Comparative assessment of the pulping potentials of soda and mea processes for the development of paper-pulp from sugarcane bagasse
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Abstract

Pulping trials were carried out using MEA and the soda process comparing their pulping potentials. The operating conditions such as the concentration of the cooking liquor (50%, 75%, 100%) for MEA and (10%, 15%, 20%) for NaOH, the maximum cooking temperature (150°C, 160°C, 170°C) and cooking time (60, 90, 120 minutes) for both processes were investigated systematically to establish optimal pulping conditions. The agro-biomass used in this investigation is Sugarcane Bagasse viewed as alternative raw material for pulp and paper production. The lignin content of Bagasse (19.5%) was low; indicating that Bagasse should be easier to pulp. The optimum cooking conditions (independent variables) for MEA pulping were 75% MEA concentration, 150°C cooking temperature and 90 minutes cooking time. Excel 2013 was used to analyze the effect of independent variables on yield of bagasse pulp and properties of furnished paper from MEA process in comparison with the Soda process which include tear index, tensile index, burst index and folding endurance with errors less than 15% in all cases. The Kappa number range (12.7-16.9), viscosity (270-870 ml/g) and brightness (62.1-93.2%) of bagasse pulp are appropriate for high-brightness printing and writing papers. The physical properties of furnished paper, tear index (13.4 mN.m²/g), tensile index (71N/m), Burst index (4.8 KN/g) and folding endurance (82) recommend the cellulosic pulp from Sugarcane Bagasse obtained from the MEA process for strengthening the virgin fiber in recycled papers and also for developing certain types of printing and packaging papers. Due to the awareness towards the negative impact of kraft mill’s effluent to the environment recently, soda pulping started to regain its popularity among the pulp mills especially non-wood based pulp mills. MEA process is more economically attractive given its high pulp yield, despite the significant increase in chemical demand for bleaching. MEA pulping is a good alternative to soda pulping furnishing high pulp yield with less cooking temperature, i.e. 150°C, thereby saving a considerable amount of energy with less odoriferous pollutants and pollution load associated with the soda process.
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1. Introduction

The pulp and paper industry is one of the most polluting industries in the world facing social pressure related to its environmental and sustaining efficiency leading to technological evolutions. The emissions from pulp and paper mills either by air or water gives a serious impact on environmental quality that eventually affects the health of both human and ecosystems. The effluents usually generated from various stages of pulping and bleaching process are often discharged into the downstream. These effluents contains compounds such as resin acids, unsaturated acids, chlorinated phenolic, chlorinated dioxins and furans which are toxic to human bodies and aquatic life. In addition, the major air pollutants include fine and coarse particulates, sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxides, volatile organic compounds (VOCs) from the black liquor oxidation process and reduced sulfur gases which emits unpleasant odor [1].

Kraft pulping is the most dominant pulping process in the world of pulp and paper production and the dominance of this process is anchored upon its versatility to pulp almost any type of wood successfully, but prominent are the emission of some fowl smelling malodorous pollutant. Kraft pulping is always associated with severe environment pollution especially the air pollution. The air pollution from the kraft pulping is a major concern with the emission of sulphur gases into the atmosphere with a rate of 0.3-3 kilograms per metric tonne (kg/t) of air-dried pulp (ADP) (World Bank Group, 1998). The four reduced sulphur gases are hydrogen sulfide, methyl mercaptan, dimethyl sulfide and dimethyl disulfide. Obnoxious gaseous odor are released even from the advanced kraft mills. All the gases have extreme low odor thresholds, which indicated that long term exposure to these gases, the balance of ecosystem and even human health will be seriously interfered [2,3].

Due to environmental concerns, the kraft (dominating pulping) process has to be modified or substituted by a less polluting process. The substituted process must give good pulp yield and quality, yet compatible with the existing kraft process equipment and operations. One of the pulping processes that may be a good alternative to the kraft process is the soda process (Holton, 1977). Soda pulping is the dominant process for annual plants, but it is faced with severe drawbacks. Strongly alkaline cooking liquors dissolve carbohydrates to a great extent with negative impact on pulp yield. Most annual plants have a high content of silica, which is dissolved to a high extent in the strongly alkali cooking liquor and thus creates serious problems in the evaporators, the recovery boilers and in the causticizing plant. These are the main reasons why soda pulping black liquor handling and recovery of chemicals is still problematic [5, 6 and 7].

