1,1′-Biisoquinolines—Neglected Ligands in the Heterocyclic Diimine Family That Provoke Stereochemical Reflections
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Abstract: 1,1′-Biisoquinolines are a class of bidentate nitrogen donor ligands in the heterocyclic diimine family. This review briefly discusses their properties and the key synthetic pathways available and then concentrates upon their coordination behaviour. The ligands are of interest as they exhibit the phenomenon of atropisomerism (hindered rotation about the C1–C1′ bond). A notation for depicting the stereochemistry in coordination compounds containing multiple stereogenic centers is developed. The consequences of the chirality within the ligand on the coordination behaviour is discussed in detail.
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1. Introduction

The heterocyclic diimines 2,2′-bipyridine (1) and 1,10-phenanthroline (2) and their derivatives are among the commonest chelating nitrogen donor ligands in coordination and organometallic chemistry (Figure 1) [1–10]. The heterocyclic diimine metal-binding domain is widely used as a scaffold in supramolecular chemistry and in interfacial science. Compounds 1 and 2 are members of a much larger series of bis(heterocycles) incorporating the N=C–C=N chelating metal-binding motif. This review is concerned with the coordination behavior of one of the less well-known members of this series, 1,1′-biisoquinoline (3) (Figure 1). The 1,1′-biisoquinolines are of especial interest as steric interactions between nitrogen lone pairs, substituents on the nitrogen and between H8 and H8′ favour a non-planar geometry in both the free ligands and their chelated metal complexes. The consequences of this are discussed in Section 2.

Figure 1. The structures of the „parent“ heterocyclic diimines 2,2′-bipyridine (1) and 1,10-phenanthroline (2) together with that of 1,1′-biisoquinoline (3), the subject of this review. The IUPAC numbering scheme for derivatives of 1,1′-biisoquinoline (3), the subject of this review. The IUPAC numbering scheme for derivatives of 1,1′-biisoquinoline (3), the subject of this review. The IUPAC numbering scheme for derivatives of 1,1′-biisoquinoline (3), the subject of this review. The IUPAC numbering scheme for derivatives of 1,1′-biisoquinoline (3), the subject of this review.
Derivatives of 3 will generally be named in an abbreviated form utilizing the short-form biiq with the substituents located using the numbering scheme indicated in Figure 1; for example, 3,3′-dimethyl-1,1′-biisoquinoline (4) would be written 3,3′-Me2-biiq (Figure 1). We note that various abbreviations have been used for 1,1′-biisoquinoline in the literature, including biq and bq, which are also used by other authors for 2,2′-biquinoline.

This review concentrates upon the properties of this interesting class of compounds as ligands and also includes a non-comprehensive overview of their properties and major synthetic routes for their preparation. Condensed and reduced derivatives of biiq are excluded from this review. Much of the interest in 1,1′-biisoquinolines relates to their stereochemical and stereogenic properties, in particular they belong to the class of diaryls which exhibit atropisomerism. In the course of preparing this review, we became aware of a need for a clear notation to describe the stereochemical configuration in coordination compounds containing multiple stereogenic features (centers or axes) and/or chiral ligands. All structural information and figures have been extracted from the Cambridge Structural Database [11] using the Conquest search [12] and Mercury visualization software [13].

2. Atropisomerism

2.1. Atropisomerism

Before considering the chemistry of the 1,1′-biisoquinolines themselves, it is worth reviewing the concept of atropisomerism.

Atropisomers are stereoisomers which arise as a result of hindered rotation about a single bond, and atropisomerism is a form of axial chirality [14]. In the classical period of organic chemistry, it was assumed that rotation around C–C bonds was, to all intents and purposes, without an energy barrier. Atropisomerism is commonly observed in compounds in which aromatic rings are connected by a single C–C bond, with the barrier to rotation arising from interactions between substituents on the aromatic rings (Figure 2a). The first compounds exhibiting atropisomerism to be resolved were 6,6′-dinitro-[1,1′-biphenyl]-2,2′-dicarboxylic acid (Figure 2b) and 4,4′,6,6′-tetranitro-[1,1′-biphenyl]-2,2′-dicarboxylic acid [15], although the possibility of such forms of enantiomerism has been predicted earlier by a number of authors [16–18]. It was left to Werner Kuhn to introduce the term atropisomerism (atropisomerie), derived from the Greek ἀτρόπος (atropos) meaning “without turn”, to describe this phenomenon [19].

IUPAC recommends the use of the stereochemical descriptors P and M rather than the more commonly encountered R and S, to denote the stereogenic axis in the Preferred IUPAC Name (PIN) of axially chiral compounds (Rules P-92.1.2.1.2, P-92.1.2.2, P-92.1.2.2.1 and P-93.5.7.1 and Figure 2b) [20]. Standard Cahn-Ingold-Prelog rules are used to determine the priority of substituents treating the compounds as „extended tetrahedra” with R₃ and S₄ defining a clockwise or anticlockwise sequence (Figure 2c) [21–24]. In particular, those rules are used to identify the order of the two groups at one end (a and b in Figure 2c), and the higher ranked group (c) of the two at the other end.

The descriptors P and M refer to plus and minus, relating to a right- or left-handed helicity, respectively. The substituents a, b, c and d are arranged in pairs looking along the chirality axis. The highest priority substituent in each pair is identified, and the chirality is described as M if the path between these two is anticlockwise, and as P if it is clockwise (Figure 2d). We believe that this is easier to apply than the R₃/S₄ approach.

In practical terms, for the isolation of enantiopure forms, the interconversion of atropisomers should exhibit a half-life of at least 1000 s at 300 K which corresponds to an energy barrier for rotation of 93 kJ mol⁻¹ [25,26]. Inorganic chemists are probably most familiar with the phenomenon of atropisomerism in the context of chelating bisphosphane ligands such as BINAP ([1,1′-binaphthalene]-2,2′-diylbis(diphenylphosphane), 6, Figure 3a) [27–35]. It is instructive to use ligand 6 to illustrate the consequences of coordination. The free ligand is non-planar as a consequence of the interactions between the 2-PPh₂ and 2′-PPh₂ substituents and also between H8 and H8′; this is seen in the solid-state structures of (2P)-6 (P2₁) [36,37] (2M)-6 (P2₁) [37,38] and rac-6 (C2/c) [37,39] which have
all been reported. In all cases the two aromatic rings of the 1,1′-binaphthalene scaffold are close to orthogonal in the solid state with torsion angles $C2–C1–C1′–C2′$ in the range 91.536–93.429°. Upon coordination, the conformation of the ligand will be influenced not only by the steric interactions within the ligand, but also by the optimization of the metal-donor atom bond lengths and $\angle P–M–P$ bond angles. This is clearly seen in the complex $[\text{Pd}\{\text{2P}\}6\text{Cl}2]$ (Figure 3c), in which the torsion angle $C2–C1–C1′–C2′$ is reduced to 69.9° enabling optimal Pd–P bond lengths of 2.244 Å, a $\angle P–\text{Pd–P}$ bite angle of 92.68° and a concomitant reduction in the H8–H8′ distance of ~0.2 Å [40].

2.2. Atropisomerism in 1,1′-Biisoquinolines

1,1′-Biisoquinolines have a chiral axis defined by the C1–C1′ bond (Figure 4a). Attempts to resolve the parent compound 3 via the tartrate salt of the protonated ligand were unsuccessful, with mutarotation occurring in aqueous solution within 80 s in 0.3 M hydrochloric acid [41]. Chiral stationary phases [42] and complexation with chiral palladium complexes [43,44] have been used for the resolution of 3 and 8,8′-dialkyl derivatives [45,46]. Steric interactions are increased with the presence of substituents on the nitrogen atoms, and, in contrast to 3 itself, 1,1′-biisoquinoline N,N′-dioxide [47,48] and 8,8′-(MeO)2biiq N,N′-dioxide [42] can also be resolved on chiral solid phases. Somewhat unexpectedly, the rate of racemization increases in the sequence $8,8′-\text{Me}_2\text{biiq} < 8,8′-\text{Et}_2\text{biiq} < 8,8′-\text{Pr}_2\text{biiq}$, with activation energies at 303 K being close to 100 kJ mol$^{-1}$ [43,46]. Various chiroptical correlations have been used to show that the (+)-forms of 1,1′-biisoquinolines possess the (1M) absolute configuration (Figure 4) [42,45,46,49–51].
Figure 3. (a) The two atropisomeric forms of BINAP, [1,1′-binaphthalene]-2,2′-diylbis(diphenyl phosphane), 6; (b) in the free ligand the naphthalene rings are near-orthogonal, minimizing mutual interactions between the substituents, hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity, phosphorus shown as balls, the naphthalene closest to the viewer in 70% space-filling and the remote naphthalene in ball and stick representation; (c) in the complex [Pd{(2P)-6}Cl₂] the interannular angle between the naphthalene rings is reduced to 69.9° allowing Pd–P bond lengths of 2.244 Å and a P–Pd–P bite angle of 92.68°, hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity, phosphorus, palladium and chlorine shown as balls, the naphthalene closest to the viewer in 70% space-filling and the remote naphthalene in ball and stick representation.

