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ABSTRACT

It is estimated that one-third of oncologic patients in the USA do not receive analgesia proportional to or adequate for the intensity of their pain. A mechanism-based approach to oncologic pain therapy is critical to ensure that analgesia regimens are individualized and effective. Since the mechanisms that lead to cancer pain are complex, healthcare providers must be willing to elicit and recognize the symptoms of each individual patient since these factors influence both the experience of pain and response to treatment. This process is centered on the use of detailed history in order to understand symptom expression in the context of primary tumor diagnosis and progression, history of cancer pain, psychological distress, sleep disturbances, cognitive function, and addictive behavior. Incorporating all of these factors into the assessment of a patient's pain condition can facilitate management decisions and help predict patient response to treatment.
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While the prevalence of cancer is increasing secondary, in part due to the advent of new diagnostic techniques, the incidence of cancer pain does not seem to be decreasing despite progress in the development of targeted cancer therapies. Assessments of cancer pain must be multifactorial and patient-centered; treatment is largely dependent on pharmacotherapy.

Future research should utilize patient-reported outcome measurements to drive the improvement of assessment tools and the development of new treatment options for the management of cancer pain, including neuraxial anesthesia and neuroablative techniques.
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INTRODUCTION

Pain is one of the most common symptoms endorsed by cancer patients, especially those with metastatic disease [1]. Pain associated with oncologic disease negatively impacts the quality of life and, in many instances, serves as the clinical representation of tumor progression [1]. In addition to physical manifestations, cancer pain has psychosocial effects, including include anxiety and depression [2]. Cancer pain can be acute or chronic, and its subclassification dictates treatment [1]. Over the last 25 years, significant advances have been made in the fields of oncology and pain management, but the incorporation of this information into clinical practice is lagging [3]. Despite increased recognition of cancer pain and widespread adoption of clinical recommendations for its management, adequate and consistent pain relief continues to be difficult to achieve in patients with oncologic disease [4, 5]. Inadequate management is most prevalent in children, members of underserved communities and impoverished countries, geriatric patients, and outpatients with metastatic progression of disease [6–9]. Factors contributing to the undertreatment of cancer pain include fear of overprescribing, lack of knowledge concerning adequate treatment, and patient hesitations regarding the use of opioids [10, 11].

This article is based on previously conducted studies and does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

ETIOLOGY

Chronic cancer-related pain is subclassified in the International Classification of Diseases 11th Revision (ICD-11) as chronic cancer pain and chronic post-cancer treatment pain [12]. Chronic cancer pain is inflammatory or neuropathic pain that is a direct effect of the primary cancer or cancer metastases while chronic post-cancer treatment pain refers to painful sequellae from treatment interventions [12]. Both the 2014 and 2020 World Cancer Reports illustrate that vast improvements in treatments for more than a decade have enabled increased survival in those diagnosed with cancer; however, these improvements have also increased the incidence of chronic cancer-related pain in survivors as the development of pain directly increases with increasing number of years lived with cancer [13, 14].

The mechanisms for chronic cancer pain vary depending on tumor type and location [15]. The tumor exists in a dynamic relationship with its environment—both secrete mediators that are implicated in angiogenesis and peripheral sensitization [12, 16]. Both primary and secondary tumors can spread to the bone, leading to pain through several mechanisms, such as structural disruption, inflammatory...
mediator release, and alteration of osteoclast activity [17]. The resulting disruption of the periosteum, marrow, or cortex can result in pain. These mechanisms for cancer pain are most pertinent in patients with metastatic disease, in whom pain occurs most often due to direct tumor infiltration [18].

Treatment-related pain can be due to surgery, chemotherapy, and radiotherapy, among other causes [12, 19]. The concept of persistent post-surgical pain (PPSP) refers to continued pain lasting at least 2 months following a procedure. PPSP is frequently reported by cancer survivors [20]. It is believed to be caused by pathologic neural plasticity; by definition, it is limited to the territory or dermatome associated with the surgery [21–23]. PPSP is most prevalent in patients who undergo thoracotomy, breast surgery, and limb amputation [23]. Chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy is a form of treatment-related pain that affects the long sensory nerve fibers and is commonly associated with the infusion of platinum compounds, vinca alkaloids, and taxanes [23, 24]. Similarly, antineoplastic treatment with radiotherapy can be a root cause of cancer pain secondary to damage to non-malignant tissue. Radiotherapy-induced pain can be early- or late-onset, with early-onset pain being typical in tissues with high turnover, such as the mucosa, and late-onset pain occurring in nerve and muscle tissue [25]. Recently developed more targeted therapeutic options in both chemotherapy and radiotherapy have the aim to reduce these associated adverse effects.

