Parasites in farmed *Piaractus brachypomus* (Serrasalmidae) in the state of Acre, western Brazilian Amazonia
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ABSTRACT

This study investigated the parasite fauna in *Piaractus brachypomus* grown in fish farms in the state of Acre, in the western Brazilian Amazon. We examined 160 fish from four farms. Overall parasite prevalence was 66.9%. The fish were parasitized by *Anacanthorus spathulatus*, *Mymarothecium viatorum*, *Anacanthorus penilabiatus*, *Clinostomum marginatum*, *Echinorhynchus jucundus* and *Henneguya* sp., but monogenean species were the dominant parasites. Monogeneans showed an aggregated distribution pattern and there was a weak correlation between host size and abundance of *M. viatorum* and *A. penilabiatus*. No difference in the condition factor of parasitized and non-parasitized fish was detected. The fish of all farms had the gills parasitized by *A. spathulatus* and *M. viatorum*, but *A. penilabiatus* was found only in fish from two farms. *Echinorhynchus jucundus*, *C. marginatum* and *Henneguya* sp. were found in only one farm. The differences in parasitism level among the farms are attributable to differences in management and quality of cultivation environments.
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INTRODUCTION

Aquaculture production is increasingly being considered as an answer to food security issues worldwide (FAO 2018). In Brazil, fish farming turned into an economic activity in the 1990s, with the emergence and dissemination of management technologies aimed at the cultivation of native species, and the first large-scale fish farms began the fattening of these fish (Kubitza 2007; Franceschini et al. 2013). Currently, one of the farmed fish species with greatest potential in Brazil is *Piaractus brachypomus* Cuvier, 181 (Serrasalmidae), locally known as pirapitinga (Cruz et al. 2006; Franceschini et al. 2013; Ribeiro et al. 2016; Saint-Paul 2017).

*Piaractus brachypomus* is the third largest scale fish from the Amazon basin, reaching up to 0.8 m in length and about 20 kg in weight. It presents attractive body characteristics for the consumer market, such as small head size, ease of flaking, as well as advantages for farming, such as rapid growth, resistance to high water temperatures and low levels of dissolved oxygen, and resistance to handling and diseases (Ribeiro et al. 2016). The species is economically important and increasingly produced in fish farms in the state of Acre,
in the western Brazilian Amazon region. In 2018, fish farming in Acre increased 6.5% relative to 2017 and produced 8,500 tons of fish, of which 98% corresponded to native species, and occupying the 19th position among 27 Brazilian states (PeixesBR 2019).

In intensive fish culture, parasitic infections can be limiting factors for production and productivity, resulting in economic losses and/or expenses with antiparasitic treatments (Silva et al. 2013; Dias et al. 2015; Tavares-Dias and Martins 2017). Parasitic infections are favored by poor water quality and inadequate farming management and can compromise the defense mechanism of the fish, which in turn can lead to epizooties; in addition, the high stocking densities used in intensive fish farming can potentiate the effect of parasitism (Morais et al. 2009; Santos et al. 2013; Silva et al. 2013; Franceschini et al. 2013; Dias et al. 2015). *Piaractus brachypomus* farmed in Colombia were found to be parasitized in the gills by undetermined monogeneans and myxosporeans, *Piscinoodinium pillulare*, *Ichthyophthirius multifilis* and *Trichodina* sp. (Verján et al. 2001). There is no other information on the parasite fauna in *P. brachypomus* from cultivation systems.

Thus, considering the importance of *P. brachypomus* in fish farming in the western Amazon region, and the lack of knowledge on its parasites, the objective of the present study was to determine the parasites of *P. brachypomus* in fish farms in the state of Acre and relate the presence of parasites with cultivation conditions.

