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Abstract

This paper presents a sequence of fuzzy mean difference divergence measures. The validity of these fuzzy mean difference divergence measures is proved axiomatically. In addition, it introduces a sequence of inequalities among some of these fuzzy mean difference divergence measures. The applications of proposed fuzzy mean difference divergence measures in the context of pattern recognition have been presented using a numerical example. It is shown that the proposed fuzzy mean difference divergence measures are well suited to use with linguistic variables. Finally, on establishing inequalities, we find that our proposed measures are computationally much more efficient.
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Introduction

Shannon (1948) was first to use the word “entropy” to measure an uncertain degree of the randomness in a probability distribution. Entropy as a measure of fuzziness was first introduced by Zadeh (1968). There is an intrinsic similarity between two equations however Shannon entropy measures the average uncertainty in bits associated with the prediction of outcomes in a random experiment, whereas the entropy of fuzzy set describes the degree of fuzziness in a fuzzy set. The concept of fuzzy sets proposed by Zadeh (1968) has proven useful in the context of pattern recognition, image processing, speech recognition, bioinformatics, fuzzy aircraft control, feature selection, decision making, etc.

Entropy, as a very important notion for measuring fuzziness degree or uncertain information in fuzzy set theory, has received a great attention. For example, Kullback and Leibler (1951) obtained the measure of directed divergence between two probability distributions. Bhandari and Pal (1993) presented some axioms to describe the measure of directed divergence between fuzzy sets, which is proposed corresponding to Kullback and Leibler (1951) measure of directed divergence. Thereafter, many other researchers have studied the fuzzy divergence measures in different ways and provide their application in different areas. In 1999, Fan and Xie introduced the divergence measure based on exponential operation and studied its relation with divergence...
measure introduced in Bhandari and Pal (1993). Montes et al. (2002) studied the special
classes of divergence measures and used the link between fuzzy and probabilistic uncer-
tainty. Parkash et al. (2006) proposed two fuzzy divergence measures corresponding to
Ferreri (1980) probabilistic measure of directed divergence. Ghosh et al. (2010) gave
the application of Bhandari and Pal (1993) divergence measure in the area of auto-
mated leukocyte recognition. Bhatia and Singh (2012) proposed the fuzzy divergence
measure corresponding to Taneja (2008) Arithmetic–geometric divergence measure.

In the recent years, many authors have introduced various divergence measures
between fuzzy sets. We introduce a sequence of fuzzy mean difference divergence mea-
sures and established the inequalities among them to explore the fuzzy inequalities.
The advantage of establishing the inequalities is to make the computational work much
simpler. The technique of inequalities provides a better comparison among fuzzy mean
divergence measures.

Preliminaries on fuzzy divergence measures
Fuzziness, a feature of uncertainty, results from the lack of sharp difference of being or
not being a member of the set, i.e., the boundaries of the set under consideration are
not sharply defined. A fuzzy set $A$ defined on a universe of discourse $X$ is given as
Zadeh (1965):

$$ A = \{ (x, \mu_A(x)) \mid x \in X \} $$

where $\mu_A : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is the membership function of $A$. The membership value $\mu_A(x)$
describes the degree of the belongingness of $x \in X$ in $A$. When $\mu_A(x)$ is valued in [0, 1], it
is the characteristic function of a crisp (non-fuzzy) set. Zadeh (1965) gave some notions
related to fuzzy sets, one of them which we shall need in our discussion, is as follows:

**Compliment of a fuzzy set $A$:**

$$ \bar{A} = \text{Compliment of } A \Rightarrow \mu_{\bar{A}}(x) = 1 - \mu_A(x) \text{ for all } x \in X. \tag{1} $$

The measure of information defined by Shannon (1948) is given by

$$ H(P) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \log p_i \tag{2} $$

Taking into consideration the concept of fuzzy sets, De Luca and Termini (1972) intro-
duced the measure of fuzzy entropy corresponding to Shannon’s entropy given in (2) as

$$ H(A) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} [\mu_A(x_i) \log \mu_A(x_i) + (1-\mu_A(x_i)) \log (1-\mu_A(x_i))] \tag{3} $$

Kullback and Leibler (1951) obtained the measure of directed divergence of probabil-
ity distribution $P = (p_1, p_2, ... , p_n)$ from probability distribution $Q = (q_1, q_2, ... , q_n)$ as

$$ D(P : Q) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \log \frac{p_i}{q_i} \tag{4} $$

Measure of fuzzy divergence between two fuzzy sets gives the difference between two
fuzzy sets and this measure of distance/difference between two fuzzy sets is called the
fuzzy divergence measure.
Bhandari and Pal (1993) introduced the measure of fuzzy directed divergence corresponding to (4) as

\[
I(A, B) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \mu_A(x_i) \log \frac{\mu_A(x_i)}{\mu_B(x_i)} + (1-\mu_A(x_i)) \log \frac{1-\mu_A(x_i)}{1-\mu_B(x_i)} \right]
\]

The fuzzy mean divergence measures corresponding to seven geometrical mean measures given in Taneja (2012) are presented in Table 1.

We have the following Lemma in fuzzy context corresponding to the Lemma of Taneja (2005):

Lemma 1: Let \( f : I \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) be a convex and differentiable function satisfying \( f(\frac{1}{2}) = 0 \). Consider a function

\[
\phi_f(a, b) = af \left( \frac{b}{a} \right), a, b > 0
\]

Then the function \( \phi_f(a, b) \) is convex \( \mathbb{R}^2 \). Additionally, if \( f'(1/2) = 0 \), then the following inequality holds:

\[
0 \leq \phi_f(a, b) \leq \beta \phi_f'(a, b)
\]

Lemma 2: Schwarz’s Lemma: Let \( f_1, f_2 : I \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) be two convex functions satisfying the assumptions:

i) \( f_1 (\frac{1}{2}) = f_1 (\frac{1}{2}) = 0, f_2 (\frac{1}{2}) = f_2 (\frac{1}{2}) = 0 \);
ii) \( f_1 \) and \( f_2 \) are twice differentiable in \( \mathbb{R}^+ \);
iii) there exist the real constants \( a, \beta \) such that \( 0 \leq a < \beta \) and \( a \leq f_1'(z) \leq \beta, f_2'(z) > 0 \), for all \( z > 0 \) then we have the inequalities:

\[
a \phi_{f_2}(a, b) \leq \phi_{f_1}(a, b) \leq \beta \phi_{f_2}(a, b)
\]

for all \( a, b \in (0, 1) \), where the function \( \phi_{f_1}(a, b) \) is defined as

\[
\phi_f(a, b) = af \left( \frac{b}{a} \right), a, b > 0
\]