**EPIDEMIOLOGY**

The global prevalence of cancer is increasing secondarily, in part due to significant improvements in the identification and treatment of malignancies. Despite the abundant body of literature on cancer pain, accurate worldwide prevalence data are not available [13, 14, 26, 27]. Even in advanced cancer, it is estimated that approximately 70% of patients with oncologic disease report pain, but prevalence still varies with cancer type and stage [28]. A recently updated meta-analysis calculating prevalence rates for cancer pain in multiple patient subgroups revealed an increasing burden of disease over the past decade [29, 30]. The working group first published the meta-analysis in 2007, and updated it in 2016, with the results showing pain in: patients after curative treatment (33% in 2007 vs. 39% in 2016), patients with advanced disease (64% in 2007 vs. 66% in 2016), and patients at all disease stages (53% in 2007 vs. 51% in 2016) [29, 30]. The highest prevalence of cancer pain in this study was found to occur in patients with head and neck disease [29, 30].

**DIAGNOSIS**

**Presentation**

A comprehensive history and physical are important for the assessment of pain in oncology patients. It is crucial to elicit a thorough description of the pain, including classic factors such as location, intensity, character, radiation, duration, timing, and provocative and alleviating factors [31]. Of note, it is not uncommon for oncologic patients to report more than one site of pain and, consequently, it is important that pain in these patients be accurately assessed at every anatomic location, including viscera, bone, and nervous tissue [31]. Although pain is traditionally classified as acute or chronic, the dependence of cancer pain on the progression of the disease and the associated tissue damage makes such a differentiation difficult [31]. Cancer pain can be continuous, commonly described as “background pain;” alternatively cancer pain can be described as intermittent [12]. Intermittent pain, also known as episodic pain, is further subclassified into predictable (incident) pain and unpredictable (spontaneous) pain [12]. Intermittent pain is used to characterize transitory increases, or exacerbations, of pain intensity on a background of chronic, managed pain; for example, with increased weight bearing [12]. At least 50% of patients with cancer pain experience intermittent pain, which was previously classified as breakthrough pain [12, 32].
For certain types of cancer pain, it has been possible to consolidate clusters of signs and symptoms into specific pain syndromes. These definitions, when used in combinations with individual patient characteristics, can be beneficial in guiding the assessment and selection of treatment. The International Association for the Study of Pain (IASP) Task Force in cancer pain has published a syndromic classification of pain caused directly by solid tumors [12]. Similarly, common pain syndromes in patients with hematologic malignancies have also been defined [12, 33, 34]. The Edmonton Classification System for Cancer Pain (ECS-CP) uses five domains, namely, mechanism of pain, incidental pain, psychologic distress, addictive behavior, and cognitive function, to classify cancer pain [35]. However, despite the ECS-CP being currently considered one of the best tools for defining cancer pain, it is not widely used in clinical practice [31]. To date, there is no universally accepted pain classification measure that can accurately predict the prognosis of pain in oncology patients [36–38].

Evaluation

Accurate evaluation of cancer pain is essential to characterizing the pain, identifying the underlying mechanism, and guiding decision-making with regard to management. Unfortunately, many pain assessments currently used in clinical practice are not beneficial in cancer patients since the diagnosis of cancer has been shown to change the way that patients perceive and communicate pain [39]. Tools that utilize a Likert-type system to evaluate pain intensity do not reflect the complex biopsychosocial nature of cancer pain [40, 41]. Assessments that take into account elements of pain beyond severity include the Brief Pain Inventory (BPI) and the McGill Pain Questionnaire (MPQ), both of which have been validated in cancer patients and are available in several languages [42, 43]. The MPQ evaluates pain intensity, visual analogue scale assessment, and pain descriptors but also considers the “affective” aspects of pain [44]. The MPQ-SF, a shortened version of the MPQ, has been updated to make it more effective at detecting neuropathic pain [8]. The BPI, like the MPQ, is divided into an element that evaluates pain severity and one that focuses more on the experience of pain [45]. Despite the development of such new tools for the evaluation of cancer pain, the heterogeneity of its presentation makes it difficult to select a gold standard for assessment. It has been demonstrated that the choice of evaluation tool influences the adequacy of treatment [46]. This outcome highlights the importance that healthcare providers consider the multifactorial nature of cancer pain, and that any discussion about assessment must be patient- and outcome-centered.

Differential Diagnosis

Not every type of pain experienced by oncology patients is secondary to malignancy. A prospective study of cancer patients determined that approximately 17% of pain experienced in this subset of patients is secondary to anticancer treatment, while approximately 10% is secondary to other etiologies that are unrelated to their disease [47].

