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Abstract—Due to the success of Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers (BERT) in natural language processing (NLP), the multi-head attention transformer has been more and more prevalent in computer-vision researches (CV). However, it still remains a challenge for researchers to put forward complex tasks such as vision detection and semantic segmentation. Although multiple Transformer-Based architectures like DETR and ViT-FRCNN have been proposed to complete object detection task, they inevitably decreases discrimination accuracy and brings down computational efficiency caused by the enormous learning parameters and heavy computational complexity incurred by the traditional self-attention operation. In order to alleviate these issues, we present a novel object detection architecture, named Convolutional vision Transformer-Based Attentive Single Shot MultiBox Detector (CvT-ASSD), that builds on the top of Convolutional vision Transformer (CvT) with the efficient Attentive Single Shot MultiBox Detector (ASSD). We provide comprehensive empirical evidence showing that our model CvT-ASSD can leads to good system efficiency and performance while being pretrained on large-scale detection datasets such as PASCAL VOC and MS COCO. Code has been released on public github repository at https://github.com/albertjin/CvT-ASSD.

Index Terms—Computer Vision, Object Detection, Vision Transformer, Convolutional Neural Network

I. INTRODUCTION

Real-time Object Detection task is challenging yet essential in computer vision researches. The target of object detection is to determine a set of bounding boxes and corresponding category labels for each object of interest presented in pictures. Thanks to many advantages of convolution such as local receptive, spatial subsampling and shared weights which could preserve rich semantic information during the deep-learning network forward flow operations, convolution-based architectures remain dominant [14] for decades.

In recent years after BERT [6] provided by Google, Transformer-based architecture has become the leading technology in many NLP tasks due to its powerful language understanding performance borrowed by multileads self-attention module. Inspired by success in NLP, much of the recent progress made in object detection research can be credited to applying transformer model to translate vision representations learned on massive object detection datasets. ViT [9], the first attempt of Self-Attention-based visual representation learning, which explicitly model all pairwise interactions between elements in a sequential embedding vector, demonstrates that transformer-based architectures can improve both image classification performance and efficiency if pretrained on large-scale image datasets such as JFT-300M [1] and IG-940M. [2].

A wide range of object-detection approaches like vision transformer based Faster-RCNN model (ViT-FRCNN) [13] and end-to-end object detector with Adaptive Clustering Transformer (ACT) [18], which built on vision transformer that generating computer analytic semantic signals through streamline the training pipeline by viewing object detection as a direct anchors with labels prediction problem. This end-to-end philosophy has led to significant advantages in complex structured vision tasks such as image retrieval, image segmentation and environment dense prediction.

Despite the success of vision transformers at large scale, they both are vulnerable to low efficiency brought by huge training parameters inside transformer modules and poor model recognition performance when trained on smaller amount of data. Meanwhile, vision transformer suffers severely from the heavy computational complexity due to high-resolution image inputs in a few of downstream vision tasks. Given an H × W resolution picture, the learning parameter complexity for each multi-head attention module is $O(H^2W^2d)$. In recent, many researchers focused on such challenges and provided many resolutions like the spatially separable self-attention (SSSA) [25].

As far as we know, images have a strong 2D local structure: spatially neighboring pixels are usually highly correlated. Human object recognition ability relies heavily on the spatial characteristics of an object, and so do computer recognition. However, ViT [9] lacks certain desirable properties inherently built into CNN architecture. The CNN-based architectures [7], [16], [22] uniquely suited to solve vision tasks because of their strong capability capturing local structure by using shared weights, spatial subsampling and local receptive fields. The pioneering work of ViT on image classification are encouraging, but its architecture is unsuitable for use as a general-purpose backbone network on dense vision tasks due to its quadratic increase in complexity with high resolution image as input. Furthermore, despite the superiority of vision
We compare our method with state-of-the-art useful regions on the feature maps while suppressing the detector module, named Attention Unit, which helps to highlight useful regions on the feature maps while suppressing the irrelevant information, thereby providing reliable guidance for object detection. We compare our method with state-of-the-art object detection methods including VGG-SSD, DETR and ViT-FRCNN evaluating on the most popular object detection datasets, PASCAL-VOC [5] and COCO [3]. Experiments show that our model achieves comparable mean-average-Precision (mAP) performances with fewer parameters and FLOPs. Model implementation details will introduce in Chapter III, Our Model.

