Previous experience alters the rate of transcriptional induction of many genes in yeast and this phenomenon persists through several cell division cycles. This phenomenon is called epigenetic transcriptional memory. For the yeast gene INO1, transcriptional memory requires a physical interaction with the nuclear pore complex (NPC) and changes in the chromatin structure of the promoter. These changes lead to binding of a preinitiation form of RNA Polymerase II (RNAPII) to the INO1 promoter, bypassing the need to recruit RNAPII to the promoter during reactivation. In our recent study, we found that in human cells, hundreds of interferon-\(\gamma\) responsive genes exhibit a mechanistically similar form of transcriptional memory. Transcriptional memory requires a homologous nuclear pore protein in yeast and humans, which interacts with the promoters of genes that exhibit transcriptional memory and promotes both alteration of chromatin structure and binding of RNAPII. Whereas the interaction of yeast genes with nuclear pore proteins occurs at the NPC, the interaction of human genes with nuclear pore proteins occurs in the nucleoplasm. Thus, the interaction of nuclear pore proteins with genes plays an important and conserved role in affecting long-term epigenetic changes in transcriptional regulation.

**Introduction**

The Nuclear Pore Complex (NPC) is a large (~60 Megadalton), universally conserved 8-fold symmetric complex made up of ~30 different nuclear pore proteins (Nups). This structure plays an essential role in the transit of RNA and protein between the nucleus and the cytoplasm and has been implicated in many diverse processes aside from transport. Despite early evidence in yeast indicating that the NPC interacts with silenced or repressed portions of the genome, more recent work has indicated that many active genes in yeast interact with the NPC. Furthermore, several yeast genes remain associated with the NPC after being repressed and, in the case of the model gene INO1, this interaction leads to an altered chromatin structure and binding of a poised RNA Polymerase II (RNAPII) to the recently repressed promoter. This phenomenon is called transcriptional memory and can be inherited through several generations, indicating that it is epigenetic. The GAL genes and a class of stress-induced genes exhibit a similar behavior. The mechanistic details for these genes are similar but not identical to INO1. Furthermore, although there is a clear role for the interaction of Nups in promoting transcription in flies and humans, it was unclear if metazoan Nups were also involved in transcriptional memory. A study published in 2010 suggested that the induction of the human \textit{HLA-DRA} gene in response to interferon gamma (IFN-\(\gamma\)) was faster in cells that had previously been exposed to IFN-\(\gamma\). This led us to ask if IFN-\(\gamma\) induced genes are regulated by a mechanistically similar form of epigenetic transcriptional memory. In our recent paper, we showed...
that hundreds of such genes exhibit transcriptional memory and that this form of memory is mechanistically very similar to INO1 memory in yeast.35 Here we discuss these findings in a broader context.

Transcriptional Memory Regulates RNAPII Recruitment

We previously discovered a persistent interaction of a poised, unphosphorylated RNAPII at the INO1 promoter for generations after repression.1 Yeast strains that lack the histone variant H2A.Z or the nuclear pore protein Nup100 do not exhibit transcriptional memory; INO1 relocates to the nucleoplasm after repression, RNAPII binding to the promoter is lost and the rate of reactivation of INO1 is significantly slowed (while the rate of the initial activation is unaffected). This suggests that transcriptional memory promotes faster reactivation by bypassing the rate-limiting step of RNAPII recruitment. To better understand this, we compared the binding of General Transcription Factors (GTFs) to the promoter of active and recently repressed INO1 by chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP). Every GTF tested (TFIIB, TFIID, TFIIE, TFIIF, TFIH, TFIIK, TFIIIS and Mediator) was bound to the active INO1 promoter. Most of the GTFs (TFIIB, TFIID, TFIIE, TFIIF and TFIH) were bound to the recently repressed INO1 promoter, but others (TFIIK, TFIIIS and Mediator) were not. This result was consistent with our observation that the RNAPII that was bound to the recently repressed INO1 promoter was not phosphorylated on Ser2 and Ser5 of the carboxyl terminal domain of RNAPII, indicating that this is a preinitiation form of RNAPII.26 The mechanism of INO1 transcriptional memory suggests that transcription can be regulated at the level of RNAPII recruitment, at the level of initiation and at the level of elongation.27,28 Consistent with this conclusion, in both stationary phase yeast cells and G0 lymphocytes, thousands of genes are poised for future expression through binding of preinitiation complex to their promoters.20,30

INO1 transcriptional memory requires an 11 base pair cis acting promoter element called the Memory Recruitment Sequence (MRS). The promoters of stress-induced yeast genes that display transcriptional memory are enriched for a very similar element.19 Furthermore, the MRS is sufficient to recapitulate the chromatin structure associated with transcriptional memory when inserted at ectopic loci.1 However, the MRS is not sufficient to promote PIC association with an ectopic locus, suggesting that PIC association is a context-dependent (and presumably promoter-specific) output of transcriptional memory. Therefore, peripheral localization and chromatin structure probably function to regulate PIC formation and can be uncoupled from these downstream events.

The human gene HLA-DRA is induced by IFN-γ and has much faster induction kinetics if cells have previously been treated with IFN-γ.31,32 This effect persists for up to four cell divisions, suggesting that it is epigenetically inherited through mitosis.24,25 We found that several hundred human genes displayed significantly faster induction kinetics in cells that had been exposed IFN-γ compared with their initial activation. Transcriptional memory does not require full transcription of HLA-DRA; treatment of cells with IFN-γ for 2 h, which was not sufficient to lead to measurable increase in HLA-DRA, was sufficient to lead to transcriptional memory. After removing IFN-γ, a preinitiation form of RNAPII remained bound to the promoters of genes that exhibited transcriptional memory, suggesting that human genes exhibit a form of transcriptional memory that is mechanistically similar to INO1 memory in yeast.

