Intraspecific trait variation of woody species reduced in a savanna community, southwest China
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ABSTRACT

Plants deploy various ecological strategies in response to environmental heterogeneity. In many forest ecosystems, plants have been reported to have notable inter- and intra-specific trait variation, as well as clear phylogenetic signals, indicating that these species possess a degree of phenotypic plasticity to cope with habitat variation in the community. Savanna communities, however, grow in an open canopy structure and exhibit little species diversification, likely as a result of strong environmental stress. In this study, we hypothesized that the phylogenetic signals of savanna species would be weak, the intraspecific trait variation (ITV) would be low, and the contribution of intraspecific variation to total trait variance would be reduced, owing to low species richness, multiple stresses and relatively homogenous community structure. To test these hypotheses, we sampled dominant woody species in a dry-hot savanna in southwestern China, focusing on leaf traits related to adaptability of plants to harsh conditions (year-round intense radiation, low soil fertility and seasonal droughts). We found weak phylogenetic signals in leaf traits and low ITV (at both individual and canopy-layer levels). Intraspecific variation (including leaf-, layer- and individual-scales) contributed little to the total trait variance, whereas interspecific variation and variation in leaf phenology explained substantial variance. Our study suggests that intraspecific trait variation is reduced in savanna community. Furthermore, our findings indicate that classifying species by leaf phenology may help better understand how species coexist under similar habitats with strong stresses.

1. Introduction

Plant functional traits are morphological, biochemical, physiological and phenological features that can be quantified or qualitatively described (Díaz et al., 2013). Functional traits, which evolve in response to natural selection, provide crucial information about the ecological strategies of plants, and are, thus, widely used to investigate important ecological issues (Violle et al., 2007; Zanne et al., 2014). Previous studies have explicitly examined the relationship between functional traits and fitness to explore the mechanisms that underlie how species coexist and biodiversity is maintained in communities (Violle et al., 2012; Adler et al., 2014). Community ecologists also incorporate both phylogenetic and functional approaches to reveal the processes that structure community assemblages (Webb et al., 2002; Yang et al., 2014), which are based on the premise that close relatives are more ecologically similar (Losos, 2008). Functional trait analysis requires the quantification of phylogenetic signal, or the tendency of related taxa to appear more ecologically similar. The relationship between phylogenetic relatedness and ecological similarity between species is not always easy to predict (Silvertown et al., 2005; Swenson, 2013). For example, environmental filtering is considered a critical factor that structures communities, especially in stressful environments (Coyle et al., 2014). Extreme environmental conditions have been shown to select for a limited range of traits, filtering out species with unviable traits (Kraft et al., 2015). In these environmental...
conditions, traits between species are more likely to be convergent (Coyle et al., 2014; Akram et al., 2020), with weak or non-significant phylogenetic signals (Losos, 2008). However, in a fire-stressed Brazilian savanna, significant phylogenetic signals were also detected in leaf traits (Cianciaruso et al., 2012). Furthermore, at more refined scales, substantial phenotypic plasticity (i.e. intra-specific trait variation) may be more important than interspecific variation (Cianciaruso et al., 2012; Dantas et al., 2013), for phenotypic plasticity might interfere the detection of phylogenetic signal (Losos, 2008).

Intraspecific trait variation (ITV) is closely related to local environmental conditions (Cochrane et al., 2015; Umaña et al., 2015). Generally, species tend to exhibit more ITV in complex forests, and less ITV in habitats with relatively homogeneous environmental conditions (Sultan, 2000; Umaña et al., 2015). At local scales, species demonstrate strong adaptive capacity by changing leaf traits in response to micro-environmental gradients, such as variation in light availability associated with vertical gradients (Coopman et al., 2011; Kenzo et al., 2015). Previous studies suggest that, in forest communities, ontogenetic environment and changes in canopy positions lead to strong ITV in tree species. For example, in tropical rain forests, Parashorea chinensis H. Wang, a dominant species, has been observed to shift adaptive strategies throughout development from shade-tolerant to competitive in response to changes in both light and tree height level (Deng et al., 2020). At the top of the canopy, twigs of some tree species respond to restricted water-supply and potential water deficits by producing many vessels with minimal size, which reduces the possibility that the entire conduction system will collapse due to embolisms in single vessels (Kafuti et al., 2020). However, previous studies have been limited by small sample sizes due to the difficulties of accessing the upper parts of trees in forest canopies (Keenan and Niinemets, 2016). In addition, sampling leaves in the lower part of trees (shade leaves) may bias the measurement of plasticity and adaptability of plant traits (Hulshof and Swenson, 2010). Accordingly, leaf sampling at different heights of the canopy is crucial for studies on plant ITV. Furthermore, a nested sampling design can reveal how traits change across scales, thereby clarifying the contribution of intraspecific variation to phenotypic variation in traits (Messier et al., 2010; Sievert et al., 2015).

