Clinical Outcomes of Patients With Drug-Resistant Tuberculous Meningitis Treated With an Intensified Antituberculosis Regimen
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Background. Drug-resistant tuberculous meningitis (TBM) is difficult to diagnose and treat. Mortality is high and optimal treatment is unknown. We compared clinical outcomes of drug-resistant and -susceptible TBM treated with either standard or intensified antituberculosis treatment.

Methods. We analyzed the influence of Mycobacterium tuberculosis drug resistance on the outcomes of patients with TBM enrolled into a randomized controlled trial comparing a standard, 9-month antituberculosis regimen (containing rifampicin 10 mg/kg/day) with an intensified regimen with higher-dose rifampicin (15 mg/kg/day) and levofloxacin (20 mg/kg/day) for the first 8 weeks. The primary endpoint of the trial was 9-month survival. In this subgroup analysis, resistance categories were predefined as multidrug resistant (MDR), isoniazid resistant, rifampicin susceptible (INH-R), and susceptible to rifampicin and isoniazid (INH-S + RIF-S). Outcome by resistance categories and response to intensified treatment were compared and estimated by Cox regression.

Results. Of 817 randomized patients, 322 had a known drug resistance profile. INH-R was found in 86 (26.7%) patients, MDR in 15 (4.7%) patients, rifampicin monoresistance in 1 patient (0.3%), and INH-S + RIF-S in 220 (68.3%) patients. Multivariable regression showed that MDR (hazard ratio [HR], 5.91 [95% confidence interval {CI}, 3.00–11.6]), and rifampicin susceptibility (INH-R), and susceptible to rifampicin and isoniazid (INH-S + RIF-S). Outcome by resistance categories and response to intensified treatment were compared and estimated by Cox regression.

Conclusions. Early intensified treatment improved survival in patients with INH-R TBM. Targeted regimens for drug-resistant TBM should be further explored.
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the results suggested reduced mortality in isoniazid-resistant infection (HR, 0.45 [95% CI, 0.20–1.02], P = .06) [14]. Higher doses of rifampicin are associated with higher EBA [15] and may lead to improved intracranial drug exposure [16, 17]. Fluoroquinolones have antituberculosis activity comparable to that of isoniazid [18]. Levofloxacin penetration in the CSF is good, with levels of 70% of plasma levels [19]. In isoniazid-resistant TBM, the addition of these agents to the first-line regimen may substitute for the loss of isoniazid early bacterial killing. In our trial, intensified treatment was initiated at the onset of treatment regardless of the drug resistance profile of the mycobacteria. This subgroup analysis describes the effect of intensified treatment on outcome in drug-resistant TBM.

**METHODS**

**Study Design and Participants**

Patients were recruited from 2 tertiary referral hospitals in Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam. The full protocol and primary results are published elsewhere [13, 14]. In short, adults with a clinical diagnosis of TBM were eligible to enter. Exclusion criteria were a positive CSF Gram stain or India ink stain; known or suspected pregnancy; laboratory contraindications to antituberculosis therapy; MDR TBM diagnosed prior to enrollment; and lack of consent. Written informed consent to participate was obtained from all patients or their relatives. The trial was approved by the Oxford Tropical Research Ethics Committee and the institutional review boards of the Hospital for Tropical Diseases and Pham Ngoc Thach Hospital and the Ministry of Health, Vietnam.

