Changing Contents of Carbon Hybridizations in Amorphous Hydrogenated Carbon Layers (a-C:H) on Sustainable Polyhydroxybutyrate (PHB) Exhibit a Significant Deterioration in Stability, Depending on Thickness
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Abstract: PHB is a biodegradable polymer based on renewable raw materials that could replace synthetic polymers in many applications. A big advantage is the resulting reduction of the waste problem, as well as the conservation of fossil resources. To arrange it for various applications, the surface is arranged by plasma-enhanced chemical vapor deposition (PECVD) with amorphous hydrogenated carbon layers (a-C:H). Here, on a 50 µm thick PHB-foil, a-C:H layers of different thicknesses (0–500 nm) were deposited in 50 nm steps. Surface topography was investigated by scanning electron microscopy (SEM), chemical composition by diffuse reflectance infrared Fourier transform (DRIFT) spectroscopy and wettability checked by contact angle. In addition, layers were examined by synchrotron supported X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) and near edge X-ray absorption fine structure (NEXAFS), which revealed thickness dependent changes of the sp²/sp³ ratio. With increasing thickness, even the topography changes show internal, stress-induced phenomena. The results obtained provide a more detailed understanding of the predominantly inorganic a-C:H coatings on (bio)polymers via in situ growth.
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1. Introduction

A common feature of most polymers used in everyday life today is that they are based on crude oil. This inevitably causes a poor environmental impact, as these polymers are usually not (bio)degradable within a reasonable time. Due to the already high demand for plastics, which will increase rather than decrease, this will lead to a major disposal and raw material problem [1]. However, an adequate exchange of material is often not possible or appropriate, since polymers have some particularly advantageous properties: Formability, elasticity, low weight, wide working temperature range, chemical resistance and comparatively low cost [2,3]. Nevertheless, it needs to be mentioned that polymers cannot be used for every application, due to their poor mechanical properties, such as low hardness or insufficient resistance to surface abrasion [3]. This can be compensated by the deposition
of protective coatings, such as amorphous hydrogenated carbon (a-C:H) layers. Additionally, the material can be specifically functionalized for particular applications [4–11]. As a result, the range of applications of many polymers can be greatly expanded. Conversely, this leads to a further increase in use and drives the disposal and raw material problems further forward [1]. One possible approach to solving the raw material situation is to change from classic crude oil-based polymers to those that can be obtained from renewable raw materials. If these are also biodegradable, the problem of disposal could also be solved. A promising candidate for this is polyhydroxybutyrate (PHB), which can be obtained from renewable resources by fermentation processes and is biodegradable [12]. Although this also shares the poor properties of polymers, it can be modified and adapted for special applications by depositing an a-C:H layer [5].

Those a-C:H films are mostly applied by chemical vapor deposition (CVD). The layer deposition can be further enhanced by the use of RF plasma (radio frequency plasma-enhanced chemical vapor deposition; RF-PECVD) [4,13–16], which can also be used for non-conductive materials at low temperatures [5,13]. The layers consist of hydrogen and sp\(^2\) (\(\sigma\) and \(\pi\)), as well as sp\(^3\) hybridized interconnected carbons, where the sp\(^2\) clusters are limited to short chains embedded in an sp\(^3\) matrix of carbon and hydrogen [4,17,18]. The ratio of the carbon hybridizations, binding states and the hydrogen content can be controlled via the plasma parameter settings which determine the physical properties of the resulting layer [4,17,18]. If the deposited layer has a very high portion of sp\(^3\) bonds, it is similar to diamond and has a high hardness [13]. In contrast, a large amount of sp\(^2\) bonds leads to a more flexible, graphite-like layer with, e.g., electrical conductivity [13]. In addition, an influence of the existing bonding situation on the wettability of the surfaces is recognizable, which leads to a variation in contact angles [19].

The a-C:H coatings investigated in this study were applied to the biopolymer PHB by RF-PECVD using only acetylene plasma as the source for C and H. The surface morphology of the layers was inspected ex situ by scanning electron microscopy (SEM); the chemical composition of the obtained coatings by diffuse reflectance infrared Fourier transform (DRIFT) spectroscopy and synchrotron-based X-ray techniques (near edge X-ray absorption fine structure (NEXAFS) and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS)). In addition, contact angle measurements were performed to investigate surface wettability.