Results and discussion

Sequence of fuzzy mean difference divergence measures

Corresponding to the fuzzy mean divergence measures defined in Table 1, we propose a sequence of fuzzy mean difference divergence measures as follows:

\[
D_{CS}(A, B) = C(A, B) - S(A, B)
\]

\[
= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \mu_A^2(x_i) + \mu_B^2(x_i) + \frac{(1-\mu_A(x_i))^2 + (1-\mu_B(x_i))^2}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{\mu_A^2(x_i) + \mu_B^2(x_i)}{2} + \frac{(1-\mu_A(x_i))^2 + (1-\mu_B(x_i))^2}{2}} \right]
\]
| Sr. no. | Fuzzy mean divergence measure | Definition |
|--------|-------------------------------|------------|
| 1.     | Fuzzy Arithmetic Mean Measure | \[ A(A, B) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{\mu_A(x_i) + \mu_B(x_i)}{2} + \frac{2-\mu_A(x_i) - \mu_B(x_i)}{2} \right) \] |
| 2.     | Fuzzy Geometric Mean Measure  | \[ G(A, B) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \sqrt{\mu_A(x_i) \mu_B(x_i)} + \sqrt{(1-\mu_A(x_i))(1-\mu_B(x_i))} \right) \] |
| 3.     | Fuzzy Harmonic Mean Measure   | \[ H(A, B) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{2\mu_A(x_i)\mu_B(x_i)}{\mu_A(x_i) + \mu_B(x_i)} + \frac{2(1-\mu_A(x_i))(1-\mu_B(x_i))}{2-\mu_A(x_i) - \mu_B(x_i)} \right) \] |
| 4.     | Fuzzy Heronian Mean Measure   | \[ N(A, B) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{\mu_A(x_i) + \sqrt{\mu_A(x_i) \mu_B(x_i)} + \mu_B(x_i)}{3} + \frac{(1-\mu_A(x_i)) + \sqrt{(1-\mu_A(x_i))(1-\mu_B(x_i))} + (1-\mu_B(x_i))}{3} \right) \] |
| 5.     | Fuzzy Contra-harmonic Mean Measure | \[ C(A, B) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{\mu_A^2(x_i) + \mu_B^2(x_i)}{\mu_A(x_i) + \mu_B(x_i)} + \frac{(1-\mu_A(x_i))^2 + (1-\mu_B(x_i))^2}{2-\mu_A(x_i) - \mu_B(x_i)} \right) \] |
| 6.     | Fuzzy Root-mean-square Mean Measure | \[ S(A, B) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{\mu_A^2(x_i) + \mu_B^2(x_i)}{2} + \frac{(1-\mu_A(x_i))^2 + (1-\mu_B(x_i))^2}{2} \right) \] |
| 7.     | Fuzzy Centroidal Mean Measure | \[ R(A, B) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{2(\mu_A(x_i)\mu_B(x_i))}{3(\mu_A(x_i) + \mu_B(x_i))} + \frac{2((1-\mu_A(x_i))^2 + (1-\mu_B(x_i))(1-\mu_B(x_i)) + (1-\mu_B(x_i))^2)}{3(2-\mu_A(x_i) - \mu_B(x_i))} \right) \] |
\[ D_{CN}(A, B) = C(A, B) - N(A, B) \]
\[ = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \frac{\mu_A^2(x_i)}{\mu_A(x_i) + \mu_B(x_i)} + \frac{(1-\mu_A(x_i))^2 + (1-\mu_B(x_i))^2}{2-\mu_A(x_i)-\mu_B(x_i)} \right] \]
\[ - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \frac{\mu_A(x_i)}{3} \left( \frac{\mu_A(x_i) + \mu_B(x_i)}{\mu_B(x_i)} \right) \right. \]
\[ \left. \left. + \frac{2-(1-\mu_A(x_i))(1-\mu_B(x_i))}{3(2-\mu_A(x_i)-\mu_B(x_i))} \right] \right\} \]
\[ (7) \]

\[ D_{CG}(A, B) = C(A, B) - G(A, B) \]
\[ = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \frac{\mu_A^2(x_i)}{\mu_A(x_i) + \mu_B(x_i)} + \frac{(1-\mu_A(x_i))^2 + (1-\mu_B(x_i))^2}{2-\mu_A(x_i)-\mu_B(x_i)} \right] \]
\[ - \left( \sqrt{\mu_A(x_i)\mu_B(x_i)} + \sqrt{(1-\mu_A(x_i))(1-\mu_B(x_i))} \right) \}
\[ (8) \]

\[ D_{CR}(A, B) = C(A, B) - R(A, B) \]
\[ = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \frac{\mu_A^2(x_i)}{\mu_A(x_i) + \mu_B(x_i)} + \frac{(1-\mu_A(x_i))^2 + (1-\mu_B(x_i))^2}{2-\mu_A(x_i)-\mu_B(x_i)} \right] \]
\[ - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \frac{2(\mu_A(x_i)\mu_B(x_i) + \mu_B(x_i))}{3(\mu_A(x_i) + \mu_B(x_i))} \right. \]
\[ \left. \left. + \frac{2(1-\mu_A(x_i))^2 + (1-\mu_A(x_i))(1-\mu_B(x_i)) + (1-\mu_B(x_i))^2}{3(2-\mu_A(x_i)-\mu_B(x_i))} \right] \right\} \]
\[ (9) \]

\[ D_{CA}(A, B) = C(A, B) - A(A, B) \]
\[ = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \frac{\mu_A^2(x_i)}{\mu_A(x_i) + \mu_B(x_i)} + \frac{(1-\mu_A(x_i))^2 + (1-\mu_B(x_i))^2}{2-\mu_A(x_i)-\mu_B(x_i)} \right] \]
\[ - \left( \frac{\mu_A(x_i) + \mu_B(x_i)}{2} + \frac{2-\mu_A(x_i)-\mu_B(x_i)}{2} \right) \}
\[ (10) \]

\[ D_{CH}(A, B) = C(A, B) - H(A, B) \]
\[ = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \frac{\mu_A^2(x_i)}{\mu_A(x_i) + \mu_B(x_i)} + \frac{(1-\mu_A(x_i))^2 + (1-\mu_B(x_i))^2}{2-\mu_A(x_i)-\mu_B(x_i)} \right] \]
\[ - \left( \frac{2\mu_A(x_i)\mu_B(x_i)}{\mu_A(x_i) + \mu_B(x_i)} + \frac{2(1-\mu_A(x_i))(1-\mu_B(x_i))}{2-\mu_A(x_i)-\mu_B(x_i)} \right) \}
\[ (11) \]
\[ D_{S\lambda}(A, B) = S(A, B) - A(A, B) \]
\[ = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \sqrt{\left( \frac{\mu_A^2(x_i) + \mu_B^2(x_i)}{2} \right)} + \sqrt{\left( \frac{(1-\mu_A(x_i))^2 + (1-\mu_B(x_i))^2}{2} \right)} \right] \]
\[ - \left[ \frac{(\mu_A(x_i) + \mu_B(x_i))}{2} + \frac{(2-\mu_A(x_i)-\mu_B(x_i))}{2} \right] \]  
\[ (12) \]

\[ D_{SN}(A, B) = S(A, B) - N(A, B) \]
\[ = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \sqrt{\left( \frac{\mu_A^2(x_i) + \mu_B^2(x_i)}{2} \right)} + \sqrt{\left( \frac{(1-\mu_A(x_i))^2 + (1-\mu_B(x_i))^2}{2} \right)} \right] \]
\[ - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \frac{\mu_A(x_i) + \sqrt{\mu_A(x_i)\mu_B(x_i)}}{3} + 2-\mu_A(x_i)-\mu_B(x_i) \right] \]
\[ + \frac{\sqrt{(1-\mu_A(x_i))(1-\mu_B(x_i))}}{3} \]  
\[ (13) \]

