Results of a phase II open-label, non-randomized trial of cisplatin chemotherapy in patients with BRCA1-positive metastatic breast cancer
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Abstract

Introduction: The purpose of this investigation was to evaluate the efficacy of cisplatin chemotherapy in BRCA1 mutation carriers with metastatic breast cancer.

Methods: In a phase II, open-label study, 20 patients with metastatic breast cancer who carried a mutation in BRCA1 were treated with cisplatin 75 mg/m² intravenously every 3 weeks as part of a 21-day cycle for 6 cycles. Restaging studies to assess response were performed after cycles 2 and 6, and every three months thereafter.

Results: Between July 2007 and January 2009, 20 patients were enrolled. Baseline characteristics were as follows: 65% had prior adjuvant chemotherapy, 55% had prior chemotherapy for metastatic breast cancer; mean age was 48 years (ranges 32 to 70); 30% estrogen receptor (ER) or progesterone receptor (PR)+, 70% ER/PR/Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor 2 (HER2)- and 0% HER2+. Overall response rate was 80%: nine patients experienced a complete clinical response (45%) and seven experienced a partial response (35%). Overall survival was 80% at one year, 60% at two years and 25% at three years. Four of the 20 patients are alive four years after initiating treatment. The median time to progression was 12 months. The median survival from the start of cisplatinum treatment was 30 months. Cisplatin-related adverse events, including nausea (50%), anemia (5%) and neutropenia (35%) were mostly mild to moderate in severity.

Conclusions: This phase II study demonstrates that cisplatin chemotherapy has high activity in women with a BRCA1 mutation and metastatic breast cancer and is generally well tolerated.

Trial registration: This trial is registered retrospectively on the clinical trials website ClinicalTrials.gov. The identifier is NCT01611727.

Introduction

Among women with a BRCA1 mutation and breast cancer, choice of chemotherapy is a critical issue. There are emerging data which suggest that mutation carriers may respond differently than non-carriers to particular agents [1-3]. BRCA1-associated cancers differ from non-hereditary cancers for a range of pathologic and molecular factors, including tumor grade and histologic appearance [4-7]. Several studies have shown that the response to treatment for women with a BRCA1-associated breast cancer reflects the underlying tumor biology, in particular, the impairment of the DNA damage response and repair pathways, and that it is possible to exploit the sensitivity of BRCA1-associated cancers to DNA damage [8,9].

This study was performed as a component of a large, multi-center research program conducted in Poland at the Pomeranian Medical University, which is designed to characterize the hereditary burden of breast cancer in the country and to identify and evaluate means of prevention, screening and treatment for women with BRCA1 mutations. There are three BRCA1 founder mutations in Poland (5382insC, C61G and 4153delA), which account
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for the great majority of all BRCA1 mutations in Polish families [10–12].

We have previously reported, in a small study of BRCA1-positive women with early breast cancer, that a high rate of complete pathologic response was achieved using cisplatin chemotherapy as a single agent in the neoadjuvant setting [13]. It is equally important that we evaluate the benefit of cisplatin in women with disseminated breast cancer, including those who have previously been treated with one or more chemotherapy regimens. This study was undertaken to evaluate the efficacy of cisplatin chemotherapy in BRCA1 carriers with metastatic breast cancer. The primary objective was to determine the objective response rate of cisplatin in BRCA1 carriers with metastatic breast cancer. The secondary objectives were to determine three-year survival and to evaluate the toxicities of cisplatin in BRCA1 carriers with metastatic breast cancer.

Materials and methods

Patient eligibility

Female patients age ≥18 years, with measurable (defined by Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors [RECIST] criteria [14]) metastatic (stage IV) breast cancer, and who were known to carry a BRCA1 mutation, were eligible. In addition, the following were required: adequate hematologic, renal and hepatic function; adequate recovery from recent surgery and/or radiation therapy; recovery from all prior treatment-related toxicities (to grade <2 according to National Cancer Institute Common Toxicity Criteria, Version 3.0, except alopecia); life expectancy of at least 12 weeks; and an Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status of 0 or 1. Patients could have received up to four prior chemotherapies for metastatic breast cancer. Patients with known brain metastases were not eligible. Patients previously treated with a platinum-based chemotherapy were not eligible.

The protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Pomeranian Medical University with jurisdiction over the specific sites that registered patients on the study. The study was approved by Ethics Committee of the Pomera- nian Medical University BN-001/83/07

Patients were recruited from three academic hospitals in Poland. All patients provided written informed consent before enrollment.

Study design and treatment

This was a Phase II, open-label, non-randomized, multicenter study. Cisplatin (Ebewe, Austria) chemotherapy was administered as a 75 mg/m² intravenous (IV) infusion every three weeks, for six cycles. Dexamethasone (8 mg) (PABI-Dexamethason, Polfa, Pabianice, Poland) was administered once daily for three days after chemotherapy. Ondansetron (Zofran™) (Zofran, GlaxoSmithKline, Great Britain) was used for anti-nausea prophylaxis.

A total of 18 of the 20 patients received additional lines of chemotherapy subsequent to platinum therapy at the time of progression. These included AT (Doxorubicin, Ebewe, Austria and Docetaxel, Sanofi-Aventis, Poland) (eight patients) ACT (Doxorubicin, Ebewe, Austria and Cyclophosphamide, Baxter, Poland and Docetaxel, Sanofi-Aventis, Poland) (two patients), FAC (5-Fluorouracil, Ebewe, Austria and Doxorubicin, Ebewe, Austria and Cyclophosphamide, Baxter, Poland) (two patients) and AC (Doxorubicin, Ebewe, Austria and Cyclophosphamide, Baxter, Poland) (one patient). Four patients received an aromatase inhibitor after platinum therapy.

Assessments

Baseline assessments included the following: medical history, complete physical examination, assessment of performance status on the ECOG scale, physical and radiological examination of the tumor, and complete blood count (CBC) and complete metabolic profile. Hormone (estrogen receptor (ER)/progesterone receptor (PR)) and Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor 2 (HER2) receptor status were performed locally. During treatment, these assessments were performed in the same manner at the start (Day 1) of each cycle, except radiological examination of the tumor which was performed after Cycle 2 and Cycle 6, and every three months thereafter.

Response criteria and toxicity

Objective tumor response rate, defined as the percentage of patients who achieved a complete response or partial response by RECIST criteria [14], was the primary efficacy end-point. Radiological assessments were carried out via computerized tomography at baseline, after two cycles (6 weeks), after six cycles (18 weeks) and every 3 months thereafter. Secondary end points included one-year survival and toxicity. Safety assessments included adverse events, clinical laboratory tests, ECOG patient safety and physical examinations and vital signs. Adverse events were graded according to the National Cancer Institute Common Toxicity Criteria (CTC), version 2.0. After completion of six cycles of cisplatin, the patient was seen in the clinic every two weeks for eight weeks and every four weeks thereafter. A repeat CT scan was done at three-monthly intervals to evaluate progressive disease.

Statistical analysis

The primary objective of this study was to determine the overall response rate of cisplatin in metastatic breast cancer patients with a known BRCA1 mutation (complete or partial response). Secondary objectives for this study included estimating the one-, two- and three-year rates
of overall survival and the evaluation of toxicity. The intent-to-treat (ITT) population was defined as all eligible patients enrolled in the study that had no major violations of protocol inclusion and/or exclusion criteria.

The response rate was calculated as the number of responders (best study response recorded as complete response or partial response) divided by the number of patients enrolled. Survival was calculated using the Kaplan-Meier methods. Patients were followed from the date of first receiving cis-platinum until the date of first evidence of progression, or the date of death, depending on the analysis.

Results

Patient characteristics
Between July 2007 and January 2009, 20 women were enrolled in the study. No prospective patient was found to be ineligible or declined to participate. All study patients had been tested previously for the presence of three BRCA1 founder mutations and had been found to be positive. Eighteen patients were treated in Szczecin and two were treated in Krakow.

