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Abstract

Digital terrestrial television (DTTV) technology has been developed and used to broadcast the television program. A numerous applications have been developed for the additional feature used with DTTV. One of these features that can be used which is the localization system with DTTV broadcasting. The advantage of DVB-T2 broadcasting channel for localization technology which very wide coverage area that covered whether outdoor and indoor environment. In the present there are divers methodologies to locate the position of an object or user such as the Global Positioning System (GPS), Cellular Positioning System (CPS) and Wi-Fi Positioning System (WPS). Nowadays there are various application that used for monitoring and controlling such as a water level sensor system, a traffic control system, an intrusion monitoring system etc. that consists of the localization system. The received data can’t be useful without the accuracy location. The mentioned foregoing system still have a limitation in some environment such as the GPS signal is not accessible to some environments, the CPS signal is based on a cell phone tower and the WPS is based on Wi-Fi hotspot. Therefore, the accuracy of
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localization is decreased. In order to overcome the foregoing limitation of these three systems the complementary remedy the poor coverage is required. The objective of this research is to improve the DVB-T2 propagation channel by a Clean algorithm to eliminate the noise propagation channel for an accuracy of localization system. This technique is very useful for localization analysis in DTTV technology. The distinctive advantage of the DTTV localization is the wide coverage of signal whether an indoor or outdoor environment. Moreover, when the Clean algorithm has been used the noise in propagation channel has been eliminated lead to the accuracy of location receive.
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1 Introduction

A GPS mainly used to identify and navigate the route to destination [1]. However, there is a problem according to the environment, which are dense urban area or indoor environment. Lead to the performance degradation of the GPS signal and a precision ability of the receiver. Moreover, the localization system can be enhanced by the Signal of Opportunity which is a DTTV signal.

The positioning system using digital television in the terrestrial broadcasting signal has been considered to use as the positioning system, which is DVB-T2. Regarding the wide coverage of signal, whether an indoor or outdoor environment, by using the Fingerprinting positioning technique, that has been developed especially for urban and indoor areas. Fingerprinting requires only one base station and the several multipath of a signal to locate a user. The fingerprint technique can overcome many problems in conventional algorithms, which are Time of Arrival (TOA), Angle of Arrival (AOA), and Time Difference of Arrival (TDOA).

1.1 Organization of this Paper

This paper organized as follows: theory and analysis described in Section 2, measurement system described in Section 3, the result of measurement described in Section 4. Finally, the conclusion will be described is in Section 5.
1.2 Related Work

In the literature, the positioning methods in the DVB-T single frequency network have been studied [1] to use the DVB-T as the positioning system remedy the poor GPS signal. In [2], the positioning system using DVB-T2 was studied using the transmitter signature waveforms and there is a problem under multipath propagation. In [3], the line of sight component identification in the positioning system under multipath propagation environment was studied. There is a noise problem in channel propagation. Therefore, the Clean algorithm was used to reduce the noise in UWB [4].

2 Theory and Analysis

2.1 Propagation Model

In this era, to locate the positioning of devices or people, the localization system can be used. Almost handhelds communication has been equipped with a localization system. There are many localization methods used in the world and these methods have been characterized into two main aspects, which are Geometric and Fingerprinting Technique. The geometric method consists of TOA, TDOA, AOA, and RSS. Fingerprinting is the one technique that will be analysed in this study. The fingerprinting technique consists of two processes, which are offline and online process, respectively. The offline process required a created database that used a real measured data from a field measurement by define the location that we need and separate into the grid line. Then used the measurement tool to collect the RSS (received signal strength) and use the online process to identify the position of receiver.

Two localization methods used in communication system, which are direct positioning and two-step positioning. The first method, which is a direct positioning, will use the RSS to estimate the position of the receiver. Moreover, the second method is consists of two processes, which are an offline process or estimation position related parameter process and an online process or position estimation process as illustrated in Figures 1 and 2, respectively and will be explained in more detail the next section.

2.2 Fingerprinting Technique

According to the fingerprinting technique consists of two processes, which are offline (estimation position related parameter) and online (position estimation) process, respectively. Therefore, in the first process required to create
the database that collected the RSS that was carried out by field measurement. Lead to the fingerprinting method requires much time to conduct a field measurement. Although in the first process, which is database collection will spend much time, fingerprinting will provide a high accuracy. In the online process, there is an one algorithm used in this study, which is \( k \)-NN (\( k \)-Nearest Neighbour) that required the online received signal strength to compare to the database from the offline process and search for the \( k \) closest to a known location in a simple case \( k \) equal to 1.