\[ D_{SG}(A, B) = S(A, B) - G(A, B) \]
\[ = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \sqrt{\left( \frac{\mu_A^2(x_i) + \mu_B^2(x_i)}{2} \right)} + \sqrt{\left( \frac{(1-\mu_A(x_i))^2 + (1-\mu_B(x_i))^2}{2} \right)} \right] \]
\[ - \left[ \sqrt{\mu_A(x_i)\mu_B(x_i)} + \sqrt{(1-\mu_A(x_i))(1-\mu_B(x_i)))} \right] \]  
\[ (14) \]

\[ D_{SH}(A, B) = S(A, B) - H(A, B) \]
\[ = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \sqrt{\left( \frac{\mu_A^2(x_i) + \mu_B^2(x_i)}{2} \right)} + \sqrt{\left( \frac{(1-\mu_A(x_i))^2 + (1-\mu_B(x_i))^2}{2} \right)} \right] \]
\[ - \left[ \frac{2\mu_A(x_i)\mu_B(x_i)}{\mu_A(x_i) + \mu_B(x_i)} + \frac{2(1-\mu_A(x_i))(1-\mu_B(x_i)))}{2-\mu_A(x_i)-\mu_B(x_i)} \right] \]  
\[ (15) \]

\[ D_{R\lambda}(A, B) = R(A, B) - A(A, B) \]
\[ = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \frac{2(\mu_A^2(x_i) + \mu_A(x_i)\mu_B(x_i) + \mu_B^2(x_i))}{3(\mu_A(x_i) + \mu_B(x_i))} \right] \]
\[ + \frac{2((1-\mu_A(x_i))^2 + (1-\mu_A(x_i))(1-\mu_B(x_i))) + (1-\mu_B(x_i))^2}{3(2-\mu_A(x_i)-\mu_B(x_i))} \]
\[ - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \frac{(\mu_A(x_i) + \mu_B(x_i))}{2} + \frac{(2-\mu_A(x_i)-\mu_B(x_i))}{2} \right] \]  
\[ (16) \]
\[ D_{RN}(A, B) = R(A, B) - N(A, B) \]
\[
= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \frac{2(\mu_A^2(x_i) + \mu_A(x_i)\mu_B(x_i) + \mu_B^2(x_i))}{3(\mu_A(x_i) + \mu_B(x_i))} \right. \\
\left. + \frac{2((1-\mu_A(x_i))^2 + (1-\mu_A(x_i))(1-\mu_B(x_i)) + (1-\mu_B(x_i))^2)}{3(2-\mu_A(x_i)-\mu_B(x_i))} \right] \\
- \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \frac{\mu_A(x_i) + \sqrt{\mu_A(x_i)\mu_B(x_i) + \mu_B(x_i)}}{3} \right. \\
\left. + \frac{2-\mu_A(x_i)-\mu_B(x_i) + \sqrt{(1-\mu_A(x_i))(1-\mu_B(x_i))}}{3} \right]
\]

(17)

\[ D_{RG}(A, B) = R(A, B) - G(A, B) \]
\[
= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \frac{2(\mu_A^2(x_i) + \mu_A(x_i)\mu_B(x_i) + \mu_B^2(x_i))}{3(\mu_A(x_i) + \mu_B(x_i))} \right. \\
\left. + \frac{2((1-\mu_A(x_i))^2 + (1-\mu_A(x_i))(1-\mu_B(x_i)) + (1-\mu_B(x_i))^2)}{3(2-\mu_A(x_i)-\mu_B(x_i))} \right] \\
- \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \frac{\sqrt{\mu_A(x_i)\mu_B(x_i) + (1-\mu_A(x_i))(1-\mu_B(x_i))}}{3} \right]
\]

(18)

\[ D_{RH}(A, B) = R(A, B) - H(A, B) \]
\[
= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \frac{2(\mu_A^2(x_i) + \mu_A(x_i)\mu_B(x_i) + \mu_B^2(x_i))}{3(\mu_A(x_i) + \mu_B(x_i))} \right. \\
\left. + \frac{2((1-\mu_A(x_i))^2 + (1-\mu_A(x_i))(1-\mu_B(x_i)) + (1-\mu_B(x_i))^2)}{3(2-\mu_A(x_i)-\mu_B(x_i))} \right] \\
- \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \frac{2\mu_A(x_i)\mu_B(x_i)}{\mu_A(x_i) + \mu_B(x_i)} + \frac{2(1-\mu_A(x_i))(1-\mu_B(x_i))}{2-\mu_A(x_i)-\mu_B(x_i)} \right]
\]

(19)

\[ D_{AN}(A, B) = A(A, B) - N(A, B) \]
\[
= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \frac{\mu_A(x_i) + \mu_B(x_i)}{2} + \frac{(2-\mu_A(x_i)-\mu_B(x_i))}{2} \right] \\
- \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \frac{\mu_A(x_i) + \sqrt{\mu_A(x_i)\mu_B(x_i) + \mu_B(x_i)}}{3} \right. \\
\left. + \frac{2-\mu_A(x_i)-\mu_B(x_i) + \sqrt{(1-\mu_A(x_i))(1-\mu_B(x_i))}}{3} \right]
\]

(20)
\[ D_{AG}(A, B) = A(A, B) - G(A, B) \]
\[ = n \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \frac{[(\mu_{A}(x_i) + \mu_{B}(x_i))]}{2} + \frac{(2-\mu_{A}(x_i))}\cdot \frac{\mu_{B}(x_i)}{2} \right\} \]
\[ - \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{\mu_{A}(x_i)\mu_{B}(x_i)}}{\mu_{A}(x_i) + \mu_{B}(x_i)} + \sqrt{(1-\mu_{A}(x_i))(1-\mu_{B}(x_i))} \right\} \]  
\[ (21) \]

\[ D_{AH}(A, B) = A(A, B) - H(A, B) \]
\[ = n \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \frac{[(\mu_{A}(x_i) + \mu_{B}(x_i))]}{2} + \frac{(2-\mu_{A}(x_i))}\cdot \frac{\mu_{B}(x_i)}{2} \right\} \]
\[ - \left\{ \frac{2\mu_{A}(x_i)\mu_{B}(x_i)}{\mu_{A}(x_i) + \mu_{B}(x_i)} + \frac{2(1-\mu_{A}(x_i))(1-\mu_{B}(x_i))}{2\mu_{A}(x_i)-\mu_{B}(x_i)} \right\} \]  
\[ (22) \]

\[ D_{NG}(A, B) = N(A, B) - G(A, B) \]
\[ = n \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \frac{\mu_{A}(x_i) + \sqrt{\mu_{A}(x_i)\mu_{B}(x_i)}}{3} + \mu_{B}(x_i) \right] \]
\[ + \frac{2\mu_{A}(x_i)-\mu_{B}(x_i)}{3} + \frac{(2-\mu_{A}(x_i))\cdot \frac{\mu_{B}(x_i)}{3}}{1-\mu_{A}(x_i)(1-\mu_{B}(x_i))} \]
\[ - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \frac{\mu_{A}(x_i)\mu_{B}(x_i)}{\mu_{A}(x_i) + \mu_{B}(x_i)} + \sqrt{(1-\mu_{A}(x_i))(1-\mu_{B}(x_i))} \right] \]  
\[ (23) \]

**Theorem 1:** All the proposed measures (6) - (23) are valid measures of fuzzy mean difference directed divergence.

**Proof:**

(a) **Non-negativity:** From one of inequality in Taneja (2012), for two fuzzy sets A and B, we have \( H(A, B) \leq G(A, B) \leq N(A, B) \leq A(A, B) \leq R(A, B) \leq S(A, B) \leq C(A, B) \).

