Wheat yield scenarios for rainwater harvesting at Northern Sinjar Mountain, Iraq
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ABSTRACT

Iraq is part of West Asia and North Africa (WANA) region. The area is known as dry land, famous with gap of crop yield as a result of the water shortage problem. Six basins with total catchment area of 614.19 km² at rain-fed of Northern Sinjar District (Iraq) had been chosen to investigate both of the potential of rainwater harvesting (RWH) and three supplemental irrigation (SI) scenarios S1, S2, and S3 (100%, 75%, and 50% of full irrigation requirement) to support the wheat yield (bread and durum) under various rainfall conditions for the study period 1990-2009. The results indicated that, the total volume of harvested runoff can be considered for irrigation practices, that reached up to 42.4, 25.1, 0.6, 10.9 (× 10^6 m³) during 1995-1996, 1996-1997, 1998-1999, and 2001-2002, respectively. The total irrigated area ranged between 10.9 - 5163.7 and 8.8 - 3595.7 (ha) for bread and durum wheat crop for the four selected seasons respectively. The yield scenarios for supplemental irrigation condition Y1, Y2, and Y3 give 68 - 9712, 94 - 12,999, and 105 - 22,806 Ton for bread wheat, and for durum wheat give 56 - 8035, 87 - 10,906, and 103 - 17,396 Ton.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Dry land covers 95% of the total area of West Asia and North Africa (WANA region), where Iraq is located. Climate of the area is of Mediterranean-type. It is characterized by cold and rainy winters and temperate dry summers, with low rainfall amounts and limited renewable water resources in additional to summer drought period [1]. Two-thirds of global food production is provided by rain-fed land that represents about 80% of the world’s agricultural land, certainly with higher risks in rain-fed agriculture due to water limitation [2].

In rain-fed land, rainfall is the principal source of water for rain-fed crops. In semi arid region rainfall is not enough for economic crop growth therefore supplementary irrigation is used when rainfall fails to satisfy suitable soil moisture conditions for the crop [3], in case there isn’t available water for irrigation processes.

The amount of irrigation water through these processes cannot alone support economic crop production. Furthermore, the scheduling as well as water quantity for supplemental irrigation (SI) cannot be determined in advance due to uncertainty of rainfall [4].

At WANA region, the rainfall is widely fluctuating [5]. Therefore, rain-fed land in this region requires effective technique in terms of saving significant runoff water for irrigation purposes and this might be achieved by rainwater harvesting (RWH) technique.

Some researchers [6,7] have mentioned that there is no specific definition that is generally accepted to illustrate RWH, and others gave wide range for RWH definition with specific details, where they defined RWH as “a method for inducing, collecting, storing, and conserving local surface runoff for agriculture in arid and semi-arid regions” [8,9]. It is believed that the work cited by Boers [9] comprehensively defines RWH. RWH can also be defined as “the collection of runoff and its use for the irrigation of crops, pastures and trees, and for livestock consumption” [10]. The simplest definition that covers RWH content might be “the collection of runoff for its productive use” as given by Siegert, [11], who well documented some basic principles for planning, design and monitoring water harvesting for improved agricultural production.

Prinz [6] summarized six different forms of RWH according to the location, function and size of catchment...
area as follow: (1) Roof Top RWH, (2) RWH for Animal Consumption, (3) Inter-Row RWH, (4) Micro-catchment RWH, (5) Medium-sized Catchment RWH and (6) Large Catchment RWH (Macro-catchment).

As the result of the inability to take advantage of the groundwater and rivers in dry areas, the common purpose of above types of RWH is to generate a new water source for the region to ensure continuous access to the water for human, different kind of crops, and animals.

Thus, the productivity of the rainwater can be significantly improved by applying a specific technique such as Macro RWH, based on availability of a surface reservoir. By this technique the excess rainwater (runoff) is stored in small reservoirs of small earth dams with different sizes to be supplied later when required to satisfy the crops requirements. This will increase the productivity of the agricultural land especially when it is combined with specific irrigation system, such as supplemental (SI) and deficit irrigation (DI) [12-14].

RWH had been practiced in dry land that has annual rainfall of 100 - 300 mm, where it is not enough for crops growth to balance potential evapotranspiration (ET) of crops [1].

There are three important technologies to improve water productivity and the management of scarce water resources: first, supplemental irrigation (SI), second, water harvesting (WH), third, improving crop water productivity, such as improved germplasm, fertility and cultural practices [5].

There are several factors affecting the crop yield, and the water quantity and nutrients are the most important [4].