Our main contributions are summarised as follows:

1. We propose a novel unified object detection architecture, CvT-ASSD, which modifies transformer backbone module by adding the convolutional token embedding and convolutional projection into transformer encoder block, along with the multi-stage design of the network by convolutions, making our model achieve superior performance while maintaining certain computational efficiency.

2. We apply an Residual Block (RB) between the transformer encoder and the downstream modules which can help avoid the degradation problem. Ablation experiments show that this module can lead to a significant average precision boost for the whole architecture performance.

3. We introduce the self-attention mechanism to downstream detection module termed ASSD following the human vision mechanism and facilitates the object feature learning. It effectively utilizes a fast and light-weight attention unit to help
discover feature dependencies and focus the model on useful and relevant regions.

4. Specifically, we evaluate our CvT-ASSD and its variants on the most popular detection challenge, COCO and VOC. Extensive experiments show that our proposed architecture performs favorably against other state-of-the-art vision transformers with similar or even reduced computational complexity.

Furthermore, we hope that CvT-ASSD can drive the commonly applied paradigm of large scale pretraining and rapid fine-tuning to specific tasks deeper and encourage Transformer-based unified modeling in the computer vision community.

## II. RELATED WORK

### A. Traditional object detector based on convolution backbone network

Faster-RCNN [16], one comparable model in the series of region-base CNN, which introduce novel Region Proposal Network (PRNs) that share convolutional layers with modern object detection networks SPP-net [24] and Fast-RCNN [22], improves region proposal quality and thus overall object detection accuracy. Due to the computational cost-free region proposal step inside Faster-RCNN architecture, the method enables a unified, deep-learning-based object detection system to run at near-real-time frame rates. Although Faster-RCNN achieves performance in a competitive rate than other traditional methods, there is still room for improvement in accuracy caused by the unnatural design of increasing translation variance. Meanwhile, the deep convolutional backbone network like Res-Net inside the architecture brings a large scale of calculating parameters which would hinder the speed in both training and inference.

To address the dilemma above in Faster-RCNN [22], a region-based, fully convolutional network (R-FCN) [17] presented by Microsoft Research team, which applies a costly per-region subnetwork hundreds of times in contrast to previous region-based detector such as Fast/Faster R-CNN [16], [22], achieved at-test-time speed of 170ms per image, 2.5-20x faster than Faster R-CNN counterpart. The R-FCN architecture is designed to classify the regions of Interests (RoIs) into object categories and background. RoIs is proposed by Region proposal network (RPN) through the predefined score maps. The experiments on the R-FCN paper empirically justifies the importance of respecting spatial information by inserting RoIs pooling between layers for the Faster R-CNN system.

Single Shot MultiBox Detector (SSD) [7], put forward by Google Inc, is significantly more accurate and faster than the previous state-of-the-art object detectors like YOLOs, in fact as accurate as slower techniques that perform explicit region proposals and pooling (including Faster RCNN). The SSD approach is based on a feed-forward convolutional network that produces a fixed-size collection of anchor boxes and scores for the presence of object instances in those anchor boxes, followed by a non-maximum suppression (NMS) to filter out the final predict results. These creative model features lead to easy end-to-end training and high testing accuracy meantime, further improving the speed vs accuracy trade-off.

### B. Naive Vision Transformer Based object detector

Detection Transformer (DETR) [12], a new method still built in the top of ViT [9], which views object detection as a direct set prediction problem, demonstrates accuracy and run-time performance on par with the well-established and highly optimized Faster R-CNN baseline on the challenging COCO-2014 [3] and PASCAL VOC2007k:2012 [5] object detection datasets [5]. Unlike many other modern detectors, this model is conceptually simple and does not require a specialized library. A notable property in this approach is that it does not need to use non-maximum suppression (NMS) as a post-processing step, as its decoder architecture learns to self-suppress duplicate bounding box predictions. By the way, there are some shortcomings in this approach: 1) slow speed of training convergence than typical detectors and 2) limited feature spatial resolution when transformer processes image data. These two drawbacks mainly stem from prohibitive complexities in processing high-resolution feature maps.

