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ABSTRACT

A label-free electrochemical biosensing approach as an appropriate analysis technique for SARS-CoV-2 spike protein (SARS-CoV-2 S-protein) was investigated to facilitate the diagnosis of coronavirus in real samples. It is crucial to construct diagnostic features that can rapidly identify infected individuals to limit the spread of the virus and assign treatment choices. Therefore, a novel and selective method using SiO2@UiO-66 and a label-free electrochemical immunoassay for rapidly detecting spike protein. The development of innovative approaches for direct viral detection employing simplified and ideally reagent-free assays is a pressing and difficult topic. The absence of speedy and effective ways to diagnose viral diseases especially SARS-CoV-2 on demand has worsened the issue of combating the COVID-19 pandemic. The developed electrode illustrated a wide dynamic range of 100.0 fg mL\(^{-1}\) to 10.0 ng mL\(^{-1}\) with low limit detection. Therefore, the as-fabricated electrochemical SARS-CoV-2 S-protein sensor suggests an appropriate perspective in the point-of-care system, within 5.0 min, in nasal samples with satisfactory recovery.

1. Introduction

The new coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), generated by the SARS-CoV-2 virus, which was first seen in Wuhan, China, on December 1, 2019, was announced a pandemic by the World Health Organization (WHO) and caused the death of more than 2 million people. Today, although there is no known effective treatment for coronavirus, the late diagnosis of this viral disease causes involvement in some essential organs in the body, especially the lungs, and leads to severe vital problems. Therefore, the tools developed for the correct and early diagnosis of the disease are of great importance. Moreover, it is worth noting that even in the most exceptional care centers, there are still requirements for swift and accurate techniques to provide monitoring of COVID-19. In clinical laboratories, although the reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) is the most utilized technique for detecting the SARS-CoV-2 virus, it has some limitations [1-3]. Even though it contains minimally invasive procedures and ensures social distancing while sampling, its false-negative rate may range up to 37%. Its result may be yielded up to one day, and the deep sampling can be uncomfortable for some people [4]. Thus, the researchers have been directed to engineer alternative powerful tools for point-of-care applications. Considering that access to PCR tests is fraught with challenges in many parts of the world and its drawbacks mentioned above, it aims to develop an alternative method for detecting SARS-CoV2. In this regard, this study has developed reliable but less costly and faster diagnostic tests that determine antigens specific for SARS-CoV-2 infection. The Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved S-protein-detection diagnostic procedures are designed to accurately identify SARS-CoV-2 proteins produced by replicating viruses in respiratory secretions. They have been expanded as both laboratory-based tests and rapid diagnostic tests for near-patient usage.

Furthermore, thanks to their scalability, high sensitivity, selectivity, swift response time, relatively lower costs, and ease of application, the
electrochemical immunosensors have lately garnered attention to be utilized as a viable diagnostic tool for COVID-19. These superior characteristics make these sensors key tools for precise biomarker monitoring and early detection of various diseases. Formerly, the electrochemical techniques were already used to recognize specific antibodies of several deadly viruses. Nevertheless, one of the most critical issues with electroanalytical methods is surface interference induced by biomolecule adsorption, limiting their potential application. One viable solution to address this problem is the design of an effective electrochemical immunosensor modified with specific nanomaterials or molecules.

Intercommunication within the receptor-binding domain of spike protein and the peptidase domain of angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) facilitates SARS-CoV-2 entrance into the host cells. ACE2, a critical metalloproteinase, is employed to mediate COVID-19 viral infection [5]. ACE2 has been discovered to be a functional receptor for the S-protein of the SARS-CoV, leading to illness and transmission regardless of its peptidase activity. In addition, ACE2 is involved in acute lung injury and other organ damage. When ACE2 is placed on the apical surface of SARS-CoV-2 cells, it causes tenfold infection in the human body. As a result, therapies and research and development studies that target ACE2 have sparked a lot of attention to prevent viral infection and reduce organ damage. Even though recently there has been the phenomenon toward designing COVID-19 serological assays that recognize immunological or viral proteins in blood samples from infected individuals, alternative methods have been investigated due to the low sensitivity of these tests and the requirement of at least two antibodies. Indeed, the electroanalytical determination of SARS-CoV-2 antibodies has been considered to be a viable alternative. As far as the authors know, this is the first study to determine SARS-CoV-2 S-protein using an immunosensor in nasal samples with a novel SiO$_2$@UiO-66 nanocomposite.

Metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) are highly ordered crystalline materials created using coordination bonds to bind metal-containing units with organic linkers [6]. UiO-66 (Universitet i Oslo-66) is a Zirconium (IV) carboxylate MOF made up of inorganic Zr$_6$-octahedra bounded to twelve terephthalates ligands, which offers a high surface area and porosity, excellent thermal conductivity, enrichment capability, and chemical stability [7]. Due to their affordable costs and superior electrocatalytic activity, transition metals-Uio-based nanostructure have recently been extensively studied for modifying electrochemical sensors [8–12]. However, the issue of UiO-66’s low electrical conductivity should be addressed to expand the detection concentration range for the analyte and boost the sensor’s adsorption capacity. Thus, incorporating SiO$_2$ nanoparticles into an UiO-based framework to expedite electron transport and increase conductivity appears to be a viable strategy. Moreover, thanks to its large number of active sites, it can be expected to boost electrochemical activity.

In the light of all the aforesaid information, this work, it was aimed to develop a highly sensitive, relatively low-cost electroimmunosensor to determine SARS-CoV-2 S-protein, a biomarker of COVID-19. In this regard, a SiO$_2$@UiO-66 core-shell nanocomposite was synthesized via the rapid solvothermal method and utilized for modifying the screen-printed carbon electrode (SPE) surface by drop-casting its dispersion on the electrode surface for the sensitive and selective detection of SARS-CoV-2 S-protein. With this purpose, it was aimed to design an immunosensor based on a novel nanocomposite for label-free detection of SARS-CoV-2 S-protein and evaluation of its use for detecting the S-protein linked to the ACE2 surface which causes the virus to enter the organ. Hence, the electrode surface was modified using ACE2 to connect and interact with the SARS-CoV-2 S-protein. This MOF-based sensor was capable of directly analyzing the electrochemical interactions between the electrode-electrolyte interface of the sensor and viral protein. As a proof-of-concept application, a developed electrochemical immunosensor was employed as a sensing platform to determine SARS-CoV-2 S-protein in nasal samples. The results offered that the developed sensor could be utilized to detect COVID-19 in a quick, low-cost way with high sensitivity, suggesting it an effective alternative for point-of-care COVID-19 testing. The fabrication procedure and action mechanism of the immunosensor are shown in Scheme 1.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials and apparatus

The antigen of SARS-CoV-2 (S-protein) and Fc-tagged human ACE2 were acquired from Sino Biological (Beijing, China). Fc-tagged human ACE2 was diluted using phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). Influenza-A (Flu-A) antigen was obtained from BiosPacific (CA, USA), whereas HCoV antigen (HK41 N) was obtained from Medix Biochemica (Finland). Moreover, Terephthalic acid, Zirconium (IV) chloride (ZrCl$_4$), tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS), cysteamine, glutaraldehyde, bovine serum albumin (BSA), L-ascorbic acid (C$_6$H$_8$O$_6$, 99.0%), L-cysteine (C$_3$H$_7$NOS, 97.0%), L-arginine (C$_6$H$_14$N$_2$O$_2$, 98.0%), dopamine hydrochloride (C$_9$H$_7$ClNO$_2$, 99%), L-glucose (C$_6$H$_12$O$_6$, 99.5%), uric acid (C$_4$H$_4$N$_2$O$_5$, 99.0%), potassium hexacyanoferrate (III) (K$_3$Fe(CN)$_6$, 99.5%), and potassium ferrocyanide (III) (K$_4$Fe(CN)$_6$, 99.5%) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich Co. (Germany), Zanamivir, Tenofovir, Ribavirin, Favipiravir, Remdesivir, Vitamin D were purchased from GlaxoSmithKline Pharm. San, Santa Farma Pharm. San, Sanovel Pharm, Abdi Ibrahim Co, Novagentek Lab, Deva. Pharm. were purchased, respectively. All materials are analytical or HPLC grade and used directly without any purification.

