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Abstract: Salivary glands are essential structures in the oral cavity. A variety of diseases, such as cancer, autoimmune diseases, infections and physical traumas, can alter the functionality of these glands, greatly impacting the quality of life of patients. To date, no definitive therapeutic approach can compensate the impairment of salivary glands, and treatment are purely symptomatic. Understanding the cellular and molecular control of salivary glands function is, therefore, highly relevant for therapeutic purposes. In this review, we provide a starting platform for future studies in basic biology and clinical research, reporting classical ideas on salivary gland physiology and recently developed technology to guide regeneration, reconstruction and substitution of the functional organs.
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1. Introduction

Structures secreting fluid to facilitate feeding emerge progressively throughout evolution and can be found in very simple organisms (e.g., Caenorhabditis elegans) and more complex species (e.g., Drosophila melanogaster, placental mammals). In humans, major and minor salivary glands produce and secrete digestive fluids or protein-rich fluids. The three pairs of major salivary glands (i.e., parotid, submandibular and sublingual glands) are responsible for the production and secretion of saliva in the oral cavity, whose moisturizing effect preserves oral hygiene and allows taste, speech and mastication [1].

The parotid gland (PG) is mainly composed of serous acini-secreting α-amylase-rich saliva [2]. The sublingual gland (SL) secretes mucous, a viscous solution rich in mucins [3–5]. The submandibular gland (SMG) is composed by a mixed population of acini with a mucous and serous function [1,4,6]. These three major salivary glands account for more than 90% of salivary secretion. Minor salivary glands are distributed throughout the oral cavity, specifically in the labial and lingual mucosa, as well as palate and floor of the mouth.

Saliva is an essential fluid for oral cavity maintenance and functionality. Digestive enzymes within saliva initiate the digestion process, and at the same time, saliva acts as a lubricant of solid nutrition, thus helping its passage through the esophagus. By moisturizing the tongue and other tissues of the oral cavity, saliva has an essential role in speech and taste sensitivity [7]. It also balances the pH of the mouth, thus protecting the soft oral tissues and teeth from an extended exposure to an acidic environment. Saliva contains several signalling molecules, such as EGF, FGF, NGF and TGF-α, that are essential for the regeneration of oral and oesophageal mucosa. Finally, the antibacterial and antifungal components of the saliva, such as lysozymes, immunoglobulins and lactoferrin, inhibit the progression of bacterial infection and dental caries.

Physiological functions and the histological appearance of salivary glands are rather conserved between species and individuals, but clear distinctions exist in terms of anatomical position and
volume (i.e., the PG is the largest of the salivary glands in humans, while the SMG is the largest in mice). In humans, 20% of individuals possess an accessory PG, equipped with its own blood supply, and a secondary excretory duct independent from the main body of the gland [2]. A relevant sexual dimorphism appears in mice: SMG glands differ significantly between male and female mice, in particular the granular convoluted tubules (GCT), which are larger and more numerous in the male organs. Such a dimorphism has been lost in humans, and GCTs are absent in human SMGs, independently of gender [8]. Murine submandibular glands specifically synthesize EGF and NGF in the GCTs following testosterone activity, while their production in humans occurs in the striated ducts. These differences reveal an interesting species-specific evolutionary tract in oral morphology and ductal specification [9].

2. Salivary Gland Anatomy and Morphogenesis

2.1. Morphogenesis of the Salivary Glands

Salivary glands originate from an epithelial placode during embryonic development (from E11 to E16 in mice and between the 4th and the 12th embryonic weeks in humans). The initial placode grows and extends into the underlying mesenchyme, acquiring a bud shape. The growing epithelial bud progressively stratifies with concentric layers each formed by a specialized cell type. During branching morphogenesis, the initial salivary bud divides into additional, independent buds that grow and cleave again, until the formation of an extensive arborization typical for the mature salivary gland (Figure 1) [10].
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**Figure 1.** Embryonic development of murine SMG and SL glands. Embryonic development starts with an epithelial placode, which, in turn, invades the mesenchymal layer and branches up. Innervation and vascularization advance in parallel with the growth of salivary glands primordia and directly regulates its maturation. Myoepithelial cells arise from the external epithelial layer and develop into the contracting unit involved in the regulation of secretion.

A portion of the cells forming the outer epithelial layer of the buds differentiates into myoepithelial cells. They will then acquire smooth muscle characteristics and locate in direct contact with the acinar structure to regulate the release of secretion [11]. Inner epithelial cells differentiate further to acquire a distal (tips) or proximal (stalk) identity, which, in turn, evolves into acini or ducts, respectively [12].

