LETTER

Myelodysplastic syndrome
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To the Editor:

TERT gene is encoding for the telomerase enzyme catalytic subunit, which maintains genomic integrity through de novo synthesis of telomere repeats at chromosome ends. It is active in stem and germinal cells, thus sustaining physiological replication [1]. TERT is silenced in somatic cells where progressive telomere erosion, along with cell division, induces senescence and genetic alterations [1]. Congenital variations at the TERT coding sequence and of a number of genes involved in telomere biology are known in dyskeratosis congenita that is the prototype of telomere-related disorders, mainly affecting skin, lung, bone marrow (BM), and liver [2]. In cancer aberrant TERT expression contributes to immortalization through specific mechanisms inducing telomerase reactivation [1, 3]. This may occur by means of both methylation and mutations at TERT promoter (TERTP) [3]. In acute myeloid leukemia (AML), hypermethylation at THOR (TERT hypermethylated oncological region) was frequently found [4]. In solid tumors, somatic C>T hotspot transition at −124 and −146 nucleotides from the TERT ATG start site, and other rare mutations (−57A>C; −124/−125CC>TT; −138/−139CC>TT), are functionally activating by creating de novo binding sites for E-twenty-six (ETS) transcription factors [1]. Information about mutations in hematopoietic malignancies is scarce. To the best of our knowledge, TERTP hotspot mutations have been described only in mantle cell lymphomas [5].

Here, we investigated TERTP variants in a large series of myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS) and MDS/myeloproliferative neoplasms (MDS/MPN). Biological samples [cytogenetic preparations, genomic DNA, frozen and fresh BM, peripheral blood (PB) cells and nail cuttings] were obtained from patients referred to the Hematology Unit at the University of Perugia between 1995 and 2019. The study was conducted according to Helsinki declaration and approved by the Institutional Bioethics Committee (University of Perugia Protocol No. 2017-19R). Written informed consent was obtained from all patients and controls. New and rare (i.e., minor allele frequency <0.01) TERTP variants were analyzed in silico through JASPAR Database and in vitro using Luciferase Reporter assay (Supplementary Table 1). TERTP-positive cases were further screened by Sanger Sequencing for the rs2853669 T>C single-nucleotide polymorphism, since it was previously shown to modulate mutated TERTP in cis [6]. In addition, 30 myeloid leukemogenic genes and 35 telomere-related genes were investigated by next generation sequencing (NGS) using, respectively, the commercial Myeloid solution™ and a Custom Hereditary Hematological Disorders gene panel provided by SOPHiA Genetics (Saint Sulpice, Switzerland). Telomere length (TL) was measured by Quantitative-Fluorescence In Situ Hybridization (Q-FISH) and/or Quantitative PCR (qPCR). For additional details, see Supplementary Methods, available on the Leukemia website.
We recruited 37 MDS/MPN and 350 MDS (250 males, 137 females; median age 74, range 7–94). Supplementary Table 2 shows demographics, hematological and cytogenetic features of all cases.

Sanger sequencing revealed TERT\(_P\) variants in 6/387 cases (1.5%, Table 1), including five MDS and one chronic myelomonocytic leukemia (CMML). TERT\(_P\) variants affected the hotspot nucleotide at −124 base pairs upstream to the TERT ATG start site in three cases. Two of them carried the c.1-124C>T hotspot, while the third one had a C>A substitution (Table 1). Both of these variants were previously shown to significantly increase TERT\(_P\) activity [7]. Two more cases bore hitherto unknown variants, which were not found neither in our screening of PB from 200 healthy controls nor in dedicated databases, i.e., a c.1-110_1-101dup and a germline c.1-71G>C (Fig. 1a, Supplementary Table 3). The last case of this series carried a germline c.1-78T>C rs1467435130 variant (Fig. 1a, Table 1).

Sequencing and in silico analysis (JASPAR Database) showed the TERT\(_P\) c.1-110_1-101dup produced duplication of binding sites for Sp1, a member of Specificity Protein/Krüppel-Like Factor transcription factor family (Fig. 1a and Supplementary Table 4) that, similarly to ETS family, was associated with TERT\(_P\) activation [1]. A significantly increased binding of transcription factors belonging to the same families was also generated by the two germline variants (c.1-71G>C and c.1-78T>C, Supplementary Table 4).

In two families with the same c.1-57A>C variant and early onset melanoma, a pathogenetic role in cancer development was suggested for the germline TERT\(_P\) variant [1]. In the family bearing the c.1-78T>C substitution, however, neither blood nor solid tumors emerged in six carriers identified across three generations (age range 18–73 years, mean age 47.5, median 52), suggesting that our variant "per se" is not promoting malignancy (Supplementary Fig. 1).

According to in silico analysis, the Luciferase Reporter assay showed that all three variants caused a significantly increased TERT\(_P\) activity by 1.3–1.7 fold in HeLa cells (Fig. 1b). Notably, adding evidence for a cell context-dependent action [6], the rs2853669 polymorphic C allele had no effect against our c.1-110_1-101dup (Fig. 1b).

