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Abstract

Otto Deiters (1834–1863) was a promising neuroscientist who, like Ferdinando Rossi, died too young. His notes and drawings were posthumously published by Max Schultze in the book “Untersuchungen über Gehirn und Rückenmark.” The book is well-known for his dissections of nerve cells, showing the presence of multiple dendrites and a single axon. Deiters also made beautiful drawings of microscopic sections through the spinal cord and the brain stem, the latter showing the lateral vestibular nucleus which received his name. This nucleus, however, should be considered as a cerebellar nucleus because it receives Purkinje cell axons from the vermal B zone in its dorsal portion. Afferents from the labyrinth occur in its ventral part. The nucleus gives rise to the lateral vestibulospinal tract. The cerebellar B module of which Deiters’ nucleus is the target nucleus was used in many innovative studies of the cerebellum on the zonal organization of the olivocerebellar projection, its somatotopical organization, its microzones, and its role in posture and movement that are the subject of this review.
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Deiters’ nucleus is the target nucleus of a cerebellar module consisting of the Purkinje cells of the lateral vermal B zone. It projects to the spinal cord through the lateral vestibulospinal tract (Fig. 1). This module has been used by many scientists in the development of fundamental concepts on the function of the cerebellum, the subject of this review.

Otto Deiters (1834–1863), like Ferdinando Rossi (1960–2014) to whom this paper is dedicated, died young at the age of 29 (Fig. 2). Vera Deiters and Ray Guillery [2], both descendants from Otto Deiters brother, Hermann, recently published an account of his life and work. Otto Deiters was born and spent most of his short life in Bonn. Since their father died fairly young, the brothers had to support their family. Otto Deiters practiced medicine but spent most of his time in the anatomical department of Bonn University. After his early death, professor Max Schultze and his brother collected his notes and drawings and published them in 1865 under the title “Untersuchungen über Gehirn und Rückenmark” [3]. The book is best known for Deiters’ drawings of dissected anterior horn cells with their multiple ramifying dendrites and a single axon. It also contains drawings of transverse sections through the spinal cord and the medulla of an ungulate. His Table V, an unfinished drawing of a section through the rostral medulla oblongata, is illustrated as Fig. 3. The large cells among the fiber bundles, medial to the restiform body, became known as Deiters’ nucleus.

Deiters’ description was an original one. Little was known about this region at the time. Stilling, who first illustrated serial sections through the human brainstem [4, 5], considered the bundles medial to the restiform body as a rostral continuation of the dorsal columns that enter the cerebellum more rostrally (Fig. 4a). He did not describe the large cells located among them. Clarke [6] was of the opinion that the cells located between these bundles (his “dorsal pyramid”) gave rise to the acoustic nerve, together with the ganglion of the acoustic nerve: our medial vestibular nucleus (Fig. 4b). Deiters denied a connection of his nucleus with the acoustic nerve. The origin of the vestibular and cochlear nerves from Scarpa’s ganglion and the ganglion spirale and the centripetal course
of these much later nerves were established by His [7] in human embryos. Cajal [8] found Deiters’ nucleus to be heavily innervated by the vestibular nerve. In tracing studies of the vestibular nerve, it was found that its fibers avoid the large cells of Deiters’ nucleus, thus confirming Deiters’ opinion [9]. Lorente de Nó [10] stated “a region, the dorso-caudo-medial one (of the vestibular nuclei), inhabited by big cells, sometimes called the dorsal Deiters nucleus, in which no vestibular fibers penetrate: if these cells have direct connection with the vestibular nerve, it is by means of only a few collaterals. This is chiefly a cerebellar nucleus” (Fig. 5). Lorente de Nó’s use of the term “dorsal” Deiters’ nucleus is the first indication of the division of the region with large neurons in the juxtarestiform body in dorsal, cerebellar, and ventral vestibular nerve-innervated parts.

The cerebellar connection of Deiters’ nucleus was first established by Klimoff in his Kazan thesis [12], published as an abstract in [13]. Klimoff traced Purkinje cell axons from the anterior vermis as “wurzelförmige Bündel” (root-like bundles) into Deiters nucleus (Fig. 6). This connection was illustrated in more detail by Hohman [1]. He distinguished two discrete bundles of Purkinje cell axons in the white matter of the anterior vermis, the “medial anterior vermis-fastigius bundle” and the “lateral anterior vermis-Deiters bundle” (Fig. 7a). This observation was extended and confirmed by Voogd [14, 15] who delineated compartments in the cerebellar white matter in cat and ferret that contain the axons from longitudinal Purkinje cell zones on their way to their target nuclei. The medial A zone provides the projection to the fastigial nucleus, contained in the A compartment. Axons from the lateral B zone populate the B compartment that corresponds to Hohman’s lateral anterior vermis-Deiters bundle (Fig. 7b). These observations on the corticonuclear projection and the localization of the B zone were extended to primates and marsupials by Haines (for a review see [16]).