Both epithelial and mesenchymal cells produce the basement membrane and stromal extracellular matrix. The composition of the extracellular matrix varies from region to region during branching, and bundles of collagen I, IV and fibronectin are thought to directly control the maturation process. Low concentrations of fibronectin and glycosaminoglycans facilitate the area of bud growth, while accumulation of fibronectin, collagen IV and glycosaminoglycans limit epithelial activity of the peripheral nervous system also participates in directing salivary growth and stabilizing the basal
lamina, determining sites where branching and clefting occurs (Figure 1) [13,14]. The peripheral nervous system participates in directing salivary gland maturation. The primordial epithelial structure is innervated by cholinergic neurons, whose axonal growth follows the ramified pattern of the developing gland [15]. Local release of acetylcholine induces proliferation of epithelial progenitor which positively regulate epithelial branching [16–18].

A network of capillaries derived from terminal arterioles develops in parallel with the acini-duct systems and has an instructive role in the establishment of the epithelial patterning [19].

2.2. Histological and Anatomical Features

The three major salivary glands have a similar anatomical structure, with a main secretory duct extending from the main body of the gland to the oral cavity. The secretory duct of the SMG is the Wharton’s duct, which reaches the oral cavity under the tongue at the sublingual caruncula. The Bartholin duct is the major duct of the SL and it connects with the Warthon’s duct at its extremity before the opening in the oral mucosa. SL also have smaller ducts called Rivinus’s ducts that release secretion beneath the tongue onto the floor of the mouth. Finally, the PG has an independent duct called Stensen’s duct, opening on the upper portion of the oral cavity.

The secretory duct branches up into striated ducts, composed of columnar epithelial cells whose appearance is due to infoldings of the basal membrane. Striated ducts extend further into progressively smaller intercalated duct, characterized by a wall of flat cuboidal epithelial cells. Finally, the structure ends into a secretory unit of acinar cells grouped as end-pieces specialized in producing and releasing the primary secretion (Figure 2).

2.3. Innervation and Trophic Support

Salivary glands are densely innervated by the autonomic nervous system.

The parasympathetic nerves release acetylcholine, which activates the muscarinic receptors stimulating fluid secretion. The sympathetic nerves, on the other hand, control salivation through release of noradrenaline and activation of α- and β-adrenoreceptors, the first stimulating fluid-rich secretion and the latter protein-rich secretion [20,21]. This suggests that the serous population of cells is innervated by the parasympathetic system, while the mucous population mainly depends on the sympathetic stimulation [22–24]. The distribution of the secretory nerves highly depends on species, age and type of gland [25].

Innervation of the salivary glands starts during embryonic development and progress in parallel with the organ definition. Neural crest-derived cells migrate to their appropriate location in the oral epithelium to instruct the thickening for the placode formation. The neural crest-derived precursors differentiate to form the parasympathetic submandibular ganglion (PSG) surrounding the epithelial primordia of the major secretory duct. As branching proceeds further with the developmental process, axons from the PSG extend along the epithelium to envelop the secretory end-pieces. By E14 in the mouse, the gland is highly branched and fully innervated [26]. The instructive role of the PSG is currently gathering interest, as ablation of the PSG reduces expression of epithelial progenitor markers such as Krt5 and Krt15, and might, therefore, be implicated in the maintenance of endogenous stem cells [27]. Similarly, acetylcholine induces proliferation of Krt5-expressing progenitor cells via regulation of the EGF pathway, suggesting that the parasympathetic activity coordinates the maintenance of the undifferentiated pool and their balance during organogenesis [27].

The development of the sympathetic innervation proceeds conjointly with acinar and ductal maturation, which suggests a role in the final specification of the salivary gland.

The primary sympathetic salivary centres are located in the upper thoracic spinal cord, and reach the salivary gland via the superior cervical ganglion. Sympathetic axons enter the SMG in parallel with the vascular system, and vascular-derived guidance cues are needed for sympathetic neurons to grow. Mice lacking endothelin3 or endothelin-receptor type-A have reduced sympathetic innervation of the salivary glands and defects in SMG secretion [28,29].
Despite developing with a similar timing and being surrounded by the same mesenchymal cap, the SL gland contains only a few sympathetic nerves, while the SMG has rich innervation. This dichotomy is probably to be associated with the different levels of NGF (high in the SMG and low in SL) [30]. After submandibular gland removal, the NGF levels drop dramatically in the plasma, to then go back to a normal level after several weeks. It has, therefore, been postulated that the submandibular gland in mice might work as source of NGF [31]. Nevertheless, removal of the SMG in mice has no deleterious systemic effect, indicating more of an accessory role in NGF secretion rather than a primary one [32].

