Differential Utilization of TATA Box-binding Protein (TBP) and TBP-related Factor 1 (TRF1) at Different Classes of RNA Polymerase III Promoters*
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Background: TATA box-binding protein-related factor 1 (TRF1) was believed to be required for all RNA polymerase III transcription in Drosophila.

Results: Chromatin immunoprecipitations and transcription assays indicate TATA box-binding protein (TBP) is utilized at U6 snRNA promoters.

Conclusion: Although TRF1 is required for tRNA transcription, TBP is used for U6 transcription.

Significance: Different classes of RNA polymerase III promoters differentially utilize TBP and TRF1.

In the fruit fly Drosophila melanogaster, RNA polymerase III transcription was found to be dependent not upon the canonical TATA box-binding protein (TBP) but instead upon the TBP-related factor 1 (TRF1) (Takada, S., Lis, J. T., Zhou, S., and Tjian, R. (2000) Cell 101, 459–469). Here we confirm that transcription of fly tRNA genes requires TRF1. However, we unexpectedly find that U6 snRNA gene promoters are occupied primarily by TBP in cells and that knockdown of TBP, but not TRF1, inhibits U6 transcription in cells. Moreover, U6 transcription in vitro effectively utilizes TBP, whereas TBP cannot substitute for TRF1 to promote tRNA transcription in vitro. Thus, in fruit flies, different classes of RNA polymerase III promoters differentially utilize TBP and TRF1 for the initiation of transcription.

In higher eukaryotes, there are at least three distinct classes of promoters for RNA polymerase III (Pol III), Type I and Type II Pol III promoters (exemplified by the 5 S rRNA genes and tRNA genes, respectively) are internal to the genes and depend upon the DNA-binding complex TFIIIC for the assembly of a transcription preinitiation complex (1–3). Type III Pol III promoters comprise sequences external to the gene located in the 5’-flanking DNA. This third type of Pol III promoter is exemplified by genes for U6 snRNA and certain other small stable RNAs (1–7). These promoters are characterized by the presence of a TATA box and of a proximal sequence element (PSE) that is centered about 50–60 bp upstream of the transcription start site. The PSE is a binding site for the multisubunit small nuclear RNA-activating protein complex (SNAPc), also known as the PSE-binding transcription factor (PTF) (4–7).

In vertebrates, all three types of Pol III promoters utilize the TATA box-binding protein (TBP) for the initiation of transcription. At one time, TBP was believed to be a universal factor required at all eukaryotic promoters, including those for all three RNA polymerases I, II, and III (8). However, the later discovery of a number of TBP-related factors called that assumption into question (reviewed in Refs. 9–13). The first to be discovered was TBP-related factor 1 (TRF1), which appears to be unique to insects (14). Drosophila melanogaster TRF1 is ~60% identical and 80% similar to D. melanogaster TBP within the conserved C-terminal core domain but has no significant similarity in the nonconserved N-terminal region (14). TRF1 was found to be highly expressed in early embryos and in the late embryonic central nervous system and gonads (14, 15). It was further demonstrated that TRF1 could participate in the stimulation of RNA polymerase II (Pol II) transcription at several well-characterized promoters and that TRF1 and TBP differentially activated transcription at different start sites within the tudor promoter region (15, 16). Those data suggested that TBP and TRF1 have promoter-selective properties for Pol II recruitment.

Experiments revealed that TRF1 and TBP localized to different loci on fly salivary polytene chromosomes (15). Surprisingly, those chromosome-staining experiments revealed a high correlation of TRF1 binding sites with tRNA gene loci. Indeed, later biochemical experiments showed that depletion of TRF1, but not TBP, in fruit fly embryo nuclear extracts led to inhibition of Pol III transcription (17). Furthermore, co-immunoprecipitation experiments indicated that most of the TRF1 in cell extracts was associated with the Pol III general transcription factor Brf1 (17). Finally, in vitro transcription experiments with plasmid templates containing 5 S rRNA, tRNA, or U6 snRNA genes led the authors to conclude that TRF1 was responsible for transcription from all three major types of Pol III promoters in D. melanogaster (17). This notion has since become widely accepted in the scientific literature (10, 13, 18).

