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We present a search for the pair production of first generation scalar leptoquarks ($LQ$) in data corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 5.4 fb$^{-1}$ collected with the D0 detector at the Fermilab Tevatron Collider in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV. In the channel $LQLQ \rightarrow eq\bar{q}'$, where $q, q'$ are $u$ or $d$ quarks, no significant excess of data over background is observed, and we set a 95% C.L. lower limit of 326 GeV on the $LQ$ mass, assuming equal probabilities of $LQ$ decays to $eq$ and $\nu_q q'$.

Because of the limitations of the standard model (SM), several extensions have been proposed, among them supersymmetry [1], grand unified theories [2], and string theory [3]. Many of these extensions predict the existence of particles that directly connect the lepton and quark fields. This paper will focus on the search for scalar $LQ$s, and in the following we will not distinguish particles from antiparticles. This search is performed within effective models [6,7], and thus is independent of specific extensions of the SM.

In $p\bar{p}$ collisions such as those that occur at the Tevatron Collider, $LQ$s can be produced in leptoquark-antileptoquark pairs. $LQ$ pair production can occur via both quark-antiquark annihilation and gluon-gluon fusion, although quark-antiquark annihilation is expected to be dominant. The production cross section for scalar $LQ$s depends only on the strong coupling constant and on the $LQ$ mass and is known at next-to-leading order (NLO) [8].

Once produced, $LQ$s can decay to two final states: $lq$ and $\nu_q q'$ (where $l = e, \mu, or \tau$). It is assumed that in the low energy limit there is no intergenerational mixing. For first generation $LQ$ pairs, the final state will contain a pair of leptons ($e$ or $\nu_e$) and a pair of quarks ($u$ or $d$) of the first generation. In this paper, the case in which one $LQ$ decays...
to $eq$ and the other to $\nueqq'$ is considered (charge conjugate states are assumed here).

We define $\beta$ to be the branching ratio of a first generation $LQ$ to decay to $eq$. Then the probability for an $LQ$ to decay to $\nu_eq'$ is $(1 - \beta)$, and the probability for a $LQ$ pair to decay to the final state $eq\nu_eq'$ is $BR(LQLQ \rightarrow eq\nu_eq') = 2\beta(1 - \beta)$. Thus, the probability for the final state $eq\nu_eq'$ is maximized when $\beta = 0.5$.

Limits on the production of first generation $LQ$s have been reported by the DELPHI [9], OPAL [10,11], H1 [12,13], ZEUS [14], CDF [15], and D0 [16] collaborations. Recently, CMS [17,18], and ATLAS [19] published the first searches for scalar $LQ$ pair production at the CERN LHC. Both LHC experiments have a similar sensitivity with expected limits of 345 GeV (CMS) and 350 GeV (ATLAS), respectively, for $\beta = 0.5$.

The D0 detector consists of tracking, calorimeter, and muon systems [20–22]. The central-tracking system consists of a silicon microstrip tracker and a central fiber tracker, both located within a 2 T superconducting solenoid. A liquid-argon and uranium calorimeter consists of a central section (pseudorapidity $|\eta| < 1.1$ [23]) and two end sections ($1.5 < |\eta| < 4.2$). The calorimeters have fine transverse and longitudinal segmentation with three principal layers identified as electromagnetic, and fine and coarse hadronic. An outer muon system ($|\eta| < 2$) consists of a layer of tracking detectors and scintillation trigger counters in front of 1.8 T toroids, followed by two similar layers after the toroids [24]. Data were collected with the D0 detector at the Fermilab Tevatron $p\bar{p}$ Collider operating at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV between August 2002 and June 2009 and correspond to an integrated luminosity of 5.4 $fb^{-1}$.

An electron is identified from energy deposits in the electromagnetic calorimeter that are consistent with the shower development expected for an electron and have a matching track extrapolated from the central tracker.

Jets are reconstructed using a midpoint cone algorithm, with a cone size of 0.5 [25]. The jet energy is corrected to the particle level using jet energy scale corrections determined from data [26]. The missing transverse energy ($E_T$) is reconstructed from all the cells of the electromagnetic and hadronic calorimeters, except for the coarse hadronic sector where a noise-reduction algorithm is applied. Additional corrections are then applied for all identified objects including jets, electrons, and muons.

