Far-UV HST Spectroscopy of an Unusual Hydrogen-poor Superluminous Supernova: SN2017egm
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Abstract

SN2017egm is the closest (z = 0.03) H-poor superluminous supernova (SLSN-I) detected to date, and a rare example of an SLSN-I in a massive, metal-rich galaxy. We present the HST UV and optical spectra covering 1000–5500 Å, taken at +3 day relative to the peak. Our data reveal two absorption systems at redshifts matching the host galaxy NGC 3191 (z = 0.0307) and its companion galaxy (z = 0.0299) 73″ apart. Weakly damped Lyα absorption lines are detected at these two redshifts, with H I column densities of (3.0 ± 0.8) × 10^19 and (3.7 ± 0.9) × 10^19 cm^-2, respectively. This is an order of magnitude smaller than the H I column densities in the disks of nearby galaxies (>10^{10} M☉) and suggests that SN2017egm is on the near side of NGC 3191 and has a low host extinction (E(B-V) ~ 0.007). Using unsaturated metal absorption lines, we find that the host of SN2017egm probably has a solar or higher metallicity and is unlikely to be a dwarf companion to NGC 3191. Comparison of early-time UV spectra of SN2017egm, Gaia16apd, iPTF13ajg, and PTF12dam finds that the continuum at λ > 2800 Å is well fit by a blackbody, whereas the continuum at λ < 2800 Å is considerably below the model. The degree of UV suppression varies from source to source, with the 1400–2800 Å continuum flux ratio of 1.5 for Gaia16apd and 0.4 for iPTF13ajg. This cannot be explained by the differences in magnetar power or blackbody temperature. Finally, the UV spectra reveal a common set of seven broad absorption features and their equivalent widths are similar (within a factor of 2) among the four events.
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1. Introduction

The spectra of hydrogen-poor superluminous supernovae (SLSNe-I) peak, and show their most distinctive spectroscopic features, in the ultraviolet (Quimby et al. 2011). These wavelengths are inaccessible from the ground, except at high redshifts, where the vast distances limit the S/N that can be achieved. Space-based UV spectra can cover these features for nearby SNe, but the limited sensitivity of UV spectrographs (even HST) restricts observations to very nearby and rare events. Up until now only one high-quality far-UV spectrum of an SLSN has been taken (Gaia16apd at z = 0.102; Yan et al. 2017).

Knowledge of the FUV spectra of SLSNe is important for several reasons: not only to investigate the structure and composition of thephotosphere, but also to improve modeling of SLSN light curves (LCs) and measure the bolometric energy release. Physical parameters are usually derived by fitting bolometric LCs, estimated from broadband optical photometry in two or three filters. One of the significant uncertainties in this process is bolometric correction, especially at early times when as much as 50% of the bolometric luminosity is likely to escape in the UV. Many previously published studies have relied on either crude pseudo-bolometric LCs (summing up the available optical bands), or by assuming a blackbody spectral energy distribution (SED), even though these events are known to have broad, deep UV absorption features (Quimby et al. 2011).

More recently, De Cia et al. (2017) and Nicholl et al. (2017c) computed magnetar model parameters for a large sample of SLSNe-I by applying a single SED template to broadband LCs. This SED template is derived for the purpose of fitting broadband photometry. It does not match well with the spectral continuum of Gaia16apd. Broadband SEDs are affected by both continuum and broad, prominent absorption features (Yan et al. 2017). The focus of this paper is new in its investigation of how UV spectra vary among different SLSN-I, including both spectral continuum and absorption features.

SN2017egm (Gaia17bii) exploded in NGC 3191 at a distance of 140 Mpc (z = 0.030), making it the closest known SLSN-I by a large margin (Delgado et al. 2017; Dong et al. 2017). This offers an excellent opportunity to study the UV spectrum of an SLSN-I in detail, and to examine the diversity of this class at these wavelengths.

A particularly notable aspect of SN2017egm beyond its sheer proximity is its unusual location: the host is a large spiral galaxy with stellar mass $M_*$ ~ 10^{10.7} M☉ and metallicity $Z$ ~ (1.3–2) $Z_☉$ (Bose et al. 2018; Nicholl et al. 2017a). This seems to argue against the consensus from several previous studies that almost all SLSNe-I occur in dwarf host galaxies with low metal abundances ($M_{\text{metal}} < 10^{0.5} M_☉$) and $Z < 0.4 Z_☉$ (Lunnan et al. 2014; Leloudas et al. 2015; Perley et al. 2016; Schulze et al. 2018; Chen et al. 2017b). SLSNe-I have been observed in high-mass hosts before, but only far from the center, and it has been argued that the metallicity at the SLSN site could still be low in these cases (Perley et al. 2016). The projected position of SN2017egm is very close to the host nucleus, and archival integral field unit (IFU)
spectra of NGC 3191 make it possible to zoom in onto the spiral arm region where the supernova exploded, and measure the nebular gas-phase metallicities with a resolution of ~1 kpc. Two independent groups have found conflicting results, one with solar to super-solar metallicity (Chen et al. 2017a), and the other with sub-solar metallicity (Izzo et al. 2018).

In this paper we present our HST far- and near-UV spectra of SN2017egm, and compare this event with the only pre-existing FUV SLSN spectrum (Gaia16apd), as well as two other high-quality near-UV SLSN spectra. We also exploit the narrow absorption transitions seen in our spectra to constrain the kinematics and chemical abundances of the system, providing confirmation (independent of emission-based methods) that the SN occurred along a metal-rich sightline and suggesting that SLSN production is not fully suppressed at high metallicity.

