Prevalence of methicillin-resistant staphylococci isolated from different biological samples at Policlinico Umberto I of Rome: correlation with vancomycin susceptibility
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INTRODUCTION
Infections due to methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) and coagulase-negative Staphylococcus (MRCoNS) are an emerging threat worldwide both in nosocomial and community settings (5). Italy is a country in which a median rate of MRSA infection prevalence is evident: 40% of S. aureus and 80% of CoNS are methicillin-resistant (EARSS, 2008). Glycopeptides (vancomycin and teicoplanin) are the first-choice therapy against these pathogens (7, 8). Detection of vancomycin resistant strains remains rare. However, staphylococci with a reduced sensitivity to glycopeptides generally concerns teicoplanin. Although vancomycin resistance is rare in Staphylococcus isolates, the detected shift towards higher values of MICs might affect patient’s clinical outcome.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Over a 3 months period, a total of 116 MRSA and MRCoNS isolated from blood, skin and soft tissues, biologic fluids (abscesses, milk, umbilical cord, cerebrospinal fluid, indwelling catheters) were collected and further analyzed. Catalase, coagulase and Gram-stain were used to identify the strains with subsequent speciation using the Vitek automated system (bio-Mérieux). Methicillin-resistance was also analyzed by Vitek 2. This system detects metabolic changes by fluorescence-based methods, monitors the Kinetics of bacterial growth and calculate MICs using a unique algorithm. E-test method was used for detecting vancomycin susceptibility. A stable gradient of 30 antibiotic concentrations on plastic strips were applied to an inoculated agar plate (Muller-Hinton agar). After incubation, an ellipse appears that intersects the MIC value scale (in ìg/ml) where the concentration of the antibiotic tested inhibits microorganism growth. Rapid latex agglutination test (Oxoid) detecting PBP2a proteins in isolates of Staphylococcus, is an aid in identifying MRSA and MRCoNS. Latex particles, sensitized with a monoclonal antibody against PBP2, specifically react with methicillin-resistant staphylococci to cause agglutination visible to the unaided eye. CLSI
breakpoints for glycopeptides MICs were used (1).

RESULTS
We analyzed 5946 different samples: 1591 showed a microbiological growth (26.7%), of which 715 yielded *Staphylococcus* spp. (45%). The overall prevalence of staphylococcal strains was 70.9% from blood cultures, 43.8% from skin and soft tissues infections and 29.6% from biological fluids: methicillin-resistance accounted for 28.2%, 9.1% and 24.4% of the total, respectively (totally 116 methicillin-resistant strains).

Among this 116 strains, 26 were *S. aureus* (22%) whereas 90 were coagulase-negative staphylococci (78%) including *S. epidermidis* (52%), *S. haemolyticus* (15%), *S. hominis* (9%) and other *Staphylococcus* species (2%). The MRSA and MRCoNS are reported in table 1. The strains more frequently involved in methicillin-resistance are the CoNS in blood cultures (especially *S. epidermidis*), followed by those in biological fluids. MRSA resulted more present in skin and soft tissues infections. 93.4% of the strains resulted positive for PBP2A agglutination test (correspondence between the results obtained by Vitek 2 system and rapid latex assay).

The overall vancomycin MIC distribution among methicillin-resistant staphylococci (MRS), performed on 97 strains, are reported in figure I. The most percentage of the tested MRS had MIC ≤ 4 μg/ml to vancomycin by E-test with a higher distribution within the range 2-4 μg/ml (32 isolates) and at a less extent (24 isolates) at 2 μg/ml. Three strains only showed a MIC value of more than 4 μg/ml. None of *S. aureus* isolates was resistant to vancomycin but 2 strains showed an intermediate MIC value of 4 μg/ml following the CLSI breakpoints (VISA strains) (figure II a).

As far as coagulase-negative staphylococci are concerned, 3 out of 77 (3.9%) showed low sensitivity to vancomycin (MIC between 4 and 8 μg/ml). All these strains belonged to *S. epidermidis* species (figure II b). These strains resulted to be also more resistant to teicoplanin, trimethoprim-sulphamethoxazole, imipenem, gentamycin, fosfomycin and chlarytromicin (data not shown).

CONCLUSION
This study confirms the high circulation of methicillin-resistant staphylococcal strains, especially in blood cultures and biological samples. A high concordance between Vitek 2 automated system and PBP2A phenotypic test has been shown in evaluating the methicillin-resistance (concordance of 93.4%).

The methicillin-resistance is more frequent among coagulase-negative staphylococci compared to *S. aureus*: in fact the 52% of *S. epidermidis* strains results to be resistant to methicillin. Furthermore methicillin-resistant strains show a reduced sensitivity towards the first-line agents such as glycopeptides.

Although vancomycin resistance is rare in *Staphylococcus* isolates, E-test determination shows a shift towards higher values of MICs. This decrease of vancomycin and teicoplanin susceptibility might have important clinical implications, especially when considering the patient’s clinical outcome (therapeutic failure). Moreover these strains result to be more resistant to other antimicrobial agents commonly used in therapy such as trimethoprim-sulphamethoxazole, imipenem, gentamycin, fosfomycin and chlarytromicin.

Further microbiological analyses should be necessary to evaluate the evolution of vancomycin MICs distribution in staphylococcal strains.

| Number of strains (%) |
|-----------------------|
| *S. aureus* 26 (22%)  |
| *S. epidermidis* 60 (52%) |
| *S. haemolyticus* 17 (15%) |
| *S. hominis* 10 (9%) |
| Others 3 (2%) |
| **Total** 116 (100%) |

**Table 1. Prevalence of methicillin-resistance among Staphylococcal strains.**
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Figure II. Distribution of vancomycin MICs among methicillin-resistant S. aureus (a) and methicillin-resistant S. epidermidis (b).