Figure 4. (a) The two enantiomeric (atropisomeric) forms of 1,1′-biisoquinoline; (b) each enantiomer can adopt a syn or an anti conformation (syn N–C–C–N torsion angle < 90°, anti N–C–C–N torsion angle > 90°); (c) racemization can involve a rotation through a syn (the red arrow denotes the direction of rotation of the top quinoline) or an anti (the blue arrow denotes the direction of rotation of the top quinoline) conformation. The latter is favored as the H8 and H8′ atoms do not have to pass through each other.
Computational chemical studies at the MOMM (molecular orbital molecular mechanics) level have been reported for the free ligand biiq and confirm that the anti pathway for racemization is favoured over the syn pathway, with the barrier for syn rotation being estimated at 163 kJ mol$^{-1}$ and that for anti rotation as 41.84 kJ mol$^{-1}$ [52].

Macroyclic ligands (Figure 5) incorporating 1,1$'$-bisisoquinoline subunits have been of interest in studying the influence of the additional ring constraints on racemization. Compounds 7 and 8 were prepared from the appropriate open-chain bis(1-haloisoquinolines) using an Ullmann reaction and resolved using HPLC on a chiral phase [53]. Compound 7 racemized in boiling EtOH with a $t_{1/2}$ of 64 min ($\Delta G^\ddagger$ 110 kJ mol$^{-1}$) whilst 8 is configurationally stable. The larger ring macrocycle 9 exhibits rather more interesting behaviour and displays a dynamic kinetic resolution on treatment with a chiral acid. Rapid racemization takes place in polar and protic solvents, as well as under acidic conditions [54].

![Figure 5. Macrocyclic ligands incorporating 1,1$'$-bisisoquinoline metal-binding domains.](image)

3. Synthesis of 1,1$'$-Biisoquinolines

The standard works on the synthesis and properties of quinolines and isoquinolines do not contain significant details of the 1,1$'$-biisoquinolines [55–58]. Although a range of „exotic” methods of synthesis has been reported [55,59–69], the commonest methods relevant to the bulk preparation of ligands for use in coordination chemistry are the oxidative dimerization of isoquinolines (Scheme 1a) and the coupling of 1-haloisoquinolines (Scheme 1b) [70,71].

![Scheme 1. The commonest methods for the synthesis of 1,1$'$-biisoquinolines are (a) the oxidative dimerization of isoquinolines, and (b) the coupling of 1-haloisoquinolines. The various methods are described in detail in the text.](image)

The oxidative dimerization of isoquinoline with LDA/HMPA (LDA = Li(N$^1$Pr$^2$), HMPA = hexamethylphosphoramide) in diethyl ether is reported to give 3 in 35–55% yield [72–74], better than the 26.3% yield obtained from the reaction with sodium naphthalenide [75,76] but not approaching the 87% obtained from the reaction with MgCl(TMP) (HTMP = 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine) [74]. The compound is also obtained from the treatment of isoquinoline with LDA/TMEDA (TMEDA = N$^1$,N$^1$,N$^2$,N$^2$-tetramethylethane-
1,2-diamine) in diethyl ether, although no yield was reported [77]. The dehydrogenation reactions of isoquinoline with transition metals are not especially effective: reaction with Pd–C [78] or Ni–Al₂O₃ [79] do not yield 3 and only trace amounts are obtained with Raney nickel [80]. The best yields (<10%) are obtained with Rh-C catalysts [80].

The first reported synthesis of 3 was from a classical Ullmann reaction of 1-bromoisoquinoline with copper metal at 210–230 °C [81]. The reaction of 1-bromoisoquinoline with either sodium or magnesium does not yield 3 [79]. The coupling of 1-haloisoquinolines has been achieved with Zn–[NiBr₂(PPh₃)₂] [47,82], Zn–[NiBr₂(PPh₃)₂]–(Et₂N)I [70,83], Zn–NiCl₂–PPh₃ [43–46,84–87] or In–[Pd(PPh₃)₃] [88] catalysts. Substituted derivatives of biiq which have been prepared using this approach include 3,3′-Me₂biiq [84], 8,8′-Me₂biiq [45,46], 3,3′-Et₂biiq [84], 3,3′-(Pr)₂biiq [84], 8,8′-(Pr)₂biiq [45,46], 8,8′-(Bu)₂-biiq [45,46], 3,3′-Ph₂biiq [84], 3,3′-(2-py)₂biiq [84], 6,6′-(MeO)₂biiq [84], 3,3′-(MeO₂C)₂biiq [83], 3,3′-(HO₂C)₂biiq [83], 4,4′-((C₆H₄O(CH₂)₉O)₂biiq (n = 1–11, 13, 17) [86], 4,4′-((ROC₆H₄O(CH₂)₉O)₂biiq (R = C₆H₁₃, C₆H₁₇; n = 4, 6) [86]. An interesting modern variant on the Ullmann reaction utilizes Au-Pd nanochain networks; 3 is obtained in 77% yield from 1-chloroisooquinoline on treatment with the Au-Pd nanochain networks and K₂CO₃ in aqueous ethanol [89]. Other noteworthy variants are seen in the reaction of 1-iodoisooquinoline with 1BuLi and MnCl₂ followed by aerial oxidation, which gives 3 in 78% yield [90] or the preparation of 3 from 1-bromoisoquinoline in 30% yield by reaction with 1Bu₆Sn₃ and [Pd(PPh₃)₄] [91].

One method likely to be of future application in the synthesis of asymmetric 1,1′-biiisoquinolines is presented in Scheme 2: the parent compound 3 is obtained in 46% yield in gram-scale preparations [92,93].

**Scheme 2.** A new synthetic method with potential for the preparation of asymmetrically substituted 1,1′-biiisoquinolines [92,93].

The treatment of isoquinoline N-oxides with K(OtBu) and AIBN (AIBN = azobisobutyronitrile) in DMF or THF has been used for the preparation of 3, 3,3′-Me₂biiq, 5,5′-Cl₂biiq and 6,6′-Br₂biiq in 54–77% yield [94]. Similarly, the reaction of isoquinoline N-oxides with Li(OtBu) in chlorobenzene at 120 °C gives 3 in 50% yield [95]. Both strategies are potentially of interest for future research in the field.

### 3.1. 1,1′-Biiisoquinoline N,N′-Dioxides

1,1′-Biiisoquinoline N,N′-dioxides (Figure 6a) are readily prepared from the parent 1,1′-biiisoquinolines using classical methods of N-oxidation involving peracetic acid or MCPBA (MCPBA = 3-chloroperbenzoic acid) [47,48,96] and have been resolved using chiral HPLC. The compounds appear to be configurationally stable. Resolved 1,1′-biiisoquinoline N,N′-dioxides have found some applications as enantioselective organic catalysts [49,50,97–107]. The complex (1,1′-biiisoquinoline N,N′-dioxide)dicloridopalladium is an effective catalyst for Suzuki cross-couplings and hydroxyarylation reactions [96].

The conversion of 1,1′-biiisoquinoline to its N,N′-dioxide is expected to increase the steric interactions between the aryl subunits as the oxygen–oxygen interactions are likely to have a greater steric demand than the lone pair–lone pair interaction in the parent compound. Only a single example of a 1,1′-biiisoquinoline N,N′-dioxide has been structurally characterized in a co-crystal of (1P)-1,1′-biiisoquinoline N,N′-dioxide and (1M)-[1,1′-binaphthalene]-2,2′-dil [98]. A comparison of the N₂–C₁–C₁′–N₂′ torsion angle in 1,1′-biiisoquinoline (90.96°) [108] to that in 1,1′-biiisoquinoline N,N′-dioxide (101.64°) [98]
(101.64°) shows that the oxygen–oxygen interactions dominate and these substituents adopt an anti conformation (Figure 6b).

Figure 6. (a) 1,1'-Bisquinoline N,N'-dioxide; (b) in the solid state, the torsion angle N1–C1–C1'–N2' increases from 90.96° in (1P)-1,1'-bisquinoline [108] to 101.64° (1P)-1,1'-bisquinoline N,N'-dioxide [98] showing the importance of oxygen–oxygen interactions. The nitrogen and oxygen atoms are depicted with 70% space-filling size to emphasize the stereochemistry.

3.2. Quaternized 1,1'-Bisquinolinium Salts

A number of quaternized 1,1'-bisquinolinium salts have been prepared and characterized using classical alkylating agents (Figure 7) [109–111]. Compounds (10)(I)₂, (11)(Br)₂, (12)(Br)₂ and (13)(Br)₂ exhibit a blue chemiluminescence in basic solution that is enhanced in the presence of H₂O₂. The reaction products are N,N'-linked isoquinolin-1(2H)-ones arising from cleavage of the C₁-C₁' bond [111–113]. Several of these compounds have been structurally characterized and these are presented in Section 4.1.

Figure 7. Some 1,1'-bisquinolinium cations.

