Environmentally Friendly and Roll-Processed Flexible Organic Solar Cells Based on PM6:Y6
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Organic Solar Cells (OSCs) have reached the highest efficiencies using lab-scale device manufacturing on active areas far below 0.1 cm². The most used fabrication technique is spin-coating, which has poor potential for upscaling and substantial material waste. This tends to widen the so-called “lab-to-fab gap”, which is one of the most important challenges to make OSCs competitive. Other techniques such as blade or slot-die coating are much more suitable for roll-to-roll manufacturing, which is one of the advantages the technology presents due to the huge potential for fast and low-cost fabrication of flexible OSCs. However, only a few studies report solar cells using these fabrication techniques, especially applied on a roll-platform. Additionally, for environmentally friendly large area OSCs, inks based on non-hazardous solvent systems are needed. In this work, slot-die coating has been chosen to coat a PM6:Y6 active layer, using o-xylene, a more environmentally friendly alternative than halogenated solvents, and without additives. The optimal coating process is defined through fine-tuning of the coating parameters, such as the drying temperature and solution concentration. Moreover, ternary devices with PCBM, and fully printed devices are also fabricated. Power conversion efficiencies of 6.3% and 7.2% are achieved for binary PM6:Y6 and ternary PM6:Y6:PCBM devices measured with an aperture area of ~0.4 cm² (total device area ~0.8 cm²).
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INTRODUCTION

Higher efficiencies are continuously being reached in Organic Solar Cells (OSCs) especially due to the non-fullerene acceptors, which allow fine-tuning of their energy levels and light absorption with different donor materials (Liu et al., 2018; Li et al., 2019; Pang et al., 2020; Guo et al., 2021). Moreover, NFAs can reduce the Voc losses and present a stronger and wider visible-NIR absorption than fullerenes (Yan et al., 2018; Armin et al., 2021). OSCs have interesting properties compared to other photovoltaic (PV) technologies, such as their low cost, their mechanical flexibility, their light weight, and the possibility of being semitransparent (Lucera et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2019; Chen et al., 2020; Hu et al., 2020). OSCs are roll-to-roll compatible, which means they can be fabricated with high throughput and with very little energy investment, thus with a very short Energy Payback Time (EPBT) (Gertsen et al., 2019). The EPBT is the time needed to give back the energy used for manufacturing the device and can reach the order of days for organic photovoltaics (Espinosa et al., 2012).
Therefore, OSCs are one of the most promising PV technologies, driving a lot of research attention to achieve their industrialization. However, a large efficiency gap is still to be overcome between solar cells produced with lab-scale techniques (e.g. spin-coating) and the ones produced using industry-compatible processes. (Gertsen et al., 2019; Xue et al., 2021; Yang et al., 2021). The most reliable scalable fabrication techniques for OSCs are slot-die coating, blade-coating, or even spray/aerosol coating, due to their compatibility with roll-to-roll manufacturing. However, slot-die coating is preferred, as it is possible to coat with a one-dimensional pattern in the form of one or more stripes with a well-defined width and with almost no material waste (Søndergaard et al., 2012; Gertsen et al., 2019). Therefore, in order to contribute to minimizing the so-called “lab-to-fab gap” or “scalability lag”, slot-die coating should be the choice for further optimization.

A lot of new donors and acceptors appeared recently on the market, including PM6 donor and Y6 acceptor materials (chemical structures shown in Figure 1C). Excellent efficiencies over 15% were reported on rigid substrates when using hydrocarbon-based solvents (such as o-xylene) without additives, and in an inverted structure of glass/ITO/ZnO/PM6:Y6/MoO3/Al (Zhao et al., 2020). Other device structures were used with different transport layers and electrodes, achieving efficiencies between 10 and 16% (Guo et al., 2021). PM6 absorbance matches well the highest spectral irradiance point of the solar spectrum, whereas Y6 complements this absorption in the red part of the spectrum (Figure 1B). Several advances are being reported for larger area devices fabricated by slot-die coating in a roll-platform. Efficiencies above 12% were reported when using a ternary active layer based on PTB7-Th:COi8DFIC:PC71BM (Wang et al., 2020a). The negligible PCE scalability lag they obtained comparing slot-die with spin-coated devices was achieved thanks to a PET/silver-grid substrate with an incredibly low sheet-resistance, which led to a high FF in the final devices.

