Influence of administration of mesenchymal stromal cell on pediatric oxygenator performance and inflammatory response
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ABSTRACT

Objective: Mesenchymal stromal cells have important immunomodulatory and neuroprotective properties. The aim of this study was to evaluate the feasibility of mesenchymal stromal cell administration into a cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) circuit, including a pediatric oxygenator, and to assess the immunomodulatory response of the circulating blood prime.

Methods: A bypass circuit with a pediatric oxygenator, including integral filter was primed with bank whole blood. Normal saline (control) or 120 x 10^6 mesenchymal stromal cells were injected into the venous reservoir after 80 minutes of perfusion. To assess oxygenator function, immune reaction, and cytokine/chemokine levels, the ex vivo circulation was maintained for 300 minutes after administration.

Results: There were no differences in flow rate, trans-oxygenator pressure gradient, blood oxygen, and carbon dioxide levels between control and cell delivery groups. No adhesion of mesenchymal stromal cells was observed on the filter mesh by scanning electron microscopy. Lymphocyte surface marker assay found no difference in the number of B cells, T cells, or natural killer cells between the 2 groups, indicating no immunogenicity of allogeneic mesenchymal stromal cells under ex vivo CPB conditions. CPB significantly changed the level of interleukin (IL) 4, IL-6, IL-8, IP-10, macrophage colony stimulating factor, macrophage inflammatory protein-1β, monocyte chemoattractant protein-1, and IL-1α over time. IL-6 level was significantly increased after cell administration.

Conclusions: The administration of mesenchymal stromal cells does not interfere with oxygenator function. Allogeneic mesenchymal stromal cells show no immunogenicity, and increase plasma IL-6 level during ex vivo circulation. Further investigation is necessary to determine the effect of mesenchymal stromal cell delivery through CPB during pediatric cardiac surgery. (JTCVS Open 2021;5:99-107)

CENTRAL MESSAGE
BM-MSC administration does not interfere with pediatric oxygenator function. There is no immunogenicity of allogeneic BM-MSCs during ex vivo CPB. BM-MSC injection modulates plasma IL-6 level.

PERSPECTIVE
BM-MSCs have immunomodulatory and neuroprotective properties. Our studies test CPB itself as a cell delivery system into the systemic circulation during pediatric cardiac surgery. The present study demonstrates the feasibility and significant translational potential of BM-MSC delivery through CPB in neonates and infants with congenital heart disease.

See Commentary on page 108.
Among the most important current challenges for children after neonatal cardiac surgery is to improve neurodevelopmental and behavioral outcomes. However, few treatment options are currently available. Bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stromal cells (BM-MSCs)-based therapies have been used in multiple clinical trials because of their important anti-inflammatory, anti-apoptotic, and neuroprotective properties. Initial studies of application of BM-MSCs focused on the capacity of BM-MSCs to replace lost cells with so-called stem cells; however, over the past decade, the focus has shifted toward the ability of BM-MSCs to exert their effect via stimulation of endogenous trophic mechanisms for promoting regeneration through endogenous stem/progenitor cells. Indeed, we have previously demonstrated that BM-MSC delivery through cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) in a piglet model has the potential to mitigate the deleterious effect of CPB on neural stem/progenitor cells and to promote migration of neuroblasts toward the frontal cortex. In addition, our previous study using a neonatal piglet model has shown that CPB causes prolonged brain-specific inflammation. BM-MSCs are able to regulate both adaptive and innate immune responses, and have been widely applied in treatment for graft-versus-host disease and autoimmune disorders. These findings suggest that BM-MSC administration through CPB is potentially a highly effective approach to control the inflammatory response during pediatric cardiac surgery.

