A prospective study of dietary selenium intake and risk of type 2 diabetes
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Abstract

Background: Growing evidence raises concern about possible associations of high selenium exposure with diabetes in selenium-replete populations such as the US. In countries with lower selenium status, such as Italy, there is little epidemiological evidence on the association between selenium and diabetes. This study examined the prospective association between dietary selenium intake and risk of type 2 diabetes.

Methods: The ORDET cohort study comprised a large sample of women from Northern Italy (n = 7,182). Incident type 2 diabetes was defined as a self-report of a physician diagnosis, use of antidiabetic medication, or a hospitalization discharge. Dietary selenium intake was measured by a semi-quantitative food-frequency questionnaire at the baseline examination (1987-1992). Participants were divided in quintiles based on their baseline dietary selenium intake.

Results: Average selenium intake at baseline was 55.7 μg/day. After a median follow-up of 16 years, 253 women developed diabetes. In multivariate logistic regression analyses, the odds ratio for diabetes comparing the highest to the lowest quintile of selenium intake was 2.39, (95% CI: 1.32, 4.32; P for linear trend = 0.005). The odds ratio for diabetes associated with a 10 μg/d increase in selenium intake was 1.29 (95% CI: 1.10, 1.52).

Conclusions: In this population, increased dietary selenium intake was associated with an increased risk of type 2 diabetes. These findings raise additional concerns about the association of selenium intake above the Recommended Dietary Allowance (55 μg/day) with diabetes risk.

Background

Selenium is a key component of a number of selenoproteins involved in essential enzymatic functions, such as redox homeostasis, thyroid hormone metabolism, immunity and reproduction [1]. Because of antioxidant properties of selenoproteins, and because selenate mimics insulin activity in experimental models [2,3], selenium was expected to prevent type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease (CVD) [4]. However, recent findings from observational studies and randomised clinical trials have raised concerns with respect to possible adverse cardio-metabolic effects of high selenium exposure, at least in well-nourished populations. Specifically, several unrelated studies from the US indicate that high selenium status or selenium supplementation is associated with an increased diabetes risk [5-8]. Furthermore, recent evidence from several populations indicates that high selenium exposure may also be associated with an adverse lipid profile [9-12] and hypertension [13], raising additional concerns about metabolic toxicity of high selenium exposure and prolonged use of selenium supplements [14].

Dietary intake of selenium varies considerably between countries and regions largely due to the variability of the selenium content of soil and hence of plant foods and animal forage [15]. Current recommendations on selenium intake are based on optimizing the activity of plasma glutathione peroxidases, which are maximized at intakes as high as 55 μg/day [16]. In the US, selenium intake ranges from 60-220 μg/day [8,12,13,15] and it is unclear if there are health benefits of increased selenium intake above the Recommended Dietary Allowance (RDA), or if metabolic toxicity might occur at these levels. Selenium intakes in Europe are lower than in the US, but with large between-country variability ranging...
from adequate or marginally adequate (Western and Central Europe: 30-90 μg/day) to low or deficient intake (Eastern European countries: 7-30 μg/day) [15].

There is little epidemiological evidence on the association of selenium with diabetes among European populations [17,18]. The objective of the present study was thus to examine the association of dietary selenium intake with risk of incident type 2 diabetes in the ORDET cohort study, a large sample of women from Northern Italy [19].

Methods

Study population

The ORDET study (HORMones and Diet in the ETiology of Breast Cancer) is an ongoing prospective follow-up study of 10,786 women residents of Varese province in Northern Italy [19]. Between June 1987 and June 1992, healthy women 34 to 70 years of age were asked to join the study by coming to the recruitment center. Participants were recruited at public meetings, through radio, television and newspaper advertising, and at breast cancer prevention/early diagnosis units. Women with a history of cancer, bilateral ovariectomy, or liver disease, and women receiving hormone therapy in the three months prior to recruitment to the study were not eligible for the study. We excluded women who did not fill in the lifestyle questionnaire (N = 96), who reported the presence of type 2 diabetes at the baseline assessment (N = 203), who did not compile the food frequency questionnaire because it was not available at the beginning of the study (N = 1,552), or who had missing data in anthropometric variables (N = 54). We further excluded participants in whom the ratio of total energy intake (determined from the food frequency questionnaire) to basal metabolic rate was at either extreme of the distribution (cut-offs 0.5 and 99.5 percentiles) (N = 73), to reduce the impact of implausible extreme values [20], as well as women who died during follow-up from causes other than type 2 diabetes (N = 336). Finally, 1,290 women could not be re-contacted over the follow-up and were further excluded. After all these exclusions, the final cohort comprised 7,182 participants. All participants signed an informed consent form. The Ethical Review Board of the Italian National Cancer Institute of Milan approved the study.

