RESEARCH PAPER

Contrasting adaptive strategies to terminal drought-stress gradients in Mediterranean legumes: phenology, productivity, and water relations in wild and domesticated *Lupinus luteus* L.
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Abstract

Our understanding of within-species annual plant adaptation to rainfall gradients is fragmented. Broad-scale ecological applications of Grime's C-S-R triangle are often superficial, while detailed drought physiology tends to be narrow, focusing on elite cultivars. The former lack the detail to explain how plants respond, while the latter provide little context to investigate trade-offs among traits, to explain where/why these might be adaptive. Ecophysiology, combining the breadth of the former with the detail of the latter, can resolve this disconnect and is applied here to describe adaptive strategies in the Mediterranean legume *Lupinus luteus*. Wild and domesticated material from low- and high-rainfall environments was evaluated under contrasting terminal drought. These opposing environments have selected for contrasting, integrated, adaptive strategies. Long-season, high-rainfall habitats select for competitive (C) traits: delayed phenology, high above- and below-ground biomass, productivity, and fecundity, leading to high water-use and early stress onset. Terminal drought-prone environments select for the opposite: ruderal (R) traits that facilitate drought escape/avoidance but limit reproductive potential. Surprisingly, high-rainfall ecotypes generate lower critical leaf water potentials under water deficit, maintaining higher relative water content than the latter. Given that *L. luteus* evolved in sandy, low-water-holding capacity soils, this represents a bet-hedging response to intermittent self-imposed water-deficits associated with a strongly C-selected adaptive strategy that is therefore redundant in R-selected low-rainfall ecotypes. Domesticated *L. luteus* is even more R-selected, reflecting ongoing selection for early maturity. Introgression of appropriate C-selected adaptive traits from wild germplasm may widen the crop production range.
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Introduction

Ecological C-S-R frameworks such as Grime’s triangle (1977) enhance our understanding of plant adaptation by evaluating traits in the context of environmental selection pressure. Widely used to describe species composition and adaptive traits between contrasting environments, they can also provide insight into intra-specific variation, and have been applied in Mediterranean annuals along aridity gradients (Table 1). According to Grime (1977), as rainfall decreases, or becomes more variable (i.e. habitats become more stressful, or likely to be disturbed by terminal drought), reproductive strategies become increasingly conservative (ruderal, R), advancing reproduction and senescence at the expense of biomass production capacity. It is suggested that this limits above- and below-ground resource acquisition (e.g. light, nutrients,
Table 1. Intra-specific trait variation in Mediterranean annuals sampled across rainfall gradients

