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Abstract. Recent experimental and theoretical ideas are laying the ground for a new era in the knowledge of the parton structure of nuclei. We report on two promising directions beyond inclusive deep inelastic scattering experiments, aimed at, among other goals, unveiling the three dimensional structure of the bound nucleon. The 3D structure in coordinate space can be accessed through deep exclusive processes, whose non-perturbative content is parametrized in terms of generalized parton distributions. In this way the distribution of partons in the transverse plane will be obtained, providing a pictorial view of the realization of the European Muon Collaboration effect. In particular, we show how, through the generalized parton distribution framework, non nucleonic degrees of freedom in nuclei can be unveiled. Analogously, the momentum space 3D structure can be accessed by studying transverse momentum dependent parton distributions in semi-inclusive deep inelastic scattering processes. The status of measurements is also summarized, in particular novel coincidence measurements at high luminosity facilities, such as Jefferson Laboratory. Finally the prospects for the next years at future facilities, such as the 12 GeV Jefferson Laboratory and the Electron Ion Collider, are presented.
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Introduction

The nucleus is a unique laboratory for fundamental studies of the QCD hadron structure. For example, the extraction of the neutron information from light nuclei, essential for a precise flavor separation of parton distributions (PDs), the measurement of nuclear PDs, relevant for the analysis of nucleus-nucleus scattering aimed at producing quark-gluon plasma, or the phenomenon of in-medium fragmentation, mandatory to unveil the dynamics of hadronization, require nuclear targets. Nevertheless, inclusive Deep Inelastic Scattering (DIS) off nuclei has proven to be unable to answer a few fundamental questions. Among them, we list: (i) the quantitative microscopic explanation of the so called European Muon Collaboration (EMC) effect \[1\], i.e., the medium modification of the nucleon parton structure; (ii) the full understanding of the structure of the neutron; (iii) the medium modification of the distribution of parton transverse momentum, relevant for studies of hadronization as well as of chiral-odd quantities, such as the transversity PDs or the Sivers and Collins functions.

Novel coincidence measurements at high luminosity facilities, such as Jefferson Laboratory (JLab), have become recently possible, addressing a new era in the knowledge of the parton structure of nuclei \[2\]. In particular, two promising directions beyond inclusive measurements, aimed at unveiling the three dimensional (3D) structure of the bound nucleon, are deep exclusive processes off nuclei, and semi-inclusive deep inelastic scattering (SIDIS) involving nuclear targets. In deep exclusive processes, one accesses the 3D structure in coordinate space, in terms of generalized parton distributions (GPDs) \[3\]; in SIDIS, the momentum space 3D structure can be obtained by studying transverse momentum dependent parton distributions (TMDs) \[3\]. In the following, we show how, in this way, a relevant contribution is expected to the solution of long standing problems, such as: (i) the non nucleonic contribution to nuclear structure, (ii) the quantitative explanation of the medium modification of the nucleon parton structure, (iii) a precise flavor separation of the nucleon parton distributions, or (iv) the mechanism of in-medium hadronization as a fundamental test of confinement.

The report is structured as follows. The next section is dedicated to show one of the first motivations for the measurement of nuclear GPDs, i.e., how the contribution of non-nucleonic degrees of freedom can be singled out, while the same contributions are much more difficult to be accessed in standard DIS experiments \[4\]. In the second section, another idea in favor of the measurements of nuclear GPDs, proposed in \[5\], will be reported, together with its most recent developments. Thanks to this proposal, using an interesting relation between GPDs and one of the form factors of the parton energy momentum
1 Non-nucleonic degrees of freedom in nuclei from nuclear GPDs

The first paper on nuclear GPDs [4], concerning the deuteron, contained already the crucial observation that the knowledge of GPDs would permit the investigation of the interplay of nucleon and parton degrees of freedom in the nuclear wave function. In standard DIS off a nucleus with four-momentum $P$ and $A$ nucleons of mass $M$, this information can be accessed in the region where $x_{Bj} = \frac{Q^2}{2M}$ is greater than 1, $\nu$ being the energy transfer in the laboratory system and $Q^2$ the momentum transfer. In this region, kinematically forbidden for a free proton target, very fast quarks are tested and measurements are therefore very difficult, because of vanishing cross-sections. As explained in [8], a similar information can be accessed in DVCS at lower values of $x_{Bj}$.

To understand this aspect, it is instructive to analyze coherent DVCS in Impulse Approximation (IA). Let us think, to fix the ideas, to unpolarized DVCS off a nucleus with $A$ nucleons, which is sensitive to the GPD $H^A_q$ only. This has been treated in [9] for the deuteron target, in [10] for spin-0 nuclei, in [11] for nuclei with spin up to 1, in [12] for $^3$He and in [13] for $^4$He. In IA, $H^A_q$ is obtained as a convolution between the non-diagonal spectral function of the internal nucleons and the GPD $H^A_q$ of the nucleons themselves.

