Characterization of Polyurethane Nanocomposites for Flame Retardant Applications
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Abstract: In recent years, polymer nanocomposites have attracted extensive attention in materials science because they often exhibit a field of different properties from those of their counterpart polymer micro-composites whose matrices contain the same inorganic components. This novel polyurethane nanocomposite to reduce toxic gases was designed to improve the possibility to resolve several industrial and environmental problems after combustion as intoxication by inhalation. According to this, stoichiometry of rigid polyurethane foam was based on nonmetallic nanoparticles for fireproof applications. On the other hand, we realized synthesis and characterization for the novel polymer, Fourier Transform Infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy and X-ray scattering were applied to collect information on the nanometer-scale physical structure of the polyurethane nanocomposite. Also, scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was used to understand the internal nanostructure before and after combustion, as well as the gas chromatography coupled system with thermal desorption analysis (GC/MSD), to identify the chemical compositions and compounds resulted by the combustion gases generated of the polyurethane nanocomposites foams that can produce toxicity. In addition, the mechanical and flammability properties of rigid polyurethane were evaluated according to international standard test methods of burning of polymer for example using test ASTM D635. According to this, polymer nanocomposites for flammability, not only improves the fireproof properties, but can also improve others properties as can be mechanical and thermal stability providing it the potential to bring true applications to materials to take advantage of the synergies in the polymer matrix. Based on this evidence, nanopolyurethane could be a new and functional polymer for construction, automotive, or textile industries.
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1. Introduction

Nowadays, safety regulations are more strictly in industrial applications, it is the case for the fire safety regulations, these standards seek to contribute user’s integrity. For a longtime, a great deal of effort has been invested in providing different materials with fireproof properties for wood, plastics, textiles, etc. Different types of approaches have been accepted and have been commonly used in various industries, such as electrical and electronics, building construction and transportation [1].

According with the United State Fire Administration (USFA), in 2014 on Unit States of America were reporting 1,298,000 fires, decreasing 22.7% since 2005; those events were causing of 3,275 deaths with 11.7% fewer demises than 2005, as well as resulted of 15,775 injuries a reduction of 7.9% during last 10 years. Economic losses were 11.6 billion dollars decreasing 21.0% since 2005. In the United States approximately 75% of fire deaths occur at home. According with the statistics at home occurs the leading cause for fire injuries (78.0%). However, residential fires do not cause more than 50% of the total fire property damage. Fires in large buildings and industry, while not causing a statistically significant number of deaths, are disproportionately high in cost. That is where we have to focus our attention [2].

Corresponding author: María Eugenia Mena Navarro, Ph.D., candidate, research field: engineering.
Unfortunately, Mexico does not have statistics about how many fire events occur in the country, there are not official annual numbers which inform us about house fires, neither which are the causes of it. Mexican Association of Insurance Institutions said that the average material losses in the nation amount to almost $165,000 Mexican pesos per claim. Michou and Mau Foundation, an organization dedicated to the assistance and prevention of Mexican children with severe burns, mentioned that electricity is the third cause of fires in Mexico.

Rigid polyurethane has a wide range of applications such as insulation, packaging, among others, due to its excellent properties. However, as many organic materials it is moderately flammable and the flame propagation is very fast, which increases the risk of fire, limiting its use in case of fire [3].

The main problem occurs with toxic gases expelled in combustion process, those harmful gases are the main cause of deaths, according with statistics, 80% of deaths are due to smoke and burn in the airway and not to body burns, in this context death by smoke inhalation is really fast [4]. On the other hand, some flame retardant (FR) additives are needed, among which halogenated compounds are the most widely used, and brominated additives are the main fire retardants. The combustion time of flame retarding polymer based on these retardants, halogen acids are evolved, people who would be exposed to these irritants could have potential corrosion damage. So much work has been done on halogen free [5].

Mechanical incorporation of FR additives into the bulk polymeric matrix is mostly low cost and fast blending technique. However, the loading of FR needed to be effective is usually too high, which can lead to a significant influence on the strength and elastic modulus of the materials [6, 7]. Moreover the microstructure, mechanical and thermal properties of polyurethane elastomers (PUs) are strongly dependent on the synthesis method employed to manufacture them [8]. In this context, nanoparticles could reduce the quantity used, and increase the mechanical and thermal properties.

