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ABSTRACT: Carbon dioxide rebreathing in non-invasive ventilation. Analysis of masks, expiratory ports and ventilatory modes. D. Samolski, N. Calaf, R. Güell, P. Casan, A. Antón.

Background and Aim. Carbon dioxide (CO₂) rebreathing is a complication of non-invasive ventilation (NIV). Our objectives were to evaluate the ability of masks with exhaust vents (EV) to avoid rebreathing while using positive pressure (PP) NIV with different levels of expiratory pressure (EPAP). Concerning volume-cycled NIV, we aimed to determine whether cylindrical spacers located in the circuit generate rebreathing.

Materials and methods. 5 healthy volunteers were evaluated. Bi-level PP was used with 3 nasal and 2 facial masks with and without EV. Spacers of increasing volume attached to nasal hermetic masks were evaluated with volume NIV. Inspired CO₂ fraction was analyzed.

Results. Rebreathing was zero with all nasal masks and EPAP levels. Using facial masks 1 volunteer showed rebreathing. There was no rebreathing while using all the spacers.

Conclusions. In healthy volunteers, nasal and facial masks with EV prevent rebreathing. In addition, the use of spacers did not generate this undesirable phenomenon. Monaldi Arch Chest Dis 2008; 69: 3, 114-118.
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Introduction

Portable ventilators used in non-invasive ventilation (NIV) may provoke carbon dioxide (CO₂) rebreathing due to the use of a single-limb circuit [1]. The mode of ventilation, the type of mask, the expiratory device and the expiratory pressure level setting may also play a key role in this event [2-6].

Several masks currently used in positive pressure ventilation have exhaust vents (EV) that function as an expiratory port. In addition, some authors [2, 7] have shown that specific anti-rebreathing expiratory devices (ARD) minimise or prevent rebreathing when used in conjunction with hermetic masks. To our knowledge, no studies have been conducted to determine whether the newly designed EV equipped masks act in a similar way when used in combination with portable pressure-cycled ventilators.

While using volume-cycled ventilation, exhalation is allowed by a pneumatic valve that, if positioned next to the mask, is uncomfortable because of its size and form. It is therefore necessary to add a flexible cylindrical spacer of variable size to separate the mask from the valve. Such a structure may interfere with the expiratory phase and generate a dead space that could potentially cause rebreathing. No studies have evaluated this issue.

The aim of the present study was to assess the ability of EV equipped nasal and facial masks to prevent rebreathing while using a portable pressure-cycled ventilator, and to quantify the minimum EPAP level needed to do this. Using volume-cycled ventilation, we also aimed to evaluate the maximal volume of a cylindrical spacer still effective in preventing rebreathing.

Materials and methods

The study was conducted in the pulmonary function laboratory of our hospital. All volunteers provided written consent prior to participate in this study. Moreover, the project was approved by the Hospital Ethics Committee.

Protocol

Five healthy volunteers were evaluated. None of them had a prior history of smoking or respiratory diseases or were using drugs at the time of the study.

– Pressure-cycled ventilation: a VPAP III ventilator (ResMed, North Ryde, Australia) with
its own single-limb circuit was used for the study. Three different nasal masks and two facial masks were evaluated. Two nasal masks had an EV (UltraMirage and Mirage, ResMed, North Ryde, Australia). The one out of EV (UltraMirage, ResMed, North Ryde, Australia) was connected to an ARD (Plateau valve, Respironics, Murrysille, PA, USA). Fig. 1. One facial mask (UltraMirage, ResMed, North Ryde, Australia) was EV equipped, and the other (Mirage, ResMed, North Ryde, Australia), without EV, was connected to the ARD. Using sealed envelopes each containing the specification for a single mask and pressure level combination among those to be tested, volunteers were randomly crossed over to receive NIV sessions with the type of mask and pressure selected. We set a fixed inspiratory pressure (IPAP) of 14 cmH2O and an increasing expiratory pressure (EPAP) of 4, 6, 8 and 10 cmH2O.

