Polydeoxyribonucleotide: A Promising Biological Platform to Accelerate Impaired Skin Wound Healing
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Abstract: The normal wound healing process is characterized by a complex, highly integrated cascade of events, requiring the interactions of many cell types, including inflammatory cells, fibroblasts, keratinocytes and endothelial cells, as well as the involvement of growth factors and enzymes. However, several diseases such as diabetes, thermal injury and ischemia could lead to an impaired wound healing process characterized by wound hypoxia, high levels of oxygen radicals, reduced angiogenesis, decreased collagen synthesis and organization. Polydeoxyribonucleotide (PDRN) has been used to improve wound healing through local and systemic administration thanks to its ability to promote cell migration and growth, angiogenesis, and to reduce inflammation on impaired wound healing models in vitro, in vivo and clinical studies. In light of all these observations, the aim of this review is to provide a full overview of PDRN applications on skin regeneration. We reviewed papers published in the last 25 years on PubMed, inserting “polydeoxyribonucleotide and wound healing” as the main search term. All data obtained proved the ability of PDRN in promoting physiological tissue repair through adenosine A2A receptor activation and salvage pathway suggesting that PDRN has proven encouraging results in terms of healing time, wound regeneration and absence of side effects.
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1. Introduction

The skin, the outermost part of the body, provides a natural barrier against the environment and exerts a variety of essential protective functions. Upon disruption of skin integrity, either from active injuries or chronic insults, a multi-step process is initiated, leading to the reconstruction of wounded tissue and reestablishment of skin barrier functions. In physiological conditions it is established a normal wound healing process characterized by a complex and highly integrated cascade of events, requiring the interactions of many cell types, including inflammatory cells, fibroblasts, keratinocytes and endothelial cells, as well as the involvement of growth factors and enzymes. Normal wound healing proceeds through four overlapping but distinct phases: hemostasis, inflammation, proliferation and maturation or remodeling [1,2]. On the other hand, several diseases such as diabetes, thermal injury and ischemia could lead to an impaired wound healing process characterized...
by wound hypoxia, high levels of oxygen radicals, elevated levels of matrix metallopro-
teases, delayed cellular infiltration and granulation tissue formation, reduced angiogenesis,
decreased collagen synthesis and organization [3,4].

Impaired wound healing is a major clinical problem in patients with diabetes and is the
leading cause of lower extremity amputation in the so-called “diabetic foot disease” [5,6].
Diabetic foot ulcers readily become chronic, and chronic ulcers have biological proper-
ties that differ substantially from acute ones. The exact mechanism by which diabetes
impairs healing is not fully understood but may include abnormalities in inflammatory
cell response, impaired neovascularization, decreased synthesis of collagen and increased
levels of proteinases [7,8]. At the cellular level, Ferguson and colleagues demonstrated
an increase in acute inflammatory cells, absence of cellular growth and migration of the
epidermis over the wound, together with narrowing or occlusion of the blood vessels
within the edge of the wound [9]. Patients with diabetes have impaired leukocyte function
and the metabolic abnormalities of diabetes lead to dysregulated migration of neutrophils
and macrophages to the wound together with reduced chemotaxis of leukocytes and an
increased risk of wound infection [7].

Burn injury is another disease associated with impaired wound healing [10]. In
fact, dysregulation and dysfunctional activation of the immune/inflammatory systems
(in particular of the polymorphonuclear granulocytes) is a significant hallmark of the
response to burn injury [11]. Thus, immediately after thermal injury, polymorphonuclear
neutrophil leukocytes (PMNs) invade the lesion, provoking the release of large amounts of
oxygen free radicals and proteases that will cause endothelial cell and skin damage [12].
Oxygen-free radicals participate in a number of pathophysiological processes related to
burn wounds such as increasing the capillary permeability and promoting the formation
of edema in the zone of stasis [13,14]. The overproduction of free radicals and proteases
impairs the availability of nitric oxide (NO) and the production and release of growth
factors, such as the powerful angiogenic factor VEGF. NO also possesses several biological
roles in the process of cutaneous wound healing including regulation of vasodilatation,
antimicrobial activity, cell proliferation, VEGF-induced neoangiogenesis, matrix deposition
and remodeling. Moreover, NO also plays an important role in the regulation of burn
edema and in maintaining adequate perfusion in the burn area. On the other hand, NO
can present opposite biological effects depending on the presence or absence of reactive
oxygen species. NO interacts with superoxide anions to form peroxynitrite responsible for
decreased NO bioavailability, and as a consequence, delayed wound healing [15–17].

