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A HISTÓRIA NATURAL DE PACIENTES COM HERNIAÇÃO DISCAL AGUDA: UMA SÉRIE DE 150 CASOS

ABSTRACT

Objective: This study aims to analyze the characteristics of patients with acute lumbar/sciatic disc herniation who underwent conservative, pain block procedures and surgical treatment, in order to better understand the natural history of herniations and their surgical indications, as well as the impact of hernia volume. Methods: We analyzed 150 patients with a diagnosis of acute lumbar disc herniation. The treatments considered were: conservative, infiltration/pain block procedures and microdiscectomy. For seven patients who were surgically treated, the lumbar sequestrectomy volume was submitted to pathological analysis. Results: Of the 150 patients, 80% were treated conservatively; 15.31% were treated with pain block procedures and 4.66% underwent microdiscectomy. The mean age of the surgical group was 42.5 years, and 57.1% of the patients were men. Most of the hernias were at L5-S1 (55.5%), and 77.7% were on the left side. All patients had presented significant lumbar pain or sciatica for a long period prior to the surgery. The Lasègue sign was present in all patients. Of the total number of patients, 85.7% presented hypoesthesia and 42.8% presented focal motor deficits, among other findings. The mean hernia volume was 9.6 cm³. Conclusions: Unresponsiveness to conservative treatment is an important indication for surgery. The clinical manifestations in patients with a lumbar/sciatic hernia can be very diverse, as can the disc herniation volume, so these are not good indicators for surgery. Level of evidence IV; Case series

Keywords: Intervertebral Disc; Hernia; Natural History; Lumbosacral Region; Epidemiology.