2. HST Data

SN2017egm was first discovered as a transient on 2017 May 23 UT by the Gaia Photometric Survey (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2016; Delgado et al. 2017). Optical spectra taken on 2017 May 30 UT by Dong et al. (2017) classified this event as an SLSN-I. We immediately triggered our approved HST ToO program (PID: 14674, Quimby et al. 2018). As shown in Table 1, the COS and STIS spectra were taken with G140L, G230L, and G430L gratings with moderate spectral resolutions. At 1500 Å the HST COS spectra have a velocity FWHM of ~120 km s⁻¹. We use the reduced spectra provided by the HST pipelines.

Our analyses also consider three other SLSNe-I, which have UV spectra before or near maximum light. The Gaia16apd HST spectra were taken with a setup similar to that of SN2017egm, except for the G430L grating, and have been published in Yan et al (2017). PTF12dam was observed with the HST/WFC3 slitless grism G280 at −18.4 days before the peak, and +44.2 days after the explosion (Nicholl et al. 2013; Quimby et al. 2018; Vreeswijk et al. 2017). As shown in Table 2, PTF12dam has the longest time lag between the UV spectrum observation and the explosion date. Its near-UV HST spectrum reaches out to 1850 Å and has a low resolution of 70 at 3000 Å (Quimby et al. 2018). The iPTF13ajg spectrum was taken with X-shooter on the VLT telescope on 2013 April 17 at −4 days from the maximum light. With z ~ 0.7, this spectrum is the best-quality near-UV spectrum taken with a ground-based telescope for an SLSN-I (Vreeswijk et al. 2014).

To date, there are HST spectra for only five SLSNe-I, including SN2017egm, Gaia16apd, PTF12dam, PTF11rks, and SN2011ke. The spectrum for SN2011ke is taken at late phase (+24 day post peak), and the data for PTF11rks has a poor signal-to-noise ratio (S/N; Quimby et al. 2018). This paper focuses on the pre-peak UV spectra, therefore it does not consider SN2011ke and PTF11rks data. Optical spectra of SLSNe-I at z > 0.5 from ground-based telescopes also reach the rest-frame UV. However, most spectra have low S/Ns and their continua are not well characterized. The exception is iPTF13ajg, which has a high-quality optical spectrum near the maximum light.

3. Results

3.1. The Diversity and Uniformity of SLSN-I UV Spectra at Maximum Light

With these early-time UV spectra, we have two goals—(1) quantify SLSN-I spectral continuum and absorption feature variations, which is important for estimating bolometric corrections and for modeling LCs of SLSNe-I based on broadband optical photometry, and (2) identify UV, especially far-UV, spectral features.

Figure 1 presents the HST spectrum of SN2017egm, in comparison with those of Gaia16apd, PTF12dam, and iPTF13ajg. The spectra were corrected for the Galactic extinctions (Table 2) and arbitrarily scaled for visual clarity. The two example spectra in Figure 2 illustrate that the SLSN-I UV and optical continuum cannot be simultaneously fit by a blackbody with a single temperature. This finding was also noted by Chomiuk et al. (2011) for SLSN-I PS1-10ky at z = 0.9. These two figures together demonstrate that the difference in UV SED shape between Gaia16apd and iPTF13ajg cannot be explained by the difference in blackbody temperature alone.

---

**Table 1**

| Obs.UT   | Name           | Exp.Time | Instrument   | Grating (Å) | Δλ   | Spec Resolution | Obs.setup          |
|----------|----------------|----------|--------------|-------------|------|-----------------|--------------------|
| 2017 Jun 23 | SN2017egm      | 7488.9   | COS/FUV      | G140L       | 1118-2251 | 1500-4000       | Seg-A/Time-TAG     |
| 2017 Jun 23 | SN2017egm      | 1681.2   | STIS/NUV     | G230L       | 1570-3180 | 500-1010        | NUV-MAMA           |
| 2017 Jun 23 | SN2017egm      | 300.0    | STIS/NUV     | G430L       | 2900-5700 | 500-1010        | NUV-MAMA           |
| 2016 Jun 02 | Gaia16apd     | 4889     | COS/FUV      | G140L       | 1118-2251 | 1500-4000       | Seg-A/Time-TAG     |
| 2016 Jun 02 | Gaia16apd     | 2327     | STIS/NUV     | G230L       | 1570-3180 | 500-1010        | NUV-MAMA           |
| 2012 May 26 | PTF12dam       | 2448     | WFC3/UVIS    | G280        | 1840-4500 | 70 @3000 Å     | UVIS               |

**Table 2**

| Name          | Redshift | Spec.Date Day | Peak Date Day | Exp. Date Day | Δexp Day |
|---------------|----------|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------|
| SN2017egm     | 0.03     | 57927         | 57924         | 57890         | 35.9     |
| Gaia16apd    | 0.1018   | 57541         | 57541         | 57505         | 32.7     |
| PTF12dam     | 0.1078   | 56073         | 56096.7       | 56020.9       | 47       |
| iPTF13ajg    | 0.7403   | 56399         | 56405         | 56350         | 28.2     |

---

Note. COS/FUV data was taken using only Segment A.
Figure 1. UV spectra of four low-z SLSNe-I. The Y-axis is flux density in erg s\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\) Å\(^{-1}\). The spectra are scaled to offset them from each other for visual clarity. We also show one example of how we select spectral regions (solid black points for SN2017egm) as the input to the MCMC calculation. MCMC model fits to the continua are overlaid based on the parameters derived from the MCMC calculations with the fixed \(\lambda_0\) and are listed in Table 4.