RSS is the method used to estimate the receiver position by using the RSS of signal, which has been decreased by path loss attenuation via using the circle overlapping. A radius equal to the distance between transmitter and receiver that can be calculated by RSS. Therefore at least three circles need to be used to identify the receiver position.

The offline process needs the receiver to collect the RSS value and send back to the server to create the database that used to compare with the RSS from the online process. When the sever received the RSS value from the mobile receiver, there will be the data clustering process to separate the data cluster by using the data clustering algorithm. The data clustering algorithm, which is \( k \)-Mean clustering, will be used. Each cluster will be calculated at the centre of the cluster that used to measure the distance from each cluster to the unknown location so-called Euclidean distance as Equation (1) where \( c_j \) is the center value of the cluster and \( x_i \) is the RSS from the unknown location.

The first step in the fingerprinting localization technique, which is the important step, is to characterize the cluster. To pre-define the centre of the
cluster and calculate the Euclidean distance for each measured value by using the Equation (1). After the calculated Euclidean distance for each measured value done. The minimum Euclidean distance value can define the cluster as Equation (2) where $j$ is the cluster number.

$$d_{ij} = |x_i - c_j|$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

$$j = \arg \min d_{ij}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

After the clusters have been characterized, lead us to know which measured location and values belong to a member of which cluster. Therefore, we can re-calculate the centre of a cluster by calculating the average value of $X$ and $Y$ axis by Equation (3). Then the new centre of the cluster used to characterize the cluster again. Some members of each cluster will be re-located to another and the cluster centre will be re-calculated again as per the new cluster.

$$c_{j,\text{new}} = \frac{1}{|c_j|} \sum_{x \in c_j} x_i(x, y)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

The next step of fingerprinting localization is to measure the Euclidean distance between the RSS that carried out from the unknown location with the centre of each cluster. The minimum Euclidean distance is identified, which cluster that the RSS from the unknow location belongs to the cluster. Then after we know the cluster that the unknow location belongs to, we can proceed to estimate the position of receiver by using the $k$-NN algorithm.

The positioning estimation procedure is to calculate the position of the receiver by LS method (Least Square Method) with $k$-Nearest Neighbor Algorithm. To calculate with the LS method can be used the Equation (4). To find the Euclidean distance where $x_i$ is the measured RSS and $x$ is the
RSS in the database, that were carried out in the offline process.

\[ d_i = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{m} (x_i - x)} \]  

(4)

\[ (x_e, y_e) = \text{arg}_{x,y} \min d_i(x, y) \]  

(5)

The estimated location that was carried out by fingerprinting technique \((x_e, y_e)\) can be defined by the minimum Euclidean distance between the measured RSS and the RSS in the database. The estimated location can be calculated by the Equation (5). To achieve the high accuracy, there is an algorithm used to find the positioning location, which is k-Nearest Neighbor. The k-Nearest Neighbor is to increase the accuracy of position estimation by checking the \(k\) value that equal or close to each other. The \(k\) value can be defined as an odd number then find the smallest values for \(k\) number by using the Euclidean distance. The estimated position will be in x and y axis that can be calculated by the Equation (6).

\[ (x_e, y_e) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} (x_i - y_i) \]  

(6)

**Figure 5** Localization based DTTV propagation model [8].
2.3 Clean Algorithm

According to the Clean algorithm used the channels impulse response to be cleaned. In the process, the channel impulse response can be characterized by their impulse response in the time domain or by transfer function in the frequency domain. The deconvolution in time domain waveforms can be used to determine the impulse response

\[ y(t) = x(t) * h(t, d) \]  \hspace{1cm} (7)
\[ Y(f) = X(f)H(f, d) \]  \hspace{1cm} (8)
\[ y(t) = F^{-1}\{Y(f)\} \]  \hspace{1cm} (9)

where * denoted as convolution time. The received signal is in the frequency domain can be transformed to the time domain by using the inverse Fourier transform technique

\[ h(t, d) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_{i}x_{i}(\tau)\delta(\tau - \tau_i)x_{i}(\tau) \]  \hspace{1cm} (10)

