Exploring distillation at the $SU(3)$ flavour symmetric point
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In these proceedings we present an exact distillation setup with stabilised Wilson fermions at the $SU(3)$ flavour symmetric point utilising the flexibility of the Grid and Hadrons software libraries. This work is a stepping stone towards a non-perturbative investigation of hadronic $D$-decays, for which one needs to control the multi-hadron final states. As a first step we study two-to-two $s$-wave scattering of pseudoscalar mesons. In particular we examine the reliability of the extraction of finite-volume energies as a function of the number of eigenvectors of the gauge-covariant Laplacian entering our distillation setup.
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1. Introduction

The violation of charge conjugation symmetry (C) as well as the violation of this combined with parity (CP) are necessary conditions to explain the matter-antimatter asymmetry in the universe [1]. There are various known sources of CP-violation in the standard model of particle physics but their combined effect appears to be too small to account for the observed asymmetry [4]. Recently the LHCb experiment observed nonzero CP asymmetry in the decay of charmed hadrons for the first time [5] and estimated the difference of the time-integrated asymmetries in $D^0 \to K^-K^+$ and $D^0\to\pi^\pi$ decays to be

$$
\Delta A_{CP} = A_{CP}(K^-K^+) - A_{CP}(\pi^-\pi^+) = (-15.4 \pm 2.9) \times 10^{-4}.
$$

These kinds of decays can be a test case for beyond-the-standard-model dynamics in the up-quark sector but the corresponding theoretical standard model predictions are difficult to compute reliably. (See for example ref. [6].)

In these proceedings, we describe our progress towards a first calculation of hadronic $D$-decays from first principles using Monte Carlo simulations of lattice QCD at heavier-than-physical quark masses. Our strategy to obtain the desired decay amplitude is to compute the required matrix element via an effective four-quark Hamiltonian $H_{\text{weak}}$ [7] in a finite spacetime volume. We can then relate the finite-volume matrix element to its infinite-volume counterpart via the relation due to Lellouch and Lüscher [8] and subsequent generalizations [9–14]:

$$
|A|^2 = 8\pi \left( q \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial q} + k \frac{\partial \delta_0}{\partial k} \right)_{k=k_n} \frac{E_n^2 m_D}{k_n^2} |Z^{\text{MS}}(n,L)|H_{\text{weak}}(D,L)|^2,
$$

where the quantity in angled brackets is a finite-volume matrix element that can be determined via lattice QCD, and depends on the box length $L$ and the final state $n$ as indicated. The renormalization factor $Z^{\text{MS}}$ is the link between the lattice regularized matrix element and its continuum counterpart. The factor multiplying the renormalized matrix element, often called the Lellouch-Lüscher factor, relates it to the infinite-volume decay amplitude and depends on $\phi(q)$ (a known geometric function of $q = kL/(2\pi)$ where $k$ is the momentum of the decay products). The factor additionally depends on $\delta_0$, the $s$-wave scattering phase of the final-state particles. A central challenge here is that the $\langle n, L \rangle$ state must satisfy $E_n = m_D$, where $m_D$ is the incoming meson mass, in order to define a physical decay.

On the way towards a first full computation of hadronic $D$-decays on the lattice, various theoretical and computational challenges have to be overcome. (See ref. [15] for a recent more general discussion of numerical challenges in lattice QCD simulations.) In these proceedings we particularly focus on studying $K\pi$ scattering, with an eye on $D \to K\pi$ decays. The scattering study is required for two reasons. First, as can be seen in eq. (2), the scattering phase shift is required to extract the physical observable. Second, as we detail below, the analysis requires the construction of optimized operators that can then also be used to create the excited $\langle n, L \rangle$ state in the decay.