### MATERIAL AND METHODS

*Piaractus brachypomus* were sampled for parasitological analysis in fish farms in the municipality of Rio Branco, state of Acre (Brazil). The climate in state of Acre is of the humid equatorial type, with mean air temperature between 22 and 26 °C, and varying rainfall levels throughout the year. Most fish farms in Acre use natural water bodies (rivers or streams) as direct water source to supply their tanks. We sampled four fish farms between June 2015 and May 2016. In each farm, 40 specimens of *P. brachypomus* (total N = 160) were collected from two earth tanks (20 fish per tank). The farms varied in management and infrastructure characteristics, i.e. fish size, stocking density, sanitary quality (Table 1) and water supply source. During the collection of fish, the water pH was determined using a digital pH meter (pH1100, YSI, USA), as well as temperature and dissolved oxygen levels, using a digital oximeter (DO, YSI, USA). This study was approved by the Ethics Committee for Animal Use of Embrapa Amazônia (Protocol No 002/2016, CEUA-CPAFAP).

The weight (g) and length (cm) of each fish was measured. During the necropsy, the mouth, operculum, gills, gastrointestinal tract and viscera were inspected for parasites. The gills were removed, fixed in 5% formalin and analyzed under a stereomicroscope (Nikon SMZ800N, Tokyo, Japan) and a microscope (Eclipse E100, Nikon, Tokyo, Japan). The gastrointestinal tract and viscera of the fish were removed and examined under a stereomicroscope to collect endoparasites. The methodology used for collecting, fixing, counting and preparing parasites for identification followed Eiras et al. (2006) and Boeger and Viana (2006).

The ecological parameters estimated followed Bush et al. (1997). The dominance frequency (percentage of infracomunities in which a parasite species is numerically dominant) was determined according to Rohde et al. (1995). The dispersion index (DI) and discrepancy index (D) were calculated for species with prevalence >10% using the software Quantitative Parasitology 3.0, in order to detect the distribution pattern of parasite infracomunities (Rózsa et al. 2000). The significance of DI, for each infracomunity, was tested using the *d*-statistics (Ludwig and Reynolds 1988).

Weight and total length data of the fish examined were used to calculate the relative condition factor (Kn) of parasitized and non-parasitized fish (Le Cren 1951), which were compared using the *t*-test. The Spearman correlation coefficient (r) was applied to determine possible correlations of length and weight with the abundance of parasites (Zar 2010).

### Table 1. Geographical coordinates of the four fish farms sampled for parasitological analysis of *Piaractus brachypomus* in the state of Acre (western Brazilian Amazonia). Size and parameters of water quality of the sampled tanks are shown, and sample size, average body parameters, stocking density and prevalence of parasites in *P. brachypomus* analyzed in each farm. DO = dissolved oxygen, N = number of fish sampled. Values are the mean ± SD (from four measurements from two tanks at each farm for water parameters).  

| Farm | Geographical coordinates | Tank size (m²) | DO (mg L⁻¹) | pH | Temperature (°C) | N | Weight (g) | Length (cm) | Density (fish m⁻²) | Prevalence (%) |
|------|--------------------------|--------------|-------------|----|-----------------|---|------------|-------------|----------------|---------------|
| 1    | 0°55′05.70″S, 67°47′01.46″W | 1800         | 5.3 ± 1.1   | 7.7 ± 1.2 | 26.3 ± 1.2     | 40 | 16.1 ± 14.5 | 7.1 ± 1.6   | 2.0             | 25.0          |
| 2    | 10°03′11.28″S, 67°50′41.47″W | 1800         | 2.3 ± 1.3   | 5.6 ± 1.2 | 30.1 ± 1.1     | 40 | 113.9 ± 81.2| 12.8 ± 3.8  | 2.9             | 85.0          |
| 3    | 9°45′24.5″S, 68°04′25.0″W   | 800          | 1.4 ± 1.1   | 5.1 ± 1.1 | 29.2 ± 1.3     | 40 | 13.6 ± 4.7  | 6.9 ± 0.9   | 3.2             | 80.0          |
| 4    | 9°02′53.71″S, 68°37′44.69″W | 800          | 2.8 ± 2.0   | 5.9 ± 0.6 | 28.1 ± 1.0     | 40 | 758.7 ± 363.5| 26.5 ± 4.4  | 2.8             | 77.5          |
RESULTS

Dissolved oxygen level was below minimum acceptable values in three farms (Table 1). The body size of the sampled specimens of *P. brachypomus* varied among farms, as they were in different stages of culture (fingerlings and fattening). Thus, total parasite prevalence varied among farms, and was lower in the farm with lower stocking density of fishes (Table 1).