| Trait                  | Low rain | High rain | Species                                      | Germplasm origin               | Reference                     |
|------------------------|----------|-----------|----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Phenology              | Early    | Later     | Various (n=29)                               | Syria                           | Ehrman and Cocks, 1996       |
|                        | Early    | Later     | Biscutella didyma                            | Israel                          | Petrů et al., 2006            |
|                        | Early    | Later     | Triticum dicoccoides                         | Israel                          | Kato et al., 1998             |
|                        | Early    | Later     | Hordeum spontaneum, Avena sterilis           | Israel                          | Volis, 2007                   |
|                        | Early    | Later     | Eucaria hispanica, Brachypodium distachyon, Bromus fasciculatus | Israel                        | Aronson et al., 1992         |
|                        | Early    | Later     | Cicer judaicum                               | Israel                          | Ben-David et al., 2010        |
|                        | Early    | Later     | Cicer arietinum                              | Mediterranean basin, South Asia, Australia | Berger et al., 2004, 2011     |
|                        | Early    | Later     | Triticum glomeratum                          | Mediterranean basin             | Bennett, 1997                 |
|                        | Early    | Later     | Triticum subteraneum                         | Italy, Mediterranean SW         | Nicholas et al., 2009; Piano et al., 1996 |
| Biomass                | Low      | High⁣     | T. subteraneum                               | Mediterranean SW                | Nichols et al., 2009          |
|                        | Low      | High      | T. glomeratum                                | Mediterranean SW                | Australia                      |
|                        | Low      | High⁡     | C. judaicum                                  | Israel                          | Ben-David et al., 2010        |
|                        | Low      | High      | E. hispanica, B. distachyon, B. fasciculatus | Israel                          | Aronson et al., 1992          |
|                        | Low      | High      | M. polymorpha                                | Italy                           | Graziano et al., 2010         |
|                        | Low      | High      | Medicago truncatula, M. laciniata           | Tunisia                         | Yousfi et al., 2010           |
|                        | Equal    | Equal     | L. luteus                                    | Mediterranean basin             | Berger et al., 2008a          |
| Reproductive index     | High     | Low       | E. hispanica, B. distachyon, B. fasciculatus | Israel                          | Aronson et al., 1993          |
|                        | High     | Low       | C. arietinum                                  | Med. basin, South Asia, Australia | Berger et al., 2004           |
|                        | High     | Low       | Biscutella didyma                            | Israel                          | Petrů et al., 2006            |
|                        | High     | Low       | L. luteus                                    | Mediterranean basin             | Berger et al., 2008a          |
|                        | High     | Low       | T. subteraneum                               | Mediterranean SW                | Nicholas et al., 2009         |
| Root–shoot ratio       | High     | Low       | M. truncatula                                | Tunisia                         | Yousfi et al., 2010           |
|                        | Equal    | Equal     | M. laciniata                                 | Tunisia                         | Yousfi et al., 2010           |
|                        | High     | Low       | E. hispanica, B. fasciculatus                | Israel                          | Aronson et al., 1992          |
| Leaf area              | Low      | High      | Triticum dicoccoides                         | Israel                          | Nevo et al., 1991             |
|                        | Low      | High      | Lupinus albus (n=3)                          | Portugal, Azores                | Rodrigues et al., 1995        |
| Hard seededness        | High     | Low       | T. subteraneum                               | Mediterranean SW                | Nicholas et al., 2009         |
| Seed size              | High     | Low       | Various (n=29)                               | Syria                           | Ehrman and Cocks, 1996        |
|                        | Large    | Small     | T. glomeratum                                | Mediterranean SW                | Bennett, 1997                 |
| Growth rates           | High     | Low       | L. luteus                                    | Mediterranean basin             | Berger et al., 2008a          |
| Gas exchange<sup>2</sup> | Low      | High      | M. truncatula, M. laciniata                 | Tunisia                         | Yousfi et al., 2010           |
|                        | High     | Low       | T. dicoccoides L.                            | Israel                          | Nevo et al., 1991             |
| WUE<sup>2</sup>        | Low      | High      | T. dicoccoides                               | Israel                          | Nevo et al., 1991             |
| Water relations<sup>3</sup> | High     | Low       | M. truncatula, M. laciniata                 | Tunisia                         | Yousfi et al., 2010           |
|                        | High     | Low       | M. truncatula, M. laciniata                 | Tunisia                         | Yousfi et al., 2010           |
|                        | High     | Low       | L. albus (n=3)                               | Portugal, Azores                | Rodrigues et al., 1995        |

<sup>1</sup> Large leaves, broad stems and petioles: large plants at maturity.

<sup>2</sup> Estimated by main stem length.

<sup>3</sup> CO₂ assimilation (A), stomatal conductance (G), and transpiration (T) per unit leaf area.

<sup>4</sup> WUE: instantaneous water use efficiency (A/T).

<sup>5</sup> Water relations under deficit: leaf relative water content (RWC), leaf water potential (LWP) (Rodrigues et al., 1995 only), solute concentration and osmotic potential.
water), constraining fitness in terms of yield and fecundity, but allows R-selected plants to escape terminal drought stress. Conversely, with increasing rainfall there is increased selection for competitiveness (C), manifested in delayed phenology, increased biomass production/resource acquisition capacity, and fitness potential (Grime, 1977). However, the Mediterranean studies that align well with Grime’s (1977) predictions tend to be superficial, focusing on traits that are readily measured over large populations (Table 1), emphasizing the role of phenology, biomass, and reproductive effort.

By contrast, there is little detailed understanding of adaptive changes in the Mediterranean transition from escape (R-selection) to competition (C-selection) (Table 1). This is unfortunate because the issue of which traits are adaptive where, and at what cost, is much debated among plant scientists, as evidenced by lively argument at the recent Interdrought 4 conference. For example, of the 18 studies listed in Table 1, only two focus on root–shoot ratios or leaf area, and all are very regionally limited. In both studies leaf area increased in the transition from xeric to mesic environments (Nevo et al., 1991; Rodrigues et al., 1995), while root–shoot ratios either decreased, or remained constant (Aronson et al., 1992; Yousfi et al., 2010). Information on plant processes such as growth rates, gas exchange, water relations, and water-use efficiency (WUE) is similarly scarce and sometimes contradictory (Table 1). For example, in xeric Triticum dicoccoides rates of CO₂ assimilation (A) and transpiration (T) are higher, and instantaneous WUE lower than their mesic counterparts (Nevo et al., 1991), while the opposite was observed in Medicago truncatula and M. laciniosa (Yousfi et al., 2010).

