JUICE VESICLE POPULATIONS IN CITRUS FRUIT
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The total number of vesicles within the fruits of several species of the Aurantioideae (Rutaceae) was determined. Two distinct vesicle shape types are recognized: superior and inferior. There was a significant correlation with the number of juice vesicles per fruit and the number of segments found within the fruit. Citrus species (e.g., grapefruit and pummelo) containing fruit composed of many segments (e.g., 10–14 and 20, respectively) correspondingly contained more vesicles per segment than those citrus species (e.g., kumquat and mandarin) containing fruit with few segments (4 and 7–10, respectively). Significant correlations were found between vesicle number and segment area or segment weight. Large segments weighed more and contained more vesicles than small segments. Seed number per fruit or per segment did not have significant correlation with the number of vesicles produced per fruit or per segment. A formula, based upon a partial fruit analysis, to estimate the total number of vesicles in a fruit within 5% of the actual number is presented. The number of vesicles produced in fruit was found to be influenced by environment. Fruit from trees of ‘Eureka’ lemon and ‘Improved’ Meyer lemons grown inside a temperature controlled greenhouse contained more vesicles than fruit from trees grown outdoors.

Introduction

The hesperidium is a fruit type unique to the subfamily Aurantioidae, family Rutaceae, and is produced by six genera commonly known as the “true citrus fruit group”: Citrus, Clymenia, Eremocitrus, Fortunella, Microcitrus, and Poncirus (Swingle and Reece 1967). The hesperidium is a kind of berry composed of a leathery rind and divided internally into segments (i.e., fused carpels or locules). These segments are triangularly lunate in shape and produce the pulp vesicles on their inner surface. All six genera produce vesicles that are quite similar in appearance, but only those juice vesicles found in Fortunella and Citrus are edible (Hodgson 1967; Swingle and Reece 1967). Vesicle histological development has been described in several Citrus species including: lemon (C. limon L. Burm. cv Eureka) (Ford 1942), navel orange (C. sinensis L. Osb.) (Oswa 1912), pummelo (C. grandis L. Osb.) (Banerji 1954), Valencia orange (C. sinensis) (Bain 1958; Schneider 1968), and the Satsuma mandarin (C. unshiu Marc.) (Nii and Coombe 1988). Vesicle initiation and development was found to be similar in all citrus species (Nii and Coombe 1988). To summarize these past studies, juice vesicles are initiated just before or during anthesis as multicellular primordial bulges on the locular side of the endocarp surface usually on the radial wall. These primordia are initiated by anticlinal divisions in endocarp cells and by periclinal divisions in the neighboring subepidermal layers. Vesicles then successively manifest club, conical, and, eventually, elliptical shapes. The mature vesicles are elliptical multicellular sacs enclosed by a membrane, usually containing a minute oil gland in their center and connected to the segment wall by a multicellular stalk (Ford 1942; Schneider 1968).

Two reports mention the number of vesicles found in citrus fruit (Bain 1959; Nii and Coombe 1988). Nii and Coombe (1988) state that more than two hundred juice vesicles occur per segment in mandarins, although a precise number is not given. Bain (1959), in contrast, performed intensive vesicle counts in three fruit of Valencia orange. The number of juice sacs per segment varied considerably in Valencia oranges. As many as 651 vesicles could occur in a segment, while the average segment had 356 to 578 vesicles. It was suggested that the size of the segment and the number of seeds present in the segment might influence the number of vesicles produced per segment. Those segments containing seeds had fewer vesicles than those without seeds, and larger segments had more vesicles than smaller ones (Bain 1959).

The size, shape, chemical composition, and marketability of citrus fruit is dependent upon its juice vesicle population (Hodgson 1967). Despite such obvious importance, little is known about the total number of vesicles occurring within a fruit, their distribution or dimensions within a segment or even if the number of vesicles in a fruit can be altered. The preponderance of citrus fruit chemical studies have been concerned with post-harvest pulp analyses and not with factors specifically affecting the morphological development of the juice vesicles (Reed 1930; Haas and Klotz 1935; Bartholomew and Sinclair 1941; Sinclair and Bartholomew 1944; Sinclair and Ramsey 1944;
Marloth 1950; Sauer 1953; Coggins et al. 1960; Reuther 1980; Boswell et al. 1982). This study was conducted to determine (1) the number of vesicles occurring within various citrus fruits and (2) whether the vesicle population could be altered through experimental treatments.