4. Some Relevant Physical and Structural Properties of 1,1'-Bisquinolines

4.1. Structural Studies

A number of 1,1'-bisquinolines and derivatives has been structurally characterized and relevant data are presented in Tables 1 and 2. Table 1 presents „simple“ 1,1'-bisquinolines and Table 2 comprises quaternized and related derivatives. Structurally characterized metal complexes are discussed individually in the relevant sections. In all cases, the individual bisquinoline ring systems are near-planar and torsion angle N2–C1–C1'–N2' is used as a measure of the relative orientation of the rings about the interannular C–C bond that defines the chiral axis. It is also worth commenting that no structure of an enantiopure 1,1'-bisquinoline has been reported to date, with all structures being in non-Sohncke space groups and containing both atropisomers. The structure of the (1P)- and (1M)-enantiomers of biq are presented in Figure 8.

Quaternized 1,1'-bisquinolines are expected to have higher barriers to racemization (as discussed for 1,1'-bisquinoline N,N'-dioxides in Section 3.1). Nevertheless, all quaternized and protonated 1,1'-bisquinolines are in non-Sohncke space groups.
Table 1. Structurally characterized 1,1′-biisoquinolines.

| Compound                  | Refcode | N2–C1–C1′–N2′/° | Space Group | Reference |
|---------------------------|---------|-----------------|-------------|-----------|
| rac-(1M)-biiq             | VEMWOC  | $-90.96$        | $I4_1/a$    | [108]     |
| rac-(1M)-8,8′-Me2biiq     | GORTUC  | $-101.18$       | $R$         | [46]      |
| rac-(1M)-8,8′-Me2biiq     | GORTUC01| $-101.18$       | $R3$        | [45]      |
| rac-(1M)-8,8′-Et2biiq     | GORVAK  | 100.63          | $Pbca$      | [46]      |
| rac-(1M)-8,8′-Et2biiq     | GORVAK01| 100.63          | $Pbca$      | [45]      |
| rac-(1M)-4,4′-(EtO)2biiq  | MUKDEC  | $-119.01$       | $C2/c$      | [87]      |
| rac-(1M)-3,3,4,4′-(4-MeOC6H4)2biiq | RUKLUH  | $-124.67$       | $Pb$        | [66]      |
| rac-(1M)-9                | MOCWIL  | 63.79           | $P2_1/n$    | [54]      |

Table 2. Structurally characterized quaternized 1,1′-biisoquinolines and related species.

| Compound                  | Refcode | N2–C1–C1′–N2′/° | Space Group | Reference |
|---------------------------|---------|-----------------|-------------|-----------|
| rac-(1M)-[H2(4,4′-(HO)2biiq)(Cl)]2 | MUKDAY | 46.88           | $C2/c$      | [87]      |
| rac-(1M)-(10)(I)2H2O      | LALXUR | $-107.81$       | $A2/a$      | [110]     |
| rac-(1M)-(11)(Br)2        | KOTCAX | $-37.23$        | $I4_1/a$    | [110]     |
| rac-(1M)-(12)(Br)2H2O     | KOTCEB | $-59.74$        | $Pb$        | [110]     |
| (1P)-biiq N,N′-dioxide    | HIMGUF  | 101.64          | $P2_1$      | [98]      |

Figure 8. Both enantiomers of 1,1′-biisoquinoline are observed in the crystal structure of rac-biiq; (a) (1M)-biiq and (b) (1P)-biiq [108].

4.2. Chiroptical and Spectroscopic Properties

The absorption spectrum of the parent compound 3 in EtOH exhibits maxima at 218, 274, 284, 312 and 324 nm with absorption coefficients of 77,900, 7370, 6920, 6480 and 9430 M$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$, respectively [41]. Various chiroptical correlations have been used to show that the (+)-forms of 1,1′-biisoquinolines possess the (1M) absolute configuration [42,45,46,49–51,114].

5. Complexes of 1,1′-Biisoquinolines

5.1. A Note on Stereochemical Nomenclature

In preparing this article, we became aware of the need to describe stereochemical arrangements in coordination entities containing multiple stereogenic features. Rigorous examination and consideration of the nomenclature challenges inherent in these situations has been limited, and the development and codification of required nomenclature has also lagged. As a consequence, ad hoc and mutually inconsistent approaches have been developed by individual research groups to address their particular needs [115–123]. It is clear
that these situations are certainly not adequately covered in the standard recommendations from IUPAC [20,124]. This short section describes the approach we have adopted.

Although IUPAC defines the descriptors $\Delta$ and $\Lambda$ which describe the configuration of tris(bidentate) coordination entities, it neither tells you where to put the descriptor in the formula of the compound, nor the associated grammar (IR-9.3.4.1, IR-9.3.4.11, IR-9.3.4.12, IR-9.3.4.14) [124]. However, throughout the section dealing with stereochemical description of coordination entities (IR-9.3), the configuration index and other descriptors that relate to the configuration of ligands around the central atom are placed as prefixes to names and formulae. Due to this, and following general practice in the community, we prefer to place the configurational descriptors $\Delta$ and $\Lambda$ before the name or formula of the coordination entity. For consistency with organic nomenclature [20], we have placed stereochemical descriptors preceding a name or formula in enclosing marks. Thus, the two enantiomers of the $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3]^2^+$ cation are denoted $\text{([\Delta]Ru(\text{bpy})_3)^2^+}$ and $\text{([\Lambda]Ru(\text{bpy})_3)^2^+}$ (Figure 9).

**Figure 9.** The absolute configurations of the two enantiomers of the $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3]^2^+$ cation. The green atoms of each bpy ligand are further away from the observer, and the blue atoms are closer. The cation resembles a propeller, with each blade being defined by the donor atoms of the bpy ligands. An anti-clockwise rotation of the right-hand structure will “dig” the propeller into the page. This is the $\Lambda$-isomer. The mirror-image propeller on the left must be rotated clockwise to do so, and is the denoted $\Delta$-isomer.

A bulk material containing equal amounts of the two enantiomers of a compound is described as a racemate and can be denoted by the stereochemical descriptor $\text{rac}$- (P-91.2.1.1 [20]) combined with the stereodescriptor for the stereogenic site (P-93.1.3) [20]. The prefix $\text{rac}$- is defined as indicating the configuration of an entire molecule (P-93.1.3) [20]. This descriptor is particularly useful when applied to single crystals of materials in non-Sohmcke space groups which contain equal amounts of the two enantiomers. However, while we could use the notation $\text{rac-(\Delta)}-[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3]^2^+$ as a description of a bulk material containing equal amounts of the $\Delta$ and $\Lambda$ enantiomers, we prefer the notation $\text{([\Delta\Lambda]}-[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3]^2^+$ for such simple systems.

Where a stereogenic center (or axis, or plane) is associated with a ligand in a coordination entity, we have adopted the convention of associating the appropriate stereochemical descriptors using standard IUPAC protocols with the ligand. Although there are arguments for placing all of the stereochemical descriptors before the coordination entity, it will not always be clear which descriptor is associated with which ligand. Thus, we will use $\text{([1M-biiq])}$ and $\text{([1P-biiq])}$ to represent the chirality of biiq ligands in coordination entities. If the bulk material contains equal amounts of $\text{(1M-biiq)}$ and $\text{(1P-biiq)}$ we use the notation $\text{([1MP-biiq])}$. Remember that the term racemic refers to a property of a molecule or coordination entity as a whole: thus, the free ligand could be described as $\text{rac-(1M-biiq)}$ but this notation should not be used within a formula for a related complex.

The question of relative stereochemistry is more complex and we enter terra incognita in the context of coordination entities. IUPAC has yet to examine and codify the ways of representing and distinguishing the subtly different stereochemical situations that are possible in these complex systems.
Consider the complex cation $\text{[Ru(biiq)$_3$]}^{2+}$, where this formulation encompasses any or all of the set of eight stereoisomers (four diastereoisomers and their enantiomers)

- $(\Lambda)$-[Ru(1M)-biiq]$_3$$^{2+}$ and $(\Lambda)$-[Ru(1P)-biiq]$_3$$^{2+}$
- $(\Lambda)$-[Ru(1M)-biiq]$_2$[(1P)-biiq]$^{2+}$ and $(\Lambda)$-[Ru(1M)-biiq][{(1P)-biiq}]$_2$$^{2+}$
- $(\Delta)$-[Ru(1M)-biiq][{(1P)-biiq}]$_2$$^{2+}$ and $(\Lambda)$-[Ru(1M)-biiq]$_2$[(1P)-biiq]$^{2+}$
- $(\Lambda)$-[Ru(1M)-biiq]$_3$$^{2+}$ and $(\Delta)$-[Ru(1P)-biiq]$_3$$^{2+}$

In a formula, $[{(1M)}$-biiq] means that the biiq ligand is present in equal numbers in the bulk material with 1M or 1P chirality. This will not necessarily be the case in diastereoisomers and we need a notation for a ligand which may possess either chirality, but not necessarily with equal numbers with 1M or 1P chirality. This we denote in a formula as $[{(1M/1P)}$-biiq]. Similarly, $\Delta\Lambda$ denotes equal amounts of the $\Delta$ and $\Lambda$ configurations, whereas $\Delta/\Lambda$ will be used for not necessarily equal amounts of either configuration, being present.

