INTRODUCTION
Recent data have shown that serum vitamin D deficiency is associated with aggressive prostate cancer (PCa) diagnosis in men undergoing prostate biopsy \(^1,2\) and adverse pathologic findings at radical prostatectomy. \(^3\) These findings are likely associated with epithelial proliferation and differentiation and angiogenesis based on molecular studies using 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25-OH D) or analogues in in vitro and in vivo PCa experiments. \(^4,5\) However, limited clinical data exist on the inverse relationship of vitamin D and benign prostate cell proliferation, which could manifest itself in increased prostate volume in men deficient in vitamin D. \(^6\) Moreover, little is known of the effect of serum 25-OH D on prostate volume in men with PCa. The aim of this study is to evaluate the associations of serum 25-OH D and prostate volume in men undergoing transrectal ultrasound-guided prostate biopsy for elevated/rising PSA or suspicious digital rectal examination.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
This is a cross-sectional, observational study evaluating the associations of serum 25-OH D status and prostate volume. It is nested within a large epidemiologic study of men undergoing PCa biopsy and healthy controls evaluating the environmental and biological mediators of vitamin D and PCa risk. Study participants were prospectively enrolled through outpatient urology clinics from three academic (Northwestern University, University of Chicago, University of Illinois at Chicago) and two public institutions (Jesse Brown Veteran Affairs and Cook County Hospitals) in Chicago, IL, USA from 2009 to 2014. The study population is composed of men between the age of 40 and 79 years old, undergoing transrectal ultrasound prostate biopsy at one of the participating institutions (Figure 1).

Of the 2474 men initially surveyed, 1761 (72.4%) were enrolled in our study. Forty-two men initially surveyed met exclusion criteria for the study—diseases known to affect vitamin D metabolism including hyperparathyroidism, severe liver or renal dysfunction, rickets disease and history of inborn error of vitamin D metabolism. One patient dropped out from the study, and the 803 healthy controls were excluded as they did not undergo transrectal ultrasound. There were incomplete data on prostate volume for 145 men who were referred from other institutions, and did not have their prostate biopsy performed at one of the study sites. Ultimately, 812 men were enrolled prospectively and underwent initial transrectal ultrasound-guided prostate core-needle biopsy (minimum of 10 cores) for rising/elevated PSA or abnormal/suspicious finding on digital rectal examination (Figure 1). In total, 571 (70.3%) of the 812 patients had a documented prostate volume. The cancer cases are overrepresented among the men undergoing prostate biopsy as a result of 168 of the 571 patients being enrolled in our study cohort within 1 month following their PCa diagnosis. We continue to review the electronic medical records of all the men with negative biopsies for at least 2 years after the biopsy to ensure they remained free of PCa diagnosis. Men in the cohort routinely had their prostate volume estimated during transrectal ultrasound using the prolate ellipsoid formula. The sample size for the overall epidemiological study was calculated for the genetic analysis if vitamin D pathway
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Assessed for eligibility
(n= 2,474)
- Excluded (n= 713)
  - Not meeting inclusion criteria (n= 42)
  - Declined to participate (n= 671)
- Enrolled (n= 1,761)
  - Healthy controls (n= 803)
  - Insufficient data (n= 145)
  - Patient dropouts (n= 1)
- Elevated or abnormal PSA and/or abnormal digital rectal exam (n= 812)
  - Prostate cancer on biopsy (n= 509)
  - Negative biopsy (n= 303)

Figure 1. CONSORT diagram demonstrating the inclusion and exclusion of men in our study cohort.

We evaluated most of the known covariates known to affect vitamin D levels and prostate volume in both the linear regression and logistic models, but excluded from our best fit model variables with a P-value > 0.10. All statistical tests were two-sided, with significance defined at 0.05. Statistical analyses were conducted with STATA 12.1 (StatCorp 2011, College Station, TX, USA).

RESULTS
Table 1 shows the baseline characteristics of the study participants. The median age of PCa cases was 62.8 years and 61.4 years for negative biopsies (P= 0.005). PCa cases differed from patients with a negative biopsy by median prostate volume (35.5 vs 50.0 cm³, P = 0.001) and PSA density (0.18 vs 0.12 ng ml⁻¹ cm³, P < 0.001). African American men comprised 42.9% of PCa cases and 35.2% of negative biopsies. In addition, PCa cases were less likely to use 5-ARIs (5.4% vs 17.3%, P = 0.001).