Material and Methods

Citropsis gabunensis (Engl.) Swing. and M. Kell. (Gabon cherry-orange), Citrus aurantium L. (sour orange), C. grandis, C. hystrix DC. (papeda), C. limon, C. medica, C. meyerii Y. Tan. (Meyer lemon), C. miaray West., C. paradisi Macf. (grapefruit), C. reticulata Blanco (mandarin), C. sinensis (sweet orange), C. unshiu, Fortunella margarita (Lour.) Swing. (kumquat), and Poncirus trifoliata L. Raf. ( trifoliata orange) were studied. Fruit was collected from 6-year-old trees grown in the Citrus Variety Collection at the University of California, Riverside. Initially, many stages and sizes of fruit development were examined to determine the optimum stage for use in population counts. In order to facilitate accurate vesicle counts, immature green fruits (about 150–80 d old) containing young, firm, early elliptical-shaped vesicles were employed. Two to four fruits per tree for each species cultivar were usually used in vesicle counts. Fruits were peeled and carefully separated into segments using the dull end of a spatula. Length, equatorial width, and weight of separated segments were measured. Segment area was calculated by multiplying the equatorial width by the length. Vesicle counts were made sequentially from the stylar end to stem end. Each counted vesicle was detached to prevent counting mistakes. Vesicles were counted with the aid of a dissecting microscope at 6–50 × magnification. During these counts, seed number per segment was also determined. Length (stalk and body), width (body only), and weight of vesicles were measured.

To determine the influence of specific environments on vesicle populations within fruits, 4-year-old trees of cv Eureka lemon and cv Meyer Improved lemon were grown in a temperature controlled greenhouse in Pasadena, California, where the temperature during daytime and nighttime was maintained at 23–27 C and 16–20 C, respectively, for 2 yr. Outside temperature conditions during daytime and nighttime were 20–33 C and 13–30 C, respectively. Maximum illumination was determined to be 2,000 μmole m−2 s−1 outside and 1,700 μmole m−2 s−1 in the greenhouse. Trees in the greenhouse were grown in a sandy-loam soil while trees outside were in a clay-loam soil. Similar watering and fertilizing practices were administered to the trees grown both outside and inside the greenhouse. Fruit set within greenhouse and outdoor conditions were analyzed for vesicle populations.

Observations

Vesicle Development and Number in Fruits

Invariably, the population of vesicles occurring within each segment was heterogeneous in size, weight, and shape-type (figs. 1–4). Vesicles exhibited two distinct shape-types within the segment: superior and inferior (fig. 1). About 55% and 45% of the vesicles occurring within the segment were inferior and superior shape-types, respectively. The superior vesicle is characterized as being well formed with a stalk that is often as long as the vesicle body. The bulk of the superior vesicle body is physically located above the inferior vesicles, viewing the cross section of the segment. The slender stalks of superior vesicles thread between the underlying inferior vesicles and attached to the endocarp. Superior vesicles most often occur in the equatorial region of the fruit (fig. 2). In grapefruit and pummelo vesicles were found to have a close association with the vascular bundles (fig. 2). Vascular bundles in these fruits occur close to the surface of the endocarp tissue and are directly connected to the vesicle stalks. In lemons, however, no vascular association was found between the vascular bundles and the vesicles (fig. 2). Lemon vascular bundles occurred several cell layers deep within the mesocarp compared to vascular bundle location in grapefruits. The inferior vesicles are characterized by a short stalk, about one-quarter to one-half the length of the vesicle body length. The body of the inferior vesicle may be somewhat irregular but still retains the elliptical shape. Inferior vesicles occur beneath the superior ones and are densely compressed together. Regardless of the shape-type, vesicles at the equatorial region were often greater in length and more numerous than vesicles occurring at the extreme stem or extreme stylar ends (figs.

Fig. 1.—Examples of superior (top row) and inferior (bottom row) juice vesicle shapes found in a 40-mm diameter Citrus limon cv Eureka lemon fruit. Note that the inferior vesicles have a somewhat irregular elliptical shape. Bar = 10 mm.
Fig. 2.—Location of vesicle types within a Citrus limon cv Eureka lemon segment (A–C) and a C. paradisi cv Seedy Marsh (D–F). Diagrammatic top view of location of inferior (shaded) and superior (nonshaded) within a segment (C and F). Grapefruit superior vesicles along the tangential wall are pulled out for illustration (F). Segment endocarp surface with vesicles removed (B and E). Vascular bundle tissues are illustrated as being located beneath the endocarp surface in lemons (B) or near the endocarp surface in grapefruits (E). Attachment of vesicles to tissue adjacent to vascular bundles is illustrated in cross sections (A and D). Note that the grapefruit vesicles are attached to the tissue immediately in contact with vascular bundles (D) while lemon vesicles occur independent of the vascular bundle tissue (A). Bar = 5 mm.

