Green bottle flies (Calliphoridae, Luciliinae) of Ecuador: geographic distribution, checklist and DNA barcodes
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ABSTRACT
Green bottle flies (Diptera, Calliphoridae, Luciliinae) comprise a diverse and cosmopolitan taxon, known from at least 1,500 species. They have become crucial elements in forensic investigations, as they spend part of their life cycle in decaying remains. Here, we review the distribution of eleven Luciliinae species in Ecuador: the monotypic Blepharicnema and ten Lucilla species. We identified specimens using morphological characters. Additionally, we DNA barcoded 43 specimens from three species using 658bp segments of the standard Cytochrome Oxidase I (COI) mitochondrial gen. Molecular and morphological identifications presented high correspondence, suggesting COI barcodes are an efficient tool for the identification of these three green bottle flies species. Geographical records are biased towards the northern Andean region, particularly near to large urban settlements. We remark the value to applied forensic research of continuous sampling of necrophagous flies under a variety of habitats and crime conditions.
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Introduction
Approximately 160,000 species of Diptera have been described worldwide [1,2]. Flies play fundamental ecological functions, like pollination, biological control, decomposition of organic material [2–4], among others. Blow flies (Diptera: Calliphoridae) comprise over 1,500 species and are considered a major group of insects in the process of carcass animal reduction [5–10]. Neotropic Calliphoridae encompasses four subfamilies: Calliphorinae, Chrysomyinae, Toxotarsinae, and Luciliinae [11,12]. Approximately 100 species of blow flies are found in the Neotropics [10]. To date, two genera of Luciliinae, species of which are commonly known as green bottle flies, had been reported in the Neotropics: Lucilia (23 spp.) and the monotypic Blepharicnema [11,12]. Besides their role in animal decomposition, green bottle flies are efficient mechanical vectors of diseases like myiasis (tissue infestation with fly larvae) [13], while other species are widely used in treatments of larval therapy, bio-therapy and biosurgery [14]. However, our understanding of the diversity and distribution of green bottle flies in Ecuador remains factionary [6,11,12].

Forensic entomology provides an emerging tool that combines biological and ecological knowledge of carrion species [4,7–9,15]. For example, the time since colonization by necrophagous flies of dead bodies is used to interpret forensic evidence in criminal cases [7–9]. Thus, correct identification of target fly specimens is an essential early step [15–17] in legal investigations. However, little research has been done on forensically important flies in Ecuador. Here, we aim to assess the diversity of Luciliinae species associated with carrion by presenting an updated checklist and distribution maps along continental and insular Ecuador. Additionally, we provide DNA COI barcodes for three of these species. Our broader aim is to contribute with geographical data of taxonomically confirmed voucher specimens to the practical use of forensic entomology and faunal inventories in Ecuador.

Materials and methods
Checklist
We include in this checklist specimen information from field trip collections, museum specimens, and a review of literature. Field trips were done from 2013 to 2016, covering disturbed and natural habitats at eight sites (ranging from 200 to 2800 m asl) throughout the Andean highlands and the Amazon region of Ecuador. We used modified McPhail, Van Someren-
To aide in species identification, we barcoded 43 specimens previously identified with morphological characters as *Lucilia purpurascens*, *L. sericata* and *L. eximia*. We amplified and sequenced samples in collaboration with Canadian Centre for DNA Barcoding (CCDB) following the standard protocols of the Biodiversity Institute of Ontario, Guelph University [18], using C_LepFolF and C_LepFolR primers. Sequences are available in GenBank under the accession numbers MF458318 to MF458358, and in the BOLD (www.boldsystems.org) database under the public project FFECU – Forensic Flies of Ecuador. After general verification of congruence between morphological and molecular taxonomic units, each species was given a Barcode Index Number (BIN) by BOLD. BINs can be used as a proxy taxonomic unit [17] and provides a permanent and objective molecular reference for further studies.