Experimental studies showed that the projection of the anterior vermis to Deiters’ nucleus is more complicated: apart from the projection of the B zone, a contingent of Purkinje cell axons of the A zone proceeds, beyond the fastigial nucleus, to terminate in Deiters’ nucleus [17–19]. Retrograde labeling from Deiters’ nucleus resulted in the presence of two strips of labeled Purkinje cells in the anterior vermis. The wide, lateral strip corresponds to the B zone; the narrow medial strip occupies a position in the A zone. In the dorsal anterior lobe, they are separated by the zebrin-negative Purkinje cells of the Ax and X zones (Fig. 8). Labeling of the medial strip was produced by a more ventral injection involving the ventral part

![Fig. 1 Diagram of the B module. It consists of the lateral vermal Purkinje cell zone B, Deiters’ nucleus as its target nucleus, a climbing fiber projection from the contralateral caudal dorsal accessory olive, reciprocally organized nucleo-olivary pathways, and the lateral vestibulospinal tract as its efferent pathway. The Purkinje cell axons and the olivocerebellar fibers are contained in the white matter compartment B (Fig. 7b).](image1)

![Fig 2 Otto Deiters (1854–1863). Portait reproduced with permission from Dr. Wolfgang and Dr. Vera Deiters, and the front page of his posthumously published book.](image2)
of Deiters nucleus and the medial vestibular nucleus. In the rat, this strip occupies a position in between the zebrin-positive bands 2 and a [20]. Apart from the vestibular nuclei, its Purkinje cell axons, presumably, also terminate along the lateral border of the fastigial nucleus.

The origin of the lateral vestibulospinal tract (LVST) from Deiters’ nucleus was established by von Monakow [21] from chromatolysis of its neurons from a hemitransection of the cervical cord in a neonatal rabbit. Its coarse myelinated fibers descend in the reticular formation (Fig. 6) to a ventral position in the ventrolateral funiculus of the spinal cord, where it descends to coccygeal levels. Two other vestibulospinal tracts descend in the medial longitudinal fascicle, the ipsilateral medial vestibulospinal tract (MVST) and its crossed component, also known as the crossed vestibulospinal tract (CVST) [22]. Like the LVST, the CVST contains coarse fibers. Smaller fibers predominate in the MVST. According to Akaike et al. [23], inhibitory components of the vestibulospinal tracts
conduct at a slower velocity. The MVST, indeed, is an inhibitory, presumably glycinergic, system. The CVST contains an inhibitory component from the posterior semicircular canal [24]. Both tracts descend in the ventral funiculus to thoracic levels.

A clear distinction between the origin of the LVST and the MVST and the CVST only was made fairly recently in the retrograde labeling experiments of Shinoda et al. [25]. They sectioned the medial longitudinal fascicle or the LVST before applying the retrograde tracer to the spinal cord. The LVST is an uncrossed descending tract that takes its origin from the lateral vestibular nucleus of Deiters and the adjoining descending vestibular nuclei. The MVST and the CVST arise from the bilateral areas where the medial, lateral, and descending vestibular nuclei meet (Fig. 9). It should be emphasized...
that Deiters’ nucleus, as delineated by Shinoda, includes both a dorsal, B-zone-innervated and a ventral region where fibers of the vestibular have been found to terminate.

Studies of the projection of Deiters’ nucleus along the LVST to the spinal cord have been complicated by the inclusion by most authors of both parts of the nucleus. Tracing studies that were limited to the dorsal, B-zone-innervated part never has been published. An influential example was Brodal and Pompeiano’s [26] description of the vestibular nuclei of the cat (Fig. 10). Their lateral vestibular nucleus includes both the larger and smaller neurons in a more ventral and rostral region, that receives the vestibular nerve and the dorsal and caudal group of large neurons located among the Purkinje cell axons of the B zone. A somatotopical organization of Deiters’ nucleus was described by the same authors [27]. Purkinje axons of the B zone terminate predominantly in the hindlimb portion of the nucleus, whereas its forelimb region is dominated by vestibular afferents.

Although dorsal and ventral Deiters nucleus can be distinguished by their Purkinje cell and vestibular input, the border or the amount of overlap between the projections of the two afferent systems is not clear. This problem was analyzed in more detail in electrophysiological studies to be discussed below. Other cerebellar system that impinge on this region stem from the A zone and from the fastigial nucleus: the crossed uncinate tract that decussates in the cerebellar commissure, and the direct fastigiothoracic tract that passes along the lateral border of the fourth ventricle to enter the vestibular nuclear complex. The uncinate tract terminates in ventral Deiters’ nucleus, the descending vestibular nucleus, and the reticular formation. The termination of the direct fastigiothoracic tract is very similar but less extensive [28, 29] (Fig. 11). Mossy fiber collaterals of the lateral reticular nucleus, and possibly from the spinocerebellar tracts, terminate in dorsal Deiters’ nucleus [30].

