EXTENDED TAILS FROM SGR 1806–20 BURSTS
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ABSTRACT

In 2004, SGR 1806–20 underwent a period of intense and long-lasting burst activity that included the giant flare of 2004 December 27—the most intense extra-solar transient event ever detected at Earth. During this active episode, we routinely monitored the source with Rossi X-ray Timing Explorer and occasionally with Chandra. During the course of these observations, we identified two relatively bright bursts observed with Konus-Wind in hard X-rays that were followed by extended X-ray tails or afterglows lasting hundreds to thousands of seconds. Here, we present detailed spectral and temporal analysis of these events observed about 6 and 1.5 months prior to the 2004 December 27 giant flare. We find that both X-ray tails are consistent with a cooling blackbody of constant radius. These spectral results are qualitatively similar to those of the burst afterglows recorded from SGR 1900+14 and recently from SGR 1550–5418. However, the latter two sources exhibit significant increase in their pulsed X-ray intensity following the burst, while we did not detect any significant changes in the rms pulsed amplitude during the SGR 1806–20 events. Moreover, we find that the fraction of energy partitioned to the burst (prompt energy release) and the tail (afterglow) differs by an order of magnitude between SGR 1900+14 and SGR 1806–20. We suggest that such differences can be attributed to differences in the crustal heating mechanism of these neutron stars combined with the geometry of the emitting areas.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Soft gamma repeaters (SGRs) are a small group of isolated neutron stars that exhibit extraordinary properties. They are persistent X-ray sources with luminosities ranging between 10^{33} and 10^{35} erg s^{-1}, spin periods 2–9 s, and large spin down rates indicating extremely high surface dipolar magnetic field strengths of B ∼ 10^{14–15} G. They are distinguished from the overall neutron star population by their repeated emission of short and intense hard X-ray/soft gamma ray bursts with a typical duration of ∼0.1 s. The burst repetition timescale is of the order of a few seconds with burst peak luminosities, L_{peak}, ranging between 10^{36} and 10^{39} erg s^{-1} (Göğüş et al. 1999, 2000). The burst fluence distribution is continuous and can be well fitted with a power law of index of around −1.6 (Göğüş et al. 1999, 2000). Consequently, although the short and less energetic events constitute the bulk of the SGR burst population, several intermediate-sized events (durations up to tens of seconds, L_{peak} ∼ 10^{42} erg s^{-1}) have also been recorded in the last 12 years (Mazets et al. 1999; Kouveliotou et al. 2001; Feroci et al. 2003; Mereghetti et al. 2009). Finally, SGRs emit giant flares, which are exceptionally rare bright events (10^{44} erg s^{-1} < L_{peak} < few × 10^{47} erg s^{-1}) that last several hundreds of seconds. Only three such events have been recorded to date; their durations, temporal structure, and energetics are very similar (for a detailed review, see Woods & Thompson 2006 or Mereghetti 2008).

An emerging class of SGR bursts referred to as intermediate bursts are always associated with afterglows (tails) when observed with sensitive, low-background X-ray detectors. Lenters et al. (2003) compared three such bursts from SGR 1900+14 along with the giant flare of 1998 August 27 and estimated that in all four cases the energy emitted during the afterglow (2–10 keV) was ∼2% of the total energy of the burst (25–100 keV). Their detailed spectral analysis of the tail portion of two of these events revealed a decaying thermal component, possibly associating the tails with the cooling of a burst-induced heating process on the surface of the neutron star (Ibrahim et al. 2001; Lenters et al. 2003). Unfortunately, there was no sensitive soft X-ray coverage of either the 1979 March 5 giant flare from SGR 0526−66 or the 1998 June intermediate bursts from SGR 1627−41. Recently, intermediate bursts from SGR 1550–5418 (aka 1E 1547.0−5408) were observed with multiple instruments during its 2009 burst active episode (Mereghetti et al. 2009; Kaneko et al. 2010; Enoto et al. 2010).