The racemate of a particular diastereoisomer is represented by adding the rac- descriptor in front of a formula or name of a defined stereoisomer, so that rac-($(\Lambda)$)-[Ru(1M)-biiq]$_3$$^{2+}$ refers to equal amounts of $(\Lambda)$-[Ru(1M)-biiq]$_3$$^{2+}$ and $(\Lambda)$-[Ru(1P)-biiq]$_3$$^{2+}$ being present. Note that rac- refers to the pairwise inversion of all of the stereogenic centers in a coordination entity. In principle, the racemate can also be defined using pairwise (or higher) groups of descriptors. Thus, $(\Delta\Lambda)$-[Ru((1M)-biiq)]$_3$$^{2+}$ refers to the mixture of $(\Delta)$-[Ru(1M)-biiq]$_3$$^{2+}$ and $(\Lambda)$-[Ru((1P)-biiq)]$_3$$^{2+}$ being present. The order of symbols, for example in $\Delta\Lambda$ and $MP$, is significant in defining relative configurations of different stereogenic features (centers, axes, etc.) that are present in a particular diastereoisomer. $(\Delta\Lambda)$-[Ru((1M)-biiq)]$_3$$^{2+}$ and $(\Delta\Lambda)$-[Ru((1P)-biiq)]$_3$$^{2+}$, where the order of the ligand descriptors is reversed, refer to racemic mixtures of different diastereomers: rac-($(\Lambda)$)-[Ru((1M)-biiq)]$_3$$^{2+}$ and rac-($(\Delta)$)-[Ru((1P)-biiq)]$_3$$^{2+}$, respectively. The potential for confusion in keeping track of the relative configurations that are present in a particular diastereoisomer, particularly when the descriptors are located in different parts of the formula or name, is a key reason for preferring the rac-descriptor over $(\Delta\Lambda)$ for systems where multiple diastereoisomers are possible. Overall, these usages are best clarified with a few examples:

- $(\Lambda)$-[Ru(1M)-biiq]$_3$$^{2+}$ denotes a single enantiomer of a single diastereoisomer;
- rac-($(\Lambda)$)-[Ru((1M)-biiq)]$_3$$^{2+}$ denotes a racemic mixture of a single diastereoisomer (i.e., equal amounts of $(\Lambda)$-[Ru((1M)-biiq)]$_3$$^{2+}$ and $(\Lambda)$-[Ru((1P)-biiq)]$_3$$^{2+}$);
- rel-($(\Lambda)$)-[Ru((1M)-biiq)]$_3$$^{2+}$ denotes a mixture but not necessarily equal amounts of the two enantiomers of a single diastereoisomer: $(\Lambda)$-[Ru((1M)-biiq)]$_3$$^{2+}$ and $(\Lambda)$-[Ru((1P)-biiq)]$_3$$^{2+}$;
- $(\Lambda/\Lambda)$-[Ru((1M)-biiq)]$_3$$^{2+}$ denotes variable amounts of the two diastereoisomers $(\Lambda)$-[Ru((1M)-biiq)]$_3$$^{2+}$ and $(\Lambda)$-[Ru((1M)-biiq)]$_3$$^{2+}$;
- $(\Delta\Lambda)$-[Ru((1M)-biiq)]$_3$$^{2+}$ denotes a 1:1 mixture of the diastereoisomers $(\Lambda)$-[Ru((1M)-biiq)]$_3$$^{2+}$ and $(\Lambda)$-[Ru((1M)-biiq)]$_3$$^{2+}$;
- $(\Lambda)$-[Ru((1M)-biiq)]$_3$$^{2+}$ denotes the mixture of diastereoisomers $(\Lambda)$-[Ru((1M)-biiq)]$_3$$^{2+}$, $(\Lambda)$-[Ru((1M)-biiq)]$_2$[(1P)-biiq]$^{2+}$, $(\Lambda)$-[Ru((1M)-biiq)][{(1P)-biiq}]$_2$$^{2+}$ and $(\Delta)$-[Ru((1P)-biiq)]$_3$$^{2+}$ in which the total numbers of (1M)-biiq and (1P)-biiq ligands in the bulk material are identical. This does not imply equal amounts of the different diastereoisomers;
- $(\Lambda)$-[Ru((1M/1P)-biiq)]$_3$$^{2+}$ denotes a mixture of diastereoisomers $(\Lambda)$-[Ru((1M)-biiq)]$_3$$^{2+}$, $(\Lambda)$-[Ru((1M)-biiq)]$_2$[(1P)-biiq]$^{2+}$, $(\Lambda)$-[Ru((1M)-biiq)][{(1P)-biiq}]$_2$$^{2+}$ and $(\Delta)$-[Ru((1P)-biiq)]$_3$$^{2+}$ in which the total amount of (1M)-biiq and (1P)-biiq ligands is variable;
- $(\Lambda/\Lambda)$-[Ru((1M)-biiq)]$_3$$^{2+}$ denotes the mixture of eight stereoisomers listed earlier in which the total numbers of (1M)-biiq and (1P)-biiq ligands are identical but the numbers of $\Delta$ and $\Lambda$ stereogenic centers may be different;
- $(\Delta\Lambda)$-[Ru((1M/1P)-biiq)]$_3$$^{2+}$ denotes the mixture of eight stereoisomers listed earlier in which the total numbers of (1M)-biiq and (1P)-biiq ligands are variable but the numbers of $\Delta$ and $\Lambda$ stereogenic centers are identical.
• \((\Delta/\Lambda)-(\text{Ru}((1M/1P)-\text{biiq})_3)_2^{2+}\) denotes the mixture of eight diastereoisomers listed earlier in which the total numbers of (1M)-biiq and (1P)-biiq ligands and \(\Delta\) and \(\Lambda\) stereogenic centers are freely variable.

The reaction of rac-(1M)-biiq with an achiral iron(II) salt will generate \(\text{rac-}(\Delta)-(\text{Fe}((1M)-\text{biiq})_3)_2^{2+}\). The notation \(\text{rac-}(\Delta)-(\text{Ru}((1M)-\text{biiq})_2((1P)-\text{biiq})_2)_2^{2+}\) can be used to describe a racemic mixture of the two enantiomers \((\Delta)-(\text{Ru}((1M)-\text{biiq})_2((1P)-\text{biiq})_2)_2^{2+}\) and \((\Lambda)-(\text{Ru}((1M)-\text{biiq})_2((1P)-\text{biiq})_2)_2^{2+}\). We also note that the use of the prefix \(\text{rel-}\) (P-93.1.4) \([20]\) in \(\text{rel-}(\Delta)-(\text{Ru}((1M)-\text{biiq})_2((1P)-\text{biiq})_2)_2^{2+}\) is not equivalent as it does not imply equal amounts of the compounds.

This leads to descriptions such as \(\text{rac-}(\Delta)-(\text{Ru}((1P)-\text{biiq})(\text{bpy})_2)_2^{2+}\) for an enantiopure diastereoisomer and \(\text{rac-}(\Delta)-(\text{Ru}((1P)-\text{biiq})(\text{bpy})_2)_2^{2+}\) for a 1:1 mixture of the diastereoisomers \((\Delta)-(\text{Ru}((1P)-\text{biiq})(\text{bpy})_2)_2^{2+}\) and \((\Lambda)-(\text{Ru}((1M)-\text{biiq})(\text{bpy})_2)_2^{2+}\). Using the proposal for the use of \(\text{rac-}\) above, the enantiomeric pair \((\Delta)-(\text{Ru}((1P)-\text{biiq})(\text{bpy})_2)_2^{2+}\) and \((\Lambda)-(\text{Ru}((1M)-\text{biiq})(\text{bpy})_2)_2^{2+}\) can be denoted \(\text{rac-}(\Delta)-(\text{Ru}((1P)-\text{biiq})(\text{bpy})_2)_2^{2+}\). The formulae \(\text{rac-}(\Delta)-(\text{Ru}((1MP)-\text{biiq})(\text{bpy})_2)_2^{2+}\) and \((\Delta/\Lambda)-(\text{Ru}((1M/1P)-\text{biiq})(\text{bpy})_2)_2^{2+}\) describes mixtures of multiple diastereoisomers and not a single enantiomeric pair. We contemplated extending this notation to the use of \(\text{ambo-}\) (P-93.1.4) \([20]\) – but our heads exploded!

The set of notations described above will be used where the stereochemical properties of the complexes are known or are of interest. Where no stereochemical information is known or implied, we simply use the abbreviation biiq.

5.2. Group 7

The complex \([\text{Re}((1MP)-\text{biiq})(\text{CO})_3\text{Cl}]\cdot\text{PhMe}\) has been structurally characterized (Figure 10) and has the expected octahedral structure with two carbonyl ligands \(\text{trans}\) to the biiq N-donor atoms (CSD Refcode AYUPER, space group \(\text{P1}\) \([125]\)). The lattice comprises equal numbers of complex molecules with (1M)-biiq and (1P)-biiq ligands with an \(\text{N}2-\text{C}1-\text{C}1'\text{N}2'\) torsion angle of \(-27.48^\circ\). Electrospray mass spectrometric (MS) studies of methanol solutions containing \([\text{Re(acac)}_2(\text{Cl})(\text{PPh}_3)]\) and biiq exhibited an ion assigned to the species \([\text{Re(biinq)}(\text{PPh}_3)]^+\) \([126]\).