In our Phase 1 clinical trial, BM-MSCs must pass through the arterial filter integrated into a pediatric oxygenator before entering the patient’s circulation. However the interaction of BM-MSCs with pediatric oxygenator performance is largely unknown. In addition, there is little information available regarding the direct immunomodulatory effect of BM-MSCs on the inflammatory response of circulating blood inside a CPB circuit. Therefore, the aim of the current study is to determine the interaction between BM-MSC administration and the performance of a pediatric membrane oxygenator while assessing the effect of BM-MSCs on the inflammatory response to CPB using an ex vivo model.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Experimental Model**

The ex vivo CPB circuit consists of a roller pump, a pediatric membrane oxygenator with integral arterial filter with a pore size of 32 μm (CAPIOX FX05; Terumo Corp, Tokyo, Japan), X-coated tubing (Terumo Corp), heater/cooler unit and gas delivery system (Figure 1A). The circuit was primed with 100 mL human red blood cells (Innovative Research Inc, Novi, Mich) and 5000 U sodium heparin to simulate patient blood. Normal saline was used to adjust hematocrit levels between 30% and 40% (final priming volume; 175 ± 32.4 mL). At the beginning of ex vivo CPB, ABO-matched allogeneic whole blood (Innovative Research Inc) was administered to mimic exposure to an allogeneic blood prime. Pump flow rate was begun at 360 mL/min blood flow and 37 C blood temperature to mimic the clinical case of a human infant with 3 kg body weight. Gas flow was maintained at 3 L/min (95% oxygen and 5% carbon dioxide). The activated clotting time was maintained at >400 seconds during the perfusion simulation. After 80 minutes of perfusion, 10 mL normal saline either with 120 × 10^6 human BM-MSCs (MSC group, n = 5) or without cells (control, n = 5) were injected into the reservoir to replicate the single injection protocol of our clinical trial. This dose was designed to assess the effect of the maximum target dose level in the clinical study (40 × 10^6 cells in infants weighing 3 kg). CPB was maintained until 300 minutes after administration (380 minutes total). The purge line of the circuit was kept closed. The pressure lines across the oxygenator were used to assess the transoxygenator pressure gradient. The preoxygenator blood flow was also measured with an inline flow sensor (Transonic Systems Inc, Ithaca, NY). Blood samples were collected before the beginning of CPB and at 60 (20 minutes before injection), 110, 140, 260, and 380 minutes for blood gas analysis and assessment of immune responses.

To directly evaluate the interaction between BM-MSCs and the arterial filter, in an additional experiment cells were injected directly into the filter with a pore size of 32 μm (CAPIOX CXAF02). After priming with heparinized normal saline (400 U heparin/100 mL 0.9% sodium chloride), 4 doses of BM-MSCs (control: no cell, 30 × 10^6, 60 × 10^6, and 120 × 10^6) were injected into arterial filters (Figure 1B) to replicate target dose levels (10 × 10^6, 20 × 10^6, and 40 × 10^6 cells/kg) in infants with 3 kg body weight. Cells that passed through the filter were collected, and cell viabilities were evaluated with trypan blue exclusion method before and after injection.

Arterial filters were analyzed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) to determine the extent of deposition of BM-MSCs on the filter surface. Arterial filters were rinsed 3 times with 0.1 M phosphate buffer with 3.0% sucrose solution (pH 7.4) immediately after ex vivo CPB and after the direct injection test, and then rinsed 3 times with 0.9% sodium chloride. The filters were subsequently fixed by immersion at 4°C for 12 hours with 2% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer with 3.0% sucrose solution (pH 7.4). Imaging was performed from 5 different and distinct areas per filter using the Everhart-Thornley detector at 2 kv and 9 mm WD.

Institutional review board approval was not required because all human blood products used in our studies were commercially available and manufactured for research purpose only.
BM-MSC Development

Human MSCs were manufactured from the bone marrow using the same methods that are used for clinical trials at the Good Manufacturing Practices clean room facility at Children’s National Hospital. Bone marrow aspirated from normal donors was purchased from Lonza (Walkersville, Md), and expanded 2 passages in flasks to select out the MSCs. Then cells were expanded up to 6 total passages with the Quantum Cell Expansion system, which we described previously. Before infusion, BM-MSCs were tested according to the minimal criteria to define human BM-MSC published by the International Society of Cellular Therapy.

