Performance of Low-input Turfgrass Species as Affected by Mowing and Nitrogen Fertilization in Minnesota
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Abstract. In Minnesota, most lawns and higher cut turfgrass areas consist primarily of species such as kentucky bluegrass (Poa pratensis L.) and perennial ryegrass (Lolium perenne L.) that require significant management inputs such as frequent mowing and nitrogen fertility. Several studies have shown that other species have the potential to be used more widely on home lawns in Minnesota; however, little is known about the management requirements of these species. In this study, we evaluated the performance of several alternative grass species under varying mowing and nitrogen fertility regimes at two sites in Minnesota in 2010 and 2011. Hard fescue [Festuca trachyphylla (Hackle) Krajina] showed the most consistent performance across management regimes, seasons, and locations. Colonial bentgrass (Agrostis tenuis Sibth.) showed good spring and fall turf quality, but suffered from excess thatch development and disease incidence. ‘Barkoel’ prairie junegrass [Koeleria macrantha (Lede.) Schult] maintained acceptable turf cover throughout the trial, whereas unimproved native prairie junegrass populations did poorly regardless of management level. Tufted hairgrass [Deschampsia cespitosa (L.) P. Beauv.] did not perform consistently in the trial due to summer stress. Our results show that hard fescue, colonial bentgrass, and ‘Barkoel’ prairie junegrass performed well regardless of mowing height or fertility treatment and could be used to a greater degree as low-input turfgrasses in Minnesota.

Turfgrass species traditionally used in residential and commercial lawns in Minnesota, such as kentucky bluegrass (P. pratensis) and perennial ryegrass (L. perenne), can require significant management inputs to maintain acceptable cover and quality. For instance, perennial ryegrass generally has better quality at lower mowing heights (3.8 cm compared with 5.0 or 6.3 cm); this increased level of maintenance also results in higher weed pressure than when the grass is maintained at higher heights of cut (Miltner et al., 2005). Kentucky bluegrass typically requires high input levels for maintaining adequate quality (DeBels et al., 2012), and may not perform as well as other species when inputs are limited (Watkins et al., 2014). Turfgrass breeders have worked to improve these species for use in lower-input situations (Bonos and Huff, 2013), but the use of alternative species is another promising approach.

A number of researchers have investigated other species for their adaptation in the north central region (NCR) of the United States and regions with similar climates. Diesburg et al. (1997) evaluated a single cultivar representing each of several grass species at multiple sites in the NCR under low-input management (20 kg N/ha/year after establishment at most locations with limited use of a broadleaf herbicide) and found that most grasses performed best at 7.6 cm. The top performing grasses at that mowing height were ‘Alta’ tall fescue (Festuca arundinacea Schreb.), ‘Exeter’ colonial bentgrass (A. tenuis Sibth.), ‘Roton’ redtop (Agrostis alba L. Reton), and ‘Covar’ common sheep fescue (Festuca ovina L.). In a separate multistate trial in the NCR, Watkins et al. (2011) found that under low-input management (no fertilization after establishment, no pesticides, no irrigation) at both 5.1- and 10.2-cm mowing heights, the top performing species were colonial bentgrass, tall fescue, hard fescue [F. trachyphylla (Hackle) Krajina], and sheep fescue. Tall fescue, chewings fescue (Festuca rubra spp. fallax Thuill), hard fescue, and colonial bentgrass performed best overall in a follow-up study evaluating greater number of cultivars at 5.1 cm (Watkins et al., 2014).

It is becoming clear that there are a number of lawn grass species that can serve as alternatives to kentucky bluegrass and perennial ryegrass. Yet, for many of these alternative species, information on their performance under various management regimes is lacking. Of the species that have the greatest potential for use in the NCR under low-input management, tall fescue is the best studied, and its mowing height and fertility requirements are well established. The species both lacking management information and representing the most potential as low-input turfgrasses in Minnesota are hard fescue and colonial bentgrass. Prairie junegrass and tufted hairgrass have also shown potential in field trials in Minnesota (Watkins and Clark, 2009; Watkins et al., 2009). These species have shown varying levels of quality when grown as turfgrass, and there are great differences in the amount of plant breeding effort that has been focused on them. Hard fescue, while underused, has been the focus of some breeding efforts since the middle of the 20th century (Bonos and Huff, 2013), whereas tufted hairgrass and prairie junegrass lacked focused breeding efforts by public breeding programs until recent decades (Watkins et al., 2013). European types of prairie junegrass have been used for a longer period by private breeders in Europe (Alderson and Sharp, 1994), although these have not been able to achieve significant market penetration in the United States.

For the most part, the mowing and fertilization requirements of these species are not well known. Hard fescue has been the focus of the most research of the four; however, the body of literature is much less developed than for other highly used cool-season turfgrass species such as perennial ryegrass and kentucky bluegrass. The objective of this study was to determine the performance of the four low-input cool-season turfgrass species under various mowing heights and nitrogen fertility regimes when grown in Minnesota.

