Quantitative and qualitative evaluation of the Wide Volume (WV) versus Helical acquisition on a 320-detector row computed tomography for the exploration of the abdominopelvic region in adults
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Abstract

Purpose: To evaluate the best protocol to be used in WV mode for the abdominopelvic region in terms of radiation dose and image quality by comparison with the helical mode.

Methods and materials: This study was performed on a 320 x 0.5 mm detector row CT unit. By using several simulations on topograms data and phantoms analysis, we registered CTDIvol. Then, a clinical study on CTUrography was performed, each patient being its own standard.

Results: The collimation of 10 cm (200 x 0.5 mm) with 5 volumes had the lowest CTDIvol. This can be explained by several physical phenomena. In the clinical study, the average radiation dose reduction was found to be at 44.03% ± 0.36% (p<0.001). Concerning the qualitative evaluation of the stitching of different volumes and the overall image quality, it was found excellent.

Conclusion: Wide Volume CT scanning using 5 volumes of 10cm (200x0.5mm) significantly reduces the radiation dose with an excellent image quality.
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Introduction

Recent advances in the CT technology have made a large 320 detectors row available for clinical use. This new technology with a length of up to 16-cm of coverage in the z-axis with a single non-helical rotation allows the exploration of an entire organ such as the heart or the kidney in one rotation. With the short time of one acquisition there is a decreasing of motion artifacts as well as a significant reduction of the exposure dose [1-3] compared to the reference helical acquisition. This type of acquisition called Wide Volume (WV) has been previously used with a collimation of 16-cm in clinical practice for cardiac [4,5], pediatric [6,7] and lumbar [3] imaging as well as to study the perfusion of organs. However, to the best of our knowledge, this promising technique has not yet been applied with multiple volumes for the exploration of the abdominopelvic region in adults.

The purpose of this study was to determine which is the best compromise of WV parameters to be used to cover a large anatomical region in regard to the scan time, the radiation dose and the image quality for a clinical application by a direct comparison with the conventional helical mode.

Methods and materials

This monocentric prospective study has been conducted within the radiology department of our academic hospital, in cooperation with the Medical Physics Department. Our institutional ethical committee reviewed and approved our investigation, and no written patient consent was necessary. Patients were orally informed of our new procedure for which details as well as our goal had been explained.

This study was performed using a 320 x 0.5 mm detector row CT unit (Aquilion ONE Vision Edition, Toshiba Medical Systems, Otawara, Japan) and was divided into two parts.

Part 1: Topogram-based collimation simulation and phantoms analysis

The objective was to determine which is the optimal collimation parameters regarding the radiation dose using the topograms data of patients before scanning. Twenty-five patients (mean age: 52 ± 9 years) with a body mass index between 20 and 25 kg/m² and a height between 160 cm and 180 cm referred to our department for an abdominopelvic exploration were included. The acquisition length extended from diaphragm to the pubic bone. We simulated on each topogram the outcome in terms of radiation dose (CTDIvol) and scanning time (in
seconds) of 6 different combinations, ranging from 120 x 0.5 mm (6 cm) to 320 x 0.5 mm (16 cm) with an increment of 20 mm and the helical acquisition. All other parameters (120 kV, field of view, rotation time: 0.5s, slice thickness: 1 mm) were kept identical.

The CTDIvol parameter was considered for both acquisition modes as there is no pitch in WV mode [8].

A second step was performed in order to objectively determine the factors of the radiation dose reduction in the WV acquisition. To do this, we quantified the absorbed dose due to the primary beam by means of a radiosensitive film (Gafchromic® XR-QA) positioned between two 10 cm thick plates of polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) to get an homogeneous phantom. This phantom was scanned with two types of acquisitions with the same coverage length: a WV mode with 3 consecutive volumes of 8 cm (160 x 0.5 mm) and a helical mode, keeping all other acquisition parameters identical. For the WV acquisition, the 3 volumes were stitched together using the dedicated software of the CT unit.

The dose profiles for the WV and the helical acquisition were then generated from the optical densities and read on a radiosensitive film.

