Health-related productivity losses increase when the health condition is co-morbid with psychological distress: findings from a large cross-sectional sample of working Australians
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Abstract

Background: The health condition of workers is known to impact on productivity outcomes. The relationship between health and productivity is of increasing interest amid the need to increase productivity to meet global financial challenges. Prevalence of psychological distress is also of growing concern in Australia with a two-fold increase in the prevalence of psychological distress in Australia from 1997-2005.

Methods: We used the cross-sectional data set from the Australian Work Outcomes Research Cost-benefit (WORC) study to explore the impacts of health conditions with and without co-morbid psychological distress, compared to those with neither condition, in a sample of approximately 78,000 working Australians. The World Health Organisation Health and Performance Questionnaire was used which provided data on demographic characteristics, health condition and working conditions. Data were analysed using negative binomial logistic regression and multinomial logistic regression models for absenteeism and presenteeism respectively.

Results: For both absenteeism and presenteeism productivity measures there was a greater risk of productivity loss associated when health conditions were co-morbid with psychological distress. For some conditions this risk was much greater for those with co-morbid psychological distress compared to those without.

Conclusions: Co-morbid psychological distress demonstrates an increased risk of productivity loss for a range of health conditions. These findings highlight the need for further research to determine whether co-morbid psychological distress potentially exacerbates lost productivity.

Background

Workers’ health impact on absenteeism and presenteeism

Health-related productivity loss is a concern to researchers, policy makers and industry. There is a growing body of evidence regarding the impact of health conditions on work performance [1-9]. It has been repeatedly found that health conditions increase work-related absences (absenteeism) and/or decrease productivity while at work (presenteeism), creating a substantial economic burden on industry [1-11]. Studies that have considered presenteeism have found it accounts for a greater proportion of the productivity loss than absenteeism [11,12]. For example the associated absenteeism by chronic condition ranged from 0.9 to 5.9 hours in a 4-week period, whereas on-the-job work impairment ranged from a 17.8% to 36.4% decrement in ability to function at work. The total cost of chronic conditions was estimated to be 10.7% of the total labour costs with 6.8% attributable to work impairment alone [11].

Growing rates of co-morbidity

International research demonstrates a growing prevalence of co-morbidity, reporting that prevalence increases significantly with age [13-15]; and indicating that patients with co-morbidity in general practice represent the rule rather than the exception [15]. For
example, Fortin et al. reported the prevalence of having 2 or more health conditions in the 18-to 44-year, 45-to 64-year, and 65-year and older age-groups was, respectively, 68%, 95%, and 99% among women and 72%, 89%, and 97% among men [13].

Co-morbid psychological distress worsens health and productivity outcomes
There is now considerable evidence that psychological distress is often co-morbid with other health conditions and can worsen health outcomes [16-22]. High levels of psychological distress is a key indicator of mental health problems and is highly correlated with the presence of a diagnosable mental disorder, particularly depression [20]. Psychological distress, as used here, refers to subjective emotional distress [20] expressed by symptoms of depression, anxiety, acute grief reactions, and various other mental health conditions. Psychological distress is highly prevalent in the community, as are mental health disorders. The Australian Bureau of Statistics reported that in the 2004-05 snapshot of mental health and well-being in Australian 13% of adults reported experiencing high/very high levels of psychological distress in the previous four weeks [23]. This report does not account for prevalence in adolescents. There is limited data available on the impact of psychological distress on productivity; however, as psychological distress is a strong indicator for a diagnosable mental disorder [20,24], we draw on literature exploring the effects of psychological distress as well as depression on productivity. Psychological distress has a negative impact on working capacity [20]. Co-morbid depression is associated with significantly increased disability days, [18,25] reduced likelihood of working full-time and increased likelihood of reduced productivity [25].

Gaps in literature demonstrate the need for further research on the impacts of co-morbid psychological distress on productivity decrements
There is strong evidence that a large number of adults with a disease have co-morbid psychological distress (PD) or depression. Few studies have considered the impact of disease co-morbid with PD impacting on productivity [25-27]. This study aims to address this gap in knowledge by exploring the impacts on absenteeism and presenteeism for a range of health conditions with and without co-morbid psychological distress, compared to those with neither health condition, in a large sample of working Australians.