Materials and Methods

Turfgrass plots were seeded in late summer of 2009 at two locations: the Turfgrass Research, Outreach, and Education Center in St. Paul, MN (seeded 18 August), and the University of Minnesota Landscape Arboretum in Chaska, MN (seeded 3 September). The trial at St. Paul was planted on a Waukegan silt loam (pH 7.5, 38 ppm P, 292 ppm K, 3.4% organic matter); whereas the trial at Chaska was planted on a poor-quality urban soil (pH 7.9, 6 ppm P, 153 ppm K, 1.7% organic matter) that consisted of a mixture of construction material sourced from an excavation site nearby and Lester Kilkenny loam. Before seeding at Chaska, the site was amended with compost derived from leaf litter and yard waste to a depth of 7.6 cm. Seventeen turfgrass entries were chosen for the study (Table 1) including four entries each of hard fescue, colonial bentgrass, and prairie junegrass, and three entries of tufted
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Tufted hairgrass (13.3 DCS, z DCM, Barcampsia), Colonial bentgrass (5.3 Alister, BarKing, Glory, SR 7150), Perennial ryegrass (16.7 Arctic Green) were planted to represent two species typically used in lawns in Minnesota.

The experiment was a split-split plot design with four replications. Mowing height was the main plot, nitrogen rate was the sub-plot, and cultivar/selection was the sub-sub plot (individual plot size 1.0 x 1.5 m). A starter fertilizer (24.5 kg N/ha; 21.6 kg P/ha; 23.7 kg K/ha) was applied at seeding, and the plots were irrigated during establishment. After establishment in the fall of 2009, plots received no irrigation or pesticide applications for the duration of the study. Mowing occurred once or twice each week, with clippings returned, during the growing season at one of the three mowing heights: 3.2, 5.7, or 8.3 cm. Fertilizer treatments during both 2010 and 2011 were applied using Renaissance. All Natural Organic fertilizer (9N-0.0F-7.4K) (PJC & Company Ecological Land Care, Inc., Rowley, MA) at one of the three rates: 1) no fertilizer; 2) 49 kg N/ha/year applied in early September; and 3) 98 kg N/ha/year (applied as a split application with 49 kg N/ha applied in late May and 49 kg N/ha applied in early September).

Table 1. List of turfgrass cultivars and selections, along with seeding rates, for plots established at St. Paul (Aug. 18) and Chaska, MN (Sept. 3), in 2009.

| Species                   | Seeding rate (g·m⁻²) | Cultivar or selection |
|---------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Colonial bentgrass        | 5.3                  | Alister, BarKing, Glory, SR 7150 |
| Hard fescue               | 16.7                 | Spartan II, Reivant IV, MNH, SR 3150 |
| Prairie fescue            | 13.3                 | MN pop., ND pop., CO pop., Barkoel |
| Tufted fescue             | 13.3                 | DCS, DCM, Barcampsia |
| Perennial ryegrass        | 16.7                 | Arctic Green* |
| Kentucky bluegrass        | 10.0                 | MSP 3769 |

*CO pop. = population originating from germplasm collections made in Colorado; MN pop. = population originating from germplasm collections made in Minnesota; ND pop. = population originating from germplasm collections made in North Dakota.

Visual ratings of turfgrass quality were taken using a 1–9 scale (1 = dead/fully dormant turf, 9 = ideal turf) twice a month from May through October in both 2010 and 2011. Turfgrass quality ratings were primarily based on uniformity, density, texture, and freedom from weeds and diseases. Quantitative data were collected on percent live turfgrass cover and percent weed cover in Fall of 2010 and 2011 using the grid intersect method by placing a 0.3 x 0.9 m grid with 33 intersects twice at random on each plot. The number of grid intersections with live turfgrass or weed cover was counted and converted into a percentage of the total area to obtain percent live turfgrass cover and weed cover for each plot.

Table 2. Split-split plot analysis of variance of TQ, percent (%) live turfgrass cover, and percent (%) weed cover for cultivars and selections at St. Paul, MN, in 2010 and 2011.