### Results

#### Checklist

Examined specimens belong to eleven Luciliinae species (Table 1) occurring along 53 localities from 14 provinces in continental and insular Ecuador (Figures 2–6). Visual inspection of distribution maps showed the northern Andean highlands as the most sampled area within the country.

#### DNA COI barcoding

We obtained 43 complete COI sequences from three *Lucilia* species from Ecuador. Molecular clustering of these 43 specimens within previously barcoded species for the country (sequences available in Genbank) showed high taxonomic concordance (Figure 7). Molecular clustering of these 43 specimens within a total of 2416 public sequences from 62 putative species is presented in Appendix 1. A BIN analyses of the World dataset suggest that our putative species name for the *L. sericata* and

| Species                                      | Natural region | Province            | Elevation range (m asl) |
|----------------------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| *Blepharicera splendens* Macquart, 1843      | AND            | Imb, Mor, Zam       | 1,880–2,800             |
| *Lucilia albifusa* Withworth, 1914           | AMA            | Orell, Napo         | 250                     |
| *Lucilia albofusca* (Curran, 1934)           | GAL            | Esp, Isab, Barto,   | NA                      |
| *Lucilia exima* (Wiedemann, 1819)            | PAC, AND, AMA  | Orell, Pich, Sto. Dom, Napo, Imb, Guay, Sta, Ele,  | 194–2,728            |
| *Lucilia ibis* (Shannon, 1926)               | AND, AMA       | Pichin, Napo        | 1,950–2,850             |
| *Lucilia nitida* Withworth, 2014             | AMA, AND       | Pinta, Fernan, Esp, | 1,950–2,850             |
| *Lucilia pionia* (Walker, 1849)              | GAL            | Sta, Cruz, Geno     | NA                      |
| *Lucilia pulvirentis* Withworth, 2014        | PAC            | Guay, Sto. Dom      | 150                     |
| *Lucilia purpurascens* (Walker, 1836)        | PAC, AND, AMA  | Pichin, Coto, Azu,  | 1,180–3,000             |
| *Lucilia sericata* (Meigen, 1826)            | AND, AMA       | Orell, Zam, Loja    | 250–3,000               |
| *Lucilia setosa* (James, 1966)               | GAL            | Darwin, Esp         | NA                      |
Table 2. Barcode index numbers (BINS) associated to Ecuadorian Lucilia, and their distribution across the world.

| Country/BIN | Lucilia eximia | Lucilia purpurascens | Lucilia sericata |
|-------------|---------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| Australia   | AAD0711       | AAV7412              | AAA6618         |
| Belgium     | AAK6767       | ACF4608              |                 |
| Bolivia     | AAU0338       | ACS3321              |                 |
| Brazil      | AAU0339       |                      |                 |
| Cameroon    | AAU3113       |                      |                 |
| Canada      | 2             |                      |                 |
| China       | 9             |                      |                 |
| Costa Rica  |               |                      |                 |
| Denmark     |               |                      |                 |
| Dominica    |               |                      |                 |
| Ecuador     | 19            | 21                   | 7               |
| France      | 19            |                      |                 |
| Germany     | 82            |                      |                 |
| Italy       | 20            |                      |                 |
| Lebanon     | 4             |                      |                 |
| Portugal    | 22            |                      |                 |
| Puerto Rico | 7             |                      |                 |
| South Africa|               |                      |                 |
| Spain       | 11            |                      |                 |
| United Kingdom| 85         |                      |                 |
| United States| 3             |                      |                 |
| Venezuela   | 2             |                      |                 |

_L. eximia_ fall well within the BINS associated to these species elsewhere in the World (Table 2). _Lucilia sericata_ BIN AAA6618 was widespread, and found in at least 14 other countries, including Australia, Canada, China, South Africa, Lebanon and the USA. Across the world, _L. eximia_ showed high molecular variability clustered in at least five BINS; with the Ecuadorian BIN AAD0711 spread across Brazil, Costa Rica, Venezuela and the USA. Our _Lucilia purpurascens_ Ecuadorian specimens clustered in two BINS (AAV7412 and ACS3321); however, none of them clustered with BIN ACF4608 from Costa Rica.

