Numerical modeling of eccentric mass rotation in chamber filled with fluid
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Abstract. Numerical modeling of eccentric mass rotation in fluid under different water and oil pressures is performed. The relationship of extra-consumed motor power to overcome fluid resistance in downhole operation of screw pump at the maximum design depth of \( n \) is obtained. The power consumption of vibration sources is evaluated in the productive field of low- and high-viscosity oil in Mongolia and Russia.

1. Introduction
Borehole mining method in oil production uses sucker-rod, electric-centrifugal or screw pumps. The application depth of sucker-rod pumps is limited to 1400 m. Deeper level oil recovery involves electric-centrifugal or screw pumps. The highest efficiency in well cleanout and flow rate stimulation is achieved with the method of vibro-treatment of wellbottom zone using downhole vibration sources [1–5]. The unbalance vibration source for downhole operation at depths greater than 1400 m with any available types of pumps is proposed in [6, 7]. The source generates harmonic vibrations due to unbalance mess of load moving relative to the shaft rotation axis and transmits them directly to reservoir. The inner chamber of the vibration source is unsealed, and fluid, entering the chamber from the well, prevents rotation of eccentric mass, which increases the pump motor load. This study aims at finding power consumption of downhole unbalance vibration source in recovery of different-type oil at a depth down to 2500 m.

2. Modeling rotation of eccentric mass in fluid
The downhole vibration source consists of an internal chamber, shaft and eccentric mass, and is placed at the occurrence depth of pay zone. The internal chamber is unsealed and filled with fluid. The eccentric mass is rotated by the shaft; it is made of steel in the form of a semicylinder rotating clockwise at an angular rate \( \omega \) about the axis \( Oz \) (Figure 1). In numerical modeling, the computational domain encompassing the eccentric mass and the internal chamber, \( \Omega = \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2 \), is divided into two sub-domains: \( \Omega_1 \), in which the eccentric mass rotates, and the immobile sub-domain \( \Omega_2 \). Such approach was for the first time proposed in [8] and later on was named the method of Multiple Reference Frame—MRF [9–13]. Equations of hydrodynamics with steady-state flows are solved using one of numerical methods. The net domain remains static, i.e., the net is unchanged during the calculation. In the sub-domain holding the rotating eccentric mass, the equations of fluid flow and continuity include the angular rate \( \dot{\omega} \). In the immobile sub-domain, in the equation of motion, inertia forces are neglected and fluid mass transfer at the boundary of two domains is set as the equality of fluid pressures and normal
component of absolute velocity. At the boundary of the immobile sub-domain $\Omega_2$ and inface of the chamber, sliding condition is set [14–16], and modeling of flows in the boundary layer at the hard surface of the eccentric mass in the sub-domain $\Omega_1$ uses well functions [17].
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*Figure 1.* Arrangement of eccentric mass in fluid-filled chamber of vibration source: line --- marks the boundary between $\Omega_1$ (in which eccentric mass rotates) and $\Omega_2$ at the chamber wall.

Rotation axis of the eccentric mass passes through the point $O$, middlelength section of the eccentric mass blade—$OP$; the the points $M$ and $N$, fluid flow velocities are directed along the vectors $\vec{u}_r$ and $\vec{u}_\rho$, respectively, the points $O$ and $M$ are connected by the radius vector $\vec{r}$.

3. Modeling results of vibration source operation in water

Let us set water pressure in well in the range from 0.101325 to 25 MPa with an increment of 5 MPa and calculate change in density and dynamic viscosity versus depth. The results are compiled in the table below.

| Pressure, MPa | Depth, m | Density, kg/m$^3$ | Dynamic viscosity, $10^{-6}$ Pa-s |
|--------------|----------|------------------|----------------------------------|
| 0.101325     | 0        | 998.2            | 1003                             |
| 5            | 509.6    | 1000.6           | 1000                             |
| 10           | 1016.7   | 1003.0           | 998.1                            |
| 15           | 1521.4   | 1005.4           | 996.1                            |
| 20           | 2023.7   | 1007.8           | 994.2                            |
| 25           | 2523.6   | 1010.2           | 992.3                            |

The power required for the motor to overcome the fluid resistance during operation of the unbalance vibration source at different depth is assessed is given by [14, 16]:

$$ P = 2\pi f \Delta T_q, $$

where $f$ is the rotation frequency of the eccentric mass, Hz; $\Delta T_q$ is the difference of the moments of forces; $T_q$ is the moment of force, N, found by integrating pressure distribution on the middlelength section of the eccentric mass blade with a diameter $D$ and a length $L$ [16]:

$$ T_q = \int_S \vec{r} \times p(x, y)d\sigma = L \int_0^{D/2} p(r)rdr. $$

The pressure distribution $p(p)$ on the blade of the eccentric mass is determined from numerical solution of equations of hydrodynamics with rotating sub-domain. The input parameters for the dynamic...
model are taken from the table above. The rotation frequency of the eccentric mass is assumed as 5 Hz. The calculations in ANSYS Fluid Flow (Fluent) used the Reynolds-averaged Navier–Stokes equations with the standard two-parameter $k–\varepsilon$ model of turbulence for steady-state flows [16, 17, 20, 21]. Figure 2 shows the relationship between the power intake of the motor in overcoming of water resistance during operation of the unbalance vibration source at different depths.
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**Figure 2.** Power consumption as function of pressure in well at various depths (points show the calculated values, solid line is the linear interpolation).