Polymer nanocomposites, which are nanoparticles dispersed in a polymer matrix, have earned substantial academic and industrial interest since their inception [9]. Polymer nanocomposites for flammability applications are attractive because the formation of nanocomposite not only improves the fire properties, but can also improve other properties (e.g., mechanical properties), and it has the potential to bring true multifunctionality to materials [10]. Pholnak et al.’s [11] work analysis proposed ultraviolet-visible spectroscopy (UV-Vis) absorption on polyurethane coating filled with zinc oxide for economic large-scale production of composites.

In past years, the main flame retardants used have been halogenated additives to produce fireproof polymers. Because halogenated additives can release toxic gases in a fire, they have been gradually replaced by inorganic fillers, such as aluminum hydroxides, graphene oxides, and nanoclay particles, to reinforce the flame resistance of polymers [12-17]. Graphene oxides at high temperatures appear to have intumescent behaviors, and can generate a carbon rich protective char layer at the sample surface that shuts down the diffusion route of oxygen into the condensed phase, and ultimately, stops a fire from spreading [18-20]. Kim and Davis’s [21] research as a part of the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) had shown that carbon nanotubes can be used to create a coating which reduces the flammability of foam commonly used on upholstery by 35%. However, CNTs also provide their FR effect in smaller concentrations compared to other fillers. An advantage of this nanomaterial is that they can increase the FR properties of a material, while at the same time enhance its material strength or provide an electrostatic discharge effect, because they will reduce their impact in the dry winter weather [21].

On MX 331874 patent Mena et al. [22] developed a novel polyurethane nanocomposite with multiwall
carbon nanotubes to improve the dielectric resistance on the polymer. Wicklein et al. found that the porous structure of anisotropic foams based on nanocellulose and grapheme oxide improved thermal insulation properties [23].

Polyurethane layered nanocomposites, such as important classes of mineral reinforced thermoplastics, have been intensively investigated in the last decades as promising nanoengineered materials with achieved significant improved performances compared to originals [24]. Some researchers have been working on thermoplastic polyurethane (TPU) nanocomposites fire resistance, this property acted depending on the dispersion degree and nanomorphology of the nanoclayes in the TPU matrix. According to Wang et al. [25] there have been some works on the effect of the microcellular structure of foams on the material’s self-extinguishing performance in a fire, in order to understand better the interrelationship of fire resistance, microcellular structure, and nanoclay morphology with a microcellular structure of TPU. In addition, nanofiller dispersion in microcellular nanocomposite foams can be achieved using the molding injection microcellular reaction process with supercritical fluids as physical blowing agents [26-28].

Traditional non-intumescent FR contains halogens as chlorine, bromine, phosphorous, or inorganic metal compound, as the main FR component in the formulation, the presence of which usually helps to inhibit the flame spread via radical quenching and/or forming glassy protective layers instead of blown voluminous char during combustion [29, 30]. Extensive studies of inorganic nanoclay suggest that their FR mechanism, generates a thermal shielding clay layer at the material surface and exposes the nanocomposite to the flame, leading to a decrease in heat release and, ultimately, limiting material combustion [31]. Until now, numerous novel formulations of organic and inorganic additives based on phosphor, nitrogen, silica, and metals have been reported in literatures, while certain amount of studies for halogenated systems are still implicated. Those mentioned FR compounds may also contain blends of above mentioned elements for synergistic interaction [32]. Polyurethane contains nitrogen in its chemical composition caused by prepolymer used to react, thus in this case, there may also exist a phosphorous nitrogen synergistic effect during fire exposure [33]. Many formulations have been described that utilize components such as chlorinated paraffin’s, antimony trioxide, and titanium dioxide for FR latex and alkyd based paints which, in case of fire hazard, help to reduce the flame spread in the gas phase and catalyze decomposition of the surface of the material to form non voluminous char in the condensed phase [34].

This paper is focused on the investigation of polyurethane nanocomposite to reduce toxins gases for fireproof applications. The influence of preparation procedure and addition of nonmetallic silica nanoparticles on phase and thermal behavior of synthesized rigid polyurethane was studied. Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy and X-ray scattering were applied to collect information on the nanometer-scale physical structure of the polyurethane nanocomposite. Also, their morphological structures were compared with nanoparticles and without nanomaterials by scanning electron microscope (SEM) measurements to understand the internal nanostructure before and after combustion, as well as the gas chromatography system-mass spectrometry analyzes to identify the volatiles compounds resulted on combustion gases of the polyurethane (PU) nanocomposite foams also to understand if those materials can produce toxicity. The industrial sector has detected a market niche for rigid polyurethane nanocomposite to manufacture products with applications on the building industry; which could be used on the roof or on walls, as stone or wood imitation.

