Integrative Analysis of miRNA and mRNA Profiles in Response to Ethylene in Rose Petals during Flower Opening
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Abstract

MicroRNAs play an important role in plant development and plant responses to various biotic and abiotic stimuli. As one of the most important ornamental crops, rose (Rosa hybrida) possesses several specific morphological and physiological features, including recurrent flowering, highly divergent flower shapes, colors and volatiles. Ethylene plays an important role in regulating petal cell expansion during rose flower opening. Here, we report the population and expression profiles of miRNAs in rose petals during flower opening and in response to ethylene based on high throughput sequencing. We identified a total of 33 conserved miRNAs, as well as 47 putative novel miRNAs were identified from rose petals. The conserved and novel targets to those miRNAs were predicted using the rose floral transcriptome database. Expression profiling revealed that expression of 28 known (84.8% of known miRNAs) and 39 novel (83.0% of novel miRNAs) miRNAs was substantially changed in rose petals during the earlier opening period. We also found that 28 known and 22 novel miRNAs showed expression changes in response to ethylene treatment. Furthermore, we performed integrative analysis of expression profiles of miRNAs and their targets. We found that ethylene-caused expression changes of five miRNAs (miR156, miR164, miR166, miR5139 and rhy-miRCl) were inversely correlated to those of their seven target genes. These results indicate that these miRNA/target modules might be regulated by ethylene and were involved in ethylene-regulated petal growth.

Introduction

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are 20–24 nucleotide (nt)-long non-coding RNA species that play profound roles in plant development and in plant responses to abiotic and biotic stimuli by regulating expression of their target genes, mainly at the post-transcriptional level. In plants, most miRNA genes are transcribed by Pol II to primary miRNAs (pri-miRNAs) which are partially self-complementary and possess the fold-back hairpin structure [1]. The pri-miRNAs are then processed to precursor miRNAs (pre-miRNAs) by a protein complex consisting of the Dicer-like 1 (DCL1), the C2H2-zinc finger protein SERRATE 11 (SE), and the double-stranded RNA-binding protein HY Ponastic Leaves1 (HYL1) [1]. Mature miRNA duplex (miRNA/miRNA*) is excised from pre-miRNAs by DCL1 and each strand is methylated by HEN1 protein. The miRNA strand is ultimately loaded into the Argonaute (AGO) protein of RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC) to carry out its function [1,2]. Mature miRNAs are able to regulate their target genes through at least four mechanisms: 1) direct cleavage of the target mRNAs, 2) translational inhibition of the targets, 3) regulation of the targets through secondary siRNAs, and 4) sequestration of the miRNA and targets through target mimicry [3]. Although translational repression of targets is the most important way for miRNA-mediated regulation in animals, in plants cleavage of the targets is predominant [1,3].

Bioinformatic analysis shows that 21 miRNA families are likely well conserved in angiosperms, including miR156, miR159, miR160, miR162, miR164, miR166, miR167, miR168, miR169, miR171, miR172, miR319, miR390, miR393, miR394, miR395, miR396, miR397, miR398, miR408 and miR410. Plants contain much more non-conserved miRNAs than conserved ones, for example, at least 48 non-conserved miRNAs have been found in Arabidopsis thaliana [4]. Recently, advances in high throughput DNA sequencing technology have enabled rapid and deeper discovery of non-conserved miRNAs from divergent plant species, including grape [5], barley [6], cucumber [7], olive [8], tomato [9], apple [10], and peach [11]. Currently, at least 4,677 mature miRNAs were identified from plants [12]. Moreover, many small RNA libraries were constructed from different plants subjected to hormonal and environmental treatments to identify novel and specific miRNAs in response to these stimuli. Resultantly, hundreds of miRNAs were found to be modulated by various hormones and stresses, including ABA, GA, auxin, pathogen,
high-salinity, drought, cold, heat, mechanical stress, hypoxia and oxidative stresses [13–15].

Rosaceae is an economically important plant family that includes several important fruits and ornamental plants, such as apple, peach, strawberry and rose. Genome sequences of apple, peach and strawberry have been generated; however, research in small RNAs of the Rosaceae plant is still limited. Recently, miRNAs from apple and peach were reported [10,11,16,17]. Unlike apple and peach which are important for their fresh fruits, rose is important for its beautiful and fragrant flowers. In the past century, rose has been the most important crop in the floriculture industry worldwide and cut roses account for approximately one third of cut flower trade in Europe [18]. In addition, rose possesses some unique morphological and physiological features, including recurrent flowering and highly divergent flower shapes, colors and volatiles, which are unable to be studied in other model plant systems, like Arabidopsis thaliana and tobacco [19].

Gaseous phytohormone ethylene is a crucial modulator in multiple biological processes, including seed germination, organ elongation, flowering, fruit ripening, organ senescence and abscission, as well as abiotic and biotic stress responses [20,21]. It has been well known that ethylene can cause severe deterioration of flower quality in cut roses, mainly through the inhibition of petal expansion and acceleration of opening and senescence processes [22]. Although it has been extensively documented concerning the regulatory pattern of ethylene biosynthesis and signaling during flower opening and senescence in roses [23–27], the gene network downstream to ethylene signaling remains largely unknown. A recent study reported the identification of miRNAs in three modern rose cultivars and Rosa rugosa, and suggested that miRNAs could be involved in regulating genes related to coloring, like flavonoid biosynthetic genes [28]. However, the expression pattern of miRNAs in rose petals during flower opening and in response to ethylene still remains unexplored.

Here, we report small RNA profiling in rose petals during the rapid opening period and in response to ethylene treatment through high-throughput sequencing. In addition, we performed integral analysis of expression profiles of miRNAs and their predicted targets to further screen the bona fide miRNA-mRNA modules and discuss the possible biological roles of miRNAs differentially expressed in petals during flower opening and in response to ethylene.

Results

Construction and Sequencing of Small RNA Libraries from Rose Petals

The flower opening process is divided into seven stages in rose. The duration from unopened buds (stage 0) to partially opened flowers (Stage 3) is the rapid growth (RG) period [25,29] and is important for the establishment of flower opening quality, especially the flower shape. Treatment with ethylene in the RG period can accelerate flower opening, but inhibit petal enlargement and even result in abnormal flower shapes [22,27,30].

To obtain a comprehensive survey of miRNAs in rose petals in the RG period and in response to ethylene treatment, we constructed and sequenced small RNA libraries from petals of unopened buds (stage 0, S0), opened buds (stage 2, S2), partially opened flowers (stage 2 flowers exposed to air for 24 h, C24), and ethylene-treated flowers (stage 2 flowers treated with 10 ppm ethylene for 24 h, E24).

We obtained 16,648,213, 6,069,761, 11,579,864, and 15,937,871 redundant reads of 10–40 nt from petals of S0, S2, C24, and E24 samples, respectively, after removing adapters, low quality reads and contaminants (Table S1). The length distribution of the small RNAs ranged from 18 to 30 nt was examined and shown in Figure 1. In all four samples, 21-nt, 23-nt and 24-nt small RNAs were the major population, consistent with the size of Dicer-like protein cleavage products, and 24-nt was the most dominant, similar to the results obtained from most tested plants, such as Arabidopsis thaliana, rice, tomato, cucumber, apple and peach [7,9,10,11,16,17,31,32]. The redundancy level of sRNAs was low for the 23-nt and 24-nt sRNAs, while the 21-nt sRNAs have the highest redundancy level, especially in the E24 library. This is different from that of cucumber, as the redundancy level of 22-nt sRNAs was reported to be the highest [7]. All the small RNA sequences have been deposited into NCBI SRA database under accession SRA066431.

Figure 1. Size distribution of small RNA (sRNA) sequences from rose petals. The number of redundant (A) and unique (B) sequences from rose petals. (C) Redundancy ratio for sRNAs from rose petals.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0064290.g001
Identification of Conserved miRNAs in Rose Petals

It has been well known that miRNAs play critical roles in plant development and in plant responses to various abiotic and biotic stimuli [1–3]. To date, hundreds of plant miRNAs have been identified (miRBase release 18.0) [12]. Here, we explore the miRNAs in our sequencing data to systematically identify both conserved and species-specific miRNAs in rose.

