Influenza A Virus NS1 Protein Promotes Efficient Nuclear Export of Unspliced Viral M1 mRNA
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ABSTRACT Influenza A virus mRNAs are transcribed by the viral RNA-dependent RNA polymerase in the cell nucleus before being exported to the cytoplasm for translation. Segment 7 produces two major transcripts: an unspliced mRNA that encodes the M1 matrix protein and a spliced transcript that encodes the M2 ion channel. Export of both mRNAs is dependent on the cellular NXF1/TAP pathway, but it is unclear how they are recruited to the export machinery or how the intron-containing but unspliced M1 mRNA bypasses the normal quality-control checkpoints. Using fluorescent in situ hybridization to monitor segment 7 mRNA localization, we found that cytoplasmic accumulation of unspliced M1 mRNA was inefficient in the absence of NS1, both in the context of segment 7 RNPs reconstituted by plasmid transfection and in mutant virus-infected cells. This effect was independent of any major effect on steady-state levels of segment 7 mRNA or splicing but corresponded to a ~5-fold reduction in the accumulation of M1. A similar defect in intronless hemagglutinin (HA) mRNA nuclear export was seen with an NS1 mutant virus. Efficient export of M1 mRNA required both an intact NS1 RNA-binding domain and effector domain. Furthermore, while wild-type NS1 interacted with cellular NXF1 and also increased the interaction of segment 7 mRNA with NXF1, mutant NS1 peptides unable to promote mRNA export did neither. Thus, we propose that NS1 facilitates late viral gene expression by acting as an adaptor between viral mRNAs and the cellular nuclear export machinery to promote their nuclear export.

IMPORTANCE Influenza A virus is a major pathogen of a wide variety of mammalian and avian species that threatens public health and food security. A fuller understanding of the virus life cycle is important to aid control strategies. The virus has a small genome that encodes relatively few proteins that are often multifunctional. Here, we characterize a new function for the NS1 protein, showing that, as well as previously identified roles in antagonizing the innate immune defenses of the cell and directly upregulating translation of viral mRNAs, it also promotes the nuclear export of the viral late gene mRNAs by acting as an adaptor between the viral mRNAs and the cellular mRNA nuclear export machinery.
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viral RNA sensors such as RIG-I but introduces the need for nuclear export of both replicated genomic vRNA (as vRNPs) and viral mRNA. Nuclear export of IAV vRNPs is well characterized; the CRM1 cellular pathway is accessed by the participation of two further viral proteins, M1 and NS2/NEP, with NS2 acting as an adaptor protein between vRNP-bound M1 and CRM1 itself (2, 3). The mechanism underlying IAV mRNA export, however, remains less well understood, which is further complicated by the fact that there are different types of transcripts to consider: intronless (from segments 1 to 6), intron-containing but unspliced (segments 7 and 8; mRNAs encoding M1 and NS1, respectively), and their fully spliced counterparts (for segment 7; either mRNA2 encoding M2 or a so far hypothetical 9-mer peptide encoded by mRNA3 and NS2 from spliced segment 8 mRNA [4]). These mRNAs can additionally be divided into early (segments 1 to 3, 5, and unspliced 8) and late (segments 4, 6, unspliced 7, and the two spliced transcripts) classes, according to the expression kinetics of the proteins they encode (4, 5), raising the possibility that different strategies might be used for specific mRNAs. All mRNA transcripts nevertheless start with a host-derived 7mGpppGm cap structure derived from cellular pre-mRNAs by the process of “cap-snatching” (1), which provides structural identity between the 5’-ends of viral and cellular mRNAs.

Several of the viral late gene mRNAs have been shown to be retained in the nucleus in the presence of inhibitors of RNA polymerase II (Pol II) (6–8), suggesting that they normally use a cellular pathway for nuclear export. Subsequent work has identified this as the cellular NXF1 (TAP)-dependent pathway, the route by which the majority of cellular mRNAs reach the cytoplasm (9, 10). RNA interference (RNAi) silencing of NXF1 in human embryonic kidney 293 cells inhibited viral gene expression and overall replication (11) and was subsequently shown to reduce nuclear export of all influenza virus mRNAs tested, albeit with a gradient of sensitivity in which the M1 mRNA was the most sensitive and NP mRNA was the least sensitive (12, 13). Furthermore, IAV mRNAs from segments 2, 4, 5, 6, and 7 have been shown to coprecipitate with the cellular nuclear cap-binding protein and/or NXF1 (13–15), further confirming the use of the cellular mRNA export pathway.

