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ABSTRACT

Purpose: Diabetes mellitus (DM) is increasing at an alarming rate throughout the world and its complications of has become a major public health concern in all countries. Glycemic control is the most important predictor for DM related complications and deaths. However information on glycemic control remains scarce in Ethiopia including our study area. Hence, the aim of this study was to assess the magnitude and factors associated with poor glycemic control among diabetic outpatients at West Shewa public Hospitals, Ethiopia.

Methods: A facility-based cross-sectional study was conducted from June 01 to September 30, 2020. Poor glycemic control was assessed by glycated hemoglobin level and a systematic random sampling method was employed to select participants. An interviewer-administered structured questionnaire was used and the data entered into Epi data version 3.1 and exported into SPSS version 22 for analysis. Logistic regression was conducted to identify predictors of poor glycemic control. A p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results: A total of 390 participants were involved in the study with mean age of 46.45 (±15.6) years. The study finding showed that the prevalence of poor glycemic control was found to be 63.8%. Age of ≥50 years (AOR = 2.77; 95% CI: 0.15,0.85), being single (AOR = 2.55; 95% CI: 0.179,.857), having high low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (AOR = 3.44; 95% CI: 1.65, 7.12), being female gender (AOR = 2.4; 95%CI: 0.31,0.816), alcohol intake (AOR = 1.88; 95% CI: 1.135, 3.1) and presence of diabetic peripheral neuropathy (AOR = 1.24; 1.1,1.39) were associated with poor glycemic control.

Conclusion: About two-thirds of participants had poor blood glucose control. Increased age, high low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, family history of diabetes, being single, being female, diabetic peripheral neuropathy and alcohol intake were associated with poor glycemic control. Hence, effort should be made towards reducing these factors among DM patients by the concerned body.

1. Introduction

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a common metabolic disorder caused by deficiency in insulin secretion, action or both [1]. It is one of the largest global health emergencies of the 21st century [2]. According to global estimate of DM in 2015, the number of people live with DM aged 20–70 years was predicted to rise to 642 million by 2040 (3). The burden of DM is higher in developing countries where screening and access to care are not readily available (4). Likewise, in Ethiopia, the world health organization DM country profile in 2016 revealed that the overall prevalence of DM was 3.8% [5].

For a successful control of long-term diabetic complications, optimal glycemic control is paramount. Glycemic control (GC) is a term which refers to the optimal levels of blood glucose in a people living with DM (6). The American Diabetes Association (ADA) indicated glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c) as best measure of GC, as a goal of optimal blood glucose control to prevent complications and decrease its management cost (7).

Despite the evidence from several studies establishing the benefits of intensive DM management in chronic complications, high proportion of patients remain poorly controlled (8). In Africa, study in Cameroon and Guinea reported 74% and in Tanzania 69.7% of DM patients had poor GC [8,9]. In Ethiopia, a study done in Mettu Karl Referral Hospital and Tikur Anbessa Specialized hospital reported 72.7% and 80% of DM...
patients had poor GC respectively (10,11).

Identification of the factors related with poor GC is vital in order to institute appropriate interventions for improving GC. Previous studies revealed that the GC is affected by ethnicity, age, sex, education, employment status, marital status, body mass index, smoking status, diabetes duration, presence of comorbidities, non-adherence and type of medications used (12).

GC is the cornerstone in managing the DM. According to the International Diabetes Federation and the ADA guidelines, HbA1c value is the most recommended monitoring parameter for appropriate GC [1]. However, studies on the assessment of GC using HbA1c in Ethiopia including our study area very scarce, majority included only type 2 DM patients and there is inconsistencies between its associated factors. Therefore, the aim of this study was to assess the prevalence and factors associated with poor GC among adult diabetic outpatients at West Shewa public hospitals, Ethiopia.

2. Methods and materials

2.1. Study area, design and study period

An institution based cross-sectional study was conducted from June 01 to September 30, 2020 among 390 diabetic patients attending their follow-up at chronic illness clinic of West Shewa zone public hospitals, Ethiopia. The total population of the West Shoa Zone is estimated to be 2,058,676 of which 1,028,501 are males and 1,030,175 are females in 2018/2019. In this zone, there were 520 health posts, 92 health centers, and 8 hospitals. The Hospitals were Ambo referral Hospital, Ambo general hospitals, Gendeberet general hospital, Bako Primary hospital, Jaldu Primary hospital, Enchini Primary hospital, Gudar Primary hospital and Gedo general hospitals.

