Glycogen Reduction in Myotubes of Late-Onset Pompe Disease Patients Using Antisense Technology
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INTRODUCTION

Glycogen storage disease type II (GSDII) is a lysosomal disorder caused by the deficient activity of acid alpha-glucosidase (GAA) enzyme, leading to the accumulation of glycogen within the lysosomes. The disease has been classified in infantile and late-onset forms. Most late-onset patients share a splicing mutation c.-32-13T > G in intron 1 of the GAA gene that prevents efficient recognition of exon 2 by the spliceosome. In this study, we have mapped the splicing silencers of GAA exon 2 and developed antisense morpholino oligonucleotides (AMOs) to inhibit those regions and rescue normal splicing in the presence of the c.-32-13T > G mutation. Using a minigene approach and patient fibroblasts, we successfully increased inclusion of exon 2 in the mRNA and GAA enzyme production by targeting a specific silencer with a combination of AMOs. Most importantly, the use of these AMOs in patient myotubes results in a decreased accumulation of glycogen. To our knowledge, this is the only therapeutic approach resulting in a decrease of glycogen accumulation in patient tissues beside enzyme replacement therapy (ERT) and TFEB overexpression. As a result, it may represent a highly novel and promising therapeutic line for GSDII.

Glycogen storage disease type II (GSDII) is an autosomal recessive lysosomal storage disorder caused by the deficient activity of acid alpha-glucosidase (GAA), an enzyme responsible for the degradation of glycogen within the lysosomes. The resulting glycogen accumulation causes swelling of the lysosomes, cellular dysfunction, and defective autophagy in numerous tissues, but cardiac and skeletal muscles are primarily involved.3 Clinically, GSDII is characterized by a highly variable phenotype ranging from a rapidly progressive infantile-onset (IO) form to a slowly progressive late-onset (LO) form.2 The classic IO phenotype manifests soon after birth and is characterized by absent or nearly absent enzyme activity, severe muscle weakness, cardiomegaly or cardiomyopathy, and respiratory insufficiency that typically lead to death within the first year of life.3,4 The LO phenotype, manifests later in childhood, adolescence, or adulthood.5 Patients retain some residual GAA enzyme activity (from 1% to 30%), and display a less severe and slow progressive disease characterized by skeletal muscle weakness, without cardiac involvement, and respiratory complications, resulting in severe physical handicap that heavily affects the quality of life.6,7

The only approved specific treatment for GSDII is enzyme replacement therapy (ERT) using recombinant human GAA (rhGAA). It has been clearly demonstrated that ERT improves cardiac function, motor skills, and lifespan in patients affected by the IO phenotype.8,9 However, it leads to mild and variably improvements in motor and respiratory function in LO patients.10,11 Thus, innovative and more effective therapies are needed.

The GAA gene (OMIM: 606800, Ensembl Gene ID ENSG00000171298) maps to human chromosome 17q25.2-25.3 and contains 20 exons. The first exon is not translated, and it is separated by a large intron from exon 2, where the ATG start codon is located (Ensembl Transcript ID ENST00000302262.7). Its cDNA encodes for a protein of 952 amino acids. The enzyme is synthesized as a catalytically inactive 110-kDa precursor that undergoes post-translational glycosylation and proteolytic processing, resulting in 76-kDa and 70-kDa mature enzymes active within lysosomes.12

To date, 497 mutations in the GAA gene have been identified (http://www.hgmd.cf.ac.uk), including missense, nonsense, splice-site mutations, and small and large intragenic deletions and insertions.13,14 Few pathogenic mutations occur with high frequency in different ethnic groups (p.R854X among African Americans, p.D645E among Asians, and del525T among Dutch people). However, most mutations are present in individuals or a small number of families.15–17 The only exception is represented by the intronic mutation c.-32-13T > G that is present in 40%–70% of the alleles in patients affected with the LO form of GSDII.6,18–22
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In a previous study, we have shown that this mutation abrogates the binding of the splicing factor U2AF65 to the polypyrimidine tract of exon 2, affecting the general efficiency of the splicing process that, in turn, leads to the complete or partial exclusion of exon 2 from the mRNA, splicing variants SV2 and SV3, respectively. However, it does not prevent the expression of the normal spliced transcript (N) and the synthesis of an enzymatically active GAA protein. Therefore, patients carrying the c.-32-13T > G mutation display variable levels of GAA residual activity that would be enough to delay the phenotypic expression of the disease.

