Lentiviral gene therapy prevents anti-human acid α-glucosidase antibody formation in murine Pompe disease
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Enzyme replacement therapy (ERT) is the current standard treatment for Pompe disease, a lysosomal storage disorder caused by deficiency of the lysosomal enzyme alpha-glucosidase (GAA). ERT has shown to be lifesaving in patients with classic infantile Pompe disease. However, a major drawback is the development of neutralizing antibodies against ERT. Hematopoietic stem and progenitor cell-mediated lentiviral gene therapy (HSPC-LVGT) provides a novel, potential lifelong therapy with a single intervention and may induce immune tolerance. Here, we investigated whether ERT can be safely applied as additional or alternative therapy following HSPC-LVGT in a murine model of Pompe disease. We found that lentiviral expression at subtherapeutic dose was sufficient to induce tolerance to the transgene product, as well as to subsequently administered ERT. Immune tolerance was established within 4–6 weeks after gene therapy. The mice tolerated ERT doses up to 100 mg/kg, allowing ERT to eliminate glycogen accumulation in cardiac and skeletal muscle and normalizing locomotor function. The presence of HSPC-derived cells expressing GAA in the thymus suggested the establishment of central immune tolerance. These findings demonstrate that lentiviral gene therapy in murine Pompe disease induced robust and long-term immune tolerance to GAA either expressed by a transgene or supplied as ERT.

INTRODUCTION

Pompe disease, also called glycogen storage disease type II (GSDII; Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man [OMIM]: 232300), is an autosomal-recessive lysosomal storage disorder caused by deficiency of the lysosomal enzyme acid alpha-glucosidase (GAA). GAA deficiency leads to glycogen accumulation that eventually results in tissue damage and loss of function.1,2 The most severe form of the disease is classic infantile Pompe disease. This form is characterized by a complete GAA enzyme deficiency. Patients present with a hypertrophic cardiomyopathy and general skeletal muscle weakness shortly after birth. Without treatment, classic infantile patients die within the first year of life of cardiorespiratory failure.3,4 Partial GAA deficiency with residual enzymatic activity leads to a milder and less progressive phenotype.5,6 The age of onset in these patients can vary from early childhood to late adulthood, and symptoms mainly include progressive proximal muscle weakness, while cardiac involvement is rare.7,8

Clinical trials for enzyme replacement therapy (ERT) with recombinant human GAA (rhGAA) derived from either rabbit milk9 or Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells10,11 have shown reversal of cardiomyopathy, improved skeletal muscle function, and enhanced survival in classic infantile patients.12-14 Improved skeletal muscle strength and function were also observed in late-onset patients after 3 years of treatment.15 These findings paved the way for approval of ERT for Pompe disease (Myozyme; Genzyme Corporation) in 2006.16-18

Despite the benefit of ERT, there are a number of drawbacks related to this treatment. RhGAA is inefficiently delivered to target tissues, for example, skeletal muscle tissue, leading to a heterogeneous response.
Hematopoietic stem and progenitor cell (HSPC)-mediated lentiviral gene therapy provides an attractive alternative treatment for lysosomal storage diseases. Clinical trials using this approach have been initiated for several disorders, including Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome, β-thalassemia major, X-linked adrenoleukodystrophy, and metachromatic leukodystrophy. Although previous trials using gamma-retroviral backbones resulted in several cases of insertional mutagenesis-induced leukemia, third-generation lentiviral backbones have proved to be safe in clinical trials with more than 7 years follow-up.

Previously, our laboratory generated a Gaa knockout (KO) (Gaa<sup>−/−</sup>) mouse model that has a complete GAA deficiency, resulting in tissue pathology and symptoms indicative of classic infantile Pompe disease. Using this mouse model, we demonstrated that HSPC-mediated lentiviral gene therapy with human GAA resulted in increased GAA enzyme activity in host tissues, reduced glycoegen accumulation, and improved cardiac and motor function. Although intravenous treatment with rhGAA resulted in a strong immune response in Gaa<sup>−/−</sup> mice, characterized by high anti-rhGAA antibody titers and anaphylactic shock, we and others previously reported that HSPC-mediated lentiviral gene therapy can prevent anti-GAA antibody formation for up to 5 injections of ERT. However, whether this prevention of antibody formation is also robust in the long term, whether it can induce tolerance to higher doses of ERT, and whether it allows additional treatment with ERT to be efficacious is still unknown. This information is highly relevant for future clinical development of gene therapy for Pompe disease, because treatment is essential for classic infantile patients to survive. It is therefore essential to be able to treat patients with ERT in cases in which HSPC-mediated lentiviral gene therapy has insufficient efficacy.

