Broccoli sprout extract induces detoxification-related gene expression and attenuates acute liver injury
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AIM: To investigate the effects of broccoli sprout extract (BSEx) on liver gene expression and acute liver injury in the rat.

METHODS: First, the effects of BSEx on liver gene expression were examined. Male rats were divided into two groups. The Control group was fed the AIN-76 diet, and the BSEx group was fed the AIN-76 diet containing BSEx. After a 10-d feeding period, rats were sacrificed and their livers were used for DNA microarray and real-time reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction
(RT-PCR) analyses. Next, the effects of BSEx on acute liver injury were examined. In experiments using acute liver injury models, 1000 mg/kg acetaminophen (APAP) or 350 mg/kg D-galactosamine (D-GalN) was used to induce injury. These male rats were divided into four groups: Control, BSEx, Inducer (APAP or D-GalN), and Inducer+BSEx. The feeding regimens were identical for the two analyses. Twenty-four hours following APAP administration via p.o. or D-GalN administration via i.p., rats were sacrificed to determine serum aspartate transaminase (AST) and alanine transaminase (ALT) levels, hepatic glutathione (GSH) and thiobarbituric acid-reactive substances accumulation and glutathione-S-transferase (GST) activity.

RESULTS: Microarray and real-time RT-PCR analyses revealed that BSEx upregulated the expression of genes related to detoxification and glutathione synthesis in normal rat liver. The levels of AST (70.91 ± 15.74 IU/mL vs 5614.41 ± 1997.83 IU/mL, P < 0.05) and ALT (11.78 ± 2.08 IU/mL vs 1014.04 IU/mL, P < 0.05) were significantly increased in the APAP + BSEx group compared with the APAP group. The level of GST activity (93.19 ± 16.55 U/g tissue vs 51.90 ± 16.85 U/g tissue, P < 0.05) and liver GST activity (93.19 ± 16.55 U/g tissue vs 51.90 ± 16.85 U/g tissue, P < 0.05) were significantly increased in the APAP + BSEx group compared with the APAP group. AST (4820.05 ± 3094.93 IU/mL vs 12465.63 ± 3223.97 IU/mL, P < 0.05) and ALT (1808.95 ± 1014.04 IU/mL vs 3936.46 ± 777.52 IU/mL, P < 0.05) levels were significantly suppressed in the D-GalN + BSEx group compared with the D-GalN group. The level of GST activity was significantly increased in the D-GalN + BSEx group compared with the D-GalN group (98.04 ± 15.75 U/g tissue vs 53.15 ± 8.14 U/g tissue, P < 0.05).

CONCLUSION: We demonstrated that BSEx protected the liver from various types of xenobiotic substances through induction of detoxification enzymes and glutathione synthesis.
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Core tip: The aim of this study was to investigate the effects of broccoli sprout extract (BSEx) on gene expression and acute liver injury in rat liver. Gene expression analyses revealed that BSEx upregulated the expression of genes related to detoxification and glutathione synthesis. Experiments using acute liver injury models revealed that BSEx suppressed acetaminophen- and D-galactosamine-induced liver injury and increased liver glutathione concentration and glutathione-S-transferase activity. These findings suggest that consuming BSEx daily protected the liver from various types of xenobiotic substances through induction of detoxification enzymes and glutathione synthesis.
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INTRODUCTION

Many drug-metabolizing enzymes are expressed in the liver, including phase I enzymes, such as cytochrome P450s (CYPs), and phase II enzymes, such as glutathione S-transferases (GSTs), UDP-glucuronosyltransferases (UGTs), and sulfotransferases. The phase I enzymes, composed mainly of the CYP supergene family, are involved in the oxidation and hydroxylation of xenobiotics. Consequently, reactive molecules, which may be more toxic than the parent molecules, are produced. Phase II enzymes convert activated, hydrophobic xenobiotics into hydrophilic forms via conjugation reactions with glutathione, glucuronide, sulfate, and other molecules[1].

Sulforaphane (4-methylsulfinylbutyl isothiocyanate) has been identified as the most potent naturally occurring inducer of phase II enzymes[2-4]. Dietary sulforaphane is known to protect against liver injuries caused by carbon tetrachloride, intestinal ischemia reperfusion, and cisplatin[5-7]. In these reports, the ability of orally ingested sulforaphane to induce phase II detoxification enzymes was suggested as the basis for protection from these injuries.

Sulforaphane is a metabolite of glucoraphanin, a thioglycoside compound released upon chewing or macerating cruciferous plants[8]. Glucoraphanin is hydrolyzed to sulforaphane by myrosinase, an enzyme released when plant cells are damaged[9]. Cruciferous vegetables are a main source of glucoraphanin, and several-day-old broccoli sprouts have 15-fold more glucoraphanin than mature plants[2]. Broccoli sprouts have been reported to induce phase II enzymes in vitro and in vivo[2,10]. Moreover, interventional studies have shown that dietary broccoli sprouts induce phase II enzymes[11] and can modulate the excretion patterns of aflatoxin[12]. These reports show that detoxification enzymes are induced by intake of broccoli sprouts in vivo; however, few reports have evaluated the effects of continuous ingestion of broccoli sprouts on liver function.

DNA microarray technology has allowed us to comprehensively analyze the expression of a large number of genes in target cells or tissues[13]. This
Preparation of BSEx diet
It was reported that glucoraphanin content in broccoli sprout at the early stage of germination (within 24 h) was almost same as the glucoraphanin content at 72 h of germination\[17]. Therefore, BSEx was industrially processed using 1-d-old broccoli sprouts to conduct experiments in a short period. Briefly, the broccoli sprouts were extracted with water at 95°C for 1 h to remove erucic acid, which has been reported to be a potential risk factor for heart disease\[18]. The extract was concentrated to the glucoraphanin content up to approximately 10 times (54.45 mg/g) using a centrifugal thin film vacuum evaporator. Finally, the concentrated extract was sterilized by filtration through MF-Millipore filters (EMD Millipore, Billerica, MA, United States), packed in plastic bags, and stored at -20°C until use.

