postoperative prognostic factors in patients with N2 disease [2, 6–10]. A single N2 disease showed favorable prognosis compared to those with multiple N2 disease [2, 11], and skip metastatic disease is a favorable N2 subset, possibly because it is usually associated with single-level N2 metastatic involvement [8, 12]. The present study demonstrated that skip metastasis or single N2
disease showed favorable prognoses, however, these differences were not statistically significant. On the other hand, Benoit et al. [13] reported that skip metastases occur frequently in NSCLC and complete dissection of the hilar and mediastinal lymph node should remain the standard surgical procedure for this disease. However, skip metastasis is not an independent prognostic factor for survival. Bria et al. [14] used the number of high risk factors (HRFs) as the standard to divide patients into risk classes. HRFs included the LNR, sex, stage, N status, grade, histology, age, and the number of involved nodes.

In this study, cN stage was an independent prognostic factor for patients with pathological N2 disease. Many previous studies have reported significant associations with survival for cN factors in patients with stage IIIA NSCLC [2, 15, 16]. In our study, the mediastinal lymph node sizes by CT scan and/or positive uptake by FDG-PET were diagnosed as metastatic lymph nodes. Prenzel et al. [17] described the difficulty of defining cut-off values to diagnose metastasis, and also showed a significant difference between the sizes of non-metastatic lymph nodes and infiltrated nodes. PET scanning is highly sensitive for the detection of mediastinal metastasis [18].

---

**Fig. 1** Probability of survival of patients with N2 non-small cell lung cancer according to clinical lymph node status (a) and lymph node ratio (b).

**Fig. 2** Overall survival rate for patients with N2 non-small cell lung cancer depends on clinical lymph node status (cN) and lymph node ratio (LNR). cN0 and LNR ≤0.22 (black line), cN0 and LNR >0.22, or cN1-2 and LNR ≤0.22 (black dashed line), cN1-2 and LNR > 0.22 (gray line).
controlled multicenter clinical study reported that FDG-PET improved diagnosis precision for mediastinal lymph nodes [19]. One of the limitations of the present study was that PET-scanning was initiated in 2004, and not all cases were examined using this method.

The number of involved lymph nodes that were identified depended on the number of lymph nodes removed and examined, which in itself depended on surgical and pathological procedures. In cases where few nodes were removed, the N stage could not be accurately classified. To improve the prognostication system, the LNR, which takes into account not only the number of positive nodes but also the number of nodes examined, removed the variability in nodal assessment.

Our results were also consistent with the findings of several recent studies evaluating the relationship between the LNR and survival for colon, breast, gastric, and bladder cancers, which further support the validity of our findings. The most recent TNM staging system for breast and gastric cancers suggested that the number of involved nodes has a significant prognostic value [20–23].

Limitations of the present study included the retrospective nature of the analysis, and adjuvant chemotherapy for N2 disease was not routinely performed for all patients. Therefore, it was difficult to evaluate the effect of adjuvant chemotherapy on prognosis.

Conclusions
In conclusion, data regarding the LNR or cN status could be used to provide a more accurate prognosis in patients with resected N2 NSCLC. The combination of the LNR and cN status provides a valuable prognostic tool. These findings have potential for predicting the best therapeutic modalities for patients with pathological N2 disease.
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