Interestingly, in a later genome-wide screen for TRF1 binding sites, Isogai et al. (18) detected significant amounts of TRF1 at tRNA and 5 S rRNA gene loci in the D. melanogaster genome but failed to detect a significant TRF1 signal at any of the three U6 snRNA gene loci. Furthermore, earlier findings from
another group in the mid-1990s suggested that TBP might play a role in U6 snRNA transcription in fruit flies and was capable of associating with Brf1 (19, 20). Due to these discrepancies, as well as our own preliminary results, we further examined the role of TBP and TRF1 in U6 snRNA gene expression. Here we present evidence that, although TRF1 is indeed required for tRNA transcription, TBP is used for transcription of U6 snRNA genes by Pol III.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Chromatin Immunoprecipitations (ChIPs)—**ChIP procedures were carried out as described previously (21) using polyclonal antibodies produced in rabbits against bacterially expressed TBP or TRF1, or against DmSNAP43, the smallest of the three subunits of *D. melanogaster* SNAPl (DmSNAP4l). The preparation of the antibodies against *D. melanogaster* TBP and DmSNAP43 has been described previously (21). Antibodies against bacterially expressed TRF1 were similarly prepared. No cross-reactivity of the TBP and TRF1 antibodies could be detected by immunoblotting (data not shown). Primers for ChIP PCR amplification were chosen to analyze all three U6 gene loci present in the *D. melanogaster* genome (U6:96Aa; U6:96Ab; U6:96Ac), three U1 gene loci (U1:95Ca; U1:95Cb; U1:82Eb), and three tRNA gene loci (CR30206; CR30509; CR30207 plus CR30208 together). Sequences of the primers are available upon request.

**In Vitro Transcription Assays—**The templates that contained the wild type U1 and U6 promoters have been described previously (22, 23). The plasmid pArg-maxi contained a tRNAArg gene with a 12-bp insertion between the internal promoter elements (19) and was obtained from Deborah Johnson (Department of Molecular Pharmacology and Biochemistry, University of Southern California).

Procedures for *in vitro* transcription and analysis of the RNA products by primer extension have been previously described in detail (22, 23). Transcription reactions (25 μl final volume) utilized 15 μl of a soluble nuclear fraction (SNF) prepared from *D. melanogaster* embryos (22, 24, 25). Following the reaction, the transcripts were annealed to a 32P-labeled reverse transcription primer. The 1211z primer for the U1 and U6 transcription products has been previously described (22). The primer for the pArg-maxi gene template was a 24-mer (5’-AATCTTCTGTACCCGGATCCTC-3’) that contained 11 nucleotides at its 3’ end complementary to the insertion in the tRNAArg gene.

**SNF Immunodepletions—**The SNF was immunodepleted of TBP and/or TRF1 by using the same anti-TBP and anti-TRF1 antibodies used in the ChIP assays. The antiserum (or preimmune serum as a control) was first diluted with manufacturer-supplied IgG binding buffer (Pierce 54200) in a ratio of 1:2 to a final volume of 900 μl and then incubated with 100 μl of immobilized protein A/G plus-Agarose (settled beads, Pierce 20423) for 1 h at room temperature. The resin was then washed three times at room temperature with the binding buffer followed by two times at 4 °C with SNF equilibration buffer (135 mM KCl, 32.5 mM HEPES (pH 7.6), 5.5 mM MgCl2, 0.1 mM EDTA, 0.1 mM phenylmethyl-sulfonyl fluoride, 1 mM benzamidine, and 1 mM sodium metabisulfite).

Then 400 μl of SNF was incubated with the 100 μl of antibody-bound resin at 4 °C for 4 h with end-over-end tumbling. The supernatant was then collected as immunodepleted SNF. The depletion of TBP and/or TRF1 was 90% or greater as estimated by immunoblotting (data not shown).

**Cloning, Expression, and Partial Purification of TBP, TRF1, Brf1, and Bdp1—**cDNA clones of *D. melanogaster* TBP and TRF1 were obtained from the laboratory of Robert Tjian (Department of Molecular and Cell Biology, University of California, Berkeley, CA). cDNA clones of Brf1 and Bdp1 (stock numbers LD32109 and GH09630, respectively) were purchased from the *Drosophila* Genomics Resource Center, Bloomington, IN. The coding region of each cDNA was amplified by PCR and inserted into the pMT/V5-His-TOPO vector, a component of the Invitrogen *Drosophila* expression system, for expression in insect cells under the control of the copper-inducible metallothionein promoter. The clones were designed such that the TBP and TRF1 proteins included the C-terminal V5 and His6 tags of the vector, whereas the Brf1 and Bdp1 clones produced untagged proteins.