Savannas differ from closed-canopy forests. Savanna community structure is simplified due to the strong stress of seasonal drought and herbivory. Trees and shrubs in savanna are very short and sparsely scattered over the continuous grass layer, leaving the crowns apart from each other (Walter, 1985). Plants in such habitats have adapted morphologically and physiologically to less moisture, intensive solar irradiance, and strong evapotranspiration (Borchert, 1994; Lüttge, 2008). For instance, specific leaf area (SLA) is lower in savanna trees than in evergreen riparian forest (Hoffmann et al., 2005), and leaf turgor loss point ($\tau_{\text{tvp}}$), a key trait representing plant drought tolerance, is higher in dry biomes (Bartlett et al., 2012a).

One noteworthy savanna community is located in the dry-hot habitats of southwestern China. Although previous studies have examined the response and adaptability of plants in these communities to harsh environmental conditions at the species-level (Zhu et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2012), ITV has yet to be investigated. In this study, we ask the following questions: How strong are the phylogenetic signals in leaf traits under such stressful environmental condition? What are the ITV patterns of leaf traits along the vertical stratification of woody species? What are the patterns of trait change across scales in savanna community?

2. Material and methods

2.1. Study site

The savanna vegetation in SW China mainly occurs in Yunnan Province in the deep valleys of Jinshajiang, Yuanjiang, and Nuijiang (below 1000 m), which are predominated by hot-dry climate caused by the foehn effect (Wu et al., 1987; Zhu et al., 2020). The physiognomy of Yunnan savanna vegetation is similar to that in India–Myanmar, sharing some common species with African savannas, such as Woodfordia fruticosa (L.) Kurz and Calotropis gigantea (L.) W.T. Aiton (Zhu et al., 2020). The floras of Yunnan savanna are influenced by the extrusion of the Indochina Plate, the northward movement of the Burma Plate, and river direction (the northwest-southeast in Yuanjiang; north-south in Nuijiang), which allow the northward migration of tropical elements. Consequently, these floras are dominated by tropical elements with a large proportion of tropical Asian elements (Zhu et al., 2020).

This study was conducted in a savanna community in Yuanjiang County (23°28’N, 102°10’E), Yunnan Province, southwest China. The Ailao Mountains and Wuliang Mountains in the west obstruct monsoon rainfall from the Indian Ocean, resulting in a hot-dry climate (Wu et al., 1987). Mean annual temperature is 24.7 °C, with a mean temperature ranging from 16.8 °C in the coldest month to 28.7 °C in the hottest month. Mean annual rainfall is 732.8 mm, of which more than 85% occurs in the rainy season (May to October). Annual potential evaporation is 1750 mm (based on the data collected by Yuanjiang Savanna Ecological Station, Xishuangbanna Tropical Botanical Garden, Chinese Academy of Sciences). Plant communities growing in this area are exposed to strong seasonality, with little rainfall and high evaporation. As a result, plant communities in these valley areas mainly consist of drought-tolerant shrubs and herbs, over which few trees are scattered (Li and Walker, 1986). Tree and shrub layers range from 2 to 6 m high, and commonly include Lannea coromandelica (Houtt.) Merr., Polyalthia cerasoides (Roxb.) Benth. ex Hook. f. ex Bedd., Pistacia weinmannifolia J. Poisson ex Franchet. The grass layer is dominated by Heteropogon contortus (L.) P. Beauv. ex Roem. et Schult., which grows continuously under the trees and shrubs.

2.2. Collection and measurement of functional traits

We randomly selected nine dominant woody species (six deciduous and three evergreen) from the savanna community during the dry season (April 2020) (Table 1). For each species, we collected leaves from 30 randomly selected individuals. Leaf samples were collected from six tree species at two heights: the upper layer (3–4 m) and the lower layer (1–2 m). The average height of the three shrub species is 2 m; therefore, leaves were collected from the top of plant. For simple-leaved plants, 10 leaves from each layer of an individual were sampled. For compound-leaved plants, three leaves from each layer of an individual were collected, and every leaflet in a leaf was measured.

