Frequency-influenced choice of L2 sound realization and perception: evidence from two Chinese dialects
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Abstract

The study of second language speech perception usually put L1-L2 phonological mapping as the rule of thumb in predicting learning outcome, and seldom included more fine-grained aspects such as frequency. This study examines how frequency of sounds in L1 may influence L2 segmental production and perception, with examples from English learners native to two Chinese dialects, Cantonese and Sichuanese. Although these two dialects (L1s) have very similar phonological inventory, they produce certain L2 sounds in drastic difference. Productions of English voiceless interdental fricative and central liquid in the onset position were obtained in free speech from the two dialects’ speakers in vast phonological environments. Then, perception tests, including AX and oddity tasks, were done for these two groups of speakers as well. Results showed that the two English sounds were respectively realized as different sounds in Cantonese and Sichuanese L1, which was reflected by both production and perception data. Findings suggest that L2 category formation is frequency-motivated instead of markedness-motivated, and is significantly influenced by the functional load of L1 sound input. Findings further imply that a quantitative and frequency-sensitive learning model is more suitable for L2 sound acquisition.

1 Introduction

Second language speech has generally seen as function of linguistic experience. However, how experience shape the formation of phonetic category was understudied. This study addresses a case when speakers from two L1s with similar segmental layout may have different realizations of L2 categories. Although theoretic models in speech learning such were very rich in literature, such as Perceptual Assimilation Model (PAM [1]) and its another version for L2 learners (PAM-L2 [2]) as well as Speech Learning model (SLM, [3]) had addressed different L1 assimilation patterns in learning multiple L2s, few studies had found similar multiple L1s yielding different L2 learning outcomes.

PAM and SLM suggest that second language learners will either assimilate the L2 sound categories (or sequence of sounds) to L1 sound categories according to different perceptual distances. Increased exposure to L2 will thus trigger distributive learning of L2 input by forming a new intermediate category between the L1 and L2 in the learner’s common phonetic space [1]. In experience-based models, the positive effect of L2 exposure will increase the chance of distributive learning because the learnability of certain L2 categories should become stable if the input of L2 categories occurs in environments with similar frequency [3].

This paper displays that similar L1 inventories may result in different learning outcomes and argues that this phenomenon is influenced by frequency in similar ways as the native language was (NLM, [5]). The two English sounds under current investigation are the voiceless interdental fricative (/θ/) and the central liquid (/r/). In a pilot study, it was found that Sichuanese speakers replace English /θ/ by /s/ but Cantonese speakers by /f/.
Also, Sichuanese speakers replace English /r/ by /z/ but Cantonese by /w/.

Previous literature has pointed out that these two English phonemes are difficult for Cantonese and Sichuanese learners to produce [6-8], but the question why the two dialects of Chinese may have different realizations of the sound was not addressed.

Cantonese and Sichuanese are both southern dialects of China. Cantonese and Sichuanese share a very similar consonant inventory in the onset position. Both dialects’ onsets consist of bilabial, alveolar and velar plosives (/ph, th, kh, p, t, k/), as well as labiodental and alveolar fricatives (/f, s, z/). Nasals and liquids include /m, n, ŋ/.

In the present study, Cantonese and Sichuanese L2 production and perception were examined. Firstly, the production of /θ/ was obtained from a sentence-making task, which contains stimuli words with /θ/. Then, the spectral envelope was analyzed through fast Fourier transformation (FFT) and sent to t-test for statistics [9]. For the production of /r/, same task was administered and the analysis was made into checking the F3 and waveform of /r/ (ibid.).

