Coupled molecular dynamics mediate long- and short-range epistasis between mutations that affect stability and aggregation kinetics
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Multiple mutations are typically required to significantly improve protein stability or aggregation kinetics. However, when several substitutions are made in a single protein, the mutations can potentially interact in a nonadditive manner, resulting in epistatic effects, which can hamper protein-engineering strategies to improve thermostability or aggregation kinetics. Here, we have examined the role of protein dynamics in mediating epistasis between pairs of mutations. With Escherichia coli transketolase (TK) as a model, we explored the epistatic interactions between two single variants H192P and A282P, and also between the double-mutant H192P/A282P and two single variants, I365L or G506A. Epistasis was determined for several measures of protein stability, including the following: the free-energy barrier to kinetic inactivation, ΔΔG‡; thermal transition midpoint temperatures, Tm; and aggregation onset temperatures, Tagg. Nonadditive epistasis was observed between neighboring mutations as expected, but also for distant mutations located in the surface and core regions of different domains. Surprisingly, the epistatic behaviors for each measure of stability were often different for any given pairwise recombination, highlighting that kinetic and thermodynamic stabilities do not always depend on the same structural features. Molecular-dynamics simulations and a pairwise cross-correlation analysis revealed that mutations influence the dynamics of their local environment, but also in some cases the dynamics of regions distant in the structure. This effect was found to mediate epistatic interactions between distant mutations and could therefore be exploited in future protein-engineering strategies.

Proteins and enzymes are increasingly used as therapeutics, as diagnostics, and for industrial biocatalysis. These applications often require function at elevated temperatures or after long-term storage, and so the development of efficient strategies to enhance their stability remains a major goal in protein engineering. High thermal stability is also strongly correlated with expression yield, in vitro half-life, and in vivo serum survival time (1–3). Additionally, thermostable proteins tolerate more mutations than mesophilic ones, which makes them a better starting point in protein engineering (3, 4).

Directed evolution is a powerful strategy for engineering protein stability through the accumulation of beneficial substitutions. The desired property is obtained by screening or selection of a library of randomly mutated variants. However, it is often necessary to screen large numbers of mutants in several rounds of evolution to obtain a desired level of change (5). Where there is no high-throughput screen for the target property, such as aggregation kinetics of purified enzymes, then random mutagenesis approaches become inaccessible. By contrast, smart-library design and semi-rational site-directed mutagenesis has gained popularity due to improved efficiency (6) and improvements in the prediction accuracy with which computational or rational design strategies can propose stabilizing mutations. For example, reconstructed ancestor or consensus sequences from multiple protein sequence alignments can propose mutations based on the hypothesis that they are more thermostable than extant homologs (7, 8). Statistical analysis of protein secondary-structure sequences also found that proline prefers to be at the second position of β-turns (9). The strategy of inserting proline mutations is also well known to stabilize many enzymes (10, 11). Meanwhile, structural information has been critical for computational design methods. Many algorithms apply geometrical or energy constraints when analyzing 3D structures, to optimize the surface charge of proteins, or introduce disulfide bonds that increase protein stability (12, 13). Recently, several computational protein design algorithms have been developed to predict the impact of mutations upon stability to global unfolding, including Rosetta (14), FoldX (15), and SDM (16).

While site-directed mutagenesis is widely used to engineer protein stability, single-point mutations usually contribute relatively little, and multiple mutations are typically required to stabilize large proteins (17). Many mutations contribute independently to fitness, and their collective contributions (ΔAB for A and B) are found to be mathematically additive, where ΔAB = ΔA + ΔB. The contributions of some are affected by mutations made at other sites in the protein, in a phenomenon known as intragenic epistasis (18). Therefore, when mutations that contribute positively on their own (ΔA > 0) are combined into a single protein, two or more mutations often interact in a nonadditive manner. This epistatic behavior can be measured via its effect on various protein properties and can have either positive epistasis, where ΔAB = ΔA + ΔB + X; or negative epistasis (partially additive), where (ΔA and ΔB) < ΔAB < ΔA + ΔB; or reciprocal sign epistasis, where ΔAB < (ΔA or ΔB); negative sign epistasis, where ΔAB < 0 (19–21). Additive effects are most likely when the structural regions influenced by each mutation do not
substantially overlap (22). It is well known that epistasis is most likely for mutated residues that are in direct contact with each other (23, 24). However, epistasis has also been observed between mutations of structurally distant residues, with their effects proposed to be mediated through a network of interactions (25). How such networks mediate epistasis between distant mutations remains poorly understood and thus hampers the development of more effective rational or semirational protein-engineering strategies (26).

Dynamics potentially mediate long-range communication in proteins (27). Several studies have investigated the impact of point mutations upon protein dynamics using NMR (28) or computational algorithms (29, 30), and found that changes in the dynamics due to single point mutations could be frequent, significant, and long-ranged. Most studies have focused on the impact of long-range dynamics on allostery, ligand binding, and the effect of mutations distant from sites normally associated with function (31). However, little is known about the role of dynamics in long-range epistasis between mutations, or their impact on conformational stability, and even less for aggregation kinetics.