We used standard protocols to measure eight key functional traits that reflect plant adaptability to the arid environments (Pérez-Harguindeguy et al., 2013); leaf turgor loss point was measured according to Bartlett et al. (2012b), and leaf chlorophyll content was measured according to Vos and Bom (1993) (Table S1). We collected the environmental data (including air temperature, relative air humidity and photosynthetically active radiation) from the eddy covariance system and from a meteorological station (Fei et al., 2017). Specific leaf area (SLA) and leaf dry matter content
(LDMC) reflect fundamental functional trade-offs between rapid material production (high SLA with low LDMC) and efficient nutrient conservation (low SLA with high LDMC) (Poorter and Garnier, 1999). Leaf area (LA) characterizes trade-offs between carbon fixation, water loss and heat dissipation (Wright et al., 2017). Leaf thickness (LT) indicates investment in defense (e.g., preventing intensive irradiance) and photosynthesis (Niinemets, 2001). Leaf chlorophyll content (LCC) symbolizes photosynthetic capacity of plant (Croft et al., 2017). Leaf turgor loss point ($\tau_{tlp}$) reflects plant drought tolerance (Bartlett et al., 2012a). Leaf carbon content (Leaf C) indicates nutritional quality and palatability of leaves. Leaf nitrogen content (Leaf N) indicates the photosynthetic capability of leaf (Pérez-Harguindeguy et al., 2013).

2.3. Phylogenetic tree reconstruction

We used the APG III taxonomic phylogenetic framework (Phylo- matic tree version) to reconstruct the phylogenetic structure of all sampled species at the family level (Zanne et al., 2014); we then used the “ape” package in R 4.0.2 to randomly resolve polytomies, and reconstruct a binary phylogenetic tree (Paradis et al., 2004).

2.4. Data analysis

The phylogenetic signal in eight functional traits for target species was tested using the Brownian motion evolutionary model (Blomberg et al., 2003). Blomberg’s K was used to measure the deviation of trait variation from random variation under the assumption of Brownian evolution. A value of $K = 1$ indicates that the evolution of a given trait corresponds to the Brownian motion evolutionary model; a value of $K < 1$ indicates a convergent evolutionary pattern of a target trait; and a value of $K > 1$ indicates a high phylogenetic conservatism of a given trait. The significance of phylogenetic signals were assessed by a randomization test. By comparing the observed value of K to the distribution of K values that were obtained by shuffling the traits across the tips in phylogenetic tree 999 times, a p value was obtained to assess significance of phylogenetic signals. The phylogenetic signal and significance tests were performed using the ‘Picante’ package in R 4.0.2 (Kembel, 2009).

We used the student t-test to test for differences in environmental factors (air temperature, relative air humidity and photosynthetically active radiation) between the two leaf layers (upper layer = 3–4 m vs. lower layer = 1–2 m). We calculated coefficient of variation ($CV = sd/mean \times 100\%$) to quantify intraspecific variation for each trait at the individual level and at the layer level. To test for trait differences among species, we calculated the average of all sampled leaves in each individual for each trait, then performed an ANOVA. We used Principal Components Analysis (PCA) to analyze sample similarity based on individual data (all samples were classified as nine species and two leaf phenologies).

Variance decomposition was implemented to evaluate how traits (SLA, LDMC, LA, LT, LCC and $\tau_{tlp}$) varied across different scales (leaf phenology, species, individual, layer and leaf). The variance at each scale was transformed on a proportional scale. Leaf phenology was classified as evergreen or deciduous. When analyzing contributions to trait variations, we took into account that the six tree species were divided into two layers, and the three shrub species

Table 1

| Species | Abbreviation | Leaf type | Life form | Leaf phenology |
|---------|--------------|-----------|-----------|----------------|
| Polyalthia cerasoides | POLYCE | Simple leaf | Tree | Deciduous plant |
| Lannea coromandelica | LANNCO | Simple leaf | Tree | Deciduous plant |
| Diospyros yunnanensis | DIOSYU | Simple leaf | Tree | Deciduous plant |
| Pistacia weinmannifolia | PISTWE | Compound leaf | Tree | Evergreen plant |
| Tarenna depauperata | TAREDE | Simple leaf | Shrub | Deciduous plant |
| Woodfordia fruticosa | WOODFR | Simple leaf | Shrub | Deciduous plant |
| Vitex negundo | VITENE | Compound leaf | Shrub | Deciduous plant |
| Olea europaea subsp. cuspidata | OLEAEU | Simple leaf | Tree | Evergreen plant |
| Haldina cordifolia | HALDCO | Simple leaf | Tree | Deciduous plant |