# 2. Materials and Methods

## 2.1. Sample Preparation and Film Deposition

The PHB foils were purchased at industrial quality from Goodfellow (Bad Nauheim, Germany) with the dimensions 30 cm × 30 cm. After delivery, the 50 µm thick foils were cut to 10 cm × 10 cm samples and mounted on self-made aluminum holders specially manufactured for the coating process. PECVD was chosen for the plasma treatment in a high-vacuum chamber. As plasma source, a RF-controlled (13.65 MHz) Copra DN 400 (Copra DN 400, CCR GmbH, Troisdorf, Germany) was used. The coating process is described in detail elsewhere [5,20,21], but here only briefly: Sample holders were placed 275 mm in front of the plasma source. This direct alignment results in so-called r-type diamond-like carbon (DLC) coatings [20–23]. An initial oxygen plasma (10 min, 200 W, 1 Pa, 65 sccm/min) cleaned and activated the PHB surface for further coating. With subsequent exposure to acetylene plasma (107 W, 0.65 Pa, 65 sccm/min, deposition rate ca. 10 nm·min\(^{-1}\)), layer thicknesses of 0–500 nm in 50 nm steps were realized. Layer thicknesses above 500 nm were not feasible because the resulting layers were not stable, began to crack and then peeled off as the process progressed. This can be seen in the SEM section herein, as well as in previous work from our group [5]. In addition to the PHB samples, silicon wafers with partial cover by aluminum foil were placed on the holders to check the layer thicknesses obtained. The resulting layer edge could then be measured with a profilometer (Dektak 3, Veeco Instruments Inc., Plainview, NY, USA).
2.2. Analytical Techniques

The surface morphologies of the plasma treated PHB samples were examined with a scanning electron microscope (SEM515, Phillips, 7 kV, WD 20 mm). Since SEM investigations of polymers in particular are affected by charging effects caused by the electron beam, the samples were covered with a 7–10 nm thick conductive gold layer. In order to prove the accuracy of the investigations, measurements were carried out at least at three different points on each sample.

The surface wettability of the a-C:H layers was analyzed by a contact angle goniometer (OCA 15 plus, DataPhysics Instruments GmbH, Filderstadt, Germany). For this purpose, 1 µL drops of distilled water were applied to the samples in ambient conditions using the sessile drop technique. The resulting contact angles were measured and averaged at five different points of the entire sample to the left and right of each drop. This proves the homogeneity of the coating, ensures the accuracy of the values obtained and results in a representative value.

In order to obtain information about the chemical composition of the carbon atoms present in the a-C:H layers, infrared spectroscopic investigations were carried out. Due to the thin layers, DRIFT spectroscopy was chosen using a Shimadzu Fourier transform spectrometer (IRPrestige-21, Kyoto, Japan) equipped with the diffuse reflectance measuring apparatus DRS-8000 [24]. Measurements were performed at room temperature, under atmospheric pressure. Data was collected in two steps: First, a scan was performed over the entire spectral width (here 450–4000 cm\(^{-1}\)) with a resolution of 4 wavenumbers. This was repeated 100 times and spectra were averaged to compensate statistical noise. This was necessary to evaluate graduations in which regions of the applied a-C:H layers showed changes, depending on the layer thickness. The second step was a detailed measurement of the so-called C-H stretching zone in the range of 2800–3100 cm\(^{-1}\) containing vibrations of the different C-bonds [15,25]. Here a resolution of one wavenumber was chosen and the measurements were repeated 300 times and averaged. Step two was repeated at three different points on the sample to prove homogeneity of the sample and to ensure accuracy. For both steps the O\(_2\) plasma treated PHB sample was used as reference, as each of the coated samples was first treated with an O\(_2\) plasma. The subsequent evaluation of the spectra was performed with the commercial IR Solution—FTIR Control Software (software version 1.30, Shimadzu Corporation, Kyoto, Japan): First, a multipoint baseline fit of the spectra, followed by a subsequent smoothing was done (note: this only changes the appearance but not the information or peak positions).

For a detailed analysis of the chemical environment, NEXAFS in combination with XPS was performed. The measurements were accomplished at the beamline HE-SGM at the synchrotron source BESSY II in Berlin during the low-alpha phase. The detection system is described in detail elsewhere [26]. An additionally installed flood-gun is used to compensate charging effects caused by the radiation that can influence the measurements.