Baseline measurements

Diet was assessed using a semi-quantitative food-frequency questionnaire designed to capture local dietary habits [19]. Frequency of consumption and average daily consumption of food and beverage items over the previous year were estimated using a 107-item questionnaire. To estimate daily consumption of macronutrients and daily energy intake, these items were linked to the Italian Food Tables for epidemiological studies [21]. We used two sources of data to estimate the selenium content of the food items included in the ORDET food frequency questionnaire. For several food items, we used the nutritional database maintained by the Italian National Institute of Nutrition, which in its 1997 edition reported the average selenium content of several foodstuffs distributed in Italy [22]. Since the selenium composition of some items in the ORDET questionnaire (particularly for cereals and meats) could not be retrieved in this database nor were they available from other national sources, we carried out a specific measurement by selecting samples of these foods distributed in Northern Italy from 1996 through 1998 and determining their average selenium content by hydride-generation atomic absorption spectrometry [23].

A lifestyle questionnaire was also completed by each participant to collect detailed information on reproductive history, alcohol consumption, smoking habits, medical history, occupation, education and other socioeconomic variables. Weight and height were measured at enrolment by trained nurses according to a standardized protocol. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight divided by height squared (kg/m²).

Case ascertainment and verification

Follow-up information was collected through a telephone interview in 2006-2007. The follow-up questionnaire included update information on weight, menopausal status, incident disease and other factors. Follow-up was available for approximately 79.1% of the baseline cohort (mean follow-up: 16 years). The telephone follow-up interviews asked whether participants had received a diagnosis of type 2 diabetes from a physician after the baseline examination or if they were taking medications for treatment of diabetes. In addition to the follow-up questionnaire, information on hospitalizations and medication use during follow-up was obtained by linking regional hospital discharge databases, and prescription drug databases to the ORDET database mainly via social security numbers.

In the present study, incident type 2 diabetes was defined by the presence of at least three of the following conditions: 1) a self-report of a physician diagnosis in the follow-up questionnaire; 2) a self-report of use of insulin or oral hypoglycemic medication in the follow-up questionnaire; 3) evidence of a prescription for insulin or oral hypoglycemic medication by linkage with regional prescription drug database; or 4) a hospital discharge record with the diagnosis of diabetes by linkage with medical discharge records. These criteria complied with the protocol of the InterAct Study, an EU-funded...
large scale collaboration involving nine European Countries and 500,000 subjects with over 10,000 incident diabetic cases http://www.inter-act.eu/.

**Statistical analysis**

The study population was categorized in quintiles of energy-adjusted selenium intake at baseline using the residual method [20]. Odds ratios (OR) for developing type 2 diabetes comparing the highest to the lowest quintile of selenium intake were estimated by logistic regression analysis. We used two levels of adjustment: model 1 (reduced model) was adjusted for age, education and menopausal status; model 2 (fully-adjusted model) was further adjusted for BMI (as a linear term), smoking (never, past, current), alcohol intake (abstainers, ≤ 12 g/day, > 12 g/day), energy intake (not from alcohol), saturated/polyunsaturated fat ratio, animal proteins, total carbohydrates, and body weight change (delta-weight) between the baseline and follow-up examinations. Tests for trend across selenium intake quintiles were derived from likelihood ratio tests comparing models with and without a variable including the median selenium intake at each quintile as a continuous variable. We tested the interaction of selenium intake with BMI categories (BMI ≤ 25 & > 25) and with menopausal status using a likelihood ratio test that compared the model that included the product term and the model that did not include it. We used STATA software (version 10.0; Stata Corp., TX) for statistical analysis.

**Results**

Average selenium intake at baseline was 55.7 μg/day. After a median follow-up of 16 years, 253 women developed type 2 diabetes. At baseline, women who developed diabetes over follow-up were on average older, heavier, less educated, had higher dietary intakes of total and animal proteins, consumed less alcohol, and were more likely to be postmenopausal than women who did not develop diabetes. In addition, they had a higher mean dietary intake of selenium (60.9 vs. 56.8 μg/d, P < 0.001) (Table 1). Red meat and fish were the two main sources of dietary selenium intake in this population (Table 2). Selenium intake was positively associated with BMI, with total and animal protein intake, and with the ratio of polyunsaturated to saturated fatty acid intake (Table 3). Conversely, intake of total carbohydrates, starch, sugars fibers and alcohol were all inversely associated with dietary selenium intake.

The age, education, and menopausal status adjusted odds ratio for incident type 2 diabetes comparing the highest to the lowest quintile of selenium intake was 2.64 (95% CI: 1.73, 4.01), with evidence of a progressive increase in risk across quintiles (P-trend < 0.001) (Table 4). The odds ratio estimates were not considerably altered after additional adjustment for BMI, smoking status, dietary variables and body weight change during follow-up (OR 2.39, 95% CI: 1.32, 4.32).

