Screening for catalytically active Type II restriction endonucleases using segregation-induced methylation deficiency
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ABSTRACT

Type II restriction endonucleases (REases) are one of the basic tools of recombinant DNA technology. They also serve as models for elucidation of mechanisms for both site-specific DNA recognition and cleavage by proteins. However, isolation of catalytically active mutants from their libraries is challenging due to the toxicity of REases in the absence of protecting methylation, and techniques explored so far had limited success. Here, we present an improved SOS induction-based approach for in vivo screening of active REases, which we used to isolate a set of active variants of the catalytic mutant, Cfr10IE204Q. Detailed characterization of plasmids from 64 colonies screened from the library of ∼200,000 transformants revealed 29 variants of cfr10IR gene at the level of nucleotide sequence and 15 variants at the level of amino acid sequence, all of which were able to induce SOS response. Specific activity measurements of affinity-purified mutants revealed >200-fold variance among them, ranging from 100% (wild-type isolates) to 0.5% (S188C mutant), suggesting that the technique is equally suited for screening of mutants possessing high or low activity and confirming that it may be applied for identification of residues playing a role in catalysis.

INTRODUCTION

Restriction-modification (R-M) systems are widespread among prokaryotic organisms (1,2). They are composed of two enzymatic activities. One of them, DNA methylation activity, ensures modification of A or C base within the specific DNA sequence. This site-specific modification protects host DNA from the action of the other component, namely, the endonucleolytic activity of the same specificity (3). The biological function of R-M systems is generally thought to be the protection of the host genome against foreign DNA, in particular bacteriophage DNA. However, two other hypotheses for the biological function of R-M systems have been proposed. One hypothesis proposes that R-M enzymes are modulators of genetic variation frequency (4), while another considers R-M genes to be selfish mobile genetic elements, like viruses or transposons that invade genomes without necessarily providing selective advantages (5,6).

Based on enzyme composition, requirement for cofactors and mode of action, restriction enzymes are classified into one of four types (7), of which Type II restriction endonucleases (REases) are the most abundant and the best studied. In general, Type II enzymes recognize specific DNA sequences of 2–8 bp and cleave DNA at fixed positions within or in close vicinity to the target (8). These unique features make them indispensable in DNA manipulations in vitro. On the other hand, the relatively simple structure of Type II REases offers an attractive model for studying of protein–DNA interactions and for investigation of DNA cleavage catalysis. Two in vivo selection/screening systems based either on transcriptional interference or on visualization of induced SOS response were used in order to identify catalytic amino acid residues of Type IIP REases (9–16). Transcriptional interference was first adapted to select for catalytically deficient but binding-proficient variants of BamHI (11) and then to identify substitutions of BamHI amino acid residues directly involved in target recognition that maintain site-specific recognition of the cognate target 5'-GGA TCC (12). Successful selection of BamHI mutants of wild-type (wt) specificity from the collection of 8000 variants in just one selection step led to the idea that this same technique could be used for isolation of mutants of altered specificity (12). In contrast, SOS response-based screening of REases relies on their catalytic activity (9). The SOS response is visualized by using a genetic fusion between DNA damage-inducible promoter and reporter gene lacZ which codes for β-galactosidase.
If REase introduces either single- or double-stranded DNA breaks that provoke SOS response, this is manifested by the blue color of colonies on X-Gal (5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-β-D-galacto-pyranoside) plates (9,17). However, the host cells can tolerate only a limited number of DNA breaks. For instance, in the absence of protective methylation, the level of detection for BamHI activity by using induced SOS response was very low, 0.01% of the wt activity (10), while 4% activity was lethal (12). Toxicity of REases under constant expression is a major drawback of SOS response-based screening and results in isolation of mutants of low specific activity (9,10,13,14).

Here, we describe a new approach for in vivo screening for active mutants of REases, which uses segregation-induced methylation deficiency (SIMD) and overcomes limitations described above. SIMD is based on previous observations that some Type II R-M systems increase stability of plasmids that carry them by inducing death of plasmid-free segregants (6,18). This so-called post-segregational killing is determined by gradually lowering intracellular concentration of protecting methyltransferase (MTase) through dilution during cell growth and division. At certain point the concentration of MTase becomes too low to protect all genomic targets of the newly replicated chromosome. MTase deficiency results in the cleavage of chromosome at unmodified sites, induction of SOS response, and results in isolation of mutants of low specific activity (9,10,13,14).