The BSEx diet was prepared based on the composition of the AIN-76 diet, not of the AIN-93 diet. This is because tert-butylhydroquinone, which is a constituent of AIN-93, is known as a representative inducer of drug-metabolizing enzymes. We used AIN-76, which does not contain tert-butylhydroquinone, to more precisely evaluate the effects of BSEx on the liver detoxification system. The amount of cornstarch contained in the AIN-76 diet was replaced with BSEx.

The concentration of glucoraphanin in the BSEx diet was adjusted to 340 mg/100 g diet, a concentration based on pilot animal experiments using acute liver injury models (unpublished results). The compositions of the control and BSEx diets are described in Table 1.

DNA microarray analysis
Specific pathogen-free, 7-wk-old male Wistar rats were purchased from Japan SLC (Hamamatsu, Japan). They were housed at 20°C-24°C and 45%-65% humidity in an animal laboratory with a 12-h light/12-h dark cycle timed from 7:00 AM. They were fed a normal commercial diet (CE-2; CLEA Japan, Tokyo, Japan) and sterile water during the 5-d acclimatization period before the experiment. The Animal Care and Use Committee of the Institute of Kagome Company Limited approved all protocols, which were in accordance with the guidelines established by the Japanese Society of Nutrition and Food Science (Law and Notification 6 of the Japanese Government).

Animals were divided into two groups with similar average body weights. Each group was composed of six rats; the Control group was fed the AIN-76 diet, and the BSEx group was fed the BSEx diet. After a 10-d feeding period, rats were sacrificed and their livers were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C until DNA microarray and real time RT-PCR processing.

Four rats from each group, whose final body weights and relative liver weights approximated the mean values for the six rats in each group, were selected for further DNA microarray analysis. Approximately 50 mg of liver was homogenized in TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, United States). Total RNA was isolated from each liver according to the manufacturer’s instructions and purified using the RNasy Plus Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). The quality and quantity of total RNA was determined using spectrophotometry, respectively. Total RNA from individual samples was analyzed by DNA microarray as previously described\[19]. Briefly, 100 ng of purified total RNA was used to synthesize complementary DNA, and then biotinylated amplified RNA (aRNA) was transcribed using the GeneChip 3’ IVT Express Kit (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA, United States). aRNA was fragmented and then hybridized to an Affymetrix GeneChip rat genome 230 2.0 array. The array was hybridized at 45°C for 16 h, and then washed and stained with phycoerythrin. Fluorescence signals were scanned with the Affymetrix GeneChip System, and Affymetrix GeneChip Command Console software was used to reduce the images to the intensity values for each probe (CEL files).

Real-time RT-PCR analysis
Six rats from each group were used for real-time RT-PCR analysis. Approximately 50 mg of liver was homogenized in TRIzol reagent and total RNA, which

| Table 1 Compositions of AIN-76 and broccoli sprout diet |
| --- |
| Ingredients | Control diet (AIN-76) | BSEx diet |
| Casein | 25.00% | 25.00% |
| Cornstarch | 40.10% | 33.86% |
| Sucrose | 20.00% | 20.00% |
| Corn oil | 5.00% | 5.00% |
| Mineral mixture | 3.50% | 3.50% |
| Vitamin mixture | 1.00% | 1.00% |
| Choline bitartrate | 0.40% | 0.40% |
| Cellulose | 5.00% | 5.00% |
| BSEx | - | 0.34% |
| Glucoraphanin | - | 0.34% |
| Others | - | 5.90% |

Others include unknown compounds such as protein, fiber, sugar, fat, etc.

technology has been used to study how administration of sulforaphane modulates gene expression in animal liver\[14,15\]. To our knowledge, there have been no reports showing a detailed analysis of daily administration of dietary broccoli sprouts on liver gene expression.

In this study, we used DNA microarray and real-time reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) analyses to investigate the effects of broccoli sprout extract (BSEx) on gene expression in rat liver. Moreover, we investigated the effects of BSEx on the intoxication produced by acetaminophen (APAP) and D-galactosamine (D-GaIN), which are model compounds for drug-induced liver injury and virus-induced liver injury, respectively\[16\].

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Preparation of BSEx diet

The BSEx diet was prepared based on the composition of the AIN-76 diet, not of the AIN-93 diet. This is because tert-butylhydroquinone, which is a constituent of AIN-93, is known as a representative inducer of drug-metabolizing enzymes. We used AIN-76, which does not contain tert-butylhydroquinone, to more precisely evaluate the effects of BSEx on the liver detoxification system. The amount of cornstarch contained in the AIN-76 diet was replaced with BSEx.
is treated with DNase, was isolated from each liver. The quantity was measured by spectrophotometry and cDNA was prepared from 2 µg of the total RNA. Real-time RT-PCR was performed with SYBR Premix Ex Taq II (Takara Bio, Otsu, Japan) and the 7900HT Fast Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Tokyo, Japan). After denaturing at 95 °C for 30 s, PCR was performed with 40 cycles of denaturing at 95 °C for 5 s, annealing at 60 °C for 30 s, and dissociation at 95 °C for 15 s, followed by 60 °C for 60 s and 95 °C for 15 s. The expression of each gene was normalized to that of β-actin mRNA. The sequence of each primer is shown in Table 2. The concentration of each primer used in real-time PCR was 0.4 µmol/L.