Patient characteristics are summarized in Table 1. This patient population was notable for its young age (median age 48 years), predominance of 5382insC BRCA1 mutations, and predominance of triple-negative cancers (70%). More than one-half of the patients had been treated previously with chemotherapy for metastatic disease. The median disease-free interval from the first diagnosis of breast cancer until the time of metastatic disease was 2.2 years. No woman had a prior history of ovarian cancer or of another form of cancer.

Of the 20 patients enrolled in the study, 17 completed six cycles of cisplatin chemotherapy. Two patients did not complete treatment due to disease progression. One patient discontinued therapy after four cycles due to grade 4 neutropenia. Five patients delayed treatment due to neutropenia or anemia. One patient required blood transfusion. One patient continued to exhibit tumor shrinkage after six cycles and thus, at the treating physician’s discretion, received nine cycles of chemotherapy.

Treatment outcomes
Responses of the patients are summarized in Table 2. The overall response rate was 80% (complete response 45%; partial response 35%). Those patients who responded included 8 of 9 women for whom cisplatin was their first-line treatment for metastatic disease (89%) and 8 of 11 women (73%) who had previously been treated for metastatic disease. A partial or complete response was achieved in 11 of 12 patients with disease at one site (92%), and in 5 of 8 patients with disease at multiple sites (63%). A partial or complete response was achieved in 12 of 15 ER-negative patients (80%), and in 4 of 5 ER-positive patients (80%). A complete response was achieved in 8 of 15 ER-negative patients (53%), compared to only 1 of 5 ER-positive patients (20%). A complete response was achieved in 7 of 14 triple-negative breast cancer patients (50%). A complete response was observed in 5 of 12 patients with a 5382insC mutation and in 4 of 7 patients with a C61G

Table 1 Characteristics of patients in the study

| Age, years | Mean (range) 48.0 (32 to 70) |
| Type of BRCA1 mutation | 5382insC | 13 |
| | C61G | 6 |
| | 153delA | 1 |
| Year of initial treatment | 2000 to 2003 | 2 |
| | 2004 to 2006 | 7 |
| | 2007 to 2009 | 11 |
| Estrogen receptor | Positive | 5 |
| | Negative | 15 |
| Progesterone receptor | Positive | 3 |
| | Negative | 17 |
| HER2 status | Positive | 0 |
| | Negative | 20 |
| Histology | Ductal | 11 |
| | Medullary | 7 |
| | Other | 1 |
| | Missing | 1 |
| Prior adjuvant chemotherapy | Yes | 13 |
| | No | 7 |
| Sites of metastases | Liver | 10 |
| | Lung | 9 |
| | Bone | 3 |
| | Other | 11 |
| Number of sites of metastases | 1 | 12 |
| | 2 | 4 |
| | 3 | 2 |
| | 4+ | 2 |
| Prior chemotherapy for metastatic disease | Yes | 11 |
| | No | 9 |
| Line of therapy of metastatic disease | 1 | 9 |
| | 2 | 2 |
| | 3 | 8 |
| | 4 | 1 |
mutation (OR = 0.54; P = 0.6; Fishers exact test). Of the nine women who had a complete response, all were determined on the basis of the scan four months after stopping treatment. An example of CT scans, pre- and post-treatment for a woman who experienced a complete response is shown in Figure 1.

**Progression-free survival**

All 20 patients have now experienced progression. The median time to progression was 12 months (range 1 to 36 months). The proportion of patients experiencing progression was 55% at one year, 80% at two years and 95% at three years (Figure 2a). Among the nine patients who experienced a complete response, the median time to progression was 17 months.