**Treatment**

Patients were randomized to receive standard treatment or intensified treatment. Standard treatment consisted of isoniazid (5 mg/kg/day), rifampicin (10 mg/kg/day), pyrazinamide (25 mg/kg/day) and ethambutol (20 mg/kg/day) or streptomycin (20 mg/kg/day) for 3 months, followed by rifampicin and isoniazid at the same doses for 6 months. Those previously treated for tuberculosis received additional streptomycin or ethambutol for the first 3 months. Intensified treatment consisted of the standard regimen with an additional, weight-based dose of rifampicin (5 mg/kg/day) to achieve a total dose of 15 mg/kg/day, and levofloxacin (20 mg/kg/day) for the first 8 weeks of treatment. For patients infected with *M. tuberculosis* resistant to rifampicin and/or isoniazid on drug susceptibility testing (DST), treatment was adjusted at the discretion of the treating clinician, guided by the organism susceptibility. In general, isoniazid resistance was treated with a fluoroquinolone (levofloxacin 750 mg and, in 1 case, moxifloxacin 400 mg until treatment end); occasionally an aminoglycoside (kanamycin 20 mg/kg/day for 3 months) was used early in treatment. However, treatment adjustment was not uniform across centers, as the optimal regimens are not known. Consequently, a subset received additional treatment with at least a fluoroquinolone, regardless of randomized allocation, but treatment was not adjusted in all patients. Patients found to have rifampicin-resistant infection were treated with second-line drugs (a fluoroquinolone and an injectable agent, plus at least 3 other active drugs). All patients received adjunctive dexamethasone for the first 6–8 weeks as previously described [1]. HIV-infected patients received antiretroviral treatment according to Vietnamese guidelines [20].

**Assessment of Outcome**

The primary outcome was death during 9-month follow-up. Patients were monitored for drug toxicity, neurological deterioration, and other clinical parameters. A secondary outcome was time to first new neurological event or death. New neurological events were defined as occurrence of any of the following adverse events after enrollment: cerebellar symptoms; coma/consciousness deterioration or a fall in Glasgow Coma Scale score by ≥2 points for ≥2 days from the highest previously recorded; cranial nerve palsy; hemiplegia, paraplegia, tetraplegia, or monoplegia; neurological deterioration requiring ventilation; seizures; or cerebral herniation. Disability was also assessed as a secondary outcome based on the 2 simple questions and the modified Rankin score and classified as good outcome, intermediate outcome, severe disability, or death, as previously described [1, 3, 20].

**Investigations**

Patients underwent lumbar puncture at baseline. CSF samples were centrifuged at 4000 g for 15 minutes. Supernatant was removed to leave a 0.5-mL deposit, which was Ziehl-Neelsen stained, Xpert MTB/RIF (Cepheid) tested, and cultured by Bactec MGIT (Becton Dickinson) [21]. All positive cultures were tested for drug susceptibility to rifampicin, isoniazid, streptomycin, and ethambutol using a Bactec MGIT SIRE kit (Becton Dickinson) following manufacturer’s instructions, which contained the following critical concentrations: streptomycin 1.0 µg/mL, isoniazid 0.1 µg/mL, rifampicin 1.0 µg/mL, and ethambutol 5.0 µg/mL.

**Resistance Categories**

Resistance was categorized based on the results of MGIT DST performed against rifampicin, isoniazid, on baseline samples (defined as sample taken any time in between 7 days prior to, or 3 days after enrollment) of CSF, but also sputum, gastric fluid, or blood. The following categories were defined:

- **MDR**: resistance against both isoniazid and rifampicin.
- **Rifampicin resistant (RIF-R)**: resistance against rifampicin, susceptible to isoniazid.
- **Isoniazid resistant (INH-R)**: resistance against isoniazid, susceptible to rifampicin.
- **No isoniazid or rifampicin resistance (INH-S + RIF-S)**: no resistance to isoniazid or rifampicin.

In all categories, resistance to streptomycin and/or ethambutol may be present.
Statistical Analysis

Baseline characteristics for each of the resistance categories were summarized as median (interquartile range [IQR]) for continuous data and number (percentage) for categorical data. Clinical variables associated with specified resistance categories were assessed by univariate analysis. The Kruskal-Wallis test was used to compare continuous parameters and Fisher exact test for comparisons between categorical parameters. Kaplan-Meier estimates were used to display survival of patients in the defined resistance categories, by randomized treatment arm. Overall survival and the time to the first new neurological event or death were modeled using Cox regression with resistance categories as the main covariate and additional adjustment for the randomized treatment arm, TBM severity grade, and HIV status. The ordinal disability outcome was modeled using a proportional odds logistical regression model and the same covariates. The effect of intensified treatment on survival in the INH-R group was modeled with a Cox regression model stratified by TBM severity grade and HIV status in accordance with the primary trial publication [14]. To dissect the impact of later treatment alterations with fluoroquinolones in INH-R subjects, “fluoroquinolone use” was assigned as a time-dependent covariate (ie, the start day of fluoroquinolone use was defined as study day 1 (baseline) in subjects randomized to intensified treatment, or the study day corresponding to the start of fluoroquinolone administration if it was initiated in the control arm) in an additional Cox regression model, stratified by TBM severity grade and HIV status. This means that fluoroquinolone usage was modeled to only affect the hazard (ie, the rate) of death from the time-point when fluoroquinolone treatment was actually started onward.