Various spectroscopic and microscopic approaches were used to characterize the synthesized UiO-66-based composites. Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy spectra were collected on a PerkinElmer Spectrum. X-ray diffraction patterns of the samples were recorded by BRUKER AXS D8 ADVANCE (Waltham, MA, USA). Scanning electron microscopy images were obtained by Thermo Scientific Apreo S at an operating voltage of 3.00 kV (Netherlands). Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was conducted by TA instruments (SDT Q 600, USA). Various electrochemical characterization techniques, including cyclic voltammetry (CV), differential pulse voltammetry (DPV), and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS), were performed on an AUTO LAB system with PGSTAT128 N electrochemical workstation (Metrohm Inc, Switzerland) using a screen-printed carbon electrode (Dropsens Inc.) system to investigate the electrochemical performance of fabricated electrochemical immunosensor.

2.2. Synthesis of SiO$_2$@UiO-66 nanocomposite

The composite was synthesized according to the previous works reported by Yan et al. Mahmood et al., and El-Mehalawy with some modifications [13–15]. In brief, 327.5 mg of terephthalic acid (327.5 mg) and 512.5 mg of ZrCl$_4$ (512.5 mg) were dispersed in 25.0 mL N, N-Dimethylformamide (DMF) and placed into a Teflon-lined bomb. Following by sealing tightly, it was kept in a vacuum oven at 120 °C for 24 h. After cooling to room temperature, it was centrifuged, and the collected product was rinsed many times with the DMF and ethyl alcohol solution. Subsequently, the obtained UiO-66 nanoparticles were dried in a vacuum oven at 150 °C overnight. Afterward, a post-synthetic surface modification of UiO-66 was performed. For this purpose, 100.0 mg of UiO-66 with 1.0 mL of tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS) were mixed and kept in a vacuum oven at 80 °C for over 3 h. Finally, the as-obtained SiO$_2$@UiO-66 nanostructure was washed with methanol three times and dried at 50 °C in a vacuum oven overnight.

2.3. Fabrication of electrochemical immunosensor

To fabricate the electrochemical immunosensor, 10.0 μ l of an aqueous dispersion of SiO$_2$@UiO-66 nanocomposite, and 10.0 μ l of cysteamine (5.0 mM) was incubated consecutively on the surface of the
SPCE at room temperature. After incubation, the modified electrode surface was washed with deionized (DI) water several times to eliminate any unattached cysteamine on the surface. Afterward, 20.0 \mu L of a 2.0% glutaraldehyde solution was incubated on the cysteamine-SiO\(_2\)@UiO-66 surface, followed by washing with PBS. Antibody immobilization was performed using 10.0 \mu L of antibody solution (ACE2, 10.0 \mu g mL\(^{-1}\), diluted in PBS) on the electrode surface. The electrode was then washed using PBS to remove the unattached substance from the surface. Subsequently, the electrode surface was incubated using 10.0 \mu L of 1.0% bovine serum albumin prepared in PBS buffer to block the possible binding sites of glutaraldehyde on the electrode surface. After that, the immunosensor was washed with PBS before being used in S-protein detection experiments. After this step, the period of each material's contact with the electrode, as well as the concentration of the substances employed in the immunosensor fabrication, were investigated and optimized [16].

