Robustness of topological defects in discrete domains

Karl B. Hoffmann and Ivo F. Sbalzarini

Technische Universität Dresden, Faculty of Computer Science, Dresden, Germany;
Max Planck Institute of Molecular Cell Biology and Genetics, Dresden, Germany;
Center for Systems Biology Dresden, Dresden, Germany;
and Cluster of Excellence Physics of Life, TU Dresden, Germany

(Received 1 October 2020; revised 30 November 2020; accepted 2 December 2020; published 5 January 2021)

Topological defects are singular points in vector fields, important in applications ranging from fingerprint detection to liquid crystals to biomedical imaging. In discretized vector fields, topological defects and their topological charge are identified by finite differences or finite-step paths around the tentative defect. As the topological charge is (half) integer, it cannot depend continuously on each input vector in a discrete domain. Instead, it switches discontinuously when vectors change beyond a certain amount, making the analysis of topological defects error prone in noisy data. We improve existing methods for the identification of topological defects by proposing a robustness measure for (i) the location of a defect, (ii) the existence of topological defects and the total topological charge within a given area, (iii) the annihilation of a defect pair, and (iv) the formation of a defect pair. Based on the proposed robustness measure, we show that topological defects in discrete domains can be identified with optimal trade-off between localization precision and robustness. The proposed robustness measure enables uncertainty quantification for topological defects in noisy discretized nematic fields (orientation fields) and polar fields (vector fields).
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I. INTRODUCTION

A topological defect (TD) is a singular point in a polar or nematic vector field. Such fields are ubiquitous in science, engineering, and mathematics as coarse-grained continuous descriptors of flows, force fields, molecule and object orientation, anisotropy, etc. Therefore, TDs are investigated in a wide range of applications, including fingerprint alignment [1,2], cosmology [3], topological insulators, superconductors, and superfluidity [4]. They also play a central role in the theory of hard and soft matter [5–7], including active matter [8], where they are related to active stress [9–12] and to geometric properties such as curvature [13–16].

Although TDs are subject to global constraints, like the Euler characteristic of closed surfaces, they are defined and identifiable purely locally. This local identifiability is particularly useful in discrete domains, as they occur in digital data, such as numerically solved fields or measurement data including images. In discrete domains, a TD can be identified from a finite neighborhood of discretization points.

These neighborhoods are commonly defined by small, fixed-size stencils [17] or wedges [18], or they are expressed as convolutional filters [1]. This includes differential expressions of “diffusive [topological] charge” [19,20] represented by finite-difference stencils and machine learning approaches using convolutional neural networks [21]. Alternatively, TDs and their charge are identified as zeros or close-to-zeros of the nematic order parameter or a derived quantity [14,22–25].

The identified TDs and their charges are discrete quantities that cannot depend on each of the input vectors in the discrete neighborhood in a globally continuous manner. Hence, even smooth vector field dynamics implies discontinuous dynamics of the TDs [9]. Additionally, nematic and polar vectors in simulation or measurement data are usually subject to uncertainty [15,26–28]. To improve defect identification, previous works therefore used vector field smoothing [e.g., 14,16,29], defect identification along larger fixed-size closed paths [17], clustering [30], filtering by temporal persistence [29], machine learning [21,25], or thresholds on the nematic order parameter, either absolute [14,15] or relative to the spatial mean [22]. While all of these methods work in practice, none of them are based on a rigorous definition of robustness of TDs, and they do not shed light onto the connection between the robustness of TDs and the geometry of the underlying vector field.

Here, we provide a principled definition of TD robustness by studying how noise and discontinuities in a discretized two-dimensional vector field influence the estimated topological charge. We define the robustness of a TD as the smallest change to any vector in the neighborhood used for defect identification that alters the estimated topological charge. This provides a direct and intuitive connection between the underlying field, the geometry of the neighborhood, and defect
robustness. We show that the critical vectors, i.e., those for which the smallest change does alter the TD charge, indicate dislocation directions of defects and locations of likely defect pair annihilation or generation.

The so-defined robustness measure of topological charge applies to all scales from smallest discretization units to the whole domain. In addition to enabling defect filtering based on interpretable robustness thresholds, the proposed measure also allows us to quantify the trade-off between the identification robustness of TDs and the spatial precision of their localization estimate in discrete domains. This enables the quantitative study of defects with large unordered cores, and it also enables us to automatically choose the shape and size of the neighborhood used for defect identification in a spatially data-adaptive manner to always provide the best trade-off between robustness and localization precision. For this, we provide a data-adaptive algorithm for identifying TDs and their charges in discrete domains, which might serve as a starting point for uncertainty quantification of TDs.

II. BACKGROUND AND NOTATION

We start from a definition of TDs in polar and nematic vector fields on continuous domains, from which we then state the definition on discrete domains.