Fig. 3.—Relationship between vesicle number, weight, and length found in segments of three different sized Citrus limon cv Eureka lemon fruit. Segment locations are divided into equal (0.5 cm) partitions with 0 representing that portion closest to the style and 7 being closest to the stem end.

2, 3). However, vesicles in the extreme ends generally weighed more than equatorial vesicles (fig. 4). The distribution of vesicles among segments within the same fruit was similar (fig. 3). The unattached terminal ends of all vesicles exhibit a distinct orientation within the carpel; these terminals point slightly toward the stylar end of the fruit. The seeds within the segment are oriented so that the chalazal end extends toward the stem end and the micropylar end extends toward the stylar end of the fruit.

Most citrus fruit possess roughly 130–400 vesicles per segment (table 1). Pummelo fruits contain the most vesicles per fruit, followed in order of decreasing vesicles per fruit by grapefruit, citron, sweet orange, meyer lemon, papeda, lemon, sour orange, mandarin, Satsuma mandarin, Citrus miliaray, trifoliate orange, Gabon cherry-orange, and kumquat. The number of vesicles found in fruits is related to the number of segments per fruit and the genotype. Pummelos, grapefruits, and citrons that contained the most segments per fruit (ca. 12–20) and are also have the largest fruit sizes, in terms of diameter, also contained the highest number of vesicles per fruit and the highest number of vesicles per segment (about 300–500 vesicles). Cultivar Imperial grapefruit, lemon, mandarin, papeda, sour oranges, and sweet oranges produced about 200–300 vesicles per segment, contain about 8–11 segments per fruit, and are smaller sized fruits. Citrus miliaray and Satsuma mandarin, which contain 10 and 7–9 segments per fruit, respectively, produce fewer than 200 vesicles per segment, and are proportionately smaller fruits. Close citrus relatives Gabon cherry-orange, kumquat, and trifoliate orange produce fewer than 200 vesicles per segment and contain the fewest number of segments per fruit (ca. 4–7) (table 1). It should be noted that fruit size for these citrus relatives were proportionately smaller.
CORRELATIONS OF VESICLE NUMBER WITH SEGMENT WEIGHT, SEGMENT AREA, AND SEGMENT SEED NUMBER

For most species, there was a significant correlation between the number of vesicles in a segment and its weight or area (table 2). In 80% of the citrus fruit measured, segments with greater weight contained more vesicles than segments of lesser weight. In terms of area, over 90% of the fruit measured exhibited a significant correlation between segment area and the number of vesicles present. Seed number was not found to be correlated with vesicle number; only 18% of all the cultivars measured showed any significant correlation between number of seeds and the number of vesicles (table 2).

ESTIMATION OF VESICLES IN FRUIT

A relationship was found between the number of vesicles and segments within the fruit, thereby enabling an estimation of the total number of vesicles in the fruit (fig. 5; table 3). For numerous species, vesicles within each segment were counted, cumulatively summed, and averaged. The estimate of the total number of vesicles per fruit was obtained by multiplying the average number of vesicles per segment counted by the total number of segments present in the fruit. In this estimation process, no attention was given to segment dimensions because segments were counted in sequence. For fruits with fewer than 12 segments, counts of three segments were sufficient to obtain the total number of vesicles within a fruit within a 5%–10% accuracy compared to counting all segments (fig. 5). However, more segments, i.e., 5–7, need to be counted in order to obtain the same accuracy range for grapefruits, citrons, and pummelos. These species usually contain over 12 segments and therefore have larger variations occurring in their vesicle populations. This results in larger estimation errors.

INFLUENCE OF ENVIRONMENTS ON VESICLE NUMBERS

The population of vesicles found within cv Eureka lemon or cv Improved Meyer lemon increased significantly if the trees were grown in a temperature-controlled greenhouse compared to trees grown outdoors (table 4). Segment numbers for fruits collected outdoors or in the greenhouse were not significantly different. Seed number again did not seem to play a part in determining the number of vesicles produced per fruit since fruits set inside the greenhouse rarely contained seeds (table 4).

