Upregulation of programmed cell death ligand 1 promotes resistance response in non-small-cell lung cancer patients treated with neo-adjuvant chemotherapy

Panpan Zhang,1,5 Yuanyuan Ma,1,5 Chao Lv,1 Miao Huang,1 Mingzhen Li,2 Bin Dong,3 Xijuan Liu,2 Guo An,4 Wenlong Zhang,4 Jianzhi Zhang,1 Liyi Zhang,1 Shanyuan Zhang1 and Yue Yang1

1Department of Thoracic Surgery II, Key Laboratory of Carcinogenesis and Translational Research (Ministry of Education/Beijing), Peking University Cancer Hospital and Institute, Beijing; 2Department of Physical and Chemical Analysis, Peking University; 3Central Laboratory; 4Department of Laboratory Animals, Key Laboratory of Carcinogenesis and Translational Research (Ministry of Education/Beijing), Peking University Cancer Hospital and Institute, Beijing, China

Key words
Chemoresistance, neo-adjuvant therapy, non-small-cell lung cancer, PD-L1, tumor infiltrating lymphocyte

Correspondence
Yue Yang, Department of Thoracic Surgery II, Peking University Cancer Hospital and Institute, 52 Fucheng Road, Haidian District, Beijing 100142, China. Tel./Fax: +86-10-8819-6736, E-mail: zlyangyue@bjmu.edu.cn

Funding Information
Peking University (PKU) 985 Special Fund for Collaborative Research with PKU Hospitals, the Beijing Municipal Administration of Hospitals’ Clinical Medicine Development Special Fund, the Capital Health Research and Development Special Fund, the National High Technology Research and Development Program of China.

These authors contributed equally to this work.

Received May 27, 2016; Revised August 24, 2016; Accepted August 28, 2016

Cancer Sci 107 (2016) 1563–1571
doi: 10.1111/cas.13072

To assess the association of the programmed cell death ligand 1 (PD-L1) with cisplatin-based neo-adjuvant chemotherapy (NAC) response, we investigated the level of PD-L1 and found increased PD-L1 expression in chemo-resistant tumors compared with chemo-sensitive tumors according to RNA-Seq analysis. In a cohort of 92 patients with NAC, the positive staining of PD-L1 was correlated with TNM stage, lower sensitive-response rates and shorter overall survival rates. In another 30 paired tumor specimens pre- and post-chemotherapy, the patients with high PD-L1 expression post-chemotherapy had a worse outcome and higher stable disease rate. CDB+ tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes were found to be related to chemosensitive response and better prognosis and negative PD-L1 expression. Furthermore, in two patient-derived xenograft models and cell lines A549 and PC-9, cisplatin upregulated PD-L1 expression, and the enhancement of PD-L1 in cancer cell lines was in a drug dose-dependent manner. Moreover, the depletion of PD-L1 significantly reduced cisplatin resistance. When phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/protein kinase B signaling was inhibited by corresponding inhibitors, PD-L1 expression was downregulated and apoptosis was upregulated in the cisplatin-treated cancer cells. These results suggest that the upregulation of PD-L1 promotes a resistance response in lung cancer cells that might be through activation of the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/protein kinase B pathway and suppression of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes. The high expression of PD-L1 after NAC could be an indication of therapeutic resistance and poor prognosis in patients with non-small-cell lung cancer.

Lung cancer is the most common cause of death from malignant cancer worldwide.1) Surgical resection is the primary and effective option for patients with NSCLC, which accounts for 85% of all lung cancers, but only 20–25% of patients are eligible for surgery.2) Neo-adjuvant chemotherapy benefits NSCLC patients, particularly those with advanced cancer, for the chance to obtain surgical resection and better prognosis. Despite a consistent rate of initial responses, the chemotherapy treatment often results in the development of chemoresistance, leading to therapeutic failure. Recently, the immune responses after chemotherapy that could indicate treatment response and prognosis for cancer patients were widely studied in melanoma and lung cancer.3)