With Escherichia coli transketolase (TK) as a model, we investigated how the combination of stabilizing mutations influenced various measures of protein stability, including thermal transition midpoint ($T_m$), aggregation onset temperature ($T_{agg}$), rates of irreversible thermal inactivation at elevated temperature, and the fraction unfolded at that temperature ($f_U$). For each, we explored the additivity for pairs of mutations, compared their structural locations, and investigated their impact on protein flexibility to determine the role of dynamics in epistasis. TK, a thiamine diphosphate-dependent (ThDP) enzyme, catalyses the reversible transfer of a C2-ketol unit from α-xylulose-5-phosphate to either D-ribose-5-phosphate or D-erythrose-4-phosphate in living cells (32, 33). TK is a homodimer of two 70- to 74-kDa monomers, each composed of a pyrophosphate (PP)-binding domain, pyrimidine (Pyr)-binding domain, and a C-terminal domain. A Mg$^{2+}$ or Ca$^{2+}$ ion, and ThDP cofactor binds into each active site formed at the two identical interfaces between the PP and Pyr domains of opposite subunits. TK has considerable industrial biocatalytic potential for the stereospecific synthesis of carbon–carbon bonds in complex carbohydrates and other high-value compounds (34, 35). Use of β-hydroxypyruvate (HPA) as the ketol donor renders the donor-half reaction irreversible, thus increasing the atom efficiency of the reaction favorably for industrial syntheses. E. coli TK converts HPA with a rate of 60 U/mg, significantly higher than the 2 and 9 U/mg reported for its orthologs from spinach and yeast (36).

Directed evolution has expanded the ability of *E. coli* TK to accept a wide range of nonnatural substrates (37–40). However, as a mesophilic enzyme, *E. coli* TK suffers from poor stability at elevated temperatures and extremes of pH, which has hampered its wide application in industrial processes (41). Recently, we constructed mutants focused at different regions of *E. coli* TK to increase its thermostability. First, by mutating residues in the flexible cofactor-binding loops toward those found in *Thermus thermophilus* at equivalent positions, the H192P mutation was found to double the half-life at 60 °C (42, 43). Using the rigidifying flexible sites strategy (44), 49 single mutations were individually targeted to flexible loops on the surface, which led to several more stable variants including D143K, I189H, and A282P. Combining H192P with A282P extended the half-life at 60 °C to triple that of WT (42). In a separate study, consensus mutations were targeted to protein hydrophobic core regions, and six single mutants including I365L, G506A, and V228I showed significantly improved thermostability compared with WT (45).

Here, we created a set of variants along different evolutionary pathways from WT to H192P/A282P/I365L/G506A, based on four individually thermostabilizing single mutations identified previously. H192P and A282P were located 33 Å apart on the surface of the PP-binding domain, whereas I365L and G506A were located 12 Å apart in the hydrophobic core of the Pyr-binding domain, and at least 25 Å from H192P or A282P. The Pyr and PP domains from opposite chains interact strongly with each other in the homodimer, and so mutations in each domain might be expected to influence those in the other through either an interchain or intrachain mechanism. We generated four new variants, I365L/G506A, H192P/A282P/I365L, H192P/A282P/G506A, and H192P/A282P/I365L/G506A, and then investigated the epistatic interactions among the four mutation sites by analyzing the kinetics and free energy of thermal inactivation, $T_m$, $T_{agg}$, and $f_U$, for all variants. Molecular-dynamics (MD) simulations were analyzed using a dynamics cross-correlation matrix to reveal the role of dynamics in mediating the observed epistasis between mutations.

**Results and Discussion**

**Design of Combined Mutations.** Variants H192P, A282P, H192P/A282P, I365L, and G506A were obtained previously from two different strategies in which mutations were targeted to surface loop, and hydrophobic core regions of *E. coli* TK, respectively. Here, we created variants along a set of evolutionary pathways but divided into two steps. The first step combined H192P (A) and A282P (B) into a double mutant, H192P/A282P (AB), as previously, to explore the epistasis between A and B. The second step combined three variants I365L (C), G506A (D), and H192P/A282P (AB) to generate one double, I365L/G506A (CD); two triple, H192P/A282P/I365L (ABC) and H192P/A282P/G506A (ABD); and a quadruple mutant, H192P/A282P/I365L/G506A (ABCD). This enabled us to measure the additive and nonadditive effects from across the eight (=2$^4$) possible variants, combined in six (=3!) possible forward pathways from WT: P1, C-CD-ABCD; P2, C-ABC-ABCD; P3, D-CD-ABCD; P4, D-ABD-ABCD; P5, AB-ABC-ABCD; P6, AB-ABD-ABCD (Fig. 1).