The scenario is depicted in fig. 1 for the special case of coherent DVCS, in the handbag approximation. One parton with momentum $k$, belonging to a given nucleon of momentum $p$ in the nucleus, interacts with the probe and then reabsorbed, with momentum $k + \Delta$ by the same nucleon, without further re-scattering with the recoiling system of momentum $P_R$. Finally, the interacting nucleon with momentum $p + \Delta$ is reabsorbed back into the nucleus. The IA analysis is quite similar to the usual IA approach to DIS off nuclei, the main assumptions being: (i) the nuclear operator is approximated by a sum of single nucleon free operators, i.e., there are only nucleonic degrees of freedom; (ii) the interaction of the debris originating by the struck nucleon with the remnant ($A - 1$) nuclear system is disregarded, as suggested by the kinematics (close to the Bjorken limit) of the processes under investigation; (iii) the coupling of the virtual photon with the spectator ($A - 1$) system is neglected (given the high momentum transfer), (iv) the effect of the boosts is not considered (they can be properly taken into account in a light-front framework). It turns out that $H^A_q$ can be written in the form:

$$H^A_q(x, \xi, \Delta^2) = \sum_N \int x \frac{dz}{z} h^A_N(z, \xi, \Delta^2) H^N_q \left( \frac{x}{z}, \frac{\xi}{z}, \frac{\Delta^2}{z^2} \right)$$

(1)

where $\xi = -\Delta^+ / 2P^+$ and $\Delta^2 = (p - p')^2$ are the skewness and the momentum transfer to the hadron, respectively, $P = (p + p') / 2$ and

$$h^A_N(z, \xi, \Delta^2) = \int dE \int dp \ P^A_N(p, p + \Delta, E) \times \delta \left( z + \xi - \frac{p^+}{P^+} \right)$$

(2)

is the off-diagonal light-cone momentum distribution of the nucleus $N$ in the nucleus $A$. Our definition of the light-cone variables is, given a generic four vector $a^\mu$, $a^\pm = (a^0 \pm a^3) / \sqrt{2}$.

$P^A_N(p, p + \Delta, E)$ is the one-body off-diagonal spectral function, firstly introduced in [9], where it is calculated for the $^3$He target. $E = E_{min} + E_R^*$ is the so called removal energy, with $E_{min} = |E_A| - |E_{A-1}|$ and $E_R^*$ the excitation energy of the nuclear recoiling system.

One should notice that eq. (1) fulfills the general properties of GPDs [3], i.e., the forward limit reproduces the standard nuclear PDF in IA, the first $x$-moment yields the IA form factor. The polynomiality property is fulfilled formally but in any calculation using non-relativistic wave functions it is actually valid only at order $O(\frac{x^2}{x_{Bj}^2})$.

By taking the forward limit ($\Delta^2 \to 0$, $\xi \to 0$) of eq. (1), one gets the expression which is usually found, for the parton distribution $q_A(x)$, in the IA analysis of unpolarized DIS:

$$q_A(x_{Bj}) = H^A_q(x_{Bj}, 0, 0) = \sum_N \int \frac{dz}{z} f^A_N(z) q_N \left( \frac{x_{Bj}}{z} \right).$$

(3)
In the latter equation,
\[ f_N^A(\bar{z}) = h_N^A(\bar{z}, 0, 0) \]
\[ = \int dE \int dp \, P_N^A(p, E) \delta \left( \bar{z} - \frac{p^+}{P^+} \right) \]

is the light-cone momentum distribution of the nucleon \( N \) in the nucleus, \( q_N(x_{Bj}) = H_N^q(x_{Bj}, 0, 0) \) is the distribution of the quarks of flavor \( q \) in the nucleon \( N \) and \( P_N^A(p, E) \) is the one body diagonal spectral function.

In a typical IA calculation the light-cone momentum distribution \( f_N^A(\bar{z}) \) turns out to be strongly peaked around the value \( \bar{z} \simeq 1/A \). To select the contribution of the nucleons with large “plus” momentum fraction one needs therefore to be at \( \bar{z} > 1/A \). Looking at the lower integration limit in eq. (4), it is clear that, in the DIS case, this occurs at \( x_{Bj} > 1 \), where the cross sections are very small. Recent analyzes of inclusive data at \( x_{Bj} > 1 \) have only been able to quantify the number of such fast, correlated nucleons, but not to really study their internal structure [11,12,13].

In the coherent channel of a hard exclusive process one has instead a much more structured off-diagonal light-cone momentum distribution. In particular, the presence of the independent variable \( x \) analyzes of inclusive data at \( x_{Bj} > 1 \) contributes with an \( x_{Bj} \) independent term to the real part of the DVCS amplitude, which is accessible through the beam charge asymmetry [14]. At the same time, this ff is related to the so-called D-term in the parametrization of the GPDs [15]. At small \( x_{Bj} \) and \( t \), to the leading order in \( \alpha_s(Q) \), the \( x_{Bj} \) dependent contribution to the real part of the DVCS amplitude is basically given by the “slice” \( H_1(\xi, t) \) of quark GPD, directly measurable in the DVCS beam spin asymmetry. In principle, the ff \( d^2(t) \) can be therefore extracted from combined data of DVCS beam spin asymmetry and beam charge asymmetry.