2.4. Optimization studies for increasing the electroanalytical activity of the immunosensor

The most important experimental conditions that can directly affect the immunosensing capabilities are the amount of modifier and antibody, incubation time, and pH. The optimized parameters for this work were tabulated in Table S1. The magnitude of response current decreases upon increasing the incubation time due to increased binding between spike protein and ACE2, indicating electron transfer resistance. A consistent response current was obtained after an incubation time of 5.0 min. This short response time may be related to the constant stirring (200 rpm) of the solution and the rapid binding between spike protein and ACE2. On the other hand, the large surface area of SiO\(_2\)@UiO-66 nanocomposite enhances the binding density of antibodies, and its high carrier mobility results in the capture of more target analytes, and also many cysteamine amino groups are enabled to gather considerable protein molecules. Therefore, this developed immunosensor significantly reduces the response time for S-protein identification. The impact of the ACE2 concentration captured on the electrode surface was investigated for the immunoassay. The current response enhanced distinctly as the increase of ACE2 level and arrived at a plateau after 10.0 \mu g mL\(^{-1}\) and after that it remained almost unchanged with the further concentration of antibody increase, indicating the saturation of ACE2 on SiO\(_2\)@UiO-66/SPE. Therefore, 10.0 \mu g mL\(^{-1}\) was chosen as the optimal ACE2 concentration for fabricating the immunosensor. Moreover, the effect of pH on immunosensor response was studied in the various pH between 4.2 and 7.2. The electrochemical response of the immunosensor increased by increasing the pH value from 4.2 to 7.2. With the further increase of pH values, the DPV response of the immunosensor decreased rapidly. Therefore, pH 7.2 was chosen for further experiments, which was closest to the physiological condition. Finally, the optimization of the nanocomposite concentration was performed to improve the sensitivity of the developed immunosensor toward S-protein determination. The effect of nanocomposite concentration on the electrochemical response was measured in the concentration range of 0.1–2.0 mg mL\(^{-1}\) and increasing the SiO\(_2\)@UiO-66 concentration from 0.1 to 1.0 mg mL\(^{-1}\) enhanced the current percentage substantially. It may be due to a greater surface area and more adsorption functional sites are available. Moreover, at higher concentrations, there is a significant decrease, which might be related to aggregating accessible binding sites and decreasing the total available active surface area. Therefore, 1.0 mg mL\(^{-1}\) SiO\(_2\)@UiO-66 suspension was chosen as an optimum concentration.

2.5. Binding of SARS-CoV-2 S-protein onto the electrochemical immunosensor

The binding of SARS-CoV-2 S-protein onto the immunosensor was attained by adding 50.0 \mu L of SARS-CoV-2 S-protein solution over the SPE. Concentrations of SARS-CoV-2 S-protein in the range of 100.0 fg mL\(^{-1}\) to 10.0 ng mL\(^{-1}\) were prepared by sequentially diluting SARS-CoV-2 S-protein in PBS buffer and nasal fluid samples. Approximately 50.0 \mu L of each solution was incubated for 30 min to ensure binding with the immunosensor. Afterward, the immunosensor was washed in PBS before being used in the EIS analysis in the presence of [Fe(CN)\(_6\)]\(^{3-}/4-\) as a redox probe.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Physicochemical characterisation of composite

The SiO\(_2\)@UiO-66 nanocomposites were characterized by several methods, including XRD, SEM, FTIR, EDX, and TGA. FT-IR spectra of the nanocomposite are depicted in Fig. S1. The characteristic peaks detected at 1058 and 810 cm\(^{-1}\) were ascribed to the stretching vibration of Si–O,
and the bending vibration of Si–O–H groups of the silica core, respectively [17,18]. The band at 1691 cm⁻¹ was attributed to DMF [19], while the intense doublet observed at 1595 and 1410 cm⁻¹ were associated with stretching modes of the carboxylate group [20]. In Zr–O peaks, at 746 and 630 cm⁻¹ are assigned to longitudinal and transverse modes, respectively. Zr–O’s peak position at 746 cm⁻¹ is similar to the aromatic ring’s C–H bending vibration [21,22].

Fig. S2 demonstrates the XRD pattern of the crystalline structure of SiO₂@UiO-66 nanocomposites. The XRD spectra of the SiO₂@UiO-66 exhibited a broad peak centered at ca.29 = 25°, showing an amorphous structure of SiO₂ [23]. Moreover, the peaks that appeared at ca.2θ = 7.1° and 8.55° were ascribed to the characteristic peaks of UiO-66. The excellent match between the XRD pattern of nanocomposite obtained in this work and the one published work in the literature confirmed that the production SiO₂@UiO-66 nanostructure was successfully achieved [24]. Furthermore, the weight-loss behavior of SiO₂@UiO-66 was investigated by TGA (Fig. S3). The TGA curve of nanocomposite was mainly divided into three steps: i) the evaporation of the surface water molecules at the temperature range of 80 and 120 °C, ii) the loss of solvent molecules trapped inside the micropores observed at a temperature ranging between 180 and 300 °C, and iii) a large amount of weight loss of SiO₂@UiO-66 detected at 480–570 °C, which was occurred during the decomposition of the organic linkers of UiO-66 (Fig. S3).