As recurrent TERT\(_P\) mutations have been previously found in individuals with telomerase deficiency due to pathogenetic variants at the coding sequence of TERT, TERC and PARN [8, 9], we tested these genes and extended our NGS analysis to 32 additional telomere-related genes. Results showed six heterozygous variants classified as benign, likely benign, or of uncertain significance, according to the American College of Medical Genetics criteria (Table 1, Supplementary Methods). Among them there were two synonymous (TERT, RIF), two missense (RECQL4, TERF2), one splice site (TERT) variant and one inframe duplication (DKCI). In addition, we found a pathogenetic frameshift heterozygous variant at RAD50 (Table 1). Although the significance of this observation remains to be clarified, deleterious RAD50 variants in heterozygosity have never been reported in a telomere-related phenotype [10]. Based on all these results, we excluded a congenital defect of the telomerase underlying TERT\(_P\) variants in this series.

We further extended our investigations to dysplastic BM cells by both conventional cytogenetics and a myeloid NGS panel. Acquired cytogenetic aberrations and/or mutations were identified in all cases, suggesting cooperation between TERT\(_P\) and disease-related somatic hits (Table 1). Similarly, TERT\(_P\) mutations were previously reported in bladder cancer with FGFR3 mutations and in both thyroid cancer and melanoma with BRAF mutations, favoring the hypothesis that telomerase reactivation supports the proliferation of oncogene-transformed cells [1]. However, since telomerase is constitutively active in hematopoietic stem cells, the significance of its activation in myeloid malignancies is less clear [1].

Predominant somatic events in our cases were loss of function mutations at one or more epigenetic genes, namely, TET2 and EZH2. Interestingly in murine embryonic stem cells, TET2 deficiency led to sub-telomeric hypermethylation and telomere shortening via a telomerase-independent effect [11]. In human glioma cell lines, EZH2 depletion reduced TERT level [12]. Its inhibition in a human osteosarcoma cell line decreased telomeric heterochromatic marks [13]. Furthermore, in human AML cell lines, DNA methyltransferases inhibition by 5-azacytidine induced DNA damage at telomeres, telomere shortening, and downregulation of TERT expression [14]. Altogether these data suggest a functional link between epigenetic genes and TERT\(_P\) variants, that in our series might have counter-balanced acquired telomere disturbances. Although this hypothesis remains to be proved, insights were generated from studies on TL. First, in three TERT\(_P\) cases positive for TET2 (and also for EZH2 in one out of three) the TL in PB was not reduced, compared with age- and sex-matched healthy controls (Fig. 1c). This was rather unexpected as telomere shortening is typically found in MDS [15]. Moreover, in intra-individual longitudinal studies TL in BM cells was almost stable over time (Fig. 1d) and it was similar or even slightly longer than that of CD3\(^+\) lymphocytes without epigenetic mutations (Fig. 1e, Supplementary Fig. 2). Notably, in MDS telomere attrition was shown to preferentially affect the myeloid versus the lymphoid compartment [16].

In conclusion, our study for the first time identified somatic and germline activating TERT\(_P\) variants in both
Table 1 Clinical, hematological, cytogenetic, and molecular data in TERTP-positive cases (UPN refers to Supplementary Table 2).