The LVST terminates in the ipsilateral ventromedial ventral horn (laminae VIII and adjacent VII). Collaterals were found in medial motoneuronal groups [31–33] (Fig. 12). At cervical levels, collaterals also invade the lateral parts of the ventral horn. At thoracic levels, they spread over the entire ventral horn. At lumbar levels, they are restricted to the laminae VIII and adjacent VII. The large number of papers on the terminations of the tract is referenced in the papers of Liang et al. [34], Nyberg-Hansen and Mascetti [35], Petras [36], and Zemlan et al. [37]. However, in none of these papers, the projections of dorsal and ventral Deiters’ nucleus were distinguished.

One of the components of a cerebellar module is the climbing fiber projection from a subdivision of the inferior olive to its Purkinje cells, with a collateral projection to their target nucleus. The longitudinal zonal termination of climbing fibers was discovered by Oscarsson and Uddenberg [38]. They stimulated hind- and forelimb nerves in cats with a transection of the cord except for the ventral funiculus that contains the ventral funiculus olivocerebellar climbing fiber path (vfSOCP). They recorded climbing fiber potentials from the lateral anterior vermis, located in a longitudinal strip, later
identified as the B zone (Fig. 13). Responses from stimulation of either the left or right hindlimb nerves were located lateral to those evoked from forelimb nerves. The origin of the climbing fiber projection to the B zone later was established in the caudal dorsal accessory olive [15, 39].

A similar medio-lateral somatotopical organization of mossy fiber projections to the B zone can be reconstructed from the work of Ji and Hawkes [40]. They plotted mossy fiber terminals from the spinocerebellar tracts, labeled from the thoracic cord, i.e., systems that carry information from the hindlimb, and the forelimb cuneocerebellar tract, relative to the position of zebrin-positive and zebrin-negative bands. Because the B zone in lobule III of the anterior lobe of the rat is known to be situated lateral to zebrin-positive band 2 (Fig. 8), hind- and forelimb mossy fibers can be seen to terminate in lateral and medial parts of the B zone, respectively (Fig. 14).

The medio-lateral somatotopical organization of the vermal B zone differs from the mainly rostro-caudal somatotopical organization of the hemisphere, as established by Snider and Stowell [41], Adrian [42], and Snider and Eldred [43] (Fig. 15).

The medio-lateral somatotopical organization of the B zone was confirmed and extended by Andersson and Oscarsson [44, 45]. They recorded climbing fiber potentials from Purkinje cells of the B zone and found a regular arrangement of long, narrow “microzones” containing Purkinje cells that receive their climbing fiber input from the same receptive fields. Responses on stimulation of hindlimb nerves are located in the lateralmost microzone, purely forelimb in a medial microzone and different combinations in the intermediate three microzones (Fig. 16). Each of these microzones projects to a particular population of neurons in Deiters’ nucleus. These neurons are intermingled in the nucleus, with the hindlimb population predominating in its caudal part. The somatotopical organization of the nucleus, apparently, is not as precise as maintained by Pompeiano and Brodal [27]. A minor projection of the A zone to Deiters’ nucleus was noticed in their experiments. The authors considered the microzone with their
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**Fig. 11** Diagram of the termination of the direct fastigiobulbar tract (left side of both panels) and the crossed uncinate tract (right side) in the cat. *Left panel* represents the axons traced with Phaseolus leucoagglutinin injected in the fastigial nucleus. In the *right panel*, the terminal boutons are plotted. Redrawn from Homma et al. [29]. *Abbreviations:* IA anterior interposed nucleus, VLD dorsal Deiters’ nucleus, DLV ventral Deiters’ nucleus, V4 fourth ventricle, VI trochlear nucleus, NRPC nucleus reticularis pontis caudalis, RM nucleus raphes magnus, dV descending root of the trigeminal nerve, gVII genu of the facial nerve, VII facial nucleus

**Fig. 12** a The lateral vestibulospinal tract, passing along the facial nerve to become located in the center of the reticular formation. Reproduced from van Gehuchten [31]. b Collaterals of the lateral vestibulospinal tract terminating in the cervical cord. Modified from Shinoda et al. [25]. *Abbreviations:* cr restiform body, D Deiters’ nucleus, lvst lateral vestibulospinal tract, VII facial nerve
target neurons as the basic computational unit of the cerebellum.

Some physiologists distinguished between the dorsal, cerebellar and ventral, vestibular innervated divisions of Deiters’ nucleus. The most detailed account stems from Akaike’s papers on rabbit [23] and cat [46]. Figure 17 shows the distribution of the cat of “second-order” neurons of the cat LVST and MVST that are activated by stimulation of the vestibular nerve, and the “non-second-order” neurons, presumably activated by Purkinje cell axons of the B zone. In the sagittal sections of Fig. 17, the LVST non-second-order neurons predominate in the dorsal Deiters’ nucleus. Second-order neurons are located in ventral Deiters and, together with MVST neurons, in the descending vestibular nucleus. The LVST second-order neurons project in equal numbers to all levels of the spinal cord. Of the LVST non-second-order neurons, about half projects to the lumbar cord. An even higher percentage of 78% was found for these LVST non-second-order neurons by Wilson and Yoshida [47]. It can be concluded that the influence of the B-zone-innervated dorsal Deiters’ nucleus is preferentially exerted on the hindlimb, whereas ventral Deiters’ nucleus, with its mixed vestibular and cerebellar input and its output through the LVST, the MVST, and the CVST, is mainly focussed on the forelimb. But what are the effects of the LVST in the lumbar cord?