In this study, we investigated the parameters that are relevant for robust immune tolerance to ERT, reversal of disease symptoms and survival of mice. We show that HSPC-mediated lentiviral gene therapy, even when applied at subtherapeutic doses, was sufficient to induce robust immune tolerance to the transgene product and to complementary ERT. Immune tolerance commenced within 4–6 weeks after transplantation, when hematopoietic reconstitution was still incomplete, and it allowed ERT to reduce glycoegen accumulation in cardiac and skeletal muscle tissue. This indicates that partial immune reconstitution by gene-modified donor cells was already sufficient for effective immune tolerance induction. Moreover, gene-modified hematopoietic cells and GAA protein were present in the thymus, suggesting that central immune tolerance occurred. Together, this study indicates that HSPC-mediated lentiviral gene therapy induces immune tolerance to GAA and that subsequent treatment with ERT is safe and efficacious, offering a promising scenario for the clinical development of lentiviral gene therapy for the treatment of Pompe disease.

RESULTS

Effect of viral gene dose on immune tolerance induction

In previous studies, we and others pointed to the potential of lentiviral gene therapy to induce immune tolerance to rhGAA. However, the relationship between immune tolerance induction and the lentiviral dose remained unclear. To test this, immune tolerance to rhGAA as a result of gene therapy with a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 2, which is only partially efficient in reducing glycoegen accumulation in our Pompe mouse model (see below), was compared with gene therapy at an MOI of 20 (Figures 1A and 1B). Gene therapy at an MOI of 2 resulted in an average vector copy number (VCN) of 3 per genome and an average donor chimerism of 46% (Figures S1A and S1B), while gene therapy at an MOI of 20 with the same preconditioning dose led to an average VCN of 8 per genome and an average donor chimerism of 43% (Figures S1A and S1B).

LV-SF-GAAnco-treated mice were treated weekly with ERT at 20 mg/kg for 10 weeks starting 6 weeks after transplantation without problems. In contrast, LV-SF-GFP-treated mice developed an anaphylactic shock and died when more than two weekly rhGAA injections were administered. During these two weekly injections, LV-SF-GFP-treated mice developed high sustained antibody titers to rhGAA (Figure 1B, open squares). Gene therapy with the LV-SF-GAAnco vector at an MOI of 20, however, prevented anti-rhGAA antibody formation in response to ERT for the entire treatment period of 10 weeks (Figure 1B, open triangles; titers were <1:300, which is within the background levels of the assay, indicated in gray). Interestingly, prevention of anti-rhGAA antibody formation in response to ERT was also achieved at a low viral dose (MOI = 2) (Figure 1B, circles). No anti-rhGAA antibody formation was detected in LV-SF-GAAnco-treated mice (MOI = 2) in the absence of ERT. These observations indicate that HSPC-mediated lentiviral gene therapy with human GAA can already induce immune tolerance at a subtherapeutic lentiviral dose. Therefore, lentiviral gene therapy at an MOI of 2 was applied in all subsequent experiments.

Timing of immune tolerance induction

The time needed by lentiviral gene therapy to induce immune tolerance was investigated by comparing the interval between gene
therapy and the start of ERT of 1, 6, and 12 weeks (Figures 1C and 1E). After an interval of 12 weeks, which ensures full hematopoietic reconstitution after transplantation, ERT treatment did not elicit anti-body formation in LV-SF-GAAco-treated mice, whereas it caused significant antibody formation in LV-SF-GFP-treated mice (Figure 1D). This was similar to what was observed after a 6 week interval (Figure 1B). When the interval was shortened to 1 week, anti-rhGAA antibodies were formed in LV-SF-GAAco-treated mice (both at an MOI of 2) in response to ERT injections, but at low titers of up to 1:3,000 (Figures 1E and 1F) compared with previous observed titers of 1:30,000 (Figures 1B and 1D), which remained stable until the end of the experiment. Interestingly, low antibody titers in response to ERT administered 1 week after gene therapy were also observed in LV-SF-GFP-treated mice (Figure 1F), suggesting that ERT administration shortly after preconditioning followed by allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation prevents the induction of anti-rhGAA antibodies to some extent. An expanded ERT scheme with 14 injections was applied in both groups to exclude any delayed immune response, which did not occur. No significant difference in VCN in LV-SF-GAAco-treated mice was observed between any of

