Mechanistic Investigation of the Pyrolysis of Brown Grease
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The conversion of brown grease using pyrolysis reactions represents a very promising option for the production of renewable fuels and chemicals. Brown grease forms a mixture of alkanes, alkenes, and ketones at a temperature above 300 °C at atmospheric pressure. This work is a computational study of the detailed reaction mechanisms of brown grease pyrolysis using DFT methodology. Prior experimental investigations confirmed product formation consistent with a set of radical reactions with CO2 elimination, as well as ketone by product formation, CO forming reactions, and formation of alcohols and aldehydes as minor byproducts. In this work, computational quantum chemistry was used to explore these reactions in greater detail. Particularly, a nonradical pathway formed ketone byproducts via the ketene, which we refer to as Pathways A1 and A2. Radical formation by thermal decomposition of unsaturated fatty acids initiates a set of reactions which eliminate CO2, regenerating alkyl radicals leading to hydrocarbon products (Pathway B). A third pathway (Pathway C) is an alternative set of radical reactions, resulting in decarbonylation and formation of minor byproducts. The results of the calculations are in good agreement with recent experimental studies.

1. Introduction

The development of alternative and renewable energy and chemicals has been on the rise over the past decades. Recently, converting agricultural and forestry feedstocks (biomass) into multiple renewable commodities has attracted great attention since there are increasing demands (socially and economically) to develop renewable alternatives to fossil-derived fuels and chemicals. The primary drivers have been problems associated with over-reliance on fossil-derived fuels and chemicals as well as increased consumer awareness and demand for renewability and sustainability [1–3]. Lipid feedstocks have been considered as one of the biomass sources with the most potential for producing renewable liquid hydrocarbon products, which can be used as petroleum alternatives. The primary reason for this is the higher energy density [4] and relatively simpler structure [5] compared to other biomass feedstock such as lignocellulose.

Several lipid feedstocks are available for conversion to renewable liquid fuels including refined vegetable oils and animal fats (food grade), inedible plant oils (e.g., castor oil and Jatropha oil), and waste oils and fats such as brown grease, yellow grease, and sewage sludge lipids. The ability to utilize nonfood lipid feedstocks is important for process economics and commercial viability as feedstock cost...
accounts for anywhere between 40 and 80% of the production cost of renewable fuels [5–8]. Brown grease is a particularly attractive feedstock because its chemical reactions in the pyrolysis do not require high pressures, hydrogen, or expensive metal catalysts to produce hydrocarbons, thus greatly reducing the capital costs of a potential industrial process [9].

Brown grease is a plentiful source of low-grade waste oil. The term brown grease refers to a mixture of waste vegetable oils, animal fats, and grease that collect in the sewer lines and enter the wastewater treatment plants. Depending on the source, it is usually about 90% saturated and unsaturated free fatty acid, with smaller amounts of triglycerides. The ratios of fatty acids and triglycerides vary with source [10, 11]. A primary source is a grease that is dumped down drains or from washing dishes. Sewer line deposits are formed as calcium ions leach from concrete pipes and react with the fatty acids, forming insoluble fatty acid calcium salts [12]. Brown grease is often incinerated or landfilled as a nuisance byproduct of the sewage treatment process. Most of the previous attempts to obtain a higher value fuel have focused on anaerobic digestion to biogas, or esterification to biodiesel [10, 11, 13, 14]. Brown grease can also undergo pyrolysis to a kerosene-like mixture of hydrocarbons under relatively mild conditions [15–17]. The pyrolysis reaction, our concern, is defined as a high-temperature reaction in the absence of oxygen [18–21].

Yoke-Leng Sim and co-authors [9] found that brown grease breaks down into a mixture of alkanes and alkenes at temperatures above 300°C without the addition of hydrogen or an external catalyst. They performed pyrolysis experiments in order to accomplish a better understanding of the process of this reaction. In addition to brown grease, they performed the pyrolysis of palmitic and oleic acids as a model system for the saturated and unsaturated fatty acids in brown grease, respectively. They also found that three kinds of reaction pathways had taken place in the pyrolysis process: palmitone formation, decarboxylation, and decarbonylation reactions. Firstly, palmitone formation is consistent with partial conversion of palmitic acid to ketene, followed by reaction of another molecule of palmitic acid with the elimination of carbon dioxide [10]. The proposed reaction sequence of palmitic acid to produce palmitone is shown in Scheme 1. The ketene derived from palmitic acid has been trapped with aniline, forming the N-phenylamide [9]. In this work, Pathways A1 and A2 involve the formation of ketene; then, it undergoes a nucleophilic attack to produce palmitone via the enol form, as shown in Scheme 1.

