Systematic Review of Chinese Herbal Medicines for Preventing in-Stent Coronary Restenosis after Percutaneous Coronary Intervention
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Percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) with stent placement is a standard treatment for coronary artery disease (CAD). In-stent restenosis after PCI remains a challenging clinical problem. In China, Chinese herbal medicines (CHMs) are widely used for preventing restenosis. This paper systematically reviewed the literature on the effectiveness and safety of CHMs in preventing restenosis after PCI in patients with CAD. Electronic databases were searched for randomized controlled trials that compared CHMs plus RWM with the same RWM plus placebo in preventing restenosis after PCI. A total of 52 trials (4905 patients) on 34 CHMs met the inclusion criteria and were analyzed. Ten trials had low risk of bias. Methodological quality of included trials was generally poor. Meta-analysis showed that at the end of at least 3 months’ followup, CHMs plus RWM could significantly reduce restenosis rate, cardiac mortality, recurrence rate of angina, acute myocardial infarction, numbers of repeat PCI, and numbers of coronary artery bypass graft. Reported adverse events included gastrointestinal upset, granulocytopenia, and increased alanine transaminase (ALT). CHMs may help prevent restenosis, thus reducing cardiac mortality after PCI. Caution should be exercised in drawing a definitive conclusion due to the poor methodological quality of the trials reviewed.

1. Introduction

Coronary artery disease (CAD) is the single leading cause of death and disability in the world [1–3]. Percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) with stent placement is the standard nonsurgical treatment for CAD, effective in relieving the symptoms of coronary ischemia [4]. But the main limitation of coronary stenting, in particular with bare-metal stents, is in-stent restenosis (ISR) [5]. This is the formation of scar tissue over the stent, which can cause the opened artery to narrow again. The risks of ISR include symptoms of coronary ischemia, often warranting repeat revascularization [6]. With the development and the universal application of the drug-eluting stent (DES), restenosis has been reduced from 10% to 50% for bare-metal stents [7] to <10% for DESs [8]. Despite this improvement, a major drawback of DESs has come to light involving late (after 30 days) and very late (after 1 year) stent thrombosis [9, 10]. In addition, studies have shown that DESs do not reduce late cardiac-related death and the incidence of myocardial infarction (MI) [11–14]. Antithrombotic therapy after PCI, consisting of lifelong aspirin and clopidogrel, is effective in reducing cardiac-related death, MI, and stroke [15]. But dual antiplatelet therapy also has limitations, as stopping prematurely significantly increases the risk of stent thrombosis, MI, and death [16]. Thus, treatment of ISR remains a challenging clinical issue.

In China, Chinese herbal medicines CHMs have a long history of integration with routine Western medical (conventional) interventions. Advancements in such interventions have spurred concomitant application of CHMs in attempts to enhance outcomes. In the past decade, CHMs have been tested in clinical trials as an adjunct therapy for preventing...
ISR after PCI. CHMs appear to ameliorate ISR after PCI when used alone or combined with routine western medicine (RWM) [16, 17]. Pharmacologic studies have found that some (CHMs) can be administered to dilate coronary vessels, improve circulation, and remove blood stasis (Chinese medicine concept of blood circulation disturbance, resulting in slowing of blood flow, thrombosis, retained blood). Additionally, these CHMs possess antiinflammatory, immune response inhibition, anti-platelet aggregation, and antiperoxidative properties, as well as functions that inhibit proliferation and migration of vascular smooth muscle cells [18–21]. Reviews on the efficacy of CHMs in preventing restenosis after PCI have been published in Chinese [22–24]. But the evidence supporting or disproving the benefits of CHMs is not robust because of methodological deficiencies of those reviews. Our study presents a more vigorous attempt to examine the existing studies to draw more useful conclusions about the safety and efficacy of CHMs in preventing restenosis post-PCI.

2. Methods

2.1. Inclusion Criteria. Only randomized controlled trials (RCTs) were included regardless of being published or unpublished. We focused on trials with participants diagnosed with major angiographic criteria-documented coronary artery disease who were eligible for PCI regardless of gender, age, and ethnic origin.

The treatment group in the trials was treated with any CHM, or CHM plus RWM with at least 1 month of therapy regardless of dosage. The control group was treated with the same RWM based on the Chinese Society of Cardiology guidelines for percutaneous transluminal coronary intervention [25], or the same RWM plus placebo. “Chinese herbal medicines” include extracts from mixtures of herbs, single herbs, Chinese prepared medicines, or a compound of herbs that is prescribed by a Chinese medicine practitioner [26].

Primary outcome measures were restenosis, cardiac death, and adverse events occurring in at least 3 months of followup. Secondary outcome measures included recurrent angina, acute myocardial infarction (AMI), revascularization, repeat PCI, coronary artery bypass graft (CABG), minimal luminal diameter (MLD), late loss of lumen (LLL), net gain in lumen diameter (NG), and lesion area net gain (LANG) after PCI, and quality of life during at least 1 month of followup.

2.2. Study Identification and Assessment in Included Studies. Two authors (G.-H. Zheng, J.-F. Chu) independently selected those trials that met the inclusion criteria and extracted details on randomization, allocation concealment, blinding, intent to treat analysis, numbers lost to followup, patient demographics, methods, interventions, outcomes, and results. Missing data were obtained from the original authors when possible.

2.3. Data Extraction. Two authors (G.-H. Zheng, J.-F. Chu) independently selected those trials that met the inclusion criteria and extracted details on randomization, allocation concealment, blinding, intent to treat analysis, numbers lost to followup, patient demographics, methods, interventions, outcomes, and results. Missing data were obtained from the original authors when possible.

3. Results

3.1. Study Identification. Eligible literature was screened and identified (Figure 1). A total of 806 records were retrieved. Of these, full-text evaluation was conducted on 154 studies. This was followed by elimination of 102 studies: irrelevant to CHM (n = 61); irrelevant to the primary or secondary outcomes (n = 10); control group combined with another CHM (n = 23); duplicate publication (n = 6) [32–37]; primary outcomes <3 months’ followup (n = 1) [38]; CHM treatment <1 month treatment (n = 1) [39]. Finally, 52 RCTs with a total of 4905 patients in treatment and control groups, fulfilled the inclusion criteria [40–91]. All studies were conducted in China from 1979 to 2010.

3.2. Characteristics of Included RCTs. Table 1 summarizes the characteristics of included studies. Average age of patients in the included studies ranged from 51.2 to 72 years. Each
**Table 1: Characteristics of baseline, diagnosis, intervention, and outcomes in included studies.**

