Surface modification of microparticles causes differential uptake responses in normal and tumoral human breast epithelial cells
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The use of micro- and nanodevices as multifunctional systems for biomedical applications has experienced an exponential growth during the past decades. Although a large number of studies have focused on the design and fabrication of new micro- and nanosystems capable of developing multiple functions, a deeper understanding of their interaction with cells is required. In the present study, we evaluated the effect of different microparticle surfaces on their interaction with normal and tumoral human breast epithelial cell lines. For this, AlexaFluor488 IgG functionalized polystyrene microparticles (3 μm) were coated with Polyethyleneimine (PEI) at two different molecular weights, 25 and 750 kDa. The effect of microparticle surface properties on cytotoxicity, cellular uptake and endocytic pathways were assessed for both normal and tumoral cell lines. Results showed a differential response between the two cell lines regarding uptake efficiency and mechanisms of endocytosis, highlighting the potential role of microparticle surface tuning for specific cell targeting.

In recent years, the development of micro- and nanotechnology for biomedical applications has attracted a great deal of attention. This is due to the unique and controllable physicochemical properties of micro- and nanoparticles, which allow them to integrate multiple functions such as biosensing1–4, targeting5–8 and drug delivery9–11. Recently, a wide range of studies has focused on the fabrication and characterization of new micro- and nanoparticles for biomedical purposes. However, a deeper understanding of their interactions with biological systems at the cellular level is required for the design of new multifunctional micro and nanosystems with potentiated effects on target cells and diminished side effects on healthy ones.

Different studies have revealed that size12–15, shape16,17 and surface functionalization of particles18 are key factors regarding their internalization by cells. Particularly, surface charge of micro- and nanoparticles has been described to play a critical role in their internalization by cells, the cationic particles being more efficiently internalized than the anionic ones19,20, in the majority of cases. Thus, with the aim to obtain cationic particles, several approaches have been performed, including their coating with cationic lipids or polymers. Specifically, particle coating with Polyethyleneimine (PEI) has been of particular relevance21–23 due to the widely known proton sponge effect, which involves the protonation of PEI amine groups that leads to endo/lysosomal pH buffering, membrane disruption, and ultimate liberation of the content to the cytosol24. Moreover, the proton sponge effect has been shown to be more efficiently achieved when a high molecular weight of PEI is used25.
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On the other hand, micro- and nanoparticles cellular uptake and the mechanism of internalization can differ among cell types. This, however, has received less attention in the literature. Specific antibodies or peptides against certain cell surface markers are used to enhance particle internalization in target cells. However, other intrinsic properties of non-targeted particles can also have a differential effect upon different cell lines. Moreover, whilst there is a wide range of particle-cell interaction studies conducted with nanoparticles, less is known about the interaction of larger particles with cells. More research is thus required to improve the strategies for biomedical applications of micron-sized delivery systems, as they have been proved to be a good approach for drug delivery.

Hence, the aim of the present work was to provide an integrated study about the impact of different non-specific surface modifications of microparticles upon their interaction with different cell types, in terms of cytotoxicity, uptake efficiency, mechanism of internalization and intracellular fate. With this purpose, 3-μm polystyrene microparticles were functionalized with a fluorescently-labeled non-specific antibody. Then, two types of PEI, both differing on their structure and molecular weight, were used for microparticle coating. The cytotoxicity and internalization of those microparticles were studied in two human breast epithelial cell lines, one normal and another tumoral.

Results

Effect of 0.05 mM PEI on cell viability. The cytotoxic effect of PEI treatments was evaluated by flow cytometry 24 h after incubation of cells with PEI-25 K or PEI-750 K. Figure 1 shows that the percentage of viable cells, normalized to the control, was higher than 90% in all cases. Moreover, no significant differences (P > 0.05) were found between PEI treatments and control cells, indicating that 4 h incubation with either PEI-25 K or PEI-750 K 0.05 mM did not cause cytotoxicity in either SKBR-3 or MCF-10A cells.

