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Objectives: The present study aimed to identify associations between extremes in body weight status (underweight and excess body weight) before a COVID-19 diagnosis and clinical outcomes in patients infected with SARS coronavirus type 2.

Methods: A multicenter cohort study was conducted in eight different states in northeastern Brazil. Demographic, clinical (previous diagnosis of comorbidities), and anthropometric (self-reported weight and height) data about individuals who tested positive for COVID-19 were collected. Outcomes included hospitalization, mechanical ventilation, and death. Multivariable logistic regression models, adjusted based on age, sex and previous comorbidities, were used to assess the effects of extremes in body weight status on clinical outcomes.

Results: A total of 1308 individuals were assessed (33.6% were elderly individuals). The univariable analyses showed that only hospitalization was more often observed among underweight (3.2% versus 1.2%) and overweight (68.1% versus 63.3%) individuals. In turn, cardiovascular diseases were more often observed in all clinical outcomes (hospitalization: 19.7% versus 4.8%; mechanical ventilation: 19.9% versus 13.5%; death: 21.8% versus 14.1%). Based on the multivariable analysis, body weight status was not associated with risk of hospitalization (underweight: odds ratio [OR]: 1.10; 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.50–2.41 and excess body weight: OR: 0.81; 95% CI, 0.57–1.14), mechanical ventilation (underweight: OR: 0.92; 95% CI, 0.52–1.62 and excess body weight: OR: 1.00; 95% CI, 0.58–1.72), and death (underweight: OR: 1.00; 95% CI, 0.57–1.72 and excess body weight: OR: 1.00; 95% CI, 0.57–1.72).
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Introduction

The pandemic caused by the SARS coronavirus type 2 (CoV-2) viral infection currently plagues the world. Brazil, which has significant territorial dimension and geographic differences in addition to peculiar political issues associated with public health management, has experienced a critical situation that has exacerbated viral transmissibility. This factor resulted in hospital overcrowding in several states, and compromised the quality of health care provided to affected individuals [1,2]. Brazil ranked first in the number of new infected cases and deaths worldwide during the first half of 2021, and the mortality rate reached 2.5%. The northeastern region, which is one of the poorest regions in the country and concentrates approximately 26% of the Brazilian population, accounts for 10.7% and 10.4% of the total number of COVID-19 cases and deaths, respectively, noted in Brazil [2].

Overall, the first COVID-19 symptoms emerge after 5.2 d of incubation, and can last 14 d on average. These symptoms present great variability in time and clinical manifestations, and often affect the respiratory tract among other organs and systems [3]. There is evidence that this disease shows a higher prevalence among individuals with chronic noncommunicable diseases (NCDs), such as diabetes, hypertension, respiratory diseases, and cancer [4]. Although there is no consensus in the literature, extremes in body weight status (i.e., being underweight and excessive body weight) can also be associated with morbidity and mortality rates resulting from SARS-CoV-2 infection regardless of the concomitant incidence of other associated comorbidities [5,6].

Although the effects of being underweight on the clinical evolution of patients with COVID-19 remains poorly explored, underweight prevalence in hospitalized patients is often high and leads to a negative prognosis, because this condition is associated with a longer hospitalization time, as well as a higher rehospitalization frequency and death rate [7,8]. On the other hand, individuals with obesity appear to be more likely to evolve to the most severe form of the disease, remain hospitalized for longer periods of time, and require respiratory support upon hospitalization [9,10].

Of note, body mass index (BMI) is the anthropometric indicator most widely used to assess nutritional status in epidemiologic and clinical studies. However, physiological and metabolic changes are inherent to the aging process and should also be taken into consideration, because they have direct implications on the nutritional status and body composition of elderly individuals. Thus, using specific cutoff points for this population is necessary [11]. Nevertheless, several studies conducted with patients with COVID-19 have used the same BMI cutoff point for both adult and elderly individuals; thus, these studies made the mistake of evaluating different groups as equals [12–14].