The efficient object detection architecture codenamed ViT-FRCNN [13] that using original vision-transformer (ViT) [9] backbone to retain sufficient spatial information, which trained end-to-end with a set loss function which performs bipartite matching between predicted and ground-truth objects, finally achieved high accuracy, large pretraining capacity and fast superior fine-tuning performance.

Meanwhile, more and more powerful variants of vision Transformer-based architectures [4], [11], [20], [21], [25], [26] are presented for image-level classification and a few downstream vision tasks, bringing continuous improvement in state-of-the-art object detection performance.

## III. OUR METHOD: CvT-ASSD

In this section, we first revisit the overall pipeline of our proposed Transformer-based one-stage detector: CvT-ASSD in Section III-A. Implementation details and hyperparameter settings are presented in this section. Then, in Section III-B we introduce the details of the novel Convolutional vision Transformer which include Convolutional Token Embedding module and Convolutional Self-Attention module. Finally, In Section III-C, we provide a comprehensive analysis about the superiority that applies Residual Block (RB) and attention unit (AU) before and after the detection module, respectively. The full model structure is built on DeepLearning framework PyTorch v1.9.0 and is open source at: https://github.com/albert-jin/CvT-ASSD.

### A. Main Structure of CvT-ASSD

The overall pipeline of CvT-Based Attentive Single Shot MultiBox Detector (CvT-ASSD) is illustrated in Figure 1. Our introduced CvT-ASSD is a competitive object detection solution which utilizes convolution in transformer MHSA part and attention operation in downstream detection step. The
model architecture can be split into several relatively independent modules in turn: Convolutional Vision Transformer->Residual Block->Convolutional Downstream Detector->Attention Unit->SSD Standard Optimizer. Next paragraphs we will discuss these modules in detail.

In the Convolutional Vision Transformer feature extractor, we introduce two convolution-based operations into each blocks. We term the two calculation modules as Convolutional Token Embedding (CTE) and Convolutional Self-Attention (CSA), respectively.

CTE is implemented as a 2D convolution operation with overlapping patches of which convolution kernel size is 7x7 and stride is 3x3. This allows each stage of the vision transformer backbone to progressively reduce the number of token such as image resolution and feature channels.

To obtain the ability to capture local spatial relationships throughout the ViT work [9] like CNNs, we changed previous vision Transformer modules by replacing the position-wise linear projection with our convolutional projection CSA. CSA is implemented using a depth-wise separable convolution layer to replace the original position-wise linear projection for Multi-Head Self-Attention (MhSA) in the ViT [9] work. Furthermore, these two built-in properties give us the ability to capture local spatial relationships and global semantic context throughout the network which allows us to discard the position embedding from the transformer, so we drop the positional embedding for tokens without hurting performance. The resulting new Transformer Block with the convolutional Projection layer is a generalization of the original ViT [9] design.

In the extra layers of downstream detector between the transformer encoder and the detection module, we add an intermediate Residual Block (RB) which put forward in work [15] by Kaiming He et al. Residual block can help avoid the degradation problem: Typically, with the network depth increasing, accuracy gets saturated (which might be unsurprising) and then degrades rapidly. And in this work, we investigate the impact of the added residual block and find that introducing the module leading to a significant average precision boost.

SSD [7] performs the detection on multi-scale feature maps to handle various object size effectively, so in our downstream detection module, the pyramid convolutional blocks for detecting objects follow similar with the design of original SSD. The differences of feature map size and channel number between our detection module and original SSD are listed in Table I. Then, we apply convolution layers of 3×3×channels kernel in each feature layers to produce either a score for a object category or a shape offset relative to the default box.

Inspired by the superiority of self-attention mechanism in Transformer, we construct a small network, namely Attention Unit (AU), and embed it into the last layer of the downstream detection module to improve the detection accuracy. The AU module helps capture the long-range dependencies among all feature pixels within the feature map itself for more effective object detection.