![Figure 10. The structure of the [Re(1M)-biiq](CO)3Cl molecule found in the lattice of [Re(1MP)-biiq](CO)3Cl-PhMe. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity and the metal and nitrogen donor atoms are represented as balls.](image)

5.3. Group 8

In the first paper describing the synthesis of biiq, Case reported that the compound did not give a typical ferroin coloration on treatment with iron(II) salts \([81]\). It was only many years later that iron complexes of biiq and its derivatives were prepared and characterized. The blue-green spin-crossover complexes \([\text{Fe(biinq)}_3]_2\cdot 2.5\text{H}_2\text{O} (X = \text{BF}_4, \text{ClO}_4)\) are obtained directly from the reaction of biiq with the appropriate iron(II) salts \([77]\). Detailed magnetic and Mössbauer data for the compounds were reported, consistent with thermal population of the \(5T_2\) state from the \(1A_1\) ground state at higher temperatures. As might be expected for a sterically hindered heterocyclic diimine ligand, there is appreciable dissociation in acetone solutions of the complexes \([77]\) and no complex ions were reported in electrospray MS studies of methanol solutions containing iron(II) chloride and biiq \([126]\). The complex
The complex cation \([\text{Ru(biiq})(bpy)]^{2+}\) was first described by Ashby et al. who also reported the solid-state structure of \(\text{rac-(}\Lambda\text{-}[\text{Ru}(1P\text{-biiq})(\text{bpy})] \text{Cl}_2\text{)}\) (CSD Refcode HAKPEO10, space group \(C2/c\), Figure 11a, N2–C1–C1′–N2′ torsion angle of \(177.73^\circ, -24.12^\circ\) \[128\]. In the solid state, the two diastereoisomers \((\Lambda\text{-}[\text{Ru}(1P\text{-biiq})(\text{bpy})] \text{Cl}_2\text{)}\) and \((\Lambda\text{-}[\text{Ru}(1M\text{-biiq})(\text{bpy})] \text{Cl}_2\text{)}\) are present in the lattice (Ashby described the conformation of the chelate ring in terms of the \(\lambda\) and \(\delta\) description for ethane-1,2-diamine chelate rings with \(\lambda\) corresponding to 1M and \(\delta\) to 1P) (Figure 11b). Clemente has published a correction to the space group and a re-refinement of the structure reported (CSD Refcode HAKPEO11, space group \(Ibca\), N2–C1–C1′–N2′ torsion angle of \(-24.14^\circ\) \[129\]). When the crystalline material is dissolved in CD$_3$COCD$_3$ at room temperature a 3:1 mixture of diastereoisomers \((\Lambda\text{-}[\text{Ru}(1P\text{-biiq})(\text{bpy})] \text{Cl}_2\text{)}\) was essentially the same in the presence of 1M DCl and 1M LiCl and they proposed a transition state involving a bidentate planar biiq \[72\]. The authors extended the study of the \(\text{Ru(biiq)}(\text{bpy})\) cation found in \(\text{rac-(}\Lambda\text{-}[\text{Ru}(1P\text{-biiq})(\text{bpy})] \text{Cl}_2\text{)}\) to 1M DCl and 1M LiCl and they proposed a transition state involving a bidentate planar biiq. The authors extended the study to the diastereoisomers \((\Lambda\text{-}[\text{Ru}(1P\text{-biiq})(\text{bpy})] \text{Cl}_2\text{)}\) which was crystallographically characterized (CSD Refcode YONHUE, space group \(C2/c\), N2–C1–C1′–N2′ torsion angle \(-20.14^\circ\) and shown to exhibit the same favored diastereoisomer as the ruthenium analog in the solid state. The comparison of the rates of racemization for the ruthenium \((\Delta G^\ddagger_{\text{major} \rightarrow \text{minor}} = 79 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}\) at 323 K) and osmium \((\Delta G^\ddagger_{\text{major} \rightarrow \text{minor}} = 72 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}\) at 323 K) supported the proposal of the stabilization of a planar transition state as the strength of the M–N bond is expected to increase rather than decrease on descending the triad \[131\]. The space group of \(\text{rac-(}\Lambda\text{-}[\text{Os}(1P\text{-biiq})(\text{bpy})] \text{Cl}_2\text{)}\) has been revised by Clemente (CSD Refcode YONHUE01, space group \(Ibca\), N2–C1–C1′–N2′ torsion angle \(-20.15^\circ\) \[129\].

**Figure 11.** (a) The structure of the \((\Lambda\text{-}[\text{Ru}(1M\text{-biiq})(\text{bpy})] \text{Cl}_2\text{)}\) cation found in rac-(\(\Lambda\text{-}[\text{Ru}(1P\text{-biiq})(\text{bpy})] \text{Cl}_2\text{)}\) with hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity. The lattice also contains the enantiomeric \((\Lambda\text{-}[\text{Ru}(1M\text{-biiq})(\text{bpy})] \text{Cl}_2\text{)}\) cation; (b) a projection of the \((\Lambda\text{-}[\text{Ru}(1M\text{-biiq})(\text{bpy})] \text{Cl}_2\text{)}\) cation in the N–Ru–N showing the positioning of C1 and C1′ above and below this plane. The bpy ligands are rendered as wireframe, the biiq as capped sticks and the five atoms defining the chirality of the chelate ring as balls, and all hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity; (c) the \([\text{Os}(1M\text{-biiq})\text{Cl(tpy)}]^{2+}\) cation present in single crystals obtained from rac-(\(\text{Os}(1\text{MP}-\text{biiq})\text{Cl(tpy)})\text{Cl}_2\text{H}_2\text{O}\); the compound crystallized in homochiral crystals (all 1M or all 1P) in the space group \(P2_12_12_1\). The tpy ligand is rendered as wireframe, the biiq as capped sticks and the five atoms defining the chirality of the chelate ring as balls and all hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity.
The same phenomenon was also observed in the compounds \([M\{(1MP\)-biiq\}(η\(^6\)-C\(_6\)H\(_6\))X](PF\(_6\))\) (M = Ru, Os; X = Cl, I) and solid state structures were reported for \([M\{(1MP\)-biiq\}(η\(^6\)-C\(_6\)H\(_6\))Cl](PF\(_6\))\) (M = Ru, CSD Refcode QEWRAL, space group \(P\bar{1}\), N2–C1–C1′–N2′ torsion angle \(-26.97^\circ\); M = Os, CSD Refcode QEWREP, space group \(P\bar{1}\), N2–C1–C1′–N2′ torsion angle \(-26.47^\circ\)) \[132\]. The racemization mechanism involving a planar biiq ligand was invoked (Scheme 3) and the independence from the ancillary ligands established by showing a similar mechanism for the compounds \([M\{(1MP\)-biiq\}(η\(^6\)-C\(_6\)H\(_6\))Cl](PF\(_6\))\) (M = Ru, Os; X = Cl, I) \[132\] and \([M\{(1MP\)-biiq\}Cl(tpy)](PF\(_6\))\) (M = Ru, Os; tpy = 2,2\(^′\):6,2\(^′\)′:2,2\(^′\)′-terpyridine) \[133\]. The mechanism is of interest as an example of an inverse free-energy relationship in which the M–N bonds to the biiq ligand are stronger in the planar transition state than in the thermodynamically favored forms. Molecular mechanics parameters for ruthenium(II) oligopyridine and related compounds have been developed (MM3* force field in MacroModel combined with B3LYP frequency calculations) and the energy difference between the diastereoisomers \((\Delta)\-[Ru\{(1P\)-biiq\}](bpy)\(_2\))\(^2+\) and \((\Lambda)\-[Ru\{(1P\)-biiq\}](bpy)\(_2\))\(^2+\) was calculated to be 3.0 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) in good accord with the experimental value of 2.7 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) \[134\]. Although the calculated energy of the planar transition state (100 kJ mol\(^{-1}\)) implied in Scheme 3 was higher than the experimental value (70 kJ mol\(^{-1}\)), the method confirmed that coordination of biiq to ruthenium lowers the isomerization barrier for a mechanism involving a planar intermediate \[134\]. The complexes \([Ru\{biiq\}_2Cl_2]\) and \([Ru\{L(L')\}](ClO_4)_2\) (L = bpy, phen, L’ = biiq; L = biiq, L’ = bpy, phen) have been prepared and their spectroscopic properties reported \[135\]. Solutions of salts of the complex cations \([Ru\{biiq\}_3]\)^{2+}, \([Ru\{biiq\}_2(bpy)]^2+\) and \([Ru\{biiq\}(bpy)\)_2]^2+ in aqueous silver sol exhibit strong surface-enhanced resonance Raman scattering upon excitation in the MLCT bands. It is unfortunate that information was given neither on the diastereoisomers present nor on the anions, as these are expected to have a significant effect on this phenomenon \[136\]. Starke has reported some unusual collision-induced dissociation (CID) studies of homo- and heteroleptic ruthenium complexes with biiq ligands although from the formulations it is not clear exactly what complexes were studied \[126\].