Although references were used to classify the nutritional status of each age group [15,16], the literature still lacks consensus about the most adequate BMI cutoff point to be adopted at the time to assess the nutritional status of elderly individuals. Thus, classifying the nutritional status of individuals in this age group based on BMI allows for a comparison of results in a given research with those derived from other studies, as well as an acknowledgment that the body changes observed in this population must be interpreted with caution.

History of unintentional weight loss before hospitalization is another nutritional status indicator that appears to be an independent factor for worse clinical prognoses and death in several pathologic processes [17,18], and may be associated with nondiagnosed preexisting diseases [19]. Moreover, this indicator is associated with functional decline, frailty, and a higher risk of infections in the elderly population [20], and should be carefully investigated in patients with COVID-19.

The likely association between being previously underweight or excess body weight and worse clinical outcomes resulting from COVID-19 [5,6] and the peculiarities of northeastern Brazil [1] are important to take into consideration, because this region presents high urban poverty levels [21] that are capable of influencing the epidemiologic pattern in wealthier regions. Therefore, the aim of the current study was to investigate associations between being underweight or excess body weight before a COVID-19 diagnosis and clinical outcomes in patients infected with SARS-CoV-2 in northeastern Brazil.

Methods

A multicenter cohort study was conducted by the Nutrition and COVID-19 Study Group in northeastern Brazil (GENSCoV-BR). The study was coordinated by the Nutrition School of Federal University of Alagoas, and included the states of Alagoas, Bahia, Sergipe, Pernambuco, Paraíba, Rio Grande do Norte, Piauí, and Maranhão. The study is part of a project called “Clinical, nutritional, and sociodemographic aspects associated with mortality rates in patients with COVID-19: Multicenter study in northeastern Brazil”.

The research project was approved by the research ethics committees of all collaborating centers, which were responsible to coordinate the research in different federal units (protocol number 4.090.285/2020). Both ethical and bioethical principles were respected at all research stages and in compliance with the Brazilian legislation and the Declaration of Helsinki.

Population and sample

The main study followed a convenient sampling plan of a nonprobabilistic model by taking into consideration an estimated mean prevalence of 20% obesity in adult individuals who live in the capitals of the northeastern Brazilian states [22]. The margin of error was 2%, the confidence interval (CI) was 95%, and the total sample consisted of 2647 individuals.

The investigated population consisted of individuals treated for COVID-19 after a laboratory diagnosis based on protocols followed by partner health care services (i.e., public and private hospitals, emergency care units, basic health care units, and flu-like syndrome units). The sample analyzed in the present study consisted of 1308 individuals, because many patients did not want to participate in the study and their decision was respected. In addition, collecting data during the daily routine of health care services was difficult (Fig. 1).

Male and female individuals age ≥18 y diagnosed with an infection caused by SARS-CoV-2 as confirmed by a laboratory test (reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction, point-of-care testing, reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification, or SARS-CoV-2 antigen test) and who presented anthropometric data to establish their body weight status, received hospital or at-home treatment for COVID-19, and completed the follow up until cured or death were included in the study. Patients with a suspected COVID-19 infection whose diagnosis was not confirmed by at least one laboratory test were not included in the study.

Experimental procedures

Included patients were identified and selected by health care professionals from partner hospitals by taking into consideration a laboratory test-based COVID-19 diagnosis in their medical records. Individuals were also identified through a positive COVID-19 diagnosis after attending emergency services, which...
Demographic, clinical, and anthropometric data

Demographic and clinical data about patients undergoing hospital or at-home treatment were collected. With respect to data collected through remote interviews, variables were categorized as age (elderly age >65 years [yes or no]), sex (male/female), black skin color/race (yes/no), and unintentional weight loss regardless of COVID-19 diagnosis (yes/no). Patients who reported having lost >5% of their usual body weight during the previous 6 mo were categorized as having unintentional weight loss without any specific reason, such as advanced chronic disease or treatment, or known cause [23]. Information about the previous medical diagnosis of comorbidities, such as systemic arterial hypertension (SAH), diabetes mellitus (DM), cardiovascular disease (CVD), chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), and asthma/other respiratory diseases, was also collected.