In the end, we concatenate all of the resulting feature token into a one-dimension vector for location and object label prediction. The overall objective loss function is a weighted sum of the object label confidence loss (e.g. Softmax CrossEntropy Loss) and the localization loss (e.g. Smooth L1 Loss) followed by original SSD [7]. The loss function and back propagation are applied end-to-end. We use hard negative mining to solve the positive-negative box class imbalance problem and training process also involves multi-scales detection results, and data augmentation strategies as in original SSD.

### B. Convolutional vision transformer

Our CvT receives a 2D image vector as input. It consists of three module stages, termed Convolutional Transformer Block (CTB). We instantiate model with different parameters and FLOPs by varying the hidden feature dimension and the number of Convolutional Self-Attention Block. In each transformer block, we progressively decrease the feature map size, while simultaneously increasing the feature map dimension. Furthermore, different from other prior Transformer-based architectures [12], [13], [25], we discard the ad-hoc position embedding to the tokens. Figure 2 shows the internal structure details of transformer block.

#### 1) Conv-Token Embedding in Transformer: The Convolutional Token Embedding (CTE) layer allows us to regulate the feature map dimension and size at each stage by varying parameters of the convolution operation. This helps the model capture the increasing complex visual patterns over increasing larger spatial footprints, similar to CNN based feature extractor. Formally, given a 2D feature map \( x_{i-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{H_{i-1} \times W_{i-1} \times C_{i-1}} \) generated from previous step as the input to CTE layer, we learn a mapping function \( f(\cdot) \) that maps \( x_{i-1} \) into a new tokens \( f(x_{i-1}) \) with a channel size \( C_{i} \), where \( f(\cdot) \) is 2D convolution operation of kernel size \( k \) (equal 7x7), stride \( s \) (equal 3x3) and padding \( p \) (equal 1x1) (to handle the boundary conditions). The new feature map \( f(x_{i-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{H_{i} \times W_{i} \times C_{i}} \) has sizes \( H_{i}, W_{i} \):

\[
H_{i} = \left\lfloor \frac{H_{i-1} + 2p - k}{s} + 1 \right\rfloor, W_{i} = \left\lfloor \frac{W_{i-1} + 2p - k}{s} + 1 \right\rfloor.
\]

### Table I

| Conv Layer | Origin SSD | CvT-ASSD |
|------------|------------|----------|
| Conv_1     | 384×512    | 384×192  |
| Conv_2     | 1024×1024  | 48×768   |
| Conv_3     | 1024×256   | 256×1024 |
| Conv_4     | 52×256     | 12×256   |
| Conv_5     | 32×256     | 8×256    |
| Conv_6     | 12×256     | 4×256    |
| Conv_7     | N/A        | 1×256    |
Fig. 2. Key idea of introducing convolutions into transformer. In this illustration, details of Convolutional Token Embedding is depicted in part a (left), details of Convolutional Self-Attention Block is depicted in part b (right), respectively.

$f(x_{i-1})$ is then flatten into size $H_i W_i C_i$ and normalized by layer normalization for input into the subsequent Convolutional Self-Attention Block (CSAB). The structure of CTE is depicted in Figure 2 (a).

2) Conv-Self-Attention in Transformer: The embedded module Convolutional Self-Attention Block (CSAB) aims to model local spatial contexts, from low-level edges to higher order semantic primitives, over a multi-stage hierarchy approach, similar to CNNs. Standard $qkv$ self-attention is a popular building block for neural architectures. For each element in an input sequence $z \in \mathbb{R}^{N D}$, we compute a weighted sum over all values $v$ in the sequence. The attention weights $A_{ij}$ are based on the pairwise similarity between two elements of the sequence and their respective query $q^i$ and key $k^j$ representations, Self-Attention operation $f_{SA}()$ uses the following formula:

$$[q, k, v] = z U_{qkv} \quad U_{qkv} \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times 3D_h} \quad (2)$$

$$f_{SA}(\cdot) = v \cdot \text{softmax} \left( qk^T \sqrt{D_h} \right) \quad f_{SA}(\cdot) \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N} \quad (3)$$

Multi Head Self-Attention (MHSA) is an extension of SA in which we run $k$ self-attention operations called “multihead” in parallel, and project their concatenated output. To keep compute parameters constant when changing $k$, $D_h$ is typically set to $D/k$.

$$f_{MSA}(z) = U_{msa} \left[ f_{SA1}(z); f_{SA2}(z); \cdots; f_{SA3}(z) \right] \quad (4)$$

Different from the standard MHSA, this work replaces the original Position-wise Linear Projection Mechanism with our depth-wise separable convolutional Self-Attention Block (CSAB) module, into the Transformer architecture. The convolutional projection of CSAB is depicted in Figure 2 (b).

