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\begin{abstract}
We construct spherical vector bases that are bandlimited and spatially concentrated, or, alternatively, spacelimited and spectrally concentrated, suitable for the analysis and representation of real-valued vector fields on the surface of the unit sphere, as arises in the natural and biomedical sciences, and engineering. Building on the original approach of Slepian, Landau, and Pollak we concentrate the energy of our function bases into arbitrarily shaped regions of interest on the sphere, and within certain bandlimits in the vector spherical-harmonic domain. As with the concentration problem for scalar functions on the sphere, which has been treated in detail elsewhere, a Slepian vector basis can be constructed by solving a finite-dimensional algebraic eigenvalue problem. The eigenvalue problem decouples into separate problems for the radial and tangential components. For regions with advanced symmetry such as polar caps, the spectral concentration kernel matrix is very easily calculated and block-diagonal, lending itself to efficient diagonalization. The number of spatiospectrally well-concentrated vector fields is well estimated by a Shannon number that only depends on the area of the target region and the maximal spherical-harmonic degree or bandwidth. The spherical Slepian vector basis is doubly orthogonal, both over the entire sphere and over the geographic target region. Like its scalar counterparts it should be a powerful tool in the inversion, approximation and extension of bandlimited fields on the sphere: vector fields such as gravity and magnetism in the earth and planetary sciences, or electromagnetic fields in optics, antenna theory and medical imaging.
\end{abstract}

1. Introduction

Since it is impossible to simultaneously bandlimit and spacelimit a function to a chosen region of interest, we need to resort to functions that are bandlimited but optimally concentrated, with respect to their spatial energy, inside a target region. Slepian, Landau, and Pollak presented the solution to the problem of optimally concentrating a signal in time and frequency in their seminal papers [1–4]. Their construction leads to a family of orthogonal taper functions that have been widely applied as windows to regularize the quadratic inverse problem of power-spectral estimation from time-series observations of finite extent [5]. The “Slepian functions”, as we shall be calling them, are furthermore of great utility as a basis for function representation, approximation, interpolation and extension, and to solve stochastic linear inverse problems in a wide range of disciplines. Several authors have studied the time–scale and time–frequency concentration problem in more general settings (see [6–8] and references therein for a review). More specifically, spherical scalar Slepian functions, spatially concentrated while bandlimited, or spectrally concentrated while spacelimited, have been applied in physical, computational, and biomedical fields such as geodesy [9–12] and gravimetry [13–17], geomagnetism [18,19] and geodynamics [20],...
Fig. 1. Sketch illustrating the geometry of the vector spherical concentration problem. Lower right shows an axisymmetric polar cap of colatitudinal radius $\theta$ as treated in Section 4. The area of the region of concentration, $R = R_1 \cup R_2 \cup \ldots$, is denoted by $A$ in the text.

Fig. 1. Sketch illustrating the geometry of the vector spherical concentration problem. Lower right shows an axisymmetric polar cap of colatitudinal radius $\theta$ as treated in Section 4. The area of the region of concentration, $R = R_1 \cup R_2 \cup \ldots$, is denoted by $A$ in the text.

planetary [21–24] and biomedical science [25,26], cosmology [27,28], and computer science [29,30], while continuing to be of interest in information and communication theory [31], signal processing [32,33], and mathematics [34,35].

To date only a few attempts have been made to bring the advantages of spherical Slepian functions into the realm of spherical vector fields. The first successful construction of spatially concentrated bandlimited tangential spherical vector fields was reported for applications in magnetoencephalography [25,26,36]. In geodesy, Eshagh [37] has developed methods to explicitly evaluate the product integrals arising in the concentration problem whose solutions are the vectorial Slepian functions. In this paper we present a complete extension of Slepian’s spatio-spectral concentration problem to vector fields on the sphere, and give suggestions and examples as to their usage for problems of a geomagnetic nature (e.g. [38,39]). The family of optimally concentrated spherical vectorial multitapers that we will construct in the following should be useful in many scientific applications. In particular in geomagnetism, one of the objectives of the Swarm mission [40] is to model the lithospheric magnetic field with maximal resolution and accuracy, even in the presence of contaminating signals from secondary sources. In addition, and more generally, lithospheric-field data analysis will have to successfully merge information from the global to the regional scale. In the past decade or so, a variety of global-to-regional modeling techniques have come of age, including harmonic splines [41–43], stitching together local models [44–48], and wavelets [49–51]. Due to their optimal combination of spatial locality and spectral bandlimitation the basis functions constructed in this paper should be well suited to combine global and local data while respecting their bandlimitation.

2. Preliminaries

Fig. 1 shows the geometry of the unit sphere $\Omega = \{ \hat{r} : \|\hat{r}\| = 1 \}$ and its tangential vectors. The colatitude of spherical points $\hat{r}$ is denoted by $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$ and the longitude by $0 \leq \phi < 2\pi$; we denote the unit vector pointing outwards in the radial direction by $\hat{r}$, and the unit vectors in the tangential directions towards the south pole and towards the east will be denoted by $\hat{\theta}$ and $\hat{\phi}$, respectively. The symbol $R$ will be used to denote a region of the unit sphere $\Omega$, of area $A = \int_R d\Omega$, within which the bandlimited vector field shall be concentrated. The region can be a combination of disjoint subregions, $R = R_1 \cup R_2 \cup \ldots$, and the boundaries of those subregions can be irregularly shaped, as depicted. We will denote the region complementary to $R$ by $\Omega \setminus R$. 
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2.1. Real scalar spherical harmonics

Restricting our attention to real-valued vector fields, we use real vector spherical harmonics, which are constructed from their scalar counterparts. Each scalar spherical harmonic $Y_{lm}$ has a degree $0 \leq l$ and, for each degree, an order $-l \leq m \leq l$. Our spherical harmonics are unit-normalized in the sense [52]

\[
Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) = \begin{cases} 
\sqrt{2} X_{|m|}(\theta) \cos m\phi & \text{if } -l \leq m < 0, \\
X_l(\theta) & \text{if } m = 0, \\
\sqrt{2} X_m(\theta) \sin m\phi & \text{if } 0 < m \leq l,
\end{cases}
\]  

(1)

\[
X_{lm}(\theta) = (-1)^m \left( \frac{2l+1}{4\pi} \right)^{1/2} \left[ \frac{(l-m)!}{(l+m)!} \right]^{1/2} P_{lm}(\cos \theta),
\]

(2)

\[
P_{lm}(\mu) = \frac{1}{2^l l!} \left( 1 - \mu^2 \right)^{m/2} \frac{d^l}{d\mu^l} \left( \mu^2 - 1 \right)^l.
\]

(3)

The asymptotic wavenumber associated with a harmonic degree $l$ is $\sqrt{l(l+1)}$ [53]. The function $P_{lm}(\mu)$ in (3) is called the associated Legendre function of integer degree $l$ and order $m$. The spherical harmonics $Y_{lm}(\hat{r})$ are eigenfunctions of the Laplace–Beltrami operator, $\nabla^2 Y_{lm} = -l(l+1)Y_{lm}$, where $\nabla^2 = \partial_\theta^2 + \cot \theta \partial_\theta + (\sin \theta)^{-2} \partial_\phi^2$. We choose the constants in (1)–(3) to guarantee orthonormality:

\[
\int_{\Omega} Y_{lm} Y_{l'm'} \, d\Omega = \delta_{ll'} \delta_{mm'}.
\]

(4)

The product of two normalized Legendre functions [52,54] is the linear combination

\[
X_{lm}(\theta) X_{l'm'}(\theta) = (-1)^{m+1} \sum_{n=|l-l'|}^{l+l'} \left( \frac{2n+1}{4\pi} \right)^{1/2} \frac{(2n+1)(2l+1)(2l'+1)}{4\pi} \left( \begin{array}{ccc} l & n & l' \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
m & -m & m' \end{array} \right) X_{n,m+m'}(\theta).
\]

(5)

The index arrays in (5) are Wigner 3-j symbols [54,55]. We will use the following two recursion relations [37,56] for the derivatives of the $X_{lm}(\theta)$ and their divisions by $\sin \theta$. Define

\[
X_{lm}' = \frac{dX_{lm}}{d\theta}.
\]

(6)

Then, from the work by Ilk [56] it follows that, for $0 \leq l$ and $0 \leq m \leq l$,

\[
X_{lm}' = a_{lm}^{-} X_{l,m-1} + a_{lm}^{+} X_{l,m+1},
\]

(7)

where

\[
a_{lm}^{\pm} = \pm \frac{\sqrt{(l \pm m)(l \pm m + 1)}}{2}.
\]

(8)

and, for $0 \leq l$ and $1 \leq m \leq l$,

\[
(sin \theta)^{-1} m X_{lm} = b_{lm}^{-} X_{l-1,m-1} + b_{lm}^{+} X_{l-1,m+1},
\]

(9)

where

\[
b_{lm}^{\pm} = -\frac{2l+1}{2l-1} \sqrt{(l \pm m)(l \pm m - 1)}.
\]

(10)

In both (7) and (9), for $l < 0$, or $m < 0$, or $l < m$, we have $X_{lm} = 0$.

