Editorial

The posterior cerebellum, a new organ at risk?
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A B S T R A C T

Eekers et al. have recently proposed a neuro-oncology atlas, which was co-authored by most centers associated in the European Proton Therapy Network (EPTN; Figure 1). With the introduction of new treatment techniques, such as integrated magnetic resonance imaging and linear accelerators (MR-linac) or particle therapy, the prediction of clinical efficacy of these more costly treatment modalities becomes more relevant. One of the side-effects of brain irradiation, being cognitive decline, is one of the toxicities most difficult to measure and predict. In order to validly compare different treatment modalities, 1) a uniform nomenclature of the organs at risk (OARs), 2) uniform atlas-based delineation [e.g., Eekers et al.], 3) long-term follow-up data with standardized cognitive tests, 4) a large patient population, and 5) (thus derived) validated normal tissue complication probability (NTCP) models are mandatory. Apart from the Gondi model, in which the role of the dose to 40% of both hippocampi (HC) proves to be significantly related to cognition in 18 patients, no similar models are available. So there is a strong need for more NTCP models, on HC, brain tissue and possible other relevant brain structures. In this review we summarize the available evidence on the role of the posterior cerebellum as a possible new organ at risk for cognition, which is deemed relevant for irradiation of brain and head and neck tumors.

© 2017 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ireland Ltd on behalf of European Society for Radiotherapy and Oncology. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Introduction

Survival rates of brain tumors, including gliomas have improved by the use of multimodality therapy, with advances in surgery, radiation therapy, chemotherapy and radiological technology prior to and throughout the treatment [3,4]. As survival rates increase, awareness of long-term complications due to therapy raises as well, for children as well as adults [5]. One of these (long-term) complications following (radio)therapy is neurocognitive decline, which is marked by reduction of verbal memory, spatial processing, attention and novel problem solving ability [6]. This decline has been shown in survivors of pediatric brain tumors, treated with multimodal treatment schedules, who have lower rates on high school graduation and employment relative to the overall population [7].

Traditionally, the cerebral hemispheres are considered the regions of the brain responsible for cognitive function, while the cerebellum is known for its role in regulation and coordination in movement, posture and balance [8]. However, several clinical, anatomical and neuro-imaging studies have shown that the cerebellum may also play a role in neurocognition [9–11]. The aim of this review was to summarize the available evidence on role of the cerebellum in cognition and on the effects of radiation dose on the cerebellum in regard to neurocognitive function. Potentially, this will lead to new NTCP models, such as the Gondi [2] model, to predict neurocognitive outcomes related to radiation dose in different brain structures. Delineation guidelines for anatomical structures relevant in neuro-oncology have recently been proposed by Eekers et al. [1] (Fig. 1).

Cognition and the cerebellum

Historical perspective

Until the 20th century, studies on cerebellar function primarily focused on motor function [12–14]. It is unclear why cerebellar involvement in cognition and language remained uninvestigated in that period, but this may be due to the subtlety of cognitive defects or the fact that motor function and cognitive function were investigated as two separate entities. In 1971 Prescott and Piaget [15] described that motor development is inherently connected to emotional and neurocognitive development. Children with motor development difficulties are often emotionally and cognitive challenged as well. Both development processes could be connected and cannot be studied separately. These new insights led to the theory that the cortex and cerebellum might be connected and that both regions may be involved in neurocognitive function. In 1978, Watson [16] was one of the first authors to suggest the possible role of the cerebellum in sensory processing, learning, affect and cognition. In current literature, evidence is mounting
to support this suggestion, even though many questions remain unanswered.

Anatomy of the cerebellum

The cerebellum consists of two hemispheres divided by the vermis. Both hemispheres are organized into ten lobules. Traditionally, the cerebellum has been recognized as having three anterior-posterior divisions [10]: the primary fissure separates the anterior lobe (lobules I–V) from the posterior lobe (lobules VI–IX) and the posterolateral fissure separates the posterior lobe from the flocculonodular lobe (lobule X). Two other approaches to divide the cerebellum are based upon functional (F) or phylogenetic (P) criteria. The vestibulocerebellum (F) or archicerebellum (P) contains the flocculonodular lobe and immediately adjacent vermis. The spinocerebellum (F) or paleocerebellum (P) contains the vermis and intermediate parts of the vermis. The cerebrocerebellum (F) or neocerebellum (P) contains the lateral parts of the hemispheres [10].