One of the primary ways to enhance rain-fed agricultural production is to make more effective use of the rainfall, i.e. using water harvesting. It increases the volume of water per unit cropped area, reduces dry effect and increases the productivity of rainwater [15].

There is inconsistency in agricultural production in arid and semi-arid region that has a fluctuated agricultural process as the result of fluctuation in rainfall.

As a result, developing countries are, internally, food insecure due to a complex interaction between climatic and human factors. Although dry lands are experiencing significant challenges, their productivity can be increased, and it can produce enough food to sustain livelihoods [16].

For the period of 2015-2030, it was estimated that 80 percent of the required increase in food production (yield increases) had to come from higher cropping intensities [17].

In order to guarantee food and water security in dry land, some factors should be taken into consideration, especially, deficits factors for each of water, knowledge and investment for development of agricultural research, in addition to yield gap [18].

Some countries of WANA region like Turkey, Iran, Iraq, Syria and Saudi Arabia, produce about 95 percent of the wheat in West Asia, the production largely fluctuates where wheat yield ranges between 0.7 tones/ha (Iraq) to 4.5 tones/ha (Saudi Arabia), due to highly erratic rainfall causes substantial fluctuations in crop yield [19].

In Iraq, the production of wheat does not constitute more than one-third of the required supply quantities of this crop annually [20]. Adary et al., 2002 [21], investigate wheat yield under supplemental irrigation (SI) in Iraq. They stated that, both yield and water productivity increased using SI in conjunction with rainfall, by using only 68 mm of irrigation water for rain fed wheat, and the yield increased in one season from 2.16 T/ha to 4.61 T/ha i.e. more than 100%.

In Northern Iraq, 284 farms were used to study the impact of SI on the wheat yield. The results showed that SI increased wheat yield by 100% [22].

In Iraq, the production and consumption of wheat crop are not equally distributed across the country. The major wheat quantities, that are available for consumption, come from outside Iraq.

Iraqi Central Statistics office of Ministry Planning [20] studied the wheat yield in Iraq for the period 2002-2010 and concluded that, in some years there is a substantial decrease in the yield in rain-fed land, mainly, because of the limited rainfall through these years. This requires a commensurate agricultural policy and affecting production data, works toward the expansion of artificial wells in addition to the development and establishment of new irrigation projects, and uses of some techniques that will contribute to the formation of new water sources such as RWH.

The main objective of this research is to test rainwater harvesting technique for wheat crop yield production in dry rain-fed farms at Sinjar district, north west of Iraq, using wheat crop yield-water relationship that conducted by International Center for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas (ICARDA) [23]. Three scenarios of supplemental irrigation (100%, 75% and 50%) of full irrigation requirements with, various rainfall conditions were used. Furthermore two types of wheat (bread and durum) were considered.

2. STUDY AREA

Northern Sinjar District (Figure 1) is located within Nineveh province in northwest Iraq, near the international Iraq-Syria borders. Sinjar district is characterized by its semi-arid climate, where rainfall totals are low with an uneven distribution. Rain water is the main source for agricultural practices in Sinjar area. The average annual rainfall is about 286.7 mm for the study period 1990-2009 which is not sufficient to maintain crops growth.
Table 1 shows seasonal fluctuation rainfall depths on study area for the period (1990-2009). In addition, the rainfall distribution also varies widely.

Sinjar and the surrounding land are famous for the cultivation of wheat and barley. Bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is the main crop while durum wheat (*Triticum turgidum* L.) is of less attention.

The rainy season extends from November to May. during this season, the runoff water flows in the valleys from Sinjar Mountain toward north to the Iraqi and Syrian border. Maximum monthly evaporation is usually recorded in July 563.4 mm and it drops to 57.4 mm in December [24]. The soil in the study area has low organic content and consists of sandy loam, silty loam and silty clay loam [25].

### 3. METHODOLOGY

The methodology used for this research is well documented by [13,14,26], which can be summarized as discussed below. A catchment area of six basins with a total area of 614.19 km² has been selected to estimate the harvested runoff for four rainfall seasons at rain-fed farm of Northern Sinjar district in order to support and to estimate the amount of two types of wheat yield (bread and durum). The runoff estimation depends on the Land use map for the six selected basins (Figure 2).

The seasons 1995-1996, 1996-1997, and 1998-1999 were selected based on the level of total rainfall depths that reached maximum (478.1), average (307.6) and minimum (110.1) rainfall depths (mm) along the study period (1990-2009) respectively. In addition the season 2001-2002 of rainfall depth (257.5) mm was also selected in order to include another rainfall depth within the range 200 - 300 (mm).