**Species List**

Subfamily Luciliinae

Genus _Blepharicnema_ Macquart, 1843

_Blepharicnema splendens_ Macquart, 1843 (Figure 5)

**Locality records**

Ecuador (five females) Imbabura, Los Cedros, 1880 m asl, 0.331 S, 78.781 W, 15/8/2006, R. Cárdenas, QCAZ 224621–24, QCAZ 12065, (QCAZ); (one male), Zamora, Podocarpus, Romerillos, 2200 m asl, 0.415 LS, 78.553 LW, 31/8/1998, S. Noriega, MECN12313 (MECN); (one female) Zamora, Podocarpus, La Curintza, 1787, 0.409 LS, 78.582 LW, 3/9/1998, G. Estevez MECN 12194 (MECN); (one female), Morona Santiago, Tinajillas, −2,96667 – 78.4167, 4/3/1985, F. Brand MECN12246 (MECN); (one female) Zamora, Podocarpus, Sendero Nangaritza, 4.368 LS, 78.835 LW, 3/9/1998, G Estevez MECN12198 (MECN).

**Comments**

This species is possibly the largest blow fly in the Neotropics [19]. Although most of the natural history of this fly is still unknown, previous studies show that they are strongly attracted to fish bait [19]. Reported for the first time in the Ecuadorian Andes from a specimen collected at Pichincha, Quito by James (1970). Also, _B. splendens_ was reported for Ecuador by [10,19–21]. The material examined from the QCAZ Museum belongs to Imbabura, Zamora and Morona Santiago Provinces.

**Distribution**

_B. splendens_ is a Neotropical species endemic to the Andes of Peru, Colombia, Bolivia, Ecuador and Venezuela [19].

Genus _Lucilia_ (Robineau-Desvoidy, 1830)

_Lucilia albofusca_ Whitworth, 2014 (Figure 3)

**Locality records**

Ecuador (two females) Orellana, EC Yasuni, 250 m asl, 0.671 LS, 76.403 LW, 30/10/2002, C. Bramme (LACM); (one female), Napo, Misahualli, 1.035 LS, 77.776 LW, 26/7/2000, Steven and Paul Keller (LACM); (two females), Napo, Rio Saragaco, 29/1/1997, J. Skartveit (RC); (one female), Orellana, EC Yasuni, 250 m asl, 0.671 LS, 76.403 LW, 28/5/2009, L. Hewitt (UG), (one female), Napo, Coca, Napo River, 12/04 – 30/05/1965, 250 m asl, L. Pena (CNC).

**Comments**

Reported for the first time in Ecuador by Whitworth [11] based on specimens collected in Napo and Orellana Provinces. Paratypes are deposited in the LAMC, CNC and UGG Museums. Males are very difficult to collect considering only 3% of specimens obtained from carrion bait traps in French Guiana were _L. albofusca_ in [11].
**Distribution**

*L. albofusca* is mainly present in the tropical region, where it has been reported in Brazil, Colombia, Ecuador, French Guiana, Guyana, Panama, Peru, Suriname and Venezuela [11].

*Lucilia deceptor* (Curran, 1934) (Figure 6)

**Locality records**

Ecuador (one female, one male), Galapagos, Isla Fernandina, 26/1/1899 (WSUP); (two males) Galapagos, Isla Española, 18/5/1899 (WSUP); (one female) Galapagos, Isla Española, Punta Juarez, 10/12/1967, Ira L. Wiggins (WSUP) [22]; mentioned (one male) from Galapagos, Isla Isabela; (one male) Galapagos, Isla Bartolome; Galapagos, Isla Floreana and Galapagos, Isla Seymour Norte.

**Comments**

First report recorded in Isla Española, Galapagos in 1899. Reported for Ecuador by [10,23,24]. No specimens of this species were collected or reviewed.

**Distribution**

Endemic to the Galapagos Archipelago [10,11,22].