Figure 1. Timing of immune tolerance induction by HSPC-mediated lentiviral gene therapy

(A–F) Lentiviral gene therapy in 8-week-old Gaa⁻/⁻ mice was combined with or without ERT injections (weekly 20 mg/kg, intravenous [i.v.]; indicated by arrowheads) starting 6 weeks (A and B), 12 weeks (C and D), or 1 week (E and F) after gene therapy. In all cases, preconditioning consisted of total-body irradiation at 6 Gy. The number of ERT doses in LV-SF-GFP-treated mice was adjusted to avoid anaphylactic shock and death. (B, D, and F) Anti-rhGAA antibody titers determined by ELISA. Gray zones indicate the technical background of the ELISA assay. Arrowheads below x axis indicate the timing of ERT injections after lentiviral gene therapy. (G) Effect of immune tolerance induction on ERT-induced death in mice receiving lentiviral gene therapy using LV-SF-GAAco or LV-SF-GFP (1 week interval) at an MOI of 2 following the schemes shown in (A), (C), and (E). Gaa⁻/⁻ mice (indicated as KO) served as control and did not receive gene therapy. One mouse of 1 week interval was sacrificed after the 11th injection because of thymic proliferation. Data are represented as mean ± SEM; n = 6 per group, repeated-measures ANOVA with post hoc Tukey’s analysis. *p < 0.05. ns, not significant; GT, gene therapy; MOI, multiplicity of infection; ERT, enzyme replacement therapy; rhGAA, recombinant human acid α-glucosidase; IgG, immunoglobulin G; Δ + Δ, ERT injections; —, PBS injections.
the ERT intervals used (Figures S1A, S1C, S1E, and S1G), while chimerism levels of 64% obtained with a 12 week interval were significantly higher than those obtained using a 6 week (39%) or 1 week (36%) interval (Figures S1B, S1D, S1F, and S1H). This difference in chimerism did, however, not seem to affect immune tolerance induction against rhGAA. For LV-SF-GFP, no significant differences in VCN or chimerism were observed between any of the intervals used (Figures S1I and S1J). Together, these results indicate that lentiviral gene therapy starting at least 6 weeks prior to ERT can fully prevent formation of anti-rhGAA antibodies.

Survival in immune-tolerant mice
Antibody formation against rhGAA in Gaa−/− mice after more than three injections of ERT is known to result in anaphylactic shock and death of the mice.9,61,63 In line with this, we observed that the mice started to die after the third ERT injection, while none of the mice survived more than five injections (Figure 1G). In contrast, gene therapy with LV-SF-GAAcoco prevented anti-rhGAA antibody formation, and resulted in 100% survival of mice that received 10 weekly ERT injections starting 6 or 12 weeks after transplantation. In addition, mice that received LV-SF-GAAcoco and ERT at an interval of 1 week survived all 14 injections, indicating that anti-rhGAA immune mediated responses were sufficiently reduced to prevent anaphylactic shock-related death, despite the fact that low anti-rhGAA antibody titers were still present.

Effect of conditioning for lentiviral gene therapy on immune responses
To test the effect of the pre-transplantation conditioning regimen on immune tolerance induction, total-body irradiation (TBI) prior to the gene therapy was reduced from 6 to 2 Gy (Figure 2A). When ERT with rhGAA was commenced 4 weeks after transplantation, all LV-SF-GAAcoco-treated mice died of anaphylactic shock within the first hour after ERT administration (data not shown). When antibody levels were assessed within 2 weeks after LV-SF-GAAcoco gene therapy without any ERT administration, antibody titers up to 1:100,000 to the transgene product were observed (Figure 2B). After 4 weeks, titers in these mice, without providing additional ERT, plateaued at 1:1,000,000. This immune response to the transgene product was the result of failed engraftment due to insufficient preconditioning, as judged by very low VCNs in bone marrow (Figures 2C and 2D; compare VCN of 0.005 after 2 Gy with VCN of 3 at 6 Gy; Figures S1A and S1B). Anti-rhGAA antibody levels in LV-SF-GFP mice were similar in mice preconditioned with either 6 or 2 Gy (Figures 1D and 2B). This indicates that a preconditioning regimen of 2 Gy TBI is insufficient to allow successful engraftment in our mouse model of Pompe disease, and that sufficient preconditioning is essential for immune tolerance induction.