where \( \delta \) is the Dirac delta function, \( N \) is number of MPCs, \( a_{i} \) is amplitudes of \( i \)-th MPC, \( \tau_i \) is relative delays of the \( i \)-th MPC and \( x_{i}(\tau) \) is the frequency dependent distortion on the \( i \)-th echo after an interaction with the environment. The received signal is in the frequency domain that can be transformed to the time domain by using the inverse Fourier transform technique

\[ R_{xy}(\tau) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(t)y(t - \tau) \]  \hspace{1cm} (11)
\[ S_{xy}(f) = H(f, d)S_{xx}(f) \]  \hspace{1cm} (12)

where \( R_{xy}(\tau) \) is the cross correlation between input and output, \( h(t) \) is the channel impulse response, \( H(f) \) is the channel frequency response, \( R_{xx}(\tau) \) is the autocorrelation of input, \( S_{yx}(f) \) is the cross correlation between input \( X(f) \) and output \( Y(f) \) in frequency domain and \( S_{xx}(f) \) is the autocorrelation
of an input signal in the frequency domain. The normalized correlation can be calculated by Equation (13) and the autocorrelation can be calculated by Equation (15)

\[ R_{xy}(\tau) = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(t) y(t + \tau) dt}{\sqrt{E_y E_x}} \] (13)

\[ |R_{yx}(\tau)|^2 = \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(t) y(t + \tau) dt \right|^2 = E_y E_x \] (14)

\[ R_{xx}(\tau) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(t) x(t + \tau) dt \] (15)

The channel impulse response can be estimated by cross correlation techniques by use of the relationship between the input \( x(t) \) and output \( y(t) \) signal. And for the autocorrelation functional as well. Let assume that a signal \( x(t) \) with known autocorrelation \( R_{xx}(\tau) \) is applied to the channel \( h(t) \), producing the output signal in the discrete time domain [5].

\[ y(t) = x(t) * h(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(t, \tau) x(t - \tau) \] (16)

The cross correlation between output and input signal is

\[ R_{yx}(\tau) = h(\tau) * R_{xx}(\tau) \] (17)

where \( h(t) \) is the channel impulse response and \( R_{xx}(\tau) \) is the autocorrelation of input signal. Hence the cross correlation between the input and output signal of the system is the convolution of the impulse response. The autocorrelation of the input signal can be viewed as the output of the channel \( h(t) \) [7, 8].

Clean algorithm is an iterative high-resolution subtractive deconvolution procedure, which is the capability of resolving dense of MPCs. They are usually unresolvable by a conventional inverse filtering. The advantage of Clean algorithm is that it models the estimated CIR (channel impulse
response), $h_{\text{clean}}(t)$ which can be easily used to characterize and model delay spread, path loss and propagation channel, etc. Clean algorithm for DVB-T2 localization CIR characterization is proposed in this paper. Clean algorithm has been used in localization in UWB [7]. However, this paper present clean algorithm for DVB-T2 localization application. The objective of the clean algorithm for the DVB-T2 localization has been improved. The clean algorithm can be used to reduce noise and decrease signal distortion. The clean algorithm process can be explained in the following detail.

| Clean Algorithm Technique [4] |
|-----------------------------|
| **STEP 01:** Initialize normalized cross correlation between $y(t)$ and $x(t)$ as $c_{cc}(\tau)$ |
| **STEP 02:** Initialize normalized autocorrelation of $x(t)$ as $c_{au}(\tau)$ |
| **STEP 03:** Define the dirty map as $d_0(\tau) = c_{cc}(\tau)$ |
| **STEP 04:** Define the clean map as $c_0(\tau) = 0$ |
| **STEP 05:** Compute $\hat{\tau}_n = \arg\max_{\tau} |c_{cc}(\tau)|$ and $a_k = c_{cc}(\hat{\tau}_n)$ |
| **STEP 06:** If all $a_k <$ threshold, go to step 10 |
| **STEP 07:** Clean the dirty map by $d_t = d_{t-1} - (a_k \times c_{au}(\tau - \hat{\tau}_n))$ |
| **STEP 08:** Update the cleaned map by $c_t = c_{t-1} - (a_k \times c_{au}(\tau - \hat{\tau}_n))$ |
| **STEP 09:** Go to step 5 |
| **STEP 10:** The CIR is then $c_t = h_{\text{clean}}(t)$ |

The algorithm above assumes independent from the generator output, measurement system, and the antenna using. Although the accuracy estimation, which is clean algorithm, is still based on the model approach. Therefore, the CIR output needs to be carefully interpreted.

