Innovative drug nanocarriers by incorporating thermoresponsive polymer in phospholipid bilayers
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Abstract

A liposome with stimuli-responsive properties, would enable us to address several systemic and intracellular delivery barriers. The aim of this study was to combine the thermoresponsive polymer C12 H25-poly(N-isopropylacrylamide)-COOH (C12 H25-PNIPAM-COOH) with the phospholipid L-α-phosphatidylcholine hydrogenated (Soy) (HSPC) into chimeric/mixed vesicles and to investigate the resultant structures by light scattering techniques and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC). Liposome thermo-responsiveness occurs due to the PNIPAM segment exhibiting a lower critical solution temperature (LCST) at approximately 32°C, in aqueous solutions, which alters its hydrophilic-to-hydrophobic balance. After the physicochemical study, it was deduced that the biomaterials’ molar ratio was determinant for colloidal stabilization of the lyotropic nanosystems. Also, thermodynamic findings are in line with the physicochemical results, since the presence of polymer resulted in different thermodynamic content, which corresponds to a different thermotropic behavior, compared with pure lipid bilayers. With greater understanding of these differences, a wide range of innovative liposomal nanocarriers could be developed in the future, for drug and gene delivery

Introduction

Liposomes are nanovesicles, composed of at least one lipid bilayer. Their building blocks are amphiphilic lipids, usually phospholipids, which have been characterized as liquid crystals, an intermediate phase that exhibits properties between those of solid crystals and isotropic liquids. Phospholipids become hydrated in aqueous forms and create lamellar phases. The Lα mesophase refers to liquid crystal phase, while Lβ is a gel or solid crystal phase. The transition Lβ → Lα occurs when temperature is ascending, so the aligning forces become weaker and lipids accelerate [1-3].

Thermoresponsive polymers are macromolecules that exhibit an excessive and reversible change in their physicochemical properties, when temperature is altered [4]. The key characteristic of thermoresponsive polymers is their ability to change their hydrophilicity around certain temperature values. They exhibit a two-phase region, in which the polymer is found in both hydrophilic and hydrophobic form. Depending on that region is found at high or low temperatures, an upper or lower critical solution temperature (UCST or LCST) exists, respectively. Research mainly focuses on polymers that exhibit LCST in aqueous solution, for medical reasons [5,6].

Development of chimeric/mixed liposomes, which arise from the combination of different in nature biomaterials, such as phospholipids and polymers, gives the opportunity to generate advanced drug delivery nanosystems (aDDnSs) [7]. These complex self-assembled nanostructures act as facilitators for the creation of innovative therapeutic nanosystems and respond to the requirements of recent trends in nanomedicine, as indicated by systems pharmacology [8-13].

The aim of this study is to design and develop thermoresponsive chimeric liposomes, based on a phospholipid and a thermoresponsive homopolymer and to investigate their thermotropic and physicochemical characteristics. Such systems can use temperature fluctuations as a trigger to rearrange and release the drug molecule, an ability that makes them more efficient in site-specific drug delivery than conventional liposomes.

Materials and methods

Materials

The phospholipid used for liposomal formulations was L-α-phosphatidylcholine, hydrogenated (Soy) (HSPC) (Figure 1a). It was purchased from Avanti Polar Lipids Inc., (Albaster, AL, USA) and used without further purification. Chloroform and all other reagents used were of analytical grade and purchased from Sigma–Aldrich Chemical Co.,H25-PNIPAM-COOH was synthesized by RAFT polymerization using 2-(dodecylthiocarbonothioylthio)-2-methylpropionic acid as the RAFT agent, and AIBN as the radical initiator in 1,4-dioxane. The molecular weight of the synthesized homopolymer was 20,000 and had narrow molecular weight distribution (Figure 1b). The structures of the components of bio-inspired nanostructures are presented in Figure 1.