Table 2 shows the monthly rainfall depth along the wheat season growth on North Sinjar through the selected seasons.

Runoff volumes were estimated from individual daily rainfall storms, that fall on the six basins, using Watershed Modeling System (WMS) based upon the Soil Conservation Service runoff curve number method (SCS-CN) (now is Natural Resource Conservation Service or NRCS). These harvested runoff volumes where accumulated and stored in individual six reservoirs located at the outlet of the basins and their water is to be used later for supplemental irrigation (SI) to irrigate the wheat crop. The calculation of wheat yield was conducted in two phases in the first, the harvested water was used to irrigate the crop of bread wheat and the second was to use the same water to irrigate durum wheat crop. It should be noted that, in order to ensure suitable initial moisture contain of the soil farm, the start date of wheat growing season of 210 days of long begins when the rainfall on the Sinjar’s farm reaches a proper depth (10 ± 2 mm).

![Figure 1. The study area of Northern Sinjar District (source google map).](source google map)

| Season     | 90 - 91 | 91 - 92 | 92 - 93 | 93 - 94 | 94 - 95 | 95 - 96 | 96 - 97 | 97 - 98 | 98 - 99 |
|------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Rainfall (mm) | 260.4   | 360.8   | 261.3   | 390.9   | 377.3   | 478.1   | 306.2   | 256.8   | 110.1   |
| Season     | 99 - 00 | 00 - 01 | 01 - 02 | 03 - 04 | 04 - 05 | 05 - 06 | 06 - 07 | 08 - 09 |
| Rainfall (mm) | 151.4   | 429.3   | 257.5   | 254.9   | 256.8   | 307.6   | 243.6   | 136.3   |
The irrigation water requirements of SI can be estimated by Supplemental Irrigation model using computer model (based on MATLAB software). The estimation is based on rainfall depth, soil water storage and crop water requirements. Three irrigation scenarios S1 (100%), S2 (75%), and S3 (50%) of full irrigation requirements were selected to be used in order to show the effect and the benefit of supplemental irrigation for reducing the amount of water required for irrigation.

A linear programming computer model technique (The Optimization Model) has been used to optimize crop area that could be irrigated by the above supplemental irrigation levels which give three scenarios of crop yield (Y1, Y2, and Y3) respectively under supplemental irrigation condition.

Wheat crop could be produced in the rain-fed farm depending on rain water alone without irrigation process which represent scenario Y4.

Wheat crop was selected as the main crop for Sinjar district. The wheat yield per unit area can be estimated using yield relation with total applied water, that conducted by researchers of International Center for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas (ICARDA) [23].

The total grain of wheat yield can be estimated considering the irrigated area under three level of supplemental irrigation (100%, 75%, and 50%) of full irrigation requirements.

**4. BACKGROUND OF YIELD RELATION WITH TOTAL APPLIED WATER**

Zhang and Oweis (1999) [23], analyzed the experiments results of supplemental irrigation (SI) that carried out in Syria to evaluate water-yield relations for bread wheat (*Triticumaestivum* L.) and durum wheat (*Triticum-turgidum* L.), the time span where covered ten years of experiments. The sow date was before mid-December. They developed quadratic crop production functions with the total applied water (rainfall + irrigation water) in order to estimate the levels of irrigation water for maximizing yield, net profit and levels to which the crops could be under irrigated without reducing income below that which would be earned for full SI under limited water resources. For the above SI experiments, irrigation water was applied using different irrigation system (a line-source sprinkler system, basin irrigation, and a drip irrigation system). The maximum water applied was the summation of the rainfall and irrigation water. Different level of Irrigation water was used. The minimum water applied was the rain-fed treatments without irrigation, in this...
case it possible to evaluate the crop yield under rainfall condition alone without irrigation. It was concluded that the SI scenarios for maximizing the profit under limited water resource conditions or for a targeted yield of 4 - 5 t/ha were recommended for sustainable utilization of water resources and higher water-use efficiency [23].

For the rain-fed farm there are two important conditions:

The first is under rain-fed conditions, the evapotranspiration (ET) ranged 200 to 460 mm for both bread and durum wheat, depending on the seasonal rainfall depth. The corresponding grain yield ranged from 0.35 to 4 t/ha for bread wheat and 0.6 to 5 t/ha for durum wheat.