Finally, as shown by Paul [57], integrals of the type

\[
I_{lm}(\theta) = \int_{0}^{\theta} X_{lm}(\theta) \sin \theta \, d\theta
\]

(11)

can be exactly evaluated recursively. When $l \geq 2$ and $0 \leq m < l$, we have

\[
I_{lm}(\theta) = \frac{l-2}{l+1} \left[ \frac{(2l+1)(l-1)^2 - m^2}{(2l-3)(l^2 - m^2)} \right] I_{l-2m}(\theta) + \frac{1}{l+1} \sqrt{\frac{4l^2 - 1}{l^2 - m^2}} \sin^2 \theta X_{l-1,m}(\theta),
\]

(12)
and, for \( l \geq 2 \) and \( m = l \), the formula
\[
I_l^2(\theta) = \frac{1}{l+1} \sqrt{\frac{2l+1}{4\pi} - \frac{l(l-1)}{4l} \left( l(l-1) - (\sin \theta)^2 \right)}.
\] (13)

The recursions (12)-(13) are to be started from
\[
X_{00}(\theta) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}}, \quad I_{00}(\theta) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} (1 - \cos \theta),
\]
\[
X_{10}(\theta) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \cos \theta, \quad I_{10}(\theta) = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{\pi}} \sin \theta^2,
\]
\[
X_{11}(\theta) = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \sin \theta, \quad I_{11}(\theta) = -\frac{1}{4\sqrt{\pi}} (\theta - \sin 2\theta),
\] (14)
hence enabling the exact evaluation of all integrals \( I_l(\theta) \) for \( l \geq 0 \) and \( 0 \leq m \leq l \).

2.2. Real-valued vector spherical harmonics

The canonical three-dimensional gradient operator \( \nabla = \hat{r} \partial_r + r^{-1} \nabla_1 \), where \( \nabla_1 = \hat{\theta} \partial_{\theta} + \hat{\phi}(\sin \theta)^{-1} \partial_{\phi} \). (15)

For any differentiable function \( H(\hat{r}) \) on the unit sphere, the vector field \( \hat{r} H(\hat{r}) \) is purely radial, the vector fields \( \nabla_1 H(\hat{r}) \) are purely tangential, and all three are mutually orthogonal. We can thus construct vector spherical harmonics from gradients of scalar spherical harmonics by defining, for \( l > 0 \) and \( -m \leq l \leq m \),
\[
P_{lm} = \hat{r} Y_{lm},
\]
\[
B_{lm} = \frac{\nabla_1 Y_{lm}}{\sqrt{l(l+1)}} = \frac{[\hat{\theta} \partial_{\theta} + \hat{\phi}(\sin \theta)^{-1} \partial_{\phi}] Y_{lm}}{\sqrt{l(l+1)}},
\]
\[
C_{lm} = -\hat{r} \times \nabla_1 Y_{lm} = \frac{[\hat{\phi} \partial_{\phi} - \hat{\theta} \partial_{\theta}] Y_{lm}}{\sqrt{l(l+1)}},
\] (16)
(17)
(18)
together with the purely radial \( P_{00} = (4\pi)^{-1/2} \hat{r} \) and the vanishing \( B_{00} = C_{00} = 0 \). The orthonormality of \( \hat{r}, \hat{\theta}, \) and \( \hat{\phi} \) immediately leads to
\[
P_{lm} \cdot B_{lm'} = P_{lm} \cdot C_{lm'} = 0,
\] (19)
and the vector spherical harmonics are furthermore orthonormal in the sense
\[
\int_{\Omega} P_{lm} \cdot P_{lm'} d\Omega = \int_{\Omega} B_{lm} \cdot B_{lm'} d\Omega = \int_{\Omega} C_{lm} \cdot C_{lm'} d\Omega = \delta_{ll'} \delta_{mm'},
\]
\[
\int_{\Omega} P_{lm} \cdot B_{lm'} d\Omega = \int_{\Omega} P_{lm} \cdot C_{lm'} d\Omega = \int_{\Omega} B_{lm} \cdot C_{lm'} d\Omega = 0.
\] (20)

The vector spherical-harmonic addition theorem [58] comprises the identities
\[
\sum_{m=-l}^{l} (P_{lm} \cdot \hat{r}) \cdot P_{lm} (\hat{r}) = \left( \frac{2l+1}{4\pi} \right), \quad \sum_{m=-l}^{l} B_{lm} (\hat{r}) \cdot B_{lm} (\hat{r}) = \sum_{m=-l}^{l} C_{lm} (\hat{r}) \cdot C_{lm} (\hat{r}).
\] (21)

2.3. Real-valued vector fields on the unit sphere

The expansion of a real-valued square-integrable vector field \( \mathbf{u} \) on the unit sphere \( \Omega \) can be written as
\[
\mathbf{u} = \sum_{lm}^\infty U_{lm} P_{lm} + V_{lm} B_{lm} + W_{lm} C_{lm},
\] (22)
where the expansion coefficients are obtained via
\[
U_{lm} = \int_{\Omega} P_{lm} \cdot \mathbf{u} d\Omega, \quad V_{lm} = \int_{\Omega} B_{lm} \cdot \mathbf{u} d\Omega \quad \text{and} \quad W_{lm} = \int_{\Omega} C_{lm} \cdot \mathbf{u} d\Omega.
\] (23)
and using the shorthand notation $\sum_{l=0}^{L} := \sum_{l=0}^{L} \sum_{m=-l}^{l}$ when $P_{lm}$ or $U_{lm}$ are involved, and $\sum_{l=1}^{L} := \sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{m=-l}^{l}$, for $B_{lm}$, $C_{lm}$, $V_{lm}$ or $W_{lm}$. A sans serif $u$ will be used to denote the ordered column vector of vector spherical-harmonic coefficients, namely $u = (\ldots, u_{lm}, \ldots, V_{lm}, \ldots, W_{lm}, \ldots)^T$. We will denote the norms of a spatial-domain vector field $u(\hat{r})$ and its spectral-domain equivalent $u$ by

$$
\|u\|^2_{\Omega} = \int_{\Omega} u \cdot u \, d\Omega, \quad \|u\|^2_{\infty} = \sum_{lm} U_{lm}^2 + V_{lm}^2 + W_{lm}^2.
$$

Hence Parseval’s relation can be written in the form $\|u\|^2_{\Omega} = \|u\|^2_{\infty}$. Any square-integrable vector field $u$ on the sphere can be decomposed into a radial component, $u^r$, and a tangential component, $u^t$, thus $u = u^r + u^t$, whereby

$$
u^r = \sum_{lm} U_{lm} P_{lm} \quad \text{and} \quad u^t = \sum_{lm} V_{lm} B_{lm} + W_{lm} C_{lm}.
$$

We use $\delta(\hat{r}, \hat{r}')$ for the vector Dirac delta function on the sphere. Accordingly,

$$
\int_{\Omega} \delta(\hat{r}, \hat{r}') \cdot u(\hat{r}) \, d\Omega = u(\hat{r}').
$$

The vector spherical-harmonic representation of $\delta(\hat{r}, \hat{r}')$ is the sum of dyads

$$
\delta(\hat{r}, \hat{r}') = \sum_{lm} P_{lm}(\hat{r}) P_{lm}(\hat{r}') + B_{lm}(\hat{r}) B_{lm}(\hat{r}') + C_{lm}(\hat{r}) C_{lm}(\hat{r}').
$$

