Photometric study of new southern SU UMa-type dwarf novae – II: Authentication of BF Ara as a Normal SU UMa-type Dwarf Nova with the Shortest Supercycle

Taichi Kato1, Greg Bolt2, Peter Nelson3, Berto Monard4, Rod Stubbings5, Andrew Pearce6, Hitoshi Yamaoka7, Tom Richards8

1 Department of Astronomy, Faculty of Science, Kyoto University, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8502 Japan
2 295 Camberwarra Drive, Craigie, Western Australia 6025, Australia
3 RMB 2493, Ellinbank 3820, Australia
4 Bronberg Observatory, PO Box 11426, Tijgerpoort 0056, South Africa
5 19 Greenland Drive, Drouin 3818, Victoria, Australia
6 32 Monash Ave, Nedlands, WA 6009, Australia
7 Faculty of Science, Kyushu University, Fukuoka 810-8560, Japan
8 Woodridge Observatory, 8 Diosma Rd, Eltham, Vic 3095, Australia

ABSTRACT
We photometrically observed the 2002 August long outburst of BF Ara. The observation for the first time unambiguously detected superhumps (average period 0.08797(1) d), qualifying BF Ara as a genuine SU UMa-type dwarf nova. An analysis of the long-term visual light curve yielded a mean supercycle length of 84.3(3) d. The characteristics of outbursts and superhumps more resemble those of usual SU UMa-type dwarf novae rather than those of ER UMa stars. BF Ara is thus confirmed to be the usual SU UMa-type dwarf nova with the shortest known supercycle length. There still remains an unfilled gap of distributions between ER UMa stars and usual SU UMa-type dwarf novae. We detected a zero period change of the superhumps, which is quite unexpected from our previous knowledge. This discovery implies that a previous interpretation requiring a low $\dot{M}$ would be no longer valid, or that a different mechanism is responsible for BF Ara. We propose that the reduced (prograde) apsidal motion of the eccentric disk by pressure forces may be responsible for the unusual period change in BF Ara.
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1 INTRODUCTION

ER UMa stars are still an enigmatic subgroup of SU UMa-type dwarf novae (for a review of dwarf novae and SU UMa-type dwarf novae, see Osaki (1996) and Warner (1997), respectively). Although most of SU UMa-type dwarf novae have supercycle lengths ($T_s$; the interval between successive superoutbursts) long than $\sim$100 d (cf. Nogami et al. 1997), ER UMa stars have extremely short $T_s$ (19–50 d, for a review, see Kato et al. 1996). Only five definite members have been discovered: ER UMa (Kato & Kunjaya 1995; Robertson et al. 1996; Misselt & Shafter 1993); V1159 Ori (Nogami et al. 1995); DI UMa (Kato et al. 1996); and IX Dra (Ishioka et al. 2001). From the theoretical standpoint, ER UMa stars pose difficult and interesting problems. The outburst mechanism of SU UMa-type dwarf novae is now widely believed to be a combination of thermal and tidal instabilities in the accretion disk (Osaki 1989). A smooth extension of SU UMa-type dwarf novae toward higher mass-transfer rates ($\dot{M}$) seems to be a natural explanation of extremely short $T_s$ in ER UMa stars (Osaki 1995). This explanation, however, requires a poorly understood mechanism to prematurely quench superoutbursts to reproduce the extremely short $T_s$ ($\sim$19 d) in RZ LMi (Osaki 1995).

The origin of the supposed high mass-transfer rates is also a mystery, since the mass-transfer is mainly driven by...
gravitational wave radiation in SU UMa-type dwarf novae, within the standard evolutionary framework of cataclysmic variables (CVs) (Rappaport et al. 1982, 1983; for recent reviews of CV evolution, see King 1988, 2001).

Several attempts have been made to ascribe such a high $M$ to a nova-induced enhancement of mass-transfer (originally discussed in Nogami et al. 1993) in the context of “nova hibernation” scenario (Shara et al. 1986). Recent model calculations, however, have not been successful to reproduce the supposed wide $M$ diversity in short-period systems to which ER UMa stars belong (Kolb et al. 2001). An irradiation-induced, cyclic mass-transfer variation has been shown to be also less effective in short-period systems (Kato et al. 1995, 1998, McCormick 1998; see also a general discussion in Patterson 1998).

