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Abstract

The ZT corpus (Basque Corpus of Science and Technology) is a tagged collection of specialized texts in Basque, which wants to be a main resource in research and development about written technical Basque: terminology, syntax and style. It will be the first written corpus in Basque which will be distributed by ELDA (at the end of 2006) and it wants to be a methodological and functional reference for new projects in the future (i.e. a national corpus for Basque). We also present the technology and the tools to build this Corpus. These tools, Corpusgile and Eulia, provide a flexible and extensible infrastructure for creating, visualizing and managing corpora and for consulting, visualizing and modifying annotations generated by linguistic tools.

1. Introduction

In the last years, corpora have become an essential tool in any domain of linguistics. Strictly speaking, any collection of texts can be called a corpus, but normally other conditions are required for a bunch of texts to be considered a corpus: it must be a ‘big’ collection of ‘real’ language samples, collected following some ‘criteria’ and ‘linguistically’ tagged (Bach et al. 1997:4).

Although Basque language has not a very long tradition regarding Science and Technology (it must be taken into account that its standardization and normalization only began in 1968, that it was not taught at schools until the 70s and used in Universities till the 80s), nowadays there are quite a lot of texts in Basque on Science and Technology, some dating back to 30 years ago. Even so, it is one of the areas with least ‘de jure’ normalization, and therefore the need of a Basque Science and Technology Corpus.

Corpora in Basque have so far been ‘general’. There are no sources to study the Science and Technology branch of language. That is why we started the project of a ‘specialized’ (Sinclair 1996: 10) corpus, called Zientzia eta Teknologiaren Corpusa (henceforth ZT Corpus). It is a tagged collection of specialized texts in Basque, which wants to be a main resource in research and development about written technical Basque terminology, syntax and style. It will be the first written corpus in Basque which will be distributed by ELDA (at the end of 2006) and it wants to be a methodological and functional reference for new projects in the future (i.e. a national corpus for Basque).

The process of building the ZT Corpus has been done following a certain methodology. The guidelines followed involved the four steps of building the corpus: corpus design, raw corpus collecting, corpus tagging and corpus analysis and browsing. To help the process of building the corpus, some tools have been developed, which can be reused in the future to build new corpora.

2. Design of the Corpus

2.1. Features of the Corpus

The corpus intends to cover the texts about Science and Technology written in Basque in the years 1990 to 2002 inclusive.

The corpus is divided in two main parts:
- a balanced corpus, tagged automatically and revised by hand
- an unbalanced corpus, as big as possible, tagged automatically

The aim is to collect 5 million words in the balanced section (currently more than 1.5 million words have been tagged) and more than 20 million words in the open section (at the moment more than 8 million words have been stored).

In order to balance the corpus, an inventory of all the articles and books about science and technology written in Basque between 1990 and 2002 was compiled as a previous step. The references were classified by topic and genre, and these factors were considered in the random selection of the samples (stratified sampling).

The topics we chose were exact sciences, matter and energy sciences, earth sciences, life sciences, technology, general and others. As to the genres, we chose schoolbooks and textbooks, high-level books (specialists’ texts and University textbooks), popular science books, specialized articles, popular science articles and civil service books.

The total number of words in the inventoried texts is estimated in more than 85 millions words. In order to make a 5 million word corpus, we had to take a sample of the inventoried texts, in a 5/85 proportion (almost 6%). As the sampling was stratified, this proportion was to be taken in each of the topic/genre combinations.

The sampling of 6% can be done taking 6% of each and every item (book or article), which would be most representative but very costly (obtaining the books or articles has indeed proved to be the most difficult part of building the corpus!), or taking only a 6% of the items and...
them in full extent, which would be easier but not as representative as we would wish. Besides, this last solution could pose some problems regarding copyrights. So we took neither of these two ends but a solution halfway of both: we took \( \sqrt{5/85} \) of the items at random, and \( \sqrt{5/85} \) of the words from each of them.

The sample that is taken from each of the items is not continuous. In order to get as much linguistic variety as possible, we were interested in taking different bits of the documents. So the sample to be taken is divided in 300 word chunks, spread out equally at random through the document.