The second is under SI conditions, ET ranged from 300 to 600 mm, depending on the combined amount of water (rainfall + irrigation) with corresponding grain yield ranged from 2.3 to 7.5 t/ha for bread wheat, and ET ranged from 300 to 650 mm, and grain yield ranged from 3.6 to 8.4 t/ha for durum wheat.

Grain yield linearly increased with increasing rainfall and irrigation (P + W) up to 450 mm during the growing season. The increase in grain yield per unit of P + W gradually decreased when P + W was above 450 mm. This response of yield to P + W can be described using a quadratic equation as follows [23]:

\[ Y(W) = b_0 + b_1(P + W) + b_2(P + W)^2 \]  

(1)

where \(Y\) is grain yield (t/ha), \(W\) is the irrigation water (mm), \(P\) is the precipitation (mm) during the growing season. \(b_0 = (-6.4335)\), \(b_1 = (0.0378)\) and \(b_2 = (0.00002778)\) represent the regression coefficients.

A highly significant polynomial relationship existed between grain yield and the total applied water (\(R^2 0.84\)), with a minimum requirement of 203 mm water for initial grain yield. The highest grain yield required 680 and 750 mm with corresponding yields of 6.42 and 6.65 t/ha for the bread and durum wheat respectively.

5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Sinjar rainfall is very similar to that recorded by ICARDA at Tel Hadya research farm at Syria, Quick comparison between rainfall of Tel Hadya and Sinjar shows that both rainfalls are low, fluctuate with time and are of an uneven distribution. Although the study period at Sinjar (17 seasons) is longer than that adopted by ICARDA (10 seasons for the period 1985-1996, with average rainfall depth of 332 mm) but Sinjar rainfall decreased less than 200 mm three times during dry seasons (1998-1999, 1999-2000 and, 2008-2009). Table 3 shows the start and end date seasons of wheat crop for the selected seasons at North Sinjar area.

For the study area, all six basins have identical characteristics. In such a case, for the rainy season, the influential factors (type, size and slope of the catchment area, curve number value, antecedent moisture conditions, distribution and amount of the rainfall) act together to produce amount of runoff that directed by gravity and stored in an individual six reservoirs according to their capacity, then other factors like the reservoirs size and the evaporation losses from its surface area will affect the storage of harvested water. According to the above and by using WMS that is based on curve number method, it’s possible to estimate the daily water volume of the harvested runoff that was accumulated in the reservoirs along the seasons (Figure 3). This harvested runoff water that was stored in an individual six reservoirs can be used later for supplemental irrigation processes.

The maximum annual harvested runoff volumes that reached the reservoir no. 1 to no. 6 are 17.25, 7.68, 6.11, 2.84, 3.46 and 5.0 (10^6 m^3) respectively during the wet season (1995-1996). While the minimum annual harvested runoff volumes that reached the reservoir no. 1 to no. 6 are 0.34, 0.12, 0.03, 0.04, 0.03 and 0.01 (10^6 m^3) respectively during the dry season (1998-1999). The total volume of harvested runoff for all basins together reached up to 42.4, 0.60, 10.9, 11.7 (10^6 m^3) during the four selected seasons (1995-1996, 1996-1997, 1998-1999, and 2001-2002) respectively. The above results of estimating the total runoff volume indicates that the runoff volume can be considered for irrigation practices and especially for supplemental irrigation.

Tables 4 and 5 show the results of applying Supplemental Irrigation model that give actual irrigation demand for bread and durum crops at North Sinjar with three supplemental Irrigation scenarios (S1, S2, and S3) through the selected seasons. Certainly, reduction of total seasonal rainfall depth will increase the required actual irrigation demand in order to compensate soil moisture lack due to limited rain water. Such cases can be diagnosed through the selected seasons, for example, under full irrigation condition (scenario S1) the actual irrigation demand reached up to 358.6, 452.6, 661.0 and, 382.0 mm for the wet, average, dry, and additional seasons re-

### Table 3. Start and end dates season of wheat crop at North Sinjar.

| Season      | Start date | End date | Start date | End date | Start date | End date | Start date | End date |
|-------------|------------|----------|------------|----------|------------|----------|------------|----------|
| 1995-1996   | 13/12/1995 | 09/07/1996 | 06/12/1996 | 03/07/1997 | 30/12/1998 | 27/07/1999 | 02/12/2001 | 29/06/2002 |
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pectively. The aim should be to minimize the volume of these actual irrigation demands in order to achieve two important goals:

The first is to reduce the total cost and the second is to make the limited amount of available water could be adequate enough to irrigate largest agricultural area.

