Perivascular epithelioid cell tumor of the rectum: report of a case and review of the literature
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Abstract
We report a case of perivascular epithelioid cell tumor arising in the rectum of a 55-year-old woman. The tumor was treated by transanal endoscopic microsurgery. After 1 year follow-up, the patient is alive with no radiologic or endoscopic evidence of recurrence. Perivascular epithelioid cell tumor is a rare mesenchymal tumor characterized by co-expression of melanocytic and smooth muscle markers. This rare tumor can arise in various organs, including the fallopian ligament, uterus, uterine cervix, liver, kidney, lung, breast, cardiac septum, pancreas, prostate, thigh, and gastrointestinal tract. Perivascular epithelioid cell tumor of the gastrointestinal tract is very rare, with only 23 previously reported cases. We review the literature on perivascular epithelioid cell tumors arising in the gastrointestinal tract.
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Background
Perivascular epithelioid cell tumors (PEComas) were defined as “mesenchymal tumours composed of histologically and immunohistochemically distinctive perivascular epithelioid cells” by the World Health Organization [1]. PEComas classically include a wide spectrum of entities, such as angiomyolipoma (AML), lymphangioleiomyomatosis (LAM), and clear-cell “sugar” tumors (CCST) of the lung. PEComas other than AML, LAM, and CCST are very rare mesenchymal tumors that have been referred to as PEComa-not otherwise specified (PEComa-NOS) [1,2]. A review of 51 cases of PEComa-NOS revealed that 41% of reported cases of PEComa-NOS originated in the uterine corpus [3].

Gastrointestinal PEComa-NOS are very rare. To our knowledge, 23 cases have been reported in the literature [4-22]; consequently, the clinical and biological characteristics of PEComa-NOS are poorly understood. We report a case of PEComa arising in the rectum and review the clinicopathological characteristics of gastrointestinal PEComa-NOS.

Case presentation
A 55-year-old woman was referred to our hospital because of a rectal submucosal tumor detected on a colonoscopic examination. She had undergone a sigmoidectomy for sigmoid colon carcinoma 2 years previously in our hospital. Postoperatively, the final disease stage was T1N0M0 (Stage I) according to the TNM classification. The histological subtype of the sigmoid colon cancer was moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma. There had been no evidence of recurrence or metastasis during 2 years follow-up. The patient showed no signs of tuberous sclerosis complex.

On digital rectal examination, a hard mass was palpated on the posterior wall of the rectum. The results of other physical examinations of the abdomen and other organs were normal. Laboratory data showed no marked abnormalities, including tumor markers such as carcinoembryonic antigen and carbohydrate antigen 19-9. Colonoscopic examination in our hospital revealed a submucosal tumor 3 cm in diameter arising in the posterior rectal wall, 10 cm from the anal verge (Figure 1a). The submucosal tumor was accompanied by an ulcer and superficial mucosal bleeding at its center. A biopsy was performed, but a histopathological diagnosis could not be established. An endoanal ultrasound scan showed
a heterogenous, low echoic, nodular tumor arising in the muscularis of the posterior rectal wall (Figure 1b). The tumor was suspected to invade the muscularis propria and subserosa. Contrast-enhanced computed tomography of the chest, abdomen, and pelvis detected no lymph node lesions around the rectum or metastasis to other organs.

The patient underwent transanal endoscopic microsurgery for a suspected diagnosis of rectal stromal tumor. We excised the full-thickness of the rectal wall excision with a 1 cm safety margin of normal mucosa around the tumor. The wound in the rectal wall was closed with a running suture. Macroscopically, the resected specimen showed a brown mass 2.5 cm in maximum diameter, with a central ulcer, an ill-defined border, and no capsule formation. Surface ulceration was prominent in association with absence of the rectal mucosa, which was ascribed to a prior endoscopic biopsy.