Methods
Study design
The Australian Work Outcomes Research Cost-benefit (WORC) project obtained a large cross-sectional data set of approximately 78,000 working Australians. The WORC dataset was used in this study to explore associations between health and worker productivity, both with and without co-morbid psychological distress. The WORC study has been comprehensively discussed previously [28,29].

Study sample
The WORC study recruited 58 large Australian-based companies located in both urban and rural Australia. Ten industry groups were included with the largest samples coming from health, education, government, and finance. Data was collected between October 2004 and December 2005.

Study measures
The study involved the application of the validated World Health Organisation Health and Productivity Questionnaire (HPQ). The HPQ identifies 28 self-reported health conditions [30,31]. It probes self-reported absenteeism and presenteeism rates; and screens for psychological distress using the Kessler 6 (K6) [2,24]. Absenteeism was measured by the number of days and part days missed from work in the previous four weeks. Part days were treated as 0.5 day. All days and part days were summed to a total number of days absent. No categories or dichotomized variable was created. Presenteeism was measured using a self-rated score of overall performance in the past four weeks using a 0-10 scale (0 = worst possible performance, 10 = best possible performance). A series of memory priming and decomposition questions were asked which were applicable across occupations. Then a series of internal anchoring questions followed to enable the respondent to make comparisons with their own average performance and with the performance of their co-workers. Finally, an overall self-reported work performance (presenteeism) score was self-assessed using the same 0-10 scale. This was then categorized into low, average & high performance and used to measure presenteeism. Most people tend to score themselves highly, therefore the following categories were used: a low score is < 6, an average score is 6-9 and a high score is 10 [32].

For this study, self-reported health conditions were coded as ‘yes’ if respondents reported having the condition and either currently or previously having received professional treatment for that condition, and ‘no’ if they reported never having had the condition. Respondents were excluded if they reported having a condition but never received treatment as these respondents may have incorrectly self-diagnosed the health problem. An average of 0.05% were excluded per condition. Self-reported conditions included in this study were: arthritis, asthma, back/neck pain, cancers (excluding skin
cancer), chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), cardiovascular disease (CVD), drug and alcohol problems, diabetes, fatigue, high blood pressure, high cholesterol, migraine, obesity (BMI score > 31, calculated from self-reported height and weight), workplace injury in past 12 months that required medical treatment, and psychological distress (being a K6 score of 13 and above). The optimal cut point on the K6 was identified by Kessler as 0-12 Vs 13 or more. This cut point has a total classification accuracy was 0.92 [33]. A score of 13 or more represents severe psychological distress and indicates the likely presence of a mental health disorder. This cut point was used to create a dichotomous variable to indicate the presence or absence of high psychological distress where scores are ≥13 or 0-12 respectively. A person was coded as having co-morbid psychological distress if they had a K6 score of 13 or more and had one of the health conditions listed above. The person could have more than one health condition from the above list; this is adjusted for in the multivariate model using the number of co-morbidities covariate as listed below.

The covariates adjusted for in all models were: demographic characteristics of: age, sex, marital status, number of children, education level and annual income; health characteristics of: general treatment seeking behaviour (number of occasions of treatment for any reason except pregnancy, not treatment for a specific condition) and the number of co-morbid conditions; and working condition factors of: occupation, industry, public/private sector, job security (using proxy of part-time or full-time compared to casual), contractor, the rate of workplace accidents per 100 workers in the previous four weeks, hours worked in previous week, supervisory role (number of staff supervised), and hours expected to work in a 7 day week by their employer (as perceived by respondent).

**Statistical analysis**

For each health condition, two regression models were developed, one for absenteeism, the other for presenteeism. To account for multiple co-morbidities, models adjusted for the number of co-morbid conditions using the groupings recommended by Kessler (no health conditions, one condition, two-four, five-seven, eight-ten or eleven or more conditions) [32].

For self-reported absenteeism a negative binomial logistic regression statistical procedure was used because it was the most suitable statistical test to cater for a continuous variable with inflated number of zero responses. Presenteeism was modelled using multinomial logistic regressions. The reference category was moderate work performance. High work performance results are not reported here as we are only interested in health associations with under-performance.

To explore the relationship of co-morbid psychological distress with the indexed health condition the variable is separated into three categories as follows: the reference category has neither the indexed health condition nor co-morbid psychological distress (but could also have other health conditions); the second category has the indexed health condition but not co-morbid psychological distress (but could have also other health conditions); and the third category has the indexed health condition and co-morbid psychological distress (and could also have other health conditions).