A. Topological defects in continuous domains

TDs are defined in polar and nematic vector fields of arbitrary dimension using homotopy theory [7,31]. Here, we focus on point defects in two-dimensional fields with continuous flat domain \( X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \). On this domain, we consider a polar vector field \( V: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \) or a nematic orientation field \( V: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2_\circ \), where \( \mathbb{R}^2_\circ \) is the set of nematic vectors (directors, orientations) obtained from \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) by identifying antipodal polar vectors \( y \sim -y \) to one nematic vector \( [y] = \{y, -y\} \in \mathbb{R}^2_\circ \).

The spaces of polar vectors \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) and nematic vectors \( \mathbb{R}^2_\circ \) are isomorphic by halving or doubling, respectively, the azimuth (angular coordinate, argument) \( \arg(y) \) of a complex number representation \( \mathbb{R}^2 \cong \mathbb{C} \ni y = |y| \exp[i \arg(y)] \). Therefore, it suffices to consider nematic vectors \( y \in \mathbb{R}^2_\circ \) with azimuth \( \arg(y) \in [-\pi/2, \pi/2) \).

A topological defect is then defined as an isolated discontinuity \( x \in X \) of an otherwise continuous vector field \( V: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \). TDs are classified by their topological charge or index, which is half integer (integer for polar vector fields). We calculate the topological charge based on liftings (for details, see Appendix A). For that, consider the normalized field \( \hat{V}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p_1: x \mapsto V(x) / \|V(x)\| \). The image space of the unit nematic vectors \( \mathbb{R}^p_1 = \{ [y] : y \in \mathbb{R}^2_\circ \} \), also known as the real projective line, has a universal cover \( p_\ast: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p_1 \subseteq \mathbb{C}^\ast \ni w \mapsto [e^{2\pi i w}] \). Then, for a continuous map \( \gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow X \) with \( \gamma(0) = \gamma(1) \) (i.e., a closed path), there exists a “lifted” version of \( V \circ \gamma \) that is a continuous map \( h: [0, 1] \rightarrow \hat{V} \circ \gamma \). The lifting \( h \) is uniquely determined up to additive multiples of \( \pi \), and it counts the number of full rotations of the azimuth \( \arg(V) = \arg(\hat{V}) \) along the closed path \( \gamma \). Hence, \( h(1) - h(0) \) defines the Poincaré index \( \text{ind}_a(V) \) when \( \gamma \) encloses exactly one singular point \( x \in X \), or the topological charge \( \text{ind}_a(V) \) for general enclosed areas \( A \subset X \).

B. Identification of topological defects in discrete domains

We transfer the above definitions for continuous domains to vector fields on discrete domains. This requires adapting the concept of lifting by replacing the continuous closed path \( \gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow X \) with a finite series of pairwise neighboring discretization points \( x_0, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_N = x_0 \in X \). Then, lifting \( \hat{V} \circ \gamma \) turns into lifting the finite series \( \hat{V}(x_n) \) for \( N \) points. For this finite-set domain, continuity as the key defining feature of liftings is trivial, making any map an admissible lifting.

To recover uniqueness, one assumes—usually tacitly—the points \( (x_n)_{n=0,\ldots,N} \) to form a sufficiently fine discretization of an underlying smooth vector field with a continuous domain (see Appendix B for feasibility). The unit vectors \( \hat{V} \) to be lifted are from the periodic set \( \mathbb{R}^p_1 = S^1 \). Analogous to the Nyquist-Shannon sampling theorem, correct reconstruction of azimuthal changes from discrete samples is guaranteed if the spatial sampling frequency \( f_s \) is higher than twice the highest spatial frequency (band limit) \( B_{\arg} \) of the azimuth. When a continuous representation \( \arg_{\mathbb{R}} \) of the azimuth \( \arg(x) \) obeys this condition, the net azimuth change \( \arg_{\mathbb{R}}(x) - \arg_{\mathbb{R}}(x_{n-1}) \) between neighboring discretization points stays below \( \pi/2 \) (below \( \pi \) for polar vectors). Hence, the lifted version \( h(x_n) - h(x_{n-1}) \) uniquely determined among all possible azimuth changes by its minimal absolute value in \( [-\pi/2, \pi/2] \). Define, for nematic (1) and polar (2) vectors,

\[
\text{mod}_1: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [-\pi/2, \pi/2): x \mapsto x - \pi [0.5 + x/\pi],
\]

\[
\text{mod}_2: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [-\pi, \pi): x \mapsto x - 2\pi [0.5 + x/2\pi]
\]

as the uniquely determined, least absolute value \( \text{mod}_n(x) \) of the \( n \)-periodic set \( x + n\pi \mathbb{Z} := \{ x + n\pi z : z \in \mathbb{Z} \} \) (of \( x + 2n\pi \mathbb{Z} \) for polar).

