Mitochondrial Genome of *Palpitomonas bilix*: Derived Genome Structure and Ancestral System for Cytochrome c Maturation

Yuki Nishimura¹,², Goro Tanifuji¹,³, Ryoma Kamikawa⁴, Akinori Yabuki⁵, Tetsuo Hashimoto¹,⁶, and Yuji Inagaki¹,⁶,*

¹Graduate School of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Japan
²Present address: Japan Collection of Microorganisms/Microbe Division, RIKEN BioResource Center, Japan Collection of Microorganisms Microbe Division, Tsukuba, Japan
³Present address: Department of Zoology, National Museum of Nature and Science, Tsukuba, Japan
⁴Graduate School of Global Environmental Studies and Graduate School of Human and Environmental Studies, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan
⁵Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology (JAMSTEC), Yokosuka, Japan
⁶Center for Computational Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Japan

*Corresponding author: E-mail: yuji@ccs.tsukuba.ac.jp.
Accepted: August 31, 2016
Data deposition: *Palpitomonas bilix* mitochondrial genome was deposited under GenBank/DDBJ/EMBL accession number AP017433.

Abstract

We here reported the mitochondrial (mt) genome of one of the heterotrophic microeukaryotes related to cryptophytes, *Palpitomonas bilix*. The *P. bilix* mt genome was found to be a linear molecule composed of “single copy region” (~16 kb) and repeat regions (~30 kb) arranged in an inverse manner at both ends of the genome. Linear mt genomes with large inverted repeats are known for three distantly related eukaryotes (including *P. bilix*), suggesting that this particular mt genome structure has emerged at least three times in the eukaryotic tree of life. The *P. bilix* mt genome contains 47 protein-coding genes including *ccmA*, *ccmB*, *ccmC*, and *ccmF*, which encode protein subunits involved in the system for cytochrome c maturation inherited from a bacterium (System I). We present data indicating that the phylogenetic relatives of *P. bilix*, namely, cryptophytes, goniomonads, and kathablepharids, utilize an alternative system for cytochrome c maturation, which has most likely emerged during the evolution of eukaryotes (System III). To explain the distribution of Systems I and III in *P. bilix* and its phylogenetic relatives, two scenarios are possible: (i) System I was replaced by System III on the branch leading to the common ancestor of cryptophytes, goniomonads, and kathablepharids, and (ii) the two systems co-existed in their common ancestor, and lost differentially among the four descendants.
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Introduction

The mitochondrion is an organelle that is a remnant of the endosymbiotic α-proteobacterium captured by the common ancestor of eukaryotes, and still retains its own genome of bacterial origin (Gray 1993). Despite the single origin of mitochondria, mitochondrial (mt) genomes are largely divergent in the aspects of gene content and genome structure (Gray 1999; Gray et al. 2004). The most gene-rich mt genome known to date is that of the jakobid *Andalucia godoyi* containing 100 genes (Lang et al. 1997; Burger et al. 2013). In contrast, apicomplexan parasites (e.g., a malaria parasite *Plasmodium falciparum*) and their photosynthetic relatives (e.g., *Chromera velia*) have the least gene-rich mt genomes containing only 3–5 genes (Hikosaka et al. 2013; Flegontov et al. 2015). Mitochondrial genome structure also varies among eukaryotes. Besides the “typical” single-circular form represented by the human mt genome, single-linear, multi-linear, and multi-circular forms have been reported amongst phylogenetically diverse eukaryotes [reviewed in Smith and Keeling (2015)].
Cryptophytes, one of major photosynthetic microeukaryotic lineages, have a clear phylogenetic affinity to heterotrophic microeukaryotes, namely, goniomonads, kathablepharids, and *Palpitomonas bilix*. Furthermore, three heterotrophic groups, such as *Picozoa* represented by *Picomonas jdraskeda* (Not et al. 2007; Seenivasan et al. 2013), Telonemia represented by *Telonema subtilis* (Yabuki et al. 2013), and Endohelea represented by *Microhelella maris* (Yabuki et al. 2012), were proposed to be parts of the monophyletic assemblage containing cryptophytes, which is designated as Cryptista (Cavalier-Smith et al. 2015). Large-scale transcriptomic data of cryptophytes, goniomonads, and *P. bilix* are available in the Marine Microbial Eukaryote Transcriptome Sequencing Project databases (Keeling et al. 2014). For the cryptophyte *Guillardia theta*, the nuclear genome has been completed by Curtis et al. (2012). In contrast, no complete mt genome of cryptists is available, except those of two cryptophytes (Hauth et al. 2005; Kim et al. 2008).

