Cardiovascular Health in Children and Adolescents With Congenital Adrenal Hyperplasia Due to 21-Hydroxilase Deficiency
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Increasing evidence indicates that adults with Congenital Adrenal Hyperplasia (CAH) may have a cluster of cardiovascular (CV) risk factors. In addition, ongoing research has highlighted that children and adolescents with CAH are also prone to developing unfavorable metabolic changes, such as obesity, hypertension, insulin resistance, and increased intima-media thickness, which places them at a higher risk of developing CV disease in adulthood. Moreover, CAH adolescents may exhibit subclinical left ventricular diastolic dysfunction and impaired exercise performance, with possible negative consequences on their quality of life. The therapeutic management of patients with CAH remains a challenge and current treatment regimens do not always allow optimal biochemical control. Indeed, overexposure to glucocorticoids and mineralocorticoids, as well as to androgen excess, may contribute to the development of unfavorable metabolic and CV abnormalities. Long-term prospective studies on large cohorts of patients will help to clarify the pathophysiology of metabolic alterations associated with CAH. Meanwhile, further efforts should be made to optimize treatment and identify new therapeutic approaches to prevent metabolic derangement and improve long-term health outcomes of CAH patients.
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INTRODUCTION

Deficiency of 21-hydroxylase (21-OH) is an autosomal recessive disease accounting for about 95% of the cases of Congenital Adrenal Hyperplasia (CAH). It has an incidence estimated at 1/10000-1/20000 live newborns (1). Deletions or mutations of the CYP21A2 gene, encoding the 21-OH enzyme, impair the enzyme activity at a variable extent, resulting in glucocorticoid and/or mineralocorticoid deficiency, which lead to increased secretion of ACTH, adrenal hyperplasia, and excess production of androgens and steroid precursors before the enzymatic block (1). Based on the residual enzyme activity, CAH shows a continuum of phenotypes, ranging from a severe classic form, usually presenting in infancy, to a non-classic (NC) form, which may be diagnosed from childhood to adult life, because of signs of excess androgens production. The classic form is sub-classified as either salt-wasting (SW) or simple virilising (SV) form, depending on the degree of residual enzyme activity, which influences the amount of mineralocorticoids produced by the adrenal cortex.
Current treatment consists of glucocorticoid and, when necessary, mineralocorticoid substitution to prevent adrenal crises and to suppress the excess androgen production (2). Following the introduction of glucocorticoid treatment in the 1950s, survival of CAH patients has dramatically improved, so that CAH is now recognized as a lifelong chronic disease. However, despite recent advances in its management (i.e., prenatal diagnosis, neonatal screening, improved knowledge on adrenal medulla dysfunction) CAH still has relevant morbidity and mortality (1–4).

The therapeutic spectrum of glucocorticoids is narrow, and patients need an accurate and tailored follow-up to prevent both under- and over-treatment with glucocorticoids and/or mineralocorticoids, which may increase the cardiovascular (CV) risk of CAH patients.

Increasing evidences suggest that adult patients with CAH have a higher risk to develop long-term health problems including cardiovascular diseases, impaired fertility and bone health (3–5). However, signs and symptoms of forerunner conditions of adult disease are already detectable in childhood (6, 7).

The aim of this review is to summarize current data available on traditional and non-traditional CV risk in children and adolescents with CAH.

METHODS

We searched the PubMed database from the National Library of Medicine using the keywords “obesity,” “body composition,” “hypertension,” “lipids,” “dyslipidemia,” “glucose intolerance,” “insulin,” “insulin resistance,” “endothelial function,” “Intima-Media Thickness,” “adipokines” “inflammatory cytokines,” “blood pressure,” “cardiac morphology,” “cardiac function” associated with “Congenital Adrenal Hyperplasia,” with the limits set to only English-language articles and those involving human subjects. We included all case-control studies, case series and meta-analysis that were published in English from 1992 to date. We excluded studies involving <6 patients.

TRADITIONAL CV RISK FACTORS

Obesity and Body Composition

Several studies from large National cohorts (4, 8–10) indicate that obesity is common in adults with CAH, ranging from 22 to 52% of the subjects (9, 10). An increased prevalence of obesity has also been reported in children with CAH in most studies (8, 11–24) (Table 1), although these studies are limited by small patient number and heterogeneity of cohorts and study design. So far, only 3 relatively large cross-sectional studies reporting prevalence of obesity in CAH children are available (8, 16, 25).

In a study involving 170 patients, age range 0.6–17 years, about 35% of children were obese, regardless of the clinical CAH form and the glucocorticoids dose (8). Obese children presented significantly greater concentrations of insulin, leptin, and androstenedione compared to normal and overweight children. Only one patient fulfilled the diagnostic criteria for the metabolic syndrome.

Higher prevalence of obesity (16.8%) compared to the reference population (2.27%) was also reported in a cross-sectional retrospective study on 89 classic CAH patients aged 0.2–17.9 years (16). In this cohort, body mass index (BMI) positively correlated with age, hydrocortisone dose and parental BMI.

Finally, Subbarayan et al. (25) documented a prevalence of obesity of 23.6% among a cohort of 107 classic CAH patients aged 0.4 to 20.5 years. Of note, mean BMI was lower than that reported in a previous health survey from the same center almost 10 years before (21), possibly due to the use of lower glucocorticoid doses in recent years.