When selenium was used as a continuous variable, the odds ratios associated with a 10 μg/d increase in selenium intake were 1.29 (95% CI: 1.17, 1.41) in the reduced model, and 1.29 (95% CI: 1.10, 1.52) in the fully adjusted model. The linearity of the relationship between selenium intake and risk of diabetes was confirmed in spline regression models (not shown). There was no statistical evidence that BMI, menopausal status, smoking and alcohol intake modified the association of selenium intake with diabetes risk (data not shown).

**Discussion**

In this prospective study, dietary selenium intake showed a strong and graded association with the risk of type 2 diabetes in a large sample of Italian women. The association was independent of a number of potential confounding factors including socio-demographic, anthropometric, lifestyle and dietary variables. To our knowledge, this is one of the few epidemiological studies to examine the prospective relationship of dietary selenium intake with incident type 2 diabetes in Europe.

Recent findings from observational studies and randomised clinical trials from the US, a selenium-replete population, indicate that high selenium status or selenium supplementation may be associated with an increased risk of type 2 diabetes [5-8]. Data from the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES III) [5] and from NHANES 2003-2004 [8] showed significant cross-sectional associations between high serum selenium levels and the prevalence of type 2 diabetes in representative samples of the US population. Furthermore, selenium supplementation (200 μg/d) in the Nutritional Prevention of Cancer (NPC) trial, conducted in the Eastern US, was associated with an increased risk of incident type 2 diabetes compared to placebo (hazard ratio, 1.07, 99% CI: 0.94, 1.22) [7]. In disagreement with these studies, cross-sectional findings from the Health Professionals Follow-up Study showed
lower toenail selenium levels among diabetic men (with or without cardiovascular disease) than among healthy control participants [24]. In addition, a longitudinal analysis of US young adults participating in the CARDIA Study showed a lower risk of type 2 diabetes in the highest quintile of toenail selenium compared to the lowest (hazard ratio 0.59, 95% CI: 0.36, 0.97), although the point estimates in the intermediate quintiles were null [25].

With regard to European populations, there is little epidemiological evidence. In the Supplementation with Antioxidant Vitamins and Minerals (SU.VI.MAX) trial, an antioxidant supplement containing 100 μg of selenium, 120 mg of vitamin C, 30 mg of vitamin E, 6 mg of β-carotene, and 20 mg of zinc had no effect on plasma glucose levels after 7.5 years of follow-up, but in this study there was a significant positive association between plasma selenium and glucose levels at both baseline and follow-up [17]. Conversely, a recent report from the EVA (Epidemiology of Vascular Ageing) study in France showed that higher plasma selenium concentrations (1.19-1.97 μmol/L) were associated with a marginally significant decreased risk of dysglycemia (impaired fasting glucose or diabetes) in men over a 9-year follow-up [18]. No association was found in women. It should be noted that in an earlier analysis of the same study, plasma selenium concentrations were positively, though non-significantly, associated with baseline glucose levels in women and with prevalent diabetes in men [26].

Mechanistic evidence that may explain an association between high selenium exposure and increased risk of type 2 diabetes is limited; therefore any such discussion is highly speculative at the present time. Selenium has a narrow therapeutic range and large inter-individual variability in terms of metabolic sensitivity [27,28]. Selenium species such as selenite and selenate may impair

Table 1 Baseline characteristics* of participants according to diabetes status at the follow-up examination in the ORDET Study (N = 7,182)

|                        | Diabetic cases | Non-diabetes | P       |
|------------------------|----------------|--------------|---------|
| N                      | 253            | 6,929        |         |
| Selected characteristics|                |              |         |
| Age                    | 51.2           | 47.1         | < 0.001 |
| BMI (kg/m²)            | 29.5 (0.25)    | 24.8 (0.05)  | < 0.001 |
| Current smoker (%)     | 17.8           | 19.8         | 0.43    |
| Education (%) > 8 years| 36.0           | 54.3         | < 0.001 |
| Post-menopausal status (%) | 50.0       | 32.9         | < 0.001 |
| Total proteins (g/day) | 79.8 (1.42)    | 75.8 (0.27)  | 0.006   |
| Animal proteins (g/day)| 54.5 (1.19)    | 51.2 (0.23)  | 0.006   |
| Animal fat             | 376 (0.95)     | 38.0 (0.18)  | 0.74    |
| Ratio saturated/polyunsaturated fatty acids | 0.32 (0.008) | 0.31 (0.001) | 0.18 |
| Total carbohydrates (g/day) | 215.1 (4.00) | 215.4 (0.76) | 0.96 |
| Starch (g/day)         | 146.5 (3.13)   | 145.5 (0.60) | 0.75    |
| Sugars (g/day)         | 68.6 (1.67)    | 69.9 (0.32)  | 0.47    |
| Fibers (g/day)         | 20.3 (0.07)    | 19.7 (0.38)  | 0.12    |
| Alcohol (g/day)        | 8.2 (0.81)     | 10.0 (0.16)  | 0.03    |
| Energy (kcal/day)      | 1789 (30.18)   | 1788 (5.74)  | 0.97    |
| Selenium intake (μg/day)| 60.9 (1.11)  | 56.8 (0.212) | < 0.001 |

* Age-adjusted means (standard error), except where indicated.

