Tire particle emissions: demand on reliable characterization
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Tire particle emissions

ORGANIZATION

- **Motivation:** *why to study tire particles?*

- **Literature review**
  - Methods for particle collection and measurement techniques
  - Characterization
  - Environment and toxicology

- **Conclusions:** *or again… why to study tire particles?*

- **First attempts for particle collection & characterization at TUIL**
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MOTIVATION

• Tires are not any more a negligible component in the car when talking about emissions and environmental impact.

• Tires contribution to PM10, PM2.5 and PM1.0 exists and will become a hot topic in the next years.

• Understanding the intrinsic nature of the generation, life and destiny of particles is a challenging (and very probably impossible) goal, but absolutely necessary if component improvements, control techniques and future legislation are intended to be achieved. Because…
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Since 2012...

But maybe by 2022...

1222/2009 – C1

72 dB
Tire particle emissions

LITERATURE REVIEW

Methods for particle collection

• Indoor simulations
  – Flat track test machine and road wheel
  – Road simulators in closed chambers
  – Drum testing system (with different abraders)
  – Debris from abraded tires using rasps

• Different surfaces
• Different tires
• Too many input factors

1 Sjödin, Å, Ferm, M., Björk, A., Rahmberg, M., Gudmundsson, A., Swietlicki, A., Johansson, C., Gustafsson, M., Blomqvist, G., "Wear Particles from Road Traffic - A Field, Laboratory and Modelling Study", IVL Report, 2010.

2 Stalnaker, D., Turner, J., Parekh, D., Whittle, B., and Norton, R., "In-door Simulation of Tire Wear: Same Case Studies", Tire Science and Technology, Vol. 24, No. 2, April-June, 1996, pp. 94–118.
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Methods for particle collection

• On road collection
  – Vehicles equipped with aspiration system
  – Vacuum pick up

• Not many efforts
• Different surfaces
• Difficult to distinguish the sources

---

1 Mathissen, M., Scheer, V., Vogt, R. and Benter, T., “Investigation on the Potential Generation of Ultrafine Particles from the Tire Road Interface”, *Atmospheric Environment*, Vol 45, 2011, pp. 6172–6179

2 Dannis, M. L., “Rubber Dust from the Normal Wear of Tires”, *Rubber Chemistry and Technology*, Vol 47, No. 4, 1974, pp. 1011–1037.
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Methods for particle collection

- Aerosol, soil and water sampling methods
  - High volume samplers
  - Gelman-A glass, Quartz, teflon and PTFE filters
  - X-stage impactor
  - Electrical aerosol analyzer
  - Vacuum sweeper truck
  - Jars with water for dustfall
  - Simple plastic bags

- The list goes on…
- Used in different sites, cities, countries, weather conditions, etc.
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Measurement techniques

• Number, mass and size
  – Stationary Aerosol Sampler
  – Scanning Mobility Particle Sizer
  – Aerodynamic Particle Sizer
  – Small Deposite Cascade Impactor
  – Engine Exhaust Particle Sizer (EEPS)
  – Aerosol Time-of-Flight Mass Spectrometers

• Chemical and morphological composition
  – Thermogravimetric method and pyrolysis gas
  – Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy
  – Transmission optical microscopy and laser diffraction method
  – Chemical tracer

• The list goes on…
• Ample variety of devices
• In different ranges of size
• Most for exhaust emission
## Literature Review