To characterize UV and optical spectral continuum, we use the Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) method, specifically utilizing the python emcee package (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2013). Our adopted model is a modified blackbody, defined as \(f_\lambda(T) = (\lambda/\lambda_0)^{3/2} f_{\lambda,BB}(T)\) for \(\lambda < \lambda_0\) and \(f_\lambda(T) = f_{\lambda,BB}(T)\) for \(\lambda > \lambda_0\). We do not use all of the pixels from the observed spectra and exclude the spectral regions with prominent broad absorption features. We resample the spectra by selecting a number of spectral regions (with width of 50–150 Å) presenting the continuum, and compute the averaged flux density from each spectral bin. For example, the selected regions used for the MCMC calculations are shown as solid black points for SN2017egm in Figure 1, with each error bars in the X-axis marking the selected regions.

Our model has four variables, \(T\), \(\lambda_0\), \(\beta\), and \(\log_{10}(A)\) (a scaling factor taking distance into account). Table 3 shows the results from the computation where all four parameters are considered as variables. Figure 3 shows the probability distributions of these four variables calculated from the MCMC simulation for SN2017egm. We find that \(\lambda_0\) values are similar, ~2800 Å, for the three SLSN-I, except Gaia16apd. As Table 3 shows, Gaia16apd has \(\lambda_0\) at a longer wavelength. The derived UV power-law slope \(\beta\) is small, 0.51. This is not surprising because \(\lambda_0\) and \(\beta\) have some degree of interdependency, so a larger \(\lambda_0\) value would lead to a smaller \(\beta\). Therefore, to make a meaningful comparison of \(\beta\) values, it makes more sense to fix \(\lambda_0\) at 2800 Å and recompute the other three parameters. Table 4 shows the MCMC simulation results when considering only three variables, \(T\), \(\beta\), and \(\log_{10}(A)\). For the remainder of the paper and the relevant figures, we quote the \(T\), \(\beta\) values derived from the MCMC calculations with the fixed \(\lambda_0\).

The spectral continua at \(\lambda > 2800\) Å can be well described by a blackbody with \(T_{BB} \sim 17,094^{+587}_{-285}\) K for SN2017egm, 16,703^{+580}_{-398} K for Gaia16apd, and slightly cooler temperature of 15,008^{+200}_{-75} K for iPTF13ajg and 14,684^{+87}_{-76} K for PTF12dam. This value is smaller for iPTF13ajg than the value derived by...

![Figure 2. Plot showing that a pure blackbody function cannot fit the observed spectra.](Image)

![Figure 3. Probability distributions of the four variables (\(T\), \(\beta\), \(\lambda_0\), and \(\log_{10}(A)\)) derived from the MCMC simulations for SN2017egm.](Image)

### Table 3

Results from the MCMC Simulation—Variable \(\lambda_0\)

| Obj.       | \(T\) (K) | \(\beta\) | \(\lambda_0\) (Å) | \(\log_{10}(A)\) |
|------------|-----------|-----------|-------------------|------------------|
| SN2017egm  | 17094^{+587}_{-285} | 1.95^{+0.15}_{-0.09} | 2817.5^{+30}_{-10} | 8.79^{+0.02}_{-0.01} |
| Gaia16apd  | 17403^{+247}_{-111} | 0.51^{+0.04}_{-0.16} | 3133^{+45}_{-50} | 8.01^{+0.01}_{-0.04} |
| iPTF13ajg  | 15162^{+254}_{-152} | 2.86^{+0.18}_{-0.09} | 2820^{+14}_{-10} | 6.18^{+0.02}_{-0.02} |
| PTF12dam   | 14681^{+141}_{-130} | 1.78^{+0.08}_{-0.06} | 2819^{+12}_{-17} | 10.98^{+0.26}_{-0.01} |

### Table 4

Results from the MCMC Simulation—Fixed \(\lambda_0\)

| Obj.       | \(T\) (K) | \(\beta\) | \(\log_{10}(A)\) |
|------------|-----------|-----------|------------------|
| SN2017egm  | 17094^{+587}_{-285} | 1.96^{+0.15}_{-0.14} | 8.80^{+0.03}_{-0.02} |
| Gaia16apd  | 16703^{+580}_{-398} | 0.80^{+0.15}_{-0.16} | 8.04^{+0.05}_{-0.02} |
| iPTF13ajg  | 15008^{+200}_{-75} | 2.94^{+0.09}_{-0.15} | 6.19^{+0.03}_{-0.03} |
| PTF12dam   | 14681^{+58}_{-130} | 1.78^{+0.03}_{-0.03} | 10.98^{+0.26}_{-0.01} |
Vreeswijk et al. (2014). The lower temperature for PTF12dam is consistent with the phase when the spectra were taken, when the ejecta have a longer time to cool.