To identify conserved miRNAs in rose, we aligned the small RNA sequences against the known plant mature miRNAs registered in the miRBase (Release 17, April 2011), and their corresponding precursor sequences were checked to insure the miRNAs have their expected secondary structures. The aligned sRNAs of 18–24 nt long and having abundance of no less than 5 RPM (reads per million) in at least one library were regarded as the miRNA candidates and used for further analysis. A total of 33 known miRNA families were identified and listed in Table 1. Among them, 27 families were known and well-conserved, including miR156/miR157, miR159, miR160, miR162, miR164, miR166, miR167, miR168, miR169, miR171, miR172, miR199, miR2390, miR2393, miR2594, miR2595, miR390, miR397, miR398, miR399, miR403, miR408, miR477, miR482, miR533, miR5827, and miR858. Six families were known but not well-conserved, including miR2109, miR2476, miR4114, miR5072, miR5077, and miR5139. In addition, the corresponding miRNA* sequences were detected in 26 families, further supporting the existence of these families in rose (Table 1). Unexpectedly, rose has several less-conserved

| Family       | Size range | 5' end | Star(*) | Ath | ctr | osa | ptc | vvi | zma |
|--------------|------------|--------|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| **Well-conserved** |            |        |         |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| miR156/miR157 | 18–24      | 0.00   | 0.96    | 0.00 | 0.03 | Yes | Yes | No  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| miR159       | 18–26      | 0.01   | 0.86    | 0.13 | 0.01 | Yes | Yes | No  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| miR160       | 19–24      | 0.00   | 0.22    | 0.01 | 0.76 | Yes | Yes | No  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| miR162       | 18–23      | 0.01   | 0.90    | 0.00 | 0.09 | Yes | Yes | No  | No  | No  | No  | No  |
| miR164       | 18–23      | 0.00   | 0.99    | 0.01 | 0.00 | Yes | Yes | No  | Yes | No  | Yes | Yes |
| miR166       | 18–24      | 0.01   | 0.97    | 0.00 | 0.03 | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| miR167       | 18–24      | 0.01   | 0.99    | 0.00 | 0.00 | Yes | Yes | No  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| miR168       | 18–24      | 0.02   | 0.94    | 0.06 | 0.00 | Yes | Yes | No  | No  | No  | No  | No  |
| miR169       | 18–25      | 0.08   | 0.88    | 0.00 | 0.04 | Yes | Yes | No  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| miR171       | 19–24      | 0.03   | 0.96    | 0.00 | 0.00 | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| miR172       | 19–23      | 0.95   | 0.03    | 0.00 | 0.02 | Yes | Yes | No  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| miR319       | 20–22      | 0.08   | 0.92    | 0.00 | 0.00 | No  | No  | No  | Yes | No  | Yes | No  |
| miR390       | 19–23      | 0.97   | 0.00    | 0.02 | 0.00 | Yes | Yes | No  | No  | No  | No  | No  |
| miR393       | 19–22      | 0.93   | 0.07    | 0.00 | 0.00 | Yes | Yes | No  | Yes | No  | No  | No  |
| miR394       | 20–21      | 0.32   | 0.66    | 0.02 | 0.01 | Yes | Yes | No  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| miR395       | 18–24      | 0.01   | 0.10    | 0.78 | 0.11 | Yes | Yes | No  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| miR396       | 18–25      | 0.00   | 0.35    | 0.06 | 0.59 | Yes | Yes | No  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| miR397       | 19–24      | 0.30   | 0.59    | 0.11 | 0.00 | Yes | Yes | No  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| miR398       | 18–23      | 0.01   | 0.24    | 0.74 | 0.01 | Yes | Yes | No  | No  | No  | No  | No  |
| miR399       | 18–24      | 0.03   | 0.86    | 0.01 | 0.10 | Yes | Yes | No  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| miR403       | 19–22      | 0.05   | 0.95    | 0.00 | 0.00 | Yes | Yes | No  | No  | No  | No  | No  |
| miR408       | 18–24      | 0.91   | 0.01    | 0.09 | 0.00 | Yes | Yes | No  | No  | No  | No  | No  |
| miR477       | 19–24      | 0.82   | 0.09    | 0.08 | 0.01 | Yes | No  | No  | No  | Yes | No  | Yes |
| miR482       | 18–24      | 0.00   | 0.97    | 0.03 | 0.00 | Yes | No  | No  | No  | Yes | No  | Yes |
| miR535       | 19–24      | 0.00   | 1.00    | 0.00 | 0.00 | No  | No  | No  | No  | No  | No  | No  |
| miR827       | 21–24      | 0.00   | 1.00    | 0.00 | 0.00 | Yes | Yes | No  | No  | No  | No  | No  |
| miR858       | 18–22      | 0.00   | 0.04    | 0.95 | 0.00 | Yes | Yes | No  | No  | No  | No  | No  |
| **Less-conserved** |            |        |         |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| miR2109      | 21         | 0.00   | 1.00    | 0.00 | 0.00 | No  | No  | No  | No  | No  | No  | No  |
| miR2478      | 18–20      | 0.60   | 0.20    | 0.20 | 0.06 | Yes | No  | No  | No  | No  | No  | No  |
| miR4414      | 19–22      | 0.99   | 0.00    | 0.01 | 0.00 | No  | No  | No  | No  | No  | No  | No  |
| miR5072      | 18–24      | 0.07   | 0.38    | 0.27 | 0.28 | No  | No  | No  | Yes | No  | No  | No  |
| miR5077      | 18–22      | 0.13   | 0.61    | 0.00 | 0.26 | No  | No  | No  | Yes | No  | No  | No  |
| miR5139      | 18–23      | 0.33   | 0.23    | 0.21 | 0.24 | No  | No  | No  | No  | No  | No  | No  |