### Characterization

| Reference                        | Emission factors | Size distribution | Contribution to PM | Others                                      |
|----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| Cadle, S. H. and Williams, R. L., 1978. | 0.12 – 0.48 µg.m⁻³ | 0.4 µm (volume)   | 1.3 – 2.6 %        | Hydrocarbon / Sulfur emissions: 0.6 / 0.2 mg.kg⁻¹ |
| Kreider, M. L. et al, 2010. |                  |                   |                    |                                             |
| Pierson, W. R. and Brachaczek, W. W., 1974. | 0.2 µg.m⁻³ (mostly no-resuspendable) 1 µg.m⁻³ (urban/airborne) | 0.13µg.m⁻² < 1.1µm 0.07µg.m⁻² > 7µm | 20% airborne PM 2-7 % airborne PM 0.02 – 0.1% (corners) |
| Mathissen, M., et al, 2011. | 1x10¹¹ #.km⁻¹ 1x10⁷ #.cm⁻³ | Mean d: 30-60 nm   |                    | No CO₂ generation |
| Fauser, P., et al, 1999. |                  |                   |                    |                                             |
| Dahl, A., et al, 2006. | 3.7x10¹¹ – 3.1x10¹² #.vehicle⁻¹.km⁻¹ | Mean d: 27 nm  Mean d: 15-50 nm |                    |                                             |
| Sjödin, Å, et al, 2010. | 461 ng. m⁻³ (to PM₁₀) | Mean d: 8 µm (studded) | 16.1 ng. m⁻³ of Zn (big) | Cl, S, Si, Na peaks 20% of total Zn |
| Fauser, P., et al, 2002. |                  |                   |                    |                                             |
| Camatini, M., et al, 2001. |                  | > 100 µm          |                    |                                             |
| Aatmeeyata et al, 2009. | 0.31-0.5 µg.tire⁻¹.km⁻¹ (to PM₂₅) 0.54-0.95 µg.tire⁻¹.km⁻¹ (to PM₁₀) 3.5-6.4 mg. m⁻³ (larger) | Mean d: 1.7 µm  Mass bimodal: 0.3 and 4-5 µm 32.4 % d < 1µm, 67.6 % d > 1µm |                    |                                             |
| Councell, T., et al, 2004. |                  |                   |                    |                                             |
| Dannis, M. L., et al, 1974 |                  |                   |                    |                                             |
| Dall’Osto, M., et al, 2014. | 1-3 µm (field) < 100 nm (laboratory) | |                    |                                             |
LITERATURE REVIEW

“Tire debris deposited on the road may release a number of chemicals when they interact with rain and/or runoff water”¹

“10% of the total particulate Zn load in Swedish cities came from tire wear”⁸ (or even worse)³

“It is unlikely that the zinc concentrations leached from the tires used in artificial reefs would ever cause acute or even chronic toxicity”¹⁰

“low risk to aquatic ecosystems and no-observables-adverse-effect-level of TRWP in rats”¹¹,¹²

“toxic to Daphnia magna and other organisms”²,³,⁴

“not all organisms were sensitive to tire leachates”⁵,⁶

“toxic for X. laevis embryo development linked to malformations”⁷

“Lung toxicity induced by TP₁₀ was primarily due to macrophage-mediated inflammatory events, while toxicity induced by TP₂·⁵ appeared to be related more closely to cytotoxicity”⁹

¹ Gualtieri, M., Andrioletti, M., Vismara, C., Milani, M. and Camatini, M., “Toxicity of Tire Debris Leachates”, Environment International, Vol 31, 2005, pp. 723–730.
² Wick, A. and Dave, G., “Environmental Labelling of Car Tires—Toxicity to Daphnia Magna Can Be Used as a Screening Method”, Chemosphere, Vol 58, 2005, pp. 645–651.
³ Goudy, J. S. and B. A. Barton, B. A., “The Toxicity of Scrap Tire Materials to Selected Aquatic Organisms”, Unpublished report for Souris Basin Development Authority, Regina, Saskatchewan; 1992.
⁴ Abery, S.G., Montermino, B.P. and Penders, J.W., “The Aquatic toxicity of scrap automobile tires”, Aquatic Toxicology Section, Standards Development Branch, Ontario, 1994.
⁵ Day, K.E., Holtz, K.E., Metcalf-Smith, J.L., Bishop, C. T. and Dutka, B. J., “Toxicity of Leachate from Automobile Tires to Aquatic Biota”, Chemosphere, Vol 27, No. 4, 1993, pp. 665–675.
⁶ Kellough, R. M., “The Effects of Scrap Automobile Tires in Water”, Waste Management Branch, OMOE, 1991.
⁷ Mantucca, P., Gualtieri, M., Andrioletti, M., Bacchetta, R., Vismara, C., Vailati, G. and Camatini, M., “Tire Debris Organic Extract Affects Xenopus Development”, Environment International, Vol 33, 2007, pp. 642–648.
⁸ Counsell, T., Duckenfield, K., Landa, E. and Warcallender, E., “Tire-Wear Particles as a Source of Zinc to the Environment”, Environmental Science and Technology, Vol 38, 2004, pp. 4206–4214.
⁹ Ahlborn, J. and Duus, U., “New Tracks - A Product Study of Rubber Tires”, Keml Report 6/94, National Chemicals Inspectorate, Sweden. 1994.
¹⁰ Nelson, S. M., Mueller, G. and Hemphill, D. C., “Identification of Tire Leachate Toxictants and a Risk Assessment of Water Quality Effects Using Tire-Reefs in Canals”, Bulletin of environmental contamination and toxicology, Vol 52, 1994, pp. 574–581.
¹¹ Panko, J. M., Kreider, M. L., McAtee, B. L. and Marwood, C., “Chronic Toxicity of Tire and Road Wear Particles to Water- and Sediment-Dwelling Organisms”, Ecotoxicology, Vol 22, No. 1, 2013, pp.13–21.
¹² Kreider, M. L., Doyle-Eisele, M., Russell, R. G., McDonald, J. D. and Panko, J. M., “Evaluation of Potential for Toxicity from Subacute Inhalation of Tire and Road Wear Particles in Rats”, Inhalation toxicology, Vol 24, No. 13, 2012, pp. 907–117.
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**PMP and TIP**