Another interesting point that was found in the article is that although palmitic acid is unreactive below 300°C, it appears to react at that temperature in the presence of oleic acid. This was explained in terms of radicals produced from allylic cleavage of unsaturated fatty acids, which may extract a hydrogen atom from the saturated acids, generating a reactive carboxyl radical [22]. It is probable that radical-promoted decarboxylation reactions compete with ketone formation, yielding more hydrocarbons and less ketone byproduct. The proposed reaction pathway for palmitic acid in the presence of unsaturated acid-derived radicals is illustrated in Scheme 2.

Finally, decarbonylation has taken place where some evolution of CO was observed and the yield of hydrocarbons increased with a decrease in palmitone formation [23–26]. Brown grease normally contains trace amounts of iron, which appears to catalyze the decarbonylation reaction. Decarbonylation also occurs in the absence of iron but to a lesser extent. In other words, iron-catalyzed reactions compete with ketone formation, yielding more hydrocarbons and less ketone byproduct. Based on the experiments, Scheme 3 was proposed for the reaction pathway for palmitic acid.

It is clear from the experimental studies of brown grease pyrolysis that the product distribution is dependent on both the temperature and the heating profile [9, 15, 22]. Other experimental and theoretical studies on brown grease separation and flow have been performed [27, 28].

From a theoretical point of view, there are no previous investigations for the pyrolysis mechanisms of brown grease. In this work, a detailed computational study on the pyrolysis reactions in the absence of Fe(III) and in the presence of unsaturated fatty acid which is oleic acid was carried out. This study will provide a better understanding of the pyrolysis mechanisms. It will also provide insight into the effects of different heating profiles on the product distribution. This could be fulfilled by demonstrating the possible pyrolysis reaction mechanisms of the pyrolysis of the brown grease to increase our understanding of each investigated reaction kinetically and thermodynamically, and moreover to deeply understand the different reaction pathways mechanistic mechanisms to produce several hydrocarbons and ketones under different conditions in order to mimic the experimental results of these reactions by getting the most feasible pathway and products using several accurate theoretical levels of theory.

The calculations below investigate radical mechanisms for fatty acid pyrolysis and nonradical mechanisms for ketone formation. Our attempts to co-pyrolyze palmitic acid and brown grease with waste polystyrene did not generate additional fatty acid products from radical reactions. Instead, these experiments acted as a fortuitous mechanistic probe that demonstrates reduced reactivity of the fatty acids by radical mechanisms, reduced radical fragmentation of the fatty acid radicals to lower mass fatty acids, and enhanced ketone production by a nonradical mechanism. With both pure palmitic acid and brown grease samples, the ketone byproducts increased significantly, with a concurrent decrease in the homologous series of alkanes and alkenes below 15 carbon atoms. These results are consistent with inhibition of the radical formation and/or reactions by polystyrene or its decomposition products, and thus supportive of radical mechanisms for these processes. The latter are styrene, toluene, ethylbenzene, isopropylbenzene, and α-methylstyrene, in addition to some diphenylalkanes as minor products. It has been previously shown that attempted copolymerization of mono-unsaturated fatty acid methyl esters results in polymerization of the styrene, with little reaction with the fatty acid double bonds [29]. This is apparently a
result of the greater stability of the benzylic radicals formed from styrene polymerization. Likewise, radicals formed from polystyrene pyrolysis are likely to quench those formed from allylic cleavage of fatty acids, thus inhibiting the radical pathway.

2. Computational Methods

All calculations were performed using the Gaussian-16 [30] package. Based on the size of the system for the pyrolysis of brown grease, the DFT method is the most appropriate and worthy of the level of accuracy and computational cost. The geometry optimizations and harmonic vibrational frequency calculations of all stationary and saddle points have been performed at B3LYP [31] and composite method M06-2X [32] using B3LYP/6-31G(d) and B3LYP/6-31 + G(d) levels of theory for nonradical pathways and at uB3LYP/6-31G(d) for radical pathways. The relative energies of all stationary points in the vacuum were corrected with zero-point vibrational energies. For all proposed mechanisms, the transition states were analyzed using the intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC) [33–39], at B3LYP/6-31G(d) and uB3LYP/6-31G(d) levels of theory for nonradical and radical pathways, respectively, to affirm the linkage between the reactant and each of the required intermediate or product. Structures obtained from IRC were optimized to identify the minima to which each transition state is connected. Frequencies were calculated for all structures to ensure the absence of imaginary frequencies in the minima and the presence of only one imaginary frequency (one negative eigenvalue) in the transition structures.

