Predicting the new carbon nanocages, fullerynes: a DFT study
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In this study, based on density functional theory, we propose a new branch of pseudo-fullerenes which contain triple bonds with sp hybridization. We call these new nanostructures fullerynes, according to IUPAC. We present four samples with the chemical formula of C_{4n}H_{n}, and the structures derived from fulleranes. We compare the structural and electronic properties of these structures with those of two common fullerenes and fulleranes systems. The calculated electron affinities of the sampled fullerynes are negative, and much smaller than those of fullerenes, so they should be chemically more stable than fullerenes. Although fulleranes also exhibit higher chemical stability than fullerynes, but pentagon or hexagon of the fullerene structures cannot pass ions and molecules. Applications of fullerynes can be included in the storage of ions and gases at the nanoscale. On the other hand, they can also be used as cathode/anode electrodes in lithium-ion batteries.

Carbon is an element that has the potential to adapt to different molecular structures, and can form various molecular orbitals, such as sp, sp², sp³, and so on. Diamond and graphite are the best-known bulk allotropes of carbon which their structures are made of sp³ and sp² hybridization, respectively. Recently, cumulene and carbyne have been introduced as new carbon allotropes, having pure structures consisting of sp hybridization. Some structures have more than one type of hybridization in their structures; for example, fullerene, which in addition to sp² hybridization, has a slight hybridization of sp³, because of its curvature. Graphyne is another example of new two-dimensional carbon materials, and unlike graphene, which includes sp² hybridization, also includes sp hybridization. It was shown that graphene can be transformed into fullerene cages, now a question comes to mind: how would be the structures of the cages, if they are made of graphyne?

In this study, we introduce four new structures of carbon cages, which could be new branches of the pseudo-fullerenes family. The geometry of fullerene consists of twelve pentagon rings and a variable number of hexagons. If the structure of the fullerenes is saturated with hydrogen, the orbital hybridization of the bonds shifts from sp² to sp³ and is called fullerane. In this work, we explore a new class of fullerenes derivatives by adding sp orbital hybridization to fulleranes structures. Then, by comparing the structural, electrochemical, and optoelectrical properties of these structures with fullerenes and fulleranes, we show that this new class of carbon cages does not belong to the category of fullerenes and fulleranes. It is best to call them fullerynes, in the style of IUPAC, and due to the triple bonds with sp hybridization orbitals in their structures. We show that the fullerynes may have interesting applications in the field of nanotechnology, including hydrogen storage nanocapsules and cathode/anode electrodes in lithium-ion batteries.

Results

Fulleryne, a new carbon cage, is formed by adding two carbon atoms to each edge of the fullerane. So it can be concluded that in pure fullerynes, twice the number of edges is added to the carbon number of each structure. In this study, the corresponding C_{40}H_{20}, C_{48}H_{24}, C_{60}H_{30}, and C_{72}H_{36} fullerenes structures were obtained, respectively, for C_{20}H_{20}, C_{24}H_{24}, C_{30}H_{30}, and C_{36}H_{36} fulleranes structures. In general, each fullerane with a chemical formula C_{4n}H_{n} has a fulleryne corresponding to the formula C_{4n}H_{n}. The carbon skeleton of each fulleryne structure is shown in Fig. 1.

It should be noted that by the method, we achieved the enthalpy of formation of the fullerene, C_{60} about 2580 kJ/mol, besides, we obtained heat of formation about 7900 kJ/mol for fulleryne C_{40}H_{20}. These structures are stable although they have high energy levels. Because, they do not have any imaginary frequencies, in the vibrations of their structure, so with this vibrational spectrum, we can conclude that the structures located at the minimum potential energy surface.
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We use the following formula to compare the stability of a fulleryne cage with a fullerene cage consisting of the same number of carbon atoms\(^2\)\(^2\)\(^3\).

\[
\Delta E = \frac{4n}{60} E(F) + \frac{n}{2} E(H_2) - E(C_{4n}H_n),
\]

where \(E(F)\), \(E(H_2)\) and \(E(C_{4n}H_n)\) stand for the ground-state total energies of a fullerene cage, a single hydrogen molecule, and a fulleryne cage with the chemical formula of \(C_{4n}H_n\), respectively. The results show that fullerene cages are more stable than fullerynes (of about 500 meV per each carbon atom). However, fulleryne structures show significant binding energies, \(\Delta E_B\), which are comparable to \(\Delta E_B\) for fullerene cages. \(\Delta E_B\) for fulleryne cages, \(C_{4n}H_n\), are calculated using equation below:

\[
\Delta E_B = \left[4nE(C) + \frac{n}{2} E(H_2) - E(C_{4n}H_n)\right],
\]

where \(E(C)\) is the ground-state total energy of a single carbon atom. On the other hand, the calculated frequencies of the vibrational modes do not show any negative frequencies, so the structures are stable\(^4\). The corresponding infrared spectra are presented in Figs. 2 and 3.

