A Review of mathematical models for prediction of Stress-strain and moment–curvature behaviour in concrete
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Abstract. In this paper, a mathematical model for predicting the stress–strain and moment curvature relations in concrete is developed. A good number of empirical equations were proposed to represent stress-strain behaviour of conventional concrete. Most of the equations can be used for the ascending portion of the curve only. In 1997 Mansur et al. have adopted Carriera and Chu (1985) model, which was based on the model proposed by Popovics (1973). As such, model proposed by Mansur et al includes both ascending and descending portions of the stress-strain curve for the confined concrete with introduction of two constants for the descending portion of the curve. Several researchers proposed various empirical equations for stress-strain behaviour as briefly reported in the previous chapter. An attempt has been made in this study to develop mathematical models for concrete in unconfined state. These analytical equations can be applied to any concrete with slight modifications. These models are developed to validate the experimental values obtained.

1 Introduction
Graph obtained by drawing a curve for the values of stresses and strains obtained during testing a material specimen is called a stress-strain curve. By testing cylinders of standard size made with concrete, under uni-axial compression values of stresses and strains are obtained and the stress-strain curves are plotted. Even though the stress-strain relation for cement paste and aggregate when tested individually is practically linear, it is observed from the stress-strain plots of concrete that, no portion of the curves is in the form of a straight line. In concrete the rate of increase of stress is less than that of increase in strain because of the formation of micro cracks, between the interfaces of the aggregate and the cement paste. Thus the stress strain curve is not linear. In conventional concrete the value of stress is maximum corresponding to a strain of about 0.002 and further goes on decreasing with the increasing strain, giving a dropping curve till it terminates at ultimate crushing strain.

2 Analytical Stress-strain equations
Number of empirical equations for stress-strain curve has been proposed for conventional concrete. Early works done by Hognestad and Desayi and Krishnan and proposed a basic model for stress-strain of ordinary concrete. Later Saenz has proposed model duly overcoming the limitation in the model of Desayi and Krishnan. Carriera and Chu [7] provided an extension to the empirical equation proposed by Popovics. Further, Loove improves the early work by Carriera and Chu who proposed a model that can be validate experimental values. Collins et al. also extended the work by Thorenfeldt et al. to examine the relation between compressive stresses at any strain to peak stress. Stress-strain equations proposed by these authors are summarized in the following sections.

Hognestad Model
Stress-strain relation for ordinary concrete upto ascending portion of stress-strain curve defined by

\[
\sigma = \frac{f_c}{\varepsilon_0} \left[ \varepsilon - \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_0} \right)^2 \right]
\]

Where
- \( \sigma \) = Peak stress in concrete,
- \( f_c \) = compressive strength of concrete
- \( \varepsilon \) = strain in concrete
- \( \varepsilon_0 \) = strain at peak stress
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Desayi and Krishnan Model  
Desayi and Krishnan proposed simple model describing stress-strain for normal concrete as below  
\[ \frac{f_c}{f'c} = \frac{A \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_0} \right) \varepsilon}{A + B \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_0} \right)^2} \]  
Model is derived from Saenz’s original equation is in simple form such that closed-form integration can be evaluated to calculate the stress-block parameters. Due to simplicity in model formulation has encouraged many researchers to use it as general stress-strain model for concrete.  

Modified Saenz model  
Desayi and Krishnan has proposed model for ascending portion of stress-strain curve only. In view of this limitation, Saenz proposed a model considering both ascending and descending portion of stress-strain curve.  
\[ \frac{f_c}{f'c} = \frac{A \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_0} \right) \varepsilon + B \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_0} \right)^2}{1 + C \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_0} \right)^2} \]  

Wang et al. Model  
The model used by Wand et al. in the form  
\[ \frac{f_c}{f'c} = \frac{A \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_0} \right) \varepsilon + B \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_0} \right)^2 + C \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_0} \right)^3}{1 + D \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_0} \right)^3} \]  

Carreira and Chu Model  
The following general equation represents the stress-strain behaviour proposed by Carreria and Chu  
\[ \frac{f_c}{f'c} = \beta - 1 + \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_0} \right)^\beta \]  
Material parameters,  \( \beta = 1/[1 - \left( \frac{\varepsilon_0}{\varepsilon} \right)] \)  
Where  
V= Initial tangent modulus  