Investigating the potential molecular mechanisms for monitoring host response to cancer cells has led to the identification of oncogenic drivers in tumor cells and checkpoint molecules involved in the anticancer immune response.4–7) Programmed cell death ligand 1, a 40-kDa transmembrane protein, is the major ligand for PD-1, a cell surface protein in the B7 family that is involved in the modulation of immune response through the inhibition of T-cell function.8) The PD-L1 protein is highly expressed in various solid tumors, such as melanoma, head and neck, esophageal, ovary, breast, and lung cancers to trigger immune evasion.9) Recent studies have shown that the induction of PD-L1 was also associated with drug resistance and an intrinsic proliferative advantage in myeloma cells or multiple myeloma.10) Increasing evidence also demonstrated that PD-L1 overexpression was found to predict a worse prognosis of patients with esophageal, gastric, renal, and lung carcinomas.11–14) In addition, the existence of TILs in the cancerous microenvironment may indicate immune-mediated host defense against the tumor. Several studies reported that strong TILs like CD8+ cytotoxic T cells were related to better prognosis of NSCLC.15,16)

Although some reports showed that conventional chemotherapeutics can induce the expression of PD-L117) and TILs may...
increase chemotherapy-induced cell death.\textsuperscript{(18)} Little is known about the role of PD-L1 and TILs in the chemotherapy response and prognosis for NSCLC patients who received NAC treatment.

The effective prediction of chemotherapeutic response and understanding the resistance mechanism are important for monitoring disease progression and improving the prognosis of lung cancer patients.\textsuperscript{(19,20)} Herein, we focused on assessing the predictive value of PD-L1 expression in NSCLC patients with NAC and identifying the possible mechanism for the chemoresistance of lung cancer cells. We found that PD-L1 levels were increased in clinical samples from NSCLC patients with chemoresistance using RNA-Seq analysis and IHC staining. High level of PD-L1 or low TILs alone after NAC was significantly correlated with poor chemotherapeutic outcome and prognosis. It was found that PD-L1 was negatively related to TILs in these NSCLC samples. Further investigations indicated that PD-L1 expression was stimulated by cisplatin treatment in an NSCLC PDX model and cancer cell lines. The depletion of PD-L1 could significantly inhibit resistance in cisplatin-treated lung cancer cells. The upregulation of PD-L1 might be through the activation of PI3K/AKT signaling and/or increase of TILs. Therefore, PD-L1 could be a promising indicator for chemotherapeutic resistance and prognosis in patients with NSCLC.

Materials and Methods

Cell lines and cell culture. Human NSCLC cell lines A549 and PC-9 were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with 10% FBS, 100 U/mL penicillin, and 100 g/mL streptomycin (Invitrogen, Grand Island, NY, USA) in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO\textsubscript{2} at 37°C. The PI3K inhibitor LY294002 and AKT inhibitor AT13148 were purchased from Selleck Chemical (Houston, TX, USA). The A549 and PC-9 cisplatin-resistant sublines A549/CIS and PC-9/CIS were established according to a previous study.\textsuperscript{(22)} The cisplatin-resistant sublines were developed by 12 months of exposure to cisplatin, and the clinical outcomes of all the cases were considered negative and positive staining, respectively. Scoring was reviewed in parallel by two experienced pathologists who were blinded to all clinical data.

Flow cytometry and apoptosis analyses. Expression of PD-L1 was assessed by flow cytometric analyses of anti-PD-L1–phycoerythrin (eBioscience/Affymetrix, San Diego, CA, USA). Cells were incubated with anti-PD-L1–phycoerythrin for 50 min before FACS analysis.

Immunohistochemical staining for PD-L1 and CD8. Formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded primary lung cancer samples were acquired from the Department of Pathology, Peking University, under approval from the Ethics Committee. The PDX model tumor tissues and primary lung cancer samples were stained using rabbit anti-PD-L1 (1:500 dilution; Abcam, Cambridge, UK) and the tissues from the 92 cases after NAC treatment were added with rabbit anti-CD8 (1:200 dilution; Abcam, Cambridge, UK), followed by incubation with HRP-conjugated goat anti-rabbit secondary antibody (Sigma-Aldrich, Poole, UK).