Events must satisfy at least one trigger from the single-electron and electron $+$ jets suites of triggers. For all data samples, trigger objects are required to match the reconstructed objects. The trigger efficiencies are measured in data and parameterized for specific lepton and jet identification criteria.

Scalar $LQ$ pair Monte Carlo (MC) samples are generated using PYTHIA [27] with CTEQ6L1 [28] parton density functions. Signal samples are produced for different $LQ$ masses between 200 and 360 GeV. The corresponding cross sections at NLO are listed in Table I.

Diboson ($WW$, $WZ$, and $ZZ$) background samples are produced with PYTHIA making use of the parton distribution functions CTEQ6L1. The $t\bar{t}$ and $V(V = W$ or $Z) +$ jets events are simulated with the matrix-element generator ALPGEN [29], interfaced to PYTHIA for subsequent parton showering and hadronization. Single top quark production is simulated using COMPHEP [30]. The cross sections for background processes are calculated at NLO (diboson [31]) and next-to-next-to-leading order ($V +$ jets [32] and $t\bar{t}$ [33]). We correct the generated spectrum of the transverse momentum ($p_T$) of the $Z$ boson in MC to match a corresponding dedicated measurement [34]. The $p_T$ spectrum of the $W$ boson is corrected taking into account the differences between predicted $Z$ and $W$ boson $p_T$ spectra at next-to-next-to-leading order [35].

A full GEANT-based detector simulation program [36], followed by the same reconstruction program as utilized for data, is used to process signal and background events from MC. In order to model detector noise and contributions from the presence of additional $p\bar{p}$ interactions, events from randomly selected beam crossings with the same instantaneous luminosity profile as data are overlaid on the simulated events. Background from multijet production (MJ), where one of the jets mimics an electron, is evaluated from data using a data driven technique [37]. In MC simulations, electron energies are corrected so that they match the energy resolution in data. In addition, residual differences in jet energy scale and resolution between data and MC are reduced by applying dedicated corrections to MC events. All corrections are evaluated in independent samples. Electron related corrections are obtained from $Z \rightarrow ee$ samples, and jet related from either photon $+$ jets or $Z +$ jets samples.

In the $eq\nu_eq'$ final state, it is not known a priori how to assign the jets to the $LQ$ decaying to $eq$ or $\nu_eq'$. Therefore, to reconstruct the properties such as mass and $p_T$ of the $LQ$s from the final products, an algorithm is needed to choose the best pairing. We do not impose a requirement on the number of jets, but we use only the two leading $p_T$ jets for pairings. There are two possible combinations, corresponding to the leading jet pairing with either the electron or the neutrino. We found that it is most effective to choose the pairing that minimizes the difference between the transverse masses, $M_T = \sqrt{E_T^2 - p_T^2}$, where $E_T$.
and \( \hat{p}_T \) are the transverse energy and the transverse momentum vector of the two \( LQ \)s. This pairing algorithm is successful in making the correct assignment in about 75% of MC signal events.

Events are selected to be consistent with the \( LQLQ \rightarrow eq \nu q' \) process. We require one electron with \( p_T > 15 \) GeV in the central calorimeter region \( |\eta| < 1.1 \); \( \hat{E}_T > 15 \) GeV, to be consistent with the undetected neutrino; and at least two jets with \( p_T > 20 \) GeV and \( |\eta| < 2.5 \). To suppress \( MJ \) background, events are required to satisfy \( \hat{E}_T/50 + M_{T'}^{\nu}/70 \geq 1 \), where \( M_{T'}^{\nu} \) is the transverse mass of the \( (e, \nu) \) combination, and \( \hat{E}_T \) and \( M_{T'}^{\nu} \) are in GeV.