Ath, Arabidopsis thaliana; ctr, Citrus trifoliata; osa, Oryza sativa; ptc, Populus trichocarpa; vvi, Vitis vinifera; zma, Zea mays.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0064290.t001
| ID   | miRNA                  | family | Precursor |
|------|------------------------|--------|-----------|
| 5066 | UGACAGAAGAGGUGAGCAC    | miR156 | H000126   |
| 5066 | UGACAGAAGAGGUGAGCAC    | miR156 | H000127   |
| 5066 | UGACAGAAGAGGUGAGCAC    | miR156 | H000128   |
| 5066 | UGACAGAAGAGGUGAGCAC    | miR156 | H000129   |
| 5066 | UGACAGAAGAGGUGAGCAC    | miR156 | H000130   |
| 5067 | UGACAGAAGAGGUGAGCAC    | miR156 | H000131   |
| 5067 | UGACAGAAGAGGUGAGCAC    | miR156 | H000132   |
| 5067 | UGACAGAAGAGGUGAGCAC    | miR156 | H000133   |
| 5067 | UGACAGAAGAGGUGAGCAC    | miR156 | H000134   |
| 5067 | UGACAGAAGAGGUGAGCAC    | miR156 | H000135   |
| 5068 | UGACAGAAGAGGUGAGCAC    | miR156 | H000136   |
| 5069 | UGACAGAAGAGGUGAGCAC    | miR156 | H000137   |
| 5070 | UGACAGAAGAGGUGAGCAC    | miR156 | H000138   |
| 5071 | UGACAGAAGAGGUGAGCAC    | miR156 | H000139   |
| 5071 | UGACAGAAGAGGUGAGCAC    | miR156 | H000140   |
| 5090 | UGACAGAAGAGGUGAGCAC    | miR156 | H000141   |
| 5090 | UGACAGAAGAGGUGAGCAC    | miR156 | H000165   |
| 5090 | UGACAGAAGAGGUGAGCAC    | miR156 | H000166   |
| 5090 | UGACAGAAGAGGUGAGCAC    | miR156 | H000167   |
| 5090 | UGACAGAAGAGGUGAGCAC    | miR156 | H000168   |
| 5093 | UGACAGAAGAGGUGAGCAC    | miR156 | H000173   |
| 5093 | UGACAGAAGAGGUGAGCAC    | miR156 | H000174   |
| 5091 | UGACAGAAGAGGUGAGCAC    | miR156/miR157 | H000169 |
| 5091 | UGACAGAAGAGGUGAGCAC    | miR156/miR157 | H000170 |
| 5092 | UGACAGAAGAGGUGAGCAC    | miR156/miR157 | H000171 |
| 5092 | UGACAGAAGAGGUGAGCAC    | miR156/miR157 | H000172 |
| 5099 | UUUGGAUUGAGGGAGCUUU    | miR159 | H000181   |
| 5100 | UUUGGAUUGAGGGGACUUA    | miR159 | H000182   |
| 5079 | UGGCCUGCCUCUCUGUAUGCA  | miR160 | H000153   |
| 5053 | UGGAAUACCCCUUGCAUCAG   | miR162 | H000101   |
| 5080 | UGGAAUACCCCUUGCAUGCA   | miR164 | H000154   |
| 5035 | CGGACCGGCUAUUCUCCCC    | miR166 | H000064   |
| 5035 | CGGACCGGCUAUUCUCCCC    | miR166 | H000065   |
| 5035 | CGGACCGGCUAUUCUCCCC    | miR166 | H000066   |
| 5035 | CGGACCGGCUAUUCUCCCC    | miR166 | H000067   |
| 5046 | GGACCAGGGCUAUUCCCC    | miR166 | H000084   |
| 5046 | GGACCAGGGCUAUUCCCC    | miR166 | H000085   |
| 5046 | GGACCAGGGCUAUUCCCC    | miR166 | H000086   |
| 5046 | GGACCAGGGCUAUUCCCC    | miR166 | H000087   |
| 5057 | UGGGACCGUCAUUCCCC     | miR166 | H000105   |
| 5057 | UGGGACCGUCAUUCCCC     | miR166 | H000106   |
| 5057 | UGGGACCGUCAUUCCCC     | miR166 | H000107   |
| 5057 | UGGGACCGUCAUUCCCC     | miR166 | H000108   |
| 5058 | UGGGACCGUCAUUCCCC     | miR166 | H000109   |
| 5058 | UGGGACCGUCAUUCCCC     | miR166 | H000110   |
| 5058 | UGGGACCGUCAUUCCCC     | miR166 | H000111   |
| 5058 | UGGGACCGUCAUUCCCC     | miR166 | H000112   |
| ID   | miRNA                              | family | Precursor | Chr | Fold Energy |
|------|-----------------------------------|--------|-----------|-----|-------------|
| S059 | UCGGACCAGGCUUCAUUCCCC             | miR166 | H000113   | LG2 | 50.7        |
| S059 | UCGGACCAGGCUUCAUUCCCC             | miR166 | H000114   | LG7 | 40.36       |
| S059 | UCGGACCAGGCUUCAUUCCCC             | miR166 | H000115   | LG4 | 42.6        |
| S059 | UCGGACCAGGCUUCAUUCCCC             | miR166 | H000116   | LG4 | 43.2        |
| S060 | UCGGACCAGGCUUCAUUCCCC             | miR166 | H000117   | LG7 | 41.96       |
| S060 | UCGGACCAGGCUUCAUUCCCC             | miR166 | H000118   | LG2 | 52.3        |
| S088 | UUCGGACGGCUUCAUUCCCC              | miR166 | H000163   | LG2 | 47.4        |
| S062 | UGAAGGCUCCAGCAGAUAUCU             | miR167 | H000120   | LG1 | 32.2        |
| S062 | UGAAGGCUCCAGCAGAUAUCU             | miR167 | H000121   | LG2 | 33.6        |
| S062 | UGAAGGCUCCAGCAGAUAUCU             | miR167 | H000122   | LG2 | 43.4        |
| S063 | UGAAGGCUCCAGCAGAUAUCUA            | miR167 | H000123   | LG1 | 32.2        |
| S064 | UGAAGGCUCCAGCAGAUAUCUC            | miR167 | H000124   | LG4 | 38.2        |
| S065 | UGAAGGCUCCAGCAGAUAUCUC            | miR167 | H000125   | LG4 | 38.2        |
| R13  | UGAAGGCUCCAGCAGAUAUCUC            | miR167 | H000031   | RU29562 | 37.2 |
| R14  | UGAAGGCUCCAGCAGAUAUCUC            | miR167 | H000032   | RU29562 | 37.2 |
| S034 | CGCUUGGUGAGGUGCAGGGA              | miR168 | H000063   | LG5 | 43.2        |
| S054 | UCGGCUUGGAGGUGCAGGGGA             | miR168 | H000102   | LG5 | 44.7        |
| S055 | UCGGCUUGGAGGUGCAGGGGA             | miR168 | H000103   | LG5 | 44.7        |
| S074 | UGAGGCAAAGAUAUCAGGCU              | miR169 | H000144   | LG4 | 36.8        |
| S076 | UAUGACCGUGGCGCAUAUC                | miR171 | H000146   | LG5 | 37.3        |
| S076 | UAUGACCGUGGCGCAUAUC                | miR171 | H000147   | LG3 | 39.5        |
| S076 | UAUGACCGUGGCGCAUAUC                | miR171 | H000148   | LG5 | 43.1        |
| S076 | UAUGACCGUGGCGCAUAUC                | miR171 | H000149   | LG2 | 39.4        |
| S076 | UAUGACCGUGGCGCAUAUC                | miR171 | H000150   | LG6 | 38.2        |
| S095 | UUGACCGUGGCGCAUAUC                | miR171 | H000176   | LG5 | 45.5        |
| S095 | UUGACCGUGGCGCAUAUC                | miR171 | H000177   | LG3 | 41.4        |
| S039 | GAUCUCUGUGAGUGCGAGAU              | miR172 | H000074   | LG7 | 45.5        |
| S039 | GAUCUCUGUGAGUGCGAGAU              | miR172 | H000075   | LG3 | 42.5        |
| S039 | GAUCUCUGUGAGUGCGAGAU              | miR172 | H000076   | LG2 | 35          |
| S003 | AAGUGUGGAGGAUAGCGGG               | miR390 | H000005   | LG6 | 38.6        |
| S096 | UUGGACUAGUCUCGACCCCUG             | miR394 | H000178   | LG2 | 38.5        |
| S096 | UUCACAGCUUCUCAUAGACUG             | miR396 | H000160   | LG1 | 50.3        |
| S088 | UUCACAGCUUCUUCUAGACUG             | miR396 | H000161   | LG3 | 36.7        |
| S088 | UUCACAGCUUCUUCUAGACUG             | miR396 | H000162   | LG1 | 41          |
| S025 | AUUGAGUGCGAGCGUGAGA               | miR397 | H000053   | LG3 | 49.5        |
| S030 | CAUGAGUGCAGCGGUAGUGA              | miR397 | H000059   | LG3 | 49.9        |
| S052 | UCAUGAGUGCAGCGGUAGUG              | miR397 | H000100   | LG3 | 49.9        |
| S036 | CGUGGUGUGCAUGGUACGCCCCUG          | miR398 | H000068   | LG3 | 73.7        |
| S078 | UGCGCAAGGAGUGUUGGCCUG             | miR399 | H000152   | LG5 | 43          |
| S024 | AUGACUGGCUCCUCUCCUGGC             | miR408 | H000053   | LG1 | 50.6        |
| S077 | UGCAUCUGGCUCCUCUCCUGCU            | miR408 | H000151   | LG1 | 50.6        |
| S011 | AUCUCUCUCUCUACAGGCCCUCUC          | miR473 | H000019   | LG5 | 54          |
| S061 | UCUGUUCUAUCCUCUCCUCCUC            | miR482 | H000119   | LG5 | 40.9        |
| R12  | UCUGGCGCAUCGGCCUCAUUAUC           | miR482 | H000030   | RU41075 | 28.5 |
| S084 | UUUAGAGACCAUAACAAACA              | miR827 | H000158   | LG1 | 31.8        |

The rose floral transcriptome database, and the genome sequence of strawberry (F. vesca) and transcriptome data of rose were used as reference, respectively. R, rose; S, strawberry. Detailed information is listed in Table S2.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0064290.t002
miRNAs which were found previously only in monocots, such as miR3072 and miR5077. In addition, miR2109 and miR4414, which were specific in legume plants in previous reports, were also discovered in rose. The 5’ end of 49.5% (16 of 33) and 18.2% known miRNA families appeared predominantly as uridine (U) and adenosine (A), which were specifically generated by different Dicer proteins and recognized by Argonaute 1 and 2 proteins, respectively [33,34].

miRNA precursor prediction is an important step to identify the endogenous miRNAs. Since currently the genomic information of rose is not available, genome sequence of a closely related plant, strawberry (Fragaria vesca) [35], which belongs to the subfamily Rosoideae, was used as the reference to predict rose miRNA precursors. As shown in Table 2, 92 precursors of 21 known miRNA families were predicted. We also predicted precursors of known miRNAs using the rose floral transcriptome sequences we generated as the reference (http://bioinfo.bti.cornell.edu/rose). However, precursors of only two miRNAs, miR167 and miR482, were identified (Table 2 and Table S2). The failure of precursor prediction using the rose transcriptome database was mainly due to the fact that the database was constructed from poly (A) mRNAs, while miRNA precursors lack poly (A). The stem-loop structures of miRNA precursors of miR167 and miR482 predicted from rose and strawberry were almost identical (Figure 2), suggesting that they were highly conserved.

Identification of Novel miRNAs in Rose Petals

The genome sequences of strawberry and transcriptome sequences of rose (http://bioinfo.bti.cornell.edu/rose) were also used to predict the potential novel miRNAs in rose. According to the criteria for miRNA annotation [36], we used 5 RPM as cutoff to get rid of miRNAs with low expression level. In addition, for the cases of novel miRNAs without star sequences, we required the candidate miRNAs to be present in all four independent libraries. A total of 47 novel miRNA families were obtained and named as rhy-miRC1 to rhy-miRC47 (Table 3). Of the 47 novel miRNAs, rhy-miRC1 was predicted from both rose and strawberry, while 8 and 38 miRNAs were predicted from rose and strawberry, respectively. The predicted hairpin structures of these novel miRNAs ranged from 61 to 242 nt in length and the folding energies were −18.4 to 106.6 ΔG (Table 3; Table S3). In addition, 16 out of the 47 novel miRNAs were predicted from more than one locus, suggesting that these miRNAs might be composed of multiple members (Table S3). Furthermore, the corresponding miRNA* sequences were identified for 27 novel miRNA families, further supporting their existence as miRNAs (Table 3).