In all farms, the gills of the fishes were parasitized by *Anacanthorus spathulatus* Kritsky, Thatcher & Kayton 1979 and *Mymarothecium viatorum* Boeger Piasecki & Sobecka 2002 (Dactylogyridae). *Anacanthorus penilabiatus* Boeger, Husak & Martins 995 (Dactylogyridae) was found only in the gills of fish from farms 2 and 3 (see Table 1 for farm codes and characteristics), while *Echinorhynchus jucundus* Travassos 1923 (Echinorhynchidae) and metacercariae of *Clinostomum marginatum* Rudolphi, 1819 (Clinostomidae) occurred only in the gills of fish from farm 3. Cysts of *Henneguya* sp. (Myxosporea) were found only in gills of fish from farm 2 (Table 2). Overall, the prevalence of parasites was 66.9%, *M. viatorum* and *A. spathulatus* were the dominant species, and the parasites presented an aggregated dispersion pattern (Table 3).

The abundance of *A. spathulatus* showed no correlation with total length (rs = 0.06, p = 0.45) and body weight (rs = 0.05, p = 0.52) of the hosts. A weak negative correlation of *A. penilabiatus* abundance with the length (rs = 0.29, p = 0.0002) and weight (rs = 0.28, p = 0.0003) of the host was observed. There was a weak positive correlation of the abundance of *M. viatorum* with the length (rs = 0.28, p = 0.0006) and weight (rs = -0.27, p = 0.0006). There was no significant difference (t-test = 1.11; p = 0.26) in the relative condition factor (Kn) between parasitized (Kn = 0.99 ± 0.04) and non-parasitized fish (Kn = 0.99 ± 0.08).

DISCUSSION

In hybrid *Colossoma macropomum* x *Piaractus brachypomus* from the eastern Amazon, parasitic prevalence was 63.1% (Dias et al. 2015). In this study, the overall parasitic prevalence was 66.9%, lower than that reported by Verján et al. (2001), for *P. brachypomus* cultured in Colombia (100%). However, Verján et al. (2001) examined only fingerlings, while we examined fish in the fattening phase and fingerlings. In three of the fish farms, there were low levels of dissolved oxygen in the water, high stocking density of fish when compared to fish

Table 2. Parasites in *Piaractus brachypomus* from four fish farms in the state of Acre (western Brazilian Amazon). P: Prevalence, MI: Mean intensity, MA: Mean abundance. N = 40 for each farm. Values are the mean ± SD.

| Parasite species                  | Farm 1          | Farm 2          | Farm 3          | Farm 4          |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                                  | P (%) | MI  | MA ± SD     | P (%) | MI  | MA ± SD     | P (%) | MI  | MA ± SD     | P (%) | MI  | MA ± SD     |
| Monogenea                        |        |     |             |        |     |             |        |     |             |        |     |             |        |     |             |
| Anacanthorus spathulatus          | 22.5   | 2.4 | 0.5 ± 1.1   | 77.5  | 11.9 | 9.3 ± 10.9 | 72.5  | 28.1 | 20.4 ± 22.5 | 50.0  | 6.5  | 3.3 ± 8.4   |
| Anacanthorus penilabiatus         | 0      | 0   | 0            | 22.5  | 1.7  | 0.4 ± 1.6  | 72.5  | 16.5 | 11.9 ± 12.9 | 0     | 0    | 0            |
| Mymarothecium viatorum           | 15.0   | 5.2 | 0.8 ± 2.8   | 77.5  | 14.7 | 11.4 ± 13.9| 77.5  | 20.7 | 16.0 ± 20.7 | 72.5  | 13.4 | 9.7 ± 13.5 |
| Digenea                          |        |     |             |        |     |             |        |     |             |        |     |             |        |     |             |
| Clinostomum marginatum           | 0      | 0   | 0            | 0     | 0   | 0            | 2.5   | 1.0  | 0.02 ± 0.02 | 0     | 0    | 0            |
| Acanthocephala                   |        |     |             |        |     |             |        |     |             |        |     |             |        |     |             |
| Echinorhynchus jucundus          | 0      | 0   | 0            | 47.7  | -    | -            | 12.5  | 14   | 0.2 ± 0.02  | 0     | 0    | 0            |
| Myxozoa                          |        |     |             |        |     |             |        |     |             |        |     |             |        |     |             |
| Henneguya sp.                    | 0      | 0   | 0            | 0     | 0   | 0            | 0     | 0    | 0            | 0     | 0    | 0            |