Cellular mRNAs are recruited to the NXF1 pathway cotranscriptionally by the deposition of various cellular factors (the transcription-export or TREX complex) onto the nascent mRNA after addition of the 5’ cap structure as well as during the removal of introns (16–19). The Aly component of TREX then hands over the mRNA to NXF1 and its cofactor p15, which then interact with the nuclear pore to direct export of the transcript (20). However, since all influenza virus mRNAs are synthesized by the viral polymerase rather than by RNA Pol II and since most either do not contain introns or are exported with unprocessed introns, it is not clear how they are recruited to the NXF1 pathway. Herpesviruses have solved the problem of exporting intronless viral mRNAs by providing a viral adaptor protein to interact with Aly and thus recruit the TREX complex components needed for export (21). The IAV NS1 protein has been hypothesized to perform an analogous function, based on its known interactions with various cellular mRNA processing and export factors as well as with viral mRNAs (22). Consistent with this, a recent study defined a role for NS1 in recruiting segment 7 mRNA to nuclear speckles for splicing and also for subsequent nuclear export (23). To further define the export pathways used by IAV mRNAs, we examined the minimum requirements for nuclear export of viral mRNAs transcribed by reconstituted RNP s, focusing on segment 7 mRNAs. We found that they are largely retained in the nucleus in the absence of the viral NS1 protein and that while wild-type (WT) NS1 bound both viral mRNA and NXF1 and promoted the interaction of segment 7 mRNA with NXF1, mutant NS1 proteins that had lost these activities failed to support efficient export of viral mRNA. Furthermore, hemagglutinin (HA) mRNA was inefficiently exported in the absence of a fully functional NS1 protein. Thus, we conclude that the NS1 protein acts as an adapter molecule to direct viral late gene mRNAs to the cellular nuclear export machinery.
RESULTS

Synthetic viral late gene mRNAs are inefficiently exported in the absence of NS1. Influenza A virus transcription occurs in the nucleus of infected cells, which necessitates that viral mRNAs be exported to the cytoplasm. This is achieved at least in part by use of the main cellular mRNA NXF1/TAP-dependent export pathway (8, 11–13, 15, 23). However, transcripts from individual segments show differential requirements for the cellular export machinery (8, 12, 13), and it is not clear how the viral mRNAs are fed into the cellular export pathway. To pursue the hypothesis that a viral polypeptide acts as an adapter between the viral transcription machinery and the cellular export pathway, we compared the localization of individual viral mRNAs in the context of virus infection and in the context of an RNP reconstitution system, reasoning that the latter approach might reveal a role for a viral component other than the minimal requirements of the three polymerase subunits and NP (3PNP) needed for transcription in a minireplicon assay. We focused on segment 7 mRNAs because prior work had indicated a strong dependency on the cellular NXF1 pathway for their nuclear export (8, 12, 15).

When segment 7 mRNA localization was observed by fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) of virus-infected 293T cells at 6 h postinfection (p.i.) (using a probe complementary to both unspliced M1 and spliced M2 mRNAs), the majority of the transcripts were cytoplasmic (Fig. 1A), as expected (8, 12, 23). Time course experiments showed substantial cytoplasmic accumulation of segment 7 mRNA from as early as 4.5 h p.i. (data not shown). However, when cells were transfected with 3P and NP expression plasmids and a plasmid encoding segment 7 vRNA under an RNA polymerase I promoter (Pol I) to reconstitute segment 7 RNPs, the transcripts showed marked (although not total) nuclear retention at 24 h posttransfection (Fig. 1B). The negative controls for both infection (mock-infected cells) and transfection (lacking the PB2 subunit of the polymerase [2PNP]) gave no significant signal, showing the specificity of the probe used. Thus, segment 7 mRNAs were not exported efficiently in the RNP reconstitution system, suggesting the normal involvement of a viral factor coming from a gene not included in the minimal set needed to recreate an RNP.