In the Breit frame, where \( \Delta^t = 0 \) and \( t = \Delta^z = -\Delta^2 \), one can introduce the static EMT as follows:
\[ T_{\mu\nu}(r, s) = \frac{1}{2E} \int \frac{d^3\Delta}{(2\pi)^3} e^{ir\cdot\Delta} \langle p', S'| T_{\mu\nu}^Q(0)|p, S \rangle. \]

Here \( T_{\mu\nu}^Q = \frac{i}{2} \bar{\psi} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{\nu} \psi \) is the quark part of the QCD EMT (the gluon case is analogous) and the normalization \( N/N = 2 m_N \) is assumed. The ffs we are interested in, \( d^2(t) \) in eq. (6), is related to the first Mellin moment of the unpolarized GPDs [13]:
\[ \int_{-1}^{1} dx \, x \, H(x, \xi, t) = M_2^2(t) + \frac{5}{4} d^2(t) \xi^2. \]

Thanks to this relation, \( d^2(t) \) can be studied in hard exclusive processes. In particular, \( d^2(t) \) contributes with an \( x_{Bj} \) independent term to the real part of the DVCS amplitude, which is accessible through the beam charge asymmetry [15]. At the same time, this ff is related to the so-called D-term in the parametrization of the GPDs [15]. At small \( x_{Bj} \) and \( t \), to the leading order in \( \alpha_s(Q) \), the \( x_{Bj} \) dependent contribution to the real part of the DVCS amplitude is basically given by the “slice” \( H_1(\xi, t) \) of quark GPD, directly measurable in the DVCS beam spin asymmetry. In principle, the ff \( d^2(t) \) can be therefore extracted from combined data of DVCS beam spin asymmetry and beam charge asymmetry.

In the Breit frame, where \( \Delta^0 = 0 \) and \( t = \Delta^z = -\Delta^2 \), one can introduce the static EMT as follows:
\[ T_{\mu\nu}^Q(r, s) = \frac{1}{2E} \int \frac{d^3\Delta}{(2\pi)^3} e^{ir\cdot\Delta} \langle p', S'| T_{\mu\nu}^Q(0)|p, S \rangle. \]

\( S^\mu \) and \( S^\nu \) correspond to the polarization vector \( (0, s) \) in the rest frame of the nucleon. Various components of \( T_{\mu\nu}^Q(r, s) \) can be interpreted as spatial distributions (averaged over time) of the quark contribution to mechanical characteristics of the nucleon. In particular, using eqs. (6) and (7), one can show that \( d^2(t) \) is related to the traceless part of \( T_{\mu\nu}^Q(r, s) \), which characterizes the spatial distribution (averaged over time) of shear forces experienced by quarks in the nucleon [15]. Considering the nucleon as a continuous medium, \( T_{\mu\nu}^Q(r, s) \) describes the force experienced by quarks in an infinitesimal volume at distance \( r \) from the center of the nucleon. In particular, at \( t = 0 \), one obtains:
\[ d^2(0) = -\frac{m_N}{2} \int d^3r \, T_{ij}^Q(r) \left( \delta^{ij} - \frac{1}{3} \delta^{ij} r^2 \right). \]

First principles predictions are not possible for \( d^2(t) \). Estimates based on a chiral quark soliton model [17] yield, at a low normalization point, \( \mu \approx 0.6 \text{ GeV} \), a rather large and negative value of \( d^2(0) \approx -4.0 \) [18]. The negative sign
charge density $\rho$ also has a negative sign and the corresponding formula is

$$\rho = \frac{1}{3} \delta_{ij} - \frac{1}{3} \delta(r) .$$

(9)

The functions $s(r)$ and $p(r)$ are related to each other by conservation of the EMT. The function $p(r)$ can be interpreted as the radial distribution of the "pressure" inside the hadron. The function $s(r)$ is related to the distribution of the shear forces and, in the model under scrutiny, to the surface tension. In fact, one can assume initially that the pressure $p(r)$ follows basically the trend of the charge density $\rho(r)$, i.e., it has a constant value, $p_0$, in the bulk of the nucleus, and it changes only in the thin "skin" around the radius $R$ of the nucleus. The measurements of coherent hard exclusive processes (like DVCS) on nuclei can give detailed information about deviations of the energy, pressure, and shear forces distributions from that of electric charge. As an illustration, one can consider a liquid drop model for a nucleus, with sharp edges. In this case, the pressure can be written as

$$p(r) = p_0 \theta(R - r) - \frac{p_0 R}{3} \delta(R - r) .$$

(10)

Using the condition $\partial_s T_{ij}(r) = 0$ in eq. (9), one obtains

$$s(r) = \frac{p_0 R}{2} \delta(R - r) = \gamma \delta(R - r) ,$$

(11)

with $\gamma = \frac{\sigma}{\rho}$ being the surface tension. Substituting the solution into eq. (9), $d(0)$ gets the following negative value:

$$d(0) = -\frac{4\pi}{3} m_A \gamma R^4 .$$

(12)

The effect of the finite width of the nuclear "skin" also has a negative sign and the corresponding formula is given in $[16]$. Assuming that the surface tension depends slowly on the atomic number, as it is suggested by nuclear phenomenology, one gets $d(0) \sim A^{1/3}$, i.e. it rapidly grows with the atomic number. This fact implies that the contribution of the D-term to the real part of the DVCS amplitude grows with the atomic number as $A^{1/3}$. This should be compared to the behavior of the amplitude $\sim A$ in IA and experimentally checked by measuring the charge beam asymmetry in coherent DVCS on nuclear targets. A similar $A$ dependence of $d(0)$ has been predicted also in a microscopic evaluation of nuclear GPDs for spin-0 nuclei in the framework of the Walecka model $[23]$. The meson (non-nucleonic) degrees of freedom were found to strongly influence DVCS nuclear observables, in the HERMES kinematics, at variance with the proton case.