At $\lambda < 2800$ Å, the SLSN-I UV continuum is significantly below the blackbody model. The UV deviation from a blackbody emission (or UV continuum suppression) is well known for normal supernovae, and is generally thought to be due to a forest of line absorption by heavy elements (so called line-blanketing at $\lambda < 1300$ Å), as well as UV photons scattered to longer wavelengths by the fast expanding ejecta (Pauldrach et al. 1996; Bufano et al. 2009). It is also known that at maximum light, SLSNe-I tend to be bluer and the UV continuum suppression is much less than that of normal SNe (Quimby et al. 2011; Yan et al. 2017).

Comparison of Table 4 suggests a robust conclusion that SN2017egm has $\beta = 0.8^{+0.15}_{-0.16}$, much smaller than $\beta = 2.94^{+0.09}_{-0.04}$ for iPTF13ajg. Another way of describing the UV continuum variation is the 1400–2800 Å continuum flux ratio, which changes from 1.5 in Gaia16apd, to 0.5 in iPTF13ajg. Nicholl et al. (2017c) also uses a modified blackbody SED with $\beta = 1$ to model a sample of SLSNe-I. Our analysis shows that the UV SED variation is large enough that a single SED template is not sufficient when modeling samples of SLSNe-I. The large near-UV SED variation is also noted in Lunnan et al. (2018), who found that for a sample of SLSNe-I at $z \sim 0.5–1.5$, their peak absolute magnitudes at near-UV (2600 Å) span over 4 mag, whereas the peak magnitudes at 4000 Å spread over only 2 mag.

The physical origin of the UV variation in SLSNe-I is not clearly understood. Nicholl et al. (2017b) argued that the extremely high UV continuum at maximum light from Gaia16apd can be explained by its high magnetar power and the small photospheric radius. In Figure 4, we plot the magnetar power as a function of time since explosion, described by the equations:

$$t_{\text{spin}} = 1.3 \times 10^5 \left( \frac{M_{\text{NS}}}{1.4 M_\odot} \right)^{3/2} \left( \frac{B}{10^{14} \text{ G}} \right)^{-2} \left( \frac{P}{1 \text{ ms}} \right)^2 \text{ s}$$

$$F_{\text{mag}} = 2 \times 10^{47} \left( \frac{B}{10^{14} \text{ G}} \right)^2 \left( \frac{P}{1 \text{ ms}} \right)^{-4} \left( 1.0 + \frac{t}{t_{\text{spin}}} \right)^{-2} \text{ erg s}^{-1}.$$
spectra for the same SLSN-I.

indicated velocities. The shifts are generally different for UV and optical

et al. 2011

Figure 5. Normalized spectra in comparison with the model spectrum (blue dashed line) generated by Quimby et al. (2018) using syn++ code (Thomas et al. 2011). To align the spectral features, we redshifted the spectra by the

assumption of

This equation, we can infer photospheric radius \( R \) as well as photospheric velocity \( v_{\text{phot}} \) using \( R / \Delta t_{\text{exp}} \), where \( \Delta t_{\text{exp}} \) is the time since explosion. We find ratios \( v_{\text{phot}} / v_{\text{O II}} \) of 0.49, 0.51, 1, and 0.62 for Gaia16apd, SN2017egm, iPTF13ajg, and PTF12dam, respectively. Only iPTF13ajg appears to have a \( \text{O II} \) velocity close to its photospheric velocity, and another three events with less UV suppression seem to have \( \text{O II} \) ions expanding faster than the photosphere. One might argue the small photospheric velocities could be due to uncertainties in \( \Delta t_{\text{exp}} \). It seems unlikely that for all three events \( \Delta t_{\text{exp}} \) values are systematically overestimated by a factor of 2, which would make the true rise times for Gaia16apd and SN2017egm \( \sim 15 \) days. This is too short for an SLSN-I (Nicholl et al. 2015; De Cia et al. 2017), making this explanation unlikely. Another possibility is that the assumption of \( T_{\text{BB}} = T_{\text{eff}} \) is no longer valid, with \( T_{\text{eff}} \leq T_{\text{BB}} \), which would imply a larger photospheric radius \( R \) and \( v_{\text{phot}} \). As discussed in Nakar & Sari (2010), \( T_{\text{eff}} \) in \( L_{\text{bol}} = 4 \pi \sigma R^2 T_{\text{eff}}^4 \) is based on the assumption of thermal equilibrium. The observed temperature \( T_{\text{BB}} \) is mostly determined by the outer layers of ejecta. If these layers are not in thermal equilibrium, the observed temperature \( T_{\text{BB}} \) would be \( > T_{\text{eff}} \). We conclude that

the high-velocity gas layers that make significant contributions to the observed spectra for Gaia16apd, SN2017egm, and PTF12dam are likely not in thermal equilibrium.

The far-UV HST spectra show three new absorption features at 1250, 1400, and 1650 Å, detected in both SN2017egm and Gaia16apd (also partially in PTF12dam), and with similar equivalent widths. We note that the 1650 Å feature is particularly broad and strong. We use the synthetic spectrum to make a tentative spectral identifications. The mock spectrum includes ions such as Fe III, Ti III, Ti II, Si II, Mg II, O II, O I, C IV, C III, and C II; a detailed discussion can be found in Quimby et al. (2018). We identified the 1250 Å feature as the blend of C II, O I, Si II, Ti III, and Fe III, and the 1400 Å feature from C IV, Si II, and Ti III. However, the most prominent absorption at 1650 Å is poorly explained by the synthetic spectrum. This feature blends into the absorption at 1950 Å which was identified as Fe III, and possibly also Co III (Mazzali et al. 2016). It is possible that the broad feature at 1650 Å is a blend of Si II, C II, C III, and Fe III, with a strength and broadening significantly different from the syn++ calculated spectrum. Better line identifications at the far-UV are needed in future studies.

