Structure of HinP1I endonuclease reveals a striking similarity to the monomeric restriction enzyme MspI
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ABSTRACT

HinP1I, a type II restriction endonuclease, recognizes and cleaves a palindromic tetranucleotide sequence (G\textsubscript{C}G\textsubscript{G}C) in double-stranded DNA, producing 2 nt 5’ overhanging ends. Here, we report the structure of HinP1I crystallized as one protein monomer in the crystallographic asymmetric unit. HinP1I displays an elongated shape, with a conserved catalytic core domain containing an active-site motif of SDX\textsubscript{18}QXK and a putative DNA-binding domain. Without significant sequence homology, HinP1I displays striking structural similarity to MspI, an endonuclease that cleaves a similar palindromic DNA sequence (C\textsubscript{G}C\textsubscript{G}) and binds to that sequence crystallographically as a monomer. Almost all the structural elements of MspI can be matched in HinP1I, including both the DNA recognition and catalytic elements. Examining the protein–protein interactions in the crystal lattice, HinP1I could be dimerized through two helices located on the opposite side of the protein to the active site, generating a molecule with two active sites and two DNA-binding surfaces opposite one another on the outer surfaces of the dimer. A possible functional link between this unusual dimerization mode and the tetrameric restriction enzymes is discussed.

INTRODUCTION

Restriction endonucleases are ubiquitous among prokaryotes. Their principal biological function is to protect the host genome against invading foreign DNA (1). The type II class of restriction endonucleases forms the largest group with more than 3600 members characterized to date (2). The majority of type II enzymes recognize palindromic DNA sequences of 4–8 bp and, in the presence of Mg\textsuperscript{2+}, cleave both strands of the DNA within or close to the recognition sequence, producing fragments with 5’ phosphate and 3’ hydroxyl ends (3).

Seventeen crystal structures for type II restriction enzymes have been reported. All these structures share a catalytic architecture consisting of a β-sheet flanked by α helices (4). Consistent with their usually symmetrical DNA recognition and cleavage patterns, these enzymes were observed as dimers in the crystal lattices, with one exception, MspI, which occurs as a monomer (5). Dimerization results in a clamp-shaped molecule, allowing the enzyme to wrap around the DNA duplex and cleave the two DNA strands symmetrically and simultaneously. Mutation studies have revealed that dimerization is necessary for DNA cleavage (6). Interestingly, the dimerization mode appears to correlate with the DNA cleavage pattern (7). Restriction enzymes that cleave DNA giving 5’ overhangs, including EcoRI, BamHI, Bse634I, MunI, BstYI, NgoMIV, BglII, BsoBI, FokI and Cfr10I, share strikingly similar dimerization modes with four structurally equivalent α helices within the core domain dominating the contacts at the dimer interface (Figure 1A) (7–16). In contrast, the common dimerization feature of EcoRV, NaeI, PvuII and HincII (17–20), enzymes that produce blunt ended DNA fragments, is the intertwined contacts that are made by structural elements protruding away from the protein core and crossing over the neighboring subunit (Figure 1B). The BglII structure is the only example of an enzyme that generates DNA fragments with 3’ overhangs (21). Unlike the others, for the BglII–DNA complex, there was only one protein subunit and one DNA strand in the crystallographic asymmetric unit and thus the biologically active homodimer was generated by the 2-fold crystallographic symmetry (Figure 1C). The first exception to the rule of an endonuclease dimer came from the MspI structure (5). An MspI monomer, not a dimer, binds to a palindromic DNA sequence and makes specific (direct or water-mediated) contacts with all 4 bp in the CCGG recognition sequence (Figure 1D).