The first experimental study of DVCS on nuclei of noble gases, reported in $[24]$, was not able to observe the predicted $A$ dependence. The data are anyway affected by sizable error bars and more precise experiments could provide information on nuclear modifications of the EMT ffs. The idea in $[5]$, summarized here above, has been recently retaken in refs. $[25,26]$, where the EMT ffs of the nucleon in nuclear matter have been investigated in different effective models of the nucleon structure, i.e., in-medium modified SU(2) Skyrme model and $\pi - \rho - \omega$ soliton model, respectively, leading in both cases to specific medium effects which could be observed in future DVCS experiments off nuclear targets.

3 Nuclear GPDs and modified nucleon structure

The study of Nuclear GPDs will shed a new light on several longstanding questions about the partonic structure of nuclei. In particular, one can wonder how the medium modifications of the parton structure of bound nucleons, observed in DIS and responsible of the EMC, anti-shadowing and shadowing effects (see fig. 2), will be reflected in three dimensional observables such as the
GPDs. These effects are describing the variation of the nuclear structure functions with respect to the one of the deuteron, described by the ratio \( R = 2F_2^N / (AF_2^d) \). The shadowing effect is associated with the reduction of \( R \) at \( x_{Bj} < 0.05 \), the EMC effect with the reduction of \( R \) for \( 0.35 < x_{Bj} < 0.7 \) and the anti-shadowing with the slight enhancement between them. The EMC effect is usually described as a modification of the partonic content of nuclei, either linked to an alteration of the nucleons composing them or to the addition of non nucleonic components. As we will see, these assumptions lead to very different predictions for the nuclear GPDs. The shadowing region is usually associated with coherent effects due to the interaction length larger than the internucleon separation in nuclei (see, e.g., [27]). In which case, the cross section is governed by the surface seen by the photon and behaves like \( A^{2/3} \) instead of \( A \). This hypothesis can be adapted to nuclear DVCS and tested against DVCS’ observables.

### 3.1 The EMC region

DVCS on nuclei can occur through two mechanisms, namely coherent DVCS, shown in fig. 1 which gives access to the GPDs of the nucleus as a whole, and incoherent DVCS, shown in fig. 3 which gives access to in-medium nucleon GPDs. The measurement of nuclear GPDs will allow to localize the partons in the transverse plane providing, in the valence region, a pictorial description of the EMC effect observed in DIS. In the case of incoherent DVCS, the comparison between free and in-medium nucleons allow to explore the variation with \( t \) of a properly defined generalization of the EMC ratio, providing the usual one in the forward limit, at \( t = 0 \).

Nuclei of spin-0 (\(^{4}\)He, \(^{12}\)C, \(^{16}\)O...) are especially good candidates for these studies because of their simplicity, indeed at leading twist they are described by a single chiral-even GPD \( H_T(x, \xi, t) \) [1]. In general, GPDs are not observables. In the DVCS amplitude they appear in the so called Compton Form Factors (CFFs), convolution integrals in the non-observable \( x \) variable. CFFs are observable quantities, depending on the experimental variables \( \xi \) and \( t \). Both the real and imaginary parts of the CFF associated to the GPD \( H(x, \xi, t) \) can be uniquely extracted from DVCS beam spin asymmetry and beam charge asymmetry using their different \( \sin \phi \) and \( \cos \phi \) contributions to the cross section, where \( \phi \) is the azimuthal angle of the detected photon with respect to the leptonic plane (see [8] for exact formulas).

In order to describe, in the GPD framework, the nucleon medium modifications, leading to the EMC effect in the inclusive limit, three ways have been followed: (i) Liuti et al. have given a description including dynamical off-shellness of the nucleons [10][29][30], i.e., allowing for medium modification of the nucleon parton structure beyond the conventional binding and Fermi motion ones, already included in the spectral function used in IA analyzes; (ii) Guzey and Siddikov [23] have included meson degrees of freedom [23]; (iii) finally, in another report, medium modified form factors have been included by Guzey et al. [31][32].

The work of Liuti et al. [10][29] includes both a realistic nuclear spectral function, leading to conventional nuclear effects and kinematical off-shellness, and dynamical off-shellness:

\[
H^A_q(x, \xi, t) = \int \frac{d^4P}{(2\pi)^4} H_q^{Noff} (x_N, \xi_N, P^2, t) M^A(P, P_A, \Delta), \tag{13}
\]

where \( M^A \) is the nuclear matrix element and the nucleon is off its mass shell (\( P^2 \neq M^2 \)), a feature affecting directly the nucleon GPD. The latter effect is found to be strongly linked to transverse degrees of freedom and therefore leads to a strong variation of the structure function with \( t \), at zero skewness. This is seen in fig. 4 where the ratio

\[
R_A(x, \xi = 0, t) = \frac{H_A(x, \xi = 0, t)F_N(t)}{H_N(x, \xi = 0, t)F_A(t)} \tag{14}
\]

is shown. In the figure, the curve is plotted as a function of the asymmetric momentum fraction \( X \) (see, e.g., [33]) and not as a function of the standard \( x \), but at zero skewness they have the same value. Traditional Fermi motion and binding effects do not show such behavior, making this observation a direct test of the importance of off-shellness to explain the EMC effect. Liuti et al. also consider the long range effects and the coupling of the virtual photon to mesons and resonances in nuclei, but conclude that none of these mechanisms contribute significantly to nuclear GPDs.