C. Downstream Detection Modules

The downstream detector includes several modules respectively are Residual Block, Convolutional Downstream Detector, Attention Unit, Standard MultiBoxLoss Optimizer. Except for the introduced module Residual Block and Attention Unit, others are similar to original SSD [7].

1) Residual Block Layer: Inspired by the philosophy of ResNet [15]: If identity mappings are optimal, the solvers may simply drive the weights of the multiple nonlinear layers toward zero to approach identity mappings, we adopt residual learning to our model between the transformer encoder and the detection module. The extra shortcut connections introduces neither extra parameter nor computation complexity. The extra layer is attractive and efficient in our comparisons between plain and residual networks.

Let us consider $H(x)$ as an underlying mapping to be learn by a few neural network layers, with $x$ denoting the inputs to the first of these layers. Rather than approximating $H(x)$, we explicitly let these layers approximate a residual function $F(x) := H(x) - x$. So the original function will becomes $F(x) = x$. Formally, in this work we define the residual mapping as:

$$y = F(x, \{W_i\}) + x \quad (5)$$

Here $y$ and $x$ are output vectors and input vectors, respectively. The functional mapping $F(x, \{W_i\})$ is the residual mapping
to be learned. Our experiments show that the residual mapping is easier to optimize than the "plain net" counterpart, that simple stacked mapping.

2) Attention Unit: Here, we place the attention unit (AU) after the fusion operation. The implementation Details is shown in Figure 4.

Finally, we calculate the attentive features (the Regions of Interest) by the matrix multiplication between value \( x^s \) and the attention weights \( \vec{a} \). The weighted sums of individual features at each location is computed by using the following formula:

\[
x^s = x^s + \left( v a l u e (x^s) \right)^\top \vec{a}
\]

The relevant parts of the feature map will be highlighted and the detection results will be refined through the Attention Unit (AU). Our comprehensive experiment indicates that the Attention Unit and the fusion mechanisms are complementary to each other.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULT

A. Dataset Introduction

MS COCO [3] and PASCAL VOC is the most popular open-source object detection datasets in this research field. Each image is annotated with bounding boxes and panoptic segmentation. We prefer to make some necessary combinations of these datasets to improve the model’s prediction performance.

1) Microsoft COCO: COCO is a supervised dataset consisting of 1.7 million instances, with about 118k train/val instances and up to 860k bounding boxes. Furthermore, there are about 7 object instances per image averagely and up to 63 instances in a single training sample. COCO has 53 stuff categories in addition to 80 object categories. Most of the state-of-the-art works and baselines are established on the challenging COCO object detection dataset. COCO can be downloaded through this download link: https://cocodataset.org/#home.

2) PASCAL VOC: The PASCAL Visual Object Classes (VOC) challenge is relatively small benchmark dataset in visual object detection. VOC series have 20 object categories which combine of four main categories: vehicles, household, animals, person. There are total 27450 and 23080 trainval instances in VOC 2007 and VOC 2012, respectively. It is organised annually presented from 2005 while the popular parts of which are VOC 2007 and 2012. For the sake of simplicity, we will use appropriate abbreviations on VOC: 1) “07”: VOC2007 trainval, 2)“07+12”: the union set of VOC2007 and VOC2012 trainval, 3)“07+12+COCO”: the union set of VOC2007, VOC2012 for training, then fine-tuning on COCO2014. All images and annotations are available at: http://host.robots.ox.ac.uk/pascal/VOC/.