One of the most prolific sources, SGR 1806–20, entered an active phase in 2004 March during which it emitted more than 1000 bursts over the following several months including the energetic giant flare of 2004 December 27 which had a peak luminosity of a few × 10^{46} erg s^{-1} (Hurley et al. 2005; Frederiks et al. 2007). We searched our Rossi X-ray Timing Explorer (RXTE) observations of the source between 2004 January and 2005 May and identified only two intermediate-type events out of a total of over 1500 bursts. Each was followed by an extended X-ray tail or afterglow lasting several hundred seconds. We were very fortunate to obtain simultaneous coverage of one of these bursts with the Chandra X-ray Observatory (Chandra). We present here a detailed temporal and spectral analysis of the main burst and the afterglows of these two intermediate events (Sections 2 and 3), discuss their similarities and differences with other magnetar afterglows, and the implications thereof in Section 4.
2. KONUS-WIND, RXTE, AND CHANDRA OBSERVATIONS OF THE TWO INTERMEDIATE BURSTS

2.1. 2004 June 22 Event

(1) KONUS-Wind. A relatively strong burst triggered the Konus-Wind instrument on 2004 June 22 at $T_0 = 19:30:09.587$ UT (Golenetskii et al. 2004a). The burst started with a rather weak precursor at $T_0 - 0.460\ s$, followed by the main pulse at $T_0 - 0.080\ s$. The $T_{90}$ duration of the burst determined in the 17–300 keV range was $0.422 \pm 0.058\ s$ (the total burst duration was about $0.726\ s$). We present the Konus-Wind light curve in the 17–70 keV (G1) and 70–300 keV (G2) energy bands in the top two panels of Figure 1. We also present in Figure 1 (bottom panel) the spectral evolution during the course of the burst in terms of the evaluated temperature of optically thin thermal bremsstrahlung (OTTB) obtained using the background subtracted count rate ratio of G2 to G1 (see, e.g., Aptekar et al. 2009). We find no spectral evolution over the course of the burst, while the precursor at $T_0 - 0.460\ s$ is slightly harder.

There was a total of four high-resolution energy spectra collected in the 17 keV–12 MeV range with an accumulation time of 64 ms. As there is no evidence of spectral variation, we generated an integrated burst spectrum from $T_0$ to $T_0 + 0.256\ s$ to improve statistics. We rebinned the resulting burst spectrum in order to have at least 20 counts per energy bin and fitted using XSPEC, version 11.3 (Arnaud 1996), in the 17–200 keV range since no emission was detected at higher energies. The spectrum is well fitted with an OTTB model: $dN/dE \propto E^{-1} \exp(-E/kT)$ with $kT = 18.0 \pm 0.9 \ (X^2 = 0.89 \ for \ 17 \ degrees \ of \ freedom \ (dof))$. The total burst fluence is $(6.2 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-6}\ erg\ cm^{-2}$, and the peak flux is $(3.83 \pm 0.35) \times 10^{-5}\ erg\ cm^{-2}\ s^{-1}$, as measured at $T_0$ on a 16 ms timescale (both in the 20–200 keV range). Note that errors are at the 90% confidence level. The corresponding energy release is $(1.66 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{41}\ erg$, and peak luminosity $L_{\text{peak}} = (1.03 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{42}\ erg\ s^{-1}$, assuming isotropic emission and a distance of 15 kpc (Gaensler et al. 2005). The precursor fluence was $(2.4 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-7}\ erg\ cm^{-2}$, enough to saturate the operating PCA units.

(2) RXTE. We initiated our target of opportunity program to observe SGR 1806–20 with RXTE at the onset of the burst active episode on 2004 February 15. We detected the first intermediate SGR burst with an extended tail among 67 events recorded over a 13.75 ks observation on June 22 (ObsID: 90073-02-06-01) with the RXTE/Proportional Counter Array (PCA, 2–60 keV; see Figure 2, second panel from top). The brightness of the main peak saturated the operating PCA units, resulting in unusable data. The total duration of the main event is $\sim 1.3\ s$, while the tail extends up to about 530 s (Figure 2, third panel from top). The event was also detected in the simultaneous observations with the RXTE/High Energy X-ray Timing Experiment (HEXTE; 15–250 keV). Similar to the PCA data, the extremely high count rates of the early part of the event saturated the HEXTE data. In the latter data set, the tail extends only up to 1.42 s after the onset, beyond which the count rate is consistent with the background.