The situation is not resolved by widening the scope to temperate climates. North American Cakile edentula behaves like Medicago (Dudley, 1996), while European Polygonum arenastrum resembles T. dicoccoides (Geber and Dawson, 1990; Geber and Dawson, 1997). In Xanthium strumarium, high gas exchange rates, low WUE, and rapid early growth increased reproductive yield in resource-poor conditions (Lechowicz and Blais, 1988). These observations, augmented by negative correlations between carbon isotope discrimination (δ¹³C; inversely related to WUE: Farrar et al., 1989), flowering time and yield under drought in a range of crops and model plants (Hall et al., 1990; Craufurd et al., 1991; Ehdaie et al., 1991; McKay et al., 2003), led to the idea that R-selected plants sacrifice WUE in order to maximize growth rates to sustain a short life cycle (Geber and Dawson, 1997).

This contradictory evidence poses a dilemma: does R-selection lead to profligate water use to facilitate a rapid life cycle, while C-selection leads to conservative water use to sustain a longer lifespan, or is it the other way around? An abundance of δ¹³C studies in annual (particularly crop) species does not resolve this issue because δ¹³C is an integrator and not a trait and provides no information on the magnitude of A or T (Condon et al., 2002). To resolve this dilemma, studies measuring a range of traits, such as stress development and water-use, at a whole plant level are required, using germplasm that has evolved in contrasting environments. Crop wild relatives are an excellent resource for this because they are more diverse than domesticated material, reflect the outcomes of natural selection, are often widely collected and, ideally, include sufficient passport data to characterize the site of collection. This ecophysiological approach, combining the detail of physiology with the breadth of ecological C-S-R theory provides the context to investigate trade-offs among traits in order to explain where and why these might be adaptive.

This approach has been applied here to the Mediterranean legume, Lupinus luteus L., comparing wild germplasm from habitats imposing contrasting terminal drought stress with domesticated European and Australian material. This is particularly pertinent in lupin because these recently domesticated crops (~200 years; Hondelmann, 1984) are constrained by limited genetic and adaptive diversity (Berger et al., 2012a).

By including domesticated material it can be investigated which adaptive strategies were favoured during domestication and what ramifications this has had for the crop. L. luteus is endemic to sandy soils in the coastal Mediterranean basin, ranging from low-intermediate to very high annual rainfall (Berger et al., 2008b). It has been shown that wild material from terminal drought-prone habitats flower earlier, produce smaller leaflets, and grow more rapidly than high-rainfall ecotypes (Berger et al., 2008a). However, there is no information on traits that define the competitive–ruderal transition, such as fecundity, above- and below-ground biomass production/partitioning, and their effects on water-use and stress development (Table 1). In the present study, contrasting subsets selected from the larger germplasm pool (n=100) described in Berger et al. (2008a, b) were evaluated under adequate water supply and terminal drought. There was particular interest in investigating trade-offs between phenology, vegetative and reproductive biomass production, water-use, and stress development among low and high-rainfall ecotypes. In accordance with Grime (1977), it is hypothesized that low-rainfall ecotypes are likely to manifest conservative reproductive strategies that minimize water-use and delay the rate of stress development. Conversely, in high-rainfall ecotypes, competitive traits, such as large leaf area, biomass, and fecundity, leading to profligate water-use were anticipated.

Materials and methods

Wild L. luteus collected from Mediterranean habitats with contrasting terminal drought stress was evaluated alongside domesticated Australian and European germplasm with and without terminal drought stress in a glasshouse pot experiment. To facilitate the labour-intensive measurements in the present study, small germplasm subsets were selected from previous studies (Berger et al., 2008a, b), clustering along terminal drought-stress gradients (ranked by cluster number: 1=low, 3=high) on the basis of reproductive phase rainfall, mean temperature, and rate of increase (Table 2). Vernalization (28 d at 4 °C prior to planting) and extended photoperiod (16 h) was used to minimize phenological variability between genotypes. Plants were sown on 3 June 2008 in 8.1 l pots (ht×diameter: 46 × 15 cm) containing c. 12.1 kg soil, in five replications arranged in a split plot design with water regime and genotypes as the main- and sub-plots, respectively. Soil was Gingin loam collected on-farm, and mixed with 10% sand to a final water-holding capacity of 22.9% and a pH of 6.5.