For nematic vectors \( V(x_0), V(x_{n-1}) \), the lifted version \( h(x_n) - h(x_{n-1}) \) uniquely determined among possible azimuth changes by its minimal absolute value in \( [-\pi/2, \pi/2] \). Define, for nematic (1) and polar (2) vectors,

\[
\text{mod}_1: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [-\pi/2, \pi/2): x \mapsto x - \pi [0.5 + x/\pi],
\]

\[
\text{mod}_2: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [-\pi, \pi): x \mapsto x - 2\pi [0.5 + x/2\pi]
\]

as the uniquely determined, least absolute value \( \text{mod}_n(x) \) of the \( n \)-periodic set \( x + n\pi \mathbb{Z} := \{ x + n\pi z : z \in \mathbb{Z} \} \) (of \( x + 2n\pi \mathbb{Z} \) for polar).

Then, a closed path \( x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_N = x_0 \) of winding number one yields the topological charge estimator (TCE) for the enclosed charge or index,

\[
\text{TCE}(x) := \text{TCE}_{x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_N}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \text{mod}_n(\arg(V(x_n)) - \arg(V(x_{n-1}))).
\]

---

1 We assume areas without holes for simplicity, but our robustness results equally apply to the general case.

2 The least absolute value is ambiguous for \( x = \pi/2 \) and \( \pi \), for which we choose \( \text{mod}_n(x) = -\pi/2 \). This corner case will receive zero robustness anyway; see Eqs. (5) and (6).

3 Equation (3) applies to areas without holes. An extension to areas with holes is possible by subtracting the charge enclosed by inner paths, to larger winding numbers by splitting paths at self-intersection points.
FIG. 1. Estimation of topological charge and its robustness, here shown for nematic vector fields. (a),(b) On a continuous domain, the normalized vectors along a closed path (green) around (a) a singular point are lifted to (b) a continuously changing azimuth, whose total change of $+\pi$ [red half circle in (b)] here indicates a $+1/2$ defect charge. (c),(d) On a discrete domain, discretized with arbitrary offset as shown by the thin gray lines in (a), the azimuth changes along finite path steps $x_0, \ldots, x_4 = x_0$ in (c). The normalized vectors in the corresponding discrete lifting [Eq. (3)] sum to the same index estimate in (d). (e),(f) Upon continuous change of a vector [compare point $x_1$ in (c) and (e)], the index estimate discontinuously changes, here to 0 when $V(x_1)$ crosses the dash-dotted black line perpendicular to $V(x_0)$.

where any other azimuth representatives $\theta_n$ can replace $\text{arg}[V(x_n)]$. By construction of Eq. (1), we have $\text{mod}_\pi(x) = x + z \pi$ for some $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ and, therefore,

$$\text{TCE} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \text{mod}_\pi(\theta_n - \theta_{n-1}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (\theta_n - \theta_{n-1} + z_n \pi) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} z_n \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}$$

(4)

yields half-integer values as required for topological charges [integer values for polar fields, where $\text{mod}_{2\pi}$ replaces $\text{mod}_\pi$ in Eqs. (3) and (4)]. This also holds true in numerical implementations to the order of machine precision.

III. ROBUSTNESS MEASURE

We observe that the topological charge estimator in Eq. (3) does not depend continuously on the input vectors $V(x_n)$ and their azimuths, as the function $\text{mod}_\pi(\cdot)$ has discontinuous jumps of $-\pi$ at locations $\pi/2 + \mathbb{Z}$ [cf. Eq. (1) and Fig. 1]. Such discontinuous behavior is inevitable for any nonconstant map from the connected space $(\mathbb{R}^2)^N$ of orientation $N$-tuples to the totally disconnected space $\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}$. Discontinuities are encountered at least between pre-images (TCE)$^{-1}(c_1)$, (TCE)$^{-1}(c_2)$ of different topological charges $c_1, c_2 \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}$, $c_1 \neq c_2$. This equally applies to TCE based on azimuth angles, which are defined over connected spaces (intervals) too. Consequently, the discontinuities of Eq. (3) are not an impairment of that specific estimator. On the contrary, Eq. (3) is optimal, as it only possesses the inevitable discontinuities.

Because of the inevitable discontinuities, arbitrarily small (critical) aberrations of orientations can cause the topological charge estimate to jump between discrete values. We study the conditions under which topological charge estimates switch and find exact algebraic expressions for the corresponding critical azimuth or vector changes. This allows us to define the robustness of a TD as the smallest azimuth change that alters the TCE. This is a natural definition of robustness, as it bounds the admissible fluctuations in the vector field. For simplicity, we assume normalized nematic fields to derive the robustness measure and extend to unnormalized fields in Appendix C.