In summary, the seven broad UV absorption features are stronger than the features in the optical regime in the early-time spectra of SLSNe-I. We find that their strengths, i.e., equivalent widths, appear to be remarkably similar within a factor of two among these four events. This was also noted in Nicholl et al. (2017b) for Gaia16apd and iPTF13ajg. The uniformity and large equivalent width of UV spectral absorption features bode well for high-z SLSN-I searches because ground-based follow-up spectroscopy will rely on them for solid classifications.

3.2. Interstellar and Circumgalactic Media along the Line of Sight to SN2017egm

The far-UV spectrum of SN2017egm provides a sensitive probe of ISM absorbers at different velocities along the line of sight and enables the measurements of metal abundances, thus shedding light on the SN host environment.

We first applied a Savitsky–Goay filter to the SN spectrum to produce a model of the complex continuum emission, including the broad SN features. Strong narrow lines were interpolated prior to applying the filter. We then divided the observed spectrum by the model spectrum to produce a normalized spectrum, shown in Figure 6. This spectrum clearly reveals several sets of absorption systems: one at \( z = 0 \) from the Milky Way Galaxy, and two more related to the host system. The first, at \( z = 0.0307 \), is effectively consistent with the emission-line redshift of NGC 3191. The other system is at \( z = 0.0299 \), corresponding to a relative blueshift of 235 km s\(^{-1}\).

Although there is no other galaxy directly along the line of sight toward NGC 3191, at 73° to the west (44 kpc in projection) a companion galaxy, SDSS J101857.98+462714.6, is visible. The spectroscopic redshift of this system is \( z = 0.02993 \), consistent with our second absorption system, making this galaxy a plausible counterpart of that system. Alternatively, the blueshifted system could be due to an outflow or wind emanating from NGC 3191, as has been seen in some other high-SFR low-z galaxies (Grimes et al. 2009; Alexandroff et al. 2015; Heckman et al. 2015).

Our spectroscopic coverage includes the damped Ly\( \alpha \) absorption (DLA) feature from neutral hydrogen.
this feature is complicated by blending between the host and the companion, as well as uncertainties in the continuum modeling, and it is difficult to constrain the individual contributions of the two component systems to the overall line. Modeling the line as two separate, blended Voigt profiles, we can robustly constrain the total column density of the two systems together to $5 \times 10^{19} \text{cm}^{-2} \leq N(\text{H I}) \leq 9 \times 10^{19} \text{cm}^{-2}$; our best-fit estimate is $(6.7 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{19} \text{cm}^{-2}$, making the system technically a sub-DLA (Péroux et al. 2003). This spread in $N(\text{H I})$ is due to uncertainties in the relative contributions of the two systems and continuum placement. The derived $N(\text{H I})$ is an order of magnitude less than a typical value through a disk of a spiral galaxy with stellar mass $>10^{10} M_{\odot}$, measured by the Nearby Galaxy Survey (THINGS; Walter et al. 2008; Leroy et al. 2008). This result has two implications. One is that SN2017egm may have exploded on the near side of the galaxy, where there is less neutral H I material along the line of sight. One may argue for the second possibility that UV fluxes from the SN explosion could photoionize a large fraction of neutral H I in the disk of NGC 3191, and SN2017egm could be anywhere in the disk. To validate this hypothesis, we calculate the time required, $t_{\text{phot}}$, to photoionize $N(\text{H I}) \sim 10^{21} \text{cm}^{-2}$ over a scale height $R$ of an H I disk. H i-ionizing flux, $J_{\text{UV}}$, at the time of SN explosion is poorly constrained by observation. Let us take $J_{\text{UV}}$ as a fraction of $f_{\text{UV}}$ of the peak bolometric luminosity ($L_{\text{bol}}$); thus we have $J_{\text{UV}} = \frac{f_{\text{UV}} L_{\text{bol}}}{4 \pi R^2 (13.6 \text{eV})}$. The Milky Way thin disk (stellar) has a scale height of 100 pc. As the most conservative assumption, let us take $R = 50$ pc if the SN is at the mid-plane; thus we have $J_{\text{UV}} = 3 \times 10^{10} (f_{\text{UV}} / 0.01) \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$. In this equation, without any knowledge of the early-time UV fluxes from SN2017egm, we assume it is only 10% of the estimated bolometric luminosity ($f_{\text{UV}} = 0.01$). Here, the maximum $L_{\text{bol}}$ is $2 \times 10^{44} \text{erg s}^{-1}$. To photoionize a column of $10^{21} \text{cm}^{-2}$ H I atoms, we need the same number of H i-ionizing photons, thus, over a timescale of $t_{\text{phot}}$, we have $J_{\text{UV}} t_{\text{phot}} \approx 2 \times 10^{21} \text{ s}$. This is two orders of magnitude longer than the time lag between the explosion and the HST spectroscopy date for SN2017egm. Since $t_{\text{phot}} \propto R^2 / J_{\text{UV}}$, a larger $f_{\text{UV}}$ value will shorten the photoionization timescale $t_{\text{phot}}$ by a factor of a few, but not enough to change our conclusion. This result suggests that photoionization due to the SN explosion is probably localized within a 5 pc region.