| Source             | df  | Spring | TQ* | Percent live cover | Percent weed cover |
|--------------------|-----|--------|-----|--------------------|--------------------|
| 2010               |     |        |     |                    |                    |
| Mowing height (MH) | 2 NS| *      | *   | **                 | *                  |
| Fertilizer treatment (F) | 2 | *      | **  | ***                | NS                 |
| MH × F             | 4 NS| NS     | NS  | NS                 | NS                 |
| Cultivar/selection (C) | 16 | ***   | *** | ***                | ***                |
| HF vs. PR          | 1  | ***   | *** | ***                | *                  |
| HF vs. KB          | 1  | ***   | *** | ***                | *                  |
| CO vs. PR          | 1  | ***   | *** | *                  | NS                 |
| CO vs. KB          | 1  | ***   | *** | NS                 | NS                 |
| TH vs. PR          | 1  | ***   | *** | *                  | NS                 |
| TH vs. KB          | 1  | ***   | *** | NS                 | NS                 |
| C × MH             | 32 | ***   | *** | **                 | NS                 |
| C × F              | 32 | NS    | NS  | NS                 | NS                 |
| C × MH × F         | 64 | NS    | NS  | NS                 | NS                 |
| 2011               |     |        |     |                    |                    |
| MH                 | 2 NS| NS     | **  | NS                 | NS                 |
| Fertilizer treatment (F) | 2 | ***   | *** | ***                | NS                 |
| MH × F             | 4 NS| NS     | NS  | NS                 | NS                 |
| Cultivar/selection (C) | 16 | ***   | *** | ***                | ***                |
| HF vs. PR          | 1  | ***   | *** | NS                 | NS                 |
| HF vs. KB          | 1  | ***   | *** | NS                 | NS                 |
| CO vs. PR          | 1  | ***   | *** | *                  | NS                 |
| CO vs. KB          | 1  | ***   | *** | *                  | NS                 |
| TH vs. PR          | 1  | NS    | *** | **                 | NS                 |
| TH vs. KB          | 1  | NS    | *** | **                 | NS                 |
| C × MH             | 32 | NS    | NS  | NS                 | NS                 |
| C × F              | 32 | NS    | NS  | NS                 | NS                 |
| C × MH × F         | 64 | NS    | NS  | NS                 | NS                 |

CO = colonial bentgrass; HF = hard fescue; KB = Kentucky bluegrass; PR = perennial ryegrass; TH = tufted hairgrass; TQ = turfgrass quality.

Visual ratings of turfgrass quality were taken using a 1–9 scale (1 = dead/fully dormant turf, 9 = ideal turf) twice a month from May through October in both 2010 and 2011. Turfgrass quality ratings were primarily based on uniformity, density, texture, and freedom from weeds and diseases. Quantitative data were collected on percent live turfgrass cover and percent weed cover in Fall of 2010 and 2011 using the grid intersect method by placing a 0.3 x 0.9 m grid with 33 intersects twice at random on each plot. The number of grid intersections with live turfgrass or weed cover was counted and converted into a percentage of the total area to obtain percent live turfgrass cover and weed cover for each plot.

Turfgrass quality data were initially subjected to repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) using the MIXED procedure in the Statistical Analysis Software (SAS) package (data not shown) (Version 9.4; SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC). Due to significant (α = 0.05) cultivar/selection × location and cultivar/selection × season interactions, locations and years were analyzed separately. Monthly turfgrass quality ratings were averaged by season in 2010 and 2011. Specifically, May and June ratings were averaged to create a single quality rating for spring, July and August ratings were averaged for summer, and September and October ratings were averaged for fall. Data were then analyzed using the GLM procedure in SAS to conduct a split-split plot ANOVA treating replication, mowing height, fertilizer treatment, and cultivar/selection as fixed effects. Single df contrasts were constructed to compare species performance across mowing height and fertility treatments. All means comparisons were conducted using Fisher’s protected least significant difference using the LSMEANS statement in SAS. When a significant cultivar/selection × treatment effect was detected, the SLICE statement was used to test the significance of treatment effects within cultivars/selections.

Results

Turfgrass quality. At St. Paul, mowing height affected turfgrass quality in Summer and Fall 2010 and 2011, whereas fertility treatment and cultivar/selection effects on turfgrass quality were significant for all seasons (Table 2). There was a significant mowing height × cultivar/selection interaction in all seasons except Summer 2011, and there was a significant nitrogen fertility treatment × cultivar/selection interaction in Summer 2011. The mowing height × fertility
BarKing (CO) 2 *** NS NS *** *** *** *** NS NS *** NS
Reliant IV (HF) 2 ** *** *** *** *** *** NS *** NS *** NS
Spartan II (HF) 2 NS *** *** *** *** *** NS * NS NS NS ***
SR 3150 (HF) 2 NS *** *** *** *** *** NS ** NS *** NS ***
MNHD (HF) 2 ** *** *** *** *** *** NS *** NS *** NS ***

Selection was highly significant at St. Paul, collections made in Minnesota; ND pop. = population originating from germplasm collections made in North Dakota; PJ = prairie junegrass; PR = perennial ryegrass; TH = tufted hairgrass; TQ = turfgrass quality.