Guzey and Siddikov [23] have however very different findings when including mesons in nuclei. They use the IA taking into account meson degrees of freedom, i.e. an expression for the nuclear GPD which is an extension of eq. (1)

\[
H^A_q(x, \xi, t) = \sum_i \int_{x_i}^{1} \frac{dz}{z} H^A_i(z, \xi, t) H^i_q \left( \frac{x}{z}, \frac{\xi}{z}, t \right), \tag{15}
\]
The predictions for ratios of the nuclear to the free proton asymmetries from Guzey and Siddikov [31].

where $H_q^A$ is the distribution of the hadronic constituents in the nucleus (nucleons and mesons) based on the Walecka model [34] and $H_q^1$ is the distribution of the quarks in these hadrons. In the latter function, no dynamical off-shell-effects are included. They find that the meson contribution has a very strong impact, enhancing the charge asymmetry and suppressing the spin asymmetry for large $A$, as shown in table 1.

Finally, Guzey et al. [31] have explored the possibility to apply medium modification to the GPDs in a similar way than medium modified form factors:

$$H_q^A(x, \xi, t, Q^2) = \frac{F_1^p(t)}{F_1^q(t)} H_q^p(x, \xi, t, Q^2),$$

$$E_q^A(x, \xi, t, Q^2) = \frac{E_1^p(t)}{E_1^q(t)} E_q^p(x, \xi, t, Q^2),$$

$$\tilde{H}_q^A(x, \xi, t, Q^2) = \frac{G_1(t)}{G_1(t)} \tilde{H}_q^p(x, \xi, t, Q^2),$$

where $F_1^p$, $E_1^p$ and $G_1$ are respectively the Dirac, Pauli and axial form factors of the proton. The starred items refer to the bound proton calculated using the quark meson coupling model [35]. This description of the bound nucleons gives rise to an effect opposite to the one predicted by Liuti et al., i.e., a ratio $A_{LU}^p/A_{LU}^p$ which grows with $x_B$ as can be seen in fig. 5.

In the low $x_{Bj}$ region, the contribution of gluons is very important and is especially interesting in the case of nuclei. Indeed, saturation is expected to impact the gluon distribution in nuclei at higher $x_{Bj}$ with respect to what happens for the free nucleon [27]. Moreover, gluons in nuclei are poorly known and it is unclear how the nuclear effects observed for quarks (EMC, anti-shadowing and shadowing) affect the gluons.

By studying shadowing on the $H$ GPD in spin-0 nuclei at low $x_{Bj}$, several authors have predicted a stronger effect on GPDs than on PDFs [27, 28, 29, 30]. They pointed out the high sensitivity of their result to the gluon distributions as well. The imaginary part of the CFF related to the GPD $H$ is indeed predicted to experience a stronger shadowing and to be largely affected by the gluon distribution at $x_{Bj}$ as high as 0.1. This leads to a very original effect, the oscillation of $A_{LU}$ as a function of $t$, predicted in [30] and shown in fig. 5. The real part of the CFF related to the GPD $H$ is also predicted to be strongly affected in spin-0 nuclei with a strong suppression in the $0.01 < x_{Bj} < 0.1$ range, seen in fig. 6. This effect is due to the cancellation of the ERBL and DGLAP region contributions to the real

**Table 1.** The predictions for ratios of the nuclear to the free proton asymmetries from Guzey and Siddikov [31].

| Nucleus | $A_{LU}^{eq}/A_{LU}^{eq,N}$ | $A_{LU}^{208Pb}/A_{LU}^{208Pb,N}$ |
|---------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| $^{12}C$ | 4.61                          | 2.49                             |
| $^{16}O$ | 5.41                          | 2.33                             |
| $^{40}Ca$ | 7.34                          | 1.60                             |
| $^{90}Zr$ | 6.80                          | 0.81                             |
| $^{208}Pb$ | 6.12                          | 0.31                             |

**Fig. 4.** Predictions from Liuti et al. [29] for the ratio eq. (14).

**Fig. 5.** Predictions from Guzey et al. [31] for the ratio of bound to free beam spin asymmetry.

**Fig. 6.** Predictions from Guzey et al. [31] for the coherent nuclear beam spin asymmetry.

**3.2 The shadowing region and gluon GPDs**

By studying shadowing on the $H$ GPD in spin-0 nuclei at low $x_{Bj}$, several authors have predicted a stronger effect on GPDs than on PDFs [27, 28, 29, 30]. They pointed out the high sensitivity of their result to the gluon distributions as well. The imaginary part of the CFF related to the GPD $H$ is indeed predicted to experience a stronger shadowing and to be largely affected by the gluon distribution at $x_{Bj}$ as high as 0.1. This leads to a very original effect, the oscillation of $A_{LU}$ as a function of $t$, predicted in [30] and shown in fig. 5. The real part of the CFF related to the GPD $H$ is also predicted to be strongly affected in spin-0 nuclei with a strong suppression in the $0.01 < x_{Bj} < 0.1$ range, seen in fig. 6. This effect is due to the cancellation of the ERBL and DGLAP region contributions to the real
part of the CFF related to the GPD $H$ (see [36] for a detailed discussion).