We compared the stem-loop structures of rhy-miRC1 predicted from rose and strawberry. As shown in Figure 3A, the precursor structures were much more similar between these two species, indicating that rose and strawberry possess the same miRNAs which have not been reported in other plant species until now. In addition, the stem-loop structures of rhy-miRC2/11/42/43/44/45 were presented in Figure 3B.

Abundance of Conserved and Novel MiRNAs in Rose Petals

Since high-throughput sequencing provides the opportunity for quantitative profiling of sRNA populations, the sequencing frequency has been used to estimate the miRNA abundance in different samples. Nearly half of the conserved miRNA families were represented with more than 50 RPM in at least one library, including miR156, miR157, miR159, and miR166, miR167, miR168, miR171, miR172, miR290, miR296, miR397, miR408, miR535, and miR827. On the other hand, all six known but less-conserved families (miR2109, miR2478, miR4414, miR5072, miR5077, and miR5139) exhibited high abundance, namely more than 50 RPM in at least one library (Table S4). MiR156, miR157, and miR166 were the most highly expressed conserved miRNAs. The highest expression level of miR159, miR164, miR166, miR167, miR397, miR408 and miR827 were observed in petals of unopened buds (S0), indicating that they may play roles in earlier period of flower opening; whereas, miR168, miR171 and miR390 were enriched in petals of opened flowers (C24). In addition, miR156, miR157, and miR335 and miR2109 were highly accumulated in petals of ethylene-treated flowers (E24). Of the 47 novel miRNAs, 12 appeared to be highly expressed in petals (more than 50 RPM in at least one library) (Table S4). Rhy-miRC3, rhy-miRC9 and rhy-miRC26 were the most highly expressed novel miRNAs. Moreover, rhy-miRC3 and rhy-miRC26 were highly expressed in petals of unopened buds (S0), while rhy-miRC2, rhy-miRC9, rhy-miRC17, rhy-miRC34, and rhy-miRC41 were enriched in petals of ethylene-treated flowers (E24).

Generally, the miRNA* sequences are considered to be quickly degraded after their complementary miRNA sequences are selected from the miRNA/miRNA* duplex and loaded into the AGO protein [1,2]. Therefore, the abundance of miRNA* is usually much lower than that of their corresponding miRNAs. However, we noticed that the abundance of miRNA* of two conserved miRNA families, miR171 and miR396, was much higher (Figure S1), which was consistent with the finding in Brassica [37].

In summary, our analysis showed that both known and novel miRNAs exhibited highly diverse expression patterns during flower opening and in response to ethylene, indicating that they may play different roles in these biological processes.

Prediction and Validation of MiRNA Targets in Rose Petals

To understand possible biological functions of the identified miRNAs in rose, we identified their putative targets using the rose floral transcriptome database as the reference transcript set (http://bioinfo.bti.cornell.edu/rose). Putative targets of 28 known miRNA families were predicted (Table 4 and 5; Table S5) and all the well-conserved miRNAs, such as miR156, miR157, miR160, miR164, miR167, miR172, miR296, miR397, and miR408 shared conserved target genes with their homologous miRNAs in other plants (Table 4), indicating that these miRNAs might play a fundamental role in plant development. Interestingly, we also
| Family   | Length (nt) | ID | Sequence                          | Star (*) |
|----------|-------------|----|-----------------------------------|----------|
| rhy-miRC1| 24          | R1 | AAGGGACUAGCAAAAGCUAAGGUG          | Yes      |
|          |             | R5 | AAGGGACUAGCAAAAGCUAAGGUG          |          |
|          |             | S004| AAGGGACUAGCAAAAGCUAAGGUG         |          |
|          |             | S019| AAGGGACUAGCAAAAGCUAAGGUG         |          |
| rhy-miRC2| 21          | R3 | AAGGAAAAACUAGGAUGGAUGA           | Yes      |
|          |             | R4 | AAGGAAAAACUAGGAUGGAUGA           | Yes      |
| rhy-miRC3| 21          | R16| UGGGAUGGGAAAGAUGGCA              |          |
|          |             | R17| UGGGAUGGGAAAGAUGGCA              |          |
|          |             | R18| UGGGAUGGGAAAGAUGGCA              |          |
|          |             | R8 | UGGGAUGGGAAAGAUGGCA              |          |
| rhy-miRC4| 21          | S007| AAUUGGUGAUGCUGUAAGGGCA          |          |
|          |             | S015| AGCAUUGAUGCUAAGCUAAGGC          | Yes      |
|          |             | S016| AGCAUUGAUGCUAAGCUAAGGC          | Yes      |
|          |             | S027| GCAUUGAUGCUAAGCUAAGGC          |          |
|          |             | S042| GCAUUGAUGCUAAGCUAAGGC          | Yes      |
| rhy-miRC5| 24          | S013| AGAUGAUCUAACACUUGAACCUA         |          |
|          |             | S014| AGAUGAUCUAACACUUGAACCUA         | Yes      |
| rhy-miRC6| 20          | S017| AGCAUUGAUGCUAAGCUAAGGC         | Yes      |
|          |             | S018| AGCAUUGAUGCUAAGCUAAGGC         | Yes      |
|          |             | 19 | GCAUUGAUGCUAAGCUAAGGC         | Yes      |
| rhy-miRC7| 20          | S038| UGCAUUGAUGCUAAGCUAAGGC         | Yes      |
|          |             | S044| GCAUUGAUGCUAAGCUAAGGC         | Yes      |
| rhy-miRC8| 21          | S040| GAUGAUGAUGCUAAGCUAAGGC         | Yes      |
|          |             | S041| GAUGAUGAUGCUAAGCUAAGGC         | Yes      |
| rhy-miRC9| 20          | S072| UGCAUUGAUGCUAAGCUAAGGC         | Yes      |
|          |             | S073| UGCAUUGAUGCUAAGCUAAGGC         | Yes      |
|          |             | 21 | UGCAUUGAUGCUAAGCUAAGGC         | Yes      |
| rhy-miRC10| 19         | S082| UGCAUUGAUGCUAAGCUAAGGC        | Yes      |
|          |             | S083| UGCAUUGAUGCUAAGCUAAGGC        | Yes      |
| rhy-miRC11| 24         | S026| UGCAUUGAUGCUAAGCUAAGGC        | Yes      |
|          |             | S085| UGCAUUGAUGCUAAGCUAAGGC        | Yes      |
|          |             | 21 | UGCAUUGAUGCUAAGCUAAGGC        | Yes      |
| rhy-miRC12| 21         | S102| UUUCUGAUGUAGCCUGUGCA          | Yes      |
|          |             | S103| UUUCUGAUGUAGCCUGUGCA          | Yes      |
| rhy-miRC13| 21         | R2 | ACUGGAACACUACAGCAUGG          | Yes      |
|          |             | R6 | ACUGGAACACUACAGCAUGG          | Yes      |
| rhy-miRC14| 24         | R7 | AUCGUGGUGAUGAUGAUGAUGAUGAUGAUG  | Yes      |
| rhy-miRC15| 21         | R10| GUGAUGGUGAUGAUGAUGAUGAUGAUGAUG  | Yes      |
| rhy-miRC16| 21         | R11| GCAUUCGUAGCUUUCUCCA          | Yes      |
| rhy-miRC17| 21         | R15| UGCAUUGAUGCUAAGCUAGCG        | Yes      |
| rhy-miRC18| 21         | S001| AAUUGAUGAUGCUAAGCUAAGGUA    | Yes      |
| rhy-miRC19| 24         | S002| AAUUGAUGAUGCUAAGCUAAGGUA    | Yes      |
| rhy-miRC20| 21         | S005| AAUUGAUGAUGCUAAGCUAAGGUA    | Yes      |
| rhy-miRC21| 24         | S006| AAUUGAUGAUGCUAAGCUAAGGUA    | Yes      |
| rhy-miRC22| 24         | S007| AAUUGAUGAUGCUAAGCUAAGGUA    | Yes      |
| rhy-miRC23| 21         | S009| AAUUGAUGAUGCUAAGCUAAGGUA    | Yes      |
| rhy-miRC24| 22         | S010| AAUUGAUGAUGCUAAGCUAAGGUA    | Yes      |
| rhy-miRC25| 21         | S012| AAUUGAUGAUGCUAAGCUAAGGUA    | Yes      |
| rhy-miRC26| 21         | S020| AAUUGAUGAUGCUAAGCUAAGGUA    | Yes      |
identified some novel targets of both conserved and less-conserved known miRNA families (Table 5; Table S5). These putative novel targets included several regulatory proteins, such as protein kinase (miR156), E3 ubiquitin protein (miR159), enhancer of mRNA-decapping protein (miR169), zinc finger protein (miR172, miR394 and miR5139), and RING-H2 finger protein (miR397). Moreover,