**Results**

The response rate was 24.7% providing 90,279 responses. From this, a sample of 78,430 workers had complete absenteeism data; and a sample of 77,455 workers had complete presenteeism data. Respondents’ demographic characteristics are described in Table 1. The sample included part-time, full-time and casual workers. Approximately 80% were aged 30-59 years.

**Table 1 Demographic characteristics: 78,430 working Australians**

| Demographic Variables                  | %  |
|---------------------------------------|----|
| **AGE**                               |    |
| 18-29 years                           | 17 |
| 30-44 years                           | 43 |
| 45-59 years                           | 37 |
| 60-70 years                           |  3 |
| **SEX**                               |    |
| Female                                | 65 |
| Male                                  | 35 |
| **MARITAL STATUS**                    |    |
| Separated, divorced, widowed, never married | 29 |
| Married or cohabitating               | 71 |
| **NUMBER OF CHILDREN**                |    |
| Nil                                    | 69 |
| 1-3 children                          | 28 |
| 4 or more children                    |  3 |
| **EDUCATION LEVEL**                   |    |
| Did not complete high school          | 14 |
| Completed high school                 | 10 |
| Some college                          | 27 |
| Completed college or university       | 48 |
| **ANNUAL WAGE**                       |    |
| ≤$29999 pa                            | 13 |
| $30000-39999 pa                       | 14 |
| $40000-49999 pa                       | 21 |
| $50000-74999 pa                       | 36 |
| $75000-99999 pa                       | 10 |
| ≥$100000 pa                           |  7 |

¥: only persons aged 18-70 included in analysis; β: excludes hourly rate. < $7.50ph in case fortnightly income reported instead of annual income.
Sixty five percent were female, which is greater than the proportion in the Australian workforce [34]. The sample also has greater representation of workers from industries of health, education, and government administration; and fewer from retail, construction and mining [34]. The average income and education level are fairly representative of the Australian population [34].

**Absenteeism**

Compared to the reference category (having neither the indexed condition nor co-morbid psychological distress (PD)), those with the indexed condition had a significantly increased incidence rate ratio (IRR) of absenteeism in unadjusted models (see Table 2). This was the case for all explored health conditions. For example: a person with arthritis but no psychological distress has a 40% higher risk of absenteeism compared to a person with neither arthritis nor psychological distress. However a person with both arthritis and psychological distress has a 124% higher risk of absenteeism*.

Those with the indexed condition and co-morbid PD also had a greater IRR than the reference category for all conditions explored. The IRR for those with co-morbid PD was greater than that of persons with the indexed condition and no co-morbid PD for all conditions explored. Conditions with the highest ranking IRR when not co-morbid with PD were drug and alcohol (IRR: 1.74: CI: 1.30-2.32), COPD, fatigue, injury, arthritis, and cancers. When co-morbid with PD, conditions with the highest ranking IRR were COPD (IRR: 2.80 CI: 1.55-4.92), injury, cancer, D&A, and arthritis.

The IRR effect sizes were reduced in adjusted models (see Table 3). Some health conditions, with or without co-morbid PD, no longer had a significantly increased IRR compared to the reference category (having neither the indexed condition nor co-morbid PD). These were asthma, COPD, CVD, and fatigue. Some conditions only demonstrated a significantly increased IRR when co-morbid with PD. These were back/neck pain and migraine. Conditions with the highest IRR effect without co-morbid PD were D&A (IRR: 1.32 CI: 1.00-1.75), injury, and arthritis. When co-morbid with PD the highest ranking conditions were cancers (IRR: 1.83 CI: 1.44-2.43), injury, and arthritis.

**Presenteeism**

In unadjusted models (see Table 4) all health conditions, when co-morbid with PD, had a significantly increased risk compared to the reference category (having neither the indexed condition nor co-morbid PD). Some conditions only had a significantly increased effect size when co-morbid with PD. These conditions were arthritis, cancers, CVD, high blood pressure, and high cholesterol. Conditions with the highest IRR when not co-morbid with PD were D&A (IRR: 2.57 CI: 2.25-2.94), fatigue, COPD, obesity and injury. Conditions with greatest effect sizes when co-morbid with PD were injury (IRR: 9.46 CI: 7.47-11.99), fatigue, D&A, arthritis, back/neck pain, diabetes, obesity, and COPD.