### Experimental design using acute liver injury model

We used two types of acute liver injury models, APAP- and D-GalN-induced liver injury[16]. APAP and D-GalN were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, United States). For the experiment using the APAP-induced liver injury model, 34 male Wistar rats aged 6 wk were purchased from Japan SLC. Acclimatization was performed as described in DNA microarray analysis. After the acclimatization period, rats were divided into Control (n = 6), BSEx (n = 8), APAP (n = 10), and APAP + BSEx (n = 10) groups. Control and APAP groups were fed the AIN-76 diet, and the BSEx and APAP + BSEx groups were fed the BSEx diet for 10 d. Liver injury was induced in the APAP and APAP + BSEx groups by oral administration of 1000 mg/kg APAP dissolved in 1% methylcellulose. The Control and BSEx groups were orally administered 1% methylcellulose.

For the experiment using the D-GalN-induced liver injury model, 36 male Wistar rats aged 7 wk were purchased from Japan SLC. Acclimatization was performed as described in DNA microarray analysis. After the acclimatization period, rats were divided into Control (n = 6), BSEx (n = 6), D-GalN (n = 12) and D-GalN + BSEx (n = 12) groups. The method of feeding was as described above. Liver injury was induced in the D-GalN and D-GalN + BSEx groups by intraperitoneal administration of 350 mg/kg D-GalN dissolved in saline. The Control and BSEx groups were intraperitoneally administered saline.

Twenty-four hours following administration of the inducers and vehicles, rats were anesthetized and blood and livers were collected. Serum samples were separated by centrifugation at 2000 g for 10 min and tested for aspartate transaminase (AST) and alanine transaminase (ALT). Livers were washed with saline and immediately stored at ~80 °C for further analyses.

### Serum profiling

Plasma AST and ALT levels were determined using a commercially available analytical kit (Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Osaka, Japan). Approximately 100 mg of liver was homogenized with 0.5–1.0 mL of 5% s-sulfoalicylic acid, and hepatic glutathione (GSH) concentration was determined by a commercial kit (Dojindo Molecular Technologies, Kumamoto, Japan). Hepatic GST activity was measured according to the method of Habig et al[20]. Approximately 0.5 g of liver was homogenized with 10 volumes of 0.1 mol/L potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4), and the supernatant was collected. Five-hundred microliters of 0.2 mol/L potassium phosphate, 100 µL of 10 mmol/L 1-chloro-2,4-dinitrobenzene (CDNB) were added to 100 µL of 0.2 mol/L potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4), and the mixture was heated at 100 °C for 60 min, cooled to room temperature, and extracted with 1.0 mL of a mixture of 1-butanol/pyridine (15:1, v/v). TBARS concentration was determined by measuring the absorbance at 532 nm of the extract.

### Statistical analysis

CEL files were quantified with the Factor Analysis of Robust Microarray Summarization (FARMS)
algorithm using the statistical language R and Bioconductor. To detect the differentially expressed genes between the Control and BSEx groups, the rank products (RP) method was used. RP offers several advantages over linear modeling, including a biologically intuitive fold-change criterion; this model contains fewer assumptions and increased performance with noisy data and/or low numbers of replicates. A recent study revealed that the combination of the RP method and the FARMS with quantile normalization (qFARMS) preprocessing algorithm is one of the best combinations for accurately detecting differentially expressed genes, and these were applied to our microarray data. Functional classification of the differentially expressed genes according to Biological Process in Gene Ontology (GO) and the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway were performed using the Database for Annotation, Visualization, and Integrated Discovery (DAVID), a web-accessible program, in accordance with the manuals available from the website (http://david.abcc.ncifcrf.gov/home.jsp). Enrichment analyses were performed based on EASE score, a modified Fisher’s exact P value with the Benjamini and Hochberg false discovery rate corrections.

Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) was used to search for canonical pathways. IPA is software licensed by Ingenuity Systems (Redwood City, CA, United States) and is a commercial tool based on an appropriate database to facilitate the identification of biological themes in microarray gene expression data. IPA uses a right-tailed Fisher’s exact test to calculate a P value determining the probability that each biological function, canonical pathway, or transcriptional network assigned to the dataset is due to chance alone. A data set containing only the IDs of the significantly upregulated genes was uploaded as a tab-delimited text file into the Ingenuity software.

Statistical analysis to compare gene expression by real-time RT-PCR analysis was performed by Student’s t-test. Statistical analysis to compare body and liver weights, and blood and liver parameters was performed by the Tukey-Kramer method using SPSS 15.0 for Windows (SPSS Japan, Tokyo, Japan). Differences were considered significant at P < 0.05.

RESULTS

DNA microarray analysis
BSEx had no effect on the amount of dietary intake in rats (data not shown). In DNA microarray analysis, genes showing a false discovery rate (FDR) of less than 0.05 between the Control and BSEx groups were defined as differentially expressed genes. The RP method combined with a qFARMS preprocessing algorithm revealed 403 upregulated and 515 downregulated probe sets in the group fed the BSEx diet. Of those, overlapping probe sets and probe sets lacking defined gene titles were removed, resulting in 356 upregulated genes and 426 downregulated genes that were identified.

Using DAVID, the differentially expressed genes induced by intake of the BSEx diet were classified into functional categories according to GO. The significantly enriched categories of genes that were up- or downregulated by the intake of BSEx diet are summarized in Figures 1 and 2, respectively. The GO classes upregulated by BSEx were ranked according to the P value of each GO class as follows: “translation elongation”, “response to organic substance”, “response to inorganic substance”, “response to external stimulus”, and “ribosome biogenesis” (Figure 1). Similarly, the GO classes downregulated by BSEx were ranked as follows: “carboxylic acid metabolic process”, “lipid metabolic process”, “alcohol metabolic process”, “response to organic substance”, “coenzyme metabolic process”, and “glucose metabolic process” (Figure 2).