Most recently, several ideas have been proposed to explain the unusual outburst properties of ER UMa stars. Hellier (2001) proposed an idea to explain the ER UMa-type phenomenon by considering a decoupling between the thermal and tidal instabilities. Buat-Ménard et al. (2001) tried to explain the ER UMa-type phenomenon by introducing an inner truncation of the accretion disk and irradiation on the secondary star. These ideas either require a still poorly understood mechanism or an arbitrary parameter selection, which does not yet seem to reasonably reproduce observations (Buat-Ménard & Hameury 2002).

From the observational side, the distribution of $T_{\text{orb}}$ seems to be discontinuous between ER UMa stars and usual SU UMa-type dwarf novae (c.f. Nogami et al. 1997; Hellier 2001). Furthermore, the distribution of the orbital periods ($P_{\text{orb}}$) or superhump periods ($P_{\text{SH}}$) of ER UMa stars strongly concentrates in a short-period region (Ishioka et al. 2001). These observational properties have raised the following central problems: (1) Do ER UMa stars and usual SU UMa stars comprise a continuous distribution of $T_{\text{orb}}$, and (2) Are there long-$P_{\text{orb}}$ (or long-$P_{\text{SH}}$) ER UMa stars? These fundamental questions have not been yet answered. The second question is particular important because the working hypotheses by Hellier (2001) and Buat-Ménard et al. (2001) either require a small binary mass-ratio ($q = M_2/M_1$) or a short orbital period, which would enable a weak tidal torque or a strong effect of irradiation, respectively.

From these motivations, a search for transitional objects between ER UMa stars and usual SU UMa-type stars, and long-$P_{\text{orb}}$ ER UMa stars has been undertaken. CI UMa ($T_{\text{orb}} \sim 140$ d) was once claimed to be a transitional object (Nogami & Kato 1993), but the pattern of its outbursts is much more irregular than those of ER UMa stars. A short $T_{\text{orb}}$ (89 d) system, V503 Cyg (Harvey et al. 1993) is also unusual in its infrequent normal outbursts. Low-amplitude SU UMa-type dwarf nova, HS Vir, which has similar properties to ER UMa stars in its high frequency of normal outbursts (Kato et al. 1995, 1998), has recently confirmed to have a long ($T_{\text{orb}} = 186$ or 371 d; Kato et al. 2001) supercycle, which is unlike those of ER UMa stars. Most recently, SS UMi ($T_{\text{orb}} = 84.7$ d, Kato et al. 2000) has been shown to be the shortest $T_{\text{orb}}$ system having usual properties of SU UMa-type dwarf novae (Kato et al. 1998). A search for transitional objects or long-$P_{\text{orb}}$ ER UMa has been unsuccessful.

BF Ara is a dwarf nova having a range of variability 13.6 – 16.0p and a tentative classification of an SS Cyg-type dwarf nova according to the 4-th edition of the General Catalogue of Variable Stars (Kholopov et al. 1983). Bruch (1983) photometrically studied this object during an outburst, and recorded 0.25-mag variations which could be attributed to a superhump. However, because of the lack of a sufficiently long series of photometry and the lack of knowledge in the outburst properties, this object has been largely neglected in the past studies. In the most recent years, Kato et al. (2001) noticed the presence of a clear recurring periodicity of long outbursts (likely superoutbursts). From an analysis of the visual observations reported to the VSNET Collaboration, Kato et al. (2001) proposed a mean supercycle length of 83.4 d, on the presumed assumption that BF Ara is an SU UMa-type dwarf nova. Since this supercycle length broke the shortest record among usual SU UMa-type dwarf nova, BF Ara has been regarded as a key object to study the borderline and the relation between usual SU UMa-type dwarf novae and ER UMa stars. The next important step has undoubtedly been an unambiguous detection of superhumps which authenticates BF Ara as a genuine SU UMa-type dwarf nova. We conducted a photometric campaign during a long outburst in 2002 August as an intensive project of the VSNET Collaboration (Kato et al. 2002).