Table 3. Seasonal TQ by mowing height, fertilizer treatment, and cultivar/selection at St. Paul, MN, in 2010 and 2011.

| Cultivar/selection (species) | TQ, 2010 | TQ, 2011 |
|-----------------------------|----------|----------|
|                            | Spring   | Summer   | Fall     | Spring   | Summer   | Fall     |
| Arctic Green (PR)           | 6.6 a     | 3.6 e–g  | 2.6 fg   | 5.0 de   | 3.5 g    | 3.0 g    |
| MSP 3769 (KB)               | 6.4 b     | 4.2 cd   | 3.6 d    | 4.5 ef   | 5.3 d    | 4.4 de   |
| MNHD (HF)                   | 6.3 b     | 4.1 cd   | 3.6 d    | 4.5 ef   | 5.3 d    | 4.4 de   |
| SR 3150 (CO)                | 6.1 bc    | 3.9 de   | 3.0 e    | 4.6 f    | 5.2 de   | 4.1 f    |
| Spartan II (HF)             | 6.2 b     | 4.2 cd   | 3.6 d    | 4.5 ef   | 5.3 d    | 4.4 de   |
| Reliant IV (HF)             | 6.2 b     | 4.1 cd   | 3.6 d    | 4.5 ef   | 5.3 d    | 4.4 de   |
| Barkoel (PJ)                | 4.5 c     | 3.4 e–g  | 2.7 fg   | 3.7 e    | 3.4 f    | 3.6 e    |
| MN pop. (PJ)                | 1.7 g     | 1.3 h    | 1.0 g    | 1.8 i    | 1.4 h    | 1.1 h    |
| CO pop. (PJ)                | 1.9 g     | 1.3 h    | 1.0 g    | 1.8 i    | 1.4 h    | 1.1 h    |
| ND pop. (PJ)                | 2.0 g     | 1.3 h    | 1.0 g    | 2.4 h    | 1.6 h    | 1.2 h    |
| Barcampisia (TH)            | 6.6 a     | 3.6 e–g  | 2.7 fg   | 5.0 de   | 3.5 g    | 3.0 g    |
| DCM (TH)                    | 5.6 d     | 3.7 ef   | 2.7 fg   | 4.8 ef   | 3.5 g    | 3.1 g    |
| DCS (TH)                    | 6.2 b     | 3.5 fg   | 2.2 g    | 5.3 cd   | 3.4 f    | 2.8 g    |

CH = Chaska; CO = colonial bentgrass; CO pop. = population originating from germplasm collections made in Colorado; HF = hard fescue; KB = kentucky bluegrass; MN pop. = population originating from germplasm collections made in Minnesota; ND pop. = population originating from germplasm collections made in North Dakota; PJ = prairie junegrass; PR = perennial ryegrass; SP = St. Paul; TH = tufted hairgrass.

Means were also sliced to test the significance of mowing height within each cultivar/selection (Table 4). In Spring 2010 at St. Paul, mowing height had inconsistent effects on turfgrass quality depending on the cultivar/selection (Fig. 1). Arctic Green perennial ryegrass, ‘BarKing’ and ‘SR 7150’ colonial bentgrass, and ‘DCM’ tufted hairgrass had better turfgrass quality at higher mowing heights; whereas MSP 3769 kentucky bluegrass, ‘MNHD’ and ‘Reliant IV’ hard fescue, and Barkoel prairie junegrass had higher turfgrass quality at the lower mowing heights. The turfgrass quality of the remaining cultivars/selections was unaffected by mowing height. For the remainder of the study at St. Paul (Summer 2010 through Fall 2011), the 3.2-cm mowing height resulted in the highest turfgrass quality for cultivars/selections affected by mowing height (data not shown). Means were also sliced to test the significance of fertilizer treatment within each cultivar/selection in Summer 2011 (data not shown). Turfgrass quality in Summer 2011 for the colonial bentgrass cultivars, prairie junegrass entries, DCM and ‘DCS’ tufted hairgrass was unaffected by fertility treatment. For all other cultivars/selections, turfgrass quality improved with increasing nitrogen fertility. At Chaska, the main effects of mowing height, fertility treatment, and cultivar/selection were significant in all seasons of both 2010 and 2011 (Table 5). Turfgrass quality was affected by a fertility treatment × cultivar/selection interaction in several seasons, and all seasons showed a significant mowing height × cultivar/selection interaction. Nevertheless, in 2010 and 2011 the average mean squares of the fertility treatment × cultivar/selection interaction (0.70 and 0.53, respectively, NS = nonsignificant).
respectively) and the mowing height × cultivar/selection interaction (2.34 and 0.84, respectively) were considerably smaller than the average mean squares for the main effect of cultivar/selection (28.08 in 2010 and 31.04 in 2011) at Chaska. Therefore, means comparisons were performed on the main effects of cultivar/selection, mowing height, and fertility treatment (Table 6). Average turfgrass quality at Chaska was lower in comparison with the average turfgrass quality at St. Paul. Turfgrass quality at St. Paul was higher in comparison with the average turfgrass quality at St. Paul. Turfgrass quality at Chaska was lower in comparison with the average turfgrass quality at Chaska after Spring 2010.