The KEGG analysis identified functional classes of the differentially expressed genes. The up- and downregulated genes fell into significantly enriched KEGG pathways (three for the upregulated genes and eighteen for the downregulated genes (Table 3)). The KEGG classes upregulated by BSEx were “Ribosome”, “Metabolism of xenobiotics by cytochrome P450” and “Drug metabolism”. The KEGG class “Ribosome” included about 40 kinds of genes encoding ribosomal proteins. The KEGG classes “Metabolism of xenobiotics by cytochrome P450” and “Drug metabolism” included genes encoding phase I and II detoxification enzymes, such as Cyp, Gsts, and Ugts. The KEGG classes downregulated by BSEx contained pathways related to lipid and carbohydrate metabolism.

Ingenuity pathway analysis
The 356 upregulated genes were imported into the IPA software to identify biological networks and pathways. Fifteen highly significant canonical pathways with a score of P < 0.05 were identified from the 356 genes upregulated by intake of the BSEx diet. As shown in Table 4, this analysis validated EIF2 signaling, regulation of eIF4 and p70S6K signaling, and mTOR signaling as major pathways in the first network. These included genes that encoded ribosomal proteins. As shown in Table 4, at least 6 of the 15 significant canonical pathways were related to xenobiotic metabolism, including NRF2-mediated oxidative stress response, metabolism of xenobiotics by cytochrome P450, glutathione metabolism, aryl hydrocarbon receptor signaling, xenobiotic metabolism signaling and pentose and glucuronate interconversions. These included genes that are involved in phase I and II detoxification and glutathione metabolism such as Cyp1a2, Gsts, Usts, Akrs and Gclc.

Real-time RT-PCR analysis
DNA microarray analysis and IPA revealed that the expression of genes related to protein synthesis,
GO ID | GO term | No. of genes | FDR-corrected P value
--- | --- | --- | ---
0008152 | Metabolic process | 176 | $2.44 \times 10^{-7}$
0051186 | Cofactor metabolic process | 13 | $4.54 \times 10^{-2}$
0042180 | Cellular ketone metabolic process | 26 | $1.66 \times 10^{-2}$
0019752 | Carboxylic acid metabolic process | 25 | $2.65 \times 10^{-2}$
0066575 | Cellular amino acid derivative metabolic process | 12 | $3.49 \times 10^{-2}$
0066807 | Nitrogen compound metabolic process | 67 | $2.91 \times 10^{-2}$
0019538 | Protein metabolic process | 81 | $6.40 \times 10^{-3}$
0066412 | Translation | 45 | $6.25 \times 10^{-15}$
0066414 | Translation elongation | 38 | $9.81 \times 10^{-34}$
0071840 | Cellular component organization or biogenesis | 2 |
0042254 | Ribosome biogenesis | 13 | $7.21 \times 10^{-5}$
0042273 | Ribosomal large subunit biogenesis | 4 | $2.12 \times 10^{-2}$
0042274 | Ribosomal small subunit biogenesis | 7 | $2.15 \times 10^{-2}$
0066364 | rRNA processing | 1 | $6.95 \times 10^{-4}$
0032502 | Developmental process | 84 | $8.83 \times 10^{-3}$
0048513 | Organ development | 63 | $7.72 \times 10^{-4}$
001889 | Liver development | 8 | $2.82 \times 10^{-2}$
003023 | Respiratory tube development | 10 | $2.81 \times 10^{-2}$
0042273 | Tissue development | 33 | $7.37 \times 10^{-4}$
0030855 | Epithelial cell differentiation | 10 | $2.51 \times 10^{-2}$
0042692 | Muscle cell differentiation | 11 | $1.30 \times 10^{-2}$
0009888 | Striated muscle cell development | 7 | $3.47 \times 10^{-2}$
0030896 | Response to stimulus | 110 | $3.65 \times 10^{-2}$
009628 | Response to abiotic stimulus | 21 | $2.14 \times 10^{-2}$
0042221 | Response to chemical stimulus | 23 | $1.72 \times 10^{-5}$
001035 | Response to inorganic substance | 16 | $7.65 \times 10^{-4}$
001033 | Response to metal ion | 18 | $3.01 \times 10^{-2}$
0042493 | Response to drug | 52 | $2.39 \times 10^{-7}$
0009725 | Response to organic substance | 26 | $8.85 \times 10^{-3}$
0048545 | Response to steroid hormone stimulus | 20 | $2.00 \times 10^{-3}$
0051384 | Response to glucocorticoid stimulus | 11 | $1.66 \times 10^{-2}$
009605 | Response to external stimulus | 42 | $6.71 \times 10^{-5}$
006950 | Response to stress | 61 | $2.11 \times 10^{-5}$
009611 | Response to wounding | 32 | $9.51 \times 10^{-7}$
0042060 | Wound healing | 12 | $4.39 \times 10^{-2}$
006954 | Inflammatory response | 19 | $1.62 \times 10^{-4}$
002526 | Acute inflammatory response | 15 | $1.72 \times 10^{-4}$
002376 | Immune system process | 8 | $6.61 \times 10^{-3}$
0019724 | B cell mediated immunity | 5 | $2.83 \times 10^{-2}$
006958 | Complement activation, classical pathway | 5 | $2.83 \times 10^{-2}$
0019882 | Antigen processing and presentation | 5 | $1.03 \times 10^{-2}$
002495 | Antigen processing and presentation of peptide antigen via MHC class II | 25 | $3.31 \times 10^{-4}$
002682 | Regulation of immune system process | 15 | $1.56 \times 10^{-2}$
0050776 | Regulation of immune response | 15 | $1.56 \times 10^{-2}$
0056907 | Regulation of biological quality | 15 | $3.46 \times 10^{-2}$
0048518 | Regulation of cell cycle | 64 | $1.33 \times 10^{-2}$
0010942 | Positive regulation of biological process | 18 | $3.55 \times 10^{-2}$
0043065 | Positive regulation of cell death | 18 | $3.22 \times 10^{-2}$
0045639 | Positive regulation of myeloid cell differentiation | 6 | $2.98 \times 10^{-2}$
002763 | Positive regulation of myeloid leukocyte differentiation | 5 | $2.83 \times 10^{-2}$
005008 | Regulation of biophysical quality | 50 | $1.28 \times 10^{-2}$
0034101 | Erythrocyte homeostasis | 7 | $2.76 \times 10^{-2}$
0048519 | Negative regulation of biological process | 54 | $3.61 \times 10^{-2}$