On microscopic examination, the tumor resided mainly in the muscularis propria and invaded the mucosa and was exposed on its surface. Histopathologically, the tumor consisted of round and polygonal cells with clear-to-eosinophilic granular cytoplasm. The tumor cells proliferated in a honeycomb-like appearance (Figure 2). The tumor cell nuclei showed hyperchromasia, nuclear enlargement, and prominent nucleoli. The tumor cells were negative for Fontana-Masson staining. Periodic acid-Schiff stain-positive intracytoplasmic granules, which were digested by diastase, were noted. The tumor cell showed slight to moderate nuclear atypia, with no mitosis or tumor necrosis. There was no lymphatic or vascular invasion.

Immunohistochemically, the tumor cells were positive for melanoma-associated antigen (HMB-45), neuron-specific enolase, CD68, and transcription factor E3 (TFE-3), but negative for AE1/AE3, CAM5.2, epithelial membrane antigen, smooth muscle actin, Desmin, MyoD1, Caldesmon, Calponin, Synaptophysin, Chromogranin A, NCAM, Vimentin, CD34, S-100, Melan-A, CD99, CD10, D2-40, CD138, P-ALP, and multiple myeloma oncogene-1 (Figure 3ab). The proliferation marker Ki-67 showed a nuclear positivity in approximately 15% of cells. An intestinal PEComa was diagnosis on the basis of these findings. This patient received only surgical resection. She is undergoing regular surveillance and remains free of disease at 15 months after operation.

**Discussion**

PEComas are part of a group of very rare mesenchymal neoplasms. Bonetti and colleagues [23] were the first to propose the concept of the perivascular epithelioid cell neoplasm (PEComa). Many of these tumors are mesenchymal tumors with a predilection for the gastrointestinal tract and skin. The histologic hallmarks of PEComa include the presence of pericytes and the expression of melanocytic markers. The tumor cells are positive for melanoma-associated antigen (HMB-45), neuron-specific enolase, CD68, and transcription factor E3 (TFE-3), but negative for AE1/AE3, CAM5.2, epithelial membrane antigen, smooth muscle actin, Desmin, MyoD1, Caldesmon, Calponin, Synaptophysin, Chromogranin A, NCAM, Vimentin, CD34, S-100, Melan-A, CD99, CD10, D2-40, CD138, P-ALP, and multiple myeloma oncogene-1 (Figure 3ab). The proliferation marker Ki-67 showed a nuclear positivity in approximately 15% of cells. An intestinal PEComa was diagnosis on the basis of these findings. This patient received only surgical resection. She is undergoing regular surveillance and remains free of disease at 15 months after operation.

**Figure 1** Findings on colonoscopy and endoanal ultrasound scanning. (a) Colonoscopic examination showed a submucosal tumor 3 cm in diameter arising in the posterior rectal wall. (b) Endoanal ultrasound scanning showed a heterogeneous, low-echoic tumor with a nodular appearance arising in the muscularis (long arrow) of the posterior rectal wall.

**Figure 2** Appearance of tumor stained with hematoxylin and eosin. The tumor consisted of round and polygonal cells with clear-to-eosinophilic granular cytoplasm. The tumor cells proliferated in a honeycomb-like fashion.
in 1992. The term PEComa was coined by Zamboni and colleagues [24] in 1996 to describe this rare family of lesions. In 2002, the World Health Organization accepted the designation PEComa as a distinct mesenchymal neoplasm consisting of histologically and immunohistochemically unique perivascular epithelioid cells [1]. This rare tumor has been reported in various organs, such as the fallopian ligament, uterus, uterine cervix, liver, kidney, lung, breast, cardiac septum, pancreas, prostate, thigh, and gastrointestinal tract. PEComas usually show immunoreactivity for both melanocytic (HMB-45 and/or melan-A) and smooth muscle (actin and/or desmin) markers. Recently, several reports described TFE3 expression in PEComas [14,22,25]. In one review, TFE3 was positive for 3 of 24 cases of gastrointestinal PEComa. In our case, positivity for TFE3 contributed to the diagnosis of PEComa.