Until recently, strategies aimed at rescuing the normal splicing of transcripts carrying this mutation had not been explored. However, the possibility to restore or increase normal splicing of the GAA exon 2 of transcripts carrying the c.-32-13T > G mutation is particularly appealing considering that (1) almost all LO patients carry this mutation in at least one allele and (2) some patients express up to 30% of normal GAA activity and just a little increase in exon inclusion might be enough to achieve a beneficial effect in clinical settings.

Recently, we provided in vitro evidence clearly showing that it is possible to modulate the expression of normal spliced GAA mRNA of c.-32-13T > G mutated (MUT) alleles, both by overexpressing mRNA binding proteins and by using small molecules. Several strategies have been developed to rescue or increase normal splicing of transcripts carrying mutations that affect the splicing process. Among them, the use of antisense oligonucleotide technology represents a promising approach. In this work, we have identified several silencer elements in the exon 2 of GAA pre-mRNA and described the feasibility of splicing correction using antisense oligonucleotides as a personalized therapy for LO-GSDII patients.

RESULTS

Identification of Splicing Regulatory Elements within GAA Exon 2

We have previously demonstrated that the c.-32-13T > G mutation affects the overall splicing efficiency of GAA exon 2, leading to its partial or total exclusion from the mature transcript, mainly by abrogating U2AF65 binding to the pre-mRNA. However, considering that the mutation occurs outside the acceptor site of the unusually long exon 2 (578 nt), it is likely that several splicing regulatory elements are involved in the regulation of exon 2 splicing efficiency. In keeping with this view, the overexpression of different splicing factors partially rescue GAA exon 2 inclusion in the presence of the c.-32-13T > G GAA mutation.

To verify this hypothesis, we looked for putative regulatory splicing elements located within this exon by creating a series of seven overlapping deletion constructs (140 nt), using as a template a previously described minigene containing the sequence of wild-type (WT) or c.-32-13T > G MUT GAA exon 2 (Figure 1A). Initially, these constructs were transiently transfected in HeLa cells, and the splicing variants originated were analyzed by RT-PCR.

As shown in Figure 1B, the Δ147–286 and Δ357–500 deletions of exon 2 resulted in 23% and 35% increases of exon inclusion when compared with the full-length MUT minigene (Figure 1B, lanes 5 and 8; Figure S1A). This strongly suggested the presence of silencer elements located within these regions. Conversely, the Δ427–572 deletion resulted in the complete skipping of the exon 2 (Figure 1B, lane 9), indicating that this sequence acted as an enhancer element.

In addition to the mRNA variants resulting from the complete inclusion and total exclusion of exon 2, an extra variant was detected in cells transfected with the Δ357–500 construct (Figure 1B, lane 8). Sequencing analysis of this variant showed that the extra band results from the activation of a new cryptic 3’ splice site (3’ss) (c3), 90 nt upstream of the deletion (Figure S1C).

In parallel to this analysis, the same deletions were created in the context of the WT minigene. As shown in Figure 1C, the effects of exon 2 deletions on the splicing pattern were similar to those observed in the MUT context. The Δ147–286 and Δ357–500 of exon 2 resulted in 90% and 88% increases of exon inclusion (Figure 1C, lanes 5 and 8; Figure S1B), while the exonic Δ427–572 resulted in complete exon 2 skipping (Figure 1C, lane 9). This result showed that these silencer and enhancer regions also play a role in regulating splicing of the WT exon and are not strictly related to the presence or absence of the c.-32-13 T > G mutation.

Identification of Splicing Factors Able to Bind GAA Exon 2 Regulatory Regions

To identify the specific trans-acting factors binding to these regulatory sequences, we performed RNA pull-down analysis. The pull-down experiment was carried out using three in vitro transcribed RNAs corresponding to the 140 nt sequence deleted in each construct that showed an effect on GAA exon 2 splicing: 147–286, 357–500, and 427–572 (Figure 2A). Using this approach, we identified five proteins selectively precipitated by each RNA and analyzed them by mass spectrometry (Figure 2B). The doublet band of an apparent molecular weight of 32–35 kDa (Figure 2B, letters a and b) corresponded to hnRNPA1 and its close homolog hnRNPA2 splicing factor. The sequence of the ~50-kDa band (Figure 2B, letter c) matched with the hnRNPH protein. Finally, the two bands of ~60 and 75 kDa (Figure 2B, letters d and e) were identified as hnRNQ and hnRNPR factors, respectively. These results are largely consistent with expected outcomes when looking at splicing silencer elements.

The protein identity obtained by mass spectrometry analysis was confirmed by pull-down followed by western blot analysis using specific antibodies. As shown in Figure 2C, hnRNPA1 and hnRNPA2 bind the 147–287 region, hnRNPH recognizes the 357–500 sequence, and hnRNQ and R preferentially bind the 427–572 region of GAA exon 2.

