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ABSTRACT

The opacity of spiral galaxy disks, from counts of distant galaxies, is compared to HI column densities. The opacity measurements are calibrated using the “Synthetic Field Method” from González et al. (1998, ApJ, 506, 152), Holwerda et al. (2005a, AJ, 129, 1381). When compared for individual disks, the HI column density and dust opacity do not seem to be correlated as HI and opacity follow different radial profiles. To improve statistics, an average radial opacity profile is compared to an average HI profile. Compared to dust-to-HI estimates from the literature, more extinction is found in this profile. This difference may be accounted for by an underestimate of the dust in earlier measurements due to their dependence on dust temperature. Since the SFM is insensitive to the dust temperature, the ratio between the SFM opacity and HI could very well be indicative of the true ratio. Earlier claims for a radially extended cold dust disk were based on sub-mm observations. A comparison between sub-mm observations and counts of distant galaxies is therefore desirable. We present the best current example of such a comparison, M 51, for which the measurements seem to agree. However, this remains an area where improved counts of distant galaxies, sub-mm observations and our understanding of dust emissivity are needed.
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1. Introduction

The relationship between gas and dust in spiral disks has been the focus of many observational studies often combined with efforts to characterize the chemical composition. Dust plays an important role in the energy and chemistry budgets of a disk, as is evident in our own Galaxy. The question comes simply down to whether the dust is distributed as the stars, which produce it, or like the gas, such as atomic hydrogen, which is dynamically coupled to it. Furthermore, some fraction of the gas which is associated with the dust will be in the form of cold dark molecular clouds, which may be of very high opacity. Cold dust can in principle be detected by either sub-millimeter emission or through the extinction of a background source.

A first attempt to characterize cold dust clouds and their relation to HI in a nearby galaxy, was by Hodge (1980); his Figs. 8 and 9 show the radial distribution of the number of dark clouds and HI column density along the minor and major axes of M 31. No correlation between the two tracers was found.

\textsuperscript{*} Research support by NASA through grant number HST-AR-08360 from the Space Telescope Science Institute (STScI), the STScI Discretionary Fund (grant numbers 82206 and 82304) and the Kapteyn Institute of Groningen University.

In recent years, the Infrared Space Observatory (ISO), and the Sub-Millimeter Common User Bolometer Array (SCUBA) on the James Clerk Maxwell Telescope have detected and mapped the cold dust component of spiral disks. This cold component has been found at much larger radii in galaxy disks than the warm dust. Alton et al. (1998b) and Trewella et al. (2000) found evidence from ISO for cold dust at larger radii. Alton et al. (1998a, 2000b) studied the distribution of emission in NGC 891 and also found evidence for a cold dust disk. Alton et al. (2000a) found evidence for dust outside the optical disk in NGC 660. In addition, Bianchi et al. (2000) and Alton et al. (2004) found a correlation between CO and 850 micron emission in NGC 6946 and interpreted this as evidence for a correlation between molecular hydrogen and cold dust. The relation between gas and dust has been explored using the SCUBA array and synthesis mapping of the atomic hydrogen (HI). Recent SCUBA results (Stevens et al. 2005; Thomas et al. 2004) are presented in relation to HI column density. Stevens et al. (2005) gives the ratio between gas and dust masses and Thomas et al. (2004) compare the radial extent of dust and HI and find similar scalelengths for both.

Spectral energy distribution modeling (SED) of edge-on galaxy disks (Popescu et al. 2000; Misiriotis et al. 2001;
Popescu & Tuffs (2002) indicate that the dust’s emission is powered by HII regions in the case of warm dust ($\lambda < 100\ \mu m$) and diffuse stellar radiation in the case of cold dust ($\lambda > 100\ \mu m$). The infrared flux is dominated by dust illuminated by nearby stars and an cold dust is only visible in the sub-mm part of the spectrum. Therefore, a cold dust component is relatively more prominent at higher radii where the dust clouds are not illuminated as much by the stellar population.

Parallel to this observational effort to characterize the emission, there is an effort to characterize the extinction in disks using known background sources. Two types of known background sources are in use: occulted galaxies and the number of distant galaxies. The occulting galaxy technique has been exhausted on the rare nearby pairs (White & Keel 1992; Andredakis & van der Kruit 1992; Domingue et al. 1999; White et al. 2000; Domingue et al. 2000; Keel & White 2001a,b). Domingue et al. (1999) compared the extinction and dust emission in their pairs and found reasonable agreement between dust masses and no need for an extremely cold ($T < 10\ \text{K}$) component.

