A Powerful Radio-loud Quasar at the End of Cosmic Reionization
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Abstract

We present the discovery of the radio-loud quasar PSO J352.4034–15.3373 at z = 5.84 ± 0.02. This quasar is the radio brightest source known, by an order of magnitude, at z ∼ 6 with a flux density in the range of 8–100 mJy from 3 GHz to 230 MHz and a radio loudness parameter R = f_{3 GHz} / f_{4400 Å} ≥ 1000. This source provides an unprecedented opportunity to probe deep into the neutral intergalactic medium by detecting 21 cm absorption at the end of cosmic reionization.
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1. Introduction

Powerful radio sources are important laboratories for the study of the most massive galaxies (Seymour et al. 2007), the densest cosmic environments (Venemans et al. 2007), and test cosmological models (e.g., Cao et al. 2017). Furthermore, powerful radio-jets are thought to play a key role for the formation and growth of supermassive black holes, allowing super-Eddington accretion rates (e.g., Volonteri et al. 2015). Importantly, the identification of bright radio sources at the highest redshifts promises to provide fundamental information about the epoch of reionization by detecting 21 cm absorption due to the intervening neutral intergalactic medium (e.g., Carilli et al. 2002, 2007; Semelin 2016). A major limitation for such studies is that it is not clear whether those bright radio sources in the epoch of reionization exist at all (Saxena et al. 2017; Bolgar et al. 2018). Although the radio-loud fraction of quasars does not seem to evolve up to z ∼ 6 (Bañados et al. 2015), thus far there is a dearth of the most extreme radio sources at the highest redshifts.

In this Letter we present the discovery of a z ∼ 6 quasar with a radio emission that is one order of magnitude brighter than any other radio source known so far at those redshifts. We discuss the optical and radio properties of this powerful radio-loud quasar, its implications, and possible future applications. In a companion paper we present resolved VLA observations of the quasar radio emission and discuss its jet properties (Momjian et al. 2018). We use a flat cosmology with \( H_0 = 70 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1} \), \( \Omega_M = 0.3 \), and \( \Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.7 \). Photometric magnitudes are reported in the AB system.

2. A Radio-loud Quasar at z ∼ 6

2.1. Selection and Discovery

PSO J352.4034–15.3373 (hereafter P352–15) was selected as a z ∼ 6 quasar candidate from the Panoramic Survey Telescope & Rapid Response System 1 (Pan-STARRS1; Chambers et al. 2016) survey as part of the search presented in Bañados et al. (2014, 2016). In short, we required a drop-in flux between the 1\( \nu_1 \) and 2\( \nu_1 \) bands (1\( \nu_1 > 2 \)) and a flat continuum (2\( \nu_1 - \nu_2 < 0.5 \)). On 2017 September 25 we obtained a 3.5 minutes follow-up J-band image with the FourStar camera (Persson et al. 2013) at the Magellan Baade telescope in Las Campanas Observatory. This resulted in a flat y – J = 0.08 ± 0.13 color, placing the candidate far from the brown dwarf color locus (see Figure 4 in Bañados et al. 2016) and making it a high priority for spectroscopic follow-up.

We confirmed P352–15 as a quasar on 2017 September 26 with a 20 minutes spectrum taken with the Low-Dispersion Survey Spectrograph (LDSS3) at the Magellan Clay telescope in Las Campanas Observatory. The observations were carried out with the VPH-red grism and the 1″ wide slit under good weather conditions and a seeing of 0″.7. The data were reduced with standard routines including bias subtraction, flat fielding, sky subtraction, extraction, and wavelength calibration using He, Ne, and Ar lamps. The standard star Feige 110 (Moehler et al. 2014) was used for flux and telluric calibration. The full spectrum, scaled to match the 2\( \nu_1 \) magnitude, is shown in Figure 1. The quasar’s redshift was estimated by fitting the composite spectrum of bright 1 < z < 2 quasars from Selsing et al. (2016) to the data. The photometry and derived properties of the quasar P352–15 are listed in Table 1.

2.2. Radio Surveys

The quasar P352–15 is coincident within 3″ with a bright radio (~15–100 mJy) source classified as a single unresolved source in the 1.4 GHz NRAO VLA Sky Survey (NVSS; Condon et al. 1998), the GaLactic and Extragalactic All-sky MWA Survey (GLEAM; Hurley-Walker et al. 2017) using the Murfison Widefield Array (MWA; Tingay et al. 2013) at ~200 MHz, and the TIFR GMRT Sky Survey (TGSS; Intema et al. 2017) carried out at the Giant Metrewave Radio Telescope (GMRT; Swarup 1991) at 147.5 MHz. However, at the large angular resolution of these surveys (25″–100″)
there are foreground galaxies in the field of view that could be contributing to the observed radio emission (see Figure 2).

### 2.3. Very Large Array (VLA) 3 GHz Follow-up

In order to confirm whether the radio emission is coming from the quasar or other galaxies in the NVSS beam, we observed the field with the Karl G. Jansky VLA on 2018 January 13 for one hour (36 minutes on-source). We observed with the S-Band (2–4 GHz) using the 8-bit samplers and a correlator configuration that delivered 16 128 MHz subbands, each with 64 channels (2 MHz/channel). We denote the central frequency of the S-band (3 GHz) when referring to results obtained from these observations. About 30% of the band had to be flagged due to radio frequency interference. Calibration was performed in CASA, using J2327–1447 as the phase calibrator, and 3C48 to set the bandpass and absolute flux density scale.