(3) Chandra. We performed simultaneous RXTE and Chandra observations of SGR 1806–20 on 2004 June 22. We used the Advanced CCD Imaging Spectrometer (ACIS) in continuous clocking mode for 20.2 ks with the source placed on the S3 back-illuminated CCD. Inspection of the Chandra data revealed detection of the same SGR burst recorded with RXTE and Konus. The main peak of the event lasted $\sim 1.5\ s$ in the ACIS energy range of 2–10 keV, however, the instrument was severely piled up due to the very high count rate. The average source count rate following the burst was $1.02 \pm 0.14\ counts\ s^{-1}$—higher than the count rate prior to the burst ($0.81 \pm 0.10\ counts\ s^{-1}$). The tail was detected until the end of the Chandra observation, roughly 900 s after the burst onset (Figure 2, bottom panel). The source count rate approached the pre-burst level at the end of the Chandra observation, but the true tail duration remains uncertain due to the near coincidental termination of the Chandra observation.
Figure 2. Time profile of the event on 2004 June 22, as seen with Konus-Wind (top panel), and the RXTE/PCA (second panel from top). Here, $t = 0$ corresponds to the Konus-Wind trigger time, i.e., 19:30:09.587 UT. The hashed time span indicates the interval during which the PCA was saturated due to the intensity of the event. The two lower panels show the extended tail of the event in 8 s time bins as observed with the RXTE/PCA (second panel from bottom) and with the Chandra/ACIS (bottom panel).

2.2. 2004 October 17 Event

(1) Konus-Wind. The instrument was triggered by a bright SGR 1806–20 event on 2004 October 17 at 06:36:11.551 UT (Golenetskii et al. 2004b). The $T_{90}$ duration of the burst in the 17–300 keV band was 1.080 ± 0.032 s (the total duration was about 1.6046 s). We show the Konus-Wind light curves in the 17–70 and 70–300 keV energy bands in Figure 3. We find a clear hard-to-soft spectral evolution in the course of the burst as evaluated using the hardness ratio (see Figure 3, bottom panel).

There were six energy spectra accumulated in the full 17 keV–12 MeV Konus-Wind passband during the burst. We combined them into three to improve statistics. The combined spectra are again well described with an OTTB model, with the best-fit $kT$ value evolving from 25.6$^{+0.6}_{-0.5}$ keV in the first 0.256 s interval, to 21.2 ± 0.6 keV (0.256–0.768 s) and to 17.7$^{+1.3}_{-1.1}$ keV (0.768–1.6 s). The total fluence and the 16 ms peak flux in the 20–200 keV range are $(5.03 ± 0.10) \times 10^{-5}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ and $(6.76 ± 0.44) \times 10^{-5}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, respectively. We calculate the corresponding isotropic energy released in this event as $E = (1.35 ± 0.03) \times 10^{45}$ erg and the peak luminosity as $L_{\text{peak}} = (1.81 ± 0.12) \times 10^{42}$ erg s$^{-1}$ (at 15 kpc). Events at 11.3 s and 157.2 s after the trigger were also detected, with 20–200 keV fluences of $(1.7 ± 0.4) \times 10^{-7}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ and $(5.6 ± 1.7) \times 10^{-7}$ erg cm$^{-2}$, respectively.

(2) RXTE. We observed SGR 1806–20 with RXTE for 5.7 ks on 2004 October 17 as a part of our SGR spin monitoring campaign (ObsID: 90074-02-12-00). We found that the triggered event seen in the Konus-Wind data is also detected with RXTE during the last orbit. The operating PCA units (0, 2, and 3) were again saturated for ~1.6 s (see Figure 4) due to the very high count rates during the main pulse. In the remainder of the RXTE orbit, the count rates stayed above the pre-burst background level (see Figure 4, bottom panel) clearly indicating that the tail emission lasted at least until the end of the orbit. The source was extremely active in this period with a total of 167 short SGR bursts emitted during the tail, as identified with our burst search algorithm. In particular, the relatively bright events at 11.3 s and
157.2 s, which are also seen with Konus-Wind, saturated the detectors as well (see the rate dropout in the bottom panel of Figure 4).