| Author, year | No. of patients (T/C) | Baseline characteristics of treatment and control groups | Intervention | CAD diagnostic guidelines | PCI type | Treatment course (mos) | Followup (mos) | Outcomes |
|--------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|----------|------------------------|----------------|----------|
| An et al. 2009 [41] | 100 (60/40) | Similar in age and sex between the two groups (narrative only) | RWM plus Yi qi hua yu fang | AG | Stent | 3 | 3 | Restenosis |
| Bao et al. 2005 [42] | 76 (32/44) | Age, sex, hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipidemia, smoking, lesion distribution, stent number | RWM plus Gegen shu tablet | AG | Stent | 3 | 6 | Restenosis |
| Chen et al. 2005 [43] | 80 (40/40) | Age, sex, hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipidemia, smoking, lesion number | RWM plus Lei gong teng glycosides | AG | Stent | 6 | 6 | Restenosis, repeat intervention, MLD |
| Chen et al. 2005 [44] | 86 (43/43) | Age, sex, CAD classification, results and characteristics of AG before PCI | RWM plus Dan shen injection | AG | Stent | 1 | 6 | Restenosis, death, recurrent angina, AMI |
| Chu et al. 2009 [45] | 57 (28/29) | Age, sex, hypertension, diabetes, smoking, course of CAD, BMI, blockage number | RWM plus placebo plus Xue fu zhu yu capsule | AG | Stent | 1 | 1 | Quality of life |
| Cui et al. 2010 [46] | 97 (51/46) | Age, sex, CAD classification, comorbidities, lesion number, stent number | RWM plus Liang xue shen ji fang | AG | Stent | 2 | 6 | Restenosis, death, recurrent angina, CABG, repeat PCI |
| Dan 2009 [47] | 120 (60/60) | Age, sex, hypertension, diabetes, CAD classification, stent type | RWM plus Wen yang huo xue fang | AG | PTCA or stent | 3 | 12 | Recurrent angina and accompanying symptoms |
| Ding et al. 2007 [48] | 55 (26/29) | Average age, sex, lesions, stent (narrative only) | RWM plus Xue zhi kang capsule | AG | Stent | 6 | 6 | Restenosis |
| Feng et al. 2006 [49] | 61 (30/31) | Similar in baseline information between the two groups (narrative only) | RWM plus Tong xin fang | AG | PTCA | 6 | 6 | Restenosis, MLD |
| Fu 2009 [50] | 80 (40/40) | Lesion characteristics and degree, stent type (narrative only) | RWM plus Fu fang dan shen pill | AG | Stent | 6 | 6 | Restenosis, recurrent angina, AMI, repeat intervention, CABG |
| Gu et al. 2007 [51] | 60 (30/30) | Age, sex, complications, lesion number and type, stent type | RWM plus Xue fu zhu yu pill | AG | Stent | 6 | 6 | Restenosis, recurrent angina |
| Author, year       | No. of patients (T/C) | Baseline characteristics of treatment and control groups | Intervention | CAD diagnostic guidelines | PCI type | Treatment course (mos) | Followup (mos) | Outcomes                                      |
|-------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|----------|------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Guo et al. 2009 [52] | 80 (40/40)           | Age, sex, complications, lesion number                  | RWM          | RWM plus Dan shen tablet  | AG       | DES                    | 6             | 6 Restenosis, death, CABG, repeat PCI         |
| Han 2008 [53]     | 60 (30/30)           | Age, sex, patient characteristics, hypertension, diabetes, smoking, lesion characteristics | RWM          | RWM plus Xue sai tong capsule | AG       | Stent                  | 3             | 3 Recurrent angina                           |
| He et al. 2010 [54] | 120 (60/60)          | Age, sex, hypertension, diabetes, course of CAD, lesion characteristics | RWM          | RWM plus Guan tong fang   | AG       | BMS                    | 5             | 6                                             |
| Kai et al. 2008 [55] | 72 (36/36)           | Age, sex, CAD characteristics, lesion characteristics (narrative only) | RWM          | RWM plus Tong xin luo capsule | AG       | Stent                  | 6             | 6 Restenosis, LLS, NG, LANG                  |
| Li et al. 2004 [56] | 57 (37/20)           | Age, sex, hyperlipidemia, diabetes, smoking, lesion distribution and characteristics | RWM          | RWM plus Shu xue tong injection | AG       | Stent                  | 1             | 6                                             |
| Li et al. 2010 [57] | 80 (42/38)           | Age, sex, lesion characteristics and degree, stent type (narrative only) | RWM          | RWM plus Fu fang dan shen pill | AG       | Stent                  | 6             | 6                                             |
| Li et al. 2005 [58] | 52 (26/26)           | Age, sex, hypertension, diabetes, smoking, lesion number | RWM          | RWM plus Tong guan capsule  | AG       | PTCA or stent          | 3             | 6 Clinical effect of AP                      |
| Li et al. 2006 [59] | 80 (40/40)           | Age, hypertension, diabetes, lesion number and site      | RWM          | RWM plus Shi ni decoction  | AG       | Stent                  | 6             | 6 Restenosis, repeat intervention, MLD        |
| Li et al. 2008 [60] | 121 (61/60)          | Age, sex, hypertension, diabetes, smoking, lesion number and site | RWM          | RWM plus Tong mai yi xin pill | AG       | PTCA                   | 6             | 6 Restenosis, recurrent angina               |
| Li et al. 2004 [61] | 70 (36/34)           | Age, sex, complications, lesion number (narrative only)  | RWM          | RWM plus Xing mai fu tong decoction | Meeting guide | Stent                  | 3             | 6 Restenosis                                 |
| Li 2009 [62]      | 151 (76/75)          | Age, sex, hypertension, diabetes, smoking, lesion characteristics | RWM          | RWM plus Tong mai yi xin pill | AG       | Stent                  | 6             | 6 Restenosis, recurrent angina               |
| Li and Niu 2008 [63] | 59 (36/33)           | Age, sex, hypertension, diabetes, smoking, lesion number and degree of blockage | RWM          | RWM plus Jiang lian he ji | AG       | PTCA                   | 3             | 6 Restenosis, recurrent angina               |
| Author, year | No. of patients (T/C) | Baseline characteristics of treatment and control groups | Intervention | CAD diagnostic guidelines | PCI type | Treatment course (mos) | Followup (mos) | Outcomes |
|--------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|----------|----------------------|---------------|----------|
| Liu et al. 2002 [64] | 75 (30/45) | Age, sex, hypertension, diabetes, smoking, lesion number and degree of blockage | RWM | RWM plus Lei gong teng glycosides | AG | Stent | 6 | 6 | Restenosis, recurrent angina, MACE, MLD, LLS, NG, LANG |
| Liu et al. 2004 [65] | 165 (63/102) | Age, sex, hyperlipidemia, diabetes, lesion number and characteristics | RWM | RWM plus Chuan qiong qing | AG | PTCA or stent | 6 | 6 | Restenosis, death |
| Liu et al. 2007 [66] | 60 (30/30) | Sex, hypertension, diabetes | RWM | RWM plus Yi qi huo xue fang | AG | PTCA | 6 | 6 | Recurrent angina |
| Lu et al. 2006 [67] | 124 (62/62) | Age, sex, hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipidemia, lesion number, location and degree of stenosis, stent number | RWM | RWM plus Xiong shao capsule | AG | Stent | 6 | 6 | Restenosis, recurrent angina, AMI, CABG, MLD |
| Ma et al. 2009 [68] | 92 (50/42) | Age, sex, complications, lesion number (narrative only) | RWM | RWM plus Guan mai zai tong | Meeting guide | Stent | 3 | 3 | Restenosis |
| Niu et al. 2003 [69] | 36 (18/18) | Baseline patient characteristics (narrative only), hypertension, diabetes, smoking, characteristics and degree of stenosis | RWM | RWM plus Xue yu tong he ji | AG | PTCA or stent | 6 | 6 | Restenosis, recurrent angina |
| Qi et al. 2003 [70] | 50 (30/20) | Baseline patient characteristics, lesion number and site (narrative only) | RWM | RWM plus Tong guan capsule | AG | PTCA | 6 | 6 | Restenosis, recurrent angina |
| Qiao et al. 2006 [71] | 59 (30/29) | Baseline patient characteristics, hypertension, diabetes, smoking, lesion number and degree of stenosis | RWM plus placebo | RWM plus Tong guan capsule | AG | PTCA | 1 | 1 | Quality of life |
| Shi et al. 1997 [72] | 73 (35/38) | Age, sex, hypertension, diabetes, lesion characteristics | RWM | RWM plus Xue fu zhu yu pill | AG | PTCA | 6 | 6 | Restenosis, recurrent angina |
| Wang et al. 2009 [73] | 101 (54/47) | Age, sex, course of CAD, degree of blockage, complications | RWM | RWM plus Yi qi huo xue formula | AG | PTCA or stent | 3 | 3 | Angina symptoms |
| Wang et al. 2006 [74] | 92 (62/30) | Age, sex, course of CAD (narrative only) | RWM | RWM plus Shan shen tong mai jii | AG | PTCA or stent | 1 | 1 | Angina symptoms |
| Wang et al. 2002 [75] | 44 (20/24) | Baseline patient characteristics, CAD course, AG characteristics (narrative only) | RWM | RWM plus Shu xin yin | AG | Stent | 6 | 6 | Restenosis, recurrent angina, MI, MACE |
| Author, year        | No. of patients (T/C) | Baseline characteristics of treatment and control groups | Intervention | CAD diagnostic guidelines | PCI type | Treatment course (mos) | Followup (mos) | Outcomes                                                                 |
|---------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|----------|------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Wang et al. 2003    | 44 (20/24)            | Age, sex, hypertension, diabetes, smoking, AG characteristics | RWM          | RWM plus Bu xin yin       | AG       | Stent                  | 6              | 6 Restenosis, recurrent angina, AMI, revascularization                   |
| Wang and Gao 2004   | 94 (41/53)            | Age, sex, hypertension, diabetes, smoking, lesion number and type | RWM          | RWM plus Yi xin capsule   | AG       | Stent                  | 6              | 6 Restenosis, recurrent angina, AMI, revascularization                   |
| Wang et al. 2010    | 40 (20/20)            | Baseline characteristics similar (narrative only)         | RWM          | RWM plus Ku lie zhi injection | AG       | Stent                  | 6              | 6 Restenosis, death, AMI                                                |
| Xiao et al. 2007    | 132 (62/70)           | Age, sex, hypertension, diabetes, arrhythmia, stent number | RWM          | RWM plus Tong xin luo     | AG       | BMS                    | 6              | 6 Restenosis, recurrent angina, death, AMI, MACE                         |
| Xu et al. 2000      | 65 (28/37)            | Age, sex, hypertension, diabetes, lesion number and site   | RWM          | RWM plus Xiong sha bao capsule | AG       | PTCA or stent          | 6              | 6 Restenosis, recurrent angina, AMI                                     |
| Xu et al. 2002      | 108 (53/55)           | Age, sex, CAD classification                              | RWM          | RWM plus Xiong sha bao capsule | AG       | PTCA or stent          | 6              | 6 Restenosis, recurrent angina, AMI, CABG, revascularization             |
| Chen et al. 2006    | 314 (157/157)         | Age, sex, hypertension, diabetes, lesion number and site   | RWM plus placebo | RWM plus Xiong sha bao capsule | AG       | PTCA or stent          | 6              | 6 Restenosis, recurrent angina, death, CABG, revascularization           |
| Yao et al. 2006     | 76 (38/38)            | Age, sex, lesion number and site                          | RWM          | RWM plus Tong xin luo     | AG       | PTCA                   | 6              | 6 Restenosis, recurrent angina, LLS, NG, LANG                            |
| Yu et al. 1998      | 84 (43/41)            | Baseline patient characteristics, hypertension, diabetes, smoking, lesion number and degree of blockage | RWM          | RWM plus Xue fu zhu yu pill | AG       | Stent                  | 6              | 6 Restenosis, recurrent angina and accompanying symptoms                |
| Z. A. Yu and S. Y. Yu 2006 | 82 (42/40)           | Age, sex, course of CAD, lesion number and site and degree of blockage | RWM          | RWM plus Dan shen tablets | AG       | Stent                  | 6              | 6 Restenosis, recurrent angina, AMI, death                              |
Table 1: Continued.

