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Abstract

Background

\textit{Cryptosporidium} are parasitic protozoa that infect humans, domestic animals, and wildlife globally. In the United States, cryptosporidiosis occurs in an estimated 750,000 persons annually, and is primarily caused by either of the \textit{Cryptosporidium parvum} genotypes 1 and 2, exposure to which occurs through ingestion of food or water contaminated with oocytes shed from infected hosts. Although most cryptosporidiosis cases are caused by genotype 1 and are of human origin, the zoonotic sources of genotype 2, such as livestock, are increasingly recognized as important for understanding human disease patterns. Social inequality could mediate patterns of human exposure and infection by placing individuals in environments where food or water contamination and livestock contact is high or through reducing the availability of educational and sanitary resources required to avoid exposure.

Methodology/Principal Findings

We here analyzed data from the National Health and Nutritional Examination Survey (NHANES) between 1999 and 2000, and related seropositivity to \textit{Cryptosporidium parvum} to correlates of social inequality at the household and individual scale. After accounting for the complex sampling design of NHANES and confounding by individual demographics and household conditions, we found impaired household food adequacy was associated with greater odds of \textit{Cryptosporidium} seropositivity. Additionally, we identified individuals of non-white race and ethnicity and those born outside the United States as having significantly greater risk than white, domestic-born counterparts. Furthermore, we provide suggestive evidence for direct effects of family wealth on \textit{Cryptosporidium} seropositivity, in that persons from low-income households and from families close to the poverty threshold had elevated odds of seropositivity relative to those in high-income families and in households far above the poverty line.
Conclusions/Significance
These results refute assertions that cryptosporidiosis in the United States is independent of social marginalization and poverty, and carry implications for targeted public health interventions for Cryptosporidium infection in resource-poor groups. Future longitudinal and multilevel studies are necessary to elucidate the complex interactions between ecological factors, social inequality, and Cryptosporidium dynamics.

Author Summary
We examined if and how social inequality in the United States influences seropositivity to Cryptosporidium parvum. By using nationwide data on parasite seropositivity, demographics, and household metrics of socioeconomic status provided through the National Health and Nutritional Examination Survey, we quantified how measures of social inequality affect the odds of parasite infection. After adjusting for the complex sampling design of NHANES and potential confounding by individual demographics and household conditions, we found household food inadequacy was associated with greater parasite seropositivity. Additionally, we found that individuals of non-white races and ethnicities and those born outside of the United States have significantly greater odds of seropositivity than white, domestic-born counterparts. Furthermore, our study suggests evidence for direct effects of family wealth on cryptosporidiosis risk, in that persons in low-income households have elevated odds of parasite seropositivity relative to those in high-income families. These results refute the claim that cryptosporidiosis in the United States is independent of poverty and social marginalization and carry implications for targeted public health interventions for this parasitic infection in resource-poor groups.

Introduction
Cryptosporidium are parasitic protozoa that infect humans, domestic animals, and wildlife globally [1]. In humans, cryptosporidiosis is a major cause of global diarrheal illness, and in the United States an estimated 750,000 cases occur annually [2]. Although direct mortality from Cryptosporidium infection is rare and often limited to immunocompromised individuals, cryptosporidiosis can cause significant morbidity that in turn can result in high healthcare expenses and losses to productivity [3–5]. Human cryptosporidiosis is primarily caused by either of the Cryptosporidium parvum genotypes 1 and 2, also known as Cryptosporidium hominis and Cryptosporidium parvum [6,7]. Human exposure to Cryptosporidium parvum occurs primarily through ingestion of food or water contaminated with oocytes shed from infected hosts [8,9]. While genotype 1 is limited to human transmission cycles [10], transmission of genotype 2 is based in livestock reservoir hosts such as cattle [11]. Although the majority of cryptosporidiosis cases are caused by genotype 1, the zoonotic capacity of genotype 2 is increasingly recognized as important for understanding human disease patterns [12–14]. For example, cryptosporidiosis cases in the United Kingdom are highest in agricultural areas that utilize cattle manure [15], and water-borne outbreaks in Ireland and the United States have been traced back to cattle [16,17]. Furthermore, exposure directly from livestock has also been observed, particularly among persons working closely with cattle such as children and farm-workers [18,19].
Despite potential linkages between livestock reservoir sources and cryptosporidiosis, we know less about how social inequality may mediate patterns of human exposure and infection. This is unfortunate, as Cryptosporidium is now included in the WHO Neglected Disease Initiative [20], and epidemiological evidence suggests low socioeconomic conditions may amplify human risk. A cross-sectional study in Venezuela found individuals residing in poor urban sectors and in thatched roof–style housing had higher Cryptosporidium prevalence [21]. In a similar setting in Brazil, household water and nearby domestic animals tested positive for Cryptosporidium oocysts [22]. Such work suggests living in physically impaired environments can increase exposure to contaminated water or animals harboring infection [23]. An impaired social environment could also influence patterns of human exposure, as individuals within these environments may lack resources necessary for proper sanitation or educational avoidance of transmission routes. For example, women in Kenyan agricultural communities had greater exposure to cattle and contaminated food and water owing to power hierarchies within households [24].

A major limitation of past work on social determinants of cryptosporidiosis is a focus on either the individual or household level at small spatial scales, restricting understanding interactions between scales and broader inference [25]. To simultaneously address individual- and household-scale socioeconomic drivers of cryptosporidiosis risk, we utilized the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) from the United States to ask how poor physical and social conditions affect the odds of seropositivity to Cryptosporidium parvum. Several reviews of neglected infections in the United States have noted that cryptosporidiosis is without significant links to poverty or social marginalization [26,27], and socioeconomic factors remain absent in syntheses of risk factors for this disease in the United States [28]. Yet a prior analysis of NHANES found that Hispanics, African Americans, and women all have greater odds of Cryptosporidium seropositivity [29]. Incorporation of household-scale socioeconomics may therefore improve our understanding of how an impaired physical or social environment contextualizes these individual-level relationships. Furthermore, reorienting our focus on cryptosporidiosis towards socioeconomic determinants could offer tangible opportunities for public health interventions and environmental management.

**Methods**

**Population and design**

Our analysis used cross-sectional data from NHANES, a series of large nationally representative surveys conducted by the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) based on a stratified, multistage, probability cluster design. Data are collected through household interviews, standardized physical examinations, and collection of biological samples at mobile examination centers. A nationally representative sample is selected annually, but data are released in two-year cycles to protect confidentiality and increase statistical reliability. All data were obtained from NHANES between 1999 and 2000, the only two years for which Cryptosporidium serological testing occurred.

To ensure adequate sample size, NHANES 1999–2000 oversampled low-income persons, adolescents 12–19 years of age, persons ≥60 years of age, non-Hispanic blacks, and Mexican Americans. Data were weighted to represent the total civilian non-institutionalized U.S. household population and to account for oversampling and nonresponse to the household interview and physical examination. The weights were further ratio-adjusted by age, sex, and race and ethnicity to the U.S. population control estimates from the Current Population Survey adjusted for undercounts. Of the 5663 study participants aged 6 to 49 and who underwent physical examination, 4359 individuals had serum samples available for evaluation and had data...
available for relevant socioeconomic and demographic covariates for this study. Participants with hemophilia or recipients of chemotherapy within four weeks were excluded.