There are four deep nuclei in the cerebellum, the dentate, emboliform, globose, and fastigial, which receive and send information to the specific parts of the brain. Most afferent cerebral projections pass through the basal pontine nuclei and intermediate cerebellar peduncle, while most cerebello-cerebral efferent projections pass through dentate and ventral thalamic nuclei [17,18].

Cerebellum and the sensorimotor & associative cortex

In order to determine whether the cerebellum plays a role in cognition it is crucial to unravel whether there are anatomical connections between the cerebellum and regions of the brain with higher cognitive functions. As a matter of fact, multiple studies, including viral tract tracing methods and resting-state functional connectivity data, support the presence of reciprocal links between the cerebellum and the prefrontal and parietal association cortices via cerebello-thalamo-cortical and cortico-ponto-cerebellar loops [9–11,18–21]. These closed loop circuits provide topographically segregated connections between the cerebral cortex and the cerebellum [22,23]. Information from the primary motor cortex passes the caudal part of the brainstem and enters the anterior part of the cerebellum via pontocerebellar fibers through the intermediate peduncle. Information from associative cortices passes several points in the brain stem and enters the posterior part of the cerebellum via the intermediate peduncle [21]. In conclusion, the
primary motor cortex is predominantly connected to the anterior part of the cerebellum, whereas the associative cortices are predominantly connected to the posterior part of the cerebellum [10].

**Cerebellum activation in cognitive tasks**

Activation of the cerebellum in cognitive tasks has been found to be connected to various cognitive functions, such as spatial processing, working memory, language, and affective processing. The cerebellum is involved in the processing of movements, coordination, and balance.

**Cerebellum and cognition**

Clinical evidence for cognitive functioning of the cerebellum can, for example, be found in the clinical cerebellar cognitive affective syndrome (CCAS; Fig. 2). CCAS is a condition, which leads to deficits in cognitive functioning resulting from cerebellar damage. This syndrome can be found in both children and adults. Affected areas are executive function, spatial cognition, working memory, language, and affect [25,26]. Depending on the location of the lesion, the cerebellum can be present in the absence of cerebellar motor syndrome, which is a syndrome that affects motor functions. The lesions that are associated with CCAS are situated in the posterior lobe of the cerebellum. Schmahmann and Pandya [19] were the first to describe this syndrome after studying 20 adults with cerebellar lesions due to either neoplasms, or vascular or traumatic damage. They all showed deficits in multiple cognitive domains as described above whilst maintaining semantic and episodic memory and consciousness. The latter deems cerebral damage as cause for these deficits unlikely. Lesions of the anterior lobe of the cerebellum produced only minor changes in executive and visual-spatial functions in contrast to the lesions in the posterior lobe. The constellation of deficits is suggestive of disruption in neural circuits connecting the cerebellum to prefrontal, posterior parietal, superior temporal, and limbic cortices [25,26].

Cuny et al. [27] recently published the cases of two siblings with small retrovermian arachnoid cysts. The 3-year-old children initially presented cerebellar signs and cognitive disorders with progressive worsening. Surgery was performed to relieve intracranial pressure in the posterior fossa. In both cases significant improvement was seen in the children's neurological and neuropsychological status during 3 years of follow-up.

Other clinical studies show specific neurocognitive domains can be affected by damage to specific regions of the cerebellum. It is reported that verbal expression impairments result from damage to the right cerebellar lobe, whereas spatial difficulties can arise from lesions in the left cerebellar lobe, damage to the midline vermis has been associated with deficits in social and affective processing [10,11,25,26].