Using the calibrated relation of $N(\text{H I}) = 6.86 \times 10^{21} \times E(B - V)$ (Güver & Özel 2009), we derive $E(B - V)$, the host dust extinction of 0.007. This relation is based on Galactic dust-to-gas and dust-to-metal ratios and should work reasonably.

---

Footnote 7: The H I gas can be more extended (Marasco & Fraternali 2011).
well for galaxies with high metallicities. The \( E(B-V) = 0.3 \) (Chen et al. 2017a; Izzo et al. 2018), derived from nebular emission lines at the SN location, represents the dust extinction through the full disk of NGC 3191. The low host extinction correction is further supported by the fact that the blackbody temperature derived from the uncorrected SN2017egm spectra appears to be consistent with that of other SLSNe-I in dwarf galaxies.

Detailed abundance analysis of the metal lines is complicated by the relatively low resolution (FWHM \( \sim 0.47 \) \( \AA \) \( \sim 120 \) km s\(^{-1}\)) of the COS G140L grating, which means that most lines that are strong enough to detect are also saturated. Additional continuum normalization uncertainties and S/N limitations impose other uncertainties. If a line is saturated, its equivalent width, i.e., line strength, becomes less sensitive to the column density of the corresponding ion, making abundance measurement unreliable. Si II 1190, 1193, 1526 \( \AA \) lines are strong lines and are commonly used for metallicity measurements; however, they are saturated in our data. This is checked by their equivalent widths, which are not proportional to their oscillator strengths for the same ion column density.

Three weaker lines, S II \( \lambda \lambda 1250, 1253 \) \( \AA \), Fe II \( \lambda 1608 \) \( \AA \), and Al II \( \lambda 1670 \) \( \AA \), are not saturated and offer a more promising route to constrain the abundances. They are weak but still significantly detected. In the optically thin regime, the column density \( N(X) \) of an ion X is directly proportion to line strength, with \( N(X) = \tau \frac{m_e c^2}{(\pi e^2 f \lambda^2)} \), and \( \tau \) is the integrated optical depth over a whole line; \( f \) is the oscillator strength, and \( m_e \), \( e \), and \( c \) are electron mass, electron charge, and the speed of light, respectively. \( \tau \) is computed from the following equation, \( \tau(\lambda) = A/(\sqrt{2\pi} b_D) \exp[-(\lambda - \lambda_0)^2/(2b_D^2)] \), with \( A \) being the integrated line flux, \( b_D \) as the line width, and \( \chi^2 = \exp[-\tau(\lambda)] \) as the derived line profile. As illustrated by the equation, the optical depth profile is a Gaussian and the line profile is an exponential. The observed line profile is the convolution product of the exponential profile with the instrument Line-Spread-Function (LSF). Line width \( b_D \) is intrinsic line width. We explored various fitting parameters and found that the \( b_D \) values of 0.4 and 0.2 \( \AA \) achieve reasonably good fits to all of the absorption lines.

Table 5 listed the column densities and abundances for the metal lines at these two velocities. Figure 7 shows the fits to various metal lines and broad Ly\( \alpha \) absorption. The best fit to Ly\( \alpha \) requires two almost equal strength absorption components with a velocity separation of 235 km s\(^{-1}\). The \( \chi^2 \) values from the fits suggest that the probability of Ly\( \alpha \) being a single line at one redshift is very small, \(< 2\% \). The derived H I column densities are \((3.0 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{19} \) and \((3.7 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{19} \) cm\(^{-2}\) for the two absorbers at \( z = 0.0307 \) (redshift of NGC 3191) and 0.0299 (companion galaxy), respectively. Element abundance \( [X/H] \) is calculated as \( [X/H] = 12 + \log_{10}(N(X)/N(H)) - [X/H]_{\odot} \), and the corresponding error considers both \( N(X) \) and \( N(H) \) errors quadratically. In Table 5, \([X/H]_{\text{obs}}\) indicates the abundance values computed directly from the column densities without any other corrections. We find \([S/Fe]_{\text{obs}}\) of 0.30 and 0.38 (2.0 and 2.4 \( Z_{\odot} \)) for the two velocity components, respectively.

There are several effects to consider. One is ionization correction, which accounts for the fact that some H and S atoms are ionized.\(^8\) Ionization correction for H is adopted

---

**Table 5**

| Component 1 at \( z = 0.0307 \) | Component 2 at \( z = 0.0299 \) | Total |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------|
| \( \text{N(S II)} \) \(^a\)       | \( \text{N(Fe II)} \)            | \( \text{N(Al II)} \) |
| \( (8.10 \pm 1.90)e+14 \)        | \( (2.13 \pm 0.21)e+14 \)       | \( (6.31 \pm 0.65)e+12 \) |
| \( (3.00 \pm 1.80)e+19 \)        | \( (3.64 \pm 0.56)e+12 \)       | \( (3.70 \pm 0.90)e+19 \) |
| \( \text{[S/Fe]}_{\text{obs}} \) | \( \text{[Al/Fe]}_{\text{obs}} \) | \( \text{[Fe/Al]}_{\text{obs}} \) |
| \( (+0.30 \pm 0.16) \)           | \( (+0.92 \pm 0.11) \)           | \( (+1.42 \pm 0.11) \) |
| \( (-0.62 \pm 0.12) \)           | \( (+1.00 \pm 0.12) \)           | \( (+1.01 \pm 0.12) \) |
| \( (-1.12 \pm 0.12) \)           | \( (+1.10 \pm 0.12) \)           | \( (+0.01 \pm 0.12) \) |
| \( (+0.06 \pm 0.16) \)           | \( (+0.14 \pm 0.12) \)           | \( (+0.06 \pm 0.16) \) |
| \( (-0.86 \pm 0.12) \)           | \( (+1.00 \pm 0.13) \)           | \( (+0.12 \pm 0.12) \) |
| \( (-1.35 \pm 0.12) \)           | \( (+1.12 \pm 0.13) \)           | \( (+0.06 \pm 0.16) \) |
| \( (+0.35 \pm 0.16) \)           | \( (+0.75 \pm 0.12) \)           | \( (+0.35 \pm 0.16) \) |
| \( (+0.25 \pm 0.12) \)           | \( (+0.87 \pm 0.18) \)           | \( (+0.25 \pm 0.12) \) |
| \( (+0.78 \pm 0.13) \)           | \( (+0.87 \pm 0.18) \)           | \( (+0.78 \pm 0.13) \) |