Figure 1  Significantly enriched Gene Ontology (GO) terms found in the upregulated genes induced by broccoli sprout extract ($P < 0.05$). 1GO term with no P value indicates the absence of significance; 2GO terms appearing in the deepest hierarchy.
xenobiotic metabolism, and glutathione metabolism were upregulated by BSEx. Therefore, we analyzed expression of these genes by real-time RT-PCR analysis. One sample in the BSEx group showed abnormal expression of β-actin and was eliminated from the analysis. Table 5 shows FDR-corrected P values and the real-time RT-PCR results. Among 7 genes related to ribosomal proteins showing FDR < 0.01 in the microarray analysis, only the expression of Rps3 was significantly (P < 0.05) increased in the BSEx group and the expression of other genes was not increased. On the other hand, among 6 genes related to xenobiotic and glutathione metabolism, the expression of Cyp1a2, Gclc and Gsta3 were significantly (P < 0.01, 0.05 and 0.05, respectively) increased in the BSEx group.

Effects of BSEx on APAP- and D-GalN-induced liver injuries

BSEx had no effect on the amount of dietary intake in rats (data not shown). The levels of body weight gain after APAP administration, liver weight, liver/body weight, and GSH were significantly decreased in the APAP group compared with the Control group. Decreases in these parameters in the APAP group were significantly reduced in the APAP + BSEx group. The levels of AST, ALT, and TBARS were significantly increased in the APAP group compared with the Control group. Increases in these parameters in the APAP group were significantly suppressed in the APAP + BSEx group (Table 6).

The levels of body weight gain after D-GalN administration, liver weight, liver/body weight, and GST activity were significantly decreased in the D-GalN group compared with the Control group. Decreases in these parameters in the D-GalN group were significantly reduced in the D-GalN + BSEx group. The levels of AST, ALT, and TBARS were significantly increased in the D-GalN group compared with the Control group. Increases in AST and ALT in the D-GalN group were significantly suppressed in the D-GalN + BSEx group, but the levels of AST and ALT were much higher than that in the APAP+BSEx. Increases in TBARS was not

Table 5

| GO ID      | GO terms                                      | No. of genes | FDR-corrected P value |
|-----------|-----------------------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|
| 0008152   | Metabolic process                             | 219          | 2.82 × 10^-14        |
| 0044238   | Primary metabolic process                      | 177          | 1.12 × 10^-6         |
| 0006629   | Lipid metabolic process                        | 79           | 1.78 × 10^-26        |
| 0008202   | Steroid metabolic process                      | 24           | 7.11 × 10^-6         |
| 0006694   | Steroid biosynthetic process                  | 10           | 5.09 × 10^-3         |
| 0008610   | Lipid biosynthetic process                    | 26           | 4.24 × 10^-6         |
| 0044255   | Cellular lipid metabolic process               | 58           | 1.33 × 10^-20        |
| 0006641   | Triglyceride metabolic process                 | 12           | 3.02 × 10^-4         |
| 0006631   | Fatty acid metabolic process                  | 40           | 3.39 × 10^-12        |
| 0019395   | Fatty acid oxidation                           | 15           | 1.39 × 10^-10        |
| 0006635   | Fatty acid beta-oxidation                      | 11           | 8.03 × 10^-6         |
| 0090962   | Fatty acid catabolic process                   | 14           | 3.77 × 10^-10        |
| 0001676   | Long-chain fatty acid metabolic process        | 7            | 2.18 × 10^-3         |
| 0005975   | Carbohydrate metabolic process                 | 29           | 1.37 × 10^-3         |
| 0006006   | Glucose metabolic process                      | 19           | 3.62 × 10^-3         |
| 0044281   | Small molecule metabolic process               | 10           | 6.41 × 10^-3         |
| 0006766   | Vitamin metabolic process                      | 41           | 2.28 × 10^-12        |
| 0006066   | Alcohol metabolic process                      | 179          | 1.73 × 10^-4         |
| 0044237   | Cellular metabolic process                     | 75           | 3.78 × 10^-31        |
| 0019752   | Carboxylic acid metabolic process              | 10           | 2.86 × 10^-5         |
| 0043648   | Dicarboxylic acid metabolic process            | 19           | 3.78 × 10^-5         |
| 0046394   | Carboxylic acid biosynthetic process           | 20           | 6.22 × 10^-5         |
| 0051186   | Cofactor metabolic process                     | 7            | 5.33 × 10^-3         |
| 0006732   | Cofactor biosynthetic process                  | 19           | 9.64 × 10^-6         |
| 0006084   | Acetyl-CoA metabolic process                   | 10           | 2.32 × 10^-5         |
| 0034754   | Acetyl-CoA metabolic process                   | 10           | 1.49 × 10^-5         |
| 0006091   | Carboxylic acid metabolic process              | 18           | 5.98 × 10^-2         |
| 0050896   | Response to stimulus                          | 113          |                       |
| 0031667   | Response to nutrient levels                    | 20           | 5.39 × 10^-3         |
| 0010033   | Response to organic substance                  | 56           | 3.22 × 10^-2         |
| 0009725   | Response to hormone stimulus                   | 32           | 3.99 × 10^-2         |
| 0043434   | Response to peptide hormone stimulus          | 17           | 8.14 × 10^-3         |
| 0042493   | Response to drug                              | 24           | 6.31 × 10^-3         |
Table 3  Significantly enriched Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes pathway found in the differentially expressed genes by broccoli sprout extract ($P < 0.05$)