Once reaching the salivary gland, the independent innervation of parasympathetic and sympathetic efference bundles up together surrounded by Schwann myelinating cells [33]. Dual innervation can be found in myoepithelial cells, acinar end-pieces and local blood vessels, all of which plays a functional role in salivary gland secretion [34].

> Figure 2. Structural features of major salivary glands. Schematic representation of SL, SMG and PG glands and their functional elements [35]. All glands contain ducts of bigger diameter (striated duct) and branching ducts (intercalated ducts). The acinar end-pieces are secretory units specialized in a single type of secretion (serous, mucous or mixed).

3. Chemistry of Secretion and Functions of Salivary Glands

Secretion itself is a combination of three events: (i) nervous cholinergic stimulation initiates fluid filtration from blood plasma to the acinar lumen, (ii) exocytosis of cytoplasmic granuli-containing proteins into the acinar lumen, and (iii) mechanical contraction of the secretory end-pieces mediated by specialized myoepithelial cells.

3.1. Chemical Composition of Saliva

Primary saliva is initially produced and secreted in acinar cells. Epithelial cells of serous end-pieces are sealed between them via tight junction to preserve apical-basal polarity. This guarantees that
electrolytes do not pass freely in between the cells, but require a system of ion-pumps and channels to finely regulate the intracellular and extracellular concentration of each electrolyte. Specifically, the Na/K ATPase pumps sodium out of the cell, which then accumulates in the intercellular space. Upon cholinergic stimulus, Ca$^{2+}$ is released from intracellular storage sites (i.e., ER, mitochondria) and its concentration increases of 5–10-fold in the cytoplasm. This chemical change, in turn, opens the channels for Cl$^-$, which diffuses into the acinar lumen following its concentration gradient. Na$^+$ will then also translocate through the paracellular space to the lumen. Water from the adjacent capillaries will pass into the lumen by osmosis, both through paracellular flow and specialized aquaporin channels (mainly AQP5) [36]. The thus-formed primary saliva accumulates in the acinar lumen and starts diffusing along the secretory ducts. During its passage in the ducts, the chemical composition of saliva is significantly modified. Cells of the striatal ducts contain Na/K ATPase pumps and carbonic/Cl pumps to extract NaCl from the primary saliva and reduce salt concentration in the fluid, while an increased number of tight junctions keeps ductal cells sealed, avoiding water loss (Figure 3). The final serous secretion is, therefore, a watery hypotonic fluid.
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**Figure 3.** Saliva production and regulation of secretion. Composition of primary saliva and its progressive conversion into a hypotonic fluid. Primary saliva is rich in electrolytes which attract water into the lumen. In a secondary step, electrolytes are resorbed via the epithelial duct cells to reduce their concentration in the saliva before release in the oral cavity. (A) Schematic representation of intracellular Ca$^{2+}$ control levels upon muscarinic stimuli. (B) Secretion of proteins into the lumen requires Ca$^{2+}$-mediated degranulation upon β-adrenergic stimulation. (C) Myoepithelial cells participate in the mechanical contraction stimulating secretion and in the stabilization of acinar structure during the resting phase.

### 3.2. Protein Components of Saliva and Degranulation

Saliva also contains an important mixture of proteins, mainly proteolytic enzymes and mucins. These products are synthesized by acinar cells and stored in cytoplasmic granules. Each major salivary gland produces a specific proportion of protein type, defining a unique composition of saliva per type of gland.

Similarly to synaptic degranulation, the salivary granules are released into the lumen following several steps of exocytosis: docking, priming, fusion and removal [37]. The molecular regulation at the basis of these events is largely unexplored, but evidence points towards interactions between
specific ligand-receptor (SNAP-SNAREs molecules) for the initial contact of the granule with the plasma membrane [38]. Priming prepares the granules for its future structural modification before entering the phase of fusion, in which the content of the granule is released into the acinar lumen.

