Low-Frequency Dual-Band Sound Absorption by Ultrathin Planar Wall Embedded With Multiple-Cavity Resonators
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We report the numerical and experimental realization of a type of ultrathin planar wall with low-frequency dual-band sound absorption. The proposed planar wall is constructed by a periodic subwavelength unit cell (with a thickness of $\lambda/19$) which consists of two different multiple-cavity resonators embedded into a plate structure with a groove. The sound absorption of the wall exists in two working bands (I and II) below 600 Hz which are created by two different mechanisms. In addition to the band I created by a conventional resonance coupling of the two multiple-cavity resonators, it is worth noting that the band II is realized by a mutual resonance coupling between the resonators and groove structure. The fractional bandwidths of the bands I and II can reach about 34.1 and 10.4%, respectively. Furthermore, the application of the proposed ultrathin planar wall in the design of a barrier-free anechoic room with omnidirectional low-frequency dual-band sound absorption is further discussed in detail. The proposed planar wall has the advantages of ultrathin planar structure and omnidirectional low-frequency dual-band sound absorption, which provides diverse routes to design advanced sound-absorption structures in noise control and architectural acoustics.
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INTRODUCTION

Low-frequency sound absorption has always been a research hotspot due to its wider applications in several important fields, including noise control, environmental protection, and architectural acoustics. In the recent years, the rapid development of metamaterial [1–10] and metasurface [11–18] structures have provided alternative ways to design advanced acoustic absorbers which effectively overcome the limitation of a relatively large size for conventional low-frequency sound absorbers [19–21].

By designing resonance-type unit cells with a subwavelength size, external sound energy can be absorbed in the unit cell based on different types of mechanisms, and part of energy is dissipated during its propagation inside the unit cell. So far, the previously demonstrated unit cells of sound absorbers mainly include Helmholtz resonators [22–26], Fabry-Perot resonators [27, 28], membrane resonators [29–31], split-ring resonators [32–34], coherent perfect absorbers [35, 36], and
metasurface-based absorbers [37–42], and these types of sound absorbers can realize high-performance sound absorption with a subwavelength structure. However, most of these sound absorbers generally work in a single working band, and there still exist some difficulties in designing multiband sound absorbers.

To overcome this, by constructing a type of double-channel Mie resonator backed with a rigid wall [43], a multi-band sound absorber based on multi-order monopolar and dipolar resonances have been proposed. Additionally, a perforated composite Helmholtz-resonator [44] is designed by inserting several plates with a small hole into the interior of a Helmholtz resonator, and a multi-order sound absorption has been realized. Beyond that, other types of absorber structures, such as coiling-up space metasurfaces [45] by two nesting subchannels with a circular hole in series, checkerboard absorbers [46] composed of alternate distributions of inhomogeneous Helmholtz resonators with extended necks, and metaporous composite structures [47] by porous materials with Helmholtz and Fabry–Pérot resonances, can also be applied to the realization of dual- or multi-band sound absorption. In these demonstrated structures, dual- or multi-band sound absorption with high performance are realized. However, most of them are usually designed to be attached outside the wall, which greatly limits the use of space. Therefore, the design of low-frequency ultrathin planar wall with multi-band sound absorption becomes an urgent problem to be solved.

In this work, we propose an ultrathin planar wall with low-frequency dual-band sound absorption which is composed of a periodic unit cell designed by two different multiple-cavity resonators embedded into a plate structure with a groove. The designed wall can realize sound absorption in two working bands (I and II) below 600 Hz based on two different mechanisms. Besides the band I created by a conventional resonance coupling of the two multiple-cavity resonators, we here introduce a mutual resonance coupling between the resonators and groove structure to realize the band II. The fractional bandwidth of both bands can reach about 34.1 and 10.4%. The measured results agree well with the simulated ones. Finally, we simulate the sound absorption of the unit cell created by different incident angles, and discuss the application of the proposed wall in the design of a barrier-free anechoic room with omnidirectional low-frequency dual-band sound absorption in detail.