Based on the crystal structure of Cfr10I (23) and mutational analysis of amino acid residues that are implicated in catalysis (24), the active site of Cfr10I is formed from the acidic residues D134, E71 and E204 as well as the basic residue from the (E/D)XK-part of the active site motif (24), the active site of Cfr10I is spatial equivalent of the acidic residues D134, E71 and E204 as well as the basic residue D134 of the active site motif (24)

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Bacterial strains and reagents

*Escherichia coli* strain ER2267 (e14 (mcrA−) endA1 supE44 thi-1 Δ(mcrC-mrr)114::IS10 Δ(argF-lac)U169 recA1/F′ proA B′ lacZ Δ(lacZ)M15 zygF::mini-Tn10 (Km′)) was used as a host for cloning experiments. The dinD::lacZ SOS indicator strain ER1992 (F− Δ(argF-lac)U169 supE44 e141 (mcrA−) dinD::Mu d11734 (Km′, LacZ′) endA1 thi-1 Δ(mcrC-mrr)114::IS10) was used as a host for SIMD experiments. ER2566 (F− λ− fhuA2 [lon] ompT lacZ7 T7 genel gal sua11 Δ(mcrC-mrr)114::IS10 R(mcr−73::miniTn10−Tet2 R(zgb-210::Tn10) (Tet+) endA1 (dem)) was used for overproduction of His-tagged Cfr10I variants. All three strains were obtained from New England Biolabs (Ipswich, MA). *E. coli* cells were grown in Luria–Bertani (LB) broth or on LB agar at appropriate temperatures and supplemented, where needed, with X-Gal (0.032 mg/ml) and antibiotics ampicillin (Ap; 0.1 mg/ml) and/or chloramphenicol (Cm; 0.03 mg/ml). Cells were transformed using standard CaCl2-heat-shock method or electroporation (26).

All enzymes, DNA molecular mass markers, kits, disposable protein purification columns, nickel-nitrioloacetic acid (Ni-NTA) resin and other reagents (if not mentioned elsewhere) were obtained from Thermo Scientific and used following recommendations of manufacturers. Oligonucleotides were obtained from Metabion (Martinsried, Germany).

### DNA manipulations

All DNA manipulations followed standard procedures (26) and recommendations of manufacturers. Sequencing was performed using BigDye® Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA), sequencing data were collected on Genetic Analyser 3130x/l (Applied Biosystems).

### Construction of plasmids

pTSA29 is a low copy number plasmid carrying temperature-sensitive (ts) replication initiator of pSC101 and encoding resistance to Ap (27). It is compatible with both pMB1 and p15A replicons. pTSA29-Cfr10IM was constructed by cleaving DNA of pCfr10IRM-1 (Thermo Scientific collection) with Mph1103I and PvuII, filling of cohesive ends using T4 DNA polymerase, gel purifying the resulting fragment of 1.56 kb encompassing the gene for Cfr10I methyltransferase, ligating the fragment into Eco32I-cleaved and FastAP-treated vector pTSA29 and screening for recombinant plasmid carrying cloned cfr10IM gene under the control of vector-encoded Plac promoter. pLATE31 is a pMB1-derived T7 expression vector from aLICator™ LIC Cloning and Expression System (Thermo Scientific). It was used for placing of poly-His affinity tag at the C terminus of both Cfr10Iwt and Cfr10IE204Q DNAs of plasmids coding for Cfr10Iwt and for Cfr10IE204Q were kindly provided by M. Zaremba and served as templates for polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using specially designed primers LIC Dir and LIC Rev (Table 1), which have 5′-terminal extensions (underlined) for ligation-independent cloning. Details on the construction of pLATE-Cfr10Iwt and pLATE-Cfr10IE204Q are available upon request. In order to ensure constitutive expression of His-tagged Cfr10Iwt and Cfr10IE204Q in *E. coli* strains lacking T7 RNA
polymerase, corresponding genes together with the upstream T7 promoter were transferred from pLATE-Cfr10Iwt and pLATE-Cfr10IE204Q to the Eco32I-SphI cleaved p15A derivative pACYC184 (28) under the control of constitutive promoter P tet. Both donor plasmids were cleaved with Acc65I, treated with T4 DNA polymerase and then, after heat inactivation of polymerase, were cleaved with SphI. Recombinant DNA molecules resulting after ligation of gel-purified fragments of 1 kb with DNA of pACYC184 vector were used to transform ER2267 (pTSA29-Cfr10IM). Restriction analysis and sequencing were used to confirm the structure of resulting plasmids pAC-Cfr10Iwt and pAC-Cfr10IE204Q both conferring resistance to Cm.