By considering the above figure, we can understand the vibrational properties of the introduced fullerynes. In the infrared spectrum diagrams of the introduced fullerynes, the functional regions can be classified into three regions: first, the region of less than 500 cm\(^{-1}\) is related to rocking and wagging vibrations of \(sp^3\) carbon bonds to the fullerynes vertices, and bending vibrations of the acetylene functional groups created by adding two carbons at the edges. Second, the region between 500 and 1000 cm\(^{-1}\) related to scissoring vibrations of the \(sp^3\) carbon bonds and twisting vibrations of the acetylene functional groups. Third, in the area between 1000 and 1500 cm\(^{-1}\), rocking and wagging vibrations occur in the C–H bonds and stretching vibrations in the C–C bonds.

But in fingerprint regions in the infrared spectrum of the introduced fullerynes, there are only two obvious troughs, the first related to the stretching vibrations in the acetylene functional groups, and the second to the stretching vibrations in the C–H bonds.

At the end of this section, Fig. 4 shows the infrared spectra of fullerane structures, foundations to introduce fullerynes.

After determining the stability of the fulleryne structures, we present fullerynes properties in the following three parts: the structural, electrochemical and optoelectrical properties.

**Structural properties.** To describe the dimensions of the structures investigated in this study, it is assumed that each is in a suitable box, and the dimensions of that hypothetical box were obtained using a graphical interface, the GaussView software\(^5\).

Then the size of this box helps us to approximate the diameter of each structure. An example of a hypothetical box for the structure of \(C_{20}\) is given in Fig. 5.
The size of the hypothetical box, along with the symmetry of each structure is given in Table 1. To imagine and compare the size of the introduced fulleryne structures, the dimensional characteristics of the corresponding fullerane and fullerene structures are given as follows in Fig. 6.

The first column in Table 1 shows the type of structural symmetry. It can be seen from the above table that the symmetry of the corresponding structures is the same. However, the symmetry of the fullerane and fulleryne structures is higher than the symmetry of the fullerene structures, and ignoring about 0.3 Å, the changes in the size of the fullerenes can be classified into symmetrical groups of fullerenes and corresponding fullerynes. To illustrate this, it is necessary to carefully examine the geometric properties of each structure. However, before that, for better visualization and comparison of these three types of carbon cages, the corresponding structures are shown in Fig. 7.

The introduced fullerynes in this study, have two types of carbon bonds in their structures: the first type of bond is the bond between the vertex carbons and the edge carbons, and the other is the carbon bonds located at the edges of the fulleryne structures, and this is a triple bond). The lengths of the single and triple bonds of the investigated fullerynes are 1.49 and 1.22 Å, respectively. In fulleranes with the same symmetry group as fullerenes, the length of all carbon–carbon bonds is about 1.57 Å, while in fullerenes, the bond lengths are not uniform like those of fullerenes, because of the resonance that makes the fourth electron. For this reason, they are not symmetrical at the level of the fulleranes and the fullerynes, and with some slight exaggeration, they can fit into their symmetrical group. Using the colors, the bond length changes for each of the fullerenes, fulleranes, and fullerynes are schematically illustrated in Fig. 7.

The range of bond length changes starting from the lowest value of 1.10 Å, which corresponds to the length of the carbon–hydrogen bond in fulleranes and fullerynes, and it continues until the maximum, 1.57 Å, related

Figure 2. The infrared spectra for the fullerenes, C80H20 and C96H24.
to carbon–carbon bonding in fulleranes. The red indicates the shortest bond length, the darker the middle bond length, and the light green the longer bond length.

Now we want to examine the stability of the cages. The total energy of each nanoparticle is related to the number of atoms forming it, so we can’t use it as a factor for comparison, and use the binding energy, because it determines the contribution of a particle to the stability of the structure. We use the following equation to calculate the binding energy\(^2\)8:

\[
BE = \frac{(E_{\text{cage}} - nE_{\text{atom}})}{n}.
\]

Considering the binding energy with the nanocages, one can see that we are facing three different families of nanoparticles. Fulleranes with a binding energy of about 11 eV could be the most stable family of nanocages under study. On the other hand, the binding energy of the two groups of fullerenes and fullerynes is closer together and less than fulleranes. However, the fullerynes cannot be from the fullerenes family because the binding energy of the fullerynes, unlike the fullerenes, decreases as the nanocages grow larger, and in this case, it is similar to the fulleranes.