Figure 2 demonstrates prostate volume stratified by vitamin D cut points for the entire cohort. The median prostate volume was higher among men with vitamin D levels < 20 ng ml⁻¹ at 41.6 cm³ (interquartile range (IQR) 30.4–59.3) compared with those with levels > 20 ng ml⁻¹ at 37.7 cm³ (IQR 29.4–52.4), and was statistically significant (P= 0.02). The cut point of 20 ng ml⁻¹ was also associated with significant difference in serum PSA (7.1 (IQR 4.8–11.9) vs 5.4 (IQR 4.0–8.0) ng ml⁻¹, P < 0.001). In addition, there was a statistically significant difference in serum PSA at 25-OH D < 30 ng ml⁻¹ (6.3 (IQR 4.4–9.9) vs 5.3 (IQR 3.8–7.9) ng ml⁻¹, P = 0.002), and 25-OH D < 12 ng ml⁻¹ (7.5 (IQR 5.2–11.0) vs 5.8 (IQR 4.2–9.1) ng ml⁻¹, P < 0.001). Prostate volume was higher for patients with vitamin D levels < 30 and 12 ng ml⁻¹, but the difference was not statistically significant. Stratified analyses by ethnicity and season did not result in any significant differences in prostate volume.

On multivariable linear regression analysis adjusting for age, serum PSA, 5-ARI use, obesity and PCa diagnosis, prostate volume demonstrated a significant association between serum 25-OH D level (continuous) β = −0.22 (95% CI, −0.42, −0.03, P = 0.03, see Table 2) and serum 25-OH D < 20 ng ml⁻¹ β = 4.44 (95% CI, 0.33, 8.54, P = 0.03, see Table 3). Serum vitamin D < 20 ng ml⁻¹ was chosen as a categorical variable for our final model based on the result of our univariate tests, which was utilized as a sensitivity analysis. The same adjusted model was restricted to men with negative biopsies, and showed a non-significant inverse relationship between prostate volume and serum vitamin D as a continuous variable, β = −0.12 (95% CI, −0.32, 0.09, P = 0.27), and

single-nucleotide polymorphisms and associations with aggressive PCa. This study is powered at 85.3% to detect a difference of 15% in the mean gland volume between men with 25-OH D < 20 ng ml⁻¹ versus 25-OH D > 20 ng ml⁻¹. All study participants provided written consent, and the institutional review board at each participating institution approved the protocol.

Clinical and environmental data
Clinical and demographic data were collected by patient administered questionnaires and independent chart review by trained research coordinators. A peripheral serum sample was obtained to measure 25-OH D at time of enrollment. Serum PSA levels that prompted the prostate biopsy were obtained from the medical record in accordance with institutional practice at each facility. Relevant clinical covariates including age, first-degree family history of PCa and alcohol- and tobacco-use, current use of 5 alpha reductase inhibitors (5-ARI), clinical history of lower urinary tract syndrome were all collected based on patient report and validated by the medical record, when appropriate.

Indicators of socioeconomic status were collected through questionnaire at enrollment and review of subject medical records. Ethnicity/race was determined by self-identification among participants and placed into classification of African American, European American or other. Standing height (m) and weight (kg) measurements were used to calculate body mass index on all subjects. Genitourinary pathologists confirmed all PCa diagnoses from core biopsy specimens.

Outcomes measurements and statistical analysis
Descriptive statistics between PCa and negative biopsies were tested for significance using non-parametric testing with Wilcoxon rank-sum test for continuous variables and χ²-test analysis for categorical traits. The primary outcome of interest was the association of prostate volume with serum 25-OH D level. The primary outcome was assessed using a univariate sensitivity analysis of prostate volume and PSA using several cut points for 25-OH D deficiency derived from the literature including < 30 ng ml⁻¹, < 20 ng ml⁻¹ (ref. 9) and < 12 ng ml⁻¹, which were stratified by biopsy result. Season of blood draw was defined as a categorical variable defined as high ultraviolet exposure (May to October) and low ultraviolet exposure (November to April) months.