Means were sliced to test for the significance of fertility treatment within each cultivar/selection (data not shown). The turfgrass quality of the native prairie junegrass populations was unaffected by fertility treatment at Chaska. However, for all other cultivars/selections, higher turfgrass quality was observed with increasing nitrogen fertility. Means were also sliced to test for the significance of mowing height within each cultivar/selection (Table 4). As with fertility, turfgrass quality of the native prairie junegrass populations was unaffected by mowing height. Turfgrass quality of the remaining cultivar/selections was affected in at least one or more seasons at Chaska, and from Spring 2010 through Summer 2011 the 3.2-cm mowing height resulted in the highest turfgrass quality for all cultivars/selections affected by mowing height (data not shown). In Fall 2011, the turfgrass quality of Arctic Green perennial ryegrass increased as mowing height decreased, whereas the turfgrass quality of MSP 3769 kentucky bluegrass and the four hard fescue entries was greatest at the intermediate mowing height (Fig. 1).

Fig. 1. Turfgrass quality by mowing height and cultivar/selection in (A) Spring 2010 at St. Paul, MN and (B) Fall 2011 at Chaska, MN. Turfgrass quality was rated on a 1–9 scale (1 = dead/fully dormant turf, 9 = ideal turf) twice a month from May through October in 2010 and 2011. May and June ratings were averaged for spring, and September and October ratings were averaged for fall. CO = colonial bentgrass; HF = hard fescue; KB = kentucky bluegrass; PJ = prairie junegrass; PR = perennial ryegrass; TH = tufted hairgrass. Means with the same letter are not significantly different (α = 0.05) according to Fisher’s protected least significant difference. Mowing height did not affect turfgrass quality within several cultivars/selections as indicated by the effect slice; thus, turfgrass quality for these cultivars/selections is not shown.
Living turfgrass cover and weed cover. At St. Paul, mowing height had a significant effect on living turfgrass cover and weed cover in 2010 but not 2011, whereas nitrogen fertility had no effect on living turfgrass cover nor weed cover (Table 2). In 2010, there was greater living turfgrass cover at the low and intermediate mowing heights, likely attributable to greater weed cover at the 8.3-cm mowing height (Table 3). There was little difference in live cover and weed cover between species in 2010, with the exception of prairie junegrass. All cultivars/selections, except for the native prairie junegrass populations, had >90% living turfgrass cover in 2010 (Table 7). In 2011, the hard fescue and colonial bentgrass entries, along with Barkoel prairie junegrass, maintained greater percent living turfgrass cover compared with MSP 3769 kentucky bluegrass.

The Chaska site, where the predominant weeds were birdsfoot trefoil (Lotus corniculatus L.) and black medic (Medicago lupulina L.), had much greater weed pressure than St. Paul. Increased weed pressure may have been due to weed seed present in the native urban soil or in the compost that was used on the site (the compost was not evaluated before the study for weed seed). Living turfgrass cover in 2010 and weed cover in 2010 and

Fig. 2. Percent live turfgrass cover by mowing height and cultivar/selection in Fall (A) 2010 and (B) 2011 at Chaska, MN. Percent live turfgrass cover was calculated using the grid intersect method. CO = colonial bentgrass; HF = hard fescue; KB = kentucky bluegrass; PJ = prairie junegrass; PR = perennial ryegrass; TH = tufted hairgrass. Means with the same letter are not significantly different (α = 0.05) according to Fisher’s protected least significant difference. Mowing height did not affect percent live turfgrass cover within several cultivars/selections as indicated by the effect slice; thus, mean percent live turfgrass cover for these cultivars/selections is not shown.
Table 5. Split-split plot analysis of variance of TQ∗, percent (%) live turfgrass cover, and percent (%) weed cover for cultivars and selections at Chaska, MN, in 2010 and 2011.

| Source | df | Spring | Summer | Fall | Percent live cover | Percent weed cover |
|--------|----|--------|--------|------|-------------------|-------------------|
| 2010   |     |        |        |      |                   |                   |
| Mowing height (MH) | 2 | *      |        | **   | NS                | NS                |
| Fertilizer treatment (F) | 2 |     |        |      | **                | **                |
| MH × F | 4 | NS    | **     | NS   | NS                | NS                |
| Cultivar/selection (C) | 16 | ***   | ***    | ***  | NS                | NS                |

| 2011   |     |        |        |      |                   |                   |
| MH     | 2   | *      |        | NS   | **                |                   |
| Fertilizer treatment (F) | 2 | ***   |        | NS   | **                |                   |
| MH × F | 4   | NS    | **     | NS   | NS                | NS                |
| Cultivar/selection (C) | 16 | ***   | ***    | ***  | NS                | NS                |

### Table 6. Seasonal TQ* by mowing height, fertilizer treatment, and cultivar/selection at Chaska, MN, in 2010 and 2011.