Characterization of microparticles. Polystyrene microparticles were functionalized with Alexa Fluor®488 conjugated goat anti-Rabbit IgG (Alexa488-IgG) and then coated with different molecular weight Polyethyleneimine (PEI), 25 kDa or 750 kDa (PEI-25 K or PEI-750 K), as described in Fig. 2a. Surface charge of microparticles was evaluated by measuring their ζ-potential (Fig. 2b). Results showed that Alexa488-IgG microparticles were successfully coated with PEI, as their negatively charged surface changed to positively charged, being significantly higher when PEI-750 K was used. In order to qualitatively assess the dispersion status of microparticles, SEM images of microparticles were recorded (Fig. 2c). Although the majority of particles were in a monodispersed form, few aggregates were also observed. To quantify the amount of monodispersed particles, samples were analyzed using a Flow Cytometer, where the amount of fluorescence intensity allowed discriminating between single and aggregated particles (Fig. 2d). Figure 2e shows that in all cases the percentage of monodispersed microparticles was above 80%, which was considered as an acceptable value for our studies.

Microparticle internalization efficiency. Internalization of microparticles by both MCF-10A and SKBR-3 cells was measured by flow cytometry. In order to distinguish cells with membrane-attached microparticles from cells that had completely internalized them, trypan blue (TB) quenching of extracellular microparticles was performed (optimization of TB concentration to completely quench microparticle fluorescence is summarized in supplementary data, figures S1 and S2). Figure 3 shows how the TB quenching effect was used for distinguishing microparticles internalized from those attached to the cell membrane. Fluorescence of Alexa488-IgG-microparticles was analyzed before and after the addition of 2 mg/ml TB (Fig. 3a). We observed that fluorescence was completely eliminated after TB addition. Figure 3b shows a schematic representation of the extracellular quenching effect by TB. As TB is not capable of penetrating live cells, the quenching effect only occurs in the extracellular space. Thus, the fluorescence of microparticles bound to the membrane can be quenched by TB, whereas the internalized ones remain intact. Figure 3c shows a typical flow cytometry analysis of cells incubated with microparticles (in this case MCF-10A cells incubated with PEI-25 K coated microparticles). Two different populations of cells were observed before TB addition: the population of cells without microparticles (no fluorescence emission) and the population of cells with microparticles, either internalized or bound to the cell membrane (green fluorescence emission). After the addition of TB, the fluorescence of
non-internalized microparticles was quenched, allowing us to discriminate between microparticles internalized (green fluorescence) and microparticles adhered to the cell membrane (no fluorescence emission). Moreover, the addition of TB to the cell suspension permitted to determine cell viability, since TB can only enter in cells with damaged plasma membranes. Thus, a third population was observed after the TB addition, corresponding to dead cells which emitted red fluorescence due to the presence of TB in their cytoplasm. In this study, we used the Q4 population, i.e. live cells with internalized microparticles.

Figure 4 shows the percentage of live cells with internalized microparticles for both cell lines. We observed that MCF-10A cells internalized non-coated microparticles (Alexa488-IgG-microparticles) with a 3-fold higher efficiency than SKBR-3 cells. By contrast, PEI coating of microparticles resulted in an opposed effect. When PEI coated microparticles (PEI-25 K and PEI-750 K) were used, a significant reduction in microparticle internalization was observed in MCF-10A cells, whereas the opposite effect...
Figure 3. Quenching effect of Trypan Blue (TP) allowing discriminating internalized microparticles from those attached to the cell membrane. a,b) Fluorescence intensity of Alexa488-IgG microparticles before (a) and after (b) TB addition, analyzed by flow cytometry. Fluorescence boundary was established with Alexa488-IgG microparticles fluorescence emission (>103 a.u.). Inset shows an image of the same Alexa488-IgG microparticles before and after TB addition. c,d) Scheme of extracellular quenching effect by TB. After TB addition (d), only Alexa488-IgG microparticles attached to the cell membrane can be quenched, TB cannot quench internalized ones. e,f) Representative dot-plot of cells incubated with Alexa488-IgG microparticles, analyzed by flow cytometry after 4 h of incubation before (e) and after (f) TB addition. In the absence of TB, two populations of cells were observed: cells with Alexa488-IgG microparticles (Q4, green), either attached to the plasma membrane or internalized, and cells without microparticles (Q3, grey). TB can enter only in cells with damaged membrane (dead cells), thus the addition of TB induced the visualization of a new population of cells: those emitting in the red cannel (Q1). Therefore, Q3 and Q4 constitute the population of live cells, being Q3 cells without microparticles or cells with microparticles attached to the membrane that have been quenched, whereas Q4 shows the population of cells that have internalized the microparticles.
was observed in SKBR-3 cells. In addition, for both cell lines, although a higher percentage of internalization was observed in the case of PEI-750K, no significant differences were found when compared to PEI-25K. All experiments were conducted by incubating the microparticles in serum-free medium.