**Notes.**

\(^a\) Column density \( N(X) \) for ion X is in units of \( \text{cm}^{-2} \).

\(^b\) Abundance \( [X/H] \) is logarithmic and relative to solar abundance for ion X. It is defined as \( 12 + \log_{10}(N(X)/N(H)) - [X/H]_{\odot} \). Here, \([S/Fe]_{\text{obs}} = 7.135 \), \([Fe/Al]_{\text{obs}} = 7.475 \), and \([Al/Fe]_{\text{obs}} = 6.44 \) (Asplund et al. 2009).

\(^c\) \( [X/H]_{\text{obs}} \) is the value computed from the observed column densities without any correction. \([X/H]_{\text{DC}} \) is for dust-depletion-corrected value, \([X/H]_{\text{HC}} \) stands for the values including H-ionization correction, and \([X/H]_{\text{corr}} \) is the abundance including both dust and H-ionization correction.

---

\(^8\) H and S ionization potentials are 13.6 and 23 eV, respectively.
Figure 7. Profiles of prominent absorption lines from various species associated with the host galaxy system of SN2017egm (see also Figure 6). All lines except for the sub-DLA (top plot) show a bimodal profile, with the red component at a redshift matching that of NGC 3191 and the bluer component (−235 km s\(^{-1}\)) at the exact redshift of the companion galaxy SDSSJ101857.98+462714.6. The red and blue curves show modeled intrinsic profiles and the green curves are the combined results after convolution with the COS instrumental line-spread-function (LSF).

from a HST UV spectroscopy study of a sample of nearby sub-DLAs by Werk et al. (2014). We adopt a H-ionization fraction of 72% at the corresponding H\(_\text{I}\) column density of 6.7 × 10\(^{19}\) cm\(^{-2}\) (Werk et al. 2014, Table 1). Ionization correction for S is much more difficult and is not considered here. Even without this correction, the [X/H\(_\text{HIC}\)] including H-ionization correction should serve meaningful constraints on the lower limits to the abundances. We conclude that [S/H] abundance should be greater than [S/H\(_\text{HIC}\)] ∼ 0.06 and 0.14 for the two velocity components (1.15 and 1.4 \(Z_\odot\), respectively, Table 5).

Another effect to consider is dust depletion of S, Fe, and Al, which reduces the strengths of the absorption lines. This is why the observed [Fe/H\(_\text{obs}\)] values are very low, −0.62 and −1.36. The large observed [S/Fe\(_\text{obs}\)] and [S/Fe\(_\text{obs}\)] unambiguously imply a substantial amount of dust, comparable to that of the Milky Way. Although dust-depletion corrections to metal elements are uncertain, we proceed and adopt the method based on the [S/Fe] ratio and described in De Cia et al. (2016, 2018). We derived the dust-depletion-corrected [Fe/H\(_\text{corr}\)] ratios of 0.48 and 1.10 (3 and 12 \(Z_\odot\)). Including both the dust-depletion and H-ionization corrections, the final [Fe/H\(_\text{corr}\)] values are 0.25 and 0.87 (1.8 and 7.4 \(Z_\odot\)) for the two velocity components.

After both H ionization and dust-depletion corrections, S, Fe, and Al abundances at \(z = 0.03070\) and 0.0299 are all supersolar, except [Al/H] at \(z = 0.0307\), which is −0.25 (0.56 \(Z_\odot\)). All six metal abundance values indicate a wide range of metallicities for the two absorbers. Such a wide range reflects the difficulties of these types of measurements using absorption lines from a moderate resolution spectrum. If we take the average value of the derived abundances, the result is clearly above solar metallicity. Therefore, taking together the six metal abundance measurements, we conclude that the environment of SN2017egm likely has a solar or higher metallicity. For comparison, the median metallicity of 40 SLSN-I host galaxies published in the literature is 0.3 \(Z_\odot\) on the PP04 N2 scale (Pettini & Pagel 2004; Chen et al. 2017a). Both nebular emission-line and UV absorption line analyses indicate that the metallicities at the SN location and the companion galaxy are at least a factor of 3 higher than that of the host galaxies of most SLSNe-I at low redshift.

Considering the low H\(_\text{I}\) column density alone, one might argue that there could be a dwarf companion galaxy at the location of SN2017egm. However, the high-metallicity result makes this scenario very unlikely, since dwarf galaxies tend to be metal-poor.