Immune tolerance against high doses of ERT
Recent studies suggest that a higher dose of ERT may improve outcome in classic infantile patients.66 To investigate the effect of high doses of ERT on immune tolerance induction by lentiviral gene therapy, mice were treated with a subtherapeutic lentiviral...
dose (MOI = 2) of LV-SF-GAAco followed by weekly injections of 100 mg/kg rhGAA instead of 20 mg/kg, starting 4 weeks after gene therapy (Figure 3A). Complete prevention of antibody formation to both the transgene product and a high dose of ERT was observed in the LV-SF-GAAco-treated mice, while the same ERT regimen applied to mice treated with LV-SF-GFP elicited antibody formation against rhGAA (Figure 3B). The VCN in LV-SF-GAAco-treated mice with ERT injections of 100 mg/kg was about 4 with 49% chimeraism (Figures S2A and S2B), similar to the values obtained in LV-SF-GAAco mice treated with ERT injections of 20 mg/kg. These results show that lentiviral gene therapy induces immune tolerance to ERT with rhGAA irrespective of the ERT dose. Weekly doses as high as 100 mg/kg rhGAA were tolerated.

**Therapeutic efficacy of ERT after immune tolerance induction**

As it cannot be excluded that in the future patients with an insufficient effect of gene therapy might need additional ERT, we tested whether ERT remains efficacious after treatment with gene therapy. Glycogen levels, enzyme activity, and rotarod performance were assessed in mice 3.5–4 months after treatment with gene therapy (at the age of 22–24 weeks) and with subsequent ERT treatment dosed at 20 or 100 mg/kg. Data are derived from the same experiments and mice as described in Figures 1A, 1B, 3A, and 3B, with both intervals leading to a full prevention of antibody formation (for treatment schedule, see Figures 1A and 3A, respectively). Subtherapeutic LV-SF-GAAco treatment (using an MOI of 2) alone resulted in an increased GAA enzymatic activity in the heart, quadriceps femoris, and diaphragm (Figures 4A and 4B) but only a partial reduction of glycogen levels (Figures 4C and 4D). In addition, we observed a partial restoration of motor performance tested on a rotarod (Figures 4E and 4F). Treatment with ERT after gene therapy provided additional efficacy. At a dose of 20 mg/kg, efficacy was improved, but glycogen levels were still not completely reduced to the levels in wild-type (WT) mice (Figures 4C and 4E). Increasing the dose to 100 mg/kg resulted in full correction of glycogen levels and rotarod performance (Figures 4D and 4F). Taken together, this demonstrates that immune tolerance induction by lentiviral gene therapy allowed ERT to be introduced and to be effective in normalizing glycogen levels in heart and skeletal muscles and in restoring skeletal muscle function.

**Central immune tolerance via the expression of GAA in the thymus**

Central immune tolerance to endogenous proteins is established in the thymus via negative selection of T cells that are able to interact with endogenous antigens to distinguish self from foreign antigens. Endogenous expression of proteins in the thymus is therefore a prerequisite for the establishment of immune tolerance for these proteins. To test whether HSPC-mediated lentiviral gene therapy could induce central immune tolerance, we tested whether the GAA transgene was expressed in the thymus. To this end, we assessed GAA protein expression and enzyme activity in the thymus of LV-SF-GAAco or LV-SF-GFP-treated mice, that did or did not receive additional ERT treatment (Figure 5A). Western blot analysis (Figures 5B and 5C) and enzyme activity assays (Figure 5D) indicated the presence of human GAA in the thymus of mice treated with LV-SF-GAAco.

No significant differences in either GAA protein level or GAA enzyme activity could be observed in mice treated with or without 10 additional ERT injections starting 6 weeks after gene therapy with LV-SF-GAAco (Figures 5C and 5D). Reducing the interval between gene therapy and ERT to 1 week, which was not sufficient to establish a complete immune tolerance, resulted in a 4-fold reduction of GAA levels and activity. No human GAA protein was detected in the thymus of LV-SF-GFP-treated mice after 2 injections of ERT, and also not in untreated KO mice or WT mice (Figures 5C and 5D). VCNs in the thymus and bone marrow (Figures 5E and 5F; both VCNs of 6 copies per genome) indicated successful engraftment of GAA-corrected cells into both tissues, suggesting that the observed GAA expression in the thymus resulted from the migration of GAA-corrected HSPCs from the bone marrow into the thymus. Together, these data suggest that the immune tolerance obtained via lentiviral gene therapy is a result of transgene expression in the thymus, inducing central tolerance against GAA.