Incidence of the following clinical events was assessed based on data obtained in health care service records: Need of hospitalization due to COVID-19 (yes/no), need of mechanical ventilation during treatment (yes/no), and death (yes/no). As for anthropometric data, information on current self-reported height and weight was used to calculate patients’ BMI.

In the current study, participants’ BMI was classified in two different ways. First, BMI was categorized based on standards recommended by the World Health Organization (WHO) [15] for adults and by Lipschitz [16] for elderly individuals. The categorization was done to unify the nutritional status analysis between adult and elderly individuals by respecting changes in body composition inherent to the aging process. Thus, adult individuals with a BMI ≥25.0 kg/m² and elderly individuals with a BMI ≥27.0 kg/m² were classified as having excessive body weight. Accordingly, adult individuals with a BMI <18.5 kg/m² and elderly individuals with a BMI <22.0 kg/m² were classified as underweight. Second, since Lipschitz’s classification does not establish a cutoff point for overweight and obese, as well as to allow for a comparison of data from the current research with those of other studies, all participants were also classified according to standards established by the WHO (regardless of age), namely being overweight (BMI ≥25.0 kg/m² and <29.9 kg/m²) and obese (BMI ≥30.0 kg/m²).

Statistical analysis

The Statistical Package for Social Sciences software, version 21.0 (IBM Inc, Chicago, IL) was used for the statistical analysis, which adopted an alpha value of 5%. Absolute and relative frequencies were calculated for categorical variables. A χ² or Fisher’s exact test was applied to check for a univariable association between categorical variables depending on the expected frequency of counts for each cell. A multivariable binary logistic model, adjusted based on age, sex, color/race, federal unit, as well as diagnosis of SAH, DM, CVD, COPD, and asthma/other respiratory diseases, was used to calculate the adjusted odds ratio (ORs) between the BMI categories presenting any of the investigated outcomes (hospitalization, mechanical ventilation, and/or death).

The BMI categories were always included as independent variable in the adopted models, and normal weight was used as the reference category. Unintentional weight loss was also included as an independent variable. To further explore the associations between BMI category and clinical outcomes and the possible modification effects of age category (age <65 y or ≥65 y) in this relationship (between BMI categories and clinical outcomes), an interaction analysis was conducted. In this analysis, the full multivariable model received an interaction term “age_group*BMI_category” to assess whether the effects of BMI categories in the clinical outcomes differed between the two age groups. If the interaction term showed a significant P-value, evidence of modification of effects was considered.
Results

In total, 439 of 1,308 evaluated patients (33.6%) were elderly individuals, of whom 672 (51.4%) were men. Excessive body weight was observed in 872 patients (66.9%), underweight in 35 patients (2.7%), and a history of weight loss was reported by 354 patients with data available (35%). Moreover, 424 patients (32.4%) were also classified as obese according to the WHO’s standards, and 983 patients (75.2%) required hospitalization to treat COVID-19, but the others did not meet the clinical criteria for hospitalization and underwent treatment at home. In addition, 291 individuals (22.2%) died. The sociodemographic, clinical, and anthropometric features of patients included in the current study are described in Table 1.

Based on the univariable analysis, age ≥ 65 y; male sex; black color/race; incidence of SAH, DM, CVD, COPD, and asthma/other respiratory diseases; BMI categories; obesity; and unintentional weight loss were associated with the need for hospitalization. Variables, such as age ≥ 65 y, male sex, DM, CVD, and asthma/other respiratory disease, have shown significant association with the need for mechanical ventilation. Age ≥ 65 y, male sex, SAH, CVD and asthma/other respiratory diseases were significantly associated with death. A previous COPD diagnosis was more often recorded among patients who required hospitalization, but a previous asthma diagnosis was negatively associated with events, such as hospitalization, mechanical ventilation, and death. In addition, unintentional weight loss was more often observed in the group of patients who required hospitalization, although not associated with mechanical ventilation or death (Table 2).