All conditions in adjusted models (see Table 5) were associated with an increased IRR when co-morbid with PD, compared to the reference category (having

| HEALTH CONDITION          | Model n | %   | IRR (95%CI)          | %   | IRR (95%CI)          |
|---------------------------|---------|-----|----------------------|-----|----------------------|
| Arthritis                 | 64888   | 3.5 | 1.40** (1.32-1.49)   | 0.2 | 2.24** (1.73-2.92)   |
| Asthma                    | 65788   | 6.3 | 1.22** (1.17-1.28)   | 0.3 | 1.75** (1.43-2.14)   |
| Back/neck pain            | 70579   | 29.6| 1.22** (1.19-1.25)   | 1.5 | 2.02** (1.85-2.21)   |
| Cancers                   | 74514   | 3.1 | 1.27** (1.20-1.35)   | 0.14| 2.36** (1.81-3.08)   |
| COPD                      | 71750   | 0.4 | 1.51** (1.27-1.79)   | 0.03| 2.80** (1.55-4.92)   |
| CVD                       | 74002   | 0.8 | 1.17* (1.03-1.32)    | 0.06| 2.14* (1.39-3.29)    |
| Drug & Alcohol            | 72227   | 3.0 | 1.74** (1.30-2.32)   | 0.5 | 2.24** (1.47-3.44)   |
| Diabetes                  | 73853   | 2.0 | 1.18** (1.10-1.27)   | 0.12| 1.60* (1.18-2.16)    |
| Fatigue/sleep problems    | 74630   | 0.6 | 1.46** (1.29-1.69)   | 0.10| 2.08** (1.51-2.87)   |
| High Blood Pressure       | 71009   | 8.1 | 1.14** (1.10-1.19)   | 0.3 | 1.82** (1.52-2.18)   |
| High Cholesterol          | 68637   | 5.7 | 1.10** (1.05-1.16)   | 0.2 | 1.87** (1.50-2.32)   |
| Migraine/severe headache  | 70881   | 9.1 | 1.22** (1.17-1.26)   | 0.7 | 1.94** (1.71-2.20)   |
| Obesity                   | 71849   | 11.0| 1.22** (1.18-1.27)   | 0.7 | 2.04** (1.79-2.32)   |
| Workplace Injury          | 75031   | 7.0 | 1.43** (1.37-1.49)   | 0.5 | 2.66** (2.29-3.09)   |

*: p < 0.05; **: p < 0.001; † trend < 0.1.
neither the indexed condition nor co-morbid PD). Some were only significant when co-morbid with PD. These were arthritis, asthma, cancers, diabetes, high cholesterol and migraine. The largest effect sizes for conditions when not co-morbid with PD were for D&A (IRR: 2.04 CI: 1.16-3.59), fatigue, and obesity. The largest effect sizes compared to the reference category for conditions that were co-morbid with PD were for: arthritis (IRR: 5.06 CI: 3.18-8.05), injury, cancers, and back/neck pain.

**Discussion**

Both individual health conditions and conditions when co-morbid with psychological distress had a greater impact on presenteeism than absenteeism in terms of the effect sizes in adjusted models. All health conditions

### Table 3 Adjusted risk of Absenteeism for those with and without co-morbid psychological distress compared to the reference group that had neither the indexed health condition nor co-morbid psychological distress, using negative binomial logistic regression; reporting Incidence Rate Ratios (IRR)