Hence, the condition of non-negativity of measures (6) - (23) is proved.

(b) **Invariant under complementation:** From the notion of complement of a fuzzy set given in (1) we can easily check for measures (6) - (23) that \( D_{A_1,B_1}(A, A) = 0 \) for all measures from (6) - (23) where \( A_1 \) and \( B_1 \) belong to the fuzzy mean divergence measures given in Table 1.

(c) **Convexity:**

Now we shall prove the condition of convexity of measures (6) - (23) with the help of Lemma 1.

For simplicity, Let us write \( D_{A_1,B_1} = bf_{A_1,B_1} \) where \( f_{A_1,B_1}(z) = f_{A_1}(z) - f_{B_1}(z) \) with \( A_1 \geq B_1 \).

Let us take \( \mu_A = z \Rightarrow \mu_B = 1 - z \). So, corresponding to measures (6) - (23) we have the following generating functions:

\[ f_{CS}(z) = 2 \left[ z^2 + (1-z)^2 - \sqrt{\frac{z^2 + (1-z)^2}{2}} \right] \]  
\[ (24) \]
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{F}_{CN}(z) &= 2 \left[ z^2 + (1-z)^2 - \frac{1 + \sqrt{z(1-z)}}{3} \right] \\
\mathcal{F}_{CG}(z) &= 2 \left[ z^2 + (1-z)^2 - \sqrt{z(1-z)} \right] \\
\mathcal{F}_{CR}(z) &= 2 \left[ z^2 + (1-z)^2 - \frac{2(z^2 + (1-z)^2 + z(1-z))}{3} \right] \\
\mathcal{F}_{CA}(z) &= 2 \left[ z^2 + (1-z)^2 - \frac{z + (1-z)}{2} \right] \\
\mathcal{F}_{CH}(z) &= 2 \left[ z^2 + (1-z)^2 - 2z(1-z) \right] \\
\mathcal{F}_{SA}(z) &= 2 \left[ \sqrt{\frac{z^2 + (1-z)^2}{2}} - \frac{z + (1-z)}{2} \right] \\
\mathcal{F}_{SN}(z) &= 2 \left[ \sqrt{\frac{z^2 + (1-z)^2}{2}} - \frac{z + \sqrt{z(1-z)} + (1-z)}{3} \right] \\
\mathcal{F}_{SG}(z) &= 2 \left[ \sqrt{\frac{z^2 + (1-z)^2}{2}} - \sqrt{z(1-z)} \right] \\
\mathcal{F}_{SH}(z) &= 2 \left[ \sqrt{\frac{z^2 + (1-z)^2}{2}} - 2z(1-z) \right] \\
\mathcal{F}_{RA}(z) &= 2 \left[ \frac{2(z^2 + (1-z)^2 + z(1-z))}{3} - \frac{z + (1-z)}{2} \right] \\
\mathcal{F}_{RN}(z) &= 2 \left[ \frac{2(z^2 + (1-z)^2 + z(1-z))}{3} - 1 + \sqrt{\frac{z(1-z)}{3}} \right] \\
\mathcal{F}_{RG}(z) &= 2 \left[ \frac{2(z^2 + (1-z)^2 + z(1-z))}{3} - \sqrt{z(1-z)} \right] \\
\mathcal{F}_{RH}(z) &= 2 \left[ \frac{2(z^2 + (1-z)^2 + z(1-z))}{3} - 2z(1-z) \right] \\
\mathcal{F}_{AN}(z) &= 2 \left[ \frac{z + (1-z)}{2} - \frac{1 + \sqrt{z(1-z)}}{3} \right] \\
\mathcal{F}_{AG}(z) &= 2 \left[ \frac{z + (1-z)}{2} - \sqrt{z(1-z)} \right] \\
\mathcal{F}_{AH}(z) &= 2 \left[ \frac{z + (1-z)}{2} - 2z(1-z) \right]
\end{align*}
\[ f_{NG}(z) = 2 \left[ \frac{1 + \sqrt{z(1-z)}}{3} - \sqrt{z(1-z)} \right] \]

(41)

Now in all the cases from (24) - (41), we can easily check that \( f_{A_1B_1}(\frac{1}{2}) = f_{A_1}(\frac{1}{2}) - f_{B_1}(\frac{1}{2}) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} = 0 \). It is understood that \( z \in [0, 1] \).

The first and second order derivatives of the functions (24) - (41) are as follows:

\[ f'_{CS}(z) = 4(2z-1) - \frac{2(2z-1)}{\sqrt{2}(z^2 + (1-z)^2)} \]

\[ f''_{CS}(z) = 8 - \frac{4}{(2(z^2 + (1-z)^2))^{3/2}} > 0 \]

(42)

\[ f'_{CN}(z) = 4(2z-1) + \frac{(2z-1)}{3\sqrt{z-z^2}} f'_{CN}(z) = 8 + \frac{4}{6(z-z^2)^{3/2}} > 0 \]

(43)

\[ f'_{CG}(z) = 4(2z-1) + \frac{(2z-1)}{\sqrt{z-z^2}} f'_{CG}(z) = 8 + \frac{4}{2(z-z^2)^{3/2}} > 0 \]

(44)

\[ f'_{CA}(z) = \frac{8(2z-1)}{3} f'_{CA}(z) = \frac{16}{3} > 0 \]

(45)

\[ f'_{CA}(z) = 4(2z-1) f'_{CA}(z) = 8 > 0 \]

(46)

\[ f'_{CH}(z) = 8(2z-1) f'_{CH}(z) = 16 > 0 \]

(47)

\[ f'_{SA}(z) = \frac{2(2z-1)}{\sqrt{2}(z^2 + (1-z)^2)} \]

\[ f''_{SA}(z) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{(z^2 + (1-z)^2)^{3/2}} > 0 \]

(48)

\[ f'_{SN}(z) = \frac{2(2z-1)}{\sqrt{2}(z^2 + (1-z)^2)} + \frac{(2z-1)}{3\sqrt{z-z^2}} f'_{SN}(z) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{(z^2 + (1-z)^2)^{3/2}} \]

\[ + \frac{4}{6(z-z^2)^{3/2}} > 0 \]

(49)

\[ f'_{SG}(z) = \frac{2(2z-1)}{\sqrt{2}(z^2 + (1-z)^2)} + \frac{(2z-1)}{\sqrt{z-z^2}} f'_{SG}(z) \]

\[ = \frac{4}{(2(z^2 + (1-z)^2))^{3/2}} + \frac{1}{2(z-z^2)^{3/2}} > 0 \]

(50)

\[ f'_{SH}(z) = \frac{4(2z-1)}{\sqrt{2}(z^2 + (1-z)^2)} + 4(2z-1) f'_{SH}(z) = 8 + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{((z^2 + (1-z)^2))^{3/2}} > 0 \]