Although hnRNPs are the most abundant proteins within the nucleus, it is likely that other proteins could bind GAA exon 2 regulatory...
sequences. As reported in our previous study, SR splicing factors (SRSFs) are also involved in GAA exon 2 splicing regulation, with SRSF4 (SRp75) as the most effective in promoting exon inclusion.

Therefore, we decided to perform a new pull-down experiment, followed by western blot, searching specifically for SRSFs (Figure S2A). The obtained results showed that SRSF4, SRSF6 (SRp55), and SRSF5 (SRp40) bind the 427–572 sequence. SRSF4 and SRSF6 also bind the 147–286 region, though to a lesser extent. SRSF9 binds the 147–286 sequence, while none of the tested SRSFs bind the 357–500 sequence (Figure S2A).

SpliceAid 2, an in silico splicing factor binding prediction tool, showed the presence of several hnRNPA1 and hnRNPH binding sites within the 147–286 and 357–500 sequences (Figures S2B and S2C), perfectly matching the pull-down and overexpression results. In addition, in agreement with our western blot results (Figure S2A), the region between 427 and 572 nt of exon 2 was predicted to bind SRSF6 and SRSF5 (Figure S2D). Because numerous other algorithms predict splicing regulatory elements, we also analyzed our GAA exon 2 silencer and enhancer sequences using the Human Splicing Finder 3 (HSF3) program (Figure S3). HSF3 integrates all available matrices to identify exonic motifs summarizing the analysis in an enhancer/silencer ratio curve. The analysis of the 147–286 nt sequence didn’t show the presence of a strong silencer but suggested that the first part of the sequence contains an enhancer element (Figure S3A). In the case of 357–500 nt, two enhancers and a silencer regions were suggested to be present (Figure S3B). Finally, for 427–572 nt of exon 2, a strong enhancer sequence was highlighted in the first part of the region and a minor enhancer and a silencer were predicted (Figure S3C).
In conclusion, both programs agree that the identified regions can bind numerous splicing regulatory factors.

**Correction of Exon 2 Skipping by AMOs in GAA MUT Minigene**

Antisense morpholino oligonucleotides (AMOs) have been widely used to modulate splice-site utilization in pre-mRNAs splicing and in particular to target inhibitory sequences by blocking the binding of negative splicing factors and/or secondary structures formation. Therefore, to block the effect of GAA exon 2 silencer elements in vivo, it was decided to use AMOs against the two identified regions (147–286 and 357–500 nt).

As a result, different AMOs directed against these regions were designed, taking into consideration the guanine-cytosine (GC) content, self-dimerization, nucleic acid melting temperature values, and putative binding sites for hnRNPA1/A2 and hnRNPH identified in the pull-down analysis. Three AMOs (1, 2, and 3) targeting the 147–286 exon 2 region were tested in different combinations (as single units, in couples, and all three) in HeLa cells co-transfected with the GAA MUT minigene. AMO 1 alone or combined with AMOs 2 or 3 and AMOs 1, 2, and 3 significantly promoted exon inclusion, while AMOs 2 and 3, alone or combined, had no effect on GAA exon 2 splicing (Figure 3B). However, the combination of AMO 1+2+3 was the most effective, leading to a 2.5-fold increase of exon 2 inclusion (Figure 3C). A standard AMO, fluorescently labeled, was used as a control for transfection (Figure S4). Its delivery, together with the GAA MUT minigene, did not affect the splicing pattern of the minigene itself, supporting the specificity of the AMO 1+2+3 effect (Figure S4A). Then, we investigated the possibility of using AMOs to promote GAA exon 2 inclusion by targeting the other putative silencer element, the 357–500 nt exon 2 sequence.

Three AMOs (5, 6, and 7) were designed against this region and were tested in different combinations (as single units, in couples, and all three). In particular, AMOs 5 and 7 alone and combined were able to slightly promote GAA exon 2 inclusion, while the combination of AMO 5+6+7 shifted the GAA splicing toward exon 2 inclusion, leading to about 2-fold of increase compared with the control (Figures S5B and S5C).

**Correction of GAA Exon 2 Skipping by AMOs in Patient Fibroblasts Carrying the c.-32-13T > G Mutation**

Because of their greater efficiency in rescuing exon 2 splicing, we decided to test the effect of AMOs 1, 2, and 3 on cultured fibroblasts obtained from a patient carrying the c.-32-13T > G mutation in heterozygosis with an unknown mutation that abrogated the expression of the other allele. This cellular model is particularly useful, because every potential effect of AMO therapy would be solely attributable to the correction of the splicing defect due to the c.-32-13T > G mutation. Under basal conditions, the fibroblast from this patient retained 20% of enzymatic activity when compared with WT cells (Figure S6A). As shown in Figure 4A, the treatment of fibroblasts with the combination of AMOs 1, 2, and 3 resulted in successful rescue of normally spliced GAA mRNA. As in the minigene system, AMO
1 alone was also partially effective in correcting the splicing profile of GAA mRNA (Figure 4A).