*Lucilia eximia* (Wiedemann, 1819) (Figure 3)

**Locality records**

Ecuador (two males, one female), Orellana, EC Yasuni, 194 m asl, 0.671 LS, 76.402 LW, 3/3/2014, P. Padilla, QCAZ 121821 [MF458331], QCAZ 121820 [MF458337], QCAZ 121808 [MF458324], (QCAZ); (two females, four males) Orellana, EC Yasuni, 195 m asl, 0.671 LS, 76.402 LW, 1/3/2014, M. Castro, QCAZ 121823 [MF458325], QCAZ 121824 [MF458335], QCAZ 121825 [MF458338], QCAZ 121830 [MF458333] & QCAZ 121831 [MF458336] (QCAZ); (one female) Orellana, EC Yasuni, 201 m asl, 0.671 LS, 76.402 LW, 3/3/2014, D. Nieto, QCAZ 115003 [MF458328], (QCAZ); (ten females, two males), Pichinch, Puenbo, 2592 m asl, 0.202 LS, 78.328 LW, 29/7/2016, A. Torres, QCAZ 212384–86, QCAZ 212388, QCAZ 212390–95, QCAZ 212398, QCAZ 212359, (QCAZ); (two females, one male) Pichinch, Amaguaña, 2618 m asl, 0.385 LS, 78.489 LW, 29/7/2016, A. Torres, QCAZ 212389, QCAZ 212360, QCAZ 212361, (QCAZ); (two females, Pichinch, Cerro Ilalo, 2728 m asl, 0.239 LS, 78.406 LW, 29/7/2016, A. Torres, QCAZ 212396 (QCAZ); (one female), Pichinch, Santo Domingo, 0.254 LS, 79.186 LW, 8/5/1988, M. Bohart; (one male), Pichinch, Nayon, 2397 m asl, 0.166 LS, 78.416 LW, 18/10/2013, S. Aguirre; (one male, two females) Napo, Tena, 561 m asl, 0.998 LS, 77.836 LW, 30/10/2015, M. Dominguez, QCAZ 212268 [MF458326], QCAZ 212272 [MF458323], QCAZ 212266 [MF458329], (QCAZ); (one male, one female) Napo, Sarayacu, 1312 m asl, 0.695 LS, 77.800 LW, 30/10/2015, M. Dominguez, QCAZ 212267 [MF458332], QCAZ 202271 [MF458330] (QCAZ); (one female) Napo, Baenza, 1949 m asl, 0.467 LS, 77.892 LW, 11/1/2015, M. Dominguez, QCAZ 212269 [MF458327], (QCAZ); (one male); Napo, Misahualli, 1.035 LS, 77.776 LW, 25/6/1976, P.M. Turner; (three males), Imbabura, Taguando, 0.400 LS, 78.133 LW, 9/6/1965, L. Pena; (one female), Cañar, El Valle de Cochancay, 280 m asl, 2.421 LS, 79.342 LW, 13/2/1966, R.H. Arnett, E.J. Gerber; (one male), Guayas, Balao Chico, LS 2.733, 79.750 LW, 23/4/1963, L.E. Pena; (one female), Guayas, El Triunfo, 1.933 LS, 79.966 LW, 4/3/1965, L. Pena; (one male) Guayas, Guayaquil, 2.176 LS, 79.923 LW, 1935, G. Von Buchwald; (one female), Santa Elena, Rio Ayampe, 1.669 LS, 80.809 LW, 26/7/1976, J. Cohen. (one female), Pichinch, Ongotchaki, 0.383 LS, 78.966 LW, 22/3/2014, G. Rivadeneira, QCAZ 115004 [MF458334].