Loove Model  
Loove extended the early work by Carriera and Chu and proposed as  
\[ \frac{f_c}{f'c} = \frac{A \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_0} \right) \varepsilon}{1 + C \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_0} \right)^2 + D \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_0} \right)^n} \]  
Where  
\( f'_c = \)Cylinder ultimate compressive strength  
A= 1+B+C, C=1/n-1  
Indian Standard IS: 456-2000 allows assumption of suitable relationship between the compressive stress distribution and strain as rectangular, trapezoidal, parabola or any other shape which results in prediction of strength followed by substantial agreement with experimental results.  

3 Mathematical model for Concrete  
In the present investigation only ascending portion of curve is considered. Out of existing, Modified Saenz’s model is selected which seem to be valid for ascending portion of stress strain curve. The proposed equation of the curve is in the form of  
\[ Y = \frac{AX}{1+BX+CX^2} \]  
Where Y is the normalized stress (\( \frac{\sigma}{\sigma_u} \)) and X is the normalized strain (\( \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_u} \)). A, B, C are the constants. Further, equation for non-dimensional stress-strain curve can be written in the following form  
\[ \left( \frac{\sigma}{\sigma_u} \right) = \frac{A_1 \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_u} \right) + B_1 \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_u} \right)^2 + C_1 \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_u} \right)^3}{1 + D_1 \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_u} \right)^3} \]  
The constants \( A^1, B^1, C^1 \) are determined from the boundary conditions of the non-dimensional stress-strain curve. The boundary conditions are as follows.  
At the origin, \( \sigma=0 \) and \( \varepsilon=0 \), Slope of the stress-strain curve are evaluated using following equations.  
\( A=A^1 \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_u} \right), B=B^1 \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_u} \right)^2, \) and \( C=C^1 \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_u} \right)^3 \)  
Finally, substituting the above constants, theoretical equation for the stress-strain curve is obtained for concrete. Theoretical stresses are calculated for corresponding strains using developed equations. These theoretical stresses are compared with experimental results of Normal Concrete.  

Boundary conditions i and ii are for determining the constants in the ascending portion of the normalized stress-strain curve and ii, iii and iv are for determining the constants in the descending portion of the curve.
Using the boundary conditions in the non-dimensional stress-strain curves, constants for different SCC mixes are determined and from that the equations are developed.

4 Stress Block Parameter for Normal Concrete

Stress-block parameters are essential for the analysis and design of structured members. Using these parameters, flexure capacity of beam can be determined. If assumed stress-strain model is correct, more reliability in strength estimate and deformation behaviour of concrete structural members.

**Formulation of stress-block parameters**

As fewer studies on stress-strain behaviour on Normal Concrete are available, therefore development of stress-strain model is necessary to judge the flexural behaviour of Normal Concrete. Modified Saenz’s model which was considered for development of stress-strain curves of Normal Concrete. Step by step procedures involved in development of stress-block parameters are illustrated in subsequent sections.

The expressions for compressive force is given by

\[ C_u = \alpha f_{cu} b X_u \]

The area under stress-strain curve \((A_b)\) is given by

\[ A_b = \alpha f_{cu} e_{cu} \]

Therefore,

\[ \alpha = A_b / f_{cu} e_{cu} \]

Where \( \alpha \) is average concrete stress ratio without partial safety factor.

Substituting

\[ C_u = \{ b X_u / e_{cu} \} A_b \]

Tensile force \((T)\) is expressed as

\[ T = f_y X_u \]

As per Clause 38.1 of IS: 456-2000

\[ \varepsilon_s = \left[ 0.87 x \frac{f_y}{E_s} \right] + 0.002 \]

Hence,

\[ f_y = \frac{E_s (\varepsilon_s \times 0.002)}{0.87} \]

Substituting

\[ T = E_s (\varepsilon_s - 0.002) / 0.87 x A_s X_u \]

Cu and T gives the expression for compressive force and tensile force.

