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Abstract
As the demand for the transmission of electric power and communication signals in automotive and aerospace vehicles increases, so does the number of structures comprising simplified one-dimensional attachments, such as electrical cabling, affixed to a host plate like primary structure. These attachments are typically uncertain in their geometric or material properties, potentially affecting the response of the built-up structure. Difficulties then arise in the prediction of the response of the assembly. This study shows how the variability, due to the uncertain attachments, might be reduced by considering flexible connections. A mobility analysis compares systems connected with either rigid links or elastic springs. A frequency is identified at which the assembly dynamically uncouples; the effect on the host response variability due to the uncertain attachment decreases above this frequency with a reduction of the order of 60 dB in the coefficient of variation. This uncoupling or effective isolation frequency can be simply estimated from the mobility of the elastic connection and the properties of the nominal structural attachment. For design purposes, this frequency can be adjusted to achieve a more predictable response above a given frequency.
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Introduction
Vibration analysis requires the dynamic characteristics of a structure to be known, so geometry and mechanical properties, boundary conditions and connections between the structural components are necessary input parameters. One issue for estimating the structural response is the manufacturing process variability in the nominal material and geometric properties, leading to different dynamic responses of nominally identical components. This article proposes an approach to reduce the variability in coupled structures comprising a one-dimensional waveguide connected to a two-dimensional waveguide through a finite number of point connections. The one-dimensional waveguide can represent structures such as cable bundles, hydraulic pipes, reinforcement ribs, etc., whilst the host structure can be an aircraft fuselage, a satellite body or a car body-in-white.

Aerospace electrical cable or wiring harnesses are often 10% of the total mass, but they could be as much as 30%. The current standard method of modelling these as lumped masses at connection locations is not sufficiently accurate. Therefore, a model is required that goes beyond considering the attachment as lumped masses taking into account the structural dynamic interaction of structures such as electrical wiring, or determining when this interaction is relevant for the forced response. The effects of adding light (harness-to-host mass ratio up to 8%) untensioned cabling to a structure can be divided into two frequency ranges. At low frequencies, due to mass loading, the natural frequencies of the host structure are lowered and the positions of the modelled point masses could be optimised to minimise the response over a frequency band. At high frequencies, wiring cables increase the system modal damping ratios; strongly coupled modes can result in a dramatic reduction in the system quality factors. This damping benefit contrasts to uncertainty due to the variability at higher frequencies being sensitive to relatively small changes in the cables, i.e. cross-section geometry,
additional taping or sheathing.\textsuperscript{5} Assuming that the source of variability in the response of the connected structure is largely due to uncertainty in the wiring represented as a one-dimensional waveguide, the use of nominally identical elastic connections could be used to reduce this uncertainty and identify an isolation frequency. The uncertainties in the one-dimensional waveguide could be due to variation in the geometry, density, etc. but herein it is considered that the Young’s modulus is uncertain and varies along the length of the wiring represented by a low stiffness beam.

### Mobility analysis and formulation

Mobility methods can be used for describing the behaviour of continuous or simple lumped parameter systems\textsuperscript{6} and for the dynamic behaviour of both a directly excited structure and one connected to it in a coupled system.\textsuperscript{7} For the point connection, it is assumed that coupling is only through the transverse out-of-plane deflections with small displacements in both the host plate and the attached beam, hence linearity is assumed. A typical automotive wiring has a non-negligible bending stiffness,\textsuperscript{8} therefore for later beam to plate models it is assumed that the cable is represented by a low stiffness linear beam, with no contact other than at discrete point connections. It is important to note that the shear deformation and rotary inertia of the beam are also neglected in the study.