Delignification by use of monoethanolamine (MEA) is an innovative, environmentally friendly chemical pulping process that works without the use of sulphur compounds, with a particular benefit of simple MEA recovery by distillation, allowing black liquor combustion to be dispensed and the dissolved lignin recovered. Apart from high selectivity of MEA which results in pulps with high yields, low kappa numbers and acceptable strength properties, the most important advantage of MEA pulping of annual plants is the direct MEA recovery by distillation. Monoethanolamine (MEA) has several advantages when compared to other popular methods such as kraft or sulfite pulping. In particular, the ability to obtain relatively high quality lignin adds value to a process stream otherwise considered as waste. Organic solvents are easily recovered by distillation, leading to less water pollution and elimination of the odor usually associated with Soda and kraft pulping. The objective of this research work was to develop an eco-friendly and energy saving biomaterials and processes that would lead to implementation of sustainable manufacturing strategies.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials

In this research work, Nigeria-grown Agro-based Fibers (Sugarcane Bagasse) generated during post-harvest treatment of Sugarcane at a plantation in Minna, Niger State, Nigeria were used.

2.2. Production of Pulp from MEA and Soda Processes: Working plan

Figure 1 depicts the working plan. The agro-biomass was converted into brown pulp of two (2) different delignification degrees from two (2) different processes, kappa no. ranging from 12.7 to 16.9 from MEA Process and kappa no. ranging from 13.5 to 22.4 from soda Process. The resulting pulps were fully bleached by the D1-Ep-D2 sequence and characterized for their beatability, drainability and physical-mechanical properties.
2.3. Outline of the Production Process

Figure 1 illustrates the process of making paper from Agro-biomass. The Agro-wastes (sugarcane bagasse) was converted into brown pulp at a delignification degree of 18.2 kappa from MEA Process. The resulting pulps were fully bleached by the D1-Ep-D2 sequence and characterized for their beatability, drainability and physical-mechanical properties.

![Figure 1](image)

**Figure 1** Steps in Agro-biomass Sugarcane (Bagasse) fractionation and conversion to paper

2.4. Experimental design for the pulping conditions

The pulping experimental design considered the following factors are described in table 1

**Table 1** Experimental Design for both Processes

| Experimental Conditions | Monoethanolamine (MEA) Process | Soda (NaOH) Process |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|
|                         | MEA Charge (%) | Maximum Temp. (°C) | Maximum Cooking Duration (minutes) | Soda Charge (%) | Maximum Temp. (°C) | Maximum Cooking Duration (minutes) |
| Factor 1                | 50              | 150                | 60                          | 10             | 150                | 60                          |
| Factor 2                | 75              | 160                | 90                          | 15             | 160                | 90                          |
| Factor 3                | 100             | 170                | 120                         | 20             | 170                | 120                         |

*The experimental design had 27 treatments (3×3×3) = 27 and 2 replicates*

**Table 2** Description of both pulping [Monoethanolamine (MEA) and the Soda (NaOH)] processes

**Monoethanolamine (MEA) pulping**

After a thorough cleaning process, 2kg of air-dry sample was loaded into a 15 L capacity batch reactor (digester) with eight (8) liter cooking liquor at liquor-sample ratio of 4:1. The digester is furnished with an outer electrical heating jacket. The lid of the digester was firmly bolted to prevent leakage, the digester was switched on and the time of rise of temperature and pressure was noted at intervals of five (5) minutes. The content of the digester was stirred while in operation by rotating the vessel via a motor connected through a rotary axle to a control unit, including measurement and control instruments of pressure and temperature, to facilitate attainment of the working temperature (5°C/min). The pulping temperatures gradually rose and allowed to be steady at varying maximum temperatures of 150, 160 and 170°C. The digester was switched off after varying maximum cooking periods of 60, 90
and 120 minutes from start of operation and allowed to cool below 60°C before the content were blown down. The digester's initial temperature, pressure and starting time were all noted, and the various changes in these parameters were also recorded. The resultant pulp was subjected to thorough washing with plenty of water. When it was observed that subsequent washing resulted in no further change in color, the pulp was transferred into the valley beater for processing into a more refined pulp.

