The major diseases associated with Safflower and some of the resistant sources

**Abstract**

There are several diseases reported to be associated with safflower (Carthamus tinctorius L.) from various growing areas in Iran. The fungal disease species; Alternaria alternata, A. carthami, Bremia lactuca, Cochliobolus sativus, Fusarium culmorum, F. oxysporum, Gibberella acuminata (Fusarium acuminatum), G. intercensis (Fusarium equiseti), Golovinomyces cichoracearum (Erysiphe cichoracearum), Leveilla taurica, Macrophomina phaseolina, Nectria haematococca (Fusarium solani), Physophthora drechsleri, Puccinia carthami, Pythium oligandrum, P. ultimum, Ramularia cynarae (R. carthami and or Cercospora carthami) Sclerotinia sclerotiorum, Thanatephorus cucumeris (Rhizoctonia solani) and in case of wild safflower, Leveilla taurica, Puccinia carthami and Ramularia cynarae are reported so far. Also, studies on 31 species of Physophthora only P. drechsleri, P. palmivora, P. carypsygea, P. quercina, P. cactorum, P. carpophila and P. erythroseptica showed to be pathogenic to safflower. There are phyllody symptoms which were observed in Fars and Yazd provinces showed floral virescence, phyllody and proliferation, proliferation of axillary buds along the stem and little leaf symptom most closely related to brinjal little leaf and periwinkle little leaf phytoplasmas, members of subgroup 16SrVI-C. Nineteen safflower genotypes were screening against Macrophomina phaseolina, the charcoal rot pathogen. Also, fourteen genotypes to Pythium ultimum (at temperatures 10, 15, 20, 25 and 30°C). The results in both cases indicated that, the genotypes are variable in between to the said diseases.
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**Introduction**