**Comparison of Kinetic and Thermodynamic Stability.** For industrial enzymes, kinetic stability is critical for retaining activity during the time course of the bioconversion at a given operating temperature. The kinetic stability of TK at elevated temperatures relates to the rate of inactivation due to irreversible aggregation,

![Graphical representation of sequence space from WT to the thermostable mutant H192P/A282P/I365L/G506A (ABCD), where each vertex of the cube represents a variant and each edge represents a single mutational step. Each variant was represented by letter(s): A—H192P, B—A282P, C—I365L, D—G506A, AB—H192P/A282P, CD—I365L/G506A, ABC—H192P/A282P/I365L, ABD—H192P/A282P/G506A, and ABCD—H192P/A282P/I365L/G506A.](image-url)
promoted by increased partial unfolding of the native protein upon heating (41). The kinetic stability of TK variants was determined from the activity retained after incubation at 60 °C for 1 h. The combined variants all retained higher activities than the WT and respective single-mutant parents. The quadruple mutant, H192P/A282P/I365L/G506A, retained 66.2% activity after incubation at 60 °C for 1 h, representing a 10.2-fold improvement over WT (Fig. 2A). To probe the kinetic stabilities of the TK combined variants in more detail, we measured their half-lives, \( t_{1/2} \), for loss of enzyme activity at 60 °C, by incubating them at 60 °C for different periods of time (Fig. 2B and SI Appendix, Fig. S1). Whereas, in previous work, inactivation profiles were fit with less accuracy to single-exponential decays (42, 43), giving longer estimates of half-lives, the current analysis revealed better fits to a second-order reaction equation. The half-lives for WT, H192P, A282P, and H192P/A282P were now determined as 4, 15.2, 7.7, and 19.3 min, respectively (Table 1). All three newly combined variants shown in Fig. 2B deactivated more slowly than both WT and H192P/A282P, indicating an increased resistance to high temperature. The two triple-mutant variants, H192P/A282P/I365L and H192P/A282P/G506A, had similar half-lives, 50.6 and 53.2 min, respectively (Table 1). The quadruple variant, H192P/A282P/I365L/G506A, had the highest half-life of 82.5 min, representing a 21-fold improvement over that of WT (4 min). Enzyme kinetic studies revealed that H192P/A282P/I365L, H192P/A282P/G506A, and H192P/A282P/I365L/G506A did not appear to impact significantly on the kinetic parameters \( k_{cat} \) and \( K_m \), indicating their improved potential to be used for biocatalysis (SI Appendix, Table S1).

The quadruple-variant and WT TK were also incubated at different temperatures from 22 to 65 °C for 15 min, and the retained activities measured after cooling to 22 °C were calculated relative to those incubated throughout at 22 °C. No significant differences in residual activity were observed with incubations below 50 °C (Fig. 2C). However, incubation at 57 °C reduced the activity of WT 71.7%, whereas the quadruple variant
Table 1. Thermal stabilities of WT and mutant TKs

| TK                  | $T_{\text{app}}$ °C | $T_m$ °C | $\Delta S_{\text{upr}}$ kcal·mol$^{-1}$·K$^{-1}$ | $f_{60}$ | $k_d \times 10^3$ s$^{-1}·$min$^{-1}$ | $t_{1/2}$, min | $T_{S0}^{15}$ °C |
|---------------------|---------------------|----------|---------------------------------------------|--------|-------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| WT                  | 66.7 (0.07)*        | 65.7 (0.04) | 0.23 (0.012)                              | 0.12 (0.01) | 2.80 (0.04)                           | 4.0 (0.3)      | 58.5 (0.4)      |
| H192P               | 66.2 (0.2)          | 66.5 (0.1)   | 0.32 (0.03)                              | 0.049 (0.009) | 0.66 (0.05)                           | 15.2 (1.2)     | ND              |
| A282P               | 65.9 (0.3)          | 66.3 (0.2)   | 0.25 (0.03)                              | 0.088 (0.004) | 1.29 (0.03)                           | 7.7 (0.2)      | ND              |
| I365L               | 66.9 (0.2)          | 67.1 (0.1)   | 0.25 (0.04)                              | 0.082 (0.008) | ND                                   | ND             | ND              |
| G506A               | 66.6 (0.4)          | 66.7 (0.08)  | 0.22 (0.002)                              | 0.08 (0.009) | ND                                   | ND             | ND              |
| I365L/G506A         | 66.8 (0.3)          | 67.2 (0.5)   | 0.078 (0.01)                              | 0.24 (0.03) | ND                                   | ND             | ND              |
| H192P/A282P         | 66.6 (0.1)          | 67.4 (0.3)   | 0.19 (0.013)                              | 0.11 (0.01) | 0.54 (0.06)                           | 19.3 (1.3)     | ND              |
| H192P/A282P/I365L   | 68.1 (0.3)          | 68.6 (0.4)   | 0.13 (0.01)                              | 0.13 (0.02) | 0.20 (0.02)                           | 50.6 (3.3)     | ND              |
| H192P/A282P/G506A   | 67.6 (0.05)         | 68.1 (0.3)   | 0.19 (0.003)                              | 0.043 (0.007) | 0.19 (0.02)                           | 53.2 (3.5)     | ND              |
| H192P/A282P/I365L/G506A | 69.3 (0.5)   | 68.9 (0.4)   | 0.17 (0.007)                              | 0.11 (0.02) | 0.12 (0.01)                           | 82.5 (5.7)     | 61.0 (0.5)      |

ND, not determined.

*SEMs were calculated from triplicate experiments and listed in parentheses.