Mutagenesis and library construction

A library of Cfr10IE204Q variants mutated at amino acid residues E71, D134, S188, K190 and Q204 was generated using the multistep ‘megaprimer’ PCR technique (29) which is outlined in Figure 1, primers listed in Table 1 and DNA of pAC-Cfr10IE204Q as a template. Three individual DNA fragments carrying semi-random mutations at all three codon positions coding for five aforementioned amino acid residues were amplified during the first amplification round using Pfu polymerase and following PCR conditions: initial denaturation at 95°C for 3 min and 35 cycles of amplification (95°C, 60 s; 60°C, 60 s and 72°C, 60 s) followed by 10 min extension at 72°C. DNA fragments of 454, 207 and 442 bp were gel-purified and two of them, 454 bp and 207 bp in length, were used in the second PCR round using High Fidelity enzyme mix. PCR conditions were as follows: initial denaturation 94°C, 5 min; 35 cycles of amplification (94°C, 60 s; 55°C, 60 s and 72°C, 60 s) followed by 10 min extension at 72°C. DNA fragments of 454, 207 and 442 bp were gel-purified and two of them, 454 bp and 207 bp in length, were used in the second PCR round using High Fidelity enzyme mix. PCR conditions were as follows: initial denaturation 94°C, 3 min; 35 cycles of amplification (94°C, 60 s; 55°C, 60 s and 72°C, 60 s) and final extension at 72°C for 10 min. Gel-purified product of 810 bp was used in the third PCR round together with the DNA fragment of 442 bp from the first PCR step. The full-length product of 1254 bp was amplified using High Fidelity enzyme mix under the following PCR conditions: initial denaturation 94°C, 5 min; 35 cycles of amplification (94°C, 90 s; 50°C, 90 s)

Table 1. Primers used for construction of plasmids and for mutagenesis

| Primer   | Sequence (5'→3')                                        |
|----------|---------------------------------------------------------|
| LIC Dir  | AGAAGGAGATATAAACTATGGATATAATTTCAAAAGTCTGG              |
| LIC Rev  | GGGTGTTGAATCTGCTCAGGAC                                     |
| E71 Dir  | GGGTGAAGGCTCCTCAAGGAC                                     |
| E71 Rev* | CTTTTATCTCGACATCAACATCAAC(G50%C50%)(G15%A15%T55%C15%)(G15%A15%T55%C55%)|
| D134 Dir*| AGATACACTCTAGAACCAGGAC                                     |
| D134 Rev*| GGGTGAAGGCTCCTCAGGAC                                     |
| S188/K190 Rev* | ATCTAGATTTGATGATGATGCT(G50%C50%)(G15%A15%T55%C15%)(G15%A15%T55%C15%)
| E204 Dir* | GGGTGAAGGCTCCTCAGGAC                                     |
| E204 Rev | GGGTGAAGGCTCCTCAGGAC                                     |

Degenerate primers are marked by asterisk.
and 72°C, 90 s) and final extension at 72°C for 10 min. In order to provide more material for library construction, the resulting gel-purified DNA fragment of 1254 bp was re-amplified using outward primers E71 Dir and E204 Rev and High Fidelity enzyme mix under the following PCR conditions: initial denaturation 94°C, 3 min; 35 cycles of amplification (94°C, 60 s; 60°C, 60 s and 72°C, 90 s) and final extension at 72°C for 10 min. The gel-purified PCR product was cleaved with PstI and SphI, and the resulting fragment of 1025 bp, which encompasses the mutated cfr10IR gene was isolated from the agarose gel and ligated to the vector backbone of 3893 bp obtained by cleavage of pAC-Cfr10IE204Q DNA with PstI and SphI. Ligation mixture was column-purified and used to electrotransform ER2566 (pTSA29-Cfr10IM) cells. A small fraction of transformed cells was plated onto LB agar plate supplemented with Ap and Cm and grown at 30°C in order to evaluate the total number of transformed cells. The remaining part of transformed cells was grown at 30°C overnight in liquid LB medium in the presence of Ap and Cm, and then the total plasmid DNA representing the library of 10^8 mutants was column-purified and used to transform ER1992 (pTSA29-Cfr10IM) cells by CaCl2 heat-shock method. Heat shock and subsequent recovery of transformed cells before plating was performed at 30°C temperature, while growth of colonies on agar plates supplemented with Ap, Cm and X-Gal was performed by incubating plates first at 30°C for 10 h and then at 42°C for additional 12 h.