In this study, we focused on gastrointestinal PEComa-NOS and did not include classic AML and LAM of the gastrointestinal tract in our review. The clinicopathological features of the PEComa-NOS included in this study are summarized in Table 1. The ratio of males to women was 8 to 16, suggesting that primary gastrointestinal PEComa-NOS is more common in females, similar to PEComa-NOS arising in other organs. Mean age at the diagnosis of primary gastrointestinal PEComa-NOS was 31.5 years (range 7 to 63 years). The mean diameter of primary gastrointestinal PEComa-NOS was 45.5 mm (range 12 to 100 mm). The tumor was located in the colon in 14 patients (58.3%), rectum in 5 (20.8%), the small bowel in 2 (8.3%), the duodenum in 2 (8.3%), and the stomach in 1 (4.3%). Surgical resection was performed in nearly all patients, and only two received adjuvant chemotherapy. One patient was given adjuvant interferon-α2b therapy, and the other case received adjuvant chemotherapy with doxorubicin, ifosfamide, and mesna in accordance with the Children’s Oncology

---

**Figure 3** Immunohistochemical findings of the tumor. (a) HMB-45 is positive in the cytoplasm of the tumor cells. (b) Nuclear expression of TFE3 is observed in the tumor cells. HMB-45, melanoma-associated antigen; TFE3, transcription factor E3.
Table 1 Patient characteristics and tumor morphologic and histopathological features and outcomes

| Year  | Author [reference] | Age (years) | Sex | Location | Size (mm) | Infiltrative border | Mitosis (/50 HPF) | LVI | Tumor necrosis | Treatment | AC | Follow-up |
|-------|--------------------|-------------|-----|----------|-----------|---------------------|------------------|-----|----------------|-----------|----|-----------|
| 2001  | Tazelaar et al., [4]| 9           | F   | Rectum   | 30        | -                    | Rare             | -   | -              | Trans anal resection | -   | NER at 14 months |
| 2001  | Tazelaar et al., [4]| 40          | F   | Rectum   | 80        | -                    | Rare             | -   | -              | Excision | -   | NER at 6 months |
| 2003  | Yanai et al., [5]   | 32          | F   | Jejunum  | 75        | -                    | n.a              | -   | +              | Partial resection of jejunum | -   | Pelvic wall recurrence at 13 months, Right ovary recurrence at 25 months |
| 2004  | Birkhaeuser et al., [6]| 35          | F   | Cecum    | 35        | n.a                  | Few              | n.a | -              | Right hemicolecotomy | -   | n.a |
| 2004  | Genevay et al., [7] | 38          | F   | Cecum    | 35        | n.a                  | Rare             | n.a | -              | Right hemicolecotomy | n.a | n.a |
| 2005  | Evert et al., [8]   | 58          | F   | Rectum   | 80        | n.a                  | 286              | n.a | +              | Right hemicolectomy | n.a | n.a |
| 2005  | Yamamoto et al., [9]| 43          | F   | Descending | 80       | n.a                  | 2                | +   | +              | Partial colectomy | -   | Peritoneal dissemination at 20 months, DOD at 38 months |
| 2005  | Mhanna et al., [10] | 15          | M   | Duodenum | 45        | +                    | Low              | n.a | -              | Pancreatoduodenectomy | -   | NER at 24 months |
| 2006  | Baek et al., [11]  | 16          | F   | Transverse | 25   | n.a                  | 0                | n.a | -              | Endoscopic resection | -   | NER at 24 months |
| 2006  | Agirn et al., [12] | 63          | F   | Ileum    | 45        | n.a                  | 13               | n.a | +              | Partial resection of ileum | -   | Abdominopelvic recurrence at 14 months |
| 2008  | Narayanaswamy et al., [13]| 34          | M   | Duodenum | 35        | +                    | n.a              | -   | -              | Duodenectomy | -   | NER at 18 months |
| 2008  | Cho et al., [14]   | 16          | F   | Transverse | 18   | n.a                  | n.a              | -   | -              | n.a | -   | NER at 41 months |
| 2008  | Pisharody et al., [15]| 11          | M   | Sigmoid  | 12        | n.a                  | Rare             | n.a | n.a            | Partial colectomy | -   | NER at 5 months |
| 2008  | Righi et al., [22] | 11          | M   | Sigmoid  | 35        | +                    | Rare             | n.a | +              | Segmental resection | -   | n.a |
| 2009  | Ryan et al., [16]  | 15          | F   | Rectum   | 37        | -                    | 2                | -   | +              | Low anterior resection | +   | NER at 9 months |
| 2009  | Qu et al., [17]    | 43          | F   | Cecum    | 20        | -                    | 3                | -   | -              | Right hemicolecotomy | -   | NER at 25 months |
| 2010  | Park et al., [18]  | 7           | M   | Ascending | 37       | n.a                  | n.a             | n.a | n.a            | Right hemicolecotomy | +   | NER at 26 months |
| 2010  | Shi et al., [19]   | 38          | F   | Sigmoid  | 60        | n.a                  | n.a             | n.a | -              | Segmental resection | -   | NER at 8 months |
| 2010  | Shi et al., [19]   | 42          | M   | Ascending | 45       | n.a                  | n.a             | n.a | n.a            | Segmental resection | -   | NER at 15 months |
| 2010  | Shi et al., [19]   | 38          | F   | Descending | 48       | n.a                  | n.a             | n.a | n.a            | Segmental resection | -   | NER at 32 months |
| 2010  | Shi et al., [19]   | 45          | M   | Ascending | 35        | n.a                  | n.a             | n.a | n.a            | Segmental resection | -   | NER at 36 months |
| 2010  | Freeman et al., [21]| 17          | F   | Sigmoid  | 60        | -                    | Low             | n.a | n.a            | Partial resection | -   | NER at 15 months |
| 2012  | Waters et al., [20] | 42          | M   | Gastric  | 100       | n.a                  | n.a             | n.a | n.a            | Distal gastrectomy | -   | DOD at 3 months |
| 2012  | Present case       | 55          | F   | Rectum   | 25        | +                    | 0                | -   | -              | Trans anal resection | -   | NER at 12 months |