Wave-based methods such as the wave based method\textsuperscript{9,10} (WBM), the spectral element method,\textsuperscript{11,12} the semi-analytical FE method\textsuperscript{13} and the wave and finite element\textsuperscript{14–16} (WFE) usually require the structures to be homogeneous; this article deals with uncertainties in the one-dimensional structural attachments. They are assumed to have slowly varying properties along their length, i.e., there are no sudden changes in the properties along their length. This condition is met if\textsuperscript{17}

\[
\left[ \frac{1}{k_b} \frac{dx}{dx} \right] \ll 1, \text{ where } k_b \text{ is the beam flexural wavenumber.}
\]

The Wentzel, Kramers and Brillouin (WKB) approximation is suitable to find the waveguide mobility, rather than attempting to predict the uncertain modes shapes and natural frequencies. WKB allows modifications of plane-wave propagating solutions in media slowly varying when compared to the wavelength.\textsuperscript{18} The fundamental assumption is that the properties of the waveguide along the propagation axis vary slowly enough and do not lead to internal reflections due to local changes or that they can be neglected.\textsuperscript{19} It also retains the interpretation of positive-going and negative-going travelling waves, so allowing the use of a wave approach similar to the homogeneous waveguide case and the inclusion of random fields to treat variability.\textsuperscript{2} If the travelling or propagating wave reaches a local cut-off, or cutoff, region, the WKB approximation fails. Those are known as turning points and they lead to internal reflection, when the main assumption of the method breaks down.\textsuperscript{2} Generalising the work by Fabro et al.,\textsuperscript{2} one can find the condition that the random field has to fulfil in order for the WKB approximation to be valid\textsuperscript{20} and the subsequent expressions for the mobility of a finite beam with slowly varying properties at an arbitrary point.\textsuperscript{20}

Figure 1 shows the waves necessary to derive the beam mobility. The mobility at an arbitrary point $Y(\omega)$ (in ms$^{-1}$N$^{-1}$) is given by

\[
Y(\omega) = \frac{i\omega(c_2^+ + c_2^-)}{F}
\]

where $c_2^+$ and $c_2^-$ are the right-going and left-going waves derived in Appendix 1 including the evanescent, respectively.

The variability of the mechanical properties can be treated as random fields\textsuperscript{22} and can be modelled using spatially correlated variability.\textsuperscript{22–24} One can describe a random field $H(x)$ as a set of random variables described by a continuous parameter $x \in D$, where $D$ describes the system geometry or domain.\textsuperscript{22} The Karhunen–Loève (KL) expansion is used\textsuperscript{2} to treat strongly correlated random fields. The KL is the optimal expansion in the sense that the mean-square error associated by approximating the infinite series with a finite number of terms is minimised.\textsuperscript{25–27} If only the mean value and a finite variance of a process are known, a Gaussian distribution has the maximum entropy\textsuperscript{25} and it is the one that best describes the knowledge about the process. Hence it will be used to describe the random field.

The KL expansion is used for the zero-mean random field, truncating the series at $N_{kl}$ terms\textsuperscript{2,24} in the domain $0 \leq x \leq L$, where $L$ is the length of the beam. For simplicity, this article assumes that only the Young's modulus of the connected structure is...
uncertain. The Young's modulus is expressed by 

\[ E(x) = E_0[1 + \sigma H(x)] \]

where \( E_0 \) is the nominal value and \( \sigma \) is a dispersion term to quantify the influence of 

\[ H(x) \]

in the mean nominal value \( E_0 \). Assuming small dispersion, \( |\sigma H(x)| \ll 1 \) and that \( [1 + \sigma H(x)]^{-1} \approx 1 - \frac{\sigma}{2} H(x) \), the change in phase \( \theta \) for a travelling wave between points is given by the integral of the wavenumber \( k_p \)

\[ \theta = \int_{x_1}^{x_2} k_p(x)dx \]

\[ \approx \sqrt{\alpha \left( \frac{\rho H A}{E_0 l} \right)^{1/4} \left[ 1 - \frac{\sigma}{4} H(x) \right] } \]  

\[ \theta = \int_{x_1}^{x_2} k_p(x)dx \]