**Effect of serum and endocytic inhibitors on microparticle internalization.** The effect of serum and endocytic inhibitors on microparticle internalization was evaluated by flow cytometry, as previously
described. Figure 5a,b show the percentages of internalization in SKBR-3 and MCF-10A cells, respectively. For both cell lines, the presence of serum during incubation with microparticles significantly reduced their internalization, except in the case of SKBR-3 in presence of non-coated microparticles and MCF-10A incubated with PEI-750 K coated microparticles, where internalization was not affected.

Regarding endocytosis inhibition, we observed a cell line dependent effect of macropynocytosis inhibition by cytochalasin D (CD). Whereas in SKBR-3 cells the internalization of all types of microparticles was completely inhibited by CD, in MCF-10A cells the CD effect was only detected in the case of non-coated microparticles.

The addition of Dynasore (Dyn) also induced a differential cell response regarding internalization of microparticles. In SKBR-3 cells, Dyn did not affect the internalization of any type of microparticles. By contrast, the incubation with Dyn significantly reduced the uptake of non-coated microparticles by MCF-10A cells. This reduction was not observed in either PEI-25 K or PEI 750 K.

The cytotoxicity of all incubation conditions was determined by the use of TB in flow cytometry analyses. Results showed that none of the incubation conditions altered cell survival when compared to control cells (figure S3).

**Intracellular location of microparticles.** In order to determine the intracellular fate of non-coated, PEI-25 K and PEI-750 K microparticles in SKBR-3 and MCF-10A cells, immunolocalization of EEA-1 (endosomal compartment) and LAMP-1 (lysosomal compartment) proteins was carried out. Confocal Laser Scanning Microscope (CLSM) analyses showed that at 4 h the majority of microparticles positively colocalized with LAMP-1 marker, indicating that microparticles were located inside the lysosomal compartment (Fig. 6). These results were found for both cell lines and for all microparticle treatments. Moreover, 24 h later, the majority of microparticles (>80%) remained in the lysosomal compartment.
Discussion

Although the use of micro- and nanosystems for drug delivery has shown a strong potential for biomedical applications, a deeper understanding of their interaction with cells is required for more efficient design of drug delivery systems. Moreover, whereas a wide range of studies have focused on the study of nanoparticles behavior in contact with cells, less is known about larger particles.

In the present study we compared the response of normal and tumoral breast epithelial cell lines to 3μm microparticles with different surface properties. First, polystyrene microparticles were covalently conjugated to a fluorescently labelled secondary antibody. Then, the cationic polymer PEI at two different molecular weights was used to coat microparticles in order to 1) further modify their surface properties and, 2) evaluate how this could impact on their interaction with cells. Although PEI has been successfully used for gene delivery and/or functionalization of micro- and nanoparticles, it has been proved to yield cytotoxic effects, depending on its form (linear or branched) and molecular weight.

Thus, the first step in this work was to assess the cytotoxicity of free PEI-25 k and PEI-750 K at a 0.05 mM concentration. Given that PEI at that concentration did not trigger cytotoxic effects in either MCF-10A or SKBR-3 cells, microparticles were coated with PEI-25 k and PEI-750 K (0.05 mM). PEI coating clearly changed the surface of microparticles from negatively to positively charged. This change was significantly higher for PEI-750 K than for PEI-25 k, almost certainly due to the former having a higher molecular weight and a higher number of protonable amine groups than the latter.

To evaluate the surface charge effect of the PEI coating of microparticles on cellular uptake, flow cytometry was used to determine the internalization efficiency. Results showed that microparticle internalization was dependent on surface charge in both cell lines, as it has been previously described by other authors. However, although it has been widely reported that positively charged microparticles are preferentially internalized by cells, we observed that MCF-10A cells were more able to internalize negatively charged non-coated microparticles than their PEI-coated counterparts. In contrast, in SKBR-3 cells the uptake increased with positively charged microparticles (PEI-coated), being nearly depreciable for non-coated ones (negatively charged). In fact, it has been reported that MCF-10A cells can internalize both positively and negatively charged nanoparticles and the same seems to apply to microparticles. Taken together, our results indicate that surface charge clearly determines microparticle uptake by cells. However, the uptake can be either enhanced or inhibited, depending on the cell type, which suggests that targeting to certain cell types can benefit from the microparticle surface charge. Thus, to identify the behavior of a specific cell line in front a specific particle is very important when designing new particles for drug delivery. Our results indicate that two human cell lines, both coming from the mammary epithelium showed completely different uptake capability depending on their tumorigenic or non-tumorigenic nature.