Discussion

Although the mode of vesicle origin and development has been documented in lemon (Ford 1942), Valencia orange (Bain 1958; Schneider 1968), and pummelo (Banerji 1954) the occurrence of distinct vesicle shape-types has not been mentioned. Vesicles within citrus fruits are very heterogeneous in appearance and in weight.

Only a limited number of fruit per cultivar were counted in this study due to the time required to conduct such a survey. Individual vesicle counting is tedious, time-consuming, and impractical for large survey numbers. The partial fruit analysis formula for estimating the total number of vesicles per fruit reduces the counting process considerably.

Based on the evidence presented in this study,
**TABLE 1**  
VESICLE POPULATIONS IN CITRUS FRUIT AND THEIR PROPORTION TO SEED AND SEGMENT NUMBERS

| Genus, species, cultivar | Segments/fruit | Vesicles/fruit | Seeds/fruit | Vesicles/segment | Vesicles/seed |
|--------------------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|------------------|---------------|
| **Citropsis gabanensis:** |                |                |             |                  |               |
| Unknown                   | 4              | 687            | 39          | 171.5            | 17.6          |
| Unknown                   | 4              | 710            | 43          | 177.5            | 16.5          |
| Average                   | 4.0 ± 0.00     | 698.5 ± 11.50  | 41.0 ± 2.00 | 174.5 ± 3.00     | 17.1 ± .55    |
| **Citrus:**               |                |                |             |                  |               |
| **aurantium:**            |                |                |             |                  |               |
| Standard                  | 11             | 2,320          | 18          | 210.9            | 128.9         |
| Standard                  | 10             | 1,932          | 57          | 193.2            | 33.9          |
| Standard                  | 10             | 2,026          | 50          | 202.6            | 40.5          |
| Average                   | 10.3 ± .33     | 2,092.6 ± 116.86 | 41.7 ± 12.01 | 202.2 ± 5.11    | 67.8 ± 30.63 |
| **grandis:**              |                |                |             |                  |               |
| Siamese Acidless           | 20             | 6,402          | 134         | 320.1            | 47.8          |
| Siamese Acidless           | 20             | 10,224         | 190         | 511.2            | 53.8          |
| Average                   | 20.0 ± 0.0     | 8,313.0 ± 1911.00 | 162.0 ± 28.00 | 415.6 ± 95.55   | 50.8 ± 3.00   |
| **hystrix:**              |                |                |             |                  |               |
| Cabuyao                   | 10             | 2,708          | 19          | 270.8            | 142.5         |
| Cabuyao                   | 10             | 2,623          | 17          | 262.3            | 154.3         |
| Average                   | 10.0 ± 0.0     | 2,665.5 ± 42.50 | 18.0 ± 1.00 | 266.5 ± 4.25     | 148.4 ± 6.00  |
| **limon:**                |                |                |             |                  |               |
| Eureka                    | 11             | 2,504          | 22          | 227.6            | 113.8         |
| Eureka                    | 10             | 2,325          | 17          | 232.5            | 136.8         |
| Eureka                    | 10             | 2,666          | 25          | 266.6            | 106.6         |
| Eureka                    | 10             | 2,467          | 25          | 246.7            | 98.7          |
| Average                   | 10.2 ± .25     | 2,490.5 ± 70.07 | 22.2 ± 1.89 | 243.4 ± 8.74     | 114.0 ± 8.21  |
| **medica:**               |                |                |             |                  |               |
| Diamante                  | 8              | 1,965          | 76          | 245.6            | 25.9          |
| Diamante                  | 13             | 4,010          | 101         | 308.5            | 39.7          |