In the coexisting HEXTE data we observed 43 short events with the main event and the tail emission, albeit, at much lower significance compared to that of the PCA data. Note that the HEXTE observations were performed in non-rocking mode, and as a result there are no sky background data available for the HEXTE observations.

3. DATA ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

In the following we present the analysis of the tail emission data for both events in RXTE and Chandra. Note that the main part of the emission is saturated and piled up, rendering spectral analysis useless for both bursts and, thus, excluded in our analysis.

3.1. Spectral Analysis

3.1.1. 2004 June 22 Event

As seen in Figure 2 (second panel from bottom), the burst tail emission detected with the PCA returns to the pre-burst level at about 600 s after the burst. We determine the $T_{90}$\(^9\) duration of the tail to be 528 s. We first extracted the time-integrated energy spectrum during this interval using the PCA Standard2 data (with 16 s accumulation time) from all layers of the operating PCUs (Nos. 0, 2, 3).

To estimate the PCA background spectrum accurately, we adopted the following method. We first extracted a background spectrum using Standard2 data within the time interval of 900−50 s before the event. Then we generated an instrumental background spectrum using the data created with pcabackest (a HEASOFT utility) and subtracted it from the same pre-burst time interval. We then modeled the net pre-burst (i.e., the X-ray sky) spectrum with an absorbed power law plus a Gaussian line. The resulting best-fit spectral parameters (reduced-$\chi^2 = 0.91$) represent the spectral contribution of the SGR, of other point X-ray sources in the 1° (FWHM) PCA field of view, and of the diffuse emission from the galactic ridge. While the X-ray sky spectrum would not vary over the course of $\sim 1000$ s, the instrumental background spectrum might be due to the orbital position of the spacecraft. Therefore, we generated an instrumental background spectrum for the tail interval, again using the data created with pcabackest. The sum of the net pre-burst (X-ray sky) spectrum and the instrumental tail background spectrum constitutes our combined background spectrum during the tail.

We modeled the PCA tail spectrum (2.5−25 keV) with an absorbed blackbody (BB), a power law, and a bremsstrahlung model (using XSPEC v11; Arnaud 1996). We fixed the interstellar column density, $N_{H}$ at $7.2 \times 10^{22}$ cm\(^{-2}\), which is the value determined from the Chandra data as reported by Woods et al. (2007). We found that the BB model fits the data best ($\chi^2 = 0.73$) with $kT$ of 3.4 ± 0.2 keV and an estimated emitting radius of 0.30 ± 0.06 km (for a source distance of 15 kpc). The fluence of the entire tail (2.5−25 keV) was estimated to be (2.9 ± 0.6) × 10\(^{-8}\) erg cm\(^{-2}\). The power law and bremsstrahlung models required much higher column densities ($N_{H} = (12−16) \times 10^{22}$ cm\(^{-2}\)) to provide acceptable fits, which are in disagreement with the better constrained Chandra data results (Woods et al. 2007). We concluded, therefore, that the tail emission was consistent with a thermal spectrum. Using the BB spectral fit we estimated the total energy of 7.9 × 10\(^{38}\) erg, assuming a distance of 15 kpc to the source.

To investigate spectral variations within the tail, we divided the tail interval into four segments with almost equal numbers of net tail counts (i.e., about 5400 counts per segment and 21,780 in total). We then simultaneously fit all four spectra with an absorbed BB model with fixed $N_{H}$. We found a marginal decrease in the BB temperatures between the first and second halves of the tail (see also Figure 5, middle panel). We then repeated the simultaneous fit but kept the BB temperatures of the first two intervals and the last two intervals linked. This resulted to a temperature of 3.72 ± 0.27 keV for the first two segments and of 2.68 ± 0.22 keV for the last two. This temperature difference is significant at the $\sim 3\sigma$ level, indicating a cooling trend during the tail. The corresponding radii of the emitting region in each of the four subintervals are consistent (within errors) with that of the entire tail spectrum (see Figure 5, bottom panel).