When considering PM6:Y6:PCBM flexible large-area devices, efficiencies above 14% have been reported when using a spin-coated active layer in chloroform: chloronaphthalene and a blade-coated transparent electrode (Qin et al., 2021). Their transparent cathode was based on a silver grid coupled with silver nanowires and polyethyleneimine-Zn with as HTL, and efficiencies between 14.3% (1 cm²) and 12.6% (10 cm²) were achieved. Modules with up to 54 cm² based on 9-sub cells were also reported with efficiencies up to 13.2% (9.8% when considering geometry fill factor). Their work showcases the potential of PM6:Y6 as a high-performance candidate for flexible, large-area OSCs, even though the active layer was fabricated by non-scalable fabrication techniques. These two works show that improving the transparent electrode using alternatives with a lower sheet-resistance than ITO is a necessary step to decrease the scalability lag and the technology to succeed.

Therefore, the PM6:Y6 material combination is a good candidate to try and minimize the gap between efficiencies obtained using different manufacturing processes (Zhao et al., 2020; Guo et al., 2021; Liu et al., 2021; Würfel et al., 2021). To the best of our knowledge, there is still a need to transfer PM6:Y6-
based devices to a roll-platform on flexible substrates, using scalable fabrication techniques while in open-air. PM6 exhibits temperature dependent aggregation (TDA) behavior and therefore needs to be heated to remain solubilized throughout the slot-die coating procedure (Zhao et al., 2020). In this case, the substrate, syringe, tube, and the slot-die head are heated during the coating according to the temperature-controlled slot-die coating procedure previously reported by our group (Fernández-Castro et al., 2022). In this work, we process OSCs using large-scale compatible processes on flexible lightweight substrates. We hope to contribute to the reduction of the “lab-to-fab gap” achieving higher efficiencies through scalable fabrication techniques, to finally bring this technology to the edge of commercialization (Gertsen et al., 2019; Xue et al., 2021). For the first time, slot-die coated flexible devices based on PM6:Y6 were fabricated in open-air, with efficiencies up to 7% when paired with fullerene acceptors in a ternary device. The best device reached a Fill Factor (FF) of nearly 50% and a high short-circuit current density (Jsc) of almost 20 mA/cm² when coated at 100 °C. After more than 1,000 h of storage, the devices suffer almost no degradation.

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials

The materials involved in this study were purchased and used directly without further purification. The active layer materials, PM6 (batch YY19260CH100) and Y6 (batch DW7038S) were purchased from 1-Material Inc. whereas PbO₂:BM (batch M111) was purchased from Ossila. The materials used for the transport layers are ZnO nanoparticle ink (from Sigma-Aldrich) and MoO₃ pellets (from Kurt J. Lesker Co.). The flexible substrate is made of pre-patterned ITO-coated polyethylene terephthalate (PET), purchased from FOM Technologies. The silver used for the thermal evaporation of the back electrode is pure silver bought from Sigma-Aldrich. The solvent used in this study to prepare the active layer solution (ink) was o-xylene (with a ≥98% purity) and was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

Solar Cell Fabrication

As shown in Figure 1A, the device is made with the following inverted geometry: ITO/ZnO/PM6:Y6/MoO₃/Ag. The ZnO Electron Transport Layer (ETL) and active layer are coated using a roll-coater, mimicking roll-to-roll conditions but at a laboratory scale.

A syringe pump is used to control the flow rate of the ink. The full setup is made with the capability to control the speed and heat of the drum and the drum-to-head distance. A heated-up tube is used to bring the ink from the syringe to the slot-die head at a controlled temperature.