| Mowing height (cm) | Spring | Summer | Fall | Spring | Summer | Fall |
|--------------------|--------|--------|------|--------|--------|------|
| 3.2                | 3.8a   | 2.7a   | 3.5a | 4.1a   | 3.4a   | 1.7b |
| 5.7                | 3.3b   | 1.9b   | 2.6b | 3.9ab  | 3.4a   | 2.0a |
| 8.3                | 3.1b   | 1.5c   | 2.0c | 3.5b   | 2.9b   | 1.8b |

| Fertilizer treatment (kg N/ha) | Spring | Summer | Fall | Spring | Summer | Fall |
|-------------------------------|--------|--------|------|--------|--------|------|
| 0                             | 3.4ab  | 1.8b   | 2.3b | 3.3c   | 2.9c   | 2.0a |
| 49                            | 3.3b   | 1.8b   | 2.5b | 3.8b   | 3.2b   | 1.8a |
| 98                            | 3.6a   | 2.5a   | 3.2a | 4.4a   | 3.5a   | 1.9a |

| Cultivar/selection (species) | Spring | Summer | Fall | Spring | Summer | Fall |
|-----------------------------|--------|--------|------|--------|--------|------|
| Arctic Green (PR)           | 4.7a   | 1.7h   | 2.6f | 3.7e   | 3.5d   | 1.9ef|
| MSP 5709 (KB)               | 2.2g   | 2.1ef  | 2.9ef| 3.1f   | 4.0c   | 2.4d |
| MNHD (HF)                   | 3.1de  | 2.8c   | 4.0bc| 4.8b   | 4.5a   | 3.1a |
| SR 3150 (HF)                | 3.0e   | 2.6d   | 3.8e | 4.8b   | 4.4ab  | 2.8c |
| Spartan II (HF)             | 3.8c   | 3.1b   | 4.2ab| 4.8b   | 4.3bc  | 2.8c |
| Reliant IV (HF)             | 4.0bc  | 3.4a   | 4.4a | 5.1a   | 4.5ab  | 3.0ab|
| Bark (CO)                   | 4.1b   | 2.1e   | 2.9e | 3.8e   | 3.1eg  | 1.3i |
| Glory (CO)                  | 4.0b   | 2.2e   | 3.4d | 4.6bc  | 3.5d   | 1.3h |
| Alister (CO)                | 4.1b   | 2.2e   | 3.2d | 4.3cd  | 3.3de  | 1.3h |
| SR 7150 (CO)                | 3.9bc  | 1.9g   | 2.5g | 4.2d   | 2.9g   | 1.2j |
| Barkoel (PJ)                | 3.3d   | 2.8cd  | 3.5d | 4.5cd  | 3.3de  | 1.5gh|
| MN pop. (PJ)                | 1.9f   | 1.1j   | 1.1j | 2.1g   | 1.3h   | 1.0j |
| CO pop. (PJ)                | 2.1f   | 1.1j   | 1.1j | 2.1g   | 1.3h   | 1.0j |
| ND pop. (PJ)                | 2.5f   | 1.1j   | 1.1j | 2.3g   | 1.4h   | 1.0j |
| Barcampsia (TH)             | 4.0bc  | 1.8gh  | 1.8h | 3.8e   | 3.0f   | 1.6g |
| DCM (TH)                    | 3.8c   | 1.6hi  | 1.8h | 3.9e   | 3.2ef  | 2.1e |
| DCS (TH)                    | 3.2de  | 1.4i   | 1.4i | 3.3f   | 3.1ef  | 1.8f |

Survey data suggests that most Minnesota homeowners with lawns do not use a standard year-to-year management regime (Carpenter and Meyer, 1999; Yue et al., 2012). This is a useful low-input turfgrass should maintain good quality and cover under several years while outcompeting weeds under a range of different mowing heights and fertility levels. The two species that are often used on lawns in the NCR, Kentucky bluegrass and perennial ryegrass, performed as expected. At both locations, the mean turfgrass quality of MSP 3769 never reached an acceptable level (≥5), and Arctic Green rarely performed at an acceptable level (Tables 3 and 6). The hard fescue entries generally rated the highest for turf quality, particularly in the 2nd year of the trial. These results are not surprising based on other regional studies (Watkins et al., 2011, 2014). Dermoeden et al. (1998) found that hard fescue monostands and mixtures containing hard fescue, maintained without irrigation or fertilization after seedling emergence, provided acceptable turfgrass quality under two different mowing regimes (6.5 and 9.0 cm) over a 3-year period.
Table 7. Mean percent live turfgrass covera and weed coverb of cultivars and selections at St. Paul, MN, in fall of 2010 and 2011.