In the present study, Cantonese and Sichuanese L2 production and perception were examined. Firstly, the production of /θ/ was obtained from a sentence-making task, which contains stimuli words with /θ/. Then, the spectral envelope was analyzed through fast Fourier transformation (FFT) and sent to t-test for statistics [9]. For the production of /r/, same task was administered and the analysis was made into checking the F3 and waveform of /r/ (ibid.). Then, a perception test was designed. Native speakers’ production was presented to another two groups of speakers and they were required to identify from two sounds and discriminate from three sounds, which were cross-checked with the production indications. For example, both Cantonese and Sichuanese speakers listened to /θ/ and /s/ tokens against /θ/ in a task, and /w/ and /z/ in another one.

2 Method

2.1 Participants

Effort was made to control all the biographical, affective and experiential factors of the two groups of participants. 8 Cantonese and 8 Sichuanese speakers, with equal numbers of males and females, were recruited. Both groups of speakers were experienced learners of English, with the age of acquisition of English (AOA) earlier than 7 years old. A group of native speakers of the Standard American English also participated in the study.

Cantonese speakers were not exposed to formal instruction of any other languages, and their parents speak any other languages other than Cantonese (including English). The situation for Sichuanese speakers is more complex. Since speaking Mandarin at school is mandatory, and those with early English AOA have all attended school, they have been exposed to Mandarin as well as Sichuanese. This has brought about a difference of these two groups of speakers. However, it cannot be eliminated due to language policy [10].

2.2 Stimuli and Procedure

We designed a production and a perception test to find out whether L2 category formation (/θ/ and /r/) is different for Cantonese and Sichuanese speakers; and we retrieved the functional load of these sounds on a small-scale corpus to see if frequency motivates the difference of categorical formation.

For the production experiment, stimuli contained experiment words (/r/ with 5 vowels and 3 syllable structures; /θ/ with 5 vowels and 4 syllable structures, with ten repetitions respectively: e.g., rit, ree, rin; θit, θee, θin) control words (/f/ /s/ /w/ /z/ with 5 vowels and 3 syllable structures, with ten repetitions; e.g., fit, sat, wut, zot) and filler words with other onsets (/p/, /t/, /k/ as the same structures, with five repetitions).

The experiment procedure was a semi-free speech with given stimuli. Participants were asked to make five stories with the given words, each story containing two sentences. The words were later cut out of the sentence for analysis. Most of the stimuli words were obtained after a long pause at the intonational phrase level so that phonetic environment will not influence too much of the production. For the /θ/ contrast, the spectral energy concentration was analyzed for the characterization of /s/ or /θ/ contrast (here, some productions were too short and taken as /t/ tokens). Participants were not aware of the purpose of the study. They were informed that they were participating in a test testing fluency in spoken English.

As we aim to dig out the characteristics of actual vernacular form of speech instead of citation forms, we did not strictly control the number and order of occurrence of stimuli, but still controlled phonetic environment and the number of tokens. Altogether 101 usable tokens (including /s, f, θ, r,
w, z/-initials) were collected from 8 Cantonese and 8 Sichuanese student participants’ productions and 48 tokens from the native English participant’s productions (101+48=149 tokens). The productions were cut out of the sentence and segmented as phonemes within those words. The onset parts of the productions, defined as the section from the beginning of waveform to the steady state of vowel, were examined for in spectral analysis.

The perception study was done in the same laboratory. Both an AX task and an oddity task (a variation of the ABX task) were performed. In the AX task, listeners were presented with two stimuli and they need to identify it is either /θ/ or /f/ or /s/. In the oddity task, they were given three stimuli in ABA, ABB or AAB form to distinguish. They need to decide which one is different. Theoretically the token number to be included in analysis was 27 stimuli × 5 repetitions × 2 combinations + 27 stimuli × 5 repetitions × 3 combinations = 675 tokens for each speaker. After screening, a total of 620 tokens were selected as the perception test material.