### Table 1. Observers and Equipment.

| Observer | Telescope | CCD | Software |
|----------|-----------|-----|----------|
| Bolt     | 25-cm SCT | ST-7 | MuniPack* |
| Nelson   | 32-cm reflector | ST-8E | AIP4Win |
| Monard   | 30-cm SCT | ST-7E | AIP4Win |

*http://munipack.astronomy.cz

### 2 OBSERVATIONS

#### 2.1 CCD Observations

The observers, equipment and reduction software are summarized in Table 1. All observers performed aperture photometry implemented in the packages listed in Table 1. The observations used unfiltered CCD systems having a response close to Kron-Cousins $R_c$ band for outbursting dwarf novae. The errors of single measurements are typically less than 0.01–0.03 mag. The magnitudes were determined relative to GSC 8347.944, whose constancy during the observation was confirmed by a comparison with GSC 2.2 S230002154022. The relative magnitudes by PN using the primary comparison star of GSC 8347.1475 have been converted to the common scale by adding a constant of $-0.691$ mag.

Barycentric corrections to the observed times were applied before the following analysis.

1 Kato et al. (2002) reported the detection of a dramatic changes in the outburst pattern of V503 Cyg. V503 Cyg may be a system with two distinct states (the states with low or high number of normal outbursts), both of which are unlike those of ER UMa stars.

2 http://www.kusastro.kyoto-u.ac.jp/vsnet/
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Table 2. Journal of the 2002 CCD photometry of BF Ara.

| 2002 Date | Start–End | Exp(s) | N | Obs |
|-----------|-----------|--------|---|-----|
| August 18 | 52504.961–52505.055 | 90 | 76 | N |
| 18         | 52504.985–52505.210 | 30–45 | 384 | B |
| 19         | 52505.973–52506.228 | 60 | 317 | B |
| 20         | 52506.952–52507.230 | 60 | 339 | B |
| 21         | 52507.988–52508.224 | 60 | 297 | B |
| 22         | 52509.044–52509.100 | 240 | 22 | N |
| 23         | 52510.201–52510.442 | 50 | 312 | M |
| 25         | 52511.939–52512.092 | 210 | 67 | N |
| 26         | 52512.883–52513.069 | 180 | 69 | N |
| 27         | 52513.889–52514.026 | 200 | 53 | N |

a BJD−2400000.
b N (Nelson), B (Bolt), M (Monard)

Figure 1. Light curve of the 2002 August superoutburst of BF Ara. The magnitudes are given relative to GSC 8347.944 (approximate USNO A2.0 r magnitude 12.4), and are on a system close to $R_c$.

2.2 Visual Observations

Visual observations were done with 32-cm (RS), 40-cm (AP), 32-cm (PN) and 32-cm (BM) reflectors. All observations were done using photoelectrically calibrated V-magnitude comparison stars. The typical error of visual estimates was 0.2 mag. The observations were used to determine the outburst cycle lengths and characteristics. CCD monitoring observation by TR (18-cm refractor and an unfiltered ST-7E) has been included in the analysis.

3 THE 2002 AUGUST SUPEROUTBURST

3.1 Course of Outburst

The 2002 August outburst was detected by RS on August 14.415 UT at a visual magnitude of 14.4. The object was reported to be fainter than 14.8 on August 13.491 UT. The object further brightened to a magnitude of 14.0 on August 16.478 UT. Because the outburst was apparently a long, bright outburst (likely superoutburst), we initiated a CCD photometric campaign through the VSNET Collaboration.

From the August 18 observations by GB and PN, unmistakable superhumps were detected (vsnet-alert 7450), qualifying BF Ara as a genuine SU UMa-type dwarf nova (see section 3.2 for more details). The journal of the CCD observations is listed in Table 2.

Figure 2 shows the light curve of the outburst based on CCD photometry. The slowly fading (0.10 mag d$^{-1}$) superoutburst plateau phase and a more rapidly fading phase on August 27 (BJD 2452514) are clearly demonstrated. The plateau phase lasted for 13 d since the start of the outburst. Nightly light curves are presented in Figure 2 demonstrating the clear presence of superhumps.

3.2 Superhump Period

Figure 3 shows the result of a period analysis using Phase Dispersion Minimization (PDM; Stellingwerf 1978) applied to the data set covering the superoutburst plateau (2002 August 18–26), after removing the linear decline trend. The best determined frequency of superhumps is 11.368(2) d$^{-1}$, corresponding to a mean superhump period of $P_{SH} = 0.08797(1)$ d. The significance of this period is better than 99.99%.

Figure 4 shows the phase-averaged profile of superhumps at the period of 0.08797 d. The rapidly rising and slowly fading superhump profile is very characteristic of an SU UMa-type dwarf nova (Vogt 1981; Warner 1982).

http://www.kusastro.kyoto-u.ac.jp/vsnet/Mail/alert7000/msg00450.html
Figure 3. Period analysis of BF Ara. The strongest signal at a frequency of 11.368(2) d$^{-1}$ corresponds to a mean superhump period of $P_{\text{SH}} = 0.08797(1)$ d.