\[\text{Scheme 3. The mechanism proposed by Ashby for the racemization of coordinated 1,1′-biisoquinoline ligands. The term „misdirected” refers to the fact that the pair of vectors describing the orientation of the nitrogen lone pairs in the plane of the quinoline ring is not optimal for binding to the metal center. The various descriptions used for the chirality of the coordinated biiq ligands are also indicated.}\]

The barrier to inversion of the coordinated biiq ligand relates primarily to the steric interaction between the H8 and H8’ atoms as well as the nitrogen lone pairs in the planar form. The conformation of the biiq ligand in its complexes can be seen as a balance between minimizing the H8–H8’ interactions (non-planar) and maximizing the M–N interactions (planar). Support for this comes from the observation that \(\text{rac-}[Ru\{biiq\}(bpy)\_2](PF\(_6\))_2\) is cleanly converted to \([Ru\{bpy\}_2(dap)](PF\(_6\))_2\) (dap = 1,12-diazaperylene) upon heating to 175–195 °C in HOCH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)OH-Me\(_2\)CO in the presence of Pd-C (Scheme 4) \[137\].
The solid-state structures of [Os(1M-biiq)Cl(tpy)]Cl·H2O (CSD Refcode ACUDEH, Space group P21_21_21, N2–C1–C1′–N2′ torsion angle −21.01°, Figure 11c) [133] and [Ru((1MP)-biiq)Cl(tpy)][ClO4]·CH3CN (CSD Refcode YEZBEK, space group P21/c, N2–C1–C1′–N2′ torsion angle 22.18°) [138] have been reported. The complex [Ru(biiq)(O)(Me3-TACN)][ClO4] (Me3TACN = 1,4,7-trimethyl-1,4,7-triazacyclononane) is effective in the stochiometric oxidation of alkenes to epoxides [139]. The compound [Ru(biiq)(phen)]PF6 is very photostable and is only converted to [Ru(MeCN)2(phen)]PF6 after several hours of irradiation with a 250 W halogen lamp [130].

A series of compounds including [Ru(biiq)2(CO)4(μ-OAc)][OAc], [Ru(biiq)Cl(η6-p-cymene)]Cl and [Ru(biiq)Cl(η6-p-cymene)][BPh4] has been prepared and shown to be effective catalysts for the hydrogenation of alkenes [74]. The homoleptic compound [Ru(biiq)2]PF6 was also obtained in this work. The solid-state structure of [Ru((1MP)-biiq)Cl(η6-p-cymene)][BPh4] (CSD Refcode GABGUM, space group P21/n, N2–C1–C1′–N2′ torsion angle of −24.78°) [74] was also reported and the cation shown to be broadly similar to the [M(biiq)Cl(η6-C6H6)]+ (M = Ru, Os) cations previously described [132].

When the complexes [Ru(acac)2L][ClO4]n (L = 3,4-dihydro-1,1′-biisoquinoline or 3,3′,4,4′-tetrahydro-1,1′-bisquinolinone, n = 0 or 1) are treated with NEt3 under aerobic conditions, the ligands are fully aromatized and the compounds [Ru(acac)2(biiq)][ClO4]n (n = 0 or 1) are obtained [140]. The complex rac-(Δ)-[Ru(acac)2((1MP)-biiq)]ClO4 has been structurally characterized (CSD Refcode OGIUY, space group P21/n, Figure 12a, N2–C1–C1′–N2′ torsion angle of 25.38°). The lattice contains equal numbers of the two diastereoisomeric cations (Δ)-[Ru(acac)2((1MP)-biiq)]+ and (Δ)-[Ru(acac)2((1P)-biiq)]+ cations. The ruthenium(II) analogue rac-(Δ)-[Ru(acac)2((1P)-biiq)] has also been structurally characterized (CSD Refcode OGVUY, space group P21/c, N2–C1–C1′–N2′ torsion angle of 18.51°). Interestingly, in this case the lattice contains equal numbers of the two diastereoisomeric cations (Δ)-[Ru(acac)2((1P)-biiq)]+ and (Δ)-[Ru(acac)2((1P)-biiq)]+ cations. It is unclear if the change in diastereoisomeric selectivity in these two compounds is a case of diastereoisomerically selective crystallization for the two crystals determined, or if it reflects a more fundamental difference in thermodynamic stability of the complexes themselves. The Ru–O and Ru–N distances in the ruthenium(II) complex (Ru–O, 2.046–2.060 Å, Ru–N, 1.991, 1.993 Å) are significantly shorter than in the ruthenium(III) compound (Ru–O, 2.010, 2.011 Å, Ru–N, 2.037 Å) [140].

The complexes [Ru(3,3′-(O2C=C=O)2biiq-κ2N)L2] (L = 4-methylpyridine, 6-bromoisoquinoline) in which the 3,3′-(O2C=C=O)2biiq-κ2− ligand acts as a planar tetradentate N,N′,O,O′-donor, have been prepared and shown to catalyze the oxidation of water in acidic media with Ce(IV) as the primary oxidant. Turnover numbers and frequencies are relatively modest, especially in comparison to related scaffolds based upon bpy or phen metal-binding domains [83]. The solid-state structure of [Ru(3,3′-(O2C=C=O)2biiq-κ2N)L2]-2MeOH (L = 6-bromoisoquinoline) has been reported (CSD Refcode ABEVIP, space group P21, Figure 12b) [83]. In the lattice there are equal numbers of complex molecules with (1M) and (1P) configurations of the biiq ligands, with an N2–C1–C1′–N2′ torsion angle of −15.35°. In contrast, the complex [Ru(biiq)Cl(tpy)][PF6]2 showed no activity in water oxidation studies [141].
Figure 12. (a) The \((\Lambda\text{-}[\text{Ru(acac)}_2\{(1\text{P})\text{-biiq}\}]^+\) cation present in rac-(\(\Lambda\text{-}[\text{Ru(acac)}_2\{(1\text{M})\text{-biiq}\}]\)(ClO_4). Equal numbers of (\(\Lambda\text{-}[\text{Ru(acac)}_2\{(1\text{M})\text{-biiq}\}]^+\) cations are also present in the lattice. Hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity and donor atoms and the metal atoms are shown as balls; (b) The structure of the \([\text{Ru}\{(1\text{M})\text{-3,3′-(O}_2\text{C-κO})_2\text{biiq-κ}_2\text{N}\}_2\text{L}_2\}]^+\) molecule present in \([\text{Ru}\{(1\text{MP})\text{-3,3′-(O}_2\text{C-κO})_2\text{biiq-κ}_2\text{N}\}_2\text{L}_2\}]\)·2MeOH (\(L = 6\text{-bromoisoquinoline}\)). Equal numbers of \([\text{Ru}\{(1\text{P})\text{-3,3′-(O}_2\text{C-κO})_2\text{biiq-κ}_2\text{N}\}_2\text{L}_2\}]^+\) molecules are present in the lattice. Hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity and donor atoms and the metal atoms are shown as balls. Only the nitrogen donor atoms of the 6-bromoisoquinoline ligands are shown.

5.4. Group 9

No cobalt complexes of biiq appear to have been isolated although electrospray MS studies of methanol solutions containing CoCl_2 and biiq observed an ion assigned to the species \([\text{Co(biiq)Cl}]^+\) \[126\].

The reaction of \([\text{cod}]\text{Rh(μ–Cl)}_2\text{Rh(cod)}\) with 7,7′-(MeO)_2biiq gives \([\text{Rh(cod)}\{(7,7′-(\text{MeO})_2\text{biiq})\}](\text{ClO}_4)\) \[114\]. This observation was the basis for a study of the coordination of the related enantiopure macrocyclic ligands (+)-7 and (1M)- and (1P)-8 with \([\text{cod}]\text{Rh(μ–Cl)}_2\text{Rh(cod)}\). The reaction of (+)-7 with \([\text{cod}]\text{Rh(μ–Cl)}_2\text{Rh(cod)}\) gave the structurally characterized complex \([\text{Rh}\{(1\text{MP})\text{-7}\}](\text{cod})\cdot\text{Me}_2\text{CO} \) (CSD Refcode ZIJDIF, space group \(P2_1/\alpha\), N2–C1–C′1–N2′ torsion angle of \(−28.49^\circ\), Figure 13a) in which the ligand has racemized and the lattice contains equal numbers of the \([\text{Rh}\{(1\text{M})\text{-7}\}](\text{cod})^+\) and \([\text{Rh}\{(1\text{P})\text{-7}\}](\text{cod})^+\) cations. In contrast, the configurationally stable ligands (1M)- and (1P)-8 give homochiral complexes with retention of configuration. The compound \([\text{Rh}\{(1\text{M})\text{-8}\}](\text{cod})\cdot\text{ClO}_4\cdot\text{Me}_2\text{CO} \) (CSD Refcode ZIJDOL, space group \(P2_1/2_1/2_1\), N2–C1–C′1–N2′ torsion angle of \(−39.16^\circ\), Figure 13b) was structurally characterized, which also confirmed the \(1\text{M}\) configuration for the (−) enantiomer of the ligand. \[53\]