4. Summary

We present the maximum light HST UV and optical spectra of the closest SLSN-I, a rare event exploded in a massive spiral galaxy. Using these data, together with three other SLSNe-I, we address the question of how early-time UV continuum and spectral features of SLSN-I vary from source to source. In addition, we set constraints on the metal abundances of local environment of SN2017egm by performing a detailed analysis of narrow UV absorption lines detected in the HST spectra.

High-quality early-time UV spectra of four SLSNe-I appear to detect a common set of broad UV absorption features (seven)
between 1000 and 2800 Å. And the three features at the far-UV 1000–1500 Å are new, revealed only by the HST data for SN2017egm and Gaia16apd (Yan et al. 2017). These UV features appear to be much stronger than ones in the optical regime at similar phases. And furthermore, their absorption strengths characterized by their equivalent widths are similar within a factor of 2 from source to source. The physical implication of this result is worth further exploring in the future. It should provide data constraints on spectral formation models for SLSN-I, which will help us better understand the characteristics of the outer photospheres of SLSNs-I at pre-peak phases. These results also bode well for optical spectral classification of SLSN-I candidates at z > 2.

In contrast to the uniformity of the UV absorption features, the 1000–3000 Å continua vary significantly. We quantify the UV continuum variation using a modified blackbody function, \( f(T_{BB}, \lambda) = B(T_{BB}, \lambda)(\lambda/\lambda_0)^{\beta} \) for \( \lambda < \lambda_0 \), and \( f(T_{BB}, \lambda) = B(T_{BB}, \lambda) \) for \( \lambda > \lambda_0 \). If considering \( T, \beta \), and \( \lambda_0 \) all as the variables, our MCMC calculations find that SN2017egm, iPTF13ajg, and PTF12dam all have \( \lambda_0 \approx 2800 \) Å. Since \( T \) and \( \lambda_0 \) have some degrees of interdependency, in order to compare the far-UV continuum slopes, we fit \( \lambda_0 \) at 2800 Å for all four SLSN-I. The MCMC calculations find that the parameter \( \beta \), describing the decline of the far-UV continuum toward shorter wavelengths, is 0.80±0.15, 1.96±0.14, 1.78±0.03, and 2.94±0.09 for Gaia16apd, SN2017egm, PTF12dam, and iPTF13ajg, respectively. Our SED model is useful for calculating bolometric corrections and modeling LCs using only optical photometry. Using PTF12dam as the reference, we find Gaia16apd has the highest velocity, 14,500–16,000 km s\(^{-1}\), and the ionized, gas-producing UV spectral features are clearly moving faster than those of O\(^+\) ions forming O II absorption at 3500–4700 Å.

We find that observed parameters such as \( T_{BB} \) and \( n_{H} \) cannot be consistently related to the photospheric temperature and velocity \( T_{eff} \) and \( v_{phot} \), where \( T_{eff} = (L_{bol}/4\pi\sigma v_{phot}^2 \Delta \lambda) \). This suggests that the ejecta layers producing continuum emission (photospheric) and absorption features are probably not in thermal equilibrium and their expansion speeds are likely different.

The HST SN2017egm spectra also detect a rich set of narrow absorption lines produced by gas absorbers along the line of sight. Our medium-resolution far-UV spectra (FWHM \( \sim 120 \) km s\(^{-1}\)) clearly detected and resolved two sets of absorption systems, with one component matching the redshift of the host galaxy NGC 3191, and the second, 235 km s\(^{-1}\) blueshifted component, consistent with the redshift of the companion galaxy, SDSS J101857.98+462714.6 (45 kpc away). We hypothesize that these two galaxies are undergoing tidal interaction, and the two absorption systems originate from halo gas surrounding each galaxy.

Besides narrow metal absorption lines, our data also detected a Ly\(\alpha\) absorption from the host galaxy with a total column density of \( (6.7 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{19} \) cm\(^{-2}\) (sub-DLA) This is an order of magnitude smaller than the typical H I column density \( (10^{21} \) cm\(^{-2}\)) measured in the disks of nearby spiral galaxies by the THINGS survey (Leroy et al. 2008; Walter et al. 2008), suggesting that SN2017egm is likely to be near the side of the galaxy, with a fairly low extinction \( (E(B-V) = 0.007) \) for the supernova.

Although the medium spectral resolution prevents us from obtaining accurate measurements of metal abundances of interstellar and circumstellar gas, we are able to set the probable lower limits to the metallicities of the two velocity components to \( > 1 \) Z\(_{\odot}\). This is consistent with nebular gas-phase metallicities measured from optical spectra at the SN location (IFU data) and the companion galaxy (SDSS) (Bose et al. 2018; Chen et al. 2017a; Izzo et al. 2018; Nicholl et al. 2017a). Combining the low H I column density result with the high-metallicity measurement, we can rule out the scenario where SN2017egm is located in a dwarf companion galaxy. We conclude that although it is rare, an SLSN-I event can explode in a metal-rich environment.

We acknowledge many useful discussions with Matt Nicholl at Harvard and Janet Chen at Max-Planck-Institut für Extraterrestrische Physik, in Germany on the measurements of gas-phase metallicities in NCG 3191 and the companion galaxy. We thank Nadia Blagorodnova for providing example python emcee scripts for our spectral fitting. We also thank Nick Scoville at Caltech for discussions on the H I column density distribution of nearby galaxies.
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