NEXAFS-spectra were recorded for the C- and the O-edge. The C-edge was repeated at a second point to control homogeneity of the layer and to ensure correctness of the results. Subsequently, the spectra obtained were normalized with the commercial Origin software and adapted to the decreasing ring current of the low alpha phase. The background noise was also compensated and a contamination correction of the grid with a previously measured gold edge was performed. The individual steps are reported in detail by Watts et al. [27]. After spectrum smoothing all spectra were analyzed by a self-written peak evaluation program and obtained results were plotted with Origin software.

XPS was applied for supporting analysis and for quantitative determination of different sp-bonding states. First, a survey spectrum over the full width of 0–700 eV was recorded for an overview of the elemental surface composition. For a more detailed analysis, both the O1s and the C1s peaks were recorded. The C1s peak was measured twice at different points to ensure homogeneity of the sample and measurement accuracy. The total C1s peak was analyzed with the commercial software CasaXPS to provide the proportions of sp\(^2\), sp\(^3\) and C–O bonds. Using Origin software, the results were presented according to the layer thickness.
3. Results and Discussion

3.1. SEM Image Analysis

Figure 1 shows representative SEM images for the different thicknesses of a-C:H layers on oxygen treated PHB samples. All images with increasing thickness starting with the O$_2$ plasma treated one in Figure 1a display a sample section of 200 µm × 200 µm, except for the 500 nm deposition in Figure 1k (it is a 100 µm × 100 µm detail).

![SEM images of PHB treated with O$_2$ plasma (a) and coated with different thicknesses of a-C:H layers. The series (b–k) shows the changing topography of a-C:H coating increasing in 50 nm steps.](image-url)
Figure 1a shows PHB pretreated with O\textsubscript{2} plasma. The surface appears roughened by the plasma treatment and dirt is removed. The SEM analysis revealed layer thicknesses of stable and unstable a-C:H coatings on PHB. In the case of thin films (50 nm, Figure 1b), a homogeneous, stable a-C:H film is formed. The previously observable roughness for the O\textsubscript{2} plasma treated one (Figure 1a) decreases noticeably. With increasing layer thickness, the a-C:H film starts to delaminate and breaks open (100–200 nm, Figure 1c–e). First the carbon layer is still closed, but with strong visible crimps and ripples. This effect is called “telephone cord buckling” (and can be seen clearly in Figure 1d), indicating stress release phenomena [28,29]. At 200 nm (Figure 1e) the stress-related fractures in the carbon layer continue to increase. Afterwards a closed, homogeneous a-C:H layer is repeatedly formed on the PHB (250–400 nm, Figure 1f–i). Repeatedly, an emerging delamination is observable at a layer thickness of 450 nm (Figure 1j). With a layer thickness of 500 nm (Figure 1k), the deposited carbon film begins to tear open again and delaminate from the polymer.

The cracking and delamination of layers at 100–200 nm and 450–500 nm can be explained by internal stress. Reasons for this stress-related release are, e.g., different expansion coefficients of both materials (a-C:H layer and PHB substrate), as well as island formations of the a-C:H and their interaction with each other in the early growth stage [30]. The carbon layer on the material is stable until the stress exceeds a critical value and begins thereafter to delaminate. At first, the network can still hold the compound material together, but if the stress increases, the deposited layer begins to tear (Figure 1c–e,j,k).

3.2. Surface Wettability by Contact Angles

The surface wettability and the resulting contact angle depend in general, especially for the present a-C:H coatings, on several factors: The morphology of the surface [31–33], the existing chemical bonds [34–37] and different hybridization states of carbon on the surface [19,38,39]. The saturation of free bonds on the sample surface with hydrogen leads to the formation of strong C–H bonds which in turn inhibit a possible interaction between the sample surface and the applied liquid, herein water [34,36,37]. Consequently, hydrogenation of the surface leads to a more hydrophobic behavior. On the other hand, if the surface is oxidized or contains oxygen groups, it is more attractive for water [35]. Likewise, different carbon hybridization states lead to a change in the contact angle behavior at the sample surface [19,38,39]. An sp\textsuperscript{3}-rich surface has a reduced contact angle compared to a more sp\textsuperscript{2}-rich a-C:H layer, because of the different free surface energy. The low polarity of its free bonds for sp\textsuperscript{2} hybridized carbon has a smaller surface energy compared to the covalent character of the sp\textsuperscript{3} bonded carbon [13,19,40].