Aiming to analyze influence of oil viscosity and density on motor load in rotation of the eccentric mass, two reservoirs of low-viscosity light and high-viscosity heavy oil are selected.

Verkh-Tarskoe field lies in the north of the Novosibirsk Region, 340 km away from Novosibirsk. The oil-bearing stratum $U_1^1$ with the average occurrence depth of 2455.6–2530.4 m contains light (density $< 850$ kg/m$^3$) low-viscosity ($260\cdot10^{-6}$–780$\cdot10^{-6}$ Pa)-oil with small content of sulfur 0.3% and paraffine 1.81% [22]. The operation of the unbalance vibration source at the occurrence depth of the producing stratum $IO_1^1$ at the well pressure of 23.9 MPa will take 7.43 W to overcome head resistance of oil on the eccentric mass blade and 7.29 W in case that the well is filled with water.

Tsagaan-Els oilfield is located in the south-east of Mongolia, in the East Gobi basin. This is a sandwich-type reservoir represented by a number of producing blocks with tectonic and lithological partings. The main pay zone is from 1000 to 2500 m deep. Oil is highly paraffinic and contains not less than 11% by mass of solid hydrocarbons and not more than 0.2% by mass of sulfur. Well No. 1410 produces viscous ($178040\cdot10^{-6}$ Pa-s) heavy oil (density 921.2 kg/m$^3$) at a depth of 1170 m [23]. The power to be consumed to overcome head resistance of oil is 8.75 W and 3.24 W in the well filled with water.

4. Discussion

It follows from the relationship between the power consumption and well pressure in Figure 2 that there is almost no increment in the power intake down to the depth of 306 m as the dynamic viscosity of water changes insignificantly. Starting from the pressure of 3 MPa, the power consumption grows by the linear law with a slope of 0.327 and initial ordinate $–0.527$. At the depth of 2523.6 m, the consumed power is 7.7 W.

The linear power–pressure dependence follows from the functional connection of the power with the density and dynamic viscosity of fluid in which the eccentric mass rotates. In 1934 White and Brenner [24] obtained the expression for the power consumption:

$$P = N_p \rho \omega^3 D^5,$$

where $\rho$ is the fluid density, kg/m$^3$; $\omega$ is angular velocity, rad/s; $D$ is the eccentric mass diameter, m; $N_p$ is the dimensionless number of power, or the Newton number [14, 16, 20, 21]. The functional
dependence between \( N_p \), Reynolds number \( Re \), Froude number \( Fr \) and geometrical dimensions of turbin and tank where rotation takes place is given in [25, 26]:

\[
N_p = \frac{P}{\rho \omega l D^5} = k \left( \frac{\rho \omega l D^5}{\mu} \right)^\alpha \left( \frac{\omega^2 D}{g} \right)^\beta f(l, w, T, c),
\]  

(4)

where \( k \) is the coefficient of proportionality; \( \mu \) is the dynamic viscosity of fluid, Pa\cdot s; \( \alpha, \beta \) are the experimentally determined exponents; \( f(l, w, T, c) \) is the functional connection between geometrical sizes of turbine and tank; \( l, w \) are the length and width of blade; \( T \) is the length of the tank; \( c \) is the clearance between the blade and the tank bottom.

Inasmuch as the density and dynamic viscosity of fluid changes with increasing pressure, at the same rotation frequency of eccentric mass, the number of power and the density will change by the law:

\[
N_p(p) = 1.35 \times 10^{-3} p - 0.00214, \quad \rho(p) = 0.48 p + 998.2.
\]

Placing \( N_p(p) \) and \( \rho(p) \) in (3) produces:

\[
P(p) = 0.00016 p^2 + 0.323 p - 0.513.
\]

Disregarding the quadratic term in the latter expression, we obtain the linear dependence:

\[
P(p) = 0.323 p - 0.513.
\]

In the producing well in the stratum \( \text{U}_1 \) in Verkh-Tarskoe oil field, the difference between the power intakes by the eccentric mass in fluid and water is 0.14 W. Such small difference is due to low viscosity of oil as compared with water and similarity of pressure distributions on the eccentric mass blade (Figure 3a).

For Tsagaan-Els field and well No. 1410, the difference in pressure distributions is higher for oil as against water (Figure 3b). Consequently, the power consumption is 2.7 times higher in oil than in water. The densities in water (1003.7 kg/m³) and heavy oil (921.2 kg/m³) differ insignificantly [23], and for this reason, the difference between values of pressure distribution on the eccentric mass blade \( (r = 0 \text{ m}) \) is also small—29 Pa.

5. Conclusions
The power consumption required to overcome water resistance in operation of downhole unbalance vibration source grows linearly with depth.

The unbalance source can operate in fields of light, low-viscosity and heavy viscous oil. In terms of Verkh-Tarskoe field of light and low-viscous oil in the stratum \( \text{U}_1 \) at the average depth of
occurrence ranging from 2455.6 to 2530.4 m, it is shown that overcoming of oil resistance in operation of downhole unbalance vibration source will consume 7.43 W additionally to 0.9 kW taken by the source. In Tsagaan-Els field of heavy viscous oil in productive stratum at a depth of 1170 m, 8.75 W of the motor net power will be consumed.
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