Table 2

| Traits | K value | p value |
|--------|---------|---------|
| Leaf area (LA) | 0.74 | 0.407 |
| Specific leaf area (SLA) | 1.09 | 0.080 |
| Leaf thickness (LT) | 1.01 | 0.111 |
| Leaf dry matter content (LDMC) | 1.02 | 0.912 |
| Leaf chlorophyll content (LCC) | 0.49 | 0.130 |
| Leaf turgor loss point ($\tau_{tlp}$) | 0.87 | 0.200 |
| Leaf carbon content (Leaf C) | 0.66 | 0.447 |
| Leaf nitrogen content (Leaf N) | 0.80 | 0.300 |

Fig. 1. Comparison of major environmental factors of two canopy layers. H: Relative air humidity (%); PAR: Photosynthetically active radiation ($\mu$mol/m$^2$/s); T: Air temperature (°C).
were sampled at the upper layer. Variance decomposition was performed using \textit{varcomp} function in R 4.0.2 (Messier et al., 2010).

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Phylogenetic signal testing of functional traits

Values for Blomberg’s K for LA, LCC, Leaf C, Leaf N and \( \pi_{\text{tlp}} \) were less than 1, ranging from 0.49 for LCC to 0.87 for \( \pi_{\text{tlp}} \) (Table 2); K values of SLA, LDMC and LT were higher than 1 (Table 2). In the plants sampled, no trait exhibited a significant phylogenetic signal, indicating that ecological and phylogenetic similarities were inconsistent (\( p > 0.05 \)).

#### 3.2. Pattern of leaf traits variation

Photosynthetically active radiation, air temperature and relative air humidity were not significantly different between the two canopy layers (\( p > 0.05 \)) (Fig. 1).

Traits varied intra-specifically and interspecifically at both the individual- and layer-level (Tables 3, S3 and S4). For most species, LA, SLA, LDMC and Leaf N varied more than other traits (Table 3). LA exhibited the highest average intraspecific variation (average \( CV = 24.83\% \)), whereas Leaf C had the lowest variation (\( CV = 1.44\% \)). For the tree species, trait variation between the upper and lower leaf levels was low (\( CV = 0.07\% - 8.68\% \), Table S3).

Leaf functional traits differed significantly between species (Figs. 2 and 3a; Table S2). PCA clustered evergreen and deciduous species into different groups. Evergreen species gathered in the left of PC1 axis, reflecting more conservative strategies (Fig. 3b). At the same time, the contribution of each trait to the first two principal component axes was different between evergreen species and deciduous species, but the correlations between traits were basically the same (Figs. S1 and S2).

#### 3.3. Partitioning of variance

Variation caused by each scale varied among traits (Fig. 4). For example, interspecific variation contributed the majority of total variance in LA (95%) and LT (75%). Interspecific variation and variation in leaf phenology contributed equally scale (around 40%) to total variance of LDMC, SLA and \( \pi_{\text{tlp}} \), with the remaining 20% explained by intraspecific variation (including leaf-, canopy- and individual-scales). For LCC, variation in leaf phenology accounted for 63% of total variance, whereas interspecific variation accounted for only 16% of total variance.

### 4. Discussion

#### 4.1. Low ITV in a stressful and relatively homogeneous environment

In this study, we found that savanna woody species have lower ITV at both the individual- and layer-level. Similar results have been reported from a long-term nutrient manipulation study in a Brazilian savanna, suggesting that nutrient-poor environments lead to conservatism of traits (Scalon et al., 2017). In the dry season, plants are threatened by intense seasonal drought and radiation,
and are particularly vulnerable to photoinhibition and even photodamage (Zhang et al., 2012, 2016). In habitats with strong abiotic stresses, where resources are limited, plant phenotype is severely restricted (Valladares et al., 2007). In other words, resources limit the degree of variation in traits (Sultan, 2000; Gotsch et al., 2010). Accordingly, savanna species generally maximize resource utilization for local species (Sultan, 2000) by limiting ITV (e.g., bark thickness, $^{13}$C, De Càssia-Silva et al., 2017).

In a closed forest, significant micro-environmental gradients occur across the canopy (Parker, 1995). For instance, one important factor that determines trait variation is the position (i.e., the height) within an individual plant, because in forests as height increases water availability is increasingly limited (Kafuti et al., 2020). In savannas, however, species are usually short and in an open canopy; thus, variation in leaf functional traits within the canopy are predicted to be much lower than that in closed forests with taller trees. In our study, the two canopy strata were exposed to fewer differences in air temperature, air relative humidity or photosynthetically active radiation, reflecting the relatively homogeneous environmental conditions within the crown (Fig. 1). These local species, subject to stabilizing selection, are under less pressure to adapt to environmental changes. Consequently, plants living in less heterogeneous habitat show low ITV (Umaña et al., 2015). The low ITV of dominant woody species could probably be explained by specific ecological conditions in the savanna, and both multiple stresses and relatively homogeneous environment are likely to be the driving factors.