RESUMEN

Objetivo: El presente estudio tiene como objetivo analizar las características de los pacientes con hernia de disco lumbar/ciática aguda que pasaron por procedimientos conservadores, procedimientos de bloqueo de dolor y tratamiento quirúrgico, a fin de entender mejor la historia natural de las hernias y sus indicaciones quirúrgicas, así como el impacto del volumen de la hernia. Métodos: Analizamos a 150 pacientes diagnosticados con hernia de disco lumbar aguda. Los tratamientos considerados fueron: conservador, procedimientos para bloqueo de dolor/infiltración y microdiscectomía. Para siete pacientes tratados quirúrgicamente, el volumen de sequestrectomía lumbar fue enviado para análisis patológico. Resultados: De los 150 pacientes, 80% fue tratado de modo conservador; 15.31% con procedimientos de bloqueo del dolor e infiltración y 4,66% con microdiscectomía. La edad media del grupo sometido a cirugía fue de 42,5 años, siendo 57,1% de los pacientes hombres. La mayor parte de las hernias se localizaron en L5-S1 (55,5%) y 77,7% de las hernias estaban en el lado izquierdo. Todos los pacientes presentaron signos de la disliemia lumbar o ciática por un periodo largo antes de la cirugía. El sinal de Lasègue estaba presente en todos los pacientes. Del total de pacientes, 85,7% presentaron hipoestesia y 42,8% presentaron déficits motores focales, entre otros hallazgos. El volumen medio de las hernias fue 9,6 cm³. Conclusiones: La falta de respuesta al tratamiento conservador es una importante indicación para cirugía. Las manifestaciones clínicas de los pacientes con hernia lumbar/ciática pueden ser muy diversas, así como el volumen de la hernia, por lo tanto, no son buenos indicadores para cirugía. Nivel de evidencia IV; Série de casos
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INTRODUCTION
Lumbar pain is a prevalent condition and a common cause of work absenteeism. According to Nascimento et al., it affects approximately 11.9% of the world’s population. In Brazil, spinal pain is the second most prevalent health condition, affecting 13.5% of the population, and it represents an important economic burden worldwide. Low back pain has various etiologies, and it is common for patients with this condition to continue without any specific diagnosis. There are various risk factors and conditions that can lead to this type of pain, even where there is no anatomical damage. These include: age, sex, income, level of education, health status, smoking, eating habits, sedentary lifestyle, lifting heavy loads, and repetitive movements. Nascimento et al., following a systematic review in which they analyzed 18 articles, reported interesting data resulting from a pairwise comparison of Brazilian regions, in which they demonstrated that lower social class, low level of education, obesity, sedentary lifestyle, high levels of stress, and sustained unhealthy postures could be important risk factors. 1-6 These varied risk factors influence the generation of certain anatomical modifications that can lead to the onset of low back pain. One such modification is lumbar/sciatic disc herniation which, according to Delgado-López et al., is responsible for 85% of all cases of low back pain, with patients being predominantly aged 40 to 50 years. Disc herniation causes compression of the spine or nerve roots, what usually leads to dermatomal pain radiating to the lower limbs. There are other important symptoms, such as: lameness, paresthesia, positive Lasègue sign, inability to stand up straight or to lift heavy objects, and stooping and walking difficulty (e.g. spinal sagittal imbalance). 3,4 Together with this compression there are also an inflammatory process that contributes to the onset of neuritis and other symptoms. The vast majority of patients recover without surgical intervention; therefore, conservative treatment is usually indicated. Natural regression of the hernia is more common in extruded hernias than protruding ones. Surgery is elected in patients with severe neurological deficit, or in those who continue to present significant pain despite drugs or physical therapy. Surgical treatment and conservative treatment are both reasonable options for patients. No differences were found between earlier or later surgery. The treatment option depends on the patient’s condition (age and comorbidities) and the severity of the symptoms. Cauda equina syndrome is a special condition where surgery is absolutely indicated. Regarding the surgical technique, Delgado-López et al. present robust data showing that there is no statistical significance in the outcome of classical discectomy compared to microdiscectomy. Huysmans et al., in their systematic review, report a slight advantage in relation to return to work rates for the microdiscectomy group when compared to the classical discectomy group. This finding is supported by Overdevest et al., who report shorter recovery times for microdiscectomy. However, the important point in the care of patients with hernia is whether to indicate surgery, not the technique itself. Liang et al. analyzed 25 patients with spinal sagittal imbalance. Of these, 4% had a disc herniation located at L1-L2, 24% at L3-L4, 52% at L4-L5 and 20% at L5-S1. All these patients failed to recover with conservative treatment, and all underwent a selective discectomy through the transforaminal percutaneous approach, using direct endoscopic visualization. After surgery, there was a significant improvement in many of the group’s parameters, including: anterior translation of the C7 plumb line, loss of lumbar lordosis, thoracic kyphosis and sacral slope, restoration of sagittal balance, greater recruitment potential of spinal musculature, pain relief, and improvement in quality of life. These results show that the surgery significantly improved the patients’ spinal sagittal balance. Therefore, the presence of spinal sagittal imbalance, together with other indicators for this condition (such as back/paraspinal muscles weakness) may be good indicators for surgery in patients with disc herniation. 4 Camino Willhuber et al., report that patients with disc herniation are usually aged between 30 and 50 years, and that the disease is twice as common in men than in women. They also state that the most commonly affected levels are L4-L5 and L5-S1. Surgical treatment is not always successful, as some patients present a recurrence of the hernia. The authors analyzed 177 patients who underwent a microdiscectomy: 62% men and 38% women, with a mean age of 49.5 years. Of those patients, 30 (17%) presented a recurrence of the disc herniation, and of these, 90% were re-operated. The authors found no significant statistical difference in relation to the patients’ age, sex, body mass or type of hernia (extrusion, protrusion or sequestration). However, there was a significant difference in relation to rate of occupation of the canal by the disc, facet degeneration, and intervertebral disc height. Wang et al. report the case of a man who presented two recurrences after a percutaneous discectomy. The patient presented some of the risk factors for recurrence mentioned above, such as: higher intravertebral spaces, spinal canal occupancy and Modic change. Therefore, minimally invasive treatments may be a bad choice for these patients, as non-fusion surgeries can result in recurrence.

METHODS
All patients gave consent to take part in this study. However, given that this study was based solely on data from medical records, our institution did not require an informed consent form to be signed by the patients. They were treated between February 2018 and February 2019, at a tertiary hospital in São Paulo, Brazil, that is a reference in spine surgery and neurosurgery. This was an observational, retrospective cohort study.