**Comments**

First record in Galapagos Islands (Floreana, Santa Cruz and San Cristobal) in 1989, det. B.J. Sinclair. Reported by Salazar & Donoso, and Aguirre [21,22] from QCAZ samples collected in Nayon, 2013 and by [10,11,22,23,25] based on material from Imbabura, Pichinch, Napo, Guayas, Santa Elena and Cañar collected during 1935 to 1988 and housed on the CNC Museum collection. The material examined from the QCAZ Museum was collected in Orellana, Napo and Pichinch Provinces. Individuals of *L. eximia* can develop on rotten vegetables and fruits, and are known as a secondary myiasis producer [28]. According to COI data in previous phylogenetic studies, *L. eximia* might be a species complex [11].

**Distribution**

*L. eximia* is a common species in the New World and it is commonly found in every country from the southern United States to southern South America, including Argentina, Belize, Bolivia, Brazil, Colombia, Costa Rica, Ecuador, El Salvador, French Guiana, Honduras, Guatemala, Guyana, Mexico, Nicaragua, Panama, Paraguay, Peru, Suriname, Uruguay, Venezuela, and the West Indies [11].

*Lucilia ibis* Shannon, 1926 (Figure 5)

**Locality records**

Ecuador (one male), Pichinch, Quito, 2851 m asl, 0.190 LS, 78.500 LW, 16/7/2016, A. Torres, QCAZ 224464 (QCAZ); (three females), Pichinch, Puenbo, 2594 m asl, 0.202 LS, 78.328 LW, 29/7/2016, A. Torres, QCAZ 224466–68; 2554 m asl, (QCAZ); (one female), Pichinch, Cerro Ilalo, 2728 m asl, 0.239 LS, 78.406 LW, 29/7/2016, A. Torres, QCAZ 224469, (QCAZ); (one female), Pichinch, Amaguaña, 2618 m asl, 0.385 LS, 78.489 LW, 29/7/2016, A. Torres, QCAZ 224472, (QCAZ); (five females, two males), Napo, Baenza, 1949 m asl, 0.467 LS, 77.892 LW, 1/11/2015, M. Dominguez, QCAZ 224474–77, QCAZ
224479, (QCAZ); (one female), Pichincha, Nayon, 0.166 LS, 78.416 LW, 18/10/2013, S. Aguirre.

**Comments**

Reported for the first time in Ecuador by Whitworth [11] based on material housed on the CNC Museum collected at Nayon in 2013. The material examined from the QCAZ Museum belonged to Pichincha and Napo Provinces. It is a relatively common Andean fly, attracted by cow and dog feces and by fish and liver bait. Their role as pollinators is suggested due to their common visitation frequency to flowers [26].

**Distribution**

The distribution of *L. ibis* is only known from the Andes highlands in Peru, Bolivia, Ecuador and Argentina [11]. In Ecuador this species is reported in the Andean and Amazonian region from 1900–2900 m asl (this study).

*Lucilia nitida* Whitworth, 2014 (Figure 4)

**Locality records**

Ecuador (one female), Napo, Narupa, 1240 m asl 0.727 LS, 77.772 LW, 07/05/2015, E. Amat, TdeA-7040; (one male) Pichincha, Otongachi, 889 m asl. 0.314 LS, 78.950 LW, S. Aguirre, 7/10/2014 QCAZ L194: (one female), Loja, P. N. Podocarpus, 1027 m asl, 4.102 LS, 78.948 LW, 20/6/2016, E. Moreno, TdeA10073.

**Comments**

Reported in Ecuador for the first time in 2014 in Napo province during this study.

**Distribution**

Brazil, Peru, Venezuela [11] and Ecuador.

*Lucilia pionia* (Walker, 1849) (Figure 6)

**Locality records**

Ecuador (three females), Galapagos, Isla Pinta, Ibbetson, 0.953 LS, 90.965 LW, 13/3/1992, S. Peck; (two females), Galapagos, Isla Fernandina, Cabo Hammond, 0.953 LS, 90.965 LW, 4/5/1991, S. Peck; (one female), Galapagos, Isla Española, Bahia Manzanillo, 0.953 LS, 90.965 LW, 8/6/1985, S. Peck; Tatwain and Sinclair, 2013 mentioned (one female) Galapagos, Fernandina, (one male, 1 female), Galapagos, Santa Cruz, (one unknown sex) Española, Punta Juarez, (one unknown sex) Pinta; James, 1966 mentioned (two males, one female), Galapagos, Genovesa.