| HEALTH CONDITION          | Model n | %      | IRR (95%CI)         | %      | IRR (95%CI)         |
|---------------------------|---------|--------|---------------------|--------|---------------------|
| Arthritis                 | 62295   | 3.5    | 1.07* (1.01-1.13)   | 0.2    | 1.41* (1.08-1.83)   |
| Asthma                    | 63021   | 6.3    | 1.03 (0.99-1.08)    | 0.3    | 1.15 (0.94-1.40)    |
| Back/neck pain            | 67715   | 29.6   | 1.02 (0.99-1.05)    | 1.5    | 1.33** (1.22-1.46)  |
| Cancers                   | 71446   | 3.1    | 1.08* (1.02-1.15)   | 0.14   | 1.83** (1.44-2.43)  |
| COPD                      | 68787   | 0.4    | 1.44 (0.96-1.35)    | 0.03   | 1.63 (0.91-2.91)    |
| CVD                       | 70954   | 0.8    | 0.96 (0.85-1.08)    | 0.06   | 1.34 (0.87-2.06)    |
| Drug & Alcohol            | 69237   | 3.0    | 1.32* (1.00-1.75)   | 0.5    | 1.48† (0.99-2.23)   |
| Diabetes                  | 70816   | 2.0    | 0.89* (0.83-0.96)   | 0.12   | 1.15 (0.85-1.55)    |
| Fatigue/sleep problems    | 71555   | 0.6    | 0.99 (0.86-1.13)    | 0.10   | 1.28 (0.93-1.74)    |
| High Blood Pressure       | 68091   | 8.1    | 0.94* (0.90-0.98)   | 0.3    | 1.19* (1.00-1.42)   |
| High Cholesterol          | 65817   | 5.7    | 0.93* (0.89-0.98)   | 0.2    | 1.27* (1.03-1.57)   |
| Migraine/severe headache  | 67969   | 9.1    | 1.01 (0.97-1.05)    | 0.7    | 1.29** (1.14-1.46)  |
| Obesity                   | 68980   | 11.0   | 1.06* (1.02-1.09)   | 0.7    | 1.33** (1.17-1.51)  |
| Workplace Injury          | 72095   | 7.0    | 1.19** (1.14-1.24)  | 0.5    | 1.46** (1.26-1.69)  |

*: p < 0.05; **: p < 0.001; † trend < 0.1.

### Table 4 Unadjusted Relative Risk Ratio (RRR) of Presenteeism for those with and without co-morbid psychological distress compared to the reference group that had neither the indexed health condition nor co-morbid psychological distress

| HEALTH CONDITION          | Model n | %      | RRR (95%CI)         | %      | RRR (95%CI)         |
|---------------------------|---------|--------|---------------------|--------|---------------------|
| Arthritis                 | 64079   | 3.5    | 1.10 (0.90-1.33)    | 0.2    | 7.90** (5.21-11.97) |
| Asthma                    | 64970   | 6.3    | 1.22* (1.06-1.40)   | 0.3    | 5.91** (4.20-8.30)  |
| Back/neck pain            | 69701   | 29.6   | 1.22** (1.13-1.31)  | 1.5    | 7.83** (6.76-9.08)  |
| Cancers                   | 73585   | 3.1    | 0.87 (0.70-1.08)    | 0.14   | 7.36** (4.78-11.34) |
| COPD                      | 70852   | 0.4    | 2.14** (1.41-2.34)  | 0.03   | 7.53** (2.92-19.43) |
| CVD                       | 73083   | 0.8    | 1.47 (1.04-2.07)    | 0.06   | 7.10** (3.54-14.22) |
| Drug & Alcohol            | 74439   | 3.0    | 2.57** (2.25-2.94)  | 0.5    | 8.59** (6.81-10.84) |
| Diabetes                  | 72935   | 2.0    | 1.33* (1.06-1.65)   | 0.12   | 7.60** (4.72-12.22) |
| Fatigue/sleep problems    | 73698   | 0.6    | 2.56** (1.89-3.46)  | 0.10   | 8.80** (5.35-14.46) |
| High Blood Pressure       | 70119   | 8.1    | 0.90 (0.79-1.04)    | 0.3    | 6.43** (4.75-8.69)  |
| High Cholesterol          | 67801   | 5.7    | 1.02 (0.87-1.19)    | 0.2    | 7.31** (5.13-10.41) |
| Migraine/severe headache  | 69999   | 9.1    | 1.30** (1.16-1.45)  | 0.7    | 7.27** (5.94-8.90)  |
| Obesity                   | 70967   | 11.0   | 1.60** (1.42-1.73)  | 0.7    | 7.68** (6.22-9.48)  |
| Workplace Injury          | 74086   | 7.0    | 1.35** (1.20-1.53)  | 0.5    | 9.46** (7.47-11.99) |

*: p < 0.05; **: p < 0.001; † trend < 0.1.
had a greater risk of either absenteeism or presenteeism when co-morbid with psychological distress. The increase in the size of the risk when co-morbid with psychological distress was greater for presenteeism than absenteeism. A larger number of health conditions were associated with significant increased risk of absenteeism when not co-morbid with psychological distress compared to presenteeism (7 and 3 conditions respectively). However, when co-morbid with psychological distress all 14 health conditions were significantly associated with productivity loss for presenteeism compared to 7 of 14 for absenteeism.