The present paper is one of a series using the number of distant galaxies seen through the foreground disk in Hubble Space Telescope (HST) images as an extinction probe. The identified number of distant galaxies suffers from crowding and confusion effects. To calibrate this observed number, González et al. (1998) developed the “Synthetic Field Method” (SFM). A series of synthetic fields is constructed, with the original science field to which a dimmed deep field is added. From the relation between detected added background galaxies and the dimming of the deep field, the average dimming of the science field can be inferred. Holwerda et al. (2005a) automated this method and Holwerda et al. (2005b) reported on the radial opacity profiles of a sample of nearby galaxies.

In this paper, the radial opacity profiles from Holwerda et al. (2005b) are compared to HI surface density profiles from the literature for the same galaxies. The inferred ratios and radial profiles are compared to those obtained from sub-mm observations and others in the literature.

This paper is organized as follows: Sect. 2 gives a brief description of the “Synthetic Field Method”. The HI surface density radial profiles, radial opacity profiles and their ratios are presented in Sect. 3. Radial opacity-to-HI profiles averaged for the whole sample are compared to values from the literature in Sect. 4. Section 5 compares the sub-mm profiles from the literature to our opacity profiles. The conclusions are summarized in Sect. 6 with a view to future work in Sect. 7.

2. The “synthetic field method”

The number of distant galaxies seen through a spiral disk does not only depend on the level of extinction in the disk but also on the crowding and confusion by the objects in the foreground disk. To calibrate the effects of crowding and confusion, González et al. (1998) and Holwerda et al. (2005a) developed the “Synthetic Field Method” (SFM). This method consists of several steps. First the number of distant galaxies seen in the science field is identified. The selection is based on object characteristics and color and visually checked. Secondly, a series of synthetic fields is constructed. These are the original science field with a Hubble Deep Field added, dimmed to mimic dust extinction. Thirdly, the added distant galaxies are identified in these synthetic fields. A relationship between the dimming of the synthetic field ($A$) and the number of added galaxies retrieved ($N$) can be found and we fit the following equation to this:

$$A = -2.5\ C\ \log\left(\frac{N}{N_0}\right),$$

where $C$ characterizes the crowding and confusion for this particular science field and $N_0$ is the number of galaxies expected in the case of no dimming by dust. Substituting $N$ by the actual number of galaxies found in the science field, Eq. (1) yields the average opacity for the field. The counts of distant galaxies are done in $I$ band images and hence we report the opacities as $A_I$.

Cosmic variance in the number of distant galaxies in a given field adds an extra uncertainty to the number of distant galaxies found in the science field. As a result, opacity measurements in a single WFPC2 field or section thereof have high uncertainties associated with them. To combat this, we have automated this method (Holwerda et al. 2005a) and applied it to a sizeable sample of archival WFPC2 fields (Holwerda et al. 2005b). In this series of papers, we have explored the relations of disk opacity with radius (Holwerda et al. 2005b), the surface brightness (Holwerda et al. 2005c) and HI in this paper. Holwerda et al. (2005d) explore the limitations of this method as predicted by González et al. (2003), concluding that the optimal foreground disk distance is somewhere between 5 and 30 Mpc.

3. Individual galaxies: radial HI and opacity profiles

The number of distant background galaxies as a function of HI column density is best directly measured using an overlay of the HI column density map on an HST field. See for example the analysis by Cuillandre et al. (2001) of a ground-based field in M 31. However, since the HI column density maps are not easily available and not uniform, the relation between radial profiles of HI and opacity is used.

Holwerda et al. (2005b) present radial profiles for individual galaxies and composites of fields in Table 3. HI surface density profiles were taken from the literature for the subset of our sample for which these were available (Table 1). HI surface density profiles of the galaxies were extracted from the literature using the DEXTER program (Demleitner et al. 2001) and rescaled to express radius in $R_{25}$ (de Vaucouleurs et al. 1991) and surface density in $M_\odot\ pc^{-2}$.