Using different levels of SI will satisfy most of the goals and help to minimize the total applied irrigation water to the farm by finding the minimum level of SI that maximize the irrigated area.

As an example, for the selected seasons, the total actual irrigation demand with scenario S2 reached 222.51, 305.70, 477.73, and 237.66 (mm) while, with scenario S3 it reached 115.83, 159.70, 294.37, and 104.11 (mm), for the wet, average, dry, and additional seasons respectively. These results show that supplemental irrigation of scenario S3 (50% of full irrigation requirement) can save more water than scenarios S1 or S2, but this is require to be tested for crop yield production (as will be shown later) to be sure that scenario S3 give best result also according to crop yield. In general the characteristics of both crops (bread and durum) are similar, where both belong to the same crop quality (wheat) but durum wheat crop need more water during the growing season, where

Table 4. Monthly actual Irrigation demand (mm) for bread wheat crop during the selected irrigation scenarios.

| Year   | Scenario | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | Jun. | Jul. | Total |
|--------|----------|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|-------|
| 95-96  | S1       | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.22 | 0.24 | 89.43| 170.9| 69.57| 22.14| 358.60|
|        | S2       | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 31.01| 122.7| 52.18| 16.61| 222.51|
|        | S3       | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 69.98| 34.79| 11.07| 115.83|
|        | S1       | 8.89 | 14.37| 35.96| 33.76| 116.1| 163.8| 72.39| 7.38 | 452.66|
| 96-97  | S2       | 5.73 | 6.04 | 23.57| 4.87 | 83.55| 122.1| 54.29| 5.54 | 305.70|
|        | S3       | 2.72 | 0.00 | 9.72 | 0.00 | 26.98| 80.40| 36.20| 3.69 | 159.70|
|        | S1       | 0.00 | 24.30| 38.70| 35.96| 116.1| 163.8| 72.39| 7.38 | 452.66|
| 98-99  | S2       | 0.00 | 16.41| 23.08| 63.40| 75.25| 148.1| 101.6| 49.82| 477.73|
|        | S3       | 0.00 | 8.52 | 7.46 | 37.46| 41.21| 98.73| 67.79| 33.21| 294.37|
|        | S1       | 7.04 | 11.03| 43.49| 55.96| 46.18| 146.9| 71.34| -    | 382.01|
| 2001   | S2       | 3.82 | 3.23 | 25.43| 41.17| 8.26 | 102.2| 53.51| -    | 237.66|
| 2002   | S3       | 0.76 | 0.00 | 6.01 | 26.38| 35.28| 35.67| -    | -    | 104.11|
the increase of irrigation water for the durum ranged between 39 to 125 (mm) for the four selected seasons. Similar results were given by Zhang and Oweis [23].

Although the reduction of irrigation level will lead to reduce the yield per unit area, the real benefit will be due to the increase in agricultural irrigated area. This can be explained by the results of the Optimization Model (Tables 6 and 7) which show that the irrigated area for wheat (bread and durum) in hectare for individual reservoirs for the three selected irrigation scenarios during the selected seasons and the total irrigated area for each scenario.

The results indicate that, (in case of bread wheat for example, Table 5), for the four selected seasons of 1995-1996 (wet), 1996-1997 (average), 1998-1999 (dry), and 2001-2002 (additional season) and for full irrigation requirement (scenario S1) the total irrigated area from all six reservoirs reached 1257.11, 1192.45, 10.89, and

Table 5. Monthly actual Irrigation demand (mm) for durum wheat crop during the selected irrigation scenarios.

| Year   | Scenario | Dec. | Jan. | Feb.  | Mar.  | Apr.  | May  | Jun.  | Jul. | Total |
|--------|----------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|
| S1     | 95-96    | 0.00 | 0.00 | 12.77 | 18.38 | 126.5 | 196.7| 84.26 | 30.68| 469.3 |
| S2     | 0.00     | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00 | 0.00  | 0.00 | 296.8 |
| S3     | 0.00     | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00  | 10.01 | 87.34 | 42.13| 15.34 | 154.8|
| S1     | 8.89     | 15.22| 42.41| 66.49 | 139.4 | 186.3 | 90.52| 10.61 | 559.8|
| S2     | 5.73     | 6.68 | 28.41| 27.00 | 101.0 | 139.0 | 67.89| 7.96  | 383.7|
| S3     | 2.72     | 0.00 | 13.09| 0.00  | 53.39 | 91.67 | 45.26| 5.31  | 211.4|
| S1     | 0.00     | 24.31| 41.19| 109.2 | 143.0 | 228.3 | 154.9| 85.52 | 786.5|
| S2     | 5.73     | 6.68 | 28.41| 27.00 | 101.0 | 139.0 | 67.89| 7.96  | 383.7|
| S3     | 2.72     | 0.00 | 13.09| 0.00  | 53.39 | 91.67 | 45.26| 5.31  | 211.4|
| S1     | 0.00     | 24.31| 41.19| 109.2 | 143.0 | 228.3 | 154.9| 85.52 | 786.5|
| S2     | 5.73     | 6.68 | 28.41| 27.00 | 101.0 | 139.0 | 67.89| 7.96  | 383.7|
| S3     | 2.72     | 0.00 | 13.09| 0.00  | 53.39 | 91.67 | 45.26| 5.31  | 211.4|