All statistical analyses were performed using the statistical software R version 3.0.2.

RESULTS

Baseline Characteristics

A total of 817 patients were randomized from April 2011 to June 2014. Of those, 322 had DST results for baseline samples: 86 (26.7%) were classified as INH-R, 15 (4.7%) patients as MDR, 1 (0.3%) patient as RIF-R, and 220 (86.3%) patients as sensitive to both drugs. Only 176 (54.7%) patients had fully susceptible infection (Figure 1). Coinfection with HIV was found in 185 (57.5%) patients. Patients in the different resistance categories had a similar clinical presentation, although patients infected with INH-R or MDR were more likely to report a previous episode of TB infection ($P < .001$). In particular, British Medical Research Council (MRC) grade on presentation and chest radiographic findings were similar between groups, and CSF results were also broadly similar. Although not statistically significant,

---

**Figure 1.** Study flow diagram. *Nine patients who had isoniazid resistance detected and were randomized to intensified treatment received treatment adjustment with the addition of at least a fluoroquinolone. †Fifteen patients who had isoniazid resistance detected and were randomized to standard treatment received treatment adjustment with the addition of at least a fluoroquinolone. ‡Four patients who had rifampicin resistance detected and were randomized to intensified treatment received treatment adjustment with at least a fluoroquinolone. For 3 patients, this was part of a second-line treatment schedule and 1 patient received levofloxacin and amikacin added to first-line drugs. §Two patients who had rifampicin resistance detected and were randomized to standard treatment received treatment adjustment with at least a fluoroquinolone. One patient with rifampicin monoresistance received this as part of second-line therapy. The other patient had multidrug resistance and received only additional levofloxacin and no other second-line agents. Abbreviations: CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; EMB, ethambutol; FQN, fluoroquinolone; INH, isoniazid; mono, monoresistance; RIF, rifampicin; STR, streptomycin.
a higher proportion of patients with MDR were HIV infected (13/16 [81.3%]) (Table 1).

**Time to Diagnosis of Drug Resistance and Relevant Treatment Adjustment With Fluoroquinolones**

The median time to diagnosis of INH-R in the 86 INH-resistant patients was 70 days (IQR, 48–83 days). When drug resistance was detected prior to 56 days, the study intervention was stopped (without unblinding of the allocation), if treatment adjustments were made. Among the 41 subjects in the standard-of-care arm, 15 subsequently had their treatment adjusted, by adding (at least) a fluoroquinolone (levofloxacin, n = 14; moxifloxacin, n = 1) after a median time to initiation of 76 days (IQR, 61–92 days). In the intensified treatment arm (n = 45), 9 INH-R patients received additional fluoroquinolone treatment (levofloxacin), with a median time to treatment adjustment of 105 days (IQR, 66–113 days) (Figure 1). Characteristics for patients receiving adjustment of treatment and those who did not are given in Supplementary Table 1.