In Figs. 1a and 1b the individual radial surface density profiles of HI and the opacity profiles are shown. The opacity was determined for the sections of the WFPC2 fields corresponding to radial intervals of 0.25 $R_{25}$. Both the opacity profiles and the HI surface density profiles of spiral disks display a variety of shapes. However, in general, the HI profile peaks somewhere in the disk and flattens out or dips near the galaxy’s center. The opacity profiles show a gradual rise towards the disk’s center. It should be noted that the HI profiles are the azimuthally average
for the entire disk, while the opacity profile is derived from the smaller section of the disk corresponding to the HST image i.e. these profiles are for the same spiral galaxy but not determined from the same section of the disk.

To see if there is correlation between HI surface density and disk opacity, the values of the profiles in Figs. 1a and 1b are plotted in Fig. 2. Averaged over radial intervals of 0.25 \( R_{25} \), there seems to be no correlation between HI column density and dust opacity in a spiral disk. The lack of a relation may be explained by the fact that the opacity profiles generally rise in the center of galaxies (Holwerda et al. 2005b), while radial HI surface density profiles often show a drop in the galaxy’s center (see also Figs. 1a and 1b). Alternatively, part of the hydrogen gas may be associated in molecular clouds, undetected in HI observations.

### 4. Dust-to-HI ratio

To reduce the uncertainties in the opacity measurement, the galaxy counts from several fields must be combined. This can be done e.g. per Hubble type or for the entire sample (see Holwerda et al. 2005b, for this type of analysis).

In Fig. 3, the average HI-to-opacity plot based on our entire sample (Table 1) is compared to HI-to-opacity measurements from the literature. To obtain this HI-to-dust profile, the opacities were re-derived and the HI profiles averaged. First, all the counts of distant galaxies, from all the synthetic and science fields, were combined. Then the opacity was derived again from the combined counts in radial intervals, using Eq. (1). The HI surface density profiles from Figs. 1a and 1b were combined by adding mass and surface contributions of each profile in a radial section and taking the ratio of the sums. An average opacity taken over many galaxies does not suffer from poor statistics as the individual profiles do, but small scale variations are smoothed out. The radial profile of dust-to-HI remains relatively constant for most of the optical disk and turns upward beyond that. At this point, the HI profiles diminish but the opacity profiles remain more or less constant. The radial profile becomes more uncertain beyond \( R_{25} \) as the WFPC2 fields used for the SFM analysis were centered on the optical disk (see for more on the selection of our original sample Holwerda et al. 2005b). For illustration, only two galaxies have enough counts for a reasonable SFM measurement beyond the \( R_{25} \). The trend of the dust-to-HI ratio beyond the \( R_{25} \) is therefore correspondingly uncertain.

### Table 1. HI surface density profiles from the literature. Some of these profiles may suffer from short-spacing problems which would underestimate the flux from HI on larger scales.

| Galaxy     | Hubble Type | Instrument | \( R_{25} \)  | Reference                  |
|------------|-------------|------------|---------------|----------------------------|
| NGC 925    | SAbd        | WSRT       | 10.47         | Wevers et al. (1986)       |
|            |             | VLA        |               | Pisano et al. (1998)       |
| NGC 1365   | SBb         | VLA        | 11.22         | Jorsater & van Moorsel (1995) |
| NGC 1425   | SBb         |            | 5.75          |                           |
| NGC 1637   | SAb(rs)c    |            | 3.98          |                           |
| NGC 2541   | SAbc        | WSRT       | 6.31          | Broeils & van Woerden (1994) |
| NGC 2841   | SAb         | WSRT       | 8.13          | Bosma (1981)               |
| NGC 3198   | SBc         | WSRT       | 8.51          | Wevers et al. (1986)       |
| NGC 3319   | SB(rs)cld   | WSRT       | 6.17          | Broeils & van Woerden (1994) |
| NGC 3351   | SBb         |            | 7.41          |                           |
| NGC 3621   | SAc         |            | 12.3          |                           |
| NGC 3627   | SAB(s)b     |            | 9.12          |                           |
| NGC 4321   | SABbc       | WSRT       | 7.41          | Warmels (1988)             |
|            |             | VLA        |               | Cayatte et al. (1994)      |
| NGC 4414   | SAc         | VLA        | 3.63          | Thornley & Mundy (1997)    |
| NGC 4496A  | SBm         |            | 3.98          |                           |
| NGC 4527   | SAB(s)bc    |            | 6.17          |                           |
| NGC 4535   | SABc        | WSRT       | 7.08          | Warmels (1988)             |
|            |             | VLA        |               | Cayatte et al. (1994)      |
| NGC 4536   | SAB(rs)bc   |            | 7.59          |                           |
| NGC 4548 M91 | SBb        | WSRT       | 5.37          | Warmels (1988)             |
|            |             | VLA        |               | Cayatte et al. (1994)      |
| NGC 4559   | SAB(rs)cd   | WSRT       | 10.72         | Broeils & van Woerden (1994) |
| NGC 4571   | SA(r)d      | WSRT       | 3.63          | Warmels (1988)             |
| NGC 4603   | SA(rs)bc    |            | 3.39          |                           |
| NGC 4639   | SAbc        | WSRT       | 2.75          | Warmels (1988)             |
| NGC 4725   | SABab       | WSRT       | 10.72         | Wevers et al. (1986)       |
| NGC 6946   | SAB(rs)cd   | VLA-D      | 11.48         | Tacconi & Young (1986)     |
| NGC 7331   | SAb         | WSRT       | 10.47         | Bosma (1981)               |
| M 51       | SA(s)bc     | WSRT       | 5.61          | Bosma (1981)               |
| M 81       | SA(s)ab     | WSRT       | 13.46         | Cayatte et al. (1994)      |
Fig. 1a. The HI and opacity profiles of individual galaxies in our sample. $A_I$ is expressed in magnitudes, the atomic hydrogen surface density ($\Sigma_{HI}$) in solar masses per square parsec ($M_\odot pc^{-2}$). The HI surface density profiles often do not have uncertainties reported with them. See Table 1 for the original reference for the HI surface density profiles. The most recent profiles are used in all cases. NGC 4414 has two WFPC2 fields associated with it.