The heat-induced kinetic inactivation of TK proceeds through a second-order reaction, which implies an interaction between at least two molecules, consistent with the observation of aggregation as the end product. Aggregation could proceed through a number of potential mechanisms, including the following: (i) molecular reorganization after interaction of native states; (ii) partial local unfolding of native states before interaction; or (iii) global unfolding before interaction. Thermodynamic stability, as measured by $T_m$ and more specifically by $f_{60}$ can reveal the extent to which global unfolding is important in inactivation by aggregation (47). The deactivation rate constants at 60 °C, expressed as ln($k_d$), are shown in Table 1. $T_m$ gave a good linear correlation to the $S^2\%$ of 0.93 (SI Appendix, Fig. S4), indicating a clear link between kinetic and thermodynamic stability. By contrast, the correlation between $f_{60}$ and ln($k_d$) was poor (SI Appendix, Fig. S3B), indicating that global unfolding was not the only factor to influence inactivation by aggregation, and likely also involved the decoupling of local unfolding events that manifested as changes in $\Delta S_{\text{upr}}$. In particular, the inactivation rate at 60 °C for H192P/A282P/I365L and H192P/A282P/I365L/G506A decreased significantly, while their fraction unfolded remained at 11–13%, similar to those of H192P/A282P and WT. These two variants had the lowest $\Delta S_{\text{upr}}$ values and also the highest $T_m$ values of those tested kinetically (Table 1), suggesting that the I365L mutation in particular led to selective stabilization of at least one structural feature that also had a particularly strong stabilizing influence on the inactivation rate.

Analysis of Epistatic Interactions Between Mutations. Epistatic interactions between mutations were evaluated for kinetic deactivation ($\Delta G^+$) based on the activities retained after heat treatment at 60 °C for 1 h, and also for changes in thermodynamic stabilities, $\Delta T_m$ and $\Delta T_{\text{upr}}$. Fitness landscapes containing the two mutagenic pathways from WT to H192P/A282P (AB), and the six further mutagenic pathways that formed the quadruple-mutant H192P/A282P/I365L/G506A (ABCD), were constructed for all three properties. All pathways were favorable in all three properties, with no local minima due to sign epistasis or reciprocal sign epistasis (SI Appendix, Fig. S4). The epistatic interactions between mutations were quantified using Eq. 6 to determine any positive, negative (partially additive), sign, or reciprocal sign epistasis, as
shown for $\Delta G^\ddagger$, $\Delta T_m^\ddagger$, and $\Delta T_{agg}^\ddagger$ in Fig. 3 A–C, respectively. H192P (A) and A282P (B) were located on the surface of the PP-domain (2–322 aa) but were 33 Å apart (SI Appendix, Fig. S5). Despite this long distance, they showed a strong negative epistasis for $\Delta G^G$, a moderately positive epistasis for $\Delta T_m^G$, and an additive effect for $\Delta T_{agg}^G$. The two single variants, I365L (C) and G506A (D), were located in the Pyr domain (323–539 aa). The $\Delta G^G$ of the double mutant, CD (4.88 kJ·mol$^{-1}$), was higher than the 4.09 kJ·mol$^{-1}$ expected from additivity between I365L (C) and G506A (D), indicating a moderately positive epistasis (Fig. 3A).

The combination of the PP-domain mutations in H192P/A282P (AB), with core mutant (C) to form variant ABC, gave an observed $\Delta G^G$ of 7.21 kJ·mol$^{-1}$, with 8.97 kJ·mol$^{-1}$ expected from additive effects, indicating negative epistasis between AB and C. Variant ABD gave the $\Delta G^G$ expected from the additive effects of G506A (D) and H192P/A282P (AB). The final variant ABCD was formed via three combinations, ABC+D, CD+AB, and ABD+C. The observed $\Delta G^G$ for ABD was 9.30 kJ·mol$^{-1}$, which was as expected for ABC+D (9.01 ± 0.3 kJ·mol$^{-1}$), but lower than the expected values for CD+AB (11.34 kJ·mol$^{-1}$) and ABD+C (10.19 kJ·mol$^{-1}$), consistent with the negative epistasis found above for AB+C (Fig. 3A).

Improvements in $T_m$ and $T_{agg}$ upon combination of I365L (C) and G506A (D) were both negatively epistatic, in contrast to the moderately positive epistasis observed for $\Delta G^G$ in Fig. 3A. The different types of epistasis observed for $\Delta G^G$ and thermodynamic ($\Delta T_m^G$) and epistatic ($\Delta T_{agg}^G$) stabilities of C+D fits with the observation that kinetic and thermodynamic stabilities were correlated, but that global unfolding was not the only factor to influence kinetic inactivation. I365L and G506A were only 12 Å apart within the same hydrophobic core of the Pyr domain (SI Appendix, Fig. S5) and packed onto opposite faces of the indole ring of W503 (SI Appendix, Fig. S6). This indirect structural interaction could readily mediate their negative epistasis in $\Delta T_m$ and $\Delta T_{agg}$ and also their positive epistasis in $\Delta G^G$. The combination of D+AB showed additive effects for $\Delta T_m$ and $\Delta T_{agg}$ consistent with $\Delta G^G$ (Fig. 3). This was expected given that the two mutations in H192P/A282P (AB) were each located on the surface of the PP-domain, and nearly 50 Å away from the single mutation G506A (D) in the hydrophobic core of the Pyr domain (SI Appendix, Fig. S5). The combination of C+AB showed additive effects for $\Delta T_m$ and $\Delta T_{agg}$ again in contrast to $\Delta G^G$, which was negatively epistatic.