(51)

\[ f'_{RA}(z) = \frac{4(2z-1)}{3} f'_{RA}(z) = \frac{8}{3} > 0 \]

(52)

\[ f'_{RN}(z) = \frac{4(2z-1)}{3} + \frac{(2z-1)}{3\sqrt{z-z^2}} f'_{RN}(z) = \frac{8}{3} + \frac{1}{3} \left[ \frac{z^2 + (1-z)^2}{(z-z^2)^{3/2}} \right] > 0 \]

(53)

\[ f'_{RG}(z) = \frac{4(2z-1)}{3} + \frac{(2z-1)}{\sqrt{z-z^2}} f'_{RG}(z) = \frac{4}{3} + \frac{1}{2(z-z^2)^{3/2}} > 0 \]

(54)

\[ f'_{RH}(z) = \frac{16(2z-1)}{3} f'_{RH}(z) = \frac{32}{3} > 0 \]

(55)
\[ f_{AN}'(z) = \frac{(2z-1)}{3\sqrt{z-z^2}} f_{AN}''(z) = \frac{1}{6(z-z^2)^{3/2}} > 0 \] (56)

\[ f_{AG}'(z) = \frac{(2z-1)}{\sqrt{z-z^2}} f_{AG}''(z) = \frac{1}{(z-z^2)^{3/2}} > 0 \] (57)

\[ f_{AH}'(z) = 4(2z-1) f_{AH}''(z) = 8 > 0 \] (58)

\[ f_{NG}'(z) = \frac{2(2z-1)}{3\sqrt{z-z^2}} f_{NG}''(z) = \frac{2(z^2 + (1-z)^2)}{3(z-z^2)^{3/2}} > 0 \] (59)

We see that in the entire cases second order derivative are positive and satisfies \( f_{A,B_i}' \) (\( \frac{1}{z} \)) = 0 for all \( z \in [0, 1] \). Thus according to the Lemma 1 and equation (42) - (59), we get the convexity of the measures (6) - (23).

Hence all the defined measures (6) - (23) are valid measures of fuzzy mean difference directed divergence.

Inequalities among fuzzy mean difference divergence measures

**Theorem 2:** The fuzzy mean difference divergence measures defined in (6) - (23) admit the following inequalities:

\[
D_{SA} \leq \left\{ \begin{array}{c}
\frac{3}{4} D_{SN} - \frac{1}{3} D_{SH} - \frac{3}{4} D_{CR} \\
\frac{1}{3} D_{CG} - \frac{1}{5} D_{CG} - \frac{1}{5} D_{RG}
\end{array} \right\} \leq \left\{ \begin{array}{c}
\frac{3}{7} D_{CN} - \frac{1}{3} D_{CG} \leq \frac{3}{5} D_{RG} \\
\frac{1}{2} D_{SG} \leq \frac{3}{5} D_{RG}
\end{array} \right\} \leq 3D_{AN}
\]

i.e., we have the following inequalities:

i) \( D_{SA} \leq \frac{3}{4} D_{SN} - \frac{2}{3} D_{CN} \leq \frac{2}{5} D_{CS} \leq 3D_{AN} \),

ii) \( D_{SA} \leq \frac{1}{2} D_{SH} - \frac{2}{3} D_{CR} \leq \frac{4}{3} D_{CS} \leq 3D_{AN} \),

iii) \( D_{SA} \leq \frac{1}{2} D_{SH} - \frac{2}{3} D_{CR} \leq \frac{2}{3} D_{CN} \leq \frac{3}{5} D_{RG} \leq 3D_{AN} \),

iv) \( D_{SA} \leq \frac{3}{4} D_{SN} \leq \frac{1}{2} D_{SG} \leq \frac{3}{5} D_{RG} \leq 3D_{AN} \).

**Proof:** The proof of the above theorem is based on Lemma 2 and is given in parts in the following propositions.

**Proposition 1:** We have \( D_{SA} \leq \frac{3}{4} D_{SN} \)

**Proof:** Let us consider the function

\[
g_{SA\_SN}(z) = \frac{f_{SA}''(z)}{f_{SN}''(z)} = \frac{6\sqrt{2}(z-z^2)^{3/2}}{6\sqrt{2}(z-z^2)^{3/2} + ((z^2 + (1-z)^2))^{3/2}}
\]

This gives

\[
g_{SA\_SN}(z) = \frac{3\sqrt{2}(2z-1)(z-z^2)^{1/2}(2z^2-2z+1)^{1/2}(4z^2-4z-1)}{6\sqrt{2}(z-z^2)^{3/2} + (2z^2-2z+1)^{3/2}} \begin{cases}
> 0 & \text{for } z < 1/2 \\
< 0 & \text{for } z > 1/2
\end{cases}
\]

And we have
\[
\beta = \sup_{z \in [0,1]} g_{SA-SN}(z) = g_{SA-SN} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{3}{4}.
\]

Applying Lemma 2 for the difference of fuzzy means \(D_{SA}(A, B)\) and \(D_{SN}(A, B)\) and using (60), we get

\[
D_{SA} \leq \frac{3}{4} D_{SN}.
\]

**Proposition 2:** We have \(D_{SA} \leq \frac{3}{4} D_{SH}\)

**Proof:** Let us consider the function

\[
g_{SA-SH}(z) = \frac{f''_{SA}(z)}{f''_{SH}(z)} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{8(2z^2 - 2z + 1)^{3/2} + \sqrt{2}}.
\]

This gives

\[
g_{SA-SH}(z) = -\frac{12\sqrt{2}(2z^2 - 2z + 1)^{1/2}(4z - 2)}{8(2z^2 - 2z + 1)^{3/2} + \sqrt{2}} \begin{cases} > 0 & \text{for } z < 1/2 \\ < 0 & \text{for } z > 1/2 \end{cases}
\]

And we have

\[
\beta = \sup_{z \in [0,1]} g_{SA-H}(z) = g_{SA-H} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{3}.
\]

Applying Lemma 2 for the difference of fuzzy means \(D_{SA}(A, B)\) and \(D_{SH}(A, B)\) and using (61), we get

\[
D_{SA} \leq \frac{1}{3} D_{SH}.
\]

**Proposition 3:** We have \(D_{SH} \leq \frac{3}{4} D_{CR}\)

**Proof:** Let us consider the function

\[
g_{SH-CR}(z) = \frac{f''_{SH}(z)}{f''_{CR}(z)} = \frac{24(2z^2 - 2z + 1)^{3/2} + 3\sqrt{2}}{16(2z^2 - 2z + 1)^{3/2}}.
\]

This gives

\[
g_{SH-CR}(z) = -\frac{46\sqrt{2}(2z - 1)}{49(2z^2 - 2z + 1)^{5/2}} \begin{cases} > 0 & \text{for } z < 1/2 \\ < 0 & \text{for } z > 1/2 \end{cases}
\]

And we have

\[
\beta = \sup_{z \in [0,1]} g_{SH-CR}(z) = g_{SH-CR} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{9}{4}.
\]

Applying Lemma 2 for the difference of fuzzy means \(D_{SH}(A, B)\) and \(D_{CR}(A, B)\) and using (62), we get

\[
D_{SH} \leq \frac{9}{4} D_{CR}.
\]