Phenological observations (flowering, podding) were made three times weekly. Terminal drought was established by withholding water
7–10 d after main stem pod set, while the well-watered treatment continued to receive irrigation three times weekly. To minimize evaporative water loss, soil in the terminal drought treatment was covered with white plastic beads. Because there was significant phenological variation between genotypes (Table 3) despite vernalization and photoperiod treatments, it was not possible to apply terminal drought stress synchronously. Accordingly, the terminal drought regime was initiated over four dates starting from 25 August, separated by approximately weekly intervals (see Supplementary Table S1 available at JXB online). Despite this, temperatures during the evaluation period (i.e. after the drought treatment was commenced) were remarkably similar across genotypes over time (see Supplementary Table S1 available at JXB online). Two late Cluster 2 genotypes evaluated from 16 and 22 September onwards were subject to higher temperatures only after days 30 and 25, respectively, well after the period of peak water-use.

Water relations were described by measuring water-use gravimetrically with an A&D 32 kg two decimal place balance, leaf relative water content (RWC) 2–3 times weekly in all treatments, and pre-dawn leaf water potential (LWP) in droughted L. luteus only. Plant available water (PAW) was expressed as the fraction of transpirable soil water (FTSW) multiplied by 100 (Ritchie, 1981):

\[(\text{Pot wt day}^{-1} \times \text{final pot wt})/(\text{Initial pot wt} – \text{final pot wt})\times100\]

Transpiration rates (T) were calculated by dividing water-use quanta by the thermal time elapsed since the last measurement. Relative transpiration rates (RT) were calculated to facilitate comparisons that were independent of plant size by dividing T at each time point by the maximum T measured in that pot. Maximum T was estimated by regression, based on the initial linear phase of

| Table 2. Provenance and collection site seasonal climate of germplasm evaluated in 2008 and 2010 experiments |

| Cluster category | Habitat | Germplasm origin (n) | Cultivar names | Rainfall (mm) | Mean temp (ºC) | Rep temp change |
|------------------|---------|----------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|----------------|
| Cluster 1 | European cv. | European spring-sown. Low rainfall, cool, rapidly warming veg phase; med rainfall, warm, but cooling rep phase; low terminal drought stress (TDS) | 5: Bys, 1; Deu, 1; Hun, 1; Pol, 1; SUN, 1 | Grodnenski, Puissant, Gardenaj, Teo-105 (Wodjil), Zhitomirsky 775 | 306 | 105 | 216 | 10.8 | 17.2 | -0.01 |
| Cluster 2 wild (W) | Mediterranean long season. Med rainfall, warm veg and rep phases (temp increasing over time); med-high TDS | 1: Aus | Pootallong | 98 | 189 | 97 | 13.2 | 14.3 | 0.10 |
| Cluster 3 | Australian cv. | Mediterranean short season. Med rainfall, warm veg and rep phases (temp increasing over time); med-high TDS | 5: Prt, 4; Esp, 1 | 76 | 625 | 468 | 11.0 | 14.9 | 0.07 |
| Cluster 3 wild (W) | (See Cluster 3 above) | 5: Isr, 3; Mar,2 | 14 | 312 | 165 | 15.5 | 17.3 | 0.08 |

2 Spanish wild germplasm (PNO 22948) only evaluated in 2010.
3 Wodjil: an Australian cultivar selected from the Polish line Teo-105. (Treated as an Australian, rather than European cultivar in subsequent analyses)

| Table 3. Phenology, root–shoot ratio, SLA, terminal drought water-use, and RWC decline of L. luteus, categorized by domestication status and habitat of origin |

Cluster collection site terminal drought stress intensity increases with cluster number (details in Table 2).