In this study, we sequenced the complete mt genome of *P. bilix* as the first step towards understanding the mt genome evolution in Cryptista. The mt genome of *P. bilix* was found to be a linear molecule with a tripartite structure—“single copy region” (~16 kb) and two identical copies of an approximately 30 kb-region arranged inversely (~76 kb in total). In terms of gene content, the *P. bilix* mt genome was found to be unique among members of Cryptista in containing *ccmA*, *ccmB*, *ccmC*, and *ccmF* genes encoding protein subunits involved in cytochrome c maturation in mitochondria. Using comparative genomic approaches, we here discuss (i) the evolution of mt genome with the tripartite structure, and (ii) that of cytochrome c maturation system in the clade of cryptophytes, goniomonads, kathablepharids, and *P. bilix*.

### Materials and Methods

#### Cell Culture

*P. bilix* cells were cultured in the seawater-based URO medium ([http://mcc.nies.go.jp/02medium.html#uro](http://mcc.nies.go.jp/02medium.html#uro); last accessed September 12, 2016) containing 10% of the YT medium ([http://mcc.nies.go.jp/02medium.html#YT](http://mcc.nies.go.jp/02medium.html#YT); last accessed September 12, 2016) at 20 °C as described in Yabuki et al. (2010). After the density of the *P. bilix* cells reached approximately 10^5 cells/mL, the cells were harvested for DNA extraction and preparation of the plugs for pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE).

#### DNA Extraction, Sequencing, and *In Silico* Reconstruction of the mt Genome

Total DNA was extracted from the harvested *P. bilix* cells by the standard phenol/chloroform-based method. About 100 μg of total DNA was used for preparation of a 350 bp-, paired-end library with the TruSeq Nano DNA LT Sample Prep Kit (Illumina). The library was subjected to the Illumina HiSeq2000 sequence system at Hokkaido System Science Co., Ltd (Sapporo, Japan). We obtained ~346 million of paired-end 100-base reads (~35 Gbp in total). Of these, 10 million reads were assembled by SPAdes (Nurk et al. 2013). We subjected the resultant contigs to TBLASTN to identify potential mt genome fragments. The queries used in the TBLASTN search were the putative amino acid (aa) sequences encoded in the genes identified in the 12-kb mt genome fragment of the kathablepharid *Leucocryptos marina* (Nishimura et al. 2012), and those identified in the complete mt genomes of two cryptophytes, *Rhodomonas salina* (Hauth et al. 2005) and *Hemiselmis andersenii* (Kim et al. 2008). We retrieved the contigs, which matched with the queries at E-values of less than 10^-10, as the candidates for mt genome fragments. The contig sequences retrieved by the first BLAST analysis were then subjected to TBLASTN against NCBI nr database to exclude bacterial contamination. We discarded the contigs that matched to known bacterial sequences with identity greater than 90% in the second BLAST analysis. The procedure described above selected only two contigs, one was ~62 kb and the other was ~14 kb in size, as candidates for the *P. bilix* mt genome fragments. The two contigs appeared to be parts of a single, continuous DNA molecule, as we successfully amplified the DNA fragment bridging the two contigs by PCR with a set of primers designed based on the contig sequences (data not shown).