The whole cycle is rather fast and is completed within 30 min of initial stimulation. In serous and seromucous glands, sympathetic nerve stimulation activates β-adrenoreceptor, which, in turn, increases intracellular levels of cAMP and ultimately leads to degranulation [39]. In PG and SMG glands, release of granule contents induces shrinkage of the single acinar cell, which visibly reduces in size after degranulation [40]. The SL gland is mainly secreting mucous, a fluid rich in mucins, high molecular weight glycoproteins composed by 66% of carbohydrate, and characterized by a high content in serine and threonine [41].

Salivary mucins differ from other mucins of the body (i.e., intestinal mucins) by their high content in sialic acid, which confers pH neutrality and makes them unreactive to classical alcian blue staining. The high amount of mucin produced by these glands correlates with the high proportion of mucous acini cells. Exocytosis of mucous granules importantly differs from serous or seromucous events [42]. In sublingual mucous acini, exocytosis is mainly driven by muscarinic stimulation and does not occur simultaneously for all granules of acinar cells. Although the dynamic of salivary gland secretion needs further clarification, current advances in confocal and live-imaging technology will certainly help the understanding of the salivary secretion in the near future [43].

The SMG gland produces both serous and mucous products. The structure of the mixed secretory end-pieces shows a line of serous acini juxtaposed on the mucous acini structure (Figure 2). The number of serous versus serous acini is not equal in the gland, and the majority of the secretion in the SMG is mucous. Mucin levels are 10-fold higher in the SL compared to the SMG, while mucins are undetectable in the PG [41]. Additionally, the mucins produced by the two mucous or seromucous glands are chemically different—nearly all sialic-acid is mucin-bound in the SL, while only 40% of sialic residues were found bound to mucins in the SMG [41]. These observations suggest that each gland is responsible for a unique type of secretion most probably underlining a distinct function in the oral cavity [41,44].

One of the most important proteolytic enzymes secreted with saliva is α-amylase. This enzyme is essential for breaking down starch into maltose, a disaccharide fundamental for our diet. α-amylase activity is mainly found in PG secretions and much reduced (1000-fold less) in saliva produced by SL and SMG [44].

Another important protein product of salivary glands is lysozyme, a proteolytic enzyme with antimicrobial functions. Recent findings associate lysozyme activity with membrane permeabilization on both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria, as well as in fungi [45,46]. Additionally, lysozyme also has anti-viral properties and plays a role in inducing tumour cell lysis [47,48]. These novel roles identify lysozyme as a major player in clearing the oral mucosa from a variety of biological threats and preserving homeostasis of the oral cavity. The lysozyme, is mainly produced by the demilune portion of the SMG and SL, while in the PG production of lysozyme is very limited [49,50].

### 3.3. Mechanical Control of Saliva Secretion

Sympathetic and parasympathetic stimuli can act directly on the myoepithelial cells embracing the acini end-pieces to initiate contraction. Myoepithelial cells contract in repose to nerve stimuli transferring a mechanical pressure to the acinar cells, which contributes to degranulation and saliva movement. Additionally, myoepithelial cells stabilize the structure of the acini, avoiding back-pressure stress after secretion and back diffusion of saliva upon external mechanical compression [51]. When myoepithelial functionality is experimentally blocked by α-adrenoceptor inhibition, secretion still occurs but the fluid movement is dramatically slowed down [52].
4. Salivary Gland Disorders

4.1. Tumours

Tumours originating in salivary gland tissue are often benign. In minor salivary glands, the most common clinical complication is the formation of mucus retention cysts, a non-malignant evolution of the altered tissue [53].

Major salivary glands mainly display epithelial malignancies (carcinomas), with very heterogeneous features and occasional neuroendocrine differentiation. Malignant salivary gland tumours represent about 5% of all head and neck cancers, with a slight predominance in men [54,55].

The aetiology of the salivary gland tumour is mainly described by the multicellular theory, by which each cell type can give rise to a specific type of tumour (Table 1) [56–60].

Table 1. Salivary gland tumours. Salivary gland tumours are heterogeneous and can derive from different type of cells in the tissue. Presented here are the most common malignancies affecting the salivary glands.