### Soda pulping

After a thorough cleaning process, 2kg of air-dry sample was loaded into a 15 L capacity batch reactor (digester) with eight (8) liter cooking liquor at liquor-sample ratio of 4:1. The soda pulping was carried out using the batch reactor (digester). It’s working principle was carried out as applied in the MEA process, aiming at producing pulps based on the following parameters: ratio of liquor/biomass 4 to 1, maximum temperatures 150, 160 and 170°C and maximum cooking duration of 60, 90 and 120 minutes from start of operation. The pulping temperatures gradually rose and allowed to attain the various operational conditions stated above. The digester's initial temperature, pressure and starting time were all noted, and the various changes in these parameters were also recorded. The resultant pulp was subjected to thorough washing with plenty of water. When it was observed that subsequent washing resulted in no further change in color, the pulp was transferred into the valley beater for processing into a more refined pulp.

#### 2.5. Beating Process

The beating process was conducted using the valley beater at 1000 and 8000 beating revolutions. The beating revolution was chosen based on the previous study from 1000 to 24000 beating revolution. It showed that after 8000 to 24000 beating revolution, there was no significant increasing of paper mechanical properties with the increasing of the beating revolution. That is the justification of this study for using 1000 beating as the basic beating process and 8000 as the optimum beating for achieving highest paper properties.

#### 2.6. Totally Chlorine Free (TCF) Bleaching

The pulps resulting from the MEA and Soda pulping processes were fully bleached by a totally chlorine-free sequence performed in three stages to kappa number ranging from 12.7 to 16.9 and 13.5 to 22.4 respectively. Using a combination of 70% hydrogen peroxide and dilute sodium hydroxide at varying stoichiometric ratios in three (3) different stages at varying temperatures and reaction time. This sequence is represented in a shorthand form as D1-Ep-D2.

| Table 3 | Conditions of the Bleaching Process |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Stage | D1 | Ep | D2 |
| Chemical charge | Hydrogen Peroxide (H₂O₂) | Hydrogen Peroxide (H₂O₂) | Hydrogen Peroxide (H₂O₂) |
| - | NaOH (1.5%) | - |
| Pulp consistency | 10% | 10% | 10% |
| Temperature | 70 °C | - | 70 °C |
| Time | 15 minutes | 8 minutes | 12 minutes |

#### 2.7. Screening of Agro-biomass (Sugarcane Bagasse)

The pulp was passed through a screening process. Cooked sample chips were put through the screening machine. Only acceptable size of the sample fiber passed through the screen plate and the rejected or oversized fiber were left on the screen plate. This process is crucial in papermaking to ensure smooth and uniform paper texture. Pulp yield was determined in accordance with TAPPI standards T-257.
Table 4 Optimum Experimental Pulping Conditions of MEA & the Soda Process

| Parameters                              | Optimum Parameters (Best Conditions of Cooking Operation showing Values of Independent Variables) |
|-----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                         | MEA Pulping | Soda Pulping |
| Air dry weight of Bagasse (kg) (A.D)    | 2           | 2            |
| Ratio of liquor/biomass                 | 4:1         | 4:1          |
| Maximum temperature (degree Celsius)    | 150, 160, 170 | 150, 160, 170 |
| Time to reach maximum temperature (minutes) | 43, 55, 67    | 36, 47, 56   |
| Time at maximum temperature (minutes)   | 17, 35, 53, | 24, 43, 64   |
| Liquor charge (%)                       | 50, 75, 100 | 10, 15, 20   |
| Over-all cooking time (minutes)         | 60, 90, 120 | 60, 90, 120  |
| Blow-down temperature (degree Celsius)  | 60          | 60           |

3. Results and discussion

Table 5 Experimental Conditions used in the MEA Pulping applied to the agro-based fiber (Sugarcane Bagasse) investigated, showing values of cooking/Independent and dependent variables for pulp properties.