#### Genome Annotation

Within the putative mt genome, open reading frames (ORFs) encoding proteins longer than 50 aa residues were identified by using Artemis (Carver et al. 2012). Functions of the putative proteins were predicted by subjecting the identified ORFs to BLASTX against NCBI nr database. Transfer RNA genes were predicted with the trRNAscan-SE Search Server ([http://rna.ucsc.edu/trRNAscan-SE/](http://rna.ucsc.edu/trRNAscan-SE/); last accessed September 12, 2016). Genes encoding rRNAs (rsu, m1u, and m5u) and RNase P RNA were searched using MFannot ([http://megan.sun.bch.umontreal.ca/RNAweasel/](http://megan.sun.bch.umontreal.ca/RNAweasel/); last accessed September 12, 2016). The gene encoding transfer-messenger RNA (tmRNA) was detected by using Infernal ver. 1.1.1 (Eddy and Durbin 1994) with a covariance model built from the tmRNA sequences identified in mt genomes (Burger et al. 2013; Hafez et al. 2013). The mt genome sequence with the annotation was deposited in the DNA Data Bank of Japan (GenBank/EmBL/DBJ; accession no. AP017433).

#### Gel Electrophoreses

The overall structure and length of the *P. bilix* mt genome were initially predicted from the analyses of Illumina sequence reads (see above), and then were confirmed by a combination of PFGE and Southern blot analyses. The confluently grown *P. bilix* cells in 500 mL culture were used for preparing the plugs for PFGE as described by Eschbach et al. (1991) and Tanifuji...
et al. (2006). The harvested cells were rapidly embedded in 1% Certified Low Melt Agarose (Bio-Rad Laboratories) with envelope buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 100 mM EDTA, 200 mM NaCl). The agarose was poured into Disposable Plug Mold (Bio-Rad Laboratories) and solidified at 4 °C. The solidified agarose gel (plug) was incubated in N-lauroylsarcosine-Na buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 400 mM EDTA, 1% N-lauroylsarcosine-Na) with 5 mg proteinase K (3.5 U/mg; Sigma-Aldrich) for 10 days at 50 °C. The plug was then transferred to SDS buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 100 mM EDTA, 1% SDS) with 5 μL of proteinase K (100 μg/μL) and incubated for 10 days at 50 °C. The plug was washed with TE buffer before PFGE.

To digest the mt genome by XbaI, the plug was soaked in 300 μL of XbaI reaction mixture containing 25 units of XbaI (TaKaRa) at 37 °C for 12 h. We then added 25 units of XbaI to the reaction mixture, and continued the digestion for 12 h. The plugs with and without XbaI digestion were subjected to PFGE using 1% agarose gel (prepared with 1 TAE buffer), and run in 0.5 × TBE buffer at 14 °C. CHEF-DR II Pulsed-Field Electrophoresis System (Bio-Rad Laboratories) was used for the PFGE in this study. The PFGE ran for 16 h at a voltage of 5.1 V/cm with switching time set to 2.5–25 s. The agarose gel was then subjected to Southern blot analysis (see below).

For rough estimation of the 5' and 3' terminal regions of the linear mt genome (see below for details), 3 μg of total DNA extracted from the P. bilix cells was digested by EcoRI. We also prepared the DNA sample digested by SalI. The two digested DNA samples were subjected to standard gel electrophoresis using 1% agarose gel (prepared with 1 × TAE buffer), and run in 1 × TAE buffer at room temperature. The gel electrophoresis was run for 4.5 h at 50V. The agarose gel was then subjected to Southern blot analysis (see below).