| Average                   | 10.5 ± 2.50    | 2,987.5 ± 1022.50 | 88.5 ± 12.50 | 277.0 ± 31.45   | 32.8 ± 6.90   |
| **meyerii:**              |                |                |             |                  |               |
| Improved                  | 10             | 2,615          | 14          | 261.5            | 186.8         |
| Improved                  | 10             | 2,845          | 11          | 284.5            | 258.6         |
| Improved                  | 10             | 2,721          | 12          | 272.1            | 226.8         |
| Average                   | 10.0 ± 0.0     | 2,727.0 ± 66.46 | 12.3 ± .88  | 272.7 ± 6.65     | 224.1 ± 20.77 |
| **mimosa:**               |                |                |             |                  |               |
| Unknown                   | 10             | 1,368          | 24          | 136.8            | 57            |
| Unknown                   | 10             | 1,289          | 17          | 128.9            | 75.8          |
| Average                   | 10.0 ± 0.0     | 1,328.5 ± 55.86 | 20.5 ± 3.50 | 132.9 ± 3.95     | 66.4 ± 9.40   |
| **paradisi:**             |                |                |             |                  |               |
| Cecily                    | 10             | 3,198          | 74          | 319.8            | 43.2          |
| Cecily                    | 13             | 4,628          | 53          | 356              | 87.3          |
| Average                   | 11.5 ± 1.50    | 3,913.0 ± 715.00 | 63.5 ± 10.50 | 337.9 ± 18.10   | 65.3 ± 22.50  |
| **reticulata:**           |                |                |             |                  |               |
| Improved                  | 10             | 2,022          | 22          | 202.2            | 91.9          |
| Improved                  | 9              | 2,358          | 18          | 262.0            | 131.0         |
| Average                   | 9.5 ± .50      | 2,190.0 ± 168.00 | 20.0 ± 2.00 | 232.1 ± 29.90    | 111.5 ± 19.55 |
| **sinensis:**             |                |                |             |                  |               |
| Homosassa                 | 9              | 2,231          | 22          | 247.9            | 101.4         |
| Homosassa                 | 10             | 2,026          | 50          | 202.6            | 40.5          |
| Homosassa                 | 11             | 3,067          | 14          | 278.8            | 219.1         |
| Average                   | 10.0 ± .577    | 2,441.3 ± 318.38 | 28.7 ± 18.90 | 243.1 ± 22.13    | 120.3 ± 52.42 |
| **unshiu:**               |                |                |             |                  |               |
| Satsuma Frost             | 9              | 1,430          | 22          | 158.9            | 228.9         |
| Satsuma Frost             | 9              | 1,563          | 1           | 173.7            | 1563          |
| Genus, species, cultivar | Segments/fruit | Vesicles/fruit | Seeds/fruit | Vesicles/segment | Vesicles/seed |
|--------------------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|------------------|---------------|
| **Fortunella margaritana** | 7              | 1.663          | 2           | 237.6            | 831.5         |
| Average                  | 8.3 ± .667     | 1.552 ± 67.49  | 1.0 ± .58   | 190.0 ± 24.15    | 1197.2 ± 365.70 |
| **Poncirus trifoliata**   | 4              | 605            | 3           | 151.2            | 201.7         |
| Nagami                   | 5              | 570            | 4           | 114.0            | 142.5         |
| Average                  | 4.5 ± .5       | 587.5 ± 17.50  | 3.5 ± .50   | 132.6 ± 18.60    | 172.1 ± 18.60 |
| **Poncirus trifoliata**   | 6              | 1,090          | 39          | 181.7            | 27.9          |
| Webber-Fawcett           | 7              | 1,361          | 31          | 194.4            | 43.9          |
| Average                  | 6.5 ± .50      | 1,225.5 ± 135.5| 35.0 ± 4.00 | 188.0 ± 6.35     | 35.9 ± 8.00   |