Safflower, *Carthamus tinctorius L.*, is an annual, broad leaf crop which belongs to the family of Compositae. Safflower is cultivated worldwide as an oilseed or ornamental crop. In Iran, this crop is grown for its seeds to extract oil or feed home birds, and also for its flowers to use in medicine or ornamental purposes, and is being cultivated on approximately 1000 ha annually. Safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius L.*) is an oilseed crop of increasing importance in the world. This crop has been grown for its flowers for many years in Iran, which is one of the centers of safflower culture in the old world. In recent years due to an parts, reduced seed sizes usually occur. Disease incidence and severity are often greatest when maturing increasing demand for vegetable oil for the human uses, its production as an oilseed crop has received a great deal of attention. Growth of the crop is severely affected by many seed, soil and air borne fungal diseases such as *Fusarium* and *Verticillium wilt*, *Phythophthora* and stem rot, rust, and *Alternaria* leaf spot. They are namely as; *Alternaria alternata*, A. carthami, Bremia lactuca, Cochliobolus sativus, Fusarium culmorum, Foxyssporum, Gibberella acuminata (Fusarium acuminatum), G. intercensis (Fusarium equiseti), Golovinomyces cichoracearum (Erysiphe cichoracearum), Leveilla taurica, Macrophomina phaseolina, Nectria haematococca (Fusarium solani), Physophthora drechsleri, Puccinia carthami, Pythium oligandrum, P. ultimum, Ramularia cynarae (R. carthami and or Cercospora carthami) Sclerotinia sclerotiorum, Thanatephorus cucumeris (Rhizoctonia solani) and in case of wild safflower, Leveilla taurica, Puccinia carthami and Ramularia cynarae. Safflower plants (*Carthamus tinctorius L.*) with phyllody symptoms were observed by Salehi et al., in Fars and Yazd provinces of Iran. They reported that, affected plants show floral virescence, phyllody and proliferation, proliferation of auxiliary buds along the stem and little leaf symptoms. Recently, charcoal rot caused by *Macrophomina phaseolina* has been considered as a relatively important disease in safflower. The first report of charcoal rot disease on safflower growth in Iran was in northeastern Golestan Province in the summer of 2002. *M. phaseolina*, the causal agent of seedling blight, root rot and charcoal rot of more than 500 crop and non crop species; primarily is a soil-borne fungus. Although initial infections occur at the seedling stage, they remain latent until the safflower plant approaches flowering or maturity. The first symptom is general wilting of the plant during the middle of hot days followed by a recovery in the evening as temperature declines. The stems of infected plants eventually take on a gray discoloration at the base and finally, the vascular bundles may become covered with microscerotia of the fungus. Since charcoal rot restricts the flow of water and nutrients to the upper plants are stressed by drought and high temperature which leads to premature plant death. In some cases, this pathogen kills up to 25% of the plants in commercial fields of safflower. Similar to other crops, management strategies to control charcoal rot in safflower including crop rotation, lower plant density and scheduling planting date and irrigation to reduce the effect of mid-season drought stress. Planting resistant cultivars is the most permanent and practical way for the control of the disease, because above mentioned strategies fail to provide adequate control. Although, responses of different genotypes to the charcoal rot disease caused by *M. phaseolina* have been reported many times in other crops such as and soybean and alfalfa such information are not available in the literature for safflower. Therefore, the objective of this study was to screen some genotypes of safflower under field conditions for resistance to charcoal rot disease. We further report those traits that are correlated to the resistance for indirect selection.
programs. Safflower suffers severely from soil pathogens, which may attack seed, germinating seed, and young. Seedlings or at time of seed formation, causing directly or indirectly yield and quality losses. Seed and seedling rots by *Pythium* as well as *Phytophthora* rots are among the more devastating soil borne diseases of safflower.11,12 Studies showed that *Pythium ultimum* Trow. is the causal agent of seed rot and seedling damping-off of safflower in Iran and other countries.12–14 It is not only made some limitations for safflower production in Iran, but also for the other producing areas in the world. The pathogen parasitizes seeds and invades the hypocotyl or first internode tissues of safflower seedlings and causes rotting and collapse of infected tissues and finally decays seeds and seedlings.15,16 Although different chemical fungicides are used to control damping-off, but similar to other fungi diseases the best way for decrease the losses is planting the resistant cultivars. The condition of infection, seed decay and seedling death caused by *Pythium* has been studied in safflower and other crop plants.14,15–18 Like other soil borne pathogenic fungi, severity of infection by *Pythium*, incidence of damping-off and loses in crop production is a function of environmental factors and how the plants can use from their genetic potential to resist against the pathogen.13 Among the most important environmental factors which can favor the disease, temperature plays a key role. The optimum temperatures for infection of citrus fruits to brown rot that caused by *Phytophthora palmivora* were 27 to 30 °C.20 Ben-Yepheth & Nelson17 studied the effects of 20, 24, 28 and 30 °C on differential suppression of *Pythium irregulare* and showed that the pathogen caused damping-off in cucumber only at 20 and 240 °C. Temperature had a profound impact on root rot development, plant growth and infection of carambola (*Averrhoa carambola*) roots by *Pythium splendens*.21 Infection of apples and pears with *Phytophthora cactorum* required 3 to 7 h of wetness at temperature 15 to 30 °C.22 *Pythium aphanidermatum* and *Pythium myriotylum* are considered to be broad host range species favored by very warm conditions, whereas others such as *P. ultimum* and *P. irregulare* are considered to be broad host range species favored by cool conditions.23 The incidence of damping off of safflower caused by *P. splendens* was reported to increase with temperature from 10 to 250 °C,14–16 performed an experiment on infected soil with *P. ultimum* and indicated that temperature level affected emergence of safflower seedlings and incidence of damping-off. They showed that safflower should be seeded early when soil temperature is low, even though emergence may be slow. Also they concluded that if seeding is delayed until soil temperatures are higher than 10 °C, growers should consider not planting safflower if soil moisture levels are high.24 On the other hand the optimum temperature for safflower seed germination is about 25 °C.24 As noted, the effect of temperature on seed and seedling growth and incidence of *Pythium* damping-off in safflower has been investigated by researchers. But the best temperature for both pathogen and host has not been investigated. So, finding the optimum temperature in which favorable conditions provide to both fungal infection and expression of disease resistance in host is an important aim of safflower breeders. This study was undertaken to determine the temperature conditions decreasing seed rots and seedling damping-off caused by *P. ultimum* in different safflower genotypes. The objectives were to find temperature in which the lowest seed and seedling death takes place, recognize the most resistant genotype to the pathogen; and determine the effect of temperature × genotype interaction on the disease.