Ethics statement

NHANES is reviewed and approved annually by the NCHS institutional review board, and informed written consent was obtained from all participants or their parents or legal guardians. All individual records were anonymized through unique respondent sequence numbers within NHANES.

Determining seropositivity

Infection with Cryptosporidium parvum is accompanied by the production of parasite-specific antibody (Ig) of all major classes [30,31]. NHANES used an experimental enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) to measure IgG antibodies to two surface antigens to Cryptosporidium parvum, 17kDA and 27kDA [32,33]. In experimental human studies, IgG reactivity to these antigens peaks within 4 to 6 weeks [34]. The IgG response to 17kDA declines to near background levels by 4 to 6 months, whereas the same antibody response to 27kDA can remain elevated for at least 6 to 12 months [35,36]. Evidence from animal and human studies suggests that this antibody response requires inoculation with Cryptosporidium parvum oocysts and that seropositivity to both antigens develops after either asymptomatic or symptomatic infection [34,37]. Hence the IgG response to both antigen groups likely reflects recent or current Cryptosporidium parvum infection and not merely exposure [36,38].

To determine seropositivity to Cryptosporidium parvum, serum samples were tested for reactivity to both antigen groups through the ELISA methods detailed by NHANES and [32,33]. Briefly, sample absorbance was measured using a Molecular Devices UVmax kinetic microplate reader, and IgG levels were assigned a unit value based on the eight-point positive control standard curve with a four-parameter curve fit. The 1:50 dilution of the positive control was assigned a value of 6400 units; unknown samples with absorbance values above the standard curve were diluted further and reassayed. Cutoff values to determine seropositivity are not reported within NHANES; however, prior studies have used cutoffs for seropositivity to the 17kDA and 27kDA antigens as a sample absorbance greater than 86 units, exceeding the mean plus three standard deviations of the negative control, or exceeding 10% of the positive control [38–40].

NHANES reports seropositivity separately to the 17kDA and 27kDA antigen groups as binary outcomes. Because of our interest in the social determinants of Cryptosporidium seropositivity, which likely remain constant through the duration of both IgG antibody responses, we here report seropositivity as a positive IgG response to both 17kDA and 27kDA antigen groups. Following experimental studies, a seropositive response to both of these antigens represents a likely recent or current infection with either genotype 1 or genotype 2 of Cryptosporidium parvum [36,38]; however, a seropositive result does not distinguish between the distinct human or zoonotic sources of oocysts nor whether or not an individual is currently infected.

Socioeconomic covariates and confounding

We examined three household-level indicators of social inequality available through NHANES: food adequacy, annual income, and the poverty income ratio (PIR). Food adequacy was defined as households reporting “enough and the kinds of food wanted,” “enough but not always the kinds of food wanted,” and “sometimes/often not enough to eat.” This variable was recoded as “enough,” “some,” and “not enough” to eat and could serve as a proxy for socioeconomic status, in which resource-poor households are unable to access adequate quantities and
qualities of food [41,42]. To consider direct effects of financial resources on Cryptosporidium seropositivity, annual income was defined as total combined family income and was divided evenly into categories of less than $25,000, between $25,000 and $45,000, and greater than $45,000. The PIR was calculated within NHANES to provide a relative measure of income relative to poverty thresholds. Annual family income was divided by the poverty guidelines specific to family size and the appropriate year and state. A PIR less than one indicates a household below the poverty threshold, whereas a ratio of one or greater indicates income above that poverty threshold. We reclassified the PIR into even categories of households below the poverty threshold (PIR < 1), households one to three times above the poverty threshold (PIR 1–3), and households with income more than three times above the poverty threshold (PIR 3+).

In addition to these primary household socioeconomic variables, we also considered confounding by the source and treatment status of household water, as Cryptosporidium parvum is predominantly transmitted through water-borne pathways and untreated water may also be symptomatic of low socioeconomic status. The source of household water was defined as a private or public water company, a private or public well, or another source. Water treatment was defined as whether or not the following treatment devices were used within a household: pitcher water filter, ceramic or charcoal filter, water softener, aerator, or reverse osmosis. Our analysis also considered the size of a household, defined as the number of rooms per home, to account for larger households potentially stemming from greater wealth.

We also considered confounding by demographic covariates of individual race and ethnicity, age, gender, country of birth, and education [29]. Age was defined in one-year intervals, and race and ethnicity were defined by self-report and categorized as non-Hispanic white, non-Hispanic black, Mexican American, and other. Country of birth was categorized as the United States, Mexico, or other. Education was defined by the highest grade of school completed, which we reclassified into a binary variable describing whether or not individuals completed high school. Lastly, associations between socioeconomic status and Cryptosporidium parvum could be confounded by individual health status, as immunocompromised persons are more susceptible to infection [1,43]. Lymphocytes play key roles in the immune defense against Cryptosporidium, and in particular T helper lymphocytes (CD4+ cells) are required for parasite clearance [31,44]. We therefore considered the number of thousand lymphocytes per microliter of blood in our analyses, derived from the total count of leukocytes times the differential count of percent lymphocytes. Although NHANES has directly quantified CD4+ counts, these data were only available for human immunodeficiency virus–positive individuals, which represent a very small subset of the sample tested for Cryptosporidium seropositivity (n = 34 records, < 1% of dataset).

Statistical analysis

All statistical procedures were conducted to account for the design of multistage stratified, cluster-sampled, unequally weighted survey samples such as NHANES using the package survey in R [45,46]. All seroprevalence estimates were weighted to represent the total U.S. population and to account for over-sampling and nonresponse to interviews and physical examinations [47]. We first performed univariate analyses of primary exposures and potential confounders by fitting survey-weighted generalized linear models with the binomial outcome as the serological response to the 17kDA and 27kDA antigens. These logistic regressions were fit through pseudolikelihood and used inverse-probability weighting and design-based standard errors [48,49]. Standard error estimates were calculated using the Taylor series linearization method to account for the complex sampling design [50], and we used a Wald test to test if all coefficients associated with each covariate differed from zero to examine overall variable significance.
To adjust for the NHANES survey design, the degrees of freedom for Wald tests were calculated as the number of primary sampling units \( n = 27 \) minus the number of strata \( n = 13 \). This method is recommended for retaining power when considering individual-level covariates within a survey-adjusted analysis \([49,53]\). Due to this limited degrees of freedom imposed by the sampling design \((df = 14)\), we only included covariates with \( p < 0.20 \) from a Satterthwaite-adjusted \( F \) statistic as potential confounders to avoid overfitting final multivariable models (shown in Table 1).