Table 2 Food sources of selenium in the ORDET Study

| Food items          | %       |
|---------------------|---------|
| Red meat            | 21.7    |
| Fish                | 14.5    |
| White meat          | 7.0     |
| Processed meat      | 7.0     |
| Cheese              | 5.7     |
| Pasta               | 5.4     |
| Bread               | 5.2     |
| Eggs                | 5.1     |
| Fruit               | 3.8     |
| Tomatoes            | 3.8     |
| Milk                | 3.7     |
| Cake                | 3.6     |
| Offal               | 2.7     |
| Snacks              | 1.8     |
| Wine                | 1.8     |
| Rice                | 1.0     |
| Yoghurt             | 0.9     |
| Potatoes            | 0.8     |
| Leafy vegetable raw | 0.8     |
insulin responsiveness in rats and induce a catabolic response in muscle with glycogen depletion and increased rates of glycolysis [29]. Moreover, high-selenium diets may stimulate the release of glucagon, promoting hyperglycemia [30], or may induce overexpression of glutathione peroxidase-1 (GPx-1) and other antioxidant selenoproteins resulting in insulin resistance and obesity [31-33]. Likewise in humans, a strongly positive correlation between GPx activity and insulin resistance was found in a group of non-diabetic pregnant women [34]. From a mechanistic point of view, selenium intakes above the level recommended for optimal activity of antioxidant selenoproteins such as glutathione peroxidases (55 μg/day, resulting in serum or plasma concentrations of 70-90 μg/L) [16,35], will result in the non-specific incorporation of selenomethionine replacing methionine in albumin and other proteins [1]. The metabolic pathways involving this extra pool of selenium are not fully understood, and may be responsible for some of the adverse effects of high selenium exposure on glucose metabolism.

The present study may suffer from the inherent limitations associated with the use of self-reported semi-quantitative food-frequency questionnaires, an approach prone to misclassification of the exposure of interest. Indeed, the correlation between dietary selenium intake and selenium biomarkers has been inconsistent across studies, ranging from positive, strong associations

| Table 3 Baseline characteristics* of participants according to energy-adjusted quintiles† of dietary selenium intake in the ORDET Study (N = 7,182) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Quintiles of dietary selenium intake (μg/day)                  |
|                                                              |
| N                | I        | II       | III      | IV       | V        |
| Age (years)      | 47.3     | 47.1     | 47.6     | 47.3     | 46.9     |
| BMI (kg/m²)      | 24.2     | 24.5     | 24.8     | 25.3     | 25.9     |
| Current smoker (%)| 19.1    | 18.5     | 18.3     | 21.9     | 21.0     |
| Education (%) > 8 years | 56.5     | 53.6     | 51.5     | 53.7     | 52.9     |
| Post-menopausal status (%) | 34.0     | 35.7     | 32.8     | 34.6     | 31.1     |
| Total proteins (g/day) | 67.1     | 69.2     | 72.6     | 78.9     | 91.9     |
| Animal proteins (g/day) | 39.9    | 44.7     | 48.7     | 55.1     | 68.1     |
| Animal fat       | 36.3     | 36.4     | 36.5     | 38.5     | 42.1     |
| Ratio saturated/polyunsaturated fatty acids | 0.28     | 0.31     | 0.31     | 0.32     | 0.34     |
| Total carbohydrates (g/day) | 240.5   | 216.4    | 209.0    | 207.3    | 203.6    |
| Starch (g/day)   | 164.0    | 147.5    | 141.3    | 139.6    | 135.2    |
| Sugars (g/day)   | 76.5     | 68.8     | 67.7     | 67.7     | 68.4     |
| Fibers (g/day)   | 21.2     | 19.1     | 18.9     | 19.1     | 19.5     |
| Alcohol (g/day)  | 10.3     | 10.3     | 10.4     | 9.9      | 8.8      |
| Energy (kcal/day)| 1843     | 1741     | 1728     | 1768     | 1840     |

* Age-adjusted means, except where indicated
† Quintiles of dietary Se intake were adjusted for total energy intake using the residual method [20]
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