**Note.**—Averages and standard errors are presented. No seeds were found in fruits with the * designations.

| Genus, species, cultivar | Vesicles/segment | Area (cm²)/segment | Weight (g)/segment | Seeds/segment | Segment no. |
|--------------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------|-------------|
| **Citrus**               |                  |                    |                    |               |             |
| aurantium:               |                  |                    |                    |               |             |
| Standard                 | 265.8 ± 11.4     | 6.3 ± .33 (.836)S  | 14.3 ± .63 (.690)S | 2.7 ± 1.30 (.533) | 10         |
| Standard                 | 292.0 ± 19.6     | 2.6 ± .12 (.405)   | 4.0 ± .17 (.638)S  | 2.5 ± .52 (.009) | 10         |
| Standard                 | 210.9 ± 9.6      | 1.2 ± .06 (.387)   | 1.1 ± .07 (.741)S  | 1.6 ± .41 (.194) | 11         |
| Standard                 | 193.2 ± 7.2      | 5.4 ± .31 (.133)   | 9.9 ± .32 (.817)S  | 5.7 ± .30 (.504) | 10         |
| grandis:                 |                  |                    |                    |               |             |
| Yuma Ponderosa           | 434.9 ± 19.9     | 7.9 ± .36 (.417)   | 23.5 ± .84 (.700)S | 4.8 ± .44 (.166) | 10         |
| Yuma Ponderosa           | 596.2 ± 45.8     | .5 ± .03 (.821)    |                     | 8.1 ± .87 (.592) | 11         |
| hystrix:                 |                  |                    |                    |               |             |
| Cabuyao                  | 270.8 ± 16.8     | 1.5 ± .09 (.801)S  | 2.2 ± .12 (.857)S  | 2.0 ± .42 (.257) | 10         |
| limon:                   |                  |                    |                    |               |             |
| Eureka                   | 346.9 ± 15.2     | 4.7 ± .28 (.898)S  | 6.4 ± .34 (.943)S  | 1.5 ± .33 (.282) | 8          |
| Eureka                   | 289.2 ± 16.9     | 4.2 ± .20 (.892)S  | 6.5 ± .34 (.949)S  | 2.5 ± .56 (.105) | 10         |
| Eureka                   | 229.7 ± 14.0     | 4.1 ± .22 (.737)S  | 4.3 ± .26 (.846)S  | 2.0 ± .42 (.094) | 10         |
| medica:                  |                  |                    |                    |               |             |
| Diamante                 | 245.6 ± 31.1     | 2.4 ± .33 (.762)S  | 2.8 ± .40 (.825)S  | 9.9 ± 1.45 (.670) | 8          |
| Diamante                 | 404.0 ± 35.0     | 4.0 ± .26 (.820)S  | 8.3 ± .55 (.852)S  | 9.9 ± .86 (.144) | 11         |
| meyerii:                 |                  |                    |                    |               |             |
| Improved                 | 261.5 ± 9.8      | 4.5 ± .15 (.819)S  | 7.8 ± .31 (.833)   | 1.4 ± .43 (.082) | 10         |
| Improved                 | 291.5 ± 16.6     | 3.7 ± .20 (.592)S  | 6.7 ± .48 (.835)S  | 1.1 ± .27 (.365) | 10         |
| Improved                 | 302.3 ± 23.6     | 4.4 ± .31 (.917)S  | 6.4 ± .46 (.937)S  | 1.2 ± .32 (.408) | 9          |
| miaray:                  |                  |                    |                    |               |             |
| Unknown                  | 136.8 ± 9.3      | 1.7 ± .07 (.692)S  | 2.7 ± .16 (.717)S  | 2.3 ± .3 (.306) | 10         |
| Unknown                  | 128.9 ± 5.7      | 1.4 ± .12 (.337)   | 2.8 ± .14 (.558)   | 1.7 ± .3 (.350) | 10         |
| paradisi:                |                  |                    |                    |               |             |
| Cecily                   | 319.0 ± 17.9     | 8.3 ± .42 (.750)S  | 21.9 ± 1.68 (.884)S| .6 ± .22 (.046) | 10         |
| Cecily                   | 356.0 ± 25.5     | 8.6 ± .92 (.864)S  | 19.6 ± .88 (.884)S | 4.0 ± .08 (.069) | 10         |
| Duncan                   | 348.3 ± 22.3     | 4.8 ± .24 (.682)S  | 12.0 ± .77 (.921)S | 6.3 ± .65 (.601)S | 10         |
| Hudson Foster            | 252.3 ± 22.8     | 6.6 ± .79 (.885)S  | 12.1 ± 1.00 (.588)S| 4.6 ± .41 (.576)S | 11         |
| Imperial                 | 221.9 ± 15.1     | 8.2 ± .56 (.884)S  | 17.5 ± .86 (.866)S | 4.6 ± .34 (.613)S | 13         |
| Imperial                 | 154.9 ± 6.9      | 6.4 ± .35 (.750)S  | 14.3 ± .68 (.951)S | 5.4 ± .17 (.618)S | 14         |
| reticulata:              |                  |                    |                    |               |             |
| Fortune                  | 202.2 ± 9.4      | 5.5 ± .09 (.762)S  | 8.6 ± .60 (.383)   | 2.7 ± .30 (.269) | 10         |
| Fortune                  | 260.2 ± 12.0     | 4.0 ± .18 (.740)S  | 5.9 ± .32 (.614)   | 2.0 ± .47 (.124) | 9          |
| sinensis:                |                  |                    |                    |               |             |
| Cutter                   | 467.6 ± 25.3     | 4.1 ± .18 (.699)S  | 5.4 ± .24 (.809)S  | .6 ± .20 (.334) | 7          |
| Cutter                   | 354.6 ± 17.3     | 3.7 ± .20 (.836)S  | 6.5 ± .36 (.573)   | .4 ± .16 (.179) | 10         |
| unshiu:                  |                  |                    |                    |               |             |
| Satsuma Frost            | 158.9 ± 13.0     | 4.2 ± .35 (.972)S  |                     | 0 ± 0          | 9          |
| Satsuma Frost            | 173.7 ± 13.7     | 4.7 ± .33 (.952)S  |                     | .1 ± .11 (.579)| 9          |
| Poncirus trifoliata:     | 181.7 ± 9.5      | 4.0 ± .16 (.918)S  | 5.5 ± 1.16 (.441)  | 6.5 ± .89 (.895)S | 6          |
| Webber-Fawcett           | 194.4 ± 8.9      | 1.9 ± .17 (.675)   | 2.2 ± .32 (.870)S  | 4.3 ± .64 (.885)S | 7          |