Immunogenicity and Cytokine Analysis

To assess immune response to BM-MSC administration, subpopulations of leukocytes were evaluated using flow cytometry at baseline, the end of recirculation, and 12 hours after recirculation. Luminex assays (Procartaplex Multiplex Immunoassay; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, Mass) were also performed for twenty cytokine/chemokines including interferon-α, interferon-γ, interleukin (IL)-1α, IL-1β, IL-4, IL-6, IL-8, IL-10, IL-12p70, IL-13, IL-17A, tumor necrosis factor-α, granulocyte macrophage colony stimulating factor (GM-CSF), macrophage inflammatory protein-1α, macrophage inflammatory protein-1β (MIP-1β), monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1), interferon-γ-induced protein-10 (IP-10), E-selectin, P-selectin, and soluble intercellular adhesion molecule-1.

Statistical Analysis

The group × time interaction terms were evaluated with a 2-way analysis of variance. For a pairwise group comparison at each time point, Bonferroni post hoc testing or a 2-tailed, unpaired Student t test was applied. Statistical analysis was performed using the PRISM6 software package (GraphPad Software, Inc, La Jolla, Calif). P values from t test and 2-way analysis of variance were shown as raw P value. Only for Bonferroni post hoc testing, adjusted P values were applied.

RESULTS

Pediatric Oxygenator Performance

Blood flow rate and pressure gradient across the oxygenator were measured to assess the effect of BM-MSC administration on flow dynamics across the membrane oxygenator with integral arterial filter. There were no changes in flow rate during ex vivo CPB circulation in both control and BM-MSC groups (Figure 2, A). The transoxygenator pressure gradient decreased with time during 380 minutes of recirculation; however, there were no significant differences between the 2 groups (Figure 2, B). At the end of CPB, the transoxygenator pressure gradients in control and BM-MSC group were 31.0 and 29.2 mm Hg, respectively. Consistent with these findings, we did not observe any differences in oxygen tension and carbon dioxide tension levels between control and BM-MSC groups up to 300 min after BM-MSC injection (Figure 2, C and D). These findings confirm that BM-MSC administration into the CPB circuit does not interfere with pediatric oxygenator performance.
Cell Adhesion on Arterial Filter Mesh

To determine satisfactory passage of BM-MSCs through the arterial filter, filter meshes were assessed after ex vivo CPB. SEM showed only minor debris and no adhesion of BM-MSCs to the 32 μm-pore filter (Figure 3, A and B). Direct injection tests were also performed to further assess interruption of BM-MSC delivery by the arterial filter (Figure 1, B). Consistent with the observation in ex vivo CPB, there was no adhesion of BM-MSCs to the filter mesh after BM-MSC injection at 3 different doses ($30 \times 10^6$, $60 \times 10^6$, and $120 \times 10^6$ BM-MSCs) (Figure 3, C and D). Mean viability of BM-MSCs at the time of injection was 97.6%. We also found that 80.2% cell viability was maintained after arterial filter passage. These findings suggest no important disturbance of cell viability and filter function by BM-MSC delivery through CPB.

Immunogenicity Following Allogeneic BM-MSC Administration

Allogeneic BM-MSCs may have cellular alloreactivity. To assess immune response after allogeneic BM-MSC administration, subpopulations of lymphocytes were evaluated by lymphocyte surface marker assay. BM-MSC administration into ex vivo CPB caused no significant alterations in the number of B cells, helper T cells, cytotoxic T cells, regulatory T cells, monocytes, and natural killer cells compared with control (Table 1), suggesting no immunogenicity of allogeneic BM-MSCs during ex vivo CPB.
Cytokine/Chemokine Levels

A total of 20 cytokines, chemokines, and growth factors were evaluated to assess the effect of BM-MSCs on CPB-induced systemic inflammatory response. There were significant declines in the levels of IL-4, GM-CSF, IP-10 and MIP-1β over time (Figure 4). IL-4 and GM-CSF have significant anti-inflammatory properties. We observed no differences in those cytokine and chemokine levels between the control and BM-MSC groups (Figure 4). IL-8, MCP-1, and IL-1α are well known as proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines. Our assays revealed significant increases in the level of IL-8, MCP-1, IL-1α, and IL-6 during ex vivo CPB (Figure 5). Although there were no differences in the levels of IL-8, MCP-1, and IL-1α between the control and BM-MSC groups, we observed significant increase in IL-6 level after BM-MSC administration compared with control (Figure 5, D and Video 1).

