Intraoperative Hypotension Increased Risk in the Oncological Patient
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Abstract

Patient safety advocacy involves avoiding, preventing, and amelioration of adverse outcomes or injuries caused by the process of healthcare rather than a patient’s underlying medical illness. Intraoperative hypotension (IOH), a common morbid event, reduces perfusion to critical organs and tissues and has a wide incidence, depending on how it is defined. IOH has adverse intraoperative and postoperative consequences, which make its prevention important to improve patient outcomes. Certain populations have even greater consequences related to IOH, and clinicians must understand these risks. In this narrative review, we examine the risk of intraoperative hypotension in the oncological patient population.
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1. Context

Since the physiological correlation of blood pressure to cerebral and spinal perfusion pressure is well established, it is imperative to appreciate the relationship of normal physiological process and pathophysiological states where meticulous blood pressure control is warranted to ensure adequate perfusion of vital organs and tissue. Intraoperative hypotension is linked to significant morbidity and mortality (1-3). IOH is an absolute decrease of systolic blood pressure to less than 100 mmHg and/or a relative decrease of 30% from baseline, though there are several definitions in the literature (4). Studies show a consistent relationship between the magnitude and duration of IOH and postoperative renal, cardiac, and cerebral systems dysfunction in non-cardiac surgery (4).

The anesthesia team and preoperative/recovery room nurses are asked to monitor and manage each patient’s physiology preoperatively (5-8). This management should maintain the patient’s physiological parameters within their normal range. Normal physiology of cerebral blood flow, including autoregulation, are directly affected by many factors, including PaCO2, mean arterial pressure, and oxygenation (Figure 1)(9).

Various monitors, including an arterial line, can help determine physiological factors that may contribute to alterations in hemodynamics. When necessary, the physiology can be intentionally altered to reduce bleeding or avoid potential injuries related to the patient’s conditions or surgical considerations (e.g., deliberate hypotension or deliberate hypothermia) (10).

With regard to blood pressure management, in normal tissue, the cerebral and spinal cord blood flow is “auto regulated” and blood flow is maintained constant. Mean arterial pressure below the “lower limit of autoregulation” results in below-normal blood flow, which can compromise tissue. Previously, the lower limit for normal patients with normal blood pressures was 60 mmHg. Still, a reassess-
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Figure 1. Normal physiological relations between cerebral blood flow and mean arterial pressure autoregulation, PaCO2, and oxygenation.

Figure 2. The effect of autoregulation on cerebral blood flow and the “shift to the right phenomenon related to hypertensive patients.

Figure 3. Relationship of cerebral blood flow, ischemia, and time effects.

Positioning also plays a significant role in blood pressure and perfusion to critical organs and tissue. For example, in the beach chair position with the blood pressure cuff on the arm, there is an actual different blood pressure, which would be lower than recorded, since the center of the head is significantly higher than the arm. Therefore, the pressures noted are different than the true pressures (roughly for every 1 centimeter away from the head, the blood pressure is reduced by 1.3 mm Hg). General anes-
the autoregulation of cerebral blood flow (Figure 4).
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**Figure 4.** Increasing doses of inhalational agent attenuate the autoregulation curve

In this regard, a study from Daniel et al. demonstrated that a short duration of intraoperative mean arterial pressure of less than 55 mmHg is associated with myocardial injury and acute kidney injury (14). When examining the relationship between IOH in non-cardiac surgery and postoperative cognitive dysfunction, Luciano et al. found no relationship (15). However, a separate study with a larger sample size concluded that increased blood pressure fluctuation was a predictive factor of postoperative delirium (16).

In the present investigation, a literature search was evaluated, looking at the role of intraoperative hypotension on outcomes in patients undergoing general anesthesia with cancer.