| Author, year       | No. of patients (T/C) | Baseline characteristics of treatment and control groups | Intervention | CAD diagnostic guidelines | PCI type | Treatment course (mos) | Followup (mos) | Outcomes                      |
|--------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|----------|-----------------------|----------------|--------------------------------|
| Yi et al. 2005     | 40 (20/20)            | Age, sex, lesion numbers and sites, history of hypertension and diabetes | RWM          | AG PTCA or stent          |          | 6                     | 6              | Restenosis, recurrent angina   |
| Zhang et al. 2007  | 63 (33/30)            | Age, sex, hypertension, diabetes, smoking, lesion site, angina | RWM plus Guan tong jian ji | AG Stent     |          | 1                     | 4              | Angina symptoms               |
| Zhang et al. 2006  | 500 (250/250)         | Age, sex, hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipidemia, BMI, degree of blockage (narrative only) | RWM          | AG PTCA or stent          |          | 6                     | 6              | Restenosis, recurrent angina   |
| Zhao et al. 2009   | 68 (35/33)            | Age, sex, hypertension, diabetes, stenosis and lesion diameter of vascular lesion Baseline patient characteristics, lesion number and characteristics (narrative only) | RWM          | AG Stent     |          | 6                     | 6              | Restenosis                    |
| Zheng et al. 2004  | 66 (36/30)            | Baseline patient characteristics, lesion characteristics and degree of blockage, stent type (narrative only) | RWM          | AG Stent     |          | 6                     | 6              | Restenosis, recurrent angina, LLS, NG, LANG Restenosis, recurrent angina, AMI, CABG, repeat PCI Restenosis, recurrent angina, death, AMI, MACE, CABG, repeat PCI |
| Zhou and Guo 2007  | 136 (70/66)           | Baseline patient characteristics, lesion characteristics and degree of blockage, stent number | RWM          | AG Stent     |          | 6                     | 6              | Restenosis, recurrent angina, LLS, NG, LANG Restenosis, recurrent angina, AMI, CABG, repeat PCI Restenosis, recurrent angina, death, AMI, MACE, CABG, repeat PCI |
| Zhu et al. 2009    | 138 (70/68)           | Age, sex, hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipidemia, smoking, lesion number and degree of blockage, stent number | RWM          | AG DES       |          | 6                     | 6              | Restenosis, recurrent angina, LLS, NG, LANG Restenosis, recurrent angina, AMI, CABG, repeat PCI Restenosis, recurrent angina, death, AMI, MACE, CABG, repeat PCI |

AG = angiography; BMI = body mass index; BMS = bare metal stent; CABG = coronary artery bypass graft; CAD = coronary artery disease; DES = drug eluting stent; LANG = lesion area net gain; LLL = late loss of lumen; MLD = minimum lumen diameter; NG = net gain in lumen diameter; PCI = percutaneous coronary intervention; PTCA = percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty; RWM = routine Western medicine; T/C = treatment/control; TCM = traditional Chinese medicine.
Potential relevant articles, \( n = 522 \)

- 284 articles excluded because of overlap, according to title and author names
- 391 articles excluded by reading their abstracts:
  - irrelevant to CAD or PCI, \( n = 121 \);
  - narrative reviews, \( n = 37 \);
  - cardiac rehabilitation, \( n = 18 \);
  - animal experiments, \( n = 56 \);
  - molecular biology experiments, \( n = 62 \);
  - drug-eluting stent studies, \( n = 97 \)

Possible relevant articles, \( n = 131 \)

- 23 articles identified from scanning references of relevant narrative reviews

Full-text articles for more detailed evaluation, \( n = 154 \)

- 94 full-text articles excluded: irrelevant to Chinese herbal medicine, \( n = 61 \);
  - irrelevant to primary or secondary outcomes, \( n = 10 \);
  - not comparing same CHMs, \( n = 23 \)

Possible eligible articles, \( n = 60 \)

- 8 articles excluded: duplicate publication, \( n = 6 \);
  - primary outcome follow-up period < 3 months, \( n = 1 \);
  - CHM treatment period < 1 month, \( n = 1 \)

Eligible articles with usable information fulfilling all inclusion criteria, \( n = 52 \)

3.3. Methodological Quality of Included RCTs. Risk of bias in the studies is shown in Figure 2. Of the 52 studies, 19 studies reported randomization using random number tables or computer random number generator such as SAS. The remaining 33 studies reported “randomly allocating” participants, but the method of randomization was not
outcome data were incomplete. As a whole, 10 studies [44–51] had low risk of bias with high methodological quality. Most studies were found to be high risk of bias with low methodological quality. Most studies were found to be high risk of bias with low methodological quality.