At this stage we observe \( 65992 \) data events, while we expect \( 65703 \pm 61 \) (stat) \( \pm 5958 \) (sys) from SM background and \( 50.4 \pm 0.4 \) (stat) \( \pm 6.8 \) (sys) events from scalar \( LQ \) production for \( M_{LQ} = 260 \) GeV and \( \beta = 0.5 \). Figure 1(a) shows the \( M_{T'}^{\nu} \) distribution for the data and SM processes. Data are consistent with the SM predictions. To reduce the dominant SM \( V + \) jets background, we require \( M_{T'}^{\nu} \approx 110 \) GeV. The pairing algorithm described previously allows us to reconstruct \( M_{LQ} \). Since the longitudinal component of the neutrino momentum, \( p_z \), is not measurable, we reconstruct only the visible mass of the \( LQ \) \( \rightarrow \nu q \) as \( M_{LQ} = M(\text{jet} + \nu_{\text{vis}}) \), where the four vector of \( \nu_{\text{vis}} \) is given as \( (\hat{p}_\nu, \hat{p}_\nu, 0, \hat{E}_\nu) \). Figure 1(b) shows the distribution of the sum \( \sum M_{LQ} \) of the invariant mass of the decay \( LQ \rightarrow \nu q' \) and the visible mass of the decay \( LQ \rightarrow \nu q' \) after the requirement \( M_{T'}^{\nu} \approx 110 \) GeV. We then use \( \sum M_{LQ} \) to reduce SM backgrounds, further requiring that \( \sum M_{LQ} > 350 \) GeV. Finally, we require that the scalar sum of the \( p_T \) of the lepton, the \( \hat{E}_T \), and the two jets, \( S_T \), shown in Fig. 1(c) after all selections, be greater than 450 GeV. Selection criteria are optimized to achieve the best expected sensitivity for \( M_{LQ} = 260 \) GeV. This yields 15 observed events for an expected background of \( 14.8 \pm 0.6 \) (stat) \( \pm 1.1 \) (sys) events. The event counts after each requirement are shown in Table II.

Systematic uncertainties that affect only the normalization of the background and the signal efficiency include uncertainties on cross sections of signal (10%) and background (6%-10%) processes, normalization of the MJ background (20%), integrated luminosity (6.1%), and lepton trigger and identification (4%). Uncertainties that also affect the differential distribution of \( S_T \), which is the quantity used to set the limits on \( LQ \), are due to jet energy resolution and scale, jet identification efficiency, parton distribution functions, and the modeling of the jet \( p_T \) distribution of the dominant \( W + \) jets background. Their impacts are evaluated by repeating the analysis with values varied by \( \pm 1 \) standard deviation. For the uncertainty on the jet \( p_T \) modeling, the impact is estimated by comparing the jet \( p_T \) distributions between ALPGEN and data unfolded to particle level from the recent D0 measurement [38]. The ratio is applied as weight to the

Table II. Event counts and the predicted number of signal events for \( M_{LQ} = 260 \) GeV and \( \beta = 0.5 \) after each selection requirement.

| Requirement                                      | Data       | Total background | Signal |
|-------------------------------------------------|------------|------------------|--------|
| Preselection                                    | 65992      | 65703 ± 5958     | 50 ± 7 |
| \( M_{T'}^{\nu} > 110 \) GeV                    | 990        | 986 ± 82         | 34 ± 5 |
| \( \sum M_{LQ} > 350 \) GeV                     | 64         | 55 ± 4           | 27 ± 4 |
| \( S_T > 450 \) GeV                             | 15         | 15 ± 1           | 24 ± 3 |
an upper limit on the $LQ$ pair production cross section in the $e\nu\nu',q'$ channel. For each generated $M_{LQ}$ the limit is calculated at the 95% C.L. using the seminrequentist $CL_s$ method based on a Poisson log-likelihood test statistic [39]. Signal and background normalizations and shape variations due to systematic uncertainties are incorporated assuming Gaussian priors. The best fit to the background distributions is evaluated by minimizing a profile likelihood function with respect to the observed data and various sources of uncertainty, maintaining all correlations among systematic uncertainties [40]. Limits on the cross section multiplied by the branching fraction and the theoretical $LQ$ cross section for $\beta = 0.5$ are shown in Fig. 2. Considering $\beta$ as a free parameter [41], the limit on the $LQ$ mass as a function of $\beta$ is determined as shown in Fig. 3, and compared to the previous D0 [16], CMS [17,18], and ATLAS [19] results.