3. Results and Discussion

The calculated reaction mechanism for Pathways A1 and A2 is outlined in Scheme 1 and presented in detail in Scheme 4. The optimized structures of each reactant (R), intermediate (I), transition state (TS), and product (P) for the first and subsequent steps in Pathways A1 and A2 are shown in Figures 1 and 2, respectively. The potential energy diagram (PED) using the two DFT functionals can be found in Figures 3 and 4. The thermodynamic parameters (relative energies ($\Delta E$), enthalpies ($\Delta H$), and Gibbs energies ($\Delta G$)) for the investigated pathways in kJ mol$^{-1}$ at 298.15 kJ and the kinetic parameters (activation energies ($E_a$), enthalpies of activation ($\Delta H^\ddagger$), and Gibbs energies of activation ($\Delta G^\ddagger$)) are given in Tables 1 and 2 for Pathways A1 and A2. The conformational changes were considered for the palmitic acid to see if there is an influence on the reaction of potential
energies. These conformers were found to be very comparable in terms of the energy differing by no more than 17 kJ mol\(^{-1}\); see Supporting Information (SI), Figure S1. However, the most stable conformer (R1) was used (Figure S1). Cartesian coordinates for all saddle and stationary points of each proposed pathway at certain levels of theory are reported in the Supporting Information.

3.1. PED for the Proposed Reaction Mechanisms for Palmitic Acid (Pathways A1 and A2). Pathways A1 and A2 represent the palmitone formation reaction from palmitic acid, which is depicted in Scheme 4. The proposed reaction is a multi-step mechanism. The first step, depicted as Pathway A1, represents the ketene formation. It involves a nucleophilic attack by the OH (carboxylic) at the \(\alpha\)-acidic hydrogen atom of palmitic acid, thus resulting in an ionic carbon atom adjacent to the carbonyl group as well as a good leaving group (OH\(_2^+\)). The activation energies of the first step, TSA1, Ketene, are 320, 317, and 336 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) at B3LYP/6-31G(d), B3LYP/6-31 + G(d), and M06-2X/6-31G(d), respectively, as shown in Figure 2. The PED shows very similarly kinetic and thermodynamic results with both the B3LYP and M06-2X methods, as reported in Tables 1 and 2, where all proposed
methods nearly completely overlap. Accordingly, it could be seen that the addition of diffuse function noticeably changed the Gibbs energy of palmitone formation step by decreasing the energy up to 63 kJ mol$^{-1}$.

In Pathway A2, the enol form of the palmitic acid-ketene adduct (RA2) rearranges to a β-ketoacid (I1A2) via transition state TS1A2. This undergoes a conformational change to I2A2, with a very negligible barrier, in which the carboxylate proton is coordinated to the keto-oxygen. Decarboxylation occurs via transition state TS2A2 forming the final palmitone product (PA2); see Scheme 4.

The kinetic parameters show that the activation energies for the first saddle point (TS1A2) are 118, 123, and 133 kJ mol$^{-1}$ at B3LYP/6-31G(d), B3LYP/6-31+G(d), and M062x/6-31G(d), respectively. The barrier is considerably increased in decarboxylation step (TS2A2) compared to the first step with activation energy values of 289 and 304 kJ mol$^{-1}$ at

Figure 1: The optimized structures for palmitone formation from palmitic acid (Pathway A1).

Figure 2: The optimized structures for palmitone formation via enol form of the palmitic acid-ketene adduct (Pathway A2).

Figure 3: PED for ketone formation (Pathway A1). Relative energies calculated at B3LYP/6-31G(d) (blue line), B3LYP/6-31+G(d) (green line), and M062x/6-31G(d) (red line).
B3LYP/6-31G(d) and M062x/6-31G(d), respectively (Figure 4), which is the rate-determining step. However, the overall activation energy values are 195, 204, and 209 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) at B3LYP/6-31G(d), B3LYP/6-31 + G(d), and M062x/6-31G(d), respectively. Moreover, the thermodynamic results indicate that Pathway A2 is an exothermic reaction with a Gibbs energy of \(-171, -159, \) and \(-150\) kJ mol\(^{-1}\) at B3LYP/6-31G(d), B3LYP/6-31 + G(d), and M062x/6-31G(d), respectively (Table 2).

3.2. Overview of Radical Reactions in Brown Grease Pyrolysis.

Thermal cleavage of unsaturated fatty acids is well known, and the resulting radical species can react in several ways, as illustrated in Table 3. It has been experimentally observed that brown grease pyrolysis generates CO, CO\(_2\), and small amounts of other compounds. These observations support the results in Table 3, which shows the calculated enthalpies of typical radical reactions of the radical species described above.