**Materials and methods**

There are several fungal diseases reported to be associated with safflower in Iran including wild ones by several workers, which are reported in due courses of time from various safflower growing areas. This has to be mentioned that, all are summarized and edited by Professor Ershad under “Fungi of Iran” which are given in results. Thus, simply the key reference is given over here as Ershad,4 though the main authors are also presented in the front of the reported fungi separately. And, also the fungal species, place and the name of authors are given respectively.4 Salehi et al.,25 worked on the phytoplasma through transmitting it from diseased to healthy safflower by grafting and from safflower to safflower and periwinkle by dodder (*Cuscuta campestris*). Also, the presence of phytoplasmas in diseased plants was shown by them through direct and nested polymerase chain reaction assays using phytoplasma-specific primer pairs P1/P7 and R16F2n/ R2. Restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) analysis of nested R16F2n/R2 primed PCR product (1.2kb). Nearly full length 16S rDNA sequences of 18 phytoplasmas including SP were aligned using ClustalX1.8.16 Phylogenetic tree was constructed using the neighbor joining (NJ) plot option of ClustalX. *Acholeplasma laidlawii* (GenBank accession No. M23932) was used as outgroup to root the tree. Bootstrapping was performed 1000 times to estimate the stability and support for the branches. The 16S rDNA sequence homology between strains was evaluated after alignments were generated by using homology matrix distance option of DNAMAN program version 4.02 (Lynnon Corporation, Canada). Putative restriction site maps of 16S rDNA of SP and similar phytoplasma sequences belonging to 16SrV1 group were generated using Map Draw option of DNASTAR (USA) program.27 Pahlavani et al.,27 worked on sources of resistance to charcoal rot disease of safflower. Nineteen safflower genotypes that originated from different geographical regions were screening for their response to infection with *Macrophomina phaseolina*, the charcoal rot pathogen. The plants were evaluated for length and width of necrotic lesion at the entry point of inoculum at flowering (LNF and WNF, respectively) and maturity stages (LNM and WNM, respectively), and penetration depth of necrosis in the stem (PDN). Some morphological characteristics including plant height, number of days to maturity, diameter of lower stem (DLS), diameter of vascular bundle (DVS) and relative water content of lower stems (SRWC) were also measured.27

Data evaluation and Pearson’s correlation analysis among the measured traits were performed for the 19 genotypes with the SAS.28 Following the correlation analysis the relationship between diameter of lower stem (DLS) and disease related traits (LNF, WNF, LNM, WNM and PDN) were also determined by performing a regression analysis. For grouping the genotypes on the basis of resistance or susceptibility reaction to *M. phaseolina* infection, a cluster analysis was made with five diseases related traits including LNF, WNF, LNM, WNM and PDN. The cluster analysis was carried by using of squared Euclidean distance to construct an Unweighted Pair Group Method with Arithmetic mean (UPGMA) dendrogram according to Johnson and Wichern. The cluster analysis and plotting dendrogram were processed using SPSS software (Statistical Package for Social Sciences, Inc. Release 10.0.5, 1999). Pahlavani et al.,27 stated that, improvement of genetic potential in safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius*) against *Pythium* species would be an efficient means of control of this major seed and seedling fungal pathogen. The type and content of reaction for plant to pathogen could be severely affected by environmental conditions such as temperature. In this study by Pahlavani et al.,27 seed rot and seedling damping-off of fourteen safflower genotypes that came from different origins, were
evaluated using *Pythium ultimum* infected and sterile paper towels at temperatures 10, 15, 20, 25 and 30°C. Both factors including the temperatures and the genotypes and their interaction affected seed germination of safflower.27 After examining data with a Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS) test, data were put through a log transformation to stabilize the variance. Although data transformation decreased error in the coefficient of variation in analysis of variance table but had no significant effects on results, so the raw data were used in all analyses. Analysis of variance, least significant differences (LSD) test and KS test were carried out using the GLM procedure of SAS.