2.4. Bandlimited and spaciallimited vector fields

We shall now consider two subspaces of the space of all square-integrable vector fields on the unit sphere $\Omega$. Given $\mathbf{g} = (\ldots, U_{lm}, \ldots, V_{lm}, \ldots, W_{lm}, \ldots)^T$, we define the space of all bandlimited vector fields $S_L = \{ g: U_{lm} = V_{lm} = W_{lm} = 0 \}$ for $L < l \leq \infty$ and $-l \leq m \leq l$, with no power beyond the bandwidth $L$, whose elements are the functions

$$
g = \mathbf{g}^r + \mathbf{g}^t = \sum_{lm} U_{lm} P_{lm} + V_{lm} B_{lm} + W_{lm} C_{lm},
$$

where now

$$
U_{lm} = \int_{\Omega} P_{lm} \cdot \mathbf{g} \, d\Omega, \quad V_{lm} = \int_{\Omega} B_{lm} \cdot \mathbf{g} \, d\Omega, \quad \text{and} \quad W_{lm} = \int_{\Omega} C_{lm} \cdot \mathbf{g} \, d\Omega.
$$

Similarly, we define $S_R = \{ \mathbf{h}: \mathbf{h} = \mathbf{0} \}$ in $\Omega \setminus R$ to be the space of all spaciallimited vector fields $\mathbf{h}(\hat{r})$ that are equal to zero outside a non-empty region $R \subseteq \Omega$. By definition, the space $S_R$ is infinite-dimensional but $\text{dim} S_L = 3(L + 1)^2 - 2$, because the coefficient vector $\mathbf{g}$ has $\sum_{lm} (2l + 1) = (L + 1)^2$ entries for the $U_{lm}$ and $\sum_{lm} (2l + 1) = (L + 1)^2 - 1$ entries for the $V_{lm}$ and $W_{lm}$, respectively. We define the spatial and spectral measures analogously to (24)

$$
\|\mathbf{g}\|^2_R = \int_{R} \mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{g} \, d\Omega, \quad \|\mathbf{g}\|^2_{\infty} = \sum_{lm} U_{lm}^2 + V_{lm}^2 + W_{lm}^2.
$$

3. Concentration within an arbitrarily shaped region

No vector field can be strictly bandlimited and strictly spaciallimited, i.e., no $u(\hat{r})$ can simultaneously be contained in both spaces $S_R$ and $S_L$. Our goal is to determine bandlimited vector fields $\mathbf{g}(\hat{r}) \in S_L$ with optimal energy-concentration within a spatial region $R$, and those spaciallimited vector fields $\mathbf{h}(\hat{r}) \in S_R$ with a spectrum optimally concentrated within an interval $0 \leq l \leq L$. Similar to the scalar time–frequency [1], multidimensional Cartesian [6,59] and spherical [7] cases, these two spatiospectral concentration problems are closely related.

3.1. Spatial concentration of bandlimited vector fields

We maximize the spatial concentration of a bandlimited vector field $\mathbf{g}(\hat{r}) \in S_L$ within $R$ via the ratio

$$
\lambda = \frac{\|\mathbf{g}\|^2_{R}}{\|\mathbf{g}\|^2_{\infty}} = \frac{\int_{R} \mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{g} \, d\Omega}{\int_{\Omega} \mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{g} \, d\Omega} = \text{maximum}.
$$

The variational problem (31) is analogous to that encountered in one and two scalar dimensions. As there, the energy ratio $0 < \lambda < 1$ is a measure of the spatial concentration.
3.1.1. Purely radial vector fields

As a first step, we focus on solving (31) for purely radial fields, that is, bandlimited vector fields in the decomposition (25),

$$ g' = \sum_{lm} U_{lm} P_{lm}. $$

(32)

To simplify the notation we drop the superscript on the coefficient vector, such that $g = (\ldots, U_{lm}, \ldots)^T$ in this section. Inserting the representation (32) into (31) and switching the order of summation and integration, we can express $\lambda$ as

$$ \lambda = \sum_{lm} U_{lm} \sum_{l'm'} P_{lm} P_{l'm'}^{l'm'} U_{l'm'}, $$

(33)

Here we have used orthonormality (20) and defined the quantities

$$ P_{lm} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{lm} \cdot P_{l'm'} d\Omega = \int_{\mathbb{R}} Y_{lm} Y_{l'm'} d\Omega. $$

(34)

We can reformulate (31) as a matrix variational problem [60]:

$$ \lambda = \frac{g^T P g}{g^T g} = \text{maximum}, $$

(35)

using the $(L + 1)^2 \times (L + 1)^2$ matrix

$$ P = \begin{pmatrix} P_{00,00} & \cdots & P_{00,LL} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ P_{LL,00} & \cdots & P_{LL,LL} \end{pmatrix}. $$

(36)

The stationary solutions of the Rayleigh quotient $\lambda$ in (35) are solutions of the $(L + 1)^2 \times (L + 1)^2$ algebraic eigenvalue problem

$$ P g = \lambda g. $$

(37)

Therefore the spatial concentration problem of purely radial bandlimited vector fields is completely equivalent to the scalar spherical concentration problem [7].

3.1.2. General vector fields

For bandlimited vector fields that are of the kind (28), and therefore described by the complete coefficient vector $g = (\ldots, U_{lm}, \ldots, V_{lm}, \ldots, W_{lm}, \ldots)^T$, operations analogous to those carried out in Section 3.1.1 transform (31) into a matrix variational problem in the space of $[3(L + 1)^2 - 2]$-tuples:

$$ \lambda = \frac{g^T K g}{g^T g} = \text{maximum}. $$

(38)

Since the inner products of $P_{lm}$ with $B_{lm}$ and $C_{lm}$ are always zero because of (19),

$$ K = \begin{pmatrix} P & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & B & D \\ 0 & 0 & C \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} P & 0 \\ 0 & Q \end{pmatrix}, $$

(39)

where the $[(L + 1)^2 - 1] \times [(L + 1)^2 - 1]$-dimensional matrices cycle through all applicable degrees and orders

$$ B = \begin{pmatrix} B_{10,10} & \cdots & B_{10,LL} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ B_{LL,10} & \cdots & B_{LL,LL} \end{pmatrix}, $$

(40)

$$ C = \begin{pmatrix} C_{10,10} & \cdots & C_{10,LL} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ C_{LL,10} & \cdots & C_{LL,LL} \end{pmatrix}, $$

(41)

$$ D = \begin{pmatrix} D_{10,10} & \cdots & D_{10,LL} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ D_{LL,10} & \cdots & D_{LL,LL} \end{pmatrix}. $$

(42)
have matrix entries defined by

\[ B_{lm,l'm'} = \int_{R} B_{lm} \cdot B_{l'm'} \, d\Omega, \]  
\[ C_{lm,l'm'} = \int_{R} C_{lm} \cdot C_{l'm'} \, d\Omega, \]  
\[ D_{lm,l'm'} = \int_{R} D_{lm} \cdot C_{l'm'} \, d\Omega, \]

and

\[ Q = \begin{pmatrix} B & D \\ D^T & C \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} B & D \\ -D & B \end{pmatrix}. \]

The last identity follows from (17)–(18) and (43)–(45). The solutions to the concentration problem of general bandlimited vector fields to arbitrary domains solve the \([3(L + 1)^2 - 2] \times [3(L + 1)^2 - 2]\)-dimensional algebraic eigenvalue problem

\[ Kg = \lambda g. \]

The matrix (39) is real, symmetric \((K^T = K)\), and it is positive definite \((g^T Kg > 0\) for all \(g \neq 0)\), hence the \(3(L + 1)^2 - 2\) eigenvalues \(\lambda\) and associated eigenvectors \(g\) are always real. The eigenvalues \(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_{3(L + 1)^2 - 2}\) and eigenvectors \(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_{3(L + 1)^2 - 2}\) can be ordered so that they are sorted \(1 > \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{3(L + 1)^2 - 2} > 0\). Every spectral-domain eigenvector \(g_{\alpha} (\hat{r})\) is associated with a bandlimited spatial eigenfield \(g_{\alpha} (\hat{r})\) defined by (28). If \(R\) is a true subset of \(\Omega\), then the largest eigenvalue, \(\lambda_1\), will be strictly smaller than one since no bandlimited function can be non-zero only within a region \(R\) that is smaller than \(\Omega\). Due to the positive definiteness of the matrix \(K\) for a non-empty region \(R\), the smallest eigenvalue, \(\lambda_{3(L + 1)^2 - 2}\), is larger than zero.