2. Experimental Section

Polyurethane nanocomposite was made by synthesis
of several polyol’s polyether and the isocyanate function along with the emergence of the urethane groups and allowed to react. Different samples were prepared in two groups, the control polyurethane without nanoparticles and polyurethane with silica oxide nanoparticles to create a specific stoichiometry for all raw materials. Also the polyurethane stoichiometry involved two different concentrations of silica oxide nanoparticles the first with 0.1 wt% and the other 1 wt% bases polyol system.

The experimental procedure was determined by several steps. The polyurethane nanocomposite was evaluated and characterized by using X-ray diffraction (XRD), technical spectroscopy as FT-IR, analytical methods such as scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and gas chromatography system-mass spectrometry (GC/MSD). All this information helps to make the perfect stoichiometric polymer nanocomposite according to the customer requirements. In addition flammability tests were evaluated according to international methods of burning for example using test ASTM D635 titled standard test method for rate of burning and/or extent and time of burning of plastics in a horizontal position.

2.1 Characterization of the Samples

Polyurethane nanocomposites samples were characterized by different equipments and techniques. FT-IR spectroscopy with a Cary 670 FTIR-ATR spectrometer and XRD spectroscopy by equipment D2 PHASER were applied to collect information on the nanometer-scale physical structure of the polyurethane nanocomposite behavior on the silica nanoparticles dispersion degree. Also, SEM Jeol model JSM-7800F was used to understand the internal nanostructure before and after combustion as well as to evaluate the composition and the size of silica oxide nanoparticles. Gas chromatography coupled system with thermal desorption analysis was performed to identify the volatiles compounds resulted on the combustion time that can produce toxicity on the consumers.

2.2 Materials

The flexible polyol, Desmophen 101 from Covestro, which is a polyether polyol based Polyurethane, was used as the polymer matrix in this study. It is an oxide-based triol diol of propylene with special oxide modification of ethylene having an average molecular weight of 4,000. This polyol is used for manufacturing flexible foams, solid elastomers, microcellular and semirigid flexible foams. It hydroxyl group -OH numbers was 28 mgKOH/g with 800 (mPa•s) of viscosity at 25 °C. 1,4-butanediol (C4H10O2) was used as chain extender with molecular weight of 90.12 and specific gravity of 1.010 g/cm³. A Fyrol PCF (Tris (2-chloroisopropyl) phosphate) is an additive FR with very good hydrolytic stability used extensively in rigid and flexible polyurethane foams, Phosphorus content 9.5 wt% and Chlorine content 32.5 wt%. Its specific mass density was 1.29 g/cm³, Fyrol PCF was used on minimum quantity as recommend, because it is the cheapest material and also very used on flexible foams. The objective was to use that additive in less percentage and mixed with nanoparticles to improve the reduction of toxic gases on PU nanocomposite, as well as to reduce the cost on the final product. Non-metallic nanoparticles are usually used as nanoscale filler for many plastics to improve their physical properties.

Isocyanate is an important component to produce polyurethane foam with versatile properties, for this study it was essential to use a polyether prepolymer to react with polyol system with nanoparticles. Mondur PF is a liquid MDI (modified 4,4’-diphenylmethanediisocyanate) prepolymer Isocyanate, from Covestro, to make foams, for microcellular polyurethane soles, integral skin and semi-flexible polyurethane foams.

It-NCO percentage weight was 23.1% with 800 (mPa•s) of viscosity at 25 °C. Mondur PF is typically used in combination with high molecular weight, reactive polyether polyols in the manufacture of high performance flexible elastomers and specialty foams.
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2.3 Preparation Process of Polyurethane Nanocomposite

The preparation process to produce nanocomposite foams is described below. In the first step, raw material of PU and nanoparticles were prepared by stoichiometric blend. Materials with a variety of formulations on concentrations (0.1 wt% and 1 wt%) were made to have different samples. In this study, hexane was used as physical blowing agent on the process. Chain extender was put as the last component to the reaction system, mixed and degassed in order to remove residual bubbles. Process by reaction in-situ used to produce solid rigid polyurethane nanocomposite. Those samples were prepared to compare and to calculate correlations on their properties.