**Cerebellar volume and cognitive function**

A large body of literature supports the hypothesis that cerebellar volume decreases with increasing age. It has been suggested that this has an effect on neurocognitive function as well. Hoogen-dam et al. [28] examined the correlation between cerebellar volume and neurocognitive function. They included 3745 individuals above the age of 45 years and found a minor non-significant relationship between larger cerebellar volume and better global cognition, executive function, information processing speed, memory, and motor speed. Their findings support the notion that the cerebellum influences decline in cognition in aging but it is the predominant structure. Likewise, Weier et al. [29] examined 28 pediatric-onset relapsing-remitting Multiple Sclerosis patients, comparing their cerebellar volumes to a control group and found that while the volumes did not differ between groups, posterior cerebellar lobe volume and infratentorial lesion volume accounted for extra variance on measures of information processing and vocabulary. Many other studies support these findings and underline the hypothesis that the cerebellum has a function in neurocognitive functioning [30].

**Radiation to the cerebellum**

One of the most interesting studies on radiation to the cerebellum was published by Merchant et al. [31], separately delineating the cerebellum and dividing it into a posterior and anterior part according to the article of Schmahmann et al. [32]. Seventy-eight children with low-grade glioma were included, prior treatment with chemotherapy was allowed in this study and there was no limit for the interval first surgery until irradiation (54–59.4 Gy), baseline and serial evaluations were performed to assess cognitive outcomes [31]. They found a statistically significant correlation between the radiation dose to the infratentorium and posterior cerebellum and neurocognitive impairment at several cognitive domains. To date, this is the only available study with separate dosimetric data for the posterior cerebellum. Noteworthy, one of the limitations of that study was the absence of a control group. Ranning et al. [33] compared an only surgically treated pediatric patient cohort with astrocytoma (n = 12) to a pediatric cohort with medulloblastoma (n = 10), who had been treated with surgery followed by radio(chemo)therapy. Both the astrocytoma and medulloblastoma groups scored below the standard norms regarding motor speed, attention, and executive function. The medulloblastoma group, however, performed worse than the astrocytoma group on the following neuropsychological measures: intelligence, motor function, speed processing, verbal and visual memory. Since the astrocytoma group was treated with surgery alone, cerebellar lesions were held responsible for neurocognitive decline. The fact that the medulloblastoma cohort was more affected may be explained by several factors including the underlying malignancy and the use of radiotherapy [33]. Gan et al. [34] assessed a group of ten adult patients treated with (intensity modulated) radio(chemo)therapy for squamous cell cancer of the head and neck. The authors delineated several brain structures separately, including temporal lobes and cerebellum, and performed neurocognitive function tests before and after treatment. The study population scored well on IQ but mean scores for all cognitive domains, except language and global cognitive function, were significantly lower than anticipated from the patients’ IQ. Memory was the most severely affected cognitive domain. The patient with the lowest scores received a maximum dose of 36 Gy on the cerebellum and low radiation doses on the whole brain and hippocampi [34]. The studies of Merchant et al. [49] even demonstrated that radiation dose-volume-parameters remain the most clinically significant determinants of IQ.
outcomes and that further reduction in radiation dose to specific volumes of the brain should be pursued. 

Beside radiation dose to the cerebellum, multiple modalities in the treatment of brain tumor patients can induce neurocognitive sequelae. These include the pre-treatment neurocognitive function, surgery and peri-operative complications, radiation dose and volume to the craniospinal axis, systemic or intrathecal application chemotherapy, implantation of a shunt for increased intracranial pressure, patient factors such as age, stress, fatigue and anxiety [33–49].

Conclusion & future perspectives

There is growing evidence from structural and functional imaging studies that the cerebellum plays an evident role in neurocognition (Fig. 2). Radiation to the posterior fossa has shown to have a negative effect on neurocognitive outcomes in long-term pediatric brain survivors. In order to derive an NTCP model for the (posterior) cerebellum, it is necessary to collect data on varying radiation doses to the (posterior and anterior) cerebellum and on prospectively assessing neurocognitive outcome.
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