Preparation of liposomes

Conventional and chimeric liposomal drug nanocarriers were prepared by the thin-film hydration method. Briefly, appropriate amounts of HSPC and HSPC:C12 H25-PNIPAM-COOH (9:0.0, 9:0.02, 9:0.05, 9:0.1, 9:0.2 and 9:0.5 molar ratios) mixtures were dissolved in
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chloroform and then transferred into a round flask connected to a rotary evaporator. Vacuum was applied and the mixed phospholipid/polymer thin film was formed by slow removal of the solvent at 50°C. The film was maintained under vacuum, for at least 24 h, in a desiccator, to remove traces of solvent and subsequently, it was hydrated in phosphate buffer saline (PBS) (pH=7.4). Lipid films were hydrated in HPLC-grade water, by slowly stirring for 1 h, in a water bath, above the phase transition of lipids (53°C). The resultant multilamellar vesicles (MLVs) were subjected to three 10 min sonication cycles (amplitude 70, cycle 0.5), interrupted by a 10 min resting period, in water bath, using a probe sonicator (UP 200S, Dr. Hielsher Gmbh, Berlin, Germany). The resultant vesicles were allowed to anneal for 30 min.

**Mean size, size distribution and zeta potential**

The effect of PNIPAM on the liposomal lipid bilayers was evaluated by measuring the size (hydrodynamic diameter, $D_h$), polydispersity index (PDI) and zeta potential ($\zeta$-pot) of liposomes. The $D_h$, PDI and $\zeta$-pot of the particles were investigated by dynamic and electrophoretic light scattering (DLS and ELS) and used for the characterization of the liposomal dispersions immediately after preparation (t=0 days), as well as for the monitoring of their physical stability over time (t=31 days, at 4°C, in liquid form). For each measurement, 100 μl aliquots were 30-fold diluted in HPLC-grade water. Measurements were performed at a detection angle of 90° and at 25°C, in a photon correlation spectrometer (Zetasizer 3000 HSA, Malvern, UK) and analyzed by CONTIN method (MALVERN software).

**Preparation of pure and chimeric lipid bilayers**

Pure lipid and chimeric bilayers were prepared by mixing the appropriate amounts of HSPC and $C_{12}H_{25}$-PNIPAM in chloroform/methanol (9:1 v/v) solutions and the subsequent evaporation of the solvents under vacuum and heat. Briefly, stock solutions were prepared by dissolving the homopolymer in chloroform/methanol (9:1 v/v). Appropriate amounts of these solutions were mixed with 30.0 mg of HSPC, in order to obtain the desirable molar ratios (9:0.02, 9:0.05, 9:0.1, 9:0.2 and 9:0.5) and the solutions were transferred into vials. Then, the vials were placed into a vacuum machine (TechnoDri-Block DB-3 Thermostat Teche Sample Concentrator). Chimeric phospholipid/homopolymer films were formed by removing the solvent at 50°C. The films were maintained under vacuum for 2 h and then, in a desiccator, for at least 24 h, in order to remove traces of solvent. The obtained laminated bilayers were hydrated into the appropriate aqueous medium and then studied by DSC.

**Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC)**

DSC experiments were performed on an 822eMettler-Toledo (Schwerzenbach, Switzerland) calorimeter calibrated with pure indium ($T_m=156.6^\circ $C). Sealed aluminum 40 μl crucibles were used as sample holders. The samples investigated were HSPC and HSPC-$C_{12}H_{25}$-PNIPAM (9:0.02, 9:0.05, 9:0.1, 9:0.2 and 9:0.5 molar ratios) lipid bilayers with a 10 mg/ml concentration (with reference to the whole dispersion) for the overall lipid content. An empty aluminum crucible was used as reference. Prior to measurements the crucibles were subjected to a temperature over the transition of HSPC (53.6°C) to ensure equilibration. All samples were scanned repeatedly until identical thermograms were obtained. Two cooling-heating cycles were performed; 10 to 60°C at 2°C/min scanning rate. The second heating run was taken into account. Enthalpy changes and characteristic transition temperatures were calculated with Mettler-Toledo STAR software.

**Statistical analysis**

Results are shown as mean value ± standard deviation (S.D.) of three independent measurements. Statistical analysis was performed using Student’s t-test and multiple comparisons were done using one-way ANOVA. P-values<0.05 were considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed using "EXCELL".

**Results and discussion**

**Physicochemical characterization of HSPC-$C_{12}H_{25}$-PNIPAM-COOH liposomes**

Physicochemical characteristics of conventional HSPC liposomes and of thermoresponsive polymer-grafted HSPC-$C_{12}H_{25}$-PNIPAM-COOH liposomes in different molar ratios (9:0.0, 9:0.02, 9:0.05, 9:0.1, 9:0.2 and 9:0.5 molar ratios) are presented in Table 1. It should be noted that PBS was chosen as the dispersion medium because the pH (∼7.4) and the ionic strength of PBS resemble the conditions met within the human body.