**Note.**—Correlation coefficients are presented in parentheses from comparing vesicles/segment with area/segment, weight/segment, or seeds/segment. Treatment means within the same row showed significant (S) correlation or nonsignificant (no designation) correlation using the correlation coefficient test ($P < .05$).
TABLE 3
METHODS TO ESTIMATE THE TOTAL NUMBER OF VESICLES IN A 50-MM DIAMETER SWEET ORANGE (Citrus sinensis cv Homosassa)

| SEGMENT NO. | VESICLES/SEGMENT | CUMULATIVE VESICLES | USING INDIVIDUAL SEGMENTS | USING CUMULATIVE SEGMENTS |
|-------------|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
|             |                 |                     | Estimated vesicles/fruit<sup>a</sup> | % difference from actual total | Average vesicles/segment<sup>b</sup> | Estimated vesicles/fruit<sup>c</sup> | % difference from actual total |
| 1           | 161             | 161                 | 1,449                     | -35.1                    | 161                          | 1,449                        | -35.1                        |
| 2           | 290             | 451                 | 2,610                     | 17.0                     | 226                          | 2,030                        | -9.0                         |
| 3           | 321             | 772                 | 2,889                     | 29.5                     | 257                          | 2,316                        | 3.8                          |
| 4           | 190             | 962                 | 1,710                     | -23.4                    | 240                          | 2,164                        | -3.0                         |
| 5           | 349             | 1,311               | 3,141                     | 40.8                     | 262                          | 2,360                        | 5.8                          |
| 6           | 236             | 1,547               | 2,124                     | -4.8                     | 258                          | 2,320                        | 4.0                          |
| 7           | 224             | 1,771               | 2,016                     | -9.6                     | 253                          | 2,277                        | 2.1                          |
| 8           | 255             | 2,026               | 2,295                     | 2.9                      | 253                          | 2,279                        | 2.2                          |
| 9           | 205             | 2,231               | 1,845                     | -17.3                    | 248                          | 2,231                        | 0                            |

NOTE.—Total vesicles = 2,231; total segments = 9, and average vesicles/segment = 247.9.
<sup>a</sup> Estimated vesicles/fruit obtained from multiplying the total number of fruit segments by vesicles/segment.
<sup>b</sup> Average vesicles/segment obtained from dividing the cumulative vesicles by the number of segments counted to this point.
<sup>c</sup> Estimated vesicles/fruit obtained from multiplying the total number of fruit segments by the average vesicles/segment.
the total number of vesicles occurring within citrus fruits was found to be related to genotype. Pum-
melos, grapefruits, and citrons contain the most vesicles per fruit as well as the most vesicles per
segment. According to BARRETT and RHODES (1976) and SCORA (1975) pummelo, mandarin, and citron
represent the oldest Citrus species. Crosses within these three Citrus species have given rise to many
other commonly known Citrus species. Pummelos and citrons have the most vesicles per fruit (aver-
ing 8,000 and 3,000–5,000, respectively) as well as the most vesicles per segment (averaging 400
and 270–460, respectively). Mandarins, on the other hand, contain fewer vesicles per fruit (aver-
ing 2,000–2,300) and fewer vesicles per segment (averaging 200–260). Sweet orange, a cross between
pummelo × mandarin (SCORA 1975), was found to correspondingly contain an intermediate number
of vesicles per fruit (averaging 2,440–3,800) and per segment (averaging 243–400). The number of ves-
icles produced by orange fruit cultivars may be directly related to the degree of introgression with
mandarin, or pummelo, or to backcrossing. Sour orange, also a cross between pummelo and man-
darin (SCORA 1975), contains an intermediate number of vesicles per fruit (averaging 2,092) and per
segment (averaging 202). Grapefruit, a cross between pummelo and sweet orange (SCORA 1975),
exhibits a higher number of vesicles per fruit (aver-
ing 3,000–3,900) and vesicles per segment
(averaging 296–337) than sweet orange. Cultivar
Imperial grapefruit is presumed to be a cross of sweet orange and grapefruit (HODGSON 1967) and
thus contains fewer vesicles per fruit (averaging 2,425) and vesicles per segment (averaging 189)
than other grapefruits (table 1).