- **Volume-cycled ventilation**: we used a Breas PV 501 ventilator (Breas Medical, Swedish) with its own single-limb circuit and expiratory valve. An hermetic nasal mask was employed (UltraMirage without EV, ResMed, North Ryde, Australia). The cylindrical spacers located between the mask and the expiratory valve had three different volumes (43, 85 and 176 ml.) that were selected in random order using sealed envelopes each containing the specification for a volume to be tested. Volunteers underwent NIV sessions receiving a tidal volume of 8-10 ml/kg, a respiratory rate of 16-18 cycles/minute and an I/E relation of 1:2.

The inspired CO2 fraction (FiCO2) was continuously analysed using a thin sampling tube located in the mask, close to the nostrils. This tube was connected to a paramagnetic CO2 analyser (NDIR) with a 0-10% response lower than 130 milliseconds and a precision of +/- 0.05% (Medical graphics system, St. Paul, Minnesota, USA). Fig. 3. The paramagnetic CO2 analyser was calibrated using atmospheric air and a calibration gas mixture of CO2 (6.87%), O2 (50.3%) and N2 (42.83%) (Abello Linde SA, Barcelona, Spain). The gain and the zero levels were checked prior to each change in the pressure level, the type of mask or the spacer’s volume. Moreover, we performed the calibration before carrying out the study in each volunteer.

Volunteers were seated in a semi-recumbent position and each mode of ventilation was evaluated for five minutes. They were advised to keep their mouths closed while using nasal masks to avoid leaks through it. A wash-out period consisting of 15 minutes of room air breathing was performed between each NIV session.

**Data analysis**

The FiCO2 level was measured in 15 respiratory cycles after adaptation to each level of ventilation. The average FiCO2 level from these breaths was then considered for further analysis. According to the study design, differences of the mean FiCO2 level in all the ventilatory conditions tested were planned to be evaluated with a Friedman 2 way ANOVA non-parametric test since low FiCO2 values, but different from zero, were expected before the study completion. Differences will be considered statistically significant when $p < 0.05$.

**Results**

Ventilation was well tolerated in all volunteers regardless of the mode of ventilation, the interface or the volume of the spacers.

With reference to pressure-cycled ventilators, as shown in Fig. 4, FiCO2 was zero while...
using all the nasal masks tested and their corresponding expiration ports. An EPAP as low as 4 cmH2O was useful to prevent rebreathing in all the conditions tested.

As Fig. 5 shows, FiCO2 was zero while using the EV equipped facial mask, whatever the EPAP used. The hermetic mask connected to an ARD showed no rebreathing in all except one volunteer. In this individual, the FiCO2 was 0.2% and it was not modified with the increase in EPAP.

While using volume-cycled ventilators, rebreathing was zero for all the volumes of spacers studied, as seen in Fig. 6.

**Discussion**

This study shows that newly designed nasal and facial masks with EV prevent CO2 rebreathing, even with an EPAP as low as 4 cmH2O in normal subjects. Likewise, a cylindrical spacer located between the mask and the expiratory valve did not generate rebreathing during volume ventilation.

The phenomenon of rebreathing is generally considered a potential cause of failure of NIV treatment [1]. Since the first description by Ferguson et al [2] in 1995, little has been published on this subject. It is well known that the use of a single-limb circuit is its basic causal mechanism and that it can be modified by the mode of ventilation [2, 3] and the type of mask or expiratory port [4, 5, 9-11]. The use of positive pressure during the expiratory phase could help with the “wash out of CO2” through the holes of the mask. As a matter of fact, an appropriate EPAP level has been claimed to be critical in preventing rebreathing [2, 11].

Ferguson et al [2], using a specific ARD, found that an EPAP level of 6-8 cmH2O was necessary to prevent rebreathing. In our work, using a mask with EV, we were able to reduce the EPAP to 4 cmH2O without rebreathing. Our results are in agreement with those described by Schettino et al [5] who also used masks with EV. This improvement in preventing CO2 rebreathing could be related to improve CO2 kinetics with this type of masks with EV [4]. Another important aspect to
take into consideration is that the expiratory devices could worsen expiratory resistance. This situation was well described by Lofaso et al [3] who suggested that this type of expiratory plateau port could increase the positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) due to its higher expiratory resistance. Hence, the increase in expiratory resistance should be taken into account when using ARDs.