Table 3. Cont.

| Family       | Length (nt) | ID  | Sequence                        | Star (*) |
|--------------|-------------|-----|---------------------------------|----------|
| rhy-miRC28   | 24          | S021| AGUUUGGACAAUUCGUACCAAUG         |          |
| rhy-miRC29   | 24          | S022| AGUUUAAGGGACUGUGAGGGACA        |          |
| rhy-miRC30   | 21          | S023| AUCAGCUAUCCCUUGGAAUU           |          |
| rhy-miRC31   | 21          | S028| CAGGGCGGAACUGGUUCGGGU          |          |
| rhy-miRC32   | 21          | S029| CAUCAACCGUGCACCCAUUA           |          |
| rhy-miRC33   | 21          | S031| CCCGCUUGGCAUACUGAAU            |          |
| rhy-miRC34   | 21          | S032| CGAGCGGACCAAAUACACUC           |          |
| rhy-miRC35   | 21          | S033| CGCUAUCCAUCCUGGGGUCUCC         |          |
| rhy-miRC36   | 21          | S037| CUAGUGUCAUUUGGUAAUCAGCAUC      |          |
| rhy-miRC37   | 21          | S043| GGGUACGAGAGCCAGCAGAU           |          |
| rhy-miRC38   | 21          | S045| GCUCUCUAGGCGUGUCPCGCAC         |          |
| rhy-miRC39   | 24          | S047| GAGUGUGGAAUUUGUAAGGGGGA        |          |
| rhy-miRC40   | 21          | S049| GUUCAUAAACUGGUACUGGAAG         |          |
| rhy-miRC41   | 22          | S050| UAUGUGCAGGAGAGGGUAUC           |          |
| rhy-miRC42   | 22          | S051| UCAAUAAAGCUGUGGGGAGAU          |          |
| rhy-miRC43   | 22          | S056| UGGCUUGGUGCAGGGCGGAAC          |          |
| rhy-miRC44   | 21          | S081| UGGGAUUGGCGAAGUUGG             |          |
| rhy-miRC45   | 22          | S089| UUGGACACAGGCUUCAUCCC           |          |
| rhy-miRC46   | 21          | S098| UUUGAAGUGGGAAUUGGCGGA          |          |
| rhy-miRC47   | 24          | S101| UUGGCUUGAAAUUGGCGAGAUG         |          |

The rose floral transcriptome database, and the genome sequence of strawberry (F. vesca) and transcriptome data of rose were used as reference, respectively. R, roses; S, strawberry.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0064290.t003

Figure 3. Predicted precursor structures of novel miRNAs in rose. Precursor stem-loop structures of novel miRNAs predicted based on rose transcriptome (A) or genome sequence of strawberry (F. vesca) (B) are displayed. The mature miRNA sequences are highlighted in red and miRNA* sequences in green.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0064290.g003
we also found a lot of structure and metabolism proteins, including DXP53 (1-deoxy-D-xylulose-5-phosphate synthase 3) (miR156), cytochrome oxidase (miR159), pectin methylesterase (miR166), beta-galactosidase (miR166), hydroxase (miR167), pentatricopeptide (PPR) repeat-containing protein (miR2478), and expansin (miR159) (Table S3).

Putative targets of 26 out of the 47 novel miRNAs in rose were also predicted (Table S6). The predicted targets included several types of regulatory protein, such as transcription factor (miR156), cell cycle factor (miR160), and component of ubiquitin-dependent protein degradation system (miR164). Meanwhile, the novel targets also included a lot of functional proteins, such as glycosyl hydrolase (miR159), ARPC1B (actin-related protein C1b) (miR159), plastid developmental protein (miR159), UDP-glucuronate decarboxylase (miR159), pyruvate oxidase (miR159), acyl-CoA-binding protein (miR159), and actin binding protein (miR159). Candidate targets of eight novel miRNAs, expression of 17 and 22 miNRAs substantially decreased (stage 2/stage 0 ≤0.67) and increased (stage 2/stage 0 ≥1.50), respectively, during early flower opening. The novel miRNAs whose abundance decreased more than 10-fold included rhy-miRC45, rhy-miRC32, and rhy-miRC37, and the increased ones (≥10-fold) included rhy-miRC21, rhy-miRC42, rhy-miRC40, rhy-miRC13, and rhy-miRC30 (Table 6).

To screen possible ethylene-sensitive miRNAs, we compared the expression level of miRNAs between flowers (stage 2) treated with or without 10 ppm ethylene for 24 h. We found that 28 out of 33 known miRNAs (84.8% of known miRNAs) showed substantial expression changes in response to ethylene treatment (46.8% of novel miRNAs) was substantially changed after ethylene treatment. Interestingly, a novel miRNA, rhy-miRC41, exhibited a 46-fold increase in its expression in ethylene-treated flower petals when compared to the control (Table 6).

We also performed quantitative RT-PCR to examine the expression of several known and novel miRNAs. The expression patterns obtained from qRT-PCR supported the sequencing data. More importantly, qRT-PCR results confirmed that expression of miR156, miR159, and miR164 showed substantial decreases (stage 2/stage 0 ≤0.67 or ≥1.50), while expression of 22 novel miRNAs (46.8% of novel miRNAs) was substantially changed after ethylene treatment. Interestingly, a novel miRNA, rhy-miRC41, exhibited a 46-fold increase in its expression in ethylene-treated flower petals when compared to the control (Table 6).

Putative targets of 26 out of the 47 novel miRNAs in rose were also predicted (Table S6). The predicted targets included several types of regulatory protein, such as transcription factor (miR156), cell cycle factor (miR160), and component of ubiquitin-dependent protein degradation system (miR164). Meanwhile, the novel targets also included a lot of functional proteins, such as glycosyl hydrolase (miR159), ARPC1B (actin-related protein C1b) (miR159), plastid developmental protein (miR159), UDP-glucuronate decarboxylase (miR159), pyruvate oxidase (miR159), acyl-CoA-binding protein (miR159), and actin binding protein (miR159). Candidate targets of eight novel miRNAs, expression of 17 and 22 miNRAs substantially decreased (stage 2/stage 0 ≤0.67) and increased (stage 2/stage 0 ≥1.50), respectively, during early flower opening. The novel miRNAs whose abundance decreased more than 10-fold included rhy-miRC45, rhy-miRC32, and rhy-miRC37, and the increased ones (≥10-fold) included rhy-miRC21, rhy-miRC42, rhy-miRC40, rhy-miRC13, and rhy-miRC30 (Table 6).

To screen possible ethylene-sensitive miRNAs, we compared the expression level of miRNAs between flowers (stage 2) treated with or without 10 ppm ethylene for 24 h. We found that 28 out of 33 known miRNAs (84.8% of known miRNAs) showed substantial expression changes in response to ethylene treatment (46.8% of novel miRNAs) was substantially changed after ethylene treatment. Interestingly, a novel miRNA, rhy-miRC41, exhibited a 46-fold increase in its expression in ethylene-treated flower petals when compared to the control (Table 6).

We also performed quantitative RT-PCR to examine the expression of several known and novel miRNAs. The expression patterns obtained from qRT-PCR supported the sequencing data. More importantly, qRT-PCR results confirmed that expression of miR156, miR159, and miR164 showed substantial decreases (stage 2/stage 0 ≤0.67 or ≥1.50), while expression of 22 novel miRNAs (46.8% of novel miRNAs) was substantially changed after ethylene treatment. Interestingly, a novel miRNA, rhy-miRC41, exhibited a 46-fold increase in its expression in ethylene-treated flower petals when compared to the control (Table 6).

| miRNA family | Targets ID | Targets Annotation |
|--------------|------------|--------------------|
| miR156       | RU15050    | SQUAMOSA PROMOTER BINDING PROTEIN-LIKE |
|              | RU3921     |                     |
| miR159       | RU13577    | R2R3-myb transcription factor |
| miR160       | RU26455    | Auxin response factor |
| miR164       | RU24899    | NAC domain protein |
| miR168       | RU01155    | Argonaute protein |
| miR172       | RU08179    | Transcription factor AHAP2 |
| miR319       | RU00790    | TCP transcription factor |
| miR397       | RU16913    | Laccase-like protein |
| miR482       | RU12701    | NBS-LRR type resistance protein |

Table 4. Predicted targets for conserved miRNAs in rose.