Southern Blot Analyses

The DNA probe for Southern blot analyses was set within atp7 (see below). To prepare the template DNA for digoxigenin (DIG) probe synthesis, we firstly amplified a partial region of P. bilix mtDNA by PCR with a set of primers (Forward: 5'TTGACCCAGCACAATCGGTAC-3', and Reverse: 5'GTAGGAGATGGATGTGGCTG-3'), and cloned into pGEM-T Easy vector (Promega). The DIG probe was then synthesized using PCR DIG Probe Synthesis Kit (Roche Applied Science) with the cloned atp7 amplicon as the template and the primer set described above. This DNA probe was used for all the Southern blot analyses conducted in this study (see below). The hybridization reaction was performed at 37 °C for 16 h in DIG Easy Hyb (Roche Applied Science) with the DIG probe (Final concentration: 2 μL DIG probe/1 mL DIG Easy Hyb). The DNA separated by agarose gel electrophoresis was transferred to a positively charged nylon membrane (Amersham Hybond-N*, GE Healthcare) as described in DIG Application Manual for filter hybridization (Roche Applied Science). The membrane was washed twice for 5 min at room temperature in 2 × SSC, 0.1% SDS, and then for 15 min at 65 °C in 0.2 × SSC, 0.1% SDS. The signal from the DIG probe was detected using the Ready-to-use CDP-Star (Roche Applied Science). The detailed processes for hybridization and probe detection were followed as described in DIG Application Manual for filter hybridization (Roche Applied Science).

Results and Discussion

Genome Structure

The analysis of Illumina HiSeq 2000 reads, coupled with PCR experiments, recovered a single, linear contig for the P. bilix mt genome, which is 76,874 bp in size (fig. 1A). The G + C content of this contig is 27.6%. This “76 Kb-contig” appeared to bear large repeats at both ends, which are arranged in an inverse manner. Each inverted repeat is ~30 Kb in size, and contains 25 ORFs and 18 structural RNA genes (fig. 1A and B). “Single copy region,” which is sandwiched between the two inverted repeats, is ~16 kb in size, and contains 22 ORFs and 8 structural RNA genes (fig. 1A and B). We experimentally examined whether the P. bilix mt genome can be represented by 76 Kb-contig with respect to genome size and structure. First, the PFGE of undigested total DNA, followed by a Southern blot analysis, detected a single DNA fragment of ~75.5 kb in size, consistent with the size of 76 kb-contig reconstructed from the sequence analysis described above (fig. 2A). Second, the mt genome fragments produced by XbaI digestion strongly suggested that the P. bilix mt genome comprise a single linear molecule. As a single XbaI site was found in the central (single copy) region, the linear mt genome is anticipated to be split into two fragments of ~44 and ~32 kb in size after XbaI digestion (fig. 2A). Alternatively, if the mt genome forms a circular or multimeric linear structure, a single 76 kb-fragment is anticipated. After XbaI digestion of the mt genome, two DNA fragments, which were estimated to be ~45.5 and ~36.8 kb in size, were detected (fig. 2A). Although the precise sizes of DNA fragments are difficult to be estimated based on this PFGE image, this result agrees with the monomeric linear genome structure shown in figure 2A.

To assess whether 76 kb-contig covers the ends of the mt genome sufficiently, we more carefully evaluated the lengths of the mt genome fragments generated by EcoRI and SalI digestions. Each repeat region bears a single EcoRI site, which is ~5.3 kb distant from the ends of the contig (fig. 1A). If both contig ends bear sufficiently long unassembled regions (e.g., telomeric repeats), the probe for Southern blotting, which is located at the edge of the inverted repeat region, will detect DNA fragments longer than ~5.3 kb after EcoRI digestion. Similarly, if a large portion of the mt genome was missed at both ends of 76 kb-contig, SalI
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**Fig. 1**.—*Palpitomonas bilix* mitochondrial genome. (A) Putative mitochondrial (mt) genome structure. Inverted repeats are shown in blue, and the central portion of the mt genome (single copy region) is shown in yellow. The DIG probe was designed to hybridize *atp1* gene region in inverted repeats (open arrowheads). According to the monomeric linear form of the *P. bilix* mt genome, XbaI digestion is anticipated to produce ~44-kb- and ~32-kb-fragments. Likewise, ~5.3-kb- and ~9.6-kb-fragments are likely to be detected by Southern blot analyses following EcoRI and SalI digestion was anticipated to produce DNA fragments longer than ~9.6 kb (fig. 1A). Nevertheless, both EcoRI and SalI digestions were found to produce ~5.31 and ~9.51 kb fragments, respectively (fig. 2B). Thus, 76 kb-contig most likely covers almost entire mt genome, albeit we cannot exclude the possibility of short telomeric repeats not being assembled into the contig.