DISCUSSION

This is the first study to evaluate the feasibility of BM-MSC delivery through the pediatric CPB circuit. The present study using an ex-vivo CPB model has shown that BM-MSC administration does not interfere with pediatric oxygenator performance. SEM assessment reveals no cell adherence on the filter mesh after BM-MSC delivery. Furthermore, our lymphocyte surface marker assay has demonstrated no immunogenicity of allogeneic BM-MSCs under CPB. Finally, our results indicate a significant increase of plasma IL-6 level by BM-MSC administration. Among the unique features of our studies is testing CPB itself as a cell delivery system into the systemic circulation during pediatric cardiac surgery. Meta-analyses of various clinical trials comprising a total of 2625 and 2037 patients have confirmed the long-term safety specifically of intra-arterial delivery at a wide range of BM-MSC doses with zero reports of serious events, such as embolic events leading to obstruction/stenosis of coronary arteries or myocardial ischemia. Nevertheless, the method of administration of BM-MSCs in this study and in a planned clinical trial requires passage of the cells through the arterial filter that is integrated with the pediatric oxygenator. The pore size of the arterial filter integrated with the pediatric CPB system employed in this study is 32 μm. Although the average diameter of human BM-MSCs is estimated to be between 10 and 25 μm, the plastic adherent characteristics of BM-MSCs might affect either filter function or cell viability after passing through the filter mesh. A majority of current pediatric oxygenators integrate the arterial filter. Therefore disturbance of the filter function by BM-MSCs potentially alters gas exchange function due to excessive turbulence and/or pressure effects around the hollow fibers in the oxygenator. Previous studies using an extracorporeal membrane oxygenator (ECMO) showed loss of injected BM-MSCs, cell adhesion to the oxygenator, and rapid decline in oxygenator function after ex vivo ECMO circulation. However the Quadrox (Maquet Cardiopulmonary GmbH, Rastatt, Germany) oxygenator used in those studies differs from standard CPB microporous oxygenators in both the polymer employed for
microfiber construction as well as the weave of the fibers. Consistent with clinical application during pediatric cardiac surgery, our studies also maintained a higher activated clotting time compared to ECMO. BM-MSCs potentially activate the coagulation system via tissue factor which can be prevented by anticoagulant agents. Thus it is possible that heparinization level is an important factor for the complex interaction between BM-MSCs and a membrane oxygenator. The current study using a standard CPB microporous membrane oxygenator demonstrated no alterations in blood flow, transoxygenator pressure gradient and oxygenation function up to 5 hours after BM-MSC administration. Furthermore our SEM studies demonstrated no adherence of BM-MSCs on the surface of the arterial filter after 2 different methods of cell administration. Finally, the present study confirmed maintained viability of BM-MSCs after passage through the arterial filter. Altogether, our results indicate the feasibility and significant translational potential of BM-MSC delivery through CPB.

CPB results in a systemic inflammatory response due to blood exposure to nonendothelial surfaces, such as the artificial oxygenator, tubing, and cannulas. The present study confirmed that CPB circulation with allogeneic red blood cell transfusion changed the plasma levels of several cytokine/chemokines and growth factors. Proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines including IL-8, MCP-1, and IL-1α were significantly increased over time. Conversely, IL-4 and GM-CSF, which are known to have anti-inflammatory properties, were reduced. We also observed decreases in IP-10 and MIP-1β levels during ex vivo CPB. Although their biological functions are still unclear, IP-10 induces chemotaxis and cell growth, whereas MIP-1 stimulates chemotaxis, degranulation, and phagocytosis under inflammatory conditions. BM-MSCs directly injected into the ex vivo CPB significantly increased circulating plasma IL-6 level. The properties of IL-6 are highly complex and multi-factorial, including both anti- and pro-inflammatory effects. Notably, the IL-6 produced by BM-MSCs has been reported to polarize monocytes toward anti-inflammatory M2 macrophages. The polarizing effect on M2 macrophages is closely linked with the emergence of regulatory T cells, which are involved in immunosuppression. In addition BM-MSC derived IL-6 has been shown to dampen the respiratory burst in neutrophils, which produces reactive oxygen species, and to delay the spontaneous apoptosis of activated neutrophils. The repeated passage of blood through the CPB circuit can continuously trigger the activation of polymorphonuclear leukocytes, mainly neutrophils. Because the IL-6 level in the MSC group increased over time, we hypothesize that BM-MSCs react to continuous activation of neutrophils and release IL-6, thereby causing the continuous increase. However it is still possible that donor polymorphonuclear leukocytes were a major source of IL-6 during ex vivo CPB. Thus further studies will be required to understand the unique alteration of IL-6 level observed in the present study. It is also necessary to determine the effects of BM-MSCs on the systemic inflammatory response and the contribution of IL-6 to multigorgan function after pediatric cardiac surgery.