**Design of Model**

As schematically shown in Figure 1A, we propose a type of ultrathin planar wall composed of periodic unit cells, and the cross section of a unit cell with the width of D is shown in Figure 1B. We can see that the unit cell consists of two multiple-cavity resonators (denoted as MRs I and II) with the distance of a embedded into a plate structure with a groove. The multiple-cavity resonator is composed of a central circular cavity surrounded by 8 interconnected identical cavities which are separated by 4 channels with the width of w. Here, the thickness of cavity walls, the open width and the radial length of 8 inner cavities, the outer and inner radii of resonators, and the distances between the resonator and the bottom, left and top surfaces of the plate are t, b, d, R, r, l₁, l₂ and l₃, respectively. In addition to the parameters d and r of the MRs I (d₁ and r₁) and II (d₂ and r₂), the other parameters of both MRs are the same. The unit cell is made of epoxy resin based on the 3D printing technology, and its photograph is shown in Figure 1C. Here, we introduce the software of COMSOL Multiphysics to numerically design sound absorbers. Due to the sound absorption created by the thermoviscous energy loss in the unit cell, the module of Thermoviscous Acoustic-Solid Interaction is used inside the unit cell, and that of Acoustic Pressure module is adopted in the other parts of the model.
Beyond that, the surfaces inside the unit cell are set as the thermoviscous acoustic boundary layers, and the thickness of the thermoviscous acoustic boundary layer is
\[
\frac{d\nu}{\sqrt{T/R\mu}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho\omega}} \sqrt{\frac{\gamma RT}{M}}
\]
in which the parameters \(\mu\), \(\rho\) and \(\omega\) are the coefficient of dynamic viscosity, the density of air, and the angular frequency, respectively. In the simulations, the parameters \(R\), \(r_1\), \(r_2\), \(d_1\), \(d_2\), \(t\), \(b\), \(w\), \(a\), \(l_1\), \(l_2\), \(l_3\) and \(D\) are selected as 5.0 cm, 5.0 mm, 7.0 mm, 38.8 mm, 36.8 mm, 1.6 mm, 3.0 mm, 1.0 mm, 4.0 mm, 1.0 mm, 2.0 mm, 3.0 mm, and 31.0 cm, respectively, and the material parameters of air and epoxy resin are shown in Table 1.

### TABLE 1 | Material parameters.

| Parameter                  | Air                  | Epoxy resin          |
|----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Density (\(\rho\))        | \(\rho_0/RT\)        | 1,180 kg/m³          |
| Longitudinal wave velocity (\(c_l\)) | \(\sqrt{RT/M}\)     | 2,720 m/s            |
| Transversal wave velocity (\(c_t\)) | \(\gamma RT/M\)     | 1,460 m/s            |
| Pressure (\(p_0\))        | 101.325 kPa          | /                    |
| Molar mass (M)            | \(2.87 \times 10^{-3}\) kg/mol | /        |
| Temperature (T)           | 293 K                | /                    |
| Molar gas constant (R)    | 8.31 J/(mol*K)       | /                    |
| Ratio of the molar heat capacities (\(\gamma\)) | 1.4                  | /                    |
| Coefficient of dynamic viscosity (\(\mu\)) | \(1.56 \times 10^{-5}\) Pas | /                    |

PERFORMANCES AND MECHANISMS OF LOW-FREQUENCY DUAL-BAND SOUND ABSORPTION

Here, we conduct an experiment to measure the performance of the design ultrathin planar wall. As shown in Figure 2A, the experiment is carried out in a straight waveguide with a size of \(2 \times 0.33 \times 0.06\) m\(^3\) which is fabricated with acrylic plates to satisfy the condition of sound hard boundary. The sample of the unit cell is made of epoxy resin by a 3D printing technology, which is placed at the right side of the waveguide. Additionally, a loudspeaker with the size of \(4 \times 4\) cm\(^2\) driven by a power amplifier is placed at the left side of the waveguide to obtain incident sound signals. Two 0.25-inch microphones (Brüel and Kjær type-4954, marked as Microphones 1 and 2) are inserted into the waveguide from two holes with the same size to experimentally detect sound signals. The measured data is recorded by the module of Brüel.
and Kjær 3160-A-022, and is analyzed by the software of PULSE Labshop.