\(^9\) The duration over which 90% of the tail emission has been recorded.
Figure 4. Time profile of the event on 2004 October 17 as seen with Konus-Wind (top panel) and the RXTE/PCA (middle panel). The hashed interval indicates the time span during which the PCA was saturated due to the intensity of the event. The bottom panel shows the extended tail of this event in 1 s time bins. Note that the vertical axis of the bottom panel is logarithmic to accommodate the burst peaks as well as the underlying tail emission. The rate dropouts at 11.3 and 157.2 s are due to the intense events also detected with Konus-Wind.

We also analyzed the Chandra ACIS data of the tail. During the analysis of the ACIS CC mode data, we first identified the pixel corresponding to the centroid of the SGR 1806–20 sky position. Using psextract, a CIAO\textsuperscript{10} utility, we accumulated the burst tail spectrum from eight pixels around the centroid for the time interval between 2 and 535 s (determined from the PCA analysis as described above) after the burst onset. The background spectrum was accumulated from the same pixels between 900 and 5 s before the burst. Further, we inspected the light curves of the spectral intervals to confirm that there were no short bursts included.

The resulting tail spectrum contained 655 source counts in the 2–10 keV energy range. Although most of the counts were collected above ∼3 keV we used the entire spectral range in our fits with BB, power law, and bremsstrahlung models, all attenuated by interstellar absorption. Since our data do not extend below 2 keV, we could not constrain the interstellar hydrogen column density ($N_H$) and we, therefore, fixed $N_H$ at $7.2 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. The BB model again provides the best fit with a temperature of $(3.8 \pm 1.3)$ keV and a emitting region radius of $(0.36 \pm 0.11)$ km. The power-law model also provides a statistically acceptable fit to the data, but the resulting photon index is non-constrained, ranging in a wide range between $–0.66$ and $0.60$ (90\% confidence level). The bremsstrahlung model does not fit the spectrum.

3.1.2. 2004 October 17 Event

The tail emission of this event clearly remained above background level until the end of the RXTE pointing, roughly at 1280 s after the burst trigger. Therefore, the duration of the tail is at least this long, and most likely longer. Before the spectral analysis, we performed a burst search for short events in the tail profile (Figure 4, bottom panel) and removed them from the tail spectral integration interval. We then constructed the tail background spectrum using the same methodology we described in Section 3.1.1.
We fit the entire tail spectrum in the 2.5–25 keV range with a BB, a power law, and a bremsstrahlung model, again keeping the hydrogen column density constant at $7.2 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. None of these basic emission models provided an adequate fit to the data ($\chi^2_\nu$ of 11.0, 7.2, and 7.8, respectively). We obtained a reasonable fit to the data with a BB plus a power-law model ($\chi^2_\nu = 1.23$ for 48 dof). The best-fit spectral parameters for the model are $kT_{BB} = 3.0 \pm 0.2$ keV and photon index, $\gamma = 1.2 \pm 0.1$. The total fluence of the tail in the 2.5–25 keV band is $(4.7 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-7} \text{ erg cm}^{-2}$, which corresponds to an isotropic total energy of $1.3 \times 10^{40} \text{ erg}$.

We also performed time resolved spectroscopy to search for spectral variations throughout the event tail. We split the tail interval into eight segments of nearly equal counts of about 16,000 counts each. We then simultaneously fit all eight spectra with a BB plus a power-law model. We found that the photon indices in all segments were consistent with each other within errors. Therefore, to constrain other spectral parameters better, we subsequently forced all spectra to have a common photon index while we let their normalizations vary. The model adequately fits all data intervals simultaneously ($\chi^2_\nu = 0.83$ for 399 dof) with a common power-law index of $\gamma = 1.58 \pm 0.11$. The total fluence of the tail in the 2.5–25 keV band is $(4.7 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-7} \text{ erg cm}^{-2}$, which corresponds to an isotropic total energy of $1.3 \times 10^{40} \text{ erg}$.