**Overall survival**

The actuarial survival rate for the cohort was 80% at one year, 60% at two years and 25% at three years (Figure 2b). The median survival was 30 months. Four of the 20 patients are currently alive (20%). Each of these four patients has survived four years or more from the initiation of cisplatinum treatment. Of these, three experienced a complete response and one a partial

| Response   | Number | Evidence of progression | Time to progression Median (months) | Number deceased |
|------------|--------|-------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------|
| Complete   | 9      | 9                       | 17                                 | 6              |
| Partial    | 7      | 7                       | 8                                  | 6              |
| Stable     | 3      | 3                       | 3                                  | 3              |
| Progressive| 1      | 1                       | 1                                  | 1              |

Table 2 Distribution of response types among patients treated with cis-platinum
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**Figure 1** CT images of pre- and post-treatment for patient experiencing a complete response. Upper left: pre-treatment axial-mediastinal window. Upper right: post-treatment axial mediastinal window. Lower left: pre-treatment sagittal-mediastinal window. Lower right: post-treatment sagittal-mediastinal window.
response. The times elapsed from initiation of treatment for each of the four living patients was 50, 50, 54 and 62 months. Four patients had a time to progression of two years or longer. They are described in Table 3.

Toxicity
Treatment-related toxicities are summarized in Table 4. Cisplatin was generally well tolerated. Consistent with previous cisplatin studies, the most common adverse
events were nausea (50%), anemia (5%) and neutropenia (35%). A grade 3/4 adverse event occurred in two patients; for both of these, cisplatin was the third-line treatment. A total of 20% of the patients required dose adjustment and/or treatment interruption because of anemia or neutropenia. One patient discontinued cisplatin due to neutropenia.

Discussion
There has been a recent resurgence in interest in evaluating platinum-based chemotherapies in patients who are known to have an inherited deficiency in DNA repair [15]. An early study evaluating the role of cisplatin in the first-line therapy of metastatic breast cancer showed an overall response rate of 47% [16]. In our study, a partial or complete clinical response was achieved in 16 of 20 (80%) patients with a BRCA1 mutation and metastatic breast cancer who received cisplatinum chemotherapy as a single agent. The median survival time from the start of cisplatinum treatment was 30 months.

There are few studies which report on the survival experiences of cohorts of BRCA1 patients. In a recent study from the Netherlands, Kriege et al. reported on 93 women with a BRCA1 mutation and metastatic breast cancer who were treated with conventional chemotherapy (CMF (Cyclophosphamide, methotrexate, 5-Fluorouracil, or anthracycline-based) [17]. The objective response rate was 66% and the median progression-free survival was 7.6 months. In our study, the median time to progression was 12 months. The median overall survival in the Kriege study was 15 months, versus 30 months in our study. In an early report of metastatic breast cancer patients treated with the PARP inhibitor olaparib, 33 BRCA1 carriers were included [18]. The median time to progression was approximately four months for those treated with 100 mg of olaparib twice a day and was seven months for those treated with 400 mg twice a day (specific figures for the BRCA1-positive subgroup are not given). Thus, the patients in the current study who have been treated with cisplatin appear to have superior outcomes to these historical controls. However, it is difficult to compare the survival experience of different patient cohorts who may have different disease patterns and different baseline characteristics. The numbers of BRCA1 carriers in these studies are not large and some of the variation may be due to random fluctuation.

It is of interest to try to identify predictors of response in these patients to determine which women are more likely to benefit from cisplatin chemotherapy. Our study

| Case number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
|-------------|---|---|---|---|
| BRCA1 mutation | C61G | C61G | 5382insC | 5382insC |
| Age at treatment | 56 | 34 | 48 | 66 |
| Estrogen receptor | Negative | Negative | Positive | Negative |
| Progestrone receptor | Positive | Negative | Positive | Negative |
| HER2 status | Negative | Negative | Negative | Negative |
| Site of metastases | Lung | Lung, liver | Liver | Liver |
| Number of sites of metastases | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Prior adjuvant chemotherapy | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Line of therapy of metastatic disease | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| Response | Complete | Complete | Partial | Partial |
| Time from treatment to progression (months) | 36 | 27 | 27 | 28 |