Another disease that could cause impairment of wound healing is ischemia. Indeed,
in reconstructive flap surgery, ischemic tissue damage may not only jeopardize wound
healing but also compromise flap survival confirming that ischemic tissue necrosis re-
mains a leading cause of flap failure that frequently occurs in the portion of the flap lying
most distally to the pedicle [18]. Wound healing in ischemic tissues, such as distal flap
margins, is still a source of considerable morbidity in surgical practice due to the inade-
quate blood supply. Consequently, local hypoxia, which decreases granulation tissue
formation, collagen production, and fibroblast replication, is the key factor that strongly
impairs epithelialization and biomechanical strength parameters in ischemic wounds [19]
(Figure 1). Presently, various approaches to healing wounds are available such as biologics,
biomaterials, stem cells therapies and skin bioprinting [20]. All these options could be
attractive but several limitations in their use are present, in particular, the use of biolog-
icals is limited due to possible contraindications, side effects and remarkable costs [20].
Furthermore, biomaterials that are an important part of modern wound care to accelerate
the healing process despite the wide range of products already available have no or only
a minimal effect on the healing of complex wounds [20]. Moreover, stem cell therapy
represents a promising new approach to wound healing, with the potential to regenerate
tissue to its pre-injured state, but additional basic and clinical studies are needed before
stem cell therapy can become a treatment option for wound healing [21]. Additionally, skin
bioprinting showed promise in engineering skin even if the research is still in its infancy. In
particular, vascularity, optimal cell and scaffold combinations, and cost of bioprinted skin are hurdles that need to be overcome before the clinical applicability can be realized [22]. In this scenario, PDRN a compound obtained from sperm trout through an extraction process has been widely used to improve wound healing through local and systemic administration thanks to its ability to promote cell migration and growth, angiogenesis, and to reduce inflammation on impaired wound healing models including in vitro, in vivo and clinical studies. PDRN is currently on the market, it is well tolerated and has shown a very good safety profile in several clinical trials [23]. In light of all these observations, the aim of this review is to provide a full overview of PDRN applications on skin regeneration.

Figure 1. Molecular mechanism in Impaired wound healing.

Polydeoxyribonucleotide

Polydeoxyribonucleotide (PDRN) is a DNA-derived drug from *Oncorhynchus mykiss* (Salmon trout) or *Oncorhynchus keta* (Chum Salmon) sperm with molecular weights between 50 and 1500 KDa and a chain length ranging from 50 bp to 2000 bp. The drug is obtained through an extractive process at a high temperature in order to purify the substance and ensure a very high percentage of DNA. The final product is a >95% pure active principle without pharmacologically active proteins and peptides (Registration Dossier, Italian Ministry of Health) [24]. The chemical structure of PDRN consists of a low molecular weight fraction of DNA, composed of a linear polymer of deoxyribonucleotides with phosphodiester bonds in which the monomer units are represented by purine and pyrimidine nucleotides. These polymeric chains are coupled to form a sterical structure defined as a double helix. The monomer unit of the PDRN chain is the deoxyribonucleotide and it is made up of three components: sugar pentose, phosphoric acid, and a purine or pyrimidine base that is connected to the pentose in position 1 through a beta-N-glycoside
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bond. It is likely that PDRN is cleaved by active cell membrane enzymes, providing a source for purine and pyrimidine of different tissues. Moreover, nucleotides and nucleosides have shown a synergic effect with different growth factors and can influence their production [25,26]. Furthermore, PDRN has been shown to enhance the growth rate of numerous cells such as fibroblasts, chondrocytes, preadipocytes and osteoblasts in primary cultures at therapeutic concentrations [27–32]. All these favorable effects on cell proliferation enhancement appear to be mediated by the salvage metabolic pathways and by the activation of adenosine receptors $A_{2a}$ that has been shown to accelerate the resolution of acute inflammation, upregulate VEGF promoting vessel formation by endothelial cells and support granulation tissue formation [33].

