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Abstract—In power system, active power flow is the main concern in order to manage the demand supply. The maximum use of the transmission lines under their stability limit is very much required. Flexible alternating current transmission system (FACTS) device are very much useful to control power system parameters. A STATCOM (STATCOM) is a FACTS device which is able to control active and reactive power with voltage magnitude and phase angle. In this paper, STATCOM is used in a 10 bus system to control the flow of active power under contingency condition. Simulation result shows the effectiveness of the STATCOM in providing the optimal power flow in the power system considered here.
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I. INTRODUCTION

STATCOM can provide optimal power flow control is stated by Akagi et al (2008). In this paper, appropriate models are developed that can be integrated with the power flow algorithms. The modeling of STATCOM for the solution of optimal power flow (OPF) problem is explained by Bina et al (2008). The nonlinear equations are solved by Newton’s method. A method to study optimal active power flow problem is developed by Villa Jaén et al (2008). This developed method considers the optimal power flow (OPF) problem as modified OPF. A paper presents solution of optimal power flow by Jovicic et al (2008). In this paper, a STATCOM implementation with its different control modes within the robust nonlinear interior-point OPF is done. A paper presents the use of STATCOM in restructured power system by Shah et al (2009). In this paper, a model of power flow studies incorporating a lossless model of STATCOM is presented. SVC and STATCOM modeling for longitudinal system to formulate the optimal power flow problem is done by Sternberger et al (2008). Sequential Quadratic programming technique is used for the solution of OPF problem. A paper formulates a nonlinear optimization problem using STATCOM to maximize the social welfare by Li et al (2008). In this paper, two step approaches is used for STATCOM for congestion management 1) the location of STATCOM, 2) proper setting of its control parameters. A linear programming (LP) based optimal power flow (OPF) algorithm is exhibited by Çetin et al (2008). This algorithm is used for STATCOM’s corrective working and ease from overload and voltage violation due to system constraints. The objective is to minimize the load-ability of the heavily load lines. A screening technique is proposed in order to find the optimal location of STATCOM by Gupta et al (2009). This technique requires only one OPF to find the STATCOM parameter for transmission line. A method involving the optimization constraints of Newton method for finding the optimal reference input value for STATCOM for steady state operation is developed by Segundo-Ramírez et al (2009). This method is concerned with a high level line optimization control. STATCOM is explained for unbalanced condition is explained by Song et al (2009). The cascade multilevel and modular multilevel STATCOM is explained by Sternberger et al (2009) and Bina et al (2010) respectively.

The organization of this paper is done in following manner that MATLAB/Simulink model description is given in Section 2 whereas simulation results related to voltage, active power, and reactive power with STATCOM along different contingency condition of transformers are exhibited in section 3 in details. Section 4 represents the conclusion.
II. MATLAB/SIMULINK MODEL DESCRIPTION

II.1 Electric power system model

The system having two generating stations, ten buses, three transformers, four three phase loads, four different transmission lines and. This model (as shown in Figure 1) is simulated with STATCOM and results are recorded in both graphical and tabular formats.
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Figure 1: Power system model with 10 bus system using STATCOM

This above simulation model is used to study the optimal power flow. Transformer 2 and Transformer 3 are taken into consideration. Transformer 1 and 2 are of capacity of 1200 MVA. Three unit of 400 MVA are considered for specification. Some contingency cases are taken into consideration for optimal power flow.

| Contingency case | Rating of transformer 2 | Rating of transformer 3 |
|------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Case 1           | 3*400 MVA              | 3*400 MVA              |
| Case 2           | 3*400 MVA              | 2*400 MVA              |
| Case 3           | 2*400 MVA              | 3*400 MVA              |

III. SIMULATION RESULTS

The simulation result are taken for three different cases of the transformer 2 and transformer 3. The result of each case is shown numerically.

III.1 Case 1 when no transformer is out of service

The simulation result for case 1 when no transformer is out of service, the simulation result are shown in table 2 and Fig. 2 to Fig. 7. The active power at B4 and B8 are 882.3 MW and 580 MW.
### Table 2. Voltage, active power, reactive power with STATCOM for case 1.

| BUS NO. | VPOS. SEQ. | ACTIVE POWER (MW) | REACTIVE POWER (MVAR) |
|---------|------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| B1      | 0.9457     | 121.1              | -220.9                |
| B2      | 0.9266     | 573.4              | 103.8                 |
| B3      | 0.9145     | 231.2              | 2522                  |
| B4      | 0.8771     | **882.3**          | 1166                  |
| B5      | 0.7181     | 375.7              | -3052                 |
| B6      | 0.9145     | 325.2              | -2306                 |
| B7      | 1.089      | 642.5              | 1405                  |
| B8      | 1.561      | **580**            | 5886                  |
| B9      | 1.089      | 146.2              | -2685                 |
| B10     | 0.9145     | 0                  | 0                     |

### III.2 Case 2 when one transformer of transformer three is out of service

The simulation result for case 2 when no transformer is out of service, the simulation result are shown in table 3 and Fig. 8 to Fig. 13. The active power at B4 and B8 are 891.5 MW and 464.1 MW.

### Table 3. Voltage, active power, reactive power with STATCOM for case 2.

| BUS NO. | VPOS. SEQ. | ACTIVE POWER (MW) | REACTIVE POWER (MVAR) |
|---------|------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| B1      | 0.955      | 94.07              | -187.1                |
| B2      | 0.94       | 567.8              | 74.35                 |
| B3      | 0.9303     | 202.5              | 2146                  |
| B4      | 0.8972     | **871.5**          | 989.6                 |
| B5      | 0.7658     | 429.8              | -2712                 |
| B6      | 0.9303     | 359.7              | -1955                 |
| B7      | 1.075      | 514.5              | 1166                  |
| B8      | 1.665      | **464.1**          | 5231                  |
| B9      | 1.075      | 154.5              | -2199                 |
| B10     | 0.9303     | 0                  | 0                     |
III.3 Case 3 when one transformer of transformer 2 is out of service

The simulation result for case 3 when no transformer is out of service, the simulation result are shown in table 3 and Fig. 14 to Fig. 19. The active power at B4 and B8 are 790.3 MW and 546.8 MW.

Table 4. Voltage, active power, reactive power with STATCOM for case 3.

| BUS NO. | VPOS. SEQ. | ACTIVE POWER (MW) | REACTIVE POWER (MVAR) |
|---------|------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| B1      | 0.9464     | 115.1              | -175.8                |
| B2      | 0.9228     | 616.8              | 126.1                 |
| B3      | 0.909      | 328.4              | 2522                  |
| B4      | 0.8982     | 780.3              | 963.3                 |
| B5      | 0.711      | 335.8              | -3097                 |
| B6      | 0.909      | 350.5              | -2295                 |
| B7      | 1.084      | 678.6              | 1308                  |
| B8      | 1.546      | 516.8              | 5706                  |
| B9      | 1.084      | 123.3              | -2677                 |
| B10     | 0.909      | 0                  | 0                     |

IV. CONCLUSION

STATCOM is a FACTS device which is able to control the power system parameters such as active and reactive power with voltage magnitude and phase angle. In this paper, STATCOM is used for an application of power system which is optimal power flow under contingency condition of transformers. In all the cases STATCOM shows its effectiveness in controlling the active power and achieving the optimal power flow on the buses B4 and B8. Hence STATCOM can be used for the flow of optimal power flow.
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