**Protein synthesis and purification**

ER2566 (pTSA29-Cfr10IM) cells carrying plasmids which encode His-tagged Cfr10Iwt and its mutant variants were grown in 200 ml of LB medium at 30°C to ~0.6 OD (λ = 600 nm). Expression of REase gene was induced by adding isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside to 1 mM concentration, and then growth was continued for 4 h at the same temperature. Cells were harvested by centrifugation at 4°C and 15,000g for 15 min. Centrifuged cells were re-suspended in 5 ml of lysate buffer (50 mM sodium phosphate, 300 mM NaCl, 10 mM imidazole, 5 mM 2-mercaptoethanol and 1× ProteoBlock Protease Inhibitor Cocktail; pH 8.0), disrupted by sonication and centrifuged at 4°C and 20,000g for 30 min to remove cell debris. Supernatants were applied onto 5 ml volume disposable plastic columns packed with 2 ml of HisPur™ Ni-NTA resin and pre-equilibrated with 5 ml of lysate buffer. After gravity flow-through of supernatants, 10 ml of wash buffer (50 mM sodium phosphate, 300 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole, 5 mM 2-mercaptoethanol and 0.1% Triton X-100; pH 8.0) was applied to the columns. His-tagged proteins were then eluted with 1 ml of elution buffer (50 mM sodium phosphate, 300 mM NaCl, 250 mM imidazole, 5 mM 2-mercaptoethanol and 0.1% Triton X-100; pH 8.0). This procedure was repeated twice, and the second fraction was dialyzed overnight against the storage buffer containing 10 mM Tris, pH 7.4, 100 mM KCl, 1 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), 50% glycerol and 1 mM DTT. Concentration and physical purity of purified wt and mutant Cfr10I REase was determined using Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer and Protein 230 Kit (Agilent Technologies) according to the manufacturer’s recommendations.

**Restriction endonuclease activity assay**

The specific activity of wt and mutant Cfr10I REases was determined by incubating different amounts of partially purified proteins with 1 μg phage λ DNA in 50 μl reaction buffer, containing 10 mM Tris–HCl (pH 8.0), 5 mM MgCl2, 100 mM NaCl, 0.02% Triton X-100 and 1 mg/ml bovine serum albumin (BSA). Enzyme preparations were initially pre-diluted with dilution buffer (20 mM potassium phosphate (pH 7.4), 200 mM KCl, 1 mM EDTA, 7 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, 10% glycerol and 0.2 mg/ml BSA), if needed. DNA cleavage reactions were carried out for 1 h at 37°C and then stopped by adding 25 μl of stop solution (60 mM EDTA, pH 8.2, 30% glycerol, 0.005% bromophenol blue, 0.12% sodium dodecyl sulphate). Products were analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis, and completeness of λ DNA cleavage was evaluated visually. One unit of enzyme activity is considered as the amount of protein required to completely digest 1 μg of λ DNA in 1 h at 37°C.

**RESULTS**

**Rationale for new screening approach**

The idea of direct visual screening of transformants expressing catalytically active REases is outlined in Figure 2. Such screening is based on the ability of active mutants to induce SOS response and thus requires the use of E. coli strains suitable for direct SOS response visualization. ts replication plasmid encodes the gene for protecting methyltransferase, and mutants of cognate restriction endonuclease to be screened are located on a compatible stably maintained plasmid. Under permissive conditions (30°C), the ts plasmid replicates and is stably maintained; at non-permissive temperature (42°C) replication is blocked and the plasmid is quickly lost from descendents of dividing cells. The left part of Figure 2 shows descendents of a cell expressing inactive REase. In contrast, the right part of the figure describes the scenario of events for active REase. In order to ensure stable replication of ts plasmid during the entry process of the second plasmid coding for REase mutant, heat shock and recovery of cells as well as the initial growth of colonies were performed at permissive temperature (Figure 2, Stages I and II). The plates were incubated at 30°C for the time period sufficient to form microcolonies (Figure 2, Stage II), and then their growth was continued at non-permissive temperature (Figure 2, Stage III). Incubation at 42°C results in the arrest of ts plasmid replication and asynchronous plasmid loss from some number of dividing cells within the colony. As a consequence, genomic DNA of such cells becomes hypomethylated due to reduced intracellular MTase concentration. At Stage III restriction-deficient colonies remain white (Figure 2, left part), while hypomethylated genomic DNA targets of some cells within restriction-proficient colonies are cleaved (Figure 2, red arrows).
thus inducing SOS response. In the presence of X-Gal, such cells (and respective colonies) become blue as shown in Figure 2. It was assumed that some fraction of cells forming the blue colony would retain the ts plasmid, stay viable and thus could be recovered by transferring the colony onto a fresh LB agar plate at the permissive temperature (Figure 2, Stage IV).