Expression of PD-L1 and CD8. Formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded primary lung cancer samples were acquired from the Department of Pathology, Peking University, under approval from the Ethics Committee. The PDX model tumor tissues and primary lung cancer samples were stained using rabbit anti-PD-L1 (1:500 dilution; Abcam, Cambridge, UK) and the tissues from the 92 cases after NAC treatment were added with rabbit anti-CD8 (1:200 dilution; Abcam, Cambridge, UK), followed by incubation with HRP-conjugated goat anti-rabbit secondary antibody (Sigma-Aldrich, Poole, UK).

Evaluation of IHC variables. The score used for all subsequent analyses was the average across the available scores. Staining was graded based on the intensity of staining (1, weak; 2, moderate; and 3, strong) and the percentage of cells stained (0, <5%; 1, 5–25%; 2, 26–50%; and 3, >50%) based on the method by Allred et al.\textsuperscript{(23)} When combining these two parameters, 0–1 and >1 were considered negative and positive staining, respectively.

Reverse transcription and quantitative real-time PCR. RNA was extracted using TRizol following the manufacturer’s protocol. Two micrograms of total RNA was added and reverse transcribed with Moloney murine leukemia virus (Invitrogen, Grand Island, NY, USA). Polymerase chain reactions were carried out using a LightCycler 480 SYBR Green 1 Master on a LightCycler 480 Real-Time PCR System (Roche, Mannheim, Germany). Cycling conditions were 5 min at 95°C, followed by 45 cycles each consisting of 10 s at 95°C, 20 s at 60°C, and 30 s at 72°C. The relative amount of genes was normalized to GADPH. Fold change was calculated by the 2\textsuperscript{–ΔΔCt} method. Cell transfection. The PD-L1 shRNA was constructed by GenePharma (Suzhou GenePharma, Suzhou, China) and transfected into PC-9 cells. The sequence for shPD-L1 was 5\textsuperscript{′}−GGAGAATGATGGATGTGAA-3\textsuperscript{′}. Cells were allowed to grow for 2 days before drug treatments.

Western blot analysis. Proteins were extracted from cells using RIPA buffer containing complete protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche, Mannheim, Germany). Proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred to PVDF membranes. Membranes were blocked with 5% non-fat dried milk in TBST followed by Western blot analysis with the following specific antibodies: rabbit monoclonal anti-human PD-L1 antibody (1:500 dilution; Abcam, Cambridge, UK); rabbit monoclonal anti-human phosphate-AKT, AKT, GAPDH (1:5000 dilution; Cell Signaling Technology, Houston, TX, USA), and goat anti-rabbit secondary antibody (1:2000). Signals were visualized using chemiluminescence (Millipore, Boston, MA, USA).

Patient-derived xenograft mice (PDX model) and drug treatment. NOD/SCID mice were injected with tumor tissues from patients after surgery at Beijing Cancer Hospital by s.c. flap incisions. PDX1 and PDX2 were passaged as tumor tissue volume reached approximately 100 mm\textsuperscript{3}. After validation of the successful generation of the PDX lung cancer model, we...
injected equal amounts of PDX tissues into NOD/SCID mice. When the tumors reached approximately 100 mm³ in volume, mice were divided into different groups and treated with PBS or cisplatin (5 mg/kg/week) by i.p. injection. After 4 weeks, the mice were killed and tumor tissues were excised. The dissected tumors were collected and prepared for subsequent analyses. All animal experiments were approved by the animal center of the Beijing Cancer Hospital.

**Statistical analysis.** The IHC expression and clinicopathological data were summarized using standard frequency tabulations. Associations between the markers’ expressions and patients’ clinical variables were assessed using the χ²-test and Fisher’s exact test. Survival rates were estimated using the Kaplan–Meier method. The prognostic value of PD-L1 was studied using a Cox model, which was adjusted for significant and available prognostic factors of survival. All statistical analyses were undertaken using srs 17.0 statistical software (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). Data are presented as the means ± SEM. All experiments, comprising three replicates, were performed at least twice independently. P < 0.05 was considered significant difference.