Next, the transfected minimal segment 7 transcriptional unit was supplemented with additional Pol I plasmids that expressed each of the missing vRNAs (segments 4, 6, and 8), and segment 7 mRNA localization was observed as before by FISH. Again, positive-sense transcripts from reconstituted segment 7 RNPs alone were largely nuclear (Fig. 2A). The addition of either segment 4 or segment 6 (and thus the expected expression of HA or neuraminidase [NA], respectively) did not alter segment 7 mRNA localization in the RNP reconstitution system.
localization. Addition of segment 8 did substantially alter the staining pattern, however, with many more cells showing markedly greater amounts of cytoplasmic staining. When replicate experiments were scored for the number of cells showing predominantly nuclear, predominantly cytoplasmic, or a mixed pattern of segment 7 mRNA localization, the addition of segment 8, but not segment 4, caused a clear shift toward cytoplasmic localization (Fig. 2B), indicating that a segment 8 gene product promotes segment 7 mRNA export.

Segment 8 of A/PR/8/34 (PR8) encodes two identified proteins: NS1, produced from the unspliced mRNA transcript, and NS2/NEP, from a spliced mRNA (24, 25). To distinguish between the effects of NS1 and NS2, plasmids expressing either influenza A virus NS1 or influenza A virus NS2 proteins were transfected together with segment 7 RNA, and 3PNP. As a further control, we also tested NS1 from influenza B virus (NS1B). In addition, because segment 7 produces spliced and unspliced mRNAs (26, 27), the cells were hybridized with an intron-specific probe specific for M1 mRNA as well as with the pan-segment 7 probe. Both probes indicated a predominantly nuclear localization for all detectable positive-sense segment 7 RNA species when segment 7 RNPs were reconstituted alone (Fig. 2C). NS2 protein alone was not capable of rescuing segment 7 mRNA export as the mRNA was visibly still retained in the nuclei of most cells (Fig. 2B and C). Similarly, the addition of NS1B did not result in segment 7 mRNA export. In contrast, addition of NS1 from IAV had a clear effect on mRNA localization as the majority of cells now displayed mostly cytoplasmic fluorescence with both segment 7 probes.

**FIG 2** NS1 promotes cytoplasmic accumulation of M1 mRNA. 293T cells were transfected with plasmids to reconstitute RNPs (3PNP) containing segment 7 vRNA or with a negative-control set lacking PB2 (2PNP or −) as well as with other segments or plasmids expressing NS1 or NS2 only or NS1 from influenza 8 virus (NS1B) and fixed 24 h later. Cells were then stained for positive-sense segment 7 RNA (red) (A and C) or the intronic sequence of M1 (gray) by FISH or for DNA (4',6'-diamidino-2-phenylindole; blue) and imaged by confocal microscopy (C). Single optical slices are shown. Scale bar, 10 μm. (B) Individual cells were scored as to whether segment 7 mRNA staining was predominantly nuclear, cytoplasmic, or mixed. The means ± standard errors of the means from two to five independent experiments are plotted. (D) Cell lysates were analyzed by Western blotting for the indicated antigens. mSeg7, segment 7 mRNA.
Protein expression of the segment 7 and 8 gene products was analyzed by Western blotting, confirming the expression of NS1, NS2, and NS1B in the expected samples (Fig. 2D, lanes 5 to 8; note the presence of V5 epitope tags on NS1 and NS1B proteins). NP levels were comparable between samples, suggesting similar transfection levels, while an examination of tubulin levels confirmed equal gel loading. M1 and M2 proteins were not detected in the negative control where segment 7 transcription was blocked by the omission of PB2 (Fig. 2D, lane 1). Otherwise, M1 and M2 proteins (the latter running as a doublet, possibly because of posttranslational modification [28]) were detected under all conditions where a segment 7 RNP was reconstituted (lanes 2 to 8). Notably, however, the expression levels for M1 and M2 were higher when either segment 8 or NS1 was added (lanes 5 and 6). This increase in M1 and M2 accumulation in the presence of NS1 is consistent with the more efficient release of segment 7 mRNA to the cytoplasm seen by FISH, as well as with the ability of NS1 to increase translation of viral mRNAs.