| Experiment | Independent Variables | Dependent Variables |
|------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
|            | Cooking Temp. (°C)    | Cooking Time (min)  |
|            |                       | MEA % (D.W.)        | TY (%) | SY (%) | Rejects (%) | Kappa No. | Viscosity ml/g |
| 1          | 150                   | 60                  | 50     | 39.51  | 36.24       | 3.27      | 54.64       | 470        |
| 2          | 150                   | 90                  | 75     | 49.09  | 47.23       | 1.86      | 36.28       | 650        |
| 3          | 150                   | 120                 | 100    | 52.99  | 48.46       | 4.53      | 20.01       | 400        |
| 4          | 160                   | 60                  | 50     | 41.31  | 37.98       | 3.33      | 69.30       | 518        |
| 5          | 160                   | 90                  | 75     | 53.60  | 51.02       | 2.58      | 23.45       | 630        |
| 6          | 160                   | 120                 | 100    | 55.56  | 52.00       | 3.56      | 19.87       | 480        |
| 7          | 170                   | 60                  | 50     | 39.08  | 38.65       | 0.33      | 76.09       | 490        |
| 8          | 170                   | 90                  | 75     | 54.27  | 51.48       | 3.24      | 24.02       | 500        |
| 9          | 170                   | 120                 | 100    | 55.12  | 50.97       | 4.15      | 27.50       | 460        |
| 10         | 150                   | 60                  | 50     | 49.49  | 48.30       | 1.19      | 37.07       | 450        |
| 11         | 150                   | 90                  | 75     | 49.55  | 47.52       | 2.03      | 24.99       | 630        |
| 12         | 150                   | 120                 | 100    | 53.25  | 52.70       | 0.55      | 48.11       | 425        |
| 13         | 160                   | 60                  | 50     | 53.33  | 51.14       | 1.19      | 17.98       | 450        |
| 14         | 160                   | 90                  | 75     | 47.53  | 45.74       | 1.79      | 24.03       | 580        |
| 15         | 160                   | 120                 | 100    | 52.01  | 50.55       | 1.46      | 47.04       | 480        |
| 16         | 170                   | 60                  | 50     | 53.04  | 50.68       | 2.36      | 17.77       | 465        |
| 17         | 170                   | 90                  | 75     | 51.61  | 41.89       | 9.72      | 23.49       | 560        |
| 18         | 170                   | 120                 | 100    | 51.14  | 49.01       | 2.13      | 45.97       | 530        |
| 19         | 150                   | 60                  | 50     | 44.40  | 43.89       | 0.51      | 39.67       | 609        |
| 20         | 150                   | 90                  | 75     | 54.73  | 53.27       | 1.46      | 16.20       | 435        |
| 21         | 150                   | 120                 | 100    | 49.59  | 42.16       | 7.43      | 43.99       | 535        |
| Experiment | Independent Variables | Dependent Variables |
|------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
|            | Cooking Temp. (degree Celsius) | Cooking Time (minutess) | NaOH (%) (D.W.) | TY (%) | SY (%) | Rejects (%) | Kappa No. | Viscosity mL/g |
| 1          | 150                   | 60                   | 10               | 44.51   | 44.24  | 0.27        | 19.64     | 360        |
| 2          | 150                   | 90                   | 15               | 49.09   | 43.23  | 5.86        | 36.28     | 530        |
| 3          | 150                   | 120                  | 20               | 53.99   | 37.46  | 16.53       | 70.01     | 310        |
| 4          | 160                   | 60                   | 10               | 44.31   | 33.98  | 10.33       | 19.30     | 428        |
| 5          | 160                   | 90                   | 15               | 49.60   | 47.90  | 1.70        | 17.50     | 440        |
| 6          | 160                   | 120                  | 20               | 42.56   | 32.00  | 10.56       | 54.87     | 390        |
| 7          | 170                   | 60                   | 10               | 42.08   | 41.65  | 0.43        | 20.09     | 400        |
| 8          | 170                   | 90                   | 15               | 46.72   | 44.48  | 2.24        | 24.02     | 410        |
| 9          | 170                   | 120                  | 20               | 52.12   | 40.97  | 11.15       | 34.50     | 370        |
| 10         | 150                   | 60                   | 20               | 42.49   | 37.30  | 5.19        | 27.07     | 460        |
| 11         | 150                   | 90                   | 10               | 47.55   | 45.52  | 2.03        | 24.99     | 540        |
| 12         | 150                   | 120                  | 15               | 42.25   | 36.70  | 5.55        | 48.11     | 335        |
| 13         | 160                   | 60                   | 20               | 44.33   | 44.14  | 0.19        | 17.98     | 340        |
| 14         | 160                   | 90                   | 10               | 47.53   | 45.74  | 1.79        | 24.03     | 450        |
| 15         | 160                   | 120                  | 15               | 51.01   | 46.55  | 4.46        | 27.04     | 390        |
| 16         | 170                   | 60                   | 20               | 55.04   | 44.68  | 10.36       | 17.77     | 375        |
| 17         | 170                   | 90                   | 10               | 47.61   | 45.89  | 1.72        | 23.49     | 470        |
| 18         | 170                   | 120                  | 15               | 51.14   | 44.01  | 7.13        | 25.97     | 450        |
| 19         | 150                   | 60                   | 15               | 44.40   | 43.89  | 0.51        | 17.67     | 519        |
| 20         | 150                   | 90                   | 20               | 47.32   | 45.59  | 1.73        | 22.09     | 345        |
| 21         | 150                   | 120                  | 10               | 41.59   | 32.16  | 9.43        | 43.99     | 445        |
| 22         | 160                   | 60                   | 15               | 45.01   | 44.49  | 0.52        | 17.40     | 708        |
| 23         | 160                   | 90                   | 20               | 46.73   | 45.27  | 1.46        | 21.02     | 380        |
| 24         | 160                   | 120                  | 10               | 42.22   | 38.21  | 14.01       | 49.08     | 435        |
| 25         | 170                   | 60                   | 15               | 44.56   | 44.12  | 0.44        | 17.58     | 330        |
| 26         | 170                   | 90                   | 20               | 47.44   | 45.94  | 1.50        | 23.16     | 420        |
| 27         | 170                   | 120                  | 10               | 42.45   | 33.74  | 8.71        | 46.06     | 415        |