We next constructed three survey-weighted multiple logistic regression models. These models incorporated household socioeconomic variables separately owing to strong associations between each \([S1 Table]\). For each household socioeconomic variable (food adequacy, annual income, PIR), we included confounder variables within each model to then test associations between impaired environments and Cryptosporidium seropositivity. We again used Wald tests as the omnibus test of covariate significance within each model, and those variables with \( p \leq 0.05 \) from a Satterthwaite-adjusted \( F \) statistic were considered significant. We also tested for differences between groups within each exposure variable after adjusting for the potentially inflated false-discovery rate associated with multiple comparisons using the Benjamini and Hochberg correction and \textit{multcomp} package in R \([54,55]\). Crude and adjusted odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals were reported for all variables in the final survey-weighted models. Odds ratios and confidence intervals from the survey-weighted logistic regression models were also calculated using the adjusted degrees of freedom described above. Owing to the cross-sectional nature of NHANES, neither cause–effect relationships nor distinction between recent or current Cryptosporidium infection can be established, and hence odds ratios should be interpreted accordingly.

**Results**

Of the 4359 persons tested for seropositivity to the \textit{Cryptosporidium parvum} 17kDA and 27kDA antigen groups in our NHANES sample, 925 persons were IgG positive. This corresponds to a weighted seroprevalence for individuals aged 6 and 49 years of 21.2% \((95\% \text{ CI} = 18.5–23.9\%)\). Seroprevalence estimates specific to household food adequacy, family income, PIR, water source and treatment, household size, age, gender, race and ethnicity, country of birth, education, and immunocompetence (lymphocyte count) are shown in Table 1. Seropositivity was influenced by all household socioeconomic variables in our univariate analyses \((all F_{2,14} > 2.7, all p \leq 0.10)\), with living in low-income households, close to the poverty line, and with food inadequacy associated with increased odds of seropositivity. Demographics were also strong correlates of seropositivity, with odds increasing with age \((F_{1,14} = 188.96, p < 0.001)\); with seroprevalence higher among non-Hispanic blacks, Mexican Americans, and other racial and ethnic groups than non-Hispanic whites \((F_{3,14} = 81.29, p < 0.001)\); and with seroprevalence higher among persons born outside the United States compared to those born within the country \((F_{2,14} = 52.53, p < 0.001)\). Odds of seropositivity differed little between men and women \((F_{1,14} = 2.2, p = 0.162)\) and were not influenced by individual lymphocyte count \((F_{1,14} = 0.86, p = 0.371)\). Lastly, we found significant associations between serostatus and home water treatment \((F_{1,14} = 4.91, p = 0.045)\) but not with the source of household water \((F_{2,14} = 1.42, p = 0.28)\); household size was also a weak negative predictor of seroprevalence \((F_{1,14} = 2.58, p = 0.132)\).

For multivariable models of household socioeconomic conditions and \textit{Cryptosporidium} seropositivity, we therefore included individual age, reported race and ethnicity, country of birth, education, gender, household size, and household water treatment status as confounders. While univariate tests found no association between individual immunocompetence and
Table 1. *Cryptosporidium parvum* IgG seropositivity to the 17kDA and 27kDA antigens among persons aged 6–49 in the United States, NHANES 1999–2000.

| Variables               | Sample 4359 (100%) | 17kDA & 27kDA antigen test | F      | p     |
|-------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|--------|-------|
|                         | IgG negative 3434 (78.8%) | IgG positive 925 (21.2%) |        |       |
| **Food adequacy**       |                    |                            | 3.35   | 0.07  |
| Enough                  | 3190 (73.18)       | 2555 (74.40)               | 635 (68.65) |
| Some                    | 944 (21.66)        | 715 (20.82)                | 229 (24.76) |
| Not enough              | 225 (5.16)         | 164 (4.78)                 | 61 (6.59) |
| **Annual income**       |                    |                            | 2.89   | 0.09  |
| Less than $25,000       | 2051 (47.05)       | 1548 (45.08)               | 503 (54.38) |
| $25,000 to $45,000      | 963 (22.09)        | 766 (22.31)                | 197 (21.30) |
| Greater than $45,000    | 1345 (30.86)       | 1120 (32.62)               | 225 (24.32) |
| **Poverty income ratio**|                    |                            | 6.47   | 0.01  |
| PIR < 1                 | 1339 (30.72)       | 1028 (29.94)               | 311 (33.62) |
| PIR 1–3                 | 1799 (41.27)       | 1392 (40.54)               | 407 (44.00) |
| PIR 3+                  | 1221 (28.01)       | 1014 (29.53)               | 207 (22.38) |
| **Age (years)**         |                    |                            | 188.96 | <0.001|
| Mean (SD)               | 22.17 (11.95)      | 20.64 (11.39)              | 27.86 (12.26) |
| **Race & ethnicity**    |                    |                            | 81.29  | <0.001|
| White                   | 1334 (30.60)       | 1147 (33.40)               | 187 (20.22) |
| Black                   | 1060 (24.32)       | 849 (24.72)                | 211 (22.81) |
| Hispanic                | 1860 (42.67)       | 1357 (39.52)               | 503 (54.38) |
| Other                   | 105 (2.41)         | 81 (2.36)                  | 24 (2.59) |
| **Country of birth**    |                    |                            | 68.29  | <0.001|
| United States           | 3470 (79.61)       | 2903 (84.54)               | 567 (61.30) |
| Mexico                  | 581 (13.33)        | 336 (9.78)                 | 245 (26.49) |
| Other                   | 308 (7.07)         | 195 (5.68)                 | 113 (12.22) |
| **Water treatment**     |                    |                            | 4.91   | 0.04  |
| Yes                     | 855 (19.61)        | 700 (20.38)                | 155 (16.76) |
| No                      | 3504 (80.39)       | 2734 (79.62)               | 770 (83.24) |
| **Education**           |                    |                            | 4.48   | 0.05  |
| Less than high school   | 2852 (65.43)       | 2302 (67.04)               | 550 (59.46) |
| High school or greater  | 1507 (34.57)       | 1132 (32.96)               | 375 (40.54) |
| **House size (rooms)**  |                    |                            | 2.58   | 0.13  |
| Mean (SD)               | 5.76 (1.94)        | 5.85 (1.96)                | 5.44 (1.83) |
| **Gender**              |                    |                            | 2.20   | 0.16  |
| Male                    | 2123 (48.70)       | 1716 (49.97)               | 407 (44.00) |
| Female                  | 2236 (51.30)       | 1718 (50.03)               | 518 (56.00) |
| **Water source**        |                    |                            | 1.42   | 0.28  |
| Company                 | 3917 (89.86)       | 3098 (90.22)               | 819 (88.54) |
| Well                    | 413 (9.47)         | 313 (9.11)                 | 100 (10.81) |
| Other                   | 29 (0.67)          | 23 (0.67)                  | 6 (0.65) |
| **Lymphocyte number**   |                    |                            | 0.86   | 0.37  |
| Mean (SD)               | 2.26 (0.68)        | 2.26 (0.69)                | 2.24 (0.65) |

a Percentages in each row give the proportion of each variable group with a negative or positive IgG response to the 17kDA and 27kDA antigens relative to the column total. Statistics in bold show covariates where \( p < 0.15 \) from a Satterthwaite-adjusted \( F \) statistic via a Wald test with survey-adjusted degrees of freedom.

doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0004080.t001
Cryptosporidium seropositivity, we also included the total lymphocyte count in our multivariable models to adjust for immunosuppressed individuals being more susceptible to the parasite [31,44]. Additionally, although the effect of household socioeconomic status on Cryptosporidium seropositivity could depend on individual age and warrant age-stratified analyses, we found no support for an interaction between age and all three socioeconomic conditions (S2 Table, S1 Fig) and thus retained age as a separate fixed effect in all models.