B. Implementation Details

During our experiments, We choose to use many of the same hyper-parameter settings for CvT-ASSD as in original SSD. We convert the scale of the picture to a fixed size: 384 in

Suppose that \( x^s \in \mathbb{R}^{N^s \times C^s} \) is the output feature vectors at a specified scale \( s \in \{1, \cdots, S\} \), in which \( N \) and \( C \) represent the scale of spatial locations and channels count in each feature, respectively. Firstly, we linearly calculate the input feature \( x^s \) with the training parameter \( W_{qkv}^s \) into three different feature spaces key \( (x^s) \), query \( (x^s) \), value \( (x^s) \) by the matrix multiplication. We get the attention score by the matrix multiplication of query \( (x^s) \) and key \( (x^s) \).

\[
a^s = \text{query}(x^s)^\top \text{key}(x^s)
\]  

Then the attention score matrix will be normalized by a softmax operation:

\[
a_{ij}^s = \frac{\exp(a_{ij}^s)}{\sum_j \exp(a_{ij}^s)}, i, j = 1, 2, \cdots, N^s
\]  

Fig. 3. In this figure, we illustrate the proposed Residual Block structure for solving the degradation problem. Inside the flow of residual mapping, we apply two 3×3 convolutions and two normalization layers which alternated with each other.

Fig. 4. Illustration of the downstream module Attention Unit (a) and its Inter-Structure (b) following by each of the convolutional location and label predictors. The implementation of AU follows similar with original Self-Attention mechanism.
Table II: This illustration shows the backbone of CVT-ASSD: Convolutional Transformer Internal Architecture. Inside our backbone, a different number of modules are stacked at each different stage.

| Stage. 1 | Embed | Blocks |
|----------|-------|--------|
| Details  | 7×7, 64, stride 4 | $3\times3$, 64, stride 2, $R_1 = 4$ |

| Stage. 2 | Embed | Blocks |
|----------|-------|--------|
| Details  | 3×3, 192, stride 2 | $3\times3$, 192, stride 2, $H_2 = 3, D_2 = 192, R_2 = 4$ |

| Stage. 3 | Embed | Blocks |
|----------|-------|--------|
| Details  | 3×3, 384, stride 2 | $3\times3$, 384, stride 2, $H_3 = 6, D_3 = 384, R_3 = 4$ |

C. Experimental Results

For experimental comparison with baselines and SOTAs, we compare our model with several representative CNN-based approaches: VGG-SSD [7], Faster-RCNN [16], R-FCN [17] and Transformer-based models that have recently gained significant influence: ViT-FRCNN [13], DETR [12].

- VGG-SSD (original) This framework is the first pure CNN-based single-shot (one-staged) object detector to perform comparably to state-of-the-art works on image object detection. The traditional approach provides the optimal trade-off among speed, accuracy and simplicity.
- Faster-RCNN This work introduces a Region Proposal Network (RPN) on the top of Fast-RCNN [22] that shares full-image convolutional features with the detection network, thus wins the 1st-place in ILSVRC and COCO 2015 competitions.
- R-FCN (Region-based Fully Convolutional Network) A ResNet-based framework proposed by Microsoft, which adopts the popular strategy that consists of two stages: region proposal and region classification. It inference much quickly than the Faster-RCNN counterpart while achieves accuracy competitive than Faster-RCNN.
- ViT-FRCNN A competitive solution which utilizes a transformer backbone on complex vision tasks such as object detection and segmentation. This work demonstrates the capability of Transformer-based models which pretrained with massive datasets can be fine-tuned to new relative tasks quickly.
- DETR The DEtector TRansformer framework proposed in 2020, consists of a transformer encoder-decoder architecture, and a set-based global loss that forces unique predictions via bipartite matching. It significantly outperforms competitive baseline like Faster-RCNN on the challenging COCO dataset.

For ablation study, Our CVT-ASSD can be divided into several variants with appropriate sub-module modifications. The corresponding model differences are listed as follows:
- CVT-ASSD Our complete model which applied all features provided by this paper, including modules: CvT backbone, Residual Block, Attention Unit.
- ViT-ASSD We replace the transformer backbone with ViT backbone on our model for ablation study.
- CvT-SSD We remove the module Attention Unit from our complete model for ablation study.
- CVT-ASSD$_{\text{noResidual}}$ We remove the module Residual Block from our complete model for ablation study.