2. Evidence Acquisition

Narrative Review. We performed a comprehensive search for English-language studies related to intraoperative hypotension in the oncological patient. We searched the following databases: PubMed, Medline, SciHub, Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, and Google Scholar. We used the following combinations of keywords: intraoperative hypotension, post-induction hypotension (PIH), anesthetic fluid deficits, carcinoid crisis, general anesthesia, cancer, oncological surgery. We tried to include as many recent manuscripts as possible (within the last three years) but also included papers that were older than three years if they were particularly relevant to our topic. We also attempted to search for, use, and cite primary manuscripts whenever possible.

3. Discussion

The present investigation reviewed a significant body of literature related to IOH in patients undergoing general anesthesia with cancer. Generally, intraoperative hypotension can be classified chronologically into 3 phases (17). The first phase is post-induction hypotension (PIH), which occurs during the first 20 minutes after anesthesia induction, the second phase is the early intraoperative hypotension, which occurs within 30 minutes of surgery, and lastly, the third phase is the late intraoperative hypotension, which is related to surgical factors (e.g., hemorrhage and secondary systemic inflammatory response).

With this framework in mind, we will focus on the contributing effects of cancer pathophysiology in the three phases of IOH.

3.1. Post-Induction Hypotension

Post-induction hypotension major predictors of PIH include patient age > 50 (e.g., the majority cancer patients), American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) physical status classification of III - IV, and choice of induction agent (18).

3.1.1. Cancer Therapy-Related Cardiac Dysfunction

The treatment modalities of cancer include cytotoxic chemotherapy, targeted molecular therapies, and tumor irradiation. One of the side effects of these modalities is cancer therapy-related cardiac dysfunction (CTRCD), which has been shown to increase the risk of IOH (19).

CTRCD is attributed to myocyte damage either related to apoptosis or loss of myofibrils, which leads to left ventricle dysfunction and heart failure. The CTRCD may be manifested within one year of initiating the chemotherapy (early-onset) or after years of treatment completion (late-onset) (20). Therefore, the anesthesiologist should be vigilant in preoperative surveillance for this chronic cardiotoxicity of late-onset. The American Society of Echocardiography and the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging define CTRCD as “a decrease in left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) of more than 10% to below the lower limit of normal (LVEF = 53%) despite symptoms” (21). LVEF is the most commonly used investigative marker for preoperative cardiac assessment because of its ease of availability and relatively low cost. However, it should be noted that in the oncological population undergoing cancer therapy, myocardial deformation precedes LVEF changes. Therefore, a more sensitive modality is indicated to assess the cardiac function rather than a decrease in LVEF, which correlates with significant, late cardiac damage. The assessment of global longitudinal strain (GLS) by speckle tracking echocardiography is considered a good alternative where a decrease greater than 15% of the baseline GLS is considered a subclinical left ventricular dysfunction (22). Using GLS for contractility assessment is a
newer, promising independent predictor of cardiac toxicity, which may help the anesthesiologist tailor the anesthetic plan to avoid PIH.

3.1.2. Fluid Deficit

Fluid deficit has been defined as loss of water with or without related electrolytes, especially sodium (23). The oncology patients could have a greater risk of development of fluid deficit due to multiple mechanisms caused by physiological and metabolic effects of either cancer itself or its treatment modalities. The postulated mechanisms include anorexia, cognitive dysfunction, diarrhea, and vomiting as side effects of chemotherapy, purposeful restriction of fluids for edema, and unsupervised diuretics use (24). Moreover, adrenal insufficiency (due to adrenal tumor or metastasis) and cerebral salt wasting (due to carcinomatous meningitis) may contribute to the hyponatremic fluid deficit (25). Alternatively, a hypernatremic fluid deficit may result from renal tubular defect (with multiple myeloma) and diabetes insipidus (due to pituitary tumor) (26).