Linear mt genomes have been reported from phylogenetically diverse eukaryotes (Dinouël et al. 1993; Vahrenholz et al. 1993; Takano et al. 1994; Fan and Lee 2002; de Graaf et al. 2009; Swart et al. 2012; Hikosaka et al. 2013). Prior to this study on the *P. bilix* mt genome, there were only two known linear mt genomes bearing large inverted repeats containing multiple genes—the stramenopile *Proteromonas (Pr.) lacertae* (Pérez-Brocal et al. 2010) and *Acavomonas peruviana* which branches at the base of the clade of apicomplexans, dinoflagellates, and their relatives (Janousˇkovec et al. 2013; Tikhonenkov et al. 2014). As *P. bilix*, *Pr. lacertae*, and *A. peruviana* are distantly related to each other in the tree of eukaryotes, the linear mt genomes with large inverted repeats were established on the separate branches leading to the aforementioned species.

The linear mt genome of *A. peruviana* possesses telomere-like tandem repeats at both ends (Janousˇkovec et al. 2013), which are likely critical to maintain chromosome ends. On the other hand, for the linear mt genomes of *P. bilix* and *Pr. lacertae*, no repetitive sequence has been detected (Pérez-Brocal et al. 2010; this study). Thus, the *P. bilix* and *Pr. lacertae* mt genomes require alternative mechanisms to maintain their chromosome ends. For instance, the undetermined portion of the *P. bilix* and/or *Pr. lacertae* mt genome ends may have hairpin structures as observed in yeast mt genomes (Dinouël et al. 1993). It is also possible that *P. bilix* and/or *Pr. lacertae* possesses a novel mechanism for maintaining the ends of the linear mt genome.

**Gene Content**

The experimental data described in the previous section strongly suggest that 76 kb-contig most likely covers almost entire mt genome of *P. bilix*. Henceforth here, we regard 76 kb-contig as the *P. bilix* mt genome. In this genome, we identified genes encoding 47 protein species, 26 tRNA species, one tmRNA (ssrA), and three rRNA species (*mrn*, *ms*, and *mm5*), which occupy approximately 91% of the genome. No gene encoding RNase P RNA was found. Among the 47 putative proteins, 23 are parts of the respiratory chain complexes
In recent phylogenomic analyses, *P. bilix* and cryptophytes, together with kathalepharids and goniomonads, constantly form a clade with high statistical support (Yabuki et al. 2014; Cavalier-Smith et al. 2015). Reflecting the phylogenetic affinity between *P. bilix* and cryptophytes, the gene content in the *P. bilix* mt genome was found to be similar to those in the mt genomes of two cryptophytes, *Rhodomonas salina* and *Hemiselmis andersenii* (Hauth et al. 2005; Kim et al. 2008; Note that no complete mt genome is available for kathalepharids or goniomonads in publicly accessible databases). In our comparison, we considered only functionally assignable protein-coding genes. As shown in figure 3, the gene content of the two cryptophyte mt genomes appeared to be identical, except *rpl31* is absent in the *R. salina* mt genome. The *P. bilix* mt genome possesses four genes encoding proteins for cytochrome c maturation (*ccmA*, *ccmB*, *ccmC*, and *ccmF*) and two ribosomal proteins (*rpl2* and *rpl10*), which are absent in the two cryptophyte mt genomes (fig. 3).

The following genes were found to overlap with each other; (i) *nad3* and *tmnD*, (ii) *rps1* and *rpl10*, (iii) *tmM* and *tmL*, (iv) *tmL* and *tmV*, and (v) *rpl2* and *rps19*. Neither RNA editing, intron nor a deviant genetic code was detected. We found potential Shine-Dalgarno (SD) sequences (5′-AAGG-3′), which can assist mRNAs to recruit the ribosomes in the prokaryotic translation system, in the 5′ upstream regions of 15 out of the 47 ORFs (supplementary fig. S2, Supplementary Material online).