The eigenvectors \(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_{3(L + 1)^2 - 2}\) are orthogonal. We orthonormalize them as

\[ g_{\alpha}^T g_{\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta}, \quad g_{\alpha}^T Kg_{\beta} = \lambda_{\alpha} \delta_{\alpha\beta}. \]

The associated eigenfields \(g_1 (\hat{r}), g_2 (\hat{r}), \ldots, g_{3(L + 1)^2 - 2} (\hat{r})\) are a basis for \(S_l\) that is orthogonal over the region \(R\) and orthonormal over the whole sphere \(\Omega\):

\[ \int_{\Omega} g_{\alpha} \cdot g_{\beta} \, d\Omega = \delta_{\alpha\beta}, \quad \int_{R} g_{\alpha} \cdot g_{\beta} \, d\Omega = \lambda_{\alpha} \delta_{\alpha\beta}. \]

The relations (49) for the spatial domain are equivalent to their matrix counterparts (48). The eigenfield \(g_1 (\hat{r})\) with the largest eigenvalue \(\lambda_1\) is the element in the space \(S_l\) of bandlimited vector fields with most of its spatial energy within region \(R\); the eigenfield \(g_{\alpha} (\hat{r})\) is the next best-concentrated element in \(S_l\) that is orthogonal to \(g_1 (\hat{r})\) over both \(\Omega\) and \(R\); and so on.

When expressed in index notation, the eigenvalue equations (47) are

\[ \sum_{l'm'} P_{lm,l'm'} U_{l'm'} = \lambda U_{lm}, \]  
\[ \sum_{l'm'} B_{lm,l'm'} V_{l'm'} + D_{lm,l'm'} W_{l'm'} = \lambda V_{lm}, \]  
\[ \sum_{l'm'} D_{lm,l'm'}' V_{l'm'} + C_{lm,l'm'} W_{l'm'} = \lambda W_{lm}. \]

By tensor-multiplying the expression (50) with \(P_{lm}(\hat{r})\), (51) with \(B_{lm}(\hat{r})\), and (52) with \(C_{lm}(\hat{r})\), and summing in each equation over all \(0 \leq l \leq L\) and \(-l \leq m \leq l\), we obtain the following system of spatial-domain equations:

\[ \int_{R} \left[ \sum_{lm} P_{lm}(\hat{r}) P_{lm}(\hat{r}') \right] g' (\hat{r}') \, d\Omega' = \lambda g' (\hat{r}), \]  
\[ \int_{R} \left[ \sum_{lm} B_{lm}(\hat{r}) B_{lm}(\hat{r}') \right] g' (\hat{r}') \, d\Omega' = \lambda \sum_{lm} V_{lm} B_{lm}(\hat{r}). \]
By adding Eqs. (53)–(55), we obtain the spatial-domain eigenvalue problem
\[
\int_{\Omega} \left[ \sum_{lm} C_{lm}(\hat{r}) C_{lm}(\hat{r}') \right] \cdot g^{*}(\hat{r}') \, d\Omega' = \lambda \sum_{lm} W_{lm} C_{lm}(\hat{r}).
\] (55)

This equation for \( h(\hat{r}) \) is identical to (56) for \( g(\hat{r}) \) in \( S_L \), the difference being that (56) is applicable on the entire sphere \( \Omega \), while the domain of (62) is limited to the region \( R \), within which \( h(\hat{r}) \neq 0 \). We constructed the spectral norm ratio maximizing eigenfields \( h(\hat{r}) \) for (60) such that they are identical to the eigenfields \( g(\hat{r}) \) that maximize the spatial norm ratio (31) within the region \( R \). We normalize such that
\[
h(\hat{r}) = \begin{cases} 
  g(\hat{r}) & \text{if } \hat{r} \in R, \\
  0 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\] (63)
Every bandlimited eigenfield $g_\alpha \in \mathcal{S}_L$ leads to a spacelimited $h_\alpha \in \mathcal{S}_R$ by the restriction (63). The eigenvalues $\lambda_\alpha$ associated with the corresponding $g_\alpha$ measure the fractional spatial energy $1 - \lambda_\alpha$ that leaks to the region $\Omega \setminus R$. These eigenvalues are identical to the fractional spectral energy that leaks into the degrees $L < l \leq \infty$ by truncating $g_\alpha$ in the construction of $h_\alpha$ (63). Equivalently, we could have started with the variational problem (60) instead of (31) to obtain the integral equation (56) and then extended the domain (62) to the whole sphere $\Omega$.

The spacelimited eigenfields $h_1(\mathbf{r}), h_2(\mathbf{r}), \ldots, h_{3(L+1)^2 - 2}$ constructed from (63) are orthogonal over both the whole sphere $\Omega$ and the region $R$:

$$\int_\Omega h_\alpha \cdot h_\beta \, d\Omega = \int_R h_\alpha \cdot h_\beta \, d\Omega = \lambda_\alpha \delta_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (64)$$

We can express the expansion coefficients of $h = (\ldots, U'_l \ldots, V'_m \ldots, W'_l \ldots)^T$, where $0 \leq l \leq \infty$, by the coefficients $g = (\ldots, U_{lm}, \ldots, V_{lm}, \ldots, W_{lm}, \ldots)^T$, with $0 \leq l \leq L$, using the relation $h = Kg$, which leads to $U'_l = \lambda U_{lm}$, $V'_m = \lambda V_{lm}$ and $W'_l = \lambda W_{lm}$, when $0 \leq l \leq L$, due to (50)–(52). The solutions to Eq. (62) form an infinite-dimensional space. The complement to the $3(L+1)^2 - 2$ eigenfields with non-zero eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_{3(L+1)^2 - 2}$ is the space spanned by all eigenfields of (62) with associated eigenvalue $\lambda = 0$. Fields $h(\mathbf{r})$ vanishing in $\Omega \setminus R$ without power in the spectral interval $0 \leq l \leq L$ are members of this null space.

### 3.3. Significant and insignificant eigenvalues

The eigenvalues of the matrix $K$ defined in (39) can be summed up as follows

$$N = \sum_{\alpha = 1}^{3(L+1)^2 - 2} \lambda_\alpha = \text{tr} K = \sum_{lm}(P_{lm, lm} + B_{lm, lm} + C_{lm, lm})$$

$$= \int_R \left[ \sum_{lm} \left( P_{lm}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot P_{lm}(\mathbf{r}') + B_{lm}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot B_{lm}(\mathbf{r}') + C_{lm}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot C_{lm}(\mathbf{r}') \right) \right] \, d\Omega$$

$$= \left[ 3(L+1)^2 - 2 \right] \frac{A}{4\pi}. \quad (65)$$

In the fourth equality we substituted the diagonal matrix elements $P_{lm, lm}, B_{lm, lm}$ and $C_{lm, lm}$ from (34), (43)–(44), and in the last equality we used the addition theorem (21).

The value $N$ in (65) is the vector spherical analogue of the Shannon number in the scalar Slepian concentration problems [6]. Well-concentrated eigenfields $g_\alpha(\mathbf{r})$ for the region $R$ will have eigenvalues $\lambda_\alpha$ near unity, whereas poorly concentrated eigenfields will have eigenvalues $\lambda_\alpha$ close to zero. Due to the characteristic step-shaped spectrum of eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_{3(L+1)^2 - 2}$, the total number of significant ($\lambda_\alpha \approx 1$) eigenvalues can be well approximated by the rounded sum (65), as in the one-dimensional and two-dimensional scalar spherical problems. Since $N$ is a good estimate for the number of significant eigenvalues, then, roughly speaking, the vector spherical Shannon number (65) describes the dimension of the space of vector fields $u(\mathbf{r})$ that are approximately limited in both the spectral domain to vector-spherical-harmonic degrees $0 \leq l \leq L$, and in the spatial domain to an arbitrarily shaped region $R$ of area $A$ [62,63].

Instead of constructing a bandlimited field $g(\mathbf{r}) \in \mathcal{S}_L$ that is optimally energy-concentrated within a spatial region $R$, we could have sought to construct one that is optimally excluded from $R$, i.e., one that is optimally concentrated within $\Omega \setminus R$, and therefore sought to minimize rather than maximize the Rayleigh quotient (31). What we have constructed are the stationary solutions $g(\mathbf{r}) \in \mathcal{S}_L$ of (31). Therefore we have actually solved the concentration and exclusion problems simultaneously. The optimally excluded eigenfields are identical to the optimally concentrated eigenfields but with reversed ordering. Because $\lambda_\alpha$ is the fractional power of $g_\alpha$ within $R$, its fractional power within $\Omega \setminus R$ is $1 - \lambda_\alpha$. If the region $R$ of area $A$ covers only a small fraction of the sphere $A \ll 4\pi$, the number of well-excluded eigenfields will be much larger than the number of well-concentrated eigenfields.