Real-time qPCR using primers that specifically amplify the normal GAA mRNA variant confirmed a 2.5-fold increase in the total amount of normal spliced GAA mRNA in fibroblasts treated with AMOs 1, 2, and 3 compared to mock-treated fibroblasts (Figure 4B). As a consequence of this increase, in treated fibroblasts, about 30% of total GAA mRNA was represented by the normal spliced GAA mRNA (Figure S7A). More importantly, the effect of the AMO combined treatment on normal spliced GAA mRNA was associated with an increase in GAA protein level (Figure 4C) and a 50% increase in GAA enzymatic activity (Figure 4D).

Reduction of Glycogen Accumulation in Myotubes from GAA Patients that Carry the c.-32-13T > G Mutation

As a consequence of the loss in GAA expression, the pivotal detrimental characteristic of LO-GSDII is the accumulation of glycogen within the lysosomes of skeletal muscle cells. Therefore, to assess the potential effects of AMO treatment on GAA normal spliced mRNA expression and activity, as well as on the pathological phenotype in muscle cells, we obtained myoblasts from a LO-GSDII patient who presented the c.-32-13T > G mutation in compound heterozygosity with the c.2646_2646+1delTG mutation in exon 18. This latter mutation was already known to cause the abrogation of the allele expression in primary fibroblasts.18 We have verified by comparative DNA versus mRNA sequencing that, in primary myoblasts, the only expressed allele was that carrying the c.-32-13T > G mutation (Figure S8). Furthermore, after 3 days in differentiation culture conditions, these myoblasts could differentiate into multinucleated myotubes that accumulate glycogen in the lysosomal compartment. Under basal conditions myotubes from this patient retained about 20% of enzymatic activity when compared with WT cells (Figure S6B).

Following AMO addition, the splicing profile of the GAA mRNA of the patient-derived myotubes was first analyzed by endpoint PCR and compared to the profile obtained for myotubes derived from a healthy control (Figure 5A). Absolute percentage of inclusion of exon 2 compared to total GAA mRNA was also analyzed (Figure S7B). As shown in Figure 5A, the treatment of GSDII myotubes with AMOs...
1, 2, and 3 partially restored the correctly spliced variant of GAA mRNA. This qualitative observation was corroborated by real-time PCR that specifically demonstrated a 2-fold increase in the amount of the GAA exon 2 inclusion in AMO-treated myotubes compared to the mock-treated ones (Figure 5B). Again, as a consequence of this increase, in treated myotubes, 30% of total GAA mRNA was represented by the normal spliced GAA mRNA (Figure S7B). The increase of GAA at the mRNA level resulted in an approximately 40% increase in GAA enzymatic activity in AMO-treated GSDII myotubes over the mock-treated ones (Figure 5C).

These results indicated that the treatment with the combination of AMOs 1, 2, and 3 is also effective in patient-derived myotubes.

Finally, it was interesting to see whether a longer period of AMO treatment could have a functional effect on the reduction of aberrant glycogen storage in GSDII myotubes. Strikingly, after 1 week of treatment, the combination of AMOs 1, 2, and 3 resulted in a 70% increase of GAA activity and, most importantly, in a significant reduction of glycogen storage (Figures 5D and 5E).

**DISCUSSION**

The c.-32-13T > G mutation, within intron 1 of the GAA gene, is the most common mutation among patients affected by LO-GSDII, accounting for ~40%–70% of the alleles. Most LO patients carry this mutation in at least one allele.\(^6,18-22\) We have previously shown that this single variant affects the binding of the U2AF65 splicing factor to the polypyrimidine tract of exon 2, leading to a complete or partial exclusion of this exon from the mature GAA mRNA.\(^26\)

However, patients carrying the c.-32-13T > G mutation also express variable levels of the normal spliced GAA transcript and protein. Thus, patients carrying this mutation retain some residual GAA activity that ranges from 1% to 30% of the activity present in healthy controls.\(^6,26\)

The only approved treatment for GSDII is ERT with human recombinant GAA (hrGAA). Several studies support the efficacy of ERT in improving survival and stabilizing the disease course in patients affected by GSDII.\(^3,5\) However, limitations of this approach in improving skeletal myopathy in patients affected by the LO
phenotype are becoming evident. At present, the only viable strategy that might be used, together with ERT, to improve its efficacy is the finding that overexpression of the TFEB transcription factor can lead to glycogen reduction in muscle cell cultures and mouse models of the disease. Therefore, there is ample room for the search for additional effective therapies to be used as alternatives to or in combination with ERT.