Here, we present the structure of HinP1I, at 2.65 Å resolution, which contains a monomer in the crystallographic
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asymmetric unit. HinP1I is a type II restriction enzyme from *Haemophilus influenzae* PI (22) that recognizes the palindromic DNA sequence GCGCG and cleaves between the first and second nucleotides, producing 2 nt 5′ overhangs. Although it displays no significant sequence homology to any known endonuclease, HinP1I shares high sequence identity with two hypothetical proteins from *Haemophilus somnus* 2336 (identity 71%) and *Helicobacter pylori* J99 (identity 54%). Here we show that, structurally, HinP1I is strikingly similar to MspI (5).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Cloning**

The genes for the HinP1I R-M system were cloned into *Escherichia coli* by the ‘methylase-selection’ technique (23,24). The DNA sequence of most of the larger PstI fragment (23,24) was determined by a thermocycling procedure using the Circumvent Sequencing Kit (New England Biolabs). A strategy of ‘primer-walking’ was employed, using the sequence obtained in one round to design the primer for the next. The primers, 14–16 bp in length and spaced at intervals of ~250 nt, were obtained from New England Biolabs. The sequence of both DNA strands was determined.
Purification

Aliquots containing 50 g E.coli RR1 carrying the cloned HinP1I R-M system on two plasmids (R gene on pUC19 and M gene on pACYC184) were resuspended in 200 ml Buffer A (10 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.4, 1 mM DTT, 0.1 mM Na2EDTA and 5% glycerol) and disrupted by sonication for 4 × 5 min. Following centrifugation at 12 000 r.p.m. for 45 min, the supernatant was applied to a 70 ml Heparin Hyper D column, washed with 200 ml Buffer A, and a 600 ml gradient from 0 to 1.5 M NaCl in Buffer A was applied. HinP1I eluted between 0.75 and 0.9 M NaCl. The pooled fractions were dialyzed against Buffer A containing 50 mM KPO4, pH 7.4, and applied in two separate runs to an 8 ml Source S column. The column was washed with 16 ml Buffer A and then eluted with 100 ml of a gradient from 0 to 1.0 M NaCl in Buffer A. HinP1I eluted between 0.275 and 0.325 M NaCl. Pooled fractions (20 ml) were diluted with 62 ml Buffer B (10 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.4, 1 mM DTT, 0.1 mM Na2EDTA and 5% glycerol) and applied to an 8 ml Source Q column. Following a wash with 16 ml Buffer B, the column was eluted with a gradient from 0 to 1.0 M NaCl in Buffer B. HinP1I eluted between 0.275 and 0.325 M NaCl, and the 7.5 ml pool was diluted with 15 ml Buffer A and applied in two separate runs to a 3 ml Heparin TSK column. The column was washed with 6 ml Buffer A and eluted with a 60 ml gradient from 0 to 1.0 M NaCl. HinP1I eluted between 0.6 and 0.75 M NaCl. The final yield of HinP1I in the pooled fractions was 20 mg.

Crystallography

Native crystals of HinP1I were grown at 16°C by the hanging drop method. A 1 µl volume of 12 mg/ml protein in 0.5 M NaCl, 20 mM HEPES (pH 7.0) buffer was mixed with 1 µl of a well solution containing 6% PEG 4000, 0.2 M Ammonium acetate, 100 mM MES, pH 5.5 and 1.2 M NaCl. The mixture was then allowed to equilibrate over the well solution.

Diffraction data were collected at Brookhaven National Laboratory using beamlines X8C and X12C of the National Synchrotron Light Source. Native crystals were briefly soaked in 1 mM K2OsO4 solution, producing osmium (Os)-derivative crystals. One Os-containing crystal was used to collect data at peak wavelength. The native data were collected to a better resolution (Table 1). The data were subsequently reduced and scaled using the DENZO/SCALEPACK (25).

Initial phases were obtained by single isomorphous replacement using the anomalous scattering phasing method. SOLVE (26) readily determined the single Os site. The phases were then greatly improved by maximum likelihood density modification using RESOLVE (27,28). Owing to the good quality of the electron density map, RESOLVE was able to auto-build 48% of the HinP1I model with side chains and an additional 28% as main chain atoms. All subsequent model rebuilding was performed using O (29).

Crystalllographic refinement employed the program CNS (30) against the native data set at 2.65 Å resolution (Table 1).