These hospitals provide internal medicine, chronic illness care laboratory, radiology, dental and pharmacy, pediatrics, family planning, maternity, gynecologic/obstetric, surgery, emergency, ambulatory clinic TB and HIV services to the people in the zone. Ambo General Hospital and Ambo University Referral Hospital is located at the center of Ambo town, which is the capital of the zone and around 114 km far from the center of the country Addis Ababa to the West.

2.2. Eligibility criteria

Diabetic patients with age ≥18 years on treatment for at least six months were included, while patients with critical illness who unable to communicate at the time of data collection, patients with hearing communicat at the time of data collection, patients with hearing

2.3. Sample size determination

The sample size was calculated using a single population proportion formula with 95% confidence interval, 64.1% [13] proportion and a margin of error 5% i.e. $N = \frac{(z/2)^2 \times \hat{p} \times (1-\hat{p})}{\hat{d}^2} = \frac{(1.96)^2 \times 0.641 \times (1-0.641)}{(0.05)^2} = 354$ and by adding 10% for nonresponse the final sample size was 390.

2.4. Sampling technique and procedure

There are eight public hospitals in West Shewa Zone. Accordingly, Ambo University Referral Hospital was selected purposively due to it serves majority of patients in the zone, and the other three hospitals (Ambo General Hospital, Gdeo General Hospital and Guder general hospital) were selected randomly. Then, the samples will be proportionally allocated for each hospital (Table 1). The study subject from each selected hospitals was taken by systematic random sampling by using their medical record number as sampling frame.
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| Selected hospitals          | No of population | Proportionally allocated samples |
|----------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|
| Ambo general hospital       | 1200            | 109                             |
| Ambo University referral hospital | 1500            | 136                             |
| Guder primary hospitals     | 600             | 54                              |
| Gdeo general hospital       | 1000            | 91                              |
| Total                      | 4300            | 390                             |

2.5. Data collection tool and procedures

Data were collected by face to face interview using pretested structured questionnaire which was adapted after reviewing several related literatures (10, 11, 14–16). Data were collected by health professionals: three trained BSc nurses, one laboratory technologist and one health officer who served as a supervisor.

Weight scale, sphygmomanometer and stadiometer were used to measure weight, blood pressure and height respectively. Height was measured to the nearest centimeter (cm) using a stadiometer with the study participants standing erect on the floor with the back against a vertical mounted ruler. Weight of the participants were measured on a standardized scale and body mass index (BMI) was calculated by dividing weight by height square. Blood pressure (BP) was measured by sphygmomanometer after the participants had rested for 10 min. For those study participants with a systolic blood pressure (SBP) of ≥140 mmHg and a diastolic blood pressure (DBP) of ≥90 mmHg, BP was repeated and finally the mean of the two measurements was taken. Diabetic peripheral neuropathy was assessed by Michigan Neuropathy Screening Instrument.

2.6. Biochemical measurements

Blood sampling consisted of drawing 3 ml of blood from the antecubital vein under aseptic conditions using plain vacationer tubes will be obtained after an overnight fast (≥8hrs). The blood samples will left at room temperature to allow clotting for 15–20 min and centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 min. The levels of glucose, total cholesterol (TC) and triglycerides (TG) measured by COBAS c 311 chemistry analyzer (Roche diagnostic Germany). All laboratory measurements were done as per guideline.

2.7. Operational definitions

- **Poor glycemic control**: was defined as when average glycated hemoglobin level of ≥7%.
- **Good glycemic control**: In our study context when the average glycosylated hemoglobin was <7%.
- **Alcohol consumption**: if reported consumption of alcohol twelve-month prior to the study.
- **Hypertension**: patients whose systolic BP ≥ 140 mmHg and/or diastolic BP ≥ 90 mmHg or use of antihypertensive medication irrespective of the current BP were considered as hypertensive.
- **Diabetic peripheral neuropathy**: was present in our study context if the patient’s history version of MNSI questionnaire score was ≥7 abnormal responses in the legs and/or if the lower extremity examination version of MNSI scores was ≥2.5.

2.8. Data processing and analysis

Data were checked for completeness manually, coded and entered into Epi data software version 3.1. Then it was exported to SPSS software version 22 for the analysis. Measures of central tendency and dispersion for continuous variables were computed. Frequency distribution was
employed for categorical variables.