The surface morphology of the as-synthesized nanocomposite was investigated via SEM (Fig. 1A). The SEM images of the SiO₂@UiO-66 nanocomposite confirmed the formation of uniform dispersion with a regular spherical shape, with an average size of about 180 nm, revealing their nanoscale sizes. Furthermore, the SiO₂@UiO-66 nanocomposite that was discovered to have a rough surface texture with obvious nanoparticles anchored on the spherical surface. Additionally, to determine the elemental composition of the nanocomposite, SEM-EDX spectra were obtained. The EDX spectra of the nanocomposite exhibited that the synthesized SiO₂@UiO-66 comprised only Si, Zr, C, N, and O elements, and there were no other remarkable impurities were detected (Fig. 1B).

### 3.2. Evaluation of electrochemical activity of the fabricated immunosensors for the determination of SARS-CoV-2

Evaluation of the electrochemical performance of the as-fabricated immunosensor was conducted in 5.0 mM [Fe(CN)₆]₃⁻/₄⁻ containing 0.1 mM PBS with a pH of 7.2. The CV voltammograms of bare SPCE (BSPE), SiO₂@UiO-66/SPCE, and BSA/ACE2/SiO₂@UiO-66/SPCE electrodes were depicted in Fig. 2A. As can be observed from Fig. 2A, after the modification of SPCE by the SiO₂@UiO-66, the value of the redox current of [Fe(CN)₆]₃⁻/₄⁻ dramatically augmented as compared to the BSpCE. This was mainly attributed to the suitable electrochemical activity of SiO₂@UiO-66 accelerating the electron transfer on the electrode surface. It was realized that the redox current dropped drastically when ACE2 was immobilized on the modified electrode surface, and BSA was added as a blocker to avoid nonspecific binds. The immobilization of ACE2 reduced the peak current by confining the electron transfer between the electrode surface and the redox probe. In general, these decreases in current suggested that the immunocomplex formed successfully.

The EIS is an electrochemical analysis method that has widely been utilized to examine the electrochemical characteristics of the sensor surface [25]. Fig. 2B represented the Nyquist diagrams of developed immunosensor stepwise. The impedance of the Bspce (curve b) was found to be relatively larger than that of the SiO₂@UiO-66/SPCE (curve a) (Fig. 2B). The surface resistance was considerably increased by effectively immobilizing ACE2 on the electrode surface (curve c of Fig. 2B) since the immobilized ACE2 hindered the electron transfer. As expected, by forming an immunocomplex between cysteamine, glutaraldehyde, and ACE2, the surface resistance and magnitude of electron-transfer resistance (Rct) of the SiO₂@UiO-66/SPCE incremented from 4.1 to 9.9 kΩ. After adding BSA (curve d) and SARS-CoV-2 S-protein (curve e), a low increase in the Rct value was observed, indicating the successful immunocomplex formation between prepared surface and SARS-CoV-2 S-protein.

Chemical kinetics of SARS-CoV-2 S-protein on the electrode surface of SiO₂@UiO-66/SPCE was investigated by cyclic voltammetry technique in the presence of 5.0 mM [Fe(CN)₆]₃⁻/₄⁻ containing 0.1 mM PBS at a pH value of 7.2, and different scan rates ranging between 10.0 and 300.0 mV s⁻¹. The plot of redox peak current vs. square root of scan rate was depicted in Fig. 3. The diffusion-controlled behavior of the SiO₂@UiO-66/SPCE was demonstrated by its excellent linear behavior [26], indicating that this nanocomposite was qualified for SARS-CoV-2 S-protein detection.

### 3.3. Electrochemical determination of ACE2

The electrochemical response of the fabricated immunosensor towards various SARS-CoV-2 S-protein concentrations was shown in the Nyquist diagrams of Fig. 4A, and also the analytical plot obtained from Fig. 4A as illustrated in Fig. 4B. Within the wide concentration range of 100.0 fg mL⁻¹ to10.0 ng mL⁻¹, a linear behavior was attained according to the equation of ΔRct = 6250 logC-16607 (R² = 0.9917). The concentrations of S-protein in the real samples were tested using this detection range as a reference. The detection limit (LOD) was chosen to be 100.0 fg mL⁻¹ (detectable) for SARS-CoV-2 S-protein (concentrations