Considering that the c.-32-13 T > G splicing mutation is extremely common among LO-GSDII patients, we decided to develop a personalized antisense oligonucleotide therapy to specifically correct the aberrant splicing of GAA exon 2 caused by this nucleotide variant. Antisense oligonucleotide technology has been shown to be a promising approach for splicing correction, and it has already entered clinical trial for several diseases, such as spinal muscular atrophy (SMA) and Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD). Furthermore, with respect to GAA, antisense technology has been found to be effective in inhibiting the use of cryptic splice sites in various exons and introns of this gene that can become activated in the context of various pathogenic variants.

In the specific case of the c.-32-13T > G mutation, this therapeutic strategy could be particularly effective, because only a partial rescue of the GAA exon 2 inclusion and enzymatic activity might be enough to achieve a beneficial clinical effect. It is widely accepted that levels above ~30% of the average GAA activity present in healthy controls would be sufficient to prevent the manifestation of the disease.

In this work, we first used a minigene system (GAA exon 2 WT and MUT), bearing deletions of different regions of GAA exon 2, to identified potential silencer sequences within this exon. The deletion of 147–286 and 357–500 nt resulted in significant improvement of exon 2 inclusion in the minigene context, suggesting the presence of putative silencer elements within these regions (Figure 1). Both SpliceAid2 in silico analysis and pull-down experiments confirmed the binding of negative splicing regulator factors belonging to the hnRNPs family to these regions. In particular, hnRNPA1/A2 and hnRNPH factors specifically bind regions 147–286 and 357–500, respectively (Figure 2). These data are in line with our previous findings showing that overexpression of hnRNPA1 and hnRNPH...
produced a negative effect on exon 2 recognition, leading to an increase of exon 2 exclusion from the mature GAA transcript.26

Based on these results, we then designed a series of AMOs to specifically target both identified silencer sequences. An initial screening using a MUT minigene system led to the identification of a combination of 3 AMOs (1+2+3) directed toward the 147–286 exonic region as the best-performing strategy to rescue exon 2 inclusion. Treatment of minigene-expressing cells with this combination led to a 2.5-fold increase in the expression of the normal spliced GAA transcript (Figure 3). This result suggests the presence of a quite large inhibitory region within this sequence and/or the high binding affinity of hnRNP proteins.

A similar effect on exon 2 inclusion was observed in both fibroblasts (Figures 4A and 4B) and myotubes (Figures 5A and 5B) from patients carrying the c.-32-1T > G mutation (in compound heterozygosis with a null allele) after treatment with the combination of AMO 1+2+3. In these cells, the partial restoration of normal GAA splicing resulted in a significant increase of GAA enzymatic activity (Figures 4D and 5C).

Most importantly, in treated myotubes, a 70% increase of residual GAA activity was enough to partially correct the intracellular glycogen storage (Figures 5D and 5E). Under basal conditions, these myotubes retained quite high residual activity (about 25%) (Figure 5eB). Therefore, in this case, a 70% increase of enzyme activity would be sufficient to exceed the threshold activity needed to partially clear out glycogen accumulation. These data suggest that in patients carrying the c.-32-13T > G mutation and retaining substantial residual GAA activity, this increase might be enough to achieve a beneficial effect in clinical settings.

Although these are encouraging results, further studies are needed to test the efficacy and the delivery of these AMOs to skeletal muscle in vivo. Several strategies aimed at improving antisense oligonucleotide delivery have been proposed,28 including the use of a cell-penetrating peptide to target the skeletal muscle in a mouse model for GSDII.39

In conclusion, our results provide the first in vitro proof of principle for the use of AMOs to partially rescue normal splicing of c.-32-13T > G mutant alleles. Strikingly, AMO treatment was able to partially revert the pathologic phenotype in myotubes derived from a patient bearing the c.-32-13T > G mutation. To our knowledge, this is the first strategy that has achieved such a result, in addition to costly and rather ineffective (in adults) ERT. Therefore, these data suggest that the use of antisense oligonucleotide technology represents a promising strategy for the treatment of GAA patients carrying the common c.-32-13T > G mutation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Hybrid Minigene Constructs

The human WT GAA construct contains the exon 2 sequence and 50 nt of both flanking introns cloned inside the NdeI restriction site of the pTB hybrid minigene. The human MUT GAA construct was created from pTB GAA WT, using the hGAA-13Gs and hGAA-13Gas primers (Table S1) to introduce the single T-to-G substitution in position –13 of intron 1 by site-directed mutagenesis.26 The constructs containing serial deletions (~140 nt) within exon 2 (GAA exon 2 deleted minigenes) were created by overlapping PCR mutagenesis with suitable primers (Table S1), using the pTB GAA WT minigene as a template. The constructs containing serial deletions within the pTB GAA MUT were obtained by introducing the single –13T/G substitution by site-directed mutagenesis, using pTB WT deleted minigenes as a template. The identity of each minigene was verified by sequence analysis.