Figure 13. (a) The structure of the \([\text{Rh}\{(1\text{M})\text{-7}\}](\text{cod})^+\) cation found in \([\text{Rh}\{(1\text{MP})\text{-7}\}](\text{cod})\cdot\text{ClO}_4\cdot\text{Me}_2\text{CO} \) with hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity and non-carbon atoms as balls; (b) the structure of the \([\text{Rh}\{(1\text{M})\text{-8}\}](\text{cod})^+\) cation found in \([\text{Rh}\{(1\text{M})\text{-8}\}](\text{cod})\cdot\text{ClO}_4\cdot\text{Me}_2\text{CO} \) with hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity and non-carbon atoms as balls.
Cationic iridium(III) complexes with cyclometalating ligands are of interest for application as emitters in organic light-emitting diodes (OLEDs) and light-emitting electrochemical cells (LECs). The complex [Ir(14)(biiq)](PF₆) (Figure 14) has been prepared and the photophysical properties studied. The compound exhibits a strong orange-red emission at 672 nm [142]. The related complex [Ir(15)(biiq)](PF₆) (Figure 14) has been prepared and the photophysical properties as well as the solid-state structure reported (CSD Refcode REMQOQ, space group P2₁, N₂–C₁–C₁’–N₂’ torsion angle −30.36°, Figure 14) [91]. In the solid-state structure of rac-(∆)-[Ir(15)(1M)-biiq)](PF₆), there are equal numbers of (A)-[Ir(15)(1M)-biiq)]⁺ and (∆)-[Ir(15)(1P)-biiq)]⁺ cations.

Figure 14. Two cyclometalating ligands (HC’N) which form strongly luminescent iridium(III) complexes of the type [Ir(biiq)(CN)]PF₆ and the solid-state structure of the (A)-[Ir(15)(1M)-biiq)]⁺ cation present in rac-(∆)-[Ir(15)(1M)-biiq)](PF₆). Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity and donor atoms are represented as balls.

5.5. Group 10

The bright green paramagnetic complex [Ni(biiq)₃]²⁺ has been isolated from the reaction of biiq with [Ni(H₂O)₆]ClO₄ in EtOH [77]. In contrast, the reaction with NiCl₂·6H₂O in "BuOH gives the structurally characterized compound [Ni(biiq)Cl₂] (CSD Refcode BAFRUY, space group P2₁/c, N₂–C₁–C₁’–N₂’ torsion angles −40.11, 36.91°, Figure 15a) which has been shown to be an effective catalyst for the Suzuki coupling reaction [143]. Each molecule contains one biiq ligand with the 1M configuration and one with the 1P configuration leading to the full description cis-rac-(A) [Ni(1M)-biiq]((1P)-biiq)Cl₂ (remember that the metal is also a stereogenic center in an octahedral [M(N’N)₂×₂] species, where N’N is a chelating bidentate ligand). The complex [Pd(biiq)Cl₂] has also been prepared and structurally characterized (CSD Refcode BAFSUZ, space group P2₁/c, N₂–C₁–C₁’–N₂’ torsion angles −22.48, 27.64°, Figure 15b) and is effective as a catalyst in Suzuki and Heck reactions as well as in hydroxylation reactions [143]. The lattice of [Pd(biiq)Cl₂] contains equal numbers of crystallographically independent [Pd(1M)-biiq]Cl₂ and [Pd(1P)-biiq]Cl₂ molecules.

The reaction of biiq with the chiral complexes [([R]-16)κCN]Pd(μ-Cl)₂Pd([R]-16κCN)] or [([S]-16κCN]Pd(μ-Cl)₂Pd([S]-16κCN)] is diastereosomer specific and gives the structurally characterized complexes [([R]-16κCN]Pd(μ-Cl)(μ-1M)-biiq-1kN₂x₂kN’)Pd([R]-16κCN)](ClO₄) (CSD Refcode FOSVOY, space group P2₁2₁2₁, N₂–C₁–C₁’–N₂’ torsion angles −93.72°) and [([S]-16κCN]Pd(μ-Cl)(μ-1P)-biiq-1kN₂x₂kN’)Pd([S]-16κCN)](ClO₄) (CSD Refcode FOSVUE, space group P2₁2₁2₁, N₂–C₁–C₁’–N₂’ torsion angle 91.59°) each containing a bridging biiq ligand (Scheme 5) [44]. The same strategy for the resolution of 8,8′-Me₂biiq was less successful giving mixtures of diastereoisomers. However, using the corresponding reactions using H₁₇ exhibited a high diastereoselectivity and optically pure (1P)-8,8′-Me₂biiq and (1M)-8,8′-Me₂biiq were isolated from mother liquors or by reaction of [([R]-17κCN]Pd(μ-Cl)(μ-1M)-biiq-1kN₂x₂kN’)Pd([R]-17κCN)](ClO₄) with dppe (1,2-bis(diphenylphosphano)ethane), respectively [43].
Figure 15. (a) A molecule of the complex cis-(Δ)-[Ni([M]-biiq)]((P)-biiq)Cl₂ present in cis-rac-(Δ)-[Ni([1M]-biiq)]((1P)-biiq)Cl₂; equal numbers of cis-(Δ)-[Ni([1M]-biiq)]((1P)-biiq)Cl₂ molecules are present in the lattice. The donor atoms and nickel are shown as balls, and hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity; (b) one of the two independent molecules of [Pd(biiq)Cl₂] present in cis-rac-[Pd([1M]-biiq)Cl₂]. The molecule represented is cis-[Pd([1P]-biiq)Cl₂]. The other independent molecule possesses the M configuration. The donor atoms and palladium are shown as balls and hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity.

Scheme 5. The chiral complexes [((R)-16-κCN)Pd(µ-Cl)₂Pd((R)-16-κCN)] or [((S)-16-κCN)Pd(µ-Cl)₂Pd((S)-16-κCN)] can be used to form the complexes [((R)-16-κCN)Pd(µ-Cl)(µ-(1M)-biiq-1κN,2κN')Pd((R)-16-κCN)](ClO₄) and [((S)-16-κCN)Pd(µ-Cl)(µ-(1P)-biiq-1κN,2κN')Pd((S)-16-κCN)](ClO₄) diastereoselectively. The solid-state structure of the [((S)-16-κCN)Pd(µ-Cl)(µ-(1P)-biiq-1κN,2κN')Pd((S)-16-κCN)]⁺ cation in [((S)-16-κCN)Pd(µ-Cl)(µ-(1P)-biiq-1κN,2κN')Pd((S)-16-κCN)](ClO₄) is shown. Only the C and N donor atoms of the cyclometalated 16 ligands are shown. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity and donor atoms and the metal centers are depicted as balls.

Electrospray and collision-induced dissociation MS studies of methanol solutions containing group 10 compounds and biiq have been reported [126]. The catalytic system [Pd(O₂CCF₃)₂]–biiq has been shown experimentally to be moderately active in the reaction of 2,6-dimethoxybenzoic acid with MeCN to give 2,6-dimethoxyacetophenone; investigations at the DFT level were also reported [144].

The orange complex [Pt(biiq)Cl₂] has been prepared by the direct reaction of K₂[PtCl₄] with biiq in water; the complex has been structurally characterized (CSD Refcode LEKXOO, space group P2₁/c) and closely resembles the palladium analog (see above), with the lattice containing equal numbers of crystallographically independent [Pt([1M]-biiq)Cl₂]
and [Pt((I)-biiq)Cl₂] molecules with different torsion angles (35.18 and 41.12°) [145]. The reaction of [Pt(biiq)Cl₂] with pyridine or 4-(N,N'-dimethylamino)pyridine (4-Me₂Npy) gives the complexes [Pt(biiq-κN)(py)₂] and [Pt(biiq-κN)(4-Me₂Npy)₂], respectively, each containing a monodentate biiq ligand. In the structurally characterized compound [Pt(biiq-κN)(4-Me₂Npy)₂] (CSD Refcode LEKXUU, space group P̅T) the torsion angle between the two isoquinoline ring systems of the biiq is 77.61° [145].

5.6. Group 11

Until recently, the only biiq complex containing copper (outside the patent literature) was the red copper(I) compound [Cu(biiq)₂](ClO₄) obtained from the reaction of biiq with [Cu(CH₃CN)₄](ClO₄) in MeCN [146]. The compound is relatively air-sensitive. We recently reported the preparation, characterization and photophysical properties of [Cu(POP)(biiq)](PF₆) and [Cu(xantphos)(biiq)](PF₆) (POP = bis(2-(diphenylphosphanyl)-phenyl)ether, xantphos = (9,9-dimethyl-9H-xanthene-4,5-diyl)bis(diphenylphosphane) with a view to incorporating them as electroluminescent layers in light-emitting electrochemical cells. The NMR spectra indicate several concurrent dynamic processes involving inversion of both coordinated ligands. The solid-state structure of [Cu(POP)(biiq)](PF₆) has been determined (space group P̅T, N₂–C₁–C₁ torsion angle –38.46°) (Figure 16) [147].