### Results

The reported fungal diseases to be associated with safflower in Iran including wild ones given as fallows. Safflower, *Carthamus tinctorius L.*, *Alternaria alternata* (Fr.) Keissi. - Kennanshah, Sistan Bremia lactucae Reg. f. carthami Milovtzova - Kermanshah, Khuzestan *Cochliobolus sativus* (Ito & Kuribayshi) Drechsler ex Dastur (Anamorph: Bipolaris sorokiniana (Sacc.) ShocTnaker) – Kennanshah. *Fusarium culmorum* (W.G. Sm.) Sac. - Kennianshah *F. oxysporum* Schltdl. - Widespread *Fusarium sp.* - Dezful *Gibberella acuminata* Wollenw. (Anamorph: Fusarium acuminatum Ellis & Everh.) - Kermanshah *G. intricata* Wollenw. (Anamorph: Fusarium equiseti (Corda) Sacc.) Kermanshah. *Golovinomyces cichoracearum* (DC.) F.P. Heluta = Erysiphe cichoracearum DC. DeziTil, Esfahan, Kermanshah, Leveillula taurica (Lev.) G. Arnaud = L. compositarum Golov. f. carthami Jacz. - Damavand, Esfahan, Evin, Ghazvin, Karaj, Kermanshah, Varamin. *Macrophomina phaseolina* (Tassi) Goid = *M. phaseoli* (Maubl.) S.F. Ashby = Tiarosporella phaseolina (Tassi) Aa. Widespread *Nectria haematococca* (Berk. & Broome) Wollenw. var. brevicona (Wollenw.) Gerlham (Anamorph: Fusarium solani (Mart.) Sacc.) Widespread. *Pytophthora drechsleri* Tucker - Widespread *Puccinia carthami* (Hultz.) Corda - Azarbaian, Esfahan, Gorgan, Iranshahr, Jiroft, Kermanshah, Khuzestan, Sari. *Pythium oligodrum* Drechsler - Kermanshah *P. ultimum* Trow Widespread. *Ramularia cynaecae* Sacc. = *R. carthami* Zaprom. - Kermanshah, Khuzestan, Moghan, Sari Sclerotinia sclerotiorum (lib.) de Bary- Darab (khani, 2008). Thanatephorus cucumeris (Prank) Donk (Anamorph; Rhizoctonia solani Kuhn) - Widespread (ANONYMOUS 1967a, as *Rhizoctonia sp.*), Wild Safflower, *Carthamus oxyacantha* M. B. Leveillula taurica (Lev.) G. Arnaud = L. compositarum Golov. f. carthami Jacz. - Evin, Golpaygan. *Puccinia carthami* (Hultz.) Corda - Ahvaz, Dezfill, Esfahan, Evin, Pars, Ghazvin, Hamedan, Jajrud, Ranun, Shiraz Ramularia cynaecae Sice. = *R. carthami* Zaprom. – Khuzestan.

### Phytoplasmas

In the case of phytoplasma as per materials and methods, the result classified SP phytoplasma in the clover proliferation phytoplasma group (16SrVI). Sequence homology, phylogenetic and putative restriction site analyses of 16S rRNA gene also identified SP phytoplasma as a member of 16SrVI group (Figure 1). On the basis of molecular analyses, SP phytoplasma was most closely related to brinjal little leaf and periwinkle little leaf phytoplasmas, members of subgroup 16SrVI-C. The ribosomal DNA fragment amplified by nested PCR (1.2 kb) from SP phytoplasma and ICY positive control were separately digested with endonucleases AluI, HaeIII, HhaI, HinfI, HpalI, MseI, RsaI, Sau3AI and TaqI (Figure 2). Based on comparison with reference strains 29-34 the SP phytoplasma pattern was similar to members of CP (16SrVI) phytoplasma group. However, SP strain was distinguishable from ICY (16SrVI-A) strain by two HhaI digestion sites (Figure 2). Analysis of disease symptoms by clustering method revealed that there were four moderately resistance, ten susceptible and five moderately susceptible genotypes. However, no completely resistant genotype was found. DLS had a positive and significant correlation with all disease related traits including LNF, WNF, LNM, WNM and PDI. Therefore, this trait may be used as an index for indirect selection of resistant genotypes in safflower. The moderately resistant genotypes IUT-K115, GUA-Val6, CW-74 and AC-Stirling can be used in breeding programs to improve resistant safflower genotypes.27