| CASE (DNA tested) | Sex | Age | Diagnosis/IPSS-R/CPSS | Myeloid gene panel (VAF%) | BM karyotype | TERTP (status, germline DNA tested) | Telomere gene PANELa (rs, VAF%), ACMG classification | Disease course (follow-up, months; death cause) |
|-------------------|-----|-----|-----------------------|--------------------------|--------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| UPN #42 (BM)      | M   | 77  | MDS-RS-SLD-low         | SF3B1 c.2098 A>G, p.K700E (38.5%) | 46,XY[16] T/T | c.1-78T>C (rs1467435130) (germline, CD3+ PB cells) | neg | Stable (died, 57; sepsis) |
|                   |     |     |                       | TET2 c.4957_4958del, p.S1653fs*6 (18.4%) |              |                                     |            |                                   |
|                   |     |     |                       | EZH2 c.2069 G>A; p.R690H (22.7%); c.437T>C, p.1146T (2.3%) |              |                                     |            |                                   |
| UPN #166 (BM)     | M   | 72  | MDS-MLD-high           | EZH2 c.1505+3del(G) (33.6%) | 47,XY,+8/3 | c.1-71G>C (germline, BM fibroblasts) in cis with rs2853669 T | neg | Evolution (died, 21) |
|                   |     |     |                       | ASXL1 c.2061T>A, p.C687* (35.2%) |              |                                     |            |                                   |
|                   |     |     |                       | ETV6 c.1228_1229delinsCC, p. E410P (27.4%) |              |                                     |            |                                   |
|                   |     |     |                       | CEBPA c.1000G>A, p. E334K (28%) |              |                                     |            |                                   |
| UPN #199 (BM)     | M   | 80  | MDS-MLD-low            | ETV6 c.215G>A, p.W72* (1.6%) | 46,XY[20] C/C | c.1-110_1-101dupAGCCCCTCC (na in cis with rs2853669 C) | RIF1 c.586T>C, p. Ser1952= (rs756019996, 48.5%), VUS | Stable (died, 24; comorbidities) |
|                   |     |     |                       | DNMT3Ac.2082+3>A=G (11.1%) |              |                                     |            |                                   |
|                   |     |     |                       | CSF3R c.1358A>G, p.N453S (48.4%) |              |                                     |            |                                   |
| UPN #203 (BM)     | M   | 74  | CMML-0/low             | TET2 c.5659_5663dup, p. Asn1888lys*22 (92.9%) | 46,XY[20] T/T | c.1-124C>T (somatic, CD3+ PB cells, nail cuttings) | LB | Stable (+58) |
|                   |     |     |                       | MPL c.1537_1539del, p. L513del (19%) |              |                                     |            |                                   |
| UPN #269 (BM)     | F   | 72  | MDS-SLD-low            | TET2 p.Asn1346Ilefs*17, c.4037del (24.6%) | 46,XX[20] T/T | c.1-124C>A (somatic, CD3+ PB cells, nail cuttings) | P | Stable (+48) |
|                   |     |     |                       | MPL c.1537_1539del, p. L513del (19%) |              |                                     |            |                                   |
| UPN #277 (BM)     | F   | 55  | MDS with isolated del(5q)/low | MPL c.1537_1539del, p. L513del (19%) | 46,XX,del(5) | c.1-124C>T (na in cis with rs2853669 C) | LB | Evolution (died, 138) |

UPN unique patient number, BM bone marrow, PB peripheral blood, VAF variant allele frequency, M male, F female, IPSS-R revised international prognostic scoring system, CPSS chronic myelomonocytic leukemia-specific prognostic scoring system, MDS-RS-SLD myelodysplastic syndrome with ring sideroblasts and single lineage dysplasia, MDS-MLD myelodysplastic syndrome with multilineage dysplasia, CMML-0 chronic myelomonocytic leukemia, type 0, MDS-SLD myelodyplastic syndrome with single lineage dysplasia, na not available data, WT wild type, neg negative, ACMG American College of Medical Genetics (see Supplementary Methods), VUS variant of uncertain significance, B benign variant, LB likely benign variant, P pathogenetic variant.
aSee Supplementary Methods.
bBroken wild type splice site according to Human Splice Finder 3.1 (http://umd.be/HSF3/).
cPutative germline variant.
dSeventy-two months after diagnosis of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) stage IIIB.
eFound at both DLBCL and CMML diagnosis.
Fig. 1 New and rare TERT<sub>p</sub> activating variants increased promoter activity and TL investigations in malignant BM and PB cells from TERT<sub>p</sub>-positive cases did not reveal shortening as expected. a Schema of TERT<sub>p</sub> with transcription factor binding sites (blue, orange, and green symbols) and new/rare TERT<sub>p</sub> variants in myeloid malignancies (red circles). The c.1-110_1-101dup included duplication of an Sp1 binding site (green square), between nucleotides 1295095 and 1295102 (ENSG00000164362 GRCh38.p12). Adapted from Heidenreich and Kumar<sup>1</sup> with permission. b Relative Luciferase activity in HeLa cell line; rs refers to rs2853669 T>C. Data are shown as mean ± SD in four independent experiments. The construct with the known activating c.1-57A>C was used as an internal positive control to validate data. ***p < 0.001 vs. wild type (two sample t-test with equal variances). c Inter-individual TL analysis by Q-FISH on PHA-stimulated PB metaphases. Unique patient number (UPN) refers to Supplementary Table 2. TL is expressed as T/C%. Each dot represents the difference (ΔTL T/C%) between mean TL in each patient and each age- and sex-matched healthy control (for UPN#42 and #203: 7 males, age range 71–83, mean 78.2, median 81, and for UPN#269: 5 females, age range 64–75, mean 72, median 74), ns, not significant (one sample Student’s t test). d Intra-individual TL over time according to qPCR on BM DNA at diagnosis and during the disease course. TL is expressed as T/S ratio. e Intra-individual TL analysis by qPCR comparing BM DNA with PB CD3<sup>+</sup> cells negative for somatic mutations. TL is expressed as T/S ratio. Data are shown as mean ± SD in four independent experiments (p < 0.05, Mann–Whitney U test).
MDS and CMML and provided insights on their close association with acquired mutations at epigenetic modulators. Inclusion of \( TERT_p \) screening in the diagnostic routine of MDS and MDS/MPN will be helpful to better assess the biological and clinical significance of \( TERT_p \) variants.
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