Fig. 1b. The HI and opacity profiles of individual galaxies in our sample.

The comparison data from the literature are based on a series of techniques to determine the dust content. Issa et al. (1990) compile dust-to-HI measurements from the literature for a few nearby galaxies and normalize these to the Galactic value of Bohlin et al. (1978) and a distance of 0.7 $R_{25}$ from their galaxies’s center. These values from Issa et al. (1990) are derived from the line ratio between $H\alpha$ and $H\beta$. Mayya & Rengarajan (1997) infer average dust-to-HI profiles from IRAS emission at 60 micron which traces only the warm dust. They give a range of conversion values for the optical depth at 60 micron and in V. Boissier et al. (2004) present radial dust-to-HI profiles for 6 galaxies deduced from the FIR/UV ratio. Cuillandre et al. (2001) derive a relation between HI column density and stellar reddening for M 31. The average HI-to-dust ratio from the sub-mm observations of disks by Stevens et al. (2005) is also indicated. Their total sub-mm flux density was converted using the ratio found by Alton et al. (1998b) and an estimate of the disk area using the $D_{25}$.

The comparison to other results in Fig. 3 raises the question why the opacity measurement from the number of distant galaxies results in a dust-to-HI ratio that is an order of magnitude higher than the nearest recent estimate (Boissier et al. 2004). There are several effects which could have affected the average dust-to-HI profile from counts of galaxies. (1) The opacity measure from the counts of galaxies is averaged over a series of Hubble types and HI profiles. (2) The older HI surface density profiles may underestimate the HI column density, especially the larger scale structure due to lack of short-spacing information. (3) The opacities are predominantly determined for fields with a spiral arm while the HI profiles are averages over the whole of disk. This may have lowered the HI column density profile with respect to the measured opacity. (4) The average opacity measurement from counts of galaxies indicates the covering factor of dark clouds. One could argue that this covering factor is an overestimate as the distant galaxy does not need to be completely covered in order to be dropped from
The progression from the values of Mayya & Rengarajan (1997) to those of Boissier et al. (2004) and Stevens et al. (2005) indicates that the more sensitive the dust indicators become for the colder dust component in a disk, the more dust is detected in relation to the HI. It has also been found by several authors (Alton et al. 1998b; Popescu & Tuffs 2002) that the bulk of the dust reveals itself when more sensitive dust indicators are used. Taken in this context, the SFM estimate (the thick line in Fig. 3) of dust content of disks, which is independent of dust temperature, may reflect the actual total dust-to-HI ratio.