Our survey-weighted models showed that household socioeconomic conditions were significant correlates of Cryptosporidium seropositivity after adjusting for individual age, race and ethnicity, country of birth, education, gender, immunocompetence, and household size and water treatment status (Table 2). We first found that household food inadequacy was associated with elevated odds of Cryptosporidium seropositivity ($F_{2,14} = 4.06, p = 0.04; \text{Fig 1A}$). After adjustment, the odds of Cryptosporidium seropositivity were 1.4 times higher for persons in households with some food inadequacy (OR = 1.4, $p = 0.04$) compared to those in food-adequate households. This trend appeared to be as strong for persons living in households with high food inadequacy, but this elevated odds of seropositivity compared to persons living in food-adequate households was not significant (OR = 1.31, $p = 0.65$). Likewise, we observed a negative trend between household annual income and seropositivity, although the overall association was not significant ($F_{2,14} = 3.22, p = 0.07; \text{Fig 1B}$). Compared to individuals in homes with an annual income of <$25,000 per annum, those in households with an income between $25,000 and $45,000 appeared to have lower risk, although this effect was not significant (OR = 0.78, $p = 0.21$). Yet relative to the lowest income bracket, individuals in households earning greater than $45,000 had 39% lower odds of Cryptosporidium seropositivity (OR = 0.61, $p = 0.03$). After adjustment for confounders, the PIR was the strongest household socioeconomic correlate of Cryptosporidium seropositivity and showed a non-linear relationship with seroprevalence ($F_{2,14} = 8.46, p < 0.01$). Relative to individuals in families living below the poverty threshold, those in households with an income one-to-three times above the poverty line had slightly elevated odds of seropositivity (OR = 1.26, $p = 0.13$), yet individuals in families with an income more than three times above the poverty line had a suggested 25% reduction in risk (OR = 0.75, $p = 0.14$); however, neither of these differences was statistically significant. When comparing individuals in families with an annual income one-to-three times above the poverty threshold to those in families earning greater than three times the poverty line, however, this increase in income was protective against Cryptosporidium seropositivity (OR = 0.60, $p < 0.001; \text{Fig 1C}$).

Our analyses also found individual demographics to be strong correlates of Cryptosporidium seropositivity. In our most conservative survey-weighted logistic model containing annual family income, we found that after adjustment for other covariates, risk of seropositivity increased by 6% per year increments in age (OR = 1.06, $p < 0.001$) and was at least 1.76 times higher for non-Hispanic blacks and Hispanics compared to non-Hispanic whites ($F_{3,14} = 11.89, p < 0.001; \text{Table 2}$). The odds of Cryptosporidium seropositivity were also at least 2.27 times higher for persons born outside the United States ($F_{2,14} = 16.25, p < 0.001; \text{Fig 1D}$). After adjusting for other covariates in this conservative model, we found no significant associations between Cryptosporidium seropositivity and household size, water treatment status, individual education, gender, or immunocompetence (Table 2).

Discussion

Our analysis of NHANES 1999–2000 sought to examine if and how social inequality, at both household and individual scales, influenced the odds of Cryptosporidium parvum seropositivity. In low- and middle-income countries, Cryptosporidium infection associates explicitly with
poverty and social marginalization [56,57]. However, regions of high socioeconomic status report greater risk in some high-income countries [15]. Such findings have perhaps prompted the claim that cryptosporidiosis is without significant links to social inequality in the United States and resulted in socioeconomic status being absent in discussions of risk factors for this infection [26–28]. Our results suggest that, contrary to these assertions, cryptosporidiosis risk

Table 2. Risk factors for Cryptosporidium parvum IgG seropositivity to the 17kDA and 27kDA antigens among persons aged 6–49 in the United States, NHANES 1999–2000.

| Risk factors and confounders | Crude |       | p<sup>b</sup> | Adjusted |       | p<sup>b</sup> |
|-----------------------------|-------|-------|-------------|----------|-------|-------------|
|                            | Odds ratio | 95% CI |            | Odds ratio | 95% CI |            |
| Food adequacy               |       |       |             |          |       |             |
| Enough                      | 0.07  |       |             |          |       |             |
| Some                        | 1.32  | 1.04–1.69 | 0.04 | 1.40  | 1.08–1.83 | 0.04 |
| Not enough                  | 1.48  | 0.74–2.95 | 0.28 | 1.31  | 0.67–2.59 | 0.65 |
| Annual family income        | 0.09  |       |             |          |       |             |
| Less than $25,000           |       |       |             |          |       |             |
| $25,000 to $45,000          | 0.79  | 0.53–1.22 | 0.32 | 0.78  | 0.54–1.14 | 0.21 |
| Greater than $45,000        | 0.83  | 0.49–0.95 | 0.04 | 0.61  | 0.41–0.90 | 0.03 |
| Poverty income ratio        | 0.01  |       |             |          |       |             |
| PIR < 1                     |       |       |             |          |       |             |
| PIR 1–3                     | 1.13  | 0.87–1.46 | 0.36 | 1.26  | 0.97–1.64 | 0.13 |
| PIR 3+                      | 0.72  | 0.48–1.08 | 0.13 | 0.75  | 0.51–1.10 | 0.14 |
| Age (years)                 | 1.05  | 1.04–1.06 | <0.001 | 1.06  | 1.05–1.07 | <0.001 |
| Race & ethnicity            |        |       |             |          |       |             |
| White                       |       |       |             |          |       |             |
| Black                       | 2.01  | 1.64–2.46 | <0.001 | 1.88  | 1.42–2.53 | <0.001 |
| Hispanic                    | 2.68  | 2.27–3.16 | <0.001 | 1.76  | 1.38–2.28 | <0.001 |
| Other                       | 2.43  | 1.39–4.24 | <0.01 | 2.13  | 1.10–4.07 | 0.04 |
| Country of birth            |        |       |             |          |       |             |
| United States               |       |       |             |          |       |             |
| Mexico                      | 4.97  | 3.76–6.57 | <0.001 | 2.96  | 1.99–4.25 | <0.001 |
| Other                       | 3.25  | 2.33–4.54 | <0.001 | 2.27  | 1.53–3.28 | <0.001 |
| Water treatment             | 0.04  |       |             |          |       |             |
| Yes                         |       |       |             |          |       |             |
| Yes                         | 1.31  | 1.03–1.66 | 0.04 | 1.19  | 0.97–1.45 | 0.13 |
| Male                        | 0.16  |       |             |          |       |             |
| Female                      | 1.20  | 0.94–1.52 | 0.16 | 1.22  | 0.94–1.59 | 0.17 |
| Education                   | 0.05  |       |             |          |       |             |
| Less than high school       |       |       |             |          |       |             |
| High school or greater      | 1.26  | 1.02–1.57 | 0.05 | 0.86  | 0.68–1.22 | 0.30 |
| Household size              | 0.94  | 0.87–1.01 | 0.13 | 1.02  | 0.98–1.06 | 0.49 |
| Lymphocyte count            | 0.94  | 0.82–1.07 | 0.37 | 1.01  | 0.91–1.17 | 0.85 |

<sup>a</sup>Adjusted odds ratios and confidence intervals are displayed from the multivariable survey-weighted logistic model including annual family income to have the most conservative estimates. Bold odds ratios and confidence intervals indicate significance at p ≤ 0.05 after adjusting for multiple comparisons and confounding.