Figure 4. Mean superhump profile of BF Ara.

Figure 5. $O-C$ diagram of superhump maxima of BF Ara. The error bars correspond to the upper limits of the errors. The open circles denote (usual) superhumps. The filled squares represent secondary superhump maximum ($E = 46.5$) and late superhumps ($E = 101.5$ and $E = 102.5$). The $O-C$’s are virtually zero, indicating an exceptionally small period derivative.

### Table 3. Times of superhump maxima of BF Ara.

| $E$  | BJD−2400000 | $O-C$  |
|------|-------------|--------|
| 0    | 52504.9903  | 0.0001 |
| 1    | 52505.0762  | −0.0019|
| 2    | 52505.1627  | −0.0033|
| 12   | 52506.0453  | 0.0001 |
| 13   | 52506.1314  | −0.0017|
| 14   | 52506.2231  | 0.0021 |
| 23   | 52507.0149  | 0.0026 |
| 24   | 52507.1034  | 0.0032 |
| 25   | 52507.1898  | 0.0017 |
| 35   | 52508.0682  | 0.0009 |
| 36   | 52508.1557  | 0.0005 |
| 46.5$^c$ | 52509.0837  | 0.0054 |
| 60   | 52510.2636  | −0.0016|
| 61   | 52510.3512  | −0.0019|
| 80   | 52512.0202  | −0.0033|
| 90   | 52512.9027  | 0.0000 |
| 91   | 52512.9924  | 0.0018 |
| 101.5$^d$ | 52513.9127  | −0.0011|
| 102  | 52513.9587  | 0.0010 |
| 102.5$^d$ | 52513.9995  | −0.0022|

$^a$ Cycle count since BJD 2452504.990.
$^b$ $O-C$ calculated against equation 1.
$^c$ Likely secondary superhump maximum.
$^d$ Late superhumps.

### 3.3 Superhump Period Change

We extracted the maximum times of superhumps from the light curve by eye. The averaged times of a few to several points close to the maxima were used as representatives of the maximum times. The errors of the maximum times are less than $\sim 0.002$ d. The resultant superhump maxima are given in Table 3. The values are given to 0.001 d in order to avoid the loss of significant digits in a later analysis. The cycle count ($E$) is defined as the cycle number since BJD 2452504.990. The maximum at $E = 46.5$ likely corresponds to a secondary superhump maximum, which is sometimes observed around superhump phases at 0.4–0.6 (Udalski 1990; Kato et al. 1992). The maxima at $E = 101.5$ and 102.5 correspond to late superhumps (Haefner et al. 1979; Vogt 1983; van der Woerd et al. 1988; Hessman et al. 1992), which are known to have similar periods with ordinary superhumps, but have phases of $\sim 0.5$ different from those of ordinary superhumps. Excluding the maxima of the likely secondary superhump and late superhumps, a linear regression to the observed superhump times gives the following ephemeris (the errors correspond to 1σ errors at $E = 39$):

$$\text{BJD(maximum)} = 2452504.9902(5) + 0.087917(15)E. \quad (1)$$

The derived $O-C’s$ against equation 1 are almost zero within the expected errors of the maximum times (Figure 5). A quadratic fit yielded a period derivative of $\dot{P} = -0.6 \pm 1.2 \times 10^{-6}$ d cycle$^{-1}$, or $P_{\text{dot}} = \dot{P}/P = -0.8 \pm 1.4 \times 10^{-5}$. This virtually zero period derivative makes a clear contrast against recently discovered SU UMa-type dwarf novae (V877 Ara, KK Tel), which have large negative period derivatives (Kato et al. 2002).
4 ASTROMETRY AND QUIESCENT IDENTIFICATION

The quiescent counterpart of BF Ara has been suggested by Vogt & Bateson (1982). Since this field is very crowded, we have tried to make an unambiguous independent identification based on outburst CCD images.