A. Robustness of a single edge

Topological charge estimation by Eq. (4) involves elementary net azimuth changes $\text{mod}_\pi(\theta_n - \theta_{n-1})$ corresponding to path edges $(x_{n-1}, x_n)$. We thus start by defining edge robustness $R : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$.

$$R(x) := \text{dist}(x, \pi/2 + \mathbb{Z}) = \pi/2 - |\text{mod}_\pi(x)| \in [0, \pi/2].$$

(5)

$$R(x) := \text{dist}(x, \pi + 2\pi \mathbb{Z}) = \pi - |\text{mod}_{2\pi}(x)| \in [0, \pi]$$

(6)
for nematic and polar vectors, respectively, as the azimuthal distance to the nearest discontinuity of mod_{π} (mod_{2π} for polar). Therefore, the largest symmetric interval of continuity for mod_{π}(·) around some x_{0} \in \mathbb{R} is [x_{0} - R(x_{0}), x_{0} + R(x_{0})], with one-sided extension to interval size π possible. These intervals are where x \mapsto mod_{π}(x) - x \in \pi\mathbb{Z} is constant. Hence, the contribution of an edge (x_{0}, x_{1}) to the TCE remains unaltered if fluctuations Δθ_{n-1}, Δθ_{n} of azimuths θ_{n-1}, θ_{n} obey

\[ |Δθ_{n} - Δθ_{n-1}| < R(θ_{n} - θ_{n-1}). \tag{7} \]

### B. Robustness of a complete path

Combining edge robustnesses along a closed path x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, ..., x_{N} = x_{0} ∈ X, the TCE remains unaltered if Eq. (7) holds for all n = 1, ..., N. Each azimuth θ_{n} is contained in exactly two different, namely, mod_{π}(θ_{n} - θ_{n-1}) and mod_{π}(θ_{n+1} - θ_{n}), where for simplicity θ_{N+1} := θ_{1}. Hence, the condition in Eq. (7) is certainly fulfilled if azimuth fluctuations Δθ_{n} are limited for all n = 1, ..., N as

\[ |Δθ_{n}| \leq \frac{1}{2}\min\{R(θ_{n+1} - θ_{n}), R(θ_{n} - θ_{n-1})\}. \tag{8} \]

A common bound for all azimuthal fluctuations along the closed path x_{0}, x_{1}, ..., x_{N} = x_{0} ∈ X is given by

\[ |Δθ_{n}| \leq \frac{1}{2} R_{x_{0}, x_{1}, ..., x_{N}} \quad \text{for all } n = 1, ..., N, \tag{9} \]

where we define path robustness,

\[ R_{x_{0}, x_{1}, ..., x_{N}} := \min_{n=1,...,N} R(θ_{n} - θ_{n-1}), \tag{10} \]

as the minimum over edge robustnesses.

Any of the conditions (7), (8), or (9) guarantees an unaltered topological charge estimate by Eq. (4). Each of the bounds is sharp. They equally apply to singular (topological charge ≠ 0) and regular (topological charge = 0) points, as well as general areas enclosed by paths.

Then, critical fluctuations Δθ_{n}^{crit}, Δθ_{n}' are defined by

\[ \text{mod}_{π}(θ_{n} - θ_{n-1}) + (Δθ_{n}^{crit} - Δθ_{n}' - 1) = ±\pi/2. \]

This implies (θ_{n} + Δθ_{n}^{crit} - θ_{n-1} + Δθ_{n}' - 1) ∈ π/2 + πZ, which characterizes vectors perpendicular to each other. This means that the TCE remains unaltered as long as nematic vectors fluctuate without becoming perpendicular (polar vectors; without becoming antipodal) to their neighbors along the path. Indeed, regular points have robustness \( R_{x_{0}, x_{1}, ..., x_{N}} \geq R_{thresh}. \)

The above robustness measure for TDs in discrete domains can be used to facilitate or improve the identification of TDs in data from, e.g., numerical simulations, measurements, or images. It can also be used to study the trade-off between the robustness with which a TD can be identified and the accuracy with which is can be localized in space [32]. In order to enable such applications, here we characterize the behavior of the robustness measure for fixed shapes of the closed path and for data-dependent paths that are implicitly defined through the considered vector field.

#### IV. ROBUSTNESS VERSUS PATH SHAPE

The above robustness measure for TDs in discrete domains can be used to facilitate or improve the identification of TDs in data from, e.g., numerical simulations, measurements, or images. It can also be used to study the trade-off between the robustness with which a TD can be identified and the accuracy with which is can be localized in space [32]. In order to enable such applications, here we characterize the behavior of the robustness measure for fixed shapes of the closed path and for data-dependent paths that are implicitly defined through the considered vector field.

### A. Robustness for fixed path shapes

When searching for TDs using a fixed path, the size and shape of the path must be decided. The highest localization accuracy is obtained for paths enclosing one single grid cell. However, for the smallest nonzero topological charge ±1/2 to be estimated within a path of length N, there must be edges of net azimuthal change |θ_{n} - θ_{n-1}| ≥ π/N, limiting the identification robustness to \( R_{x_{0}, x_{1}, ..., x_{N}} \leq π/2 - π/N, \) which is, ≤ π/6 for N = 3 or ≤ π/4 for N = 4. Moreover, single-cell paths can yield robustnesses 0 even for perfect defects [32].