A literature review was performed of articles in the PUBMED database published from 2008 to 2018, in English or Spanish. The search terms Disc, Herniation, Natural, History, Lumbar and Epidemiology were used. A total of 39 articles were retrieved, but only 10 contained data of interest for the compilation of our results, as we only used articles that discussed lumbar or sciatic disc herniation. The patient’s characteristics were determined; clinical presentation; surgical approach, hernia volume, and location of the hernia. All the patients treated conservatively received physical therapy, acupuncture and medical treatment. Medical treatment consisted of neuropathic pain modulators, pain modulators and opioids. All the patients treated conservatively did not have any motor deficits. The patients that were refractory to initial treatment underwent pain block procedures with facet joint and foraminal procedures. After 3 months, if still refractory, the patients underwent surgery. If a patient presented significant neurological deficits, they were considered for surgery.
RESULTS

After checking the medical records of 750 patients, our group identified 150 patients with acute disc herniation. Of these, 120 (80%) were treated conservatively; (15.31%) received combined conservative treatment and facet/foraminal analgesia and seven (4.66%) underwent a microdiscectomy after failure of conservative treatment. Of the latter group, anatomopathological analysis of the removed disc hernia was performed in all seven patients, in order to assess the segment volume. These patients are analyzed and discussed in more depth in this article. The data are shown in Table 1.

The group analyzed here is a good population for analyzing the characteristics of patients with disc herniation who are submitted to surgery. In our study, microdiscectomy was the technique utilized. All our patients presented significant clinical limitations and usually, excruciating pain, having tried conservative treatment without success before the indication for surgery. The mean age of this group was 42.5 years; four (57.1%) patients were men and three (42.9%) were women.

The patients presented a total of 9 hernias that were treated surgically. In regard to vertebral location, there was one (11.1%) L3-L4 hernia, three (33.3%) L4-L5 hernias and five (55.5%) L5-S1 hernias. Seven (77.7%) hernias were at the left side and only two (22.3%) were on the right side. All the patients had presented significant lumbar pain or sciatica, for long periods, prior to the surgery. The mean hernia volume was 9.6 cm³ (ranging from 1.05 cm³ to 22.23 cm³).

Our unique data was the measurement of the herniated disc volume. These volumes were determined by analyzing the segment volume. These patients are analyzed and discussed in more depth in this article. The data are shown in Table 1. The patients presented a total of 9 hernias that were treated surgically. In regard to vertebral location, there was one (11.1%) L3-L4 hernia, three (33.3%) L4-L5 hernias and five (55.5%) L5-S1 hernias. Seven (77.7%) hernias were at the left side and only two (22.3%) were on the right side. All the patients had presented significant lumbar pain or sciatica, for long periods, prior to the surgery. The mean hernia volume was 9.6 cm³ (ranging from 1.05 cm³ to 22.23 cm³).

The mean time of pain after surgery was 6.3 months. The Lasègue sign was present in all patients. Only one patient presented no focal deficits; the others presented hypoesthesia in the nerve root territory. Three (42.8%) patients presented focal motor deficits. One patient presented pain on moving the trunk, and one patient presented neurological lameness. The mean hernia volume was 9.6 cm³ (ranging from 1.05 cm³ to 22.23 cm³). All seven patients of the surgical group had been considered for initial pain block procedures before being submitted to surgery. Three of the seven patients were considered for surgery due to motor deficits, and four after three months of refractory pain block procedure.

The patients presented a total of 9 hernias that were treated surgically. In regard to vertebral location, there was one (11.1%) L3-L4 hernia, three (33.3%) L4-L5 hernias and five (55.5%) L5-S1 hernias. Seven (77.7%) hernias were at the left side and only two (22.3%) were on the right side. All the patients had presented significant lumbar pain or sciatica, for long periods, prior to the surgery. The mean hernia volume was 9.6 cm³ (ranging from 1.05 cm³ to 22.23 cm³).

All seven patients of the surgical group had been considered for initial pain block procedures before being submitted to surgery. Three of the seven patients were considered for surgery due to motor deficits, and four after three months of refractory pain block procedure.

DISCUSSION

Analysis of our cohort shows the diversity of characteristics of patients submitted to surgery for disc herniation. Most of our findings agreed with the literature, i.e. we found that the disease is more prevalent in men, and the mean age of our patients was between 30 and 50 years, corroborating the findings of Delgado-López et al.,3 Camino Willhuber et al.7 and Fjeld et al.,9 who reported an average age of 45 years and a 1.3-fold greater prevalence of men, after analyzing 35,374 patients who underwent surgical treatment. In terms of location, Liang et al.4 and Camino Willhuber et al.7 reported a predominance of hernias in L4-L5 and in L5-S1, and this was corroborated by our findings (33.3% in L4-L5 and 55.5% in L5-S1).