**DISCUSSION**

Enzyme replacement therapy has improved outcomes among patients with lysosomal storage diseases, but antibodies to the infused recombinant protein that either neutralize its enzyme activity or inhibit tissue uptake, particularly in classic infantile CRIM-negative patients, can interfere with the clinical outcome. This has also been observed in mouse models of Pompe disease.67 Hence, immunomodulatory agents and gene therapy protocols have been investigated to improve outcomes.68,69 Allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation has...
been shown to be an effective therapy for rapid immune tolerance induction in inborn metabolic diseases, such as Hurler disease.\(^70\) In this study, we investigated the properties and mechanism of immune tolerance induction by lentiviral gene therapy in a mouse model of Pompe disease. HSPC-mediated lentiviral gene therapy, even at a low subtherapeutic dose (MOI = 2), was able to prevent antibody formation to the GAA transgene product and to additional treatment with rhGAA. Partial chimerism of gene-modified donor cells was sufficient for sustained immune tolerance induction and could be achieved during hematopoietic reconstitution. Subtherapeutic lentiviral gene therapy prevented ERT-induced anaphylactic death in mice. It also allowed long-term treatment with high-dose ERT without the induction of an immune response, resulting in complete glycogen clearance and normalization of muscle function. We showed that gene-modified cells were present in the thymus, where they contributed to GAA protein production, which may have played a pivotal role in central immune tolerance induction to GAA. These results emphasize that HSPC-mediated lentiviral gene therapy may not only serve as an alternative treatment option for Pompe disease, but also as an immunomodulatory approach to overcome the immunological complications associated with ERT. This would be especially important for classic infantile patients because of the risk for high anti-GAA antibody titers after ERT treatment, which is less prominent in the majority of late-onset patients.\(^39,40,71\)

In the clinical setting where classic infantile patients may be treated with gene therapy in the future, some patients might benefit from ERT before, during, or after HSPC-mediated lentiviral gene therapy, as has been investigated for Hurler syndrome patients.\(^70,72\) In case gene therapy might not provide the desired effect, additional ERT infusions may be required. As classic infantile Pompe patients rapidly deteriorate in the absence of effective treatment, it will be essential to establish the shortest possible time frame between lentiviral gene therapy and the potential initiation of ERT, while ensuring a robust immune tolerance induction at the same time. In our effort to determine the minimum time required to induce efficient immune tolerance after gene therapy, we showed that during hematopoietic reconstitution, which normally takes 8–12 weeks in mice,\(^64,65\) anti-rhGAA antibody formation could be completely prevented when ERT challenges were started 4–6 weeks after lentiviral gene therapy. Shortening the interval to just 1 week did not prevent antibody formation completely, but we found...
Lower antibody titers compared with mice treated with ERT without gene therapy. In addition, these lower antibody titers did not result in anaphylactic shock and the death of mice, in contrast to mice that were treated with ERT without gene therapy. Lower antibody titers to rhGAA were also observed when GFP-transduced mice were challenged with ERT starting 1 week after gene therapy. This might indicate that exposure to a foreign antigen during early hematopoietic reconstitution is likely to prevent antibody formation against ERT and the transgene product to some extent, which is in agreement with previous studies showing antigen-induced tolerance.\(^{73,74}\) In contrast to previous studies that used an interval of 12 weeks after HSPC transplantation to allow full hematopoietic reconstitution, here we show that immune tolerance can even be induced during hematopoietic immune reconstitution.\(^{62,75}\) As the disease symptoms in classic infantile Pompe patients rapidly progress, patients should be treated as soon as possible after diagnosis, and starting ERT injections prior to gene therapy might be preferable. However, it is important to investigate whether in that setup, no pre-existing CD8 positive effector T cells (CTLs) would be present which could hamper the efficiency of lentiviral gene therapy, as has been previously shown for mucopolysaccharidosis I.\(^{76}\) Future experiments should therefore focus on the conditions required to combine ERT with gene therapy when ERT is provided prior to gene therapy.