![Figure 1](image1.png) **Figure 1** Electrophoretic pattern of 16S rDNA fragment (1.2kb) amplified by nested PCR from 8 symptomatic safflower plants (Lanes 1-8) and Iranian cabbage yellows phytoplasma strain (lane 9). Lanes 10-11, healthy safflower plant and water control, respectively; M, DNA ladder.3

![Figure 2](image2.png) **Figure 2** Restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) profiles of 16S rDNA amplified by nested PCR using P1/P7 followed by R16F2n/R2 primer pairs from safflower phyloidy phytoplasma (A) and Iranian cabbage yellows phytoplasma (B). DNA products were digested with TaqI, HinfI, Sau3AI, RsaI, AluI, HhaI, MseI, HpalI and HaeIII and separated through a 2.5% agarose gel. Lane M, DNA ladder.5

In the case of charcoal rot disease caused by *M. phaseolina* in Iran, it is the first work to study the reaction of safflower genotypes to this disease. The results showed considerable genetic diversity among the studied genotypes for response to infection (Table 2). The genotypes were grouped in different clusters in relation to their resistance. These results indicate that the North American cultivars CW-74 and AC-Stirling as well as the Iranian breeding lines IUT-K115 and GUAVal6 were moderately resistant to charcoal rot disease. Therefore, these lines could be used as the basis of developing resistant safflower cultivars in the future (Table 3). The discovery of a moderate level of resistance to *M. phaseolina* in this study indicates that resistance to disease is present in current safflower germplasm sources, and this offers promise that future commercial cultivars with enhanced resistance can be developed. Reduced growth of the pathogen within host tissues that was observed by length and width of necrotic lesion at flowering and maturity stages and penetration depth of the
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necrosis. Results of this study indicated that due to the high positive and significant relationship between diameter of lower stem (DLS) and disease symptoms in the field, DLS might be a suitable trait for indirect selection among the materials to increase resistance to the charcoal rot disease in safflower (Table 3). The results showed that temperature had a significant effect on number of normal and diseased seedlings in *Pythium*-infected media (Table 4). Among the five different levels of treated conditions, the lowest number of normal seedlings occurred at 25 and 30°C, and the lowest number of diseased seedlings were also observed at 10 and 15°C (Table 5). There was a Considerable difference among the fourteen studied genotypes for number of normal seedlings and number of diseased seedlings in infected media under laboratory conditions. The effect of genotype × temperature interaction on both number of normal seedlings and number of diseased seedlings was no significant. Cultivar CW-74 had the lowest, and cultivars LRV-51-51 and LRV-55-259 had the highest number of normal seedlings under *Pythium*-infected conditions. And also, Line 34072 had the lowest, and cultivar CW-74 had the highest number of diseased seedlings in *Pythium*-infected media. In fields infesting with *P. ultimum*, sowing safflower seed when temperature was more than 15°C is likely to have poor stand establishment due to seed rot and seeding damping-off (Table 6).
Table 3 Coefficient of Pearson correlation among disease related traits and morphological characteristic of 19 safflower genotypes

| Trait     | LNF (cm) | WNF (cm) | LNM (cm) | WNM (cm) | PDN (%) | DLS (mm) | DVS (mm) | Height (cm) | Maturity |
|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|---------|----------|----------|-------------|----------|
| WNF(cm)   | 0.27     |          |          |          |         |          |          |             |          |
| LNM(cm)   | 0.66**   | 0.39     |          |          |         |          |          |             |          |
| WNM(cm)   | 0.43     | 0.42     | 0.56**   |          |         |          |          |             |          |
| PDN(%)    | -0.03    | 0.46**   | -0.01    | 0.29     |         |          |          |             |          |
| DLS(mm)   | 0.68**   | 0.71**   | 0.70**   | 0.64**   | 0.48    |          |          |             |          |
| DVS(mm)   | 0.33     | 0.03     | 0.08     | 0.49**   | 0.37    | 0.31     |          |             |          |
| Height(cm) | 0.24    | 0.4      | 0.3      | 0.47*    | 0.53**  | 0.52*    | 0.15*    |             |          |
| Maturity  | 0.32     | 0.17     | 0.14     | 0.22     | -0.06   | 0.13     | 0.06     | 0.35        |          |
| SPWC      | 0.05     | 0.03     | 0.04     | 0.35     | 0.04    | 0.13     | 0.27     | 0.27        | 0.45**   |

* and **: Significant at 5 and 1 % probability level, respectively.

