Efficient coherent internal state transfer in trapped ions using stimulated Raman adiabatic passage
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Abstract. We demonstrate experimentally how the process of stimulated Raman adiabatic passage (STIRAP) can be utilized for efficient coherent internal state transfer in single trapped and laser-cooled $^{40}$Ca$^+$ ions. The transfer from the D$_{3/2}$ to the D$_{5/2}$ state, is detected by a fluorescence measurement revealing the population not transferred to the D$_{5/2}$ state. A coherent population transfer efficiency at the level of 95% in a set-up allowing for the internal state detection of individual ions in a string has been obtained.

In many fields of physics, coherent transfer of population from one specific internal state to another in atoms or molecules is desirable. Notable examples are atom clocks and interferometers [1, 2] as well as transitions between atomic and molecular Bose–Einstein condensates [3, 4]. In quantum information processing, coherent transfer can be used to momentarily shelve an atom in a state different from one of the qubit states in connection with qubit gate operations [5, 6], or may be used as part of a qubit readout procedure [7, 8]. For such applications, the transfer has to be nearly perfect. High fidelity transfer has previously been achieved in transfer of population between internal states of single atomic ions by applying Rabi [9], Raman [10] or composite [11] $\pi$-pulses. Additionally rapid adiabatic passage has proved useful for manipulating the population of individual neutral atoms [12] and ions [13]; however the lower bounds of the timescales were limited by achievable Rabi frequencies to a level between 150 $\mu$s and a few ms in these experiments. Over such timescales extremely good control of external magnetic fields must be demonstrated. To shorten the experiments higher Rabi frequencies must be achieved, which is
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possible if an optical Raman transition is driven. This process is referred to as stimulated Raman adiabatic passage (STIRAP) [14]–[16], and it has previously been demonstrated in experiments spanning from transitions between metastable states being part of atomic or molecular Λ-systems [15, 16] via excitation of high lying electronic states in an atomic ladder system [17]–[19] to efficient atomic beam deflection [20]–[22]. However, so far all these experiments have involved ensembles of atoms or molecules.

Here, we report on an efficient STIRAP process between the 3D3/2 and 3D5/2 metastable states in single laser-cooled 40Ca+ ions. This experiment demonstrates efficient STIRAP transfer in single quantum systems. The success of the presented STIRAP process points to a diversity of single trapped ion manipulation experiments, including robust entanglement schemes [23], molecular state preparation [24], coherent control of chemical reactions [25, 26] and efficient quantum bit readout in quantum computation [6, 7, 27].

The relevant states and laser-induced transitions in the 40Ca+ ion are presented in figure 1, and in figure 2, the basics of the experimental set-up are sketched. The 40Ca+ ions are trapped and Doppler laser-cooled in a segmented linear Paul trap. The radiofrequency (rf) voltage (∼500 Vpp, Ωrf = 2π × 16.8 MHz) is delivered to the two light grey electrodes of length and thickness of 10 and 0.2 mm, respectively, separated by a distance of 1.4 mm. On the sectioned electrodes with section lengths: 4.5, 1 and 4.5 mm (dark grey/blue), dc voltages of a few volts are applied to achieve axial confinement. The radial and axial trapping frequencies are typically ∼1.5 and 0.5 MHz, respectively, allowing for confinement of few-ion strings (1–10 ions). The ions are laser-cooled using the 397 nm 4S 1/2 → 4P1/2 and the 866 nm 3D3/2 → 4P1/2 transitions, while the STIRAP population transfer from the 3D3/2 to the 3D5/2 is driven by light at 850 and 854 nm tuned close to the resonance frequency of the 3D3/2 → 4P3/2 and 3D5/2 → 4P3/2 transition, respectively. We can determine whether an ion is shelved in the metastable 3D5/2 state or not by exposing it simultaneously to 397 and 866 nm light and collecting fluorescence light at 397 nm originating from the 4S1/2 → 4P1/2 transitions. Only when the ion is in the 4S1/2 or the metastable 3D3/2 state fluorescence is detected. The internal state of the individual ions can be determined by imaging the fluorescence on to an image intensified charge coupled device (CCD) camera. Additionally, a photomultiplier tube (PMT), which provides a fast and efficient way of quantifying the averaged internal state of the ensemble of ions, is used.

The 397 nm light is produced by frequency doubling the output of a Ti : Sa laser, while the remaining near infrared laser light at 850, 854 and 866 nm originates from grating stabilized diode lasers. All lasers are locked to temperature stabilized external Fabry–Perot resonators with a frequency drift less than 1 MHz h⁻¹.