Astrometry of the outbursting BF Ara was performed on CCD images taken by GB and PN. An average of measurements of seven images (UCAC1 system, 60 – 240 reference stars; internal dispersion of the measurements was \(\sim 0\''.08\)) has yielded a position of \(17^h 38^m 21.3^s 322.247 - 47^\circ 10' 41'' .466\) (J2000.0). The position agrees with the GSC (1992) and the DSS red image taken on 1998 June 17 happened to catch BF Ara in outburst. The deduced proper motion is \(0.08 \pm 0.02 \, \text{yr}^{-1}\). In case it was really BF Ara in outburst, the deduced proper motion is \(0.30 \pm 0.02 \, \text{yr}^{-1}\) to the durations when the variable was brighter than 15.0 mag.

\[
\text{JD(maximum)} = 2450632.4 + 84.34 \, \text{E}_O, \tag{2}
\]

where \(\text{E}_O\) denotes the number of supercycles since the first (JD 2450626.9) superoutburst. The refined mean supercycle length is 84.3(3) d. The \(O-C\)’s against this equation are displayed in Figure 7. The \(O-C\)’s are usually within 10 d. The small \(O-C\) values are almost comparable to those of ER UMa stars (Honeycutt et al. 1995; Robertson et al. 1994; Kato 2001), although the short-term stability of the supercycle is not as marked as in ER UMa stars.

Table 4. List of Outbursts of BF Ara.

| JD start\(^a\) | JD end\(^a\) | Max | Duration (d) | Type |
|---------------|-------------|-----|--------------|------|
| 50626.9       | 50636.9     | 13.9| >10          | super|
| 50695.9       | 50696.9     | 14.1| 2            | normal|
| 50707.9       | 50708.9     | 14.1| 2            | normal|
| 50721.9       | 50731.9     | 13.8| 10           | super|
| 50748.0       | –           | 14.2| 1            | normal|
| 50890.3       | 50900.2     | 14.0| >11          | super|
| 50937.9       | –           | 14.4| 1            | normal|
| 50965.0       | –           | 14.3| 1            | normal|
| 50979.9       | 50992.1     | 14.1| 13           | super|
| 50989.9       | 51010.0     | 15.0| 2            | normal|
| 51041.9       | –           | 14.4| 1            | normal|
| 51054.9       | 51071.9     | 14.0| 17           | super|
| 51096.9       | 51097.9     | 15.0| 2            | normal|
| 51229.2       | 51263.3     | 13.8| >7           | super|
| 51251.2       | –           | 14.4| 1            | normal|
| 51265.3       | –           | 14.6| 1            | normal|
| 51280.1       | 51281.3     | 14.6| 2            | normal|
| 51290.1       | 51291.2     | 14.6| 2            | normal|
| 51301.2       | 51321.3     | 14.2| 17           | super|
| 51325.3       | –           | 14.8| 1            | normal|
| 51353.0       | 51353.3     | 14.3| 1            | normal|
| 51363.9       | –           | 15.1| 1            | normal|
| 51391.9       | 51400.9     | 14.1| >9           | super|
| 51428.0       | 51428.9     | 14.1| 1            | normal|
| 51447.9       | –           | 14.2| 1            | normal|
| 51458.0       | 51460.0     | 14.1| 2            | normal|
| 51466.0       | 51473.9     | 13.9| >8           | super|
| 51484.9       | –           | 14.6| 1            | normal\(^b\)|
| 51490.9       | –           | 15.0| 1            | normal|
6 RELATION TO ER UMA STARS

In addition to short $T_s$, ER UMa stars have distinct outburst properties. They can be summarized as: (1) extremely short ($\sim 4$ d) recurrence time of normal outbursts, (2) extremely large (0.30–0.45) duty cycles of superoutbursts (see folded figures in Robertson et al. 1995; Kato 2001) (3) low outburst amplitudes (2–3 mag). These properties are the natural consequences from the disk-instability model in high-$M$ systems (Osaki 1995). These properties can thus be reasonably used to discriminate ER UMa stars from (a larger population of) SU UMa-type dwarf novae.

In the case of BF Ara, the shortest observed intervals (see Table 4) of normal outbursts was 6 d, although most of the shortest intervals are close to 10 d. These values more resemble those of usual SU UMa-type dwarf novae with the shortest recurrence times. The durations of the well-observed superoutbursts were typically 11–17 d (Table 4). The detailed CCD observation of the 2002 August superoutburst (the duration being 13 d) is in agreement with these estimates. These values correspond to superoutburst duty cycles of 0.13–0.20, which are noticeably smaller than those of ER UMa stars.