Table 4 Analysis of variance of the effect of temperature and genotype on number of germinated (NGS), number of normal seedlings (NNS) and number of diseased seedlings (NDS) in infected media with *Pythium ultimum* in Safflower

| Source   | df | NGS         | df | NNS         | NDS         |
|----------|----|-------------|----|-------------|-------------|
| Temperature(T) | 4  | 49.51**     | 4  | 4856.96**   | 366.04**    |
| Error 1   | 15 | 9.69        |    | 78.38       | 40.89       |
| Media (M) | 1  | 75.78**     |    | 52          | 48.02       |
| G×T       | 52 | 10.60**     |    |             |             |
| G×M       | 13 | 6.48        |    |             |             |
| G×M×T     | 52 | 4.17        |    |             |             |
| Error 3   | 390| 6.01        |    |             |             |
| Total     | 559|             |    |             |             |

** Significant at % level.

Table 5 Effect of temperature and *Pythium*- infection on seed germination, number of normal and diseased seedlings in safflower.

| Temperature(C) | NGC  | NNS  | NDS  | Media | NGS   |
|----------------|------|------|------|-------|-------|
| 10             | 45.4±2.59 | 25.7±4.91 | 19.7±4.81 | Sterile | 45.6±2.78 |
| 15             | 44.7±2.76 | 27.5±6.37 | 17.2±5.69 | Infected | 44.9±2.91 |
| 20             | 45.8±2.37 | 25.2±11.31 | 20.5±10.32 | LSD (0.05) | 0.471 |
| 25             | 44.9±2.79 | 9.0±6.17 | 34.9±6.84 |             |       |
| 30             | 43.6±3.43 | 9.5±8.14 | 33.3±8.05 |             |       |
| LSD (0.05)     | 0.888 | 2.963 | 2.819 |       |       |

Means followed by the same letter within a column are not significantly different (P> 0.05) according to the least significant difference (LSD) test; NGS, number of germinated seeds; NNS, number of normal seedlings; NDS, number of diseased seedlings.
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Table 6 Effect of safflower genotype on seed germination, number of normal and diseased seedlings in infected media with *Pythium ultimum* at temperatures 10, 15, 20 and 30°C

| Genotype | Origin | 10°C | 15°C | 20°C | 25°C | 30°C | NNS | NDS |
|----------|--------|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|
| Arak-2811 | Iran   | 46.1±2.87 | 46.5±3.59 | 46.2±1.91 | 47.3±2.62 | 47.8±0.81 | 20.3±12.21 | 26.0±11.56 |
| Isfahan | Iran | 45.6±1.41 | 43.6±2.08 | 46.1±0.81 | 45.0±3.69 | 43.6±2.62 | 18.8±11.01 | 23.5±8.87 |
| Zarghan-259 | Iran | 45.5±2.70 | 46.3±2.68 | 45.5±1.70 | 47.0±2.38 | 45.8±3.68 | 20.3±11.75 | 25.0±9.04 |
| LRV-51-51 | Iran | 45.5±2.76 | 46.2±2.44 | 45.5±2.62 | 46.3±3.50 | 45.7±2.75 | 22.2±9.21 | 23.3±7.86 |
| LRV-55-295 | Iran | 45.5±1.73 | 46.8±2.51 | 45.5±1.82 | 46.3±2.38 | 45.6±4.08 | 21.8±10.79 | 23.7±10.27 |
| IL-111 | Iran | 45.8±2.50 | 44.1±0.95 | 44.7±1.91 | 43.1±3.86 | 41.8±1.73 | 14.8±11.39 | 25.6±9.00 |
| Dinger | Turkey | 46.6±2.62 | 45.3±2.06 | 45.8±1.29 | 45.3±1.70 | 46.0±2.62 | 19.8±11.50 | 25.4±11.94 |
| Syrian | Syria | 46.7±2.21 | 44.1±1.41 | 45.0±1.41 | 45.0±1.50 | 43.7±0.95 | 20.6±12.72 | 24.5±11.94 |
| CW-74 | USA | 45.7±3.46 | 42.0±2.30 | 45.1±3.69 | 45.0±0.95 | 43.8±2.44 | 19.4±11.53 | 27.7±11.93 |
| Hartman | USA | 47.7±1.70 | 47.6±0.81 | 47.7±2.06 | 47.7±1.50 | 47.2±0.57 | 20.4±10.53 | 26.6±10.53 |
| Aceteria | Canada | 45.3±1.70 | 46.8±2.38 | 47.2±4.69 | 45.3±1.15 | 44.5±1.50 | 18.1±12.75 | 26.6±13.40 |
| PI-25037 | Unknown | 46.8±2.51 | 47.4±2.61 | 47.2±2.36 | 44.8±2.94 | 42.6±2.50 | 19.5±10.80 | 24.0±10.42 |
| S-541 | Unknown | 45.5±3.30 | 44.1±1.73 | 44.2±3.69 | 41.5±1.29 | 39.1±1.73 | 16.2±11.10 | 25.2±10.99 |
| 34074 | Unknown | 43.5±2.06 | 43.5±1.91 | 45.7±3.68 | 43.5±3.59 | 41.3±2.06 | 19.3±12.16 | 22.3±10.25 |
| LSD | — | 2.283 | 2.39 | 2.534 | 2.377 | 2.653 | — | — |