<sup>b</sup>The p values presented for each covariate were derived from a Satterthwaite-adjusted F statistic via a Wald test and indicate an omnibus test for variable significance.

doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0004080.t002
in the United States is highest for individuals living in households with poor food adequacy; in families where income is low and close to the poverty threshold; and for older, non-white, and foreign-born persons.

First, we found food inadequacy was a strong household predictor of serological status, with persons in homes reporting some food inadequacy (enough to eat but not always of the foods desired) showing elevated odds of *Cryptosporidium* seropositivity. This result was robust to adjustment for household size, water treatment, age, race and ethnicity, education, gender, country of origin, and immunocompetence, yet after adjustment there was also no greater risk

![Graph showing seroprevalence of Cryptosporidium parvum](image-url)
of seropositivity in homes with poor food adequacy compared to food-adequate households. As the direction of the food inadequacy effect suggested dose response, however, our finding of no difference in risk could be driven by the small sample size of food-inadequate households. More broadly, the significant association between food adequacy and cryptosporidiosis could suggest several pathways through which social inequality influences Cryptosporidium infection. Food inadequacy could first function as a proxy for household socioeconomic status, as food scarcity is typically driven by a broader lack of financial resources [41,42]. An effect of household food inadequacy through this poverty pathway could suggest reduced access to educational or sanitation resources that allow individuals to avoid Cryptosporidium transmission pathways such as contaminated water or contact with livestock reservoir hosts. For example, low levels of education and access to the media were both associated with poor hand washing practices and hence greater parasite exposure risk in Kenya [58].

Our multivariable models containing either annual family income or the household PIR both are suggestive of this poverty pathway, as a high annual income and living far above the poverty threshold were both protective against Cryptosporidium seropositivity. Yet a significant overall association between household financial resources and seroprevalence was only observed for the PIR, and for both variables we did not find a significant dose-response relationship after adjustment. Specifically, our analysis showed no difference in the odds of Cryptosporidium seropositivity between individuals in households living below the poverty line and those in households at any degree above the poverty threshold. Instead, we only found a difference in the odds of seropositivity between individuals in households close to the poverty threshold (1–3 times) and those in households with income greater than three times above the poverty line. These mixed findings for a direct effect of poverty on cryptosporidiosis could therefore suggest more immediate links between household food inadequacy and risk. Food inadequacy could first increase susceptibility to parasite infection through reductions to host nutritional status and therefore immunocompetence [59,60]. Food inadequacy could also push households towards accessing food products from avenues where food safety and sanitation may not be regulated, such as at open street markets [61].

This latter exposure-based pathway between household food inadequacy and cryptosporidiosis risk seems particularly plausible, as small vegetable markets have been identified as a source of Cryptosporidium in low-income regions [62]. Additionally, as the lymphocyte-based measure of immunocompetence had little effect on predicting seropositivity in univariate analyses and was incorporated into all multivariable models, the observed association in our multivariable model between household food inadequacy and cryptosporidiosis could be driven more by food-borne exposure rather than health status. Because household water quality was also included in our multivariable model in the form of water treatment, this potential exposure-based effect of household food inadequacy on Cryptosporidium seropositivity could also be driven more by consumption of contaminated produce rather than contaminated water.

Along with this household socioeconomic correlate of Cryptosporidium seropositivity, our analysis also identified older individuals, those of non-white ethnicities and races, and individuals born outside the United States as at greater risk of cryptosporidiosis. Increased odds of seropositivity with age are to be expected, given that the risk of ever being infected by Cryptosporidium would accumulate over time. For the other individual risk factors identified, another analysis of NHANES similarly found non-white Hispanics and blacks to have higher seroprevalence to the Cryptosporidium antigen groups used here [29]. Our finding of higher seropositivity in immigrants across the United States is also consistent with smaller-scale findings that children living along the Texas–Mexico border have higher seroprevalence than non-border children and that immigrants from Mexico have higher cryptosporidiosis prevalence than American-born counterparts in Los Angeles [63,64].
These results again highlight the potential links between social marginalization and *Cryptosporidium* seropositivity, as non-white, immigrant populations are more prone to experience unemployment, live in economically poor neighborhoods, and have reduced access to resources [65,66]. Such groups may therefore be more likely to lack access to sanitation or educational resources for avoiding parasite exposure and be prone to live in physically impaired or remote environments where access to clean food is limited or where contact with domestic animals is frequent. For example, many low-income immigrants in the United States find their employment in agriculture [67,68], which likely amplifies exposure to *Cryptosporidium* oocysts through contact with soil and water contained with livestock excrement [69]. The recreational use of open natural water sources in such regions may also elevate the odds of parasite exposure. These results in turn suggest that public health interventions for cryptosporidiosis in the United States could focus on improving awareness of *Cryptosporidium* exposure routes in such marginalized and resource-poor groups. Further research could also monitor the potential for water and food contamination in regions where high-risk groups reside and test if structural aspects of the physical environment amplify *Cryptosporidium* seropositivity [21].

Future research on the social epidemiology of cryptosporidiosis in the United States could also utilize multilevel analyses to tease apart the relative contribution of individual and household socioeconomic determinants of seropositivity while accounting for potential neighborhood effects [70,71]. Specifically, we did not find clear evidence of an overall dose–response relationship between individual seropositivity to *Cryptosporidium* and household financial resources (annual income or PIR), despite suggestive trends. Although this could be due to a small sample size for select groups of the study population, the structuring of NHANES could also have limited identifying a strong income effect. Associated geographic data (e.g., zip codes, census block) for NHANES are supplied as restricted access, and thus we only included household and individual correlates in these analyses. Yet a stronger effect of income could manifest spatially at the neighborhood scale, where low income level could cluster food-inadequate households and the demographic groups found to be at risk in our multivariable models [72,73].

An additional needed area of work on the social epidemiology of cryptosporidiosis in the United States is the pursuit of longitudinal rather than cross-sectional approaches. Owing to the cross-sectional design of NHANES within the two-year study period tested for *Cryptosporidium* seropositivity, we were unable to distinguish between IgG-positive individuals with *Cryptosporidium* infection and those that had only been recently infected and recovered. Longitudinal sampling across a socioeconomic gradient could help tease apart in which cases seropositivity is due to current or recent infections and how this varies by poverty and social marginalization, particularly if investigators measure IgM antibodies alongside IgG antibodies or changes in titers over time [74]. This could be particularly useful to account the positive association between age and seropositivity observed in this study and other analyses of NHANES [29], as IgG titers can increase with age owing to repeated *Cryptosporidium* infections. Together such studies would allow researchers to assess new *Cryptosporidium* infections in relation to acute exposures and relate direct infection to impaired social and physical conditions.