**Results**

**Programmed cell death ligand 1 induced in NSCLC patients with chemoresistance.** To explore potential immune checkpoint genes’ functioning in the course of chemotherapy, we carried out RNA-Seq analysis on the primary tumor tissues from NSCLC with NAC including one group with four chemoresistant patients and another group with four chemosensitive cases. Interestingly, PD-L1 was increased 6.88-fold in tumor tissues from chemoresistant patients compared to chemosensitive patients (Fig. 1a).

**Variation in PD-L1 before and after NAC for NSCLC tissues.** We further studied the changes in PD-L1 expression pre- and post-NAC, and the corresponding chemotherapy response and prognosis of NSCLC patients. We assessed PD-L1 expression in 30 patients with matched biopsy tissues and surgical samples. The correlation between PD-L1 and the clinicopathological characteristics of this cohort is summarized in Table S3. The evaluation of tumor response to NAC revealed that 12 patients had achieved PR and 18 had achieved SD. According to the expression changes of PD-L1 before and after treatment, we divided those patients into two groups: group 1, low/high expression before treatment to high expression after treatment (n = 17); and group 2, low/high expression before treatment to low expression after treatment (n = 13). The SD rates of these two groups were 76.4% and 38.4%, respectively. The difference was statistically significant (P = 0.0072; Fig. 1d, right panel). Finally, we evaluated the prognostic value of PD-L1 status. As shown in Figures 1(f,h), right panel, the low/high expression status was associated with inferior OS and DFS compared with the other group, in which the change in PD-L1 status was the reverse (P = 0.021), but was not associated with DFS (P = 0.069). The univariate analysis showed that PD-L1 status, TNM stage, and NAC response indicated a higher risk for disease relapse. However, in multivariate analyses, PD-L1 status and TNM stage were independent prognostic markers for NSCLC (Table S4). Our results revealed that the expression of PD-L1 was not consistent for NSCLC patients before and after NAC. The upregulated and constantly high expression of PD-L1 had predictive value for the resistance response of NAC.

**Correlation between PD-L1 and CD8+ TILs in NSCLC tissues.** As TILs such as the major cytotoxic T cells have been identified to influence outcome and chemotherapy response of patients with cancer, we further explored the relationship between TILs in the cancerous microenvironment and PD-L1 expression in NSCLC tissues after NAC treatment. We used CD8 marker to stain the cytotoxic T cells in 92 NSCLC tissues after NAC and found a higher rate of CD8+ lymphocytes in PR patients (CD8+, 66%; CD8–, 28.2%) compared to SD patients (CD8+, 34%; CD8–, 71.8%), with statistically significantly difference (Fig. 2a,b; P < 0.0001). We also obtained results that PD-L1 was negatively associated with CD8+ TILs in these NSCLC specimens (Fig. 2c; P < 0.0001).

Next, we analyzed how TIL status influenced prognosis for these NSCLC patients. Consistent with previous reports, CD8+ TILs were associated with longer DFS and OS in NSCLC patients who received surgical treatment (Fig. 2d,e).

**Cisplatin treatment upregulated PD-L1 expression in PDX models and NSCLC cell lines.** Encouraged by the results mentioned above, we started to investigate the underlying mechanisms. As our standard NAC for lung cancer patients involves cisplatin, we checked the expression level of PD-L1 under cisplatin treatment in vivo and in vitro. We grafted two human NSCLC tissues in NOD/SCID mice and evidenced PD-L1 expression in s.c. tumors using FACS and IHC. In both PDX1 and PDX2 systems, we observed that cisplatin treatment inhibited tumor growth (Fig. 3a,b), which confirmed the effect of cisplatin. Cisplatin treatment upregulated PD-L1 expression in
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| Patient | Age (years) | TNM stage | RECIST | RPKM     | Fold change (SD/PR) |
|---------|-------------|-----------|---------|----------|---------------------|
| 1       | 42          | T2N0M0    | SD      | 5.24178  |                     |
| 2       | 40          | T3N0M0    | SD      | 32.0248  |                     |
| 3       | 54          | T3N0M0    | SD      | 7.1627   |                     |
| 4       | 73          | T4N0M0    | SD      | 5.01273  | 6.88                |
| 5       | 62          | T2N0M0    | PR      | 2.96419  |                     |
| 6       | 58          | T2N0M0    | PR      | 1.41812  |                     |
| 7       | 56          | T1N0M0    | PR      | 2.12106  |                     |
| 8       | 49          | T2N0M0    | PR      | 0.678245 |                     |