Oligonucleotides

The sequence of all oligonucleotides (for mutagenesis, RT-PCR, qRT-PCR, pull-downs, and morpholino) is reported in Table S1.

Cell Culture and Transient Transfection

HeLa cells were grown in a complete medium made of high-glucose DMEM with GlutaMax I (Gibco) supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Euroclone) and antibiotics (Sigma). For minigene transient transfection, 500 ng of each plasmid were transfected into 2.5 × 105 HeLa cells with Effectene reagent (Qiagen). Human primary fibroblasts were routinely cultured in high-glucose DMEM supplemented with 10% (v/v) FBS (Gibco), 2 mM glutamine (Euroclone), 100 U/mL penicillin G, and 100 µg/mL streptomycin (Euroclone). Cells were maintained at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere enriched with 5% (v/v) CO2.

Skeletal Muscle Cell Differentiation

Primary myoblasts were routinely grown in Skeletal Muscle Cell Basal Medium (PromeoCell) supplemented with 10% FBS (Gibco), 5% fetal calf serum (FCS), 50 µg/mL fetuin, 10 ng/mL epidermal growth factor (EGF), 1 ng/mL basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF), 10 µg/mL insulin, 400 ng/mL dexamethasone, 2 mM glucose, 100 U/mL penicillin G, and 100 µg/mL streptomycin and used up to the seventh passage. To induce skeletal muscle differentiation, primary myoblasts at 70% confluence were washed three times in PBS and shifted to freshly prepared DMEM 4.5 g/L glucose supplemented with 5% horse serum (Gibco), 10 µg/mL insulin, 100 U/mL penicillin G, and 100 µg/mL streptomycin. After 2 to 4 days in these culture conditions, the appearance of multinucleated myotubes was noted. Because these myotubes, unless innervated, were short lived in culture, the AMO treatment was begun simultaneously with the differentiation process.

RNA Extraction and Splicing Analysis

24 hr after transfection, total RNA was extracted from HeLa cells using EuroGold TriFast reagent (Euroclone), following the manufacturer’s instructions. Then, 1 µg of total RNA was reverse transcribed using the specific primer Glo800 Rv, and the cDNA was amplified by PCR in a total volume of 50 µL using primers AlfaGlo2–3 Fw and Bra2 Rv (Table S1), specifically designed to amplify processed transcripts...
derived from the minigene. The conditions used for the PCR were 94°C for 3 min for the initial denaturation, 94°C for 45 s, 54°C for 45 s, 72°C for 1 min for 35 cycles, and 72°C for 10 min for the final extension. PCR products were resolved on 2% agarose gel and sequenced. Each transfection experiment was performed at least three times, and representative gels are shown in each case. Quantification of the intensity of the amplified products in agarose gels was done using ImageJ software. Total RNA from human primary fibroblasts or differentiated myotubes was extracted using TRIzol reagent (Ambion). For each sample, 1 mg of total RNA was retro-transcribed using the SuperScript III First-Strand Synthesis Kit (Invitrogen), following the manufacturer’s instructions. To assess the splicing profile, cDNA was analyzed by endpoint PCR using the GoTaq DNA polymerase (Promega) and GAA Fw for and SKIP2 Rv primers (Table S1). The following conditions were used for amplification: 95°C for 3 min, 95°C for 30 s, 63°C for 30 s and 72°C for 48 s for 40 cycles, and 72°C for 7 min as final elongation. The PCR products were then resolved in a 1% agarose gel and visualized by trans-UV imaging.