Since, at leading order, gluons do not couple to the photons, they cannot be accessed directly with the DVCS process. Deep virtual meson production (DVMP) can be a perfect tool to measure the gluon GPDs. This is especially true for $\phi$ meson production because of the dominance of the $s\bar{s}$ component that suppresses quark exchange channels and enhances the gluon contribution (fig. 8). Therefore, when producing the $\phi$ meson, we effectively probe the gluon structure of the target. Work from [39] shows how one can extract the gluon GPDs of a proton target using exclusive $\phi$ lepto-production. The extension to nuclei is not so straightforward, in particular at intermediate energies, where it was suggested that factorization might not hold [40]. However, the uniqueness of this probe into the gluon content of nuclei deserves further theoretical work to be done in order to analyze possible future data from JLab.

3.3 Experimental perspectives

The first experiment to explore GPDs for nuclei has been performed by the HERMES collaboration in DESY [24]. However, they could not differentiate coherent and incoherent channels directly and had to rely on the dominance of either channel at small and large $t$, respectively. They found no modification of the asymmetries with $A$ in either $t$ sectors (cf. fig. 9), while a basic description of the nuclei in terms of the constituent nucleons [8,7] predicts an important combinatorial enhancement of asymmetries in the coherent region. However, the difficulty to decipher the coherent and incoherent channels in HERMES data makes it difficult to reach a strong conclusion.

As the HERMES results [24] have shown, the measurement of nuclear DVCS is very difficult. This difficulty lies in the large energy gap between the high energy photons and the slow recoiling nuclei, which need very different detector systems to be measured in coincidence. The CLAS collaboration at JLab has performed a measurement of coherent DVCS on $^4$He which is still under analysis. The preliminary results indicate that they were successful in measuring both coherent and incoherent DVCS channels exclusively [41,42]. While these results are not released yet, the preliminary analysis clearly shows only a small coverage in $x_{Bj}$ and $t$ and we should expect that an extension of this program with the upgraded CLAS12 will provide a large data set to analyze light nuclei GPDs in the valence region. Farther in the future, the project of an electron-ion collider in the US [43] will be the perfect tool to study nuclear DVCS. Indeed, because of the collider kinematics, it will be much easier to detect the recoiling nuclei and to polarize the incoming nuclei. Together with the high energy available, the electron ion collider will allow to cleanly map the nuclear GPDs at low $x$, including gluon GPDs.
4 Flavor separation using light nuclei

Since conventional nuclear effects, if not properly evaluated, can be easily mistaken for exotic ones, light nuclei, for which realistic calculations are possible and conventional nuclear effects can be calculated exactly, play a special role. Besides, light nuclei impose their relevance in the extraction of the neutron information, necessary to perform a clean flavor separation of GPDs and TMDs, crucial to test QCD fundamental symmetries and predictions. We note that an indirect procedure to constrain the neutron GPDs using coherent and incoherent DVCS off nuclei has been proposed in [44].

In the following two subsections, the help one can get from studies of light nuclei will be summarized for GPDs and TMDs, respectively, in particular for the $^3$He target.

4.1 GPDs

As it has been shown in section 1, the conventional treatment of nuclear GPDs, through IA, involves a non-diagonal nuclear spectral function. The complicated dependence on the momentum and removal energy of the spectral function can be evaluated exactly for $^3$He, which is therefore simple enough to allow a realistic treatment and very suitable, being not scalar, for polarization studies and, being not isoscalar, for flavor separation.

A realistic microscopic calculation of the unpolarized quark GPD of the $^3$He nucleus has been presented in [9]. The proposed scheme points to the coherent channel of hard exclusive processes. Nuclear effects, evaluated within the AV18 potential [45], are found to be larger than in the forward case and increase with increasing $t$ and keeping $\xi$ fixed, and with increasing $\xi$ at fixed $t$. Besides, the obtained GPD cannot be factorized into a $t$-dependent and a $t$-independent term, as suggested in prescriptions proposed for finite nuclei.

In [46], the analysis has been extended, showing that other conventional nuclear effects, such as isospin and binding ones, or the uncertainty related to the use of a given nucleon-nucleon potential, are rather bigger than in the forward case. An example is seen in figs. 10 and 11. Clearly, nuclear effects increase when the light-cone momentum distributions, eqs. (2) and (4), depart from a delta-like behavior. Besides, nuclear effects for the $u$ ($d$) flavor follow the path of the proton (neutron) light-cone momentum distributions. The experimental check of this behavior, typical prediction of a realistic conventional IA approach, which should not show up in an isoscalar target, such as $^2$H or $^4$He, would give relevant information on the reaction mechanism of DIS off nuclear targets.

Fig. 10. Upper panel: the dashed (full) line represents the ratio of the $^3$He GPD $H$ to the corresponding quantity of the constituent nucleons (2 protons and one neutron), for the $u$ ($d$) flavor, in the forward limit, as a function of $x_3 = 3x$. Lower panel: the dashed (full) line represents the light cone momentum distribution, eq. (3), for the proton (neutron) in $^3$He.