Combinations of the expression constructs described above were used to co-transfect *D. melanogaster* S2 cells, and stably transfected cell lines were established by selection on blasticidin-containing medium as described previously (26). Lines were established that expressed untagged Brf1 and untagged Bdp1 together along with either tagged TBP or tagged TRF1. Following induction of expression with copper sulfate, cells were lysed, and protein fractions enriched for the His6-tagged TBP or TRF1, together with overexpressed associated proteins, were obtained by using the Invitrogen ProBond nickel-chelating resin as described previously (27). Eluted protein was dialyzed against transcription buffer (81 mM KCl, 32.5 mM HEPES K+ (pH 7.6), 5.5 mM MgCl2, 0.1 mM ZnCl2, 0.1 mM EDTA (pH 8.0), 6.2% glycerol, 5 mM dithiothreitol, 0.1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride) prior to addition to *in vitro* transcription reactions. TBP and TRF1 were detected on immunoblots by using HRP-conjugated anti-V5 monoclonal antibody or by using rabbit polyclonal antibodies followed by HRP-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG.

**RNA interference (RNAi) and Cellular Expression Assays—**RNAi was performed by treating S2 cells with dsRNA prepared with the RiboMAX Large Scale RNA Production Systems-T7 (Promega P1300). Targets for dsRNA were designed by using the SnapDragon – dsRNA Design tool either from the open reading frame of TBP and TRF1 or from a plasmid containing the *Renilla* luciferase gene (pRL-null Vector) (Promega E2271), which served as a nonspecific control. S2 cells at a density of 106 cells/ml/well of a 6-well plate were serum-starved and treated with 15 μg of dsRNA. Three days after dsRNA treatment, cells were transfected with 19 μg of U1/pGL2 or pU6-maxi (28) or pArg-maxi reporter plasmids. Cells were further incubated for 40 h before harvesting for RNA purification. Total RNA was used for primer extension assays with U1 or U6 primers as described previously (28). The tRNA primer was the same as used to measure the product of the *in vitro* transcription reactions described above.
RESULTS

TBP Occupies U6 snRNA Gene Promoters in D. melanogaster S2 Cells—As a first step toward examining the TBP or TRF1 requirement for transcription of fly U6 genes, ChIP assays were performed to determine the presence of TBP or TRF1 at U6 promoters in vivo. The genome of D. melanogaster contains three potentially active U6 snRNA genes, and all three were chosen for study. As controls, three U1 snRNA gene loci and three tRNA gene loci, as indicated above each panel, were examined by using gene-specific primers. Bands corresponding to specific amplification products are indicated alongside each gel. Preimmune (PI) sera from each of the antibody-producing rabbits were used as negative controls in each case. Lanes labeled Input are positive PCR controls of the unselected total input DNA. In all experiments, the amounts of DNA samples and the PCR conditions were chosen such that the resultant signals were within a semiquantitative range. In the last panel (lanes 54–68), the brightness and contrast of the image were increased relative to the other panels to enhance the visibility of the weak bands of U6:96Aa. B, quantitative ChIP assays. ChIPs were done as described in A but analyzed by qPCR. Signals were normalized to the percentage of input DNA. Note that the scale of the vertical axis is different in the upper part of the figure relative to the lower section.

FIGURE 1. ChIPs indicating that D. melanogaster U6 snRNA gene promoters are occupied by TBP in vivo. A, semiquantitative ChIP assays. Antibodies against TBP (α-TBP), TRF1 (α-TRF1), or the DmSNAP43 subunit of DmSNAPc (α-43) were used for ChIP. Three U6 gene loci, three U1 gene loci, and three tRNA gene loci, as indicated above each panel, were examined by using gene-specific primers. Bands corresponding to specific amplification products are indicated alongside each gel. Preimmune (PI) sera from each of the antibody-producing rabbits were used as negative controls in each case. Lanes labeled Input are positive PCR controls of the unselected total input DNA. In all experiments, the amounts of DNA samples and the PCR conditions were chosen such that the resultant signals were within a semiquantitative range. In the last panel (lanes 54–68), the brightness and contrast of the image were increased relative to the other panels to enhance the visibility of the weak bands of U6:96Aa. B, quantitative ChIP assays. ChIPs were done as described in A but analyzed by qPCR. Signals were normalized to the percentage of input DNA. Note that the scale of the vertical axis is different in the upper part of the figure relative to the lower section.