Experimental processing parameters value for the in situ reaction process polyurethane and polyurethane nanocomposite, were the follow: Machine return speed 6.20 minutes/cycle, mold temperature on 45 °C, cooling time at 13 seconds, isocyanate at 28 °C, polyol system at 22 °C, flow rate 6.67 gr/s, injection pressure for isocyanate 20 pounds, injection pressure for polyol system 40 pounds.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 SEM

The microcellular structures of the PU nanocomposite foams were observed using a SEM JSM-7800 F with an accelerating voltage of 10 kV. The SEM micrographs of the microcellular structures in the nanocomposite foams are shown in Fig. 1. It can be seen that the bubbles of the unfilled PUNSi foam presented a non uniform cell size and random distribution over the entire foam. SEM analysis supports the chemical composition and morphology identification. According to the experiment’s results the specific characteristics of nanomaterials can be used to obtain better chemical, thermal and mechanical properties. The SEM micrograph of the post burned polyurethane nanocomposite foam is shown in Fig. 2. Compared to the microstructure of the original polyurethane nanocomposite foam, the bubbles in the unburned nanocomposite almost maintained their original microstructure and porous structure. However, polyurethane nanocomposite burned changed totally its nanostructure, only one
pore can be seen, at the same micrograph area. An important part of the method was the characterization of the nanocomposite before ignition and after combustion.

### 3.2 XRD Spectroscopy

The effect of the material foaming behavior on the silica nanoparticles dispersion degree was investigated using XRD equipment D2 PHASER.

XRD analysis of nanocomposite silica-polyurethane is shown in Fig. 3, the samples are observed with different concentrations (1 wt% and 0.1 wt%) above the control line of rigid polyurethane without silica oxide nanoparticles or additives. The differences between polyurethane nanocomposites are observed from the beginning but in the angle degree 2 theta from 13 to 23, it is accentuated more; from 27 to 46 the sample with silica oxide nanoparticles is below the control, from angle 50 onwards behave similar.

### 3.3 FT-IR Spectroscopy

Infrared spectroscopy has been applied to the characterization of polyurethane nanocomposite. IR characterization can provide information about the chemical nature of polyurethane and the level of hydrogen bonding in the polymer. This is important because the level of phase separation in polyurethanes which affects their mechanical and thermal properties is related to the level of hydrogen bonding [35].

Measurements were performed at room temperature with a Cary 670 FTIR-ATR spectrometer. Single beam spectra of the samples were scanned them between 4,000 and 399 cm$^{-1}$ with a resolution of 2 cm$^{-1}$, in an absorbance mode. IR spectroscopy can distinguish between the MDI and polyether urethanes and identify the diols and polyether glycols used to prepare the polymers. The broad band at about 3,350 cm$^{-1}$ is assigned to hydroxyl -OH group stretching frequency. The FT-IR spectra of polyurethane show broad bands around 3,253-3,396 cm$^{-1}$ due to >N-H bond. The bands around 1,541-1,551 cm$^{-1}$ and 1,600-1,635 cm$^{-1}$ confirm the presence of OCONH- groups. These spectra do not show hydroxyl group frequency due to the formation of OCONH- linkage, while terminal -NCO group frequency is obtained around 2,263-2,275 cm$^{-1}$, which gives evidence of the structure of polyurethanes. Hydroxyl peak intensities are in correspondence with polyols hydroxyl numbers. Pavlicevic et al. [36]
Fig. 4  IR spectrum of nanocomposite of rigid polyurethane with silica oxide nanoparticles.

investigated a harp absorption peak positioned at 3,319 cm\(^{-1}\) that is connected to NH groups. The formation of hydrogen bonding due to the interaction between polyurethane and reinforced fillers was confirmed by deconvolution of -NH stretching and carbonyl group absorbance region of obtained IR spectra.

Fig. 4 shows the results of absorbance for samples with different concentrations of silica oxide nanoparticles. As can be observed, the highest line corresponds to the minimum concentration of SiO nanoparticles and present slightly absorbance percentage of the polyurethane nanocomposite. Significant differences between materials concentration are observed from the wavelength since 613 to 1,755 cm\(^{-1}\), as well as from 2,825 to 3,610 cm\(^{-1}\).