**Electrochemical properties.** Electrochemical properties are another factor that can be used to classify nanoparticles. The following table provides information on the energy levels of the HOMO and LUMO orbitals, the size of the HOMO–LUMO gap, and finally, chemical potential (\(\mu\)); in other words, it is the Fermi energy level\(^2\)9,30.\[3]

![Figure 3. The infrared spectra for the fullerynes, C\(_{120}\)H\(_{30}\) and C\(_{144}\)H\(_{36}\).](image-url)
Figure 4. The infrared spectrum of fullerenes, foundations for introducing new structures.

Figure 5. The $C_{20}$ structure inside a hypothetical box introduced by GaussView software. To describe the dimensions of the structures investigated in this study, we assumed that each is in a suitable box. It helps us to approximate the diameter of each structure.
We calculate the electronegativity ($\chi$), too, from the average value in ionization potential and electron affinity. The following equations can be used to estimate the values of ionization energy and electron affinity:

$$\mu = \frac{(E_{\text{HOMO}} + E_{\text{LUMO}})}{2}. \quad (4)$$

$$IP = E(\text{neutral}) - E(\text{kation}). \quad (5)$$

$$EA = E(\text{neutral}) - E(\text{anion}). \quad (6)$$

Table 1. Geometrical properties of the introduced fullerynes and the corresponding fulleranes and fullerenes (in units Å and eV).

| Cages     | SYM | BOX (a, b, c) | $E_{\text{tot}}$ | BE    |
|-----------|-----|--------------|------------------|-------|
| C$_{80}$H$_{20}$ | Ih  | 14.6 14.6 14.4 | ~83,206.76 | 8.47  |
| C$_{96}$H$_{24}$ | D6d | 16.7 16.7 11.8 | ~99,848.07 | 5.96  |
| C$_{120}$H$_{30}$ | D5h | 18.0 15.1 14.8 | ~124,810.09 | 5.11  |
| C$_{144}$H$_{36}$ | D6h | 17.8 17.7 16.6 | ~149,772.02 | 5.11  |
| C$_{20}$H$_{20}$ | Ih  | 7.5 7.5 6.7   | ~21,062.94  | 11.35 |
| C$_{30}$H$_{30}$ | D6h | 8.1 8.1 6.2   | ~25,274.61  | 11.30 |
| C$_{20}$H$_{20}$ | D5h | 8.4 7.7 7.5   | ~31,592.11  | 11.27 |
| C$_{24}$H$_{24}$ | D6h | 8.7 8.4 8.1   | ~37,908.18  | 11.20 |
| C$_{30}$H$_{30}$ | Ih  | 5.0 5.0 4.9   | ~20,714.29  | 7.49  |
| C$_{36}$H$_{36}$ | D6h | 8.4 7.7 7.5   | ~31,592.11  | 11.27 |
| C$_{20}$H$_{20}$ | D5h | 6.5 5.1 5.1   | ~31,080.30  | 7.79  |
| C$_{30}$H$_{30}$ | D6h | 6.3 6.0 5.8   | ~37,305.00  | 8.03  |

Figure 6. For better visualization and comparison of fullerenes (C$_{n}$), fulleranes (C$_{n}$H$_{n}$) and fullerynes (C$_{4n}$H$_{n}$) with each other, the graphic models are presented. The symmetry of the fullerane and fulleryne structures is higher than the symmetry of the fullerene structures, and ignoring about 0.3 Å, the changes in the size of the fullerenes can be classified into symmetrical groups of fulleranes and corresponding fullerynes.
Now, with the help of the above equations, we calculate electrophilicity for different structures with the following relation:

$$\eta = \frac{(E_{HOMO} - E_{LUMO})}{2}.$$  \hfill (7)

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{\eta}.$$ \hfill (8)

$$\omega = \frac{\mu^2}{2\eta}.$$ \hfill (9)

where it is a newer index and more accurately distinguishes between structures. The electronic properties of the fullerynes introduced in this study are presented in Table 2. The following table also lists the electronic properties of corresponding fulleranes and fullerenes to illustrate the difference of fullerynes with them.
The above data clearly shows that we have three different spectra of nanostructures. The most chemical reactivity of the above structures are fullerenes, which have more electron electronegativity, chemical softness, and electrophilicity than fulleranes and fullerynes. As the size of the fullerenes increases, their reactivity increases too. On the other hand, fulleranes have the least reactivity, like the fullerenes, as their size increases, their reactivity grows.