We then performed 5′-RACE using total RNA extracted from rose petals and confirmed the miR156- and miR159-mediated cleavage of SPL (RU15050) and MYB (RU13577) gene in vivo, respectively (Figure 4C).

MiRNA Profiling in Rose Petals during Early Flower Opening and in Response to Ethylene

Since the high-throughout sequencing data of small RNAs can be used to evaluate the miRNA expression profiles, we investigated miRNA profiles in petals during the early period of flower opening and in response to ethylene in rose. Except for miR160, miR390, miR402, miR538 and miR827, all known miRNAs exhibited substantial expression changes during early flower opening (stage 2/stage 0 ≤0.67 or ≥1.50): abundance of 10 miRNAs decreased, while 18 increased (Table 6). Of the 28 changed miRNAs, the most pronounced expression decrease (≥10-fold) was found in miR441 and miR139, while the increase (≥10-fold) was found in miR5139, miR394, miR396 and miR473. Among the 47 novel miRNAs, expression of 17 and 22 miNRAs substantially decreased (stage 2/stage 0 ≤0.67) and increased (stage 2/stage 0 ≥1.50), respectively, during early flower opening. The novel miRNAs whose abundance decreased more than 10-fold included rhy-miRC45, rhy-miRC32 and rhy-miRC37, and the increased ones (≥10-fold) included rhy-miRC21, rhy-miRC42, rhy-miRC40, rhy-miRC13 and rhy-miRC30 (Table 6).

To screen possible ethylene-sensitive miRNAs, we compared the expression level of miRNAs between flowers (stage 2) treated with or without 10 ppm ethylene for 24 h. We found that 28 out of 33 known miRNAs (84.8% of known miRNAs) showed substantial expression changes in response to ethylene treatment (46.8% of novel miRNAs) was substantially changed after ethylene treatment. Interestingly, a novel miRNA, rhy-miRC41, exhibited a 46-fold increase in its expression in ethylene-treated flower petals when compared to the control (Table 6).

We also performed quantitative RT-PCR to examine the expression of several known and novel miRNAs. The expression patterns obtained from qRT-PCR supported the sequencing data. More importantly, qRT-PCR results confirmed that expression of miR156, miR159, and miR164 showed substantial decreases (stage 2/stage 0 ≤0.67 or ≥1.50), while expression of 22 novel miRNAs (46.8% of novel miRNAs) was substantially changed after ethylene treatment. Interestingly, a novel miRNA, rhy-miRC41, exhibited a 46-fold increase in its expression in ethylene-treated flower petals when compared to the control (Table 6).

Putative mRNA/miRNA Modules Involved in Ethylene-Regulated Flower Opening of Rose

Recent reports showed that translation repression is an important and popular way for miRNAs to regulate their targets in plants, and it is likely that miRNAs repress their targets through both transcript cleavage and translation repression [3,8,39]. However, integrative analysis of expression of miRNAs and their targets can still be helpful to identify mRNA/miRNA modules which might be involved in regulating specific biological processes. Here, we analyzed expression profiles of miRNAs and their predicted targets in rose petals treated with or without ethylene and identified 75 putative mRNA/miRNA modules, which included 21 known and 16 novel miRNAs (Table S7). Of these 75 mRNA/miRNA modules, expression of 5 miRNAs, including miR156, miR164, miR166, miR5139 and miR159, were inversely
correlated to that of their 7 corresponding targets in response to ethylene treatment (Table 7). We also tested the expression changes of predicted targets in response to ethylene in rose petals by using quantitative RT-PCR. As shown in Figure 6, qRT-PCR further confirmed that the expression of miRNAs and their predicted targets was inversely correlated.

**Discussion**

**Known and Novel miRNAs in Rose Petals**

*Rosaceae* plant is one of the six most economically important crop plant families, and includes many important fruits and ornamental plants, such as apple, pear, almond, peach, apricot, plum, cherry, strawberry, and rose [40]. As one of the most important ornamental crops, rose accounts for more than 30% of cut flower trade in Europe and China (Data from MOA, 2011) [18]. Aside from the economic significance, rose has also served as a model system to investigate some important biological processes, such as fragrance formation and flower opening. Therefore, understanding the molecular mechanism in shaping flower ornamental quality in rose will provide great value for rose production and breeding.

miRNAs have fundamental functions in regulating almost all aspects of plant development. In the present study, we performed small RNA sequencing and determined the expression profiles of miRNAs in rose petals in response to ethylene. We identified 47

Table 5. Predicted new targets for known miRNAs in rose.

| miRNA family | Targets ID | Targets Annotation |
|--------------|------------|--------------------|
| miR156       | RU23956    | E3 ubiquitin protein ligase UPL1, putative |
| miR156       | RU41939    | Nucleic acid binding protein, putative |
| miR156       | RU54762    | Protein kinase |
| miR156       | RU20461    | Timing of CAB expression 1 protein |
| miR156/miR157| RU20216    | Guanine nucleotide-exchange-like protein |
| miR156/miR157| RU06764    | RING/U-box domain-containing protein |
| miR159       | RU24195    | Cytokinin dehydrogenase |
| miR159       | RU48935    | ATP-binding region, ATPase-like domain-containing protein |
| miR166       | RU17672    | Glycosyl transferase family 17 protein |
| miR166       | RU06269    | beta-galactosidase |
| miR166       | RU10736    | Kinesin-like protein |
| miR166       | RU03738    | Pectin methylesterase 1 |
| miR166       | RU14941    | *Rosa rugosa* Rdr1 homologous region genomic sequence |
| miR168       | RU06766    | U-box domain-containing protein 43-like |
| miR169       | RU34168    | CCAAT-binding transcription factor subunit B |
| miR169       | RU00770    | *Rosa multiflora* breeding line 88/124–46 black spot resistance muRdr1 gene locus |
| miR169       | RU09780    | Nucleotide binding |
| miR171       | RU44711    | Ubiquitin-protein ligase |
| miR172       | RU24707    | Peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase |
| miR172       | RU06045    | Zinc finger, C2H2-type |
| miR394       | RU05839    | Dehydration-responsive protein-related |
| miR394       | RU21813    | E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase |
| miR394       | RU54433    | *Rosa rugosa* Rdr1 homologous region genomic sequence |
| miR394       | RU17225    | Zinc finger (C3HC4-type RING finger) |
| miR397       | RU01636    | Putative RING-H2 finger protein RHF2a (*Arabidopsis thaliana*) |
| miR398       | RU47741    | Receptor protein kinase-like protein |
| miR399       | RU52206    | *Rosa multiflora* breeding line 88/124–46 black spot resistance muRdr1 gene locus |
| miR482       | RU12701    | NBS-LRR type resistance protein |
| miR2109      | RU60115    | *Rosa rugosa* Rdr1 homologous region genomic sequence |
| miR2109      | RU27536    | TIR-NBS type disease resistance protein |
| miR4414      | RU22051    | Putative cyclic nucleotide-gated cation channel |
| miR4414      | RU44477    | SGS3 (SUPPRESSOR OF GENE SILENCING 3) |
| miR473       | RU33494    | Putative methyltransferase |
| miR3072      | RU23976    | Putative auxin influx carrier protein |
| miR3077      | RU25746    | ARF GTPase activator |
| miR5139      | RU22365    | Expansin |
| miR5139      | RU05060    | Zinc finger, C3HC4 type |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0064290.t005
novel and 33 known miRNA families, as well as their corresponding star sequences. Due to the lack of rose genome sequence, the precursors were predicted using both strawberry genome and our rose transcriptome sequences. Although we obtained precursors of miR166 and miR482 from the rose transcriptome sequences, their precursors were much more similar to those predicted using the strawberry genome.

A recent study reported 25 novel and 242 known miRNA sequences identified from flowers of three modern rose cultivars and Rosa rugosa [28]. Based on our analysis, we found that the reported 242 known miRNAs could be categorized into 37 miRNA families (Table S9), and 29 families were also identified by us. Five families (miR828, miR845, miR2111, miR2275 and miR2911) were not listed by us due to their low expression levels (<5 RPM), but found in our sequencing data. Four known miRNA families (miR2478, miR5072, miR5077 and miR5139) were identified from our sRNA dataset, but were not listed in Kim et al [28].