We can express the kernel $K(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$ in the integral eigenvalue equation (56) in terms of the spatial-domain eigenfields $g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_{3(L+1)^2 - 2}$ in the form

$$K(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \sum_{\alpha = 1}^{3(L+1)^2 - 2} g_\alpha(\mathbf{r})g_\alpha(\mathbf{r}') \cdot \left[ \frac{A}{4\pi} \right]. \quad (66)$$

Eq. (66) is equivalent to the original representation (57), because both the $P_{lm}, B_{lm}, C_{lm}, 0 \leq l \leq L, -l \leq m \leq l$, and the $g_\alpha, \alpha = 1, 2, \ldots, 3(L+1)^2 - 2$, are $[3(L+1)^2 - 2]$-dimensional orthonormal bases for $\mathcal{S}_L$, and the transformation matrix that consists of the eigenvectors is orthogonal. The transformed representation (66) is a vector-spherical version of Mercer's
4.1. Decomposition of the spectral-domain eigenvalue problem

Upon setting $\hat{r}' = \hat{r}$ in (66) and applying the trace [62], we deduce that the sum of the squares of the $3(L+1)^2 - 2$ bandlimited eigenfields $\mathbf{g}_\alpha(\hat{r})$ is a constant that is independent of position $\hat{r}$ on the sphere $\Omega$.

$$3(L+1)^2 - 2 \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3(L+1)^2 - 2} \mathbf{g}_\alpha(\hat{r}) \cdot \mathbf{g}_\alpha(\hat{r}) = \frac{3(L+1)^2 - 2}{4\pi} = \frac{N}{A}.$$  

(67)

If the eigenvalues of the first $N$ eigenfields $\mathbf{g}_1(\hat{r}), \mathbf{g}_2(\hat{r}), \ldots, \mathbf{g}_N(\hat{r})$ are near unity, and the remaining eigenvalues $\mathbf{g}_{N+1}(\hat{r}), \mathbf{g}_{N+2}(\hat{r}), \ldots, \mathbf{g}_{3(L+1)^2 - 2}(\hat{r})$ are near zero, then we expect the eigenvalue-weighted sum of squares to be

$$3(L+1)^2 - 2 \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \lambda_\alpha \mathbf{g}_\alpha(\hat{r}) \cdot \mathbf{g}_\alpha(\hat{r}) \approx \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \lambda_\alpha \mathbf{g}_\alpha(\hat{r}) \cdot \mathbf{g}_\alpha(\hat{r}) \approx \begin{cases} N/A & \text{if } \hat{r} \in R, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

(68)

The terms with $N+1 \leq \alpha \leq 3(L+1)^2 - 2$ should be comparatively small. It is hence immaterial whether we include them in the sum (68) or not. The combination of the first $N$ orthogonal eigenfields $\mathbf{g}_\alpha$, $\alpha = 1, 2, \ldots, N$, with eigenvalues $\lambda_\alpha \approx 1$, provides an essentially uniform coverage of the region $R$. This characterizes the spatiospectral concentration problem: the spatially concentrated basis effectively reduces the number of degrees of freedom from $\dim S_L = 3(L+1)^2 - 2$ to $N = \lfloor 3(L+1)^2 - 2 \rfloor A/(4\pi)$.

3.4. Pairs of spatially concentrated tangential vector fields

It is possible to construct, from one spatially concentrated, bandlimited tangential vector field another orthogonal, equally concentrated and equally bandlimited vector field, by simply rotating its vectorial directions at each point on the sphere by $90^\circ$ while retaining the absolute values. Such pairs of tangential Slepian fields already appear in the purely tangential eigenvalue problem, which, due to the block-diagonal shape of $\mathbf{K}$ in (39), can be solved independently from the radial problem (37). From (46) we obtain the purely tangential concentration problem

$$\mathbf{Q}_g = \begin{pmatrix} B & D \\ -D & B \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{g} = \lambda \mathbf{g}. \quad (69)$$

If $\mathbf{g} = (g_1, g_2)^T$ is an eigenvector of (69) with eigenvalue $\lambda$, then $\mathbf{g} = (-g_2, g_1)^T$ is also an eigenvector with the same associated eigenvalue $\lambda$. The Slepian field constructed from $(-g_2, g_1)^T$ has the same pointwise absolute value as the Slepian field constructed from $(g_1, g_2)^T$, and they are pointwise orthogonal.

4. Concentration within an axisymmetric polar cap

In this section we concentrate on the special but important case where $R$ is a symmetric polar cap with colatitudinal radius $\theta_R$, that is centered on the north pole, as is shown in Fig. 1. Because rotations on the sphere commute with the operators (16)–(18) that define the vector spherical harmonics [58], the optimally concentrated eigenfields of the polar cap $R = \{ \theta : 0 < \theta < \theta_R \}$ can be rotated to anywhere on the unit sphere using the same transformations that apply in the rotation of scalar functions [52,54,66].

4.1. Decomposition of the spectral-domain eigenvalue problem

In the axisymmetric case the matrix elements (34) and (43)–(45) reduce to

$$P_{lm,l'm'} = 2\pi \delta_{\ell m'} \int_0^{\theta_R} X_{lm}(\theta) X_{l'm'}(\theta) \sin \theta d\theta,$$

$$B_{lm,l'm'} = \frac{2\pi \delta_{\ell m'} \int_0^{\theta_R} [X_{lm}(\theta) + m^2 (\sin \theta)^{-2} X_{lm}(\theta)] \sin \theta d\theta}{\sqrt{l(l+1)}},$$

$$D_{lm,l'm'} = -\frac{2\pi \delta_{\ell m'} m X_{lm}(\theta) X_{l'm'}(\theta)}{\sqrt{l(l+1)}},$$

(70) \quad (71) \quad (72)

while, as we know from (46) also, $C_{lm,l'm'} = B_{lm,l'm'}$, and we remember (6).

The Kronecker deltas $\delta_{\ell m}$ and $\delta_{\ell m'}$ admit rearranging the $(L+1)^2 \times (L+1)^2$ radial-component matrix $\mathbf{P}$ and the $[2(L+1)^2 - 2] \times [2(L+1)^2 - 2]$ tangential-component matrix $\mathbf{Q}$ such that both of these are block-diagonal: $\mathbf{P} = \text{diag}(\mathbf{P}_0, \mathbf{P}_1, \mathbf{P}_{-1}, \ldots, \mathbf{P}_1, \mathbf{P}_{-1})$ and $\mathbf{Q} = \text{diag}(\mathbf{Q}_0, \mathbf{Q}_1, \mathbf{Q}_{-1}, \ldots, \mathbf{Q}_1, \mathbf{Q}_{-1}).$

Instead of solving the full eigenvalue equation (47), we can thus elect to solve a series of smaller spectral-domain algebraic eigenvalue problems, one for each order,
\[ P_m g = \lambda g \text{ and } Q_m g = \lambda g. \] (73)

The matrices \( P_m \) and \( Q_m \) are of the form

\[
P_m = \begin{pmatrix}
p_{mm} & \cdots & p_{mL} \\
p_{Lm} & \cdots & p_{LL}
\end{pmatrix}, \quad Q_m = \begin{pmatrix}
B_m & D_m \\
D_m^T & B_m
\end{pmatrix},
\]

(74)

with

\[
B_m = \begin{pmatrix}
B_{mm} & \cdots & B_{mL} \\
B_{Lm} & \cdots & B_{LL}
\end{pmatrix}, \quad D_m = \begin{pmatrix}
D_{mm} & \cdots & D_{mL} \\
D_{Lm} & \cdots & D_{LL}
\end{pmatrix},
\]

(75)

where, for any particular harmonic order \( 0 \leq m \leq L \) and degree \( m \leq l, l' \leq L \), we denote \( P_{ll'}^m = P_{lm,l'm} \), and, likewise, for \( \max(m, 1) \leq l, l' \leq L \), we denote \( B_{ll'}^m = B_{lm,l'm} \), and \( D_{ll'}^m = D_{lm,l'm} \). We then also have

\[
P_{-m} = P_m, \quad B_{-m} = B_m, \quad D_{-m} = -D_m, \quad D_0 = 0,
\]

(76)

and that \( P_m, B_m, D_m, \) and consequently, \( Q_m \), are symmetric.