employed for the ChIPs (Fig. 1A, lanes 2 and 6), whereas preimmune sera from the same rabbits produced little or no signal (lanes 3 and 7). ChIPs with antibodies against TRF1, on the other hand, produced only a very weak signal that was barely visible above the preimmune background (lanes 4 and 5). These results indicate that TBP, rather than TRF1, was preferentially present at the U6:96Ab gene promoter in S2 cells. Importantly, when a second U6 gene was targeted by PCR (U6:96Ac, lanes 39–45), an essentially identical pattern of results was obtained, again indicating occupancy of the U6 promoter by TBP. When the third U6 gene (U6:96Aa) was analyzed (lanes 54–60), all the signals were weak, yet the strongest bands corresponded to ChIPs that utilized anti-TBP or anti-DmSNAP43 antibodies (lanes 55 and 59). Based upon the relative weakness of the signals, it is possible that this third U6 gene is inefficiently expressed in S2 cells.

When the three tRNA gene loci were targeted for PCR amplification, dramatically different results were obtained (Fig. 1A,
promoters. Although TRF1 (but not TBP) was clearly abundant at tRNA genes, those occupied by TBP and DmSNAPc but by little or no TRF1, respect to TBP and DmSNAPc. That is, U6 and U1 promoters had identical transcription factor requirements with respect to TBP and DmSNAPc. That is, U6 and U1 promoters were occupied by TBP and DmSNAPc but by little or no TRF1, although TRF1 (but not TBP) was clearly abundant at tRNA promoters.

We next used qPCR to quantify the ChIP signals (Fig. 1B). Again, both the U1 and the U6 genes showed high occupancy by TBP and DmSNAPc but lower occupancy by TRF1. In contrast, the tRNA genes exhibited the opposite situation: high occupancy by TRF1 but lower occupancy by TBP and DmSNAPc.

**U6 snRNA Transcription in Vitro Utilizes TBP**—We next examined the role of TBP in U6 snRNA gene expression by using a cell-free *in vitro* transcription system. Previous work had shown that *D. melanogaster* U1 and U6 snRNA genes can be faithfully transcribed *in vitro* by using unfractionated SNF prepared from 0–12 h fruit fly embryos (22–25). As a control for TRF1-dependent transcription, we also employed a tRNA*-amasi*-maxi gene template.

In the absence of any inhibitor, primer extension products corresponding to correctly initiated U6, U1, and tRNA transcripts were observed (Fig. 2A, lanes 1–3). In the presence of tagetitoxin, a Pol III inhibitor, the production of the U6 and tRNA transcripts was severely inhibited (Fig. 2A, lanes 4 and 6), but U1 synthesis was unaffected (lane 5). In contrast, α-amanitin, a Pol II inhibitor, severely inhibited U1 transcription (Fig. 2A, lane 8) but had no effect on U6 or tRNA transcription levels (lanes 7 and 9). These results established the fidelity of the *in vitro* transcription system for all three templates.

Next, we carried out similar transcriptions after immunodepletion of the SNF with antibodies to TBP or TRF1 or after mock depletion with preimmune antibodies. After either mock depletion or immunodepletion of TRF1, U1 transcription was still observed (Fig. 2B, lanes 1 and 3); on the other hand, immunodepletion of TBP from the SNF reduced U1 transcription to a very low level (lane 2). This result was expected based upon the previous determination that fly as well as human U1 transcription is dependent upon TBP (21, 22, 29, 30).

Interestingly, experiments with the U6 promoter gave results essentially identical to U1. That is, U6 transcription was severely inhibited upon depleting TBP (Fig. 2B, lane 5), whereas TRF1 depletion had no significant effect (lane 6). In stark contrast, depletion of TRF1 from the SNF completely inhibited tRNA transcription (Fig. 2B, lane 9), but depletion of TBP had no discernible effect (compare lanes 7 and 8). This agrees with findings by others that TRF1 is required for tRNA transcription in *D. melanogaster* (17, 18). Altogether, these results, along with the ChIP results shown in Fig. 1, provide strong evidence that TBP is utilized for the vast majority of U6 transcription in fruit flies both *in vivo* and *in vitro*.

