Erratum to: Osteogenic differentiation of dental pulp stem cells under the influence of three different materials

Sumaiah A. Ajlan1*, Nahid Y. Ashri1, Abdullah M. Aldahmash2,3 and May S. Alnbaheen4

After publication of this work [1], the authors noticed that Figs. 1 and 4 are duplicated. The original version of this article was corrected. The publisher apologizes for any inconvenience caused.

The correct Fig. 1 is given below:
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Fig. 1 Inverted light microscopic images showing (a) dental pulp mesenchymal stem cells at primary culture, Magnification 5×. (b) Colony forming unit Fibroblast (CFU-F) magnification 5×, Alkaline phosphatase staining for DPSCs 14 days after osteoinduction (c) versus negative control (d), magnification 10×, and Oil red O staining for DPSCs 14 days after adipogenic induction (e) versus negative control (f), magnification 40×. (g) FACS analysis results of a representative dental pulp cell line.