2. Methodology

The database used to retrieve the papers was PubMed and the search terms used were: Polydeoxyribonucleotide and wound healing”, “Polydeoxyribonucleotide and impaired wound healing”, “Polydeoxyribonucleotide and diabetes”, “Polydeoxyribonucleotide and thermal injury”, “Polydeoxyribonucleotide and ischemia”. Papers published in the last 25 years (1996–2021) were included in order to provide a widespread overview of PDRN effectiveness in impaired wound healing.

3. Results

The available evidence on the role of purinergic agonists on wound healing has provided a rationale to investigate the regenerative effects of PDRN. Most experimental studies focusing on the PDRN effects have confirmed its potential in tissue regeneration in different pathologies and with different dosage and administration routes, from topical application to injection. For clarity, we have organized these studies according to their experimental model.

3.1. In Vitro Studies

Most in vitro studies on wound healing have been focused on fibroblasts. In this context, Thellung et al. investigated the mechanism involved in fibroblast proliferation after PDRN stimulation. PDRN was compared to adenosine in primary cultures of human skin fibroblasts and it was seen that both compounds induced cell growth. The effects of PDRN were abolished by concomitant incubation with an adenosine $A_{2a}$ receptor antagonist, 3,7-Dimethyl-1-propargylxanthine (DMPX). It has been hypothesized that PDRN acts preferentially on the adenosine $A_{2a}$ receptor thus suggesting the involvement of this receptor subtype in PDRN effects [28]. In further studies, cultured fibroblasts were incubated with radioactive amino acids in the presence of PDRN. Cell growth was accompanied by the internalization of PDRN-derived nucleotides utilized for the salvage pathway. In fact, damaged or hypoxic tissue often cannot undergo the DNA de novo synthesis. The salvaged bases can be transformed into nucleotides and reincorporated into DNA. PDRN generates nucleotides and nucleosides that can contribute to DNA formation, reactivating normal cell proliferation and growth pattern [34].

PDRN may also protect cells from UV-induced DNA damage. Exposure of cultured human dermal fibroblasts to ultraviolet B radiation causes the accumulation of dangerous photoproducts such as cyclobutane pyrimidine dimers (CPDS). PDRN addition to cell culture immediately after irradiation results in reduced levels of CPDS, in p53 protein activation and in enhancing DNA repair likely due to the triggering of the salvage pathway [35]. Moreover, PDRN enhances the growth of human corneal fibroblasts in primary culture depending upon the donor’s age. In particular, this effect is very consistently reproducible with donors over 60 years of age, suggesting a selective benefit of PDRN in the tissue culture of senescent cells [36].

Another important aspect in regenerative medicine is the PDRN’s ability to promote in vitro the proliferation of human pre-adipocytes, confirming that PDRN may be used for therapeutic and regenerative purposes [31].
3.2. Animal Studies