**Restoration of U6 Promoter Activity in Vitro with Either TBP or TRF1**—We next investigated whether TBP and/or TRF1 could restore U6 and tRNA gene transcription *in vitro* when added back to the immunodepleted nuclear extracts. We therefore immunodepleted the SNF of both TBP and TRF1 simultaneously so that the extract was devoid of both factors. For the add-back experiments, TBP and TRF1 were each separately overexpressed in S2 cells, and a partially purified fraction of each was obtained by nickel chelate chromatography. However, attempts to restore U6 and tRNA transcription by adding the fractions enriched in either TBP or TRF1 alone were unsuccessful (data not shown).

We therefore considered the possibility that the immunodepletion procedure might remove TBP- and TRF1-associated factors from the SNF that are essential for Pol III transcription. We surmised that Brf1 and Bdp1 could be candidates for such factors as they, together with TBP or TRF1, comprise the transcription factor TFIIIB (2, 3, 17, 20, 31). Indeed, Vidalta et al. (20) presented evidence for an interaction between TBP and Brf1, and Takada et al. (17) demonstrated an interaction between Brf1 and TRF1. (Although mammals contain two forms of Brf (Brf1 and Brf2) encoded by different genes, the *D. melanogaster* genome contains only a single gene that codes for a protein that corresponds to Brf1.) Although Bdp1 apparently has little affinity for TBP or Brf1 in the absence of promoter DNA (32, 33), we nevertheless co-overexpressed untagged Bdp1 together with untagged Brf1 with either the V5-His6-tagged TBP or V5-His6-tagged TRF1. Following co-overexpression of all three factors in the homologous system, nickel chelate chromatography was employed to isolate fractions enriched in the tagged TBP or TRF1 and any other overexpressed associated factors (i.e. untagged Brf1 and Bdp1).

When the TBP fraction was added in increasing amounts to the SNF that was depleted of both TBP and TRF1, U6 transcription was successfully restored (Fig. 2C, lanes 3–5). Thus, TBP was efficiently utilized for U6 transcription in fly extracts. Somewhat surprisingly, the TRF1 fraction was also capable of restoring U6 transcription, although apparently with less efficiency (Fig. 2C, lanes 7–9). To examine whether similar molar quantities of TBP and TRF1 were being added to the immunodepleted extracts, a constant volume of the TBP fraction was mixed with increasing volumes of the TRF1 fraction and analyzed on immunoblots by using anti-V5 antibodies for detection (Fig. 2D). The results indicated that TRF1 was present at a comparable but slightly higher concentration than TBP (~30% higher) in the respective fractions. Combined with the results of the rescue experiments in Fig. 2C, the TRF1 fraction appeared to be 2–3-fold less effective than the TBP fraction in restoring U6 transcription *in vitro*. Further Western analysis (not shown) suggested that about 4-fold more of the exogenously added TBP (relative to the endogenous TBP in the SNF) was required to restore U6 transcription to the level observed prior to immunodepletion. On the other hand, the amount of added TRF1 required to restore U6 transcription was at least 96-fold higher than the endogenous level of TRF1 in the SNF (data not shown).
When a tRNA gene was used as the template, the result was strikingly different (Fig. 2E). In this case, the TBP fraction was unable to restore tRNA transcription to a detectable level (Fig. 2E, lanes 3–5). In contrast, the TRF1 fraction restored transcription of the tRNA gene to a level even above that obtained with the untreated extract (Fig. 2E, lanes 7–9). This result strongly suggests that tRNA transcription in D. melanogaster depends on TRF1 as previously indicated by the earlier work of Takada et al. (17).

**Overexpression of TRF1 in Cells Can Increase TRF1 Occupancy of U6 Promoters**

To further examine a potential role of TRF1 at U6 promoters in cells, we carried out ChIP assays on stably transfected cells that could be induced to overexpress TBP or TRF1. Upon induction, TBP and TRF1 levels increased.
pattern of RNAi sensitivity; the tRNA product was reduced by TRF1 RNAi but not by TBP RNAi (lanes 7–9).