1) Object Detection on COCO: In this section, We conduct our experiments on Microsoft COCO 2017 which contains about 12w images. We split it into three parts: 10w train set, 1w validation set and 1w test-dev set. We employ SGD optimizer for 40 epochs using a cosine decay learning rate scheduler when training from scratch. Our model is trained on 2 GPUs with 8 images per GPU for 400000 iterations. We make comparisons between our model and previous baseline models to prove the effectiveness of our model. Table IV reports the comparison of our best results with those of previous state-of-the-art frameworks on MS COCO. As depicted in this table,
our model outperforms most other baselines such as ResNet-based Faster-RCNN by improving AP(+12.9), AP$_m$(+14.6), AP$_{m5}$(+17.7), AP$_{p}(+11.3)$ on MS COCO dataset and requires fewer parameters 32.7M than the Faster-RCNN counterpart 60.0M. Meanwhile, CvT-ASSD achieves comparable results to ViT-FRCNN and DETR, while having fewer parameters. Perhaps more interestingly, our model obtains performance of 41.3mAP in MS COCO test dataset, which performs not so well compared with SOTA method, the DETR of 42.0mAP. This phenomenon can be attributed to the shallower layer of our transformer backbone than DETR backbone ViT. In the future, we will try to deepen our CvT backbone depth in order to achieve more accurate image understanding.

As shown in Table IV, our complete model CvT-ASSD loses 0.7mAP to the state-of-the-art method DETR but achieves greater performance when detecting smaller object. While CvT-ASSD may not achieve state-of-the-art results on COCO, we believe this signifies the possibility and superiority of introducing convolutions into transformer and applying transformer to SSD approach as its backbone.

Furthermore, experimental result gaps between CvT-ASSD and its variants indicate that our new introduced components (CvT, RB and AU) all significantly contribute to the final object detection performance.

2) Object Detection on VOC: In this section, we carry out our experiment for training models on PASCAL VOC2007 trainval and VOC2012 trainval dataset (VOC07+12), and validate these models on VOC2007 test dataset (4954 images). Especially, we compare against Faster-RCN, R-FCN, original SSD, DETR by the VOC-style average precision (%) metric. From Table III, it is obvious that CvT-ASSD performs well when compared with several baselines and it is very robust to different object aspect ratios because we use default boxes of various aspect ratios per feature map location. In particular, we are excited about CvT-ASSD’s capability to transform representations training on huge scale classification datasets ImageNet-22k to improved performance on object detection tasks.

We can clearly see that our model has better performance on smaller objects than bigger objects. This is not surprising because we apply shallow-level features with more bounding boxes for prediction instead of high-level features. What surprises us is that we can find our model achieves state-of-the-art particular performances in several categories: achieving 83.7mAP relative to aeroplane, achieving 67.4mAP relative to chair and so on.

In ablation part, as seen in Table III Row-8, the complete model CvT-ASSD significantly outperforms other ablation models. For example, It achieves high performance up to 78.5mAP, increasing 1.1mAP compared to the CvT-ASSD which removes the Attention Units (AUs) and increasing 2.6mAP compared to the ViT-ASSD which replaces traditional naive transformer ViT [9] with our CvT. The performance improvement confirms that our vision-transformer variant CvT, Residual Block (RB) and Attentive Unit (AU) can both improve the average precision performance for object detection task, similar to validation on MS COCO. Furthermore, It proves that residual operation and self-attention mechanism can help increase network convergence ability and final model performance.

Meanwhile, we display our CvT-ASSD prediction results visualization in Figure 5. The colored quadrilaterals are the object positioning results and the corresponding text labels in the upper corner of bounding boxes are the object category prediction confidences.

V. CONCLUSION

In this work, we present CvT-ASSD, a competitive, simple but efficient object detection approach which apply Convolutional vision Transformer as detector backbone, residual mod-
ule and self-attention mechanism to original SSD detection structure. Comprehensive experiments show that our approach achieves comparable performances to a few baselines like Faster-RCNN on the PASCAL VOC and MS COCO datasets. With a fewer parameters and competitive accuracy compared with baselines even SOTAs, we believe our proposed model can provide a useful real-time object detection component for large artificial intelligence system applications. We hope that our approach will inspire the exploration of convolutional transformer-based models for more complex visual tasks in the future.
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