Fig. 11. Upper panel: the same as in the upper panel of the previous figure, but at off-forward kinematics: $t = -0.25$ GeV$^2$ and $\xi = 3 = 0.2$. Lower panel: the dashed (full) line represents the light cone off diagonal momentum distribution, eq. (2), for the proton (neutron) in $^3$He at the same off-forward kinematics.
In ref. 47, the issue of the extraction of the neutron information, in particular the one related to the parton angular momentum content, accessible in principle through the Ji’s sum rule if also the GPD $E$ is measured, has been addressed. Whenever properties related to the polarization of the neutron have to be studied, $^3$He is an ideal target, since at a 90% level it is equivalent to a polarized neutron. It was found that the sum of $H$ and $E$ is dominated to a large extent by the neutron contribution. A technique has been therefore proposed [48], able to take into account the nuclear effects included in the IA analysis and to safely extract the neutron information at values of the momentum transfer large enough to allow the measurements. A similar extraction technique has been successfully tested for the extraction of the $\bar{H}$ GPD from the corresponding quantity of $^3$He in [49]. In this case, this investigation would require coherent DVCS off polarized $^3$He, a challenging but not impossible measurement at present facilities [50]. Thanks to these observations, coherent DVCS should be considered a key experiment to access the neutron GPDs and, in turn, the orbital angular momentum of the partons in the neutron. One should notice that isoscalar targets, such as $^2$H and $^4$He, have a very small contribution from the $E$ GPD and are not useful for this investigation. The measurement of the $E$ GPD would require anyway transverse polarization of $^3$He and a very difficult measurement in the coherent channel, at the present facilities. The other way to obtain the neutron information could be through incoherent DVCS off the deuteron, a process which is hindered by FSI; specific kinematical regions, where FSI are known to be less relevant, have to be therefore selected and dedicated theoretical estimates of FSI in this channel will be very important. An experiment of this kind has been approved at JLab and will run after the 12 GeV upgrade [51]. Another promising possibility for the measurement of DVCS off the neutron, to be detailed in forth-coming proposals [52], is that offered by the detection of a slow recoiling proton in DVCS off the deuteron, exploiting the experimental setup successfully used in spectator SIDIS by the BONUS collaboration at JLab [53]. We note in passing that, for the deuteron target, the coherent channel has been thoroughly studied theoretically [49], showing that coherent measurements are possible and would be very interesting. However, to fully unveil the rich GPDs structure of this spin-1 system, one should be able to polarize the target, a rather complicated issue at present.

In this scenario, $^3$He represents an important target for nuclear GPDs studies. Its conventional structure is completely under control, and it is ideal to check the interplay of conventional and exotic effect, as a playground to have hints on them when heavier nuclear targets are used. Besides, it is a unique effective polarized neutron and the neutron $E$ and $\bar{H}$ GPDs at low $t$ could be extracted easily from the corresponding $^3$He quantities, with little model dependence. This would require measurements of coherent DVCS, certainly challenging but, for $\bar{H}$, unique and not prohibitive.

### 4.2 TMDs

The most natural process to obtain information on the 3D nucleon structure in momentum space is SIDIS, i.e. the process where, besides the scattered lepton, a hadron is detected in coincidence. If the hadron is fast, one can expect that it originates from the fragmentation of the active, highly off-mass-shell quark, after absorbing the virtual photon. Hence, the detected hadron carries valuable information about the motion of quarks in the parent nucleon before interacting with the photon, and in particular on their transverse motion. Therefore, through SIDIS reactions, one can access TMDs (see, e.g., refs. [51,55] and try to shed some light on issues which cannot be explained in the collinear case, such as the phenomenology of the transversity PDF, the solution to the spin crisis and, in the nuclear case, the mechanism of nuclear DIS processes and the EMC effect. In order to experimentally investigate the wide field of TMDs, one should measure cross-section asymmetries, using different combinations of beam and target polarizations (see, e.g., ref. [56]). In particular, single spin asymmetries (SSAs) with transversely polarized targets $\vec{A}$ allow one to experimentally distinguish the Sivers and the Collins contributions, expressed in terms of different TMDs and fragmentation functions (FFs) [51]. A large Sivers asymmetry was measured in $\vec{p}(e,e'\pi^+)x$ [57] and a small one in $\vec{D}(e,e'\pi)x$ [58], showing a strong flavor dependence of TMDs. To clarify this issue, high precision experiments involving both protons and neutrons are needed. This puzzle has attracted a great interest in obtaining new information on the neutron TMDs.

The possibility to extract information on neutron TMDs from measurements of the SSAs in the processes $^3He(e,e'\pi^\pm)$, using transversely polarized targets, was used in a series of experiments at JLab Hall-A [50,56], and it will be used again after the 12 GeV upgrade [51].

We have seen that polarized $^3$He is an ideal target to study the neutron spin structure. To obtain a reliable information one has to take carefully into account: (i) the nuclear structure of $^3$He, (ii) the interaction in the final state (FSI) between the observed pion and the remnant debris, and (iii) the relativistic effects.

Dynamical nuclear effects in inclusive deep inelastic electron scattering $^3He(e,e'X)$ (DIS) were evaluated [52] with a realistic $^3He$ spin dependent spectral function. It was found that the formula

$$A_n \approx \frac{1}{p_n f_n} \left( A^{exp}_p - 2 p_p f_p A^{exp}_p \right)$$

(17)

can be safely adopted to extract the neutron information, the asymmetry $A_n$, from the corresponding quantities for the proton and $^3$He. This formula is actually widely used by experimental collaborations (see, e.g. ref. [63]). The nuclear effects are hidden in the proton and neutron "effective polarizations" (EPs), $p_{p(n)}$, $f_{p(n)}$ in eq. 17 are the dilution factors.