For novel miRNAs, 17 predicted novel miRNAs were reported by Kim et al [28], while 47 novel miRNAs were identified in our work. Although both Kim et al and us used strawberry (Fragaria vesca) genome to predict the novel miRNAs, only five (rhy-miRC4/ng9, rhy-miRC10/ng4, rhy-miRC24/ng8, rhy-miRC27/ng11 and rhy-miRC36/ng6) were presented in both works. In addition, seven other novel miRNAs (ng1, ng5, ng12, ng13, ng14, ng15 and ng16) in Kim et al [28] were also found in our sequencing data, but were not regarded as authentic miRNAs due to their low abundance (<5 RPM) in our samples (Table S9). Therefore, a total of 12 and 42 miRNAs were specific in Kim's and our dataset, respectively. Considering that the sampling strategies were different, the difference in novel miRNAs could also be attributed to the cultivar-, organ-, development- and/or condition-specific expression pattern of miRNAs. The five identical rose-specific miRNAs are likely to play conserved roles in different rose cultivars (Table S9).

Using a rose floral transcriptome database, we identified putative targets of rose miRNAs. The well known target genes of most conserved miRNAs, such as miR156, miR164, and miR172, have also been identified in rose. However, 32.5% (39/120) predicted targets of known miRNAs, and 48.4% (45/93) of novel miRNAs, were not homologous to any proteins in the GenBank nr database, indicating that they might be novel genes which were specific in rose. Interestingly, a novel miRNA, rhy-miRC27, was predicted to target an acyltransferase-like protein (RU23954) (Table S7), which was also identified as the target of ng11, a miRNA identical to rhy-miRC27, in a previous report [28]. These results suggested that rhy-miRC27 (ng11)/acyltransferase module might be conserved in Rosa sp.

MiRNA Profiling during Early Flower Opening and in Response to Ethylene

In the present study we investigated expression profiles of miRNAs during early flower opening and in response to ethylene in rose petals. We found that much larger portion of known miRNAs than novel ones appeared to be differentially expressed during early opening stages or regulated by ethylene treatment. This is consistent with recent findings that ethylene biosynthesis and signaling pathway emerged in earlier period of land plant evolution [41,42], thus the non-conserved novel miRNAs are supposed to occur later evolutionarily [2,4].

Recently, a report showed that ACC (1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid), a precursor of ethylene, down-regulated miR159, miR164, miR319, miR390 and miR396 in roots of Medicago truncatula [43]. Except for miR159 and miR319, the expression of miR164, miR390 and miR396 were also repressed by ethylene in rose petals. Further studies are needed to investigate whether miR159 and miR319 were regulated by ethylene in an organ-specific manner.
Table 6. Digital expression profiles of known and novel miRNAs in rose petals during earlier opening period and in response to ethylene.

| miRNA ID | Stage2/Stage 0 | Stage2/Stage 0 | miRNA ID | Stage2/Stage 0 |
|----------|----------------|----------------|----------|----------------|
| miR156   | 1.80           | 1.81           | rhy-miRC1 | 0.48           |
| miR157   | 2.53           | 1.58           | rhy-miRC1 | 3.23           |
| miR159   | 0.07           | 1.03           | rhy-miRC3 | 0.35           |
| miR160   | 0.90           | 0.35           | rhy-miRC4 | 2.94           |
| miR162   | 0.45           | 0.38           | rhy-miRC5 | 1.53           |
| miR164   | 0.25           | 0.47           | rhy-miRC6 | 3.98           |
| miR165   | 0.44           | 0.34           | rhy-miRC7 | 1.02           |
| miR166   | 0.28           | 0.39           | rhy-miRC8 | 0.81           |
| miR167   | 0.25           | 0.51           | rhy-miRC9 | 1.81           |
| miR168   | 1.64           | 0.66           | rhy-miRC10| 1.88           |
| miR169   | 1.59           | 0.53           | rhy-miRC11| 0.50           |
| miR171   | 1.63           | 0.40           | rhy-miRC12| 0.13           |
| miR172   | 6.30           | 0.61           | rhy-miRC13| 5.84           |
| miR191   | 0.00           | /              | rhy-miRC14| 0.75           |
| miR390   | 1.08           | 0.83           | rhy-miRC15| 0.23           |
| miR394   | 10.77          | 2.52           | rhy-miRC16| 1.37           |
| miR395   | 5.65           | 1.05           | rhy-miRC17| 2.20           |
| miR396   | 10.36          | 0.37           | rhy-miRC18| 0.43           |
| miR397   | 0.16           | 0.33           | rhy-miRC19| 0.30           |
| miR398   | 2.35           | 0.56           | rhy-miRC20| 0.35           |
| miR399   | 3.25           | 2.19           | rhy-miRC21| 43.92          |
| miR408   | 0.19           | 0.37           | rhy-miRC22| 1.14           |
| miR473   | 10.23          | 2.31           | rhy-miRC23| 3.57           |
| miR482   | 1.15           | 0.30           | rhy-miRC24| 3.83           |
| miR535   | 3.41           | 3.38           | rhy-miRC25| 7.17           |
| miR827   | 0.79           | 0.78           | rhy-miRC26| 4.42           |
| miR858   | 0.78           | 0.38           | rhy-miRC27| 0.40           |
| miR2109  | 4.47           | 5.71           | rhy-miRC28| 0.30           |
| miR2478  | 5.91           | 0.27           | rhy-miRC29| 0.54           |
| miR4414  | 0.00           | 0.12           | rhy-miRC30| 14.90          |
| miR5072  | 7.75           | 0.33           | rhy-miRC31| 2.26           |
| miR5077  | 3.30           | 0.33           | rhy-miRC32| 0.06           |
| miR5139  | 22.93          | 0.49           | rhy-miRC33| 4.47           |

For ethylene treatment, flowers (stage 2) were treated with 10 ppm ethylene in a sealed airtight chamber for 24 h, and flowers exposed to air were used as the control. The miRNAs in bold indicate miRNAs showing substantial expression changes in response to ethylene treatment (≥C2H4/C2H4≥0.67 or ≤1.50). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0064290.t006

Ethylene-Responsive miRNA/mRNA Modules in Rose Petals

Integrated analysis of expression of miRNAs and their targets can help to identify miRNA/mRNA modules involved in regulating specific biological processes, such as cold stress in wheat [44]. During the past decade, genomics approaches have been applied in rose and several EST libraries from rose petals were reported [45,46,47]. In 2008, ~10,000 ESTs were deposited in public databases, including GenBank and GDR (Genome database for the Rosaceae, http://www.rosaceae.org/). Based on these data, expression profiles of 4,765 transcripts were detected in roses from floral transition to flower senescence using a newly developed Affymetrix microarray [48]. Interestingly, we found that several genes, whose expression was changed during the early flower opening period, were potential targets of miRNAs, such as expansin (miR5139), MYB (miR159), and NAC (miR164). Functional analysis of miRNAs in the early flower opening period will be helpful for understanding this process.

Moreover, application of next-generation sequencing technologies greatly promoted the study on rose genomics. Kim et al reported a rose transcriptome database, which contained more than 30,000 transcripts. According to target prediction and gene expression analysis, several conserved miRNAs, such as miR156, miR159, and miR396, were proposed to be involved in regulating genes related to coloring, including those in the flavonoid biosynthetic pathway [28]. Lately, a transcriptome dataset containing 30,714 transcript clusters was generated by using the RNA from various tissues of R. chinensis cv. ‘Old Blush’ and in response to biotic and abiotic stresses [49].

We also constructed a floral transcriptome database containing 60,944 transcripts assembled from transcriptome sequences generated using the 454 sequencing technology (http://bioinfo.bit.cornell.edu/rose/). Furthermore, based on microarray analysis, we identified 2,189 unique ethylene-responsive transcripts. In the present study, these transcripts were used to identify the ethylene-responsive miRNA/target modules. We were able to identify a total of seven ethylene-responsive miRNA/mRNA modules (Table 7). Quantitative RT-PCR confirmed that all identified miRNA/target modules exhibited negatively correlated expression profiles between miRNAs and their corresponding targets. Except miR164, all miRNAs have not been reported to be ethylene-responsive. Interestingly, the identified modules included well-conserved miRNAs (miR156, miR164 and miR169), a less-conserved miRNA (miR5139), and a novel miRNA (rhy-miRC1), suggesting profound and broad impacts of ethylene on plant development.

In the identified modules, targets of miR164 (NAC) and miR156 (SPL) are transcription factors. In Arabidopsis thaliana, miR164 regulates NAC1 and several NAC genes of the NAM subfamily.
Consequently, it regulates many aspects of plant development. For instance, *miR164* was found to be regulated by developmental cues and control organ boundary maintenance and leaf development ([50–52]), while it was also found to be auxin-responsive and regulate *NAC1* to control lateral root initiation [53]. Recently, *miR164* was found to be ethylene-responsive and regulated leaf senescence in *Arabidopsis thaliana* [54] and cell expansion in rose petals [Pei et al., unpublished data].

