High intake of dietary fructose in overweight/obese teenagers associated with depletion of *Eubacterium* and *Streptococcus* in gut microbiome
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ABSTRACT

**Background**: A western high fat, high carbohydrate diet has been shown to be associated with decreased gut bacterial diversity and reductions in beneficial bacteria. This gut bacteria dysbiosis could develop in early life and contribute to chronic disease risk such as obesity, type 2 diabetes and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease.

**Objective**: To determine how dietary macronutrients are associated with the relative abundance of gut bacteria in healthy adolescents.

**Methods**: Fifty-two obese participants (12–19 years) from two studies, many who were primarily of Hispanic background, provided fecal samples for 16S rRNA gene sequencing. Dietary macronutrients were assessed using 24-hour diet recalls and body composition was assessed using DEXA. General regression models assuming a negative binomial distribution were used to examine the associations between gut bacteria and dietary fiber, saturated fat, unsaturated fats, protein, added sugar, total sugar and free fructose after adjusting for age, gender, race/ethnicity, body fat percentage, study and caloric intake.

**Results**: The genera *Eubacterium* (Benjamini-Hochberg (BH) corrected *p*-value = 0.10) and *Streptococcus* (BH corrected *p*-value = 0.04) were inversely associated with dietary fructose intake. There were no other significant associations between abundances of gut microbes and other dietary macronutrients, including fiber, fat, protein, total sugar or added sugar.

**Conclusions**: High dietary fructose was associated with lower abundance of the beneficial microbes *Eubacterium* and *Streptococcus*, which are involved with carbohydrate metabolism.

**Introduction**

The human gut is home to thousands of bacterial species known as microbiota, which have been shown to contribute to host immunity, nutrient metabolism, growth, and energy harvesting. In healthy adults, the gut is dominated by the phyla Bacteroidetes, Firmicutes and Proteobacteria. While there is still much to be understood about the gut microbiota, previous studies show that in healthy adolescents the composition of the gut is primarily made of the genera *Bacteroides* (Bacteriodetes), *Faecalibacterium* (Firmicutes), *Alistipes* (Bacteroidetes) and *Bifidobacterium* (Actinobacteria). Along with age, dietary factors impact the gut microbiota, suggesting that different microbes are needed to handle metabolism of dietary macronutrients. It has been shown that human microbial communities can be divided into two prominent clusters (known as enterotypes). Examples include the *Bacteroides* enterotype, which is associated with high consumption of animal protein and saturated fat consumption, and the *Prevotella* enterotype, which is associated with a diet high in carbohydrates and simple sugars.

Studies in animal models have demonstrated that the composition of gut microbiota is related to macronutrient intake. One study found that kittens who consumed a high protein low carbohydrate diet had a lower abundance of *Bifidobacterium* compared to those consuming...
a moderate protein and carbohydrate diet, which is a genus of bacteria has been linked to decreased intestinal health.\textsuperscript{14} In a murine model, a high fat diet resulted in a microbiota that had a low percentage of Bacteroidetes and higher percentages of Firmicutes and Proteobacteria.\textsuperscript{15} Lastly, a recent study by our group found that rats with ad libitum access to a sugar solution had a higher abundance of pathogenic bacteria, including the phylum Proteobacteria, compared to rats given a water control.\textsuperscript{16} Generally among mammals, not only has it been shown that carnivores and herbivores have distinct gut microbial communities but there is also a distinction between carnivores and omnivores, suggesting that the digestion of complex plant-based carbohydrates helps to shape the composition of the gut microbiota.\textsuperscript{8} Despite this, few studies have examined the associations between specific dietary macronutrients and the composition of the gut microbiota in adolescents.

Differences in the gut microbial composition by diet can be due to the specific gut microbes that are needed to metabolize certain nutrients. In humans, gut microbiota influences health as inefficient metabolism has been linked to metabolic diseases. Several studies support the link between gut microbiota and chronic diseases, including obesity\textsuperscript{17–20} and type 2 diabetes.\textsuperscript{21–23} Additionally, poor diet habits such as the high consumption of soft drinks has been shown to contribute to obesity during adolescence.\textsuperscript{24,25} Therefore, it is important to examine the association of macronutrient intake and the composition of gut microbiota during adolescence. Thus, the aim of this study was to determine the associations between dietary macronutrient intake and the compositional abundance of gut microbiota in adolescents. Based on previous studies,\textsuperscript{26} we hypothesized that in our cohort, a high abundance of the \textit{Prevotella}-dominant enterotype would be associated with a high consumption of carbohydrates while a \textit{Bacteroides}-dominant enterotype would be associated with a high consumption of protein and fat.