Table 6. Irrigated area (hectare) for bread wheat by six reservoirs for the three irrigation scenarios S1, S2 and S3 for the selected seasons.

| Seasons | Scenario | Res. 1 | Res. 2 | Res. 3 | Res. 4 | Res. 5 | Res. 6 | Total  |
|---------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| S1      | 1995-1996| 572.47 | 135.85 | 104.16 | 270.29 | 63.78  | 110.56 | 1257.11|
| S2      | 891.79   | 210.00 | 160.24 | 419.50 | 98.73  | 169.34 | 1949.60|
| S3      | 1676.59  | 392.15 | 301.07 | 795.80 | 185.74 | 316.66 | 3668.01|
| S1      | 554.58   | 133.38 | 96.07  | 245.90 | 59.48  | 103.04 | 1192.45|
| S2      | 777.84   | 186.24 | 138.97 | 355.59 | 85.65  | 148.84 | 1693.14|
| S3      | 1407.23  | 335.53 | 251.60 | 647.92 | 155.20 | 268.63 | 3066.11|
| S1      | 2.33     | 0.62   | 0.26   | 4.64   | 3.03   | 1.53E-06| 10.89  |
| S2      | 3.21     | 0.86   | 0.36   | 6.41   | 4.18   | 1.08E-05| 15.01  |
| S3      | 5.17     | 1.38   | 0.57   | 10.34  | 6.72   | 4.59E-06| 24.18  |
| S1      | 820.44   | 225.58 | 146.51 | 119.41 | 98.12  | 112.56 | 1522.62|
| S2      | 1306.08  | 320.23 | 229.48 | 191.43 | 148.09 | 180.14 | 2375.45|
| S3      | 2852.82  | 678.92 | 509.73 | 414.76 | 318.42 | 389.05 | 5163.70|
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Table 7. Irrigated area (hectare) for durum wheat by six reservoirs for the three irrigation scenarios S1, S2 and S3 for the selected seasons.

| Seasons   | Scenario | Res. 1   | Res. 2   | Res. 3   | Res. 4   | Res. 5   | Res. 6   | Total  |
|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|--------|
| 1995-1996 | S1       | 460.80   | 109.20   | 83.14    | 215.85   | 51.12    | 88.20    | 1008.32|
|           | S2       | 673.94   | 159.75   | 123.02   | 320.29   | 75.29    | 131.53   | 1483.83|
|           | S3       | 1262.67  | 295.91   | 226.78   | 597.73   | 139.86   | 238.85   | 2761.80|
| 1996-1997 | S1       | 633.30   | 151.63   | 109.88   | 281.68   | 68.04    | 117.76   | 1362.30|
|           | S2       | 1065.75  | 254.51   | 190.45   | 489.22   | 117.44   | 203.60   | 2320.97|
|           | S3       | 2.33     | 0.62     | 0.26     | 3.33     | 2.34     | 1.33E-06 | 8.88   |
| 1998-1999 | S1       | 3.21     | 0.86     | 0.36     | 5.35     | 3.49     | 9.57E-06 | 13.27  |
|           | S2       | 5.17     | 1.38     | 0.57     | 8.53     | 5.54     | 3.73E-06 | 21.19  |
|           | S3       | 658.64   | 176.25   | 113.24   | 95.62    | 75.72    | 90.10    | 1290.55|
| 2001-2002 | S2       | 1009.84  | 260.79   | 176.88   | 147.34   | 118.60   | 138.65   | 1852.10|
|           | S3       | 1983.84  | 472.79   | 352.36   | 292.09   | 220.48   | 274.15   | 3595.72|

The wheat yield per unit area for each wheat type was tested for two cases. The first is that the wheat crop depending on total water (rain + irrigation) that represents supplemental irrigation condition. The second is that the wheat crop depending on the rain water alone (rain-fed condition). These two cases give four yield scenarios for each type of wheat, three of them for supplemental irrigation condition and one scenario for rain-fed condition (Tables 8 and 9).