Whether the increased prevalence of obesity results from an increased lean body mass (as a possible consequence of excess androgens) or total/regional fat mass has been explored through bioimpedance analysis (11, 26, 27), dual x-ray absorptiometry (DEXA) (12, 15, 28–32), and computer tomography (CT) imaging (33) (Table 1). Several studies relying on DEXA documented that either males or females with classic CAH exhibit higher total fat mass (12, 15, 28–30) and reduced lean body mass (29) than controls. Moreover, some studies also documented higher indexes of visceral adiposity, such as waist/hip (11, 15) and waist-to-height ratio (WHtR) (30) with respect to controls.

Only a few data are available regarding NC CAH (27, 28, 32), documenting no significant differences between classic and NC forms. Interestingly, one study reported a higher lean body mass in NC CAH in comparison to matched controls, suggesting an effect of longer exposure to excess androgens (28).

A weak correlation between 6-months cumulative glucocorticoid dose and total fat mass was found only in females (12), whereas duration of treatment was positively correlated to the percentage of total fat mass and to fat/lean ratio (29).

In contrast to these results, two recent DEXA studies failed to identify significant differences in body composition between CAH patients and controls (31, 32). One of these studies (32) used DEXA to estimate visceral adipose tissue (VAT), which was also comparable between CAH patients and controls.

To date, only one study performing CT imaging is available, revealing greater amount of both VAT and subcutaneous adipose tissue (SAT) and VAT/SAT ratio in 28 adolescents and young adults with classic CAH, compared with age-, gender-, and BMI-matched controls (33). SAT and VAT were positively correlated to adiposity indexes (BMI, WHtR, trunk, and total body fat mass), homeostasis model assessment (HOMA), and lipid profile (total cholesterol, low density lipoproteins (LDL), very low density lipoproteins (VLDL) and triglycerides), but did not correlate with hydrocortisone dose or markers of hormonal control.

Interestingly, adiposity rebound, consisting in the re-increase of BMI after its nadir, occurs significantly earlier in CAH patients than non-affected children, possibly predicting development of obesity (15, 34). In a recent study (35) on 29 classic CAH patients identified by neonatal screening and followed-up for at least 10 years, multivariate regression analysis identified lower BMI at birth as an independent predictor of early adiposity rebound, thus suggesting that early alterations in fetal life may predispose to the development of metabolic problems.
| Study | Patients and CAH form | Age range (years) | Mean HC dose (mg/m²/day) | Mean FC dose (µg/m²/day) | Technique | Outcomes |
|-------|-----------------------|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|----------|
| Finkelstein et al. (9) | -139 Classic (97 SW, 42 SV) -31 Non-classic | 0.6–17 | 15 | n.a. | n.a. | ↑ Prevalence of obesity (35%) vs. normal pediatric population (17%), with no difference between Classic and NC CAH; No difference between males and females ↑ HOMA-IR ↑ BP (about 40% Classic and 20% NC CAH) ↑ BMI vs. controls, ↑ fat mass and waist/hip ratio vs. controls |
| Gonçalves et al. (11) | -28 Classic females (18 SW, 10 SV) -112 Controls | 4–23 | 15–20 | n.a. | BIA | ↑ Prevalence of obesity (35%) vs. normal pediatric population (17%), with no difference between Classic and NC CAH; No difference between males and females |
| Stikkelbroeck et al. (12) | -27 Classic (24 SW, 3 SV) -30 Controls | 17–25 | n.a. | n.a. | DXA | ↑ Prevalence of obesity (35%) vs. normal pediatric population (17%), with no difference between Classic and NC CAH; No difference between males and females |
| Völkl et al. (16) | -89 Classic (78 SW, 11 SV) - Controls | 0.2–17.9 | 14.7 | 63.1 | n.a. | ↑ Prevalence of obesity (35%) vs. normal pediatric population (17%), with no difference between Classic and NC CAH; No difference between males and females |
| Völkl et al. (16) | -107 Classic (79 SW, 28 SV) | 0.4–20.5 | 13.3 | 102 | n.a. | ↑ Prevalence of obesity (35%) vs. normal pediatric population (17%), with no difference between Classic and NC CAH; No difference between males and females |
| Roche et al. (21) | -38 Classic (SW) | 6.1–18.2 | 17.5 (prepubertal) | 120 (prepubertal) | n.a. | ↑ Prevalence of obesity (35%) vs. normal pediatric population (17%), with no difference between Classic and NC CAH; No difference between males and females |
| Subbarayan et al. (25) | -107 Classic (79 SW, 28 SV) | 0.4–20.5 | 13.3 | 102 | n.a. | ↑ Prevalence of obesity (35%) vs. normal pediatric population (17%), with no difference between Classic and NC CAH; No difference between males and females |
| Isguven et al. (26) | -16 Classic (SW) -18 Controls | 1.4–10.5 | 15 | n.a. | BIA | ↑ Prevalence of obesity (35%) vs. normal pediatric population (17%), with no difference between Classic and NC CAH; No difference between males and females |
| Janus et al. (27) | -61 Classic (51 SW, 10 SV) -9 Non-classic | 3–17.9 | 17.2 (SW) | 66.5 (SW) | BIA | ↑ Prevalence of obesity (35%) vs. normal pediatric population (17%), with no difference between Classic and NC CAH; No difference between males and females |
| Williams et al. (28) | -25 Classic -12 Non-classic -41 Controls | 0.5–15.8 | 13.9 | 82 µg/day | DXA | ↑ Prevalence of obesity (35%) vs. normal pediatric population (17%), with no difference between Classic and NC CAH; No difference between males and females |
| Abd El Dayem et al. (29) | -28 Classic (27 SW, 1 SV) -11 Controls | 3–18 | 15.5 | 50–200 µg/day | DXA | ↑ Prevalence of obesity (35%) vs. normal pediatric population (17%), with no difference between Classic and NC CAH; No difference between males and females |
| Marra et al. (30) | -20 Classic (15 SW, 5 SV) -20 Controls | 11.1–16.1 | 15 | 54.8 | DXA | ↑ Prevalence of obesity (35%) vs. normal pediatric population (17%), with no difference between Classic and NC CAH; No difference between males and females |
| Ariyawatkul et al. (31) | -21 Classic (10 SW, 11 SV) -21 Controls | 9–28 | 21.4 | 50–150 µg/day | DXA | ↑ Prevalence of obesity (35%) vs. normal pediatric population (17%), with no difference between Classic and NC CAH; No difference between males and females |
| Halper et al. (32) | -32 Classic (19 SW, 13 SV) -10 Non-classic -101 controls | 7.6–17.7 | 11.3 | n.a. | DXA | ↑ Prevalence of obesity (35%) vs. normal pediatric population (17%), with no difference between Classic and NC CAH; No difference between males and females |