The free energies will vary only slightly with chain length; therefore, reactions of three and four carbon species were calculated for simplicity and computational economy. Calculations were performed in the gas phase and in ethyl acetate, a solvent of medium polarity similar to the mixture of species in brown grease. Once formed, the alkyl radical, represented here by the propyl radical, may equilibrate with alkanes or extract a hydrogen atom from the carboxyl group. The carboxyl radical may eliminate CO\(_2\), regenerating the alkyl radical in a chain reaction (Pathway B), as illustrated in the first two rows of Table 3. This energetically favourable process steadily produces CO\(_2\), as was observed experimentally. Alternatively, the alkyl radical may abstract a hydroxyl radical from the fatty acid, forming the aldehyde radical, which eliminates CO and regenerates the alkyl radical. This is an endothermic process, and the calculated enthalpy is consistent with spurs of CO evolution accompanied by a temperature drop in the reactor. The aldehyde radical can also react with an alkane, forming traces of aldehydes.

![PED for palmitone formation via enol form of the palmitic acid-ketene adduct (Pathway A2). Relative energies calculated at B3LYP/6-31G(d) (blue line), B3LYP/6-31 + G(d), and M062x/6-31G(d) (red line).](image-url)
These reactions, forming Pathway C, are represented by the last three rows of Table 3.

Table 3: Calculated radical reactions of fatty acids at B3LYP/6-31G*.

| Reactions                                      | ΔH_{gas}^* | Notes                          |
|------------------------------------------------|------------|--------------------------------|
| C_{3}H_{7}COOH → C_{3}H_{7}COO • → C_{3}H_{8}  | -3.7 (-1.2)|                                |
| C_{3}H_{7}COO • → C_{3}H_{8} • → CO           | -45.5 (-35.2)| a                             |
| C_{3}H_{7}COOH → C_{3}H_{7}COO • → C_{3}H_{8} | -69.9 (67.1)| b                             |
| C_{3}H_{7}CO • → C_{3}H_{8} • → C_{3}H_{12}   | 60.0 (67.1) | c                             |
| C_{8}H_{17}COH → C_{8}H_{17}CHO               | 54.1 (51.3) | d                             |

*Values in parenthesis are in ethyl acetate. a, CO_{2} observed in pyrolysis; b, traces of alcohol formed in pyrolysis; c, large temperature drops during spurts of CO evolution; d, traces of aldehydes formed in pyrolysis.

Hartgers et al. [40] reported that, due to the lower bond dissociation energy of the C-C bond compared to C-H and C-O, initiation of the pyrolysis reaction involves homolytic cleavage of the C-C bond rather than C-H or C-O bonds. Additionally, the presence of oxygen (electron-withdrawing atoms) in the carboxyl group weakens the C-C bond adjacent to the carboxyl group. Therefore, the initiation of pyrolysis was likely to involve homolytic cleavage of this C-C bond. This study focuses on an interesting, special homolytic cleavage (allylic C-H bond cleavage), which produces the H and the allylic radicals. However, generating many potential kinds of radicals from oleic acid will be discussed at the beginning of this section.

The thermodynamic parameters in Table 4 indicate that all the three bonds dissociation reactions are endothermic where the heat of reaction values are varied within 283–374 kJ mol^{-1}. The bond dissociation reaction is a one-step reaction mechanism. Based on the results shown in Table 4, the activation energy of C_{7}-C_{8} (allylic position) cleavage reaction is the lowest among others which is 376 kJ mol^{-1} compared to 447 and 400 kJ mol^{-1} for (C_{1}-C_{2}) and (C_{8}-H) cleavage reactions, respectively; see Figure 6.

Radical formation from unsaturated fatty acids, illustrated by oleic acid, occurs at elevated temperatures from
Figure 5: The optimized structures for (a) C<sub>7</sub>-C<sub>8</sub> cleavage, (b) C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>2</sub> cleavage, and (c) C<sub>8</sub>-H cleavage (the first step in pathway (B) at uB3LYP/6-31G(d)).