The light from the 866 nm laser is split into two beams. One, which is only present during laser cooling, passes an electro-optical phase-modulator operating at a frequency of 10 MHz for periodically scrambling the polarization of the light to avoid optical pumping into a dark state in the 3D3/2 level [28]. The other beam is polarized linearly along the direction of an applied bias magnetic field of 1 Gauss, and exposes the ions for 1 ms before the STIRAP pulses are applied. This results in optical pumping into the 3D3/2(m = ±3/2) Zeeman sub states, which have the identical coupling strength with respect to the STIRAP pulses when polarized linearly along the same direction. From Raman spectroscopy, we find that the optical pumping leads to a population of less than 6% in the 3D3/2(m = ±1/2) sublevels. The non-perfect optical pumping is most likely due to small σ polarized components of the 866 nm laser arising from a magnetic field being not perfectly parallel to the laser polarization.
In the STIRAP experiments, the 850 and 854 nm lasers are both detuned roughly $\Delta_{\text{one}} = 2\pi \times 600 \text{ MHz}$ below the $3D_{3/2} \rightarrow 4P_{3/2}$ and $3D_{5/2} \rightarrow 4P_{3/2}$ transition, respectively. The STIRAP pulses are created using the first order diffraction beam from acousto-optical modulators (AOMs) with controllable rf powers. The pulses generated have nearly Gaussian intensity distributions, which can very well be described by $I_{850}(t) = I_{850,0} \exp \left[ -\left( t - \Delta t/2 \right)^2/\sigma^2 \right]$ and $I_{854}(t) = I_{854,0} \exp \left[ -\left( t + \Delta t/2 \right)^2/\sigma^2 \right]$, respectively, with $\sigma$ being the $1/e$ pulse half width, and $\Delta t$ being the time separation of the pulses. In the experiments, $\sigma$ and $\Delta t$ can both be varied from 0.5 to 10 $\mu$s. To avoid repumping of the population from the $3D_{5/2}$ level to the $3D_{3/2}$ level via the Raman resonance by residual first order diffracted light, an rf switch ensures that typically 10 $\mu$s after reaching the peak intensity, the rf power delivered to the AOMs is suppressed.
by 100 dB. At a longer timescale (\(\sim 100 \mu s\)), the STIRAP beams are blocked by a much slower mechanical shutter. In order to assure a good spatial overlap and the same linear polarization of the two STIRAP beams at the position of the ions, the light at the two wavelengths is coupled into the same single mode polarization maintaining (PM) optical fibre using a diffraction grating. The grating also serves as a spectral filter, suppressing the spontaneous emission background when both the 397 and 866 nm light is present, before the optical pumping into the 3D \(\rightarrow\) 3P states with nearly the same coupling strength. This is indicated in figure 1.

In the experiments, the efficiency of the STIRAP process is quantified in terms of the population transfer efficiency defined by \((L - S)/(L - B)\) with \(L\) being the scattered light level when both the 397 and 866 nm light is present, before the optical pumping into the 3D \(\rightarrow\) 3S states. \(S\) is the light level immediately after the executing of the STIRAP sequence, and finally \(B\) is the background light level obtained by optically pumping all ions into the 3D \(\rightarrow\) 3S level. Each fluorescence measurement lasts 8 ms when the CCD is used and 10 ms with the PMT. The lifetime of the 3D \(\rightarrow\) 3S state is about 1.1 s [29], hence the reduction in transfer efficiency due to atomic decay is less than 1% in all experiments.