(Continued)
In conclusion, current data point toward a tendency to develop increased adiposity in children and adolescents with CAH. Supra-physiological dosages of glucocorticoids have been advocated as a key causative factor, however, data regarding this association are not univocal, and thus other predisposing factors may contribute to an increased risk of obesity.

Even though obesity has potential negative effects in terms of cardiac and metabolic consequences, recent Endocrine Society Guidelines on CAH (2) recommend against routine evaluation for cardiac and metabolic disease in patients with CAH beyond that advised for the general population, and suggest early lifestyle counseling in order to prevent such consequences.

**Insulin Resistance**

Several studies have demonstrated a tendency toward insulin resistance (IR) in children and adolescents with CAH, as assessed by increased plasma insulin concentrations (30) and HOMA-IR (8, 23, 24, 30, 33, 36–38) (Table 2).

In a recent study, 8 out of 27 (29.6%) classic CAH patients presented a HOMA-IR above the 90th percentile, which was significantly correlated to hydrocortisone dose and BMI (23).

On the other hand, other studies have reported normal (31) or even better (25) insulin sensitivity in CAH patients compared to controls. In particular in a large cohort of 107 children and adolescents with classic CAH (25), despite increased BMI, CAH children had lower levels of fasting blood glucose, insulin and HOMA-IR compared to controls.

Glucose tolerance and insulin sensitivity status have also been assessed through oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) (Table 2). In a recent study (24) on 32 patients with classic CAH aged 3–17 years, impaired fasting glucose was found in 34% of the patients, while 19% had impaired glucose tolerance and 2.7% a slight increase in HOMA-IR. Noteworthy, in this study BMI was significantly higher than controls, with 22% of the patients labeled as obese. However, other studies suggest a tendency toward IR even in the absence of obesity (28, 38). Zimmerman et al. (38) performed OGTT in 27 normal weight children and young adults with classic CAH showing higher post-load glucose and insulin values than matched controls. Moreover, insulin resistance index (IRI) and HOMA-insulin secretion B cell (HOMA-B) were found to be higher and insulin sensitivity index (ISI) to be lower in patients compared with controls. IRI was significantly correlated with hydrocortisone dose and duration of treatment (38).

With regard to NC CAH, only a few data on small cohorts are available (28, 39–42). Most of the studies documented that both treated (28, 42) and untreated (39, 41) NC CAH patients have reduced insulin sensitivity, thus suggesting that prolonged exposure to androgen excess before diagnosis may contribute to IR. Williams et al. (28) documented that, despite more favorable body composition, NC CAH had more pronounced alterations in glucose metabolism compared to classic forms.

Finally, the results of a recent meta-analysis of 14 studies, reporting data for 437 children and adolescents with CAH, confirm that CAH patients display reduced insulin sensitivity compared to controls (6).

In summary, several data suggest that children and adolescents with CAH have impaired insulin sensitivity, with preserved glucose tolerance. Obesity and altered body composition are risk factors for IR; however, unfavorable changes in glucose metabolism may also be detected in non-obese CAH subjects. Indeed, glucocorticoid excess and hyperandrogenism may both contribute to the development of IR.

**Dyslipidemia**

Dyslipidemia may be a possible consequence of increased body fat. However, in the majority of CAH children the lipid profile is comparable to controls (24, 28, 30, 42, 43). Subtle alterations in lipid metabolism have been detected only in a few studies on small cohorts of children with several methodological limitations.