TY= Total yield, SY= Screen yield

**Table 6** Experimental Conditions used in the Soda Pulping applied to the agro-based fiber (Sugarcane Bagasse) investigated, showing values of cooking/Independent and dependent variables for pulp properties
Table 7 Lack of Fit Tests analyzed for the Monoethanolamine Process

| Source   | Sum of Squares | DF  | Mean Square | F Value | Prob > F |
|----------|----------------|-----|-------------|---------|----------|
| Linear   | 55.86          | 11  | 5.08        | 0.39    | 0.9088   |
| 2FI      | 47.33          | 8   | 5.92        | 0.46    | 0.8443   |
| Quadratic| 38.77          | 5   | 7.75        | 0.60    | 0.7059   |
| Cubic    | 0.000          | 0   |             |         |          |
| Pure Error| 64.66        | 5   | 12.93       |         |          |

Table 8 Model Summary Statistics analyzed for the Monoethanolamine Process

| Source   | Std. Dev | R-Squared | Adjusted R-Squared | Predicted R-Squared | PRESS |
|----------|----------|-----------|--------------------|---------------------|-------|
| Linear   | 2.74     | 0.2360    | 0.0928             | -0.1768             | 185.64|
| 2FI      | 2.94     | 0.2901    | -0.0376            | -1.0153             | 317.92|
| Quadratic| 3.22     | 0.3444    | -0.2457            | -3.9424             | 779.67|

"Lack of Fit Tests": Want the selected model to have insignificant lack-of-fit. Values of "Prob > F" less than 0.0500 indicate model terms are significant. In this case there are no significant model terms. Values greater than 0.1000 indicate the model terms are not significant. If there are many insignificant model terms (not counting those required to support hierarchy), model reduction may improve the model. The "Lack of Fit F-value" of 0.51 implies the Lack of Fit is not significant relative to the pure error. Non-significant lack of fit is good because it is desired that the model should fit.