**Comments**

Described by Walker based on a male specimen collected by Charles Darwin in 1835. Reported for Ecuador by [10,11,22,25] based on material collected in Pinta, Fernandina, Genovesa, Española and Santa Cruz Islands. There is no material deposited in the QCAZ Museum for this species.

**Distribution**

Endemic to the Galapagos Archipelago [10,11,22].

*Lucilia pulverulenta* Whitworth, 2014 (Figure 3)

**Locality records**

Ecuador (two males, two females), Guayas, Balao Chico, 2.733 LS, 79.750 LW, 26/4/1963, Pena (CNC); (one female), Santo Domingo, Rio Palenque, 150 m asl, 0.583 LS, 79.366 LW, 26/4/1963, G. M. Wood.

**Comments**

Reported from Ecuador by Whitworth [11] based on material housed at CNC Museum collection collected in Guayas and Santo Domingo Provinces in 1963. There is no material deposited in the QCAZ Museum for this species.

**Distribution**

This species is known from Colombia, Costa Rica, Ecuador, Honduras and Panama [11].

*Lucilia purpurascens* (Walker, 1836) (Figure 4)

**Locality records**

Ecuador (one male, two females), Napo, 7 km S Baeza, 2,000 m asl, Feb. 20–25, 1979, G. and M. Wood (CNC); (one male), Cuenca rd., Cañar Azuay 4 March 1965, L. Pena (CNC); (six males, eleven females), Tandapi, 40 km SW Quito, 1300–1500 m asl, June 15–21, 1965, Pena (CNC); (one male), Napo, May/10/2002, O. Lonsdale. BNNR054, (UGG); (one male), Pichincha, DMQ Bosque Metropolitano 0.342 LS, 78.518 LW, BMS-BT05, A. Torres VSR,8/23/-9/15/2016 Bosque, A. Perez det. QCAZ 224619 (QCAZ); (nine females), Pichincha, Guajillo, 1,800 m asl, 0.216 LS, 78.750 LW, 30/5/2004, D. Paez, QCAZ 212363–71 (QCAZ); (one male), Cotopaxi, Las Pampas, 1500 m asl, 0.421 LS, 78.951 LW, 5/5/2002, G. Caroti, QCAZ 212372 (QCAZ); (one male, five females), Imbabura, Los Cedros, 1180 m asl, −0.3052778 – 77.7772, 3/8/2005, R. Cárdenas, QCAZ 212373–78 (QCAZ); (one male, two females) Zamora, Cantón El Pangui, 1413 m asl, 4 LS, 78.587 LW, 14/8/2010, A. Argoti, T. Ghia, QCAZ 212379–81 (QCAZ); (one female), Pichincha, Bellavista Ecient. 2287 m asl, 0.010 LS, 78.687 LW, 1/8/2009, R. Cárdenas, QCAZ 212382
(QCAZ); (one female), Pichincha, Sangolqui, Conocoto, 2538 m asl, 0.291 LS, 78.477 LW, 16/2/2013, C. Castro, QCAZ 212383 (QCAZ); (one male, five females), Napo, Baeza, 1949 m asl, 0.467 LS, 77.892 LW, 31/10/2015, M. Dominguez, QCAZ 212243 [MF458342], QCAZ 212244 [MF458343], QCAZ 212274 [MF458352], QCAZ 212232 [MF458355], QCAZ 212233 [MF458347], QCAZ 212270 [MF458349], (QCAZ); (two males, one female), Napo, Sarayacu, 1,312 m asl, 0.695 LS, 77.800 LW, 28/10/2015, M. Dominguez, QCAZ 212240 [MF458344], QCAZ 212241 [MF458340], QCAZ 212242 [MF458339], (QCAZ); (four females), Pichincha, Nayon, 2,397 m asl, 0.166 LN, 78.416 LW, 25/10/2013, S. Aguirre, QCAZ 114999 [MF458353], QCAZ 115000 [MF458357], QCAZ 115001 [MF458345], QCAZ 115002 [MF458348], (QCAZ); (one female), Loja, Loja, 2189 m asl, 4 LS, 79.175 LW, 17/7/2015, A. Garcia, QCAZ 212297 [MF458341] (QCAZ); (one male, 4 females), Pichincha, Quito, Parque Metropolitano, 2,542 m asl, 0.179 LS, 78.472 LW, 15/5/2015, W. Pruna, QCAZ 212245 [MF458351], QCAZ 212246 [MF458356], QCAZ 212247 [MF458354], QCAZ 212248 [MF458350], QCAZ 212249 [MF458346] (QCAZ).