To investigate if an analogous formula can be used to extract the SSAs, in [64] the processes $^3He(e,e'\pi^\pm)X$ were
evaluated in the Bjorken limit and in IA. In such a framework, SSAs for $^3He$ involve convolutions of the spin dependent spectral function with TMDs and FFs. Ingredients of the calculations were: (i) a realistic spin dependent spectral function, obtained using the AV18 interaction [65]; (ii) parametrizations of data or models for TMDs and FFs; The extraction procedure through the formula successful in DIS was found to work nicely for both the Sivers and Collins SSA. The generalization of eq. (17) to extract the neutron information was recently used by experimental collaborations [69,70,71,72]. The question whether FSI effects can be neglected was anyway a missing point in the analysis of [64]. This problem has been faced in [65]. In SIDIS experiments off $^3He$, the relative energy between the spectator ($A - 1$) system and the system composed by the detected pion and the remnant debris (see fig. 12) is a few GeV and FSI can be treated through a generalized eikonal approximation (GEA).

The GEA was already successfully applied to nicely describe data of unpolarized spectator SIDIS off the deuteron [59] in ref. [66]. The FSI effects to be considered are due to the propagation of the debris, formed after the $^3H$ absorption by a target quark, and the subsequent hadronization, both of them influenced by the presence of a fully interacting ($A - 1$) spectator system (see fig. 12). Within the GEA, the key quantity to introduce FSI is the distorted spin dependent spectral function, a complicated object defined through overlaps between the $^3He$ wave function and that of the particles in the final state, fully interacting through Glauber re-scatterings. The model parameters can be found in [67]. As a consequence of FSI, from the IA calculation to the GEA one, in the kinematics of [67], the EPs change considerably. Anyway, one has to consider also the effect of the FSI on dilution factors. It was found, in a wide range of kinematics, typical for the experiments at JLab [61], that the product of EPs and dilution factors changes very little [65]. The effects of FSI in the dilution factors and in the EPs compensate each other to a large extent and the usual extraction, given in eq. (17), appears to be safe. Therefore, nuclear effects driven by the GEA description of FSI are safely taken care of by the simple extraction formula eq. (17) (see fig. 13). Relativistic effects are under consideration and preliminary results have been presented in [68].

5 Nuclear transverse momentum dependent parton distributions

As we have seen in the previous section, SIDIS cross sections and the related azimuthal asymmetries can be expressed in terms of TMDs. This is an important focus in recent studies of the nucleon structure [3], and, in principle, one could use the same framework to study nuclei, although calculations involving many nucleons can be tedious. Z.T. Liang et al. [69,70,71,72] have shown how higher twist nuclear effects on TMDs can be simply expressed in terms of a transport parameter, typical of cold nuclear matter:

$$f_N^A(x, k_T) \approx \frac{A}{\pi \Delta_{2F}} \int d^2\ell_{\perp} e^{-(k_{\perp}-\ell_{\perp})^2/2\Delta_{2F}} f_N^N(x, \ell_{\perp}).$$

(18)

where $\Delta_{2F}$ is the average local transport parameter experienced by the struck quark on its path through the nuclear medium.

$$\Delta_{2F} = \int d\xi \hat{q} \hat{\xi}. \quad (19)$$

The local transport coefficient $\hat{q}(\xi)$ of the nuclear medium is defined as the mean transverse momentum squared it induces on a fast parton going through it, $\xi$ being the position in the nucleus in light-cone coordinates. It can be indirectly accessed in many hadronization processes, in which it leads to transverse momentum broadening or jet broadening [73]. Such experiments have suggested values of $\hat{q}$ ranging from 0.075 to 0.75 GeV$^2$/fm in cold nuclear matter [74]. The possibility to measure $\hat{q}$ through TMDs would give an essential cross check on the highly model dependent extraction of this fundamental nuclear parameter. Indeed, the quark transport parameter in nuclei is directly linked to the gluon distribution at $x \to 0$ [75]:

$$\hat{q}(\xi) = \frac{2\pi^2 \alpha_s}{N_c} \rho_N^N(\xi)[xf_N^N(x)]_{x \to 0}, \quad (20)$$

Fig. 12. Interaction between the ($A - 1$) spectator system and the debris produced by the absorption of a virtual photon by a nucleon in the nucleus.

Fig. 13. Check of the extraction procedure, eq. (17), with and without FSI taken into account, for the Sivers (left) and Collins (right) SSAs, in the kinematics of [61].
makes possible to detect the intact nuclei in actual experiments. As we have seen, the identification of the coherent and incoherent channels is very important to interpret the data, which is the biggest challenge for future experimental projects.

At the low end of the $x$ spectrum, in the shadowing region, the models we have reviewed predict very strong nuclear effects for the GPDs and therefore the DVCS observables. The project for an electron ion collider appears to be the best facility in order to test these predictions. Among them, the oscillation of the beam spin asymmetry signal with $t$ at low $x_{Bj}$ seems the most original.

We showed how TMDs can be used to independently measure the nuclear transport parameter $\hat{q}$ and how it directly relates to the gluon distribution at $x \to 0$ and to the saturation scale in nuclei. The extraction of $\hat{q}$ using hadronization data has lead to very different results and is highly model dependent. We find this makes a very strong case for future nuclear TMD experiments, providing a completely independent measurement of such an important nuclear property.

Finally, we have seen that even though not many data are available at present, an important experimental effort is ongoing at JLab, both to analyze existing data and to perform new experiments. We can expect important experimental progresses with the 12 GeV upgrade of JLab, on both nuclear GPDs and TMDs of light nuclei. Further in the future, the construction of an electron ion collider would allow to perform many of the measurements discussed here, with high precision and wide kinematic coverage.
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