The calibrated data was CLEANed using Briggs weighting with a robust factor of −0.5. The resulting resolution was 2.6 × 1. The rms noise in the image is 0 µJy beam−1. The observation resulted in a strong detection of the source consistent with the near-infrared position of P352–15 (see contours in Figure 2) and no other radio source in the field. Gaussian fitting to the data implies that the source is unresolved with a peak flux density of 8.20 ± 0.25 mJy and a deconvolved size ≤0.5. The uncertainty in the flux density is dominated by the 3% accuracy in the absolute flux calibration of 3C48 (Perley & Butler 2013).

### 3. Results

The follow-up 3 GHz VLA observation found no other radio sources within 50" from the quasar. There is a 0.34 ± 0.02 mJy radio source (the flux uncertainty does not include systematics on the flux calibration) at R.A. = 23°29′33″999, Decl. = −15°20′43″559 (50″ from the quasar). This faint radio source is well below the detection threshold of the shallow radio surveys listed in Section 2.2 and is not detected in our current optical or near-infrared images of the field.

Therefore, we can safely assume that the radio emission reported in the shallow public radio surveys is coming entirely from P352–15. We show the radio spectral energy distribution of P352–15 in Figure 3.
The error bars for the 1.4 and 3 GHz measurements are smaller than the plotted values. The TGSS data is consistent with the values of Intema et al. and TGSS surveys. We only show GLEAM detections with a signal-to-noise ratio greater than five. The lower frequency measurements have a large scatter but they are consistent with a steep radio slope of $-1.06 \leq \alpha_{1400} \leq -0.89$. The error bars for the 1.4 and 3 GHz measurements are smaller than the plotted symbols.

The radio slope between the two VLA measurements at 3 and 1.4 GHz is $\alpha_{1400} = -0.78 \pm 0.07$. The GLEAM measurements show a large scatter but they are consistent with values between 80 and 120 mJy at 200 MHz (Hurley-Walker et al. 2017). Using the flux density of the GLEAM wide-band image, the radio slope between 1.4 GHz and 200 MHz is $\alpha_{200} = -0.91 \pm 0.05$. The 150 MHz TGSS total flux density reported in the catalog of Intema et al. (2017; 163.1 $\pm$ 20.7 mJy) is significantly different from the re-processed value of de Gasperin et al. (2018; 262 $\pm$ 24 mJy). Our own Gaussian fitting to the TGSS data is consistent with the values of Intema et al. (2017). The radio slope between 1.4 GHz and 150 MHz is $\alpha_{150} = -1.06 \pm 0.06$ if we consider the TGSS total flux density or $\alpha_{1400} = -0.89 \pm 0.06$ if we consider the TGSS peak flux density. We note that there are clear stripe-like artifacts in the TGSS image that could explain the discrepancies. It will therefore be important to obtain follow-up observations to robustly confirm the flux density at 150 MHz.

The radio loudness of an object is typically quantified as the radio/optical ratio between the rest-frame radio densities at 5 GHz and 4400 Å: $R = \lambda_{5 \text{ GHz}} f_{\nu, 4400 \text{ Å}}$. We estimate the flux density at 4400 Å by extrapolating the 1450 Å flux density assuming an optical spectral index $\alpha_{\text{opt}} = -0.5$ as in Bañados et al. (2015). The exact value of the radio loudness for P352–15 depends on the radio spectral index, but using both of our extreme cases ($\alpha = -1.06$ and $\alpha = -0.89$), we find $R \geq 1000$, making it about one order of magnitude more radio loud than any other quasar known at these redshifts (Figure 4). We summarize the radio and optical properties of P352–15 in Table 1.

Another point worth mentioning is that the quasar spectrum shows a possible associated absorption system in Ly$\alpha$ and N V at $z = 5.8213$ (Figure 1). If the absorption is confirmed with future observations, it may indicate a dense local environment. Associated absorption could also be a probe of the interstellar medium of the host galaxy, often indicating strong outflows driven by radio-mode feedback associated with the expanding radio source (e.g., Vayner et al. 2017).

### 4. Concluding Remarks

The existence of this bright radio quasar at $z \sim 6$ is promising for future 21 cm forest studies in the epoch of reionization (e.g., Semelin 2016). If the associated absorber is confirmed (Figure 1), P352–15 could enable one of the key science cases of the Square Kilometre Array, H I 21 cm absorption spectroscopy in the epoch of reionization (Kanekar & Briggs 2004; Morganti et al. 2015), but in a much nearer future. At $z \sim 5.8$, the 21 cm line is shifted to $\sim 208$ MHz, a frequency that can be studied with existing radio interferometers such as the MWA and the GMRT.

This radio-loud quasar will likely be an unprecedented laboratory for a number of studies, including the following.

1. VLBA (Momjian et al. 2018) and X-ray studies of jet properties at the earliest cosmic times will provide a direct observational test for the effects of the cosmic microwave background on the most distant powerful jets (Ghisellini et al. 2015).

2. The role of radio-jets for the formation and growth of supermassive black holes (Volonteri et al. 2015).

3. Search for direct evidence of radio-mode feedback as an important process during the formation of the first massive galaxies in the universe (Fabian 2012).
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