| Mowing height (cm) | 2010 live cover | 2011 live cover | 2010 weed cover | 2011 weed cover |
|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 3.2               | 87.5 a          | 74.9 a          | 10.1 a         | 20.6 a         |
| 5.7               | 86.4 a          | 70.9 a          | 11.5 a         | 23.6 a         |
| 8.3               | 83.6 b          | 70.4 a          | 13.7 b         | 24.5 a         |
| Fertilizer treatment (kg N/ha) |     |                 |                |                |
| 0                 | 84.4 a          | 70.8 a          | 12.2 a         | 23.6 a         |
| 49                | 89.8 a          | 72.4 a          | 11.5 a         | 22.6 a         |
| 98                | 87.6 a          | 73.0 a          | 11.6 a         | 22.6 a         |
| Cultivar/selection (species) |     |                 |                |                |
| Arctic Green (PR) | 98.4 ab         | 85.7 b–d        | 0.3 ab         | 10.8 cd        |
| MSP 3769 (KB)     | 94.4 bc         | 69.4 f          | 3.3 a–d        | 27.1 f         |
| MNHD (HF)         | 94.7 a–c        | 89.6 a–c        | 5.3 cd         | 101.1 b–d      |
| SR 3150 (HF)      | 94.6 a–c        | 90.0 a–c        | 4.8 a–d        | 95.6 bc        |
| Spartan II (HF)   | 94.0 bc         | 85.6 cd         | 5.4 e–f        | 139.6 c–e      |
| Reliant IV (HF)   | 94.7 a–c        | 89.6 a–c        | 4.8 b–d        | 10.0 b–d       |
| BarKing (CO)      | 97.5 ab         | 86.8 a–c        | 0.1 ab         | 2.0 a          |
| Glory (CO)        | 98.4 ab         | 92.5 ab         | 0.0 a          | 1.9 a          |
| Alister (CO)      | 97.7 ab         | 93.1 a          | 0.0 ab         | 0.2 a          |
| SR 7150 (CO)      | 96.2 a–c        | 90.1 a–c        | 0.0 a          | 0.2 a          |
| Barkoel (PJ)      | 92.3 (c)        | 81.0 d          | 7.4 d          | 18.6 c         |
| MN pop. (PJ)      | 38.9 d          | 11.2 g          | 56.2 ef        | 85.2 g         |
| CO pop. (PJ)      | 31.8 e          | 10.1 g          | 59.1 f         | 86.1 g         |
| ND pop. (PJ)      | 42.3 f          | 14.9 g          | 52.1 ef        | 80.6 g         |
| Barcampsia (TH)   | 97.9 ab         | 75.9 ef         | 0.7 a–c        | 13.1 c–e       |
| DCM (TH)          | 98.1 ab         | 84.6 cd         | 0.1 ab         | 4.2 ab         |
| DCS (TH)          | 95.8 a–c        | 73.1 f          | 0.8 bc         | 16.2 de        |

CO = colonial bentgrass; CO pop. = population originating from germplasm collections made in Colorado; HF = hard fescue; KB = kentucky bluegrass; MN pop. = population originating from germplasm collections made in Minnesota; ND pop. = population originating from germplasm collections made in North Dakota; PJ = prairie junegrass; PR = perennial ryegrass; TH = tufted hairgrass; TQ = turfgrass quality.
aPercent live turfgrass cover and weed cover were calculated using the grid intersect method. Measurements were taken in the fall of 2010 and 2011 at St. Paul.
bMeans within the same column and treatment with the same letter are not significantly different (α = 0.05) according to Fisher’s protected least significant difference.

Together, these results suggest that the range of management conditions under which hard fescue can perform well makes it well suited for widespread use in Minnesota and similar northern locations.

In this study, colonial bentgrass had very good turfgrass quality in spring and fall, superior resistance to weed invasion, and good living turf cover throughout the majority of the study. We observed some brown patch (Rhizoctonia solani Kühn) disease on the colonial bentgrass cultivars (data not shown), which impacted turf quality in Summer 2010. In addition, Microdochium patch [Microdochium nivale (Fr.) Samuels & I.C. Hallett] and Typhula blight (Typhula incarnata Fr. Na; Typhula ishikariensis Ima) damage on the colonial bentgrasses was quite severe during the Winter of 2010–11, especially at St. Paul (data not shown). Susceptibility to these common turfgrass diseases may reduce the use of this species in lower input environments. Thatch development is also a potential limitation to using colonial bentgrass in lower-input environments, causing lower turfgrass quality than some of the other top-performing grasses. Rinehart et al. (2005) evaluated two colonial bentgrass cultivars at both 1.9- and 3.8-cm mowing heights at two different nitrogen rates (4.9 or 14.7 g N/m²/year). They found that when mowed at 3.8 cm in the Pacific Northwest, colonial bentgrass developed an unacceptable amount of thatch at both nitrogen fertility levels. In the current trial, we did not measure thatch directly, but over the course of the study we observed considerable thatch development in the colonial bentgrass entries.

Barkoel prairie junegrass performed well throughout the trial, whereas the native populations of this species did not provide acceptable turf quality at any time. Poor establishment of prairie junegrass, and native grasses in general, has been previously reported (Leinmauer et al., 2010; McKernan et al., 2001; Watkins et al., 2011). Poor mowing quality due to leaf shedding is also a current limitation to the use of prairie junegrass as a turfgrass (Clark and Watkins, 2010b). Unlike the native populations, Barkoel provided superior turf quality and functionality, especially when maintained with moderate fertility (49 or 98 kg N/ha/year) and mowed at a height of 3.2 cm. Likewise, Mintenko et al. (2002) evaluated a number of low-input turfgrasses in Canada and found that Barkoel performed well at three different mowing heights (1.8, 3.8, and 6.2 cm). In our trial, the tufted hairgrass entries had higher turfgrass quality ratings in the spring, which then declined through the summer and fall mainly due to rust (Puccinia spp.) disease and summer stress. These stresses have been reported as deficiencies of this species (Brilman and Watkins, 2003; Watkins and Meyer, 2005; Watkins et al., 2007).