Being underweight was more often observed among patients who required hospitalization when the BMI of adult and elderly individuals was classified based on cutoff points established by the WHO [13] and Lipschitz [14], respectively. On the other hand, excess body weight was more frequent among patients who underwent at-home treatment and successfully healed (Table 2). However, based on the classification by the WHO [13], none of the assessed patients presented an association between BMI categories and hospitalization, regardless of age.

The multivariable analysis was conducted to identify associations of BMI categories, obesity diagnosis, and history of unintentional weight loss with the investigated clinical events adjusted based on sex, age, and other risk factors. Based on this analysis, neither the BMI categories nor the history of unintentional weight loss were associated with a risk of hospitalization, mechanical ventilation, and death (Table 3). Based on the univariate sensitivity analyses, age and BMI categories did not show significant interaction with outcomes, such as hospitalization (P = 0.13), mechanical ventilation (P = 0.93), and death (P = 0.56). Based on the multivariable sensitivity analysis adjusted based on other risk factors and federal units, there was significant interaction between age and BMI categories (P = 0.03) because underweight adult individuals were at a greater risk of hospitalization than underweight elderly ones. However, none of the individual OR values were statistically significant (OR: 8.06; 95% CI, 0.96–67.60; P = 0.05 versus OR: 0.59; 95% CI, 0.22–1.57); P = 0.29, respectively. Besides, the excess body weight and age categories did not show interaction with the evaluated outcomes.

Discussion

The aim of the current research was to investigate whether being underweight and excess body weight were associated with clinical complications of COVID-19 in northeastern Brazil. Based on the univariable analyses, only hospitalization was associated with BMI categories, obesity, and unintentional weight loss (featured by loss > 5% of usual body weight in previous 6 mo, without intention or apparent reason). Nevertheless, the multivariable analysis indicated that none of the BMI categories were risk factors for hospitalization, mechanical ventilation, or death. Therefore, the gross effect of body weight status on clinical complications caused by COVID-19 in this sample was confounded by both age and other known risk factors, such as NCDs.

With respect to the univariable association between being underweight and hospitalization, although quite complex, the link between malnutrition (either acute or chronic) and infection has been repeatedly corroborated and long been acknowledged by the WHO [24,25]. Previous evidence highlighted in other pathological processes points out that being underweight impairs patients’ immune function, mainly cell-mediated immunity, a fact that turns underweight individuals in a group at a higher risk of evolving toward adverse results and presenting with the worst prognosis [26]. Few studies have shown the effect of being underweight on negative COVID-19 outcomes. According to Kim et al., underweight patients were at a higher risk of presenting outcomes, such as mechanical ventilation and death, after adjusting based on age, sex, race/ethnicity, incidence of comorbidities, smoking habit, and hospital type [27]. Of note, being underweight is just one of the malnutrition indicators used for adult individuals. Most recently, a meta-analysis comprised of studies that used nutritional risk screening tools among hospitalized patients with COVID-19