Skin wound healing is among the most studied applications of PDRN. PDRN effectiveness has been investigated in an incisional model of diabetes-impaired wound healing. The healing-promoting effect was supported by a marked increase in wound-breaking strength, and in the expression of VEGF, a regulator of angiogenesis that is impaired in diabetes-related wound disorders. Angiogenesis improvement was also confirmed by an increase in CD31, transglutaminase-II, and angiopoietin, factors contributing to new vessel formation. These effects were abrogated by DMPX, thus suggesting the involvement of the adenosine A\textsubscript{2a} receptor [37]. Similar outcomes were also observed in an excisional wound model of diabetic mice [38]. The wound healing properties of PDRN might be the consequence of the stimulation of the altered cell-cycle machinery that is deeply impaired in several conditions, such as diabetes [39]. Diabetic animals showed a reduced expression of the cyclin D1/CDK6 and cyclin E/CDK2 complexes at day 6 after wounding when compared with nondiabetic animals. By contrast, diabetic mice at day 6 post-wounding showed an enhanced expression of either p15 or p27, indicating that the over-expression of these negative regulators might keep granulation tissue from proliferating. Interestingly, during wound repair in genetically diabetic mice, PDRN stimulated the proliferation of granulation tissue by activating cyclin-driven cell-cycle progression (cyclin D1/CDK6, cyclin E/CDK2) and turning off the cell-cycle negative regulators p15 and p27. Adenosine A\textsubscript{2a} receptor activation also results in a potent anti-inflammatory effect that can be correlated to decreasing scar formation. In this respect, Jeong et al. investigated scar prevention and wound healing in a rat incisional wound-healing model after injection of PDRN. In the PDRN group histological and immunohistochemical analyses showed reduced inflammatory cell infiltrate, more collagen deposition, and complete wound re-epithelialization, while western blot analysis revealed increased levels of type I and type III collagen [40].

Shin et al. in a wound model on diabetic mice used a PDRN-loaded Alg hydrogel that had the advantage of allowing the continuous release of the drug and eliminating the use of needles. The Alg-PDRN-treated group showed the quickest healing rate compared to other groups (saline, PDRN injection, Alg hydrogel) with a significantly higher collagen density, a reduced number of inflammatory cells and an increased expression of VEGF and \(\alpha\)-SMA [41].

Another clinical situation characterized by a poor skin repair process and impaired angiogenesis is thermal injury. PDRN effects were investigated in mice with a deep-dermal second-degree burn injury. Systemic administration of this drug enhanced burn wound re-epithelialization and decreased time to final wound closure. PDRN improved healing of burn wounds by reducing inflammatory infiltration and burn edema, and by stimulating dermal and epidermal regeneration, proliferation of fibroblasts, and neoangiogenesis as suggested by the marked increase in microvessel density and the robust expression of PECAM-1 in the skin samples. PDRN also showed a marked systemic effect by reducing the serum levels of the pleiotropic cytokine tumor necrosis factor (TNF-\(\alpha\)) and by augmenting wound VEGF, eNOS, iNOS expression and the wound content of nitric oxide products [42].

Among local skin flaps, random pattern skin flaps are commonly used in plastic and reconstructive surgery for skin defect repairs. The main drawback of such flaps is partial or complete distal flap necrosis. Therefore, several therapeutic approaches have been tried in many experimental models to enhance skin vitality, although the results have been controversial. In an experimental ischemic skin flap model (H-shaped double-flap), PDRN improved wound healing with complete re-epithelialization, and blood flow, evaluated by laser Doppler, by enhancing VEGF and iNOS expression and reducing HIF-1\(\alpha\) [43]. These results have been further confirmed by Chung et al. and Lee et al. [44,45], suggesting a role for this drug in improving skin flap survival.

3.3. Clinical Studies

Many clinical studies have shown the positive effects of PDRN when used in plastic and reconstructive surgery. In a first double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study
the effects of intramuscular and subcutaneous PDRN in promoting wound healing in skin explants were evaluated. A statistically significant difference in the percentage of re-epithelialization was observed in a PDRN group compared to a placebo group [46]. PDRN was later tested locally in the healing of autologous skin graft donor sites. The patients were randomized into two groups: the control group received dressing with gauzes embedded in chloramine solution; the study group received the same treatment plus PDRN ointment. PDRN dressing improved re-epithelialization and the time to complete wound healing [47].