The calculations of the matrix elements \( D_{ll'}^m \) are straightforward since they merely consist in evaluating the \( X_{lm} \) at \( \Theta \). The calculations of the product integrals \( P_{ll'}^m \) and \( B_{ll'}^m \) can be simplified to integrations over individual terms \( X_{lm} \). For example, for the elements \( P_{ll'}^m \) we can directly apply (5) to reduce them to

\[
P_{ll'}^m = \sqrt{\pi (2l+1)(2l'+1)} \sum_{n=|l-l'|}^{l+l'} \sqrt{2n+1} \begin{pmatrix} l & n & l' \\ 0 & 0 & m \end{pmatrix} \int_0^{\phi} X_{2m}(\theta) \sin \theta d\theta,
\]

(77)

and those can be handled recursively via Eqs. (11) to (13). Here, as before, we set \( X_{lm} = 0 \) for \( m > l \). Since this solution to (37) is identical to that of the scalar spherical concentration problem for the polar cap, alternate expressions and special cases can be found elsewhere [7,67]. For the \( B_{ll'}^m \) at positive orders \( m \geq 0 \), as first noted by Eshagh [37], we first need to transform the derivative products \( X'_{lm} X'_{l'm} \), and \( m^2 (\sin \theta)^{-2} X_{lm} X_{l'm} \), into products of \( X_{lm} \) using the lemmas (7)–(10), to

\[
\int_0^{\phi} X'_{lm} X'_{l'm} \sin \theta d\theta = a_{lm}^{-}, a_{l'm}^{-}\int_0^{\phi} X_{lm-1} X_{l'm-1} \sin \theta d\theta + a_{lm}^{+}, a_{l'm}^{+}\int_0^{\phi} X_{lm+1} X_{l'm-1} \sin \theta d\theta
\]

\[
+ a_{lm}^{-}, a_{l'm}^{+}\int_0^{\phi} X_{lm-1} X_{l'm+1} \sin \theta d\theta + a_{lm}^{+}, a_{l'm}^{-}\int_0^{\phi} X_{lm+1} X_{l'm+1} \sin \theta d\theta,
\]

(78)

\[
\int_0^{\phi} m^2 (\sin \theta)^{-2} X_{lm} X_{l'm} \sin \theta d\theta
\]

\[
= b_{lm}^{-}, b_{l'm}^{-}\int_0^{\phi} X_{l-1,m-1} X_{l'-1,m-1} \sin \theta d\theta + b_{lm}^{+}, b_{l'm}^{-}\int_0^{\phi} X_{l-1,m+1} X_{l'-1,m-1} \sin \theta d\theta
\]

\[
+ b_{lm}^{-}, b_{l'm}^{+}\int_0^{\phi} X_{l-1,m-1} X_{l'-1,m+1} \sin \theta d\theta + b_{lm}^{+}, b_{l'm}^{+}\int_0^{\phi} X_{l-1,m+1} X_{l'-1,m+1} \sin \theta d\theta,
\]

(79)

where \( a_{lm}^{+} \) and \( b_{lm}^{+} \) are defined in (8) and (10). The right hand sides of (78) and (79) can be expanded using (5) and then the recursion [11] to [13] can be applied.

We order the \( L - m + 1 \) distinct eigenvalues of \( P_m \) and the \( 2(L - \max(m, 1) + 1) \) distinct eigenvalues of \( Q_m \) obtained by solving each of the eigenvalue problems (73) so that \( 1 \geq \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots > 0 \). Additionally we orthonormalize the associated eigenvectors \( g_1, g_2, \ldots \) as in (48) so that

\[
g_{\alpha} g_{\beta} = \delta_{\alpha \beta}, \quad g_{\alpha}^T P_m g_{\beta} = \lambda_{\alpha} \delta_{\alpha \beta} \quad \text{or} \quad g_{\alpha}^T Q_m g_{\beta} = \lambda_{\alpha} \delta_{\alpha \beta},
\]

(80)

depending on whether \( g_{\alpha} \) and \( g_{\beta} \) are the eigenvectors of \( P_m \) or of \( Q_m \).
4.2. Eigenvalue spectrum and eigenfields

For the fixed-order radial eigenvalue problem (73) we can calculate the number of significant eigenvalues, or partial Shannon number, using any of the two formulas

\[ N^r_m = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{L-m+1} \lambda_\alpha = \sum_{l=m}^{L} P_{\alpha l}^m. \]  

(81)

For the fixed-order tangential eigenvalue problem (73) we obtain the number of significant eigenvalues from

\[ N^t_m = 2[L-\max(m,1)+1] \sum_{\alpha=1}^{L-m+1} \lambda_\alpha = 2 \sum_{l=m}^{L} B_{\alpha l}^m. \]  

(82)

Once we have found the \( L+1 \) sequences of fixed-order radial and tangential eigenvalues, we can re-sort them into an overall mixed-order ranking. The Shannon number of radial eigenvalues is then given by \( N^r = N^r_0 + 2 \sum_{m=1}^{L} N^r_m, \) while that of the tangential eigenvalues is \( N^t = N^t_0 + 2 \sum_{m=1}^{L} N^t_m. \) The factor of two accounts for the \( \pm m \) degeneracy; the total number of significantly concentrated vector fields is \( N = N^r + N^t. \)

Fig. 2 shows the reordered, mixed-\( m \) eigenvalue spectra calculated for four different polar caps, with colatitudinal radii \( \Theta = 10^\circ, 20^\circ, 30^\circ, 40^\circ, \) and a common bandwidth \( L = 18. \) The total number of eigenvalues is \( 2(L+1)^2 - 2 = 720; \) only \( \lambda_1 \) through \( \lambda_{120} \) are shown. Different symbols are used to plot the orders \( -11 \leq m \leq 11. \) Each symbol stands for two eigenvalues, that is, the \( \pm m \) doublets for \( m > 0 \) and the doublets stemming from the block-diagonal shape of \( Q_0 \) for \( m = 0. \) Vertical gridlines and top labels specify the rounded Shannon numbers \( N^t = 5, 22, 48, \) and 84.

For the fixed-order radial eigenvalue problem (73) we can calculate the number of significant eigenvalues, or partial Shannon number, using any of the two formulas
Fig. 3. Absolute values of the tangential bandlimited eigenfields, $|g(\theta, \phi)|$, that are optimally concentrated within a circular cap of colatitudinal radius $\Theta = 40^\circ$. Dashed circles denote the cap boundary. The bandwidth is $L = 18$, and the rounded Shannon number for the tangential space $N_t = 84$. Subscripts on the eigenvalues $\lambda_\alpha$ specify the fixed-order rank. Only absolute values for $m \geq 0$ are shown because the absolute values for $\pm m$ are identical. The eigenvalues have been re-sorted into a mixed-order ranking, with the best-concentrated eigenfields plotted on the top left and a decreasing concentration ratio to the right and downwards. Regions in which the absolute value is less than one hundredth of the maximum value on the sphere are left white.

The right panel shows the vector field for $m = -1$, thus the reconstruction using the best-concentrated eigenvector of $Q_1$. As in Fig. 3, the radius of the polar cap is $\Theta = 40^\circ$ and the bandwidth $L = 18$. Both vector fields have a singularity at the north pole $\theta = 0$. This is due to the fact that all the $B_{lm}$ and $C_{lm}$ for $m = 1$ have a singularity at the north pole stemming from the derivatives of the $X_{lm}$ (7) which are not equal to zero at $\theta = 0$. The dashed circles denote the cap boundary. The color scales with the absolute value of the vector field, ranging from white for values
Bandlimited tangential Slepian functions, \( g(\theta, \phi) \), of spherical-harmonic orders \( m = \pm 1 \), optimally concentrated within a polar cap of radius \( \Theta = 40^\circ \). The bandwidth is \( L = 18 \). Color is absolute value (red the maximum) and circles with strokes indicate the direction of the eigenfield on the tangential plane. Regions in which the absolute value is less than one hundredth of the maximum absolute value on the sphere are left white. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

### Table 1

Fractional areas, tangential Shannon numbers, and bandwidths for the vectorial concentration problem to continental areas.

| Continental region | Fractional area \( A/(4\pi) \) (\%) | Tangential Shannon number \( N^t \) |
|--------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Greenland          | 0.43                           | 0                               |
| Australia          | 1.51                           | 1                               |
| Antarctica         | 2.72                           | 3                               |
| South America      | 3.45                           | 3                               |
| North America      | 4.03                           | 4                               |
| Africa             | 5.81                           | 6                               |
| Eurasia            | 9.97                           | 10                              |

below 1% of the maximum to red (in the web version of this article) for the maximum value. The directions of the field are indicated by accordingly oriented strokes at the positions marked by the open circles.