In summary, we have searched for scalar $LQ$ pair production in the $e\nu\nu',q'$ final state in $5.4 \, fb^{-1}$ of integrated luminosity of $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=1.96$ TeV. In the absence of a signal, we exclude the production of first generation $LQ$s with $M_{LQ} < 326$ GeV for $\beta = 0.5$ at the 95% C.L. If the $LQ \rightarrow lq$ and $LQ \rightarrow \nu q'$ couplings are not too large ($\beta \leq 0.3$) [13], this result represents the most stringent limit to date.

We thank the staffs at Fermilab and collaborating institutions and acknowledge support from the DOE and NSF (USA); CEA and CNRS/IN2P3 (France); FASI, Rosatom and RFBR (Russia); CNPq, FAPERJ, FAPESP, and FUNDUNESP (Brazil); DAE and DST (India); Colciencias (Colombia); CONACyT (Mexico); KRF and KOSEF (Korea); CONICET and UBACyT (Argentina); STFC and the Royal Society (United Kingdom); MSMT and GACR (Czech Republic); CRC Program and NSERC (Canada); BMBF and DFG (Germany); SFI (Ireland); The Swedish Research Council (Sweden); and CAS and CNSF (China).

[1] S. P. Martin, arXiv:hep-ph/9709356.
[2] H. Georgi and S. L. Glashow, Phys. Rev. Lett. 32, 438 (1974).
[3] J. L. Hewett and T. G. Rizzo, Phys. Rep. 183, 193 (1989).
[4] D. E. Acosta and S. K. Blessing, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 49, 389 (1999).
[5] K. Nakamura et al. (Particle Data Group), J. Phys. G 37, 075021 (2010).
[6] M. Kuze and Y. Sirois, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 50, 1 (2003).
[7] W. Buchmüller, R. Ruckl, and D. Wyler, Phys. Lett. B 191, 442 (1987); 448, 320 (1999).
[8] M. Krämer, T. Plehn, M. Spira, and P. M. Zerwas, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 341 (1997).
[9] P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B 446, 62 (1999).
[10] G. Abbiendi et al. (OPAL Collaboration), Eur. Phys. J. C 31, 281 (2003).
[11] G. Abbiendi et al. (OPAL Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B 526, 233 (2002).
[12] A. Aktas et al. (H1 Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B 629, 9 (2005).
[13] F. D. Aaron et al. (H1 Collaboration), arXiv:1107.3716 [Phys. Lett. B (to be published)].
The pseudorapidity is defined as 
\[ \eta = -\ln(\tan(\theta/2)) \]
where \( \theta \) is the polar angle with respect to the proton beam direction.

[24] V. M. Abazov et al. (D0 Collaboration), Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 565, 463 (2006).

[25] G. C. Blazey et al., in Proceedings of the Workshop: QCD and Weak Boson Physics in Run II, edited by U. Baur, R. K. Ellis, and D. Zeppenfeld, Report No. Fermilab-Pub-00/297.

[26] V. M. Abazov et al. (D0 Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 062001 (2008).

[27] T. Sjöstrand, S. Mrenna, and P. Z. Skands, J. High Energy Phys. 05 (2006) 026.

[28] J. Pumplin et al., J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2002) 012.

[29] M. L. Mangano, M. Moretti, F. Piccinini, R. Pittau, and A. D. Polosa, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2003) 001.

[30] E. Boos et al. (CompHEP Collaboration), Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 534, 250 (2004).

[31] J. M. Campbell and R. K. Ellis, Phys. Rev. D 60, 113006 (1999).

[32] J. M. Campbell and R. K. Ellis, Phys. Rev. D 65, 113007 (2002).

[33] S. Moch and P. Uwer, Phys. Rev. D 78, 034003 (2008).

[34] V. M. Abazov et al. (D0 Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 102002 (2008).

[35] K. Melnikov and F. Petriello, Phys. Rev. D 74, 114017 (2006).

[36] R. Brun and F. Carminati, CERN Program Library Long Writeup Report No. W5013, 1993.

[37] V. M. Abazov et al. (D0 Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D 78, 012005 (2008).

[38] V. M. Abazov et al. (D0 Collaboration), arXiv:1106.1457 [Phys. Lett. B (to be published)].

[39] T. Junk, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 434, 435 (1999).

[40] W. Fisher, Report No. FERMILAB-TM-2386-E.

[41] J. L. Hewett and T. G. Rizzo, Phys. Rev. D 58, 055005 (1998).