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In this paper, the influence of rotation speed and welding speed on the impact strength, microstructure and cross section micro hardness of FSW welded joints of Al-Zn-Mg-Cu high strength aluminium alloy is studied. Rotation speed was varied from 750 min⁻¹ to 850 min⁻¹ and welding speed from 60 mm/min to 80 mm/min. It was found that the energy of crack propagation is up to three times higher than the energy of crack initiation. This ratio was found by testing the Charpy notched specimens taken from left and right from the weld centre, 4 mm from the notch in a direction opposite to a direction of welding. Micro hardness distribution in the nugget does not show large dissipation of value regardless if the measuring point is in the upper or lower section of the nugget. Weld microstructure characteristics and zones are clearly defined at the basis of grain size and material flow.
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Introduction

Until the discovery of friction stir welding two and a half decades ago, weldability of thermally strengthened (tempered) aluminium alloys was a serious technological problem. Among the alloys that were not weldable were the alloys of the type 2xxx, and especially type 7xxx having the composition Al-Zn-Mg-Cu, Fig. 1.

Aluminium alloys from this class are characterized by high strength, low toughness and increased sensibility to cracking. Therefore, it is of primary interest to acquire welded joints (with heterogeneous structure) of a favourable compromise of features enabling their application in the aviation and military technology. Such demand could be met only by a welding technology with a low heat input, which generated a lower temperature than the melting temperature of the alloy to be welded [1].
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kinematic parameters are opposite, it is the retreating side (RS) [2]. Fig. 2 shows the illustrative scheme of friction stir welding with key features and kinematic parameters.

2 Experimental

The experiment was aimed to find the influence of input kinematic parameters such as welding speed (v) and tool rotation speed (n) on metallurgical and mechanical characteristics of welded joints. Base material was the high strength aluminium alloy similar to EN AW 7049 in T652 heat treated condition produced by domestic raw material within the industrial conditions [3, 4].

Casting was made from several batches and after the receipt of liquid metal, at the temperature of 850 °C alloyed elements were added in the form of pure metals or pure alloys. Also, the degassing and refining was done to eliminate the presence of hydrogen from metal and dross. Free casting is made in two phases [5]. In the first phase the chuck is compressed on the press of nominal power 50 MN with the temperature of tool of 150 ÷ 200 °C, temperature of insole of 420 ÷ 440 °C and casting velocity of 3 mm/s at the height of 25±1 mm. In the second phase, at the same press and at the same heat regime, but with the casting velocity of 2 mm/s, intermediate product is cast at the height of 15±1 mm. As regards the processing of dissolved calcining, the piece is heated to 470 °C and stayed at that temperature for 60 minutes, and then was quickly cooled in water at maximum 15 s [6]. To remove the residual voltage from casting, pieces are cold deformed from 1 % to 3 %. Fig. 3 shows the finished cast plate of 760 × 660 mm after the T652 heat treatment of artificial aging. In Fig. 4, the plates after the mechanical processing are shown.

Table 1 Chemical composition from the batch 1574 of alloy EN AW 7049 A T 652

| Alloy type / Batch number | Content of elements, wt. % |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|
|                          | Zn | Mg | Cu | Mn | Cr | Zr | Tr | V  | B  | Fe | Si |
| EN AW 7049 A/1574         | 7.28 | 2.25 | 1.58 | 0.29 | 0.18 | 0.14 | 0.15 | 0.007 | 0.003 | 0.16 | 0.09 |

Table 2 Mechanical properties and hardness of the cast plate from the batch 1574 of EN AW 7049 A T 652 alloy

| Alloy type / Batch number | Yield strength, $R_{p0.2}$ / MPa | Ultimate tensile strength, $R_m$ / MPa | Elongation, $A_5$ / % | Hardness, HB |
|---------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| EN AW 7049 A/1574         | 506                              | 588                                  | 8                    | 160         |

Welding was made in accordance with the plan of experiment, with variations in tool rotation speed (n) and welding speed (v), Tab. 3. Other parameters of welding were maintained constant.

Table 3 Tool rotation speed (n) and welding speed (v)

| Experimental point | Tool rotation speed / n / min | Welding speed / v / mm/min |
|-------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|
| ET 05             | 850                          | 80                        |
| ET 06             | 750                          | 60                        |
| ET 07             | 750                          | 80                        |
| ET 08             | 850                          | 60                        |

Welding was made on CNC tool milling cutter AG 400, Fig. 5, equipped with welding power and tool oscillation system. The tool was of high alloyed tool steel, heat treated to 54 HRc. The tool is shown in Fig. 6.

Micro hardness was measured in two lines, parallel to the plate surface and perpendicular to that, through the nugget. The distance between the measuring points was 0.5 mm. Micro hardness was measured by using a Shimadzu tester HV2000, with 1 kg loading. Parameters of the welded joint fracture technique are determined on the Charpy test tubes sampled normally to the direction of welding and with the notch in the centre, at the protruded side and in the withdrawn side of the seam metal. Instrumented Charpy pendulum used was Amsler RPK-300. Standard metallographic preparation was conducted, with 5 % HCl + 2 % HF + 93 % C2H6O etching agent. The metallographic examination was conducted on Nikon Epiphot-300 light microscope.

Figure 3 Intermediate product in the form of forging

Figure 4 Plates of 180 × 65 × 6 mm after the mechanical processing

Chemical analysis was made on OE quantimeters ARL 3580 with specimens of "Pechiney" [3].