These findings suggest a greater than additive effect for some health conditions, particularly those that demonstrate a two-fold increased IRR. Although the literature on psychological distress is relatively sparse, there is a growing body on depression. Note that the measure of psychological distress is a composite of anxiety, depression, acute grief reactions and various other mental health conditions, and therefore, we are able to link our outcomes with studies that include questionnaires for depression. The literature supports the finding that co-morbid physical-mental health problems has reportedly [18,25] and a greater than additive effect [26,35,36]. Co-morbid depression can have an additive effect on productivity losses for both absenteeism and presenteeism; no previous studies have been found that explore the impacts of co-morbid cancer and psychological distress on productivity. Arthritis and workplace injury were both found in our study to be associated with an increased risk of both absenteeism and presenteeism when co-morbid with psychological distress. A study exploring associations with depression across a number of health conditions and their impacts on productivity losses [26] found no significant impact on role impairment when depression is co-morbid with injuries; however, this study found a significant impact on both productivity measures.

Several studies report that co-morbid depression and COPD have a significant impact on productivity loss [25,37]. However, in this study the impact was only significant for presenteeism. A review of the link between depressive disorders and health condition reported that obese women had a 50% increased lifetime prevalence of depressive disorders [22]. No studies were found that explored the impact of obesity co-morbid with psychological distress on productivity. However one study that focused specifically on productivity losses related to obesity adjusted for the number of co-morbid conditions [38]. This indicates the importance of co-morbidity on lost productivity estimates for obesity. The current study found obesity when co-morbid with PD to be significantly associated with both increased presenteeism and absenteeism.

Co-morbid mental disorders and substance use disorders are prevalent in 12% of people presenting to general

| HEALTH CONDITION          | Model n | %       | RRR (95%CIs) | %       | RRR (95%CIs) |
|---------------------------|---------|---------|--------------|---------|--------------|
| Arthritis                 | 61081   | 3.5     | 0.90 (0.73-1.12) | 0.2     | 5.06** (3.18-8.05) |
| Asthma                    | 61785   | 6.3     | 0.88 (0.76-1.02) | 0.3     | 2.59** (1.79-3.75) |
| Back/neck pain            | 66278   | 29.6    | 0.91* (0.83-0.99) | 1.5     | 4.20** (3.53-4.99) |
| Cancers                   | 70037   | 3.1     | 0.80† (0.64-1.00) | 0.14    | 4.44** (2.75-7.18) |
| COPD                      | 67437   | 0.4     | 1.43 (0.92-2.23) | 0.03    | 3.52* (1.30-9.54) |
| CVD                       | 69564   | 0.8     | 1.17 (0.82-1.69) | 0.06    | 3.58** (1.69-7.58) |
| Drug & Alcohol            | 67737   | 3.0     | 2.04* (1.16-3.59) | 0.5     | 3.23** (1.64-6.36) |
| Diabetes                  | 69422   | 2.0     | 0.96 (0.77-1.22) | 0.12    | 3.62** (2.15-6.10) |
| Fatigue/sleep problems    | 70146   | 0.6     | 1.47* (1.06-2.04) | 0.10    | 3.82** (2.23-6.56) |
| High Blood Pressure       | 66741   | 8.1     | 0.81* (0.69-0.93) | 0.3     | 3.65** (2.63-5.07) |
| High Cholesterol          | 64532   | 5.7     | 0.87 (0.73-1.03) | 0.2     | 4.03** (2.74-5.93) |
| Migraine/severe headache  | 66632   | 9.1     | 0.90† (0.79-1.02) | 0.7     | 3.75** (2.83-4.49) |
| Obesity                   | 67640   | 11.0    | 1.24** (1.11-1.38) | 0.7     | 3.90** (3.08-4.93) |
| Workplace Injury          | 70682   | 7.0     | 1.11** (0.98-1.27) | 0.5     | 4.83** (3.73-6.25) |

co-morbid psychological distress compared to the reference group that had neither the indexed health condition nor co-morbid psychological distress. Using multinomial logistic regression, reporting relative risk ratios for low productivity compared to average productivity (high productivity not reported).