Bivariable analysis was employed to determine association between poor GC and each independent variable. Variables that were found significant at p-value < 0.25 in bivariable logistic regression analysis were selected as candidate variables for multivariable logistic analysis. Multicollinearity diagnosis was done by checking variance inflation factor greater than 10% and there were no problems with multicollinearity. Multivariable analysis was carried out to identify independent predictors of poor GC and to control confounders. Backward stepwise logistic regression was used to determine independent predictors with p-value less than 0.05 with their respective AOR and 95% of CI. The model fitness was tested by using Hosmer and Lemshow goodness of fit test and the model was declared fit (P > 0.05).

2.9. Data quality assurance

The questionnaire was translated from English language to local language and translated back to English language to check its consistency. Two days training was given for supervisor and data collectors on how to approach study subjects, handling of biological samples and sample collection. Pretest was done on 5% of sample size in Holeta Hospital to check clarity and internal consistency with Cronbach’s Alpha 76.4% of the questionnaire and checklist prior to the actual data collection. Some modifications were made based on the result of the pretest. The equipment for measuring height, weight and blood pressure were calibrated to the standard before measuring each participant. Completeness, accuracy, clarity and consistency of data were checked daily after data collection time by supervisor and the overall activities were monitored by principal investigator. A consistency was tested by a double-entry method and inconsistent entries were crossedcheck.

Standard operating procedure was used for all laboratory analysis of blood samples. The Internal quality control materials for each lipoproteins (HDL-C, LDL-C, TG, and TC) and HbA1c were included during running each test. The tests were conducted based on the manufacturers’ instruction. The quality assurance principles for pre-analytical, analytical and post-analytical stages were applied to assure the quality result. Those intermediate results were repeatedly checked. Visual inspections of neatness of the lab and working bench performed to avoid cross contamination. There was properly recording of the daily result and daily follow up by principal investigator.

a. Pre-analytical phase

The qualities of samples were assured starting from the time of collection. Fasting blood samples collected aseptically by applying universal safety precautions. Proper labeling and storage of blood samples were assured. After collected and packing, all samples shipped to the Ambo University Referral Hospital Laboratory and stored at -20°C refrigerator. Monitoring the refrigerator temperature of -20°C made as a daily work. Assembling and sorting all the required material for the work and the neatness of lab and working bench were assured before sample analysis began.

b. Analytical phase

Based on the manufacturers’ instruction, all blood samples were analyzed for lipid profiles, HbA1c, and FBS by COBAS-C-311-chemistry analyzer (Roche diagnostic Germany) automation. The reagents and the test method were assessed with a known control materials. The internal quality control materials for each lipoproteins (HDL-C, LDL-C, TG, and TC), HbA1c and FBS were run in each test. The standard laboratory procedure was followed and the analysis process was monitored by principal investigator.

c. Post-analytical phase

The results were recorded in a registration book with the individual’s bar-code in daily work. In order to avoid the errors in the results of the test, the reporting was repeatedly checked before. The quality assured results was reported to the principal investigator.

3. Results

3.1. Socio-demographic characteristics

During the study period, 390 diabetic patients participated in the study and the mean age was 46.45 years (±15.6). Almost half of the respondents were males (50.8%), more than three-fourth was married (76.4%) and majority of participants was urban dwellers (62.3%). Many of the respondents (51.3%) were orthodox, one-third (31.5%) were governmental employee. Regarding participant educational status, one-fourth (23.8%) of them had primary education. About 105 (26.9%) of study participants were recall family history of DM (Table 2).

3.2. Clinical and behavioral characteristics of participants

Among study participants, majority (80.5%) of them was diagnosed with diabetes for less than 10 years. A total of 201 (51.5%) study participants were in the normal category of BMI, whereas 126 (32.3%) of the participants were overweight.

Among the total participants, more than half (56.9%) used a non-insulin drug and 129 (33.1%) were on insulin and majority of 353 (90.5) had not used statin. In regard to the laboratory investigations a 16.7% had elevated low-density lipoproteins cholesterol (LDL-c), 114 (29.2%) had elevated total cholesterol (TC) and 247 (63.3%) had elevated triglycerides and 187 (47.9%) had lowered high-density lipoproteins cholesterol (HDLC) (Table 3).