Moreover, in a large double-blind randomized controlled trial (RCT) study 216 diabetic patients with Wagner grade 1 or 2 ulcers were assigned to receive placebo (number 106) or PDRN (number 110) for 8 weeks. The drug was injected daily by intramuscular route for 5 days/week and by perilesional route 2 days/week for 8 weeks. The treated group doubled the rate of complete healing of difficult-to-heal foot ulcers compared to the placebo as early as 8 weeks after the start of treatment [48]. Successively, Kim et al. performed a randomized controlled clinical study with fewer patients (n = 20) suffering from Wagner grade 1 to 4 diabetic foot ulcers. After initial surgical debridement, 10 patients were administered with a saline solution while the other 10 patients were injected with PDRN both via intramuscular and perilesional routes for two weeks. PDRN improved peripheral tissue oxygenation, increased angiogenesis and reduced inflammatory infiltrate, compared to the control group [49]. The efficacy of PDRN on pressure ulcers has also been studied; in a randomized clinical trial, the effects of PDRN were compared between a control group and a treated group. The latter was administered PDRN intramuscularly for two weeks and perilesional for 4 weeks. At the end of the treatment period, it was seen that PDRN administration reduced the wound surface area and the PUSH score, without adverse effect during treatment [50] (Table 1 and Figure 2).

Table 1. The table summarizes the in vitro, in vivo and clinical studies investigating the effects of polydeoxyribonucleotide on wound healing.

| Study               | Experimental Model                          | PDRN DOSES | PDRN Effects                                      | References |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Thellung et al., 1999 | In vitro study Human Skin fibroblasts       | 10 mg/mL   | ↑ Cell growth                                     | [28]       |
| Raposo et al., 2008 | In vitro study Human Pre-adipocytes         | 80–100 mg/mL | ↑ Cell proliferation                             | [31]       |
| Sini et al., 1999   | In vitro study Human Skin fibroblasts       | 80 mg/mL   | ↑ Cell growth                                     | [34]       |
| Belletti et al., 2007| In vitro study Human skin fibroblasts       | 100 mg/mL  | ↑ DNA repair                                      | [35]       |
| Muratori et al., 2003| In vitro study Human Corneal fibroblasts    | 100 mg/mL  | ↑ Cell growth                                     | [36]       |
| Galeano et al., 2008 | Animal study C57BL/ksj-m+ +Leptdb (db+/db-)  | 8 mg/kg    | ↑ Wound-breaking strength ↑ VEGF expression      | [37]       |
|                     | db+/+m)                                     |            |                                                   |            |
| Kwon et al., 2019   | Animal study BKS.Cg-Leptdb/+Leptdb and m-Leptdb | 0.09 mg/mice | ↑ Wound-breaking strength ↑ VEGF expression | [38]       |
| Altavilla et al., 2011| Animal study C57BL/ksj-m+ +Leptdb (db+/db-) | 8 mg/kg    | ↑ Cyclin D1/CDK6,                               | [39]       |
|                     | (db+/++m)                                   |            | ↑ Cyclin E/CDK2,                                |            |
|                     |                                             |            | ↓ p15 and p27                                    |            |
| Jeong et al., 2017  | Animal study Sprague-Dawley                 | 8 mg/kg    | ↓ Inflammatory cell infiltrate ↑ Collagen deposition | [40]       |
Table 1. Cont.

| Study                        | Experimental Model | PDRN DOSES | PDRN Effects                                      | References |
|------------------------------|--------------------|------------|--------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Shin et al., 2020            | Animal study       | 100 mg/mL  | ↑ Collagen density, ↑ VEGF and α-SMA, ↓ Number of inflammatory cells | [41]       |
| Bitto et al., 2008           | Animal study       | 8 mg/kg    | ↓ TNF-α wound, ↑ VEGF, eNOS, iNOS                | [42]       |
| Polito et al., 2012          | Animal study       | 8 mg/kg    | ↑ VEGF and iNOS, ↓ HIF-1α                        | [43]       |
| Chung et al., 2013           | Animal study       | 8 mg/kg    | ↑ VEGF, PECAM, CD31                              | [44]       |
| Lee et al., 2015             | Animal study       | 8 mg/kg    | ↑ VEGF and CD31                                  | [45]       |
| Rubegni et al., 2001         | Clinical study     | 5.625 mg/die | ↑ Re-epithelialisation                           | [46]       |
| Valdatta et al., 2004        | Clinical study     | 5.625 mg/die | ↑ Re-epithelialisation, ↓ Time to complete wound healing | [47]       |
| Squadrito et al., 2014       | Clinical study     | 5.625 mg/die | ↑ Re-epithelialisation, ↓ Time to complete wound healing | [48]       |
| Kim et al., 2017             | Clinical study     | 5.625 mg/die | ↑ Tissue oxygenation, ↓ Inflammatory infiltrate | [49]       |
| Kim et al., 2014             | Clinical study     | 5.625 mg/die | ↓ Wound size, ↓ PUSH score                       | [50]       |