We find that the BB temperature clearly decreases with time (see Figure 6, middle panel), from $3.98 \pm 0.14$ keV in the first sub-interval to $2.56 \pm 0.22$ keV in the last (i.e., a 5.4σ change in about 1000 s). In Figure 7, we show the evolution of the fluxes in both spectral components (2.5–25 keV), as well as the ratio of the BB flux to the power-law flux during the entire tail interval. We clearly see that the initial part of the burst tail is dominated by the BB flux, while, as the tail progresses, the non-thermal power-law component takes over, indicating a clear cooling trend.

We have also analyzed the RXTE/HEXTE data to determine the high energy behavior of the tail emission. Due to the fact that the HEXTE observation was performed in non-rocking mode, we did not have simultaneous sky background estimates. We extracted, therefore, a background spectrum from the previous orbit (96 minutes earlier) for the same time span (Cluster A only). The resulting HEXTE tail spectrum was significant up to $\sim 40$ keV and is well represented (in 15–40 keV) by a single power law with an index of $1.9 \pm 0.2$.

3.2. Temporal Analysis

3.2.1. Burst-induced Changes in Pulsed Intensity

There were remarkable changes in the persistent X-ray timing and spectral properties of SGR 1900+14 following the giant flare on 1998 August 27 and the intermediate bursts (Woods et al. 2001; Göğüş et al. 2002; Lenters et al. 2003). To investigate whether these two intense events with extended tails from SGR 1806–20 had induced similar observable changes, we have estimated the pulsed intensity (count rates) before and after each event. Woods et al. (2007) constructed a comprehensive timing database of SGR 1806–20, which includes precise pulse frequency ephemerides of the source, surrounding each of...
and after the burst as 0.21 estimated the rms pulsed intensity of the pulse profile before ± corresponding spin ephemeris from Woods et al. (2007) and short burst activity. We then folded the event times with the before and 5–535 s after the onset (excluding the times of two bursts analyzed here. For the 2004 June 22 event, we extracted time-tagged events in the 2–10 keV from 1750–50 s before and 5–535 s after the onset (excluding the times of short burst activity). We then folded the event times with the corresponding spin ephemeris from Woods et al. (2007) and estimated the rms pulsed intensity of the pulse profile before and after the burst as 0.21 ± 0.05 counts s\(^{-1}\) and 0.46 ± 0.11 counts s\(^{-1}\), respectively.

Similarly, for the 2004 October 17 event, we have extracted events from 1750 to 50 s before and 50 to 1280 s after the event, again excluding the intervals of short burst activity. We calculated the rms pulsed intensities as 0.15 ± 0.08 counts s\(^{-1}\) and 0.33 ± 0.06 counts s\(^{-1}\) for before and after this event, respectively.

3.2.2. Search for High-frequency QPOs in the Tails

High-frequency quasi-periodic oscillations (QPOs) were found in the oscillating tails of the giant flares from SGR 1806–20 and SGR 1900+14 (Israel et al. 2005; Strohmayer & Watts 2005; Watts & Strohmayer 2006). Motivated from these findings, we searched the extended tails of the SGR 1806–20 bursts for the presence of such quasi-periodic behavior by computing power spectra for each 1.89 s (0.25 of spin cycles) data segment in the 2–10 keV and 10–30 keV ranges and averaging all available power spectra. We found that average power spectra for both tails in the 2–10 keV and 10–30 keV bands are consistent with that of Poisson counting statistics; we estimate the 3σ upper limits on the rms amplitudes of QPOs in the 10–1024 Hz range for the 2004 June 22 burst tail as 4.4% and 3.2% in the 2–10 keV and 10–30 keV ranges, respectively. The two upper limits for the October 17 burst tail are 4.1% and 4.0%, respectively. Therefore, we conclude that there is no evidence of QPOs in these tails. We also found from the dynamic power spectral analysis that there is no evidence for any time- or phase-dependent timing features in either of these tails.