**Proposition 4:** We have \(D_{CR} \leq \frac{3}{4} D_{CN}\)

**Proof:** Let us consider the function
\[
\hat{g}_{CR..CN}(z) = \frac{f_{CR}'(z)}{f_{CN}(z)} = \frac{32(z-z^2)^{3/2}}{48(z-z^2)^{3/2} + 1}
\]

This gives
\[
\hat{g}_{CR..CN}(z) = 48(z-z^2)^{1/2}(1-2z) \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
> 0 & \text{for } z < 1/2 \\
< 0 & \text{for } z > 1/2
\end{array} \right.
\]

And we have
\[
\beta = \sup_{z \in [0,1]} g_{CR..CN}(z) = 4/7
\]

Applying Lemma 2 for the difference of fuzzy means \(D_{CR}(A, B)\) and \(D_{CN}(A, B)\) and using (63), we get
\[
D_{CR} \leq 4/7 D_{CN}.
\]

**Proposition 5:** We have \(D_{CR} \leq 4/7 D_{SG}\)

**Proof:** Let us consider the function
\[
\hat{g}_{CR..SG}(z) = \frac{f_{CR}'(z)}{f_{SG}(z)} = \frac{16(4z^2-4z + 2)^{3/2}(z-z^2)^{3/2}}{24(z-z^2)^{3/2} + 3(4z^2-4z + 2)^{3/2}}
\]

This gives
\[
\hat{g}_{CR..SG}(z) = \frac{8(2z-1)(z-z^2)^{1/2}(4z^2-4z + 2)^{1/2} [32(z-z^2)^{5/2}-(4z^2-4z + 2)^{5/2}]}{[8(z-z^2)^{3/2} + (4z^2-4z + 2)^{3/2}]^2} \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
> 0 & \text{for } z < 1/2 \\
< 0 & \text{for } z > 1/2
\end{array} \right.
\]

And we have
\[
\beta = \sup_{z \in [0,1]} g_{CR..SG}(z) = 2/3
\]

Applying Lemma 2 for the difference of fuzzy means \(D_{CR}(A, B)\) and \(D_{SG}(A, B)\) and using (64), we get
\[
D_{CR} \leq 2/3 D_{SG}.
\]

**Proposition 6:** We have \(D_{SN} \leq 4/7 D_{CN}\)

**Proof:** Let us consider the function
\[
\hat{g}_{SN..CN}(z) = \frac{f_{SN}'(z)}{f_{CN}(z)} = \frac{24(z-z^2)^{3/2} + (4z^2-4z + 2)^{3/2}}{48(z-z^2)^{3/2} + 1 (4z^2-4z + 2)^{3/2}}
\]

This gives
\[ g_{SN-CN}(z) = \frac{72(z-z^2)^{1/2}(4z^2-4z+2)^{1/2}(1-2z)\left[1 + 96(z-z^2)^{3/2}-(4z^2-4z+2)^{3/2}\right]}{(48(z-z^2)^{3/2} + 1)^{1/2}(4z^2-4z+2)^{3/2}} \]

\[ g_{SN-CN}(z) = \frac{72(z-z^2)^{1/2}(4z^2-4z+2)^{1/2}(1-2z)\left[1 + 96(z-z^2)^{3/2}-(4z^2-4z+2)^{3/2}\right]}{(48(z-z^2)^{3/2} + 1)^{1/2}(4z^2-4z+2)^{3/2}} \]

\[ > 0 \text{ for } z < 1/2 \]
\[ < 0 \text{ for } z > 1/2 \]

And we have
\[ \beta = \sup_{z \in [0,1]} g_{SN-CN}(z) = g_{SN-CN}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{4}{7} \]  

(65)

Applying Lemma 2 for the difference of fuzzy means \( D_{SN}(A, B) \) and \( D_{CN}(A, B) \) and using (65), we get
\[ D_{SN} \leq \frac{4}{7} D_{CN} \]

**Proposition 7:** We have \( D_{SN} \leq \frac{4}{7} D_{SG} \)

**Proof:** Let us consider the function
\[ g_{SN-SG}(z) = \frac{f_{SN}(z)}{f_{SG}(z)} = \frac{24(4z^2-4z+2)^{3/2}}{24(4z^2-4z+2)^{3/2} + 3(4z^2-4z+2)^{3/2}} \]

This gives
\[ g_{SN-SG}(z) = \frac{24(4z^2-4z+2)^{3/2}(1-2z)}{24(4z^2-4z+2)^{3/2} + 3(4z^2-4z+2)^{3/2}} \]

\[ > 0 \text{ for } z < 1/2 \]
\[ < 0 \text{ for } z > 1/2 \]

And we have
\[ \beta = \sup_{z \in [0,1]} g_{SN-SG}(z) = g_{SN-SG}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{2}{3} \]  

(66)

Applying Lemma 2 for the difference of fuzzy means \( D_{SN}(A, B) \) and \( D_{SG}(A, B) \) and using (66), we get
\[ D_{SN} \leq \frac{2}{3} D_{SG} \]

**Proposition 8:** We have \( D_{CN} \leq \frac{2}{7} D_{CS} \)

**Proof:** Let us consider the function
\[ g_{CN-CS}(z) = \frac{f_{CN}(z)}{f_{CS}(z)} = \frac{8 + \frac{1}{6(z-z^2)^{3/2}}}{8 - \frac{4}{(4z^2-4z+2)^{3/2}}} \]

This gives
\[ g_{CN-CS}(z) = \frac{(2z-1)(4z^2-4z+2)^{1/2}\left[8(4z^2-4z+2)^{3/2} - 4z^2\right] - 16(z-z^2)^{3/2} + 1)}{4(z-z^2)^{3/2} \left[8(4z^2-4z+2)^{3/2} - 4z^2\right]} \]

\[ > 0 \text{ for } z < 1/2 \]
\[ < 0 \text{ for } z > 1/2 \]

And we have
\[ \beta = \sup_{z \in [0,1]} g_{CN-CS}(z) = g_{CN-CS}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{7}{3} \]  

(67)

Applying Lemma 2 for the difference of fuzzy means \(D_{CN}(A,B)\) and \(D_{CS}(A,B)\) and using (67), we get

\[ D_{CN} \leq \frac{7}{3} D_{CS} \]

**Proposition 9:** We have \(D_{CS} \leq 3D_{AN}\)

**Proof:** Let us consider the function

\[ g_{CS-AN}(z) = \frac{f'_{CS}(z)}{f'_{AN}(z)} = \frac{8(4z^2-4z+2)^{3/2}-4}{(4z^2-4z+2)^{3/2}} \]

This gives

\[ g_{CS-AN}(z) = \frac{36(2z-1)(z-z^2)}{(4z^2-4z+2)^{3/2}} \]

(68)

And we have

\[ \beta = \sup_{z \in [0,1]} g_{CS-AN}(z) = g_{CS-AN}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = 3 \]

Applying Lemma 2 for the difference of fuzzy means \(D_{CS}(A,B)\) and \(D_{AN}(A,B)\) and using (68), we get

\[ D_{CS} \leq 3D_{AN} \]