It is necessary to note that so far, we only compared structures with the same symmetry, but fullerynes have more carbon atoms than those of fulleranes and fullerenes. Obviously, it is not suitable to compare their stability or reactivity. Therefore, in completing the analogy made in the research, we compared three carbon nanocages made of 80 carbons, the C80H20 fulleryne, C80H80 fullerane and C80 fullerene. Figure 8. shows the models of the three nanocages mentioned.

Table 3. Electronic properties of three carbon nanocages made of 80 carbons: fulleryne, C80H20, fullerane, C80H80 and fullerene, C80 (in units eV).

| Cages | HOMO | LUMO | H–L | μ | IP | EA | χ | η | σ | ω |
|-------|------|------|-----|---|----|----|---|---|---|---|
| C80H20 | −7.11 | −0.30 | 6.83 | −3.69 | 8.15 | −1.03 | 3.69 | 3.41 | 0.29 | 2.00 |
| C80H80 | −5.64 | 0.96 | 6.59 | −2.34 | 6.66 | −1.89 | 2.34 | 3.30 | 0.30 | 0.83 |
| C80 | −5.55 | −4.60 | 0.94 | −5.08 | 6.62 | 3.54 | 5.08 | 0.47 | 2.12 | 27.3 |

The above data clearly shows that we have three different spectra of nanostructures. The most chemical reactivity of the above structures are fullerenes, which have more electron electronegativity, chemical softness, and electrophilicity than fulleranes and fullerynes. As the size of the fullerenes increases, their reactivity increases too. On the other hand, fulleranes have the least reactivity, like the fullerenes, as their size increases, their reactivity grows.

It is necessary to note that so far, we only compared structures with the same symmetry, but fullerynes have more carbon atoms than those of fulleranes and fullerenes. Obviously, it is not suitable to compare their stability or reactivity. Therefore, in completing the analogy made in the research, we compared three carbon nanocages made of 80 carbons, the C80H20 fulleryne, C80H80 fullerane and C80 fullerene. Figure 8. shows the models of the three nanocages mentioned.

The electronic properties of the three cages are given in Table 3. We compare their electronic properties. Finally, with the help of the electrophilicity index, it becomes clear that these three cages are each of different categories.

The fullerenes are the same fulleranes that have sp hybridization added to their structure. Since the energy level of sp orbitals is lower than that of sp³ orbitals, so the HOMO of fullerene structures will not be much
different from HOMO of fullerane structures. But on the other hand, the energy level of anti-bonding orbital of \( sp \) is lower than anti-bonding orbital of \( sp^2 \). Therefore, the energy level of the LUMO orbital in fulleryne structures is lower than that of fullerene structures. The lower LUMO orbital, caused the higher electron affinity. So the fullereyne electron affinity is more than fullerane.

Now, by considering fullereyne properties, we see that their reactivity is between fullerenes and fullerenes, and unlike these two groups, as their dimensions grow, their reactivity decreases. The density of the states (DOS) diagrams also confirms the distinction between the three groups of fullerenes, fullerenes, and fullerenes. The DOS diagrams for the investigated nanocages are obtained using GussSumm software. The DOS plots are presented in Fig. 9. Fullerenes have a larger electron affinity due to their negative LUMO levels, but fulleranes do not tend to capture electrons due to a positive LUMO level. Although, the electron demand of the fullereynes is negative like that of the fullerenes, but not so strong, because its negative LUMO level is close to zero.

We use Natural Bond Orbital (NBO) analysis to explain the difference in DOS plots for the introduced fullerenes. There are two major peaks in the fullereyne DOS plots, unlike the fullerenes and fulleranes plots. NBO analysis shows that the peak between -5 and -10 eV is related to sp orbital hybridization. This orbital is related to the triple carbon bond added to the edges. There are two pi bonds in this orbital, each has a bond energy of about -8 eV. As a result, the presence of two pi bonds at each edge of the fullereyne structures, causes the number of states to accumulate within this region.