5. Comparison to SCUBA profiles

The SCUBA detector on the JCMT detects sub-mm emission from cold dust in spiral disks. In order to verify whether or not this cold dust is responsible for the opacity measured with the SFM, a direct comparison should be made. Four galaxies in our sample were mapped in the 850 µm band by different authors (Alton et al. 2001, 2002; Meijerink et al. 2005; Stevens et al. 2005). However, the individual opacity measurements and the conversion from 850 micron flux to an optical depth do not allow for a good comparison. The exact emissivity of dust grains at these wavelengths may still be underestimated (Alton et al. 2000b, 2004; Dasyra et al. 2005). To illustrate a comparison between a SCUBA map and our counts, we present the best current example: the exponential disk found by Meijerink et al. (2005) for M 51 and our distant galaxy counts from two WFPC2 fields.

5.1. M 51

Meijerink et al. (2005) present a detailed map of the 850 micron emission, as well as an exponential optical depth profile for the disk. Figure 4 shows the 850 micron emission profile from Meijerink et al. (2005) translated to an opacity value in V and I and the opacity measurements of the two WFPC2 fields and their average. Our WFPC2 fields predominantly miss the sections of the disk for which Meijerink et al. (2005) report additional flux from the spiral arms. However, a proper comparison can be done when the sub-mm observations and the counts of distant galaxies are from a similar sized field. For now we can only conclude that the points and the profile seem to agree.

5.2. Comparing to sub-mm

A good comparison between opacity and sub-mm flux can be obtained with more and better sub-mm maps and sufficient counts of distant galaxies. The new SCUBA-2 instrument on the JCMT promises to facilitate this mapping of nearby galaxies. However the expected emissivity of dust grains must then also be known for an accurate comparison. An advantage of the counts of distant galaxies is that they can be extended to larger radii and lower opacity values and can independently verify the profile found from sub-mm observations.

Both observational techniques can be used to explore the radial extent of dust in spiral disks. Our previous composite profile (Holwerda et al. 2005b) already pointed to and extended dust disk as well as several sub-mm observations.
The authors would like to thank the referee, Team. A Hubble Heritage R V ., Donas, J., & Milliard B. W. Holwerda et al.: The opacity of spiral galaxy disks. V.

Fig. 4. The profile of M 51 from Meijerink et al. (2005) (dashed lines) and the opacities from Holwerda et al. (2005b) (points). Solid points are the individual WFPC2 fields, the open triangles are the derived from combined WFPC2 fields. The errorbars shown are for the combined opacity and the radial bin size is 0.25 R25. The top axis shows the radius in R25. Conversion from the SCUBA profile to an opacity in V used two times the Galactic emissivity at 850 micron and the Galactic reddening law was used to convert the opacity profile in V to one in I.

(Nelson et al. 1998; Alton et al. 1998c; Trewella et al. 2000; Popescu & Tuffs 2003). Currently the extent is taken to be somewhere between the optical and the HI scale. A more accurate determination of that can be achieved with either more sensitive sub-mm observations or future galaxy counts or both combined.

6. Conclusions

In summary, we draw the following conclusions from the comparison between opacity from the number of distant galaxies with HI profiles:

1. The HI and opacity profiles of individual fields do not seem to correlate well. This may be due to the rise of opacity in the center of a disk while there is often a dip in the atomic hydrogen surface density profile there. However, high uncertainties plaguel the single field opacity measurements (Figs. 1a, 1b and 2).

2. The dust-to-HI ratio depends on the tracer used for the dust content. When a tracer sensitive to colder dust is used, a higher dust-to-HI value is found (Fig. 3). The SFM opacity, which is independent of the dust temperature, could very well point to the true dust to HI ratio in spiral disks.

3. A direct comparison between sub-mm emission and SFM opacity is problematic as few galaxies in our sample have been observed at these wavelengths. It is possible that both methods trace the same component (Fig. 4) but more data and a better understanding of dust emissivity is needed for confirmation.

7. Future work

The relation between sub-mm emission and opacity is an important area for future work. A more accurate comparison between the SFM opacity profile and the SCUBA emission profile of M 51 should become possible in the near future with the HST/ACS observations by the Hubble Heritage Team. A similar comparison could be made for M 101, provided such a large solid angle could be successfully scanned by the new SCUBA-2 or its successors.

By comparing the SFM opacity to HI column density averaged over a radial interval, small variations are smoothed out. A more direct approach is to compare SFM opacity directly in contours of HI column density. This requires uniform HST imaging of a nearby galaxy and a deep and uniform HI map as well. Such data exists for M 101 and M 51 and the relation between HI and dust could then be characterized more accurately and out to a larger radius.
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