Exophytic hepatocellular carcinoma, simulating a mesenchymal tumor, in a non-cirrhotic liver

Dear Editor,

A 26-year-old female presented with a five-month history of epigastric pain, nausea, and vomiting. She had recently lost weight (7 kg in the last month). Upon clinical examination, a bulky mass was palpated in the epigastric region.

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) (Figures 1A, 1B and 1C) revealed a solid, encapsulated, heterogeneous expansive mass in the epigastrium. The mass showed lobulated contours, measured approximately 25 × 20 × 12 cm, and had a volume of 3120 cm³. Within the mass, which was compressing the body and tail of the pancreas, as well as the splenic vein, gastric fundus, and left lobe of the liver, there were foci of hyperintensity on T2-weighted images and hypointensity on T1-weighted images. The lesion presented discrete heterogeneous paramagnetic contrast enhancement. The results of laboratory tests, including alpha-fetoprotein levels, were within the limits of normality.

The patient underwent left lobe hepatectomy and resection of the neoplasm (Figure 1D). Pathological examination revealed a multifocal, Edmondson-Steiner grade II hepatocellular carcinoma, with macrotrabecular components, that was pseudoacinar and contained clear cells (moderately differentiated hepatocellular carcinoma).

Hepatocellular carcinoma is the most common primary tumor of the liver (1), although several other histological types have been reported (2–5). Although hepatocellular carcinoma typically occurs in patients with liver cirrhosis, approximately 20% of cases occur in patients without it (6). Its incidence peaks in the second and seventh decades of life, and it affects twice as many men as women (6). Although hepatocellular carcinoma presents a variable aspect on MRI, it is typically hyperintense or isointense on T2-weighted images, whereas it is typically hypointense on T1-weighted images (7,8). After administration of paramagnetic contrast, hepatocellular carcinoma presents intense enhancement in the arterial phase and hypointense signals in the portal and equilibrium phases, characterizing the contrast medium washout pattern (9). Tumors larger than 1.5 cm typically present a fibrous capsule that appears as a hypointense band in the late phases (8,9). Occasionally, hepatocellular carcinoma manifests as a large solitary mass (1,6).

Exophytic/pedunculated hepatocellular carcinoma is extremely rare (10). One study showed that this type of tumor accounts for 0.24–3.0% of all cases of hepatocellular carcinoma in Japan (11). It has an atypical presentation, manifesting as an extrahepatic mass in imaging studies, simulating another type of primary tumor (12). In another study, there is a report of seven patients with extrhepatic masses seen on computed tomography, all simulating tumors of primary extrahepatic origin, in which the diagnosis of exophytic hepatocarcinoma was established only after percutaneous biopsy, surgical resection, or necropsy (13).

Here, we have presented the case of a patient who was young, had no history of liver disease or known risk factors for liver cirrhosis, had normal alpha-fetoprotein serum levels, and presented with a large epigastric mass that showed a hypovascular contrast pattern and was in contact with the liver. The main diagnoses considered were mesenteric sarcoma and an epithelioid gastrointestinal stromal tumor. In accordance with the findings of other studies, the diagnosis could not be made solely on the basis of the clinical data and MRI images obtained.

Exophytic hepatocellular carcinoma is difficult to diagnose. Therefore, when a bulky mass is discovered and is in contact with the surface of liver, this diagnostic possibility should be considered, even in patients who do not present risk factors for the condition (14).
Dear Editor,

A 2-year-old female patient, born by normal delivery, without complications, at 39 weeks of gestation, all prenatal test results having been normal, was referred to the department of orthopedics and traumatology for investigation of deformities of the thorax and ankle, as well as dwarfism. She showed no psychomotor alterations. The parents of the child were healthy, with no history of malformations, and the patient was their only child. They reported that the child had been born with dental precocity, with 9 teeth at birth, and began to present changes in the thorax and ankles at 4 months of age, those changes progressing thereafter. They also reported that the child had not grown, having been 75 cm tall since the age of 1 year and the same weight, approximately 9 kg, since the age 9 months, both of those measurements, according to the US CDC, being below the 5th percentile.

Physical examination of the patient showed a prominent sternum and shortening of the trunk, as well as discrete coxa vara with rotation to the right, flat feet, and deformity of the wrists (Figures 1A and 1B). On X-rays, we observed metaphyseal deformities such as bone rarefaction, aerated bone containing trabeculae, and cortical irregularity, as well as right-sided scoliosis and deformities of the ribs (Figures 1C and 1D). Using Todd’s Atlas of Skeletal Maturation as a reference, we determined the bone age to be 21 months. Computed tomography scans (not shown) according to the US CDC, being below the 5th percentile.

Physical examination showing a prominent sternum (A) and flat feet (B). C, D: X-rays showing platyspondyly and deformities of the ribs (C), as well as metaphyseal deformations such as bone rarefaction, aerated bone containing trabeculae, and cortical irregularity (D).