Chemical composition of experimental plates is provided in Tab. 1 and mechanical properties in Tab. 2 [3].

Figure 5 Experimental welding with welding power and tool oscillations measurement system
3 Results

After the welding process was completed, welds were tested. For that purpose, visual control was performed, on the weld face and root of the seam, as well as the radiographic control of samples. No defects were detected (visually, touch or magnifier). Radiogram of point 5 is shown in Fig. 7, where no defects were found.

Fig. 8 shows the macro graphic of the cross section structure in the 5 point of the experiment.

Fig. 9 shows hardness profiles in three levels – measurement lines. It can be seen that the high hardness zone in the sub-surface line and the bottom line closely follow the shape of the nugget with its surface widened section obtained by the combined effect of tool pin and tool shoulder. Hardness measurements in line 3 reveal that there are some variations; however, they are not higher than 20 HV. Also, it can be seen that there is a marked drop in hardness in the heat affected zone, while the hardness level in the nugget is similar to base metal that is common with heat treated aluminium alloys.

Figs. 10 ÷ 12 show the results of impact toughness on instrumented Charpy pendulum. Force and energy dependencies versus time are shown to assess the energy of crack initiation and crack propagation. Fig. 10 shows the results that refer to the results obtained with the specimens taken from the nugget (weld centre), Fig. 11 from the area next to the nugget towards retreating side (4 mm from weld centre), while in Fig. 12 the results of the specimens taken from the advancing side (4 mm from weld centre) are shown. It can be seen that in all specimens, the crack initiation energy is considerably higher than crack propagation energy. In the majority of cases, the increased impact energy also causes an increased energy of crack initiation, as well as crack propagation energy.

4 Discussion

On the basis of the metallographic analysis of the weld, it can be seen that there are clear different zones, influenced by the heat generated by tool rotation and translational speed. These both effects also influence the appearance of intensive plastic deformation. Thermomechanical zone was characterized by the refined microstructure and the material flow around the FSW tool pin. Within the basic material, intermetallic phases have been observed. This is result of the heat treatment before FWS welding, when material was prepared.

Micro hardness results shown in Fig. 10 clearly show the nugget zone, with the central part of the chart having the form of the letter "W" in the lower line of the cross section. There are two local micro hardness peaks between the nugget and the thermomechanical zone. Inside the thermomechanical zone, the micro hardness is on the level of, or slightly higher than that of the basic material. A slight decrease in micro hardness can be
observed in the zone between the thermomechanical and heat affected zones in both line 1 and line 2. This, relatively small decrease of micro hardness has no significant influence on mechanical properties. In spite of the relatively small values of total impact energy, it can be noticed that the energy of the crack propagation ($E_p$) is 2.5 to 3 times the energy of the crack initiation ($E_i$) for all examined experimental parameters.

The highest values of impact energies in the central, nugget section were measured in specimens obtained with parameters 6 and 7, both with rotation speed $n = 750 \text{ min}^{-1}$ and welding speed of $v = 60$ and $80 \text{ mm/min}$, respectively. In both instances, the ratio between the rotation and welding speed is 10 or slightly over 10. In the other two sections, with specimens taken from the retreating side, a different relation was obtained. Namely, maximum values of impact energy were obtained in experiments 7 and 8, with $n = 750$ and $850 \text{ min}^{-1}$ and welding speeds of 80 and 60 mm/min. That means, the ratio between rotation and welding speed is lower than 10.

![Figure 9 Layout of the micro hardness results measuring in three levels (from top to bottom; experimental points 5, 6, 7 and 8)](image-url)
(for point 7 of the experiment) and higher than 10 (for point 8 of the experiment). Finally, if the notch is located on the advancing side of the weld, the most favourable relation between the rotation and welding speed is higher than 10, since in experiment point 6, the welding speed was 750 min\(^{-1}\) and welding speed was 60 mm/min.

Although it is difficult to assess which experimental point, that is, set of parameters is the most effective, the point 7a has a slight advantage. With these parameters: \(n = 750\ \text{min}^{-1}\), \(v = 60\ \text{mm/min}\) and rotation to welding speed of slightly less than 10, the most balanced impact energies were obtained.

![Figure 10](image_url)  
**Figure 10** \(F-t\) and \(E-t\) diagrams (\(KV, E, E_i\) and \(E_p\)) for experimental points 5, 6, 7 and 8 in weld centre.

![Figure 11](image_url)  
**Figure 11** \(F-t\) and \(E-t\) diagrams (\(KV, E, E_i\) and \(E_p\)) for experimental points 5, 6, 7 and 8 in retreating side.
5 Conclusion

On the basis of examinations performed, given results of the experiment and their comparison, the following conclusions can be provided:

- Occurrence of intermetallic phases which are the consequence of the procedure of fabrication and heat treatment of the alloy EN AW 7049 A in condition T652 influence those phases are moved to other areas of the welded joint,
- Profile of distribution and allocation of micro hardness depends on the level of temperature and plastic deformation which is highest under the tool shoulder and around the pin,
- Relation between the number of revolutions of tools \( n \) and velocity of welding \( v \) directly influences the value of the fracture toughness and energy which is required for initiation and propagation of the crack,
- The asymmetry of the welded joint and changes in metallurgical transformations occurring around the pin and under the shoulder of the tool during its combined moving, influence the value of impact strength in various areas of the welded joint.
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