**DISCUSSION**

From the work of others (17), it was believed that transcription from all classes of Pol III promoters in *D. melanogaster* required the TBP-related factor TRF1 rather than TBP itself. Our ChIP experiments indicated that TRF1 was indeed present at tRNA gene promoters in S2 cells. In contrast, however, our data revealed that TBP is significantly more enriched than TRF1 at U6 promoters. These latter findings are consistent with the failure to detect TRF1 at U6 promoters in genome-wide ChIP-on-chip experiments performed by Isogai *et al.* (18). Furthermore, immunodepletion of TBP (but not TRF1) from a nuclear extract greatly inhibited U6 transcription in *vitro* (Fig. 2B). In contrast, the exact opposite was true in the case of tRNA transcription, confirming that tRNA transcription required TRF1 but not TBP. Analogous experiments that employed RNAi to knock down TBP or TRF1 in cells led to the same conclusions regarding the preferential utilization of TBP by U6 genes and TRF1 by tRNA genes (Fig. 3B).

Our results do not agree with the conclusion that TRF1 is required for U6 transcription in *Drosophila* (17). We believe that there is a simple explanation for this discrepancy. The primer extension assay used in our *in vitro* transcription experiments is designed to detect synthesis of only correctly initiated U6 snRNA transcripts. In the *in vitro* transcription assays of Takada *et al.* (17), the transcription product was detected by labeling the synthesized RNA with [α-32P]GTP. Thus, not only U6 transcripts but any RNA of discrete size transcribed from the plasmid template would have been detected. In fact, the genomic DNA cloned into plasmid pDU6-1 used as a template by Takada *et al.* (17) contained a known aspartic acid tRNA gene (FlyBase annotation ID tRNA:D:96A) that is less than 1,000 bp from the U6:96Aa gene in the fly genome (34).

Furthermore, we estimate that the tRNA gene utilized in our transcription assays (Fig. 2) is transcribed roughly 100-fold more efficiently *in vitro* than the U6 gene, based on the relative amount of primer radioactivity that was added to give comparable U6 and tRNA signals (see the legend for Fig. 2). Thus, the transcript labeled U6 in Fig. 1 of Takada *et al.* (17) is most likely a misidentified tRNA transcript.

We cannot rule out the possibility that TRF1 might function occasionally or under certain conditions to support U6 transcription. This conjecture is supported by the fact that the ChIP assays detected slightly above background levels of TRF1 at U6 promoters (Fig. 1). Also, the overexpression of TRF1 caused an increase in the TRF1 occupancy measured at U6 promoters in cells (Fig. 3A). We further observed that TRF1 (when added in amounts far greater than present originally in the SNF) could restore U6 transcription in nuclear extracts depleted of TBP and TRF1 (Fig. 2C). Thus, U6 genes may be capable of utilizing TRF1 for their transcription on occasion or in the absence of TBP. However, the fact that U6 expression was sensitive to a reduction of TBP levels but not TRF1 levels both *in vitro* (Fig. 2B) and *in vivo* (Fig. 3B) provides strong evidence that TBP is utilized for the vast majority of U6 transcription in fruit flies.

**FIGURE 3.** Manipulation of TBP and TRF1 levels in cells by overexpression (**A**) and by RNAi knockdown (**B**). A, TRF1 occupancy of U6 promoters increases when TRF1 is overexpressed in cells. ChIPs were carried out as in Fig. 1 using stably transfected cells that could be induced to overexpress TBP or TRF1, and the results were analyzed by qPCR. B, RNAi knockdown of TBP, but not TRF1, inhibits U6 transcription. The autoradiograms show results of primer extension assays using RNA isolated from cells transfected with U1, U6, or tRNA reporter maxigene under different RNAi conditions (TBP, TRF1, or nonspecific (NS) knockdown). A band corresponding to a 53-mer recovery fragment was used as a standard to provide signals of similar intensity from each of the reporter genes. 25 μg of the total RNA from cells transfected with NS KD, TRF1 KD, or TBP KD was used per reaction. The histogram below the autoradiograms indicates expression levels relative to the corresponding nonspecific knockdown.
A number of studies have revealed that transcription by Pol II of different populations of snRNA promoters differentially depends upon TBP or a TBP-related factor (35–40). To our knowledge, the finding that different classes of Pol III promoters can likewise differentially utilize TBP or a TBP-related factor has not previously been encountered. Most likely, the differential utilization of TBP and TRF1 at U6 and tRNA promoters arises from different pathways of preinitiation complex assembly. In the case of tRNA genes, TFIIIC is required as an initial DNA-binding factor that recognizes the internal promoter. In contrast, SNAPc is required to recognize the external promoter of U6 snRNA genes. It is possible that the utilization of TBP for U6 snRNA transcription in flies facilitates U6 coordinate regulation with that of the Pol II-transcribed spliceosomal snRNA genes that utilize TBP.