**Growth requirements for screening of cells expressing active cfr10IR gene**

Previous studies with EcoRI and some other R-M systems revealed that in case when R-M system resides on a plasmid with ts replication, it induces SOS response under conditions of blocked plasmid replication (6,18–21,30). SOS induction was manifested in liquid cultures and on agar plates, which were antibiotic-free, and it was dependent on the activity of EcoRI (20). We asked ourselves whether it would be possible to combine both the antibiotic-based selection of transformants that received plasmid coding for Cfr10Iwt restriction endonuclease and the programmable loss of ts replication plasmid carrying gene for protecting M.Cfr10I as depicted in Figure 2. Set-up of growth conditions optimal for SIMD-mediated SOS response induction was performed by CaCl2-heat shock transformation of *dinD::lacZ* indicator strain ER1992 (pTSA29-Cfr10IIM) with the empty vector, pACYC184; the pACYC184 derivative, pAC-Cfr10Iwt, which codes for His-tagged Cfr10Iwt and another derivative pAC-Cfr10IE204Q, encoding His-tagged catalytic mutant Cfr10IE204Q (24), all three conferring resistance to Cm. Transformation mixtures were plated onto different sectors of LB agar plates supplemented with X-Gal and antibiotics Ap and Cm, plates were incubated for varying periods of time (7–10 h) at 30°C, and then the growth was continued for 7–12 h at 37°C or 42°C before visual inspection of colonies. It has to be mentioned that the loss of ts replication plasmid from bacterial cells abolishes *de novo* synthesis of all proteins encoded by the plasmid, including β-lactamase, which ensures resistance to Ap. Ampicillin and some other β-lactams are known to induce SOS response (31), suggesting that growth of colonies under conditions mentioned above may result in SOS induction in case when ts replication plasmid encodes β-lactamase and Ap is added to the LB agar. However, we have found that incubation of plates at 30°C for 10 h followed by 10–12 h at 42°C resulted in dark blue color of colonies expressing active REase, whereas pACYC184-containing colonies remained white and pAC-Cfr10IIE204Q were pale blue (see Table 3). The difference in color was even more
prominent after subsequent storage of plates for 24–72 h at 4°C. The absence of any Ap effect under SIMD conditions could be explained either by high intracellular β-lactamase concentration that remains high enough even after several rounds of division of plasmid-free cells, by accumulation of secreted β-lactamase within the growing colony thus lowering the local concentration of Ap within and in the vicinity of growing colony, or by both these factors.

Construction of library of Cfr10I<sup>E204Q</sup> mutants

Codons of E71, D134, S188, K190 and E204 amino acid residues were semi-randomly mutated following the approach presented in Figure 1, and PCR-amplified full-length genes cloned back to the backbone of pAC-Cfr10IE204Q. The oligonucleotides used for mutagenesis (Table 1) were designed to have a 55% probability of containing a wild-type nucleotide at the first and the second position of codons and one of the three remaining nucleotides with equal 15% probability. The third codon position was designed to have a 50% probability of containing cytosine and 50% guanine. Observed (O) frequencies were calculated from results of sequencing of 22 plasmids isolated from randomly picked colonies of mutant library.

Screening for Cfr10I<sup>E204Q</sup> mutants able to induce SOS response

The library of plasmids coding for Cfr10I<sup>E204Q</sup> mutants was used to transform ER1992 (pTSA29-Cfr10IM) by CaCl<sub>2</sub>-heat-shock method, and the growth of transformants was performed following temperature regime described above: plates supplemented with Ap, Cm and X-Gal were incubated at 30°C for 10 h and then at 42°C for 12 h. Our initial screening trials revealed that efficiency of SOS repair induction (visualized by blue color development) depends on the number of colonies present on the LB agar plate, and that blue color-based screening works very well with up to 25 colonies per cm<sup>2</sup> of plate (~2000 colonies per standard Petri dish). Following these precautions 200,000 transformants were analyzed, and 64 blue/dark blue colonies (0.032%) were identified among them. Individual colonies were used to inoculate LB medium (5 ml), grown overnight at 30°C in the presence of Ap and Cm, and cultures were used for isolation of plasmids. All 64 plasmids were individually introduced back to ER1992 (pTSA29-Cfr10IM) to check whether the SOS response in isolated colonies was indeed
induced by the plasmids. As a result, 61 plasmids of 64 tested induced SOS response upon re-introduction into ER1992 (pTSA29-Cfr10IM) after growth under the above described conditions, indicating that the ability to induce SOS response in 95% of cases was determined by plasmids.

Sequence analysis of SOS inducing plasmids

In order to define the nature of mutations able to induce SOS response, cloned DNA fragments of all 61 plasmids were sequenced. Analysis of sequence data revealed that 60 plasmids encode cfr10IR mutants (Table 2), while the remaining plasmid contains E. coli genes ybaE, ybaX and ybaW. Twenty-nine distinct cfr10IR variants were detected at the nt level, from which 12 were found in multiple isolates, while the others were unique. Surprisingly, three variants contained the wt nucleotide A at third position of E71 codon, 10 variants—wt nucleotide T at third position of D134 codon and 11 variants—wt nucleotide T at third position of S188 codon (Table 2; respective nucleotides are highlighted on a grey background). Taking into account that mutagenic oligonucleotides were designed to contain either G or C in third position (see Table 1) it seems that PCR primers were not completely removed during purification of megaprimer after the first round of mutagenesis, introducing additional level of variability. As expected, the wt sequence was the most common sequence to emerge from the screened library at the amino acid sequence level (35 of 60 sequenced clones, or 58%). Of these, two mutants contained additional L180P replacement and one—S26L mutation, both probably resulted from PCR errors (Table 2). Analysis of 25 remaining mutants revealed 12 distinct Cfr10I variants having up to four amino acid replacements (Table 2). It is noteworthy that six mutants contained the translation termination codon TAG at the position of E71 codon. ER1992 possesses mutation supE44, which ensures translation of some fraction of TAG codons into glutamine (Q), suggesting that the translated REase has Q at 71st position. The same E71Q mutation was also found in few other clones as well, but in those cases Q was encoded by CAG codon (Table 2). To distinguish between the two types of E71Q mutants, supE44-dependent E71Q replacements are marked with asterisk.