| Type                        | Origin                | Most Common Location | Metastatic          | 5-Year Survival Rate | 15-Year Survival Rate | Molecular Targets and Pathway Activation |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Mucoepidermoid Carcinoma    | Excretory stem cells  | PG                   | Yes, regional lymphnodes | 22–86%               | –                      | Notch, Hes1, CREB, EGFR, P53, Krt5        |
| Adenoid Cystic Carcinoma    | Intercalated stem cells | minor SG             | Yes, lungs          | 89%                  | 40%                    | Notch, DNAmet, TGF-β, c-Kit, Myb, p63    |
| Acinic Cell Carcinoma        | Intercalated stem cells | PG                   | 76%                 | 55%                  |                        |                                          |
| Polymorphous Adenocarcinoma  | Intercalated ductal cells | Minor SG             | Rarely Perineural lymphnodes | –                   | –                      | p63                                      |
| Squamous Cell Carcinoma      | Excretory stem cells  | PG, SMG              | Neck region         | –                    | –                      |                                          |
| Non-Hodgkin lymphoma         | Infiltrating immune cells | PG                   | –                    | –                    | –                      |                                          |
| Pleomorphic adenoma          | Intercalated stem cells | PG                   | no                   | –                    | –                      |                                          |

In addition to being a site for primary tumours, salivary glands are also a site for tumorigenic cells to establish metastases, originating from other primary cancers, mainly skin malignancies.

The most common malignant tumour is mucoepidermoid carcinoma, potentially arising in any salivary tissue, but mostly affecting the PG. Mucoepidermoid carcinoma is associated with a specific genetic translocation between chromosomes 11 and 19, which produces a fusion gene (MECT1) involved in the Notch-pathway and cAMP-responsive element binding (CREB) activation. The product of gene fusion was detected in genomic screenings together with alteration of the EGF-receptor pathway and aberrant activation of p53 regulator of apoptosis [61,62]. The specificity of the novel gene-fusion for mucoepidermoid carcinoma cells has improved diagnostic capacity and brought increased focus on the study of the molecular mechanisms supporting the disease. Identification of the fusion product (CRTC1-MAML2) or constitutive activation of the Notch pathway via high levels of the Notch target Hes1 are indicative of cancer progression [63,64].

Similarly, in adenoid cystic carcinoma, mutations in NOTCH1 and NOTCH2 have been identified as altered targets in various genomic screenings [65,66], and knock-down of Notch1 or Notch2 inhibits
proliferation of adenoid cystic carcinomas in models of the disease [67,68]. These findings suggest that this pathway has a central role in the initiation of the most common neoplasms affecting salivary glands.

4.2. Primary Sjögren’s Syndrome

Primary Sjögren’s syndrome (pSS) is a systemic autoimmune disease affecting salivary and lacrimal glands. Often accompanying other immune system disorders (such as lupus and rheumatoid arthritis), its main effect is the loss of mucous membrane and moisture-secreting gland cells, resulting in xerostomia and xerophthalmia. Although the pathogenesis of the disease remains largely unknown, the role of the B-lymphocytes appears to be essential in the initiation of the disease. Members of the TNF superfamily (such as BAFF/APRIL) are produced not only by patrolling immune cells but also by the epithelial cells of the salivary glands. Through these pathways, B-cells are activated and start to proliferate in an uncontrolled manner [69–71]. Their pivotal role includes infiltration of the salivary glands to produce an ectopic germinal centre and local secretion of autoantibodies. The centre can grow independently from the surrounding tissue and can evolve in more complex diseases such as non-Hodgkin lymphoma [71].

To date, there is no efficient treatment available for pSS, and symptoms may only be attenuated. Specific antibodies for BAFF (Belimumab) show limited relief [72], but combination with other immune-therapies might prove to be more efficient [73]. Promising approaches using monoclonal antibodies anti-CD20 (Rituximab) or anti-CD22 (Epratuzumab), are currently under examination [73–76].

4.3. Post-Irradiation Syndrome

Radiotherapy is an important main or complementary treatment in a variety of cancers, including head and neck tumours. One of the most significant side effects of local irradiation is an alteration of salivary glands functionality, resulting in hyposalivation and xerostomia [77]. Exposure to radioactive sources causes DNA damage, leading to cell death or cell senescence in proliferating cells. Specifically, irradiated salivary glands rapidly lose acinar cells, with dramatic functional impairment [78]. Hyposalivation results in chronic dryness of the oral cavity, which, in turn, leads to ulceration, infections, increase exposure to caries, periodontal diseases and hampered speech and mastication [79]. The only treatment available to cope with xerostomia is the topic application of substituting agents, such as saliva substitute and mucosa lubricant [80,81]. Pharmacologically, pilocarpine and cevimeline administration are systemic drugs for the treatment of dry-mouth conditions, but their efficiency requires the presence of functional tissue. All therapies currently available for the treatment of xerostomia provide only temporary relief, and require multiple applications for a long period of time [82].