Table 4 Toxicities experienced by the patients

| Toxicity | N (%) |
|----------|-------|
| Neutropenia | |
| Grade 1 | 1 |
| Grade 2 | 4 |
| Grade 3 | 1 |
| Any | 7 (35%) |
| Nausea/vomiting | |
| Grade 1 | 4 |
| Grade 2 | 6 |
| Any | 10 (50%) |
| Anemia | |
| Grade 1 | 0 |
| Grade 2 | 0 |
| Grade 3 | 1 |
| Any | 1 (5%) |
| Neurotoxicity | |
| Grade 1 | 2 |
| Grade 2 | 4 |
| Grade 3 | 0 |
| Grade 4 | 0 |
| Any | 6 (30%) |
was too small to be definitive in this regard, but it is of interest that the past use of chemotherapy for metastases was associated with a lower response rate, as was the presence of disease at multiple sites. Also, only 1 of 5 patients with ER-positive breast cancer had a complete response, compared to 8 of 15 ER-negative breast cancers. However, the numbers of patients in these subgroups were small and it is too early to make definite conclusions in this regard.

The results of this study are consistent with our earlier results of the use of cisplatin as a neo-adjuvant treatment of breast cancer. In a study of 102 BRCA1 carriers who received neo-adjuvant chemotherapy, 10 of 12 women with a BRCA1 mutation who were given cisplatin experienced a complete pathologic response (83%), compared to 14 of 90 patients (16%) treated with other regimens ($P < 0.001$; exact test) [19]. To date, we do not have experience in the use of cisplatin for patients with metastatic breast cancer who had earlier received cisplatin in the adjuvant or neo-adjuvant setting. Studies of ovarian cancer patients might be more informative in this regard. Tan et al. found that patients with ovarian cancer and a BRCA1 mutation often responded to cisplatin on multiple occasions during the course of their treatment [20]. This is in keeping with an earlier study by Cass et al., who reported that women with ovarian cancer and a BRCA1 mutation were more likely than non-carriers to respond well to cisplatin [21]. Swisher et al. documented revertant mutations in four of the six recurrent platinum-resistant ovarian cancers [22]. These secondary mutations restored the reading frame of the BRCA1 protein. We do not have data on the mechanisms of eventual resistance to cisplatinum and it will be of interest to establish whether revertant mutations are also a source of platinum resistance in breast cancer.

In a mouse model which expressed BRCA1 mutations in mammary tissue, Drost et al. found that tumors associated with the C61G mutation had, on average, a poorer response to platinum-based drugs than did mice with tumors that were homozygous null for BRCA1 [23]. There is no evidence in our clinical study that patients with the C61G mutation were less responsive to platinum than were patients with the more common 5382insC mutation.

There are several limitations to this study. This is a relatively small sample of patients ($n = 20$) and they originate from three institutions. Nevertheless, this is among the largest series of BRCA1 carriers with metastatic breast cancer to be studied to date, and the underlying Polish population is relatively homogeneous. We conducted computed tomography (CT) scans after two and six cycles due to the constraints of the institutions and, therefore, it is challenging to accurately assess stable disease, duration of response and time to progression. Ideally, we would have had more frequent scans and we would have assessed disease at 16 weeks as well. However, the data regarding overall survival are perhaps the most striking and this endpoint is straightforward to evaluate. A total of 18 of the 20 patients were treated with subsequent lines of chemotherapy after platinum therapy and, therefore, it is not possible to dissociate the effect of the cis-platinum therapy from that of other drugs.

Conclusions

In conclusion, our data suggest that chemotherapy regimens with cisplatin may benefit patients with metastatic breast cancer and a BRCA1 mutation. These early results are encouraging, but they should be confirmed in a larger randomized controlled trial. Future studies should evaluate the potential of using this therapy for the treatment of hereditary breast cancer due to other genes, including BRCA2. Given that there do not appear to be founder mutations in BRCA2 in Poland, it will be necessary that these studies be conducted in other countries (or provinces) where BRCA2 founder mutations are prevalent (for example, Quebec) [24]. Other agents that target DNA repair deficiency may also prove to be beneficial in this subgroup of patients.
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