AMO Transfection
AMOs were designed and ordered from Gene Tools (http://www.gene-tools.com). A standard fluoresceinated AMO (Gene Tools) was used as a control for testing both transfection specificity and delivery. Lyophilized oligonucleotides were resuspended in water to a final 1 mM concentration as a stock solution and stored at room temperature (RT). 6 × 10⁴ HeLa cells were plated, 1 day before the transfection, in 12-well plates. AMO transfection was carried out with a final 15 mM concentration of oligonucleotide plus 6 μL of Endo-Porter reagent, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. In the case of two or three oligonucleotides transfected together, the final concentration was always 15 mM. In the case of a fluoresceinated AMO, the final concentration was 10 mM. Cells were co-transfected with pTB GAA WT and MUT minigenes (250 ng) using Effectene reagent (Qiagen). After 48 hr, total RNA was extracted using EuroGold TriFastreagent (Eurolon), 1 μg of total RNA was reverse transcribed and amplified by PCR, as described earlier. 3 × 10⁴/cm² human primary fibroblasts were seeded in 6-well plates (for the analysis of mRNA expression) or in 60 mm Petri dishes (for western blot analysis and enzymatic activity measurement). AMO transfection was performed as outlined earlier. The treatments were stopped at 48 hr for the analysis of mRNA expression or at 72 hr for western blot analysis and enzyme activity measurement.

Real-Time PCR
The abundance of GAA exon 2 inclusion of transfected pTB GAA WT and MUT minigenes, with and without AMO treatment, were analyzed by real-time qPCR using the primers F3 Fw and R3 Rv specific for fibronectin exon EDA –1 and GAA exon 2 of the pTB minigenes. Amplification of AlfaGlo2–3, deriving from the same mRNA and produced by the pTB hybrid minigene, was used as an internal reference gene using the following oligonucleotides: AlfaGlo2 142 Fw and AlfaGlo2–3 294 Rv. The amount of normal spliced mRNA of pTB MUT GAA exon 2 was taken as a reference sample and considered to be 1. At least three independent determinations for each condition were performed. Real-time PCR was performed using the CFX96 thermal cycler and the IQ SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad), following the manufacturer’s instructions. Standard curves were prepared for the target and internal reference gene to obtain the efficiency of the primers. To verify the specificity of the amplification, a melt-curve analysis was performed; no specific PCR products were present. The quantification was made using the Pfaffl ΔΔCt equation. The abundance of endogenous GAA exon 2 inclusion (N) in human primary fibroblasts and myoblasts was determined by real-time PCR using Sso Advance Universal SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad) and the specific primers GAA ex1–2 Fw and Rv. The relative GAA exon 2 inclusion was obtained by normalizing on housekeeping gene HPRT and the HPRT Fw and HPRT Rv primers, using the Pfaffl ΔΔCt equation. The amount of normal spliced (N) mRNA of human primary fibroblasts and myoblasts, carrying the c.-32-13T > G mutation, was taken as the reference sample and considered to be 1. The absolute GAA exon 2 inclusion was obtained by normalizing on GAA exon 18–19 mRNA using primers GAA ex18–19 Fw and Rv. Real-time PCR was performed on a LightCycler 480 Real-Time PCR system (Roche).

Pull-Down Assay
The DNA template, used for RNA in vitro transcription, was obtained by PCR on the pTB WT minigene with the following primer couples: T7 147–286 Fw and 147–286 Rv, T7 357–500 Fw and 357–500 Rv, and T7 and 427–571 Fw and 427–571 Rv. The T7 RNA polymerase promoter sequence was added to all forward primers. The PCR products were then used for generating the pull-down target RNAs by T7 RNA polymerase (Agilent) transcription in vitro, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Then, the pull-down protocol was performed as previously reported. Briefly, 2 μg of target RNA were placed in 400 μL of a reaction mixture containing 100 mM NaOAC (pH 5.0) and 5 mM sodium m-periodate (Sigma), incubated for 1 hr in the dark at RT, ethanol precipitated, and finally resuspended in 100 μL of 100 mM NaOAC (pH 5.0). Approximately 100 μL of adipic acid dehydrazide agarose beads in a 50% slurry (Sigma) previously equilibrated with 100 mM NaOAC (pH 5.2) were added to each periodate-treated RNA, and the mix was incubated for 12 hr at 4°C on a rotator in the dark. The beads with the bound RNA were then washed with 2 M NaCl and equilibrated in 1 × washing buffer (20 mM HEPES [pH 7.5], 100 mM KCl, 0.2 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM DTT, 6% glycerol). Then, the beads were incubated, in a final volume of 500 μL, with 1 mg of HeLa cell nuclear extract (4C Biotech), 1 × washing buffer, and heparin (final concentration of 1 μg/mL) for 30 min on a rotator at RT. The beads were then washed with 1 × washing buffer before addition of SDS sample buffer and loading on SDS-10% polyacrylamide gels. Proteins were visualized by colloidal Coomassie G-250 (Sigma) staining. Proteins of interest were excised from the gel and analyzed by mass spectrometry by Alta Bioscience (https://altabioscience.com/).

