Small scale tests of cement with focused ion beam and nanoindentation
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Abstract. Nanoindentation is used for characterization of small scale material properties of hydrated cement. It is employed as a precise loading tool on samples fabricated with Focused Ion Beam milling (FIB). The effect of heat on the microstructure of cement during different FIB energy loads is studied. Milling currents as low as 0.1 nA can be considered as save and not damaging. Micrometer sized beams were bent to reveal strength and fracture characteristics. Small scale elastic properties, tensile strength and fracture energy of individual low scale microstructural constituents of cement paste like C-S-H rich phases and Portlandite were assessed. Very high tensile strengths at the micrometer scale were observed for cement paste hydration products (200–700 MPa) with fracture energies 4–20 J/m². The results are consistent with atomistic simulations and multi-scale modeling from available literature.

1 Introduction

Tensile strength and fracture toughness are very important characteristics of cement based materials. Cementitious composites are based on cement matrix which is characterized by a brittle failure and the ductility of the whole composite specimen depends very much on its size, volume content and shape of defects that are present in the form of cracks and voids. From the macroscopic point of view the size dependence and strength scaling has been studied by fracture mechanics for a long time. Energetic size effect on strength was derived by Bažant [1]. However, the mechanisms of the fracture and related micromechanical characteristics in the fracture process zone remained. It is now clear that the initiation of the fracture happens on scales much smaller than the lab specimens, i.e. on the microscale. Thus, advanced material models (usually multi-scale) must take into account intrinsic strength and fracture properties of individual small scale constituents of the cementitious matrix.

With the development of novel experimental techniques like electron microscopy, nanoindentation [2] and focused ion beam milling (FIB) [3] it is now possible to extract properties on scales as low as 1 µm. While microscopy enables visualizing morphology and chemical composition of microstructural features of cement matrix nanoindentation allows micromechanical characterization of the small material volumes. Elastic and creep
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properties were already found [4, 5]. But until recently, it was not possible to access fracture properties of the small scale constituents. Němeček et al. developed a methodology based on bending of FIB prepared micrometer sized specimens [6] where FIB allows preparation of geometrically precise microscopic samples and nanoindenter allows precise measurement of the bending response of the micro-beams.

The work presented in this paper presents FIB preparation technique, quantifies possible FIB caused damage to the samples and shows the strength and fracture properties of individual constituents of cement paste at the micrometer scale.

2 Methodology and experiments

First, samples were scanned in SEM and locations of individual microstructural phases detected. Then, micro-beams were milled with FIB (FEI Quanta 3D FEG) in the form cantilevers (15-20 µm long with triangular cross-section 3-4 µm), Fig. 1. As the next step, micro-beams were loaded at the free end by nanoindenter (Hysitron TI-700) and bent. Bending response of the beams was tested in several elastic cycles. Standard beam theory was used to calculate Young's modulus as

\[ E = \frac{FL^3}{3wI_y}, \]

where \( F \) and \( w \) are the force and deflection reached in the elastic regime, respectively, \( L \) is the micro-beam length, \( I_y \) the second moment of inertia of the cross section. Then beams were bent until the break and tensile strength and fracture energy calculated according to the methodology used in 6. From load-deflection curves measured by nanoindenter tensile strength, \( f_t \), and supremum fracture energy, \( G_{f}^{\text{sup}} \), were calculated as

\[ f_t = \frac{F_{\text{max}}L}{W_h}, \]

\[ G_{f}^{\text{sup}} = \frac{1}{A_f} \int_0^{w_{\text{max}}} Fdw, \]

where \( F_{\text{max}} \) is the maximum measured force, \( W_h \) is the section modulus, \( w_{\text{max}} \) is the peak deflection and \( A_f \) is the nominal fracture area (i.e. \( A_f = \frac{1}{2}bd \) for the triangle \( b \times d \)). Measurement of the load-displacement curve was done in the displacement controlled regime. However, current capabilities of the instrument do not allow to capture softening and the descending branch of the curves is not stable. Thus, the fracture energy was, in accordance with [6] assessed as supremum energy assuming that micro-beam behavior shows neither snap-back nor softening.

2.1 Samples

Samples of cement paste made from CEM-I 42.5R mixed with water to binder ratio 0.4 were produced and stored in water for 8 years to support full hydration. Microstructure of the samples was scanned by electron microscope (SEM) and approximate volumetric content of individual microstructural phases calculated by image analysis. The phases included inner product (~28%), outer product (~50%), Portlandite crystals (CH) (~10%), unhydrated clinkers (3%) and capillary porosity (12%), see [6] for details. Prior to further
analyses samples were cut into 5 mm thick slices and prepared with a metallographic procedure [4-6] to get a flat and smooth surface with small roughness of several tens of nm.