The ZnO nanoparticle ink (ETL) was coated at a substrate temperature of 75°C with a 0.06 ml/min flow rate and a drum speed of 1.08 m/min. It is then annealed in an oven at 100°C for 10 min in air. The active layer PM6:Y6 (ratio 1:1) was coated at different temperatures (60, 80, 100, 120°C) and concentrations (12, 16, 20, 24, 28 ng/ml) for the study. The head, syringe, drum, and tube were kept at the same temperature, for each temperature under investigation, as in previous studies it was demonstrated this leads to an optimal performance (Na et al., 2019; Fernández Castro et al., 2020; Fernández-Castro et al., 2022). The drum speed was kept at 1.5 m/min and the solution was coated with a 0.12 ml/min flow rate. Finally, 10 nm of MoO₃ as Hole Transport Layer (HTL) and 150 nm of Ag were thermally evaporated under vacuum at a base pressure of 2 × 10⁻⁵ mbar, through a shadow mask. The materials were sequentially evaporated from different tungsten boats. An INFICON XTM/2 system was used to monitor the deposition rate and thickness.

Before placing the devices in the evaporator, different parts of the 1-m-long active layer are selected to fabricate solar cells. Up to 24 solar cells are fabricated per evaporation. At least eight devices are then encapsulated. The devices have an approximate active area of 0.8 cm².

Activation and Encapsulation

The cells are characterized directly after the evaporation of the back electrode. However, before encapsulation, a last step is necessary, an activation process that consists of a reverse bias current through the device (5 V/100 mA). This ensures the stabilization of the J-V curve before the encapsulation step. Encapsulation is made using an epoxy-based glue, EPXR, made of bisphenol A diglycidyl ether and Chissonox 221 monomers. Two glass slides are used to protect both up and down sides of the device where the EPOXY is applied, enabling the formation of an oxygen and humidity barrier once cured. Curing is made under UV light for approximately 5 min, with a temperature that reaches 66 ± 0.5°C during the process.

Characterization

The current density-voltage (J-V) curves were measured with a Keithley 2400 source meter (50 mV step reverse to forward) and a solar simulator, calibrated to 1000 W/m² with a reference cell (monocrystalline silicon certified by Fraunhofer) under AM1.5G illumination. To ensure that the area is accurate and kept the same between different coatings, a shadow mask purchased from Ossila (E2002A1) was used to define an aperture area of 0.3996 cm² (0.4 cm² is written later for simplicity). Averages always include statistics of eight devices.

The External Quantum Efficiency (QE) and absorbance measurements are done with a QEX10 system (PV Measurements Inc.) from 300 to 1,100 nm (5 nm step size) calibrated with a Si photodiode.

The thickness measurements are done with a DS95-50 AFM instrument from the Danish Micro Engineering (DME). The dimension of the scans is a 5 × 5 μm² surface while using the noncontact mode.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The optimization of the coating parameters of PM6:Y6-based devices using slot-die coating on a roll-platform is presented. All the slot-die coating steps were developed under ambient
First, we present the optimization of the active layer studying the effect of both, coating temperature and active layer thickness on the performance of the final devices. Moreover, we demonstrate the advantages of introducing a fullerene acceptor to the blend, improving the PCE and stability.

**Active Layer Optimization**

It has been shown that efficiencies over 15% can be achieved using PM6:Y6 as active materials using hot slot-die coating on an ITO-coated glass substrate kept at the same temperature as the solution. These efficiencies were achieved with a concentration of 16 mg/ml, using the hydrocarbon-based solvent o-xylene or 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene (TMB) without the use of additives (Zhao et al., 2020; Guo et al., 2021). In this study, o-xylene was selected as our target solvent and 16 mg/ml solutions were prepared as a starting point. The D/A ratio was fixed at 1:1, as the highest efficiencies for the system were reported with that ratio. (Liu et al., 2020; Zhao et al., 2020; Guo et al., 2021; Liu et al., 2021; Würfel et al., 2021). O-xylene is a more environmentally-friendly alternative than the commonly used halogenated solvents (Larsen et al., 2021).