To assess the effect of full or partial preconditioning on engraftment and immune tolerance induction, we tested the effect of a less intensive TBI preconditioning protocol. Reduction from 6 to 2 Gy resulted in no engraftment and a rapid development of high antibody titers to the transgene product, which might be explained by insufficient...
immune suppression or insufficient myeloablation, resulting in a lack of space in the bone marrow to allow engraftment. This suggests that the preconditioning regimen is pivotal to ensure full engraftment and to induce immune tolerance. Future experiments are required to assess critical preconditioning requirements with more clinically relevant preconditioning regimens like busulfan- or treosulfan-based regimens with or without additional immunosuppression. These are immunosuppressive and myeloablative regimens that have a similar ablative nature compared with irradiation.77 Extensive experience in the clinic over the years as well as therapeutic drug monitoring resulted in improved outcome of these regimens and are at this moment the most commonly used agents in the clinic for current gene therapy trials in patients with inborn errors.75,78–80

Recent reports suggest that the recommended ERT dose currently used for classic infantile patients (20 mg/kg biweekly) is insufficient to correct disease symptoms and that increasing the dose to weekly infusions of 40 mg/kg provides a better prognosis.69,81,82 Weekly injections of 20 mg/kg in our Gaa−/− mouse model supported these findings and showed that 20 mg/kg did not fully reverse the phenotype, in line with previous studies.81 Therefore, the question was raised whether the increased doses of ERT, and thereby increased antigen exposure, would interfere with immune tolerance induced by gene therapy. We showed that subtherapeutic lentiviral gene therapy prevented antibody responses and death even when ERT was dosed at 100 mg/kg weekly. In addition, this dose was effective in normalizing glycogen levels and muscle performance on a rotarod. Even though we show that higher doses of ERT are tolerated after gene therapy and thereby show that the tolerance is not limited to administration of low concentrations of the recombinant enzyme, reducing the frequency or dose of ERT would also be clinically relevant. Therefore, future studies should determine whether lentiviral gene therapy, in case it turns out to be less than efficient, would have the additional benefit of allowing ERT treatment with reduced frequency or dose, without compromising its efficiency on glycogen clearance.

Central tolerance is induced by elimination of auto-reactive CD8+ T cells in the thymus that respond to self-antigens with high affinity.83–86 In line with previous studies,85,87 our data suggest that the mechanism by which lentiviral gene therapy results in immune tolerance is via the induction of central tolerance. Lentiviral gene therapy results in expression of the GAA transgene by HSPC-derived cells, which could also reside in the thymus. The recognition of the transgene product by the developing T cells as an endogenous protein would result in negative selection of the T cells and the induction of immune tolerance. Even though we do not show direct evidence of which cells are expressing GAA in the thymus, the lack of GAA detection in thymus from mice treated with GFP gene therapy in combination with ERT indicates that the GAA observed is originating from HSPC-derived cells. It is tempting to speculate that the hGAA is expressed by thymic epithelial cells, eliminating GAA-reactive T cells, which should be confirmed in future studies. Immune tolerance can also be induced by applying liver-specific expression through adeno-associated virus (AAV) vectors, which may also enhance the therapeutic efficacy of ERT.63,67,88–90 This type of gene therapy, however, acts in a different way and involves peripheral immune tolerance, a process mediated by regulatory T cells. It remains to be determined whether T regulatory cells also play a role in lentiviral-mediated gene therapy. The establishment of central immune tolerance via HSPC-mediated lentiviral gene therapy could provide long-term benefit for patients with Pompe disease who need ERT with rhGAA at some point in time in addition to gene therapy.

The importance of managing immune reactions to ERT in patients with classic infantile Pompe disease is highlighted by the variety of current strategies for immunomodulation involving treatment with rituximab, methotrexate, bortezomib, cyclophosphamide, mycophenolate, or sirolimus.44,47–49,91,92 This is especially important in classic infantile CRIM-negative patients, who do not express GAA protein and generally develop high antibody titers that may neutralize ERT. Current immunomodulation strategies have variable success in inducing immune tolerance to ERT. We showed here that an additional advantage of HSPC-mediated lentiviral gene therapy for Pompe disease is that it induces immune tolerance to rhGAA protein. This not only allows additional treatment with ERT in patients in whom gene therapy would have insufficient efficacy, but it also prevents antibody formation, likely allowing a better clinical response to treatment with either lentiviral gene therapy or ERT.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals and procedures

All animal procedures in this study conformed to Dutch law for the protection and use of animals for scientific procedures and were approved by the Animal Experiments Committee (DEC) in the Netherlands. Immunocompetent Gaa−/− knockout mice in an FVB/N background were used for all experiments.56 Gaa−/− mice are completely deficient of GAA enzymatic activity and were generated as previously described.56 Age-matched FVB/N mice were purchased from Charles River (Wilmington, MA) as wild-type controls. All mice were housed under specific pathogen-free (SPF) conditions in the Animal Experimental Center at the Erasmus MC (EDC) according to standard procedures, which included a 12 h light-dark cycle and ad libitum diet. Mice were deprived of food 15 h pre-sacrifice to deplete cytoplasmic glycogen.3 Subsequently, mice were anesthetized with ketamine (10%; Alfasan, Woerden, the Netherlands) and Sedator (1 mg/mL; Eurovet, Bladel, the Netherlands) and sacrificed by intracardiac perfusion with 50 mL PBS to remove blood. Relevant tissues, which included heart, diaphragm, quadriceps femoris, bone marrow, and thymus, were harvested, snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at −80°C until further analysis.