Adjusted models were constructed with multivariable linear regression to evaluate the associations of serum 25-OH D with prostate volume among men in the study. The model was constructed by sequential addition of each covariate. The beta coefficients represented the predicted change in prostate volume per 1 unit change in each variable. A multivariable logistic model was also constructed to evaluate the relationship between vitamin D and a prostate volume > 40 grams, which was chosen because of its established relevance in the response to medical therapy with combined 5-ARI and selective alpha-blockade therapy.11,12
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Table 1. Demographic and clinical characteristics of patients after radical prostatectomy

| Continuous variables | Prostate cancer (N = 374) | Negative biopsy (N = 197) | P-value* |
|----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|----------|
| Age, years           | Median (IQR)               | Median (IQR)              |          |
| 25-OH D serum level, ng ml⁻¹ | 62.8 (58.5–67.7)            | 61.4 (56.4–66.1)          | 0.005    |
| Body mass index, kg/m² | 27.9 (24.9–31.2)            | 27.8 (24.7–31.0)          | 0.62     |
| Serum PSA, ng ml⁻¹   | 6.2 (4.4–10.2)              | 6.2 (4.3–9.3)             | 0.38     |
| Prostate volume, cm³ | 35.5 (29.1–49.4)            | 50.0 (34.5–66.0)          | <0.001   |
| PSA density, ng ml⁻¹ cm⁻³ | 0.18 (0.11–0.29)            | 0.12 (0.08–0.20)          | <0.001   |
| Categorical variables | %                          | %                         |          |
| 1st degree family history of PCa (n = 570) | 26.5                      | 15.8                      | 0.004    |
| Suspicious Findings on DRE, yes | 33.4                      | 35.0                      | 0.70     |
| BPH/LUTS, yes        | 36.6                       | 48.7                      | 0.005    |
| Race/ethnicity       |                            |                           |          |
| African American (n = 242) | 43.1                      | 41.1                      | <0.001   |
| European American (n = 228) | 44.1                      | 32.0                      |          |
| Other (n = 113)      | 16.8                       | 33.5                      |          |
| High school diploma or equivalent | 85.5                      | 78.1                      | 0.02     |
| 25-OH D < 30 ng ml⁻¹ | 23.8                       | 21.8                      | 0.60     |
| 25-OH D < 20 ng ml⁻¹ | 59.1                       | 59.4                      | 0.95     |
| 25-OH D < 12 ng ml⁻¹ | 85.3                       | 82.2                      | 0.34     |
| Season of blood draw, high UV | 52.1                      | 50.8                      | 0.75     |
| Vitamin D supplement use, yes (n = 655) | 15.3                      | 12.2                      | 0.31     |
| Married (n = 653)    | 59.8                       | 62.2                      | 0.57     |
| Obesity             | 31.8                       | 32.5                      | 0.87     |
| Alcohol use, ever    | 88.2                       | 83.1                      | 0.09     |
| Tobacco use, ever    | 54.4                       | 60.0                      | 0.20     |
| S-ARI use            | 5.4                        | 17.3                      | <0.001   |

Abbreviations: 5-ARI, 5-alpha reductase inhibitor; 25-OH D, serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D; BMI, body mass index; DRE, digital rectal examination; IQR, interquartile range; LUTS, lower urinary tract symptoms; PCa, prostate cancer; UV, ultraviolet. aWilcoxon rank-sum testing. bBMI information was missing for one patient in this cohort. χ²-analysis. cObesity was defined as a BMI ≥ 30 kg/m². Bolded P-values demonstrate the medians or proportions with significant differences between the prostate cancer and negative biopsy group.

25-OH D < 20 ng ml⁻¹ with β 6.50 (95% CI, −1.40, 14.39, P = 0.11). Similarly, adjusted models for men with PCa diagnosis, showed a non-significant inverse association between vitamin D as a continuous variable, β −0.12 (95% CI, −0.32, 0.09, P = 0.27), and vitamin D < 20 ng ml⁻¹, β 2.96 (95% CI, −1.13, 7.05, P = 0.16). Ethnicity, season of blood draw, and study site were excluded from all final models with P-value > 0.10.

Adjusted models were also constructed by logistic regression to assess predictors of a prostate volume greater than 40 gm (Table 4 and 5). Serum vitamin D levels (continuous) were inversely associated with prostate volume with a odds ratio 0.97 (95% CI 0.96, 0.99, P = 0.001) and a 25-OH D level < 30 ng ml⁻¹ was associated with increased risk of prostate volume greater than 40 grams, odds ratio 1.60 (95% CI 1.06, 2.41, P = 0.02). The model was adjusted for season of blood draw (P < 0.10), and ethnicity, study site and PSA at diagnosis were excluded from the model for P > 0.10.