Table 1. Crystallographic data and refinement statistics

| Parameters | Os-peak | Native |
|------------|---------|-------|
| Wavelength (Å) | 1.1396 | 1.072 |
| Space group | P6,22 |
| Unit cell dimensions (Å) | 117.0 | 117.5 |
| a = b | 113.6 | 115.1 |
| c | 93.2 | 99.9 |
| Resolution range (Å) | 3.42–3.30 | 2.64–2.60 |
| Completeness (%) | 92.8 | 99.0 |
| Rmerge = Σ | | |
| Refinement | | |
| Molecules/asymmetric unit | | 1 |
| Rmerge = Σ | | |
| Root-mean-square deviation from ideal | | |
| Bond lengths (Å) | | 0.007 |
| Bond angles (°) | | 1.5 |
| Dihedrals (°) | | 23.5 |
| Improper (°) | | 0.7 |

RESULTS

Sequence analysis

Analysis of the sequence revealed two complete open reading frames, ORF1 and ORF2, and the beginning of a third, all in the same orientation. ORF1 encoded a 322 residue protein belonging to the 5-methylcytosine family of DNA-methyltransferases (m5C-MTases) that was shown to encode the M.HinP1I methyltransferase. ORF2 encoded a 247 residue protein that seemed likely to encode HinP1I. This assignment was confirmed by N-terminal amino acid sequence analysis of the purified HinP1I endonuclease. The first 22 residue of HinP1I was found to be MNLVELGSKTAKDGFKNEK—purified HinP1I endonuclease. The first 22 residue of HinP1I was found to be MNLVELGSKTAKDGFKNEK, which is exactly matching those coded at the start of ORF2.

The third, incomplete, ORF began 30 bp downstream of the hinP1IR gene, and traversed the PstI site, beyond which no sequence was obtained. This ORF codes for the first 100 amino acids, or so, of the highly conserved enzyme valyl-methyltransferase. ORF1 also occurs immediately upstream of the valS gene of H.influenzae. The HindIII R-M system of H.influenzae differs in recognition sequence specificity (HindIII: AAGCTT; HinP1I: GCGC); in gene organization (HindIII: R gene then M; HinP1I: M gene then R); and in methyltransferase activity (M.HindIII: N6-adenine MTase; M.HinP1I: 5-cytosine MTase). The amino acid sequences of the HindIII and HinP1I endonucleases are completely dissimilar, and so too are those of the methyltransferases (32). The proximity of both systems to the valS...
gene would seem to be a coincidence, then, or a genetic convenience, rather than a sign of common origin.

**Overall structure**

HinP1I comprises 247 amino acids, the first six N-terminal residues of which are invisible in the electron density map.

In overall topology, HinP1I belongs to the α/β protein class, with elongated dimensions of $68 \times 33 \times 32 \ \text{Å}$ (Figure 2A), and contains two sets of β-sheets surrounded by eight α helices. The upper β-sheet is a mixed six-stranded (β1-7-6-4-3-2) sheet sandwiched by four α helices (αA and αB on one side, and αH and αG on the other). The lower sheet contains...
five anti-parallel strands (β5-11-10-9-8), with the left side of the sheet packing against five α helices (αC, αD, αE, αF and αG) and the right side forming a concave basic surface, which is the putative DNA-binding site (Figure 2B).

HinP1I shares striking structural similarity to MspI

As revealed by a Dali structure comparison (33), a pairwise least squares superposition of HinP1I and MspI gives a root-mean-square deviation of 3.1 Å over 195 Cα pairs (Z-score of 15.2). This close structural similarity is not evident at the sequence level: guided by structural alignment, HinP1I shows only 14% amino acid sequence identity (34 out of 247 residues) to MspI (Figure 3). Among the 34 identical residues, 12 with hydrophobic side chains intercalate to form the hydrophobic core of the molecule; 3 are catalytic (E18, D62 and K83); 2 are potentially involved in specific DNA contacts (Q236 and K238), and another 2 in DNA phosphate contacts (K60 and S86); 3 glycines (G7, G14 and G225) might be structurally important for short turns; 2 charged residues form an intra-molecular salt bridge (E154 and R157); and 2 polar residues are involved in intra-molecular hydrogen bonds (Q180 and N192). Seven additional conserved charged residues of unknown function (K19, D23, K29, D46, D109, R117 and R168) are scattered upon the surface of the molecule (Figure 2B).