Results

As shown in Table 1, participants included 16 overweight and 36 obese adolescents with a mean age of 17.3 years. We aimed to determine the association between macronutrients intake and gut microbiota in these participants. When examining the gut microbiota, a total of 6,009,400 sequence reads were mapped to Operational Taxonomic Units (OTUs) (Supplemental Table 1). Most of the reads mapping to the phyla Bacteroidetes, Firmicutes and Actinobacteria (Supplemental Figure 1–2 & Supplemental Table 2) and at the genus level the taxa with the highest number of the reads were mapped to the genera \textit{Bacteroides}, \textit{Prevotella}, \textit{Ruminococcus} and \textit{Blautia}. (Supplemental Figure 1–2 & Supplemental Table 3). Most of the cohort exhibited a \textit{Bacteroides} enterotype (71\% of cohort) while others had a \textit{Prevotella} enterotype (29\% of cohort) (Supplemental Figure 3).

There were only two significant relationships between macronutrients and individual microbes when fitting the negative binomial distribution regression models, but these were only observed with dietary fructose (Supplemental Table 3 & 4). At the taxonomic rank of genus, there was an inverse association between dietary fructose intake and the genera \textit{Eubacterium} (\textit{p}-value = 2.4x10\textsuperscript{-3}, Benjamini-Hochberg (BH) corrected \textit{p}-value = 0.10, effect size = −0.03) and \textit{Streptococcus} (\textit{p}-value = 6.5x10\textsuperscript{-4}, BH corrected \textit{p}-value = 0.04, effect size = −0.03) (Figure 1). At the OTU level, we found that fructose consumption was negatively associated with an OTU with sequence reads mapping to \textit{Eubacterium eligens} (\textit{p}-value = 2.4x10\textsuperscript{-3}, BH corrected \textit{p}-value = 0.10, effect size = −0.03) and an OTU with reads mapping to \textit{Streptococcus thermophilus} (\textit{p}-value = 4.3x10\textsuperscript{-5}, BH corrected \textit{p}-value = 0.003, effect size = −0.33). These relationships remained significant after controlling for total caloric intake, body fat percent, sex, race/ethnicity, study and age. The results were also consistent when the assessment of fructose intake is the percent of

| Table 1. Demographic characteristics of participants included in this study. | (N = 52) |
|---|---|
| General Characteristics | Mean (SD*) |
| Age (years) | 17.3 (2.4) |
| Sex (female/male) | 23/29 |
| BMI (kg/m\textsuperscript{2}) | 32.7 (5.35) |
| BMI Category (%) |  |
| Overweight | 30.8 |
| Obese | 69.2 |
| Hispanic (%) | 82.7 |
fructose intake relative to caloric intake instead of absolute fructose intake (Eubacterium: \( p-value = 5.5 \times 10^{-3} \), Benjamini-Hochberg (BH) corrected \( p-value = 0.18 \), effect size = −0.12; Eubacterium eligens: \( p-value = 6.5 \times 10^{-3} \), Benjamini-Hochberg (BH) corrected \( p-value = 0.15 \), effect size = −0.12; Streptococcus: \( p-value = 1.6 \times 10^{-3} \), Benjamini-Hochberg (BH) corrected \( p-value = 0.07 \), effect size = −0.11; Streptococcus thermophilus: \( p-value = 1.6 \times 10^{-4} \), Benjamini-Hochberg (BH) corrected \( p-value = 0.01 \), effect size = −0.15). Other dietary macronutrients were examined, including intake of fiber, protein, saturated and unsaturated fats, total carbohydrates and total sugar were not associated with the overall composition and diversity of the gut microbiota (Supplemental Table 5).

As expected, there was a negative association with protein intake and enterotype (measured as Prevotella: Bacteroides ratio) \( (p-value = 6.8 \times 10^{-3} \), effect size = −0.02). However, carbohydrate or fat intake was not associated with enterotype (Supplemental Table 6).

**Discussion**

The aim of this study was to identify associations between individual dietary macronutrients and components of the gut microbiome of adolescents. Results suggest that dietary fructose intake is negatively associated with the abundance of the bacterial species Eubacterium eligens. It is known that E. eligens, along with other members of the phylum Firmicutes, have fewer polysaccharide-degrading enzymes than those members of the phylum Bacteroidetes.\(^{22}\) One study showed that the related species Eubacterium rectale, which is involved in butyrate production, is decreased in mice that were fed a high sugar diet.\(^{22}\) Results shown here also suggest that dietary fructose consumption is negatively associated with microbes belonging to the genus Streptococcus, including the species Streptococcus thermophilus. Streptococcus thermophilus has been shown to ferment lactose and sucrose and can also metabolize the monosaccharide fructose.\(^{28}\) However, the link between fructose and the overall genus of Streptococcus is still
largely unexplored. Remarkably, while the specific species *Streptococcus thermophilus* is known to be non-pathogenic, the microbes belonging to the genus *Streptococcus* was associated with the development of multiple metabolic disorders. Results from the current study suggest that high levels of fructose could also be related to low abundances of the beneficial bacteria *Streptococcus thermophilus*.