The other major peak of the fullereyne plots is indirectly related to add two carbons to the edges of the fullerene structures again. Because it doubles the number of single carbon bonds with sp orbital hybridization. Therefore, the number of states related to sp orbital hybridization for fullereynes will be more than fullerenes and fulleranes.

**Optoelectrical properties.** Another reason to categorize fullereyne as a new class of possible carbon nanocages is due to their distinct optoelectronic properties. Optical gap (OG), which is often different from HOMO–LUMO gap, is defined as the first singlet nonzero allowed optical transition.

According to \( \Delta S = 0 \), optical transition rule, the first triplet excited state is optically inactive, therefore, the lowest excitation energy transfers the ground state, point A in Fig. 10, into the first singlet excited state, point B in Fig. 10, with the creation of an exciton or an electron–hole pair. Electrons with opposite spins experience larger repulsive Coulomb interaction. Therefore, singlet excited state being in higher energy level than the first triplet excited state, which may lead to a relaxation from the singlet excitation state to the triplet state (point C).

OG is the difference energy between points A and B and is given as:

\[
\Delta E_{OG} = E_B - E_A. \tag{10}
\]

The DFT-calculated OGs presented in Table 4, are the difference between the ground- and the first triplet excited-states.\(^{34-38}\)

Considering the above data, we conclude once again that we are facing three different families of nanocages. As can be seen, the quantum confinement effect (QCE) is observed in the size of changes of the optical gap of the fulleranes\(^{39}\), but in the fullerenes, there is no regular downward change in the optical gap. The fullerenes gap is so small that it is not common in optical works and is more suitable for electronic applications\(^{40}\). One of the most important reasons for the differences in the properties of these three nanocages is the difference in the situation of their electrons. To clarify this, we investigate the linear correlation \( Y = AX + B \) of the structural gaps with their dimensions using the model of a particle in the box\(^{41}\).

\[
\Delta E = [\text{const}]/m^* \cdot L^2. \tag{11}
\]

where we set the \( \Delta E \) equivalent to the HOMO–LUMO gap and obtain \( 1/L^2 \) from the values given in Table 1. Finally, we obtained for fullerene, \( Y = 157.57X - 0.47 \), for fullerane, \( Y = 586.99X + 4.96 \), and for fullereyne, \( Y = 266.99X + 6.78 \), as a linear relation. We conclude that the effective mass of the electron is different in the three groups of fullerenes, fulleranes, and fullerenes. Since the slope of the line of relation to the fullerene is lower than the others, the heaviest effective mass is related to its electron. So, according to Fig. 8, we expect more stokes shift for the fullerane.

**Application**

Fullereyne's chemical stability makes it suitable for storing and transporting some ions or gases at the nanoscale. Although fullerenes also exhibit higher chemical stability than fullerenes, but pentagon or hexagon of the fullerene structures cannot pass ions and molecules. In this subsection of the report, we have examined the results of the interaction of the fullerene structure with lithium ion. Using the following relationship, we can find the absorption energy \( (E_{abs}) \) between ions and structures such as cages\(^{42,43}\).

\[
E_{abs} = E_{ion} + E_{cage} - E_{total}. \tag{12}
\]

The following table (Table 5) shows the results of the calculations of the absorption energy between the fullerene cage \( (C_{80H20}) \) with the atom and the lithium-ion in the center positions of the cage and its face. Note that, \( X@C_{80H20} \) means the particle is in the center of the cage, and \( X_{C80H20} \) for the particle in the face of the cage.

Our calculations show that the lithium atom in the center of the cage has negative and negligible absorption energy, and it gets the closer to the cage surface, its energy becomes bigger, and the more negative. As a result, if the absorbed lithium ion is converted to a lithium atom, it leaves the fullereyne cage.

MD calculations shows that fullereyne cages can serve as a nanoscale reservoir for hydrogen gas\(^{44}\), to find out how the ions are released into the fullerene cage; we compared their absorption energy with the hydrogen absorption energy in the cage, so that we can see the values in the table. Our calculations show that the lithium
atom, like a hydrogen molecule, can move freely in the fulleryne cage, while the lithium ion is bound to the fulleryne cage, especially in its face. This feature makes the fulleryne cage susceptible to use as a cathode/anode.
According to the above figure and Table 4, it can be noticed that by oxidation of lithium atom, the energy level of the system increases (Discharge the battery).

**Summary**

In this work, based on density functional theory, we have introduced a new branch of carbon nanocages; it is best to call them fullerene, in the style of IUPAC, because of the triple bonds that exist in its structure. Examination of electrical, structural, and optical properties shows that the fullerenes fall into a category independent of known carbon cages.