In some (but not all) studies, NS1 expression has been found to affect the extent of segment 7 splicing (23, 29–31). It was therefore conceivable that, if the M1 and M2 mRNAs have intrinsic differences in transport efficiency, NS1 could indirectly promote segment 7 mRNA export by changing the balance between spliced and unspliced products. To test this hypothesis, segment 7 mRNA splicing was analyzed using radiolabeled-primer reverse transcription. In our A/PR/8/34 (PR8)-based system, M1 and M2 mRNAs accumulated to approximately equal amounts in the absence of NS1, while mRNA3 formed a minority species (Fig. 3A). The levels of genomic vRNA were also reasonably consistent. However, expression of NS1 had variable and generally modest effects on the overall levels of segment 7 splicing, with mRNA2 remaining slightly more abundant, on average, than mRNA1 (Fig. 3B). The most consistent effect, of a slight suppression of splicing, was in fact seen with NS2, which did not promote cytoplasmic accumulation of the transcripts. In all cases, NS1 (or NS2) expression changed the relative abundance of the individual segment 7 mRNA species by less than 2-fold. Overall, therefore, this indicated that the PR8 NS1 protein promotes efficient nuclear export of segment 7 mRNAs without major effects on their differential splicing.

NS1 protein has two functional domains, an effector domain and an RNA-binding domain, each associated with several functions (32). To assess whether the ability of NS1 to promote segment 7 mRNA export was intrinsic to one of the domains, NS1 mutants were generated fused with a green fluorescent protein (GFP) tag. As before, each of the NS1-GFP mutants was added separately to the segment 7 RNP reconstitu-
tion assay, and segment 7 mRNA localization was analyzed by FISH 24 h later. As expected, segment 7 mRNA could not be detected in the 2PNP, the negative control, and when segment 7 mRNA was transcribed in the absence of any additional non-RNP influenza A proteins, it was found in the nucleus, as well as when it was made in the presence of NS2-GFP (Fig. 4A and B). In contrast, when NS1-GFP was also transfected, the mRNA was efficiently exported to the cytoplasm, indicating that the addition of a GFP tag did not block the export-promoting activity of NS1. An NS1-GFP mutant, in which cleavage/polyadenylation specificity factor 30 (CPSF)-inhibitory activity had been restored by appropriate mutation of effector domain residues S103 and I106 [(S/H)100-GFP] (33, 34), also promoted the efficient export of segment 7 mRNA. However, when a mutant NS1 consisting of only the RNA-binding domain (N81-GFP; where a stop codon was inserted at codon 82) was cotransfected, the mRNA was found largely retained in the nucleus. Similarly, an RNA-binding domain mutant (R/H-K)-GFP with charge-to-alanine mutations in residues R38 and K41 was not capable of releasing segment 7 mRNA from the nucleus of the majority of transfected cells. Thus, both functional domains of NS1 protein are required to promote export of segment 7 mRNA. Expression of each GFP construct was confirmed by confocal microscopy (Fig. 4A) as well as by Western blot analyses (Fig. 4C), with the latter approach also showing increased expression of M1 and M2 polypeptides in the presence of the fully export-
competent NS1 polypeptides and an intermediate phenotype from the N81 or R+K mutant.

**NS1 is required for efficient M1 mRNA export in infected cells.** To test whether NS1 was required for efficient segment 7 mRNA export in the context of a viral infection, NS1 mutant viruses were generated by reverse genetics, with none of the mutations affecting the NS2 gene. Further single-residue RNA-binding domain mutants (NS1-R38A and NS1-K41A) were generated in addition to the double mutant NS1-(R/H11001). Cells were infected with WT PR8 or an NS1 mutant virus, and segment 7 mRNA localization was observed by FISH at 6 h p.i. Segment 7 mRNA was not detected in mock-infected cells and was found in the cytoplasm in WT-infected cells, as observed previously (Fig. 5A). A similar outcome was obtained with the CPSF-binding site mutant virus NS1-(S/H11001). However, in agreement with the findings from RNP reconstitution assays, neither the R+K RNA-binding domain mutant nor the N81 effector domain mutant supported normal segment 7 mRNA export. When replicate experiments were imaged and scored for the proportion of cells showing nuclear retention of segment 7 mRNA, around 70 to 80% of cells infected with the R+K or N81 mutant showed this pattern, in contrast to the overwhelmingly cytoplasmic phenotype of WT- or S+I mutant-infected cells (Fig. 5B). Analysis of single-residue RNA-binding mutants showed an intermediate effect, with some cells supporting apparently normal mRNA export and others showing nuclear retention (Fig. 5A and B). Western blot analysis of infected cell lysates confirmed expression of all NS1 polypeptides with the exception of the truncated protein produced by the NS1-N81 mutant, which could not be detected by the effector domain-specific antiserum used here (Fig. 5C and data not shown) (the trace