\[ \approx \sqrt{\alpha \left( \frac{\rho H A}{E_0 l} \right)^{1/4} \left[ 1 - \frac{\sigma}{4} H(x) \right] } \]  

where \( \xi_j \) is Gaussian zero-mean, unit standard-deviation, independent random variable, \( \beta_j = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_j}{w_{2j}^2 + c^2}} \) are the eigenvalues of the Fredholm integral equation involving the covariance function, \( L \) is the length of the domain, i.e. the beam, \( c = \frac{1}{b_j} \) is the correlation length and \( w_{2j} \) are the \( j \)th roots of the transcendental equation \( w_{2j} \tan w_{2j} - c = 0 \).

**Coupling structures using a mobility approach**

Once the input and transfer mobilities are known, it is possible to couple the structures using the continuity and equilibrium conditions expressed in terms of the mobility matrices. Abolfathi et al. used mobilities to connect plates with only one connection point to investigate the connected structure variability. Uncertain parameters for the mount and the plates were considered, considering the properties of the mount and modal damping being more likely to be uncertain than the plate properties. Here the uncertain attachment, cable wiring with slowly varying properties represented by low bending stiffness beams, is connected to a host plate through multiple rigid connection points. Subsequently, identical elastic springs are used to represent massless elastic mounts. When the elastic spring stiffness is significantly higher than the point dynamic stiffness of the two connected structures, then the relative displacement of the spring ends is negligible and a rigid link assumption is valid. Physically this might occur with stiff metal fastening connections.

For simplicity, an external harmonic point force is applied to the plate at one of the \( N \) connections. The number of points of interest on the plate and the beam are set equal to \( N \). If this is not the case, the dimensions of the mobility matrices for the host plate and connected beam are different, with additional points other than the connections considered. The present analysis neglects the offset of the beam neutral axis from the plate, with both the beam and plate vibrating in flexure only.

**Infinite structures**

Infinite structures are considered, because they can represent the general behaviour of finite structures. At higher frequencies, finite structures tend to the behaviour of an infinite structure. Moreover, the frequency-averaged response of a finite structure tends to that of an infinite structure.\(^{7,30}\)

For rigid links, the velocity continuity implies that at the connection points

\[ \mathbf{w}^p = \mathbf{w}^b \]  

where \( \mathbf{w}^p \) and \( \mathbf{w}^b \) are the vectors for the out-of-plane velocities at the plate and beam, respectively.

The separate beam and plate velocities can be calculated using

\[ \mathbf{w}^p = \mathbf{Y}^p(f - f') \]  

\[ \mathbf{w}^b = \mathbf{Y}^b f' \]  

where \( \mathbf{Y}^p \) is the matrix comprising the required plate mobilities, \( \mathbf{Y}^b \) is the matrix for the beam mobilities, \( f \) is the vector of external forces applied to the plate and \( f' \) is the vector of transmitted internal forces between the beam and plate. A proportion of this applied force \( f \) is transmitted from the plate to the beam through the rigid link connecting them and, as a reaction, the beam applies the same transmitted force \( f' \) on the plate in the opposite direction.

From the previous set of equations, one can determine the vector for the transmitted forces

\[ f' = (\mathbf{Y}^p + \mathbf{Y}^b)^{-1} \mathbf{Y}^p f \]  

Equation (7) can be used in equations (5) and (6) to calculate the forced response of the plate or beam when they are connected due to an external force vector \( f \).

When using linear elastic translational springs as the connection, at each connection, an internal force is produced by the spring on the beam and plate. These forces, being given by Hooke’s Law at the ends of the spring, are equal in magnitude but opposite in direction. This extension is the difference in the displacements of the beam and plate at the respective points.

Figure 2 shows the forces on a system comprising an infinite plate connected to an infinite beam through

\[ \text{Figure 2: Forces on a System} \]
a finite number of spring connections. In this diagram the external force is applied at the second of the \( N \) connection points, but in principle could be at any alternative location thus requiring suitable equation reformulation.