Chemical stability of fullerenes makes them suitable for storing and transporting some ions or gases at the nanoscale. Besides, they can be proposed as cathode/anode electrodes in lithium-ion batteries.
Method

We used four fullerenes and four fulleranes to start our study. Consider the structures shown in Fig. 12. The following structures belong to four fullerene classes, by saturating the following structures with hydrogen, their corresponding fullerane structures emerge. Fullerenes are carbon cages that their structural geometry consists of pentagons and hexagons. Fullerenes have 12 pentagons, but the number of hexagons can change. Half of the carbon number in the fullerene cage minus 10 represents the number of hexagons of the fullerenes. The C_{20} is the smallest stable fullerene. The smallest fullerene has twelve pentagons in its structure, no hexagons.

We added two carbon atoms on each edge of the above structures, and we got four new structures. We must first determine that these four new structures are stable. We did this by calculating the infrared frequency of the optimized structures. Finally, we identify the structural, electrochemical and optoelectrical properties of the new cages and compare their properties with fullerenes and fulleranes.

Figure 11. A schematic of the lithium atom inside the C_{80}H_{20} fullerene cage. Our calculations shows that the lithium atom, like a hydrogen molecule, can move freely in the fullerene cage, while the lithium ion is bound to the fullerene cage, especially in its face. This feature makes the fullerene cages susceptible to use as cathode/anode electrodes in lithium-ion batteries.

Figure 12. The geometry of the fullerenes, the C_{20}, C_{24}, C_{30} and C_{36} structures, are shown in the figure. The C_{20} is the smallest stable fullerene. The smallest fullerene has twelve pentagons in its structure, no hexagons.
The computation of the total energy, the optimum structures, and frequencies of the vibrations to check stability, has been performed using density functional theory. We used the B3LYP hybrid functional, which includes three parameters of Beck’s correlation, and also includes Li, Yang, and Par electron exchange, though it consists of a portion of exchange from the Hartree–Fock (HF) method, too.46-47

Elementary DFT method, underestimates the bandgap of the material, because it exaggeratedly predicts the density of occupied orbitals in a wide area, on the other hand, the HF method, gives localized unoccupied orbitals, so it overrates the bandgap.48 Therefore, the results of the hybrid functional are more consistent with the experimental results.

In our calculations, for describing the shapes of the orbitals, we used the lanl2dz basis set.27-30 This basis set only imports valence electrons into the computation, so reduces computation time by freezing the inner electron shells. Our calculations are performed by the Gaussian 98 package.31

Data availability
All data generated for this study are included in the article.

Received: 10 October 2020; Accepted: 11 January 2021
Published online: 28 January 2021