3.2.3. Search for Pulse-phase–Burst-time Relations

To determine over which part of the spin phase these bursts took place, we transferred the Konus-Wind times to the solar system barycenter and determined the spin phases of each event using their corresponding pulse frequency ephemerides. We found that the main peak of the event on 2004 June 22 (2004 October 17) starts at about spin phase \(\phi = 0.75\) (\(\phi = 0.45\)) and spans about 4.4% (21%) of the spin cycle as shown in the lower panels of Figure 8. We also determined the spin phases of the main peaks of the two bursts as seen with the PCA and present them in Figure 8. For comparison, pulse phase behavior of energetic bursts from XTE J1810–197 (Woods et al. 2005), 1E 1048.1–5937 (Gavriil et al. 2006), and SGR 0501+4516 (Watts et al. 2010) were investigated, but no particular spin phase preference was found.

With the precise pulse frequency ephemerides, we have also checked the spin phases of each of the 167 bursts during the tail of the intermediate burst of 2004 October 17, and found that they are consistent with being distributed uniformly in phase, showing no preference for any particular spin phase.

Further, we looked at the occurrence times of the 167 short bursts found in the tail of the 2004 October 17, to determine whether they show any intrinsic periodicity of their own. We employed the \(Z_{2}^{2}\) statistic (Buccheri et al. 1983) using the burst peak times. The resulting power spectrum consists of a single QPO-like feature centered at 7.3 mHz (~136 s). Closer inspection of the tail revealed that there are two clusters of events: one at the very beginning and the other one around 130–140 s into the tail; therefore, the feature in the power spectrum was likely due to the inter-spacing of events in these two clusters. We conclude that there is no periodic behavior in the occurrence times of the short bursts in the tail.

4. DISCUSSION

We have identified two SGR 1806–20 bursts during the source’s extremely active episode in 2004 that exhibited extended tail emissions similar to the ones reported from SGR 1900+14 (Lenters et al. 2003). These events happened roughly at 6 and 1.5 months prior to the SGR giant flare on 2004 December 27. Earlier, Göğüş et al. (2000) identified 290 short bursts in the RXTE observations of SGR 1806–20 during its 1996 active episode. Several of these events were longer than average (1–2 s) but none displayed any extended tail emission.

There are characteristic differences between two bursts we investigated here: the 2004 October 17 bursts is among the events with the highest energy fluence detected during the 2004 active episode of SGR 1806–20 and shows clear spectral evolution. The fluence of the 2004 June 22 burst, on the other hand, was not as high and did not exhibit any spectral variation during the course of the event. Due to the fact that both events displayed extended tails following them, the condition for an extended X-ray afterglow emission to follow cannot be singly attributed to the energetics of the burst.
SGR 1900+14 emitted two strong bursts on 1998 August 29 and 2001 April 28 with extended tail emission (durations ~8000 s and ~5000 s, respectively). Lenters et al. (2003) studied these event tails extensively; they report that the burst fluences (25–100 keV) are $1.9 \times 10^{-5}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ and $8.7 \times 10^{-6}$ erg cm$^{-2}$, respectively. To directly compare these to the SGR 1806–20 events, we used the Konus-Wind spectral results of the main pulse to estimate the fluences of the latter between 25 and 100 keV; these are $3.6 \times 10^{-6}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ and $4.3 \times 10^{-5}$ erg cm$^{-2}$, for the events of 2004 June 22 and October 17, respectively. Therefore, the main burst energetics of these intermediate events from both SGRs are quite similar in the energy range where most of their photons are emitted (25–100 keV).

Lenters et al. (2003) also found that there was a significant correlation between the SGR 1900+14 event tail fluences in the 2–10 keV range and the leading main burst fluences in 25–100 keV. They estimated the former to be about 2% of the latter fluence. To check whether a similar correlation is present in the SGR 1806–20 events, we used the Konus-Wind spectral results of the main pulse to estimate the fluences of the latter event tails, which are only visible in brighter events. As the events become dimmer themselves, only the BB spectral component can be seen in the data.