Concerning the coating parameters of the active layer, we fixed the solution flow rate and the drum speed at values that ensured a stable meniscus, a homogenous layer and no aggregation during the coating. We screened the active layer coating temperature (slot-die head temperature) from 60 to 120°C, matching it with the drum and tube temperature. The coating temperature plays an important role in the drying kinetics of the solvent, directly affecting the morphology of the active layer, and ultimately, the device performance, as morphology and efficiency are closely related (Liao et al., 2013; Zhao et al., 2018; Li et al., 2019b; Wang et al., 2020b; Chang et al., 2020; Liang et al., 2020).

**Supplementary Figure S1** shows absorbance measurements of slot-die coated films at different temperatures. The dried blends exhibit strong absorbance in the spectral range from ~460 to 870 nm. The PM6 absorbance is in the ~460–650 nm region and the Y6 absorbance in the ~660–870 nm region (**Figure 1B**). No blue or redshift is observed in the spectra of the dried blends coated with different temperatures. The absorption of PM6 in the dried films is the same for the different coating temperatures as shown in the normalized spectra in **Supplementary Figure S2B**.

**J-V curves of representative devices whose active layer was coated at different temperatures and their respective EQEs are shown in Figure 2.** It is worth mentioning that annealing at 120 °C of the encapsulated devices improves the JSC and the overall efficiency of the devices with active layer coated at 60 and 80°C (**Supplementary Figure S2**). On the other hand, the efficiency of the devices fabricated at 100 and 120°C do not improve after annealing, as can be seen in **Supplementary Figure S3**. Thermal annealing is usually correlated with enhanced polymer crystallinity (Zhao et al., 2018), suggesting that when coating at low temperatures, an extra annealing step is necessary, whereas coating at a higher temperature, might favorably impact the molecular packing of the blend during drying (Song et al., 2018; Zhao et al., 2020).

**QEs of the devices increase when coating at higher temperatures (Figure 2B), indicating that coating the active layer at higher temperatures promotes better photon-to-current capabilities, thus a higher current.** The discrepancies between the SC obtained through the J-V characteristics and through the QE can be associated to the illuminated area. In case of the quantum efficiency measurements, a spot size of 4 mm² is illuminated, whereas for the J-V characteristics an illuminated area of 0.4 cm² is contributing. This means that for the QE measurements a better performing spot than the average might be selected, giving rise to a higher short-circuit current. (**Table 1**). The short-circuit current measured by J-V characteristics is also higher when increasing the coating temperature. However, the average FF value of 42.2% is lower for the device coated at 120°C than for the one at 100 °C (47.10%).

This makes the average PCE of the devices coated at 100°C slightly higher than the obtained when coating at 120°C. In order to better visualize the tendency of the performance with temperature variations, box plots with statistical data of the PCE and FF evolution of eight devices are shown in Figure 3 (JSC and VOC are shown in **Supplementary Figure S4**). Each box indicates the first to the third quartile, whereas the median is indicated as a horizontal line and the average as a square. The PCE shows a clear improvement trend towards higher coating temperatures, whose maximum saturates around 100°C, whereas the FF remains around 45% with the lowest average value of 42.2% for the devices coated at 120°C. This may be explained by a detailed look at the dried active layer stripe (**Figure 4A,B**): When increasing the temperature above 100°C, the solvent is drying much faster, inducing the aggregation of the materials on both edges of the active layer, parallel to the coating direction. This effect creates inhomogeneities along the stripe, which directly impacts the fill factor of the final devices. (Bartesaghi and Koster, 2015).

Charge carrier recombination plays a crucial role in the final PV parameters, as recombination means the charges are being lost before being collected at the electrodes. The degree and dominant type of recombination can be studied through light intensity dependence of the current density and open-circuit voltage (Cowan et al., 2010).