Therefore the specific characteristics of nanomaterials can be used to obtain better chemical, biological and mechanical properties. The efficient and effective uses of this allow proposing advanced industrial solutions. Polymeric materials are involved in most fires occurring in urban areas and transport, because they may begin the spread of fire.

3.4 ASTM 635 Flammability Test

The American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) has several flammability tests for polymers depending on their structure and their industrial applications, for example TPU, acrylonitrile butadiene styrene (ABS), polyurethane (PUR), polyamide (PA), polypropylene (PP) and others. The various flammability tests are all similar, first make the orientation of a test sample either horizontal or vertical and place it in a test chamber; second, apply a flame from a Bunsen Burner for a specified time; and third, measure the time or distance that the flame propagates. Those tests are mainly used for quality control, production control and material comparisons. It cannot be used as a criterion for fire hazard. Standards applicable to polyurethane can be ASTM D5132, ASTM D4986 or ASTM D635/ISO 3795 used for automotive industry [37-40]. The last norm was applied to evaluate using an Atlas horizontal flame chamber equipment certified for those proofs.

Flammability test ASTM D635 scope is used to determine the relative rate of burning of self supporting plastics. This test method was developed for polymeric materials used for parts in devices and appliances. The results are intended to serve as a preliminary indication of their acceptability with respect to flammability for a particular application. This standard is used to measure and describe the response of materials to heat and flame under controlled conditions, but does not by
itself incorporate all factors required for fire hazards or fire risk assessment of materials, products, or assemblies under actual fire conditions.

These standard measures describe the response of materials to heat and flame under controlled conditions, but do not by itself incorporate all factors required for fire hazard or fire risk assessment of the materials, products, or assemblies under actual fire conditions. The methods include 3 specimens for every test; samples needed for the test is 125 mm of length, 130 mm of width and 10 mm of thickness. During 15 seconds it was exposed to a gas flame directed on the sample. After that, the distance and time of burning were observed to know if the flame in these samples was self-extinguishing or not. As shown in Table 1.

As a result of testing, rigid polyurethanes control were self-extinguishing, with a little bit of smoke but a lot of flame melt as shown in Fig. 5. In other hand, the results show that rigid polyurethane nanocomposites with dioxide silica after test were self-extinguishing; with a lot of smoke but a little bit of flame melt, in this case helps to reduce the spread of fire as shown in Fig. 6. Both nanocomposites could be dangerous if the material is used on high places because polyurethane nanocomposite melts during combustion and some drops, could fall on a flammable material, spreading the flame.

### Table 1  Control polyurethane and polyurethane nanocomposites with silica nanoparticles results determined experimentally according to test ASTM D635.

| Sample       | Time of burning | Smoke       | Result               | Standard |
|--------------|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|----------|
| Ctrl PUR 1   | Before 15 seg   | Less        | Self extinguishing   | Accepted |
| Ctrl PUR 2   | Before 15 seg   | Less        | Self extinguishing   | Accepted |
| Ctrl PUR 3   | Before 15 seg   | Little bit  | Self extinguishing   | Accepted |
| FSi PUR 1    | Before 15 seg   | Much more   | Self extinguishing   | Accepted |
| FSi PUR 2    | Before 15 seg   | Much more   | Self extinguishing   | Accepted |
| FSi PUR 3    | Before 15 seg   | Less        | Self extinguishing   | Accepted |