The clean algorithm procedure consists of 3 parts, which are defined, compute, and clean process. The defined process is to define the variable, which are dirty map, cleaned map, cross correlation result, autocorrelation result. The second process is to find the peak and index from the cross correlation result. This result will be used to compute in clean process that will be described in the next paragraph. After the peak and index value of the cross correlation result, $a_k$, was carried out then used to compare with threshold, which is 10% of $a_k$. If the $a_k$ is less than the threshold value, the map is clean. If not, the map needs to be a loop to the clean process until the value lower than the threshold.

The first process which is define process as illustrated as Figure 8 will compute to carry out the cross correlation result of the transmitted signal with received signal $x(t)$ and $y(t)$, respectively. To carry out the autocorrelation of the transmitted signal $x(t)$ to find which time the signal will be the same with
the original signal. Then define the dirty map as cross correlation of result $x(t)$ and $y(t)$ then define the clean map as time equal to zero.

The second process of clean algorithm, which is fine max and argmax of cross correlation result between $x(t)$ and $y(t)$ illustrated as Figure 8. This process will carry out the peak value of cross correlation between $x(t)$ and $y(t)$. Then carry out the time that lead to peak amplitude of cross correlation between $x(t)$ and $y(t)$. The threshold defined by 10 percent of peak amplitude of cross correlation between $x(t)$ and $y(t)$.

The last process of clean algorithm, which is clean process illustrated as Figure 8 will compare the $a_k$ value to the threshold point. This process is important to this algorithm. If there is no function, the loop will not be stopped. If the $a_k$ value is lower than the threshold point means that the channel impulse response is clean. On the other hand, if the $a_k$ is still higher than the threshold point, the signal will run through the clean process again. The first step is to compute the compensate parameter that need to convolution to $a_k$ and then update the clean map.
2.4 Accuracy Analysis of Position Estimation

To analyze the estimated position of fingerprinting technique based on DTTV, we will analyze in terms of distance by defining the measured position as \((x_e, y_e)\), and the correct distance defined as \((x_c, y_c)\) the error distance is \(e_d\) can be calculated by Equation (18). The distance error can be used to analyze the accuracy of the position estimation of the fingerprinting technique. The high accuracy will occur when the distance error is low.

\[
e_d = \sqrt{(x_c - x_e)^2 + (y_c - y_e)^2}
\]  

(18)

3 Measurement System

The field test area is located in Bangkok, Thailand, the distance between transmitter and tested area for 3.55 km. Transmitter high 328 m. receiver high 6 m. The tested area has been divided into a grid for 0.6 × 0.6 m. for 100 measured points. The measurement tool set consists of the DVB-T2 analyser equipped with a dipole antenna and tripod used to mount the dipole antenna.

The DTTV operators consists of 5 operators as described in Table 1. Regarding to the schematic of the DTTV transmission system illustrated as Figure 9 there are 2 parts of the system which are transmitter and receiver part. There is no modification of the transmitter part. There is only one block added to the receiver part, which is clean algorithm process that will use the
Table 1 Parameters of DTTV measurements

| MUX | Channel | Transmitted Frequency (MHz) | Transmitted Power (kW) |
|-----|---------|-----------------------------|------------------------|
| 1   | 26      | 514                         | 4.00                   |
| 2   | 36      | 594                         | 4.30                   |
| 3   | 40      | 626                         | 4.00                   |
| 4   | 44      | 658                         | 3.91                   |
| 5   | 52      | 722                         | 4.30                   |

Received signal to clean the channel impulse response by using the channel impulse response estimation [5]. This study’s measurement scheme is based on the real measurement that has been conducted in Thailand [6] that used to compare between dirty map or estimated channel impulse response with the cleaned channel impulse response.