![FIG 5 Effect of NS1 mutations on segment 7 mRNA localization in infected cells. (A) 293T cells were infected with the indicated viruses at an MOI of 5, and at 6 h p.i. they were stained for segment 7 mRNA by FISH (green) and for DNA (4',6'-diamidino-2-phenylindole; blue) before confocal imaging. Single optical slices are shown. Scale bar, 10 μm. (B) Individual cells were scored as to whether segment 7 mRNA staining was predominantly nuclear, cytoplasmic, or mixed. Values are the means ± standard errors of the means from three to six independent experiments. (C) Cell lysates were analyzed by Western blotting for the indicated antigens. (D) M1 and M2 accumulation from replicate experiments was quantified and expressed as a ratio relative to NP expression. Values plotted are normalized to the ratio seen with WT virus and are the means ± standard errors of the means of three independent experiments.](jvi.asm.org)
amount of apparently full-length NS1 detectable in lane 3 likely reflects low levels of reversion in the virus stock). NP and NS2 expression levels were consistent between all the viruses, but the accumulation of segment 7-derived polypeptides showed a clear correlation with the localization of the mRNA, with efficient nuclear export leading to higher levels of M1 and M2 synthesis (Fig. 5C). Quantification of M1 and M2 accumulation from replicate experiments showed that poor nuclear export of segment 7 mRNA led to a 3- to 5-fold reduction in the quantity of M1 relative to that of NP and 2- to 3-fold reductions in the amount of M2 (Fig. 5D). Thus, in infection as well as in transfection, a functional NS1 protein is required to promote efficient nuclear export and expression of segment 7 mRNA.

As a further test of the hypothesis that NS1 might promote export of segment 7 mRNA through its effects on the cellular splicing machinery, we asked whether a drug that inhibits splicing affected mRNA export in the presence or absence of a functional NS1 protein. The SF3b inhibitor, spliceostatin A, has been shown to potently inhibit pre-mRNA splicing and consequently suggested to allow the passage of intron-containing transcripts to the cytoplasm (35). Cells were infected with either WT or NS1-N81 virus or mock infected, and duplicate samples were treated with spliceostatin A. At 6 h p.i., segment 7 mRNA cellular localization was determined by FISH. As before, the mRNA was cytoplasmic in WT infection and largely retained in the nucleus after infection by the N81 virus, but neither outcome was changed by the addition of the drug (Fig. 6A). Analysis of viral protein expression by Western blotting confirmed that M1 and NS1 (produced from the unspliced transcripts of segment 7 and 8, respectively) were expressed with or without drug but that spliced products M2 and NS2 were detected only in non-drug-treated infected cells (Fig. 6B, compare lanes 2 and 3 with lanes 5 and 6). Analysis of segment 7 mRNA accumulation by primer extension further confirmed that the drug blocked production of spliced mRNA2 (Fig. 6C). Thus, the mRNA export function of NS1 is independent of mRNA splicing, either as a positive or negative factor.

NS1 interacts with NXF1 and viral mRNAs to promote their export. We next tested the hypothesis that NS1 protein acted as an adaptor protein to deliver the viral mRNA to the cellular mRNA export machinery. This hypothesis is consistent with the dependence of segment 7 transcripts on the cellular NXF1 pathway for export (12) as well as with interactions between influenza A virus mRNAs and both NS1 and NXF1 and between NS1 and NXF1 themselves (13, 15, 36, 37). First, we examined whether mutant NS1 proteins that failed to promote segment 7 mRNA export bound NXF1. 293T cells were transfected with either GFP or GFP-NXF1 and 48 h later mock infected or infected
with the WT or the various NS1 mutant viruses. At 6 h p.i. cells were collected and lysed, and the supernatants were subjected to GFP-Trap pulldown. Western blot analyses of total and bound fractions showed that GFP-NXF1 and GFP were expressed as expected and bound well to the affinity matrix (Fig. 7). NS1 was present in all infected samples, including that with the truncated N81 protein although detection of this last polypeptide required use of an RNA-binding domain-specific antiserum and was inefficient (Fig. 7, lanes 1 and 2 and lanes 4 to 8). Consistent with previous reports (13, 36), WT NS1 coprecipitated with NXF1, as did the NS1 S517I mutant (lanes 10 and 16). However, none of the NS1 RNA-binding domain mutants bound to detectable levels (lanes 13 to 15). Similarly, the effector domain-deleted N81 protein was not apparent in the bound fraction (lane 12), suggesting that (within the limits of detection of the antibody) the truncated protein did not bind NXF1. Thus, there was a good correlation between the ability of NS1 to promote segment 7 mRNA export and its ability to bind NXF1.