---

**TABLE 1** | Continued

| Study          | Patients and CAH form | Age range (years) | Mean HC dose (mg/m²/day) | Mean FC dose (µg/m²/day) | Technique | Outcomes |
|----------------|-----------------------|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|----------|
| Kim et al. (33) | -28 Classic (20 SW, 8 SV) -28 Controls | 12.4–18.8 | 19.5 | 210 µg/day | CT | 60.7% of patients obese or overweight; ↑ VAT, SAT, and VAT/SAT vs. controls; No difference between males and females |
| Mooij et al. (23) | -26 Classic (-24 SW, 2 SV) | 8-16 | 11.2 | 98.5 | n.a. | Elevated HOMA in 18% of CAH ↑ BMI vs. reference population (25.9% obese and 14.8% overweight) 44.4% of patients HOMA-IR > 75th and 29.6% HOMA-IR > 90th percentile ↑ SBP in 18.5%, lower than reference population 48.1% ↓ nocturnal dip |
| Amr et al. (24) | -32 Classic (24 SW, 8 SV) -32 Controls | 3-17 | 15 | 50-100 | n.a. | ↑ BMI in patients (22% obese) vs. controls ↑ HOMA-IR, CIMT, SBP vs. controls ↑ Stimulated glucose levels vs. controls Comparable lipids, stimulated insulin vs. controls |

CAH, Congenital Adrenal Hyperplasia; HC, hydrocortisone; FC, fludrocortisone; SW, salt wasting; SV, simple virilizing; NC, non-classic; HOMA, homeostatic model assessment; HOMA-IS, HOMA insulin sensitivity; BP, blood pressure; BIA, bioelectrical impedance analysis; BMI, body mass index; DXA, dual X-ray absorptiometry; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; HOMA-IR, HOMA insulin resistance; LV, left ventricle; HDL, high density lipoproteins; T-COL, total cholesterol; VAT, visceral adipose tissue; SAT, subcutaneous adipose tissue; CIMT, carotid intima media thickness; CRP, C reactive protein. n.a., not available/not applicable. ↑ increased; ↓ decreased.
| Study                  | Patients and CAH form | Age range (years) | Mean HC dose (mg/m²/day) | Mean FC dose (µg/m²/day) | Methods          | Outcomes                                                                 |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Finkielstain et al.   | -139 Classic (97 SW, 42 SV) -31 Non-classic | 0.6–17            | 15                       | n.a.                     | n.a.             | ↑ Prevalence of obesity (35%) vs. normal pediatric population (17%), with no difference between Classic and NC CAH and between males and females; ↑ HOMA-IR; ↑ BP (about 40% Classic and 20% NC CAH); ↑ BMI and ↑ fat mass and weight/height ratio vs. controls; ↑ HOMA-IR vs. controls; No difference between males and females; Comparable lipids and BP between CAH and controls; ↓ exercise capacity vs. controls; LV diastolic dysfunction in CAH male patients; Elevated HOMA in 18% of CAH |
| Marra et al.          | -20 Classic (15 SW, 5 SV) -20 Controls | 11.1–16.1         | 15                       | 54.8                     | HOMA             | BMI comparable to controls; ↑ HOMA-IR vs. controls; ↑ Leptin in patients vs. controls; No difference between males and females |
| Kim et al.            | -28 Classic (20 SW, 8 SV) -28 Controls | 12.4–18.8         | 19.5                     | 210 µg/day              | HOMA             | BMI comparable to controls; ↑ Whr vs. controls; ↑ HOMA-IR vs. controls; ↑ SBP vs. controls; ↓ FMD and smooth muscle function vs. controls; IMT, CRF, lipids comparable between CAH and controls; ↑ BMI vs. reference population (25.9% obese and 14.8% overweight); 44.4% of patients HOMA-IR > 75th and 29.6% HOMA-IR >90th percentile; ↑ SBP in 18.5%, lower than reference population 48.1% ↓ nocturnal dip |
| Charmandari et al.    | -16 Classic (12 SW, 4 SV) -28 Controls | 2–12              | 14.8                     | 114 µg/day              | HOMA             | BMI comparable to controls; ↑ HOMA-IR vs. controls; Stimulated glucose levels vs. controls; Comparable lipids, stimulated insulin vs. controls |
| Harrington et al.     | -14 Classic (11 SW, 3 SV) S3 Controls | 11.6–18           | 13.3                     | 108.3 µg/day            | HOMA             | BMI comparable to controls; ↑ HOMA-IR vs. controls; ↑ SBP vs. controls; ↓ FMD and smooth muscle function vs. controls; IMT, CRF, lipids comparable between CAH and controls; ↑ BMI vs. reference population (25.9% obese and 14.8% overweight); 44.4% of patients HOMA-IR > 75th and 29.6% HOMA-IR >90th percentile; ↑ SBP in 18.5%, lower than reference population 48.1% ↓ nocturnal dip |
| Mooij et al.          | -26 Classic (24 SW, 2 SV) | 8–16              | 11.2                     | 98.5                    | HOMA             | BMI, fat and lean mass comparable between CAH and controls; ↑ Waist/hip and waist/height ratio vs. controls; Comparable HOMA, BP, lipids, leptin between CAH and controls |
| Amr et al.            | -32 Classic (24 SW, 8 SV) -32 Controls | 3–17              | 15                       | 50–100                  | HOMA, OGTT       | BMI comparable to controls; ↓ HOMA-IR, ISI, SBP vs. controls; ↑ Stimulated glucose levels vs. controls; Comparable lipids, stimulated insulin vs. controls |
| Zimmermann et al.     | -27 Classic (12 SW, 15 SV) -27 Controls | 4–31              | 21.5 (SW) 16.2 (SV)      | 50–100 µg/day           | HOMA             | BMI comparable to controls; ↓ HOMA-IR, ISI, SBP vs. controls; ↑ Stimulated glucose levels vs. controls; Comparable lipids, stimulated insulin vs. controls; ↑ BMI in patients (22% obese) vs. controls |
| Ariyawatkul et al.    | -21 Classic (10 SW, 11 SV) -21 Controls | 9–28              | 21.4                     | 50–150 µg/day           | HOMA             | BMI comparable to controls; ↑ HOMA-IR vs. controls; ↑ SBP vs. controls; ↓ FMD and smooth muscle function vs. controls; IMT, CRF, lipids comparable between CAH and controls; ↑ BMI vs. reference population (25.9% obese and 14.8% overweight); 44.4% of patients HOMA-IR > 75th and 29.6% HOMA-IR >90th percentile; ↑ SBP in 18.5%, lower than reference population 48.1% ↓ nocturnal dip |
| Subbarayan et al.     | -107 Classic (79 SW, 28 SV) | 0.4–20.5          | 13.3                     | 102                     | HOMA             | BMI comparable to controls; ↑ HOMA-IR vs. controls; ↑ SBP vs. controls; ↓ FMD and smooth muscle function vs. controls; IMT, CRF, lipids comparable between CAH and controls; ↑ BMI in patients (22% obese) vs. controls |
| Williams et al.       | -25 Classic -12 Non-classic -41 Controls | 0.5–15.8          | 13.9                     | 82 µg/day               | HOMA, OGTT       | BMI comparable to controls; ↑ HOMA-IR vs. controls; ↑ SBP vs. controls; ↓ FMD and smooth muscle function vs. controls; IMT, CRF, lipids comparable between CAH and controls; ↑ BMI in patients (22% obese) vs. controls |
| Saygili et al.        | -18 Non-classic -26 Controls | 25.7 ± 8.9 (mean ± SD) | Untreated               | Untreated               | HOMA             | BMI comparable to controls; ↑ HOMA-IR vs. controls; ↑ SBP vs. controls; ↓ FMD and smooth muscle function vs. controls; IMT, CRF, lipids comparable between CAH and controls; ↑ BMI in patients (22% obese) vs. controls |