3.3. Prevalence of poor glycemic control among diabetic patients

The overall prevalence of the prevalence of poor glycemic control (PGC) was 63.8% (95%CI: 59.0, 68.5) among the study population. Among this, the prevalence of PGC among type one and type two diabetes patients was found to be 66(59.5%), 183 (65.6%) respectively.

3.4. Factors independently associated with poor controlled glycemia

On bivariate evaluation, twelve variables like age, sex, education level, marital status, physical exercise, history of hypertension, history of alcohol consumption, statin treatment, treatment regimen, family History of DM, LDL-C and presence of diabetic peripheral neuropathy (DPN) showed evidence of some association with the outcome at a p-value of <0.25, hence included in the multivariable logistic regression analysis.

The factors that were identified to be significantly associated with the poor GC were; increased age, High LDL-c, family history of DM, being female, presence of DPN, being single and alcohol consumption. Participants in their 5th decade (50 and above years) were 2.77 times more likely to develop PGC compared to patients younger than 30 years (AOR = 2.77; 95% CI: 0.15, 0.85) controlling for all other factors in the model. Those participants who were single were 2.55 times more likely to develop PGC than their counterpart (AOR = 2.55; 95% CI: 0.179, 0.857) after controlling for all other variables. Participants who were female were 2.4 times more likely to develop PGC than male participants (AOR = 2.4; 95% CI: 0.31, 0.816).

Similarly, those participants who had high LDL-C were 3.44 times more likely to develop PGC than counterpart (AOR = 3.44; 95% CI: 1.65, 7.12). Those participants who consume alcohol were 1.88 times more likely to develop PGC than counterpart (AOR = 1.88; 95% CI: 1.135, 3.1) after controlling for other variables. Participants with family history of DM were 2.9 times more likely to develop PGC than who haven’t family history of DM (AOR = 2.9; 95%CI: 1.763, 4.77). Finally Patients
Table 2 (continued)

Variables | Category | Number | Percent | A1c ≥ 7% (%) | A1c <7% (%) | Outcome of Glycemic Control
--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | ---
Urban | 243 | 62.3 | 151 | 7% | 92 | (62.1%) | (37.9%)
Family History of Hypertension | No | 307 | 78.7 | 191 | 116 | (62.2%) | (37.8%)
Family History of DM | No | 285 | 73.1 | 185 | 100 | (64.9%) | (35.1%)

with DPN were 1.24 times more likely to develop PGC than their counterparts (AOR = 1.24; 1:1.1,39) (Table 4).

4. Discussion

The main goal of DM management is to ensure optimal GC in order to prevent and delay its complications. Poor GC is a main health problem that significantly contributes to the development of DM-related complications. The current study intended to assess the prevalence of poor GC and its associated factors in adult DM patients on follow-up at public hospitals in West Shewa, Ethiopia. In this study 63.8% [%95CI: 59.0, 68.5] of the study subjects had poor GC which was in line with studies done in Gondar, Ethiopia 64.7% [14], Ayder, Ethiopia 61.9% [15], Shenan Gibe, Southwest Ethiopia 59.2% [16] and in Morocco 66.3% [17]. The finding of the current study highlights the need to do more on optimal management of DM.

However, the current study was lower than other studies conducted in Tikur Anbessa Specialized Hospital, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia 80% [10], Mettu, Ethiopia 72.7% [11], Debra Tabor, Ethiopia 71.4% [18] and South of the Sahara 74% [19]. The possible reasons for those discrepancies could be due to differences in study population, sample size and the method used to assess the glycemic level. Similarly the current finding was higher than studies conducted in in Nigeria 50.1% [20], 12.9% in United States [21] and china 50.3% [22]. The possible reason for this difference could be due to a difference in the available health care service, behavioral and clinical characteristics of the patients and the difference in health insurance coverage.

The findings obtained from the multivariable logistic regression analysis showed that increasing age, High LDL-c, family history of DM, being female, diabetic peripheral neuropathy, being single and alcohol consumption were significantly associated with poor glycaemic control. Of these seven factors, family history, age and sex are non-modifiable risk factors which raise the likelihood of poorly controlled diabetes.

The study revealed that family history of DM was significantly associated with poor GC. This finding is consistent with the other similar studies [18,23]. The probable reason might be DM has inherent genetic risk factors which have the ability to influence its severity and duration [24].