Characterization of Cfr10I mutants able to induce SOS response

To examine whether the catalytic activity of Cfr10I indeed is a prerequisite for SOS response induction, affinity-purified preparations of His-tagged Cfr10Iwt, Cfr10I[E204Q] and nine other mutants (Figure 4) were

| Frequency | Amino acid residue position and codon in wt gene | Additional mutations | Isolate |
|-----------|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------|---------|
| 8x | GAG | GAT | TCT | TCT | AAG | GAG | L180P | L180P |
| 8x | GAG | GAC | TCT | TCT | AAG | GAG | S26L | S26L |
| 5x | GAG | GAC | TCC | TCC | AAG | GAG | E71Q |
| 4x | GA | GAT | TCT | TCT | AAG | GAG | R143G | E71Q/R143G |
| 3x | TAG | GAC | TCT | TCT | AAG | GAG | E71Q | (supE44) |
| 2x | TAG | GAC | TCT | TCT | AAG | GAG | E71Q | (supE44) |
| 2x | CAG | GAC | TCG | ACC (T) | CTG (L) | GTG (V) | E71A/K190T/E204V |
| 2x | GCC (A) | GAC | TCG | ACC (T) | GTG (V) | D134N/K190N/E204V |
| 1x | GCC (A) | GAC | TCC | ACC (T) | GTG (V) | D134N/K190N/E204V |
| 1x | GCC (A) | GAC | TCC | ACC (T) | GTG (V) | D134N/K190N/E204V |
| 1x | GCC (A) | GAC | TCG | ACC (T) | ATC (I) | E71A/K190T/E204I |
| 1x | GAG | AAC (N) | TCG | AAC (N) | GTG (V) | D134N/K190N/E204V |
| 1x | AAC (N) | GAC | TCG | ACC (T) | AAG | GAG | E71A/S188A/K190T/E204Q |
| 1x | GAG | GAC | GCC (A) | ACC (T) | CAG (Q) | E71A/S188A/K190T/E204Q |
| 1x | GAG | GAC | GCC (A) | ACC (T) | CAG (Q) | E71A/S188A/K190T/E204Q |
| 1x | GAG | GAC | GCC (A) | ACC (T) | CAG (Q) | E71A/S188A/K190T/E204Q |
| 1x | GAG | GAC | GCC (A) | ACC (T) | CAG (Q) | E71A/S188A/K190T/E204Q |
| 1x | GAG | GAC | GCC (A) | ACC (T) | CAG (Q) | E71A/S188A/K190T/E204Q |
| 1x | GAG | GAC | GCC (A) | ACC (T) | CAG (Q) | E71A/S188A/K190T/E204Q |
| 1x | GAG | GAC | GCC (A) | ACC (T) | CAG (Q) | E71A/S188A/K190T/E204Q |
| 1x | GAG | GAC | GCC (A) | ACC (T) | CAG (Q) | E71A/S188A/K190T/E204Q |

aGrey background shows isolates used in protein purification and/or transformation experiments.
bBoxed letters indicate nucleotides originating from the wild-type cfr10IR gene.
examined in phage λ DNA cleavage assay. In parallel, plasmids carrying respective genes were used to transform ER1992 cells deficient in Cfr10I MTase, and transformants were grown either at 30°C or 42°C in the presence of X-Gal in order to investigate if bacterial cells may tolerate mutant proteins at any of these temperatures. λ DNA cleavage activities of mutant proteins, their impact on morphology and color of ER1992 (pTSA29-Cfr10IM) colonies grown under SIMD conditions as well as their requirements for MTase protection are summarized in Table 3. In accordance with previous observations (24), E71Q and S188A mutants retained significant residual activities (10% and 4% of His-tagged Cfr10Iwt activity, respectively) and both were toxic in the absence of protecting methylation. It should be noted that residual activities of E71Q and S188A mutants measured in this study differed slightly from those published previously (E71Q, 3.3% and S188A, 12%). These dissimilarities most probably arose from the differences in proteins used for measurements (His-tagged enzymes versus untagged) and from different temperatures at which activities were analyzed (37°C versus 55°C). Interestingly, S188 replacement to cysteine reduced the activity down to 0.5%, resulting in 8-fold activity decrease compared with S188A. In addition, ER1992 (pTSA29-Cfr10IM) cells expressing S188C formed larger colonies after their growth under SIMD conditions, while ER1992 cells survived at both tested temperatures in the absence of protecting methylation and formed blue colonies under conditions of constitutive S188C synthesis. The same was true for E71Q* mutant where E71 replacement to glutamine was encoded by translation termination codon TAG. A detailed analysis of the impact of individual mutations on the activity of Cfr10I was out of the scope of this work. Moreover, possible reasons why E71Q* and S188C behave so differently remain to be elucidated in future studies. However, taking into account the fact that there are three cysteines in Cfr10I, one could speculate that the appearance of additional cysteine in S188C may interfere with the proper folding of mutant protein thus resulting in decrease of the specific activity and/or effective REase concentration within the cell. Likewise, the lowered toxicity of E71Q* could be explained by the fact that only a small fraction of TAG codons are translated into glutamine in the strain possessing the supE44 mutation, leading to the lowered intracellular concentration of Cfr10IE71Q*.