Analogous to the rigid link

\[
\ddot{w}_c = Y_c^p f - Y_c^p f_k \tag{8}
\]

\[
\ddot{w}_b = Y_b^p f_k \tag{9}
\]

where \( f \) is the vector of external forces applied to the plate and \( f_k \) is the vector of the internal forces due to the compression of all of the springs.

Rewriting \( f_k \)

\[
f_k = Y_c^{-1} \ddot{w}_c \tag{10}
\]

By Hooke’s Law, one can evaluate all of the spring forces in terms of the velocities at the spring ends

\[
f_k = \frac{1}{io}\mathbf{K}(\ddot{w}_c - \ddot{w}_b) \tag{11}
\]

\( \mathbf{K} \) is a diagonal matrix containing the stiffness of the connecting springs. After rearranging equations (8) to (11), in matrix form one then has

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{1}{io}\mathbf{K} & -Y_c^p \frac{1}{io} \mathbf{K} \\
-Y_b^p + \frac{1}{io} \mathbf{K}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\ddot{w}_c \\
\ddot{w}_b
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
Y_c^p f \\
0
\end{bmatrix} \tag{12}
\]

Numerical results are now introduced, first considering a single rigid link between an infinite plate and the infinite beam. The mechanical properties used throughout this article are summarised in Table 1. Souza and Ferguson’s estimated the bending stiffness of a typical automotive cable wiring bundle and door panel plastic clips, respectively.

Figure 3 shows the magnitude of the point mobilities for the infinite beam, plate and for an elastic spring. The expressions for those are respectively: \( Y_b = \frac{1}{k_b \sqrt{E I}} \), where \( k_b \) is the free bending wave number; \( Y_p = \frac{1}{k_b \sqrt{E I}} \), where \( B = \frac{E I}{k_b} \) and \( m = \rho \delta h_p \), \( h_p \) are the thickness of the plate; \( Y_k = \frac{K}{k} \), where \( K \) is the spring stiffness. It also shows that the response of the rigidly coupled system at low frequencies tends to the response of the plate, whilst at high frequencies it tends to that of the connected beam. When a spring connects the structures, there is a response minimum seen for the excited plate when coupled. A frequency exists above which the response of the elastically coupled system tends to follow the response of the uncoupled plate, whilst there is a sharp drop in the response of the beam. This is when the two components effectively uncouple. One can estimate this approximate frequency by equating the magnitude of the point mobilities of the beam and spring. Hence the estimated uncoupling frequency is

\[
\omega_n = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \mathbf{K} \left( \frac{1}{1 - io \pi f} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{11}
\]

However, it is not computationally costly to use the separate mobilities to calculate the actual coupled system response and then find the exact frequency, identifying the point of inflexion in the coupled response.

**Finite structures – multiple rigid connections**

The reflections due to the boundaries of the structures lead to resonances. Here a finite homogenous plate is connected to different simulated beams, which represent cables with the same nominal properties. The beams have a slowly varying Young’s modulus to represent the variability. Table 1 gives the properties used in the finite system numerical calculations. Figure 4 shows schematically the coupled finite system. To avoid any effects related to symmetry, the beam is connected off the symmetry axes of the rectangular plate. For simplicity, an external force is applied at the central connection, point 3, acting on the plate. This is a unit magnitude force with a constant spectrum from 1 Hz to 10 kHz. Equally spaced connections and springs with identical nominal stiffness are considered. Multiple force excitation points could be considered, with the appropriate transformation matrices to map it to the connection points.

The slowly varying low bending stiffness for the 10 different beams are shown in Figure 5. Figure 6(a) shows the convergence of the KL expansion.