**Equation for area under stress-strain curve**

Area under stress-strain curve \(A_b\) is given by

\[ \int f_y dx = \frac{A}{2C} \int \frac{B + 2Ce}{1 + Be + Ce^2} dx - \frac{AB}{2C} \int \frac{1}{1 + Be + Ce^2} dx \]

...
\[
\begin{align*}
K_2 &= -\frac{2C}{2Ce + B} \quad \text{Case III} : \quad \text{If } p_2 - 4q - \text{ve} \\
\quad &= A \left[ \ln \left( \sqrt{e - \beta}^2 + \gamma^2 \right) + \frac{\beta + \gamma}{\gamma} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{e - \beta}{\gamma} \right) \right] \\
\text{Case I} : \quad \text{If } 4c-B^2 = 0 \\
\quad &= \int \frac{1}{x^2 + a^2} \, dx = \frac{1}{a} \tan^{-1} \frac{x}{a} \\
\text{Case II} : \quad \text{If } 4c-B^2 \text{ is } +ve \\
\quad &= \int \frac{1}{x^2 + a^2} \, dx = \frac{1}{a} \tan^{-1} \frac{x}{a} \\
\text{Equation for centroid distance of area stress-strain from neutral axis } N_x \\
N_x \left[ \frac{\int f \, dx}{\int f \, f e \, dx} \right] = \frac{Ae}{\int f \, f e \, dx} \\
&= A \int \frac{1 + Be + Ce^2}{1 + Be + Ce^2} \, dx \\
&= \frac{B}{2c} \left( x + \frac{Be}{2} \right) + Q \\
K_2 &= \frac{2c}{\sqrt{4c - B^2}} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{2c + B}{\sqrt{4c - B^2}} \right) \\
&= 2c \left[ \frac{1}{2} \right] \\
&= -2c \left[ \frac{1}{2} \right] \\
K_2 &= \frac{2c}{\sqrt{4c - B^2}} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{2c + B}{\sqrt{4c - B^2}} \right) \\
\text{Solution for } K_2 \text{ With } \int \frac{1}{x^2 + a^2} \, dx = \frac{1}{a} \tan^{-1} \frac{x}{a} \\
\text{The three solutions for } k_2 \text{ depending up on } "4C - B^2" \\
&= \frac{1}{x^2 + a^2} \, dx = \frac{1}{a} \tan^{-1} \frac{x}{a} \\
\text{Case II} : \quad \text{If } 4c-B^2 = 0 \\
\quad &= \int \frac{1}{x^2 + a^2} \, dx = \frac{1}{a} \tan^{-1} \frac{x}{a} \\
\text{Case III} : \quad \text{If } 4c-B^2 \text{ is } +ve \\
\quad &= \int \frac{1}{x^2 + a^2} \, dx = \frac{1}{a} \tan^{-1} \frac{x}{a} \\
\end{align*}
\]
\[ \int f(x) \, dx = \int f(x) \, dx = \int f(x) \, dx = \int f(x) \, dx \]

Substituting
\[ A_b = \frac{A}{2c} K_1 - \frac{AB}{2c} K_2 \]

\[ \int f(x) \, dx = \frac{A}{2c} (2c^2 \epsilon - BCK_1 + (B^2 - 2c)K_2) \]

In \( \frac{1}{2c} \int f(x) \, dx \)

Substituting above,
\[ A_b = \frac{A}{2c} (2c^2 \epsilon - BCK_1 + (B^2 - 2c)K_2) \]

\[ \int f(x) \, dx = \frac{A}{2c} (2c^2 \epsilon - BCK_1 + (B^2 - 2c)K_2) \]

Thus, centroid distance of area from neutral axis
\[ N_x \int f(x) \, dx = \frac{A}{2c} (2c^2 \epsilon - BCK_1 + (B^2 - 2c)K_2) \]

Hence, expression for compressive force (\( c_u \)) is given by equation
\[ [b X_U/\epsilon_{cu}] \left( \frac{A}{2c} K_1 - \frac{AB}{2c} K_2 \right) \]

Where
\( C_u \) is compressive force
\( b \) is breadth of the section
\( X_U \) is depth of neutral axis
\( \epsilon_{cu} \) is the ultimate strain in concrete
\( A, B \& C \) are the constants obtained from stress-strain curves