- **PMP**\(^1\)
  - Inter-governmental research program under the auspices of The Working Party on Pollution and Energy (GRPE)
  - Aimed to develop new vehicle exhaust particle measurement procedures for regulatory use (with special consideration for particle emissions at very low levels).

- **TIP**\(^2\)
  - Supported by the 11 most important tire producers
  - Main goals: anticipate the potential long term environmental and health issues relating to tire materials, tire road wear particles, end of life tires and recycling management

---

\(^1\) “Particle Measurement Programme” Available at: https://wiki.unece.org/pages/viewpage.action?pageId=2523173

\(^2\) “Tire Industry Project.” Available at: http://www.wbcsd.org/Projects/Tire-Industry-Project
Despite the big effort of all the authors in this topic...

- Most of the characterization works were carried out in the last decades and hence the information about concentration, size distribution, chemical characterization, and so on may be not accurate anymore.
- The recent works were mostly focused in the environmental impact by means of collected samples without a standardized procedure and no clear conclusions about future concerns came out.
- No one of the existing measurement devices for particle number, mass and size are propitious for tire debris.
CONCLUSIONS

• The two principal factors conditioning the amount and characteristics of the tire emissions are the tread and pavement composition and geometry → Characterization is extremely difficult.

• The absence of (i) standardized sampling methods, (ii) mechanisms comprehension, (iii) physical models and (iv) relevant data, turn this topic into a puzzle that must be addressed simultaneously from several angles.

• Future works are currently taking place in a new developed laboratory environment and will conduce to a more deep understanding...
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Available devices

• For particle size, number and mass measurements:
  – ELPI®+ by DEKATI (size range of 6 nm to 10 μm)
  – DMS500 Fast Particle Analyzer by CAMBUSTION (up to 1 μm)
  – MEXA 2100 SPCS by HORIBA (up to 2.5 μm)
  – PN PEMS by AVL (up to 10 μm)
  – The Ultrafine Condensation Particle Counter Model 3776 by TSI

• For another purposes:
  – Spec PSV 400 3D by Polytec, 3D laser scanning vibrometer
  – FASTCAM APX RS High Speed Camera by Photron
  – Infrared camera VarioCAM®HD by InfreTec
  – High sensitive scales
  – JSM-6610 Series scanning electron microscope (SEM) by Jeol
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- Sectional aluminum structure of size (2.4 x 2.0 x 3.2) m with totally detachable steel walls which can be adapted for any tire dimension.

- The bell allows the air flow entering from the space between the drum and the floor and carrying the particles generated in the tire-drum contact to come easily to the sampling tunnel thanks to a tube fan located at its end.
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Preliminary results

Tire A

Tire B
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