Means followed by the same letter within a column are not significantly different (P>0.05) according to the least significant difference (LSD) test; NGS, number of germinated seeds; NNS, number of normal seedlings; NDS, number of diseased seedlings.

Discussion

The reported fungi on safflower in Iran indicating that, there are several fungi infecting this crop with almost similar reported fungi from other places of the world. Salehi et al., based on disease symptoms, graft and dodder transmission and positive PCR reaction with universal phytoplasma primers proved that the Iranian SP agent is a phytoplasma nature. RFLP analysis of PCR products amplified by the primer pair R16F2n/R2, sequence homology, putative restriction site and phylogenetic analyses of 16S rDNA indicated that SP is closely related to members of clover proliferation, 16SrVI group. Results of these analyses suggest classification of SP in the 16SrVI-C ribosomal subgroup, distinct from CP, PWB and ICY (16SrVI-A), and very close to BLL and PLL (16SrVI-C). Previous investigations using various endonucleases, showed that phytoplasma members of the clover proliferation subgroup could be differentiated from each other by Alul, Hhal and Msel enzymes in agreement with the results of RFLP analyses (Figure 1 & 2) of our study. According to restriction-site analysis of PCR-amplified 16S rDNA and phylogenetic analysis of 16S rDNA, the safflower phyllophy phytoplasma from Israel is caused by an agent belonging to 16SrI-Bs subgroup, vectored by Nealiotus fenestraus, a leafhopper found also in safflower fields in Zarghan, Iran. However, the ability of N. fenestraus to transmit the Iranian SP agent is yet to be tested. To our knowledge the safflower leafhopper is the first host found also as a host of CP phytoplasma group. Pahlavani et al., stated that, this is the first work to study the reaction of safflower genotypes to charcoal rot disease caused by *M. phaseolina* in Iran. Their results showed considerable genetic diversity among the studied genotypes for response to infection. The genotypes were grouped in different clusters in relation to their resistance. These results indicate that the North American cultivars CW-74 and AC-Stirling as well as the Iranian breeding lines IUT-K115 and GU/Val6 were moderately resistant to charcoal rot disease. Therefore, these lines could be used as the basis of developing resistant safflower cultivars in the future. The discovery of a moderate level of resistance to *M. phaseolina* in this study indicates that resistance to disease is present in current safflower germplasm sources, and this offers promise that future commercial cultivars with enhanced resistance can be developed. Resistance to *M. phaseolina* in safflower may be associated with resistance to drought stress as reported in grain sorghum and soybean (Pratt et al., 1998). Although response to drought stress and also seed yield of the genotypes were not investigated in this study, CW-74 and AC-Stirling that had high yield and other suitable agronomic characters, and have been released for cultivation in rain fed area. Since these genotypes can be used for the crossing with Iranian genotypes to develop charcoal rot resistant genotypes. For better understanding of resistance in safflower genotypes to *M. phaseolina*, it is recommended that level of stress-related free amino acids to be measured in the next studies. Reduced growth of the pathogen within host tissues that was observed by length and width of necrotic lesion at flowering and maturity stages and penetration depth of the necrosis may be due to lower levels of the stress-related free amino acids praline and asparagine in resistant genotypes than susceptible genotypes as reported in soybean. Since field study of plant reaction to the pathogen is difficult and time consuming, breeders often search for easily and rapidly evaluated traits that are correlated to resistance. Results of this study indicated that due to the high positive and significant relationship between diameter of lower stem (DLS) and disease symptoms in the field, DLS might be a suitable trait for indirect selection among the materials to increase resistance to charcoal rot disease in safflower. Therefore, this trait could be used as a selection index for improving resistant
The major diseases associated with Safflower and some of the resistant sources