The current study also found high LDL-c is significantly associated with poor GC. This finding is in agreement with previous similar study done in Ayder comprehensive hospital, North Ethiopia [15]. This might be explained by the fact that chronic entry of fatty acids into β-cells is supposed to be involved in its pathogenesis and cause pancreatic β-cell failure ensuing in poor GC [25].

Furthermore, our study shown that patients with insufficient physical activities had poor GC, which is consistent with prior studies done in Saudi Arabia and Jimma, Ethiopia [23,26,27]. The possible justification might be due to having inadequate knowledge about use of physical exercise and fear of hypoglycemia. Besides, physical exercise has not
Table 3
Clinical and behavioral characteristics of patients and prevalence of Poor Controlled Glycemia of participants with diabetes mellitus, West Shewa, Ethiopia, 2020 (n = 390).

| Variables | Category | Number | Percent | Outcome of Glycemic Control |
|-----------|----------|--------|---------|-----------------------------|
| Diabetes Mellitus Type | T1DM | 111 | 28.5 | 66 (59.5%) | 45 (40.5%) |
| | T2DM | 279 | 71.5 | 183 (65.6%) | 96 (34.4%) |
| Duration of DM | <5yrs | 207 | 53.1 | 130 (63.2%) | 77 (36.8%) |
| | 5–10yrs | 107 | 27.4 | 68 (63.9%) | 40 (36.1%) |
| | ≥10yrs | 76 | 19.5 | 52 (68.4%) | 24 (31.6%) |
| Treatment regimen | Oral hypoglycemic agents | 222 | 56.9 | 142 (63.8%) | 80 (36.2%) |
| | Statin treatment | | | 114 | 51.5 | 67 (58.6%) | 44 (41.4%) |
| | No | 353 | 90.5 | 227 (64.3%) | 126 (35.7%) |
| | BMI (kg/m2) | Low (<18.5) | 22 | 5.6 | 17 (77.8%) | 6 (22.2%) |
| | Normal | 201 | 51.5 | 124 (61.7%) | 77 (38.3%) |
| | Overweight | 126 | 32.3 | 82 (65.1%) | 44 (34.9%) |
| | Obese (≥30) | 41 | 10.5 | 27 (65.9%) | 14 (34.1%) |
| Hypertension | Yes (≥140/90) | 169 | 43.3 | 117 (69.2%) | 52 (30.8%) |
| | No (<140/90) | 221 | 56.7 | 132 (59.7%) | 89 (40.3%) |
| Alcohol intake | Yes | 133 | 34.1 | 80 (60.2%) | 53 (39.8%) |
| | No | 257 | 65.9 | 169 (65.8%) | 88 (34.2%) |
| Smoking status | Yes | 47 | 12.1 | 32 (68.1%) | 15 (31.9%) |
| | No | 343 | 87.9 | 162 (47.2%) | 181 (52.8%) |
| Vigorous-intensity aerobic physical activity | Yes (≥75–150 min/week) | 118 | 30.3 | 77 (65.3%) | 41 (34.7%) |
| | No (≤75–150 min/week) | 272 | 69.7 | 172 (65.1%) | 100 (34.9%) |
| Moderate-intensity aerobic physical activity | Yes (≥150–300 min/week) | 141 | 36.2 | 97 (61.7%) | 54 (38.3%) |
| | No (≤150–300 min/week) | 249 | 63.8 | 162 (65.1%) | 87 (34.9%) |
| Total triglyceride | <200 mg/dl | 276 | 70.8 | 169 (61.2%) | 107 (38.8%) |
| | ≥200 mg/dl | 114 | 29.2 | 80 (70.2%) | 34 (29.8%) |
| HDL-C | Normal (<60 mg/dl) | 203 | 52.1 | 98 (65.8%) | 32 (34.2%) |
| | Low (≤60 mg/dl) | 187 | 47.9 | 111 (60.9%) | 46 (39.1%) |
| LDL-C | Normal (<130 mg/dl) | 325 | 83.3 | 196 (60.3%) | 129 (39.7%) |
| | High (≥130 mg/dl) | 65 | 16.7 | 53 (81.5%) | 18 (18.5%) |

5. Conclusion

Overall, the findings from the current study indicate that glycemic control in DM is generally poor. Increasing age, high LDL-c, family history of DM, being female gender, diabetic peripheral neuropathy, being single and alcohol consumption were significantly associated with poor GC. Thus, effort should be made towards reducing modifiable factors to improve GC by the concerned body.

