The usefulness of ultrasound in the diagnosis of patients after chest trauma – two case reports
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Abstract
The effectiveness of ultrasound in diagnosing fractures of the ribs and sternum has been confirmed in the literature. The aim of our study was to present two case reports of patients with chest trauma history in whom ultrasound examination proved useful in the diagnostic process. The role of thoracic ultrasound in the diagnosis of ribs and sternal fractures is discussed as well. The authors conclude the following: 1) the examination was easy to perform and assess, and provided clinically useful conclusions; 2) due to the mobility of the ultrasound machine, the examination may be carried out outside of radiology departments, e.g. by the patient’s bedside – in departments of surgery; 3) ultrasound should be the examination of choice after chest trauma and can be performed successfully by non-radiologist physicians.
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Introduction
Widely employed in modern medicine, ultrasound (US) has proven its use in many medical specialties. However, ultrasound diagnosis of bone fractures arouses a great deal of controversy. It was rib fractures that were the first bone fractures to be assessed by ultrasound. Since then, many authors have reported that rib fractures are better visible on ultrasound than on standard X-ray images. Moreover, the high effectiveness of US in diagnosing sternal fractures has been confirmed by relevant literature. The diagnosis of rib and sternal fractures is rarely discussed in Polish publications.

The aim of our study was to present two case reports; in both of them ultrasound examination proved useful in diagnosing patients who had suffered chest trauma.

Material and methods
The material consisted of two patients hospitalised in the Department of Surgery at the Wyszynski Memorial Hospital in Sieradz, Poland. Both patients were admitted to the department with history of chest trauma. As well as routine radiography, chest ultrasound examinations were performed. The ultrasound examinations were compared with other, routinely used imaging methods as well as with clinical examinations.

In all US examinations, the ultrasound machine was equipped with a 9-Mhz linear probe. M-mode function was not available in the ultrasound machine used by the examiners.

None of the personnel performing the US examinations were radiologists.

Case study 1
A 54-year-old male patient was admitted to the Department of Diabetology and Internal Medicine of the Wyszynski Memorial Hospital in Sieradz because of hypertension, hypoglycaemia, and general fatigue. Two weeks before admission the patient experienced trauma to the chest.
In the routinely performed chest X-ray (PA) pneumothorax (3 cm) and a single round shadow (1.6 cm in diameter) were revealed in the area of the left lung (Fig. 1). After a surgical consultation, the patient was transferred to the Department of Surgery and qualified for chest drainage. Ultrasound examination performed by employees of the Department of Surgery (AB and AP) revealed fractures of four ribs – II (Fig. 2), III (Fig. 3), IV, and V, as well as signs of pneumothorax. Additionally, a lesion, about 1.6 cm in size, was found on the fourth rib in the midclavicular line (Fig. 4), i.e. in the same location and with the same size as the single round shadow visible on the chest radiogram. After placement of drainage, the patient reported strong improvement in breathing. Radiological diagnostics performed after the procedure confirmed that the single round shadow was a lesion on the fourth rib. On the X-ray images that were obtained later (PA and oblique projection) the anterior parts of the ribs were invisible, and only the fracture of the fifth rib, which was placed in the posterior axillary line, was confirmed. Notwithstanding, the rib fractures were clinically obvious (strong pain during palpation, movements of the fracture components during palpation, subtle deformation of the left side of the rib cage). Five days later, control US demonstrated small left-sided pneumothorax. The finding was confirmed on X-ray (Fig. 5).
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On the seventh day, when the absence of pneumothorax was confirmed by US, a control X-ray examination was planned. The result of the US examination was confirmed, and the chest tube was removed.

Case study 2

The 25-year-old male patient was admitted to the Department of Surgery because of chest trauma resulting from gymnastic exercises performed by the patient on the day of admission. He suffered from chest pain and dyspnoea.

Clinical examination revealed invagination of the manubrium of the sternum with pain during compression; respiratory sounds were symmetrical, heart rate was regular, and the abdomen was soft and painless, without visible signs of trauma.

The result of X-ray examination of the sternum (Fig. 6) was unclear – the radiologist only “suggested” the presence of a sternal fracture.

On the US image, the fracture was evident; moreover, movements of the fracture components during respiration were visible (Figs. 7 and 8). The ultrasound revealed no rib fractures, pneumothorax, or pleural effusion.

The patient was treated conservatively.

Discussion

According to the 12th edition of the U.S. Report on Carcinogens, exposure to X-ray and gamma radiation is associ
ated with leukaemia and cancer of the thyroid, breast, and lung [1].

The effects of exposure to X-rays are probably cumulative and should be avoided whenever possible [2]. Therefore, there is a strong need in modern medicine to find new opportunities for using non-ionising diagnostic methods.

The usefulness of ultrasound imaging in rib and sternal fractures is well documented in literature. Rib fractures were the first bone fractures assessed by ultrasound. The first study was published as far back as 1990 by Smeets et al. [3]. Later, many authors reported that rib fractures were more visible on US images than on standard radiograms [4-10]. According to Griffith et al., X-ray examinations revealed 12% of rib fractures, whereas ultrasound revealed 78% [9]. In our first case, the rib fractures were not evidently shown by routine imaging and constituted a diagnostic challenge. This is why US was the key examination in the diagnosis of rib fractures in that case. In addition, the ultrasound was very useful for the differential diagnosis of the single round lung shadow, which was in fact a lesion on the fourth rib. In short, the ultrasound examination was helpful in reaching the final diagnosis and determining the optimal course of action.

The effectiveness of US in diagnosing sternal fractures was also reported in literature [5, 11-15]. In our second case, the result of the X-ray examination, assessed by a radiologist, was unsatisfactory from the clinical point of view – only a suggestion of a possible fracture was included in the report. On the US image the fracture became evident; moreover, movements of the fracture components during respiration were visible.

The high sensitivity and specificity of transthoracic ultrasound in the detection of pneumothorax has also been well documented. Moreover, the technique is said to be superior to standard chest radiography in diagnosing pneumothoraces in patients after blunt chest trauma. According to Fisher’s Mastery of Surgery (5th edition), transthoracic ultrasound should be performed routinely after chest trauma [16]. In a study by Soldati et al. ultrasound had sensitivity of 92%, while standard radiographs revealed only 52% of pneumothoraces [17]. In the first case, the ultrasound examination was useful in assessing the progress in the treatment of pneumothorax and determining the times of control X-ray and chest drainage removal.

Chest ultrasound is rarely discussed in Polish literature, especially with regard to the diagnosis of rib and sternal fractures. The use of US in the diagnostic process after chest trauma in Poland is unusual, especially in hospitals outside academic centres. The examination is easy to perform, and, considering its advantages and the benefits it offers, more studies about it should be published to popularise the knowledge of the opportunities provided by ultrasound in the hands of surgeons.

Conclusions

The examination was easy to perform and assess, and provided clinically useful conclusions.

Due to the mobility of the ultrasound machine, the examination may be carried out outside of radiology departments, e.g. by the patient’s bedside – in departments of surgery.

The ultrasound should be the examination of choice after chest trauma and can be performed successfully by non-radiologist physicians.
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