| Cluster category | Flowering (d) | Podding (d) | Root–shoot ratio | SLA* | Water-use (exp. para R) | RWC decline (% d^-1) |
|------------------|--------------|------------|-----------------|-----|------------------------|----------------------|
| Year | 2008 | 2010 | 2008 | 2010 | 2010 | 2010 | 2008 | 2010 | 2008 | 2010 |
| Cluster 1; European cv. | 64 | 72 | 75 | 81 | 0.33 | 215.4 | 0.994 | 0.989 | -0.07 | -0.08 |
| Cluster 3; Australian cv. | 67 | 69 | 78 | 77 | 0.993 | 0.992 | -0.05 | -0.08 |
| Cluster 2; wild | 87 | 107 | 97 | 114 | 0.37 | 172.8 | 0.985 | 0.985 | -0.21 | -0.14 |
| Cluster 3; wild | 70 | 77 | 82 | 86 | 0.30 | 208.9 | 0.993 | 0.992 | -0.08 | -0.10 |
| Wild contrast: 2 versus 3 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | 0.002 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 |
| LSD (P<0.05) | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 0.04 | 17.4 | 0.002 | 0.004 | 0.06 | 0.05 |

* SLA, specific leaf area.
1 exp. para R, exponential rate of PAW decreases over thermal time since the onset of terminal drought.
2 % d^-1, linear rate of RWC decrease over thermal time since the onset of terminal drought.
water-use, when water was freely available, and plants were assumed to be transpiring at maximal rates (Sinclair and Ludlow, 1986).

To measure RWC (Barrs and Weatherley, 1962), leaflets from the most recently fully developed leaf were cut in the early morning (before 9 a.m.), immediately sealed in a pre-weighed glass vial, and kept in shaded conditions. Fresh weights were measured on a four digit Mettler balance within 30 min, deionized water added to cover the lower 5 mm of the leaf, and the sealed vials left in a well lit area for 8 h. Turgid weights were measured after 8 h, after removing excess surface water with blotting paper. Dry weights were measured after 24 h in a 65 °C oven. LWP was measured pre-dawn on fully developed young leaves selected and harvested as above (Turner, 1988), wrapped in plastic cling wrap to minimize water loss, and immediately transferred to a Scholander pressure chamber (Series 3000, Soil Moisture Equipment Corp, Santa Bárbara, CA, USA). A dissecting microscope was used to expedite the detection of water at the petiole surface.

Irrigation in the control treatment was ceased after the last genotype in the droughted treatment had matured. Thereafter, above-ground biomass was separated into vegetative and reproductive matter by branch order (main stem, lateral, and basal), and weighed after oven-drying at 60 °C for 48 h. Pods were counted and weighed and used to calculate reproductive index (pod wt/total above-ground biomass).

To test the validity of our work and measure new parameters, the experiment was repeated in 2010, using the methodology outlined above, except that an additional wild Cluster 2 genotype was included (Table 2), and a staggered sowing regime was implemented (10 and 31 May, 14 June) in an attempt to synchronize terminal drought onset. Nevertheless, seven dates of terminal drought initiation were still required, starting from 1 September, separated by approximately 5 d intervals (see Supplementary Table S1 available at JXB online). Again evaluation temperatures were remarkably similar across all genotypes over all time periods. In addition, immediately prior to the onset of terminal drought, a destructive harvest was performed to measure above- and below-ground, vegetative and reproductive biomass, the former separated into leaf, stem, and root. Leaf area was also measured destructively at this time.

**Statistical analysis**

The data was analysed separately for each year using Genstat V13. Three-way ANOVA was performed with water regime and germplasm provenance (Table 2) as main effects, and genotypes nested within provenance category. In the split-plot ANOVA main plots were nested within blocks. Linear and non-linear regression ($y=A+B(R^2)$) was used to analyse plant responses over thermal time to facilitate comparisons between years and between genotypes stressed on different dates. In all analyses, residual plots were generated to identify outliers, and confirm that variance was common and normally distributed. Transformations were made as appropriate.

**Results**

**Pre-stress evaluation data**

Nested ANOVA of genotypes within the provenance category (henceforth referred to as a cluster) highlighted the importance of germplasm origin, with variances generally far exceeding those between genotypes within clusters (although both effects were significant at $P<0.001$). This was particularly evident in plant phenology (Table 3), where domesticated European and Australian cultivars formed flowers and pods consistently earlier than wild low-rainfall ecotypes (Cluster 3) which, in turn, were much earlier than high-rainfall ecotypes (Cluster 2). These phenological differences were reflected in the destructive early reproductive phase biomass measurements made in 2010 (Fig. 1). The late high-rainfall ecotypes accumulated approximately twice the leaf area and mass, and three times the stem and root mass ($P<0.05$) than European cultivars and low-rainfall ecotypes (Fig. 1a, b). Moreover, root–shoot ratios were higher, and specific leaf area lower (eg. thicker leaves in high-rainfall ecotypes (Table 3), while there were no differences among
the other two groups. (Note Australian cultivar comparisons were unavailable because of poor germination.)