(Continued)
in the absence of overt hypertension (documented increased systolic and/or diastolic BP values) in CAH patients, either by ambulatory or 24 h BP measurement, dyslipidemia in CAH children, therefore, regular assessment of lipid profile seems to be unnecessary and further studies are needed to better address this topic.

**Hypertension**

The vast majority of studies investigating blood pressure (BP) in CAH patients, either by ambulatory or 24 h BP measurement, documented increased systolic and/or diastolic BP values (6, 8, 21–23, 25, 43, 45–47), as summarized in Table 3. Moreover, even in the absence of overt hypertension (27), CAH children may display a reduction of the physiological nocturnal dipping in BP (21, 23, 46).

Subbarayan et al. (25) reported systolic hypertension in 20.9%, diastolic hypertension in 8.8%, and both systolic and diastolic hypertension in 3% of a large cohort of CAH children, regardless of gender. However, only systolic BP (SBP) was significantly higher compared to the reference population. Interestingly, the prevalence of hypertension was lower compared to previous studies (21, 46), possibly due to the use of lower glucocorticoid and mineralocorticoid doses in recent years. Both SBP and diastolic BP (DBP) were negatively related to age, possibly due to a reduction in the dose of fludrocortisone.

A recent longitudinal multicenter study (22) on a large cohort of classic CAH patients reported a prevalence of hypertension of 12.5%. The increase in SBP was more marked than what was observed for DBP. SW patients exhibited higher BP values than SV patients. BP values were significantly correlated to BMI, age and fludrocortisone dose. Of note, the prevalence of hypertension in CAH patients decreases with age, becoming comparable to the general population (below 5%) at the age of 18 years. In keeping with this, BP values were significantly lower in a French National survey on men with classic 21-OH deficiency compared to healthy population (10), even though other studies reported elevated BP in men (8) or women (4, 9) with CAH, possibly due to differences in treatment regimens among different studies. Finally, significant alterations in BP in CAH patients have been confirmed in the recent meta-analysis by Tamhane et al. (6).

Few studies investigated gender differences, most reporting a similar prevalence of hypertension between males and females with CAH (8, 21, 25, 46, 47). Only in two studies, evaluating morning blood pressure (22) or 24-h BP monitoring (27), CAH females seemed more affected than males, likely due to excess androgen exposure.

Taken together, current findings suggest a higher prevalence of hypertension in CAH children and adolescents, with a selective increase in systolic rather than diastolic BP values. In addition to obesity, the excess of glucocorticoid and mineralocorticoid doses also play a key pathogenic role, especially in the first years of life, when higher doses and salt supplementation are often needed, in order to counteract the physiologic state of relatively higher resistance to mineralocorticoids and the higher renal salt wasting.