Table 9 ANOVA for Response Surface Mean Model analyzed for the Monoethanolamine Process [Partial sum of squares]

| Source   | Sum of Squares | DF  | Mean Square | F Value | Prob > F |
|----------|----------------|-----|-------------|---------|----------|
| Model    | 0.000          | 0   |             |         |          |
| Residual | 157.75         | 19  | 8.30        |         |          |
| Lack of Fit | 93.09    | 14  | 6.65        | 0.51    | 0.8498   |
| Pure Error| 64.66         | 5   | 12.93       |         |          |
| Cor Total| 157.75         | 19  |             |         |          |

Table 10 Sequential Model Sum of Squares analyzed for the Soda Process

| Source   | Sum of Squares | DF  | Mean Square | F Value | Prob > F |
|----------|----------------|-----|-------------|---------|----------|
| Mean     | 39295.00       | 1   | 39295.00    |         |          |
| Linear   | 5.61           | 3   | 1.87        | 0.37    | 0.7751   |
| 2FI      | 1.01           | 3   | 0.34        | 0.055   | 0.9822   |
| Quadratic| 34.95          | 3   | 11.65       | 2.61    | 0.1096   |
| Cubic    | 25.81          | 7   | 3.69        | 0.59    | 0.7481   |
| Residual | 18.88          | 3   | 6.29        |         |          |
| Total    | 39381.26       | 20  | 1969.06     |         |          |
Table 11 Model Summary Statistics analyzed for the Soda Process

| Source    | Std. Dev. | R-Squared | Adjusted R-Squared | Predicted R-Squared | PRESS   |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------------------|---------------------|---------|
| Linear    | 2.25      | 0.0650    | -0.1103            | -0.5391             | 132.77  |
| 2FI       | 2.48      | 0.0768    | -0.3493            | -2.1783             | 274.18  |
| Quadratic | 2.11      | 0.4819    | 0.0157             | -1.7050             | 233.35  |
| Cubic     | 2.51      | 0.7811    | -0.3863            | -19.3504            | 1755.53 |

Table 12 ANOVA for Response Surface Quadratic Model analyzed for the Soda Process [Partial sum of squares]

| Source | Sum of Squares | DF | Mean Square | F Value | Prob > F  |
|--------|----------------|----|-------------|---------|-----------|
| Model  | 41.57          | 9  | 4.62        | 1.03    | 0.4756    | not significant |
| A      | 0.41           | 1  | 0.41        | 0.092   | 0.7675    |               |
| B      | 6.97           | 1  | 6.97        | 1.56    | 0.2401    |               |
| C      | 1.68           | 1  | 1.68        | 0.38    | 0.5533    |               |
| A²     | 3.50           | 1  | 3.50        | 0.78    | 0.3971    |               |
| B²     | 29.99          | 1  | 29.99       | 6.71    | 0.0269    |               |
| C²     | 6.85           | 1  | 6.85        | 1.53    | 0.2441    |               |
| AB     | 0.21           | 1  | 0.21        | 0.046   | 0.8340    |               |
| AC     | 0.85           | 1  | 0.85        | 0.19    | 0.6720    |               |
| BC     | 0.53           | 1  | 0.53        | 0.12    | 0.7365    |               |
| Residual | 44.69        | 10 | 4.47        |         |           |               |
| Cor Total | 86.27        | 19 |             |         |           |               |

The "Model F-value" of 1.03 implies the model is not significant. Values of "Prob > F" less than 0.0500 indicate model terms are significant. In this case B² are significant model terms.

Values greater than 0.1000 indicate the model terms are not significant. If there are many insignificant model terms (not counting those required to support hierarchy), model reduction may improve your model.