Comments
Reported for Ecuador for the first time by Whitworth [11] based on material housed in the CNC Museum collected from Azuay, Santo Domingo and Napo Provinces in 1965 and 1979. This species was mis-spelled as purpurescens in most prior publications; more nomenclatural details see [11].

Distribution
L. purpurascens is considered a montane species given its distribution throughout the Andean region from 1300–1900 m asl in Peru [26], 2700–3000 m asl in Colombia [6], and 1200–3000 m asl in Ecuador (Pichincha, Cotopaxi, Imbabura, Zamora, Napo and Loja provinces).

Figure 1. Lucilia sericata (Calliphoridae) from Quito, Ecuador. Photo by Felipe Varela.

Lucilia sericata (Meigen, 1826) (Figures 1 and 5)

Locality records
Ecuador (one female), Pichincha, Machachi, 2900 m asl, 0.506 LS, 78.577 LW, 21iv2013, D Navarreta; (one female), Pichincha, Palmaras 24/05/92, E. Pichilingue, QCAZ 212362; (three females), Pichincha, Nayon,
2,397 m asl, 0.166 LS, 78.416 LW, 13/10/2013, S. Aguirre, QCAZ 114997 [MF458318], QCAZ 114998 [MF458319], QCAZ 114996 [MF458320] (QCAZ); (two males, one female), Pichincha, Puembo, 2,592 m asl, 0.202 LS, 78.328 LW, 29/7/2016, A. Torres, QCAZ 224459–61; (one female), Pichincha, Puembo, 2,554 m asl, 0.202 LS, 78.328 LW, 29/7/2016, A. Torres, QCAZ 224463 (QCAZ); (one female), Pichincha Amaguana, 2,618 m asl, 0.385 LS, 78.489 LW, 29/7/2016, A. Torres, QCAZ 224456 (QCAZ); (one female), Pichincha, Cashapamba, 2,684 m asl, 0.356 LS, 78.416 LW, 23/8/2016, A. Torres, QCAZ 224457 (QCAZ); (one female), Pichincha, Cerro Ilalo, 2728 m asl, 0.239 LS, 78.406 LW, 29/7/2016, A. Torres, QCAZ 224458 (QCAZ).

**Comments**

Reported for Ecuador based on an undetermined number of QCAZ specimens collected in Nayon in 2013 [21,22]. The material examined from the QCAZ Museum was collected in Orellana and Pichincha provinces. Together with *L. eximia*, adults of *L. sericata* are commonly found due to their frugivorous behavior, where immature stages can develop on rotten vegetables and fruits [26]. This species has been reported as a primary and secondary myiasis producer [26].

**Distribution**

Even though *L. sericata* is distributed from southern Canada to Argentina, it is not found in many areas of the Neotropics. Yet, it is known to be present in Central and South America near large cities [11].

**Locality setosa** (James, 1966) (**Figure 6**)

**Locality records**

Ecuador (five males, six females) paratypes, Isla Darwin, 29 January 1964, D.G. Cavagnaro (WSUP); (one female), same data except nonparatype; (two males), Española at Punta Juarez, Feb. 10–12, 1967, Ira L. Wiggins (WSUP).