Characteristics, outcome, and drug management of patients with MDR TBM are given in Supplementary Table 2. One patient had RIF-R (detected by Xpert MTB/RIF) and received

---

**Table 1. Baseline Characteristics**

| Characteristic | No or Other Resistance (n = 220) | INH Resistant (n = 86) | RIF Resistant or MDR (n = 16) | All Patients (n = 322) | P Value* |
|----------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|---------|
| No. of patients | 220/322 (68.3)                   | 86/322 (26.7)        | 16/322 (5.0)                | 322/322 (100)        |         |
| Age, y, median (IQR) | 220 34 (29–41)                  | 86 34 (29–41)        | 16 34 (31–41)               | 322 34 (29–41)       | .84     |
| Male sex | 220 156 (70.9)                  | 86 61 (70.9)         | 16 14 (87.5)                | 322 231 (71.7)       | .42     |
| Weight, kg, median (IQR) | 220 47.7 (43.0–52.0)         | 86 48.0 (42.1–50.0)  | 16 50.0 (42.8–52.0)         | 322 48.0 (43.0–51.0) | .54     |
| Duration of illness, d, median (IQR) | 220 15.0 (10.0–30.0)   | 86 15.0 (10.0–29.5)  | 16 25.0 (10.3–30.0)         | 322 15.0 (10.0–30.0) | .68     |
| Previous episode of TB | 220 33 (15.0)                  | 86 25 (29.1)         | 16 8 (50.0)                 | 322 66 (20.5)        | <.001   |
| HIV infected | 220 125 (56.8)                  | 86 47 (54.7)         | 16 13 (81.3)                | 322 185 (57.5)       | .13     |
| Cranial nerve palsy | 220 52 (23.6)                  | 86 19 (22.1)         | 16 3 (18.8)                 | 322 77 (23.9)        | .51     |
| Paraplegia | 220 7 (3.2)                     | 86 9 (10.5)          | 16 0 (0)                    | 322 16 (5.0)         | .03     |
| Quadriplegia | 220 4 (1.8)                     | 86 1 (1.2)           | 16 0 (0)                    | 322 5 (1.6)          | 1.00    |
| GCS score, median (IQR) | 220 14 (11–15)                 | 86 15 (11–15)        | 16 15 (13–15)               | 322 14 (11–15)       | .40     |
| MRC gradeb | 220 86 16 | 322 .41 |
| 1 | 87 (39.5) | 29 (33.7) | 8 (50.0) |
| 2 | 83 (37.7) | 39 (45.3) | 7 (43.8) |
| 3 | 50 (22.7) | 18 (20.9) | 1 (6.2) |
| Serum sodium level, mmol/L, median (IQR) | 205 126.0 (122.0–131.0) | 81 125.0 (121.0–130.0) | 16 125.5 (121.0–128.0) | 302 126.0 (122.0–131.0) | .16     |
| Chest radiograph | 220 85 | 16   |
| Consistent with TB | 124 (56.4) | 45 (52.9) | 9 (56.3) | 178 (55.5) |
| Miliary TB | 51 (23.2) | 16 (18.8) | 3 (18.8) | 70 (21.8) |
| Abnormal other | 21 (9.6) | 12 (14.1) | 1 (6.3) | 34 (10.6) |
| Normal | 24 (10.9) | 12 (14.1) | 3 (18.8) | 39 (12.2) |

Abbreviations: CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; GCS, Glasgow Coma Scale; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; INH, isoniazid; IQR, interquartile range; MDR, multidrug resistant; MRC, British Medical Research Council; Rif, rifampicin; TB, tuberculosis; WBC, white blood cell.

*Summary statistics are frequency (%) for categorical and median (IQR) for continuous variables. P values are based on Fisher exact test (categorical data) or the Kruskal-Wallis test (continuous data).

bMRC denotes modified British Medical Research Council criteria. Grade 1 indicates a GCS score of 15 with no neurologic signs (baseline); grade 2, a score of 11–14 (or 15 with focal neurologic signs); and grade 3, a score of ≤10.
treatment with levofloxacin as part of second-line treatment, 8 days after TBM treatment initiation. Three patients with MDR infection (detected by Xpert MTB/RIF) were treated with additional levofloxacin as part of second-line treatment with a median time to treatment of 10 days (IQR, 6–23 days). Two patients with MDR TBM received additional levofloxacin, but not second-line treatment, 1 in each arm (Figure 1).