Schettino et al [5] evaluated the effects of mask volume in rebreathing. These authors reported, as was expected, that the volume of the mask was associated with CO₂ rebreathing. Saatci et al [8] found that dead space is higher with the facial mask but that it could be partially offset using appropriate levels of positive pressure or adding an EV to enhance mask ventilation. In our work, only one volunteer showed an increase in FiCO₂ while using a hermetic facial mask connected to an ARD. This phenomenon was not modified even when the EPAP level was increased. On the other hand, we did not observe rebreathing with the facial mask with EV, even with EPAP level as low as 4 cmH₂O.

The addition of a spacer with an internal volume up to 176 ml, acting as dead volume, did not generate rebreathing. This finding suggests that pneumatic valves are effective in preventing rebreathing. On the other hand, we cannot rule out the possibility that a greater volume of the spacers could generate rebreathing. However, with a spacer as large as 176 ml (the highest volume in our study), the mask can be comfortably separate from the expiratory valve. Thus, longer spacers with higher internal volume seem unnecessary. To our knowledge, this is the first study addressing this issue.

Reports in literature about the clinical significance of rebreathing are few and contradictory. Comparing an ARD with a standard valve, Hill et al [7] reported no clinical or gasometric differences in patients undergoing chronic NIV. By contrast, Farré et al [6] suggested that rebreathing could be so deleterious to lead to CPAP failure, an event that could be prevented by using an anti-rebreathing valve.

Our study in healthy volunteers show that the masks tested had little or no CO₂ rebreathing. However, the “dead space effect” of these masks may negatively affect already hypercapnic patients who show a rapid and shallow breathing. For this reason, further studies on this kind of patients are warranted to assess the CO₂ wash-out effectiveness of newly designed masks during assisted ventilation.
Technical remarks and limitations of the study

Rebreathing can be detected by measuring CO₂ inside the mask during the ventilatory cycle. It is not clear how or at what moment CO₂ should be measured to quantify rebreathing. Some authors suggest that end-tidal of CO₂ (ETCO₂) is the best parameter to evaluate this phenomenon [5, 7, 11]. Others consider that FiCO₂ is better than ETCO₂ measurement [2, 9]. In our study, we analysed the CO₂ present inside the mask at the beginning of the inspiration (proto-inspiration) because, in our opinion, it better reflects the amount of CO₂ rebreathing, as this is the real quantity of this gas that the patient will inspire. Likewise, it is logical to suppose that a greater ETCO₂ could generate rebreathing. However, this depends not only on the amount of expired CO₂ but also on the ability of the expiratory port to eliminate it. In view of these considerations, we took into account the FiCO₂ and not the ETCO₂ to evaluate the rebreathing.

Secondly, we analysed only a few of the masks that are currently available to make the study feasible. Moreover, it could be supposed that other similarly designed interfaces would yield similar results.

Thirdly, an open ventilatory system, like the one used in this work, did not allow us to quantify exactly the ventilatory mask flows; consequently, the CO₂ rebreathing was showed as a percentage and not as a real amount.

Fourthly, we do not use any dyspnoea or tolerance scales to assess objectively mask comfort. We deduced that ventilation was well tolerated in all volunteers from the fact that all of them could fulfill and complete the whole study without reporting significant troubles or complaints with each mask or expiratory port, the mode of ventilation and the spacer.

Finally, with reference to statistical analysis, the absolute values obtained were not adequate for applying the planned statistical analysis. FiCO₂ amounting almost invariably to zero. However, the repetition of this result strongly supports our conclusions. Thus, we decided to show only raw data with no more analysis.

In conclusion, we show that in healthy volunteers CO₂ rebreathing is not a common issue in newly designed masks with incorporated EV even when EPAP is low or when cylindrical spacers are added to the circuit during volume-cycled ventilation. Our results should be contrasted in future with a clinical trial in hypercapnic patients.
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