Purkinje cells of the B zone and Deiters’ nucleus are involved in the control of both extensor and flexor muscles of the lower limb as shown by the transneuronal retrograde labeling of these structures from injections of rabies virus in these muscles in the rat [48]. Stimulation of Deiters’ nucleus in decerebrate cats causes mono- and disynaptic excitatory postsynaptic potentials (EPSP’s) in ipsilateral alpha and gamma extensor motoneurons [47] and disynaptic inhibitory
PSP’s in flexor motoneurons, transmitted by Ia inhibitory interneurons (Fig. 18). Monosynaptic connections are mainly with ankle and knee extensors [49–51]. Similar synaptic connections are present on the contralateral side. Disynaptic EPSP’s in contralateral extensor motoneurons are mediated by commissural interneurons, located in lamina VIII of the lumbar cord. Trisynaptic inhibition of contralateral flexor motoneurons is mediated by commissural neurons and Ia inhibitory interneurons [52, 53].

Deiters’ nucleus also has important effects on locomotion. Stimulation of the nucleus increases gastrocnemius muscle activity when applied during late swing phase and standing [54]. Spike frequency in forelimb cells in Deiters’ nucleus in decerebrate cats was modulated with peaks in the late stance and the swing phase of the ipsilateral forelimb. Obstruction of the paw during stance shortened the ongoing stance and swing phase and spike frequency in the contralateral Deiters’ nucleus increased [55]. According to these authors, spike modulation in Deiters’ nucleus is controlled by Purkinje cells of the B zone. Perturbations during walking, indeed, evoke complex spikes in the Purkinje cells of the B zone [56]. Stimulation of Deiters’ nucleus was found to reset locomotion rhythm [57]. LVST and contralateral flexor reflex afferents (FRA) from wide receptive fields were found to converge, via a polysynaptic pathway, upon interneurons in the extensor half center of the central pattern generator by Leblond et al. [58]. Facilitation of LVST-induced EPSP’s in extensor motoneurons by stimulation of contralateral FRA earlier was shown by Ten Bruggencate et al. [51] and Grillner et al. [59].

Stimulation of LVST activates reciprocal ascending FRA pathways such as the bilateral ventral flexor reflex tract (bVFRT) and the a and b subsets of the ventral funiculus spino-olivocerebellar climbing fiber path (a and b vf-SOCP) (Fig. 18). The bVFRT terminates in the lateral reticular nucleus, a nucleus that provides Deiters’ nucleus with a collateral innervation and the vermis with mossy fiber afferents [30, 60]. The a and b vf-SOCP provide the A and B zones with their climbing fibers via the caudal medial and dorsal accessory olives and, similarly, provide the fastigial and Deiters’ nuclei with a collateral innervation [39, 61]. In Fig. 18, these systems are shown to originate from neurons in the extensor half of the locomotion center where LVST and contralateral FRA converge, but as yet their precise origin is not known. These FRA pathways may carry information related to interneuronal...
activity in segmental motor centers to the cerebellum as has been suggested by Lundberg [62] and Oscarsson [63].

One of the longest stories in cerebellar ideogenesis is the cerebellar inhibition of decerebrate rigidity. Decerebrate rigidity was first observed by Sherrington [64] in monkeys after removal of the cerebral hemispheres (Fig. 19). “If a finger or one of the monkeys hands be stimulated … there results an extensive reflex reaction involving movement of the entire upper limb. The wrist is extended, the elbow flexed, the shoulder protracted. … The most striking feature of the reflex is, however, that when the actual movement has been accomplished, the contraction of the muscles employed in it does not cease or become superseded by the action of another group, but is continued even for 10 or 20 min at a time … Analogous results are obtainable on the hindlimb.” Sherrington called it “the cataleptoid reflex.” The same year, Löwenthal and Horsley [65] studied decerebrate rigidity in cats and dogs, the animals used in most subsequent studies (Fig. 20). They made “the observation that when both cerebral hemispheres were removed, and as a result, acerebral tonus (i.e., decerebrate rigidity JV) of the limbs was obtained, excitation (faradic) of the upper surface of the cerebellum caused immediate relaxation of such tonus.” Thiele [66] found in decerebrate cats that “unilateral separation of Deiters’ nucleus from the medulla or the division of the (lateral vestibulospinal JV) tract as it passes down through the medulla produced
relaxation of the rigidity on the homolateral side**: the first mention of the role of Deiters’ nucleus in the production of decerebrate rigidity. Inhibition of decerebrate rigidity usually was studied in postcollicular decerebrated cats that produces permanent extension of all four extremities, with some opisthotonus. Cerebellar regions that were responsible for the relaxation of decerebrate rigidity when stimulated were determined by Bremer [67], professor of physiology at the Université Libre de Bruxelles. He localized the effective zones in the anterior vermis and, less effectively, in the pyramis of the caudal vermis (Fig. 21).