Post-stress evaluation: productivity at physiological maturity

There were also very marked cluster differences for productivity and fecundity at maturity (Fig. 2). Seed, pod, and vegetative weights were 1.6–2.5 times larger in high-rainfall ecotypes than any other group, accounting for all the significant differences (Fig. 2a), while reproductive index was lower (17.7% versus 21.7–22.6%, \(P<0.001\)). Similarly, high-rainfall ecotypes produced 2.1–2.5 times as many pods and seeds as any other group, again accounting for all significant differences (Fig. 2b). However, seeds from domesticated varieties were larger than wild types (\(P<0.001\), while low-rainfall ecotypes produced larger seeds than high-rainfall ecotypes (\(P<0.001\)). In 2008, highly significant cluster× water regime interactions (\(P<0.001\)) in the productivity and fecundity data were driven by strong responses to irrigation in reproductive index by high-rainfall ecotypes. Under terminal drought, high-rainfall ecotypes produced almost three times as much vegetative biomass as any other group (\(P<0.001\)), while pod weights and numbers tended to be similar or lower (data not presented). However, under well-watered conditions, high-rainfall ecotypes were more fecund and productive than any other group, again accounting for all significant differences (Fig. 2b).

![Graphs showing productivity and fecundity at physiological maturity of L. luteus](image-url)

**Fig. 2.** Productivity and fecundity at physiological maturity of *L. luteus* in 2010 (a, b) and 2008 (c). In 2010 (a, b) the main effects are presented because water regime×category interaction was NS. (Error bars represent LSD (\(P<0.05\).) The offset LSD in (a) is for pod wt (seed+pod wall). In 2008 (c) the pod no/biomass regression captures 91.5% of variance, with different slopes indicated for clusters (\(P<0.057\).) (This figure is available in colour at *JXB* online.)
other group in 2008, leading to a steeper rise in pod production as biomass increased (Fig. 2c).

Water-use and stress onset

In both 2008 and 2010, regression highlighted differences in water-use and changes in leaf water content (RWC) over time between the two watering regimes \( (P < 0.001) \); slopes remaining flat in the well-watered treatment (water-use: \(-0.0001–0.0002\) ml °d\(^{-1}\), RWC: \(-0.002–0.001\) % °d\(^{-1}\)), but declining sharply under terminal drought (see below). In both years, terminal drought water-use was best modelled by exponential curves fitting separate parameters for each genotype (variance explained=93.1–96.0%); plant available water (PAW) declining exponentially within 100–300 °Cd (≈4–14 d) of withholding irrigation, and then levelling to an asymptote (Fig. 3a). Modelling genotype behaviour by provenance category was almost as effective, capturing 91.2–92.5% of the variance. Water-use was consistently faster in high- than in low-rainfall ecotypes, reflected in differences \( (P < 0.001) \) for

![Graphs showing water-use and stress onset in L. luteus](image-url)
Accordingly, LWP <0.001), accounting for almost all significant slope differences. Well-watered plants retained a high RWC (c. 83–90%), while droughted treatments dropped at varying rates to as low as 20%. This contrasting behaviour was well captured by strong interaction between clusters, water regime, and time in both years (P=0.003 to P <0.001). Under freely-available water, there was no significant decrease in RWC over time in any category (data not presented). In contrast, under terminal drought, the decline in RWC was highest in high-rainfall ecotypes (Table 3), and consistently low in the remaining groups (P diff=0.39–0.96).

Leaf water potential (LWP), measured only in droughted L. luteus in 2008, was well-modelled by broken stick bi-linear regression, fitting separate curves for the initial flat LWP response to time, and subsequent rapid decline, explaining 79.2% of variance with genotypes nested within clusters. As before, most of this variance was attributed to cluster differences, particularly in the tipping point and subsequent rate of rapid LWP decline (Fig. 3c). Thus the onset of rapid LWP decline occurred much earlier (79.5 °d, 5 d), and proceeded at much higher rates in high-rainfall ecotypes than any other group (P diff=0.035 to P diff <0.001), accounting for almost all significant slope differences. Accordingly, LWPc in Cluster 2 reached as low as –2.9 MPa after 319 °Cd exposure to terminal drought, compared with –1.6 to –2.1 MPa after 545 °Cd in the other groups (Fig. 3c).