Western Blot Analysis
Total protein extracts were dissolved in standard 4 × SDS loading buffer and were heated for 5 min at 94°C before loading on a 10%
SDS-polyacrylamide denaturing gel. The gel was then electroblotted onto a Hybond-C Extra membrane (Amersham) according to standard protocols (Amersham). For pull-down samples, membranes were stained with Ponceau (Sigma) before blocking with 5% skim milk (non-fat dry milk in 1× PBS, 0.1% Tween 20). In the special case of SRSF recognition, membranes were incubated using western blocking reagent 1× solution (Roche), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Anti-SRSF antibody (1H4) was purchased from Invitrogen and diluted 1:1,000 in western blocking reagent 0.5× solution. The antibodies Anti-Syncrpt/hnRNQ (Sigma) and hnRNPR (Abcam) were used at a final dilution of 1:500. Polyclonal rabbit antibodies against hnRNPA1/A2 (1:1000) and hnRNPH (1:500) were homemade in the International Centre for Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology (ICGEB) using standard immunization protocols. Membranes targeted for endogenous GAA protein were probed with an antiseraum against GAA, as described elsewhere,3, and the signals were normalized to those obtained with anti-actin primary antibody (Sigma) used at a 1:1,000 dilution. Proteins probed with different primary antibodies were then incubated with anti-rabbit or anti-mouse horseradish peroxidase (HPR)-conjugated antibodies (Dako) to a final 1:2,000 dilution and detected with a chemiluminescence kit, ECL STAR (Pierce Biotechnology), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. In the particular case of SRSF detection, the Super Signal West Femto kit was used (Thermo Scientific). Fire-Reader v.4 (Uvitec) was used as the gel documentation system.

**Enzymatic Activity**

GAA enzymatic activity was measured as previously reported,12 using 4-methylumbelliferyl-α-D-glucopiranoside (Glycosinth) as a substrate. Briefly, 72 hr from AMO treatment, primary fibroblasts were harvested, washed once in PBS, resuspended in 80 μL of water, and sonicated twice at an ice-cold temperature. Total protein concentration in cell lysates was measured by the Lowry method. 30 μg of total protein were mixed with 10 μL of 2 mM 4-methylumbelliferyl-α-D-glucopiranoside in aceta buffer in a final volume of 20 μL and incubated at 37°C for 1 hr. The reaction was stopped by the addition of 1,980 μL of 0.5 M carbonate buffer (pH 10.7). The resulting fluorescence was measured at 355 and 460 nm excitation (Ex) and emission (Em) using a Gemini microplate reader (Molecular Devices). All assays were done in triplicate.

**Periodic Acid-Schiff Staining**

Periodic acid-Schiff (PAS) staining was employed to detect glycogen accumulation. After 7 days of treatment with AMOs 1, 2, and 3, primary myotubes were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 20 min, extensively washed, and mounted face up on a coverslip. Samples were oxidized in 1% periodic acid for 5 min, rinsed three times in distilled water, and treated with Schiff’s reagent for 25 min. After extensive washing, slides were stained with Mayer’s hematoxylin for 10 min.

**Glycogen Fluorometric Assay**

Glycogen quantification in patient myotubes was performed using the glycogen colorimetric or fluorometric assay kit (BioVision), following the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, after 7 days of treatment with AMOs 1, 2, and 3, primary myotubes were harvested, washed once in PBS, resuspended in 60 μL of water, and sonicated twice at an ice-cold temperature. The total lysate was boiled for 10 min to inactivate the glycogen-degrading enzymes and centrifuged at 18,000×g for 10 min at 4°C. 2 μL of the supernatant were mixed with 1 μL of hydrolysis enzyme mix in a total volume of 50 μL of hydrolysis buffer and incubated for 30 min at RT. 50 μL of the reaction enzyme mix were then added, and the reaction was incubated for another 30 min in the dark. The resulting fluorescence (Ex/Em = 535/587 nm) was recorded using a Gemini microplate reader (Molecular Devices).

**Bioinformatic Analysis**

SpliceAid2 was used as the bioinformatic tool for splicing factor binding prediction (http://www.introni.it/spliceaid.html).30 HSF3 (http://www.umd.be/HSF3/)31 was also used in the analysis; it includes several algorithms for predicting enhancer and silencer elements.

**Statistics**

Statistical analyses were performed with Microsoft Excel for PC 2013. Significant differences in expression levels of GAA exon 2 (N), GAA protein, GAA enzymatic activity, and glycogen quantification were analyzed by Student’s unpaired t test.

Normal distribution of data (Table S2) was assessed using the Shapiro-Wilk test web version (http://scistatcalc.blogspot.it/2013/10/shapiro-wilk-test-calculator.html).
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