---

**Figure 2.** Infinite beam connected to an infinite plate through an arbitrary finite number \( N \) elastic springs. \( f_k \) are the reaction forces acting on the beam and plate with other reaction forces at the other springs not shown.
The KL expansion has converged\(^2\) when \(\lambda_{jKL}/\lambda_{1KL} < 0.1\), in other words the truncated series has already enough terms to represent the random field accurately. Figure 6(b) shows a typical result for the slowly varying condition. The colour map shows that at any given frequency along the length of the beam, the condition for slowly varying property \(\frac{1}{L^2} \frac{\partial k}{\partial x} \ll 1\) is met. One can see that the maximum amplitude, occurring at lower frequencies, is still limited to around 0.3 and the WKB approximation holds.

Figure 7(a) shows the point mobility of the nominal beam and plate along with the coupled system comprising a nominal beam connected to a host plate. A slight mass loading effect is seen on the plate at lower frequencies with the corresponding resonance frequencies reduced. Figure 7(b) shows the mobility for the 10 different beams alone.

Figure 8 shows the envelope, covering 95% of the range of the point response of the coupled system calculated at the excitation point on the plate when the 10 different beams are separately considered. At lower frequencies the variability due to the uncertain beams do not affect the response of the coupled system, since it is dominated by the less mobile plate element at these frequencies. At higher frequencies, the 95% bounds for the response spreads out around the response of the nominal case, showing that the variability due to the different beams start to affect the response of the built-up structure.

### Table 1. Nominal properties of the infinite and finite modelled beams, plates and connection stiffnesses.

| Property                              | Numerical value |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Plate density, \(\rho_p\) (kg m\(^{-3}\)) | 7850            |
| Plate thickness, \(h_p\) (m)          | 0.002           |
| Plate Young’s modulus, \(E_p\) (GPa)  | 200             |
| Nominal bending stiffness beam, \(EI\) (Nm\(^2\)) | 1.006          |
| Density beam, \(\rho_b\) (kg m\(^{-3}\)) | 8960           |
| Nominal spring stiffness, \(K\) (kN m\(^{-1}\)) | 1250           |
| Beam cross section, \(A\) (m\(^2\)) | 0.01 \(\times\) 0.01 |
| Poisson’s ratio, \(\nu\)             | 0.30            |
| Material hysteretic loss factor, \(\eta\) (for beam and plate) | 0.001          |
| Rectangular plate length, \(y\) (m)  | 0.69            |
| Rectangular plate width, \(x\) (m)   | 1.5             |
| Finite beam length (m)               | 1.0             |
| Spacing between elastic spring connections (m) | 0.21875       |
| Correlation length for the beam bending stiffness, \(b_c\) (m) | 1             |
| Number of modes in the KL expansion\(^2\) | 16             |
| Spreading factor, \(\alpha\)         | 0.1             |
| Frequency range (Hz)                 | 1–10,000        |

Figure 3. The magnitude of the point mobilities for the uncoupled beam and plate and when a single connection is introduced. The frequency where the minimum of the response on the plate occurs is 868 Hz.

Figure 4. Geometry of the coupled system. A 1-m long beam is connected to a rectangular homogeneous plate through five point connections (\(\cdot\)). An external transverse force is applied to the plate at the central connection point.
**Finite structures – multiple flexible transverse spring connections**

Figure 9 shows that at lower frequencies the uncertain attached structure does not affect the response of the coupled system calculated on the host plate. At higher frequencies, instead of the spread in the response around the response of the nominal system the envelope of the point response converges to the predicted response when the nominal uniform properties are considered. In this case, from the analysis of the infinite structure, the response is actually governed again by the host plate. The response of an equivalent infinite built-up system considering the nominal properties is also shown in order to infer the frequency where the uncoupling occurs.