REFERENCES

1. Willis, I. M. (1993) RNA polymerase III. Genes, factors and transcriptional specificity. Eur. J. Biochem. 212, 1–11
2. Geiduschek, E. P., and Kassavetis, G. A. (2001) The RNA polymerase III transcription apparatus. J. Mol. Biol. 310, 1–26
3. Schramm, L., and Hernandez, N. (2002) Recruitment of RNA polymerase III to its target promoters. Genes Dev. 16, 2593–2620
4. Hernandez, N. (2001) snRNA genes: a model system to study fundamental mechanisms of transcription. J. Biol. Chem. 276, 26733–26736
5. Jawdekar, G. W., and Henry, R. W. (2008) Transcriptional regulation of human small nuclear RNA genes. Biochem. Biophys. Acta 1779, 295–305
6. Egloff, S., O’Reilly, D., and Murphy, S. (2008) Expression of human snRNA genes from beginning to end. Biochem. Soc. Trans. 36, 590–594
7. Hung, K. H., and Stumph, W. E. (2011) Regulation of snRNA gene expression by the Drosophila melanogaster small nuclear RNA activating protein complex (DmSNAPc). Crit. Rev. Biochem. Mol. Biol. 46, 11–26
8. Hernandez, N. (1993) TBP, a universal eukaryotic transcription factor? Genes Dev. 7, 1291–1308
9. Dantonel, J. C., Wurtz, J. M., Poch, O., Moras, D., and Tora, L. (1999) The TBP-like factor: an alternative transcription factor in Metazoa? Trends Biochem. Sci. 24, 335–339
10. Berk, A. J. (2000) TBP-like factors come into focus. Cell 103, 5–8
11. Davidson, I. (2003) The genetics of TBP and TBP-related factors. Trends Biochem. Sci. 28, 391–398
12. Hochheimer, A., and Tjian, R. (2003) Diversified transcription initiation complexes expand promoter selectivity and tissue-specific gene expression. Genes Dev. 17, 1309–1320
13. Reina, J. H., and Hernandez, N. (2007) On a roll for new TRF targets. Genes Dev. 21, 2855–2860
14. Crowley, T. E., Hoey, T., Liu, J. K., Jan, Y. N., Jan, L. Y., and Tjian, R. (1993) A new factor related to TATA-binding protein has highly restricted expression patterns in Drosophila. Nature 361, 557–561
15. Hansen, S. K., Takada, S., Jacobson, R. H., Lis, J. T., and Tjian, R. (1997) Transcription properties of a cell type-specific TATA-binding protein, TRF. Cell 91, 71–82
16. Holmes, M. C., and Tjian, R. (2000) Promoter-selective properties of the TRF-related factor TRF1. Science 288, 867–870
17. Takada, S., Lis, J. T., Zhou, S., and Tjian, R. (2000) A TRF1-BRF complex directs Drosophila RNA polymerase III transcription. Cell 101, 459–469
18. Isogai, Y., Takada, S., Tjian, R., and Keles, S. (2007) Novel TRF1-BRF target genes revealed by genome-wide analysis of Drosophila Pol III transcription. EMBO J. 26, 79–89
19. Trivedi, A., Vilalta, A., Gopalan, S., and Johnson, D. L. (1996) TATA-binding protein is limiting for both TATA-containing and TATA-lacking RNA polymerase III promoters in Drosophila cells. Mol. Cell. Biol. 16, 6909–6916
20. Vilalta, A., Trivedi, A., Wang, Z., Roeder, R. G., and Johnson, D. L. (1997) An RNA polymerase III-defective mutation in TATA-binding protein disrupts its interaction with a transcription factor IIIB subunit in Drosophila cells. J. Biol. Chem. 272, 18087–18092
21. Barakat, N. H., and Stumph, W. E. (2008) TBP recruitment to the U1 snRNA gene promoter is disrupted by substituting a U6 proximal sequence element A (PSEA) for the U1 PSEA. FEBS Lett. 582, 2413–2416
22. Zamrod, Z., Tyree, C. M., Song, Y., and Stumph, W. E. (1993) In vitro transcription of a Drosophila U1 small nuclear RNA gene requires TATA box-binding protein and two proximal cis-acting elements with stringent spacing requirements. Mol. Cell. Biol. 13, 5918–5927
23. Su, Y., Song, Y., Wang, Y., Jessop, L., Zhan, L., and Stumph, W. E. (1997) Characterization of a Drosophila proximal-sequence-element-binding protein involved in transcription of small nuclear RNA genes. Eur. J. Biochem. 248, 231–237