Ethical approval

Ethical clearance was obtained from the academic research directorate of Ambo University, College of Health Science and Medicine, and the official letter of cooperation was written to the respective health facility heads and permission letters were obtained from the respective health facility heads. Written informed consent was obtained from all the study subjects before participating in to the study. All the information was kept confidential and the study was done as per the ethical guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Funding

There was no funding for this study.
Table 4
Factors associated with PGC among diabetic patients at public hospitals West Shewa, Ethiopia, 2020 (n = 390).

| Variables                | Category | GC (n) | Bivariable analysis | Multivariable analysis |
|--------------------------|----------|--------|----------------------|------------------------|
|                          |          |        | P-value | COR (95% CI) | P-value | AOR (95% CI) |
| Sex                      | Female   | 140    | 0.014   | 0.468 | 0.255, 0.857 | 0.002   | 2.4 | 0.31, 0.816 |
|                          | Male     | 109    |         | 1      | 1            | 1      | 1             |
| Marital status           | Married  | 197    | 101     | 1      | 1            | 1      | 1             |
|                          | Single   | 41     | 32      | 0.044 | 0.34 | 0.125, 0.97 | 0.019   | 2.55 | 0.179, 0.857 |
|                          | Divorced | 6      | 7       | 0.054 | 0.24 | 0.055, 1.02 | 0.129   | 0.382 | 0.110, 1.3 |
|                          | Widowed  | 5      | 1       | 0.027 | 19.47 | 1.41, 2.68 | 0.086   | 7.696 | 0.75, 79.26 |
| Age (year)               | Under 30 | 24     | 46      | 1     | 1            | 1      | 1             |
|                          | 30-39    | 23     | 36      | 0.013 | 231 | 0.072, 77 | 0.918   | 0.94 | 0.307, 2.89 |
|                          | 40-49    | 49     | 48      | 0.038 | 1.96 | 0.110, 1.686 | 0.130   | 1.7 | 0.292, 1.171 |
|                          | 50 and above | 96 | 68 | 0.010 | 0.157 | 0.039, 0.67 | 0.021 | 2.77 | 0.15, 0.858 |
| Alcohol intake           | Yes      | 97     | 72      | 0.112 | 2.117 | 0.839, 5.34 | 0.014   | 1.888 | 0.135, 3.1 |
|                          | No       | 95     | 126     | 1     | 1            | 1      | 1             |
| Family History of DM     | Yes      | 68     | 37      | 0.224 | 1.489 | 0.784 | 0.000** | 2.900 | 1.763, 4.770 |
|                          | No       | 124    | 161     | 1     | 1            | 1      | 1             |
| Family History of Hypertension | Yes  | 53     | 30      | 0.075 | 0.525 | 0.258, 1.06 | 0.099   | 0.620 | 0.351, 1.09 |
|                          | No       | 139    | 168     | 1     | 1            | 1      | 1             |
| Physical activity        | Yes      | 87     | 54      | 1     | 1            | 1      | 1             |
|                          | No       | 162    | 87      | 0.604 | 1.307 | 0.476, 3.589 | 0.047   | 1.7 | 0.33, 0.99 |
| LDL-C                    | Normal   | 124    | 145     | 1     | 1            | 1      | 1             |
|                          | High     | 68     | 53      | 0.002 | 4.043 | 1.67, 9.759 | 0.001   | 3.44 | 1.65, 7.12 |
| DPN                      | No       | 59     | 82      | 1     | 1            | 1      | 1             |
|                          | Yes      | 133    | 116     | 0.025 | 1.234 | 1.03,1.8    | 0.000** | 1.24 | 1.103,1.39 |

a Value statistically significant; AOR: adjusted odds ratio; COR-Crude odds ratio, 1: reference.
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|--------------|------------|
| ADA          | American Diabetes Association |
| AOR          | Adjusted Odds Ratio |
| BMI          | Body Mass Index |
| CI           | Confidence in Interval |
| COR          | Crude Odds Ratio |
| CVD          | Cardiovascular Disease |
| BP           | Blood Pressure |
| DPN          | Diabetic peripheral neuropathy |
| DM           | Diabetes Mellitus |
| ETB          | Ethiopian Birr |
| GC           | Glycemic control |
| HbA1c        | Glycated Hemoglobin |
| HTN          | Hypertension |
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