In **Supplementary Figure S5A**, the bimolecular recombination is investigated using the following relation $J_{SC}=\frac{P_{in}}{S}$ where $P_{in}$ is the incident light intensity. In an ideal device (all photogenerated charges are extracted at $J_{SC}$) the photocurrent is expected to scale linearly with light intensity (S = 1). If bimolecular recombination is present, deviations from the unity will be seen (S < 1). When comparing the devices fabricated through the temperature optimization, the devices coated at 100°C have both a higher PCE and a S value close to unity (S = 0.978), implying that one of the reasons for the optimized performance is due to slightly reduced bimolecular recombination.

In order to obtain independent and complementary information of the recombination processes taking place in our OSCs coated at different temperatures, we estimate the recombination mechanism at open-circuit voltage. At such...
condition (zero current) where all photocarriers recombine, the type of recombination can be extracted from the slope of the plot $V_{OC}$ versus $\ln(P_{in})$ (Cowan et al., 2010).

The slope is obtained using linear fitting. If the slope is close to $kT/q$, where $k$, $T$, and $q$ are the Boltzmann constant, the absolute temperature, and the element charge respectively, second-order recombination is the most likely the dominant mechanism. Trap-assisted recombination would be dominant recombination mechanism if the slope is larger than $kT/q$. The results suggest that trap-assisted recombination is the dominant one in the fabricated devices (Supplementary Figure S5B), as all the obtained slope values are higher than $kT/q$, whereas almost no bimolecular recombination was expected from the current measurements. Regrettably, there is not a clear tendency in the $S$ values compared with the coating variations.

Therefore, based on the higher PCE, the ease of coating a homogeneous layer, and the lack of the extra thermal annealing steps, the optimal temperature was fixed at 100°C.

The active layer thickness also plays an important role in device efficiency. A thicker active layers is responsible for...
increased light absorption, and it will also make the final device more robust, which is important when roll-to-roll is used, as pressure, bending, and stretching occurs during the process. However, thinner active layer layers allow to have semitransparent devices which are interesting for many applications (Lucera et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2019; Hu et al., 2020), and also reduce the charge carrier pathways, decreasing the probability of recombination before being collected at the opposite the electrodes. In this study, active layers with four different thicknesses are investigated.

The absorbance peaks of both materials can be clearly distinguished in Supplementary Figure S6A, being the maximum absorbance of the donor at ~625 nm and the maximum of the acceptor at ~820 nm. The respective J-V curves for representative devices are shown in Supplementary Figure S6B, whereas a summary of PV parameters of PM6:Y6-based solar cells with different active layer thicknesses are shown in Table 2. As it is shown in Supplementary Figure S6C, we chose a wide range of concentrations leading to semi-transparent thin layers to thicker and more opaque layers.

The box plots of the PV parameters regarding active layer thickness are shown in Figure 5. As the thickness of the active layer increases from 101 to 217 nm, the average PCE also increases. This is directly related to the increase in the average short-circuit current density (Figure 5C) which reaches its average maximum at 154 nm (17.3 mA/cm²) of active layer thickness. The FF also improves from the average value of 42.0% for the devices with the thinnest active layer (101 nm) to 47.1% on average for the devices with 116 nm of active layer, with no further improvement at larger active layer thickness. This implies that the active layer in that thickness from 116 to 323 nm does not contribute significantly to an increase of the average series resistance of the devices demonstrating the capability of PM6:Y6 to keep a high performance even when coated as thick layers. The highest PCE obtained in the thickness optimization is 6.3%, with an FF of 49.0%, when coating with ink concentration of 24 mg/ml, equivalent to 217 nm thickness. The average PCE for that active layer thickness is 5.8% (Table 2), the highest average obtained during our optimization procedure.

From the plot of JSC versus P in (Supplementary Figure S7A) we observe that the solar cell with 217 nm of active layer thickness has the lowest bimolecular recombination with the slope value closest to one in this optimization study (S = 0.987). However, under open-circuit conditions, our solar cells present a high degree of trap-assisted recombination (Supplementary Figure S7B). In that type of recombination electrons and holes recombine in trap states or recombination centers within the materials (due to impurities in the materials) or at the interfaces (Kyaw et al., 2013). It is not surprising that this type of recombination is the dominant when the devices are processed under open-air conditions (Kawano et al., 2006).