Between-group variance tests show that the difference was insignificant for spectral peak. However, within the Cantonese speakers, the difference is significant for /s/ and /θ/ [F(2, 248)=3.488, p<.0001] not /f/ and /θ/ showed by an ANOVA test. The Sichuanese data was reversed, i.e. significant for /f/ [F(2, 248)=2.125, p<.001] but not for /s/. The results indicate that Cantonese speakers’ production of /θ/ was similar to /f/ but different from /s/, and for Sichuanese, vice versa (see Figure 1 for an example of the Cantonese case. The energy concentrations of /θ/ overlap significantly more on /f/ than /s/).

3 Results

3.1 Production test

Spectral envelopes of the fricative productions were analyzed for Cantonese and Sichuanese speakers. First, the /θ/ and /θ/ sounds were compared for similarity for both Cantonese and /s/ and /θ/ for Sichuanese speakers. For the /z/ and /θ/ contrast, since these two sounds are easy to distinguish, sound with formant will be classified as /θ/.

As the study aims not to find the criteria of identifying the fricatives but distinguishing them in shape, we are focusing on the peak of energy concentration instead of spectral moments. The average peak for Cantonese production of /θ/, /s/ and /θ/ were 6754, 7259 and 6145 respectively for Cantonese speakers. For Sichuanese speakers, the figures were 6248, 7195 and 7246. Between-group variance tests show that the difference was insignificant for spectral peak. However, within the Cantonese speakers, the difference is significant for /s/ and /θ/ [F(2, 248)=3.488, p<.0001] not /f/ and /θ/ showed by an ANOVA test. The Sichuanese data was reversed, i.e. significant for /f/ [F(2, 248)=2.125, p<.001] but not for /s/. The results indicate that Cantonese speakers’ production of /θ/ was similar to /f/ but different from /s/, and for Sichuanese, vice versa (see Figure 1 for an example of the Cantonese case. The energy concentrations of /θ/ overlap significantly more on /f/ than /s/).
The average duration for /s/, /f/ and /θ/ were 55, 65 and 47 ms respectively by Cantonese speaker, 53, 80 and 45 ms by Sichuanese speakers. The difference is not significant (see Figure 2). For the /r/ contrast, the spectrogram of both Cantonese and Sichuanese speakers was examined. Formant contours and affrication was analyzed qualitatively. Only Sichuanese productions were seen of affrication indicating the presence of /z/, whereas Cantonese speech showed considerable F2 and F3 changes which could be seen as intermediate instances between /r/ and /w/. From above production data, reversed production patterns were shown for both /f/ and /s/ for /θ/ as well as /w/ and /z/ for /r/. For English speakers, the /θ/ and /s/ discrimination was 85.75%, and accuracy rate for /θ/ and /f/ was 56.5%. Such a difference was significant \[t=2.128, df=317, p<.0001\]. Accuracy rate for /r/ and /w/ was 88.15%, /r/ and /z/ was 71.25%. The difference was near-significant \[t=-0.257, df=317, p=.042\].

For Sichuanese speakers, vowel differences were not significant. Accuracy rate for /θ/ and /s/ discrimination was 42.15%, and accuracy rate for /θ/ and /f/ was 78.85%. The difference was not significant \[t=5.124, df=317, p<.0001\] (See Figure 3).
As a random factor, individual difference within both groups did not significantly influence the perceptual accuracy.

Figure 3: Comparison of mean perceptual accuracy rates of Cantonese and Sichuanese speakers.

3.3 Comparison of Frequency

The following table layouts the item under discussion, and dominantly assimilated sound as acquired from 3.1 and 3.2. For example, the dominant choice of realization and perception for Cantonese /θ/ was /f/ instead of /s/.

To investigate whether frequency was parallel to the assimilation patterns, the functional load of the two word-lists in Cantonese and Sichuanese was compared. The result summarized from the above experiment was shown in Table 1.

| Item | Dominant | Item | Dominant |
|------|----------|------|----------|
| C /s/ | /f/ | C /θv/ | none |
| S /s/ | /s/ | S /θv/ | none |
| C /f/ | /f/, /h/ | C /z/ | /z/ |
| S /f/ | /f/ | S /z/ | /z/, /θv/ |

Table 1: Dominant sound category in Cantonese (C) and Sichuanese (S) speech.