References
1. Casari, C. S., Tommasini, M., Tykwinski, R. R. & Milani, A. Carbon-atom wires: 1-D systems with tunable properties. Nanoscale 8, 4414–4435 (2016).
2. Zanoli, Z., Onida, G. & Charlier, J. C. Quantum spin transport in carbon chains. ACS Nano 28, 5174–5180 (2010).
3. Wang, M. & Lin, S. Ballistic thermal transport in carbyne and cumulene with micron-scale spectral acoustic phonon mean free path. Sci. Rep. 5, 18122 (2015).
4. Ravagnan, L. Influence of cumulenic chains on the vibrational and electronic properties of sp–sp2 amorphous carbon. Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 216103 (2007).
5. La Torre, A., Botello-Mendez, A., Baaziz, W., Charlier, J. C. & Banhart, F. Strain-induced metal–semiconductor transition observed in atomic carbon chains. Nat. Commun. 6, 1–7 (2015).
6. Shi, L. et al. Confined linear carbon chains as a route to bulk carbyne. Nat. Mater. 15, 634–639 (2016).
7. Tommasini, M. et al. π-conjugation and end group effects in long cumulenes: Raman spectroscopy and DFT calculations. J. Phys. Chem. C. 118, 26415–26425 (2014).
8. Kim, K. et al. Structural and electrical investigation of C60–graphene vertical heterostructures. ACS Nano 9, 5922–5928 (2015).
9. Tan, Y. Z., Xie, S. Y., Huang, R. B. & Zheng, L. S. The stabilization of fused-pentagon fullerene molecules. Nat. Chem. 1, 450 (2009).
10. Talyzin, A. V. et al. Hydrogen-driven cage unzipping of C60 into nano-graphenes. J. Phys. Chem. C. 118, 6504–6513 (2014).
11. Teprovich, J. A. Jr. et al. Hydrogenated C60 as high-capacity stable anode materials for Li ion batteries. ACS Appl. Energy Mater. 2, 6453–6460 (2019).
12. Li, Z. et al. Towards graphene molecular electronics. Nat. Commun. 6, 1–9 (2015).
13. Moreno, C. et al. Bottom-up synthesis of multifunctional nanoporous graphene. Science 360, 199–203 (2018).
14. Ewels, C. P. et al. Predicting experimentally stable allotropes: instability of penta-graphene. Nat. Acad. Sci. 112, 15609–15612 (2015).
15. Marsusi, F., Drummond, N. D. & Verstraete, M. J. The physics of single-side fluorination of graphene: DFT and DFT + U studies. Carbon 144, 615–627 (2019).
16. Yousefi, F., Khoeini, F. & Rajabpour, A. Thermal conductivity and thermal rectification of nanoporous graphene: a molecular dynamics simulation. Int. J. Heat. Mass. Transf. 146, 118884 (2020).
17. Mahdavifar, M. & Khoenei, F. Topological and transport properties of graphene-based nanojunctions subjected to a magnetic field. Nanotechnology 31, 025701 (2019).
18. Chuvilin, A., Kaiser, U., Bichoutskaia, E., Besley, N. A. & Khlobystov, A. N. Direct transformation of graphene to fullerene. Nat. Chem. 2, 450–453 (2010).
19. Teprovich, J. A. Jr. et al. Synthesis and characterization of a lithium-doped fullerene (LiL6–C60–H8) for reversible hydrogen storage. Nano. Lett. 12, 582–589 (2012).
20. Wu, Q., Yang, L., Wang, X., & Hu, Z. Carbon-based nanocages: a new platform for advanced energy storage and conversion. Adv. Mater. 32, 1904177 (2019).
21. Hayase, N., Nogami, J., Shibata, Y. & Tanaka, K. Synthesis of a strained spherical carbon nanocage by regioselective alkyne cyclotrimerization. Angew. Chem. 131, 9539–9542 (2015).
22. Ciolskowski, J., Rao, N. & Moncrieff, D. Standard enthalpies of formation of fullerenes and their dependence on structural motifs. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 122, 8265–8270 (2000).
23. Li, J. et al. Density functional theory studies of Si3H6H3 and C3H6H3 nanocages. Int. J. Quantum. Chem. 114, 725–739 (2014).
24. Li, J. et al. Density functional theory studies of Si3H6H3 and C3H6H3 nanocages. Int. J. Quantum. Chem. 114, 725–739 (2014).
25. Dennington, R., Keith, T., & Millam, J. 2009. GaussView, version 5.
26. Pyykö, P., Riedel, S. & Patzschke, M. Triple-bond covalent radii. Chem. Eur. J. 11, 3511–3520 (2005).
27. Liu, F. et al. Single-electron lanthanide–lanthanide bonds inside fullerenes toward robust redox-active molecular magnets. Acc. Chem. Res. 52, 2981–2993 (2019).
28. Marsusi, F. & Qasemnazhand, M. Sila-fulleranes: promising chemically active fullerene analogs. Nanotechnology 27, 275704 (2016).
29. Wang, T. et al. The electronic properties of chiral silicon nanotubes. Superlattices Microstruct. 109, 457–462 (2017).
30. Tavakol, H. & Shahabi, D. DFT, QTAIM, and NBO study of adsorption of rare gases into and on the surface of sulfur-doped, single-wall carbon nanotubes. J. Phys. Chem. C. 119, 6502–6510 (2015).
31. Qasemnazhand, M., Khoeini, F., & Marsusi, F. Fullerene, a new member of the carbon cages family. arXiv preprint arXiv: arXiv 2003.09835 (2020).
32. Parr, R. G., Szentpály, L. V. & Liu, S. Electrophilicity index. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 121, 1922–1924 (1999).