**Objectives response rate (%)**

| Group | n = 17 | n = 13 |
|-------|--------|--------|
| SD    | 34 (73.9%) | 12 (26.1%) |
| PR    | 21 (45.7%) | 25 (54.3%) |
| *P*-value | 0.0006 |        |

**DFS**

Log-rank *P*-value = 0.0381

High PD-L1 *n* = 37
Low PD-L1 *n* = 55

**OS**

Log-rank *P*-value = 0.026

High PD-L1 *n* = 37
Low PD-L1 *n* = 55
both tumor tissues by FACS (Fig. 3c) and IHC analyses (Fig. 3d).

We then used various concentrations of cisplatin (0, 0.5, 1, and 2.5 μmol/L) to treat NSCLC cell lines PC-9 and A549 for 72 h. The levels of PD-L1 in lung cancer cells were increased when compared with non-treated cells in a dose-dependent manner by FACS (Fig. 3e,g) and quantitative PCR detection (Fig. 3f).

**Depletion of PD-L1 inhibited cisplatin resistance in lung cancer cells.** To elucidate whether the upregulation of PD-L1 by cisplatin contributed to the resistance of cancer cells, we depleted PD-L1 through shRNA in A549 and PC-9 cells to check the sensitivity changes under cisplatin treatment. We verified that the depletion of PD-L1 resulted in decreased PD-L1 expression (Fig. 4a). Moreover, the depletion of PD-L1 led to more than 50% decrease in IC_{50} values compared with the control group in A549 and PC-9 cells (Fig. 4b). These data indicated that PD-L1 depletion enhanced the sensitivity of lung cancer cells to cisplatin treatment.

Next, consistent with the trend from RNA-Seq results, higher expression of PD-L1 was observed in cisplatin-resistant lung cancer cells A549/CIS and PC-9/CIS compared with the parental cells using Western blot (Fig. 4c).

**Inhibition of PI3K/AKT signaling reduced PD-L1 expression in lung cancer cells.** PI3K/AKT signaling has been verified to be associated with chemoresistance. To investigate the pathway mediating the upregulation effect of cisplatin on PD-L1, we blocked PI3K/AKT signaling using the specific inhibitors LY294002 and AT13148 in the resistant sublines. The down-regulation of PD-L1 and the level of phosphorylated AKT were observed (Fig. 4d). Furthermore, these two inhibitors also downregulated PD-L1 expression in the parental A549 and PC-9 cell lines treated with cisplatin, as revealed by FACS (Fig. 4e,f). In addition, we obtained induction of apoptosis in

---

**Fig. 1.** Expression of programmed cell death ligand 1 (PD-L1) is associated with chemoresistance in patients with non-small-cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC). (a) Comparison of gene expression in four chemoresistant and four chemosensitive patients using RNA sequencing analysis. The expression levels of PD-L1 in chemoresistant patients were higher than those in chemosensitive patients. (b) Left, computed tomography (CT) scan images of patients with stable disease (SD, red arrows) and partial response (PR, green arrows). Right, matched immunohistochemistry results of the patients. (c) Representative images of PD-L1 immunohistochemical staining on tumor cells among patients with NSCLC. Images were taken at ×10 and ×20 magnification. (d) Left, significant differences in PD-L1 expression and objective response rate (ORR) in 92 patients who received neoadjuvant chemotherapy. Right, relation between PD-L1 and ORR in 30 patients who had matched biopsy and surgical resection samples. (e,f) Kaplan–Meier survival curves showing that high levels of PD-L1 were associated with poor disease-free survival (DFS) and overall survival (OS) in 92 patients with NSCLC. (g,h) Kaplan–Meier survival curves showing that post-chemotherapy high expression of PD-L1 was associated with poor DFS and OS in this cohort.