### DISCUSSION

Serum 25-OH level below 20 ng ml⁻¹ was associated with an increased prostate volume on univariate analysis. This finding was also noted to remain significant in adjusted model controlling for known covariates of prostate volume including age and 5-ARI use, and stratified by cancer status. In fact, our findings demonstrated a change in prostate volume of 0.22 cm³ per unit change of serum 25-OH D. Moreover, although modest, there was a difference of 4.40 cm³ in median prostate volume among all patients in the cohort (P = 0.04) comparing men with a 25-OH D level cut point of 20 ng ml⁻¹. However, among men with negative biopsies, the median difference was even larger at 7.71 cm³ (P = 0.07). Similarly, on multivariable logistic regression model, 25-OH D < 30 ng ml⁻¹ was associated with an increased risk of prostate volume >40 grams, which is a clinical significant cut point based on the result of the prospective Medical Theraphy of Prostatic Symtoms. Overall, our findings are consistent with a smaller series from The Osteoperotoic Fracture in Men from Sweden, which demonstrated an inverse relationship between prostate size and vitamin D. However, men with PCa diagnosis on pathologic evaluation of prostate biopsy cores did not display a statistically significant difference in prostate volume by differing 25-OH D cut points. This association may be difficult to find due to PCa heterogeneity in the contribution of the tumor to overall prostate volume.

Observational studies have shown that the intake of both dietary and supplemental vitamin are inversely associated with BPH prevalence. In fact, elocalcitol, a vitamin D analogue, has an inhibitory effect on the in vitro proliferation of patient-derived benign prostatic stromal cells and PCa epithelial cells. More studies have shown that vitamin D is involved in cellular proliferation, differentiation, and apoptosis. Vitamin D has been demonstrated to be an effective anti-proliferative agent in prostatic epithelium and stromal fibroblasts through its inhibition of the cell-cycle and promotion of cellular apoptosis. Specifically, vitamin D exerts inhibitory effects on the RhoA/Rho kinase pathways, COX-2 expression and prostaglandin expression in prostate stromal cells.

Clinically, prostate gland size does not always correlate with the severity of obstructive urinary symptoms. However, treatment with the vitamin D RX628 for 12 weeks correlated with smaller prostate glands, which accompanied small decreases in urinary symptom score. Interestingly, our findings suggest that any effect that vitamin D may have on prostate volume is modest in...
men with PCa, which suggests that higher levels of 25-OH D may preferentially favor pathways for differentiation, anti-angiogenesis and anti-proliferation PCa cells or variability in tumor growth and contributions to overall size makes this association difficult to find. This may be reflected by the higher PSA levels in men with cancer observed in this cohort among deficient men with PCA diagnoses compared with those with negative biopsies. This phenomenon also likely explains the clinical observation of less-aggressive PCa phenotypes in men with normal serum vitamin D levels in our prior studies. In addition, evidence from the colon and rectal literature suggests that inflammation regulates vitamin D receptor expression. Consequently, the pro-inflammatory state of PCa may lead to lower expression of the vitamin D receptor and deficiency would further promote proliferation in men with PCa.

Studies have suggested that large prostatic glands are associated with less-aggressive form of PCa. Although, purely speculative at this time, the relationship seen between prostate size and disease aggression may reflect the biologic effect that 25-OH D appears to have in prostate epithelial proliferation and differentiation. This relationship requires further investigation via genetic and histologic evaluation of clinical patients in the future.