Bremer’s studies were continued by Moruzzi, whose interest in cerebellar physiology was raised during a postdoc with Bremer. Moruzzi authored an extensive review of pre-PubMed cerebellar physiology, an indispensable source of information for those interested in the roots of cerebellar neurobiology ([69], Fig. 22). In this book, he summarized the following experiments he did in collaboration with Pompeiano. He repeated the experiments on inhibition of decerebrate rigidity in decerebrate cats by stimulation of the anterior vermis. As to be expected, removal of the anterior vermis caused an increase of the extensor tone. A lesion of
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**Fig. 22** Giuseppe Moruzzi (1910–1986) and the front page of his book: Dow and Moruzzi [69]. Photograph reproduced from Levi-Moltalcini et al. [70]

---

**Fig. 23** Effects of fastigial lesions on decerebrate rigidity. **a** Symmetrical distribution of extensor rigidity after precollicular decerebration. **b** Ipsilateral fastigial atonia after a lesion of the left rostral fastigial nucleus. **c** Contralateral fastigial atonia after a lesion of the right caudal fastigial nucleus. **d** Reappearance of symmetrical extensor rigidity after bilateral lesions of the caudal fastigial nucleus. Reproduced from Pompeiano [71]
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**Fig. 24** Conclusions of the paper of Ito and Yoshida [77] on the inhibitory nature of the Purkinje cells projecting to Deiters’ nucleus. The photograph shows Masao Ito as the host of an academic tour, presented to the author, at a dinner party in Tokyo in 2011, courtesy of Takeru Honda
the rostral fastigial nucleus caused ipsilateral relaxation of extensor tone: Moruzzi’s “ipsilateral fastigial atonia” (Fig. 23). A lesion of the caudal fastigial nucleus produced contralateral relaxation of extensor tone: the “crossed fastigial atonia.” Bilateral ablation of the caudal fastigial nucleus restored the extensor tone in all limbs. The results from his experiments on the anterior vermis could be explained by the stimulation or ablation of the B-zone-Deitersian system. His observations on lesions of the rostral fastigial nucleus and the recurrence of rigidity after bilateral lesions of the caudal fastigial nucleus are much more difficult to explain.

As pointed out before, the fastigial nucleus projects bilaterally to ventral Deiters’ nucleus and the reticular formation through the uncinate and direct fastigiobulbar tracts (Fig. 11). The uncinate tract decussates in the cerebellar commissure and becomes applied to the contralateral rostral fastigial nucleus. Some of its fibers reach the intermediate gray and the anterior horn cells of the cervical cord [72, 73]. The Purkinje cell axons of the B zone on their way to Deiters’ nucleus are located immediately lateral to the fastigial nucleus. Lesions of the rostral fastigial nucleus, therefore, interrupt both uncinate tracts, and may include a proportion of the long corticofugal fibers of the B zone. Such a lesion also damages the direct fastigiobulbar tract. The uncinate tract is an excitatory system [74]. An excitatory effect of the direct fastigiobulbar tract on vestibular neurons was found by (Shimazu and Smith [75]). However, more recently, Baginall et al. [76] produced convincing evidence in mice that this pathway is glycinergic and inhibits its target neurons. Moreover, it is not known whether the fastigial tracts terminate on excitatory or inhibitory neurons of the vestibular nuclei. Without further studies on the functional anatomy of the fastigial nucleus, these anatomical data are insufficient to explain Moruzzi’s observations on fastigial atonia.

The inhibitory nature of the Purkinje cells was discovered by Ito et al. [77], Fig. 24). According to Ito and Yoshida [78], they are responsible for the pronounced inhibitory effects of cerebellar muscle tone, as first demonstrated by Löwenthal and Horsley in 1897. A precise localization of these Purkinje cells in the anterior vermis was not yet possible [79], but they clearly occupy the lateral vermal B zone.

Ito’s discovery of long-axon inhibitory neurons is the happy end of the story of cerebellar inhibition of decerebrate rigidity. Or is it? With stimulation of the anterior vermis, the inhibition by Purkinje cells of the B zone clearly dominates over effects of the vermal A and X zones on the fastigial nucleus. The fastigial nucleus certainly influences postural tone, by way of the vestibular nuclei and the reticular formation. Stimulation of the uncinate tract and the fastigial nucleus even was shown to evoke controlled locomotion, similar to stimulating the mesencephalic locomotion center. These effects are relayed by the reticulospinal tracts [80]. Detailed studies on the role of the fastigial nucleus in postural regulation are still lacking. Even less is known about the function of the X zone that projects through the interstitial cell groups to the spinal cord and the thalamus [81]. Deiters’ nucleus and its cerebellar and spinal connections have been a source for innovative studies of cerebellar function by many students. Knowing their involvement in postural systems and locomotion, the inhibitory nature of the Purkinje cells, the zonal organization of the climbing fibers, the somatotopical organization of the vermis, and the discovery of the microzones came about by using this cerebellar module as a model. As a fairly simple and one of the best-known modules, it may still challenge researchers, especially when studies would be combined with those of the other modules that constitute the anterior vermis.