Almost all the structural elements of HinP1I can be matched in MspI (Figure 2C), including both the DNA recognition elements and the catalytic elements. This near-perfect match allowed us to create a model of HinP1I bound to DNA (Figure 2D). Using the coordinates for the complex of MspI–DNA (see Figure 1D), we superimposed the protein components and then positioned the DNA over the basic concave surface. The resulting model showed that HinP1I could contact the DNA without physical distortion of either the protein or the DNA component. Besides an extra N-terminal helix in MspI and an extra C-terminal helix in HinP1I (Figure 3), the most obvious differences between the two structures are helix αA, which is three helical turns longer in MspI and inserts into the minor groove of DNA to make water-mediated hydrogen bonds with the recognition sequence, and the loop between helices αD and αE, which is 13 residue longer in HinP1I and could adopt a closed conformation upon association with DNA (Figure 2C).
The shorter corresponding loop in MspI (residues D157–K161) is involved in phosphate contacts outside of the recognition sequence (Figure 4A).

HinP1I and MspI recognize similar 4 bp double-stranded DNA sequences (G\(\text{\textgordon}CGC\) and C\(\text{\textgordon}CGG\), respectively) and cleave them in the same positions. MspI makes specific contacts with all 8 nt within its recognition sequence (5), one-half of the sequence being recognized mainly by direct hydrogen bonds (5/6 interactions), and the other half mainly by water-mediated hydrogen bonds (6/7 interactions) (Figure 4A). Of the six residues in MspI that make direct hydrogen bonds (S127, T248, S251, Q259, K261 and the main chain carbonyl oxygen of Y249), four have equivalents in HinP1I: Q221, Q236, K238 and the main chain carbonyl of R222 (Figure 4B). These four residues are located in the C-terminal hairpin strands \(\beta_{10}\) and \(\beta_{11}\). The loop between these two strands, which is four residues longer in HinP1I than in MspI, is flexible and characterized by high thermal factors, but it might become ordered upon DNA binding, and positioned to make DNA contacts. Residues Q236 and K238 flank the most highly conserved segment between HinP1I and MspI, three consecutive invariant residues, QFK, present at the extreme C-terminus of MspI (Figure 3). Two residues that make phosphate contacts are also invariant: K97 in MspI (K60 in HinP1I) that contacts the scissile phosphate and the succeeding 5' phosphate; and S122 in MspI (S86 in HinP1I) that contacts the second phosphate 3' to the scissile phosphate (Figure 4A).

A common catalytic site motif among restriction enzymes is characterized as PDXn(D/E)XK, the consensus residues of which cluster around the scissile phosphate. The catalytic residues of EcoRV, D74, D90 and K92 align spatially with HinP1I residues D62, Q81 and K83, in which glutamine occurs in place of the second acidic residue (Figure 4C). The catalytic motif of HinP1I appears to be SDX\(_{18}\)QXK, an unusual unique feature that is also represented in MspI as TDX\(_{17}\)NXK, in which enzyme asparagine takes the place of the second acidic residue (5). In addition, E18 of helix \(\alpha\)A in HinP1I and E35 in MspI are superimposable on E45 in EcoRV, and represent the third acidic residue important for catalysis (34).

Unexpected dimerization mode in crystal lattice

Because HinP1I crystallized as a single protomer in the crystallographic asymmetric unit and restriction endonucleases that recognize symmetric DNA sequences generally are thought to do so as homodimers, we examined all possible protein–protein interfaces in the crystal lattice based on the change in solvent accessible surface area (\(\Delta\text{ASA}\)) when going from a monomeric to a dimeric state. The largest change in \(\Delta\text{ASA}\) was found for a pair of the protomers related by a 2-fold crystallographic axis of rotation through two helices (\(\alpha\)F and \(\alpha\)G) located on the opposite side of the concave basic surface (Figure 5A). These helices are arranged as a parallel four-helix bundle at the dimer interface and participate in network of hydrogen bonds, van der Waals interactions and electrostatic interactions (Figure 5B). Involved