Figure 2. PDRN effects in: in vitro, animal and clinical studies.

4. Discussion

PDRN is a prodrug capable of promoting wound healing impaired by diverse pathological conditions such as diabetes, burns and ischemia. Preclinical and clinical studies have laid the basis to understand the PDRN mechanism of action. The positive effects observed are a direct consequence of the activation of adenosine A2a receptors and the salvage pathway.

Adenosine is a ubiquitous purine nucleoside that can form intracellularly from ATP, ADP or AMP or extracellularly from ATP or ADP. Adenosine modulates cellular and organ
function via interaction with four specific P1 G-protein associated cell surface receptors (A1, A2a, A2b, and A3) which modulate adenylate cyclase activity in an inhibitory (A1, A3) or stimulatory (A2a, A2b) fashion [51,52]. The binding of PDRN derivates to A2a receptors stimulates an increase in intracellular cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP) with consequent activation of the protein kinase A (PKA), which plays a key role in cell growth, survival, death, and differentiation. Adenosine A2a receptors, in particular, are expressed on most cell types involved in wound healing, including macrophages, fibroblasts and microvascular endothelial cells [53–55]. It has been shown that activation of the adenosine receptors A2a reduces inflammatory infiltration, promotes endothelial cell proliferation and migration, and VEGF production, stimulates fibroblast differentiation and maturation, consequently accelerating the repair process [56,57]. The several effects of PDRN are blocked by an adenosine A2 receptor antagonist, 3,7-Dimethyl-1-propargylxanthine (DMPX), which has more affinity for A2a than for A2b receptor subtypes [28,32].

Moreover, A2a receptor activation by PDRN influences cyclins, but the molecular mechanism is still far from being fully understood. It could be hypothesized that the increase in cellular cAMP induced by receptor triggering might be responsible for this effect. Alternatively, other intracellular transduction signals such as PKA activation or phosphorylation of downstream proteins may play a significant role. The selectivity of PDRN for only the A2a purinergic receptor might be due to its resistance to 5’- exonuclease degradation that would lead to the production of small-size fragments that can bind the several types of purinergic receptors. This selectivity for the A2a receptor might also explain the reason why PDRN does not produce the same collateral effects as adenosine or A2a agonists which, in contrast, undergo 5’- exonuclease degradation. Interestingly, PDRN-induced angiogenesis was not observed in other important organs such as the brain, liver, skeletal muscle, and heart.

Furthermore, another action mechanism, known as the “salvage pathway”, has been suggested. PDRN generates nucleotides and nucleosides that can act by promoting DNA synthesis or repairing and restoring cell proliferation and growth. This efficient energy-saving metabolic pathway represents a unique feature not shared with other DNA-derived drugs from different origins, molecular weights and manufacturing processes (Figure 3).

Regarding safety, acute and chronic toxicity studies in mice and rats were undertaken to evaluate the effects of repeated systemic administration of PDRN, which showed no toxic
effect in the brain, liver, lungs, skeletal muscle and heart, and did not cause mortality [37].
In a clinical study on the effects of PDRN on the healing of chronic diabetic foot ulcers,
safety and tolerability were excellent [48].

Further studies are necessary to investigate alternative PDRN administration routes
that have achieved promising results in experimental models of wound healing [41].
Specifically, the combination with bioactive materials including hydrogels, scaffolds, nanofibers
and films might enhance the pharmacological activity of PDRN and offer important advantages
in wound dressing and skin tissue engineering.
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