Previous work has shown that NS1 binds segment 7 mRNA in vitro and coprecipitates it from infected cells (15, 37, 38). We therefore tested whether the strength of this interaction correlated with the ability of NS1 mutants to promote efficient export of M1 mRNA. First, we used an RNP reconstitution assay to recreate segment 7 RNPs, with or without the addition of WT or mutant GFP-NS1 polypeptides, before fractionating cell lysates over GFP-Trap beads and analyzing the amounts of bound segment 7 mRNA. Examination of aliquots of total cell lysate showed the expected presence of M1 and M2 mRNA species in all samples transfected with all four RNP polypeptides but not in 2P (PB1 and PA) control samples (Fig. 8, lanes 1 to 10). No detectable mRNA coprecipitated with GFP, but easily detectable amounts of both mRNA1 and mRNA2 bound to

![FIG 7 Interaction between NXF1 and NS1. 293T cells were transfected with plasmids encoding GFP or GFP-NXF1 and 48 h later either mock infected or infected with the indicated NS1 mutant viruses. Cells were harvested at 6 h p.i., and cell lysates were examined by Western blotting for the indicated proteins before (Total) or after (Bound) fractionation over GFP-Trap agarose.](jvi.asm.org)

![FIG 8 Interaction between segment 7 mRNAs and NS1. 293T cells were transfected with plasmids to recreate segment 7 RNPs (3PNP S517I) or, as a negative control, with a 2PNP combination (3PNP WT) along with the indicated GFP-NS1 polypeptides or with GFP only (NS1-GFP WT). Forty-eight hours later, total cellular RNA was extracted and analyzed by radioactive reverse transcriptase primer extension followed by urea-PAGE and autoradiography with primers specific for segment 7 mRNAs or cellular 5S rRNA.](jvi.asm.org)
duplicate samples cotransfected with WT GFP-NS1 or (S/H11001)-GFP-NS1 (lanes 14, 15, and 20). However, the export-incompetent N81, R38, K41, and R/H11001K mutants all failed to detectably bind M1 mRNA and/or bound greatly reduced amounts of M2 mRNA (lanes 16 to 19).

NXF1 has also been shown to bind M1 mRNA in WT virus-infected cells (15). We therefore used the RNP reconstitution system to ask if (as predicted by the adaptor hypothesis) NS1 facilitated this interaction. 293T cells were transfected with the plasmids needed to recreate segment 7 RNPs (or with a 2PNP combination) along with GFP-NXF1 and with or without NS1 as labeled. Forty-eight hours later, total cellular RNA was extracted and analyzed by radioactive reverse transcriptase primer extension followed by urea-PAGE and autoradiography with primers specific for segment 7 mRNAs or cellular 5S rRNA. Cells were transfected with GFP-NXF1 (+) or with GFP alone (−) and 48 h later infected or mock infected with the indicated viruses at an MOI of 10. Total RNA was extracted at 6 h p.i. and analyzed as described for panel A except that a primer specific for segment 7 vRNA was also included.

Next, we correlated the ability of mutant NS1 proteins to promote NXF1-segment 7 mRNA interactions with their mRNA export activity. 293T cells were transfected with either GFP or GFP-NXF1 and 48 h later infected with the panel of WT and NS1 mutant viruses. At 6 h p.i., total RNA was extracted before or after the lysates had been subjected to GFP-Trap pulldown, and primer extension reactions were carried out to assay segment 7 mRNAs and vRNA, as well as 5S rRNA as a loading control. Viral RNAs were detected in the total fraction of every infected sample (Fig. 9B, lanes 1 to 7) but only 5S rRNA was detected in mock-infected cells (Fig. 9B, lane 8). Analysis of the bound fractions from WT virus-infected cells showed that both segment 7 mRNA species coprecipitated with GFP-N XF1 (lane 10), agreeing with a previous study (15). This interaction was specific since genomic vRNA did not precipitate with GFP-NXF1 (lane 10) while none of the viral RNA species bound to GFP only (lane 9). A similar outcome was obtained with the export-competent NS1 (S/H11001)-mutant virus (lane 15). However, only trace quantities of M1 or M2 mRNAs bound NXF1 in cells infected with the N81 or R/H11001K NS1 mutant virus (lanes 11 and 14), while reduced amounts coprecipitated from cells infected with the single RNA-binding domain R38 and K41 mutants (lanes 12 and 13). Thus, the ability of NS1 polypeptides to direct efficient export of segment 7 mRNA...
showed a strong correlation with their ability to promote the interaction of the transcripts with NXF1, consistent with the adaptor protein hypothesis.