Figure 2 Standard Error of Design for the Monoethanoleamine Process

Figure 3 Standard Error of Design for the Soda Process
Figure 4 Diagnostic of Normal Plot of Residuals for MEA-process

Figure 5 Diagnostic of Normal Plot of Residuals for Soda Process

Figure 6 Model Graph of Contour of Screened Yield for MEA Process

Figure 7 Model Graph of Contour of Screened Yield for Soda Process

Figure 8 Desirability Plot of Numerical Optimization for MEA Process

Figure 9 Desirability Plot of Numerical Optimization for Soda Process
**Figure 10** Overlay Plot of Graphical Optimization for MEA Process

**Figure 11** Overlay Plot of Graphical Optimization for Soda Process

**Figure 12** Plot of Multiple Interaction of Reactant for MEA Process

**Figure 13** Plot of Multiple Interaction of Reactant for Soda Process

**Figure 14** Unbleached EFB Pulp Samples and Pulp Sheets from MEA Process
In this study pulping of sugarcane bagasse with MEA was investigated in comparison to conventional soda pulping as reference. At optimum cooking conditions of temperature (150°C), cooking time (90 minutes) and with pure MEA
was observed that at a appreciable pH consumed less energy. MEA process furnished higher pulp yield at lower kappa number.

ulose content should reach preset thresholds, and the lignin content and kappa number; the raw material is inefficiently used (the low yield obtained is typically of chemical pulp), but the short time and small amount of raw material used result in immobilized capital savings and it has favorable effects also on reagent recovery from the wastewater.

The pulps obtained in the MEA/water and soda cooks were selected for bleaching. ECF bleaching (DEpD) was applied. A similar brightness of 80% ISO was reached at a kappa number below 20 for both pulps. When brightness is not crucial or no bleaching is required, but a stronger pulp is desired, one can focus on obtaining a high holocellulose content and as high as possible a yield. If such a content is to be only 10% lower than optimum value, using a high Monoethanolamine concentration (75%), a short cooking time and a low liquid/solid ratio requires employing a temperature of 150°C in order to obtain a yield, holocellulose content, lignin content, and kappa number of 50-55, 75-85, 10-18 and 15-20%, respectively. If one also desires to ensure reasonable strength in the pulp and highly efficient use of the raw material, then the yield and holocellulose content should reach preset thresholds, and the lignin content and kappa number should be as low as possible. Thus, the yield and holocellulose content can only be allowed to decrease by 15% at most from their optimum value, and a moderately high MEA concentration and low liquid/solid ratio should be used to obtain a yield, holocellulose content, lignin content and kappa number of 51-56, 79-84, 14-22, and 12-20%, respectively, with a temperature, time, and MEA concentration of 145-155°C, 60-90 minutes, and 70-80%, respectively. The pulp thus obtained is acceptably strong possessing high holocellulose content and is easily bleached (thanks to its low lignin content and kappa number); the raw material is inefficiently used (the low yield obtained is typical of chemical pulp), but the short time and small amount of raw material used result in immobilized capital savings and it has favorable effects also on reagent recovery from the wastewater.

4. Conclusion

Delignification by use of monoethanolamine (MEA) is an innovative, environmentally friendly chemical pulping process that works without the use of sulphur compounds, with a particular benefit of simple MEA recovery by distillation, allowing black liquor combustion to be dispensed and the dissolved lignin recovered. The MEA process is suitable for pulping both hardwood and softwood. Compared with conventional processes, the MEA process has the advantage of achieving a high degree of delignification through an increase in temperature during pulping without any appreciable damage to the cellulose. MEA pulping is a none polluting process compared with the soda process which is associated with heavy pollution load. MEA pulping was selected due to its environmental and economic advantages (such as little or no emission of fowl smelling malodorous gaseous pollutants – since no sulphur compounds are used, an increase in the pulp production – as lower cooking periods are needed to obtain the same pulp quality and quantity), making the implementation of this process possible in factories situated in the vicinity of agricultural areas, since it may be adapted for low productions and may be applied to any raw wood or non-wood material.

The search for local long fiber pulp material which can be easily propagated remains one of the most important key desireratum for the eventual resuscitation of the present mom bund paper industries of Nigeria. One important way of stemming the tide of imports is to find a good substitute to fine pulp for the use of the paper companies when they eventually start producing. Besides being an innovation and new entry into the pulp map, sugarcane bagasse can become the best gift of FIRO into the future pulp market of the tropical world. It can be concluded from these findings that comparable high strength paper can be produced from sugarcane bagasse pulp with more environmentally friendly pulping (MEA) process.
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