3.4. Measures of Effect

3.4.1. Restenosis. In 40 studies on 29 Chinese herbal medicines (CHMs) comprised of 3805 patients, restenosis was assessed using coronary angiography after PCI with at least 3 months’ followup (Table 2). Compared to RWM alone, the rate of restenosis was clearly low in patients administered the same RWM plus CHMs. Of 29 CHMs, 9 CHMs (including Xiong shao capsule, Dan shen, Ge gen shu, Tong mai yu xin pill, Tong xin luo, Wen tong jian, Xue zhi kang, Yi xin capsule, and self-prepared guan tong decoction) showed significant ability in reducing restenosis. In particular, two studies [67, 81] on Xiongshao capsule (438 patients) appeared to have reliable results because their designs were randomized, double blinded, and placebo controlled. The overall risk ratio (RR) of restenosis was 0.46 with 95%CI = 0.27 to 0.76 compared to placebo.

3.4.2. Cardiac Mortality. In 10 studies on 9 CHMs, comprised of 628 patients in the treatment group and 667 patients in the control group, cardiac death was included as a measure of effect at the end of 6 months of follow-up after PCI. A pooled analysis of CHM plus RWM versus the same RWM found a statistically significant decrease in risk of cardiac death associated with CHM, though we did not

Table 2: Risk ratios of Chinese herbal medicines administered to prevent restenosis after PCI.

| Chinese herbal medicine                                      | Number of studies | Number of patients | Risk ratio (RR) | 95% CI of RR |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Bu xin yin plus RWM versus RWM                              | 1 [76]            | 44                 | 0.69            | 0.23–2.01    |
| Chuan qiong qing plus RWM versus RWM                        | 1 [65]            | 165                | 0.51            | 0.19–1.31    |
| Dan hong tong mai capsule plus RWM versus RWM               | 1 [91]            | 103                | 0.35            | 0.10–1.23    |
| Dan shen plus RWM versus RWM                                | 5 [44, 50, 52, 85, 86] | 388            | 0.27            | 0.14–0.52    |
| Ge gen shu plus RWM versus RWM                              | 1 [42]            | 76                 | 0.23            | 0.06–0.95    |
| Guan mai zhai tong decoction plus RWM versus RWM            | 1 [68]            | 92                 | 0.32            | 0.09–1.11    |
| Guan tong formula plus RWM versus RWM                       | 1 [54]            | 120                | 0.43            | 0.18–1.04    |
| Jia wei xue fu zu yu particle plus RWM versus RWM           | 1 [51]            | 40                 | 0.75            | 0.27–2.07    |
| Jiang lian he ji plus RWM versus RWM                        | 1 [63]            | 26                 | 0.57            | 0.11–2.87    |
| Ku lie zhi injection plus RWM versus RWM                    | 1 [78]            | 40                 | 1.00            | 0.07–14.9    |
| Lei gong teng plus RWM versus RWM                            | 2 [43, 64]        | 133                | 0.75            | 0.40–1.40    |
| Liang xue shen ji formula plus RWM versus RWM               | 1 [46]            | 97                 | 0.45            | 0.12–1.70    |
| Shen qi decoction plus RWM versus RWM                       | 1 [89]            | 68                 | 0.47            | 0.09–2.40    |
| Shu xin yin plus RWM versus RWM                             | 1 [75]            | 44                 | 0.69            | 0.23–2.01    |
| Si ni tang plus RWM versus RWM                              | 1 [59]            | 63                 | 0.55            | 0.14–2.09    |
| Tong guan capsule plus RWM versus RWM                       | 1 [70]            | 25                 | 0.67            | 0.26–1.72    |
| Tong mai yu xin plus RWM versus RWM                         | 2 [60, 62]        | 272                | 0.39            | 0.21–0.70    |
| Tong xin fang plus RWM versus RWM                           | 1 [49]            | 61                 | 1.03            | 0.23–4.72    |
| Tong xin luo plus RWM versus RWM                            | 4 [39, 79, 82, 90] | 328            | 0.34            | 0.20–0.59    |
| Wen tong jian plus RWM versus RWM                            | 1 [87]            | 467                | 0.03            | 0.00–0.49    |
| Xue fu zhu yu pin plus RWM versus RWM                       | 2 [72, 84]        | 157                | 0.61            | 0.32–1.16    |
| Xue yu tong he ji plus RWM versus RWM                       | 1 [69]            | 36                 | 1.0             | 0.16–6.35    |
| Xue zhi kang plus RWM versus RWM                            | 1 [48]            | 55                 | 0.30            | 0.09–0.99    |
| Xing mai fu tong decoction plus RWM versus RWM              | 1 [61]            | 70                 | 0.71            | 0.17–2.94    |
| Xiong shao capsule plus RWM versus RWM                      | 2 [40, 80]        | 173                | 0.43            | 0.23–0.81    |
| Yi qi hua yu formula plus RWM versus RWM                    | 1 [41]            | 100                | 0.13            | 0.02–1.10    |
| Yi xin capsule plus RWM versus RWM                          | 1 [77]            | 94                 | 0.50            | 0.27–0.91    |
| Self-prepared guantong decoction plus RWM versus RWM        | 1 [83]            | 30                 | 0.40            | 0.16–1.00    |
| Overall (CHMs plus RWM versus RWM)                          | 38                | 3367               | 0.43            | 0.36–0.51    |
| Xiong shao capsule plus RWM versus RWM plus placebo         | 2 [67, 81]        | 438                | 0.46            | 0.27–0.76    |
| Overall (CHMs plus RWM versus RWM plus placebo)             | 2                 | 438                | 0.46            | 0.27–0.76    |

CHM = Chinese herbal medicine; CI = confidence interval; RWM = routine Western medicine.
find a significant difference upon subgroup analysis based on different CHMs (Table 3).

3.4.3. Adverse Effects. Adverse effects due to CHMs were not mentioned in 30 studies. In 14 studies, the authors reported that there were no noteworthy adverse events. The remaining 8 studies on 7 CHMs reported adverse events, including gastrointestinal upset, granulocytopenia, elevated alanine transaminase (ALT), aphthous stomatitis, skin pruritus, papular urticaria. Most adverse events were not severe and disappeared without special treatment. With the CHM Lei gong teng, adverse events in the treatment group were higher than those in the control group (RR = 37.02, 95%CI = 2.29 to 597.88). With CHM Si ni tang, adverse events in the treatment group were lower than that of the control group (RR = 0.38, 95%CI = 0.21 to 0.69). There was no statistically significant difference between the two groups in the remaining 5 CHMs. Pooled analysis could not be done in 8 studies on 7 CHMs because of heterogeneity among the studies.