![Fig. 1. (A); SEM image and (B); EDX of SiO₂@UiO-66 nanocomposite.](image-url)
Fig. 2. (A) CV of BSPCE (a), SiO$_2$@UiO-66/SPCE (b), and BSA/ACE2/SiO$_2$@UiO-66/SPCE (c) and in a 0.1 mM PBS (pH 7.2) containing 5.0 mM [Fe(CN)$_6$]$_{3/4^-}$ at the scan rate 50.0 mV s$^{-1}$. (B) Nyquist plots obtained SiO$_2$@UiO-66/SPCE (a), BSPCE (b), ACE2/SiO$_2$@UiO-66/SPCE (c), BSA/ACE2/SiO$_2$@UiO-66/SPCE (d), and S-protein/BSA/ACE2/SiO$_2$@UiO-66/SPCE (e) in a 0.1 mM PBS (pH 7.2) containing 5.0 mM [Fe(CN)$_6$]$_{3/4^-}$.

Fig. 3. (A); The cyclic voltammograms of 5.0 mM [Fe(CN)$_6$]$_{3/4^-}$ containing 0.1 mM PBS (pH 7.2) at SiO$_2$@UiO-66/SPCE at various scan rates 10.0–300.0 mV s$^{-1}$, (B); The plot of $I_{pa}$ vs. $\nu^{1/2}$ relative to electrooxidation of 5.0 mM [Fe(CN)$_6$]$_{3/4^-}$ at SiO$_2$@UiO-66/SPCE.

Fig. 4. (A); Nyquist plots obtained SiO$_2$@UiO-66/SPCE at various concentrations of SARS-CoV-2 protein S-protein, (B); The plot of logarithm concentrations against $\Delta R_{ct}$. 

y = 6250x + 16607 $R^2 = 0.9917$
less than 100.0 fg mL \(^{-1}\) do not cause a change in the semicircle), showing excellent sensitivity of the immunosensor.

Furthermore, Table 1 demonstrates several comparative approaches between the as-fabricated electrode to determine SARS-CoV-2 S-protein and the other techniques. The superiority of our work over previous research can be examined in four sections: (I) the low detection limit with low immunological response time (5.0 min), (II) high selectivity in nasal samples by this immunosensor, (III) low toxicity of the nano-composite and reduced waste production, which indicates that it is environmentally friendly, (IV) no need for complicated preparation for developed sensor and real sample. Therefore, the as-fabricated electrode for the determination of SARS-CoV-2 S-protein could exhibit a potential for early COVID-19 detection.

### 3.4. Selectivity, reproducibility, and repeatability

The influence of the interfering agents on the electrochemical selectivity of the developed immunosensor was explored by a comparative analysis in the presence of interfering agents including Flu A (b), HCoV (c), L-glucose (d), dopamine (t), ascorbic acid (u), vitamin D (k), ribavirin (s), zanamivir (z), favipiravir (x), remdesiver (w), and tenofovir (m) by differential pulse voltammetry analysis. Fig. 5 illustrated the DPV voltammograms of the developed immunosensor in the presence of several interfering agents. Flu A (b), HCoV (c), L-glucose (d), dopamine (t), ascorbic acid (u), vitamin D (k), ribavirin (s), zanamivir (z) showed no or insignificant interference effect in the determination of SARS-CoV-2 S-protein. The calculated relative error values for SARS-CoV-2 S-protein were found to be less than 9%, which accords to the tolerance limit set in the selectivity measurements, suggesting that SiO\(_2@\)UiO-66/SPCE provides superior selectivity for SARS-CoV-2 S-protein sensing.

A critical point worth noting in the electrode’s selectivity was a notable reduction in current value, which could be due to the interaction between the favipiravir (x), remdesiver (w), and tenofovir with ACE2 receptors. This indicated the potent efficacy of these combinations for blocking the ACE2 receptor against SARS-CoV2. As a result, it may also be speculated that antiviral drugs like favipiravir, remdesiver, and tenofovir will lower coronavirus risk and successfully treat the disease. However, there is still need more scientific comprehensive works for the use of these drugs.