/50 HPF 50 high-power fields, AC adjuvant chemotherapy, DOD died of disease, F female, LVI lymphovascular invasion, M male, n.a no data available, NER no evidence of recurrence.
Group non-rhabdomyosarcoma soft tissue sarcoma protocol. Neither of these patients had any evidence of recurrence. Follow-up data were available for 19 patients, and the median follow-up was 15 months (range 3 to 41 months) after surgery. Three cases had recurrence [5,12], two of whom underwent re-resection of their tumors. The 3-year disease free survival rates of the 19 patients was 75%. Two patients died of their disease. One patient died 3 months after surgery, and the other died 38 months after the first operation.

Although the treatment of choice for gastrointestinal PEComa is surgical resection, the overall management strategy for gastrointestinal PEComa remains to be established. Furthermore, potential benefits of adjuvant chemotherapy have not been investigated. In a recent study of PEComa of soft tissue and gynecologic origin, Folpe and colleagues [25] suggested criteria for classifying PEComas into benign, uncertain malignant potential, and malignant categories. They proposed that malignancy was predicted by the presence of two of the following findings: tumor size greater than 5 cm, infiltrative tumor border, high nuclear grade and cellularity, more than 1 mitosis/50 high-power fields, tumor necrosis, and vascular invasion. In our patient, the tumor was classified as low grade according to these diagnostic criteria because there was an infiltrative tumor border, but none of the other findings.

Given the present situation, close follow-up, including imaging studies and colonoscopy, is mandatory after surgical resection of gastrointestinal PEComa, especially in patients with high-grade malignancy. Because the outcomes of gastrointestinal PEComa remain unclear, further long-term studies in larger number of patients are needed.

Conclusions
We reported a rare case of rectal PEComa and reviewed the clinicopathological characteristics of gastrointestinal PEComa-NOS. At the present time, the most effective treatment for gastrointestinal PEComa is surgical resection. But the postoperative management including adjuvant chemotherapy still has not been established. So patients with postoperative PEComa-NOS should be carefully followed up.
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