3.4.4. Recurrent Angina. Recurrent angina 6 months post-PCI was reported as a measure of effect in 33 studies comprised of 3375 patients (Table 4). The rate of recurrent angina in the RWM plus CHM group was lower than that of the same RWM alone group for the CHMs Dan hong tong mai capsule, Dan shen, Guan tong formula, Lei gong teng, Tong mai yi xin pill, Tong xin luo, Wen tong jian, Xiong shao capsule, Xue fu zhu yu pill, Xue zhi kang, Wen yang huo xue formula. A statistically significant difference was not observed for each of the remaining CHMs. Pooled results of these trials showed recurrent angina was significantly decreased. For Xiong shao capsule, risk of recurrent angina was clearly decreased in the CHM plus RWM group than in the same RWM plus placebo control group.

3.4.5. Major Adverse Cardiac Effects

Acute Myocardial Infarction (AMI). In 15 studies on 9 CHMs, involving 1551 patients, acute myocardial infarction was reported as a measure of effect 6 months after PCI (Table 5). No statistically significant difference was found in each study, but meta-analysis did show decreasing incidence of AMI in the CHM plus RWM group (RR = 0.22, 95%CI = 0.1 to 0.49).

Revascularization. Revascularization after the index PCI was reported as a measure of effect in 6 studies on 4 CHMs (Shu xin yin, Bu xin yin, Yi xin capsule, and Xiong shao capsule) comprised of 716 patients (Table 5). Numbers of revascularization in the CHM plus RWM group was not significantly lower than that in RWM alone group (RR = 0.64, 95% CI = 0.38 to 1.08). However, compared to RWM plus placebo, administering CHM Xiong shao capsule plus the same RWM (2 studies with 426 patients) clearly reduced the numbers of revascularization (RR = 0.48, 95%CI = 0.30 to 0.78).

Repeat PCI. Repeat PCI was reported as a measure of effect in 9 studies, which involved 8 CHMs with 807 patients (Table 5). Numbers of repeat PCI in the CHM plus RWM group was lower than that of the RWM alone group for Fu fang dan shen pill (RR = 0.14, 95%CI = 0.03 to 0.58) and Tong xin luo (RR = 0.16, 95%CI = 0.04 to 0.68). The remaining CHMs showed no statistically significant difference between the CHM plus RWM group and RWM alone group. But the overall result of their meta-analyses showed an obvious statistical difference between CHMs plus RWM versus RWM groups (RR = 0.37, 95%CI = 0.23 to 0.59).

Coronary Artery Bypass Graft. Coronary artery bypass graft (CABG) after index PCI was reported as a measure in 9 studies on 7 CHMs with 1141 patients (Table 5). CHM plus RWM was found to markedly reduce the risk of CABG over the same RWM alone (RR = 0.29, 95%CI = 0.09 to 0.96). But compared to RWM plus placebo, CHM Xiong shao capsule plus RWM could not reduce risk of CABG (RR = 0.20, 95%CI = 0.02 to 1.68).

3.4.6. Effect on Angina. Effect on angina was reported as a measure in 6 studies with 522 patients (Table 6). Two studies reported a followup period of 1 month, 2 studies reported a followup of 3 months, and 2 studies reported a followup of 6 months after index PCI. Angina improvement
in these studies was defined as “significant improvement,” “improvement,” and “no improvement” based on Chinese herbal medicine clinical research guidelines [92]. To permit overall analysis, we converted these outcomes into dichotomous data. We grouped together “significant improvement” and “improvement” as “effective,” and “no improvement” as “ineffective.” There was no statistically significant difference between CHM plus RWM versus RWM groups for every CHM except for Shen mai gualou shi xiao powder (RR = 1.55, 95%CI = 1.11 to 2.17). Furthermore, the results were unsuitable for meta-analysis pooling due to heterogeneity ($I^2$ = 60%).

### 3.4.7. Angiographic Measurements

Follow-up angiography was done on diffuse ISR 6 months after index PCI in 10 studies on 8 CHMs with 811 patients. Baseline information shows that the mean minimal luminal diameter (MLD) before and immediately after index PCI and gain in luminal diameter following stent placement were comparable between the comparison groups.

**Minimum Lumen Diameter (MLD).** Minimum lumen diameter is defined as smallest diameter of the lesion area being treated [93]. MLD was measured in 7 CHM studies (Table 7). The CHM plus RWM groups showed significant MLD improvement over the same RWM alone or plus placebo for Buxin yin plus RWM versus RWM ($RR = 0.60, 95\% CI = 0.33$ to 1.10). The pooled results for reducing late loss of lumen in the treatment group over the control group. There was no significant difference in the study on Fu fang dan shen capsule (MD (mean difference) = 0.05 mm, 95%CI = 0.04 to 0.26 mm), Guan tong formula (MD = 0.21 mm, 95%CI = 0.06 to 0.36 mm) and Xiong shao capsule (placebo control; MD = 0.49 mm, 95%CI = 0.12 to 0.86 mm).

### Late Loss of Lumen (LLL)

Late loss of lumen is defined as the decreased amount in lumen diameter after PCI, which is calculated by subtracting MLD at followup from MLD immediately post-procedure [93]. LLL was measured in 6 studies on 5 CHMs after 6 months’ followup (Table 7). Five studies on 4 CHMs (Guan tong formula, Tongxin luo, Shuxue tong injection, and Lei gong teng) reported significantly better results for reducing late loss of lumen in the treatment group over the control group. There was no significant difference in the study on Fu fang dan shen capsule. The pooled results showed significant difference (MD = -0.24 mm, 95%CI = -0.34 to -0.15 mm).

### Net Gain in Lumen Diameter (NG)

Net gain in lumen diameter is defined as the net increase in MLD after PCI [93].

---

### Table 4: Risk ratios of Chinese herbal medicines administered to prevent recurrent angina after PCI.

| Chinese herbal medicine              | Number of studies | Number of patients | Risk ratio (RR) | 95% CI of RR |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------|
| Buxin yin plus RWM versus RWM        | 1 [76]            | 44                 | 0.60           | 0.33 to 1.10 |
| Danhong tong mai capsule plus RWM versus RWM | 1 [91]            | 138                | 0.24           | 0.07 to 0.82 |
| Danshen plus RWM versus RWM          | 5 [44, 50, 57, 85, 86] | 390               | 0.24           | 0.15 to 0.41 |
| Guan tong formula plus RWM versus RWM | 1 [54]            | 120                | 0.27           | 0.08 to 0.93 |
| Jia wei xue fu zhu yu particle plus RWM versus RWM | 1 [51]            | 60                 | 0.45           | 0.18 to 1.15 |
| Jiang lian he ji plus RWM versus RWM | 1 [63]            | 69                 | 0.71           | 0.30 to 1.70 |
| Leigong teng plus RWM versus RWM     | 1 [64]            | 75                 | 0.39           | 0.17 to 0.94 |
| Liang xue shen jyi formula plus RWM versus RWM | 1 [46]            | 97                 | 0.52           | 0.16 to 1.65 |
| Shuxue tong injection plus RWM versus RWM | 1 [56]            | 43                 | 0.37           | 0.11 to 1.25 |
| Shuxin yin plus RWM versus RWM       | 1 [75]            | 44                 | 0.60           | 0.33 to 1.10 |
| Tongguan capsule plus RWM versus RWM | 1 [70]            | 50                 | 0.67           | 0.22 to 2.01 |
| Tongmaitai yixin plus RWM versus RWM | 2 [54]            | 272                | 0.41           | 0.26 to 0.65 |
| Tongxinguo luo plus RWM versus RWM   | 3 [79, 82, 90]    | 344                | 0.27           | 0.13 to 0.54 |
| Wengong jian plus RWM versus RWM     | 1 [87]            | 467                | 0.30           | 0.17 to 0.52 |
| Wenyang huo xue formula plus RWM versus RWM | 1 [47]            | 120                | 0.53           | 0.38 to 0.75 |
| Xuefuzhu yu pin plus RWM versus RWM  | 2 [72, 84]        | 157                | 0.44           | 0.25 to 0.78 |
| Xueyu tonghe ji plus RWM versus RWM  | 1 [69]            | 36                 | 0.67           | 0.23 to 1.97 |
| Xuezhi kang plus RWM versus RWM      | 1 [48]            | 60                 | 0.38           | 0.16 to 0.94 |
| Xiongshao capsule plus RWM versus RWM| 2 [40, 80]        | 173                | 0.48           | 0.31 to 0.75 |
| Yiqihua yu formula plus RWM versus RWM| 1 [41]            | 60                 | 0.44           | 0.15 to 1.29 |
| Yixincapsule plus RWM versus RWM     | 1 [77]            | 94                 | 0.46           | 0.20 to 1.05 |
| Self-prepared guan tong decoction plus RWM versus RWM | 1 [83]            | 40                 | 0.33           | 0.11 to 1.05 |
| Overall (CHM plus RWM versus RWM)    | 31                | 2953               | 0.40           | 0.35 to 0.47 |
| Xiongshao capsule plus RWM versus RWM plus placebo | 2 [67, 81]     | 422                | 0.25           | 0.17 to 0.37 |
| CHM plus RWM versus RWM plus placebo | 2                | 422                | 0.25           | 0.17 to 0.37 |