Figure 2B shows the measured absorption spectra (red open circles) created by the sample, in which the corresponding simulated ones with the same conditions are also provided for comparison (black solid lines), and the parameters of the sample are the same as those in Figure 1. We can see that, there exist two absorption peaks around 170 and 518 Hz, and their maximum absorption coefficients can reach about 0.99 and 0.98, respectively, showing a typical characteristic of low-frequency dual-band sound absorption. Additionally, in the two black shaded regions (denoted as the bands I and II), the absorption coefficients are larger than 0.5, and thus the corresponding fractional bandwidths (the ratio of the bandwidth to the center frequency) can reach about 34.1 and 10.4%, respectively. The measured and simulated absorption spectra match well with each other. Moreover, the thickness of the unit cell is 104 mm, which is equal to $\lambda$/19 ($\lambda$ is the wavelength), exhibiting a characteristic of ultrathin structure for the proposed wall.

To provide a further insight into its mechanism, we simulate pressure amplitude eigenfunctions of the unit cell around 170 and 518 Hz. As shown in Figure 2C, 3 types of eigenmodes exist at 163 Hz, 182 and 517 Hz. We can see that the distributions of pressure amplitude for the eigenmodes at 163 and 182 Hz are almost the same, and most of sound energy is concentrated into the cavities of the two MRs, showing that both eigenmodes are related to a resonance coupling of both MR structures. However, for the eigenmode at 517 Hz, the sound energy is mainly concentrated into the space between the MRs and groove structure, and little energy exists inside the cavity of both MRs. Thus, this eigenmode is determined by a mutual resonance coupling between the MRs and groove structure, which is different from the eigenmodes at 163 and 182 Hz. Figure 2D shows the pressure amplitude distributions in the unit cell created by the normal incidence of sound at the frequencies of both absorption peaks (170 and 518 Hz). We can see that the sound energy is mainly concentrated into the cavities of both MRs at 170 Hz, in which its distribution of...
pressure amplitude is the same as that of the eigenmodes at 163 and 182 Hz. It is therefore deduced that the absorption peak in the band I is created by the resonance coupling of both MRs. Additionally, the characteristics of the pressure amplitude distributions at 518 Hz are almost the same as those of the eigenmode at 517 Hz, indicating that the absorption peak in the band II is attributed to the mutual resonance coupling between the resonators and groove structure. Therefore, the observed dual-band sound absorption of the wall arises from two different mechanisms.

Moreover, we discuss the influences of the parameters \( r_1 \) and \( l_3 \) on the sound absorption of the unit cell in detail (see...
Supplementary Material), and the results show that the sound absorption in the band II can be modulated by simply adjusting both parameters \( r_1 \) and \( l_3 \), but that in the band I is only closely related to the parameter \( r_1 \), which provides the feasibility of modulating both working bands.

APPLICATION OF ULTRATHIN PLANAR WALL IN BARRIER-FREE ANECHOIC ROOM

Figures 3A,B show the simulated sound absorption spectra of the unit cell created by the incident waves with \( \theta = 0^\circ, 45^\circ \) and \( 60^\circ \) in the bands I and II, respectively, in which \( \theta \) is defined as the angle between the incident direction and the normal line (shown in the inset in Figure 3A). We can see that, with the increase of the angle \( \theta \), the frequency of the absorption peak almost remains unchanged in the band I (Figure 3A), and that slightly moves to the high-frequency region in the band II (Figure 3B). Additionally, the absorption coefficients of these peaks are larger than 0.9, showing a high-performance sound absorption of the designed unit cell for different incident angles. Such a phenomenon provides a feasibility for constructing a barrier-free anechoic room.