Recently, intact citrus juice vesicles have begun
to be extracted and marketed in citrus juice prod-
ucts (MAEDA and IFUKU 1981). In Japan, the Sat-
suma mandarin is used exclusively in this process,
primarily because of its availability. The Satsuma
mandarin contains about 1,500–1,600 vesicles per
fruit. If the Valencia orange, which contains 3,500–
4,000 vesicles per fruit and is commonly grown in
the United States, were substituted for Satsuma
mandarin extraction yields would double. Citrus
processors involved in extracting whole juice ves-
icles from fruits may benefit from counts of ves-
icles available in citrus fruits. Variation in taste
and texture of citrus fruit pulp may also be related
to the total number and shape-types of vesicles pro-
duced by fruit.

GOLDSCHMIDT (1976) found that shaded citron
fruits were larger and contained a thicker peel than
fruits exposed to the sun. The basic shapes of most
citrus fruits are determined prior to anthesis except
in citrons, the shape of which is determined after
anthesis (GOLDSCHMIDT 1976). Vesicle initiation
is likewise determined after anthesis and is subject
to alternative developmental processes. Juice vesicle
primordia either terminate into an indistinct car-
pellary emergence or develop into the normal juice
vesicles that are characteristically found in the fruit
(BAIN 1958; SCHNEIDER 1968). We found that if
fruit set occurs in a greenhouse an increase in the
fruits’ vesicle number occurs. These extra vesicles
may be derived from preformed primordia that do
not degenerate into carpellary emergences but con-
tinue to enlarge and develop “normally.” Alter-
natively, these additional vesicles may arise from
more primordia being initiated. Climate and en-
vironmental factors greatly influence the chemical
composition of the pulp juice (BOSWELL et al. 1982;
REUTHER 1980). Chemical treatments might also
be useful in altering the number of vesicles pro-
duced per fruit. Such applications would seem most
appropriate when the vesicle primordia first appear
in the fruit (about the time of anthesis).

The chemical composition of the endocarp in
the citrus fruit changes during chronological and phy-
siological aging (SINCLAIR and RAMSEY 1944; BAIN
1958). Vesicle chemical composition changes de-
pend upon the location of the vesicles within the
segment (GOTO and ARAKI 1983). Juice vesicles in
the stem region of Sanbokan (C. sulcata Hort. ex
Tak.) segments have greater total sugars, bound

---

**TABLE 4**

Influence of Environment on the Number of Vesicles Produced in Lemon Fruits

| Genus, species, cultivar | Vesicles/fruit | Seeds/fruit | Vesicles/seed |
|--------------------------|----------------|-------------|---------------|
| *Citrus limon* cv Eureka: |                |             |               |
| Grown outdoors           | 2,490.5 ± 70.074 | 22.2 ± 1.887 | 114.0 ± 8.210 |
| Greenhouse grown         | 2,979.2 ± 53.021 | 0 ± 0        | ± 0           |
| *Citrus meyerii* cv Improved: |             |             |               |
| Grown outdoors           | 2,727.0 ± 66.463 | 12.0 ± 1.000 | 230.9 ± 22.300 |
| Greenhouse grown         | 3,390.0 ± 50.748 | 8.0 ± 1.000  | 437.0 ± 53.280 |

---

*a* All fruits measured were 30 mm diameter.

*b* Averages and standard errors are presented. For each species, treatments means are significantly different
at 5% (S) or nonsignificant (no designation) using the *t*-test (*P* < .05).

*c* No seeds occurring in fruit to obtain a measurement.
acids, carotenoids, flavonoids, and vitamin C than vesicles in the equatorial regions of the segment. Conversely, for free acids, total nitrogen, amino acids, and RNA the reverse was true (Goto and Araki 1983). Similarly, other investigators have noted important chemical differences within the citrus fruit (Haas and Klotz 1935; Bartholomew and Sinclair 1941; Sinclair and Bartholomew 1944; Sinclair and Ramsey 1944; Marloth 1950). It should now be recognized that the shape-types and number of vesicles per segment, as well as their location within the segment, vary considerably and have not been considered in citrus chemical studies. Whether these vesicle parameters influence chemical composition studies remains to be determined.
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