CHM = Chinese herbal medicine; CI = confidence interval; RWM = routine Western medicine.
| Chinese herbal medicine | Number of studies | Number of patients | Risk ratio (RR) | 95% CI of RR |
|--------------------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------|--------------|
| **Acute myocardial infarction** |                  |                   |                |              |
| Bu xin yin plus RWM versus RWM | 1 [76]          | 44                | 0.24           | 0.01~4.69    |
| Dan hong tong mai capsule plus RWM versus RWM | 1 [91]          | 138               | 0.14           | 0.01~2.64    |
| Dan shen plus RWM versus RWM | 2 [44, 85]       | 168               | 0.14           | 0.02~1.11    |
| Fu fang dan shen pill plus RWM versus RWM | 2 [50, 57]      | 156               | 0.32           | 0.03~2.99    |
| Ku lie zi injection plus RWM versus RWM | 1 [78]          | 40                | 0.33           | 0.01~7.72    |
| Shu xin yin plus RWM versus RWM | 1 [75]          | 44                | 0.24           | 0.01~4.69    |
| Tong xin luo plus RWM versus RWM | 2 [79, 90]      | 268               | 0.25           | 0.03~2.22    |
| Xiong shao capsule plus RWM versus RWM | 2 [40, 80]      | 173               | 0.14           | 0.02~1.06    |
| Yi xin capsule plus RWM versus RWM | 1 [77]          | 94                | 0.65           | 0.06~6.88    |
| **Overall (CHMs plus RWM versus RWM)** | 13               | 1125              | 0.22           | 0.10~0.49    |
| Xiong shao capsule plus RWM versus RWM plus placebo | 2 [73]          | 426               | 0.59           | 0.08~4.41    |
| **Revascularization** |                  |                   |                |              |
| Shu xin yin plus RWM versus RWM | 1 [75]          | 44                | 0.69           | 0.23~2.01    |
| Bu xin yin plus RWM versus RWM | 1 [76]          | 44                | 0.69           | 0.23~2.01    |
| Yi xin capsule plus RWM versus RWM | 1 [77]          | 94                | 0.43           | 0.02~10.26   |
| Xiong shao capsule plus RWM versus RWM | 1 [40]          | 108               | 0.62           | 0.30~1.30    |
| **Overall (CHMs plus RWM versus RWM)** | 4               | 290               | 0.64           | 0.38~1.08    |
| Xiong shao capsule plus RWM versus RWM plus placebo | 2 [67, 81]     | 426               | 0.48           | 0.30~0.78    |
| **Repeat PCI** |                  |                   |                |              |
| Dan hong tong mai capsule plus RWM versus RWM | 1 [91]          | 138               | 0.39           | 0.08~1.94    |
| Dan shen plus RWM versus RWM | 1 [52]          | 80                | 1.0            | 0.21~4.66    |
| Fu fang dan shen pill plus RWM versus RWM | 2 [50, 57]      | 156               | 0.14           | 0.03~0.58    |
| Ku lie zi injection plus RWM versus RWM | 1 [78]          | 40                | 0.33           | 0.01~7.72    |
| Lei gong teng plus RWM versus RWM | 1 [43]          | 80                | 0.56           | 0.20~1.51    |
| Liang xue sheng ji formula plus RWM versus RWM | 1 [46]          | 97                | 0.54           | 0.14~2.14    |
| Si ni tang plus RWM versus RWM | 1 [59]          | 80                | 0.56           | 0.20~1.51    |
| Tong xin luo plus RWM versus RWM | 1 [90]          | 136               | 0.16           | 0.04~0.68    |
| **Overall (CHMs plus RWM versus RWM)** | 9               | 807               | 0.37           | 0.23~0.59    |
| **CABG** |                  |                   |                |              |
| Dan hong tong mai capsule plus RWM versus RWM | 1 [91]          | 138               | 0.14           | 0.01~2.64    |
| Dan shen plus RWM versus RWM | 1 [52]          | 80                | Not estimable  |              |
| Fu fang dan shen pill plus RWM versus RWM | 2 [50, 57]      | 156               | 0.32           | 0.03~2.99    |
| Liang xue sheng ji formula plus RWM versus RWM | 1 [46]          | 97                | 0.30           | 0.01~7.22    |
| Tong xin luo plus RWM versus RWM | 1 [90]          | 136               | 0.19           | 0.01~3.86    |
| Xiong shao capsule plus RWM versus RWM | 1 [40]          | 108               | 1.04           | 0.07~16.2    |
| **Overall (CHMs plus RWM versus RWM)** | 7               | 715               | 0.29           | 0.09~0.96    |
| Xiong shao capsule plus RWM versus RWM plus placebo | 2 [67, 81]     | 426               | 0.20           | 0.02~1.68    |
| **Overall (CHMs plus RWM versus RWM plus placebo)** | 2               | 426               | 0.20           | 0.02~1.68    |

CABG = coronary artery bypass graft; CHM = Chinese herbal medicine; CI = confidence interval; PCI = percutaneous coronary intervention; RWM = routine Western medicine; MACE = major adverse cardiac event.

NG after 6 months’ followup was measured in 4 studies on 4 CHMs (Table 7). Significant difference was found between the treatment group plus RWM and the control group with the same RWM alone for Guan tong formula (MD = 0.21 mm, 95%CI = 0.08 to 0.34 mm) and Shu xue tong injection (MD = 0.25 mm, 95%CI = 0.09 to 0.41 mm). The pooled results of meta-analyses, suggested that CHMs plus RWM increased net gain of lumen compared to using RWM alone (MD = 0.2 mm, 95%CI = 0.11 to 0.29 mm). These results are displayed in Table 7.