Figure 2 shows the contact angles obtained for the series of a-C:H layers of different thicknesses deposited on PHB. Additionally, the O\textsubscript{2} plasma cleaned PHB sample is shown which was used as a reference. The contact angle range obtained is between 65° and 80°. At 50 nm a-C:H, the lowest value of 66.5° is found, indicating a more sp\textsuperscript{3} like hybridization of the carbon atoms. Furthermore, it shows a reduced content of oxygen-groups compared to the O\textsubscript{2} plasma activated sample. With increasing layer thickness, the contact angle increases until it reaches a maximum of 79.7° at 150 nm. Since the overall deposition process compared to the 50 nm sample has not been changed except for a longer coating time to get thicker layers, the hybridization states have to change with increasing layer thickness; the proportion of sp\textsuperscript{2}-hybridized carbon atoms increases. The contact angle measures also indicate surface roughening because of increasing error bars. At a layer thickness of 200 nm, the contact angle drops rapidly down to 72.1°, accompanied by a large error. Here, the a-C:H layer was broken up, presumably due to stress within the layer (see also Figure 1e, SEM section). As the a-C:H thickness increases to 250 nm the contact angle value decreases slightly. At a carbon thickness of 300 nm the value rises up again to 79.3°. Further along, the contact angles decrease again and remain nearly constant with a slight decrease of the higher layers.
These high contact angles are either due to a very high sp\textsuperscript{2} plasma pretreatment. Subsequently, detailed DRIFT measurements were performed for all a-C:H coated samples to investigate layer thickness dependent differences in the chemical composition of the a-C:H layers. For the coated samples, O\textsubscript{2} plasma treated PHB was used as reference, since all carbon films were deposited on such pretreated PHB material. Figure 3 presents the corresponding spectra in ascending order plotted to arbitrary units (a.u.). The analysis of the DRIFT spectra was carried out on the basis of our own previous results, the work of other groups and known fundamental data [15,23,25,41–43]. In the range of 450 to 4100 cm\textsuperscript{-1} all samples were checked for chemical differences in the carbon coating first, before detailed analysis in the C–H stretching area between 2750–3150 cm\textsuperscript{-1} for the CH\textsubscript{2} and CH\textsubscript{3} groups followed [15,25,42,43].

As lower contact angles indicate lower absolute sp\textsuperscript{2} contents; both the 150 and 300 nm depositions exhibit comparatively high values that deviate clearly from the behavior of the surrounding samples. These high contact angles are either due to a very high sp\textsuperscript{2} content, a strong hydrogenation of the surface or a change in the morphology. However, there is no evidence of such an increased proportion of sp\textsuperscript{2}-hybridized carbon atoms in these layers in the following analytical techniques, so that morphological effects (starting the partially visible delamination of the carbon layer) are concluded here, which are supported by the large errors. Cracks in the layer are also responsible for fluctuating contact angles, at a thickness of 200 and at a thickness of 450 nm each. Since there is no longer a uniform surface, the contact angle measurements here are very error-prone, which is also indicated by the large error bars. It is noticeable that at the beginning of the coating and the “new coating” after the instable thickness at 150 nm is accompanied by an increase in the contact angle, which suggests a larger but somehow delayed sp\textsuperscript{2} content in the new, emerging layer.