---

1See e.g. refs. [2, 3] for pedagogical overviews.
2. Computational setup

In this study we work on a set of gauge-field ensembles with three flavors of stabilised Wilson fermions [16] and tree-level Symanzik improved gluons. The ensembles were generated by the OPEN LATtice initiative [17–19] using the openQCD software package [20]. The three degenerate sea quarks in the simulation are tuned such that the sum of their masses is equivalent to their sum in the physical world. The gauge-field ensembles which we plan to use in this project are summarized in Table 1. All preliminary results presented in these proceedings are only based on the coarsest ensemble, labeled a12m400. We plan to extend this calculation to two additional ensembles with very similar pion masses and physical volumes but finer lattice spacings, which can be considered to lie on an approximate line of constant physics. This will allow us to control the continuum limit of the calculation which is especially important for observables with heavy valence quarks.

| Label     | $T \times L^3 / a^4$ | $\beta$ | $\kappa$ | a (fm) | $m_\pi$ (MeV) |
|-----------|----------------------|---------|----------|--------|---------------|
| a12m400   | 96 $\times$ 24$^3$   | 3.685   | 0.1394305| 0.12   | 410           |
| a094m400  | 96 $\times$ 32$^3$   | 3.8     | 0.1389630| 0.094  | 410           |
| a064m400  | 96 $\times$ 48$^3$   | 4.0     | 0.1382720| 0.064  | 410           |

Table 1: Planned gauge-field ensembles for this project. All preliminary results were generated on ensemble a12m400. We plan to include the greyed out ensembles in the near future.

For the computation of the relevant correlation functions, we make use of the exact distillation method described in ref. [21]. In this approach, a smearing matrix \( S \) is obtained from the low-mode subspace of the three-dimensional gauge-covariant Laplacian

\[
S(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{N_{loc}} u_k(t) u_k(t)^\dagger,
\]

where \( u_k \) are the eigenvectors of $-\nabla^2$. Correlation functions can then be cost effectively built from the smeared quark fields

\[
\tilde{q} = Sq.
\]

For the $I = 3/2$ $s$-wave channel, which will be the focus of these proceedings, we construct correlation functions from $K\pi$ two-hadron interpolators with different momenta.

From the relevant operators for a given channel we construct a correlator matrix \( C \) and solve a generalized eigenvalue problem (GEVP) [22–25]

\[
C(t) v_i(t, t_0) = \lambda_i(t, t_0) C(t_0) v_i(t, t_0),
\]

in order to obtain the eigenvalues \( \lambda_i(t, t_0) \sim e^{-aE_i(L)(t-t_0)} \) for a desired state \( i \).

Our computational setup is based on the Grid [26] and Hadrons [27] program libraries. The distillation modules are also used in an ongoing $K\pi$ scattering study at the physical point using Domain Wall fermions [28, 29]. For the error analysis we make use of the $\Gamma$-method approach [30, 31] in the pyerrors implementation [32].
3. Eigenvector dependence of the finite-volume energies

When choosing the number of eigenvectors $N_{\text{vec}}$ of the gauge-covariant Laplacian for the
smearing matrix, eq. (3), one has to find a compromise between the statistical error and anticipated
smearing radius on the one side and the computational cost and memory requirement on the other.
One method to get an idea of the required number of eigenvectors is to look at the spatial distribution
of the distillation operator as suggested in ref. [21]. This spatial distribution function defined as

$$\Psi(r) = \sum_x \sqrt{\text{tr} S_{x,x+r_x} S_{x,r_x}} / \sqrt{\text{tr} S_{x,x} S_{x,x}},$$

with $r = |r|$ is shown in Figure 1 for ensemble a12m400 and $N_{\text{vec}} \in \{20, 40, 60\}$. The smearing
profile allows one to get an estimate for the smearing radius for a given number of eigenvectors
and therefore an idea of the relevant scales in the computation. It is, however, non-trivial how the
estimated smearing radius translates into operator overlaps and overall statistical precision of the data.
Instead of using the smearing profile as a benchmark for the impact of $N_{\text{vec}}$ we opt for an empirical
approach and study the quality of finite-volume energies extracted via a GEVP as a function of $N_{\text{vec}}$.