Ternary PM6:Y6:PC61BM Devices

The efficiency of PM6:Y6 flexible devices can be increased even further by introducing a ternary compound at the optimal coating temperature and active layer thickness, using a fullerene, PC61BM in our case, as a complementary acceptor. It has been shown that fullerenes enable better electron mobility and more balanced charge transport. (Yu et al., 2019). The LUMO level of PC61BM is between −3.7 and −3.9 eV, located between the LUMO levels of PM6 and Y6, enhancing the energy cascade effect, which is favorable to obtain higher JSC and VOC values (Gasparini et al., 2019). Following previous work on ternary PM6:Y6 blends with fullerenes, (Pan et al., 2019; He et al., 2020), we started with a [1:1:0.2] ratio. Moreover, we also reduced the amount of PCBM in the blend by half, to a [1:1.1:0.1] ratio, in order to determine how the amount of PC61BM influences the performance.

| Active Layer Thickness (nm) | 101 | 116 | 154 | 217 | 323 |
|-----------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| PCE (%)                     | 4.8 ± 0.4 | 5.5 ± 0.3 | 5.7 ± 0.2 | 5.8 ± 0.3 | 5.5 ± 0.4 |
| Voc (V)                     | 0.71 ± 0.01 | 0.71 ± 0.01 | 0.72 ± 0.01 | 0.72 ± 0.01 | 0.71 ± 0.01 |
| Jsc (mA/cm²)                | 16.0 ± 0.8 | 16.7 ± 0.7 | 17.3 ± 0.5 | 17.2 ± 0.8 | 16.7 ± 1.0 |
| FF (%)                      | 42.0 ± 1.7 | 47.1 ± 2.1 | 45.6 ± 1.0 | 47.28 ± 1.96 | 46.4 ± 2.4 |
| PCEmax (%)                  | 5.4 | 6.0 | 6.1 | 6.3 | 5.8 |

FIGURE 4 | PM6:Y6 slot die coated at (A) 100°C and (B) 120°C.

TABLE 2 | PV parameters for PM6:Y6-based OSCs devices prepared with different active layer thicknesses. The averages are obtained from eight devices.
The respective J-V curves are shown in Figure 6A, and their average values are shown in Supplementary Table S2. An enhanced $J_{SC}$ is clearly visible when a small amount of fullerene acceptor is added to the blend (Figure 7C).

$J_{SC}$ values up to 20 mA/cm² are measured on devices with the [1:1:0.2] ternary blend. On average, a 12% higher $J_{SC}$ is obtained from the [1:1:0.2] ternary blend compared with binary devices coated at the same temperature (100°C) with a 24 mg/ml concentration in O-XY (Supplementary Table S2). Both tested ratios performed at a similar level with PC$_{61}$BM as the third component. The average PCE of the [1:1:0.2] and [1:1:0.1] ternary devices are 6.6 and 6.7%, respectively (Figure 7A). The slightly higher average PCE for the [1:1:0.1] ternary devices are due to an average higher FF of 49.1%, compared to 47.3%, for the [1:1:0.2] blends (Figure 7B). A small amount of ternary compound added to the blend is enough to have a positive effect on the current and the FF, and therefore an impact on the overall efficiency of the devices.
When PC61BM is added to the blend as a complementary acceptor, the QEs (Figure 6B) do not show any shape change associated to the PCBM due to the weak and broad absorption of the fullerene acceptor (Yan et al., 2018). Nevertheless, for both ternary blend formulations tested here, keeping the donor/acceptor ratio constant, we observe a photocurrent gain in all the spectral region where the organic layer is photoactive. Figures 7A-D shows a box plot comparing the main photovoltaic parameters of the binary and ternary devices.