4 The Result and Discussion

The measured results from 100 positions have been illustrated in Figure 13. From the results, there is a fluctuation of the field strength. The RSS value is between $50 \, dB\mu V$ and $65 \, dB\mu V$, at the x axis represents the marked position from 1 to 100. The spectrum mask that can be read from DVB-T2 analyser illustrated in Figure 12 for each transmitter. To create the database at the offline process of the fingerprinting method, the RSS of the centre frequency has been recorded to the database.
From the RSS can be plotted in a contour map for each transmitter illustrated in Figures 14–18, which are NBT, ARMY1, MCOT, TPBS, and ARMY2, respectively. These RSS data can be characterized by $k$ means clustering algorithm into each cluster for each group. Lead to the accuracy of the estimated position is increased.

After the clustering process, the contour map consists of the cluster used to identify which cluster that the RSS from unknown location belongs to. Then the next step is to proceed to estimate the positioning. This study the fingerprinting technique has been used to locate the received position. Therefore, the estimated position after the $k$-NN process rather accuracy. However, the RSS from the unknown location must be located. In the fingerprinting area, that has been recorded in the offline process. The recorded RSS in the offline process are between $-40$ to $-60$ dBm for five transmitters. The algorithm that has been used in this Fingerprinting technique study which is the LS method and $k$-NN algorithm.

Figure 12 Measurement equipment (a) DVB-T2 analyzer (b) Example spectrum mask of MUX 1.

Figure 13 DTTV-RSS level each MUX1, MUX2, MUX3, MUX4 and MUX5.
Figure 14  Three dimension of DTTV-RSS of MUX1.

Figure 15  Three dimension of DTTV-RSS of MUX2.

Figure 16  Three dimension of DTTV-RSS of MUX3.
The comparison between RSS for five transmitters, as illustrated in Figure 19 the average RSS is 62.38 dBµV, 57.93 dBµV, 55.39 dBµV, 50.30 dBµV, and 59.20 dBµV for MUX 1, MUX 2, MUX 3, MUX 4, and MUX 5, respectively. From these data, the MUX 1 RSS is the highest value. However, the MUX 4 RSS is the lowest value. On the other hand, as the statistical aspect, the probability distribution function shows that the highest probability of RSS belongs to MUX 4, MUX 3, MUX 2, MUX 5, and MUX 1, respectively. The cumulative distribution function of 5 MUXs, as illustrated in Figure 20.

According to the estimated position used the k nearest neighbor algorithm that use the minimum $k$ value. The distance error illustrated in Table 2.
The distance error for $k = 1$ is 1.37 m, that is the maximum value from this study. By the way, the lowest error distance is $k = 9$, which is 1.08 m. The distance error decreased when the $k$ value increased. The distance error illustrated in Table 2 can be described that when the $k$ value increase leads to the distance decrease.

Table 4 illustrates the average distance error for $k$ values 1, 3, 5, 7, and 9. The averaged distance error will decrease when the $k$ value increase. The lowest distance error which is 1.20 m that carried out when $k = 9$ and the highest distance error which is 1.33 m when $k = 3$.

Regarding to this study’s received signal is based on real measurement, that has been carried out from the field measurement in Bangkok Thailand [6]. MATLAB software is used to add the clean algorithm and clean
Figure 21  Comparison of CDF between MUX 1-5.

Table 2  Distance error with clean algorithm (m)

| MUX | $k = 1$ | $k = 3$ | $k = 5$ | $k = 7$ | $k = 9$ |
|-----|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| MUX1| 1.37    | 1.18    | 1.18    | 1.10    | 1.07    |
| MUX2| 1.16    | 1.28    | 1.23    | 1.26    | 1.25    |
| MUX3| 1.14    | 1.49    | 1.40    | 1.33    | 1.23    |
| MUX4| 1.13    | 1.38    | 1.44    | 1.33    | 1.25    |
| MUX5| 1.46    | 1.34    | 1.25    | 1.22    | 1.22    |

Table 3  Distance error without clean algorithm (m)

| MUX | $k = 1$ | $k = 3$ | $k = 5$ | $k = 7$ | $k = 9$ |
|-----|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| MUX1| 1.65    | 1.47    | 1.48    | 1.35    | 1.30    |
| MUX2| 1.30    | 1.34    | 1.32    | 1.33    | 1.36    |
| MUX3| 1.44    | 1.40    | 1.40    | 1.39    | 1.35    |
| MUX4| 1.43    | 1.36    | 1.39    | 1.37    | 1.40    |
| MUX5| 1.39    | 1.36    | 1.38    | 1.38    | 1.42    |

