Since recognizing platelets as an important part in coagulation some 125 years ago, the scientific community has evaluated platelets as a key player between inflammation and coagulation. Critically ill patients suffer from both inflammation and impaired coagulation. Thus, it is essential to learn more about the mutual influence between these two problems during intensive care therapy.

In this context, Sossdorf and colleagues [1] evaluated the impact of hydroxyethyl starch (HES) on thrombelastography and platelet receptors. The authors investigated 10% HES 200/0.5, 6% HES 130/0.4, and saline in an in vitro experiment with blood of healthy volunteers [1]. HESs are considered effective plasma volume expanders in clinical practice [2]. Adverse effects of HES administration on hemostasis have spurred ongoing research into the pathological mechanisms since these effects are continually discussed as a serious limitation to the clinical use of HES [2]. Sossdorf and colleagues [1] could reveal a decreased maximum clot firmness in FIBTEM (rotation thrombelastometry [ROTEM®]-based measurement of the contribution of fibrinogen to the clot firmness) with a 10% hemodilution by both tested HES solutions compared with saline. Other parameters of ROTEM® were affected by HES 200/0.5 but not by HES 130/0.4. A higher hemodilution of 40% showed comparable results for the two HESs. Accordingly, Innerhofer and colleagues [3] could demonstrate an inhibition of primary hemostasis by HES 200/0.5 and gelatine.

Sossdorf and colleagues further demonstrated that a clinical reasonable hemodilution of 10% does not affect the tested platelet receptors with both HESs when compared with saline after activation with adenosine-di-phosphate (ADP) or thrombin receptor agonist peptide (TRAP). Without the addition of an agonist, the authors detected a significant 3% to 5% difference of platelet-neutrophil conjugates after 10% hemodilution with 6% HES 130/0.4. In contrast, 10% HES 200/0.5 may adhere to the platelet surface and decrease ligand binding to the fibrinogen receptor with a hemodilution of 10% or more. Additionally, it was shown that the risk of bleeding is associated with synthetic colloids of higher molecular weight and higher degree of substitution [4].

A decreased P-selectin expression after activation with ADP in a 10% hemodilution with HES 130/0.4 is not necessarily in line with the proposed pro-inflammatory action of HES. Yet the binding of P-selectin induces tissue factor expression on neutrophils [5], and in a positive feedback loop, neutrophils activate platelets as measured by P-selectin expression [6]. Moreover, platelets are able to act via a P-selectin-independent pathway to activate neutrophils and to contribute to the formation of neutrophil extracellular traps [7]. It would be interesting to further investigate the TLR4 (Toll-like receptor 4) expression in patients with bacteremia and sepsis.

The question therefore is whether there are important different effects between HES solutions on blood coagulation. HES solutions vary widely with respect to their physicochemical characteristics, hence the concentration, molecular weight, degree of substitution, and C2/C6 ratio account for differences in their pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic profile [8].
In vitro studies are limited because of the absence of the endothelium and compensatory mechanisms like buffering and the control of pH and the lack of other electrolytes and metabolic degradation. Furthermore, it was shown that 130/0.42 dissolved in a balanced solution containing calcium was associated with fewer negative effects on thrombelastography than HES 130/0.4 dissolved in a solution without calcium [9]. Thus, more in vivo experiments seem to be important to increase the evidence of effects of various especially fast degradable HES preparations in patients undergoing surgery with major blood loss or in critically ill patients, especially those with sepsis. It seems of utmost importance to distinguish very clearly between different clinical settings in order to identify underlying mechanisms of HES solutions on coagulation.

Abbreviations
ADP = adenosine-di-phosphate; HES = hydroxyethyl starch; ROTEM® = rotation thromboelastometry.
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