The results show that, the grain yield of wheat per unit area (scenario Y4) under rain-fed condition for the selected seasons reached up to 5.29, 2.54, 0.0, and 1.46 (t/ha) for bread wheat respectively (Table 8), and for durum wheat, reached up to 4.93, 2.19, 0.0, and 1.18 (t/ha) (Table 9), which is always less than the grain yield of wheat per unit area under supplemental irrigation condition.

Increasing the area of agricultural land is a primary goal that leads to satisfy the increase of wheat yield. In order to evaluate the wheat yield, it should be consider, the total water applied (rainfall + irrigation water) to the crop, using wheat crop yield-water use relationship of International Center for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas (ICARDA) [23] as follows:

In this research, the wheat yield is investigated for both bread and durum wheat, using yield relation with total applied water conducted by ICARDA considering the irrigated area under three level of SI (100%, 75%, and 50%) of full irrigation requirements.

The wheat yield per unit area for each wheat type was tested for two cases. The first is that the wheat crop depending on total water (rain + irrigation) that represents supplemental irrigation condition. The second is that the wheat crop depending on the rain water alone (rain-fed condition). These two cases give four yield scenarios for each type of wheat, three of them for supplemental irrigation condition and one scenario for rain-fed condition (Tables 8 and 9).

The results show that, the grain yield of wheat per unit area (scenario Y4) under rain-fed condition for the selected seasons reached up to 5.29, 2.54, 0.0, and 1.46 (t/ha) for bread wheat respectively (Table 8), and for durum wheat, reached up to 4.93, 2.19, 0.0, and 1.18 (t/ha) (Table 9), which is always less than the grain yield of wheat per unit area under supplemental irrigation condition.

Rapid assessment of the scenario Y4 for bread and durum shows that these results are within the range of grain yield of wheat crop, under rain-fed conditions, except dry season which is under 200 mm of rainfall depth.

According to ICARDA, under rain-fed conditions (irrigation water = 0), grain yield of both bread and durum wheat increase from 1.2 to 4 - 5 t/ha when rainfall increased from 250 to 450 mm.

The wheat yield of bread and durum for wet season seem convincing as a result of availability of rainwater that reaches up to 478.4 mm during the wet season (1995-1996). Although the conditions of the wet season gave good results for wheat yield but the rain water that reached the crop during this season cannot be guaranteed during other seasons. On the other hand, the wheat grain
yield per unit area dropped to zero for the dry season, this is due to the fact that the total amount of rainfall didn’t exceed the threshold for the first gain of grain yield, where the considerable threshold for the first gain of grain yield is about 200 mm. This value is similar to the threshold for the first gain yield increment for winter wheat in the US Southern Plains [23,27]. As well as the rainfall depth during dry season (110.1 mm) also did not produce enough runoff, in addition, these amount of rainfall alone is not enough for growth wheat crop. In the same time, grain yield of bread and durum wheat per unit area for the average and additional seasons didn’t reach the maximum limit of wheat grain yield per unit area (4 - 5 t/ha).This gives an indicator that, there is a chance to increase the grain yield if other water source is available. 

The average and additional seasons represent the prevailing situation in rain-fed areas of Sinjar district. Accordingly, the dependence on rainfall only in the arid rain-fed farms as a water source for the crops will always give a low crop yield as a result of the fluctuating of the rainfall. In order to increase the crop yield in rain-fed farms it should be supplemental the rainfall by irrigation water [28]. To achieve this, rainwater harvesting technique is to be used to ensure water availability.

Crop yield per unit area is a function of the total amount of water that reaches the crop. The yield can be improved by increase the total amount of water. Thus, using supplemental irrigation with different irrigation level can enhance the crop yield in the rain-fed farms and helps to find out the minimum amount of applied irrigation water that gives maximum crop yield.

For the selected seasons, the rainfall was 478.1, 110.1, 257.5, 307.6 (mm) respectively, the use of supplemental irrigation scenario S2 instead of S1 increases grain yield per unit area for the seasons 1995-1996 and 1996-1997 by 11.48%, 0.64%, and it decreased for the seasons 1998-1999 and 2001-2002 by 0.16% and 14.26% for bread wheat. For durum wheat, the grain yield per unit area increased for the seasons 1995-1996, 1996-1997 and 1998-1999 by 12.73%, 2.03%, 4.32%, and decreased for the season 2001-2002 by 11.3%.