Acknowledgments This paper documents the Ferdinando Rossi Memorial Lecture that I delivered on the invitation of the Society for Research of the Cerebellum, at the symposium in Brussels, 8–10 May 2015. I thank Yoshi Shinoda and Mayu Takahashi for their comments on the draft of this review.

Conflict of Interest The author declares that he has no competing interest.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

References
1. Hohman LB. The efferent connections of the cerebellar cortex. Investigation based on experimental extirpation in the cat. Assoc Res Nerv & Ment Dis. 1929;6:445–60.
2. Deiters VS, Guillery RV. Otto Friedrich Karl Deiters (1834–1863). J Comp Neurol. 2013;521:1929–53.
3. Deiters O. Untersuchungen über Gehirn und Rückenmark des Menschen und der Saugetiere. Braunschweig. 1865.
4. Stilling B. Ueber die Textur und Function der Medulla oblongata. Erlangen: Enk verlag; 1843.
5. Stilling B. Untersuchungen über den Bau und Verzichtungen des Gehirns I. Ueber den Bau des Hirnknotens. Jena, Mauke verlag. 1846.
6. Clarke JL. Researches on the intimate structure of the brain. Human and comparative. First series. On the structure of the medulla oblongata. Phil Trans Roy Soc Lond. 1858;148:231–59.
7. His W. Zur Geschichte des Gehirns sowie centrale und peripherischen Nervenbahnen beim menschlichen Embryo. Abh der koniglSächsischen Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften. 1888;14:342–92.
8. Cajal Ry. Histologie du système nerveux. Paris, Maloine. 1906.
9. Leidler R. Experimentelle Untersuchungen über das Endigungsgebiet des Nervus vestibularis. Arb Neurol Inst Wiener Univ. 1916;21:151–212.
10. Lorente de Nó R. Anatomy of the eights nerve. The central projections of the nerve endings of the interan ear. Laryngoscope. 1933;43:1–38.
11. Rodriguez JJ, Verharrskdy A. Lorente de Nó (1902–1990): the pioneer of physiological neuroanatomy. 2009.
12. Klimoff J. On the conduction paths of the cerebellum. Experimental-anatomical observations (in Russian), thesis Kazan. 1897.
13. Klimoff J. Ueber die Leitungsbahnen des Kleinhirns. Arch Anat Physiol Anat Abth 11–17. 1899.
14. Voogd J. The cerebellum of the cat, thesis Leiden, Assen, van Gorcum. 1964.
15. Voogd J. The importance of fiber connections in the comparative anatomy of the mammalian cerebellum. In: Llinas R, editor. Neurobiology of cerebellar evolution and development. Chicago: AMA; 1969. p. 493–514.
16. Haines DE, Patrick GW, Satrulee P. Organization of cerebellar corticonuclear fiber systems. Exp Brain Res Suppl. 1982;6:320–67.
17. Bigaré F. De efférente verbindingen van de cerebellaire schors van de cat, Thesis Leiden. 1980.
18. Voogd J, Bigaré F. Topographical distribution of olivary and cortico-nuclear fibers in the cerebellum: a review. In: Courville J, editor. The olivary nucleus. Anatomy and physiology. New York: Raven; 1980. p. 207–34.
19. Voogd J, Epema AH, Rubertone J. Cerebelloro-vestibular connections of the anterior vermis. Retrospective tracer study in different mammals including primates. Arch Ital de Biol. 1991;129:3–19.
20. Voogd J, Ruigrok TJ. The organization of the corticonuclear and olivocerebellar climbing fiber projections to the rat cerebellar vermis: the congruence of projection zones and the zebrin pattern. J Neurocytol. 2004;33:5–21.
21. Monakow CV. Experimenteller Beitrag zur Kenntnis des Corpus restiforme, des äußeren Akustikus Kerns und dessen Beziehungen zum Rückenmark. Arch f Psychiat. 1883;14:1.
22. Busch HFM. An anatomical analysis of the white matter in the brain stem of the cat, thesis Leiden, Assen, van Gorcum. 1961.
23. Akaike T, Fanardjian VV, Ito M, Kumada M, Nakajima H. Electrophysiological analysis of the vestibulospinal reflex pathway in the rabbit. Exp Brain Res. 1973;17:477–96.
24. Shinoda Y, Suguchi Y, Futami T, Ando N, Yahi J. Input patterns and pathways from the six semicircular canals to motoneurons of neck muscles. II. The longissimus and semispinalis muscle groups. J Neurophysiol. 1997;77:1234–58.
25. Shinoda Y, Suguchi Y, Izawa Y, Hata Y. Long descending motor tract axons and their control of neck and axial muscles. Progress in Brain Research. 2006;151:527–63.
26. Brodal A, Pompeiano O. The vestibular uclei in the cat. J Anat. 1957;91:438–54.
27. Pompeiano O, Brodal A. The origin of vestibulospinal fibers in the cat. An experimental study with comments on the descending medial longitudinal fascicle. Arch Ital Biol. 1957;95:166–95.
28. Akaike T. Neuronal organization of the vestibulospinal system in the cat. Brain Res. 1983;259:217–27.
29. Homma Y, Nonaka S, Matsuyama K, Mori S. Fastigiofugal projection to the brainstem nuclei in the cat: an antegrade PHA-L tracing study. Neurosci Res. 1995;23:89–102.