The three possible final combination steps leading to ABCD showed distinctly different epistatic effects for $\Delta G^G$, $\Delta T_m$, and $\Delta T_{agg}$. As described above, for $\Delta G^G$, the combination of I365L (C) and H192P/A282P (AB) in any context (C+AB, CD+AB, C+ABD) was negatively epistatic. By contrast, for $\Delta T_m$ negative epistasis was observed, but now for the combination of I365L (C) with G506A (D) in any context (C+D, C+ABD, ABC+D). Finally, for $\Delta T_{agg}$ while C+D was negatively epistatic, and C+AB was additive, the combination of C and AB at the final step was positively epistatic, particularly for CD+AB. For the pathway to the quadruple mutant, ABCD, all epistatic effects involved I365L (C) and either AB or D, but never occurred specifically between AB and D. As discussed above, the I365L mutation, in the presence of H192P/A282P, also appeared to have a particularly strong stabilizing influence on the inactivation rate, and this contributed to the epistatic effect found between AB+C, AB+CD, and AB+D. For example, the selective stabilization by I365L of an aggregation-prone motif in the quadruple mutant (ABCD) could lead to the positive epistasis in $\Delta T_{agg}$ while remaining additive for $\Delta T_m$.

Cross-Correlations Between Dynamics of Local Regions Mediate Epistatic Interactions. To understand how the mutations interacted to produce both short- and long-range epistasis, we investigated and compared the flexibilities of the WT, H192P, A282P, H192P/A282P, I365L, H192P/A282P/G506A, H192P/A282P/I365L, and the quadruple mutant, H192P/A282P/I365L/G506A, using MD simulations at 370 K. Root-mean-square fluctuation (RMSF) values for each residue indicated a complex interdependence between the dynamics around each mutation, whereby mutations changed their local dynamics, but also sometimes altered the dynamics of another motif (23, 24). For example, the WT TK at 370 K, the PP-binding domain and the C-terminal domain were found to be more flexible by RMSF than the Pyr-binding domain (42). Compared with WT, H192P/A282P had lower flexibility in the PP domain, indicating local stabilization by H192P and A282P mutations (Fig. 4), but increased flexibility in the Pyr domain where residue I365 was located. The introduction of I365 into H192P/A282P led to decreased flexibility around the I365 mutation as expected, but also decreased flexibility within the neighboring C-terminal domain, and conversely increased the flexibility around H192P and A282P. Assuming that increased flexibility at high temperature is correlated with low stability, then this complex interaction between the flexibility of each mutated region would explain the epistasis observed between even distant mutations, such as between I365L and H192P/A282P.

![Fig. 3. Analysis of epistatic interactions among all of the point mutations based on kinetic and thermodynamic stability. Quantitative analysis of epistatic interactions among the mutations with the $\Delta G^G$ as the fitness A, with the $\Delta T_m$ as the fitness B, and with the $\Delta T_{agg}$ as the fitness C.](https://example.com/fig3.png)
Dynamics Cross-Correlations Were Consistent Across Variants. Networks of interactions have been hypothesized to underpin long-range epistasis between mutations, while protein dynamics are known to mediate long-range allostery (25, 27, 29, 30). We therefore computed dynamics cross-correlation matrices (DCCMs) for the WT and variants. Pairwise cross-correlation coefficients ($C_{ij}$) indicate the extent to which the fluctuation of an atom is correlated (or anticorrelated) with one other atom, and dynamics cross-correlation maps show the correlation coefficients ($C_{ij}$) between all $C_{ij}$ atom pairs. Most cross-correlations were between structural neighbors (Fig. 5 and SI Appendix, Fig. S7), in agreement with the previous observation that cross-correlations decreased with distance (48). Cross-correlations were weak between atoms of the two different monomers, but strong cross-correlations were observed between certain regions within the same monomer. Therefore, we averaged the coefficients from the two chains and investigated the dynamics correlations within the same monomer (Fig. 5). The dynamics of most regions of structure were not correlated to any other region, as seen from significant areas of white space in the DCCM maps. Therefore, any pairwise correlations between distant regions represented an unusual coupling. The locations for correlated dynamics were largely consistent between the WT and variants, such that the mutations did not usually create or remove correlations, although some variants had more anticorrelated zones than the WT (Fig. 5). This indicated that while the mutations modified the RMSF in local and correlated regions, they did not cause any significant disruption to structure or in the networks of interactions linking the mutated regions.

Pairs of regions with significantly correlated dynamics included between 325–375 and 480–530 aa, between 100–230 and 360–425 aa, and between 0–100 and 240–320 aa. A strong anticorrelation (indicating correlated movement but in opposite directions) was also found between 270–300 and 190–210 aa. Apart from at 0–100 aa, these regions coincided with the four mutation sites, and so the cross-correlated dynamics linked several pairs of mutations (Fig. 6). Specifically, correlation between 325–375 and 480–530 aa linked I365L and G506A, while that between 100–230 and 360–425 aa linked H192P and I365L. The anticorrelation between 270–300 and 190–210 aa linked H192P and A282P. These observations suggest that, while each mutation could modify the local dynamics (RMSF), they could also then alter the dynamics of those regions that were correlated with it. As a result, this would alter the stabilizing impact of a second mutation within that correlated region, if its dynamics were already changed by the presence of the first mutation. This is the basis by which the correlated dynamics between two regions could mediate epistatic interactions between mutations designed to decrease their local flexibilities based upon the WT structure.