**Part 2: Clinical study**

After recording the results of the first part of this study, a prospective clinical evaluation was performed, including 45 median size patients (mean age: 68 ± 14 years, BMI ranged from 20 to 25 kg/m²; height between 160 and 180 cm); who were addressed to our radiological department for a CT Urography in several clinical context: exploration of a microscopic hematuria (13 cases), follow up of a bladder excretory tumour (22 cases) and evaluation of urinary stones (10 cases). As a part of our routine protocol, all patients underwent first an abdominopelvic acquisition without contrast medium using the conventional helical mode and the excretory phase was performed with the WV mode at the collimation of 10 cm (200 x 0.5 mm) and 3 volumes to cover entirely this anatomical zone. So that each patient is its own control. The acquisition extended from the diaphragm to the pubis and the explored length was exactly the same between the two scan modes.

The acquisition time with the WV acquisition to cover this adequate anatomical region was dependent on the collimation (Table 2). For the coverage of this anatomical region, the reference acquisition time using the helical acquisition was 7.5 ±0.7 seconds for a mean z-coverage of 438 ± 12 mm.

The acquisition time with the WV acquisition to cover this adequate anatomical region was dependent on the collimation (Table 2). These values are explained by a mean interval time of approximately 2 seconds in-between each stack, corresponding to the table movement.

We obtained a different scan range for each WV collimation from 425 to 497 mm to cover the appropriate region of interest.

The value of the overlapping between the different collimation was varying from 11.5 mm with a collimation of 6cm to 24.5 mm with a collimation of 16 cm, respectively. For the lowest radiation dose option (10 cm) the value of the overlapping was 15 mm.

We observed that the automated tube current modulation was less precise with the WV mode than with the helical mode. (Figure 2)

The analysis of the phantom radio-sensitive film dose profiles curves provided insights several physical phenomena of the interaction of the ionizing radiations. (Figure 3a, b)

**Part 2: Clinical study**

The 45 included patients had a mean body mass index of 23.9 ± 0.8 kg/m².

At constant parameters, the collimation of 10 cm (200 x 0.5 mm) had the lowest CTDIvol equal to 2.78 ± 0.35 mGy (p<0.01). An average increase of CTDIvol by 21% and 18% was observed for larger collimations of 16cm (320 x 0.5 mm) and 14 cm (280 x 0.5 mm), respectively. Similarly, an average increase of CTDIvol by 27% was noted when a smaller collimation of 6 cm (120 x 0.5 mm) was used (Figure 1).

On the helical mode, the CTDIvol was equal to 4.38 ± 0.48 mGy at a similar coverage length, corresponding to a significant increase of 57% (p<0.01).

For the coverage of this anatomical region, the reference acquisition time using the helical acquisition was 7.5 ±0.7 seconds for a mean z-coverage of 438 ± 12 mm.

The acquisition with the WV acquisition to cover this adequate anatomical region was dependent on the collimation (Table 2). These values are explained by a mean interval time of approximately 2 seconds in-between each stack, corresponding to the table movement.

We obtained a different scan range for each WV collimation from 425 to 497 mm to cover the appropriate region of interest.

The value of the overlapping between the different collimation was varying from 11.5 mm with a collimation of 6cm to 24.5 mm with a collimation of 16 cm, respectively. For the lowest radiation dose option (10 cm) the value of the overlapping was 15 mm.

We observed that the automated tube current modulation was less precise with the WV mode than with the helical mode. (Figure 2)

The analysis of the phantom radio-sensitive film dose profiles curves provided insights several physical phenomena of the interaction of the ionizing radiations. (Figure 3a, b)

**Result**

**Part 1: Tomogram-based collimation simulation**

The 25 included patients had a mean body mass index of 23.9 ± 0.8 kg/m².

At constant parameters, the collimation of 10 cm (200 x 0.5 mm) had the lowest CTDIvol equal to 2.78 ± 0.35 mGy (p<0.01). An average increase of CTDIvol by 21% and 18% was observed for larger collimations of 16cm (320 x 0.5 mm) and 14 cm (280 x 0.5 mm), respectively. Similarly, an average increase of CTDIvol by 27% was noted when a smaller collimation of 6 cm (120 x 0.5 mm) was used (Figure 1).

