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Abstract
The main goal of this paper is to investigate the behavior of Romanian syllables related to some classical minimum effort laws: the laws of Chebanow, Menzerath and Fenk. The results are compared with results of similar researches realized for different languages.
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1 Introduction
In the last decade, the building of language resources (LR) and their relevance to practically all fields of Information Society Technologies has been widely recognized. LRs cover basic software tools for their acquisition, preparation, collection, management, customisation and use and are used in many types of applications (from language services to e-learning and linguistic studies, etc.) The relevance of the evaluation for language technologies development is increasingly recognised. On the other hand, the lack of these resources for a given language makes the computational analyses of that language almost impossible.

The lexical resources contain a lot of data base of linguistics resources like tree banks, morphemes, dictionaries, annotated corpora, etc. In the last years, one of the linguistics structures which regained the attention of the scientific community from Natural Language Processing area was the syllable (Kaplan and Kay 1994, Levelt and Indefrey 2001, Müller 2002, Dinu and Dinu 2005a,b). New and exciting researches regarding the formal, quantitative, or cognitive aspects of syllables arise, and new applications of syllables in various fields are proposed: speech recognition, automatic transcription of spoken language into written language, or language acquisition are just few of them.

A rigorous study of the structure and characteristics of the syllable is almost impossible without the help provided by a complete data base of the syllables in a given language. A syllable data base has not only a passive role of description, but an active role in applications as speech recognition. Also, the psycholinguistic investigation could greatly benefit from the existence of such a data base. These are some of the reasons which provided our motivation for investigating the behavior of Romanian syllable related to some cognitive laws, based on the corpus of Romanian syllable extracted from DOOM.

2 Motivation
The linguists refused to accord to the syllable the status of structural unity of the language, as opposed to the units as the phoneme and the morpheme. As a consequence, the mathematical models of the syllable failed to equal the complexity of the morpheme and phoneme mathematical models.

From the point of view of the language acquisition, the syllables are the first linguistical units learned during the acquisition process. Numerous studies showed that the children’s first mental representation is syllabic in nature, the phonetic representation occurring only later.

Each language has its own way of grouping the sounds into syllables, as a result of its structure. The grouping of the syllables takes place depending on the innate psychic inclination of the group. If the vowels in a word are suppressed and only the consonants remain, the word form can be reconstructed with a high probability, when the syllabification of the word is known. This shows that from the existence of the consonant one can deduce the presence of the vowel, so one can determine the graphical form of the syllable an of the whole word. These aspects may have application in cryptography.

Numerous physiological experiments concerning the syllable are realized between the second part of the XIX-th century and the first part of the XX-th century. The experiments from 1899 made by Oussoff showed that the syllable does not always coincide with the respiratory act, because, during a single expiration, more than one syllable can be produced. In 1928 Stetson also showed that the syllable synchronizes with the movement of the thoracic muscles: each new movement of the muscles produces a new syllable (cf. Rosetti, 1963).

The psycholinguistic elements are situated inside the speech production area. Experiments revealed the presence of a library of articulatory pre-compiled
routines, which is accessed during the speech production process. In 1994 these observations led to the so-called mental syllabary. The theory of Levelt and Wheeldon (1994) assumes the existence of this mental syllabary: for frequently used syllables there is a library of articulatory routines that is accessed during the process of speech production. The adjoining of such syllabic gesture generates the spoken word and greatly reduce the computational cost of articulatory programs.

These aspects determined us to study and analyze the syllable. In the following we will focus on the lexical (not phonological) aspects of the syllable.

3 Quantitative aspects of the syllable

Opposite to the lack of qualitative insight regarding the syllable, the quantitative, statistic nature of the syllable was intensely studied.