| KEGG ID   | Pathway                                                                 | FDR-corrected $P$ value |
|-----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Up-regulated                                      |                                                                        |                         |
| rno03010  | Ribosome                                                                | $3.97 \times 10^{-43}$  |
| rno00980  | Metabolism of xenobiotics by cytochrome P450                             | $0.001186149$           |
| rno00982  | Drug metabolism                                                         | $0.004009591$           |
| Down-regulated                                    |                                                                        |                         |
| rno01071  | Fatty acid metabolism                                                   | $2.42 \times 10^{-43}$  |
| rno3320   | PPAR signaling pathway                                                  | $3.79 \times 10^{-4}$   |
| rno00280  | Valine, leucine and isoleucine degradation                               | $2.42 \times 10^{-3}$   |
| rno01040  | Pyruvate metabolism                                                     | $8.34 \times 10^{-3}$   |
| rno0650   | Butanoate metabolism                                                    | $1.21 \times 10^{-3}$   |
| rno0830   | Retinol metabolism                                                      | $2.06 \times 10^{-3}$   |
| rno0082   | Drug metabolism                                                         | $7.15 \times 10^{-3}$   |
| rno01640  | Propanoate metabolism                                                   | $2.42 \times 10^{-3}$   |
| rno00202  | Citrate cycle (TCA cycle)                                               | $4.94 \times 10^{-3}$   |
| rno0120   | Primary bile acid biosynthesis                                           | $5.63 \times 10^{-3}$   |
| rno0140   | Steroid hormone biosynthesis                                            | $7.09 \times 10^{-4}$   |
| rno0561   | Glycerolipid metabolism                                                 | $7.78 \times 10^{-4}$   |
| rno0010   | Glycolysis/gluconeogenesis                                               | $0.003660561$           |
| rno00380  | Tryptophan metabolism                                                   | $0.003952492$           |
| rno0983   | Drug metabolism                                                         | $0.004286518$           |
| rno0500   | Starch and sucrose metabolism                                           | $0.009275005$           |
| rno00020  | Citrate cycle (TCA cycle)                                               | $0.003660561$           |
| rno00380  | Tryptophan metabolism                                                   | $0.003952492$           |
| rno0983   | Drug metabolism                                                         | $0.004286518$           |

FDR: False discovery rate.

Table 4  Significant canonical pathways ($P < 0.05$) in upregulated genes induced by broccoli sprout extract

| Ingenuity canonical pathways | -log(B-H $P$ value) | Ratio | Molecules                                                                 |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|-------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| EIF2 signaling              | 22.5                 | 0.183 | RPL18, RPL14, RPL10, RPL7, Rpl7a, RPL41, RPS4X, RPS12, RPL22L1, RPL31, RPS26, RPS3, RPL15, RPS16, RPS7, RPS9, RPS6, RPS15A, RPL13, RPS2, RPS5, RPL26, RPS18, RPL34, RPSA, RPL13, Cnm11425, RPS9, RPS3, RPS23, RPL23A, RPL27A, RPS8, RPL18A, RPS11, RPL3, RPL22 |
| Regulation of eIF4 and p70S6K Signaling | 7.57               | 0.115 | RPS18, RPS12, RPS4X, RPS6, RPS7, RPS9, RPS23, PPP2CA, RPS19, RPS6, RPS8, ITGB1, RPS15A, RPS11, RPS2, RPS5 |
| mTOR Signaling              | 6.49                 | 0.100 | RND3, RPS18, RPS12, RPS4X, HMOM1, RPS26, RPS3, RPS5, RPS16, RPS9, RPS7, RPS23, PPP2CA, RPS19, RPS6, RPS8, ITGB1, RPS15A, RPS11, RPS2, RPS5 |
| Nrf2-mediated oxidative stress response | 3.85               | 0.089 | GPX2, GSTM5, ACTG1, AKR1A1, GCLC, HSPB8, GSTP1, GSTA1, HMOM1, GSTM1, FTL, JUN, EP1X1, NFE2L2, AKR7A3, ACTB, NQO1 |
| Metabolism of xenobiotics by cytochrome P450 | 3.84               | 0.061 | GSTM5, GSTM1, GST3, CYP2B6, AKR1A1, UGT2B15, Cyp2c40, CYP1A2, GSTP1, GSTA1, AKR7C3, UGT2B4 |
| Glutathione metabolism      | 2.88                 | 0.089 | GPX2, GSTM5, GSTM1, GST3, CYP2B6, AKR1A1, UGT2B15, Cyp2c40, CYP1A2, GSTP1, GSTA1, AKR7C3, UGT2B4 |
| Acute phase response signaling | 2.00               | 0.073 | APOA1, A2M, C4BPA, SERPINA3, RBP7, C4B, HMOM1, ORM1/ORM2, CSBP, FTL, JUN, HP, ITGB |
| B cell development          | 1.78                 | 0.121 | HSPB1, GSTM5, GSTM1, MYC, RARB, JUN, NFE2L2, CYP1A2, GSTP1, NQO1, GSTA1 |
| ERK/ MAPK Signaling         | 1.56                 | 0.058 | HSPB1, DUSP1, MYC, YWHAZ, PPP2CA, PRKAR2A, YWHAH, DUSP6, PLAX2G, ITGB1, PPP1R0C, ETS1 |
| Xenobiotic metabolism signaling | 1.56               | 0.051 | GSTM5, CYP2B6, CCLC, UGT2B15, CYP1A2, GSTP1, GSTA1, HMOM1, GSTM1, FTL, PPP2CA, NFE2L2, NQO1, UGT2B4 |
| Eicosanoid signaling        | 1.56                 | 0.076 | LTC4S, PTGER3, PRDX6, PLAX2G, PLAX16, AKR1C3 |
| Complement system           | 1.56                 | 0.143 | C4BPB, C4BPA, C4B, C1QB |
| Pentose and glucuronate interconversions | 1.56               | 0.035 | AKR1A1, UGT2B15, AKR7A3, AKR1C3, UGT2B4 |
significantly suppressed (Table 7).