Furthermore, the physiological compensatory response to fluid deficit is restricted in the oncology population, particularly elderly patients. This restriction is modulated or mediated by decreased thirst sensation, limited renin and aldosterone reserve, and relative renal resistance to antidiuretic hormone (27). Additionally, the fasting period before an elective oncology surgery could contribute to the development of hypovolemia. All these postulated mechanisms could lead to symptoms ranging from orthostatic hypotension up to fluid deficit shock when the loss is greater than 20% of intravascular volume. One study demonstrated that Induction of anesthesia decreased the stroke volume to 62% of baseline (28). This study further supports the hypothesis that PIH is more prevalent in the oncology population. The authors concluded that fluid volume optimization could ameliorate this decrease in stroke volume and that fluid responsiveness is greater in case of dehydration. Therefore, preoperative careful clinical assessment and laboratory tests (especially recent serum sodium) are key to diagnosing fluid deficit in oncology population and guide the preoperative fluid volume optimization. This may prevent the PIH.

3.1.3. Choice of Induction Agents

The choice of the induction agents and their dosage is one of the main determining factors of risk of development of PIH. The above-mentioned study compared the commonly used induction agents (propofol, thiopental, etomidate, and fentanyl) risk of PIH (18). The authors concluded that propofol (for induction of anesthesia) and fentanyl (increasing the induction dosage) are significantly related to the PIH. Moreover, they recommended smaller doses of fentanyl and advised to consider alternatives to propofol for anesthesia induction (e.g. etomidate) in patients with pre-induction mean arterial pressure < 70 mmHg. Another separate study found that propofol (2.5 mg/kg) can cause significantly more hypotension than thiopental (5 mg/kg) and etomidate (3 mg/kg) (29). Therefore, they reported that the risk of PIH may contraindicate the use of propofol in hypovolemic patients.

Another unique consideration in oncology patients who are presented with anterior mediastinal masses is the extrinsic compression of the heart, large vessels, especially pulmonary artery, and pericardial effusion (30). In such cases, induction of general anesthesia has been demonstrated to diminish the cardiac contractility and the cardiac output and to reduce the tone of the vascular structures, causing their compression. Therefore, reducing the doses of induction dosage is definitely recommended to decrease the incidence of PIH in such high-risk patients.

3.2. Early Intraoperative Hypotension

Early IOH occurs within 30 min of the start of surgery and has been related to multiple factors, including general and regional anesthesia, patient position, and early surgical intervention (11). Herein, we focused on general anesthesia for pelvic, abdominal, and intra-thoracic, non-cardiac surgery. In this context, the relationship between the tumor burden size and location and the development of early IOH may be heavily influenced by position, which may result in cardiac and respiratory compromise on supine position (31). Anesthesia in the supine and Trendelenburg positions could lead to a decrease in thoracic cavity dimensions and cephalic displacement of the diaphragm. Additionally, the institution of positive pressure ventilation and the gravitational effect of the tumor's mass on the surrounding cardiac structures are important factors in this hemodynamic compromise, which could be severe and may lead to cardiac arrest (32).

3.3. Late Intraoperative Hypotension

Late intraoperative hypotension occurs after 30 minutes of induction of anesthesia and may be related to the surgical manipulation of the tumor. Such manipulation can result in intraoperative hypotension for a variety of reasons. In this discussion, we will focus on three factors found in high incidence in oncological surgery: hemorrhage, carcinoid crisis, and Venous thromboembolism.

3.3.1. Risk of Hemorrhage

The oncology patient could have an elevated risk of intraoperative bleeding because of neoplasm-specific pathophysiology and/or the anti-neoplastic therapy. The risk of bleeding may be higher in specific types of neoplasm and certain chemotherapy agents.
3.3.2. Carcinoid Crisis

Carcinoid tumors commonly arise from the neuroendocrine cells of the midgut (39). These neuroendocrine tumors secrete a variety of bioactive amines into the systemic circulation, which causes carcinoid syndrome. These bioactive amines include serotonin, bradykinins, tachykinins, prostaglandins, and histamine. Carcinoid syndrome development is associated with tumor grade, stage, and primary site (40). Moreover, the existence of hepatic metastasis may increase the incidence because of their direct drainage into the systemic circulation bypassing the portal circulation where all these amines could be deactivated by the liver. Carcinoid syndrome is characterized by deleterious cardiovascular and pulmonary complications such as hypotension, right-sided heart failure, and bronchial constriction (41).