On the helical mode, the CTDIvol was equal to 4.38 ± 0.48 mGy at a similar coverage length, corresponding to a significant increase of 57% (p<0.01).

For the coverage of this anatomical region, the reference acquisition time using the helical acquisition was 7.5 ±0.7 seconds for a mean z-coverage of 438 ± 12 mm.

The acquisition with the WV acquisition to cover this adequate anatomical region was dependent on the collimation (Table 2). These values are explained by a mean interval time of approximately 2 seconds in-between each stack, corresponding to the table movement.

We obtained a different scan range for each WV collimation from 425 to 497 mm to cover the appropriate region of interest.

The value of the overlapping between the different collimation was varying from 11.5 mm with a collimation of 6cm to 24.5 mm with a collimation of 16 cm, respectively. For the lowest radiation dose option (10 cm) the value of the overlapping was 15 mm.

We observed that the automated tube current modulation was less precise with the WV mode than with the helical mode. (Figure 2)

The analysis of the phantom radio-sensitive film dose profiles curves provided insights several physical phenomena of the interaction of the ionizing radiations. (Figure 3a, b)
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### Results of tube current modulation with different collimations in Wide Volume mode (left: 3 volumes, middle: 5 volumes) and with the Helical mode (right).

**Table 1.** Acquisition parameters for Helical and Wide Volume modes

| Acquisition mode   | Wide-Volume mode | Helical mode |
|--------------------|------------------|--------------|
| Collimation (mm)   | Variable x0.5    | 100 x0.5     |
| Tube voltage (kVp)| 120              | 120          |
| FOV (mm)           | 320-370          | 320-370      |
| Rotation time (s)  | 0.5              | 0.5          |
| Slice Thickness (mm)| 1mm              | 1mm          |
| Overlapping (mm)   | Variable         | 0.8mm        |
| Pitch              | No Pitch         | 0.8          |
| Iterative Reconstruction | AIDR 3D standard | AIDR 3D standard |

**Table 2.** Parameters at different collimations with the corresponding acquisition time in Wide Volume mode.

| Collimation (cm) | Number of stack | Overlapping (mm) | Z coverage (mm) | Acquisition time (sec) |
|------------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------|------------------------|
| 6 (120x0.5mm)    | 9               | 11.5             | 448            | 20                     |
| 8 (160x0.5mm)    | 7               | 14.3             | 474            | 15.5                   |
| 10 (200x0.5mm)   | 5               | 15               | 440            | 10.5                   |
| 12 (240x0.5mm)   | 4               | 18.3             | 425            | 8                      |
| 14 (280x0.5mm)   | 4               | 21               | 497            | 8                      |
| 16 (320x0.5mm)   | 3               | 24.5             | 431            | 5.9                    |

The acquisition time was added by few seconds, but this does not in clinical practice impact our examination time.

The SNR measured at the psoas muscle was significantly lower (p = 0.045) on images in WV mode versus helical mode 17.7 ± 1.9 vs 20.3 ± 2.5, respectively. But this was not significant for the visual routine analysis.

Figure 1. Variations of the radiation dose according different collimation with the WV mode compared to that of the helical mode for the same coverage length.

Figure 2. Results of tube current modulation with different collimations in Wide Volume mode (left: 3 volumes, middle: 5 volumes) and with the Helical mode (right).
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Qualitative evaluation: (Figures 5, 6)

Agreement between the two readers was excellent with a κ correlation coefficient as high as 0.89. The intervention of the third reader was required in 2 cases. For image quality, our results according to the subjective scale were as follows: score 1: poor (no case), score 2: fair (no case), score 3: good (14 cases) and score 4: excellent (31 cases).