Outcome

Overall, 90 of 322 (28.0%) patients died during follow-up: 27 of 86 (31.4%) in the INH-R category, 11 of 16 (68.8%) in the MDR/RIF-R group, and 52 of 220 (23.6%) in the INH-S + RIF-S category (Figure 2A). Multivariable Cox regression identified HIV infection (HR, 2.60 [95% CI, 1.62–4.17], \( P < .001 \)), disease severity grade (HR, 1.07 [95% CI, 1.62–1.84] for grade 2 vs 1;
HR, 4.53 [95% CI, 2.71–7.59] for grade 3 vs 1; overall $P < .001$) and MDR infection (HR, 5.91 [95% CI, 3.00–11.64], $P < .001$) as independent predictors of death, but not intensified treatment (HR, 0.92 [95% CI, 0.60–1.40], $P = .70$) or INH-R (HR, 1.30 [95% CI, 0.81–2.07], $P = .28$), consistent with previous predictors [14].

Of 322 patients, 154 (47.8%) patients met the combined endpoint of new neurological event and death: 64 (19.9%) neurological events in survivors, 69 (21.4%) neurological events with subsequent death, and 21 (6.5%) deaths in patients without a prior recorded neurological event. Adjusted Cox regression showed a significant effect of isoniazid resistance on the occurrence of any new neurological event or death combined (HR, 1.58 [95% CI, 1.11–2.23], $P = .01$) (Figure 2B).

Mortality in HIV-infected patients was increased (Figure 2C and D). Although mortality appeared higher in INH-R compared to those without resistance in this subgroup, the comparison did not reach statistical significance (adjusted HR, 1.48 [95% CI, 0.86–2.57], $P = .16$) (Figure 2D).

There was a nonsignificant trend toward worse disability at 9 months in the INH-R category (cumulative OR, 1.44 [95% CI, 0.90–2.32], $P = .13$). Three of 5 survivors in the MDR/RIF-R group were left severely disabled (Table 2).

Seventy-eight INH-R isolates were available for molecular analysis: 70.5% had mutations in the KatG region (associated with high-level resistance), 19.2% had mutations in the inhA promoter region (associated with low-level resistance), and 12.8% had no mutation identified. Survival by resistance mutation is shown in Supplementary Figure 1. Resistance mutations were not associated with mortality by Cox regression (adjusted HR, 0.80 [95% CI, 0.31–2.06], $P = .66$).

### Intensified Treatment

The overall effect of randomized intensified treatment on survival in INH-R and MDR/RIF-R is shown in Figure 3. In INH-R TBM, when stratified by disease severity and HIV status, the HR of death of intensified treatment vs standard treatment was 0.45 (95% CI, 0.20–1.02, $P = .06$). For the combined outcome of new neurological event or death in INH-R patients, the stratified HR of intensified treatment was 0.60 (95% CI, 0.34–1.08, $P = .09$) (Figure 3C). Intensified treatment appeared to be predominantly beneficial in HIV-uninfected patients with isoniazid-resistant infection (Figure 3D and 3E). Of the HIV-uninfected patients, 6 of 17 (35.3%) died in the standard treatment arm, compared with 1 of 22 (4.6%) in the intensified treatment arm (HR, 0.11 [95% CI, 0.01–0.93], $P = .04$) (Figure 3D). In contrast, 10 of 24 (41.7%) of HIV-infected patients with isoniazid resistance died in the standard treatment arm, compared with 10 of 23 (43.5%) in the intensified treatment arm (HR, 0.91 [95% CI, 0.38–2.18], $P = .83$) (Figure 3E).