The presence of serum in the culture medium is also critical for microparticle internalization, as a protein corona can form around micro/nano particles, modifying their surface. In this regard, it is shown that the presence of serum had an inhibitory internalization effect on both cell lines and for all types of microparticles, except for internalization of non-coated microparticles by SKBR-3 cells and PEI-750 K coated microparticles by MCF-10A. This finding highlights the impact of cell type on microparticle-cell interactions, and is in agreement with Yan et al. (2013), who observed a differential impact of protein corona in THP-1 monocytic cells and macrophages.

In order to further investigate whether the mechanism of internalization was also dependent on the cell line and surface properties of microparticles, two endocytosis inhibitors, CD and Dyn, were used. CD has been reported to mainly inhibit macropinocytosis, whereas Dyn causes inhibition of dynamin-dependent endocytosis, i.e. clathrin- and caveolin-mediated endocytosis. In the case of the SKBR-3 line, microparticle internalization was inhibited almost completely by CD but not by Dyn, both for non-coated and PEI-coated microparticles, indicating that in these cells microparticle internalization occurs by macropinocytosis rather than by dynamin-dependent mechanisms. It has been reported that SKBR-3 cells internalize their overexpressed ErbB2 receptors in a clathrin- and caveolin-independent endocytic pathway. What is more, SKBR-3 cells lack caveolae, and ErbB2 internalization is sensitive to the depletion of cholesterol, which is necessary for most of the clathrin-independent pathways. In addition, an association between macropinocytosis and tumor progression/metastasis has been reported. Altogether, these studies could explain why SKBR-3 cells use the macropinocytosis pathway to internalize all types of microparticles, regardless of their surface properties.

By contrast, in MCF-10A cells, both CD and Dyn inhibited negatively charged microparticle uptake, suggesting that both macropinocytosis and dynamin-dependent endocytosis were involved. On the other hand, internalization of PEI-coated microparticles by MCF-10A cells was not affected by either CD or Dyn, suggesting that positively charged microparticles are internalized through alternative mechanisms in these cells. In addition, a higher percentage of PEI-750 K microparticles were internalized in the presence of Dyn than in its absence. This fact could be attributed to a compensatory effect, as it has been previously described that clathrin and caveola endocytosis inhibition can cause stimulation of macropinocytosis of positively charged nanoparticles. However, further studies are needed to better understand this particular result. Taken together, all these findings suggest that both the surface charge and the cell type have a strong impact not only on the uptake efficiency, but also on the mechanism of microparticle internalization (Fig. 7).
Finally, we assessed the intracellular location of microparticles in order to evaluate whether surface charge or cell type could affect the intracellular fate of the microparticles. Results showed that in all cases microparticles were located inside the lysosomal compartment, regardless of their surface properties and cell type. This suggests that despite the fact that PEI proton-sponge effect allows the delivery of DNA and small nanoparticles to the cytosol, it is not capable of releasing large microparticles into the cytosol. In this regard, future research should be focused on alternative approaches for the efficient delivery of desired microparticles or molecules into the cytosol.

In summary, we have demonstrated that normal and tumor cells use different endocytic pathways when internalizing different types of modified microparticles. The tumor cell line SKBR-3 internalizes, mainly, positively charged microparticles, using the macropinocytosis pathway. When this pathway is inhibited, internalization is interrupted. By contrast, the non-tumor cell line MCF-10A uses different pathways to predominantly internalize negative microparticles. It seems that there is not a predominant uptake mechanism, and that compensatory internalization pathways are used when one of the pathways is inhibited. Regardless of the cell line and microparticle surface, the fate of the microparticles is always the lysosome compartment, even in presence of PEI-750 K. Thus new strategies, as pH sensitive cargo-link or esterase sensitive cargo-link, among others, must be envisaged to allow the liberation of the cargo to the cytoplasm. Consequently, the design of microcarriers against specific tissues must be done taken into account i) the surface of the microparticle and ii) the characteristics of the target cell, i.e., the uptake pathway and the final fate.