![Image of FIB milled micro-beam](Fig. 1. FIB milled micro-beam.)

### 2.2Focused Ion Beam milling

Micro-beams' geometry with triangular cross section was already optimized in [6] to be feasible for fabrication, small enough to represent a particular phase and large enough to minimize geometrical imperfections. The FIB interaction with the sample causes generation of the heat that can further damage the sample. To study such effect, three energy levels were tested: high energy (HE), standard energy (SE) and low energy (LE). Common acceleration voltage of 30 kV was used for all samples. The milling current varied and was 30, 1 and 0.1 nA for HE, SE and LE variants, respectively. Details on the FIB milling steps can be found [12]. It can be seen in Fig. 2 that LE milling produces fine undamaged samples while HE milling causes rounded geometry with some artifacts and damage on the sample surface.

![Image of cross section of micro-beams milled with different energies](Fig. 2. Cross section of micro-beams milled with different energies LE (left), HE (right).)
2.3 Heat transfer model of the FIB process

In order to quantify the effect of temperature rise in the micro-beams a finite element (FE) model was proposed. The non-stationary heat transfer on a domain much larger with respect to the beam dimensions was solved. An axisymmetrical FE mesh of 5x5 mm filled with quadrilateral elements with linear approximations was created, Fig. 3. The smallest element size at the point of ion beam contact was 100 nm.

Fig. 3. Scheme of the heat transfer FE model.

3 Results and discussion

Temperature calculations (Fig. 4) showed that SE milling causes temperature rise around 100 °C at the point of FIB contact and about 40 °C in 100 nm distance. On the other hand, HE milling causes extreme temperatures above 3000 °C at the point of contact leading to inevitable micro-structural changes. The zone with temperatures above 100 °C is still about 2 μm away from the contact point. It must be noted that the temperature is very high but not fully real since the model does not take into account other effects like heat sinks during evaporation and phase changes. LE milling does not show temperatures above 100 °C in any point of the domain and can be considered as safe and not damaging to the material. Such results agree with microstructural observation in SEM.

Fig. 4. Calculated temperatures around the point of beam contact HE (left), SE(right).

Load-deflection curves were obtained on 7-10 micro-beams for each studied phase. Mechanical parameters of the phases are summarized in Tab. 1. Fig. 5 depicts loading
diagrams normalized with respect to beam length. Behavior of all phases was mostly linear up to the break with sudden descending branch which is a manifestation of their brittle nature and inability of the instrument to capture softening, as mentioned before. The results of elastic properties are in good agreement with literature data of specific microscale constituents. Tensile strengths are much higher than macroscale values of cement paste showing a high scaling factor. The discrepancy between the scales is explained by multiscale models that take into account defect present at higher composite levels [7]. The defects are voids, cracks or inclusions. Strength calculation at C-S-H level provided by molecular dynamics models yields tensile strength for low density and high density C-S-H as 550-720 MPa [8]. AFM measurements revealed 930 MPa [9]. The values are comparable with microbending tests in Tab. 1. MD simulations give fracture energies in the range of 0.4-3 J/m² [10,11] which is a bit less than our supremum energies.

Fig. 5. Examples of load-deflection diagrams of micro-beams in different phases.

Table 1. Micromechanical properties derived from micro-beam bending.

|                | Outer product | Inner product | CH       |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|----------|
| $E$ (GPa)      | 24.9 ± 1.3    | 33.6±2.0      | 39.0±7.1 |
| $f_t$ (MPa)    | 264.1 ± 73.4  | 700.2±198.5   | 655.1±258.3 |
| $G^{\text{pop}}$ (J/m²) | 4.4±1.9     | 19.7±3.8      | 19.9±14.4 |

4 Conclusions

The FE model of the FIB milling process indicates that high temperatures develop in cement paste for high energy dose. For 30 kV and 30 nA the extent of temperature affected zone (over 100 °C) is about 2 μm which is in the same scale as the micro-beam dimensions. The standard energy level (30kV, 1 nA) can affect about 10% of the beam cross section and the lowest energy level (30kV, 0.1 nA) can be considered as safe causing temperatures below 100 °C and negligible damage.

It was found that the tensile strength of microstructural constituents of cement paste is two orders of magnitude higher than the macroscopic value (260-700 MPa, Tab. 1). Such results are in relation with molecular dynamics simulations available from literature.
The strength scaling corresponds to the multi-scale character of the composite in which defects (in the form of cracks or voids) occur at higher levels. Multi-scale models can separate the scales and explain such situation [7].
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