### 4.2. Leaf phenology determines traits syndromes

Species that coexist within the same habitat often adapt to similar constraints via alternative means, as shown by differences in trait values and trait covariation (Scalon et al., 2017; Fyllas et al., 2020). Similar trait syndromes can achieve approximately equal fitness in the same habitat (Marks and Lechowicz, 2006). We found that in savanna communities leaf functional traits still differed significantly among species (Fig. 2), indicating that various combinations of traits are feasible in infertile and stressful habitats. Furthermore, PCA showed that deciduous species displayed greater resource acquisition ability than evergreen species (Fig. 3b), with different investment in different traits (Figs. 51 and 52). Savannas contain numerous woody species that drop leaves during the dry season (Williams et al., 1989), limiting the period plants are able to photosynthesize. For these plants, larger SLA (Pringle et al., 2011) and higher leaf nitrogen content (Field and Mooney, 1986) allow plants to photosynthesize efficiently. In plants that grow in areas with a high resource supply (e.g., long rainy season), the cost of leaf production is low (Coley et al., 1985). For instance, deciduous species have commonly been observed to have high leaf water content (i.e. lower LDMC) (Powers and Tiffin, 2010). Conversely, plants exposed to multiple stresses throughout year, such as evergreen plants, bear a higher cost of leaf construction and maintenance, and thus produce long-lived sclerophyllous leaves (Williams et al., 1989). These smaller and thicker leaves increase the number of mesophyll cells per leaf, increasing LCC, and consequently reducing the damage caused by intensive light in the dry season (Bosabalidis and Kofidis, 2002). In addition, photosynthesis and transpiration is balanced in leaves with a higher $\tau_{\hbox{LT}}$, which allows evergreen plants to maintain greater drought-resistance over long periods of water deficiency (Bartlett et al., 2012a; Zhu et al., 2018). Our results suggest that species with similar leaf phenology seem to have similar trait syndromes.
4.3. Intraspecific variation contributes little to trait variation

Previous studies have reported that traits seem to respond independently to different drivers among different biomes (Auger and Shipley, 2012; Siefert et al., 2015). In lowland tropical forests, variance in morphological traits (LMA and LDMC) is derived equally from intraspecific and interspecific variation, indicating that small-scale environmental gradients and genetic variation contribute approximately the same amount to trait variation (Messier et al., 2010). In the savanna community we studied, traits varied differently across scales (leaf phenology, species, individual and layer and leaf). Specifically, intraspecific variation (including leaf-, layer- and individual-scales) contributed less to total trait variance (<30%). For example, the majority of the total variance in LA and LT was related to interspecific differences (>75%), indicating that the major variation in leaf area and leaf thickness is driven by genetic differences (Messier et al., 2017). Our finding that total variance in LA is largely due to interspecific differences (95%) is consistent with results from global-scale studies (Wright et al., 2017; Kleyer et al., 2018). We also found that SLA, LDMC and \( \tau_{lp} \) had similar patterns of variance across scales, and that interspecific variation and variation in leaf phenology contributed equally to total variance (around 40%). LCC, the least species-specific trait, is greatly sensitive to different leaf phenology, which contributed 63% to phenotypic variation. This conclusion was also supported by the extent to which leaf chlorophyll content contributes to variation in evergreen species and deciduous species (Figs. S1 and S2). Our study revealed that intraspecific variation (including at the leaf-, layer- and individual-scales) contributed little to overall trait variation in a savanna community, whereas leaf phenology and interspecific variation are both important to variation in SLA, LDMC, \( \tau_{lp} \), and LCC.

5. Conclusions

In the savanna community studied, no significant phylogenetic signal was detected in leaf traits, although this finding may have been influenced by small sample size. Stressful and infertile conditions of the savanna severely restricted trait variation within species, and the lower and relatively open canopy created smaller heterogeneity in environmental gradients, resulting in the lower ITVs within individuals. However, great differences occurred in traits among coexisting species, revealing that alternative functional designs are feasible even under habitats with great pressures and multiple resources constraints. Finally, intraspecific variation contributed little to total variance in all traits observed in savanna community, whereas interspecific variation and variation in leaf phenology contributed to substantial variation.
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