Likewise, our analysis of NHANES was limited by serological testing with the 17kDA and 27kDA antigen groups, which can identify recent or current infection with *Cryptosporidium parvum* but cannot distinguish between genotype 1 and genotype 2 of the parasite (*C. hominis* and *C. parvum*, respectively; [6]. Rather, genotyping methods on human fecal samples could elucidate whether observed cryptosporidiosis or *Cryptosporidium* seropositivity is due to infection with the human-origin genotype 1 or zoonotic genotype 2 [7,75]. Differentiation of human versus animal sources of infection in combination with analyses of socioeconomic risk factors could further improve our understanding of how impaired physical and social conditions interact with *Cryptosporidium* transmission. For example, a location-based study in the
UK found that Cryptosporidium hominis cases were more frequent in urban areas of high socioeconomic status, whereas Cryptosporidium parvum cases (zoonotic genotype 2) were more common in rural areas where more oocysts were detected in agricultural soil, presumably from cattle [15].

Within the United States, genotyping methods could be particularly useful to elucidate how the individual- and household-scale correlates of poverty and social marginalization identified in our analyses interact with the abundance of livestock reservoir hosts and hence human infection with zoonotic Cryptosporidium parvum. Specifically, the density of livestock reservoir hosts such as cattle could be related to regional socioeconomic status [76,77], in turn driving greater exposure of marginalized groups to Cryptosporidium parvum genotype 2 in the United States. One analysis of sporadic cryptosporidiosis cases in Scotland accordingly found Cryptosporidium prevalence to be highest in rural regions with high livestock density [78], suggesting a neighborhood poverty influence on seropositivity. Hence ecological and multilevel analyses could test for an interactive influence of livestock density and social marginalization variables identified here (e.g., food adequacy, immigration status, race and ethnicity) on cryptosporidiosis while accounting for neighborhood income.

Our analyses here demonstrate clear associations between social marginalization, poverty, and cryptosporidiosis in the United States, thereby carrying important implications for targeted public health interventions for this infection in resource-poor groups. Alongside direct effects of Cryptosporidium infection on mortality in immunocompromised individuals, morbidity from cryptosporidiosis can range from subtle to severe effects quality of life that can impose serious restrictions on economic wellbeing [3,4]. As cryptosporidiosis is estimated to occur in 750000 persons across the United States annually, these effects can scale up to over $100 million per year in healthcare costs and losses to productivity [2,5]. Therefore, understanding interactions between socioeconomic and environmental conditions in combination with longitudinal and genotyping approaches will be key to guiding prevention and intervention strategies to cryptosporidiosis within the United States. Analyses in this spirit will more broadly help address the complex relationships between ecological factors, social inequality, and infectious disease risk [79,80].

**Supporting Information**

S1 Checklist. STROBE Checklist.
(DOC)

S1 Table. Association between household socioeconomic variables.
(DOCX)

S2 Table. Interactions between age and household socioeconomic status.
(DOCX)

S1 Fig. Visualization of age-stratifying the relationship between household socioeconomic variables and age definitions.
(DOCX)

**Acknowledgments**

We thank members of the UGA Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics and three anonymous reviewers for thoughtful feedback on prior versions of this manuscript.
Author Contributions
Conceived and designed the experiments: DJB JO AEE. Performed the experiments: DJB. Analyzed the data: DJB. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: DJB JO AEE. Wrote the paper: DJB JO AEE.

References
1. Dillingham RA, Lima AA, Guerrant RL. Cryptosporidiosis: epidemiology and impact. Microbes Infect. 2002; 4: 1059–1066. doi: 10.1016/S1286-4579(02)01630-1 PMID: 12191656
2. Scallan E, Hoekstra RM, Angulo FJ, Tauxe RV, Widdowson M-A, Roy SL, et al. Foodborne Illness Acquired in the United States—Major Pathogens. Emerg Infect Dis. 2011; 17: 7–15. doi: 10.3201/ eid1701.091101 PMID: 21192848
3. Corso PS, Kramer MH, Blair KA, Addiss DG, Davis JP, Haddix AC. Costs of Illness in the 1993 Waterborne Cryptosporidium Outbreak, Milwaukee, Wisconsin. Emerg Infect Dis. 2003; 9: 426–431. doi: 10.3201/eid0904.020417 PMID: 12702221
4. MacKenzie WR, Hoxie NJ, Proctor ME, Gradus MS, Blair KA, Peterson DE, et al. A massive outbreak in Milwaukee of cryptosporidium infection transmitted through the public water supply. N Engl J Med. 1994; 331: 161–167. doi: 10.1056/NEJM199407213310304 PMID: 7818640
5. Scharff RL. Economic Burden from Health Losses Due to Foodborne Illness in the United States. J Food Prot. 2012; 75: 123–131. doi: 10.4315/0362-028X.JFP-11-058 PMID: 22221364
6. Leav BA, Mackay M, Ward HD, Acheson D. Cryptosporidium Species: New Insights and Old Challenges. Clin Infect Dis. 2003; 36: 903–908. doi: 10.1086/368194 PMID: 12652391
7. Widmer G, Lin L, Kapur V, Feng X, Abrahamsen MS. Genomics and genetics of Cryptosporidium parvum: the key to understanding cryptosporidiosis. Microbes Infect Inst Pasteur. 2002; 4: 1081–1090.
8. Ramirez NE, Ward LA, Sreevatsan S. A review of the biology and epidemiology of cryptosporidiosis in humans and Microbes. Infect. 2004; 6: 777–785. doi: 10.1016/j.micinf.2004.02.021 PMID: 15207825
9. Silikof TR, Smith HV, Rose JB. Emerging parasite zoonoses associated with water and food. Int J Parasitol. 2000; 30: 1379–1393. doi: 10.1016/S0010-4261(99)00136-0 PMID: 11113263
10. Morgan-Ryan UM, Fall A, Ward LA, Hijjawi N, Sulaiman I, Fayer R, et al. Cryptosporidium hominis n. sp. (Apicomplexa: Cryptosporidiidae) from Homo sapiens. J Eukaryot Microbiol. 2001; 49: 433–440.
11. Graczyk TK, Fayer R, Cranfield MR. Zoonotic transmission of Cryptosporidium parvum: Implications for water-borne cryptosporidiosis. Parasitol Today. 1997; 13: 348–351. doi: 10.1016/S0169-4758(97)01076-4 PMID: 15275048
12. McLauchlin J, Amar C, Pedraza-Diaz S, Nichols GL. Molecular Epidemiological Analysis of Cryptosporidium spp. in the United Kingdom: Results of GenotypingCryptosporidium spp. in 1,705 Fecal Samples from Humans and 105 Fecal Samples from Livestock Animals. J Clin Microbiol. 2000; 38: 3984–3990. PMID: 11060056
13. Peng MM, Xiao L, Freeman AR, Arrowood MJ, Escalante AA, Weltman AC, et al. Genetic polymorphism among Cryptosporidium parvum isolates: evidence of two distinct human transmission cycles. Emerg Infect Dis. 1997; 3: 567–573. PMID: 9366611
14. Thompson RCA, Palmer CS, O’Handley R. The public health and clinical significance of Giardia and Cryptosporidium in domestic animals. Vet J. 2008; 177: 18–25. doi: 10.1016/j.tvjl.2007.09.022 PMID: 18032076
15. Lake IR, Harrison FCD, Chalmers RM, Bentham G, Nichols G, Hunter PR, et al. Case-control study of environmental and social factors influencing cryptosporidiosis. Eur J Epidemiol. 2007; 22: 805–811. doi: 10.1007/s10654-007-9179-1 PMID: 17891460
16. Blackburn BG, Mazurek JM, Hlavsa M, Park J, Tillapaw M, Parrish M, et al. Cryptosporidiosis Associated with Ozonated Apple Cider. Emerg Infect Dis. 2006; 12: 684–686. doi: 10.3201/eid1204.050796 PMID: 16704822
17. Glaiberman S, Moore JE, Lowery CJ, Chalmers RM, Sulaiman I, Elwin K, et al. Three Drinking-Water–Associated Cryptosporidiosis Outbreaks, Northern Ireland. Emerg Infect Dis. 2006; 12: 684–686. doi: 10.3201/eid1204.050796 PMID: 16704822
18. Hunter PR, Hughes S, Woodhouse S, Syed Q, Verlander NQ, Chalmers RM, et al. Sporadic Cryptosporidiosis Case-Control Study with Genotyping. Emerg Infect Dis. 2004; 10: 1241–1249. doi: 10.3201/ eid1007.030982 PMID: 15324544
19. Kiang KM, ScheffTEL JM, Leano FT, Taylor CM, Belle-Isle PA, Cebelinski EA, et al. Recurrent outbreaks of cryptosporidiosis associated with calves among students at an educational farm programme,
20. Savioli L, Smith H, Thompson A. Giardia and Cryptosporidium join the “Neglected Diseases Initiative.” Trends Parasitol. 2006; 22: 203–208. doi: 10.1016/j.pt.2006.02.015 PMID: 16545611