---

Table 1

| Characterization of patients who underwent treatment for COVID-19 | N = 1308 | % |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------|---|
| Age ≥ 65 y                                                     | 439     | 33.6 |
| Male                                                          | 672     | 51.4 |
| Black color/race (n = 1210)                                    |         |     |
| No                                                            | 315     | 26.0 |
| Yes                                                           | 895     | 74.0 |
| Federal unit                                                  |         |     |
| Alagoas                                                       | 348     | 28.6 |
| Bahia                                                         | 183     | 14.0 |
| Maranhão                                                      | 41      | 3.1 |
| Paraíba                                                       | 215     | 16.4 |
| Pernambuco                                                    | 253     | 19.3 |
| Paul                                                          | 40      | 3.1 |
| Rio Grande do Norte                                           | 116     | 9.1 |
| Sergipe                                                       | 112     | 8.6 |
| Systemic arterial hypertension                                 | 653     | 49.9 |
| Diabetes mellitus                                             | 383     | 29.3 |
| Cardiovascular disease (n = 1292)                             | 204     | 15.8 |
| Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (n = 1301)              | 64      | 4.9 |
| Asthma/other respiratory diseases (n = 1304)                  | 172     | 13.1 |
| BMI category* (n = 1305)                                      |         |     |
| Underweight                                                   | 35      | 2.7 |
| Normal weight                                                  | 397     | 30.4 |
| Excess body weight                                             | 872     | 66.9 |
| Obesity                                                       |         |     |
| Unintentional weight loss (%)                                  |         |     |
| Hospitalization                                                | 983     | 75.2 |
| Mechanical ventilation                                        | 469     | 35.9 |
| Death                                                         | 291     | 22.2 |

BMI, body mass index
*Classified using World Health Organization category for adults and Lipschitz for the elderly (age ≥ 65 y); Having excessive body weight was considered BMI ≥ 25.0 kg/m² for adults and BMI ≥ 27.0 kg/m² for the elderly.
+Classified according to World Health Organization for all individuals (BMI ≥ 25.0 kg/m² and ≤ 20.9 kg/m² for overweight and BMI ≥ 30 kg/m² for obesity). Unintentional weight loss: Loss of > 5% of usual body weight in previous 6 mo without any specific reason or known cause.
pointed toward an increased risk of death in patients at risk of malnutrition [28]. This finding can be explained by patients’ increased resting energy expenditure, reduced oral intake [28,29], and impaired immune system [30].

Based on the current results, excess body weight has shown only association with hospitalization in the univariable analysis. The frequency of excess body weight in patients with COVID-19 was similar to that observed for the rest of the population in the capitals of northeastern Brazilian states, which ranged from 50.3% to 59.5%, according to data derived from the largest population survey focused on identifying risk and protective factors for chronic diseases in Brazil [22]. The highest frequency of individuals with excess body weight was observed among those who remained in isolation at home and who did not require hospitalization. This finding diverges from most publications on this topic. Of note, some studies that identified excess body weight as a risk factor for clinical outcomes of COVID-19 disregarded the preexistence of NCDs, which comprise well-known risk factors, such as DM, SAH, and chronic kidney disease, that can be primary or secondary to being overweight [6,31]. The aforementioned studies included almost exclusively hospitalized or critically ill patients in their samples, and disregarded the prevalence of excess body weight in patients affected by a mild form of COVID-19 who did not require hospitalization [27,32]. Some studies even used the WHO’s criteria (BMI ≥25 kg/m²) [15] as cutoff points to classify being overweight in elderly individuals, as well as underestimated the prevalence of being overweight/obese in this age group, which accounts for most patients hospitalized due to COVID-19 [6,27,32].

Studies that only focus on assessing hospitalized individuals (rather than individuals treated both at home and at the hospital) and according to which obesity is associated with worse outcomes may present some collider bias type, in which the single condition