Evolution of Cytochrome c Maturation in Cryptista

Cytochromes of c-type bind to heme through two cysteine residues (at a conserved CXXCH motif) and transfer electrons between the respiratory chains in the mitochondria (Sanders et al. 2010). Due to the importance of heme binding in
cytochrome c, all eukaryotes with canonical (aerobic) mitochondria require a pathway for cytochrome c maturation (Giege´ et al. 2008). There are three evolutionarily distinct pathways for covalent attachment of heme to apocytochrome c in mitochondria, namely System I, System III, and an uncharacterized pathway in euglenozoans, which is sometimes referred to as System V (Allen et al. 2008). System I apparently shares the evolutionary origin with the bacterial system, of which subunits are encoded by the ccm operon (ccmA-B-C-D-E-F-G-H). Some eukaryotes (e.g., land plants) are believed to utilize System I, as the protein subunits required for System I have been found in both mt and nuclear genomes (Unseld et al. 1997; Meyer et al. 2005; Rayapuram et al. 2007; Hamel et al. 2009). System III, a key component of which is holocytochrome c synthase (HCCS) (alternatively known as heme lyase), has been found exclusively in eukaryotes (Allen et al. 2008; Babbitt et al. 2015). Although euglenozoans utilize cytochrome c in their mitochondria, neither System I nor System III have been found in this lineage, implying the presence of a unique system (System V) for cytochrome c maturation (Allen et al. 2004; Allen 2011).

The phylogenetic distribution of System I and that of System III are mutually exclusive amongst aerobic eukaryotes, and, to the best of our knowledge, no organism harboring both evolutionarily distinct systems for cytochrome c maturation has been identified (Allen et al. 2008). It has been speculated that the entire System I, which includes membrane proteins, cannot be nucleus-encoded (Allen et al. 2008). For instance, if ccmC and ccmF were nucleus-encoded and their gene products—inner mitochondrial membrane (IMM)-associated proteins containing multiple transmembrane helices—were synthesized in the cytosol, it would be difficult to transport these proteins into the mitochondrion and place them within the IMM. Indeed, in all of the eukaryotes utilizing System I known so far, at least one subunit was found to be mitochondrially encoded (Allen et al. 2008). Thus, eukaryotes with the mt genomes carrying no ccm gene are anticipated to utilize nucleus-encoded HCCS for cytochrome c maturation (i.e., System III).

P. bilix apparently uses System I for cytochrome c maturation, as the four ccm genes were found in the mt genome (fig. 3), and no HCCS transcript was detected in the transcriptomic data of this organism deposited in the Marine Microbial Eukaryote Transcriptome Sequencing Project. In contrast, no ccm gene was found in the R. salina or H. andersenii mt genome, suggesting that cryptophytes utilize System III for
Table 1