3.3. DRIFT Spectroscopy

DRIFT spectroscopy was performed to examine the chemical composition and binding states of the PHB samples increasingly coated with a-C:H layers. First, the O\textsubscript{2} plasma treated sample was compared with the crude PHB to investigate the chemical activation of the samples by the oxygen plasma pretreatment. Subsequently, detailed DRIFT measurements were performed for all a-C:H coated samples to investigate layer thickness dependent differences in the chemical composition of the a-C:H layers. For the coated samples, O\textsubscript{2} plasma treated PHB was used as reference, since all carbon films were deposited on such pretreated PHB material. Figure 3 presents the corresponding spectra in ascending order plotted to arbitrary units (a.u.). The analysis of the DRIFT spectra was carried out on the basis of our own previous results, the work of other groups and known fundamental data [15,23,25,41–43]. In the range of 450 to 4100 cm\textsuperscript{-1} all samples were checked for chemical differences in the carbon coating first, before detailed analysis in the C–H stretching area between 2750–3150 cm\textsuperscript{-1} for the CH\textsubscript{2} and CH\textsubscript{3} groups followed [15,25,42,43].
The formation of CH2 groups at relatively small a-C:H depositions is an established proof for an interlayer formation between the polymeric substrate and the carbon coating [41]. So-called subplantation processes are a reasonable explanation for this behavior, which consists of three main reactions: (i) Surface etching with plasma species, (ii) insertion of C and H+ ions into the (sub)surface and (iii) surface absorption of plasma radicals to form new bonds. The interlayer formation stops at a layer thickness of around 50 nm and is considered complete as soon as the formation of =CH2 bonds takes place [23,41]. Therefore, a possible interlayer phase for the present PHB is less than 50 nm. The CH2 groups reveal a more polymer-like DLC surface configuration, while the formation of =CH2 groups 

Additionally, a broad peak at 2870 cm\(^{-1}\) associated to the sp\(^3\) CH3 (s) binding is visible [43]. At 150 nm a-C:H deposition, the formerly dominant sp\(^3\) CH3 (a) peak shifts to 2965 cm\(^{-1}\) and almost disappears. The sp\(^3\) CH2 (s) peak at 2870 cm\(^{-1}\) disappears nearly completely. The sp\(^3\) CH2 (a) peak at a wavenumber of 2932 cm\(^{-1}\) shifts to a value of 2927 cm\(^{-1}\) and dominates the spectrum. This shift-back of the frequency indicates a smaller carbon-hydrogen binding energy, and therefore a higher carbon-hydrogen binding distance for the CH3 and CH2 group [25].

With an a-C:H layer thickness of 200 nm, the sp\(^3\) CH3 (a) peak is again more pronounced. On the other hand, a peak appears at 2855 cm\(^{-1}\), assigned to the sp\(^3\) CH2 (s) binding [42,44–46]. The sp\(^3\) CH2 (a) peak at 2927 cm\(^{-1}\) rises stronger and becomes the dominant one in the spectra. With 250 nm the spectrum changes again and is similar to the previous one for 150 nm. The sp\(^3\) CH2 (s) peak disappears and the sp\(^3\) CH3 (a) one decreases again. Additionally, the sp\(^3\) CH3 (s) peak at 2870 cm\(^{-1}\) appears again. The peak dominance is reversed by increasing the layer thickness to 300 nm a-C:H. The right flank,
which has formed due to the superposition with the sp² CH₂ (s) peak, is now falling more strongly, which is due to the fact that the sp² oscillation becomes weaker. At a layer thickness of 350 nm the spectrum is similar to 150 nm and 250 nm. With 400 nm of layer thickness, only two peaks are visible. Both the sp³ CH₃ (a) peak at 2962 cm⁻¹, still overlaid with the sp² CH₂ (s) at 2950 cm⁻¹ but nearly gone, and the sp³ CH₂ (a) at 2924 cm⁻¹, are almost balanced. The spectrum for the 450 nm deposition is similar again to the ones of 150, 250 and 350 nm. The 500 nm spectrum corresponds to the spectrum for 400 nm layer thickness.

The similarities in the DRIFT spectra for 50, 150 and 250 nm depositions indicate that with a layer thickness larger than 250 nm a new layer growth of the carbon coating begins after an earlier layer failure. Additionally, the similarities between the 200 and 300 nm layer thickness and for 150, 250 and 350 nm and their respective changes in the chemical environment suggest a layer thickness region that changes repeatedly in its chemistry between bonding networks.

3.4. NEXAFS Results

In Figure 4 the NEXAFS spectra for raw PHB and an O₂ plasma treated one are shown. The spectra were processed as described in the experimental details. Both spectra do not differ significantly, except for a slightly higher intensity in the sample treated with O₂ and small variations in the fingerprint region. The fact that O₂ plasma—at least with respect to carbon bonds—has no changing properties, means it only serves herein to clean the sample surface of impurities. Based on the chemical structure of PHB, the dominant peak is the C–C bond.