Prognosis

While the IASP classification system uses descriptive coding to categorize oncologic pain, it is not helpful at establishing a prognosis. The Cancer Pain Prognostic Scale (CPPS) was developed in an effort to predict the likelihood of achieving pain relief in cancer patients who report moderate to severe cancer pain [48]. The CPPS uses a predictive formula that combines characteristics such as pain severity, pain severity, emotional well-being, and the daily opioid requirement to assign each patient a score ranging from 0 to 17 [48]. Higher scores on the CPPS indicate a higher probability of pain relief [48].

TREATMENT AND MANAGEMENT

It is generally agreed that a comprehensive approach to the treatment of cancer pain that includes both pharmacologic and non-
pharmacologic modalities should be the standard of care [49]. Options that are non-pharmacologic include interventional procedures, physical therapy, occupational therapy, and behavioral medicine treatments. The usefulness of behavioral medicine treatment underscores the concept that the pain experience is affected by not only tissue injury but also by psychological and social factors, such as anxiety, catastrophizing, and somatization [50]. Behavioral medicine encompasses treatments such as cognitive behavioral therapy, stress management, and relaxation imagery [50]. Methods such as acupuncture and massage may be useful but have varying degrees of evidence-based support [51].

In 1986, the World Health Organization (WHO) proposed a pain ladder as a stepwise approach to analgesia for cancer pain [52]. The ladder describes non-opioid medications as the first line treatment, followed by weak opioids and, subsequently, strong opioids [52]. While morphine was historically the gold standard for opioid treatment of cancer pain, it has been replaced with newer semi-synthetic opioids, such as oxycodone and hydrocodone, in updated treatment guidelines; however, the core notion of the pain ladder is still followed [53–55]. To date, no difference has been demonstrated in the efficacies of morphine, oxycodone, and hydrocodone, in updated treatment guidelines; however, the core notion of the pain ladder is still followed [53–55]. The WHO ladder recommendations can also be manipulated depending on the underlying cause of pain, as shown by recent treatment guidelines [53–55]. Osteogenic pain from bony metastasis can benefit from treatment with nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, while neuropathic pain can be targeted with anticonvulsant and antidepressant medications [49]. Of note, guidelines recommend treating breakthrough pain with rapid- or short-acting opioids with rescue doses to avoid end-of-dose failure [54–57].

While the WHO pain ladder and the treatment guidelines described above provide a stepwise algorithm for escalating opioid doses, they do not account for cases in which analgesia is not achieved despite high doses or cases of intolerable side effects [58]. Intervventional procedures for cancer pain include neuroablative procedures, soft tissue injections, and neuraxial analgesia [59, 60]. For example, the approach to PPSP involves the use of local anesthetic infiltration by an indwelling central or peripheral nerve catheter [20]. These methods are frequently cited in the literature, but randomized controlled trials are lacking, hence their absence in current recommendations. Future research is warranted; however, currently barriers to treatment include a large difference in cost and increased risk of side effects.

Complications

Opioids currently serve as the foundation for medical management of moderate to severe cancer pain [18]. As such, side effects from opioid use are a common complication of chronic cancer pain treatment. Opioid-related adverse effects include those that are normal and expected, such as nausea, vomiting, and constipation, as well as unexpected reactions that may warrant changes in the pain regimen [61]. Given the large body of literature on opioid side effects, expectations regarding opioid treatment should be reviewed with patients prior to treatment initiation. Avoidable side effects should also be monitored and treated appropriately by healthcare providers. Likewise, in light of the current opioid epidemic, patients should be both screened for substance use disorder prior to initiation of a pain regimen and monitored throughout treatment [61].

Patient Education

Patient education is essential for planning treatment that maximizes opportunities for the adequate alleviation of pain. While effective treatment of cancer pain hinges on pharmacotherapy, barriers to sufficient pain control using medication include underreporting of pain, fear of analgesics (such as opioids), and fear of the association between pain and disease progression [50]. These obstacles are frequently associated with poor compliance and subsequent inadequate pain management [50]. Appropriate patient education allows these
hindrances to be identified and subsequently overcome for each individual patient [62]. Treatment options such as behavioral medicine represent a non-pharmacologic approach to the management of cancer pain that places great emphasis on education [62]. While research is limited, education supplemented with psychosocial intervention may be helpful in improving outcomes when used in conjunction with pharmacotherapy.

CONCLUSION

Cancer pain is endorsed by a large portion of oncologic patients at some point in their disease course. While cancer pain most frequently occurs in patients with metastatic progression, the timing and quality of pain are dependent on cancer type and treatment. Although significant progress has been made in the development of techniques for both diagnosis and management of most oncologic conditions, fewer advances have been made in the realm of evaluation and treatment of cancer pain. At present, there is no standardized methodology for the assessment of cancer pain. As such, future studies should focus on creating multifactorial and patient-centered techniques that allow for individualized management.
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