Lesion Area Net Gain (LANG). Lesion area net gain is defined as the net increase in lumen area before PCI and at followup after PCI [53, 55, 64, 82, 86]. Five studies on
### Table 6: Risk ratios of Chinese herbal medicines administered to prevent angina after PCI.

| Chinese herbal medicine                                      | Number of studies | Number of patients | Risk ratio (RR) | 95% CI of RR       |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| **Followup 1 month**                                        |                   |                    |                 |                    |
| Shan shen tong mai he ji plus RWM versus RWM               | 1 [74]            | 102                | 1.18            | 0.95~1.45          |
| Shen mai gua lou shi xiao power plus RWM versus RWM       | 1 [88]            | 63                 | 1.55            | 1.11~2.17          |
| *Overall (CHMs plus RWM versus RWM)*                       | 2                 | 165                | 1.31            | 1.00~1.72          |
| **Followup 3 months**                                       |                   |                    |                 |                    |
| Tong guan capsule plus RWM versus RWM                      | 1 [58]            | 52                 | 1.26            | 0.98~1.64          |
| Yi qu huo xue formula plus RWM versus RWM                  | 1 [73]            | 101                | 1.07            | 0.98~1.16          |
| *Overall (CHMs plus RWM versus RWM)*                       | 2                 | 153                | 1.13            | 0.93~1.36          |
| **Followup 6 months**                                       |                   |                    |                 |                    |
| Xue fu zhu yu pill plus RWM versus RWM                     | 1 [84]            | 84                 | 1.02            | 0.57~1.84          |
| Wen yang huo xue formula plus RWM versus RWM               | 1 [47]            | 120                | 1.05            | 0.99~1.12          |
| *Overall (CHMs plus RWM versus RWM)*                       | 2                 | 204                | 1.13            | 0.99~1.12          |
| **Total overall (CHMs plus RWM versus RWM)**                | 6                 | 522                | 1.13            | 1.02~1.26          |

*Random effect model

CHM = Chinese herbal medicine; CI = confidence interval; PCI = percutaneous coronary intervention; RWM = routine Western medicine.

### Table 7: Effect of Chinese herbal medicines on angiographic characteristics after PCI.

| Chinese herbal medicine                                      | Number of studies | Number of patients | Mean difference | 95% CI of mean difference |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|
| **Minimum lumen diameter (mm)**                             |                   |                    |                 |                            |
| Fu fang dan shen plus RWM versus RWM                        | 1 [86]            | 47                 | 0.05            | 0.04~0.26                  |
| Guan tong formula plus RWM versus RWM                       | 1 [54]            | 120                | 0.21            | 0.06~0.36                  |
| Lei gong teng plus RWM versus RWM                           | 2 [43, 64]        | 119                | 0.11            | −0.13~0.36                 |
| Si ni tang plus RWM versus RWM                              | 1 [59]            | 63                 | 0.26            | −0.03~0.55                 |
| Tong xin fang plus RWM versus RWM                           | 1 [49]            | 61                 | −0.10           | −0.44~0.24                 |
| *Overall (CHMs plus RWM versus RWM)*                        | 6                 | 410                | **0.15**        | **0.05~0.24**              |
| Xiong shao capsule plus RWM versus RWM plus placebo         | 1 [67]            | 97                 | 0.49            | 0.12~0.86                  |
| CHMs plus RWM versus RWM plus placebo                       |                   |                    |                 |                            |
| **Late loss of lumen (mm)**                                 |                   |                    |                 |                            |
| Fu fang dan shen plus RWM versus RWM                        | 1 [86]            | 47                 | 0.00            | −0.22~0.22                 |
| Guan tong formula plus RWM versus RWM                       | 1 [54]            | 120                | −0.16           | −0.28~0.04                 |
| Lei gong teng plus RWM versus RWM                           | 1 [64]            | 53                 | −0.30           | −0.48~0.12                 |
| Shu xue tong injection plus RWM versus RWM                  | 1 [56]            | 64                 | −0.29           | −0.44~0.14                 |
| Tong xin luo plus RWM versus RWM                            | 2 [55, 82]        | 148                | −0.33           | −0.46~0.21                 |
| *Overall (CHMs plus RWM versus RWM)*                        | 6                 | 432                | **−0.24**       | **−0.34~−0.15**           |
| **Net gain in lumen diameter (mm)**                          |                   |                    |                 |                            |
| Fu fang dan shen plus RWM versus RWM                        | 1 [86]            | 47                 | 0.04            | −0.18~0.26                 |
| Guan tong formula plus RWM versus RWM                       | 1 [54]            | 120                | 0.21            | 0.08~0.34                  |
| Lei gong teng plus RWM versus RWM                           | 1 [64]            | 53                 | 0.28            | −0.03~0.39                 |
| Shu xue tong injection plus RWM versus RWM                  | 1 [56]            | 64                 | 0.25            | 0.09~0.41                  |
| *Overall (CHMs plus RWM versus RWM)*                        | 4                 | 284                | **0.20**        | **0.11~0.29**              |
| **Lesion area net gain (mm²)**                               |                   |                    |                 |                            |
| Fu fang dan shen plus RWM versus RWM                        | 1 [86]            | 47                 | 0.06            | −0.54~0.66                 |
| Guan tong formula plus RWM versus RWM                       | 1 [54]            | 120                | 0.66            | 0.25~1.07                  |
| Lei gong teng plus RWM versus RWM                           | 1 [64]            | 53                 | 0.66            | 0.41~0.91                  |
| Tong xin luo plus RWM versus RWM                            | 2 [55, 82]        | 148                | 0.99            | 0.64~1.33                  |
| *Overall (CHMs plus RWM versus RWM)*                        | 5                 | 368                | **0.69**        | **0.52~0.87**              |

*Random effect model

CHM = Chinese herbal medicine; CI = confidence interval; PCI = percutaneous coronary intervention; RWM = routine Western medicine.
4 CHMs measured LANG at 6 months’ followup after PCI (Table 7). The CHMs Guan tong formula, Tong xin luo, and Lei gong teng demonstrated a significant improvement in LANG. The same result was not found with the fourth CHM, Fu fang dan shen capsule. The pooled results of meta-analysis were statistically significant (MD = 0.69 mm², 95%CI = 0.52 to 0.87 mm²).

3.4.8. Quality of Life. Two studies [45, 71] with randomized, double-blind and placebo-control design reported quality of life 1 month after PCI using the Chinese versions of the Seattle Angina Questionnaire (SAQ) and Short-Form 36 (SF-36) Health Survey. The SAQ is a 19-item self-administered questionnaire that assesses how patients with CHD are faring in five dimensions: physical limitation (PL), angina stability (AS), angina frequency (AF), treatment satisfaction (TS), and disease perception (DP). The results of comparing Xue fu zhu yu capsule plus RWM with the same RWM plus placebo suggested that receiving CHM had a positive effect on AS (MD = 12.13, 95%CI = 4.61 to 19.65) and TS (MD = 12.13, 95%CI = 6.19 to 17.87). However, in the study with Tong guan capsule, the results were contrary to that of Xue fu zhu yu capsule: AS (MD = −36.2, 95%CI = −48.97 to −23.43), PL (MD = −11.32, 95%CI = −19.38 to −3.26), AF (MD = −35.68, 95%CI = −50.16 to −20.6), TS (MD = −25.03, 95%CI = −33.93 to −16.13), and DP (MD = −9.79, 95%CI = −35.95 to −16.38). Pooled results were not available because of heterogeneity and the I²(%) ranging from 88% to 98%.

SF-36 is a survey of patient health comprised of eight multiple-item scales measuring these dimensions: physical function (PF), role-physical (RP), bodily pain (BP), general health (GH), vitality (VT), social function (SF), role-emotional (RE), and mental health (MH). There is also a single-item measure that assesses health transition (HT). Patients receiving Tong guan capsule had low scores on all dimensions except for RP when compared to placebo control. Patients administered Xue fu zhu yu capsule scored high only on the RE dimension when compared to placebo control. We did not find any statistically significant difference between the treatment and placebo groups in the remaining dimensions. As with the SAQ, pooled results were not available because of heterogeneity with I²(%) ranging from 85% to 98%.