![Figure 4](image-url)

Figure 4. Near edge X-ray absorption fine structure (NEXAFS) spectra of a raw and untreated PHB (black) compared to an O₂ plasma treated one (red), which is used as the new reference for further analysis.

The obtained NEXAFS spectra for the series of a-C:H layers with various thickness (here: 100, 200, 300, 400 and 500 nm) are displayed in Figure 5. The peak positions have been determined to C=π (284.85 eV), CO02DH (286.15 eV), C–C (288.35 eV) and C=C π (292.55 eV) [47–51]. The dominant binding resulting from NEXAFS studies is the C=C π binding at an energy of ~284.8 eV. For further analysis, all peaks for different binding states are normalized to the C=C π peak and its ratio to the other peaks is analyzed. The ratio of C=C σ to C=C π bonds remains almost constant at all time. There is a deviation from this factor at a layer thickness of 100 nm. Here, both the value of the ratio of C=C to C=C π and of C=C σ to C=C π make a jump, which can be attributed to a decrease in the proportion of
C=C π. The ratio is here nearly 1:1 each. The ratio of the C–H to C=C π is almost constant over the entire variation of the a-C:H layer thickness.

Figure 5. NEXAFS spectra of selected a-C:H layer thicknesses on PHB. Black is the reference sample, red a coating of 100 nm, green 200 nm, dark blue 300 nm, pale blue 400 nm and magenta 500 nm.

From a layer thickness of more than 400 nm, a further deviation of the ratio occurs. From here the C=C π bond increases steadily and the ratios of the three other bonds in relation to the C=C π decrease further. Therefore, with a layer thickness of 100 nm, the sp² bond is the dominant one. This continues even at 200 nm. With a layer thickness of 300 nm the sp³ peak begins to dominate. At 400 nm, the sp² value determined during NEXAFS measurements increases again and the sp³ content decreases. At 500 nm, the values measured for sp² are again the dominant ones.

The dominant sp² bond between 100 and 200 nm corresponds to the “cord buckling” visible in the SEM images. As the dominance of the sp² bonds increases from 100 to 200 nm, the deterioration of the a-C:H layers intensifies according to the SEM images. With the change from the sp² bond to the now stronger sp³ bond, that effect disappears, and the layer seems homogeneous again. After repeated decrease of the dominance of the sp³ bond and an increasing sp² content between 400 and 500 nm again, “cord buckling” and layer failure occurs. The NEXAFS data therefore indicates a layer failure or layer defect if the sp³ bond loses its dominant position in favor of the sp² bond. The results found here are in line with the following XPS results.

3.5. XPS Results

Figure 6 shows the results of the XPS measurements for PHB raw, O₂ plasma treated and coated with 50 nm a-C:H. The analysis has been arranged according to the experimental details. The peak positions have been determined through the NIST database and work of other groups [52–54]. Contrary to the expectations, the O₂ plasma treatment on PHB decreases the O1s peak (532 eV) compared to the untreated sample. This may be due to degradation or removal of the carbonyl group in PHB, resulting in a dangling bond, and therefore a free carbon position. The C1s peak (284 eV) also changes and shows a more pronounced and sharper shape after the O₂ plasma treatment. The widening of the C1s peak to higher binding energies indicates additionally, the appearance of C–O binding. Applying the a-C:H layer changes the spectrum again. The O1s bonds are covered with an a-C:H layer and disappeared in the XPS survey. A shape change of the C1s peak compared to the raw and O₂ plasma treated sample is visible.
Figure 6. Full X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) spectra of raw, O\textsubscript{2} treated and with a 50 nm a-C:H layer coated PHB. The disappearance for the O1s (532 eV) and shape changes in C1s (284 eV) are clearly visible.

Figure 7 shows the evaluated percentages for sp\textsuperscript{2} and sp\textsuperscript{3} binding of the C1s peak as a function of the increasing layer thickness. In the Supporting Information, the deconvolution of selected C1s peaks together with all obtained values can be found. At 50 nm the sp\textsuperscript{3} bond is dominant, which is in accordance with the DRIFT results. With a layer thickness of 100 nm, this dominance changes in favor of the sp\textsuperscript{2} bond. This remains the preferred bond type in the network until the layer thickness exceeds 200 nm. Both the DRIFT and the NEXAFS measurements confirmed this behavior of a growing sp\textsuperscript{2} content. Thereafter, the situation changes again from the 250 nm a-C:H deposition and the sp\textsuperscript{3} binding is repeatedly the favored one. As soon as the layer thickness exceeds 400 nm, there is another switch and the sp\textsuperscript{2} bond is the preferred one in the network, which is in line to the findings confirmed by DRIFT and NEXAFS results.