Moreover, the reproducibility and repeatability of the developed electrochemical immunosensors were investigated by EIS analysis (Fig. 6). Three identical electrodes modified under the same conditions were analyzed to assess the reproducibility of the immunosensor (Fig. 6A). The relative standard deviation (RSD) of the recorded current value was found as ca.4.85%, indicating good reproducibility of the immunosensor. Additionally, Fig. 6B represented the Nyquist diagrams of repeatability investigations, and the corresponding relative standard deviation value was calculated to be ca.6.64% for repeatability tests. Additionally, the reusability of developed was studied that showed the as-fabricated electrode is not disposable and can be used at least 10 times by only needs to be washed with hydrochloric acid (pH 2.0) and water to disrupt the binding between the ACE2 and S-protein after each use.

![Fig. 5. DPV of SiO\(_2@\)UiO-66/SPCE in the presence of various interfering agents, including [Fe(CN)\(_6\)]\(^{3–/4–}\) (a) Flu A (b), HCoV (c), L-glucose (d), dopamine (t), ascorbic acid (u), vitamin D (k), ribavirin (s), zanamivir (z), favipiravir (x), remdesiver (w), and tenofovir (m) in 10.0 mM [Fe(CN)\(_6\)]\(^{3–/4–}\). analysis with 0.1 M PBS buffer [30].

| Material | Linear range | LOD | Ref. |
|----------|--------------|-----|------|
| cobalt-functionalized TiO\(_2\) nanotubes | 1.0–1400 nmol L\(^{-1}\) | 0.7 nmol L\(^{-1}\) | [27] |
| Paper-based electrochemical | 1.0–1000.0 ng mL\(^{-1}\) | 1.0 ng mL\(^{-1}\) | [28] |
| Carbon black-modified screen-printed | 0.04–10 μg mL\(^{-1}\) | 19.0 ng mL\(^{-1}\) | [29] |
| SiO\(_2@\)UiO-66/SPCE | 100.0 fg mL\(^{-1}\) to 10.0 ng mL\(^{-1}\) | 100.0 fg mL\(^{-1}\) | Our work |

### 3.5. Detection of S-protein in real samples

The EIS approach was also employed to detect S-protein in nasal fluid samples to assess the reliability and accuracy of the label-free electrochemical immunosensor. The fabricated immunosensor determined the content of SARS-CoV-2 S-protein in the nasal sample according to the correlation between the current response and SARS-CoV-2 S-protein concentration ranging between 100.0 fg mL\(^{-1}\) to 10.0 ng mL\(^{-1}\), in the presence of 5.0 mM [Fe(CN)\(_6\)]\(^{3–/4–}\) (Fig. 7). The fabricated immunosensor measured the increase in impedance that corresponded to an increase in SARS-CoV-2 S-protein concentration. A linear relationship with an equation of \(y = 5346.2x + 14,250\) \((R^2 = 0.954)\) for the nasal sample was acquired. Moreover, the concentrations of 3000.0 fg mL\(^{-1}\), 5000 fg mL\(^{-1}\), and 4.0 ng mL\(^{-1}\) could be detected with satisfactory recovery values of 92.0, 91.6, and 93.2%, respectively, indicating the acceptable ability of the proposed sensor for the determination of SARS-CoV-2 S-protein in real samples. Furthermore, two samples in the presence and absence of antigen were examined in the nasal prepared solution and compared with the PCR test (COVID-19 Ag test, TÜRKLAB Co.). In the absence of antigen, no changes were observed using electrochemical immunosensor and PCR kit (only one line was observed), indicating an emphasis on the absence of the virus antigen in Covid tests. Finally, in the presence of antigen, PCR test and developed immunosensor showed the same results (positive), confirming the reliability of the developed immunosensor.

### 4. Conclusion

In this study, a novel, rapid, inexpensive, and ultrasensitive biosensor approach was studied for the determination of SARS-CoV-2 S-protein in nasal samples using an immunosensor based on SiO\(_2@\)UiO-66 nanocomposite. The developed immunosensor exhibited a low limit of detection of 100.0 fg mL\(^{-1}\) with minimal sample preparation steps, indicating the detection of SARS-CoV-2 S-protein was highly selective and reproducible with low response time (5.0 min total assay time). Moreover, the developed immunosensor could ultimately lead to more rapid clinical decision-making and corresponding determination in real samples.
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