Table 4: Relative energies, enthalpies, Gibbs energies, activation energies, and Gibbs energies of activation for the dissociation reactions of oleic acid at uB3LYP/6-31G(d) (in kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>) at 298 K.

| Type of dissociation | ΔE  | ΔH  | ΔG  | ΔE<sup>+</sup> | ΔG<sup>+</sup> |
|----------------------|-----|-----|-----|---------------|---------------|
| (C<sub>7</sub>-C<sub>8</sub>) cleavage | 276 | 283 | 245 | 376           | 364           |
| (C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>2</sub>) cleavage | 362 | 374 | 329 | 447           | 442           |
| (C<sub>8</sub>-H) cleavage | 332 | 337 | 323 | 400           | 390           |

Figure 6: PED for the pyrolysis of the oleic process for (a) C<sub>7</sub>-C<sub>8</sub> cleavage, (b) C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>2</sub> cleavage, and (c) C<sub>8</sub>-H cleavage.
Formation of allylic radical step

Oleic acid

\[ \text{Oleic acid} \xrightarrow{300 \, \text{C}} \text{8-\gamma-9-Octadecenoic acid} + \text{H}^+ \] (allylic radical)

Radical equilibration

Decarboxylation step

\[ \text{CH}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{C} + \text{OH} \xrightarrow{\text{Decarboxylation step}} \text{CH}_2 + \text{CO}_2 + \Delta H = -3.1 \text{kJ/mol} \]

\[ \text{CH}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{C} + \text{OH} \xrightarrow{\text{Decarboxylation step}} \text{CH}_2 + \text{CO}_2 + \Delta H = -45.5 \text{kJ/mol} \]

**Scheme 6:** Radical formation reactions and decarboxylation chain reaction (Pathway C).

**Figure 7:** PED for pentadecane formation (Pathway C) (the second step).

**Scheme 7:** Radical reactions forming CO and minor pyrolysis byproducts (Pathway D).
hydrogen loss or abstraction, or by allylic cleavage of the fatty acid chain, as shown in Scheme 6. These radicals will equilibrate under the reaction conditions, ultimately leading to alkanes and alkenes from C7 to more than C17, with some gas containing small hydrocarbons, CO, and CO2. The relative energies of radical formation by fatty acid bond cleavage are shown in Table 4, with the lowest energy being from allylic bond cleavage.

Subsequent radical reactions with fatty acids (Pathway C) react in a probable chain reaction, illustrated by the propyl radical and butanoic acid in the lower part of Scheme 6. The activation energy for the second step of pentadecane formation (Pathway C) is 193 kJ mol\(^{-1}\), as shown in Figure 7. Other radical reactions are possible (Pathway D), again illustrated by the propyl radical and butanoic acid in Scheme 7. These account for the observed evolution of carbon monoxide and the minor alcohol and aldehyde pyrolysis by-products.

Pathway D results in the loss of CO from an aldehyde radical and formation of trace amounts of alcohols and aldehydes in the hydrocarbon product. Although hydrogen atom extraction from the fatty acid is energetically favored over hydroxyl group extraction, the latter is the only explanation for the observed bursts of CO evolution. At temperatures at or above 300°C, there is sufficient thermal energy to overcome an energy barrier of 60 kJ mol\(^{-1}\). Additional experimental evidence is the observation that the reaction temperature drops by 10 degrees or more during the spurts of CO evolution, determined by a gas analyser at the output of the reactor.

4. Conclusion

The chemical reactions for the pyrolysis of brown grease (palmitic acid) have been studied using computational quantum chemistry, and all observed products can be explained. Pathways A1 and A2 are a nonradical mechanism that occurred via two key steps. It begins with ketene formation (Pathway A1) with the activation energies (for TSA1) being 320, 317, and 336 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) at B3LYP/6-31G(d), B3LYP/6-31 + G(d), and M062x/6-31G(d) levels of theory, respectively. This is followed by an addition to a second molecule of fatty acid with CO2 elimination (Pathway A2), the stable ester intermediate, which is the fastest step, and finally decarboxylation step. The enthalpy of ketene formation in the gas phase is \(-121, -57\) kJ mol\(^{-1}\), and \(-88\) kJ mol\(^{-1}\) for Pathway A1, whereas in Pathway B it is \(-146, -148,\) and \(-135\) kJ mol\(^{-1}\) at B3LYP/6-31G(d), B3LYP/6-31 + G(d), and M062x/6-31G(d), respectively.

Alkane formation mechanism (Pathways C and D) has two main steps. The first step is the pyrolysis of oleic acid to produce radicals (rate-determining step) with an activation energy of 400 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) at uB3LYP/6-31G(d). The second step is the radical’s attack on the palmitic acid followed by decarboxylation to produce pentadecane with an activation energy of 193 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) at uB3LYP/6-31G(d). Based on the results, it can be concluded that the decarboxylation reaction (Pathway C) is an exothermic reaction; therefore, it is more favourable. Furthermore, hydrogen and an expensive metal catalyst such as platinum group are not required to produce hydrocarbons from brown grease, thus greatly reducing the capital costs of a potential industrial process. The knowledge gained in this study will surely aid in the development of an industrial brown grease pyrolysis process.
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