21. Chacín-Bonilla L, Barrios F, Sanchez Y. Environmental risk factors for Cryptosporidium infection in an island from Western Venezuela. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz. 2008; 103: 45–49. doi: 10.1590/S0074-02762008000500007 PMID: 18345459

22. Newman RD, Wuhib T, Lima AA, Guerrant RL, Sears CL. Environmental sources of Cryptosporidium in an urban slum in northeastern Brazil. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 1993; 49: 270–275. PMID: 8357090

23. Tarlov A. Social determinants of health: the sociobiological translation. Health Soc Organ Lond Routledge. 1996; 71–93.

24. Kimani VN, Mitoko G, McDermott B, Grace D, Ambia J, Kiragu MW, et al. Social and gender determinants of risk of cryptosporidiosis, an emerging zoonosis, in Dagoretti, Nairobi, Kenya. Trop Anim Health Prod. 2012; 44: 17–23. doi: 10.1007/s11250-012-0203-4 PMID: 22865349

25. Kawanaka I, Kennedy BP. Income inequality and health: pathways and mechanisms. Health Serv Res. 1999; 34: 215. PMID: 10199670

26. Hotez PJ. Neglected Infections of Poverty in the United States of America. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2008; 2: e256. doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0000256 PMID: 18575621

27. Hotez PJ. Neglected Parasitic Infections and Poverty in the United States. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2014; 8: e3012. doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0003012 PMID: 25188455

28. Yoder JS, Beach MJ. Cryptosporidium surveillance and risk factors in the United States. Exp Parasitol. 2010; 124: 31–39. doi: 10.1016/j.exppara.2009.09.020 PMID: 19786022

29. Frost FJ, Muller TB, Calderon RL, Craun GF. Analysis of serological responses to cryptosporidium antigen among NHANES III participants. Ann Epidemiol. 2004; 14: 473–478. doi: 10.1016/j.annepidem.2003.06.002 PMID: 15310525

30. Peeters JE, Villacorta I, Vanopdenbosch E, Vanderghynst D, Naciri M, Ares-Mazás E, et al. Cryptosporidium parvum in calves: kinetics and immunoblot analysis of specific serum and local antibody responses (immunoglobulin A [IgA], IgG, and IgM) after natural and experimental infections. Infect Immun. 1992; 60: 2309–2316. PMID: 1587597

31. Petry F, Jakobi V, Tseessa TS. Host immune response to Cryptosporidium parvum infection. Exp Parasitol. 2010; 126: 304–309. doi: 10.1016/j.exppara.2010.05.022 PMID: 20685209

32. Priest JW, Kwon JP, Moss DM, Roberts JM, Arrowood MJ, Dworkin MS, et al. Detection by Enzyme Immunoassay of Serum Immunoglobulin G Antibodies That Recognize Specific Cryptosporidium parvum Antigens. J Clin Microbiol. 1999; 37: 1385–1392. PMID: 10203492

33. Priest JW, Kwon JP, Arrowood MJ, Lammie PJ. Cloning of the immunodominant 17-kDa antigen from Cryptosporidium parvum. Mol Biochem Parasitol. 2000; 106: 261–271. PMID: 10699255

34. Moss DM, Chappell CL, Okhuysen PC, DuPont HL, Arrowood MJ, Hightower AW, et al. The antibody response to 27-, 17-, and 15-kDa Cryptosporidium antigens following experimental infection in humans. J Infect Dis. 1998; 178: 827–833. PMID: 9726553

35. Muller TB, Frost FJ, Craun GF, Calderon RL. Serological responses to Cryptosporidium infection. Infect Immun. 2001; 69: 1974–1975. PMID: 11253773

36. Priest JW, Li A, Khan M, Arrowood MJ, Lammie PJ, Ong CS, et al. Enzyme immunoassay detection of antigen-specific immunoglobulin G antibodies in longitudinal serum samples from patients with cryptosporidiosis. Clin Diagn Lab Immunol. 2001; 8: 415–423. PMID: 11238231

37. Reperant J-M, Naciri M, Chardes T, Bout DT. Immunological characterization of a 17-kDa antigen from Cryptosporidium parvum recognized early by mucosal IgA antibodies. FEMS Microbiol Lett. 1992; 97: 7–14.