Investigation of catalytic properties of Cfr10IE204Q and six other mutants isolated in this study (Table 3, four mutants shown on the bottom in the left part and remaining two on the right part) revealed that their in vitro cleavage activities are not detectable under assay conditions used. Of those, only a double mutant E71N/D254G formed colonies at 42°C in the absence of protecting methylation and yielded large blue colonies when introduced into ER1992 (pTSA29-Cfr10IM) and grown under SIMD conditions. In contrast, Cfr10IE204Q and five other mutants were toxic in the absence of protecting methylation at both temperatures, but only Cfr10IE204Q formed pale blue colonies after their growth at 30°C followed by incubation at 42°C, while colonies of other five mutants were blue/dark blue. However, these results do not suggest why the aforementioned mutants behaved differently, and further experiments are needed to explain why mutants isolated in this study induce SOS response to a higher extent compared with E204Q.

**DISCUSSION**

Even low activity of Type II REases leads to the killing of host cells in the absence of protective methylation and SOS-response-based visual screening can be used only for isolation of mutants possessing extremely low activities, which can be tolerated by unprotected cells. On the other hand, cognate methylation protects intracellular DNA from the cleavage by REase, but at the same time prevents the use of induced SOS response for isolation of catalytically active REases. Here, we demonstrated that SIMD could be used for direct visual screening of highly catalytically active revertants of Type II REase Cfr10IE204Q when combined with the use of SOS-reporter strain. Screening was carried out by placing the
gene of the protecting MTase into ts-replication plasmid pTSA29, inserting the \( cfr10R^{E204Q} \) gene, which was semi-randomly mutated at five key amino acid residue positions, into stably maintained plasmid pACYC184 and then applying SIMD. Unexpectedly, electroporation of \( \text{dinD}::\text{lacZ} \) indicator strain ER1992 with empty vector pACYC184 resulted in some fraction of blue colonies on agar plates supplemented with Cm and X-Gal, suggesting that electrotransformation induces SOS response in some fraction of transformed cells and, thus, limited the use of electroporation for construction and screening of the library in the same experiment. Therefore, the experiment was accomplished in two steps: electroporation was used in the first step to generate the library of \( 10^6 \) mutants, and then pooled plasmids were used to transform ER1992 (pTSA29-Cfr10IM) by the CaCl\(_2\)-heat-shock method. Small scale sequencing of plasmids isolated from randomly picked transformants from the library revealed that >80% of plasmids encode mutated genes. However, distribution of mutations at first positions of D134 and E204 codons did not conform to the distribution expected based on structure of degenerate oligonucleotides used for mutagenesis, clearly indicating G dominance in both positions. Such discrepancy could be explained either by drawbacks in oligonucleotide synthesis or by mutagenesis conditions favorable for amplification of DNA fragments possessing G at indicated positions.

The frequency of blue/dark blue colonies among transformants analyzed by SIMD approach was found to be 0.032% (64 of \( 2 \times 10^5 \)). Of these, 95% (61 of 64) retained the ability to induce SOS response after re-transformation, demonstrating very high accuracy of this screening method. Surprisingly, sequencing of isolated plasmids revealed that one of plasmids carried \textit{E. coli} genes \( ybaE \), \( ybaX \) and \( yba \), which were inserted into the cloning vector during construction of mutant

---

**Table 3.** Properties of mutants isolated during the screening procedure

| Isolate | Relative specific activity (%) | Colony growth without MTase | Picture of colonies (1.5 × 1.5 cm) \(^{a}\) |
|---------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| pACYC184 (control) | NA | NA | NA |
| E204Q (pAC-Cfr10IE204Q) | ND | – | – |
| Wild type (pAC-Cfr10Iwt) | 100 | – | – |
| E71Q | 10 | – | – |
| E71Q\(^{a}\) | NA | + | + |
| S188A | 4 | – | – |
| S188C | 0.5 | + | + |
| E71A/K190T/E204I | ND | – | – |
| E71A/K190T/E204V | ND | – | – |
| E71Q/K190T/E204L | ND | – | – |
| E71A/S188A/K190T/E204Q | ND | – | – |