RWC and LWP declined exponentially with diminishing PAW (Fig. 4) and, again, most of the variance was explained by cluster rather than genotypic differences within clusters (71.3–78.2%, and 73.5–85.4%, respectively). In both years, the onset of exponential RWC decline occurred earliest in high-rainfall ecotypes, and later (P<0.001), at considerably lower PAW in the remaining groups (Fig. 4a). Accordingly, the former have a much shorter asymptotic phase, where RWC is unresponsive to decreasing PAW, than the latter. Similarly, in high-rainfall ecotypes the decrease in LWP occurred much earlier than in its low-rainfall counterparts (Fig. 4b). However, parameter R in Australian and European cultivars was much smaller than in both wild groups, reflected in a considerably later onset of LWP decline (Fig. 4b).

To examine whether there were differences in the regulation of water-use with increasing stress, relative transpiration rates (RT) were regressed against LWP and RWC (Fig. 5a, b). (Because RT eliminates leaf area differences, small and large plants, e.g. low- and high-rainfall ecotypes, are compared on an equal basis.) The results were very consistent. RT declined with decreasing LWP and RWC at common exponential rates (Fig. 5a, b): there were no genotypic or cluster differences in parameter R (P diff=0.268–0.996). Conversely, parameter A, which determines the y-value of the asymptote, did differ between clusters (P diff=0.004–0.07) and, therefore, the curves for wild and domesticated material diverged at low LWP and RWC. Consequently, RT approached 0 at higher LWP and RWC in domesticated compared with wild material.

To investigate plant sensitivity to water deficit stress, RWC was regressed against LWP in a nested linear model (Fig. 6). Slope differences were highly significant (P<0.001), and again largely attributed to clusters, rather than genotypes within clusters (accounting for 86.0% and 91.2% of variance, respectively). The decline in RWC over LWP was lower in high- than in low-rainfall ecotypes and Australian cultivars (P diff <0.001–0.058). Thus high-rainfall ecotypes were able
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To maintain RWC under stress better than the other groups, particularly evident at the low final LWP$_c$ (Fig. 6).

**Discussion**

This research confirms the value of integrated approaches to the study of adaptation to put adaptive traits into context. The use of wild populations that evolved under contrasting terminal drought stress facilitates C-S-R type comparisons, while the inclusion of domesticated material highlights adaptive strategies favoured by breeders, making it possible to speculate how these may have influenced crop development. As outlined below, the results show that Grime’s (1977) C- and R-selected adaptive strategies do lead to contrasting water-use and stress onset, leading to rather surprising trade-offs in tolerance to water deficit in *L. luteus*.

In accordance with Grime (1977), *L. luteus* from high-rainfall, long-season habitats flowered and set pods considerably later than those from dry, variable-rainfall environments, confirming previous work (Berger et al., 2008a), and small, regionally limited studies of *L. angustifolius* (Clements and Cowling, 1994) and *L. albus* (Huyghe, 1997; Simpson, 1986). High below- and above-ground biomass, root–shoot ratios, and leaf area development during the long vegetative phase are likely to provide competitive advantages in the acquisition of growth-limiting resources such as water, nutrients, and light, and be responsible for greater reproductive capacity. Our results suggest that this competitive strategy is always advantageous for high-rainfall ecotypes, assuming adequate water supply at least up to the early reproductive phase. This is remarkably consistent with a small scale (*n*=3) evaluation of wild *L. albus* collected along an Iberian rainfall gradient (Rodrigues et al., 1995), where flowering time and above- and below-ground biomass production in both well-watered conditions and terminal drought was proportional to collection site rainfall. Similar trends were found in Tunisian high- and low-rainfall ecotypes of *M. truncata* and *M. laciniata* evaluated under a range of water deficits (Yousfi et al., 2010). Like Rodrigues et al. (1995), it is shown that these competitive advantages are associated with profligate water-use leading to the early onset of stress in *L. luteus*. High-rainfall ecotypes used most of their PAW within 174 °Cd (11 d), and began the steep linear decline in LWP well before this (79.5 °d, 5 d) (Fig. 3a, c). Indeed, leaf RWC and LWP began to decline at much higher PAW in high- compared with low-rainfall ecotypes (Fig. 4). As stress increased, there was no evidence that high-rainfall ecotypes reduced their consumption compared with low-rainfall ecotypes, as indicated by the common exponential curves in Fig. 5.