Finally, we asked if NS1 plays a similar role in promoting the nuclear export of other viral mRNAs. Prior studies of nuclear export and/or expression indicated that the other late gene mRNAs for HA and NA show sensitivities similar to those of the M gene mRNAs to treatment with inhibitors of RNA Pol II, whereas RNP genes belonging to the early class do not (7, 8, 12, 15, 39, 40). We therefore compared the intracellular localization of the PB1 polymerase gene (segment 2), NP (segment 5), and HA (segment 4) mRNA in cells infected with the WT or the NS1 N81 mutant virus by FISH. Positive-sense segment 5 RNA was almost exclusively detected in the cytoplasm of cells infected with either virus (Fig. 10), indicating that, unlike segment 7 mRNAs, NP mRNA nuclear export does not require an intact NS1 polypeptide. Segment 2-specific signal was most prominent in the nuclei of infected cells, perhaps reflecting proportionally greater detection of cRNA from a segment where the two classes of positive-sense RNA are present in similar amounts (41, 42). However, the levels of cytoplasmic staining seen in the WT infection were not noticeably diminished in cells infected with the NS1-N81 virus, suggesting that segment 2 mRNA export is also NS1 independent. In contrast, HA mRNA localization altered from being almost totally cytoplasmic in WT infected cells to marked nuclear retention in NS1-N81-infected cells. Thus, NS1 has a role in promoting the efficient nuclear export of viral late gene mRNAs.

DISCUSSION

The influenza A virus NS1 protein is a polyfunctional molecule that exerts many positive effects on the virus life cycle though a multitude of interactions with other viral and cellular molecules (32). Here, we characterize a novel functional role of NS1 in promoting the nuclear export of viral late gene mRNAs, in particular, M1 but also HA mRNA. We base this conclusion on the notable difference in the bulk localizations of segment 7 mRNA between infected and RNP-transfected cells, a difference that could be obviated by the additional expression of NS1, supported further by the similar alterations in segment 7 mRNA localization seen in cells infected with NS1 mutant viruses. We also found that NS1 increased the amount of segment 7 mRNA bound by NXF1 and that there was a good correlation between the ability of NS1 mutants to perform this function and to bind NXF1 itself, suggesting that NS1 acts as an adaptor
protein providing a bridge between the viral transcription machinery and the cellular mRNA export pathway. In this model, NS1 replaces (or perhaps augments) the role of the cellular protein Aly in recruiting the NXF1/p15 complex, thus sidestepping potential blocks to the recruitment of the mRNA export machinery arising from the lack of exon-junction complex deposition or competition between the cellular cap-binding complex and the viral polymerase, both of which are normal routes to attract Aly (17, 18, 43).

Our results are broadly consistent with and complement those recently reported by Mor and colleagues regarding the role of NS1 in the nuclear export of segment 7 mRNAs, with our study focusing on the role of NS1 and theirs focusing more on NS1-mediated intranuclear trafficking of segment 7 mRNA to nuclear speckles for splicing (23). We agree on the point that, ultimately, NS1 promotes nuclear export of unspliced M1 mRNA and extend the finding by showing that both the RNA-binding and effector domains of the protein are needed for the activity, which correlates with the ability to act as a bridge between NXF1 and the viral transcript. However, we do not find that this role of NS1 necessarily correlates with a major effect on transcript splicing. While cells infected with the N81 NS1 mutant produced lower levels of mRNA2 than WT virus-infected cells (Fig. 6), consistent with the effects observed by Mor et al., we did not see a consistent effect of NS1 in a minireplicon setting (Fig. 3), and we also show that NS1 promotes nuclear export of the intronless HA transcript (Fig. 10).