Delgado-López et al.3 and Liang et al.4 report a diversity of clinical manifestations in patients with lumbar/sciatic hernias. These include dermatomal pain radiating to the lower limbs, lameness, paresthesia, a usually positive Lasègue sign, inability to stand up straight or lift heavy objects, and stooping with walking difficulty. Our findings also agreed with these data: 100% of our patients presented a positive Lasègue sign, 85.7% presented hypoesthesia in the affected nerve territory, 42.8% presented paresis, 14.2% presented pain in axial movements and 14.2% presented lameness. Given that patients may present a range of significant and/or refractory symptoms, the clinical manifestations are probably a poor indicator for surgery.

Our unique data was the measurement of the herniated disc volume. We found a significant variation among patients, with volumes ranging from 1.05 cm³ to 22.23 cm³, as shown in Figure 1. Therefore, disc herniation volume is also a poor indicator for surgery.

Our study presents the main characteristics of patients submitted to surgical treatment, demonstrating that clinical characteristics and disc hernia volume are not ideal factors on which to base the surgical indication. This reminds us of the importance of good surgical indication and the limited usefulness of these characteristics, as shown by Delgado-López et al.3 and corroborated by our data, given that we only operated on 8.8% of our patients with lumbar/sciatic disc herniation.

Table 1. Baseline characteristics and summary of the operative cases.

| Patient | Date of evolution | Age | Hernia level | Symptoms | Levels impaired | Hernia volume |
|---------|-------------------|-----|--------------|----------|----------------|---------------|
| A.C.L.  | 03/27/2019        | 46  | L5-S1 - Extrusion | Intense lumbar pain in the last 8 months | S1 - Left | 1.05 cm³ |
|         |                   |     |              | Face Pain | Lasègue +       |               |
|         |                   |     |              | No Deficits |                |               |
| G.S.T.H.| 10/22/2018        | 37  | L4-L5 - Extrusion | Lumbar pain and sciatica in the last 10 months | L5 - Left | 4.6 cm³ |
|         |                   |     |              | Hypoesthesia in L5 territory | Lasègue + |               |
|         |                   |     |              | Intense Left Sciatica |                |               |
| I.P.H.  | 03/16/2018        | 50  | L5-S1 - Extrusion | Lumbar pain and sciatica in the last 4 months | S1 - Left (major) | 6.0 cm³ |
|         |                   |     |              | Hypoesthesia in S1 territory | Lasègue + |               |
|         |                   |     |              | Grade IV Paresis for left plantar flexion | L5 - Left (minor) |               |
| P.M.T.  | 04/30/2019        | 30  | L5-S1 - Extrusion | Lumbar pain and sciatica in the last month | S1 - Left | 7.13 cm³ |
|         |                   |     |              | Hypoesthesia in S1 territory | Lasègue + |               |
|         |                   |     |              | Grade III Paresis in S1 territory |                |               |
| P.A.P.  | 09/20/2018        | 45  | L4-L5 - Extrusion | Lumbar pain and sciatica in the last 6 months | L5 - Right | 16.2 cm³ |
|         |                   |     |              | Hypoesthesia in L5 territory | Lasègue + |               |
|         |                   |     |              | Neurological Lameness |                |               |
| R.F.P.B.| 02/12/2019        | 37  | L5-S1 - Extrusion | Lumbar pain and intense sciatica in the last 3 months | S1 - Left | 22.23 cm³ |
|         |                   |     |              | Hypoesthesia in S1 territory | Lasègue + |               |
|         |                   |     |              | Paresis in S1 territory |                |               |
|         |                   |     |              | Intense lumbar pain in the last year | L3-L4-L5 - Left | 10.36 cm³ |
|         |                   |     |              | Lasègue + |                |               |
|         |                   |     |              | Hypoesthesia in L3-L4-L5 territory |                |               |
|         |                   |     |              | Pain in trunk flexion and extension |                |               |
CONCLUSION

Patients with lumbar/sciatic disc herniation have some classic characteristics that were corroborated with our findings, such as a mean age of between 40 to 50 years, a greater prevalence of men, and a prevalence of hernias at L4-L5 and L5-S1. The varied clinical presentation is, in itself, an important characteristic, and the decision on whether or not to indicate surgery in these patients is a very important aspect of the patient care. Surgery should only be indicated with caution, and only for a minority of patients. Unresponsiveness to conservative treatment is an important indication for the surgery. Clinical manifestations and disc hernia volume in patients with lumbar/sciatic hernia can be very diverse, and are not, therefore, good indicators for surgery.
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