4.4. Infections

Several viruses and bacteria infect the tissue of salivary glands in a specific manner. Endemic parotitis is due to infection of the mumps virus and lead to PG swelling and systemic symptoms. It is mainly affecting children in pre-scholar age and treatment is primarily symptomatic. The HIV virus can infect the PG and induce the formation of cystic lesions with surgical resection being the most common treatment procedure. Hepatitis C and coxsackievirus are RNA-bound viruses, able to infect salivary glands and damage the host tissue, leading to xerostomia. One of the main routes of viral spreading is the gland secretion itself and thus transmission through saliva exchange is the major infection mode.

Bacterial infection is very rare and mainly affects the PG in patients already debilitated by other conditions, such as diabetes, recovery after surgery or immunodeficiency. Therapeutic treatments reducing saliva flow help the establishment of bacterial colonies in the mucosa and increase the risk of infection, mainly from Streptococcus strains and Staphylococcus aureus. Mycobacter infection is more common in infants where it locally grows masses that might break the skin of the patient leaving scarring of the tissue. Non-controlled bacterial infection might spread beyond the gland borders and
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invade the deep space of the neck with possible serious complications including septicemia. Chronic
inflammation might also lead to sialadenitis, an accumulation of lymphocyte infiltrate in the duct
system with consequent obstruction and hampering of the secretory system. Clinically, this results in
xerostomia and local painful swelling [83].

5. Molecular Pathways Involved in Salivary Gland Functionality

A complex network of molecular pathways ensures full functionality of salivary glands.

Within the growth factor family, the FGF pathway is a major player in salivary gland homeostasis.
Both intercalated and excretory duct cells express FGF-receptor2IIIb, while FGF7 can be found in
salisphere-forming cells, suggesting a preserved trophic role [84]. The Wnt pathway is an essential
pathway during embryonic organogenesis and it participates in salivary gland maturation at two
distinct time points: during mesenchymal activation and later in the ductal epithelium differentiation
and formation of the lumen [85]. In adult salivary glands, expression of the Wnt signalling is maintained
in the duct epithelium and its activity is promoted during regeneration post-injury or expansion of
progenitor cells [86]. Hedgehog (Hh) signalling is activated during branching morphogenesis and it is
impaired upon blockage of the ligand in vivo and in vitro [87]. During functional regeneration, the
Hh-target gene Glil promotes epithelial proliferation, and overexpression of the pathway has been
recently associated with the reactivation of a pool of salivary gland progenitors [88].

In Drosophila, The Notch pathway also plays a role during development in the determination of
salivary ducts [89]. Receptors NOTCH1 and NOTCH4, the ligands JAGGED1, JAGGED2 and
DELTAl, together with the target gene Hesl, are expressed in adult murine salivary glands and are
associated to regulation of differentiation and growth [90]. Particularly, Notch signalling is activated
during regeneration after duct injury, suggesting that Notch plays a pivotal role in the maintenance of
the tissue homeostasis and regeneration, although its role in physiological conditions needs further
clarifications [91]. Adenocarcinoma cells are often associated with accumulation and uncontrolled
proliferation of immature ductal cells, and are associated with hyperactivation of Notch (Table 1) [92].

Since embryonic development, innervation plays an important instructive role in the functionality
of the salivary gland. Parasympathetic nerves mainly rely on the neurotrophin GDNF for their
development, and genetic deletion of Gdnf results in reduced number of innervated acini in the
SL [93]. On the other hand, the sympathetic innervation mainly depends on NGF. In agreement
with its high concentration, NGF was initially isolated from the murine SMG [94]. NGF signals
through two receptors (high and low affinity) widely distributed on cells in the soft tissues of the
oral cavity, to regulate cell survival and axonal growth [95]. In particular both acinar and ductal cells
express NGF receptors, and they coordinate wound healing, angiogenesis and tissue remodelling [96].
The NGF-receptors are also a prognostic marker for oral squamous cell carcinomas with a pattern of
invasion and recurrence [97].

Finally, cell-to-extracellular matrix interaction during basement membrane formation and
branching morphogenesis is mediated by the integrin receptor Int-α6β1, while establishment of
apical-basal polarity depends on Int-α3 and Int-β1 [98–100]. In vitro differentiation of salivary gland
cell lines also requires Intα6β1 and its interaction with laminin1 [101]. In the adult tissue, Int-α6,
Int-β1 and Int-β4 are expressed in a cohort of cells with multipotent potential [102]. Additionally, the
autoimmune Sjögren’s syndrome is characterized by low levels of laminin-α1 [103].