### Effect of Treatment Adjustment for INH-R

To extricate the effect of treatment adjustments on the over- or underestimation of the effect of early intensified treatment in INH-R TBM, we performed explorative analyses accounting for these late adjustments. Two different Cox regression models were created, including “fluoroquinolone use” as a time-dependent covariate, as initiation of treatment adjustment is dependent on survival up to drug resistance detection. Within the INH-R group, 60 patients received treatment with at least a fluoroquinolone either as part of intensified treatment (n = 45, early) or as targeted INH-R treatment (n = 15, late), while 26 patients did not receive any intensified or adjusted treatment throughout 9 months of treatment. Of those receiving intensified treatment or adjustment with a fluoroquinolone, 15 of 60 (25.0%) died. In the standard treatment group, with no treatment adjustment, 12 of 26 (46.1%) patients died. Cox regression showed a significant benefit of overall “fluoroquinolone use” on survival (HR, 0.38 [95% CI, 0.18–0.80], $P = .01$), stratified by disease severity grade and HIV infection. A significant benefit of later adjustment only could not be established in this population (HR, 0.59 [95% CI, 0.18–1.91], $P = .38$) (Table 3). Furthermore, the second model showed a more pronounced effect on the rate of death for immediate treatment (HR, 0.34, affecting survival from the time of randomization onward) than of subsequent initiation (HR, 0.59, affecting survival only from the time of fluoroquinolone treatment initiation onward), suggesting that earlier fluoroquinolone treatment is better.

### Table 2  Disability Status at 9 Months by Resistance Category

| Disability | No or Other Resistance (n = 220) | INH Resistant (n = 86) | RIF Resistant or MDR (n = 16) | Cumulative Odds Ratioa (95% CI) | $P$ Value |
|------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|
| Good       | 91 (41.4)                       | 31 (36.0)             | 2 (12.5)                    | INH: 1.44                     |           |
| Intermediate | 53 (24.1)                       | 17 (19.8)             | 0 (0)                       | (.90–2.32)                    | .13       |
| Severe     | 20 (9.1)                        | 11 (12.8)             | 3 (18.8)                    | RIF MDR: 10.31                |           |
| Death      | 52 (23.6)                       | 27 (31.4)             | 11 (68.8)                   | (.39–31.36)                   | <.001     |

Data are presented as No. (%) unless otherwise indicated.

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; INH, isoniazid; MDR, multidrug resistance; RIF, rifampicin monoresistance.

*aCumulative odds ratios for INH and RIF MDR (compared to no or other resistance) were obtained from a proportional odds logistical regression model with the resistance category as the main covariate and adjustment for human immunodeficiency virus status, tuberculous meningitis severity grade, and randomized treatment arm.
Figure 3. Time-to-event analysis by randomized treatment. A, Overall 9-month survival by randomized arm of 86 patients in the isoniazid-resistant, rifampicin-susceptible (INH-R) category. B, Overall 9-month survival by randomized treatment arm of 16 patients in the rifampicin multidrug resistance category. C, Time to new neurological event or death by randomized treatment of 86 patients in the INH-R category. D and E, Overall 9-month survival by randomized treatment of 39 human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)–uninfected (D) and 47 HIV-infected (E) patients in the INH-R category. Cox regression was stratified by tuberculous meningitis severity grade and HIV status. Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio.
Table 3. Effect of Intensified and Adjusted Treatment in Isoniazid-Resistant, Rifampicin-Susceptible Tuberculous Meningitis

| Treatment | Hazard Ratio (95% CI) | P Value |
|-----------|----------------------|---------|
| Fluoroquinolone use at any time point* | | |
| Intensified or adjusted treatment (Tdc) | 0.38 (0.18–0.80) | .01 |
| MRC grade | | |
| 1 | 1 (reference category) | |
| 2 | 1.60 (0.67–3.82) | .29 |
| 3 | 2.96 (1.11–7.89) | .03 |
| HIV infection | | |
| Uninfected | 1 (reference category) | |
| Infected | 2.93 (1.31–6.59) | .01 |
| Effect of later adjustments* | | |
| Late adjustment regimen (Tdc) | 0.59 (0.18–1.91) | .38 |
| Randomized arm | | |
| Standard treatment | 1 (reference category) | |
| Intensified treatment | 0.34 (0.15–0.76) | .01 |
| MRC grade | | |
| 1 | 1 (reference category) | |
| 2 | 1.57 (0.66–3.75) | .31 |
| 3 | 3.03 (1.14–8.08) | .03 |
| HIV infection | | |
| Uninfected | 1 (reference category) | |
| Infected | 2.82 (1.25–6.39) | .01 |

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; MRC, Medical Research Council; Tdc, time-dependent covariate.

* Cox regression including treatment enrichment with a fluoroquinolone as a time-dependent covariate, adjusted for tuberculous meningitis (TBM) severity grade (MRC grade) and HIV status.