Figure 4. Structural similarity between HinP1I and MspI (A) Schematic diagram of MspI–DNA interactions, reproduced and modified from Xu \textit{et al.} (5). Solid lines indicate direct hydrogen bonds, dotted lines indicate water-mediated hydrogen bonds and ‘mc’ indicates interaction involving main chain atom. (B) Residues potentially important for DNA base specific recognition: superimposition of residues of HinP1I (green) and MspI (cyan). (C) Residues potentially important for catalysis: superimposition of active site residues of HinP1I (green), MspI (cyan) and EcoRV (grey). Four residues belong to a common motif of E\(\ldots\)PD\(_{x}\)DX\(_{n}\)DXK (EcoRV), E\(\ldots\)SD\(_{x}\)QXK (HinP1I) and E\(\ldots\)TD\(_{x}\)NXK (MspI).
in this network are two arginines: R166 and R168. R168 interacts with E195; the corresponding residues in MspI are R190 and D224, a conservative change. R166 interacts with two residues, E174 in the loop following helix αF and N194 in helix αG. Although the set of three residues in the E174–R166–N194 interaction (E–R–N) is not present in MspI, there is covariation that suggests a conserved interaction: the side chains of T162 and R166 point out from the same surface of helix αF (Figure 5B); the corresponding positions in MspI are R184 and T188. Therefore, an arginine is present in MspI at a position probably still maintaining the ion-pair interaction (D202–R184–D223).

We consider this potential dimer interface to be significant; approximately 1900 Å² of solvent-accessible surface is buried by it (35). This area is comparable with those in other restriction enzymes, which range from ~800 to 4000 Å². If such a dimer interaction does exist in solution, it positions the catalytic sites and DNA-binding sites on opposite surfaces of the dimer facing away from one another (Figure 5C), rather than toward one another as occurs in all other endonuclease homodimers that have characterized.

The functional significance of the crystal symmetry (CS)-related HinP11 dimer (Figure 5C) is unclear, but it might enable HinP11 to link in a back-to-back fashion similar to that observed in tetrameric restriction enzymes, such as Cfr10I, NgoMIV and Bse634I (Figure 5D) (10,12,16,36). The tetrameric arrangement of these enzymes can be considered to be dimer–dimer interactions. The primary, face-to-face, dimerization is similar to that of type II restriction enzymes, such as EcoRI, enabling recognition of a symmetric sequence and simultaneous double-strand DNA cleavage. These primary dimers then position back-to-back to form a tetramer, which binds to two copies of DNA. Kinetic studies have shown that the tetrameric architecture is of functional importance, and back-to-back dimer–dimer interaction is required for effective DNA cleavage (10,36). Similar to these tetrameric enzymes, HinP11 could also interact with two recognition sites facilitated by its unique dimerization mode. In addition, processing at these sites may be cooperative, and HinP11 may also require two recognition sites to be present for effective catalysis.

Another possibility is that the dimeric form of HinP11 could allow the formation of a large enzyme–substrate network with high molecular weight DNA, such as demonstrated for Serratia nuclease (37). Although the two subunits of Serratia nuclease function independently of each other, they bind...
simultaneously to a single macromolecular DNA, forming a large network between the enzyme and the substrate. The CS-mediated homodimeric HinP1I may serve the same function.

HinP1I occurs as a monomer in solution

While a monomer/dimer equilibrium was observed for MspI in analytical ultracentrifuge experiments (5), we used several alternative ways to establish whether HinP1I exists as a monomer, dimer or tetramer in solution. First, gel filtration suggests that HinP1I has an apparent molecular weight of a monomer. On a Superdex75 column (Pharmacia), HinP1I was loaded onto the column at an initial concentration of 3.7 mg/ml and eluted at an elution volume of 10.48, equivalent to a 28 kDa globular protein (Figure 6A). This is similar to the calculated molecular weight of 28.75 kDa. Only at a loading concentration of ~75 mg/ml was a small fraction of HinP1I eluted at a size close to that of a dimer at ~59 kDa (Figure 6A).