The general scheme of the annotation process is shown in Fig. 1.

---

**Fig 1.- General scheme**

---

2.2. Raw Corpus

For obtaining the raw corpus, we got in contact with Basque publishers. We told them about the corpus and signed an agreement with each of them. So the publishers sent us the texts selected for the balanced part and, if they wanted to, the ones that did not get chosen too, preferably in electronic format. The texts for the balanced part of the corpus that could not be obtained in electronic format were scanned, OCR'd and reviewed. For the unbalanced part, only texts in electronic format were accepted.

For the annotation of the ZT Corpus, we chose TEI P4 (Ide et al., 2004) (TEI, 2005). To convert the documents from their original formats to TEI, we developed a HTML-TEI converter and a Doc-TEI converter. Conversion from other formats (Quark, PDF...) is done via external programs that convert from these to HTML first.

When we say balanced corpus and unbalanced corpus, we are not talking about two different corpora. There is only one collection of documents, and the paragraphs that are sampled for the balanced part are marked with an 'orekatua' (for balanced) attribute.

2.3. Structural Annotation

The structural annotation is done in two steps: a first automatic one, which is done to all documents during the conversion, and a second manual deeper one, which is done only to the documents in the balanced part.

The automatic structural mark-up includes information about the document, information about text structure and typography. The information about the document is put under the `<teiHeader>` section. Text structure (titles, sections, subsections, paragraphs, lists, tables, footnotes...) is marked using the following tags: `<body>`, `<div>`, `<head>`, `<p>`, `<table>`, `<row>`, `<cell>`, `<list>`, `<item>` and `<note>`. Typography is marked using the tag `<hi>` combined with the attribute 'rend'.

In the balanced part deeper structural information is annotated. The typographical information is converted manually to more detailed tags: `<foreign>`, `<emph>`, `<distinct>`, `<q>`, `<soCalle>`, `<term>`, `<gloss>`, `<mentioned>`, `<name>`, `<head>` and `<note>`. The `lang` attribute is used for chunks in other languages.

Additionally, to ease the subsequent linguistic annotation process, NLP tools are used to detect chunks in other languages.
tags. Statistics of these manual revisions are kept and afterwards used to improve the aforementioned NLP tools.

2.4. Linguistic Annotation

The linguistic annotation is based on TEI-P4 conformant typed features which are managed using EULIA (Artola et al., 2004), a web interface for creating, browsing and editing these structures. The annotation scheme is stand-off, so the information for each document will be divided in several files and it can be seen as a composition of XML documents (annotation web).

The steps which are carried out are the following (Fig. 2):

- a tokenizer that identifies tokens and sentences
- a morphological segmentizer which splits up a word into its constituents morphemes
- a morphosyntactic analyzer whose goal is to group the morphosyntactic information associated to a word.
- the treatment of multiword lexical units (MWLU) as dates, numbers, named entities, ...
- disambiguation and lemmatization: Based on the previous steps a combined tagger obtains an unique analysis for each lexical unit; so, lemma, part of speech and other morphosyntactic features are assigned.

This automatic process includes some errors. In the balanced corpus the results corresponding to lemma and part of speech are examined by linguists using EULIA.

Additional information about syntactic functions and semantic role will be included in a small part of the corpus. This information is obtained using NLP tools and revised and corrected in the balanced part.

The use of a stand-off linguistic annotation is very interesting because:

- partial results and ambiguities can be easily represented
- information can be organized at different levels
- the representation of MWLUs is clear
- the level of disambiguation (automatic/manual) can be expressed
- there are not different mechanisms to indicate the same type of information

In this architecture three elements are distinguished in different documents:

- text anchors: text elements found in the input
- linguistic information: feature structures obtained from the analyses
- links between anchors and their corresponding analyses

In Fig. 3 we can see in a graphical mode the links between documents.
3. The Tools

An application named Corpusgile has been developed for this corpus and for developing new corpora in the future. Some previous NLP tools for Basque have been reused. There are 4 main modules in the application:

- The corpus builder
- The structural tagger
- The linguistic tagger
- The browser

The first 3 modules are being currently used and the browser is in design phase, with the aim of being finished in April. So by the time the LREC Conference will take place the browser will be finished and available online.