The size of HSPC liposomes in PBS was around 100 nm, which is in agreement with previous publications. Their $\zeta$-potential was close to zero, because of the absence of net charge on the nanocarrier surface [14].

Conventional HSPC liposomes (reference system) exhibit the smaller size and polydispersity than the other prepared systems. The $C_{12}H_{25}$-PNIPAM-COOH has a small hydrophobic alkyl chain, which is grafted into the liposomal. After the incorporation of the polymer at the lowest ratio, a great increase of $D_h$ was observed compared to the conventional HSPC liposomes.

| System                      | Molar ratio | $D_h$ (nm) | SD2 | PDI | $\zeta$-pot (mV) | SD |
|-----------------------------|-------------|------------|-----|-----|-----------------|----|
| HSPC                        |             | 100.7      | 1.4 | 0.466| 0.006          | 2.2 | 0.4 |
| HSPC::$C_{12}H_{25}$-PNIPAM-COOH | 9:0.02     | 378.9      | 25.1| 0.000| 0.000          | -6.0| 1.4 |
| HSPC::$C_{12}H_{25}$-PNIPAM-COOH | 9:0.05     | 418.7      | 25.2| 0.000| 0.000          | -6.5| 1.0 |
| HSPC::$C_{12}H_{25}$-PNIPAM-COOH | 9:0.1      | 198.6      | 7.1 | 0.064| 0.003          | -8.7| 0.2 |
| HSPC::$C_{12}H_{25}$-PNIPAM-COOH | 9:0.2      | 221.4      | 3.0 | 0.068| 0.033          | -9.8| 0.8 |
| HSPC::$C_{12}H_{25}$-PNIPAM-COOH | 9:0.5      | 242.1      | 37.5| 0.781| 0.191          | -5.8| 2.1 |

$^1$Hydrodynamic Diameter; 2Standard Deviation, 3Polydispersity Index; 4$\zeta$-potential
with pure HSPC liposomes. For molar ratios 9:0.02 and 9:0.05, D_h was quadrupled and PDI had the maximum value (PDI=1), indicating the heterogeneity of nanoassemblies. By increasing the amount of C_{12}H_{25}-PNIPAM-COOH, better cooperativity between biomaterials was noticed. Specifically, in chimeric system 9:0.1, D_h was 198.6 nm, which is the smallest size in chimeric liposomes, while higher molar ratios led to larger sizes, proportionally to PNIPAM’s concentration. The last three colloidal systems (9:0.1, 9:0.2, 9:0.5 molar ratios) were of low size polydispersity, so their PDI followed the same trend with their D_h (initial increase, but following acute decrease), as the percentage of C_{12}H_{25}-PNIPAM-COOH was increased.

Liposomal size is strongly dependent on polymer concentration, since HSPC phospholipids are lyotropic liquid crystals and modify their formation when temperature and/or concentration change. Even a small amount of C_{12}H_{25}-PNIPAM-COOH can cause membrane fluidity and cooperativity. The neat HSPC bilayers exhibited two phase transitions, as the temperature was rising. The first was a wide pretransition, with peak at 48.9°C. The second one was a major sharp endothermic phase transition, from gel (L β') to rippled phase (P β'), with peak at 53.6°C. These thermotropic results are in line with past studies [19]. The pretransition peak, from gel (L_s) to ripple phase (P_s), centered at 48.9°C. The second one was a major sharp endothermic phase transition, from rippled (P_s) to liquid crystalline phase (L_c), with peak at 53.6°C. These thermotropic results are in line with past studies [19].

The last three colloidal systems (9:0.02 and 9:0.05 chimeric liposomes) were of low size polydispersity, so their PDI followed the same trend with their size. As a consequence, it is concluded that 9:0.02 and 9:0.05 chimeric systems need longer relaxation time, in order to achieve better cooperativity among their biomaterials, while higher molar ratios develop adequate cooperativity during annealing time (30 min), right after sonication [16]. The lipid chains of HSPC are saturated and the C_{12}H_{25}– is a small hydrophobic segment. These two conditions may explain the classification of chimeric liposomes in two categories, since the increase in the number of the incorporated chains within the liposome bilayers may result in spatial constrains and gradual morphological changes.