Table 3. Parasites in *Piaractus brachypomus* (N = 160) of four fish farms in the state of Acre, in the western Brazilian Amazon. SI: site of infection, EF: examined fish, PF: parasitized fish, DI: dispersion index, d: statistic-d, D: discrepancy, P: prevalence, MA: mean abundance, MI: mean intensity. Values are the mean ± SD.

| Parasite species                  | SI    | P (%) | MI  | MA ± SD     | FD (%) | DI    | d    | D     |
|----------------------------------|-------|-------|-----|------------|--------|-------|------|-------|
| Monogenea                        |       |       |     |            |        |       |     |       |        |        |        |        |        |
| Anacanthorus spathulatus          | Gills | 55.6  | 15.0 | 8.4 ± 15.1 | 0.40  | 5.640 | 34.5 | 0.630 |
| Anacanthorus penilabiatus         | Gills | 23.8  | 13.0 | 3.1 ± 8.4  | 0.15  | 3.658 | 26.3 | 0.825 |
| Mymarothecium viatorum           | Gills | 60.0  | 15.8 | 9.5 ± 14.8 | 0.45  | 5.902 | 35.5 | 0.614 |
| Digenea                          |       |       |     |            |        |       |     |       |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| Clinostomum marginatum           | Gills | 0.6   | 1.0  | 0.01 ± 1.0 | -      | -     | -    | -     |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| Acanthocephala                   |       |       |     |            |        |       |     |       |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| Echinorhynchus jucundus          | Intestine | 3.1  | 1.4  | 0.04 ± 0.3 | -      | -     | -    | -     |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| Myxozoa                          |       |       |     |            |        |       |     |       |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| Henneguya sp.                    | Gills | 11.9  | -    | -           | -      | -     | -    | -     |        |        |        |        |        |        |
farm 1, which favored the prevalence of parasites. In none of the farms the fish presented external signs of disease, which indicates the resistance to diseases of farmed *P. brachypomus* (Ribeiro et al. 2016). Nevertheless, *P. brachypomus* of the four fish farms had a moderate infection level of parasites. Monogeneans are the most frequent ectoparasites in farmed fish, due to their simple and direct life cycle that facilitates their reproduction in the cultivation environment, mainly when there is a low dissolved oxygen level in the tank water (Santos et al. 2013; Silva et al. 2013; Franceschini et al. 2013; Dias et al. 2015). In three of four fish farms, the dissolved oxygen levels were lower than 5.0 mg/L, which is inadequate for fish production (Santos et al. 2013; Silva et al. 2013; Dias et al. 2015) and favors this parasitism. Hence, in the fish farm that had a good oxygen level, the infection rate by monogeneans was lower.