A role for NS1 in viral mRNA nuclear export is consistent with its known interaction with viral RNPs (44, 45), which would place it in the correct location to interact with a newly synthesized viral mRNA. The association between the viral polymerase and cellular RNA Pol II may also serve to place the nascent viral transcripts in the vicinity of the cellular nuclear export apparatus (14, 46). The interaction of NS1 with the NXF1 export apparatus has also been proposed as a mechanism by which the virus inhibits the export of cellular mRNAs (36). Although the mechanism by which NS1 inhibits cellular mRNA export by blocking 3'-end processing and polyadenylation has been described (47), it is less obvious how targeting the mRNA export apparatus could distinguish viral from cellular mRNAs. One possibility might be via time-dependent effects: an early positive effect on viral mRNA export followed by late inhibition of the export pathway.

Although NS1 promotes the cytoplasmic accumulation of M1 mRNA, it is not absolutely required since reduced amounts of cytoplasmic transcripts were still detectable (Fig. 2C) and translated even in the absence of the protein (Fig. 2D). This is consistent with the fundamental observation that NS1 is a nonessential viral gene in cell culture whose loss nevertheless attenuates virus replication even in interferon-deficient systems (48). The 3- to 4-fold reduction in M1 accumulation we saw is consistent with the decreases in late gene expression noted in many previous studies of NS1 mutants (29, 49–53). The low-efficiency export of M1 mRNA in the absence of NS1 may be attributable to direct recruitment of the export apparatus through interactions with the nuclear cap-binding complex (14). A degree of redundancy in the cellular factors recruited for viral mRNA export has precedents from other viral systems (54).

Cell-to-cell variability in nuclear export of segment 7 mRNA in the absence of an intact NS1 protein was also notable. A minority of cells showed cytoplasmic mRNA even in the absence of NS1 (Fig. 2B), and NS1 mutants with an overall defect in promoting cytoplasmic accumulation of M1 mRNA produced an altered ratio of cells with apparently normal export to those displaying nuclear retention (Fig. 4 and 5) instead of an equally reduced efficiency of mRNA cytoplasmic accumulation in all cells. The reasons for this are not obvious, but we conjecture that these observations reflect cell-to-cell variability rather than viral heterogeneity because we saw similar outcomes from plasmid- and viral-based systems (e.g., compare the R+K mutants in Fig. 4B and 5B). In some respects, this heterogeneity in mRNA export is reminiscent of the cell-to-cell variability seen in the triggering of innate responses in negative-sense RNA virus-infected cells (55). Given the central role of NS1 in counteracting innate responses and
the evidence linking this to direct effects on the cellular nuclear export apparatus (32, 36, 56), this is an aspect that warrants further investigation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cells, plasmids, antisera, and viruses. Human embryonic kidney 293T cells and human alveolar basal epithelial cells (A549) were cultured as described previously (57). Plasmids pCDNA-PB2, pCDNA-PB1, pCDNA-PA, and pCDNA-NP used for the minireplicon assay have been described previously (58), and plasmids pPolI-segment 4, pPolI-segment 7, and pPolI-segment 8 were a gift from Ron Fouchier (59). The N51 expression vector was kindly provided by Wendy Barclay. N51 and N52 genes were PCR cloned into pEGFPN1 in fusion with enhanced GFP (EGFP) using AgeI and KpnI as restriction sites. NS1 mutants N81, N82, K41A, R38A, K41A, R38A, pEGFPN1 in fusion with enhanced GFP (EGFP) using AgeI and KpnI as restriction sites. NS1 expression vector was kindly provided by Wendy Barclay. NS1 and NS2 genes were PCR cloned into previously described (58). Cells were transfected using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Alternatively, 293T cells were infected with wild-type A/PR/8/34 and NS1 mutant viruses at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 5, and at 6 h postinfection p.i. samples were processed as described in Amorim et al. (8).

FISH, GFP-Trap pulldown, primer extensions, and protein analysis. FISH analysis was performed as described previously (8, 12) at either 24 h p.i. or 6 h p.i. RNA probes used to detect segment 7 mRNA were labeled using cyanine 3-UTP (PerkinElmer) or ChromaTide Alexa Fluor 488-5-UTP (Invitrogen) as described previously (58). GFP-Trap pulldown assays were carried out by transfecting 293T cells with 135 ng each of plasmids pCDNA-PB2, pCDNA-PB1, pCDNA-PA, and pCDNA-NP (3PNP), pPolI segment 7, and various others as required by the experimental design. At 24 h posttransfection (hpt) samples were processed as described previously (58). Cells were transfected using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Alternatively, 293T cells were infected with wild-type A/PR/8/34 and NS1 mutant viruses at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 5, and at 6 h postinfection p.i. samples were processed as described in Amorim et al. (8).
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