Finally, we discuss the application of the designed unit cell in a barrier-free anechoic room. As shown in Figure 4A, the proposed structure of barrier-free anechoic room with the size of 3.41 × 3.41 m² is composed of 40 unit cells, in which the parameters of each unit cell (shown in the inset in Figure 4A) are the same as those in Figure 1B. Moreover, the module of Thermoviscous Acoustic-Solid Interaction is used inside the unit cells, while the module of Acoustic Pressure is adopted in the other parts of the model. Figures 4B,C show the simulated sound absorption spectra created by the barrier-free anechoic room, in which a cylindrical sound source is placed at the center (point O) of the room. We can see that, the absorption coefficients are larger than 0.5 in the black shaded regions around the bands I (149–194 Hz) and II (490–543 Hz). The maximum values of absorption coefficients are 0.98 and 0.96 at 173 and 519 Hz, respectively, and the corresponding fractional bandwidths can reach about 26.0 and 10.2%, showing a typical characteristic of omnidirectional low-frequency dual-band sound absorption.

To further present the performance of sound absorption, we also simulate the total pressure distributions and their corresponding reflected sound intensity distributions in the barrier-free anechoic room at 173 and 519 Hz, which are shown in Figures 5A–D. We can see that the total pressure
distributions in the barrier-free anechoic room at both frequencies are obviously different from those in the room with hard boundaries (see Supplementary Material), but are similar to those in the free space (see Supplementary Material). Beyond that, the reflected sound intensity distributions created by the anechoic room are very weak, indicating that the sound energy are almost absorbed by the unit cell in all directions of the room, showing a typical characteristic of omnidirectional low-frequency dual-band sound absorption.

To verify the robustness of the barrier-free anechoic room, we simulate the sound absorption spectra created by the anechoic room with a cylindrical sound source placed at the point O’ (shown in Figure 6A), in which all parameters of the anechoic room remain unchanged. As shown in Figures 6B,C, the absorption coefficients are larger than 0.5 around the bands I (156–185 Hz) and II (501–534 Hz), which are shown in the black shaded regions, in which the maximum values of absorption coefficient can reach about 0.97 at 171 Hz and 0.96 at 519 Hz, and the corresponding fractional bandwidths are about 16.9 and 6.3%, respectively, showing high-robustness dual-band sound absorption of the designed room structure.

Figure 7 shows the simulated total pressure distributions and their corresponding reflected sound intensity distributions created by the barrier-free anechoic room at 171 and 519 Hz. We can see that the characteristics of total pressure distributions and corresponding reflected intensity distributions are almost the same as that in Figure 5. Therefore, the proposed ultrathin planar wall with omnidirectional low-frequency dual-band sound absorption shows great potential for applications in architectural acoustics and noise control.

CONCLUSION

In conclusions, we have demonstrated an ultrathin planar wall with low-frequency dual-band sound absorption. The results show that the sound absorption of the wall exists in two frequency bands (I: 145–203 Hz and II: 490–544 Hz) based on two different mechanisms. The band I is created by the conventional resonance coupling of the two multiple-cavity resonators, but it is worth noting that the band II is realized by the mutual resonance coupling between the resonators and groove structure. The corresponding fractional bandwidth of both bands can reach about 34.1 and 10.4%, and the measured and simulated results agree well with each other. Additionally, the influences of incident angles on the sound absorption of unit cell are simulated, and the characteristics of sound absorption almost remains unchanged with different incident angles. Finally, the application of the proposed ultrathin planar wall in the design of a barrier-free anechoic room is discussed in detail. The proposed ultrathin planar wall with the characteristic of omnidirectional low-frequency dual-band sound absorption has wide prospects in noise control and architectural acoustics.
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