and/or tolerant genotypes to the charcoal rot pathogen in safflower.27

Both temperature and genotype affected number of germinating damages of the P. ultimum. Some of the studied genotypes had good potential of normal seedlings in Pythium-infected media and could be considered in breeding program for improvement of resistance to Pythium damping-off in safflower. The number of diseased seedlings (NDS) caused by P. ultimum increased as incubation temperatures increased over 15°C and reached a maximum at 25 and 30°C (Figure 2) and, the maximum number of normal seedlings (NNS) were observed at 15°C and decreased as temperature increased up to 30°C (Figure 2). The increase in incidence of damping-off with temperature observed is in accordance with results of Thomas et al.,16 on Pythium splendens, and 24°C is reported to be optimal for P. irregular.17 Mundel et al.,18 evaluated the effects of soil temperature, soil moisture and P. ultimum infection on the emergence of 12 safflower genotypes. They found that emergence in Pythium-infested soil was relatively high at 5, 10 and 15°C, but was dramatically lower at 20 and 25°C, particularly in soils with a moisture level of 30 kpa. They also observed post-emergence damping-off of seedlings in Pythium-infested soil, after maximum emergence at 15, 20 and 25°C. The results of this study agree with the above findings. The favorability of higher temperatures on damping-off of cucumber caused by P. irregular was also shown by Ben Yephet & Nelson.19 Contrary to the observations of Martin & Loper and Ploetz20 showing Pythium infection occurs mainly at lower temperatures, we observed an increase in disease incidence with temperature. This discrepancy may be due to differences between isolates, since isolates of the same Pythium species vary in their optimum temperature.18 The observation of Liubotin & Hancock of another possible explanation. They found that the optimum temperature for Pythium growth shifted to lower temperature when the fungus was added to non sterile instead of sterile soil, indicating a difference between the physiological and ecological optima. The results of this study indicate that to reduce the severity of damping-off in fields infested with the Pythium pathogen, safflower should be seeded early when soil temperature is low, even though germination may be slow or lower. In Golestan area, the mean temperature in March and April is 10.6 and 16.0°C, respectively. In the absence of major seedling diseases, mid-March to mid-April has been identified as optimum planting period for safflower in this area. If seeding is delayed until soil temperatures are higher than 15°C, safflower growers should consider not planting safflower if other conditions are favorable. Other studies with Pythium spp. have indicated that high soil temperature favours the development of seed and root rots16,27 and it may be easier to manipulate seeding date than to eliminate the pathogen. This study confirms these observations for P. ultimum, which is widespread in almost all soils and is the main inciter of damping-off of safflower in Iran.16 Van der Plaats Niterink25 in her monograph on Pythium noted that the role of Pythium spp. often depend on external factors. “When conditions are favorable for the fungus but less for the host, Pythium species can become very pathogenic”. In the interaction that is described in this paper, P. ultimum appears to be an opportunistic in that it causes its greatest damage on safflower not under temperature conditions that are most favorable for it, but when the host genotype is susceptible. From the study of Pahlavani et al.,16 it can be concluded that temperature and genotype conditions play a major role in P. ultimum damping off of safflower. Therefore, implementation of proper sowing time as mentioned above and selection of less susceptible safflower cultivars should be part of Pythium management practices to reduce damping-off incidence and severity under field cultivation. Therefore, it is advisable to plant Safflower early when soil temperature is cool.19
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