Determining the optimal values of the length of sentences and of the words depending on the certain groups of readers may prove to be very useful in practical application. By optimum value we understand the value for which the level of comprehensibility is the biggest for the class of readers. Knowing this value should be especially important for the teachers and for publishers who print text books. The main conclusion of (Elts and Mikk, 1996) is that, for a good understanding of a text, the length of sentences in the text must be around the average length of sentences. Some optimum values are presented in the next table:

The optimal length of the words (Bamberge, Vanecek, 1984-cf. Elts and Mikk, 1996) (the first row is the readers’ level, the second row is the length of words in syllables, and the third row is the length of words in letters):

| Reader’s level | 4   | 5   | 6   | 7   | 8   | 9   | 10  | 11  | 12  |
|---------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
|               | 1.62| 1.68| 1.72| 1.80| 1.88| 1.91| 1.99| 2.08| 2.11|
|               | 6.16| 6.39| 6.54| 6.84| 7.15| 7.26| 7.57| 7.91| 8.02|

Another experiment on 98 students which were given 48 texts, produced the following optimal values:

|               | Level 8 | Level 10 |
|---------------|---------|----------|
| Optimal words (in letters) | 8.55    | 8.67     |
| Optimal sentences (in letters) | 71.5    | 76.0     |

3.1 On the data base of Romanian syllables

In order to properly investigate the cognitive aspects of the syllables (often embedded in minimum effort laws), it is necessary to have a data base of syllables. In [9] a such database of Romanian syllables is presented. We list here some of the main results of this study, with possible cognitive implications. Based on this database, in the next section we will investigate the behavior of Romanian syllables related to some cognitive laws.

Based on the DOOM dictionary, which contains \(N_{\text{words}} = 74,276\) words, the following series of quantitative and descriptive results for the syllables of Romanian language was extracted ([9]):

1. it was identified \(N_{\text{Stype}} = 6496\) (type syllables) in Romanian language. The total number of syllables (token syllables) is \(N_{\text{Stoken}} = 27,326,1\). So, the average length of a word measured in syllables is \(L_{\text{words}_{\text{syll}}} = N_{\text{Stype}}/N_{\text{words}} = 27,326,1/74,276 = 3,678\).  
2. The 74,276 words are formed of \(N_{\text{letters}} = 63,270,2\) letters. So, the average length of a word measured in letters is \(L_{\text{words}_{\text{let}}} = N_{\text{letters}}/N_{\text{words}} = 63,270,2/74,276 = 8,518\).  
3. In order to characterize the average length of a syllable measured in letters, two cases were investigated:

(a) the average length of the token syllables measured in letters is: \(\bar{L}_{\text{syll}} = N_{\text{letters}}/N_{\text{Stoken}} = 63,270,6/27,326 = 2,315\)

(b) The type syllables are formed of \(N_{\text{Tletters}} = 24,406\) letters. Thus, the average length of a type syllable measured in letters is \(\bar{L}_{\text{syll}} = N_{\text{Tletters}}/N_{\text{Stype}} = 24,406/6,496 = 3,757\). 

4. The number of consonant-vowel structures which appear in the syllables is 56. Depending on the type-token rapport, the most frequent consonant-vowel structures are:

(a) for the type syllables: cv (22%), cvcc (14%), ccvc (10%).

(b) for the token-syllables: cv (53%), cvc (17%), cv (8%), ccv (6%), cc (4%), ccc (2%), cccc (2%). 

It is remarkable that these last 7 structures (i.e. 12% of the 56 structures) cover approximately 95% of the total number of the existent syllables.

5. the most frequent 50 syllables (i.e. 0.7% of the syllables number \(N_{\text{Stype}}\)) have 137,662 occurrences, i.e. 50.03% of \(N_{\text{Stoken}}\).

6. the most frequent 200 syllables cover 76% of \(N_{\text{Stoken}}\), the most frequent 400 cover 85% of \(N_{\text{Stoken}}\) and the most frequent 500 syllables (i.e. 7.7% of \(N_{\text{Stype}}\)) cover 87% of \(N_{\text{Stoken}}\). Over this number, the percentage of covering rises slowly.

7. the first 1200 syllables in there frequency order cover 95% of \(N_{\text{Stoken}}\).

8. 2651 syllables of \(N_{\text{Stype}}\) occur only once (hapax legomena).