---

**Fig. 2.** Correlation between programmed cell death ligand 1 (PD-L1) and CD8 in non-small-cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC) tissues. (a) Representative images of PD-L1 and CD8 in tissues from patients with NSCLC by immunohistochemical staining. Images were taken at ×10 magnification. (b) Low CD8 expression rate was observed in patients with stable disease (SD) and high expression of this protein was seen in cases with partial response (PR). \( P < 0.0001 \). (c) PD-L1 was negatively related to CD8 in these NSCLC tissues. \( P < 0.0001 \). (d,e) CD8 expression was associated with improved disease-free survival (DFS) and overall survival (OS) of NSCLC patients after neo-adjuvant chemotherapy in the survival curves. ORR, objective response rate.
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Fig. 3. Effect of cisplatin on programmed cell death ligand 1 (PD-L1) expression in lung cancer cell lines and patient-derived xenograft (PDX) models. (a) Tumor growth in PDX1 and PDX2 mice after treatment with PBS (control) or cisplatin. \( P < 0.05 \). (b) Representative images of tumor sizes in cisplatin-treated and untreated (control) PDX mice. (c) Protein and RNA levels of PD-L1 expression in cisplatin-treated and untreated PDX mice. (d) Representative immunohistochemical images for PD-L1 expression in cisplatin-treated and untreated PDX mice. (e,f) PC-9 and A549 cell lines were cultured with medium alone (control), or cisplatin (0.5, 1, or 2.5 \( \mu \)mol/L) for 72 h and PD-L1 expression was analyzed by flow cytometry and quantitative PCR. (g) FACS showing PD-L1 expression in cell lines treated with cisplatin. All experiments were performed in triplicate independently.

Fig. 4. Molecular mechanism of programmed cell death ligand 1 (PD-L1) expression elevated by cisplatin. (a) Knockdown of PD-L1 was evaluated by Western blot in A549 and PC-9 non-small-cell lung carcinoma cells. (b) \( IC_{50} \) values after transient transfection of shRNA. (c) Western blot showing PD-L1 expression in cisplatin-resistant cell lines (A549/CIS and PC-9/CIS) and parental cells. (d) Western blot analysis showing PD-L1, phosphorylated protein kinase B (p-AKT) and AKT expression in different protein fractions of PC-9/CIS and A549/CIS cells treated with phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K)/AKT inhibitors of PI3K (LY294002, 500 nM), and AKT (AT13148, 10 nM) for 72 h. (e,f) A549 and PC-9 cells were pretreated with inhibitors of signal transduction, for example, 500 nM PI3K inhibitor LY294002 and 10 nM AKT inhibitor AT13148, then cultured with cisplatin before FACS analysis. (g,h) Apoptosis was reduced in A549 and PC-9 cells with knockdown of PD-L1 by FACS analysis. PI3K inhibitor (LY294002, 500 nM) or AKT inhibitor (AT13148, 10 nM) resulted in even more apoptosis in cells with suppression of PD-L1 compared to corresponding control cells. All experiments were performed in triplicate independently.
cisplatin-treated A549 and PC-9 lung cancer cells with knockdown PD-L1 expression than cells transfected with control shRNA (Fig. 4g,h). With cisplatin treatment, the combination of LY294002/AT13148 and suppression of PD-L1 resulted in even more cells undergoing apoptosis (Fig. 4g,h). Therefore, the activated PI3K/AKT pathway might, at least in part, be responsible for the upregulation of PD-L1, which was associated with chemoresistance in lung cancer cells.

Discussion

The PD-1/PD-L1 axis plays an important role in immune-escape, and these pathways are currently attractive therapeutic targets for human cancers, including NSCLC (29). Although many preclinical studies and ongoing clinical trials have focused on the association between PD-L1 and immune-escape, investigation into its predictive role in prognosis and chemotherapy response in NSCLC was limited.

Overexpression of PD-L1 has been correlated with poor prognosis in NSCLC. (11) We observed an association between PD-L1-positive expression and shorter survival of lung cancer patients, and the positivity of PD-L1 were significantly associated with NAC response and TNM stage. Identification of potential factors that can assess chemotherapy response will aid in the selection of chemotherapy regimens for lung cancer patients. Increasing numbers of studies have identified that PD-L1 plays an essential role in chemotherapy of cancers. (30,31) Consistently, higher rates of positivity of this protein were observed for lung cancer patients with chemoresistance. For NSCLC, the treatment response is an independent prognostic factor. We also found that the expression change of PD-L1 was significantly linked to chemotherapy response, rather than PD-L1 expression before treatment.