Calculating the exciton dissociation and charge collection efficiencies ($\eta_{\text{diss}}$ and $\eta_{\text{coll}}$) of the binary and ternary devices may reveal the reason of the performance improvement from a photophysical point of view. In order to investigate that, the photocurrent density ($J_{\text{ph}}$) versus the effective voltage ($V_{\text{eff}}$) curves are plotted and analyzed. The photocurrent density is defined as the difference between the current density under illumination ($J_{\text{L}}$) and the dark current density ($J_{\text{D}}$), whereas the effective voltage is defined as the voltage at zero $J_{\text{ph}}$ (or $V_{\text{oc}}$) minus the applied voltage bias ($V_{\text{app}}$). From the plot presented in Supplementary Figure S8, it is possible to designate the saturated current density ($J_{\text{saturated}}$) from the saturation value for $J_{\text{ph}}$, where all the generated excitons are fully collected, normally reached when $V_{\text{eff}} > 2V$. $J_{\text{max}}$ is defined as the current at the maximum power point. We calculate $\eta_{\text{diss}}$ and $\eta_{\text{coll}}$ from $J_{\text{sc}}/J_{\text{sat}}$ and $J_{\text{max}}/J_{\text{sat}}$ respectively (Supplementary Table S3) (Ma et al., 2020; Jin et al., 2021). The dissociation probability is 93.7% for the binary device, significantly lower than 96.3 and 95.7% obtained for the ternary 1:1:0.2 and 1:1.1:0.1 devices respectively. It shows that one of the benefits of adding PCBM to the blend is the enhancement of the dissociation probability possibly due to the existence of additional and energetically favorable donor/acceptor interfaces. As expected from the higher current density of the ternary devices the collection probability is also improved from 69.6% for the binary device to 77.7 and 73.2% for the ternary 1:1:0.2 and 1:1.1:0.1 devices respectively, confirming for the first time that a better charge extraction can be achieved with a small acceptor fullerene amount in OSCs on flexible substrates and fabricated using slot-die coating on a roll-platform.

Stability

The stability under dark storage for our devices was determined by measuring the encapsulated devices after a certain time stored in dark (up to more than 2 months), at normal room conditions following the ISOS-D-1 protocol (Figure 8) (Reese et al., 2011). The average PCEs measured after 1,050 h for the ternary device retained 99.4% of the average initial value, whereas the average PCEs of binary devices retained more than 97% of the initial average PCE after more than 1,500 h of storage. It is illustrated in Figure 8A with the lines connecting the means of the statistical PCE data of pristine and aged devices. It is worth mentioning that some of the devices showed improved performance after storage time in the case of the ternary device.

CONCLUSION

Binary and ternary PM6:Y6-based OSCs with average PCE of 5.8% and 6.7% (champion efficiency of 7.2%) respectively were
fabricated. The fabrication and optimization were done through slot-die coating on a roll-platform in open-air, using hydrocarbon-based solvents and without additives. This demonstration paves the way for upscaling the production of OSCs based on the outstanding PM6:Y6 system. In order to optimize our devices, we study the effect of the coating temperature. Due to the temperature aggregation behavior of the blend, we used the so-called hot slot-die coating from hot solution, finding that by matching the flexible substrate temperature with that of the hot slot-die head at 100°C, the PCE is maximized because of reduced bimolecular recombination resulting in a higher short-circuit current. The optimal active layer thickness is found to be around 200 nm. However, the FF remains almost unaffected in devices with active layer thickness from ~100 to ~300 nm. We confirm that also for the case of PM6:Y6 roll-coated in open-air a small amount of PC61BM improves the JSC due to a photocurrent increase in the photoactive region of the blend (360–900 nm). It is found to be related to a 10% increase in the collection probability in the ternary blends.

In this work, we demonstrate the use of scalable techniques to fabricate high-efficiency OSCs with an active area of ~0.8 cm² (measured with a ~0.4 cm² shadow mask), to bridge the lab-to-fab gap of the mainstream reports using spin-coated films with active areas <0.1 cm² fabricated in a glovebox. We aim at stimulating the interests of the field on the important challenge of minimizing the lab-to-fab scalability lag, which is a requirement for the technology to finally succeed on a global scale.
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