9. 5,060 syllables (i.e. 78%) of \(N_{\text{Stype}}\) occur less than 10 times. These syllables represent 11,960 syllables (4% of \(N_{\text{Stoken}}\).
10. 158941 syllables (58% of $N_{Stoken}$) are formed of 2 letters; the syllables formed of 3 letters represent 27% of $N_{Stoken}$, those formed of 1 letter represent 9% of $N_{Stoken}$ and those formed of 4 letters represent 6% of $N_{Stoken}$.

The upper results are similar to other results, from different languages. For Dutch (cf. Schiller et al., 1996), the first 500 type syllables, ordered after their frequency, (≈ 5% of the total number of type syllables), cover approximately 85% of the total number of token syllables. For English, the result is similar, the first 500 syllables cover approximately 80% of the total number of the token syllables. This result supports the mental syllabary thesis.

4 The laws of Chebanow, Menzerath and Fenk for Romanian syllables

Several studies proposed laws of the minimum effort type: the famous Zipf’s law, Menzerath’s law which states that the bigger the number of syllables in a word, the lesser the number of phonemes composing these syllables. In cognitive economy terms, this means that The more complex a linguistic construct, the smaller its constituents. Fenk proposes another three forms of this law:

1. The bigger the length of a word, measured in phonemes, the lesser the length of its constituent syllables, measured in phonemes.
2. The bigger the average length of sentences, measured in syllables, the lesser the average length of syllables, measured in phonemes.
3. There is a negative correlation between the length of sentences, measured in words, and the length of the words, measured in syllables.

In this section we investigate the behavior of Romanian syllables related to the three above mentioned laws.

4.1 Chebanow’s law

An intens studied problem in quantitative linguistics was the one regarding the existence of a correlation between the words’ length (in syllables) and their occurrence’s probability. In 1947, Chebanow investigated 127 Indo-European languages and he proposed a Poisson type law for the above problem.

For each particular language, he used a large number of texts to obtain the frequency of words. Denoting by $F(n)$ the frequency of a word having $n$ syllables and by $i = \frac{\sum n F(n)}{\sum F(n)}$ the average length (measured in syllables) of the words, Chebanow proposed the following law between the average $i$ and the probability of occurrences $P(n)$ of the words having $n$ syllables:

$$P(n) = \frac{(i - 1)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} e^{1-i}.$$ 

We checked the Chebanow’s law on the data base of Romanian syllables and we obtained a strong similarity between the Poisson’s distribution (Fig. 1) and the distribution of length (in syllables) of words (Fig. 2):

Remark 1 It is important to see that the graphic from Fig. 2 must be translated with 1 to the left in order to overlap with Chebanow’s law (probability $P(n)$ of the words of length $n$ is the Poisson distribution with parameter $n-1$).

Remark 2 In the Fig. 1 we represented the following Poisson’s distribution (the average length of word is 3.678, so we have to use the value $3.678-1=2.678$, cf. Chebanow’s law):

$$P(n) = \frac{2.678^n}{n!} e^{-2.678}.$$ 

4.1.1 Menzerath’s law

We check the initial Menzerath’s law, namely the one regarding a negative correlation between the length of a word in syllables and the lengths in phonemes of its constitutive syllables. The Fig. 3 shows that the law is satisfied.

4.1.2 Fenk’s law

Fenk (1993) observed also that the bigger the length of a word, measured in phonemes, the lesser the length of
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Fig. 3: The Menzerath's law: The more syllables in a word, the smaller its syllables

The length of words (in phonemes)
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Fig. 4: The Fenk's law: The more phonemes in a word, the lesser phonemes in its syllables

its constituent syllables, measured in phonemes. We checked this correlation and the Fig. 4 confirms the first Fenk's law:

5 Conclusion and future works

In this paper we have presented some quantitative observations obtained from the analyze of a data base of Romanian syllables and we checked the behavior of the laws of Chebanow, Menzerath and Fenk for Romanian syllables. All of our results are similar to the results of other researches from different other natural languages (e.g. English, Dutch, Korean, cf. Schiller et. al 1996, Choi 2000). In some future work we hope to be able to present results obtained by analyzing a corpus of spoken Romanian language other then the one we used (DOOM) and compare them to the results in this paper.
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