## 5. Continental concentration

In the following example we consider the spatiospectral concentration in seven of Earth’s continental regions. Together with their rounded tangential-component Shannon numbers, \( N^t = [2(L + 1)^2 - 2]A/(4\pi) \), the regions are listed in Table 1 for different bandwidths. The (tangential) spherical Slepian fields that we will be showing should be well suited to the localized analysis of global vector-valued satellite-magnetic data such as measured to study the magnetization of the terrestrial lithosphere (e.g. [40,70–72]), or more generally, planetary magnetic fields [18,73–75].

### 5.1. Bandlimited fields

Fig. 5 shows the eigenvalue spectra of the tangential Slepian fields for the five regions Greenland, Australia, North America, Africa, and Eurasia, and four spherical-harmonic bandlimits, \( L = 6, 12, 18, 24 \), which correspond to \( 2(L + 1)^2 - 2 = 96, 336, 720, 1248 \) eigenfields each. The smallest wavelength for a bandwidth limit \( L \) is \( 2\pi/\sqrt{L(L + 1)} \approx 2\pi/(L + 1/2) \) multiplied by Earth’s radius [53]. The cutoff wavelengths for \( L = 6, 12, 18, \) and 24 are 6200, 3200, 2200, and 1600 km, respectively. Only Eurasia, the largest region, has enough area to contain at least one nearly perfectly concentrated eigenfield for the smallest bandwidth, \( L = 6 \), and Greenland, the smallest of the considered regions, is too small to contain even for the largest bandwidth, \( L = 24 \), a single eigenfield with a concentration factor \( \lambda \) near unity. Again, as was the case for a polar cap (Fig. 2), the well-concentrated eigenfunctions with eigenvalues \( \lambda \geq 0.5 \) are separated from the poorly concentrated ones with eigenvalues \( \lambda < 0.5 \) by the rounded Shannon numbers \( N^t \). The eigenvalues occur in pairs as described in Section 3.4.

Figs. 6–7 are map views of the twelve best-concentrated tangential eigenfields \( g_1(\tilde{r}), g_2(\tilde{r}), \ldots, g_{12}(\tilde{r}) \) for the continents Africa and Antarctica, at \( L = 18 \). In either case, pairs of eigenfields have identical absolute values and the same associated eigenvalues but show vectorial directions that are pointwise perpendicular, see Section 3.4. All eigenfields for Antarctica have a singularity or are zero at the south pole. This comes from the fact that all tangential vector spherical harmonics (17)–(18) either have a singularity or are zero at the south pole. Both figures show that the first 12 tangential eigenfields are well
concentrated, which is also reflected by the tangential Shannon numbers, \( N^t = 42 \) for Africa and \( N^t = 20 \) for Antarctica. In both cases, the absolute values of the first two eigenfields are roughly circular domes centered in the middle of each continent. Subsequent orthogonal eigenfields \( \mathbf{g}_3, \mathbf{g}_4, \ldots \) exhibit lobes in previously uncovered regions. In Fig. 6, West Africa begins to be reasonably well covered by \( \mathbf{g}_5 \) and \( \mathbf{g}_6 \), while Southern Africa is uncovered until \( \mathbf{g}_7 \) and \( \mathbf{g}_8 \). Later, increasingly more oscillatory eigenfields cover smaller geographical features. For Antarctica, the third and fourth eigenfields \( \mathbf{g}_3 \) and \( \mathbf{g}_4 \) begin to resolve the South America-facing (western) and the Australia-facing (eastern) part of Antarctica, while the fifth and the sixth eigenfields \( \mathbf{g}_5 \) and \( \mathbf{g}_6 \) resolve the Africa-facing (northern) region and the region around the Transantarctic Mountains. Subsequent eigenfields show more nodal lines and resolve smaller geographical features.

Fig. 8 shows the eigenvalue-weighted sum of absolute squares \( \sum_{\ell=0}^{\ell=R} \lambda_{1,\ell} |\mathbf{g}_{1,\ell}(\hat{\mathbf{r}})|^2 \) of the \( L = 18 \) bandlimited eigenfields of Earth’s seven landmasses. The eigenfields \( \mathbf{g}_1(\hat{\mathbf{r}}), \mathbf{g}_2(\hat{\mathbf{r}}), \ldots, \mathbf{g}_{3(L+1)^2-2}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) \) can be found by diagonalizing the \( [3(L+1)^2-2] \times [3(L+1)^2-2] \) matrix (39) formed by summing the matrices \( K_{\text{Eurasia}} + K_{\text{Africa}} + \cdots \) of each of the regions. The fractional area covered by all seven regions combined is \( A/(4\pi r^2) = 27.92\% \), and the corresponding rounded Shannon number \( N = 302 \); the figure shows the partial sums of the first \( N/4, N/2 \) and \( N \) terms, and the full sum of all \( 3(L+1)^2-2 = 1081 \) terms. It is apparent that the first \( N \) eigenfunctions uniformly cover the target region; by adding the remaining, poorly concentrated, \( 3(L+1)^2-2 - N = 779 \) terms, we only marginally improve the coverage. Because of its small size, Greenland does not appear until the \( 1 \rightarrow N/2 \) partial sum. Even after the \( 1 \rightarrow N \) partial sum, Greenland’s coverage is not perfect, as expected from its small Shannon number \( (N = 5 \text{ for } L = 18) \).

5.2. Spacelimited fields

As described in Section 3.2, the spatially limited, spectrally concentrated vector fields \( \mathbf{h}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) \) for a region \( R \) and bandlimit \( L \) can be calculated by either spacelimiting the spatially concentrated bandlimited fields for the same region \( R \) and the same bandlimit \( L \), as expressed by (63), or by multiplying the coefficient vector \( \mathbf{g} \) of the spatially concentrated bandlimited field with a rectangular kernel matrix \( K \) of infinite bandwidth in the first dimension.

Figs. 9 and 10 show such a construction for the combined six regions of Eurasia, Africa, North and South America, Australia, and Greenland, and a bandlimit of \( L = 20 \). Due to the block-diagonal shape of matrix \( K \) in (39), the radial and tangential optimization problems are decoupled and were solved independently. The upper left panel of Fig. 9 shows the 80th best radial Slepian function \( \mathbf{g}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) \), which by the measure (35) has 75.8% of its energy within the target region. Blue stands for inwards and red for outwards-pointing vectors. Areas with intensity of less than one percent of the maximum value are left white. The lower left panel of this figure shows the spherical-harmonic coefficients \( g \) of this radial Slepian field. Shades of blue denote negative coefficient values and red positive values. Due to the bandlimitation, all coefficients with degree higher than \( L = 20 \) are zero. The upper right panel of Fig. 9 shows the spatially truncated radial Slepian field \( \mathbf{h}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) \) and the lower right panel its spherical-harmonic coefficients \( \mathbf{h} \). The coefficients \( \mathbf{U}_{lm} \) are only shown up to \( l = 40 \) but
Fig. 6. Twelve tangential Slepian functions $g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_{12}$, bandlimited to $L = 18$, optimally concentrated within Africa. The concentration factors $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_{12}$ are indicated. The rounded tangential Shannon number $N_t = 42$. Order of concentration is left to right, top to bottom. Color scheme and symbols are as in Fig. 4.

are non-zero to $l = \infty$ since $h(\hat{r})$ is perfectly spacelimited. The ratio (60), of the energy in the coefficients below $l = 20$ to the total energy, is once again 75.8%, illustrating the equivalence between the spatial and spectral concentration problems.