Like *miR164*, *miR156* is also a well conserved miRNA in plants. The *miR156/SPL* module was previously reported to directly regulate *FLOWERING LOCUS T* (*FT*) expression to control ambient temperature-responsive flowering. A recent report showed that a *miR156*-targeted SPL protein could destabilize a MYB-bHLH-WD40 transcriptional activation complex to influence expression of anthocyanin biosynthetic genes in *Arabidopsis thaliana* [55]. High level of *miR156* decreased the accumulation of anthocyanins, while low *miR156* activity caused high levels of flavonols. Interestingly, ethylene increased expression levels of chalcone synthase (CHS), flavanone 3-hydroxylase (F3H), and UDP glucose-flavonoid 3-O-glucosyl transferase (UFGT), and consequently promoted the accumulation of anthocyanins in the skin of grape berries [56]. Since ethylene also significantly increased *miR156* abundance in rose petals, whether ethylene regulates anthocyanin accumulation in rose petals through modulating the *miR156/SPL* module is worthy of future investigation.

In addition, *miR166* and *miR5139* appeared to target beta-galactosidase and expansin genes, respectively, in an ethylene-regulated manner. Beta-galactosidase and expansin genes are involved in cell-wall modification [57,58], which has been proven to be very sensitive to ethylene treatment in *Arabidopsis thaliana* and tomato [59–61]. Whether miRNAs, such as *miR166* and *miR5139*, are involved in ethylene-regulated cell wall modification in rose petals needs further investigation. Intriguingly, we identified an

Figure 5. qRT-PCR of selected known and novel miRNAs differentially expressed in petals during earlier flower opening or in response to ethylene. 5S rRNA was used as the internal control. Error bars indicate the SD of three biological replicates. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0064290.g005
Ethylene-responsive module that included a novel miRNA, \textit{rhy-miRC1}, and its target RU25062 that showed no homology to any known genes, indicating the function of \textit{rhy-miRC1}/RU25062 might be specific to rose.

**Conclusions**

Here, we reported a set of miRNAs identified from rose (\textit{Rosa} sp.) petals during early flower opening and in response to ethylene treatment. We found that expression of 28 known and 39 novel miRNAs was changed in rose petals during early opening process, and 28 known miRNAs and 22 novel miRNAs were responsive to ethylene treatment. Furthermore, integrated analysis of expression profiles of miRNAs and their targeted mRNAs in response to ethylene, an important factor influenced flower opening and senescence in rose, identified seven miRNA/mRNA modules. These modules might be important downstream regulatory components which facilitate the function of ethylene in flower opening, senescence, or both.

**Materials and Methods**

**Flower Treatment, RNA Isolation, and Small RNA Sequencing**

Flowers of cut roses (\textit{Rosa hybrida}) cv Samantha were harvested at stage 0 and 2 of flower opening [25], respectively (We state clearly that no specific permissions were required for these locations/activities and confirm that the field studies did not involve endangered or protected species). The flowers were immediately put into tap water after harvest and then transported to the laboratory within 1 h. For ethylene treatment, stems of stage 2 flowers were cut to 25 cm under water, and then placed in deionized water (DW). The flowers were treated by 10 ppm ethylene for 24 h, and flowers exposed to air were used as the control.

**Table 7. Integrated analysis of expression profiles of miRNAs and responded targets in rose petals.**

| miRNA ID | Target ID | Accession | Best Hit (nr. hit) | E-value | Fold change | Score | FDR |
|----------|-----------|-----------|-------------------|---------|-------------|-------|-----|
| miR156   | RU19060   | NP_175723 | SPL4 (SQUAMOSA PROMOTER BINDING PROTEIN) | 1.81    | 0.17        | 3.0   | 2.06E-03 |
| miR164   | RU02862   | CAO5010   | CAO5010 | 0.47    | 1.58        | 2.5   | 3.41E-03 |
| miR166   | RU24899   | AC13682   | AC13682 | 0.47    | 2.51        | 2.5   | 2.86E-01 |
| miR167   | RU06079   | AC13682   | AC13682 | 0.47    | 1.33        | 2.5   | 1.24E-08 |
| miR169   | RU06079   | CAC44500  | beta-galactosidase | 0.39    | 1.83        | 3.0   | 6.37E-02 |
| miR170   | RU22365   | AAO1345   | expansin | 0.49    | 3.07        | 3.0   | 7.88E-05 |
| rhy-miRC1| RU29002   | no hit    | no hit | 0.53    | 50.88       | 0.5   | 1.20E-03 |

For ethylene treatment, flowers (stage 2) were treated with 10 ppm ethylene in a sealed air-tight chamber for 24 h, and flowers exposed to air were used as the control.
ethylene in a sealed airtight chamber for 24 h, and flowers exposed to air were used as the control. Treatments were conducted at 23–25 °C and 1 mol L⁻¹ NaOH was placed in the chamber to prevent the accumulation of CO₂ [62]. The samples were immediately collected after treatment. The 2nd and 3rd whorl petals of more than 15 flowers were collected and pooled together. Total RNAs were isolated using the pBiozol reagent (BioFlux, Hangzhou, China). Small RNA libraries were prepared according to the manufacturer’s instructions and sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq2000 system.

Bioinformatics Analysis of sRNA Sequences
The raw sequencing data were processed to trim the adapter sequences and remove low quality sequences, and rRNA and tRNA sequences were also removed. The cleaned small RNA sequences with expression >5 RPM (reads per million) in at least one of the four libraries were aligned to the strawberry genome [33] and the rose transcriptome database (http://bioinfo.bti.cornell.edu/rose) using Bowtie [63] with perfect matches. Only sRNAs with no more than 20 hits were kept and their flanking sequences on the genome or transcriptome (200 bp on each side) were extracted and then folded in silico using the RNAfold program [64]. Resulting folded structures were checked with miRcheck [65] with default parameters. Candidate miRNAs whose precursors passed miRcheck were then aligned to the miRNA database, miRBase 17.0, using Bowtie [63] allowing up to 2 mismatches. The miRNAs shared homology to known miRNAs were identified as conserved miRNA candidates. Then, they were further confirmed by checking their corresponding precursor structures. Only the candidates with expected structures were identified as conserved miRNAs.

After identifying all candidate miRNAs, those which did not share homology to all known sequences in miRBase were regarded as novel miRNA candidates. And the novel miRNAs’ precursor structures were further analyzed by miRcheck [66]. Potential miRNA star sequences were identified from the sRNA dataset to provide additional evidence supporting miRNA predictions. For novel miRNA candidates without corresponding miRNA star sequences identified, only those expressed in all four samples were kept. MiRNA targets were identified according to the scoring matrix described previously [66]. Briefly, all of the conserved and novel rose miRNAs were aligned against rose transcriptome dataset (http://bioinfo.bti.cornell.edu/rose) using a BLASTn search strategy. For evaluation of the complementary sites between predicted rose miRNAs and potential miRNA targets, no more than four mismatches between miRNAs and their potential miRNA targets (G:U was regarded as 0.5 mismatch), and no mismatch between positions 10 and 11.

Quantitative RT-PCR
The stem-loop RT-PCR was performed as described previously [67]. For each miRNA, 1 μg DNase I-treated total RNA was used in the reverse transcription reaction with SuperScript III (Invitrogen). 5S rRNA was used as the internal control. For quantitative RT-PCR of miRNAs, 1 μg DNase I-treated total RNA was used to synthesize cDNA by M-MLV (Promega) using poly(dT)¹⁸ oligonucleotides. RhACT5 was used as the internal control. SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) was used in all quantitative RT-PCR experiments. The relative expression changes of miRNAs and genes were calculated using the 2⁻ⁿ⁻ⁿ⁻ⁿ⁻⁻⁻⁻ Ct method [68]. Primers used in all quantitative RT-PCR experiments are listed in Table S8.

Plasmid Construction and Transformation
To construct sensor plasmid, the putative miRNA target site sequence was fused to the 5’-end of EGFP in frame. The resulted fusion sequences were inserted into a modified binary vector pCAMBIA 1300 harboring a Super promoter. The resulting sensor constructs were transformed into Agrobacterium strain GV3101 and then used to co-infiltrate the tobacco leaves with plasmid harboring corresponding miRNA foldbacks. After 3 days of co-infiltration, tobacco leaves were harvested and used to extract total RNA for RLM-RACE analysis.

RLM-RACE
The 5’ RLM-RACE was carried out using the FirstChoice RLM-RACE Kit (Ambion). Two microgram total RNA was directly ligated to 5’ RACE oligo adaptor without calf intestine alkaline phosphatase and tobacco acid pyrophosphatase treatments. The ligated RNA was used to synthesize the cDNA. The PCR products were gel-purified and cloned into the pGEM-T Easy vector (Promega, Madison, WI, USA), and randomly selected clones were sequenced. For the RU15050 and RU13577 sensor sequences, a set of general primers designed based on the EGFP sequence were used. For RLM-RACE using total RNA from rose petals, gene-specific primers were used. All primers were listed in Table S8.
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