### Methods

**Functionalization of microparticles.** Carboxylated microparticles (Polybead® Carboxylate Microspheres 3 µm; Polysciences, Inc, Warrington, PA) were functionalized with an Alexa Fluor®
488 conjugated goat anti-Rabbit IgG H&L antibody (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA) using the PolyLink Protein Coupling kit (Polysciences, Inc.), following the manufacturer’s instructions (Alexa488-IgG-microparticles, from now on).

**PEI coating and characterization of microparticles.** To coat Alexa488-IgG microparticles with PEI, 5 μL of PEI-25K or PEI-750 K (10 mM) were diluted in 135 μL of NaCl. In parallel, 3 μL of microparticle solution (3 × 10^8 microparticles) was diluted in 137 μL of NaCl. Then, the PEI solution was added to the microparticle solution, mixed slowly and left at room temperature (RT) for 40 min in order to form the PEI-Alexa488-IgG-microparticles complexes. After incubation, 720 μL of serum-free culture medium was added to the solution to obtain a final 0.05 mM concentration of PEI. Non-coated functionalized (Alexa488-IgG-microparticles) and non-functionalized (-COOH microparticles) microparticles, were treated in the same way, but without PEI addition. ζ-Potential of microparticles was measured using a Zetasizer Nano ZS (Malvern Instruments, Malvern, UK). Images of microparticles were recorded using a Scanning Electron Microscope (Zeiss Merlin, Jena, Germany). Fluorescence intensity of microparticles was analyzed using a Becton Dickinson FACS Canto II flow cytometer (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ) equipped with BD Biosciences FACS Diva™ software, in order to quantitatively determine the dispersion state of microparticles.

**Cell culture.** Experiments were conducted with two different human mammary epithelial cell lines, a non-tumorigenic (MCF-10A) and a metastatic one (SKBR-3). The MCF-10A cell line (ATCC) was cultured in DMEM/F12 (Gibco, Paisley, United Kingdom) supplemented with 5% horse serum (Gibco), 20 ng/ml epidermal growth factor (Gibco), 0.5 mg/ml hydrocortisone (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA), 100 ng/ml cholera toxin (Sigma) and 10 μg/ml insulin (Gibco). The SKBR-3 adenocarcinoma cell line (ATCC) was cultured in McCoy's 5A modified medium (Gibco) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Gibco). Both cell lines were maintained at 37°C and 5% CO₂ (standard conditions).

**Polyethylenimine cytotoxicity analysis.** PEI with a molecular weight of 25 kDa (PEI-25K) and 750 kDa (PEI-750K) were purchased from Sigma and a 10 mM stock solution was prepared as previously described.

MCF-10A and SKBR-3 cells were seeded in 35 mm Petri dishes (Nalge Nunc Int, Roskilde, Denmark) at a density of 1.5 × 10^5 cells/dish. After 48 h, culture medium was replaced with serum-free culture medium containing 0.05 mM of PEI-25K or PEI-750 K and cells were maintained in standard conditions. Control cells were treated in the same way but in absence of PEI. After 4 h of incubation, the medium was replaced by fresh supplemented culture medium and the cells were incubated again for 24 h. Finally, cells were harvested by trypsinization and cytotoxicity was evaluated using the “LIVE/DEAD® Viability/Cytotoxicity Kit for mammalian cells” (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA), following the manufacturer’s instructions. Cells were analyzed under a Becton Dickinson FACS Canto II flow cytometer (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ) equipped with BD Biosciences FACS Diva™ software. For each treatment, four independent experiments were performed, where 20,000 cells were analyzed.

**Microparticle internalization.** MCF-10A and SKBR-3 cells were seeded in 35 mm petri dishes at a density of 1.5 × 10^5 cells/dish. After 48 h, the medium was removed and cells were incubated with Alexa488-IgG-microparticles either non-coated, or coated with PEI-25 K or PEI-750 K at a 5:1 ratio (microparticle:cell), in serum-free medium for 4 h in standard conditions. Microparticle internalization was evaluated at 4 h, when cells were harvested by trypsinization and analyzed under a flow cytometer. In order to distinguish internalized microparticles from those attached to the cell membrane, 2 mg/ml Trypan Blue (TB, Sigma) was used to quench the extracellular fluorescence. Cells were analyzed under a Becton Dickinson FACS Canto II flow cytometer both prior and after TB addition. This method allowed obtaining integrated information about cell viability and microparticle internalization efficiency (% of cells with internalized microparticles). For each PEI treatment and time of incubation, three independent experiments were performed analyzing 20,000 cells each.