Second, dynamic light scattering measurements at a concentration of 3.7 mg/ml, at temperatures of 4, 16 and 25°C, suggested that the hydrodynamic radius of HinP1I is 2.7–2.8 nm, which would correspond to a 31–36 kDa globular protein (Table 2). This dimension (2 × 2.8 = 5.6 nm) is between the shorter (3.3 nm) and the longer (6.8 nm) dimensions of the monomer (Figure 2). Interestingly, the size increased as a function of protein concentration to 56 kDa (close to a dimer at 8.0 mg/ml) and 183 kDa (close to a tetramer at ~75 mg/ml).

Third, a portion of HinP1I formed a covalent dimer after cross-linking by glutaraldehyde (Figure 6B). At higher concentrations of glutaraldehyde, a second band appeared at a molecular weight close to tetramer. Taken together, these data suggest that HinP1I occurs predominantly as a monomer in solution, but can form a dimer or a higher order molecule weight complex at high protein concentrations.

DISCUSSION

We do not know whether the solution properties of HinP1I are dependent on the presence of substrate DNA, although, most of the structurally characterized type II endonuclease–DNA complexes comprise a protein dimer of identical subunits and a single copy of a double-stranded oligonucleotide, with one active site for each DNA strand. Certain type IIIS enzymes, such as FokI, dimerize transiently during catalysis (6,15,38) and it seems reasonable to assume that HinP1I would, too. We attempted to create a model of the HinP1I dimer bound to a single copy of DNA. To prepare the model, we rotated the HinP1I monomer (green) along the 2-fold symmetry of the palindromic DNA and generated the second monomer (magenta) with its active site for the other strand (Figure 7). The most serious steric clash occurs between helices αC and αD of one monomer and their 2-fold symmetry-related counterparts of the other monomer. We imagine that upon associating with DNA, helices αC and αD could adopt a different non-clashing conformation that would allow the formation of an anti-parallel four-helix bundle in the dimer interface.

Interestingly, helix αD contains two invariant residues between HinP1I (D109 and R117) and MspI (D144 and R152) (see Figures 3), whose positions are exposed on the monomer surface (see Figure 2B), and which might therefore participate in face-to-face dimerization much as such residues do in the back-to-back dimerization discussed earlier.

Like FokI, BfiI is a type IIIS endonuclease that forms a homodimer as it makes double-strand breaks in DNA (39). However, the BfiI homodimer, and its related Nuc enzyme from Salmonella typhimurium (40), has only one active site.
Table 2. Summary of the dynamic light scattering experiments

| [c] (mg/ml) | \(D_T^1\) | \(R_H\) (nm) | Mw (kDa) | Polydispersity (%) | Temperature (°C) |
|------------|----------|---------------|----------|-----------------|------------------|
| 3.6        | 411      | 2.8           | 36       | 48.5            | 4                |
| 3.6        | 644      | 2.7           | 31       | 48.9            | 16               |
| 3.6        | 777      | 2.8           | 36       | 42.9            | 25               |
| 8.0        | 506      | 3.4           | 56       | 24.6            | 16               |
| ~75        | 301      | 5.5           | 183      | 31.5            | 15               |

\(D_T = \frac{K_B T \pi \eta R_H}{6}\)

*The diffusion constant, expressed in units of \(10^{-9}\) cm\(^2\)/s. \(D_T\) is the diffusion coefficient, \(K_B\) is the Boltzmann constant, \(T\) is the absolute temperature in Kelvin, \(\eta\) is the solvent viscosity (5% glycerol used in the experiment) and \(R_H\) is the hydrodynamic radius of the average scattering particle.

endonuclease MutH (42), for the introduction of a nick into the target strand; however, we found it does not (G. G. Wilson, unpublished data). On the other hand, HinP1II could cleave DNA processively, i.e., repetitively continuing catalytic function without dissociating from the DNA. Clearly, further biochemical and structural studies of HinP1II are warranted.
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