---

**Table 3.** Continued

| Isolate | Relative specific activity (%) | Colony growth without MTase | Picture of colonies (1.5 × 1.5 cm) \(^{a}\) |
|---------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| D134N/K190N/E204V | ND | – | – |
| E71N/D254G | ND | – | + |

\(^{a}\)Competent ER1992 (pTSA29-Cfr10IM) cells were transformed by CaCl\(_2\)-heat-shock approach at 30°C. Colonies of transformants were grown for 10 h at 30°C and 12 h at 42°C, plates incubated for additional 48 h at 4°C and then photographed. NA, not analyzed; ND, not detected.
library. The cloned genes for unknown reasons induced SOS response and most probably originated from genomic DNA contaminating plasmid pAC-Cfr10I E204Q DNA used for both cloning and mutagenesis. Sixty other plasmids encoded 29 variants of cfr10IR gene differing at nucleotide sequence level and 12 among them were found in multiple isolates (Table 2). Taking into account the fact that only 20% of representatives of the library (2 x 10^6) were screened for SOS response induction, it seems likely that plasmids featuring identical sequences are 45 independent mutants rather than multiple isolates of 12 initial mutants. Amino acid sequence analysis revealed that 35 of 60 isolates encode amino acid residues of the wild type at all five mutated positions. Assuming that 80% of plasmids in the library encode the mutated cfr10IR gene, the observed frequency of isolation of wt gene from the library (at the level of amino acid sequence) is 0.019%. It is very close to the theoretical frequency of 0.016%, which was calculated based on probabilities of individual nucleotides at discrete positions within codons. On the other hand, this coincidence is surprising due to the finding that expected and observed distribution of nucleotides at individual positions in mutant library does differ and, in some cases, remarkably (Figure 3), and due to observation that several analyzed mutants inherited codons for individual amino acid residues from PCR template but not from primers used for mutagenesis (Table 2). Nevertheless, it may be concluded that the SIMD technique worked perfectly and allowed us to isolate true revertants of Cfr10I.

Purification and characterization of nine other mutants isolated during the screening procedure revealed various levels of relative specific activity. The highest was manifested by E71Q (10%), the lowest was observed in case of S188C (0.5%) and was undetectable in assay conditions for six isolated mutants as well as in the E204Q, which served as a template for mutagenesis (Table 3). Of note, the latter formed pale blue colonies under SIMD conditions, while colonies of isolated mutants were either blue or dark blue (Table 3). Finally, all of them except E71N/D254G were toxic in the absence of protective methylation at 42°C, raising a question about the relationship between mutant activity and their ability to kill host cells and induce SOS response of certain strength in the absence of protective methylation. Based on more intense blue color of colonies, one could speculate that activities of four mutants shown on the bottom in the left part of Table 3 and remaining two on the right part are higher than E204Q thus resulting in a stronger SOS response. However, even subtle changes in the structure of mRNA or protein leading to altered expression level or stability of mutant enzyme within ER1992 (pTSA29-Cfr10IM) cells may have effect on its intracellular concentration, thus leading to different outcome under SIMD conditions. On the other hand, investigating why isolated mutants induced a higher SOS response or what the impact of individual replacements on the enzyme activity was remains a topic for future studies.

It is important to note that although efficiency of SIMD approach was demonstrated only for the screening of catalytically active Cfr10I variants, it may be easily adapted for other applications. For instance, pTSA29-Cfr10IM may be directly used for SIMD-mediated isolation of genes coding for active REases, which do not cleave DNA targets, modified by M.Cfr10I, from cloning libraries. However, the use of two MTases may be required for SIMD-mediated isolation of REase mutants of altered specificity: one for protection of cognate DNA targets (MTase gene located on a stable plasmid) and the second for protection of genomic DNA targets from cleavage by altered specificity mutants (MTase gene located on a ts-replication plasmid). For instance, screening of Cfr10I mutants acting on 5'-TCCGGA, 5'-CCCGGG, 5'-PyCCGGPu or 5'-CCGt targets could be performed by inserting the gene for M.Cfr10I into the plasmid, which is stably maintained (next to the mutated cfr10IR gene) and placing the gene for M.MspI (which protects 5'-CCGG targets) into the ts-replication plasmid. Under SIMD conditions, only Cfr10I mutants of altered specificity should be able to induce SOS response; and based on results described in this work, one may expect to isolate active mutants of altered specificity regardless of their activity level.
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