Fig. 10 illustrates the same procedure applied to tangential fields. The upper left panel shows the 160th best spatially concentrated bandlimited tangential field, with maximal spherical-harmonic degree $L = 20$. The middle left panel and the bottom left panel show the vector spherical-harmonic coefficients $V_{lm}$ and $W_{lm}$, respectively. Again, the vector spherical-harmonic coefficients at degrees above the bandlimit $L = 20$ are zero. The right panels show the spacelimited and spectrally concentrated tangential vector Slepian field constructed from the bandlimited spatially concentrated vector Slepian field shown on the left, both in their spatial (uppermost panel) and spectral (middle and lower panels) renditions.

5.3. Constructive approximation

Finally, in order to demonstrate the spatial focusing capabilities of the bandlimited, spatially concentrated vector Slepian fields for an actual data example, we reconstruct a global tangential vector field, $u$, by approximating it with fields $v^J$ that use an increasing number, $J$, of tangential vector Slepian functions:
Fig. 7. Bandlimited $L = 18$ tangential eigenfields $g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_{12}$ that are optimally concentrated within Antarctica. The concentration factors $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_{12}$ are indicated. The rounded tangential Shannon number is $N_t = 20$. Format is identical to that in Fig. 6.

The coefficients $u_\alpha$ are obtained by forming the inner product of the input field $u$ with the $\alpha$ best-concentrated vector Slepian functions $g_\alpha$. We define the relative error $\epsilon_J$ over the domain, and the leakage $b_J$ to its complement, by

$$\epsilon_J = \sqrt{\frac{\|u - v_J\|^2_R}{\|u\|^2_R}}$$

and

$$b_J = \frac{\|v_J\|^2_{\Omega \setminus R}}{\|u\|^2_{\Omega \setminus R}},$$

which we will use to assess the performance of the reconstruction. For bandlimited tangential fields $u$ the measure $\|u\|^2_R$ can be calculated using the matrix $Q$ from (46) and the expansion coefficients $u = (\ldots, U_{lm}, \ldots, V_{lm}, \ldots, W_{lm}, \ldots)^T$ by evaluating the expression $\|u\|^2_R = u^TQU$. The error decreases with increasing number of Slepian functions $J$. The bias increases with $J$. Our goal is to obtain a small reconstruction error within the region $R$ while simultaneously keeping the outside leakage bias small.

Fig. 11 shows the outcome of such an experiment conducted on the terrestrial crustal-field model NGDC-720 V3 [76]. We multiply the spherical-harmonic coefficients with the corresponding $B_{lm}$ vector harmonics up to bandlimit $L = 72$. The left panel of Fig. 11 shows the tangential vector field that results: this is used as our input. The right panel shows the reconstruction using the $1.5N = 924$ best-concentrated tangential vector Slepian fields for Africa and the same bandlimit $L = 72$. While we chose $1.5N$ here for convenience, in real-world applications the optimal choice for the Slepian truncation would
Fig. 8. Cumulative eigenvalue-weighted energy of the first $N/4$, $N/2$, $N$ and all $3(L+1)^2-2$ eigenfields that are optimally concentrated within the ensemble of Eurasia, Africa, North America, South America, Antarctica, Australia, and Greenland. The bandwidth is $L = 18$; the cumulative fractional area $A/(4\pi) = 27.92$%; the rounded Shannon number $N = 302$. The darkest blue on the color bar corresponds to the expected value (68) of the sum. Regions where the value is smaller than one hundredth of the $N/A$ are left white. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

Fig. 9. The 80th best spatially concentrated bandlimited radial eigenfield ($g_{80}$, left panels) and the 80th best spectrally concentrated spacelimited eigenfield ($h_{80}$, right panels) for a spatial domain which is the ensemble of Eurasia, Africa, North America, South America, Antarctica, Australia and Greenland, and a bandwidth $L = 20$. The upper panels show the intensity and direction of the fields in the radial direction (blue inwards, red outwards). Regions where the absolute value is smaller than one hundredth of the maximum absolute value on the sphere are left white. The lower panels show the expansion coefficients for the radial vector harmonics $P_{lm}$ of the fields shown in the panels above (blue negative, red positive).

depend on the behavior of the signal-to-noise ratio of the data [10,77,78]. The relative error and bias of the reconstruction over Africa, as defined by (84), are 0.4% and 14%, respectively.

Fig. 12 shows the evolution of error and bias for reconstructions using different numbers of Slepian-field terms in the expansion (83). The more Slepian fields are being used, the smaller the error over Africa, but the larger the leakage into the complimentary region outside of Africa. The relative reconstruction error over Africa drops quickly and reaches numerical noise level after $J = 1800$ Slepian function terms.
Fig. 10. The 160th best spatially concentrated bandlimited tangential eigenfield ($g_{160}$, left panels) and the 160th best spectrally concentrated spacelimited eigenfield ($h_{160}$, right panels) for a spatial domain which is the ensemble of Eurasia, Africa, North America, South America, Australia and Greenland, and a bandwidth $L = 20$. Uppermost panels show the intensity and direction of the fields. Regions where the absolute value is smaller than one hundredth of the maximum absolute value on the sphere are left white. Middle and lower panels show the expansion coefficients for the tangential vector harmonics $B_{lm}$ and $C_{lm}$, respectively, of the fields shown in the uppermost panels (blue negative, red positive).

Fig. 11. A tangential geophysical vector field (left) and its reconstruction (right) using vectorial Slepian functions designed to maximize their spatial concentration over Africa. The bandlimit for both the original field and the Slepian basis is $L = 72$. There are 10,656 vectorial basis functions in the original field, and the same number of Slepian functions from which to choose for the reconstruction. The Shannon number $N_t = 620$. The bottom panel shows a reconstruction using the $924 = 1.5N_t^3$ best-concentrated Slepian functions for Africa. The error and bias over Africa, as defined in (84), are 0.4% and 14%, respectively.
6. Conclusion

It is possible to construct for the unit sphere a regionally optimally concentrated orthogonal family of bandlimited vector spherical-harmonic fields by solving either a Fredholm integral eigenvalue problem in the spatial domain, or, equivalently in the spectral domain, solving a symmetric finite-dimensional matrix eigenvalue problem. The eigenvalues $0 < \lambda < 1$ are measures of the spatial concentration of their corresponding bandlimited vector fields $\mathbf{g}(\hat{r})$ and spectral concentration of the spacelimited eigenfields $\mathbf{h}(\hat{r})$, which can be constructed from the $\mathbf{g}(\hat{r})$ by setting the values to zero outside of the target region. The full vectorial problem decomposes into independent radial and tangential parts. The radial problem is equivalent to the scalar spherical spatiospectral optimization problem [7]. The number of well-concentrated radial eigenfields is $N_r = (L + 1)^2 A/(4\pi)$ and the number of well-concentrated tangential eigenfields is $N_t = [2(L + 1)^2 - 2] A/(4\pi)$. Here $L$ denotes the bandwidth and $A$ the area of the target region. The Shannon numbers $N_r$ and $N_t$ can be interpreted as the dimensions of the spaces of radial vector fields $\mathbf{g}_r(\hat{r})$, or tangential vector fields $\mathbf{g}_t(\hat{r})$, respectively, that can be simultaneously concentrated within a subregion $R$ of the sphere and within a spectral interval $0 \leq l \leq L$. In the special case of a circular polar cap, the kernel matrices can be computed analytically and decomposed into smaller eigenvalue problems.

Vectorial Slepian functions on the sphere are an emerging tool for the analysis and representation of essentially space- and bandlimited vector-valued functions on the surface of the unit sphere. In this contribution we have described their construction, shown various examples, and suggested their use in the constructive approximation of vectorial signals on the sphere, as may arise, for instance, in the fields of geophysics, planetary science, medical imaging and optics, where prior work has previously considered a number of special cases of the vectorial concentration on the sphere [26,79] that we have treated more completely here.

The ability of scalar Slepian functions on the sphere to perform localized bandlimited analysis has led to observations made from global data that remain obscured when applying global spherical harmonic analysis. For example, changes in local gravity after the 2004 Sumatra earthquake were detected [15,19] and shown to be invisible via a global spherical-harmonic analysis of the same data. Similarly, in analyzing global gravity data, the potential of scalar Slepian functions to detect local ice mass changes over Greenland was clearly demonstrated [17]. Judging from the equivalence in properties between the vectorial Slepian functions and the scalar Slepian functions in multiple Cartesian and spherical dimensions, it is likely that the impact of vectorial spherical Slepian functions on multidimensional vectorial signal processing will be as profound as the classical prolate spheroidal wave functions have been, and continue to be, in the study of time series, and this in a wide variety of scientific and engineering fields.
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