**DISCUSSION**

DNA microarray technology has been used for comprehensive analysis of gene expression changes induced by food or food components in target cells or tissues. We examined the effects of continuous ingestion of BSEx on comprehensive gene expression in normal rats and found that the expression of genes involved in phase I and II detoxification and glutathione synthesis were upregulated. Also, BSEx protected liver from APAP- and D-GalN-induced injury.

In the previous study, mice consuming the broccoli sprout extract-containing diet gained significantly less weight than mice consuming the normal diets and the reason is thought that the bitter flavor imparted by the broccoli sprout extract may have resulted in decreased consumption compared to the normal diet\[32\]. However, we show no decrease (Tables 6 and 7) of weight gain in the animals fed with BSEx diet, with no difference in food consumption (data not shown). We think that the following two factors are related with the controversy. The first one is the extraction temperature. In the previous study, broccoli sprout extracts were prepared by 60 °C mildly heating or 5-min steaming of broccoli sprouts\[29\]. Under the condition of 60 °C mildly heating, myrosinase has its enzyme activity and converts glucosinolates to isothiocyanates. The second one is the germination stage of broccoli sprouts. We used 1-d-old broccoli sprout for extraction of BSEx, but, in the previous study, 6-d-old broccoli sprouts were used\[32\]. It was reported that the content of phenolic compounds in broccoli sprouts increased dependent on its germination stage, so 6-d-old sprouts were thought to contain more phenolic compounds than 1-d broccoli sprouts\[33\]. Because of the bitter taste of isothiocyanates and/or phenolic compounds, rats might avoid eating broccoli extract containing diet in the previous study.

In this study, we prepared the BSEx diet containing 340 mg glucoraphanin/100 g diet. If calculated by the daily food intake (approximately 15 g) by a rat, and the body weight of a rat (approximately 200 g), we assumed that rats consumed about 200-300 mg/kg of glucoraphanin every day. Previous studies reported that 30-60 mg/kg of glucoraphanin administration was safe and effectively enhanced NQO1 (phase II enzyme) in various tissues and 120-240 mg/kg of glucoraphanin administration caused oxidative stress in rat liver\[24,35\]. However, in this study, rats didn’t show any of AST, ALT, TBARS increase and GSH decrease after 10 days of BSEx diet administration (Tables 6 and 7). These results suggested that BSEx used in this study had higher level of safety than used in the previous study. On the other hand, a pilot study (data not shown) carried out before this study showed that APAP-induced liver injury was strongly suppressed by the administration of BSEx diet containing 170 mg glucoraphanin/100 g diet (about 100-150 mg/kg of glucoraphanin every day). Moreover, APAP-induced liver injury was weakly suppressed by the administration of BSEx diet containing 34 mg glucoraphanin/100 g diet (about 20-30 mg/kg of glucoraphanin every day). These results suggest that acute liver injuries were suppressed by the low BSEx administration than in this study. We conducted experiment using high glucoraphanin contained diet to clarify the effect of BSEx on the liver injuries, but we think that the investigation of the dose-response effect of BSEx will be needed in future studies.

Sulforaphane is a well-known inducer of phase II drug-metabolizing enzymes\[24\], but the contribution of sulforaphane to the regulation of phase I enzymes is negligible. Nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2 (Nrf2) and aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AHR) are well-known transcription factors related to the upregulation of detoxification genes\[36\]. Our IPA results also suggest that they contribute to the BSEx-induced upregulation of detoxification genes (Table 4). It is known that sulforaphane upregulates detoxification genes through activation of Nrf2\[5,37\], but little is known about the activation of AHR by sulforaphane. Compounds other than sulforaphane contained in BSEx possibly activated AHR. BSEx ingestion coordinately induced Nrf2 activation by sulforaphane and AHR activation by other unknown compounds, and consequently detoxification genes were upregulated.

We did not clarify the complete glucosinolate profile of BSEx in this study, but can estimate this parameter based on previous reports\[2,10,38,39\]. Previous studies have shown that glucoraphanin accounts for about 70% of glucosinolates in broccoli sprouts and is thought to be the major glucosinolate in BSEx. Glucoraphanin is converted to sulforaphane \textit{in vivo}; hence, it is likely that glucoraphanin in BSEx contributed to the Nrf2

| Gene symbol | FDR-corrected P value | Control Mean | Control SD | BSEx Mean | BSEx SD |
|-------------|-----------------------|-------------|------------|-----------|--------|
| Rpl10a      | 0.0018                | 1.00        | 0.06       | 0.82\(a\) | 0.05   |
| Arhp        | 0.0056                | 1.00        | 0.13       | 0.86      | 0.24   |
| Rpl15       | 0.0058                | 1.00        | 0.14       | 0.80      | 0.23   |
| Rps16       | 0.0058                | 1.00        | 0.11       | 0.75\(b\) | 0.18   |
| Rpl3        | 0.0068                | 1.00        | 0.12       | 1.07      | 0.20   |
| Rps18       | 0.0086                | 1.00        | 0.06       | 1.00      | 0.17   |
| Rps3        | 0.0096                | 1.00        | 0.05       | 1.34      | 0.27   |

\(aP < 0.05, bP < 0.01\) vs control group.
activation. Therefore, glucoraphanin, glucoiberin, glucoraphanin, and indole glucosinolates may be present in BSEx, although their rates are thought to be lower than that of glucoraphanin\textsuperscript{[2,10,38,39]}. The converted forms of indole glucosinolates are known to activate AHR\textsuperscript{[40,41]}, thus, they may contribute to AHR activation by BSEx.