**Comments**

James [27] described *L. setosa* based on specimens from Darwin and Wolf islands. Reported for Ecuador by [10,11,22,25]. There is no material deposited in the QCAZ Museum for this species.

**Distribution**

Endemic to the Galapagos Archipelago [10,11,22] although it is likely to occur on other Pacific islands [11].

**Discussion**

We provide evidence for the presence of eleven Luciliinae species in Ecuador: the monotypic *Blepharicnema* and ten species of *Lucilia*, from which three species, *L. deceptor*, *L. setosa*, and *L. piona* are endemic to the Galapagos Archipelago, and *L. nitida* is
Figure 7. Evolutionary analysis by Maximum Likelihood method of Lucilia barcodes in Ecuador. The evolutionary history was inferred by using the Maximum Likelihood method and Kimura 2-parameter model [43]. The tree with the highest log likelihood (−1517.85) is shown. The percentage of trees in which the associated taxa clustered together is shown next to the branches. Initial tree(s) for the heuristic search were obtained automatically by applying Neighbor-Join and BioNJ algorithms to a matrix of pairwise distances estimated using the Maximum Composite Likelihood (MCL) approach, and then selecting the topology with superior log likelihood value. A discrete Gamma distribution was used to model evolutionary rate differences among sites (5 categories (+G, parameter = 0.4209)). The rate variation model allowed for some sites to be evolutionarily invariable ([+I], 76.10% sites). The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths measured in the number of substitutions per site. This analysis involved 55 nucleotide sequences. Codon positions included were 1st+2nd+3rd+Noncoding. There were a total of 654 positions in the final dataset. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA X (44–45).
reported for the first time in continental Ecuador. Previous studies have reported that *L. cuprina* [11,27–30] and *L. vulgata* [11,28] (both widespread species) may be present in Ecuador according to their current distribution; however, we were not able to review any specimens for Ecuador. Aguirre [21] reported the presence of *Lucilia elongata* (Shannon 1924) in Ecuador, a species that was later on included in Salazar and Donoso [20] catalogue of species of forensic value for Ecuador. We exclude *L. elongata* from the present checklist because there are no previous reports in the region for this species [10,11] and it was likely a misidentification by Aguirre [21].

To this date, Ecuadorian Calliphoridae have not been appropriately sampled, hence the diversity and distribution of Luciliinae will likely be found to be much wider than reported here. In this study, the geographical records are biased to the Andean region (particularly near to large urban settlements), while other regions in the southern Andes, Coastal region, Amazon Forest, and the Galapagos Archipelago are poorly represented (Figure 2). This is a pattern commonly found in Ecuadorian invertebrates [31,32]. For this reason, multiregional sampling in urban (eusynanthropic), seminatural (hemisynanthropic), and natural (asynanthropic) habitats including Ecuadorian highlands and islands, is mandatory to improve available information on diversity, distribution, and ecology of these forensically important insects.

Recent research has incorporated DNA barcoding as a trustworthy method for the identification and discovery of species [33,34] including forensic important flies [16]. Molecular identity is important to confirm species status and to contribute with a faster and more reliable analysis of the entomological evidence [7,16,35] specially when available samples of the crime scene are immature stages, which are difficult to identify using taxonomic keys. Consequently, voucher specimens from Museum collections are highly important to confirm old records, assess taxonomic variation, and fill out gaps on distribution data [28,31]. Therefore, an optimal local reference collection, scientists can rely on, is hardly needed to continue with the development of forensic entomology in the country. Even though previous studies on molecular ID of forensically important flies have shown to be successful when using mitochondrial barcodes, particularly the cytochrome c oxidase subunit I- COI [16,24,35–37], other studies have reported problems when running identity assessments [38,39]. Barcoding, together with Museum collections, contributes with a variety of new opportunities in the analysis and interpretations of forensic importance specimens [16] as well as to the biodiversity inventories and DNA data bulk of the planet [34]. Finally, we expect this study to enhance the collaboration between entomologists and the legal community [4,7,16,40–42].
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