24. Kamakaka, R. T., Tyree, C. M., and Kadonaga, J. T. (1991) Accurate and efficient RNA polymerase II transcription with a soluble nuclear fraction derived from Drosophila embryos. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 88, 1024–1028
25. Kamakaka, R. T., and Kadonaga, J. T. (1994) The soluble nuclear fraction, a highly efficient transcription extract from Drosophila embryos. Methods Cell Biol. 44, 225–235
26. Li, C., Harding, G. A., Parise, J., McNamara-Schroeder, K. J., and Stumph, W. E. (2004) Architectural arrangement of cloned proximal sequence element-binding protein subunits on Drosophila U1 and U6 snRNA gene promoters. Mol. Cell. Biol. 24, 1897–1906
27. Hung, K. H., and Stumph, W. E. (2012) Localization of residues in a novel DNA-binding domain of DmSNAPc required for DmSNAPc DNA-binding activity. FEBS Lett. 586, 841–846
28. McNamara-Schroeder, K. J., Hennessey, R. F., Harding, G. A., Jensen, R. C., and Stumph, W. E. (2001) The Drosophila U1 and U6 gene proximal sequence elements act as important determinants of the RNA polymerase specificity of snRNA gene promoters in vitro and in vivo. J. Biol. Chem. 276, 31786–31792
29. Sadowski, C. L., Henry, R. W., Lobo, S. M., and Hernandez, N. (1993) Targeting TBP to a non-TATA box cis-regulatory element: a TBP-containing complex activates transcription from snRNA promoters through the Pse. Genes Dev. 7, 1535–1548
30. Yoon, J. B., and Roeder, R. G. (1996) Cloning of two proximal sequence element-binding transcription factor subunits (β and δ) that are required for transcription of small nuclear RNA genes by RNA polymerases II and III and interact with the TATA-binding protein. Mol. Cell. Biol. 16, 1–9
31. Willis, I. M. (2002) A universal nomenclature for subunits of the RNA polymerase III transcription initiation factor TFIIIB. Genes Dev. 16, 1337–1338
32. Kassavetis, G. A., Bartholomew, B., Blanco, J. A., Johnson, T. E., and Geiduschek, E. P. (1991) Two essential components of the Saccharomyces cerevisiae transcription factor TFIIIB: transcription and DNA-binding properties. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 88, 7308–7312
33. Saida, F. (2008) Structural characterization of the interaction between TFIIIB components Bdp1 and Brf1. Biochemistry 47, 13197–13206
34. Das, G., Henning, D., and Reddy, R. (1987) Structure, organization, and transcription of Drosophila U6 small nuclear RNA genes. J. Biol. Chem. 262, 1187–1193
35. Rubenstein, M. D., Zhou, S., Lis, J. T., and Tjian, R. (1999) TATA box-binding protein (TBP)-related factor 2 (TRF2), a third member of the TBP family. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 96, 4791–4796
36. Veenstra, G. J. C., Weeks, D. L., and Wolff, A. P. (2000) Distinct roles for TBP and TBP-like factor in early embryonic gene transcription in Xenopus. Science 290, 2312–2315
37. Kaltenbach, L., Horner, M. A., Rothman, J. H., and Mango, S. E. (2000) The TBP-like factor CstTfL is required to activate RNA polymerase II transcription during C. elegans embryogenesis. Mol. Cell 6, 705–713
38. Dantonel, J. C., Quintin, S., Lakatos, L., Labouesse, M., and Tora, L. (2000) TBP-like factor is required for embryonic RNA polymerase II transcription in C. elegans. Mol. Cell 6, 715–722
39. Deato, M. D., and Tjian, R. (2007) Switching of the core transcription machinery during myogenesis. Genes Dev. 21, 2137–2149
40. Isogai, Y., Keles, S., Prestel, M., Hochheimer, A., and Tjian, R. (2007) Transcription of histone gene cluster by differential core-promoter factors. Genes Dev. 21, 2936–2949