Esposito et al. (2007) performed a time resolved spectral analysis of the first 7.5 hr of the afterglow emission following the 2001 April 18 flare from SGR 1900+14. They found that an additional BB component was required to significantly better fit the afterglow spectrum. They obtain the best-fit parameters by keeping the radius of the BB emitting region constant at 1.6 km and allowing the BB temperatures to vary. The temperature of the additional BB component gradually declines from 1.23 to 0.92 keV over the course of 7.5 hr. They concluded similarly that the cooling thermal emission from a constant region could account for the additional spectral component.

Recently, Kaneko et al. (2010) identified a unique enhancement of hard X-ray emission following an intense burst from SGR J1550−5418 at the onset of its major outburst episode in 2009. The enhanced emission showed clear pulsations with a period consistent with the spin period of the source. Detailed temporal study revealed that the pulsation was strongest around the peak of the enhancement timewise and in 50–74 keV energywise with a pulsed fraction of ~55%. Hard X-ray spectra of the enhancement were well described with a two-component model: a power law with a BB. While the temperature of the BB (~17 keV) remained constant throughout the enhancement period, the BB component was most evident around the peak time, where the pulsation was also strongest. The estimated total energy emitted during the enhancement (i.e., the afterglow) was $2.9 \times 10^{40}$ erg, of which the BB accounted for 19%. Based on the analysis, they concluded that the enhancement was most likely caused by a change in the magnetic field structure within the source.

Figure 8. Left panel: phase history of the 2004 June 22 burst as obtained folding the PCA data in the 2.1–30 keV band with the phase ephemeris given by Woods et al. (2007, top) and the average pulse profile of the source in the 2–7 keV range events collected during the time span of about 2 months centered nearly at the time of the burst (bottom). The vertical dashed lines indicate the start and end times of the burst as seen with Konus-Wind. Right panel: same as the left panel for the 2004 October 17 burst.
on the BB flux, the emitting radius of the thermal component could be as small as 0.12 km. One possible interpretation is that a small region on the surface of the neutron star is likely heated by hot plasma confined by the twisted magnetic field, in which case the energy can be dissipated as the magnetic field untwists (Beloborodov 2009).

The pulsed amplitudes of the persistent X-ray emission from SGR 1900+14 during the extended tails were remarkably larger than before the bursts. In fact, the periodic oscillations from SGR 1900+14 were clearly visible in the decaying tail of both intermediate events (Ibrahim et al. 2001; Lenters et al. 2003), as well as during the enhanced hard X-ray emission of SGR J1550–5418 (Kaneko et al. 2010). In the case of the SGR 1806–20 events, the changes in the pulsed amplitude in the tails of intermediate bursts were not significant. Moreover, the fraction of energy released in the tail (afterglow) relative to that released during the main burst (prompt energy release) differs by about an order of magnitude between SGR 1900+14 and SGR 1806–20. Although the spectral properties of the SGR 1806–20 extended tails are similar to those of the burst afterglows recorded from SGR 1900+14 and SGR J1550–5418, the constancy of the pulsed amplitude in SGR 1806–20 and the difference in the fractional energy release indicate intrinsic differences in burst-induced crystal heating (and cooling) mechanisms in these three sources.

We also note here another significant difference between the persistent emission behaviors following giant flares from two different sources. The energy released during the 2004 December 27 giant flare from SGR 1806–20 was at least two orders of magnitude larger than the 1998 August 27 giant flare from SGR 1900+14. However, the persistent X-ray flux of SGR 1806–20 resumed quickly toward its long-term value in the aftermath of the flare (Rea et al. 2005; Woods et al. 2007), while the giant flare of SGR 1900+14 was followed by a long-lasting (≳100 days) flux enhancement (Woods et al. 2001). In light of these facts, we suggest that SGR 1806–20 can efficiently cool by radiation (and baryonic material release; Gaensler et al. 2005) during the burst (prompt release); most likely, too, comparatively less energy is imparted to the deep crustal heating than was the case for SGR 1900+14. An additional possibility for the lack of significant pulsed intensity increase in SGR 1806–20 may be the geometry of the emitting areas: the heated crustal surface might be close to the rotation axis, which could be nearly aligned to the magnetic axis of the neutron star. This possibility is indirectly supported by the fact that the main peak of the 2004 October 17 intermediate burst encompasses the peak of its pulse profile.
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