Ctrl = Control polyurethane;
FSi = Polyurethane nanocomposites with SiO2.
In general, it was observed that the volatile compounds of the polyurethane and the polyurethane nanocomposites started at 2 minutes after test has initiated. The first component on polyurethane control to appear was the hexane at 2.154 minutes; it is not toxic because resulting with only 0.83% of degradation area. This material is used as foaming agent. However, hexane on PUNSi was detected at 2.15 minutes with 1.11% of degradation area. Other interesting material was the Benzene, it is an important organic chemical compound composed of six carbon atoms joined in a ring with one hydrogen atom attached to each. It is classify as hydrocarbon. This component is essential part of pre polymer MDI. However, it is a human carcinogen with degradation area of 18.77% on PUNSi, more than without FR and without nanoparticles obtained 1.44% of degradation area. On control sample at 23 minutes emerged tripropylene glycol with 16.59% of area but on safety data sheet is catalogued as not hazardous. Also derived from tripropylene glycol participated with 41.29% area for the total. In comparison to PUNSi tripropylene glycol, appeared at the same time, but with minus percentage only 2.23% of the total. It is surprising that after 28 minutes emerged Fyrol PCF FR additive (Tris (2-chlorosopropyl) phosphate) with 16.44% all these percentages are shown in Fig. 7. On the safety data sheet the supplier said that, when heated to decomposition, this chemical emits very toxic fumes. An advantage is that this material was produced before 28 minutes, on a fire situation the NFPA 1710 establish 240 seconds to arrive at fire area [41]. But in the case of extended time, you have less than this time to be rescued before starting to inhaled toxic gases compounded by chlorosopropyl phosphate. In future it is important to evaluate FRs completely free of halogenated organic additives for the plastic industry, combined with nanoparticles to reduce the quantity of them on the blend, and in turn the cost of polyurethane production.

On the other hand, a lot for components on gas chromatography (GC) systems and mass spectrometry (MSD) PUNSi could not be identified because probably the software does not have an updated bibliography. All components were graphed according to the minute that they were generated, observing 11 materials from 30 on the last in correlation.

Figs. 8 and 9 are plots of the compound abundance versus time of compound detection. Fig. 8 shows a reference sample (PU control), and the recorded peaks of volatile compounds. In general, it is observed that the volatile compounds of the polyurethane control had a lot for tripropylene glycol and derivatives. Chemical composition by mass spectrometry analysis for the polyurethane nanocomposite shows less styrene and the FR additive (Tris (2-chlorosopropyl) phosphate) at the ending of the thermal tests running.

Most of the halogen based compounds preferably work in the gas phase by acting as free radical scavengers and, in most of cases, were shown to be efficient for flame retardation [42-45]. As compared to
Fig. 7  GC/MDS analysis of polyurethane control and polyurethane nanocomposite with silica nanoparticles—FR.

Fig. 8  GC/MSD analysis for polyurethane control.
other FRs, phosphorus FRs are also found to generate less toxic gases and smoke during combustion [46]. Polyether urethanes can easily experience thermal degradation at high temperatures, resulting in the oxidative cleavage of the polyether groups [47-49]. The thermal properties of the polymer nanocomposites are strongly dependent on the nanomaterial morphology in the polymer matrix [50].

According with Pumera [51], nanotoxicology has profound significance because the design and develop of nanomaterials used in industry and consumer products should be based on the result of such research as a priority. In this context, the mass analysis of the polyurethane nanocomposite looks to identify the nanoparticles volatiles on the combustion, as a result on silica oxide nanoparticles do not emerge.

4. Conclusions

The polyurethane nanocomposite for fireproof applications was evaluated and characterized with XDR, FTIR, SEM, GC/MSD and Atlas horizontal flame chamber equipment. The IR spectra provides information on the reactants, that is, the disocyanate, diol and polyether glycol, and the ratio of the reactants used to prepare the polyurethane nanocomposite.

The sample of polyurethane with silica oxide nanoparticles produces more smoke and more combustion, but the advantage is that, there was less flame dripping with respect to the control sample. The results show that the incorporation of silica oxide nanoparticles into the polymeric matrix produces the self-extinguishing flame property in the composite material. Gas chromatography coupled system with thermal desorption analysis demonstrated that the additive Fyrol PCF in less quantity combined with dioxide of silica nanoparticles can improve some toxics gases depending the time of burning, however can provide security that the flame could retard during
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rescue time at an emergency.

The research of polyurethane nanocomposite could open new possibilities to resolve industrial problems. Therefore the development and innovation of polymers nanocomposite could help to save lives and to understand properties for new materials, for example: mechanical (modulus, impact strength), thermal properties (not melt or sag under normal use conditions) and flammability properties (meet regulations depending on the fire risk scenario).

At the end, It is important to improve and to develop products than can reduce fire events, first to avoid deaths, second to avoid injuries and finally not to have material and economic losses. Although there are regulations in favor of the health of the final consumer, industrialists avoid using FRs or new nanocomposites because the costs are too expensive. This research develops new polyurethane nanocomposites to bring a synergy between health and cost-effectiveness.
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