| Organism                        | Transcriptome project | Accession nos for the transcripts encoding HCCS |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Cryptophytes                    |                       |                                               |
| Cryptomonas curvata CCAP797/52  | MMETSP1050            | CAMPEP_0172183370, CAMPEP_0172202336          |
| Cryptomonas paramecium CCAP977/2a | MMETSP0038          | CAMPEP_0131663230, CAMPEP_0113669566          |
| Gymnogloea cryophila CCMP2564   | MMETSP0799            | CAMPEP_0173105750, CAMPEP_0179442806,         |
|                                 |                       | CAMPEP_0179461534*                           |
| Geminiger sp.                   | MMETSP1102            | CAMPEP_0173080548*, CAMPEP_0173119622*       |
| Hemiselmis andersenii CCMP439   | MMETSP1041            | CAMPEP_0172005192*, CAMPEP_0172040676*       |
| Hemiselmis andersenii CCMP1180  | MMETSP1042            | CAMPEP_0169556210*                           |
| Hemiselmis andersenii CCMP441   | MMETSP1043            | CAMPEP_0173412080, CAMPEP_0172005192,         |
|                                 |                       | CAMPEP_0172089158*, CAMPEP_0172061530*       |
| Hemiselmis rufescens PCCS63     | MMETSP1357            | CAMPEP_0173471772, CAMPEP_017342208          |
| Hemiselmis tepida CCMP443       | MMETSP1355            | CAMPEP_0174942424                             |
| Hemiselmis virescens PCC157     | MMETSP1356            | CAMPEP_0173394540                             |
| Proteomonas sulcata CCMP704      | MMETSP1049            | CAMPEP_018431988                              |
| Rhodomonas abbreviata           | MMETSP1101            | CAMPEP_0181296906, CAMPEP_0181288406,         |
|                                 |                       | CAMPEP_0181343696                            |
| Rhodomonas lens                 | MMETSP0484            | CAMPEP_0177719224, CAMPEP_0177727028*        |
| Rhodomonas salina CCMP 1319     | MMETSP1047            | CAMPEP_0172101522                             |
| Undescribed cryptophyte species CCMP2293 | MMETSP0987      | CAMPEP_0180215772, CAMPEP_0180369356*,        |
|                                 |                       | CAMPEP_0180305760*, CAMPEP_0180144656*       |
| Goniomonads                     |                       |                                               |
| Goniomonas pacifica CCMP1869    | MMETSP1018            | CAMPEP_0175913492                             |
| Goniomonas sp. Strain m         | MMETSP0114            | CAMPEP_0114548122, CAMPEP_0114556322         |
| Kathablepharid                  |                       |                                               |
| Roombia sp. NY0200              | In-house              | LC148861, LC148862                            |

Note.—The accession numbers of cryptophyte and goniomonad HCCS transcripts are of the Marine Microbial Eukaryote Transcriptome Sequence Project (http://marinemicroeukaryotes.org/project_organisms; last accessed September 12, 2016). The sequences marked with asterisks were omitted from the HCCS phylogenetic tree presented in Fig. S3. For Roombia sp. NY0200, two distinct HCCS transcripts were identified in our in-house transcriptomic data and deposited in DDBJ/EMBL/GenBank under the accession nos. listed in the table.

Cytochrome c maturation. Indeed, the transcripts for HCCS were detected in the transcriptomic data of multiple cryptophyte species including *R. salina* and *H. andersenii* (summarized in table 1). Likewise, HCCS transcripts were detected in the transcriptomic data of the kathablepharid *Roombia* sp. NY0200, *Goniomonas pacifica*, and *Goniomonas* sp. strain m, suggesting that both kathablepharids and goniomonads utilize System III as well (table 1).

If Systems I and III cannot co-exist in a single cell (Allen et al. 2008), the evolution of cytochrome c maturation among *P. bilix*, kathablepharids, goniomonads, and cryptophytes (so-called “core cryptists”) is not complicated. By superimposing the distribution of System I/System III on the relationship amongst core cryptists, we can assume that (i) the common ancestor of core cryptists used System I, and (ii) after the separation of *P. bilix* and the common ancestor of kathablepharids, goniomonads, and cryptophytes, the switch from System I to System III took place on the branch leading to the latter (“Scenario 1” in table 1). It is straightforward to speculate that the system for cytochrome c maturation in the ancestor of core cryptists remains in *P. bilix*. This scenario demands the HCCS genes found in kathablepharids, goniomonads, and cryptophytes to be of a lateral origin (or origins). Unfortunately, the phylogenetic relationship amongst HCCS aa sequences was little resolved, providing no clue for the origin of the HCCS genes in core cryptists (supplementary fig. S3, Supplementary Material online).