**NON-TRADITIONAL CV RISK FACTORS**

**Circulating Cytokines**

Adipose tissue represents an important source of inflammatory cytokines and thus, a few studies have evaluated inflammatory markers in CAH patients. A study by Charmandari et al. (36) including 18 classic CAH patients (age range 2–12 years) showed significantly higher leptin concentrations than healthy controls, regardless of BMI and sex. Leptin concentrations were negatively correlated with epinephrine and free metanephrine concentrations, and are likely related to reduced inhibitory effect of catecholamines on leptin secretion. Loss of gender dimorphism in leptin concentrations was also observed in the

### Table 2 | Continued

| Study | Patients and CAH form | Age range (years) | Mean HC dose (mg/m²/day) | Mean FC dose (µg/m²/day) | Methods | Outcomes |
|-------|----------------------|------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|---------|----------|
| Bayraktar et al. (40) | -50 Non-classic -25 Controls | 22.1 ± 2.91 (mean ± SD) | Untreated | Untreated | HOMA | BMI comparable to controls |
| Speiser et al. (41) | -6 Non-classic -12 Controls | 16–45 | Untreated | Untreated | i.v. GTT | BMI comparable to controls |
| Wasniewska et al. (42) | -9 Classic SW -9 Non-classic -16 Controls | 13.3–20.4 | 17.1 | 100 µg/day | HOMA | Comparable HOMA-IR and lipids between CAH and controls |

CAH, Congenital Adrenal Hyperplasia; HC, hydrocortisone; FC, fludrocortisone; SW, salt wasting; SV, simple virilizing; HOMA, homeostatic model assessment; BMI, body mass index; HOMA-IR, HOMA insulin resistance; BP, blood pressure; LV, left ventricle; VAT, visceral adipose tissue; SAT, subcutaneous adipose tissue; WHtR, waist-to-height ratio; SBP, systolic blood pressure; FMD, flow-mediated dilatation; IMT, intima media thickness; C-reactive protein; OGTT, oral glucose tolerance test; iR, insulin resistance index; ISI, insulin sensitivity index; LDL, low density lipoproteins; HDL, high density lipoproteins; NC, non-classic; T-COL, total cholesterol; i.v. GTT, Intravenous glucose tolerance test; DBP, diastolic blood pressure.

↑ increased; ↓ decreased.
### TABLE 3 | Summary of main studies investigating hypertension in CAH patients.

| Study | Patients and CAH form | Age range (years) | Mean HC dose (mg/m²/day) | Mean FC dose (µg/m²/day) | Methods | Outcomes |
|-------|-----------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------|----------|
| Roche et al. (21) | 38 Classic SW | 6.1–18.2 | 17.5 (prepubertal) 17.3 (pubertal) 17.8 (postpubertal) | 120 (prepubertal) 113 (pubertal) 103 (postpubertal) | 24-h BP | ↑ BMI vs. normal population ↑ SPB and DBP vs. normal population ↓ Nocturnal dip in BP No difference between males and females |
| Bonfig et al. (22) | -716 Classic (571 SW, 145 SV) | 3–18 | 14.4 | 72.7 | Morning BP | ↑ BMI in SW vs. SV ↑ prevalence of hypertension in 12.5% of children ↑ BP in SW vs. SV More elevated SBP than DBP At the pubertal age ↑ prevalence of hypertension in females than in males (12 vs. 5.3%) |
| Subbarayan et al. (25) | -107 Classic (79 SW, 28 SV) | 0.4–20.5 | 13.3 | 102 | Mean of four measurements (every 6 h) | ↑ prevalence of obesity (23.6%) vs. normal population (14.8–17.1%) ↑ SBP in SW vs. SV 9.5% high plasma triglycerides No difference between males and females ↓ HOMA-IR vs. controls |
| Mooli et al. (23) | -26 Classic (24 SW, 2 SV) | 8–16 | 11.2 | 98.5 | HOMA | ↑ BMI vs. reference population (25.9% obese and 14.8% overweight) 44.4% of patients HOMA-IR > 75th and 29.6% HOMA-IR > 90th percentile ↑ SBP in 18.5%, lower than reference population 48.1% ↓ nocturnal dip |
| Akyürek et al. (43) | -25 Classic SW -25 Controls | 5–15 | 17.03 | 120 | Morning BP 24-h BP | ↑ BMI vs. control Comparable HOMA-IR between CAH and controls ↑ DBP vs. controls ↓ Nocturnal dip of SBP and DBP vs. controls Comparable lipids between CAH and controls ↑ IMT vs. controls |
| Nebesio and Eugster (45) | -91 Classic n.a. | 16.4 | 90 µg/day | n.a. | n.a. | ↑ prevalence of hypertension vs. normal population |
| Völki et al. (46) | 55 Classic (45 SW, 10 SV) | 5.3–19 | 14.6 | 47 | 24-h BP | ↑ BMI ↑ daytime and nighttime SBP ↓ nocturnal dip of DBP No difference between males and females in ambulatory 24-h BP |
| Hoenphner et al. (47) | -23 Classic | 6–17 | 18.7 | 70.8 | Morning BP 24-h BP | ↑ BMI ↑ SPB and DBP in hospitalized vs. outpatients No difference between males and females in ambulatory 24-h BP |
| Janus et al. (27) | -61 Classic (51 SW, 10SV) 9 Non-classic | 3–17.9 19.5 (SV) | 66.5 (SW) 28.6 (SV) | 24-h BP | Normal BMI, no differences in body composition between classic and NC forms No overt hypertension but ambulatory 24-h SBP and Night SBP were higher in females than males |
| Finkielstain et al. (8) | -139 Classic (97 SW, 42 SV) -31 Non-classic | 0.6–17 | 15 | n.a. | n.a. | ↑ Prevalence of obesity (35%) vs. normal pediatric population (17%), with no difference between Classic and NC CAH and between males and females ↑ HOMA-IR ↑ BP (about 40% Classic and 20% NC CAH) |