The mutations tended to cause significant changes in RMSF ($\Delta$RMSF) only within regions that were strongly correlated to the mutation site via dynamics. A plot of $C_{ij}$ against the $\Delta$RMSF in all residues resulting from each mutation showed that (i) most residues do not have dynamics that are strongly correlated with the mutation sites, (ii) significant $\Delta$RMSF (>0.2 or less than −0.2) mostly occurs in regions with a strong dynamics correlation coefficient (>0.2) with the mutation site, and (iii) the absolute strength and direction of $\Delta$RMSF were not predictable from the pairwise correlation coefficients for the dynamics (SI Appendix, Fig. S8). This demonstrates that the correlated dynamics between two regions manifest via specific networks of interactions that induce changes in RMSF within the paired second region, upon mutation within the first region. The link between dynamics correlation and epistasis for several pairs of mutations is described in detail below. By way of example, we examined the impact of each mutation on the local RMSF at only the four mutation sites. This articulates how coupled changes in local RMSF can mediate epistasis between the mutations. Although changes in flexibility in these local regions are expected to contribute to changes in $\Delta T_{m}^{\alpha}, \Delta T_{ag}^{\alpha}$, and $\Delta G^{\Delta}$, these stability parameters would also depend on the RMSF from other regions of the protein, in a complex manner. Also, the underlying epistasis on local RMSF may manifest in different ways for $\Delta T_{m}^{\alpha}, \Delta T_{ag}^{\alpha}$ and $\Delta G^{\Delta}$. Therefore, we did not attempt to determine any precise relationship between these and RMSF.

Dynamics Correlation Between H192P (A) and A282P (B). The dynamics around H192P were strongly anticorrelated with those around A282P in the WT (Fig. 5). Anticorrelation simply means that atomic motions are correlated such that they move in phase, but in opposite directions (47). The relationship between dynamics correlation and epistasis for the local RMSF at the four mutation sites is outlined schematically in Fig. 6d, for the interaction between H192P and A282P. The observed anticorrelation between the local
regions around His192 and Ala282 is consistent with the observation that the H192P mutation decreased its local flexibility as anticipated and yet also increased that around A282P, even though it was 33 Å away (Fig. 4 and SI Appendix, Fig. S5). By contrast, the A282P mutation decreased its local flexibility and yet also increased that around H192P (Fig. 4). Clearly, this would alter the ability of the H192P or A282P to impart the same stabilizing effects locally within WT, A282P, or H192P, and explains the negative epistasis on $\Delta \Delta G^2$ between H192P and A282P. In both H192P and A282P, the RMSF increased around Ile365 and decreased around Gly506 (Fig. 4), even though the dynamics of His192 were correlated only to those of Ala282 and Ile365, but not to those around Gly506 (Fig. 5). When H192P/A282P was generated from WT, the regions around both Ile365 and Gly506 showed increased flexibility (Fig. 4). As depicted in Fig. 6, the correlations formed a linear network from Ala282 to His192, then on to I365, and finally to G506. Ile365 therefore connected H192P to Gly506 indirectly, consistent with its position in the monomer structure between these two sites. For the total aggregated impact in the four local regions around the mutations (Fig. 6A), there was a negative epistasis between H192P and A282P on $\Delta$RMSF.

Dynamics Correlation Between I365L (C) and G506A (D). A strong cross-correlation was found between the regions containing Ile365 and Gly506, with a correlation coefficient higher than 0.3 for the WT and all variants (Fig. 5). They are located 12 Å apart in the structure on opposite edges of the indole ring of residue W503 (SI Appendix, Figs. S5 and S6). This short network of interactions would readily mediate the correlated dynamics and the epistasis observed between I365L and G506A for all measures of stability, except the $T_m$ within H192P/A282P. The relationship between dynamics correlation and epistasis for local RMSF is outlined schematically in Fig. 6B for the interaction between I365L and G506A within H192P/A282P by way of example. The G506A mutation was locally stabilizing in H192P/A282P, with decreased RMSF in the local region. This led to stabilization at Ile365, then destabilization of H192P and A282P, through the chain of pairwise correlated dynamics between Gly506 and Ile365, Ile365 and H192P, and finally H192P and A282P. Thus, G506A led to a net increase in the RMSF (approximately +0.3 on a normalized scale) aggregated over the four mutation sites (Fig. 6B). When the I365L mutation was introduced into H192P/A282P, the RMSF around I365L decreased (Figs. 4 and 6B). Correlated dynamics between Ile365 and Gly506 resulted in decreased RMSF around Gly506. Simultaneously, the correlated dynamics between Ile365 and His192 increased the RMSF around H192P, which in turn increased the RMSF at A282P through their anticorrelation. Overall, I365L led to a net increase in the RMSF of approximately +0.1 across the four mutation sites. Both of the single mutations above decreased their own local RMSF but also altered that of other regions coupled by their dynamics. This changes the local baseline RMSF into which the second mutation is made, and therefore leads to epistasis. For example, when G506A was introduced into H192P/A282P/I365L, Gly506 had already been partially stabilized by I365L through their correlated dynamics, and so G506A had only a small impact on the magnitude of the local RMSF. However, the chain of correlated dynamics resulted in increased RMSF at I365L, and decreased RMSF at H192P/A282P, as a result of the G506A mutation. The total $\Delta$RMSF across all four sites was approximately ~0.1, which therefore revealed reciprocal sign epistasis between G506A and I365L. For comparison, these mutations exhibited additivity for $\Delta T_m$ negative epistasis for $\Delta \Delta G$, and positive epistasis for $\Delta T_m$. This reemphasizes that the type of epistasis observed for different properties from a given mutational pair are not necessarily the same.