Allogeneic BM-MSCs may have cellular and humoral alloreactivity. The present study; however, demonstrated that allogeneic BM-MSC infusion did not change the subpopulation of lymphocytes immediately following CPB and 12 hours after CPB. BM-MSCs show low expression of major histocompatibility class II antigen. BM-MSCs also have important immunomodulatory properties, including a suppressive effect on T- and B-cell proliferation, suppression of natural killer cell function, and modulation of the secretory profile of dendritic cells and macrophages. These properties are considered to contribute to the low immunogenicity of BM-MSCs after allogeneic transplantation.
Our studies using an ex vivo CPB circuit include obvious limitations. Several studies have demonstrated that BM-MSCs polarize lymphocytes, including T cells and monocytes towards a regulatory phenotype, and generate regulatory T cells. In the present study, we did not observe cellular reactions by BM-MSCs during ex vivo circulation. There are no interactions of both circulating blood and delivered BM-MSCs with endothelium and organs that are also responsible for immune reactions, including lymph nodes, thymus, and spleen. In addition, because of technical challenges, cell viability of delivered BM-MSCs was not continuously monitored over the course of the experiment although it was 97.6% at the time of injection. A lack of interaction between important tissues and/or reduced cell viability during the experiment may have contributed to this result. Mechanisms underlying immune response during CPB are highly complex and multicellular events. Comparing immune and inflammatory responses between ex vivo circulation and in vivo preclinical and clinical studies will assist in our understanding of the effect of BM-MSCs on complex immune reactions and alterations in T-cell function after CPB.

Among the most important current challenges for children with congenital heart disease (CHD) is to improve neurodevelopmental and behavioral outcomes. We have recently established a Phase 1 clinical trial to assess the safety and feasibility of BM-MSC delivery through CPB in neonates and young infants with CHD. Ultimately, it is to be hoped that the proposed cell-based therapeutic approach during neonatal cardiac surgery can contribute to improvement of lifelong neurobehavioral problems in populations with CHD.
CONCLUSIONS

The present study demonstrates the feasibility and significant translational potential of BM-MSC delivery through CPB. Future investigation using an in vivo model is necessary for further understanding of the effect of BM-MSC administration during pediatric cardiac surgery.
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FIGURE 5. Ex vivo cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) modulates plasma cytokine/chemokine levels. The administration of bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stromal cells (BM-MSCs) increases interleukin-6. The ex vivo CPB significantly increases some proinflammatory cytokine and chemokines including interleukin-8 (A), monocyte chemotactic protein 1 (MCP-1) (B), and interleukin-1α (C) over time. D, The plasma interleukin-6 level is significantly increased after BM-MSC administration compared with control. P values for the group × time interaction terms are determined by 2-way analysis of variance. Group comparisons at each time points were evaluated with unpaired Student t test. *P < .05 versus control by unpaired Student t test. Data are shown as mean ± standard error (n = 5 each). p-inject, Postinjection.
Unadorned text: "VIDEO 1. Human bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stromal cells (BM-MSCs) injected into an ex vivo pediatric cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) circuit did not interfere with oxygenator function. BM-MSCs significantly increased circulating plasma interleukin-6 level. Video available at: https://www.jtcvs.org/article/S2666-2736(21)00023-1/fulltext.
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