CAH, Congenital Adrenal Hyperplasia; HC, hydrocortisone; FC, fludrocortisone; SW, salt wasting; BP, blood pressure; BMI, body mass index; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; SV, simple virilizing; HOMA, homeostatic model assessment; HOMA-IR, HOMA insulin resistance; IMT, intima media thickness; NC, non-classic; HOMA-IS, HOMA insulin sensitivity; n.a., not available/non-applicable. ↑ increased; ↓ decreased.

CAH group, possibly due to exposure to androgens excess in females.

Increased leptin concentrations and a strong correlation between leptin, obesity (8, 23, 33) and HOMA-IR (23) have also been documented in studies, enrolling both classic and NC CAH patients.

Conversely, other studies (19, 26, 31) found leptin concentrations in CAH children and adolescents comparable to controls, even in the face of higher BMI and body fat ratio (26). However, significantly lower concentrations of soluble leptin receptor (sOB-R) (which binds circulating leptin, regulating its half-life) were found in CAH patients compared to matched controls, predicting a higher amount of free (unbound) serum leptin (19).

The role of adiponectin on CV health of CAH children is still unclear. Higher adiponectin concentrations than...
matched controls, with no alteration in serum leptin and adiponectin/leptin ratio, were found in a study on 51 CAH patients, aged 5.6 to 19.6 years, regardless of sex (48). Adiponectin was negatively correlated with BMI, serum DHEA-S and testosterone, but no clear relationship with hydrocortisone and fludrocortisone dosage (48).

A few studies have also investigated the role of other inflammatory markers in CAH patients. In particular, high sensitivity C reactive protein (hs-CRP), interleukin-6 (IL-6), and plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 (PAI-1) have been found to be normal in two studies (31, 33), while tissue plasminogen activator (tPA) concentrations were higher than controls (23). In addition, a study by Metwalley et al. (49) demonstrated that, compared to controls, classic CAH patients (n = 32, mean age 13.6 ± 2.5 years) have higher concentrations of hs-CRP as well as of circulating endothelial cells (CECs), which represent an indicator of vascular endothelial injury. Both hs-CRP and CECs were correlated to poor disease control, IMT and indexes of diastolic dysfunction.

Finally, homocysteine concentrations were also higher than controls (despite comparable folate and vitamin B12 levels), especially in poorly controlled CAH patients aged 5–12 years (50).

In conclusion, a few data suggest that CAH may be associated with an unbalanced profile of adipokines, inflammatory markers and homocysteine, which are all considered early markers of cardiovascular morbidity. Further research is needed to unravel whether these alterations represent the consequence of poor disease control, unfavorable metabolic profile or are intrinsic to CAH.

**Vascular Function and Intima-Media Thickness**

Intima-media thickness (IMT), a surrogate marker of atherosclerosis, has been evaluated in several studies (23, 29, 33, 42, 51), yielding contrasting results. A few studies found normal values of carotid-IMT (C-IMT), comparable to controls (23, 29, 33). However, a loss of sexual dimorphism in females exposed to excess androgens and a correlation between C-IMT, 17-hydroxypregesterone (17-OHP), and androstenedione concentration were observed (29).

In contrast, significant differences in IMT values between CAH patients and controls have been reported in other studies (6, 24, 42, 43, 49, 51, 52) with no differences between SV and SW forms (24), or normal weight and overweight subjects (52). In the study by Akyürek et al. (43), C-IMT values were greater in hypertensive compared to normotensive CAH patients, and were negatively correlated to nocturnal dipping of DBP. A significant correlation was also found between C-IMT and indexes of disease control, such as duration of treatment, and concentrations of 17-OHP and testosterone (49), suggesting that increased androgen levels may contribute to an increased risk of vascular dysfunction. Janus and coworkers (27) evaluated arterial ambulatory stiffness index (AASI), derived by 24-h blood pressure measurement, reporting higher values in SW forms with genotypes Del/Del and Del/12G compared to other forms, especially in females. This further suggests a detrimental role of excess androgens or treatment on arterial wall function. Indeed, AASI was related to urinary cortisol, free androgen index and bone age advancement. Positive correlations between carotid and femoral IMT with BMI (51), aortic and carotid IMT with serum triglycerides, and aortic IMT with cumulative glucocorticoid doses (42), suggest how subclinical atherogenesis in CAH children may arise from a complex interplay between overweight, dyslipidemia and hypercortisolism.

Furthermore, Özdemir et al. (53) has recently reported increased stiffness index and elastic modulus of the aorta and the carotid artery, with lower aortic and carotid distensibility in CAH children and adolescents. Multivariate regression analysis identified BMI as the only independent variable for C-IMT and aortic stiffness index.