**Proposition 10:** We have \(D_{CN} \leq \frac{7}{9} D_{CG}\)

**Proof:** Let us consider the function

\[ g_{CN-CG}(z) = \frac{f'_{CN}(z)}{f'_{CG}(z)} = 1-2\left[48(z-z^2)^{3/2} + 3\right]^{-1} \]

This gives

\[ g_{CN-CG}(z) = \frac{16(1-2z)(z-z^2)^{1/2}}{16(z-z^2)^{3/2} + 1} \]

(69)

And we have

\[ \beta = \sup_{z \in [0,1]} g_{CN-CG}(z) = g_{CN-CG}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{7}{9} \]

Applying Lemma 2 for the difference of fuzzy means \(D_{CN}(A,B)\) and \(D_{CG}(A,B)\) and using (69), we get

\[ D_{CN} \leq \frac{7}{9} D_{CG} \]

**Proposition 11:** We have \(D_{SG} \leq \frac{5}{3} D_{RG}\)

**Proof:** Let us consider the function
This gives
\[ g_{SG-RG}(z) = \frac{f_{SG}^{-}(z)}{f_{SG}^{+}(z)} = \frac{24(z-z^2)^{3/2} + 3(4z^2-4z+2)^{3/2}}{(4z^2-4z+2)^{3/2} + 3} \]

Applying Lemma 2 for the difference of fuzzy means \( D_{SG}(A, B) \) and \( D_{RG}(A, B) \) and using (70), we get
\[ D_{SG} \leq \frac{6}{5} D_{RG}. \]

Proposition 12: We have \( D_{CG} \leq \frac{9}{5} D_{RG} \)

Proof: Let us consider the function
\[ g_{CG-RG}(z) = \frac{f_{CG}^{-}(z)}{f_{RG}^{+}(z)} = 6-45 \left[ 8(z-z^2)^{3/2} + 3 \right]^{-1} \]

This gives

**Table 2 Computed values of fuzzy mean difference divergence measures \( D_{AB}(P_k, Q) \) with \( k = \{1, 2, 3\} \)**

| \( Q \) | \( P_1 \) | \( P_2 \) | \( P_3 \) |
|---|---|---|---|
| 0.2741\(^{10}\) | 0.2877\(^{10}\) | 0.1385\(^{10}\) |
| 0.5825\(^{7}\) | 0.6430\(^{7}\) | 0.3127\(^{7}\) |
| 0.8082\(^{6}\) | 0.8400\(^{8}\) | 0.4064\(^{8}\) |
| 0.3423\(^{9}\) | 0.3631\(^{9}\) | 0.1772\(^{9}\) |
| 0.5135\(^{10}\) | 0.5446\(^{10}\) | 0.2659\(^{10}\) |
| 1.0270\(^{11}\) | 1.0892\(^{11}\) | 0.5318\(^{11}\) |
| 0.2385\(^{12}\) | 0.2569\(^{12}\) | 0.1274\(^{12}\) |
| 0.3340\(^{13}\) | 0.3553\(^{13}\) | 0.1742\(^{13}\) |
| 0.5252\(^{14}\) | 0.5523\(^{14}\) | 0.2679\(^{14}\) |
| 0.7520\(^{15}\) | 0.8015\(^{15}\) | 0.3933\(^{15}\) |
| 0.1712\(^{16}\) | 0.1815\(^{16}\) | 0.0888\(^{16}\) |
| 0.2667\(^{17}\) | 0.2799\(^{17}\) | 0.1355\(^{17}\) |
| 0.4579\(^{18}\) | 0.4769\(^{18}\) | 0.2292\(^{18}\) |
| 0.6847\(^{19}\) | 0.7261\(^{19}\) | 0.3546\(^{19}\) |
| 0.0955\(^{20}\) | 0.0984\(^{20}\) | 0.0469\(^{20}\) |
| 0.2867\(^{21}\) | 0.2954\(^{21}\) | 0.1405\(^{21}\) |
| 0.5135\(^{22}\) | 0.5446\(^{22}\) | 0.2659\(^{22}\) |
| 0.1912\(^{23}\) | 0.1970\(^{23}\) | 0.0937\(^{23}\) |

For convenience, we use the notation \( *^{10} \) in Table 2 to present the divergence/distance value computed from equation 1.
\[
G_{CG-RG}(z) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{540(z-z^2)^{1/2}(1-2z)}{8(z-z^2)^{3/2} + 3} & \text{for } z < 1/2 \\
4 & \text{for } z > 1/2
\end{cases}
\]

And we have

\[
\beta = \sup_{z \in [0,1]} G_{CG-RG}(z) = G_{CG-RG}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{9}{5}
\]

(71)

Applying Lemma 2 for the difference of fuzzy means \(D_{CG}(A, B)\) and \(D_{RG}(A, B)\) and using (71), we get

\[
D_{CG} \leq \frac{9}{5} D_{RG}.
\]

**Proposition 13:** We have \(D_{RG} \leq 5D_{AN}\)

**Proof:** Let us consider the function

\[
G_{RG-AN}(z) = \frac{f'_{RG}(z)}{f'_{AN}(z)} = \frac{8(z-z^2)^{3/2} + 3}{f'_{AN}(z)}
\]

This gives

\[
G_{RG-AN}(z) = 8(z-z^2)^{3/2} + 3 \begin{cases} 
> 0 & \text{for } z < 1/2 \\
< 0 & \text{for } z > 1/2
\end{cases}
\]

And we have

\[
\beta = \sup_{z \in [0,1]} G_{RG-AN}(z) = G_{RG-AN}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = 5
\]

(72)

Applying Lemma 2 for the difference of fuzzy means \(D_{RG}(A, B)\) and \(D_{AN}(A, B)\) and using (72), we get

\[
D_{RG} \leq 5D_{AN}.
\]

**Application of fuzzy mean difference divergence measures to pattern recognition**

We now present the application of the proposed fuzzy mean difference divergence measures in the context of pattern recognition. Next, an example related to pattern recognition is given to demonstrate the results obtained by the fuzzy mean difference divergence measures (6) - (23).

In order to demonstrate the application of the introduced fuzzy mean difference divergence measures to pattern recognition, suppose that we are given three known patterns \(P_1, P_2\) and \(P_3\) which have classifications \(C_1, C_2\) and \(C_3\) respectively. The patterns are represented by the following fuzzy sets in the universe of discourse \(X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}:

\[
P_1 = \{\langle x_1, 0.5 \rangle, \langle x_2, 0.6 \rangle, \langle x_3, 0.2 \rangle, \langle x_4, 0.3 \rangle\}
\]

\[
P_2 = \{\langle x_1, 0.8 \rangle, \langle x_2, 0.7 \rangle, \langle x_3, 0.3 \rangle, \langle x_4, 0.4 \rangle\}
\]

\[
P_3 = \{\langle x_1, 0.7 \rangle, \langle x_2, 0.5 \rangle, \langle x_3, 0.1 \rangle, \langle x_4, 0.7 \rangle\}
\]