### Table 2
Univariable cross-analysis between predictor variables and observed clinical events (n = 1308)

| Clinical events | Hospitalization | Mechanical ventilation | Death |
|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------|-------|
|                 | No, % | Yes, % | P-value | No, % | Yes, % | P-value | No, % | Yes, % | P-value |
| Age ≥65 y       | 7.1 | 42.7 | < 0.01 | 29.6 | 40.7 | < 0.01 | 29.7 | 47.1 | < 0.01 |
| Male            | 35.1 | 57.0 | < 0.01 | 42.0 | 68.2 | < 0.01 | 43.8 | 78.0 | < 0.01 |
| Black color/race| 67.2 | 76.5 | < 0.01 | 74.3 | 73.4 | 0.78  | 73.5 | 75.6 | 0.52  |
| Systemic arterial hypertension | 19.3 | 60.5 | < 0.01 | 48.4 | 52.7 | 0.14  | 48.3 | 55.7 | 0.02  |
| Diabetes mellitus| 7.7 | 36.7 | < 0.01 | 26.6 | 34.1 | < 0.01 | 28.6 | 31.6 | 0.34  |
| Cardiovascular disease (n = 1292) | 4.8 | 19.7 | < 0.01 | 13.5 | 19.9 | < 0.01 | 14.1 | 21.8 | < 0.01 |
| Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (n = 1301) | 0.9 | 6.3 | < 0.01 | 5.4 | 4.1 | 0.35  | 4.8 | 5.2 | 0.76  |
| Asthma or other respiratory disease | 22.0 | 10.1 | < 0.01 | 15.9 | 8.3 | < 0.01 | 14.7 | 7.9 | < 0.01 |
| BMI category (n = 1304) | | | | | | | | | |
| Underweight | 1.2 | 3.2 | | 2.9 | 2.4 | | 3.0 | 1.7 | |
| Normal weight | 35.5 | 28.7 | | 29.8 | 31.6 | | 30.2 | 31.4 | |
| Excess body weight | 63.3 | 68.1 | | 67.3 | 66.0 | | 66.9 | 66.9 | |
| BMI category (n = 1305) | | | | | | | | | |
| Underweight | 1.5 | 7.6 | < 0.01 | 5.7 | 6.6 | 0.35  | 6.1 | 5.8 | |
| Normal weight | 35.8 | 30.8 | | 31.0 | 34.1 | | 31.2 | 35.4 | |
| Overweight | 62.7 | 61.5 | | 63.3 | 59.3 | | 62.7 | 58.8 | |
| Obesity (n = 1194) | 26.5 | 34.5 | | 32.4 | 32.4 | 0.99  | 32.8 | 30.9 | 0.53  |
| Unintentional weight loss (n = 1132) | 30.9 | 37.7 | 0.03 | 34.7 | 37.6 | 0.32  | 36.6 | 32.5 | 0.24  |

BMI body mass index
- Classified using World Health Organization category for adults and Lipschitz for the elderly (age ≥65 y): Having excessive body weight was considered BMI ≥25.0 kg/m² for adults and BMI ≥27.0 kg/m² for the elderly.
- Classified according to World Health Organization for all individuals (BMI ≥25.0 kg/m² and ≥29.9 kg/m² for overweight and BMI ≥30 kg/m² for obesity). Unintentional weight loss: Loss of >5% of usual body weight in previous 6 mo without any specific reason or known cause.

### Table 3
Multivariable analysis between BMI category at the beginning of the follow up and different outcomes

| Clinical events* | Hospitalization | Mechanical ventilation | Death |
|------------------|-----------------|------------------------|-------|
|                  | OR | 95% CI | P-value | OR | 95% CI | P-value | OR | 95% CI | P-value |
| BMI category (n = 1190) | | | | | | | | | |
| Normal weight | 1 | – | – | 1 | – | – | 1 | – | – |
| Underweight | 1.10 | 0.50–2.41 | 0.81 | 0.92 | 0.52–1.62 | 0.78 | 0.61 | 0.31–1.20 | 0.15 |
| Excess weight | 0.81 | 0.57–1.14 | 0.23 | 0.90 | 0.67–1.19 | 0.47 | 0.88 | 0.63–1.23 | 0.47 |
| Obesity (n = 1194) | 0.83 | 0.59–1.16 | 0.28 | 1.11 | 0.84–1.47 | 0.44 | 0.92 | 0.66–1.28 | 0.63 |
| Unintentional weight loss (n = 1051) | 0.97 | 0.69–1.36 | 0.87 | 1.11 | 0.83–1.47 | 0.47 | 0.78 | 0.55–1.10 | 0.17 |

BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio
*Analyses adjusted for age, sex, ethnicity, federal unit, and diagnoses of hypertension, diabetes, cardiovascular diseases, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, and asthma/other respiratory diseases