In this contribution we restrict our discussion to the repulsive $I = 3/2$ s-wave channel. In
Figure 2 we show the effective masses defined by

$$a m_{\text{eff}}(t) = \log \left( \frac{\lambda(t, t_0)}{\lambda(t+1, t_0)} \right),$$

where $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue obtained by solving a GEVP defined in eq. (5) for given state $i$ and reference
time slice $t_0 = 2$. From the bottom panel it becomes obvious that the $N_{\text{vec}}$ has very little impact
on the quality of the extracted ground state energy. In line with our expectation a smaller number
of eigenvectors corresponds to a larger smearing radius and thus results in slightly better overlap.
Figure 2: Effective masses from a GEVP with $t_0 = 2$ for different values of $N_{\text{vec}}$ for $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ from bottom to top calculated on 77 configurations of ensemble a12m400.
with the ground state which can be seen from the fact that the effective mass settles to a plateau at earlier source sink separations $x_0/a$. This observation drastically changes for the higher excited states for which a higher number of eigenvectors improves both the statistical quality and the overlap of the GEVP extracted correlator with the desired state. Particularly for the third excited state (top panel in Figure 2) $N_{\text{vec}} = 20$ does not seem to suffice to obtain a reliable estimate of the associated finite-volume energy. We take the fact that the plateaus for all relevant states in this channels agree at moderate source sink separations for both $N_{\text{vec}} = 40$ and $N_{\text{vec}} = 60$ as confirmation that 60 eigenvectors seems to be a reasonable compromise and proceed with this setup.

4. Lellouch-Lüscher factors

From the finite-volume energies extracted from our preferred data set with $N_{\text{vec}} = 60$ we can derive the corresponding scattering phase shifts via the relation

$$\delta_0(q) = \arctan\left(\frac{\pi^2 q}{Z_{00}(1; q^2)}\right), \quad q = \frac{kL}{2\pi},$$

originally derived by Lüscher [34, 35]. The corresponding phase shifts which we obtain for the $I = 3/2$ $s$-wave channel are shown in Figure 3 as a function of $k/m_\pi$ together with a linear fit to the data. With this model for the scattering phase shift we can get an estimate for the “Lellouch-Lüscher” factors relating the finite-volume to the infinite-volume decay amplitudes which are summarized in Table 2.

To get an idea of the overall impact of our scattering calculation on the proportionality factors we display these factors divided by their non-interacting counterparts in Figure 4. For all four $q$ values in our calculation we see a statistical significant difference from unity highlighting the importance of the scattering analysis for the extraction of hadronic $D$-decay amplitudes.
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Table 2: finite-to-infinite volume proportionality factors $F^2 = 8\pi \{ q \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial q} + k \frac{\partial \delta_0}{\partial k} \} \frac{E^2}{k^2}$.  

| q    | F |
|------|---|
| 0.110(16) | 117(27) |
| 1.0253(87) | 69.84(65) |
| 1.4375(93) | 59.60(41) |
| 1.7530(96) | 80.99(37) |

Figure 4: finite-to-infinite-volume proportionality factors as a function of $q$ divided by their non-interacting counterparts. The shaded blue area is not a fit to the data but an estimate for the expected statistical uncertainty for values of $q$ which are not covered by our data set.

5. Conclusions & Outlook

In these proceedings we describe our steps towards the first ab-initio calculation of hadronic decays of $D$-mesons. In our simplified setup we focus on the $D \to K\pi$ decay channel at non-physical quark masses. In order to construct operators which excite $K\pi$ final states with energies close to the $D$-meson mass we make use of the exact distillation method. We use an empirical approach to determine the number of eigenvectors of the gauge covariant Laplacian and found that $N_{\text{vec}} = 60$ is a good compromise for our setup. With the results from a scattering phase shift analysis for the repulsive $I = 3/2$ $K\pi$ channel we were able to obtain first results for the “Lellouch-Lüscher” proportionality factors which relate the finite-volume matrix elements to the infinite-volume decay amplitudes.
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