In figure 3, we present the results of an experiment where a string of nine ions is exposed to STIRAP pulses with \(\sigma = 1.5 \mu s\), while we vary the pulse delay, \(\Delta \tau\). In the experiments \(\Omega_{850,0} = 2\pi \times 100 \text{ MHz}\), \(\Omega_{854,0} = 2\pi \times 250 \text{ MHz}\), \(\Delta_{\text{one}} = 2\pi \times 600 \text{ MHz}\), and the two-photon 3D \(\rightarrow\) 4P \(\rightarrow\) 3D Raman detuning is \(\Delta_{\text{two}} = 2\pi \times (-0.9) \text{ MHz}\). The upper graph shows the results for the individual ions on the string, while the lower graph shows the transfer efficiency averaged over all ions. This is obtained by analysis of the CCD images as well as signals from the PMT. For the intuitive pulse order \((\Delta \tau < 0)\), we observed the smallest transfer efficiency at the level of 5%. Here, some oscillations are found, which we attribute to Rabi dynamics. When the STIRAP pulses perfectly overlap \((\Delta \tau = 0)\), the efficiency is seen to grow to about \(\sim 30\%\). For counter-intuitive pulse sequences adiabatic population transfer increases the transfer efficiency until a maximum of \(93 \pm 2\%\) is reached for \(\Delta \tau = 3.0 \mu s\). For yet larger counter-intuitive pulse delays, the efficiency drops to the level of 5%. This residual transfer arises from off-resonant one-photon absorption process induced by the last 850 nm pulse. The full curve following the averaged data points is obtained by solving the five level optical Bloch equations, modelling our system in figure 1, ignoring magnetic sublevels. The parameters for the simulation are: \(\Omega_{850,0}/2\pi = 90 \text{ MHz}\), \(\Omega_{854,0}/2\pi = 225 \text{ MHz}\) and \(\Delta_{\text{one}} = 2\pi \times 600 \text{ MHz}\). When the optical
Figure 3. STIRAP transfer efficiency as function of pulse delay, $\Delta \tau$, for nine ions on a string. Top panel: the STIRAP transfer efficiency data of individual ions for various pulse delays. Ion number 1 is located at the left of the corresponding CCD pictures shown to the right-hand side. Each picture is the average of 50 repetitions of the experiment. Bottom panel: mean transfer efficiency deduced by averaging the above nine curves (•) and the corresponding PMT based data (▲). The full curve represents the solution to the five level Bloch equations, ignoring magnetic sublevels. See text for specific parameters.
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powers are minimum residual power levels giving rise to Rabi frequencies at 2 and 5% of the peak values, respectively, are assumed. More details about the analysis can be found in [8]. These values optimize the agreement between theory and experiment, and the discrepancy of 10% relative to the experimental Rabi frequencies is attributed to a nonperfect overlap between the ions and the focused laser beam. The role of these off-powers is discussed in more detail below.

The upper graph of figure 3 proves that the presented technique allows for monitoring the internal state of up to at least nine individual ions, by integrating the fluorescence signal originating from specific regions in the trap. The analysis is based on averages of 50 STIRAP sequences, each having a duration of 40 ms and an exposure of the CCD chip in 8 ms. Here, it should be noted that the timescale of a single STIRAP sequence is much shorter than the typical time for ions to switch positions.
The results presented in figure 3 do not show our highest obtained transfer efficiency. Reducing the number of ions to two, a slightly higher transfer efficiency of 95 ± 2% is measured, but in order to prove that the high transfer efficiency is not limited to a very specific spot in the trap, the results for the nine ion string have been chosen. The slight improvement of transfer efficiency for a small number of ions may be attributed to a smaller rf heating rate, since a few ions fill up a smaller volume around the trap centre.

To illustrate that our scheme indeed works at the individual ion level, we present in figure 4 representative single CCD frames from which the averages of figure 3 are composed. The frames show the results of individual STIRAP events for increasing values of pulse delay. Equilibrium ion positions are indicated by the horizontal dashed lines. By projecting the images on to the ion string symmetry axis, signal to background noise ratios varying between 6 and 15 can be extracted. This is certainly sufficient to discriminate between shelved and non-shelved ions, hence we find that our scheme works at a level of single quantum systems.

In figure 5, the measured transfer efficiency (averaged PMT signal from 1–10 ions) as function of the two-photon detuning of the Raman transition, $\Delta_{\text{two}}$, for pulses with $\Omega_{850,0} = 2\pi \times 100 \text{ MHz}$, $\Omega_{854,0} = 2\pi \times 250 \text{ MHz}$, $\sigma = 1.5 \mu s$ and $\Delta = 2 \mu s$. As we approach zero two-photon detuning from below, we observe the transfer efficiency to grow to a maximum of 92% for $\Delta_{\text{two}} = 2\pi \times (-0.9) \text{ MHz}$. This growth is a result of a dark superposition state of the $3D_{3/2}$ and $3D_{5/2}$ unperturbed atomic states becoming increasingly more decoupled from the light fields as we approach two-photon resonance. However, as we continue towards positive two-photon detuning a sudden drop in transfer efficiency is found, which is due to the presence of a bright resonance. The full curve in figure 5 is again the solution to the five level Bloch equations with parameters the same as used for the curve of figure 3. In order to understand figure 5 in more detail, we consider the interaction Hamiltonian for the three atomic levels and two light fields involved in the STIRAP process [16]

$$H = \frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Omega_{850} & 0 \\ \Omega_{850} & 2\Delta_{\text{one}} & \Omega_{854} \\ 0 & \Omega_{854} & 2\Delta_{\text{two}} \end{pmatrix},$$