Given that *L. luteus* evolved in sandy soils with low-water-holding capacity, the highly competitive high-rainfall adaptive strategy outlined above is risky in a Mediterranean climate. With late phenology, high biomass and high, unregulated water-use, these ecotypes are likely to face repeated water deficits even in a high-rainfall Mediterranean climate.
as they transpire all PAW. In this context, the ability of high-rainfall ecotypes to generate lower LWP_{C} and maintain higher RWC under extreme stress (Fig. 6) appears to represent a bet-hedging drought-tolerance capacity that is redundant in drought-avoiding, R-selected low-rainfall ecotypes. The underlying mechanism is unclear; Old World species such as \textit{L. consentini} are capable of moderate to high osmoregulation (Gallardo et al., 1994; Turner et al., 1987), while both \textit{L. consentini} and \textit{L. angustifolius} can double their leaf elasticity under drought (Jensen and Henson, 1990). The extremely limited published data suggests that these adaptive patterns are likely to be species- and habitat-specific along Mediterranean rainfall gradients. For example, \textit{M. truncatula} and \textit{M. laciniata} show the opposite trend: greater osmotic adjustment, maintaining higher leaf RWC under water deficit, in low- compared with high-rainfall ecotypes (Yousfi et al., 2010). Interestingly, these species are found in finer-textured, greater water-holding capacity soils than those favoured by \textit{Lupinus} spp., where the capacity to tolerate water deficits may usefully extend the growing season. Moreover, biomass and transpiration differences between high and low-rainfall \textit{Medicago} were much smaller than observed in \textit{L. luteus}, suggesting that high-rainfall ecotypes were unlikely to self-induce water deficits as a result of profligate water-use.

In contrast to the above, low-rainfall \textit{L. luteus} ecotypes were characterized by a conservative combination of traits where terminal drought is avoided by early phenology, but also delayed by lower rates of water-use (associated with reduced biomass and leaf area) and a decreased sensitivity to diminishing water. However, this conservative strategy comes at the cost of reproductive potential, as outlined in the previous discussion. Why then did both European and Australian breeders base their efforts on low-rainfall ecotypes, as suggested by their similarity in the present study? The answer lies in the unique, comparatively recent domestication history of \textit{L. luteus} and \textit{L. angustifolius}. \textit{L. luteus} was introduced to Central Europe (Prussia) as a spring-sown green manure crop in the 19th century as a replacement for \textit{L. albus}, which failed because of its late maturity in the northern summer (Hondelmann, 1984). Hence there was very strong selection for early phenology by early (Gladstones, 1970), and current European breeders (Julier et al., 1995). Subsequently, lupin production shifted to warm, short-season Mediterranean environments in Australia. Australian breeders have selected very strongly for drought escape (Berger et al., 2012a), producing very temperature-responsive, early phenology (Berger et al., 2012b), augmenting earlier European efforts. This conservative strategy suits Mediterranean water-limited, short season environments by minimizing the probability of drought prematurely terminating the reproductive phase, confirmed by many examples of negative correlations between productivity and phenology (Berger et al., 2004, 2008a; Volis, 2007). However, our results suggest that this conservative approach is inappropriate for more productive, longer season environments and excludes potentially adaptive traits for water-limited areas, such as the drought tolerance of high-rainfall ecotypes.

It is concluded that rainfall gradients within the endemic distribution of \textit{L. luteus} have selected for integrated, contrasting adaptive strategies where phenology, biomass accumulation, and partitioning are traded-off against water-use and stress onset. The competitive, profligate high-rainfall ecotypes do not down-regulate water-use under increasing deficit stress any more than those from low-rainfall areas, and appear to have developed a bet-hedging drought tolerance capacity as a result. Conversely, low-rainfall ecotypes have adopted a ruderal adaptive strategy where water-use is minimized, terminal drought avoided, and there is no evidence for drought tolerance. Given that \textit{L. luteus} breeding is based entirely on ruderal, low-rainfall ecotypes, there is improvement potential in introgressing adaptive traits from competitive high-rainfall ecotypes. Having provided a broad context for adaptive strategies in \textit{L. luteus}, future work should focus on the underlying mechanisms. What is the role of phenology: do high-rainfall ecotypes grow biomass faster, or only longer, and how does this impact on water-use, WUE, and competition? How is phenology controlled in contrasting ecotypes; and RWC maintained under low critical LWP? Answering these questions will further our understanding of specific adaptation in \textit{L. luteus} in particular and annual plants in general.
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