Microarray analysis revealed remarkable down-regulation of genes related to lipid metabolism by ingestion of BSEx (Figures 1 and 2). Proteomic analysis of Nrf2-deficient transgenic mice has shown that many proteins involved in lipid metabolism are upregulated in the absence of Nrf2, suggesting a negative regulation of their expression by Nrf2\textsuperscript{[42]}. In light of this report, Nrf2 activation by BSEx may contribute to the downregulation of genes involved in lipid metabolism, although its significance in liver protection remains unclear.

Because gene expression analysis showed that BSEx caused significant changes in the expression of genes related to liver protection from toxicity, we examined the effects of BSEx on liver injury induced by a toxicant. APAP causes liver injury through loss of GSH with an increased formation of reactive oxygen and nitrogen species in hepatocytes\textsuperscript{[43]}. Moreover, liver GST activity was reported to decrease in APAP-induced acute liver injury\textsuperscript{[44]}. In the present study, APAP-induced acute liver injury was followed by an increase in TBARS and decreases in liver GSH concentration and GST activity (Table 6). BSEx protected the liver from APAP-induced injury and improved the liver TBARS, GST activity, and GSH concentration (Table 6). Microarray and real-time RT-PCR analysis showed that Gclc, one of the rate-limiting enzymes of glutathione synthesis, was upregulated by BSEx (Table 5). It has been suggested that upregulation of Gclc contributes to the improvement of liver TBARS and GSH concentrations. N-acetyl-p-benzoquinoneimine, an intermediate of APAP that causes acute liver injury, is conjugated with the reduced form of GSH, a reaction that is mediated by GST\textsuperscript{[45]}. Microarray and real-time RT-PCR analyses showed upregulation of Gsts by intake of BSEx (Table 5). These results suggest that upregulation of Gsts demonstrated by gene expression analysis influenced liver GST activity and resulted in protection from APAP-induced liver injury by BSEx.

Liver GST activity decreased and TBARS increased in D-GalN-induced acute liver injury\textsuperscript{[46,47]}. In the present study, D-GalN-induced acute liver injury was followed by an increase in TBARS and a decrease in liver GST activity (Table 7). BSEx suppressed D-GalN-induced liver injury and improved GST activity and TBARS (Table 7), but the effect of BSEx on D-GalN-induced liver injury was weaker than that on APAP-induced acute liver injury. In the present study, APAP-induced acute liver injury was followed by an increase in TBARS and decreases in liver GSH concentration and GST activity (Table 6). BSEx protected the liver from APAP-induced injury and improved the liver TBARS, GST activity, and GSH concentration (Table 6). Microarray and real-time RT-PCR analysis showed that Gclc, one of the rate-limiting enzymes of glutathione synthesis, was upregulated by BSEx (Table 5). It has been suggested that upregulation of Gclc contributes to the improvement of liver TBARS and GSH concentrations. N-acetyl-p-benzoquinoneimine, an intermediate of APAP that causes acute liver injury, is conjugated with the reduced form of GSH, a reaction that is mediated by GST\textsuperscript{[45]}. Microarray and real-time RT-PCR analyses showed upregulation of Gsts by intake of BSEx (Table 5). These results suggest that upregulation of Gsts demonstrated by gene expression analysis influenced liver GST activity and resulted in protection from APAP-induced liver injury by BSEx.

Liver GST activity decreased and TBARS increased in D-GalN-induced acute liver injury\textsuperscript{[46,47]}. In the present study, D-GalN-induced acute liver injury was followed by an increase in TBARS and a decrease in liver GST activity (Table 7). BSEx suppressed D-GalN-induced liver injury and improved GST activity and TBARS (Table 7), but the effect of BSEx on D-GalN-induced liver injury was weaker than that on APAP-
induced liver injury. These results may indicate that suppression of D-GalN-induced liver injury by BSEx is caused partly by induction of GST activity, but some mechanisms other than improvement of GST activity and oxidative stress may contribute to suppression of D-GalN-induced liver injury. D-GalN caused a marked decrease in UTP with an accompanying inhibition of RNA and protein synthesis in rats.11,49 Because microarray analysis showed upregulation of ribosomal proteins by intake of BSEx (Tables 3 and 4), D-GalN-induced liver injury might be suppressed through induction of protein synthesis, although real-time RT-PCR analysis showed that the expression of genes related to ribosomal proteins was not increased by BSEx, except for the expression of Rps3.

In summary, we showed that BSEx upregulated the expression of genes related to detoxification and glutathione synthesis in normal rat liver using DNA microarray and real-time PCR analyses. Moreover, BSEx suppressed APAP- and D-GalN-induced liver injury. Our data suggest that protection from these liver injuries resulted from induction of GSH synthesis and GST activity, although some other mechanisms may contribute to suppression of D-GalN-induced liver injury. We conclude that BSEx enhanced defensive functions and protected against the toxicities of various types of xenobiotic substances through induction of detoxification enzymes and glutathione synthesis in the liver.
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