** Cox regression including only late treatment adjustment as a time-dependent covariate, corrected for TBM severity grade and HIV status. Eighty-six patients in the isoniazid-resistant, rifampicin-susceptible category were included in the analysis.

Multidrug-Resistant TBM

In total, 15 patients had MDR and 1 patient had RIF-R TBM. The median time to death of MDR patients was 27 days (IQR, 7–45 days). In the MDR/RIF-R group combined, 4 patients received second-line treatment according to the Vietnamese TB program guidelines (including an injectable aminoglycoside, kanamycin 20 mg/kg/day; a fluoroquinolone, levofloxacin 750 mg/day; pyrazinamide 25 mg/kg/day; and at least 2 of ethionamide 15–20 g/kg/day, prothionamide 15–20 mg/kg/day, cycloserine 15–20 mg/kg/day, or para-aminosalicylic acid 8 g/day). Four patients receiving second-line treatment and 1 patient on standard treatment survived 9 months. All other patients died, with a median time to death of 27 days (IQR, 7–45 days). A summary of individual patient characteristics and treatment are given in Supplementary Table 2. The sample size was too small to detect potential efficacy of intensified treatment (HR, 0.63 [95% CI, 0.15–2.69], P = .54) (Figure 3B).

DISCUSSION

To date, no clinical trials have explored optimal treatment regimens for drug-resistant TBM. In our blinded randomized controlled trial of intensified antituberculosis treatment for TBM, intensified treatment led to reduction of mortality in INH-R infection. This finding is crucial, as isoniazid resistance is increasingly prevalent and associated with worse outcome. Improved treatment will impact mortality and morbidity of TBM. A considerable proportion of baseline isolates were INH-R (26.7%), reflecting the high incidence of isoniazid-resistant TB in Vietnam.

We performed a time-dependent Cox regression, to correct for relevant treatment adjustments made for drug-resistant infection. This showed that treatment benefit in INH-R was mainly generated by the early randomized intervention. We could not establish whether fluoroquinolones improved outcomes from INH-R TBM when added later in treatment based on DST, but our findings underscore the necessity for improved early drug resistance detection. The use of rapid molecular testing of pulmonary TB by Xpert MTB/RIF has facilitated early diagnosis of rifampicin resistance and second-line treatment initiation [22]. Xpert MTB/RIF has been recommended by the World Health Organization for direct use on CSF as the initial diagnostic test [21, 23]; however, isoniazid resistance cannot be detected by the current Xpert cartridge. The line-probe assays, such as Genotype MTBDR (Hain Life-Science, Nehren, Germany), can detect both rifampicin and isoniazid resistance. Although the bacillary load in CSF is likely too low for direct use, these assays may be used on bacteria cultured from CSF samples, which should reduce time to resistance detection.

Eleven of 16 (68.8%) of those with MDR/RIF-R TBM died by 9 months. Of the 5 survivors, 4 received early second-line treatment, based on the results of Xpert MTB/RIF resistance testing. Early diagnosis of MDR TBM allows the instigation of second-line regimens, but few data are available to guide regimen tailoring.

The limitations of this study include the potential for missing patients with drug-resistant disease, due to the poor sensitivity of CSF culture. Only 39.4% (322/817) had a known drug resistance profile; the impact of resistance on the remaining patients could not be assessed. Positive CSF culture may be linked to higher bacterial loads and worse outcomes, which may confound our findings. We had no minimum inhibitory concentrations or pharmacokinetic data for isoniazid. Higher-dose rifampicin and fluoroquinolone were given jointly as 1 intervention; hence, it is impossible to tease the effect of both drugs apart. Higher doses of rifampicin have been associated with improved outcome in an Indonesian study [24]. In addition, the impact of later (nonrandomized) fluoroquinolone treatment on outcome is hard to assess given the small numbers and the potential for differences in disease severity and postrandomization management to confound the analysis.

In conclusion, early intensified treatment increased survival in patients with INH-R TBM. Early detection of drug-resistant TBM is key to improving outcomes.
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