In fish populations, the host body provides a microhabitat for different taxa of parasite, thus the host size (age) can influence the parasites load. The body condition, a quantitative indicator of the degree of health and physical condition of fish, reflect the length–weight relationship of the individual, and this can be influenced by parasitism levels (Silva et al., 2013; Santos et al. 2013; Dias et al., 2015). The condition factor is a quantitative indicator of fish welfare and may serve as a tool for studying the relationship between host health and parasitism (Santos et al. 2013). There was a weak correlation of the abundance of *M. viatorum* and *A. penilabiatus* with the size (weight and length) of *P. brachypomus*, possibly due to the low size range of the examined hosts. Dias et al. (2015) reported a positive correlation of body size of the hybrid *C. macropomum* x *P. brachypomus* with the abundance of *Ichthyophthirius multifiliis*, *Piscinoodinium pillulare*, monogeneans (*Anacanthorus spathulatus*, *Linguadactyloides brinkmanni, Mymarothecium boegeri, Notozoothectium janauachensis* and *Perulernae gamitanae*). In addition, the moderate level of parasitism did not influence the relative condition factor of parasitized fish, as reported by Dias et al. (2015) for the hybrid *C. macropomum* x *P. brachypomus*.

Monogeneans are ectoparasites that can cause diseases to the host, leading to serious problems in fish farming due to their pathogenicity and low susceptibility to chemical therapeutic agents (Nakayasu et al. 2002). *Anacanthorus spathulatus* is known for its pathogenicity, and may cause a decrease in the respiratory capacity of the host fish (Boeger and Viana 2006; Morais et al. 2009; Dias et al. 2015), depending on the parasitic abundance. In *P. brachypomus*, there was a predominance of *M. viatorum*, *A. spathulatus* and *A. penilabiatus*, which presented aggregated dispersion, a distribution pattern attributed to the strategy of reproduction of the parasites, as well as the heterogeneity of the immune system of hosts (Poulin 2013). *Anacanthorus penilabiatus* occurred only in two farms and at low infection levels, in contrast to what was reported for *P. brachypomus* farmed in the state of Ceará (Cohen and Kohn 2009). Only *M. viatorum* and *A. spathulatus* occurred in all four farms.

*Echinorhynchus jucundus* and *Clinostomum marginatum* occurred only in farm 3, both with low prevalence. Farm 3 was the only one supplying the tanks directly with water from natural water bodies, so this may have been the source of these parasites in the farm. *Echinorhynchus jucundus* is an acanthocephalan that infects *P. brachypomus* and *Piaractus mesopotamicus* Holmberg, 188 in Brazil (Santos et al. 2008). Acanthocephalan species are common in wild fish populations where there is a frequent presence of intermediate hosts of endoparasites with complex life cycles. Although the life cycle of *E. jucundus* is still unknown, in Echinorhynchidae in general it involves a crustacean isopod or amphipod as intermediate hosts, and fish as definitive hosts (Schmidt 1986; Aura et al. 2015). Despite its low infection level, the presence of *E. jucundus* should be monitored for necessary prevention and control measures, as this endoparasite can reduce the growth of farmed fish and cause production losses. The occurrence of metacercariae of *C. marginatum* also points to the presence of its intermediate host in the culture tanks, as *Clinostomum* species have a heteroxenous life cycle, and should also be monitored, as this digenean has zoonotic potential for humans (Bullard and Overstreet 2008).

*Henneguya* sp. cysts occurred only in farm 2, but in relatively high prevalence. These myxozoans are generally present in wild and farmed fish, and can cause diseases to the hosts when culture conditions are suboptimal (Martins et al. 2004). Under culture conditions, infections caused by myxozoans can be facilitated by the accumulation of organic matter and the presence of intermediate hosts (oligochaetes) on the bottom of the tanks (Franceschini et al. 2013).

**CONCLUSIONS**

Our results show that the parasitic prevalence in *Piaractus brachypomus* farmed in four fish farms in Acre state (western Brazilian Amazon) was influenced by the different management strategies of the farms, mainly in relation to fish stocking density and host age. The low dissolved oxygen level in the water of three farms likely contributed to the infection by monogeneans. The diversity of endohelmints was low, probably because they have a complex life cycle, which depends on the presence of intermediate hosts containing infective stages, which, when available in the cultivation environment, usually occur at low abundance. Our data on the frequency of occurrence and prevalence of parasites indicate the need to monitor parasite presence in local fish farms, and to adopt prophylactic measures to avoid economic losses due to potential outbreak of parasitic diseases in the future. We provide the first report on *C. marginatum* and *Henneguya* sp. for *P. brachypomus*.
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