The limitations of our study include the cross-sectional study design, which inherently holds a selection bias that may impede the ability to control for unmeasured confounders and assess causality, though we measured many of the known risk factors for BPH, PCa and vitamin D deficiency. We did not have access to diabetes status, which has been associated with prostate volume and weakly associated with serum vitamin D status. Also, this study is limited by the fact that a one-time serum vitamin D level may not be representative of long-term vitamin D, which is theoretically important for long-term prostate growth. In addition, the men with negative prostate biopsies may have indolent microscopic cancer. Although the men in the negative biopsy group were followed for a minimum of 2 years, we recognize that some men categorized as having benign disease may be subsequently diagnosed with PCa. We also note, that our association was not observed at a vitamin D level > 12 ng ml\(^{-1}\); however, the number of patients with serum levels > 12 ng ml\(^{-1}\) was small, which likely limited our statistical power to assess for observed differences in prostate volume seen in Figure 2. Also, men undergoing prostate biopsy may not represent the general population of men without PCa. At last, a review of the literature also demonstrates that elevated serum 25-OH D is associated with an increased risk of PCa. Elevated serum levels are uncommon in our patient population likely as a result of the lower sunlight exposure at the higher latitude in Chicago, IL, USA. As a result, we were not able to assess the relationship between elevated serum vitamin D levels and prostate volume.

The strengths of this study include the diversity in age and race of the participants, its large sample size as well as the follow up of negative biopsy patients to confirm their benign disease status. Our results contribute to epidemiologic evidence for a role for vitamin D in benign and malignant prostatic disease. Further longitudinal studies are needed to assess the impact of daily vitamin D supplementation on the development of lower urinary tract symptoms/BPH and PCa. In addition, there is a need for more randomized control trials among various populations with prevalent vitamin D deficiency (that is, African Americans, men

\[\begin{align*}
\text{Table 2. Multivariable linear regression analysis of prostate volume controlling for serum 25-OH D and covariates of prostate volume}^a
\end{align*}\]

| Characteristic       | All Men (n = 571)   | Negative biopsies (N = 197) | Prostate cancer (N = 374) |
|----------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
|                      | \(\beta\) Coeff. (95% CI) | \(P\)-value | \(\beta\) Coeff. (95% CI) | \(P\)-value | \(\beta\) Coeff. (95% CI) | \(P\)-value |
| Age at enrollment, years | 0.38 (0.08, 0.66) | 0.01 | 0.83 (0.27, 1.39) | 0.004 | 0.31 (0.01, 0.62) | 0.06 |
| Serum 25-OH D, ng ml\(^{-1}\) | –0.22 (–0.42, –0.03) | 0.03 | –0.33 (–0.70, 0.04) | 0.08 | –0.12 (–0.33, 0.09) | 0.27 |
| PSA at diagnosis, ng ml\(^{-1}\) | 0.34 (0.08, 0.61) | 0.01 | 0.68 (0.12, 1.24) | 0.02 | 0.30 (0.03, 0.57) | 0.03 |
| S-ARI use, yes | 18.8 (11.6, 26.0) | < 0.001 | 11.5 (0.07, 22.9) | 0.049 | 13.6 (3.87, 23.3) | 0.006 |
| Obesity | 5.61 (1.19, 10.0) | 0.01 | 7.92 (–0.14, 16.9) | 0.08 | 4.58 (–0.03, 9.20) | 0.051 |

Abbreviations: S-ARI, S-alpha reductase inhibitor; 25-OH D, 25-hydroxyvitamin D; BMI, body mass index. *Model controlling for all variables above; covariates removed from model if \(P\) > 0.10. Defined as a BMI \(\geq 30\) kg/m\(^2\).
living in low ultraviolet climates who can contribute tissue for molecular characterization (that is, men on active surveillance, pre-radical prostatectomy, pre-prostate biopsy). BPH-focused randomized control trials could also be performed using vitamin D and conventional therapies to measure American Urologic Association International Prostate Symptom Scores, prostate volume and radical prostatectomy. Pre-prostate biopsy (AB Murphy), W81XWH-10-1-0532 pd22E (AB Murphy), P50 CA09386-1051 (WJ Catalona) and the Prostate SPORE (P50 CA180995).

CONCLUSION
Serum 25-OH D levels are inversely correlated with prostate volume, a relationship most markedly appreciated in men without PCa. Future studies are required to prospectively investigate vitamin D in a clinical trial to better assess the temporal effect of 25-OH D on prostate growth rates and disease progression in benign and malignant prostatic disease. Given that we have shown associations of low serum vitamin D with aggressive PCa and now malignant prostatic disease. Given that we have shown associations of low vitamin D with prostate volume, it may be prudent to measure vitamin D levels in men with BPH/lower urinary tract symptoms, elevated PSA and PCa. Supplementation of vitamin D3 is largely non-toxic and the bone health benefits are significant in elderly men. Future studies will have to clarify the impact of supplementation on prostate disease outcomes.
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