Several other species that have shown promise for use in low-input environments were not considered for inclusion in this study. For instance, sheep fescue was not considered due to the high amount of diversity in germplasm and confusion regarding taxonomic designations (Huff and Palazzo, 1998). In addition, when evaluated in Minnesota, sheep fescue has performed similarly to hard fescue (Watkins et al., 2011, 2014). Redtop was also not included in the current study. Its use has declined significantly since the 1940s when it was used as a nursery grass (Brilman, 2003). Johnson (2013) compared redtop to a number of low-input grasses in Utah under summer drought conditions and found that it did not do as well as several other species. Redtop outperformed some species in the trial by Diesburg et al. (1997); however, turf quality ratings were below acceptable at most locations regardless of mowing height. The inclusion of redtop in a low-input turfgrass study was not necessary as colonial bentgrass has shown greater potential in a northern climate such as Minnesota.

All the species included in our study, with the exception of kentucky bluegrass, are bunch-type species, with colonial bentgrass producing some short stolons and rhizomes. This type of growth often leads to decreased recovery from damage, in which case weed invasion is more likely. Weed invasion was reduced in grasses that were able to maintain a dense canopy throughout the trial. Our results concur with others showing that fine fesces can persist under lower-input conditions while competing successfully with weeds. Individual cultivars of hard fescue have been found to be allelopathic against large crabgrass (Digitaria sanguinalis L.), curly cress (Lepidium sativum L.), and lettuce (Lactuca sativa L.) (Bertin et al., 2009). It should be noted that of the three indicator species tested in that trial, only one is a weed in turf systems. Nevertheless, this trait could be playing a role in our observed results.

Higher mowing heights have typically been shown to reduce weed invasion (Busey, 2003). For instance, smooth crabgrass (Digitaria ischaemum Schreb.) has been shown to decrease as mowing height is increased in both fine fescue (Dernoeden et al., 1998) and tall fescue (Dernoeden et al., 1993). Interestingly, our results at Chaska showed that higher mowing heights led to increased weed pressure, especially from birdsfoot trefoil. A similar trend was identified in tall fescue invasion by white clover (Trifolium repens L.) by Dernoeden et al. (1993) who found that higher mowing heights led to a reduction in smooth crabgrass invasion, but an increase in white clover invasion over a 3-year period. Conversely, Miltnner et al. (2005) found that when perennial ryegrass was maintained at 98 kg N/ha/year, leguminous weed cover generally increased with decreased mowing height, although this association was not detected at higher rates of nitrogen (196 or
294 kg N/ha/year). DeBels et al. (2012) showed that increasing mowing height was more effective at reducing weeds in a number of cool-season turfgrasses, including Kentucky bluegrass and chewings fescue, compared with increasing nitrogen fertility rates when returning clippings. The benefit of reducing smooth crabgrass likely outweigh potential invasion from leguminous weeds; still, this may be an interesting topic for further study.

Although other researchers have found that increased nitrogen rate reduces weed abundance in chewings fescue (DeBels et al., 2012; Jagschitz and Ebdon, 1985), Kentucky bluegrass (DeBels et al., 2012; Heckman et al., 2000), and perennial ryegrass (DeBels et al., 2012; Miltenor et al., 2005), we did not observe the same trend in our trial. This could be due to a number of factors including the use of differing nitrogen rates and cultivars, and particularly differences in the predominant weed species across trials. For example, in the study by DeBels et al. (2012) common dandelion (Taraxacum officinale L.) and grassy weed species were predominant; whereas birdsfoot trefoil and black medick were the most prevalent weed species at Chaska. At locations where leguminous weed species are predominant, turfgrass managers may be able to manage these grass species with very little nitrogen fertilization considering the effect of mowing had a significant effect on weed abundance.

**Conclusions**

These data suggest that hard fescue, colonial bentgrass, and Barkoel prairie junegrass could be used as low-input turfgrasses in Minnesota and can perform adequately at several mowing heights and fertility levels. Our results also demonstrate that the tufted hairgrass entries and native prairie junegrass populations require further genetic improvement before being used broadly in the region. The tufted hairgrass entries did perform well during the spring, so breeders should focus on the development of summer stress tolerance in the species. Native prairie junegrass populations showed no potential as turf; however, the performance of Barkoel demonstrates the potential of this species as a low-input turf. The use of Barkoel is limited across the region in part due to challenges in seed production. Previous research has shown that some of the native populations, while done on plots composed of single cultivars or selections. Future research should address the performance of these grasses in multispecies mixes.
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