where the atomic basis $\{3D_{3/2}, 4P_{3/2}, 3D_{5/2}\}$ has been used. The atomic states are indicated in figure 1. The eigenstates of (1) we denote $|\psi_+\rangle$, $|\psi_0\rangle$ and $|\psi_-\rangle$, where $|\psi_0\rangle$ is the dark state,
which becomes completely decoupled from light when $\Delta_{\text{two}} = 0$. The remaining two states both couple strongly to the light and hence we denote these bright states. In order to have STIRAP working the ions must follow $|\psi_0\rangle$ adiabatically as the 850 and 854 nm pulses are developing, i.e., we require the rate of change of the state is small relative to the frequency difference between $|\psi_0\rangle$ and the energetically closest bright state, $|\psi_-\rangle$. In figure 6, we plot the time evolution of the corresponding eigenvalues, $\lambda_0$ and $\lambda_-$ respectively, as the STIRAP takes place. In figure 6(A), $\Delta_{\text{two}} = 2\pi \times (-5)\text{ MHz}$, while in figure 6(B) $\Delta_{\text{two}} = 2\pi \times 5\text{ MHz}$. Rabi frequencies and $\Delta_{\text{one}}$ are the same as used previously. From figure 6(B), it is clear that a diabatic transition from $|\psi_0\rangle$ to $|\psi_-\rangle$ is very likely around $t/\sigma = 1.4$, where the corresponding eigenvalues are almost equal. Hence, for $\Delta_{\text{two}} > 0$, the spontaneous decaying state $|\psi_-\rangle$ is likely to become populated and the coherent population transfer ruined. This is the cause of the asymmetric STIRAP spectrum of figure 5. For completeness we also present the eigenvalues on two-photon resonance in figure 6(C), which represents the ideal situation.

Due to the remaining optical power irradiating the ions after the pulse sequence, the transfer efficiency is not found to be maximum for $\Delta_{\text{two}} = 0$, but actually for small negative two-photon detunings. The relatively weak light gives rise to a narrow Raman resonance, which pump out population of the $3D_{5/2}$ state after the STIRAP sequence when on two-photon resonance. This is illustrated in figure 7. Here the $\Delta_{\text{two}}$ dependence of transfer efficiency, obtained by solving the five level optical Bloch equations, is plotted. The graph shows that the maximum transfer efficiency shifts towards negative two-photon detunings as the off-power increases. This is due to the resulting increasing width of the parasitic Raman resonance, indicated by the dashed curve.

Hence, in contrast to STIRAP experiments performed on atomic beams [16], it is not necessarily an advantage to have very high Rabi frequencies on stationary atoms, unless residual
optical power can be effectively suppressed. In fact, great care must be taken in order to ensure spectral as well as temporal clean optical pulses in order to avoid repumping of population.

In an attempt to measure the efficiency of our STIRAP pulses and partially circumventing the problem of residual light, we set-up a pulse sequence of multiple STIRAP pulse pairs, which alternating adiabatically transfer population back and forth between the $3D_{3/2}$ state and the $3D_{5/2}$ state. By using up to seven pulse pairs, we find the final transfer efficiency to be constant at $90 \pm 1\%$, indicating that our highest transfer efficiency is indeed limited mainly by residual light on the Raman transition.

Finally, we study the role of the width of our STIRAP pulses. Here, with the Rabi frequencies available, we find the optimum transfer efficiency between $\sigma = 1.5$ and $2.0 \mu s$. For widths smaller than $1 \mu s$, the efficiency is found to reduce rapidly due to breakdown of adiabaticity. As the width is increased to a maximum of $\sigma = 10 \mu s$, the STIRAP efficiency drops to about 80%. This is attributed to decoherence between the two independent lasers involved, and it is consistent with measured laser linewidths and numerical simulations [8].

The Raman transition chosen in this experiment is particularly well suited for STIRAP, since the relative wavelength difference between the two lasers is only 0.5%. As a result, with co-propagating Raman beams, Doppler shifts partially cancel and we observe average transfer efficiencies exceeding 90%. This is the case not only when ion strings are used as a target, but also in the case of small Coulomb crystals containing 30–50 ions.
In summary, we demonstrate efficient population transfer between the two metastable levels $3\text{D}_{3/2}$ and $3\text{D}_{5/2}$ in cold, trapped $^{40}\text{Ca}^+$ ions via STIRAP. A maximum transfer efficiency of $95 \pm 2\%$ is found with Gaussian intensity pulses having half width at $1/e$ height of $1.5 \mu s$ and separation $3.0 \mu s$. At present, we believe the transfer efficiency is limited mainly by unwanted resonant Raman transitions driven by residual light, a problem we are currently working to minimize. A highly asymmetric transfer efficiency as function of two-photon detuning is observed, the shape of which we find is caused by a nearby bright resonance.
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