Given an unknown pattern \(Q\), represented by the fuzzy set
Table 3  Divergence/distance values calculated by Eqs. (6) - (23)

| L.       | M.L.L. | V.L. | V.V.L. | L.       | M.L.L. | V.L. | V.V.L. | L.       | M.L.L. | V.L. | V.V.L. | L.       | M.L.L. | V.L. | V.V.L. |
|----------|--------|------|--------|----------|--------|------|--------|----------|--------|------|--------|----------|--------|------|--------|
| 0.0000(6)| 0.0884(6) | 0.1304(6) | 0.4250(6) | 0.0884(6) | 0.1304(6) | 0.4250(6) | 0.0000(6) | 0.1114(6) | 0.4250(6) | 0.0884(6) | 0.1304(6) | 0.4250(6) | 0.0000(6) | 0.1114(6) | 0.4250(6) | 0.0884(6) | 0.1304(6) | 0.4250(6) |
| 0.0000(7)| 0.2249(7) | 0.2945(7) | 0.9380(7) | 0.2249(7) | 0.0000(7) | 1.0043(7) | 0.8566(6) | 0.1304(6) | 0.4523(6) | 0.0000(7) | 1.0043(7) | 0.8566(6) | 0.1304(6) | 0.4523(6) | 0.0000(7) | 1.0043(7) | 0.8566(6) | 0.1304(6) | 0.4523(6) |
| 0.0000(8)| 0.1124(9) | 0.1669(9) | 0.5183(9) | 0.1124(9) | 0.0000(9) | 0.5617(9) | 0.6388(9) | 0.1669(9) | 0.5183(9) | 0.0000(9) | 0.5617(9) | 0.6388(9) | 0.1669(9) | 0.5183(9) | 0.0000(9) | 0.5617(9) | 0.6388(9) | 0.1669(9) | 0.5183(9) |
| 0.0000(9)| 0.0000(9) | 0.2249(7) | 0.2945(7) | 0.0000(7) | 1.0043(7) | 0.8566(6) | 0.1304(6) | 0.4523(6) | 0.0000(7) | 1.0043(7) | 0.8566(6) | 0.1304(6) | 0.4523(6) | 0.0000(7) | 1.0043(7) | 0.8566(6) | 0.1304(6) | 0.4523(6) |
| 0.0000(10)| 0.0000(9) | 0.2249(7) | 0.2945(7) | 0.0000(7) | 1.0043(7) | 0.8566(6) | 0.1304(6) | 0.4523(6) | 0.0000(7) | 1.0043(7) | 0.8566(6) | 0.1304(6) | 0.4523(6) | 0.0000(7) | 1.0043(7) | 0.8566(6) | 0.1304(6) | 0.4523(6) |
| 0.0000(11)| 0.0000(9) | 0.2249(7) | 0.2945(7) | 0.0000(7) | 1.0043(7) | 0.8566(6) | 0.1304(6) | 0.4523(6) | 0.0000(7) | 1.0043(7) | 0.8566(6) | 0.1304(6) | 0.4523(6) | 0.0000(7) | 1.0043(7) | 0.8566(6) | 0.1304(6) | 0.4523(6) |
| 0.0000(12)| 0.0000(9) | 0.2249(7) | 0.2945(7) | 0.0000(7) | 1.0043(7) | 0.8566(6) | 0.1304(6) | 0.4523(6) | 0.0000(7) | 1.0043(7) | 0.8566(6) | 0.1304(6) | 0.4523(6) | 0.0000(7) | 1.0043(7) | 0.8566(6) | 0.1304(6) | 0.4523(6) |
| 0.0000(13)| 0.0000(9) | 0.2249(7) | 0.2945(7) | 0.0000(7) | 1.0043(7) | 0.8566(6) | 0.1304(6) | 0.4523(6) | 0.0000(7) | 1.0043(7) | 0.8566(6) | 0.1304(6) | 0.4523(6) | 0.0000(7) | 1.0043(7) | 0.8566(6) | 0.1304(6) | 0.4523(6) |
Q = \{ (x_1, 0.5), (x_2, 0.3), (x_3, 0.4), (x_4, 0.9) \}.

Our aim is to classify Q to one of the classes \( C_1, C_2 \) and \( C_3 \). According to the principle of minimum divergence/discrimination information between fuzzy sets, the process of assigning Q to \( C_k \) is described by

\[ k^* = \arg \min_k \{ D_{AB}(P_k, Q) \}. \]

Table 2 presents \( D_{AB}(P_k, Q) \), \( k \in \{1, 2, 3\} \). It is observed that Q has been classified to \( C_3 \) correctly.

**Numerical example**

We now establish that the proposed fuzzy mean difference divergence measures (6) - (23) are reliable in applications with compound linguistic variables.

**Example:** Let \( F = \{ (x, \mu_F(x))| x \in X \} \) be a fuzzy set in X. Tomar and Ohlan (2014) defined for any positive real number \( n \), from the operation of power of a fuzzy set: \( F^n = \{ (x, [\mu_F(x)]^n) | x \in X \} \).

Using the above operation, the concentration and dilation of a fuzzy set \( F \) are as follows:

- Concentration: \( \text{CON}(F) = F^2 \)
- Dilation: \( \text{DIL}(F) = F^{1/2} \)

\( \text{CON}(F) \) and \( \text{DIL}(F) \) are treated as “very (F)” and “more or less (F)”, respectively.

We consider \( F \) in \( X = \{ x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 \} \) defined as:

\[ F = \{ (0.3, x_1), (0.6, x_2), (0.9, x_3), (0.5, x_4), (0.1, x_5) \}. \]

By taking into account the characterization of linguistic variables, we regard \( F \) as “LARGE” in X. Using the operations of concentration and dilation

- \( F^{1/2} \) may be treated as “More or less LARGE”
- \( F^2 \) may be treated as “Very LARGE”
- \( F^4 \) may be treated as “Very very LARGE”

The proposed fuzzy mean difference divergence measures are used to calculate the degree of divergence/distance between these fuzzy sets. The divergence/distance values have been calculated by Eqs. (6) - (23) between different fuzzy sets. The comparative results are summarized in Table 3. For convenience, we use the notation *\( i \) in Table 3 to present the divergence/distance value computed from equation *\( i \). The following abbreviated notions are used in Table 3.

- L.: LARGE
- M.L.L.: More or less LARGE
- V.L.: Very LARGE
- V.V.L.: Very very LARGE

From the viewpoint of mathematical operations and the characterization of linguistic variables, the divergence/distance between the above fuzzy sets has the following requirements:
\[ D(L, M.L.L.,) < D(L, V.L,.) < D(L, V.V.L,.) \]  
\[ D(M.L.L., L) < D(M.L.L., V.L,.) < D(M.L.L., V.V.L,.) \]  
\[ D(V.L, V.V.L,.) < D(V.L, L) < D(V.L, M.L.L.,) \]  
\[ D(V.V.L., V.L,.) < D(V.V.L., L) < D(V.V.L., M.L.L.,) \]  

From the numerical results presented in Table 3, we see that the proposed fuzzy mean difference divergence measures (6) - (23) satisfy the requirement (73) - (76). Therefore, the proposed fuzzy mean difference divergence measures are consistent in the application with compound linguistic measures.

**Conclusion**

To sum up, we present a sequence of fuzzy mean difference divergence measures. We also establish a sequence of inequalities among some of the proposed fuzzy mean difference divergence measures. An application of the proposed divergence measures in the field of pattern recognition is established. A numerical example is used to present the consistency of these divergence measures in application with compound linguistic variables. Numerical results show that the fuzzy mean difference divergence measures are much simpler with the difference of the means involved.
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