A primary strength of this study is the use of detailed dietary questionnaires in conjunction with characterization of the gut microbiota in overweight and obese adolescents. Our cohort was made of over 80% Hispanic participants. Our results show that this population has a different microbial profile than previously published studies examining the gut microbiomes of adolescents. While previous studies that are conducted in mostly healthy Caucasian pre-adolescents and adolescents show that the gut is dominated by the genera *Bacteroides*, *Faecalibacterium* and *Bifidobacterium*, our cohort is dominated by the genera *Bacteroides*, *Prevotella* and *Ruminococcus* with relatively low levels of *Bifidobacterium* (an average of 4.6% of composition versus an average of 9.0% in previous studies). A study examining the gut microbiome of Mexican children aged 6–12 lacked *Bifidobacterium* implying that the difference in gut microbial composition of the adolescents could be impacted by cultural, genetic and environmental differences in the cohorts. Our study examines overweight and obese Hispanic adolescents essentially adding to the literature by characterizing their gut microbiome and showing the impact of diet on the gut microbiome in this diverse cohort. This is important because diverse populations have diverse dietary patterns, health outcome sand eating behavior that can impact or is impacted by the gut microbiome. However, a limitation of exclusively including overweight/obese participants is that we do not have a sufficient sized healthy cohort to compare our results. Also, while there may have been under or over reporting of dietary fructose, this study utilized 24-hour diet recalls with the multi-pass method that has been shown to increase the accuracy of dietary reports. There is also difficulty in assessing dietary fructose levels because the true amount of fructose in a soft drinks is unknown and previous lab analysis by our group suggests we might be underestimating dietary fructose in products. It must be noted that in our study, while there was a negative association between *Eubacterium* and *Streptococcus* abundance and fructose intake, there was a subset of participants who consumed lower dietary fructose but also had a low *Eubacterium* and *Streptococcus* abundance. Also, this study is limited by its relatively small sample size. As such, larger studies are needed to confirm the observed relationships dietary fructose intake with *Streptococcus* and *Eubacterium*. Repeated measures of fecal samples and longitudinal studies are also necessary because these could help to lower variances in any associations found. Additionally, future animal studies could be used to determine how strongly the association between *Eubacterium* and *Streptococcus* and fructose exists in a controlled environment system. Increased levels of these microbes are associated with the increase of short chain fatty acids and degradation of dietary fiber, however it is unknown whether depletion of these two microbes affects metabolic outcomes. As a follow-up, it would be of great interest to determine if the production of short chain fatty acids is reduced by the increase consumption of fructose.

In the United States, there is an increase of adolescent obesity that has paralleled the increase of dietary fructose intake in the form of fruit juice and sugar-sweetened beverages sweetened with high-fructose corn syrup (HFCS). HFCS is a liquid sweetener that is made of a combination of fructose and glucose, usually 55% fructose and 45% glucose however, our group has conducted laboratory measures showing that there is higher than expected fructose in HFCS sweetened beverages and in fruit juice. Soft-drinks are the primary source of HFCS in our diets but it is also in present in breakfast cereals, jams, and canned drinks. The impact of HFCS has been well documented in numerous studies. In one study by Bocarsly et al., rats that were given access to HFCS not only gained significantly more weight than their counterparts who were given equal access to sucrose, but also had higher triglyceride levels and more abdominal fat. Bocarsly et al. also showed that although fructose and glucose are present in similar proportions in the blood stream, the two sugars had different effects on weight gain. This difference could be
because fructose from HFCS is metabolized at an earlier point than that of sucrose which could result in unregulated creation of carbon molecules that are transformed into fatty acids. It is possible that gut microbiota is involved with this transformation.

While there has been several studies showing an association between gut microbes and dietary fructose in rodents, to our knowledge, this is the first report of negative associations between dietary fructose and non-pathogenic microbes in a cohort of adolescents with a high percentage of overweight/obese participants. These associations appeared to be specific to dietary fructose, as the composition of the gut microbiota was not associated with dietary protein, fats, or added sugars and total sugars. In summary, in our cohort there was not a relationship between the two enterotypes and individual macronutrient intake. However, results from this study show that independent of sex, race/ethnicity, body fat percentage and total caloric intake, increased dietary fructose intake was associated with lower levels of gut bacterial taxa that have been shown to be involved in carbohydrate metabolism, including the genera Eubacterium and Streptococcus.