30. Ruigrok TJ, Cella F, Voogd J. Connections of the lateral reticular nucleus to the lateral vestibular nucleus in the rat. An antegrade tracing study with Phaseolus vulgaris leucoagglutinin. Eur J Neurosci. 1995;7:1410–3.
31. van Gehuchten A, Connexions centrales du noyau de Deiters et des masses grises voisines. Le Névraxe. 1904;6:19–73.
32. Schimert J. Die Endigungsweise der Tractus vestibulospinalis. Z Anat Entwickl Gesch. 1938;108:761–7.
33. Shinoda Y, Oghaki T, Futami T. The morphology of single lateral vestibulospinal tract axons in the lower cervical cord of the cat. J Comp Neurol. 1986;249:226–41.
34. Liang H, Bäckström T, Watson C, Paxinos G. Projections from the lateral vestibular nucleus to the spinal cord in the mouse. Brain Struct Funct. 2014;219:805–15.
35. Nyberg-Hansen R, Mascetti TA. Sites and modes of termination of fibers of the vestibulospinal tract in the cat. An experimental study with silver impregnation techniques. J Comp Neurol. 1964;122:369–87.
36. Petras JM. Cortical, tectal and tegmental fiber connections in the spinal cord of the cat. Brain Res. 1967;6:275–324.
37. Zemlan FP, Lee-Ming K, Morrel HJ, Pfaff DW. Descending tracts of the lateral column of the rat spinal cord: a study using horseradish peroxidase and silverimpregnation techniques. J Anat. 1979;128:489–512.
38. Oscarsson O, Uddenberg N. Somatotopic termination of spinolivocerebellar path. Brain Res. 1966;3:204–7.
39. Groenewegen HJ, Voogd J. The parasagittal zonation of the olivocerebellar projection. I. Climbing fiber distribution in the vermis of cat cerebellum. J Comp Neurol. 1977;174:417–88.
40. Ji Z, Hawkes R. Topography of Purkinje cell compartments and mossy fiber terminal fields in lobules II and III of the rat cerebellar cortex: spinocerebellar and cuneocerebellar projections. Neuroscience. 1994;61:935–54.
41. Snider RS, Stowell A. Receiving areas of the tactile, auditory and visual systems in the cerebellum. J Neurophysiol. 1944;7:331–57.
42. Adrian AD. Afferent areas in the cerebellum connected with the limbs. Brain Res. 1943;66:289–315.
43. Snider RS, Eldred E. Electroanatomical studies on cerebrocerebellar connections in the cat. J Comp Neurol. 1951;95:1–16.
44. Andersson G, Oscarsson O. Projections to lateral vestibular nucleus from cerebellar climbing fiber zones. Exp Brain Res. 1978;32:549–64.
45. Andersson G, Oscarsson O. Climbing fiber microzones in cerebellar vermis and their projection to different groups of cells in the lateral vestibular nucleus. Exp Brain Res. 1978;32:565–79.
46. Akaike T. Electrophysiological analysis of cerebellar corticovestibular and fastigiovestibular projections to lateral vestibular nucleus in the cat. Brain Res. 1983;272:223–35.
47. Wilson VJ, Yoshihara M. Comparison of effects of stimulation of Deiters’ nucleus and medial longitudinal fasciculus on neck, forelimb and hindlimb motoneurons. J Neurophysiol. 743–758. 1969.
48. Ruigrok TJH, Pijpers A, Goedknecht-Sabel E, Coulon P. Multiple cerebellar zones are involved in the control of individual muscles: a retrograde transneuronal tracing study with rabies virus in the rat. Eur J Neurosci. 2008;28:181–200.
49. Grillner S, Hongo T, Lund S. The vestibulospinal tract. Effects on alpha-motoneuromones in the lumbo-sacral cord in the cat. Exp Brain Res. 1970;10:94–120.
50. Hultborn H, Illert M, Santini M. Convergence in interneurons mediating the reciprocal Ia inhibition of motoneurons. Disynaptic Ia inhibition of the Ia inhibitory interneurons. Acta Physiol Scand. 1976;96:193–201.
51. Ten Bruggencate G, Burne R, Lundberg A, Udo M. Interaction between vestibulospinal tract, contralateral flexor reflex afferents and the Ia afferents. Brain Res. 1969;14:529–32.
52. Hongo T, Kudo N, Tanaka R. The vestibulospinal tract: crossed and uncrossed effects on hindlimb motoneuromones in the cat. Exp Brain Res. 1975;24:37–55.
53. Suguchi Y, Izawa Y, Shinoda Y. Trisynaptic inhibition from the contralateral vertical semicircular canal nerves to neck motoneurons mediated by spinal commissural neurons. J Neurophysiol. 1995;73:1973–87.
54. Orlovsky GN. The effect of different descending systems on flexor and extensor activity during locomotion. Brain Res. 1972;40:359–71.
55. Udo M, Kamei H, Matsukawa K, Tanaka K. Interlimb coordination in cat locomotion investigated with perturbation. II. Correlates neuronal activity of Deiters’ cells of decerebrate walking cat. Exp Brain Res. 1982;46:438–47.
56. Andersson G, Armstrong DM. Complex spikes in Purkinje cells in the lateral vermis (b zone) of the cat cerebellum during locomotion. J Physiol. 1987;385:107–34.