†Classified using World Health Organization category for adults and Lipschitz for the elderly (age ≥65 y): Having excessive body weight was considered BMI ≥25.0 kg/m² for adults and BMI ≥27.0 kg/m² for the elderly.
‡Classified according to World Health Organization for all individuals (BMI ≥30.0 kg/m²). Unintentional weight loss: Loss of >5% of usual body weight in previous 6 mo without any specific reason or known cause.
hospitalized may induce confounding associations between obe-
sity and death because the incidence of preexisting chronic dis-
eases (closely associated with obesity and age) can favor the most
severe forms of COVID-19 and lead to hospitalizations [33]. Limit-
ing the sample to individuals who experienced events, such as hos-
pitalization, and disregarding the fact that variable obesity is
directly influenced by the other two variables (NCDs and age) leads
to colliding bias in many studies about COVID-19, as well as indu-
ces results and distorts the real associations between these varia-
tables in the assessed sample [34].

Of additional note, results presented in most of these studies contrast with the obesity paradox in critically ill patients. Accord-
ing to this paradox, patients with a higher weight could have better metabolic reserve to tolerate a given inflammatory condition due
to high catabolism, as observed for pneumonia caused by SARS-
CoV-2 infection (this being the protective factor regarding mortal-
ity when adjusted by classical risk factors) [33]. Interestingly, no
association pattern has emerged when the association between obesity and clinical outcomes in the herein investigated sample
was adjusted based on regular risk factors (i.e., NCDs). This finding
indicates a total lack of association between obesity and clinical
outcomes in the current sample, neither in the univariable analysis
nor the adjusted one.

Different findings from different cutoff points established to
classify body weight status based on the BMI of adult and elderly
individuals were another relevant aspect observed in the current
study. BMI presented a good correlation with morbidity and mor-
tality rates when cutoff points specific to age were taken into con-
sideration [35] due to metabolic- and body composition-related changes inherent to the aging process that require the adoption of
specific cutoff points for this population, mainly if one aims at pre-
dicting death associated with excess body weight. The present
study disregarded the existence of specific and consolidated cutoff
points set for the elderly population, and applied the WHO’s crite-
ria [15] to all individuals. Consequently, there was an increased
prevalence of overweight/obese individuals in the analyzed sam-
ple. This factor may have induced observers to overestimate this variable as a risk factor for COVID-19 complications in hospitalized
patients.

On the other hand, adipose tissue accumulation in the abdomi-
nal region triggers mild inflammatory processes, increases angio-
tensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE-2) expression by adipocytes, and
hyperactivates the renin-angiotensin system, which leads to endo-
theelial dysfunction. These factors may contribute to the worsening
of the disease and the emergence of symptoms in patients with
COVID-19, mainly when associated with other preexisting risk fac-
tors (e.g., age, NCDs, and immunodeficiency) capable of contribut-
ing to the most severe forms of this disease [36–38].

Unintentional weight loss in the current study was more often
observed in patients who needed hospitalization throughout the
disease course, although this association was not significant in the
adjusted analysis. Previous evidence has shown that patients
infected with SARS-CoV-2 often experience acute malnutrition sce-
nario days before hospitalization [39], mainly the most severe
cases. Inflammatory storm and an inevitable trend toward weight
loss were also reported [40]. Moreover, the incidence of preexist-
ing chronic diseases can contribute to nutritional impairment [40].
Of note, ACE-2 is also found in the skeletal muscle, a fact that leads
individuals with COVID-19 to have myalgia and muscle loss [41].
Data capable of explaining the role played by ACE-2 in inducing
weight loss during COVID-19 remain scarce; however, its main
function is well-known to lie on regulating the renin-angiotensin
system, which leads to vasoconstriction, increased sodium absorp-
tion, and inflammation, as well as contributes to patients’
hyperinflammatory and catabolic state. In addition, ACE-2 overex-
pression appears to increase myocardial and adipose insulin sensi-
tivity and favor weight loss [42]. Furthermore, the acute
malnutrition condition observed in patients with COVID-19 infec-
tion [28,39] leads to adipose tissue mobilization due to lipolysis
induced by increased adiponectin and decreased leptin secretion
[43]. Patients’ inflammatory state induces the release of cortisol
and adrenergic hormones, which can also lead to increased fat oxida-
tion and decreased adipose tissue rates [44].