**Endocytosis inhibition.** To elucidate the mechanism of microparticles internalization, two endocytosis inhibitors were used: Cytochalasin D (CD; macropinocytosis inhibition) and Dynasore (Dyn; Dynamin-dependent endocytosis inhibition). MCF-10A and SKBR-3 cells were seeded in 35 mm petri dishes at a density of 1.5 × 10^5 cells/dish. At 48 h, prior to the addition of microparticles, cells were pre-incubated for 1 h with 10 μg/ml CD (Sigma-Aldrich), or 80 μg/ml Dyn (Sigma-Aldrich) in serum-free medium. After that, pre-incubation medium was removed and cells were exposed to non-coated Alexa488-IgG-microparticles, PEI-25 K or PEI-750 K coated Alexa488-IgG-microparticles at a 5:1 ratio (microparticle:cell) in presence of the endocytosis inhibitors (10 μg/ml CD, or 80 μg/ml Dyn) for 4 h. Finally, cells were recovered by trypsinization and analyzed under a Becton Dickinson FACS Canto II flow cytometer.
In addition, the effect of serum on microparticle internalization was tested by incubating the microparticles (non-coated and PEI-coated) in culture medium containing serum. After 4h of incubation, the cells were trypsinized and microparticle internalization was evaluated by flow cytometry.

**Immunofluorescence detection of endosomal and lysosomal compartments.** Cells were seeded on coverslips in 4-well culture dishes at a density of 30,000 cells/well. After 48h, they were exposed to functionalized microparticles, either non-coated or coated with PEI-25K or PEI-750K, at a 5:1 ratio (microparticle:cell) and incubated for 4h. Then, cells were washed twice with PBS, fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde/PBS (Sigma-Aldrich), permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich) in PBS, and blocked with 5% PBS-BSA (Sigma-Aldrich) for 40min. Cells were incubated for 1h at 37°C with either mouse anti-EESA1 monoclonal antibody (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ) or mouse anti-LAMP-1 polyclonal antibody (BD Biosciences), in order to label endosomal or lysosomal compartments, respectively. After that, cells were washed thrice with PBS and incubated at RT for 1h with Cy5-conjugated chicken anti-mouse IgG antibody (Life technologies). Finally, cells were washed twice with PBS and incubated with Alexa 594-Conjugated Phalloidin (5ug/ml, Life Technologies) and Hoechst 33258 (0.5ug/ml, Life Technologies) to stain actin and DNA, respectively, prior to their analysis under a Confocal Laser Scanning Microscope (CLSM, Olympus XT7). For each labelling, treatment, and time of incubation with microparticles, at least 20 cells were analyzed.

**Statistical analyses.** Statistical analyses were conducted using a statistical package (IBM SPSS® for Windows, version 20.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Data were first tested for normality (Shapiro-Wilk test) and homogeneity of variances (Levene test). When necessary, data (x) in percentages were arcsine-transformed (arcsine √x) to accomplish the parametric assumptions (i.e. normal distribution and variance homogeneity).

A series of separate general linear models (analysis of variance, ANOVA) were run to test the effects of coated and non-coated microparticles and/or PEI concentrations on ζ-potential, cell viability and percentage of internalization. Briefly, the effects of PEI treatments on SKBR-3 and MCF-10A viability and internalization were determined through a two-way ANOVA (factors: PEI treatment and cell line, SKBR-3 and MCF-10A; variables: % cell viability or % internalization), followed by a post-hoc Sidak test, whereas ζ-Potential of carboxylated microparticles non functionalized (-COOH), Alexa 488-IgG functionalized microparticles (488IgG), Alexa488-IgG-microparticles coated with PEI25K (PEI25K) or PEI750K (PEI750K) was compared by a one-way ANOVA followed by a post-hoc Sidak test. Finally, the effects on non-coated, PEI-25kDa, PEI750kDa-coated microparticles intake of incubation with or without serum, or with endocytosis inhibitors (CD or Dyn) were tested in MCF-10A and SKBR-3 cells through a three-way ANOVA (factors: medium composition, PEI treatment, and cell line; variable: % internalization), again followed by a post-hoc Sidak test.

In all cases, the level of significance was set at P < 0.05. Data are presented as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM).
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