Dynamics Correlation Between H192P/A282P (AB) and I365L (C). Ile365 is located 25 and 46 Å from His192 and Ala282, respectively (SI Appendix, Fig. S5). In this case, only the coupling of dynamics through a long network of interactions could be expected to cause the observed negative epistasis for $\Delta \Delta G$ and $\Delta T_m$ and positive epistasis for $\Delta T_m$, between I365L and H192P/A282P. The relationship between dynamics correlation and epistasis for local RMSF is outlined schematically in Fig. 6C for the interaction between I365L and H192P/A282P. As above, H192P/A282P were locally stabilizing but led to increased RMSF at both Ile365 and Gly506 (Fig. 4), via the network of correlated
dynamics that linked His192 to Ile365, and Ile365 to Gly506 (Fig. 6C). Overall, H192P/A282P gave no net change in the local RMSF across the four mutation sites. The I365L mutation decreased the local RMSF when introduced into WT, which then led to stabilization at Gly506, and destabilization around His192 and A282, through their respective pairwise correlated dynamics to I365L. Thus, I365L also gave no net change in local RMSF across the four mutation sites. By contrast, the introduction of H192P/A282P into I365L decreased the RMSF around H192P but induced an RMSF increase around I365L and then Gly506 due to their correlated dynamics, giving a net RMSF increase of approximately +0.2 across the four mutation sites. Therefore, the interaction between H192P/A282P and I365L resulted in positive epistasis for the local ΔRMSF, as a result of coupling between the dynamics of the four local regions. Specifically, the destabilization of H192 and A282 by the distant I365L mutation could no longer be fully rescued by the H192P and A282P mutations, compared with the degree of stabilization that they achieved within WT.

**Insights for the H192P/A282P/I365L/G506A Variant.** A positive epistatic behavior in $T_{agg}$ was found between I365L/G506A and H192P/A282P. In contrast to all other variants, the $T_{agg}$ of the
quadruple mutant, H192P/A282P/I365L/G506A, was higher than its $T_{m}$, implying that more unfolding could occur before aggregation was observed. This indicated the selective stabilization of a region of structure required to unfold before aggregation. The MD simulations at high temperature indicated that a remote fragment D81-K96 was rigidified in the quadruple mutant (SI Appendix, Fig. S9). The DCCM analysis revealed a strong correlation between this fragment and the region around the A282P mutation, which provided a long-range mechanism through which the mutation could have stabilized the fragment (Fig. S9). This fragment was close to an aggregation hot spot, predicted by three different algorithms (SI Appendix, Fig. S9).

Stabilization of this region could therefore decrease the propensity of H192P/A282P/I365L/G506A to aggregate, and explain the unusually high $T_{agg}$.

Concluding Remarks. In this work, we explored the epistatic interaction between the mutations H192P and A282P, located on the surface of the PP domain, and then between the double-mutant H192P/A282P and two single mutations, I365L and G506A, located distantly in the core region of the Pyr domain. Surprisingly, not all pairwise effects between distant mutations from the surface and core regions of different domains were additive. This study has identified and characterized MD that mediated long-range epistatic interactions between mutations for various measures of protein stability. We found that the protein dynamics between the four mutations sites were correlated via a network of interactions that then mediated the observed long-range epistasis. These effects have the potential to be exploited for developing improved protein-engineering strategies. For example, the strategy of rigidifying flexible sites has been proven to be a powerful method to improve the stability of enzymes. When combining mutations that independently improve protein stability, an absence of epistatic interactions might be preferred as this would lead to predictable increases in performance. As mutations with correlated dynamics could potentially interact with each other, protein-engineering strategies could consider combining only those mutations in regions that have no cross-correlated dynamics to maximize the likelihood of additive improvements. Alternatively, experimental and computational protein-engineering approaches may also benefit from deliberately identifying cross-correlated sites. Targeting mutagenic libraries to two or more of these sites simultaneously has the potential to exploit positive epistasis and to rapidly evolve stability via a small number of residues that form a critical network.

Methods

Site-Directed Mutagenesis, Overexpression, and Purification of Enzymes. Primers were designed using the web-based QuickChange Primer Design Program (https://www.agilent.com/genomics/qcpd). Site-directed mutagenesis of tktA in plasmid pOR791 (46), overexpression, and purification of enzymes were carried out as in ref. 42. Protein concentration was measured using the Bradford method (49) and OD280 measurements, independently.