The identification robustness can be increased by choosing longer paths that enclose larger areas containing the defect. Indeed, regular points have robustness \( 0 \leq \{0, π/2\}, \) and longer paths around larger areas including a single defect approach the robustness limit \( π/2 \) from below, at the cost of reduced localization accuracy. An optimal trade-off between robustness and localization accuracy on regular Cartesian grids was found for \( 2 \times 2 \) or \( 3 \times 3 \) square paths [32], with \( 2 \times 2 \) mostly used in the literature (e.g., [1,18]).

### B. Robustness for data-dependent path shapes

Instead of fixing the path beforehand, one can also fix the desired identification robustness and ask for the finest spatial resolution that achieves this robustness. According to its definition in Eq. (10), path robustness is defined by the robustness of the critical edge \( (x_{n-1}, x_{n}) \) with \( n_{c} := \arg\min_{n=1,...,N} R(θ_{n} - θ_{n-1}) \). To increase robustness, one can replace the critical edge with a new path segment. Let \( A \) be the area enclosed by the original path \( x_{0}, x_{1}, ..., x_{N} = x_{0} \). Change the path by encompassing a grid cell \( a \) adjacent to the critical edge. This makes \( (x_{n-1}, x_{n}) \) an interior edge of the expanded area \( A' = A + a \); and thus irrelevant for the robustness of topological charge estimation. Repeat this process of “expansion over the critical edge” until the desired robustness \( R_{thresh} \) is reached; cf. Fig. 2. While this produces irregular path shapes, they are guaranteed to enclose minimally sized areas with robustness \( R \geq R_{thresh} \). The edges delimiting such minimal-area robust regions form the maximal leaf-free subgraph among all edges with edge robustness \( R \geq R_{thresh} \).

Any change in TCE during “expansion over the critical edge” hints at potential locations of additional defects. By construction, robustness changes below \( R_{thresh} \) relocate nonzero topological charge within the area enclosed by the path, but not beyond. This may include annihilation of additional defect pairs that are only separable with robustness \( < R_{thresh} \) within the area. Hence, the size of the enclosed area provides localization uncertainty for TDs solely from the robustness of the path edges. Using data-driven paths thus requires no prior knowledge of length scales or sizes of defect cores, and higher-
FIG. 2. Data-dependent iterative path adaptation. (a) Example defect from Fig. 1 discretized on a regular Cartesian grid. Edge robustness is shown by line thickness scaling as robustness to the fourth power; “+” marks the grid cell containing defect charge $+1/2$. (b)–(e) Requiring robustness above thresholds of $R_{\text{thresh}}/(0.5\pi) =$ (b) 0.50, (c) 0.75, (d) 0.82, and (e) 0.91 (arrowheads on the robustness scale) adaptively increases the area (shaded red) enclosed by the path. All surrounding grid cells have index estimate 0 with high robustness, already at the finest resolution.

FIG. 3. Robust identification of TDs. (a) Detection of higher-order TDs (here, $+1$) is possible. Comparing robustness levels $R_1$ and $R_2$, splitting into or merging from elementary charges (here, two times $+1/2$) can be detected. (b) Large unordered region with interior edges of low robustness indicates potential pair generation prior to the formation of “microscopic” charges. For visualization, the line width of the edges scales as the fourth power of robustness as in Fig. 2; edges below robustness $R_1$ are omitted. (c) Adjustment of detection area to the data at unordered cores ($R_0$ vs $R_1$ versus microscopic charges). Anisotropic growth of detection areas at higher robustness indicates the direction of defect motion. Zero total charge at the highest robustness $R_2$ indicates potential pair annihilation. The legend is common to all panels. For clarity, shading of zero-charge regions without microscopic defect(s) has been omitted in all panels.
order defects can be identified\(^5\) without postprocessing; see Fig. 3(a).

Tuning \(R_{\text{thresh}}\) trades off robustness versus localization accuracy. For \(0 \leq R_{\text{thresh},1} < R_{\text{thresh},2}\), the path determined for \(R_{\text{thresh},1}\) is contained within the path for \(R_{\text{thresh},2}\). The per-cell robustness increase can be used to hint at locations of likely or imminent defect pair annihilation or generation. Defect pair generation is likely inside zero-charge areas that contain multiple edges of low robustness; see Fig. 3(b). Defect pair annihilation is indicated by opposite topological charges separated at low robustness threshold \(R_{\text{thresh},1} \geq 0\), but merged at higher \(R_{\text{thresh},2} > R_{\text{thresh},1}\); see Fig. 3(c). This confirms classification as pair annihilation for cases reported in the literature [22], where defects were identified from order parameters using paths of zero net charge. Finally, likely directions of defect dislocation are indicated by anisotropic growth of the detection area for increasing robustness thresholds; see Fig. 3(c).