Figure 7. Results for the sp\textsuperscript{2} (squares) and sp\textsuperscript{3} (circles) from the XPS series for PHB coated with increasingly thick a-C:H layers. The dashed lines are included to enhance visibility.
The 500 nm XPS measurement is in contradiction to the DRIFT measurements. XPS shows a strong sp\(^2\) content while the DRIFT results indicate dominant sp\(^3\) binding. The XPS data is supported by the NEXAFS results which also show dominant sp\(^2\) binding. A reason for the contradictory results of XPS/NEXAFS and DRIFT may be the different penetration depths of the techniques (a few nm compared to 10–20 nm, respectively). In addition, the sample surface is cracked or rather delaminated at 500 nm, which certainly influences the results.

It is noticeable that the change from sp\(^3\) to sp\(^2\) as the dominant bonding is accompanied by a delayed breakup of the layer from 100 to 150 nm (see Section 3.1 SEM Image Analysis). A shift from sp\(^2\) to sp\(^3\) as the dominant binding, in contrast, seems to have no effect on the stability of the applied a-C:H layer on the PHB substrate. This is in accordance with results obtained for a-C:H layers on the biopolymer polylactide acid (PLA) [55], although PLA did not show any delay in layer failure. Furthermore, the comparison of SEM images with XPS shows that a sp\(^2\) dominated a-C:H layer on PHB is accompanied by “cord buckling” phenomena.

4. Conclusions

Layers of different a-C:H thicknesses were deposited on the biopolymer PHB using an acetylene plasma. The samples were analyzed with SEM, contact angle measurements, DRIFT spectroscopy, NEXAFS and XPS. Stable a-C:H layers on the examined PHB samples could be identified by SEM. Exceptions are the layers between 100 and 200 nm and thicknesses higher than 450 nm. This is in line to the highly fluctuating contact angles and their corresponding error bars. Not only the DRIFT investigation, but also both the NEXAFS and the XPS measurements, revealed a changing chemical composition of the layer with increasing thickness. In the beginning the sp\(^2\) content increases as the layer thickens in accordance to the contact angles. In the DRIFT investigations also, a shift for the =CH\(_2\) group was found, which indicates a changing binding distance and confirms a changing chemical environment in the presented layers. Furthermore, the DRIFT measurements showed that if there is an interlayer between the polymer and a-C:H layer, it is already completed before or by the 50 nm layer thickness. For a better understanding of the deposition process of a-C:H layers on PHB, this interlayer formation and its thickness needs to be analyzed, which is currently under investigation.

The results obtained with the current analytical techniques are largely consistent and present a nearly identical picture of the growth behavior of the a-C:H layers on PHB. Smaller deviations are caused, on the one hand by the different penetration depths of the measurement techniques, and on the other hand, by the stress-induced damaged surface. It has to be emphasized that a shift from sp\(^3\) to sp\(^2\) as the dominant carbon binding leads to a layer failure proven by SEM measurements due to stress release. This also corresponds to recent results for a-C:H layers on the biopolymer film polylactide acid (PLA). But in contrast to PLA, the layer failure for the presented a-C:H on PHB has a delay of roughly 100 nm film thickness, after the change from sp\(^3\) to sp\(^2\) as the dominant binding type. This occurs repeatedly after the turnaround at 100 and 400 nm.

The bonding ratios of the carbon atoms and the chemical environment depend not only on the applied plasma parameters, but also on the resulting a-C:H layer thickness. This means, similarly to recent results in our group for the biopolymer PLA, layers can also be customized for specific applications by checking the layer thickness of a-C:H on the present PHB. In summary, it is possible to deposit stable a-C:H layers with specific properties on the biopolymer PHB for most layer thicknesses up to 450 nm. A thickness dependent change between the sp\(^3\) or sp\(^2\) dominant carbon species in the present a-C:H coating process on PHB has been proven with various methods.
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