Adjusting for: number of co-morbidities, treatment-seeking behaviour, age, sex, education, income, marital status, children, income, occupation, industry, public/private sector, job security, contractor, hours worked in last week, supervisory role, rate of work accidents per employer, hours expected to work p.w. (as perceived by employee).

*: p < 0.05; **: p < 0.001; † trend < 0.1.
practice in Australia [39,40]. Those with this co-morbid-
ity were found to have more days out of role than people 
with either of these conditions in isolation [40] but little 
is known about the impact of this co-morbidity on pro-
ductivity. This study found a significant increased Rela-
tive Risk Ratio (RRR) of presenteeism when D&A is co-
morbid with PD and a trend of increased IRR for absent-
teeism (p = 0.06).

Co-morbid depression and CVD has been reported to 
impact on work absence [37] and on role impairment 
[25]. This study found the impact of psychological dis-
tress and CVD was significant for presenteeism only. 
Consistent with our findings, fatigue has been found to 
impact on work performance when co-morbid with depres-
sion [30]. Depression has been found to have a 
potential mediating effect on the relationship between 
fatigue and absenteeism for persons with insomnia [41].

Other studies have adjusted for co-morbid depression 
when exploring the impacts of fatigue on productivity 
losses [42-44] demonstrating the importance of 
co-morbid depression on productivity losses for this 
condition. This study found fatigue, when co-morbid 
with PD significantly impacted on presenteeism but not 
absenteeism.

Some conditions were found to demonstrate a protec-
tive effect when considered independently, but many 
demonstrated an increased likelihood of productivity 
decrement when co-morbid with psychological distress. 
These were high blood pressure, and high cholesterol 
for absenteeism; and back/neck pain and high blood 
pressure for presenteeism. Diabetes also demonstrated 
the same pattern but not at a statistically significant 
effect size. These findings are consistent with the litera-
ture in that, employees with depressive illness and either 
heart disease, diabetes, hypertension or back problems 
were found to cost the employer 1.7 times more than 
those with the comparative condition alone [27]. 
One study found that employees with diabetes had a 
2.15-fold excess risk of absenteeism but that up to 55% 
was attributable to depression and only 7% was purely 
attributable to diabetes [35].

There are some limitations to our study that need to 
be considered. Associations between factors were deter-
mined in this cross-sectional study; however, no conclu-
sions can be drawn regarding causal pathways. The 
sample has more white-collar workers than the general 
population. Industry type and work role were included 
in models to adjust for these potential differences; how-
ever, extrapolation of these findings to the general 
employed population should be undertaken only where 
there is a clear match in the demographics of any sub-
group. It should also be considered that only those at 
work during the data collection period responded. It 
could be that people on extended sick leave or out of 
the workforce are not represented. This may explain our 
cancer results, as other studies have demonstrated a 
strong association between productivity losses and can-
cer [1]. Other limitations include the self-reported na-
ture of health conditions, the over-representation of 
females, the absence of some top burden of disease con-
ditions such as kidney diseases, and the absence of some 
relevant work-related characteristics such as decision-
making control. In addition, the survey was conducted 
from October 2004 to December 2005 and included one 
summer holiday season over the Christmas and New 
Year period in Australia. We were unable to control for 
any potential seasonal effects as many participants did 
not include the date the survey was completed. Never-
theless, many parts of Australia are tropical and sub-tro-
patible, so the seasonal effects on illness are less 
pronounced compared with temperate climates. 
Strengths of the study include the large sample size, the 
range of data available relating to health conditions, 
work-related characteristics, demographic characteristics 
and the sample representing regional, rural and urban 
Australia.

This research raises the question of whether psycho-
logical distress is a potential mediating factor in lost pro-
ductivity. Although with cross-sectional data we cannot 
conclusively answer this question; our findings highlight 
need for further research into whether psychological 
distress, a treatable condition, may well exacerbate pro-
ductivity losses. There is now strong evidence for the 
association between mental health problems, particularly 
depression, and other health conditions [16,21,45]; and 
between depression and productivity loss [5,46-48].

Conclusions

This research suggests that psychological distress is an 
exacerbating factor in lost productivity. Given the evi-
dence for a growing prevalence of psychological distress 
[49], and the role this plays in mediating sickness 
absence for other diseases [50], including chronic back 
pain and arthritis [51], this area is an important one for 
further research with appropriate study designs, to 
enable the development of interventions that can be 
applied to the wider community.
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