V. EXTENSIONS

The examples so far considered normalized vector fields \(V : X \to \mathbb{R}P^1\) (for polar \(V : X \to S^1\)), where robustness is purely azimuthal robustness. Information encoded in the vector magnitude, such as speed in flow fields or coherence in nematic orientation fields, can be accounted for by defining magnitude-aware robustness of TDs for unnormalized fields \(V : X \to \mathbb{R}C^2\) (for polar \(V : X \to \mathbb{R}^2\)), as shown in Appendix C.

We also so far only considered regular Cartesian grids. However, the present robustness measure readily extends to other grid types, including triangulations of unstructured data (see Appendix D). The theory only requires closed paths. Data-dependent path adaptation extends to arbitrary grids by iteratively adding non-Cartesian grid cells over the critical edge.

VI. CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSION

We have proposed a robustness measure for topological defects (TDs) and their charges in discrete domains. Topological charge is necessarily a discontinuous map for polar and nematic orientation fields, can be accounted for by defining magnitude-aware robustness of TDs for unnormalized vector fields \(V : X \to \mathbb{R}^2\). The idea of data-dependent paths is not new. For example, Ref. [24] constructed adaptive square paths with maximal distance to TDs in order to indirectly minimize vector field distortions along the paths. However, the concept of edge robustness as defined here generalizes these ideas to nonsquare path shapes with optimal localization accuracy for a given robustness threshold.

Our geometric robustness measure also enables TD filtering in noisy or uncertain vector fields without pre-smoothing of the vector field and without predefined path shapes [1,14,17], defect core length scales [18], or order parameter thresholds [14,22]. This reduces the risk of “masking” (e.g., by smoothing) defect pairs and of detecting spurious (e.g., noise-induced) defects. In spatiotemporal vector data, our measure can be used to predict defect dynamics (appearance, annihilation, dislocation) when temporal changes of vectors exceed the robustness threshold. This is especially valuable for studying active nematic and active polar materials, which show rich behavior of TDs [9–11,13,14,33].

Combining the proposed robustness measure with a noise model for the vector data could lead to topological uncertainty quantification, e.g., in nematic liquid crystals, solid state physics, material science, fluid mechanics, and biological physics. Such noise models for the vector field may, e.g., be available for numerical simulations from numerical error estimators, or for digital images from camera noise models and image-processing uncertainty. Then, the present robustness measure defines a noise model or uncertainty on the level of TDs.

We restricted our considerations to point defects on flat two-dimensional domains. A generalization to point defects on \(n\)-dimensional domains, to curved manifolds, or to \(k\)-dimensional (\(0 < k < n\)) defects seems difficult (see Appendix E) and remains an open problem. Lastly, our approach requires the robustness threshold to be set. We find that a quantile from the distribution of individual edge robustnesses works well in practice.

Notwithstanding these limitations and open issues, the present results provide a starting point for robust and noise-aware defect analysis in discretized data, and they are easily integrated into the standard process of defect identification.

APPENDIX A: DEFINITION OF TOPOLOGICAL CHARGE BY LIFTING

We define the index or topological charge based on liftings. There are equivalent definitions in terms of homotopy groups or by the Brouwer degree in homology groups [31]. The latter indirectly uses liftings as well, but we prefer to

\(^5\)Because of higher net azimuth change, they require longer paths anyway and cannot be found on single grid cells.
make the lifting explicit for a clearer transition to the discrete case.

Consider the space of unit nematic vectors $\mathbb{R}P^1 = S^1 \setminus \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2; x \in S^1\}$, also known as the real projective line, and its universal cover,

$$p_- : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}P^1 \subseteq C_- : w \mapsto [e^{2\pi iw}]_. \quad (A1)$$

Then, for any nematic vector field $V : X \to \mathbb{R}^2$ and any closed path $\gamma$ not touching zeros of $V$, that is, $\gamma : [0, 1] \to X$ continuous with $\gamma(0) = \gamma(1)$, the universal cover $p_-$ allows lifting the normalized vector field $\hat{V} : X \to \mathbb{R}P^1$ along $\gamma$, i.e., it guarantees the existence of a continuous map $h : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}$ into the covering space $\mathbb{R}$ representative of $\hat{V} \circ \gamma : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}P^1$ by fulfilling $p_- \circ h = \hat{V} \circ \gamma$. The process of lifting is equivalent to attributing azimuth angles $h(t) \in \mathbb{R}$ to the vectors $V[\gamma(t)]$ such that $h : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}$ changes smoothly along the curve. Given any starting value $h(0)$, the lifting $h$ is uniquely determined since the vector field along the path $\hat{V} \circ \gamma$ is continuous and has a connected pre-image. In general, $h$ is uniquely determined up to additive multiples of $\pi$, and $h(1) - h(0)$ counts the number of full rotations the azimuth performs when tracked along the closed path $\gamma$. Since $\gamma$ is a closed curve, we have $p_- \circ h(0) = \gamma(0) = \gamma(1) = p_- \circ h(1)$ and, by periodicity of $p_-$, it follows that $h(1) - h(0) \in \mathbb{Z}/2$ is a half integer. This corresponds to a net change in the azimuth of an integer multiple of $\pi$, with odd multiples of $\pi$ only possible when identifying antipodal vectors $x \sim -x$ as nematics.