A deeper knowledge of the molecular pathways involved in maturation, functionality and
regeneration of salivary glands will greatly implement future studies aiming at restoring salivary
function lost as a consequence of diseases or mechanical injuries.

6. Regenerative Medicine and Salivary Glands Stem Cells

Alteration of salivary gland functionality has deep implications in the life quality of the patient.
Current therapeutic approaches are mainly symptomatic and constitute an attempt to attenuate
discomfort. Local administration of synthetic substitutes of saliva only temporary alleviate distress,
but rely on continuous administration and do not represent a definitive therapeutic solution. Therefore, several therapeutic fronts are currently in development to promote further regenerative therapies.

**Stem Cells Therapies**

Tissue degeneration and structural alteration are the main causes for salivary gland loss of function. Recent developments in regenerative therapy prompt the mobilization of endogenous stem cells, with promising results for the reconstitution of the damaged organ. Genetic tracing in animal models identified a cohort of progenitors in the major salivary glands of the adult. Long-lasting labelling revealed that, upon damage, acini can only give rise to new acinar cells, but not to ductal cells, suggesting the existence of an intrinsic fate-commitment program \[^{104,105}\]. Specifically, a subset of the acinar population labelled with the transcription factor SOX2 has been reported to be able to differentiate into MUC19-expressing acinar cells and are responsible for acinar replenishment upon salivary gland irradiation \[^{106}\] (Figure 4). As all major salivary glands of the adult possess a SOX2 population, it might be possible to exploit this population for regenerative purposes \[^{107}\]. Other approaches are based on an in vitro system for stem cells expansion—culture of SMG-derived stem cells into salispheres allows amplification of the initial pool via self-renewal, while differentiation can be induced in vitro with functionally committed cells selectively producing mucin or amylase \[^{108}\]. This population of stem cells can successfully be transplanted in vivo and ameliorates the effect of radiation exposure \[^{109}\]. In the developing organ, a population of Kit-expressing cells localizes in the peripheral epithelial end-bud cells of the SMG. Kit signalling, together with the FGFr2b, directly influences the Krt14-expressing pool of distal progenitors, while in parallel preserving the Krt5+ epithelial progenitors and inducing survival of the neuronal niche \[^{27}\]. Genetic tracings indicate that the Krt5 population remains as undifferentiated population on the adult gland, and mainly localizes in the SMG duct. Additionally, a dormant population of mesenchymal progenitor cells is present in healthy adult salivary glands. This Sca+/Kit+ population proliferates locally in response to injuries and has the potential to replenish the lost tissue forming both acini and ducts \[^{110,111}\].

The transcription factor ASCL3 is expressed in a subset of ductal cells in the adult salivary glands. Lineage tracing experiments showed that they can give rise to both ductal and acinar cells \[^{105,111}\]. Interestingly, ablation of the Ascl3+ population results in glands of smaller size, where a subpopulation of Krt5-progenitors still remains \[^{112,113}\]. These results suggest that the salivary glands host more than one population of undifferentiated progenitors with the potential of compensate each other loss in case of selective depletion.

A drawback in the potential of therapeutic usage of stem cells for regenerative medicine is their ability to convert into cancer stem cells. Progenitors and cancer-initiating cells share several markers (such as SOX2, SOX9, SOX10 and MYC) \[^{114–117}\]. On the other hand, the high variance of cancer types developed in the salivary glands indicate that tumours might originate from different types of cells at various stages of differentiation, most likely following heterogeneous tumorigenic programs \[^{1,58}\].

The full restorative potential of salivary glands stem cells and its applicability remain to be further analysed, and studies on salivary glands reconstruction might be used as a platform to shed light on multiple biological and therapeutic aspects of epithelial regeneration.
Figure 4. Stem cell pool and regeneration of salivary glands. Salivary glands contain several pools of undifferentiated progenitors able to self-renew, proliferate and give rise to differentiated acinar and ductal cells. Several markers allow the identification of stem cells and committed progenitors, allowing fate tracking and molecular discrimination.

7. Perspective and Future Directions

Several new technological settings have been recently developed to study the cellular and molecular dynamics governing salivary gland homeostasis and maintenance. Organotypic cultures together with live-imaging and in vivo tracing are cutting edge technologies that can mimic salivary glands dynamics and physiology. Likewise, the development of innovative platform modelling the complexity of salivary glands environment, provides the ideal substrate to establish novel, more efficient therapeutic approaches.