Some properties of the superoutburst of BF Ara are also unlike those of ER UMa stars. The mean decline rate (0.10 mag d$^{-1}$) of the superoutburst plateau (cf. section 3.1) is also close to those of usual SU UMa-type stars (Kato et al. 2002), rather than an extremely small value of $\sim 0.04$ mag d$^{-1}$ in ER UMa (Kato & Kunjaya 1995). The evolution of superhumps (section 5) is also quite normal for a usual SU UMa-type dwarf nova with smoothly decaying amplitudes of superhumps, in contrast to ER UMa stars which show a rapid initial decay of the superhump amplitudes and a later regrowth (Kato et al. 1996).

These features indicate that BF Ara should be classified as a usual SU UMa-type dwarf nova rather than an ER UMa star. BF Ara is thus qualified as a usual SU UMa-type dwarf nova with the shortest measured $T_s$. Despite the past and present intensive studies of the most promising candidates of transitional objects, there still remains an unfilled gap of distributions between ER UMa stars and usual SU UMa-type dwarf novae.

7 ON THE SUPERHUMP PERIOD CHANGE

The periods of “textbook” superhumps in usual SU UMa-type dwarf novae are known to decrease at a rather common rate of $\dot{P}/P \sim -5 \times 10^{-5}$ during superoutbursts (e.g. Warner 1985; Patterson et al. 1993) for a recent progress, see Kato et al. 2002). This decrease of the superhump periods has usually been attributed to a decrease in the angular velocity of precession of an eccentric disk, which is caused by a decrease in the disk radius during superoutbursts (Osaki 1985).

In recent years, however, several systems have been...
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Figure 6. Identification of BF Ara. 5 arcminutes square, north is up and left is east for each image. (Upper:) In quiescence, reproduced from the DSS 2 red image taken on 1996 Sept. 6. (Lower:) In outburst, taken on 2002 August 18 by PN. V = BF Ara.

found to show zero to positive (increase of the periods) period derivatives. The best-established examples include WZ Sge-type dwarf novae (SU UMa-type dwarf novae with very infrequent [super]outbursts, see e.g. Bailey 1979; Downes & Margon 1981; Patterson et al. 1981; O’Donoghue et al. 1991; Kato et al. 2001) and related large-amplitude systems (V1028 Cyg: Baba et al. 2000; SW UMa: Semenik et al. 1997; Nogami et al. 1998; WX Cet: Kato et al. 2001). Since all of these objects have short orbital periods, small \( q \), and small \( M \), there has been a suggestion that either \( q \) or low \( M \) is responsible for the period increase (Kato et al. 2001). The most recent discoveries of long-period (thus likely large \( q \), and likely low-\( M \) SU UMa-type dwarf novae (V725 Aql: Uemura et al. 2001; EF Peg: K.

Matsumoto et al., in preparation, see also Kato (2002), having zero or marginally positive \( P_{\dot{}}} \), have more preferred the interpretation requiring a low \( M \).

The present discovery of a virtually zero \( \dot{P} \) in a long-period (\( P_{\text{SH}} = 0.08797(1) \) d), otherwise relatively normal, system is therefore surprising. This discovery has not only strengthened the previously neglected diversity of \( P_{\dot{}}} \) in long-period SU UMa-type systems claimed in Kato et al. (2002), but also provides a new clue to understand the physics of superhump period changes.

Since BF Ara has short outburst recurrence times (both superoutbursts and normal outbursts), \( M \) is expected to high (Ichikawa & Osaki 1994). By using typical supercycles of BF Ara (84.3 d) and V725 Aql (\( \sim \)900 d), the expected \( M \) in BF Ara is \( \sim \)10 times larger than that in V725 Aql (Ichikawa & Osaki 1994). The occurrence of nearly zero \( P_{\dot{}}} \) systems in a wide region of \( M \) implies that the interpretation requiring a low \( M \) would be no longer valid, or a different mechanism is responsible for BF Ara. Murray (2000) and Montgomery (2001) recently suggested that the (prograde) apsidal motion of the eccentric disk can be reduced by introducing pressure forces. A high \( M \) in BF Ara may have modified the usual time-evolution of superhump period through this pressure effect. If this is the case, we can expect a more prominent effect in ER UMa stars, although a limited \( P_{\dot{}}} \) measurement (Patterson et al. 1995) failed to allow us a definitive conclusion. Further observations of \( P_{\dot{}}} \) in more systems with a wide range of parameters are definitely needed.
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