57. Leblond H, Gossard J-P. Supraspinal and segmental signals can be transmitted through separate spinal cord pathways to enhance locomotor activity in extensor muscles in the cat. Exp Brain Res. 2000;125:225–40.

58. Grillon S, Hongo T, Lund S. Convergent effects on alpha motoneurones from the vestibulospinal tract and a pathway descending in the medial longitudinal fasciculus. Exp Brain Res. 1971;12:457–479.

59. Clendelin M, Ekerot C-F, Oscarsson O, Rosén I. The lateral reticular nucleus in the cat. I. Organization of component activated from bilateral ventral flexor reflex tract (bVFRT). Exp Brain Res. 1974;21:487–500.

60. Sjölund B. The ventral spino-olivocerebellar system in the cat. V. Supraspinal control of spinal transmission. Exp Brain Res. 1978;33:509–22.

61. Lundberg A. Function of the ventral spino-cerebellar tract. Exp Brain Res. 1971;12:317–30.

62. Oscarsson O. The sagittal organization of the cerebellar anterior lobe as revealed by the projection patterns of the climbing fiber system. In: Llinas R, editor. Neurobiology of cerebellar evolution and development. Washington: AMA; 1969. p. 525–38.

63. Sherrington CS. Cataleptoid reflexes in the monkey. Proc Roy Soc London. 1897;60:411–4.

64. Thiele FH. On the efferent relationship of the optic thalamus and Deiters’ nucleus to the spinal cord, with special reference to the cerebellar influx of Dr Hughlings Jackson and the genesis of the decerebrate rigidity of Ord and Sherrington. J Physiol. 1905;32:358–84.

65. Bremer F. Contribution à l’étude la physiologie du cervelet: la fonction inhibitrice du palaeocerebellum. Arch Internat de Physiol. 1922;19:189–226.

66. Kerkhofs M, Lavie P. Frédéric Bremer 1892–1982: a pioneer in sleep research. Sleep Med Rev. 2000;4:505–14.

67. Ito M, Yoshida M. The origin of monosynaptic inhibition of Deiters’ neurones I. Monosynaptic initiation of inhibitory postsynaptic potentials. Exp Brain Res. 1966;2:330–49.

68. Ito M, Kawai N, Uno M. The origin of cerebellar inhibition of Deiters’ neurones. III. Localization of the inhibitory zone. Exp Brain Res. 1968;4:310–20.

69. Mori S, Matsui T, Kuze B, Asanome M, Nakajima K, Matsuyama K. Stimulation of a restricted region in the midline cerebellar white matter evokes locomotion in the decerebrate cat. J Neurophysiol. 1999;82:290–300.

70. Bagnall MW, Zingg B, Sakatos A, Moghadam SH, Zeilhofer HU, du Lac S. Glycergic projection neurons of the cerebellum. J Neurosci. 2009;29:10104–10.

71. Shimazu H, Smith CM. Cerebellar and labyrinthe influences on single vestibular neurons identified by natural stimuli. J Neurophysiol. 1971;34:493–508.

72. Bagnall MW, Zingg B, Sakatos A, Moghadam SH, Zeilhofer HU, du Lac S. Glycergic projection neurons of the cerebellum. J Neurosci. 2009;29:10104–10.

73. Ito M, Yoshida M. The origin of monosynaptic inhibition of Deiters’ neurones I. Monosynaptic initiation of inhibitory postsynaptic potentials. Exp Brain Res. 1966;2:330–49.

74. Ito M, Kawai N, Uno M. The origin of cerebellar inhibition of Deiters’ neurones. III. Localization of the inhibitory zone. Exp Brain Res. 1968;4:310–20.