3.1. The Corpus Builder

It is based on a relational database and it includes all the main functions: inventorying, classification, stratified sampling of documents (random selection of documents for the balanced part), storage, format-conversion, sampling inside documents and search, all of them with a user-friendly interface.

In Fig. 4 we can see the main interface for the Corpus Builder.

3.2. The Structural Tagger

The following steps are controlled and carried out by this tool:

- tagging and parsing the TEI-XML format at structural level
- adding specialized or technical words to the corpus-specific lexicon in order to improve the future linguistic tagging; to achieve this, an NLP tool called EusTagger, a lemmatizing/disambiguating tool, based on the former Euslem (Aduriz et al., 1996) is used to detect non-correct words, which are then ordered by frequency of the lemmas proposed by Eustagger and presented to the user for acceptance and assignment of lemma and POS
- NLP process for recognition of misspellings, non-standard uses and presence of chunks in other languages, marked via <corr>, <reg> and <foreign> tags
- manual revision of <corr>, <reg> and <foreign> tags in the balanced part
- interface for scanning typographical changes, highlighting and quotation (mainly <hi> tags) and assigning them a sense (<emph>, <distinct>, <q>, <soCalled>, <term>, <gloss>, <mentioned>, <name>...) when appropriate
- interface for correcting, improving and disambiguating the structural tagging of the balanced part
- verification of XML structures

In Fig. 5 we can see the interface when a non-standard use is tagged linked to the standard one.

3.3. The Linguistic Tagger

It is carried out using EULIA (Artola et al., 2005) a framework for creating, browsing and editing linguistic annotations. It is based on a class library named LibiXaML and the huge amount of generated information is stored in an XML database.

It is an extensible, user-oriented and component-based software-architecture. At the moment several NLP processors for Basque are integrated: tokenization, morphological segmentation, multiword recognition, lemmatization/disambiguation, shallow syntax and dependency-based analysis.

Fig 4.- Main interface for the Corpus Builder
After the automatic processing which generate the XML documents a module for manual linguistic annotation can be used. This module integrates the results of the automatic processes and gives to the linguistics a friendly interface for the annotation, hiding the complexity of the multiple files are being managed. The main interface is shown in Fig. 6.

As it can be observed there are two main windows: the text window on left and the analysis window on right.

In the text window the linguist can click a token and a set of actions are offered to be performed.

The main action is to show in the analysis window the different possible analyses in order to disambiguate them. Anyway different icons and display methods are used to indicate different features: hand-made disambiguation, multiple analyses and so on. In the analysis window details about the analyses are shown using style-sheets which hide the different files and tags.
In Fig. 7 we can see the top of this window for an example. Information for whole word *euliak* (flies) and for the two morphemes, *euli* (fly) and *ak* (nominative plural) are given.

4. Conclusions

Just as any other language, Basque needs corpora. Linguists, terminology specialists, language technology researchers, people that work in language standardization and normalization... Many people need corpora, nowadays an essential tool for the analysis of language. The Basque ZT corpus wants to be a useful and powerful tool for researching on specialized texts in Basque.

But Basque being a small language in terms of speakers and, therefore, resources dedicated to it, we not only need corpora. We also need the technology to build them easily; we need tools that will assist in the process of creating and managing corpora and that will reduce the usually expensive costs of building them. We have made such a tool, Corpusgile. This tool provides a flexible and extensible infrastructure for creating, visualizing and managing corpora and for consulting, visualizing and modifying annotations generated by linguistic tools. The interface has been designed to be informative, easy-to-use and intuitive. And due to its being based on the TEI standards, XML and stand-off annotation; it can be adapted by other builders of corpora using other tag sets, tools and languages.

Besides, in the making of Corpusgile we have defined and applied a methodology for building corpora more easily in the future.

These three things, a resource (the ZT Corpus), a methodology and a tool (Corpusgile) are the contributions we have done to this field we are so in need of, the field of corpora. And we are convinced that in the future they will prove to be very valuable contributions indeed.
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