38. McDonald AC, Kenzie WRM, Addiss DG, Gradus MS, Linke G, Zembrowski E, et al. Cryptosporidium parvum–Specific Antibody Responses among Children Residing in Milwaukee during the 1993 Waterborne Outbreak. J Infect Dis. 2001; 183: 1373–1379. doi: 10.1086/319862 PMID: 11294669

39. Frost FJ, Muller T, Craun GF, Fraser D, Thompson D, Notenboom R, et al. Serological analysis of a cryptosporidiosis epidemic. Int J Epidemiol. 2000; 29: 376–379. doi: 10.1093/ije/29.2.376 PMID: 10817139

40. Ong CS, Li AS, Priest JW, Copes R, Khan M, Fyfe MW, et al. Enzyme Immunoassay of Cryptosporidium-Specific Immunoglobulin G Antibodies to Assess Longitudinal Infection Trends in Six Communities in British Columbia, Canada. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2005; 73: 288–295. PMID: 16103592
41. Hatley A, Hallund J, Diarra MM, Oshaug A. Food variety, socioeconomic status and nutritional status in urban and rural areas in Koutiala (Mali). Public Health Nutr. 2000; 3: 57–65. doi: 10.1017/S13689462000000628 PMID: 10786724

42. Seligman HK, Bindman AB, Vittinghoff E, Kanaya AM, Kushel MB. Food Insecurity is Associated with Diabetes Mellitus: Results from the National Health Examination and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) 1999–2002. J Gen Intern Med. 2007; 22: 1018–1023. doi: 10.1007/s11606-007-0192-6 PMID: 17436030

43. Hunter PR, Nichols G. Epidemiology and Clinical Features of Cryptosporidium Infection in Immunocompromised Patients. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2002; 15: 145–154. doi: 10.1128/CMR.15.1.145-154.2002 PMID: 11781272

44. Chen W, Harp JA, Harmsen AG. Requirements for CD4+ cells and gamma interferon in resolution of established Cryptosporidium parvum infection in mice. Infect Immun. 1993; 61: 3928–3932. PMID: 8103040

45. Lumley T. Analysis of complex survey samples. J Stat Softw. 2004; 9: 1–19.

46. R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing [Internet]. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria.; 2013. Available: http://www.R-project.org

47. Johnson CL, Paulose-Ram R, Ogden CL, Carroll MD, Kruszon-Moran D, Dohrman SM, et al. National health and nutrition examination survey: analytic guidelines, 1999–2010. Vital Health Stat 2. 2013; 1–24.

48. Horvitz DG, Thompson DJ. A generalization of sampling without replacement from a finite universe. J Am Stat Assoc. 1952; 47: 663–685.

49. Lumley T. Complex surveys: a guide to analysis using R. John Wiley & Sons; 2011.

50. Korn EL, Graubard BI. Analysis of Large Health Surveys: Accounting for the Sampling Design. J R Stat Soc Ser A Stat Soc. 1995; 158: 263–295.

51. Koch GG, Freeman DH Jr, Freeman JL. Strategies in the multivariate analysis of data from complex surveys. Int Stat Rev Int Stat. 1975; 59–78.

52. Thomas DR, Rao JNK. Small-sample comparisons of level and power for simple goodness-of-fit statistics under cluster sampling. J Am Stat Assoc. 1987; 82: 630–636.

53. Korn EL, Graubard BI. Analysis of health surveys. John Wiley & Sons; 2011.

54. Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. J R Stat Soc Ser B Methodol. 1995; 57: 326–331. PMID: 18419494

55. Bretz F, Hothorn T, Westfall P. Multiple comparisons using R. CRC Press; 2010.

56. Leach CT, Koo FC, Kuhls TL, Hilsenbeck SG, Jenson HB. Prevalence of Cryptosporidium parvum infection in children along the Texas-Mexico border and associated risk factors. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2000; 62: 656–661. PMID: 11289680

57. Sorvillo FJ, Lieb LE, Kerns PR, Ash LR. Epidemiology of cryptosporidiosis among persons with acquired immunodeficiency syndrome in Los Angeles County. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 1994; 51: 326–331. PMID: 7943552
65. De Jong GF, Madamba AB. A Double Disadvantage? Minority Group, Immigrant Status, and Underemployment in the United States. Soc Sci Q. 2001; 82: 117–130. doi:10.1111/0038-4941.00011

66. Williams DR, Collins C. Racial residential segregation: a fundamental cause of racial disparities in health. Public Health Rep. 2001; 116: 404–416. PMID: 12042604

67. Farmer FL, Slesinger DP. Health status and needs of migrant farm workers in the United States: a literature review. J Rural Health. 1992; 8: 227–234. PMID: 10121552

68. Villarejo D. The Health of U.S. Hired Farm Workers. Annu Rev Public Health. 2003; 24: 175–193. doi:10.1146/annurev.publhealth.24.100901.140901 PMID: 12359914

69. Olson ME, Goh J, Phillips M, Guselle N, McAllister TA. Giardia Cyst and Cryptosporidium Oocyst Survival in Water, Soil, and Cattle Feces. J Environ Qual. 1999; 28: 191. doi:10.2134/jeq1999.0047245002800060040x

70. Diez-Roux AV. Bringing context back into epidemiology: variables and fallacies in multilevel analysis. Am J Public Health. 1998; 88: 216–222. doi: 10.2105/AJPH.88.2.216 PMID: 9491010

71. Merlo J, Chaix B, Yang M, Lynch J, Råstam L. A brief conceptual tutorial of multilevel analysis in social epidemiology: linking the statistical concept of clustering to the idea of contextual phenomenon. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2005; 59: 443–449. doi: 10.1136/jech.2004.023473 PMID: 15911637

72. Lochner KA, Kawachi I, Brennan RT, Buka SL. Social capital and neighborhood mortality rates in Chicago. Soc Sci Med. 2003; 56: 1787–1805. doi: 10.1016/S0277-9536(02)00177-6 PMID: 12839596

73. O’Campo P. Invited Commentary: Advancing Theory and Methods for Multilevel Models of Residential Neighborhoods and Health. Am J Epidemiol. 2003; 157: 9–13. doi: 10.1093/aje/kwf171 PMID: 12505885

74. Priest JW, Bern C, Roberts JM, Kwon JP, Lescano AG, Checkley W, et al. Changes in Serum Immunoglobulin G Levels as a Marker for Cryptosporidium sp. Infection in Peruvian Children. J Clin Microbiol. 2005; 43: 5298–5300. doi:10.1128/JCM.43.10.5298-5300.2005 PMID: 16208902

75. Xia L, Bern C, Limor J, Sulaiman I, Roberts J, Checkley W, et al. Identification of 5 Types of Cryptosporidium Parasites in Children in Lima, Peru. J Infect Dis. 2001; 183: 492–497. doi:10.1086/318090 PMID: 11133382

76. Kristiansen P, Krishna A, Radeny M, Kuan J, Quilca G, Sanchez-Urrelo A, et al. Poverty dynamics and the role of livestock in the Peruvian Andes. Agric Syst. 2007; 94: 294–308. doi: 10.1016/j.agsy.2006.09.009

77. Robinson TP, Wint GRW, Conchedda G, Van Boeckel TP, Ercoli V, Palamara E, et al. Mapping the Global Distribution of Livestock. PLoS ONE. 2014; 9: e96084. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0096084 PMID: 24875496

78. Pollock KGJ, Tement HE, Mellor DJ, Chalmers RM, Smith HV, Ramsay CN, et al. Spatial and Temporal Epidemiology of Sporadic Human Cryptosporidiosis in Scotland. Zoonoses Public Health. 2010; 57: 487–492. doi:10.1111/j.1863-2378.2009.01247.x PMID: 19683164

79. Farmer P. Social inequalities and emerging infectious diseases. Emerg Infect Dis. 1996; 2: 259. PMID: 8969243

80. Janes CR, Corbett KK, Jones JH, Trostle J. Emerging infectious diseases: the role of social sciences. The Lancet. 2012; 380: 1884–1886. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(12)61725-5