7.1. Biomimetic Models and Organ Cultures

Bi-dimensional tissue culture are limited by the lack of cell-to-cell and cell-to-extracellular matrix interaction. The influence of the surrounding microenvironment is recently gathering attention for its essential role in coordinating cell renewal, proliferation and differentiation. Similarly, in the context of disease modelling, taking into consideration an altered microenvironment is paramount to picture the complexity of crosstalk that sustain the disease (i.e., tumour microenvironment, inflammation, induced trans-differentiation).

Technological advances in 3D tissue culture allowed the development of functional, artificial salivary gland organs starting from patients’ cells. Cells seeded into a scaffold populate the bioengineered template, reproducing the structural complexity needed for biological, pharmacological and clinical studies [118,119]. To date, a major limitation is that primary cells display limited growth and finite life-span, which hampers the applicability of artificial organ transplants. Novel approaches are based on the usage of cell lines instead of primary cells, with promising preliminary results.
Nevertheless, to date no cell line fully recapitulate endogenous salivary gland cells and therapeutic applicability is also delayed by their intrinsic tumorigenic potential [120–123].

7.2. Live Imaging of Functional Glands

Multi-coloured reporter lines are now available to study single cell dynamics in the context of the native organ environment [124,125]. This technology coupled with advances in the imaging field, allow precise definition of the single molecular elements controlling salivary glands functionality. A coloured cassette can be used to track fate definition of a specific population, determining the factors relevant for cell-type specification and tissue homeostasis [126,127]. Understanding the dynamics of the molecular changes in physiological or experimental conditions is essential to progress our knowledge of salivary gland biology. Additionally, disease modelling can be developed on a transgenic background and changes visualized over time in a functional and descriptive manner. Selection of cells based on timely expression of the transgene can then be coupled with molecular analyses and ad-hoc pathway activation. In contrast with previous analyses, tissue live imaging provides a method to observe single cells in their native environment, with minimal manipulation from the operator and, therefore, preserving unaltered the complexity of crosstalk between cells and their surrounding environmental cues.

7.3. Microfluidic Chambers

To provide a platform for functional studies, microfluidic chambers represent a novel approach for mechanical, pharmacological and biological characterization. The device contains several chambers where primary cells are exposed to a controlled composition of medium. These cells retain many characteristics of the original organ, including the ability to secrete and react to cytokines, growth factors and pharmacological compounds. Connection in between chambers can be finely regulated by liquid flow passage, whose composition varies depending on the modelling application. With the microfluidic model, cells adhesion, molecular and mechanical changes can be studied in a fine-regulated environment, dramatically improving screening efficiency and reducing the usage of animal models. Importantly, this system also allows rapid drug screening and novel therapeutic studies in a setting that strongly mimic the original tissue [128,129].

8. Conclusions

Salivary glands, therefore, represent a major player in the maintenance of oral homeostasis and their study might shed light in more general disorders such as cancer, inflammation and healing upon mechanical traumas. Overall, their accessibility and heterogeneous histology provide an ideal structure to improve our understanding of tissue remodelling and interaction between cells and surrounding microenvironment. In addition to studies on the molecular control of the exocrine function, salivary glands can be used as a platform to investigate the physiology of epithelial tissue, the dynamic of the stem cell niche and basic developmental processes. Thus, future studies on salivary glands might be impactful to a variety of subjects and application in biomedicine.
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**Abbreviations**

| Abbreviation | Description       |
|--------------|-------------------|
| PG           | Parotid gland     |
| SL           | Sublingual gland  |
| SMG          | Submandibular gland |
| EGF          | Epidermal growth factor |
| FGF          | Fibroblast growth factor |
| NGF          | Nerve growth factor |
TGF-α Transforming growth factor-α
GCT Granular convoluted tubules
PSG Parasympathetic submandibular ganglion
Krt Keratin
ER Endoplasmatic reticulum
AQP5 Aquaporin 5
SNAREs SNAP REceptor
cAMP Cyclic adenosine monophosphate
CREB cAMP-responsive element binding
CRTC1 CREB Regulated Transcription Coactivator 1
MAML2 Mastermind-like 2
pSS Primary Sjögren’s syndrome
TNF Tumor necrosis factor
BAFF B-cell activating factor
APRIL A proliferation-inducing ligand
HIV Human immunodeficiency viruses
GDNF Glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor
Int Integrin
SOX SRY-related HMG-box
MUC Mucin
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