4. Discussion

In this systematic review, 52 studies accounting for 4905 CAD patients who underwent PCI were identified. Definitive randomization was found in 19 studies and 33 studies were found to be lacking definitive randomization. For the latter, we attempted to contact authors by telephone or e-mail for further information. But most replies were unsatisfactory and did not resolve our questions; other authors did not reply. Therefore, as a whole, the included studies were of low quality. Of all studies, only four were designed to compare CHMs plus RWM versus the same RWM plus placebo [45, 67, 71, 81]. The remaining studies were designed to compare CHMs plus RWM versus the same RWM alone.

In the primary outcomes, 40 studies with 3805 patients assessed in-stent restenosis after PCI. Twenty of these studies involving 10 CHMs showed clear evidence of decrease in restenosis rate. Furthermore, 13 of these studies were of low or moderate risk of bias over a minimum 6 months’ followup (Xiong shao capsule [67, 80, 81], Dan shen [44, 52, 85], Guan tong formula [54], Bu xin yin [76], Jiang lian he ji [63] Shu xin yin [75], Tong guan capsule [70], self-prepared guang tong decoction [83], and Xue yu tong he ji [69]). Therefore, a moderate definitive conclusion can be drawn that CHMs are beneficial for preventing coronary restenosis after PCI. In particular, the CHM Xiong shao capsule, which was studied in 4 trials with 613 patients showed strong evidence with low risk of bias in preventing restenosis [40, 67, 80, 81]. Other CHMs including Dan shen [44, 50, 52, 85, 86] and Tong xin luo capsule [55, 79, 82, 90] showed the same significant result. But a definitive conclusion cannot be drawn because of limited numbers of patients in these trials as well as their low methodological quality.

We were unable to conclude whether CHMs decrease cardiac mortality during 6 months’ followup after PCI. Although the result of meta-analysis showed a statistically significant difference between control groups, we could not assess a similar result in the analysis of any single relevant trial. This may be due to the limited patient numbers in these studies.

Adverse events in the treatment groups were generally higher than in controls for 5 CHMs, but a statistically significant difference was found in only one study on the CHM Lei gong teng [43]. Adverse reactions in these studies were reported as mild. Therefore, we feel further investigation is needed to confirm these reports.

In this review we also examined secondary outcomes, measures of effect post-PCI. Recurrent angina was followed up for 6 months in 33 studies involving 22 CHMs. In 21 of these studies with 11 CHMs, patients in the treatment group had significantly lower incidence of recurrent angina than those in the control group. Dan shen (capsule or pill) in 5 studies [44, 50, 57, 85, 86], Xiong shao capsule in 4 studies [40, 67, 80, 81], Tong xin luo capsule in 3 studies [79, 82, 90], and Tong mai yu xin concentrated pill in 2 studies [60, 62] were significantly better than the control at reducing recurrent angina after PCI. Minimum lumen diameter in 7 studies with 6 CHMs, late loss of lumen in 6 studies with 5 CHMs, net gain in lumen diameter in 4 studies with 4 CHMs, and lesion area net gain in 5 studies with 4 CHMs were measured, with results of meta-analyses being statistically significant. Studies on the following CHMs revealed angiographic results in the treatment groups were significantly better than in the control group: Guan tong formula [54] for minimum lumen diameter, late loss of lumen, net gain in lumen diameter, and lesion area net gain; Tong xin luo capsule [82] for late loss of lumen and area net gain in lumen diameter; Shu xue tong [56] for late loss of lumen and area net gain in lumen diameter; Xiong shao capsule [67] for minimum lumen diameter; Lei gong teng [64] for late loss of lumen and area net gain.

Our meta-analysis found possible benefit in Chinese herbal medicine compared to control in the rates of
restenosis, cardiac mortality, recurrent angina, and in MLD, NG, LLL, and LANG. Specifically, the CHMs Xiong shao capsule and Dan shen appeared to markedly reduce rates of restenosis and recurrent angina, and the CHM Tong xin luo was found to significantly reduce restenosis, recurrent angina, LLL, and LANG. The baseline characteristics of most studies, such as age, gender, severity of CAD, degree of coronary stenosis before PCI, and stent type were not significantly different between the treatment and control groups. Nevertheless, concluding that CHMs have definitive preventive effects on restenosis after PCI would be premature because most of the studies were of low quality with shortcomings such as inadequate concealment, nonreporting of dropouts, and their incomplete outcomes data point to the possibility of bias. Additionally, clinical heterogeneity was apparent because different categories of CHMs were used.

The key limitations of our review were quality of the included studies. Ideally, RCTs should adhere to known research design standards. For example, the medication, dosage, and course should be identical in the control groups, and when including patients with different levels of illness, the trial should use stratified randomization. Our examination of these studies did not find enough details of these characteristics though most of the included studies did report comparable baselines between comparison groups. Details about randomization methodology were also lacking. In the 52 studies we reviewed, 19 trials reported randomization using a random number table or computer random number generator such as SAS software, and 10 trials mentioned using sealed, opaque envelope concealment without further explanation. In addition, in some studies post-PCI angiographic assessment for restenosis was not carried out in comparable patient numbers between the study groups. For example, the authors of one study reported 30 patients in the treatment group and 45 patients in the control group. Post-PCI angiography was done on only 19 patients with 24 lesion vessels in the treatment group and on 26 patients with 29 lesion vessels in the control group, with 8 vessels in the treatment group and 13 vessels in control group determined to have restenosis [64]. No explanation was given as to why angiographic assessment was not carried out on comparable patient numbers. Thus this type of incomplete outcome data can lead to selection bias.

Studies that involve therapeutic trials should also report adverse events regardless of whether or not they occurred. Reporting of adverse effects is very important for evaluating the safety of interventional measures even though there is no certainty that the adverse event is related to the interventional measure. Furthermore, adverse events can affect study dropout rates. In our review, only 22 of the 52 trials we investigated reported adverse events, rendering it difficult to systematically evaluate the safety of CHMs for restenosis.

Most of the 52 studies did not mention type of stent deployed, bare metal versus drug eluting. Therefore, the level of effectiveness of CHMs is unknown when different stent types are used. Future research on this topic will help elucidate this.

Another area that did not receive attention in the studies is the effect of diabetes on restenosis. Persons with diabetes who undergo PCI with stent placement have a high rate of restenosis [94, 95]. Though diabetes was included in baseline patient characteristics in the treatment and control groups in most of the 52 studies of this review, none of the reports indicated the impact diabetes may or may not have had on restenosis. Furthermore, in China, CHMs are widely used in the treatment of diabetes [26]. Researchers may want to factor in these issues when designing future studies.

5. Conclusion and Recommendations

From this review, we conclude CHMs may have moderate efficacy in preventing restenosis following percutaneous coronary intervention with stent placement. This is despite the fact that our investigation revealed unclear methodological quality, clinical heterogeneity, and some possible bias in the identified studies. Among the CHMs, Xiong shao capsule appears to be somewhat effective in preventing restenosis because studies involving this CHM were of low bias and had sufficient patient numbers. The CHM Dan shen (capsule or pill) appears to have latent beneficial efficacy in preventing restenosis because there were relatively more studies and patient numbers for this CHM. Therefore, we recommend that Dan shen should be a priority for further research. We did not find evidence of a beneficial effect for administering CHMs to prevent major adverse cardiac effects due to restenosis after PCI.

Future trials on CHMs as therapy to prevent restenosis post-PCI need to adhere to established design standards to overcome the limitations presented in this review. In particular, they should ensure adequate concealment of allocation and binding of primary outcomes assessors.
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