Thermotropic behavior investigation of HSPC:C_{12}H_{25}-PNIPAM-COOH chimeric bilayers

The DSC heating and cooling profiles of the prepared pure HSPC bilayers, as well as of the C_{12}H_{25}-PNIPAM-COOH-grafted HSPC bilayers in PBS are presented in Figure 4 and 5 respectively. The values of the corresponding thermodynamic parameters for the system phase transitions are shown in Tables 2 and 3. The effect of the presence and amount of the homopolymer upon the lipid membrane’s thermotropic/phase behavior is discussed, in terms of self-assembled organization, fluidity and cooperativity.

Thermotropic behavior investigation of HSPC:C_{12}H_{25}-PNIPAM-COOH chimeric bilayers

The physical stability over time of all conventional and chimeric nanosystems was assessed by measuring the size and size distribution overall one-month period. As shown in Figures 2 and 3, all liposomal formulations in PBS were found to roughly retain their original size, but most of them reached high PDI values at the end of the assessment (data not shown).

Figure 2. Stability studies in conventional and chimeric nanoassemblies, concerning their size (Z_ave).
affects significantly the polar head group behavior.

As far as DSC results are concerned, we have likewise noticed two groups. Systems with lower molar ratios, 9:0.02, 9:0.05 and 9:0.1, kept absorbing energy above 32°C, which is the polymer’s lower critical solution temperature (LCST). The polymer chains turn hydrophobic, over 32°C, due to their thermo-responsiveness, perturbate the lipid membrane and set the thermotropic parameters unable to be measured. This happens because of the hydrophobic tail C\textsubscript{12}H\textsubscript{25}–, which penetrates into the bilayer and causes membrane disruption and phase separation. As a result, they exhibit properties similar to those of ordinary (isotropic) liquids [14,20]. However, a transition peak around 54°C was observed for each thermogram, which is the main transition temperature for HSPC lipids, something which is expected, as long as there is still conformation among phospholipids.

Molar ratios 9:0.2 and 9:0.5 belong to the second group. The thermoresponsive polymer altered the systems’ thermotropic behavior and modified their main transition, compared to the one of pure HSPC lipid bilayers. More specifically, the specific enthalpy (ΔH\textsubscript{m}) was substantially decreased, while the onset (T\textsubscript{onset,m}) and peak (T\textsubscript{m}) temperatures of the main transition remained almost unaffected, undergoing a downshift of less than 1°C. Finally, the width at half peak height (ΔT\textsubscript{1/2}) slightly increased. These alterations prove that polymer chains cooperate well with HSPC lipids and that they do not induce significant fluidization [21].

After analyzing all of the thermograms, we conclude that lower molar ratios may not present an adequate thermotropic behavior, because they need longer relaxation time so as to achieve better cooperativity between polymer chains and phospholipids, a fact that is confirmed by their physicochemical studies.

Finally, the slight hysteresis in the cooling diagrams of both
conventional and chimeric systems, around 3°C, possibly suggests the formation of a partial interdigitated phase [20].

Conclusion

Conventional liposomes can be modified to produce advanced drug delivery nanosystems (aDDnSs), after the incorporation of stimuli-sensitive polymers, such as the thermo-responsive homopolymer C_{12}H_{25}-PNIPAM-COOH. The polymer guest decreases the membrane tension and prevents liposomal fusion at the same time. The present study aims to highlight that the different ratios of the polymer guest affect the properties and stability of these lipotropic liposomal dispersions in different ways. Regarding HSPC:C_{12}H_{25}-PNIPAM-COOH mixed liposomes, physicochemical studies prove that the most stable systems are 9:0.1 and 9:0.2 molar ratios. These systems not only maintain their hydrodynamic diameter in the long run of one month, but they were also found to be homogeneous enough, due to steric/enthalpic stabilization. Thermodynamic findings have also indicated that better cooperativity was developed with higher concentrations of C_{12}H_{25}-PNIPAM-COOH, where the polymer slightly affected the thermotropic behavior of the lipid bilayer. These results may be taken as a trigger for developing innovative liposomal formulations, incorporating drugs improving their pharmacokinetic profile and consequently their effectiveness, taking into consideration the nanocarriers’ stimuli responsiveness.
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