Then, the Poincaré index or topological charge $\text{ind}_x(V)$ of an isolated singular point $x \in X$ is defined as $h(1) - h(0)$ for any path $\gamma$ winding around $x$, but around no other singular points, exactly once in mathematically positive orientation.$^6$ Such a path always exists for isolated singular points $x \in X$, for example $\gamma : [0, 1] \to x + \epsilon S^1 : t \mapsto x + \epsilon e^{2\pi i t}$ for sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$, and the definition is independent of the actual path chosen.

For paths $\gamma$ winding around any area $A \subset X$ exactly once in mathematically positive orientation, $h(1) - h(0)$ defines the topological charge $\text{ind}_A(V)$ of that area, which is the sum of the topological indices of all enclosed point defects [31].

For polar vectors, the definitions apply analogously when the lifting $h$ is with respect to the universal cover,

$$p : \mathbb{R} \to S^1 \subseteq \mathbb{C} : r \mapsto e^{2\pi ir} \quad (A2)$$

of the unit polar vectors $S^1$, which is linked to the nematic case by $p_- \circ p$. As the identification map $[.]_{-} : S^1 \to \mathbb{R}P^1 : x \mapsto [x]_-$ induces a double cover of the unit nematic vectors, we obtain consistent topological charges when considering only the nematic part $[V(\cdot)]_-$ of a polar vector field $V : X \to \mathbb{R}^2$ or, vice versa, when orienting a nematic field to the extent possible, in particular only when there are no half-integer defects.

$^6$While the theory extends to paths with winding numbers larger than one, we omit this for clarity.

**APPENDIX B: FEASIBILITY OF A SUFFICIENTLY FINE DISCRETIZATION**

There is always a resolution above which discretization of a vector field yields a discretized path that fulfills the Nyquist-Shannon sampling theorem. To see this, consider a closed path $\gamma$, not touching any singular points, in a vector field on a continuous domain. Since the closed path $\gamma : [0, 1] \to X$ is continuous, the vector field is continuous by assumption, and the map arg of $\mathbb{R}P^1$ is away from singular points, the concatenation arg of $V \circ \gamma : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}P^1$ is continuous on a compact domain, and hence Lipschitz continuous with some Lipschitz constant $L$. Therefore, a discretization of the path with spacing $\ll \pi/(2L)$ ($\ll \pi/L$ for polar fields) is sufficiently fine to satisfy the Nyquist-Shannon sampling theorem. The same holds for any compact subset of $X$ that does not contain singular points. In particular, there is always a compact annulus around any isolated singular point, and therefore an appropriate path for identifying this singular point from a discretization of the vector field. However, global Lipschitz continuity is not achievable around singular points, not even on an open set from which the singular point itself was excluded.

**APPENDIX C: MAGNITUDE-AWARE ROBUSTNESS**

In the main text, we considered normalized vector fields $V : X \to \mathbb{R}P^1$ (for polar $V : X \to S^1$) with vectors of unit length. The robustness of TDs then is purely *azimuthal robustness*, defined by admissible azimuthal fluctuations. To account for information encoded in the vector magnitude, such as speed in flow fields or coherence in nematic orientation fields, here we consider TDs of unnormalized fields $V : X \to \mathbb{R}^2$ (for polar $V : X \to \mathbb{R}^2$). Identification of topological charges remains solely based on azimuthal changes according to Eq. (3). Statements of azimuthal robustness are therefore transferrable to unnormalized fields by limiting the changes $\Delta V(x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ (or $\in \mathbb{R}$ for polar) of vector fields $V(x_n)$, $n = 1, \ldots, N$, such that they generate azimuthal change $\Delta \delta_{az} = |\text{mod}_2[\arg[V(x_n)] + \Delta V(x_n)] - \arg[V(x_n)]|$ below azimuthal robustness $R_{az}$. This requires the altered vector field $V(x_n) + \Delta V(x_n)$ to be within a sector of azimuthal width $2R_{az}$ around $V(x_n)$. However, the bounds of this sector correspond to changes $\Delta V(x_n)$ of different magnitudes in the Euclidean norm. Since noise, uncertainty, and temporal dynamics typically have known limits only in magnitude, we add bounds on the admissible magnitudes of vector fluctuations.