Temperature Inactivation of Holo-TK. Thermal inactivation was measured as in ref. 42. $T_{50}^\circ$, the temperature required to reduce the initial enzyme activity by 50% within 15 min, was measured by placing 100 μL of enzymes at various temperatures, from 22 to 65 °C, for 15 min. $T_{50}^\circ$ was determined from the inflection point of residual activities vs. temperature using a sigmoidal Boltzmann fit in OriginPro 9.0 (50). The half-life of enzyme activity was measured by placing 100 μL of enzymes at 60 °C. Samples were removed at different times and then cooled to 22 °C. Second-order thermal deactivation was fitted to Eq. 1, where $A_{0}$ is the activity at time $t$ of the heat treatment, $A_{0}$ is the initial activity before heat treatment, and $k$ is the inactivation rate constant. Half-life ($t_{1/2}$) at 60 °C was calculated as $t_{1/2} = 1/(100 \times k)$. Retained activity (RA) after time $t$ of heating was calculated as $A_{RA}/A_{0}$.

$$
\frac{1}{A_{RA}} = \frac{1}{A_{0}} + kt.
$$

[1]

Enzyme Kinetics. Kinetic parameters were obtained at saturating 50 mM Li-HPA and 4–80 mM glycolaldehyde as in ref. 42.

Thermal Transition Midpoint ($T_{m}$) and Aggregation Onset Temperature ($T_{agg}$). Intrinsic protein fluorescence (266-nm excitation, 280- to 450-nm emission scan) and static light scattering (SLS) at 266 and 473 nm, were measured simultaneously with a UNit (Unchained Laboratories) at every 1 °C for 30–90 °C after 30-s equilibration at each temperature. Microcuvettes were loaded with 9 μL of 0.1 mg/mL samples in triplicate. $T_{m}$ was determined from SLS counts at 266 nm using the instrument software. Fluorescence intensity ratio at 350 nm; $T_{agg}$ vs. temperature was fitted to a two-state transition model using Eq. 2 (51, 52) in OriginPro 9.0 (Origin Lab Corporation):

$$
h = \frac{l_{1} + aT + (l_{2} + bT) \exp \left[ \frac{(m_{1} - \frac{1}{2})}{m_{2}} \right]}{1 + \exp \left[ \frac{(m_{1} - \frac{1}{2})}{m_{2}} \right]},
$$

where $h_{i}$ is the observed signal; $l_{1}$ and $l_{2}$ the native and denatured baseline intercepts; $a$ and $b$, the native and denatured baseline slopes; $T$, the temperature; $\Delta S_{m}$ the van't Hoff enthalpy; $R$, the gas constant (1.987 cal·mol⁻¹·K⁻¹); and $T_{m}$, the thermal transition midpoint. The van’t Hoff entropy was calculated using Eq. 3, and the mole-fraction, $f_{i}$, of unfolded protein at any temperature $T$ was calculated from Eq. 4:

$$
\Delta S_{m} = \frac{\Delta H_{m}}{T_{m}}
$$

[3]

$$
f_{i} = \frac{\exp \left[ \frac{\Delta H_{m}}{RT} \right]}{1 + \exp \left[ \frac{\Delta H_{m}}{RT} \right]},
$$

[4]

Analysis of Epistatic Interactions Between Mutations. Epistasis was quantified using Eq. 5 (53), where $\Delta G(X), \Delta G(Y)$, and $\Delta G(X,Y)$ are changes in free energy relative to WT for single mutations X and Y, and double mutant XY, respectively. $\Delta G$ is the coupling energy for interaction between X and Y:

$$
\Delta G(X,Y) = \Delta G(X) + \Delta G(Y) + \Delta G_{i}.
$$

[5]

The change in free energy of inactivation relative to WT was calculated from Eq. 6, where $RA_{variant}$ and $RA_{WT}$ are the activities retained for the variant and WT after the same heat treatment, and $A_{0}$ = 100%:

$$
\Delta G_{i} = RT \ln \left[ \frac{1 - RA_{variant}}{1 - RA_{WT}} \right] = RT_{agg} = RT_{agg}.
$$

[6]

Epistatic interactions between mutations X and Y were quantified for $T_{m}$ and $T_{agg}$ using Eq. 7, and $\Delta T_{m}$, $\Delta T_{agg}$, and $\Delta T_{m,Y}$. For single mutants X and Y, and double mutant, respectively. $\Delta T_{m}$ is the epistatic interaction between X and Y:

$$
\Delta T_{m,Y} = \Delta T_{m,X} + \Delta T_{m,Y}.
$$

[7]

MD Simulations. MD simulations of WT TK (Protein Data Bank ID code 1QGD) and variants constructed with the Pymol Mutagenesis Wizard (Schrödinger) were carried out in triplicate at 370 K using Gromacs, version 5.0, exactly as in ref. 42. RMSFs were calculated using the last 10-ns trajectory for analysis of local flexibility.

Dynamics Cross-Correlation Map. DCCMs were computed using Bio3D (54, 55). Trajectories were analyzed via an analysis in OriginPro9.0.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We thank Prof. John Ward for constructing the TK plasmid pOR771. The last-10 ns trajectory from MD simulation was saved at every 10 ps and converted to a dcd file type with the VMD plugin CatDCD (56), input to Bio3D, and the C atoms selected for calculating the correlation coefficients. Dynamics correlation matrices were averaged from triplicate trajectories and visualized using OriginPro9.0.
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