In the literature, no organism has been known to possess both Systems I and III so far. However, in the strict sense, the possibility of the two systems co-existing in a single cell cannot be ruled out. If the co-existence of Systems I and III is possible, alternative scenarios are conceivable and one of them is presented in figure 4B. This particular scenario assumes that the ancestor of core cryptists possessed both Systems I and III, and the two distinct systems were differentially lost on the branch leading to *P. bilix* and that leading to the common ancestor of kathablepharids, goniomonads, and cryptophytes. Nevertheless, the hypothesis described above would give *P. bilix* a somewhat uncertain status; the presence of a HCCS gene (i.e., System III) cannot be excluded, as no genome data is currently available for *P. bilix*. Likewise, HCCS genes were identified in the transcriptomic data of kathablepharids and goniomonads (table 1), but the presence of *ccm* genes (i.e., System I) cannot be excluded until their mt genomes are completed. At this moment, we are certain only about a single system for cytochrome c maturation (System III) in cryptophytes, as both their nuclear and mt genome data are available (Hauth et al. 2005; Kim et al. 2008; Curtis et al. 2012).

We need to revisit the evolution of cytochrome c maturation in core cryptists when both nuclear and mt genome
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A Scenario 1

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c}
 & ccm & HCCS \\
\hline
\text{cryptophytes} & \text{sIII} & - & + \\
\text{goniomonads} & \text{sIII} & - & + \\
\text{kathablepharids} & \text{sIII} & - & + \\
\text{Palpitomonas} & \text{sI} & + & - \\
\end{array}
\]

B Scenario 2

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c}
 & ccm & HCCS \\
\hline
\text{cryptophytes} & \text{sIII} & - & + \\
\text{goniomonads} & \text{sIII} & - & + \\
\text{kathablepharids} & \text{sIII} & - & + \\
\text{Palpitomonas} & \text{sI} & + & - \\
\end{array}
\]

Fig. 4—Scenarios for the evolution of cytochrome c maturation system in Palpitomonas bilix, kathablepharids, goniomonads, and cryptophytes. The phylogenetic relationship amongst the four lineages/species is based on Yabuki et al. (2014). (A) Scenario 1 assuming no co-existence of Systems I and III. Under this assumption, the distribution of Systems I and III can be predicted as follows. Although no nuclear genome is available for Palpitomonas bilix, we can conclude that this organism lacks a HCCS gene for System I, as its mitochondrial (mt) genome contains ccm genes for System I. Likewise, although no mt genome data is available for goniomonads or kathablepharids, we can conclude that the two lineages lack ccm genes for System I, as HCCS genes were identified in their transcriptomic data. By combining both nuclear and mt genome data of cryptophytes, this lineage most probably possesses a single system for cytochrome c maturation (i.e., System III). For each of the four lineages/species, the presence/absence (+/−) of ccm genes and a HCCS gene is indicated. This scenario assumes (i) the ancestral cell used the bacterial system (i.e., System I); designated as “sI”), and (ii) the switch from System I to System III (designated as “sIII”) occurred in the common ancestor of kathablepharids, goniomonads, and cryptophytes. (B) Scenario 2. This scenario assumes (i) the ancestral cell possessed the two evolutionarily distinct systems, and (ii) System I or System III was differentially lost on the branch leading to P. bilix and that leading to the common ancestor of kathablepharids, goniomonads, and cryptophytes. If the co-existence of Systems I and III is possible in a single cell, some uncertainties about the distribution of Systems I and III remain (represented by question marks). As no nuclear genome is available for P. bilix, it is uncertain whether a HCCS gene (System III) is absent in this organism. Likewise, no complete mt genome is available for kathablepharids or goniomonads, and the presence of ccm genes for System I in either (or both) lineages remains unclear.

cytocrome c maturation in Cryptista, as the Bayesian multigene phylogenetic analyses constantly placed this microeukaryote at the base of the clade of core cryptists including P. bilix (Cavalier-Smith et al. 2015).

Supplementary Material

Supplementary tables S1 and figures S1–S3 are available at Genome Biology and Evolution online (http://www.gbe.oxfordjournals.org/).
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