Comparing the previous results, introducing flexible links reduces the variability of the coupled system response. Figure 10 shows a direct comparison of the effects of considering flexibility in the connections. It is possible to see that, in this case, above the first three resonances, where the response was governed by the response of the host plate, the change in the stiffness of the coupled uncertain beam introduces a spread around the response for the nominal case. However, above the uncoupling frequency this spread is reduced. This can be seen by the collapse of the envelope for the response of the uncertain system to that for the response of the nominal uniform coupled system. The coefficient of variation (CoV) is given by the division of the standard deviation of a population by the mean of said population using the...
response at each frequency. The higher the CoV, the more variability that exists. Below the uncoupling frequency, around 860 Hz, the two CoV values for the rigid and elastic connections have similar behaviour. Above said frequency, the CoV of the elastically coupled system starts to reduce, producing extremely low statistical variation at higher frequencies, i.e. there is no noticeable variability in the coupled response. At these higher frequencies, differences of up to around 60 dB occur comparing the CoV for rigid and flexible links. In comparison with the simple isolation effectiveness of connected systems, typically used for a single point connection and expressed in terms of the response velocity ratio of the secondary system without and with the isolation being present, a similar reduction in the secondary system response (in this case the beam) of 40 dB per decade is observed above the isolation frequency. Therefore, if one needs to reduce the variability of the response above a given frequency of a built-up structure, such as a satellite, due to an uncertain attachment, one can design an appropriate connection, i.e., with a given stiffness, that would uncouple the system in the desired range.

The CoV values for the point mobility of the uncertain beams rigidly connected are also shown in Figure 10(a). They are slightly higher than the CoV values for the rigidly coupled nominal system, except at lower frequencies when the coupled system is governed by the response of the host plate. At these
frequencies, there is virtually no variability in the coupled system. Figure 10(b) shows that the same trend is followed at the other connection points and shows that using flexible links is a reliable way of controlling the variability of the host structure response.

Concluding remarks

In this work, a potential methodology for controlling the propagation of variability in connected structures comprising of uncertain beam structures connected to a host plate structure was investigated using an equivalent set of simplified models. The uncertain structures, representative of cable bundles, were modelled as beams with slowly varying properties. Different beams were connected to a plate to illustrate the variability in the response of the built-up structure when uncertain structural attachments are considered. The multiple point structural couplings were assembled using a mobility approach. For that, the mobility of a beam with slowly varying properties at any arbitrary point was derived using the WKB approximation.

Rigid links and flexible links in the form of transverse elastic springs were considered. The approach could be extended for other models of connections provided that the mobility matrices for the actual links are known. No variability in the stiffness of the springs, the spacing between the connections or the host structure was considered. At lower frequencies, the connected beam produces a mass load effect on the response of the coupled system. The presence of flexible links results in an uncoupling of the two connected structures above a much higher frequency. This uncoupling frequency can be calculated using the equivalent nominal properties infinite system, which is
a system comprising an infinite plate connected to an infinite beam through a single elastic spring.

A comparison between the responses of the coupled system when rigid links were considered versus flexible links is quantified using the coefficient of variation. For frequencies above the uncoupling frequency, the response of the coupled system is governed by the response of the excited host plate and the variability due to the uncertain structure has no effect on the built-up structure when flexible links were considered. A reduction of the order of 60 dB in the CoV can be seen when flexible links are used. In both the point and transfer response this uncoupling phenomenon occurs. This uncoupling frequency could be a target to be optimised or tuned accordingly for vibration control requirements.
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Appendix 1: Mobility of a beam with slowly varying properties

Generalising the work done by Fabro et al.,2 a wave approach is used to derive the mobility of a beam with slowly varying properties at any point. Assuming that the condition for the WKB approximation to be valid is met, there are no reflections due to the small local changes in properties even if the net change is large. However, the waves are reflected at the ends of the beam. Figure 11 shows schematically the waves present in a beam.

The point harmonic excitation force \( F \) creates the directly excited positive and negative going waves \( q^\pm = [q^+ q^-]^T \) and the expression for the input mobility,2 can be generalised to find the amplitude of the waves \( c^\pm = [c^+_1 c^+_2]^T \) at an arbitrary position from \( a^\pm = [a^+_1 a^+_2]^T \) and \( b^\pm = [b^+_2 b^+_2]^T \).