Lentiviral vector construction and production

Codon-optimized human GAA (GAAco; GenScript, Piscataway, NJ) was cloned into the previously described59 third-generation self-inactivating (SIN)85 lentiviral vector pRRL.PPT.SF.GFP.bPRE4*-SIN (LV-SF-GFP) by replacing GFP using AgeI and SbfI restriction sites, resulting in the lentiviral vector LV-SF-GAAco. Expression of the
transgene was driven by the spleen focus-forming virus (SFFV) promoter. Lentiviruses were produced in HEK293T cells by calcium phosphate cotransfection with plasmids pMDL-g/pRRE, pMD2-VSVG, and pRSV-Rev and were concentrated by ultracentrifugation (Beckman, SW32Ti rotor) at 20,000 rpm for 2 h at 4°C.\(^{(3,4)}\) Lentiviral titers were determined in transduced HeLa cells, by measuring vector copy numbers 5 days post-transduction using quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) with primers targeting the U3 and Psi sequences of HIV (listed in Table S1). A standard curve was prepared using HeLa cells carrying on average 1 copy of integrated lentiviral vector per genome. Final titers were determined as the average VCNs multiplied by the cell number (2 \( \times 10^3 \)) and fold dilution. Titers of approximately 10^8 transduction units/mL were routinely obtained for all viral vectors.

### Lentiviral hematopoietic stem cell transduction and transplantation procedures

Bone marrow cells were extracted from the tibias and femora of 8-week-old male \( \text{Gaa}^{−/−} \) donor mice and enriched through lineage depletion (Lin−) using the Mouse Hematopoietic Progenitor Cell Enrichment Set (BD Sciences, San Jose, CA). After enrichment, Lin− cells were seeded in 6-well plates at a density of 10^6 cells/mL in StemMACS HSPC expansion media (Miltenyi Biotec, Leiden, the Netherlands), supplemented with the following growth factors: murine thrombopoietin (100 ng/mL), murine stem cell factor (100 ng/mL), human FLT3 ligand (50 ng/mL), and human FMS-like tyrosine kinase 3 murine ligand (50 ng/mL).\(^{(5)}\) Cells were transduced overnight with concentrated LV-SF-GAAco- and LV-SF-GFP-treated mice were divided into two groups: one group of either 20 or 100 mg/kg, while the other group was subjected to sublethal total-body irradiation (Atomic Energy of Canada).

### Treatment of \( \text{Gaa}^{−/−} \) mice with recombinant human GAA

Depending on the experimental design, enzyme replacement therapy was applied following lentiviral gene therapy. LV-SF-GAAco- and LV-SF-GFP-treated mice were divided into two groups: one group received ERT (marked as “+ ERT” in figures) with recombinant human \( \alpha \)-D-glucosidase (rhGAA; Myozyme) intravenously at doses of either 20 or 100 mg/kg, while the other group was subjected to PBS alone (marked as “-ERT” in figures). Plasma was collected at baseline and every other week during the course of ERT to monitor antibody titers. Mice were sacrificed 1 week after the last injection to evaluate the therapeutic effect.

### Rotarod

Motor function was evaluated on a rotarod (Panlab, Harvard Apparatus, Holliston, MA), accelerating from 4 to 40 rpm in 5 min. Prior to the experiment, mice were kept in the testing room for at least 15 min to adjust to the environment. A practice session of 3 trial runs was conducted for the mice to adjust to the apparatus, followed by 3 definitive runs with 5 min intervals. The latency to falling off the rotarod was recorded and averaged for each mouse.

### Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay

Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISAs) of plasma were performed as described\(^{(6,7)}\) with minor adjustments. Blood samples were harvested in dipotassium ethylene diamine tetra acetic acid (EDTA)-coated tubes (K2E tubes, BD microtainer, #365975; Becton and Dickinson) and plasma was collected after centrifugation at 2,000 rpm for 10 min. Samples were diluted 30- to 1,000,000-fold in 3-fold dilution series and analyzed in duplicate. Plates were coated for 2 h using Myozyme (5 µg/mL) at room temperature (RT) and blocked using 1% BSA in PBS overnight at 4°C. After washing, samples diluted 30- to 1,000,000-fold in 3-fold dilution series were incubated in duplicate for 1 h at RT. HRP-goat anti-mouse IgG (1:20,000; #62-6520; Invitrogen) antibodies were incubated for 1 h at RT. After washing, the TMB-2 component microwell peroxidase substrate kit (#450-76-00; KPL) was incubated for 10 min, after which the reaction was stopped using 1 M orthophosphoric acid, and antibody titers were assessed using spectrophotometry at an absorbance of 450 nm. Control plasma samples were incubated on uncoated plates to determine background levels, which ranged between 1:30 and 1:300.\(^{(8)}\) In each experiment, rabbit anti-GAA serum (positive control) and plasma from age-matched untreated FVB/N mice (negative control) were included. Titers were defined as the highest dilution at which absorbance was at least twice as high as the averaged value of the negative control plus 10%.

### GAA enzymatic activity and glycogen content assays

Tissue aliquots were homogenized completely in 300 µL Milli-Q water (Merck, Millipore) supplemented with protease inhibitors (Complete Protease Inhibitor Cocktail; Roche) using 5 mm stainless steel beads (Qiagen) in the Tissuelyser II (Qiagen, Venlo, the Netherlands) for 5 min at 30 Hz. Debris was pelleted by centrifugation at 10,000 rpm for 5 min, and the supernatant was used for GAA enzymatic activity and glycogen content measurements. GAA enzymatic activity was determined in a fluorometric assay using 4-methylumbelliferyl-\( \alpha \)-D-glucoside (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) as substrate, as previously described.\(^{(9)}\) Glycogen content was quantified by the amount of glucose released from glycogen after conversion by amyloglucosidase and amylase (Roche Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland) as previously detailed.\(^{(10)}\) Both GAA enzymatic activity and glycogen content were normalized to total protein levels determined with the Pierce BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA).

### Western blot

Tissue lysates from thymi were obtained as described above. Immunoblotting against GAA was performed as previously described.\(^{(11)}\) In short, 10 µg denatured protein with Laemmli sample buffer (62.5 mM Tris-HCl [pH 6.8], 2% SDS, 25% glycerol, 0.01% bromophenol blue, 5% β-mercaptoethanol) was separated on a 4%–15% polyacrylamide gel (Criterion TGX; Bio-Rad) and transferred to a nitrocellulose blotting membrane (GE Healthcare). Membranes
were probed with rabbit anti-GAA (1:1,000; Abcam) and mouse anti-GAPDH (1:1,000; MAB374; Millipore). Proteins of interest were detected with IRDye 800CW and IRDye 680RD secondary antibodies (LI-COR Biosciences, Lincoln, NE) and were imaged using the Odyssey Infrared Imaging System (LI-COR Biosciences). GAA protein content was quantified using Fiji and normalized against GAPDH.

**Quantitative polymerase chain reaction of LV integrations**

Genomic DNA was extracted from bone marrow with the NucleoSpin Tissue kit (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany), and used at 100 ng per quantitative polymerase chain reaction. Reactions were performed using iTaq Universal SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). A standard curve for VCNs was prepared using serial dilutions of genomic DNA from transduced mouse 3T3 cells carrying 1 copy of integrated lentiviral vector per genome. Chimerism was determined using primers specific for the Sry locus on the mouse Y chromosome. Both VCN and chimerism were normalized by mouse Gapdh. Bone marrow DNA from untreated male Gaa<sup>−/−</sup> donor mice was used to establish a reference standard in Sry and Gapdh qPCRs. Male thymic DNA from an untreated male Gaa<sup>−/−</sup> donor mouse was used in serial dilutions as a reference for the determination of chimerism in thymus. Reactions were carried out on a CFX96 real-time PCR detection system (Bio-Rad). Primer sequences are shown in Table S1.

**Statistics**

Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS version 22 (IBM). Repeated-measures ANOVA was applied to detect differences of anti-body titer between treatment over the course of ERT, with Tukey test for individual comparisons among groups. The Mann-Whitney U test was used for comparison of two groups. A p value < .05 was considered statistically significant.

**SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**

Supplemental information can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omtm.2022.04.016.
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