Materials and methods

Participants

This study included 58 participants from two studies using identical methods in the collection of dietary recalls, clinical assessments and fecal samples to quantify gut microbiota. Briefly, 18 were obese Hispanic adolescents (12–19 years of age) from the baseline visit of a 16-week parallel, double-blind and placebo-controlled trial examining the efficacy of probiotic supplementation in changing gut microbiota (clinical trial registered at www.clinicaltrials.gov: NCT03115385). Participants also included 40 adolescents (17–19 years of age, 72.5% are Hispanic and 85% overweight/obese) who were recruited from the ongoing Meta-AIR (Metabolic and Asthma Incidence Research) study at the University of Southern California between 2014–2016, which aims to elucidate the impact of environmental exposures and metabolic outcomes during adolescence as previously described. Written parental consent and child assent for inclusion in these studies were obtained prior to any testing procedure for participants under 18 years of age. The University of Southern California Institutional Review Board approved that these studies were conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Dietary recall

To assess mean daily intakes of energy, fiber, protein, fat, carbohydrate, sugars and free fructose, 24-hour diet recalls were collected and analyzed using Nutrition Data System for Research (NDSR) software (version 2014). Two 24-hour diet recalls (1 weekend and 1 weekday) were collected from 70% of the participants while the rest provided one 24-hour diet recall. There were four participants whose dietary recalls was removed from further analysis because of low total energy intake (< 600 kcal/day if female and < 800 kcal/day if male).

Sequencing and taxonomic assignment

Fecal samples were collected using commercial collection kits developed by Second Genome (South San Francisco, CA) that contained a preservative, and the samples were stored at – 80°C immediately after receipt. If a participant was unable to provide the fecal sample in person at the study visit, then the study team provided a prepaid envelope containing the collection kit so the participant could mail their sample to the lab within 1–2 days of the study visit; all samples were received at the lab within 5 days of the visit. The relative abundance of bacterial taxa was determined using 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing conducted by Second Genome (San Francisco, CA). Briefly, nucleic acid isolation was performed with the MoBio PowerMag® Microbiome kit (Carlsbad, CA) according to manufacturer’s guidelines and optimized for high-throughput processing. All samples were quantified via the Qubit® Quant-iT dsDNA High Sensitivity Kit (Invitrogen, Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY) to ensure that they met minimum concentration and mass of DNA. To enrich the sample for bacterial 16S V4 rDNA region, DNA was amplified utilizing V4 fusion primers described by Caporaso et al. The complete sequences of the primers were: Forward – 5’ GTGCCAGCMGCAGCGGTAA 3’ and Reverse – 5’ GGACTACHVGGGTWTCTAAT 3’. Samples that met the post-PCR quantification
minimum and were advanced for pooling and sequencing on the Illumina Miseq v3 sequencer platform. The 16S rDNA sequence reads were quality filtered, clustered into operational taxonomic units (OTUs) with a shared 97% identity by UPARSE (de novo OTU clustering), and a representative consensus sequence per de novo OTU was aligned against the Greengenes reference database (version 13.5) and assigned taxonomy to determine community profiles. The UPARSE clustering algorithm comprises a chimera filtering and discards likely chimeric OTUs. All non-strain sequences that passed the quality filtering were mapped to the representative consensus sequences to generate an abundance table for de novo OTUs.

**Statistical analysis**

Linear regression models were used to determine the association between microbial abundance and macronutrient intake. Negative binomial linear models were conducted using the function “gamlss” with “NBI” family and default parameters in the R package “gamlss”. This model allows for more accurate modeling by allowing for non-linear relationships and modeling of the high amount of low abundant taxa. The “gamlss” (Generalized Additive Models for Location, Scale and Shape) package does this by accounting for the mean and variance of the outcome variable in the model. Sequence read counts of taxa, diversity measures (Shannon Diversity, Simpson Diversity, evenness and richness) and enterotype (measured as *Prevotella* sequence read counts/(*Prevotella* sequence read counts + *Bacteroides* sequence read counts)), were each used as the outcome variable with an offset variable for read counts as an input variable in order to normalize the read counts when necessary. Standardized outcome variables were regressed against standardized dietary intake and the coefficient of the dietary variable from this model was used as a measure of the effect size. All models considered individual dietary macronutrient intake as an input variable and adjusted for caloric intake, body fat percentage from whole body dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DEXA) scans, sex, study, age, and Hispanic race/ethnicity based on self-report. Additionally, we repeated these models on a subset of the cohort including only Hispanic participants. Benjamini-Hochberg (BH) corrected *p*-values with a false discovery rate that is less than 10% when were considered to be significant.
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