To start, the vectorial change can be limited in radial and azimuthal components separately. Requiring $||\Delta V(x_n)|| < ||V(x_n)||$ prevents $V(x_n) + \Delta V(x_n)$ from reaching the origin, and $|\text{mod}_2[\arg[V(x_n)] + \Delta V(x_n)] - \arg[V(x_n)]| < R_{az}$ limits its azimuthal variation to azimuthal robustness $R_{az}$, as before. For geometric reasons, both conditions are fulfilled when

$$||\Delta V(x_n)|| < R_{magn}[V(x_n)] = \begin{cases} ||V(x_n)||, & R_{az} \geq \pi/2 \\ ||V(x_n)||\sin(R_{az}), & R_{az} < \pi/2. \end{cases} \quad (C1)$$

Note that nematic orientation fields are always in the second case, and that the right-hand side is the optimal such bound. Unlike azimuthal robustness, the magnitude robustness $R_{magn}[V(x_n), V(x_{n\pm1})]$ is not symmetric in its arguments. Yet,
all results for azimuthal robustness transfer to the magnitude-aware case by limiting each vectorial change along a closed path $x_n$, $n = 1, \ldots, N$, as

$$
\|\Delta V(x_n)\| < \frac{1}{2} \min\{R_{\text{magn}}[V(x_n), V(x_{n-1})], R_{\text{magn}}[V(x_n), V(x_{n+1})]\}.
$$

(C2)

For other measures of magnitude, e.g., the infinity norm, magnitude-aware robustness can be constructed similarly.

**APPENDIX D: NON-CARTESIAN DISCRETIZATIONS**

We outline how the present ideas, in particular the robustness measure and the data-adaptive paths, extend to non-Cartesian discretizations. Since any spatial distribution of discretization points in 2D can always be represented as a triangulation, it is sufficient to show how to extend to triangular meshes, as shown in the example in Fig. 4. The concepts and definitions introduced here only require a planar graph with discretization points as vertices. We made no assumptions about the geometry of the graph. Each of the edges between two discretization points can be assigned an edge robustness as defined in Sec. IIIA. For any area bounded by a single loop in the planar graph, the topological charge is then given by the topological charge estimator as introduced in Eq. (3). The path robustness remains as defined in Eq. (10).

**APPENDIX E: HIGHER DIMENSIONS AND CURVED MANIFOLDS**

Generalizing the present robustness measure to higher dimensions seems difficult. We explain where this difficulty comes from, without being able to provide solutions. A generalization to point defects on $n$-dimensional domains would require considering discretized forms of the maps $S^{n-1} \to S^{n-1}$ and $S^{n-1} \to S^{n-1}$ that define the topological charge of point defects in the homotopy group $\pi_{n-1}(S^{n-1})$ [7]. This group is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$, as is $\pi_1(S^1)$ in the two-dimensional case. The difficulty is to determine the homotopy class $\in \pi_{n-1}(S^{n-1})$ that vectors on a finite discretized neighborhood represent. When this is done locally, one must additionally assure that the local representatives fit together globally. This joining is straightforward in two-dimensional fields, where the edges only connect in points. However, in $n$-dimensional fields, it is unclear how to join the $(n-1)$-dimensional hypersurface pieces of $S^{n-1}$ along a complete submanifold of dimension up to $n - 2$. Extending the data-dependent choice of the region for topological charge estimation also requires extra care in higher dimensions, as the boundary—in 2D formed by the edges—needs to maintain the topology of an $S^{n-1}$ sphere.

These difficulties amplify for $k$-dimensional $(1 \leq k < n)$ defects in discretized vector fields over $n$-dimensional domains. Such defects are extended objects that can (self-)intersect [34], and already the identification of line $(k = 1)$ defects in three dimensions requires an iterative process [35]. In this special case, planar cuts through a line defect yield point defects in two dimensions, and our presented robustness could be applied within each plane. However, the paths within each plane have to join topologically correctly to a cylinder or torus enclosing the whole line defect, which defines similar difficulties as the construction of an enclosing hypersurface for point defects in dimensions $\geq 3$.

Another possible extension is to two-dimensional curved manifolds, with the azimuthal difference between vectors in tangent spaces of different discretization points defined by parallel transport. Note that parallel transport itself is path dependent, such that the angle change accumulated by parallel transporting along a closed path is equal to the integral over the enclosed curvature. Hence, for TDs located in regions of curvature of equal sign, the parallel transport already covers parts of the net azimuthal change required for defect identification. For example, ±1/2 defects on curved manifolds can therefore be identified from a path of length $N$ with robustness above the threshold $\pi / N$ valid in flat domains (see Sec. IV A). This is compatible with the experimental and simulation results suggesting that TDs prefer to be in regions of maximal curvature of the same sign as the defect charge [13,14,36]. A high defect robustness, according to the definition provided here, then relates to energetically favorable minimization of field distortions between neighboring discretization points, observed only along the associated loop path rather than integrated over the whole field. Therefore, the present robustness measure makes explicit a coupling between the topology and geometry in curved domains.
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