The method used to collect weight and height data and calcu-
late patients’ BMI (i.e., self-reported information) and the use of
this indicator as the only marker of nutritional status were impor-
tant limitations of the current study. The self-reporting strategy
was adopted for anthropometric assessment purposes to avoid
physical contact with patients infected with COVID-19, as well as
avoid the use of the same assessment instrument by several
patients and enable the collection of data about several patients
who were unable to walk to the assessment equipment (scale and
stadiometer) due to disease worsening. Thus, although the number
of assessed patients increased, mitigating the likelihood of contam-
ination by health care professionals and ruling out the risk of
spreading SARS-CoV-2, a practice recommended by international
guidelines [45,46], was possible. Of note, in addition to the afore-
mentioned reasons for using the selected method, the direct mea-
surement of these variables and other body composition
measurements could not be performed during the peak of the
COVID-19 pandemic in Brazil due to health care professionals’
workload, the need to restrict physical contact, and the implemen-
tation of airborne precautions in hospital environments. Despite
these limitations, the measurements are validated and used to
assess nutritional status in national and international epidemi-
ologic and clinical studies [47–51]. In addition, the measurements
provided the study with a more homogeneous nutritional status
assessment among all research centers based on scientific techni-
ques and procedures, taking into consideration the reality and rou-
tine of health care services within the COVID-19 pandemic
context.

However, measurement information self-reported by patients
with COVID-19 should be used with caution, because these
patients present heterogeneous features, such as age. Hence, self-
reported body weight may not be efficient in reflecting the current
weight of these patients. On the other hand, although an important
indicator of body weight status, BMI does not differentiate body
compartments (muscle and adipose reserve) or reflect fat distribu-
tion in the human body. Thus, BMI is more sensitive in association
with other anthropometric measurements capable of evaluating
body composition [52,53]. Yet, this assessment tool may be more
valid than the use of medical records in health care systems, which
do not indicate the adopted method. Thus, different measurement
methods may be used in the same study, such as visual observation
performed by untrained health care professionals.

Other limitations worth highlighting are the lack of individual
socioeconomic information about patients and the adoption of the
convenience sampling method in a study conducted in one of the
poorest regions of Brazil, experiencing unfavorable socioeconomic
and political context. However, of note, obtaining individual socio-
economic data capable of accurately reflecting the economic condi-
tions individuals live in requires adopting extensive data collection
protocols that can be considered invasive and uncomfortable.
Although these protocols were described in the data collection
protocol of the current study, they were not completed by most
participants and mainly by the most severe cases. Thus, partici-
pants’ socioeconomic conditions were not taken into consideration
in the current study to ensure a satisfactory sample size and the
quality of the herein performed analyses, as well as to give strength and reliability to the assessed data. Of note, although the sampling plan was featured as of the convenience type, the sample distribution proportionality among the collaborating centers was respected and different health care services were included to recruit patients from different social strata with different intensities of COVID-19, either hospitalized or treated at home.

Conclusions

BMI categories, obesity, and unintentional weight loss, as observed in the herein assessed sample, were overall associated with patients hospitalized with COVID-19. Based on the adjusted analysis, being underweight, excess body weight, and obesity were not independently associated with the clinical outcomes in these patients. This finding indicates that the association among these variables was confounded by both age and traditional risk factors, such as NCDs.

Supplementary materials

Supplementary material associated with this article can be found, in the online version at doi:10.1016/j.nut.2022.111677.
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