**Calculation of stress block parameters**

Assume initial trial value \( X_U/d \)

Using strain-compatibility method determine the \( \epsilon_s \) by following equation
\[ \epsilon_s = \epsilon_{cu} \left( \frac{d}{X_U} - 1 \right) \]

Determine the design stress \( f_s \) corresponding to \( \epsilon_s \) using the design stress-strain curve of steel

Determine the value \( T = f_s \cdot A_u \)

The area \( AB \) under stress-strain curve was calculated from equation by taking \( f_{cu} = f_{ck} \)

The stress block parameter \( \alpha \) is obtained from equation by taking \( f_{cu} = f_{ck} \)

The depth of the parabolic portion of the stress-block(\( x_2 \)) was obtained from stress-strain diagram and is given by
\[ X_U = [\epsilon_{cu}/\epsilon_{cu}] \cdot X_U \]

Similarly, the depth of rectangular portion of stress-block(\( x_3 \)) is given by
\[ X_U = [\epsilon_{cu}/\epsilon_{cu}] \cdot X_U \]

Compression force component for the parabolic portion (\( c_1 \)) of stress-block is given by
\[ c_1 = 2/3 X_U (\alpha f_{cu}/b) \]

given by
\[ c_2 = X_U (\alpha f_{cu}/b) \]

thus total compressive force(\( c_u \)) is given by
\[ c_u = c_1 + c_2 \]

Let \( X \) be the distance of the line of action of compressive force from extreme top fiber, then
\[ X = [c_1(3/8X_U + X_2) + c_2(X_2/2)]/ c_U \]

Let \( X = \beta X_0 \)

Where \( \beta \) is the effective stress-block depth factor and is a function of \( X_1, X_2, C_1, C_2 \) and \( C_U \) by virtue of the equilibrium, compressive force must equal to tensile force. Compare the difference between compressive force and tensile force, if the value is insignificant then calculate \( X_U/d \) with initially assumed value. If both values are equal stress–block parameters \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are accepted. Otherwise, repeat the procedure assuming new value of \( X_U/d \).

**4 Theoretical moments and curvatures**

The experimental results of moments have been analyzed by developing procedures for obtaining the complete theoretical moment-curvature diagrams. The models proposed for stress-strain behaviour of concrete mixes are used as the basis for prediction of the analytical behaviour of moment-curvature and in deriving the expressions of the resisting moments and curvatures. For obtaining the complete moment curvature relationship for any cross-section, discrete values of concrete strains (\( \epsilon \)) were selected such that even distribution of points on the plot, both before and after maximum was obtained.

The procedure used in the computation is given below.

i) Calculation of neutral axis depth for given values of concrete strains (\( \epsilon \))

ii) Calculation of moment carrying capacities (\( M \))

iii) Calculation of theoretical moment curvature values

Moment of resistance is given by
\[ M_r = C_U \cdot Z \]

Substituting \( f_{cu} = f_{ck} \)

\[ M_r = \alpha f_{ck} b X_u (d - X_U) \]

Also moment of resistance \( M_t \) is given by \( M_t = k_f f_{ck} b d^2 \)

\[ k_f = M_t/bd^2 \]

Where \( k_f \) is moment resistance factor

\[ k_f = \alpha X_U (d - \beta X_U) \]

using partial safety factor 1.5

\[ k_f = \alpha X_U (d - \beta X_U) \]

Theoretical moment is obtained by \( M_r = k_f f_{ck} b d^2 \)

The resistance factor \( K_t \) for each grade calculated using developed stress-block parameters

As mentioned earlier, a final phase of experimental was undertaken to validate the stress block and design parameters which are developed.

**5 Conclusions**

After determining the stress-strain behaviour of concrete, empirical equations were developed based on the relevant simplified models proposed by (1) Derived
Saenz model based on Madrid parabola as adopted by the European Concrete Committee, (2) Modified Saenz model (1964), and (3) model of Mansur et al. (1997) to present uni-axial stress-strain behaviour of concrete mixes and these models were compared with experimental stress-strain behaviour.
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