Concerning the quality of the stitching based on the continuity of the ureter, it was found discrepancies between the different volumes. We have found between the first and second volume at the upper level of the abdominopelvic region the following results: 82%, 15% and 3% of cases for point 1, 2 and 3, respectively. For the three lower volumes, the final stitching was always excellent and rated 1 in 44 cases (except in one case with point 2). No cases were uninterpretable. The diagnosis was performed without any ambiguity.

Discussion

Newer developments in CT imaging including advanced technology and easier accessibility has allowed more pertinent clinical indications. Considering this fact, the radiation dose reduction has become an important public health issue, especially for the youngest patients.

Helical scanning is currently the standard acquisition method in clinical practice.

The WV mode has been previously studied for one or two 16 cm volumetric scanning, to cover a region up to 28 cm. It combines sequential single rotations with table motion in-between. Our study is the first, to the best of our knowledge, to investigate the technical aspect and the clinical use of this mode with more than two acquisitions to cover a broader distance [9].

In agreement with other papers, we have found that a significant reduction of the radiation dose can be obtained with it, but it is highly correlated with the choice of the collimation. For an abdominopelvic exploration, the best compromise is a collimation of 10 cm (200 x 0.5 mm). With this collimation, the acquisition time remains acceptable, even if significantly longer (+ 3 seconds) than with the helical mode.

This dose-saving effect has been found in several studies conducted with pediatric phantoms [2,6] and has been attributed to the lack of z-axis overranging and to the decreased overbeaming effects compared with those during the helical acquisition.

The radiation dose reduction obtained with the WV mode compared to the helical mode can be explained by several physical phenomena.

The tube current modulation technique in the Z-axis used in routine clinical practice consists of modulating the tube current (mA) of the radiation in the Z-axis, depending on the density and thickness of the tissues to be acquired.

In helical mode, there is a very precise modulation, performed at every rotation, which reduces the dose by 43% to 66% when compared to acquisitions without modulation on abdominopelvic scans according to Kalra et al. [10].

The tube current modulation in Z-axis in WV mode is identical within each volume, but varies between 2 volumes acquired and could be problematic for areas with a high-density difference such as the

Figure 3a. Aspect of the radio-sensitive films. In Wide-Volume mode, the 3 volumes are of the same size. The two central areas appear as 2 vertical brown stripes regularly spaced between volumes (black arrowhead), correspond to the zone of overlapping of the volumes with a localized higher radiation dose. The black arrows represent the over beaming (penumbra) which is relatively limited. In Helical mode, the over ranging is visible at both ends of the segment as a larger and poorly limited zone (white arrow).

Figure 3b. Dose-profiles extrapolated from radio-sensitive film with an optical scanner: The vertical black lines represent the scan range of 21.5 cm. In the Wide-volume curve (red) the two peaks correspond to the region of overlapping of the two volumes. At the ends of the scan range, the fall in the dose delivered is much more linear and faster in Wide-Volume than in helical mode.

Figure 3. Radio-sensitive film Gafchromic® XR-QA and dose-profiles curves. On the radiosensitive films the coverage length was equal to 21.5 cm for both modes.
shoulders-neck or pelvis [11]. Consequently, a smaller collimation in WV enables a better tailoring of the tube-current modulation, and drives a reduction in the radiation dose. In the study of Gervaise in 2010 [3], there was a difference of contrast between each volume, related to the difference of charge between them. This phenomenon was not observed on our radiosensitive films as well as in our clinical study where there was no inappropriate difference of contrast between the volumes (Figures 5, 6).

The overranging is an extra-rotation required on both sides of the planned scan, necessary to acquire the integrity of the first and last images of the region. Due to the nature of the helical mode, the overranging mechanism is always present and responsible of an over-radiation to radiosensitive organs such as the thyroid or testis. With the advent of MDCT, it is playing an increasingly important role in the dose delivered to the patient.

The active collimation is a technique which reduces partially the overranging phenomenon [12]. Despite its use, the phenomenon of overranging is clearly evident on radiation-sensitive film and the dose profile of the helical mode. There is a complete absence of overranging phenomenon in WV mode (Figure 3a).