33. O’boyle, N. M., Tenderholt, A. L. & Langner, K. M. Cclib: a library for package-independent computational chemistry algorithms. J. Comput. Chem. 29, 839–845 (2008).
34. Patrick, C. E. & Giustino, F. Quantum nuclear dynamics in the photophysics of diamondoids. Nat. Commun. 3, 4 (2012).
35. Marsusi, F., Sabaghzadeh, J. & Drummond, N. D. Comparison of quantum Monte Carlo with time-dependent and static density-functional theory calculations of diamondoid excitation energies and Stokes shifts. Phys. Rev. B. 84, 245315 (2011).
36. Shu, Y., Fales, B. S. & Levine, B. G. Defect-induced conical intersections promote nonradiative recombination. Nano Lett. 15, 6247–6253 (2015).
37. Marsusi, F. Nuclear dynamic effects on electronic properties of functionalized diamondoids. Phys. E Low Dimens. Syst. Nanostruct. 103, 435–443 (2018).
38. Qasemzahmoud, M., Khoenic, F. & Shekarforouch, S. Electronic transport properties in the stable phase of a cumulene/B7/cumulene molecular bridge investigated using density functional theory and a tight-binding method. New. J. Chem. 43, 16515–16523 (2019).
39. Norris, D. J. & Bawendi, M. G. Measurement and assignment of the size-dependent optical spectrum in CdSe quantum dots. Phys. Rev. B. 53, 16338 (1996).
40. Smilowitz, L. et al. Enhanced optical limiting in derivatized fullerenes. Opt. Lett. 21, 922–924 (1996).
41. Pietryga, J. M. et al. Spectroscopic and device aspects of nanocrystal quantum dots. Chem. Rev. 116, 10513–10622 (2016).
42. Zhang, Q.; Zhang, W., Wan, W., Cui, Y. & Wang, E. Lithium insertion in silicon nanowires: an ab initio study. Nano Lett. 10, 3243–3249 (2010).
43. Miranda, A., De Santiago, F., Pérez, L. A. & Cruz-Irisson, M. Silicon nanowires as potential gas sensors: a density functional study. Sem. Actuators B Chem. 242, 1246–1250 (2017).
44. Hug, C. & Cranford, S. W. Sparse fullerenes enhance potential hydrogen storage and mobility. J. Mater. Chem. A 5, 21223–21233 (2017).
45. Hou, C. C., Zou, L. & Xu, Q. A hydrangea-like superstructure of open carbon cages with hierarchical porosity and highly active metal sites. Adv. Mater. 31, 1904689 (2019).
46. Lee, C., Yang, W. & Parr, R. G. Development of the Colle–Salvetti correlation-energy formula into a functional of the electron density. Phys. Rev. B. 37, 785 (1988).
47. Stephens, P. J., Devlin, F. J., Chabalowski, C. F. & Frisch, M. J. Ab initio calculation of vibrational absorption and circular dichroism spectra using density functional force fields. Am. J. Phys. Chem. 98, 11623–11627 (1994).
48. Mori-Sánchez, P., Cohen, A. J. & Yang, W. Localization and delocalization errors in density functional theory and implications for band-gap prediction. Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 146401 (2008).
49. Tarakeshwar, P., Buseck, P. R. & Kroto, H. W. Pseudocarbynes: charge-stabilized carbon chains. J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 7, 1675–1681 (2016).
50. Gao, Y., Shao, N., Pei, Y. & Zeng, X. C. Icosahedral crown gold nanocluster Au43Cu12 with high catalytic activity. Nano Lett. 10, 1055–1062 (2010).
51. Lu, X. et al. Sidewall oxidation and complexation of carbon nanotubes by base-catalyzed cycloaddition of transition metal oxide: a theoretical prediction. Nano Lett. 2(11), 1325–1327 (2002).
52. Stuyver, T., Zeng, T., Tsuji, Y., Geerlings, P. & De Proft, F. Diradical character as a guiding principle for the insightful design of molecular nanowires with an increasing conductance with length. Nano Lett. 18, 7298–7304 (2018).
53. Stuyver, T., Zeng, T., Tsuji, Y., Geerlings, P. & De Proft, F. Diradical character as a guiding principle for the insightful design of molecular nanowires with an increasing conductance with length. Nano Lett. 18, 7298–7304 (2018).
54. Tsuchida, M., Christiansen, M. A., Vlachos, D. G. & Mourtzakis, G. Multiscale modeling reveals poisoning mechanisms of MgO-supported Au clusters in CO oxidation. Nano Lett. 12, 3621–3626 (2012).
55. Petersson, G. A. et al. Calibration and comparison of the Gaussian-2, complete basis set, and density functional methods for computational thermochemistry. J. Chem. Phys. 109, 10570–10579 (1998).

Author contributions
M.Q. carried out the simulations, analyzed the data and prepared the manuscript. F.K. supervised the project and revised the final manuscript. F.M. supported DFT calculations. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests
The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information
Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to F.K.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher’s note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

© The Author(s) 2021