Finally, classic CAH patients may also exhibit impaired endothelial function, evaluated by flow-mediated dilatation (FMD) and glyceryl trinitrate-mediated dilatation (GTN), in comparison to healthy controls (37). In this study, BMI was comparable between CAH children and controls, thus suggesting that other factors, beyond increased adiposity, are likely to contribute to the development of endothelial dysfunction.

Although current studies are often limited by small sample size, heterogeneous population and study designs, preliminary evidences suggest that CAH may be associated with vascular dysfunction and increased IMT already in childhood. Obesity, hypertension, glucocorticoid overtreatment, as well as prolonged exposure to androgen excess, may all contribute to an increased risk of early atherosclerosis, even though the role of each contributing factors is far from being clear.

**CARDIAC MORPHOLOGY AND FUNCTION**

Adults affected by CAH may have increased CV morbidity (4) even though the pathogenic mechanism is still unclear. Recent studies showed that alterations in cardiac morphology and function in CAH may be detected already in childhood (30, 49, 53, 54).

We recently demonstrated that classic CAH adolescent males may have signs of mild subclinical diastolic dysfunction, consisting in a significant prolongation of isovolumetric relaxation time (IVRT) and mitral deceleration time (MDT), compared to matched controls (30). These indexes of diastolic dysfunction correlated negatively with testosterone concentrations, which were, in turn, negatively related to cumulative hydrocortisone dose in the 3 years before the study. This led to the hypothesis that excess of glucocorticoid treatment during adolescence may affect cardiac function through either direct detrimental effect on muscle performance (55) or through induction of mild hypogonadism.

Our results have been confirmed by other studies (49, 53, 54). Left ventricular diastolic dysfunction, characterized by higher late diastolic myocardial velocity (Am) and significantly lower early diastolic myocardial velocity (E′m)/Am ratio in comparison to controls, was documented by Özdemir et al. (53). In addition to mild diastolic dysfunction, Metwalley et al. (49) also reported higher LV mass (LVM) in classic CAH adolescents...
compared to matched controls, which was more pronounced in patients with clinical signs of androgen excess and high serum 17-OHP.

Conversely, normal LV mass was reported in a cohort of 20 classic CAH patients; however, no data on LV cavity size or functional data were provided and no comparison with a control group was made (56). Mooij et al. (57) recently documented, in a cohort of 27 CAH patients (mean age 11.7 years), lower IVR and LV posterior wall thickness, and higher prevalence of incomplete right bundle branch block, compared to matched controls. Shorter exposure to excess androgens (due to neonatal screening allowing early diagnosis) and/or better control of the disease in this cohort have been proposed to explain differences from previous studies (57).

Exercise capacity in CAH adolescents has been investigated in very small studies performing both short-term high-intensity (58) and long-term moderate-intensity exercise (59). In these two studies, exercise capacity and systolic BP at peak exercise were similar to controls, however, epinephrine and metanephrine concentrations at baseline and peak exercise, as well as glucose values throughout exercise and recovery, were lower in CAH patients than in healthy controls (58, 59). Although lower concentrations of epinephrine and metanephrine have been detected in CAH patients compared with healthy controls (60), quality of current evidences does not allow for identification of a clear role of adrenomedullary dysfunction in exercise performance.

Recently, we reported that adolescents affected with classic CAH (age range 13.6 ± 2.5 years) have impaired exercise capacity, with reduced peak workload and exaggerated systolic BP at peak exertion during an incremental exercise test on a bicycle ergometer (30). Unfortunately, we did not measure epinephrine and metanephrine levels, and thus, we could not evaluate the role of adrenomedullary dysfunction in impaired exercise performance in our patients. However, we documented cardiac alterations and impaired exercise capacity, similar to CAH, in a group of adolescents with juvenile idiopathic arthritis who were treated with comparable doses of glucocorticoids, thus suggesting a pathophysiological link between glucocorticoid replacement and CV abnormalities.

In summary, current evidences suggest that CAH adolescents display subclinical diastolic dysfunction, LV hypertrophy and impaired exercise performance, with possible negative consequences on their quality of life. Further studies on larger cohorts are necessary to define the mechanisms underlying these abnormalities and the role of over- and under-treatment.

CONCLUSIONS

Increasing evidence indicates that CAH individuals are prone to develop a number of early CV risk factors, such as obesity, hypertension, insulin resistance, low-grade inflammation, increased IMT and subclinical cardiac dysfunction, already in childhood.

The therapeutic management of patients with CAH remains a challenge and current treatment regimens do not always allow optimal biochemical control. Overexposure to glucocorticoids and mineralocorticoids as well as to androgens may contribute to the development of unfavorable metabolic and CV changes, even though metabolic derangement in CAH patients may also result from other still unraveled risk factors.

At present, there is insufficient evidence to recommend regular monitoring of early metabolic markers of CV disease in all CAH children. However, lifestyle counseling to avoid overweight and other related metabolic consequences, and regular assessment of blood pressure at all ages should be recommended in the management of CAH children. Monitoring for other metabolic and CV abnormalities should be tailored to individual patient’s needs.

Long-term prospective studies on large cohorts of patients are necessary to better clarify the pathophysiology of metabolic alterations associated with CAH. In the meantime, further efforts should be made in order to optimize treatment, and identify new therapeutic approaches to prevent metabolic derangement and improve long-term health outcomes of CAH patients.
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