Non-NPC Bound Roles for Nups

Nups interact with a large number of genes in eukaryotic genomes, leading to both positive and negative impacts on gene expression.15,16,36,38,34 INO1 transcriptional memory requires interactions with several yeast Nups (Fig. 1).1 The active INO1 promoter interacts with different Nups than the recently repressed INO1 promoter.1 Based on ChIP, active INO1 interacts with Nup2, one of several Nups having Phe-X-Phe-Gly repeats but does not interact with Nup100, a Gly-Leu-Phe-Gly repeat protein. In contrast, recently repressed INO1 interacts weakly with Nup2 and strongly with Nup100.1 Using antibodies against Phe-X-Phe-Gly or against Nup98, a human homolog of yeast Nup100, we performed ChIP and measured the interaction of each of these antigens with the promoters of human genes that exhibit transcriptional memory. In humans, Phe-X-Phe-Gly Nups include Nup62, Nup153, Nup214, Nup358/RanBP2 and Pom121. Similar to yeast INO1, we observed an interaction of Phe-X-Phe-Gly proteins but not Nup98, with the active promoters and a weaker interaction with Phe-X-Phe-Gly proteins but a strong interaction of Nup98 with previously expressed promoters for up to 96 h after removing IFN-γ. Therefore, different human Nups bind to active and recently expressed genes that exhibit transcriptional memory.

Although all of the interactions between yeast genes and Nups occur at the NPC, many Nup-gene interactions in metazoan cells occur in the nucleoplasm, away from the NPC. We localized the HLA-DRA gene with respect to the nuclear periphery using DNA FISH before IFN-γ treatment, during IFN-γ treatment and 48 h after IFN-γ treatment. Consistent with a role for non-NPC-bound Nups in transcriptional memory, HLA-DRA did not co-localize with the nuclear periphery under any condition. This suggests that in HeLa cells, nucleoplasmic Nups interact with HLA-DRA.21−23 Although these interactions occur at a different subnuclear location in yeast and humans, it seems likely that they represent a similar, highly conserved, biochemical process.

Chromatin Structure and Transcriptional Memory

Changes in the chromatin state of gene promoters have been tied to transcriptional memory in both yeast and humans.1,24 In yeast, the histone variant H2A.Z was required for INO1 transcriptional memory and the INO1 MRS was both necessary and sufficient to promote the deposition of H2A.Z.1,17 HLA-DRA transcriptional memory is associated with persistent dimethylation of histone H3 lysine 4 at the promoter (H3K4me2).24 This led us to ask
if this chromatin mark was also associated with yeast INO1 transcriptional memory. Indeed, H3K4me2 persists at the recently repressed INO1 promoter. Mutation of the MRS led to loss of H3K4me2 after repression. The MRS was also sufficient to induce dimethylation of H3K4 at an ectopic locus. Moreover, mutants lacking the enzymes responsible for H3K4 methylation blocked INO1 transcriptional memory, suggesting that H3K4 methylation is required for memory. Finally, H3K4me2 deposition occurred in the absence of H2A.Z, suggesting that H3K4me2 incorporation occurred upstream, or in parallel to, H2A.Z deposition.

**hNup98 is Required for Transcriptional Memory**

Yeast INO1 transcriptional memory specifically requires Nup100. Using siRNAs to deplete Nup98 in HeLa cells, we found that loss of Nup98 led to loss of all measures of transcriptional memory: RNAPII and H3K4me2 were lost from the promoters of genes that exhibit IFN-γ memory and the rate of reactivation of these genes was much slower. This effect was specific to Nup98 depletion; Nup107 depletion did not affect transcriptional memory. We also found that mutants lacking yeast Nup100 failed to retain H3K4me2 at the recently repressed INO1 promoter, further supporting the conservation of this mechanism.

**Conclusions**

Some Nups can interact with promoters to modulate chromatin structure and gene expression in both yeast and humans, suggesting that Nups have functions independent of their role in intranuclear transport. Our recent work has further defined the molecular mechanism of yeast transcriptional memory and found strong evidence that facets of this mechanism are conserved from yeast to humans. Based on this, we predict that many genes are regulated by transcriptional memory in different signaling contexts. Consistent with this notion, the promoters of stress-responsive yeast genes that exhibit a form of epigenetic transcriptional memory are enriched for an element that is very similar to the MRS element. Also, these genes require Nup42 for this behavior. If these genes are regulated by a mechanism similar to that used by INO1 and HLA-DRA, their promoters may be marked with H3K4me2 and bound to RNAPII following the primary stress.

Transcriptional memory reflects both the cell’s current physiology and previous experiences. In the context of the body, the response of cells and tissues to the same stimulus might differ dramatically based on recent (or not so recent) experience. A complete understanding of gene expression patterns must also consider how these patterns are related to a cell’s or a tissue’s history. Transcriptional memory may allow qualitatively different transcriptional responses to a particular stimulus, by integrating signals with recent experience. If so, this mechanism might provide better responses to infection. However, it is also possible that this mechanism might contribute to pathological outcomes such as chronic inflammation. If so, transcriptional memory may represent a novel pathway for therapeutic intervention for the treatment of inflammatory disorders, which often involve mis-regulation of IFN-γ-responsive genes.
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