Firstly

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{b}^-_1 &= \mathbf{A}_L \mathbf{a}^-_1 \\
\mathbf{b}^-_2 &= \mathbf{A}_R \mathbf{a}^-_2 \\
\mathbf{b}^+_1 &= \mathbf{A}_L \mathbf{a}^+_1 \\
\mathbf{b}^+_2 &= \mathbf{A}_R \mathbf{a}^+_2 \\
\mathbf{a}^+_2 &= \mathbf{b}^+_1 + q^+ = \mathbf{A}_L \mathbf{a}^+_1 + q^+ \\
\end{align*}
\]

Figure 11. Propagating waves and waves reflected by the boundaries on a finite beam. (a) includes the propagating waves from the excitation point and reflected waves by the boundaries, whilst (b) also includes the propagating waves at an arbitrary position \( x_2 \).

\[
\begin{align*}
b^+_1 &= a^+_2 + q^- \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{A}_L \mathbf{a}^+_1 = a^+_2 + q^- \\
a^+_1 &= \Gamma_a \mathbf{a}^+_1 \\
b^-_2 &= \Gamma_R \mathbf{b}^-_2 = \Gamma_R \mathbf{A}_R \mathbf{a}^-_2 \\
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \Lambda_L = \begin{bmatrix} \Lambda_{L_{11}} & 0 \\ 0 & \Lambda_{L_{22}} \end{bmatrix} \), \( \Lambda_R = \begin{bmatrix} e^{-i\theta^+ + \gamma} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\theta^+ + \gamma} \end{bmatrix} \).

Thus

\[
c^+_2 + c^+_2 = \mathbf{A}_a c^+_2 + \mathbf{A} \mathbf{T}_R \mathbf{A}_R \mathbf{a}^+_2 = (\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A} \mathbf{T}_R \mathbf{A}_R) \mathbf{a}^+_2
\]
Combining equations (17) and (18)

\[ a_2^+ = \Lambda L_{a1} \Gamma L a_1^- + q^+ \]  \hspace{1cm} (27)

where \( \Gamma L \) is the reflection matrix at the left end of the beam.

From equilibrium of forces and continuity of displacement the infinite beam wave amplitudes are

\[ q^+ = q^- = q = \frac{-1}{4EI(x_1)k^2_{x_1}(x_1)} \begin{bmatrix} i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} F \]  \hspace{1cm} (28)

Thus

\[ a_2^+ = \left( I - \Lambda L_{a1} \Gamma L \Lambda_{L2}^{-1} \Lambda_{R2}^{-1} \Gamma R \Lambda_{R1} \right)^{-1} \times \left( I + \Lambda L_{a1} \Gamma L \Lambda_{L2}^{-1} \right) q \]  \hspace{1cm} (29)

where \( I \) is the identity matrix.

Finally

\[ c_2^+ + c_2^- = \left( \Lambda + \Lambda^{-1} \Gamma R \Lambda_{R1} \right) \times \left( I - \Lambda L_{a1} \Gamma L \Lambda_{L2}^{-1} \Lambda_{R2}^{-1} \Gamma R \Lambda_{R1} \right)^{-1} \times \left( I + \Lambda L_{a1} \Gamma L \Lambda_{L2}^{-1} \right) q \]  \hspace{1cm} (30)

Once, the wave amplitudes \( c_2^+ + c_2^- \) at an arbitrary position are known, the generalised mobility at any point is given by

\[ Y(\omega) = \frac{i\omega (c_2^+ + c_2^-)}{F} \]  \hspace{1cm} (31)

In the particular case of a free end, the reflection matrices \( \Gamma L \) and \( \Gamma R \) are given by

\[ \Gamma L = \Gamma R = \begin{bmatrix} -i & 1 + i \\ 1 - i & i \end{bmatrix} \]  \hspace{1cm} (32)