According to its definition, functional load (FL) of two contrasting sounds is calculated as the function of frequency of a lexical entry and the frequency of the two involving sounds, which can be expressed as follows in (1):

\[
FL(x,y) = \frac{H_L(L) - H_L(I_{xy})}{H_L(L)}
\]

A report showed that in American English, the functional load of /f/ and /θ/ was \(1 \times 10^{-3}\), and \(2 \times 10^{-3}\) for /s/ and /θ/ [12]. Therefore we could see that for English, the sound /s/ is actually more frequently confused with /θ/ than /f/, and the choice by Cantonese speakers may be not reflecting the English L1 predictions. Here we could see that the functional loads for fricatives are different across the two dialects of Chinese. The functional load calculated for Cantonese and Sichuanese /s, f/ pair and /z, w/ pair was displayed in Table 2.

| Sound pair | Functional load in most used Chinese characters |
|------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Cantonese /s vs. /f/ | 0.125 |
| Sichuanese /s vs. /f/ | 0.750 |
| Cantonese /z vs. /w/ | 0.054 |
| Sichuanese /z vs. /w/ | 0.375 |

Table 2: Functional load in onset position in 2500 most used Chinese characters.

From the data, we could see that /f/ is functionally more loaded than /s/ for Cantonese speakers, and vice versa for Sichuanese speakers. On the contrary, /w/ was more functionally loaded for Sichuanese than for Cantonese.

4 General Discussion

Production results showed that the role of functional load did differ in Cantonese and Sichuanese, and the more frequent and more functionally loaded /f/ in Cantonese, compared with Sichuanese, was linked with the choice of /f/ rather than /s/ in the realization and perception of /θ/. Conversely, the Sichuanese choice also preferred the more functionally loaded one, /s/. The same patterned preference showed for /w/ and /z/ in Cantonese and Sichuanese as well.

The spectral differences in Cantonese and Sichuanese L2 English lied in spectral envelope, esp. spectral peak. However, patterns of duration
showed that an assimilation route can be dynamic acoustic si
suggestion maybe experiences on other languages). In SLM
cluster of dynamic frequency correlates of L1 (and
the this frequency
linguistic performance into perceptual level and
frequency
their frequencies is not markedness
More importantly, the
is the same for similar L1 phonological structures.

The first debate involves whether
choice of assimilation routes by L1 only or by a
cluster of dynamic frequency correlates of L1 (and
maybe experiences on other languages). In SLM’s
suggestion, assimilation is based on perceived
acoustic similarity only, but the results here
showed that an assimilation route can be dynamic
and may be influenced by the functional load of L1.

Although native English speakers perceive /s/ as
a better exemplar of /θ/ compared with /f/ due to
the higher functional load, Cantonese speakers prefer /θ/ in a very clear-cut manner. It is implied
that L1 frequency is such an important factor that
can override L2 preferences, which also exists in
the input in their learning. L1, in the frequency’s
perspective, plays a more important role than L2
even after many years of learning. This phenomenon also challenges learnability of some
L2 categories, since according to SLM, the
categories should receive even more influence on
L1 and L2 input and establish an intermediate
category provided exposure to the L2. However, as
the result suggests, the preference of /θ/ by
Cantonese speakers cannot be eliminated and thus
cannot be learned in a small time span.

Findings indicate that the mechanism for L2
categorical formation is more than a perception-
production chain, and may involve statistical
learning effects. When the prediction through
phonological categorical assimilation and
frequency-based predictions collide, the latter is
favored. However, there might also be other
variables stretching outside the realm of phonetics
and phonology that influence the results, because
the affective factors of this study were not fully
controlled. Future studies should involve more
specific measurements to mine out these variables.

The author thanks Karen Chow for data collection, and
Weiping Zhong for providing word frequency
measurement.
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