A sudden intraoperative release of the above-mentioned amines upon surgical palpation and manipulation of the tumor may result in carcinoid crisis. Carcinoid crisis is a serious and life-threatening complication of carcinoid syndrome and can lead to prolonged and profound hypotension and cardiac arrest (42). A prospective study reported that the pathophysiology of the crisis is consistent with distributive shock. The authors noticed that cardiac function was normal by using the transesophageal echocardiography while there was consistently intracardiac hypovolemia (43). On that account, volume expansion could be beneficial to restore the hemodynamic instability.

Carcinoid crisis has serious postoperative sequelae and increases the hospital length of stay. Recommendations for prophylaxis include anxiolysis and avoidance of exogenous catechol amines and histamine-release triggering agents. Furthermore, many clinical studies have recommended prophylactic octreotide either preoperatively or intraoperatively, which may decrease the incidence of the crisis (44-46). The role of prophylactic octreotide remains widely accepted to avoid profound hemodynamic instability in carcinoid patients (47).

3.3.3. Venous Thromboembolism

The hematological effects of the neoplasm and para-neoplastic processes include imbalances in the coagulation cascade, which can present as thrombosis, bleeding, and disseminated intravascular coagulation discussed above.

The oncologic population has up to a six-fold increase in the likelihood of developing venous thromboembolism (VTE), including pulmonary embolism and deep vein thrombosis (48). The pathophysiology encompasses the release of procoagulants (including tissue factor and tumor mucin), which cause the formation of thrombin and fibrin. Moreover, an indirect mechanism includes activation of platelets, leukocytes, and endothelial cells with a release of cytokines, production of factor X-activating cysteine proteases, and circulating tissue factor-bearing micro-particles (49). Another hypothesis attributes thromboembolism to mechanical decompression of large stagnant veins upon resection of the large tumors. When such large tumors compress the pelvic vasculature, impacting pelvic, and lower limb venous tree and could increase the blood viscosity leading to VTE (50). Intraoperative VTE is a dreaded life-threatening emergent situation manifested by hemodynamic compromise leading up to cardiac arrest. The most common current recommendations advise the implementation of risk-assessment tools and starting pharmacologic thromboprophylaxis preoperatively for high-risk patients (49). A prospective study examined the efficacy of implementing intermittent pneumatic compression at both intraoperative and postoperative and showed that it can decrease the incidence of the VTE in combination with pharmacological agents (51).

3.4. Final Thoughts

Hypotension predictive index (HPI) is a technology created by Edwards Lifesciences (Irvine, USA) to predict the onset of hypotension in 5, 10, 15 minutes before the upcoming event.
HPI has a unit-less number range from 1 to 100, with higher values indicating a higher likelihood of IOH (52). It necessitates the insertion of an arterial line, and further, no data is available regarding its ability to specifically predict the PIH and early intraoperative hypotension.

Such technology and algorithms can be modified to account for variables specific to the oncological population in defining a patient’s individual risk. While this would come at a high financial cost to collect the necessary data in prospective studies, it would allow anesthesiologists in the future to tailor anesthetic plans to achieve better IOH prevention in the cancer patient population.

3.5. Conclusions

Intraoperative hypotension reduces perfusion to critical organs and tissues and has adverse intraoperative and postoperative consequences. Certain populations have even greater consequences related to IOH. In the present investigation, we have highlighted the common causes of intraoperative hypotension and emphasized the particular vulnerability of the oncologic population to this life-threatening event. Stratification of risk based on tumor type, stage and therapy, and patient-specific factors and comorbidities can be complicated but is important to attempt successful prophylaxis.
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