Figure 16. One of the enantiomeric [Cu(POP)((1M)-biiq)]⁺ cations present in [Cu(POP)((1M)-biiq)](PF₆) The donor atoms and copper are shown as balls and hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity with only the ipso carbon atoms of the Ph₂P groups shown.

Electrospray and collision-induced dissociation MS studies of methanol solutions containing CuCl₂ and biiq exhibited ions assigned to the species [Cu(biiq)₂Cl]⁺, [Cu(biiq)Cl]⁺ and [Cu₂(biiq)₂(CI)₂]⁺ [126]. In an extension to this work, heteroleptic and holocomplexes incorporating biiq and functionalized derivatives, bpy and phen were studied using ESMS and in situ complexation. Collision-induced decomposition measurements were used to determine the relatives tabilities of the copper(II) complexes [84].

As always, silver(I) complexes can be relied upon to provide new delights of structural diversity. Structurally characterized complexes containing silver(I) all contain two-, three- or four-coordinate silver(I) centers; no example of a chelating bidentate biiq ligand has been structurally characterized. Several structural motifs with biiq–Ag stoichiometries of 2:1, 2:2 and 1:1 have been reported. The compounds [(Ag(μ-biiq)₂)ₓ]X₂ (X = BF₄ or CF₃SO₃) of 2:1 stoichiometry are thought on the basis of conductivity data to be oligomeric or polymeric species containing silver centers coordinated to four biiq nitrogen donors [148]. The compounds of 2:2 stoichiometry [(Pt₃P)Ag(μ-biiq-1κN,2κN’)₂Ag(PMePh₂)](ClO₄)₂·3Me₂CO (CSD Refcode YAQSUU, space group P̅T, N₂–C₁–C₁’–N₂’, two crystallographically independent cations with torsion angles –100.28, 100.28° and 94.53, –94.53°, Figure 17a), [(MePh₂P)Ag(μ-biiq-1κN,2κN’)₂Ag(PMePh₂)](ClO₄)₂·2CH₂Cl₂ (CSD Refcode YAQSOF, space group P̅T, N₂–C₁–C₁’–N₂’ torsion angle –79.73, 79.73°) and [(MePh₂P)Ag(μ-biiq-1κN,2κN’)₂Ag(PMePh₂)](CF₃SO₃)₂·2CH₂Cl₂ (CSD Refcode YAQSOO, space group P₂₁/c, N₂–C₁–C₁’–N₂’ torsion angles –98.03, 98.03°) all contain discrete, centrosymmetric dinuclear cations with two three-coordinate silver centers [148]. A similar dinuclear [Ag(μ-biiq-1κN,2κN’)₂Ag] core is present in [Ag₂(μ-biiq-1κN,2κN’)₂](CF₃SO₃)₂·4Me₂CO which exhibits
many short Ag...O contacts (CSD Refcode YAQRUF, space group C2/c, N2–C1–C1′–N2′ torsion angles 67.84, 66.00°) and [((CFO3)SO3–κO)Ag(μ-biiq)2Ag(CF3SO3–κO)]2Me2CO (CSD Refcode YAQSAM, space group Pbnm, N2–C1–C1′–N2′ torsion angles 68.22, 79.95°) [148]. In [Ag2{(μ-biiq-1kN,2kN)2}((CFO3)SO3)2-4Me2CO and [(CF3SO3–κO)Ag(μ-biiq)2Ag(CF3SO3–κO)]2Me2CO, equal numbers of the homochiral cations [Ag2{(1P–μ-biiq-1kN,2kN)2}ClO4]2+ and [Ag2{(1M–μ-biiq-1kN,2kN)2}2+]2+ are present, whereas [(Ph3P)Ag(μ-biiq-1kN,2kN)2Ag(Ph3P)]- (ClO4)2-3Me2CO, [(MePh2P)Ag(μ-biiq-1kN,2kN)2Ag(PhMe2)3]ClO4-2CH2Cl2 and [(MePh2P)Ag(μ-biiq-1kN,2kN)2Ag(PhMe2)][(CF3SO3)2-2CH2Cl2 contain heterochiral [Ag2{(1M–μ-biiq-1kN,2kN)}(1P–μ-biiq-1kN,2kN)]2+ cations.

Figure 17. (a) The [(Ph3P)Ag(μ-biiq)2Ag(Ph3P)]2+ cation present in [(Ph3P)Ag(μ-biiq)2Ag(Ph3P)]- (ClO4)2-3Me2CO with donor atoms and silver atoms shown as balls, only the ipso carbon atoms of the Ph3P ligands are shown, and hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity; (b) the compound [(CF3SO3)3(PPh3)Ag(μ-biiq)2Ag(OH2)](PPh3)+ cation present in [(CF3SO3)3(PPh3)Ag(μ-biiq)2Ag(OH2)2(PPh3)](ClF3SO3)2CHCl3 with donor atoms and silver atoms shown as balls, only the ipso carbon atoms of the Ph3P ligands shown and hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity; (c) the one-dimensional [(Ag(μ-biiq)3)2]2+ cation in [(Ag(μ-biiq)3)3(CF3SO3)4.nH2O with donor atoms and silver atoms shown as balls and hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity.

A 1:2 stoichiometry is found in the compound [(CF3SO3)3(PPh3)Ag(μ-biiq-1kN,2kN)2–Ag(OH2)](PPh3)](ClF3SO3)2CHCl3 (CSD Refcode YAQROZ, space group Pτ, N2–C1–C1′–N2′ torsion angle 113.05°, Figure 17b) and related species with other phosphine ligands and anions [148]. The complexes [Ag(μ-biiq)2]nX (X = BF4 or CF3SO3)-H2O are one-dimensional polymers; the solid-state structure of [(Ag(μ-biiq-1kN,2kN)3)n(CF3SO3)6.nH2O (CSD Refcode YAQSEQ, space group C2/c, N2–C1–C1′–N2′ torsion angle 111.76°, Figure 17c) has been reported [148].

Electrospray MS studies of methanol solutions containing Ag(BF4) and biiq exhibited ions assigned to the species [Ag(biiq)2]+ and [Ag(biiq)]+; if bpy (1) or phen (2) were also in the solution the ions [Ag(biiq)(1)]+ and [Ag(biiq)(2)]+ were observed [126]. Subsequent studies together with DFT level calculations were reported for substituted biiq ligands [85].

The preferred geometry for gold(I) is linear two-coordinate and the biiq ligand cannot, therefore, coordinate in a chelating bidentate mode. Two types of discrete complexes are found with gold(I) centers. A monodentate biiq ligand is found in [Au(biiq–κN)(C6F5)] and also in the structurally characterized compound [Au(biiq–κN)(PPh3)][(CF3SO3)3] (CSD Refcode DEGMUZ, space group Pτ, θ−P−Au−N, 175.84°, N2–C1–C1′–N2′ torsion angle −100.32°, Figure 18a) [149]. In contrast, bridging biiq ligands are found in the com-
pounds [(Me₃P)Au(biiq-1κN,2κN')Au(PMe₃)](CF₃SO₃)₂ (CSD Refcode DEGMIN, space group Pna2₁, ∠P–Au–N, 175.29, 176.24°, N2–C1–C1′–N2′ torsion angle 65.17°, Figure 18b), [(MePh₂P)Au(biiq-1κN,2κN')Au(PMePh₂)](CF₃SO₃)₂ (CSD Refcode DEGMOT, space group Pähl, ∠P–Au–N, 175.63, 176.89°, N2–C1–C1′–N2′ torsion angle 95.67°) and [(C₆F₅)Au(biiq-1κN,2κN')Au(C₆F₅)] (CSD Refcode DEGNAG, space group C2/c, ∠C–Au–N 178.96°, N2–C1–C1′–N2′ torsion angle 80.10°) [149].

Figure 18. (a) In the compound [Au(biiq-κN)(PPh₃)](CF₃SO₃) the biiq ligand is monodentate and coordinates to a single near-linear (∠P–Au–N, 175.84°), two coordinate gold center with the donor atoms and the gold atoms represented as balls and hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity; (b) whereas a bridging mode is found in [(Me₃P)Au(biiq-1κN,2κN')Au(PMe₃)](CF₃SO₃)₂ with the donor atoms and the gold atoms represented as balls and hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity.

5.7. Group 12

Electrospray MS studies of methanol solutions containing Zn(OAc)₂ and biiq exhibited ions assigned to the species [Zn(biiq)₂(OAc)]⁺ and [Zn(biiq)(OAc)]⁺. In contrast, similar studies using Cd(NO₃)₂ gave species assigned to [Cd(biiq)(OCH₃)]⁺. The formula reported for [Zn(biiq)(OAc)]⁺ and the masses for [Zn(biiq)(OAc)]⁺ and [Cd(biiq)(OCH₃)]⁺ are incorrect and these formulations must be regarded as suspect [126].

6. Conclusions

This review has attempted to present a comprehensive discussion of the chemistry, particularly the coordination chemistry of 1,1′-biisoquinoline. The consequences of coordination of these atropisomeric ligands identified the need for a refinement and clarification of the stereochemical nomenclature for complexes with multiple stereogenic sites and we offer a first approach.
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