The phenomenon of penumbra or overbeaming corresponds to a certain quantity of radiation that does not reach the active detectors. As it is necessary to expose all detectors homogeneously, the primary X-ray beam needs to be wider than the detector ring in the Z-axis. The penumbra effect is more important on small primary collimations, and decreases with an increase in the number of detectors. Hence it has become less important with the development of very large detector scanners [13].

The overbeaming is repeated for each rotation of the tube in both helical and WV modes. As long as we use large collimations in WV mode, the phenomenon of overbeaming is rare. As we reduce the length of our volumes, we increase the phenomenon of overbeaming by the repetition of smaller volumes.

To achieve the continuity of images without loss of information a volume overlap is essential. This is responsible for additional irradiation inside this overlapping area (Figure 3a,3b).

In 2014, Liao et al. [14] showed a proportional increase of the overlapping phenomena to the number of acquired volumes. At a certain threshold (<8cm), repeated overlapping of volumes increases the delivered dose, and small collimations promote overbeaming. These two phenomena are responsible for a relative over radiation.

Our study showed that to cover a large anatomical zone, the best compromise between all these parameters is to use a collimation of 10 cm, because it is the result of several factors: the automated tube-current modulation is precise enough, compared to greater collimations like 12 or 16 cm; the overranging is absent compared to the helical mode; the overbeaming is relatively low, compared to lower collimations like 6 and 8cm and the overlapping is relatively modest, compared to the lower collimations.

The final acquisition time is proportional with the number of volumes. In our evaluation, four volumes give the same acquisition time as the helical mode, but the radiation dose was slightly higher.

However, this WV mode does not allow to adapt precisely the coverage length because this method is to accumulate several regular lengths. But, in our evaluation on topograms it was always easy to get the useful area for a clinical application, especially for an abdominal purpose.

Our study demonstrated that in accordance with the prior literature [15], the WV mode was associated with a lower signal to noise ratio. However, as it was not significant on our subjective clinical study, we believe that the increased noise observed in the volume scanning has been partially corrected by the algorithms of our CT scanner.

Even if the radiation dose recorded in our helical mode is in the lowest range of current thresholds for abdominopelvic evaluation due to the association of a recent iterative reconstruction algorithm, the tube current modulation technique in the three axis and the use of the active collimation, the WV acquisition with our best collimation of 10 cm provides a gain in dose reduction of almost fifty per cent. On the other hand, it should be possible to improve the performance of this WV acquisition in terms of radiation dose by using a shorter rotation time of 0.35s or 0.275s and a lower voltage of 100 kVp.

The lower quality of the fusion between the two first volumes can be attributed to tiny breathing or diaphragm movements between the acquisitions. A reduction of the rotation time to 0.35s could probably decrease this isolated artefact.

Our results showed a SNR less pronounced in WV mode than helical, but in accordance with other studies [3,16] it is negligible.

Figure 5a. Excretory WV mode –sagittal view –result of the automatic stitching (a1). The junctions between volumes are recognized at certain levels (→ white arrow); after additional manual stitching (a2); the junctional zones have disappeared.
with respect to image quality. The diagnosis confidence was always preserved in our clinical study.

This study has some limitations.

- First, the SNR analysis using ROI positioned in the psoas area is not necessarily representative of the overall quality of the acquisition; however, this technique is commonly used in the literature. The score used to define the quality of volume fusion is subjective, but the ureter is a very easy anatomical structure to follow.

- Second, the dose profile curves were acquired with a radiation-sensitive film and an optical scanner. This is a semi-quantitative technique. We did not have at our disposal a digital system that could have been more precise.

**Conclusion**

Using WV acquisition mode on a 320-detection row CT scan to image the abdominopelvic cavity in adults with the collimation of 10 cm (200 x 0.5 mm) instead of 16 cm (320 x 0.5 mm) strongly reduces the radiation dose with an acceptable scanning time, while keeping an excellent image quality. It can be used for a diagnosis purpose.

The dose reduction is related to various factors: the lack of over ranging, a lower over beaming and better modulation in the Z-axis when using several smaller volumes. It can be recommended in routine clinical practice.
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