Antitumor immune responses could be induced by blockade of the PD-1/PD-L1 pathway in NSCLC. (29) The tumor infiltrating lymphocyte, namely TILs, have been reported to be related to improved survival in NSCLC patients with surgical treatment. (24,25) Our results showed that strong CD8 TILs were significantly associated with increased DFS and OS in the resected specimens of NSCLC patients after NAC. Moreover, high TIL expression rate was mostly detected in chemosensitive samples with significant difference. Previous studies have reported the association between PD-L1 and cytotoxic CD8 TILs for lung cancer patients. (32,33) In the present study, we found that the immune suppressor of PD-L1 expression was negatively correlated to CD8 TILs in NSCLC samples. Previous reports showed that tumor-associated PD-L1 had a function in inducing apoptosis of infiltrating T cells (8,34). In another study, the authors explained the immunomodulatory effect of anthracyclines on cancer cells, and provided a link between immunoresistance and chemoresistance. (19) Considering these results, we hypothesized that PD-L1 highly expressed in tumor tissues might inhibit TILs, probably leading to suppressed immune reaction and poor outcome for NSCLC patients.

Furthermore, we started to elucidate how a chemotherapy reagent regulates the PD-L1 status in lung cancer cells. It has been reported that the downregulation of microRNA-197 enhances PD-L1 expression and promotes chemoresistance in lung cancer cells, independent of affecting immuno-inhibitory signals. (19) Low doses of fractionated radiotherapy result in increased PD-L1 in tumor cells in a variety of syngeneic mouse models of melanoma, colorectal, and triple-negative breast cancers. (35) Our results showed that PD-L1 expression was elevated in vitro and in vivo. Higher expression of this protein was also observed in cisplatin-resistant sublines. The alteration of PD-L1 levels may play a role in the chemotherapy response of lung cancer.

In a phase II clinical trial, PD-L1 blockade combined with chemotherapy has been reported to be safe and effective for stage IIB/IV NSCLC patients. (36) We also checked the effect of PD-L1 inhibition on drug resistance. Knockdown of PD-L1 expression increased the sensitive response to cisplatin in lung cancer cells. Recent studies have also shown that the microenvironment induces PD-L1 expression in myeloma cells, which is associated with immune evasion, drug resistance, and an intrinsic proliferative advantage in multiple myeloma. (10) Based on our finding that PD-L1 was associated with chemoresistance, we further explored the molecular mechanism of the cisplatin effect on PD-L1. We verified that inhibition of PD-L1 could induce apoptosis in lung cancer cells. Previous reports indicated that the expression of PD-L1 is dependent on the upstream part of the PI3K/AKT pathway in melanoma, breast, and prostate carcinoma. (37-39) In addition, the suppression of the PI3K/AKT pathway attenuates laminin-mediated resistance to imatinib mesylate or chemotherapy and cellular survival in small-cell lung cancer. (40) We also found that PI3K/AKT inhibitors reversed PD-L1 expression and induced apoptosis of lung cancer cells treated with cisplatin. After cisplatin treatment, more apoptotic cells were observed in PD-L1 knocked-down cells combined with PI3K/AKT inhibitors, suggesting that this pathway may target PD-L1 to overcome drug resistance in NSCLC.

Therefore, PD-L1 could be a potential indicator for NSCLC patients with chemotherapy response, and targeting PD-L1 might be a promising strategy for the reversal of chemoresistance of lung cancer.
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Akt protein kinase B
CI confidence interval
DFS disease-free survival
HR hazard ratio
IHC immunohistochemistry
MIA PaCa-2 human pancreatic cancer cell line
NAC neo-adjuvant chemotherapy
NSCLC non-small-cell lung cancer
OS overall survival
PD-1 programmed cell death 1
PD-L1 programmed cell death ligand 1
PDX patient-derived xenograft
PI3K phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase
PR partial response
RNA-Seq RNA sequencing
SD stable disease
TIL tumor-infiltrating lymphocyte
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