While the use of supplemental irrigation scenario S3 instead of S1 leads to increase the yield per unit area for the season 1995-1996 by 8.17%, and decreased for other seasons by 17.60%, 30.48%, and 43.57% respectively for bread wheat. For durum wheat, the yield per unit area had been increased for the seasons 1995-1996 by 6.96%, and decreased for other seasons by 16.07%, 23.36%, and 40.36% respectively.

The reasons of the increased or decreased yield per unit area can be explained as follow:

In general, reduction of the total amount of applied water to the crop leads to decrease the yield per unit area. This is true for linear relation, but it was found that the
grain yield linearly increase with increasing the summation of rain and the irrigation water up to 450 mm during the growing season [23]. When the summation of water was above 600 mm, grain yield showed a plateau. Grain yield finally approached the maximum because either rain or irrigation water was left in the soil profile or percolated into deeper layers at high levels of water application. The increase in grain yield per unit of water summation gradually decreased when this summation was above 450 mm.

The three selected supplemental irrigation (S1, S2, and S3) that used harvested runoff water contributed to enhanced wheat yield (Tables 8 and 9). The results of grain yield of bread and durum wheat per unit area for yield scenario Y1 was 5.75 to 6.38 and 5.89 to 6.64 (t/ha) with full irrigation requirement respectively. For yield scenario Y2 it was 5.47 to 6.41 and 5.89 to 6.64 (t/ha) with 75% of full irrigation requirements respectively. With 50% of full irrigation requirements, the yield scenario Y3 was 3.60 to 6.22 and 3.96 to 6.30 (t/ha) for bread and durum wheat during the four selected seasons respectively.

Thus, coupling the results of the Optimization Model (irrigated area, Tables 6 and 7) and the results of the wheat grain yield per unit area (Tables 8 and 9), for the three irrigation scenarios (S1, S2, and S3), helps to find the grain yield of wheat crop from irrigated area from each reservoir for the four selected seasons as shown in Figure 4 for bread wheat and in Figure 5 for durum wheat.

By taking the summation of grain yield, it could be
obtained the total grain yield of wheat crop (bread and durum) from irrigated area by all six reservoirs together thus:

The yield scenario Y1 gives 7223, 7457, 68 and 9712 Ton for bread wheat, and for durum wheat gives 5939, 6130, 56 and 8035 Ton. The yield scenario Y2 gives 12,504, 10,658, 94 and 12,999 Ton for bread wheat, and for durum wheat gives 9859, 8913, 87, and 10,906 Ton. The yield scenario Y3 gives 22,806, 15,783, 105 and 18,603 Ton for bread wheat, and for durum wheat gives 17,396, 12,478, 103, and 14,238 Ton for the four selected seasons (1995-1996, 1996-1997, 1998-1999 and 2001-2002) respectively.

The comparison for the total wheat grain yield among the three of supplemental irrigation scenarios (S1, S2, and S3) showed that scenario S3 gave always maximum total wheat grain yield for all the selected seasons and for both bread and durum wheat, and this is due to mainly two reasons as discussed below:

First, since the yield is a function of water that reach the crop, then using supplemental irrigation level of 50% of full irrigation requirements leads to reduce the yield per unit area, but in the same time, this level of irrigation works to save maximum of irrigation water and distributed over a larger area which leads to maximize the total irrigated area.

Second, the overall increase in irrigated area has become the dominant factor, which covered the lack of yield per unit area, which led to maximize the overall yield of wheat grain for irrigated area.

6. CONCLUSION

Sinjar area north of Iraq is a typical rain-fed farm. The results indicated that, using rainwater harvesting technique gives total volume of harvested runoff that can be considered for irrigation practices, that reached up to 42.4, 25.1, 0.60, 10.9 (10^3 m^3) during 1995-1996, 1996-1997, 1998-1999, and 2001-2002, respectively.

The results show that, the supplemental irrigation scenario S3 (50% of full irrigation requirement) can save more water than scenarios S1 or S2 (100% and 75% of full irrigation requirement).

The yield scenario Y3 (under 50% of full irrigation requirement) gives, 105 to 22,806 (Ton) for bread wheat, and for durum wheat gives, 103 to 17,396 (Ton). Scenario Y3 always gives the maximum total wheat grain yield for all the selected seasons compared to Y1, Y2, (the yields scenarios given by supplemental irrigation scenarios S1, S2 respectively).

The results showed that bread wheat can satisfy larger irrigated area and grain yield than durum.
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