Table 4  Average distance error with clean algorithm (m)

| $k = 1$ | $k = 3$ | $k = 5$ | $k = 7$ | $k = 9$ |
|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 1.31    | 1.33    | 1.30    | 1.25    | 1.20    |

Table 5  Average distance error without clean algorithm (m)

| $k = 1$ | $k = 3$ | $k = 5$ | $k = 7$ | $k = 9$ |
|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 1.44    | 1.39    | 1.40    | 1.36    | 1.36    |
signal. The averaged measurement results illustrated in Figure 13 was used to verify that this clean algorithm can be used to clean the signal. The first process of the Clean algorithm, which is the cross correlation process, defined by \( c_{cc}(\tau) \) between \( x(t) \) and received \( y(t) \), and the result is assumed to be channel impulse response [5] as shown in Figure 21. The received \( y(t) \) signal came with a delay time, and the cross correlation process carried out the delay time.

The cleaned channel impulse response that the delayed time has been removed. In order to get the cleaned received signal \( \hat{y}(t) \) it will be used to convolve with \( x(t) \) lead to the received signal has been cleaned so-call \( \hat{y}(t) \) or cleaned received signal. The autocorrelation of the input signal, \( x(t) \) has been defined as \( c_{au}(\tau) \) after that define the dirty and clean map as \( d_0(\tau) = c_{cc}(\tau) \) and \( c_0(\tau) = 0 \), respectively. When compute the peak of cross correlation of \( x(t) \) and received \( y(t) \), finished then compare all the value of \( a_k \) to the threshold level, which is 10 percent of \( a_k \) value. If the \( a_k \) value is still more than the threshold, the Clean algorithm step need to be repeated until \( a_k \) less than the threshold value.

As the compared result between before and after Clean algorithm, the delay has been removed. The increasing of amplitude is the advantage of Clean algorithm. In the Clean algorithm, the first process computes the cross correlation function between received and transmitted signals, which is normalized cross correlation. If the normal cross correlation computed, there will be a higher amplitude than this result. In order to make this calculation accuracy, the normalization needs to be used in this algorithm. Therefore, the amplitude increased from approximately 0.7 to 1.

To evaluate in term of distance error, the distance error between fingerprinting technique with Clean algorithm and without Clean algorithm described in Tables 2 and 3 respectively. The average distance error for each k value can be summarized in Tables 4 and 5 for the fingerprinting technique including and excluding Clean algorithm respectively. The averaged distance error for all Mux and all k value from fingerprinting technique without Clean algorithm is 1.39 m on the other hand with Clean algorithm the distance error is decreased to 1.28 m or 15.29 percent of decreasing error performance.

5 Conclusion

This study using the Signal of Opportunity, which is DTTV signal that covered 75.95% areas of Thailand excluding gap filler station. The results
shown that DTTV signal can be used to locate the position of object or user on that location. In this study focuses only on outdoor environment. Due to the DTTV signal can be reduced by the channel, which are an obstruction or noise, lead to an accuracy of distance was reduced as well. Clean algorithm has been studied and the results shown that, clean algorithm can be used to reduce the noise therefore the accuracy of location increased. These results based on the actual field measurement conducted in Bangkok, Thailand [6]. The measured raw data has been used to compute the Clean algorithm in MATLAB software by using the correlation technique used to estimate the channel impulse response and cleaned channel impulse response. The time delay was carried out by cross correlation between transmitted signal $x(t)$ and received signal $y(t)$. The cleaned channel impulse response can be used to convolve to the transmitted signal $x(t)$ to get the cleaned received signal $\hat{y}(t)$.

In the localization aspect, the fingerprinting technique has been used with K means clustering, that used to characterize the RSS. Apart from K means clustering there is a k nearest neighbour algorithm used to find the nearest position lead to the distance error was decreased from 1.39 m to 1.28 m without and with Clean algorithm respectively. The lowest distance error was carried out by k value for 9. The additional equipment is not required for the fingerprinting method with Clean algorithm in this study.

This study can be applied to the divers applications such as wireless sensor, a water level sensor system, a traffic control system, an intrusion monitoring system etc. that the obtained data location need to be sent to the controller or server therefore the performance of the foregoing application increased. Apart from this study there are the others environment such as a rural environment and indoor environment need to be studied in the future work.
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